Prevalence of Intracranial Aneurysms in Patients with Aortic Aneurysms
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Previous studies have suggested an association between aortic aneurysms and intracranial aneurysms with a higher prevalence of intracranial aneurysms in patients with aortic aneurysms. The aims of the present study were to evaluate the incidence of intracranial aneurysms in a large cohort of patients with aortic aneurysms and to identify potential risk factors for intracranial aneurysms in this population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We included all patients with aortic aneurysms (either abdominal and/or thoracic) who had available cerebral arterial imaging and were seen at our institution during a 15-year period. We identified patients with intracranial aneurysms. Patient demographics, comorbidities, and aortic aneurysm and intracranial aneurysm sizes and locations were analyzed. Univariate analysis was performed with a $\chi^2$ test for categoric variables and a Student $t$ test or ANOVA for continuous variables.

RESULTS: A total of 1081 patients with aortic aneurysms were included. Of them, 440 (40.7%) had abdominal aortic aneurysms, 446 (41.3%) had thoracic aortic aneurysms, and 195 (18.0%) had both abdominal aortic aneurysms and thoracic aortic aneurysms. The overall prevalence of associated intracranial aneurysms in patients with aortic aneurysms was 11.8% (128/1081), with 12.7% (56/440), 10.8% (48/446), and 12.3% (24/195), respectively, in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysms, thoracic aortic aneurysms, and both thoracic aortic aneurysms and abdominal aortic aneurysms. Female patients had a higher risk of associated intracranial aneurysms (OR $= 2.08; 95\%$ CI, $1.49–3.03; P = .0002$). There was a slight association between abdominal aortic aneurysm size and the prevalence of intracranial aneurysms (OR = 1.02; 95% CI, 1.01–1.03; P = .045). There was no significant association between the locations of the aortic and intracranial aneurysms ($P = .93$).

CONCLUSIONS: The prevalence of intracranial aneurysms is high in patients with aortic aneurysms. Further studies examining the role and cost-effectiveness of intracranial aneurysm screening in patients are warranted.

ABBREVIATIONS: AA = aortic aneurysm; AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; ADPKD = autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; IA = intracranial aneurysm; TAA = thoracic aortic aneurysm.
The diagnosis of AA was determined according to previous reports or its location and maximum diameter. All statistical analyses were performed by using JMP 12.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

A total of 1081 patients with AA were included. Of them, 440 (40.7%) had AAAs, 446 (41.3%) had TAAs, and 195 (18.0%) had both AAAs and TAAs. We also determined whether any of the above-mentioned baseline characteristics were associated with the presence of intracranial aneurysms. Odds ratios and their associated 95% confidence intervals were calculated. To determine which variables, if any, were independently associated with the presence of an intracranial aneurysm, we performed a multivariate analysis, adjusting for aortic aneurysm type and any variables that were associated with the presence of aneurysms on univariate analysis (ie, carotid artery disease, female sex, and aortic aneurysm size). All statistical analyses were performed by using JMP 12.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

Table 1: Summary of baseline characteristics by AA type

|                          | All Patients | AAA | TAA | AAA and TAA | P    |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----|-----|-------------|------|
| No. (%)                  | 1081         | 440 | 446 | 466         | 195  |
| Mean age (yr) (SD)       | 69.3 (16.2)  | 77.8 (9.3) | 62.2 (7.0) | 70.8 (16.7) | <.0001 |
| Mean max AAA diameter    | 49.3 (14.3)  | 49.6 (14.4) | – 48.5 (14.0) | –   |
| Mean max TAA diameter    | 50.2 (11.1)  | –   | 48.5 (9.2) | 54.5 (13.8) | –   |
| Sex                      |              |     |     |             |      |
| Male                     | 800 (74.0)   | 348 (79.1) | 310 (69.5) | 142 (72.8) | .005 |
| Female                   | 281 (26.0)   | 92 (20.9)  | 136 (30.5) | 53 (27.2)  | –   |
| Comorbidities            |              |     |     |             |      |
| Stroke/TIA               | 509 (47.1)   | 236 (53.6) | 163 (36.6) | 110 (56.4) | <.0001 |
| Coronary artery disease  | 510 (47.3)   | 278 (63.3) | 125 (28.1) | 107 (54.9) | <.0001 |
| Hypertension             | 855 (79.2)   | 394 (89.8) | 283 (63.5) | 178 (91.3) | <.0001 |
| Diabetes                 | 208 (19.3)   | 119 (27.2) | 56 (12.6)  | 33 (16.9)  | –   |
| Hyperlipidemia           | 743 (68.8)   | 369 (84.1) | 228 (51.1) | 146 (74.9) | <.0001 |
| Current/former smoker    | 356 (33.0)   | 214 (48.8) | 68 (15.3)  | 74 (38.0)  | <.0001 |
| Bicuspid aortic valve    | 142 (13.1)   | 3 (0.7)   | 132 (29.6) | 7 (3.6)    | <.0001 |
| Imaging                  |              |     |     |             |      |
| MRA                      | 903 (83.5)   | 373 (84.8) | 369 (82.7) | 161 (82.6) | .66 |
| CTA                      | 249 (23.0)   | 94 (21.4)  | 104 (23.3) | 51 (26.2)  | .52 |
| DSA                      | 114 (10.5)   | 55 (12.5)  | 40 (9.0)   | 19 (9.7)   | .34 |
| No. (%) with intracranial aneurysms | 128 (11.8) | 56 (12.7) | 48 (10.8) | 24 (12.3) | .44 |
| No. of aneurysms         | 772          | 70       | 65       | 37         | –   |
| No. (%) of patients with multiple aneurysms | 30 (23.4) | 14 (25.0) | 10 (15.4) | 6 (25.0) | .34 |
| Mean size                | 6.0 (5.8)    | 5.4 (4.4)  | 6.3 (6.5)  | 7.1 (7.2)  | .54 |
| Location                 |              |     |     |             |      |
| ICA                      | 93 (54.1)    | 36 (51.4)  | 34 (52.3)  | 23 (62.2)  | .67 |
| ACA                      | 16 (9.3)     | 7 (10.0)   | 7 (10.8)   | 2 (5.4)    | –   |
| AcomA                    | 16 (9.3)     | 5 (7.1)    | 8 (12.3)   | 3 (8.1)    | –   |
| MCA                      | 24 (14.0)    | 12 (17.1)  | 7 (10.8)   | 5 (13.5)   | –   |
| Basilar artery           | 13 (7.6)     | 8 (11.4)   | 3 (4.6)    | 2 (5.4)    | –   |
| PCA                      | 5 (2.9)      | 1 (1.4)    | 2 (3.1)    | 2 (2.7)    | –   |
| PCA                      | 4 (2.3)      | 1 (1.4)    | 3 (4.6)    | 0 (0.0)    | –   |
| Vertebral artery         | 2 (1.2)      | 0 (0.0)    | 1 (1.5)    | 1 (2.7)    | –   |
| Location by anterior/posterior | 148 (86.0) | 60 (83.3) | 56 (86.2) | 32 (86.5) | .99 |
| Anterior                 | 24 (14.0)    | 10 (16.7)  | 9 (13.8)   | 5 (13.5)   | –   |

Note: —Max indicates maximum; ACA, anterior cerebral artery; AcomA, anterior communicating artery; PICA, posterior inferior cerebellar artery; PCA, posterior cerebral artery.

were 18 years of age or older who had an aortic aneurysm and cerebral arterial imaging (CTA, MRA, or DSA). Patients with known connective tissue diseases (ie, Marfan, Ehlers-Danlos, and Loeys-Dietz syndromes) were excluded.

Data Collection

The diagnosis of AA was determined according to previous reports for each patient. The definition of an abdominal aortic aneurysm was a diameter of ≥3.5 cm, and the diameter of a thoracic aortic aneurysm was ≥4.5 cm. The location of the AA (TAA, AAA, or both) and the size of the aneurysms were retrieved from available reports. In case the size was not reported, a radiologist measured the maximum aneurysm diameter on available examinations (CT or MR imaging). The primary outcome of this study was the prevalence of saccular intracranial aneurysms. Head CTA, MRA, and DSA radiology reports of patients with AA read by board-certified neuroradiologists were queried for the terms “aneurysm,” “dilatation,” or “saccular.” All reports that did not have one of these terms were considered negative for aneurysms. Among those that did have a mention of the terms in their radiology reports, imaging was further evaluated to confirm the presence, location, and size of an intracranial aneurysm. This was performed by a neuroradiologist with 4 years of training. Patients with dissecting and fusiform aneurysms were excluded because these lesions are associated with a distinct natural history and pathophysiology compared with saccular aneurysms (20 patients). Partially thrombosed aneurysms were included in this study. Patients undergoing CTA evaluation had CT angiography on either 16-, 32-, or 64-section CT scanners. MR imaging and MRA were performed on 1.5T or 3T scanners. Each aneurysm was described according to its location and maximum diameter.

In addition to the presence of a saccular aneurysm, we collected the following baseline data: age at diagnosis of the intracranial aneurysm, sex, hypertension, coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, previous or current tobacco use, bicuspid aortic valve, and hyperlipidemia.

Statistical Analysis

All categoric variables were analyzed by using a χ² test. All continuous variables were analyzed by using a Student t test or ANOVA. We performed a subgroup analysis of AA location (ie, TAA, AAA, or both TAA and AAA). We also determined whether any of the above-mentioned baseline characteristics were associated with the presence of intracranial aneurysms. Odds ratios and their associated 95% confidence intervals were calculated. To determine which variables, if any, were independently associated with the presence of an intracranial aneurysm, we performed a multivariate analysis, adjusting for aortic aneurysm type and any variables that were associated with the presence of aneurysms on univariate analysis (ie, carotid artery disease, female sex, and aortic aneurysm size). All statistical analyses were performed by using JMP 12.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).
of 172 IAs. The mean size of the IAs was 6.0 mm. Of the overall cohort, 128 patients (11.8%) had IAs, with a total prevalence of 11.8%. This prevalence is about 4 times higher than that in the general population. Furthermore, this association is noted to be significantly associated with the presence of intracranial aneurysms in the general population, and 2 were located in the posterior circulation.

Prevalence and Risk Factors for Aneurysm

Of the 128 patients with intracranial aneurysms in this study, 8 had aneurysm rupture (6.3%). Five patients with ruptured aneurysms were men and 3 were women. Four had AAAs without TAAs, 2 had TAAs without AAAs, and 2 had both TAAs and AAAs. The mean size of the AAAs in the ruptured aneurysm population was 56 ± 12.2 mm, and the mean size of the TAAs was 41.5 ± 3.4 mm. Mean intracranial aneurysm size was 9.6 ± 10.5 mm. Six ruptured aneurysms were located in the anterior circulation, and 2 were located in the posterior circulation.

Aneurysm Rupture

Of the 128 patients with intracranial aneurysms in this study, 8 had aneurysm rupture (6.3%). Five patients with ruptured aneurysms were men and 3 were women. Four had AAAs without TAAs, 2 had TAAs without AAAs, and 2 had both TAAs and AAAs. The mean size of the AAAs in the ruptured aneurysm population was 56 ± 12.2 mm, and the mean size of the TAAs was 41.5 ± 3.4 mm. Mean intracranial aneurysm size was 9.6 ± 10.5 mm. Six ruptured aneurysms were located in the anterior circulation, and 2 were located in the posterior circulation.

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates, in a large cohort of patients with AA, that the prevalence of associated IAs is high, with a global prevalence of 11.8%. This prevalence is about 4 times higher than that in the general population. Furthermore, this association is higher in women with TAAs. Female sex was the only variable independently associated with the presence of intracranial aneurysms in the general population. There was no significant relation between the AA type and the pres-
ence of IAs. We also identified patients with coronary artery disease and hyperlipidemia having lower odds of IA. We did not find any significant association between the AA size and the presence of an IA or its location. These results are important because they suggest that further studies examining the role of IA screening in patients with AAA and TAA are warranted.

Our results are in accordance with a recent study published by Shin et al., who reported a 11.6% prevalence of IAs in a cohort of 611 patients with AAs. In a small study, Kuzmik et al. observed a 9.0% prevalence of IAs in a series of 212 patients with TAs. Unlike the study of Shin et al., we found that female sex was a significant risk factor for the presence of IAs, and we did not find any association between AA type and IA size or location. We did not find any higher risk for IAs in patients with AAs with hypertension or smoking history, contrary to the findings of Kuzmik et al.

The present results suggest potential common pathophysiological mechanisms for IA and AA. However, TAA and AAA have different origins, risk factors, and pathomechanisms. AAAs are related to cardiovascular risk factors, as confirmed in our cohort, with significantly more patients with stroke/TIA, coronary artery disease, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and smoking history in the AAA group than in the TAA group. On the contrary, TAAs and IAs are mostly considered nonatherosclerotic arteriopathies, even though they share some common risk factors with AAAs, such as hypertension or tobacco use. TAAs usually appear in younger patients. However, the present study shows that whatever the location of the AA (either TAA or AAA), there is a high prevalence of associated IAs that appears to be independent of cardiovascular risk factors. A potential explanation is that the aorta and intracranial arteries embryologically originate from the neural crest cells, and some anomalous development of these cells (neurocristopathy) could explain the susceptibility for both AA and IA. However, despite the origin and susceptibility factors for AA and IA, their origin is probably a combination of genetic and environmental factors.

Furthermore, our study identified a prevalence of IAs in the AA population 4 times higher than in the general population. This is very important and should be taken into account when considering patients with AAs for a systematic screening to identify IAs. Indeed, in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease, the prevalence of associated IA was around 10%, with a 4 times higher risk. According to this relative risk, some have advised a systematic screening with MRA for IA in ADPKD. However, to date, there is no proved benefit in morbidly, mortality, or reduction in subarachnoid hemorrhage among patients with ADPKD screened for IA, potentially due to other factors such as a higher risk of IA treatment in patients with ADPKD, as recently suggested by Rozenfeld et al. In our study, the IA prevalence in patients with AAs was similar to the IA prevalence in the ADPKD population. Our results suggest that future prospective studies examining the role of IA screening in patients with AAs could be warranted, especially in female patients with TAAs.

Also, there is a high association of IA with AA in the perioperative care of AA. Indeed, the pressure on the cerebral arteries varies substantially due to cross-clamping and declamping of the aorta during aortic surgery. There is a theoretic potential risk of IA rupture with increasing cerebral perfusion pressure by occluding the aorta, albeit temporarily. Surgeons should be aware of the presence of an IA to avoid large pressure variations. In addition, measures such as strict postoperative blood pressure control can also be taken with a known IA, to reduce the risk of rupture. Systematic identification of IA in patients with AAs seems beneficial to prevent IA rupture.

Limitations

Our study is limited by its retrospective nature. We could not exclude a potential selection bias because patients with previous neurologic symptoms were more likely to have cerebral arterial imaging available and to be included in the present study; this feature could create the possibility of skewing the data toward a greater prevalence of IA. It is also possible that we underestimated the prevalence of IA in this population. We did not search for the indication of arterial intracranial imaging, which could potentially introduce a selection bias if the imaging was performed to search for an intracranial aneurysm. We also did not document the time of IA detection in relation to the AA detection. There is also a limitation in the ascertainment of the smoking status because we did not differentiate patients with previous or current smoking history and did not perform any analysis regarding the pack-year consumption. Also for the TAA, we did not separate ascending and descending TAAs, which could potentially have different results regarding the incidence of associated IAs. Our study did not find any significant association between AA and IA locations, which could potentially be due to the low statistical power of multiple subgroup analyses; however, the global population of our cohort was the largest compared with previously published series.

CONCLUSIONS

This study shows that in a large AA cohort, prevalence of IAs is high in patients with AA. Women were at higher risk for concomitant IA. Further studies are needed to determine whether patients with known AAs should be screened for IAs.
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