Development of a Molecular Marker for Fruiting Body Pattern in *Auricularia auricula-judae*
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**ABSTRACT**

The fruiting body pattern is an important agronomic trait of the edible fungus *Auricularia auricula-judae*, and an important breeding target. There are two types of fruiting body pattern: the cluster type and the chrysanthemum type. We identified the fruiting body pattern of 26 test strains, and then constructed two different near-isogenic pools. Then, we developed sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) molecular markers associated with the fruiting body pattern based on sequence-related amplified polymorphism (SRAP) markers. Ten different bands (189–522 bp) were amplified using 153 pairs of SRAP primers. The SCAR marker “SCL-18” consisted of a single 522-bp band amplified from the cluster-type strains, but not the chrysanthemum strains. This SCAR marker was closely associated with the cluster-type fruiting body trait of *A. auricula-judae*. These results lay the foundation for further research to locate and clone genes controlling the fruiting body pattern of *A. auricula-judae*.

**1. Introduction**

*Auricularia auricula-judae* is a wood rot fungus that is commonly known in China as black wood ear, based on its morphology [1]. This fungus has been cultivated for at least 2100 years. With the continuing improvements in cultivation systems and technologies, *A. auricula-judae* has become the second most popular edible fungus in China. More than 98% of the global output of *A. auricula-judae* is produced in China [2].

The fruiting body pattern of *A. auricula-judae* is an important agronomic trait. It is also an important breeding target and visual index in the breeding of *A. auricula-judae*. Our research team at the *A. auricula-judae* Breeding Station of the China Agriculture Research System has explored and summarized the agronomic traits of *A. auricula-judae* through studying a large number of strains over many years. These studies clarified that there are different types and times of fruiting. The two fruiting body patterns are the cluster type (CL) and the chrysanthemum type (CH), and the fruiting body character is important in the quantitative taxonomy this species. The CL strains produce a fruiting body with a veined segmented appearance on the upper surface and a wrinkled appearance on the reverse side, while the CH strains produce a fruiting body with undifferentiated organization at the base [3]. Two groups separated at the largest genetic distance in an esterase isozyme analysis; one group consisted of CL strains, the other group consisted of CH strains [4]. The clustering results of *A. auricula-judae* germplasm resources have been used to match simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers with the results of the esterase isozyme analysis [5].

At present, the CL strains are easily induced to produce fruiting bodies by punching a small hole in the surface of existing fruiting bodies. This method allows producers to meet the consumer market and requires minimal labor input. To propagate the CH strains, however, the ear must be torn and the ear base discarded. This results in a low production rate, produces waste products, and requires substantial labor input. Therefore, the CL varieties have been bred to improve the single-chip ear rate in cultivation. These varieties can meet the market demand and are suitable for industrial-scale development. Chen et al. conducted an isozyme analysis, and found that the CL strains had seven stable esterase isoenzyme bands. This information was used to develop an innovative breeding model for the CL varieties [6]. A directional breeding model was used to select several new CL varieties [7,8]. However, for accurate esterase isoenzyme analyses, the samples...
must be cultivated under same growth period and environmental conditions.

Sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers are produced from polymorphic regions that differ in size among samples. Essentially, a SCAR marker is a more stable co-dominant molecular marker than other molecular markers (random amplified polymorphic DNA, RAPD; sequence-related amplified polymorphism, SRAP; and amplified fragment-length polymorphism, AFLP). Generally, SCAR markers are detected as bands on a gel after a rapid and simple amplification procedure [9]. Researchers have used SCAR markers to identify and select resistant or susceptible plants, and for molecular marker-assisted selection breeding [10,11]. Some recent studies have reported the use of SCAR markers for edible fungi. For example, they have been used in studies on the color of the fruiting bodies of Agaricus bisporus [12], Flammulina velutipes [13] and Hypsizygus marmoreus [14], the degeneration of Volvariella volvacea strains [15] and F. velutipes [16], mushroom disease in Auricularia polytricha [17], and the mating types of edible fungi [18,19]. These markers have also been used to screen for various traits during breeding. However, molecular markers related to the agronomic traits of A. auricula-judae have not yet been reported.

In this study, specific polymorphic fragments were amplified using many SRAP marker primers, and then transformed into a single, stable SCAR marker, which differentiated between CL and CH strains at the DNA level. This provides an effective method for molecular marker-assisted breeding for the fruiting body pattern in A. auricula-judae.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Strains and culture conditions

All strains were obtained from the A. auricula-judae Breeding Station of the China Agriculture Research System. Information for all strains used is summarized in Table 1. B1–B20 are nationally accredited varieties commonly cultivated in China. These verified strains were used to test the SCAR markers developed in this study. The fruiting body patterns of all strains were confirmed by a fruiting test [4]. The strains were cultivated on a substrate consisting of 78% hardwood sawdust, 20% wheat bran, 1% gypsum, and 1% lime; with 65% relative humidity. The conditions for mycelium incubation and fruiting followed conventional cultivation practices for A. auricula-judae [1].

2.2. Development of isogenic lines

The schematic representation of experiment method is shown in Figure 1. The F1 strain was generated by crossing A14-5 and A18-119. The monokaryotic strains, which were isolated from mature fruiting bodies of the F1 strain, were identified by a lack of clamp connections as observed under an optical microscope [20] and named of 119 X. The monokaryotic strains were crossed with A184-57. The hybrid combinations were identified by the presence of clamp connections and two cell nuclei between two nearby dissepiments, as observed under an optical microscope [20] and named of C X. X was the same number that the monokaryotic strains. All hybrid strains were subjected to a fruiting test. The F1 monokaryotic strains with obvious fruiting body pattern genotypes were designated as isogenic lines.

2.3. Extraction of genomic DNA

Total genomic DNA was extracted from F1 monokaryotic strains by a modified CTAB method [21,22]. The concentration of DNA from each strain was diluted to 50 μg/μL. The CL gene pool was constructed by mixing the DNA of the CL-type monokaryotic strains. The CH gene pool was constructed by mixing the DNA of the CH-type monokaryotic strains.

| Number | Name                  | Fruiting body pattern | Number | Name        | Fruiting body pattern |
|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|
| A14    | Qihei No. 1           | CL                    | B8     | H10         | CH                    |
| A18    | Qihei No. 2           | CH                    | B9     | Yante 5     | CH                    |
| A184   | Wild strain           | CH                    | B10    | Au 8129     | CH                    |
| A14-5  | Monokaryon of A14     | –                     | B11    | Xinke       | CH                    |
| A18-119| Monokaryon of A18     | –                     | B12    | Heimeur 1   | CH                    |
| A184-57| Monokaryon of A184    | –                     | B13    | Heimeur 2   | CL                    |
| B1     | Heiwei 981            | CL                    | B14    | Heier 4     | CH                    |
| B2     | Hei 793               | CH                    | B15    | Heier 5     | CH                    |
| B3     | Hei A                 | CL                    | B16    | Heier 6     | CL                    |
| B4     | Zhongnonghuang         | CH                    | B17    | Xueping 10  | CH                    |
| B5     | Tianjuhua             | CH                    | B18    | Fengshou 2  | CL                    |
| B6     | Zheer 1               | CL                    | B19    | Huer 3      | CH                    |
| B7     | Jiia 1                | CH                    | B20    | AU2         | CL                    |

aA14, A18, A184 are parent strains of isogenic lines, and A14-5, A18-119, A184-57 are their respective monokaryotic strains. B1–B20 are verified strains.

bCH: chrysanthemum-type fruiting body pattern; CL: cluster-type of fruiting body pattern.
2.4. SRAP analyses

Nine forward primers and 17 reverse primers for SRAPs were combined two by two for the diversity analysis of the set of fruiting body pattern genotypes (Table 2) [23,24]. Each amplification was carried out in a 20-μL reaction mixture under the following PCR conditions according to Li et al. [23].

Specific bands associated with the fruiting body pattern were screened, excised, and purified with an AXYGEN DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen, Union City, CA). The extracted DNA was ligated into the pEASY®-T5 zero cloning vector (TransGen Biotech Co., Beijing, China), and the positive clones were screened for DNA sequencing. The DNA was sequenced by a commercial DNA sequencing service (Jinweizhi Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Suzhou, China). Data analysis and sequence alignments were conducted using DNAMAN® version 5.2.9 (Lynnon Bio Soft, San Ramon, CA) and the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

2.5. Development of SCAR markers

The sequencing results were used to design fruiting body pattern-specific SCAR primers to identify fruiting body patterns in the verified strains shown in Table 1. The primers were designed with Primer 3 software [25]. The sequences of primers and annealing temperature are listed in Table 3. The PCR amplification conditions were the same as those used for the SRAP analyses.
3. Results

3.1. Construction of isogenic lines of fruiting body pattern

The F1 strain $119 \times 5$ was generated by crossing A14-5 and A18-119 (Figure 1). Twenty-six single spore isolates (SSIs) were obtained from mature fruiting bodies of the F1 strain $119 \times 5$ (Table 4). The 26 monokaryons were separately crossed with A184-57. The hybrids were subjected to a fruiting test to determine the genotype of 26 monokaryotic strains, which distinguished 12 as the CL type and 14 as the CH type (Figure 1, Table 4). The CL-type isogenic line was generated by mixing the DNA of 12 monokaryotic strains carrying the CL-type genotype. The CH-type isogenic line was generated by mixing the DNA of fourteen monokaryotic strains carrying the CH-type genotype. Then, SRAP-PCR was performed using DNA from the isogenic lines as the template.

3.2. Analysis of SRAP markers

In total, 153 pairs of SRAP primers were used to amplify fragments from the CL-type and CH-type isogenic lines. Ten pairs of primers could distinguish the fruiting body pattern of all the monokaryotic strains. The primers combination and specific fragments were listed in Table 5. Eight PCR-amplified fragments were specific to the CL type and two were specific to the CH type. The ten specific fragments were excised, purified, and ligated into the cloning vector.

After cloning, nine target fragments were transformed successfully and produced a single band, while one target fragment ($6 \times 6$-CL-250) produced more than one band, so it was not sequenced (Figure 2). The sequences of the target fragments were used to conduct BLAST searches of the GenBank database. No homology was found between the sequenced target fragments and known edible fungi are lower organisms with a simple structure.

3.3. Analysis of SCAR markers

Based on the sequences of fragments obtained using SRAP primers, SCAR primers were designed using Primer 3 (Table 3). These primers were used to amplify fragments from the 20 verified strains shown in Table 1. Only one marker was amplified as a single 522-bp band from eight verified strains (Figure 3): B1, B3, B6, B9, B13, B16, B18, and B20, which were CL-type strains. Therefore, this marker was specific to the CL-type strains. The SCAR marker was named SCL-18. The other primers did not produce fruiting body-specific markers that effectively distinguished the fruiting body patterns. The SCAR marker SCL-18 was specific to the CL strains and could accurately distinguish the fruiting body patterns in A. auricula-judae.

4. Discussion

Whereas higher plants have a complex structure, edible fungi are lower organisms with a simple structure.

---

### Table 3. List of SCAR primer sequences.

| Number | Name of SCAR | Primer sequence (5′-3′) | Annealing temperature |
|--------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1      | SCH-16       | F: TATTCTTGGACTCGGAACAG  | 58°C                  |
|        |              | R: GTGCTATACTTTATCAACAGCA |                      |
| 2      | SCL-18       | F: TCAGGACCGGATACCGCAG   | 54°C                  |
|        |              | R: GTCTACTATCCGCTACGGCA  |                      |
| 3      | SCH-34       | F: AGTGCGTGGCTGTTGAGCTT  | 58°C                  |
|        |              | R: RGTCCCTCCGCCGAAARTGGA |                      |
| 4      | SCL-39       | F: FGGTAGTATGTTGGGGGTT    | 58°C                  |
|        |              | R: RATAACGCTTCTCCGCAAAA  |                      |
| 5      | SCL-49       | F: FGGACTGCAACCGCCACATCC | 64°C                  |
|        |              | R: RCGAATAACACCTGTCACCAC |                      |
| 6      | SCL-412      | F: FAATAGTGTTGACCTAGGGG  | 58°C                  |
|        |              | R: RTGAGTCGTAACCCGCACC   |                      |
| 7      | SCL-514      | F: FGGAGGCGTACGCAAGGAAA  | 60°C                  |
|        |              | R: RCGTACGAACTTGGTGGAGCC |                      |
| 8      | SCL-64       | F: FGTCAACACCGGTGATGGGAT | 62°C                  |
|        |              | R: RGACGTCGTCAGAATCAGGA  |                      |
| 9      | SCL-75       | F: FTTGGTCATCACACGCCCAAG | 64°C                  |
|        |              | R: RCGAAGCGTGGGGAATATCGG |                      |

F: forward primer; R: reverse primer.

### Table 4. Fruiting body pattern of hybrids.

| Name of monokaryotic strain | Name of hybrid | Fruiting body pattern |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 119 × 5-1                   | C1             | CH                    |
| 119 × 5-2                   | C2             | CH                    |
| 119 × 5-3                   | C3             | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-4                   | C4             | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-5                   | C5             | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-6                   | C6             | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-7                   | C7             | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-8                   | C8             | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-9                   | C9             | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-10                  | C10            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-11                  | C11            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-12                  | C12            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-13                  | C13            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-14                  | C14            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-15                  | C15            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-16                  | C16            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-17                  | C17            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-18                  | C18            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-19                  | C19            | CL                    |
| 119 × 5-20                  | C20            | CH                    |

CH: chrysanthemum-type fruiting body pattern; CL: cluster-type fruiting body pattern.

### Table 5. Sequencing information of target fragments.

| Number | Name of target fragment | From primer combination | Gene pool of expanded specific band | Fragment size (bp) |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1      | 1 × 6-CH-450            | Mel1 × Em6              | CH                                 | 424               |
| 2      | 1 × 8-CL-510            | Mel1 × Em8              | CL                                 | 522               |
| 3      | 3 × 4-CH-250            | Mel3 × Em4              | CH                                 | 247               |
| 4      | 3 × 9-CL-300            | Mel3 × Em9              | CL                                 | 405               |
| 5      | 4 × 9-CL-300            | Mel4 × Em9              | CL                                 | 293               |
| 6      | 4 × 12-CL-240           | Mel4 × Em12             | CL                                 | 234               |
| 7      | 5 × 14-CL-240           | Me6 × Em14              | CL                                 | 216               |
| 8      | 6 × 4-CL-200            | Me6 × Em4               | CL                                 | 189               |
| 9      | 6 × 6-CL-250            | Me6 × Em6               | CL                                 | –                 |
| 10     | 7 × 5-CL-300            | Me7 × Em5               | CL                                 | 295               |

*a*: CH: chrysanthemum-type fruiting body pattern; CL: cluster-type fruiting body pattern.

*a*Fragment size was determined from sequencing results for each specific band. Several bands were produced using 6 × 6-CL-250, so the fragment was not sequenced.
The agronomic traits of *A. auricula-judae* (Auriculariales) differ from those of other common edible fungi [2]. Its agronomic traits are not as stable or easily defined as those of other edible fungi; for example, the color of the fruiting body of *A. bisporus* [12] and the sporeless fruiting body of *Pleurotus ostreatus* [26]. This has resulted in slow progress of *A. auricula-judae* breeding. Our research group has explored the fruiting body pattern of *A. auricula-judae* in many strains cultivated over a long period [20, 27]. The fruiting body pattern can be classified as the CL type or the CH type [3]. The CL strains are more easily cultivated than the CH strains, and so they have been the main target of breeding [28].

In this study, the SCAR marker SCL-18 related to the CL type was successfully screened from hundreds of pairs of SRAP primers. Compared with protein bands or isozyme markers, DNA markers are more conservative and less susceptible to environmental effects [29]. A DNA marker allows for the screening of strains during the hyphal growth period, which reduces the workload in selecting parents or hybrids and improves breeding efficiency [19]. A reliable molecular marker is a powerful tool for edible fungi breeding. In the future, we intend to study the genetic characteristics of CL strains, combining transcriptome and specific esterase isoenzyme data.

Recent research on the fruiting body color of *A. bisporus* resulted in the development of two SCAR markers linked to white and brown fruiting bodies [12]. The white fruiting body was found to be a recessive trait controlled by the PPC1 gene [30], which is closely linked to resistance to brown spot disease [31]. These results strongly suggested that PPC1 may be related to disease resistance. The sequences of the specific fragments obtained in this study were used as BLASTN queries to search the GenBank database, but there were no homologous sequences in the database. In future research, we will try to locate the trait loci on the SSR genetic linkage map of *A. auricula-judae*. This will allow us to explore genetic regulation mechanisms and genes of interest through the linkage relationships with other traits and/or molecular markers.

The first SCAR marker was developed from RAPD molecular markers to select genes involved in downy mildew resistance in lettuce [32]. Other molecular markers such as SRAP and AFLP can also be transformed into SCAR markers. The RAPD markers have low requirements for primer design, high sensitivity, and low cost, but they are poorly reproducible, which affects the accuracy of the results. The AFLP markers are polymorphic and reproducible, but their disadvantages include the complex technology required, cumbersome operation steps, and relatively high labor costs. The
SRAP markers are highly stable and polymorphic, but the conversion rate is low [30]. In this study, SRAP markers were used to screen the polymorphisms related to fruiting body traits. From the successful SRAP markers, we generate a stable 522-bp SCAR marker designated as SCL-18. It is a highly stable and accurate SCAR marker. This provides an effective method to identify the CL strains of Auricularia auricular-judae at an early growth stage. We describe an efficient and rapid identification method that can be used to select breeding parents and hybrids during breeding.
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