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HIGHLIGHTS

• Air pollution might increase susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection.
• We examined infection prevalence in the most affected regions in Northern Italy.
• Satellite-detected tropospheric nitrogen dioxide was used to assess air pollution.
• High NO2 levels were associated with spread of the infection.
• A causal role remains speculative, given ecologic biases and uncontrolled confounding.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Following the outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome CoronaVirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) last December 2019 in China, Italy was the first European country to be severely affected, with the first local case diagnosed on 20 February 2020. The virus spread quickly, particularly in the North of Italy, with three regions (Lombardy, Veneto and Emilia-Romagna) being the most severely affected. These three regions accounted for ~80% of SARS-CoV-2 positive cases when the tight lockdown was established (March 8). These regions include one of Europe’s areas of heaviest air pollution, the Po valley. Air pollution has been recently proposed as a possible risk factor of SARS-CoV-2 infection, due to its adverse effect on immunity and to the possibility that polluted air may even carry the virus. We investigated the association between air pollution and subsequent spread of the SARS-CoV-2 infection within these regions. We collected NO2 tropospheric levels using satellite data available at the European Space Agency before the lockdown. Using a multivariable restricted cubic spline regression model, we compared NO2 levels with SARS-CoV-2 infection prevalence rate at different time points after the lockdown, namely March 8, 22 and April 5, in the 28 provinces of Lombardy, Veneto and Emilia-Romagna. We found little association of NO2 levels with SARS-CoV-2 prevalence up to about 130 μmol/m², while a positive association was evident at higher levels at each time point. Notwithstanding the limitations of the use of aggregated data, these findings lend some support to the hypothesis that high levels of air pollution may favor the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 infection.
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1. Introduction

The first indigenous case of COVID-19 in Italy was diagnosed on February 20, 2020. Despite the isolation of potential hotspots in eleven affected municipalities (‘red areas’) and two national lockdowns established on February 23 and March 8–9, the outbreak quickly spread throughout Northern Italy and particularly in the Lombardy, Veneto and Emilia–Romagna regions (Gabutti et al., 2020; Ministry of Health, 2020). The later and more intensive lockdown, which started on March 8 in almost the entire territory of these three regions and on March 9 over all of Italy, was effective in slowing and curbing the infection, with a flattening and then a reversal of the outbreak beginning 9 days later. Further restrictions were established on March 22, including closure of all non-essential activities and prohibition of movements out of the municipality of residence.

Northern Italy is the most heavily industrialized and polluted area of Italy (EEA, 2019). The rapid and more intense spread of COVID-19 in Northern Italy, following the initial outbreak in densely populated and polluted Wuhan, China, prompted the hypothesis that the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 infection and possibly the severity of the associated COVID-19 disease was related to high air pollution levels (Coccia, 2020; Conticini et al., 2020; RIAS, 2020; SIMA, 2020; Watts and Kommenda, 2020).

Though there is some evidence that air pollution may enhance the susceptibility to viral infections including that by SARS-CoV-2 (Barcelo, 2020; Chen et al., 2010; Domingo and Rovira, 2020; Peng et al., 2020; Tsatsakis et al., 2020), the connection is still speculative. Transmission of airborne infections such as that due to SARS-CoV-2 occurs mainly through droplets and aerosols in the vicinity of infected individuals (Gabutti et al., 2020), but airborne particles might serve as carriers for pathogens (Setti et al., 2020a; SIMA, 2020; Zhao et al., 2019). Another possible mechanism linking air pollution to SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 might be higher susceptibility to respiratory viral diseases induced by air pollutants, through damage to respiratory tissue, immune dysregulation, over-expression of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines leading to innate immune system hyper-activation (Conticini et al., 2020) or ACE-2 receptor overexpression (Frontera et al., 2020).

Among the most commonly investigated air contaminants, nitrogen dioxide ($\text{NO}_2$) is a secondary pollutant formed by combustion processes, primarily from road transport (41%), energy production (22%), energy use from households and commercial activities (13%), and from industry (13%) (EEA, 2020). $\text{NO}_2$ is used for air pollution monitoring, with a threshold yearly average of 40 μg/m$^2$ according to both European and WHO standards (EEA, 2019). In 2017 around 7% of the European urban population was exposed to concentrations above the annual EU limit (EEA, 2019). Traffic-related $\text{NO}_2$ exposure has been shown to be associated with increased risk of asthma and decreased lung function (Bowatte et al., 2017; Cai et al., 2017; McCreanor et al., 2007) and also rhinitis in adult population (Cesaroni et al., 2008; de Marco et al., 2002). In addition, high $\text{NO}_2$ levels has been associated with increased mortality for all causes, cardiovascular and respiratory mortality (Brunekreef et al., 2009; Eum et al., 2019; Hoek et al., 2013), and also pneumonia in older adults (Eum et al., 2019). With reference to SARS-CoV-2 infection, a recent spatial analysis has highlighted that up to 78% of COVID-19 deaths occurred in the five European areas located in Italy and Spain also showing the highest $\text{NO}_2$ levels, thus indicating a possible contribution to fatality by $\text{NO}_2$ long-term exposure (Ogen, 2020). In addition, a recent Chinese study showed a positive association between short-term exposure to air pollutants (i.e. particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, ozone and nitrogen dioxide) and newly diagnosed COVID-19 confirmed cases (Zhu et al., 2020), and other studies suggested a positive association with poor Air Quality Index (Li et al., 2020; Zoran et al., 2020).

The aim of our study was to investigate the relation between levels of air pollution in Northern Italy, assessed through remote-sensing satellite information on tropospheric $\text{NO}_2$, and subsequent spread of the SARS-CoV-2 infection using publicly available data on newly-diagnosed infected cases.

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

We studied the Lombardy, Veneto and Emilia–Romagna regions of Northern Italy, which have a population of 19.4 million people, around 30% of Italian population, and accounted for 82% of Italian cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection on March 8, 2020 (Ministry of Health, 2020) with more severe forms of the disease requiring intensive care unit admission (Frontera et al., 2020). These regions contribute about 40% of the gross national product (ISTAT, 2020b), and are also characterized by the highest number of workers in the international export business sector (ISTAT, 2020a).

2.2. Health endpoints

The number of new daily SARS-CoV-2 positive cases was available by region and province of diagnosis from the national governmental website (CPD, 2020). From these data we computed prevalence rates, using population data for the study provinces from the Italian National Institute of Statistic website (ISTAT, 2020b).

2.3. Environmental exposure assessment

To assess the environmental pollution characterizing the study area before onset of the outbreak, we used daily information for the 1–23 February period from the Copernicus Open Access Hub-Sentinel-5P mission. Through this source, the European Space Agency (ESA) makes satellite data publicly available for the entire Europe (ESA, 2020). We focused on the geolocated (with a spatial resolution of $7 \times 7$ km$^2$) tropospheric column of nitrogen dioxide reported by ESA Sentinel-5P, made available after Near Real Time (NRT) processing (typically 3 h from sensing), and, after addition, Offline (OFFL) processing (5 days after sensing time) (Eskes et al., 2019).

We collected all satellite images related to the area of interest (the provinces of the three study regions) for each day of the analysis period, and we computed population-weighted average of $\text{NO}_2$ values (in μmol/m$^3$) for each province. Data about $\text{NO}_2$ were considered missing for one or more days when satellite coverage in those days decreased below 30% of the spatial units (provinces) for cloud-covered scenes or snow/ice on the surface influencing satellite image reliability (Eskes et al., 2019). We also validated satellite data through measured ground-level $\text{NO}_2$ concentration by monitoring stations, finding a high correlation between satellite and measured provincial average $\text{NO}_2$, with Pearson correlation coefficients ranging from 0.34 (Sondrio) to 0.81 (Cremona).

To control for population mobility, a possible confounding factor, we collected data on mobile phone daily movements through anonymous data of the SIM cards of residents (approximately 27 million people) processed and made available by Teralytics (Polzer, 2020). Information on the position of mobile telephones is available through the Call Detail Records (CDR), from which transport models can describe daily trip chains. We used daily movements in Italy available from February 1 through March 27 divided by the population in each province. We also retrieved temperature data publicly available from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts website (ECMWF, 2020), and relative humidity that has been calculated using environmental temperature and dewpoint temperature according previous method (Lawrence, 2005). We also considered as a potential confounder the presence of international airports in the study provinces for which the traffic flow was higher than 100,000 passengers in January 2020 (ASSAEROPORTI, 2020). This criterion included airports in Milan, Bergamo, Varese in Lombardy, Venice, Verona and Treviso in Veneto, and Bologna in Emilia–Romagna.
2.4. Data analysis

To assess the average amount of air pollution preceding the onset of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak, we modeled the time-series of daily NO2 tropospheric levels from February 1 to 24 using a linear regression taking into account heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation up to 7 days using a Newey–West estimator (Newey and West, 1987). We also modeled these values after the lockdowns. We then examined the relation between NO2 levels before February 24, using the estimated NO2 levels on February 12, which is the midpoint of the period before the lockdown, and SARS-CoV-2 infection prevalence rates at several time points: 14 days (corresponding to the period between outbreak onset and the establishment of the tight lockdown), 28 and 42 days, corresponding to March 8, March 22, and April 5. We used restricted cubic spline regression analysis fitting a model with three fixed knots (10th, 50th and 90th percentiles) according to Harrel’s method (Harrell, 2001) to assess the shape of the relation.

We also collected information on other possible confounding factors, including population density and age distribution in 2019, percentage of population commuting daily for work or school, percentage of single-member families, and percentage of dwellings with one resident only based on 2011 census data, the latest available at provincial level (ISTAT, 2020b).

We performed the analysis within a multivariable model adjusting for population density (number of inhabitants per km²), an index indicating age of the population (ratio between resident population aged ≥65 years and those aged ≤14 years), people mobility (measured using number of movements of mobile telephones before the lockdown), temperature (°C), relative humidity in the three subsequent periods (in percentage), and airport presence (yes/no). We alternatively further added to the model the percentage of population commuting daily outside the municipality of residence for work or study, single member families, or dwellings occupied by only one resident.

3. Results

Fig. 1 shows the average tropospheric levels of NO2 in study provinces before the disease outbreak, and in the subsequent periods during the spread of SARS-CoV-2 infection, when NO2 levels steadily decreased. In particular, before the outbreak the
average NO$_2$ levels were high in Lombardy (193 µmol/m$^2$), Milan (238 µmol/m$^2$) and Monza/Brianza (243 µmol/m$^2$), and they fell below 100 µmol/m$^2$ in all investigated provinces in the period following the tightening mobility restrictions.

Table 1 reports the province-specific prevalence rates of the SARS-CoV-2 infection in the three follow-up time points considered in the study, i.e. the one corresponding to the institution of the tight lockdown (March 8), and the next two 2-week periods, along with corresponding NO$_2$ pollution levels before and after the detection of the outbreak (February 24).

We first fit a spline curve to the crude data. There was an increase in prevalence rates that can be noted at low levels of NO$_2$, with a plateau above 150 µmol/m$^2$ (Fig. S1). In multivariable analysis adjusting for population density, elderly population, area size, people mobility before February 24, and temperature and relative humidity in the two weeks before prevalence assessment (Fig. 2), NO$_2$ levels in the pre-outbreak period were not meaningfully associated with COVID-19 prevalence rates at any of the three follow-up time points, up to approximately 130 µmol/m$^2$ of NO$_2$ levels. Above 130 µmol/m$^2$ of NO$_2$ levels, however, there was a higher prevalence of COVID-19 with increasing levels of air pollution, with a similar curve relating air pollution to prevalence for each of the three time periods. Further addition of possible confounding factors, such as percentage of daily commuters outside the municipality of residence (Fig. S2), percentage of single-member families (Fig. S3), and percentage of dwellings occupied by only one resident (Fig. S4), did not appreciably change the results. In these analyses, the limited precision inherent in these ecological data are reflected in the broad confidence bands.

4. Discussion

In this study, we found a positive association between levels of NO$_2$ levels and subsequence prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 positivity in Northern Italy, though this occurred only at high levels of NO$_2$. This relation is consistent with severe outdoor air pollution enhancing the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, possibly by altering the immunological status and thus increasing individual susceptibility to infectious diseases (Ibironke et al., 2019; Marchini et al., 2020; Rivas-Santiago et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2011). The area of the study comprises the densest industrial, trading and agricultural zone in Italy, with an orography that favors the stagnation of pollutants. This region has seen some of the worst air pollution in Europe (EEA, 2019; Mazzola et al., 2010). Within this area, high levels of air pollution have been associated with increased mortality and hospitalization for both cardiovascular and respiratory diseases (Carugno et al., 2016; Fattore et al., 2011).

A positive association between spread of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in Italy and excesses of particulate matter at monitoring stations has also been reported (CPD, 2020; Setti et al., 2020b), and in the US an increase of 1 µg/m$^3$ in particular matter (PM$_{2.5}$) has been associated with a 15% increase in mortality from COVID-19 (Wu et al., 2020). High air pollution levels could also have favored the spread of another coronavirus-induced disease, SARS, based on the positive correlation between air pollution and its lethality in Chinese provinces (Cui et al., 2003). In addition, a study carried out in Milan metropolitan area (Lombardy region) found a positive association between COVID-19 new daily cases and particulate matter levels, with Pearson correlation coefficient between average and maximum PM$_{2.5}$ levels and daily new cases of 0.35 and 0.51, respectively (Zoran et al., 2020). We used tropospheric NO$_2$.
levels as a proxy for overall air pollution. This metric was closely associated with ground levels of this contaminant in a validation study in the study area as well as in other European urban areas (Ialongo et al., 2020; Lorente et al., 2019).

In vitro studies have shown that NO₂ can alter expression and synthesis of pro-inflammatory mediators in human bronchial cells (Bayram et al., 2001; Blomberg et al., 1997; Blomberg et al., 1999; Devalia et al., 1993; Mirowsky et al., 2016), thus increasing inflammation and hyperresponsiveness of epithelial cells. Also, both direct cytotoxicity and cytokine-mediated has been reported after NO₂ exposure of epithelial cells in the lung (Ayyagari et al., 2004; Persinger et al., 2002). Overall, these observations provide some biological plausibility for the association we and other detected. In addition, NO₂ levels are likely to mirror the air levels of other contaminants, such as particulate matter (Bigi et al., 2012), which also has deleterious effects on the immunity (Blomberg, 2000; Glencross et al., 2020).

Despite biologic plausibility, this study has important limitations. Most important is the potential for ecologic bias, because we used aggregated data. With aggregated data we could not take into account individual risk factors that affected the spread of infection, nor control directly for confounding at the individual level. Factors such as working in health care delivery, travel to China or other infected countries, residence in nursing homes, and individual contact with infected relatives, friends, or workmates were not controlled and differences in the distributions of these factors may have influenced the results. A further limitation was the inability to examine other clinical endpoints of COVID-19, but information on hospitalizations, deaths, and other outcomes were not publicly available at the provincial level. Finally, the effect estimates we computed were imprecise, as reflected in broad confidence intervals. Although these limitations preclude strong inferences from these ecologic data, our findings appear to confirm an association between heavy air pollution and spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.
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