New possibilities of screening for mental disorders in cardiology practice
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Aim of the study: validation of novel algorithm for screening of mental comorbidity in general medical practice. Based on retrospectively formed registry of patients, we assessed an effectiveness of the previously proposed Psycho-cardiac comorbidity Index. An external validation was provided, with subgroup analysis on cohort of patients who presented with suspected “Non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome” (N = 577), with assessment of psychopharmacotherapy prescription rate and prevalence of anxiety and depression. Another validation was carried out via comparison with patients with verified mental disorders (N = 235). A positive association was found between magnitude of Psycho-cardiac comorbidity index and Hospital anxiety and depression subscales (r = 0.26, p < 0.001 for anxiety subscale, r = 0.17, p = 0.026 for depression subscale), over-diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome at pre-hospital stage (r = −0.27, p < 0.0001), as well as with neurotic, affective and somatoform mental disorders (average Index 8.59 vs. 7.52 points, U = 6040.5, p = 0.041). The found pattern may be useful for clinicians for screening for patients who require a multidisciplinary approach to diagnosis and treatment.
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Introduction

The mental disorders are highly prevalent in cardiology patients, with predominance of somatoform disorders and hypochondriasis (cumulatively over 30 % patients), as well as affective and anxiety disorders [1; 2]. The current evidence exists that almost two-thirds hospitalized patients with acute myocardium infarction (MI) demonstrate depressive symptoms, with 15 % prevalence of depressive episodes in patients with cardiovascular diseases (CVD), which is 2–3-fold higher compared to general population. Patients with heart failure (HF) demonstrate even hither burden of depression, reaching up to 20 %, depending on HF functional class. 15–20 % patients experience depressive episode after coronary artery bypass grafting [3]. Anxiety disorders are found in 7–20 % patients with chronic coronary artery disease (CAD) [4; 5], and phobic symptoms are 10 times more prevalent compared to general population [6]. Both anxiety and depression are associated...
with risk of severe complications and death in patients with acute coronary syndromes (ACS) [7]. When diagnosed in time, mental disorders can be treated more effectively in cardiology patients, to increase their longevity and quality of life [8–10]. It was demonstrated that psychotherapy, mostly cognitive behavioral therapy, demonstrates mild to moderate 3-month improvement of symptoms in patients with non-specific chest pain without CAD [11]. In patients with verified CAD, the same intervention leads only to decrease in anxiety and depression [12]. Psychosocial assistance to stable CAD patients leads to improved quality of life, decrease in anxious and depressive symptoms and cardiovascular mortality.

Psychopharmacotherapy in practice of cardiologist was demonstrated to be efficient for correction of anxiety and depression in patients with MI [13] and with personal history of MI and pre-existed depressive symptoms [6]. Nonetheless, the prescription rate for psychopharmacotherapy is limited by concerns of drug-drug interactions [14].

Previously, we have proposed the Psycho-cardiac comorbidity index (PCI). An analysis showed that labile blood pressure, early manifestation of arrhythmias, female gender and multiple comorbidities are significant predictors for prescription of psychopharmacotherapy [15].

**Aims of the study**

Validation of a novel algorithm for screening of mental disorders in general medical patients by application of Psycho-cardiac comorbidity index on subpopulation of cardiology in-hospital patients; additional validation cohort of patients with verified mental disorders. Also, we investigated the potential additional role of an index in diagnostic protocol for patients presenting with suspected non-ST-elevation ACS (NSTE-ACS).

**Methods**

We carried out the retrospective observational two-center analysis of 956 medical records, forming 2 cohorts. For every patient, the PCI was calculated based on multiple linear regression, with the endpoint defined as prescription of psychopharmacotherapy for post-hospital stage. The formula of PCI was

$$\text{PCI} = 8 \cdot \text{L} + \text{C} + 3 \cdot \text{F} + 3 \cdot \text{A},$$

where PCI — Psycho-cardiac comorbidity Index; L — labile or paroxysmal arterial hypertension, defined as rapid increase of blood pressure over once a week; C — comorbidity count; F — female gender; A — arrhythmias or palpitations with onset at the age under 55 (3 points) or under 50 (6 points) [15].

The PCI validation cohort included 721 patients hospitalized into cardiology department during September 2016 to November 2019 (56.7 % females), the recruitment was continuous. Of them, 577 presented with suspected NSTE-ACS. The baseline demographic and medical characteristics are presented at table 1 for validation cohort and at table 2 for NSTE-ACS subgroups.

The additional cohort contained 235 continuously recruited patients who underwent in-hospital treatment due to previously verified mental disorder without urgent indications for hospitalization (74.7 % females, mean age 66.0 ± 12.5 years).
The latter cohort was divided into 2 subgroups (see table 3), defined on typical or non-typical somatic or medically unexplained physical symptoms [16]:

1. ICD-10 F3x (affective disorders), F4x (neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders) and F6x (disorders of adult personality and behaviour) subgroup, n = 107 (82, 22 and 3 patients, respectively).
2. ICD-10 F0x (organic, including symptomatic, mental disorders) and F2x (schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders) subgroup, n = 128 (112 and 16 patients, respectively).

As the design of the study was retrospective observational, no informed consent needed for inclusion.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of validation and additional group

| Parameter                  | Validation group | NSTE-ACS group | Additional group |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Patients count             | 721              | 577            | 235             |
| Females, %                 | 57.0             | 54.4           | 74.7            |
| Mean age, years, M ± m     | 68.0 ± 12.6      | 67.5 ± 12.4    | 66.0 ± 12.5     |
| Labile blood pressure, %   | 24               | 26             | 25.0            |
| Early onset of palpitations or paroxysmal arrhythmias, % | 8.5 | 7.3 | 5.5 |
| Mean comorbidities count, M ± m | 3.60 ± 1.79 | 3.51 ± 1.86 | 3.62 ± 2.09 |
| Mean PCI, M ± m            | 7.55 ± 4.56      | 7.49 ± 4.74    | 7.97 ± 4.53     |

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of NSTE-ACS subgroups

| Parameter                              | NSTE-ACS subgroup | Significance for differences between subgroups |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                        | Non-CAD | Stable CAD | ACS     | X², P | F, P               |
| Patients count                         | 302     | 76        | 219     |       |                    |
| Females, %                             | 59.8    | 64.3      | 44.2    | 21.25, < 0.0001 |                    |
| Mean age, years, M ± m                 | 67.0 ± 12.9 | 71.0 ± 11.0 | 67.3 ± 11.9 | 7.54, 0.0006 |                    |
| Labile blood pressure, %               | 35      | 31        | 11      | 37.77, < 0.0001 |                    |
| Early onset of palpitations or paroxysmal arrhythmias, % | 10.9 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 12.96, 0.0004 |                    |
| Mean comorbidities count, M ± m        | 3.48 ± 1.79 | 4.61 ± 2.41 | 3.36 ± 1.80 | 3.75; 0.0240 |                    |
| Mean PCI, M ± m                        | 9.33 ± 4.97 | 8.64 ± 4.85 | 5.01 ± 3.05 | 45.88; < 0.0001 |                    |

Note: Statistical significance (Chi-square, F, P) is given for differences between all 3 NSTE-ACS subgroups.

The latter cohort was divided into 2 subgroups (see table 3), defined on typical or non-typical somatic or medically unexplained physical symptoms [16]:

1. ICD-10 F3x (affective disorders), F4x (neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders) and F6x (disorders of adult personality and behaviour) subgroup, n = 107 (82, 22 and 3 patients, respectively).
2. ICD-10 F0x (organic, including symptomatic, mental disorders) and F2x (schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders) subgroup, n = 128 (112 and 16 patients, respectively).

As the design of the study was retrospective observational, no informed consent needed for inclusion.
Exclusion criteria: in-hospital death, lack of demographic or medical data on personal history and prescribed medications.

The following data were collected and subsequently analyzed:

1. The fact of prescription of psychopharmacotherapy for post-hospital medication.
2. Demographic factors (gender, age).
3. Personal history of CVD and cardiac interventions or surgery.
4. Comorbidities, including potentially psychosomatic diseases.
5. Assessment of anxiety and depression with Hospital Anxiety and depression scale (HADS) [17].
6. Final diagnoses for validation cohort, including comorbidities and conditions associated with high prevalence of affective, cognitive disorders and autonomous dysfunction.
7. For additional cohort, the major symptoms at admission and the final diagnosis were also collected (anxiety, anhedonia, hypochondriasis, delusions, depersonalization, insomnia, sensory hallucinations, cognitive decline).

Statistics were performed with SPSS 23.0 (IBM Inc., USA). We used the descriptive statistics, Kolmogorov — Smirnov test for normal distribution, parametric and non-parametric analyses, i.e. Spearman and Pearson correlations, Mann — Whitney U-criterion. Statistical significance was determined as P < 0.05.

Results

Diagnosis of mental comorbidities in cardiology patients

Of 721 patients from the validation cohort, 80 were diagnosed with various mental disorders before admission. 34 patients (4.7 %) were assessed by neurologist, 2 and 1 were consulted by psychiatrist and psychotherapist, respectively. 70 (9.7 %) patients received recommendations to be furtherly observed by neurologist, 12 and 21 by psychiatrist and psychotherapist, respectively. Table 3 represents the symptoms and syndromes found in these patients. Fatigue and cognitive deficiency were the most common findings. 11 participants had medically unexplained chronic pains.

Prognostic meaning of PCI in patients with suspected NSTE-ACS

An additional retrospective analysis was provided for 577 participants who were hospitalized due to suspected NSTE-ACS. During in-hospital assessment, in 302 cases complaints were found to be non-cardiac, 76 were attributed to stable CAD, and in 219 cases initial diagnosis was confirmed (see table 2). Patients from the last subgroup were less likely to be women, to have labile arterial hypertension and early onset of cardiac arrhythmias.

An association between probability of confirmed NSTE-ACS and magnitude of psycho-cardiac comorbidity index (see Figure). The percentage on ruled-out ACS positively correlated with was higher with psycho-cardiac comorbidity index (r = −0.27, p ~ 10−10).
### Table 3. Prevalence of mental conditions and symptoms in validation group and additional group

| Conditions and symptoms                          | Prevalence (N, %) | Conditions and symptoms                          | Prevalence (N, %) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Fatigue                                         | 31 (4.3)          | Anxiety                                         | 196 (82)          |
| Dementia / cognitive deficit                    | 25 (3.5)          | Hypochondriasis                                 | 66 (27)           |
| Somatoform autonomous dysfunction               | 18 (2.5)          | Mood instability                                | 49 (21)           |
| Anxious disorders                               | 9 (1.2)           | Senestopathy                                    | 43 (19)           |
| Hypochondriasis                                | 8 (1.1)           | Depersonalization                               | 34 (14)           |
| Epilepsy                                        | 5 (0.7)           | Insomnia                                        | 158 (65)          |
| Depression                                      | 4 (0.6)           | Anhedonia                                       | 99 (41)           |
| Other (e.g. delirium, acute psychoses)          | 12 (1.7)          | Cognitive deficit                               | 94 (38)           |
|                                                 |                   | Hallucinations                                  | 32 (13)           |
|                                                 |                   | Delusions                                        | 54 (22)           |

**Figure.** Percentage of confirmed and excluded NSTE-ACS in patients with different PCI scores.
Application of psycho-cardiac comorbidity index to the people with verified mental disorders

The PCI was applied to additional cohort of patients with verified mental disorders. Results demonstrated parallelism between PCI score and separate domains of mental diseases. The spectrum of mental conditions is presented at Table 3.

The mean PCI score on F0x-F2x subgroup was lower compared to F3x-F4x-F6x subgroup (mean score 7.52 ± 4.38 vs. 8.59 ± 4.62 points, respectively, U = 6040.5, p = 0.041). When assessed the association of symptoms with PCI, a negative one was found between delusions and proposed index (8.47 ± 4.45 vs. 6.59 ± 4.48 points, U = 3530.5, p = 0.007). There was a tendency to lower rate of prescription of vasoactive substances in patients with higher PCI (6.55 ± 4.14 vs. 8.26 ± 4.56 points, p = 0.057). Another symptoms and medications demonstrated no association with PCI index.

Psycho-cardiac comorbidity index and Hospital anxiety and depression scale

Of the validation cohort, 231 patients were screened for anxiety and depression with hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS) (60 % females, mean age 67.6 ± 11.5 years). In 57 cases (24.7 %) the results were incomplete; 168 anxiety subscales and 172 depression subscales were found eligible for further analysis. Of them, 87 scales were collected from patients who presented with suspected NSTE-ACS.

Mean anxiety subscale was 7.58 ± 3.80 points (6.18 ± 3.54 for men and 8.54 ± 3.69 for women, F(1) = 22.25, p = 0.0001); the mean depression subscale was 6.89 ± 3.69 points (5.75 ± 3.81 for men, 7.66 ± 3.43 for women, F(1) = 13.49, p = 0.0002). Distribution or results by clinical groups is presented at Table 4.

Higher PCI positively correlated with higher anxiety (r = 0.26, p < 0.001) and depression HADS subscales (r = 0.17, p = 0.026). Regression coefficient between PCI and anxiety subscale was 0.256 ± 0.082 (i.e. PCI increase at 10 points corresponds to mean HADS-A increase at 2.56 points). The regression coefficient for depression subscale was 0.173 ± 0.088.

Table 4. Prevalence of anxiety and depression in validation group

| Clinical Group           | Men | Women | General subgroup |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|------------------|
| No anxiety               | 67.6| 43.5  | 53.3             |
| Subclinical anxiety      | 19.7| 28.7  | 25               |
| Symptomatic anxiety      | 12.7| 27.8  | 21.7             |
| No depression            | 69.0| 49.6  | 56.7             |
| Subclinical depression   | 24.3| 33.0  | 28.9             |
| Symptomatic depression   | 6.7 | 17.4  | 13.4             |
Discussion

This study was carried out to assess the prognostic meaning of previously proposed psycho-cardiac comorbidity index for ruling out NSTE-ACS and for screening of mental diseases in general population (firstly, screening of anxiety and depression).

Chest pain is a common symptom of somatoform disorder in general practice. American heart association recommended to screen the patients with CAD for depression in 2008 [10]. Palpitations and chest pain often have non-cardiac origin. Such symptoms may be caused by somatic conditions or medically unexplained. The last group of conditions is responsible for 7 to 17% of chest pain cases in primary care. It may be misinterpreted as ACS. Various mental conditions (i.e. affective, anxiety, somatoform) are found in over 50% of emergency cardiac care consumers, especially in cases when no “medically explained symptoms” were found [18]. Due to temporary challenges and impossibility to apply the response forms in long-term, screening for anxiety and depression is complicated [19], forcing to search alternative ways of screening for psycho-cardiac comorbidity. An algorithm proposed in this study is one of such ways.

The most common complaints attributed to mental conditions, according to our study, were fatigue and cognitive deficit. It is contrary to data from other registries showing higher prevalence of anxious and depressive disorders in cardiology patients.

For example, according to S. F. Mujtaba et al. (2020), patients with negative coronary angiography demonstrated 37% (for males) and 22% (for females) higher volume of anxiety compared to persons with objectified ACS [20]. According to the same source, the prevalence of anxiety and depression in cardiology population was 10% and 8%, respectively [20].

Our study demonstrates gender-specific distribution of anxiety and depression HADS subscales, corresponding to most of the data on this subject.

In general, the data collected during this study, highlight the high significance of mental status in diagnostic algorithms and treatment of patients with cardiac urgent conditions and need for paying special attention to it [6].

The retrospective two-center design of this study is its limitation. Further validation of proposed index on other medical groups is needed (firstly, in pulmonology and gastroenterology departments, were burden of psychosomatic disorders is high).

As alexithymia is known to contribute to clinical presentation of psychosomatic disorders, this aspect should be also evaluated in context of screening for mental conditions in general practice. Another factor that should not be neglected is a variable nociceptive threshold in patients with labile blood pressure (which might be triggered by chronic pain). Further prospective randomized trials are needed to estimate contribution of these factors into course of cardiac diseases.

Conclusions

The proposed Psycho-cardiac comorbidity index is a valid additional diagnostic tool to rule-out the non-ST-elevation ACS, representing the anxious and depressive symptoms in cardiac in-hospital patients.

The found social, demographic and neurological profiles of high-PCI patients correspond to ones found in patients with verified affective, somatoform and psychogenic
disorders. These patients need interdisciplinary approach and initiation of psychopharmacotherapy should be considered.
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