Physiotherapy services in the face of a pandemic
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SUMMARY
BACKGROUND: Physiotherapy services are necessary for hospitalized patients of COVID-19 as well as chronic patients. Thus, physiotherapists present an increased risk of exposure to coronavirus. This study aimed to determine the number of physiotherapists who interrupted their services because of the COVID-19 pandemic and to verify the procedures adopted by the ones who are still working.

METHODS: The sample comprised 619 physiotherapists who worked in Portugal, 154 (24.9%) male and 465 (75.1%) female, aged between 22 and 67 years (34.47±8.70). The measurement instrument was an on-line questionnaire applied in late March 2020 through contacts and social networks.

RESULTS: 453 (73.2%) physiotherapists interrupted their work activities in person because of the pandemic and 166 (26.8%) continue to work in person. The main measures adopted by physical therapists who continue to work in person included: hand washing (21.5%), mask use (20.3%), material disinfection (19.3%) and, glove use (19.3%). Of the physiotherapists who are not working in person (n = 453), 267 (58.9%) continue to monitor their patients at a distance, and 186 (41.1%) are not monitoring the patients. The main measures used by physiotherapists to monitor their patients at a distance included: written treatment prescription (38%), making explanatory videos (26.7%), and synchronous video conference treatment (23.5%).

CONCLUSIONS: Our data revealed that most of the physiotherapists interrupted their face-to-face practices because of the COVID-19 pandemic, however, once they do not follow up their patients’ treatment in person, most of them adapted to monitor their patients from a distance.
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INTRODUCTION
Coronaviruses are a group of viruses belonging to the family of Coronaviridae, enveloped, positive single-stranded large RNA viruses with “human-to-human” transmission through respiratory secretions.1,2 The first case of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was reported in Wuhan, Hubei Province of China, on 31 December 2019,1,3 and the cumulative COVID-19 case number increased quickly. Currently (April 6,
2020), COVID-19 was classified, by the World Health Organization (WHO), as a pandemic detected in 203 countries, with 348,867 positive cases and which caused 16,351 deaths.3

The clinical manifestations of COVID-19 comprise fever, cough, nasal congestion, fatigue, and other signs of upper respiratory tract infections including dyspnoea and severe chest symptoms corresponding to pneumonia,1,4,6 and, to date, no specific therapeutic drug has been found.

WHO recommendations include frequent hand washing, social isolation, avoid touching the eyes, nose, and mouth, practice respiratory hygiene (to cover the nose and mouth with a flexed elbow or disposable tissue when coughing and sneezing), in case of fever, cough and difficulty breathing, seek medical attention early.5,7

Health care professionals are at the front line of providing care to patients with COVID-19, so they have an increased risk of occupational exposure to coronavirus. In this way, health professionals have to comply with a series of prevention and infection control recommendations to minimize the risk of infection.5

In Portugal, as well as in other countries, the number of cases of COVID-19 has increased every day, with 2 positive cases being detected on March 2 and, today (April 6, 2020), the number of infected people is 11,730 with 311 dead. The Portuguese Directorate-General for Health decided on several measures to be followed by health professionals, including hand hygiene, the use of a surgical mask (or the appropriate PPE for clinical activity), daily self-monitoring to identify early suggestive symptoms of COVID-19.8

Physiotherapy is the 3rd largest profession in the area of healthcare and is the most representative profession in the area of Rehabilitation in Portugal. Physiotherapy services provide the development, maintenance, and recovery of people’s movement and functional ability, improving their quality of life.9 In this way, many hospitalized patients in the acute phase of COVID-19, as well as chronic patients, need physical therapy services. The World Confederation for Physical Therapy (WCPT) has prepared a document that describes the recommendations for physical therapy management for COVID-19 in a hospital setting for acute cases.10

The purpose of this study was to determine the number of physiotherapists who interrupted their services because of the COVID-19 pandemic and to verify the procedures adopted by the physiotherapists who are still working.

**METHODS**

This is a cross-sectional study developed to obtain data about the employment situation of physiotherapists working in Portugal.

This research has been approved by the Research in Education and Community Intervention (RECI) research center. All participants were informed of the objectives of the study and that the anonymity of the responses would be ensured, having given their consent to participate in this study.

**Population**

This studied population consisted of physiotherapists of all sexes and ages.

The research inclusion criteria defined physiotherapists who worked in Portugal, who were working in clinical practice, and who freely agreed to participate in this research.

According to data from the Portuguese Association of Physiotherapists, the number of physiotherapists in Portugal is 13,000 (population). The sample size was determined using an estimated mean injury prevalence of 50%, and assuming an error margin of 5% with a 95% confidence interval (CI). Using these assumptions, the minimum sample size contained 374 physiotherapists.11

**Measurement instrument**

The measurement instrument was an on-line questionnaire. Data collection took place between 26 and 30 March 2020. The questionnaires were disseminated through telephone contacts via WhatsApp, emails, social networks, and on institutional pages.

Since questionnaires on this topic are unknown, this measurement instrument was developed by the authors and, subsequently, had its content validity subjected to critical analysis (by Ph.D. Physiotherapist Professors) based on an objective approach to the analysis of statements used to measure a concept. Then, this questionnaire was submitted to a pre-test, having been sent to 3 physical therapists.

This questionnaire included questions about gender, age, years of professional practice, the region of practice, the subgroup of physiotherapy usually practiced on (could pick more than one), self-employed or employed, if they kept a person-to-person clinical practice and, if so, which prevention measures are being taken. The physiotherapists that were not working on a person-to-person clinical practice should say why, whether they started monitoring their patients...
from distance and, if so, indicate how, if the number of sessions per week was being kept, and if the amount charged was the same.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis was performed with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 26.0, and descriptive statistics were performed. Chi-square was used to test for a significant association between categorical variables. Statistical significance was set at 0.05.

**RESULTS**

Of the 630 questionnaires delivered, 2 were excluded because they had errors in filling out and 9 were excluded because the physiotherapists were not working in Portugal. Thus, the sample consisted of 619 physiotherapists, with 154 (24.9%) males and 465 (75.1%) females, aged between 22 and 67 years (34.47±8.70 years old). The sample was 65% higher than expected for the population’s representativeness.

Years of practice in the profession ranged from 4 months to 43 years (11.42±8.69 years).

Regarding the area of intervention, the physiotherapist was given the option to choose more than one area, with the majority working in the musculoskeletal area (28%), followed by trauma-orthopedics (15.9%), 13.7% neurology, 12.9% geriatrics, continuous and palliative care, 10.6% general practice, 9.1% cardiopulmonary, 8.4% pediatrics, 0.7% urogynecology, 0.4% dermatofunctional, and 0.3% in other areas of intervention.

A total of 390 (63%) physiotherapists were employed and 229 (37%) were self-employed.

Currently, 453 (73.2%) physiotherapists interrupted their work activities in person due to the pandemic, and 166 (26.8%) continue to work in person.

Of the physiotherapists who continue to work in person, 27 (16.3%) were self-employed and 139 (83.7%) were employed. Of the physical therapists who interrupted their physical activities, 202 (44.6%) were self-employed and 251 (55.4%) were employed (p<0.001).

Table 1 shows the association between the interruption of the profession and the area of Portugal in which the physical therapist practices.

The measures adopted by physiotherapists who are still working are shown in figure 1.

Of the physiotherapists who are not working in person (n=453), 49% (257 responses) answered that they stopped their work activity at their own will and 51% (268 responses) due to the imposition of the workplace.

Still considering physiotherapists who are not working in person, 267 (58.9%) continue to monitor their patients at a distance, and 186 (41.1%) are not monitoring patients. Figure 2 shows the measures used by physiotherapists to monitor their patients from a distance.

Of the physiotherapists who continue to monitor their patients remotely (n = 267), 36 (13.5%) maintained the frequency of their weekly sessions, and 231 (86.5%) changed the frequency of treatment. Only 22 (8.2%) physiotherapists maintained the same amount charged per remote treatment session and the majority (245; 91.8%) changed the price for this new type of distance treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Data of this study revealed that most physiotherapists interrupted their in-person practices because of the COVID-19 pandemic, and many of them (47%) were self-employed, which means they had to adjust their financial situation due to this interruption.

To minimize the impact of this public health crisis due to the new coronavirus, the Portuguese government has extended the deadline of State contribution payments for self-employed workers, exceptional financial support has also been created for workers who have to stay at home; for those staying home

### TABLE 1. PRACTICE OF THE PROFESSION IN PERSON DISTRIBUTED OVER THE AREAS OF PORTUGAL

| Portugal areas                | Practice of the profession in person | Still practicing | Interruption of practice | Total |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Azores archipelago          | 0                                    | 9 (2%)          | 9 (1.5%)                 |       |
| Autonomous Region of Madeira| 3 (1.8%)                             | 8 (1.8%)        | 11 (1.8%)                |       |
| North region                | 37 (22.3%)                           | 134 (29.6%)     | 171 (27.6%)              |       |
| Center region               | 20 (12%)                             | 64 (14.1%)      | 84 (13.6%)               |       |
| Lisbon and Vale do Tejo      | 61 (36.7%)                           | 154 (34%)       | 215 (34.7%)              |       |
| Alentejo                    | 7 (4.2%)                             | 18 (4%)         | 25 (4%)                  |       |
| Algarve (South region)      | 37 (22.3%)                           | 62 (13.7%)      | 99 (16%)                 |       |
| Did not mention             | 1 (0.6%)                             | 4 (0.9%)        | 5 (0.8%)                 |       |
| Total                       | 166                                  | 453             | 619 (100%)               |       |
The form of monitoring patients most used by physical therapists has been the prescription of treatment in writing. We believe that this measure is related to the difficulty of accessing and using a computer and the internet. Many physiotherapists have also produced videos showing all the exercises to be performed and some use video-conference resources as a way to make live-streamed sessions happen.

A tool not much used and even unknown by many is Sword Health, an application that allows patients to perform physiotherapy sessions at home, monitored in real-time and remotely by a team of physiotherapists and doctors, who prescribe, evaluate, and supervise the entire process. This pandemic forced

with children up to 12 years of age, assistance is equivalent to 1/3 of the average salary. As for employees, the government created exceptional financial support for those who must stay at home to accompany their children up to 12 years of age, with 66% of the basic salary being paid to them, 33% paid by the employer and 33% paid by the State.

The government took measures to reduce the impact of work interruption for physiotherapists but many patients who need their care are without the main tool of the profession: manual contact. To minimize these problems, most physiotherapists who interrupted their face-to-face practice have followed-up their patients remotely.
Physiotherapists are professionals who are involved in the monitoring of patients with respiratory conditions in acute, subacute, and chronic contexts, either with spontaneous ventilation or in invasive and non-invasive mechanical ventilation. Given this current pandemic situation, the Portuguese Association of Physiotherapists, together with its Cardiorespiratory Physiotherapy Interest Group, prepared a position paper with the objective of disseminating the physiotherapist’s competences in intensive care, especially in patients infected with COVID-19, and make available, voluntarily, physiotherapists with experience in intensive care to collaborate in this care, since the number of physiotherapists working in this area is still reduced and the number of infected individuals with a high number of morbidities increases every single day.

Some preventive measures to prevent transmission of communicable diseases like COVID-19 include: minimize surface contact, use of a respirator (like N95 respirator) instead of facemask during aerosol-generating procedures (non-invasive ventilation, high flow oxygen therapy, intubation/extubation, nebulization, open suctioning of airway secretions, bronchoscopy, induction of sputum, bag and mask ventilation, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, others), hand hygiene and personal protective equipment, respecting the proper sequence to dress (HH—gown—mask—goggles—gloves) and undress (gloves—goggles—gown—mask—HH), disposing of disposable materials when their function is complete, reusable/non-disposable materials must be carefully disinfected according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, promote surface cleaning and disinfection frequently. However, Ng et al. suggest that the use of surgical masks, hand hygiene, and other standard procedures would be enough to protect health professionals from infection risk, indicating that N95 masks are not superior to surgical masks to prevent influenza infection; though the use of the N95 mask or equivalent equipment while performing an aerosol generation procedure is recommended.

Health professions preventing and controlling Coronavirus Disease 2019 are prone to skin and mucous membrane injury, which may cause acute and chronic dermatitis, secondary infection, and aggravation of underlying skin diseases. This is a consensus of Chinese experts on protective measures and advice on hand-cleaning- and medical-glove-related hand protection, mask- and goggles-related face protection, UV-related protection, eye protection, nasal and oral mucosa protection, outer ear, and hair protection. It is necessary to strictly follow standards of protective equipment and specifications for sterilizing and cleaning. Insufficient and excessive protection will have adverse effects on the skin and mucous membrane barrier. At the same time, using moisturizing products is highly recommended to achieve better protection.
SUMMARY

INTRODUÇÃO: Os serviços de fisioterapia são necessários para o tratamento de pacientes hospitalizados com COVID-19 e também para pacientes crônicos. Assim, os fisioterapeutas apresentam um maior risco de exposição ao coronavírus. O objetivo deste estudo foi determinar o número de fisioterapeutas que interromperam seus serviços devido à pandemia do COVID-19 e verificar os procedimentos adotados pelos fisioterapeutas que continuam trabalhando.

METODOLOGIA: A amostra foi constituída por 619 fisioterapeutas, que trabalhavam em Portugal, sendo 154 (24,9%) do sexo masculino e 465 (75,1%) do sexo feminino, com idades compreendidas entre os 22 e 67 anos (34,47 ± 8,70 anos). O instrumento de medida utilizado consistiu num questionário online, tendo sido aplicado em finais de março de 2020, através de contatos e redes sociais.

RESULTADOS: 453 (73.2%) fisioterapeutas interromperam as suas atividades laborais de forma presencial por causa da pandemia e 166 (26.8%) continuam a trabalhar presencialmente. As principais medidas adotadas pelos fisioterapeutas que continuam a trabalhar presencialmente incluíram: lavagem das mãos (21.5%), uso de máscaras (20.3%), desinfecção do material (19.3%) e uso de luvas (19.3%). Dos fisioterapeutas que não estão a trabalhar presencialmente (n=453), 267 (58.9%) continuam a acompanhar os seus pacientes à distância e 186 (41.1%) não estão a acompanhar os pacientes. As principais medidas utilizadas pelos fisioterapeutas para acompanhar os seus pacientes à distância incluíram: prescrição do tratamento por escrito (38%), realização de vídeos explicativos (26.7%) e tratamento por videoconferência de forma síncrona (23.5%).

CONCLUSÕES: Os dados do presente estudo revelaram que a maioria dos fisioterapeutas interromperam as suas práticas presenciais em virtude da pandemia COVID-19, no entanto, apesar de não estarem a acompanhar os seus pacientes pessoalmente, a maioria deles elaborou ferramentas para monitorar seus pacientes à distância.

PALAVRAS-CHAVES: COVID-19, fisioterapeutas, medidas adotadas, pandemia, profissionais de saúde
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