Comparison of the Antimicrobial Effects of Silver Nanoparticles Alone and In Combination with Zataria multiflora Extract On Some Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria
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INTRODUCTION

There is a wide spectrum of diseases that are caused by Staphylococcus aureus, ranging from mild skin infections to life-threatening necrotizing pneumonia (1). Methicillin-resistant S. aureus is also one of the main causes of nosocomial and community-acquired infections, which has become multi-resistant to a wide range of antibiotics. Today, a limited number of antibiotics such as vancomycin are available against this bacterium (1,2). S. epidermidis is a normal skin flora that may sometimes cause infections by biofilm formation. It is also the most important and abundant bacterial specie, responsible for nosocomial blood infections (3). Streptococcus pyogenes is a Gram-positive bacterial pathogen that colonize the skin and throat. These bacteria are the most common cause of pharyngitis, scarlet fever, impetigo and sometime life-threatening infections such as necrotizing fasciitis, in which the bacteria quickly penetrates into deep soft tissues (4). Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic pathogen that can cause serious life-threatening infections in immunocompromised patients, including patients with cancer, cystic fibrosis and burns (5). This bacterium is responsible for about 10% of all nosocomial infections. The increasing resistance of these bacteria to antibiotics causes serious problems in treatment and control of infections among burn patients (6). Development of nanotechnology in the past decade has created opportunities for the discovery of metallic nanoparticles’ antibacterial effects (7). Silver nanoparticles size ranges from 1 to 100 nm and their application against infections is increasing, so that these nanoparticles are widely used in medicine to combat microbes (8). Zataria multiflora is a medicinal herb with antimicrobial properties, belonging to the genus Zataria. Thymol and carvacrol are phenolic compounds and the most significant antimicrobial active chemical composition of this plant that can be found in different amount in various parts of Zataria multiflora, including leaves, flowers and roots. P-cymene is a non-phenolic compound with antimicrobial properties and another main component of this plant (9). The increasing spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria is one of the problems faced by physicians. It is also the reason for the continuous decline in the number of effective antibiotics available for treating these infections. Therefore, it is necessary to find new treatment methods and novel drugs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this study, the antibacterial effects of Zataria multiflora extract and silver nanoparticles were assessed and the effect of their combination was compared with each of them. Well diffusion method was used to evaluate the antimicrobial effect. Colloidal solutions of silver nanoparticles with brand name of LNP-CS was purchased from the Lotus Nanochemistry Company. The average particle size was 40 nm, which were chemically synthesized with concentration of 8000 μg/ml. Dried Zataria multiflora plant was purchased and its scientific name was identified in the herbarium of Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences and finally classified under the number PMP-404. The plant was powdered and then 100 grams of the powder was weighed and then extraction was done using methanol and Percolation method. The obtained extract was concentrated by vacuum distillation and then kept in colored glass containers in a cool dry place until the time of experiment (10). Pure Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial cultures were used in this study, which were previously purchased from the collection center of fungi and industrial bacteria in Iran.

1. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923
2. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) ATCC35391
3. Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC14990
4. Streptococcus pyogenes ATCC19615
5. Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC27853

First, 0.5 McFarland bacterial suspension was prepared in sterile normal saline and then culture was done by sterile swabs on Mueller Hinton agar in three directions. Wells with a diameter of 6 mm were created on the culture medium. To determine the antimicrobial effect of the extract, 100 mg of the plant extract was dissolved with 1 ml 10% DMSO as solvent and then serial dilutions of 1.2, 1.4, 1.8, 1.16 and 1.32 were prepared with concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25 and 3.125 mg/ml, respectively. In order to determine the antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles, 1ml of the stock solution with a concentration of 8000 μg/ml was used and dissolved in 1 ml of deionized distilled water to achieve a
RESULTS

All the five concentrations prepared from the plant extract showed inhibitory effects against methicillin-resistant and -sensitive *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis* and *S. pyogenes*. Reducing the concentration of plant extract for all five tested bacteria significantly reduced the diameter of growth inhibition zone. The largest diameter of inhibition zone was observed in *S. pyogenes*. The inhibitory effects against bacteria were observed at all the concentrations prepared from the silver nanoparticles solution. The largest inhibition zone diameter was seen in the methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*. In addition, reducing the concentration of silver nanoparticles significantly decreased the size of bacterial growth inhibition zone. In all types of combinations when the concentration of extract is the highest or average, its antibacterial effect against all five bacteria become reduced. When the lowest concentration of the plant is combined with the lowest concentration of the silver nanoparticles (combination 1), significant increase in the diameter of inhibition zone of *S. pyogenes* and *P. aeruginosa* was detected. Combining the lowest concentration of the extract and average concentration of the silver nanoparticles (combination 4) increased the inhibitory effects against *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*. While, the combination of lowest concentration the extract and highest concentration of the silver nanoparticles (combination 7) significantly increased the inhibitory effect against *P. aeruginosa* only (Table 1).

concentration of 4000 μg/ml. This serial dilution was repeated using deionized distilled water and dilutions of 2000, 1000, 500, 250, 125 and 62.5 μg/ml were achieved, respectively. Then, 80 μl of each prepared dilutions were poured into the wells and incubation was done for 24 h at 37 °C. After the incubation period, the diameter of inhibition zone was measured for each well (10, 11). First, for each bacteria according to the results obtained in the previous step, the concentration of the extract and silver nanoparticles with the lowest, average and highest bactericidal effects were selected and then these concentrations were combined in equal proportions. These compounds were applied on the four Gram-positive bacteria. There was an exception for Gram-negative bacteria (*P. aeruginosa*) where according to the results of the previous step, concentrations of 50 mg/ml and 25 mg/ml were used as the average and lowest concentration of the extract, respectively. Rest of the cases were applied without any modification.

After preparing the medium for each bacteria and creating the wells, 80 μl of each compound were poured into each well, and then incubation was done for 24 hours at 37 °C. The growth inhibition zone of the plant extract and silver nanoparticles were measured and later compared. In order to compare the results, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used in SPSS-16 statistical software, and P-value of <0.05 was considered as the statistical significance level.
### Table 1- Mean inhibition zone diameter (mm) for extract of *Zataria multiflora* and silver nanoparticles alone and combined, in the five tested bacteria

| Concentration | Extract of *Zataria multiflora* | Silver nanoparticles | The combination of silver nanoparticles and extract of *Zataria multiflora* |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|               | A                                | B                    | A                        | A                        | A                        | A                        | A                        |
|               | (µg/ml)                          | (mg/ml)              | (62.5)                   | (500)                    | (500)                    | (500)                    | (500)                    |
| 100           | 5                                | 5                    | 2.5                      | 6                        | 5                        | 6                        | (400)                    |
| 50            | 5                                | 5                    | 2.5                      | 6                        | 5                        | 6                        | (400)                    |
| 25            | 5                                | 5                    | 2.5                      | 6                        | 5                        | 6                        | (400)                    |
| 12.5          | 5                                | 5                    | 2.5                      | 6                        | 5                        | 6                        | (400)                    |
| 6.25          | 5                                | 5                    | 2.5                      | 6                        | 5                        | 6                        | (400)                    |
| 3.125         | 5                                | 5                    | 2.5                      | 6                        | 5                        | 6                        | (400)                    |
| 1%            | 5                                | 5                    | 2.5                      | 6                        | 5                        | 6                        | (400)                    |
| S. aureus     | 29.3±1                           | 21.6±1               | 18.6±1                   | 13±1                     | 8±0.5                    | 0                         | 19.3±0.5                 |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
|               | 10.3±0.5                         | 12.6±0.5             | 9.6±0.5                  | 7.3±0.5                  | 7                        | 0                         | 7.6±0.5                  |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
| MRSA          | 35.3±1                           | 33.3±1               | 26±1                     | 21.6±1                   | 14±1                     | 11±1                      | 20.6±0.5                 |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
|               | 13.3±0.5                         | 13.3±0.5             | 7.6±0.5                  | 7.6±0.5                  | 7                        | 0                         | 10.6±0.5                 |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
| S. epidermidis| 32.6±0.5                         | 25.3±1               | 23±1                     | 25.3±1                   | 15.3±1                   | 11.3±1                    | 17.6±0.5                 |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
|               | 12.3±0.5                         | 12.3±0.5             | 11.3±0.5                 | 9.6±0.5                  | 9.6±0.5                  | 9.6±0.5                  | 10±1                     |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
| S. pyogenes   | 35.6±1                           | 26.6±0.5             | 23.3±0.5                 | 18±1                     | 14.6±0.5                 | 0                         | 18.3±0.5                 |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
|               | 15.3±0.5                         | 15.3±0.5             | 12.3±0.5                 | 12.3±0.5                 | 12.3±0.5                 | 12.3±0.5                 | 10.7±0.5                 |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
| P. aeruginosa | 13.6±1                           | 10.6±0.5             | 8.6±0.5                  | 7.6±0.5                  | 0                        | 0                         | 14.3±0.5                 |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
|               | 12.3±0.5                         | 12.3±0.5             | 11.3±0.5                 | 11.3±0.5                 | 11.3±0.5                 | 11.3±0.5                 | 10±1                     |
|               | 5                                | 5                    | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0.5                      | 0                        |
| A: Silver nanoparticles (µg/ml) |                     |                     |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| B: extract of *Zataria multiflora* (mg/ml) |                     |                     |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
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DISCUSSION

After the emergence and increase of bacterial resistance to antibiotics, many in vitro studies have been conducted to explore alternatives for antibiotics. The use of products that are generally regarded as safe (GRAS) have attracted considerable attention. Biologically active natural products derived from plants are among the most important GRAS substances, since these compounds obtained from the essential oils and plant extracts can be used in pharmaceutical industry as therapeutic agents against diseases and bacterial infections (12). According to the results of the present study, the antibacterial effect of Zataria multiflora is evident in different concentrations. Numerous studies have been done using the aqueous and alcoholic extracts and essential oil of thyme on Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. In 2003, Sağdıç demonstrated the inhibitory effect of thyme essential oil against E. coli, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus (13). In 2010, Mahboubi study on the anti-staphylococcal properties of the Zataria multiflora plant showed the bactericidal properties of this plant, which is perfectly consistent with the result of the present study (14). Colloidal solutions of silver nanoparticles has attracted a lot of attention due to their antimicrobial properties and wide range of pharmaceutical, medical, veterinary medicine and food industry applications. Moyer (1960) used 5% silver nitrate to treat burns and showed the antibacterial properties of this solution against E. coli, S. aureus and P. aeruginosa (15). After the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and restriction of the use of antibiotics, Furno et al. (2004) regarded nanosilver effective against many bacterial and fungal diseases (16). In 2010, Chaloupka et al. also indicated silver nanoparticles as one of the most effective metallic nanoparticles due to their multiple antimicrobial function (17). In this study, all five tested bacteria had growth inhibition zone at various concentrations of silver nanoparticles. According to the obtained results, P. aeruginosa shows more resistance to the inhibitory and bactericidal effects of silver nanoparticles compared to Gram-positive bacteria. This is probably due to the different structure of bacterial wall in Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. Purine in these bacteria uptakes and releases metals to the outer membrane and the periplasmic space.

The absorbed metals can bind to the functional groups (including groups of carbonate, phosphate and amine) and in this way they are entered less into the cell or react with the membrane-proteins (enzymes with sulfhydryl groups) (18). According to the results of this study, in combination of the lowest concentration of silver nanoparticles and plant extract, the diameter of growth inhibition zone for all bacteria was reduced, except for S. pyogenes in which the inhibition zone diameter was increased. Moreover, in the combination of the average concentration of silver nanoparticles and the lowest inhibitory concentration of the plant extract, only the growth inhibition zone of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa increased, while it was decreased in the case of other tested bacteria. In the combination of the lowest concentration of the extract with the highest concentration of silver nanoparticles, an increase was observed in the diameter of inhibition zone for P. aeruginosa. In the rest of the combinations, the diameter of the inhibition zone decreased for all bacteria. The use of high concentrations of the plant extract in combination with silver nanoparticles reduces the antibacterial effects, probably because of the disturbed stability and accumulation of silver nanoparticles. Small size is one of the most important characteristics of colloidal particles, and the antimicrobial properties of these particles are attributed to this characteristic. Metallic nanoparticles are unstable and accumulate in large masses and this accumulation leads to the loss of colloidal properties of these metallic particles. Chemical methods such as reduction of transition metal salts are the most convenient ways to control the size of particles (19). Plant compounds such as carbohydrates, flavonoids and terpenoids can also cause reduction of silver nanoparticles (20, 21). Anthocyanins and flavonoids are among the main factors involved in the biologic reduction of silver nanoparticles (21). Other sources also reported that phenolic groups or molecules, alkaloids and sugars are responsible for the biological reduction of metallic nanoparticles (20, 22). Zataria multiflora plant is rich in flavonoids, terpenoids and tannins. Moreover, GC/MS experiments indicated that phenolic compounds such as monoterpenes are one of the major constituents of this plant (23).
Phenol derivatives such as thymol and carvacrol are the main compounds in the plant and the antimicrobial properties of this plant are also attributed to them (23, 24). Therefore, it seems when the combination takes place, the plant extract is placed next to the silver nanoparticles. Phenolic compounds, flavonoids and anthocyanins cause the reduction of silver nanoparticles, disrupt the particles’ stability and reduce its effects. Moreover, since the plant components are in reaction with the silver nanoparticles, thus cannot function as antimicrobial agents.

CONCLUSION

Using the combination of high concentrations of plant extract and silver nanoparticles reduces the antimicrobial effects. However, when the lowest concentration of the plant extract with antibacterial effect is used in the combination, the diameter of growth inhibition zone increases, which is different depending on the type of bacteria. However, further investigations in this regard are necessary for conclusions that are more accurate.
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