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ABSTRACT
This work presents a novel switching technique for five leg inverter in dual motor control. As the technology advances in industry, requirements in reducing the cost plays an important role with reliable product design. In conventional method, the six legs are used in 2- three phase Voltage Source Inverters (VSI) to control 2 motors. This proposed technique will give the improved performance of speed control for dual motor control using Five Leg Inverter (FLI). New proposed method suggests to use 5-inverter legs instead of 6-inverter legs to control 2 induction motors. New Switching technique proposed in FLI system is designed in effective way that improved performance and Total Harmonic Distortion of ~23% achieved. The load sharing on common leg is called Common Mode (CM) of operation. In this new method, closed loop control designed by using space vector pulse width modulation (SVPWM) and Direct Torque Control (DTC) in FLI Technology. With this new method smooth speed regulation is achieved when load torque is changed. THD% for CM-FLI is reduced when compared with conventional FLI technique. The new Switching technique is controlled in effective way that the common leg is not over loaded and able to drive both the induction motors independently at required speeds. Proposed switching technique verified at different operating speeds with No load and rated torque. Simulation results computed using MATLAB/SIMULINK Software.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Present trend in industries are looking for the advanced control methodology with precise speed control. The industries looking for minimising the cost with improved performance in drive control system. In this regard the proposed work is carried out to reduce cost and to give improved performance in the field of 3-phase Induction Motor (IM) control. As the number of inverter switching devices increases more, then it leads to complex control design [1, 2] and also affects reliability of the system. The proposed solution helps in reducing the number of switching elements, thus reduces the cost, and size of the system [3, 4].

The proposed solution is having the 5-legs inverters instead of conventional 6-legs inverter for dual motor control. The main aim of this five leg is to have reduced switching elements from 12 to 10 [5]. The new technology uses only 10 switching elements with switching combination is up to 25. Closed loop control is done by interfacing FLI-SVPWM with DTC of induction motor [6, 7].

The main drawback of those conventional methodologies are, in case of dual voltage modulation (DVM) two consecutive switching periods are applied for each motor, due to this DC voltage restricted to half. In case of modulation block method (MBM) applied reference voltage shall be known prior to
application. Whereas in inversion table method (IVM) method bigger look up table need to be designed and difficult to be implemented on digital processors [8-10].

In this novel design of switching technique in FLI is common mode of operation. This common leg share load of each motor and also supply voltage to both motors. Hence it is important to have the optimal switching technique to be used in such a manner that the common leg does not get overloaded. The novel switching technique is called CM-FLI technology gives improved performance of speed control and is explained in detail in below section.

2. CONTROL TECHNIQUE AND SWITCHING METHODOLOGY

2.1. Mathematical equations for DTC of induction motor

In Conventional DTC the stator flux and torque are regulated within limited hysteresis bands and which in turn switch the VSI to apply appropriate stator voltage. In conventional method the flux and torque errors are directly used to generate the switching pulses to VSI [11-13]. An improved DTC is proposed here is reference stator voltage vectors are produced by torque and flux errors as given in below (1) to (12), which in turn modulated by means of SVPWM technique [14, 15]. The field component is aligned to d-axis and torque component is aligned to q-axis and both are orthogonal to each other.

The (1) to (6) gives the motor stator voltage from stator reference frame. The flux and torque with respect to load torque and inertia is given as below.

\[ V_s^g = i_s^g \cdot R_s + j \omega_g \cdot \Phi_s^g + p \cdot \Phi_s^g \]  (1)
\[ 0 = \Phi_r^g \cdot R_r + j (\omega_g - \omega_r) \cdot \Phi_r^g + p \cdot \Phi_r^g \]  (2)
\[ \Phi_s^g = L_s \cdot i_s^g + L_m \cdot i_r^g \]  (3)
\[ \Phi_r^g = L_r \cdot i_s^g + L_m \cdot i_r^g \]  (4)
\[ T_e - T_i = J \cdot p \cdot \omega_r + B_m \cdot \omega_r \]  (5)
\[ T_e = 3 \cdot \frac{p}{4} (\Phi_s^g \cdot i_s^g - \Phi_r^g \cdot i_r^g) \]  (6)

Where,
- \( V_s \) is stator applied voltage, \( R_s \) and \( R_r \) are rotor and stator resistances. \( \Phi_{sd}, \Phi_{sq} \) are stator flux linkages. \( L_s, L_r \) and \( L_m \) are stator and rotor self-inductances. \( T_i, T_e \) are load torque and electromagnetic torque and, \( \omega_g, \omega_r \) are reference field and rotor speed. Model equations can be represented in stationary stator reference frames to estimate the Torque and flux linkages as below. In stationary reference frame \( \alpha \) is magnetic linkages of stator coil resistance \( R \).

The estimation of stator flux as,

\[ \Phi_s^\alpha = \int (V_s^\alpha - i_s^\alpha \cdot R_s) \, dt \]  (7)

Magnitude of stator flux as,

\[ \Phi_s^* = |\Phi_s^\alpha| = \sqrt{(\Phi_{sd}^\alpha)^2 + (\Phi_{sq}^\alpha)^2} \]  (8)

Estimation of position vector as,

\[ \theta_e = \tan^{-1} (\frac{\Phi_s^\alpha}{\Phi_s^\alpha}) \]  (9)

Estimation of motor torque as,

\[ T_e = \frac{3\pi}{4} (\Phi_{sd}^\alpha \cdot i_{sq}^\alpha - \Phi_{sq}^\alpha \cdot i_{sd}^\alpha) \]  (10)

Where, \( T_e \) is the reference electromagnetic torque estimated from the motor.
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\[ \Delta T_e = T_e - T_e^* \quad (11) \]
\[ \Delta \Phi_e = \Phi_e - \Phi_e^* \quad (12) \]

The flux error and torque error are used to produce the decoupled stator reference vector by means of PI regulator. With the above information the reference voltage then be converted in to voltage vector by means of stator co-ordinates reference co-ordinate transformation. DTC technique used in variable speed control of asynchronous motor fed by VSI. Using Clark transformation, measured 3-phase stator currents and voltages are then converted to 2-phase co-ordinates \((\alpha, \beta)\). The 2-phase co-ordinates then converted to \(d, q\) co-ordinates [16-18].

### 2.2. SVPWM technique

The 2-level SVPWM technique is applied to control the inverter switching pulses. This method has proven better than the other PWM techniques like SPWM [19, 20]. This SVPWM technique is used for precise speed control and optimal switching technique. Due to this the reduction in the switching harmonics and utilization of higher DC bus volts. The DTC and SVPWM combined to achieve the precise torque control [21-23].

In the first sector \(V_e\) is voltage vector, which is reference voltage as shown in the Figure 1. The voltage is selected for two zero vectors and active voltage vectors, \(V_{00}(000)\) or \(V_{01}(110)\), \(V_{02}(111)\) respectively. Vectors are selected in anticlockwise direction. Reference vector can be anywhere in the above six sectors. Each time sector selection and timing of the reference selection is computed [24, 25].

![Space vector representation for voltage vector](image)

Figure 1. Space vector representation for voltage vector

The switching of inverter is made such that, zero state space vectors \(V_2\) or \(V_6\) for \(T_0\) period, space vector \(V_1\) for \(T_1\) period and space vector \(V_2\) for \(T_2\) period. Let \(D_o, D_1, D_2\) represents duty cycles of \(V_0/V_6, V_1/V_2\) and respectively. The relationship between timing \(T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3\) and voltage \(V_e\) is given by (13), (14), (15), (16) and (17).

\[ T_1 V_1 + T_2 V_2 = T_3 V_3 = M V_{dc} \quad (13) \]
\[ T_1 + T_2 + T_0 = T_s \quad (14) \]

Where, \(M\) is Modulation index, \(T_0, T_1\) and \(T_2\) are calculated as below.

\[ T_1 = T_s * M * \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta\right) \quad (15) \]
\[ T_2 = T_s * M * \sin(\theta) \quad (16) \]
\[ T_0 = T_s - T_1 - T_2 \quad (17) \]

the duty cycles for the voltage vectors are given by (18).
\[ D_1 = \frac{T_1}{T_s}, D_2 = \frac{T_2}{T_s} \]  
(18)

Defining the modulation index by (19),

\[ M = \left| \frac{V_s}{V_{DC}} \right| \]  
(19)

\( T_s \) is switching period, and \( \theta \) is angle between stator voltage \( V_s \) and common null vectors \( V_n \).

### 3. COMMON MODE-FIVE LEG INVERTER (CM-FLI) USING SVPWM

The switching techniques for the 3-leg inverter is common in many applications. In FLI-VSI method the critical part is computing common mode voltage \( V_C \), which shares common leg between two inverters. Common leg voltage \( V_C \) shares the voltage between two motors. The reference signal for both the inverters are computed in such a way that reference signals does not include the zero sequence component. The reference voltages are \( V_{1,ref}, V_{12,ref}, V_{13,ref}, V_{21,ref}, V_{22,ref}, V_{23,ref} \) respectively. For reference voltages, the zero sequence voltages are getting added to reduce lower currents harmonics and also to increase the available modulation index given in (19) to (24).

\[ V_{11} = \left( \frac{V_{dc}}{2} \times M \right) \times \sin wt + V_{Zero} \]  
(20)

\[ V_{12} = \left( \frac{V_{dc}}{2} \times M \right) \times \sin \left( wt + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) + V_{Zero} \]  
(21)

\[ V_{13} = \left( \frac{V_{dc}}{2} \times M \right) \times \sin \left( wt - \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) + V_{Zero} \]  
(22)

Similarly for the second inverter machine the reference signal is computed by,

\[ V_{21} = \left( \frac{V_{dc}}{2} \times M \right) \times \sin wt + V_{Zero} \]  
(23)

\[ V_{22} = \left( \frac{V_{dc}}{2} \times M \right) \times \sin \left( wt + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) + V_{Zero} \]  
(24)

\[ V_{23} = \left( \frac{V_{dc}}{2} \times M \right) \times \sin \left( wt - \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) + V_{Zero} \]  
(25)

Where,

\( V_{11}, V_{12}, V_{13}, V_{21}, V_{22} \) and \( V_{23} \) are voltage input signals fed to SVPWM technique. Now the six output voltages have to be converted to five called five leg inverter output. The main switching technique is called common mode technique.

In this common mode technique, inverter output voltages are only five and one common leg is present between the two inverters. The load on the common leg inverter will be more, so in order to avoid the extra load, the switching technique used here is combination of SVPWM and also common mode techniques. This common leg to share the load current and which results in little more current flows in common leg than the other four legs. A novel switching technique of five leg VSI is shown in Figure 2. The common mode of operation of 2 three-phase modulators are added in such a manner, that number of switching signals gets reduced from six to five as shown in Figure 2. Where \( S_{11}, S_{12}, S_{13}, S_{21}, S_{22} \) and \( S_{23} \) are the switching pulses for the 5-leg inverter. SVPWM and CM mode combined to achieve the right inverter pulse to FLI. The five-leg VSI with common leg mode of operation for dual three phase induction motor is shown in Figure 3. From Figure 3, complete connection of dual motors in FLI system is shown and common leg C is connected to both motors. The switching technique is designed in such a way that the current limit is maintained and is also called common mode voltage.
Figure 2. Novel switching technique of CM-FLI using SVPWM

Figure 3. Complete block diagram of Five-leg VSI - CM of operation for dual motor

4. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Modelling and Simulation plays a very important role in the field of drives control. The effectiveness of the five leg inverter techniques proved by running various simulation results are computed using Matlab/Simulink. Induction motor ratings are given as in Table 1.

| Table 1. Induction motor ratings |
|--------------------------------|
| Induction Motors IM1 Ratings:  |
| 7.5KW (10HP), 50Hz, 400V, 1500rpm. |
| Induction Motors IM2 Ratings:  |
| 7.5KW (10HP), 50Hz, 400V, 1500rpm |

The results are obtained and proved from the novel switching SVPWM based fed to FLI system for dual motor speed control results found satisfactorily. Both the motors are controlled independently and verified at rated load torque at different motor speeds. Both motor running at different speed verified and shown in output waveforms. The output waveforms from Figure 4 to Figure 9 shows the independent operation of dual induction motors.

Figure 4, shows the motor phase voltages and Figure 5, shows the motor line voltages. In Figure 6, both motors are commanded to operate at 1400rpm and 1440 rpm respectively. The independent control of dual motor speeds are shown in Figure 7. Where initially both motors are commanded to run at the same speed and after that both motors operating at different speed. The proposed novel CM-FLI switching technique shows the improved performance of the system with respect to existing switching techniques as compared in Table 2. FLI-VSI Induction Motor Output Waveforms at Rated Load Torque.
Novel switching technique for five leg inverter in dual motor control (Jayaprakash Sabarad)

From Figure 7, IM1 speed commanded to operate at 850rpm and IM2 speed commanded to operate 1100rpm, during this period the IM1 and IM2 motor phase currents are shown in Figure 8 and Figure 9 respectively. From this simulation results, independent speed control of dual motor operation is achieved at different operating speed.

4.1. THD comparison

From Table 2, New Switching technique CM-FLI holds good from the motor thermal stand point as THD is lower than the conventional PWM technique. Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) is compared with standard single motor Vs FLI techniques. Thus the New switching technique (CM-FLI) holds good from operating stand point. This proposed technique will give the improved performance of ~23% for dual motor control using Five Leg Inverter (FLI).
5. CONCLUSIONS

From the above results, novel switching technique of CM-FLI using SVPWM plays an important role in switching the five leg inverter. This new CM-FLI switching technique uses only 10 switching elements with switching combination is up to 25. From the simulation results the proposed technique will give the improved performance of ~23% for dual motor control using Five Leg Inverter (FLI). The THD % of the New CM-FLI system reduced to 14.31% from conventional 22.03% for the Motor phase currents. The direct torque control for dual induction motor controlled independently with different load torque at different speed of operation. Switching methodology is verified for no rated load torque operation. The common DC link voltage is applied to both motors are same. It is verified that the common leg is able to share the both motor load current at different operating speeds. From this CM-FLI using SVPWM system is found to be most cost productive, reduced complex control algorithm and used in precise speed control of dual three phase induction motors independently. This work can be extended for different control methodology for the induction motor control.
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