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Abstract

Background: Staphylococci are commonly associated with antibiotic resistance in healthcare settings including resistance to methicillin and other beta lactam antibiotics primarily associated with the carriage of SCCmec mobile genetic elements. We investigated oxacillin susceptibility in 11 different species of environmental staphylococci to evaluate the potential dissemination of such resistance determinants in staphylococcal isolates from non-healthcare environments.

Results: Staphylococci isolated from public washrooms were analysed for SCCmec type and for antibiotic susceptibility. MICs to oxacillin ranged from 0.12 to 128 mg/L. Of the 32 strains investigated, there were representatives of 11 different species of staphylococci. 21 of the 32 isolates were assigned to known SCCmec types. These typeable strains primarily included those assigned to SCCmec type I (n = 8), type IV (n = 6) and type VI (n = 7). In addition to these isolates, 3 strains of EMRSA-15 were identified from different environmental sites.

Conclusions: We have demonstrated the diversity of SCCmec elements in a wide range of staphylococcal species isolated from outside of healthcare settings. We have also shown that the variability in oxacillin MICs in such isolates are unrelated to species or SCCmec type. The isolation of EMRSA-15 is also of concern to infection control in the community.
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Background

The continuing spread and development of antibiotic resistance in bacteria is recognised as a major threat to healthcare worldwide [1–3]. In the past, hospital acquired MRSA (HAMRSA) were exemplified as examples of the development and spread of drug resistance in healthcare settings however the later isolation of community acquired MRSA (CAMRSA) demonstrated that antibiotic resistant Staphylococcus aureus were also present outside of healthcare environments. Today, increasing attention is being paid to multidrug-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci (MRCoNS) and their spread as opportunistic pathogens, often associated with infections in immunocompromised patients in healthcare settings [4–8].

Methicillin resistance is associated with the mecA gene that encodes for penicillin-binding protein PBP2a which has a low binding affinity to all β-lactam antibiotics [9]. The mecA gene is located on the staphylococcal cassette chromosome (SCCmec), a mobile genetic element with two essential components, the mec gene complex and the cassette chromosome recombinase (ccr) complex. To date, eleven (I-XI) SCCmec types have been fully identified by the determination of mec (A, B, C1, C2 and D) and ccr (i.e. ccr AB1 to ccrAB5 and ccrC) complexes [10–12].

Environmental contamination with multiply drug-resistant bacteria in healthcare settings is well documented [2, 6, 13] and it has been proposed that the development of resistance in these environments is a consequence of human activities such as antibiotic misuse and/or to mutation/DNA modifying strategies in bacteria [14]. Examples of drug resistance in staphylococci isolated from non-healthcare environments have also been reported by other workers, Soge et al. [15] isolated multiply drug resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and MRCoNS from public beaches, Coombs et al. [16] reported on regional variation with CAMRSA, Simoes et al. [17] reported that public buses were a reservoir of EMRSA-15 and ourselves, where we identified drug
resistance in a wide variety of environmental staphylococci isolated from non-healthcare public restrooms [18].

In this current study, we report on the phenotypic expression and the diversity of SCCmec elements in oxacillin resistant determinants found in a wide range of different staphylococcal species isolated from non-hospital environments.

**Results and discussion**

**Identification of environmental isolates and antibiotic resistance**

Thirty two staphylococcal isolates with members belonging to 11 different staphylococcal species were used in this study. In these strains MICs to oxacillin varied from 0.12/0.25 mg/L to 128 mg/L (Table 1). As reported previously by other workers the MIC breakpoint to oxacillin for staphylococci is 2 mg/L. However the MIC breakpoint for S.epidermidis alone has been reported to be 1 mg/L [19, 20].

**Molecular characterisation of environmental staphylococcal isolates**

Twenty-one out of the 32 strains tested were assigned to known SCCmec types, 11 were untypeable. The 21 typeable strains included those assigned to type I (n = 8), type IV (n = 6) and type VI (n = 7) SCCmec types (Table 2, Table 3). The Class B mec complex was detected in all isolates, including those which were untypeable. As for ccr complexes, we identified 8 ccrAB1, 3 ccrAB2, and 7 ccrAB4 amongst these staphylococcal isolates (Table 2). SCCmec types for EMRSA-15 were determined in all isolates, including those which were untypeable. As for ccr complexes, we identified 8 ccrAB1, 3 ccrAB2, and 7 ccrAB4 amongst these staphylococcal isolates (Table 2). SCCmec types for EMRSA-15 were determined using IVh primers (Table 3). In addition, we identified S. hominis, S. warneri, S. simulans, and S. epidermidis strains which carried untypeable ccr complexes but nevertheless harboured the mecB complex.

**MRCoNS**

We found there was a wide diversity of SCCmec elements in a variety of different staphylococcal species isolated from non-healthcare environments, and thus diversity of SCCmec can be extensive. We found for example that the frequency of type I SCCmec elements in such staphylococcal isolates was greater than that of any of the other SCCmec elements. In contrast, isolates carrying SCCmec types V, VII, IX, X or XI were not found in this study. However in healthcare settings, many of the species we identified may not be investigated, although some workers have reported on specific MRCoNS from hospitals [6, 8]. The majority of hospital strains tested were S. epidermidis and S. hominis and in these isolates SCCmec types III, IV and V were the most prevalent. It has also been reported, as we have found in environmental strains, that such isolates could contain multiple types of SCCmec [6].

**Table 1** SCCmec types and Oxacillin MIC values for staphylococcal isolates

| Species        | No | SCCmec types | Oxacillin MICs (mg/L) (there were no isolates with MICs within 4-32 mg/L range) |
|----------------|----|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                |    |              | 0.12- 0.25 0.5 1 2 64 128                                                       |
| S. hominis     | 9  | I 3          | VI 1                                                                             |
|                |    |              | VI 1                                                                             |
| S. aureus      | 4  | IV 3          |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. warneri     | 4  | I 1          |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. epidermidis | 4  | I 1          |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. haemolyticus| 3  | I 2          |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. simulans    | 3  | IV 1         |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. saprophyticus| 1 | I 1          |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. equorum     | 1  | VI 1         |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. arlettae    | 1  | IV 1         |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. condimeniti | 1  | VI 1         |                                                                                   |
|                |    |              |                                                                                   |
| S. cohnii      | 1  | N/T 1        |                                                                                   |

MIC breakpoint for staphylococci to oxacillin is 2 mg/L. MIC breakpoint for S.epidermidis to oxacillin is 1 mg/L [19, 20].

N/T non-typeable, No number of isolates

**Table 2** SCCmec types of typeable staphylococcal isolates determined by combination of mec and ccr complexes

| Species          | No | mec complex | ccr | SCCmec |
|------------------|----|-------------|-----|--------|
| S. hominis       | 3  | B           | I   |        |
| S. epidermidis   | 2  | B           | IV  |       |
| S. haemolyticus  | 2  | B           | I   |        |
| S. arlettae      | 1  | B           | 2IV |       |
| S. aureus        | 1  | B           | 4IV |       |
| S. condimeniti   | 1  | B           | 4VI |       |
| S. equorum       | 1  | B           | 4VI |       |
| S. epidermidis   | 1  | B           | 1   |        |
| S. haemolyticus  | 1  | B           | 4VI |       |
| S. hominis       | 1  | B           | 4VI |       |
| S. simulus       | 1  | B           | 2IV |       |
| S. warneri       | 1  | B           | 2IV |       |
| S. epidermidis   | 1  | B           | 1   |        |
| S. saprophyticus | 1  | B           | 4VI |       |
| S. hominis       | 1  | B           | 4VI |       |
| S. cohnii        | 1  | B           | 1   |        |
**SCCmec types**
The association between the carriage of certain SCCmec types and staphylococcal species has been investigated in hospital and some SCCmec types have been reported to be associated with certain species. Type IV for example, was found to be primarily associated with hospital *S. epidermidis* [6]. With environmental strains however we found that SCCmec type IV was associated with *S. warneri, S. simulans* and *S. arlettae*. *S. epidermidis*, by contrast, was associated with SCCmec types I and VI. In addition to this, SCCmec type I, which requires a combination of mec complex B – ccrAB1, was prevalent in many staphylococci in our study. We found it in *S. hominis* (n = 3), *S. warneri* (n = 1), *S. haemolyticus* (n = 2), *S. saprophyticus* (n = 1) and *S. epidermidis* (n = 1). Again, this is in contrast to previous hospital studies where it was reported that *S. hominis* isolated from clinical specimens was preferentially associated with ccrAB1, ccrAB4 and mec complex A [5]. These workers also described mec-ccr combinations in *S. hominis*. They identified SCCmec types I, VI, VIII and type new1, but there was a complete absence of SCCmec structures containing ccrAB2, ccrAB3, ccrC, and mec complex C. We also failed to detect mec complex C in any of our isolates. The environmental isolates in our study were found to be a reservoir for mec complex B (Table 2). Bouchami and coworkers [5] proposed that the wide genetic diversity observed for coagulase negative isolates such as *S. hominis* was associated with the presence of multiple transposases and integrases in the staphylococcal genome, this may also be the case with environmental isolates.

**SCCmec carriage and MICs**
Oxacillin MICs for all strains containing SCCmec types were determined. We found that the majority of coagulase negative strains (78.6 %) had relatively low MICs (0.12-1 mg/L), MICs for *S. aureus* strains could be high but still ranged from as low as 2 mg/L up to 64 mg/L. In addition, there were MRCoNS strains in which the SCCmec was untypeable which produced high MIC values to oxacillin (64-128 mg/L). This was found in 2 different species, *S. simulans* and *S. epidermidis* (Table 1). Overall, comparing our results with previously published MIC breakpoints [19, 20], we determined that 31.25 % of isolates in our study were resistant to oxacillin and 68.75 % susceptible. In contrast to our findings, in a recent study of the levels of methicillin resistance in non-healthcare associated *S. aureus* isolated from food, the authors found that strains with MICs of 2 mg/L could be either meca positive or meca negative and other workers have reported that mutations in the mec complex in *S. aureus* can also lead to variations in MICs [8, 9]. The wide variation in MICs we found suggests that such mutations may also occur in isolates taken from outside of hospital settings. No strains were identified with MICs in the range 4–32 mg/L.

**EMRSA-15 in public restrooms**
spA typing revealed that three of the MRSA strains belonged to the internationally disseminated EMRSA-15 clone with spa type t032 and MLST ST22 (Table 3). These isolates were also SCCmec subtype IVh positive. A study by Simoes and coworkers [17] demonstrated that buses, another area heavily used by the public, were also a potential reservoir for EMRSA-15. The isolates from that study and those from this study were multiply drug resistant. More than 95 % of the MRSA bacteremia in the UK are with EMRSA-15 and EMRSA-16 underscoring the importance of these isolates [17].

**Persistence of antibiotic resistance genes in the environment**
It is possible that a number of factors, including temperature, humidity, the presence of human faeces/waste and the misuse of antibiotics and disinfectants (where the wrong agent is used or the agent is used at an inappropriate concentration), could contribute to the survival and spread of these environmental antibiotic resistant bacteria [14]. In addition, the mobilization of antibiotic resistant elements could also play an important role in spreading resistance genes in these environments [16].

Although only thirty two isolates were used in this study, we have shown that some well-established antibiotic resistance genes persist in the environment. This is in keeping with the ideas published in a review by Martinez in 2009 [14]. In that review it was suggested that antibiotic resistance genes originally developed in non-clinical environments. In these environments, they may have acted to control metabolic processes or for cell signalling. However, the release by man of high concentrations of antibiotics into the environment and the spread of antibiotic resistance genes has impacted on the carriage of antibiotic resistance in the environment.

Previously, we reported that public restrooms are potential reservoirs of multidrug resistant staphylococci [18]. We have now demonstrated that resistance determinants show variation between environmental isolates and commonly associated hospital isolates such as *S. epidermidis* and *S. hominis* [5, 6]. Further work is needed to establish if there are any links between...
species isolated from hospitals and those from non-clinical environments, which affect transfer of antibiotic resistance determinants. It has been suggested that environmental bacteria may respond to subclinical levels of antibiotics in the environment and thus can induce adaptive responses with low levels of resistance in some strains [21]. Many of the environmental isolates in our study possessed low (sub-clinical) levels of resistance to oxacillin (0.12-0.25 mg/L). These were even lower than the 0.5-4 mg/L MICs found in low/borderline level oxacillin resistant clinical isolates of S. aureus reported by Tomasz [22].

Conclusions

The spread of low levels antibiotic resistance reported above has demonstrated the diversity of SCCmec elements in a wide range of staphylococcal species isolated from outside of healthcare settings. In addition to this, the finding of EMRSA-15 in non-healthcare environments provides further evidence that infection control measures, both in the hospitals and in public places, fails to limit the spread of such clones and once more emphasises the importance of good hygiene in these environments.

Methods

Bacterial isolates and susceptibility to oxacillin

Thirty two staphylococcal strains which had been isolated from 18 randomly selected public restrooms (non-healthcare) in London, United Kingdom [18] were used in this study. Dry sterile cotton swabs (Copan Diagnostics Inc., USA) were used to collect samples. Sampling was over a period of 24 weeks between December 2010 and June 2011. 21 sites were sampled in each restroom. All specimens were transferred to the laboratory within 1-3 h of the sample being taken. In the laboratory, the swabs were suspended in 1 ml sterile 0.9 % saline, inoculated onto Nutrient Agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and Mannitol Salt Agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). Plates were incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 24-48 h to obtain pure cultures.

Staphylococcal isolates were provisionally identified using conventional methods, including microscopy, catalase and coagulase testing [18]. Species were fully identified using Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time flight mass-spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS, Microflex LT, Bruker Daltonics, Coventry, UK) [18]. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC) to oxacillin were evaluated using “M.I.C. evaluators” (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK). MICs were assigned on the basis of the Guidelines for Susceptibility Testing (BSAC, 2011) [19].

Molecular characterization of Staphylococcal isolates

SCCmec typing

Genomic DNA was prepared using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK). The presence of mecA was determined as described previously [18]. SCCmec types were determined by evaluating mec and ccr complexes using primers previously described by others [23-26]. Type was determined using the primers J IVh F 5′-TTCTCGTITTTTTCTGAGC-3′ and J IVh R 5′-CAAACACTGATATTGTGCG-3′ as previously described [27]. SCCmec types were categorised according to guidelines published by the International Working Group on the Classification of Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome Elements (IWG-SCC) [28] which are based on the classification of mec and ccr types. SCCmec was considered as untypeable if the primers used for PCR amplification of mec or ccr complexes did not yield amplicons.

MLST and Spa typing

S. aureus strains were analysed by Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) of seven housekeeping genes, as previously described by others [29]. Allele types were assigned using S. aureus MLST database (www.mlst.net). For S. aureus strains the x-region of the protein A (spa) gene was amplified by PCR using previously described primers [30, 31]. spa types were determined using the Ridom StaphType program (Ridom GmbH, Wurzburg, Germany). Sequences for each spa gene have been deposited in the GenBank under the accession numbers KR048283, KR048284, KR048285.
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