Antiviral Phosphorodiamidate Morpholino Oligomers are Protective against Chikungunya Virus Infection on Cell-based and Murine Models
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Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) infection in human is associated with debilitating and persistent arthralgia and arthritis. Currently, there is no specific vaccine or effective antiviral available. Anti-CHIKV Phosphorodiamidate Morpholino Oligomer (CPMO) was evaluated for its antiviral efficacy and cytotoxicity in human cells and neonate murine model. Two CPMOs were designed to block translation initiation of a highly conserved sequence in CHIKV non-structural and structural polyprotein, respectively. Pre-treatment of HeLa cells with CPMOs significantly suppressed CHIKV titre, CHIKV E2 protein expression and prevented CHIKV-induced CPE. CPMO1 activity was also CHIKV-specific as shown by the lack of cross-reactivity against SINV or DENV replication. When administered prophylactically in neonate mice, 15 μg/g CPMO1v conferred 100% survival against CHIKV disease. In parallel, these mice demonstrated significant reduction in viremia and viral load in various tissues. Immunohistological examination of skeletal muscles and liver of CPMO1v-treated mice also showed healthy tissue morphology, in contrast to evident manifestation of CHIKV pathogenesis in PBS- or scrambled sCPMO1v-treated groups. Taken together, our findings highlight for the first time that CPMO1v has strong protective effect against CHIKV infection. This warrants future development of morpholino as an alternative antiviral agent to address CHIKV infection in clinical applications.

Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) is an arbovirus primarily transmitted to humans through the bites of the Aedes aegypti and A. albopictus mosquitoes1,2. Upon infection with CHIKV, individual succumbs to Chikungunya disease which manifests as sudden-onset fever, severe and persisting arthralgia and/or fatal encephalitis1,3,4. In recent years, CHIKV has resurred as a significant human pathogen in various tropical and temperate regions worldwide. Since 2005, CHIKV has caused severe unprecedented outbreaks in Indian Ocean islands5–7, India8–10 and countries in the South-East Asia11–15. Imported cases of CHIKV infection are increasingly reported in other previously non-endemic areas such as Australia16, Europe17–21 and America22–24. Currently, local CHIKV transmission is active in various Carribean countries23,25. Given its expanding geographical range, CHIKV disease is an emerging key concern to the world public health.

To date, no specific treatment and commercial vaccines for CHIKV infection is available. Symptomatic relief of CHIKV disease through the use of corticosteriods and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are accompanied with side effects4. Broad-spectrum antiviral drugs such as chloroquine and ribavirin are...
shown effective against CHIKV replication in cultured cells and animal models. However, these drugs showed limited success in human clinical trials. Pharmaco-safety of promising host specific compounds against CHIKV replication have yet to be investigated in vivo, while live attenuated CHIKV vaccines are still in need of further clinical evaluation. In this regard, it is of pressing concern for the development of a highly safe and potent therapeutic alternative for CHIKV disease.

CHIKV is an enveloped RNA virus containing a single-strand, positive-sense, 11.8 Kb RNA genome. As with other alphaviruses, the viral genomic RNA consists of two open reading frames (ORF). Following receptor-mediated endocytosis of CHIKV into its host cell, the virus mediates mediates synthesis of positive and negative-strand viral RNA and proteins. At the first ORF, CHIKV genomic RNA is trans- converted into a non-structural polyprotein (nsP1–4) which consequently is post-translationally cleaved into non-structural proteins (nsP1, 2, 3 and 4). These non-structural proteins formed a replication complex required to drive the synthesis of a 26S subgenomic RNA. Translation initiation at the second ORF of CHIKV subgenomic RNA leads to formation of structural proteins, namely, Capsid, E1, and E2. Together with newly-replicated viral RNA and structural proteins, mature virions are formed and released. Given that virus replication is dependent on viral RNA synthesis, antisense oligomers targeting specific viral RNA sequence can be effective strategies to inhibit virus replication.

As a recent advance in antisense technology, the Phosphorodiamidate Morpholino oligomer (PMO), is highly efficient in blocking cellular gene expression. PMO is a single-stranded oligonucleotide made up of novel six-membered morpholine ring with purine and pyrimidine bases linked by unique phosphorodiamidate bond. By high affinity-binding to complementary sequence in the translational region, PMO forms a steric block to ribosome assembly on the target RNA molecule and prevents RNA translation. PMO-mediated inhibition has been widely demonstrated in cell cultures infected with Dengue virus (DENV), West Nile virus (WNV), multiple strains of Influenza A virus, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (SARS-CoV), Enterovirus 71, as well as Alphavirus members such as Sindbis virus (SINV) and Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis virus (VEEV). In murine models, PMO was also strongly protective against WNV, Influenza A virus, VEEV, Japanese Encephalitis virus, Respiratory Syncytial virus, and Ebola virus infection. Notably, PMO therapeutic has also successfully reached human clinical trials.

In prospect of its promising antiviral efficacy, anti-CHIKV PMO, namely, CPMO1 and CPMO2, were designed to target a 25-mer sequence in the AUG region of the first and second ORF of CHIKV RNA genome. This will prevent translation initiation of the non-structural and structural proteins, respectively, and thus inhibit CHIKV replication. Antiviral efficacy was evaluated by viral plaque assays, Western blot detection of viral protein expression, ultrastructural analysis, virus titration and immunohistological analysis of mice organs as well as mice survival study. Our findings collectively showed that CPMO1 was highly potent against CHIKV replication on both cell-based and the murine model for CHIKV.

Results

Effective Construct and Efficient Uptake of CPMOs with Absence of Cellular Cytotoxicity. In this study, CPMO1 and CPMO2 were designed to bind to the AUG region of ORF1 and ORF2 of CHIKV genomic RNA, respectively (Fig. 1). Both CPMO target sequences were structurally accessible with no complex secondary folding (Fig. 1a) and the sequences were also predicted to be highly conserved among different geographical strains of CHIKV (Fig. 1b; Table 1). Quantification of cell viability was done following incubation with a combination of PMOs and Endo-Porter (EP) delivery reagent, EP or individual CPMO on confluent HeLa cells for at least 24 h. More than 96% of the cells remained viable across PMO + EP concentration range at 24 h post-treatment (supplementary Figure S1a). Consistently, cell viability was also close to 100% for EP control treatment (supplementary Figure S1b) and at least 94% was observed for CPMO treatment at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post-treatment (Fig. 2a). Taken together, this suggests that EP delivery, the designed CPMO and their combination had no apparent toxicity on HeLa cells.

In order to visualize CPMO intracellular distribution following cellular uptake, cells were incubated with fluoresceinated-CPMOs (10 μM) and EP (6 μM) for 24 h, stained with DAPI dye and observed under fluorescence microscopy. None of the mock-treated and EP-treated cells have any visible fluorescence signal under FITC channel (Fig. 2b). In contrast, 99% of CPMO1 and 97% of CPMO2-treated cells showed diffused fluorescence originating individually or freely in clusters around the cytosol, thereby suggesting an efficient EP-mediated cellular uptake of CPMO. Next, CPMO-treated cells were subjected to CHIKV infection (M.O.I. 0.1) in an attempt to investigate whether intracellular stability of CPMO is affected during post-CHIKV infection. Fluorescence signals of CPMO1 and CPMO2 were similarly detected in at least 84% of CHIKV-infected cells at day 1, 2 and 3 p.i (Fig. 2d). Similar to post-treatment, CPMO signals were only located in the cell cytosol and most of them colocalized with CHIKV E2 protein stain. This suggests that CPMO could be distributed to the Endoplasmic reticulum where it inhibits CHIKV protein expression. Though CPMO signals were comparatively reduced at day 3 p.i., they have remained intracellularly stable among CHIKV-infected cells as observed by the evident fluorescence signal.

Significant Reduction of CHIKV titre and viral Protein level in CPMO1-treated Cells. After pretreatment of HeLa cells with CPMO1 targeting the synthesis of CHIKV non-structural polyprotein or CPMO2 that is targeting the synthesis of structural polyprotein, CHIKV infection was carried out at M.O.I. 0.1 and virus titre in the culture supernatant was quantitated by viral plaque assays. Post-infection
time-points, day 1, 2 and 3 were chosen based on CHIKV growth kinetic in HeLa cells, where the highest CHIKV titre was produced at day 3 p.i (supplementary Figure S2). Mock, EP and sCPMOs treatments produced similarly high levels of CHIKV titre from Day 1–3 p.i (Fig. 3a). Relative to mock-treated
Table 1. Antisense CPMOs and scrambled CPMO sequences (25-mer). a The mispaired bases of the scrambled CPMO (sCPMO) sequences relative to CPMO are presented in bold and underlined. b CPMO1 and CPMO2 sequence are 100% conserved in query coverage and identity to 56.1% and 62.1% of all published CHIKV strains in NCBI database.

| Construct | Sequence (5’–3’)a | Sequence Homology (%)b | Target site in CHIKV genome |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CPMO1/CPMO1v | TGGGTTATTAATCTCTTGCTTTGCA | 56.1 | 26–50 |
| sCPMO1/CPMO1v | G—CATGATGAATAGGC—TTCGATTGT | — | — |
| CPMO2 | TTGGGATGAACCTCAATGGCTGGA | 62.1 | 7531–7570 |
| sCPMO2 | GTGAGTGAACCTCAATGGCTGGA | — | — |

In the interest of whether CPMO1 is specific in its inhibitory effect to CHIKV per se, we carried out similar treatment assay of CPMO1 on HeLa cells and subjected them to Sindbis virus (SINV) or Dengue virus (DENV) infection at M.O.I 0.1 for day 1–3 p.i. SINV was used in this study as it belongs to the Togaviridae family similar to CHIKV while DENV is a representative member of the flaviviruses. Quantification of virus titre by viral plaque assays demonstrated no significant difference in SINV or DENV titre for CPMO1-treatment relative to the mock-treated cells (Fig. 3f,g). In support of this data, homology alignment of CPMO1 target sequence in CHIKV RNA (GenBank: FJ445502) to SINV (GenBank: NC_001547) and DENV genome (GenBank: M29095.1) revealed no significant similarity (data not shown). Therefore, we deduce that CPMO1 is highly specific against CHIKV with no cross-reactivity to the replication of other alphaviruses or flaviviruses.

Ultrastructural Analysis of CPMO1-treated Cells Reveals Absence of CHIKV Replication. To further validate the efficacy of CPMO1, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out on day 3 post-infected cells for ultrastructural observation of CHIKV replication. Mock-infected HeLa cells maintained healthy cellular morphology with intact organelles such as a well-defined nucleus, mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (Fig. 4a). The cell surface membrane was smooth and there was no sign of CHIKV virions. In CHIKV-infected (Fig. 4b–d), EP- (Fig. 4e) and sCPMO1-treated cells (Fig. 4f), extensive virus replication has occurred as indicated by the formation of numerous CHIKV replication complexes, namely, the cytopathic vacuoles type II (CPV-II, arrowheads) in the cytosol (Fig. 4d). During the late phase of CHIKV replication, infected cells showed assembly and budding of mature CHIKV virions from cell surface and intercellular junctions (Fig. 4c,e,f). In contrast, CPMO1-treated cells showed no sign of CHIKV replication with the absence of CPVs at say 3 p.i. (Fig. 4g,h). The mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum remained intact and there was no virus budding from cell surface and in between cell junctions, similar to the mock-treated cells (Fig. 4a). These ultrastructural analysis further strengthen our finding that treatment of HeLa cells with CPMO1 has effectively prevented CHIKV infection within the cells.

Strong Protection of CPMO1v against CHIKV Infection in Mice. Having shown that CPMO1 could strongly suppress cellular CHIKV replication, we further assessed the antiviral efficacy of CPMO1v in suitable murine model (6-day old BALB/c mice) that was previously established for CHIKV infection in our research laboratory. In this 6-day old BALB/c model, mice succumbed to CHIKV infection with a range of 10–65% lethality in day 5–9 p.i. relative to mock-infected wildtype control group. There could be variability in survival due to the development of innate immunity that help mice to overcome lethality. Therefore, it is more notable for the use of this mouse model to analyze the acute phase of CHIKV infection, where the latter was well-characterized by high viremia, virus burden and pathogenesis in CHIKV-targeted tissues including the hind limb muscles, liver, spleen and brain (data not shown). In this study, Vivo-PMOs, namely, CPMO1v and scrambled CPMO1v (sCPMO1v), were synthesized where their fluorescence dye was replaced with an octaguanidium dendrimer to mediate effective in vivo
delivery to mice tissues. Following CPMO1v or sCPMO1v administration via intraperitoneal (i.p) injection at 5, 10 and 15μg/g to our murine model, serum Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) level in the mice remained low and insignificant compared to the LDH positive control. Activity of LDH is commonly used as a stable biomarker in cytotoxicity assay.\(^{51,52}\) Based on this finding, we inferred an absence of dose-dependent toxicity from vivo-PMO treatment (Fig. 5a).

Next, the antiviral efficacy of CPMO1v at 5μg/g or 15μg/g was investigated where the compound was administrated to the mice for two consecutive days, followed by CHIKV infection and subsequent treatment with CPMO1v for two more consecutive dose at 18 h and 42 h p.i. A dose-inhibition effect was observed where 5μg/g of CPMO1v protected 75% of the mice from CHIKV-induced morbidity and 15μg/g has further enhanced the survival of all mice for two weeks p.i. (Fig. 5b). In contrast, PBS- and sCPMO1v control groups showed 65% survival at Day 3 p.i. and 50% survival at Day 4 p.i, respectively. On average daily basis, 15μg/g CPMO1v-treatment also conferred the mice healthy weight gain similar to mock-infected mice while 5μg/g CPMO1v-treated mice showed retarded growth similar to PBS- and sCPMO1v-treatment groups (Fig. 5c). As this mouse model did not provide evaluation of absolute lethality, quantification of CHIKV titre in mice serum and several organs was further evaluated at day 2 p.i. to analyze the protective efficacy of CPMO1v during the acute phase of CHIKV infection. Indeed, strong suppression of CHIKV production in various tissues...
of CPMO1-treated mice relative to PBS- and sCPMO1-treated groups (Fig. 5d–h). In line with high potency of protection seen in survival study, CPMO1 given at 15 μg/g had the most notable reduction of viremia (2.83 log₁₀; Fig. 5d), CHIKV load in the spleen (3.85 log₁₀; Fig. 5e), liver (2.09 log₁₀; Fig. 5f), brain (2.45 log₁₀; Fig. 5g) and limbs (2.91 log₁₀; Fig. 5h). Taken together, these data showed that the
administration of 15 μg/g CPMO1v strongly protects against CHIKV disease in our murine model during the acute phase.

**Absence of CHIKV Pathology in Tissues of Mice pre-treated with CPMO1v.** To further validate the antiviral activity of CPMO1v against CHIKV disease development in mice, histological analysis was performed on PBS-, sCPMO1v- and CPMO1v-treated mice tissues at day 7 p.i. PBS-treated and CHIKV infected mice tissues showed evident morphological abnormities. In line with studies on CHIKV muscle tropism\(^50,53-55\), limb muscle fibres of PBS- or sCPMO1v-treated and CHIKV-infected mice showed degenerative changes in the form of diffused necrosis and extensive infiltration of various immune cells such as lymphocytes and neutrophils (Fig. 6c,e). This suggests that inflammation has occurred in the skeletal muscle fibres due to CHIKV pathogenesis. Liver tissues of these mice also showed nuclei inclusions of pale necrotic hepatocytes indicative of CHIKV-induced morphological damage (Fig. 6d,f). In contrast, muscle and liver tissues of 15 μg/g CPMO1v-treated mice retained healthy integrity and were protected from CHIKV pathogenesis (Fig. 6g,h), similar to mock-treated mice (Fig. 6a,b), respectively. In parallel with findings from H&E examination, immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis showed positive and intense CHIKV antigen staining in the limb muscle and liver of PBS-treated mice at day 7 p.i. In both PBS or sCPMO1v-treated groups, CHIKV antigens were widely distributed along the epimysium of the skeletal muscle (Fig. 6k,m) and viral antigenic staining appeared like granule structures in the cytoplasm of hepatocytes (Fig. 6l,n). This is closely associated with the degeneration and necrosis of hepatocytes shown in H&E staining (Fig. 6d,f). In contrast, CPMO1v-treated mice were strongly protected...
with no CHIKV antigens in both muscle and liver tissues (Fig. 6o,p). In summary, these histological examinations visually validate our findings thus far that CPMO1v is strongly protective against CHIKV replication in mice following CHIKV infection.

Discussion
In this study, PMO was investigated for its inhibitory efficacy against CHIKV replication in human cells and in the murine model. Our previous study, which utilized a small hairpin RNA (shRNA) construct, demonstrated the strong potential of antisense molecules in suppressing CHIKV replication in HeLa cells56. However, treatment-induced toxicity and the high susceptibility of siRNA to intracellular degradation posed as limitations in furthering the development of shRNA for pre-clinical applications57,58. In this regard, an improved antisense technology with desirable biochemical characteristics is required.

PMOs are neutral DNA-like oligomers made up of non-ionic phosphorodiamidate backbone. This unique construct allows PMO to possess high cellular stability and excellent safety profile36,59. In this study, we have designed two PMOs, CPMO1 and CPMO2, that targets CHIKV RNA genome and evaluated them for their toxicity and inhibitory effect against CHIKV replication both in vitro and in vivo. We found that CPMOs exert no cytotoxic effect on HeLa cells and intraperitoneal (i.p) administration of the highest dose 15μg/g CPMO1v or sCPMO1v to our established murine model (6-day old neonate BALB/c mouse) also showed an absence of PMO-induced toxicity and lack of behavioural abnormality. Similarly, safe pharmacological profile of PMO in cell cultures and mice have also been observed in other PMO studies on flaviviruses replication45,60. To enhance in vivo delivery, CPMO1v evaluated in our neonate
murine model was conjugated to an octaguanidinium dendrimer. This arginine-rich peptide has been well-validated for excellent systemic delivery of vivo-morpholino into a wide-array of mice tissues, albeit a reduced penetrating ability into the brain due to the low permeability of the blood-brain barrier\textsuperscript{61,62}. Here, we observed significant reduction of CHIKV load in the liver, spleen, skeletal muscles as well as the brain following i.p treatment of CPMO1v. This suggests CPMO1v could have been favorably taken up into these organs and therefore prophylactically protecting the tissues against CHIKV replication. To strengthen this speculation, further investigation on CPMO1v biodistribution using bioanalytical tools\textsuperscript{63} can be carried out.

Based on previous studies, HeLa cells are well-established to be highly permissive to CHIKV entry and replication\textsuperscript{56,64,65}. Thus in this study, HeLa cells were appropriated as the human cell model to address

Figure 6. Histological analysis of mice pre-treated with CPMO1v. BALB/c mice (6-day old, n = 6) were i.p injected with 15\(\mu\)g/g CPMO1v or sCPMO1v. CHIKV infection and treatment regimen is carried out as aforementioned in Fig. 5. All mice were sacrificed at day 7 p.i. and their hind limbs and liver were harvested, fixed with formalin, ethanol-dehydrated and paraffin embedded in sections for (a–h) H&E staining or (i–p) IHC staining using primary rabbit anti-CHIKV E2 IgG followed by secondary goat anti-rabbit HRP IgG. (d) & (f) Arrow indicates smudgy nuclei inclusions while arrowhead indicates multi-nucleated inclusions of pale necrotic hepatocytes. (k,l) Arrow indicates positive CHIKV antigen staining. Images were viewed and captured at 400\(\times\) under Olympus microscope. Representative images at 50\(\mu\)m scale are shown.
the efficacy of CPMOs against CHIKV replication. In addition, the CPMOs could have broad-spectrum activity as each of them targets a highly conserved AUG region sequence among CHIKV strains of different lineages. Furthermore, CPMO1 was CHIKV-specific as it did not cross-react with SINV and DENV replication. Strong efficacy of CPMO1 in suppressing CHIKV replication in HeLa cells was demonstrated collectively in viral plaque assays, Western blot analysis and ultrastructural analysis. In contrast, CPMO2 only caused a reduction of \(1 \log_{10}\) PFU/ml in CHIKV titre (Fig. 3a,b). This could be attributed to the difference in CPMO target sequence. During the early stage of CHIKV replication, the non-structural proteins (nsP1–4) form a viral replication complex that mediates the synthesis of a full-length negative-strand RNA. At late stage of CHIKV replication, the negative-strand RNA then directs the synthesis of positive-strand genomic RNA required for new virions formation and production of structural proteins. Here, we postulate that CPMO1 could have a dual blocking effect, first, on the synthesis of CHIKV non-structural viral polyprotein, followed by a secondary inhibition on downstream positive-strand RNA synthesis. As CPMO1 targets upstream of the AUG codon in the first ORF, it blocks the translation of CHIKV genomic RNA to non-structural viral polyprotein. Indeed, our findings indicate significant reduction in CHIKV titre and knockdown of CHIKV E2 protein synthesis sustained from day 1–3 p.i. suggesting that CPMO1 suppresses non-structural protein synthesis at early stage of CHIKV replication (prior to day 1 p.i.) and this consequently reduced CHIKV structural protein synthesis and infectious virions production at late stage of CHIKV replication (day 2–3 p.i.). On the other hand, CPMO2 targets the AUG region in the second ORF and this hinders the translation of CHIKV subgenomic RNA to structural proteins, namely, the Capsid, E1 and E2. Therefore, CPMO2 is likely to exhibit its inhibitory activity at the late stage of CHIKV replication. Indeed, significant CPMO2-mediated reduction in CHIKV titre was observed only at day 2 and 3 p.i. and this was accompanied with a moderate decrease in the CHIKV E2 protein production at day 1–3 p.i. At these experimental time-points, negative-sense RNA production could have occurred extensively and CPMO2 may not be sufficiently effective to silence all structural protein synthesis. Consequently, the inhibition was seen lower than that achieved by CPMO1, which can target an early step of CHIKV replication. Based on this interpretation, we can also deduce that CPMO is sequence-dependent for its antiviral activity. The control sCPMOs have neither caused non-specific reduction in CHIKV titre nor drastic decrease in E2 protein level. This signifies that for future studies requiring specific silencing of a stage of CHIKV replication, more CPMO candidates can be similarly designed and screened.

In order to confirm the strong cellular anti-CHIKV efficacy of CPMO1, we established a treatment regimen in CHIKV-infected murine model. Neonate mice were pre-treated with CPMO1v followed by two additional dose after CHIKV challenge. Survival study demonstrated that 15 μg/g CPMO1v conferred 100% survival and normal daily weight gain over 14 days p.i. In contrast, PBS- and sCPMO1v-treated mice were susceptible to CHIKV disease where these mice had poor weight gain, paralysis of single hind limb on day 2 p.i. and their mortality was significantly reduced to 65% and 50%, respectively, at the end of two weeks. Other murine studies have reported that CHIKV primarily infects the liver, muscles, joints, spleen and brain, displaying a tropism characteristic of CHIKV pathogenesis in humans. Thus in our murine model, quantification of viremia, virus load and examining histopathologic changes are necessary to further investigate the antiviral efficacy of CPMO1v. Here, we found that viremia was significantly reduced by 2.8 log in mice treated with 15 μg/g CPMO1v as well as at least 2 log reduction of virus load in the spleen, liver, brain and limbs, collectively. Skeletal muscles of the hind limbs and liver of CPMO1v-treated mice displayed healthy tissue morphology with no sign of CHIKV-induced degenerative damage (Fig. 6g,h,o,p). Comparatively, gross histopathologic changes and strong signal of CHIKV antigen distribution were observed in the muscle fibres and liver tissue of PBS- and sCPMO1v-treated mice, as consistent with other CHIKV murine models established. In summary, these findings provide compelling evidence on the strong efficacy of CPMO1v against CHIKV replication. We postulate that the specific translational-blocking activity of CPMO1v on CHIKV non-structural and structural protein synthesis has exerted a histological protection in mice against the onset of CHIKV pathogenesis.

In conclusion, this is a novel study demonstrating the strong prophylactic efficacy of morpholino oligomer in inhibiting CHIKV replication on cell-based and murine models. With these findings, it may be necessary to evaluate the robustness of CPMO1 against resistant virus mutant generation as well as the therapeutic efficacy of CPMO1 administration after CHIKV challenge. Nevertheless, our study revealed a proof-of-concept on the antiviral efficacy and sequence-dependent specificity of morpholino against CHIKV replication. In the long run, further evaluation is required to develop morpholino into a translational antiviral therapy for CHIKV disease.

Methods

Cells and Viruses. HeLa (ATCC No. CCL-2), BHK (ATCC No. CCL-10) and C6/36 (ATCC No. CRL-1660) cells were used in this study. HeLa cells were subcultured in DMEM medium while BHK-21 cells were subcultured in RPMI-1640 medium. Both HeLa and BHK cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. C6/36 mosquito cells were maintained in L-15 medium at 28°C. All culture media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS and cells were maintained in 175 cm² tissue culture flasks.

CHIKV used in cellular infection studies was SGHEICHD122508 (GenBank: FJ445502), a virus isolate from the serum of an infected patient in Singapore and was kindly provided by Environmental Health
Institute, National Environmental Agency (Singapore). CHIKV LK(EH)CH6708 (GenBank; FJ513654) was used in mice studies. To establish an infectious CHIKV pool, confluent C6/36 monolayer in 7T5 cm² flasks was infected with CHIKV and cells were maintained in L-15 media with 2% FCS for 3–4 days post-infection (p.i.). Supernatants were harvested and CHIKV titre was determined by standard viral plaque assays. Similarly, DENV NGC-2 strain (GenBank; M29095.1) and SINV (GenBank; NC_001547) were propagated in C6/36 cells and BHK cells, respectively.

**Design of CPMO.** CPMO1 and CPMO2 (GeneTools, USA) were designed to be complementary to a 25-mer sequence located in the AUG region (ORF1 and ORF2) of the CHIKV genomic RNA (SGEHICHID122508 virus isolate, GenBank; FJ445502) (Fig. 1a; Table 1). Scrambled morpholinos, sCPMO1 (1st BASE, Singapore) and sCPMO2 (GeneTools, USA) with random base sequence of their respective CPMO were synthesized as negative controls. To visualize cellular uptake and cytosolic distribution, all CPMOs and sCPMOs were covalently conjugated at 3’ end with carboxyfluorescein. For mice studies, the Vivo-morpholino (GeneTools, USA) named as CPMO1v and scrambled CPMO1v (sCPMO1v), were synthesized with an octa-guanidine dendrimer for facilitating in vivo tissue uptake of the oligomer. Using NCBI BLASTn tool, CPMOs were aligned to all published CHIKV genomic sequences (CHIKV taxid: 37124), with representative geographical isolates such as the S27-African prototype of the Central/East African (CEA) genotype (GenBank; AF369024), India RGC355/KL08 (GenBank; GQ428214), Indonesia 0706aTw (GenBank; FJ807897), Singapore 0611aTw (GenBank; FJ807896), Sri Lanka LK(EH)CH20108 (GenBank; FJ513679) of the ECSA genotype, and Malaysia MY0021MR/06/BP (GenBank; EU703759) of the Asian genotype shown in Fig. 1b. Comparative sequence alignment of CPMO1 to SINV (GenBank; NC_001547) and DENV (GenBank; M29095.1) were also performed (data not shown).

**Cell Viability Assay.** HeLa cells were seeded at 90% confluency on 96-well plate and 24 h later, Endo-Porter cellular delivery reagent at 0 μM, 2μM, 4μM, 6μM and 8μM CPMO at 10μM or a combination of Endo-Porter at 6μM and CPMO or sCPMO at 10μM was added to the culture media. At day 1, 2 and 3 post-treatment, alamarBlue cell viability test was carried out following the manufacturer's protocol. The resultant fluorescence was read by Infinite™ 200 series microplate reader (Tecan i-control, Switzerland). Cell viability was expressed as a percentage using 100% viability of the mock-treated HeLa cells as baseline negative control. At least two independent experiments were performed in triplicates.

**Pre-treatment of Cells with CPMOs & Assessing Cellular Uptake using Immunofluorescence Assay.** Confluent monolayer of HeLa cells on 24-well plates were treated with 10μM of CPMO1 or CPMO2. CPMO was pre-mixed with 6μM of Endo-Porter reagent before adding to the cells and at least two independent treatments, each in triplicates, were carried out. At day 1 post-treatment, HeLa cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and permealized with 0.1% Triton-X. For analysis of CPMO distribution in CHIKV-infected cells, CPMO-treated cells were infected with CHIKV (MOI 0.1) at day 1 post-treatment. Cells were fixed at day 1, 2 and 3 post-infection, fixed and permealized as described. Cells were incubated with rabbit anti-CHIKV E2 13893 B3 polyclonal antibody (in-house produced) at 1:300 dilution followed by secondary goat anti-rabbit DyLight 594 at 1:300 dilution (Thermoscientific, USA). Antibody incubation was performed for 1 h each and dilution was performed in PBS. Finally, cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (Duolink in situ mounting media; Sigma-aldrich), viewed and quantitated for positive signal under DAPI, FITC and TritC channel at 100× magnification (Olympus IX81 inverted microscope).

**Virus Infection Assay.** HeLa cells pretreated with CPMO or sCPMO were infected with CHIKV, SINV or DENV at M.O.I. 0.1. During 1.5h virus infection, cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ with rocking of the plates at every 15 min. Thereafter, excess virus was washed off with PBS and cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 2% FCS at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Culture supernatants were harvested at 3 days p.i. at least two independent treatments, each in triplicates, were carried out. At day 1, 2 and 3 post-infection, cells were stained with crystal violet dye. For SINV or DENV titration, cells were stained with crystal violet dye at two days p.i. or seven days p.i., respectively. Virus titre was then quantitated by the number of plaques formed in the stained monolayer.

**Western blot Analysis.** Total cell lysates harvested at day 1, 2 and 3 p.i. were quantitated by BCA assay (Thermoscientific) and 25 μg of each lysate was subjected to SDS-PAGE. CHIKV E2 protein was probed using rabbit anti-CHIKV E2 13893 B3 polyclonal antibody (in-house produced) at 1:3000 dilution and goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody conjugated to HRP (Thermoscientific) at 1:6000 dilution. On the
other hand, CHIKV nsP3 protein was probed using rabbit anti-nsP3 antibody (in-house produced) at 1:100 dilution followed by secondary anti-rabbit HRP antibody at 1:10,000 dilution. Beta-actin detection was carried out using mouse monoclonal anti-actin at 1:6000 or 1:10,000 (Millipore, USA). CHIKV E2 blot was probed with antibodies in TBST with 5% skim milk while CHIKV nsP3 blot was probed with PBST with 5% BSA. Blots were washed using TBS or PBS buffer with 0.1% Tween-20. Following incubation with antibodies, protein bands were developed by Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL) method using Supersignal® West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermoscientific). Quantification of relative band density was performed using Image J®, followed by one-way ANOVA analysis with reference to CHIKV-infected control bands.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy.** HeLa cells were seeded at 90% confluency on 6-well plates and treated with CPMO1 or sCPMO1 at 10μM, Endo-Porter or mock-treated, respectively. At 24 h post-treatment, cells were washed with PBS and infected with CHIKV at M.O.I. 0.1. At day 3 p.i., cells were fixed and processed for TEM as described in our laboratory-established protocol46.

**Ethics statement.** All mice experiments were approved and performed in accordance to the guidelines provided by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of National University of Singapore (Protocol No. 023/12).

**Mice and CPMO1v Treatment.** Neonate BALB/c mice were used in this study. The animals were housed in a pathogen-free BSL2-facility in Vivarium, CeLS building in National University of Singapore. Six-day-old mice (n = 5 or 6 per group) were treated with 5μg/g or 15μg/g of CPMO1v, sCPMO1v diluted in sterile PBS or mock-treated with sterile PBS only by intraperitoneal (i.p) injection for two consecutive dose at every 24 h interval. At 6 h following the second dose, mice were i.p injected with 105 PFU of CHIKV (strain LK (EH) CH6708, GenBank; FJ513654). At 18 h and 42 h p.i., equivalent dose of vivo-PMO or PBS was given. At the end-point of 48 h p.i., mice were sacrificed under anesthesia and whole blood, brain, spleen, liver and limbs were harvested for quantification of CHIKV titre. Tissues were homogenized using Precellys CK beads and centrifuged at 14,000 g for viral plaque assay. For survival analysis, mice (n = 6) were pretreated as described above. Following CHIKV infection, mice were monitored daily and scored for sign and symptoms of CHIKV disease. At the end of two weeks, the number of surviving mice was noted and all mice were humanely euthanized and sacrificed.

**Toxicity Evaluation of Vivo-PMO in Mice.** BALB/c mice (6-day old, n = 6) were i.p injected with sterile PBS, CPMO1v or sCPMO1v at 5, 10 or 15μg/g at every 24 h for 7 days. At 6 h after the last treatment, mice were sacrificed and whole blood was collected for evaluation of LDH level following the manufacturer’s protocol in the LDH Cytotoxicity Assay kit (Biovision, USA).

**Histological and Immunohistochemical Examination of Infected Mice tissues.** BALB/c mice (6-day old, n = 3) were pretreated with sterile PBS, CPMO1v or sCPMO1v and subjected to CHIKV infection as described above. At day 7 p.i., mice were sacrificed and their limbs and liver were harvested and formalin fixed, dehydrated and paraffin embedded. Sectioning of tissue samples was done at 4μm and samples were routinely stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E). For IHC, tissue samples were labeled with primary rabbit E2 antibody diluted to 1:100, followed by a secondary goat anti-rabbit HRP conjugate (Thermoscientific). The assay was subsequently performed using automated Bond-Max System (Leica Biosystems, Germany) based on a customized protocol with several steps of dehydration and differentiation. Final slides were then mounted with PermountTM mounting medium (Fisher Chemical, UK) and viewed under Olympus microscope. Images were captured at 400× magnification to visualize CHIKV antigen.
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