Coming Home: Health Status and Homelessness Risk of Older Pre-release Prisoners
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BACKGROUND: Older adults comprise an increasing proportion of the prison and homeless populations. While older age is associated with adverse post-release health events and incarceration is a risk factor for homelessness, the health status and homelessness risk of older pre-release prisoners are unknown. Moreover, most post-release services are geared towards veterans; it is unknown whether the needs of non-veterans differ from those of veterans.

OBJECTIVE: To assess health status and risk of homelessness of older pre-release prisoners, and to compare veterans with non-veterans.

DESIGN/PARTICIPANTS: Cross-sectional study of 360 prisoners (≥55 years of age) within 2 years of release from prison using data from the 2004 Survey of Inmates in State and Federal Correctional Facilities.

MAIN MEASURES: Veteran status, health status (based on self-report), and risk of homelessness (homelessness before arrest).

KEY RESULTS: Mean age was 61 years; 93.8% were men and 56.5% were white. Nearly 40% were veterans, of whom 77.2% reported likely VA service eligibility. Veterans were more likely to be white and to have obtained a high school diploma or GED. Overall, 79.1% reported a medical condition and 13.6% reported a serious mental illness. There was little difference in health status between veterans and non-veterans. Although 1 in 12 prisoners reported a risk factor for homelessness, the risk factors did not differ according to veteran status.

CONCLUSIONS: Older pre-release prisoners had a high burden of medical and mental illness and were at risk for post-release homelessness regardless of veteran status. Reentry programs linking pre-release older prisoners to medical and psychiatric services and to homelessness prevention programs are needed for both veterans and non-veterans.
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BACKGROUND

The United States has the highest incarceration rate of any industrialized country, with 1 in 100 American adults incarcerated in 2008.1 Longer sentences and reductions in discretionary parole have led to an increased proportion of older prisoners (≥55 years of age).1–3 In 2008, 4.1% of US prisoners were aged 55 or older,3 and substantial increases in the number of older prisoners are expected.1–3,5 The vast majority of prisoners (97%) eventually return to the community,6 typically with no health insurance, limited employment prospects, and insufficient finances.7 Most prisoners are released with a nominal amount of “gate money” (generally 50–100 dollars) and a bus ticket, and half of state correctional departments provide only a 1–2 week supply of medication.7 Those eligible for government benefits such as Medicaid, Medicare, and social security income must wait up to 3 months for reinstatement.8–10 Older prisoners are far less likely than younger prisoners to be reincarcerated after release.11,12 Compared to younger prisoners, older prisoners have higher rates of chronic medical conditions and a higher risk of post-release death.2,13,14 Yet little is known about the health status of older prisoners awaiting release.

Older prisoners may also face challenges to successful community reentry that compound health problems.17,18 Many newly released prisoners confront difficulties with employment and housing,15 and up to half of the nation’s homeless have a history of incarceration.15,16 Although the US homeless population has aged over the past several decades,19 and homelessness is associated with increased morbidity, mortality and acute health care utilization,20–23 the risk of post-release homelessness among older adults has not been evaluated. Such information would be valuable since coordinated treatment programs can improve health outcomes for homeless adults.22
Because veterans comprise about 10% of the prison population and one-third of the homeless population, the Department for Veterans Affairs has spearheaded several nationwide initiatives to help meet the health care and housing needs of veterans being released from prisons. However, services for non-veteran released prisoners are poorly established and are available only on a state-by-state basis. It is unclear if the reentry needs of non-veterans differ from those of veterans, raising the question of whether similar interventions should be targeted to non-veterans. We assessed and compared the health status and risk of homelessness among older veteran and non-veteran prisoners who were within 2 years of release.

METHODS

Study Design and Sample

We conducted a cross-sectional study of 360 prisoners, aged 55 or older, who were within 2 years of release from a US federal or state prison using data from the 2004 Survey of Inmates in State and Federal Correctional Facilities (SISFCF). The SISFCF targets a nationally representative, random sample of US prisoners who participate in confidential interviews conducted by the Census Bureau for the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). The self-report medical questions were developed by BJS from health questions in other national health surveys. We included prisoners within 2 years of release to characterize the health status and risk of homelessness of older adults who would soon reenter the community. Consistent with prior literature, we defined “older” prisoners as those who were ≥55 years to reflect the increased and premature burden of illness and disability occurring among prisoners in comparison to non-prisoners.

Overall, 14,499 prisoners from 287 state prisons and 3,686 prisoners from 39 federal prisons were randomly selected to participate in the 2004 SISFCF. The response rate was 89% for state and 84% for federal prisoners. Of 18,185 participants, 974 (5.35%) were ≥55 years of age. Among these older prisoners, 37.0% were within 2 years of anticipated release, resulting in a final sample size of 360.

Measures

Health Status. Health status was based on self-report of 11 chronic medical conditions and 3 categories of serious mental illnesses. The 11 medical conditions included current cancer or paralysis, and current or past diagnosis of hypertension, brain injury or stroke, diabetes, heart problems, kidney problems, arthritis, asthma, cirrhosis, or hepatitis. The three categories of serious mental illnesses included depressive disorders, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia or another psychotic disorder. We reported post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) separately from serious mental illness to be consistent with prior literature. The SISFCF used brief questions to elicit medical histories (e.g., “Have you ever had high blood pressure or hypertension?”). Survey participants who reported a history of cancer or paralysis were asked if the condition was still present. To ascertain mental health status, participants were provided a list of psychiatric disorders and asked if a mental health professional had ever told them they had any of the conditions. To assess disability status, we analyzed responses to four questions: (1) “Do you use a cane, wheelchair, walker, hearing aid, or other aids to help you with your daily activities?” (2) “Do you consider yourself to have a disability?” (3) “Do you have difficulty hearing a normal conversation even when wearing a hearing aid?” (4) “Do you have difficulty seeing ordinary print, even when wearing glasses?” Self-report of medical conditions and disability is well validated in older adults.

Risk of Homelessness. Risk of homelessness was primarily defined with two measures: self-report of homelessness at the time of arrest and/or having been homeless at any time in the 12 months prior to arrest. Respondents who answered they were living “on the street or in a homeless shelter” were categorized as homeless. We chose these criteria because a history of homelessness is a strong predictor of post-release homelessness. In order to better characterize the spectrum of homelessness beyond living on a street or in a shelter, we also examined a history of marginal homelessness: those who reported living in a hotel, motel, or rooming house upon arrest. Because decreased social contacts can confer added homelessness risk, we also identified those who planned to live alone following release.

Veteran Status. We determined veteran status based on the question: “Did you ever serve in the US Armed Forces?” Respondents were categorized according to their service era, self-reported combat status (“During this time did you see combat in a combat or line unit?”), and discharge type (honorable/general with honorable conditions versus other discharge type). However, in the main analyses, we compared all veterans, regardless of discharge type, to all non-veterans because even veterans with dishonorable discharges can sometimes become eligible for VA benefits.

Other Demographic Characteristics. We characterized participants according to their sociodemographic characteristics, including age, race/ethnicity, marital status, educational attainment (having a high school diploma or GED vs. not), and pre-incarceration employment. We also characterized criminal history (age at first current arrest, total arrests, and number of years currently incarcerated). We characterized substance abuse history using CAGE questionnaire data to assess alcohol dependence and the Texas Christian University Drug Screen (TCUDS), which was developed to assess drug dependence in the correctional setting. By convention, a CAGE alcohol dependency score of ≥2 or a TCUDS score of ≥3 indicates a substance abuse problem.

Statistical Analyses

We examined the differences between veterans and non-veterans according to sociodemographics, employment history, criminal history, health conditions (medical and psychiatric), and risk of homelessness. All reported results were weighted to account for the sampling design in order to obtain nationally representative results.
This study was approved by the Committee on Human Research at University of California, San Francisco and the San Francisco VA Medical Center, and was conducted using publicly available, de-identified data. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA software version 10.1 (STATA Corporation, College Station, TX).

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of Older Prisoners Within 2 Years of Release**

The mean age of the sample was 61 years (range 55–84). Overall, 7.1% of the prisoners were ≥70 years of age, 93.8% were men, 30.4% were married, 56.5% were white, and 69.7% were employed before arrest. Of those who were employed, 87.2% had full-time jobs. Participants had been incarcerated for an average of 7.5 years and had an average of 3.1 prior arrests (Table 1).

**Characteristics According to Veteran Status**

Overall, 142 (39.8%) of the pre-release older prisoners were veterans. The veterans were more likely than non-veterans to be men, married, and to have a high school diploma or GED (Table 1). Veterans were more likely to identify as white (76.7% vs. 43.3%) and less likely to identify as black (15.6% vs. 31.9%) or Latino/Hispanic (4.3% vs. 22.2%). Veteran status was not related to employment prior to arrest, monthly income less than $1,000 in the month preceding arrest, number of prior arrests, age at first or current arrest, or number of years incarcerated.

The majority of veterans served in the Vietnam-era (69.4%), between the Korea and Vietnam eras (14.1%), the Korean era (9.8%), or the Pre-Korean era (5.1%). Thirty-five percent of the veterans had served in active combat, and 77.2% had received an honorable or general (with honorable conditions) discharge.

**Health Status of Older Prisoners**

Nearly 80% of older pre-release prisoners reported one or more medical conditions with an average of 2.1 (Table 2). The most common medical conditions included hypertension (51.8%), arthritis (43.6%), and “heart problems” (34.1%). Additionally, 30.7% of the older prisoners reported having a disability, 13.6% reported at least one serious mental illness, and 8.1% reported a history of hospitalization in a mental health facility. A quarter had a TCUDS score of ≥3, suggestive of drug dependency, and nearly half (45.6%) had a positive CAGE score for alcohol dependency.

**Health Status According to Veteran Status**

Veterans and non-veterans reported a similar average number of medical conditions (2.1 vs. 2.0, p=0.55), and similar rates of
each medical condition and serious mental illness with the exception of asthma (19.6% vs. 10.0%, \(p=0.02\)) and PTSD (12.6% vs. 2.3%, \(p<0.001\)). Combat veterans were more likely to report PTSD than non-combat veterans (23.6% vs. 6.5%, \(p=0.01\)). There was no statistically significant difference in PTSD between non-combat veterans and non-veterans (6.5% vs. 2.3%, \(p=0.08\)). Although there was no relationship between veteran status and alcohol or drug dependency, not all respondents answered all relevant items; 57.3% of subjects answered all CAGE alcohol dependency questions and 47.1% answered all TCU drug dependency questions. These percentages did not differ for veterans compared to non-veterans for TCU questions (43.6% vs. 49.4%, \(p=0.32\)) but did differ for CAGE questions (64.7% vs. 52.5%, \(p=0.04\)). Veterans and non-veterans were equally likely to report difficulty hearing (26.1% vs. 14.6%, \(p=0.01\)).

**Risk of Homelessness According to Veteran Status**

Overall, 8.4% of participants reported at least one of the homelessness risk factors, including being homeless at some point during the year prior to arrest (7.4% of respondents), living on the street or in a homeless shelter upon arrest (1.7%), and living in a motel, hotel, or rooming house upon arrest (2.3%) (Table 1). These experiences were similar for veterans and non-veterans. Older veterans were not more likely than non-veterans to expect to live alone after release (31.6% vs. 24.3%, \(p=0.16\)).

**Discussion**

As the American population ages, the number of incarcerated and homeless older adults is increasing rapidly. We found that older veteran and non-veteran prisoners who were within 2 years of release had a similar burden of medical and psychiatric conditions, and an equal number of risk factors for homelessness. Appropriate targeting of community-based medical services to the growing number of newly released older prisoners is hampered by inadequate information about their health needs. A survey of returning prisoners of all ages showed that one-third of those with physical or mental health conditions used emergency room care and one-fifth were

**Table 2. Clinical Characteristics of Older Prisoners According to Veteran Status**

| Characteristic                          | All prisoners (N=360) | Veterans (N=142) | Non-veterans (N=218) | p-value<sup>a</sup> |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Medical conditions<sup>b</sup>          |                       |                  |                      |                     |
| Mean number of medical conditions      | 2.1                   | 2.1              | 2.0                  | 0.55                |
| One or more medical conditions (%)     | 79.1                  | 78.1             | 79.7                 | 0.75                |
| Specific medical conditions (%)        |                       |                  |                      |                     |
| Cancer                                 | 4.4                   | 5.6              | 3.7                  | 0.43                |
| Paralysis                              | 2.9                   | 4.2              | 2.1                  | 0.24                |
| Hypertension                           | 51.8                  | 49.6             | 53.3                 | 0.53                |
| Brain injury or stroke                 | 11.5                  | 13.3             | 10.3                 | 0.43                |
| Diabetes                               | 20.4                  | 20.1             | 20.6                 | 0.91                |
| Heart problems                         | 34.1                  | 37.3             | 31.9                 | 0.33                |
| Kidney problems                        | 15.2                  | 17.6             | 13.5                 | 0.34                |
| Arthritis                              | 43.6                  | 41.3             | 45.1                 | 0.52                |
| Asthma                                 | 13.8                  | 19.6             | 10.0                 | 0.02                |
| Cirrhosis                              | 4.3                   | 5.8              | 3.3                  | 0.28                |
| Hepatitis                              | 12.6                  | 15.5             | 10.7                 | 0.23                |
| Psychiatric disorders                  |                       |                  |                      |                     |
| Mean number of serious mental illnesses (0–3)<sup>c</sup> | 0.2                   | 0.3              | 0.2                  | 0.32                |
| One or more serious mental illnesses (%) | 13.6                  | 14.6             | 13.0                 | 0.69                |
| Specific psychiatric disorders<sup>d</sup> (%) |                       |                  |                      |                     |
| Depressive disorder                    | 12.9                  | 14.3             | 12.1                 | 0.57                |
| Bipolar disorder or mania              | 4.9                   | 7.1              | 3.4                  | 0.14                |
| Schizophrenia or other psychotic disorder | 3.0                   | 4.0              | 2.3                  | 0.41                |
| Post-traumatic stress disorder         | 6.3                   | 12.6             | 2.3                  | <0.001              |
| History of mental hospitalization      | 8.1                   | 9.1              | 7.5                  | 0.60                |
| TCU drug dependency score (≥3)<sup>e</sup> | 25.2                  | 26.2             | 24.6                 | 0.83                |
| CAGE alcohol dependency score (≥2)     | 45.6                  | 44.4             | 46.5                 | 0.78                |
| Functional/disability status (%)       |                       |                  |                      |                     |
| Considers self to have a disability    | 30.7                  | 33.3             | 29.0                 | 43.0                |
| Difficulty seeing newsprint with glasses | 20.1                 | 20.5             | 19.8                 | 0.89                |
| Difficulty hearing with hearing aid    | 19.1                  | 26.1             | 14.6                 | 0.01                |
| Needs aid for daily activities<sup>f</sup> | 11.5                  | 14.7             | 9.5                  | 0.17                |

<sup>a</sup>P-values were calculated for differences between veterans and non-veterans using t-tests for continuous variables and chi-square analyses for all other variables. All estimates are weight-adjusted to account for the weighted sampling design

<sup>b</sup>Based on self-reports of 11 chronic medical conditions

<sup>c</sup>Serious mental illness defined as major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia or other psychotic disorder

<sup>d</sup>Based on self-reports in which survey respondents answered yes to the question: “Have you ever been told by a mental health professional, such as a psychiatrist or psychologist that you had...”

<sup>e</sup>Aids included but were not limited to a cane, wheelchair, walker, and hearing aid
hospitalized within 8–10 months after release.\textsuperscript{50} Another survey found that most older prisoners had fears about their post-incarceration health.\textsuperscript{18} Former prisoners have a 12.7-fold increased risk of death in the 2 weeks following release (even excluding seriously ill prisoners granted compassionate medical release); the most common causes of death for those over age 45 are cardiovascular disease and cancer.\textsuperscript{14} We found that nearly 80% of older pre-release prisoners in our sample reported at least one chronic medical condition (average of 2.1) and that the rates of many medical conditions exceeded those reported in the community.\textsuperscript{51} These findings highlight the importance of pre-release health care planning for older prisoners.

The prevalence of serious mental illness in older community-dwelling adults is 15%–20%.\textsuperscript{35} A current or past history of serious mental illness was reported by 13.6% of our sample, similar to rates reported among older prisoners in Texas (11.0%)\textsuperscript{36}, Tennessee (16.0%)\textsuperscript{35}, and Utah (13.6%).\textsuperscript{35} The actual proportion of our sample burdened with significant mental illness is undoubtedly higher than 13.6% because PTSD was excluded from the “serious mental illness” category. This exclusion is the result of an operational definition created to maintain consistency and comparability with other studies and is not intended to imply that PTSD is not a serious mental illness. There is a complex relationship among mental illness, homelessness, and incarceration, and a heightened risk of both recidivism and homelessness among released prisoners with mental illness has been observed.\textsuperscript{36,32,53} Additionally, homeless adults with mental illness and an incarceration history have a higher likelihood of experiencing long-term homelessness than those without mental illness.\textsuperscript{34} These findings underscore the importance of providing linkages to community-based mental health services for older released prisoners.

Because of the association between homelessness and increased acute health care utilization and mortality,\textsuperscript{20–25} homelessness prevention strategies have received increasing attention.\textsuperscript{14} A history of incarceration is a risk factor for homelessness,\textsuperscript{41,44,55} and between 6.3% and 11.4% of prisoners of all ages use homeless shelters following release.\textsuperscript{41} At least 8.4% of our sample was at risk for homelessness (based on a prior history of homelessness) and more than a quarter expected to live alone following release. These findings suggest that expanded efforts to secure housing for pre-release older prisoners are warranted.

Although pre-release health care and homelessness prevention planning for all older prisoners is needed, well-established and coordinated programs are typically available only to veterans. In 2001, Congress mandated that the Department of Veterans Affairs collaborate with the Department of Labor and the Bureau of Prisons to assist veterans during reentry.\textsuperscript{28} This collaboration culminated in the Incarcerated Veterans Transition Program in 2003, a demonstration program providing incarcerated veterans with connections to housing, health care, training, and employment.\textsuperscript{56} The Healthcare for Reentry Veterans Program, implemented in 2007, has provided outreach and reentry services to over 12,000 veterans in 451 state and federal prisons through June of 2009.\textsuperscript{57} Our study showed that 77% of pre-release older prisoners who were veterans reported military discharges with conditions likely to make them eligible for VA services. In addition, while veterans comprise about 10% of the state prison population,\textsuperscript{26} nearly 40% of our sample of older prisoners were veterans. This highlights the importance of the VA’s outreach efforts for this segment of the incarcerated population.

Conversely, 60% of our sample of older prisoners were non-veterans, underscoring the importance of developing national transition programs for non-veterans for whom existing services are limited.\textsuperscript{58} While non-veterans on parole may receive reentry assistance via a parole officer or formal parole programs, such services are diminishing with increasing non-parole releases and parole officer caseloads.\textsuperscript{58} Although the Serious and Violent Offender Reentry Initiative, established in 2003, provided more than $100 million to local reentry program development, the programs only focused on prisoners aged 35 and younger.\textsuperscript{30,50}

Although coordinated reentry programs for non-veteran older prisoners are lacking, we found that rates of poor health status and risk of homelessness were just as high among this group as among veterans. Unfortunately, most states terminate Medicaid, Medicare, and Social Security Disability Income eligibility during incarceration,\textsuperscript{8–10} and reinstituting coverage can take up to 3 months.\textsuperscript{3,9} Given the high costs of emergency department services and the high risk of homelessness, all pre-release older prisoners should receive assistance with securing housing, medical care, and reinstatement of benefits, and should be screened for eligibility for VA services.

A strength of our study was that it included a random, nationally representative sample of US prisoners. Limitations included the use of self-reported data, although the majority of studies have found relatively strong agreement between self-report and medical record validation of major medical conditions in older adults.\textsuperscript{37–40} A validation of the medical questions in the SISFCF did identify some underreporting of medical conditions compared with administrative medical data.\textsuperscript{32} Although we do not know the extent of underreporting, the fact that Census Bureau employees conducted the survey and that no financial incentive was offered for participation likely minimized any incentives to provide inaccurate information. Additionally, underreporting of medical conditions would strengthen our finding that pre-release older adults have more medical conditions than nonincarcerated older adults. Also, it is possible that some veterans in our sample failed to self-identify, while other respondents falsely identified themselves as veterans. Given that we found few differences between the two groups, this possibility is unlikely to have affected our findings. Finally, because this study was cross-sectional, we were unable to determine how many respondents actually experienced homelessness after reentry. Prospective studies are needed to assess rates of homelessness, medical decompensation, and mortality among older released prisoners.

To our knowledge, this is the first study describing the health status and homelessness risk for older pre-release prisoners. We found that such prisoners had high rates of medical conditions, serious mental illness, and risk of post-release homelessness, regardless of veteran status. While national reentry planning programs for veterans are expanding, the overwhelming similarity in poor health status and homelessness risk between older veterans and non-veterans suggests that programs of equal breadth and caliber are needed for non-veteran older prisoners.
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