The action of $N = 4$ Super Yang-Mills from a chiral primary operator
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Abstract

Using the Vafa-Witten twisted version of $N = 4$ Super Yang-Mills a subset of the supercharges actually relevant for the nonrenormalization properties of the theory is identified. In particular, a relationship between the gauge-fixed action and the chiral primary operator $tr\phi^2$ is worked out. This result can be understood as an off-shell extension of the reduction formula introduced by Intriligator in [1].
1 Introduction

It is a well established fact that the $N = 4$ Super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory in four dimensions displays a set of remarkable properties, both at perturbative and nonperturbative level. Its $\beta$-function is found to vanish to all orders of perturbation theory [2, 3]: a feature understood as a consequence of the absence of anomalies for the super-conformal invariance [3]. Also, the theory is believed to exhibit an exact electromagnetic duality [4], not destroyed by the quantum corrections.

More recently, the conjectured $AdS/CFT$ correspondence [5] between type $IIB$ superstring on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $N = 4$ SYM theory has renewed the interest on the finiteness properties of this theory in its superconformal phase. In fact, many of the tests of the $AdS/CFT$ conjecture have relied on nonrenormalization properties, which are crucial in order to ensure a meaningful comparison between the strong coupling regime, accessible by type $IIB$ supergravity computations, and the weak coupling one, where the field theory techniques are reliable. In particular, it has been pointed out [6] that a whole class of certain $n$-point correlation functions of chiral primary operators should obey nonrenormalization theorems. The analysis of these correlators provides thus a highly nontrivial check for the $AdS/CFT$ correspondence, being, at present, object of intensive research [7, 8, 9].

In this work we study the $N = 4$ SYM by using the Vafa-Witten twisted version [10]. As it is well known, when the model is formulated on $\mathbb{R}^4$, the twist simply amounts to a linear change of variables, so that the twisted theory is completely equivalent to the conventional one. Nonetheless, the use of the twisted variables considerably simplifies the analysis of the finiteness properties, allowing to identify a subset of supercharges which is actually relevant to control the ultraviolet behavior.

Moreover, the combined use of the Wess-Zumino gauge and of the Batalin-Vilkovisky procedure will allow us to obtain a generalized BRST operator which encodes all relevant generators of $N = 4$, yielding an algebraic off-shell characterization of the fully quantized action.

In particular, we shall be able to establish an interesting relationship between the gauge-fixed $N = 4$ SYM action and the chiral primary operator $tr \phi^2$, where in the $N = 2$ formalism $\phi$ corresponds to the scalar field of the vector multiplet. This gauge invariant polynomial can be regarded as a kind of perturbative prepotential for the $N = 4$ SYM action, which is in fact obtained from $tr \phi^2$ by repeated application of the twisted generators of the $N = 4$ superalgebra.

This result can be understood as an off-shell extension of the reduction formula
discussed for the first time in [1] and exploited in [8, 9] in order to analyse the nonrenormalization properties of the above mentioned correlators.

We remark that an analogous relationship has been also proven to hold in the case of $N = 2$ SYM theory [11], where it has been possible to give a complete algebraic proof of the celebrated nonrenormalization theorem of the $N = 2$ beta function by making use of the vanishing of the anomalous dimension of $tr \phi^2$. This property is maintained in the case of $N = 4$, providing an elementary proof of the ultraviolet finiteness of the theory.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we briefly review the twisting procedure of Vafa-Witten. In section 3 the quantum extension of the theory is provided within the antifield formalism. The section 4 is devoted to the relationship between $tr \phi^2$ and the action of $N = 4$. In section 5 we summarize our main results, presenting the conclusions.

2 The twisted $N = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory

The global symmetry group of $N = 4$ SYM theory in euclidean space-time is $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)$, where $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ is the rotation group and $SU(4)$ the internal symmetry group of $N = 4$. Hence the twist operation can be performed in more than one way, depending on how the internal symmetry group is combined with the rotation group [12]. In the case of the twist proposed by Vafa and Witten [10] the $SU(4)$ is splitted as $SU(2)_F \times SU(2)_I$, so that the twisted global symmetry group turns out to be $SU(2)^I_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_F$, where $SU(2)^I_L = \text{diag}(SU(2)_L \oplus SU(2)_I)$ and $SU(2)_F$ is a residual internal symmetry group. The fields of the $N = 4$ multiplet are given by $(A_\mu, \lambda^\alpha_u, \overline{\chi}_\dot{\alpha}^u, \Phi_{uv})$, where $(u, v = 1, \ldots, 4)$ are indices of the fundamental representation of $SU(4)$, and the six real scalar fields of the model are collected into the antisymmetric and self-conjugate tensor $\Phi_{uv}$. Under the twisted group, these fields decompose as

$$
\begin{align*}
A_\mu & \rightarrow A_\mu, \\
\overline{\chi}_\dot{\alpha}^u & \rightarrow \psi^i, \\
\lambda^\alpha_u & \rightarrow \eta^i, \chi^{ij}_\mu, \\
\Phi_{uv} & \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu}, \phi^{ij},
\end{align*}
$$

(2.1)

where $(i, j = 1, 2)$ are indices of the residual isospin group $SU(2)_F$, $\phi^{ij}$ is a symmetric tensor, and $\chi^{ij}_\mu, B_{\mu\nu}$ are self-dual with respect to the Lorentz indices. Since

---

1See eq.(5.5) of hep-th/9811047.

2Observe that an off-shell version of the reduction formula has been obtained by [4] in the framework of the $N = 2$ harmonic superspace formalism for $N = 4$ SYM.
in our analysis manifest isospin invariance is not needed, we further explicit the $SU(2)_F$ doublets as $\psi^i = (\psi^i_{\mu}, \chi^i_{\mu\nu})$, $\eta^j = (\eta, \xi)$, $\chi^i_{\mu\nu} = (\chi^i_{\mu\nu}, \psi^i_{\mu\nu})$ and the triplet as $\phi^{ij} = (\phi, \phi, \tau)$. As we shall see later on, the subset $(A_\mu, \psi^i_{\mu\nu}, \chi^i_{\mu\nu}, \eta, \phi, \overline{\phi})$ can be readily recognized as the twisted vector multiplet of $N = 2$ \cite{3}.

Following \cite{14}, the action of $N = 4$ SYM in terms of the twisted variables is found to be

$$S_{N=4} = \frac{1}{g^2} \text{tr} \int d^4x \left( D_\mu \phi D^\mu \phi + i \psi_\mu D_\nu \chi^{\mu\nu} + i \chi_\mu D_\nu \psi^{\mu\nu} - \chi_\mu D^\mu \xi \\
+ \psi_\mu D^\mu \eta - i \phi \{ \psi_\mu, \psi^{\mu\nu} \} + i \phi \{ \chi_\mu, \chi^{\mu\nu} \} + i \tau \{ \psi_\mu, \chi^{\mu\nu} \}
- \{ \psi_\mu, \chi^{\mu\nu} \} B_\rho \nu - i \chi_\mu \xi, B^{\mu\nu} - i \psi_\mu \eta, B^{\mu\nu} + 4 i \phi \{ \xi, \xi \}
- 4 i \phi \{ \eta, \eta \} + 4 i \tau \{ \xi, \eta \} + \psi_\mu \{ \chi_\nu, B^{\mu\nu} \} + i \phi \{ \chi_\mu, \chi^{\mu\nu} \}
- i \phi \{ \psi_\mu, \psi^{\mu\nu} \} - i \psi_\mu \chi^{\mu\nu} - 4 \{ \phi, \phi \} \{ \xi, \eta \} + 4 \{ \phi, \tau \} \{ \phi, \phi \}
+ \{ \phi, B_{\mu\nu} \} \{ \phi, B^{\mu\nu} \} - H^{\mu\nu} (H_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \tau + i D^\nu B_{\mu\nu})
+ H^{\mu\nu} \left( -H^{\mu\nu} + i F_\mu^{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4} [B_{\mu\nu}, B^{\rho\nu}] - i [B_{\mu\nu}, \tau] \right) \right), \quad (2.2)$$

where $g$ is the unique coupling constant and $H_{\mu\nu}, H_\mu$ are auxiliary fields, with $H^{\mu\nu}$ self-dual and $F_\mu^{\nu\rho} = F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}$.

Notice that in this formulation the invariance under the Cartan subgroup of $SU(2)_F$ is still preserved, so that we can define a conserved charge, called here G-charge. The G-charges of all fields as well as their canonical dimensions and statistics\footnote{The statistics (nature) of the fields is determined by their G-charges. Even values of the G-charge correspond to commuting (c) fields and odd values to anticommuting (a) fields.} are displayed in the following table.

| field   | $A_\mu$ | $\xi$ | $\eta$ | $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ | $\chi_{\mu\nu}$ | $\psi_\mu$ | $\chi_\mu$ | $\phi$ | $\tau$ | $\phi$ | $B_{\mu\nu}$ | $H_\mu$ | $H_{\mu\nu}$ |
|---------|---------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|--------|-----------|
| G-ch.   | 0       | 1     | 1     | 1              | -1             | -1        | 2        | -1    | 0     | 0     | 0           | 0      | 0         |
| dim.    | 1       | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 1      | 1      | 1      | 2           | 2      | 2         |
| nature  | c       | a     | a     | a              | a              | a         | c        | c     | c     | c     | c           | c      | c         |

Table 1. G-charge, canonical dimension and nature of the fields.

We observe that, for the fields $(A_\mu, \psi^i_{\mu\nu}, \chi^i_{\mu\nu}, \eta, \phi, \overline{\phi})$, the G-charge coincides with the R-charge of $N = 2$ \cite{13}.

The action (2.2) is invariant under gauge transformations with infinitesimal parameter $\zeta$:

$$\delta^\zeta A_\mu = - D_\mu \zeta = - (\partial_\mu \zeta + i [A_\mu, \zeta]),
\delta^\zeta \gamma = i [\zeta, \gamma] \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \psi_{\mu\nu}, \psi^{\mu\nu}, \chi_{\mu\nu}, \chi^i_{\mu\nu}, \eta, B_{\mu\nu}, \tau, H_\mu, H_{\mu\nu}). \quad (2.3)$$
Concerning the generators \((\delta^\alpha, \bar{\delta}^\alpha)\) of the \(N = 4\) superalgebra, it turns out that the twisting procedure gives rise to the following twisted charges \cite{14}: two scalars, \(\delta^+\) and \(\delta^-\), two vectors, \(\delta^\mu_\pm\) and \(\bar{\delta}^\mu_\pm\), and two self-dual tensors \(\delta^\mu_\pm\) and \(\bar{\delta}^\mu_\mp\). Of course, all twisted generators leave the action \(\mathcal{L}\) invariant. In particular, the action of the scalar generator \(\delta^+\) is

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^+ A_\mu &= \psi_\mu, & \delta^+ \tau &= \xi \\
\delta^+ \psi_\mu &= D_\mu \phi, & \delta^+ \xi &= i [\tau, \phi] \\
\delta^+ \phi &= 0, & \delta^+ \eta &= [\phi, \bar{\phi}] \\
\delta^+ \bar{\phi} &= -\eta, & \delta^+ B_{\mu\nu} &= \psi_{\mu\nu}, \\
\delta^+ \chi_{\mu\nu} &= H_{\mu\nu}, & \delta^+ H_{\mu\nu} &= i [\chi_{\mu\nu}, \phi].
\end{align*}
\]

\begin{equation}
\tag{2.4}
\end{equation}

As anticipated, in the first column of eq. \(\tag{2.4}\) we recognize the scalar transformations of the twisted \(N = 2\) subalgebra \cite{13}, in presence of the auxiliary field \(H_{\mu\nu}\). For \(\delta^-\) one gets

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^- A_\mu &= \chi_\mu, & \delta^- \tau &= -\eta, \\
\delta^- \chi_\mu &= -D_\mu \bar{\phi}, & \delta^- \psi_\mu &= \bar{H}_\mu + D_\mu \tau, \\
\delta^- \bar{\phi} &= 0, & \delta^- \eta &= i [\tau, \phi], \\
\delta^- \phi &= -\xi, & \delta^- \chi_{\mu\nu} &= i [B_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\phi}], \\
\delta^- \bar{\phi} &= -\xi, & \delta^- B_{\mu\nu} &= -\chi_{\mu\nu}, \\
\delta^- \psi_{\mu\nu} &= H_{\mu\nu} + i [B_{\mu\nu}, \tau], \\
\delta^- H_{\mu\nu} &= -i [\psi_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\phi}] + i [\chi_{\mu\nu}, \tau] + i [B_{\mu\nu}, \eta], \\
\delta^- H_\mu &= -D_\mu \eta + i [\psi_\mu, \bar{\phi}] + i [\chi_\mu, \tau].
\end{align*}
\]

\begin{equation}
\tag{2.5}
\end{equation}

Analogously, for the vector transformations \(\delta^\mu_+\) and \(\bar{\delta}^\mu_-\) one obtains

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^\mu_+ A_\nu &= -4i \chi_{\mu\nu} - 4g_{\mu\nu} \eta, & \delta^\mu_+ \tau &= \chi_\mu, \\
\delta^\mu_+ \phi &= \psi_\mu, & \delta^\mu_+ \bar{\phi} &= 0, \\
\delta^\mu_+ \bar{\phi} &= D_\mu \tau - H_\mu, & \delta^\mu_+ \eta &= -D_\mu \bar{\phi}, \\
\delta^\mu_+ B_{\nu\rho} &= -i \theta_{\mu\rho\lambda} \chi^\lambda, & \delta^\mu_+ \psi_{\nu\rho} &= D_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + i \theta_{\mu\rho\lambda} H^\lambda, \\
\delta^\mu_+ \chi_{\nu\rho} &= i \theta_{\mu\rho\lambda} D^\lambda \bar{\phi}, & \delta^\mu_+ \bar{\chi}_\nu &= -4 [B_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\phi}] + 4i g_{\mu\nu} [\tau, \bar{\phi}], \\
\delta^\mu_+ \psi_{\nu} &= 4i H_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu} - 4i g_{\mu\nu} [\bar{\phi}, \phi], \\
\delta^\mu_+ H_{\nu\rho} &= D_\mu \chi_{\nu\rho} + \theta_{\mu\rho\lambda} [\psi^\lambda, \bar{\phi}] + i \theta_{\mu\rho\lambda} D^\lambda \eta, \\
\delta^\mu_+ H_\nu &= D_\mu \chi_\nu + 4 [\eta, B_{\mu\nu}] + 4 [\psi_{\mu\nu}, \bar{\phi}] - 4i g_{\mu\nu} [\eta, \tau] - 4i g_{\mu\nu} [\xi, \bar{\phi}],
\end{align*}
\]

\begin{equation}
\tag{2.6}
\end{equation}
and

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^- \mu A_\nu &= -4i \psi_{\mu\nu} + 4g_{\mu\nu} \xi, \quad \delta^- \mu \tau = \psi_\mu, \\
\delta^- \mu \phi &= 0, \quad \delta^- \mu \phi - \chi_\mu, \\
\delta^- \mu \xi &= -D_\mu \phi, \quad \delta^- \mu \eta = -H_\mu, \\
\delta^- \mu B_{\nu\rho} &= +i \theta_{\mu\rho\lambda\nu} \psi^\lambda, \quad \delta^- \mu \psi_\nu = -4 \left[ B_{\mu\nu}, \phi \right] - 4ig_{\mu\nu} \left[ \tau, \phi \right], \\
\delta^- \mu \psi_{\nu\rho} &= -i \theta_{\mu\rho\sigma} D^\sigma \phi, \\
\delta^- \mu \chi_{\nu\rho} &= -D_\mu B_{\nu\rho} - i \theta_{\mu\rho\lambda\nu} H^\lambda + i \theta_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} D^\lambda \tau, \\
\delta^- \mu \chi_{\nu} &= 4iH_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu} + 4i\phi_{\mu\nu} [\phi, \phi] - 4 \left[ B_{\mu\nu}, \tau \right], \\
\delta^- \mu \psi_{\nu} &= D_\mu \psi_\nu + \theta_{\mu\rho\lambda\nu} \left( \left[ \psi^\lambda, \tau \right] - \left[ \chi^\lambda, \phi \right] - iD^\lambda \xi \right) + i \left[ \psi_\mu, B_{\nu\rho} \right], \\
\delta^- \mu \psi_{\nu\rho} &= -D_\mu \psi_{\nu} + D_\nu \psi_\mu + 4 \left[ \psi_{\mu\nu}, \tau \right] - 4 \left[ \xi, B_{\mu\nu} \right] + 4 \left[ \chi_{\mu\nu}, \phi \right] + 4ig_{\mu\nu} \left[ \eta, \phi \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(2.7)

where \( \theta_{\mu\rho\sigma} \) denotes the combination

\[
\theta_{\mu\rho\sigma} = \varepsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\nu} g_{\rho\sigma} - g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\sigma} = 4\Pi^+_{\mu\rho\sigma},
\]

(2.8)

where \( \Pi^+_{\mu\rho\sigma} \) is the projector on self-dual two-forms.

It is worth emphasizing that the invariant action \( S_{N=4} \) is uniquely fixed by the two vector generators \( \delta^+ \) and \( \delta^- \) and by the scalar charge \( \delta^+ \). In other words, the requirement of invariance under \( \delta^+ \) and \( \delta^- \) fixes all the relative numerical coefficients of the various terms of the action (2.2). Due to this property, the tensorial transformations \( \delta^+ \) and \( \delta^- \) will not be taken into further account, although their inclusion can be done straightforwardly. Notice that a similar situation has already been met in the case of \( N = 2 \) [15].

Let us give here the algebraic relations among the twisted generators, \( i.e. \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \delta^+, \delta^+ \} &= \delta^2_{-2\phi}, \\
\{ \delta^-, \delta^- \} &= \delta^2_{2\phi}, \\
\{ \delta^+, \delta^- \} &= \delta^2_{-\tau}, \\
\{ \delta^+, \delta^+ \} &= \partial_\mu + \delta^2_{A_\mu} \\
\{ \delta^-, \delta^- \} &= \partial_\mu + \delta^2_{A_\mu} \\
\{ \delta^+, \delta^- \} &= 0 \\
\{ \delta^-, \delta^+ \} &= \delta^2_{-8g_{\mu\nu}\phi} \\
\{ \delta^-, \delta^- \} &= \delta^2_{-4iB_{\mu\nu} + 4g_{\mu\nu}\tau} + \text{eqs. of motion},
\end{align*}
\]

(2.9)

where \( \delta^2_{\phi} \) denotes a gauge transformation with parameter \( \gamma \).
Quantization of the Twisted Theory

In order to quantize the action \( (2.2) \) one has to properly take into account the twisted generators \( \delta^\pm, \delta^\pm_\mu \). This amounts to perform the gauge fixing procedure in a way compatible with the relevant global invariances of the action \( S_{N=4} \). Two nontrivial aspects have therefore to be faced, namely: the nonlinearity of the twisted transformations of the fields \( (2.4) - (2.7) \) and the fact that the algebra of the generators \( \delta^\pm, \delta^\pm_\mu \) closes on the space-time translations only on-shell and modulo field-dependent gauge transformations. The way out in quantizing this kind of model requires the use of the Batalin-Vilkovisky procedure, already successfully applied to the untwisted \( N = 4 \) theory by [3], and to \( N = 2 \) by [10, 12].

The first step is to introduce the BRST symmetry corresponding to the gauge invariance of the theory, i.e., \( \delta_\xi \to s \) and \( \xi \to \zeta \), where \( \zeta \) is the usual Faddeev-Popov (FP) ghost transforming as \( sc = ic^2 \). Following now [3, 16, 15], one extends the BRST operator into a new operator \( Q \) which turns out to be nilpotent on shell and which, together with the BRST charge \( s \), collects all the other generators appearing in the algebra \( (2.9) \). Introducing thus a set of global ghosts \( \omega^\pm, \epsilon^\pm_\mu, v_\mu \) associated to \( \delta^\pm, \delta^\pm_\mu \), and to the space-time translations \( \partial_\mu \), the operator \( Q \) is found to be

\[
Q = s + \omega^+ \delta^+ + \omega^- \delta^- + \epsilon^+\mu \delta^+_\mu + \epsilon^-\mu \delta^-_\mu + v_\mu \partial_\mu - (\omega^+ \epsilon^+\mu + \omega^- \epsilon^-\mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\mu} .
\]

(3.10)

From the requirement that \( Q \) carries FP charge +1, it follows that the global ghosts \( \omega^\pm, \omega^- \), \( \epsilon^+\mu, \epsilon^-\mu \) are commuting and have FP charge +1, while \( v_\mu \) is anti-commuting, with the same charge. Defining then the action of the operator \( Q \) on the Faddeev-Popov ghost \( c \) as [3, 16, 17]

\[
QC = ic^2 + (\omega^+\omega - 4\epsilon^2)\phi + (4\epsilon^+2 - \omega^-\omega - 4\epsilon^+\epsilon^-)\overline{\phi} + (\omega^+ \omega^- + 4\epsilon^+\epsilon^-)A_\mu - 4i\epsilon^+\epsilon^- B_{\mu\nu} - (\omega^+ \epsilon^+\mu + \omega^- \epsilon^-\mu)A_\mu ,
\]

(3.11)

the following equations are seen to hold

\[
QS_{N=4} = 0 ,
\]

\[
Q^2 = 0 \text{ (modulo eqs. of motion)} .
\]

(3.12)

The next step is now to define the nonlinear transformations of the fields \( Q\Phi_i \) by coupling them to antifields \( \Phi_i^* \). This is done by introducing the external action

\[
S_{ext} = tr \int d^4 x \Phi_i^* Q\Phi_i ,
\]

(3.13)

where, for a p-tensor field
\[ \Phi^*_i Q \Phi_i = \frac{1}{p!} \Phi^*_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_p} Q \Phi_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_p}. \]

In addition, due to the fact that the operator \( Q \) is nilpotent only on-shell, one has to introduce a further quadratic term in the antifields [3, 16, 15]:

\[
S_{\text{quad}} = 4 g^2 \varepsilon^{+ \mu} \varepsilon^{- \nu} tr \int d^4 x (\varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \lambda} A^{* \rho} H^{* \lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \left( B^{* \delta}_{\nu} H^{* \mu \delta} - B^{* \delta}_{\mu} H^{* \nu \delta} \right) - \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \lambda} \psi^{* \rho} \chi^{* \lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi^{* \delta}_{\nu} \chi^{* \mu \delta} - \psi^{* \delta}_{\mu} \chi^{* \nu \delta} \right)), \tag{3.14}
\]

According to the Batalin-Vilkovisky procedure, we choose a Landau kind of gauge fixing term which takes the following form

\[
S_{gf} = Q tr \int d^4 x (\tau \partial^\mu A_\mu) + 4 g^2 \varepsilon^{+ \mu} \varepsilon^{- \nu} tr \int d^4 x \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \lambda} \partial^\rho \tau H^{* \lambda}, \tag{3.15}
\]

where the antighost \( \bar{\tau} \), introduced by shifting the antifield \( A^*_\mu \) as \( A^*_\mu \to A^*_\mu + \partial_\mu \bar{\tau} \), is required to transform as

\[
Q \bar{\tau} = b + \nu^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\tau}, \quad Q b = (\omega^+ \varepsilon^{+ \mu} + \omega^- \varepsilon^{- \mu}) \partial_\mu \bar{\tau} + \nu^\mu \partial_\mu b, \tag{3.16}
\]

\( b \) being the Lagrange multiplier implementing the Landau condition. Let us also display the quantum numbers of the ghosts and antifields

| Field       | c | \( \omega^+ \) | \( \omega^- \) | \( \varepsilon^{+ \mu} \) | \( \varepsilon^{- \mu} \) | \( \nu^\mu \) |
|-------------|---|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| G-ch.       | 0 | -1             | 1              | 1                   | -1                   | 0            |
| FP-ch.      | 1 | 1              | 1              | 1                   | 1                   | 1            |
| Dim.        | 0 | -\frac{1}{2}  | -\frac{1}{2}  | -\frac{1}{2}       | -\frac{1}{2}        | -1           |
| Nature      | a | c              | c              | c                   | c                   | a            |

Table 2. Quantum numbers of the ghost fields.

| Source | \( A^*_\mu \) | \( \xi^*_{\mu \nu} \) | \( \eta^*_{\mu \nu} \) | \( \psi^*_{\mu \nu} \) | \( \chi^*_{\mu \nu} \) | \( \phi^*_{\mu \nu} \) | \( \tau^* \) | \( \tilde{\phi}^* \) | \( B^*_{\mu \nu} \) | \( H^*_{\mu \nu} \) | \( \nu^\mu \) |
|--------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| G-ch.  | 0            | -1                    | 1                     | -1                    | 1                     | 1                     | 0        | 2                     | 0                     | 0                      | 0            |
| FP-ch. | -1           | -1                    | -1                    | -1                    | -1                    | -1                    | -1       | -1                    | -1                    | -1                      | -2           |
| Dim.   | 3            | \frac{5}{2}           | \frac{5}{2}           | \frac{5}{2}           | \frac{5}{2}           | \frac{5}{2}           | 3        | 3                     | 3                     | 2                      | 2            |
| nature | a            | c                     | c                     | c                     | c                     | c                     | a        | a                     | a                     | a                      | a            |

Table 3. Quantum numbers of the antifields.

Finally, the complete gauge-fixed action \( \Sigma \)

\[
\Sigma = S_{N=4} + S_{\text{ext}} + S_{\text{quad}} + S_{gf}, \tag{3.17}
\]
turns out to obey the following Slavnov-Taylor identity

\[ S(\Sigma) = 0 , \]  

(3.18)

with

\[
S(\Sigma) = \text{tr} \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi_i^*} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi_i} + (b + v^\mu \partial_\mu c) \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c} - (\omega^+ \varepsilon^{+ \mu} + \omega^- \varepsilon^{- \mu}) \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta v^\mu} + (\omega^+ \varepsilon^{+ \mu} + \omega^- \varepsilon^{- \mu} ) \right) .
\]  

(3.19)

We underline that the Slavnov-Taylor identity (3.18) contains all the information concerning both the gauge and the \( N = 4 \) invariances of the classical starting action \( S_{N=4} \). In other words, the present algebraic set up has allowed to obtain a gauge-fixed action which is compatible with the full set of defining symmetries. This point is of particular relevance, as allows one to analyse the quantum aspects of the theory by means of the powerful BRST cohomology techniques [17]. This will be the goal of the next section. However, before going any further, it is convenient to eliminate the ghost of the space-time translations \( v_\mu \) by introducing the so-called reduced action \( \tilde{S} \)

\[
\Sigma \to \tilde{S} = \Sigma - v_\rho \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial v_\rho} - \text{tr} \int d^4x b \partial^\mu A_\mu .
\]  

(3.20)

In terms of the action \( \tilde{S} \), the Slavnov-Taylor identity takes now the simplified form

\[
S(\tilde{S}) = \text{tr} \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \tilde{S}}{\delta \Phi_i^*} \frac{\delta \tilde{S}}{\delta \Phi_i} \right) = (\omega^+ \varepsilon^{+ \mu} + \omega^- \varepsilon^{- \mu}) \Delta^{cl}_\rho
\]  

(3.21)

where the antifield \( A^{* \mu} \) has to be replaced by the shifted antifield \( \tilde{A}_\mu^* = A^{* \mu} + \partial_\mu c \), and \( \Delta^{cl}_\rho \) is given by

\[
\Delta^{cl}_\rho = \text{tr} \int d^4x \left( -\tilde{A}_\mu^* \partial_\rho A_\mu - H^{* \mu} \partial_\rho H_\mu - \frac{1}{2} B^{* \mu \nu} \partial_\rho B_{\mu \nu} - \tau^{*} \partial_\rho \tau^* \\
- \frac{1}{2} H^{* \mu \nu} \partial_\rho H_{\mu \nu} + \frac{1}{2} \psi^{* \mu \nu} \partial_\rho \psi_{\mu \nu} + \frac{1}{2} \chi^{* \mu \nu} \partial_\rho \chi_{\mu \nu} + \psi^{* \mu} \partial_\rho \psi_\mu \\
+ \chi^{* \mu} \partial_\rho \chi_\mu + \xi^{*} \partial_\rho \xi^* + \eta^{*} \partial_\rho \eta - \phi^{*} \partial_\rho \phi - \phi^* \partial_\rho \phi^* + c^* \partial_\rho c \right) .
\]  

(3.22)

Notice that the above expression, being linear in the quantum fields, is a classical breaking and will not be affected by the quantum corrections. In addition, the
Slavnov-Taylor identity (3.21) implies that the linearized Slavnov-Taylor operator $B_{\tilde{S}}$ \[7\] defined as

$$B_{\tilde{S}} = tr \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \tilde{S}}{\delta \Phi_i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_i^*} + \frac{\delta \tilde{S}}{\delta \Phi_i^*} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_i} \right),$$

(3.23)

has the following property

$$B_{\tilde{S}}B_{\tilde{S}} = (\omega^+ \varepsilon^{+\mu} + \omega^- \varepsilon^{-\mu}) \partial_{\mu},$$

(3.24)

which shows that $B_{\tilde{S}}$ is nilpotent modulo a total derivative. As we shall see in detail in the next section, this feature will give rise to a set of very constrained descent equations which will allow to relate the full action of $N = 4$ to the gauge invariant polynomial $tr \phi^2$, $\phi$ being the scalar field of the $N = 2$ vector multiplet.

4 The relationship between the action of N=4 and $tr \phi^2$

In order to establish the relationship between the action of $N = 4$ and $tr \phi^2$ we proceed by analysing the cohomology of the operator $B_{\tilde{S}}$ in the space of the integrated local functionals of the fields and their derivatives, with the same quantum numbers of the classical action. To this end it is worth recalling that the invariant action $S_{N=4}$ is uniquely fixed by the three generators $\delta^+_\mu$, $\delta^-_{\mu}$ and $\delta^+$. We can thus simplify our analysis setting to zero the global parameter $\omega^-$ corresponding to the scalar charge $\delta^-$. Therefore, eqs.(3.21) and (3.24) take the following form

$$S_{\tilde{S}} = \omega^+ \varepsilon^{+\mu} \Delta^\mu, \quad (4.25)$$

$$B_{\tilde{S}}B_{\tilde{S}} = \omega^+ \varepsilon^{+\mu} \partial_{\mu}. \quad (4.26)$$

It should be observed from (4.26) that the operator $B_{\tilde{S}}$ is not strictly nilpotent, as its square yields the space-time translations. This property is indeed a general feature of the supersymmetric gauge theories, following from the fact that the supersymmetric algebra closes on the space-time translations. In particular, equation (4.26) will give rise to a set of descent equations which will strongly constrain the cohomology of $B_{\tilde{S}}$. As one can expect, this is related to the large number of generators which are encoded in the operator $B_{\tilde{S}}$, reflecting the $N = 4$ structure of the theory.

In order to obtain the descent equations for the operator $B_{\tilde{S}}$, let us start with the consistency condition

$$B_{\tilde{S}} \int d^4x \Omega^0 = 0, \quad (4.27)$$
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where $\Omega^0$ has the same quantum numbers of the classical Lagrangian of $N = 4$, \textit{i.e.} it is a local polynomial of dimension four and with vanishing FP and G-charge. Due to eq. (1.26), the integrated consistency condition (1.27) can be translated at the local level as

$$B_S^{-1} \Omega^0 = \partial^\mu \Omega^1_{\mu},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.28)

where $\Omega^1_{\mu}$ is a local polynomial of FP charge 1 and dimension 3. Applying now the operator $B_S^{-1}$ to both sides of (4.28) and making use of eq. (1.26), one obtains the condition

$$\partial^\mu \left( B_S^{-1} \Omega^1_{\mu} - \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\mu} \Omega^0 \right) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.29)

which, due to the algebraic Poincaré Lemma \cite{17}, implies

$$B_S^{-1} \Omega^1_{\mu} = \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\mu} \Omega^0 + \partial^\nu \Omega^2_{[\nu\mu]},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.30)

for some local polynomial $\Omega^2_{[\nu\mu]}$ antisymmetric in the Lorentz indices $\mu, \nu$ and with FP charge 2. The procedure can be easily iterated, yielding the following set of descent equations

$$B_S^{-1} \Omega^0 = \partial^\mu \Omega^1_{\mu},$$
$$B_S^{-1} \Omega^1_{\mu} = \partial^\nu \Omega^2_{[\nu\mu]} + \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\mu} \Omega^0,$$
$$B_S^{-1} \Omega^2_{[\mu\nu]} = \partial^\rho \Omega^3_{[\rho\mu\nu]} + \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\mu} \Omega^1_{\nu} - \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\nu}_{\nu} \Omega^1_{\mu},$$
$$B_S^{-1} \Omega^3_{[\sigma\mu\nu\rho]} = \partial^\omega \Omega^4_{[\sigma\mu\nu\rho]} + \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\mu} \Omega^2_{[\nu\rho]} + \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\nu}_{\nu} \Omega^2_{[\mu\rho]} + \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\rho}_{\rho} \Omega^2_{[\sigma\mu]} + \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\sigma}_{\sigma} \Omega^2_{[\mu\nu]} + \Omega^3_{[\sigma\rho\mu]} \omega^+ \varepsilon^+_{\nu},$$
$$B_S^{-1} \Omega^4_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]} = \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\mu} \Omega^3_{[\nu\rho\sigma]} - \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\nu}_{\nu} \Omega^3_{[\mu\rho\sigma]} - \omega^+ \varepsilon^{\rho}_{\rho} \Omega^3_{[\sigma\mu\nu]} + \Omega^3_{[\rho\sigma\mu]} \omega^+ \varepsilon^+_{\nu}. \hspace{1cm} (4.31)$$

It is interesting to observe that these equations are of an unusual type, as the cocycles with low FP charge appear in the equations of those with higher FP charge, turning the system (4.31) highly nontrivial. We remark that the last equation for $\Omega^4_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}$ is not homogeneous, a property which strongly constrains the possible solutions. To some extent, the equations (4.31) display a certain similarity with the descent equations in $N = 1$ superspace \cite{18}. Actually, it is possible to solve the system (4.31) in a rather direct way by making use of the $N = 4$ structure. To this end, let us introduce the operator

$$W_\mu = \frac{1}{\omega^+} \left[ \partial \varepsilon^{+\mu}, B_S^{-1} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.32)

which obeys the relations

$$\{ W_\mu, B_S^{-1} \} = \partial_\mu,$$
$$\{ W_\mu, W_\nu \} = 0. \hspace{1cm} (4.33)$$
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This algebra is typical of topological quantum field theories \cite{19}. In particular, as shown in \cite{20}, the decomposition (4.33) allows to making use of $\mathcal{W}_\mu$ as a climbing-up operator for the descent equations (4.31). It turns out in fact that the solution of the system is

$$\Omega^0 = \frac{1}{4!} \mathcal{W}^\mu \mathcal{W}^\nu \mathcal{W}^\rho \mathcal{W}^\sigma \Omega^4_{[\sigma \rho \mu \nu]} ;$$

$$\Omega^1_\mu = \frac{1}{3!} \mathcal{W}^\nu \mathcal{W}^\rho \mathcal{W}^\sigma \Omega^4_{[\sigma \rho \mu \nu]} ;$$

$$\Omega^2_{[\mu \nu]} = \frac{1}{2!} \mathcal{W}^\rho \mathcal{W}^\sigma \Omega^4_{[\sigma \rho \mu \nu]} ;$$

$$\Omega^3_{[\mu \nu \rho]} = \mathcal{W}^\rho \Omega^4_{[\sigma \rho \mu \nu]} ;$$

(4.34)

with $\Omega^4_{[\mu \nu \rho \sigma]}$ given by

$$\Omega^4_{[\mu \nu \rho \sigma]} = (\omega^+)^4 \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} tr \phi^2 .$$

(4.35)

From eqs. (4.34) the usefulness of the operator $\mathcal{W}_\mu$ becomes now apparent. Recalling thus that the cocycle $\Omega^0$ has the same quantum numbers of the $N = 4$ Lagrangian, the following relation holds

$$\left( g \frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial g} - \varepsilon^{-\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial \varepsilon^{-\mu}} \right)_{\omega^+ = 0} = - \frac{(\omega^+)^4}{96 g^2} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \mathcal{W}_\mu \mathcal{W}_\nu \mathcal{W}_\rho \mathcal{W}_\sigma tr \int d^4x \phi^2 + B_{\bar{S}} \Xi^{-1} ,$$

(4.36)

for some irrelevant trivial cocycle $\Xi^{-1}$. Eq. (4.36) follows from the observation that the derivatives of the Slavnov-Taylor identity (4.25) with respect to the coupling constant $g$ and to the global ghost $\varepsilon^{-\mu}$ define integrated cohomology classes of the operator $B_{\bar{S}}$, namely

$$B_{\bar{S}} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial g} \right)_{\omega^+ = 0} = 0 ,$$

(4.37)

and

$$B_{\bar{S}} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial \varepsilon^{-\mu}} \right)_{\omega^+ = 0} = 0 ,$$

(4.38)

the first equation establishing the coupling constant $g$ as a physical parameter of the theory.

We remark that the presence of the second term in the lhs of eq. (4.30) is a consequence of setting to zero the ghost parameter $\omega^-$, which implies that the classical breaking term in the rhs of the Slavnov-Taylor identity (3.21) becomes independent from $\varepsilon^{-\mu}$. Furthermore, this term has a precise meaning, being related
to the $\delta_\mu^-$-invariance of the action (2.2). In fact, taking the equation (4.38) at zero antifields and ghosts, one gets

$$B \tilde{S} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial \varepsilon^{-\mu}} \right)_{\Phi^*, \text{ghosts}=0} = \delta_\mu^- S_{N=4} = 0 .$$

(4.39)

In particular, setting also $\varepsilon^{-\mu} = 0$ in the eq.(4.36), we finally obtain the key relationship

$$\left( g \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial g} \right)_{\omega^-, \varepsilon^-=0} \approx -\frac{(\omega^+)^4}{96g^2} \varepsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} W_\mu W_\nu W_\rho W_\sigma \mathrm{tr} \int d^4x \phi^2$$

(4.40)

where $\approx$ means that the above equation holds modulo a trivial cocycle. We see therefore that, as announced, the full gauge-fixed action of $N = 4$ can be traced back to the gauge invariant polynomial $\mathrm{tr} \phi^2$. It should be noted that the introduction of the global ghosts $\omega^\pm, \varepsilon^\pm_\mu$ associated to the generators of $N = 4$ has to be seen as a useful device for carrying out a quantization procedure compatible with all invariances of the starting action. Since the physical observables of the theory, as for instance the chiral primary operators, are just required to be gauge invariant, the global ghosts have to be set to zero at the end.

The relation (4.40) represents the main result of the present work. It implies that many properties of the $N = 4$ action can be understood by looking at the gauge invariant polynomial $\mathrm{tr} \phi^2$, which plays the rôle of a kind of perturbative prepotential. In particular, following the same algebraic procedure adopted in the case of $N = 2$ [11], it is easy to prove that the anomalous dimension of the operator $\mathrm{tr} \phi^2$ vanishes to all orders of perturbation theory, implying that the $\beta-$function of the $N = 4$ can be at most of the order one-loop [11]. However, a direct inspection of the one-loop coefficient shows that $\beta$ vanishes to all orders of perturbation theory. Consider in fact the general expression for the one-loop $\beta-$function of $N = 2$ [21]

$$\beta(g) = -\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} (C_1 - hC_2) ,$$

(4.41)

where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are respectively the Casimir invariants of the representations of gauge and matter $N = 2$ multiplets, $h$ being the number of matter multiplets. As is well known, the $N = 4$ SYM can be obtained by $N = 2$ when $h = 1$ and the matter multiplet belongs to the adjoint representation. Therefore $C_1 = C_2$, implying that $\beta(g) = 0$. This simple understanding of the perturbative ultraviolet finiteness of the theory provides a nontrivial example of how the properties of $N = 4$ can be in fact understood in terms of those of $\mathrm{tr} \phi^2$. 
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5 Conclusion

We have seen that the use of the twisted version of $N = 4$ allows to identify a subset of supercharges actually relevant for the nonrenormalization aspects of the theory. This subset is given by the generators $\delta^+, \delta_+^\mu, \delta_-^\mu$, which uniquely fix the classical action. In addition, the vector charge $\delta^\mu_+$ allows to solve easily the descent equations, leading us to relate the gauge-fixed action of $N = 4$ to the operator $\text{tr} \phi^2$, see eq.(4.40). This result can be understood as an off-shell extension of the reduction formula discussed in [1], which plays an important rôle in the analysis of the nonrenormalization theorems for the correlators of chiral primary operators [8, 9].

Moreover, as proven in [11], the Ward identity associated to the scalar supersymmetry implies the vanishing of the anomalous dimension of $\text{tr} \phi^2$. This result may be considered as a first step towards an all-orders BRST algebraic analysis of the scaling properties of the above mentioned correlators.

It would be also useful to generalize the eq.(4.40) to the case of a nonvanishing central charge for the $N = 4$ superalgebra, which would allow us to extend the proof of the ultraviolet finiteness of the theory to the spontaneously broken phase [22].
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