Paper Device Combining CRISPR/Cas12a and Reverse-Transcription Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification for SARS-CoV-2 Detection in Wastewater
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ABSTRACT: Wastewater-based surveillance of the COVID-19 pandemic holds great promise; however, a point-of-use detection method for SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater is lacking. Here, a portable paper device based on CRISPR/Cas12a and reverse-transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) with excellent sensitivity and specificity was developed for SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater. Three primer sets of RT-LAMP and guide RNAs (gRNAs) that could lead Cas12a to recognize target genes via base pairing were used to perform the high-fidelity RT-LAMP to detect the N, E, and S genes of SARS-CoV-2. Due to the trans-cleavage activity of CRISPR/Cas12a after high-fidelity amplicon recognition, carboxyfluorescein-ssDNA-Black Hole Quencher-1 and carboxyfluorescein-ssDNA-biotin probes were adopted to realize different visualization pathways via a fluorescence or lateral flow analysis, respectively. The reactions were integrated into a paper device for simultaneously detecting the N, E, and S genes with limits of detection (LODs) of 25, 310, and 10 copies/mL, respectively. The device achieved a semiquantitative analysis from 0 to 310 copies/mL due to the different LODs of the three genes. Blind experiments demonstrated that the device was suitable for wastewater analysis with 97.7% sensitivity and 82% semiquantitative accuracy. This is the first semiquantitative endpoint detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater via different LODs, demonstrating a promising point-of-use method for wastewater-based surveillance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The outbreak of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in nearly 0.5 billion confirmed cases and over 6 million deaths as of June 1, 2022, triggering a global public health crisis and bringing unprecedented challenges to the public health system.¹⁻³ The efficient surveillance and management of COVID-19 are critical for alleviating and preventing pandemics due to the high transmission efficiency and various potential spread routes, such as airborne, droplet, and fomite transmissions.⁴⁻⁵ As an alternative, wastewater-based surveillance (WBS) is an efficient approach with great potential for warning of infectious disease outbreaks and transmission.⁶⁻⁷ Accordingly, in March 2020, we also proposed that wastewater analysis can be used for the surveillance of the COVID-19 pandemic.⁸ Subsequently, SARS-CoV-2 has been successfully detected in wastewater in many countries, including America, India, China, Canada, and some European countries.⁹⁻¹¹ Therefore, Bivins et al. appealed for global collaboration to maximize contributions in the fight against COVID-19 with WBS.¹²

However, the use of the WBS approach for developing a warning system and a consequent effective intervention system requires a rapid analytical method for the on-site detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater collection points. Currently, the most commonly used analytical methods for SARS-CoV-2 detection are RT-qPCR, serological assays, and paper-based sensors, such as lateral flow kits.¹³⁻¹⁵ RT-qPCR requires expensive instruments, sophisticated operations, and professionals to conduct the experiment for more than 6 h, limiting its field use.¹⁶ Although serological methods can be completed in a short time and require no complicated equipment, their
low sensitivity to mild and asymptomatic infections and long time to produce an antibody response restrict their utilization in WBS. Herein, lateral flow kits developed via serological methods are also not suitable for WBS. Therefore, it is critical to develop a novel point-of-use (POU) method for SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater for the surveillance of the pandemic.

Isothermal amplifications, such as loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) and rolling circle amplification, are potential POU methods due to their high amplification efficiency, excellent specificity, and low dependency on complicated thermal cycling equipment. Among these isothermal amplification reactions, LAMP is a constant temperature reaction carried out between 60 and 65 °C; the reaction is also much faster than other approaches and can be finished in less than 1 h. LAMP adopts six primers to bind eight regions of target DNA, and then, the reaction is started by the loop structure and DNA polymerase with a strand displacement function, while RT-LAMP requires an additional step to convert RNA into DNA by reverse transcriptase before conducting the LAMP reaction. Due to its obvious advantages, it has already been applied for the detection of various pathogens. For instance, Urrutia-Cabrera et al. utilized the method to detect ORF1ab RdRP, ORF1ab nsp3, and N gene fragments of SARS-CoV-2. The reaction could be finished in 30 min, and the limit of detection (LOD) reached 100 copies/μL. However, false positives, which may be caused by primer dimers, off-target hybrids, etc. appearing in the amplification of ORF1ab RdRP and ORF1ab nsp3, negatively affect the reliability of the method. Similarly, this issue was present in Suleman et al.’s study, which utilized LAMP to detect Toxoplasma gondii. Because there were no denaturation and annealing steps at each cycle, the method suffered from nonignorable shortcomings, such as false positives, more frequently than polymerase chain reaction (PCR), especially in POU detection, where nonspecific dyes, such as calcein, are usually used as reaction indicators.

Recently, much more attention has been given to the use of CRISPR/Cas-based gene editing for further improvement of the specificity of analytical methods. Due to the high-fidelity recognition of CRISPR/Cas technology from its single-base resolution and its powerful and flexible signal transduction ability due to efficient trans-cleavage, it has become a promising detection method. However, the sensitivity of CRISPR/Cas does not appear to be sufficient. Some studies have attempted to combine CRISPR/Cas with isothermal amplification, utilizing the specificity of CRISPR/Cas to solve the false positive problem of isothermal amplification and using the high sensitivity of isothermal amplification to improve the LOD of CRISPR/Cas. Cas12a—RT-LAMP is a representative combination reaction and has already been used for SARS-CoV-2 detection. Broughton et al. developed a lateral flow assay based on a combination of Cas12a and RT-LAMP and could detect as low as 10 copies/μL in clinical samples. Utilizing the characteristic of FAM, which can be excited to release green fluorescence under blue light, Wang et al. and Pang et al. established a visual detection method and integrated the Cas12a trans-cleavage reaction and isothermal amplification into one tube by building two temperature regions in one device. However, to date, the combination
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. In Vitro Transcription. N, E, and S RNA fragments were generated by in vitro transcription. First, PCR was conducted on the plasmid (for the generation of the N and E gene transcription template, plasmid SARS-CoV-2-S purchased from Genewiz (USA) was used, and for the S gene, plasmid 2019-nCoV S purchased from Sangon Biotech was adopted) with a forward primer containing the T7 promoter at the 5′ end and a normal reverse primer to obtain enough transcription template. Then, the product was purified and condensed by gel recovery. Next, 0.5 μg of the purified product was used as a template for in vitro transcription according to the instructions of the HiScribe T7 Quick High Yield RNA Synthesis kit. The products were purified by VAHTS RNA clean beads, and the RNA template was digested by DNase 1. Finally, the concentration of RNA was determined by a Qubit 4.0 fluorometer, and the RNA was stored in a −80 °C refrigerator.

2.2. RT-LAMP for SARS-CoV-2 Assay. The RT-LAMP reaction adopted a 20 μL system, containing 0.1 μM outer primers F3/B3, 0.8 μM inner primers FIP/BIP, 0.6 μM loop primers LF/LB, 10 μL of NEB WarmStart LAMP 2X Master Mix, 2 μL of sample, 1 μL of 20× Evagreen, and 4 μL of DNase/RNase-free distilled water. The reaction mixture was incubated at 65 °C for 30 min, and the fluorescence intensity was read by an ABI7500 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Then, 10 μL of amplicons was utilized for agarose gel electrophoresis to verify the product.

2.3. Construction of HF-RT-LAMP for SARS-CoV-2. HF-RT-LAMP consists of an RT-LAMP reaction and subsequent CRISPR/Cas12a detection. The RT-LAMP reaction was similar to that described in Section 2.2, except that no Evagreen was added to the HF-RT-LAMP system. First, 18 μL of a Cas12a cleavage premix containing 100 nM Cas12a, 125 nM gRNA, and 500 nM fluorescent probe in 1× NEBuffer2.1 was incubated at 37 °C for 10 min followed by the addition of 2 μL of RT-LAMP amplicons. Then, the reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min, and the fluorescence intensity was read by an ABI7500 system.

2.4. Visualization of HF-RT-LAMP for SARS-CoV-2. The visualization based on fluorescence was similar to that described in Section 2.3. After incubation of the cleavage reaction mixture, 480 nm blue light was used to excite the solution. Then, the solution releases light green fluorescence that can be observed by the naked eye in the presence of targets, while no color can be observed under negative conditions. The color information was extracted by ImageJ.

For the lateral flow analysis, the fluorescent probe was replaced by lateral flow probes, while the other components were similar to those in the fluorescence method. First, 2 μL of

“In the presence of SARS-CoV-2, quantities of amplicons are generated via RT-LAMP, which could activate the trans-cleavage of the Cas12a–gRNA complex. Then, the complex unspecifically and readily cleaves ssDNA probes. Fluorescence is generated due to the separation of the fluorophore (FAM) and quencher (BHQ1) in the fluorescence method. In the lateral flow method, a strong test-stained band is produced due to the absence of SARS-CoV-2, and no fluorescence is observed due to the short distance between the quencher and fluorophore in the fluorescence method, while a slight test band is observed with the probes that are mostly fixed on the control line due to their biotin groups.
amplicons was added to 18 μL of premix and then 30 μL of 1× NEBuffer 2.1 was added; the reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min followed by inserting a test strip (Milenia HybriDetect 1, TwistDx, UK) into the reaction tube. Next, a negative or positive result was distinguished based on the position of the strip. The color information of the strip can also be extracted by ImageJ.

2.5. Wastewater Sample Collection and Detection. Influent wastewater samples were collected from wastewater treatment plants (Erqiao (106.6776°E, 26.5696°N) and Jinyang (106.6439°E, 26.5948°N)) from June to November 2021 once a month. The wastewater samples were concentrated by a microporous filter adsorption method. First, a 0.22 μm microporous filter was used to remove large particles, and then, the pH was adjusted to ≈7 by 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH with the help of a portable pH meter followed by adding Mg^{2+} to 25 mM via 1 M MgCl₂ and the volume was adjusted according to the initial concentration. The initial concentration of Mg^{2+} was determined using a commercial calcium and magnesium hardness tester. Next, 10 mL of the solution was filtered using a 0.22 μm microporous filter. Then, 40 μL of GuSCN lysis solution was added to the microporous filter to break the virus for approximately 5 min at 37 °C. Then, the lysis buffer was transferred to the paper device for RNA purification and the HF-RT-LAMP reaction. The device consisted of a PMMA plate, which was used as a reaction chamber, a paper chip containing wax-printed filter paper, which was utilized to construct microfluidic channels, and a glass fiber disc, which could specifically absorb RNA (Figures 1 and S2). As shown in Figure 4, pure RNA could be obtained by the folded paper chip and directly applied to the HF-RT-LAMP reaction, while impurities, such as proteins and small molecules that may interfere with the amplification reaction, could be thoroughly removed. The detailed operations are shown in the SI Movie. For each test, a positive control and a negative control were performed to ensure that the analysis worked normally and that there were no contaminations. The negative control was a blank water sample, and the positive

Figure 2. RT-LAMP performance for the detection of N, E, and S gene fragments. (a–c) Gel electrophoretic characterization of the N, E, and S gene amplicons. Lines 1 and 2 of panel (a–c) represent the marker and negative control, respectively, lines a3–12: 1.5 × 10⁶ to 1.5 × 10⁹ copies/μL according to a 10-fold increase; b3–12: 2.6 × 10⁶ to 2.6 × 10⁹ copies/μL according to a 10-fold increase; and c3–12: 5 × 10⁻¹⁵ to 5 × 10⁸ copies/μL according to a 10-fold increase. (d–f) Normalized intensity at the endpoint of the N, E, and S gene fragments. (g–i) Quantitative curves of the N, E, and S genes.
control was sewage water dosed with 1000 copies/mL inactivated SARS-CoV-2 virus. The inactivated SARS-CoV-2 virus was obtained from Jiangjunshan Hospital in Guizhou Province, China.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Principle of HF-RT-LAMP. The principle of HF-RT-LAMP is shown in Scheme 1. First, three sets of primers targeting N, E, and S gene fragments were utilized to perform reverse-transcription amplification of the three genes, resulting in many amplicons. Next, the Cas12a−gRNA complex recognizes the amplicons but is activated only when the amplicons are the target products. The ssDNA probes are cleaved due to trans-cleavage after the activation of the Cas12a−gRNA complex. The fluorescent probes are modified with a FAM group and its quenching group BHQ1. The quenching group and the fluorescent group are separated after cleavage, and light green fluorescence is observed after excitation by 480 nm light.

For the lateral flow probes, FAM and biotin were separately modified on both sides of ssDNA. As shown in Scheme 1 and Figure S1, gold nanoparticles (GNPs) modified with a gt anti-FITC/FAM antibody are immobilized in the gray region, which is adjacent to the red region; the control line (red line) is modified with streptavidin, which can bind biotin; and the test line (blue line) is modified with an anti-gt antibody, which can bind the gt antibody. The GNPs are immobilized at the control line due to the binding of biotin and streptavidin. In contrast, the GNPs are fixed at the test line due to the separation of GNPs and biotin and binding of the gt antibody and anti-gt antibody.

3.2. Evaluation of RT-LAMP for SARS-CoV-2. RT-LAMP is the key step of the first target recognition and signal amplification of HF-RT-LAMP. Therefore, to better construct an HF-RT-LAMP system and compare the two methods, RT-LAMP was first established to detect the N, E, and S gene fragments of SARS-CoV-2. Target RNA generated by in vitro transcription was subjected to 10-fold serial dilution. Then, the dilution was utilized for LOD testing via real-time fluorescence RT-LAMP. Agarose gel electrophoresis was used to further verify the results. Ladder stripes were generated when the concentrations were higher or equal to the LODs because the specific amplicons of RT-LAMP are a mixture of various lengths and different structures, including stem-loop DNAs with various stem lengths and cauliflower-like structures with multiple loops.

As shown in Figure 2a−c, ladder stripes started to appear in lanes 4, 5, and 4 of the N, E, and S fractions, respectively, indicating that the method could detect the N, E, and S genes at concentrations as low as 15, 260, and 5 copies/μL, respectively. The relationship between the lane numbers and target concentrations is demonstrated in the annotation of Figure 2. We further analyzed more concentrations with narrow intervals to explore their LODs. The results showed that their LODs were 15, 260, and 3 copies/μL, respectively (Figure S3). Their fluorescence intensity at the endpoint was further analyzed; however, no correlation was observed between the concentration and fluorescence intensity due to saturation of the reactions (Figure 2d−f), which could also be confirmed by the fluorescence curves (Figure S4). Therefore, RT-LAMP could not be used for endpoint quantitative analysis.

Then, whether real-time fluorescence can be used for quantitative analysis was confirmed. The fluorescence intensity was first normalized, with 0.25 chosen as the threshold. The time to the threshold exhibited a highly linear relationship with
the logarithm of the concentration. The $R^2$ values exceeded 0.99, and for the E and S genes, the values were even higher than 0.999. Moreover, the linear range spanned 5–7 orders of magnitude, indicating that RT-LAMP has excellent real-time quantification capabilities (Figure 2g–i). However, considering the lack of real-time analysis equipment and that the qualitative results could also determine whether there were SARS-CoV-2 carriers, RT-LAMP was more suitable for endpoint qualitative analysis.

Notably, in terms of on-site qualitative testing, false positives caused by nonspecific amplification interfere with the test results. As shown in Figure 2a–c, stripes appeared at the front end in lines 2 and 3, which were formed by small DNA fragments, indicating that there were dimers in the negative control and samples with concentrations below the LODs. Line 4 of panel b even presented stripes generated by serious nonspecific amplification, which was also confirmed by the fluorescence curves shown in Figure S4. Although false positives could be distinguished by the shape and position of the electrophoretic stripes, nonspecific methods, such as calcein and turbidity assessments, which are often used in POU detection, cannot discriminate between false positives and true positives. To solve this issue, the CRISPR/Cas12a system was introduced to the subsequent reaction to construct an HF-RT-LAMP system.

3.3. Construction and Evaluation of HF-RT-LAMP. Due to the difference between nonspecific amplicons and the target amplicons, CRISPR/Cas12a was introduced to the reaction because it can recognize the sequence and eliminate the interference caused by nonspecific amplification. The gRNAs targeting amplification regions were selected to construct the Cas12a identification system, which was then combined with RT-LAMP to establish the HF-RT-LAMP analysis. The negative control and serial dilution were utilized to assess whether HF-RT-LAMP could solve the false positive issue and whether the introduction of Cas12a would affect the sensitivity.

As shown in Figures 3a–c and S3d–f, the false positive issue was solved, but the LODs were not affected, indicating the precise identification capability and highly efficient signal transduction of HF-RT-LAMP. No fluorescence was observed in the negative control under blue light excitation, while the positive samples emitted green fluorescence. The fluorescence signal intensity could also be extracted by ImageJ, and the results were similar to those from naked eye observation.

Then, the fluorescent probe was replaced by lateral flow probes to achieve lateral flow signal transduction. As shown in Figure 3d–f, a slightly weak stripe may also appear in the test line in the negative test; with the help of ImageJ, it was easy to distinguish whether the band belonged to the positive or background signal. According to the gray data extracted from the negative sample via ImageJ, the threshold of the negative results at the test line was $172.2 \pm 15.6$, while that of the positive results was approximately $40$ to $70$.

In general, the two visual methods did not differ, except for the modified groups of probes, indicating that HF-RT-LAMP has great flexibility and can easily meet the requirement of different sites. The fluorescence analysis was easier to perform but needed a portable illumination system for excitation at 480 nm, while the lateral flow analysis was slightly more complicated but enabled direct observation. Thus, the fluorescence method is more suitable for locations with an available illumination system, while the lateral flow assay is more appropriate for sites without a similar instrument.

3.4. Construction of the Paper Device. Although HF-RT-LAMP possesses high sensitivity, strong specificity, and flexible signal transduction ability with no need for complicated instrumentation, the complicated pretreatment...
The S, N, and E gene fragments reached 10, 25, and 310 copies/mL, respectively, and no false positives were observed. According to Wu et al.’s research, the virus titers in wastewater during the pandemic were usually between 10 and 10^3 copies/mL. Hence, the integrated device holds great potential for the surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater. Considering the enrichment efficiency, lysis performance, degradation of RNA, and allocation of samples in which one sample was divided into three, the sensitivity was not significantly decreased. Therefore, the paper device had a limited impact on the method, indicating the great compatibility between HF-RT-LAMP and the paper device.

More importantly, the device successfully overcame the quantitative problem of endpoint detection. Due to the different LODs of the N, E, and S gene fragments, the fabricated device possessed a semiquantitative ability from 0 to 310 copies/mL (Figure S5). Three channels were all negative when the concentration of the virus in wastewater was lower than 10 copies/mL, indicating that the community was in a low-risk state (Figures 1e and S5). When the concentration was between 10 and 25 copies/mL, the S gene channel was positive, while the other channels were negative, suggesting that the community had a medium risk and that the virus started to spread (Figures 1e and S5). The N and S channels were positive while the E channel was negative when the virus content was higher than 25 copies/mL but lower than 310 copies/mL, indicating that the community was in a high-risk state (Figures 1e and S5). All channels were positive if the concentration exceeded 310 copies/mL, indicating that the community had an extremely high risk and that the virus spread widely (Figures 1e and S5). The risk reflected by the novel device was similar to that in Wu et al.’s research.

Overall, the paper device not only ameliorated the problem of nucleic acid extraction and purification under on-site conditions but also achieved semiquantitative analysis with its multiplexed detection, realizing a revolutionary leap from rapid detection to rapid-precision visual risk assessment. This system also provides a new idea for semiquantitative POU analysis. Compared with other methods developed for the detection of SARS-CoV-2, this assay possessed extremely high sensitivity and extraordinary semiquantitative ability with four gradients (Table S3).

### 3.5. Wastewater Monitoring and Blind Test

To further prove the reliability of the assay, a blind experiment was conducted. We spiked SARS-CoV-2 virus obtained from Jiangunshan Hospital into wastewater. To better simulate community sewage at different stages of the pandemic, 50 samples with different concentrations from 0 to 500 copies/mL were prepared. Then, the samples were tested randomly by another group of experimenters who were blinded to the sample concentrations. As shown in Table S2 and Figure S6, 43 of 44 wastewater samples spiked with SARS-CoV-2 were successfully detected, and no false positives appeared in the test, indicating that its sensitivity reached 97.7% and showing that the method could easily distinguish between negative and positive samples.

The excellent semiquantitative ability was also confirmed in the experiment as 41 of 50 semiquantitative results were consistent with their concentrations, indicating that the accuracy reached 82%. Only one sample was not detected due to its low concentration, while nine semiquantitative results were not in agreement with the actual values. Although a few semiquantitative results were not strictly accurate, the fabricated device still possesses excellent sensitivity, demonstrating its great potential in wastewater analysis. In the future, we aim to further optimize the method by adjusting the reaction system and designing new primers to improve the accuracy of the semiquantitative results.

Finally, the device was applied to wastewater analysis for half a year from June to November in 2021 to further verify its performance. The Erqiao wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) in Huaguoqian, which is one of the largest communities in Asia, and Jinyang WWTP, which is located in a new urban area in Guiyang, were chosen as the target sites. Based on the results, no virus was detected in the sewage during the six months, suggesting that the communities were in a low-risk state, which is similar to the findings of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Thus, the novel device has excellent potential in sewage detection.

A portable multiplexed paper device based on a combination of CRISPR/Cas12a and RT-LAMP for POU detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater was constructed in this study. The detection process takes less than 2 h from sampling to results, without the need for any complicated equipment. Due to the high resolution and great signal transduction of Cas12a, this novel analytical method successfully overcame the false positive issue of RT-LAMP while realizing visual detection and can be used in resource-limited areas, such as WWTPs. The analytical performance did not significantly decrease after being integrated into the paper device due to the great compatibility between the paper device and the HF-RT-LAMP method. Furthermore, the multiplexing characteristics endowed the method with semiquantitative ability due to the difference in the LOD of the N, E, and S gene fragments, which reached 10, 25, and 310 copies/mL, respectively; thus, the device realized semiquantitative analysis from 0 to 310 copies/mL. Wastewater verification and blind testing further demonstrated the reliability of the fabricated device, which possessed 97.7% sensitivity and 82% semiquantitative accuracy. In the future, the reaction system and primers will be optimized to further improve the semiquantitative accuracy. Therefore, the device not only rapidly detected the virus in sewage but also realized precise visual risk assessment, which also provides a new idea for endpoint semiquantitative analysis. Last but not least, the integrated device is universal, with only primers and gRNAs needed to be changed when used for other pathogens. Therefore, the fabricated paper device based on CRISPR/Cas12a and RT-LAMP holds great potential for WBS.
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