Canine microfilaraemia in some regions of Iran
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Abstract

Background: Dirofilaria immitis and Dirofilaria repens are vector-borne zoonotic parasites which affect mainly dogs and humans worldwide. In Iran, information about the distribution of these nematodes is scant in several regions. Therefore, we investigated the prevalence of these filarial parasites in stray dogs from five Iranian provinces where no information about these parasites is available.

Methods: Blood samples were collected from 344 stray dogs in five provinces of Iran (i.e. Mazandaran, Gilan, Esfahan, Qazvin and Lorestan). The presence of microfilariae was assessed using direct smear, modified Knott’s test, molecular detection of filarial DNA (cox1 gene) and Wolbachia endosymbiont of parasitic nematodes (ftsZ gene) by conventional PCR (cPCR). All of the PCR products were sequenced and phylogenetic analysis was performed.

Results: In total, 75 dogs (21.8%) were found to be positive for D. immitis by cPCR. Infection was detected in all provinces, with the highest prevalence in Gilan province (22/28; 78.6%). Acanthocheilonema reconditum was diagnosed in five dogs (1.4%) from three provinces (i.e. Esfahan, Mazandaran, Gilan). Two dogs were infected with both parasites and three were only infected with A. reconditum. Dirofilaria repens infection was not found in the examined population. Representative sequences of the D. immitis cox1 gene from dogs from the northern provinces (Mazandaran, Gilan, Qazvin) were grouped together and distinctly separate from the ones from western and central provinces (Lorestan and Esfahan), suggesting that different nematode populations are present in the country.

Conclusion: The data reported herein fill existing gaps in knowledge about canine filarial infection in two Iranian provinces and record the highest prevalence of D. immitis ever reported in the country (i.e. 78.6%). A geographical review of the literature about Dirofilaria spp. and A. reconditum infections in dogs and humans has also been summarized, indicating that D. immitis and D. repens are distributed in 22 of 31 provinces in Iran, whereas A. reconditum is present in fewer regions. Effective control strategies are advocated for owned dogs, and a national program for the management of stray dogs is needed to minimize the risk of infection in animals and humans.
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immitis and *Dirofilaria repens* are the best known agents of canine dirofilariosis and may also occasionally infect other animal species, including cats and humans [2]. While *D. immitis* infection, also known as heartworm disease (HWD), may cause severe conditions (e.g. respiratory distress, epistaxis, haemoptysis, asciates and exercise intolerance), *D. repens* is less pathogenic, being localized under the skin of the infested animals [3]. Analogously, *Acanthocheilonema reconditum*, which is transmitted by fleas (e.g. *Ctenocephalides canis*, *Ctenocephalides felis*) and lice (e.g. *Heterodoxus spiniger*, *Linognathus setosus*), is a less pathogenic filarial species [4], with adult worms residing in the peritoneal cavity [5]. Although *A. reconditum* residing in the peritoneal cavity is a less pathogenic filarial species [4], with adult worms residing in the peritoneal cavity [5]. Although *A. reconditum* residing in the peritoneal cavity, it could be misidentified, leading to a lack of information about the real picture of the distribution and prevalence of this nematode in the country. Overall, despite some reports on the prevalence of canine *Dirofilaria* spp. and *A. reconditum* in Iran [9, 21], comprehensive epidemiological data would help in implementing control measures and reducing zoonotic risk. In this study we investigated the prevalence of this filarial species in stray dogs from five Iranian provinces where no information about these parasites is available.

**Methods**

**Study area, sampling and laboratory procedures**

From December 2016 to December 2018, blood samples (2 ml) were collected from the cephalic or saphenous veins of stray dogs (*n* = 344; non-probabilistically sampled by convenience [22]) from five provinces of Iran including Mazandaran, Gilan and Qazvin in the north, Esfahan in the centre and Lorestan in the west, which are areas with different climatic characteristics (Fig. 1). Animal data (i.e. age, sex and province) were also recorded and were grouped according to age as ≤1 year (G1), >1 to <5 years (G2), and ≥5 years (G3).

Urban dogs were captured and transferred to the shelters of either the Diseases Control Office affiliated to the Provincial Veterinary Organization or the Provincial Department of Environment, where the data for animals were recorded. Based on the microfilaraemia periodicity for *D. immitis* and *D. repens* [23, 24], blood collections were performed from 11 p.m. to 1 a.m. On the following day, dogs were moved to the registered shelters in each province.

Blood samples were tested for circulating microfilariae using light microscopy observation of Giemsa-stained thin blood smears and the concentration method by modified Knott's test. Microscopic examinations were performed under a BA410 Elite Trinocular light microscope (Motic Ltd., Xiamen, China) equipped with a digital camera (IDS uEye UI-2250SE USB 2.0 camera, Obersulm, Germany). The number of microfilariae per microlitre was calculated as described in a previous study [25]. Measurements of microfilariae were performed for up to 10 microfilariae of each nematode species per infected dog using AxioVision® software version 4.1 (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany).

**Molecular procedures**

Genomic DNA was extracted from aliquots of 500 µl ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-treated blood samples using a commercial kit (MBST, Tehran, Iran) following the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA yield was assessed using a Thermo Scientific™ NanoDrop™ spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). All samples were tested for the presence of *Dirofilaria* spp. and *A. reconditum* cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (cox1) using conventional polymerase chain reaction (cPCR) [25, 26]. Furthermore, *Wolbachia* DNA was detected by cPCR using primers targetting genes encoding filamenting temperature-sensitive mutant Z (ftsZ) [27] (Table 1). For all reactions, DNA of pathogen-positive blood samples served as a positive control and samples with no template DNA as a negative control. Amplified PCR products were visualized in 2% agarose gel stained with RedSafe™ (iNtRON Biotechnology, Gyeonggi, South Korea) using the microDOC Compact Gel Documentation System with UV Transilluminator (UVT312, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). The PCR products were purified using CinnaPure DNA (CinnaGen, Tehran, Iran) and submitted for sequencing...
in both directions using an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at the Bioneer company (Daejeon, Republic of Korea). Nucleotide sequences were edited, aligned and analysed using the Chromas platform version 3.1 (Technelysium PTY Ltd., Queensland, Australia) and compared with those available in the GenBank® database using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and ClustalW (http://www.clustalw.genome.jp).

Phylogenetic analysis
The cox1 and ftsZ representative sequences obtained in this study and the corresponding sequences available from the GenBank database were imported into MEGA X [28], and phylogenetic relationships were inferred using the maximum likelihood (ML) method and Tamura–Nei model [29]. Initial trees for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying neighbour-joining and BIONJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the maximum composite likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with the superior log-likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. Codon positions included were 1st + 2nd + 3rd + Non-coding.

| Pathogen | Primers | Target gene | Product size (bp) | References |
|----------|---------|-------------|-------------------|------------|
| Acanthocheilonema reconditum | AR COI-F1: AGTGTGAGGGACAGCCAGAATGG AR COI-R1: CCAAATCCGAAGACAGACACAAACGAAGC | cox1 | 200 | [25] |
| Dirofilaria spp. | COXdirHRMF: AGTATGTTTTGGTTGAACTTC COXdirHRMR: AACGATCTTATACAATTC | cox1 | 256 | [26] |
| Wolbachia pipientis | Wol1_fwd: CCTGTACTATATCAAGAATACGT Wol1_R: ACTATCCCTATGTTCCATATTC | ftsZ | 267 | [27] |

Fig. 1 Samples were collected from five provinces in Iran with different climates
of *D. immitis* (accession no. FJ390296) were used as outgroups.

**Statistical analyses**

Exact binomial 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were established for proportions. Cohen’s kappa (κ) was calculated to compare the agreement between Knott’s modified test and PCR results. The Chi-square test and logistic regression analysis were used to compare proportions, with a probability *P*-value < 0.05 regarded as statistically significant. Analyses were performed using SPSS version 18 software for Windows 10 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

Of the 78 dogs with a positive cPCR result for *D. immitis* (*n* = 75; 21.8%, 95% CI: 17.5–26.5) and for *A. reconditum* (*n* = 5; 1.45%, 95% CI: 0.47–3.36), two dogs were co-infected with both parasites and three were only infected with *A. reconditum*. Twenty-one dogs (6.1%; 95% CI: 1.88–1.95) were positive according to the modified Knott’s method and none based on Giemsa-stained blood smears. Based on the length and morphology of the microfilariae, infection with *D. immitis* or *A. reconditum* or co-infection with both species was diagnosed in 19, one and two dogs, respectively (Table 2). The number of microfilariae ranged from 0.05 to 1.85 mf/μl (SD ± 0.55). The length of microfilariae of *D. immitis* was 311.8 ± 9.8 μm (280–328) and for *A. reconditum* was 228.2 ± 12.1 μm (212–252) (Table 2). The level of agreement between the modified Knott’s test and cPCR was low for both *D. immitis* (κ = 0.35) and *A. reconditum* (κ = 0.57).

The infection with *D. immitis* was present in all five regions, but *A. reconditum*-infected dogs were from three provinces, i.e. Mazandaran, Gilan and Esfahan. The risk of infection by *D. immitis* was significantly associated with the locality (Chi-square test, *χ*² = 77.7, *df* = 4, *P* = 0.0001); that is, dogs in northern provinces (Gilan, Mazandaran and Qazvin) with temperate and Mediterranean climate had a higher chance of the presence of microfilaraemia than those from the warm and dry provinces of Esfahan and Lorestan. Furthermore, age was statistically significant for *D. immitis* (Chi-square test, *χ*² = 14.6, *df* = 2, *P* = 0.001) and *A. reconditum* (Chi-square test, *χ*² = 7.05, *df* = 2, *P* = 0.03) infection. Sex was not a risk factor (Table 3).

Consensus sequences for *D. immitis* and *A. reconditum* displayed 100% nucleotide identity with those available in the GenBank® database. In particular, *D. immitis* nucleotides were identical to AJ537512 (dog from Australia), MK250758 (dog from Thailand) and MH920260 (human from Iran). In addition, *A. reconditum* nucleotides showed 100% similarity with isolates from dogs in Moldova (MW656249), Portugal (MW246127), Algeria (MW138007) and Italy (IF461456).

In the ML tree based on the partial *cox1* gene sequences of *D. immitis*, all representative sequences of northern provinces (Mazandaran, Gilan, Qazvin) were grouped together and distinctly separate from western and central provinces (Lorestan and Esfahan) (Fig. 2a). The ML tree based on the partial *cox1* gene sequences of *A. reconditum* was supported by the distinct separation from other filarial nematodes inferred from the phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 2b). The ML tree based on the partial *ftsZ* gene sequences of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont of *D. immitis* showed that all sequences detected herein clustered in one clade (supergroup C) and distinct from *Wolbachia* from *Brugia* spp. (supergroup D).

**Discussion**

The detection of filarial parasites in the blood of dogs in different regions of Iran, including *D. immitis* with zoonotic importance, indicates that all dog populations in the country are exposed to these VBDs, thus posing a risk not only to them but also to public health. Since the distribution pattern and positivity of dogs for VBDs differ in different areas [30, 31], updated epidemiological data are necessary for the design and implementation of operational control strategies. This study fills the gap regarding microfilaraemia in dogs of two Iranian provinces, and records the highest prevalence of dirofilariosis ever reported from Iran (i.e. 22/28 dogs in Gilan province in the north). Dogs of four other provinces were also infected, albeit at a lower prevalence.

The trend of positivity for *D. immitis* in different provinces of Iran paralleled that of the climatic and ecological features, with the highest prevalence recorded in Gilan (78.6%) and Mazandaran (50%) in the Caspian region, which could be due to the typical Mediterranean climate all year-round [32]. The low prevalence observed in Esfahan (0.9%), Lorestan (6.9%) and Qazvin (27.3%) could be due to the increase in temperature and decrease in yearly rainfall.

![Table 2 Comparison of results from different diagnostic tools employed for the detection of blood microfilariae in 344 dogs in Iran](image-url)
This study provides new information about the microfilaraemia in dogs from three Iranian provinces (i.e. Esfahan, Lorestan and Qazvin) and updates the epidemiology of the infections in two provinces (i.e. Mazandaran and Gilan). In Mazandaran, we found infection with *D. immitis* in 22% and with *A. reconditum* in 4.5% of dogs by PCR. Previous studies in this province demonstrated infection with *D. immitis* in up to 15.2% of dogs based on microscopic examination of blood, and 60.9% based on necropsy [7, 33]. However, in a later study performed in 75 dogs (2018–2019) in the same province, no infected animals were diagnosed by PCR [34]. Differences in reported infection rates in different years could be due to changes in the environment, bioclimatic factors such as rainfall which affect the propagation of intermediate arthropod hosts, and differences in the population structure (e.g. age) of the examined animals [35]. On the other hand, in Gilan province we detected *D. immitis* in 78.6% and *A. reconditum* in 3.6% of the examined dogs, which is close to those previously reported (i.e. 51.5% for *D. immitis* and 4.5% for *A. reconditum*) [19].

Since the first record of *D. immitis* infection in dogs of northern Iran [7], several studies have reported prevalence up to 62.8% for dirofilariosis (Table 4). Though there is no information about probable infection of dogs in nine out of 31 Iranian provinces with *Dirofilaria* species, reports of human cases of infection with *D. immitis* and *D. repens* in Alborz and Hormozgan indicate the occurrence of infection in the canine population as well (Fig. 3). In addition, since wild carnivores such as wolves, jackals and foxes play significant roles in the epidemiology of dirofilariosis [36, 37], more epidemiological surveillance studies are necessary for filling the gaps in knowledge about wild reservoir hosts.

In this study, *A. reconditum* was detected in three out of five studied provinces, with only 1–3 infected dogs in each province. Microfilariae of *A. reconditum* were identified for the first time in the blood of 6/139 tested dogs in Tehran [18]. Since then, different studies have reported infection with *A. reconditum* in up to 19.5% of dogs [46] (Table 4; Fig. 3). Since wild canine species, such as jackals, may contribute to the epidemiology of *A. reconditum* [71], it is suggested that this less pathogenic nematode should be screened in wildlife parasitological studies.

PCR was found to detect more infected dogs in comparison with modified Knott’s test (i.e. 75 vs 21 for *D. immitis* and 5 vs 3 for *A. reconditum*), which could be due to low parasitaemia [72, 73]. The sensitivity of the two PCR methods for the detection of *D. immitis* by the *cox*1 gene and *Wolbachia* endosymbionts *ftsZ* was identical. Although the modified Knott’s test which is based on the detection and identification of microfilariae is

---

**Table 3** Number and percentage of dogs in Iran positive for *Dirofilaria immitis* and *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* DNA (n = 344) according to their sex, age and sampling area

| Variables           | *Dirofilaria immitis* | *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
|                     | No. (%)               | 95% CI                          | No. (%)                               | 95% CI |
| **Sex**             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| Male                | 198 (57.6)            | 41 (20.07)                      | 5 (2.5)                               | 0.8–5.8 |
| Female              | 146 (42.4)            | 34 (23.3)                       | 0                                     | 0       |
| **Age**             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| <1 year             | 62 (18.02)            | 11 (17.7)                       | 0                                     | 0       |
| ≥1 year to <5 years| 138 (40.1)            | 47 (34.1)                       | 0                                     | 0       |
| ≥5 years            | 144 (41.9)            | 17 (11.8)                       | 5                                     | 1.1–7.9 |
| **Geographical origin** |                   |                                 |                                      |
| Esfahan             | 105 (30.5)            | 1 (0.95)                        | 1 (0.95)                              | 0.02–5.2 |
| Lorestan            | 101 (29.4)            | 7 (6.9)                         | 0                                     | 0       |
| Mazandaran          | 66 (19.2)             | 33 (50)                         | 3 (4.5)                               | 0.95–12.7 |
| Qazvin              | 44 (12.8)             | 12 (27.3)                       | 0                                     | 0       |
| Gilan               | 28 (8.1)              | 22 (78.6)                       | 1 (3.6)                               | 0.09–18.3 |
| Total               | 344                   | 75 (21.8)                       | 5 (1.45)                              | 0.47–3.36 |

* A p-value less than 0.05 is statistically significant
  a Confidence interval
  b Degrees of freedom
  *Statistically significant
Fig. 2  Phylogenetic relationship of *D. immitis* (a) and *A. reconditum* (b) sequences isolated in this study to other filarial helminths based on a partial sequence of the *cox1* gene. Homologous sequences from *Wolbachia* endosymbiont of *D. immitis* and *Ascaris lumbricoides* (GenBank: FJ390296, AB591801) were used as outgroups.
Table 4  Prevalence of haematic microfilariae in dogs of Iran \((n = 6520)\) until September 2021. Highest records are marked in bold

| Region             | Province          | No. examined | Method   | *Dirofilaria immitis* infection rate (%) | *Dirofilaria repens* infection rate (%) | *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* infection rate (%) | Year of study | References |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| North              | Mazandaran        | 25           | Necropsy | 4                                        | 60.9                                     | NS\(^a\)                                           | 1968         | [7]        |
|                    |                   | 80           | Microscopy | 15.2     | 0                                        | 2.5                                              | 2004–2005    | [33]       |
|                    |                   | 220          | Microscopy | 10.9     | 0                                        | 0.9                                               | 2006–2008    | [19]       |
|                    |                   | 65           | Microscopy | 7.7      | Microscopy: 0                             | Microscopy: 0                                    | 2009         | [32]       |
|                    |                   |              | Serology | 4.6      |                                           |                                                    |             |            |
|                    |                   | 66           | Microscopy | 12.1     | 0                                        | 1.5                                              | 2016–2018    | This study |
|                    |                   |              | PCR      | 33       |                                           | 4.5                                              |             |            |
|                    |                   | 75           | PCR      | 0        | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2018–2019    | [34]       |
|                   | Gilan             | 101          | Microscopy | 51.5     | 0                                        | 4.5                                              | 2006–2008    | [19]       |
|                    |                   | 70           | Microscopy | 42.8     | Microscopy: 0                             | Microscopy: 0                                    | 2009         | [32]       |
|                    |                   |              | Serology | 51.4     |                                           |                                                    |             |            |
|                    |                   | 27           | Necropsy | 25.9     | NS                                        | NS                                               | 2015         | [38]       |
|                    |                   | 28           | Microscopy | 39.3     | 0                                        | 3.6                                              | 2016–2018    | This study |
|                    |                   |              | PCR      | 0        | 0                                        | 0                                                 |             |            |
|                   |                   | 220          | Microscopy | 25.0     | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2010         | [41]       |
|                    |                   | 65           | Microscopy | 10.8     | Microscopy: 0                             | Microscopy: 0                                    | 2014         | [42]       |
|                    |                   |              | Serology | 13.8     |                                           |                                                    |             |            |
|                   |                   | 75           | PCR      | 0        | 0                                        | 0                                                 |             |            |
|                   |                   | 160          | Microscopy | 25.0     | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2010         | [41]       |
|                   |                   | 100          | Microscopy | 3        | Microscopy: 0                             | Microscopy: 0                                    | 2014         | [42]       |
|                   |                   |              | Serology | 0        |                                           |                                                    |             |            |
|                   |                   | 70           | Microscopy | 10.8     | Microscopy: 0                             | Microscopy: 0                                    | 2009         | [32]       |
|                   |                   |              | Serology | 13.8     |                                           |                                                    |             |            |
|                   |                   | 70           | Microscopy | 10.8     | Microscopy: 0                             | Microscopy: 0                                    | 2009         | [32]       |
|                   |                   |              | Serology | 13.8     |                                           |                                                    |             |            |
|                   |                   | 286          | Microscopy | 34.6     | NS                                        | NS                                               | 1992         | [51]       |
|                   |                   | 91           | Microscopy | 20.9     | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2009–2011    | [52]       |
|                   |                   | 15           | Necropsy | 13.3     |                                           |                                                    |             |            |
|                   |                   | 43           | Serology | 62.8     | NS                                        | NS                                               | 2017         | [15]       |
|                   |                   | 74           | Microscopy | 8.1      | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2004–2005    | [53]       |
|                   |                   | 120          | Microscopy | 18.3     | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2011         | [10]       |
|                   |                   | 51           | PCR      | 0        | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2018–2019    | [34]       |
|                   |                   | 157          | Microscopy | 4.4      | Microscopy: 0                             | 9.5                                              | 2012         | [21]       |
|                   |                   |              | PCR      | 4.4      |                                           | 9.5                                              |             |            |
|                   |                   | 81           | PCR      | 0        | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2018–2019    | [34]       |
|                   |                   | 101          | Microscopy | 0        | 0                                        | 0                                                 | 2016–2018    | This study |
|                   |                   |              | PCR      | 0        | 0                                        | 0                                                 |             |            |
|                   |                   | 69           | Necropsy | 1.5      | NS                                        | NS                                               | 2007–2009    | [54]       |
|                   |                   | 23           | Necropsy | 8.7      | NS                                        | NS                                               | 1997         | [55]       |
|                   |                   | 119          | Microscopy | 12.6     | 0                                        | 0                                                 | NS          | [56]       |

\(^a\) NS: Not specified
the most popular parasitological method among concentration tests, serological assays for the detection of antibodies against *D. immitis* (e.g. enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [ELISA] and immunochromatographic tests) are also common [72, 74]. Antigen tests may detect occult infections such as amicrofilaraemic cases [72], but positive dogs could have overcome the infection or were only exposed to *D. immitis* in the past without the development of actual infection [75].

Phylogenetic analysis of *D. immitis* nucleotide sequences in this study showed that Iranian isolates from dogs living in northern provinces (i.e. Mazandaran, Gilan, and Qazvin) clustered together, and isolates from dogs of Lorestan and Esfahan clustered separately. This could be due to differences in the population of the parasites, segregated in specific geographical areas or in dog populations. However, due to the relatively low number of animals, any hypothesis would need confirmatory evidence. It has been suggested that relocation of domestic dogs within and between countries is associated with the risk of dissemination of parasites and vectors, and hence continuous relocation represents a global veterinary and public health concern [76], mainly in low- or middle-income countries where the public health politics are
disregarded [77, 78]. In this context, and considering that canine dirofilariosis is significantly more prevalent in the Caspian region, veterinary examination of dogs prior to movement to other regions of the country is advocated.

**Conclusions**

The present study confirmed HWD in three more Iranian provinces (i.e. Lorestan, Qazvin and Esfahan). A review of the previous reports shows that dogs in all areas of Iran are at risk of dirofilariosis. Considering that *D. immitis* is a zoonotic parasite, the application of ectoparasiticides and repellents is highly recommended for dogs in Iran. Furthermore, a national program for the control of VBDs in stray dogs in Iran is necessary.
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