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Novel visible-light-sensitive ZnFe₂O₄–TiO₂ heterojunction photocatalysts are successfully fabricated by a facile solvothermal method for the enhanced photocatalytic degradation of bisphenol A (BPA) under different light sources. The photocatalytic degradation efficiency and rate of BPA by ZnFe₂O₄–TiO₂ under the irradiation of different light sources follow the order 465 nm visible light > solar simulator > 365 nm UV light. The reaction rate of BPA by ZnFe₂O₄–TiO₂ in the presence of 465 nm visible light is 42 times higher than that under 365 nm UV light irradiation. In addition, the ZnFe₂O₄–TiO₂ nanocomposites exhibit excellent recycling and reusability and can retain their stable photocatalytic activity toward BPA photodegradation for at least 10 cycles of reaction with rate constants of 0.191–0.218 min⁻¹ under visible light irradiation. The photogenerated holes as well as oxygen-containing radicals are identified to be the predominant reactive species responsible for the photodegradation of BPA in the ZnFe₂O₄–TiO₂ system. The possible reaction mechanisms for BPA photodegradation by p–n heterojunction ZnFe₂O₄–TiO₂ are also proposed. Results obtained in this study clearly demonstrate the superior visible-light-driven photoactivity of ZnFe₂O₄–TiO₂ toward BPA photodegradation and can open an avenue to fabrication of p–n heterojunctions of photocatalysts with a wide variety of potential applications in the fields of photocatalysis, water splitting and energy conversion.

1. Introduction

The development of highly efficient visible-light-driven photocatalysts for energy and environmental applications has recently attracted much attention. Titanium-based nanomaterials such as titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles have long been used as the photocatalysts for a wide variety of applications including water splitting, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs), and photocatalytic degradation of emerging pollutants.¹⁻⁴ In addition, various morphologies of titanium-based nanomaterials including 1-dimensional TiO₂ nanotube arrays, titane nanotubes and hollow TiO₂ nanomaterials have been developed for the enhancement of photocatalytic efficiency on water splitting and photodegradation of pollutants.⁵⁻⁸ However, these materials still suffer the limitation of efficient utilization of visible light. Therefore, the development of novel visible-light-driven photocatalysts which can effectively utilize visible and solar lights is urgently needed.

More recently, a particular interest in the development of visible-light-responsive photocatalysts is the synthesis of nanocrystalline spinel ferrite. Spinel ferrites including NiFe₂O₄, ZnFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄ have possessed unique photocatalytic properties for the removal of inorganic contaminants,⁹⁻¹¹ antimicrobial activity¹² and organic dyes.¹³⁻¹⁷ In addition, the spinel crystal structure of ferrites offers the available extra catalytic sites by virtue of the crystal lattices and a band gap capable of absorbing visible light to enhance the photodegradation efficiency of pollutants.¹⁸ Among the spinel structured materials used, ZnFe₂O₄ is a magnetic material with a relatively narrow bandgap of 1.9 eV which has attracted considerable attention on the conversion of solar energy, water splitting and DSSC.¹⁹⁻²³ However, the low valence band potential and poor photoelectric conversion efficiency makes ZnFe₂O₄ an inferior photocatalyst toward pollutants degradation.²⁴,²⁵ Previous studies have shown that the coupling of ZnFe₂O₄ with optoelectronic materials such as TiO₂, ZnO and graphene can form a new type of nanocomposite with good photocatalytic activity.²⁶⁻²⁸ It is noteworthy that ZnFe₂O₄ is a p-type visible-light-driven semiconductor, while anatase TiO₂ is a well-known n-type semiconductor with indirect bandgap and long electron–hole life time.²⁹,³⁰ The combination of ZnFe₂O₄ and TiO₂ with p–n heterojunction can thus extend the absorption to the visible light region. Our previous study has depicted that ZnFe₂O₄–TiO₂ heterostructures can effectively photodegrade of organic dyes...
including rhodamine B and methylene orange under visible light irradiation. This means that the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposite may be a good photocatalyst capable of photo-degradation of a wide variety of environmental contaminants. However, very little information is available regarding the photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites toward emerging pollutants degradation under visible light irradiation.

The contamination of emerging pollutants such as pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting chemicals in water and wastewater have been becoming a globally concerning issue. Bisphenol A (BPA), one of the representative emerging pollutants, has been widely used for the manufacture of numerous products of epoxy resins and polycarbonate plastics utilized in a wide variety of food and drinking packaging applications. BPA can be easily released to the environment and get into human body through the discharge of domestic sewages and industrial wastewater as well as the plastic products such as baby bottles and food packages. Since BPA has estrogenically toxic risk to human beings, the searching of effective detoxification technology is thus urgently needed. Several studies have developed the metal ion-doped photocatalysts to degrade BPA in water and wastewater. However, the photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ toward BPA degradation in the presence of different light sources such as UV light, visible light and solar simulator has received less attention. In addition, the photocatalytic degradation kinetics as well as the possible reaction mechanisms for BPA photodegradation by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ remains unclear.

Herein, the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ heterojunction nanophotocatalysts were fabricated by a facile solvothermal method for the enhanced photocatalytic degradation of BPA under different light sources with various wavelength ranges. Three different lamps including 365 nm UV light, 465 ± 40 nm visible light and solar simulator at AM 1.5 were selected as the light sources to elucidate the visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$. In addition, the stability and reusability of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ was evaluated under visible light irradiations. Results show that the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ can generate large amounts of oxygen-containing radicals for BPA photodegradation under the irradiation of both 465 nm visible light and solar simulator, while the high e$^{-}$–h$^{+}$ recombination rate and photo-lability of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ at 365 nm UV light lower the photocatalytic efficiency and rate of BPA. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to elucidate the effect of different light sources on the photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ heterojunctions and the photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ toward BPA degradation in the presence of 465 nm visible light is superior to that of 365 nm UV light. In addition, the contribution of radical species produced from the irradiation of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ with visible light is determined and the possible reaction mechanism for BPA photodegradation by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ is proposed. Results obtained in this study clearly demonstrate the excellent visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ and can pave the gateway to fabricate p–n heterojunction photocatalysts for the decomposition of emerging pollutants, water splitting and energy conversion in the presence of visible light.

2. Experimental

2.1. Fabrication of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites

Zinc ferrite nanoparticles were synthesized by non-aqueous hydrothermal method by dissolving 0.5 mmol of oleic acid (C$_{18}$H$_{34}$O) and 0.5 mmol oleylamine (C$_{18}$H$_{37}$N) into the mixture containing 10 mL of 1-pentanol (C$_5$H$_{12}$O) and 2 mL of 5 M NaOH solution. Iron nitrate (Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$), 9H$_2$O and zinc nitrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$•6H$_2$O) at molar ratio of 2:1 was dissolved in bidistilled deionized water (18.3 MΩ cm) and then was poured into the above solution under vigorous mixing for 1 h. The mixture was then heated to 180°C for 16 h and cooled to room temperature. The ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were magnetically harvested and washed with n-hexane/ethanol (3/1, v/v) mixture and then dried in a vacuum oven at 60°C for 6 h.

ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites were synthesized by mixing 80 mg of STO1 TiO$_2$ (Ishihara Sangyo Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with 1 wt% (0.808 mg) of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ in 20 mL of octan (C$_{8}$H$_{18}$O) and then dispersed in an ultrasonic bath for 1 h. The mixture was then heated and refluxed at 140°C for 2 h under vigorous stirring conditions to improve the attachment of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ on the surface of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles to form ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ heterojunction. After cooling to the room temperature, the products were harvested by centrifugation and washed with the mixture of n-hexane/ethanol for several times. The purified powders were dried in a vacuum oven at 60°C for 6 h and stored in a desiccator for further use.

2.2. Characterization

The surface morphology of as-synthesized ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ was examined by using a scanning electronic microscope (SEM) (Hitachi S-4800) with an acceleration electron voltage of 15 kV. The dimension and morphology of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ were obtained by the FEI tecnai G2 transmission electron microscope (TEM) with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The crystallinity was identified by using a Bruker NEW D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractrometer (XRD) with a Lynxeye high-speed strip detector and Ni filtered Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation (λ = 1.5405 Å) operating at a generator voltage and an emission current of 40 kV and 40 mA, respectively. The XRD patterns were recorded over the 2θ range of 20-80° at a sampling step width 0.05° and a step time of 0.5 s. The optical property of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites was examined by using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-4100) equipped with the integrated sphere accessory. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded on a Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrometer with a 150 W xenon lamp as the excitation source. FTIR spectra were obtained by a Horiba FT-720 spectrometer at a resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$ with KBr method. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a TGA-DSC 3$^+$ thermal analyzer (Mettler Toledo). The temperature increased from room temperature to 1000°C at a heating rate of 10°C min$^{-1}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed in a three-electrode system using an electrochemical workstation (Autolab PGSTAT 302N) in a 0.2 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ aqueous solution and in a frequency range of 100 kHz to 100 mHz. The
working electrode was prepared by coating 2 mg of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites dispersed in ethanol onto a 2 cm × 2 cm fluorine-tin oxide (FTO) glass electrode. The platinum wire and Ag/AgCl were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively.

2.3. Photocatalytic degradation of BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$

The photocatalytic activity of as-prepared ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites toward BPA degradation was examined in a quartz reactor surrounded by eight 8 W visible light lamps ($\lambda = 465 \pm 40$ nm) or UV light lamps at 365 nm. For solar light experiments, an Oriel Class A solar simulator (Model no. 91160A) equipped with AM 1.5G filter (Model no. 81088A) and a 300 W xenon lamp (Model no. 6258) was used as the light source, and was calibrated by using a reference Si reference solar cell (NREL Institutes, USA). For each experiment, 1 g L$^{-1}$ as-synthesized ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ photocatalysts was added into the 20 mL of solutions containing 10 mg L$^{-1}$ BPA at pH 7. In addition, the photocatalytic degradation of BPA by commercial TiO$_2$ nanoparticles including P-25 and ST-01 TiO$_2$ was performed under the same conditions for comparison. The experiments were carried out in the dark and under the irradiation of different light sources with the same light intensity of 150 mW cm$^{-2}$ by adjusting the exposed surface area of the quartz reactor to maintain a consistent irradiation effect. The numbers of photon hitting the solution in the photocatalytic reactor are $0.9 \times 10^{10}$, $1.1 \times 10^{19}$, and $1.2 \times 10^{19}$ photons per second for UV (365 nm), visible (465 nm), and solar (major peak at 500 nm) lights, respectively.

Prior to the irradiation, the mixtures were well-mixed under vigorous stirring conditions in the dark for 60 min and at 25 °C to establish the adsorption equilibrium of BPA with photocatalysts. After the adsorption equilibrium, the light sources were switched on for photodegradation of BPA by various photocatalysts and aliquots (1 mL) was withdrawn from the solution at the selected time intervals. After liquid–solid separation through the centrifugation at 14 000 rpm for 5 min, the BPA concentration in aqueous solution was measured by using Agilent Technologies 1200 high performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) equipped with photodiode array (PDA) as the detector. In addition, the C-18 column (LUNA 5u 100A, 4.6 mm × 250 mm, Phenomenex) with mobile phase of methanol/acetoniitrile/water (50 : 30 : 20, v/v) solution at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$ was used to identify BPA. The BPA concentration was determined based on the absorbance at 225 nm. In addition, the photo-generated free radicals from the photodegradation of BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ in the presence of 4.4 mM 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline $N$-oxide (DMPO) was examined in ethanol and aqueous solutions using an electron spin resonance (ESR) spectrometer (Bruker, EMX-10, Germany).

2.4. Reaction kinetics

It is well-established that the reaction of organic chemicals onto heterogeneous catalysts can be described by the Langmuir–Hinshelwood kinetic model. For photocatalytic degradation, BPA needs to adsorb onto the surface of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites first, and then reacts with the reactive species on photocatalyst for photodegradation. Therefore, the Langmuir–Hinshelwood kinetic model can be used to describe the photocatalytic degradation of BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$:

$$r_0 = \frac{dC_R}{dr} = \frac{k_1 K_a C_R}{1 + K_a C_R}$$

(1)

where $r_0$ is the initial rate for BPA photodegradation, $C_R$ is the BPA concentration, $k_1$ is the intrinsic rate constant and $K_a$ is the Langmuir coefficient of BPA. When $K_a C_R$ is small ($<$1), eqn (1) can be simplified to the pseudo-first-order kinetics:

$$\ln \left( \frac{C}{C_0} \right) = -k_{obs} t$$

(2)

where $k_{obs}$ is the pseudo-first-order rate constant for BPA photodegradation (min$^{-1}$).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites

TEM images were first used to identify the morphology and structure of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites. The TEM image shows that the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites are regular-shaped nanoparticles (Fig. 1a). However, the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanoparticles agglomerate to some extents, presumably attributed to the magnetic property of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ particles. The coexistence of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and TiO$_2$ can be confirmed by HRTEM image. As shown in Fig. 1b, both ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and ST-01 TiO$_2$ are nanosized particles with diameters of 7–10 nm. Two distinct lattice fringes with $d$-spacing of 0.25 and 0.35 nm, which can be ascribed to the (311) and (101) planes of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and anatase TiO$_2$, respectively, are observed. Moreover, an intimate interface between two nanoparticles is observed. This indicates that the heterojunction between p-type ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and n-type TiO$_2$ can facilitate the charge transfer more readily to significantly reduce the recombination of electron–hole pairs as well as to enhance the visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites.

The XRD patterns shown in Fig. 1c clearly displays the anatase TiO$_2$ peaks at 25.41°, 38.05°, 48.03°, 54.30°, 54.94°, 62.80°, 68.74°, 70.07° and 75.28° 2θ. In addition, a small peak appeared at 35.29° 2θ is the characteristic peak of (311) plane of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles, which is in good agreement with the results obtained from HRTEM image. It is noteworthy that only few XRD peaks of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ is observed, presumably attributed to the low loaded amount of 1 wt% ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ onto TiO$_2$ surface. The EDS spectrum shown in Fig. 1d indicates the existence of Ti, Zn, Fe and O elements and the atomic ratio of Fe/Zn in the heterostructures (Fe/Zn = 2.1) is close to the theoretical molar ratio of 2 (Table S1, ESI†). Moreover, the mass loading of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ is calculated to be 1.02 wt% from EDS spectra, clearly indicating that non-aqueous hydrothermal method can synthesize 1 wt% ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites. It is noteworthy that the weight ratio of each component in the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites has a great influence on the photocatalytic activity of heterojunction. Our previous study has demonstrated that addition of 0.2–2 wt% ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ to TiO$_2$ nanoparticles can enhance the
photocatalytic degradation efficiency of organic dyes and the optimal added amount of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ is 1 wt%. Therefore, 1 wt% ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ was selected in this study for photodegradation of BPA under different light sources irradiation.

Fig. 2a shows the optical property of as-prepared ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocatalysts. The absorption edges of pure ST01 TiO$_2$ and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ starts at 380 and 550 nm, respectively, which are consistent with the reported data of anatase TiO$_2$ and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$. The UV-visible spectra of 1 wt% ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ shows an absorption in the visible light region, which indicates that the extension of absorption edge to the visible light region is mainly attributed to the existence of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$. This extension...
behavior depicts that ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} may contain more lattice defects in the nanocomposites, which can serve as the center of bound excitons to drive the separation and transportation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs.\textsuperscript{38}

The retardation of electron–hole recombination is optically examined. Fig. S1 (ESI\textsuperscript{†}) shows the photoluminescence spectra of commercial TiO\textsubscript{2}, as-prepared ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and 1 wt% ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposite after the irradiation of excitation wavelength at 283 nm. The photoluminescence spectra of all the nanomaterials show a major peak at 315 nm, which is the characteristic peak of the recombination of hole and electron in the valence and conduction bands, respectively. The decrease in photoluminescence intensity of 1 wt% ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposite indicates the obvious retardation of electrons and holes, which is in good agreement with our previous result.\textsuperscript{11} This means the successful fabrication of p-n ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} heterojunction, which can be used for visible-light-sensitive photodegradation.

The FTIR spectrum of TiO\textsubscript{2} shows a broad band at 455 cm\textsuperscript{−1}, which can be assigned as the Ti–O–Ti stretching mode (Fig. 2b). Two inherent stretching vibration of M–O bonds centering at 570 cm\textsuperscript{−1} (Fe–O) and 400 cm\textsuperscript{−1} (Zn–O) appear in the as-prepared ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} spectrum, confirming the spinel structure of zinc ferrite. The presence of broad absorption bands at 1650 and 3410 cm\textsuperscript{−1} are the contribution from the vibration of O–H group of adsorbed organic residues and water on the surface of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, respectively. In addition, the sharp band at 1384 cm\textsuperscript{−1} clearly demonstrates the presence of nitrate group, which is originally from the usage of metal nitrate salts as the precursors for ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} preparation. It is clear that the FTIR spectrum of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites exhibits the main characteristic peaks of TiO\textsubscript{2} at 455 cm\textsuperscript{−1} and ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} at 1650 and 3410 cm\textsuperscript{−1}. Moreover, additional peaks at 2924, 2852, 1106 and 1045 cm\textsuperscript{−1} are also observed. The 2924 and 2852 cm\textsuperscript{−1} peaks are the typical symmetric and asymmetric C–H stretching vibrations, respectively, whereas 1106 cm\textsuperscript{−1} is the C–O–H bond and 1045 cm\textsuperscript{−1} can be assigned as the C–O–C bond. Fig. S2 (ESI\textsuperscript{†}) shows the FTIR spectrum of octanol, the solvent used for preparation of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2}. Four major peaks at 2924, 2852, 1106 and 1045 cm\textsuperscript{−1} are clearly observed, which is in good agreement with those of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites. This indicates that the functional groups on the surface of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} is mainly from the octanol residues.

The thermal property of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites was further examined. As shown in Fig. 2c, the TGA curve of ST-01 TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles shows a continuous weight loss from room temperature to 1000 °C in N\textsubscript{2}, and a total of 13% weight loss, mainly from the desorption of physically adsorbed water as well as the decomposition of adsorbed organic substances, is observed. Different from the thermal property of commercial TiO\textsubscript{2}, three major weight loss regions with a total weight loss of 15% are identified in the decomposition profile of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}. The weight loss from room temperature to 200 °C corresponds to the evaporation of trapped moisture and the removal of interstitial water molecule, while the decomposition at 200–400 °C is attributed from the thermal-labile organic functional groups onto ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} surface. The slight decrease in weight loss in the range of 400–1000 °C is due to the decomposition of long-chained organic moieties (oleic acid and oleylamine) on the surface. The TGA curve of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} shows a relatively high thermal stability in the initial decomposition temperature range of 25–200 °C. The weight of nanocomposites decrease obviously in the temperature region of 200–450 °C and then follows a slight decrease at 450–800 °C, which is mainly attributed to the decomposition of organic matrix residues on the surface of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites during synthesis.

EIS was further performed to investigate the separation and transport processes of photogenerated electron–holes in the photocatalysts. As shown in Fig. 2d, all the Nyquist plots of impedance spectra consist of a semicircle arc and a straight-line portion. The semicircle in high frequency region can be regarded as the characteristics of charge transfer process, while the linear line at low frequency corresponds to the diffusion-controlled step.\textsuperscript{29,30} It can be seen that the ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites has a much smaller arc diameter than those of pure TiO\textsubscript{2} and ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles, clearly indicating that the combination of p-type ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and n-type TiO\textsubscript{2} significantly reduces the charge transfer resistance. The low charge transfer resistance is mainly contributed from the efficient separation of electron–hole pairs, and would result in the high photocatalytic activity of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites.

3.2. Effect of light source on photocatalytic activity of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2}

The visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} toward BPA degradation was first evaluated by the irradiation of 3 different light sources, 365 UV light, 465 nm visible light and solar simulator. Fig. 3a shows the photodegradation efficiency of 10 mg L\textsuperscript{−1} BPA by ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} in the presence of different light sources. 35% of the original BPA are removed within 30 min when ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} is irradiated with 365 nm UV light. Different from the photodegradation behavior under UV light irradiation, a nearly complete photodegradation of BPA is observed when solar simulator is used as the light source. Interestingly, the photocatalytic activity of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-TiO\textsubscript{2} can be enhanced under 465 nm visible light irradiation and a nearly complete degradation efficiency of BPA is observed after 20 min of visible light irradiation. The blank control experiments show that the as-prepared ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} has little photocatalytic activity toward BPA degradation in the presence of different light sources (Fig. S3a, ESI\textsuperscript{†}), presumably due to the rapid hole–electron recombination rate.\textsuperscript{24,25} In addition, the photodegradation efficiencies of BPA by pure ST-01 TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles are in the range of 30–46% and follows the order 365 nm UV light > solar light > 465 nm visible light (Fig. S3b, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). Notably, 1 wt% ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} was physically mixed with TiO\textsubscript{2} and then used for photodegradation of BPA under different light sources irradiation. As shown in Fig. S3c (ESI\textsuperscript{†}), the photodegradation efficiency of BPA by physical mixing of 1 wt% ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and TiO\textsubscript{2} under different light sources is in the range of 32–44% after 30 min of irradiation, which is similar to that by pure ST-01 TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles. This result clearly indicates that the formation of heterojunction between ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and TiO\textsubscript{2}
nanoparticles plays a decisive role in enhancing the visible-light-sensitive photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ heterojunction prepared by hydrothermal method.

To further make sure the photodegradability of BPA by TiO$_2$ in the presence of different light sources, Degussa P25 TiO$_2$ was used as the photocatalyst. As shown in Fig. S4 (ESI†), the removal efficiency of BPA by P25 TiO$_2$ are 93%, 62% and 30% when irradiated with 365 nm UV light, solar simulator and 465 nm visible light, respectively, clearly showing that pure TiO$_2$ nanoparticles has high photoactivity in the presence of UV light. These results also confirm the superior visible-light-responsive photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites toward BPA degradation to that under UV light irradiation. It is noteworthy that 10–23% of BPA are adsorbed within 60 min under dark reaction, presumably attributed to the fact that the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites are fabricated under non-aqueous solution and the surface contains some trace amounts of organics, which is in good agreement with the FTIR and TGA results shown in Fig. 2b and c.

The photocatalytic degradation of BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ follows the pseudo-first-order kinetics and the pseudo-first-order rate constants ($k_{obs}$) for BPA degradation in the presence of 465 nm visible light, solar simulator and 365 nm UV light are 0.218, 0.141 and 0.0052 min$^{-1}$, respectively. It is noteworthy that the photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ under 465 nm visible light irradiation is 42 times higher than that under 365 nm UV light irradiation. Usually short wavelength can provide more energy for photocatalysts to enhance the photodegradation efficiency. Interestingly, the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ irradiated with 465 nm visible light in this study has demonstrated the superior photocatalytic activity to that with 365 nm UV light. One of the possible reasons is that 365 nm UV light can trigger the photogenerated hole-electron pairs for both ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and TiO$_2$ and then the hole-electron pairs would undergo the rapid recombination inside the TiO$_2$ and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$.

Fig. 3b shows the ESR spectra of free radicals produced from BPA solution containing 1 g L$^{-1}$ of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ and 4.4 mM DMPO under different light sources irradiation. No ESR signal is produced under the dark reaction. After irradiation with different light sources for 5–20 min, the four-line ESR signals with characteristic 1 : 2 : 2 : 1 quartet of DMPO–$^\cdot$OH spin adducts are clearly observed, indicating the production of $^\cdot$OH radicals. In addition, the peak intensity follows the order 465 nm visible light > solar simulator > 365 nm UV light, which means that the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ with p–n heterojunction in the presence of visible light can separate e$^-$ and h$^+$ effectively to accelerate the generation of $^\cdot$OH radicals for photodegradation of BPA. It is noteworthy that the production of hydroxyl radicals increases with the increase in irradiation time and shows a good relationship with the removal efficiency of BPA in the presence of different light sources, clearly indicating that hydroxyl radical is one of the reactive species for BPA photodegradation.
Another plausible reason for the inferior photoactivity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ at 365 nm UV light is the photo-stability of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ after irradiation. Fig. 3c and d shows the aqueous Zn and Fe concentrations after irradiation with different light sources at pH 7, respectively. It is clear that the released concentrations of Zn and Fe are only 10–24 and 8–19 µg L$^{-1}$, respectively, under the irradiation of 465 nm visible light. The aqueous concentrations of Zn and Fe slightly increase to 28–45 and 24–37 µg L$^{-1}$, respectively, when the light source changes to solar simulator, showing that ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ is photo-stable under the visible light and solar simulator irradiation. In contrast, the aqueous Zn and Fe concentrations are 315 and 128 µg L$^{-1}$ after 15 min of UV light irradiation and then increase to 438 and 294 µg L$^{-1}$, respectively, when the irradiation time prolongs to 30 min. These results clearly indicate the photolabile of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ under UV light irradiation. It is noteworthy that the formation of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ is a solid reaction between ZnO and Fe$_2$O$_3$ and all the light sources can trigger the photo-corrosion of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$. Since the bandgap of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ is only 1.9 eV,$^{24}$ the irradiation of UV light generate holes more readily to attack oxygen atoms in Zn–O bond of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$  

$\text{ZnO} + 2h^+ + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Zn(OH)}_n^{(2-n)+} + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + n\text{H}^+$,$^{24}$ and subsequently results in the increase in photo-corrosion rate of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites in comparison with other two light sources. Since the irradiation of both 465 nm visible light and solar simulator can exhibit excellent visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ and the $k_{obs}$ for BPA photodegradation under 465 nm visible light irradiation is higher than that in the presence of solar simulator, 465 nm visible light is used as the light source for further experiments.

3.3. Effect of initial BPA concentration on photoactivity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$

Fig. 4 shows the photodegradation efficiency and rate of various initial concentrations of BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ under 465 nm visible light irradiation at 25 °C and at pH 7. It is evident that the photodegradation efficiency and rate of BPA decreases with the increase in initial BPA concentrations from 5 to 40 mg L$^{-1}$. As shown in Fig. 4a, a nearly complete photodegradation of 5 mg L$^{-1}$ BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ is observed within 15 min of irradiation. However, 30 min of irradiation is need to achieve the same removal efficiency (>99%) when BPA concentration increases to 40 mg L$^{-1}$. The photodegradation of BPA at various initial concentrations also follows the pseudo-first-order kinetics and the $k_{obs}$ for BPA photodegradation decreases from 0.295 to 0.096 min$^{-1}$ when the initial BPA concentration increases from 5 to 40 mg L$^{-1}$ (inset of Fig. 4a).

Several studies have depicted that the photocatalytic degradation is a surface-mediated reaction and the photocatalytic efficiency and rate is highly dependent on the active sites onto the photocatalyst.$^{6,31,44}$ Therefore, the Langmuir–Hinshelwood kinetics can be employed to describe the relationship (eqn (1)). Fig. 4b shows the relationship between the initial rate of BPA photodegradation and the initial BPA concentration. It is clear that the initial rate of BPA photodegradation increases rapidly from 0.572 to 1.974 mg L$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ at initial BPA concentration of 5–30 mg L$^{-1}$ and then reaches the plateau of 2.051 mg L$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ at 40 mg L$^{-1}$ BPA. A good linear relationship between 1/$r$ and 1/C$_0$ with correlation coefficient ($r^2$) of 0.998 is observed (inset of Fig. 4b). The $K_a$ and $k_1$ values are calculated to be 0.038 L mg$^{-1}$ and 3.553 mg L$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$, respectively, clearly showing that the photodegradation of BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ is a surface-mediated process.

3.4. Stability and reusability of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$

The reusability is an important factor for evaluation of the possible application of photocatalysts. In this study, the stability and reusability of 1 wt% ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were examined by repeated injection of 10 mg L$^{-1}$ BPA into the solution at pH 7 under visible light irradiation. Fig. 5a shows the photocatalytic degradation efficiency and rate of BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ over 10 repeated cycles of usage. It is apparent that a nearly complete photodegradation of BPA by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ can be rapidly achieved within 30 min of visible light irradiation even after 10 cycles of continuous usage. Fig. 5b
showing the $k_{\text{obs}}$ for BPA photodegradation as a function of cycling time. The reaction rate of BPA is almost the same during the first 6 cycles and then decreases slightly from 0.218 min$^{-1}$ at the 6th cycle to 0.191 min$^{-1}$ at the 10th cycle, clearly indicating the excellent visible-light-responsive activity and recyclability of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites. The slight decrease in $k_{\text{obs}}$ is attributed to the accumulation of intermediates after photocatalytic degradation of BPA and loss of the trace amount of photocatalyst during separation.\textsuperscript{44-47} In addition, the released aqueous concentrations of Zn and Fe are 32 and 21 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$, respectively, which are far lower than the standards of Zn (5 mg L$^{-1}$) and Fe (0.3 mg L$^{-1}$) in drinking water. These results indicate that ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ is an environmentally friendly and stable photocatalyst, which can maintain the highly recyclable photoactivity under visible light irradiation conditions.

3.5. Photocatalytic reaction mechanism of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites

To further identify the possible reaction mechanism for BPA photodegradation by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$, the main oxidative species involving in the photodegradation of BPA are determined through ESR spectra and radical trapping experiments. Fig. 6a shows the ESR spectra of free radicals produced from the visible light irradiation of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites in ethanol and aqueous solutions. No ESR signal is produced in both ethanol and aqueous solutions containing DMPO and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ in the presence of BPA in the dark. After irradiation of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ with visible light in ethanol solution, the six-line ESR spectra are clearly observed, indicating the generation of O-centered radical adducts such as $O_2^\cdot$ and $HO_2^\cdot$. In addition, ethanol serves as the scavenger of $'OH$ radicals to produce CH$_3$CH$_2$OH radicals and water (CH$_3$CH$_2$OH + $'OH$ $\rightarrow$ CH$_3$CH$_2$O + H$_2$O), and subsequently diminish the ESR signal of $'OH$ radicals. In contrast, the ESR spectrum of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ shows a typical $'OH$ radical pattern in aqueous solution, which
indicates the reaction of oxygen-containing radicals with water molecules to produce \( 'OH \) radicals.

For radical trapping experiments, 1 mM tert-butanol (\( t-BuOH \)), nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) and Na2EDTA are used as the scavengers for hydroxyl (\( 'OH \)), superoxide anion radical (\( O_2^- \)) and hole (\( h^+ \)), respectively. As shown in Fig. 6b, the photocatalytic degradation efficiency of BPA by ZnFe2O4–TiO2 decreases after the injection of different scavengers. The photodegradation efficiencies of BPA decrease from >99% in the absence of scavenger to 89, 80 and 57% after 30 min of visible light irradiation when \( t-BuOH \), NBT and Na2EDTA, respectively, are added. In addition, the \( k_{obs} \) for BPA photodegradation by ZnFe2O4–TiO2 decreases obviously from 0.218 \( \text{min}^{-1} \) in the absence of scavenger to 0.083 \( \text{min}^{-1} \) for \( t-BuOH \), 0.048 \( \text{min}^{-1} \) for NBT and 0.017 \( \text{min}^{-1} \) for Na2EDTA. It is clear that the degradation efficiency and rate of BPA by ZnFe2O4–TiO2 is remarkably restrained after the addition of Na2EDTA, indicating that the photogenerated holes (\( h^+ \)) on the surface of ZnFe2O4–TiO2 are the predominant reactive species responsible for the photocatalytic degradation of BPA under 465 nm visible light irradiation.

The superoxide anion radicals, produced from the reaction of oxygen with photogenerated electrons, also act as another key factor in the photocatalytic process. It is noteworthy that the photogenerated holes (\( h^+ \)) in the valence band (VB) of ZnFe2O4 cannot react with the surface-absorbed \( H_2O \) to generate the highly reactive hydroxyl radicals (\( 'OH \)) because of the low VB position (0.38 eV) in comparison with that of water oxidation \([\Delta^0('OH/OH^-) = 2.38 \text{V}]\). Therefore, the generation of hydroxyl radicals in the heterogeneous photocatalytic system under visible light irradiation is mainly produced from the chain reactions of superoxide anion radicals with proton and/or photogenerated electrons. The above mentioned results clearly indicate that the direct hole oxidation and the oxidation from the oxygen-containing radicals such as \( 'OH \) and \( O_2^- \) are the major reaction mechanisms for the photocatalytic degradation of BPA under visible light irradiation conditions. The excellent photocatalytic activity of ZnFe2O4–TiO2 toward BPA degradation is mainly contributed from the high efficiency of electron–hole separation induced by the p–n heterojunction of ZnFe2O4–TiO2 nanocomposites. Fig. 7 shows the possible visible-light-driven reaction mechanisms for BPA photodegradation by ZnFe2O4–TiO2 nanocomposites in the presence of 465 nm visible light. Electrons in the VB of ZnFe2O4 can be photo-excited to the conduction band (CB) to produce the electron–hole pairs after the irradiation of visible light (pathway 1). Since the redox potential position of CB of ZnFe2O4 at \(-1.54 \text{eV}\) is higher than that of anatase TiO2 \(-0.29 \text{eV}\), the excited electrons in ZnFe2O4 can be easily transferred across the interface of nanocomposites to the CB of anatase TiO2, and leave holes in the VB of ZnFe2O4 (pathway 2). Therefore, the coupling of p-type ZnFe2O4 and n-type TiO2 can effectively reduce the recombination rate of electrons and holes, and subsequently decreases the internal resistance as well as enhances the interfacial charge transfer efficiency, which can be seen in the EIS spectra (Fig. 2d). Therefore, the photogenerated holes (\( h^+ \)) in the VB of ZnFe2O4 can directly photodegrade BPA under the irradiation of 465 nm visible light (pathway 3). In

Fig. 7  Schematic illustration of visible-light-driven photodegradation of BPA by ZnFe2O4–TiO2 photocatalysts under visible light irradiation.
addition, the reduction potential of electrons in the VB of TiO$_2$ at pH 7 is $-0.29$ V, which can provide sufficient reducing power to react with oxygen to generate superoxide anion (O$_2^-$) and peroxyl (HO$_2^-$) radicals [$E^0$(O$_2$/O$_2^-$) = $-0.33$ V and $E^0$(O$_2$/HO$_2^-$) = $-0.05$ V] (pathways 4 and 5). The produced oxygen-containing radicals (O$_2^-$ and HO$_2^-$) can further react with electrons and protons to produce hydroxyl radicals (·OH). Since the produced hydroxyl radicals can be prolonging to more than 20 min (Fig. 3b), the photocatalytic degradation efficiency and rate of BPA can be significantly enhanced under visible light irradiation (pathway 6).

4. Conclusions

In this study, we have, for the first time, demonstrated the excellent visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites toward BPA degradation under 465 nm visible light irradiation. The intimate heterojunction interface between p-type ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and n-type TiO$_2$ can facilitate the charge transfer more readily, resulting in the reduction of recombination efficiency of electron–hole pairs as well as the enhancement of visible-light-responsive photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites. The photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ under 465 nm visible light irradiation is 42 times higher than that under 365 nm UV light irradiation. In addition, the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanocomposites can retain their photocatalytic efficiency for at least 10 cycles of reaction with stable photodegradation rate constants under visible light irradiation. The radical trapping experiments indicate that photogenerated holes as well as oxygen-containing radicals are the predominant reactive species responsible for the photodegradation of BPA in the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ system. In addition, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ still shows excellent photocatalytic activity toward BPA photodegradation under solar simulator irradiation, indicating the possible photocatalytic application of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ in natural aquatic environments. Results obtained in this study clearly demonstrate that ZnFe$_2$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ is a reliable green photocatalyst with superior photocatalytic activity toward BPA under visible light irradiation, which can open an avenue to fabricate p–n heterojunction photocatalysts approach with great potential applications of utilizing visible light for removal of recalcitrant and emerging pollutants, water splitting and energy conversion.
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