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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Vessel imaging in acute ischemic stroke is essential to select patients with large-vessel occlusion for mechanical thrombectomy. Our aim was to compare the diagnostic accuracy of time-of-flight MR angiography and contrast-enhanced MR angiography for identification of vessel occlusion and collateral status in acute ischemic stroke.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: One hundred twenty-three patients with stroke with large-vessel occlusion before thrombectomy were included in this retrospective study. Before thrombectomy, 3T MR imaging, including conventional 3D TOF-MRA of the intracranial arteries and contrast-enhanced MRA of intra- and extracranial arteries, was performed. Both techniques were assessed independently by 2 neuroradiologists for location of the occlusion, imaging quality, and collateral status. Findings were compared, with subsequent DSA as the reference standard.

RESULTS: Both techniques had good interrater agreement of \( \kappa = 0.74 \) (95% CI, 0.66–0.83) for TOF-MRA and \( \kappa = 0.72 \) (95% CI, 0.63–0.80) for contrast-enhanced MRA. Occlusion localization differed significantly on TOF-MRA compared with DSA \( (P < .001) \), while no significant difference was observed between DSA and contrast-enhanced MRA \( (P = .75) \). Assessment of collaterals showed very good agreement between contrast-enhanced MRA and DSA (94.9% with \( P = .25 \)), but only fair agreement between TOF-MRA and DSA (23.2% with \( P < .001 \)).

CONCLUSIONS: Contrast-enhanced MRA offers better diagnostic accuracy than TOF-MRA in acute ischemic stroke. Contrast-enhanced MRA was superior in localizing vessel occlusion within a shorter acquisition time while providing a larger coverage, including extracranial vessels, and a more accurate assessment of collateral status. These results support inclusion of contrast-enhanced MRA in acute stroke MR imaging, perhaps making TOF-MRA superfluous.

ABBREVIATION: CE-MRA = contrast-enhanced MRA

In acute ischemic stroke, imaging plays a pivotal role in the initial diagnosis and treatment decisions. Especially in acute ischemic stroke due to large-vessel occlusion, vessel imaging, including CT angiography and MR angiography, is essential to select patients for thrombectomy as a highly effective treatment.1 Stroke MR imaging usually includes time-of-flight MRA for the detection of proximal vessel occlusion.2–4 However, TOF-MRA has several major disadvantages: It provides only a small FOV, excluding extracranial vessels, and has a long acquisition time with the risk of motion artifacts5 because the MR signal is generated by blood flow.6

Contrast-enhanced MR angiography (CE-MRA) with T1-shortening paramagnetic contrast medium induces the MR signal predominantly unaffected by blood flow disturbances, while providing a larger coverage from the aortic arch up to intracranial arteries,7 and has a shorter acquisition time.

One of the most important questions in diagnostic imaging of acute stroke is, besides occlusion location as the principal indicator for further treatment, the assessment of pial collateral circulation as an independent predictor of outcome.8–10 Recently, TOF-MRA and CE-MRA have both been implemented in the evaluation of patients with acute stroke with promising results.11,12 However, evaluation of CE-MRA as a method to identify intracranial occlusions and to assess collateral circulation has not yet provided conclusive results.13,14 As more centers use MR imaging for triage (especially in the 6- to 24-hour time window), it is important to determine the best MR imaging protocol to assess proximal occlusion and collaterals.

The aim of this retrospective study was to assess the interrater agreement and diagnostic accuracy of TOF-MRA and CE-MRA in
identifying the location of occlusions and assessing the status of collaterals in the acute phase of ischemic stroke, compared with the reference standard DSA, in patients with large-vessel occlusion eligible for thrombectomy.

The hypothesis of this study was that CE-MRA is superior to TOF-MRA in determining the site of occlusion as the key element of acute stroke MR imaging before thrombectomy. Moreover, we hypothesized that CE-MRA offers better diagnostic assessment of collateral status. Additionally, by covering a larger FOV, including the supra-aortic vessels, CE-MRA offers the possibility of additional findings.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient Selection**

Due to the retrospective character of the study, individual written informed consent was waived by the institutional review board. One hundred twenty-three patients were prospectively included in a database between January 2011 and July 2015.

Inclusion criteria for this study were the following: 1) clinical symptoms suggestive of acute stroke due to large-vessel occlusion, 2) DSA within 60 minutes after stroke MR imaging for thrombectomy, and 3) absence of motion artifacts impeding assessment of intracranial vessel occlusion.

**MR Imaging**

All examinations were performed on a 3T MR imaging scanner (Magnetom Trio or Verio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using a 12-channel head and neck coil array.

The complete acute stroke MR imaging protocol included parenchymal brain imaging sequences (axial DWI, T2 FLAIR, and SWI), angiographic sequences without contrast media (3D TOF), angiographic sequences with injection of a first bolus of contrast (CE-MRA), and perfusion-weighted imaging with the injection of a second bolus of contrast. The total average acquisition time for all MR imaging sequences was 17 minutes. The MRA acquisition parameters are provided in Table 1.

| Parameter          | CE-MRA                                      | 3D TOF-MRA                                |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Receive coil       | 12-Channel head and neck coil combination   | 12-Channel head and neck coil combination |
| TR/TE (ms)         | 3.28/1.23                                   | 2.33/1.33                                 |
| Flip angle         | 33°                                         | 18°                                       |
| Acquisition plane  | Coronal                                     | Axial                                     |
| FOV (mm)           | 300 × 300                                   | 200 × 200                                 |
| Reconstructed voxel size (mm) | 0.9 × 0.7 × 0.8 | 0.7 × 0.5 × 0.6 |
| Slice oversampling (%) | 91                                           | 20                                        |
| No. of slices per slab | 88                                           | 40                                        |
| No. of slabs       | 1                                           | 3                                         |
| Slice thickness (mm) | 0.8                                          | 0.6                                       |
| Partial Fourier (phase and slice directions) | 7/8 and 6/8 | 6/8 and 6/8 |
| Total acquisition time | 64 sec                                      | 3 min 7 sec                               |

A volume of 0.1 mmol/kg of body weight bolus of gadoterate meglumine, 0.5 mmol/mL, (Dotarem; Guerbet, Aulnay-sous-Bois, France) was administered via a peripheral venous catheter at 2 mL/s with an automatic power injector, followed by a 20-mL saline flush, with a bolus-tracking acquisition for the CE-MRA. A bolus-tracking sequence was started simultaneously with the injection. The image-acquisition sequence was launched manually after arrival of contrast media in the proximal common carotid artery. The second bolus of contrast (PWI) was identical, with injection of a volume of 0.1 mmol/kg of body weight bolus of gadoterate meglumine, 0.5 mmol/mL intravenously at 3.5 mL/s with an automatic power injector, followed by a 20-mL saline flush.

Source images and 3D maximum-intensity-projection images of CE-MRA and TOF-MRA were generated.

**DSA Imaging**

Diagnostic DSA before thrombectomy was performed as the standard reference for extra- and intracranial artery analysis. All DSA examinations were performed by experienced neurointerventionalists on a biplanar system (Artis zee biplane; Siemens). Angiographic images were acquired at 4 frames per second with a manual injection of iodinated contrast media.

**Data Analysis**

The TOF-MRA and CE-MRA sequences (MPR and MIP images) were evaluated independently and in random order on a commercially available 3D workstation by 2 neuroradiologists (T.B. and U.N.) with 8 and 2 years of experience, respectively. Results were compared with those of diagnostic DSA images in terms of occlusion location, quality of imaging, relevant vascular findings of supra-aortic vessels in CE-MRA, and assessment of collaterals. Readings occurred for several days, and cases were randomly assigned to prevent recall. Potential disagreements were discussed to reach a consensus.

The overall MR image quality was analyzed with a subjective interpretation score using a 3-point scoring scale: 0 = poor quality with a substantial number of artifacts, interpretation not possible; 1 = moderate quality with a mild-to-moderate number of artifacts, noise not interfering with diagnosis/interpretation; 2 = good/excellent image quality with no-to-minimal artifacts.

Occlusion location was defined as the proximal M1 segment (first half of the M1 segment), distal M1 segment (second half of the M1 segment), M2 segment, M3 segment, proximal internal carotid artery, distal ICA (subdivided into carotid-I, -L, and -T occlusions according to Liebeskind et al15), common carotid artery, and basilar artery.

Collaterals were evaluated according to a simplified 3-point scale based on the 4-point CT scale by Tan et al.16 comparing the vascularity distal to the occlusion between the ischemic and the healthy hemisphere: 0 = no collaterals (no filling of the occluded area), 1 = poor collaterals (>0% but ≤50% filling of the occluded area), 2 = moderate/good collaterals (>50% filling of the occluded area).

Finally, we evaluated the relevant vascular findings of supra-aortic vessels, which may have influenced the choice of guiding or distal-access catheter (eg, vulnerable aortic arch and vascular variants).
Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism, Version 7 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California) and Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington). Interrater agreement was determined using the Cohen κ coefficient. Agreement was graded according to Altman’s definition\(^1\) with \(κ = 0.0–0.20\), poor; \(κ = 0.21–0.40\), fair; \(κ = 0.41–0.60\), moderate; \(κ = 0.61–0.80\), good; and \(κ = 0.81–1.00\), very good.

Measurements (occlusion location, collaterals) based on the 2 MRA sequences were compared with the reference imaging standard DSA using a Wilcoxon signed rank test. A variable was considered statistically significant only if \(P < .05\).\(^1\)

RESULTS

Patient Selection

Overall, 123 patients met the inclusion criteria (mean age, 70.3 ± 12.9 years; 70 women, 53 men) between January 2011 and July 2015. Sex and age distributions are given in Fig 1.

Evaluation of Image Quality

Only 3.25% (4/123) of all TOF-MRAs and 1.62% (2/123) of all CE-MRAs could not be interpreted because of motion artifacts. The imaging quality was not statistically different between TOF-MRA (mean, 1.72 ± 0.49) and CE-MRA (mean, 1.83 ± 0.45) using the 3-step scoring scale. Interrater agreement for assessment of imaging quality was moderate for both CE-MRA (κ = 0.44; 95% CI, 0.25–0.64) and TOF-MRA (κ = 0.51; 95% CI, 0.35–0.68).

Occlusion Location

In all 123 patients, an arterial occlusion was identifiable in 3D TOF and CE-MRA sequences. Interrater agreement for occlusion location was good for CE-MRA (κ = 0.73; 95% CI, 0.66–0.82) and TOF-MRA (κ = 0.74; 95% CI, 0.66–0.83).

FIG 1. Sex and age distribution (in absolute numbers).

FIG 2. Site of occlusion (absolute numbers) based on the respective imaging technique.
Specificity and sensitivity for detecting the correct occlusion site compared with DSA were 92.0% and 91.7% for TOF-MRA and 99.0% and 92.0% for CE-MRA, respectively. Sensitivity for detecting a distal ICA occlusion was similar for both techniques (100% for TOF-MRA versus 94% for CE-MRA), whereas specificity was better for CE-MRA (100% versus 75% for TOF-MRA).

A Wilcoxon signed rank test revealed significant differences in the occlusion location between TOF-MRA and CE-MRA compared with DSA as the reference standard ($P < .001$) and only 21.5% (14/65) of cases were assigned correctly. Imaging examples are shown in Fig 3.

### Relevant Vascular Findings of Supra-aortic Vessels

CE-MRA demonstrated relevant vascular findings of the supra-aortic vessels, which were decisive for the endovascular treatment planning in 18.7% (23/123) of all patients. The relevant vascular findings are presented in Table 4.

### DISCUSSION

Both TOF-MRA and CE-MRA are frequently used and sensitive techniques for the triage of patients with acute stroke. Traditionally, TOF-MRA was primarily used to detect intracranial occlusions and occlusions in proximity to the skull base, while CE-MRA was usually performed to provide anatomic information from the aortic arch up to the skull base.

The advancement of stronger magnetic fields (ie, 3T), optimized sequences, and better receive coil arrays made it feasible to visualize intracranial cerebral arteries by CE-MRA at sufficient resolution. However, for more than a decade, multimodal CT has established itself as a less expensive and accessible alternative in the emergency assessment of patients with stroke, especially for the emergency assessment of patients with acute stroke. Traditionally, TOF-MRA was primarily used to detect intracranial occlusions and occlusions in proximity to the skull base, while CE-MRA was usually performed to provide anatomic information from the aortic arch up to the skull base.

The advancement of stronger magnetic fields (ie, 3T), optimized sequences, and better receive coil arrays made it feasible to visualize intracranial cerebral arteries by CE-MRA at sufficient resolution. However, for more than a decade, multimodal CT has established itself as a less expensive and accessible alternative in the emergency assessment of patients with stroke, especially for the emergency assessment of patients with acute stroke. Traditionally, TOF-MRA was primarily used to detect intracranial occlusions and occlusions in proximity to the skull base, while CE-MRA was usually performed to provide anatomic information from the aortic arch up to the skull base.

The advancement of stronger magnetic fields (ie, 3T), optimized sequences, and better receive coil arrays made it feasible to visualize intracranial cerebral arteries by CE-MRA at sufficient resolution. However, for more than a decade, multimodal CT has established itself as a less expensive and accessible alternative in the emergency assessment of patients with stroke, especially for the emergency assessment of patients with acute stroke. Traditionally, TOF-MRA was primarily used to detect intracranial occlusions and occlusions in proximity to the skull base, while CE-MRA was usually performed to provide anatomic information from the aortic arch up to the skull base.
The present study indicates the superiority of CE-MRA in detecting the intracranial vessel occlusion and assessing intracranial collateral status, while simultaneously providing larger coverage at a shorter acquisition time compared with TOF-MRA.

The primary differences between TOF-MRA and DSA were found in patients with occlusions of the distal supraclinoid ICA (occlusions of the carotid-I, -L, and -T15) and proximal ICA. This difference is mostly due to distal occlusions mimicking proximal extracranial ICA occlusions ("pseudo-occlusion") and proximal occlusions mimicking distal intracranial ICA occlusions. This misapprehension could be a result of the nonenhanced nature of TOF-MRA, a technique that depends on the flow velocity and direction of blood. Previous studies have already reported this limitation of TOF-MRA when assessing these occlusion sites.23-27 The better accuracy of CE-MRA was not dependent on image quality, which was not significantly different for the 2 techniques despite TOF-MRA having better spatial resolution. The better accuracy of CE-MRA, on the other hand, might be due to the T1-shortening effect of gadolinium. The effect compensates for the signal loss caused by spin dephasing occurring in TOF-MRA,19 especially when the arterial flow is slow or oriented parallel to the section plane, which applies to imaging of the M2 segment. In these cases, TOF-MRA may indicate an incorrect occlusion site, with the level of occlusion more proximal than expected.

Similarly, for the assessment of collaterals as independent predictors of outcome,8-10 CE-MRA was not affected by the signal loss observed in TOF-MRA. This particular signal loss might be due to low flow in vessels distal to the occlusion. Furthermore, slow collateral flow over leptomeningeal connections cannot be visualized on TOF-MRA.28 This issue resulted in CE-MRA being significantly more accurate than TOF-MRA in assessing collaterals compared with the criterion standard of DSA. A previously performed study with 44 patients found similar results with CE-MRA, but not TOF-MRA, being a reliable predictor of infarct outcome in patients with stroke with proximal arterial occlusion of the anterior circulation using visual scoring.29 The predictive performance could be increased by applying an automated atlas-based collateral assessment.

Besides assessment of the occlusion site and collaterals, CE-MRA offered additional advantages that could not be compared in the present study due to the limited FOV on TOF-MRA. CE-MRA showed relevant vascular findings of the supra-aortic vessels in 18.7% of patients, which are crucial for the planning of endovascular treatment. The choice of a guiding or distal-access catheter as well as selection of the vessel providing better access (in case of access from the vertebral artery) may be influenced by these findings as well as a priori knowledge of the internal carotid artery condition (eg, occlusion, site of occlusion, pseudo-occlusion).

Limitations of CE-MRA

In comparison with TOF-MRA, CE-MRA requires more preparation with the following: 1) filling a power injector with contrast media, and 2) a sequence for bolus-tracking that takes additional time during stroke MR imaging (64 seconds). Currently, the use of contrast media is controversial due to potential risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, in particular with unknown renal retention parameters,30,31 and possible brain gadolinium deposition.32 This risk is especially the case when not performing bolus PWI but instead using an arterial spin-labeling perfusion. Both risks
can be minimized using macrocyclic gadolinium-based contrast agents. Furthermore, in our subjective experience, the first bolus of contrast media for CE-MRA did not affect the subsequent PWI analysis and was not a major limiting factor for the diagnostic interpretation, as was shown before.

CE-MRA has lower spatial resolution compared with TOF-MRA, which could lead to poorer performance in addressing more precise characteristics of the clot, even if the localization is known.

**Study Limitations**

The main limitation of this study is its retrospective design and the inclusion of nonconsecutive patients. However, the data were collected in a prospective database. Moreover, a precise assessment of collateral status in DSA is only possible with 3-vessel angiography. Nevertheless, we performed only 1 injection at the site of occlusion to reach the clot as soon as possible and to avoid treatment delay. Another potential source of bias in this study might be the 30- to 60-minute delay between MRA examinations and DSA, with potential alterations of the findings (eg, thrombus migration, especially after intravenous thrombolysis). Moreover, the subjective assessment of image quality and collaterals, which is more sensitive to motion artifacts, may be a further limitation of the study.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our study indicates that CE-MRA is superior to TOF-MRA in identifying occlusion location and assessing the status of collaterals in patients with ischemic stroke, with shorter examination times. Moreover, CE-MRA can provide crucial information for the planning of endovascular treatment by covering a larger FOV. The inclusion of supra-aortic vessels, for example, can inform the selection of the appropriate guiding or distal-access catheters. These findings indicate that CE-MRA could replace TOF-MRA in the triage of patients with acute stroke and its use should be evaluated in future prospective trials.
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