Galectin-3 promotes CXCR2 to augment the stem-like property of renal cell carcinoma
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Abstract
Although targeted therapy is usually the first-line treatment for advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC), some patients can experience drug resistance. Cancer stem cells are tumour-initiating cells that play a vital role in drug resistance, metastasis and cancer relapse, while galectins (Gal) participate in tumour progression and drug resistance. However, the exact role of galectins in RCC stemness is yet unknown. In this study, we grew a subpopulation of RCC cells as tumour spheres with higher levels of stemness-related genes, such as Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog. Among the Gal family, Gal-3 in particular was highly expressed in RCC tumour spheres. To further investigate Gal-3’s role in the stemness of RCC, lentivirus-mediated knockdown and overexpression of Gal-3 in RCC cells were used to examine both in vitro and in vivo tumorigenicity. We further assessed Gal-3 expression in RCC tissue microarray using immunohistochemistry. Upon suppressing Gal-3 in parental RCC cells, invasion, colony formation, sphere-forming ability, drug resistance and stemness-related gene expression were all significantly decreased. Furthermore, CXCL6, CXCL7 and CXCR2 were down-regulated in Gal-3-knockdown tumour spheres, while CXCR2 overexpression in Gal-3-knockdown RCC restored the ability of sphere formation. Gal-3 overexpression in RCC promoted both in vitro and in vivo tumorigenicity, and its expression was correlated with CXCR2 expression and tumour progression in clinical tissues. RCC patients with higher co-expressions of Gal-3 and CXCR2 demonstrated a worse survival rate. These results indicate that highly expressed Gal-3 may up-regulate CXCR2 to augment RCC stemness. Gal-3 may be a prognostic and innovative target of combined therapy for treating RCC.
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1 | INTRODUCTION
Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) includes a heterogeneous group of primary kidney adenocarcinomas.1 Approximately one-third of all patients have a locally advanced disease upon diagnosis. Furthermore, nearly 30% of patients who undergo nephrectomy for localized RCC eventually develop tumour recurrence.2 The five-year survival rate of metastatic RCC is only 10% due to patients’ resistance to the currently available therapies. Although immunotherapy is one of the first-line therapy for treating metastatic clear
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cell RCC (ccRCC), its overall efficacy rate is restricted by its toxicity. Molecular targeting drugs, including tyrosine kinase and mTOR inhibitors, have been approved for treating advanced RCC.\textsuperscript{1,2} Nevertheless, long-lasting treatment responses cannot be achieved, and the overall survival rate is still poor due to drug resistance.

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) may contribute to drug resistance in human solid tumours.\textsuperscript{3,4} The frequency of functionally defined CSCs varies among different patients. With self-renewal as one of their hallmarks, CSCs can initiate tumour formation and metastasis. Furthermore, CSCs can be identified by in vitro sphere-forming assays and common cell surface markers, especially CD133 and CD44. CSCs can express ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters to become more resistant to chemotherapy compared to the bulk of a tumour cell mass.\textsuperscript{3,4} The tumour microenvironment supports cancer progression and CSC formation through growth factors, cytokines and chemokines. For example, within the tumour microenvironment, endothelial cells produce angiogenic factors and myofibroblasts secrete the stem cell factor, CXCL12 and Wnt to modulate the stemness of CSCs.\textsuperscript{5} Another critical component of the tumour microenvironment, galectin can control immune surveillance and aid tumour metastasis.\textsuperscript{6}

Galectins (Gals) are galactoside-binding lectins that contain conserved carbohydrate-recognition domains (CRDs) to bind β-galactose. According to their structural features, galectins are classified into the following three categories: prototype, chimera type and tandem-repeat type. The chimera galectin type has only one member, Gal-3,\textsuperscript{7} whose expression is required for initiating the transformed phenotype of tumours by interacting with oncogenic Ras.\textsuperscript{8} The Gal-3-RAS interaction promotes the RAS anchorage to the plasma membrane, which results in the constitutive activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and Raf-1.\textsuperscript{9} The tumorigenic potential of Gal-3 may also function through binding with β-catenin or transcriptional factors to increase the expressions of cyclin D and c-MYC and augment cell cycle progression.\textsuperscript{10} Furthermore, intracellular Gal-3 inhibits cell death induced by cisplatin and paclitaxel, thus contributing to cancer cells’ drug resistance and CSC formation.\textsuperscript{11,12} Extracellular Gal-3 has in vitro angiogenic activity by inducing the migration of endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{13}

Increased protein levels of Gal-3 are correlated with the poor survival of various cancers, including leukaemia, lymphomas, breast cancer and thyroid cancer.\textsuperscript{6} Gal-3 was overexpressed in RCC patients with distant metastasis.\textsuperscript{14} While chemokines and their receptors influence the initiation and progression of tumours in the tumour microenvironment, their role in the Gal-3-promoted CSC formation and drug resistance of RCC remains unclear.\textsuperscript{5} In this study, we found that Gal-3 was highly expressed in the CSCs of RCC, as well as the clinical tissues of advanced RCC. Silencing Gal-3 in RCC cells decreased CSC formation, drug resistance and CXCR2, while CXCR2 overexpression in Gal-3-knockdown cells restored the tumorigenesis ability. Our results indicate that highly expressed Gal-3 may enhance the stemness property of RCC by promoting CXCR2.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell lines

We obtained the human RCC cell lines Caki-1 and ACHN (VHL wild type) from the American Type Culture Collection. We purchased the human RCC cell line A-498 (VHL mutation) from Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC; Hsinchu, Taiwan). These cell lines were cultured as described in a previous study.\textsuperscript{15}

2.2 | Knockdown and overexpression of Gal-3 and CXCR2 by lentivirus-mediated system

Lentivirus-mediated silencing and overexpression of Gal-3 and CXCR2 of the RCC cells were performed as described in a previous study.\textsuperscript{15} We obtained pLKO.1 plasmid containing shRNA targeting human Gal-3 (shGal-3#1, Clone ID TRCN00000029305; shGal-3#2, Clone ID TRCN00000029307) and CXCR2 (shCXCR2, Clone ID TRCN000009138) from the National RNAi Core Facility (Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan). Full-length DNA encoding Gal-3 and CXCR2 genes were amplified using RT-PCR and cloned to pLAS2w. The primer sequences for cloning the full length of Gal-3 and CXCR2 genes are presented in Table S1. To knockdown Gal-3 in RCC spheres, A-498-derived primary tumour spheres were dissociated into single cells, re-seeded in a 10% FBS-RPMI medium, infected with shGal-3 or shLuc lentivirus, and then cultured to form secondary spheres (2S).

2.3 | RT-qPCR

RT-qPCR was performed as described in a previous study.\textsuperscript{15} The specific primers used in the RT-qPCR are presented in Table S2.

2.4 | Western blotting

Total proteins were extracted using the RIPA Lysis buffer (Millipore, Temecula). Equal amounts (40 μg) were separated on 13% SDS-PAGE, electro-transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore), incubated with antibodies against the Nanog, Sox2, Oct4 (Cell Signaling), CXCR2, galectin-3 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), α-tubulin (Epitomics Inc, Burlingame, CA) and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies and then analysed through enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL).

2.5 | Drug sensitivity

A-498 parental and sphere cells (1 × 10⁵) transduced with either shLuc or shGal-3 were cultured in 96-well microtitre plates with a total volume of 100 μL/well. After 16 hour, we treated the cells with various concentrations of sunitinib malate or sorafenib (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 72 hour and then examined cell viability using the CellTiter-Glo Luminiscence cell viability assay following the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, Madison, WI).
2.6 | Cell migration and invasion assays

We evaluated tumour cell migration and invasion assays using transwell assay (Costar, 8-μm pore; Corning, NY) as described in a previous study.15

2.7 | Colony formation assay

RCC cells (1 × 10^5) were suspended in 0.33% Bacto-agar (Sigma-Aldrich) and then layered over 0.5% Bacto-agar in six-well plates. On day 30, we counted the colonies after fixing them with methanol and staining them with Giemsa.

2.8 | Sphere formation

RCC cells were cultured in a tumour sphere medium (Gibco, BRL, Life Technologies) that contained serum-free DMEM/F12 (1:1 medium, 1X B27 supplement, 20 ng/mL human recombinant basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF). RCC cells were seeded at 500 cells per 96-well (or 8 × 10^4 per 6-well), and the tumour sphere medium was replaced with fresh medium every 3-4 days. ACHN cells were cultured for 14 days, while A-498 and Caki-1 cells were cultured for 21 days. We used a microscope to count the spheres. Primary spheres (1st sphere) were dissociated to single cells and re-seeded to yield the second generation (2nd sphere).

2.9 | In vivo tumour growth

We purchased male NOD/SCID mice (NOD.CB17-PrkdcsidIcrCrlBtw) from BioLASCO Taiwan Co., Ltd. and maintained them under specific pathogen-free conditions at the Animal Center of National Yang-Ming University, as approved by the university's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. To establish a xenograft tumour model, empty vector (ev) or Gal-3 or CXCR2 in ccRCC cells were inoculated subcutaneously into the right flank of male NOD/SCID mice. We measured tumour size with a calliper and calculated it as length × width × height (in mm^3) every week.

2.10 | Flow cytometry

Cells (1 × 10^6) were incubated with anti-CXCR2 monoclonal antibodies (R&D Systems) at 4°C for 1 hour and then stained with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-anti-mouse-IgG at 4°C for 30 minutes, and analysed with flow cytometry (BD FACSCalibur).

2.11 | Tissue microarray (TMA) and immunohistochemistry (IHC)

We purchased tissue microarray slides from Biomax (US Biomax Inc., Rockville, MD) and performed IHC as described in a previous study.15 TMA slides were incubated with the Gal-3 or CXCR2 (R&D system, Minneapolis, MN) primary antibody. The final value was the sum of the percentage of the stained area and the intensity of the stained cells. The detailed clinicopathologic characteristics of the patients included in the TMA are listed in Table S3.

2.12 | Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD. Differences between two groups were determined using Student's t test. We adopted the SurvExpress web-based tool to analyse the gene expression of Gal-3 and CXCR2 in ccRCC (accession no. KIRC-TCGA). Survival durations were analysed using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared in the patient groups with the log-rank test. Using Cox survival analysis, we classified a population of ccRCC patients into high-risk and low-risk groups in accordance with their prognostic index. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Enrichment of renal CSCs

To determine whether cultured human RCC cell lines contained a population of CSCs, RCC cells were cultured in a defined serum-free selection tumour sphere medium for a few days. The morphology of the RCC cell spheres is shown in Figure 1A. We observed only 9% sphere formation in A-498, 7% in Caki-1 and 11% in ACHN cells (Figure 1B). The stemness-associated genes were analysed using RT-qPCR, and the results showed that the mRNA levels of Nanog, Sox2, Oct4, CD44, CD133, ABCB1, ABCC1, ABCG2 and Notch1 were significantly increased in RCC tumour spheres compared with parental cells (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we adopted Western blotting to confirm the protein levels of Nanog, Sox2 and Oct4 in three RCC tumour spheres (Figure 1D).

3.2 | Galectin-3 was highly expressed in the tumour spheres of RCC cells

Galectins have been reported to promote cancer cells' chemoresistance and CSC formation.12,17 Therefore, we analysed the galectin levels in renal CSCs using RT-qPCR. Regarding the galectin family, the expression of Gal-2, Gal-3, Gal-4 and Gal-7 was significantly increased in A-498 CSCs compared with parental cells. Of those, Gal-3 demonstrated a more than 30-fold increase in RCC tumour spheres (Figure 1E). We then utilized other RCC cells to verify whether Gal-3 was also up-regulated in these renal tumour spheres and found that Gal-3 mRNA expression demonstrated a significant sevenfold increase in the tumour spheres of Caki-1 and ACHN cells (Figure 1F). Western blotting was further adopted to confirm the Gal-3 expression in RCC cells. Compared with parental cells, tumour spheres expressed levels of galectin-3 protein that were twice as high (Figure 1F).
Knockdown of galectin-3 in parental RCC cells decreased self-renewal capacity and drug resistance

To determine the role of Gal-3 in cell motility and the sphere-forming ability of RCC cells, we used the lentivirus-mediated delivery of galectin-3 shRNA (shGal-3) to knockdown Gal-3 in A-498 cells. Significantly decreased Gal-3 expression was observed in cells infected with the shGal-3 virus compared to cells infected with the shLuc virus with regard to both mRNA and protein levels (Figure 2A). As successful sphere formation of cancer stem cells is a key behaviour of CSCs for evaluating in vitro self-renewal property, we were able to determine the sphere formation capacity of A-498 cells through stable Gal-3 knockdown. After suppressing Gal-3, primary (1° sphere) and secondary sphere (2° sphere) formations were significantly reduced by 50%-60% in RCC cells (Figure 2B). Furthermore, Gal-3 silencing significantly inhibited the anchorage-independent growth ability of sphere cells using colony formation assay (Figure 2C), while the mRNA levels of Nanog, Sox2 and Oct4 were significantly decreased in A-498/shGal-3 sphere cells (Figure 2D). Functionally, the knockdown of Gal-3 significantly reduced cell invasion and migration in RCC sphere cells by 40%-50% (Figure 2E). As the CSCs were the postulated mediators of drug resistance, we examined the...
drug sensitivity of Gal-3-silenced sphere cells. As shown in Figure 2F, sphere cells (shLuc (S)) were significantly more resistant to sunitinib and sorafenib compared to parental cells (shLuc). Furthermore, Gal-3 knockdown sphere cells (shGal-3 (S)) were significantly more sensitive to sunitinib and sorafenib treatment compared to control sphere cells (shLuc (S)).

### 3.4 Galectin-3 maintained the stemness properties of renal CSCs

To further examine the role of Gal-3 in maintaining CSCs, we infected A-498 primary tumour spheres with shGal-3 lentivirus and then cultured them to form secondary spheres. First, we used Western blot to confirm galectin-3 knockdown in secondary spheres (Figure 3A). Furthermore, silencing Gal-3 significantly reduced the sphere formation (Figure 3B), anchorage-independent growth (Figure 3C), migration and invasion (Figure 3D) ability of the secondary sphere cells. Therefore, we also observed Gal-3 to participate in the maintenance of the stemness features of renal CSCs.

### 3.5 Down-regulation of chemokine/cytokine expression in Gal-3-knockdown RCC tumour spheres

To study the molecular mechanism of Gal-3 in the CSCs, we analysed chemokine and chemokine receptor levels using RT-qPCR. As shown in Figure 4A, the expressions of several chemokines were reduced in both clones of the shGal-3-infected renal CSCs, including CXCL5, CXCL6, CXCL7 and CXCL9. The chemokine receptors CXCR2 and CXCR5 were also significantly down-regulated in the Gal-3 silenced CSCs. As CXCR2 and its ligands (CXCL6 and CXCL7) were simultaneously inhibited in shGal-3 CSCs, we focused on the role of CXCR2 in the Gal-3-mediated formation of renal CSCs and further confirmed CXCR2 expression in shGal-3 CSCs by flow cytometry (Figure 4B). Compared with the parental A-498 cells

---

**FIGURE 2** Knockdown of galectin-3 in parental A-498 cells decreased motility, self-renewal capacity and drug resistance. (A) Galectin-3 was suppressed using lentivirus delivery of shGal-3 into A-498 cells. The mRNA and protein levels of galectin-3 were detected in the shGal-3-infected A-498 cells (#1 and #2) compared with those in the shLuc-infected cells (shLuc) using both RT-qPCR and Western blotting. (B) Primary (1° sphere) and secondary sphere (2° sphere) formation were analysed in the shLuc- and shGal-3-infected A-498 cells. Colony-forming (C), stemness-related gene expression levels (D), and invasiveness and migration (E) abilities were examined in the spheres of shLuc- and shGal-3-infected A-498 cells. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (F) The drug sensitivities of shLuc- and shGal-3-infected A-498 cell spheres were measured using sunitinib and sorafenib treatment. *P < 0.05: shLuc (S) compared with shLuc; *P < 0.05: shGal-3 (S) compared with shLuc (S). The reported results are representative of three independent experiments.
(9.9%), the sphere cells expressed more CXCR2 (56%), and Gal-3 silencing decreased CXCR2 expression in the CSCs (26.9%).

3.6 | Suppression of CXCR2 led to decreased sphere-forming ability in RCC cells

We silenced CXCR2 in parental A-498 cells to investigate the role of CXCR2 in CSC formation. Compared to cells infected with the control virus expressing shLuc, cells infected with the shCXCR2 virus expressed lower levels of this particular chemokine receptor (Figure 4C). Furthermore, sphere-forming ability was significantly down-regulated by 50%-60% in the shCXCR2-infected RCC cells (Figure 4D).

3.7 | Overexpression of CXCR2 in shGal-3-infected RCC cells restored cell motility, colony formation and self-renewal capacity

To explore the role of CXCR2 in the formation of Gal-3-mediated CSCs, we infected Gal-3 knockdown (shGal-3) A-498 cells with lentivirus carrying the CXCR2 gene (pLAS2w/CXCR2). Compared to cells infected with the shLuc control virus, Gal-3 silencing significantly decreased the Nanog and Sox2 expression (Figure 5A), sphere formation (Figure 5B), anchorage-independent growth (Figure 5C) and motility (Figure 5D) of RCC sphere cells. In contrast, CXCR2 overexpression significantly restored the expression of the Nanog and Sox2 expression (Figure 5A), sphere formation (Figure 5B), colony formation (Figure 5C) and motility (Figure 5D) of shGal-3 RCC sphere cells.

3.8 | Overexpression of galectin-3 in RCC cells promoted sphere-forming capacity and in vitro and in vivo tumorigenicity

We used lentivirus-mediated Gal-3 overexpression in Caki-1 cells to further confirm the role of Gal-3 in renal CSC formation. First, Gal-3 overexpression in RCC cells was confirmed by RT-qPCR and Western blot (Figure 6A). Compared to parental RCC cells, sphere cells with an empty vector expressed higher levels of galectin-3, Oct4, Nanog, Sox2 and CD44 (Figure 6B). Overexpression of Gal-3 considerably promoted these stemness genes and CXCR2 expression in RCC sphere cells (Figure 6B). Furthermore, migration, invasion (Figure 6C), colony formation (Figure 6D) and sphere-forming ability (Figure 6E) were all significantly up-regulated in Gal-3-infected RCC sphere cells. To assess the tumour growth capacity of renal cancer stem cells, we subcutaneously injected $3 \times 10^5$ of Caki-derived monolayers or tumour spheres into NOD/SCID mice (n = 4). In contrast to no tumour formation in the monolayer group and one mouse with tumour formation in the RCC sphere group, the Gal-3-overexpressed RCC sphere cells formed subcutaneous xenograft tumours.
RCC sphere cells generated tumour formation in three of four mice (data not shown). Furthermore, tumours generated by the Gal-3-overexpressed RCC spheres were larger than the RCC sphere–derived tumours (Figure 6F). These results suggest that Gal-3 overexpression in RCC sphere cells promote in vivo tumour growth.

3.9 | Galectin-3 expression correlated with CXCR2, tumour progression and prognosis in RCC tissues

To investigate the expression of Gal-3 and CXCR2 in human RCC tissues, we performed immunohistochemistry staining on tissue microarrays that contained samples from 75 patients with ccRCC. We observed higher Gal-3 expression in the advanced stages (III-IV) and grade (poorly differentiated) RCC tissues (Figure 7A and B). CXCR2 expression was significantly correlated with tumour differentiation (Figure 7C) but not RCC stage (data not shown). Furthermore, Gal-3 expression was significantly higher in the CXCR2 high expression group than in the low expression group (Figure 7D). To further study the correlation between the expression levels of Gal-3/CXCR2 and patient prognosis, we used the online tool SurvExpress16 to analyse 415 patients with various stages of ccRCC. Using Cox survival analysis, we found that patients with higher co-expressions of Gal-3 and CXCR2 had a significantly worse survival rate (Figure 7E) and that Gal-3 expression correlated with CXCR2 expression, tumour progression and prognosis in RCC.

4 | DISCUSSION

The overexpression of Gal-3 is associated with the increased invasiveness of many kinds of tumours. Higher levels of Gal-3 are found in the sera of cancer patients with metastasis.18 Gal-3 promotes cancer progression through intra- and extra-cellular mechanisms in the tumour microenvironment. Intracellular Gal-3 interacts with RAS and β-catenin to enhance cell transformation and proliferation.8-10 Furthermore, Gal-3 augments tumour stem cell property and drug resistance through its interaction with β-catenin.12 Several chemokine and chemokine receptor genes, such as CXCR4, CXCR7 and CCL5,19,20 are the downstream genes of β-catenin. In this study, we found that Gal-3 overexpression may promote CXCR2 to augment the stemness property of RCC. In our previous study, cancer spheres secreted higher levels of Gal-3, while Gal-3 knockdown reduced secretion levels. Recombinant Gal-3 promotes cancer sphere formation.12 Furthermore, previous studies have demonstrated Gal-3 to interact with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and transforming growth factor-β receptor (TGFβR).9 Therefore, extracellular Gal-3 may also stimulate sphere formation in collaboration with EGF or bFGF signalling in the tumour sphere medium.

Existing evidence indicates that drug resistance regulation by Gal-3 may result from intracellular effects on the apoptotic pathways.11 The anti-apoptotic mechanisms of Gal-3 include: (1) the phosphorylation status of Gal-3,21 (2) the Gal-3 translocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm,22 (3) the regulation of mitochondrial membrane potential,23 (4) the modulation of survival signalling pathway,24 and (5) the regulation of the caspase pathway.25 Furthermore, Gal-3 plays a crucial role in regulating the Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway. The best evidence so far of the importance of the Wnt pathway to CSCs biology has been reported in myeloid leukaemia, but its contribution has also been reported in the maintenance of the CSCs of melanoma, breast, colon, and lung cancers.12,26 Therefore, with regard to inhibiting the common upstream regulator of Wnt/β-catenin signalling, Gal-3 may be an effective target for cancer stem cell therapy.

Clear evidence has shown that CXCR2 and its associated ligands play important roles in various types of cancer. Most of the ELR+ CXC chemokines that have been described as promoters of tumour
Angiogenesis are CXCR2 ligands, namely CXCL1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8. In addition to the role in angiogenesis, CXCR2 ligands have also been implicated in the processes of tumour growth and neutrophil recruitment to the site of the tumour. CXCL1 is overexpressed in colorectal tumours, and blocking CXCL1 in vivo with antibodies can combat tumour growth enhancement by PGE2. CXCL5 promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation and invasion, while CXCL5 antibodies reduce lung tumour growth in mice. IL-8 (CXCL8) expression correlates with tumour growth and poor outcome in various cancers, while IL-8 antibodies can restrict colorectal cancer growth in vivo. CXCL7 is an independent prognostic factor for the overall survival rate of RCC, while CXCL7 antibodies reduce tumour growth in nude mice.

CXCR2 has been observed to participate in primary brain tumour growth. In a mammary gland tumour model, macrophages increase the expression of inflammatory chemokines and promote tumour cell invasion by activating CXCR2. CXCR2 knockdown promotes the chemosensitivity of breast cancer cells and prevents tumour growth, angiogenesis and lung metastasis. Furthermore, a CXCR2 blockade reduces tumorigenesis in lung, oesophageal, pancreatic and kidney cancers. However, the introduction of CXCR2 and its ligands by K-RAS reinforces senescence in vitro. CXCR2 inhibition but not germline knockout of CXCR2 can slow tumorigenesis in the pancreas. Therefore, CXCR2 and its ligands are thought to serve a protective function in the early stages of tumorigenesis, thus complicating the role of CXCR2 in cancer formation and progression.

In the plasma of RCC patients, CXCR2 ligands CXCL1, CXCL3, CXCL5 and CXCL8 are elevated chemokines. Furthermore, CXCL7 is a prognostic factor for the overall survival of RCC. CXCL7 promotes RCC cell proliferation both in vitro and in vivo, and a CXCL7/CXCR2 blockade by antibody or inhibitor reduces tumour growth in mice. Altogether, these findings indicate the importance of CXCR2 in the progression and targeting therapy of RCC.

In summary, we have demonstrated that highly expressed Gal-3 can up-regulate CXCR2 to augment the stemness property of RCC. Gal-3 and CXCR2 expressions were correlated with RCC tumour progression, and Gal-3 expression correlated with CXCR2 expression in RCC tissues. As we found that higher co-expressions of Gal-3 and
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**Figure 6** Overexpression of galectin-3 in Caki-1 cells promoted sphere-forming capacity and in vivo tumorigenicity. Galectin-3 (A) and stemness-related gene (B) expressions in monolayer and spheres of empty vector (ev)-infected and Gal-3-infected Caki-1 cells were analysed using Western blot and RT-qPCR. Migration and invasion (C), colony-forming (D) and sphere formation (E) abilities were all examined. The reported results are representative of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (F) Empty vector (ev)- or Gal-3-infected Caki-1 (3 × 10⁶/100 μL) sphere cells were subcutaneously implanted into the abdominal flanks of NOD/SCID nude mice (n = 4). We measured tumour size with a calliper and calculated it as length × width × height (in mm³) every week.
CXCR2 correlated with a significantly worse survival rate, Gal-3 may be a prognostic biomarker and innovative target for the combined modality therapy of RCC.
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