Clinical application of optical coherence tomography in combination with functional diagnostics: advantages and limitations for diagnosis and assessment of therapy outcome in central serous chorioretinopathy
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Purpose: While identifying functional and structural parameters of the retina in central serous chorioretinopathy (CSCR) patients, this study investigated how an optical coherence tomography (OCT)-based diagnosis can be significantly supplemented with functional diagnostic tools and to what degree the determination of disease severity and therapy outcome can benefit from diagnostics complementary to OCT.

Methods: CSCR patients were evaluated prospectively with microperimetry (MP) and spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) to determine retinal sensitivity function and retinal thickness as outcome measures along with measures of visual acuity (VA). Patients received clinical care that involved focal laser photocoagulation or pharmacotherapy targeting inflammation and neovascularization.

Results: Correlation of clinical parameters with a focus on functional parameters, VA, and mean retinal sensitivity, as well as on the structural parameter mean retinal thickness, showed that functional measures were similar in diagnostic power. A moderate correlation was found between OCT data and the standard functional assessment of VA; however, a strong correlation between OCT and MP data showed that diagnostic measures cannot always be used interchangeably, but that complementary use is of higher clinical value.

Conclusion: The study indicates that integrating SD-OCT with MP provides a more complete diagnosis with high clinical relevance for complex, difficult to quantify diseases such as CSCR.
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Introduction
Central serous chorioretinopathy (CSCR), an acute disease affecting the blood-retina barrier of the eye, is characterized by a combination of structural damage to the retina and retinal pigment epithelium as well as symptoms ranging from blurred vision to scotomas.1-3 Both diagnosis and treatment of this poorly understood disorder are difficult, as the complexity of CSCR, characterized by a combination of transient and permanent signs and symptoms, has prevented the development of effective guidelines in both areas. Clinical care of CSCR involves pharmacotherapy targeting inflammation and neovascularization, including novel approaches such as intravitreal administration of antivascular endothelial growth factor biologicals, focal laser photocoagulation,
and photodynamic therapy (PDT). At the same time, the diagnosis of the disease typically relies on identification of a range of clinical parameters. These include causative aspects of the disease, such as a compromised blood-retina barrier that requires identification with fluorescein angiography to identify sites of retinal pigment epithelium detachment and of serous fluid leakage in the retina. Indocyanine green angiography can be beneficial for some patients when the diagnosis is uncertain and when PDT is being considered for treatment. The main diagnostic tools target functional and structural changes. Assessment of visual acuity (VA) is the primary method of evaluating function; however, its usefulness is limited, as even 20/20 vision can be accompanied by visual disturbances, and the Amsler grid has its own limitations, creating a need for more accurate functional analyses. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is the diagnostic tool of choice to identify structural changes that underlie such disturbances, including areas of disease origination that require targeting with focal laser photocoagulation and PDT strategies. This more objective form of structural analysis and testing can also be complemented with objective forms of diagnosis that test visual function by measuring retinal sensitivity to defined visual stimuli such as microperimetry (MP). The need for such integrated complementary diagnostic strategies is particularly great in complex diseases such as CSCR, because different functional and structural parameters used to determine disease severity and therapy outcome can have distinct temporospatial patterns, with some parameters lagging behind others. For example, VA can improve while retinal thickness measured with OCT does not change, or retinal sensitivity assessed by MP can improve while VA remains unchanged or worsens. These confounding clinical observations are partially driven by the quality of the diagnostic tools employed and also by the different sensitivities of both functional and structural diagnostics; the documentation of VA is often operator dependent and changes in best corrected vision may not be achievable. This emphasizes the need for a comprehensive integration and evaluation of such subjective measures with more objective measures of testing such as OCT and MP. Using these functional and structural parameters, the study investigated how an OCT-based diagnosis of CSCR can be significantly supplemented with functional diagnostic tools, and to what degree the determination of disease severity and therapy outcome can benefit from diagnostics complementary to OCT. To this end, the present study tested if the correlation of VA, mean retinal sensitivity (as assessed by MP), mean retinal thickness (measured using OCT), and other clinical parameters facilitated the differential assessment of disease severity and of therapy outcome at defined time points of clinical care.

While spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) generates a detailed three-dimensional image of the retina, advanced modalities allow also an assessment of the choroid, which is critical for both diagnosis and treatment of eye diseases, including CSCR. Similarly, technical advancements have been made in the area of MP, where the sensitivity of specific regions of the retina and macula is registered with an image of the retina that is typically generated with a scanning laser ophthalmoscope. The integration of functional MP data with advanced OCT data is gaining greater clinical utilization. Previous studies have identified CSCR as an ideal target disease for such differential integration of diagnostics complementary to OCT, as both functional and structural abnormalities often persist in resolved CSCR and led us to investigate the potential use of a differential assessment of disease severity and of therapy outcome.

**Methods**

Using a prospective study design, the present Institutional Review Board-approved study involved 15 patients with clinically validated CSCR history (serous detachment determined by OCT, serous fluid leakage determined by fluorescein angiography) in one eye at presentation and measured their best corrected VA, macular sensitivity using MP, and retinal thickness using SD-OCT as primary outcome measures. Patients received clinical care that involved pharmacotherapy targeting inflammation and neovascularization or focal laser photocoagulation. Patients also had resolution of serous detachment (Figure 1) at time of final MP testing, ie, the study visit. Study patients were excluded if they had...

![Figure 1](spectral-domain-optical-coherence-tomography-of-central-serous-chorioretinopathy-serous-detachment-before-treatment-(A)and-after-resolution-(B))
previous history of glaucoma, ocular hypertension with an intraocular pressure greater than 21 mmHg, or if they had a media opacity such as a cataract or corneal pathology that would affect testing of retinal sensitivity. The average time from presentation to study visit was 188 weeks. Clinical parameters recorded and evaluated age, sex, VA under standard conditions using the Snellen acuity chart, presenting symptoms, treatments administered, fluorescein angiography leakage pattern, retinal thickness assessed by SD-OCT, retinal sensitivity measured with MP, the existence of detached retinal pigment epithelium at the time of presentation, and the time until the serous detachment was resolved. All patients underwent a detailed ophthalmic exam that included application tonometry, slit-lamp microscopy, and binocular fundus examination using indirect ophthalmoscopy. Patient demographics are listed in Table 1. During the study visit, eyes were dilated (1% tropicamide, 2.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride eye drops) and an OPKO SLO/SD-OCT microperimeter (OPKO Health, Inc., Miami, FL, USA) was used to measure retinal sensitivity and thickness as described previously. Figure 2 illustrates how threshold MP employed a specific stimulus and testing pattern using a clinically significant macular edema (CSME) grid. MP data included the average sensitivity, stability of fixation, and identified macular scotomas as shown previously (relative scotoma: sensitivity ≤10 dB; absolute scotoma: sensitivity of 0 dB). Stability of fixation was determined using the classification scheme established by Fujii et al., and a three-dimensional map of the retina was generated after MP testing using SD-OCT determining retinal thickness as the distance between the retinal nerve fiber and retinal pigment epithelial layers and using the CSME grid (Figure 3). Statistical analysis was performed by summarizing measured variables of primary outcome measures, VA, retinal sensitivity, and thickness, and the use of descriptive statistics (Microsoft® Office Excel® 2010). Excluded outliers were qualified as values deviating from the mean by more than three standard deviations and represented one case in the studied population characterized by extreme retina damage that did not resolve. Statistically significant differences among covariates were determined with analysis of variance (ANOVA) with P-values <0.05 termed significant and P-values <0.01 and <0.001 identified separately. Correlation between measured parameter variables was calculated using the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient and linear regression analyses along with goodness of fit measurements were performed.

**Results and discussion**

The patient demographics are listed in Table 1. Fifteen eyes of fifteen patients with resolved CSCR were evaluated. There were eleven men and four women whose ages ranged from 30 to 67 years old (mean age, 49 years). Best corrected visual acuity at initial presentation was 0.22 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) (±0.21) in eyes with CSCR and 0.02 logMAR (±0.08) in unaffected eyes. In four eyes, no treatment was administered and the patients were observed. Intravitreal injection of bevacizumab, an antivascular endothelial growth factor biological, was used in three eyes as initial treatment. Focal laser photocoagulation and PDT was used in four eyes. In patients unresponsive to all other treatment options, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory eye drops were used in three cases and sub-Tenon’s injection of triamcinolone was used in one eye. These patients who received nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory eye drops and sub-Tenon’s injection of triamcinolone were grouped into an anti-inflammatory treatment group. All patients were followed for resolution of CSCR. Table 2 lists average ± standard deviation for VA, macular sensitivity, and retinal thickness for the eyes with CSCR and unaffected eyes at presentation and at the study visit. Statistically significant differences between the affected eye and the unaffected control eye at presentation and lack thereof at the study visit after resolution of CSCR indicate improvement of clinically relevant parameters following therapy. The average time to resolution for all eyes with CSCR was 19 weeks, with serous detachment taking approximately twice as long to resolve in the observation

### Table 1 Patient demographics

| Characteristic          | N  | %  |
|-------------------------|----|----|
| **Age**                 |    |    |
| <40                     | 2  | 13.3|
| 40–49                   | 8  | 53.3|
| 50–59                   | 1  | 6.7 |
| 60–69                   | 4  | 26.7|
| ≥70                     | 0  | 0.0 |
| **Sex**                 |    |    |
| Male                    | 11 | 73.3|
| Female                  | 4  | 26.7|
| **Study eye**           |    |    |
| Right                   | 8  | 53.3|
| Left                    | 7  | 46.7|
| **PED**                 |    |    |
| Yes                     | 4  | 26.7|
| No                      | 11 | 73.3|
| **FA leakage pattern**  |    |    |
| Ink bloc                | 14 | 93.3|
| Smoke stack             | 1  | 6.7 |

**Note:** Fifteen eyes of 15 patients.

**Abbreviations:** FA, fluorescein angiogram; N, number; PED, pigment epithelial detachment.
Figure 2 Representative image illustrating how sensitivity values are arranged in concentric circles, the location of the fixation grid, and the clinically significant macular edema grid.

Abbreviation: OD, oculus dexter.

Figure 3 Representative image showing the alignment of the spectral domain optical coherence tomography data with the clinically significant macular edema grid and retinal thickness measurements in micrometers.

Abbreviations: i, inferior; n, nasal; s, superior; t, temporal.
Characteristics of eyes affected by CSCR and comparison with the contralateral unaffected control eye

|                         | CSCR eyes          | Unaffected eyes | P-value |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| **BCVA (logMAR)**       |                    |                 |         |
| Presentation            | 0.22±0.21          | 0.02±0.08       | 0.001   |
| Study visit             | 0.08±0.17          | 0.01±0.03       | 0.10    |
|                        | P=0.06             |                 |         |
| **Macular sensitivity (dB)** |                |                 |         |
| Presentation            | 12.59±4.24        | 16.32±0.79      | 0.06    |
| Study visit             | 15.27±2.91        | 16.17±1.00      | 0.36    |
|                        | P=0.08             |                 |         |
| **Retinal thickness (μm)** |                |                 |         |
| Presentation            | 343.36±85.60      | 271.10±33.31    | 0.03    |
| Study visit             | 273.47±28.28      | 283.40±20.61    | 0.35    |
|                        | P=0.01             |                 |         |

Note: Data are presented as the average ± standard deviation, for 15 eyes of 15 patients.

Abbreviations: BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; CSCR, central serous chorioretinopathy; logMAR, logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.

This composition of patient demographics and variable disease phenotypes and therapy outcomes in CSCR patients provided an ideal scenario to test the overall goal of the present study, to identify how an OCT-based diagnosis of CSCR can be significantly supplemented with functional diagnostic tools, and to what degree the determination of disease severity and therapy outcome can benefit from diagnostics complementary to OCT. Three different data sets were evaluated statistically using data normalization strategies with relevance to either disease diagnostics or evaluation of therapy outcome:

1. Parameters were normalized as data for the affected eye over data from the contralateral control eye for VA and MP and the inverse ratio for OCT at the time of presentation. This normalization allowed us to identify disease-mediated damage as ratios smaller than one at the beginning of the study.

2. A second set of normalized parameters were generated by dividing the value for the affected eye by the respective value for the healthy, contralateral control eye obtained at the end of the study after treatment of the study participants had taken place for VA and MP, while the inverse ratios were calculated for OCT identifying disease-mediated damage in parameters assessing visual function and retina structure as ratios smaller than one at the end of the study.

3. The third set of normalized data was obtained by dividing values for the affected eye at the end of the study by values for the same eye acquired at the time of presentation (VA and MP) or the inverse ratio for OCT. This allowed us to identify therapy-related improvement in parameters assessing visual function and retina structure as values greater than one.

Statistical analysis with analysis of variance showed that there were no statistical differences among the three clinical outcome measures: VA, mean retinal sensitivity as assessed by MP, and mean retinal thickness measured using OCT for normalization strategies 1–3 (P-values: 0.24, 0.07, and 0.14, respectively). This finding that the three main diagnostic strategies were not statistically different in their capability to evaluate and qualify disease severity and therapy outcome.
allowed us to further assess how well the diagnostic modalities were correlated. We initially correlated these parameters assessing visual function and retina structure with patient age. In Figure 4, normalized values for decimal VA, mean retinal sensitivity as assessed by MP, and mean retinal thickness measured using OCT were plotted over the age of the study participants for each individual data set. Linear regression analyses and analysis of the degree of correlation show that when parameters were normalized as ratios of data from the affected and the contralateral control eye, moderate correlations with age exist for VA, mean retinal thickness and mean retinal sensitivity, though weaker for mean retinal thickness (Figure 4A). When correlating the same parameters acquired at the end of the study over the age, comparable correlations with age were found for all three parameters (Figure 4B). However, when data sets were normalized only for the affected eye by forming ratios from values acquired at the time of presentation and at the end of the study, the moderate correlations found for VA measurement with age were only paralleled by weak correlations for mean retinal thickness and mean retinal sensitivity when correlated with the study participants’ age at the time of presentation (Figure 4C) or their age at the final study visit (Figure 4D). In sum, correlation of VA, mean retinal sensitivity, and mean retinal thickness with the age of the study participants showed a moderate positive correlation of disease-mediated damage with age, but also a weak positive correlation of therapy-related improvement with age. While the functional measures were similar in diagnostic power, a reduced strength in the correlation of retina structure by OCT with functional

Figure 4 Correlation of patient age with parameters assessing visual function and retina structure.

Notes: Normalized values for decimal VA (blue diamonds), mean retinal sensitivity as assessed by MP (red squares), and mean retinal thickness measured using OCT (green triangles) were plotted over the age of the study participants represented by individual data points. Linear regression analyses and the respective \( R^2 \) values as a measure of the degree of correlation are displayed in the corresponding colors for each parameter (VA, blue; mean retinal sensitivity, red; mean retinal thickness, green). (A) Parameters were normalized as data for the affected eye over the contralateral control eye for VA and MP and the inverse ratio for OCT and plotted against the age of the study participants at the time of presentation. This normalization allowed us to identify disease-mediated damage as ratios smaller than 1. Moderate correlations with age were determined for VA, mean retinal thickness, and mean retinal sensitivity, though weaker for mean retinal thickness. (B) When plotting the same parameters over the age of the study participants, at the end of the study comparable correlations with age were found for all three parameters. However, when data sets were normalized only for the affected eye by forming the ratios for parameters measured at the end of the study over those acquired at the time of presentation (VA and MP) or the inverse ratio for OCT, identifying therapy-related improvement in parameters as values greater than 1, the moderate correlations found for VA measurement with age were only paralleled by weak correlations for mean retinal thickness and mean retinal sensitivity when correlated with the study participants’ age at the time of presentation (C) or their age at the final study visit (D).

Abbreviations: MP, microperimetry; OCT, optical coherence tomography; VA, visual acuity.
measures shows that the diagnostic measures cannot always be used interchangeably, but that a complementary use is of higher clinical value.

We subsequently correlated the parameters assessing visual function and retina structure at defined time points of clinical care and with data normalization, allowing the comparison of disease severity and of therapy outcome. Normalized values for the decimal conversion of VA, for mean retinal sensitivity as assessed by MP, and for mean retinal thickness were plotted using the above-mentioned three different methods of normalization corresponding to time points of clinical care and evaluating disease severity and therapy outcome (Figure 5). At presentation, functional parameters (MP and VA) are strongly correlated (Figure 5A), while structural assessment of the retina with OCT is moderately correlated with the standard measure of visual function, VA (Figure 5B). This implies that OCT can function as an adjuvant diagnostic tool identifying disease aspects that are not accessible with measures of VA alone.

The parameters assessing visual function through MP and retinal structure through OCT are highly correlated for time points assessing the extents of initial disease-related damage (Figure 5C) and of therapy outcome (Figure 5F, I). While the strength of the moderate correlation between OCT and VA is similar during initial disease assessment (Figure 5B) and at the end of the treatment (Figure 5E, H), the strongest correlation between VA and MP was seen during initial disease assessment (Figure 5A) and was lower at the final study.

Figure 5 (Continued)
OCT is routinely employed. MP can be a valuable adjunct to this quantitative measure as well as of more qualitative measures, such as VA, in the evaluation and management of disease.

**Conclusion**

In sum, the correlation of parameters assessing visual function and retina structure at defined time points of clinical care will facilitate the differential assessment of disease severity and of therapy outcome. This is particularly relevant, because CSCR is an example of diseases with a broad clinical spectrum of signs and symptoms that can be analyzed with structural and functional parameters and where quantitative OCT is routinely employed. MP can be a valuable adjunct to this quantitative measure as well as of more qualitative measures, such as VA, in the evaluation and management of disease.
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