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A B S T R A C T

Phenol is classified as priority pollutant. Phenol and its derivatives are stable in water, environmental contamination, and health concerns that are used as raw material in many chemical industries. This study investigated the removal of phenol by electro-H$_2$O$_2$/UV system.

The response surface methodology (RSM) using central composite design (CCD) was used to modeling and optimization of experimental parameters such as pH, contact time, initial concentration of phenol, concentration of hydrogen peroxide, and current density.

The obtained results demonstrated that the efficiency of the electro-H$_2$O$_2$/UV system was maximum (>99%) under the optimal conditions for the phenol removal from aqueous solutions, 2 mM of hydrogen peroxide concentration, 50 mg/L of initial phenol concentration, pH of 5, 10 mA/cm$^2$ of current density, reaction time of 25 min and 2.1 kW h/m$^3$ of energy consumption. Therefore, the electro-H$_2$O$_2$/UV system is an efficient method for the removal of organic compounds from industrial wastewater.
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**Specifications Table**

| Subject Area:                        | Environmental Science |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| More specific subject area:          | Electrochemical, Advance Oxidation |
| Protocol name:                       | A combined system from Electrochemical and Advance Oxidation Process |
| Reagents/tools:                      | H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, FeCl\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O, CoCl\textsubscript{2}·6H\textsubscript{2}O, HNO\textsubscript{3}, NaOH, Tert-Butyl Alcohol, and chloroform (CHCl\textsubscript{3}) were purchased from Merck, Co, Germany. |
| UV–vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). |
| *Experimental design:                | All removal experiments were bench scale that was done in a reactor 1 L equipped with two electrodes aluminum and three UV lamps (6 W, Philips). Influences of pH (3–11), contact time (0–40 min), initial concentration of phenol (10–100 mg/L), concentration of hydrogen peroxide (0–4 mM), and current density (0–30 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}) in the Electro-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}/UV process on removal efficiency of phenol and COD were evaluated using central composite design (CCD). The concentration of phenol was determined by a UV–vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). |
| Trial registration:                  | - Not applicable |
| Ethics:                              | Not applicable |
| *Value of the Protocol:              | The electro-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}/UV system is based on the formation of free radical (OH\textsuperscript{•}) that intensifies in the presence of radiation UV. |
|                                      | These results showed that the following mechanisms occur in this system: electrophoresis and aggregation, formation of a precipitate of pollutant, formation of a hydroxide for bonding to the pollutant, sweep coagulation in solution, oxidation to less toxicity, and removal of pollutant through its adhesion to bubbles. |
|                                      | By using a practical system of electro-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}/UV, > 98% of phenol and COD were removed from the aqueous solution. |
|                                      | The obtained data shows electro-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}/UV system is appropriate system for organic contaminate removal from industrial wastewater. |

**Description of protocol**

**Data**

This brief data set described the effectiveness of electro-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}/UV system in phenol removal from the aqueous solution. Table1 shows that levels of independent variables and experimental range in central composite design (CCD) were used as a response surface method for the optimization of electro-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}/UV system. The ANOVA test used for the quadratic modeling of phenol removal is presented in Table 1.

The normal probability plot of the studentized residuals and plot of the predicted versus actual on phenol removal efficiency are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. A quadratic equation between dependent variable (phenol removal) and independent variables was obtained according to Eq. (1).

\[
\text{Phenol Removal (\%) = +91.79 - 2.68 A + 0.89 B + 1.22 C + 0.75 D + 1.86 E + 0.28 AB - 0.094 AC + 0.094 AD - 0.16 A E - 0.16 BC + 0.031 BD + 0.41 BE - 0.22 CD - 0.34 CE - 0.031DE + 0.26 A2 - 0.36 B2}
\]

(Fig. 3 shows the effects of solution pH, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} concentration, and radical scavengers (TBA and chloroform) on the removal efficiency of phenol, respectively. Fig. 4(a and b) demonstrates the
Table 1
ANOVA test for quadratic model.

| Source         | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean square | F value | P-value | Prob > F |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Model          | 610.60         | 17                | 35.92       | 116.10  | < 0.0001 | significant |
| A-pH           | 310.23         | 1                 | 310.23      | 1002.82 | < 0.0001 | significant |
| B-Time         | 34.25          | 1                 | 34.25       | 110.70  | < 0.0001 | significant |
| C-Current density | 64.59     | 1                 | 64.59       | 208.78  | < 0.0001 | significant |
| D-Initial phenol | 24.41    | 1                 | 24.41       | 78.89   | < 0.0001 | significant |
| E-H₂O₂         | 149.26         | 1                 | 149.26      | 482.49  | < 0.0001 | significant |
| AB             | 2.53           | 1                 | 2.53        | 8.18    | 0.0074  | significant |
| AC             | 0.28           | 1                 | 0.28        | 0.91    | < 0.0001 | significant |
| AD             | 0.28           | 1                 | 0.28        | 0.91    | < 0.0001 | significant |
| AE             | 0.78           | 1                 | 0.78        | 2.53    | < 0.0001 | significant |
| BC             | 0.78           | 1                 | 0.78        | 2.53    | < 0.0001 | significant |
| BD             | 0.031          | 1                 | 0.031       | 0.10    | < 0.0001 | significant |
| BE             | 5.28           | 1                 | 5.28        | 17.07   | 0.0002  | significant |
| CD             | 1.53           | 1                 | 1.53        | 4.95    | 0.0333  | significant |
| CE             | 3.78           | 1                 | 3.78        | 12.22   | 0.0014  | significant |
| DE             | 0.031          | 1                 | 0.031       | 0.10    | < 0.0001 | significant |
| A²             | 3.52           | 1                 | 3.52        | 11.49   | 0.0020  | significant |
| B²             | 7.49           | 1                 | 7.49        | 24.47   | < 0.0001 | significant |
| Residual       | 8.88           | 29                | –           | –       | –       | –        |
| Lack of Fit    | 8.00           | 22                | 0.36        | 2.91    | 0.0757  | not significant |
| Pure Error     | 0.88           | 7                 | 0.13        | –       | –       | –        |
| Cor Total      | 620.50         | 49                | –           | –       | –       | –        |
| R-Squared      | 0.9857         | –                 | –           | –       | –       | –        |
| Adj R-Squared  | 0.9758         | –                 | –           | –       | –       | –        |
| Pred R-Squared | 0.9523         | –                 | –           | –       | –       | –        |
| Adequate Precision | 44.003       | –                 | –           | –       | –       | –        |

Fig. 1. Normal probability plot of studentized residuals.

Fig. 2. Predicted and actual data of phenol removal.
Fig. 3. Effects of pH (a), current density (b), H₂O₂ concentration (c), and effects of radical scavengers (TBA and Chloroform) (d) on the removal efficiency of phenol.

Fig. 4. Phenol removal (a), COD removal (b) in different systems: H₂O₂ = 2.0 mM, j = 10 mA cm⁻², and initial pH = 5.
removal efficiency of phenol and COD in different systems. In addition, Table 2 shows the pseudo-first-order kinetic model for the removal efficiency of phenol by different systems.

### Experimental design, materials, and methods

The electro-H₂O₂ reactor consisted of a 1.0 – L plexiglas vessel with two aluminum plate electrodes (1 mm thickness), in which the distance between the anode and cathode was 5 cm and the mode of electrode connection was bipolar to the DC power supply (current densities of 1–30 mA/cm²). One 30-W (UV-C) Mercury Lamp (Philips) in a quartz sheath at the reactor center that was fitted with an aluminum cover in a batch reactor was employed [1]. Specific amounts of Na₂SO₄ 0.1 M were added as the only supporting electrolyte [2]. Finally, hydrogen peroxide (0.5–4 mM) was added to the reactor. Then, the certain amount of hydrogen peroxide (0.5–4 mM) was added to the reactor, and a magnetic stirrer (400 rpm) was used in the reactor to maintain monotonous concentration at room temperature, pH meter and water bath temperature control system were used to maintain the reaction solution at the stable pH and temperature. The effect of pH (pH = 3–11) with 0.1 M HNO₃ solution and 0.1 M NaOH solution was evaluated. All the experiments were 50 runs, the experiments designed by Design – Expert software (version7), based on central composite design (CCD), which was used to analyze three parameters such as pH (3–11), H₂O₂ dose (0.5–4 mM) and current density (1–30 mA/cm²) in phenol removal efficiency and removal optimum conditions [3]. The phenol and COD concentrations were determined using the 4-aminoantipyrine method and the dichromatic closed reflux method, respectively and according to the standard methods. H₂O₂, FeCl₃.6H₂O, CoCl₂.6H₂O, HNO₃, NaOH, tert alcohol, and chloroform (CHCl₃) were purchased from Merck, Germany. All the analyses were replicated at least 3 times, and the graphs and the respective error bars were plotted [4]. The percentage of COD and phenol removed was calculated as follows (Eq. (2)):

$$ R = \frac{[\text{input}] - [\text{output}]}{[\text{input}]} \times 100 $$

(2)

The model equation in E shows k (min⁻¹) and qₑ and qᵣ (mgg⁻¹) are a constant rate, the adsorption capacity at time t, and the equilibrium of pseudo- first order kinetics. The fit of experimental data to the kinetic model was assessed by the correlation coefficient (R²) and the residual root mean square error (RMSE). The value of R², which might vary between 0 and 1, indicates the degree of fit of experimental data to the model [1]. The R² expression is given by Eq. (3):

$$ R² = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (qₑ - qₑ\text{exp})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (qₑ - qₑ\text{exp})^2 + (qₑ - qₑ\text{exp})^2} $$

(3)

RMSE represents the match between the experimental data and the calculated data used for plotting the kinetic model, where n is the number of data points. It is defined as (Eq. (4)):

$$ \text{RSME} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (qₑ - qₑ\text{exp})^2} $$

(4)
Therefore, electric energy consumption is calculated as (Eq. (5)):

$$ E = \frac{U \cdot I \cdot t}{V} $$

where $E$ is the electrical energy [5], $U$ is the cell voltage (V), $I$ is the current density (A) and $t$ EC is the time of the electro-H$_2$O$_2$/UV system per hour [6]. According to the results the minimum energy consumption was 2.15 kW h/kg.

As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, the maximum efficiency of removal phenol and COD under optimum condition (2 mM of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, 50 mg/L of initial phenol concentration, pH = 5, $j = 10$ mA/cm$^2$, $t = 25$ min, and 2.1 kW h/m$^3$ of energy consumption) was 99% for phenol and 97% for COD.

Similar results in other research have been reported metronidazole removal by the combined system coupling an electro-Fenton process and conventional biological treatment [7,8], treatment of retting flax wastewater by Fenton oxidation and granular activated carbon [8], treatment of distillery industrial effluent by combining electrocoagulation with advanced oxidation processes [9]. This trend suggests that the presence of UV radiation has had a positive effect on the phenol removal efficiency [10]. The results in Table 1 indicate that the removal behavior of the contaminant over time follows pseudo-second-order models, in accordance with the results obtained by Seid Mohammadi [11].
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