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ABSTRACT

This paper presents a novel model-free sliding mode control (MFSMC) method to improve the speed response of permanent magnet synchronous machine (PMSM) drive system. The ultra-local model (ULM) is first derived based on the input and the output of the PMSM. Then, the novel MFSMC method is presented, and the controller is designed based on ULM and MFSMC. A sliding mode observer (SMO) is constructed to estimate the unknown part of the ULM. The estimated unknown part is feedbacked to MFSMC controller to perform compensation for parameter perturbations and external disturbances. Compared with the sliding mode control (SMC) method, the results of simulation and experiment demonstrate that the presented MFSMC method improves the dynamic response and robustness of the PMSM drive system.
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1 Introduction

Permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) has been widely used in industrial drives, railway transportation, and electric vehicles (EVs) due to its simple structure, energy-saving, and high efficiency [1]. However, the variations of PMSM parameters and unknown external disturbance [2,3] can cause unstable operation in the PMSM drive system.

The conventional PI control method cannot satisfy higher performance control of the motor [4,5]. Therefore, many control strategies have been presented to improve the robustness and the reliability of the control of PMSM drive system, such as sliding mode control (SMC) [5–8], predictive control, fuzzy logic control, and so on.
SMC is widely used for its insensitive to parameter perturbation and is easy to be implemented in engineering. A new SMC with variable speed reaching law was presented to reduce the chattering caused by sign function, and the system performance was improved \[9\]. An integral continuous SMC with an adaptive disturbance observer was presented to eliminate chattering and torque ripple \[10\]. An SMC integrated with extended state observer (ESO) was developed to reduce the influence of the load torque for the PMSM drive system \[11\]. However, some uncertainties in PMSM drives can lead to performance degradation because the controller requires an accurate model.

To reduce the dependence of the controller design on the system model, a model-free control (MFC) method \[12,13\] was presented to design the controller for an uncertain system \[14,15\]. A robust MFC controller was proposed based on an ultra-local model (ULM) \[16\]. An MFC controller integrated with an ESO was applied in the current loop of PMSM \[17,18\], and the method had strong robustness to the variation of motor parameters. A model-free predictive controller was designed for the current loop of PMSM drives, and the performance of PMSM drive had been effectively improved \[19\]. Two model-free SMC structures were suggested for nonlinear systems and were validated in twin-rotor aerodynamic systems \[20,21\], and the experimental results show that the method is feasible and has strong robustness.

This paper presents a novel model-free sliding mode control (MFSMC) method based on ULM. It improves the dynamic response and robustness of the PMSM drive system. The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

(i) An MFSMC method that combined SMC with MFC is presented to improve the speed response for the PMSM drive system in case of parameter perturbation. The MFSMC method has the features of both MFC and SMC. More specifically, while the MFC in the system ensures independence on the precise PMSM model, the SMC improves the robustness of the PMSM system to parameter perturbations and external disturbances.

(ii) The ultra-local model (ULM) of the speed loop is derived based on the input and the output of the PMSM drive system.

(iii) The unknown part of ULM is precisely estimated by the designed SMO and feedbacked to the controller to performed compensation for parameter perturbations and external disturbances.

The rest of this paper is constructed as follows. Section 2 describes the ultra-local model of the speed loop for PMSM. Section 3 designs the MFSMC speed controller. Section 4 shows the simulation and experimental results. Section 5 gives a brief conclusion.

2 Ultra-Local Model of Speed Loop for PMSM

PMSM is a multivariable, nonlinear, and strongly coupled system. Neglected the effects of the magnetic saturation, iron losses, and stray losses, the magnetic circuit is considered as linear, and the inductance parameter is considered as constant. Then, the mathematical model of PMSM in the $d$-$q$ axis reference is described as \[22\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_d &= R_i d_i + L_d \frac{d i_d}{dt} - \omega_c L_q i_q \\
    u_q &= R_i d_i + L_q \frac{d i_q}{dt} + \omega_c L_d i_d + \omega_c \psi_r
\end{align*}
\]

where $u_d$, $u_q$ represent the $d$-$q$ axis stator voltages (V); $R_s$ is the stator resistance (Ω); $i_d$, $i_q$ represent the $d$-$q$ axis stator currents (A); $L_d$, $L_q$ represent the $d$-$q$ axis stator inductances (H);
ωᵩ is the rotor electrical angular (rad/s); ψᵣ is the amplitude of the permanent magnet (PM) flux linkage (Wb).

In the d-q reference, the electromagnetic torque equation of a PMSM is

\[ Tₑ = \frac{3}{2} n_p [ψᵣiₗ + (L_d - L_q)i_d i_q] = \frac{3}{2} n_p ψ_{ext} i_q \]  (2)

where \( ψ_{ext} = ψᵣ + (L_d - L_q)i_d \) is the active flux [3].

In the d-q reference, the PMSM mechanical equation is

\[ Tₑ = T_L + J \frac{dωᵩ}{dt} \]  (3)

where \( Tₑ \) is the electromagnetic torque (Nm); \( T_L \) is the load torque (Nm); \( J \) is the rotational inertia (kg·m²); \( n_p \) is the number of pole pairs.

Substituting (2) into (3) yields

\[ \frac{dωᵩ}{dt} = \frac{3n_p^2 ψ_{ext}^2}{2J} - \frac{n_p}{J} T_L \]  (4)

Considering parameter uncertainties and unknown disturbances (4) becomes

\[ \frac{dωᵩ}{dt} = \frac{3n_p^2 ψ_{ext}^2}{2J} - \frac{n_p}{J} T_L + Δ \]  (5)

where Δ indicates the unknown disturbances and parameter perturbation.

According to the MFC method [16], the ULM of the speed loop for PMSM is designed as

\[ \dot{ω}ᵩ = F + ωᵩ e + \frac{K_p e_1 + K_I \int e_1 dt}{\alpha} \]  (6)

where \( \alpha \) is the design parameter, the paper sets it as \( \alpha = 3n_p^2 ψᵣ/2J \); \( F \) is the unknown part of the ULM, \( F = -n_p/JT_L + Δ \), it consists of the modeled part and the disturbance part of PMSM.

Eq. (6) can be rearranged as

\[ \hat{F} = \dot{ω}ᵩ - \alpha i_q \]  (7)

where \( \hat{F} \) denotes the estimated value of \( F \). \( \dot{ω}ᵩ \) denotes the observed value of \( ωᵩ \).

3 MFSMC Speed Controller

To improve the speed response and the robustness of the PMSM drive system, this section designs the speed controller by the MFSMC theory.

3.1 Design of MFSMC Speed Controller

Based on the ULM (6) of the PMSM [16], the MFC speed controller is designed as

\[ i_q^* = \frac{-\hat{F} + \dot{ω}ᵩ e_1 + K_p e_1 + K_I \int e_1 dt}{\alpha} \]  (8)

where \( ωᵩ e \) is the reference speed; \( K_p, K_I \) are the proportional and the integral gains; \( e_1 = ωᵩ e - ωᵩ \) is the speed error.
Introducing the term of SMC in MFC speed controller (8), the MFSMC speed controller is designed as

$$i_q^* = -\hat{F} + \dot{\omega}_e^* + K_p e_1 + K_I \int e_1 dt + u_{SMC} \tag{9}$$

Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (9) yields

$$\dot{\omega}_e^* - \dot{\omega}_e + K_p e_1 + K_I \int e_1 dt + \alpha u_{SMC} = 0 \tag{10}$$

Added and subtracted the speed derivation, $\dot{\omega}_e$, on the left of Eq. (10) yields

$$\dot{\omega}_e^* - \dot{\omega}_e + \dot{\omega}_e - \dot{\omega}_e + K_p e_1 + K_I \int e_1 dt + \alpha u_{SMC} = 0 \tag{11}$$

Define the estimated error, $E = F - \hat{F} = \dot{\omega}_e - \dot{\hat{\omega}}_e$. $E$ is a bounded value. Then the Eq. (11) becomes

$$E + \alpha u_{SMC} = -\dot{e}_1 - K_p e_1 - K_I \int e_1 dt \tag{12}$$

Introducing the state variables $x_1 = \int e_1 dt$ and $x_2 = e_1$, then, the following equation is obtained

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -K_p e_1 - K_I \int e_1 dt - E - \alpha u_{SMC} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

The integral sliding surface is designed as $s_1 = cx_1 + x_2 (c > 0)$. Differentiating the sliding surface $s_1$ yields

$$\dot{s}_1 = cx_1 + \dot{x}_2 + \dot{x}_2 = (c - K_p) e_1 - K_I \int e_1 dt - E - \alpha u_{SMC} \tag{14}$$

**Theorem 1:** Chosen the constant rate reaching law $\dot{s}_1 = -\varepsilon \text{sgn}(s_1) (\varepsilon > 0)$, and chosen the SMC signal as (14), then the system state will reach sliding mode manifold in finite time

$$u_{SMC} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ (c - K_p) e_1 - K_I \int e_1 dt - E_c + \varepsilon \text{sgn}(s_1) \right] \tag{15}$$

where $E_c \geq |E|$. $E_c$ is the upper boundary of $E$, and $E_c$ is a positive constant.

**Proof:** Choose a Lyapunov functional candidate to be

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} s_1^2 \tag{16}$$
Derivating of (15) and substituting (13) and (14) yield
\[ \dot{V}_1 = s_1 \dot{s}_1 \]
\[ = s_1 ((e - K_P)e_1 - K_I \int e_1 dt - E - \alpha u_{SMC}) \]
\[ = s_1 (-\varepsilon \text{sgn}(s_1) + E_c - E) \]
\[ = (E_c - E)s_1 - \varepsilon |s_1| \]
\[ \leq (|E_c - E| - \varepsilon)|s_1| \]

(17)

Chosen \( \varepsilon \geq |E_c - E| \), it will yield \( \dot{V}_1 \leq 0 \).

Then the system state will reach the sliding mode manifold in finite time.

This completes the proof.

Substituting control law (14) into Eq. (8) yields the MFSMC speed controller

\[ i_q^* = \frac{-\hat{F} + \dot{\omega}_e^* + ce_1 - E_c + \varepsilon \text{sgn}(s_1)}{\alpha} \]

(18)

Fig. 1 presents the block diagram of the designed MFSMC speed controller.

**Remark 1:** Introducing the term of SMC into MFC, the MFSMC method reduces the dependence on the accurate PMSM model, and improves the robustness of the system to parameter perturbations and external disturbances. So, the MFSMC method has the advantages of both MFC and SMC.

### 3.2 Design SMO to Estimate \( F \)

Since \( F \) is an unknown term in the ULM (6), the sliding-mode observer (SMO) is designed to observe the value of \( F \). An SMO is designed as

\[ \dot{\hat{\omega}} = \alpha i_q + k \text{sgn}(e_2) \]

(19)

where \( \hat{\omega} \) is the estimation value of \( \omega_c \). \( e_2 = \omega_c - \hat{\omega} \) is the estimated error. \( k \) is the gain of the SMO.

Subtracting (6) from (19) gives the error equation

\[ \dot{e}_2 = F - k \text{sgn}(e_2) \]

(20)
**Theorem 2:** The error $e_2$ converges to zero in finite time, if the sliding mode manifold is chosen as $s_2 = e_2$, and the gain of SMO, $k$, is designed as $k > |F| + \sigma (\sigma > 0)$.

**Proof:** The Lyapunov function is selected to be

$$V_2 = \frac{1}{2} s_2^2$$

The derivative of $V_2$ is

$$\dot{V}_2 = s_2 \dot{s}_2 = s_2 (F - k \text{sgn}(s_2)) = s_2 F - k |s_2| \leq |s_2| (|F| - k)$$

(21)

Chosen $k > |F| + \sigma (\sigma > 0)$ yields $\dot{V}_2 \leq -\sigma |s_2| \leq 0$.

Then, the error variable $e_2$ will converge to 0 in a finite time, and the SMO is asymptotically stable.

This completes the proof.

Based on the sliding-mode equivalent principle [23], this gives the estimated $\hat{F}$

$$\hat{F} = k \text{sgn}(e_2)$$

(22)

To effectively reduce the chattering caused by the sign function of SMO (19) and the MFSMC controller (18), the sign function $\text{sgn}(s)$ is replaced by $H(s)$

$$H(s) = \frac{s}{|s| + \delta}, (\delta > 0)$$

(23)

4 Simulations and Experiments

This section gives the results of simulations and experiments to demonstrate the effectiveness of the presented method.

4.1 Simulation Results

To verify the advantage of the designed MFSMC speed controller, MATLAB/Simulink is used to simulate the PMSM speed control system. The schematic diagram of the PMSM control system is presented in Fig. 2. The vector control scheme of $i_d^* = 0$ is carried out on. The parameters of PMSM are listed in Table 1.

![Figure 2: Schematic diagram of PMSM control system](image-url)
Table 1: Parameters of permanent magnet synchronous motor

| Parameter                         | Unit | Values |
|-----------------------------------|------|--------|
| DC voltage                        | V    | 311    |
| Stator resistance ($R_s$)         | Ω    | 2.875  |
| Number of pole pairs ($n_p$)      | pairs| 4      |
| $q$-axis inductance ($L_q$)       | H    | 0.0085 |
| $d$-axis inductance ($L_d$)       | H    | 0.0085 |
| Rotor PM flux ($\psi_r$)          | Wb   | 0.175  |
| Rotational inertia ($J$)          | kg·m$^2$ | 0.0015 |
| Nominal torque                   | Nm   | 10     |
| Nominal speed                    | rpm  | 2,000  |
| Nominal current                  | A    | 9.5    |
| Nominal voltage                  | V    | 160    |
| Nominal power                    | kW   | 2      |

Case 1: The reference speed was set as 100 rad/s at 0 s, then it increases to 300 rad/s at 0.1 s. The load torque was set as 2 Nm. The speed simulation results are presented in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3: Simulation results of speed changes](image)

Fig. 3 demonstrates the comparison diagram which was controlled by sliding mode control (SMC) and model-free sliding mode control (MFSMC) control method. When the speed was 100 rad/s, the speed controlled by the MFSMC method tracked the reference speed at 0.01 s, while the speed controlled by the SMC method tracked the reference speed at 0.04 s. When the speed increased to 300 rad/s, the speed controlled by the MFSMC method tracked the reference speed at 0.12 s, while the speed controlled by the SMC method tracked the reference speed at 0.14 s. It is known that the speed controlled by the MFSMC method is faster than the speed controlled by the SMC method.
Case 2: The reference speed was set as 100 rad/s. The initial load torque is set to 0 Nm and then increases to 2 Nm at 0.1 s. The simulation results are shown in Figs. 4–6.

![Figure 4: Comparison diagram of actual speed and estimated speed by SMO](image)

**Figure 4:** Comparison diagram of actual speed and estimated speed by SMO

![Figure 5: Comparison diagram of speed controlled by MFSMC and SMC](image)

**Figure 5:** Comparison diagram of speed controlled by MFSMC and SMC

Fig. 4 gives the dynamic comparison diagram of estimated speed by SMO and the actual speed in the MFSMC. It shows that the observed speed by SMO tracks the actual speed, and the error is small.

Fig. 5 shows the dynamic comparison diagram of speed controlled by the MFSMC and the SMC when the torque changes. It can be seen that the speed response by MFSMC is faster than SMC. When the torque changes at 0.1 s, the speed fluctuation controlled by SMC was larger than
MFSMC. The speed controlled by SMC tracked the reference speed slower than that controlled by MFSMC. So, the robustness of MFSMC is better than that of SMC.

![Figure 6: Simulation results of torque controlled by MFSMC](image1)

Figs. 6 and 7 demonstrate the dynamic diagrams of motor torque controlled by MFSMC and MFC. It is also shown that the torque response of motor controlled by MFSMC is faster than that controlled by SMC.

![Figure 7: Simulation results of torque controlled by SMC](image2)

4.2 Experiments Results
The hardware-in-the-loop simulation (HILS) experiments are carried out on an RT-Lab platform [7]. The HILS platform consists of a host computer, a DSP controller TMS320F2812,
an OP5600 simulator (Fig. 8a). Fig. 8b shows the RT-Lab HILS configuration diagram for the PMSM control systems. The experimental results are shown in Figs. 9–12.

Fig. 9 demonstrates the comparison diagram of the actual and reference speed controlled by the MFSMC method when the speed changes. Fig. 10 demonstrates the comparison diagram of the actual and reference speed controlled by the SMC method when the speed changes. It shows that the response of speed controlled by the MFSMC method is faster than that by the SMC method.

![RT-Lab HILS platform. (a) RT-LAB. (b) Configuration diagram](image)

**Figure 8:** RT-Lab HILS platform. (a) RT-LAB. (b) Configuration diagram

![Experimental results of speed controlled by MFSMC](image)

**Figure 9:** Experimental results of speed controlled by MFSMC

Fig. 11 presents the experimental results of speed and torque controlled by the MFSMC method when the torque changes. Fig. 12 presents the experimental results of speed and torque controlled by the SMC method when the torque changes. It shows that the response and robustness controlled by the MFSMC method are better than that controlled by the SMC method.

The above results (Figs. 4–11) for the SMC and MFSMC were summarized in Table 2.
**Figure 10:** Experimental results of speed controlled by SMC

**Figure 11:** Experimental results of speed and torque controlled by MFSMC

**Figure 12:** Experimental results of speed and torque controlled by SMC
Table 2: Comparison of control methods: SMC and MFSMC

| Performance index          | SMC   | MFSMC |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Speed error (rad/s)        | 0.22  | 0.11  |
| Speed response (s)         | 0.03  | 0.01  |
| Starting time (s)          | 0.036 | 0.02  |
| Torque ripple (%)          | 10    | 5     |
| Torque response (s)        | 0.012 | 0.006 |

5 Conclusions

This paper presented a novel MFSMC method to improve the speed response and robustness of the PMSM drive system. The ULM of the speed loop in PMSM is established based on the input and the output of the PMSM drive system. The MFSMC speed controller is designed based on ULM, and the SMO is designed to estimate the unknown part of ULM. The estimated unknown part is feedbacked to the controller to compensate for parameter perturbations and external disturbances. Compared with the SMC method, the results of simulation and experiment prove the presented method has excellent speed control performance and operates well. The MFSMC method has independence on the precise model of PMSM, and has faster response speed and strong robustness than the SMC method.
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