INTRODUCTION

The sagittal balance of whole spine is affected critically in the clinical healthy state and optical biomechanical motion\textsuperscript{6}. Each regional spine level keeps balance against the global axis of gravity with spinal curvature\textsuperscript{7}. Imbalance of the spine in the sagittal plane is an important factor for clinical symptoms, degenerative disease and perioperative care\textsuperscript{4}.

In the spinal regional division, the strong correlation of pelvic incidence and lumbar lordosis has been noted in several studies\textsuperscript{9}. The relations have been used to extrapolate from clinical symptoms to operative predisposing factors. This spinopelvic balance as regional component of whole spine is already known as unique and proven\textsuperscript{1,12}.

Sagittal balance in cervical spine is as important as pelvic incidence and related with the concept of T1 slope. The T1 slope is a landmark of an overall spinal sagittal balance and is a critical issue as the relationship between pelvic incidence and lumbar lordosis\textsuperscript{30}. The sagittal balance of cervical spine must be affected by the shape and orientation of T1 slope value for keeping upright and horizontal plane. But, the normal cervical sagittal balance and physiological cervical lordosis is not clearly defined yet\textsuperscript{8}. The T1 slope is a newly studied concept but it has a significant role in cervical sagittal alignment. Even in research that studied thoracic inlet angle and 1st thoracic spine, T1 slope checked by upright plain X-ray of cervical spine could not be exactly enough examined due to the anatomical interference limitation of shoulder contour density especially in obese people with thick thoracic trunk. Most research collected data by the T1 slope of unclear visible X-ray radiographs\textsuperscript{5,8}.

To overcome the fundamental limitation of the X-ray radiographs, we studied the cervical sagittal balance by T1 slope and thoracic inlet angle with the more radical measurement with cervical 3 dimensional CT radiographs of asymptomatic persons. The evaluated values at supine with cervical 3D CT position were clearly exact because of no invisible limitation.
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**RESULTS**

Before starting our study, we evaluated the possibility of checking T1 slope using cervical X-ray. It’s difficult to estimate perfectly the randomized radiographs of the sternum and T1 vertebral body of 200 persons in our Spinal Center outpatient clinic from January 2011 to September 2012. We could check above the parameters from only 11% of 200 patients using cervical X-ray scans. In our patients’ demographic data, the mean age was 38.79 (range 20 to 59, SD±11.47). The mean T1 slope was 23.20 (range 7.02 to 40.03, SD±6.49) and the mean neck tilt was 47.30 (range 26.35 to 71.49, SD±9.35). Also the mean TIA was 70.50 (range 52.54 to 92.37, SD±10.66) (Table 1).

As the age was getting higher, the value of neck tilt and TIA had been increasing significantly with each Pearson correlation coefficient 0.44, 0.48 (p value <0.05) (Fig. 3).

and the p value <0.05 were considered significant.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Asymptomatic 80 adults from 3rd decade to 6th decade who never had been treatment or diagnosis involved spinal problem were enrolled. The male to female ratio is equally 40 : 40, the mean age is 38.8 with a range of 30 to 59 year-old (Table 1). Cervical CT radiographs (Brilliance CT 64-channel scanner, Philips Electronics) checked from January 2011 to October 2012 were obtained with natural supine position with gaze on ceiling vertically without any uncomfortable involved factor.

T1 slope, neck tilt, thoracic inlet angle, Cobb’s angle C2-7 and sagittal vertical axis (SVA) C2-7 were measured by cervical CT radiographs data (Fig. 1). The T1 slope was measured as the angle between a horizontal line and the superior end plate of T1.

And, the neck tilt was defined as an angle formed by a vertical line of sternum tip and the line drawn in the center of upper end plate of the sternum connecting the center of the T1 upper end plate. The thoracic inlet angle (TIA) is a sum of T1 slope and neck tilt (Fig. 2). It was formed similar to relationship that pelvic incidence is the sum of sacral slope and pelvic tilting.

The distance between C2 vertical line and C7 vertical line constitutes the SVA C2-7.

In addition, Cobb’s angle C2-7 was measured by formal Cobb methods that checked angle between the horizontal line of C2 lower end plate and the horizontal line of C7 lower end plate. The radiographs were measured using standard techniques recommended by the Scoliosis Research Society.

The PACS system (p view, INFINITT , Seoul, Korea) was determined by 2 independent observers for the measurement. After the agreement between the observers, the each factor was measured twice independently by 2 spinal surgeons of neurosurgery department and the linear correlation coefficient was analyzed. The correlations between the parameters were analyzed with the Pearson correlation coefficient. For statistical analysis, the SPSS 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used.
And the mean SVA C2-7 was 4.74 (range -14.54 to 19.95, SD±7.13) (Table 2). And, it shows the correlation factors between each value (Table 3).

The correlation coefficient for T1 slope and C2-7 Cobb’s angle was found to be 0.83 (p value <0.05). T1 slope and SVA C2-7 also was -0.35 (p value <0.05). And, it was significantly correlated with TIA (0.49, p value <0.05). The TIA value is the sum of T1 slope angle and neck tilt angle. The correlation coefficient for C2-7 Cobb’s angle and SVA C2-7 was found to be -0.57 (p value <0.05).

DISCUSSION

As known, the most optimal sagittal alignment of whole spine in each motion is keeping each component in proper balance. The global balance from the occiput to pelvis and regional balanced alignment is maintained in each curvature keeping optimal balance6). If this balance is broken, clinical symptoms related to unbalanced alignment arise. It is a critical factor of pre- and post-operative care4).

Many articles based on unclear cervical X-ray radiographs could weakly explain the T1 slope, neck tilt and TIA because of the invisible sternum tip contour in data targeted patients5,8). This means it is difficult to justify the relationship between the T1 slope and cervical lordosis. Therefore, we excluded the basic limitation of unclear parameters by using more accurate cervical CT 3 dimensional database of asymptomatic patients in ENT clinic without spinal disease or even neck pain.

There is a study about cervical alignment parameter and aging3). This article explains the association of increasing age with significant increases in neck tilt and TIA value. The superior end plate of T1 midpoint as a check point of neck tilt and T1 slope was affected by whole spine vertebral body and disc height loss due to the degenerative change. The relative angle value was larger in young age2).

The values of Cobb’s angle C2-7 increase as the value of T1 slope rises. This was same as the previous study. The T1 slope as the axial base for cervical alignment was statistically significant. When T1 slope was increasing, the head center of gravity moved its position forward with anterior translation. Its overloading was compensated by reinforcing the cervical lordotic change. As a result, the high T1 slope increased Cobb’s angle C2-7 (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.826) and increased C2-7 angle keep SVA C2-7 decreased (Pearson correlation coefficient -0.567) by a continuous and compensatory principle (Fig. 4)8).

As T1 slope value had been changed in various positions and aging, T1 slope cannot be used as a absolute predicting parameter for cervical lordosis. But, the TIA value is congregated in a constant value, about ‘70 degree’ when comparing to previous study7). The TIA value in our article is 70.50 and 69.5 in previous study8). Therefore, the TIA could be considered the fixed reference value.

In addition, the high T1 slope made low SVA C2-7 by keeping C2 plumb line to the C7 plumb line and the SVA C2-7 could

| Table 1. The characteristics of selected 80 persons and mean value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sex              | Male | Female | Total |
| Age              | 40   | 40     | 80    |
| 20-29            | 10   | 10     | 20    |
| 30-39            | 10   | 10     | 20    |
| 40-49            | 10   | 10     | 20    |
| 50-59            | 10   | 10     | 20    |
| Mean             | 39.3 | 37.4   | 38.8  |
be negative value when much higher lordotic curvature. SVA C2-7 value also could not be the constant parameter and it means compensatory principles by head and cervical motion.

By using this fundamental principle, the spinal surgeon could make the proper fusion angle in the patients with deformity of cervico-thoracic junction by this formula. This could result in better post-operative outcomes than without optimal fusion angle8).

The limitation of this study is that each value was checked in supine position, not in upright position. It could not be absolutely compared to several previous studies with value in upright position. This article about T1 slope, neck tilt and TIA studied the basic parameters and the relationship between each parameter in supine position8). All data were collected in the upright position and the data value of T1 slope, neck tilt, TIA was different from the value of this study. But, its fundamental difference from different position means it limits itself, but it could be the database of variant motions.

The target range of this study was also made of each 20 healthy people in third decade to sixth decade. There were no control groups with healthy elder people. It was impossible to make the aging process completely with limited age group.

It could be further evaluated study by checking values in patients with each cervical spinal disease. We may explain the pathophysiology of unbalanced patients. The study could be improved by comparing to the parameters in upright position and supine by the data of cervical X-ray radiographs in upright position and cervical CT radiographs in supine position at the same time. Synchronizing both values in each neck position could be a meaningful discussion.

CONCLUSION

This analysis explains that several factors affect sagittal balance. We already know of the strong correlation between pelvis incidence and lumbar lordosis, but there are unexplored questions about cervical alignment. From the results of this study, we could discuss the change of neck tilt by aging and T1 slope, Cobb’s angle C2-7, SVA C2-7. This study may lay a foundation for further study about cervical alignment.
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Table 2. The mean value and range of parameters

| Mean value | Range            | Standard deviation |
|------------|------------------|--------------------|
| T1 slope (degree) | 23.16 | 7.02-40.03 | ±6.49 |
| Neck tilt (degree) | 47.30 | 26.35-71.49 | ±9.35 |
| Cobb’s angle C2-7 (degree) | 12.50 | -11.26-30.12 | ±7.78 |
| SVA C2-7 (mm) | 4.74 | -14.54-19.95 | ±7.13 |
| TIA (degree) | 70.50 | 52.54-92.37 | ±10.66 |

SVA : sagittal vertical axis, TIA : thoracic inlet angle

Table 3. The pearson correlation coefficient and p value

| Age | T1 slope | Neck tilt | Cobb’s angle C2-7 | SVA C2-7 | TIA |
|-----|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------|-----|
| X   | 0.158    | 0.438†    | 0.164             | 0.198    | 0.481† |
| T1 slope | X         | 0.132     | 0.826†            | 0.352†   | 0.493† |
| Neck tilt | X         | 0.174     | 0.259†            | 0.797†   |
| Cobb’s angle C2-7 | X         | -0.567†   | 0.350†            |
| SVA C2-7 | X         | 0.013     |                   |
| TIA | X        |           |                   |          |

*Statistically significant values at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). †Statistically significant values at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). SVA : sagittal vertical axis, TIA : thoracic inlet angle