N-gram Model for Chinese Grammatical Error Diagnosis
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Abstract

Detection and correction of Chinese grammatical errors have been two of major challenges for Chinese automatic grammatical error diagnosis. This paper presents an N-gram model for automatic detection and correction of Chinese grammatical errors in NLPTEA 2017 task. The experiment results show that the proposed method is good at correction of Chinese grammatical errors.

1 Introduction

The goal of the NLPTEA 2017 shared task1 for Chinese spelling check is to develop a computer-assisted system to automatically diagnose typing errors in Traditional Chinese sentences written by native Hong Kong primary students. Two kinds of errors are defined in the Chinese grammatical error diagnosis of NLPTEA 2017: typo and Cantonese usage. Typical error examples are shown in Table 1. In this NLPTEA task, the given sentences may not be wrong or not less than one error.

Spelling check is a common task for every language. It is an automatic mechanism to detect and correct spelling errors. An automatic spelling check system should have abilities about error detection and error correction. Error detection is to find the various types of spelling errors in the text. And error correction is to replace some inappropriate words and characters by some reasonable ones.

With the close connection of mainland China and Hong Kong, it is essential for native Hong Kong primary students, who use Cantonese in their daily life, to learn some grammar and semantics of Mandarin Chinese. Additionally, as primary students, they can not avoid making some spelling mistakes like typos. Therefore, Chinese spelling check is becoming a significant task nowadays.

The same Chinese words express different meanings in different contexts. These are very difficult for beginners to master and challenge the establishment of automatic Chinese detection and correction system. Language modeling

| Error Type                  | Error Sentence                                      |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| No error                    | 我很喜歡吃媽媽做的涼瓜炒蛋飯。(I like to eat my mother’s rice with balsam pear scrambled eggs) |
| Typo only                   | 我很喜歡吃媽媽做的涼瓜炒蛋飯。                      |
| Cantonese usage only        | 我很鍾意吃媽媽做的涼瓜炒蛋飯。                      |
| Typo and Cantonese usage    | 我很鍾意吃媽媽做的涼瓜炒蛋飯。                      |
| Multiple typos and multiple Cantonese usages | 我很鍾意食媽媽做的梁瓜炒蛋飯。                      |

Table 1: Typical error examples
(LM) (Goodman, 2001) is widely used in Chinese spelling check. The most widely-used and well-practiced language model, by far, is the N-gram LM (Wu et al., 2015), because of its simplicity and fair predictive power. Ensemble Learning (Xiang et al., 2015), CRF (Wu et al., 2015) and LSTM network (Shiue et al., 2017) have also been used in recent years to diagnose Chinese error.

Our work in this paper uses an N-gram LM to detect and correct possible spelling errors. And we also do word segmentation in a pre-processing stage which can improve the system performance. In our model, we first make word and character segmentation of the text. Second, the processed text is used as an input of the N-gram model, then the output $K$ value is used to determine whether the word and character are wrong. If the word and character are wrong, the detection model will output the location and the length of the wrong word and character. Finally, output information of the detection model is used as an input of the correction model. The correction model outputs the correction information by matching and searching in the dictionaries.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the N-gram model of the detection system we proposed for this task. Section 3 describes the error correction model we put forward for this task. Section 4 shows the data analysis and the evaluation results. Section 5 concludes this paper and illustrates the future work.

2 A Chinese Error Detection Model Based on N-gram

2.1 Introduction of the N-gram basic model

The N-gram model (Wu et al., 2001) is one of the most common mathematical models in natural language processing. It is defined as: the assumption sequence $W_1 W_2 \cdots W_n$ is a Markov chain, and then the probability of an element $W_i$ is only related to the preceding N-1 elements:

$$P(W_i|W_1 \cdots W_{i-1}) = P(W_i|W_{i-n+1} \cdots W_{i-1})$$

Therefore, the N-gram model can be regarded as an N-1 Markov chain. According to Markov stochastic process, the probability of symbol string $S = W_1 W_2 \cdots W_n$ can be calculated by the initial probability distribution and the transfer probability as follows:

$$P(S) = P(W_1) \cdot \prod (P(W_k|W_{k-n+1}^{k-1}))$$

where $P(W_1)$ can be considered as an initial probability distribution and $P(W_k|W_{k-n+1}^{k-1})$ can be regarded as a state transition probability. $W_k^{k-n+1}$ indicates $W_{k-n+1} W_{k-n+2} \cdots W_{k-1}$.

It can be seen that the bigger the N is, the closer the word order is to the real word, which produces better results. However, in practical application, the growth of the N not only causes the number of parameters increases sharply, but also brings some evaluation errors. So in actual use, we only consider the situation when N=1,N=2,N=3, namely Unigram, Bigram and Trigram (Liu et al., 2011).

2.2 A model of word continuous relation judgment

This model is used to determine whether characters or words continue to occur incorrectly, such as a sentence $S = Z_1 Z_2 \cdots Z_i Z_{i+1} \cdots Z_m$. $Z_i Z_{i+1}$ are two consecutive characters or words. By using the probability model of two characters or words, we check the target character or word, so as to determine whether the character or word is correct. In other words, if the character or word is correct, it can only be judged by its continuous relationship with the character or word.

Take Bigram as an example, in order to check whether $Z_i$ is error, we just need to check the adjacent relations of $Z_{i-1}$ and $Z_i$, if $Z_{i-1}$ to $Z_i$ transfer
probability $P(Z_i|Z_{i-1})$ meets a certain threshold condition, then we consider $Z_{i-1}$ and $Z_i$ are continuous, otherwise we think $Z_{i-1}$ and $Z_i$ are not continuous, then we consider $Z_i$ is error.

$$P(Z_{i-1}) = \frac{R(Z_{i-1})}{N} \quad (3)$$

$$P(Z_i) = \frac{R(Z_i)}{N} \quad (4)$$

$P(Z_{i-1})$ is the probability of $Z_{i-1}$ in training corpus, and $P(Z_i)$ is the probability of $Z_i$ in training corpus. $R(Z_{i-1})$ represents the number of occurrences of $Z_{i-1}$ in the entire training corpus. $R(Z_i)$ represents the number of occurrences of $Z_i$ in the entire training corpus. $N$ represents the total number of strings in the training corpus.

$$P(Z_{i-1}, Z_i) = \frac{R(Z_{i-1}, Z_i)}{N} \quad (5)$$

$P(Z_{i-1}, Z_i)$ represents the probability of continuity of $Z_{i-1}$ and $Z_i$. $R(Z_{i-1}, Z_i)$ indicates the total number of consecutive occurrences of $Z_{i-1}$ and $Z_i$ in the training corpus.

So the condition of judging whether $Z_{i-1}$ and $Z_i$ is continuous is $R(Z_{i-1}, Z_i) \geq \tau_0$. If $R(Z_{i-1}, Z_i) \geq \tau_0$ is established, then we consider $Z_{i-1}$ and $Z_i$ are continuous, otherwise we consider $Z_i$ is wrong.

2.3 A model of error detection based on different N-gram models

In this NLPTEA task, we use different N-gram models to determine whether the text is wrong or not. From the above mentioned, we know that model based on N-gram needs to have the frequency of characters and words. Through large corpus, we can construct the Bigram model, the Trigram model of characters and words and characters and words frequency table.

The corpus we use is middle and primary school texts organized by East China Normal University that has been made Chinese word segmentation.

For the Unigram model, we need to count the number of occurrences of each character and word in the corpus. For example, the number of occurrences of $W_i$ is $C_i$, the probability of $W_i$ is

$$P(W_i) = \frac{C_i}{N} \quad (6)$$

For the Bigram model, we need to count the number of continuous occurrences of two characters and words in the corpus. For example, the number of continuous occurrences of $W_i$ and $W_{i-1}$ is $C_{i-1,i}$.

$$P(W_i|W_{i-1}) = \frac{C_{i-1,i}}{C_{i-1}} \quad (7)$$

For the Trigram model, we need to count the number of continuous occurrences of three characters and words in the corpus. For example, the number of continuous occurrences of $W_{i-2}$, $W_{i-1}$ and $W_i$ is $C_{i-2,i-1,i}$.

$$P(W_i|W_{i-1}W_{i-2}) = \frac{C_{i-2,i-1,i}}{C_{i-2,i-1}} \quad (8)$$

$$P(W_i|W_{i-1}W_{i-2}) = \frac{C_{i-2,i-1,i}}{C_{i-2,i-1}} \quad (9)$$

So we can get the detection model, including the character model, the word model, the Bigram model of characters and words, the Trigram model of characters and words.

The model of errors detection is shown in Figure 1.

2.4 Examples and parameters

Take the sentence “表演完了，空中的濃煙散開了，回復原來的清晰。” as an example, to check whether there is an error with ‘回復’ . After making Chinese word segmentation, the sentence is “表演完了/了/在/空中的/濃/煙/散/開/了/回/復/原/來/的/清/晰/了”。 “了” presents a space. Examples of inputs of each model are shown in Table 2.

We use LTP model (Wanxiang Che, 2010) to make Chinese word segmentation. Since LTP
is a model to segment simplified Chinese words, we first turn the traditional Chinese into simplified Chinese and then make word segmentation.

**The character model:** we take the text of characters as inputs and check whether the character exists in the dictionary of characters. If the character does not exist, \( K = K + 2 \), otherwise, the \( K \) value remains unchanged.

**The word model:** we take the text of words as inputs and check whether the word exists in the dictionary of words. If the word does not exist, \( K = K + 2.7 \), otherwise, the \( K \) value remains unchanged.

**The Bigram model of words:** we take the text of two consecutive words as inputs and check whether the two consecutive words exist in the dictionary of two consecutive words. If the two words do not exist, \( K = K + 0.9 \), otherwise, if the number of appearance is less than 3 times, \( K = K + 0.2 \), otherwise, \( K = K - 1.2 \).

**The Trigram model of words:** we take the text of three consecutive words as inputs and check whether the three consecutive words exist in the dictionary of three consecutive words. If the three words do not exist, \( K = K + 0.4 \), otherwise, \( K = K - 1.2 \).

**The Bigram model of characters:** we take the text of two consecutive characters as inputs and check whether the two consecutive characters exist in the dictionary of two consecutive characters. If the two characters do not exist, \( K = K + 1 \), otherwise, if the number of appearance is less than 3 times, otherwise, \( K = K - 1.5 \).

**The Trigram model of characters:** we take the text of three consecutive characters as inputs and check whether the three consecutive characters exist in the dictionary of three consecutive characters. If the three characters do not exist, \( K = K + 0.3 \), otherwise, \( K = K - 1 \).

After the above calculation, we get the \( K \) value, length and position of each character. The \( K \) value is used to determine whether it is wrong, and length is used to indicate the length of wrong string, and position refers to the start position of the wrong character in the sentence. If the \( K \) value is greater than 1.7, we consider the character and the word are wrong. The threshold value is determined by the combined effect of the above model.
### Table 3: Detection Performance

| Metric | Input Value |
|--------|-------------|
| TP     | 88          |
| FP     | 571         |
| FN     | 664         |
| Precision | 13.3536%   |
| Recall | 11.7021%    |
| Performance | 12.4734% |

### Table 4: Correction Performance

| Type   | Input Value |
|--------|-------------|
| Performance | 90.9102% |

### Table 5: Overall Performance

| Type   | Input Value |
|--------|-------------|
| Performance | 21.9370% |

## 3 Chinese Error Correction Model

Similarpronunciation and Similarshape (Lee et al., 2015) are two dictionaries which are used to find similar characters and words in pronunciation and shape.

Corresponding to the two dictionaries, Similarpronunciation and Similarshape, we get the candidate sets $SP_w$ and $SS_w$ of the wrong character and word $h_w$ respectively. $SP_w$ refers to the elements of the set that has the similar pronunciation of $h_w$ and $SS_w$ refers to the elements of the set that has the similar shape of $h_w$. Then we concatenate $SP_w$ and $SS_w$ into a set called $S_w$. For $\forall s \in S$, we replace $h_w$ by $s$ into the original sentence, then we use 2-gram, 3-gram and 4-gram around the specific character, and we can collect 9 items for each character of specific position, including 2 items of 2-gram, 3 items of 3-gram and 4 items of 4-gram. We compare and sort the frequency of the 9 items in the word frequency dictionary $W$. We assume that after replacing, if some items are in dictionaries, the item which has more characters will have a higher probability to be the target choice. For example, the frequency of the item “和蔼” is 5, the frequency of the item “和蔼可亲” is 2. But if the second one appears in your candidate sets, it will have a higher probability than the first one as we can imagine, so we can distribute different proportions to different types in dictionaries. Finally the most probable character is selected for eventual replacement.

When $length$ is higher than 1, we should replace the character from the start position to end position. End position is the plus of position and $length$. Therefore, there should be multiple characters to be replaced at the same time, such as when $length$ is equal to 3, we replace the character in the position, the second and the third character that begin with position, all these three characters need to be replaced at the same time successively. Then we compare the frequency of all items. The comparison method is as above.

## 4 Result Analysis

The system results we obtained are shown in Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5. We can see from the results that the detection performance is not very well. The reason may be that the parameters of the complex N-gram model are not easy to control and to adjust. The results also show that the method we proposed is good at correction of Chinese grammatical errors and achieves a high accuracy.

## 5 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we present an N-gram model for automatic detection and correction of Chinese grammatical errors. As we can see from the results, the performance of correction of Chinese grammatical errors is pretty good. In the future, we plan to employ neural network to Chinese grammatical error diagnosis.
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