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Abstract

Background: Breast cancer is one of major cause of death in women especially in developing countries. In 2012 it was recorded nearly 522,000 deaths occurred due to this cancer. Taq 1 polymorphism is a silent SNPs called a genetic risk factor to breast cancer.

The aim of the study: To investigate the relationship between of vitamin D-Taq1 receptor polymorphism gene (rs 731236) and histopathologic grading in breast cancer patients at Adam Malik Hospital, Medan.

Materials and Methods: Research subjects amounted to 53 breast cancer patients new diagnosed that have not received chemotherapy. DNA isolation from blood cells and gene amplification with PCR followed by RFLP using Taq1 restriction enzyme. Histopathologic grading data was obtained from the patient’s medical records reviewed with anatomical pathologist.

Result: TT genotype was 92.5% genotype TC 7.5%. CC 0% genotype with Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) p=0.77.

Histopathologic grading was obtained grade 1 (9.4%), grade 2 (49.1%) and grade 3 (41.5%).

Conclusion: Polymorphism of vitamin D-Taq1 receptor gene was not related to histopathologic grading in breast cancer patients at Adam Malik Hospital (p = 1.000)
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Introduction

Breast cancer was one of most commonly occurred cancer in women in 2012. It was reported that 1.7 million (11.9%) women worldwide diagnosed as breast cancer and 6.3 million women with breast cancer had survived for 5 years [1]. In 2012, 404,000 breast cancer cases occurred annually in Asian with incidence of 30 cases in every 100,000 women. Highest incidence was in China (46%), Japan (14%) and Indonesia (12%) [2]. Risk factor of breast cancer is multi factorial. Among of which was nutrition. Vitamin D is a far soluble vitamin with wide range of function. Low vitamin D level was associated with some diseases such as diabetes Cardiovascular osteoporosis multiple sclerosis and cancer [3].

Imtiaz et al. [4] Study found that serum vitamin D level in breast cancer patients were lower (9.3 ng/ml) than control group (14.9 ng/ml). Vitamin D deficiency occurred in 95.6% breast cancer patients. Similar result also stated by Janbabi et al. [5] which studied vitamin D levels in 200 breast cancer patients. They explained that 23.5% of breast cancer patients developed severe vitamin D deficiency and 37.5% developed mild deficiency. In its mechanism of action vitamin D needs a receptor to be transported into cells. Thirty one tissues had been identified to express vitamin D receptor. Including breast tissue; this indicates that cells in the tissues have potential to exert biological responses based on the number of vitamin D available [6].
Gene encoding vitamin D receptor was found in chromosome 12. That is 12q13. This gene had 9 exons and 3 introns with length approximately of 75 kb. Taq1 (rs 731236) polymorphism occurred in exon 9. Polymorphism occurred was SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphism) where thymine (T) base was altered into cytosine (C) (cod on ATT become ATC). This base alteration is still encoding isoleucine therefore it is called as Silent SNPs [7,8]. Several studies had reported association of polymorphism of Taq1 gene with breast cancer incidence [9-11]. Despite that there is still few associating with this breast cancer characteristics. Especially histopathologic grading such study had never been conducted in Indonesia.

Material and Methods

Study Group

Each subject signed informed consent after being explained about the aim and benefit of the study. Subjects amounted to 53 breast cancer patients new diagnosed that have not received chemotherapy. All patients were diagnosed and treated between Oktober 2017 until March 2018 at the Department of Oncology Surgery H.Adam Malik Hospital Medan.

PCR-RFLP

About 3 cc blood sample was obtained from each subject. Sample was collected in EDTA tube then its plasma was separated. Isolated DNA was amplified by PCR method using Go Taq Green Master mix (→ Promega USA) by adding primer forward 5’-CAG AGC AGC ATG GAC AGG GAG CAA-3’ and primer reverse 5’- CAC TTC GAG CAC AAG GGG GTG TAG C-3’. Using Thermal Cycler. DNA was amplified under initial denaturation temperature 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 30 denaturation cycle at 94°C for 45 seconds annealing at 60°C for 60 seconds and elongation at 72°C for 90 seconds and last step at 73°C for 5 minutes [12]. PCR product was then cleaved by restrictive enzyme Taq1 (→ thermo scientific) using RFLP methods (restriction fragment length polymorphism). RFLP product would be electrophoreses in gel 2% for 90 minutes with voltage of 70 Volt. Using gel doc. electrophoresis result was visualized. TT homozygote showed band at 494 bp. CC homozygote showed band at 290 & 204 bp and TC homozygote showed band at 494, 290 & 204 bp (Atoum MF and Yasmeen MA.2017).

Histopathologic Grading

Histologic grading data was obtained from patients’ medical record which was reviewed with anatomical pathologic specialist based on The Nottingham Grading System.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 22.0. Normality test was conducted using Kolmogorov-Smirnov. The relationship between each factor was examined using Chi-Square test if the requirement was fulfilled. Else Fisher exact test was used. Result with p value of less than 0.05 were considered as statically significant.

Result

Subject characteristics were age at diagnosis ranged between 40-49 years old (45.3%); menopause status was 50.9%; age at menopause 45-50 years old (59.3%). Based on histopathologic grading there was 5 (9.4%) subjects belonged to grade 1, 12 (26.4%) belonged to grade 2 and 22 (45.1%) subjects belonged to grade 3. Invasive ductal carcinoma was most common type. Found in 50 (94.3%) subjects. For molecular subtype Luminal A was 15%, Luminal B 17%, Her2 9.4%. Basal like/triple negative 4%. The rest were unknown. Positive LVI was found only in 1.8% (Table 1).

Table 1: Subject characteristics.

| Characteristics | n (%) |
|-----------------|------|
| **Age (years)** |      |
| <40             | 6 (11.3) |
| 40-49           | 24 (45.3) |
| 50-59           | 14 (26.4) |
| 60-69           | 7 (13.2) |
| ≥ 70            | 2 (3.8) |
| **Age at Menarche (years)** | |
| ≤ 13            | 31 (58.5) |
| > 13            | 22 (41.5) |
| **Menopause Status** | |
| Premenopause    | 26 (49.1) |
| Post Menopause  | 27 (50.9) |
| **Histopathologic Grade** |     |
| Grade 1         | 5 (9.4) |
| Grade 2         | 26 (49.1) |
| Grade 3         | 22 (41.5) |
| **Type**        |       |
| Ductal Carcinoma In situ | 0 (0) |
| Lobular Carcinoma In situ | 0 (0) |
| Invasive Ductal Carcinoma | 50 (94.3) |
| Invasive Lobular Carcinoma | 3 (5.7) |
| **Molecular Subtype** | |
| Luminal A       | 8 (15) |
| Luminal B       | 9 (17) |
| Her2            | 5 (9.4) |
| Basal-like/Triple Negative | 4 (7.5) |
| Unknown         | 27 (50.9) |
| **Lymphovascular infiltration (LVI)** |   |
| Positive        | 1 (1.8) |
| Negative        | 52 (98.11) |

Distribution frequency of TT homozygote genotype was 49 subjects (92.5%). TC genotype was 4 subject (7.5%) and there was no CC genotype. Total T allele obtained was 96.2% and C allele was 3.8%. Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) P=0.77 (Table 2) and (Figure 1) TT genotype group had histopathologic grading: Grade 1 for 5 (9.4%) patients. Grade 2 for 24 (45.3%) patients and Grade 3 for 20 (37.7%) patients. While TC genotype group had histopathologic grading: grade 1 for 0 (0%) patients. grade 2 for 2 (3.8%) patients and grade 3 for 3 (3.8%) patients. P-value for Fisher’s exact test was 1.000. This indicated that these data was not significant. with significance at 5% (Table 3) and (Figures 2-4).
**Table 2: Distribution Frequency of Genotype and Allele of Taq1.**

| Genotype/Allele | n (%)          | HWE   |
|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| TT              | 49 (92.5%)     | P=0.77|
| TC              | 4 (7.5%)       |       |
| CC              | 0 (0%)         |       |

**Allele**

| Allele | n (%)          |
|--------|----------------|
| T      | 102 (96.2%)    |
| C      | 4 (3.8%)       |

**Figure 1:** Visualization of PCR RFLP result using agarose gel 2%. TT genotype resulted in band at 494 bp, CC genotype resulted in band at 290 & 204 bp and TC genotype resulted in band at 494, 290 & 204 bp.

**Table 3: Association of Taq1 Genotype and Histopathologic Grading.**

| Genotype | Histologic Grading | N  |
|----------|--------------------|----|
|          | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 |    |
| TT       | n (%)   | n (%)   | n (%)   | 49 |
| TC       | 0 (0)   | 2 (3.8) | 2 (3.8) | 4  |
| CC       | -       | -       | -       | N=53|

**Figure 2:** Histopathologic Grading-Grade 1 (well differentiated).

**Figure 3:** Histopathologic Grading-Grade 2 (moderate differentiated).

**Figure 4:** Histopathologic Grading-Grade 3 (poorly differentiated).

**Discussion**

Genotype distribution of gene Taq1 was varied across countries in this study majority of study subject had TT genotype (92.5%). Breast cancer population in China was predominantly also had TT genotype (85.9%). Meanwhile in breast cancer patients in Germany Australia and Jordanian had more TC genotype than other genotypes [12-15]. Polymorphism is a variation on genetic levels which could be inherited across generation therefore there would be more than 1% in population. Genotype frequency inherited would be constant in each generation at certain population under certain requirement that is: existing genotype had ability to survive and equal fertility. Mating occurred randomly there is no gene mutation. There is no migration and there is no natural selection [16].

To assess whether certain gene frequency is always constant and not evolving certain law was used. That is Hardy-Weinberg Law. In this study Taq1 gene variation was proven as polymorphism since according to the law of Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (P>0.05). P value obtained was 0.77. Studies about the relationship of Taq1 polymorphism and breast cancer gave contradictive result and varied widely. Atoum & Al-Khatib study [12] showed that there was no significant differences between Taq1 genotype/allele frequency on breast cancer patient and control. So was Guo B et al study [13] which stated that Taq1 polymorphism had no association with breast cancer.

Reimers et al. [17] study gave opposite result that polymorphism of CC genotype of Taq1 gene even had association 26% in reducing breast cancer risk. This was in line with this study where
there is no CC genotype in breast cancer patients. Yet due to the absence of control we cannot assess its significance as protection factor. Mozafarzadeh et al. [11] study indicated that TC genotype had correlation with the development of breast cancer (p<0.001. OR=5.51.0.95 CI-2.30-13.21). Another significant relationship also showed by Abbas et al. [9] but only in patients with positive estrogen receptor. El-Shorbagy et al. [10] study concluded that VDR TC genotype Taq1 variant (p<0.005. OR=3.71 95%CI: 1.04-13.28) and TG genotype Apa1 ( p<0.001. Or=7.05. 95% CI: 2.02-24) had more propensity towards breast cancer.

Many studies had linked polymorphism of Taq1 with the incidence of breast cancer. Yet there is still few linking them with breast cancer characteristics. Especially histopathologic grading. Histopathologic grading was a differentiation marker of certain cell in tumor. Malignant tumor had varied manifestation from well differentiated cell until no differentiation. Assessment of differentiation grade histopathologically was introduced by Patley and Scarff by evaluating 3 tumor characteristics. This is tubule and gland formation pleomorphic nuclear cell and mitosis counting. This evaluation was subsequently modified by Elston and Ellis and called as The Nottingham Grading Systemwhich has been widely used until now to assess differentiation grade and outcome in patients with invasive carcinoma [18].

In this study subject with grade 2 had more percentage (49.1%) than grade 1 (9.4%) and grade 3 (41.5%). This paralleled with Widodo et al. [19] with grade 1 percentage of 15.5%. grade 2 44%. and grade 3 40.5% [22]. Ng CH et al. [20] study comparing breast cancer histopathology between Dharmais Cancer Hospital. Indonesia with University Melaya Medical Centre. Malaysia also showed equal result where grade II was the most commonly found of breast cancer patients in both hospitals. But tumor with the lowest grade in Dharma is Cancer Hospital was higher (28%) than University Melaya Medical Centre (11%). In this study polymorphism of Taq 1 was not found had significant association with histopathologic grading in breast cancer patients. This study result was in parallel with El-Shorbagy et al. [10] subject with TT variant had grade 1 as much as (0%), grade 2 (88.9%), and grade 3 (11.1%). Meanwhile in TC variant subject with grade 1(3.08%), grade 2 (86.1%) and grade 3(10.77%).

There was no CC variant. Insignificant results also found in histopathologic grading invasion of lymph and vascular estrogen and progesterone receptor. Structural and function alteration of vitamin D receptor (VDR) due to polymorphism of Taq 1 has not been elucidated. Insignificant relationship between polymorphism of Taq 1 and histopathologic grading obtained from this study was probably due to no alteration in structure and function of VDR by Taq 1 polymorphism therefore not affecting its action with vitamin D which could affect three determinant of histologic grading (genesis of breast duct and gland. pleomorphic nuclear cell. and mitosis count) To determine the effect of Taq 1 polymorphism to histopathological grading on molecular levels. We need to assess its relationship with protein/molecule expression involved in proliferation differentiation apoptosis. Angiogenesis process which affecting component of histopathologic grading assessment in immunohistochemistry of breast cancer tissue sample.

Conclusion

Polymorphism of vitamin D receptor gene Taq 1 is not associated with histopathologic grading of breast cancer.
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Study Explanation

Characteristic data of study participant was shared data with another study authors (Astrid Siska Pratiwi. Melya Susanti. Ira Astuti dan Zakirullah). These authors studied these data in association with different variables.
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