Disassembly of All SNARE Complexes by N-Ethylmaleimide-sensitive Factor (NSF) Is Initiated by a Conserved 1:1 Interaction between α-Soluble NSF Attachment Protein (SNAP) and SNARE Complex
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Vesicle trafficking in eukaryotic cells is facilitated by SNARE-mediated membrane fusion. The ATPase NSF (N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor) and the adaptor protein α-SNAP (soluble NSF attachment protein) disassemble all SNARE complexes formed throughout different pathways, but the effect of SNARE sequence and domain variation on the poorly understood disassembly mechanism is unknown. By measuring SNARE-stimulated ATP hydrolysis rates, Michaelis-Menten constants for disassembly, and SNAP-SNARE binding constants for four different ternary SNARE complexes and one binary complex, we found a conserved mechanism, not influenced by N-terminal SNARE domains. α-SNAP and the ternary SNARE complex form a 1:1 complex as revealed by multiangle light scattering. We propose a model of NSF-mediated disassembly in which the reaction is initiated by a 1:1 interaction between α-SNAP and the ternary SNARE complex, followed by NSF binding. Subsequent additional α-SNAP binding events may occur as part of a processive disassembly mechanism.

The compartmentalization of eukaryotic cells (and the concomitant intracellular membrane trafficking) relies on a membrane fusion machinery that contains the so-called SNARE proteins. SNAREs contribute to membrane fusion specificity through the combination of different SNARE isoforms that are associated with different compartment membranes (1, 2), although other proteins also contribute to specificity (3). A characteristic feature of SNAREs is a core domain called the SNARE motif, which contains conserved heptad repeat patterns. The SNARE motifs contribute to a structurally conserved four-helix bundle in the so-called SNARE complex, which is formed by one v-SNARE (also called R-SNARE) and two or three t-SNAREs (also called Q-SNAREs) (4–6). Despite the structural conservation of the SNARE core complexes, there is considerable primary sequence variation in the core domains.

In addition to sequence variation, SNAREs show variability in their N-terminal domain architecture. Members of the syntaxin subfamily of t-SNAREs have an additional ~25-residue long N-terminal peptide, followed by a three-helix bundle called the Habc domain (7). v-SNAREs, also called vesicle-associated membrane proteins (VAMPs), have a variety of N-terminal extensions: a 10-residue region in VAMP8/endo-vacuolar protein 2; a 25-residue region in VAMP2; and an ~120-residue long domain in VAMP7, Sec22b, and Ykt6 (8); and an ~1000-residue WD40 domain in the VAMP homolog tomosyn (9). Despite the heterogeneity of SNARE complexes originating from the different isoforms, one molecular machine is capable of their disassembly: the AAA+ ATPase NSF (N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor) and its SNAP (soluble NSF attachment protein) adaptor protein (10–14). Unlike α-SNAP, the other two SNAP isoforms (β- and γ-SNAPs) are not ubiquitously expressed and will not be considered in this study.

The molecular details underlying the promiscuous recognition of different SNARE complexes by NSF and SNAP are poorly understood (15). Disassembly relies on the formation of a SNAP-SNAP- NSF complex called the 20S particle, followed by NSF-catalyzed ATP hydrolysis and the concomitant release of individual SNAREs. Based on biochemical and low-resolution cryo-EM reconstructions, it has been suggested that the
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20S particle is composed of three α-SNAPs bound to a single ternary neuronal SNARE complex and that α-SNAP mediates the attachment of the toroidal NSF hexamer to the N-terminal side of the SNARE bundle (16–18). However, a few aspects of this model are unclear. The N-terminal domains of syntaxins (Habc domains) and some VAMPs (e.g. longin domains) are not accounted for. Furthermore, Marz et al. (17) identified one interaction interface on α-SNAP by mutagenesis studies, but it is unclear how this α-SNAP interface could bind three different interfaces on the asymmetric surface of the SNARE complex. Here, we performed comparative studies of binding constants and disassembly kinetics of four different physiological ternary SNARE complexes and one binary (t-SNARE) complex with NSF and α-SNAP. We found that the disassembly kinetics and binding properties are conserved for the four SNARE complexes and the binary complex and that α-SNAP and the SNARE complex initially interact with a 1:1 stoichiometry. We propose a conserved SNARE complex disassembly mechanism in which one α-SNAP binds the SNARE complex at any given time, with subsequent α-SNAP binding events possibly occurring during the disassembly process.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

cDNA Constructs for Bacterial Expression—All DNAs used in this study encode rat proteins except NSF, which is from Chinese hamster. The recombinant expression of NSF and α-SNAP and the coexpression (Escherichia coli) of VAMP2(1–96) (simply referred to as VAMP2), syntaxin1A(1–265)-C145S/S249C/K253C (simply referred to as syntaxin1), syntaxin1A(191–265)-C145S/S249C/K253C (simply referred to as ΔN syntaxin1), and SNAP25A (simply referred to as SNAP25) were performed as described (19). For coexpression of VAMP7 and VAMP8 with their corresponding SNAREs, the DNAs encoding VAMP7(1–190)–C215/C56V (simply referred to as VAMP7), VAMP7(119–190) (simply referred to as ΔN VAMP7), and VAMP8(1–76)-I76C (simply referred to as VAMP8) were fused to a C-terminal hexahistidine tag and cloned (NcoI/BamHI) in the pACYCDuet vector (Novagen) from commercially available, full-length open reading frames (GeneArt, Invitrogen) using standard PCR-based techniques. The tag-free syntaxin4A(1–274)-C141S/E257C/I261C (simply referred to as syntaxin4) and SNAP23 constructs were cloned (NcoI/BamHI) in the pACYCDuet vector (Novagen), respectively. Coexpression of the corresponding SNARE complexes (i.e. VAMP7-syntaxin1-SNAP25, VAMP7-syntaxin4-SNAP23, VAMP8-syntaxin4-SNAP23) was performed by transforming one pACYCDuet vector with the respective pETDuet vectors into competent cells. Expression of the syntaxin1-SNAP25 binary complex was achieved by transforming competent cells with one pACYCDuet vector containing syntaxin1A(1–265)-C145S/S249C/K253C and SNAP25A (cloned into NcoI/Sall and NdeI/Xhol, respectively). Disassembly of the VAMP7-syntaxin1-SNAP25 SNARE complex fused to either an N-terminal or a C-terminal His6 tag was compared to rule out a possible effect of the His6 tag on the disassembly reaction (data not shown).

Protein Expression and Purification—NSF was expressed and purified as described (19). All other recombinant proteins were expressed in BL21(DE3) cells (Invitrogen) at an absorbance of 0.6 by induction with 0.5 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside. The four different ternary SNARE complexes and the syntaxin1-SNAP25 binary complex were coexpressed for 12 h at 25 °C, whereas α-SNAP was expressed for 3 h at 37 °C. Cells were lysed by sonication after 30 min of incubation in buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM NaCl, and 1 mM diethyrtol) with 10 mM imidazole, 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme, 5 μg/ml DNase, and protease inhibitors. Crude lysates were centrifuged for 40 min at 48,400 × g, and the supernatant was incubated with Ni2+–nitrilotriacetic acid–agarose beads (Qiagen) for 1 h at 4 °C. The beads were washed with buffer A with 30 mM imidazole and 0.1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP; 50 column volumes). Beads loaded with α-SNAP–His6 were eluted with buffer A, 300 mM imidazole, and 0.1 mM TCEP (5 column volumes), whereas beads loaded with the SNARE complex were further washed (50 volume columns) and resuspended (1 volume column) in labeling buffer (20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 300 mM NaCl, 0.1 μM TCEP) for on-bead labeling with Oregon Green 488 (Invitrogen) for 15 min at 23 °C, washed again to remove excess dye, and finally eluted in buffer A with 300 mM imidazole and 0.1 mM TCEP (3 column volumes). The same protocol was used to biotinylate the VAMP2-syntaxin1-SNAP25 SNARE complex through use of maleimide–PEG2-biotin (Thermo Scientific). The His6 tag was removed from α-SNAP with tobacco etch virus protease (Invitrogen) by overnight dialysis (3500 nominal molecular weight cutoff, Pierce) at 4 °C. Cell pellets overexpressing the syntaxin1-SNAP25 binary complex were lysed by sonication after 30 min of incubation in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme, 5 μg/ml DNase, and protease inhibitors. Crude lysates were centrifuged for 40 min at 48,400 × g, and the supernatant was loaded onto a Mono Q 10/100 anion exchange column (GE Healthcare) and eluted with a 0–100% gradient of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM NaCl, and 1 mM TCEP implemented over 20 column volumes. The binary complex dissociated from the column at ~750 mM NaCl and was then gel-filtered in degassed labeling buffer to perform in-solution labeling with Oregon Green 488 dye for 15 min at 23 °C. Excess dye was removed by dialysis for 3 h at 23 °C (10 nominal molecular weight cutoff, Pierce). All protein preparations were finally purified by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM TCEP. The progress and quality of the purification process were monitored by SDS-PAGE, mass spectrometry, CD spectroscopy, and multiangle light scattering (MALS).

ATPase Activity and SNARE Complex Disassembly Assays—NSF ATPase activity was measured using a microplate photometric assay coupled with the conversion of NADH (measuring absorbance at 340 nm) into NAD+ through pyruvate kinase and lactate dehydrogenase. All experiments were conducted simultaneously on a 96-well plate (150-μl samples, 0.5-cm path length) at 37 °C using a SpectraMax M2 plate reader (Molecular Devices). 20 nM NSF was added to 200 nM SNARE complex and 2 μM α-SNAP in disassembly buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 20 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM TCEP, 0.5 mM ATP, 1 mM MgCl2).
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The rates of NSF-driven disassembly of SNARE complexes were measured using the fluorescence dequenching method described previously (19) at 37 °C. Disassembly rates as a function of SNARE complex concentration were measured on a 384-well plate using a temperature-controlled FlexStation 3 plate reader (Molecular Devices). After base-line recording, 1.5 mM NSF was added to 30, 60, 100, 150, and 210 nM SNARE complex and a 12-fold α-SNAP–SNARE complex molar excess in disassembly buffer. Disassembly rates as a function of α-SNAP concentration were measured on a Hitachi F-4500 fluorometer (four ternary SNARE complexes) and on a SpectraMax M2 plate reader (binary SNARE complex). After base-line recording, 22 mM NSF was added to 220 mM SNARE complex and 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.8, 1.7, 3.3, and 7.5 μM α-SNAP.

MALS—Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) coupled with MALS (SEC-MALS) was performed by injecting 200 μl of the following samples onto a WTC-0305 column (Wyatt Technology) at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min: (i) 30 μM ΔN syntaxin1–SNAP25, (ii) 30 μM α-SNAP, (iii) 30 μM ΔN syntaxin1–SNAP25 and 30 μM α-SNAP, (iv) 30 μM ΔN syntaxin1–SNAP25 and 90 μM α-SNAP, and (v) 30 μM composer-SNARE complexes. Measurements were performed in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM TCEP. The elution profile was monitored by UV absorption at 280 nm (Jasco UV-975 UV-visible system), light scattering at 658 nm (HELEOS system, Wyatt Technology), and differential refractometry (Optilab system, Wyatt Technology). Data analyses were carried out using ASTRA 6.0 software (Wyatt Technology). A differential refractive index increment (dn/dc) value of 0.185 was used in all calculations.

Biolayer Interferometry—Analyses were conducted at 30 °C on an Octet RED system (FortéBio) using 96-well microplates.
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**RESULTS**

Conservation of Disassembly Kinetics—To compare the kinetics of NSF-driven disassembly of SNARE variants, we selected four different physiological SNARE complexes with differences in both primary sequence and domain architecture (Fig. 1A). VAMP2-syntaxin1-SNAP25 (VAMP2 is also referred to as synaptobrevin-2) is the neuronal SNARE complex involved in neurotransmitter release (2). VAMP7-syntaxin4-SNAP23 forms at the neuronal plasma membrane, where VAMP7 sustains axon outgrowth through transport of the cell adhesion molecule L1 (20, 21) and is thought to activate exocytosis of the resting pool of synaptic vesicles (22). VAMP7-syntaxin4-SNAP23 participates in synaptotagmin VII-regulated lysosomal exocytosis in fibroblasts (23). VAMP8-syntaxin4-SNAP23 is involved in cytokine/chemokine trafficking by segregating lysosomal secretory granules in mast cells (24). These four different ternary SNARE complexes allowed us to test if NSF-driven disassembly is influenced by primary sequence variation of the SNARE core domains and by differences in the N-terminal domains (i.e. VAMP2 versus VAMP7).

The four selected ternary SNARE complexes (Fig. 1A) were coexpressed, purified, and labeled as described previously (19) and as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Proper folding, thermal stability, and oligomeric state were assessed by CD spectroscopy (Fig. 1, B and C) and SEC-MALS (Fig. 1D).

We measured the stimulation of NSF ATPase activity by the four different ternary SNARE complexes. All four complexes similarly stimulated the steady-state rate of NSF-catalyzed ATP hydrolysis activity (Fig. 2A), suggesting that the stimulation of ATPase activity is independent of SNARE primary sequence variation and N-terminal domain architecture.

We next investigated whether the similarity of ATPase activity stimulation would be reflected in the kinetics of the SNARE complex disassembly itself for the four ternary SNARE complexes. We studied the steady-state kinetics of NSF-driven disassembly by measuring the initial disassembly rates as a function of SNARE complex concentration and the neuronal t-SNARE (syntaxin1-SNAP25) complex as a function of α-SNAP concentration. The means ± S.D. of K_m and k_cat values were obtained by fitting the Michaelis-Menten equation to the observed initial disassembly rates as a function of α-SNAP concentration for three independent experiments (see also supplemental Fig. S1A). K_m values are statistically similar for the four ternary complexes, although there was a small difference between the k_cat values obtained for the complexes containing syntaxin1-SNAP25 and those containing syntaxin4-SNAP23. C, steady-state kinetics of NSF-driven disassembly for the four ternary SNARE complexes and the neuronal t-SNARE (syntaxin1-SNAP25) complex as a function of α-SNAP concentration. The means ± S.D. of K_m and k_cat values were obtained by fitting the Michaelis-Menten equation to the observed initial disassembly rates as a function of α-SNAP concentration for three independent experiments (see also supplemental Fig. S1B). Similar to B, a small difference was observed between the k_cat of the complexes containing syntaxin1-SNAP25 and the k_cat of those containing syntaxin4-SNAP23. The slight variation of k_cat values in B and C is likely due to batch-to-batch variability of different NSF preparations and temperature variations across different instruments and experiments.

**FIGURE 2.** Comparison of ATPase stimulation and disassembly kinetics for ternary and binary SNARE complexes. All bar diagrams show means ± S.D. from three replicates. Statistical comparisons were by one-way analysis of variance with a Bonferroni post hoc test. ***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.0001. A, stimulation of ATPase activity by ternary SNARE complexes in the presence of α-SNAP. All four ternary SNARE complexes similarly stimulated the ATPase activity of NSF. B, steady-state kinetics of NSF-driven disassembly of the four ternary SNARE complexes. The means ± S.D. of K_m and k_cat values were obtained from fitting the Michaelis-Menten equation (y = k_cat × x/(K_m + x)) to the observed initial disassembly rates as a function of SNARE complex concentration for three independent experiments (see also supplemental Fig. S1A). K_m values are statistically similar for the four ternary complexes, although there was a small difference between the k_cat values obtained for the complexes containing syntaxin1-SNAP25 and those containing syntaxin4-SNAP23. C, steady-state kinetics of NSF-driven disassembly for the four ternary SNARE complexes and the neuronal t-SNARE (syntaxin1-SNAP25) complex as a function of α-SNAP concentration. The means ± S.D. of K_m and k_cat values were obtained by fitting the Michaelis-Menten equation to the observed initial disassembly rates as a function of α-SNAP concentration for three independent experiments (see also supplemental Fig. S1B). Similar to B, a small difference was observed between the k_cat of the complexes containing syntaxin1-SNAP25 and the k_cat of those containing syntaxin4-SNAP23. The slight variation of k_cat values in B and C is likely due to batch-to-batch variability of different NSF preparations and temperature variations across different instruments and experiments.
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...tion (Fig. 2B and supplemental Fig. S1A) and fitted them to a first-order Michaelis-Menten equation \( y = \frac{k_{\text{cat}}}{K_m + x} \). We obtained statistically similar \( K_m \) values, ranging from 17 to 45 nm (Fig. 2B and supplemental Fig. S1), implying similar apparent specificity of NSF for the four SNARE complexes. However, we found small differences between the \( k_{\text{cat}} \) of the two complexes containing the t-SNAREs syntaxin1 and SNAP25 and that of the two containing the t-SNAREs syntaxin4 and SNAP23 (Fig. 2B).

To test the requirement for \( \alpha \)-SNAP in the disassembly of the four complexes, we also measured the initial disassembly rates as a function of \( \alpha \)-SNAP concentration (Fig. 2C and supplemental Fig. S1B). The resulting plots were fitted with a simple first-order Michaelis-Menten equation \( y = \frac{k_{\text{cat}}}{K_m + x} \) and yielded \( K_m \) values that are statistically similar across different SNARE complexes and consistent with the \( K_m \) values reported by Lauer et al. (25) for the VAMP2-syntaxin1-SNAP25 complex. In analogy to Fig. 2B, there is a small difference between the \( k_{\text{cat}} \) observed for the two complexes containing syntaxin4-SNAP23 and that observed for the two complexes containing syntaxin1-SNAP25.

Previous reports have shown qualitatively that \( \alpha \)-SNAP can interact with isolated t-SNAREs, but not with isolated VAMPs (26, 27), and that \( \alpha \)-SNAP-NSF can disassemble binary complexes containing t-SNAREs only (27–31). To quantitate these early findings, we compared the disassembly kinetics of binary complexes containing t-SNAREs only (27–31). To quantitate these early findings, we compared the disassembly kinetics of binary complexes containing t-SNAREs only (27–31).

Conserved Interaction between \( \alpha \)-SNAP and the Ternary SNARE Complex—We hypothesized that our results could be explained by a conserved interaction between the adaptor protein \( \alpha \)-SNAP and the SNARE complex. To test this hypothesis, we took advantage of the observation that the fluorescence quantum yield of complexes labeled at their C termini with Oregon Green is sensitive to \( \alpha \)-SNAP binding (supplemental Fig. S2), likely due to the change of chemical environment sensed by the fluorophores upon interaction with \( \alpha \)-SNAP. Measuring the change in Oregon Green fluorescence intensity as a function of \( \alpha \)-SNAP concentration and fitting the resulting titration curves with a simple first-order binding model \( y = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{K_D + x} \) yielded no significant differences among dissociation constants \( K_D \) (Fig. 3A and supplemental Fig. S2), supporting an overall common mode of binding of \( \alpha \)-SNAP to all SNARE complexes, in agreement with the similar steady-state kinetics of the disassembly reaction (Fig. 2). This effect is \( \alpha \)-SNAP-specific, as no change is seen with BSA (supplemental Fig. S2). It is also in agreement with previous reports suggesting that \( \alpha \)-SNAP binds to the C terminus of the SNARE complex (17, 26, 32).

To validate these results, we studied the \( \alpha \)-SNAP-SNARE complex interaction using biolayer interferometry. Biotinylated SNARE complex (VAMP2-syntaxin1-SNAP25) was...
loaded onto streptavidin sensors and then dipped into α-SNAP solution at different concentrations (Fig. 3B). Complete dissociation was achieved upon buffer exchange. The experiment consisted of three replicates globally fit together with a simple 1:1 model to extract the kinetic parameters. The $K_D$ obtained with this analysis (i.e. 1.5 μM) agrees within ~3-fold with the highest value allowed with our fluorescence-based binding constant (0.47 μM), a relatively small difference considering the differences in techniques.

α-SNAP Forms a 1:1 Complex with the Ternary SNARE Complex—Our fluorescence and biolayer interferometry data of α-SNAP binding to the four different SNARE complexes can be explained with a simple 1:1 binding model. However, more complicated models with multiple binding events could be possible as well. To determine the stoichiometry, we incubated α-SNAP and the core of the VAMP7-syntaxin1-SNAP25 SNARE complex (without the N-terminal domains; 30 μM each, corresponding to a saturating concentration), and we measured the molecular mass of the resulting complex by SEC-MALS. The mixture of α-SNAP and ΔN-VAMP7-ΔN-syntaxin1-SNAP25 eluted as a single species with a molecular mass of 74.0 ± 3.1 kDa, which agrees well with a 1:1 complex (Fig. 3C). We then repeated the experiment with a 3-fold molar excess of α-SNAP (30 μM ΔN-VAMP7-ΔN-syntaxin1-SNAP25 and 90 μM α-SNAP) and found that the excess of α-SNAP did not alter the stoichiometry of the complex of α-SNAP with ΔN-VAMP7-ΔN-syntaxin1-SNAP25 and that the excess α-SNAP eluted later as monomeric isolated species (Fig. 3C). Similar results were obtained for the VAMP2-syntaxin1-SNAP25 complex (Fig. 3C). Taken together, our SEC-MALS results suggest a 1:1 stoichiometry for the complex between α-SNAP and the ternary SNARE complex.

Conservation of the Electrostatic Potential Surface of SNARE Complexes—Our kinetic and binding data suggest a conserved mechanism for NSF-driven disassembly of SNARE complexes, mediated by a conserved interaction between α-SNAP and both binary and ternary SNARE complexes. These common features may arise from a conserved electrostatic potential surface of the SNARE complex, based on the observation that the SNARE complex interacts with α-SNAP mostly through its acidic residues (17). Indeed, modeling the SNARE core bundles of VAMP7-syntaxin1-SNAP25, VAMP7-syntaxin4-SNAP23, and VAMP8-syntaxin4-SNAP23 based on the crystal structure of the neuronal SNARE complex (VAMP2-syntaxin1-SNAP25; Protein Data Bank code 1SFC (4)) revealed a conserved electrostatic potential surface distribution (Fig. 4), suggesting that α-SNAP recognizes different SNARE complexes via conserved features of their electrostatic potential surfaces.

DISCUSSION

Conserved Disassembly Kinetics for Ternary and Binary SNARE Complexes—The ATPase NSF and its adaptor protein α-SNAP recognize and disassemble SNARE complexes in a promiscuous manner. The SNARE core domains show only
limited amino acid sequence identity (for example, 33% identity between VAMP2 and VAMP7) (Fig. 1A), and the N-terminal domains can be quite different (Fig. 1A). The question arises at how such promiscuous recognition is mediated in molecular detail. Moreover, the architecture of the 20S complex and the location of the SNARE N-terminal domains remain unclear, even in the recent low-resolution EM reconstruction (18).

Previous studies of the NSF molecular mechanism focused on the neuronal SNARE complex formed by VAMP2, syntaxin1, and SNAP25, so the possible effects of SNARE sequence variations and SNARE N-terminal domains on the disassembly had not been considered (25, 33, 34). Our study thus goes beyond this previous body of work by measuring the disassembly kinetics of four selected ternary SNARE complexes with both sequence and N-terminal domain variations. We found that NSF disassembles the selected four ternary SNARE complexes with similar rates and affinities (Fig. 2), suggesting a conserved molecular mechanism that is not influenced by the presence and type of SNARE N-terminal domains.

Our disassembly kinetics data revealed statistically similar \( K_m \) values (Fig. 2B) and \( \alpha\)-SNAP dependence for the disassembly of the different SNARE complexes (Fig. 2C). Moreover, the disassembly kinetics for the binary neuronal complex (\( \alpha\)-SNAREs syntaxin1 and SNAP25) was similar to that for the corresponding ternary complexes (Fig. 2C).

A Conserved Interaction Site between \( \alpha\)-SNAP and the SNARE Complex—Consistent with previous observations (27–31), our disassembly kinetics data suggest a conserved \( \alpha\)-SNAP interaction with the ternary SNARE complex to initiate 20S complex formation. In particular, \( \alpha\)-SNAP interacts with all four ternary SNARE complexes with statistically similar equilibrium dissociation constants (\( K_D \)) (Fig. 3A) and produces similar \( K_m \) values as observed by steady-state kinetics (Fig. 2C). Moreover, using SEC-MALS, we found that \( \alpha\)-SNAP and the SNARE complex interact with a 1:1 stoichiometry (Fig. 3B). Our fluorescence, biolayer interferometry, and SEC-MALS data (Fig. 3) collectively point to an initial 1:1 \( \alpha\)-SNAP-SNARE complex. It is possible that the affinity of this interaction in vivo could be different from what we observed in solution, as the capability of \( \alpha\)-SNAP to bind membranes may favor the encounter with the SNARE complex (32).

Previous mutagenesis studies suggested that a mostly basic face of \( \alpha\)-SNAP binds to the ternary neuronal SNARE complex (17). On the basis of this and conservation of the electrostatic potential surface among the four different SNARE complexes (Fig. 4), we speculate that one \( \alpha\)-SNAP likely binds the four different ternary SNARE complexes through a negative electrostatic pattern produced by a conserved motif involving the t-SNARE components of the SNARE complexes. This reasoning is based on our observation that the disassembly kinetics of the binary (t-SNARE) complex is similar to that of the ternary SNARE complex.

A Model of 20S Complex Formation—Quantification of the components of the 20S particle using a cross-linking approach suggested the presence of three \( \alpha\)-SNAP molecules (16). Another study suggested a 3:1 molar ratio based on gel densitometry of fluorescently labeled \( \alpha\)-SNAP and SNAP25, although the authors considered the analysis to be semiquantitative due to variability in protein labeling efficiency (17). Moreover, the recent low-resolution reconstruction of the 20S particle from electron microscopy data also suggested the presence of three \( \alpha\)-SNAP molecules (18).

Binding of three \( \alpha\)-SNAPs to one ternary SNARE complex would require \( \alpha\)-SNAP to interact with three different binding sites on the SNARE complex, considering the absence of apparent 3-fold symmetry in the electrostatic potential surface of the SNARE core bundle (Fig. 4). Because we observed only one binding site between \( \alpha\)-SNAP and the SNARE complex in our SEC-MALS experiments even at saturating conditions, any additional binding sites would have to be of much weaker affinity.

Our data suggest a model (Fig. 5) in which one \( \alpha\)-SNAP molecule binds the t-SNARE components of the ternary SNARE complex with medium affinity (\( K_D \) = 0.3–1.5 \( \mu \)M). NSF binds the \( \alpha\)-SNAP-SNARE complex with high affinity in an arrangement that is not influenced by the VAMP and syntaxin N-terminal domains. The processive mechanism of ATP-driven disassembly by NSF is then initiated (19). At this stage or during the processive disassembly, additional \( \alpha\)-SNAP molecules may be recruited. It is possible that two of three putative \( \alpha\)-SNAP molecules in the 20S complex do not concurrently contact the SNARE complex. The low resolution of the cryo-EM reconstruction of the 20S complex would allow this possibility (18). If this were the case, subsequent binding and unbinding events of \( \alpha\)-SNAP molecules with the SNARE complex could be an important part of the processive disassembly mechanism by NSF.
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