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Abstract

Aim This study aims to conduct a review of the existing literature about incubation period for COVID-19, which can provide insights to the transmission dynamics of the disease.

Methods A systematic review followed by meta-analysis was performed for the studies providing estimates for the incubation period of COVID-19. The heterogeneity and bias in the included studies were tested by various statistical measures, including I 2 statistic, Cochran’s Q test, Begg’s test and Egger’s test.

Results Fifteen studies with 16 estimates of the incubation period were selected after implementing the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The pooled estimate of the incubation period is 5.74 (5.18, 6.30) from the random effects model. The heterogeneity in the selected studies was found to be 95.2% from the I 2 statistic. There is no potential bias in the included studies for meta-analysis.

Conclusion This review provides sufficient evidence for the incubation period of COVID-19 through various studies, which can be helpful in planning preventive and control measures for the disease. The pooled estimate from the meta-analysis is a valid and reliable estimate of the incubation period for COVID-19.
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Introduction

At the end of the year 2019, the first case of COVID 19 was detected in the Wuhan City of China (WHO 2020b). The spread of the virus has been so rapid that despite many control measures, it has affected many nations worldwide. The world is facing an unprecedented threat from COVID-19 and is fighting hard to reduce the loss due to it. The WHO declared it a public health emergency of international concern and later a pandemic on 11 March 2020 (WHO 2020c, 2020d). It has shaken the spirits of even developed nations that are still facing massive trouble in curbing the spread of the disease. As of December 20 2020, globally, there were more than 75 million cases and 1.6 million deaths in 222 countries due to COVID-19, with most of the countries in the transmission stage suggesting a larger outbreak (WHO 2020a).

The incubation period is a critical epidemiological measure to curtail the spread of infectious diseases. It is the time period between the infection of pathogen/exposure of the virus and the onset of clinical symptoms (Brookmeyer 1998). The main clinical symptoms of COVID-19 are characterized as fever, cough, myalgia or fatigue, expectoration, and dyspnea (Li et al. 2020a, b). The symptoms may differ among the infected individuals with the severity of the disease (CDC 2020). Figure 1 describes the progression of COVID-19 in a host or infected person from the exposure of the virus to the recovery or death. There are basically two phases of infection in the host, i.e., the subclinical phase and the clinical phase. The infected person can spread the infection to others, once the latent period is over, with the onset of infectiousness. The latent period can be shorter or longer than the incubation period. Literature suggests that in case of mild and asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19, the latent period (time from exposure to onset of infectiousness) is more likely to be shorter than the incubation period (Pollán et al. 2020; He et al. 2020;
Hu et al. 2020). The SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV viruses are zoonotic and belong to the same subfamily ‘Coronavirinae’ (Yan et al. 2020). The incubation period of SARS [mean: 5 days, range 2–14 days] (Varia et al. 2003) and MERS [mean: 5–7 days, range 2–14 days] (Virlogeux et al. 2016) are also found to be quite similar, but there are not very precise estimates available for SARS-CoV-2. The incubation period has a crucial role in surveillance, monitoring, and modelling of infectious disease. The transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 is very high, hence in the lack of any reliable vaccines, measures such as active monitoring, quarantine, isolation etc. become the only really effective measures for curtailing the spread of virus. Knowledge about the incubation period helps in forming policy for quarantine and other interventions (Lessler et al. 2009). In addition, it helps in active monitoring of people having higher exposure and also in determining the length of active monitoring, which saves resources. The incubation period is an aid for defining the time period for which contact tracing is to be done. Robust estimation of the incubation period will also lead to the potential source of infection (Ahrens and Pigeot 2014). Knowledge about the incubation period, along with serial interval and other measures, will be helpful in checking the further spread of the infection, as reports have suggested that we might have to live with COVID 19, following the norms of social distancing, travel restrictions, and quarantine unless any vaccine is developed (Nussbaumer-Streit et al. 2020).

With the passage of time, new studies are coming up, and inclusion of them in the analysis will improve the estimates of the incubation period. There are various estimates of incubation period calculated through different statistical models. In that case, this review can help in understanding the different aspects of incubation period for COVID-19, including the method of estimation and meta-analysis, which will yield a statistically pooled estimate of the incubation period for COVID-19. The objective of the study was to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of the existing literature about the incubation period of COVID-19.

Methodology

This review followed the meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology (Stroup et al. 2000). The outcome defined in the study was the time in days from the exposure or infection to the onset of clinical symptoms in the infected individuals. Firstly, an initial search was carried out for the studies, which were then screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. The required data were extracted from the selected studies for the statistical synthesis of results. The detailed selection of studies in this present review and the required data extraction from the selected studies is described in this section.

Search strategies

The literature related to the incubation period of COVID-19 was searched through several research databases, including Scopus, PubMed, Science Direct, and Google Scholar. The literature search for the incubation period was performed using the combination of keywords such as “COVID-19 and Incubation period”, “Coronavirus and Incubation period,” and “2019-nCoV and Incubation period”. A manual search was also performed through the reference list of articles selected to avoid any possible omission of eligible study. The search incorporated all the studies related to the incubation period of COVID-19 irrespective of their study area and time period for data collection.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

After initial search and screening, inclusion and exclusion criteria were set for the selection of the final studies. Inclusion criteria for selecting the studies were: a) the study must be published in the English language, b) the incubation period must be calculated on the clinical data of developing symptoms, and c) the Incubation period should be one of the primary outcomes of the study. Exclusion criteria for the studies were decided as: a) duplicate studies, b) the incubation period being taken as an input from another study.
Data extraction from the selected studies

After selecting the studies by accomplishing inclusion and exclusion criteria, data extraction was carried out from the included studies. The name of the first author, area of study, time period for data collection, methodology adopted to estimate incubation period, estimate for the incubation period, and its 95% confidence interval were extracted from the selected studies. Ninety-five percent confidence interval was estimated for the studies reporting mean with standard deviation by using the following formula, which is generally used to calculate the 95% confidence interval for any parameter:

\[ 95\% CI = \mu \pm 1.96 \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \]

where \( \mu \) = mean incubation period, \( s \) = standard deviation, and \( n \) = sample size of the study. Some studies reported only median with inter-quartile range or range. Mean and the standard deviation was calculated for such studies by using an appropriate approximation for the consistency in synthesizing the results for meta-analysis (Hozo et al. 2005; Wan et al. 2014).

Statistical analysis

All the meta-analyses were performed using Stata 14.1 software. The pooled effect size was calculated using fixed effect and random effects models. The heterogeneity between the studies was examined by Cochran’s Q statistic, and was quantified by Higgins & Thompson’s I² statistic and Tau-squared (\( \tau^2 \)). For Cochran’s Q statistics, \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant, and an I² > 75% was considerable heterogeneity. The bias introduced in the meta-analysis by small-study effects was tested by Egger’s and Begg’s tests at 5% level of significance. The forest plot for the meta-analysis was plotted by using the random-effects model. A funnel plot at 95% confidence interval was also plotted to testify the publication bias in the meta-analysis.

Results

A total of 185 studies were found through the initial search on the databases. Fifteen studies with 16 estimates of the incubation period were selected after implementing inclusion and exclusion criteria as mentioned earlier. The incubation period for COVID-19 is still being explored worldwide; therefore, we included the published literature in peer-reviewed journals and available preprint on the same. Figure 2 demonstrates the flow chart for selecting the final studies, which were selected for qualitative and quantitative synthesis.

Review of the included studies in meta-analysis

Table 1 describes the data extracted from the included studies for the meta-analysis of the incubation period of COVID-19. Since the outbreak of COVID-19 emerged from China, almost all studies for the incubation period have been taken from China and its different provinces; one study was from South Korea, and another one from Saudi Arabia. The longest incubation period in the included studies was 8.98 days (7.98, 9.90) (Xiao et al. 2020), whereas the lowest incubation period was 3.90 days (1.12, 6.68) (Ki 2020). The sample size for estimating the incubation period varies considerably from seven (Ki 2020) to 2555 (Xiao et al. 2020). Most of the studies used some parametric distribution to estimate the mean of the incubation period, including Weibull and lognormal distribution. Some studies used the basic distribution of time periods to estimate the mean of the incubation period. A study used Monte Carlo simulation to obtain the distribution of incubation period (Men et al. 2020), while another study used maximum likelihood estimation to obtain the same (Leung 2020). The time period for collecting data for the estimation of the incubation period in the included studies was from the start of the pandemic to 31 March, 2020.

Summary statistics for meta-analysis

Table 2 represents the summary statistics for the meta-analysis of the incubation period for COVID-19. The pooled estimate for the incubation period from the meta-analysis was 5.74 days (5.18, 6.30) from the random effects model and 5.12 days (5.01, 5.22) from the fixed effects model. The heterogeneity between the studies was found to be 95.5% by Thompson’s & Higgins I² statistic, which shows considerable heterogeneity. The pooled estimate from the random effects model is more appropriate due to presence of considerable heterogeneity in the included studies in the meta-analysis (Borenstein et al. 2007). Cochran’s Q statistic was statistically significant at 5% level of significance, also suggesting the evidence for heterogeneity in the included studies for meta-analysis. The value of Tau squared (\( \tau^2 \)) was found to be 1.12. The Egger’s and Begg’s tests revealed that there is no potential bias introduced in the meta-analysis of included studies due to small study effects (Table 2).

Figure 3 represents the forest plot of the incubation period for COVID-19, which provides the effect size of individual studies with a pooled estimate of the incubation period by random effects model. Figure 4 represents the funnel plot with 95% confidence interval for the meta-analysis, suggesting no potential publication bias in the included studies (Sterne and Harbord 2004). The standard error for all the included studies in the meta-analysis was very low except for a study conducted by Ki 2020 where the highest standard error was observed.
Table 1 Characteristics of the included studies in the meta-analysis of incubation period for COVID-19

| Study         | Area of study                | Time period for data | Methodology                                      | Sample size | Incubation period LL* UL* |
|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Lauer et al. 2020 | Outside China              | 4 Jan–24 Feb         | Pooled analysis                                  | 181         | 5.10 4.50 5.80          |
| Li et al. 2020a, b | China                    | Up to 22 Jan         | Fitting a log normal distribution                | 10          | 5.20 4.10 7.00          |
| Backer et al. 2020 | Wuhan, China             | 20 Jan–28 Jan        | Fitting a Weibull distribution                    | 88          | 6.40 5.60 7.70          |
| Guan et al. 2020 | China                     | Up to 31 Jan         | Interval between the potential date of transmission and symptom onset | 291         | 4.33 3.91 4.33          |
| Leung 2020      | Hubei, China              | 20 Jan–7 Feb         | Maximum likelihood estimation                     | 54          | 6.90 5.81 7.99          |
| Linton et al. 2020 | China including Wuhan | Up to 31 Jan         | Doubly interval-censored likelihood function     | 158         | 5.60 5.00 6.30          |
| Linton et al. 2020 | China (excluding Wuhan)  | Up to 31 Jan         | Doubly interval-censored likelihood function     | 52          | 5.00 4.20 6.00          |
| Liu et al. 2020 | China                     | Up to 23 Jan         | Basic distribution of time intervals              | 839         | 4.80 4.62 4.98          |
| Men et al. 2020 | China                     | 29 Dec–5 Feb         | Monte Carlo simulation                           | 59          | 5.84 5.09 6.59          |
| Qin et al. 2020 | China                     | Up to Feb 15         | Fitting a Weibull distribution                    | 1211        | 8.62 8.02 9.28          |
| Sanche et al. 2020 | China outside Hubei      | Jan 15–Jan 30        | Basic distribution of time intervals              | 140         | 4.20 3.50 5.10          |
| Xia et al. 2020 | China outside Wuhan and Hubei Province | Up to Feb 16 | Fitting a Weibull distribution                    | 106         | 4.90 4.40 5.40          |
| Xiao et al. 2020 | China                     | Up to 16 Feb         | Fitting a Weibull distribution                    | 2555        | 8.98 7.98 9.90          |
| Yang et al. 2020 | Hubei, China             | 20 Jan–29 Feb        | Fitting a Weibull distribution                    | 181         | 5.40 4.80 6.00          |
| Alsofayan et al. 2020 | Saudi Arabia            | 1 Mar–31 Mar         | Basic distribution of time intervals              | 309         | 6.00 5.72 6.28          |
| Ki 2020         | South Korea              | Jan 15–Feb 8         | Basic distribution of time intervals              | 7           | 3.90 1.12 6.68          |

* LL — lower limit, UL — upper limit for 95% confidence interval
Table 2 Summary statistics for meta-analysis

| Overall effect size                  | Fixed effect model | Random effect model |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                                     | 5.12 (5.01, 5.22)  | 5.74 (5.18, 6.30)   |

Test for heterogeneity

|                  | Fixed effect model | Random effect model |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| I² Statistic     | 95.2%              |                     |
| Tau squared (τ²) | 1.1168             |                     |
| Cochran’s Q      | 313.27*            |                     |

Bias in the studies

|                | Fixed effect model | Random effect model |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Egger’s test   | 0.072              |                     |
| Begg’s test    | 0.367              |                     |

* p < 0.05
# H0: there are no small study effects

Discussion

This systematic review provides current evidence for the incubation period of COVID-19, and the meta-analysis statistically synthesizes the comparable studies to yield a pooled estimate of the incubation period. This review included 16 estimates of the incubation period from data on 6300 patients from different regions through various methods. The pooled estimate of the incubation period [5.74 days (5.18, 6.30)] for COVID-19 is slightly higher than the incubation period of human coronavirus [3.2 days (2.8, 3.7)] and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [4.0 days (3.6, 4.4)] (Lessler et al. 2009). The incubation period varies with the age of COVID-19 cases, where young (less than 14 years) and old (more than 64 years) age groups have higher incubation periods compared to cases in the age group 15–64 years (Yang et al. 2020). The incubation period is a key parameter in planning the preventive and control measures for any infectious disease. The maximum incubation period is particularly important in contact tracing and in deciding the period of home isolation and quarantine to curtail the growth of infection in the population (WHO 2003, 2020e).

The estimation of the incubation period for any infectious disease has various theoretical aspects that are equally important to understand in producing an unbiased estimate of the incubation period. The choice of a correct statistical distribution is essential for estimating the robust parameters for the incubation period. Most of the studies included in the meta-analysis used different parametric distributions for calculating the summary measures, which leads to reliable estimates of the incubation period. The studies included in the review represented well-characterized cases, i.e., cases where exposure window and date of symptom onset were clearly defined. The pooled estimate of the incubation period is generalizable in the population because it is the result of interaction between the host and the infection only, unlike serial interval, which is affected by the frequency of contacts in the population. The length of the incubation period can also be correlated to the severity of the disease, where more severe cases have a relatively smaller incubation period (Virlogeux et al. 2015). Information on the incubation period along with serial interval can be used to check the asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19 in the community (Fraser et al. 2004). For various medication procedures the incubation period is important, as few medicines are more effective if given just before or after the onset of symptoms (Twu et al. 2003).

The pooled estimate from the meta-analysis is also consistent with earlier studies (Men et al. 2020; Linton et al. 2020;...

---

![Forest plot for the meta-analysis of incubation period for COVID-19](image)
Yang et al. 2020). The Egger’s and Begg’s tests are the most commonly used tests for detecting the bias in studies included for meta-analysis (van Enst et al. 2014), which suggested the presence of no potential bias in the present meta-analysis. Hence this meta-analysis of the incubation period for COVID-19 provides a reliable estimate and can be useful in understanding the transmission of the disease. However, the investigation on transmission dynamics of COVID-19 is still under progress across the world, and more reliable estimates can be developed with broader geographical scope. The study of the incubation period also needs to incorporate the factors influencing the incubation period, which can provide insights in understanding the mechanism of the virus. This review suggests that a more detailed investigation of the incubation period in various exposure groups would be worthwhile.

Authors contribution Conceptualization — Laxmi Kant Dwivedi & Balram Rai. Literature review and selection of studies — Balram Rai & Anandi Shukla. Statistical analysis — Balram Rai & Laxmi Kant Dwivedi. Writing, original draft — Anandi Shukla & Balram Rai. Writing, review & editing — Laxmi Kant Dwivedi. Supervision — Laxmi Kant Dwivedi.

Data availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval This study is based on secondary data, so no ethical approval was required.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

References

Ahrens W, Pigeot I (eds) (2014) Handbook of epidemiology, vol 451. Springer, New York
Alsofayan YM, Althunayyan SM, Khan AA, Hakawi AM, Assiri AM (2020) Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia: a national retrospective study. J Infect Public Health 3(7):920–925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2020.05.026. S1876-0341(20)30492-5
Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J (2020) Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20–28 January 2020. Eurosurveillance 25(5):2000062
Brookmeyer R (1998) Incubation period of infectious diseases. Encyclopedia of biostatistics, vol 3. Wiley, New York, pp 2011–2016
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2020) Interim clinical guidance for management of patients with confirmed 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection. CDC, Atlanta, GA. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-guidance-management-patients.html. Accessed on 10 Dec 2020
Fraser C, Riley S, Anderson RM, Ferguson NM (2004) Factors that make an infectious disease outbreak controllable. Proc Natl Acad Sci 101(16):6146–6151
Yan Y, Shin W, Pang Y, Meng Y, Lai J, You C, et al (2020) The first 75 days of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) outbreak: recent advances, prevention, and treatment. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17(7):2323

Yang L, Dai J, Zhao J, Wang Y, Deng P, Wang J (2020) Estimation of incubation period and serial interval of COVID-19: Analysis of 178 cases and 131 transmission chains in Hubei province, China. Epidemiol Infect 148:E117. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268820001338

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.