The Role of G-proteins and G-protein Regulating Proteins in Depressive Disorders
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Progress toward new antidepressant therapies has been relatively slow over the past few decades, with the result that individuals suffering from depression often struggle to find an effective treatment – a process often requiring months. Furthermore, the neural factors that contribute to depression remain poorly understood, and there are many open questions regarding the mechanism of action of existing antidepressants. A better understanding of the molecular processes that underlie depression and contribute to antidepressant efficacy is therefore badly needed. In this review we highlight research investigating the role of G-proteins and the regulators of G-protein signaling (RGS) proteins, two protein families that are intimately involved in both the genesis of depressive states and the action of antidepressant drugs. Many antidepressants are known to indirectly affect the function of these proteins. Conversely, dysfunction of the G-protein and RGS systems can affect antidepressant efficacy. However, a great deal remains unknown about how these proteins interact with antidepressants. Findings pertinent to each individual G-protein and RGS protein are summarized from in vitro, in vivo, and clinical studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the most prevalent psychiatric disorders with over 16% of adults in the US experiencing a depressive event within their lifetime, and over half of these events leading to severe or very severe role impairment (Kessler et al., 2003; García-Velázquez et al., 2017). While a multitude of antidepressant drugs are now available, no one treatment is fully effective in all patients, with about one third failing to remit even after 4th line treatments (Insel and Wang, 2009). This high rate of treatment failure combined with the high prevalence of depressive disorders highlights the need not only for improved treatment options, but also for a better understanding of the molecular and cellular factors that determine whether a given treatment will succeed or fail.

In this review we highlight the role of G-proteins and their signaling partners, especially the Regulators of G-protein Signaling (RGS) proteins, in both the etiology and treatment of depression.

GPCRs IN DEPRESSION

The vast majority of drugs prescribed for depressive disorders either interact directly with G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), e.g., buspirone with the 5-HT1A receptor or...
aripiprazole with a multitude of monoaminergic GPCRs, or indirectly regulate GPCR function by affecting endogenous neurotransmitter levels, e.g., selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) such as fluoxetine and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) such as selegline (Kantor et al., 2015).

G-protein coupled receptors are 7-transmembrane domain proteins that form a multi-protein complex with members of an intracellular family of heterotrimeric G-proteins comprised of a Gα subunit and a βγ dimer (Figure 1). There are several members of the Gα family that couple to different cohorts of effectors in the cell. These include the so-called inhibitory Gα proteins (Gαi/o and Gαq), which inhibit adenyl cyclase to decrease cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), the adenyl cyclase stimulatory Gαs, and Gαolf, Gαz which activates phospholipase C, and Gα12/13 that couple to the Rho family of small GTPases. There are 5 β subunits and 11 γ subunits that can be engaged to make the associated βγ dimer in mammals. There is selectivity of receptors for particular types of Gα proteins, and also for activation or inhibition of downstream effectors in the cell. Following GPCR activation by endogenous neurotransmitters or exogenous agonists both the Gα subunit and the Gβγ complex functionally dissociate from the receptor and go on to stimulate or inhibit a range of intracellular effectors. The Gα activation process involves a loss of bound GDP (inactive form) in exchange for GTP (active form). Signaling is terminated by the hydrolysis of the bound GTP back to GDP by the intrinsic GTPase activity of the Gα subunit. However, for certain Gα proteins this enzymatic process is slow. To accelerate this process a regulator of G-protein signaling (RGS) protein binds to the active GTP-bound Gα and facilitates its inactivation, acting as a GAP (GTPase accelerating protein; Figure 1). This allows return to the resting, inactive state. The inactive GDP-bound Gα subunit can then reassociate with both the Gβγ complex and receptor until the receptor is again activated and the cycle repeats. Although RGS proteins can substantially limit Gαi/o and Gαq signaling (see Oldham and Hamm, 2008 for review) their impact on Gαs mediated signaling is less pronounced. While an RGS for Gαq has been identified, it is not clear that this protein facilitates the GTPase activity of Gαs (Zheng et al., 2001; Ha et al., 2015).

In addition to mediating the actions of antidepressant drugs, many GPCRs have been associated with the development of depression. Aberrations in both α- and β-adrenergic receptor signaling have been found in depressed patients (Matussek et al., 1980; Ebstein et al., 1988) and the brains of suicide victims consistently have alterations in 5-HT1A receptor expression (and various nuclear receptors) resembling the alterations produced by chronic stress in animal models (López et al., 1998). In contrast, study of 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptor expression levels has produced inconsistent results (see Stockmeier, 2003 for review). Nonetheless, a 5-HT2C receptor polymorphism in the N-terminal extracellular domain has been associated with MDD in a large population study (Lerer et al., 2001). Preclinical models also implicate the 5-HT1B receptor both in the genesis of depressive states and in antidepressant action (Svenningsson et al., 2006). Polymorphisms in both the dopamine D3 and D4 receptors have been correlated with the development of MDD (Dikeos et al., 1999; López León et al., 2005), while D1 and D2 receptors have instead been linked to bipolar disorder (Massat et al., 2002; Dmitrzak-Weglarz et al., 2006). Interestingly the GABA-B receptor agonist baclofen produces a transient depressive state in some patients (Post et al., 1991), suggesting this receptor may play a role in MDD. A corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor 1 antagonist has also been found to have antidepressant activity (Zobel et al., 2000), in agreement with predictions from preclinical studies (Mansbach et al., 1997).

Downstream signaling bias is also affected by proteins in complex with the receptor. For example, the 5-HT1A receptor can form receptor/receptor complexes with the 5-HT7 receptor, the ghrelin receptor family member GPR39, or fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR1), with each heterodimer complex producing a unique downstream signaling profile (Renner et al., 2012; Tena-Campos et al., 2015; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2017). The 5-HT1A/5-HT7 heterodimer complex promotes internalization of the 5-HT1A receptor (Renner et al., 2012), suggesting that the high degree of colocalization between these receptors in the dorsal raphe nucleus may contribute to 5-HT1A autoreceptor desensitization observed during SSRI treatment (Naumenko et al., 2014). These results imply that strategies which promote 5-HT1A/5-HT7 heterodimer formation could facilitate the antidepressant effects of SSRIs by attenuating 5-HT1A autoreceptor activity. In contrast, combined 5-HT1A receptor and FGFR1 agonist treatment fails to produce antidepressant-like behavior in rats with low hippocampal 5-HT1A/FGFR1 heterodimer expression (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2017), while these agonists produce robust antidepressant-like effects in rats with increased dimer formation. These heterodimer dependent behavioral effects are likely mediated by a reduced ability of the 5-HT1A/FRGRI1 heterodimer to activate G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium (GIRK) channels, compared to the free 5-HT1A receptor (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2017). These results suggest that 5-HT1A receptor stimulated GIRK activity in the hippocampus is in fact detrimental to antidepressant activity, and that agonists which preferentially couple to 5-HT1A/FGFR1 heterodimers would be superior to unbiased ligands. For a recent review on how GPCR oligomerization can affect receptor activity, see Szafran et al. (2013) and Farrran (2017) for review of GPCR heterodimers in depression.

The above discussion suggests that insight into the roles of specific G-proteins and their cognate RGS proteins in antidepressant action may facilitate future antidepressant drug development. Furthermore, studying dysfunction of these systems in the depressed brain may provide insight into the etiology of depression.

**G-PROTEIN SUBUNITS IN DEPRESSION AND ANTIDEPRESSANT ACTION**

**G-protein Expression Levels**

In preclinical studies, central nervous system (CNS) G-protein expression levels do not appear to change consistently as a result of antidepressant drug treatment. Gαi, Gαq and Gαo mRNA expression in the rat hippocampus remain constant.
FIGURE 1 | Heterotrimeric G-protein signaling with RGS regulation. GPCR activation, either due to agonist binding or constitutive activity, causes downstream signaling through both the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \gamma \) subunits. Various antidepressants modulate this process directly (e.g., Buspirone) or indirectly (e.g., SSRIs). RGS proteins interact with active \( \alpha \) and accelerate its GTPase activity, facilitating a return to the GDP-bound inactive state. Preclinical models suggest that direct manipulation of the RGS or G-proteins can affect antidepressant response.

Following chronic treatment with the tricyclic antidepressant imipramine (Lasón and Przewłocki, 1993). However, levels of G-protein mRNA and protein expression do not always have a strong correlation (Krumins and Gilman, 2006), and so these results do not necessarily reflect the amount of G-protein present. Chronic treatment with the dual serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) amitriptyline, the tricyclic antidepressant desipramine, the MAOI tranylcypromine or electroconvulsive shock did not affect protein levels of \( \alpha_s \), \( \alpha_o \), \( \alpha_i \) or \( \beta \) in the rat cerebral cortex (Chen and Rasenick, 1995a; Emamghoreishi et al., 1996; Dwivedi and Pandey, 1997). In contrast, brief treatment with the MAOI antidepressant phenelzine increased \( \alpha_i^2 \) protein expression in the rat cortex and hippocampus without affecting \( \alpha_s \), \( \alpha_o \), \( \alpha_q \) or \( \alpha_i^1 \) expression in any brain region (Dwivedi and Pandey, 1997). However, this does not appear to be a conserved effect for all MAOI antidepressants on \( \alpha_i^2 \), as chronic treatment with tranylcypromine did not affect cortical \( \alpha_i^2 \) expression while chronic clorgiline instead produced a small decrease (Lesch et al., 1991; Emamghoreishi et al., 1996). Three-week treatment with various tricyclic antidepressants (imipramine, desipramine, or chlorimipramine) produced slight increases of brain \( \alpha_o \) and decreases of \( \alpha_s \) and \( \alpha_i \), although the magnitude of these changes (∼10–30% from baseline) may not be great enough to produce functional consequences (Lesch et al., 1991). Note that it has been suggested that \( \alpha_o \) expression is over 30-fold higher than expression of downstream effectors (Ostrom et al., 2000), thus minor variations in the expression of \( \alpha_o \) are not expected to influence downstream signaling. Furthermore, tricyclics such as desipramine and amitriptyline had no effect on G-protein expression (Chen and Rasenick, 1995a; Emamghoreishi et al., 1996).

On the other hand, a series of post-mortem studies examining the involvement of G-proteins in depressive states contrast with the findings from pre-clinical studies discussed above. Post-mortem studies indicate that a downregulation of \( \alpha_o \) and \( \alpha_i^2 \) protein and mRNA co-occurs with an upregulation of \( \alpha_s \) protein levels and mRNA in the prefrontal cortex of adult suicide cases (Dwivedi et al., 2002). This fits with data from \( \alpha_i^2 \) knockout mice which show that loss of \( \alpha_i^2 \) contributes to depressive behaviors (Talbot et al., 2010), suggesting that the observed alterations of \( \alpha_i^2 \) in these subjects may have contributed to their pathology. However, the work of Donati et al. (2008) shows only minor changes in \( \alpha_s \) expression and none in other \( \alpha \) proteins. Thus, there is no consistent effect on G-protein expression in the brain following chronic antidepressant treatment, the effects that have been seen are not consistent between antidepressant drugs with similar pharmacology, and any changes observed are of relatively small magnitude.

Effects on \( \alpha_o \) Localization and Signaling

Despite the lack of any clear effect on G-protein expression levels, chronic but not acute antidepressant drug treatment (including amitriptyline, desipramine and iprindole) increases cAMP concentrations in a \( \alpha_o \) dependent manner in the rat brain, but not liver or kidney (Menkes et al., 1983; Ozawa and Rasenick, 1989; De Montis et al., 1990). In addition to antidepressant drug treatments, chronic electroconvulsive treatment increases coupling between \( \alpha_o \) and adenylyl cyclase (Ozawa and Rasenick, 1991). Consistent with this increased adenylyl cyclase activity, increased activity of cAMP dependent kinases (e.g., Protein kinase A) have also been observed in...
the rat brain following chronic antidepressant treatment. These changes occurred with chronic but not acute treatment with desmethylimipramine, and were seen in the cerebral cortex but not hippocampus, striatum or cerebellum (Perez et al., 1989). This suggests a more general role for brain Gαs/adenylyl cyclase coupling in antidepressant action downstream of their better characterized direct effects on transporters and GPCRs.

In order to understand how antidepressant drugs affect G-protein signaling, it is necessary to consider not only the expression levels of these proteins and their binding partners, but also their subcellular localization in microdomains. Within the plasma membrane bilayer there are lipid raft microdomains which contain an increased proportion of both cholesterol and sphingomyelin (Simons and Toomre, 2000) and the scaffolding protein Caveolin 1 (Figure 2). G-proteins are known to accumulate in these lipid raft domains, with Gαs, Gαq, and Gαi/o subunits all found at higher concentrations in these regions (Pesanova et al., 1999; Dunphy et al., 2001; Allen et al., 2005). These microdomains can affect G-protein mediated signaling, with either facilitatory or inhibitory effects on signaling depending on the G-protein. For example, localization to raft regions inhibits the ability of Gαq proteins to increase cAMP levels through adenylyl cyclase activation (Allen et al., 2009), while raft localization of Gαq greatly enhances signaling downstream of 5-HT2A receptor activation (Rybin et al., 2000; Bhatnagar et al., 2004; Allen et al., 2007 for review). In addition to an upregulation of Gαq protein expression, a shift in Gαq subcellular membrane localization also occurs in the brains of depressed humans. Thus, compared to non-psychiatric control subjects there was an approximately two-fold increase in the localization of Gαq to lipid raft domains in both cerebral cortex and cerebellum from suicide cases with documented depression (Donati et al., 2008). As these lipid raft domains are known to inhibit signaling downstream of Gαq (Rybin et al., 2000; Miura et al., 2001; Head et al., 2006; Allen et al., 2009), including coupling to adenylyl cyclase, this increased lipid raft localization likely indicates decreased Gαq signaling in the depressed brain. In fact, a Gαq dependent adenylyl cyclase dysfunction in the depressed brain is supported by impairment in the ability of forskolin to stimulate adenylyl cyclase activity in post-mortem tissue from individuals who completed suicide (Cowburn et al., 1994). This loss of adenylyl cyclase activity is associated with decreased expression and activity of the cAMP dependent kinase (PKA) in the frontal cortex, but not hippocampus of suicide completers (Pandey et al., 2005).

Preclinical and in vitro models suggest that antidepressants cause both increased association of Gαs with adenylyl cyclase (Table 1 and Figure 2) and reduce the relative amount of Gαs localized in lipid rafts (Chen and Rasenick, 1995a,b; Toki et al., 1999; Donati and Rasenick, 2005; Allen et al., 2009; Zhang and Rasenick, 2010; Singh et al., 2018; Wray et al., 2018). Both of these effects of antidepressants would in theory directly counteract changes detected in post-mortem brain tissue from suicide completers, namely impaired adenylyl cyclase activity and increased accumulation of Gαs in lipid rafts (Cowburn et al., 1994; Donati et al., 2008). While these results predict that antidepressant treatment should correct these deficits observed in the depressed brain, this hypothesis has not yet been fully tested.

It is noteworthy, however, that recent PET imaging studies reveal decreased [11C]-Rolipram (a phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor) binding in all brain regions of depressed subjects (Fujita et al., 2017). Subsequent to successful antidepressant treatment [11C]-Rolipram binding returns to baseline throughout the CNS (Fujita et al., 2017). This is consistent with the predictions from the work described above, as [11C]-Rolipram binding is known to reflect levels of cAMP (Hoffmann et al., 1998), due to a feedback mechanism involving cAMP, PKA, and phosphodiesterase-4 (Houslay et al., 1998).

In cellular models, multiple G-protein subtypes accumulate in lipid rafts and antidepressant drug treatment reduces the amount of lipid raft associated Gαs without changing the abundance of other G-proteins in the rafts (Toki et al., 1999; Donati and Rasenick, 2005). This reduction in lipid raft Gαs content occurs without changes in the overall expression level of Gαs protein, or in the expression of other G-proteins including

FIGURE 2 | Antidepressant effects on Gαs signaling. Antidepressive drugs (e.g., escitalopram, depicted) slowly accumulate in lipid raft domains (1). These areas are defined in part by high cholesterol content, detergent insolubility, and expression of membrane proteins such as Caveolin-1. Antidepressant accumulation causes a translocation of Gαs from lipid raft to non-raft membrane (2), where coupling to downstream effectors such as adenylyl cyclase increases. This facilitates downstream signaling, including increased cAMP production (3).
TABLE 1 | Antidepressants effects on G\textsubscript{as} signaling.

| Antidepressants         | G\textsubscript{as} Translocation | G\textsubscript{as} Membrane mobility | cAMP         | Reference                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Amitriptyline           | +                                 |                                      | +            | Menkes et al., 1983; Ozawa and Rasenick, 1989; Chen and Rasenick, 1995a,b; Toki et al., 1999 |
| Bupropion               |                                   |                                      | +            | Czysz et al., 2015                                                        |
| Desipramine             | +                                 |                                      | +            | Menkes et al., 1983; Ozawa and Rasenick, 1989; Chen and Rasenick, 1995a,b; Toki et al., 1999; Donati and Rasenick, 2005; Czysz et al., 2015 |
| Electroconvulsive Therapy |                                  |                                      | +            | Menkes et al., 1983; Ozawa and Rasenick, 1991; Chen and Rasenick, 1995a   |
| Escitalopram            | +                                 |                                      | +            | Zhang and Rasenick, 2010; Czysz et al., 2015; Donati et al., 2015; Erb et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2018 |
| Fluoxetine              | +                                 |                                      | +            | Toki et al., 1999; Donati and Rasenick, 2005; Czysz et al., 2015          |
| Imipramine              | +                                 |                                      | +            | Menkes et al., 1983; Czysz et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2018              |
| Iprindole               | +                                 |                                      | +            | Menkes et al., 1983; Ozawa and Rasenick, 1991; Toki et al., 1999         |
| Phenelzine              | –                                 |                                      | –            | Czysz et al., 2015                                                        |
| Sertraline              | –                                 |                                      | –            | Czysz et al., 2015                                                        |
| Tianeptine              | –                                 |                                      | –            | Czysz et al., 2015                                                        |
| Venlafaxine             | –                                 |                                      | –            | Czysz et al., 2015                                                        |
| Putative antidepressants|                                   |                                      | +            |                                                                 |
| ABT 200                 |                                  |                                      | +            | Chen and Rasenick, 1995a                                                  |
| Ketamine                | +                                 |                                      | +            | Wray et al., 2018                                                         |
| Tubastatin-A            | +                                 |                                      | +            | Singh et al., 2018                                                        |
| Non-antidepressants      |                                   |                                      | –            |                                                                 |
| Amphetamine             | –                                 |                                      | –            | Chen and Rasenick, 1995a                                                  |
| Chlorpromazine          | –                                 |                                      | –            | Toki et al., 1999                                                         |
| Diazepam                | –                                 |                                      | –            | Czysz et al., 2015                                                        |
| Haloperidol             | –                                 |                                      | –            | Czysz et al., 2015                                                        |
| Lithium                 | –                                 |                                      | –            | Donati et al., 2015                                                       |
| LY388514                | –                                 |                                      | –            | Donati et al., 2015                                                       |
| Olanzapine              | –                                 |                                      | –            | Czysz et al., 2015                                                        |
| R-citalopram            | –                                 |                                      | –            | Zhang and Rasenick, 2010; Czysz et al., 2015; Donati et al., 2015         |
| Valproate               | –                                 |                                      | –            | Donati et al., 2015                                                       |

Many antidepressant drugs are known to affect G\textsubscript{as} localization and signaling. These effects include a reduction of G\textsubscript{as} found in non-raft membranes (G\textsubscript{as} Translocation column), reduced G\textsubscript{as} lateral membrane mobility following antidepressant treatment, quantified by Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching (G\textsubscript{as} membrane mobility column), and a facilitatory effect on cAMP accumulation (cAMP column). In general, these effects have been observed with antidepressant drugs, but not closely related analogues. For example, the antidepressant Escitalopram is known to produce these effects, while the inactive stereoisomer, R-citalopram, does not. A number of compounds with putative antidepressant qualities, including Tubastatin-A, ABT 200, and ketamine also show this G\textsubscript{as} mediated antidepressant biosignature, suggesting these readouts may be useful for detecting novel antidepressants. A ‘+’ in each column indicates this drug is known to cause this effect, while a ‘−’ indicates this drug does not. Blank entries indicate that data has not been published for this effect.

G\textsubscript{ai}, G\textsubscript{ao} or G\textbeta (Chen and Rasenick, 1995b). The shift of G\textsubscript{as} from lipid raft regions to non-lipid raft regions coincides with increased coupling between G\textsubscript{ai} and adenylyl cyclase as well as increased adenylyl cyclase activation and cAMP accumulation (Table 1; Chen and Rasenick, 1995a,b). Indeed, antidepressants including the tricyclic desipramine (Czysz et al., 2015), the SSRI escitalopram (Erb et al., 2016), and the NMDA antagonist ketamine (Wray et al., 2018) reduce the lateral membrane mobility of G\textsubscript{as} (Table 1). This likely reflects increased association with the large, relatively immobile adenylyl cyclase protein following G\textsubscript{as} translocation out of lipid raft domains (Czysz et al., 2015). These effects occur with tricyclic antidepressants, SSRIs and atypical antidepressants, suggesting a potential conserved antidepressant mechanism independent of the known sites of action.

Importantly, the transfer of G\textsubscript{as} out of lipid raft domains occurs following chronic treatment with the antidepressant (S)-stereoisomer of the SSRI citalopram, but not the
RGS PROTEINS IN DEPRESSION AND ANTIDEPRESSANT DRUG ACTION

As mentioned earlier RGS proteins are essential modulators of signaling downstream of the G-proteins discussed above. There are more than 20 members of the G-protein family, designated by the presence of a 120 amino-acid RH domain and divided into subfamilies based on their structures outside of the RH domain (Hollinger and Hepler, 2002; Traynor and Neubig, 2005). This variety indicates that RGS proteins have some degree of specificity for which Go subunits they can regulate. For example, RGS4 and RGS8 potently inhibit signaling downstream of Gαq, in vitro while RGS7 has no effect (Talbot et al., 2009). RGS-PX1 and RGS-PX2 regulate signaling downstream of Gαs (Zheng et al., 2001; Ha et al., 2015), while RGS2 preferentially modulates Gαq signaling (Heximer et al., 1997). RGS proteins also appear to have specificity in terms of which GPCRs they modulate, even when those GPCRs signal through the same type of G-proteins. The dopamine D2 receptor and 5-HT1A receptors are both Gαqi/o coupled GPCRs, however, RGS4, RGS10 and RGSZ1 reduced 5-HT1A receptor-mediated signaling in vitro but did not affect D2-mediated signaling (Ghavami et al., 2003). It remains unclear whether RGS proteins achieve this specificity through direct interaction with certain GPCRs or by interactions with other intracellular binding partners.

Membrane anchoring can also affect how RGS proteins regulate G-protein action. For example, palmitoylation of RGS16 is necessary for regulation of Gαi and Gαo signaling (Hiol et al., 2003). RGS proteins in complex with a Go subunit can also directly affect signaling to downstream effectors, independent of their GAP function. This level of regulation also depends on the specific RGS protein involved, for instance RGS2 in complex with Gαo can prevent Gαq from binding to the downstream effectors p63 RhoGEF and GRK2, while RGS4 in complex with Gαq has little effect on binding to these effectors (Shankaranarayanan et al., 2008).

Therefore, while different RGS proteins have classically been thought to have largely redundant actions, current evidence suggests that different family members might have considerable variation in G-protein preference, receptor selectivity, and scaffolding functions toward downstream effectors. This highlights the need for a better understanding of what role the RGS proteins play in neuropsychiatric disorders and treatments.

RGS INSENSITIVE G-PROTEINS

When an individual RGS protein is knocked out or genetically modified, other available RGS proteins can at least partially compensate. Similarly, when an individual G-protein is lost, a GPCR may be able to continue signaling through other available G-proteins. In order to overcome these difficulties a series of modified G-proteins were developed which are insensitive to the negative regulatory effects of RGS proteins. These mutated G-proteins have a Gly in the “switch 3” region of the Gα protein that binds RGS proteins, replaced with a Ser (Tesmer et al., 1997).
This prevents the protein-protein interaction necessary for GAP activity.

The potential utility of RGS insensitive (RGSi) G-proteins was quickly recognized and a series of novel mammalian RGSi G-proteins were created (DiBello et al., 1998; Lan et al., 1998). These mutations do not affect the kinetics of GDP release, GTP hydrolysis, Gβγ binding, or interaction with the receptor, but produce up to 100-fold loss of affinity for RGS proteins (Day et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2004). These properties allowed investigators to probe the effects of removing all RGS control at a specific G-protein without affecting G-protein signaling otherwise, avoiding the difficulties posed by RGS protein redundancy.

Mice expressing an RGSi knock-in variant of Gαi2 display a profound antidepressant-like phenotype across a number of behavioral tests including tail suspension, forced swim, elevated plus maze and novelty induced hypophagia (Talbot et al., 2010; Senese et al., 2018). These mice also have antidepressant-like signaling changes in the hippocampus and frontal cortex, including reduced glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3β) activity (Talbot et al., 2010). GSK3β inhibition produces neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus, and this neuronal effect may be an important component of antidepressant action (Malberg et al., 2000; Li et al., 2004; Tsai et al., 2008), although the significance of these antidepressant induced neurogenic effects has been disputed (Hanson et al., 2011).

Both the changes in hippocampal GSK3β and antidepressant-like behaviors observed in RGSi Gαi2 knock-in mice are fully reversed by pretreatment with a 5-HT1A receptor antagonist (Talbot et al., 2010). Furthermore, direct application of this 5-HT1A receptor antagonist to the hippocampus of the RGSi Gαi2 knock-in mice reversed their antidepressant-like behavior (Senese et al., 2018), suggesting that enhanced 5-HT1A receptor activity in the hippocampus is necessary for this behavior. Coupled with the fact that 5-HT1A receptor agonists produce more potent antidepressant-like effects in these animals, it appears that the loss of RGS control at Gαi2 promotes 5-HT1A receptor signaling leading to robust antidepressant-like effects. Mice heterozygous for RGSi Gαo also show antidepressant-like effects in the tail suspension test, but the GPCR involved is not the 5-HT1A receptor and remains unidentified (Senese et al., 2018). The culprit in this case may be the delta opioid receptor (DOPR) since the potency of the delta agonist SNC80 to produce antidepressant-like effects is enhanced in these mice (Dripps et al., 2018).

Although activating postsynaptic 5-HT1A heteroreceptors is generally considered beneficial for antidepressant action, presynaptic 5-HT1A autoreceptor activation can limit antidepressant action and may contribute to the hysteresis observed between initiation of treatment and the onset of therapeutic effects (Hjorth and Sharp, 1993; Artigas et al., 1994; Le Poul et al., 1995; Matsuda et al., 1995). Interestingly, RGSi Gαi2 knock-in mice display enhancements of responses known to depend on 5-HT1A heteroreceptor activity (e.g., antidepressant-like behaviors, hippocampal GSK3β inhibition), but not responses dependent on 5-HT1A autoreceptor activity (e.g., hypothermia; Hillegaart, 1991; Matsuda et al., 1995; Li et al., 2004; Talbot et al., 2010). 5-HT1A receptor agonists also produce exaggerated responses in hippocampal neurons from these mice, with more robust 5-HT1A receptor dependent suppression of neuronal excitability observed in pyramidal neurons (Senese et al., 2018). As the hippocampus does not contain 5-HT cell bodies (Ren et al., 2018), this provides direct evidence for increased 5-HT1A heteroreceptor activity in these animals.

The above data suggest that disrupting RGS control of Gαo may represent a novel strategy to selectively enhance the antidepressant effects of 5-HT1A receptor activation without promoting the detrimental effects of autoreceptor activation. Unfortunately, specific RGS protein(s) responsible for the antidepressant-like phenotype in the RGSi-Gαi2 mice are not currently known, but two candidates (RGS6 and RGS19) are discussed later in this review.

Although the above studies highlight roles for RGS proteins in modulating depressive-like behaviors in preclinical models, they do not identify which RGS protein or proteins are involved. However, a limited number of studies have been performed on specific RGS proteins as described below.

### RGS2

Mice lacking RGS2 showed a baseline increase in anxious and depressive-like behaviors (Oliveira-dos-Santos et al., 2000; Lifschytz et al., 2012). These behavioral alterations occur alongside decreased presynaptic 5-HT1A receptor expression and function, suggesting that these receptors may play a role in the observed behavioral phenotype (Lifschytz et al., 2012; Muma, 2012 for review). In contrast, a genetic manipulation which specifically increases raphe 5-HT1A receptor expression in mice increases vulnerability to stress and decreases response to antidepressants (Richardson-Jones et al., 2010), suggesting that reductions in raphe 5-HT1A receptor availability following disruption of RGS2 may be a compensatory change rather than a causative factor of the pro-depressant behaviors. Nonetheless, these data demonstrate that RGS2 may have a protective effect against anxiety and depression, and that RGS2 disruption may have detrimental neuropsychiatric effects.

In line with these pre-clinical results, individuals expressing any of several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the RGS2 gene experience anxiety disorders and suicidal ideations at an increased rate (Leygraf et al., 2006; Smoller et al., 2008; Amstadter et al., 2009). In addition, various RGS2 genetic variants were found at an increased rate in suicide completers than controls (Cui et al., 2008). However, an increase in RGS2 immunoreactivity was also found in post-mortem tissue from both the prefrontal cortex and amygdala of these subjects (Cui et al., 2008), although this may represent a compensatory upregulation due to a loss of RGS2 functionality. Together these findings provide solid evidence that genetic alterations in the RGS2 gene can influence the development or expression of affective disorders in human populations, in line with findings from RGS2 knockout mice.

While it remains difficult to study antidepressant action in vitro, a number of reports have provided evidence on how
RGS2 can affect cellular processes in ways that might modify antidepressant action. For example, an increase in hippocampal synaptic plasticity typically occurs following antidepressant treatment, while depressive states cause decreased plasticity (Kozisek et al., 2008; Nissen et al., 2010). Loss of RGS2 produces a similar loss of synaptic plasticity in mouse hippocampal tissue potentially by altering $\text{G}_{i/o}$-mediated inhibition of hippocampal $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ channels (Han et al., 2006). This suggests that a loss of neural plasticity due to genetic variation in RGS2 could have detrimental neuropsychiatric effects and might impair the function of antidepressant drugs.

### RGS4

Studies in rodent models have consistently shown that 5-HT1A receptor agonists such as 8-OH-DPAT cause a reduction in extracellular serotonin levels in the brain by activating 5-HT1A autoreceptors in the dorsal raphe nucleus (Casanovas and Artigas, 1996; Adell and Artigas, 1998; Celada et al., 2001). It is thought that this 5-HT1A receptor-dependent reduction in central serotonin may delay the beneficial effects of SSRI antidepressants. Indeed, strategies to limit 5-HT1A autoreceptor activity during SSRI treatment, such as co-administration of 5-HT1A receptor antagonists or weak partial agonists, have shown promising results (Artigas et al., 1994; Pérez et al., 1997; Tome et al., 1997; Maes et al., 1999). Although RGS4 mRNA is not normally expressed in the dorsal raphe nucleus (Gold et al., 1997), RGS4 overexpression in this region attenuates the ability of 5-HT1A receptors to reduce central serotonin levels (Beyer et al., 2004). Based on these results, overexpression or stimulation of RGS4 in brain regions containing 5-HT1A autoreceptors should have beneficial effects on antidepressant drug action, similar to the results obtained by combining 5-HT1A antagonists with traditional antidepressants (Artigas et al., 1994).

Delta opioid receptor agonists produce antidepressant-like behavioral effects in rodent models including the tail suspension and forced swim tests (Broom et al., 2002; Naidu et al., 2007). RGS4 knockout mice show an enhanced antidepressant-like response to DOPR agonists in the forced swim test, but not tail suspension test, suggesting that these antidepressant-like behaviors may depend on distinct neural pathways or signaling intermediates downstream of DOPR activation (Stratinaki et al., 2013; Dripps et al., 2017). This effect on forced swim test behavior appears to depend on nucleus accumbens RGS4 expression, as specific RGS4 knockdown in this region produces similar effects as global RGS4 knockout (Stratinaki et al., 2013). RGS proteins capable of modulating DOPR-mediated tail suspension test behavior have not yet been identified.

In addition to inhibiting the antidepressant-like effects of DOPR agonists, loss of RGS4 appears to inhibit the antidepressant-like effects of SSRIs, norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors and the $N$-methyl-$d$-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist ketamine (Stratinaki et al., 2013). Acute treatment with either a DOPR agonist or ketamine decreases frontal cortex RGS4 expression yet only chronic treatment with a DOPR agonist increases RGS4 expression in the nucleus accumbens (Stratinaki et al., 2013). While this would suggest that nucleus accumbens and frontal cortex RGS4 have opposing effects on antidepressant action, this has yet to be conclusively demonstrated. Although RGS4 expression in the brain does not appear to differ between post-mortem tissue from depressed and healthy individuals, an upregulation of RGS4 has been observed in post-mortem nucleus accumbens tissue from depressed individuals undergoing antidepressant treatment compared to untreated depressed individuals (Stratinaki et al., 2013). Coupled with findings from rodent models showing increased antidepressant effectiveness in animals with overexpression of RGS4 in this brain region, it appears possible that nucleus accumbens RGS4 has a facilitatory effect on antidepressant treatment.

The RGS4 inhibitor CCG-203769 produces antidepressant-like effects on the tail suspension test in a mouse model (Senese et al., 2018). As RGS4 knockout mice do not have behavioral changes on the tail suspension test (Dripps et al., 2017), and loss of RGS4 inhibits the antidepressant-like effects of drugs with diverse mechanisms of action (Stratinaki et al., 2013), RGS4 inhibition alone cannot explain the antidepressant-like effect of CCG-203769. However, CCG-203769 also inhibits RGS19, and downregulation of RGS19 has been shown to increase 5-HT1A receptor signaling in hippocampal neurons (Wang et al., 2014). CCG-203769’s antidepressant-like effects are discussed in more detail in the section on RGS19.

### RGS6

Mice lacking RGS6 display antidepressant-like and anxiolytic behaviors at baseline, including in the elevated plus maze and novelty induced hyponeophagia test (Stewart et al., 2014). This behavioral phenotype is fully reversible by 5-HT1A receptor antagonist pretreatment, and by direct activation of adenylyl cyclase with forskolin (Stewart et al., 2014). Loss of RGS6 did not affect mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) or GSK3β signaling (Stewart et al., 2014), changes that have been detected in mice with a 5-HT1A receptor dependent antidepressant-like phenotype due to loss of RGS control at $\text{G}_{i2}$ (Talbot et al., 2010). Instead the RGS6 knockout mouse phenotype appears to depend on increased phospho-CREB in the hippocampus and cortex, areas with high 5-HT1A receptor expression (Stewart et al., 2014). The mechanistic differences across the two mouse models calls into question whether RGS6 is the primary mediator of the RGSi-$\text{G}_{i2}$ knock-in mouse behavioral phenotype, although it may be one of several RGS proteins involved. Nonetheless, the findings suggest that RGS6 may normally limit the actions of serotonergic antidepressants by reducing adenylyl cyclase inhibition downstream of 5-HT1A receptor activation, and that strategies to limit RGS6 activity may have beneficial effects for antidepressant treatment.

### RGS8

Overexpression of RGS8 in mouse brain produces an antidepressant-like behavioral phenotype in the forced swim test.
α-raft domains, a subcellular membrane compartment known to
undergo remodeling during hippocampal long-term potentiation
(Kobayashi et al., 2018). RGS8 is a potent negative modulator of
melanin-concentrating hormone receptor 1 (MCHR1) signaling
(Miyamoto-Matsubara et al., 2008). Inhibitors of MCHR1 have
antidepressant-like effects, however, this action is lost in mice
overexpressing RGS8, suggesting signaling downstream of
MCHR1 is the target for RGS8. Whether loss of central RGS8 pro-
duces a pro-depressant phenotype has not yet been tested,
although small molecule compounds with inhibitory action
against RGS8 (Blazer et al., 2011; Storaska et al., 2013) could
provide the means to examine these behaviors in future studies.
While these RGS8 inhibitors are not highly potent or selective,
a detailed analysis of the binding sites for these inhibitors
(Shaw et al., 2018) will facilitate the discovery of more selective
compounds.

RGS16

Although RGS16 has not been directly linked to depression,
palmitoylation causes the accumulation of RGS16 in lipid
raft domains, a subcellular membrane compartment known to
generally promote signaling downstream of Gαq. (Rybin et al.,
2000; Hiol et al., 2003). As discussed earlier, this localization
places RGS16 at or near the putative, but not yet positively
identified, binding site for antidepressant drugs within lipid
rafts (Eisensamer et al., 2005; Erb et al., 2016). This localization
may provide RGS16 with an increased ability to regulate
antidepressant drug action, although this prediction has yet to be
tested.

RGS17 (RGSZ2)

Levels of RGS17 mRNA (also known as RGSZ2) were shown to be
markedly reduced in post-mortem brain tissue from individuals
diagnosed with MDD (Shelton et al., 2011) in a large-scale RNA
microarray analysis. Unfortunately, this study did not provide a
potential mechanism by which MDD affects RGS17, nor evidence
that these changes in RGS17 mRNA reflect changes in RGS17
activity.

RGS19 (GAIP)

RGS19, also known as G Alpha Interacting Protein (GAIP; De
Vries et al., 1995) has been shown to regulate 5-HT1A receptor
signaling in both C6 and SH-SY5Y cells (Wang et al., 2014).
RGS19 knockdown facilitated 5-HT1A receptor agonist induced
activation of MAPK and inhibition of adenylyl cyclase (Wang
et al., 2014), while RGS4 knockdown did not significantly affect
signaling. The effect of RGS19 knockdown was magnified when
the cells were co-treated with fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2), a
factor known to act synergistically with 5-HT1A receptor activity
in the hippocampus to facilitate synaptic plasticity (Borroto-
Escuela et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). The enhancement of
5-HT1A receptor agonist stimulated MAPK activity following
RGS19 knockdown seen in cellular models was replicated in
mouse primary hippocampal neurons, including the synergistic
enhancement by co-treatment with FGF2 and a 5-HT1A receptor
agonist (Wang et al., 2014). This suggests that reducing RGS19
activity may facilitate the action of serotonergic antidepressants
due to disinhibition of hippocampal 5-HT1A receptor activity.

CCG-203769, an RGS inhibitor with 100-fold selectivity for
RGS19 and RGS4 compared to other RGS proteins (Blazer
et al., 2015), produces antidepressant-like effects in a mouse
model (Senese et al., 2018). These effects occur after repeated
intra-hippocampal administration in female, but not male mice
(Senese et al., 2018), suggesting that behavioral responses to
RGS inhibitors may be sexually dimorphic. This behavioral
sex difference occurs despite similar hippocampal expression of
RGS19 between male and female mice (Senese et al., 2018).

As discussed above, loss of RGS4 activity attenuates the effects
of various antidepressants (Stratnakai et al., 2013), suggesting
that the antidepressant-like behavioral effects observed following
CCG-203769 administration are not likely to be mediated by its
inhibitory effect on RGS4 (Blazer et al., 2015; Senese et al., 2018).
In contrast, loss of RGS19 activity facilitates 5-HT1A receptor
mediated MAPK activity (Wang et al., 2014), signaling changes that have been associated with antidepressant-like behaviors
in animal models (Talbot et al., 2010). While not conclusive,
these data suggest that the antidepressant-like effects of CCG-
203769 in female mice are due to its inhibitory effects on RGS19
(Senese et al., 2018). This is the first report of antidepressant-like
behaviors produced by a compound which targets RGS proteins
directly, although the requirement for central delivery of CCG-
203769, and its action as an irreversible inhibitor, suggests that
novel RGS inhibitors with improved drug-like properties are
needed before this class of compounds can be fully explored for
potential clinical utility.

RGS20 (RGSZ1)

In post-mortem tissue from individuals with MDD, RGS20
(also known as RGSZ1) mRNA is significantly decreased in the
anterior cingulate cortex (Tomita et al., 2013). This change was
not observed in tissue from individuals with bipolar disorder
(Tomita et al., 2013). It remains unclear whether this change in
RGS20 mRNA is reflected by changes in protein expression or
activity. Furthermore, as the majority of these individuals were
undergoing neuropsychiatric treatment at time of death, it is
impossible to determine whether RGS20 mRNA was reduced due
to antidepressant treatment, or reflects an innate difference in the
depressed brain.

Chronic estradiol treatments both desensitize hypothalamic
5-HT1A receptors and cause an increase in plasma membrane
RGS20 expression (Carrasco et al., 2004; McAllister et al., 2014).
Increased RGS20 is predicted to reduce signaling downstream of
5-HT1A receptor and therefore may contribute to the observed
5-HT1A receptor desensitization, although this interaction has
not been conclusively demonstrated (Carrasco et al., 2004). 5-
HT1A receptor desensitization in the dorsal raphe nucleus, not
the hypothalamus, is generally considered a critical step in
antidepressant action. However, RGS20 expression in the dorsal
raphe has not been assessed following chronic antidepressant
treatment, so it remains possible that a similar process contributes to 5-HT1A receptor desensitization in this brain region.

CONCLUSION

G-proteins and their RGS protein modifiers likely play important roles in the development of depressive states, and also influence the effectiveness of antidepressant therapies. Changes in activity of these proteins can have dramatic effects on these complex disorders, while even more subtle alterations, such as G-protein translocation between subcellular microdomains, can profoundly regulate antidepressant action. Although preclinical studies provide multiple hypotheses for how these proteins behave in depressed populations in the clinic, only a handful of these theories have been addressed in humans. Considering how alterations in G-proteins and/or RGS differentially affect responses to a variety of antidepressant treatments, it appears reasonable that a better understanding of these proteins could aid in the tailoring of personalized treatment strategies for depression. Screening for changes in G-protein signaling pathways could also provide new insight into susceptibility toward depressive disorders at an individual level. In addition, direct RGS-inhibiting compounds have been proposed as novel treatment options for a variety of indications, and selective small molecule RGS inhibitors have already been identified (Zhong and Neubig, 2001; Roman et al., 2006; Shaw et al., 2018). A more complete understanding of how these G-proteins and their partners interact with antidepressant therapies and the development of depressive states is both needed and welcome.
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