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**Abstract**

Cell lineage studies in mollusk embryos have documented numerous variations on the lophotrochozoan theme of spiral cleavage. In the experimentally tractable embryo of the mud snail *Ilyanassa*, cell lineage has previously been described only up to the 29-cell stage. Here I provide a chronology of cell divisions in *Ilyanassa* to the stage of 84 cells (about 16 hours after first cleavage at 23°C), and show spatial arrangements of identified nuclei at stages ranging from 27 to 84 cells. During this period the spiral cleavage pattern gives way to a bilaterally symmetric, dorsoventrally polarized pattern of mitotic timing and geometry. At the same time, the mesentoblast cell 4d rapidly proliferates to form twelve cells lying deep to the dorsal ectoderm. The onset of epiboly coincides with a period of mitotic quiescence throughout the ectoderm. As in other gastropod embryos, cell cycle lengths vary widely and predictably according to cell identity, and many of the longest cell cycles occur in small daughters of highly asymmetric divisions. While *Ilyanassa* shares many features of embryonic cell lineage with two other caenogastropod genera, *Crepidula* and *Bithynia*, it is distinguished by a general tendency toward earlier and more pronounced diversification of cell division pattern along axes of later differential growth.

**Introduction**

Spiral cleavage is a form of early embryonic development that occurs widely within the lophotrochozoan superphylum [1]. Remarkably, ‘spiralian’ taxa have evolved disparate adult body plans, yet retain a close correspondence between early embryonic cell lineage (spatially defined with respect to the egg’s primary animal-vegetal (AV) axis) and cell fate (i.e., clonal distribution among juvenile/larval body regions and tissues) [2–4]. Although spiral cleavage has been modified beyond recognition in some clades, most known mollusks, annelids, nemerteans, and polyclad flatworms show only subtle deviations from a generalized spiral cleavage pattern.

The term ‘spiral cleavage’ reflects a characteristic spatial pattern of cell division exhibited most conspicuously from the third through fifth cell cycles. Unlike other animal embryos, spiralian early cleavage planes are never perpendicular to the AV axis. Thus, while the third cleavage in a frog, sea urchin or jellyfish embryo separates four animal ('northern') cells from vegetal ('southern') sister cells, third cleavage in a spiralian embryo separates four ‘northern’ cells from vegetal ‘southern’ sisters. Due to the practically identical cell division chiralities for one or more cell cycles. Through synchronous reiteration of obliquely oriented divisions, four founder cells thus give rise to lineages (A, B, C, D) that represent ‘quadrants’ distributed in a rotationally symmetric pattern about the AV axis (Figure 1F). Owing to the practically identical cell division patterns in the four quadrant lineages, early cleavage generates multiple cell ‘tiers’, or sets of four synchronously formed and phenotypically similar cells that are radially disposed about the AV axis. Most spiralian embryos exhibit tier-specific patterns of cell division at early stages; the significance of this mitotic asynchrony is unknown, but presumably reflects differential inheritance of factors regulating cell division. Indeed, in 24-cell embryos of the marine snail *Ilyanassa*, each tier is distinguished by inheriting a unique set of cytoplasmic RNA species [5].

For the most part, each micromere clone in a spiralian embryo remains largely cohesive throughout embryogenesis, and adopts a highly predictable fate [2]. The entire ectoderm arises from the first, second and third micromere quartets (collectively, the ‘ectoblast’). Figure 2A shows a schematic summary of ectodermal division, budding two more quartets of micromeres toward the animal pole (Figure 1C–E). The chirality of the oblique macromere divisions alternates with each cell cycle; e.g., the first quartet is budded to the northwest, the second to the northeast, and the third to the northwest once more. The micromeres themselves continue to follow the rule of alternating division chirality for one or more cell cycles. Through synchronous reiteration of obliquely oriented divisions, four founder cells thus give rise to lineages (A, B, C, D) that represent ‘quadrants’ distributed in a rotationally symmetric pattern about the AV axis (Figure 1F). Owing to the practically identical cell division patterns in the four quadrant lineages, early cleavage generates multiple cell ‘tiers’, or sets of four synchronously formed and phenotypically similar cells that are radially disposed about the AV axis. Most spiralian embryos exhibit tier-specific patterns of cell division at early stages; the significance of this mitotic asynchrony is unknown, but presumably reflects differential inheritance of factors regulating cell division. Indeed, in 24-cell embryos of the marine snail *Ilyanassa*, each tier is distinguished by inheriting a unique set of cytoplasmic RNA species [5].

For the most part, each micromere clone in a spiralian embryo remains largely cohesive throughout embryogenesis, and adopts a highly predictable fate [2]. The entire ectoderm arises from the first, second and third micromere quartets (collectively, the ‘ectoblast’). Figure 2A shows a schematic summary of ectodermal...
morphogenesis, as inferred from fate mapping and other descriptive studies of embryogenesis in gastropod mollusks [6–11]; this scheme can be generalized in broad outline to other spiralian taxa, though some details differ between higher-order taxa [2–4]. At the animal pole, the four-way junction of the first-quartet micromere clones persists to form the anterior apex of the juvenile animal. Oppositely, the vegetal extremities of the ectoblast (second and third quartet clones) converge at the vegetal pole to form the rudiment of the mouth; in many taxa (including *Ilyanassa*) this convergence occurs by epiboly, as illustrated in Figure 2A. During subsequent development, the AV axis bends extensively, displacing the mouth-forming vegetal cell group along one meridian toward the animal pole. The ectodermal territory centered on this meridian becomes shorter and wider as micromere clones spread bilaterally and/or become partially internalized; at the same time, the ectodermal region opposite this territory elongates meridionally to form most of the trunk, including both the definitive dorsal and ventral ectoderm. In gastropods (as in most spiralian taxa), the first-quartet micromere clones collectively form the animal’s head; the boundary between the first-quartet and second-quartet clones forms the velum, a band of ciliated cells that determines the animal’s head; the boundary between the first-quartet and second-quartet clones forms the velum, a band of ciliated cells that functions in larval locomotion and feeding.

The above-described transformation of the spiral-cleaving embryo into a dorsoventrally polarized animal depends on an early intercellular signal that patterns the ectoblast along a secondary axis, orthogonal to the original (AV) axis of polarity. This secondary axis is commonly designated as ‘dorsoventral’ (a shorthand term whose inaccuracy is illuminated by this secondary axis is determined through an unusual mechanism: whereas in other gastropod taxa the 3D cell is specified inductively among initially equivalent macromeres, the 3D precursor in *Ilyanassa* is specified as the D cell by asymmetric segregation of vegetal cytoplasm during the first two cleavages [23] ([Figure 3A](#f003)). This segregation allows 1d, the first-quartet micromere of the D quadrant, to differ at birth from the three other first-quartet cells [12,21]. In other respects, the ectoblast of *Ilyanassa* displays the same rotational symmetry seen in other gastropod embryos ([Figure 3B](#f003)), and a molecular marker of signaling from the D quadrant is not expressed until after the birth of the third quartet [16,24]. As in other mollusks, the signaling 3D cell is mother to the mesentoblast 4d, which marks the definitive median plane at the 28-cell stage, and which gives rise to a bilateral pair of mesodermal and endodermal stem cell lineages [25]. Subsequent cell divisions among the ectodermal micromere lineages have not been systematically described.

In this paper I follow the *Ilyanassa* embryo through its next fifty-six cell divisions, describing the spatiotemporal division pattern from first cleavage through the eighty-four-cell stage. This analysis reveals progressive differentiation of division patterns within cell tiers, especially along the secondary axis. Such within-tier differentiation is more widespread, and also tends to be more pronounced, in *Ilyanassa* compared to the related caenogastropod mollusks.
genera *Crepidula* [6,11,26] and *Bithynia* [27]. Although the D lineage is specified at later stages in these two genera, most of the accelerated regional differentiation in *Ilyanassa* occurs after 3D/4d signaling, and thus does not depend on early D specification as a logical precondition. Moreover, the global acceleration of differential growth in *Ilyanassa* is generated by a wide variety of changes in division geometry and timing among different micromere sublineages, implying the concerted evolution of diverse factors controlling cell division.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals, Microscopy, and Data Acquisition**

Snails were obtained from the Marine Biological Laboratories at Woods Hole in the winter and early spring. Snail and embryo cultures were maintained as described by Collier [28]. Eight clutches of eggs were used for this study; each cell division was examined in 3–8 embryos, in most cases representing at least two different clutches. Developmental ages were recorded with
reference to the onset of first, second, third or fifth cleavage, all of which occur with predictable relative timing. A small minority of embryos which initiated the reference cleavage outside of a ten-minute median time window were removed and cultured 5–6 days alongside controls; in every case, over 90% of both groups developed formed normal veliger larvae.

Descapulated embryos were cultured at air-conditioned room temperature of 23±1°C in 108% Jamarin U artificial seawater (JSM; Jamarin Laboratories, Japan) that was 0.45 μm filtered, pasteurized, cooled and aerated by shaking. At 15- or 20-minute intervals during the desired time window, samples of 2–5 embryos were fixed for an hour at room temperature in 90% JSW containing 2.5% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% Tween-20. Fixed embryos were washed in water, incubated in methyl alcohol for 15–30 minutes, gradually rehydrated, and stained overnight at 4°C in 1 μg/ml Hoechst 33528 and 0.1% Tween-20. After another wash, they were cleared in glycerol and mounted under clay-feet-supported coverslips in 80% glycerol, 4% n-propyl gallate, 20 mM Tris pH 9. With this mounting method, embryos could be rolled around to permit observation from different sides. Embryos were observed with epifluorescence optics under UV illumination on a Leitz compound microscope with a 25× or 40× objective. Images were acquired by a ‘video camera lucida’ method in which fluorescence was imaged with a video camera, and the outlines of nuclei were traced onto transparent plastic sheets fixed to the video monitor. All cells were identified in each fixed embryo. The timing of each cell’s division was estimated as roughly the mean age of embryos in which the cell was observed in metaphase, anaphase or telophase.

Nomenclature

Most early cell divisions in Ilyanassa are oblique to the animal-vegetal axis. Such a division is described as dextrotrropic if the clockwise daughter cell (in animal pole view) is the closer to the animal pole; in a laeotropic division the counterclockwise cell is closer to the animal pole. Some divisions are not oblique to the AV axis; these divisions are either transverse (spindle parallel to the embryo’s equatorial plane) or longitudinal (spindle perpendicular to equator). Most cells are named following convention [6,29,30]. Micromere-derived cells are named according to their previous lineage history (encapsulated in the name of their immediate progenitor), and the relative positioning of sister cells along the egg axis. The upper sister (closer to the animal pole) is always given a terminal superscript 1, and the lower sister a terminal superscript 2. Where a transverse division places sister cells at the same level of the egg axis, they are usually numbered with reference to the chirality of the previous division: if the mother cell formed by a dextrotrropic division, then the clockwise product of this cell’s next division is given a superscript 2 and the counterclockwise product a superscript 1. Following Conklin [6], this nomenclature is replaced in the case of the third-quartet derivatives with terms referring to the distance cell relating to the secondary axis. In general, when referring collectively to cells formed by analogous divisions in two or three quadrants, I use terms such as 1abc1; this example signifies the three upper cells formed by the division of 1a, 1b, and 1c. Following Costello [31], analogous cells in all four quadrants are referred to collectively using ‘m’ to denote micromeres and ‘M’ to denote macromeres (1m1, for example, is used instead of 1abc1). Finally, Conklin [6] named derivatives of the mesentoblast 4d on the basis of the supposed fates in Crepidula; these fates need to be confirmed using modern methods, and 4d derivatives are renamed here on the basis of lineal relationships and the positioning of sister cell nuclei [25]. As the 4d lineage seems to undergo identical division patterns in Crepidula and Ilyanassa, it is easy to refer back to the original cell names. Throughout this paper the secondary axis of the embryo will be called ‘dorsoventral’ according to a current, arbitrary convention; it should be noted that this axis does not correspond by cell lineage distribution to any definitive anatomical axis (Figure 2A). The ‘dorsal’ pole of the early embryo’s secondary axis is marked by the center of the bilaterally symmetric 4d clone. Cells that lie on dorsal, ventral, left or right meridians are said to be positioned radially, while cells positioned forty-five degrees away from these meridians are positioned interradially.

Results

First two cleavages and polar lobe segregation

Early cleavage in Ilyanassa has previously been described [12,32], and is summarized in Figure 3A. Like the egg’s two meiotic divisions, first cleavage is accompanied by polar lobe formation [33,34]. The first cleavage furrow initiates at the animal pole and progresses vegetally in a roughly meridional plane. The polar lobe relaxes into the CD cell, which then forms another polar lobe at second cleavage, shunting it to its western daughter cell, D. Second cleavage is slightly asynchronous, CD entering mitosis before AB [32]. As in the first cleavage, AB and CD form meridional cleavage furrows that progress from animal to vegetal. The planes of second cleavage are almost orthogonal to the first cleavage plane, so the animal-pole surfaces of the four quadrant-founder cells (A, B, C, D) end up bordering each other in a regular fashion, close to the site of polar body formation. A slight laeotropic skew between the AB and CD cleavage planes place the A and C cells visibly higher on the AV axis compared to B and D;
this geometry is common among gastropods and many other spiralian, and presages the overt dexiotropic chirality of the following cleavage. The interval between first and second cleavage lasts about 75–80 minutes. In subsequent generations, the same cell cycle length is observed in the quadrant founder cells (M) and in their macromere daughters (1M); all other cell cycles, with the exception of some cells in the 4d lineage, are longer. Temporal cell division patterns in the four micromere quartets are charted in Figures 4, 5, 6, 7.

First quartet (Figure 4)

At third cleavage, the quadrant founder cells divide asymmetrically to form the first quartet micromeres around the animal pole (Figure 3A). Mitotic spindles form synchronously in C and D, with A and B together lagging a few minutes behind. In spite of the apparent mitotic synchrony, the D cell consistently begins cytokinesis slightly before C. The dorsal micromere 1d is significantly smaller at birth than 1a, 1b and 1c [12,35].

The first quartet cells are immediately distinguished from their macromere sisters by a retarded division schedule: the 1a, 1b, and 1c cells divide about 30 minutes after the macromeres (105 minutes after their formation), and 1d divides after an additional delay of 30–35 minutes. The division of each micromere is laeotropic and unequal, separating a large cell (1m1) at the embryo's apex from a much smaller 'turret cell' (1m2) distal to the animal pole. Following cytokinesis, the nuclei of the 1m1 cells grow as big as the nuclei in their mother cells, while the turret cell nuclei remain very small and condensed. The turret cells typically remain in interphase through the next eleven hours of development at least.

Compared to the first quartet micromeres, cell cycle lengths are more nearly uniform among their four apical daughters: the 1abc cycles last about 145 minutes (1a1 tending to divide first), and the 1d1 cycle about 155 minutes. Due to the delay in its formation, however, 1d1 divides about 45 minutes after its neighbors (450 minutes after first cleavage; Figures 8, 9). All four 1m1 cells divide dexiotropically. The 1abc cells each divide with a slight asymmetry, forming a smaller ‘apical cell’ (1abc11) and a larger ‘basal cell’ (1abc12). As previously noted [12], 1d1 divides with a more pronounced asymmetry which, compared to the other three divisions, is reversed in polarity: the apical daughter cell 1d11 is about the same size as the three other apical cells, while the basal cell 1d12 does not appear to be much bigger than the tiny turret cells. Within each quadrant, the dexiotropic division of 1m1 places apical and basal sister cells roughly forty-five degrees out of

![Figure 4. Cell lineage table of the first micromere quartet.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g004)
register with each other around the egg axis. With reference to the secondary axis (approximately defined at this stage by the ‘dorsal’ 3D macromere) the basal cells 1m12 are positioned on dorsal, ventral, and lateral radii of the head rudiment. Their apical sisters occupy interradial positions (1cd11 right- and left-dorsal, 1ab11 left- and right-ventral), in register with the turret cells (1m2).

The 1abc12 cells are the next first-quartet derivatives to divide, between 515 and 555 minutes after first cleavage (Figure 10). Invariably the 1a12 cell divides first. These divisions bring about the first sign of dorsoventral asymmetry among the 1abc lineages. According to the rule of alternating division chirality that predominates in early cleavage, all three cells should divide laeotropically. 1a12 tends to follow this rule, but the contralateral 1c12 cell tends to divide dexiotropically; the division of 1b12 is roughly longitudinal. Thus, 1a122 and 1c122 both tend to be shifted ventrally relative to their sisters.

About 30 minutes after the division of 1abc12, the apical cells 1abc11 begin to divide (Figure 11). The left- and right-ventral 1a11 and 1b11 cells divide more or less in synchrony, followed by 1c11 about 20 minutes later. The 1d11 cell divides after an additional delay of about 65 minutes. The cell cycles of 1ab11, 1c11, and 1d11 are respectively estimated as 160, 180, and 200 minutes long. All four cells divide lacotropically; as a result, the ‘apical rosette cells’ 1m11 end up in approximately radial positions around the animal pole, with the ‘peripheral rosette cells’ 1m12 approximately interradial like their mothers. This arrangement is not initially evident due to close spacing of the 1m1 daughter nuclei (Figures 11, 12, 13), but is consistently seen at later stages (Figures 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21). None of these cells were observed to divide again (cell cycles >370 minutes).

Prolonged cell cycles also occur in the daughters of 1abc12. In particular, the inner cells 1abc121 were never observed to divide (cell cycles >420 min). Their sisters 1abc122 divide 860–905 minutes after first cleavage (cell cycles =340–360 min) (Figure 19). Unlike all previous divisions in the first quartet, these divisions

Figure 5. Cell lineage table of the second micromere quartet.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g005
appeared to be more or less perfectly transverse in all three cells. The 1b122 cell is consistently the first to divide, preceding 1ac122 by 10–20 minutes.

**Second quartet (Figure 5)**

The second quartet micromeres (2m) are formed by laeotropic division of the macromeres 1M. This round of divisions is slightly asynchronous, led by 1CD. The 2m cells are uniform in size, and perceptibly bigger than the 1abc cells. The second quartet cells divide at the same time as their macromere sisters, in the transition from the 16-cell to the 24-cell stage. Division of the 2m cells occurs with a slight asynchrony matching the timing of their birth; these divisions are all equal and dexiotropic, but nearly transverse [12] (Figure 8).

The second round of division in the second quartet takes place 445–495 minutes after first cleavage (Figure 9). The daughter cells of each second quartet micromere divide in approximate synchrony with each other. Between quadrants, however, a novel timing difference now appears. 2d1 and 2d2 always divide a few minutes ahead of the 2ac daughter cells, and 2b1 and 2b2 divide only after another 15–20 minutes. In the 2a, 2b, and 2c lineages, both sister cells divide dexiotropically and very unequally, but with opposite polarities: the upper 2abc1 cells bud off a small cell (2abc11) toward the animal pole, while the lower 2abc2 cells bud off a small cell (2abc22) toward the vegetal pole. In the D quadrant, the division geometry is different [12]. Both cells divide dexiotropically as in the other quadrants. The lower cell 2d2 divides very unequally like 2abc2, but the division of the upper cell produces daughters (2d11 and 2abc12) that are roughly equal in size (not shown, but note nuclei in Figures 10, 11).

The small cells 2abc11 and 2m22 have small, condensed nuclei and display very long cell cycles (>475 min), similar to the turret cells and 1d12 in the first quartet. In contrast to the small 2abc11 cells, 2d11 divides approximately in synchrony with its equal-sized sister (cell cycles 165–175 minutes) (Figure 12). All the other large cells of the second quartet also divide around the same time. The wave of mitoses is consistently begun by 2c12. All of these divisions are equal and approximately longitudinal. As their precursors did in the last round of divisions, the 2b12 and 2b21 cells both lag behind their counterparts in other quadrants, this time by 15–40 minutes (Figure 13). After this series of divisions is complete the 2a, 2b, and 2c clones each number six cells, and 2d has formed seven. The arrangement of 2abc derivatives has at this stage become bilaterally symmetric. This is due to a second deviation of sister cell positioning from the rule of spiral cleavage: the upper division products in the A and C quadrants are all shifted ventrally with respect to their sisters, while in the B quadrant the upper cells are displaced to each side (Figures 12, 13, 15, 16, 20). In the D clone, the six latest-born nuclei form a unique pattern consisting of three laeotropically skewed columns; as development proceeds, the lower-left nucleus in this array (2d122) tends to be displaced away from its sister toward the vegetal pole (Figures 14, 16–21).
Third quartet (Figure 6)

The third quartet micromeres are formed by the dexiotropic division of the 2 M macromeres. The 3d cell is always the first to form (315–330 minutes after first cleavage), and the others follow within five minutes. The third quartet micromeres are situated interradially, nestled between the 2m clones; thus, the quartet consists of two dorsolateral cells (3cd) and two ventrolateral cells (3ab) (Figures 8, 9). A distinct bilateral symmetry is immediately observed in the third quartet’s collective division pattern [12], and no rotational symmetry about the egg axis is ever apparent. The first round of divisions is strikingly asynchronous, led by the simultaneous division of the dorsal cells 3c and 3d (480–500 minutes after first cleavage) (Figure 10). These divisions are longitudinal and very unequal, budding off small vegetal daughter cells (3cd2) with very small, condensed nuclei and long (>450 min) cell cycles.

Approximately an hour after this division, the ventral cells 3a and 3b divide in near synchrony with each other (Figure 11). These divisions are also longitudinal, but equal (Figure 12). The 3cd1 cells are next to divide, beginning with 3c1 (635–650 minutes after first cleavage) and followed 5–15 minutes later by 3d1 (Figures 12, 13). Each cell divides transversely and equally. This results in the formation of two bilateral pairs of cells: the more dorsal (medial) cells are called 3cd11 and their ventral (lateral) sisters are 3cd12 (Figures 14, 15).

3cd11 and 3cd12 divide again about 3.5 hours later, before any other divisions in the third quartet have occurred. All four cells divide in the manner of 3cd, the lower daughter cells again being very small (Figures 19–21). The subsequent division timing of 3d11 and the 3cd12 pair was not accurately determined, but all divisions were completed within the next 50 minutes.

The daughters of 3ab finally begin to divide around 890 minutes after first cleavage, nearly six hours after they were formed (Figure 19). In the few cases examined, the upper cells were seen to divide first. The division is transverse and equal in all four cells.

Fourth quartet/Mesentoblast 4d (Figure 7)

The 3D cell divides asymmetrically about 430 minutes after first cleavage, producing the large mesentoblast cell 4d and the very large vegetal cell 4D. The 4d cell is budded laeotropically toward the micromere cap, and occupies a radial position in line with the middle of the 2d clone (Figure 9). The 4abc cells are formed much later (635–675 minutes after first cleavage) in roughly transverse laeotropic divisions (Figures 15, 16). Neither the 4abc cells nor any of the remaining macromeres were ever seen to divide again (cell cycles>300 min). The 4d clone, by contrast, proliferates much faster than any other lineage during the next eight hours of development.

The division of 4d is equal and transverse, forming left and right daughter cells 4dL and 4dR (Figure 10). This division occurs around 490–510 minutes after first cleavage. Both left and right cells divide again in close synchrony 615–630 minutes after first cleavage (Figure 12). Both divisions are unequal [12], the lower cells 4dL2 having much smaller nuclei than the upper cells 4dL1 (Figure 13). Similar to the small, mitotically quiescent ectodermal cells with condensed nuclei, the lower cells were never seen to divide (cell cycle length>320 minutes). According to Conklin these small cells (termed E1 and E2) are the precursors of the hindgut in Crepidula [6].

| 8 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 24 | 27 - 65 cells | 67 | 71 | 72 - 84 + |
|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|----|----|-----------|
| 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8           | 9  | 10 | 11        |
| 12 | 300| 400| 500| 600| 700         | 800| 900| 1000      |

Figure 7. Cell lineage table of the fourth micromere quartet.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g007

Cell Lineage of Ilyanassa
The upper cells, representing the putative mesoblast (Me1 and Me2 in Crepidoidea) divide again after only about an hour (675–700 minutes after first cleavage). These divisions are synchronous and oriented at bilaterally symmetric angles to the egg axis. The more ‘ventral’ (or ‘anterior’) daughter cells 4dLR11 have somewhat smaller nuclei than their sisters 4dLR12 (Figure 15).

Both pairs of 4dLR1 daughter cells divide again very shortly (Figures 17–19). In most cases the posterior pair (4dLR12) was seen to have completely divided before the onset of mitosis in the anterior pair. The reverse was seen in a few cases; in every case division synchrony was closer within than between bilateral cell pairs. The 4dLR12 pair divide along axes that are again roughly parallel to the median plane, though some variation is seen. A slight left–right asynchrony was consistently seen, as the right-sided 4dLR12 generally had completed its division while its neighbor was in anaphase. Both 4dLR12 and 4dLR1 give rise to a more anterior cell with a larger nucleus (cell 4dLR121) and a more posterior cell with a much smaller nucleus (cell 4dLR122). The timing of these divisions ranged from 740 to 810 minutes after first cleavage. The anterior pair 4dLR11 always appeared to divide equally, along oblique axes that were roughly perpendicular to those of the previous division.

Finally, the large anterior nuclei (4dLR11) produced by the last anteroposterior division divide again within the next 1.5 hour (Figures 20, 21). Once again, the anterior daughter cells 4dLR112 have much larger nuclei than their sisters. The 4dLR111 cells have previously been reported to undergo two more stem-cell-like divisions over the next fourteen hours, budding two more pairs of small, slow-dividing cells toward the midline; in the meantime, the 4dLR112 lineages likewise give rise to three cells each [24].

Discussion

I. Overview of developmental stages

The cell lineage tables presented here show the timing of all divisions from the four-cell to the 84-cell stage. Proliferation rates...
are heterogeneous among micromere lineages but tend to decrease over the first three or four cell cycles; thus, total cell number increases almost linearly, with irregular fits and starts (Figure 22). The 28-cell stage is marked by the birth of 4d [12], about seven hours after first cleavage. Over the next five hours, 37 more divisions occur in such close succession that few persistent stages can be defined in terms of total cell number. Divisions during this five-hour period include only the 1d1 cell and the 1m11 tier of the first quartet. In the second quartet, two rounds of division during this period bring the number of 2m derivatives to 25. The second round of 2m divisions (division of 2d11, 2m12, 2m21) is spread over an hour or more, an interval that also includes division of the bilateral 3cd1 and 4d1R pairs, as well as the 3ABC macromeres. Finally, division of the 4d1R1 pair brings the embryo to 65 cells. Two more rounds of division in the 4d lineage then mark well-defined 67-cell and 71-cell stages, collectively spanning a three-hour period during which the fifty-four ectoblast cells do not divide. The next cells to enter mitosis are the four 3cd1 derivatives and the two 4d1R1 cells. Shortly thereafter, division of 3ab1, 3ab2, and 1ab12 bring the total cell count to 84. The latest dividing cells among this group were consistently 3ab2. Occasionally one of the 1m2 cells and/or 1d12 was found to have divided several hours earlier than usual (Figures 14–16, 18), but daughter cells of 2abc11, 2m22, or 3cd2 were never observed.

One might expect that due to metabolic noise, cell division timing should become progressively less predictable as development proceeds; such time-dependent decorrelation has indeed been noted in an early study of spiralian cell lineage [37]. Even at the latest stages examined in this study, however, the timing of at least some cell divisions among embryos within a brood was highly predictable. For example, between 855 and 905 minutes after first cleavage, only two embryos with an interphase 1abc122 nucleus

II. Reproducibility of cleavage pattern

Up to the 84-cell stage, spatial relationships of nuclei are reproducible enough that the trained eye may identify each cell unequivocally in almost any embryo. Recognition of cell patterns is greatly facilitated by a set of highly unequal divisions whose smaller daughter cells (1m2, 1d12, 2abc11, 2d22, and 3cd2) undergo prolonged cell cycle arrest and have small, condensed nuclei. Occasionally one of the 1m2 cells and/or 1d12 was found to have divided several hours earlier than usual (Figures 14–16, 18), but daughter cells of 2abc11, 2m22, or 3cd2 were never observed.

One might expect that due to metabolic noise, cell division timing should become progressively less predictable as development proceeds; such time-dependent decorrelation has indeed been noted in an early study of spiralian cell lineage [37]. Even at the latest stages examined in this study, however, the timing of at least some cell divisions among embryos within a brood was highly predictable. For example, between 855 and 905 minutes after first cleavage, only two embryos with an interphase 1abc122 nucleus
Figure 10. 41-cell embryo, 510 minutes. The 1abc$^{12}$ cells are at different stages of mitosis, and the 3a and 3b cells are respectively in prometaphase and prophase. The 4d cell has just divided.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g010

Figure 11. 45-cell embryo, 540 minutes. The 1abc$^{12}$ cells have finished dividing. Note the bilaterally symmetric arrangement of 1ac$^{12}$ daughter cells. The 1abc$^{11}$ cells are in slightly asynchronous mitoses. 3a has divided, and 3b is in metaphase.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g011
**Figure 12. 54-cell embryo, 635 minutes.** 2c_{12} and 2c_{21} have just divided; the corresponding cells in the 2d lineage are in telophase, and those in the 2a lineage are between metaphase and anaphase. 2b_{12} is in prometaphase, and 2b_{21} has not entered mitosis. Mitoses are beginning in the 3ABC cells, while the left and right daughters of 4d have just divided. The 3c_{1} cell is in metaphase. The outline of the 4D cell is not shown in this drawing. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g012

**Figure 13. 59-cell embryo, 635 minutes.** Both 3c_{1} and 3d_{1} are in mitosis; the granddaughters of 2acd have completed their divisions, while 2b_{12} is just completing its division and 2b_{21} is still in interphase. The daughters of 4d_{1} have reformed interphase nuclei, showing the same pattern of asymmetric division on both sides. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g013
Figure 14. 67-cell embryo, 670 minutes. The 4dLR1 cells have just divided; the posterior daughters have irregularly shaped nuclei. With the onset of epiboly, the ectoblast has become hemispherical, as reflected by the foreshortening of the 2a and 2c derivatives in this slightly dorsal view. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g014

Figure 15. 67-cell embryo, 730 minutes. This animal pole view shows the characteristic arrangement of second-quartet nuclei. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g015
Figure 16. 67-cell embryo, 760 min. Atypically, this embryo exhibits daughter nuclei of both 1b^{12} and 1d^{12}.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g016

Figure 17. 71-cell embryo; 800 minutes. Dorsal view. The 3c^{11} cell is in anaphase; two pairs of 4d derivatives have divided during the preceding hour.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g017
Figure 18. 70-cell embryo; 811 minutes. Dorsal view, showing extent of epiboly. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g018

Figure 19. 80-cell embryo; 890 minutes. The 1abc122 cells have just divided. Both pairs of upper cells in the 3cd lineages have completed their unequal, equatorial divisions; the upper cells of the 3ab lineages have just divided meridionally, and 3a2 is in metaphase. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g019
Figure 20. 84-cell embryo, 955 minutes. The 4dLR121 cells have just divided (4dL121 daughters are still in telophase). This embryo is also shown in Figure 24, with nuclei color-coded according to position on the secondary axis. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g020

Figure 21. 84-cell embryo, 955 minutes. This dorsal view shows how second and third quartet clones are increasingly extended along the animal-vegetal axis. The 2dL12 cell is dividing. The asymmetry of both 4dLR121 is apparent, and the large 4dLR1211 nucleus is irregularly shaped. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g021
occurred among nine samples (three embryos per sample) that also included the respective cell’s postmitotic daughters. Such persistent correlation of division timing among distantly related cells might reflect intercellular coupling of cell cycle progression. Note that this analysis excluded individuals deviating from within-brood synchrony at the earliest stages (see Material and Methods).

Figure 22. Cell numbers charted over time. Cell numbers increase more or less linearly over time in the whole embryo and in the ectoblast. Micromere births are noted at top. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g022

It is noted that the overall rate of development observed in this study (which was consistent over a period of over three years) significantly exceeded that reported in a previous study of meiosis and early cleavage [32]. The cause of this discrepancy is unexplained, but might reflect differences among wild snail populations. In general, timing variation between broods from a given population is expected to be much greater than within a single brood, even at early stages. This is suggested by prior observations of between-brood variation in the timing of 3D signaling [16,38].

III. Between-tier differentiation of cell cycle rates: preliminary comparisons within Caenogastropoda

To begin understanding the evolution of embryonic cell lineage in Ilyanassa, some elements of cell division pattern can be compared with related taxa. Ilyanassa belongs to the enormously successful superorder Caenogastropoda, whose monophyly is robustly supported [39]. Cleavage has been described to a comparable extent in two other caenogastropod genera, Bithynia [27], and Crepidula [6,11,26]. Although the relationship of these two genera and Ilyanassa is not yet well resolved by molecular phylogenetic methods [39], a comparison of cell lineage parameters is nonetheless illuminating.

One aspect of cell lineage that can be meaningfully compared between spiralian taxa is the relative timing of mitosis between cell tiers [40]. In gastropod species in which cleavages have been timed, all of the cells AB/CD, M, 1M exhibit a more or less uniform cell cycle length characteristic of the species. By normalizing to the common cell cycle length of these early macromeres, tier-specific cell cycles can be reasonably compared between taxa. Comparing the early cell cycles of Ilyanassa, Bithynia, and Crepidula shows that each genus has a unique pattern of tier-specific cell cycle lengths (Figure 23A). Ilyanassa exhibits the most complex pattern, with neither a single abrupt transition to longer cell cycles as in Bithynia, nor gradually increasing cell cycle lengths as in Crepidula. Extending the comparison of normalized cell cycle lengths between Ilyanassa and Bithynia suggests several generalities (Figure 23B). In each genus, some ectoblast tiers divide faster and others slower. Sister-cell pairs tend to exhibit concordantly shorter or longer cell cycles in a given genus (4/4 instances). In both genera, cell cycle length increases progressively in the second-quartet clones. Two first-quartet tiers (1m2 and 1m122) have extremely long cell cycles in Ilyanassa but not in Bithynia. Ignoring these two outliers, the mean normalized cell cycle length is very similar in the two genera (1.8 and 1.9, where the cell cycle length in AB/CD, M, 1M is defined as 1).

Relative proliferation rates of the three micromere quartets can be further compared by using cell numbers in the whole embryo as a yardstick (Figure 23C). The only conspicuous difference among the three caenogastropod genera is a relatively high growth rate of the first quartet in Bithynia. This disparity, which largely reflects the unusually short cell cycles of 1m2 and 1m122 in Bithynia, becomes increasingly pronounced up to the latest stages examined; if it persists through later development, it might lead to heterochrony in organogenesis, akin to that recently described among basommatophoran pulmonate snails [41].

IV. Within-tier cell cycle differentiation: earlier departures from radial symmetry in Ilyanassa compared to other caenogastropods

Soon after signaling from 3D/4d, the form and timing of cell division begins to differentiate within most ectoblast tiers. Cells within a tier can be considered serially homologous, owing to their synchronous and topologically equivalent origins. The homology of cells within each tier is further supported by the tier-specific localization of numerous, randomly selected RNA species (by contrast, no quadrant-specific RNAs have yet been found) [5]. In the absence of D quadrant specification, the four cells of each tier divide with identical timing and geometry [12]. Within-tier differences in developmental potential and early mitotic behavior are evidently imposed on a homogeneous ground state by two sources. First, the polar lobe exclusively distinguishes the D
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lineage, and could in principle contribute unique information to the D lineage cell in each tier. Intrinsic control of D quadrant micromere development has been shown only for the 1d cell (12,21) (see section VII). Second, dorsal and lateral cells (generally in the C and D quadrants, and in some tiers also the A quadrant) are influenced by signaling from 3D/4d (12,21). Thus, both lineage and position contribute to within-tier differentiation; both mechanisms are expected to generate differences correlated more or less exactly with cell position along the secondary axis. As described below, most within-tier differences in cell division pattern up to the 80+ cell stage are indeed correlated with dorsoventral cell position.

Cell positions within a tier are remarkably well defined in relation to the secondary axis. During the period when this axis is inductively patterned by the 3D macromere and/or its daughter 4d, the 1m1 and 2m cells occupy radial positions (dorsal, lateral, ventral) while the 1m2 and 3m cells occupy interradial positions (dorsolateral, ventrolateral) [Figure 24A; see also Figure 3B and Figure 8]. These approximate geometric relationships between clones persist throughout the period of development studied here (with the secondary axis defined by the center of the 4d clone) [Figure 24B]. Fate maps of Ilyanassa, Crepidula, and their distant relative Patella corroborate these assignments of relative axial position, at least within the bilaterally symmetric regions of the larval snail (head, velum, mouth, foot) [8-11]. Further divisions of the 1m1 cells generate both radially distributed tiers (1m11; 1m12) and the interradial 1m12 tier [Figure 24C-F]. While the fates of these sublineages have not been mapped by intracellular dye tracing, cell lineage analysis in Crepidula has shown them to make predictable contributions to larval and adult ectoderm [6], and their initial and ultimate axial dispositions are conserved outside of caenogastropods [7].

Within-tier division asynchronies in Ilyanassa, Crepidula, and Bithynia are shown in Figure 25. Such division asynchrony is most prevalent in Ilyanassa, and least prevalent in Bithynia. After the birth of 3D, thirteen of fourteen examined cell tiers divide asynchronously in Ilyanassa. Only six of these tiers are reported to divide asynchronously in the same order in Crepidula. In each of these six cases, the magnitude of division asynchrony is greater in Ilyanassa, and no tier divides with a more pronounced asymmetry in Crepidula. In three cases (2m11, 2m21, 3m2) within-tier asynchronies occur with different order between Ilyanassa and Crepidula.

While most within-tier cell cycle diversification is correlated with cell position along the secondary axis, division asynchrony may also occur between left- and right-sided cells within a tier. Four subtle but stereotyped bilateral division asynchronies were found in Ilyanassa which have never been reported in other gastropod species. Curiously, the right-sided cell divides first in each of these cases. First, the right-dorsal 1c15 cell has a shorter cell cycle than its left-sided counterpart 1d11. Second, the right-sided 2c12 cell, though formed at about the same time as the 2a12 and 2a21 cells on the left, predictably leads the third round of second-quartet divisions. This asynchrony presages a quantitative difference in the morphogenetic contributions of 2c and 2a: the right-sided trunk ectoderm, formed by 2c, exhibits a higher growth rate during late gastrulation and organogenesis [42]; correspondingly, 2c forms a larger region of the mantle edge than 2a [10], and shell development can be severely disrupted by ablation either 2c or 2d, but not 2a or 2b [19]. Finally, two other right-hand cells in Ilyanassa (4dR12 and 3c11) were also found to divide precociously, suggesting a general right-sided growth advantage in multiple lineages. While none of these bilateral asynchronies have been reported in other gastropods, it is noted that the right-sided and dorsal 2cd2 cells in Bithynia divide before the left-sided and ventral 2ab2 (this division has not been described in Ilyanassa or Crepidula). Preferential right-sided growth and organogenesis is widespread and likely primitive among gastropods [43], suggesting that Ilyanassa has evolved an earlier onset of differential growth along the left-right axis as well as along the secondary axis.

V. Within-tier differentiation in cell division asymmetry: bigger differences in Ilyanassa

The geometry of cell division as well as its timing can vary predictably within cell tiers. Three tiers in Ilyanassa were found to exhibit quantitative within-tier differences in the geometric asymmetry of cell division; in each case the difference is precisely correlated with cell position along the secondary axis. Each of these differences is reduced or absent in Crepidula, Bithynia, or both. One case involves the dexiotropic division of the 1m1 cells. In Ilyanassa, the 1d1 cell divides with an asymmetry that is reversed with respect to the asymmetry of the 1abc2 divisions. In Crepidula, the 1abc2 cells divide as in Ilyanassa; however, the asymmetry of the 1d1 division is not reversed but is merely reduced compared to 1abc2 [26]. No difference in division geometry within the 1m1 tier was noted in Bithynia.

A second within-tier difference in division asymmetry occurs in Ilyanassa when 2d1 divides equally and the 2abc2 cells all divide very unequally. In Crepidula and Bithynia this differentiation is present in a reduced (or rudimentary) form: the 2abc2 cells divide as in Ilyanassa, while 2d1 divides with a slight asymmetry. In all three genera, cell cycle lengths of the 2m11 and 2m12 daughter cells are inversely correlated with inherited cell volume; thus, the 2d1 cell inherits a growth advantage which is greatest in Ilyanassa. Reduced asymmetry of the 2d1 division relative to 2abc2 may be an ancestral condition, as it is also reported in basal gastropods [30,44] as well as in two other molluscan classes [45,46]; however, all four 2m1 cells divide with the same extreme asymmetry in some other gastropod taxa [7,47,48], as well as in polyplacophorans [49,50]. Ilyanassa is the only mollusk in which 2d1 is reported to divide equally.

Finally, in Ilyanassa the 3cd cells undergo highly asymmetric divisions while the 3ab cells divide equally; in Crepidula, by contrast, all four cells divide with the same slight asymmetry. Bithynia displays a third pattern: 3cd bud off small cells toward the vegetal pole, while 3ab bud small cells toward the animal pole. Both the Ilyanassa and Bithynia patterns have been reported in non-caenogastropod snails [7,30,44,47,48]; the Crepidula pattern is therefore most likely derived from one of the two others.

VI. Dorsoventral organization of cell division axes: breaking the golden rule of spiral cleavage

Another aspect of division geometry is the orientation of division axes relative to the embryo’s spatial coordinates. At early stages,
Figure 24. Disposition of micromere sublineages along the secondary axis. (A) 35-cell embryo with nuclei color-coded by position along the secondary axis (indicated with an arrow through the dorsal 4d nucleus and the center of the mid-ventrally fated 2b clone). This stage of development very shortly follows the complete transmission of an ectoblast patterning signal from the 3D/4d cells. (B) Schematic showing ontogeny of ectoblast cell distributions relative to the secondary axis. Upper panels show a slightly earlier stage than (A), when ectoblast patterning is initiated by the 3D macromere (shown realistically in Figure 3B; see also Figure 8). A blow-up of first-quartet cells is shown at right. Subsequent distributions of first-quartet derivatives along the secondary axis are shown in the middle panel (corresponding to embryo in (A) and the lower panel (corresponding to embryo in (C); the coefficient ‘1’ is omitted for 1m\textsuperscript{11} daughters. (C) 84-cell embryo with nuclei color-coded in relation to the secondary axis (arrow). Note that second- and third-quartet clones wholly retain the axial dispositions of their progenitors, as do the 1m\textsuperscript{12} clones; these dispositions accurately predict clonal fates in *Ilyanassa* and other gastropod species (see text).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g024
oblique division axes generate a chiral, rotationally symmetric pattern; later, division axes within many ectoblast tiers show a collective bilateral symmetry and dorsoventral asymmetry [6,7]. In general, dorsoventral organization of cell division axes seems to be more prevalent in Ilyanassa than in Crepidula. For example, the collective division pattern of the 1abc12 group exhibits a dorsoventral asymmetry in Ilyanassa: although the spatial relationships of daughter nuclei vary considerably, both of the lower daughter nuclei (1ac122) are shifted ventrally in most cases (Figure 26). In Crepidula, the 1abc12 cells all divide dexiotropically (surprisingly, as their mothers do too) [6,26]. In Bithynia the 1m12 cells are all reported to divide longitudinally.

Figure 25. Within-tier cell cycle differentiation in three caenogastropods. Instances of mitotic asynchrony are charted for each tier that exhibits within-tier asynchrony in at least one of the three compared genera. The magnitude of asynchrony is indicated as in the following examples: [AB>CD]: AB enters mitosis after the daughters of CD have entered mitosis. (AB=CD): AB enters mitosis after division of CD, but before (or simultaneously with) the first division of a CD daughter. (AB<CD): AB enters mitosis shortly after mitosis of CD. Bold type indicates cases in which a longer cell cycle is clearly correlated with lower cytoplasmic volume (the inverse of this relationship was never observed within a tier). Gray shaded boxes indicate division orders that occur uniquely in one genus. Tier diagrams represent division order by gray values of cartoon nuclei; axial dispositions of cell fates are shown and color-coded as in Figure 24B. * Conklin (1897) makes no mention of asynchrony in the 1m tier, and indeed shows these cells dividing in approximate synchrony in one embryo; however, he states in a subsequent paper (1902) that 1d predictably divides later than 1abc. ** Conklin (1897) shows a slight asynchrony within the 2m1 and 2m2 tiers in his Figures 26–28, and within the 1abc122 group in his Figure 49, but does not mention any predictable asynchrony for either case.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005506.g025

VII. From fuzzy to rigorous during ontogeny and phylogeny: how early differentiation precedes, then preempts, cell fate decisions

The spatiotemporal geometry of cleavage in mollusks clearly has the potential to contain a great deal of information, but for the most part we do not know its developmental significance. In one instance, however, recent experimental studies in Ilyanassa and Crepidula have revealed an evolutionarily labile coupling between early differentiation and fate specification. Intriguingly, they suggest a stepwise evolutionary pathway in which accelerated phenotypic differentiation has functionally supplanted a primitive signal in regional specification.
In all examined gastropods, and in two other molluscan classes, part or all of the 1d12 clone enters a persistent cell cycle arrest while corresponding cells of the 1abc12 lineages proliferate to form definitive head structures [6,7,22,27,45,47,49,53]. In both *Ilyanassa* and *Crepidula*, this arrest is anticipated by mitotic delays in the 1d, 1d1, and 1d12 cells [6,12,26] (Figure 25). In both genera, the 1d cell is born smaller than 1abc, indicating an intrinsically determined difference [12,26]. Experiments on *Ilyanassa* have shown that the limited size and division rate of 1d depend on polar lobe segregation to the D cell [12]; the polar lobe also prevents 1d from forming an eye [21], evidently by limiting its inherited cytoplasmic volume [35].

Does early differentiation of 1d size and cell cycle length play any role in determining 1d fate in *Crepidula*? As in *Ilyanassa*, the mother cell of 1d inherits a polar lobe at second cleavage in *Crepidula*. By contrast to *Ilyanassa*, however, removal of the polar lobe in *Crepidula* does not appear to endow 1d with eye-forming potential, indicating that a polar lobe-independent mechanism must restrict 1d potential [15]. A cell-extrinsic mechanism of 1d fate restriction undoubtedly operates in more basal gastropods, where the D cell inherits neither a polar lobe nor any other determinant of developmental potential at second cleavage [52]. This ancestral mechanism of 1d fate restriction, which likely operates also in *Crepidula*, appears to have been completely replaced by an earlier, cell-intrinsic mechanism in *Ilyanassa* [21].

Specification of 1d is necessarily tied to that of the dorsal signaling cell 3D; the mechanism of this linkage, however, appears to have changed. In *Ilyanassa*, the early differentiation and fate restriction of 1d is preceded by determination of the D quadrant founder cell as precursor to 3D [12,21,51]. Although the polar lobe in *Crepidula* is likewise consistently inherited by the D cell, 3D identity is not specified until the 24-cell stage, as a result of inductive signaling from first-quartet micromeres to one of the 3M macromeres; this inductive mechanism, like the extrinsic specification of 1d, is primitive [50,52]. The polar lobe in *Crepidula* evidently acts just to bias 3D-inductive signaling to one macromere [15]. The mechanism of this bias is unknown. The early differential behavior of the 1d lineage suggests that 1d itself could be involved in biasing 3D induction. Supporting this notion, selection of one macromere as 3D in the pulmonate *Lymnaea* and the basal gastropod *Patella* depends on the presence of a first-quartet micromere belonging to the same quadrant [55].

Our knowledge of the D lineage in *Crepidula* suggests a fuzzy-logical mode of lineage specification in which phenotypic divergence precedes a definitive cell fate decision. In theory, such an early differentiation event may itself act as a cue to bias cell fate; alternatively, it may depend incidentally on a preceding cue that acts in parallel to bias cell fate. In particular, further study is needed to find out whether an intrinsic quality of 1d biases 3D identity in *Crepidula*. Regardless of its primitive developmental role,
VIII. Overview and Prospects

Previous embryological studies of mollusks and other spiralian species have shown wide variation in the complexity of cleavage patterns. Some taxa, including representatives of two of the most basal gastropod clades, display almost no within-tier differentiation up to the latest stages examined (80+ cells) [29,46,47]. By contrast, a number of others (including scaphopod and some bivalve mollusks, as well as many annelids) deviate from rotational symmetry to a much greater degree than Ilyanassa [43,44,55,56]; at the furthest extreme, the stereotyped cleavage patterns of cephalopods display no trace of spiral geometry [57]. In general, complex patterns of early lineage-specific differentiation seem to have evolved at the bases of several higher-level spiralian clades [50], and transitional states are largely unknown.

The variation documented here among caenogastropods suggests that it may be possible in some cases to reconstruct the evolution of complex early cell division patterns. By focusing on a quantitative aspect of developmental behavior among serially homologous cells (i.e., cell cycle length within a tier), one can detect small evolutionary changes in the behavior of single cells; as shown in the case of Ilyanassa, many such small changes may summate along body axes to suggest a global evolutionary trend. Extending this analysis in the context of a robust, independent (i.e., molecular) phylogeny may shed light on the evolutionary dynamics of early developmental complexity.
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