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Abstract

Multiwavelength ultraviolet (UV) observations by the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS; De Pontieu et al. 2014) satellite revealed a plethora of small-scale energy release episodes occurring in the low atmosphere and having an impact on the upper chromosphere and transition region (TR). This atmospheric layer represents the chromosphere–corona transition, with a steep gradient of temperature that increases from chromospheric values (≈10^4 K) up to coronal values of 1–2 MK in about 2000 km.

Among these energetic phenomena, Peter et al. (2014) pointed out the presence of IRIS bombs, that is, intense, small-scale, short-lived brightenings seen in ultraviolet (UV) images. The properties of these transient events, also called UV bursts, have been recently inventorized by Young et al. (2018), taking advantage of a number of observational studies that focused on this subject (e.g., Peter et al. 2014; Gupta & Tripathi 2015; Kim et al. 2015; Vissers et al. 2015; Grubecka et al. 2016; Tian et al. 2016, 2018; Chitta et al. 2017; Hong et al. 2017; Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2017; Zhao et al. 2017). UV bursts appear as compact bright trains that exhibit a factor of 100–1000 increase in intensity in UV lines and often show strong line broadening indicating plasma flow ejections of ≈200 km s⁻¹. They occur on spatial scales of ≈500–1000 km and are observed for a short time (∼5 minutes), although a larger duration has also been reported: in this case UV bursts appear as a sequence of intermittent, repetitive flarings. Most of them are associated with small-scale, canceling opposite-polarity magnetic flux patches in the photosphere, such as those observed in emerging flux regions (EFRs) embedded in magnetized environments, and share some resemblance to similar phenomena observed at optical wavelengths, like Ellerman bombs (Vissers et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2016; Libbrecht et al. 2017; Nelson et al. 2017; Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2017). They probably arise as a consequence of small-scale magnetic reconnection occurring in the low atmosphere, at photospheric and/or chromospheric heights. Remarkably, observations of coronal signatures of these events are rare.

The idea that reconnection may occur when an EFR interacts with the preexisting ambient field, releasing enough energy to heat the solar atmosphere and drive high-temperature plasma flows, was proposed by Heyvaerts et al. (1977) and developed by Shibata et al. (1989) and Yokoyama & Shibata (1995, 1996). It was confirmed by a long series of observational studies and numerical simulations (see, e.g., Guglielmino 2012; Cheung & Isobe 2014, and references therein). Indeed, detailed observations of small-scale EFRs and of their chromospheric and coronal response, carried out in recent years with increasing spatial resolution, have reported a similar scenario (e.g., Guglielmino et al. 2008, 2010; Vargas Domínguez et al. 2012; Ortiz et al. 2014; de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. 2015; Centeno et al. 2017). These studies show that regions characterized by small-scale transient brightenings and jet-like ejections all exhibit the presence of opposite magnetic polarities that come into contact with and/or cancel each other at the photospheric level (see also Shimizu 2015). Simulations
indicate that the relative orientation between the two interacting flux systems rules the dynamics and the energetics of the process (e.g., Galsgaard et al. 2005, 2007), while the preexisting field acts as a guide for plasma ejections (e.g., Moreno-Insertis et al. 2008; MacTaggart et al. 2015). However, in observations at very high resolution there is still a lack of connectivity between all the layers of the solar atmosphere, from the photosphere to the corona. In this perspective, recent studies have benefited from the IRIS capabilities in order to have simultaneous multiwavelength observations during flux emergence episodes in the TR as well (Vargas Domínguez et al. 2014; Jiang et al. 2015; Ortiz et al. 2016; Toriumi et al. 2017; Tian et al. 2018).

In the previous paper of this series (Guglielmino et al. 2018, hereafter Paper I), we have described the overall evolution in the solar atmosphere of a flux emergence episode occurring within the plage of active region (AR) NOAA 12529. Analyzing simultaneous observations by the IRIS, Hinode, and Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012) satellites during the emergence phase of the EFR, we have determined its photospheric configuration until its full development, together with its chromospheric and coronal response.

Using multiwavelength observations in the UV and EUV, we have identified recurrent intense brightenings in the EFR site, which have been recognized as UV bursts, with counterparts in all EUV passbands. These are localized near the contact region between the negative emerging flux and the positive ambient field in the photosphere. In addition, plasma ejections at chromospheric and coronal levels have been found close to the observed brightness enhancements. The analysis of the IRIS line profiles has suggested that heating of dense plasma occurs in the low solar atmosphere. Simultaneously, ejections of bidirectional flows with speed up to \( \sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) are observed. We have compared these observational signatures with realistic 2.5 MHD numerical simulations of flux emergence that show similar features (Nóbrega-Siverio et al. 2016, 2017). This comparison, which has been carried out in Paper I, has pointed out that intensity enhancements and plasma ejections are triggered by several long-lasting, small-scale magnetic reconnection episodes, which lead to flux cancellation at the photospheric level. In order to explain the observed coronal counterparts, it has also been proposed that magnetic reconnection takes place higher in the atmosphere than usually found in UV bursts.

Here we investigate the dynamics related to the chromospheric filament system (AFS; e.g., Bruzek 1980) overlying the EFR. Moreover, we focus on the analysis of UV emission during the IRIS observations, performing a statistical analysis of the profiles relevant to the UV bursts and providing evidence of a pure coronal counterpart of these brightenings. The layout of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the observations. In Section 3 we analyze the evolution of the UV spectra in the EFR, characterizing their properties. We discuss the implications of our findings in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 contains a summary and our conclusions.

### 2. Observations

AR NOAA 12529 appeared on the solar disk during 2016 April, being characterized by a \( \beta \)-type magnetic configuration (see Figure 1). It passed across the central solar meridian between April 13 and 14, when it was located at heliocentric angle \( \mu \approx 0.96 \) (Guglielmino et al. 2017, 2019). An EFR was observed emerging at that time, being embedded in the plage field of the following polarity of the AR (see the solid box in Figure 1).

Three different data sets were acquired by the IRIS satellite during the EFR evolution. The one relevant to our study is an observing sequence acquired between 22:34:43 UT on April 13 and 01:55:29 UT on April 14. The sequence consists of six large, dense 64-step raster scans (OBS3610113456). UV spectra were acquired in seven spectral ranges, including \( \text{C} \text{II} \lambda \lambda 1334.5 \) and 1335.7, \( \text{Si IV} \lambda \lambda 1394 \) and 1402, Mg II \( \lambda \lambda 2796.3 \) and \( h \lambda 2803.5 \) lines, and the faint lines around the chromospheric O I \( \lambda 1355.6 \) line. This spectral interval comprises resonance lines from ions such as the forbidden Fe XII \( \lambda 1349.4 \) line and the very hot Fe XXI \( \lambda 1354 \) line, both relevant to the corona. The exposure time was initially 30 s, and then on exposure 10 in the second raster the automatic exposure control reduced it to 9 s for the near-UV channel and to 18 s for the far-UV channel. These relatively long exposure times ensured a good signal-to-noise ratio for faint lines as well. The sequence had a 0''33 step size and a 31.5 s step cadence, with a pixel size of 0''35 along the y-direction (spatial...
binned data). The raster cadence was about 33 minutes. The field of view (FOV) covered by each scan was 22′′.2 × 128″/4, as indicated in Figure 1 (dashed box). Simultaneously, slit-jaw images (SJIs) were acquired in the 1400 and 2796 Å passbands, relevant to the SDO/AIA EUV 193 Å channel and for the SDO/AIA EUV 193 Å channel are also plotted (colored lines, according to the legend). Note the offsets of the light curves along the y-direction, to enhance their visibility. The gray shaded area indicates the time interval of the IRIS rasters. The beginning and end times of each IRIS raster are indicated with dashed vertical lines.

To determine the context of IRIS observations, we used photospheric observations from the SDO satellite consisting of full-disc continuum filtergrams and line-of-sight (LOS) magnetograms taken by the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI; Scherrer et al. 2012) along the Fe I λ6173 line, with a spatial resolution of 1″ and a cadence of 45 s. Coronal images from the 193 Å filter acquired by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) were also considered in the present work. These EUV data have an image spatial scale of about 0″.6 per pixel and a cadence of 12 s.

The alignment between IRIS and SDO observations was obtained by applying cross-correlation techniques with respect to the cospatial sub-FOV between the SDO/HMI continuum filtergrams and each IRIS scan. We used the integrated radiance in the IRIS 2832 Å band, relevant to the photosphere, where the pores visible in the SDO/HMI continuum were taken as fiducial points, considering the pixel scale of the different instruments, as explained in Paper I. The accuracy of the alignment is ±0″.5, which is comparable to the pixel size of SDO/HMI data.

3. Results

The EFR analyzed in this study was observed within the sub-FOV indicated with solid boxes in Figure 1. The flux history relevant to the EFR is displayed in Figure 2 (solid black line). This represents the amount of negative flux that emerged in the area where the EFR was observed, from 20:00 UT on April 13 until 04:00 UT on April 14, as deduced from SDO/HMI LOS magnetograms (see Paper I). The gray shaded area indicates the time interval of the IRIS sequence, which comprises the emergence phase of the EFR.

In the same graph, we plot the light curve for the SDO/AIA 193 Å channel (blue) in the sub-FOV indicated in Figure 1. This light curve shows some brightness enhancements during the flux emergence process. For comparison, we add the light curves for the IRIS 2796 Å (magenta) and 1400 Å (orange) SJI passbands in the same sub-FOV. These make visible the enhanced emission as found in SJIIs that exhibits a smoother increase in the 2796 Å passband and a more bursty behavior in the 1400 Å passband.

Figure 3 depicts the evolution of the structures seen in the sub-FOV during the six scans performed with the IRIS spectrograph. For IRIS lines, we estimated the radiance by averaging the intensity within ±15 km s⁻¹ with respect to the core of each line (laboratory rest wavelength). Then, we derived the reconstructed maps of the radiance. Note that we subtracted the continuum in deriving the radiance for the weak O I λ1355.6 line.

The O I λ1355.6 radiance maps (Figure 3, first column) image the emission in the middle chromosphere. In this layer, we see the evolution of an AFS forming above the EFR. Brightness enhancements are also visible along the AFS, the most conspicuous one being roughly centered at around X = 15″, Y = 15″ in the third scan (23:58 UT). The Mg II k λ2796.3 and C II λ133.57 maps (Figure 3, second and third columns) clearly show the development of dark, elongated structures that we refer to as “threads” in the upper chromosphere. They depart from above the AFS and can be identified with the surges seen in the IRIS 2796 Å SJIIs (see Figure 8 in Paper I). The surges seen in the third scan (23:58 UT), at the center of the FOV, are particularly developed, as well as the westernmost plasma ejecta observed in the sixth scan (01:38 UT). To the west of these structures, a compact brightening is observed. Such a UV burst has a higher contrast with respect to the background intensity in the C II line. Some other knots with enhanced emission are located at the base of the surges. The radiance maps deduced for the Si IV λλ1394 and 1402 lines (Figure 3, fourth and fifth columns) look very similar, the most evident feature being the UV burst. The fact that the radiance maps of Si IV λλ1402 and 1394 lines are almost identical suggests that the optically thin approximation is valid for the most part. This has been verified using scatter plots of Si IV λ1394 versus Si IV λ1402 intensities reported and discussed in the Appendix (see Figure 9). In the first three scans, the UV burst looks compact and slightly more extended than in the C II λ133.57 radiance maps. From the fourth scan, the area with enhanced emission comprises both the UV burst and the region beneath the surges, given that the radiation in the Si IV lines is not obscured by their presence. In fact, bright knots that are not visible in the IRIS SJI 1400 Å broadband images are clearly detected, some of which are cospatial to the knots noticed in the C II line. This area expands in the following scans. Nevertheless, a region with stronger emission to the west of the FOV is still noticeable.

The cotemporal filtergrams in the SDO/AIA 193 Å channel (Figure 3, sixth column) show in this sub-FOV both the surges as dark threads and an EUV brightening as a counterpart of the UV burst seen by IRIS. In particular, the EUV brightness

![Figure 2. Plot of the flux trend of the negative polarity of the EFR during the observations (solid black line). Light curves in the sub-FOV shown in Figure 1 for the IRIS UV 1400 and 2796 Å SJI passbands and for the SDO/AIA EUV 193 Å channel are also plotted (colored lines, according to the legend). Note the offsets of the light curves along the y-direction, to enhance their visibility. The gray shaded area indicates the time interval of the IRIS rasters. The beginning and end times of each IRIS raster are indicated with dashed vertical lines.](image-url)
Figure 3. Synoptic view of the evolution of the EFR at different atmospheric layers, during the IRIS observing sequence. From left to right, IRIS reconstructed maps of the radiance in the O I $\lambda 1355.6$, Mg II $\lambda \lambda 2796.3$, C II $\lambda 1335.7$, and Si IV $\lambda \lambda 1402$ and 1394 lines are shown. The reference time is that of the halfway raster position of each IRIS scan. Moreover, we display the SDO/AIA filtergrams in the 193 Å passband and the SDO/HMI LOS magnetograms and continuum maps at the closest time to the halfway time of each scan. For comparison, the FOV below the dashed black line in the SDO/HMI maps is the same as shown in the synoptic image shown in Figure 6 of Paper I. Contours overplotted on the SDO/HMI LOS magnetograms refer to 60% (red) and 80% (orange) of the maximum radiance in the Si IV $\lambda 1402$ line, respectively. A logarithmic intensity scaling is used for the IRIS reconstructed maps and SDO/AIA images.
enhancements are always cospatial to the UV burst seen in the C II λ1335.7 radiance maps, with the same extent. Note that the 193 Å filtergrams do not image exactly the same structures seen in the IRIS radiance maps, since the former refer to a single instant of time that is the closest to the halfway time of each IRIS raster. The SDO/AIA 193 burst could be due to cool, log $T[K] \sim 5$ emission that contaminates this coronal channel, as discussed by Winebarger et al. (2013) and Tian et al. (2014). However, we demonstrate below that the Fe XII λ1349 line is detected in the IRIS spectra at the burst site, confirming that there is some coronal emission.

Finally, SDO/HMI LOS magnetograms and continuum filtergrams are displayed (Figure 3, seventh and eighth columns). Again, these refer to the instant of time closest to the halfway time of each IRIS raster. The overplotted contours of Si IV λ1402 radiance essentially indicate that the brightenings seen as UV bursts and EUV enhancements are localized in a region between opposite magnetic polarities at the photospheric levels: the emerging patches with negative polarity of the EFR and preexisting positive flux concentrations belonging to the plage. The largest preexisting concentrations correspond to pores in the continuum maps (see also Paper I).

In Figure 4 we display the reconstructed maps of integrated emission in different wavelength ranges along the line profile for both the chromospheric Mg II λ2796.3 line and the TR Si IV λ1402 line. The radiance map for each line, as derived in the line core for Figure 3, is shown for reference (reference radiance maps, first/fifth column). The other maps image the UV emission in the blue (second/sixth column) and red (third/seventh column) wings of each line. Note that we use ±75 km s$^{-1}$ for the wings of the Mg II λ2796.3 line and ±100 km s$^{-1}$ for the wings of the Si IV λ1402 line. From the composite maps (fourth/eighth column), it is possible to determine whether there are differences in the location of the emitting regions between the wings.

Actually, it is not easy to decipher the radiance maps along the blue and red wings of these lines and the composite maps, because the projection effects along the LOS owing to the geometry of the structures may strongly bias out interpretation. Moreover, it has to be remembered that there is a delay of 30 s between an exposure and the following one along the x-direction. Some observational facts that can be deduced from Figure 4 are described as follows.

1. Several elongated threads are observed in both the blue and red wings of the Mg II λ and Si IV λ1402 lines.
2. Most of these threads take place essentially in the same region occupied by the structures identified as surges in the reference radiance maps.
3. A number of threads appearing in the blue wing of the Mg II λ line have a counterpart in the red wing that is shifted toward the west, along the x-direction. This is more perceivable from the composite maps. Some threads exhibit a similar asymmetry in the Si IV λ1402 line wings as well.
4. Some threads observed in the red wing have no counterpart in the blue wing. For instance, this is the case for the thread seen at 23:24 UT centered at $X = 5''$, $Y = 20''$ and that observed at 01:05 UT centered at $X = 10''$, $Y = 20''$, in both the Mg II λ and Si IV λ1402 lines.
5. Threads seen in the blue wing of both lines generally last longer than their counterpart seen in the red wings. In general, this is also the case for threads observed in the blue or red wing only.
6. Most of the threads seen in the blue wings exhibit a southeast-to-northwest orientation, with their top edge more displaced toward the west direction. Conversely, many threads seen in the red wings show a northeast-to-southwest orientation, with their top edge more displaced toward the east direction.
7. Notably, a couple of prominent threads are seen only in the blue wings. This is the case for the thread seen at 00:31 UT centered at $X = 20''$, $Y = 30''$ (Si IV λ1402 line) and for the more conspicuous one observed at 01:38 UT centered at $X = 15''$, $Y = 20''$, in both the Mg II λ line and, less clearly, the Si IV λ1402 line.

In contrast to what is observed in the threads, Figure 4 indicates that the emission in the UV bursts does not exhibit large differences between the blue and red wings of the Mg II λ and Si IV λ1402 lines. One can notice only a somewhat stronger intensity of the emission in the red wings with respect to that in the blue wings of the lines, whereas the morphology and size of the UV bursts are essentially the same in both wings.

Further information can be obtained from a comparison between Figures 3 and 4. Two compact bright knots are visible in the radiance maps, being centered at X = 5″, Y = 5″ and, since 01:05 UT, also at X = 5″, Y = 10″. The kernels of these sites with enhanced brightness are clearly visible in the lines relevant to the upper chromosphere and TR (Mg II λ, C II λ1335.7, and Si IV lines) and appear to have the same aspect and size in both wings of the Mg II λ and Si IV λ1402 lines. Threads with a smaller size with respect to those previously discussed are seen departing from these knots, especially in the blue wings of the lines. These threads are indeed cospatial to small surge-like ejections visible in the Mg II λ and C II λ1335.7 radiance maps. Comparing the locations of the bright knots to the SDO/HMI LOS magnetograms, they appear to be cospatial to opposite magnetic polarity flux patches at the photospheric level. In particular, the negative flux concentrations appear to belong to the elongated, serpentine field of the EFR.

With regard to the UV bursts, we investigated some properties of their UV emission. The Si IV line profiles show a wide variety of shapes in UV bursts, and here we look for patterns that may indicate common physical mechanisms between groups of events. For this purpose, we consider relationships between the moments of the two Si IV λλ1394 and 1402 profiles, in particular centroid, line width (FWHM), and skewness, and also relations between the moments and the line intensities. For each of the raster scans, the 100 brightest pixels belonging to the UV bursts were considered. We defined the total intensity of each spatial pixel as the integrated intensity taken at each spectral sampling point in the spectral range 1400.8–1404.2 Å for the Si IV λ1402 line. The same pixels are investigated for the Si IV λ1394 line as well. For that line, the total intensity is integrated in the spectral range of 1391.8–1395.2 Å.

Figure 5 (top panels) presents the scatter plots of centroid versus skewness (left), centroid versus width (middle), and width versus skewness (right) for both the Si IV λ1394 (blue symbols) and λ1402 (red symbols) line profiles in the third IRIS raster scan, at the peak of intensity (see Paper I). (The full sets of the scatter plots for all IRIS scans can be found in the
Appendix, Figure 10.) A clear correlation is found between the centroid and the skewness (Figure 5, top left panel): the more blueshifted (redshifted) the profile is, the larger positive (negative) skewness it has. Noticeably, most of the profiles are blueshifted. A negative skewness for a blueshifted profile means that the line has enhanced intensity on the long-
wavelength side of the line, and a positive skewness for a redshifted profile means that the line has enhanced intensity on the short-wavelength side of the line. Thus, the relationship in Figure 5 implies that the burst line profiles always have enhanced emission on the side closest to zero velocity. A plausible physical explanation is that the profiles reveal plasma that is accelerated in one direction from zero velocity to some maximum velocity, with most plasma at high velocities.

There is no apparent relationship between the centroid and FWHM (Figure 5, top middle panel): we only point out that the Si IV λ13402 line profiles have a distribution of line widths as large as that for Si IV λ1394 profiles during the peak of the UV bursts. As regards the relation of FWHM versus skewness (Figure 5, top right panel), we observe that the larger line width the profile has, the larger its skewness is, especially for the Si IV λ1394 profiles.

Similarly, we analyzed the relationship between the integrated intensity of each profile and the skewness, centroid, and FWHM, as illustrated in Figure 5 (bottom panels) for both the Si IV λ1394 (blue symbols) and λ1402 (red symbols) line profiles in the UV bursts. In order to facilitate the comparison between the two lines, we divided the integrated intensity of the Si IV λ1394 line profiles by a factor of two while making the plots. This factor accounts for the intensity ratio between these two Si IV lines under the assumption that plasma is optically thin. (The full sets of the scatter plots for all IRIS scans can be found in the Appendix, Figure 11.) The scatter plots of intensity versus skewness (Figure 5, bottom left panel) do not show any obvious trend. The profiles tend to have a larger amount of positive skewness, being irrespective of the intensity. There is no correlation between intensity and centroid (Figure 5, bottom middle panel): a few bright points are strongly redshifted, whereas for the most part the line profiles are blueshifted at the peak of the UV bursts, regardless of their intensity. Conversely, there is a correlation between intensity and FWHM (Figure 5, bottom right panel), as larger intensities correspond to wider line profiles, although the spread is rather large.

In connection with the properties of the UV burst emission, thanks to the long exposure time we were able to study the radiation emitted in some faint lines around the O I λ1355.6 line. This spectral range comprises coronal lines from hot ions such as Fe XII λ1349.4 and Fe XXI λ1354, as already mentioned. Here we resume the analysis of these spectra, whose results were briefly touched on in Paper I. We aim at providing new information about the emission in the UV burst core during the peak (third scan), in the spatial region around the pixel indicated in blue color in Paper I (see Figures 10 and 11), taken as the reference pixel.

In the first place, we examined the O I λ1355.60 line and its nearby C I λ1355.84 line (Figure 6). The spectrum was calculated as the average between three adjacent slit positions along the y-direction, centered on the reference pixel.

Figure 5. Top panels: plots of centroid vs. skewness (left), centroid vs. FWHM (middle), and FWHM vs. skewness (right) for both the Si IV λ1394 (blue symbols) and λ1402 (red symbols) line profiles for the third IRIS raster scan. Bottom panels: same as in the top panels, but for the integrated intensity vs. skewness (left), intensity vs. centroid (middle), and intensity vs. FWHM (right).

Figure 6. Graph showing the spectrum (solid line) in the spectral region around the O I λ1355.60 line, also including the C I λ1355.84 line. The spectrum has been calculated as the average between three adjacent slit positions along the y-direction, centered on the pixel indicated in blue color in Paper I, Figures 10 and 11 (UV burst core). The dotted line represents a smoothing of the same spectrum. Vertical segments represent the error bars.
Interestingly, in this spectrum the C I intensity is larger than in the O I line, with the ratio C I/O I being about 2. Such behavior is not a characteristic of the reference pixel only: in the whole 3 × 5 pixel region around it, the ratio between the intensities of the two lines varies from 1.1 up to 2.2.

Then, we addressed the problem of determining whether emission in coronal lines does occur in the UV burst. To this intent, we first considered the coronal Fe XXI λ1354.08 line (temperature formation log \(T_\text{Fe XXI} \approx 7\)), which is typically observed only during flares and has a blend with the C I λ1354.29 line. Figure 7 is a plot of the intensity measured in this spectral range (blue color). The position of the nominal wavelength of the Fe XXI λ1354.08 line is indicated by a vertical dashed line, together with its large thermal FWHM of about 0.41 Å. Actually, the spectrum exhibits a bump in the blue wing of the C I λ1354.29 line, which could be a feature due to Fe XXI emission. To get rid of any doubt on the nature of this bump, we compared the emission in the C I λ1354.29 line with two other C I lines that are comprised in the O I λ1355.6 spectral range. In this regard, Figure 7 also presents the overplotted spectra for these C I lines: λ1355.84 (green, divided by a factor of two) and λ1357.13 (orange). These plots clarify that the bump in the blue wing of the C I λ1354.29 line is rather consistent with only C I emission with extended blue wings, which is a typical feature observed in all these C I lines. Thus, Fe XXI emission appears to be absent in the UV burst.

Conversely, genuine emission in the very faint Fe XII λ1349.4 line (peak temperature formation log \(T_\text{Fe XII} \approx 6.2\); Dere et al. 2009) is observed in the UV burst. A site with enhanced emission cospatial to the UV burst seen in the other UV lines can be also found in the radiance maps for the Fe XII λ1349 line, although a significant contribution to the brightening in this line might be caused by the continuum enhancement in the UV burst. To verify the reliability of this signal, we analyzed the spectrum in the UV burst core, as shown in Figure 8. In order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio for this faint line, the spectrum was calculated by averaging three spatial position along the x-direction and five adjacent slit positions along the y-direction around the reference pixel.

Figure 8 unequivocally reveals that the line is detected in the UV burst (green profile), with a peak about a factor of two higher than the background level (magenta profile). The line width appears to be slightly larger than the pure thermal FWHM (0.1 Å). Therefore, even though the enhanced continuum contributes for a significant part to the brightening seen in the radiance maps, signal in the Fe XII λ1349.4 line is clearly detected.

4. Discussion

Using observations acquired by the IRIS and SDO satellites, we have analyzed the characteristics of UV radiation emitted during a magnetic reconnection event, occurring between a newly forming EFR and preexisting fields in the plage of AR NOAA 12529.

As already noticed in Paper I, strong brightenings in the EFR area are observed in the upper atmospheric layers during the flux emergence event throughout the IRIS observing sequence. The emission at the chromospheric level is smoothly enhanced; by contrast, repeated bursts are observed in the TR and corona. This different behavior is clearly seen in the light curves displayed in Figure 2: IRIS 2796 Å (chromosphere), IRIS 1400 Å (TR), and SDO/AIA 193 Å (coronal level). Such a difference can be ascribed to intermittent reconnection (e.g., Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2017).

By considering the UV emission at several wavelengths, we have been able to reconstruct the evolution of the EFR at different layers using radiance maps. The optically thin view provided by the O I λ1355.6 line (Lin & Carlsson 2015) images the AFS that formed above the EFR. AFSs are typically observed in absorption in the chromospheric layers during flux emergence and reflect the serpentine nature of the emerging fields (Bruzek 1980; Spadaro et al. 2004; Zuccarello et al. 2005;
Murabito et al. 2017). They also can reconnect with the ambient field (e.g., Zuccarello et al. 2008; Tarr et al. 2014; Su et al. 2018). Here the AFS appears in emission when observed in the O I λ1355.6 line, being brighter than the background. Some brightness enhancements are found along the AFS, suggesting the occurrence of small-scale energy release events related to the reconnection of the emerging field lines with the ambient field (see, e.g., Huang et al. 2018). The view of optically thick Mg II k and C II λ1335 lines (Leenaarts et al. 2013; Rathore et al. 2015) offers the possibility to see the counterpart of the AFS in the upper chromosphere. Threads observed in absorption, departing from the AFS, cover the whole structure. It should be stressed that the reconstructed radiance maps image the EFR along the entire IRIS scan: this means that the individual threads at every single slit position along the x-direction were only transiently observed. From the comparison with IRIS SJIs in the 2796 Å passband, it can be deduced that threads correspond to the surges, being viewed as individual ejections in slice imaging. The westernmost part of the UV burst, being not covered by threads, is seen as a compact brightening in the Mg II k and C II λ1335 radiance maps, in the latter having a higher contrast with respect to the background. Conversely, the optically thin emission in the Si IV λ1402 and 1394 lines clearly illustrates the UV burst and other bright knots in the EFR area. Apparently, threads have no counterpart at Si IV λ1402 and 1394 formation heights, although fluffy elongated structures appear in the northern part of the UV burst. Finally, dark elongated absorption structures are distinctly visible in the SDO/AIA 193 filtergrams, which are highly reminiscent of the threads seen by IRIS. To their west, a compact brightening cospatial to the UV burst is also detected, being not obscured by such structures.

The different visualizations of the UV burst between the optically thick Mg II k and C II λ1335 lines and the optically thin Si IV λ1402 and 1394 lines provide a strong indication that the heated plasma, which forms the brightening “hot pocket” (Peter et al. 2014), is located at atmospheric heights below the threads. In fact, numerical simulations by Nóbrega-Siverio et al. (2017, 2018) indicate that cool, dense surges are formed during magnetic flux emergence together with strong UV brightenings, recognized as UV bursts. The surges ejected simultaneously to the occurrence of UV bursts may obscure the brightness enhancements formed at the inner and outer footpoints of the surges, owing to the combined effect of the EFR topology and of the alignment of the LOS with respect to the EFR itself (Nóbrega-Siverio et al. 2018). This only affects the optically thick emission, which is blocked by the cool material of the surges, whereas the optically thin emission of Si IV lines can easily escape. This finding reinforces the suggestion proposed in Paper I about a low chromospheric location of the reconnection site, which was supported by the detection of Mg II triplet emission in the UV burst (Pereira et al. 2015).

In addition, we highlight that Si IV brightenings, represented as intensity isocontours overplotted on the SDO/HMI magnetograms (Figure 3, seventh column), are cospatial to the contact regions between the emerging, negative polarity of the EFR and the positive field of the plage. That is not restricted to the area of the UV burst, close to the preexisting pore P+ as described in Paper I, but it also involves bright knots spread over the whole area where flux emergence of serpentine fields takes place. Magnetic reconnection may occur between all of the negative emerging patches of the EFR getting in contact with the positive ambient field and, hence, provides a convincing explanation for the extended intensity enhancements seen in the Si IV lines.

In connection with the analysis of threads, the radiance maps obtained in the wings of the Mg II k and Si IV λ1402 lines allow us to study their dynamics and to better understand their nature. The comparison between our observations and the numerical experiments of Nóbrega-Siverio et al. (2016, 2017, 2018) may help us again. Indeed, many threads appearing in the blue wing of the Mg II k line have a counterpart in the red wing, shifted to the west. Taking into account the LOS effects and the time delay between consecutive exposures, the blue/red wing counterparts can be explained as a result of the material ejected, previously exhibiting a blueshift, that later falls back down, thus being redshifted. Furthermore, this interpretation appears to be consistent with the southeast-to-northwest orientation of the threads seen in the blue wing and the northeast-to-southwest orientation of those observed in the red wing. Such an asymmetry can be due to the bias induced by the scanning motion of the slit, overimposed to the different kinematic state of the threads during their ascent and subsequent fall. The different lifetimes of threads between the line wings are also in agreement with this scenario. Moreover, the swaying motion of the surges found by Nóbrega-Siverio et al. (2016) can represent a further source of displacement along time, leading to detectable wavelength shifts.

Interestingly, threads are observed also in the Si IV λ1402 line wings, although they are not evident in the line core. In this context, Nóbrega-Siverio et al. (2018) showed that including nonequilibrium ionization for Si and O lines in radiative MHD flux emergence numerical models strongly affects the envelope of the emerging domain, in particular of the surges. As a consequence, in the TR the corresponding emissivity in the boundaries of the surges is higher than in the region outside the emergence site. Doppler shifts due to plasma velocity during the rise and fall of the surges appear in the synthetic spectra. The visibility of the surge emission depends on the LOS and on the impact of the larger brightness of the reconnection site and of other bright features that can make negligible the contribution of the emission of the surge envelope in the total intensity integration. In addition, Nóbrega-Siverio et al. (2018) found that in the surge boundaries emissivity peaks at temperature values lower than the Si IV or O IV temperature formation in statistical equilibrium (log T[K] ~ 5), suggesting a relationship with the rapid cooling of the plasma determined by optically thin losses and thermal conduction. In confirmation of the above interpretation, according to which Si IV threads are surges with enhanced TR, we carried out a preliminary analysis of the IRIS data that shows that threads are seen in the O IV as well. We defer a detailed investigation of this point to a future work.

Focusing on the properties of the UV emission in the brightening site, we performed a statistical analysis of the moments of the Si IV line profiles observed in the bursty region. We noted that there are no significant relationships between the intensity of the line profiles and their centroid, skewness, and line width. Instead, we found that, at the UV burst peak, the profiles are generally blueshifted and present a strong correlation between their centroid and skewness: the more blueshifted/redshifted the profile is, the larger positive/
negative skewness it has. Such a correlation seems to hold throughout the UV burst observations (see the Appendix). A similar trend was found in numerical simulations by Rathore et al. (2015) for the optically thick C II λ1335 lines. Studying double-peak profiles, they observed an asymmetry with one peak brighter than the other. They showed that this asymmetry is correlated with a velocity gradient between the heights of formation of the peaks and the line core. A blue peak that is stronger/weaker than the red peak is correlated with a downflow/upflow of matter (relative to the velocity at the peak formation height) above the peak formation height. This result is similar to that obtained by Leenaarts et al. (2013) for the optically thick Mg II h and k lines. However, our findings are relevant to the Si IV line profiles, which are optically thin and usually exhibit a single peak unless different atmospheric components coexist in the same pixel. Thus, while a velocity gradient may explain the correlation between the centroid and skewness of the profiles, the physical quantities associated with such a velocity difference should be investigated.

Finally, we concentrated our attention on the UV emission at several wavelengths in the O I λ1355.6 line spectral window, which is rarely observed during transient phenomena of low energy content. The ratio between the allowed C I λ1355.8 and inter system O I λ1355.6 lines exhibits unexpected values in the UV burst core. C I/O I line ratio values are always larger than unity, with peaks beyond 2. This intriguing behavior was briefly discussed in Paper I, recalling that Cheng et al. (1980) reported that the C I/O I line ratio is remarkably enhanced during flares with respect to the typical values observed in the quiet Sun (0.5–1). An electron density enhancement by a factor of ~50 of the chromospheric plasma was proposed by these investigators as being responsible for the increase of the C I/O I line ratio. Recently, Lin & Carlsson (2015) and Lin et al. (2017) studied the formation of the O I λ1355.6 and C I λ1355.8 lines, respectively. In particular, Lin et al. (2017) found that the C I/O I total line intensity ratio is correlated with the inverse of the electron density in the middle chromosphere, where both lines form. The larger is the electron density, and the smaller are the values of the C I/O I line ratio, although this correlation has a considerable spread. However, this relation was derived from a quiet-Sun model; thus, it could not hold in atmospheres having different properties, like those expected in the reconnection sites corresponding to UV bursts, or during flares. Therefore, the behavior of the C I/O I line ratio still waits for further studies to be understood.

Notably, Fe XII λ1349.4 emission is found in the UV burst. Testa et al. (2016) demonstrated that this forbidden Fe XII line, although weak, can be observed with IRIS at high spatial resolution, provided that long enough exposure times, of the order of 30 s, and appropriate observing modes are used, i.e., including spectral and/or spatial binning and lossless compression. Bright high-density plasma regions, somehow related to heating events, are an ideal target. Usually, the residual observed nonthermal velocities for this line are modest, of the order of 15 km s\(^{-1}\) (Testa et al. 2016).

As a matter of fact, a signal clearly above the background and the enhanced continuum is found in the pixels relevant to the UV burst core, with small nonthermal velocity (see Figure 8), although the latter can be systematically underestimated in IRIS measurements owing to the low signal-to-noise ratio (Testa et al. 2016). Nonetheless, this result unravels that plasma in the reconnection site is being, at least, heated up to temperatures of the order of that of the line formation (log\(T[K]\) ≃ 6.2).

The detection of the Fe XII λ1349.4 line may explain, at least in part, the brightenings seen in the SDO/AIA 193 Å filtergrams, cospatial to the UV brightenings. In fact, O’Dwyer et al. (2010) showed that in ARs the SDO/AIA 193 Å channel is dominated by Fe XII ion (λλ192.39, 193.51, and 195.12 lines), whereas the continuum contribution is about an order of magnitude weaker. In addition, Martínez-Sykora et al. (2011) confirmed that Fe XII emission is generally dominant in the 193 Å channel. However, this channel has significant contribution from TR lines as well. In the observed UV burst, which appears to largely occur in the chromosphere, heating of the cool and dense material by magnetic reconnection is certainly able to produce strong emission from these TR lines, in addition to Fe XII emission. Nonetheless, provided that the UV burst has a pure coronal counterpart, the simultaneous observations of IRIS and SDO/AIA suggest that the intense brightenings observed in many SDO/AIA EUV channels, cospatial to the UV burst (see Paper I), are probably to some extent also due to plasma heated up to coronal temperatures.

It is worth highlighting that a dynamic low corona is able to bring iron out of equilibrium, as shown in numerical models by Olluri et al. (2013) in the case of rapid heating induced by a nanoflare. In general, the bulk of the emission in Fe XII lines appears to be formed at log\(T[K]\) ≥ 6, i.e., at coronal temperatures, even when nonequilibrium effects are included in the calculations (see Olluri et al. 2013, 2015). However, this aspect needs to be addressed in future studies that account for the conditions present in long-duration reconnection events, like in the UV burst here analyzed.

On the contrary, the comparison between the shape of different C I line profiles illustrated in Figure 7 allows us to safely exclude that emission in the Fe XXI λ1354 line is present in the UV burst. In fact, the small extended bump in the blue wing of the C I λ1354.29 line appears to be due to a spectral feature, i.e., blue extended wings, commonly observed in C I lines during this burst. That sets an upper limit to the temperature reached in the UV burst, which must be lower than log\(T[K]\) ≤ 7.

In a broader perspective, we can now attempt to interpret our observations in a more general context. First, we have to compare the burst here analyzed with IRIS bombs (Peter et al. 2014). Despite many similarities, already detailed in Paper I, the main differences between our observations and IRIS bombs are (i) the absence of Ni II and Fe II chromospheric absorption in the Si IV λ1394 line wings and (ii) the coronal counterpart of brightenings in SDO/AIA EUV observations.

In this respect, we can contrast the observational characteristics of the present event and those of other kinds of transient brightenings in the TR, which have been studied in the past decades. In fact, earlier observations of the TR showed the existence of explosive events (EEs; e.g., Dere et al. 1991), which are highly energetic, transient (<600 s), small-scale (~2”) phenomena frequently detected throughout the quiet and active Sun. They are seen in TR spectral lines having Doppler shifts at ≃100 km s\(^{-1}\) to the red and/or blue of the rest wavelength and contain high-density (10\(^5\) cm\(^{-3}\)) plasma (Doschek et al. 2016). Some EEs also exhibit faint EUV brightenings (Gupta & Tripathi 2015). EEs are associated with flux emergence events and were identified with magnetic reconnection episodes occurring during the cancellation of
photospheric magnetic flux. However, Huang et al. (2017) recently studied EEs using IRIS data and simultaneous observations at the Swedish Solar Telescope and showed that the intensity enhancement is about a factor of 10 with respect to the background emission, which is small compared to the increase observed in UV bursts. Moreover, only a few of them have a counterpart in the Hα wings, suggesting the presence of cosmoplastic features. Taking into account that prominent plasma ejections accompany the strong long-lived UV burst here analyzed, in the form of chromospheric jet-like features like those often associated with magnetic reconnection events (e.g., Nelson & Doyle 2013; Shelton et al. 2015; Nelson et al. 2016), our event cannot be classified into this category.

To our knowledge, the only other small-scale reconnection event observed by IRIS having a coronal counterpart was the one recently described by Li et al. (2018). Coronal temperatures were inferred from a differential emission measure analysis of the SDO AIA channels, but we note that a clear detection of the Fe XII λ1349.4 emission line is a more reliable indication of coronal emission. The event occurred during small-scale cancellation between opposite polarities in the moat region around a sunspot. As in our observations, no chromospheric absorption was detected in the Si IV λ1394 line profile. However, it was a very short duration event (<150 s) observed with a short exposure time (scan cadence 9.2 s), so that many spectral features in the faint spectral window of the O I λ1355.6 line cannot be investigated. Moreover, the magnetic fluxes of the canceling polarities were about 5 × 10^{18} Mx, one order of magnitude lower than in our event (see Paper I). Furthermore, the morphology of the event studied by Li et al. (2018), visible simultaneously in different SDO/AIA channels with the absence of time delays between them, suggests that this feature is rather likely a TR-temperature event (log \( T[K] \) \( \sim \) 5), similar to other cool features (see, e.g., Winebarger et al. 2013; Tian et al. 2014).

Therefore, we must conclude that the present event occurs somewhere in the photosphere-to-upper-chromosphere regime, rather deep in the atmosphere, and the reconnection involves all the solar atmospheric layers, being observed from the photosphere through the chromosphere and TR to the corona. As mentioned by Young et al. (2018), the particular location of the reconnection site and also the LOS effects may be responsible for differences in burst signatures such as the variations in Si IV profiles. Furthermore, it is worth stressing that the different magnetic topology in various reconnection environments may result in differences in the extent of the atmospheric levels involved by the eruptive phenomena occurring during reconnection and, hence, in the spectral features linked to different plasma densities.

5. Conclusions

In Paper I and in the present paper, we have investigated the small-scale eruptive phenomena occurring in the solar atmosphere due to the interaction between new emerging magnetic flux and ambient fields in the plage of AR NOAA 12529. In particular, in this paper we have detailed the properties of the UV emission observed by IRIS in the upper chromosphere and in the TR of the EFR site.

The most notable finding is the detection of Fe XII λ1349 emission in the core of the brightening site. This represents a pure coronal counterpart for the event and indicates that plasma is heated up to log \( T[K] \) \( \gtrsim \) 6 in the UV burst. In contrast, the absence of Fe XXI λ1354 emission implies that temperature remains lower than log \( T[K] \) \( \lesssim \) 7.

Another characteristic observed in the UV burst core is an unexpected behavior of the relative ratio between the intensities of the C I λ1355.8 and O I λ1355.6 lines. In the bursting region, the latter is usually a factor of two weaker than the C I line, as commonly observed during solar flares (Cheng et al. 1980), and a factor of 2–4 larger than the ratio found in the quiet Sun. This has been linked to electron density increase, although a theoretical understanding is lacking.

Si IV emission is observed not only in the prominent compact brightening, visible throughout the IRIS observing sequence, but also in bright knots in spatial correspondence with tiny polarity inversion lines spread over the area occupied by the serpentine fields brought into the photosphere by flux emergence. These knots are found only in the spectroheliograms, owing to the optical thinness of Si IV lines, but cannot be observed in the IRIS SJ 1400 Å broadband images, which include many other contributions.

Again concerning Si IV emission, there is a strong correlation between the centroid and the skewness of both Si IV λ1394 and 1402 lines in the profiles relevant to the UV burst: the more Doppler shifted the profiles are, the larger its skewness is. In more detail, the more blueshifted (redshifted) the profile is, the larger positive (negative) skewness it has. This relationship indicates that the UV burst line profiles always have enhanced emission on the side closest to zero velocity, suggesting the presence of velocity gradients. A possible explanation might be that plasma is accelerated in one direction from zero velocity to some maximum velocity, with most plasma at high velocities.

We also observe the occurrence of repeated surge-like plasma ejections departing from the AFS above the EFR. Interestingly, surges observed in absorption in chromospheric lines have a prominent counterpart in emission in the wings of Mg II and Si IV lines, appearing in the form of threads. They also show a blue-to-red asymmetry in the line wings, which can be explained in terms of different kinematic states of the plasma ejecta. Indeed, recent numerical simulations predict that surges can appear in emission in the TR, given that nonequilibrium ionization occurs in a sheath enveloping the surges during flux emergence and subsequent magnetic reconnection with ambient fields (Nóbrega-Siverio et al. 2018). This aspect deserves further investigations, including analyses in other TR lines.

Comparing the properties of this burst with those of other transient, small-scale events observed in the TR, such as IRIS bombs and EEs, we suggest that the configuration of the magnetized environment where flux emergence occurs may have an impact on the height of the reconnection site and on the amount of energy released, thus determining the presence of hot plasma with coronal temperature during such a kind of phenomena.

Most importantly, evidence has been provided that long-lasting, intermittent brightenings and repeated plasma ejections observed in the EFR site result from magnetic reconnection in the low atmosphere. This highlights that such a fundamental process plays a major role in the solar atmosphere and, possibly, might contribute to coronal heating as suggested by recent observations (Chitta et al. 2017; Smitha et al. 2018) and numerical simulations (Ni et al. 2015, 2016; Alvarez Laguna et al. 2017). A significant advance in the understanding of magnetic reconnection will be possible with future high-
resolution, multiwavelength observations with the next-generation solar observatories, such as the Solar Orbiter space mission (Müller et al. 2013) and the large-aperture ground-based 4 m class aperture telescopes Daniel K. Inouye Solar Telescope (Keil et al. 2010) and European Solar Telescope (Collados et al. 2010).
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**Appendix**

**Scatter Plots—Full Sets**

Figure 9 is an illustration of the scatter plots of the ratio between intensities and FWHM for both the Si IV λ1394 and 1402 line profiles relevant to the UV burst core. In the left column we plot the ratio between intensity and FWHM for each individual line, Si IV λ1402 versus Si IV λ1394. The right column shows the ratio between FWHM for both lines versus ratio between intensities for both lines. Yellow symbols indicate pixels with ratio between intensities lower than 1.8. The graphs clarify that the optically thin approximation is a very good guess for the most part of the pixels: green symbols align well along the x = y line, corresponding to a ratio equal to 2. However, there are two distinct groups of yellow symbols that depart from this value. The first is composed of pixels with high intensities, which can be affected by saturation in the Si IV λ1394 line, especially during the first IRIS scan owing to the long exposure time. The second group consists of pixels with relatively low intensities, increasing in number when the burst is less strong. Note that pixels with a ratio between intensities significantly larger than 2 may be affected by resonant scattering, as pointed out by Gontikakis & Vial (2018).

In Figure 10 we analyze the correlation between centroid, skewness, and line width (FWHM). We noticed a correlation between the centroid and the skewness (the more blue- or redshifted the profile is, the larger positive/negative skewness it has) of the profiles relevant to the UV burst at its peak. This trend is visible throughout the IRIS observations (see Figure 10, left column), although only in the Si IV λ1402 line when the area with enhanced intensity shrinks (fifth and sixth scans). At those times,
the centroid distribution is much more scattered. The Si\textsc{iv} $\lambda$1402 line profiles are generally wider than the Si\textsc{iv} $\lambda$1394 profiles (Figure 10, middle column), except during the peak of the UV bursts (third and fourth scans), when the distribution of the FWHM is almost identical for both lines. Similarly, the observed correlation between FWHM and skewness (larger line widths correspond to a larger skewness of the profiles) holds only at the peak of the UV bursts, during the third scan (Figure 10, right column). During the fourth scan, the distribution of skewness is almost identical for both lines, without an obvious trend. For the remaining scans the distribution of skewness is rather different between the lines. However, the Si\textsc{iv} $\lambda$1402 line profiles with larger FWHM have a larger amount of (negative) skewness, in particular in the sixth scan.
As concerns the relationship between intensity and skewness, centroid, and FWHM, we note the absence of a systematic trend in the scatter plots of intensity versus skewness (Figure 11, left column). They are very similar for both lines, except during the sixth scans, when the Si IV λ1402 profiles exhibit a much larger spread in skewness, shifted toward more negative values. At the peak of the UV bursts (third scan), the profiles tend to have a larger amount of positive skewness. The distributions of intensity versus centroid (Figure 11, middle column) for both lines are akin to each other at every time. However, there appears to be no correlation between these two parameters. In the first, third, and fourth scans a few bright points exhibit a strong redshift. At the peak (third scan), the majority of the line profiles are blueshifted, regardless of their

Figure 11. Plots of integrated intensity vs. skewness (first column), vs. centroid (second column), and vs. FWHM (third column) for both the Si IV λ1394 (blue symbols) and λ1402 (red symbols) line profiles for each IRIS raster scan.
intensity. In the same scans (first, third, and fourth), we find that larger intensities correspond to wider line profiles, with a large spread (Figure 11, right column). For the rest, we again observe the general trend that Si IV λ1402 line profiles have a larger FWHM than Si IV λ1394 profiles, except during the peak of the UV bursts (third and fourth scans).
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