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Partition regularity in the rationals
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Abstract

A system of homogeneous linear equations with integer coefficients is partition regular if, whenever the natural numbers are finitely coloured, the system has a monochromatic solution. The Finite Sums theorem provided the first example of an infinite partition regular system of equations. Since then, other such systems of equations have been found, but each can be viewed as a modification of the Finite Sums theorem.

We present here a new infinite partition regular system of equations that appears to arise in a genuinely different way. This is the first example of a partition regular system in which a variable occurs with unbounded coefficients. A modification of the system provides an example of a system that is partition regular over $\mathbb{Q}$ but not $\mathbb{N}$, settling another open problem.

1 Introduction

An $r$-colouring of the natural numbers is a partition of $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ into $r$ parts $A_1, \ldots, A_r$. Each $A_i$ is a colour class, and a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is monochromatic if $S \subseteq A_i$ for some $i$.

A system of homogeneous linear equations with rational coefficients is partition regular over $\mathbb{N}$ if, whenever the natural numbers are finitely coloured, there is some colour class in which the system has a solution. For example, Schur’s theorem states that, whenever the natural numbers are finitely coloured, there is some colour class containing numbers $x$, $y$ and $x+y$; that is, the single equation $x + y = z$ is partition regular. Partition regularity over $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ is defined similarly, with the additional restriction that the values taken by the variables must be non-zero.

In 1933 Rado [9] characterised finite partition regular systems in terms of a simple property of their matrix of coefficients. Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries and let $c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(n)}$ be the columns of $A$. We say that $A$ has the columns property if there is a partition $[n] = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_s$ of the columns...
of $A$ such that $\sum_{i \in I_t} c^{(i)} = 0$, and, for each $t$,
$$\sum_{i \in I_t} c^{(i)} \in \langle c^{(i)} : i \in I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{t-1} \rangle,$$
where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes (rational) linear span and $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

**Theorem 1** (Rado [9]). Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries. The system of linear equations $Ax = 0$ is partition regular over $\mathbb{N}$ if and only if $A$ has the columns property.

For infinite systems of equations much less is known. The first example of an infinite partition regular system came from the Finite Sums theorem.

**Theorem 2** (Hindman [4]). Whenever the natural numbers are coloured with finitely many colours, there exist $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots$ such that all finite sums $\sum_{i \in I} x_i$, where $I \neq \emptyset$ are the same colour.

(Formally, the partition regular system associated with Theorem 2 consists of all equations $\sum_{i \in I} x_i = y_I$, where $\{y_I\}$ is a new set of variables indexed by the non-empty finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$.)

Theorem 2 has been generalised in various ways. Two examples follow.

**Theorem 3** (Milliken [8] and Taylor [11]). Whenever the natural numbers are finitely coloured there exist $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots$ such that all finite sums $\sum_{i \in I} x_i + \sum_{j \in J} 2x_j$, where $I, J \neq \emptyset$ and $\max I < \min J$, are the same colour.

This is the ‘1, 2’ version of Milliken and Taylor’s result; there are corresponding versions for any finite string of natural numbers.

**Theorem 4** (Deuber-Hindman [3]). For any sequence $P_1, P_2, \ldots$ of finite partition regular systems of equations, whenever the natural numbers are finitely coloured there is a sequence of corresponding solution sets $S_1, S_2, \ldots$ such that all finite sums of the form $\sum_{i \in I} x_i$, where $I \neq \emptyset$ and $x_i \in S_i$ for all $i \in I$, are the same colour.

Here a solution set for a system $P$ is the set of values taken by the variables in some solution to $P$. For example, the $P_i$ could be chosen such that each $S_i$ contains an arithmetic progression of length $i$.

There is no known classification of infinite partition regular systems: in fact, Theorems 2–4, together with some other systems in [5], are almost everything that is known.

The Milliken-Taylor theorem can be proved by mimicking the proof of Ramsey’s theorem, replacing appeals to the pigeonhole principle with appeals to the Finite Sums theorem. The Deuber-Hindman theorem can be proved by modifying the ultrafilter proof (due to Galvin and Glazer—see 4) of the Finite Sums theorem. All known infinite partition regular systems until now have been strongly related to the Finite Sums theorem: it is therefore of interest to determine whether all infinite partition regular systems must be related to the Finite Sums theorem in some way.

The systems associated with Theorems 2–4 (and also the systems in [5]) each have the property that every variable appears with only a bounded set of coefficients (although the set of all coefficients can be unbounded in the case of the Deuber-Hindman theorem). This led to the following question in Hindman, Leader and Strauss’s survey of open problems in partition regularity [6].
Question 5 (\[6\]). Are there infinite partition regular systems in which some variable appears with an unbounded set of coefficients?

A small amount of care is clearly needed in interpreting this question to avoid trivialities. For example, we do not want to allow a system formed by taking many integer multiples of one fixed equation (e.g. the system \(x + y = z, 2x + 2y = 2z, \ldots\)). The obvious way to formalise this is to ask that there is some variable \(x\) such that the ratio of the coefficient of \(x\) to the sum of the other coefficients in each equation is unbounded\[1\]

The first result of this paper is that there are such systems. This is perhaps somewhat surprising. Indeed, for just about any system of equations that one writes down with a variable having unbounded coefficients, it is usually possible to find a colouring of \(\mathbb{N}\) without a monochromatic solution. See [7] for several examples of this.

Roughly speaking, our idea is to try to have a variable (\(y\) below) that will appear, with unbounded coefficients, as the difference between two different expressions (that do not involve \(y\)). Now, it is well known that a system of equations such as \(y = x_1 - z_1, 2y = x_2 - z_2, 3y = x_3 - z_3, \ldots\) is not partition regular (see for example [7] for this and some considerable strengthenings). So we seek to replace the \(x_i\) and \(z_i\) by some expressions with more ‘flexibility’. One way to do this is to allow some sums instead. Here is a system that arises in this way.

**System \(A\).**

\[
x_{1,1} + 2y = z_{1,1} \\
x_{2,1} + x_{2,2} + 4y = z_{2,1} + z_{2,2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n,1} + \cdots + x_{n,n} + 2^n y = z_{n,1} + \cdots + z_{n,n} \\
\vdots
\]

In Section 2 we show that System \(A\) is partition regular. In fact, our proof gives more than this: we show that System \(A\) remains partition regular if the coefficients \(2^n\) are replaced by any other sequence of integer coefficients. Curiously, our argument involves density considerations, even though there is no ‘density version’ of this statement—for example, the set of numbers that are congruent to 1 modulo 3 does not contain any solution to System \(A\).

Let us now turn to a consideration of partition regularity over different spaces. A system of equations which is partition regular over \(\mathbb{N}\) is clearly partition regular over \(\mathbb{Z}\), and it is easy to see that the converse holds as well. (Given a bad colouring of \(\mathbb{N}\) with \(k\) colours, we obtain a bad colouring of \(\mathbb{Z}\) with \(2^k\) colours by ‘reflecting’ this colouring to the negative numbers using \(k\) new colours.)

If a system is partition regular over \(\mathbb{N}\) then it is certainly partition regular over \(\mathbb{Q}\), and similarly if it is partition regular over \(\mathbb{Q}\) then it is partition regular over \(\mathbb{R}\). What about the converses? It is known (see [9]) that the reals are

---

1We mention in passing that one cannot formalise the question by an alternative approach of the form ‘cannot be rewritten such that . . . ’. This is because any system of equations can be rewritten so that every variable appears in only finitely many equations. (This is an easy exercise in Gaussian elimination.)
‘richer’ than the rationals: there are systems that are partition regular over \( \mathbb{R} \) but not over \( \mathbb{Q} \). But the question of whether or not \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( \mathbb{Q} \) can be distinguished remained open:

**Question 6** [6]. *Are there infinite systems that are partition regular over \( \mathbb{Q} \) but not over \( \mathbb{N} \)?*

Here we show that a modification to System \( A \) provides an example of such a system. Again, this is rather unexpected: for all known examples of systems not partition regular over \( \mathbb{N} \) there were easy extensions of the bad colourings to bad colourings of \( \mathbb{Q} \), using ‘factorial base’ and similar ideas (see for example [7] and [6]). So the general belief was that the answer to Question 6 was probably negative.

**System B.**

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{1,1} + 2^{-1}y &= z_{1,1} \\
x_{2,1} + x_{2,2} + 2^{-2}y &= z_{2,1} + z_{2,2} \\
&\vdots \\
x_{n,1} + \cdots + x_{n,n} + 2^{-n}y &= z_{n,1} + \cdots + z_{n,n} \\
&\vdots
\end{align*}
\]

In Section 3 we show that System \( B \) is partition regular over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Since System \( B \) does not even have any solutions over \( \mathbb{N} \), this answers Question 6.

The argument for System \( A \) uses the symmetry of the system (that there are equal numbers of \( x \)’s and \( z \)’s on each side of the equations). In Section 4 we modify the argument to show that the following less symmetric system is also partition regular.

**System C.**

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{1,1} + 2y &= z_1 \\
x_{2,1} + x_{2,2} + 4y &= z_2 \\
&\vdots \\
x_{n,1} + \cdots + x_{n,n} + 2^ny &= z_n \\
&\vdots
\end{align*}
\]

This fact solves a problem in image partition regularity from [2], namely ‘if a system is image partition regular over \( \mathbb{N} \), does it follow that we can always find a monochromatic solution in the reals with all values as small as we please?’ We give the details in Section 4.

2 **System A**

System \( A \) has a solution in colour class \( A \) if and only if there is some \( y \in A \) with

\[
2^n y \in nA - nA
\]
for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), where we use the standard notation for sumsets and difference sets

\[
A + B = \{ a + b : a \in A, b \in B \}
\]

\[
A - B = \{ a - b : a \in A, b \in B \}
\]

\[
kA = A + \cdots + A \quad \text{\( k \) times}
\]

We expect iterated sumsets and difference sets to have some additive structure, so this trivial rewriting of System \( A \) suggests that a sensible first question might be ‘what kind of structure can we find inside \( nA - nA \) when \( n \) is large?’

We shall need a notion of density. For a subset \( S \) of \( \mathbb{N} \), its (upper) density is

\[
d(S) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S \cap [n]|}{n}.
\]

For a subset \( S \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \) we write \( d(S) = d(S \cap \mathbb{N}) \) (that is, we measure its density ‘in one direction’). We call \( S \) dense if \( d(S) > 0 \). Density has the following properties:

- If \( A \subseteq B \), then \( d(A) \leq d(B) \).
- For any \( A \) and \( B \), \( d(A \cup B) \leq d(A) + d(B) \). In particular, whenever \( \mathbb{N} \) is finitely coloured, at least one colour class is dense.
- If \( A + x_1, \ldots, A + x_k \) are disjoint translates of a set \( A \), then

\[
d \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (A + x_i) \right) = kd(A).
\]

This is because \( A \) and \( A + x_i \) are dense in roughly the same intervals. (It is not true in general that if \( A \) and \( B \) are disjoint then \( d(A \cup B) = d(A) + d(B) \): indeed, it is easy to construct infinitely many pairwise disjoint sets that each have density 1 by having them ‘take turns’ to have density close to 1 on initial segments of \( \mathbb{N} \).)

For dense sets things work as well as we could hope for. Write \( m \cdot S = \{ms : s \in S\} \) for the set obtained from \( S \) by pointwise multiplication by \( m \). The following is a slight generalisation of a result of Stewart and Tijdeman [10], whose argument covers the case where \( k \) is a power of 2.

**Lemma 7.** Let \( S \) be a dense, symmetric subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \) containing 0. Then there is an \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for \( k \geq 2/d(S) \), \( kS = m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \).

In particular, if \( A \) is a dense subset of \( \mathbb{N} \), then there is an \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for \( k \geq 2/d(A) \), \( kA - kA = m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** We will show that there is some \( j \leq 1/d(S) \) such that \( (2j+1)S = (2j)S \), and so \( (k+1)S = kS \) for \( k \geq 2/d(S) \). Once we have shown this, let \( k = \lceil 2/d(S) \rceil \) and let \( X = kS \). We have that \( X + X = kS + kS = (2k)S = X \). Since \( S \) is symmetric, we also have that \( X = -X \), so \( X \) is closed under addition and the taking of inverses, hence is a subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z} \) as required.
Suppose instead that for each \( j \leq 1/d(S) \), \((2j)S \subset (2j + 1)S\). We claim that, for each such \( j \), \((2j + 1)S\) contains \( j + 1 \) disjoint translates of \( S \). This is a contradiction for \( j = \lfloor 1/d(S) \rfloor \).

The claim is true for \( j = 0 \). For \( j > 0 \), choose \( x \in (2j + 1)S \setminus (2j)S \). Then \( x = s_1 + \cdots + s_{2j+1} \) with \( s_i \in S \) for each \( i \). We have

\[
S + x - s_1 = S + s_2 + \cdots + s_{2j+1} \subseteq (2j + 1)S
\]

and \((2j - 1)S - s_1 \subseteq (2j)S \subseteq (2j + 1)S\).

Hence it suffices to show that \( S + x - s_1 \) and \((2j - 1)S - s_1\) are disjoint. But if they intersect then \( t_0 + x - s_1 = t_1 + \cdots + t_{2j-1} - s_1\) for some \( t_i \in S\), from which it follows that \( x = t_1 + \cdots + t_{2j-1} - t_0 \in (2j)S\), contradicting the choice of \( x \).

For the ‘in particular’ statement, note that \( d(A - A) \geq d(A) \).

Given a colour class \( A \), let \( m \) be as above. If there is a \( y \in A \) with \( y \) divisible by \( m \) then Lemma 8 tells us that we can solve System \( \mathcal{A} \) ‘eventually’ inside \( A \). That leaves only finitely many equations unsolved; we hope to solve these using Rado’s theorem. The problem is that the colour class obtained from Rado’s theorem might not be dense. To avoid this situation we work inside a long homogeneous arithmetic progression that is disjoint from the non-dense colour classes.

**Lemma 8.** Let \( \mathbb{N} = A \cup B \) where \( d(B) = 0 \). Then, for any \( l \in \mathbb{N} \), there is a \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( d \cdot [l] \subseteq A \).

**Proof.** Since \( B \) has density 0 there is an \( n \geq l \) such that \( |B \cap [n]| < \frac{n}{2l^2} \). Let \( D = \lfloor n/l \rfloor \geq \frac{n}{2l^2} \) and let \( E = \bigcup_{d=1}^{D} (d \cdot [l]) \subseteq [n] \). We claim that \( d \cdot [l] \subseteq A \) for some \( d \leq D \). Indeed, suppose not. Then, for every \( d \leq D \), \( d \cdot [l] \) contains an element of \( B \). Each element of \( E \) is in at most \( l \) of the sets \( d \cdot [l] \), so

\[
|B \cap [n]| \geq |B \cap E| \geq \frac{D}{l} \geq \frac{n}{2l^2},
\]

contradicting the choice of \( n \).

We can now show that System \( \mathcal{A} \) is partition regular.

**Theorem 9.** Let \( \mathbb{N} = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_r \) be an \( r \)-colouring of \( \mathbb{N} \). Then there is a colour class \( A_i \) containing a solution to System \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Proof.** For each dense colour class \( A_i \), apply Lemma 7 to obtain \( m_i \) and \( K_i \) such that, for \( k \geq K_i \), \( kA_i - kA_i = m_i \cdot \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( m \) be the least common multiple of the \( m_i \), and let \( K \) be the maximum of the \( K_i \). For every dense colour class \( A_i \), and \( k \geq K \), we have \( kA_i - kA_i \supseteq m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \).

Write \( P \) for the system consisting of the first \( K - 1 \) equations of System \( \mathcal{A} \). It is easy to check that \( P \) satisfies the columns property, so by Rado’s theorem it is partition regular. It follows that there exists an \( l \) such that whenever \([l] \), or any progression \( c \cdot [l] \), is \( r \)-coloured it contains a monochromatic solution to \( P \).

Apply Lemma 8 to get \( d \) with \( d \cdot [ml] \) disjoint from the non-dense colour classes. Then \( ml \cdot [l] \subseteq d \cdot [ml] \) is also disjoint from the non-dense colour classes,
and by the choice of \( l \) there is a dense colour class \( A_i \) such that \( A_i \cap (md \cdot [l]) \) contains a solution 
\[
\{y, x_1, \ldots, z_{K-1, K-1}\}
\]
to \( P \), where every element of the solution set is divisible by \( m \). Then, for 
\( k \geq K, 2^k y \in kA_i - kA_i \), so this solution to \( P \) can be extended to a solution for 
System \( A \) inside \( A_i \).

Our proof used only that the coefficients \( 2^n \) were integers to find a solution 
to System \( A \) inside some dense colour class. In the next section we show that 
we can allow rational coefficients if we colour \( \mathbb{Q} \) instead of \( \mathbb{N} \).

3 System \( B \)

System \( B \) is not partition regular over \( \mathbb{N} \) because it has no solutions over \( \mathbb{N} \). In 
this section we show that System \( B \) is partition regular over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

Given a finite colouring of \( \mathbb{Q} \) we seek a colour class \( A \) and a 
\( y \in A \) such that 
\[
2^{-n} y \in nA - nA
\]
for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). The idea is to view \( \mathbb{Q} \) as infinitely many nested copies of \( \mathbb{Z} \) 
and apply the methods of the previous section.

It is convenient to work inside the dyadic rationals \( \mathbb{D} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j} \cdot \mathbb{Z}) \). We 
call the subset \( 2^{-j} \cdot \mathbb{Z} \) the \( j \)th level of \( \mathbb{D} \). For a set \( S \subseteq \mathbb{D} \), let
\[
d_j(S) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S \cap (2^{-j} \cdot [n])|}{n}
\]
be the density of \( S \) in the \( j \)th level, and let 
\[
d^*(S) = \limsup_{j \to \infty} d_j(S).
\]
If \( \mathbb{D} = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_r \), then, for each \( j \),
\[
1 \leq d_j(A_1) + \cdots + d_j(A_r),
\]
so 
\[
1 \leq d^*(A_1) + \cdots + d^*(A_r),
\]
and \( d^*(A_i) > 0 \) for at least one \( i \).

The proof follows the same pattern as before.

**Lemma 10.** Let \( A \subseteq \mathbb{D} \) with \( d^*(A) > 0 \). Then there is an \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for 
\( k \geq 4/d^*(A) \), \( kA - kA \supseteq m \cdot \mathbb{D} \).

**Proof.** There are infinitely many levels \( j \) such that \( d_j(A) > d^*(A)/2 \). Let \( j \) be
one such level and let \( A_j = A \cap 2^{-j} \cdot \mathbb{Z} \). Then by Lemma, inside level \( j \), there 
is an \( m_j \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for \( k \geq 4/d^*(A) > 2/d_j(A) = 2/d_j(A_j), 
\[
kA - kA \supseteq kA_j - kA_j = 2^{-j} m_j \cdot \mathbb{Z}.
\]
Then
\[
\frac{1}{m_j} = d_j(2^{-j} m_j \cdot \mathbb{Z}) = d_j(kA_j - kA_j) \geq d_j(A_j) = d_j(A), \quad \text{so} \quad m_j \leq 1/d_j(A) \leq 2/d^*(A).
\]
Thus some \( m_j \) occurs infinitely often: call it \( m \). Choose an
infinite set $J$ of levels such that, for $k \geq 4/d^*(A)$, $kA - kA \supseteq 2^{-j}m \cdot \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for $k \geq 4/d^*(A)$,

$$kA - kA \supseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} (2^{-j}m \cdot \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j}m \cdot \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= m \cdot \mathbb{D},$$

since the levels of $\mathbb{D}$ are nested and $J$ is infinite. □

**Lemma 11.** Let $\mathbb{D} = A \cup B$ where $d^*(B) = 0$. Then, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $j$ and $d$ such that $2^{-j}d \cdot [l] \subseteq A$.

**Proof.** Since $d^*(B) = 0$ there is a $j$ such that $d^j(B) < \frac{1}{4l^2}$. Then there is an $n \geq l$ such that $|B \cap (2^{-j} \cdot [n])| < \frac{n}{2l^2}$. The rest of the proof is the same as that of Lemma 8 with $E = \bigcup_{d=1}^{D} 2^{-j}d \cdot [l]$. □

**Theorem 12.** Let $\mathbb{D} = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_r$ be an $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{D}$. Then there is a colour class $A_i$ containing a solution to System $\mathcal{B}$.

**Proof.** For each dense colour class $A_i$, apply Lemma 11 to obtain $m_i$ and $K_i$ such that, for $k \geq K_i$, $kA_i - kA_i \supseteq m_i \cdot \mathbb{D}$. Let $m$ be the least common multiple of the $m_i$, and let $K$ be the maximum of the $K_i$. For every dense colour class $A_i$, and $k \geq K$, we have $kA_i - kA_i \supseteq m \cdot \mathbb{D}$.

Write $P$ for the system consisting of the first $K - 1$ equations of System $\mathcal{B}$. It is easy to check that $P$ satisfies the columns property, so by Rado’s theorem it is partition regular. It follows that there exists an $l$ such that whenever $[l]$, or any progression $2^{-j}c \cdot [l]$, is $r$-coloured it contains a monochromatic solution to $P$.

Apply Lemma 11 to get $j$ and $d$ with $2^{-j}d \cdot [ml] \subseteq A_i$ disjoint from the colour classes with $d^*(A_i) = 0$. Then $2^{-j}md \cdot [l] \subseteq 2^{-j}d \cdot [ml]$ is also disjoint from the non-dense colour classes, and by the choice of $l$ there is a dense colour class $A_i$ such that $A_i \cap (2^{-j}md \cdot [l])$ contains a solution

$$\{y, x_1, 1, \ldots, z_{K-1}, K-1\}$$

to $P$, where every element of the solution set is in $m \cdot \mathbb{D}$. Then, for $k \geq K$, $2^{-k}y \in kA_i - kA_i$, so this solution to $P$ can be extended to a solution for System $\mathcal{B}$ inside $A_i$. □

## 4 System $\mathcal{C}$

We now turn our attention to System $\mathcal{C}$. Given a finite colouring of $\mathbb{N}$ we seek a colour class $A$ and a $y \in A$ for which

$$2^n y \in A - nA$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The next two lemmas generalise Lemma 7 to the asymmetric case.
Lemma 13. Let \( S \) be a dense subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \) with \( 0 \in S \). Then there is an \( X \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \) such that, for any \( k \geq 2/d(S) \), we have \( S - kS = X \).

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma [7], we suppose to the contrary that \( S - (2j)S \subseteq S - (2j + 1)S \) for all \( j \leq 1/d(S) \) and show that \( S - (2j + 1)S \) contains \( j + 1 \) disjoint translates of \( S \) for each such \( j \), which is impossible for \( j = \lfloor \frac{1}{d(S)} \rfloor \).

The claim is true for \( j = 0 \). For \( j > 0 \), choose \( x \in (S - (2j + 1)S) \setminus (S - (2j)S) \). Then \( x = s_0 - s_1 - \cdots - s_{2j+1} \) with \( s_i \in S \) for each \( i \). We have

\[
S + x - s_0 \subseteq S - (2j + 1)S, \quad \text{and} \quad S - (2j - 1)S - s_0 \subseteq S - (2j)S \subseteq S - (2j + 1)S,
\]

hence it suffices to show that \( S + x - s_0 \) and \( S - (2j - 1)S - s_0 \) are disjoint. But if they intersect then \( t_0 + x - s_0 = t_1 - t_2 - \cdots - t_{2j} - s_0 \) for some \( t_i \in S \), whence \( x = t_1 - t_2 - \cdots - t_{2j} - t_0 \in S - (2j)S \), contradicting the choice of \( x \). \( \square \)

What can we say about \( X \)?

Lemma 14. Let \( A \) be a dense subset of \( \mathbb{N} \). Then there is an \( m \) such that, for \( k \geq 2/d(A) \), \( A - kA \) is a union of cosets of \( m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \).

Proof. Let \( k \geq 2/d(A) \), and let \( X = A - kA \). For any \( a \in A \), we have by Lemma [13] that

\[
(A - a) - k(A - a) = (A - a) - (k + 1)(A - a),
\]

and so

\[
X = X - A + a.
\]

Since \( a \in A \) was arbitrary it follows that \( X = X + A - A \), whence \( X = X + l(A - A) \) for all \( l \). Taking \( l \) sufficiently large, by Lemma [7] there is an \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( X = X + m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \). Thus \( X \) is a union of cosets of \( m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \). \( \square \)

The example of the odd numbers shows that it is not necessarily the case that \( A - kA \supseteq m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \) for large \( k \). However, the obstruction is clear: in that case \( A \) does not contain even a single element of \( m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \). But then we can pass down to a copy of \( \mathbb{N} \) coloured with one fewer colour and apply induction. Combining this idea with the argument for System \( \mathcal{A} \) concludes the proof that System \( \mathcal{C} \) is partition regular.

Theorem 15. Let \( \mathbb{N} = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_r \) be an \( r \)-colouring of \( \mathbb{N} \). Then there is a colour class \( A_i \) containing a solution to System \( \mathcal{C} \).

Proof. Suppose first that there is an \( m \) and an \( i \) such that \( A_i \) is disjoint from \( m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \). Then \( m \cdot \mathbb{N} \) is \((r - 1)\)-coloured by the remaining colour classes, so by induction on \( r \) we can find a monochromatic solution to System \( \mathcal{C} \) inside \( m \cdot \mathbb{N} \).

Otherwise we may assume that every colour class meets every subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z} \). Apply Lemma [14] to each dense colour class \( A_i \) to obtain \( m_i \) and \( K_i \) such that, for \( k \geq K_i \), \( A_i - kA_i \) is a union of cosets of \( m_i \cdot \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( m \) be the least common multiple of the \( m_i \), and let \( K \) be the maximum of the \( K_i \). Then, for every dense colour class \( A_i \), and \( k \geq K \), \( A_i - kA_i \) is a union of cosets of \( m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \). Since every colour class contains a multiple of \( m \), one of those cosets is \( m \cdot \mathbb{Z} \) itself.
Write $P$ for the system consisting of the first $K-1$ equations of System $C$. Again, it is easy to check that $P$ satisfies the columns property, so by Rado’s theorem it is partition regular. It follows that there exists an $l$ such that whenever $[l]$, or a progression $c\cdot[l]$, is $r$-coloured it contains a monochromatic solution to $P$.

Apply Lemma 8 to get $d$ with $d \cdot [ml]$ disjoint from the non-dense colour classes. Then $md \cdot [l] \subseteq d \cdot [ml]$ is also disjoint from the non-dense colour classes, and by the choice of $l$ there is a dense colour class $A_i$ such that $A_i \cap (md \cdot [l])$ contains a solution $\{y, x_{1,1}, \ldots, z_{K-1}\}$ to $P$, where every element of the solution set is divisible by $m$. Then, for $k \geq K$, $2^k y \in A_i - kA_i$, so this solution to $P$ can be extended to a solution for System $C$ inside $A_i$.

Noting that a dense subset of $m \cdot \mathbb{N}$ is dense in $\mathbb{N}$, we see that the proof actually shows that there is a solution inside a dense colour class. Note also that, as for Theorem 9, the proof of Theorem 15 works if the sequence $(2^n)$ is replaced by any other integer sequence.

Until now we have considered *kernel partition regularity*. That is, given a colouring of $\mathbb{N}$ we have sought monochromatic kernel vectors (solutions to $Ax = 0$). There is a corresponding notion of *image partition regularity*: given a colouring of $\mathbb{N}$ and a matrix $A$ with rational entries (and only finitely many non-zero entries in each row), can we find an $x$ such that the image vector $Ax$ is monochromatic? (Note that we do not care about the colours of the entries of $x$.) For example, the length 4 version of van der Waerden’s theorem is the assertion that the following matrix is image partition regular.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 \\
1 & 3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Just as kernel partition regularity can be thought of as a statement about monochromatic solutions to sets of *equations*, image partition regularity can be thought of as a statement about monochromatic sets of *expressions*. For example, consider the set of expressions

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{1,1} + 2y & \quad x_{1,1} \\
x_{2,1} + x_{2,2} + 4y & \quad x_{2,1} \\
x_{3,1} + x_{3,2} + x_{3,3} + 8y & \quad x_{2,2} \\
\vdots & \quad \vdots
\end{align*}
\]

consisting of the left hand sides of the equations of System $C$ and the variables they contain. This set of expressions is image partition regular because for any values of the variables the expressions form a solution set for System $C$. Call this image partition regular system System $T$.

We call a matrix $A$ *image partition regular over $\mathbb{R}$ near zero* if, for all $\delta > 0$, whenever $(-\delta, \delta) \setminus \{0\}$ is finitely coloured there is a vector $x$ with real entries...
such that $Ax$ is monochromatic and contained in $(-\delta, \delta) \setminus \{0\}$. In [2] Question 3.10, De and Hindman asked whether there is a system which is image partition regular over $\mathbb{N}$ but is not image partition regular over $\mathbb{R}$ near zero. We now show that System $I$ is such a system. This is of particular interest because it is the ‘final link’ which establishes that a diagram of implications in [2] involving nineteen properties does not have any missing implications.

**Theorem 16.** System $I$ is image partition regular over $\mathbb{N}$ but not image partition regular over $\mathbb{R}$ near zero.

**Proof.** We have already seen that System $I$ is image partition regular over $\mathbb{N}$. To see that it is not image partition regular over $\mathbb{R}$ near zero choose $\delta > 0$ and partition $(-\delta, \delta) \setminus \{0\}$ as $(-\delta, 0) \cup (0, \delta)$. If System $I$ is to be monochromatic then $y$ and each of the $x_{i,j}$ must lie in the same part: without loss of generality they are all positive. But then

$$x_{n,1} + \cdots + x_{n,n} + 2^n y > 2^n y > \delta$$

for $n$ sufficiently large. \hfill $\square$
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