Male fertility

Is spermiogenesis the critical step for answering biomedical issues?

Regardining male fertility, biomedical issues have opposite goals to treat infertility or develop contraceptive drugs. Recently, the identification of the molecular mechanisms involved in germ cell differentiation suggest that spermiogenesis has to be put at the crossroad to reach these goals.

Concerning fertility issues, citizens in our modern world are schizophrenic. On one side, couples have the possibility to control conception; and on the other side, more and more couples suffer from the misfortune of being infertile. These two societal problems lead to intensive research and conflicting government policies. However, these opposing goals rely on a better understanding of germ cell differentiation.

Male Contraception

In our society, contraception is a widely discussed topic among couples. Contraception is used by couples for family planning purposes. It is also important to reduce the number of deaths and diseases in women who have unwanted pregnancies. This is why governments have contraceptive programs in place to support women with undesired pregnancies. Regarding contraception, it is rarely a question for men since the only available methods are the use of condoms and vasectomy. The lack of male contraception is also because for men, the daily production of up to 100 million spermatozoa is a much bigger hurdle to deal with vs. just one ovum every month in women. For male contraception, much research and efforts have been focused on hormonal approaches. Indeed, testicular physiology is mainly regulated by the hypothalamus-pituitary axis, which produces gonadotropins that control the endocrine (steroidogenesis) and exocrine (gamete production) functions of the testis. Both are interconnected as germ cell survival is dependent of the androgen concentrations. Thus, hormonal strategies are used to block spermatozoa production. However, a long-term impact of hormonal-based contraceptives is not known, which may expose men to health risks as demonstrated by the adverse effects of endocrine disrupters.

There are also efforts in the field to block gamete production via spermatogenesis in which undifferentiated spermatogonia are expanded by consecutive cycles of mitosis, to be followed by germ cell differentiation and meiosis, and with the haploid spermatids, undergo spermiogenesis to become spermatozoa.

Another interesting question about male contraception is the accessibility of contraceptive drugs to target cells. This is important in the testis since the testis is an immune-privileged organ and it is equipped with sophisticated structures to minimize toxicity in order to protect developing germ cells. Indeed, in the testis, the blood-testicular barrier (BTB) is a structure in the seminiferous epithelium, essential for spermatogenesis. This poses a major obstacle in delivering male
contraceptive drugs to meiotic and post-meiotic cells that are located behind the BTB. It has been established that only a small fraction of the drugs (1%) administered to adult rats via gavage could reach the testis.7

Thus, a better understanding of the BTB and a novel approach to disrupt the BTB specifically could facilitate male contraceptive development. In this context, adjudin is a potent male contraceptive. It breaks down cell/cell adhesion between Sertoli and germ cells in the seminiferous epithelium, causing transient infertility.

Besides the BTB, testis also express many drug transporters that are capable of pumping drugs and/or toxicants, including male contraceptives out of the testis via efflux drug transporters.10-12 More important, these efflux and influx drug transporters are also found in spermatogonia and early spermatocytes, which are located outside the BTB. In short, a better understanding of drug transporters and the BTB are critical to develop male contraceptives.

Inhibition of germ cell proliferation. Spermatogonia are the forerunners of all spermatogenesis, and some of these cells are also the “spermatogonial stem cells” of the germ cell lineage. Targeting spermatogonia is risky, as it could irreversibly affect daughter cells35 and progeny even after the contraception treatment has been stopped. In addition, altering the proliferation of these cells could result in tumor development.

Meiosis as the target step for contraception. The second step to focus on could be meiosis. In the last decade, knowledge about meiosis has increased. We will not give an exhaustive review, but some points are of interest for contraception.

The meiotic step is sensitive to hormonal status, as demonstrated in rodent transgenic models6 and by endocrine disruptors.7 This step of spermatogenesis could be targeted by hormonal contraception. However, such an approach may affect secondary sexual characteristics, as the hypothalamic-pituitary axis will be altered.

The entry to and progression through meiosis are under the control of the retinoid signaling pathways.14,15 Up until puberty, cytochrome Cyp26b1 maintains low concentrations of retinoids. At puberty, the retinoid levels increase and induce the expression of stimulated by retinoid gene-8 (Stra8), which allows germ cells entry to meiosis.

In contrast, fibroblast growth factor 9 (FGF9) suppresses entry to meiosis. FGF9 decreases the sensitivity of germ cells to retinoids. In addition, FGF9 signaling preserves the pluripotency of germ cells and promotes a male fate during embryonic development.

Retinoid and FGF9 act in concert to control mammalian germ cell sexual fate commitment.16 Developing drugs to modulate these pathways could be of interest for contraceptive issues.

During meiosis, homologous recombination allows the reciprocal exchange of genetic material between parental genomes and ensures proper chromosome segregation during the first meiotic cell division.27-33 Spo11, a meiosis-specific protein, introduces double-strand breaks on chrosomosomal DNA and sets up meiotic recombination. The spermatocytes of Spo11/- mice fail to synapse chromosomes and progress beyond the zygote stage of meiosis.20 The Ataxia telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) kinase, activated by DNA damage, triggers checkpoint signaling and promotes DNA strand break repair in order to pass meiosis.21 In addition, many transgenic rodent models show altered meiosis because of a failure in chromosomal synopsis. Similarly, TEX15-deficient spermatocytes exhibit a failure in chromosomal synopsis.22 Zip4h(-/-Y) mutant mice present a delay in meiotic double-strand break repair and decreased crossover formation.23

In addition, studies have demonstrated the importance of germ cell-specific epigenetic marks in the entry to and progression of meiosis.24 Interestingly, mice with a loss-of-function mutation in H3K9 histone methyltransferases are sterile, as the germ cells undergo apoptosis at the pachytenic stage.25,26 Several proteins possess H3K9 methyltransferase activity. Suv39h1, Suv39h2 and G9a can perform H3K9 dimethylation, whereas only G9a performs H3K9 monomethylation.27 MicroRNA and small RNA also play important roles in germ cell differentiation and transmission to following generations.28-30 The correct spatial and temporal expression of germ cell-specific genes is essential to produce functional spermatocytes.31 Dicer 1, an RNase III endonuclease, is essential for the biogenesis of microRNAs (miRNAs) and endogenous small-interfering RNAs (endo-siRNAs). It also degrades toxic transposable elements. Early ablation of Dicer1 at the onset of male germ cell development leads to infertility caused by multiple cumulative defects. Alterations such as delayed progression of spermatocytes to prophase I and increased apoptosis were observed in the first spermatogenic wave, resulting in a reduced number of round spermatids.32

In the future, it may be proposed to develop drugs targeting these key processes of meiosis. However, it must be ensured that these drugs will block 100% of the germ cells at meiosis; if some pass this step with incomplete DNA repair or abnormal epigenomic pattern, it could impact the development of the offspring.

Spermiogenesis as the last testicular step on which to focus. Spermatogenesis production may also be blocked at spermiogenesis, the stage in which spermatocytes become haploid spermatids. During this stage, much of the cytoplasm is removed through phagocytosis by the Sertoli cells.33 There is also a condensation of the genome following the replacement of histones by protamines.34 Several post-translational modifications occur on histones during spermatogenesis. Histone H4 hyperacetylation is associated with histone removal; however, the exact mechanisms are still unclear. Finally, acrosome formation and the establishment of the flagellum lead to spermatoozoa.35

Recently, Matzuk et al. characterized the reversible inhibitory impact of a small molecule, 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3,9-trimethyl-1,1-dimethylethylester-6H-thieno(3,2-f)(1,2,4)-triazolo(4,3-a)-(1,4)diazepine-6S-acetic acid (JQ1), on mouse fertility.36 The JQ1 molecule interacts with and is an inhibitor of the bromodomain and extra-terminal (BRDT) subfamily of epigenetic repressor proteins.37,38 BRDTs are expressed during the later stages, from pachytenic spermatocytes to spermatids. BRDTs colocalize and interact with acetylated H4
Male Infertility

Infertility affects approximately 15% of couples. Males account for 40–50% of the cases, either alone or in combination with female pathologies. The incidence of male fertility disorders is continuously increasing and has been linked to multiple factors, including genetic and environmental factors. Several epidemiological studies associate environmental factors and toxic chemicals such as endocrine disruptors (phthalates or bisphenol-A) to male infertility. In parallel, at least 40% of people undergoing anticancer treatments have impaired reproductive function. Chemotherapy or radiation may impair fertility, sometimes irreversibly. The fertility side effects of these treatments should be considered before beginning the treatment. However, for some patients, it is not possible to preserve the gametes, such as in children who contract cancer before puberty. In addition, it might be risky to preserve the spermatozoa of men with metastatic diseases, as there is no assurance that the germ cells have not been altered. In these cases, it may be safer to find other alternatives to restore the male fertility.

Infertility affects millions of people worldwide. For many people, the only possibility is to use assisted-reproductive technologies (ART). In vitro fertilization and intra-cytoplasm injection are long and difficult processes at both physical and psychological levels. Furthermore, biopsy is an invasive approach and is associated with an increased risk to develop testicular cancer. In some infertility cases, however, it is not possible to find either spermatozoa or elongated spermatids in testicular biopsies. In such cases, people may decide not to be the biological father of their child, which can be difficult for both the father and the children once they get older.

Other alternatives should be able to overcome these difficulties. The current technologies have reached their limits, leading many researchers to make efforts in developing in vitro approaches to differentiate germ cells. However, it is difficult to differentiate germ cells that are able to fertilize oocytes. The differentiation of germ cells requires specific factors that allow pluripotent cells to enter a specific lineage. Another critical step is for germ cells to pass meiosis. At this point, the haploid cells will condense their nuclei and change their shape while forming the acrosome and the flagella, essential apparatus for motility and fertilization of the oocytes.

To circumvent these difficulties, we need to improve our knowledge of germ cell differentiation. Protocols for the differentiation of germ cells went through several experimental steps before gaining some efficiency and were mostly performed using fetal cattle male germ cells. However, as fetal germ cells from humans are difficult to obtain, such approaches are hardly feasible in human clinics. Other groups managed to differentiate haploid cells from spermatogonial stem cells, but the experimental protocol, which uses fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) technology to select cells that will be able to give haploid cells, is difficult to perform. Human-induced pluripotent stem cells can produce haploid germ cells. The experimental protocol requires culture for 10 wk and the use of FACS to obtain haploid cells. However, the percentage of selected cells is low, as only 1–2% of the cultured cells are haploid.

All these data have provided many clues to better understand spermatogenesis. A recent study by Easley et al. has led to a jump in the capacity to differentiate spermatogenic cells. The authors described how to obtain haploid spermatogenic cells from human foreskin fibroblasts without any genetic manipulation. Using a specific cell culture protocol, they have been able to differentiate these cells into postmeiotic round spermatids in a very short amount of time, only 10 d. In addition, this protocol is more efficient, as 4–5% of human pluripotent stem cells give haploid cells.

Although the study from Easley et al. produced some interesting results, various points need to be clarified. Ten days seems too short period to produce haploid cells compared with the classical length of spermatogenesis in human (74 d). It must be confirmed that the spermatids have been correctly differentiated. Another striking point is that a longer culture time
did not allow the authors to obtain more haploid cells; in fact, the percentage even decreased after 20 d of culture, suggesting that the other germ-like cells (VASA+) do not have the capacity to differentiate into haploid cells.

However, this protocol may allow researchers to study events during early spermatogenesis from human primordial stem cells (hPSCs) to spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) and to characterize the events associated with spermiogenesis to the round spermatid stage. If there is a long way to go, such results highlight the need to increase our knowledge of spermatogenesis to be able to transplant germ cells that will differentiate in vivo in functional spermatozoa in the future.

In addition, the cells failed to perform complete spermiogenesis. This study highlights the complexity of such differentiation and identifies some critical missing factors in this experimental protocol. This research highlights the potential need for supporting cells, namely Sertoli cells, for completing spermatogenesis in vitro. Sertoli cells play a supportive role to germ cells and maintain spermatogenesis (Cheng et al., 2010). They form the hemato-testis barrier that isolates the germ cells from blood components, particularly immune mediators. It is worth noting that these functions might not be essential for the in vitro differentiation of germ cells. The role of Sertoli cells as the only source of nutrients and growth factors for germinal cells is bypassed in vitro by adding growth factors, serum and other supplements to the medium. However, the critical role of Sertoli cells in removing germ cell cytoplasm might be missing. Co-cultures of germ cells with Sertoli cells may be helpful to help human pluripotent fibroblasts to become haploid spermatids.

Buganim and collaborators managed to differentiate fibroblasts into embryonic Sertoli-like cells that are able to support germ cell survival in vitro. The addition of such cells could help to complete germ cell differentiation. This idea is supported by data showing that in vitro SSC lines or any isolated SSCs can perform full spermatogenesis. These data were obtained using an approach in which SSCs were transplanted into organ cultures. The obtained haploid cells (elongated spermatids and flagellated sperm) gave rise to healthy offspring through micro-insemination. Using foreskin fibroblasts to perform co-culture of Sertoli-like cells and germ cells may be a major advance toward helping male infertility.

A Long Way to Go and Many Questions to Solve Before Differentiating Fully Competent Germ Cells

(1) Although the potential use of human foreskin fibroblasts opens a new field of research in the production of differentiated germ cells, the quality of the germ cells must be controlled before using such cells for ART. It will be necessary to assess the criteria used to define differentiation. Is differentiation only morphological? Is it the correct expression of specific cell-type markers (protamine, acrosin, etc.)? Is it a specific epigenetic pattern (DNA methylation; histones/protamines)?

(2) Further tests will be needed to assure that these haploid germ cells can, at least in vitro, give rise to embryos.

(3) Once in vitro differentiation of efficient germ cells has been achieved, society will also have to address the bioethical challenges.

(4) The use of animal models also represents a tool for exploring the root causes of male infertility. Deriving hPSCs from infertile men will allow identification of where spermatogenesis arrests. It will be important to pre-clinically evaluate whether these in vitro-generated gamete forerunners have reproductive capacities in vivo. Re-implantation studies and analyses of embryo development in utero will also be necessary. As research on humans should be avoided for ethical reasons, inter-species studies will be required. Such approaches have already been performed and have succeeded between goats and mice. The use of animal models will be of major importance, as there is a large source of mouse models with altered spermatogenesis, altered Leydig or altered Sertoli functions. Approaches with transgenic models may be helpful in analyzing the cell/cell communication and paracrine factors involved in complete spermatogenesis.

Focusing Research on a Common Target to Improve ART and Contraceptive Issues?

During recent decades, there has been increasing interest in biomedical issues involving infertility and contraception.

In addition, ethical issues could alter contraceptive approaches. For example, the use of condoms is associated with sex outside marriage in Africa, inhibiting their use between married couples.

Vasectomy is, of course, an irreversible method of contraception under male control. The prevalence of vasectomy varies widely around the world. Some couples that choose sterilization cite problems with other contraceptive methods. In addition, couples that choose sterilization are more likely to be older, to be married and to have children. In some countries (for example in Latin America and the Caribbean), couples that choose sterilization are more likely to be of higher socioeconomic status, while in others (for example in India and Bangladesh), they are more likely to be of lower socioeconomic status.

Attitudes toward male condoms and new contraceptive methods varied markedly according to cultural background. One study reported that men from South Africa, China and Hong Kong were less enthusiastic about hormonal contraception for men, while another study reported significant cultural variations in the acceptability of hormonal contraception for men. Educated men were more likely to find the idea acceptable. Those opposed to contraception either in general or because of their religious beliefs were likely to approve of male methods.

Masculinity is rarely evoked about contraceptive approaches when male alterations are involved in the decrease of fertility, particularly in the context of endocrine. If testicular biopsies can help in obtaining spermatozoa with infertility due to altered germ cell production, other approaches need to be developed. Indeed, a biopsy is an invasive approach and is associated with an increased risk for developing testicular cancer. One might think that to transplant spermatogonia differentiated in vitro from fibroblasts might allow a man to be the biological father of his children.
course, such transplantation approaches could be successful only when the origin of the default is within the germ cells. If the problems come from endocrine functions or Sertoli cells for example, transplantation of spermatogonial stem cells will not have any chance to succeed. If the alterations (mutation, epigenetic information) are intrinsic to germ cells, however, transplantation could be of major interest.

**Concluding Remarks**

To reach these two opposite objectives of reproductive biology, the common point seems to better understand the key steps involved in spermatogenesis. Such knowledge is essential to identify the clues necessary to successfully differentiate germ cells in vitro and to identify the best contraceptive molecules.

Furthermore, obtaining in vitro differentiated spermatozoa will be less invasive than performing biopsies. The work of Easley et al. thus opens new perspectives, as the authors have been able to differentiate germ cells from pluripotent fibroblasts. However, it still difficult to differentiate germ cells up to spermatozoa. This difficulty to pass spermiogenesis suggests that it is a key step of germ cell differentiation.

A study with the opposite purpose of generating a new contraceptive approach also highlights the importance of this step of spermatogenesis. The use of JQ1 arrests germ cell differentiation at haploid step, as shown by the decreased number of spermatids.

Both studies identify chromatin remodeling as a critical step. Epigenetic modifications are of major importance for development, as epigenetic changes can lead to embryonic death. This was shown in rodent models invalidated for genes encoding DNA-methyltransferases. In addition, alterations in the epigenetic pattern (DNA or histone modifications) correlate with an increased incidence of pathologies such as cancer. Increased knowledge of germ cell differentiation is necessary to avoid or to minimize impacts on the offspring, even after several generations. To restore fertility using in vitro-differentiated haploid cells, a correct epigenetic pattern will be necessary to achieve the best rate of embryonic development in vitro and to reduce spontaneous abortions after re-implantation in females and the incidence of severe pathologies in the progeny.

The role of epigenetics in male gametes must also be evaluated. Indeed, ART approaches may lead to epigenetic modifications in the embryos developed in vitro. Although epigenetics has not clearly been shown to have an impact on progeny development, the fact that epigenetic modifications result in developmental defects that lead to embryonic death, which could contribute to the low efficiency of ART approaches (approximately 20% success rate) cannot be excluded. A recent study suggests that epigenetic modifications during ART are normally corrected in the germ line by epigenetic reprogramming and, thus, not spread to following generations. However, such impacts still need to be further studied, as rare epigenetic disorders such as Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome or Angelman syndrome seem higher.

Likewise, it will be necessary to control for the quality of germ cells that will be generated after the arrest of the temporary contraception in men. This includes morphological aspects as well as classical quality criteria such as mobility and capacity to fecund. The emergence of the importance of epigenetic status in haploid germ cells indicates that these criteria will also need to be taken into consideration.

All these studies on spermatogenesis will help in developing new strategies for contraception and for new approaches for restoring fertility. From all these recent data, the identification of the molecular mechanisms involved in such a complex process will give some hints and contribute to the emergence of a new field of biomedical research. Finally, these studies suggest that spermiogenesis must be placed at the crossroads to reach the goals of the two main issues of biomedical reproductive biology, namely male contraception and male infertility.
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