Rhizosphere microbiome: Functional compensatory assembly for plant fitness
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ABSTRACT
Environmental pressure to reduce our reliance on agrochemicals and the necessity to increase crop production in a sustainable way have made the rhizosphere microbiome an untapped resource for combating challenges to agricultural sustainability. In recent years, substantial efforts to characterize the structural and functional diversity of rhizosphere microbiomes of the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana and various crops have demonstrated their importance for plant fitness. However, the plant benefiting mechanisms of the rhizosphere microbiome as a whole community rather than as an individual rhizobacterium have only been revealed in recent years. The underlying principle dominating the assembly of the rhizosphere microbiome remains to be elucidated, and we are still struggling to harness the rhizosphere microbiome for agricultural sustainability. In this review, we summarize the recent progress of the driving factors shaping the rhizosphere microbiome and provide community-level mechanistic insights into the benefits that the rhizosphere microbiome has for plant fitness. We then propose the functional compensatory principle underlying rhizosphere microbiome assembly. Finally, we suggest future research efforts to explore the rhizosphere microbiome for agricultural sustainability.
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1. Introduction

Plants harbor diverse microbiome inhabitants, including bacteria, fungi, viruses, protists, and nematodes. These microorganisms are key components of the host plant and can colonize outside and inside of the plant tissue, referring to the rhizosphere (a narrow zone influenced by plant roots), phyllosphere (aboveground plant parts, particularly the leaves), anhospheres (a zone around the flowers, a subdivision of phyllosphere), spermosphere (a habitat surrounding the seeds where the soil, germinating seeds, and the microbial communities interact) and endosphere microbes (inside plant parts) [1]. The plant and its associated microorganisms are proposed to function as a holobiont, which is a consequence of evolutionary selection between plants and microorganisms [2]. Compared to the other plant compartments and the bulk soil, the rhizosphere, in which the microbial abundance, density and activity are largely increased, is considered the second genome of plants [3]. Therefore, the rhizosphere is a hotspot for plant-microbiome-soil interactions and serves as the gateway for plants to uptake nutrients and the first line of defense against both biotic and abiotic stresses [4]. Consequently, the rhizosphere microbiome can potentially be manipulated to increase crop yields and to reduce chemical fertilizer and pesticide inputs [5].

The beneficial roles of some plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have been extensively studied over the past decades [6]. For example, some Bacillus spp. and Pseudomonas spp. members can not only stimulate induced systemic resistance (ISR) of the host plant and produce antibiotics to suppress pathogens, but also secrete secondary metabolites to promote growth or to enhance abiotic stress tolerance of the host plant [7,8]. However, these functions depend on the abiotic conditions and the biological interactions for these members to exert their plant beneficial properties in a rhizosphere community with high species diversity [9,10]. To achieve a more comprehensive understanding of how to make full use of the plant beneficial functions of rhizosphere microorganisms, first, it is important to characterize the principles that govern the assembly process and drive the diversity and composition of the rhizosphere microbiome. Next, the complicated interactions within the rhizosphere microbiome and between the rhizosphere microbiome and its host plant and their consequences on promoting plant growth and development, improving plant nutrient acquisition, increasing abiotic stress tolerance and enhancing pathogen suppression of the plants need to be identified. Furthermore, integrated strategies exploiting microbial functions and plant traits need to be implemented in the sustainable development of agriculture.

In this review, we summarize the recent progress of the driving factors underlying the assembly of the rhizosphere microbiome. Next, we review mechanistic insights into the benefits of the rhizosphere microbiome on plant fitness. Finally, we discuss the new reductionist approaches for the development of synthetic microbial inoculants and the challenges for exploiting the beneficial outcomes of the rhizosphere microbiome.

2. Factors driving the assembly of the rhizosphere microbiome

Most of the dominant members in the rhizosphere microbial community are generally fast-growing r-strategists that are attracted by and feed on the abundant carbon substrates released by the plant root [11]. Independent studies have depicted that the bacterial taxa detected in the rhizosphere mostly belong to the phylum Proteobacteria, followed by Actinobacteria and Firmicutes [12–14]. Numerous studies have shown that the composition and relative abundance of rhizospheric microbial populations are plant species-specific (plant dominated) or location-specific (soil dominated) [14–16]. The assembly of the rhizosphere microbiome is governed by the abiotic factors of soil properties and climate and the biotic factors of plant species, plant immunity and biotic interactions [15,17–19] (Fig. 1a).

First, the soil microbiome is considered the microbial seed bank of the rhizosphere microbiome [20]. Physico-chemical properties, such as soil pH, nutrient availability, soil moisture and temperature, among different soil types or along biogeographical distance patterns shape the structure of the soil microbial community [21–24], which determines the initiation of rhizosphere community assembly. In particular, anthropogenic activities, generally agricultural management practices, have profound impacts on the physico-chemical properties of the soil, consequently influencing the soil and the rhizosphere microbial community [25,26]. Amplicon sequencing-based studies focusing on the rhizosphere microbial communities of different Arabidopsis thaliana populations have demonstrated that soil type is the primary driving factor affecting the rhizosphere bacterial community [15]; however, climate is more important for the rhizosphere fungal community than soil type [27].

Compared to the soil type, another strong determinant of the rhizosphere microbiome composition is the host plant. The differences in plant genotype-induced specific rhizodeposits, among both inter- and intraspecies of the plant, can strongly affect the colonization of rhizosphere microbes [28]. A recent genome-wide association study revealed that several annotated candidate genes within the chromosome 4 locus of the sorghum genome are likely to control the high heritability of Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia in the rhizosphere microbiome [29]. Similar results in the rhizosphere bacterial and archaeal communities of common bean emerged, in which a set of core bacterial taxa of Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria and Verrucomicrobia were consistently detected, regardless of the growing region, plant development, and divergent genotypes [30]. These genotypic differences of plants are likely to attract different microbes through their differences in the biosynthesis of specific metabolites, which may trigger multiple responses in different soil microorganisms [31]. Three divergent pathways for the biosynthesis of root triterpenes in the roots of A. thaliana were reconstituted, and their irreplaceable role as carbon sources in establishing an Arabidopsis-specific root microbial community was confirmed [32]. Moreover, the synthesis of the plant defense hormone salicylic acid can alter the composition of the rhizosphere microbial community in A. thaliana through the selection of tolerant microbes [33]. These heritable or specific metabolite-attractive/resistant members discovered in the rhizosphere microbiome are in line with host preference. This host preference could probably be linked to specific transcriptional reprogramming in roots to format host species-specific rhizosphere niches; hence, commensal bacteria confer a competitive advantage in their cognate host [34]. However, these host species-specific interactions are not invariable. For instance, the development of high-yielding varieties and the agronomic management of modern agriculture inadvertently modified the root-microbe interactions in maize, in which fewer microorganisms responsible for nitrogen (N) acquisition but more members contributing to N losses were recruited in the rhizosphere of recently developed cultivars [35]. In contrast, adaptive evolution by improving the competitiveness for root exudates or enhancing the tolerance to the plant-secreted antimicrobial metabolites of a nonplant-associated microbe may promote its established reciprocal symbiosis relationship with the host plant [36].

In addition to soil properties and specific metabolites, the plant immune system has become a priority in understanding the mechanisms that govern the distribution and abundance of plant rhizosphere microbes. Numerous commensal species can express the immunogenic microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPS)
that are found in both plant pathogens and beneficial members, indicating the potential of these microbes to trigger immune responses in their host plants, known as MAMP-triggered immunity (MTI) [37]. In response, these rhizosphere microbes have evolved mechanisms to suppress host defense responses. For instance, the pathogenic fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* can produce effectors that target the host jasmonic acid (JA) signaling pathway [38], while commensal and beneficial microbes may evade recognition by programming diverse peptide derivatives of flagellin (flg22) [39]. In addition to MAMP perception, the reactive oxygen species (ROS) burst is a critical step of innate immune activation in plants. The model pathogenic bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 is able to synthesize a newly discovered small molecule, phevamine A, to suppress a potentiation effects of spermidine and L-arginine on the ROS burst generated upon recognition of bacterial flagellin [40]. Moreover, FERONIA-mediated ROS production in *A. thaliana*, which is largely independent of its immune scaffold function, plays a role in development and jasmonic acid autoimmunity and has been proven to regulate beneficial pseudomonads specifically in the rhizosphere [41].

### 3. Plant-beneficial functions of the rhizosphere microbiome

Plants recruit a set of rhizosphere microbial populations to provide essential functions for plant growth and health. Currently, many studies have focused on the description of the taxonomic composition of the rhizosphere microbiome. Insights into the functions of the rhizosphere microbiome are pivotal to improving plant fitness. However, the dissection of plant-microbe interactions is highly complicated regarding the diversity of the rhizosphere microbiome. In recent years, a reductionist approach to the synthetic community (SynCom) has been developed to study the specific mechanisms that drive community assembly and the interactions among different members in a gnotobiotic system [42,43]. For instance, the consistent differences between the maize phenotypes of inbred lines and hybrids and the composition of rhizosphere bacterial and fungal communities [44] have been further confirmed by inoculation with a simple community of seven bacterial strains [45]. This reductionist approach would allow global research to replicate experiments easily through two standardized pipelines: high-throughput bacterial cultivation and identification [46,47] and the establishment of plant growth systems [48].

The rhizosphere microbiome confers fitness advantages to the plant host, including growth promotion, nutrient acquisition, soil-borne pathogen suppression and stress tolerance (Fig. 1b). Many members of the rhizosphere microbiome have been shown to regulate plant growth [49]. Recent studies have demonstrated that a wide diversity of rhizosphere bacterial strains are able to inhibit root growth and can switch the plant response to low doses of glyphosate from growth promotion to growth inhibition [50]; however, the reversion of root growth inhibition could be assigned to a single bacterial genus of *Variovorax*, which contains a conserved auxin-degradation operon [51].

#### 3.1. Nutrient acquisition

Plant-microbe interactions trigger the essential functions of the rhizosphere microbiome in improving plant nutrition. PGPRs can increase plant nutrient acquisition by either enhancing the avail-
ability of soil nutrients or improving the root system architecture of host plants. For instance, a better nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) of *Oryza indica* than *Oryza japonica* varieties is attributed to the recruitment of a higher proportion of N cycle-related bacteria in the rhizosphere of the *O. indica* varieties regulating by the host NRT1.1B gene [52]. Notably, inoculation of a 16-member indica-enriched SynCom confirmed its contribution to higher NUE in *O. indica* varieties. In maize varieties, a recent study established that root-derived flavones predominantly promote the enrichment of Oxalobacteraceae in the rhizosphere, which in turn improves LRT1-mediated lateral root development and promotes maize growth and N acquisition [53]. Sweetcorn displays a unique root exudate composition and recruits N-fixing bacterial taxa of *Burkholderia*, *Rhizobium*, and *Sphingomonas* in the rhizosphere among different maize germplasm groups [16]. To exploit the role of metabolites in activating plant-microbe interactions, Geddes et al. [54] expressed a synthetic pathway for the production of rHzopine scyllo-inosamine in both *Medicago truncatula* and barley, which was recognized by rhizobia associated with both the legume and cereal tissues.

The plant rhizosphere microbiome can also improve phosphorous (P) and iron nutrition under phosphate starvation and iron deprivation conditions, respectively. Under phosphate-limiting conditions, the master transcriptional regulator PHR1-mediated phosphate starvation response (PSR) in *A. thaliana* contributes to the assembly of the rhizosphere microbiome, and further inoculations of SynComs with different complexities demonstrate that the rhizosphere microbiome can enhance the transcription of plant PSR genes and promote the uptake of inorganic phosphate [55]. This PSR-induced rhizosphere microbiome assembly may encompass both plant-adaptive and opportunistic strategies, in which opportunistic colonizers are found to exacerbate phosphate starvation of the host plant [56]. In addition, the previously underestimated role of plant-fungus interactions in P cycling has been demonstrated to be enhanced in the rhizosphere of nonnycorrhizal plants to improve plant growth and P uptake in extremely P-limited soil [57]. It should be noted that the above study suggests a joint regulation of immune status associated with P acquisition regulated by the rhizosphere microbiome [55]. Similarly, the root-specific MYB72-regulated biosynthesis and BGLU42-dependent secretation of the coumarin scopoletin, an iron chelator with selective antimicrobial activity, altered the composition of the rhizosphere microbiome through its variable antimicrobial activity on specific bacterial taxa [58] and has important roles in both rhizobacteria-mediated iron acquisition and immune regulation under iron-limiting conditions [59].

### 3.2. Stress tolerance

The host plant is able to assemble a rhizosphere microbiome under abiotic or biotic stress conditions, which in turn modifies plant responses to environmental stresses. Drought is one of the most common environmental stresses. A coupled study of genome-resolved metagenomics and comparative genomics demonstrated that bacterial iron transport and metabolism functionality in the sorghum rhizosphere are highly correlated with their enrichment under drought stress [60]. Specifically, drought stress induced the loss of a phytosiderophore iron transporter and consequently led to the enrichment of Actinobacteria, while this enrichment could be disrupted by the exogenous application of iron. The prolonged drought-induced enrichment of endophytic Actinobacteria, especially *Streptomyces*, may have enduring impacts on rice and wheat [61,62]. The regulation of the salt tolerance gene in rice under salt stress may involve the recruitment of some microbial species of *Dyella*, *Rhizobium* and *Thiomonas* [63]. Notably, Li et al. [64] suggest that it is a rhizosphere bacterial consortium, rather than individual members, that provides enduring resistance against salt stress. Furthermore, the plant flowering time has been proven to be altered by the rhizosphere microbiome [65]. The development of root diffusion barriers in the endodermis, especially suberin deposition, is critical for the mineral nutrient balance of plants and has been demonstrated to be mediated by the root microbial repression to the abscisic acid responses in the root [66]. These microbe-mediated regulations on plant flowering time and development root diffusion barriers have opened up multiple opportunities to develop crops that are more adapted to extreme environmental conditions.

### 3.3. Pathogen suppression

To establish infection, plant pathogens secrete effectors, which are secreted molecules that support host colonization, to promote disease development during colonization of their hosts [67]. For instance, the fungal pathogen *Verticillium dahlia* can suppress antagonistic bacteria and manipulate the rhizosphere microbiome by secreting the virulence effector VdAvE1, which may facilitate its colonization of tomato and cotton [68]. The dysbiosis of the protective gram-positive bacterial community promotes pathogen invasion and disease progress [69]. In response to pathogen invasion, rhizosphere microbes can modify plant evolutionary responses through multiple pathways [70]. First, the rhizosphere microbiome provides direct protection against soil-borne pathogens, including secretion of antimicrobial compounds to inhibit the growth and virulence of pathogens [71] and competition for resources such as carbon, nitrogen and iron [72,73]. Then, plant immunity could be activated by rhizosphere microbes to enhance disease resistance [69]. Individual rhizosphere microbes can either elicit or dampen MTI responses to modulate host susceptibility to pathogens [74]. Interestingly, organic crop and soil management triggers enrichment of Micrococcales in the rhizosphere to induce high salicylic acid levels in plant and consequently improve plant resistance to herbivorous insects [75]. The PGPR strain *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* GB03 elicits the release of β-caryophyllene, a plant volatile organic compound, by the host plant, which promotes downstream impacts on salicylic acid secretion in the roots of neighboring seedlings [76]. Finally, the rhizosphere microbiome can regulate the growth-defense trade-off of the host plant [77]. For instance, the transcriptional regulator MYC2 in *A. thaliana* regulates a microbiome-root-shoot circuit favoring growth over defense under suboptimal light [78]. Defensive secondary metabolites of the benzoxazinoids that are released by cereal roots increase jasmonate signaling for plant defense, however, plant growth can be decreased and the rhizosphere microbial composition is modified to improve insect herbivore resistance, even in the next plant generation [79]. The concept of a “soil-borne legacy” suggests a suppressive memory to benefit future plant generations growing in the same soil [80–82]. This suppressive memory to boost plant health is thought to be an beneficial microbial attractive result by the modification plant root exudates [83].

### 4. Assembly patterns of the rhizosphere microbiome

Despite the important roles of the rhizosphere microbiome in plant nutrient acquisition, stress tolerance and pathogen suppression, the current understanding of the assembly pattern in the rhizosphere is still in its infancy. Bulgarelli et al. [84] first proposed a two-step selection process for the root-associated microbiome, in which rhizodeposition fuels an initial substrate-driven community shift in the rhizosphere and the host genotype functions in further selection in the endosphere. On this basis, a three-step enrichment model for the assembly of the root-associated microbiome from...
bulk soil toward roots was presented, which introduced a micro-
ard habitat of the rhizoplane at the soil-root interface [85]. Besides, a
multistep model suggests that the rhizoplane plays a selective gat-
ning role in root microbiome assembly from soil [14]. Recently, an 
“amplification-selection” model was proposed for the assembly of 
the rhizosphere microbiome [86]. However, these assembly mod-
els only considered the horizontal recruitment of root-
associated microorganisms from the bulk soil. Generally, plants 
actively assemble their rhizosphere microorganisms from surround-
ing microbial reservoirs, including dominant horizontal transfer-
ce from the bulk soil and a small portion of vertical transmission from the seed [87]. Recently, a new assembly pattern of 
“functional compensation”, i.e., the rhizosphere microbiome is 
assembled to compensate for the functional requirements of the 
host plant that cannot be completed by itself, has been proposed to 
dominate the assembly of the rhizosphere bacterial community
[17]. This assembly pattern involves both outside-in recruitment of 
the soil microbiome and inside-out release of the endosphere 
microbiome (Fig. 1c). Shao et al. [88] detected a massive compen-
satory colonization of maize seed-borne phosphate-solubilizing bacteria, <i>Burkholderia gladioli</i>, in the rhizosphere when cultivated in P-limited soil. Therefore, plants recruit different rhizosphere bacterial communities to satisfy the functional requirements for their fitness under different soil conditions.

5. Future perspectives – exploiting the rhizosphere microbiome for sustainable agricultural production

The increasing world population for more food and feed pro-
duction, the environmental concern for overuse of chemical fertil-
izers and pesticides, and the soil quality issues on plant debris 
turnover and organic carbon pool improvement are the major chal-
enges for sustainable agriculture. Solutions to those challenges 
necessitate multiple approaches, and exploring the rhizosphere 
microbiome to support crop production is even more urgent. The 
rhizosphere microbiome can be manipulated or engineered to 
favor crop growth and health through agricultural management 
practices, and breeding for beneficial plant–microbe interactions 
will be an additional promising approach to make better use of 
the rhizosphere microbiome for sustainable agriculture.

Agricultural practices are usually recognized to have a negative 
effect on plant associations of the rhizosphere microbiome. Agro-
chemical applications, including fungicides and chemical soil dis-
fection used to suppress microbial pathogens, disrupt the 
dynamic balance of the microbial community and its functions
[89]. Continued monoculture and soil tillage reduce soil and rhizo-
sphere microbial diversity [90]. Precision agronomic practices in-
tegrating microbiome function will be a promising approach for the future. The agricultural practices that promote crop diversity (for example, rotations or intercropping), inoculation of beneficial microbial mixes (for example, N-fixing or P-solubilizing biological soil inoculant) and application of organic soil amendments (for example, manure or compost) or generally minimize environmen-
tal disturbance have been shown to promote rhizosphere micro-
organisms [91,92]. Understanding how agricultural practices in-
fluence the rhizosphere microbiome may lead to strategies to 
modulate the rhizosphere microbiome in a desired direction.

The domestication and breeding of modern crop cultivars has 
affected the association of the rhizosphere microbiome. Modern 
crops have been shown to have a compromised ability to sustain 
relationships with mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth-
promoting rhizobacteria [93]. Wild crops have evolved a specific 
rhizosphere microbiome, but this selection was disrupted with 
domestication, which has led not only to the loss of crop genetic 
diversity but also to a reduction in microbial diversity associated 
with crops and a loss of the capacity to interact with specific ben-
eeficial plant microorganisms [94]. It is possible to breed or select 
plants with the ability to attract a beneficial rhizosphere micro-
biope. Recent studies have demonstrated that the core rhizo-
sphere functional microorganisms are determined by crop genetics
[29,52], which provides the possibility to include the traits of the 
rhizosphere microbiome as a breeding target. Yet, successful com-
mercial modern crop cultivars targeting beneficial microorganisms 
are scarce, the limitations that impede these breeding efforts are 
the lack of understanding of plant mechanisms controlling the 
assembly of the rhizosphere microbiome and the infrequent of 
required microbes in highly diverse soil microorganisms. Future crop 
breeding should consider the associated rhizosphere microbiome 
within the holobiont to confer additional beneficial traits for sus-
tainable agricultural production, which is highly promising in 
regard to delivering a new generation of microbe-improved plants.

The necessity to increase crop production in a sustainable way 
have becomes more urgent when considering climate change and 
world population growth; thus, harnessing the rhizosphere micro-
biope to fuel a future of sustainable agriculture is a promising 
strategy. However, a one-size-fits-all approach to better use rhizo-
sphere microorganisms is unrealistic; there is a clear need for 
improved integration of microbial technology and host genetics 
to develop best management practices and breeding to sustain 
aricultural production.
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