Smearing of mass accretion rate variation by viscous processes in accretion disks in compact binary systems
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Abstract  Variation of mass supply rate from the companion can be smeared out by viscous processes inside an accretion disk. Hence, by the time the flow reaches the inner edge, the variation in X-rays need not reflect the true variation of the mass supply rate at the outer edge. However, if the viscosity fluctuates around a mean value, one would expect the viscous time scale $t_{\text{visc}}$ also to spread around a mean value. In high mass X-ray binaries, which are thought to be primarily wind-fed, the size of the viscous Keplerian disk is smaller and thus such a spread could be lower as compared to the low mass X-ray binaries which are primarily fed by Roche lobe overflow. If there is an increasing or decreasing trend in viscosity, the interval between enhanced emission would be modified systematically. In the absence of a detailed knowledge about the variation of mass supply rates at the outer edge, we study ideal circumstances where modulation must take place exactly in orbital time scales, such as when there is an ellipticity in the orbit. We study a few compact binaries using long term All Sky monitor (ASM) data (1.5-12 keV) of Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) and all sky survey data (15-50 keV) of Swift satellites by different methods to look for such smearing effects and to infer what these results can tell us about the viscous processes inside the respective disks. We employ three different methods to seek imprints of periodicity on the X-ray variation and found that in all the cases, the location of the peak in the power density spectra is consistent with the orbital frequencies. Interestingly, in high mass X-ray binaries the peaks are sharp with high $rms$ values, consistent with a small Keplerian disk in a wind fed system. However, in low mass X-ray binaries with larger Keplerian disk component, the peaks are spread out with much lower $rms$ values. X-ray reflections, or superhump phenomena which may also cause such X-ray modulations would not be affected by the size of the Keplerian disk component. Our result thus confirms different sizes of Keplerian disks in these two important classes of binaries. If the orbital period of any binary system is not known, it may be obtained with reasonable accuracy for HMXBs and with lesser accuracy for LMXBs by our method.
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1 Introduction

X-ray radiations are emitted from accretion disks around compact objects such as black holes primarily from two types of processes. A usually geometrically thin and optically thick disk region produces multi-color blackbody radiation (Shakura & Sunyaev, 1973) and a geometrically thick but generally optically thin component emits high energy power-law component due to repeated Compton scattering (Sunyaev & Titarchuk, 1980). In a consistent model which has these two types of components built-in as in two component advective flow (TCAF) of Chakrabarti & Titarchuk (1995), it is understood that the variation in X-ray flux and spectral index is directly related to the variation of the rates of the two components close to the black hole. However, infall of matter is guided by the viscosity of the flow and had the viscosity been constant for both the components, the variation at the outer edge would
be reflected exactly at the inner edge after a constant viscous time delay. Thus, for instance, if there were a periodic change in mass accretion rate and the disk size were small, a simple power density spectrum of a long term light curve would have revealed the periodicity with a narrow peak or a peak with high quality factor. But if the disk size were large, the viscosity may itself vary during the infall and we could expect a lesser sharp peak with a low quality factor. If the viscosity changes monotonically then even the period in X-ray emission would gradually drift.

X-ray flux from the stellar mass black holes is generally known to be time dependent. There are persistent X-ray sources such as Cyg X-1, Cyg X-3 etc. and there are variable sources such as GRS 1915+105 which show several classes of light curves. Yet another type of source show outbursts at irregular intervals. Examples are: H 1743-322, GX 339-4, and GRO J1655-40, MAXI J1543-564 etc. Apart from strong temporal and spectral variations which are manifested by spectral state transitions and possibility of quasi-periodic oscillations, there could be subtle modulations over and above apparent steady and persistent flux. In order to study effects of viscous smearing, it is useful to target data from these time domains since viscosity could be almost constant and thus the there is no apparent flux or spectral state variations. Peaks in power density spectra could reveal orbital periodicity also. Using two years data of RXTE/ASM for Cyg X-1, Wen et al. (1999) reported detection of the 5.6 d orbital period in Lomb-Scargle periodograms of both light curves and hardness ratios when Cyg X-1 was in the hard state though this feature was ‘absent’ in the soft state. Botorson and Vrtilek (2010) found orbital variability in Cyg X-1 during the soft states using light curves provided by the long-time RXTE/ASM data with a similar technique leading to their conclusion that the orbital variability in all soft states could be detected if the span of data was sufficiently longer. Later Wen et al. (2005) analyzed long-time (8.5 years) RXTE/ASM data using the same periodogram technique and showed periodic modulation in a large number of X-ray sources. Their systematic analysis revealed that orbital modulation was more readily detected in HMXBs than in LMXBs. The fraction of eclipses from their observations in LMXBs was < 3%, which is much less compared to that of the HMXB systems. Thus, most surprisingly, the orbital modulation appears to be making its mark on the X-rays emitted from the inner edge of the disk. The information of periodicity is thus propagated through the entire Keplerian disk more or less faithfully independent of viscosity in the disk.

Of course, this is not the only type of modulation seen in long term X-ray data. Patterson et al. (2005, and references therein) reports that cataclysmic binaries exhibit superhumps with periodicity a few percent higher than the orbital period provided the mass ratio \( q = M_2/M_1 < 0.3 \) (where, \( M_2 \) and \( M_1 \) are the companion mass and the compact mass respectively). Boyd, Snale and Dolan (2001) find X-ray modulation in LMC X-3 at the known orbital period of 1.7 days. Brocksopp et al. (1999a) find a similar modulation in Cyg X-1. Smith et al. (2002a), using five years of RXTE data of galactic black hole candidates 1E 1740.7-2942 and GRS 1758-258, show that these have periodic modulations of 12.73±0.05 days and 18.45±0.10 days respectively and interpreted these as the orbital modulations. However, Obst et al. (2013) found this number to be drifting for GRS 1758-258. Kudryavtsev et al. (2004) reported obtaining several such periodicities in the MIR satellite data and they identified some of these with periodicities of known objects, such as, H 1705-25, GRO J1655-40, and 4U 1543-47. They did not identify the reasons of such a modulation but concluded that these periodicities may not be connected to eclipse.

A simple way to periodically modulate the inflow rate at the outer edge would be to change the tidal force onto the companion by the compact primary. Imagine for the sake of argument that there is a non-zero eccentricity of the orbit. In such an orbit, tidal force \( F_t \) exerted by the primary on the companion would be modulated since \( F_t \) is inversely proportional to the cube of the distance between the two stars. If the semi-major axis and the orbital eccentricity are \( a \) and \( e \) respectively, then

\[
F_{t,a} \propto \frac{1}{a(1+e)^3}, \tag{1a}
\]

and

\[
F_{t,p} \propto \frac{1}{a(1-e)^3}, \tag{1b}
\]

would be the net tidal force at apoapsis (marked by subscript ‘a’ or apoapsis) and periastron (marked by subscript ‘e’ or periastron) respectively (Bradt, 2008). In our context, where we consider the passage of a companion around a black hole, we use the terms ‘aponigrumcavum’ and ‘perinigrumcavum’ (ANC and PNC in short) to represent apoapsis and periastron respectively (‘nigrum cavum’ being ‘black hole’ in Latin). Since the supply of matter from the companion is proportional to this tidal effect there will be a periodic variation in the accretion rates, be it Keplerian flow passing through the Roche lobe, or just low angular momentum winds (sub-Keplerian). Ratio of any of the component rates at ANC and PNC would be,

\[
\frac{\dot{M}_e}{\dot{M}_p} = \frac{1-e}{1+e}. \tag{2}
\]
Modulation of these rates will propagate in viscous time scales and would enhance soft X-rays which act as seed photons for Comptonization. This will, in turn, increase the flux of Comptonized X-rays by the same fraction. We should therefore expect a modulation of X-rays at orbital period in all the compact binaries whose eccentricity is non-zero and viscosity is roughly constant throughout the disk during the entire infall time scale. Large amplitude variation of accretion rates in both short and long time scales is quite common and they are reflected in spectral properties (CT95). The enhanced matter near PNC initially in the form of an 'arc' is expected to be circularized due to differential motion as the perturbation propagates towards the black hole. Unless viscosity is very high, the shape of the density wave is not expected to be totally smeared out (Pringle, 1981). When the viscous time scale is very small compared to the orbital time scale, there would be multiple perturbations in the form of rings propagating inwards. However, only one at a time would perturb the seed photons. The next ring would affect the X-ray counts after an orbital period. Hence, in addition to various other causes of X-ray variabilities, orbital periods would modulate X-rays if the orbit possesses an eccentricity.

It is well known that spectral properties of black hole candidates cannot be explained by a Keplerian disk alone. Along with a standard Keplerian disk, a hot electron component is required (Sunyaev & Titarchuk, 1980, 1985; Zdziarski 1988; Haardt et al. 1994; Zhang et al. 2009). In CT95 solution, this hot component is created by a low angular momentum, radiatively inefficient flow, which slows down close to the black hole due to centrifugal force and puffs up by the resulting heat. This so-called CENtrifugal pressure supported Boundary Layer, or CENBOL, behaves as the Compton cloud and reprocesses low energy (soft) photons into high energy (hard) photons. Detailed analysis of actual satellite data revealed that this so-called two component advective flow solution can explain even subtle aspects of spectral and timing properties of black hole candidates including outflow-spectral state relation and quasi-periodic oscillations (Chakrabarti & Manickam 2000; Rao et al. 2000; Smith et al. 2001, 2002a, 2002b, 2007; Wu et al. 2002; Debnath et al. 2010; Soria et al. 2011; Nandi et al. 2012; Cambier & Smith 2013). General success of such a model indicates that the Compton cloud is also produced by the Companion and understanding of hard X-rays do not require any external source of electrons. However, a sub-Keplerian flow, arising out of companion winds is also expected to be modulated and this flow would arrive in almost free-fall time scale (if viscosity sub-critical so that it does not become a Keplerian disk). However, as CT95 found, that would modulate the optical depth of the ‘Compton cloud’ and will change spectral slopes without changing the photon flux significantly. Smith et al. (2001, 2002b) pointed out that the RXTE/ASM data points to the existence of two components in the accretion flows. They find that there is a distinct time-lag between photon index and photon flux in low mass X-ray binary systems which accrete primarily through the Roche Lobe overflow, whereas high mass X-ray binaries, which primarily accrete winds of the companion, does not show such a lag. Thus CT95 solution naturally leads one to conclude that the HMXBs have small Keplerian components than the LMXBs.

In the present paper, we explore the consequence of this further. We study some systems which are known to have eccentricities, albeit small, and check if the X-ray modulation is sharp, as seen in power density spectra, for HMXBs and rather broad for LMXBs. Particularly we consider those systems which need not have very strong evidence of eclipses of X-ray reflections from atmospheres. If the peaks are smeared out, with a significant error bar, this would indicate that the viscosity itself is highly variable. If the peaks are shifted, that could mean systematic changes in viscosity inside the disk. We find that indeed the majority of compact X-ray binaries we study exhibit such a modulation in X-rays with the orbital periodicity. We use publicly available RXTE/ASM and Swift Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) data from all sky survey instruments. We show using Fourier analysis of light curves, Lomb-Scargle type periodograms and e-folding light curves that the modulation at quasi-orbital period (QOP) is present to a varying degree. Most surprisingly, we find that for HMXBs the rms is large and for LMXBs it is small as expected. In future, we will consider spectral properties and how they are modified by these tidal effects. In the next Section, we present our data analysis technique. In Section 3, we discuss known properties of several stellar mass black hole candidates which are relevant for our work. In Section 4, we present results of our analysis. Finally, in Section 5, we draw our conclusions.

2 Data Analysis

We use ASCH versions of public/archival RXTE/ASM dwell-by-dwell light curve data (MJD 50455 onwards) and Swift/BAT orbital light curve data (MJD 53415 onwards) for the X-ray binary sources Cyg X-1, Cyg X-3, XTE J1650-500, H 1705-25, 1E 1740.7-2942 and GRS 1758-258. We chose these sources because of two
The RXTE/ASM has a collecting area of 90 cm$^2$ and is operating over (1.5-12) keV range. The Swift/BAT has an all-sky hard X-ray surveyor. It is operating over (15-150) keV with a detecting area of 5200 cm$^2$. We have used the data inside (15-50) keV energy range. In order to observe long-time behaviour of the aforesaid sources, RXTE/ASM data for about 13 years and Swift/BAT data for over 8 years without any truncation, are used. The standard ftools package of HEASOFT (Version 6.13) is used for data reduction. Nei-
ther of the instruments is meant to obtain data continuously from any particular source, so there are ‘gaps’ in the data, especially, due to solar constraints. We use a FORTRAN code for interpolating data at equal time intervals, required for carrying out Fourier analy-

As an additional check, we carried out periodogram analysis of Lomb-Scargle type from the aforesaid fluctuations of all the sources. This is to see if the QOPs obtained from PDS are also reflected generally in the periodograms. We have examined p-values and phase diagrams in all cases, though statistical significance in some cases were not found to be very high. We use suitable fixed step sizes so as to get cleaner and relatively noise free peaks in the periodogram. We further searched for the periodicities in SWIFT/BAT data using xronos (version 5.22) task with command EFSEARCH and plotted the phase plot using the task EFOLD. We obtain similar periods. Because PDS is universally used in the literature, we decided to draw our conclusion about the periodicities and eccentricities based on the $rms$ from PDS fits only.

3 X-ray Binaries under Consideration

3.1 Cyg X-1

Cyg X-1 system is believed to have a stellar mass black hole and a blue supergiant star forming a binary. Orbital period is 5.599829 ± 0.000016d (Brocksopp et al. 1999b). The system does not eclipse. The orbital eccentricity of 0.018 ± 0.003 is very small giving rise to a nearly circular orbit (Orosz et al. 2011). It could be appreciably high of 0.06 ± 0.01 as per another estimation (Bolton 1975). There is some uncertainty about the mass of the compact object and its companion. Stellar evolutionary models suggest a mass of 21 ± 8 $M_\odot$ turning around an O9.7Iab companion of 40 ± 10 $M_\odot$ (Ziolkowski 2005) while other techniques resulted in $M_1 = 10 M_\odot$. An extensive analysis of optical photometric and spectroscopic light curve data and radial velocity data along with the measurement of the distance between the black hole & the companion O-star yielded a more precise value of mass 14.8 ± 1 $M_\odot$ and a companion mass of $M_2^{opt} = 19.2 ± 1.9 M_\odot$ (Orosz et al. 2011). Based on a stellar evolutionary model, at the estimated distance of 2 kpc, the companion may have a radius of about 15 – 17 $R_\odot$ (Orosz et al. 2011) and has a luminosity of approximately $3 - 4 \times 10^7 L_\odot$.
The compact object is estimated to be orbiting its companion at a distance of about 40 $R_\odot$ (Miller et al. 2005) and the system has an inclination $i = 27.1^\circ \pm 0.8^\circ$ (Orosz et al. 2011).

3.2 Cyg X-3

Cyg X-3 is an accreting X-ray binary with a relativistic jet, observed from radio to high-energy gamma-rays. It consists of a black hole of mass $1.3 - 4.5 M_\odot$ (Zdziarski et al. 2013) which is wind-fed by a Wolf-Rayet star. Its distance from us is about $7 \text{ kpc}$. It is a high-mass X-ray binary as the companion (V1521 Cyg) is a high-mass star, having a mass of $7.5 - 14.2 M_\odot$. The orbital period is about $4.8 \text{ h}$ (Parsonsault et al. 1972; Davidsen & Ostriker 1974) with an inclination of $34^\circ - 54^\circ$ (Zdziarski et al. 2013). Binary separation is $d \sim 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}$. Only partial eclipses are observed in its otherwise obscured character at low energy. The companion has a strong wind ($M_w \sim 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $v_w \sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) (Dubus et al. 2010a). Scattering in the wind washes out rapid X-ray variability timescales and also modulates X-ray emission. Based on a mass-independent model of variable luminosity X-ray source, and an elliptic orbit, the system was reported to have an eccentricity of $0.14$ and a smaller orbital inclination ($i = 24^\circ$) (Ghosh et al. 1981). However, this limit would constrain $M_1 < 3.6 M_\odot$ and the companion to $M_2 < 7.3 M_\odot$ (Stark & Saia 2003). Fermi observations show that high energy gamma-ray flux is modulated with the orbital period. Gamma-ray modulation is almost in anti-phase with X-ray modulation (Dubus et al. 2010b).

3.3 XTE J1650-500

XTE J1650-500 is a stellar mass black hole candidate probably having a mass of $3.8 \pm 0.5 M_\odot$ (Shaposhnikov & Titarchuk 2009). It shows evidence of high frequency QPOs (Honam et al. 2003). A safer mass range is $2.7 - 7.3 M_\odot$ (Orosz et al. 2004). Slany & Stuchlik (2008) estimated the mass to be $5.1 M_\odot$. The binary period is $7.63 \text{ h}$ and an estimation of the inclination is $50^\circ \pm 3^\circ$ (Orosz et al. 2004). Montanari et al. (2009) suggested an evidence for an extended disk in the system.

3.4 H 1705-25

It is a binary system with a small and cool star having about $0.3 M_\odot$ (Orosz & Baily, 1997) as a companion to the black hole. The orbital period is about $12.5 \text{ h}$ (Johannsen et al. 2009). Detection of an orbital and ellipsoidal modulation at a period of $16.8 \text{ h}$ with an orbital inclination in the range $48^\circ < i < 51^\circ$ and distance $2 - 8.4 \text{ kpc}$ were also reported earlier (Martin et al. 1995). From the characteristics of the companion star and its orbit, estimated mass of the black hole is $6 \pm 2 M_\odot$ (Johannsen et al. 2009).

3.5 GRS 1758-258 and 1E 1740.7-2942

GRS 1758-258 is often referred to as a twin source along with 1E 1740.7-2942. Both are persistent sources above $50 \text{ keV}$ and are located in the vicinity of the Galactic centre. They emit X-rays and display relativistic jets in the radio band. The X-ray luminosity, hard spectra, persistent activity and the shape of the power spectra in 1E 1740.7-2942 and GRS 1758-258 have made these two objects comparable to Cyg X-1 (Main et al. 1999). Remote and high column density towards GRS 1758-258 does not allow observations below (25 keV). Search for a counterpart in optical and infrared has not turned up any distinct result but only two or more candidates were found within $1^\circ$. Weak jet structure reveals that GRS 1758-258 and 1E 1740.7-2942 are microquasars (Keck et al. 2001).

The upper limits of the masses of the companions in GRS 1758-258 and 1E 1740.7-2942 are respectively $4 M_\odot$ and $9 M_\odot$ with the lower limit $\sim 1 M_\odot$ for both (Chen et al. 1994). The presence of a well-collimated radio jet in 1E 1740.7-2942 is an indicative of a stable accretion disk. Companion of either of these two sources is not able to feed the black hole via its stellar wind and they are powered by binary accretion via Roche lobe overflow. Apparent association of the source with a high-density molecular cloud hints at a possible accretion directly from the ISM. The binary period in both cases is likely to be shorter than $20 \text{ h}$ (Chen et al. 1994). Assuming that the optical component in GRS 1758-258 is a main-sequence star and $M_1 \geq 3 M_\odot$, another estimation suggests that the period is $\leq 5.8 \text{ h}$ and $M_2 \sim 0.65 M_\odot$ (Kuznetsov et al. 1999).

4 Results of our Analysis

In Fig. 1, we present light curves of the six sources as obtained from the ASM data (1.5-12 keV) of RXTE. The data duration is about 5000 days. The objects are marked. Figure 2 shows the power density spectra (PDS) of the reduced data obtained from the fluctuations around running average as discussed in Sec. 2. We also write down the names of the objects and time periods obtained from the Lorentzian fits. Note that the peaks are sharper in HMXB Cyg X-1 and Cyg X-3. 
In Fig. 3, we show light curves of the same objects, but drawn using all sky survey data of Swift/BAT (15-50 keV). Data duration is about 3000 days. The PDS extracted from the data after subtracting the dynamic mean are shown in Fig. 4. The time periods corresponding to QOPs are written from the Lorentzian fitting. In both Fig. 2 and Fig. 4, the reason for the bumps at $\sim 10^{-6} \text{Hz}$ in the PDS of Cyg X-1, 1E 1740.7-2942 & GRS 1758-258, and at $\sim 10^{-5} \text{Hz}$ in the PDS of Cyg X-3, XTE J1650-500 & H 1705-25 is inherent to the procedure or removal of low-frequency noise by subtracting the running mean as discussed in Sec. 2.

Although all the Lorentzians are fitted for 90% confidence level (1.64$\sigma$), the peaks of Fig. 4 (with Swift data) are appreciably sharper and unique over those of Fig. 2 (with RXTE data). Interestingly, the periods obtained from both RXTE and Swift data agree within the error-bars. Distinct peaks at $\sim 5.6 \text{ d}$ and $\sim 4.8 \text{ h}$ are observed for Cyg X-1 and Cyg X-3, respectively. The prominent peak of Cyg X-3 is not due to its eclipsing nature since eclipsing is strong only at low energies, and not in Swift/BAT energy range, but possibly due to smaller size of the Keplerian disk and relatively constant viscosity in the period for which Swift/BAT data was used. Modulation of Cyg X-3 can also have a contribution due to complex interaction of the compact jets and the winds from Wolf-Rayet star (Vilhu & Hanninkainen, 2013). The periodicities of two enigmatic black holes GRS 1758-258 and 1E 1740.7-2942 are not known before hand. Our analysis suggests their periodicities to be around $\sim 3.5 \text{ d}$. Peaks at QOPs are particularly broad for the last four objects which are LMXBs which have large Keplerian disks (Smith et al. 2001, 2002b), and thus indicative of spreading of the density perturbation region due to viscous effects (Pringle, 1981).

We carried out an additional check on the periodicities of the obtained PDS by drawing Lomb-Scargle type periodograms for all these objects. In Fig. 5, we show periodograms drawn using fluctuations around the mean. The left column is for RXTE/ASM data and the right column is for Swift/BAT data. Though several distinct peaks are seen in many of these data, we see evidence of periodicities having values similar to what we obtained through PDS. The periods marked in each box are obtained from the strongest peaks. The periodicities seen in RXTE/ASM and SWIFT/BAT data are found to be the same within the error-bars.

We have repeated the entire analysis using xronos (Version 5.22) task with command **efsearch**. The periods of guess were chosen as integral multiples of 3600s (for Cyg X-3, XTE J1650-500 & H 1705-25) and 86400s (for Cyg X-1, 1E 1740.7-2942 & GRS 1758-258).
while using uneven time series data (ASCII version) of Swift/BAT data. Periods thus obtained have been used for looking into the corresponding phase behaviour using EFO LD command.

Table 1 summarizes results of our analysis. Known system parameters are given with references. In Col. 1, names of the systems are written. In Cols. 2 and 3, we present known masses of black holes and companions. In Cols. 4 and 5, we present inclination angles and periods as reported in the literature. In Cols. 6 and 7, we present centroid periods $T$ as obtained from power density spectra (PDS) of the complete data set from Swift (Col. 6) and RXTE (Col. 7) after fitting peaks with Lorentzian as described earlier. In Cols. 8 and 9 we present periods obtained from Lomb-Scargle periodogram from these two satellites. In Col. 10, we present estimated rms power (in %). A measure of statistical significance (in units of standard deviation $\sigma$ of Gaussian distribution) is given within parentheses. In Col. 11, we present the period obtained from EFO LD command. We find that the results of our analysis generally agree with observations wherever it is available. We also find that the objects 1E 1740.7-2942 and GRS 1758-258 may have periodicity of the order of $\sim 3.5$ days as opposed to reported values of $\sim 20$h and $\sim 6$h respectively.

5 Discussions and Conclusions

In accreting compact binaries, X-ray flux modulations are common phenomena. There are major causes such as significant mass supply rate variation by the companion which leads to outbursts or class transitions. There are orbital modulations which are attributed to eclipsing effects, effects from wind reflections and superhumping. There could be complex processes such as the interaction of the jets from the compact source and the winds from the Wolf-Rayet companion which may also cause periodical changes as observed in Cyg X-3 (Vilhu & Hannikainen, 2013). However, on the top of all these, there could be subtle effects which could be induced due to orbital eccentricity. In the literature, in several compact binaries, eccentricities have been estimated (Section 3). Since that would induce variations in tidal forces at the apoastron and periastron, one could imagine that the resulting variation in accretion rates would be reflected in the light curves. These variations propagate across the standard Keplerian disk component in the viscous timescale, $t_{\text{visc}}$, which is given by (Frank, King & Raine, 2002),

$$t_{\text{visc}} = \frac{r^2}{\alpha c_s h},$$

Fig. 3 Light Curves with Swift/BAT data from MJD 53415. Energy range is (15 - 50) keV

Fig. 4 Power Density Spectra with modified Swift/BAT data. Fitted QOPs are marked in each box
where, $\alpha$ is the Shakura-Sunyaev viscosity parameter ($\alpha < 1$), $c_s$ is the sound speed, $h$ and $r$ are the height of the disk at a radial distance $r$ from the black hole. Any fluctuation in these quantities could change $t_{\text{visc}}$. In case $t_{\text{visc}}$ is constant (which is possible if unknown viscous stress is constant and is more likely to be the case for a small disk), variation of supply of matter at the outer edge would be faithfully reflected in the variation of seed photon flux at the inner edge (in absence of mass loss on the way) after a constant time difference. This would, in turn, modulate Comptonized photons. The peaks of resulting quasi-orbital period (QOP) would be sharp if the Keplerian disk is smaller in size and would be broader with poor quality factor if the Keplerian disk is large ($dt_{\text{visc}}/t_{\text{visc}} \sim 1$). The slope of the power density spectrum, especially on the low-frequency side would be separately affected by viscosity (Titarchuk & Shaposhnikov, 2008) as well.

In the present paper, we have studied power density spectra of RXTE/ASM and Swift/BAT data for 5000 days and 3000 days respectively for both high and low mass X-ray binaries. There was an overlap of about 2000 days in these two data sets. In all the six objects we find evidence of excess power at or near orbital time periods though locations of the peaks may not be exactly the same for possible non-overlap of observation dates. Our goal was not to obtain orbital periods exactly, as there are other more accurate methods to find these orbital elements. However, our goal has been to see if smearing effects of viscosity can be seen in the power-density spectra. In cases of Cyg X-1 and Cyg X-3, the peaks are sharper with higher rms, indicating that either viscosity is almost constant and/or, what is more likely, the disk itself is very small as was inferred by Smith et al. (2002) on the basic of explaining time lag properties using CT95 model. In both the Cyg X-1 and Cyg X-3, there is no signature of eclipses in our energy range. Partial eclipses are reported to be observed in Cyg X-3, but the system is heavily obscured only at lower energies outside of our range of study specially in Swift/BAT regime. If there were significant reflections from the winds, the peaks would have been much broader due to scattering effects and would have been independent of the size of the Keplerian disk. On the other hand, in other four objects, disk systems are found to be extended (Montanari et al. 2009; Smith et al. 2001, 2002b). Therefore, it is expected that even if viscosity remains constant there would be a considerable spread in power density spectrum at the orbital period due to turbulence. Of course, $t_{\text{visc}}$ being large, there is always a chance that $\alpha$ itself varies within an orbital time scale. This could also be seen in the case of low mass X-rays binaries since we find that the peaks of QOPs have shifted somewhat. Our timing analysis also predicts that the periods of 1E 1740.7-2942 and GRS 1758-258 are around $\sim 3.5$ days.

It is often believed that X-rays may be modulated by eclipsing effects of winds or reflections from the winds. However, in computing spectral fits, it is not usual to include effects of such eclipse or subtract effects of reflections from the winds of the companions at certain phases. Thus these effects cannot be very important in understanding spectral properties. Our contention here is that the effects due to tidal forces could similarly be ignored while computing short time scale effects (spectra and timing properties such as phase lags, time lags and quasi-periodic oscillations), but in a subtle manner, tidal effects could modulate the light curves. Our present result is consistent with such a modulation in all binary systems with non-zero eccentricity.
Fig. 5 Periodograms with RXTE/ASM (left) and Swift/BAT (right) data. Relevant periods are written in each box.
6 Acknowledgements

The authors thank NASA Archives for RXTE/ASM and Swift/BAT data and NASA Exoplanet Archive for producing periodograms.
| X-Ray Binary (BH/BHC) | $M_1$ ($M_\odot$) | $M_2$ ($M_\odot$) | Incln. ($i^\circ$) | Period (T) | T (PDS) (Swift) | T (LS Periodogram) (RXTE) | rms (%) | T (Stat.Sig.) (folded) |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| Cyg X-1                | $14.8_{-1.1}^{+1}$ | $19.2_{-1.9}^{+0.9}$ | $27.1_{-0.8}^{+0.8}$ | $5.6d^b$     | $5.5_{-0.4}^{+0.7}d$ | $5.6_{-0.3}^{+0.3}d$       | $6.72$  | $(3.3\sigma)$ $5.6d$  |
| Cyg X-3                | $2.4_{-1.1}^{+2.1c}$ | $10.3_{-2.8}^{+3.9c}$ | $34 - 54^c$     | $4.8h^c$     | $4.9_{-0.2}^{+0.2}h$ | $4.9_{-0.2}^{+0.2}h$       | $5.30$  | $(1.6\sigma)$ $4.8h$  |
| XTEJ1650-500           | $2.7 - 7.3^e,f$   | -                | $50_{-3}^{+3}$   | $7.63h^e$    | $7.8_{-0.8}^{+0.8}h$ | $7.5_{-0.7}^{+0.8}h$      | $3.21$  | $(2.6\sigma)$ $7.8h$  |
| H 1705-25              | $6_{-2}^{+2.8}$   | $0.3^h$           | $48 - 51^i$     | $12.5h^i$    | $12.5_{-0.9}^{+1.1}h$ | $12.7_{-1.2}^{+1.5}h$      | $3.15$  | $(1.6\sigma)$ $12.5h$ |
| 1E1740.7-2942          | -                 | $1 - 9^j$         | -                | $< 20h^j$    | $3.7_{-0.5}^{+0.7}d$ | $3.2_{-0.4}^{+0.5}d$       | $4.10$  | $(1.3\sigma)$ $3.6d$  |
| GRS1758-258            | $\geq 3^k$       | $0.65^k$          | -                | $\sim 6h^k$ | $3.6_{-0.2}^{+0.2}d$ | $3.4_{-0.2}^{+0.3}d$       | $2.49$  | $(2.0\sigma)$ $3.6d$  |

\(^a\)Orosz et al. (2011)  
\(^b\)Brocksopp et al. (1999b)  
\(^c\)Zdziarski et al. (2013)  
\(^d\)Davidsen & Ostriker (1974)  
\(^e\)Orosz et al. (2004)  
\(^f\)Shaposhnikov & Titarchuk (2009)  
\(^g\)Johannsen et al. (2009)  
\(^h\)Orosz & Baily (1997)  
\(^i\)Martin et al. (1995)  
\(^j\)Chen et al. (1994)  
\(^k\)Kuznetsov et al. (1999)
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