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Abstract. The long-term (50 years) effect of whole-tree harvesting (stump harvesting) on ground vegetation in experimental drained Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) stands was studied. We used a chronosequence approach to assess the long-term impact of whole-tree harvesting (WTH) on stands' ground vegetation. WTH stands were compared with four control stands with different age and with the same forest type: young stand (15 years), middle-aged stand (45 years), mature stand (110 years) and over-mature stand (140 years). Species richness was similar between the WTH stand and middle-aged stand (61 and 60 species, respectively). Shannon-Wiener diversity indices in the WTH and middle-aged stand (3.40 and 3.19, respectively) indicated that the stands were similar to each other. A community similarity analysis showed that the composition of vegetation was similar between the WTH and middle-aged stand, although some species like *Lycopodium clavatum* and *Diphasiastrum complanatum* occurred only in the WTH stand. The study showed that a period of 50 years is sufficient for ground vegetation of a typical drained spruce forest to recover after WTH management.

Key words: biomass removal, stump harvesting, ground vegetation regeneration.

Authors' addresses: Latvian State Forest Research Institute “Silava”, Rigas 111, Salaspils, Latvia, LV-2169; *e-mail: cakss.roberts@gmail.com

Introduction

The demand for renewable energy has been growing exponentially (Egnell, 2011). Means for effective use of wood resources for renewable energy in the future (Walmsley *et al.*, 2009) include whole-tree harvesting (WTH) forest management, whereby all tree logging residuals (as well as stumps) are removed from logged stands (Egnell & Leijon, 1997). Harvested residues and stumps can add up to 25% more resources for production of energy (Hakkila, 2004). The largest part of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) stands has been planted for commercial forestry, and presently spruce is one of the most common tree species in hemiboreal forests (Rytter *et al.*, 2013). In Latvia, ca 21% of all the forest land area is covered by spruce-dominated
stands, and 8% of these stands have been drained, i.e., 38% of spruce-dominated forests are drained (according to data from the National Forest Inventory). Drained spruce stands are mesotrophic forests in which ground vegetation and mineral soils after draining become more similar to those in conditions of a regular moisture regime (Bušs, 1976). The development of ground vegetation in spruce forests is determined by the composition and quantity of mineral elements and the amount of light (Kubin, 1983; Kolstad et al., 2016). The diversity of vascular plants and bryophyte species in hemiboreal coniferous forests is an important component of forest ecosystems (Nilsson & Wardle, 2005). Recent studies on the recovery of soil and vegetation 8–31 years after WTH management in Sweden and Finland spruce forests have shown contrasting results: some studies showed a significant decrease in the concentration of mineral elements after WTH management (Egnell, 2011), while others did not find a significant effect on ground vegetation and soil mineral element composition (Egnell et al., 2015; Hyvönen et al., 2016). Another study showed that WTH management in spruce stands can result in changes in the ground cover species composition (Saksa, 2013). Current knowledge on the impact of WTH on the composition and richness of ground vegetation in mesotrophic forest stands is insufficient.

The aim of this study was to reveal potential long-term (50 years) influences of WTH management on the composition of ground vegetation in Norway spruce stands, which is a period much longer than in other studies (Egnell & Leijon, 1997; Hyvönen et al., 2016). The vegetation was compared to that of other commercial spruce stands which had been harvested by conventional means. We hypothesized that WTH had a long-term effect on the composition and richness of ground vegetation, and that characteristic species of spruce forests were replaced by oligotrophic forest species and pioneer species.

Materials and Methods

Study site and sampling
The study was carried out in the eastern part of Latvia (56°68´N, 25°99´E) in planted Norway spruce forest stands on drained mineral soil. The mean monthly temperature from 1981 to 2016 in this region was 17.9°C in July and -4.4°C in January, and average precipitation was 553 mm. The topography was flat (Nikodemus et al., 2018).

We used the chronosequence approach to assess the long-term impact of WTH on ground vegetation. One forest stand (6 ha) with WTH management after clear-cut harvesting in 1968 was compared with four conventionally managed control stands with different age: a young stand (15 years), middle-aged stand (45 years – similar age to the stand with WTH treatment), mature stand (110 years) and over-mature stand (140 years).
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Figure 1. Map of Latvia with stand locations.

Figure 2. Map of stand locations in the Forest Research Station in Kalsnava
Ground vegetation was assessed visually in 1 x 1 m sample plots that were regularly placed on transects (distance between plots was 2 m; 289 sample plots were established). In total, 17 transects with 17 sample plots, with a length of 50 m were established: two transects per stand in the young and mature stand, and five and six transects in the WTH and middle-aged stand, respectively. In each plot, we assessed the cover (%) of each species and the total cover of vascular plants and bryophyte species and it was described in two layers: the ground layer with mosses and bare soil and the vascular plant layer.

Data analysis

Mean Shannon ($H'$) and Simpson’s ($D$) diversity indices (Krebs, 2014) were calculated for each stand. We used both indices because Shannon indices show species diversity, but Simpson’s indices show evenness and richness. The $t$-test was used to test for significant differences in the relative cover of the vascular plants and bryophyte species between stands, as sample sizes on groups were unequal, the $t$-test with Welch adjustments was used. We calculated the mean relative cover for each species in each stand to show how common they were. An analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) was used on stand level to compare vegetation between forest stands (WTH, middle-aged stand, young stand, mature stand, over-mature stand). The analysis shows R values which differ from 0 to 1, as the value is closer to 0 the more similar territories we have, if the value is closer to 1 the more different the stands are (Clarke, 1993). NMS (Non-metric multidimensional scaling) was used to visualize trends of change in vegetation composition; the results of the DCA (detrended correspondence analysis) were similar to NMS. The analyses were carried out in the program R version 3.4.2. (R Core Team, 2017), using the package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2013).

Results

The highest mean cover (%) of vascular plants and mosses occurred in the mature stand (44.4% and 94.7%, respectively) and over-mature stand (26.4% and 94.1%, respectively) (Table 1). The mean cover of vascular plant species and mosses was lower in the middle-aged (5.1%, 78.3%, respectively) and WTH stand (10.3%, 81.3%, respectively) (Table 1). In each forest stand, the cover of a particular vascular plant species did not exceed 20%, whereas the moss layer frequently had dominant species, with the cover of one species reaching 47%. Species richness was lower in the oldest stands (over-mature and mature), and higher in the WTH and middle-aged stand (61 and 60 species, respectively).

The most common vascular plant species in the WTH and middle-aged stand were Luzula pilosa (1.5% and 0.6%, respectively) and Oxalis acetosella (1.1% and 0.5%, respectively) (Table 2A). In all forest stands moss species were dominant with a 83.9% mean relative cover. Feather mosses were the dominant species in all stands, and the highest cover of Pleurozium schreberi and Hylocomium splendens occurred in the mature stand (47.4% and 20.2%, respectively) (Table 2). The moss species Plagiomnium affine, Plagiomnium elipticum and Rhodobryum roseum were most common in the WTH and middle-aged stand (Table 2B).

Species diversity had no significant differences (Shannon-Wiener diversity index) and it was higher in the WTH stand (3.40), lowest in the over-mature stand (2.18), and ~3.16 in the other stands (Table 1). Simpson’s diversity was, also, lowest in the over-mature stand (0.87) and ~0.94 in the other stands (Table 2A).
Table 1. Shannon and Simpson’s diversity indices for ground vegetation. Mean cover is given for the vascular plant and bryophyte layers. Data is from experimental plots in a drained Norway spruce stand where all biomass was removed (WTH; 50 years), and conventionally managed stands: a middle-aged stand with similar age (45 years), young stand (15 years), mature stand (110 years) and over-mature stand (140 years). N – number of sample plots.

|                | WTH (N=85) | Middle-aged stand (N=102) | Young stand (N=34) | Mature stand (N=34) | Over-mature stand (N=34) |
|----------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Shannon        | 3.40       | 3.19                       | 3.16              | 3.12               | 2.18                     |
| Shannon standard deviation | 0.057629   | 0.064487                   | 0.065093          | 0.055467           | 0.113487                 |
| Simpson        | 0.95       | 0.93                       | 0.94              | 0.95               | 0.87                     |
| Vascular plant cover (%) | 10.3      | 5.1                        | 10.8              | 44.4               | 26.4                     |
| Bryophyte cover (%)    | 81.3      | 78.3                       | 71.1              | 94.7               | 94.1                     |

Table 2A. Mean relative cover (%) of vascular plant species. Data is from experimental plots in a drained Norway spruce stand where all biomass was removed (WTH; 50 years), and conventionally managed stands: a middle-aged stand with similar age (45 years), young stand (15 years), mature stand (110 years) and over-mature stand (140 years). N – number of sample plots.

| Abbreviations                  | WTH (N=85) | Middle-aged stand (N=102) | Young stand (N=34) | Mature stand (N=34) | Over-mature stand (N=34) |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Anemone nemorosa               | Anenem     | 1.27                       | 0.32              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Anemone ranunculoides          | Aneran     | 0                          | 0.03              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Angelica sylvestris            | Angsyl     | 0.13                       | 0.03              | 0.06               | 0                        |
| Athyrium filix-femina          | Athfil     | 0                          | 0                 | 0.15               | 0                        |
| Stellaria nemorum              | Stenem     | 0.11                       | 0.46              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Calamagrostis arundinacea      | Calaru     | 0.6                        | 0                 | 1.76               | 3.47                     |
| Calamagrostis canescens        | Calcan     | 0                          | 0.02              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Carex digitata                 | Cardig     | 0                          | 0                 | 0.44               | 1.68                     |
| Carex pallescens               | Carpal     | 0                          | 0                 | 0.5                | 0                        |
| Carex sp.                      | Carsp.     | 0.64                       | 0.05              | 0.88               | 0.26                     |
| Chamaenerion angustifolium      | Chaang     | 0.06                       | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Cirsium sp.                    | Cirsp.     | 0                          | 0                 | 0.06               | 0.06                     |
| Convolvulus majalis            | Conmaj     | 0                          | 0                 | 0.38               | 0                        |
| Coronaria flos-cuculi          | Corflo     | 0.04                       | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Dactylis glomerata             | Dacglo     | 0                          | 0.01              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Deschampsia caespitosa         | Descae     | 0.06                       | 0                 | 0                  | 0.44                     |
| Deschampsia flexuosa           | Desfe      | 0.19                       | 0.02              | 0.09               | 0                        |
| Diphasiastrum complanatum      | Dipcom     | 0.24                       | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Dryopteris carthusiana         | Drycar     | 0.18                       | 0.42              | 0.35               | 0.53                     |
| Epilobium palustre             | Epipal     | 0.09                       | 0.07              | 0.35               | 0.03                     |
| Epipactis sp.                  | Episp.     | 0                          | 0                 | 0                  | 0.03                     |
| Species                          | Abbreviations | WTH (N=85) | Middle-aged stand (N=102) | Young stand (N=34) | Mature stand (N=34) | Over-mature stand (N=34) |
|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Equisetum pratense              | Eupra         | 0          | 0                         | 0                 | 0.29               | 0                        |
| Equisetum sylvaticum            | Eusyl         | 0.14       | 0                         | 0.03              | 0.41               | 0                        |
| Filipendula ulmaria             | Filulm        | 0.02       | 0                         | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Fragaria vesca                  | Fraves        | 0.21       | 0                         | 0.21              | 0.32               | 0                        |
| Galeobdon luteum                | Gallut        | 0          | 0                         | 0.21              | 0.56               | 0                        |
| Gallium aparine                 | Galapa        | 0.02       | 0                         | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Galium mollugo                  | Galmol        | 0.13       | 0                         | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Galium palustre                 | Galpal        | 0          | 0.01                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Galium uliginosum               | Galuli        | 0          | 0                         | 0.03              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Gallium album                   | Galalb        | 0          | 0                         | 0.06              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Galeopsis ladanum               | Gallad        | 0          | 0.03                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Luzula pilosa                   | Luzpil        | 1.56       | 0.65                      | 1.74              | 2.29               | 0                        |
| Lycopodium annotinum            | Lycann        | 0.06       | 0.05                      | 0                 | 0.35               | 0                        |
| Lycopodium clavatum             | Lyccla        | 0.06       | 0                         | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Lysimachia vulgaris             | Lysvul        | 0.35       | 0.09                      | 0                 | 0.15               | 0                        |
| Maianthemum bifolium            | Maibif        | 0.64       | 0.09                      | 0.03              | 0.59               | 0                        |
| Hieracium pilosella             | Hiepil        | 0          | 0                         | 0.03              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Melamphyrum pratense            | Melpra        | 0.02       | 0.01                      | 0                 | 0.03               | 0                        |
| Melica nutans                   | Melnut        | 0          | 0                         | 0                 | 0.32               | 0                        |
| Molinia caerulea                | Molcae        | 0          | 0.2                       | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Moneses uniflora                | Monuni        | 0.12       | 0                         | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Mycelis muralis                 | Mycmur        | 0.08       | 0.05                      | 0                 | 0.32               | 0                        |
| Myosotis sylvatica              | Myosyl        | 0.01       | 0                         | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Oxalis acetosella               | Oxaace        | 0.96       | 0.55                      | 0.38              | 10.44              | 0                        |
| Orthilia secunda                | Ortssec       | 0.62       | 0.04                      | 0.03              | 0.38               | 0                        |
| Galeopsis tetrahit              | Galtet        | 0          | 0.07                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Paris quadrifolia               | Parqua        | 0.01       | 0.01                      | 0.09              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Phragmites australis            | Phraus        | 0.02       | 0.01                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Poa nemoralis                   | Poanem        | 0.14       | 0.05                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Poa palustris                   | Poapal        | 0.13       | 0.01                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Potentilla erecta               | Potere        | 0.16       | 0.01                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Pteridium aquilinum             | Pteaqu        | 0          | 0                         | 0.35              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Rubus idaeus                    | Rubida        | 0.02       | 0.09                      | 0                 | 0.09               | 0                        |
| Rubus saxatilis                 | Rubsax        | 0.29       | 0.02                      | 0                 | 1.06               | 0                        |
| Solidago virgaurea              | Solvir        | 0.35       | 0.04                      | 0.06              | 0.76               | 0                        |
| Trientalis europea              | Trieur        | 0.01       | 0                         | 0                 | 0.91               | 0                        |
| Urtica dioica                   | Urtdio        | 0          | 0.07                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Vaccinium myrtillus             | Vacmyr        | 0.06       | 0.07                      | 2.06              | 15.59              | 18.59                    |
| Vaccinium uliginosum            | Vaculi        | 0          | 0.92                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Vaccinium vitis-idea            | Vacvit        | 0.04       | 0.05                      | 0.35              | 2.44               | 7.82                     |
| Veronica chamaedris             | Vercha        | 0.07       | 0.23                      | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Veronica officinalis            | Veroff        | 0.2        | 0.01                      | 0.15              | 0                  | 0                        |
| Viola canina                    | Viocan        | 0.02       | 0                         | 0                 | 0                  | 0                        |
| Viola sp.                       | Viosp.        | 0.22       | 0.36                      | 0.68              | 0.12               | 0                        |
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Table 2B. Mean relative cover (%) of bryophyte species. Data is from experimental plots in a drained Norway spruce stand where all biomass was removed (WTH; 50 years), and conventionally managed stands: a middle-aged stand with similar age (45 years), young stand (15 years), mature stand (110 years) and over-mature stand (140 years). N – number of sample plots.

| Abbreviations                  | WTH (N=85) | Middle-aged stand (N=102) | Young stand (N=34) | Mature stand (N=34) | Over-mature stand (N=34) |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| *Atrichum undulatum*          | Atrund     | 0.99                      | 0.02               | 0                   | 0                        |
| *Aulacomnium palustre*        | Aulpal     | 0.08                      | 0.08               | 0                   | 0                        |
| *Bryum sp.*                   | Brusp.     | 0.78                      | 2.64               | 0                   | 0                        |
| *Cirriphyllum piliferum*      | Cirpi      | 3.56                      | 0.31               | 0                   | 0.03                     |
| *Cladoniella dendroides*      | Cilden     | 0                         | 0                  | 0.15                | 0                        |
| *Dicranum majus*              | Dicmaj     | 0                         | 1.02               | 0                   | 0.06                     |
| *Dicranum polysetum*          | Dicpol     | 4.18                      | 4.51               | 7.68                | 6.85                     |
| *Dicranum scoparium*          | Dicsco     | 3.4                       | 1                  | 0                   | 0.15                     |
| *Eurhynchium angustirete*     | Eurang     | 0                         | 1.52               | 1.47                | 0                        |
| *Hylocomium splendens*        | Hylspl     | 20.55                     | 11.06              | 18.26               | 20.21                    |
| *Oxyrrhynchium hians*         | Oxhyia     | 0.31                      | 2.40               | 3.97                | 2.15                     |
| *Plagiochila asplenoidea*     | Plaasp     | 0.12                      | 0.55               | 0                   | 7.65                     |
| *Plagiomnium affine*          | Plaaff     | 5.33                      | 10.39              | 6.79                | 0.82                     |
| *Plagiomnium cuspidatum*      | Placus     | 0                         | 0.03               | 0                   | 0                        |
| *Plagiomnium elipticum*       | Plaeli     | 8.6                       | 10.09              | 3.18                | 0.06                     |
| *Plagiomnium undulatum*       | Plaund     | 0                         | 0.26               | 0                   | 0                        |
| *Pleurozim schreberi*         | Plesch     | 26.26                     | 25.94              | 22.44               | 47.21                    |
| *Polytrichum commune*         | Polcom     | 0.41                      | 1.23               | 0.18                | 0.29                     |
| *Pseudoscleropodium purum*    | Psepur     | 0.2                       | 0                  | 0                   | 0                        |
| *Ptilium crist-casstrensis*   | Pticri     | 4.15                      | 1.36               | 4.85                | 0                        |
| *Rhodobryum roseum*           | Rhoros     | 2.11                      | 2.95               | 1.24                | 4.41                     |
| *Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus*  | Rhysqu     | 0                         | 0.09               | 0                   | 0.06                     |
| *Rhytidiadelphus triquetrus*  | Rhytri     | 0.31                      | 0.2                | 0.91                | 3.47                     |
| *Sphagnum girgensohnii*       | Sphgir     | 0                         | 0.33               | 0                   | 1.32                     |
| *Sphagnum squarrosum*         | Sphsqu     | 0                         | 0.30               | 0                   | 0.15                     |

Table 3. Similarity in vegetation composition (ANOSIM R values) between pairs of a drained Norway spruce stand where all biomass was removed (WTH; 50 years), and conventionally managed stands: a middle-aged stand with similar age (45 years), young stand (15 years), mature stand (110 years) and over-mature stand (140 years). N – number of sample plots ($p < 0.05$).

|                      | Middle-aged stand (N=102) | Young stand (N=34) | Mature stand (N=34) | Over-mature stand (N=34) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| WTH (N=85)           | 0.03                      | 0.07               | 0.15                | 0.37                     |
| Middle-aged stand    | 0.02                      | 0.09               | 0.34                | 0.47                     |
| Young stand          | 0.34                      |                    |                     |                          |
| Mature stand         |                            |                    |                     | 0.38                     |
Table 4. Mean height, basal area and tree diameter are shown in the table. Data is from experimental plots in a drained Norway spruce stand where all biomass was removed (WTH; 50 years), and conventionally managed stands: a middle-aged stand with similar age (45 years), young stand (15 years), mature stand (110 years) and over-mature stand (140 years). N – number of sample plots.

| Stand           | Mean height (m) | Basal area | Mean tree diameter (cm) |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|
| WTH             | 20              | 31         | 21                      |
| Young stand     | 9               | 8          | 7                       |
| Middle-aged stand | 19              | 31         | 19                      |
| Mature stand    | 22              | 18         | 25                      |
| Over-mature stand | 28              | 35         | 33                      |

The analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) showed that ground vegetation significantly differed between all pairs of forest stands (p-value < 0.001), although the highest similarity (lowest R value – the test statistic) in vegetation composition occurred between the WTH and middle-aged stand (R = 0.03), and between the WTH and young stand (R = 0.07) (Table 3). The highest dissimilarity from the WTH stand occurred between the over-mature and WTH stand (R = 0.37) and over-mature and middle-aged stand (R = 0.33).

The gradients in vegetation composition in the NMS ordination showed separation of the over-mature stand on the right side of the ordination and a considerable overlap of the other stands (WTH, middle-aged, young and mature stand) in the central part (Figure 3). Thus, the ordination gradient along the first axis appears to be associated with the succession gradient overlapping with the fertility/disturbance gradient, with species of rich disturbed habitats early in succession, e.g. Urtica dioica, on the right side of ordination, to the older stands with a higher cover of, for example, Vaccinium species, on the left side. The second axis indicates that higher values refer to drier soils.

Discussion

Vascular plant cover in spruce-dominated forest stands mostly is negligible (5.1–44.4%) (Vellak et al., 2003). The growth conditions in spruce stands are more suitable for mosses, but the growth conditions are altered after forest management and disturbances (Vanha-Majamaa et al., 2017), especially in younger planted stands (Saetre et al., 1997). Plant community composition and richness were similar between the WTH and middle-aged stand, and possibly 50 years of succession after disturbances is sufficient to develop a community of typical forest plant species. The results of our study confirm the well-known trend of an increasing vascular plant cover with stand age (Hart & Chen, 2008).

In the WTH and middle-aged stand the dominant plants were Luzula pilosa and Oxalis acetosella, which are typical vascular plants of spruce forest stands (Packham, 1978; Uotila & Kouki, 2005). These species occurred almost in all of the forest stands. A low vascular plant cover might be explained by a dense bryophyte layer on the forest floor, which delays plant development in spruce forest stands (Sirén, 1955), or by poor light conditions (Barbier et al., 2008).

Mosses are the dominant species in spruce stands (Hart & Chen, 2008). In our study the cover of mosses was the highest in the mature, over-mature and WTH stand, which might be explained by a more closed canopy than in the mature and over-mature stand (Kneeshaw & Bergeron, 1998). Among mosses, Pleurozium schreberi and Hylocomium splendens can easily occu-
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Figure 3. Detrended correspondence analysis (NMS) ordination of ground vegetation in the studied experimental drained Norway spruce stands. The lines drawn around groups of plots represent the standard deviations of the group (stand) centroids (directions of the principal axes are defined by weighted correlations). Data is from experimental plots in a drained Norway spruce stand where all biomass was removed as well as understorey vegetation (WTH; 50 years), and conventionally managed stands: a middle-aged stand with similar age (MAS; 45 years), young stand (YS; 15 years), mature stand (MS; 110 years) and over-mature stand (OMS; 140 years).

The Shannon-Wiener diversity index was the highest (3.40) in the WTH stand, which can be explained by ground disturbance allowing rapid development of ground vegetation (Luzuriaga *et al.*, 2005). The high diversity index (3.19) in the middle-aged stand might indicate that the effect of WTH forest management on ground vegetation diversity is similar to that of conventional harvesting. Also, the com-
position of ground vegetation in the WTH stand and middle-aged stand was typical of mesotrophic spruce stands (Engelmark & Hytteborn, 1999). Characteristic species indicate favourable growth conditions in WTH forest stands (Bušs, 1976). For example, *Plagiomnium* spp. are the first colonizers in spruce forests after disturbances and are common in spruce forest stands (Cronberg et al., 2005). Simpson’s evenness indices were similar among stands, except for the over-mature stand, which indicates a rather similar biological diversity in these stands (Hart & Chen, 2008).

The analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) also showed a close similarity in vegetation composition between the WTH, middle-aged and young stand, while composition of these stands differed from that of the mature and over-mature stand. The ground vegetation in mature forests might have been affected by natural disturbances, typically wind (Angelstam & Kuuluvainen, 2004), or by draining of the stands in the 1960s.

A large overlap of plots from the WTH, middle-aged and young stand in the NMS ordination is obvious, which also indicates similarities between these stands. The gradient along the first axis was associated with succession to older forests with more stable growth conditions (Ellenberg, 1988; Miles, 1985). The younger stands supported species that require more fertile growth conditions. Over time resources become limited in succession (Grime, 2006), explaining the differences in species composition of the older stands. The similar vegetation composition of the young and middle-aged stand might be explained by similar microsite conditions between 15 and 45-year-old stands.

Conclusions

Contrary to the initial hypothesis, WTH had a long-term effect on the composition and diversity of ground vegetation. The stage of natural succession of ground vegetation was similar between the stands with WTH and conventional management at the same age of trees.
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