The Species Diversity of Tropical Freshwater Rotifers (Rotifera: Monogononta) in Relation to Environmental Factors
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Abstract: This study aims to evaluate the diversity of rotifers in various freshwater habitats in Da Nang City, Vietnam, and to investigate the relationship between community structure and environmental conditions. A total of 75 rotifer species belonging to 25 genera and 17 families were recorded, out of which seven species are new to Vietnam. Species from the families Brachionidae and Lecanidae are abundant (containing about 50% and 69.44%, respectively) in the total rotifer species in both lacustrine and riverine habitats. The number of rotifers recorded in the lakes (64 taxa) was much higher than those in rivers (36 taxa), and this is possibly due to the conditions in lakes being more favorable for the development of small zooplanktons. The significant influences of environmental factors (temperature, pH, turbidity, and trophic state) on the rotifer community were well-reflected in the distribution of commonly found species in lakes ($p$-value $< 0.05$). Our study results enrich the data on the diversity and the ecology of rotifers in Vietnam.
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1. Introduction

Rotifers represent an important zooplankton group since they can contribute more than 50% of zooplankton productivity [1,2]. The rotifer species can be found in all kinds of water bodies worldwide due to their high adaptability to a wide range of environmental conditions and their ability to occupy open niches quickly. In aquatic systems, rotifers play a crucial role in the energy flows of that system. This group of organisms is responsible for transferring carbon from picoplankton and nanoplankton to macro-zooplankton; they act as links between microbial loops and the larger aquatic food web [3–5]. Additionally, rotifers are considered effective indicators for environmental conditions because of their sensitivity to the changes in that particular environment [6–9]. It was well-documented that the rotifer community structure is influenced by factors such as water temperature, salinity, transparency, trophic status, and predators [10,11]. The rotifer species composition, its total abundance, and its diversity indices have been widely applied in order to assess water quality [12–14].

Numerous studies have focused on seasonal or periodic changes in the rotifer community in relation to water characteristics in a single type of water body. However, very few studies compared their structures in different water bodies within the same area. Liang et al. (2019) [15] revealed that the rotifer community structure differs significantly among three types of water bodies: river downstream, reservoir, and tidal creek. These differences could be attributed to the heterogeneity in the trophic state, the salinity, the turbidity, and the hydrological regime of the water body.

Despite several efforts made recently, the data on rotifer species distribution in tropical freshwater, whether in different countries in general or in Vietnam specifically, are still
Despite several efforts made recently, data on rotifer species distribution in tropical regions are comparatively weakly alkaline values, specifically, from 6.61 to 7.78. Rotifer community structures were freshwater. The pH of water fluctuated from around neutral values to weakly alkaline values, specifically, from 6.61 to 7.78. These habitats had a trophic state ranging from oligotrophic to eutrophic, their water temperatures ranged from 24.74 °C to 27.32 °C, and their turbidity ranged from 0.9 to 26.5 NTU. The electrical conductivity values were generally low (≤ 1), which indicates that all of the sampling sites were freshwater. The pH of water fluctuated from around neutral values to weakly alkaline values, specifically, from 6.61 to 7.78.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study Area

The sampling sites consisted of eight freshwater lakes (8 sites) and two rivers (Han River and Cu De river) (4 sites) in both rural and urban areas of Da Nang city. The sites represent a variety of lotic and lentic freshwater habitats with different natural conditions and anthropogenic impacts (Figure 1). The water quality characteristics of the sampling sites during the sampling campaign were identified by the authors (Table 1). These habitats had a trophic state ranging from oligotrophic to eutrophic, their water temperatures ranged from 24.74 °C to 27.32 °C, and their turbidity ranged from 0.9 to 26.5 NTU. The electrical conductivity values were generally low (≤1), which indicates that all of the sampling sites were freshwater. The pH of water fluctuated from around neutral values to weakly alkaline values, specifically, from 6.61 to 7.78.

![Figure 1. The study area.](image)

### Table 1. Water quality characteristics at 12 sampling sites.

| Site                        | Code | Type   | Region   | Trophic State | TRIX | Temp (°C) | EC (mS/cm) | pH   | Tur. (NTU) |
|-----------------------------|------|--------|----------|---------------|------|-----------|------------|------|------------|
| Xanh Lake                   | LJX  | Lake   | Rural    | Mesotrophic   | 5.04 | 27.17     | 0.166      | 7.78 | 0.9        |
| Han River                   | RSH  | River  | Urban    | Mesotrophic   | 5.02 | 25.73     | 1.001      | 6.69 | 26.5       |
| Ham Nghi Lake               | LHN  | Lake   | Urban    | Eutrophic     | 6.32 | 26.14     | 0.815      | 6.92 | 9.3        |
| Cong Vien Lake              | LCV  | Lake   | Urban    | Eutrophic     | 6.47 | 26.47     | 0.728      | 6.92 | 24.3       |
| Sen Lake                    | LHS  | Lake   | Urban    | Eutrophic     | 6.58 | 26.27     | 0.469      | 6.86 | 24.6       |
| Bau Trang Lake              | LBT  | Lake   | Urban    | Mesotrophic   | 5.63 | 26.37     | 0.556      | 7.20 | 13.1       |
| Truc Dong Lake              | LTD  | Lake   | Rural    | Mesotrophic   | 5.09 | 26.9     | 0.090      | 7.47 | 1.4        |
| Hoi Khe Lake                | LHK  | Lake   | Rural    | Oligotrophic  | 4.82 | 27.32     | 0.056      | 6.61 | 25.6       |
| Hoi Phuoc River             | RHP  | River  | Rural    | Oligotrophic  | 4.73 | 25.35     | 0.067      | 7.02 | 1.2        |
| Luong Dong River            | RLD  | River  | Rural    | Oligotrophic  | 4.13 | 24.74     | 0.069      | 6.80 | 1.0        |
| Dong Xanh—Dong Nghe Lake    | LDN  | Lake   | Rural    | Oligotrophic  | 4.6  | 26.39     | 0.050      | 6.72 | 1.7        |
| Cu De River                 | RCD  | River  | Rural    | Oligotrophic  | 4.22 | 25.9      | 0.079      | 7.41 | 2.2        |
2.2. Sampling

The sampling campaign took place during the dry season in August 2018. Qualitative samples of rotifers were collected by dragging a 50 \( \mu \)m mesh size cast-net at 15–20 cm depth and were then preserved with 4% formaldehyde. Rotifer specimens were then sorted and examined under a Hund Wetzlar H600 microscope. The trophi of rotifers were observed by adding a drop of sodium–hypochlorite (NaOCl) to dissolve and isolate the hard trophic parts. Rotifer taxa were identified in the following major work: Koste and Shiel (1987, 1989a, 1990a, and 1990b) [16–20], Shiel and Koste (1992) [21], and Segers (1995) [22]. The taxonomic classification and nomenclatures of the rotifers followed the work of Segers (2007) [23].

Quantitative samples of the rotifers were collected by filtering 25 L of water through a 50 \( \mu \)m mesh size cast-net and preserved with 4% formaldehyde. The density of rotifers in the samples were determined by using a counting chamber.

The water quality parameters included temperature, pH, total dissolved solids (TDS), electrical conductivity (EC), and turbidity. These parameters were directly measured in situ using a multiparameter water quality sonde YSI 6920. The nutrients (the total nitrogen and total phosphorus) and chlorophyll-a concentrations in water were identified in the laboratory by standard methods. The TRIX trophic index, which is calculated based on the values of nitrogen, phosphorous, and chlorophyll-a, as shown below, was used to evaluate the trophic status of water bodies [24]:

\[
TRIX = \frac{\log(\text{Chl-a} \times \text{aD%O} \times \text{TN} \times \text{TP}) - (-1.5)}{1.2}
\]

where

- Chl-a—chlorophyll-a concentration (\( \mu \)g/L);
- aD%O—oxygen as absolute% deviation from saturation;
- TN—total nitrogen (\( \mu \)g/L);
- TP—total phosphorus (\( \mu \)g/L).

2.3. Data Analysis

The species accumulator and species richness estimators were calculated using the vegan package [25] in the R program [26]. Out of these estimators, Jackknife 2 [27], Chao 2 [28], and Bootstrap [29] richness estimators were selected to estimate the expected diversity of planktonic rotifers.

In order to account for the variation in environmental factors among study sites, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used. The relation between the distribution of rotifers and environmental factors was investigated by using canonical correspondence analysis (CCA). Explanatory environmental variables were selected by forward selection in the CCA, while selecting only those variables that were significantly related to taxonomic abundances (p-value \( \leq 0.05 \)) according to the Monte Carlo permutation tests. The rotifer taxa that were observed in less than 25% of the samples were excluded from CCA to prevent these rare taxa from having an inordinate influence on the statistical results.

3. Results

3.1. Species Diversity of Planktonic Rotifers

A total of 75 rotifer species, belonging to 25 genera, 17 families, and 2 orders were identified from 12 freshwater bodies in Da Nang (Table 2). Among them, seven species were recognized as new to Vietnam, including Testudinella incisa (Ternetz, 1892), Dicranophorus claviger (Hauer 1965), Keratella americana Carlin 1943, Lecane punctata (Murray, 1913), Lecane yatseni Wei and Xu 2010, Tripleuchlanis plicata (Levander 1894), and Trichocerca stylata (Gosse 1851). The structure of the planktonic rotifer community is shown in Figure 2. Lecanidae (22 taxa, 29%) and Brachionidae (18 taxa, 24%) were the two dominant families in the community. Three families had more than five species in this list: Trichocercidae,
Testudinellidae, and Lepadellidae. The rest were composed of 12 families, with only one to three species each.

Table 2. Systematic list of the Rotifera species in freshwater habitats in Da Nang City, central Vietnam.

| Conochilidae | Lecane closterocerca (Schmarda 1859) |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Conochilus coenobasis (Skorikov 1914) | Lecane crepida (Harring 1914) |
| Conochilus dossuarius (Hudson 1885) | Lecane curvicornis (Murray 1913) |
| Hexarthridae | Lecane eila (Hauer 1931) |
| Hexarthra intermedia (Wiszniewski 1929) | Lecane grandis (Murray 1913) |
| Testudinellidae | Lecane halicyusta (Harring and Myers 1926) |
| Testudinella brevicaudata (Yamamoto 1951) | Lecane hamata (Stokes 1896) |
| Testudinella dossuarius (Hudson 1885) | Lecane hastata (Murray 1913) |
| Testudinella tridentata (Smirnov 1931) | Lecane hornemanni (Ehrenberg 1834) |
| Testudinella incisa (Ternetz 1892) | Lecane leontina (Turner 1892) |
| Trochosphaeridae | Lecane ludwigii (Eckstein 1883) |
| Filinia longiseta (Ehrenberg 1834) | Lecane lunata (Müller 1776) |
| Asplanchnidae | Lecane lunaris (Ehrenberg 1832) |
| Asplanchna priodonta (Gosse 1850) | Lecane obtusa (Murray 1913) |
| Asplanchna brightwellii (Gosse 1850) | Lecane papuana (Murray 1913) |
| Brachionidae | Lecane punctata (Murray 1913) |
| Lecane quadridentata (Ehrenberg 1830) | Lecane ruttnieri (Hauer 1938) |
| Lecane scutata (Harring and Myers 1926) | Lecane scutata (Harring and Myers 1926) |
| Keratella americana (Carlin 1943) | Lecane signifera (Wei and Xu 2010) |
| Keratella quadricornis (Ehrenberg 1832) | Lecane yatseni (Wei and Xu 2010) |
| Mytilinidae | Lepadella calyciflorus (Pallas 1766) |
| Lepadella ehrenbergii (Perty 1850) | Lepadella ovalis (Müller 1786) |
| Lepadella rhomboides (Gosse 1886) | Lepadellidae |
| Mytilina ventralis (Ehrenberg 1830) | Mytilina ventralis (Ehrenberg 1830) |
| Notommatidae | Cephalodella gibba (Ehrenberg 1830) |
| Cephalodella gigantea (Remane 1933) | Cephalodella gigantea (Remane 1933) |
| Proalidae | Proales sp. |
| Synchaetidae | Polyarthra dolichoptera (Idelson 1925) |
| Trichoceridae | Trichocerca atkinsoni (Beauchamp 1907) |
| Trichocerca cylindrica (Imhof 1891) | Trichocerca divosuitali (Jennings 1903) |
| Trichocerca pusilla (Jennings 1903) | Trichocerca similis (Wierzejski 1893) |
| Trichocerca styliata (Gosse 1851) | Trichotriidae |
| Macrochaetus collinisi (Gosse 1867) | Macrochaetus longipes (Myers 1934) |
| Macrochaetus longipes (Myers 1934) | Trichotria tenuis (Ehrenberg 1830) |

3.2. Comparison of Rotifer Community Structures among Habitat Types

The first and second axes combinedly explained 80.9% of the differences in the physical and chemical characteristics of the water from the investigated systems (Figure 3). TRIX and turbidity (expressed by Nephelometric Turbidity Unit—NTU) were found to be associated with the first principal component (the scores were 0.56 and 0.68, respectively), while the second principal component was determined by water temperature and pH (the scores were 0.71 and 0.64, respectively). The results from the principal component analysis
revealed a discrepancy between two types of aquatic ecosystems—the lake ecosystem and the river ecosystem. More specifically, the lakes’ water was typically characterized by higher TRIX, higher temperature, and higher turbidity values compared to those of rivers (Table 1). Only the pH value remained quite similar between the two types of aquatic ecosystems. In this analysis, electrical conductivity was eliminated due to high collinearity with turbidity. Consequently, the rotifer assemblage inhabiting these two types of aquatic habitats were expected to be different in terms of structure and in terms of the level of diversity. Thus, we divided our data into two categories for further investigation: the lake category and the river category. More noticeably, it is also possible to categorize 12 sampling sites into urban and suburban areas based on strong discrimination solely by assessing the first environmental gradient (PC1 = 46.8%), which is closely related to turbidity and TRIX gradients (Figure 3 and Table 1).

![Community structure of planktonic rotifers in Da Nang (the values on graph indicate the family name, number of taxa, and percentage).](image)

Figure 2. Community structure of planktonic rotifers in Da Nang (the values on graph indicate the family name, number of taxa, and percentage).

A comparison between the planktonic rotifer communities in lakes and in rivers showed that the lake system was much more diverse in terms of the rotifer community than that of the river system (Figure 4). Seventeen recorded families were found in lakes, while only seven recorded families appeared in rivers. In addition to this, the number of rotifer taxa recorded in lakes was much higher than in rivers (64 taxa vs. 36 taxa). However, these two aquatic ecosystems were similar in terms of the common dominant families, which were Lecanidae and Brachionidae. These two families accounted for 69.44% and 50% of the total number of species in rivers and lakes, respectively.

The differences in diversity and species richness between lacustrine and riverine rotifer assemblages were further reflected by the species accumulation curves and species richness estimators, as shown in Figure 5. The species accumulation curves of both groups shared a similar pattern, which increased rapidly with a slope of 22.59 for the lake group and of 14.5 for the river group. This demonstrated that lentic habitats should host a more diverse community of planktonic rotifers. Nonetheless, the average number of taxa found per sample was comparable (15.72 and 15.56). The estimation of rotifer species richness using...
three different statistical techniques (Jackknife 2, Chao 2, and Bootstrap) in lakes ranged from 75 to 100 taxa and from 42 to 57 taxa in rivers. Notwithstanding, all other richness estimators indicated that the numbers of species could be higher than those currently recorded in both types of aquatic systems.

We further noticed a distinction in the physical and chemical characteristics of water samples collected from urban and rural freshwater bodies, which is possibly attributed to their basin (surrounding areas). The lakes and rivers in rural areas are surrounded by layers of vegetation, while in urban areas, they are normally isolated in residential areas. The lack of natural water filtration and heat insulation systems culminated with a high rate of nutrient-rich discharge from its surroundings makes urban surface water more eutrophic, more turbid, and higher in temperature. Canonical correspondence analysis was implemented to investigate the relationships between environmental variations and the distribution of rotifer taxa in lakes and in rivers from urban and rural areas. There were no significant correlations between the environmental condition and the biological data found for river samples, although the model of planktonic rotifers and environmental factors in lakes was statistically significant at a confidence level of 5% ($p$-value = 0.024) (Figure 6).

![Figure 3. Principal component analysis of water characteristics at 12 sampling sites (see Table 1 for the short codes of the sampling sites).](image)
In general, 84.50% of the variation in the distribution of common rotifer species in Da Nang lakes was attributed to the combined influences of four environmental factors, including pH levels, temperature, turbidity, and TRIX. Among these variables, TRIX and temperature were statistically significant at a confidence level of 95%, with p-values of 0.042 and 0.022, respectively, while pH was only significant at a confidence level of 90% (p-value = 0.069). The first two axes in total were responsible for 82.53% of the variation in the biological data, yet only CCA1 was considered significant (p-value = 0.02). This gradient was mainly defined by TRIX, with a score of 0.6. The turbidity also established strong correlations with this axis, with a score of 0.95; however, this was not statistically significant. Meanwhile, CCA2 was considerably correlated with both temperature (score = 0.44) and pH (score = 0.80).
With regard to the distribution of some common rotifer taxa, it seems that *Trichocerca pusilla*, *Brachionus angularis*, and *Brachionus calyciflorus* were favored by high trophic states and high levels of turbidity. On the other hand, *Anuraeopsis fissa* and *Asplanchna brightwellii* tended to prefer oligotrophic and clear water conditions. Concurrently, *Filinia longiseta* was found to be abundant when the second environmental gradient increased, and this correlated well with higher pH and temperature levels.

More interestingly, urban lakes were observed to share comparable environmental conditions: a high trophic state, high turbidity, and a neutral pH. Urban lakes thus, have a similar community structure to the dominant *Brachionus* genus. In contrast, there were no general patterns in the rural lakes’ condition; therefore, the compositions of rotifer communities were highly variable among rural lakes. For example, *Trichocerca pusilla* was abundant in Hoi Khe Lake, while *Anuraeopsis fissa* and *Asplanchna brightwellii* dominated the rotifer assemblage of Dong Nghe Lake.

4. Discussion
4.1. Community Structure

Recently, more attention has been paid to studies on rotifer community composition and distribution in Vietnamese aquatic and psammon habitats [30–35], leading to a recognition of the high diversity of rotifers in Vietnam. This study continues these efforts by providing a taxonomic list of rotifers from a variety of lotic and lentic freshwater habitats in Da Nang and by discovering seven new species in Vietnam. Our records of the community structure for freshwater bodies in Da Nang were quite similar to that of other areas in Vietnam [31–35], in Thailand [36], and in Cambodia [37]: with Brachionidae, Lecanidae, Trichocercidae, and Lepadellidae being the dominant families. Lecanidae and Brachionidae were represented by a large number of tropic-centered genera, specifically *Lecane* and *Brachionus*, in both lakes and rivers in Da Nang. The dominance of these two families is commonly observed in freshwater bodies in Southeast Asia [31,32,35,38–40]. This similarity is possibly due to the homogeneity of the climate regime within the region.
The number of rotifer species recorded in Da Nang lakes (63 taxa) was lower in comparison with the other lakes in Central Vietnam, such as lake Bau Thiem (89 taxa) [32] and lake Thuy Tien (82 taxa) [31,32]. The number of rotifer species recorded in Da Nang lakes (64 taxa) was higher than that in Southern Vietnamese lakes and reservoirs, such as Tra Su (9 taxa), Bung Binh Thien (24 taxa), Tri An (33 taxa), Suoi Trau (45 taxa), and Da Ban (16 taxa) [34,35]. With respect to riverine habitats, there were 36 rotifer taxa identified from two rivers in Da Nang that were lower than that in Nhu Y river in Hue (49 taxa) [31] but greater than that in some rivers in Southern Vietnam (14–29 taxa) [34]. However, these comparisons were mainly for reference since the sampling intensity and strategy applied in each study were not the same. Moreover, the actual diversity of rotifers in Da Nang freshwater bodies might be higher than our observation since some small species (body size < 50 µm) might be lost due to the choice of sampling mesh size. Nevertheless, it should be noted that several small species, e.g., *Anuraeopsis fissa*, were still found in this study. Taking environmental conditions into our considerations, our study demonstrates a clear discrepancy between lakes and rivers in Figure 3. Lentic and lotic environments are proven to be different from one another in terms of hydrology and chemistry, resulting in differences in ecology, specifically trophic level [15,41,42]. It is expected that most lentic habitats possess higher diversity in terms of plants, algae, and fish species given the wide range of specific niches. Our results agree with this expectation, as both the abundance and diversity levels of Rotifera communities of lacustrine systems were much greater than that of the riverine systems.

4.2. Relation to Environmental Factors

From the principal component analysis, it is possible to relate this finding to higher values of TRIX and turbidity. The trophic state is considered one of the most important characteristics of an aquatic ecosystem [43] and commonly plays a key role in determining the composition of rotifer communities [13]. Rotifers are normally found to be increasingly dominant in more productive systems [44,45]. Nonetheless, it is noteworthy to point out that the diversity index for the whole zooplankton assemblage was evidenced to decrease with an increasing degree of eutrophication [45–47]. In our study, however, the highest diversity of rotifers (23 taxa) was observed in oligotrophic water bodies and then followed by mesotrophic water bodies (14 taxa). Eutrophic water bodies had the lowest diversity (12 taxa).

Aside from the trophic condition, turbidity has been shown to have various influences on aquatic biota such as the ecological condition, resource availability, and species interactions [48]. For example, suspended solids may provide refuge for zooplankton from visual predators, yet high mineral turbidity may reduce phytoplankton production through light limitation, which in turn leads to a reduction in food availability for zooplankton. Some investigated lakes in our study showed a higher turbidity level compared to rivers, which diverged from the common pattern. This may be due to the fact that our sampling campaign was taken during the low water period (August 2018—the dry season in Da Nang). Thus, the influences of turbulent inflows into the rivers were very minor; on the other hand climate conditions were favorable for the development of phytoplankton and led to an elevation in turbidity in lentic systems. Many studies indicated a deleterious effect of the increased concentration of suspended solids on Cladocera populations while rotifer populations were unaffected [49–51], resulting in an expansion of rotifer assemblage in turbid water. Our results were in line with these findings: lake habitats with higher turbidity (11.3 NTU, 63 taxa) might create the ideal conditions for rotifers to develop than in riverine systems with lower turbidity (6.6 NTU, 36 taxa).

In summary, we suggest that the condition of lacustrine systems in comparison with riverine systems in Da Nang during the studied period was more favorable for the development of Rotifera. The higher species richness and the abundance of rotifers in lakes might be the result of increased food availability and reduced competition for living resources with other planktonic animals.
The environmental data retrieved from the lake samples were abundant and covered a wide range of conditions. Thus, a canonical correspondence analysis was applied to identify the influences of environmental variables on patterns of variation in the rotifer assemblage, which is represented by some commonly found species. A statistically significant model was obtained, which indicated that the densities of the concerned species were indeed regulated by TRIX and pH.

It is well-known that the community structure and the abundance of rotifers are considerably affected by total abundance (hence rotifer species composition) and that diversity indices have been widely used as bioindicators for assessing water quality [7,12–14,52]. May and O’Hare (2005) argued that rotifer abundance reflects lake trophicity better than species composition because it is more sensitive to changes in the trophic state. Good indicators of eutrophic condition have been listed in several studies, and are Brachionus sp., Trichocerca pusilla, and Filinia longiseta [7,20,52,53], as they are normally found with high densities in nutrient-rich water. Consistent with these findings, a high number of T. pusilla, B. angularis, and B. calyciflorus individuals were found in eutrophic urban lakes in our study. Grazing strategy, including food selection and collection, should be taken into account when explaining the variations in rotifer dominance [15]. For example, B. angularis, which is classified as fine particle sedimentations based on their malleate trophi and preference for food items of less than 5 µm in size [54,55], is favored by environments with a high concentration of dissolved organic matter—which is enhanced by eutrophication. Anuraeopsis fissa is considered a microfilter-feeder, mostly feeding on bacteria-laden detritus particles and nanophytoplankton that are in suspension [56]; thus, they tend to be numerous in oligotrophic water. This is confirmed by our results as we recorded a relatively high number of A. fissa individuals in two oligotrophic lakes—Dong Nghe (7040 individuals·m$^{-3}$) and Truoc Dong (1920 individuals·m$^{-3}$).

The density of Filinia longiseta seemed to be boosted by the alkaline conditions in Lake Truoc Dong. Berzinš and Pejler (1989) [57] reported a bimodal pH tolerance in this species, with its peak abundance occurring at pH levels from 7–9. Most noticeably, the abundance of Asplanchna brightwellii seemed to be negatively correlated with those of the Brachionus species. Specifically, in Lake Dong Nghe, the density of Asplanchna brightwellii was very high, with 9240 individuals/m³, while the number of total Brachionus individuals was much fewer, with only 2640 individuals/m³, and half of them were B. calyciflorus. In fact, Asplanchna brightwellii is a predator feeding on small herbivorous rotifers (e.g., Brachionus) [58,59], yet it tends to avoid prey that are able to develop defenses such as spines (Brachionus calyciflorus) and setae (Filinia longiseta) [58]. This relationship can evidently be seen in lake Dong Nghe since this habitat provides a zooplanktonic community with a low level of food (Chl-a = 3.55 mg·m$^{-3}$), which allows for the dominance of small rotifers.

5. Conclusions

A total of 75 species of rotifers, belonging to 25 genera and 17 families, were recorded in eight lakes and two rivers in Da Nang, and seven of these species were new to Vietnam. The community structures in both rivers and lakes were mainly contributed by Lecanidae and Brachionidae, with 69% of the total species in rivers and 50% in lakes belonging to these two families. The differences in the natural conditions of the lacustrine and riverine systems might be drivers of dissimilarity between the rotifer communities in lakes and rivers. The higher species richness and diversity in lakes in comparison to those in rivers could be attributed to the higher values of trophic level, temperature, and the turbidity of lake water. Furthermore, the distribution of rotifers in lakes was found to be significantly related to abiotic factors (temperature, TRIX, pH, and turbidity) at a confidence level of 95%. These findings have contributed important information to the body of knowledge on rotifers in Vietnam as well as in tropical regions.
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