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Abstract

Through detailed electronic structure simulations we show that the electronic orbital ordering (between $d_{yz}$ and $d_{xz}$ bands) takes place due to local breaking of in-plane symmetry that generates two non-equivalent $a$, $b$ directions in 122 family of Fe-based superconductors. Orbital ordering is strongly anisotropic and the temperature dependence of the corner zone orbital order maps to that of the orthorhombicity parameter. Orbital anisotropy results in two distinct spin density wave nesting wave vectors and causes inter-orbital charge and spin fluctuations. Temperature dependence of the orbital order is proportional to the nematic order and it sets in at a temperature where magnetic fluctuation starts building. Magnetic fluctuations in the orthorhombic phase is characterized through evolution of Stoner factor which reproduces experimental findings very accurately. Orbital ordering becomes strongly spin dependent in presence of magnetic interaction. Occupation probabilities of all the Fe-d-orbitals exhibit temperature dependence indicating their possible contribution in orbital fluctuation. This need to be contrasted with the usual definition of nematic order parameter ($n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}}$). Relationship among orbital fluctuations, magnetic fluctuations and nematicity are established.
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1. Introduction

The discovery of high temperature superconductivity in Fe-based materials attaining $T_c$ as large as 109K \cite{1}, has lead to a huge up surge of research for further discovery of such new materials \cite{2}. Seven years after its discovery, while a clear consensus on the mechanism of superconductivity has not yet been reached, understanding on the structural, magnetic transitions and their mutual influences on superconductivity remain central issue of frontier research \cite{2, 3, 4}. A large number of undoped Fe-based materials show spin density wave (SDW) magnetic state whose transition temperature coincides with that of the structural transition (which gets separated through doping as well as pressure). Both the transitions being second order in nature, can have a conflict with Landau theory of phase transition unless there would be a precursor transition at higher temperatures. According to Landau theory, occurrence of two simultaneous transitions may be purely coincidental, mutually independent, or one of the transitions be first order type or there must be a precursor to one of the transitions at a higher temperature. What is that precursor?

Origin of the structural transition is not purely lattice driven but an electronic one; the orbital ordering of Fe $d_{yz}$ and $d_{xz}$ orbitals \cite{5, 6} is the primary reason for structural transition. Among some of the normal state properties, transport in ”preferred” direction has been observed unambiguously in many experiments – inelastic neutron scattering (INS) \cite{7}, scanning tunnelling microscope, impurity \cite{8}, resistivity \cite{9}, optical conductivity \cite{10}, angle resolved photo electron spectroscopy \cite{5} and so on. Overall, origin of such phenomena is related to the breaking of four-fold rotational ($C_4$) symmetry of the tetragonal phase known as nematicity — the precursor. Whether the origin of nematic phase is spin driven or orbital driven is far from being settled. The nematic phase is observed in FeSe materials, that has structural transition at 90 K but no trace of long-range magnetic order \cite{11} indicating nematicity is orbital fluctuation driven \cite{12}. However, observation of an additional $C_4$ phase deep inside the orthorhombic ($C_2$) phase in $\text{Ba}_1-x\text{Na}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ close to the suppression of magnetic spin density wave (SDW) order favours magnetically driven nematic order \cite{13}. Role of nematic phase as regards to the mechanism of superconductivity or symmetry of Cooper pair wave function is not straight forward \cite{14} but the fact that spin fluctuation leads to $s^+$ superconductivity \cite{15} whereas the orbital fluctuation leads to $s^{++}$ superconductivity in Fe-pnictides \cite{17} are established and magnetism competes with
superconductivity \cite{18,19}. There are also evidences of ‘nematic order’ in the
cuprates which are known to be strongly correlated materials \cite{20,21,22,23}.
On the other hand, 122 family of Fe-based superconductors are generally
considered as weakly correlated systems. Therefore, the study of nematicity
in Fe-based superconductors is of fundamental importance. Possible origins
of nematic phase are well described in \cite{3} as (a) structural distortion, (b)
charge/orbital order, (c) spin order. Whatever be the nematic order param-
eter it must couple linearly to the orthorhombic distortion \cite{24}. Nematicity
introduces electronic anisotropy leading to two different nesting vectors which
in turn leads to two competing spin density wave (SDW) instabilities (\(Z_2 \times
O(3)\) symmetry breaking) \cite{25}. Coupling of the orbital order to SDW and
vice versa has been used as inputs in Ginzburg-Landau formalism which pro-
vides qualitative understanding of nematic phase. A clear first principles
understanding on whether there is any direct coupling between the magnetic
(SDW) and orbital order in Fe-pnictides is absent till to date — is the main
aim of this work.

We show through electronic structure calculation that the electronic or-
bal ordering locally breaks the in-plane symmetry and generate two non-
equivalent \(a, b\) directions. In particular, we show that below structural tran-
sition (orthorhombic phase) there is a strong orbital anisotropy along the
\(\Gamma - X\) and \(\Gamma - Y\) polarizations; the band at \(X\) is dominantly Fe-d\(_{yz}\)
derived whereas that at \(Y\), Fe-d\(_{xz}\) derived respectively. This feature reproduces
correctly experimental angle resolved photo electron spectroscopy (ARPES)
observation \cite{3}. This is the root cause of orbital ordering in BaFe\(_{2-x}\)Ru\(_x\)As\(_2\)
— we show that the temperature dependence of the orbital ordering at \(X(Y)\)
point reproduces exactly that of orthorhombicity parameter (hence struc-
tural transition). Thus structural transition is primarily electronic in origin
and phonons can not be a primary order parameter for nematicity. Whereas
the temperature dependence of the same at \(\Gamma\) point is very weak (nearly
independent of temperature) as observed experimentally \cite{26}. Interestingly,
Zhang et al. \cite{26} observed that the orbital splitting (ordering) at \(\Gamma\) point
(in case of FeSe) persists beyond structural transition temperature and ar-
gued that as against ferro-orbital ordering. In order to have a complemen-
tary first principles understanding over the experimental and other studies
\cite{3,5,7,12,13,26} we introduce magnetic interaction through tuning inte-
grated spin density defined as, \(I_s=\int(n_\uparrow(r) - n_\downarrow(r))d^3r\). In presence of finite
integrated spin density \(I_s\), spin selective orbital ordering are observed. Due to
electronic orbital anisotropy (see Fig. 3) the SDW state may be viewed as a superposition of two SDW states. This is because of two reasons, (i) nesting wave vector that connects nested parts of Fermi arcs along the $\Gamma - X$ and $\Gamma - Y$ directions are different; (ii) overlap of Fe-$d_{xy}$ with $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ is different (below structural transition) causing anisotropic charge and spin density fluctuations. Tuning $I_s$ causes further perturbation to the underlying SDW as well as splits the spin degeneracy of energy bands. This magnetic interaction couple with orbital (charge) fluctuations causing further orbital anisotropy. Remarkably, this later effect is observable only in the orthorhombic phase and not in the tetragonal phase. This would be experimentally verifiable by ARPES in presence of weak Zeeman field. In presence of $I_s$ we evaluate thermal variations of orbital ordering at different high symmetry points. We show that the magnetic interaction couples to the zone centre orbital ordering very strongly where as it has a substantial effect on the corner zone orbital fluctuation. These observations support the claim by Zhang [26], Fernandes [3] of magnetic origin of nematic phase. Finally, we show that the orbital occupancies of all the five d-orbitals of Fe show temperature dependencies below structural transition. This indicates to the fact that nematic order parameter may not simply be defined as $(n_{d_{xz}} - n_{d_{yz}})$ but charge fluctuations from other orbitals also need to be considered, for example, the thermal variation of $(n_{d_{xz}} - n_{d_{yz}}) + (n_{d_{x^2 - y^2}} - n_{d_{xy}})$ also follows orthorhombicity (see inset Fig. 5c). This will put constraints on many theoretical and experimental works so far.

2. Method

First principles density functional theories can produce exact solutions of the many electron Schrödinger equation if exact electronic density is being used as input. Various modern X-ray diffraction techniques e.g., Synchrotrons radiation source etc. that determines crystallographic information at different external perturbations are essentially result of diffraction from various atomic charge densities (Bragg’s diffraction). Considering experimentally determined structural parameters at different temperatures as input thus in turn provides temperature dependent densities in our first principles calculation. These input structural parameters are kept fixed throughout the calculation for a fixed temperature. This is how we use a T=0 DFT formalism to bring out temperature dependent observable with the help of experimental input (energy being functional of electron density E
\[ \equiv E[\rho(r, T)] \equiv E[\rho\{a(T), b(T), c(T)\}] \]. The main effect on the electronic structure from finite temperature is the underlying crystal structure, and the average crystal structure at finite temperature can usually be reliably determined from the diffraction experiment at a given temperature. This method is somewhat superior to other similar methodology, like molecular dynamics simulation (MD). Through MD simulation one finds temperature dependent lattice parameters and then use standard T=0 DFT method using GGA exchange potential (see for example, [27, 28, 29, 30, 6]). However, experimentally determined temperature dependent lattice parameters can be obtained with an accuracy better than 0.001 Å which may not be possible in MD. Using temperature and doping dependent experimental lattice parameters \( a(T, x), b(T, x), c(T, x) \) and \( z_{As}(T, x) \) [30], we obtain electronic structure as a function of temperature as well as doping, to explain the experimentally observed anomalies microscopically. One of the shortcomings of the density functional theory (DFT) under generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for calculating electronic structures of Fe-based SCs is that it fails to reproduce accurate experimental \( z_{As} \) [31, 32, 30, 33]. This conflict arises due to strong magnetic fluctuation associated with Fe based compounds [34]. This insist us to take experimental \( z_{As} \) instead of relaxed \( z_{As} \) obtained by total energy minimization, as one of our input parameters. We simulate electronic structures for both the phases, low temperature orthorhombic phase with anti-ferromagnetic (AFM) as well as spin density wave (SDW) ordering and high temperature paramagnetic tetragonal phase. In low temperature orthorhombic phase, along with non magnetic structures various spin configurations have been employed among which the lowest energy configuration is considered for electronic structure calculation. Our first principles electronic structure calculations are carried out implementing plane-wave pseudopotential method within the framework of density functional theory [35]. In all of our temperature and doping dependent calculations the electronic exchange correlation energy is treated under the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using Perdew-Burke-Enzerhof (PBE) functional [36]. Tackling small fraction of Ru substitution in place of Fe is accomplished by considering both, the virtual crystal approximation (VCA) as well as super-cell method for convenience. Super-cell method is a computationally expensive method adopted to mimic finite percentage of doping at a particular site. Let’s say e.g., for 5% doping at the Fe site one needs to build a super-cell (bigger unit cell) that contains 20 Fe atoms; then 1 of the Fe atoms are replaced by Ru atom (say). In the present case however, a super-cell containing 16 Fe atoms
(total 40 atoms) are taken out of which one is replaced by a Ru (shown in FIG.1). This corresponds to 6% Ru doping which is close to the experimental situation. Note the size of the unit cell in the given symmetry is such that it does not allow exactly a super-cell with 20 Fe atoms. Spin polarized single point energy calculations are performed using AFM and SDW configuration [37] (see inset Fig.5) for the low temperature orthorhombic phase with space group symmetry Fmmm (No.69) using ultrasoft pseudopotentials. Plane wave basis set with energy cut off 500 eV and self consistent field (SCF) tolerance $10^{-6}$ eV/atom has been opted for all calculations. Brillouin zone is sampled in the k space within Monkhorst-Pack scheme and grid size for SCF calculation is $12 \times 12 \times 12$ for electronic density of state calculation in primitive cell for orthorhombic phase. Band structure calculations are performed along various k-paths (X, $\Gamma$ and Y) with k point separation $10^{-3}$ Å. Standard rotationally invariant approach due to Matteo Cococcioni and Stefano de Gironcoli [38] and V. I. Anisimov [39] is used to treat the Hubbard on site repulsion effect by post-DFT LSDA+U method which calculates and

Figure 1: Structure of a 40 atoms super-cell of BaFe$_2$As$_2$, which contains 16 Fe atoms and one Ru atom corresponding to about 6% doping. Different colours are used to indicate different atoms.
uses the total spin-projected occupation of the localized manifold, as this is essential to treat the Hubbard term. Therefore, the method of calculation of occupation probabilities of $U$ effected orbitals remain same as that of the reference given above which is also valid even in the limit $U$ tending to zero.

3. Results and discussions

First, we have calculated band structures of $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ system for antiferromagnetic (AFM) spin configuration (total spin zero) using experimental lattice parameters at 20K as well as 300K along some specified k-path to probe orbital anisotropy. Our calculated band structures of $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$ along the k path $\Gamma \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \Gamma$ at two different temperatures corresponding to orthorhombic and tetragonal (20K and 300K) phases respectively are shown in Fig. 2. Circular envelopes are drawn around $X,Y$ points which are then shown in Fig. 3 where splitting of $d_{xz}/d_{yz}$ at $\Gamma$ point has also been highlighted. It is very clear from Fig. 3(a) that at 20K (orthorhombic phase),
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band dispersion along $\Gamma - X$ direction is quite different compared to that in the $\Gamma - Y$ direction. In Fig. 3 the splitting of $d_{xz}/d_{yz}$ at $\Gamma$ point has also been highlighted in the inset. The same for the room temperature is then compared with Fig. 3 demonstrate that the orbital ordering locally breaks the in plane symmetry and generates two non-equivalent $a$, $b$ directions $\perp$ to $c$. This results in two different nesting wave vectors along $Q_x = (\pi, 0)$ and $Q_y = (0, \pi)$ directions - that is spins are parallel to each other along $X$-direction and anti-parallel along $Y$-direction ($O_3$). We would also like to mention that at lower temperatures there exists two Fe-Fe distances ($Z_2$) \cite{30,6} and this makes the system anisotropic both magnetically as well as in terms of band motion. This situation resembles to that of the nematic phase where the bilinear combination of the order parameter ($O_3 \times Z_2$) breaks the tetragonal symmetry and is invariant under symmetry transformation. Because of the anisotropy of the bands along $X$ and $Y$ directions, in general, (overlap of the $d_{xy}$ band with $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ bands are specially different) causes inter-band charge and spin fluctuations, which may cause for example, coupling between them resulting in different amplitudes of the SDW along $Q_x$ and $Q_y$ directions. Energy orderings of the non-degenerate $d_{xz}/d_{yz}$ bands sets in
Figure 4: Calculated orbital order (in meV) around X (blue), Y (green) and Γ (red) points as a function of temperature for 5% Ru doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$. Brillouin zone of orthorhombic BaFe$_2$As$_2$ has been shown in the inset of the figure indicating various k points (X, Y and Γ). The temperature dependence of orbital order is same as that of orthorhombicity ($\delta$) $^{30}$.

orbital ordering $^{6, 40, 15}$, temperature dependence of which defines structural transition. This is depicted in Fig. 4. It should be clearly noted that the structural distortion is predominantly determined by the orbital ordering at X(Y) point; it is very weakly influenced by the orbital ordering at Γ point which has very weak temperature dependence. This clearly shows that the orbital ordering is very anisotropic. Now, question is why is that the orbital ordering at the (zone centre) Γ point is so weak but finite and independent of temperature? This is also observed experimentally by Zhang et al., $^{26}$ and modelled as bond-order. We argue below this as manifestations of orbital anisotropy in presence of zone folding due to magnetic order. It is easy to envisage from the band structure in Fig. 3 that because of inter-band nesting $E_{dz^2}(k + Q_x) = -E_{dz^2}(k)$, but $E_{dy^2}(k + Q_x) = -E_{dy^2}(k)$ and $E_{dz^2}(k + Q_y) = -E_{dy^2}(k)$, but $E_{dy^2}(k + Q_y) = -E_{dy^2}(k)$. These would make the nematic order parameter $(n_{dz^2} - n_{dy^2})$ null if the nesting wave vectors $Q_x, Q_y$ were equivalent, but as it is not, it results in some small but finite quantity which is nearly independent of temperature. This feature is indicative of the fact that the orbital ordering ‘gap’ would form a density wave and this along with the SDW state is interband in nature $^{18}$. By now through above discussions it is nearly evident as to what is the source of temperature dependence of the orbital order parameter and may relate to the same of the nematic order parameter. The Fe band energies presented in Figs. 3.
Figure 5: Total orbital occupancies per Fe atom (in real space) of various d orbitals of all Fe-atoms in the super-cell as a function of temperature for (a) AFM and (b) SDW spin configuration indicated in the inset. (c) \((n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}})\) and \((n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}})+(n_{d_{x^2-y^2}}-n_{d_{xy}})\) (inset) as a function of temperature for AFM (blue) and SDW (red) spin structures. (d) Thermal variation of \((n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}})\) for SDW spin configuration considering correlation \((U=1)\) using GGA+U formalism and with out correlation.
Figure 6: (a) Calculated Stoner factor \((I^{Fe} \times [N^{Fe}(E_F)]^2 + I^{Ru} \times [N^{Ru}(E_F)]^2)\) as a function of temperature for 5% Ru doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ systems and (b) thermal variation of Stoner factor for different U values as indicated in the figure.

may be written as, \(E(k) = \sum_i \epsilon_i(k)n_i(k)\); \(i = d_{xz}, d_{yz}, d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2}, d_{(3z^2-r^2)}\) and the corresponding eigenstates involving orbitals are \(\Psi = \sum_i c_i \phi_i\). \(\epsilon_i(k)\) and \(n_i(k)\) are the band energies and occupation probabilities of \(i\)’th orbital \(\phi_i\), respectively. The \(\epsilon_i(r)\)s being the Kohn-Sham orbit energies and the corresponding Fourier transformed \(\epsilon_i(k)\)s are independent of temperature (and magnetic interaction introduced later through \(I_s\)), whereas the orbital occupancies (or densities) \(n_i(k)\) are function of temperature. Therefore, lifting of degeneracy of the \(d_{xz}, d_{yz}\) bands at the \(\Gamma\) and \(X\) points, as the temperature is lowered below structural transition temperature is a consequence of the fact that their occupation probabilities become different (i.e., partial densities become unequal). Note, the energy gap between the \(d_{xz}, d_{yz}\) bands at the \(\Gamma\) and \(X\) points is a function of temperature (see Fig. 4). The temperature difference essentially originates from the temperature dependencies of \(n_{d_{xz}}, n_{d_{yz}}\) and is proportional to \(n_{d_{xz}} - n_{d_{yz}}\) (see Fig. 5c). Therefore, it is desirable to calculate the temperature dependencies of the occupation probabilities of all the five \(d\)-orbitals of Fe from first principles calculation. Such a rare calculation is presented in Fig. 5. This quantity \((n_{d_{xz}} - n_{d_{yz}})\) represents inter orbital charge fluctuation or orbital fluctuation in short, one of the important contender for nematic phase and is also called nematic order parameter. Using super-cell of orthorhombic BaFe$_2$As$_2$ structure corresponding to \(\sim 6\%\) Ru doping (cf. Fig.1) and two types of spin arrangements AFM and SDW (shown in the inset of Fig. 4) first principles simulations of orbital occupancies are presented. Why dope BaFe$_2$As$_2$ with Ru? Like hole doped 122 systems iso-electronic Ru doped 122 system also have inseparably same structural and magnetic transitions and the nematic phase in this system.
remain unexplored. This is unlike other iso-electronic P doping in place of As. It is particularly an interesting case, it is not clear as to where does the charge carrier go in case of Ru doping in place of Fe. Both the hole and electron Fermi pockets either remain unaltered or expands at an equal rate (28, 33). Furthermore, both the structural and magnetic transitions are 2nd order in nature in case of underdoped Ru-122 system. Therefore, study of temperature dependence of orbital fluctuation from first principles is of genuine interest. In Fig.5 orbital occupancies of $d_{xz}$ orbital $(n_{d_{xz}})$ modifies significantly with temperature compared to the other $d$ orbitals specially $d_{yz}$ and $d_{xy}$ (but they also do show substantial temperature dependence). We have also calculated the difference in the occupancies of $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals \textit{i.e}, $n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}}$ (nematicity) as a function of temperature for both AFM and SDW spin configuration (see Fig. 5a). Since, above structural transition there is no splitting between the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ bands \textit{i.e}, $\epsilon_{xz} = \epsilon_{yz}$ temperature dependence of the nematic order parameter $n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}}$ is proportional to that of the orbital order. In other words, the nematic order essentially grow as orbital order which is responsible for orthorhombic transition. Therefore, this result may be interpreted as the first principles evidence of the fact that if orbital fluctuation is the primary order responsible for nematicity, then it is proportional to the orthorhombicity parameter \cite{3}. This is one of the inputs of all the theories involving Ginzburg Landau formalism. As already mentioned towards the end of theoretical method section that the method of calculation of occupation probabilities of $U$ effected orbitals remains same as that of the ref. \cite{38, 39} which is also valid even in the limit $U$ tending to zero. Therefore, occupation probabilities are obtained for a very small $U=0.01$eV (not exactly equal to zero) which makes up/down spin states different even in the tetragonal phase. As a result $n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}}$ become very small but non-zero and has hardly any temperature dependence. Also, the difference in the nematic order parameter for SDW and AFM clearly indicates that it is a spin nematicity. Furthermore, in the inset of Fig. 5c, we depict the thermal variation of $(n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}})+(n_{d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}}-n_{d_{xy}})$ which also reproduces thermal behaviour of orthorhombicity corresponding to orbital fluctuation involving all four $d$-orbitals. Thus, in contrast to the usual belief in literature, nematic order parameter which is defined as $n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}}$, perhaps involve all other $d$-orbitals as well. The nematic order parameters show substantial modifications near the temperature where magnetic fluctuation is very strong (see Fig. 8). This provides a distinct evidence to the fact that probably magnetic fluctuation, orbital fluctuation, the nematicity are interdependent (we discuss this issue...
Figure 7: Calculated band structure of 5% Ru doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ systems at 20 K with $I_s = 1$ for down spin (left) and up spin (right) electrons around X, Y and $\Gamma$ points. We assign red green and blue colours to $d_{xy}$, $d_{yz}$ and $d_{xz}$ orbitals respectively.
below). Also it should be noted that the orbital occupancies of d_{xz} orbital is always greater than that of the d_{yz} orbital i.e., n_{d_{xz}} > n_{d_{yz}}. This propound ferro-orbital ordering. Partial densities n_{xz}, n_{yz} being unequal would correspond to different bonding along x and y directions, a mark of nematicity due to orbital anisotropy. Unlike cuprates it is experimentally well established that 122 family of Fe-based SCs are weakly correlated. In Fig. 5(d) temperature dependence of n_{d_{xz}}-n_{d_{yz}} has been shown with small on site correlation (U=1) and with out correlation. It is clear that correlation reduces orbital order and so the magnetic fluctuation (see Fig. 5b). Magnetic fluctuations play an important role in these family of Fe-based SCs. Stoner factor is the measure of these magnetic fluctuation. Stoner factor of this compound can be defined as $I_{Fe} \times [N_{Fe}(E_F)]^2 + I_{Ru} \times [N_{Ru}(E_F)]^2$, where $N_{Fe}(E_F)$ and $N_{Ru}(E_F)$ are the density of states at the Fermi level from Fe and Ru atoms respectively [41, 42]. The value of Stoner parameters $I_{Fe}$ and $I_{Ru}$ are taken from ref [41, 43]. We have calculated the Stoner factor as a function of temperature and displayed in Fig. 6a. As temperature changes, partial density of states of Fe and Ru at the Fermi level (E_F) get modified due to substantial moderation of Fe-As hybridization. This is the root cause of temperature dependence of Stoner factor. This observation is very much consistent with recent experimental findings [44]. In Fig. 6b thermal variation of Stoner factor has been depicted with different values of U (strength of electron correlation). It is clear that with increasing electron repulsion (system would prefer stable antiferromagnetic configuration) magnetic fluctuation is actually decreasing (so is the nematic order) which enhances further our suspect that magnetic fluctuation triggers orbital fluctuation and nematic phase.

For this purpose, we tune magnetic interaction manually by introducing integrated spin density parameter as explained above and see its influence on electronic structure. While keeping initial AFM spin structure we provide constraint through the integrated spin density parameter for spin polarized calculations which induces some magnetic moment in the system. This method is a simple extension of standard LSDA formalism where total energy as a function of moment can be obtained. After complete spin polarized calculation being performed, the main idea is to perform a single point energy calculation keeping the total moment constrained to some fixed small but finite non zero values. This is equivalent to generating a weak Zeeman field (see also the form of the integrated spin density parameter). In this way by keeping the AFM spin configuration, magnetic interaction can
be introduced to the system by simply fixing the total (difference in up and down spin) magnetic moment of the system through integrated spin density parameter. Actually, from electronic structure calculation we calculate orbital ordering again in presence of magnetic interaction (see below) and see that orbital anisotropy is enhanced, and hence the nematicity. In presence of finite integrated spin density, the band of up spin electrons and band of down spin electrons split. We observed that for $I_s = 1$ and 2, one of the bands (up spin) goes deep below the Fermi level. So only one of the spin electronic bands are contributing significantly at the Fermi level. In Fig. 7 we have shown the band structures of 5% Ru doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ systems at 20 K, $I_s = 1$ for down spin (left) and up spin (right) electrons around different k points (X, Y and Γ points). Notably, around X, Y and Γ points all the orbitals are ordered differently in contrast to that in Fig. 3. Around X as well as around Γ point the energy ordering of $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals are exactly opposite to each other for up spin and down spin bands. This leads to spin-polarized orbital orderings (possibly orbital density wave). We do all the same exercises (in all the figures above) for K doped as well as P doped 122 systems and found that the orbital ordering is a common phenomena (there are differences in details and will be reported elsewhere). In Fig. 8 we have presented temperature variation of orbital order around X, Y and Γ points for $I_s = 1$ (Fig. 8a) and $I_s = 2$ respectively (Fig. 8b) after extracting the required information from Fig. 7. In case of $I_s = 1$ the orbital order around Γ increases to about 3 fold compared to the case where we optimized the total spin of the system (represented by hollow symbols). However, the orbital ordering around the zone corners X(Y) are less affected. When the
integrated spin density is increased to 1 from 0, it stabilizes the underlying SDW, but when $I_s$ is further increased to 2, the underlying SDW ordering will be strongly suppressed due to ferromagnetic nature of the $I_s$. Hence, orbital ordering is strongly coupled to the underlying magnetic fluctuation (it enhances orbital fluctuation or nematic order parameter) and our study thus is complementary to recent studies [3, 5, 26, 45, 46] on nematic phase.

4. Conclusion

We establish the microscopic relationship between the orbital order, structural transition and nematic order in 122 family of Fe-based superconductors. While electronic orbital anisotropy gives rise to orbital order, temperature dependence of the orbital order is found to be exactly same as that of the orthorhombicity, indicating orbital ordering is responsible for structural transition. Temperature dependence of the orbital order is proportional to the temperature dependence of the nematic order ($n_{dz^2}-n_{d_{y^2}}$). This indicates that the nematic order grows as orbital order in the orthorhombic phase. We have explicitly evaluated the temperature dependencies of orbital occupancies of all Fe-d-orbitals. Almost all the d-orbitals show substantial charge fluctuations in the orthohombic phase, indicating that the actual definition of nematic order parameter may be more complicated. The nematic order parameter is found to show temperature dependence close to the onset of magnetic fluctuation, obtained rigorously through evaluation of Stoner factor. When magnetic fluctuations are enhanced, the orbital fluctuations are also enhanced and vice versa establishing their couplings. Spin-polarised orbital ordering revealed from this work would be experimentally observable. Finally, our work supports magnetic origin of nematicity in 122 family of Fe-based superconductors. We believe this work would generate further interest in theoretical as well as experimental studies.
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