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Introduction

The motivating question that represented the starting point of this thesis can be phrased as follows: “Is there any analogue for algebraic cobordism of the Thom-Porteous formula with values in the Chow ring?” Given a morphism of vector bundles \( h : E \to F \) over a pure dimensional Cohen-Macaulay scheme \( X \) such that the degeneracy locus

\[
D_n(h) := \{ x \in X \mid \operatorname{rank}(h(x) : E(x) \to F(x)) \leq n \}
\]

has the expected codimension in \( X \), the Thom-Porteous formula allows one to write the Chow ring-valued fundamental class \([D_n(h)]_{CH}\) as a determinant in the Chern classes of the two bundles. On the other hand the theory of algebraic cobordism \( \Omega^* \) was established by Levine and Morel as an algebraic geometric analogue of complex cobordism. From our point of view the key feature of algebraic cobordism is that it represents the universal oriented cohomology theory on smooth schemes. This in particular implies that it can be seen as a powerful generalization of the Chow ring: to be able to find such a formula in the context of algebraic cobordism would have consequences for all other oriented cohomology theories.

Following the work of Fulton in [6], we have decided to restrict our attention to degeneracy loci of morphisms of vector bundles endowed with full flags and in particular to the universal case represented by the full flag bundle \( F\ell(V) \) over a scheme \( X \). In this setting the degeneracy loci are the Schubert varieties \( \Omega_\omega \) and Fulton has showed that their fundamental classes are given by double Schubert polynomials evaluated at the Chern roots of the defining bundles. From this special case he then recovers the general case by pulling back to the base the fundamental class of the appropriate Schubert variety, therefore providing a description of the fundamental class of the degeneracy loci in terms of double Schubert polynomials.

Later, in [8] Fulton and Lascoux considered once again the universal case but this time they aimed at giving a description of the fundamental classes of Schubert varieties in the Grothendieck ring of vector bundles. The formula they found, which expresses the fundamental classes in terms of the double Grothendieck polynomials of Lascoux and Schützenberger, formally resembles the one in the Chow ring case and it is proved following essentially the same pattern. Even though they are not explicitly mentioned, in both proofs a central role is played by the Bott-Samelson resolutions: it is the push-forward of their fundamental classes that can be naturally described by double Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials. On the other hand Bott-Samelson resolutions also happen to be desingularizations of Schubert varieties, it is this fact that allows to bring back into the picture the fundamental classes \([\Omega_\omega]\).

The study of the Grothendieck ring case was finally completed by Buch in [2], where he manages to express the fundamental class of a general degeneracy locus by means of Grothendieck polynomials.

In view of these results we wondered if the method designed by Fulton could also be used in the framework of algebraic cobordism. As we have already mentioned, Levine and Morel have showed that algebraic cobordism is the universal oriented cohomology theory and as such it generalizes both
the Chow ring and the Grothendieck ring. Even though this last fact alone would justify our interest in the problem, there is another aspect which is worth underlining: the universality of algebraic cobordism makes it possible to study the question in many oriented cohomology theories at once, highlighting what conditions the theory has to satisfy so that the different steps of the proof go through. In some sense even the goals can change according to the theory one considers.

Let us give an easy illustration of this phenomenon. As we have already mentioned, Fulton’s approach in the original setting consists of two main parts: computing the classes associated to the Bott-Samelson resolutions and relating them to the fundamental classes of Schubert varieties. In case one considers algebraic cobordism already at this very primitive stage the final goal has to be modified: in algebraic cobordism only local complete intersection schemes have a well defined notion of fundamental class, so it is not possible to associate a fundamental class to each Schubert variety. On the other hand it is well possible that there exist other theories, less general than $\Omega^*$, in which fundamental classes are defined and within those theories one can still try to carry on the second part of the computation.

The first successful attempts of solving this kind of problem in the context of algebraic cobordism were carried out by Hornbostel and Kiritchenko in [12] and by Calmes, Petrov and Zainoulline in [3]. In particular, Hornbostel and Kiritchenko gave an explicit description of the push forward map along $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles which they used to compute the push-forward classes of Bott-Samelson resolutions in the case of the flag manifold or, in other words, when the base scheme $X$ is a point. By making use of their computations we have succeeded in extending their result to a general flag bundle, hence allowing any smooth base $X$.

At this point it is important to mention that there are many Bott-Samelson resolutions associated to the same Schubert variety. In the two classical cases this fact did not play any role because taking the push-forward had the effect of making the different classes equal. On the other hand, when dealing with algebraic cobordism this coincidence is not guaranteed anymore. One way out of this situation is to consider a more restrictive oriented cohomology theory for which the push-forward classes have to coincide. One possible choice, which still generalizes both the Chow ring and the Grothendieck ring, is to consider connected $K$-theory. When the formula obtained for cobordism is translated in this setting, not only we recover the equality as in the original cases, but we also manage to provide a geometric interpretation to the double $\beta$-polynomials defined in [5] by Fomin and Kirillov for combinatorial purposes.

Let us now outline the internal organization of our work. In chapter 1 we recall the necessary background material on algebraic cobordism and its relations with other oriented cohomology theories, in particular with connected $K$-theory. We also perform some computations with Chern classes that will be used in chapter 3.

In chapter 2 we introduce the geometric entities that represent the object of our study and we provide a detailed presentation of the method used by Fulton in the Chow ring case. In this chapter we also present the double Schubert, Grothendieck and $\beta$-polynomials together with the results of Fulton-Lascoux and Buch in the case of the Grothendieck ring of vector bundles.

In chapter 3 after presenting the results of Hornbostel and Kiritchenko on the flag manifold, we compute the push-forward classes of Bott-Samelson resolutions in the algebraic cobordism of the flag bundle. We then specialize our formula to connected $K$-theory, hence giving a geometric interpretation to the $\beta$-polynomials of Fomin and Kirillov.
Chapter 1

Algebraic cobordism and oriented cohomology theories

The main goal of this chapter is to present the notions of oriented cohomology theory and oriented Borel-Moore homology theory and to describe the construction of algebraic cobordism. We moreover illustrate the relations existing between algebraic cobordism and other oriented Borel-Moore homology theories.

1.1 The Lazard ring and the universal formal group law

In this section we recall the notion of formal group law and we introduce the universal such law on the Lazard ring.

Definition 1.1.1. A commutative formal group law of rank one with coefficients in $R$ is a pair $(R,F)$, where $R$ is a commutative ring and $F(u,v) = \sum a_{i,j} u^i v^j \in R[[u,v]]$ is a formal power series satisfying the following conditions:

1. $F(u,0) = F(0,u) = u \in R[[u]]$;
2. $F(u,v) = F(v,u) \in R[[u,v]]$;
3. $F(u,F(v,w)) = F(F(u,v),w) \in R[[u,v,w]]$.

A morphism of formal group laws $\phi : (R,F) \to (R',F')$ consists of a ring homomorphism $\Phi : R \to R'$ such that $[\Phi(F)](u,v) := \sum \Phi(a_{i,j}) u^i v^j$ equals $F'(u,v)$.

Definition 1.1.2. Given a commutative formal group law $(R,F)$ there exists a unique power series $\chi_F(u) \in R[u]$ such that

$$F(u,\chi_F(u)) = 0.$$ 

We will refer to $\chi_F(u)$ as the inverse for the formal group law $F$.

Example 1.1.3. Let $R$ be a commutative ring. Two elementary examples of formal group laws and their inverses are given by the additive formal group law

$$F_a(u,v) = u + v , \chi_{F_a}(u) = -u$$

and by the multiplicative formal group law

$$F_m(u,v) = u + v - buv , \chi_{F_m}(u) = \frac{-u}{1 - bu}$$
for some choice of $b \in R$. One sees immediately that the additive formal group law can be recovered from the multiplicative one by setting $b = 0$. A multiplicative formal group law is said periodic if the element $b \in R$ is a unit.

We will now describe the construction of the Lazard ring. Let $A = \{ A_{i,j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \}$ be a set of variables and define $\bar{L}$ as the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $A$. On this ring one defines the formal power series $\bar{F}(u, v) = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} u^i v^j \in \bar{L}[[u, v]]$. The next step is to quotient $\bar{L}$ by the ideal $I$ generated by the relations obtained by forcing $\bar{F}$ to satisfy conditions (1), (2) and (3) from definition [1.1.1]. The quotient ring $\bar{L}/I$, usually denoted $L$, is called the Lazard ring. $L$ is in fact a polynomial ring with integer coefficients on a countable set of variables $x_i$, $i \geq 1$ (see for example [11, pp. 64-74], [11, pp. 26-30] or [16, pp. 357-360, 368-369]). The image of $\bar{F}$ in $L[[u, v]]$ via the quotient map $p: \bar{L} \to L$ will be denoted by $F_L$ and we will write $a_{i,j}$ for $p(A_{i,j})$. In order to make $L$ into a graded ring, one possible choice is to assign degree $1 - i - j$ to the coefficient $a_{i,j}$. It is worth mentioning that this choice gives $\deg(x_i) = -i$. We will denote this graded ring by $L^\bullet$. Another option for the grading of $L$ is to set $\deg(a_{i,j}) = i + j - 1$: we will write $L_\ast$ for the resulting graded ring. There is a canonical choice for the variable $x_1$, namely the coefficient of $uv$ in the universal formal group law $F(u, v)$, however, the remaining variables $x_i$, $i \geq 2$ are only canonical modulo decomposable elements in the previous variables.

Let us now state the universal property of the Lazard ring.

**Proposition 1.1.4.** $(L, F_L)$ is the universal commutative formal group law of rank one: for every formal group law $(R, F)$ there exist a unique ring homomorphism $\Phi_F: L \to R$ such that $\Phi_F(F_L) = F$.

**Example 1.1.5.** Let us consider first the additive formal group law $(R, F_a)$. The ring homomorphism $\Phi_{F_a}$ arising from the universal property is the composition of the homomorphism $L = \mathbb{Z}[x] \to R[x]$ (coming from the canonical morphism $\mathbb{Z} \to R$) together with the homomorphism $R[x] \to R$ setting all variables equal to 0. Here by $R[x]$ we mean the polynomial ring with coefficient in $R$ on the variables $x_i$, $i \geq 1$.

On the other hand, in order to obtain $\Phi_{F_m}$ for a multiplicative formal group law $(R, F_m)$, one has to modify the second map so that $x_1$ is mapped to $-b$.

### 1.2 Oriented cohomology theories and oriented Borel-Moore homology theories

In this section we recall the notions of oriented cohomology theory and Borel-Moore oriented homology theory. All notations and definitions are taken from [14, Chapter 1 and 5] with only minor modifications.

We will denote by $\text{Sch}_k$ the category of separated schemes of finite type over $\text{Spec} \, k$, with $k$ an arbitrary field. $\text{Sm}_k$ will then represent the full subcategory of $\text{Sch}_k$ consisting of schemes smooth and quasi-projective over $\text{Spec} \, k$. In general by smooth morphism we will always mean smooth and quasi-projective.

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let $V$ be a full subcategory of $\text{Sch}_k$. $V$ is said admissible if it satisfies the following conditions

1. $\text{Spec} \, k$ and the empty scheme $\emptyset$ are in $V$.
2. If $Y \to X$ is a smooth quasi-projective morphism in $\text{Sch}_k$ with $X \in V$, then $Y \in V$.
3. If $X$ and $Y$ are in $V$, then so is the product $X \times_{\text{Spec} \, k} Y$. 
4. If $X$ and $Y$ are in $\mathcal{V}$, so is $X \amalg Y$.

It follows immediately from conditions 1 and 2 that $\text{Sm}_k$ is contained in every admissible subcategory $\mathcal{V}$: $\text{Spec } k$ is in $\mathcal{V}$ and for every $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ the structural morphism $\tau_X$ is smooth and quasi-projective. In this work $\mathcal{V}$ will mainly be either $\text{Sch}_k$ or $\text{Sm}_k$.

**Definition 1.2.2.** For $z \in Z \in \text{Sm}_k$ denote by $\dim_k(Z, z)$ the dimension over $\text{Spec } k$ of the connected component of $Z$ containing $z$. Given an integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, a morphism $f : Y \to X$ in $\text{Sm}_k$ has relative dimension $d$ if, for each $y \in Y$, we have $\dim_k(Y, y) - \dim_k(X, f(y)) = d$.

**Definition 1.2.3.** Let $f : X \to Z$, $g : Y \to Z$ be morphisms in an admissible subcategory $\mathcal{V}$ of $\text{Sch}_k$. We say that $f$ and $g$ are transverse in $\mathcal{V}$ if

1. $\text{Tor}_q^O(Z, O_Z) = 0$ for all $q > 0$.
2. The fiber product $X \times_Z Y$ is in $\mathcal{V}$.

If $\mathcal{V} = \text{Sm}_k$ we just say that $f$ and $g$ are transverse; if $\mathcal{V} = \text{Sch}_k$ we will say that $f$ and $g$ are Tor-independent.

In the following definition $R^*$ will denote the category of commutative, graded rings with unit. Let us also recall that a functor $A^* : \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} \to R^*$ is said to be additive if $A^*(\emptyset) = 0$ and for any pair $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{V}^2$ the canonical ring map $A^*(X \amalg Y) \to A^*(X) \times A^*(Y)$ is an isomorphism.

**Definition 1.2.4.** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an admissible subcategory of $\text{Sch}_k$. An oriented cohomology theory on $\mathcal{V}$ is given by

(D1). An additive functor $A^* : \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} \to R^*$.

(D2). For each projective morphism $f : Y \to X$ in $\mathcal{V}$ of relative codimension $d$, a homomorphism of graded $A^*(X)$-modules:

$$f_* : A^*(Y) \to A^{*+d}(X).$$

Observe that the ring homomorphism $f^* : A^*(X) \to A^*(Y)$ gives $A^*(Y)$ the structure of an $A^*(X)$-module.

These satisfy

(A1). One has $(\text{Id}_X)_* = \text{Id}_{A^*(X)}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{V}$. Moreover, given projective morphisms $f : Y \to X$ and $g : Z \to Y$ in $\mathcal{V}$, with $f$ of relative codimension $d$ and $g$ of relative codimension $e$, one has

$$(f \circ g)_* = f_* \circ g_* : A^*(Z) \to A^{*+d+e}(X).$$

(A2). Let $f : X \to Z$, $g : Y \to Z$ be transverse morphisms in $\mathcal{V}$, giving the cartesian square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
\downarrow{f'} & & \downarrow{f} \\
Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z
\end{array}
$$

Suppose that $f$ is projective of relative dimension $d$ (thus so is $f'$). Then $g^* f_* = f'_* g'^*$. 

(PB). Let \( E \to X \) be a rank \( n \) vector bundle over some \( X \) in \( V \), \( O(1) \to \mathbb{P}(E) \) the canonical quotient line bundle with zero section \( s : \mathbb{P}(E) \to O(1) \). Let \( 1 \in A^0(\mathbb{P}(E)) \) denote the multiplicative unit element. Define \( \xi \in A^1(\mathbb{P}(E)) \) by

\[
\xi := s^*(s_*(1)) .
\]

Then \( A^*(\mathbb{P}(E)) \) is a free \( A^*(X) \)-module, with basis \((1, \xi, \ldots, \xi^{n-1})\).

(EH). Let \( E \to X \) be a vector bundle over some \( X \) in \( V \), and let \( p : V \to X \) be an \( E \)-torsor. Then \( p^* : A^*(X) \to A^*(V) \) is an isomorphism.

A morphism of oriented cohomology theories on \( V \) is a natural transformation of functors \( V^{\text{op}} \to R^* \) which commutes with the maps \( f_* \).

In the previous definition the abbreviations (PB) and (EH) stands respectively for projective bundle formula and extended homotopy property. The morphisms \( f^* \) are called pull-backs, while the morphisms \( f_* \) are called push-forwards.

**Example 1.2.5.** Two fundamental examples of oriented cohomology theories on \( \text{Sm}_k \) are given by the Chow ring \( X \mapsto \text{CH}^*(X) \) and by a graded version of the Grothendieck group of locally free coherent sheaves \( X \mapsto K^0(X) \). More precisely, in order to obtain a graded ring out of \( K^0(X) \) one first considers the multiplication law given by the tensor product of sheaves and then adds a graded structure by tensoring over \( \mathbb{Z} \) with the ring of Laurent polynomials \( \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \) with \( \beta \) in degree -1. We will denote by \( K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \) the functor corresponding to the assignment \( X \mapsto K^0(X) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \).

It is important to notice that both the pull-back and push-forward maps for \( K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \) are defined by adding the right power of \( \beta \) to the corresponding maps in \( K^0 \). For a smooth morphism \( f : Y \to X \) one sets

\[
f^*([\mathcal{E}] \cdot \beta^n) = [f^*(\mathcal{E})] \cdot \beta^n ,
\]

where \( \mathcal{E} \) is a locally free coherent sheaf on \( X \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). In order to be able to describe the push-forwards we first need to recall that for \( X \in \text{Sm}_k \) it is possible to identify \( K^0(X) \) with the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves \( G_0(X) \). In view of this identification, for a projective morphism \( f : Y \to X \) of pure codimension \( d \) one can set

\[
f_*([\mathcal{E}] \cdot \beta^n) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty (-1)^i [R^if_*(\mathcal{E})] \cdot \beta^{n-d} \in K_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}](X) ,
\]

where \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \mathcal{E} \) is a locally free coherent sheaf on \( Y \).

We now want to introduce the notion of oriented Borel-Moore homology theory and in order to do this we first need to recall the definitions of regular embedding and local complete intersection morphisms.

**Definition 1.2.6.** A closed immersion \( i : Z \to X \) is said to be a regular embedding if the ideal sheaf \( \mathcal{I}_Z \) of \( Z \) in \( X \) is locally generated by a regular sequence.

**Definition 1.2.7.** A morphism \( f : X \to Y \) between flat \( k \)-schemes of finite type is said to be a local complete intersection morphism (an l.c.i. morphism) if it admits a factorization as \( f = q \cdot i \), where \( i : X \to P \) is a regular embedding and \( q : P \to Y \) is a smooth, quasi-projective morphism.

We will call a scheme whose structural morphism is l.c.i. an l.c.i. scheme and we will denote by \( \text{Lci}_k \) the full subcategory of \( \text{Sch}_k \) whose objects are l.c.i. schemes.
Remark 1.2.8. It is important to underline that both classes of morphisms are closed under composition (see [14, Remarks 5.1.2 (2)-(3)]) and to point out that given two Tor-independent morphisms \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Z \to Y \) in \( \text{Sch}_k \), knowing that \( f \) is l.c.i. allows to conclude that also \( pr_2 : X \times_Y Z \to Z \) is an l.c.i. morphism.

Definition 1.2.9. Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be an admissible subcategory of \( \text{Sch}_k \). An oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on \( \mathcal{V} \) is given by

(D1). An additive functor \( A_* : \mathcal{V}' \to \text{Ab}_* \).

(D2). For each l.c.i. morphism \( f : Y \to X \) in \( \mathcal{V} \) of relative dimension \( d \), a homomorphism of graded groups:

\[
f^* : A_*(X) \to A_{*+d}(Y)
\]

(D3). An element \( 1 \in A_0(\text{Spec } k) \) and, for each pair \( (X,Y) \) of objects in \( \mathcal{V} \), a bilinear graded pairing

\[
A_*(X) \otimes A_*(Y) \to A_*(X \times_{\text{Spec } k} Y)
\]

\[
u \otimes v \mapsto u \times v
\]

called the external product, which is associative, commutative and admits 1 as unit element.

These satisfy

(BM1). One has \( \text{Id}_X^* = \text{Id}_{A_*(X)} \) for any \( X \in \mathcal{V} \). Moreover, given l.c.i. morphisms \( f : Y \to X \) and \( g : Z \to Y \) in \( \mathcal{V} \), of pure relative dimension, one has \( (f \circ g)_* = f_* \circ g_* \).

(BM2). Let \( f : X \to Z \), \( g : y \to Z \) be transverse morphisms in \( \mathcal{V} \). Suppose that \( f \) is projective and that \( g \) is an l.c.i. morphism, giving the cartesian square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
\downarrow{f'} & & \downarrow{f} \\
Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z
\end{array}
\]

Note that \( f' \) is projective and \( g' \) is an l.c.i. morphism. Then \( g^* f_* = f'_* g^* \).

(BM3). Let \( f : X' \to X \) and \( g : Y' \to Y \) be morphisms in \( \mathcal{V} \). If \( f \) and \( g \) are projective, then for \( u' \in A_*(X') \) and \( v' \in A(Y') \) one has

\[
(f \times g)_*(u' \times v') = f_*(u') \times g_*(v')
\]

If \( f \) and \( g \) are l.c.i. morphisms, then for \( u \in A_*(X) \) and \( v \in A_*(Y) \) one has

\[
(f \times g)^*(u \times v) = f^*(u) \times g^*(v)
\]

(PB). For \( L \to Y \) a line bundle on \( Y \in \mathcal{V} \) with zero-section \( s : Y \to L \), define the operator

\[
\tilde{c}_1(L) : A_*(Y) \to A_{*+1}(Y)
\]

by \( \tilde{c}_1(\eta) = s^*(s_*(\eta)) \). Let \( E \) be a rank \( n+1 \) vector bundle on \( X \in \mathcal{V} \), with projective bundle \( q : \mathbb{P}(E) \to X \) and canonical quotient line bundle \( O(1) \to \mathbb{P}(E) \). For \( i \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \), let

\[
\xi^{(i)} : A_{*+i-n}(X) \to A_*(\mathbb{P}(E))
\]
be the composition of \( q^* : A_{s+n}(X) \to A_{s+n}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \) with \( c_1(O(1))^i : A_{s+1}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \to A_s(\mathbb{P}(E)) \).

Then the homomorphism

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \epsilon^{(i)} : \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} A_{s+i-n} \to A_s(\mathbb{P}(E))
\]

is an isomorphism.

(\text{EH}). Let \( E \to X \) be a vector bundle of rank \( r \) over \( X \in \mathcal{V} \), and let \( p : V \to X \) be an \( E \)-torsor. Then \( p^* : A_s(X) \to A_{s+r}(V) \) is an isomorphism.

(\text{CD}). For integers \( r, N > 0 \), let \( W = \mathbb{P}^N \times_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{R}} \cdots \times_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}^N \) (\( r \) factors), and let \( p_i : W \to \mathbb{P}^N \) be the \( i \)-th projection. Let \( X_0, \ldots, X_N \) be the standard homogeneous coordinates on \( \mathbb{P}^N \), let \( n_1, \ldots, n_r \) be non negative integers, and let \( i : Z \to W \) be the subscheme defined by \( \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^*(X_N)^{n_i} = 0 \). Suppose that \( Z \) is in \( \mathcal{V} \). Then \( i_* : A_s(Z) \to A_s(W) \) is injective.

A morphism of oriented Borel-Moore homology theories on \( \mathcal{V} \) is a natural transformation of functors \( \mathcal{V}' \to \text{Ab}_s \) which respects the element \( 1 \) and commutes with both the maps \( f^* \) and the external product \( \times \).

**Example 1.2.10.** Two examples of oriented Borel-Moore homology theories on \( \text{Sch}_k \) are given by the Chow group functor \( X \mapsto CH_s(X) \) and by a graded version of the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves \( X \mapsto G_0(X) \). Exactly as for the case of \( K^0 \) in example 1.2.5, the graded structure is added by tensoring \( G_0(X) \) with \( \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \). The only difference lies in the grading of \( \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \): in this case the degree of \( \beta \) is set equal to 1. We will denote the resulting functor \( X \mapsto G_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \) by \( G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \). For the precise details concerning the definitions of external product, push-forwards and pull-back maps see \[\text{[14] Examples 2.2.5}\].

We now present a lemma which states a set of sufficient conditions under which axiom (CD) holds.

**Lemma 1.2.11.** Suppose to be given a functor \( A_* : \text{Sch}_k \to \text{Ab}_s \), a family of homomorphisms \( \{f^*\} \), an element \( 1 \) and an external product \( \times \) as in (D1) – (D3) of the previous definition, satisfying all the axioms with the possible exception of (CD). If for every closed embedding \( i : Z \to X \) with complement \( j : U \to X \) the sequence

\[
A_s(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} A_s(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} A_s(U)
\]

is exact, then axiom (CD) is satisfied.

**Proof.** See \[\text{[14] Lemmas 5.2.11 and 5.2.10}\].

We now want to illustrate how, provided one sets \( \mathcal{V} = \text{Sm}_k \), it is possible to construct a functor \( A^* : \text{Sm}_k^{op} \to R^* \) out of an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory \( A_* \). First of all for a pure \( d \)-dimensional \( X \in \text{Sm}_k \) one sets \( A^n(X) := A_{d-n}(X) \) and the definition is then extended to a general \( X \) by additivity over the connected components. On \( A^*(X) \) the multiplication \( \cup_X \) is defined by relying on the fact that for \( X \in \text{Sm}_k \) the diagonal morphism \( \delta_X : X \to X \times X \) is a regular embedding and hence an l.c.i morphism: for \( a \in A^n(X) \) and \( b \in A^m(X) \) one sets

\[
a \cup_X b := \delta_X^*(a \times b) \in \Omega^{n+m}(X).
\]

Since the external product is commutative and associative and, by axiom (BM3), is compatible with l.c.i. pull-backs, we have that the multiplication \( \cup_X \) turns \( A^*(X) \) into a commutative graded
ring with \( \tau_X^*(1) \) as a unit. Concerning the morphisms, the first thing to note is that all morphisms between smooth schemes are l.c.i. and as a consequence for any morphism \( f \) in \( \text{Sm}_k \) one obtains a graded group homomorphism \( f^* \). It is an immediate consequence of axioms \((BM1)\) and \((BM3)\) that \( f^* \) is actually a graded ring homomorphism. One is finally left to verify the functoriality with respect to composition but this is granted by axiom \((BM1)\).

One can actually say more: \( A^* \) is not just a functor, it is an oriented cohomology theory. Moreover, the construction can also be reversed and from an oriented cohomology theory one can obtain an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory. One in fact has the following result ([14, Proposition 5.2.1]), which describes the relationship between the two kinds of theories.

**Proposition 1.2.12.** Sending \( A_* \) to \( A^* \) as described above defines an equivalence between the category of oriented Borel-Moore homology theories on \( \text{Sm}_k \) and the category of oriented cohomology theories on \( \text{Sm}_k \).

### 1.2.1 Fundamental classes

The existence of a multiplicative structure in an oriented cohomology theory \( A^* \) leads to the notion of the fundamental class of a scheme \( X \). If one interprets the multiplication in \( A^*(X) \) as an algebraic version of the geometric operation of intersecting two schemes, then the class representing the whole space has to act as a identity element. For this reason one defines the fundamental class of \( X \) to be \( 1_X \in A^*(X) \). Given this definition, the compatibility of fundamental classes with respect to pull-back maps is an immediate consequence of the obvious observation that ring homomorphisms respect the identity element. This in particular implies that one can re-interpret the fundamental classes as pull-backs along the structural morphisms of the identity element in the coefficient ring \( A^*(\text{Spec } k) \).

The main advantage of this approach is that it can also be used in the context of oriented Borel-Moore homology theories, where the multiplicative structure is not available. Moreover, the fundamental classes defined in this way coincide, for smooth schemes, with those one obtains through proposition 1.2.12 to a theory \( A_* \) on some admissible subcategory \( V \) one associates a theory \( A^* \) on \( \text{Sm}_k \) by applying the proposition to the restriction of \( A_* \) to \( \text{Sm}_k \). Since for \( X \in \text{Sm}_k \) the groups \( A^*(X) \) and \( A_*(X) \) coincide, it is possible to refer to the fundamental class of \( X \) in both contexts. Let us now state the precise definitions.

**Definition 1.2.13.** Let \( A^* \) be an oriented cohomology theory on an admissible subcategory \( V \). For \( X \in V \), we define the fundamental class of \( X \), denoted \( [X]_{A^*} \in A^0(X) \), by setting

\[
[X]_{A^*} := \tau_X^*(1) ,
\]

where \( \tau_X \) is the structural morphism of \( X \) and \( 1 \) represents the identity element in the coefficient ring \( A^*(\text{Spec } k) \). These classes are functorial with respect to pull-back morphisms: for every \( f : Y \to X \) in \( V \) one has \( f^*[X]_{A^*} = [Y]_{A^*} \).

**Definition 1.2.14.** Let \( A_* \) be an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on an admissible subcategory \( V \). For an l.c.i. scheme \( X \in V \), we define the fundamental class of \( X \), denoted \( [X]_{A_*} \in A_*(X) \) as

\[
[X]_{A_*} := \tau_X^*(1) ,
\]

where \( \tau_X \) is the structural morphism of \( X \) and \( 1 \) represents the identity element in the coefficient ring \( A_*(\text{Spec } k) \). These classes are functorial with respect to pull-back maps associated to l.c.i. morphisms: for every l.c.i. morphism \( f : Y \to X \) in \( V \) with \( Y, X \in \text{Lci}_k \) one has the equality \( f^*[X]_{A_*} = [Y]_{A_*} \).
Remark 1.2.15. In both cases the compatibility between pull-back maps and fundamental classes is due to the functoriality of pull-back morphisms: \((f \circ g)^* = g^* f^*\). While for an oriented cohomology theory this descends from the fact that \(A^*\) is a functor, for an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory the equality is just axiom \((BM1)\).

We now present a lemma which illustrates the compatibility between fundamental classes and push-forward morphisms.

**Lemma 1.2.16.** Let \(A_*\) be an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on \(\mathbf{Sch}_k\). Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a projective morphism in \(\mathbf{Sch}_k\), with \(X \in \mathbf{Lci}_k\) and let \(g : Z \to Y\) be an l.c.i. morphism in \(\mathbf{Sch}_k\) such that \(f\) and \(g\) are Tor-independent. Then

1. \(W := Z \times_Y X\) is an l.c.i. scheme;
2. \(pr_{2*}([W]_{A_*}) = g^* (f_*([X]_{A_*}))\).

Proof. The proof of (1) essentially follows from remark \[1.2.8\]. First one observes that since \(f\) and \(g\) are Tor-independent and \(g\) is an l.c.i. one has that \(pr_1 : W \to X\) is l.c.i.; the statement then follows since \(\tau_W = \tau_X \circ pr_1\) and l.c.i. morphisms are closed under composition.

For (2), as we have already proven that \(W \in \mathbf{Lci}_k\) and that \(pr_1\) is an l.c.i. morphism, it suffices to recall the functoriality of fundamental classes with respect to l.c.i. morphisms and axiom \((BM2)\):

\[ pr_{2*}([W]_{A_*}) = pr_{2*}(pr_1^*([X]_{A_*})) = g^* f_*([X]_{A_*}) . \]

Let us now consider more in detail the definition of fundamental classes in the two most important examples of oriented Borel-Moore homology theory: the Chow group \(\mathbf{CH}_*\) and the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves \(\mathbf{G}_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]\). While our general definition gives us a notion of fundamental class only for l.c.i. schemes, in these two theories it is possible to extend the definition so that it includes all equi-dimensional schemes in \(\mathbf{Sch}_k\). We consider first the case of the Chow group.

**Definition 1.2.17.** Let \(X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k\) be an equi-dimensional scheme with irreducible components \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\). The Chow group fundamental class of \(X\) in \(\mathbf{CH}_d(X)\) is defined as

\[ [X]_{\mathbf{CH}_*} := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i [X_i], \]

where the coefficients \(m_i\) are set equal to \(l(\mathcal{O}_{X, X_i})\), the length of the local ring \(\mathcal{O}_{X, X_i}\) viewed as a module over itself.

**Remark 1.2.18.** It is important to point out that this last definition of fundamental class is compatible with l.c.i pull-backs. To show this one first makes use of the functoriality of l.c.i. pull-back maps to reduce to two different cases: smooth morphisms and regular embeddings. The first case follows immediately from the definition of flat pull-backs in the Chow group (see \[7, Section 1.7\]). For what it concerns regular embeddings one has to work explicitly with the definition of the Gysin morphism. For a proof see \[7, Example 6.2.1\].

An immediate consequence of the previous remark is that the definition we just gave for the Chow group extends the general one. It suffices to observe that the two definitions trivially agree on \(\text{Spec} \, k\) and recall the compatibility with respect to l.c.i. pull-back morphisms to conclude that for l.c.i. schemes the two notions of fundamental class actually coincide.

Let us now state the analogue of lemma \[1.2.16\] in the case of the Chow group: in this context the result can be extended to equi-dimensional schemes.
Lemma 1.2.19. Let $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Z \to Y$ be Tor-independent morphisms in $\text{Sch}_k$ which are respectively projective and l.c.i.. Suppose furthermore that $X$ is an equi-dimensional scheme, then one has

$$\text{pr}_2^*([W]_{CH_*}) = g^*(f^*([X]_{CH_*}))$$

where $W := Z \times_Y X$.

Proof. Same as for lemma 1.2.16.

Let us now consider the case of the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves $G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$.

Definition 1.2.20. Let $X \in \text{Sch}_k$ be an equi-dimensional scheme. We define the fundamental class of $X$ in $G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}](X)$ as

$$[X]_{G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]} := [\mathcal{O}_X] \cdot \beta^d,$$

where $d$ is the dimension of $X$.

Remark 1.2.21. A direct application of the definition of the pull-back morphisms for $G_0$ yields the equality $f^*[\mathcal{O}_X] = [\mathcal{O}_Y] \in G_0(Y)$ for any morphism $f : X \to Y$. In particular the equality still holds if we restrict to the case of l.c.i. morphisms and we take into account the correct power of $\beta$, so to adjust to the definition in $G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$. We therefore have that $[X]_{G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]}$ is functorial with respect to l.c.i. pull-back maps and that for l.c.i. schemes it coincides with the fundamental class arising from the general definition.

We complete our discussion on fundamental classes by stating the analogue of lemma 1.2.19 for $G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$.

Lemma 1.2.22. Let $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Z \to Y$ be Tor-independent morphism in $\text{Sch}_k$ which are respectively projective and l.c.i.. Suppose furthermore that $X$ is an equi-dimensional scheme, then one has

$$\text{pr}_2^*([W]_{G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]}) = g^*(f^*([X]_{G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]})$$

where $W := Z \times_Y X$.

Proof. Same as for lemma 1.2.16.

1.2.2 Chern classes and Chern class operators

Suppose now that $A^*$ is an oriented cohomology theory and that $E \to X$ is a vector bundle of rank $n$. To define the Chern classes of $E$ one can make use of Grothendieck’s method from [10]: it is a direct consequence of (PB) that there exist unique elements $\alpha_i \in A^i(X)$, $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that

$$\xi^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \xi^i.$$

Starting from these $\alpha_i$’s one can define elements $c_i(E) \in A^i(X)$, $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ which, provided one sets $V = \text{Sim}_k$, enjoy the formal properties expected from Chern classes. To achieve this one sets $c_0(E) = 1$ and $c_i(E) = (-1)^{i+1} \alpha_{n-i}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ so that they satisfy the defining equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i c_i(E) \xi^{n-i} = 0. \quad (1.1)$$
**Notation:** Let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank $n$. From the Chern classes of $E$ one defines the *Chern polynomial* by setting $c_t(E) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i(E)t^i \in A^*(X)[t]$. We will refer to the leading coefficient of this polynomial as the *top Chern class*.

**Proposition 1.2.23.** Let $A^*$ be an oriented cohomology theory on $\text{Sm}_k$. The Chern classes $\{c_i(E)\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfy the following properties:

1. For any line bundle $L$ over $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, $c_1(L)$ equals $s^*s_*(1) \in A^1(X)$, where $s : X \to L$ denotes the zero section and $1 \in A^*(X)$ is the multiplicative unit element.

2. For any morphism $f : Y \to X \in \text{Sm}_k$, and any vector bundle $E$ over $X$, one has for each $i \geq 0$

   $$c_i(f^*E) = f^*(c_i(E)).$$

3. (Whitney formula) Given the exact sequence of vector bundles

   $$0 \to E' \to E \to E'' \to 0$$

then one has

$$c_t(E) = c_t(E')c_t(E'').$$

Moreover Chern classes are characterized by these properties.

**Proof.** In [14, Proposition 4.1.15] one can find the proof for the case of Chern class operators $\tilde{c}_i(E)$ in an oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory. The result then follows because every oriented cohomology theory on $\text{Sm}_k$ defines an oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory on $\text{Sm}_k$ and the relationship between $c_i(E)$ and $\tilde{c}_i(E)$ for a vector bundle $E \to X$ is given by the equality $c_i(E) = \tilde{c}_i(E)(1_X)$.

**Remark 1.2.24.** For the definition of oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory see [14, Definition 4.1.9]. The relationship existing between these theories and oriented Borel-Moore homology theories is described by proposition 5.2.6 in [14]. There it is shown that every oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on an admissible subcategory $\mathcal{V}$ defines an oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory. As a consequence, in view of proposition 1.2.12 one is able to associate an oriented Borel-Moore weak homology theory to every oriented cohomology theory on $\text{Sm}_k$.

Unlike what happens for $CH^*$, in a general oriented cohomology theory it is not always true that for two line bundles $L$ and $M$ over the same base one has

$$c_1(L \otimes M) = c_1(L) + c_1(M).$$

Instead, the relation existing between the first Chern class of a tensor product of line bundles and the first Chern class of the factors is described by means of a formal group law. More precisely, let us recall a result from [14, Lemma 1.1.3].

**Lemma 1.2.25.** Let $A^*$ be an oriented cohomology theory on $\text{Sm}_k$. Then for any line bundle $L$ on $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ the class $c_1(L)^n$ vanishes for $n$ large enough. Moreover, there is a unique power series

$$F_A(u,v) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} u^i v^j \in A[[u,v]]$$
with $a_{i,j} \in A^{1-i-j}(k)$, such that, for any $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ and any pair of line bundles $L$, $M$ on $X$, we have

$$F_A(c_1(L), c_1(M)) = c_1(L \otimes M).$$

In addition, the pair $(A^*(k), F_A)$ is a commutative formal group law of rank one.

The fact that every oriented cohomology theory $A^*$ has an associated formal group law $(A^*(\text{Spec } k), F_A)$ also gives, by the universal property of the Lazard ring, a homomorphism $\Phi_A : \mathbb{L} \to A^*(\text{Spec } k)$. It can be checked that this is actually a homomorphism of graded rings $\Phi_A : \mathbb{L}^* \to A^*(\text{Spec } k)$.

**Example 1.2.26.** For $A^* = CH^*$, as it was implicitly mentioned earlier, the formal group law obtained by applying lemma 1.1.3. is the additive formal group law over $CH^*(\text{Spec } k) = \mathbb{Z}$.

For $A^* = K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$ one has $F_{K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]}(u, v) = u + v - \beta uv \in K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}](\text{Spec } k)[[u, v]] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}][[u, v]]$ and therefore $F_{K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]}$ is a multiplicative formal group law.

Let us now consider the more general case of an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory over an admissible subcategory $V$. Also in this context it is possible to define Chern classes, not in the form of actual classes but as operators. In view of axiom $(PB)$, for any vector bundle $E \to X$ of rank $n$ with $X \in V$ it is possible to define the homomorphisms

$$\widetilde{c}_i(E) : \Omega_*(X) \to \Omega_{*-i}(X)$$

with $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $\widetilde{c}_0(E) = 1$, as the unique solution of the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \xi^{(n-i)} \widetilde{c}_i(E) = 0,$$

which represents the analogue of (1.1). Since for line bundles we already have a notion of first Chern class operator, it is necessary to check that the two definitions actually coincide. This is in fact the case as one can verify by setting $n = 0$ in axiom $(PB)$. One last point worth mentioning is associated to the relationship between the Chern classes $c_i(E)$ and the Chern class operators $\widetilde{c}_i(E)$: the link between the two notions, assuming $X$ to be a smooth scheme, is given by the formula

$$c_i(E) = \widetilde{c}_i(E)(1_X).$$  \[1.2\]

In view of the Whitney formula, which holds for the operators as well as for the Chern classes, one only has to consider the case of line bundles (see [13, Proposition 5.2.4]).

### 1.3 Some computations using Chern classes

In this section we recall some basic facts concerning Chern classes in an oriented cohomology theory $A^*$ on $\text{Sm}_k$. We will denote by $F$ the formal group law associated to $A^*$ and by $\chi$ its inverse. All schemes are assumed to be objects in $\text{Sm}_k$ with $k$ an arbitrary field.

We begin by verifying the vanishing of the first Chern class of a trivial line bundle and by relating, using the formal group law, the first Chern class of a line bundle with the one of its dual.

**Lemma 1.3.1.** Let $O_X$ be the trivial line bundle over a scheme $X$. Then $c_1(O_X) = 0 \in A^*(X)$. 

Proof. Since by property 1 of proposition \[1.2.23\] we have $c_1(O_X) = s^*s_*(1)$, it suffices to show that $s_*(1) = 0$. To do this, one takes a non-zero section $s'$ transverse to $s$ and considers the following cartesian square.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\emptyset & \xrightarrow{j} & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow s \\
X & \xrightarrow{s'} & \mathbb{A}^1_X
\end{array}
$$

Since $s$ and $s'$ are transverse in $\text{Sm}_k$, by (A2) one has $s^*s_*= j_*j^*$ and this last composition has to be 0 as it factors through $A^*(\emptyset) = 0$. This is enough to complete the proof: it is a consequence of the extended homotopy property that $t^*$ is an isomorphism for every section $t : X \to E$ of a vector bundle $E$. In particular this applies to $s^*$ and we can conclude

$$s_*(1) = (s^*)^{-1}(j_*j^*(1)) = (s^*)^{-1}(0) = 0 .$$

Lemma 1.3.2. Let $L \to X$ be a line bundle. Then

$$c_1(L^\vee) = \chi(c_1(L)) .$$

Proof. First one shows, using lemma \[1.2.25\] and lemma \[1.3.1\] that

$$F(c_1(L), c_1(L^\vee)) = c_1(L \otimes L^\vee) = c_1(O_X) = 0 .$$

The needed equality is then recovered by making use of the properties of the formal group law and its inverse:

$$c_1(L^\vee) = F(c_1(L^\vee), 0) = F(c_1(L^\vee), F(c_1(L), \chi(c_1(L)))) =
= F(F(c_1(L^\vee), c_1(L)), \chi(c_1(L))) = F(0, \chi(c_1(L))) = \chi(c_1(L)) .$$

The next lemma introduces the concept of Chern roots: if a bundle $E$ is equipped with a full flag, either of quotient bundles or of subbundles, $c_i(E)$ can be factored as a product of Chern polynomials of certain line bundles one constructs using the flag (for the details see definition \[2.2.7\]). The first Chern classes of these line bundles are called the Chern roots of $E$. More precisely, suppose we are given a full flag of quotient bundles $E_\bullet$ and that we denote by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ the Chern roots associated to this flag. One then has $c_i(E) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i t)$. It follows from this factorization that the $i$-th Chern class of $E$ is the $i$-th elementary symmetric function in the Chern roots.

Remark 1.3.3. It is important to point out that the standard definition of Chern classes differs from the one we just gave: in some sense ours is a restriction to bundles equipped with full flags. In the usual setting the Chern roots of a vector bundle $E \to X$ are defined as the first Chern classes of the line bundles associated to the universal full flag over $\mathcal{F}(E)$, the full flag bundle of $E$. As a consequence, the Chern roots belong to $A^*(\mathcal{F}(E))$ and the factorization of the Chern polynomial takes place in $A^*(\mathcal{F}(E))[t]$. The link between the above two definitions is given by the universal property of $\mathcal{F}(E)$: a full flag $E_\bullet$ of $E$ produces a section $s_{E_\bullet} : X \to \mathcal{F}(E)$ whose associated pull-back morphism $s_{E_\bullet}^* : A^*(\mathcal{F}(E)) \to A^*(X)$ maps the usual Chern roots to the ones given by our definition.

Lemma 1.3.4. Let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank $n$ and let $E_\bullet = (E = E_n \to E_{n-1} \to \ldots \to E_1)$ be a full flag of quotient bundles. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ set $x_i = c_1(\text{Ker}(E_i \to E_{i-1}))$. Then the Chern polynomial and the top Chern class of $E$ are given by the following formulas:

$$c_t(E) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i t) , \quad c_n(E) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i .$$

In other words, the $x_i$'s form a set of Chern roots of $E$. 
Proof. First of all let us observe that the formula expressing the top Chern class is a direct consequence of the one which involves the Chern polynomial: by definition the top Chern class is the leading coefficient of $c_t(E)$. It is therefore sufficient to prove the first equality.

The proof is done by induction on $n$ and the case $n = 1$, the basis of the induction, is tautologically true. In order to prove the inductive step, let us consider the following short exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \to \text{Ker}(E_n \to E_{n-1}) \to E_n \to E_{n-1} \to 0.$$

We can now finish the proof by applying first the Whitney formula (proposition 1.2.23) and then the inductive hypothesis.

$$c_t(E_n) = c_t(\text{Ker}(E_n \to E_{n-1}))c_t(E_{n-1}) = (1 + x_nt) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1 + x_i t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + x_i t). \qed$$

In the next two lemmas we compute the Chern roots of a dual bundle and of a tensor product of bundles and, as a consequence, their Chern polynomials.

Lemma 1.3.5. Let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank $n$ and let $E_\bullet = (E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \ldots \subset E_n = E)$ be a full flag of subbundles. Set $y_i = c_1(E_i/E_{i-1})$ for $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. Then the Chern polynomial and the top Chern class are given by:

$$c_t(E^\vee) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + \chi(y_i)t), \quad c_n(E^\vee) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \chi(y_i).$$

Proof. We begin by observing that dualizing the flag $E_\bullet$ returns a full flag of quotient bundles ($E^\vee \to E_{n-1}^\vee \to \ldots \to E_1^\vee$) and that the linear factors $\text{Ker}(E_i^\vee \to E_{i-1}^\vee)$ are isomorphic to $(E_i/E_{i-1})^\vee$. One can then finish the proof by applying lemma 1.3.4 and 1.3.2.

$$c_t(E^\vee) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + c_1((E_i/E_{i-1})^\vee)t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + \chi(c_1(E_i/E_{i-1}))t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + \chi(y_i)t). \qed$$

Lemma 1.3.6. Let $E$ and $F$ be two vector bundles over $X$ of rank $n$ and $m$ respectively. Let $E_\bullet = (E = E_0 \to E_{n-1} \to \ldots \to E_1)$ and $F_\bullet = (F = F_0 \to F_{m-1} \to \ldots \to F_1)$ be full flags of quotient bundles of $E$ and $F$ respectively. Set $y_j = c_1(E_j/E_{j-1})$ and $x_i = c_1(\text{Ker}(F_i \to F_{i-1}))$ for $j \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,m\}$. Then the Chern polynomial and the top Chern class of $E \otimes F$ are given by:

$$c_t(E \otimes F) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 + F(x_i, y_j)t), \quad c_{nm}(E \otimes F) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} F(x_i, y_j).$$

Proof. We begin by constructing a filtration of $E \otimes F$ by means of $E_\bullet$ and $F_\bullet$. In order to get a filtration one first needs to tensor the linear factors $\text{Ker}(F_i \to F_{i-1})$ with the filtration $E_\bullet$. In this way one obtains a filtration for each $E \otimes \text{Ker}(F_i \to F_{i-1})$. These filtrations are then assembled together to produce a full flag of quotient bundles of $E \otimes F$, whose linear factors are of the form $\text{Ker}(E_j \to E_{j-1}) \otimes \text{Ker}(F_i \to F_{i-1})$. We are finally able to apply lemma 1.3.4 and then finish the proof using lemma 1.1.3:

$$c_t(E \otimes F) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 + c_1(\text{Ker}(E_j \to E_{j-1}) \otimes \text{Ker}(F_i \to F_{i-1}))t) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 + F(x_i, y_j)t). \qed$$
Corollary 1.3.7. Let $E$ and $F$ be two vector bundles over $X$ respectively of rank $n$ and $m$. Let $E_\bullet = (E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \ldots \subset E_n = E)$ and $F_\bullet = (F = F_m \rightarrow F_{m-1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow F_1)$ be full flags of $E$ and $F$ respectively. Set $y_j = c_1(E_j/E_{j-1})$ and $x_i = c_1(Ker(F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1}))$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then the Chern polynomial and the top Chern class of $E^\vee \otimes F$ are given by:

$$c_t(E^\vee \otimes F) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + F(x_i, \chi(y_j))t) , \quad c_{nm}(E^\vee \otimes F) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n F(x_i, \chi(y_j)).$$

Proof. As it was noticed in the proof of lemma 1.3.5, the full flag of quotient bundles $(E^\vee \rightarrow E_{n-1}^\vee \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow E_1^\vee)$ has linear factors isomorphic to $(E_i/E_i-1)^\vee$ whose first Chern class is given by $\chi(y_i)$. One can therefore apply lemma 1.3.6 and finish the proof. □

1.4 Algebraic cobordism

In this section we recall the definition and main properties of algebraic cobordism. Our goal is to present the material contained in [14] that will be necessary for our purposes. In this section with the exception of subsection 2.4.1, in which $k$ can be arbitrary, we will assume the base field to have characteristic 0.

1.4.1 The construction of $\Omega_s$

The first step for defining algebraic cobordism as an additive functor $\Omega^* : \text{Sm}_k^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$, consists of constructing an additive functor $\Omega_s : \text{Sch}_k' \rightarrow \text{Ab}_s$. Here $\text{Ab}_s$ denotes the category of abelian groups, while $\text{Sch}_k'$ stands for the subcategory of $\text{Sch}_k$ which has the same objects but with only projective morphisms. This functor is enriched with extra-structures: pull-backs morphisms for smooth morphisms, first Chern class operators for line bundles and an external product. Our ultimate goal will be to establish $\Omega_s$ as a oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on $\text{Sch}_k$ and to use proposition 1.2.12 to obtain $\Omega^*$.

We begin the construction by introducing the notion of cobordism cycle.

Definition 1.4.1. Let $X$ be a $k$-scheme of finite type. A cobordism cycle over $X$ is a family $(f : Y \rightarrow X, L_1, \ldots, L_r)$ where $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a projective morphism with $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$ and integral, while $(L_1, \ldots, L_r)$ is a (possibly empty) finite sequence of $r$ line bundles over $Y$. The dimension of $(f : Y \rightarrow X, L_1, \ldots, L_r)$ is $\dim_k(Y) - r$.

In order to simplify the notation, whenever in a formula the number of line bundles of a cobordism cycle is clear from the context and it is not modified, we will write $L$ to denote the sequence $(L_1, \ldots, L_r)$.

We now introduce the notion of isomorphism of cobordism cycles and we construct the functor $Z_s : \text{Sch}_k' \rightarrow \text{Ab}_s$, which represents the first step towards the definition of $\Omega_s$.

Definition 1.4.2. An isomorphism $(\phi : Y \rightarrow Y', \sigma, (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r))$ between the cycles $(Y \rightarrow X, L_1, \ldots, L_r)$ and $(Y' \rightarrow X, L'_1, \ldots, L'_r)$ consists of an isomorphism of $X$-schemes $\phi$, a permutation $\sigma \in S_r$ and isomorphisms of line bundles $\psi_i : L_i \cong \phi^*(L'_\sigma(i))$.

Definition 1.4.3. Let $Z(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of cobordism cycles over $X$. This group can be graded by means of the dimension of cobordism cycles, giving rise to the abelian graded group $Z_s(X)$. We will denote by $[f : Y \rightarrow X, L_1, \ldots, L_r]$ the image of $(f : Y \rightarrow X, L_1, \ldots, L_r)$ in $Z_s(X)$.
Suppose $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$ and denote its irreducible components by $Y_\alpha$. For a projective morphism $f : Y \to X$, we define $[Y \to X]$ to be the sum of the classes $[f \circ i_\alpha : Y_\alpha \to X]$, where $i_\alpha$ is the inclusion of $Y_\alpha$ into $Y$. In case $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, it is possible to consider the class $[\text{id}_X : X \to X]$ which we will denote by $1_X$. We will refer to this class as the fundamental class of $X$.

The next series of definition describes the pull-back, push-forward and first Chern class homomorphisms for $\mathbb{Z}_s$.

**Definition 1.4.4.** Let $g : X \to X'$ be a projective morphism in $\text{Sch}_k$. The push-forward along $g$ is defined as

$$g_* : \mathbb{Z}_s(X) \to \mathbb{Z}_s(X')$$

$$[f : Y \to X, L] \mapsto [g \circ f : Y \to X', L]$$

and it is a map of graded groups.

**Definition 1.4.5.** Let $g : X \to X'$ be a smooth equidimensional morphism of relative dimension $d$. The pull-back homomorphism along $g$ is defined as

$$g^* : \mathbb{Z}_s(X') \to \mathbb{Z}_{s+d}(X)$$

$$[f : Y \to X, L] \mapsto [p_2 : (Y \times_X X') \to X', p_1^*(L)]$$

and it is a map of graded groups. Here by $p_1^*(L)$ we mean the sequence of line bundles one obtains by pulling back $L$ along the first projection of $Y \times_X X'$.

**Definition 1.4.6.** For $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ and $L$ a line bundle on $X$ let us define the first Chern class homomorphism of $L$ as the graded group homomorphism

$$\tilde{c}_1(L) : \mathbb{Z}_s(X) \to \mathbb{Z}_{s-1}(X)$$

$$[f : Y \to X, L_1, \ldots, L_r] \mapsto [f : Y \to X, L_1, \ldots, L_r, f^*(L)]$$

On the functor $\mathbb{Z}_s$ it is also possible to define an external product.

**Definition 1.4.7.** Let us denote by $\alpha$ the cycle $[f : X' \to X, L_1, \ldots, L_r] \in \mathbb{Z}_s(X)$ and by $\beta$ the cycle $[g : Y' \to Y, M_1, \ldots, M_s] \in \mathbb{Z}_s(Y)$. Let $p_1^*(L)$ and $p_2^*(M)$ be the two sequences one obtains by pulling back the sequences $L$ and $M$ along the two projections of $X' \times Y'$. Then one sets

$$\times : \mathbb{Z}_s(X) \times \mathbb{Z}_s(Y) \to \mathbb{Z}_s(X \times Y)$$

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto [f \times g : X' \times Y' \to X \times Y, p_1^*(L), p_2^*(M)]$$

and $\times$ is associative and commutative.

It is important to observe that such a product gives $\mathbb{Z}_s(k)$ the structure of a commutative graded ring (the unit being $[id_{\text{Spec} k}] \in \mathbb{Z}_0(k)$) and therefore every graded group $\mathbb{Z}_s(X)$ has an $\mathbb{Z}_s(k)$-module structure.

As a graded group, algebraic cobordism is obtained from $\mathbb{Z}_s$ by successively imposing three families of relations. These relations are such that taking the quotient with respect to them will not affect the extra-structures we have defined on the functor $\mathbb{Z}_s$. For more details see [14, Section 2.1.5].

The first family of relations forces every composition of Chern classes homomorphisms to vanish once the dimension of the base scheme is exceeded. More precisely one requires algebraic cobordism to satisfy the following axiom:
(Dim). For any $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$ and any family $(L_1, \ldots, L_n)$ of line bundles on $Y$ with $n > \dim_k(Y)$, one has
\[ \tilde{c}_1(L_1) \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{c}_1(L_n)(1_Y) = 0 \in \Omega_*(Y) \, . \]

The second family of relations establishes a link between the first Chern class homomorphism associated to a line bundle and the fundamental class of the zero-subscheme of its sections:

(Sect). For any $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$, any line bundle $L$ on $Y$ and any section $s$ of $L$ which is transverse to the zero-section of $L$, one has
\[ \tilde{c}_1(L)(1_Y) = i_*(1_Z) \, , \]
where $i : Z \to Y$ is the closed immersion of the zero-subscheme of $s$.

The last family of relations endows $\Omega_*(\text{Spec } k)$ with a formal group law by forcing to hold the analogue of the equality in lemma 1.2.25.

(FGL). Suppose given a fixed graded ring homomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{L}_* \to \Omega_*(k)$, denote by $F \in \Omega_*(k)[[u, v]]$ the image of the universal formal group law $F_\mathbb{L} \in \mathbb{L}_*[[u, v]]$ via $\Phi$. Then for any $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$ and any pair $(L, M)$ of line bundles on $Y$ one has
\[ F(\tilde{c}_1(L), \tilde{c}_1(M))(1_Y) = \tilde{c}_1(L \otimes M)(1_Y) \in \Omega_*(Y) \, . \]

**Remark 1.4.8.** It is worth noticing that the order in which this relations are imposed matters: in order for the statement of (FGL) to make sense one uses (Dim) to ensure that $F(\tilde{c}_1(L), \tilde{c}_1(M))$ is a well defined element in $\Omega_*(k)$.

Before we start imposing the relations on $Z_*$ it can be helpful to say a few words about how this procedure works in general. We will use $Z_*$ to examplify the procedure but the same observations will of course hold for any other functor endowed with the same structure, as the ones one builds as intermediate stages in the construction of $\Omega_*$. Suppose we are given for each $X \in \text{Sch}_k$ a set of homogeneous elements $\mathcal{R}_*(X) \subset Z_*(X)$. In order to ensure the compatibility of the quotient with the pull-back, push-forward and first Chern class homomorphisms, one has to define a subgroup $\langle \mathcal{R}_* \rangle(X)$ generated not just by $\mathcal{R}_*(X)$ but by all elements of the form
\[ f_* \circ \tilde{c}_1(L_1) \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{c}_1(L_r) \circ g^*(\rho) \]
with $f : Y \to X$ in $\text{Sch}_k$, $(L_1, \ldots, L_r)$ a sequence of line bundles over $Y$, $g : Y \to Z$ smooth and equi-dimensional and $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_*(Z)$. In this way one ensures that the set of generators of the subgroup is closed under pull-backs, push-forwards and the action of first Chern classes. In this way one ensures that the quotient is still endowed with these extra-structures. One last word should be said about the external product. For the quotient to be endowed with an external product compatible with the projection map, one requires the sets $\mathcal{R}_*(Z)$ to satisfy the following condition: given elements $\rho \in Z_*(X)$ and $\sigma \in Z_*(Y)$ one has
\[ (\rho \in \mathcal{R}_*(X) \vee \sigma \in \mathcal{R}_*(T)) \Rightarrow \rho \times \sigma \in \mathcal{R}_*(X \times Y) \, . \quad (1.3) \]

Even though strictly speaking the expression $[f : Y \to X, L_1, \ldots, L_r]$ represents an element in $Z_*(X)$, we will abuse notation and we will also use it to denote its image in $Z_*(X)/\langle \mathcal{R}_*(X) \rangle$ and in the successive quotients as well. In particular it will also denote an element in $\Omega_*(X)$. 
Let us now see in detail how one imposes the relations (Dim), (Sect) and (FGL). For what concerns (Dim) one defines a subset $\mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{Dim}}(X) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{s}(X)$ for every irreducible $X \in \text{Sm}_{k}$: it consists of all elements of the form

$$[Y \to X, L_1, \ldots, L_r],$$

where $\dim_k Y < r$. The subgroup $\langle \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{Dim}} \rangle(X)$ is then explicitly described by the following result (see [14] Lemma 2.4.2).

**Lemma 1.4.9.** Let $X$ be a finite type $k$-scheme. Then $\langle \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{Dim}} \rangle(X)$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{s}(X)$ generated by standard cobordism cycles of the form:

$$[Y \to X, \pi^{*}(L_1), \ldots, \pi^{*}(L_r), M_1, \ldots, M_s],$$

where $\pi : Y \to Z$ is a smooth quasi-projective equi-dimensional morphism, $Z$ is a smooth quasi-projective irreducible $k$-scheme, $(L_1, \ldots, L_r)$ are line bundles on $Z$ and $r > \dim_k(Z)$.

It is now evident from the construction that if we define $\mathcal{Z}_{s}(X) := \mathcal{Z}_{s}(X)/\langle \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{Dim}} \rangle(X)$, then the functor $\mathcal{Z}_{s} : \text{Sch}_{k}^{f} \to \text{Ab}_{s}$ satisfies the axiom (Dim). At this point one applies the same procedure to $\mathcal{Z}_{s}$ so to make (Sect) hold. In this case for every irreducible $X \in \text{Sm}_{k}$ one defines $\mathcal{R}_{s}(X)$ as the subset consisting of all elements of the form

$$\tilde{c}_1(L) - [Z \to X],$$

where $L$ is a line bundle over $X$, $s : X \to L$ is a section transverse to the zero section and $Z \to Y$ is the zero subscheme of $s$. Again one can give an explicit description of the generators of $\langle \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{Sect}} \rangle(X)$ (see [14] Lemma 2.4.7).

**Lemma 1.4.10.** Let $X$ be a finite type $k$-scheme. Then $\langle \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{Sect}}(X) \rangle$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{s}(X)$ generated by elements of the form:

$$[Y \to X, L_1, \ldots, L_r] - [Z \to X, i^{*}(L_1), \ldots, i^{*}(L_{r-1})]$$

with $r > 0$ and $i : Z \to Y$ the closed immersion of the subscheme defined by the vanishing of a transverse section $s : Y \to L_r$.

The functor $\Omega_{s} : \text{Sch}_{k}^{f} \to \text{Ab}_{s}$ obtained by setting $\Omega_{s}(X) = \mathcal{Z}_{s}(X)/\langle \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{Sect}} \rangle(X)$ is called algebraic pre-cobordism and it satisfies both (Dim) and (Sect).

In order to complete the construction of algebraic cobordism by enforcing (FGL), one needs to have a ring homomorphism $\Phi$ from $\mathbb{L}_s$ to the coefficient ring. For this reason one replaces $\Omega_{s}$ with $\mathbb{L}_s \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{s}$ and it can be checked that this substitution preserves the validity of both (Dim) and (Sect). Then for $X \in \text{Sm}_{k}$ irreducible, the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{FGL}}(X)$ are given by

$$F(\tilde{c}_1(L), \tilde{c}_1(M))(1_X) - \tilde{c}_1(L \otimes M)(1_X)$$

where $L$ and $M$ are line bundles over $X$. In view of (Dim), $F(\tilde{c}_1(L), \tilde{c}_1(M))$ is simply a polynomial in $\tilde{c}_1(L)$ and $\tilde{c}_1(M)$ and it can therefore be viewed as an endomorphism of $\mathbb{L}_s \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{s}(X)$. It is a direct consequence of the grading of $\mathbb{L}_s$ that this endomorphism decreases the degree by 1 and this last fact implies that all the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{FGL}}(X)$ are homogenous: the two summands of each element have both degree $\deg(1_X) - 1$.

Unlike what was happening for the other two families, in this case one cannot use directly $\mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{FGL}}$: one first has to force condition (1.3) to hold. For this reason one replaces $\mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{FGL}}$ with $\mathbb{L}_s \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{FGL}}$. For a given $X$, $\mathbb{L}_s \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{FGL}}(X)$ is the subset of $\mathbb{L}_s \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{s}(X)$ whose elements are of the form $a \otimes \rho$ with $a \in \mathbb{L}_s$ and $\rho \in \Omega_{s}(X)$. Exactly as for the previous cases, it is possible to give an explicit description of the generators of $\langle \mathbb{L}_s \mathcal{R}_{s}^{\text{FGL}} \rangle(X)$ (see [14] remark 2.4.11)].
Lemma 1.4.11. Let \( X \) be a finite type \( k \)-scheme. Then \((\mathbb{L}_s \mathcal{R}_s^{FGL})(X)\) as an \( \mathbb{L}_s \)-submodule of \( \mathbb{L}_s \otimes \Omega_s(X) \) is generated by elements of the form

\[
f_*(\tilde{c}_1(L_1) \ldots \tilde{c}_1(L_n)(\rho)) ,
\]

where \( f : Y \to X \) is in \( \text{Sch}'_k \), \( L_1, \ldots, L_n, L \) and \( M \) are line bundles on \( Y \in \text{Sm}_k \) and \( \rho \) belongs to \( \mathcal{R}_s^{FGL} \).

We are now finally able to give the definition of algebraic cobordism.

Definition 1.4.12. Algebraic cobordism \( \Omega_* : \text{Sch}'_k \to \text{Ab}_* \) is defined as the additive functor arising from the quotient of \( \mathbb{L}_s \otimes \Omega_s \) with respect to \( \mathbb{L}_s \mathcal{R}_s^{FGL} \),

\[
\Omega_* := \mathbb{L}_s \otimes \Omega_s / \langle \mathbb{L}_s \mathcal{R}_s^{FGL} \rangle .
\]

As a consequence of the construction one has that \( \Omega_* \) is endowed with pull-back morphisms \( f^* \) for smooth morphisms, first Chern class operators \( \tilde{c}_1 \) for line bundles, an external product \( \times \) and a graded ring homomorphism \( \Phi : \mathbb{L}_s \to \Omega_*(k) \) giving rise to a formal group law \( F \). It is worth underlying that the interplay of the external product and of \( \Phi \) gives to all graded groups \( \Omega_*(X) \) an \( \mathbb{L}_s \)-module structure. Moreover, this structure is compatible with the other operations as they all happen to be \( \mathbb{L}_s \)-linear. As it was mentioned earlier, we will abuse notation and interpret the cobordism cycles \( [Y \to X, L_1, \ldots, L_r] \) as elements of \( \Omega_*(X) \).

1.4.2 The projective bundle formula and the extended homotopy property

Before we proceed further with the construction of \( \Omega_* \), let us introduce an important technical property enjoyed by algebraic cobordism: the right-exact localization sequence (see [14, Section 3.2 and theorem 3.2.7]). In this section, as well as in the remainder of the chapter, we will assume that the base field \( k \) has characteristic 0.

Theorem 1.4.13. Let \( X \) be a finite type \( k \)-scheme, \( i : Z \to X \) a closed subscheme and \( j : U \to X \) the open complement. Then the sequence

\[
\Omega_*(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} \Omega_*(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} \Omega_*(U) \to 0 ,
\]

is exact.

This theorem is used to show that both the projective bundle formula and the extended homotopy property hold for \( \Omega_* \). Let us first recall the notations necessary to express the projective bundle formula. Let \( X \in \text{Sch}_k \) and let \( p : E \to X \) be a vector bundle of rank \( n+1 \). Denote by \( q : \mathbb{P}(E) \to X \) the \( \mathbb{P}^n \)-bundle arising from \( E \) and recall that this bundle is equipped with a canonical quotient line bundle \( O(1) \): we will write \( \xi \) for the group homomorphism

\[
\tilde{c}_1(O(1)) : \Omega_*(\mathbb{P}(E)) \to \Omega_{*-1}(\mathbb{P}(E)) .
\]

In this setting we define the group homomorphism

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \xi^{(i)} : \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \Omega_{*-n+i}(X) \to \Omega_*(\mathbb{P}(E))
\]

as the sum of the family of group homomorphism \( \{\xi^{(i)}\}_{i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}} \) given by \( \xi^{(i)} := \tilde{c}_1(O(1))^i \circ q^* \).

We are now able to state both the projective bundle formula and the extended homotopy property for \( \Omega_* \). For the proofs see ([14, Theorems 3.5.4 and 3.6.3]).
Theorem 1.4.14. Let $X \in \text{Sch}_k$ and let $E$ be a rank $n + 1$ vector bundle on $X$. Then
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \xi^{(i)} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} \Omega_{-n+j}(X) \to \Omega_*(\mathbb{P}(E))
\]
is an isomorphism.

Theorem 1.4.15. Let $E \to X$ be a vector bundle over some $X$ in $\text{Sch}_k$, and let $p: V \to X$ be an $E$-torsor. Then
\[
p^*: \Omega_*(X) \to \Omega_*(V)
\]
is an isomorphism.

1.4.3 Gysin and l.c.i. pull-back morphisms

At this stage the only structure still missing on $\Omega_*$ is represented by the family of pull-back maps for l.c.i. morphisms: so far these maps have been defined for smooth morphisms only. The approach used by Levine and Morel to overcome this difficulty is essentially based on the method introduced by Fulton in [7]. First one deals with the intersection with Cartier divisors, which is later used, by making use of the deformation to the normal cone, to define pull-back maps for regular embeddings (i.e. the Gysin morphisms). Finally, the case of l.c.i. morphisms is considered: they are factored into the composition of a regular embedding with a smooth morphism, as for these kinds of morphisms the pull-back map already exists.

Since a more detailed exposition of the construction of the Gysin morphism would force us to a significant detour and given that our use of it will be essentially limited to the formal properties related to functoriality, we will simply assume that Gysin morphisms can be defined and refer the interested reader to sections 6.1-6.5 in [14] for a complete treatment of the subject. More specifically, for the next proposition see [14, Proposition 6.5.4 and theorem 6.5.11].

Proposition 1.4.16. To every regular embedding $i: Z \to X$ it is possible to associate a graded group homomorphism $i^*: \Omega_*(X) \to \Omega_*(Z)$ called the Gysin morphism. This homomorphism satisfies the following properties.

1. For every morphism $f: Y \to X$ Tor-independent to $i$ giving rise to the cartesian diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z \times Y & \longrightarrow & Y \\
\downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\
Z & \longrightarrow & X
\end{array}
\]
i) if $f$ is projective, then $i^* f_* = f' \circ i^*$;
ii) if $f$ is smooth and quasi-projective, then $f'^* i^* = i^* f^*$.

2. For every regular embedding $i': Z' \to Z$ one has $i'^* i^* = (i \circ i')^*$.

In order to define pull-backs for l.c.i. morphism we still need one more lemma ([14 Lemma 6.5.9]) to guarantee that different factorizations of the same morphism give rise to the same map.

Lemma 1.4.17. Let $f: X \to Y$ be an l.c.i. morphism. If we have factorizations $f = q_1 \circ i_1 = q_2 \circ i_2$, with $i_j: X \to P_j$ regular embeddings and $q_j \to Y$ smooth and quasi-projective, then
\[
i_1^* \circ q_1^* = i_2^* \circ q_2^* .
\]
Let us finally provide the definition of pull-back morphism for local complete intersection morphisms together with the results that illustrate its functoriality ([14, Theorem 6.5.11]) and its compatibility with both the external product ([14, Theorem 6.5.13]) and projective push-forwards ([14, Proposition 6.5.12]). Note in particular that these results guarantee that $\Omega^\ast$ satisfies axioms $(BM1) - (BM3)$.

**Definition 1.4.18.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be an l.c.i. morphism in $\text{Sch}_k$ of relative dimension $d$. Define $f^* : \Omega^\ast(Y) \to \Omega^\ast(X)$ as $i^* \circ q^*$, where $f = q \circ i$ is a factorization of $f$ with $i$ a regular embedding and $q$ smooth and quasi-projective.

**Theorem 1.4.19.** Let $f_1 : X \to Y$, $f_2 : Y \to Z$ be l.c.i. morphisms in $\text{Sch}_k$. Then

$$(f_2 \circ f_1)^* = f_1^* f_2^* .$$

**Proposition 1.4.20.** Let $f_i : X_i \to Y_i$, $i = 1, 2$ be l.c.i. morphisms in $\text{Sch}_k$. Then for $\eta_i \in \Omega^\ast(Y_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$(f_1 \times f_2)^*(\eta_1 \times \eta_2) = f_1^*(\eta_1) \times f_2^*(\eta_2) .$$

**Theorem 1.4.21.** Let $f : X \to Y$, $g : Z \to Y$ be Tor-independent morphisms in $\text{Sch}_k$, giving the cartesian diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X \times Z & \xrightarrow{f'} & Z \\
\downarrow {g'} & & \downarrow g \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}
$$

Suppose that $f$ is an l.c.i. morphism and that $g$ is projective. Then

$$f^* g_* = g'_* f'^* .$$

### 1.4.4 Universality and fundamental classes

Now that the pull-back morphisms have been extended to l.c.i. morphisms, we are finally able to prove that $\Omega^\ast$ is an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on $\text{Sch}_k$.

**Theorem 1.4.22.** Algebraic cobordism $X \to \Omega^\ast(X)$ is an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on $\text{Sch}_k$ and it is universal among such theories; given an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory $A^\ast$ on $\text{Sch}_k$, there exists a unique morphism of oriented Borel-Moore homology theories

$$\vartheta_{A^\ast} : \Omega^\ast \to A^\ast .$$

**Proof.** One first has to verify that $\Omega^\ast$ satisfies all the axiom of oriented Borel-Moore homology theory. As we already pointed out, axioms $(BM1) - (BM3)$ corresponds respectively to theorems 1.4.19, 1.4.21 and proposition 1.4.20. On the other hand axioms $(PB)$ and $(EH)$ are satisfied due to theorems 1.4.14 and 1.4.15. One is therefore left to verify axiom $(CD)$ which, in view of the right-exact localization sequence (theorem 1.4.13), follows from lemma 1.2.11. For the universality see [14, Theorem 7.1.3 (1)].

Now that we have established $\Omega^\ast$ as an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory, it is possible to construct a functor $\Omega^* : \text{Sm}^p_k \to \text{R}^\ast$ which, thanks to proposition 1.2.12, is an oriented cohomology theory. One can actually prove more, that $\Omega^\ast$ is the universal oriented cohomology theory on $\text{Sm}_k$. 

Theorem 1.4.23. Algebraic cobordism \( X \mapsto \Omega^*(X) \) is an oriented cohomology theory on \( \text{Sm}_k \) and it is universal among such theories: given an oriented cohomology theory \( A^* \) on \( \text{Sm}_k \), there exists a unique morphism of oriented cohomology theories

\[
\vartheta_{A^*} : \Omega^* \to A^* .
\]

Moreover, the classifying map \( \Phi_\Omega : \mathbb{L}^* \to \Omega^*(\text{Spec } k) \) associated to the formal group law \( (\Omega^*(\text{Spec } k), F_\Omega) \) is an isomorphism.

Proof. For the universality see [14, Theorem 7.1.3 (2)]. Concerning the last statement, the formal group law \( (\Omega^*(\text{Spec } k), F_\Omega) \) arises from lemma 1.2.25, while the isomorphism between the Lazard ring and the coefficient ring of algebraic cobordism is proven in [14, Theorem 4.3.7].

Remark 1.4.24. It is worth pointing out that, due to the uniqueness of lemma 1.2.25, \( (\Omega^*(\text{Spec } k), F_\Omega) \) has to coincide with \( (\Omega^*(\text{Spec } k), F) \), the formal group law we obtained by imposing axiom (FGL) in the construction of \( \Omega_* \).

We now want to specialize our general definition of fundamental classes for oriented Borel-Moore homology theories to the specific case of algebraic cobordism. In particular we are interested in illustrating how fundamental classes relates to cobordism cycles.

Definition 1.4.25. Let \( X \in \text{Lci}_k \). We define the fundamental class of \( X \), denoted \( [X]_{\Omega_*} \in \Omega_*(X) \) by setting

\[
[X]_{\Omega_*} := \tau_X(1) ,
\]

where \( 1 \) represents the identity element in the coefficient ring \( \Omega_*(\text{Spec } k) \).

These classes satisfy the following properties:

1. Let \( f : Y \to X \) be an l.c.i. morphism with \( Y, X \in \text{Lci}_k \). Then \( f^*([X]_{\Omega_*}) = [Y]_{\Omega_*} \).

2. If \( X \in \text{Sm}_k \), then \( [X]_{\Omega_*} = 1_X = [\text{id}_X : X \to X] \in \Omega^0(X) \).

3. For every cobordism cycle \( [f : Y \to X] \in \Omega^*(X) \) with \( X \in \text{Sch}_k \) one has \( [f : Y \to X] = f_*(1_Y) \).

Remark 1.4.26. In the previous definition property (1) is a direct consequence of the functoriality of l.c.i. pull-back maps. For property (2) one needs only to observe that from the definition of smooth pull-backs one has the equality of cobordism cycles \( [\text{id}_X : X \to X] = \tau_X(\text{Spec } k \to \text{Spec } k) \). (3) follows once the push-forward map \( f_* \) is applied to the equality in (2).

Remark 1.4.27. A question that arises quite naturally at this point is whether or not the notion of fundamental class can further be extended so as to enclose a more general family of schemes. In particular one may hope that it is possible to define on all of \( \text{Sch}_k \) fundamental classes which are functorial with respect to l.c.i. morphisms. A partial answer to this question was given by Levine in [13]. There he exhibits examples of reduced projective Cohen-Macaulay schemes for which it is not possible to define fundamental classes satisfying the required functoriality, hence ruling out the possibility of the existence of a good notion of fundamental class for the whole of \( \text{Sch}_k \).

We finish our general discussion on algebraic cobordism with a lemma that will play an important role in our computations: it will allow us to express the top Chern class of a bundle as a cobordism class over the base.
Lemma 1.4.28. Let $p : E \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank $d$ on $X \in \text{Sch}_k$.

1. Suppose that $E$ has a section $s : X \to E$ such that the zero-subscheme of $s$, $i : Z \to X$ is a regularly embedded closed subscheme of codimension $d$. Then $\tilde{c}_d(E) = i_* i^*$.

2. Suppose furthermore that $X, Z \in \text{Sm}_k$. Then $c_d(E) = [i : Z \to X]$.

Proof. For (1) see [14, Lemma 6.6.7]. For (2) one first recalls the functoriality of fundamental classes with respect to l.c.i. morphisms to obtain

$$1_Z = [Z]_{\Omega_*} = i^*([X]_{\Omega_*}) = i^*(1_X) .$$

Since, $c_d(E) = \tilde{c}_d(E)(1_X)$, we can apply part (1) and write

$$c_d(E) = i_* i^*(1_X) = i_* (1_Z) = [i : Z \to X] .$$

1.5 Relations with other theories: $CH_*, G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$ and $CK_*$

We begin this section by illustrating how scalar extension can be used to produce new oriented oriented Borel-Moore homology theories with chosen formal group law. Afterwards we make use of this construction to describe the relations existing between algebraic cobordism and the other theories which we will consider in our study. Throughout this section we will again assume the base field $k$ to have characteristic 0.

Definition 1.5.1. Let $(R, F)$ be a commutative formal group law with $R \in \mathbb{R}^*$. We will denote by $\Omega^{(R,F)}_*$ the functor

$$\text{Sch}_k \to \text{Ab}_*$$

$$X \mapsto \Omega_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}^*} R$$

where the $\mathbb{L}$-module structure is given on $R$ by the ring homomorphism $\Phi_F : \mathbb{L}^* \to R$ associated to the formal group law $F$ and on $\Omega_*(X)$ by the external product. In case the formal group law $(R, F)$ arises from an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory $A_*$, we will sometimes write $\Omega^{A_*}$ instead of $\Omega^{(R,F)}_*$.

It is easy to check that the functor $\Omega^{(R,F)}_*$, together with the induced external product and the obvious family of pull-back morphisms, satisfies all the axioms of an oriented Borel-Moore homology theory and that its formal group law is precisely $(R, F)$. Moreover, it follows from the universality of algebraic cobordism that $\Omega^{(R,F)}_*$ is universal among the oriented Borel-Moore homology theories which have $(R, F)$ as associated formal group law. Suppose $A_*$ to be such a theory, then for every $X \in \text{Sch}_k$ one can define the bilinear morphism

$$\Omega_*(X) \times R \to A_*(X)$$

$$(\alpha, a) \mapsto a \times (\vartheta_{A_*}(X)(\alpha))$$

where $\times$ stands for the external product in $A_*$ and represents the scalar multiplication in the $R$-module structure on $A_*(X)$. As a consequence for every scheme $X \in \text{Sch}_k$ one obtains from the universal property of tensor product a unique morphism $\Omega^{(R,F)}_*(X) \to A_*(X)$ and it is possible to check that as a whole these morphisms form a morphism of oriented Borel-Moore homology theories $\vartheta^{(R,F)}_{A_*} : \Omega^{(R,F)}_* \to A_*$. The uniqueness of $\vartheta^{(R,F)}_{A_*}$ then follows from the universal properties of tensor product and of $\Omega_*$. 
This construction, in view of proposition 1.2.12, has an analogue in the context of oriented cohomology theories on $\text{Sm}_k$: the functor $\Omega^*_\langle R,F \rangle := \Omega^* \otimes_{L*} R$ represents the universal oriented cohomology theory on $\text{Sm}_k$ with $(R,F)$ as associated formal group law. We will denote by $\vartheta^{(R,F)}_A$ the canonical map $\Omega^*_\langle R,F \rangle \rightarrow A^*$.

**Remark 1.5.2.** It is important to point out that fundamental classes of l.c.i. schemes are preserved under morphisms of oriented cohomology theories, as well as under morphisms of oriented Borel-Moore homology theories: this follows from the compatibility of both kinds of morphism with l.c.i. pull-back maps.

**Remark 1.5.3.** Suppose to be given a morphism of formal group laws $\phi : (R,F) \rightarrow (R',F')$. It follows immediately from the universal property of $(L,F_L)$ that the unique morphisms $\phi_{F'}$ and $\phi_F$ satisfy the equality $\phi_{F'} = \phi \circ \phi_F$ and hence the two functors $\Omega^*_\langle R',F' \rangle$ and $\Omega^*_\langle R,F \rangle (\cdot) \otimes_R R'$ are isomorphic.

We will now present a series of results which identify the universal oriented Borel-Moore homology theories and the universal oriented cohomology theories associated to the additive and periodic multiplicative formal group laws. We consider first the case of the additive formal group law $(\mathbb{Z}, F_a)$.

**Theorem 1.5.4.** The canonical map

$$\vartheta^{(\mathbb{Z}, F_a)}_{CH^*} : \Omega^*_\langle \mathbb{Z}, F_a \rangle \rightarrow CH_*$$

of oriented Borel-Moore homology functors on $\text{Sch}_k$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, once it is restricted to $\text{Sm}_k$, it induces on the associated oriented cohomology theories the isomorphism

$$\vartheta^{(\mathbb{Z}, F_a)}_{CH^*} : \Omega^*_\langle \mathbb{Z}, F_a \rangle \rightarrow CH^* .$$

**Proof.** See [14, Theorems 4.5.1 and 7.1.4 (2)]

For what it concerns the periodic multiplicative formal group law $(\mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}], F_m)$, Levine and Morel proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.5.5.** The canonical map

$$\vartheta^{K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]}_{(\mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}], F_m)} : \Omega^*_{(\mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}], F_m)} \rightarrow K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$$

is an isomorphism of oriented cohomology theories on $\text{Sm}_k$.

**Proof.** See [14, Theorems 4.2.10 and 7.4.1 (1)].

This result was later extended to the case of oriented Borel-Moore homology theories by Dai.

**Theorem 1.5.6.** The canonical map

$$\vartheta^{G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]}_{(\mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}], F_m)} : \Omega^*_{(\mathbb{Z}[\beta, \beta^{-1}], F_m)} \rightarrow G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$$

is an isomorphism of Borel-Moore homology theories on $\text{Sch}_k$.

**Proof.** See [14, Theorem 2.2.3]
The next example of formal group law that can be considered is the multiplicative formal group law \((\mathbb{Z}[\beta], F_m)\) which gives rise to the so-called connected \(K\)-theory. We will denote the resulting oriented Borel-Moore homology theory \(\Omega^*_\mathbb{Z}[\beta], F_m\) by \(CK_*\). Since the multiplicative formal group law can be restricted to both the additive law (by setting \(\beta\) equal to 0) and the periodic multiplicative law (by setting \(\beta\) equal to an invertible element), in view of remark 1.5.3 one can see that connected \(K\)-theory specializes to both \(CH_*\) and \(G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]\).

**Corollary 1.5.7.** The canonical map

\[ \vartheta^{CK_*} : CK_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow CH_* \]

is an isomorphism of Borel-Moore homology theories on \(\text{Sch}_k\). Moreover, once it is restricted to \(\text{Sm}_k\), it induces on the associated oriented cohomology theories the isomorphism

\[ \vartheta^{CK_*} : CK^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow CH^* . \]

**Proof.** The statement follows from theorem 1.5.4 and remark 1.5.3.

**Corollary 1.5.8.** The canonical map

\[ \vartheta^{CK_*} : G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \rightarrow G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \]

is an isomorphism of Borel-Moore homology theories on \(\text{Sch}_k\). Moreover, once it is restricted to \(\text{Sm}_k\), it induces on the associated oriented cohomology theories the isomorphism

\[ \vartheta^{CK_*} : K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \rightarrow K^0[\beta, \beta^{-1}] . \]

**Proof.** The statement follows from theorems 1.5.6, 1.5.5 and remark 1.5.3.

In view of these results it seems natural to try to investigate whether or not the common properties of \(CH_*\) and \(G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]\) can be extended to \(CK_*\). In particular, we have seen in section 1.2.1 that for both \(CH_*\) and \(G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]\) it is possible to extend the notion of fundamental class to all equi-dimensional schemes in \(\text{Sch}_k\), that this extension is functorial with respect to l.c.i. morphisms (remarks 1.2.18 and 1.2.21) and that it is compatible with push-forwards (lemmas 1.2.19 and 1.2.22). One can thererefore ask the following question.

**Question 1.5.9.** Can one extend the definition of fundamental class arising from the structure of oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on \(CK_*\) to all equi-dimensional schemes in \(\text{Sch}_k\), so that properties (1) – (3) below are satisfied?

1. For every l.c.i morphism \(f : X \rightarrow Y\) between equi-dimensional schemes \(X, Y \in \text{Sch}_k\) one has

\[ [X]_{CK_*} = f^*[Y]_{CK_*} . \]

2. For every pair of Tor-independent morphisms \(f : X \rightarrow Y\) and \(g : Z \rightarrow Y\) in \(\text{Sch}_k\), with \(f\) projective, \(g\) l.c.i. and \(X\) equi-dimensional one has

\[ pr_{2*}(W)_{CK_*} = g^*(f_*([X]_{CK_*})) , \]

where \(W := Z \times_Y X\).

3. For every equi-dimensional scheme \(X \in \text{Sch}_k\) one has

\[ \vartheta^{CK_*}([X]_{CK_*}) = [X]_{CH_*} , \quad \vartheta^{CK_*}([X]_{G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}}) = [X]_{G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}} . \]

**Remark 1.5.10.** While properties (1) and (2) represent the obvious analogues of the compatibilities between the fundamental classes in \(CH_*\) and \(G_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]\) and the pull-back and push-forward maps, property (3) requires the extension of the fundamental class to be compatible with the specializations of corollaries 1.5.7 and 1.5.8.
1.5.1 Birational invariance for connected $K$-theory

We end this section by presenting some consequences that can be drawn from a universal property enjoyed by connected $K$-theory. Let us first state the following theorem ([HТ Theorem 4.3.9]), which illustrates the nature of the universal property.

**Theorem 1.5.11.** Let $k$ be a field admitting resolution of singularities and weak factorization. Then $CK_*$ is the universal oriented Borel-Moore homology theory on $\text{Sm}_k$ which has “birational invariance” in the following sense: given a birational projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ between smooth irreducible varieties, then $f_*[Y]_{CK_*} = [X]_{CK_*}$.

In this context for a field $k$ to admit resolution of singularities will mean that the conclusion of the following theorem is valid for varieties over $k$.

**Theorem 1.5.12.** Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero, and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a rational map of reduced $k$-schemes of finite type. Then there is a projective birational morphism $\mu : Y' \rightarrow Y$ such that

1. $Y'$ is smooth over $k$.
2. The induced birational map $f \circ \mu : Y' \rightarrow X$ is a morphism.
3. The morphism $\mu$ can be factored as a sequence of blow-ups of $Y$ along smooth centers lying over $\text{Sing} f$.

An important consequence of birational invariance is that, together with resolution of singularities, it allows to associate to every $X \in \text{Sch}_k$ a unique class in $CK_*(X)$ which represents the push-forward of the fundamental class of any of the smooth schemes birationally isomorphic to $X$. Given a non-smooth integral scheme $Y \in \text{Sch}_k$, one can apply resolution of singularities to $id_Y$ to obtain $r : R \rightarrow Y$ birational and projective, with $R \in \text{Sm}_k$. As a consequence one can consider the class $[r : R \rightarrow Y] \in \Omega^* (Y)$. In general this assignment is not well defined as there could be different resolutions of $Y$ giving rise to different cobordism classes but, as it is shown in the next proposition, all these classes have to coincide once they are mapped to connected $K$-theory.

**Proposition 1.5.13.** Let $r : R \rightarrow X$ and $r' : R' \rightarrow X$ be two projective birational morphisms. Then

$$\partial_{CK_*}([r : R \rightarrow X]) = \partial_{CK_*}([r' : R' \rightarrow X]) \in CK_*(X).$$

**Proof.** Let us consider the rational map $\rho := r^{-1} \circ r' : R' \rightarrow R$. Thanks to resolution of singularities there exists a projective birational morphism $\mu : R'' \rightarrow R'$ with $R'' \in \text{Sm}_k$ such that $\rho \circ \mu$ is a morphism. Let us observe that the birational invariance of $CK_*$ implies that $\mu_* [R'']_{CK_*} = [R']_{CK_*}$, and therefore that

$$\partial_{CK_*}([r' \circ \mu : R'' \rightarrow X]) = r'_*\mu_* \partial_{CK_*}(1_{R''}) = r'_*\mu_* [R'']_{CK_*} = r'_*[R']_{CK_*} = \partial_{CK_*}([r' : R' \rightarrow X]).$$

Moreover, since the composition $r \circ (r^{-1} \circ r') \circ \mu$ is a morphism and equals $r' \circ \mu$, we also have that $[r \circ \rho \circ \mu : R'' \rightarrow X] = [r' \circ \mu : R'' \rightarrow X]$ with $\rho \circ \mu$ birational and projective. It now suffices to invoke again the birational invariance of $CK^*$ to conclude that

$$\partial_{CK_*}([r \circ \rho \circ \mu : R'' \rightarrow X]) = r_*\rho_*\mu_* [R']_{CK_*} = r_*[R]_{CK_*} = \partial_{CK_*}([r : R \rightarrow X]).$$

Thanks to this result we are now able to associate to every integral scheme $X$ a class in $CK_*(X)$. This class will represent the push-forward of the fundamental class of any of the smooth scheme birationally isomorphic to the scheme $X$. 

Definition 1.5.14. Let $Y \in \text{Sch}_k$ be an integral scheme and let $r : R \to Y$ be any resolution of singularities of $Y$. We associate to $Y$ the following class in $CK_*(Y)$:

$$\eta_Y := \partial_{CK_*}([r : R \to Y]).$$

Remark 1.5.15. It is worth noticing that if $Y$ is a smooth scheme, then one can take $id_Y$ as a resolution of singularities and therefore the class we just defined coincides with its fundamental class.
Chapter 2

Degeneracy loci and Schubert varieties

In this chapter we will present the geometric objects that motivate our study: degeneracy loci, Schubert varieties and Bott-Samelson resolutions. We will also illustrate the method used by Fulton in [6] to express the fundamental classes of both degeneracy loci and Schubert varieties by means of certain families of polynomials. Throughout this chapter $k$ will be an arbitrary field.

2.1 Notations and definitions for the symmetric group

For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we will denote by $s_i$ the permutation $(i \ i+1)$ and we will refer to the elements of this family as fundamental transpositions. By decomposition of a permutation $\omega \in S_n$ we will mean an $l$-tuple $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l)$ such that $s_I := s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l} = \omega$. We will write $\emptyset$ to refer to the empty decomposition of the identity of $S_n$. If $I$ is an $l$-tuple, $(I, i_{l+1})$ will refer to the $(l+1)$-tuple obtained from $I$ by adding $i_{l+1}$ at the end.

Since the set of all elementary transpositions generates $S_n$, every $\omega$ admits a decomposition. Among the decompositions of a given element $\omega$, the ones with the fewest elementary transpositions are said to be minimal. $l(\omega)$, the length of $\omega$, is then defined as the number of elements appearing in any minimal resolution.

Among all elements of $S_n$ a special role is played by $w_0 = (1 \ 2 \ \ldots \ n \ n \ n-1 \ \ldots \ 1)$, the permutation that achieves the maximum of the length function $l$: $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

2.2 Degeneracy loci associated to morphisms of vector bundles

Given a morphism between vector bundles, a degeneracy locus is a closed subscheme of the base obtained by selecting the points over which the map induced between the fibers satisfies some requirements called rank conditions. In order to be able to define the degeneracy locus both as a set and as a scheme, it is convenient to recall the notion of zero scheme of a section of a vector bundle.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let $p : E \to X$ be a vector bundle and $s_E$ its zero section. Given a section $s : X \to E$ one defines $Z(s)$, the zero scheme of $s$, as the pull-back of $s$ along $s_E$. Diagrammatically one has

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z(s) & \xrightarrow{j=i} & X \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow s \\
X & \xrightarrow{s_E} & E
\end{array}
$$
and the fact that both \( s_E \) and \( s \) are sections of \( E \) forces \( i \) and \( j \) to coincide.

**Remark 2.2.2.** It is not difficult to prove, by a repeated use of the universal property of fiber products, that the construction of the zero scheme of a section commutes with pull-backs. More precisely, given a vector bundle \( p : E \to X \), a section \( s : X \to E \) and a morphism \( \varphi : Y \to X \) one has \( \varphi^{-1}(Z(s)) = Z(\varphi^*s) \), where \( \varphi^*s : Y \to \varphi^*E \) is the section naturally induced by \( s \).

**Remark 2.2.3.** The zero scheme \( Z(s) \) can be also defined in the following equivalent way. Suppose that the affine open sets \( \{ U_i \}_{i \in I} \) form a trivializing cover of \( X \) and denote by \( s_i : U_i \to \mathbb{A}^r_{U_i} \) the restriction of \( s \) to \( U_i = \text{Spec} \, R_i \). Then \( Z(s) \cap U_i \) is defined by the ideal \( (s_{i1}, \ldots, s_{irank}) \) where the \( s_{ij} \in R_i \) are given by the different components of \( s_i \).

Before considering the more general case that will be needed for our purposes, let us first define the degeneracy locus associated to a single rank condition.

**Definition 2.2.4.** Let \( E \) and \( F \) be two vector bundles over \( X \) of rank \( e \) and \( f \) respectively. Given \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( 0 \leq k \leq \min(e, f) \) and a morphism of vector bundles \( h : E \to F \), we define the \( k \)-th degeneracy locus

\[
D_k(h) := Z(\wedge^{k+1} h) = \{ x \in X \mid \text{rank}(h(x) : E(x) \to F(x)) \leq k \},
\]

where \( \wedge^{k+1} h \) is the morphism induced by \( h \) on the \((k + 1)\)-th exterior powers (viewed as a section of the bundle \( \text{Hom}(\wedge^{k+1} E, \wedge^{k+1} F) \)) and \( h(x) \) is the restriction of \( h \) to the fiber over \( x \).

**Remark 2.2.5.** Since for vector bundles the exterior power functor and the pull-back functor commute, in view of remark 2.2.2 one is able to conclude that \( k \)-th degeneracy loci are preserved under pull-back. In other words, with the notations of the previous definition one has \( \varphi^{-1}(D_k(h)) = D_k(\varphi^*h) \) for all \( \varphi : Y \to X \).

**Remark 2.2.6.** If one considers the alternative definition of zero scheme given in remark 2.2.3 one can actually see what are the local equations defining \( D_k(h) \). It is possible to show that the elements \( s_{ij} \in R_i \) are given by the \((k + 1)\)-minors of the \( e \) by \( f \) matrix describing the morphism \( h|_{U_i} : \mathbb{A}^r_{U_i} \to \mathbb{A}^{rf}_{U_i} \).

We are now in the position to generalize the previous construction to the case of a morphism of vector bundles endowed with full flags. One important feature of these kind of bundles is that they come equipped with a filtration into linear factors.

**Definition 2.2.7.** Let \( V \to X \) be vector bundle of rank \( e \) and let \( W_\bullet = (V = W_n \to \cdots \to W_1) \) and \( U_\bullet = (U_1 \subset \cdots \subset U_n = V) \) be full flags of respectively quotient and subbundles of \( V \). To these full flags we associate two families of \( n \) line bundles \( \{ L^W_i \}_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} \) and \( \{ L^U_i \}_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} \) by setting

\[
L^W_i := \text{Ker}(W_i \to W_{i-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad L^U_i := U_i/U_{i-1}.
\]

Let us fix some notation. Given \( h : E \to F \) a morphism of vector bundles (respectively of rank \( e \) and \( f \)) over a scheme \( X \) it is not restrictive, thanks to the splitting principle, to assume that \( E \) and \( F \) come equipped with full flags \( E_\bullet = (E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_e = E) \) and \( F_\bullet = (F = F_f \to \cdots \to F_1) \). We will denote by \( h_{ij} \) the composition of the restriction of \( h \) to \( E_i \) with the projection onto \( F_j \).

In this setting a set of rank conditions is the assignment of an integer \( r_{ij} \) to every map \( h_{ij} \). It is therefore possible to interpret it as a function \( r : \{1, \ldots, e\} \times \{1, \ldots, f\} \to \mathbb{N} \) such that \( r(i, j) = r_{ij} \).
**Definition 2.2.8.** Let \( r \) be a set of rank conditions. With the above notations the degeneracy locus of \( h \) associated to \( r \) is defined as

\[
\Omega_r(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) := \bigcap_{(i,j)} D_{r_{ij}}(h_{ij}) = \{ x \in X \mid \text{rank}(h_{ij}(x) : E_i(x) \to F_j(x)) \leq r(i, j) \ \forall i, j \},
\]

where \( h_{ij}(x) \) is the restriction of \( h_{ij} \) to the fiber over \( x \). In case no confusion can arise about which morphism and which flags are considered, we will write \( \Omega_r \) instead of the more precise \( \Omega_r(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) \).

**Remark 2.2.9.** As scheme intersection is defined in terms of fiber products, it follows from remark 2.2.5 that also \( \Omega_r(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) \) is preserved under pull-backs: for \( \varphi : Y \to X \) one has \( \varphi^{-1}(\Omega_r(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h)) = \Omega_r(\varphi^*E_\bullet, \varphi^*F_\bullet, \varphi^*h) \).

In case the two vector bundles have the same rank, it is possible to consider a family of sets of rank conditions associated to permutations.

**Definition 2.2.10.** Suppose \( e = f = n \). Given a permutation \( \omega \in S_n \), one defines a set of rank conditions \( r_\omega \) by setting

\[
r_\omega(i, j) = |\{ k \leq j \mid \omega(k) \leq i \}|.
\]

**Definition 2.2.11.** A set of rank conditions \( r \) is said permissible if there exists \( \omega \in S_n \), with \( n \geq \max\{e, f\} \), such that the restriction of \( r_\omega \) to \( \{1, \ldots, e\} \times \{1, \ldots, f\} \) coincides with \( r \).

Permissible rank conditions play an important role since, assuming \( h \) generic, they give rise to degeneracy loci which are locally irreducible. Moreover, as we will see later, if the set of rank conditions arises from a permutation, the degeneracy locus can be defined using a subset of the \( n^2 \) rank conditions: this leads to the notion of essential set.

**Definition 2.2.12.** Given a permutation \( \omega \in S_n \) the essential set \( \text{Ess}(\omega) \) is defined as follows:

\[
\text{Ess}(\omega) = \{(i, j) \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\}^2 \mid \omega(i) > j, \ \omega(i + 1) \leq j, \ \omega^{-1}(j) > i, \ \omega^{-1}(j + 1) \leq i\}.
\]

**Example 2.2.13.** It is easy to verify that \( \text{Ess}(\omega_0) = \{(1, n - 1), (2, n - 2), \ldots, (n - 1, 1)\} \): one only has to recall that \( \omega_0(i) = n + 1 - i \). This turns the four requirements in:

\[
n + 1 - i > j, \quad n - i \leq j, \quad n + 1 - j > i, \quad n - j \leq i.
\]

Once they are combined the resulting condition is given by \( i + j = n \).

An easy consequence of the definition is the following lemma which shows that the essential set is independent of the ambient symmetric group \( \omega \) belongs to.

**Lemma 2.2.14.** Let \( \omega \in S_n \) and, for \( m \geq n \), let \( i : S_n \to S_m \) be the canonical inclusion. Then \( \text{Ess}(\omega) = \text{Ess}(i(\omega)) \).

**Proof.** First of all, let us observe that it is sufficient to restrict to the case \( m = n + 1 \): the general case immediately follows by induction. As \( \omega \) and \( i(\omega) \) coincides on \( \{1, \ldots, n - 1\}^2 \), the very definition of essential set implies that \( \text{Ess}(\omega) = \text{Ess}(i(\omega)) \cap \{1, \ldots, n - 1\}^2 \). One is therefore left to show that in \( \text{Ess}(i(\omega)) \) there are no elements of the form \( (k, n) \) and \( (n, l) \). In order for \( (k, n) \) to belong to \( \text{Ess}(i(\omega)) \) it should satisfy the forth of the relations defining \( \text{Ess}(i(\omega)) \), which in this case gives \( k \geq [i(\omega)^{-1}](n + 1) = n + 1 \): this is impossible since by definition \( \text{Ess}(i(\omega)) \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}^2 \). Similarly the second requirement forces \( l \geq n + 1 \), thus showing that no element of the form \( (n, l) \) can belong to \( \text{Ess}(i(\omega)) \).
Lemma 2.2.15. For any $\omega \in S_n$ and any $n$ by $n$ matrix $M$ with entries in a commutative ring $R$, the ideal generated by all minors of size $r_\omega(i,j) + 1$ taken from the upper left $i$ by $j$ corner of $M$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, is generated by these same minors using only those $(i,j)$ which are in $\text{Ess}(\omega)$.

Proof. See \[\text{Lemma 3.10.a}]\.

Proposition 2.2.16. Given a permutation $\omega \in S_n$ one has

$$\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) = \bigcap_{(i,j) \in \text{Ess}(\omega)} D_{r_\omega(i,j)}(h_{ij}) \, .$$

Proof. Let $\{U_k\}_{k \in I}$ be an affine open cover of $X$ such that over each $U_k = \text{Spec} R_k$ all bundles appearing in the two flags are trivial: we will show that the scheme structures of $\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h)$ and $\bigcap_{(i,j) \in \text{Ess}(\omega)} D_{r_\omega(i,j)}(h_{ij})$ coincide on these open sets. To do this, let us first consider the restriction of $h$ to one of these open sets: $h|_{U_k} : \mathbb{A}^r_{U_k} \to \mathbb{A}^r_{U_k}$. This morphism can be interpreted as an $e$ by $f$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{A}^r_{U_k}$ in such a way that the restriction of each morphism $h_{ij}$ is given by the upper left $i$ by $j$ corner. Recall that, as it was pointed out in remark 2.2.15, each $D_{r_\omega(i,j)}(h_{ij})$ is locally defined by the vanishing of the $(r_\omega(i,j) + 1)$-minors associated to $h_{ij}$. As a consequence, lemma 2.2.15 guarantees that the defining ideal of $\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) \cap U_k$ can be generated using only the minors coming from the rank conditions $r_\omega(i, j)$ with $(i, j) \in \text{Ess}(\omega)$, thus proving the equality of the two scheme structures.

Remark 2.2.17. One consequence of proposition 2.2.16 is that it allows to express in the form $\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet', F_\bullet', h')$ all the degeneracy loci $D_l(h)$ arising from a morphism of vector bundles $h : E \to F$, provided $E$ and $F$ are already equipped with flags. One only needs to construct a morphism $h' : E' \to F'$ and to select a permutation $\omega$ such that $\text{Ess}(\omega) = \{(i,j)\}$ and $D_{r_\omega(i,j)}(h'_{ij}) = D_l(h)$.

This can be achieved as follows. If $E$ and $F$ have rank $e$ and $f$ respectively, one sets $E' := E \oplus \mathbb{A}_X^{-e}$, $F' := F \oplus \mathbb{A}_X^{-f}$ and defines $h' : E' \to F'$ by extending $h$ by $0$ on $\mathbb{A}_X^{-l}$. The flags on $E'$ and $F'$ are obtained by extending the full flags of $E$ and $F$ with trivial line bundles. For the permutation one sets

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ldots & l & l+1 & \ldots & f & f+1 & \ldots & e+f-l \\ 1 & \ldots & l & e+1 & \ldots & e & f-l & \ldots & e+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that $\text{Ess}(\omega) = \{(e,f)\}$ and that $r_\omega(e,f) = l$. Since from our construction we have $E'_e = E$, $F'_f = F$ and $h_{(e,f)} = h$, we can conclude that

$$D_l(h) = D_{r_\omega(e,f)}(h'_{ef}) = \Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet', F_\bullet', h') \, .$$

We are now going to see how the set-up can be significantly simplified if one restrict his attention to permissible rank conditions. The first step is to show that it is sufficient to consider degeneracy loci associated to morphisms of vector bundles of the same rank.

Lemma 2.2.18. Let $\tau$ be a permissible set of rank conditions, $\omega \in S_n$ the corresponding permutation and $h : E \to F$ a morphism of vector bundles over $X$. Let $E_\bullet$ and $F_\bullet$ be full flags of $E$ and $F$ respectively. Then there exists $h' : E' \to F'$ and full flags $E_\bullet'$ and $F_\bullet'$ such that $\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) = \Omega_{\tau}(E_\bullet', F_\bullet', h')$.

Proof. Set $E' = E \oplus \mathbb{A}_X^{-e}$, $F' = F \oplus \mathbb{A}_X^{-f}$ and define $h'$ by extending $h$ to $\mathbb{A}_X^{-l}$ with the zero map. The full flags $E'$ and $F'$ are then obtained by extending the flags of $E$ and $F$ by setting $E_{e+i} = E \oplus \mathbb{A}_X^i$ and $F_{e+j} = F \oplus \mathbb{A}_X^j$. We now want to show that the two schemes are locally defined
by the same equations. For this purpose let us now consider an affine open cover \( \{ U_k \}_{k \in K} \) such that over each \( U_k \) all bundles appearing in \( E_\bullet \) and \( F_\bullet \) are trivial; note that this makes trivial also all the bundles in \( E'_\bullet \) and \( F'_\bullet \). If we inspect the two maps \( h_{|U_k}: \mathbb{A}^n_{U_k} \to \mathbb{A}^n_{U_k} \) and \( h'_{|U_k}: \mathbb{A}^n_{U_k} \to \mathbb{A}^n_{U_k} \), we see that \( h'_{|U_k} \) can be described by an \( n \times n \) matrix whose upper left \( e \times f \) corner gives \( h_{|U_k} \) and such that all entries outside this submatrix are 0.

Let us now focus on the rank conditions coming from \((i,j) \in \{1, \ldots, e\} \times \{1, \ldots, f\} \): the equation they impose are obviously the same for both schemes since we are dealing with the exact same minors. On the other hand, the remaining rank conditions for \( \Omega_{\tau}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h') \cap U_k \) do not provide any new equations. In fact these minors are either 0 (if one is taking the determinant of a matrix not contained in the upper corner defining \( h_{|U_k} \)) or already present in the list of generators of the defining ideal.

The second step consists in reducing to the case in which the morphism \( h \) is \( id_V \).

\textbf{Lemma 2.2.19.} Let \( h: E \to F \) be a morphism of vector bundles of rank \( n \) over \( X \). Let \( E_\bullet \) and \( F_\bullet \) be full flags of \( E \) and \( F \) respectively. Then there exists a vector bundle \( V \) over \( X \) with full flags \( E'_\bullet \) and \( F'_\bullet \), such that for every \( \omega \in S_n \) there exists \( \omega' \in S_{\text{rank } V} \) for which \( \Omega_{\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) = \Omega_{\omega'}(E'_\bullet, F'_\bullet, h' = id_V) \).

\textbf{Proof.} One sets \( V := E \oplus F \) and makes the flags of \( E \) and \( F \) partial flags of \( V \) by embedding \( E \) into \( V \) as the graph of \( h \) and by projecting \( V \) on \( F \) by means of the second projection. One then completes the flags by setting \( E'_{n+i} = E \oplus \text{Ker}(F \to F_{n-i}) \) and \( F'_{n+i} = E/E_{n-i} \oplus F \). Finally, one sets \( \omega' \) to be the image of \( \omega \) in \( S_{2n} \) via the canonical inclusion. In order to show that \( \Omega_{\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) = \Omega_{\omega'}(E'_\bullet, F'_\bullet, h' = id_V) \), one first makes use of proposition \[2.2.16\] to write

\[
\Omega_{\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) = \bigcap_{(i,j) \in \text{Ess}(\omega)} D_{\omega(i,j)}(h_{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\omega'}(E'_\bullet, F'_\bullet, h') = \bigcap_{(i,j) \in \text{Ess}(\omega')} D_{\omega'(i,j)}(h'_{ij}).
\]

One then observes that, as a consequence of the set-up, one has \( h'_{ij} = h_{ij} \) for \((i,j) \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}^2 \) and therefore to finish the proof it is sufficient to show that \( \text{Ess}(\omega) = \text{Ess}(\omega') \); this is granted by lemma \[2.2.14\].

Now that these reductions have been achieved, we will consider the case of degeneracy loci on flag bundles: this will be helpful since the results obtained in this context will later allow us to define a degeneracy class.

\subsection{2.3 Schubert varieties and Bott-Samelson resolutions}

Let \( p: V \to X \) be a vector bundle of rank \( n \) over a smooth scheme \( X \) and let \( V_\bullet = (V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \ldots \subset V_n = V) \) be a full flag of subbundles. We will denote by \( \pi: \mathcal{F}\ell(V) \to X \) the bundle of full flags of quotient bundles of \( V \).

\textbf{Notation:} By its very defining property \( \mathcal{F}\ell(V) \) has a universal full flag of quotient bundles \( Q_\bullet = (\pi^*V = Q_0 \to Q_{n-1} \to \ldots \to Q_1) \) such that for every full flag of quotient bundles \( W_\bullet = (V = W_n \to W_{n-1} \to \ldots \to W_1) \) there exist a unique section \( s: X \to \mathcal{F}\ell(V) \) for which \( s^*(Q_\bullet) = W_\bullet \). We will denote this section by \( i_{W_\bullet} \).

It is possible as well to associate a section to any full flag of subbundles \( U_\bullet = (U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \ldots \subset U_n = V) \) in a unique way: if \( Y \) suffices to consider \( V/U_\bullet = (V \to V/U_1 \to \ldots \to V/U_{n-1}) \). By \( i_{U_\bullet} \) we will mean \( i_{V/U_\bullet} \).
**Definition 2.3.1.** Let \( \omega \in S_n \) be a permutation. We define \( \Omega_\omega \), the Schubert variety associated to \( \omega \), as the vanishing locus \( \Omega_{\omega_\omega}(\pi^*V, Q, h = id_{\pi^*V}) \).

**Remark 2.3.2.** By their very definition the Schubert varieties depend on the choice of the flag \( V_\bullet \).

**Remark 2.3.3.** In general a Schubert variety \( \Omega_\omega \) needs not to be an l.c.i. scheme and, as a consequence (see section 1.4.4), the inclusion into \( F\ell(V) \) will not define a class in algebraic cobordism. However, as we will see, \( \Omega_{\omega_0} \) is smooth since it is possible to show that it coincides with \( i_{\omega_0}(X) \).

**Lemma 2.3.4.** The Schubert variety \( \Omega_\omega \) can be described as an intersection in the following way:

\[
\Omega_\omega = \bigcap_{l=1}^{n-1} Z(h_{l,n-l}) .
\]

**Proof.** In view of the definition of Schubert varieties and of proposition 2.2.16 we have

\[
\Omega_\omega = \Omega_{\omega_\omega}(\pi^*V, Q, h = id_{\pi^*V}) = \bigcap_{(l,k)} D_{\omega_\omega}(l,k)(h_{lk}) = \bigcap_{(l,k) \in \text{Ess}(\omega_\omega)} D_{\omega_\omega}(l,k)(h_{lk}) = \bigcap_{l=1}^{n-1} D_0(h_{l,n-l}) .
\]

The last step follows from example 2.2.13: one has \( \text{Ess}(\omega_0) = \{(1, n-1), (2, n-2), \ldots, (n-1, 1)\} \) and it is an easy computation to check that on this set \( \omega_\omega \) is constantly 0. To finish the proof it is now sufficient to observe that, by definition, for a section \( s \) one has

\[
D_0(s) = Z(s^{\wedge 1}) = Z(s) .
\]

We now want to establish a connection between Schubert varieties and vanishing loci of morphisms of vector bundles.

**Lemma 2.3.5.** Let \( V \rightarrow X \) be a vector bundle of rank \( n \), endowed with a full flag of subbundles \( V_\bullet \) and a full flag of quotient bundles \( W_\bullet \). Then \( i_{W_\bullet}^{-1}(\Omega_\omega) = \Omega_{\omega_\omega}(V_\bullet, W_\bullet, id_V) \) for every \( \omega \in S_n \).

**Proof.** In this context \( \Omega_\omega \) corresponds to \( \Omega_{\omega_\omega}(\pi^*V, Q, id_{\pi^*V}) \) and therefore the proposition is a consequence of the fact, pointed out in remark 2.2.9 that the construction of \( \Omega_{\omega_\omega} \) is preserved under pullbacks: \( i_{W_\bullet}^{-1}(\Omega_\omega(\pi^*V, Q, id_{\pi^*V})) \) coincides with \( \Omega_{\omega_\omega}(i_{W_\bullet}^{-1}(\pi^*V), i_{W_\bullet}^{-1}Q, id_{i_{W_\bullet}^{-1} \pi^*V}) = \Omega_{\omega_\omega}(V_\bullet, W_\bullet, id_V) \).

**Proposition 2.3.6.** Let \( \Omega_r(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) \subseteq X \) be the vanishing locus associated to a permissible set of rank conditions \( r \) and to a morphism of vector bundles \( h : E \rightarrow F \). Then there exist a vector bundle \( V \rightarrow X \) with a full flag of subbundles \( V_\bullet \), together with a section \( s : X \rightarrow F\ell(V) \) and a permutation \( \omega \in S_{\text{rank } V} \) such that \( s^{-1}(\Omega_\omega) = \Omega_r(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) \).

**Proof.** Thanks to lemmas 2.2.18 and 2.2.19 it is possible to reduce to the case in which \( E = F = V, h = id_V \) and \( r = r_\omega \) for some \( \omega \in S_{\text{rank } V} \): this is precisely the content of lemma 2.3.5.

The local properties of Schubert varieties can be deduced from the special case in which the base scheme is a point. If one sets \( X = \text{Spec } k, V \) becomes an affine space \( A^n \) while \( F\ell(V) \) turns into the flag manifold \( F\ell(n) \), which has dimension \( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \). Let us recall the following properties of Schubert varieties in a flag manifold.

**Proposition 2.3.7.** Let \( X = \text{Spec } k \). For any \( \omega \in S_n \) the Schubert variety \( \Omega_\omega \) is integral, Cohen-Macaulay and has codimension \( l(\omega) \).
Proof. See [6] Lemma 6.1 (a),(c),(d). \square

Remark 2.3.8. It is not difficult to see that in \( \mathcal{F}(n) \) the Schubert variety \( \Omega_{\omega_0} \) is just the \( k \)-point \( i_{\bullet} : \text{Spec } k \to \mathcal{F}(n) \), describing the full quotient flag \( V/V_\bullet \). In view of lemma 2.3.4 one has

\[
i_{\bullet}^{-1}(\Omega_{\omega_0}) = \bigcap_{l=1}^{n-1} Z(h_{l,n-l}) = \bigcap_{l=1}^{n-1} i_{\bullet}^{-1}(Z(h_{l,n-l})) = \bigcap_{l=1}^{n-1} Z(s^*(h_{l,n-l}))
\]

where the morphisms \( s^*(h_{l,n-l}) \) are nothing but the zero maps \( V_l \to V \to V/V_l \): it follows that all the zero schemes \( Z(s^*(h_{l,n-l})) \) actually coincide with \( \text{Spec } k \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Omega_{\omega_0} \\
\downarrow_{\text{id}_{\text{Spec } k}} & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{i_{\bullet}} & \mathcal{F}(n)
\end{array}
\]

Therefore, since \( \Omega_{\omega_0} \) is integral and of dimension 0, we have that the closed imbedding \( i_{\bullet}^{-1}(\Omega_{\omega_0}) = \text{Spec } k \to \Omega_{\omega_0} \) is actually an isomorphism.

This last observation can be used to obtain a generalization for a general base scheme \( X \).

Lemma 2.3.9. The section \( i_{\bullet} : X \to \mathcal{F}(V) \) maps \( X \) isomorphically onto the Schubert variety \( \Omega_{\omega_0} \) and is a regular embedding of codimension \( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \). As \( X \in \text{Sm}_k \) this implies \( \Omega_{\omega_0} \in \text{Sm}_k \).

Proof. In view of the fact that both the flag bundle and the Schubert varieties are preserved under pull-backs, we can check the statement locally. Let us consider an open subset \( j : U \to X \) over which all the bundles in \( V_\bullet \) are trivial. In other words we have that the full flag bundle \( j^*(V_\bullet) \) is nothing but the pull-back of a full flag of \( \mathbb{A}^n \) via \( \tau_U \), the structural morphism of \( U \). In particular this implies that \( \mathcal{F}(j^*V) = \mathcal{F}(n) \times_{\text{Spec } k} U \), as one can check that each scheme satisfies the universal properties of the other. A further consequence is that the universal full quotient flag over \( \mathcal{F}(n) \) is pulled-back to the one over \( \mathcal{F}(j^*V) \). Therefore, thanks to remarks 2.2.9 and 2.3.8 we have

\[
\Omega_{\omega_0} = \Omega_{r_{\omega_0}}(j^*(V_\bullet), \tau_U(Q_\bullet), \text{id}_{j^*V}) = \tau_U^{-1}(\Omega_{r_{\omega_0}}(\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathcal{F}(n)}, Q_\bullet, \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(n)})) = \tau_U^{-1}(\Omega_{\omega_0}) = \tau_U^{-1}(\text{Spec } k) = U.
\]

Moreover, if one goes through all the equalities, one sees that the isomorphism between \( \Omega_{\omega_0} \) and \( U \) is given, exactly as it was happening in remark 2.3.8 by factoring \( i_{j^*(V_\bullet)} \) through \( \Omega_{\omega_0} \). This happens precisely because the diagram for the case of \( \text{Spec } k \) pulls-back to

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Omega_{\omega_0} \\
\downarrow_{\text{id}_U} & & \downarrow \\
U & \xrightarrow{i_{\bullet}^{-1}(\bullet)} & \mathcal{F}(j^*V)
\end{array}
\]

and \( \varphi \) is the pull-back of the isomorphism between \( \text{Spec } k \) and the Schubert variety inside of \( \mathcal{F}(n) \). We are only left to show that \( i_{\bullet} \) is regular embedding but this follows from the fact that \( i_{\bullet} \) is a section of the smooth morphism \( \pi : \mathcal{F}(V) \to X \). \square

The last lemma provides the starting point for the construction of a family of schemes over \( \mathcal{F}(V) \), the so-called Bott-Samelson resolutions, which will allow us to overcome the difficulty outlined in remark 2.3.3. Each of the members of this family will be smooth over \( k \) and will map
birationally onto a Schubert variety. Even though this assignment is not unique (the same Schubert variety can be associated to many Bott-Samelson resolutions), it will let us associate algebraic cobordism classes to each Schubert variety.

To be able to define Bott-Samelson resolutions we first need to introduce a family of flag bundles over $X$. Let $Y_i \to X$ be the bundle parametrizing the flag bundles one obtains when the rank $i$ bundle is removed from a complete flag. If we denote by $(Q_n \to \cdots \to Q_{i+1} \to \hat{Q}_i \to Q_{i-1} \to \cdots \to Q_1)$ the universal flag over $Y_i$, then $F_\ell(V) = \mathbb{P}_{Y_i}(\text{Ker}(Q_{i+1} \to Q_{i-1}))$.

**Remark 2.3.10.** It is important to stress that this last observation shows that $\varphi_i : F_\ell(V) \to Y_i$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle.

We are now ready to define Bott-Samelson resolutions. As it has been mentioned, there can be more resolutions associated to the same Schubert variety; this is reflected by the fact that Bott-Samelson resolutions are not indexed by permutations but by decompositions of permutations. In other words we will associate a scheme $r_I : R_I \to F_\ell(V)$ to every $l$-tuple $I$. The definition is done recursively on the size of $I$.

**Definition 2.3.11.** Let $I$ be the $l$-tuple $\left(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_l\right)$ with $i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

If $l = 0$, then $I = \emptyset$ and one sets $R_\emptyset := X$, $r_\emptyset = i_{V\bullet}$.

If $l > 0$, then it is possible to write $I = (I', j)$ and, thanks to the inductive hypothesis, $r_{I'} : R_{I'} \to F_\ell(V)$ has already been defined. One then can consider the following fiber diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{I'} \times_{Y_j} F_\ell(V) & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & F_\ell(V) \\
\downarrow pr_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_j \\
R_I & \xrightarrow{r_{I'}} & F_\ell(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} Y_j
\end{array}
\]

and set $R_I := R_{I'} \times_{Y_j} F_\ell(V)$ and $r_I := pr_2$.

**Remark 2.3.12.** Since $\varphi_i$ is a smooth morphism, then the projection on the first factor $R_I \to R_{I'}$ has to be smooth as well. This fact, together with our assumption of $X$ being a smooth scheme over $k$, proves by induction that $R_I \in \text{Sm}_k$.

The relationship existing between Bott-Samelson resolutions and Schubert varieties is made explicit by the following results.

**Proposition 2.3.13.** Let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l)$ be a minimal decomposition and set $\omega = \omega_0 s_I$. Then

1) $r_I(R_I) = \Omega_\omega$ and the resulting map $R_I \to \Omega_\omega$ is a projective birational morphism. $R_I$ is therefore a resolution of singularities of $\Omega_\omega$; 

2) i) $r_{I*}\mathcal{O}_{R_I} = \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_\omega}$ as coherent sheaves and therefore $\Omega_\omega$ is a normal scheme; 

ii) $R^q f_*\mathcal{O}_{R_I} = 0$ for $q > 0$, hence $\Omega_\omega$ has at worst rational singularities.

**Proof.** For part (1) see [9, Appendix C]. For part (2) see [15, Theorem 4].

**Remark 2.3.14.** The importance of the previous proposition is better understood when one relates it to the push-forward morphisms of $CH_*$ and $G_0$: it guarantees that in both theories the push-forward morphisms maps the fundamental class of $R_I$ to the one of $\Omega_\omega$. 

\[\square\]
Remark 2.3.15. If $I$ is a minimal decomposition, then its size $l$ describes the relative dimension of the associated Schubert variety $\Omega_{\omega I}$ as a scheme over $X$. This can be easily seen for $X = \text{Spec } k$, from which the general case is derived. If $X = \text{Spec } k$, $l$ actually describes the dimension of $\Omega_{\omega I}$: since $I$ is minimal, one has

$$l(\omega_0 \cdot s_I) = l(\omega_0) - l(s_I) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - l$$

and therefore $l = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - l(\omega_0 \cdot s_I)$. In view of proposition 2.3.7 we know that for any permutation $\omega \in S_n$ the codimension of $\Omega_\omega$ in $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is given by $l(\omega)$. Since we know that $\dim_k \mathcal{F}(n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, we are able to conclude that $\dim_k \Omega_{\omega I} = l$.

2.4 Schubert, Grothendieck and $\beta$-polynomials

We begin this section by illustrating the definition of double Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials. These two families of polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ are both indexed by permutations and are defined using essentially the same procedure, based on the ordering of $S_n$ given by the length function. We will write $R[x, y]$ for $R[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$.

Definition 2.4.1. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we define the divided difference operators $\partial_i$ and the isobaric divided difference operators $\pi_i$ on $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ by setting

$$i) \quad \partial_i P = \frac{P - \sigma_i(P)}{x_i - x_{i+1}}; \quad ii) \quad \pi_i P = \frac{(1 - x_{i+1})P - (1 - x_i)\sigma_i(P)}{x_i - x_{i+1}},$$

(2.2)

where $\sigma_i$ is the operator exchanging $x_i$ and $x_{i+1}$.

For $\omega \in S_n$ we define the double Schubert polynomial $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ and the double Grothendieck polynomial $\mathcal{G}_\omega$ as follows:

if $\omega = \omega_0$ then

$$i) \quad \mathcal{S}_\omega := \prod_{i+j \leq k} (x_i - y_j) ; \quad ii) \quad \mathcal{G}_\omega := \prod_{i+j \leq k} (x_i + y_j - x_i y_j);$$

(2.3)

if $\omega \neq \omega_0$ then there exist an elementary transposition $s_i$ such that $l(\omega) < l(\omega s_i)$: one then sets

$$i) \quad \mathcal{S}_\omega := \partial_i \mathcal{S}_{\omega s_i}; \quad ii) \quad \mathcal{G}_\omega := \pi_i \mathcal{G}_{\omega s_i}.$$

(2.4)

Remark 2.4.2. A priori the polynomials $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ and $\mathcal{G}_\omega$ are not associated to the permutation $\omega$ but to one of the many minimal decompositions of $\omega_0 \omega$. One therefore has to show that the definition is independent of the choice of minimal decomposition. The inspection of the relations satisfied by the elementary transposition shows that they are generated by three types of relations: $s_i^2 = \text{id}_{S_n}$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ if $|i - j| \geq 2$ and $s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j$ if $|i - j| = 1$.

As we are only interested in minimal decompositions, the relations relevant for us are the ones that do not alter the size of a decomposition: for this reason we can disregard the first set of relations. On the other hand the remaining ones, which are a particular instance of the so-called braid relations, turn minimal decompositions into minimal decompositions and could therefore give rise to different polynomials. One way to ensure that this cannot happen is to show that the divided difference operators themselves satisfy the braid relations.
Remark 2.4.3. From the way they have been defined, the polynomials $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ and $\mathcal{G}_\omega$ should depend on the choice of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore on the ambient symmetric group $\omega$ lives in. This is not actually the case since one can show that $\mathcal{S}_{\omega_0}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\omega_0}$ do not change if one views $\omega_0$ as an element of $S_{n+1}$. Since the definition has $w_0$ as a base case and the recursive steps are not affected by the choice of $n$, the equality for this particular case implies the invariance of the definition for any permutation.

In [5] Fomin and Kirillov unified Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials by defining the double $\beta$-polynomials: this is a family of polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ which specializes to Schubert polynomials when $\beta$ is set to be equal to 0 and to Grothendieck polynomials when $\beta$ equals $-1$. The definition follows the same pattern: one only needs to give an analogue of the divided difference operators to fix the polynomial associated to the longest permutation $\omega_0$.

Definition 2.4.4. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we define the $\beta$-divided difference operator $\phi_i$ on $\mathbb{Z}[\beta][x,y]$ by setting

$$\phi_i P = (1 + \sigma_i) \frac{(1 + \beta x_{i+1}) P}{x_i - x_{i+1}} = \frac{(1 + \beta x_{i+1}) P - (1 + \beta x_i) \sigma_i(P)}{x_i - x_{i+1}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\sigma_i$ is the operator exchanging $x_i$ and $x_{i+1}$ and 1 represents the identity operator.

For these operators to be well-defined, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4.5. Let $P \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta][x,y]$. Then $(x_i - x_{i+1})$ divides $(1 + \beta x_{i+1}) P - (1 + \beta x_i) \sigma_i(P)$.

Proof. First of all let us observe that, since the operators are additive, it is sufficient to restrict to monomials. A further reduction can be made by noticing that each operator $\phi_i$ is linear with respect to polynomials which are symmetric in $x_i$ and $x_{i+1}$. It therefore suffices to consider only monomials of the shape $x_j^k$, with $j \in \{i, i+1\}$ and $k$ strictly positive. Since the two cases are essentially the same, we will only prove the case $j = i$. One then has

$$(1 + \beta x_{i+1}) x_i^k - (1 + \beta x_i) \sigma_i(x_i^k) = (1 + \beta x_{i+1}) x_i^k - (1 + \beta x_i) x_{i+1}^k = (x_i^k - x_{i+1}^k) + \beta x_i x_{i+1} (x_i^{k-1} - x_{i+1}^{k-1}),$$

which is clearly divisible by $(x_i - x_{i+1})$.

We now prove a result concerning the relations existing between products of divided difference operators.

Proposition 2.4.6. The operators $\phi_i$ satisfy the braid relations. More precisely, the following equations hold:

i) $\phi_i \circ \phi_j = \phi_j \circ \phi_i$ if $|i - j| \geq 2$;

ii) $\phi_i \circ \phi_j \circ \phi_i = \phi_j \circ \phi_i \circ \phi_j$ if $|i - j| = 1$.

Proof. In the course of the proof, in order to simplify the notation, we will write $B_{ij}$ for $\frac{1 + \beta x_{i+1}}{x_i - x_j}$ and we will therefore have $\phi_i = (1 + \sigma_i) B_{ii+1}$. Moreover, since it does not alter the proof, instead of $i$ and $j$ will write 1 and 3 in (i) and 1 and 2 in (ii).

The proof of the two equalities essentially consists of expressing the different operators as linear combinations of products of $\sigma_j$'s. With this goal in mind, it is useful to notice that a product of operators $\sigma_j$ acts on polynomials by exchanging the variables according to some permutation $\omega$ and therefore one can reasonably denote such a product as $\sigma_\omega$. For instance, using this notation, one would write $\sigma_{(12)}$ for $\sigma_1$. 
Now, in order to rewrite the given operators in the needed form, one needs to extract all coefficients $B_{ij}$ from the operators $\sigma_i$. Let us consider for example the case of $\phi_1 \circ \phi_3$: one can modify it as follows

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_3 = (1 + \sigma_1)B_{12}(1 + \sigma_3)B_{34} = (1 + \sigma_1)(B_{12}B_{34} \cdot 1 + B_{12}B_{43} \cdot \sigma_3) =$$

$$= B_{12}B_{34} \cdot 1 + B_{12}B_{43} \cdot \sigma_3 + B_{21}B_{34} \cdot \sigma_1 + B_{21}B_{43} \cdot \sigma_{(12)(34)}.$$

If the same procedure is carried out on the other operators one obtains the following expressions

$$\phi_3 \circ \phi_1 = B_{34}B_{12} \cdot 1 + B_{34}B_{21} \cdot \sigma_1 + B_{43}B_{12} \cdot \sigma_3 + B_{13}B_{21} \cdot \sigma_{(12)(34)},$$

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 \circ \phi_1 = (B_{12}B_{23}B_{12} + B_{21}B_{13}B_{12}) \cdot 1 + (B_{12}B_{23}B_{21} + B_{21}B_{13}B_{21}) \cdot \sigma_1 + B_{12}B_{32}B_{13} \cdot \sigma_2 +$$

$$+ B_{12}B_{32}B_{31} \cdot \sigma_{(132)} + B_{21}B_{31}B_{23} \cdot \sigma_{(123)} + B_{21}B_{31}B_{32} \cdot \sigma_{(13)},$$

$$\phi_2 \circ \phi_1 \circ \phi_2 = (B_{23}B_{12}B_{23} + B_{32}B_{13}B_{23}) \cdot 1 + (B_{23}B_{12}B_{32} + B_{32}B_{13}B_{32}) \cdot \sigma_2 + B_{23}B_{21}B_{13} \cdot \sigma_1 +$$

$$+ B_{32}B_{31}B_{12} \cdot \sigma_{(132)} + B_{23}B_{21}B_{31} \cdot \sigma_{(132)} + B_{32}B_{31}B_{21} \cdot \sigma_{(13)}.$$

When one finally compares the results, it becomes evident that (i) holds and that to prove (ii) it remains to show that the coefficients of $1, \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ are actually equal. Since this is achieved by explicit computations we will work out, as an example, the one associated to $1$. After the expressions for $B_{ij}$ have been substituted and the two quantities have been factored, one has the following:

$$B_{12}B_{23}B_{12} + B_{21}B_{13}B_{12} = \frac{(1 + \beta x_2)(1 + \beta x_3)}{(x_1 - x_2)^2} \left[ \frac{1 + \beta x_2}{x_2 - x_3} - \frac{1 + \beta x_1}{x_1 - x_3} \right]$$

$$B_{23}B_{12}B_{23} + B_{32}B_{13}B_{23} = \frac{(1 + \beta x_2)(1 + \beta x_3)^2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2} - \frac{1}{x_1 - x_3} \right].$$

To prove the equality it now suffices to compute explicitly the terms inside the square brackets

$$\frac{1 + \beta x_2}{x_2 - x_3} - \frac{1 + \beta x_1}{x_1 - x_3} = \frac{(x_1 - x_2)(1 + \beta x_3)}{(x_2 - x_3)(x_1 - x_3)},$$

$$\frac{1}{x_1 - x_2} - \frac{1}{x_1 - x_3} = \frac{x_2 - x_3}{(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)}.$$

We are now in the position to introduce the $\beta$-polynomials $\mathcal{H}_\omega$.

**Definition 2.4.7.** Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\omega \in S_n$. If $\omega = \omega_0$ then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0} := \prod_{i+j \leq k} (x_i + y_j + \beta x_i y_j). \quad (2.6)$$

If $\omega \neq \omega_0$ then there exists an elementary transposition $s_i$ such that $l(\omega) < l(\omega s_i)$ and one sets

$$\mathcal{H}_\omega := \phi_i \mathcal{H}_{\omega s_i}. \quad (2.7)$$

Exactly as for $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ (see remarks 2.4.2 and 2.4.3) one has to show that the definition of $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ does not depend on the choice of a minimal decomposition of $\omega_0 \omega$ and on the choice of the symmetric group $S_n$. Thanks to proposition 2.4.6 we already know that $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ is independent of the choice of minimal decomposition.

We now prove two lemmas that will be used in the proof of the independence of the polynomials from the choice of $n$. 

Lemma 2.4.8. Let \( P = x_i + y_j + \beta x_i y_j \). Then \( \phi_i P = 1 \).

Proof. Through easy computations based on the definition of \( \phi_i \), one obtains \( \phi_i 1 = -\beta \) and \( \phi_i x_i = 1 \). This two expression are sufficient to finish the proof: thanks to the linearity of \( \phi_i \) with respect to polynomials symmetric in \( x_i \) and \( x_{i+1} \) and to its additivity, one has

\[
\phi_i P = \phi_i(x_i + y_j + \beta x_i y_j) = (1 + \beta y_j) \cdot \phi_i x_i + y_j \cdot \phi_i 1 = (1 + \beta y_j) \cdot 1 - y_j \cdot \beta = 1. \quad \square
\]

Lemma 2.4.9. Fix \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). For every \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( 1 \leq m \leq n + 1 \), set

\[
H_m := \prod_{i+j \leq n} (x_i + y_j + \beta x_i y_j) \prod_{k=m}^n (x_k + y_{n+1-k} + \beta x_k y_{n+1-k}) .
\]

Then for \( 1 \leq m' \leq n \) one has

\[
\phi_{m'} H_{m'} = H_{m'+1} .
\]

Proof. First of all one rewrites \( H_{m'} \) as \( H_{m'+1} \cdot (x_{m'} + y_{n+1-m'} + \beta x_{m'} y_{n+1-m'}) \) and observes that, since in \( H_{m'+1} \) the terms \( (x_{m'} + y_j + \beta x_{m'} y_j) \) and \( (x_{m'+1} + y_j + \beta x_{m'+1} y_j) \) appear in pairs, \( H_{m'+1} \) is symmetric in \( x_{m'} \) and \( x_{m'+1} \). To finish the proof it is now sufficient to use the linearity of \( \phi_{m'} \) with respect to symmetric functions and lemma 2.4.8. \( \square \)

Proposition 2.4.10. The polynomials \( \mathfrak{H}_\omega \) are independent of the choice of symmetric group \( S_n \) to which \( \omega \) belongs.

Proof. Let us denote by \( \omega_{0,n} \) the longest element of \( S_n \) viewed as an element of \( S_{n+1} \). As it was observed in remark 2.4.3 the proof of the proposition can be reduced to showing that

\[
\mathfrak{H}_{\omega_{0,n}} = \prod_{i+j \leq n} (x_i + y_j + \beta x_i y_j) .
\]

To prove this one first needs to factor \( \omega_n \) as a product of elementary transpositions multiplied by \( \omega_{0,n} \). \( \omega = \omega_{0,n}^{\cdot s_n} \cdots \cdot s_1 \). Then, one recalls the recursive definition of \( \mathfrak{H}_{\omega_{0,n}} \) and finishes the proof by applying \( n \) times lemma 2.4.9

\[
\mathfrak{H}_{\omega_{0,n}} = \phi_n \cdots \phi_1 \mathfrak{H}_{\omega_0} = \phi_n \cdots \phi_1 H_1 = \phi_n \cdots \phi_2 H_2 = \cdots = H_{n+1} = \prod_{i+j \leq n} (x_i + y_j + \beta x_i y_j). \quad \square
\]

Let us now denote by \( \mathfrak{H}_\omega^{(b)} \) and \( \phi_i^{(b)} \) the polynomial and the operators one obtains from \( \mathfrak{H}_\omega \) and \( \phi_i \) when \( \beta \) is set equal to \( b \). Using this notation we can make clear what we mean when we say that the \( \beta \)-polynomials represent a generalization of both Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials.

Proposition 2.4.11. Fix \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). For every \( \omega \in S_n \) one has

\[
i) \quad \mathfrak{H}_\omega^{(0)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, -y_1, \ldots, -y_n) = \mathfrak{H}_\omega \quad ; \quad ii) \quad \mathfrak{H}_\omega^{(-1)} = \mathfrak{H}_\omega .
\]

Proof. In order to verify the two statements one only has to check that they hold for the special case \( \omega = \omega_0 \) and that the \( \beta \)-divided difference operators \( \phi_i \) specialize respectively to \( \partial_i \) and \( \pi_i \). For this it is sufficient to compare the definitions of the polynomials and of the operators. \( \square \)
Remark 2.4.12. In the last proposition there is an evident asymmetry between the two equalities, given by the fact that, in order to recover the Schubert polynomials, one has to change the sign of the $y_i$’s in the $\beta$-polynomials. As it will become evident when we will deal with the algebraic cobordism analogue of these concepts, in some sense the problem lies in the definition of the double Schubert polynomial and more specifically in the expression for $F_{\omega_0}$. The choice of setting $F_{\omega_0}$ equal to $\prod_{i+j\leq n} x_i - y_j$ instead of $\prod_{i+j\leq n} x_i + y_j$ was probably motivated by the observation that in this way one obtains an easier expression for the Chow ring-valued fundamental classes of Schubert varieties, in which one simply substitutes the Chern roots of the bundles which are involved. In this way the definition of the double Schubert polynomials already takes into account that it is necessary to take the dual of the second family of line bundles and this is reflected in the (relatively harmless) sign change.

Unfortunately performing the same operations on double Grothendieck polynomials has a far stronger impact on their expression: one would have to replace $y_j$ with $-\frac{y_j}{1-y_j}$. It is most likely for this reason that in this case it has been decided not to encode in the definition the effects of taking the dual on the second family, creating a gap between the two families of polynomials.

2.5 The description of the fundamental classes in the Chow ring

In this section we present the results which allow to express the Chow ring fundamental classes of both Schubert varieties and degeneracy loci by means of Schubert polynomials. Throughout the whole section $p: V \to X$ will be a vector bundle of rank $n$, with $\pi: F(V) \to X$ as the associated full flag bundle and $V_\bullet = (V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \ldots \subset V_n = V)$ will be a fixed full flag of subbundles. Let us moreover recall that $F(V)$ comes equipped with $Q_\bullet$, the universal full flag of quotient bundles of $\pi^*V$.

We begin our presentation by providing a description of the Chow ring of the flag bundle.

Proposition 2.5.1. Let $V$ be a vector bundle over $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ and let $J$ be the ideal of $CH^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ generated by the elements $e_i - c_i(V)$ where $e_i$ is the $i$-th elementary symmetric function and $c_i(V)$ is the $i$-th Chern class of $V$. Then the Chow ring of the flag bundle can be described as follows:

$$CH^*(F(V)) \simeq CH^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/J.$$ 

Proof. See [6, Lemma 5.3].

Remark 2.5.2. In the proof of the previous lemma the isomorphism is constructed by mapping the variables $X_i$’s to the Chern roots of $V$ associated to the universal full flag of quotient bundles $Q_\bullet$. For any full flag of quotient bundles $W_\bullet = (\pi^*V = W_n \to W_{n-1} \to \ldots \to W_1)$ the Chern roots are the first Chern classes $c_1(U_\bullet^W) \in CH^*(F(V))$ with $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. This notion can as well be defined for full flags of subbundles and in this case the Chern roots associated to the flag $U_\bullet = (U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \ldots \subset U_n = \pi^*V)$ are the elements $c_1(U_i^W) \in CH^*(F(V))$ with $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Since every divided difference operator $\partial_i$ is linear with respect to polynomials symmetric in $X_i$ and $X_{i+1}$, it follows that the ideal $J$ is preserved under their action on $CH^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. As a consequence one obtains operators $\overline{\partial_i}$ over $CH^*(F(V))$ which, as we will see in the next lemma, can be described in terms of pull-back and push-forward morphisms. With this goal in mind let us apply the functor $CH^*$ to diagram (2.1) and observe that, since $pr_1$ and $\varphi_i$ are smooth morphisms,
we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}^*(R_I) & \xrightarrow{r_I} \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{F}_\ell(V)) \\
\text{CH}^*(R_I') & \xrightarrow{r_I'} \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{F}_\ell(V)) \\
\text{CH}^*(R_I') & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} \text{CH}^*(Y_j)
\end{align*}
\]

**Lemma 2.5.3.** Following the notation from the preceding diagram one has

\[
\overline{\partial}_j = \varphi^*_j \varphi_j^*.
\]

**Proof.** See [6, Lemma 7.2].

This lemma yields the following corollary which, since it relates one with the other the push-forward classes of the Bott-Samelson resolutions, represents the first step towards the description of the fundamental classes of Schubert varieties.

**Corollary 2.5.4.** Let \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l) \) be an \( l \)-tuple with \( i_j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \) and let \( R_I \) be the corresponding Bott-Samelson resolution. Then in \( \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{F}_\ell(V)) \) we have the equality

\[
\overline{\partial}_{i_1} \cdots \overline{\partial}_{i_l}(r_0^* [R_0]_{\text{CH}^*}) = r_I^* [R_I]_{\text{CH}^*}.
\]

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on the length \( I \) and the base of the induction is tautologically true as \( l = 0 \) implies \( I = \emptyset \). For the inductive step since \( l > 0 \) one can write \( I = (I', i_l) \) and, in view of the definition of the Bott-Samelson resolutions, one has \( R_I = pr_1^{-1}(R_{I'}) \). Therefore, thanks to the functorial compatibilities in the Chow ring between the proper push-forwards and the flat pull-backs, one can write

\[
\varphi^*_j \varphi^*_{i_l} r_{I'}^*[R_{I'}]_{\text{CH}^*} = r_I^* pr_1^*[R_{I'}]_{\text{CH}^*} = r_I^*[R_I]_{\text{CH}^*}.
\]

The statement then follows once both lemma 2.5.3 and the inductive hypothesis are applied to the left hand side.

Let us recall that by definition the Bott-Samelson resolution \( R_\emptyset \) is just the Schubert variety \( \Omega_{\omega_0} \). It immediately follows that this last corollary can be used to obtain explicit expressions for the classes \( r_I^* [R_I]_{\text{CH}^*} \), provided one has such an expression for \( [\Omega_{\omega_0}]_{\text{CH}^*} \in \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{F}_\ell(V)) \).

**Lemma 2.5.5.** Let \( V \to X \) be a vector bundle and let \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) denote respectively the Chern roots associated to the full flags \( Q_\bullet \) and \( \pi^*(V_\bullet) \). Then in \( \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{F}_\ell(V)) \) one has

\[
[\Omega_{\omega_0}]_{\text{CH}^*} = \prod_{i+j \leq n} (x_i - y_j).
\]

**Proof.** See [9, Section 2.3, Lemma 1].

**Corollary 2.5.6.** Let \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l) \) be a minimal decomposition and set \( \omega = \omega_0 s_I \). Then in \( \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{F}_\ell(V)) \) one has

\[
r_I^*[R_I]_{\text{CH}^*} = \mathfrak{S}_\omega(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n).
\]

**Proof.** For \( I = \emptyset \) the statement is just the preceding lemma. For the general case one only has to apply corollary 2.5.4 and to recall the recursive definition of Schubert polynomials.
**Remark 2.5.7.** It is worth mentioning that corollary 2.5.6 implies that all tuples which are minimal decompositions of the same permutation $\omega$ give rise to Bott-Samelson resolutions whose push-forward classes all coincide as elements of $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(V))$.

**Remark 2.5.8.** Even though, as we will see, our interest in Schubert polynomials is due to their ability of describing the fundamental class of Schubert varieties (and more in general degeneracy loci), their definition is a priori only linked to the push-forward classes of Bott-Samelson resolutions. It is the birational invariance of the Chow ring which enables to bridge the gap between these two notions, allowing to describe Schubert varieties by means of the more easily computable classes associated to Bott-Samelson resolutions.

The next step is to relate the push-forward classes of Bott-Samelson resolutions to the fundamental classes of Schubert varieties.

**Theorem 2.5.9.** Let $V \to X$ be a vector bundle and let $\omega \in S_n$. Denote by $x_i$ and $y_i$ the Chern roots associated to the full flag bundles $Q_\bullet$ and $\pi^* V_\bullet$. In $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{F}\ell(V))$ one has

$$[\Omega_\omega]_{\text{CH}^*} = \mathcal{S}_\omega(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n).$$

**Proof.** The statement follows directly from corollary 2.5.6 and proposition 2.3.13. In fact for every Schubert variety $\Omega_\omega$ one can consider the Bott-Samelson resolution $R_I$, associated to any of the minimal decompositions of $\omega$: in view of part (1) of proposition 2.3.13 $r_I$ is a birational isomorphism and therefore $[\Omega_\omega]_{\text{CH}} = r_I\ast [R_I]_{\text{CH}}$. 

We are finally in the position to express the fundamental class of a degeneracy loci, provided this has the expected codimension. This is achieved by pulling back to the base the fundamental class of a suitably constructed Schubert variety.

**Lemma 2.5.10.** Given a pure dimensional Cohen-Macaulay scheme $X$, let $V \to X$ be a vector bundle of rank $n$ with $F_\bullet$ and $E_\bullet$ full flags respectively of quotient bundles and of subbundles. Let $\omega \in S_n$ and assume that the degeneracy locus $\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, id_V)$ has codimension $l(\omega)$ in $X$. Then as an element of $\text{CH}^*_X(X)$ the fundamental class of the degeneracy locus is given by the formula

$$[\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, id_V)]_{\text{CH}^*_X} = \mathcal{S}_\omega(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n),$$

where we denote by $x_i$ the Chern roots associated to $F_\bullet$ and by $y_i$ the Chern roots associated to $E_\bullet$.

**Proof.** First of all one should observe that in view of lemma 2.3.5 we have that $i_{F_\bullet}^{-1}(\Omega_\omega) = \Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, id_V)$ where $i_{F_\bullet} : X \to \mathcal{F}\ell(V)$ is the morphism associated to the flag $F_\bullet$.

$$\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, id_V) \quad \xymatrix{ \Omega_\omega \ar[d] \ar[r] & \Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, id_V) \ar[d] \\ \mathcal{F}\ell(V) \ar[r]_{i_{F_\bullet}} & X }$$

The assumption on the codimension $\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, id_V)$ in $X$, together with the fact that $\Omega_\omega$ is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme, implies that the embedding $\Omega_{r_\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, id_V) \subset \Omega_\omega$ is regular and therefore one has that the Gysin morphism $i_{F_\bullet}^{-1}$ maps the fundamental class of $\Omega_\omega$ onto the fundamental class of $i_{F_\bullet}^{-1}(\Omega_\omega)$.

To proceed in the proof one now has to apply theorem 2.5.9 so to be able to express the fundamental class of the Schubert variety $\Omega_\omega$ as the Schubert polynomial $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ evaluated at the two
families of Chern roots \( \{x'_i\} \) and \( \{y'_i\} \), which are associated to the full flags \( Q_i \) and \( \pi^*(E_i) \). The final step consists in applying to this polynomial \( i_{F_i}^* \): since \( \mathcal{S}_\omega \) has coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z} = CH^*(\text{Spec } k) \), one only has to worry about the effect of the Gysin morphism on the Chern roots. These are mapped onto the Chern roots of the pull-back of the respective flags which are just \( F_i \) (by the universal property of \( \mathcal{F}_\ell(V) \)) and \( E_i \) (since \( i_{F_i}^* \pi = id_V \)). One therefore has

\[
[\Omega_{\tau_i}(E_i, F_i, id_V)]_{CH} = i_{F_i}^*[\Omega_\omega]_{CH} = i_{F_i}^*(\mathcal{S}_\omega(x'_1, \ldots, x'_n, y'_1, \ldots, y'_n)) = \mathcal{S}_\omega(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n). \quad \square
\]

**Theorem 2.5.11.** Let \( h : E \to F \) be a morphism of vector bundles of rank \( n \) over a pure dimensional Cohen-Macaulay scheme \( X \). Let \( E_i \) and \( F_i \) be full flags of \( E \) and \( F \) respectively. Let \( \omega \in S_n \) and assume that the degeneracy locus \( \Omega_{\tau_i}(E_i, F_i, h) \) has codimension \( l(\omega) \) in \( X \). Then as an element of \( CH_*(X) \) the fundamental class of the degeneracy locus is given by the formula

\[
[\Omega_{\tau_i}(E_i, F_i, h)]_{CH} = \mathcal{S}_\omega(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n),
\]

where we denote by \( x_i \) the Chern roots associated to \( F_i \) and by \( y_i \) the Chern roots associated to \( E_i \).

**Proof.** One first uses lemma 2.2.19 and then applies theorem 2.5.10 to the locus \( \Omega_{\tau_i}(E'_i, F'_i, id_V) \). To conclude the proof it suffices to observe that, as \( \omega' \) is nothing but \( \omega \) viewed as an element of \( S_{2n} \), one has \( \mathcal{S}_\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n] \), while, by construction, the first \( n \) Chern roots of \( E_i \) and \( F_i \) (which we denote by \( y'_i \) and \( x'_i \)) coincide with the Chern roots of \( E_i \) and \( F_i \). Summing up, one gets the following chain of equalities:

\[
[\Omega_{\tau_i}(E_i, F_i, h)]_{CH} = [\Omega_{\tau_i}(E'_i, F'_i, id_V)]_{CH} = \mathcal{S}_{\omega'}(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{2n}, y'_1, \ldots, y'_{2n}) = \mathcal{S}_\omega(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n). \quad \square
\]

### 2.6 The description of the fundamental classes in the Grothendieck ring

We will now give an illustration of the results that can be obtained when the Chow ring is replaced with the Grothendieck ring of vector bundles. As we will see both theorem 2.5.9 and theorem 2.5.11 have an exact counterpart in this setting. In [8] Fulton and Lascoux proved that the fundamental classes of Schubert varieties can be expressed by means of Grothendieck polynomials, exactly as in theorem 2.5.9 while in [2] Buch proved an analogue of theorem 2.5.11 which extends the result to degeneracy loci of the right codimension. In stating the theorems we will follow the notations used by Buch.

Before we state the theorems, it is worth recalling that also in the Grothendieck ring of vector bundles one can define first Chern classes for line bundles. For a line bundle \( L \) one sets

\[
c_1(L) := 1 - [L^\vee]. \tag{2.8}
\]

**Theorem 2.6.1.** Let \( V \to X \) be a vector bundle and let \( \omega \in S_n \). In \( K^0(\mathcal{F}_\ell(V)) \) one has

\[
[\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_\omega}]_{K^0} = \mathcal{S}_\omega(1 - [M_1^\vee], \ldots, 1 - [M_n^\vee], 1 - [N_1], \ldots, 1 - [N_n]) = \mathcal{S}_\omega(c_1(M_1), \ldots, c_1(M_n), c_1(N_1^\vee), \ldots, c_1(N_n^\vee)) ,
\]

where for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) we set \( M_i := L_i^{Q_i} \) and \( N_i := L_i^{\pi_i V_i} \).

**Proof.** See [8] Theorem 3. \( \square \)
Remark 2.6.2. It can be worth to point out that in the proof of the previous theorem it is necessary to make use of both parts of proposition 2.3.13. In fact, it not sufficient to know that \( r_I : R_I \to \Omega_\omega \) is a birational isomorphism, one also needs to know that \( \Omega_\omega \) is normal and that it has at most rational singularities to be able to conclude that \( \tau_I^* [\mathcal{O}_{R_I}]_{K^0} = [\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_\omega}]_{K^0} \).

In view of (2.8) and of remark 2.4.12 the parallelism with the Chow ring case becomes evident: in both cases the fundamental classes of Schubert varieties are written by means of two families of polynomials in Chern roots which are defined by the same exact inductive procedure. Of course the similarities are not limited to the statement: the main structure of the proof itself is untouched. Again one first establishes a connection between double Grothendieck polynomials and the push-forward classes of Bott-Samelson resolutions by reducing everything to the special case of the longest permutation \( \omega_0 \) and successively one is left to show that each of these classes actually coincides with the fundamental class of the corresponding Schubert variety.

Starting from this result one can proceed further and obtain the following statement which covers the more general case of a degeneracy loci of a morphism between vector bundles. Also in this case the proof is essentially unchanged.

Theorem 2.6.3. Let \( h : E \to F \) be a morphism of vector bundles of rank \( n \) over a smooth scheme \( X \). Let \( E_\bullet \) and \( F_\bullet \) be full flags of \( E \) and \( F \) respectively. Let \( \omega \in S_n \) and assume that the degeneracy locus \( \Omega_{\omega}(E_\bullet, F_\bullet, h) \) has codimension \( l(\omega) \) in \( X \). Then as an element of \( K^0(X) \) the fundamental class of the degeneracy locus is given by

\[
[\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\omega}(E_\bullet,F_\bullet,h)}]_{K^0} = \mathfrak{g}_\omega(1 - [M_1], \ldots, 1 - [M_n], 1 - [N_1], \ldots, 1 - [N_n]) = \\
\mathfrak{g}_\omega(c_1(M_1), \ldots, c_1(M_n), c_1(N_1), \ldots, c_1(N_n)),
\]

where for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) we set \( M_i := L_i^{F_\bullet} \) and \( N_i := L_i^{E_\bullet} \).

Proof. See [2, Theorem 2.1].
Chapter 3

Cobordism classes of Bott-Samelson resolutions and application to connected $K$-theory

In this chapter we illustrate how the method used by Fulton for the Chow ring can be applied also to algebraic cobordism. We first present the analogue of the divided difference operators and then we compute the cobordism class of $\Omega_{\omega_0}$ as an element of $\Omega^*(F\ell(V))$. In this way we achieve the description of the push-forward classes of the Bott-Samelson resolution in $\Omega^*(F\ell(V))$ and afterwards we specialize it to connected $K$-theory, giving a geometric interpretation to the double $\beta$-polynomials of section 2.3.

Throughout this chapter we will assume the base field $k$ to have characteristic 0.

3.1 A formula for the push-forward of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles

In [12] Hornbostel and Kiritchenko specialize the results of a theorem by Vishik ([17] Theorem 5.30) and give an explicit formula for the push-forward map along a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\varphi : \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$. They then use this formula to build an operator $A_{\varphi} : \Omega^*(X)[[y_1, y_2]] \to \Omega^*(X)[[y_1, y_2]]$ by setting

$$A(f) = (1 + \sigma) \frac{f}{F(y_1, \chi(y_2))}$$

where $[\sigma(f)](y_1, y_2) = f(y_2, y_1)$ and they show that it is well-defined. They then substitute the Chern roots of $E$ (denoted by $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$) for $y_1$ and $y_2$. If one examines more in detail what it means to substitute the Chern roots, one notices that from $\Omega^*(X)[[y_1, y_2]]$ one actually recovers $\Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$. More precisely one has

$$\Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E)) \simeq \frac{\Omega^*(X)[[y_1, y_2]]}{(y_1 + y_2 - c_1(E), y_1y_2 - c_2(E))}.$$

With this description the embedding of $\Omega^*(X)$ into $\Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$ (given by the pull-back along $\varphi$) turns $\Omega^*(X)$ into the subring of symmetric power series in $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. In fact, every symmetric power series in Chern roots can be written as a power series in Chern classes and therefore, since the Chern classes are all nilpotents, as an element of $\Omega^*(X)$. 
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It can be easily checked that the image of $A$ consists of symmetric power series and as a consequence the composition $\Omega^*(X)[[y_1, y_2]] \to \Omega^*(X)[[y_1, y_2]] \to \Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$ factors through $\Omega^*(X)$. Moreover, since $A$ maps the ideal $(y_1 + y_2 - c_1(E), y_1y_2 - c_2(E))$ into itself, it is possible to define a new operator $A_\varphi : \Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E)) \to \Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$ and this again factors through $\Omega^*(X)$.

It actually turns out that the first map of this factorization is $\varphi_*$. To prove this, it is sufficient to show that the two maps coincide on the generators of $\Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$ as an $\Omega^*(X)$-module. This is precisely what Hornbostel and Kiritchenko prove:

$$
\varphi_*(1_y) = [A(1)](\alpha_1, \alpha_2),
$$
$$
\varphi_*(\xi) = [A(y_1)](\alpha_1, \alpha_2).
$$

These two equalities imply that the two maps are equal since, by the projective bundle formula, $\Omega(\mathbb{P}(E)) \simeq 1_{\mathbb{P}(E)} \Omega^*(X) \oplus \xi \Omega^*(X)$ (here $\xi = c_1(O_E(1))$). Finally, by composing with $\varphi^*$ one is able to conclude that $A_\varphi = \varphi^* \varphi_*$. Summarizing we have the following proposition ([12 Proposition 2.1 and corollary 2.3]).

\textbf{Proposition 3.1.1.} Let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and $A_\varphi : \Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E)) \to \Omega^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$ be the operator obtained from

$$\Omega^*(X)[[y_1, y_2]] \xrightarrow{A} \Omega^*(X)[[y_1, y_2]]$$

$$f \mapsto (1 + \sigma) f \frac{f}{F(y_1, \chi(y_2))},$$

by substituting the Chern roots of $E$ for $y_1, y_2$. Then $A_\varphi = \varphi^* \varphi_*$. 

Once this result has been established one can use it, as we will see in the next section, to compute recursively the cobordism classes associated to the Bott-Samelson resolutions.

### 3.2 Operators on $\mathcal{F}l(V)$ and the classes $\mathcal{R}_I$

We now turn our attention to the flag bundle and we provide a description of the algebraic cobordism ring $\Omega^*(\mathcal{F}l(V))$ which mirrors the one we gave in proposition [2.5.1] for the Chow ring.

\textbf{Proposition 3.2.1.} Let $V$ be a vector bundle over $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ and let $J$ be the ideal of $\Omega^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ generated by the elements $e_i - c_i(V)$ where $e_i$ is the $i$-th elementary symmetric function and $c_i(V)$ is the $i$-th Chern class of $V$. Then the algebraic cobordism ring of the flag bundle can be described as follows:

$$\Omega^*(\mathcal{F}l(V)) \simeq \Omega^*(X)[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/J.$$

\textbf{Proof.} See [12 Theorem 2.6].

Since by remark [2.3.12] Bott-Samelson resolutions are smooth schemes over $k$, it follows that every morphism $r_I$ defines a cobordism class $[r_I : R_I \to \mathcal{F}l(V)] \in \Omega^*(\mathcal{F}l(V))$. We will denote this class by $\mathcal{R}_I$. The following lemma shows how the recursive definition of the Bott-Samelson resolution reflects on these classes.

\textbf{Lemma 3.2.2.} Let $I$ be an $l$-tuple with $I = (I', i_l)$. Then $\mathcal{R}_I = \varphi_{i_1}^* \varphi_{i_2}^* \mathcal{R}_{I'}$. 
We will denote this rank by $\pi$ which assigns to the family $\{Q_i\}$ be the universal full flag of quotient bundles of $\pi^*V$. Denote by $x_i$ and $y_i$ the Chern roots associated to the full flags $\pi^*Q_i$ and $\pi^*V_i$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_\emptyset = \prod_{k+j \leq n} F(x_k, \chi(y_j)).$$

**Proof.** The strategy of the proof is to construct a bundle $K$ together with a section $s$, such that the zero scheme $Z(s)$ will coincide with $\Omega_{\omega_0}$. To do so, first of all let us consider the morphism of vector bundles

$$\psi : M = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n-2} \operatorname{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l-1}) = M'$$

which assigns to the family $\{g_l\}_{l \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}}$ the family $\{g_{l+1} \circ i_l - p_{n-l} \circ g_l\}_{l \in \{1, \ldots, n-2\}}$. Here $i_l : \pi^*V_l \to \pi^*V_{l+1}$ and $p_l : Q_l \to Q_{l-1}$ are respectively the injections and the projections within the two flags. As it is easy to check that $\psi$ is surjective, we have the following exact sequence of bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \psi \longrightarrow M \overset{\psi}{\longrightarrow} M' \longrightarrow 0.$$ 

Since we know the ranks of $M$ and $M'$, this sequence allows us to compute the rank of $K := \ker \psi$. We will denote this rank by $N$.

$$\text{rank } K = \text{rank } M - \text{rank } M' = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l(n-l) - \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} l(n-l-1) = (n-1) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} [l(n-l) - l(n-l-1)] = (n-1) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} l = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$ 

Moreover, thanks to the Whitney formula, we have

$$c_t(M) = c_t(K)c_t(M')$$

and, when one looks at the leading coefficients of both sides, this implies that

$$c_{\text{rank }M}(M) = c_N(K)c_{\text{rank }M'}(M').$$
It therefore follows that we can compute the top Chern class of $K$ by taking the ratio of the top Chern classes of $M$ and $M'$. It is worth noticing that the previous equality guarantees that this division is well defined. Now, in order to compute these top Chern classes, we again make use of the Whitney formula: this time we successively remove all direct summands. In this way we obtain the following expressions for the Chern polynomials

$$c_t(M) = \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} c_t(\text{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l})) \quad , \quad c_t(M') = \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} c_t(\text{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l-1})) ,$$

each of which, exactly as before, provides us with an expression for the top Chern class

$$c_{\text{rank} M}(M) = \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} c_{l(n-l)}(\text{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l})) \quad , \quad c_{\text{rank} M'}(M') = \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} c_{l(n-l-1)}(\text{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l-1})) .$$

At this point the last missing piece of information is a formula for the top Chern class of a bundle, isomorphic to the given one: $(\pi^*V_{m_1})^\vee \otimes Q_{m_2}$. Since $\pi^*V_{m_1}$ has a full flag of subbundles and $Q_{m_2}$ has a full flag of quotient bundles, we can apply corollary 1.3.7 which returns us

$$c_{m_1m_2}(\pi^*V_{m_1})^\vee \otimes Q_{m_2}) = \prod_{l=1}^{m_1} \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} F(c_1(\text{Ker}(Q_k \to Q_{k-1})), \chi(c_1(\pi^*V_l/\pi^*V_{l-1}))) = \prod_{l=1}^{m_1} \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} F(x_k, \chi(y_l)) .$$

We are finally able to compute $c_N(K)$.

$$c_N(K) = \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} c_{l(n-l)}(\text{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l})) = \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} c_{l(n-l)}(\text{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l-1}))$$

$$= c_{n-1}(\text{Hom}(\pi^*V_{n-1}, Q_1)) \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} c_{l(n-l)}(\text{Hom}(\pi^*V_l, Q_{n-l}))$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} F(x_1, \chi(y_j)) \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} \prod_{k=1}^{n-l} F(x_k, \chi(y_j))$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} F(x_1, \chi(y_j)) \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{n} F(x_{n-l}, \chi(y_l))$$

$$= \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{l} F(x_{n-l}, \chi(y_j)) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} F(x_k, \chi(y_j)) = \prod_{k+j \leq n} F(x_k, \chi(y_j)) .$$

Now that we have computed the top Chern class of $K$, we still need to provide a section such that its zero scheme coincide with $\Omega_{\omega_0}$. For this reason, let us consider the family of morphisms $h_{l,n-l} : \pi^*V_l \to \pi^*V \to Q_{n-l}$. It is clearly sent to 0 by $\psi$ and, as consequence, it defines a section of $K$, which we will denote $s$. The isomorphism of $Z(s)$ and $\Omega_{\omega_0}$ then follows from lemma 2.3.4

$$Z(s) = \bigcap_{l=1}^{n-1} Z(h_{l,n-l}) = \Omega_{\omega_0} .$$
In order to conclude the proof it is now sufficient to observe that, by lemma \[2.3.9\], $\Omega_{\omega_0}$ is smooth, is regularly embedded in $F\ell(V)$ and has codimension $l(\omega_0) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = N$: this allows to apply part (2) of lemma \[1.4.28\]. One then has

$$
\mathcal{R}_\emptyset = [\Omega_{\omega_0} \hookrightarrow F\ell(V)] = [Z(s) \hookrightarrow F\ell(V)] = c_N(K) = \prod_{k+j \leq n} F(x_k, \chi(y_j)). \quad \square
$$

It now remains to express the relationship between $\mathcal{R}_\emptyset$ and the other classes.

**Theorem 3.2.4.** For $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l)$, $\mathcal{R}_I = A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_l} \mathcal{R}_\emptyset$.

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on the number of elements in the $l$-tuple $I$. While for $l = 0$ the statement is trivial, the inductive step can be proved by combining lemma \[3.2.2\] and proposition \[3.1.1\].

$$
\mathcal{R}_I = \mathcal{R}_{(I', ii)} = \varphi_{i_1} \varphi_{i_2} \mathcal{R}_{I'} = A_{i_1} \mathcal{R}_{I'} = A_{i_1} A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_l} \mathcal{R}_\emptyset. \quad \square
$$

**Remark 3.2.5.** The previous result represents the extension of theorem 3.2 in \[12\] from the case of the flag manifold (in which the base scheme is Spec $k$) to a general flag bundle with smooth base $X$.

We end this section by pulling back the classes $\mathcal{R}_I$ to the base.

**Definition 3.2.6.** Let $V \to X$ be a vector bundle with $V_*$ and $W_*$ full flags of respectively subbundles and quotient bundles. Let $i_{W_*} : X \to F\ell(V)$ be the section associated to $W_*$ by the universal property of $F\ell(V)$. To every degeneracy locus $\Omega_{r_{\omega}}(V_*, W_*, i_{V'})$ we can associate a class

$$
\Omega_I := i_{W}^*(\mathcal{R}_I) \in \Omega^*(X)
$$

which depends on the choice of $R_I$, one of the Bott-Samelson resolutions birationally isomorphic to the Schubert variety $\Omega_\omega$. Here $I$ represents any of the minimal decompositions of $\omega_0 \omega$.

### 3.3 Specialization to connected $K$-theory

In this section we are going to state the conclusions that can be drawn for $CK^*(F\ell(V))$ from the results we have obtained in $\Omega^*(F\ell(V))$. As before $V$ will be a vector bundle of rank $n$ over $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$, equipped with a full flag $V_*$, by means of which all Schubert varieties are meant to be defined. The universal full flag of quotient bundles over $F\ell(V)$ will be denoted $Q_*$. 

**Proposition 3.3.1.** Let $\Omega_\omega$ be the Schubert variety associated to $\omega \in S_n$. Then $\eta_{\Omega_\omega} = \vartheta_{CK^*}([R_I \to \Omega_\omega]) \in CK^*_*(\Omega_\omega)$ for every Bott-Samelson resolution $r_I : R_I \to F\ell(V)$ associated to $I$, a minimal decomposition of $\omega_0 \omega$.

**Proof.** We know from part (1) of proposition \[2.3.13\] that Bott-Samelson resolutions arising from minimal decompositions actually map onto the corresponding Schubert variety and therefore it makes sense to talk about the cobordism classes $[R_I \to \Omega_\omega]$. Moreover, again by proposition \[2.3.13\], each $R_I$ of the given kind is a resolution of singularities of $\Omega_\omega$, so we can finish the proof by applying $\vartheta_{CK}$ and recalling the definition of $\eta_{R_I}$. \hfill $\square$

An immediate corollary of this result is that all the classes $\vartheta_{CK^*}([r_I : R_I \to F\ell(V)])$ related to the same Schubert variety coincide in $CK^*(F\ell(V))$. 

Corollary 3.3.2. With the same notations as in the previous proposition, let \( j \) be the inclusion of \( \Omega_\omega \) into \( \mathcal{F}_\ell(V) \). Then \( j_\ast \eta_{\Omega_\omega} = \vartheta_{CK}(\mathcal{R}_I) \).

Remark 3.3.3. Another relevant difference when one considers connected \( K \)-theory as opposed to algebraic cobordism, is a considerable simplification in the expressions describing the different operations. For instance, as

\[
\vartheta_{CK}(F(u, \chi(v))) = u + \vartheta_{CK}(\chi(v)) - \beta u \cdot \vartheta_{CK}(\chi(v)) = u - \frac{v}{1 - \beta v} + \frac{\beta uv}{1 - \beta v} = \frac{u - v}{1 - \beta v}, \tag{3.1}
\]

we will be able to write out explicit formulas for the operators linked to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundles and the class \( \vartheta_{CK}(\mathcal{R}_0) \).

Let us recall that for a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle \( \varphi : \mathbb{P}(E) \to X \) the operator \( A_\varphi : \Omega^\ast(\mathbb{P}(E)) \to \Omega^\ast(\mathbb{P}(E)) \) had been defined from

\[
A : \Omega^\ast(X)[[y_1, y_2]] \to \Omega^\ast(X)[[y_1, y_2]], \ f \mapsto (1 + \sigma) F(y_1, \chi(y_2))
\]

by substituting the Chern roots of \( E \) for \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \). Using \( \text{(3.1)} \), we can now rewrite \( A_{CK} = A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[[\beta]] : CK^\ast(X)[[y_1, y_2]] \to CK^\ast(X)[[y_1, y_2]] \) as follows:

\[
A_{CK}(f) = (1 + \sigma) \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta y_2)f}{y_1 - y_2} \right] + \sigma \left( \frac{(1 - \beta y_2)f}{y_2 - y_1} \right) = \frac{(1 - \beta y_2)f - (1 - \beta y_1)\sigma(f)}{y_1 - y_2}.
\]

Remark 3.3.4. It is important to point out that the previous equality shows that the operator \( A_{CK}^\ast \) can be expressed in terms of the \( \beta \)-divided difference operators of definition 2.4.4: one only needs to change the sign of \( \beta \) and consider \( \phi(-\beta) \).

It is now worth restating the content of proposition 3.1.1 after one has applied the functor \( - \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[[\beta]] \). \( A_\varphi \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[[\beta]] \) will be denoted as \( A_{CK}^\ast \).

Proposition 3.3.5. Let \( \varphi : \mathbb{P}(E) \to X \) be a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle and \( A_{CK}^\ast : CK^\ast(\mathbb{P}(E)) \to CK^\ast(\mathbb{P}(E)) \) be the operator obtained from

\[
CK^\ast(X)[[y_1, y_2]] \xrightarrow{A_{CK}} CK^\ast(X)[[y_1, y_2]], \ f \mapsto \frac{(1 - \beta y_2)f - (1 - \beta y_1)\sigma(f)}{y_1 - y_2},
\]

by substituting the Chern roots of \( E \) for \( y_1, y_2 \). Then \( A_{CK}^\ast = \varphi^\ast \varphi_\ast \).

As it has been mentioned earlier, by means of \( \text{(3.1)} \) it is possible to write an explicit expression for the fundamental class of \( \Omega_\omega \) in \( CK^\ast(\mathcal{F}_\ell(V)) \).

Proposition 3.3.6. Denote by \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) the Chern roots associated to the full flags \( Q_\bullet \) and \( \pi^\ast(V_\bullet) \). Then

\[
\vartheta_{CK}^\ast(\mathcal{R}_0) = \prod_{k + \ell \leq n} \frac{x_k - y_i}{1 - \beta y_i} = \delta_{\omega_\beta}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \chi_{F_m}(y_1), \ldots, \chi_{F_m}(y_n)).
\]

Proof. The first equality follows immediately once one applies \( \vartheta_{CK} \) to proposition 3.2.3 and uses \( \text{(3.1)} \). For the second equality one only needs to recall the definition of \( \beta \)-polynomials and again use \( \text{(3.1)} \).
We are now ready to express the fundamental class of any Schubert variety $\Omega_\omega$ as a rational function in the Chern roots arising from the flags $Q_\bullet$ and $\pi^*V$.

**Theorem 3.3.7.** Let $\omega \in S_n$ and $I = (i_1,\ldots,i_l)$ be any minimal decomposition of $\omega$ of $\omega$. Let $X \in S_m$. Denote by $j$ the inclusion of the Schubert variety $\Omega_\omega$ into $F(V)$ and by $x_i$ and $y_j$ the Chern roots associated to the full flags $Q_\bullet$ and $\pi^*(V_\bullet)$. Then the class $j_\ast \Omega_\omega \in CK^*(F(V))$ is given by

$$j_\ast \Omega_\omega = \delta(\beta)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\chi F_m(y_1),\ldots,\chi F_m(y_n)).$$

**Proof.** From corollary 3.3.2 we know that the class $j_\ast \Omega_\omega$ coincides with $\vartheta_{CK}(R_I)$ provided that $I$ is a minimal decomposition of $\omega$. Moreover, thanks to theorem 3.2.4 we can express $R_I$ by means of $R_\emptyset$ and the operators $A_{ij}$. Therefore, by functoriality, $\vartheta_{CK}(R_I)$ can be expressed in terms of the operators $A_{ij}^{CK}$ and of $\vartheta_{CK}(R_\emptyset)$. More precisely we have

$$j_\ast \Omega_\omega = \vartheta_{CK}(R_I) = \vartheta_{CK}(A_{i_l}\cdots A_{i_1}(R_\emptyset)) = A_{i_l}^{CK}\vartheta_{CK}(A_{i_{l-1}}\cdots A_{i_1}(R_\emptyset)) = \cdots = A_{i_l}^{CK}\cdots A_{i_1}^{CK}\vartheta_{CK}(R_\emptyset).$$

To finish the proof it is now sufficient to invoke proposition 3.3.6 and to observe that, as it was pointed out in remark 3.3.4, the operators $A_{ij}^{CK}$ coincide with the $\beta$-divided difference operators $\phi(\cdot)$. 

**Remark 3.3.8.** It directly follows from proposition 2.4.1 that the previous theorem specializes to theorems 2.5.9 and 2.6.1. One only has to apply the canonical natural transformations $CK^* \to CH^*$ and $CK^* \to K_0[\beta, \beta^{-1}]$ to the equality. This recovers immediately the result for the Chow ring, while for the Grothendieck ring it is still necessary to set $\beta$ equal to 1.
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