Long Noncoding RNA SBF2-AS1 Is Critical for Tumorigenesis of Early-Stage Lung Adenocarcinoma
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Emerging evidence demonstrates that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are deeply involved in the development of various cancers. This study identified that SBF2-AS1, an early-stage-specific lncRNA, is critical for the tumorigenesis of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD). We first analyzed LUAD transcriptome data from The Cancer Genome Atlas and the GEO database by weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA). Five early LUAD-specific lncRNAs were filtered out, and only SBF2-AS1 was upregulated in LUAD. High expression of SBF2-AS1 indicates poor survival of LUAD, especially the early-stage LUAD, but not lung squamous cell carcinoma. SBF2-AS1 promotes LUAD cells proliferation in vitro, and RNA-sequencing data shows that many cell-cycle-related genes were downregulated after SBF2-AS1 knockdown. Mechanically, SBF2-AS1 could competitively bind with miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p to increase E2F1 expression. Finally, we show that the SBF2-AS1-miR-338-3p/miR-362-3p-E2F1 axis could promote LUAD tumorigenesis in vitro and in vivo. Our study demonstrates that SBF2-AS1, an early-stage-specific lncRNA, promotes LUAD tumorigenesis by sponging miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p and increasing E2F1 expression. The SBF2-AS1-miR-338-3p/miR-362-3p-E2F1 regulatory axis may serve as a prognostic marker and potential therapeutic target for LUAD.

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death worldwide.1,2 During the past decades, the pathological constitution of lung cancer has gradually changed, and lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) has become a most prevalent subtype, accounting for approximately 70% of total lung cancer, especially in Eastern Asia.3,4 In China, recent data from a cohort of 21,113 lung cancer patients also showed that the proportion of early-stage LUAD, which featured solid or subsolid nodules, has dramatically increased, almost 5-fold, up to 30.54% in 2012, compared with 6.25% in 1999.5 Therefore, in order to better understand how early-stage LUAD developed, it is helpful for us to focus on the tumorigenesis of LUAD and identify the underlying dominant forces for it. The accumulation of genomic instability is one of the key events required for tumorigenesis.6 With respect to LUAD, many well-known coding driver genes were identified, such as EGFR, KRAS, and MYC, as well as PIK3CA, which modulates numerous genetic regulatory mechanisms and forms a large network.7 However, the
molecular basis of LUAD tumorigenesis is far less understood. During the past decade, noncoding RNAs are drawing new attention, especially the long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), which are a kind of RNA transcript longer than 200 nt without coding capacity. According to the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) project, more than 16,000 lncRNAs transcribed from the human genome were identified. Mounting evidence has proved that lncRNAs play major roles in cancer.

Several LUAD tumorigenesis-associated lncRNAs have been identified in recent years. For instance, a second messenger PIP3-binding lncRNA, LINK-A, has been demonstrated as upregulated in LUAD and could promote tumorigenesis via facilitating AKT–PIP3 interaction and consequent AKT hyperactivation. In addition, an imprinted lncRNA, MEG3, is hypermethylated in LUAD, and its downregulation contributes to nickel-induced malignant transformation of human bronchial epithelial cells. We previously identified that an oncogenic lncRNA, LUAD transcript 1 (LUADT1), could promote LUAD progression via epigenetically suppressing p27. However, it remains a big challenge to effectively identify more critical and dominant lncRNAs from numerous lncRNA transcripts. Various bioinformatics tools have been developed to identify critical genes from high-throughput data. Among them, weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) is a powerful tool for finding modules of highly correlated genes and has been extensively adopted to identify candidate biomarkers or therapeutic targets.

In the present study, we identified tumor-stage-specific lncRNAs in LUAD by WGCNA from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) RNA-seq data. Eventually, we found that SBF2-AS1, a lncRNA upregulated in non-small-cell lung cancer that we previously reported, is critical for the tumorigenesis of LUAD. Further experiments have confirmed that SBF2-AS1 could promote LUAD tumorigenesis by sponging miR-338/miR-362 and subsequent increased expression of E2F1.

RESULTS

Screening Early-Stage-Specific lncRNAs in LUAD

To identify lncRNAs involved in tumorigenesis of early-stage LUAD, we analyzed the HTSeq-Counts data of 515 LUAD cases from TCGA (for WGCNA) and an expression dataset of 60 pairs of early-stage LUAD specimens (GEO: GSE19804). The overall data analysis workflow is shown in Figure 1A. First, 7,320 differentially expressed genes in LUAD were detected by DESeq2 from the TCGA dataset (Figure 1B). Then, WGCNA elucidated 13 co-expressed modules using 7,320 differentially expressed genes and 508 samples (outliers were excluded) (Figures 1C, 1D, and S1A). To determine whether any of the identified expression modules were associated with clinical stages, we calculated the Pearson’s correlation coefficient (PCC) between the module eigen-genes (MEs) and tumor lymph node metastasis (TNM) stages. “greenyellow” and “turquoise” were two modules with the highest correlation coefficients to T stages (Figure 1E). A total of 59 T-stage-specific lncRNAs were screened from the “greenyellow” and “turquoise” modules. The previously described dataset (GEO: GSE19804) served as a filter to identify early-stage-specific lncRNAs; and, finally, 5 lncRNAs (ENSG00000241684, ENSG00000278921, ENSG00000254109, ENSG00000246273, and ENSG00000180769) were selected from the aforementioned 59 lncRNAs (Figure 1E). Notably, ENSG00000246273 (official symbol: SBF2-AS1) was upregulated in LUAD in both datasets, while the other 4 lncRNAs were downregulated in LUAD. Therefore, SBF2-AS1 was selected for further investigation.

High SBF2-AS1 Expression Correlates with Poor Survival of LUAD but Not LUSC

To explore whether SBF2-AS1 is associated with the survival of lung cancer patients, we performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) in a lung cancer dataset of 111 samples (GEO: GSE3141). SBF2-AS1 expression is positively correlated with a gene set of poor survival (Figure 2A) while negatively correlated with a gene set of good survival (Figure 2B), indicating that SBF2-AS1 might be a biomarker of poor survival. SBF2-AS1 expression was then analyzed online (kmplot.com/analysis/), using microarray data from 673 LUAD and 271 lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) patients, and the results in Figure 2C show that high SBF2-AS1 expression is associated with poor overall survival in LUAD (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.38; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.07–1.73; log rank p = 0.012) but not with LUSC (HR = 0.78; log rank p = 0.11). Notably, given that SBF2-AS1 is an early-stage-specific lncRNA, when limited to stage T1 LUAD, we observed that patients with high SBF2-AS1 expression had significantly poorer survival than those with low SBF2-AS1 expression (HR = 2.58; 95% CI: 1.26–5.31; log rank p = 0.0037; Figure 2C). In addition, TCGA data (Figure 2D) also confirm that high SBF2-AS1 expression indicated shorter survival time in LUAD but not in LUSC (log rank p = 0.04 for LUAD, and log rank p = 0.213 for LUSC; data are from https://ibl.mdanderson.org/tanric/_design/basic/index.html). Together, these lines of evidence demonstrate that SBF2-AS1 could be a specific biomarker and a poor prognostic factor for LUAD.

SBF2-AS1 Promotes Proliferation of LUAD Cells via Regulating Cell Cycle

Due to the prognostic value of SBF2-AS1 in LUAD, we further explored its biological functions. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) and expression vector were utilized to knock down and overexpress SBF2-AS1, respectively. mRNA sequencing was first performed to identify a gene expression profile after silence of SBF2-AS1 in A549 cells, and the results revealed that the expression of numerous genes was altered (Table S2). GSEAs suggested that these deregulated genes are mostly involved in biological processes of cell cycle and proliferation (Figure 3A; Table S3), indicating that SBF2-AS1 might mainly impact cell cycle and proliferation. As shown, knockdown of SBF2-AS1 led to G1 phase cell-cycle arrest both in A549 (Figures 3B and 3C) and H1299 cells (Figure S2A). Accordingly, typical cell-cycle markers such as cyclin D1 were upregulated, whereas p21 was downregulated during the ectopic expression of SBF2-AS1 (Figure 3C;
Ectopic expression of SBF2-AS1 promoted LUAD cell proliferation, while knockdown of SBF2-AS1 inhibited cell proliferation ability, as revealed by the CCK-8 (Figure 3D) and 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) incorporation assay (Figure 3E; Figures S2C and S2D). Both loss- and gain-of-function experiments showed that SBF2-AS1 could increase the colony formation ability of A549 (Figure 3F) and H1299 cells (Figure S2E). Collectively, our results demonstrate that SBF2-AS1 could promote cell cycling and cell proliferation in LUAD.

SBF2-AS1 Binds with miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p

Real-time PCR of fractionated nuclear and cytoplasmic RNA showed that SBF2-AS1 mainly localized in cytoplasm in LUAD cells (Figure 4A). Sub-cellular distribution suggests that SBF2-AS1 might have distinct a regulatory mechanism in cytoplasm. It has been proposed that RNA transcripts (mRNA, IncRNA, pseudogene, etc.) could cross-talk with each other using common microRNA (miRNA) binding sites, i.e., the competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) hypothesis. Thus, we hypothesized that...
SBF2-AS1 may function in the ceRNA mechanism. The miRanda algorithm predicted that there were various miRNA binding sites within the SBF2-AS1 transcript (Table S4). Together with the photoactivatable ribonucleoside-enhanced crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (PAR-CLIP) sequencing data, we identified 4 miRNAs that could potentially bind with SBF2-AS1: miR-338-3p, miR-362-3p, miR-329, and miR-140. An RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay demonstrated that SBF2-AS1 could bind with Ago2 protein in A549 cells (Figure 4C). To confirm the binding between SBF2-AS1 and miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p, we synthesized biotin-labeled miRNAs and performed a miRNA pull-down assay in A549 cells. Results suggested that miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p could significantly enrich SBF2-AS1 (Figure 4D), while miR-329 and miR-140 did not. In addition, overexpression of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p did not alter SBF2-AS1 expression level (Figures 4E and 4F). These experiments prove that SBF2-AS1 could bind with miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p.

Figure 2. High Expression Indicates Poor Survival of LUAD
GSEA results showed that SBF2-AS1 was positively correlated with a gene set of poor survival (p = 0.006; A) and negatively correlated with a gene set of good survival (p = 0.074; B). High expression of SBF2-AS1 was associated with shorter overall survival time in LUAD, especially in T1-stage LUAD, but not in LUSC (C). TCGA data also suggest that high SBF2-AS1 expression associates with shorter survival time in LUAD but not in LUSC (D). NES, normalized enrichment score.
E2F1 Is a Downstream Target of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p

Given that many cell-cycle-related genes were downregulated upon SBF2-AS1 knockdown (Table S2) and that SBF2-AS1 could bind with miRNA miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p, we therefore hypothesized that SBF2-AS1 may regulate cell-cycle-related genes through miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p. To this end, we retrieved 144 genes, which were downregulated after SBF2-AS1 knockdown and involved in items of cell cycles or proliferation according to Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) annotation (Table S5). Based on the number of GO items or pathways they involved, 19 predominant genes were identified (Table S5). A Venn plot was performed to identify ceRNA targets of SBF2-AS1 using the target genes of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p and the 19 genes. As shown, 2 genes (CDC7 and CDC25A) were targets of miR-338-3p, 2 genes (PTTG1 and MAD2L1) were targets of miR-362-3p, and 4 genes (E2F1, CHEK1, CDC6, and CDK1) were targets of both miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p (Figure 4G). All 8 genes decreased after SBF2-AS1 knockdown, while E2F1 was most downregulated (Figure 4H); therefore, we selected E2F1 for further validation.
Figure 4. SBF2-AS1 Binds with miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p

SBF2-AS1 is located in both cytoplasm and nucleus in LUAD cells (A). PAR-CLIP-seq data suggested that SBF2-AS1 could bind with miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p (B). RIP assay using antibody specifically targeting Ago2 showed that SBF2-AS1 could bind with Ago2 protein in A549 cells (C). Biotin-coupled miRNA pull-down assay showed that SBF2-AS1 was significantly enriched by miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p (D). Compared with control RNA, overexpression of miR-338-3p (E) or miR-362-3p (F) did not alter SBF2-AS1 expression. E2F1 is the target of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p. Venn plot showed that 8 cell-cycle-related genes were overlapped with predicted targets of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p (G). Blue circle: cell-cycle-related genes that were selected from downregulated genes upon SBF2-AS1 knockdown; yellow circle: predicted targets of miR-338-3p; green circle: predicted targets of miR-362-3p. E2F1 was mostly downregulated upon SBF2-AS1 knockdown (H). Biotin-labeled miRNA pull-down assay showed that miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p could bind with E2F1 (I). In a dual-luciferase reporter assay, luciferase activity was inhibited by miR-338-3p (J) and miR-362-3p (K), but the inhibition was abolished when the binding sites of miR-338-3p (J) and miR-362-3p (K) were mutated, respectively. E2F1 mRNA expression level decreased upon ectopic expression of miR-338-3p (L) or miR-362-3p (M). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; N.S, no statistical significance. Error bars stand for mean and SE.
Biotin-labeled miRNA pull-down assay showed that miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p could bind with E2F1 (Figure 4I). A dual-luciferase reporter gene assay confirmed that miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p could bind to the 3' UTR of E2F1 and significantly inhibit luciferase activity, whereas when the binding sites of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p were deletion-mutated, the inhibition was reversed (Figures 4J and 4K). In addition, ectopic expression of both miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p could inhibit expression of E2F1 (Figures 4L and 4M). Together, these results revealed that E2F1 is target of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p.

**SBF2-AS1-miR-338-3p/362-3p-E2F1 Axis Promotes LUAD Tumorigenesis**

As shown, E2F1 protein decreased when SBF2-AS1 was knocked down and increased when SBF2-AS1 was overexpressed (Figure 5A). Expression of SBF2-AS1 and expression of E2F1 were positively correlated in LUAD tissues ($p = 0.0001, R^2 = 0.772$) (B). E2F1 protein level was decreased by miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p and could be restored by SBF2-AS2, but after mutation of miR-338-3p (C) or miR-362-3p (D) binding sites, the SBF2-AS1-MUT could not restore E2F1 expression (C and D). Overexpression of SBF2-AS1 increased AS49 cell proliferation activity, while the increase could be partially reversed by both miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p, and co-administration of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p completely abolished the promoting effect driven by SBF2-AS1; colony formation assay was used in (E), and EdU assay was used in (F). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01; N.S, no statistical significance. Error bars stand for mean and SE.

Biotin-labeled miRNA pull-down assay showed that miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p could bind with E2F1 (Figure 4I). A dual-luciferase reporter gene assay confirmed that miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p could bind to the 3' UTR of E2F1 and significantly inhibit luciferase activity, whereas when the binding sites of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p were deletion-mutated, the inhibition was reversed (Figures 4J and 4K). In addition, ectopic expression of both miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p could inhibit expression of E2F1 (Figures 4L and 4M). Together, these results revealed that E2F1 is target of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p.

**SBF2-AS1-miR-338-3p/362-3p-E2F1 Axis Promotes LUAD Tumorigenesis**

As shown, E2F1 protein decreased when SBF2-AS1 was knocked down and increased when SBF2-AS1 was overexpressed (Figure 5A). In addition, expression of SBF2-AS1 and expression of E2F1 were positively correlated in lung cancer tissues (Figure 5B). We next sought to determine whether SBF2-AS1 regulates E2F1 expression by sponging miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p. To this end, we constructed 2 deletion-mutated SBF2-AS1 expression vectors, of which the binding sites of miR-338-3p (SBF2-AS1-MUT1) and miR-362-3p (SBF2-AS1-MUT2) were deletion-mutated, respectively (plasmid sequence is provided in Data S1). As shown, E2F1 expression was decreased by miR-338-3p, while ectopic expression of SBF2-AS2 restored E2F1 expression. However, when miR-338-3p binding sites were mutated, the mutated SBF2-AS1-MUT could not restore E2F1 expression (Figure 5C). The same results were also observed for miR-362-3p (Figure 5D).

Then, we proposed that SBF2-AS1 could promote lung cancer cell proliferation through the SBF2-AS1-miR-338-3p/362-3p-E2F1 axis.
A colony formation assay suggested that ectopic expression of SBF2-AS1 increased the number of colonies; then miR-338-3p or miR-362-3p alone could partially reverse the increase, and the combination of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p completely reversed the increasing effect of SBF2-AS1 (Figure 5E; Figure S3A). EdU (Figure 5F; Figure S3B) and CCK-8 (Figures S3C and S3D) assays showed similar results. Collectively, these data showed that SBF2-AS1 increases E2F1 by binding with miR-338-3P and miR-362-3p, and the SBF2-AS1/miR-338-3p/miR-362-3p/E2F1 axis promotes proliferation of LUAD.

SBF2-AS1 Is a Novel Therapeutic Target for LUAD

Given its function in cell proliferation, we next examined the potential roles of SBF2-AS1 in vivo. An in vivo xenograft model showed that SBF2-AS1 overexpression promoted lung cancer growth; however, when the binding sites of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p were both mutated (SBF2-AS1-MUT), SBF2-AS1 failed to promote growth of lung cancer (Figure 6A). Further analyses of the tumor samples with immunohistochemical (IHC) suggested that E2F1 staining intensity decreased when miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p binding sites were both mutated, in comparison with wild-type SBF2-AS1 (Figure 6B). These data suggested that SBF2-AS1 could be a potential therapeutic target for lung cancer.

DISCUSSION

Tumorigenesis of LUAD is an extremely complex process. Abnormal cell proliferation represents a main feature at the early stage of
tumorigenesis. So far, there are few studies focusing on the tumor-stage-specific lncRNAs. WGCNA could transform gene expression data into co-expression module and correlate these modules with clinical characteristics, which is well suited for integrating complementary datasets. We analyzed TCGA LUAD data with WGCNA and finally filtered out 5 tumor-stage-specific lncRNAs in LUAD (Figure 1); the other 4 lncRNAs also need further investigation besides SBF2-AS1. Although we previously reported that SBF2-AS1 was highly expressed in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), the present data indicate that SBF2-AS1 is more critical for the tumorigenesis of LUAD but not LUSC.

According to a ceRNA hypothesis, we filtered out 8 cell-cycle-related genes based on miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p target prediction and gene expression profile after SBF2-AS1 knockdown. In addition to E2F1, the other 7 genes are also critical regulators of cell cycle, cell proliferation, or proto-oncogenic genes, such as CHEK1, CDC6, CDC7, and CDK1. Based on ceRNA hypothesis, the expression of RNA transcripts that harbor the same miRNA binding sites should be parallel. In this study, we validate that SBF2-AS1 could increase E2F1 expression by competitively binding with miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p, and E2F1 expression is positively correlated with SBF2-AS1. TCGA expression data suggest that all 8 genes are positively correlated with SBF2-AS1 in LUAD (Table S6). Considering that these genes are also potential targets of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p, it is reasonable to infer that SBF2-AS1 might increase expression of the 8 genes with a ceRNA mechanism.

Further, there might exist a ceRNA network that consists of SBF2-AS1, miR-338-3p/362-3p, and cell-cycle-related genes in LUAD. A Venn plot shows that more than half of the 144 cell-cycle-related genes (84 out of 144) are potential targets of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p (Figure S4A). Among the 84 genes, we filtered 25 genes that can bind with miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p according to PAR-CLIP and high-throughput sequencing (PAR-CLIP-seq) and draw the potential ceRNA network driven by SBF2-AS1 (Figure S4B). We noticed that a recent study also found that SBF2-AS1 could sponge miR-338-3p and promote angiogenesis in glioblastoma, which is consistent with our data. More importantly, since there are many miRNA binding sites within the SBF2-AS1 sequence, our findings might be only a small part of the whole picture of the SBF2-AS1-driven ceRNA network.

E2F1 is a well-known transcription factor that promotes expression of various genes involved in cell cycle, cell proliferation, DNA repair, and other vital biological processes. As a transcription factor, E2F1 could promote the expression of various lncRNAs. In this study, we showed that lncRNA SBF2-AS1 could increase E2F1 expression, which adds new knowledge to the regulatory mechanism of E2F1 and provides insights into the complexity of cross-talk between lncRNAs and coding genes.

We demonstrate that SBF2-AS1 could promote cell proliferation and tumorigenesis of LUAD with in vitro and in vivo experiments. Besides lung cancer, TCGA data suggest that SBF2-AS1 is overexpressed in various kinds of cancer, including renal clear cell carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and liver cancer. SBF2-AS1 may also play important roles in the tumorigenesis of these cancers. Intratumor injection of siRNA efficiently inhibited LUAD growth, suggesting that SBF2-AS1 could be a potential therapeutic target.

In summary, we find an early-stage, LUAD-specific lncRNA, SBF2-AS1, that is an unfavorable prognostic marker and a potential therapeutic target for LUAD. SBF2-AS1 functions as a sponge of miR-338-3p and miR-362-3p to increase the expression of E2F1 and promote LUAD tumorigenesis. Our findings demonstrate that one lncRNA contains various binding sites for different miRNAs, highlighting the complexity of the ceRNA regulatory network.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and Tissue Samples
All primary LUAD tissues and adjacent normal tissues were collected from patients who had undergone surgery at the Department of Thoracic Surgery, Jiangsu Cancer Hospital, 2012–2013. Experienced pathologists confirmed all tumors and paired adjacent tissues. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Jiangsu Cancer Hospital and performed in accordance with the provisions of the Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Cell Culture
A549, H1975, SPC-A1, and H1299 cells were purchased from the Shanghai Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. A549, H1975, and H1299 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium; and SPC-A1 cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GIBCO-BRL, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin in humidified air at 37°C with 5% CO2. Authentication of A549, H1299, H1975, and SPC-A1 was verified by short tandem repeat DNA profiling within 6 months of use for the present study. The cells used in experiments were within 10 passages from thawing.

RNA Extraction and Real-Time qPCR Analysis
RNA was extracted from tissues or cultured cells with TRIzol Reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Life Technologies, Scotland, UK). RNA was reverse-transcribed with Prime Script RT Master Mix (Takara, catalog no. RR036A). Real-time qPCR was performed using SYBR Select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, catalog no. 4472908) with the ABI7300 system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All primers used are listed in Table S1. The ΔΔCt or AΔCt method was used to determine fold changes in subsequent calculations.

RNA Sequencing
A549 cells were plated in a 6-well plate and transfected with siRNA targeting SBF2-AS1 or negative control. 24 h after transfection, cells were harvested for RNA extraction and the subsequent library
construction and sequencing. RNA sequencing was performed by Novel Bioinformatics, and the raw data could be accessed in the GEO database (GEO: GSE103016).

**RNA Isolation of Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Fractions**
The subcellular localization of SBF2-AS1 was detected using the PARIS Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Ambion, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

**siRNA, Plasmid Construction, and Cell Transfection**
siRNAs were provided by GenScript (Nanjing, China). miRNA mimics and primers were provided by RiboBio (Guangzhou, China). The full-length cDNA of human SBF2-AS1 was synthesized by Invitrogen (Shanghai, China) and cloned into the expression vector pCDNA3.1 (Clontech Laboratories, San Francisco, CA, USA). The final construct was verified by sequencing. Plasmid vectors for transfection were prepared using DNA Midiprep kits (E.Z.N.A. Endo-Free Plasmid Mini Kit II). The siRNAs and miRNA mimics were transfected using Lipofectamine iMAX (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China), and plasmids were transfected with X-tremeGENE (Roche Applied Science) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All siRNA sequences are listed in Table S1.

**Cell Proliferation**
Cells were harvested 24 h post-transfection, and the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay was used to determine cell growth according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech., Nanjing, China). The EdU assay was performed by using a RiboBio kit (Guangzhou, China). After transfection, cells were stained with the BD Cytoanko Test Plus DNA Reagent Kit (BD Biosciences) or the FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Cells were then analyzed with a flow cytometer (BD FACSscan; BD Biosciences) equipped with CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

**RIP**
RIP experiments were performed using a Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The A549 cells with a density of 80%–90% were collected in a cell culture dish with a diameter of 15 cm, and the number of the cells was about 3 × 10⁷. Then the cells were lysed in RIP lysis buffer, and the cell extract was incubated with magnetic beads conjugated with anti-Ago2 or control anti-immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody (Millipore) for 6 h at 4°C. The beads were washed and incubated with Proteinase K to remove proteins.

**Biotin-Coupled miRNA Capture**
The biotin-labeled miRNA pull-down assay was performed as previously described. Briefly, the 3′-end biotinylated miRNA mimic or control biotin RNA (RiboBio) was transfected into A549 cells at a final concentration of 20 nM for 24 h. The biotin-coupled RNA complex was pulled down by incubating the cell lysates with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Life Technologies). The abundance of target RNAs in bound fractions was detected by real-time qPCR analysis.

**Luciferase Reporter Assays**
The E2F1 binding sites of miRNA were predicted by TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_71/). The different fragment sequences were synthesized and then inserted into the pGL3-basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). All vectors were verified by sequencing, and luciferase activity was assessed using the Dual Luciferase Assay Kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Animal Care and Xenograft Tumor Model**
Female athymic BALB/c nude mice (4 weeks old) were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and manipulated according to protocols approved by the Nanjing Medical Experimental Animal Care Commission. In the tumor formation assay, for each mouse, 1 × 10⁶ cells were subcutaneously injected in a single side, and both the right and left sides were injected with cells transfected with empty vector or constructed plasmids, respectively. Tumor growth was examined every week, and tumor volume was calculated using the following equation: \( V = 0.5 \times D \times d^2 \) (V, volume; D, longitudinal diameter; d, latitudinal diameter).

**Western Blot Analysis**
Cells were harvested, and protein was extracted from transfected cells and quantified as previously described using 12% or 4%–20% polyacrylamide gradient SDS gel. Anti-β-actin and anti-SUZ12 were from Abcam (Hong Kong, China). Anti-p21, anti-Cyclin E1, anti-E2F1, and anti-Cyclin D1 were from Cell Signaling Technology (Boston, MA, USA).

**WGCNA**
The raw gene counts and fragments per kilobase of exon per million reads mapped (FPKM) data from TCGA lung adenocarcinoma project was downloaded from the data repository of TCGA with GDC-client (https://www.cancer.gov/about-nci/organization/ccg/research/structural-genomics/tcga). The combined dataset of GSE19804 contained a total of 120 early-stage LUAD samples hybridized to probeset present on the HG-U133A Plus2 platform (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).

WGCNA was carried out on the differentially expressed genes using the R ”WGCNA” package (v1.61). The weighted gene co-expression network was constructed using genes that were expressed at an FPKM value of 0.1 or higher in at least one of the samples. A soft power parameter was estimated and used to derive a correlation matrix for selected genes using the similarity measure, and the dynamic hybrid cut tree method was used to detect the sample clusters.

**Statistical Analysis**
Differences between groups were assessed using a paired two-tailed Student’s t test. One-way ANOVA or the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test was applied to assess the relationship between SBF2-AS1 expression and other characteristics. The strength of the association
between continuous variables was tested with the Spearman correlation. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20 software (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL, USA).
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