A VERY CLOSE BINARY BLACK HOLE IN A GIANT ELLIPTICAL GALAXY 3C 66B AND ITS BLACK HOLE MERGER
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ABSTRACT

Recent observational results provide possible evidence that binary black holes (BBHs) exist in the center of giant galaxies and may merge to form a supermassive black hole in the process of their evolution. We first detected a periodic flux variation on a cycle of $93 \pm 1$ days from the 3 mm monitor observations of a giant elliptical galaxy 3C 66B for which an orbital motion with a period of $1.05 \pm 0.03$ yr had been already observed. The detected signal period being shorter than the orbital period can be explained by taking into consideration the Doppler-shifted modulation due to the orbital motion of a BBH. Assuming that the BBH has a circular orbit and that the jet axis is parallel to the binary angular momentum, our observational results demonstrate the presence of a very close BBH that has a binary orbit with an orbital period of $1.05 \pm 0.03$ yr, an orbital radius of $(3.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$ pc, an orbital separation of $(6.1^{+0.9}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-3}$ pc, a larger black hole mass of $(1.5^{+0.5}_{-0.3}) \times 10^6 M_\odot$, and a smaller black hole mass of $(7.0^{+0.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^8 M_\odot$. The BBH decay time of $(5.1^{+0.5}_{-0.3}) \times 10^7$ yr provides evidence for the occurrence of black hole mergers. This Letter will demonstrate the interesting possibility of black hole collisions to form a supermassive black hole in the process of evolution, one of the most spectacular natural phenomena in the universe.

Key words: black hole physics – galaxies: active – galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD – galaxies: formation – galaxies: individual (3C 66B)

1. INTRODUCTION

The major galaxy mergers in galaxy formation inevitably lead to the presence of a binary black hole (BBH) located in the center of a giant galaxy (Begelman et al. 1980; Yu 2002). The following observational results provide possible evidence of the presence of BBHs: double compact cores (Rodriguez et al. 2006), two broad-line emission systems (Boroson & Lauer 2009), the X-shaped morphology of radio lobes (Merritt & Ekers 2002), wiggle patterns of radio jets (Roos et al. 1993; Abraham & Carrara 1998; Lobanov & Roland 2005), periodic variations and periodic outbursts (Sillanpää et al. 1988; Valtaoja et al. 2000; Valtonen et al. 2008), and the orbital motion of the compact core (Sudou et al. 2003). Strong evidence for BBHs as linking some observational results, however, has not yet been found. Clarifying the mechanism for the formation of BBHs is essential in the study of galaxy mergers in galaxy formation (Sanders et al. 1988; Treister et al. 2010), in the role of black hole mergers in the evolution of supermassive black holes (Baker et al. 2006), and in the detection of gravitational waves at the phase of BBH orbital decay (Begelman et al. 1980; Yu 2002; Baker et al. 2006).

3C 66B is a nearby low-luminosity FR I radio galaxy and giant elliptical galaxy with a redshift ($z$) of 0.0213 (Huchra et al. 1999) and has the strong jet and counter jet that extend to about 100 kiloparsecs (kpc) which have been observed at radio (Harnden et al. 1996), infrared (Tansley et al. 2000), optical (Macchetto et al. 1991), and X-ray waves (Hardcastle et al. 2001). The orbital motion of the compact core in 3C 66B, which has a period of $1.05 \pm 0.03$ yr, was observed with a position accuracy of 10 micro arcseconds ($\mu$as) by using phase-referencing very long baseline interferometry (VLBI; Sudou et al. 2003).

Several observational and theoretical studies have shown that the periodic flux variation and periodic outburst activities in the radio, optical, X-ray, and $\gamma$-ray light curves are associated with the orbital motion of a BBH in the center of the galaxies (Rieger & Mannheim 2000; De Paolis et al. 2002, 2004). Here, we report the detection of a signal periodicity in light curves from the compact core of 3C 66B, which indicates the presence of a very close BBH in the center of this object, and also present evidence for black hole mergers. We use a Hubble constant ($H_0$) of 71 km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, the matter density ($\Omega_M$) of 0.27, and the vacuum energy ($\Omega_{\Lambda}$) of 0.73 in this Letter, resulting that an angular size or separation of 1 milliarcsecond (mas) corresponds to 0.436 pc at the distance of 3C 66B.

2. OBSERVATIONS, DATA REDUCTION, AND RESULTS

The millimeter-wavelength flux variation for 3C 66B was monitored every two weeks from the end of 2003 through to the beginning of 2005 at 93.716 GHz using the Nobeyama Millimeter Array (NoMA) of the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (NAOJ), and every four weeks from the end of 2005 through to the middle of 2006 at 86.2 GHz using the Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) of the Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM). These flux monitor observations using two-type millimeter arrays will enable us to eliminate any dependence on the characteristic features of each array, giving us more reliable and accurate results.

In the NoMA observation, 3C 84 and 0133+476 were observed as a visibility calibrator. Also, the flux densities of each calibrator were precisely derived by observing Uranus and Neptune. Phase fluctuations in the observation are caused by short-term variations of the excess path length in the atmosphere, which is mainly due to the time variation of water vapor pressure. Since these phase variations cause decoherence in the visibility data, it is necessary to correct this loss. The decoherence factors at all observation epochs were estimated from the synthesized maps of each visibility calibrator (Kohno et al. 2006).
To accurately derive the flux densities of the observed object, the flux data at epochs with a decoherence of more than 20% were flagged. 3C 454.3 and 0420–014 were observed for the bandpass calibration. The weighted mean of the flux density data that were estimated from each visibility calibrator was plotted. In the PdBI observation, the flux densities of 3C 66B were corrected by observing 3C 84 and the compact H II region, MWC 349 and/or CRL 618, except 3C 84 on January 14 and MCW 349 on July 23 in 2006. The phase and bandpass calibrations were performed by 3C 66B itself.

We first investigated the contribution of large-scale jet emissions into observed flux densities. A map of 3C 66B with millimeter jets can be made by combining the visibility data obtained from the NoMA monitoring observations for all epochs (see Figure 1(a)). The total flux density of the millimeter map of 3C 66B at 93 GHz exceeds 500 mJy, while the sum of the expanding jet and counter jet is less than 24 mJy. We made the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of the jet and the counter jet from our data and other data at different wavelengths, respectively. We found that these SEDs follow (agree with) a simple power-law synchrotron model, even though the flux densities at all wavelengths were observed at the different dates (see Figure 1(b)). This fact indicates that the observed flux variations of 3C 66B were dominated by the unresolved core, not by the expanding jet and counter jet.

Figure 2 shows the 3 mm peak flux monitor data obtained from the unresolved core of 3C 66B between 2003 November and 2006 August, and the spectral analysis results from these monitor data by using Lomb–Scargle periodogram (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982). From these results, we detected a periodic flux variation on a cycle of $93 \pm 1$ days with a maximum-to-minimum amplitude ratio of $1.19 \pm 0.03$ and non-periodic flux variation from the unresolved core. The variability timescale of the observed signal is shorter than that of the orbital period of about 1 year. Due to the orbital motion around the center of gravity in a BBH, the Doppler factor for the emitting region is a periodical function of time (Rieger & Mannheim 2000; De Paolis et al. 2002, 2004), and the observed signal periodicity has a geometrical origin due to Doppler-shifted modulation. Compared to the intrinsic period, the observed signal period is shortened by the relativistic effect (Camenzind & Krockenberger 1992). It is also noted that the non-periodic flux variation may be caused by the non-thermal radiation in the flaring state that is emitted by a relativistic emission region not directly affected by a BBH (e.g., a shock in the knots of jet in the core that is not resolved with the angular resolutions of NoMA and PdBI).

3. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Both the observed flux variation (see Figure 2) and the orbital motion (Sudou et al. 2003) were well fitted by a simple model of sin function. This result indicates that the difference between the apogee and perigee velocities will be small, and that the Doppler boosting factor will be small. If they were large, the profile of the observed flux variation would be that of a spike like the periodic outburst activities in the flaring state. This indicates that the binary system in 3C 66B has a nearly circular orbit. As a consequence of the binary orbital revolution around the center of gravity, the observed signal period ($P_{\text{obs}}$) is related to the orbital period ($P_\text{orb}$) by (Rieger & Mannheim 2000)

$$P_{\text{obs}} = (1 + z)(1 - \beta \cos i)P_\text{orb},$$

where $\beta$ is $v/c$ with the jet outflow velocity of $v$ and the light speed of $c$, and $i$ is the inclination angle between the jet axis and the line of sight. The apparent speed in the relativistic jet is written by (Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1969)

$$\beta_{\text{app}} = (\beta \sin i)/(1 - \beta \cos i).$$

Given the detected signal period ($P_{\text{obs}}$) of $93 \pm 1$ days, the orbital period ($P_\text{orb}$) of $1.05 \pm 0.03$ yr (Sudou et al. 2003), and the derived apparent speed ($\beta_{\text{app}}$) of $0.30 \pm 0.04$ (see Figure 3), the following physical parameters for an inner-jet outflow that
has the effect of the BBH orbital motion found in 3C 66B were estimated from Equations (1) and (2), a speed ($\beta = v/c$) of $0.77 \pm 0.01$ and an inclination angle ($i$) of $5.3 \pm 0.8$ deg in accordance with the standard model for jet bulk motion. Consequently, the derived Doppler factor ($\delta$) of $2.8 \pm (1 - \beta^2)^{1/2}/(1 - \beta \cos i)$ is a small value that does not have a strong effect on the profile of the periodical flux variation.

The jet is presumably linked to the accretion disk around a black hole. At least one of the two black holes in a binary system will have an accretion disk. If both of the black holes have an accretion disk, the relationship between the observed jet and the two black holes is not simple. Assuming that the observed jet is dominated by the accretion disk around either one of the two black holes, we show two schematic geometries of a BBH in Figure 4. The orbital motion velocity ($v_{\text{orb}}$) around the center of gravity can be written as $\omega R \sin(\omega t)$, where $\omega = 2\pi P_k$ is the intrinsic orbital frequency. The observed flux modulation by Doppler boosting can be written by

$$S(v) = \delta(t)^{3+\alpha} S'(v),$$

where $S'(v)$ is the intrinsic spectral flux density in the comoving frame, $\alpha$ is the source spectral index ($S \propto v^{-\alpha}$), and $\delta(t)$ is the Doppler factor including the time variation due to the orbital motion of the BBH, which is written by (De Paolis et al. 2002, 2004)

$$\delta(t) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - (\beta^2 + \beta_0(t)^2)}}{1 - (\beta \cos i + \beta_0(t) \sin i)},$$

where $\beta_0(t)$ is $v_0(t)/c$. From Equation (3), the observed maximum-to-minimum flux ratio can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{\delta_{\text{max}}}{\delta_{\text{min}}}\right)^{-3-\alpha} = \frac{S_{\text{max}}}{S_{\text{min}}}.$$  

By applying this model to the sin curve of the periodic flux variation caused by a BBH (see Figure 2), an orbital motion velocity ($v_{\text{orb}}$) of the BBH can be derived from Equations (4) and (5), and an orbital radius ($R$) of $(1.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{16}$ cm $[(3.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}]$ pc can then be estimated when $\alpha = 0$. Although an upper limit for the orbital radius could only be estimated from the core motion using phase-referencing VLBI (Sudou et al. 2003), the orbital radius of a BBH can be directly derived by adding the periodic flux monitor data that we found successfully.
whereas the total mass of the two black holesPropagates outward from the core along the jet with a velocity of \( v \). Since the revolution period of a two-body problem with a circular orbit has a relational expression of \( \frac{k}{2G} = \frac{M}{d^2} \), where \( M \) is the mass of the Sun. From Equations (6) and (7), the following basic BBH physical parameters were estimated: the larger hole mass of \( (1.9_{-1.0}^{+0.8}) \times 10^9 \, M_\odot \) and the separation \( (7.0_{-4.0}^{+7.4}) \times 10^8 \, M_\odot \), and the separation \( (d) \) between the black holes of \( (1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^6 \, \text{cm} \) \( (6.1_{-0.9}^{+1.0}) \times 10^{-3} \, \text{pc} \) that corresponds to about 53\( ± \)10 times the Schwarzschild radius \( (R_s = 2GM/c^2) \) of \( (3.5_{-0.5}^{+1.5}) \times 10^{14} \, \text{cm} \) \( (1.1_{-0.1}^{+0.5}) \times 10^{-4} \, \text{pc} \). This estimated separation is much shorter than that of a celestial object recently identified as being a potential subparsec BBHs by Boroson & Lauer (2009), revealing the presence of a very close BBH in the center of 3C 66B.

The decay time of a BBH in the center of 3C 66B due to the gravitational radiation is estimated to be \( (5.1_{-0.5}^{+0.7}) \times 10^{7} \, \text{yr} \) using the following equation (Peters 1964; Yu 2002):

\[
5.8 \times 10^6 \left( \frac{M}{10^8 \, M_\odot} \right)^{-3} \left( \frac{d}{0.01 \, \text{pc}} \right)^4 \frac{1}{q(1+q)}. \tag{8}
\]

The estimated decay time is much shorter than the Hubble time. The model of galaxy formation based on \( \Lambda \)CDM indicates that there were a large number of galaxy mergers, which led to giant elliptical galaxies. Centaurus A (Cen A), which is a nearby radio galaxy and bright elliptical galaxy, has the prominent warped dust lane and gas disk in the central region (e.g., Baade & Minkowski 1954), suggesting that Cen A experienced a recent merging event during a few \( 10^8 \) \, yr (Struve et al. 2010, and references therein). 3C66B is a giant elliptical galaxy within the cluster A347 (Panti et al. 1982) and has the prominent face-on dust lane (Verdoes Kleijn et al. 1999). These indicate that 3C 66B differs from quasars with active merging (Volonteri et al. 2009) and is one of nearby radio galaxies that experienced the galaxy merging event. Within a timescale of \( 10^8 \) \, yr, two black holes caused after the galaxy merging event would come closer to each other due to the dynamical friction and gas interaction, and then merge into one due to the gravitational-wave radiation (e.g., Begelman et al. 1980). In conclusion, this shows a possibility that a very close BBH in the center of 3C 66B exists just before its merger into one black hole. The detection of strong gravitational-wave radiation is expected during the final stage of a black hole merger.

In this Letter, the following assumptions are adopted: (1) the derived apparent speed of the jet is equal to the bulk flow speed, (2) the jet axis is parallel to the binary angular momentum and is perpendicular to the accretion disk around a black hole by which the observed jet is formed, (3) the BBH has a binary orbit with...
an orbital eccentricity of zero (this is a circular orbit), and (4) the spectral index is zero at around our observing frequencies. It is theoretically suggested that the pattern speed is slower than the bulk flow speed of the jet (Lind & Blandford 1985), while the study on the relativistic bulk motion in about 100 active galactic nuclei (AGNs) demonstrates that there is no clear distinction between these speeds (Ghisellini et al. 1993). For instance, the ratio of these speeds for GRS1919+105 was estimated to be 0.8 (Bodo & Ghisellini 1995). As our estimated proper motion has an error of 15% that is comparable to this uncertainty, assumption (1) can be considered reliable in this Letter. The complexity of mergers and AGN feeding may not support assumptions (2) and (3). To evaluate these assumptions, it is necessary to monitor the flux variation with higher precision as closely as possible. If these assumptions are not entirely inappropriate, however, our derived physical parameters are not significantly changed. Since the synchrotron radiation from the AGN core is expected to be optically thin or peak at around our observing frequencies, we adopted assumption (4) in this Letter.

When $\alpha = 0.5$ as compared with $\alpha = 0.0$, the derived physical parameters of a BBH in 3C 66B are changed at a factor of 1–3. Using the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) including the Atacama Compact Array (ACA) that is used to obtain precise images of celestial objects with high angular resolution (Iguchi et al. 2009), the multi-wavelength profile of the periodic flux variation and periodic outburst activities of candidate objects can be monitored with precision of 1 mJy or better. The spike profile of the periodic flux variation will provide us with the orbital eccentricity of a BBH in 3C 66B and the relationship between the jet axis and the binary angular momentum. In addition, the multi-wavelength profile will improve our derived basic physical parameters of the BBH with high precision: the orbital radius, the orbital separation and the masses of the two black holes, and the decay time.
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