Characterization of Tomatoes Expressing Anthocyanin in the Fruit
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ABSTRACT. Cultivated tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicum L.) produce anthocyanins in vegetative tissues and certain flavonoids can be found in the fruit. Some related wild species do produce anthocyanins in the fruit, and this trait has been transferred into cultivated tomato. Fruit with the genes Abg, Aft, and atv exhibit varying degrees of anthocyanin production in the epidermis, but not in the fruit pericarp. Fruit with these alleles in various combinations were analyzed to characterize the anthocyanin profile, moieties, and total anthocyanin content. In general, combining atv with either Aft or Abg substantially increased anthocyanin production in the fruit. Over 23 different anthocyanins were detected, petunidin-3-(p-coumaryl)-rutinoside-5-glucoside being predominant. The highest level of anthocyanin expression was observed in small fruit with the genotype Abg- atv atv and Aft Aft atv atv, well in excess of 100 mg/100 g fresh weight of epidermis and subepidermis depending on the size of the fruit. Nonanthocyanin flavonoids were also upregulated in proportion to the anthocyanin concentration. The anthocyanin genes were also combined with genes affecting carotenoid composition and content. Reduced carotenoid content conditioned by the alleles B (Beta) and r (yellow flesh) was associated with lower total anthocyanins, an unexpected observation because the carotenoid and anthocyanin pathways are thought to be independent. The level of anthocyanin did not affect carotenoid profiles or amounts.
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Tomato fruit vary in size, shape, and pigmentation with typical colors ranging from white, pink, red, orange, yellow, and green. “Black” or “purple” is a name often applied to heirloom varieties exhibiting a pink, brown, or dark green fruit color. These colors come about from interactions of the carotenoid-based pericarp color (controlled by several genes), presence or absence of color in the epidermis (gene symbol y), and presence or absence of the green shoulder trait (u) and the green flesh (gf) gene (Butler, 1962; Jones, 2000). Green flesh prevents normal chlorophyll breakdown resulting in the brown pigment, pheophytin, which, when combined with the red of lycopene, produces, as first described by Kerr (1956), a “dirty purplish brown” color.

The pigments in most fruit and vegetables with purple color are anthocyanins, but to our knowledge, none of the so-called purple or black tomatoes contain substantial quantities of anthocyanins. The cultivated tomato does express anthocyanins in vegetative tissues. Some wild tomato relatives do have anthocyanin-pigmented skin, and this trait has been transferred into cultivated tomato (Georgiev, 1972; Rick, 1964; Rick et al., 1994).

Production of anthocyanins in fruit of cultivated tomatoes was first reported by Georgiev (1972) after introgression from Solanum chilense Dunal. Phenotypically, anthocyanins are expressed in the subepidermal tissue in green and ripe fruit stages resulting in a “purple” skin color. The dominant allele Af was described by Georgiev and later renamed by Jones (2000) to Aft to prevent confusion with anthocyanin free gene af (Jones et al., 2003). It initiates anthocyanin expression in immature green fruit with continued accumulation throughout development. Epidermal cells located outside the placental septa first express pigment, but with time, pigmentation spreads uniformly across the fruit where it is exposed to sufficient light levels. Recent research suggests that Aft is an allele of the AN2 locus on chromosome 10 (Boches and Myers, 2007; DeJong et al., 2004).

A second gene causing anthocyanin production in fruit is Aubergine (Abg), as described by Rick et al. (1994). Abg was introgressed into tomato from Solanum lycopersicoides Dunal accession LA2408 and has been mapped to chromosome 10 of cultivated tomato. It is not known whether Abg resides at the same locus as Aft, but it is located on the same chromosome arm. In crosses with cultivated tomato, S. lycopersicoides shows a paracentric inversion for this arm of chromosome 10 (Canady et al., 2006), which has prevented stable introgression and study of the trait in S. lycopersicum. Abg is phenotypically similar to Aft in requiring high light intensity to induce anthocyanin expression. Unlike Aft, Abg has variegated expression. A blotchy, flecked appearance or a solid, intensely pigmented sheet at maturity may be observed on fruit of the same plant so long as the fruit receive at least partial or even indirect light exposure. Abg can show some of the most intense pigment expression of the tomato anthocyanin mutants, leading to the name Aubergine, a reference to the purple color found in the skin of eggplant (Solanum melongena L.) fruit.

The gene atroviolaceum (atv) in tomato influences anthocyanin accumulation in the entire plant. This gene was originally found in Solanum cheesmaniae (L. Riley) Fosberg, where it causes purple pigmentation in foliage and fruit, and has been located to chromosome 7 of tomato (Rick et al., 1968). Whereas
Anthocyanins are the result of the flavonoid pathway, which also produces flavonoids and flavonols at higher points in the pathway. Regulation of the pathway is tissue- and developmental-specific. The flavonoid naringenin chalcone and flavonols quercetin-glycosides and kaempferol-glycosides accumulate almost exclusively in the peel during fruit ripening (Krause and Galensa, 1992; Muir et al., 2001). Analysis of enzyme transcripts in tomatoes without Abg, Aft, or atv revealed that chalcone synthase (chs), chalcone isomerase (chi), and flavonols synthase (fls) are below detection levels in fruit pericarp, whereas in the peel, chs, flavonoid-3 hydroxylase (f3h), and fls are expressed in abundance (Verhoeven et al., 2002). For anthocyanins to be produced, the enzyme anthocyanin synthase (ans) must be expressed in conjunction with other enzymes that stabilize and modify the anthocyanin structure and composition. Abg and Aft appear to be regulatory genes that upregulate the flavonoid pathway, including ans, to produce anthocyanins (Boches and Myers, 2007; Mes, 2005).

Anthocyanins in tomato vegetative tissues have been previously characterized by Ibrahim et al. (1968) and are composed mainly of petunidin, malvidin, and delphinidin. Jones et al. (2003) further determined that the anthocyanidins produced in Aft fruit were similar in composition and quantity to those from vegetative tissues. Further characterization of anthocyanin composition of other anthocyanin-affecting tomato fruit genes is needed.

In the present work, we discuss the interactions of Abg and Aft with atv for pigment intensity and composition. In addition, we combined the anthocyanin genes with high pigment 1 (hp-1), encoding a nonfunctioning DNA damage-binding protein 1 (Liu et al., 2004), which results in the upregulation of the phytochrome responses, including flavonoids and carotenoids, to examine the effect of these gene combinations on fruit anthocyanin expression and anthocyanin profile. The anthocyanin genes were also combined with genes affecting carotenoid content to observe changes in anthocyanin expression in different carotenoid backgrounds.

**Materials and Methods**

**Source of germplasm.** LA3668 (Abg), LA1996 (Aft), LA0797 and LA3736 (atv), LA3538 (hp-1), LA3311 (og1), LA3552 (r), LA3183 (t), and LA2374 (B) were acquired from C.M. Rick Tomato Genetic Resources Center, University of California, Davis. ‘Caro Rich’, possessing B, was acquired from Seeds of Change, Santa Fe, NM. The genetic stocks were highly inbred in an ‘Ailsa Craig’ background, with the exception of LA3668, in which Abg was maintained only in the heterozygous state in ‘VF 36’ background, LA0797 (atv) also in ‘VF 36’, and LA1996 (Aft) maintained in an undeclared background.

**Plant hybridization.** Plants of the desired genotype were crossed in the greenhouse with generation advance occurring in the field and greenhouse. Standard emasculation and hand crosspollination procedures were used (Rick, 1980).

Parental combinations and generation advance are shown in Figure 1. In 2002, pairwise crosses of LA3736 (atv) × LA1996 (Aft) and LA3736 (atv) × LA3668 (Abg) were made, followed by generation advance to the F2, where the most extreme phenotypes were selected. Aft and Abg alleles were selected from the F3 generations for crossing to produce putative triple mutant lines. The combination of Aft with hp-1 was produced from the cross LA1996 (Aft) × LA3538 (hp-1) with selection for high pigment type and anthocyanin beginning in the F2 and carried to the F6 generation. An Aft-atv double mutant line with “normal” red color from typical lycopene and β-carotene expression was crossed to the orange LA2374 (B) and yellow LA3532 (r) tomato accessions and advanced to the F2 generation by Summer 2004. No segregants were observed carrying both the B gene and the homozygous recessive condition of atv, so the effects of homozygous-recessive atvatv in combination with B and Aft were not available for study.

**Plant growth and production.** Tomato seeds were sown in Sunshine SB-40 professional growing mix (Sun Gro Horticulture, Bellevue, WA) in 5-cm-diameter plastic transplant cells. After emergence, the seedlings were watered once with 20N–8.7P–16.6K fertilizer solution (Peters 20–20–20; Scotts Miracle Grow Co., Marysville, OH) and given tap water thereafter as needed. Seedlings were transplanted into 3.8-L pots filled with SB-40 potting mix and 15 g of 14N–6.1P–11.6K slow-release fertilizer (Osmocote Classic 14–14–14; Scotts Miracle Grow Co.) after 3 weeks. Plants were given 15 g of supplemental Osmocote after 4 weeks in the pots.
temperature was set to 18 °C night and 25 °C day. Supplemental lighting during the winter and spring seasons was provided by six 400-W metal halide and six 400-W high-pressure sodium Sun System 3 high-intensity discharge lamps (Sunlight Supply, Woodland, WA). Generation advance and fruit for nutrient analysis were produced at the Oregon State University Vegetable Farm, Corvallis on a Chehalis silt loam river bottom soil. Transplants used for field production were started in the greenhouse as described previously and then transplanted to the field after 8 weeks during the third or fourth week of May. They were planted at 1-m spacing within rows and with 1.3-m between rows. Plots received 505 kg ha⁻¹ of 12N–12.6P–8.3K–1.6S fertilizer banded before planting. Water was applied through solid set sprinkler irrigation every 7 to 14 d as needed until most plants had abundant fruit set. Water was withheld from approximately the third week in August. Plots were maintained using standard cultural practices for fresh market production with application of copper hydroxide fungicide (Kocide; Griffin L.L.C. Valdosta, GA) at label rates late in the growing season when climatic conditions favored development of late blight [Phytophthora infestans (Mont.) de Bary].

**Anthocyanin extraction and purification.** Fruit were harvested from the field by hand at maturity and were either processed the same day or were placed in −23 °C cold storage for later analysis. Mature fruit were selected by visual observation of the fruit blossom end, where carotenoid development is usually not obscured from view by anthocyanin in the skin. When carotenoid color was observed at the blossom end, fruit were considered mature. Fully ripe fruit were frozen at −23 °C according to protocol and stored until anthocyanin extraction. Anthocyanins were extracted from the tomato skins using the Basic Protocol 1 of Rodriguez-Saona and Wrolstad (2001). Fruit were removed from the freezer and immersed in 50 °C water for 10 s to cause the skin to pull away from the pericarp, allowing for complete and clean skin removal. The skin was quickly removed, blotted dry, weighed, and placed in liquid nitrogen for immediate cryogenic milling. Replicates (different fruit from the same plant) were extracted using 20 g of cryogenically milled tomato peel and were volumetrically adjusted. After isolating the anthocyanins in acidified water, the samples were purified by passage through a C18 solid-phase Sep-Pak minicolumn (Waters, Milford, MA) to remove sugars and acids using Basic Protocol 2 of Rodriguez-Saona and Wrolstad (2001). The ethyl acetate and methanol rinses of the separatory column were collected, dried, and resublimed in acidified water for future analysis.

For the samples analyzed in 2006 (Table 1), anthocyanins were extracted in acidified methanol using a microprep method. Briefly, 100 mg of pigmented tissue was ground into a fine powder with liquid nitrogen and extracted overnight in 300 μL of 1% HCl methanol at 5 °C. The extraction volume was brought to 500 μL with nanopure water and 500 μL of chloroform was added to the tube. The tubes were centrifuged for 5 min at 2400 g, and the aqueous phase was removed to a new tube.

**Anthocyanin spectrophotometry and high-performance liquid chromatography analysis.** A subsample of the purified methanol fraction was analyzed by a Shimadzu ultraviolet-visible (ultraviolet-vis) recording spectrophotometer UV160A (illuminant C) (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) to determine the anthocyanin spectral absorption by transmission. The sample was diluted by 50%, placed in a quartz cuvette, and analyzed by spectrophotometer from 250 nm to 800 nm. Sample hydrolysis was accomplished using the Basic Protocol 1 of Durst and Wrolstad (2001) to determine the anthocyanidins and were saponified using the Basic Protocol 3. The high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) protocol was used as reported by Durst and Wrolstad (2001) with slight modification: Solvent A was acetonitrile, and Solvent B consisted of 10% acetic acid and 1% phosphoric acid in water. Chromatographic conditions were initially 2% A, 98% B, with a linear gradient over 25 min to 20% A, 80% B, a 10-min gradient to 40% A, 60% B, and a 2-min hold at 40% A, 60% B before returning to the start conditions at a flow rate of 1 mL-min⁻¹. Simultaneous detection was performed at 280, 320, and 520 nm was recorded using a Hewlett-Packard 1090 photodiode array detector (Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE). Twenty-microliter samples were injected into this system that was equipped with a Prodigy 5 μm ODS (3 μm) 10 nm (250 × 4.6 mm) column fitted with a 4.0 × 3.0 mm i.d. guard column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA).

**Anthocyanin mass spectroscopy.** Samples were analyzed by HPLC-mass spectroscopy (MS) to determine the number of anthocyanin groups and their respective masses. HPLC-MS data were produced using a a Hewlett-Packard 1090

| Cross | Genotype | Fruit wt (g) | Total anthocyanins (mg/100 g FW skin) |
|-------|----------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| 2004  |          |             |                                      |
| LA1996 | AftAft   | 115.23      | 72.32 d                               |
| LA1996 × LA2374 | Aft- B-    | 124.37      | 23.77 e                               |
| LA1996 × LA3538 | Aft- hp-1hp-1 | 162.37      | 89.14 c                               |
| LA1996 × LA0797 | AftAft atavatv | 87.60       | 116.11 b                              |
| LA1996 × LA0797 × LA3532 | Aft- atavatv rr | 62.83       | 17.86 e                               |
| LA3668 × LA0797 | Abg- atavatv   | 37.18       | 414.97 a                              |
| LA3532 | Aft- atavatv | 51.36       | 0.00 f                                |
| 2006  |          |             |                                      |
| LA1996 (no. 2) | AftAft     | 93.37       | 36.35 b                               |
| LA3668-3-2 | Abg-       | 35.72       | 19.21 c                               |
| LA1996 (no. 1) | AftAft     | 95.70       | 17.68 de                              |
| LA0797 | atv atv   | 46.97       | 12.10 ed                              |
| LA3736 | atv atv   | 41.22       | 7.79 def                              |
| Legend |          | 66.75       | 0.00 f                                |

*Means followed by the same letter within years are not significantly different \( P \leq 0.05 \) as determined by Fisher’s protected least significant difference.

*Mean of three replicate pH differential tests ± SD for 2004; mean of three fruit from a single plot in 2006.

*(LA1996 × LA0797) × (LA3736 × LA3668).

FW = fresh weight.
photodiode array detector (Agilent Technologies) and a Perkin-Elmer SCIEX API III triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, Toronto, Ontario, Canada) equipped with an ion spray source (ISV = 5500, orifice voltage = 50) in positive ion mode. Multiple reaction monitoring mode was used, scanning the mass of the molecular (parent) ions in the first quadrupole (Q1) and scanning for the fragmented ions and anthocyanidins (daughter ions) in the third quadrupole (Q3). Argon was used as the collision gas, and nitrogen was used for the nebulizer gas and orifice curtain. The system was equipped with a Synergi 4μ Hydro-RP 80Å (250 × 2 mm) column fitted with a 4.0 × 3.0 mm i.d. guard column (Phenomex). Solvent A was 5% formic acid, 80% acetonitrile (v:v); solvent B contained 5% formic acid. Chromatographic conditions were initially 10% A, 90% B, with a linear gradient 30% A, 70% B in 30 min, using a 0.2 mL min⁻¹ flow rate. Twenty-microliter samples were injected into this system.

ANTHOCYANIN QUANTIFICATION BY pH DIFFERENTIATION. Monomeric anthocyanin content was measured in 2004 field-grown fruit using the pH differential method (Guisti and Wrolstad, 2001) from the ethyl acetate and methanol fractions produced in the anthocyanin extraction procedure. Replicate anthocyanin extracts were diluted 10-fold in pH 1 and pH 4.5 buffers, allowed to stand for 10 min, and scanned for absorption at 538 nm (the observed absorbance maxima) and 700 nm using a Shimadzu 300 ultraviolet-vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu). An extinction coefficient of 1700 corresponding to published values for petunidin 3-(p-coumaroyl-rutinoside)-5-glucoside was used (Price and Wrolstad, 1995). For the samples analyzed in 2006 (Table 1), extractions were diluted five-fold in pH buffers and absorbance was read at an observed absorbance maxima of 545 nm rather than at 538 nm like in 2004.

CAROTENOID EXTRACTION. Carotenoids were extracted from tomatoes using a modified version of the method described by Ferruzzi et al. (2001). All the extractions were completed under light dark conditions (Sylvania F40/GO; Osram Sylvania, Danvers, MA) to reduce light degradation. Six replicate samples were taken of five ripe tomato fruit that were homogenized in a blender for 2 min. Ten grams of the resulting tomato purée were added to 1 g CaCO₃ and 25 mL methanol in a glass vial with a Teflon-lined cap. Samples were vortexed for 2 min followed by centrifugation at 730 gₘ for 5 min. The supernatant was collected in a separate sealed flask, and the juice solids were reextracted with 25 mL 1:1 acetonitrile–hexane solution. The vials were vortexed and centrifuged and supernatant added to the sealed flask. The tomato juice was extracted again with 25 mL 1:1 acetonitrile–hexane but not centrifuged after the final extraction. The collected supernatant was vacuum-filtered through Whatman #1 (Whatman International Ltd., Middlesex, UK) qualitative paper, and the remaining solid material in the vial was also vacuum-filtered into the same flask. The vial and supernatant flask were triple rinsed with ≈1 to 2 mL 1:1 acetonitrile–hexane, pouring the solutions into the vacuum flask. Filtrate was added to a separatory funnel together with 2% NaCl solution and allowed to stand, sealed, for 20 min. Next, the lower aqueous layer was decanted and the upper hexane layer saved. The hexane layer was placed in a 50-mL flask with 1 g NaSO₄ to remove residual water. This solution was then pipetted into a 50-mL glass vial with a Teflon-lined cap. The NaSO₄ mixture was triple rinsed with 1:1 acetonitrile–hexane and the wash solution added to the glass vial. The solution was then dried under nitrogen in a water bath at 35 °C and the contents sealed under nitrogen when dry. Ten milliliters of methylene chloride was added to the vial to dissolve the carotenoids as well as any other lipophilic compounds present. Two-milliliter samples of this solution were filtered through a 0.45-μm polytetrafluoroethylene membrane Acrodisc filter (Waters) into an amber vial for carotenoid analysis by HPLC.

DETERMINATION OF TOMATO CAROTENOID CONTENT. Two solvents were used for HPLC: solvent A was 70:30 acetonitrile:1-butanol and solvent B was 100% methylene chloride. HPLC conditions were based on the method of Lin and Chen (2003). Briefly, conditions started at 99% A for 1 min, transitioning to 96% A over 20 min, transitioning to 90% A over 30 min, and transitioning to 88% A over 5 min; linear gradients were used for all solvent transitions. A YMC Carotenoid S-5 4.0 × 20-mm guard cartridge (Waters) was mated to a YMC C₃₀ carotenoid column, 250 mm × 4.5 μm (Waters). A Waters 996 PDA detector scanned between 250- and 550-nm wavelengths at 1.2-nm intervals every 0.5 s. All-trans-lycopene, all-trans-β-carotene, prolycopene, α-carotene, δ-carotene, ξ-carotene, neoropsene, lutein, and E/Z-phytoene standards were purchased from CaroteNature (Lupsingen, Switzerland). Standards were dissolved in chloroform. Subsamples were diluted in hexane for purity testing using an ultraviolet-vis spectrophotometer. Absorption was measured and compared with published λ max and extinction coefficients (Goodwin, 1976; Lin and Chen, 2003). Carotenoid identification was based on retention time and comparison of spectra with standards and with published values for carotenoids in the same or similar solvent systems.

Extraction efficiency was determined by repeated extractions of rr genotype tomatoes. The yellow flesh tomatoes were ideal for determining extraction efficiency because exogenous carotenoids introduced into the extract other than β-carotene will not coelute with any endogenous carotenoids of the tomato. Standard solutions of lycopene and β-apo-8'-carotenal were added to separate glass vials. The carrier solvent for these carotenoid standards was evaporated under nitrogen. Next, rr tomato purée or juice was added to the vial for extraction and the vials were extracted using the previously described procedure. The calculated extraction efficiency using this method was 83.1% for lycopene and 85.0% for β-apo-8'-carotenal. All carotenoid data presented in this report are the raw values and thus represent an underestimate of the carotenoids present.

DETERMINATION OF TOTAL PHENOLICS. Total phenolics were determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C) method (Singleton and Rossi, 1965) from the ethyl acetate and methanol fractions produced in the anthocyanin extraction procedure. One-half milliliter of each of the sample fractions was added to 7.5 mL ddH₂O with 0.5 mL F-C reagent and allowed to stand for 10 min. A total of 1.5 mL 20% Na₂CO₃ solution was added and vials kept at 40 °C in a heat block for 20 min. Vials were placed on ice and immediately measured for absorbance at 755 nm using a Shimadzu 300 ultraviolet-vis spectrophotometer. A standard curve was prepared using serial dilutions of gallic acid monohydrate (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis). The average of five reactions was used to determine the value of a single data point on one of three fruit used per replicate.

Results and Discussion

PHENOTYPIC AND GENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION. Anthocyanin levels in the skins of tomato fruit were lowest in the single gene lines and greatest where atv was combined with either Aft
or Abg. Pigment intensity followed a progression that can be seen in Figure 2 as follows: (Aft Aft atv atv) > LA3668 (Abg) > LA1996 (Aft) > LA0797 (atv) > LA3736 (atv). The combination Abg- Aft- (not shown) increases pigment intensity only slightly over the gene expressed singly, whereas the combination Abg- atv atv shows a similar observable pigment intensity to the Aft- atv atv combination (Fig. 3). Double mutants of atv with either Aft or Abg can be distinguished by the presence of purple stems and leaves (a characteristic of atv) and the intensely pigmented fruit that results from the double gene combination. We have been unable to fix Abg in either the original accession or in any of our breeding lines. Homozygous lines are completely sterile and fail to set fruit, thereby preventing the phenotype of Abg to be expressed in homozygous condition.

Fruit with Aft alone had a total anthocyanin content of 72.32 mg/100 g fresh weight (FW) of skin in 2004 and ranged from 17.68 to 36.35 mg/100 g FW in 2006 (Table 1). Aft in combination with atv or hp-1 significantly increased anthocyanin levels. Aft combined with B had significantly lower levels of anthocyanin accumulation than Aft alone. The effect of r when combined with Aft and atv unexpectedly greatly reduced anthocyanin concentrations (Table 1). The r allele produces a nonfunctional phytoene synthase, significantly reducing carotenoid production (Fray and Grierson, 1993). That r reduces the anthocyanin response of the Aft atv combination suggests the possibility of a crosstalk relationship between the carotenoid and flavonoid pathways. This possibility has been previously considered unlikely (Long et al., 2006), because the rr genotype results in changes in the profile but not in total phenylpropanoid and flavonoids. However, Minoggio et al. (2003) has reported similar inverse relationships between tomato polyphenols and carotenoids. Highest levels of anthocyanin accumulation were seen in fruit combining Abg with atv and were almost fourfold higher than the Aft atv double mutant. It should be noted that these lines do not necessarily share the same genetic background, which may quantitatively influence anthocyanin accumulation. Furthermore, the difference in fruit size has been demonstrated to directly affect total anthocyanin (Mes, 2005), which may account for some of this difference.

Total polyphenols showed a concordant increase in lines carrying the anthocyanin genes. In lines that lacked anthocyanin genes, a mean of 160 mg/100 g FW skin total gallic acid equivalents (GAE) was observed. GAE for the anthocyanin-containing lines were similar to or higher than the nonanthocyanin-containing lines and ranged up to ≈450 mg/100 g FW skin total GAE. GAE was strongly and significantly correlated (R² = 0.78) with total anthocyanins on a milligram per 100 g FW tomato skin basis (Fig. 4).

**Spectrophotometric characterization of flavonoids.** The initial spectral scan of phenolics from a sample of Aft Aft atvatv genotype tomato by transmission spectrophotometer showed major peaks at 311 and 549 nm. The peak at 311 nm was the result of the presence of multiple, nonanthocyanin flavonoids. These are compounds that do not contribute significantly to absorption in the 500-nm range but have strong absorption in the ultraviolet range. The λ-max peak at 549 nm is far higher than the expected 520 nm of the anthocyanidin petunidin and is evidence of acylation of the anthocyanins present in purple tomatoes.

Initial analysis of Aft Aft atvatv and Abg- atvatv by HPLC revealed near identical peak elution times and respective peak sizes. Without standards for comparison, the peaks were not
positively identified. As a result of their similarity, only *Aft atvatv* genotype samples were used for subsequent analysis. A sample was hydrolyzed to remove the acyl- and glucosyl-moieties to simplify the chromatogram. Peak elution times of the hydrolyzed sample were compared with standards produced from hydrolyzed Welch’s Concord Grape Juice (Welch Foods, Concord, MA). The results of the chromatogram from hydrolyzed tomato line were consistent with previous reports (Jones et al., 2003; Rao, 1978). The primary anthocyanidin in tomato fruit is petunidin, with malvidin and delphinidin also present based on comparisons of elution times to standards. The ratio of total petunidin : malvidin : delphinidin was estimated to be 78 : 21 : 1 based on area under the peaks.

To determine the moieties attached to the anthocyanin, samples were injected into an HPLC-MS system, allowing spectral analysis followed by mass determination. In preparation for this, a sample was saponified and analyzed by HPLC to estimate the anthocyanin glycoside moieties to predict which masses to expect. The peak elution times were consistent with that of a diglycoside. For this reason, MS scans were made up to 1100 mass/charge (m/z), because most diglycosides have an m/z of 600 to 700 and single acyl moieties add between 90 and 160 m/z. Parent peaks were identified at 933, 947, 919, 625, 632, and 611 m/z with daughter peaks at 331, 317, and 303 m/z. A subsequent direct injection MS-electron scan detected these peaks as well as several others in lesser quantities (Table 2). These masses were compared with all combinations of known anthocyanidins and glycosyl and acyl moieties. The predominant acylated anthocyanin was the peak at 933 m/z, consistent with petunidin-3-(p-coumaryl)-rutinoside-5-glucoside. The most predominant nonacylated anthocyanidin was the peak at 611 m/z, consistent with delphinidin-3-rutinoside. Based on the HPLC-MS relative peak intensities, delphinidin was the dominant anthocyanidin. However, peak intensities do not necessarily correspond to sample concentration resulting from differences in ionization. More reliable quantitative data came from the HPLC of the hydrolyzed anthocyanins, indicating that petunidin was the predominant anthocyanidin.

**Anthocyanin quantification.** The previously published value in *Aft* fruit was 20 to 60 mg/100 g FW (Jones et al., 2003). The genotypes tested varied widely in visually observed pigment expression and in fruit size with the highest observed anthocyanin expression of *Aft atvatv* fruit grown in the greenhouse in Spring 2004 of 300 mg/100 g FW. Fruit size and observable anthocyanin had significant effect on total measurable monomeric anthocyanins. Figure 5 reveals an

![Fig. 5. Effect of fruit size on total anthocyanin content in tomato fruit with *Aft atvatv* genotype.](image)

![Fig. 4. Relationship between total phenolics [gallic acid equivalents (GAE)] and total anthocyanins (TACY) of different genotypes of anthocyanin containing tomato fruit.](image)

Table 2. Anthocyanin composition in tomato fruit from plants with the genotype *Aft atvatv* as detected by HPLC-MS.

| Functional groups | Detected mass (m/z) |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Anthocyanidin     | Delphinidin        |
|                   | Petunidin          |
|                   | Malvidin           |
| Glycoside         | 465                |
|                   | 479*               |
|                   | 493*               |
| Rutinoside        | 611                |
|                   | —                  |
|                   | 639*               |
| p-coumaroyl + rutinoside | 757 |
|                   | 771*               |
|                   | 785*               |
| p-coumaroyl + rutinoside + glycoside | 919 |
|                   | 933                |
|                   | 947                |
| Caffeic acid + rutinoside | 773 |
|                   | 787                |
|                   | 801                |
| Caffeic acid + rutinoside + glycoside | 935 |
|                   | 949                |
|                   | 963                |
| p-coumaroyl + rutinoside + glycoside + glycoside | — |
|                   | 1,095*             |
|                   | 1,109*             |
| Caffeic acid + rutinoside + glycoside + glycoside | — |
|                   | 1,111*             |
|                   | 1,125              |

*Only detected in trace quantities; — = no detected mass.
HPLC-MS = high-performance liquid chromatography—mass spectroscopy.
Table 3. Carotenoid content of fresh tomatoes with selected combinations of carotenoid and anthocyanin mutants grown at the Vegetable Farm in Corvallis, OR, in 2004.

| Carotenoid                      | Genotype* | Normal | Aft- | Aft-atvav | rr  | Aft-rr | BB  | Aft-B- | Aft- hp-1hp-1 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--------|------|-----------|-----|--------|-----|--------|---------------|
|                                |           | ug g⁻¹ FW |      |           |     |        |     |        |               |
| Trans-lutein + 9-cis lutein    |           | 0.45   | 0.49 | 0.49      | 0.52 | 0.45   | 0.57 | 0.49   | 0.55          |
| Phytoene, E/Z                  |           | 6.40   | 6.61 | 4.79      | 6.52 | 4.90   | 9.42 |
| Phytofluene                     |           | 3.40   | 3.83 | 2.65      | 2.66 | 2.48   | 8.66 |
| Unknown 1                      |           |        |      |           |     |        |     |        | 0.57          |
| 9-cis-beta-carotene            |           | 1.14   |      |           |     |        |     |        | 1.92          |
| Zeta carotene                  |           | 0.69   | 0.54 | 0.50      | 6.44 | 0.59   | 0.70 |
| Beta-carotene                  |           | 7.68   | 4.63 | 3.96      | 0.24 | 0.18   | 24.51| 21.10  | 16.58         |
| Unknown 2                      |           | 0.38   | 0.17 |           |     |        |     |        | 2.67          |
| cis-beta-carotene              |           |        |      |           |     | 2.94   | 0.61 |        | 0.65          |
| 15-cis-lycopene                |           | 1.08   | 0.67 |           |     |        |     |        |               |
| cis-delta-carotene             |           |        |      |           |     |        |     |        | 0.15          |
| Unknown 7                      |           | 5.14   |      |           |     |        |     |        | 2.12          |
| 13-cis-lycopene                |           | 0.93   | 2.93 |           |     |        |     |        | 0.72          |
| Unknown 9                      |           | 1.09   |      |           |     |        |     |        |               |
| 9, 13-di cis-lycopene          |           | 4.13   | 1.96 | 0.72      | 1.24 | 2.30   | 2.76 |
| Unknown 11                     |           | 0.68   | 1.96 |           |     | 1.66   | 1.10 |
| 9-cis-lycopene                 |           | 0.63   | 0.79 | 0.56      | 1.06 |        |     |        |               |
| 5-cis-lycopene                 |           | 1.71   |      |           |     | 0.76   |     |        |               |
| All-trans lycopene             |           | 24.00  | 32.88| 15.48     | 8.72 | 11.43  | 55.63|
| Total                          |           | 51.29  | 61.00| 32.74     | 0.77 | 0.63   | 64.58| 47.53  | 95.26         |

*Normal = Legend; BB = CaroRich; origins of other genotypes are shown in Table 1.
FW = fresh weight.

The inverse-logarithmic relationship between total anthocyanin content and fruit weight for skin extractions. The data points are the result of multiple extractions of greenhouse-grown Aft-atvav genotype fruit of varying sizes. The inverse relationship between fruit size and total monomeric anthocyanin content is the result of the regulation of anthocyanin expression. Light incidence is a requirement for anthocyanin expression. In smaller fruit, more skin tends to be exposed to light, and there is less self-shading. Large and small fruit on the same plant exhibited this difference: small fruit have anthocyanin expressed well beneath the "equator," approaching the blossom end, whereas large fruit would not express anthocyanin below the equator. This results in a greater fraction of the skin expressing anthocyanin in smaller fruit. Thus, skins from smaller tomatoes have higher total anthocyanins than do larger tomatoes. For this reason, maximizing anthocyanin expression without genetic change in the anthocyanin biosynthetic or regulatory pathways requires that fruit size must be minimized and light incidence maximized. It also is important to report fruit size together with anthocyanin content because the two are not independent of each other.

**Interaction of Flavonoids and Carotenoids.** Although certain carotenoid genotypes affected levels of anthocyanin accumulation, particularly r and B (Table 1; Fig. 4), the reverse did not hold true. Carotenoid levels of Aft-, Aft-atv av, and Aftg- lines were similar to the nonanthocyanin-expressing type with the main difference in carotenoids being attributable to the introduction of genes that affect the carotenoid pathway (r, B, and hp-1; Table 3). Thus, it appears that the carotenoids may affect some degree of interaction with the flavonoid pathway, whereas the flavonoid pathway has little or no effect on the carotenoid pathway.

**Conclusions**

Aftg, Aft, and atv produce fruit with elevated anthocyanin content. Combining atv with Aft or Aft produces an anthocyanin response elevated beyond that observed in any of the three genes by themselves. Increasing fruit size diminishes the total anthocyanin content. Further increases in anthocyanin content may be accomplished through the combination of the anthocyanin-affecting genes with selection for smaller fruit size. The anthocyanin and anthocyanidin profile of the fruit is complex but appears to be consistent among genotypes, evidence that neither Aft nor Aftg are structural genes within the biochemical pathway producing anthocyanins.
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