Rituximab: mechanisms and applications
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Rituximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody (mAb) which targets the CD20 molecule on the B-cell surface, was the first antibody to be licensed for the treatment of malignancy. This represents the culmination of more than two decades of intensive investigation into the use of mAb in lymphoma, and points the way to a new era of cancer-specific therapy. There are many questions still outstanding about the precise cellular effects of the antibody, but emerging clinical data suggest that it may represent a step forward in the treatment of B-cell malignancies. Unfortunately the application of rituximab is running well ahead of the evidence, with 30,000 patients per annum receiving it in the USA at a cost of over $400 million in 2000, a figure which is rising steeply. As many as 80% of newly diagnosed patients with large cell lymphoma are reported to be receiving treatment including the antibody, despite the absence of any fully published evidence of efficacy in a randomised trial. This situation needs to be corrected as rapidly as possible, and it will be important to complete the trials now underway.

MECHANISMS OF ACTION

It is likely that the action of rituximab against lymphoma is due as much to direct signalling in the malignant B-cells following CD20 ligation as to recruitment of effectors such as complement and antibody-directed cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) (Vitetta and Uhr, 1994; Cragg et al, 1999; Ghetie et al, 2001). This is important because it suggests that the combination of antibodies with chemo- or radio-therapy may enhance rather than diminish the therapeutic effect.

CD20 was one of the first B-cell-specific markers to be identified in the early investigations of the cell surface phenotype with mAb (Stashenko et al, 1980). Although murine anti-CD20 molecules were available for some time, their clinical application was largely restricted to in vitro purging of bone marrow for transplantation, and later work with radioconjugates. The principal limitations to their use in vivo were a short half-life, normally less than 20 hours, and the potential for stimulating human anti-mouse antibodies (HAMA), resulting in the formation of circulating immune complexes that were therapeutically inactive and could cause severe adverse effects if generated in sufficient quantity. It was only when molecular techniques allowed the generation of chimeric mAb through replacement of the mouse constant domains with human ones that the half life was extended to several days and serial treatments were not impeded by HAMA responses.

The CD20 molecule is a 33–37 kDa phosphoprotein with a predicted structure comprising 4 transmembrane regions, a 44 amino acid extracellular loop and cytoplasmic N- and C-termini (Einfeld et al, 1988). It was always an attractive target for mAb therapy due to its high expression on almost all normal B cells and B-cell malignancies and its resistance to internalisation or shedding from the plasma membrane following ligation (Press et al, 1987). No natural ligand has been identified for CD20, and progress in understanding the biology of the molecule has been hampered by the inability to generate anti-mouse CD20 mAb. CD20 knockout mice show no definitive phenotype (O’Keefe et al, 1998), but ligation of CD20 with mAb does result in a variety of interesting events, including triggering of intracellular signalling cascades and altered calcium transport. Furthermore, stimulation of B cells with anti-CD20 mAbs and phorbol esters results in enhanced phosphorylation of CD20, again suggesting a role in transmembrane signalling and control of cell growth (Tedder and Schlossman, 1988). Functional studies with a variety of CD20 antibodies have shown modulatory effects on B cell activation, proliferation and differentiation (Golay et al, 1985; Smeland et al, 1985), and activation of protein tyrosine kinases, (Kandas and Tedder, 1991). There is limited evidence that CD20 functions as a calcium channel subunit (reviewed in Tedder and Engel (1994)). This fits with findings that CD20 exists on the cell surface as a homo-oligomer (Bubien et al, 1993), and regulates cell cycle progression possibly through maintaining calcium levels and thus inhibiting progress from G0 to S phase (Kanzaki et al, 1995). Recent data show that ligation of CD20 with mAb leads directly to apoptosis and appears to use the same intracellular pathway(s) as apoptosis triggered via the B-cell receptor (Mathas et al, 2000). This was most clearly demonstrated by the close correlation between the sensitivity of various B-cell lines to anti-CD20- and anti-BCR-mediated apoptosis and the similarities in the proapoptotic proteins, such as BAX, that these reagents up-regulate.

A variety of molecules appear to associate with CD20 in the cell membrane. A complex of CD20, MHC class I and II, and members of the TM4SF has been described (Szollosi et al, 1996), and other studies have shown co-localisation with Src-family kinases Lyn, Fyn and Lck (Deans et al, 1995). There is also evidence to suggest that stimulation with anti-CD20 results in the redistribution of CD20 into membrane rafts (Deans et al, 1998), with CD20 and the Src-family kinases anchored in these (Casey, 1995). This redistribution is accompanied by the appearance of an uncharacterised 50 kDa tyrosine-phosphorylated protein in the same compartment (Polyak et al, 1998). Other B-cell growth-regulating effects upon CD20 ligation include activation of phospholipase Cγ, interruption of the IL6/STAT 3 pathway (Alas and Bonavida, 2001) and up-regulation of c-Myc. In addition, recent results have suggested that engaging CD20 can inhibit production of IL10, which may act as an autocrine growth factor as well as influencing the local T-cell repertoire (Alas et al, 2001).
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The effects of CD20 ligation have been studied mainly in transformed B-cell lines, and little work has been carried out in isolated primary cells from human lymphoma or in vivo. Rituximab is unusually effective in both ADCC and complement-mediated cytotoxicity, and in some cell lines at least, induces potent apoptosis when sufficiently crosslinked (Shan et al, 1998; Mathas et al, 2000). While the relative importance of these processes is not known, Ravetch and colleagues have recently used immunoglobulin constant region receptor (FcR)-deficient mice to show an important role for both stimulatory and inhibitory FcR in mediating the therapeutic activity of rituximab (Clynes et al, 2000). In a series of elegant experiments this group demonstrated that full therapeutic activity of rituximab and similar anti-cancer mAb was only achieved in mice expressing stimulatory, γ-chain-associated, FcγR. These data were consistent with FcR contributing significantly to the antitumour activity in vivo. However, many mAb which are highly active in ADCC, for example anti-CD19, have failed to produce effects in clinical studies and it is clear that multiple mechanisms are likely to operate in vivo. Interestingly, even in γ-chain deficient mice, which do not express stimulatory FcR and could not mediate ADCC, mAb still retain some therapeutic activity in various models (Clynes et al, 1998). Such therapy could be due to complement-mediated killing, in which rituximab is highly active, particularly against tumour cells lacking or with blocked complement inhibitor molecules, CD55 and CD59 (Golay et al, 2000; Harjunpaa et al, 2000). It is also possible that the direct cytotoxic activity of rituximab is important and that FcR-expressing cells can influence this pathway. By providing a multivalent array of FcR, even low-affinity receptors will be able to hyper-crosslink Ab-coated target cells. Shan and co-workers (Shan et al, 1998) have shown that FcR-expressing effector cells, which increase crosslinking, can enhance apoptosis induced by anti-CD20 mAb bound to B-cell targets. Likewise, Mathas (Mathas et al, 2000) showed that while highly crosslinked rituximab was very effective in inhibiting the growth of B-cell lines, soluble anti-CD20 had little activity.

In summary, it is evident that rituximab can control lymphoma growth by several mechanisms, including ADCC, complement activation and triggering direct intracellular signalling cascades. The relative contribution of each of these in vivo remains uncertain and is likely to keep immunologists busy for some time to come.

CLINICAL APPLICATION

The earliest studies tested rituximab alone as a treatment for recurrent CD20+ lymphoma. A dose of 375 mg m$^{-2}$ weekly for 4 weeks was established as the standard. A small randomised trial comparing a higher dose of 500 mg m$^{-2}$ did not show a significant difference in response rates (Coiffier et al, 1998). The ‘pivotal’ study included 166 patients with lymphoma of Working Formulation grades A–C in whom the disease had recurred despite initially successful treatment, in many cases quite extensive (McLaughlin et al, 1998). There was a response rate of 48%, with a median response duration of 13 months, a result confirmed in other similar studies from Europe. This treatment has now been tested in the whole range of B-cell lymphomas, and the results are summarised in Table 1. There is a modest response rate in diffuse large cell and mantle cell lymphomas, but a rather lower rate in lymphocytic lymphoma/CLL, possibly due to their lower density of CD20 molecules. The approach of either increasing the dose of antibody or prolonging the course of treatment has been tested in this group, with some suggestion of a higher response rate in phase II studies (Byrd et al, 2001; O’Brien et al, 2001). In all disease types the responses are of limited duration, around a median of 1 year.

The early studies confirmed the tolerable side-effect profile of rituximab, with the only common serious events being infusion-related hypotension, fever and rigors, seen almost entirely with the first infusion and not recurring on continued treatment. Patients with large numbers of circulating lymphoma cells are more likely to suffer adverse effects, probably mediated by complement activation. The antibody has a substantial effect upon the numbers of circulating normal B-cells, but these recover after an interval of 3–6 months and there is consequently little impact upon immunoglobulin levels. The incidence of opportunistic infection is low.

The moderate side-effect profile encouraged use of the antibody as first-line therapy, and the studies which have been performed in low-grade lymphoma suggest that the response rate may be up to 75% in this setting (Hainsworth et al, 2000; Colombat et al, 2001). This observation may be particularly interesting for the group of patients with low-grade lymphoma for whom conservative ‘watchful waiting’ would normally be the approach, but in whom prolonged remission might be achieved with brief duration low-toxicity antibody therapy. Trials are in progress to test this. In this context it is interesting that re-treatment with rituximab appears to be well tolerated, without substantially different side effects to those seen with the first course and a very low incidence of antichimeric antibodies (Davis et al, 2000), so that use early in the illness may not compromise later therapy.

One outcome that has been extensively studied with monoclonal antibody treatment is the ability to achieve ‘molecular remission’, namely the eradication of polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-detectable residual lymphoma cells as indicated by the presence of a characteristic translocation such as the t(14;18) BCL2-IgH rearrangement in follicular lymphoma or t(11;14) BCL1-IgH in mantle cell lymphoma (Foran et al, 2000b; Colombat et al, 2001; Czuczman et al, 2001). These tests are still unproven as surrogate endpoints (Johnson et al, 1999), but a common observation is that rituximab therapy is frequently capable of clearing the peripheral blood and bone marrow of PCR-detectable cells, although lymph node masses may remain. Thus in the French study of initial therapy for follicular lymphoma 17 of 30 (57%) patients went from BCL2-IgH PCR positive to negative in peripheral blood after

| Study (Reference)                  | Number of patients | % response rate | Median response duration (months) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Pivotal: WF A-D (McLaughlin et al, 1998) | 166               | 48              | 13                                |
| UK follicular (Foran et al, 2000b)  | 70                 | 46              | 11                                |
| European mantle cell (Foran et al, 2000a) | 87               | 34              | 12                                |
| Small lymphocytic (Foran et al, 2000c) | 28               | 14              | NS                                |
| Small lymphocytic (dose intense) (Byrd et al, 2001) | 33               | 45              | 10                                |
| European large Cell (Coiffier et al, 1998) | 30               | 37              | > 8                               |

Table 1 Responses of recurrent lymphoma to rituximab
of these, but as yet it has not found a definite place in the routine scheme of management. Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD) has also been treated with rituximab, which is an attractive alternative to cytotoxics in patients who are already immunosuppressed. Several series have been reported, with high response rates particularly among patients who have Epstein–Barr-positive disease (Kuehnle et al, 2000). The largest series of 32 patients treated in France reported a 69% response rate, although the follow up was too short to establish how durable these were likely to be (Milpied et al, 2000).

Finally, the prospect that CD20 targeting might modulate normal B-cell function has led to the testing of rituximab as therapy for a variety of antibody-mediated autoimmune diseases such as idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, rheumatoid arthritis and cryoglobulinemia. There are preliminary reports of efficacy in some cases, with 3 of 9 corticosteroid refractory patients with ITP responding to rituximab in one series (Saleh et al, 2000). Further studies will determine whether or not this is a useful addition to the treatment of autoimmunity.

### CONCLUSION

Rituximab has rapidly found applications in the management of most types of B-cell lymphoma, although at present the evidence base is unsatisfactory. In low-grade disease it has activity as a single agent and there is no doubt that responses are seen in patients for whom other types of treatment have failed, with minimal associated toxicity. It will be extremely difficult to conduct convincing randomised trials in this group of patients when the level of efficacy has been demonstrated so clearly with phase II studies. In more aggressive lymphoma randomised studies are being conducted, at least in Europe, and the results will be critical for determining the future use of the antibody. Better understanding of the mechanisms of action may be most helpful in guiding the use of combination therapy, both with cytotoxics and possibly other types of immunotherapy.
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**Table 2** Summary of results in the GELA study

|                | R-CHOP (n = 169) | CHOP (n = 159) |
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| Overall survival at 12 months (%) | 83              | 68            |

50 days, and at 12 months 16 of 26 (62%) remained PCR negative (Colombat et al, 2001). Although PCR is of limited usefulness as a predictive test in this setting, the results do suggest that clearing the blood and bone marrow of disease prior to progenitor cell harvesting may be possible with rituximab, and this approach is being tested in several studies of ‘in vivo purging’ before high-dose therapy (Magni et al, 2000; Vose et al, 2000).
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The combination of anti-CD20 with irradiation has been extensively investigated using murine antibodies as radioconjugates, but to date few studies have attempted to use chimeric molecules, out of concern for their prolonged half-life in vivo and the risk of high normal tissue doses. A single trial in recurrent low-grade lymphoma has compared rituximab to radioimmunotherapy (RTT) using the parent murine antibody (C2-B8) conjugated to \(^{90}\text{Y}\), with an apparently higher response rate in the radioimmunotherapy arm (80 versus 56%; \(P < 0.002\)) but apparently no more durable remissions (Witzig et al, 2000). The difficulty of delivering more than one dose of RTT with murine antibodies owing to HAMA responses may restrict the effectiveness of this strategy.
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