Plasmonic nanoreactors regulating selective oxidation by energetic electrons and nanoconfined thermal fields
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Optimizing product selectivity and conversion efficiency are primary goals in catalysis. However, efficiency and selectivity are often mutually antagonistic, so that high selectivity is accompanied by low efficiency and vice versa. Also, just increasing the temperature is very unlikely to change the reaction pathway. Here, by constructing hierarchical plasmonic nanoreactors, we show that nanoconfined thermal fields and energetic electrons, a combination of attributes that coexist almost uniquely in plasmonic nanostructures, can overcome the antagonism by regulating selectivity and promoting conversion rate concurrently. For propylene partial oxidation, they drive chemical reactions by not only regulating parallel reaction pathways to selectively produce acrolein but also reducing consecutive processes to inhibit the overoxidation to CO₂, resulting in valuable products different from thermal catalysis. This suggests a strategy to rationally use plasmonic nanostructures to optimize chemical processes, thereby achieving high yield with high selectivity at lower temperature under visible light illumination.

INTRODUCTION

The ideal catalytic process efficiently produces desired target products with little or no undesirable side products under the cost-effective set of conditions, although this ideal condition is rarely achieved because high efficiency and high selectivity are often antagonistic goals. Using partial oxidation as an example, a relatively high temperature is necessary to overcome the large barrier of oxygen activation, thereby achieving high reactant conversion (1, 2). However, increasing the operating temperature of the environment normally leads to notable quantities of overoxidized and, therefore, undesirable products (2, 3). Thus, a compromise must be made between selectivity and efficiency. High temperatures also have other undesirable consequences, such as a decreased catalyst lifetime, leading to higher cost and longer downtimes (4, 5). Moreover, just increasing the temperature is very unlikely to regulate the reaction pathway. A given molecule usually requires different catalysts to generate different products, and each catalyst has different efficiency and selectivity performances.

Surface plasmons (SPs) can act to redistribute photons, electrons, and heat energy in time and space (6–9). Numerous recent demonstrations have stimulated current research activity and suggest that plasmonic nanostructures can lead to light-driven chemistry carried out under milder conditions than thermal catalysis (10–18). These processes make use of one or both of the two main consequences of the relaxation of SPs, energetic electrons and local heating (19–24), thereby increasing the rates of chemical reactions (details are shown in fig. S1). SPs have been proposed as promising approaches to facilitate chemical reactions (8, 20, 21, 23, 25–28). For example, oxygen activation is accelerated on illuminated plasmonic nanostructures, where the energetic electrons and local heating are the drivers (29–31).

Also, SP-induced energetic electrons are reported to drive chemical reactions in nanolocalized regions (32–34). However, the observed improvement provided by SPs has been primarily on conversion. Although recent studies have attempted to address the challenge of using SPs to regulate the catalytic selectivity (11, 35, 36), our understanding of the mutual effects on selectivity and conversion efficiency of the reaction is still limited, especially when the reaction happens in the nanoconfined plasmonic reactor with energetic electrons and heating. Different from other nanoreactors confined by materials such as nanocages, nanotubes, or nanopores, plasmonic nanoreactors are generated by confining electromagnetic and thermal fields in open space. Also, such nanoconfined fields in plasmonic nanoreactors are inhomogeneous with great gradient, which may have distinctively different effect on physical and chemical processes.

Here, using propylene (PE) partial oxidation as a model system and a plasmonic hierarchical nanostructure as catalyst, we show that the excitation of SPs is able to simultaneously improve selectivity and conversion efficiency, thereby simultaneously achieving high yields of acrolein or propylene oxide (PO) with high selectivity at low operating temperatures. The catalyst consists of well-defined Cu₂O nanocrystals, which, on their own, have good catalytic activity and are further activated by plasmonic gold nanoparticles (NPs) (37–39). Under visible light illumination, the propylene conversion was found to increase approximately 18-fold, while the selectivity of acrolein concurrently increased from approximately 50 to 80%, and the overoxidation was greatly inhibited. Such outcomes cannot be achieved using a thermal stimulus alone. By varying the wavelength and intensity of the incident light, using SiO₂ shells to isolate the electronic effects, and developing a computational model to help us understand the ongoing processes, we systematically determined how plasmonic effects, including energetic electrons and nanoconfined thermal fields, enable these chemical processes. Moreover, energetic electrons and nanoconfined thermal fields have different effects on reaction selectivity, corresponding to regulate the reaction pathway to selectively produce acrolein or eliminate consecutive reactions to increase the selectivity of partial oxidation products.
RESULTS
Characterization of catalysts and their catalytic performance response to illumination

Partial oxidation of propylene was carried out in a quartz micro-reactor at atmospheric pressure, allowing simultaneous temperature control and illumination (fig. S2). This reaction was chosen, in part, on account of its great commercial value (40). In addition, it contains both the parallel and consecutive reaction processes (Fig. 1A) on Cu-based catalysts (37), including at least the $\alpha$-H bond oxidation to acrolein (P1) and the carbon-carbon double bond oxidation to PO (P2), and both of the partial oxidation products continued to oxidize to CO$_2$. Also, the various partial oxidation products generated have been associated with specific active oxygen species. For example, lattice oxygen species are thought to preferentially oxidize the reactive allylic hydrogen atoms, while epoxidation makes use of an electrophilic oxygen (3, 41). The output of a 300-W xenon lamp, filtered to exclude the ultraviolet region, was used as light source with a total intensity of 200 mW/cm$^2$. The reaction gas was a mixture of 13.3% C$_3$H$_6$ and 6.7% O$_2$ in helium, flowing at 50 ml/min. Acrolein, PO, and carbon dioxide were identified as the dominant reaction products (details are given in Materials and Methods). Uniform cubic Cu$_2$O (C-Cu$_2$O) nanocrystals without surfactant were synthesized using the reported methods (39). The surface of the as-prepared C-Cu$_2$O is smooth and exposes only (100) faces, 300 to 500 nm in size (fig. S3). Gold NPs with diameters of $\sim$30 nm (figs. S4 and S5) were assembled on the C-Cu$_2$O (100) faces to form the hierarchical catalyst shown in Fig. 1B (figs. S6 and S7). The gold NPs showed no catalytic activity under experimental conditions (fig. S8). X-ray diffraction (XRD) and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) confirmed that the crystal structure and surface composition of the C-Cu$_2$O did not change after loading with gold NPs (Fig. 1, C and D, and figs. S9 to S14).

Figure 1E shows the steady-state conversion and selectivity of propylene oxidation using the Au-Cu$_2$O hierarchical catalyst at 150°C. Under illumination, the propylene conversion increased approximately 18-fold more than that obtained using the purely thermal
process (from 0.08 to 1.5%); concurrently, the selectivity of acrolein increased from approximately 50 to 80%. Moreover, overoxidation was inhibited, and PO formation was suppressed. Clearly, the improved catalytic performance, both conversion and selectivity, was in response to the illumination of the catalyst.

The catalytic experiment was carried out at various temperatures with and without illumination (figs. S15 to S26). As shown in Fig. 1F, in the absence of illumination, the measured reaction rate of propylene on C-Cu₂O is consistent with previous reports under similar condition (39). In addition, there was no measurable response to illuminating C-Cu₂O. However, on illuminating Au-Cu₂O, the propylene conversion increased greatly. Approximately 1% propylene conversion was achieved at 125°C with no external energy inputs other than photons. In contrast, without illumination, no obvious reaction took place on Cu₂O and Au-Cu₂O at 125°C. We therefore attribute this improvement to light absorption by SPs. The plasmonic enhancement can be determined by dividing the property of the same catalyst under illumination by that without illumination. As shown in Fig. 1G, no obvious enhancement was found for the C-Cu₂O. While for the hierarchical catalyst, the conversion of propylene is greatly enhanced. The enhancement decreases with the increasing temperature, similar to previous report (29). Using propylene conversion as criterion, the illuminated Au-Cu₂O achieved conversions comparable to those obtained on the same catalysts thermally but at temperatures approximately 75 K lower.

Figure 1H shows the selectivity of acrolein as a function of the propylene conversion with and without illumination. With C-Cu₂O, the best acrolein selectivity achieved was ~40% with ~0.5% propylene conversion. The acrolein selectivity almost did not change under illumination and quickly decreased to less than 5% when the conversion increased to 1%. With the Au-Cu₂O, there was an improvement of acrolein selectivity. Such catalytic property change of a semiconductor with adding metal NPs was attributed to the charge transfer due to the difference of their Fermi level (42, 43). Moreover, the acrolein selectivity was further improved from 60 to 80% with a sixfold conversion enhancement under illumination, and the selectivity remained high despite the high conversion. In addition, an obvious inhibiting effect of the overoxidation processes was observed under illumination (Fig. 1I). For the C-Cu₂O, the main product was CO₂ (~90%) when the propylene conversion increased to ~1%. In contrast, the Au-Cu₂O hierarchical structure greatly reduced overoxidation under illumination, with inhibition of CO₂ selectivity to less than 30% with ~7% propylene conversion. In summary, illumination of C-Cu₂O has no beneficial effect on selective propylene oxidation. However, the excitation of SPs, made possible by using the hierarchical catalyst, improved both conversion rate and selectivity when the catalyst was illuminated with visible light. Thus, we can exclude the effect of enhanced electromagnetic field induced by SPs, which influences the chemical reaction through exciting Cu₂O. Also, we carried out the catalytic experiment with Au NPs supported on TiO₂, which is transparent in the visible regime (fig. S27). The obvious improvement of conversion and change of selectivity under illumination further proved this conclusion. Moreover, taking both the conversion and selectivity into consideration, it was impossible to achieve, simultaneously, by increasing the reaction temperature alone.

**Light intensity– and wavelength-dependent experiments**

Figure 2A shows the catalytic performance as a function of light intensity, in which the conversion responds suprilinearly. Such suprilinear dependence has been suggested as a hallmark of the chemical reaction driven by SP-induced energetic electrons (11, 14, 31). However, in the complex system with both the energetic electrons and local heating effects depending on the SP-enhanced local electromagnetic field, it is difficult to use this as sufficient evidence to determine the energetic electron process (8). When the light intensity increased from 100 to 500 mW/cm², the PE reaction rate increased from 1 to 4 mmol g⁻¹ hour⁻¹, while the acrolein selectivity remained well at about 80%. There is a slight decrease in acrolein selectivity at 500 mW/cm² due to the increase in overoxidation. As shown in Fig. 2B, both the conversion and selectivity respond to the wavelength of incident light. By controlling the lamp power, the filtered light intensities of different wavelength were all 20 mW/cm² (fig. S28). The propylene conversion and acrolein selectivity closely tracked the extinction spectrum of the gold NPs (the red line in Fig. 2B); 550-nm incident light produced the most favorable effect, with a threefold conversion enhancement and 90% acrolein selectivity. At the same time, overoxidation and PO were inhibited. Note that the PO selectivity showed a slight increase when illuminated with 450- and 500-nm light, which was also confirmed by the enhancement ratio of the PO formation rate (fig. S29). As generally understood, the production of energetic electrons and local heating by SPs depend largely on the wavelength of the incident light (8, 27, 44). For the incident light of wavelengths shorter than 500 nm,
(interband transition region), the excited electrons are mainly created in the vicinity of the Fermi level (i.e., with low energies), which quickly thermalize inducing local heating effect. In contrast, under SP resonant excitation, energetic electrons are efficiently generated following the decay of SPs (45). These suggest that the unique PO selectivity dependence on the wavelength of the incident light may result from the varying relative contributions of local heating versus energetic electrons. Similar results at 200°C are shown in fig. S30.

Distinguish energetic electrons and local heating effects

To separate energetic electrons from local heating in plasmonic catalysis, we coated the gold NPs with 5-nm-thick silica shells, which reduce electron transfer while allowing local heating (figs. S4 to S14) (22). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image, cyclic voltammetry, and Raman spectra of pyridine prove that there are no obvious pinholes in the shell (figs. S4, S31, and S32). Also, photocurrent experiments of Au@SiO2 NPs with different thickness shells further confirm that the charge transfer process is greatly inhibited by the 5-nm SiO2 shell (fig. S33). By using Au@SiO2-Cu2O hierarchical structure as catalyst, the catalytic experiment was carried out at various temperatures with and without illumination (figs. S34 to S39). In the absence of illumination, the selectivity as a function of conversion of Au@SiO2-Cu2O is similar to that of Cu2O, compared with Au-Cu2O, which confirms the validity of this strategy (figs. S40 and S41). The slight residual difference may be due to the inability to completely avoid the charge transfer process or the residue of sodium silicate. With illumination, an obvious conversion enhancement is observed, but much smaller than that for the Au-Cu2O system, which confirms that both the energetic electrons and local heating are contributors (Fig. 2C and fig. S42). In addition, acrolein formation is improved, and overoxidation is inhibited under illumination with either Au or Au@SiO2 NPs. However, for Au@SiO2-Cu2O, the PO formation rate increased about fivefold, like that for acrolein (Fig. 2D). In contrast, for Au-Cu2O, no enhancement of the PO formation is observed; if anything, there is a small decrease (fig. S43). Combined with the wavelength-dependent results, we conclude that the local heating introduced by the SPs inhibits overoxidation, thus increasing selectivity for all partial oxidation products. This is another feature that cannot be achieved using the conventional thermal processes in a uniform thermal field.

To confirm the existence of the nanoconfined thermal fields, we calculated the temperature distribution using the conventional macroscopic model (details are given in the “Theoretical section” in the Supplementary Materials and figs. S44 to S48). Two things are taken into consideration: One is the interfacial thermal resistance between the particle and the surrounding medium, and the other is the collective heating effect related to the particle density (46, 47). The thermal effect of Au NPs assembled on a Cu2O surface with various particle densities was considered (Fig. 3). At a low particle density (25/μm²), the high temperatures are localized in the vicinity of the particles, with limited temperature increase in the surrounding medium (Fig. 3A). Under experimental conditions, the surface particle density is in the range of 100 to 300/μm², as estimated from the Brunauer-Emmer-Teller (BET) surface area (1 m²/g) and the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images. We can safely claim that the temperature is localized for this case (Fig. 3B). At high particle densities, the temperature is no longer localized; rather, the temperature of the surrounding medium is notably increased (Fig. 3C). As shown in Fig. 3D, moderate particle densities can produce both localized temperatures (over an area with ~10-nm diameter) with a considerable gradient around the particles (~1 K/nm) and an obvious temperature increase of the surrounding medium (2 to 10 K). Taking the heat released by the localized reaction into consideration, the gradient is expected to be even larger.

DISCUSSION

Hence, we conclude that the unique environment created by SPs, which can greatly enhance the conversion and regulate the selectivity of propylene-selective oxidation concurrently, is attributed to the coupling of energetic electrons with nanoconfined thermal fields. They act on the chemical reaction through differing ways, resulting in different outcomes. The proposed elementary mechanisms of the plasmon-mediated selective propylene oxidation are shown in Fig. 4. As reported, the energetic electrons can promote oxygen activation (29, 30) and weaken the Cu−O bonds in surface Cu2O (12). For propylene partial oxidation, the lattice oxygen as the nucleophile oxygen species promotes acrolein formation (3, 41). Thus, in the Au-Cu2O system, the energetic electrons regulated the reaction path to improve the acrolein selectivity while decreasing the PO selectivity under illumination. In addition, Au NPs on SiO2 showed no activity under illumination (fig. S8), which proved that energetic electrons mainly interact with oxygen species indirectly via Cu2O (48). On
Synthesis of \( \text{Cu(NO}_3\text{)}_2\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (2 mmol) was dissolved into 20 ml of water in a glass vial, then 6 ml of 1 M \( \text{NaOH} \) was added with vigorous stirring for 5 min in a water bath at 60°C. Last, 6 ml of 1 M \( d-(+)-\text{glucose} \) was introduced as a reductant, and the reaction was kept for 1 hour in the bath. The color of the solution gradually turned from deep blue into yellow. When the reaction was finished, the precipitate was separated from the solution by centrifugation at 3000 revolutions per minute (rpm) for 3 min, washed several times with ethanol, and, lastly, dried under vacuum at ambient temperature.

**Synthesis of Au NPs and Au@SiO\(_2\) NPs**

The 30-nm Au NPs were synthesized by reducing the boiling 0.01\% weight (wt) % HAuCl\(_4\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (100 ml) with 1 wt % sodium citrate solution (1.2 ml) under a vigorous stirring (49). The Au@SiO\(_2\) NPs were prepared by coating the silica onto 30-nm Au NPs via the hydrolysis of sodium silicate in 90°C water. (3-Aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (1 mM) aqueous solution was added to the gold sol under vigorous magnetic stirring in 15 min to ensure complexation of the amine groups with the gold surface. Then, a 0.54 wt % sodium silicate solution was added to the sol, again under vigorous magnetic stirring. The thickness of the silica shell was controlled by the hydrolysis time. The Au@SiO\(_2\) NPs that we used with a 5-nm SiO\(_2\) shell were hydrolyzed for 1.5 hours (50).

**Synthesis of the catalyst Au-Cu\(_2\)O and Au@SiO\(_2\)-Cu\(_2\)O**

The Au-Cu\(_2\)O heterostructure was assembled using as-prepared Au NPs and cube Cu\(_2\)O nanocrystals. The gold sol (100 ml) was centrifuged for several times and transferred to ethyl alcohol, and then injected into the well-dispersed C-Cu\(_2\)O (470 mg) sol to complete the assembly process. After shaking for 30 min, the precipitate was separated from the solution by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 3 min, washed with ethanol, and, lastly, dried under vacuum at ambient temperature. The Au@SiO\(_2\)-Cu\(_2\)O catalyst was prepared with similar processes but using as-prepared Au@SiO\(_2\) NPs and cube Cu\(_2\)O nanocrystals as components.

**Synthesis of the catalyst Au-SiO\(_2\)**

A known amount of support material, SiO\(_2\) (surface area ~80 to 100 m\(^2\)/g), was added under stirring to the gold sol, which had been cleaned and transferred to ethanol to prepare catalyst with final composition of 1 wt % Au/SiO\(_2\). The catalyst was further washed...
with ethanol by centrifugation and dried in nitrogen at room temperature.

Characterization of samples

The composition and phase of all the as-prepared products were detected by a Rigaku Ultima IV x-ray diffractometer, which operated at a voltage of 40 kV and a current of 150 mA with Cu-Kα radiation. SEM (S4800) was used to observe the size and morphology of the as-prepared products. TEM images were taken by a JEM-2100 high-resolution transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. All TEM samples were prepared by depositing a drop of diluted suspensions in ethanol on a carbon film–coated copper grid, followed by drying under infrared light. Surface area of samples was carried out with a BET model by Micromeritics TriStar 3020 and porosimetry analyzer at 77 K, where samples were pretreated at 473 K in vacuum for 3 hours before N₂ adsorption measurements. The ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) absorption spectrum was carried out by the Cary 5000 with the Integrating sphere model (Agilent). The XPS was carried out by using a Physical Electronics Quantum 2000 photoelectron spectrometer (Al Kα, with 1486.6 eV, operating at 15 kV, 35 W, and 200-μm spot size) and an Omicron Sphera II hemispherical electron energy analyzer (monochromatic Al Kα with 1486.6 eV, operating at 15 kV and 300 W). The base pressure of the systems was 5.0 × 10⁻⁸ mbar. The samples were pressed into a pellet for the XPS measurements. The UV–vis absorption spectrum was acquired by the Cary 5000 (Agilent) with the integrating sphere mode for powder samples and transmission mode for liquid samples.

Catalytic experiments

Catalytic reactions were carried out in a fixed-bed quartz reactor with a vertically oriented squashed window (0.1 cm × 2 cm²) to allow illumination. The experiments were operated under atmospheric pressure. The reactant gas mixture (the rate of helium/C₇H₈/O₂) is 40:13:3:6:7 with a flow rate of 50 ml·min⁻¹ was introduced into the reactor to start the reaction. Different temperature points (from 100° to 250°C) were established to measure the catalytic performance of the as-prepared catalysts. The temperature was measured by a thermocouple, corresponding to ambient temperature. Catalyst (200 mg) was used each time and heated to the setting temperature at 5°C·min⁻¹. The reaction products were analyzed by online gas chromatographs equipped with two columns. PO, acrolein, allyl alcohol, propenal, aceton, and acetaldheyde were separated by a free fatty acid phase capillary column (FFAP, 50 m × 0.53 mm × 1.0 μm) and detected by flame ionization detector. Other components, such as O₂, CO, and CO₂, were separated by Porapak Q and detected thermal conductivity detectors. All the lines and valves between the exit of the reactor and the gas chromatographs were heated to 393 K to prevent condensation of organic products. The light intensity for the catalytic experiment with illumination at different temperature is 200 mW/cm². To study the impact of light switching, light intensity, and wavelength, the light switching–, light intensity–, and wavelength–dependent experiments were carried out at constant temperature (150°C). For the light switching experiments, the incident light intensity was 200 mW/cm². For the light intensity–dependent experiments, the source intensity was adjusted from 100 to 500 mW/cm² by controlling the power to the source. The wavelength–dependent experiments were performed using a series of band-pass filters. For these experiments, at constant temperature, the system was allowed 20 min to reach steady state.

Theoretical calculation of the electromagnetic field and thermal field

The simulation of electromagnetic fields is performed using the commercial finite elements method software COMSOL Multiphysics. To avoid numerical difficulties, as widely applied in the literature, a small gap (~1 nm) is introduced to relax the mesh singularities (51). Since the substrate is a semiconductor, the NPs are weakly coupled with the substrates. Introducing small gaps will not affect the optical response of the studied system. The photothermal effect and temperature distribution are analyzed using the conventional macroscopic model, which is described by the usual heat transfer equation (52): \( \rho \cdot c \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (k \cdot \nabla T) + Q_\text{abs} \), where \( T \) is the local temperature increase, \( \rho \) is the mass density, \( c \) is the heat capacity, and \( k \) is the thermal conductivity. The local heat source density \( Q_\text{abs} \) originates from the nonradiative energy damping in gold NPs, which writes as \( Q_\text{abs} = \frac{\omega}{k} \left[ \epsilon_p \cdot \epsilon_\infty \right] \left[ E_\infty \right]^2 \), where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of incident photon, \( \epsilon_p \) is the vacuum permittivity, \( \epsilon_\infty \) is the imaginary part of the relative permittivity of gold adopted from Johnson and Christy’s experimental data (53), and \( E_\infty \) is the local electric field derived from electromagnetic simulations. In the experimental condition, the illumination time is in tens of minutes, which is much longer than thermal relaxation times; therefore, the equation can be simplified as the Poisson’s equation: \( \nabla^2 T = -\frac{Q_\text{abs}}{\kappa} \). In homogeneous medium, the stationary solutions of the equation involve the thermal Green’s function and a Dirac source inside particles (46).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/10/eabf0962/DC1
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