Efficacy of video-guided laryngoscope in airway management skills of medical students
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Introduction

Airway management has always been a priority for the physicians of all eras.[1] Every physician must have skill for appropriate airway management. Difficult laryngoscopy has been reported to occur in 6-10% of intubations.[2,3] Consequently, every intervention which could improve successful intubation may be warranted in these situations.[4] There has been much research for development of new devices, which were designed for difficult airway management. Video-guided laryngoscope (VGL) is a device for airway management which is designed to allow a good exposure of glottis without aligning oral, pharyngeal and tracheal axes.[5] It increases first attempt success rate for endotracheal intubation.[6-9] Several studies have demonstrated its glottic exposure to be equal or better than direct laryngoscopy[10-12] most of which agree that future trials are required to show the exact efficacy of VGL in difficult airway management.[13,14] It is yet unclear whether use of glidescope results in improved glottic exposure and consequent increased intubation success in comparison to the use of conventional direct laryngoscopy.[15,16] Our training program for medical students for airway management traditionally focused on direct laryngoscopy as
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the primary intervention until 2011 when we started using VGL in our airway management practice for medical students. We hypothesized that airway management and intubation with VGL has more success rate than direct laryngoscopy and based on this hypothesis we performed this study to evaluate the efficacy of VGL in intubation skills of medical students.

Materials and Methods

After approval of our local ethics committee, this study was performed from September 2012 to September 2013. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients during the study. Inclusion criteria were adult patients aged 18-55 years old and American Society of Anesthesiologists physical Status of 1 and 2 who were scheduled to undergo elective surgeries. All patients had Mallampati Class 1 or 2. Intubation was performed by medical students who had already passed the required airway management course. Exclusion criteria were anatomical and dental disorders and patients at the risk of increased intracranial pressure. Medical students who were transferred from other universities or had airway management education prior to the study period were enrolled in this study. All students received standard training in the airway management during their course. Anesthesiology education rotation for our university students is a 4 weeks course. During the 1st week, theoretical course on anatomy and physiology of airway, bag/mask ventilation, difficult airway management and preoperative airway evaluation was performed for medical students and later, students conducted airway management and intubation with the assistance of an attending anesthesiologist. Each student had to perform 50 intubations during the study. Inclusion criteria were adult patients aged 18-55 years old and American Society of Anesthesiologists physical Status of 1 and 2 who were scheduled to undergo elective surgeries. All patients had Mallampati Class 1 or 2. Intubation was performed by medical students who had already passed the required airway management course. Exclusion criteria were anatomical and dental disorders and patients at the risk of increased intracranial pressure. Medical students who were transferred from other universities or had airway management education prior to the study period were enrolled in this study. All students received standard training in the airway management during their course. Anesthesiology education rotation for our university students is a 4 weeks course. During the 1st week, theoretical course on anatomy and physiology of airway, bag/mask ventilation, difficult airway management and preoperative airway evaluation was performed for medical students and later, students conducted airway management and intubation with the assistance of an attending anesthesiologist. Each student had to perform 50 intubations before entering the study. During this period, medical students were randomly allocated into two 20 person groups based on previous studies.[17] In Group D, airway management was performed by direct laryngoscopy using Macintosh blade and in Group G, intubation was performed using VGL. Throughout the study, intubation was performed by medical students under supervision of an attending anesthesiologist. All patients were premedicated with 1 mg midazolam before induction of anesthesia. Monitoring consisted of capnography, noninvasive blood pressure monitoring, electrocardiography, and pulse oximetry. Premedication was performed with fentanyl 2 μg/kg and induction of anesthesia was performed with propofol 2 mg/kg, atracurium 0.5 mg/kg, lidocaine 1 mg/kg. The glidescope blade size selected was similar to the Macintosh blade size.

Data collection

For all intubations, time to intubation, number of laryngoscopy attempts and success rate were noted. In addition, number of attending interventions and complications were noted. Time to intubation was measured from the time device entered the mouth until the detection of end tidal CO2. If >1 attempt was required, the patient received mask ventilation between the attempts. Time to intubation included the time between the attempts. Failed intubation was defined as three unsuccessful attempts for intubation. Successful intubation was considered as the primary outcome. All data were analyzed using SPSS 16 software SPSS Inc., 233 South Wacker Drive, 11th Floor, Chicago, IL 60606-6412. Chi-square and Fisher’s exact test were used for analysis of categorical variables. For analyzing continuous variables independent t-test was used. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

No significant difference could be observed between two groups regarding demographic characteristics [Table 1]. Mallampati Grades 1 and 2 were observed in 31 and 9 patients, respectively. Successful and failed intubation occurred in 34 (85%) and 6 (15%) patients, respectively. Laryngoscopy was less attempted in Group G in comparison to Group D; however, the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.18). Time to intubation was significantly less in Group G compared to Group D (P = 0.02). Successful intubation was observed less frequently in Group G as compared to Group D (P = 0.66). Attending intervention, esophageal intubation and hypoxemic events during laryngoscopy were less frequent in Group G; however, the difference was not statistically significant [Table 2].

Discussion

Results obtained from this study showed that VGL was associated with less intubation time, fewer numbers of
laryngoscopy attempts and increased successful intubation rate compared to direct laryngoscopy. These results are mostly due to the improved glottic visualization which is similar to the previous studies.\cite{18,19} Considering this fact, the importance of direct laryngoscopy and VGL not requiring alignment of oral, tracheal and pharyngeal axes,\cite{5,22} clinicians usually use VGL when difficulty with endotracheal intubation is anticipated.\cite{23} One of the most important results obtained from our study is the decrease in laryngoscopy attempts with VGL which might be due to medical students being inexpert operators in performing laryngoscopy; similar previous studies also mentioned that there is no benefit in airway management using VGL by skilled operators.\cite{18} Kory \textit{et al.} suggest that VGL should be used as the primary device when urgent intubations are performed by less experienced operators.\cite{24} As first successful attempt might be of more value in the airway management of infants and multiple attempts endanger these patients, Kaufmann \textit{et al.} in their study, recommended VGL as a suitable approach in airway management in younger children.\cite{25} Our study showed that esophageal intubation and hypoxemia during intubation was less in VGL group. Although this difference was not statistically significant, it was clinically important and might have been statistically significant with larger sample sizes. As there were some studies suggesting difficult or failed intubation in association with VGL due to problems related to positioning the tube in the trachea despite a good glottic view,\cite{10,26} we used intubation time and other rates of successful intubation, which were better in VGL, yet statistically insignificant. Less intubation time in VGL group in this study might be due to unskilled operators, as skilled operators usually perform direct laryngoscopy faster. Educational courses throughout the anaesthesiology curriculums are reported to be associated with desirable educational outcomes.\cite{27,28}

Each intervention, which could improve the success rate of airway management, especially in unskilled persons is of great value for future studies. No important complication was reported in association with VGL in this study; however, there are few reports on its complications including perforation of palatopharyngeal arch.\cite{14} Therefore, everyone should take precautionary measurements, while using this device for airway management especially in emergency situations.

Our study was a single-centered study with 40 persons; therefore, future studies with larger sample sizes comparing the skilled with unskilled persons for laryngoscopy is recommended. Another limitation of study was the possibility that medical students had different skills or training levels between direct laryngoscopy and VGL. However, we suppose this is variation would be less as they all received the same education with the same attending professors during their study course. However a study determining the same outcome measures using a structured curriculum of training in both groups would be helpful in overcoming these variations. We believe that the change in complication rates between both groups was related to the changes from the Direct Laryngoscopy to the VGL, as other variables were constant. Furthermore, it was impossible to blind intubators to study group assignment.

In summary, VGL can be used as an appropriate device for airway management in anticipated difficult airway or following failed direct laryngoscopy.
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