Effect of Film thickness on the Electrical and Structural Properties of CdS: In Thin Films

Shadia J. Ikhmayies, Riyad N. Ahmad-Bitar
1Department of Physics, Faculty of Basic Science, Applied Science Private University, Amman, Jordan.
2Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

Abstract: Polycrystalline CdS:In thin films were prepared by the Spray Pyrolysis (SP) technique on glass substrates at a substrate temperature \(T_s = 490^\circ\text{C}\). The effect of film thickness on the electrical and structural properties of the films was investigated through the analysis of the I-V plots, x-ray diffraction spectra (XRD) and the scanning electron microscope (SEM) images. The conductivity of the films was highly increased when the films became thicker. The crystal growth became stronger and more oriented as seen in the x-ray diffraction spectra and the grain size became larger as seen in the SEM images.
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INTRODUCTION

Thin films of CdS have been extensively studied due to the variety of applications in optoelectronic devices. In particular heterojunction solar cells with a narrow bandgap base and a wide bandgap window\(^{[1]}\) such as CdS/CdTe and CdS/CuInSe solar cells.

There are several methods for depositing CdS thin films, such as; vacuum evaporation (VE)\(^{[2]}\), chemical bath deposition (CBD)\(^{[1,3,4]}\), spray pyrolysis (SP)\(^{[5,6]}\), etc. However, the SP technique is a very low cost and simple technique that enables intentional doping and getting large area and uniform thin films\(^{[5,6]}\).

In this study polycrystalline CdS:In thin films were prepared by the SP technique at a substrate temperature \(T_s = 490^\circ\text{C}\). The effects of film thickness on the electrical and structural properties of CdS:In thin films were investigated through the analysis of the I-V plots, the XRD spectra and the SEM images.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The precursor solution of the spray-deposited CdS thin films was prepared by dissolving 2.06×10\(^{-2}\) moles of extra pure CdCl\(_2\).H\(_2\)O (MERECK Art. 2011) and 2.24×10\(^{-2}\) moles of thiourea (NH\(_2\))\(_2\)CS (>97% S) in 350 ml of distilled water. Indium chloride InCl\(_3\) (MERECK Art.12471) was used as a doping compound. The ratio of the concentration of indium ions to that of cadmium ions in the solution ([In\(^{3+}\)]/[Cd\(^{2+}\)]) which is not necessarily the same as their ratio in the films was used as an indication of the doping ratio. The solution was sprayed intermittently by using the spraying system described in \(^{[5]}\) on glass substrates that were ultrasonically cleaned with methanol at a substrate temperature \(T_s = 490^\circ\text{C}\).

The films' thickness was in the range 100-1000 nm. It was estimated by using Lambert law for absorption in a semiconductor. That is by making use of the relative transmittance through each film at a certain wavelength which was measured by a double beam Shimadzu UV 1601 (PC) spectrophotometer.

The contacts are two strips of Ag or Al made on the surface of the film by vacuum evaporation. They are 1 cm in length, 1 mm in width, 0.4 \(\mu\text{m}\) in thickness and separated by 2-3 mm. The I-V measurements were taken by using a Keithley 2400 Source Meter, which was interfaced by an IBM personal computer. The samples were placed in a brass cell that had a cover to enable measurements in the dark. The sample in question was placed in the brass cell mentioned before.

XRD measurements were made with a Philips PW1840 Compact x-ray diffractometer system with Cu K\(_a\) (\(\lambda = 1.5405 \text{ Å}\)). The diffraction angle \(\theta\) was varied from 2\(^\circ\) to 60\(^\circ\), and the peaks in the obtained diffraction spectra were identified. The SEM images of the CdS:In
thin films were taken by a LEITZ-AMR 1000A scanning electron microscope. The film was covered with a sputtered gold layer (~20 nm) before taking the measurements. The purpose of this layer was to prevent sample charging effects.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Electrical properties: The I-V curves which are all linear were recorded at room temperature in the dark. The slope was used to determine the resistance of each film and then its conductivity. Figure 1. a shows one of these plots for two CdS:In thin films of doping ratio $1 \times 10^{-4}$ and different film thickness. The film of thickness $t = 100$ nm has a conductivity $\sigma = 1.18 \times 10^{-4} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$, while the conductivity of the film of thickness $t = 300$ nm is $8.33 \times 10^{-4} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$. That is the conductivity was increased by a factor of about 71. Figure 1b displays the I-V curves for two films of doping ratio $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ with different thickness. The conductivity of the film of thickness $t = 500$ nm is $5.0 \times 10^{-2} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ and that of the film of thickness $t = 800$ nm is $1.11 \times 10^{-3} \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$, or it is increased by a factor of about 22. This increase in the conductivity with film thickness can be explained by the increase in grain size and the enforcement of crystal growth as seen in the following XRD spectra and the SEM images.

XRD spectra: The thickness had a pronounced effect on the x-ray diffraction spectra of the CdS:In thin films as shown in Fig. 2. A comparison between the spectra of the two films in (a) and (b) shows that there is more crystallization and more orientation of the crystal growth in the case of the thicker film. The lines H(101) and C(311)/H(112) became more stronger than the other lines and have comparable intensities with a slight preferential orientation in the C(311)/H(112) direction.

SEM Images: Fig. 3. Shows the SEM images for two CdS: In thin films with the same doping ratio $1 \times 10^{-4}$ and different thickness. As the figure shows, the grain size was greater in the thicker film. Chow et al.\cite{7} had recorded an increase in the grain size with film thickness. For their spray deposited CdS films they found an increase in the crystal grain size with increasing the sprayed solution up to about 2000 mL (or

![Fig. 1: I-V plots for CdS:In thin films of different thickness. a) The films have a doping ratio $1 \times 10^{-4}$ and Ag contacts. b) The films have a doping ratio $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ and Al contacts.](image1)

![Fig. 2: X-ray diffraction spectra for CdS:In thin films of doping ratio $1 \times 10^{-4}$ deposited at $T_s = 490^\circ\text{C}$. (a) $t = 140$ nm. (b) $t = 500$ nm.](image2)
Fig. 3: SEM images for CdS:In thin films of doping ratio $= 1 \times 10^{-4}$. a) $t = 100$ nm. b) $t = 500$ nm.

a film thickness of 4 µm). Beyond that value the grain size saturated. Also Kwok and Siu[8] found that for I-doped CdS films, the grain size was less than 1 µm for thin films (< 2 µm) and 1-4 µm for thick films (>2 µm).

CONCLUSIONS

The effect of film thickness on the electrical and structural properties of the films was investigated through the analysis of the I-V plots, XRD spectra and SEM images. It was found that the conductivity increases with film thickness. This improvement in the conductivity is due to the enhancement of the crystal growth as seen in the XRD spectra and then the increase in the grain size as seen in the SEM images.
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