Profiles of Women With Fibromyalgia and Social Comparison Processes
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Background: Due to uncertainty regarding chronic pain in Fibromyalgia (FM) patients, there has been a growing interest in social comparison and its influence on emotional responses.

Aims: to analyze profiles in FM patients according to pain perception, social comparison strategies and anxiety and depression.

Methods: The sample consisted of 131 FM outpatients (Mean age: 50.15, SD = 11.1). Two scales were used: the Social Comparison Illness Scale and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

Results: Two profiles were found by cluster analysis (K-means method): one (66%) with a higher level of pain perception, anxiety and depression and greater use of upward contrast and downward identification social comparison; and another (34%) with lower levels of pain perception, anxiety and depression and greater use of upward identification and downward contrast.

Conclusion: These profiles underline the interest in social comparison strategies and their role in FM.
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INTRODUCTION

Fibromyalgia (FM) is a chronic disease that mainly affects women and is characterized by widespread musculoskeletal pain accompanied by various symptoms such as fatigue, stiffness, sleep disruption, physical symptoms (i.e., extreme sensitivity, headaches, irritable bowel syndrome, temporomandibular joint disorders) and high levels of anxiety and depression (Wolfe et al., 2010, 2013). The heterogeneity of these symptoms is one of the reasons why researchers have tried to analyze different patient profiles and their relationship with psychological adaptation. They present a “maladaptive profile” with higher levels of pain perception, anxiety and depression, in contrast to an “adaptive profile” with moderate/low levels of pain perception, anxiety and depression (Kurtze et al., 1998; Giesecke et al., 2003; Shuster et al., 2009; Calandre et al., 2011; Keller et al., 2011; Docampo et al., 2013). Anxiety and Depression could be important indicators for predicting a patient profile with a worse prognosis, more severe symptoms, pain perception and fewer functional abilities (Bennett, 2002; Thiemer et al., 2004; De Souza et al., 2009; Calandre et al., 2011). However,
cognitive processes are seen to have a fundamental role in reducing or dealing with anxiety and depression symptoms in FM (Rodero et al., 2010; Montesó-Curto et al., 2015; Peñacoba-Puente et al., 2015; Cabrera-Perona et al., 2017; Pastor-Mira et al., 2017).

According to the social comparison theory, lack of information and uncertainty can trigger cognitive processes of social comparison (Festinger, 1954). Indeed, chronic patients with higher uncertainty show more anxiety and depression symptoms and interest in social comparison (Butzer and Kuiper, 2006; Terol et al., 2007b, 2012, 2014; Terol-Cantero et al., 2013; Cabrera-Perona et al., 2017). These patients usually compare themselves with “others” or “referents” (Cabrera-Perona et al., 2017). They compare “contents” such as symptoms, ways of coping or adjustment to chronic pain or illness (Butzer and Kuiper, 2006; Dibb and Yardley, 2006; Mussweiler et al., 2006; Jauregui-Lobera et al., 2010; Corcoran et al., 2011).

More specifically, the Identification-Contrast Model (Buunk and Ybema, 1997; Buunk and Gibbons, 2007) suggests that social comparison with “referents” either focusing on similarities with “others” who are better-off (upward identification), or focusing on contrast with “referents” who are worse-off (downward contrast) would create a positive affect (Buunk et al., 1990; Smith, 2000). However, social comparisons with better-off “others” while focusing on differences (upward contrast), or with worse-off “others” while perceiving similarities (downward identification) would lead to negative affect (Buunk et al., 1990; Smith, 2000). In chronic illness or pain, social comparison “strategies” such as upward identification and downward contrast have been associated with lower depression and better psychosocial adjustment (Van der Zee et al., 1996, 2000; Terol et al., 2012); and upward contrast or downward identification have been linked to higher depression and worse adjustment (Neugebauer et al., 2003; Terol et al., 2007b, 2014). In the same way, a few studies on FM have shown that upward identification or downward contrast strategies are related to lower pain perception and better mood (Affleck et al., 2000; Terol et al., 2014; Cabrera-Perona et al., 2017) and upward contrast or downward identification are associated with higher levels of anxiety and depression, and worse psychological adjustment (Affleck et al., 2000; Groothoff and Scholtes, 2007; Terol et al., 2014; Cabrera-Perona et al., 2017).

In the context of the above, the aim of this study was to analyze the profiles of women with FM who share common characteristics based on a set of assessed variables: pain perception, social comparison processes (strategies, referents, and contents) and anxiety and depression.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample**

The sample consisted of 131 Spanish female outpatients interviewed at San Vicente del Raspeig Hospital (FM Department). The mean age was 50.15 \(SD = 11.14\). Mean time since diagnosis was 4.32 years \(SD = 4.99\). 68.70% of the participants were married and 31.3% were single, separated-divorced or widows. Educational level was primary and secondary school (77%), higher education (10.7%), and read/write (12.3%). Inclusion criteria were: (1) FM diagnosis re-confirmed by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria (Wolfe et al., 2010) upon their arrival at the FM Department, (2) aged over 18, (3) no previous psychiatric diagnosis (4) ability to understand questionnaires, (5) informed consent to participate in the study.

**Assessments**

In addition to collecting information about age, marital status, educational level and time since diagnosis, the following scales were used to assess the variables used in the study:

- **Pain perception** Visual Analog Scale (VAS: Aliaga-Font, 2009) was used to assess: current pain, average pain last week, and maximum pain last week. Patients had to mark their pain perception for each of the three times on the VAS \(0 = \text{no pain} \text{ to 10 = worst imaginable pain}\).

- **Social Comparison Process in Illness scale** (adapted from Van der Zee et al. (2000) by Terol et al. (2007a, 2014). This scale includes 18-items with a Likert response-scale \(1 = \text{never}; 5 = \text{very often}\) grouped into three subscales: Social Comparison Strategies, Social Comparison Referents, and Social Comparison Contents. Three items are included in each of the four Social comparison strategies: upward identification \((a = 0.89)\), upward contrast \((a = 0.84)\), downward identification \((a = 0.93)\), and downward contrast \((a = 0.75)\). The referents subscale includes three “others” with similar health problems, with different health problems, and with no health problems) and contents also includes three items (symptoms, mood, and physical activity). Higher scores show a greater frequency in patients’ use of social comparison strategies, referents or contents.

- **Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale** (HADS: Zigmond and Snith, 1983; Spanish adaptation by Terol et al., 2007a). This is a 14-item scale consisting of two 7-item subscales: Anxiety and Depression. Responses are given on Likert scales from 0 to 3 with a \(0–21\) range for each subscale. Higher scores show higher levels of anxiety and/or depression. Internal consistency for this study, HADS – Anxiety \(\alpha = 0.80\), HADS – Depression \(\alpha = 0.85\).

**Procedure**

This was a cross-sectional study with a non-probability convenience sample. After the Hospital Ethics Committee’s approval of the study, we selected 152 newly admitted outpatients with FM diagnosis. Patients were informed of the study and they signed an informed consent. 13 of these patients refused to participate and eight did not meet the inclusion criteria. Subsequently, 131 outpatients were interviewed by a psychologist in sessions lasting from 20 to 30 min.

**Statistical Analysis**

The software IBM SPSS v.22 was used for the statistical analysis, and the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was carried out for distribution of scores \((D = 0.057; p = 0.20); \text{Social Comparison Processes in Illness Scale: } D = 1.24; p = 0.000)\). Means and frequencies were used for the Descriptive Analyses.
For Patients’ Profiles, an iterative K-means cluster analysis (non-hierarchical method) was performed to identify subgroups (K = 2) and differences were analyzed by ANOVA (F-Fisher with p < 0.05 were accepted). Prior to clustering, multlinearity was assessed (VIFs < 6). Contigency tables and χ² statistics were used for the sample distribution “case” / “non-case” according to the HADS and inclusion in either of the profiles. In FM, specific cut-off points for those considered “cases” were recently fixed at +12 for the HADS-Anxiety and HADS-Depression subscales (see Cabrera et al., 2015).

RESULTS

Descriptive Analysis

Table 1 shows means, standard deviations and range scores for all study variables. Frequency in patient’s use of Social Comparison strategies refers to contents are presented in Table 2.

Pain perception VAS mean scores were above five points. Anxiety and Depression mean scores were 13.71 (SD = 4.00) and 10.73 (SD = 4.64), respectively.

For social comparison, 75.6% of patients used upward contrast strategies with high frequency, which was the most used strategy (see Table 2). In addition, 52.6% of our sample compared themselves with other referents with a similar health problem (M = 3.37; SD = 1.35; Range = 1–5) and compared contents such as illness symptoms with high frequency (85.5%) (M = 4.36; SD = 0.081; Range = 1–5) (See Tables 1, 2).

Patient Profiles

As shown in Table 3, K-means cluster analysis and differences by ANOVA were performed with the following variables: pain perception, social comparison (strategies, referents and contents), anxiety and depression. The cluster analysis identified two groups of women. Cluster 1 includes 86 patients (65.6%) showing higher pain perception (p < 0.001), greater use of upward contrast and downward identification strategies (p < 0.001), comparison with referents with different and similar health problems (p < 0.05) and contents such as illness symptoms and mood (p < 0.05), as well as higher levels of anxiety and depression (p < 0.001). Cluster 2 includes 45 patients (34.3%) showing lower pain perception (p < 0.001), greater use of upward identification (p < 0.001), and downward contrast (p < 0.05), lower frequency of comparison with referents or contents (p < 0.05), as well as lower anxiety and depression (p < 0.001).

Finally, we show the contingency table analysis and chi-square test in order to match patient’s profiles (Cluster 1, 2) according cut-off points fixed for the HADS (Table 4). Of the sample distribution, 76.9% of anxiety cases and 85.2% of depression cases were classified according to the HADS cut-off points (HADS – Anxiety and HADS – Depression ≥ +12) for FM in Cluster 1.

DISCUSSION

This study illustrates the role of social comparison processes in FM patients. We found that upward contrast and downward identification were the strategies most used by patients with FM. They also compare themselves with others (referents) on “similar health problems” and on contents such as “symptoms.”
These results coincide with another recent study on FM (Terol et al., 2007b, 2012) but differ from findings in other chronic patients (rheumatoid arthritis or cancer patients) who used upward identification and/or downward contrast more often (Blalock et al., 1990; De Vellis et al., 1990; Dibb and Yardley, 2006; Terol et al., 2007b, 2012). The findings regarding the profiles in FM patients revealed two different subgroups. One of them was a “maladaptive” profile, including women with higher levels of pain perception, anxiety and depression and more frequent “unfavorable” social comparison strategies (upward contrast and downward identification). The other group, or more “adaptive” profile, included women who showed moderate levels of pain perception, with a lower level of anxiety and depression and more frequent “favorable” social comparison strategies (upward identification and downward contrast). These profiles are consistent with other studies that have correlated these variables in the same way (Terol et al., 2012; Cabrera-Perona et al., 2017) or have identified similar groups of patients in FM (Giesecke et al., 2003; De Souza et al., 2009; Calandre et al., 2011; Keller et al., 2011; Docampo et al., 2013). Giesecke et al. (2003) proposed three profiles, one of which shows moderate anxiety / depression and less pain, while another presents a higher level of anxiety / depression and pain. Using the FIQ (FM Impact Questionnaire: Burckhardt et al., 1991) other researchers also report that pain and stiffness appeared in all profiles, but psychological stress (anxiety and depression) was the differentiating feature between these profiles (De Souza et al., 2009; Calandre et al., 2011). According to this, in our sample, 76.9% and 85.2%, classified as “cases” of anxiety and depression, fitted into the “maladaptive” profile (HADS > +12: Cabrera et al., 2015). This leads us to turn our attention toward FM profiles, but in the context of social comparison processes and their negative emotional consequences (Bair et al., 2003).

Research and Clinical Implications

Our results are consistent with the Identification-Contrast Model (Buunk and Ybema, 1997) applied in FM or chronic illness, where frequency of upward contrast and downward identification strategies were related to psychological distress (i.e., anxiety and depression), and poor subjective well-being, quality of life or adjustment (Buunk and Gibbons, 2006; Groothof and Scholtes, 2007; Arigo et al., 2012; Terol et al., 2014; Cabrera-Perona et al., 2017). In particular, this study provides useful information about cognitive processes in women with FM, who use different social comparison strategies together with other relevant “comorbidity” symptoms: perception of pain and anxiety and depression. Lastly, this study supports some approaches toward improving more “adaptive” profiles and useful cognitive processes: (a) identifying strategies such as upward contrast or downward identification in order to change them, (b) encouraging positive thought thorough the use of “favorable” comparisons strategies (downward contrast and upward identification), which would act as a buffer to pathologic emotions and increase a better adjustment to chronic illness (Arigo et al., 2012; Terol et al., 2014; Cabrera-Perona et al., 2017), and (c) motivating the comparison processes with referents or “models” that provide adaptive strategies for coping and enhancing their subjective well-being.

Limitations

The first limitation of this study is that all the participants are female. However, FM research is generally focused on women who suffer from this chronic pain. The reason why the sample consists of only women corresponds to the justified prevalence of FM diagnosis in women, as noted: the preponderance of FM in women versus men with an approximate ratio of 9:1 (Wolfe et al., 1995; Mas et al., 2008; Katz et al., 2010). Other limitations are related to the size of the sample and selection by accessibility. Although a larger sample would be beneficial, Jager et al. (2017) consider that homogeneous convenience samples (sociodemographic or clinical factors of the general population)
can be a positive alternative. In this sense, we verified that our sample features were similar to those found in other FM studies. The cluster analysis is a cross-sectional and exploratory method. Longitudinal studies and regression analysis could further clarify the role of social comparison as an antecedent or consequence of emotional responses (i.e., anxiety and/or depression). Finally, it would be very useful to ascertain the severity of chronic symptoms, uncertainty, anxiety and depression and how they change at different stages of illness and in health settings (primary care level, FM patient associations).
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