A comprehensive review on avian chlamydiosis: a neglected zoonotic disease
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Abstract
Avian chlamydiosis is one of the important neglected diseases with critical zoonotic potential. \textit{Chlamydia psittaci}, the causative agent, affects most categories of birds, livestock, companion animals, and humans. It has many obscured characters and epidemiological dimensions, which makes it unique among other bacterial agents. Recent reports on transmission from equine to humans alarmed the public health authorities, and it necessitates the importance of routine screening of this infectious disease. High prevalence of spill-over infection in equines was associated with reproductive losses. Newer avian chlamydial species are being reported in the recent years. It is a potential biological warfare agent and the disease is an occupational hazard mainly to custom officers handling exotic birds. Prevalence of the disease in wild birds, pet birds, and poultry causes economic losses to the poultry industry and the pet bird trade. Interestingly, there are speculations on the ‘legal’ and ‘illegal’ bird trade that may be the global source of some of the most virulent strains of this pathogen. The mortality rate generally ranges from 5 to 40\% in untreated cases, but it can sometimes be higher in co-infection. The intracellular lifestyle of this pathogen makes the diagnosis more complicated and there is also lack of accurate diagnostics. Resistance to antibiotics is reported only in some pathogens of the Chlamydiaceae family, but routine screening may assess the actual situation in all pathogens. Due to the diverse nature of the pathogen, the organism necessitates the One Health partnerships to have complete understanding. The present review focuses on the zoonotic aspects of avian chlamydiosis with its new insights into the pathogenesis, transmission, treatment, prevention, and control strategies. The review also briefs on the basic understandings and complex epidemiology of avian chlamydiosis, highlighting the need for research on emerging one health perspectives.
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Introduction
Neglected zoonotic diseases (NZDs) are a strenuous challenge for the scientific community because of their complex biology, transmission, and ability to cause infection in multiple host species. Avian chlamydiosis is one among the NZD and pet bird lovers should be aware that it could cause dangerous atypical pneumonia in humans (European Commission 2002). The pathogen is capable of causing spill-over infection in humans from the birds (Jenkins et al. 2018). The importation of exotic pet birds resulted in the easy spread of avian chlamydiosis. The causative agent of avian chlamydiosis is \textit{Chlamydia psittaci} (also known as \textit{Chlamydophila psittaci} (later reunited)), and the infection in humans is named ornithosis/psittacosis/parrot fever/chlamydiosis. The term ornithosis was coined by Dr. Karl Friedrich Meyer (renowned for his work in Chlamydial organisms) (Ramsay 2003). Birds (poultry, pet birds, wild birds) are the natural host, but other animals like cattle, goat, sheep, horse, pig, fox, and dog, including humans, can get the infection (Hogerwerf et al. 2020). The disease has been recognized for long as a serious threat to human health and a worldwide anthropozoonotic disease. Although it is an ancient disease...
described in 1615 by Fra Bartolomeo (Belmas 1714), still there is no accurate diagnostic technique due to the intracellular nature of the pathogen. Recent advancements in culture-independent genomic studies increased knowledge and expanded the diversity of this pathogen. Currently, there are multiple reports of new and unexpected cases of chlamydia associated with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) around the world which highlighted the importance of multi-disciplinary ‘One Health’ collaboration to tackle this pathogen. Around 1% of CAP worldwide is caused by C. psittaci (Hogerwerf et al. 2017).

Psittakos: Greek word: parrot. Ornis: Greek word: Hen. Chlamys: Greek word: mantle.

History

Avian chlamydiosis has a long history from the nineteenth century (1879) when Dr. Jakob Ritter, a Swiss physician first reported psittacosis in humans. He related an epidemic of fatal respiratory disease in humans that might be due to contact with caged parrots and finches (Ritter 1879). The name ‘Psittacosis’ was given when a flu-like infection was transmitted from birds to humans in 1893 (Morange 1895). Initially, it was suspected as a disease of viral origin. In 1893, Edmond Nocard isolated a Gram-negative bacterium from the bone marrow of parrots dying of psittacosis (Bacillus psittacosis). Later in the year 1929–1930, the first pandemic of psittacosis in human being occurred in many countries in Europe and North and South America (more than 700 human cases worldwide) (Ramsay 2003) due to the shipment of Green Amazon parrot from Argentina and the pathogen in human was first identified by Bedson et al. (1930). Levinthal, Coles, and Lillie in 1930 described filterable bodies which are small, present in blood and tissue samples from infected birds and human patients, and in recognition of the fact they were called ‘Levinthal-Coles-Lillie’ (L.C.L.) bodies (Bedson et al. 1930; Meyer and Eddie 1933). Samuel P. Bedson and his co-worker J.O.W. Bland, in 1932 recognized and described for the first time the biphasic (initial body and elementary body) intracellular life cycle of psittacosis from an experiment in mice (Bedson and Bland 1932). In the same year, the first case of zoonotic psittacosis transmitted by a chicken was reported. Later during the period of 1931–1963 several countries, including India reported the occurrence of chlamydiosis. After the invention of electron microscopy in 1966, Chlamydiae were classified as bacteria as they own DNA, RNA, ribosomes, and a similar cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria (Matsumoto and Manire 1970; Harkinezhad et al. 2009). Later molecular diagnostic techniques were developed after the invention of polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Various outbreaks throughout the world generated much attention among researchers. Several years of research ultimately led to the characterization and description of the bacterium, Chlamydia psittaci. At present, C. psittaci has been contemplated as the classical pathogen with worldwide endemicity. For the past two decades, many reports across the world have been reported as small local outbreak clusters or as isolated cases (Ito et al. 2002; Berk et al. 2008; Gaede et al. 2008; Matsui et al. 2008; Sachse et al. 2015b; Arenas-Valls et al. 2017; Weygaerde et al. 2018).

Aetiology, host, and transmission

The taxonomic classification of the family Chlamydiaceae (order Chlamydiales, phylum Chlamydiae) with more than 15 distinct species are obligate intracellular, coccoid, gram-negative bacteria sharing a unique conserved biphasic life cycle in hosts (Pospischil 2009; Cheong et al. 2019; Arnaud and Van Wettere 2020). All the Chlamydial species will affect different hosts, but the disease pathology is highly similar. The chlamydia research community did not widely use the proposed subdivision of the genus into Chlamydia and Chlamydomphila (based on 16S and 23S rRNA) because the organisms in the order Chlamydiales share >80% of gene sequences based on 16S and 23S rRNA analysis (Everett et al. 1999a). The single genus of Chlamydia was adopted by the International Committee on the systematics of prokaryotes in the year 2010 (Greub 2010; Horn and Class 2010; Sachse et al. 2015a). The C. psittaci poses a single circular chromosome with a genomic size of 1.17 Mb, GC% content 39.1%, 1026 genes, and 973 proteins (Grinblat-Huse et al. 2011). The cell wall consists of lipopolysaccharides, Major Outer Membrane Protein (MOMP), and cysteine-rich proteins. The ompA gene, which codes for the MOMP, has four variable regions and five conserved regions (Fig. 1). C. psittaci is the primary causative agent with high zoonotic risk, and there are other species C. avium, C. gallinacea, C. ibidis (Candidatus taxon), C. buteonis identified in the recent past suggested the complex etiology of avian chlamydiosis (Table 1) (Vorimore et al. 2013; Sachse et al. 2014; Larouc au et al. 2019; Li et al. 2020). These species may not be the last chlamydial species to be identified in birds. Many studies documented various non-classified Chlamydiaceae species mainly from seabirds (Isaksson et al. 2015), pigeons, and ducks (Zocevic et al. 2013). Analysis of these newly identified species may increase the diversity probably far greater than currently conceived (Mitura et al. 2014; Taylor-Brown and Polkinghorne 2017). C. gallinacea DNA was detected in whole blood samples, milk, faeces, and vaginal swabs in healthy dairy and beef cattle in China (Li et al. 2016). This study suggested the possibility of transmission of Chlamydia sp. from birds to ruminants. C. gallinacea is a common pathogen in chickens and has the faecal-oral and vertical route of transmission among poultry (Guo et al.
In France, atypical pneumonia incidences in slaughterhouse workers coincided with the isolation of *C. gallinacea* from infected domestic poultry (Laroucau et al. 2009a). Contrarily, the zoonotic potential of *C. avium* is unknown. The whole-genome sequence of *C. avium* showed the variation in virulence gene content, and further genomic studies are required for finding new insights into the virulence and evolution of this pathogen (Floriano et al. 2020). Five major types of analysis distinguish chlamydia species:

- 16S and 23S rRNA full length,
- *rrn* spacer (16S–23S intergenic spacer),
- 16S and 23S ribosomal genes signature sequences (Everett et al. 1999b),
- RNase P RNA gene (*rnpB*) encoding a ribonucleoprotein complex (Herrmann et al. 2000), and
- Outer membrane protein A (*ompA*) gene.

In the 1990s, the serotype classification of *C. psittaci* used monoclonal antibodies against the MOMP revealed aggregation of six avian (A–F) and two mammalian (WC in cattle and M56 in muskrat) serotypes and they have distinct host specificity. Different serotypes have been isolated from different avian species like serotype A in psittacine birds, B and C in both ducks and geese, D in turkeys, E mainly in pigeons (also in other avian species), and F in parakeets and turkeys. Serotype A is often reported in human zoonotic cases (Andersen 1991; Vanrompay et al. 1993). In recent times, genotypic classification is commonly used because of its convenience and rapidity. *C. psittaci* initially had several classical genotypes with relevant host specificity. The genomic sequence encoding the outer membrane protein (*ompA*) is used to classify genotypes, which are employed to study isolates from infected birds and isolates in mammals (Table 2) (Madani and Peighambari 2013; Hogerwerf et al. 2020). Some additional genotypes have been established in psittacine and wild birds later. All identified genotypes are considered capable of transmission to humans. The agent has been classified as a Category B pathogen by CDC due to its potential as a biological warfare agent, and it is a notifiable disease both in humans and birds (Rotz et al. 2002).
The bacteria have a biphasic developmental cycle (Fig. 2) of 48–72 hours with four distinct morphological forms as an elementary body (EB), reticulate body (RB), intermediate body (IB), and the persistent aberrant body (AB). EB (size: 0.2 µm) are the infectious, non-replicating form, and present extracellular (Costerton et al. 1976). EB will survive in the environment for months due to its ability to resist drying. The RB (size: 0.8 µm) are fragile, non-infectious, intracellular form present in the reproductive stage, and also known as the initial body. During the transition between RB and EB, morphologically, IB can be noticed in the host cells. The RB will undergo persistence in stressed environmental conditions and termed as AB where it remains viable but non-infectious. Aberrant bodies accumulate chromosomes, but genes for cell division are no longer expressed until the stress-inducing factors like antibiotics, nutrient deprivation,

**Table 2** Genotype classification of *Chlamydia psittaci* and their hosts

| Genotype (subgroups) | Endemic hosts | Other hosts |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| A (A- VS1, A-6BC, A-8455) | Psittacine birds (Psittacidae) | Turkeys, ducks, pigeons, and Passeriformes |
| B | Pigeons (Columbiformes) | Chickens, turkeys, ducks, Psittacidae, and Passeriformes |
| C | Waterfowl (Anseriformes), such as ducks and geese | Chickens, ducks, and pigeons |
| D(D-NJ1, D-9 N) | Turkeys | Pigeons, chickens |
| E, CAL-10, MP, OR MN | Humans | Turkeys, pigeons, ducks, ostriches, and rheas |
| F | Psittacine isolates VS225, prk Daruma, 84/2334 (110), and 10,433-MA | Belgian turkey farm |
| E/B (EB-E30, EB-859, EB-KKCP,) | Ducks | Parrots, turkeys, and pigeons |
| M56 | Outbreak in muskrats and hares | |
| WC | Outbreak of enteritis in cattle | |

**Fig. 2** Life cycle of *chlamydia*
or immune factors—interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) in particular, are removed. It is being said that the type III secretion system plays an essential role in host–pathogen interaction.

Globally, *C. psittaci* was found in more than 500 avian species (belongs to 30 orders) of domestic, companion, wild, and free-living birds (Kaleta and Taday 2003; Knittler and Sachse 2015). The isolation of the bacteria was successfully carried out in more than 100 species of birds. Infection was found to be higher in pigeons and psittacine birds, and the bacteria could also affect 32 mammalian species. Avian species are the primary host and humans as an accidental/accidental host for *C. psittaci*. Trans-ovarian transmission in birds has been reported. Human gets an infection through direct contact with plumage, and tissues of infected birds. Inhalation is another primary route of infection in humans and dried infective droppings, improper disposal of infected carcasses, aborted foetus or placenta, and secretions or dust from feathers are the source of infection. Intermittent shedding of the pathogen by carriers is an important reservoir for both birds and humans. Human infections are mostly reported from contact with psittacine birds, but there are reports of ornithosis in persons who worked in poultry, and slaughterhouses. The disease severity varies from unapparent to fatal in untreated patients (Vanrompay 2020).

**Avian chlamydiosis in birds and animals**

*C. psittaci* is capable of infecting all species of birds, but the range of susceptibility will vary. Other Chlamydial species like *C. avium* and *C. gallinacea* have a wide range of host specificity, but the *C. ibidis* and *C. buteonis* are host specific. The disease in birds is characterized by respiratory, digestive, or systemic infections. It can affect both wild and domestic birds worldwide with acute, subacute, or chronic forms. Among poultry, turkeys and ducks are more susceptible than chickens (Lin et al. 2019; Shivaprasad et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018; Mattmann et al. 2019). Infected birds do not always exhibit signs of disease and latent infection is common in birds where intermittent or chronic disease with recurrent shedding can be noticed. Some strains are quiescent in birds, and infection occurs in favourable conditions. The severity of the infection will depend on the age and species of the bird; the type of chlamydial strain also plays a role. The disease incidence is captured frequently as a result of poor nutrition, overcrowding, and physiological stress. In birds, the bacteria infect both mucosal epithelial cells and macrophages of the respiratory tract. In domestic fowl, the infection causes both mortality and morbidity based on the virulence of the strain. Apart from poultry, chlamydiosis can cause serious economic losses in duck and turkey farming. Egg production may reduce by 10–20%. International trade of pet birds could damage, if the PCR screening finds positive of *C. psittaci* because the test should be negative for 12 months period before the transport.

Birds can transmit the infection to their offspring by regurgitation, and it may cause a chronic form of chlamydiosis. The isolation of the pathogen from domestic birds like ducks and in companion birds like parrots pose them as a neglected reservoir and may act as a public health threat to large-scale poultry production. A systematic review on the zoonotic transmission of avian chlamydiosis revealed that more than Psittaciiformes other poultry birds like ducks, chickens, and turkeys are having more substantial evidence for the source of human psittacosis (Hogerwerf et al. 2020). Symptoms in pet birds include lethargy, decreased appetite, ruffled feathers, ocular or nasal discharge, diarrhoea and/or green to yellow-green droppings and in post mortem identification splenomegaly with subscapular haemorrhages is a typical lesion, especially in psittacine birds. The symptoms are different based on strain and the host species and induce pericarditis, pneumonia, hepatitis, splenitis, and/or air sacculitis occasionally with fatal outcomes. In acute conditions, upper respiratory signs, lethargy, and green faeces will be noticed, in chronic conditions, a sick unthrifty bird with a poor feather coat will be seen (Fig. 3). It has been said that bacteria may use the blood monocytes or macrophages as a portal to disseminate in the host body.

Prevalence of chlamydial organisms in wild birds has been reported from Poland with high positivity of *C. psittaci* (Krawiec et al. 2015). Many studies suggested the possibility of wildlife as a reservoir for chlamydial zoonosis. *C. psittaci* has been isolated from clinically normal livestock like cattle, goat, sheep, pigs, and rodents, showing their importance in reservoir and source of transmission (Radomski et al. 2016; Li et al. 2016; Taylor-Brown and Polkinghorne 2017). Feline pneumonitis caused by *C. psittaci* has also been reported. A polymerase chain reaction (PCR) targeting 16S rRNA with high-resolution melt (HRM) curve analysis revealed new chlamydial agents and the presence of *C. psittaci* in crocodiles (Robertson et al. 2010) and other reports in koalas, African clawed frogs (Vanrompay et al. 1995). The transmission of infection from non-avian domestic animals to humans is not proven so far. Chlamydial species like *C. abortus, C. pneumoniae, and C. pecorum* that affect mammals have not been reported from poultry.

**Avian chlamydiosis in humans**

The occurrence of ornithosis in humans depends on multiple factors like the intensity of exposure, microbial factors, and route of transmission (Rybarczyk et al. 2020). High-risk groups include persons who work in poultry processing plants, pet shop employees, veterinarians, and bird owners who are having infected pet birds. Many zoonotic infections
are reported from Psittaciformes (Feng et al. 2016). Humans are incidental and dead-end hosts. Disease in humans caused by three important modes of transmission: 1) inhalation, 2) direct contact (mouth-to-beak contact) and handling of plumage, 3) bite wounds (Harkinezhad et al. 2009). According to the CDC, the incubation period is 5–14 days and may extend in humans. In humans, chlamydiosis not only targets the reproductive tract but also the respiratory tract causing interstitial pneumonia. Studies in trachoma patients showed the presence of \( C. psittaci \), either alone or in combination with \( C. trachomatis \) (Dean et al. 2013). Symptoms in humans include fever, chills, headache, myalgia, and malaise with or without respiratory symptoms. Other organs may also be affected and may cause endocarditis, myocarditis, hepatitis, arthritis, conjunctivitis, and encephalitis (Fig. 4).

The worldwide prevalence of human ornithosis infection is low. A study conducted in Germany based on real-time PCR analysis detected a higher percentage of patients positive for \( C. psittaci \) (2.1%) infection than \( C. pneumoniae \) (1.4%) (Dumke et al., 2015). Human infections from wild birds have not been widely documented. Shreds of evidence are available for the direct contact of wild bird feeders or contaminated faecal matter and indirect environmental contact of psittacine faeces as major zoonotic risk factors for contracting an infection (Rehn et al. 2013; Branley et al. 2016; Burnard and Polkinghorne 2016). Persons with pneumonia and a history of recent contact with a diseased or dead bird suspected of chlamydial infection should always be suspected for psittacosis. Human-to-human transmission also can occur but is exceptionally rare apart from a sporadically reported outbreak (CDC 2000; McGuigan et al. 2012; Wallensten et al. 2014).

**Birds + Equine = ornithosis in humans**

Zoonotic psittacosis originating from birds is usually under-diagnosed (Polkinghorne et al. 2020). Chlamydiosis in the equine will have different outcomes like bronchopneumonia accompanied by abortions in mares, hepatitis, fatal cases of encephalomyelitis, and polyarthritis in foals (Reinhold 2013). Transmission of \( C. psittaci \) from birds to the horse has been documented and abortion in mares is the common sign reported (Szeredi et al. 2005; Gough et al. 2020). Furthermore, the transmission of \( C. psittaci \) from equine to humans is not well characterized. Later, it was found that \( C. psittaci \) could be transmitted from equine to human as reported in 2014 in New South Wales, Australia, during an outbreak of respiratory illness in veterinary school students who handled the mare foetal membranes which delivered a premature foal. The detailed molecular epidemiological investigation revealed that infection of \( C. psittaci \) occurred from mare to humans with strain belonged to the most pathogenic groups of \( C. psittaci \) 6BC clade (Fig. 5) (Polkinghorne and Greub 2017; Chan et al. 2017; Jenkins et al. 2018). This spill-over infection evidence potentiated the environmental contamination with bird faeces and mammal to mammal transmission of \( C. psittaci \) and showed a new zoonotic risk of this chlamydial pathogen. Whether the problem is in some countries only or represents a worldwide issue has to be...
Fig. 4 Clinical manifestations in humans

Fig. 5 Phylogeny relationship of *C. psittaci* based on MOMP gene sequence
identified. The exact mechanism of transmission remains unclear. *C. psittaci* can develop stable infections in horses or only the result of sporadic ‘spill-over’ from a natural host (Jelocnik et al. 2018). Routine equine health surveillance of *C. psittacci* can help to understand the importance of this pathogen in horses. Serological diagnosis is the most practical and easy way to do this; however, this method is unvalued in chlamydiales because of strong cross-reactivity with other organisms. The zoonotic risk association of equine in *C. psittacci* infection should be taken into consideration with differential diagnosis of respiratory infections in human medicine.

**Immunity against chlamydia**

The innate immune system is an important key regulator in the control of chlamydial infection. Neutrophils are the first line of defence, and it utilizes reactive oxygen intermediate as well as some of the enzymes to eliminate the infection. Furthermore, dendritic cells and activated T cells also involved in an effective immune response. It also effectively activates the complement-mediated immune system against elementary bodies (Fedorko et al. 1987). Primarily, dendritic cells are involved in eliciting innate and adaptive immune system (Engering et al. 2002). Cytokines such as IL-12, IFN-γ, and TNF are secreted from various immune cells that effectively help to eradicate chlamydial infection. This bacterium has evolved to evade host immune response. Furthermore, cytokines from macrophages are downregulated during initial infection, and proper immune evasion mechanisms are not very well understood. Moreover, upregulation of replication, inclusion stabilization, protein processing, and molecular chaperone genes are imperative for the successful establishment and dissemination of the infection. Autophagy-mediated killing is also inhibited by preventing the lysosomal fusion to autophagosomes (Braukmann et al. 2012). It has also been proved that the organism has high antibacterial resistance apart from immune escaping mechanisms. To overcome this problem, many researchers developed the T cell-based vaccine which provides longer, effective cellular immunity and memory T cell response to equalise the humoral immunity failure (Karunakaran et al. 2015).

**New epidemiological dimensions**

Most of the countries usually report only a smaller number of cases, but experts believe that the disease is potentially under-reported and under-diagnosed. The persistence of chlamydia in hosts for an extended period as asymptomatic infections is the major problem for the under-reporting. There are some hypothesized reasons for this persistence infections such as stress sensing and certain gene expressions of the organism. But the whole concept of persistence stage is still not understood clearly. Isolation of the bacteria from nest mite and avian lice show their possible role in the mechanical transmission (Eddie et al. 1962; Circella et al. 2011). The intestinal tract in some species appears to be the natural habitat for chlamydia. Rarely, birds may appear healthy and shed the bacteria in their droppings. Young birds tend to be more susceptible than older birds. In turkeys, genotype D causes severe respiratory outbreaks and high mortality. A high number of outbreaks in ducks were reported from China and Europe (Yin et al. 2013, 2015; Vorimore et al. 2015). It could be due to the handling of ducks or the presence of virulent strains. Concurrent infections or stress increase the severity of the disease. In 2013, an outbreak of psittacosis occurred in a group of 15 women aged between 42 and 67 who were involved in chicken gutting activities in France lead to the euthanization of all birds (Laroucau et al. 2015). The bacteria were identified in tumour conditions like ocular adnexal MALT (mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue) lymphomas (OAMLs), with high prevalence both in tumour tissue and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Collina et al. 2012). *C. psittaci* seems to be present as a viable organism within lymphomatous tumours and has a preferred location as pathogen-exposed tissues. *Chlamydia* spp. are known to block the apoptotic process and also have mitogenic properties (Elwell et al. 2016). *C. psittaci* infections and presence of MALT lymphomas have strong correlations. The co-existence of both the infections is reported in Germany (about 47%), the East Coast of the USA (about 35%), and the Netherlands (about 29%) (Chanudet et al. 2006).

A comprehensive and systematic analysis on the prevalence of *C. psittaci* in Ocular Adnexa Lymphoma specimens revealed high variability in different countries with higher prevalence in Italy and South Korea, and it is associated with MALT histotype (Travaglino et al. 2020). Recent reports of isolation of avian *C. abortus* strain from Eurasian Teal and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based confirmation in Polish wildfowl suggested that birds as a potential carrier for this zoonotic pathogen (Szymańska-Czerwińska et al. 2017a, b; Zaręba-Marchewka et al. 2019). Still, the geographical origin, infectivity, and pathogenicity are to be explored. Furthermore, *Chlamydia buteonis* was reclaimed by whole-genome sequencing in a red-tailed hawk, which is an intermediary between *C. abortus* and *C. psittacci* (Laroucau et al. 2019). Scientists are hypothesizing the emergence of any novel species that may be the result of recombination events from birds-of-prey/predators or other scavengers. Studies on *C. psittacci* in non-avian domestic animals and wildlife are less and suggested the mixed infections with other Chlamydial species (Fig. 6). Epidemiological findings speculated
that the transfer of pathogen to non-avian species like cattle makes them less or no virulence, and some studies suggested that it may be due to less infectious dose. Co-infection of C. psittaci with other pathogens like avian influenza will trigger the infections by damaging macrophages (Chu et al. 2020).

### Occupational importance

Psittacosis is an occupational health hazard for many people whose work brings them into contact with birds. It mainly includes bird fanciers, racing pigeons’ keepers, pigeon fanciers, poultry production workers, diagnostic laboratories employees, domestic bird breeders, pet shop employees, poultry processing workers, veterinary clinics employees, public health inspectors, quarantine facilities employees, and exotic and bird dealers (Canadian Centre for Occupational Health & Safety 2020). Reports of high-risk occupational exposure are mainly reported in bird fanciers and poultry industry workers but its importance is not relatively known as avian influenza. Multiple numbers of outbreaks in the ‘poultry processing plants’ (PPP) have been described in the past and the recent works of literature (Yung and Grayson 1988; Newman et al. 1992; Andersen and Vanrompay 2003; Verminnen et al. 2006; Laroucau et al. 2009b; Dickx et al. 2010). Most of the infections are asymptomatic in humans, and the outbreaks are sporadic, but there is a significant chance for morbidity and mortality. Hence, a thorough identification of those workers at risk is mandatory.

### Indian perspective

In India, since the 1970s, seroepidemiological studies have been conducted both in southern and northern regions (especially from Himachal Pradesh). There is a lack of strain-level studies that could not able to give the exact picture of C. psittaci infection in India. In livestock, C. psittaci contributes to as many as 30.9% of abortion cases in sheep but comparatively less in goats (16.3%). However, the association of chlamydial organisms with a respiratory infection is reported to be 18.5% in goats and 12.1% in sheep. Reports are supporting the important role played by C. psittaci in both respiratory and reproductive infections in sheep (Lenzko et al. 2011) and cattle (Reinhold et al. 2011). Bhardwaj et al. (2017) conducted a study, and they reported that only a few strains of C. psittaci are circulating in the region of Himachal Pradesh and apart from the respiratory problems, C. psittaci causes reproductive issues also in the livestock population. Sero assays conducted by antigens developed from the local strain of C. psittaci concluded that chlamydial infections are endemic amongst ruminants in five states (Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Jammu and Kashmir) of India, which may represent one of the causes of pneumonia, abortion, arthritis, enteritis, and other clinical outcomes (Chahota et al. 2015). Interestingly, C. psittaci has been isolated from the cervidae family in tissues and faeces samples in the North-Western Himalayan region (Rattan et al. 2005). The prevalence study based on serological tests of C. psittaci in mules and horses in the area of Himachal Pradesh was observed to be 23.80% and 16.66%.

---

Fig. 6 Possible transmission routes of Chlamydia psittaci

---
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respectively. (Kumar et al. 2007). In the same region, an attempt was made to find out the prevalence of *C. psittaci* in feral birds such as Pigeons, Parrots, Crows, House sparrows, Doves, Indian mynahs, and nightingale. These birds are usually feed in and around the poultry farms and there is a high chance for transmission of chlamydiosis infection from the carrier or clinically sick feral birds to the poultry birds. In the study, they reported that a total of 16 isolates from intestinal contents/faecal swabs (9/16) and pooled visceral organs (7/16) has been isolated, from parrots (26.31%), crows (18.18%), and pigeons (16.36%) (Chahota et al. 1997).

**Diagnosis**

Two methods are employed for the diagnosis of any disease: the first method is the detection of antibodies and the second one is the detection of antibodies (Fig. 7). Higher the infective organism load combined with the acute nature of the disease help in higher chance of disease diagnosis (Sachse et al. 2009a, b). Standard diagnostic approaches for chlamydial species are rare because of their wide range of hosts, affecting multiple tissues/organs and complicated disease outcomes. Additionally, the intracellular lifestyle of *Chlamydiae* having considerable implications in diagnosis, because it cannot be grown on agar plates and need cell culture for isolation. In live birds, chlamydiosis is usually diagnosed by isolating *C. psittaci* from faeces, cloacal swabs, pharyngeal or nasal swabs, peritoneal exudate, or conjunctival scrapings. Cytological staining’s like Giemsa, Gimenez, modified Gimenez, Ziehl–Neelsen, and Macchiavello stains of smears from exudates, impressions of tissues, and histological preparations will identify the infectious bodies (Campbell 2015) but none of the stains will specifically detect chlamydia so that losing its popularity.

Immunofluorescence and immunohistochemical staining for the identification of chlamydial organism in smears and sections are usually done with commercially available kits. *C. psittaci* is isolated in embryonated eggs, laboratory animals, or cell cultures of African green monkey (Vero), buffalo green monkey (BGM), McCoy, or L cells.

The isolation of the organism is no longer recommended for diagnosis because of its potential hazard to laboratory personnel, time-consuming, and laborious. The identification of the genetic material of the bacteria by nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT) is highly recommended because of its quick, sensitive, and specific diagnosis. The techniques include conventional and real-time polymerase chain reaction, DNA microarray-based detection, and DNA sequencing. Quantification of the bacteria based on standard cell culture method is laborious, and now an alternative way of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) quantitative PCR showed 15 times more sensitive and accurate with Light Cycler® has been developed (Huang et al. 2001). Serological diagnosis includes methods like elementary body agglutination, antibody ELISA (detects IgA, IgG, IgM), modified complement fixation, direct and indirect immunofluorescence. The recent infection can be determined by the elementary body agglutination test, which detects IgM. Compared to agglutination methods, complement fixation methods are more sensitive. ELISA is highly sensitive when used with recombinant proteins and peptides as antigen targets (Verminnen et al. 2006; Sachse et al. 2009a, b). High antibody titres may persist after treatment and complicate the evaluation of subsequent tests (OIE 2018). The development of monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) against epitopes specific to the MOMP are exceptional tools for species, genus, or serovar-specific chlamydial diagnosis. On necropsy in birds, the gross post mortem lesions like splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, air sac changes, and fibrinous pericarditis.
will be noticed, but not pathognomonic (Borel et al. 2018). Lesions are usually absent in latent infection. There are no ‘gold standard’ tests to identify recent infections in humans. Usually, the laboratory diagnosis involves the use of microimmunofluorescence (MIF), CFT, and PCR. Previously testing paired sera for antibodies of Chlamydia by CFT was usually done, but seronegative in hospitalized patients were seen. Rapid identification of infection in humans was enabled by PCR and could identify the source by genotyping but has the disadvantage of high sensitivity only in the acute phase. In a practical scheme, a combination of a serologic and an antigen detection test like PCR is necessary to confirm chlamydiosis. As per the data available from USA CDC, the mortality rate psittacosis would be <1% if diagnosed earlier and properly treated (CDC 2020). Genetically, variable genes (omp2 and ompA), conserved genes (16S rRNA, 23S rRNA), and some other targets, such as KDO transferase, GroEL chaperonin, and ompB, are used for both analyzing species/strain level variations in field studies and detection of Chlamydiae (Bhardwaj et al. 2017).

Treatment

Chlamydial organisms are sensitive to different antibiotics like quinolones, tetracyclines, and macrolides but these antibiotics are not bactericidal (Table 3). So, there is a long-time persistence of antibiotics necessary to eliminate the pathogen from the body, and also, the effective immune response is helping to complete elimination (Rodolakis and Mohamad 2010). Treatment for avian chlamydiosis in animals is usually done with tetracycline (e.g., Doxycycline) or fluoroquinolones (e.g., enrofloxacin) either in oral (drinking water/feed) or parenteral (Intramuscular/ subcutaneous) routes (Bommana and Polkinghorne 2019). The drug of choice for chlamydiosis treatment are chlorotetracycline and doxycycline, to eliminate clinical disease and faecal shedding. Chlorotetracycline and doxycycline are effective against actively multiplying organisms. Infected birds should receive antibiotics in the cooked mash or pelleted feed continuously for at least 45 days, except in budgerigars (budgies or parakeets), where 30 days of treatment can be effective, but it may lead to subtherapeutic plasma concentrations (Vanrompay et al. 1995). Recent studies showed the effectiveness of 21–30 days of treatment but recommended the retest with PCR after 2–4 weeks of treatment. For persistant infections, experimental models suggest the usage of tetracycline or rifampicin for effective recovery. Oxytetracycline and doxycycline residues persist in laying hen eggs for 9 and 26 days, respectively, following 7 days of administration at 0.5 g/L. Resistance to antibiotics by C. psittaci was not reported, but some in vivo studies suggested the possibility of drug resistance strains (Prohl et al. 2015). In the Chlamydiae family, C. suis (recently identified as a zoonotic pathogen) is the only bacteria that showed the homotypic antibiotic resistance against tetracycline conferred by acquired resistance gene (tetA) through horizontal gene transfer (Joseph et al. 2016; Seth-Smith et al. 2017; Marti et al. 2018; Unterweger et al. 2020). The entry of persistence state by bacterium could be possible by treating the infection with Penicillin G, azithromycin which leads to chronic infections and antibiotic failure (Goellner et al. 2006; Zheng et al. 2015; Leonard et al. 2017; Xue et al. 2017).

Although antibiotic resistance is not reported in C. psittaci, the routine screening of field isolates, development of standardized antibiotic susceptibility assays, pre- and post-antibiotic treatment surveillance may assess the actual situation. Treatment in humans with tetracycline

| Species | Antibiotic treatment | Dose | Route of administration | References |
|---------|----------------------|------|------------------------|------------|
| Birds   | Chlortetracycline, Doxycycline or enrofloxacin | 500–5000 ppm according to the species and food in case of Chlortetracycline, 1000 mg/kg -Doxycycline and 250–1000 ppm -enrofloxacin | Oral administration through feed or water and/or Intramuscular injection. Duration of treatment varies | Rodolakis and Mohamad 2010 |
| Cattle  | Doxycycline | 10 mg kg/day twice daily for 14 days | Orally, | Prohl et al. 2015 |
| Human   | Doxycycline, Minocycline | 100 mg | Orally or intravenously, two times per day, 7–10 days | Beeckman and Vanrompay 2009; Balsamo et al. 2017 |
|         | Tetracycline | 500 mg | Orally, 4 times/day, 7–10 days | Balsamo et al. 2017 |
| Pregnant women and children under age eight years | Azithromycin or Erythromycin | 250–500 mg | Orally, 7 days | Balsamo et al. 2017 |
(preferably doxycycline, orally) should be continued for 10 to 14 days even after the control of fever (Beeckman and Vanrompay 2009). In children and pregnant women who are contraindicated to use tetracycline, a better alternative is macrolide antibiotics like erythromycin or azithromycin (dose: 250–500 mg, orally four times for 7 days) is used. The case fatality rate will be 1–5% with treatment and goes 10–20% without treatment. Ovo transferrin showed a promising result as an alternative to antimicrobials in the reduction of clinical signs, lesions, excretion, and replication in experimentally infected SPF turkeys (Van Droogenbroeck et al. 2008, 2011).

Prevention and control

Vaccination

No commercial vaccine is available for avian chlamydiosis but attempts were made from 1978 by targeting whole-cell preparations and trailed in sheep, mice, and cats. The trials gave only partial protection, and so there is no well-established, commercialized, and effective vaccination (Nichols et al. 1978; Johnson and Hobson 1986; Greub 2010). In 1999, plasmid DNA vaccines for turkeys by expressing MOMP of serovar A and different routes of administration had been tried, but they failed to induce specific antigenic response (Vanrompay et al. 1999, 2001). Since 2000, only a limited number of trials were conducted with different antigenic targets but they gave only partial protection (Loots et al. 2006; Qiu et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2015; Liang et al. 2016; Ran et al. 2017). Though there are many antigenic targets, the development of whole-cell vaccines is giving promising results overall. A comprehensive review of chlamydial vaccine research by Phillips et al. (2019) revealed that a total of 220 vaccine trials had been conducted and it included 23 vaccine trials (10.5%) in C. psittaci organism in a range of different hosts, including, mice, sheep, birds, Guinea pigs, and cats. There is a need for effective vaccines in humans because of its aerosolization potential to take measures to protect persons at high risk from becoming infected (Van Droogenbroeck et al. 2009; Halsby et al. 2014). Monitoring can be done by a sensitive technique that uses PCR or culture to examine an air collection medium called ChlamyTrap, which will reduce the infection pressure (Van Droogenbroeck et al. 2009). Maintain accurate records of all bird-related transactions. Chlamydiae are usually susceptible to chemicals that will affect the lipid content or their cell wall integrity (Van Lent et al. 2016). Infectivity of the organism will be destroyed rapidly with the use of disinfections like 1:1000 dilution of quaternary ammonium compounds, 70% isopropyl alcohol, 1% Lysol, 1:100 dilution of household bleach, benzalkonium chloride, alcoholic iodine solution, 3% hydrogen peroxide, and silver nitrate. Applying a detergent antiseptic to wet the feathers of dead birds may reduce exposure to the bacterium. The organism may die at the temperature at 56°C for 5 min and destroyed in 3 min when exposed to ultraviolet light. Worldwide, authorities like World Organisation of Animal Health (OIE) (https://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.03.01_AVIAN_CHLAMYD.pdf), European Union, US CDC (https://www.cdc.gov/pneumonia/atypical/psittacosis/about/prevention.html), National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Canadian Ministry of Health (https://www.rcdhu.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Mgmt-of-Aavian-Chlamydiosis-Guide line_2019.pdf), and New South Wales Government (https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/controlguideline/Pages/psittacosis.aspx) have issued standardized procedures and guidelines to control the C. psittaci infections. Specific standards for handling the pathogen in the laboratory are also available because of its biological risk. There are specific recommendations for the control of disease transmission between humans and birds like, risk education about psittacosis, risk reduction when caring for exposed or ill birds, accurate records maintenance of all bird-related transactions for a minimum of 1 year to support in source identification of infected birds and potentially exposed persons, selling or purchasing of birds with signs of avian chlamydiosis should be avoided, strict quarantine of exposed birds or newly acquired birds and isolation of birds with unknown status about C. psittaci should be tested before they are sold on consignment (Balsamo et al. 2017).

Biosecurity and disinfection

Due to the lack of effective vaccines, there is a need for risk reduction strategies to reduce human morbidity. Minimum biosecurity measures should be taken while handling pet birds and poultry (Balsamo et al. 2017). Since the infectious material is airborne, persons should wear masks in poultry farms, and necropsy examination should be done with appropriate personal protective equipment. Aerosol monitoring can be done by a sensitive technique that uses PCR or culture to examine an air collection medium called ChlamyTrap, which will reduce the infection pressure (Van Droogenbroeck et al. 2009). Maintain accurate records of all bird-related transactions. Chlamydiae are usually susceptible to chemicals that will affect the lipid content or their cell wall integrity (Van Lent et al. 2016). Infectivity of the organism will be destroyed rapidly with the use of disinfections like 1:1000 dilution of quaternary ammonium compounds, 70% isopropyl alcohol, 1% Lysol, 1:100 dilution of household bleach, benzalkonium chloride, alcoholic iodine solution, 3% hydrogen peroxide, and silver nitrate. Applying a detergent antiseptic to wet the feathers of dead birds may reduce exposure to the bacterium. The organism may die at the temperature at 56°C for 5 min and destroyed in 3 min when exposed to ultraviolet light. Worldwide, authorities like World Organisation of Animal Health (OIE) (https://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.03.01_AVIAN_CHLAMYD.pdf), European Union, US CDC (https://www.cdc.gov/pneumonia/atypical/psittacosis/about/prevention.html), National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Canadian Ministry of Health (https://www.rcdhu.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Mgmt-of-Aavian-Chlamydiosis-Guide line_2019.pdf), and New South Wales Government (https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/controlguideline/Pages/psittacosis.aspx) have issued standardized procedures and guidelines to control the C. psittaci infections. Specific standards for handling the pathogen in the laboratory are also available because of its biological risk. There are specific recommendations for the control of disease transmission between humans and birds like, risk education about psittacosis, risk reduction when caring for exposed or ill birds, accurate records maintenance of all bird-related transactions for a minimum of 1 year to support in source identification of infected birds and potentially exposed persons, selling or purchasing of birds with signs of avian chlamydiosis should be avoided, strict quarantine of exposed birds or newly acquired birds and isolation of birds with unknown status about C. psittaci should be tested before they are sold on consignment (Balsamo et al. 2017).

Conclusion

The surveillance system of the chlamydial pathogen was improved in the last two decades with development of technologies. It is necessary to have an awareness on the transmission and pathogenic potential of avian chlamydiosis in humans, which can guide surveillance efforts for public health authorities. Laboratories should include this pathogen in routine diagnosis of atypical pneumonia cases, which could likely increase cases. Though it is hardly followed
in many situations, One Health partnership between human and veterinary stakeholders will be the vanguard of efforts to answer these questions for C. psittaci and other zoonotic agents. There is a need for better serological testing methods to use in epidemiological studies and explore the different transmission pathways, including equine to human transmission. Improvement in the diagnostic techniques would allow for better public health risk characterization of C. psittaci transmission especially from horses to humans and assist to obtain a better understanding of the comprehensive spectrum of disease. Currently, tests on nucleic acid amplification are considered state-of-the-art methods to diagnose chlamydia infections in animals and humans due to their sensitivity and specificity compared with other tests. Studies to identify the zoonotic links from wild birds, poultry farms, and slaughter-houses could be beneficial to public health.
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