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Abstract

Background and objectives: Ideally every child must have access to preventive and restorative care of greater quality. However, in rural areas, resources and dental care services are limited. Silver diamine fluoride (SDF) has been identified as an efficient topical cariostatic and preventive medication for managing ECC in children who cannot be treated conventionally. Since SDF is an emerging alternative for caries prevention and arrest, AAPD recommends more practice-based research to evaluate its efficacy. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of silver diamine fluoride at different time durations of application in treatment of carious primary teeth in children with least access to dental care.

Methodology: This was a prospective, randomized, field trial that included patients with primary tooth. Primary tooth presenting carious lesion in ICDAS 3–6 category were randomly assigned to one of the three groups.

Results: At 3-week mean rank of lesions arrested in Group 1 and Group 2 were 73.3 and 72.29, whereas it was 86.9 in case of Group 3. At 6 months it was 79.15, 77.29, and 75.96 in Group 1, 2, and 3, respectively (p > 0.05).

Conclusion: SDF is effective in controlling caries progression in both cavitated and non-cavitated lesion with minimal time duration of application (30 seconds). This approach may be of great utility as an alternative to other expensive preventive and therapeutic methods in communities with limited resources. Nonetheless obtaining caregiver consent is mandatory.
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Introduction

Regardless of sociodemographic factors, conventional dental restorative treatment may not always be affordable or available to entire community. In addition, successful outcome of early childhood caries (ECC) management relies on the cooperation of the child. Until every child get access to high quality dental treatment, there is still a necessity for substitute technique that are easier and more satisfactory to dentist, children and their parents.

Contemporary caries management philosophy has changed from the traditional surgical approach to an adjunct of medical model, which often includes the use of fluoride, chlorhexidine, and sealants. Among them silver diamine fluoride (SDF) has been identified as potential cost-effective, simple, safe, topical cariostatic, and preventive medication. It has been recommended by AAPD in case of, difficult-to-treat lesions and patients with high caries risk, including those with medical or behavioral complications, those who require multiple treatment visits, or those without access to dental care. It was also used as a caries-preventing agent, as well as a cavity sterilizing agent and dentin desensitizer.

Although studies have demonstrated that SDF is effective in treating dental caries, the mechanism of action is unclear. It is the combined effects of silver and fluorides that have been hypothesised to have the ability to halt caries progression and prevent the development of new caries simultaneously. The possible mode of action of SDF for arresting caries may be attributed to its promotion of mineral remineralization, inhibition of mineral demineralization, and protection of the collagen matrix from degradation. Studies suggest that one drop of SDF orally would result in less fluoride ion exposure than 0.25 mL topical treatment of fluoride varnish, which is the recommended topical fluoride therapy for young children. The main drawback of SDF is its esthetic result, it will permanently blackens enamel and dentinal caries lesions and creates a temporary henna-like tattoo if comes in contact with skin.

Since SDF is an emerging alternative for caries prevention and arrest, treatment guidelines are still to develop based on evidence based research. Very few studies assessing the effect of SDF as a caries control agent using ICDAS (International Caries Detection and Assessment System) criteria have been reported in literature.

According to AAPD guidelines, one of the indication for SDF application is, children without access to or with difficulty in accessing dental care. Therefore, socially deprived communities were selected to conduct the study with permission from The Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.
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Director of Department of Child And Women Welfare, Himachal Pradesh (H.P), India.

This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of SDF at different time durations of application in treatment of carious primary teeth which are categorized according to ICDAS criteria.

**Methodology**

Initial screening for dental caries was done among children attended the oral health care camps conducted at three different locations. A total of 35 children from a rural community at Rohru (H.P) and 14 from two orphanages in Shimla were included in the baseline screening.

**Inclusion Criteria**

- Deciduous molar with carious lesion as defined by the ICDAS criteria; restoration/sealant code (first digit–0) and which are limited to dentin.
- Deciduous molar with active untreated non-cavitated carious lesion belonging to ICDAS caries code (second digit 3 and 4) and cavitated carious lesion belonging to ICDAS caries code (second digit 5 and 6).
- Teeth which are not near to its exfoliation age or free from pathologic mobility.

The procedure and its possible discomforts and benefits were explained to the parent/care taker of the children and consent was obtained prior to the treatment. The patients enrolled for the present study were randomly allotted to one of the three groups by the envelope draw method. When multiple teeth falling in inclusion criteria are present in a single patient, the next tooth was allotted to the successive group. Included patients and tooth were designated numbers so as to allow future comparison and study purpose. Moreover, all individuals was provided orientation about dietary and oral hygiene habits.

The teeth were randomly allocated into three groups based on time duration of SDF application:

- In group 1, applied silver diamine fluoride was allowed to absorb for 30 seconds.
- In group 2, applied silver diamine fluoride was allowed to absorb for 60 seconds.
- In group 3, applied silver diamine fluoride was allowed to absorb for 120 seconds.

Each group is further categorized into subgroups according to ICDAS criteria as:

- Subgroup 1–ICDAS 5 and 6 (Cavitated lesion).
- Subgroup 2–ICDAS 3 and 4 (Non-cavitated lesions).

**Clinical Procedure of SDF Application**

One drop of the 38 % SDF (FAgamin) solution was placed in a small plastic dappen dish. An applicator tip was dipped and dampened on the side of the dappen dish to remove excess liquid. Specifically identified tooth was dried and a noninvasive probe (CPITN) was used to detect and confirm visual evidence of caries. Site was isolated with gauze and cotton rolls and carious lesion is gently brushed with the applicator tip dipped in SDF. AAPD guidelines and manufacturer’s directions was followed while application of SDF. Material was allowed to absorb as per predetermined time interval (Group 1–30 seconds, Group 2–60 seconds, and Group 3–120 seconds). During this period lesion is kept isolated and dried with a gentle flow of air using a chip blower. Excess material was removed with cotton pellet to minimize systemic absorption.

As per previous clinical trials on SDF following clinical criteria were evaluated at 3 week, 3 months, and 6 months:

- Dentin color
- Lesion texture

The presence or absence of pain and infection was noted at baseline and at each recall visit using examination and parent interview.

Positive Outcomes: Dark, hard, and black lesions with no pain or infection (inactive or arrested) were considered positive outcomes.

Treatment Failure: Progression of the lesion, a yellow, brown, soft lesion with or without pain or infection (active lesion) indicated treatment failure.

**Statistical Analysis**

The collected data were tabulated and statistically analyzed using Windows IBM SPSS 20.0.

Chi-square test, Kruskal Wallis test followed by Man-Whitney Test as a Post Hoc Test was used to interpret the results.

The null hypothesis was set as there is no significant difference between the results of three groups, that is, \( \eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta_3 \). Whereas alternative hypothesis was there is a significant difference between the results of three groups, that is, \( \eta_1 \neq \eta_2 \neq \eta_3 \). The decision criteria was to reject the null hypothesis if the \( p \)-value is less than 0.05.

**Results**

A total of 176 deciduous molars in 49 children were included to participate in the study. Out of which twenty two teeth were excluded from study due to drop out. Finally, a total of 154 deciduous molar were available with complete 6 months follow-up. Among them the percentage of lesions got arrested at 3 week, 3 months, and 6 months were 70.13% (\( n = 108 \)), 83.77% (\( n = 129 \)), 97.4% (\( n = 150 \)), respectively. In Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3, at the end of 6 months, the percentage of caries arrest were 33.12% (\( n = 51 \)), 31.81% (\( n = 49 \)), 32.47% (\( n = 50 \)), respectively. Among them 51.9% lesions belongs to subgroup 1 (ICDAS 5 and 6) criteria whereas 45.5 % belongs to subgroup 2 (ICDAS 3 and 4).

Table 1 summarizes lesions arrested according to duration of SDF application at each visit.

Although the caries arrest was higher in Group 3 compared to Group 1 and Group 2, this difference was not statistically significant (\( p > 0.05 \)) (Table 1). Further evaluation was done on lesions arrested in each ICDAS criteria according to duration of application of SDF (Table 2). However no significant difference in the lesions arrested was found (\( p > 0.05 \)).

**Discussion**

This randomized clinical study compared the efficacy of different time duration of SDF application in arresting active caries lesions in the primary tooth. Among 42 children included in the present study 76.2% were males whereas 23.8% were females. The reason for this statistically significant disproportionality in male and female ratio is due to participation of orphanages incorporated male children only. The mean age of children participated in the study was 7.55 ± 2.34 years. Although AAPD recommends 1 minute application of SDF, literature reported studies with time duration ranges from 10 seconds to 3 minutes (Table 3). On literature review we found only very few studies regarding the caries arrest rate of SDF evaluated under ICDAS criteria. In...
addition only one study was reported in the literature comparing the caries arresting efficacy of SDF at different time duration of application, in which, although the targeted SDF exposure time was 120 seconds, the reduced cooperation levels of the children ensued in an exposure of 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, and 120 seconds in different candidates.10

In the present study though the caries arrest rate was higher with 120 seconds duration of SDF application as compared to 30 seconds and 90 seconds, this difference was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). This result is in accordance with the study conducted by Clemens et al. They reported 100 % carries arrest with 30 and 90 seconds application duration and 97.3% with 120 seconds. However efficiency of carries arrest does not varied significantly in different time duration of application.10

Cooperation level in young children varies significantly, which further determine the duration of time the carious tooth can be isolated for SDF absorption without saliva contamination. Our result suggest that a minimally cooperative patient should not be a contraindication to SDF use, especially if isolation of the tooth for at least 30 seconds is possible. However Horst recommends longest possible absorption time as it have an additional advantage of decreasing concerns about systemic absorption and need of removing SDF with a posttreatment rinse.1 Removing any excess material with the same cotton used to isolate also minimize systemic absorption.

As per present study a statistically significant higher arrest rate was seen with 30 and 60 seconds application at 6th month and the least at 3rd week (p < 0.05). 120 second applied group also showed higher arrested lesions at 6th month but this difference was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). As per a previous study when biofilm was exposed to 1,000 ppm fluoride solution, exposure of up to 120 seconds increased plaque surface fluoride concentrations only, while 30-minute exposure allowed penetration of fluoride to 900 micrometer into the plaque.18 This explains the possible variation in arrest rate with different time duration, if at all significant. However, clinical relevance or practicality of a 30-minute exposure is disputed, apart from placement of high concentration. Even though Clemens et al. followed a similar methodology, no data is available regarding recall visits except for the final result (6 months). Nonetheless our result is in accordance with a current review which states that application time in clinical studies does not correlate to outcome.3

Present study groups are categorized with ICDAS criteria based on lesion extension and the effectiveness (caries arrest) of different time duration of application of SDF is compared within the subgroups. Results indicated that time duration of application does not have significant difference in carries arrest rate within cavitated (ICDAS 5 and 6) and non-cavitated lesion (ICDAS 3 and 4) at none of the recall visit (p > 0.05). These results were in accordance with that reported by Clemens et al. In an another study although the investigators reported, results for proximal, occlusal, and

### Table 1: Lesions arrested according to duration of SDF application at each visit

| Duration | N | No. of lesions arrested | Mean rank | \(X^2\) | p value |
|----------|---|-------------------------|-----------|-------|--------|
| 3rd week |   |                         |           |       |        |
| Group 1  | 54 | 33                      | 73.33     | 5.659 | 0.059  |
| Group 2  | 49 | 32                      | 72.29     |       |        |
| Group 3  | 51 | 43                      | 86.92     |       |        |
| 3rd month|   |                         |           |       |        |
| Group 1  | 54 | 41                      | 74.31     | 2.379 | 0.304  |
| Group 2  | 49 | 41                      | 75.86     |       |        |
| Group 3  | 51 | 47                      | 82.45     |       |        |
| 6th month|   |                         |           |       |        |
| Group 1  | 54 | 51                      | 79.15     | 0.821 | 0.663  |
| Group 2  | 49 | 49                      | 77.29     |       |        |
| Group 3  | 51 | 50                      | 75.96     |       |        |

### Table 2: Lesions arrested in each ICDAS criteria according to duration of application of SDF

| Subgroup | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Total | \(X^2\) | p value |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|-------|--------|--------|
| Subgroup 1 |         |         |         |       |        |        |
| Group 1  | 1 (50.0%) | 1 (50.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 28 (34.1%) | 0.439  | 0.803 (NS) |
| Group 2  | 1 (50.0%) | 1 (50.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 26 (31.7%) | 1.187  | 0.552 (NS) |
| Group 3  | 0 (0%)   | 0 (0%)   | 0 (0%)   | 26 (36.1%) |        |        |
| Total    | 2       | 2       | 0       | 82 (72)% |        |        |

| Subgroup 2 |         |         |         |       |        |        |
| Group 1  | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 21 (29.2%) | 1.187  | 0.552 (NS) |
| Group 2  | 1 (50%) | 20 (28.6%) | 24 (34.3%) | 25 (34.7%) | 1.187  | 0.552 (NS) |
| Group 3  | 1 (50%) | 24 (34.3%) | 25 (34.7%) | 25 (34.7%) | 1.187  | 0.552 (NS) |
| Total    | 6       | 66      | 72      | 104 (90.8%) |        |        |
smooth surfaces combined, they remains uncertain about the effect of SDF on ICDAS 3 and 4 lesions on each of these surfaces separately. Moreover AAPD (2017) advocates need of more studies to confirm an ideal protocol regarding time duration of application of SDF and our study is one of that kind.

CONCLUSION

As per our research, SDF:

- Can be used effectively in minimally cooperative child, as caries arrest does not vary significantly in different time durations of application.
- Can be used with in both cavitated as well as non-cavitated lesions alike, as caries arrest rate does not vary significantly in ICDAS 3–6 lesions with different time durations of application.
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Table 3: Studies reported in literature with different time durations application of SDF

| Study, country, year | Age of participants | Tooth | Concentration and time period of application of SDF |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Bijella, Brazil, 1991 | 3–4 | Deciduous dentition and molars and first permanent molars | 12% SDF applications for 2 min |
| Llodra, Cuba 2005 | 6.29 ± 0.48 | Permanent first molars | 38% SDF applications for 3 min |
| Braga, USA, 2009 | – | Primary anterior teeth | 10% SDF applied for 3 min, and wash for 30 |
| Yee et al., Nepal, 2009 | 6–15 | Primary canines | 38% SDF for 2 min and 12% SDF for 2 min, |
| Dos Santos et al., Brazil, 2012 | 5–6 | – | 30% SDF applications for 3 min |
| Monse et al., Philippines, 2012 | 6.7 ± 0.8 | First permanent molars | SDF 38% for 1 min |
| Duangthip et al., Hong Kong, 2016 | 4 ± 0.8 | Primary tooth with active caries | SDF 30% for 10 sec |