Direct observation and analysis of TET-mediated oxidation processes in a DNA origami nanochip
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ABSTRACT

DNA methylation and demethylation play a key role in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression; however, a series of oxidation reactions of 5-methyl cytosine (5mC) mediated by ten-eleven translocation (TET) enzymes driving demethylation process are yet to be uncovered. To elucidate the relationship between the oxidative processes and structural factors of DNA, we analysed the behavior of TET-mediated 5mC-oxidation by incorporating structural stress onto a substrate double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) using a DNA origami nanochip. The reactions and behaviors of TET enzymes were systematically monitored by biochemical analysis and single-molecule observation using atomic force microscopy (AFM). A reformative frame-like DNA origami was established to allow the incorporation of dsDNAs as 5mC-containing substrates in parallel orientations. We tested the potential effect of dsDNAs present in the tense and relaxed states within a DNA nanochip on TET oxidation. Based on enzyme binding and the detection of oxidation reactions within the DNA nanochip, it was revealed that TET preferred a relaxed substrate regardless of the modification types of 5-oxidated-methyl cytosine. Strikingly, when a multi-5mCG sites model was deployed to further characterize substrate preferences of TET, TET preferred the fully methylated site over the hemi-methylated site. This analytical modality also permits the direct observations of dynamic movements of TET such as sliding and interstrand transfer by high-speed AFM. In addition, the thymine DNA glycosylase-mediated base excision repair process was characterized in the DNA nanochip. Thus, we have convincingly established the system’s ability to physically regulate enzymatic reactions, which could prove useful for the observation and characterization of coordinated DNA demethylation processes at the nanoscale.

INTRODUCTION

5-Methylcytosine (5mC) has emerged as a major form of DNA epigenetics modifications, which modifies ∼60–80% of the CpG sites in the mammalian genome (1). 5mC plays crucial regulatory roles in aging, tumorigenesis and other disease conditions (2–8). Although DNA methylation has been well-characterized, the key enzymes driving the reversal processes are still elusive due to chemically and genetically stable structures associated with the modification. Chemically, the methyl group at the C5 position of the cytosine base has a stable carbon–carbon bond, which limits the direct elimination of the methyl group. Genetically, it is produced by de novo DNA methyltransferases 3A and 3B, and further held in maintenance by methyltransferase DNMT1 (8,10–12). Despite these, mammalian 5mC could still be reversed to an unmodified state in several aspects. First, untimely DNA methylation maintenance can result in the dilution of 5mC levels during DNA replication, known as passive DNA demethylation (4,13). Second, 5mC could be iteratively changed into 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC), 5-formylcytosine (5foC) and 5-carboxylcytosine (5caC), termed as ox-mC, in the presence of ten-eleven translocation (TET) proteins (Figure 1A) (8,9,14–16,18).
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Figure 1. (A) An illustration of the methylation and demethylation processes involving TET and TDG. (B) DNA origami frame structure used in this study. Two different substrate dsDNAs were incorporated via the hybridization of single-stranded DNAs at both ends.

sion of 5foC and 5caC through a base excision repair (BER) pathway can also result in DNA demethylation, which is a well-documented active DNA demethylation mechanism (17,18). While several other active DNA demethylation mechanisms have been suggested, the TET-dependent BER mechanism has gained the most support, as the whole demethylation pathways has been demonstrated in vitro (19).

TET proteins are iron (II)/α-ketoglutarate [Fe (II)/α-KG]-dependent dioxygenases (9,20,21). All three types of TET proteins, TET1, TET2, TET3, share a conserved core catalytic domain at the carboxyl-terminus, which comprises a double sheet β-helix (DSBH), a cysteine-rich domain, and a CXXC domain (~60 amino acids) in TET1 and TET3 (Supplementary Figure S1) (8,9,20,22–24). In general, with the aid of the CXXC domain, the TET enzyme can specifically recognize and target the CpG site. The DSBH domain could recruit Fe(II), α-ketoglutarate (α-KG) and oxmC together for oxidation, while the cysteine-rich domain is likely to chelate two or more Zn$^{2+}$ ions (via nine conserved Cys residues and 1 His residue) and stabilize the overall base-flipping structure during the TET-DNA interaction (22). Notably, a unique C-terminal catalytic domain can also oxidize 5mC and localize to the nucleus. Meanwhile, despite there are substantial studies on the functional roles of ox-mC and TET catalytic behavior, the processivity of TET actions have remained at best controversial (1,6,9,25). TET processivity can be resolved into genetic, physical and chemical aspects (Supplementary Figure S2). Genetic processivity refers to the genetic outcomes of TET-mediated actions in the genome, which is determined by various factors and gives rise to different distributions of ox-mC in individual CpG sites (26–28). Discussions about the physical processivity have focused on whether TET slides along DNA from one CpG site to another, though there was also in vitro evidence suggesting TET preference in a non-physical processive manner (29). Chemical processivity refers to the ability of TET to catalyze the oxidation of 5mC iteratively to 5caC with or without releasing its substrates. For chemical processivity, one group supports a non-chemical-processive mechanism due to the symmetrically distribution of foC (30). Crawford et al. maintained the chemical processivity theory based on the results from an isotope-based analysis (16). At the same time, work by Tamanaha et al. could not totally support a non-chemical-processive mechanism as they found that DNA-bound TET did not preferentially oxidize other CpG sites on the same DNA molecule (29). One explanation for this discrepancy is that the TET function likely depends on reaction conditions (9).

In this study, we attempted to directly observe the behavior of a TET protein at a single-molecule level by an integrated atomic force microscopy (AFM)-origami approach (31–34). AFM is advantageous for the analysis of protein–DNA interactions due to its high spatial resolution and fidelity in near-physiological conditions. In conjunction with DNA origami, a DNA self-assembly technique, AFM has been proven especially useful for single-molecule studies on such subjects as chemical, photochemical, biochemical reactions and DNA conformational changes (34–39). Here, we leveraged a reformativ frame-like DNA origami scaffold (DNA frame), with two 5mCG site-containing double-stranded DNAs (dsDNA) arranged in parallel orientations (Figure 1B) (31). We then varied dsDNAs in their tense and relaxed states to gauge the effects of TET oxidation on 5mC, 5hmC and 5foC and TDG excision for 5foC. Various multi-5mCG site models were used to determine the substrate preferences of TET. Finally, we monitored the dynamic movements of TET by high-speed AFM (HS-AFM) and directly observed the TET motion trails in a physical-processive manner.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Single-stranded M13mp18 viral DNA, T4-βGT (10 units/μL), MspI (20 units/μL) and uridine-diphosphoglucose (UDP-Glc) were purchased from...
New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA, USA). TET1 was purchased from Wisegene (Chicago, IL, USA). ARP [aldehyde reactive probe; O-(bistriethylcarbazoylmethyl) hydroxylamine] was purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Recombinant human TDG protein was purchased from Novus Biologicals (Centennial, CO, USA). Real-time PCR mix was purchased from Takara (Kyoto, Japan). All short oligonucleotides including staple DNAs were purchased from Eurofins Genomics (Tokyo Japan). Chemically modified DNA oligonucleotides were obtained from Japan Bioservices (Saitama, Japan). Ammonium iron (II) sulfate hexahydrate, L-ascorbic acid, α-ketoglutarate, DL-dithiothreitol, adenosine triphosphate, 25% glutaraldehyde were purchased from Wako pure chemical industries (Kyoto, Japan). 1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.5), 1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.6) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. 1 M Tris buffer (pH 7.6) were obtained from Eurofins Genomics (Tokyo Japan). All short oligonucleotides including staple DNAs were purchased from Wako pure chemical industries (Kyoto, Japan). 1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.5), 1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.6) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The buffer 10 mM Tris-acetate, 100 mM magnesium acetate, 10 mM acetonitrile was used for further HPLC analysis with reaction mixture was quenched by dilution, and 3 μl of TET protein (3 μM, 4.35 μl 5× oxidation buffer [20 mM ATP, 40 mM DTT, 16.5 mM α-KG, 33 mM L-ascorbic acid] and 1.25 μl Fe(II) [16.3 g/mol] solution). The ratio of DNA frame/TET was 1/15. After the sample was incubated at 37°C for 30 s, the reaction was fixed by 4 μl 1% glutaraldehyde acid (diluted with 20 mM HEPES buffer and 5 mM MgCl2) at 25°C for 18 min, then the reaction was terminated by the addition of 8 μl Tris-buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.6) and 5 mM MgCl2] at 25°C and incubated another 18 min. Then, the sample was purified by gel filtration (600 μl Sephacryl S-300, GE Healthcare) (Supplementary Figures S7 and S8). Notably, for better reactivity of TET, all the components especially Fe(II) and DTT were prepared freshly every time.

DNA frame formation and integration of double-stranded DNAs

First, a DNA frame (Supplementary Figure S3) was assembled by folding 30 nM M13mp18 single-stranded DNA and 150 nM of 225 short ssDNA strands (staple DNAs listed in Supplementary Table S1) together from 85 to 15°C at a rate of −1.0°C/min in a solution (30 μl) containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 5 mM MgCl2 and 15 mM NaCl, in which TET could retain its activity. Meanwhile, the top dsDNA substrate and bottom dsDNA substrate were individually prepared to a final concentration of 1 μM in the same buffer and annealed from 85 to 15°C at a rate of −1.0°C/min. The top dsDNA substrate (5 eq) was incorporated into the DNA frame by annealing from 40 to 15°C at a rate of −1.0°C/min, and then the bottom dsDNA substrate (5 eq) was incorporated by annealing from 30 to 15°C at a rate of −1.0°C/min. After removing excess amounts of unbound dsDNAs and staples by gel filtration (900 μl Sephacryl S-300, GE Healthcare), the DNA frame with two dsDNA substrates (DNA nanochips) could be used for further experiment (Supplementary Figure S4). Attachment of the substrates in all the steps were confirmed by AFM (Supplementary Figure S5). The sequences of the incorporated dsDNAs are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

HPLC experiment for analysis of TET oxidation

A solution containing C-analogue-modified oligonucleotide (55.4 μM) and mTET1 protein (7.3 μM) were incubated at 37°C for 1 h (40). After incubation, the reaction mixture was quenched by dilution, and 3 μl of the reaction mixture was used for further HPLC analysis with a gradient program with 3–9% (v/v) acetonitrile/Milli-Q water (Supplementary Figure S6).

TET binding to substrate dsDNAs in DNA nanochip

A reaction mixture (23 μl) was prepared by mixing 15 μl DNA frame carrying two substrate dsDNAs (30 nM), 2.4 μl TET protein (3 μM), 4.35 μl 5× oxidation buffer [20 mM ATP, 40 mM DTT, 16.5 mM α-KG, 33 mM L-ascorbic acid] and 1.25 μl Fe(II) [16.3 g/mol] solution). The ratio of DNA frame/TET was 1/15. After the sample was incubated at 37°C for 30 s, the reaction was fixed by 4 μl 1% glutaraldehyde acid (diluted with 20 mM HEPES buffer and 5 mM MgCl2) at 25°C for 18 min, then the reaction was terminated by the addition of 8 μl Tris-buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.6) and 5 mM MgCl2] at 25°C and incubated another 18 min. Then, the sample was purified by gel filtration (600 μl Sephacryl S-300, GE Healthcare) (Supplementary Figures S7 and S8). Notably, for better reactivity of TET, all the components especially Fe(II) and DTT were prepared freshly every time.

AFM imaging of prefixed samples

For AFM imaging, the sample (5 μl) was deposited onto a freshly cleaved mica plate. After 10 min incubation at RT, the mica was rinsed and imaged in the same sample buffer. AFM imaging was performed by using Dimension FastScan AFM (Bruker AXS, Madison, WI, USA) with a silicon nitride cantilever with a spring constant of 0.06–0.14 N m⁻¹ and resonant frequency of 98.5–140 kHz in water. Scanning was performed in the same buffer solution by using a tapping mode.

High-speed AFM imaging of TET dynamic movements

After purified the DNA frame carrying two dsDNAs, 2 μl of the mixture (diluted into 5 μM) was deposited onto a freshly cleaved mica plate for 5 min at rt, and then rinsed three times with the sample buffer solution. To the mica surface was added 2 μl of TET containing solution in which the fresh TET enzyme (stored at −80°C) was diluted into 75 nM by 5× oxidation buffer. High-speed AFM images were obtained by using a Nano Live Vision AFM (RIBM, Tsukuba, Japan) with a silicon nitride cantilever (Olympus BL-AC10EGS) with a spring constant of 0.1–0.2 N m⁻¹ and resonant frequency of 400–1000 kHz in water. Scanning was performed in the 1× oxidation buffer by using a tapping mode.

TET oxidation, T4-βGT transferring and MspI cleavage of target dsDNAs in DNA nanochip

The DNA frame carrying both 64-bp and 74-bp dsDNA was purified by 900 μl gel filtration (Sephacryl S-400). The oxidation reaction was performed in a 10 μl solution containing 8 nM purified DNA frame with two substrate dsDNAs, 76 nM Fe(II), 1.5 μM TET in 1× oxidation buffer at 37°C for 60 min. Then, 2 μl of the mixture thereof was used in the subsequent T4-βGT transferring reaction (11.2 μl T4-βGT reaction system contained 0.6 μl 10× NEB 4 buffer, 10× cut smart buffer, 0.3 μl 50 × uridine-diphosphoglucose (UDP-Glc), 7 units of T4-βGT and 7 μl MilliQ water) at 37°C for 120 min. Finally, 0.8 μl MspI was added to 12 μl total volume of the above mixture, which was incubated at 37°C for another 60 min.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

DNA frame formation and feasibility of reaction monitoring

The frame model used in this study consists of twist-corrected DNA origami structures (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure S1). The frame possessed a vacant rectangular area (40 nm × 40 nm) in the center, in which various dsDNAs with one or multiple 5-oxidated-mC modified sites could be installed as different substrate models. Specifically, we concentrated on five operation options for analysis on the dsDNA cassette: (i) analyzing tension effects on a tension model which involved placing two different dsDNA substrates, tensed and relaxed dsDNAs, with or without an opposite nicking site; (ii) analyzing the effects of 5mC distribution on a methylation distribution model which involved introducing multi-5mCG site-containing substrates; (iii) analyzing substrate effects by installing different ox-mC substrates on the same tension-controlled model; (iv) observation of TET movements at a single-molecule level in the DNA nanochip and (v) studying the excision of a 5foC substrate by TDG in the DNA nanochip.

In order to ensure that two dsDNA substrates are arranged in a parallel orientation, we incorporated them into the experimental DNA frame by annealing, followed by AFM observation. In this way, the substrates’ incorporation yield reached over 99%, which was high enough for further observation (η = 217/220, N = 220), and the length of the substrates was also optimized (Supplementary Figure S2A) (31,32). Typically, under the traction of divalent magnesium cation (Mg2+), a negatively charged DNA frame could rest stably on the mica surface, and the two incorporated dsDNA substrate sequences retained their flexibility. This was important for monitoring the experiment, as we could distinguish the two dsDNA substrates with ease, and the flexible substrates could also react with the enzyme readily. In this study, we chose recombinant TET1 (1418–2136), due to its size, for a 40 nm scale DNA origami cavity (~7 nm, Supplementary Figure S5B). According to previous works, TETs are large, multi-domain proteins that adopt the double-stranded β-helix (DSBH) fold characteristic of members of Fe2+/α-KG-dependent dioxygenase, with a CXXC domain at its N-terminal end (42). Full-length TET1 is detected only during a short time in embryonic development, whereas adult somatic tissues weakly express the truncated TET1s (devoid of the CXXC domain) and are predicted to control epigenetic memory erasure (42,43) (Supplementary Figure S1). The presence or absence of the CXXC domain on the truncated TET1 variants only with a catalytic domain could influence catalysis of the whole reaction, while there is no CXXC domain in wild TET2 protein (6,16,29,40). In addition, in a crystal structure, the catalytic domain could only induce distortion from B-form DNA, whereas DNA is usually located above the DSBH core with an ox-mC flipped out and inserted into the catalytic cavity.

We first examined the TET reaction using the classic TET oxidation working buffer with the addition of Mg2+. In the presence of typical conditions of 10 mM Mg2+ used for DNA origami formation, TET activity was reduced signif-
Evaluation of substrates’ tension effects on TET binding

First, we introduced uninterrupted tense 64-bp dsDNA (~20 nm) and relaxed 74-bp dsDNA (~24 nm) with the CmCGG site in the center of the DNA cassette (Supplementary Figure 2A, sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S2). From TET crystal structure information, DNA duplex should be distorted at least 40° for the base flipping and hydrophobic residues insertion, so that the reaction can proceed (20). The 64-bp dsDNA fitted exactly within the cavity as a tense strand, while the 74-bp strand could allow at least 60° bending and acted as a relaxed strand (31). In this setup, we fixed the TET incubation time as 30 s or it would invariably slide to a corner of the origami. The TET enzyme had relatively high reactivity, and it would dissociate to search for other substrates with an incubation time beyond 2 min (Supplementary Figure S9). We speculated that the crowded packing at the corner made it difficult for the TET enzyme to leave, and it was trapped by the crosslinking agent. Later, the amino group on TET was covalently trapped in the designed nanospace (Figure 2B–D). Accordingly, TET’s further movement was limited during AFM scanning, and the intermediate process could be covalently trapped in the designed nanospace (Figure 2B–D). The yields of the crosslinking for the 64- and 74-bp dsDNAs were 38.9% and 61.1% (N = 144), respectively (Figure 2), which were reasonable according to the crystal bending information (20,45). Remarkably, a fold-line shaped dsDNA was occasionally observed in AFM imaging during binding with a TET protein (Figure 2C). It seemed that dsDNAs were flipping out at the bending site and other areas became tighter. Then, we introduced a nicking-site five bases opposite to the CmCGG site without a sequence change, which could reduce the tensioned pressure of the tight 64-bp dsDNA. In this case, the TET binding to the 64-bp dsDNA with the nicking site increased to 44.4%, while 55.6% (N = 338) of TET was preferentially bound to 74-bp dsDNA. Both these results indicated that the degree of tension of dsDNAs can control TET binding in such a way that loose dsDNAs constituted a preferred substrate and that effective substrate bending was required for TET reactions.

Biochemical analysis of the effects of substrates’ tension on TET-mediated reaction

From the perspective of the reaction collision theory, only a certain percentage of molecules experience a successful collision for a chemical reaction to occur (46). We, therefore, verified the tension effects for TET oxidation by another biochemical analysis method. In general, MspI is efficient in digesting the dsDNA labelled with 5mC and 5hmC and is unable to digest 5foC and 5caC. Thus, we could readily distinguish 5mC sites from other ox-mC sites if we could protect 5mC from MspI by transferring one glucose moiety of uridine-diphosphoglucose (UDP-Glc) to 5-hydroxymethyl group of hmC residues with T4-βGT glucosyltransferase (T4-βGT) (Figures 3A and Supplementary Figure S10) (47). Hence, in our system, only the mC-modified dsDNA lost their sequence integrity and were not amplified by PCR, while it is possible to measure the different oxidation state for the 64- and 74-bp 5mC/5hmC-labeled sequence in the DNA origami nanochip (Figure 3A). Meanwhile, T4-βGT is a well-studied glucosyltransferase, and T4-βGT glucosylated 5hmC on ~100-nucleotide substrates efficiently and completely when the enzyme reacted in sufficient time (47).
In our detection system, a significant difference in the T4-βGT reaction was not observed between the 64-bp dsDNA substrate and 74-bp dsDNA substrate (Figure 3B). As a result, there was not much concern for the length effects in our experiments. We tested this detection system by using 5hmC-modified dsDNA substrates. The T4-βGT-treated samples prohibited the MspI cleavage, which resulted in the amplification of the strands by quantitative-polymerase chain reaction (q-PCR) (Figure 3B). We next examined the detection of TET oxidation using the 5mC-modified 64-bp and 74-bp dsDNA in the DNA nanochip (Figure 5A). Without TET treatment (Figure 3B), the samples treated with T4-βGT were digested by MspI, and the amplification level was significantly decreased. Conversely, TET reaction samples after the T4-βGT/MspI treatment showed an increase in the amplification level depending on the tension of dsDNAs (64- and 74-bp) (right graph in Figure 3C). This also shows that the 5mC produced by TET reactions could be detected by using this system. Importantly, the intact 74-bp sequence was almost 3.3 times higher than the intact 64-bp sequence on the DNA nanopip, which meant that the oxidation efficiency for relaxed substrates was much higher. On the other hand, the data in the AFM binding situation was 1.57 times. This difference might be attributed to the difference between the binding and the subsequent reaction at the 5mC site, where the oxidation reaction needed more precise conformation around the 5mC than the binding to the substrate site. For the oxidation reaction, the tension should lower the formation of the appropriate reactive intermediate complex between TET and 5mC substrate; therefore, the oxidation reaction should be more affected and sensitive to the tension at the reaction site. Meanwhile, effective substrate bending is required for the TET-mediated 5mC→5hmC oxidation to proceed.

Thus, we aimed to quantify the effect of the tension on the substrate dsDNAs in the 5hmC→5foC step by TET oxidation. For detection of 5foC in the DNA nanochip (Supplementary Figure S11), the same strategy was adopted; the 5foC sequence could be protected from MspI by labeling a biotin group with ARP [aldehyde reactive probe; O-(biotinylcarbazoylmethyl) hydroxylamine] (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure S12) (48). The 5hmC and 5foC containing substrates could be distinguished by the treatment with ARP and subsequent MspI digestion (Supplementary Figure S13), and q-PCR amplification worked for the detection of 5foC-modified dsDNAs (Figure 4B). We examined the detection of 5hmC-modified 64- and 74-bp dsDNA in the DNA nanochip (Figure 4C). Without TET treatment (Figure 4B), the amplification level of the substrates treated with ARP/MspI significantly decreased. Alternatively, the substrates after TET reaction and ARP/MspI treatment showed an increase in the amplification level depending on the tension of dsDNAs, similar to the case for the 5mC→5hmC step (right graph in Figure 4C). This time, the activity of the intact 74-bp sequence was almost 2.6 times higher than that of the 64-bp sequence, which indicated that the activity of the relaxed substrates in the 5hmC→5foC step was also better than that of the tense substrates (Figure 4B). Collectively, these results showed that the tension of dsDNA imposed in the DNA nanochip could control the oxidation activity of a TET enzyme, and bending of the dsDNAs was an important physical factor for TET reactions.

**Analysis of methylation distribution effects on TET behaviors**

We also compared TET binding to different ox-mC modification sequences using AFM (Schemes are shown in Figure 5A). Statistical results suggested that there were no significant differences between different ox-mC substrates in the TET binding step (Supplementary Figure S14), which was consistent with the surface plasmon resonance (SPR)
Figure 4. (A) A scheme showing the workflow of the biochemical analysis on TET oxidation with 5hmC-dsDNA in a DNA nanochip by using ARP (aldehyde reactive probe) labeling and subsequent Msp I digestion. Outcomes of the reaction were quantified by using q-PCR. (B) The results of q-PCR for the 5foC-modified substrate dsDNAs. (C) The results of q-PCR for estimation of the initial concentration of 64-bp and 74-bp hmC-modified dsDNAs in a DNA nanochip after different sample treatments. Left: without treatment of TET; right: reaction with TET. The data shown are representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 5. TET preferences for binding to fully methylated 5mC and hemi-methylated 5mC substrates. Illustration of multi-methylated 72-bp dsDNAs incorporated in the top and bottom positions of the nanochip. (A) One fully methylated 5mC site and one hemi-methylated 5mC site. (B) Three fully methylated 5mC sites and three hemi-methylated 5mC sites. (C) One fully methylated 5mC site and two hemi-methylated 5mC sites. The results of the counts are shown on the right side. (D–G) AFM images for TET binding in the multi-methylated model. Blue triangle: orientation marker of the bottom frame.

results in previous reports (45). These results corroborated the validity of our method for calculating the binding rate. Then, we examined the different binding behaviors between the fully-methylated site and hemi-methylated site by incorporating two 72-bp mC-modified dsDNA sets (Figure 5A). Though there are two methylation sites in a fully-methylated sequence, the chance to encounter a TET was almost the same compared to that in case of a hemi-methylated sequence in the expansive buffer within 30 s. Our results further suggested that a fully-methylated sequence has an obvious advantage in binding to the TET enzyme (65.3%, N = 271), and the ratio is even higher than that in the case of the situation for the TET binding to the 74-bp versus 64-bp sequence. Then, we conducted the same experiment by using a triple-methylated site model, which turned out that still more TET enzymes were bound to fully methylated sequences (61.0%, N = 205). In the case of a multiple methylated-site situation, it was probabilistically more favorable for TET proteins to meet substrates in a one-frame space, such that the gap could be shortened (Figure 5B). To clarify the impact of different methylation amounts between the fully methylated and hemi-methylated substrates, we compared one fully-methylated site with two separate hemi-methylated substrates in one nanochip (Figure 5C). Interestingly, the TET-binding tendency was still the same, and 58.3% of the TET proteins were bound to the fully-methylated site (N = 144). This observation implied that the TET enzyme indeed prefers fully-methylated sites. It also seemed reasonable to infer that differences exist in TET recognition between fully-methylated sequences and hemi-methylated sequences.

We next examined the association and dissociation of TET to hemi/fully methylated DNA substrates using SPR (Supplementary Figure S15 and Supplementary Table S5).
In this experiment, we used a two-state reaction model in which one TET protein formed a TET-DNA complex followed by changing its conformation. For simplicity, it is assumed that complex AB* could not dissociate directly into A+B without the state AB (A is TET, B is mC-dsDNA, B* is ox-mC-dsDNA). The results suggested that the association rate constant for the formation of the AB state ($k_{a1}$) is almost the same for both fully-5mC and hemi-5mC sequences. However, for the dissociation step, the fully-5mC sequence is almost four times smaller than the hemi-5mC sequence ($k_{d2}$), which meant that the affinity for TET to the fully-methylated site was better than that to the hemi-methylated site. This could explain why we observed more cases of TET binding on the fully-methylated site in the AFM observation.

Interestingly, during the AFM observation, we occasionally found a fully-methylated site simultaneously bound to two TET proteins (Figures 5D and E). Consecutive TET proteins also bound at triple-methylated sequences (Figures 5F and G), and sometimes, two close TET proteins were bound with different two sequences (Figure 5E). This might indicate that TET binding was almost undisturbed by other TET proteins, which raised the possibility of observing both the dynamic change and TET competition.

**Direct observation of TET movements in DNA nanochip via high-speed AFM**

We next used a high-speed AFM (HS-AFM) system to further analyze the dynamic movement of TET protein directly without crosslinking and acquired successive images. We have previously reported that the direct observation of the movements and reactions of the DNA binding proteins and DNA modifying enzymes with substrate dsDNAs in the DNA origami nanochips. Here, we used the single site fully- and hemi-methylated model shown in Figure 4A to examine the TET movement. We observed the diffusive movement of TET on the dsDNAs, which corresponded to a series of TET motions on the nanochip, such as attachment to dsDNA, sliding on dsDNA, stalling on a substrate, and dissociation from dsDNA (Figure 6A and Supplementary Figure S16A). TET enzyme stalled transiently at the center, where 5mC was incorporated, and dissociated from the dsDNA. Although the sliding movement of TET on the dsDNAs was modest because of the limited length of the substrate dsDNAs and interaction with the mica surface, the expected movements can be monitored in the DNA nanochip. In addition, movements of TET between two strands were monitored (Figure 6B). In this case, TET moved from a bottom substrate to a top substrate (image 3) and started to slide on dsDNA. Then, the TET enzyme moved to the bottom substrate (images 19–22) and finally slid on the bottom dsDNA. Such inter-strand transfer (jumping) was also observed in the other DNA binding proteins and enzymes (31,49,50). We employed relatively relaxed dsDNAs, and two strands of such dsDNAs were close to the center of the DNA frame, which allowed the visualization of TET transferred to the other strand. We previously reported the observation of the Cre-mediated DNA recombination by using 72-bp dsDNA substrates and a Holiday junction in a DNA nanochip (37), therefore, the two 72-bp dsDNAs approached in the center to facilitate the transfer of TET from one dsDNA to another.

Through monitoring successive images, a single TET enzyme was visualized to move both dsDNAs in the same nanochip, which may efficiently find the target oxidized CpG site repeatedly. This finding supported physical processivity, which refers to the capacity of the TET enzyme to slide along DNA from one CpG site to another. Meanwhile, in both Figure 6B and Supplementary Figure S16B, some TET was first bound at one of the fully-methylated sites. Then, it changed its position to the opposite 5mC at the same fully-methylated site. The entire moving processes indicated that the nanochip was an ideal model for observation and characterization of the behavior of TET enzymes. These precise single-molecule images provided us more information about TET enzymes.

**TDG binding analysis on the substrate’s tension-controlled model**

We next investigated the reaction in the further oxidation states including 5foC and 5caC, which can be a substrate for thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG) in the initial base excitation repair (BER) pathway. We used the 5foC-containing substrate and analyzed the effect of the tension on the TDG reaction in the DNA nanochip. Before examining the TDG binding on the DNA origami model, we first used the traditional hot-alkali method to evaluate the activity of TDG (Supplementary Figure S17). When an abasic (AP) site appeared at the 5foC site, the substrate dsDNA could be cleaved by the hot alkali treatment. We observed that the 5foC-containing strand was efficiently cleaved using this procedure (Supplementary Figure S17).

We then introduced uninterrupted tensed 64-bp dsDNA and relaxed 74-bp dsDNA with C6-CGG at the center onto the DNA cassette for observation of the TDG reaction (Figure 7A). During the reaction, the catalytic residue of TDG should covalently bind to the ribose after the removal of the mutated base, and then NaBH4 is added to reduce the unstable Schiff base linkage (31). We employed this method to characterize the reactivity for the 5foC-substrates. After the treatment, we observed that the TDG covalently bound to the substrate dsDNAs (Figure 7B). The percentage of TDG binding for the 64- and 74-bp dsDNAs was 44.3% and 55.7% ($N=144$), respectively. The results also showed that the relaxed 74-bp dsDNA was a better substrate for TDG than that in the tensed 64-bp dsDNA. In the initial step of the BER, the reactivity can also be controlled and monitored in the DNA nanochip.

**CONCLUSION**

A major gap remains in our current understanding of the dynamic nature of TET movements at the enzyme-DNA interface. Advanced analytical strategies are needed to illuminate TET-mediated oxidation processes at a single-molecule resolution. In this study, we have convincingly analyzed the behavior of TET-mediated oxidation of 5-methylcytosine by using a tension-controlled and methylation distribution-controlled model and established the importance of bend-
Figure 6. Successive HS-AFM images for observation of TET behaviors in a DNA frame. (A) TET attachment to dsDNA, sliding on dsDNA, binding to a specific site, and its dissociation. (B) TET sliding and transfer between two dsDNAs. Scanning 0.2 frame/s. Blue triangle: orientation marker of frame.

Figure 7. Tension-controlled model for TDG reaction analysis in the DNA nanochip. (A) The DNA origami frame carrying different lengths (64- and 74-bp) of 5foC-containing dsDNAs. (B) AFM images of covalently bound TDG. The blue triangle in the DNA images represents the DNA frame orientation marker.

For observation of the behavior and reaction of the TET enzyme in a DNA origami nanochip, we optimized the reaction conditions to preserve oxidation reactivity. The TET protein evidently preferred a fully-methylated site over a hemi-methylated site according to both AFM and SPR results. In addition, we also appraised a series of catalytic reactions of TET by using tense and relaxed dsDNAs as substrates constructed in a DNA nanochip. We also observed a series of dynamic motions of TET enzymes, such as the association to dsDNA, searching on the substrate dsDNA, binding to the target site, interstrand transfer (jumping), and dissociation from the dsDNA. For the catalysis of TET, stepwise oxidation reactions required repeated TET binding to the substrate CpG site. From single static AFM images, it is relatively challenging to distinguish oxidation processes. Meanwhile, an enzymatic cascade such as TET1 and TDG interaction to connect 5mC-oxidation and initial BER might be also visualized in the same approach [19]. Thus, our DNA nanochip system described herein could analyze DNA demethylation processes and visualize related physical processivity at the same time. DNA origami assemblies coupled to high-speed molecular imaging provide unique opportunities for visualizing protein-DNA dynamics at an unprecedented resolution. Overall, our work has advanced a robust strategy for physically regulating TET enzyme reactions which may be applicable to further characterization of physical and biochemical attributes of protein–DNA interactions at the nanoscale.
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