Role of SIRT1/AMPK signaling in the proliferation, migration and invasion of renal cell carcinoma cells
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Abstract. Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is a lethal urologic tumor commonly seen in men that best responds to partial nephrectomy. An enhanced understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of RCC can broaden treatment options and tumor prevention strategies. Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) is a NAD+-dependent deacetylase that regulates several bioactive substances, and the present study aimed to identify the role of SIRT1/AMPK-activated protein kinase (AMPK) signaling in RCC progression. SIRT1 expression was detected in 100 patients with RCC using tissue microarray immunohistochemistry. SIRT1-knockdown and overexpression were performed via RNA interference and plasmid transfection. Inhibition of AMPK was used for the phenotypic rescue assays to verify whether AMPK was a downstream target of SIRT1. Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR was performed to verify transfection efficiency. Transwell, MTT and flow cytometry apoptosis assays were performed to evaluate the migration, invasion, proliferation and early apoptosis level of RCC cells. SIRT1 and AMPK protein expression in human RCC tissues and cell lines (786-O and ACHN) was detected using western blotting and immunofluorescence staining. The current results, combined with data from The Cancer Genome Atlas database, revealed that SIRT1 expression in RCC tissues was downregulated compared with in adjacent normal tissues. Additionally, high SIRT1 expression was associated with an improved prognosis in patients with RCC. Overexpression of SIRT1 inhibited the proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cell lines and induced apoptosis, while inhibition of SIRT1 expression had the opposite effects. Further experiments indicated that SIRT1 may serve an anticancer role by upregulating the expression levels of downstream AMPK, thus revealing a potential therapeutic target for RCC.

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is the most lethal urologic tumor, accounting for 2-3% of global adult malignancies in 2017, and the annual morbidity due to RCC is constantly rising (1,2). RCC is two times more common in men than in women, and ~50% of patients already have metastases at initial diagnosis (3-6). Clear cell RCC (ccRCC) originates from the proximal tubule of the kidney and is the most common subtype of RCC, accounting for 75-80% of all cases (7-9). However, ccRCC is not sensitive to radiotherapy or chemotherapy, and the sensitivity of ccRCC to immunotherapy and targeted therapy remains to be studied (10-14). Thus, partial nephrectomy remains the best approach to treat localized tumors (15,16). An enhanced understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of RCC is of great importance for formulating tumor prevention strategies and guiding clinical treatment (17-19).

Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) is a NAD+-dependent deacetylase belonging to the mammalian homolog of yeast Sir2 protein that serves an important role in regulating several bioactive substances (20-23). The activity of SIRT deacetylase depends on the concentration of NAD+ in the cytoplasm, so that when the concentration of NAD+ increases, SIRT1 deacetylase activity also increases (24,25). AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a key kinase involved in the regulation of cellular energy metabolism (26,27). AMPK responds to the ratio of AMP/ATP in the cell and accordingly adjusts the activity of the enzyme to maintain an energy balance (28). SIRT1 is considered an upstream activator of AMPK, and AMPK is considered a downstream molecule of SIRT1, capable of regulating energy metabolism in apoptosis (29). Additionally, AMPK can enhance SIRT1 activity by increasing intracellular NAD+ levels, leading to the deacetylation and activation of the SIRT1 target protein, regulation of mitochondrial function and maintenance of energy balance (30,31). Conversely, current evidence supports the opposite effect of SIRT1 as a tumor protein or tumor suppressor in different types of tumor (32-35).
Thus, the present study aimed to investigate the role of SIRT1/AMPK signaling in RCC progression and the potential mechanisms of its involvement. It was hypothesized that SIRT1 may act as a tumor suppressor in RCC by increasing phosphorylated AMPK expression.

Materials and methods

Study design and patients. The present study evaluated 100 patients (mean age, 57.6 years; age range, 43–81 years) who underwent radical nephrectomy at The Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University (Hefei, China) between July 2016 and October 2019. Patients who received chemotherapy or radiotherapy before surgery were excluded from analyses. In total, 100 cases of primary RCC tissues and matched adjacent normal tissues (1 cm from the tumor margin) were obtained. After surgical resection, the tumor and adjacent normal tissues were collected and stored at -80°C until use. The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of The Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Tissue microarray (TMA) immunohistochemical analysis. Immunohistochemical staining was performed on tissue chips containing tumor and adjacent tissues from patients with RCC. Surgically resected tumor specimens were fixed with 10% neutral formalin and embedded in paraffin. The tissue block was then sliced into 3-µm-thick sections. The slices were heated at 60°C for 60–120 min, then dewaxed in xylene, rehydrated with a series of graded ethanol and boiled in a pressure cooker containing tumor and adjacent tissues from patients with RCC. The samples were incubated with a series of graded ethanol and boiled in a pressure cooker containing tumor and adjacent tissues from patients with RCC. The samples were incubated with 10% normal goat serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in TBS with 0.5% Tween-20 (0.3%) was used to block endogenous peroxide activity, and the sections were incubated with 10% normal goat serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in TBS with 0.5% Tween-20 for 1 h at room temperature to block non-specific binding. The primary antibody (anti-SIRT1; cat. no. ab10304; I:30; Abcam) was incubated overnight at 4°C. The biotin-labeled secondary antibody (cat. no. PV-6000; I:500; OriGene Technologies, Inc.) was incubated at room temperature for 1 h.

For quantitative scoring based on immunohistochemistry results (positive light microscope; magnification, x400; Zen blue 3.1 software; Zeiss AG), the scoring criteria were based on staining intensity (0, no staining; 1, weak staining; 2, medium staining; and 3, strong staining) and the percentage of positively stained cells (1, ≤25%; 2, 26–50%; 3, 51–75%; and 4, >75%). The final score was calculated by adding these two scores. Total score cut-offs of ≤4 and >4 were used to divide patients into low and high SIRT1 expression groups, respectively.

Cell line and cell culture. The human RCC 786-O and ACHN cell lines were obtained from The Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of The Chinese Academy of Sciences. The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 5% penicillin and streptomycin (all Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator, and the medium was renewed every two days.

SIRT1 overexpression and RNA interference. SIRT1 overexpression plasmids (3 and 5 mg; OE-SIRT1) and empty vector (OE-NC) (both from Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd.) were transfected into 786-O and ACHN cells for 20 min at room temperature using Lipofectamine® 2000 transfection reagent (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting SIRT1 (si-SIRT1#1, 5'-GGAGAUAGAUCAAGGGCAATT-3' and si-SIRT1#2, 5'-GGAAUUACUUCCUGAACATT-3'), AMPK (si-AMPK#1, 5'-UGACCUACUAAGUGUTT-3' and si-AMPK#2, 5'-GCGGGAUCCAAAGGAUAATT-3'), and scrambled negative control (si-NC#1, 5'-UUCUCGGAACGCU GCACGUTT-3' and si-NC#2, 5'-UUCUCGGAACGUGUC ACGUTT-3') were also designed by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. 786-O and ACHN cells were cultured in a 6-well plate to 60% confluence, and were transfected with 50 nmol/l of designated siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent at 37°C for 6 h, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Western blotting and reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q)PCR were used to detect gene knockdown efficiency 48 h after transfection.

MTT assay. To assess cell proliferation, cells were plated into 96-well culture plates overnight at a density of 5x104 cells/well, and then overexpression, knockdown, and empty carrier models were constructed and then cultured for 24, 48 or 72 h. The control and transfected groups received the same amount of DMSO. Subsequently, 10 μl MTT solution (2.5 mg/ml) was added to each well, and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 4 h. DMSO (150 μl) was added to dissolve the obtained crystals. The optical density (OD) value was measured at 570 nm using an enzyme labeling instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The cell proliferation inhibition rate was calculated using the following formula: (1-OD experimental group/OD control group) x100%.

Transwell assay. Cell migration and invasion assays were performed using Transwell chambers (Corning, Inc.). A total of 2x104 786-O and ACHN cells were resuspended in serum-free medium and added to the upper chambers, while medium containing 10% FBS was added to the bottom chambers. Transwell chambers coated with Matrigel for 5 h at 37°C were used for cell invasion. The cells were placed in an incubator at 37°C for 24 h. Subsequently, the Transwell chambers were washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 25 min, stained with 0.4% crystal violet staining solution for 5 min and rinsed with distilled water. Finally, the number of migrating or invading cells was counted using a light inverted microscope (magnification, x400; Olympus Corporation).

Apoptosis assay. Apoptosis analysis was performed using the Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection kit (BD Biosciences). Briefly, cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a cell density of 10^5 cells/well. Following overnight culture, cells were transfected as aforementioned for 24 h. Cells from each group were collected and centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 5 min at room temperature. After washing twice with PBS, the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were gently resuspended in 500 μl binding buffer. After adding 5 μl Annexin V-FITC, 10 μl propidium iodide was further added and mixed. After incubation at
room temperature in the dark for 15 min, the cells were immediately subjected to detection by flow cytometry (NAVIOS; Beckman Coulter, Inc.) and analyzed using FlowJo VX 10.6.2 (FlowJo LLC).

**Western blotting.** The mashed tissues and cells were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1% Igepal, 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0 and 2 mM EDTA) in an ice bath for 30 min and centrifuged at 18,000 x g rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was stored at -80°C. A BCA kit was used to determine the protein concentration. The same amount of protein (8 µg) was separated via 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane. After the PVDF membrane was blocked in 5% BSA (cat. no. 9048-46-8; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) at room temperature for 1 h, it was incubated with anti-SIRT1 (cat. no. 19A7AB4; 1:2,000; Abcam), anti-AMPK (cat. no. D63G4; 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), anti-phospho-AMPKα (cat. no. D46D6; 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) and anti-β-actin antibodies (cat. no. T0022; 1:3,000; Affinity Biosciences) overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, it was incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (cat. no. S0001) and goat anti-mouse IgG (cat. no. S0002) secondary antibodies (both 1:10,000; Affinity Biosciences) at room temperature for 1 h. The color of the PVDF membrane was developed using an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and the gray value was analyzed using ImageJ software (version 1.8.0; National Institutes of Health).

**Cell immunofluorescence.** A total of 10,000 cells were seeded on a glass slide in a 6-well plate. The next day, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 20 min. Subsequently, they were permeabilized with 0.1% TBS-Triton (cat. no. T8200; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) and blocked with 1% normal goat serum (cat. no. SL038; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) at room temperature for 1 h. The primary antibodies (anti-SIRT1 and anti-phospho-AMPKa; 1:100) were incubated overnight at 4°C. The Cy3-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG (cat. no. A0521) and the FITC-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (cat. no. A0562) secondary antibodies (both 1:200; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Finally, DAPI staining was performed for 2 min at room temperature and observed under a fluorescence microscope (magnification, x400).

**RT-qPCR.** Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and then reverse transcribed into cDNA using a reverse transcription kit (Takara Bio, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, the SYBR-Restore Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction kit (Takara Bio, Inc.) was used to perform qPCR using the CFX96 system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The thermocycling conditions were as follows: Denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 55°C for 30 sec and extension at 72°C for 1 min. The 2-ΔΔCq method (36) was used to calculate the changes in expression levels. All RT-qPCR data was normalized by comparison to an endogenous β-actin control sample. The following primers were used: SIRT1 forward, 5'-ATCTGACTTTGCTCCCCCTTAACC-3' and reverse, 5'-GGGCCCTGGTGGCAGA-3'; AMPK forward, 5'-CCC ACCATCACCCTCATCTCT-3' and reverse, 5'-AGCCCTGTT GCCACACCTTA-3'; β-actin forward, 5'-TCTTCTCTGGGC ATGGAG-3' and reverse, 5'-ACTGTGTTGGGCTACAGG TC-3'.

**Data sets.** The mRNA expression levels of SIRT1 were analyzed in different stages (stage I-V) of renal cell carcinoma using Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis, based on thousands of samples data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (http://geopia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html).

**Statistical analysis.** All experiments were performed independently three times. The experimental data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. χ² test and Fisher's exact test were used to assess the association between SIRT1 expression and clinicopathological characteristics of the patients with RCC. Overall survival (OS) was evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method with the log-rank test. Paired Student's t-test was used to compare two paired groups (clinical tumor samples and adjacent normal tissues) and one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test was used to analyze the differences between >2 groups. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 23.0 software package (IBM Corp.). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**High SIRT1 expression is associated with a favorable prognosis in patients with RCC.** All patients were pathologically confirmed to undergo radical resection for renal cancer. TMA immunohistochemical staining results revealed lower SIRT1 expression in clinical tumor samples than in adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 1A and D). A western blot assay using samples from 8 patients revealed similar results, indicating that SIRT1 expression in human renal carcinoma tissues was lower than that in normal kidney tissues (Fig. 1B and C). The clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in Table I. All patients had complete follow-up data (mean follow-up time, 47.5 months). The high and low SIRT1 expression groups comprised 46 and 54 patients, respectively. The Kaplan-Meier OS curves indicated significantly longer OS rates in the high SIRT1 expression group. In terms of tumor stage, the present statistical analysis revealed that the difference between the high- and low-expression groups was statistically significant (Table I). Low SIRT1 expression in RCC cells was positively associated with advanced clinical stage (Fig. 1F), higher World Health Organization/International Society of Urologic Pathology (WHO/ISUP) nuclear grade (37) and larger tumor size (Table I).

**SIRT1 inhibits the proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cells in vitro.** Of the two siRNA knockdown models, si-SIRT1#1 and si-SIRT1#2, it was observed that si-SIRT1#1 had a higher knockdown efficiency in both 786-O and ACHN RCC cell lines (Fig. 2A). In addition, the overexpression model demonstrated that, compared with the OE-NC, SIRT1 overexpression was positively associated with the amount of transfected plasmid (3 and 5 µg; Fig. 2B).
overexpression and knockdown models revealed that following SIRT1 overexpression, the proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cells was inhibited, while SIRT1-knockdown enhanced the proliferation, migration and invasion of cancer cells (Fig. 2C-E). The current transfected RCC model exhibited differences in apoptosis, with increased early and late apoptotic cells following SIRT1 overexpression and decreased early and late apoptotic cells following SIRT1-knockdown; although no significant difference was observed following SIRT1 overexpression in 786-O cells, significant differences were observed for the other conditions (Fig. 2F).

AMPK expression in RCC cells is positively associated with SIRT1. Using western blotting and immunofluorescence staining, it was revealed that following SIRT1 overexpression, the levels of phosphorylated AMPK were significantly increased, while when SIRT1 was knocked down, the levels of phosphorylated AMPK were significantly decreased (Fig. 3A and B).

SIRT1 inhibits the proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cells by targeting AMPK. In order to verify whether AMPK is a downstream target of SIRT1, phenotypic response tests were performed. First, the transfection efficiency of overexpressing SIRT1 and inhibiting AMPK expression was verified by RT-qPCR and western blotting (Fig. 4A-C). Si-AMPK#1 and si-AMPK#2 were used to interfere with AMPK expression, and since si-AMPK#1 exhibited higher interference efficiency, it was used in subsequent experiments. Phenotypic rescue assays revealed that, in terms of phosphorylated AMPK protein expression, AMPK inhibition reversed the effect induced by SIRT1 overexpression (Fig. 4D). Additionally, MTT, Transwell and apoptosis assays demonstrated that after AMPK inhibition, the effects of SIRT1 overexpression on proliferation, invasion, migration and apoptosis of RCC cells were reversed (Fig. 4E-G), indicating that AMPK may be a downstream target of SIRT1.

Discussion

Previous studies (38,39) have emphasized SIRT1 as a potential tumor suppressor protein to inhibit RCC tumorigenesis, but the role of SIRT1/AMPK signaling in RCC progression is yet to be clarified (40). The present study used western blotting and immunohistochemistry to detect the expression levels of SIRT1 in clinical samples, revealing lower SIRT1 expression

### Table I. Association between SIRT1 expression and clinical characteristics of patients with RCC (n=100).

| Clinical characteristic | N | High expression | Low expression | P-value |
|-------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Age, years              |   |                |                | 0.808   |
| ≤50                     | 41| 18             | 23             |         |
| >50                     | 59| 28             | 31             |         |
| Sex                     |   |                |                | 0.752   |
| Male                    | 43| 19             | 24             |         |
| Female                  | 57| 27             | 30             |         |
| Affected kidney         |   |                |                | 0.929   |
| Left                    | 45| 21             | 24             |         |
| Right                   | 55| 25             | 30             |         |
| Tumor classification    |   |                |                | 0.629   |
| ccRCC                   | 86| 38             | 48             |         |
| pRCC                    | 10| 6              | 4              |         |
| cRCC                    | 4 | 2              | 2              |         |
| WHO/ISUP grade          |   |                |                | 0.001   |
| G1                      | 28| 23             | 5              |         |
| G2-G3                   | 65| 21             | 44             |         |
| G4                      | 7 | 2              | 5              |         |
| Clinical stage          |   |                |                | 0.026   |
| I                       | 78| 41             | 37             |         |
| II                      | 17| 4              | 13             |         |
| III-IV                  | 5 | 1              | 4              |         |
| Tumor size, cm          |   |                |                | 0.044   |
| <4                      | 46| 30             | 16             |         |
| 4-7                     | 31| 10             | 21             |         |
| >7                      | 23| 6              | 17             |         |

RCC, renal cell carcinoma; ccRCC, clear cell RCC; pRCC, papillary RCC; cRCC, chromophobe RCC; SIRT1, sirtuin 1; WHO/ISUP, World Health Organization/International Society of Urologic Pathology.
in cancerous renal tissues than in normal renal tissues. Low SIRT1 expression in RCC tissues was associated with advanced clinical stage, higher WHO/ISUP nuclear grade and larger tumor size, which are negative prognostic features. The current results indicated that SIRT1 may serve a biological role in the development of RCC. Furthermore, a lower OS rate was associated with low SIRT1 expression than with high SIRT1 expression. Therefore, low SIRT1 expression indicated a poor prognosis in patients with RCC, consistent with the different expression levels of SIRT1 at different tumor stages according to TCGA database. However, previous studies have revealed that in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and osteosarcoma, high SIRT1 expression is associated with a poor prognosis, which suggests that SIRT1 may serve different roles in different types of tumor (41‑43).

SIRT1 is a type III histone deacetylase involved in regulating various physiological processes, including gene transcription, energy metabolism, cell cycle and apoptosis (44‑47). Through TCGA database, it was revealed that among the numerous downstream signals of SIRT1, AMPK expression was closely associated with SIRT1 expression in kidney cancer (48). SIRT1 activation leads to deacetylation of lysine residues on liver kinase B1 (LKB1), which in turn enhances LKB1 kinase activity and leads to AMPK phosphorylation (49). Concurrently, the AMP/ATP ratio increases during insufficient cellular energy levels, subsequently activating AMPK (50). Activated AMPK increases the expression and activity of nicotinamide phosphoribosyl transferase, leading to an increase in NAD+ concentration, and further SIRT1 activation (51). Furthermore, the present results suggested that phosphorylated AMPK may have an anticancer role as a downstream target of SIRT1.

The present study used a plasmid transfection in vitro system to overexpress and knockdown SIRT1 in RCC cells. Results of Transwell and MTT assays revealed that the proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cells were inhibited after SIRT1 overexpression, while knockdown of SIRT1 expression mediated the opposite effects. Apoptosis experiments indicated that in terms of early apoptosis, SIRT1 overexpression significantly promoted apoptosis in both cell lines. However, in terms of late apoptosis, the effect of SIRT1 overexpression in 786-O cells was not statistically significant. A previous study has revealed that SIRT1 may play a role by affecting the energy metabolism of tumor cells (52). AMPK is an enzyme closely associated with cell energy metabolism, and as a downstream molecule of SIRT1, it has a strong association with it in kidney cancer (53). Western blotting and immunofluorescence were used in the present study to confirm that when regulating SIRT1 expression, the levels of phosphorylated AMPK also changed in the same way. Therefore, the current results suggested that AMPK may act as a key downstream protein of SIRT1 to inhibit RCC cells.

Previous studies have revealed that AMPK activation directly phosphorylates its target proteins or transcriptionally controls its target genes, such as PGC1α and p300 (54,55). The present aforementioned results indicated that SIRT1 was positively associated with the levels of phosphorylated AMPK. The next step was to clarify whether AMPK is a downstream molecule of SIRT1 and the specific mechanism by which SIRT1 regulates AMPK. Therefore, a phenotypic
A rescue assay was designed to confirm the interference efficiency of AMPK siRNA, and revealed that AMPK inhibition reversed the increase in phosphorylated AMPK caused by overexpression of SIRT1. Using MTT and Transwell assays, it was confirmed that AMPK inhibition reversed the increase in cell proliferation, migration and invasion after overexpression of SIRT1.
overexpression of SIRT1, suggesting that SIRT1 may regulate cell proliferation and migration through AMPK, and that AMPK may be a downstream target of SIRT1. Therefore, the current results suggested that the SIRT1/AMPK signaling pathway may serve as a potential therapeutic target in the treatment of kidney cancer. However, the sample size of the present study was limited and should be expanded for a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of SIRT1 in future studies.

In conclusion, SIRT1 expression was downregulated in RCC, and SIRT1 may serve an antitumor role by activating AMPK. Additionally, high SIRT1 expression predicted a favorable prognosis in patients with RCC. The current findings may help to develop new potential treatment strategies for RCC.
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