Quantitation analysis by flow cytometry shows that Wt1 is required for development of the proepicardium and epicardium
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ABSTRACT

The epicardium is a cell layer found on the external surface of the heart. During development it has an epithelial identity and contains progenitor cells for coronary smooth muscle and cardiac fibroblasts. The epicardium has been suggested to have therapeutic potential in cardiac repair. Study of epicardial development has been difficult because it is dynamic and morphologically complex. We developed a flow cytometry-based method to quantify cardiac development including the epicardial lineage. This provided accurate and sensitive analysis of (1) the emergence of epicardial progenitors within the proepicardium (2) their transfer to the heart to form the epicardium, and (3) their epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) to create the subepicardium. Platelet-derived growth factor alpha (Pdgfra) and Wilms tumor protein (Wt1) have both been reported to be pro-mesenchymal during epicardial EMT. Quantitative analysis with flow cytometry confirmed a pro-mesenchymal role for Pdgfra but not for Wt1. Analysis of Wt1 null embryos showed that they had (1) poor formation of proepicardial villi, (2) reduced transfer of proepicardial cells to the heart,
(3) a discontinuous epicardium with poor epithelial identity, and (4) a proportionally excessive number of mesenchymal-like cells. This data shows that Wt1 is essential for epicardial formation and maintenance rather than being pro-mesenchymal.

**List of Symbols and Abbreviations**

- **EMT**  Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition
- **EPI**  Epicardium
- **MET**  Mesenchymal-to-Epithelial Transition
- **PE**  ProEpicardium
- **PEP**  ProEpicardial preparation
- **SEM**  SubEpicardial Mesenchyme
- **VM**  Valve Mesenchyme

**INTRODUCTION**

Epicardial-derived cells have shown potential therapeutic role in adults for cardiac repair, either as progenitor cells for several cardiac cell types, or through paracrine mechanisms (Smart and Riley, 2012; Wang et al., 2015). This has generated interest in the mechanisms that guide their emergence and differentiation. The developmental origin of the epicardium (EPI) has been traced back in embryos to the proepicardium (PE), a transient structure lying caudal to the heart (Mikawa and Gourdie, 1996; Viragh and Challice, 1981). PE cells form villi that extend towards the heart, leading to cell transfer onto the myocardial surface via a cellular bridge (Rodgers et al., 2008)
and/or release of clusters into the pericardial cavity (Komiyama et al., 1987; Sengbusch et al., 2002). These cells initially cover the myocardium as a monolayer, forming the early EPI. Some epicardial cells then leave this epithelial environment and adopt a mesenchymal fate, i.e. an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT). This mesenchyme initially accumulates underneath the EPI (subepicardial mesenchyme or SEM) prior to invading the myocardium and differentiating into coronary smooth muscle cells and cardiac fibroblasts (Cai et al., 2008; Dettman et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 2008).

The transitions from PE to EPI, and from EPI to SEM, are highly dynamic and involve complex 3-dimensional changes. In the mouse this occurs over a 2-3 day period. Markers of the PE, EPI and SEM are limited and by themselves non-specific, at least initially. Some steps, like the accumulation of the SEM under the epicardium, show variability in timing and extent depending upon the location within the heart. For these reasons analysis of epicardial development in wild-type and mutant animals by 2-dimensional sections is qualitative and subject to significant sample error. We therefore screened potential cell surface antibodies for expression in PE, EPI and SEM. Using these markers and flow cytometry we developed a highly sensitive and quantitative method to analyze early epicardial development. Our results with this technique confirm and extend the known role of platelet-derived growth factor alpha (Pdgfra) in epicardial development, and identifies multiple novel roles for Wilms tumour 1 (Wt1).
RESULTS

We searched for combinations of cell surface markers that would allow us to follow epicardial development by flow cytometry in embryos of any genotype. Initially, we used antibodies to the transcription factor WT1 and the Wt1-GFP transgene (Wt1GFPCre mice (Zhou et al., 2008)) to identify cells of the epicardial lineage during early cardiac development.

Marker expression in the proepicardium (PE)

At embryonic day 9.5 (E9.5) in the mouse, epicardial progenitors reside in the PE. PE cells were identified at the ventral surface of the septum transversum by expression of WT1 (Fig. 1A). Integrin alpha4 (Itga4), has a critical role in early epicardium (Sengbusch et al., 2002), and was also expressed in PE cells (Fig. 1B). High levels of ITGA4 and WT1 were confined to the surface of the septum transversum, where PE villi are located. Unfortunately, neither of two anti-WT1 antibodies we used gave reliable signals by flow cytometry. Mice heterozygous for the Wt1GFPCre allele (Zhou et al., 2008) were therefore used to identify WT1-expressing cells in the PE with this technique (Fig. 1C). We found that most Wt1-GFP+ cells were ITGA4\textsuperscript{high} and that all ITGA4\textsuperscript{high} cells were Wt1-GFP+, consistent with co-expression of WT1 and ITGA4 in PE villi. Quantification of either ITGA4\textsuperscript{high} PE cells by flow cytometry or manual counting of PE villi cells on H&E sections gave similar results (Fig. 1D). Epicardial progenitors begun to accumulate in the PE from 16-17 somite pairs and peaked around 24-28 somite pairs. PE cells also expressed PODOPLANIN (a known epicardial marker (Mahtab et al., 2008)), PDGFRA (Chong et al., 2011) and ALCAM...
(also found throughout the septum transversum (Asahina et al., 2011)) (Fig. S1A-C).

In contrast, PDGFRB was not detected (Fig. S1D).

**Marker expression in the pre-EMT (E10.5) Epicardium**

WT1⁺ ITGA4⁺ cells were found on the myocardial surface from E9.5, rapidly covering the heart to create a continuous epicardial monolayer by E10.5 (Fig. 1E). As expected, Wt1-GFP⁺ ITGA4⁺ cells were detected in whole E10.5 Wt1GFPCre/+ hearts by flow cytometry (Fig. 1F). Since Wt1-GFP ITGA4⁺ cells were also present in the heart (Fig. 1F), additional markers were necessary to identify EPI in absence of the Wt1-GFPCre transgene. Early epicardial cells were found to retain expression of PODOPLANIN (Fig. 1G) and ALCAM (Fig. S1E), and the combination of either marker with ITGA4 was unique to the EPI (Fig. 1H,I, Fig. S1F,G). In addition, EPI cells were the only ITGA4⁺ PECAM⁻ cells in the heart at this stage (Fig. S1H).

**Marker expression in EPI and SEM during EMT**

EMT of the EPI results in accumulation of the SEM. The SEM is initially most prominent in the atroioventricular and interventricular grooves (AVG, IVG). We found that the SEM expressed low levels of WT1 and high levels of PDGFRA, compared to the EPI (Fig. 1J,K). Flow cytometry showed that Wt1-GFP⁺ cells could be subdivided into PDGFRA\textsubscript{low/-} and PDGFRA\textsubscript{high} cells, putative EPI and SEM respectively (Fig. 1L,M). Mean Wt1-GFP epifluorescence was only slightly lower in SEM compared to EPI, suggesting that expression of GFP decreased more slowly than that of WT1.

To confirm our identification of PDGFRA\textsubscript{low/-} and PDGFRA\textsubscript{high} cells as EPI and SEM respectively, we used the Pdgfra-GFP allele, which was compatible with intracellular flow cytometry, allowing us to look at keratin expression, a hallmark of epithelial
(epicardium) tissues. PDGFRA is expressed in PE (Fig. S1B), then downregulated as cells form the EPI and upregulated in nascent SEM (Fig. 1J,K). Given that the Pdgfra-GFP transgene (and Pdgfra itself) is also expressed in valve mesenchyme and neural crest cells, unlike Wt1-GFP, ventricle preparations were used to ensure that all Pdgfra-GFP+ cells observed were of epicardial origin. Accumulation of SEM was lower than that observed with Wt1-GFP, as the AVG was not represented in ventricle fractions. Two Pdgfra-GFP+ populations could be recognized from E11.5, a Pdgfra-GFP-PDGFRAlow/- (blue arrow, Fig. S2A) and a Pdgfra-GFP+ (red gate, Fig. S2A) population. Expression of cytokeratins KRT8 and KRT19 confirmed Pdgfra-GFP-PDGFRAlow/- population as the epicardium (EPI) (Fig. S2B,C), while expression of mesenchymal markers (PDGFRA, PDGFRB) was confined to the Pdgfra-GFP+ KRT8- KRT19- population (SEM) (Fig. S2A-D). Differential expression of PODOPLANIN (high in EPI) and ITGA4 (high in SEM) was also observed (Fig. S2E,F).

Differential expression of PDGFRB, ITGA4 and PODOPLANIN between EPI and SEM was also observed using Wt1-GFP (Fig. S1I-K). Combinations of PODOPLANIN with PDGFRA, PDGFRB or ITGA4 were all sufficiently sensitive to separate EPI and SEM within the Wt1-GFP+ population (Fig. 1N,O, Fig. S1L).

**Monitoring epicardial EMT in wild-type embryos**

Combinations of PODOPLANIN, PDGFRA, ITGA4 and PDGFRB were able to uniquely identify EPI and SEM in presence of either the Wt1-GFP or Pdgfra-GFP transgenes. To identify strategies that would allow us to do this without relying on
any transgene, we profiled the expression of those markers in various cardiac derivatives.

**Identification of non-epicardial cells in the embryonic heart**

Transgenic lines that labeled endothelium and endothelial derivatives such as valve mesenchyme (*Tie2Cre* (Kisanuki et al., 2001)), blood (*Tie2Cre* (Kisanuki et al., 2001)), epicardial and valve mesenchyme (*Pdgfra-GFP* (Hamilton et al., 2003)), myocardium (*Nkx2-5Cre* (Stanley et al., 2002)) and neural crest derivatives (*Wnt1Cre* (Chai et al., 2000)) were used to identify these lineages. Well described markers such as PECAM (endothelium), PDGFRA and PDGFRB (mesenchymal cells (Andrae et al., 2008)), Ter119 (erythrocytes (Chao et al., 2015)), ALCAM and VCAM (myocardium (Elliott et al., 2011; Kwee et al., 1995; Plavicki et al., 2014)), PODOPLANIN and ITGA4 (epicardium (Mahtab et al., 2008) (Sengbusch et al., 2002)) were included to identify combination of markers specific to each lineage. Dissociation of E10.5 hearts showed a cell population with high autofluorescence in the 405 and 488 channels that did not express epicardial (ITGA4, Fig. S3A), mesenchymal (PDGFRA, Fig. S3B) or endothelial (PECAM) markers, but was 100% recombined in *Nkx2-5Cre/+ ZRed/+* hearts (Fig. S3C). This population also expressed VCAM and ALCAM (Fig. S3D,E) consistent with early myocardium. Early myocardium also expressed PODOPLANIN (Fig. S3F). In *Tie2Cre/+ RosaYFP/+* hearts there were two populations of YFP-expressing cells. *Tie2Cre-YFP*<sup>mid</sup> *Ter119<sup>+</sup> cells corresponded to erythrocytes (Fig. S3G,H). *Tie2Cre-YFP*<sup>high</sup> cells were further subdivided by PECAM expression into three sub-populations. Endothelium was PECAM<sup>high</sup> ITGA4<sup>low</sup>/PDGFRA<sup>Wt1-GFP</sup>, (Fig. S3G-K blue). Endothelial-derived valve mesenchyme was PECAM<sup>low</sup> ITGA4<sup>mid</sup> PDGFRA<sup>high</sup> Wt1-GFP (Fig. S3G-K orange). The third group
was PECAM\textsuperscript{+} ITGA4\textsuperscript{high} PDGFRA\textsuperscript{+} ALCAM\textsuperscript{+} (Fig. S3G-L green), as bona fide EPI, and most likely corresponded to the rare EPI cells deleted in the PE in Tie2\textit{Cre/+ Rosa26R/+} embryos (Fig. S3M). Neural crest cells were identified as \textit{Wnt1}\textit{Cre-YFP\textsuperscript{+}} and expressed mesenchymal markers including PDGFRA and ITGA4, but were low in ALCAM (Fig. S3N).

In addition to epicardial development, the PDGFRA/ITGA4/ALCAM or PODOPLANIN/PECAM combination allowed the monitoring of other cardiac events such as valve EMT. Removal of the outflow tract (OFT) and its corresponding cushions permitted quantification of atrioventricular (AV) cushions EMT in the remaining fraction, with no contamination from either OFT mesenchyme or neural crest cells (Fig. S4A,B). AV valve mesenchyme (PECAM\textsuperscript{low} ITGA4\textsuperscript{mid}) accumulated rapidly between 22-32 somite pairs (Fig. S4C). OFT cushions EMT could also be followed accurately in OFT preparations by using \textit{Wnt1}\textit{Cre} to separate neural crest from the valve mesenchyme (Fig. S4D).

**Quantification of epicardial development in wild-type samples**

Some neural crest, and to a lesser degree valve mesenchyme, started to contaminate the EPI gate at E11.5 (Fig. S5A-E). Ventricle preparations that exclude all valves and the OFT were used from this stage onwards as in these the number of either cell type was negligible (Fig. S5F-J). Up to EMT, ALCAM and PODOPLANIN had been interchangeable for identifying the epicardial lineage as a whole, however the differential PODOPLANIN expression in EPI and SEM made it more attractive than ALCAM (whose levels were less affected, see below) from E11.5. EPI and SEM were therefore quantified in wild-type ventricles using the following strategy. PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{+}
ITGA4⁺ cells were gated out of single viable cells (Fig. 2A). Contaminating myocardial cells were then removed using their autofluorescence in the 488 channel (Fig. 2B). The remaining cells were then of the epicardial lineage, and relative levels of PODOPLANIN and PDGFRB were used to separate EPI from SEM (Fig. 2C). In Wt1-GFPCre⁺ samples, GFP⁺ cells were selected first on a fluorogold vs GFP plot (Fig. S5K-M) and then subjected to the strategy described in Fig. 2A&C.

Quantification of wild-type epicardial development showed that PE cells reached the heart from 22 somite pairs onwards (Fig. 2D). EPI cells expansion showed 2 phases: an initial slow phase concomitant with the cell transfer followed by a step of vigorous expansion. Ki67 labeling confirmed that EPI cells were undergoing rapid proliferation at that stage (85.3 ± 0.85 % of cells in G1 to M cell cycle phases, n=8). Accumulation of SEM in the ventricles was monitored from E11.0 (Fig. 2E) and showed a relatively stable ratio of EPI to SEM until E12.0, after which SEM expansion was accelerated, probably due a combination of EMT and mesenchymal proliferation.

Mean expression per cell was analyzed for various markers in the PE, EPI and SEM (Fig. 2F). Transition from the PE (E9.5) to the early (pre-EMT) EPI (E10.5) was accompanied by increased Wt1-GFP, and decreased PDGFRA, Pdgfra-GFP and ALCAM. This trend continued in the EPI from E11.5, accompanied by an increase in PODOPLANIN and a decrease in ITGA4. EMT of the EPI to create the SEM was associated with decreased PODOPLANIN and Wt1-GFP, and increased PDGFRA, Pdgfra-GFP, PDGFRB and ITGA4 expression.
These experiments when taken together show that epithelial (EPI) status correlated with high levels of WT1, Wt1-GFP and PODOPLANIN and low levels of PDGFRA, Pdgfra-GFP, PDGFRB and ITGA4. Mesenchymal (SEM) status correlated with the reciprocal expression profile. The PE showed expression of both epithelial (WT1, Wt1-GFP, PODOPLANIN) and mesenchymal (PDGFRA, Pdgfra-GFP and ITGA4, but not PDGFRB) markers, and uniquely expressed high levels of ALCAM.

**Quantification of epicardial development confirms that Pdgfra is required for development of the subepicardial mesenchyme**

PDGF signaling has been described as critical for epicardial EMT (Martinez-Estrada et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2011). PDGFRA is expressed in PE and pre-EMT EPI, before being markedly increased in nascent SEM (Fig. S1C, 1J-K, S6A-C). We used two alleles of Pdgfra to investigate its role in epicardial development: PdgfraGFP, a histone 2B-GFP knock-in null allele (Hamilton et al., 2003), and Pdgfrafloxed, a hypomorphic allele (Tallquist and Soriano, 2003). The Pdgfrafloxed allele is also conditional-null following Cre-mediated recombination. We generated three mutant models: PdgfraGFP/GFP null embryos, PdgfraGFP/floxed hypomorphic embryos and so called “epicardial-deleted” mutants, Gata5Cre/+ Pdgfradloxed/floxed. PdgfraGFP/+ heterozygous mice developed without a gross morphological phenotype as reported (Hamilton et al., 2003). PdgfraGFP/floxed hypomorphic embryos were obtained at Mendelian ratios at E12.5. PdgfraGFP/GFP null embryos had a more severe phenotype, with up to 75% mortality by E10.5, as previously described (Soriano, 1997). Rare “healthy” null embryos could still be obtained at E12.5, before developing fatal cranial hemorrhages at E14. Although a SEM began to accumulate at E11.5 in PdgfraGFP/GFP null hearts (Fig. 3A,B), SEM was reduced at E12.5 in both hypomorphic and null embryos (2.1 and
2.4-fold less than control heterozygous mice, respectively, p<0.001, Fig. 3C). The average PDGFRA expression/cell in $Pdgfra^{\text{floxed/}+}$, $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/+}}$, $Pdgfra^{\text{floxed/floxed}}$ and $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/floxed}}$ embryos was 69%, 36%, 34% and 20% of wild-type respectively (after subtracting the $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/GFP}}$ background, Fig. 3D), confirming that the floxed allele was hypomorphic, and that $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/floxed}}$ embryos expressed very little PDGFRA. We did not find evidence of compensatory mechanisms via upregulation of $Pdgfra$ or $Pdgfrb$: the level of GFP fluorescence in $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/floxed}}$ embryos was statistically undistinguishable from that of $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/+}}$ embryos ($Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/+}}$ n=10, $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/floxed}}$ n=6, p=0.38) and $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/GFP}}$ embryos averaged 1.9-fold that level ($Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/+}}$ n=13, $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/GFP}}$ n=5, p<0.0001). In addition PDGFRB levels were unchanged ($Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/+}}$ n=10, $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/floxed}}$ n=6, $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/GFP}}$ n=5, p=0.50-0.79). Loss of SEM did not appear to be a consequence of excessive apoptosis (Fig. 3E). However, SEM proliferation was mildly reduced compared to that of heterozygous embryos (Fig. 3F). Proliferation of the EPI, which expresses very little PDGFRA at this stage, was unaffected (Fig. 3F).

To assess the origin of this defect, early epicardial development was quantified in both $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/GFP}}$ and $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/floxed}}$ embryos. Embryos with morphological cardiac defects were excluded from this analysis. Accumulation of PE and early EPI was normal (Fig. 3G,H). SEM accumulation was reduced from its earliest point of accumulation at E11.5 (Fig. 3I), suggesting a defect in epicardial EMT. Flow cytometry showed normal expression of $Pdgfra$-GFP, PODOPLANIN, ITGA4 and PDGFRB in mutant EPI (Fig. S6D). However, 3D confocal analysis of whole-mount stained hearts revealed increased WT1 staining in $Pdgfra$ mutant EPI at E11.5 (n=4/4, Fig. 3J,K). Morphological observation, as well as confocal imaging, showed that $Pdgfra^{\text{GFP/GFP}}$
epicardium often appeared “bubbly” from E11.5 onwards (red box, Fig. 3K). Although this could be observed in control hearts as well as E11.5, this was more severe and persistent in the mutants. These experiments confirmed a role for Pdgfra in SEM formation, and suggest that this is at least in part due to decreased SEM proliferation and possibly also due to reduced epicardial EMT.

We attempted to delete Pdgfra specifically within the epicardial lineage with the Gata5Cre transgene. However these experiments were complicated by progressive transgene inactivation in stud males. Therefore efficiency of deletion was monitored with an anti-PDGFRα antibody in every sample, and only embryos with >90% deletion were analyzed. Gata5Cre/+ Pdgfra<sup>floxed/floxed</sup> embryos died at E14.5 with epicardial effusion and subepicardial blood pooling (n=3/3). At E12.5, Gata5Cre/+ Pdgfra<sup>floxed/floxed</sup> embryos showed no evidence of SEM, whether by flow cytometry (n=7/7, p<0.0001, Fig. 3C) or on sections (n=3/3, Fig. S6E,F), and had a stunted coronary endothelial network (n=3/3, Fig. S6G,H). This phenotype was significantly more severe than that of Pdgfra null embryos (Fig. 3F). In addition, Pdgfra<sup>GFPCre/+</sup> Gata5Cre/+ embryos had significantly less SEM than Pdgfra<sup>GFPCre/+</sup> embryos (p=0.0015, Fig. 3C). This data showed that the Gata5Cre transgene itself interfered with epicardial development independently of deletion of a target floxed allele, and is therefore unsuitable for studying epicardial development.

**Wt1 is required for development of the epicardium**

Wt1 is proposed to be required for development of the SEM (Martinez-Estrada et al., 2010; Moore et al., 1999; von Gise et al., 2011). Wt1<sup>GFPCre</sup> heterozygous null (von Gise et al., 2011) embryos (Wt1<sup>GFPCre/+</sup>) showed normal epicardial development (Fig.
homzygous null embryos became moribund from E13.5 as previously described (Moore et al., 1999). To avoid indirect effects they were not analyzed after E12.5. Whole mount confocal sections of E11.5 hearts labeled with an anti-GFP antibody showed a discontinuous epicardium in \( Wt1^{GFPCre/GFPCre} \) embryos (Fig. 4A-C, \( n=4/4 \)). Quantification of \( Wt1^-\)-GFP⁺ cells confirmed decreased EPI content in \( Wt1^{GFPCre/GFPCre} \) hearts at E10.5 and E11.5 (Fig. 4D). Whole-mount staining and flow cytometry both showed that the discontinuous epicardial layer of E11.5 \( Wt1^{GFPCre/GFPCre} \) ventricles contained cells with a broad range of GFP and PDGFRA levels and cell morphologies (Fig. 4E-H). PDGFRA²⁷ Wt1-GFP²⁴ cells showed multiple protrusions consistent with mesenchyme (red arrows, Fig. 4H). PDGFRA²⁷ Wt1-GFP²⁴ cells were flatter and rounder consistent with epithelial EPI cells (blue arrows, Fig. 4H). As the mesenchymal (PDGFRA²⁷ Wt1-GFP²⁴) cells were located on the surface of the myocardium, and not between EPI and myocardium as in controls, they were termed “SEM-like” cells. In \( Wt1^{GFPCre/GFPCre} \) null mutants, SEM-like cells were increased in proportion within the epicardial lineage, whether in whole hearts, or ventricle preparations (Fig. 4I, \( n=11/11, p<0.0001 \)).

**\( Wt1 \) is required for epithelial identity of the epicardium**

Decreased EPI and increased SEM-like cells in \( Wt1 \) nulls suggested that WT1 has a pro-epithelial role, either by repressing epicardial EMT, or alternatively impairing the epithelial character of the EPI. Since \( Pdgfra \) null embryos showed increased WT1 staining and a reduced EMT, we investigated whether lowering WT1 expression in \( Pdgfra \) mutants could rescue the EMT defect. Ventricle samples from healthy embryos between 44-50 somite pairs were analyzed to ensure reliably measurable amounts of SEM and stage matching. Cells of the epicardial lineage were gated as described in
Fig. 2 and the levels of PODOPLANIN vs PDGFRB used to assess the proportions of EPI and SEM. Pdgfra hypomorphic and null embryos had 45% and 43% of wild-type SEM content respectively (p<0.001, Fig. 3I). Deletion of one Wt1 copy in Pdgfra null or hypomorphic embryos resulted in increased SEM content in about half of the embryos, but in the limited number of embryos that we were able to generate (n=6) this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.13, Fig. S7D). However, we also found that PdgfraGFP/+ heterozygotes, although grossly normal, also showed a reduction in SEM content to about 80% of controls (p=0.015, Fig. 4J). Deletion of one Wt1 allele (PdgfraGFP/+ Wt1GFPCre/+PdgfraGFP/+) was sufficient to restore the number of SEM cells to wild-type levels (p<0.0001, Fig. 4J).

Expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers was assessed in EPI and SEM-like cells in Wt1 mutants. E11.5 EPI cells (Wt1-GFP+ PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{high} PDGFRB-) from Wt1 nulls showed inappropriately high expression of ITGA4 and ALCAM, and low expression of PODOPLANIN (Fig. 5A-C). This marker pattern resembled that of wild-type PE (Fig. 5A-C), suggesting that differentiation of the EPI was impaired in Wt1 mutants. E11.5 SEM-like cells in Wt1 nulls (Wt1-GFP+ PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{low} PDGFRB+) showed higher expression of PDGFRB (PE, p=0.032; E10.5 EPI, p=0.0047) and lower expression of PODOPLANIN (PE, P=0.0005; E10.5 EPI, p=0.0031) than in PE or the E10.5 EPI (Fig. 5C,D). This showed that the SEM-like cells had matured as mesenchymal cells. Wt1 null SEM-like cells also showed a small but significant increase in apoptosis (Fig. 5E). The results of these experiments are consistent with a pro-epithelial role for WT1, since the absence of Wt1 led to a less differentiated EPI but did not impair mesenchymal differentiation of SEM-like cells.
The rescue of SEM cell numbers in Pdgfra heterozygotes also suggests that Wt1 represses epicardial EMT.

**Wt1 is required for transfer of proepicardial cells to the epicardium**

The role of WT1 was also examined in the PE. There was no significant change in the total number of PE+EPI cells in Wt1 nulls from E8.8 to E10.5 (Fig. 6A). Consistent with this, Wt1 nulls showed no alteration in the frequency of apoptosis in PE and EPI cells (Fig. 5E) and proliferation of EPI cells was unaffected at E11.5 (Fig.5F). However, Wt1-GFP+ cell numbers increased in the Wt1 null PE without increasing in the EPI, suggesting that WT1 is required for transfer from the PE to the heart (Fig. 6B,C). Of the PE markers we analyzed, only ALCAM was abnormal, showing increased expression in mutant PE cells (Fig. 5A-D). Expression of ITGA4, a predicted transcriptional target of WT1 (Kirschner et al., 2006), was unaffected in the Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre null PE (Fig. 5A) but reduced in the E10.5 EPI (Fig. 5A). A significant proportion of EPI cells lost all ITGA4 staining (Fig. 6D). Since Itga4 is required for epicardial attachment to the heart (Sengbusch et al., 2002), this is likely to contribute to the low numbers of Wt1-GFP+ cells from E10.5 on (Fig. 6C,F) and the restoration of ITGA expression per EPI cell at E11.5 (Fig. 6A). However, reduced ITGA4 expression did not contribute to poor PE-to-EPI transfer in Wt1 nulls because Wt1-GFP+ ITGAhigh cells also accumulated in the PE (Fig. 6E,F). Despite having normal to excessive numbers of Wt1-GFP+ PE cells, Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre embryos showed a severe reduction in density and number of PE villi (n=4/4, Fig. 6G,H).

**DISCUSSION**
Epicardial development is a complex multistep process beginning with specification of the PE and culminating with differentiation of cardiac fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells. In order to understand the molecular mechanisms involved in these processes we developed methodology to identify, quantify and potentially isolate early epicardial derivatives throughout embryogenesis. We identified unique immunoprofiles which we used to perform a comprehensive and quantitative analysis of early epicardial development. This showed that PE formation, cell transfer to the EPI, formation of the SEM by EMT, and the accompanying marker expression are highly dynamic. In addition this method showed promise for quantifying other aspects of early cardiogenesis such as endothelium-to-mesenchyme transition (valve mesenchyme formation) and cardiac neural crest migration.

We tested this methodology on \textit{Pdgfra} and \textit{Wt1} mutants which are known to have epicardial phenotypes. Both genes are reported to be pro-mesenchymal and to promote EMT of the EPI (Martinez-Estrada et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2011; von Gise et al., 2011). We found supportive evidence for such a role for \textit{Pdgfra} but not for \textit{Wt1}. Embryos with less (hypomorphic and heterozygous) or no PDGFRA showed quantitative reductions in SEM. In contrast, we found that \textit{Wt1} was required for formation of the PE villi, cell transfer to the heart, epithelial differentiation of the epicardium and epicardial EMT. \textit{Wt1}^{-/-} ventricles had a single external cell layer which has previously been interpreted as EPI without a SEM. Hence \textit{Wt1}, like \textit{Pdgfra}, was considered essential for epicardial EMT and interpreted as a pro-mesenchymal factor (Martinez-Estrada et al., 2010; von Gise et al., 2011). However, our quantitative analysis of \textit{Wt1} null hearts showed that this external layer is composed of SEM-like mesenchymal cells and poorly epithelialized EPI cells. Defective formation of the SEM in \textit{Pdgfra}
heterozygous embryos was rescued when \textit{Wt1} gene dosage was halved. Our experiments support previous in vitro reports suggesting that WT1 represses rather than promotes EMT (Bax et al., 2011; Takeichi et al., 2013).

\textit{Wt1} nulls also had a severe reduction in PE villi, another an epithelial structure (Hirose et al., 2006; Manner, 1992; Nahiren et al., 2003). Collectively our data provides in vivo evidence for a pro-epithelial role for \textit{Wt1} in the epicardial lineage. WT1 is known to be expressed in developing kidney cells transiting between mesenchymal and epithelial states, and in podocytes co-expressing mesenchymal and epithelial markers (Miller-Hodges and Hohenstein, 2012). \textit{Wt1} is also required for the mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) in nephron formation (Davies et al., 2004). These observations suggest that \textit{Wt1} might have a general pro-epithelial role in development.

EMT has been the focus of many studies as it is a mechanism widely used in developing embryos and disease. EMT is tightly regulated, with often some donor epithelium retained, while sufficient mesenchyme is produced. This is particularly true of epicardial EMT, which must spare epicardial integrity. Control of epicardial EMT reflects this duality, integrating the activity of epicardial maintenance factors such as WT1 with that of EMT inducers such as PDGF and TGF-beta pathways (von Gise and Pu, 2012) to generate coronary precursors.
MATERIAL and METHODS

Animal models

All transgenic (*Gata5Cre* (Zamora et al., 2007), *Tie2Cre* (Kisanuki et al., 2001), *Wnt1Cre* (Chai et al., 2000), *Z/Red* (Vintersten et al., 2004)) or knockin (*Nkx2-5Cre* (Stanley et al., 2002), *MesP1Cre* (Saga et al., 1999), *Wt1GFPCre* (Zhou et al., 2008), *Pdgfra* 

$^{\text{floxed}}$ (Tallquist and Soriano, 2003), *R26R* (Soriano, 1999), *R26R-EYFP* (Srinivas et al., 2001)) lines had been maintained on a C57BL/6 background for at least 10 generations when obtained. They were kept on this background for the length of the study.

*Pdgfra*-H2BGFP mice (Hamilton et al., 2003) (referenced here as *PdgfraGFP*), maintained on a C57BL/6 background, were bred once to FVB mice to generate F1 (C57BL/6 X FVB) females that were used for embryo collection. Survival and phenotype of null embryos was similar whether on C57BL/6 or a mixed C57BL/6 X FVB background, however the latter background was used for most analyzes due to a greater litter size.

All experiments were approved by the WEHI Animal Ethics Committee and conducted according to the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1986 (the Act) and the National Health and Medical Research Council Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes 8th edition (the NHMRC Code).

Dissection

All embryos were scored for morphological criteria and health, and somite staged (when possible) under the microscope. Proepicardial regions were dissected and cleaned of sinus venosus and pericardium. Depending on the experiment, heart, out-
flow tract or ventricles were dissected. When ventricle tissue was processed, endocardial cushions were removed to avoid contamination by valve tissues and neural crest derivatives.

**Flow cytometry**

Dissociation protocols were optimized for each stage using survival of \( Wt1^{-}\text{GFP}^+ \) cells as a read-out. Dissected tissues were incubated in collagenase 2 (Worthington, 50u/ml) in PBS\(^-\) (without Ca and Mg), at 37 degrees for 10-30mins, depending on the stage/thickness of tissue. For late stage embryos or adult hearts, tissue was minced before enzymatic dissociation. PBS\(^-\) + 7%FCS was then added to the mix, followed by an optimized regime of gentle mechanical dissociation with a 1ml pipetman. Samples were washed, filtered and labelled for flow cytometry. Samples were incubated for 30mins to 1hr with primary or secondary antibodies in PBS\(^-\) + 7%FCS, followed by 2x2ml washes. Fluorogold (Sigma-Aldrich, 1/300) was used a viability marker.

For intracellular FACS (Keratin labeling), samples were fixed 20mins in Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Biosciences) on ice before staining. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight in wash buffer (BD Biosciences) at 4 degrees. Cells were then incubated with secondary antibodies for 4hrs on ice.

For proliferation studies, cells were fixed in ice cold 80% ethanol for 45mins and incubated with anti-Ki67 (BD Pharmingen kit) overnight. Cells were then stained with DAPI for 30mins at room temperature.

For all antibodies, pilot experiments included isotype controls. For Ki67 staining, the BD Pharmingen kit included an isotype control which was used in all experiments to ensure appropriate gating of Ki67\(^+\) cells.
All FACS amples were run on a Fortessa (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJO software.

Statistics

Statistical analysis (unpaired Student’s t-test) was performed in Prism.

Flow cytometry and immunofluorescence antibodies

Rat anti-mouse PDGFRA (eBiosciences, APA5), and PDGFRB (eBiosciences, APB5), rat anti-mouse ALCAM (eBiosciences, eBioALC48), hamster anti-mouse PODOPLANIN (eBiosciences, eBio8.1.1), rat anti-mouse ITGA4 (AbD Serotec, PS/2), rat anti-mouse PECAM (BD Pharmingen, MEC13.3), mouse anti-human Ki67 (BD Pharmingen), rabbit anti-GFP (Invitrogen, A11122), rabbit anti-mouse NKX2-5 (Santa Cruz sc-14033), rabbit anti-human WT1 (Santa Cruz, sc-192), rat anti-KRT8 (Troma I, DHSB), rat anti-KRT19 (Troma III, DHSB). Alexa conjugated secondary antibodies were from Invitrogen. Primary antibodies were used at 1/100 for FACS (1/400 for PODOPLANIN), and 1/50 for immunofluorescence. Specificity of staining was ascertained by isotype or secondary-only controls.

Immunofluorescence

Samples were embedded in OCT following sucrose embedding, without prior fixation. 10μm sections were cut on a cryostat and stored at -80. After defrosting, sections were fixed for 3mins in 1% formalin, blocked for 1hr in PBS + 10% donkey serum and processed for antibody labeling. Antibodies incubation ranged from 1hr at room temperature to overnight at 4 degrees in PBS + 10% donkey serum. After antibody staining, slides were washed in PBS and incubated with DAPI (1/1000) before
mounting in Immuno-Fluore (ImmunO). Samples were imaged on a LSM780 and processed with ImageJ or Imaris softwares.

Whole-mount imaging

Hearts were dissected free from lung tissue and the outflow tract was sometimes removed to ensure proper mounting. Samples were fixed for 30mins in 2% paraformaldehyde 0.1% Tween 20 PBS\(^+\) (with Ca/Mg) at room temperature, then washed and blocked for 1hr in whole-mount buffer (WMB = PBS\(^-\) with 10%FCS + 0.6% Triton X-100). Overnight incubation with primary antibodies was followed by 6x 1hr washes in WMB, and incubation with secondary antibodies + DAPI when required. After washes, hearts were cleared overnight on glycerol gradient (Ferkowicz and Yoder, 2011), mounted between 2 microscope coverslips and imaged on a LSM780. Imaging data was processed with ImageJ or Imaris softwares.

Histology

Embryos were fixed for 2 days in ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde and processed for paraffin embedding. 7\(\mu\)m sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and eosin.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Quantitative analysis of early epicardial development

A. WT1 immunochemistry on E9.5 sections of wild-type embryo. High level of WT1 staining is found on PE villi while the dorsal mesenchyme (DM) shows only limited staining. V: ventricle. B. Immunofluorescence on E9.5 cryostat sections of wild-type embryo. High levels of ITGA4 and WT1 on PE villi. C. Flow cytometry analysis of wild-type and Wt1GFPCre/+ PE preparations (PEP). ITGA4\textsuperscript{high} cells are Wt1-GFP\textsuperscript{+}. D. Time course of proepicardial development: quantification of ITGA4\textsuperscript{high} cells by flow cytometry and counting of cells engaged in PE villi on H&E sections gives similar results. Genotypes are indicated. E. Immunofluorescence on E10.5 cryostat sections of wild-type embryo. High levels of ITGA4 and WT1 in EPI cells. F-I. Flow cytometry analysis of E10.5 Wt1GFPCre/+ hearts. ITGA4\textsuperscript{+} Wt1-GFP\textsuperscript{+} EPI cells form a distinctive population (F). EPI cells are PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{+} (G). PODOPLANIN vs ITGA4 identifies 4 populations: PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{+} ITGA4\textsuperscript{+} cells are the only Wt1-GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells (H,I). J-K. Immunofluorescence on E12.5 cryostat sections of wild-type heart. EPI cells are WT1\textsuperscript{high} PDGFRA\textsuperscript{low} and SEM cells are WT1\textsuperscript{low} PDGFRA\textsuperscript{high}. A: atrium; V: ventricle. L-O. Flow cytometry analysis of E12.5 Wt1GFPCre/+ hearts. (L) GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells can be gated specifically (green gate) out of live (grey) single cells. Black gate = dead cells. (M-O) Gating on Wt1-GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells only: two distinct populations are present: PDGFRA\textsuperscript{+} cells (red, SEM) are PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{low} and PDGFRB\textsuperscript{+}, PDGFRA\textsuperscript{+} cells (blue, EPI) are PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{high} PDGFRB\textsuperscript{−}. 
Figure 2. Endogenous and reporter marker expression during early epicardial development.

A-C. Gating strategy for epicardial derivatives in wild-type E11.5-E12.5 ventricles. PODOPLANIN$^+$ ITGA4$^+$ cells are gated out of single viable cells (A). PODOPLANIN vs GFP (488) channel allows gating out of myocardial cells (autofluorescent) (B). PODOPLANIN vs PDGFRB allows separation of PODOPLANIN$^{high}$ PDGFRB$^+$ EPI cells (blue) and PODOPLANIN$^{mid}$ PDGFRB$^+$ SEM cells (red) (C). Differential expression of PDGFRA (not used for gating) confirms EPI and SEM identities. D. Accumulation of epicardial cells (ITGA4$^+$ ALCAM$^+$) with time in the heart. SP: somite pairs. Each dot represents an embryo. E. Proportion of SEM cells (PODO$^{mid}$ ITGA4$^+$ PDGFRB$^+$) within the epicardial lineage (PODO$^+$ ITGA4$^+$) between E11.0 and E13. SP: somite pairs. F. Mean marker expression per cell by flow cytometry in the PE, EPI and SEM. All markers normalised to a relative expression of 1 in the PE. Gating strategies and stages are indicated. PODO = PODOPLANIN. Black = significance compared to the previous day (E9.5 PE -> E10.5 EPI -> E11.5 EPI + E11.5 SEM). For E12.5 EPI and SEM samples, the previous day samples are E11.5 EPI and SEM respectively. Red = significance compared to the PE level. Blue = significance compared to the EPI of the same day.

E,F: Mean ± SD are displayed. Statistics: Student’s t-test. * p<0.05, ** p <0.01, *** p <0.001, **** p<0.0001. n= number of independent samples.
Figure 3. Embryos lacking Pdgfra have a mild reduction in SEM.

A,B. Optical sections through a whole mount *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/GFP}\) E11.5 heart stained with WT1. Only GFP channel is displayed in A. Presence of SEM (*Pdgfra*-GFP\(^{high}\) cells) is obvious at the level of the atrioventricular groove (AVG) and interventricular groove (IVG), as well as underneath the ventricular epicardium (B). NB: *Pdgfra*-GFP is also expressed in valve mesenchyme (VM). C. %SEM in E12.5 ventricles. Mean ± SD are displayed. Statistics: Student’s t-test. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001. n= number of independent samples. Genotypes are indicated (H: heterozygote; Hp: hypomorph; N: null; FF: floxed/floxed; G: *Gata5*Cre). D. PDGFR expression per cell (arbitrary units) in various genotypes. Genotypes: w = wild-type, F = *Pdgfra*\(^{floxed/+}\), H = heterozygotes (*Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/+}\)), FF = *Pdgfra*\(^{floxed/floxed}\), Hp = hypomorphs (*Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/floxed}\), N = nulls (*Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/GFP}\)). Statistics: Student’s t-test, comparison to wild-type. E. Proportion of Annexin\(^+\) cells in E12.5 ventricles of *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/+}\) (H) and *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/GFP}\) (N) embryos. DC: dead cells. F. Proportion of EPI and SEM cells actively in cell cycle (Ki67\(^+\)) by flow cytometry at E12.5 in *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/+}\) (H), *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/floxed}\) (Hp) and *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/GFP}\) (N) embryos. Statistics: Student’s t-test, comparison to matching *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/+}\) (H) sample. G,H. Number of proepicardial (G, ITGA4\(^{high}\)) and epicardial cells (H, ITGA4\(^+\) ALCAM\(^+\)) by flow cytometry with time (somite pairs, SP) in wild-types, *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/floxed}\) and *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/GFP}\) embryos. Each dot represents an embryo. I. %SEM within the epicardial lineage (PODO\(^{mid}\) ITGA4\(^+\) PDGFRB\(^+\)) of E11.5 ventricles. Statistics: Student’s t-test, comparison to wild-type. J,K. WT1 expression (white) by whole-mount immunofluorescence in wild-type (J) and *Pdgfra*\(^{GFP/GFP}\) null (K) hearts at E11.5. Red box = epicardial bubbles.
C-F,I: Mean ± SD are displayed. Statistics (Student’s t-test): * p<0.05, ** p <0.01, *** p <0.001, **** p<0.0001. n= number of independent samples.

Figure 4. *Wt1* null embryos have a discontinuous epicardium and increased mesenchymal content.

A-C. GFPCre protein expression (anti-GFP antibody) by whole-mount immunofluorescence in *Wt1*GFPCre/+ (A, n=5) and *Wt1*GFPCreGFPCre (B, n=4) hearts at E11.5. Red line = heart outline. (C = enlargement of A and B). D. Number of *Wt1*-GFP+ cells in *Wt1*GFPCre/+ (H) and *Wt1*GFPCreGFPCre (N) hearts at E10.5 and E11.5. E. PDGFRA and PDGFRB expression by flow cytometry in *Wt1*-GFP+ cells from *Wt1*GFPCre/+ and *Wt1*GFPCreGFPCre hearts at E11.5. F,G. PDGFRA, PDGFRB and *Wt1*-GFP expression in *Wt1*GFPCre/+ and *Wt1*GFPCreGFPCre hearts by flow cytometry at E11.5. H. PDGFRA and *Wt1*-GFP expression in *Wt1*GFPCreGFPCre embryos by whole-mount immunofluorescence. PDGFRA\textsuperscript{high} *Wt1*-GFP\textsuperscript{low} SEM-like cells (red arrows) are mixed with PDGFRA\textsuperscript{low} *Wt1*-GFP\textsuperscript{high} EPI cells (blue arrows) on the surface of the heart. I. Proportion of SEM-like cells within *Wt1*-GFP+ cells at E11.5 by flow cytometry in whole heart (WH) and ventricle-only preparations. Genotypes are indicated. J. Proportion of SEM (PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{+} ITGA4\textsuperscript{+} PDGFRB\textsuperscript{+}) within the epicardial lineage (PODOPLANIN\textsuperscript{+} ITGA4\textsuperscript{+}) of the ventricles at E11.5 (44-50sp).

I,J: alleles for each gene: w = wild-type, H = heterozygote (either *Pdgfra*GFP/+ or *Wt1*GFPCre/+).

D,I,J: Mean ± SD are displayed. Statistics (Student’s t-test): * p<0.05, ** p <0.01, *** p <0.001, **** p<0.0001. n= number of independent samples.
**Figure 5. Early epicardial development is disrupted in Wt1 null embryos.**

**A-D.** Average expression per cell (determined by flow cytometry) of ITGA4 (A), ALCAM (B), PODOPLANIN (C) and PDGFRB (D) during early epicardial development of Wt1GFPCre/+ and Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre embryos. **E.** % annexin+ cells during early epicardial development of Wt1GFPCre/+ and Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre embryos. DC= dead cells (fluorogold+). **F.** % Ki67+ cells within E11.5 EPI of Wt1GFPCre/+ and Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre embryos.

Genotypes: H: Wt1GFPCre/+; N: Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre.

Mean ± SD are displayed. Statistics (Student’s t-test): * p<0.05, ** p <0.01, *** p <0.001, **** p<0.0001. n= number of independent samples.

**Figure 6. Proepicardial development is disrupted in Wt1 null embryos.**

**A-C.** Total number of Wt1-GFP+ whether in PE or heart (A), PE (B) or heart (C) with time. **D.** % Wt1-GFP+ cells that express ITGA4 within the E10.5 heart. **E,F.** Number of proepicardial (ITGA4high) in PE (E) and epicardial cells (ITGA4+ ALCAM+) in heart (HT, F) in Wt1GFPCre/+ and Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre embryos with time. SP = somite pairs. **G.** PE morphology on paraffin sections (Hematoxylin and Eosin counterstaining). Typical PE villi morphology is very rare in mutant embryos. **H.** Quantification of cells with villi morphology in Wt1GFPCre/+ and Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre embryos with time. PE villi formation is absent and not delayed in mutants.
Mean ± SD are displayed. Statistics: Student’s t-test: * p<0.05, ** p <0.01, *** p <0.001, **** p<0.0001.

Supporting Information Legends

Supp. Fig. 1. Quantitative analysis of early epicardial development
A-D. Flow cytometry analysis of Wt1GFPCre/+ PE preparations (PEP). Wt1-GFP+ cells are PODOPLANIN+ PDGFR+ ALCAM+ PDGFRB-. E-H. Flow cytometry analysis of Wt1GFPCre/+ E10.5 heart (HT). Wt1-GFP+ (EPI) cells are ALCAM+ (E) and the only cardiac cell type to be PODOPLANIN+ ITGA4+ or PECAM- ITGA4+ (F-H). I-L. Flow cytometry analysis of Wt1GFPCre/+ E12.5 heart (HT). Gating on Wt1-GFP+ cells only. Wt1- GFP+ PDGFR+ cells are PDGFRB+ (I) ITGA4+ (J). Wt1-GFP+ PODOPLANINhigh cells are Wt1-GFPhigh (K) and ITGA4low (L).

Supp. Fig. 2. Relative EPI and SEM immunoprofiles using the Pdgfra-GFP knockin construct
A-F. Expression profile of PDGFR (A), KRT8 (B), KRT19 (C), PDGFRB (D), PODOPLANIN (E) and ITGA4 (F) with time in PdgfraGFP/+ PE (ITGA4high) cells and ventricles preparations (E11.5-E13.5). Grey patterns: wild-type control re-GFP in PE. The boundary between GFP+ and GFP- cells in the heart is indicated in the first row of sample (A) (green line, based on wild-type samples). Green: Fluorescence minus one (FMO) negative control for KRT antibody stainings. Autofluorescent myocardium (MYO), Pdgfra-GFP low EPI (blue arrow) and Pdgfra-GFP high SEM (red gate) are indicated.

Supp. Fig. 3. Identification of various cardiac lineages by flow cytometry
A-F. Cells with a specific autofluorescence in the 488 (GFP) channel are ITGA4 (A) PDGFRB (B), PECAM- (C), Nkx2.5Cre-dsRed+ (C), VCAM- (D), ALCAM+ (E) and PODOPLANIN+ (F) and therefore myocardial (MYO). G-H. Blood and endothelial derived lineages in Tie2Cre+/ R26R-EYFP/+/ hearts. Black boxes = Tie2Cre-YFPmid PECAM-Ter119+ deleted erythrocytes (E), grey box = Tie2Cre-YFP- PECAM-Ter119+ undeleted erythrocytes (UE), blue box = Tie2Cre-YFPhigh PECAMhigh Ter119+ endothelium, orange box = Tie2Cre-YFPhigh PECAMlow Ter119+ valve mesenchyme. I-J. PECAM, ITGA4 and PDGFR expression in Tie2Cre-YFP/+/ cells (gated of purple box in H). Blue = endothelium (PECAMhigh ITGA4low PDGFR+); Orange = valve mesenchyme (PECAMmid ITGA4mid, PDGFRhigh); Green = rare EPI cells deleted by Tie2Cre (PECAM- ITGA4high PDGFRAlow). K. Wt1-GFP expression in (PECAMhigh ITGA4low PDGFR+, blue), (PECAMmid ITGA4mid, PDGFRAhgh, orange) and EPI (ALCAM- ITGA4+, red), confirming that both ENDO and VM populations as defined by those markers are Wt1-GFP-. L. ALCAM and ITGA4 expression in E10.5 Tie2Cre+/ R26R-EYFP/+/ hearts. Black = Tie2Cre-YFPmid Ter119+ blood cells as in G; Blue, orange and green = Tie2Cre-YFPhigh Ter119+ cells colour-coded as in I. The
gate where epicardial cells normally can be found (EPI gate) is shown and devoid of blood or endothelial derivatives. **M.** LacZ (blue) and WT1 (brown) expression in a section from E9.5 *Tie2Cre/+ R26R/+* embryo, showing occasional recombination of PE cells with *Tie2Cre*; green arrow = LacZ; WT1high PE cells; blue arrow = endocardial endothelium; black arrow = blood. **N.** PDGFRA, ITGA4, ALCAM and YFP expression in *Wnt1Cre/+ R26R-EYFP/+* hearts. Red = EPI cells (defined as ALCAM- ITGA4+); Purple = neural crest-derived cells (*Wnt1Cre-YFP*) which do not contaminate the EPI gate at that stage.

**Supp. Fig. 4.** Quantification of valve mesenchyme and neural crest cells at in E10.5 hearts.
**A.** Whole heart preparations of *Wnt1Cre/+ Rosa26YFP/+* embryos. YFP+ neural crest (NC) cells (blue) occupy the ENDO + VM gate. **B.** *Wnt1Cre/+ Rosa26-EYFP/+* hearts without OFT lack OFT cushions (by design) and all NC (blue) cells. **C.** Quantification of atrioventricular (AV) valve mesenchyme (AV VM) in hearts without OFT with time. As that of the epicardium (EPI), accumulation of AV VM is dynamic. SP: somite pairs number. **D.** Quantification of outflow tract (OFT) valve mesenchyme in *Wnt1Cre/+ Rosa26-EYFP/+* embryos. Mesenchymal cells (PDGFRA+ ITGA4+) are gated out of the ENDO + VM gate and separated into OFT VM (YFP- ) and neural crest (YFP+).

**Supp. Fig. 5.** Identification of SEM at E11.5 requires ventricle-only preparations in order to remove valve mesenchyme and neural crest-derived cells.
**A,** **F.** ALCAM and ITGA4 expression in E11.5 wild-type whole hearts (**A**) and ventricle only preparations (**F**). EPI gate (red circle) is indicated. Note that the EPI gate is much cleaner in ventricle fractions. **B,** **C,** **G,** **H.** PECAM, ALCAM, ITGA4 and YFP expression in *Tie2Cre/+ R26R-EYFP/+* whole hearts (**B,** **C**) and ventricle-only preparations (**G,** **H**). Blue = endothelium; Orange = valve mesenchyme (VM); Green = rare *Tie2Cre+* EPI cells (see Fig. S3M). EPI gate is indicated. Ventricle fractions have negligible VM content. **D,** **E,** **I,** **J.** Flow cytometry on *Wnt1Cre/+ R26R-EYFP/+* hearts. *Wnt1Cre-YFPhigh* PDGFRA- neural crest cells (purple) significantly contaminate the EPI gate at E11.5. **L,** **M.** Flow cytometry on *Wnt1Cre/+ R26R-EYFP/+* hearts showing that ventricle fractions are devoid of NC cells. **K,** **M.** *Wt1-GFP* (EPI, red) and myocardial (MYO, teal) cells can be separated on a fluorogold vs GFP scatterplot. **N,** **O.** Flow cytometry on *Wt1GFPCre/+* E11.5 ventricles. *Wt1-GFP+* (EPI, red) and myocardial (MYO, teal) populations show significantly overlap, making a gating similar to Fig. 2B impossible with GFP+ cells.

**Supp. Fig. 6.** *Gata5Cre/+ Pdgfra*floxed/floxed embryos have no SEM and a stunted coronary network.
**A,** **C.** PDGFRA and WT1 expression by immunofluorescence on sections in wild-type PE (**A**, E9.5), EPI (**B**, E10.5) and SEM (**C**, E12.5). **D.** Mean expression/cell for GFP epifluorescence, PODOPLANIN (PODO), ITGA4 and PDGFRB by flow cytometry in EPI of wild-types (w), *PdgfraGFP/+* (H) and *PdgfraGFP/GFP* (N) at E11.5. Mean ± SD are displayed. Statistics: Student’s t-test: no significant difference. **E,** **F.** Immunofluorescence on E13.5 sections. Genotypes are indicated. PECAM (green) highlights both the internal endocardial lining of the heart (EC), and the peripheral coronary endothelium (CE). NKX2-5 (pink) stains the myocardium. Epicardial lineages (EPI and SEM) are unstained in those conditions. Note the severe reduction in subepicardial mesen-
chyme and coronary endothelium in the mutant. **G,H.** Immunofluorescence on sections of E13.5 hearts. PECAM staining shows normal endocardial lining (EC) while the developing coronary network is much less dense and does not extend in mutants as far as in matched controls (red arrows). Note the absence of coronary endothelium at the level of the interventricular groove (IVG) in mutants. (yellow arrow).

**Supp. Fig. 7.** *Wt1GFPCre/+* embryos have normal early epicardial development

**A.** Number of PE cells (ITGA4\textsubscript{high}) by flow cytometry in wild-type (grey) and *Wt1GFPCre/+* embryos (blue). **B.** Number of EPI cells (PODOPLANIN+ ITGA4+) by flow cytometry in wild-type (grey) and *Wt1GFPCre/+* embryos (blue). **C.** %SEM within the epicardial lineage at E11.5 by flow cytometry in wild-type (w, grey) and *Wt1GFPCre/+* embryos (H, blue). Mean ± SD are displayed. Statistics: Student’s t-test: no significant difference. **D.** Proportion of SEM or SEM-like cells within the epicardial lineage of the ventricles at E11.5 (44-50sp) and E12.5 (51-57sp). Single embryos are represented. Statistics: Student’s t-test: no significant difference.

Alleles for each gene: w = wild-type, H = heterozygote (either *PdgfraGFP/+* or *Wt1GFPCre/+*), Hp = hypomorph (*PdgfraGFP/floxed*), N = null (either *PdgfraGFP/GFP* or *Wt1GFPCre/GFPCre*). **A,B,** each dot represents an embryo. **C,D,** n= number of independent samples.
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*** comparison to previous day

*** comparison to PE level

*** comparison to EPI, same day

**Average expression/cell normalised to PE level**

|          | PODO | Wt1-GFP | PDGFRA | Pdgfra-GFP | PDGFRB | ALCAM | ITGA4 |
|----------|------|---------|--------|------------|--------|-------|-------|
| n        | 7    | 4       | 4      | 2          | 12     | 3     | 13    |
|          | 8    | 6       | 6      | 6          | 4      | 5     | 5     |
|          | 19   | 3       | 3      | 3          | 10     | 3     | 3     |
|          | 24   | 3       | 3      | 3          | 4      | 3     | 3     |
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