Third and fifth harmonics generation by tightly focused femtosecond pulses at 2.2 \( \mu m \) wavelength in air
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We report experiments on the generation of third and fifth harmonics of millijoule-level, tightly focused, femtosecond laser pulses at 2.2 \( \mu m \) wavelength in air. The measured ratio of yields of the third and fifth harmonics in our setup is about 2 \( \cdot 10^{-4} \). This result contradicts the recent suggestion that the Kerr effect in air saturates and changes sign in ultra-intense optical fields. © 2011 Optical Society of America
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Linear and nearly instantaneous dependence of the refractive index of transparent media on the intensity of the optical field is the essence of the electronic Kerr effect, which is the cornerstone of nonlinear optics. In a recent publication, it has been suggested that the notion of the instantaneous electronic Kerr effect must be generalized [1]. Measurements of the transient birefringence induced in various gases by ultraintense and ultrashort optical pulses have been interpreted as the saturation and sign reversal of the nonlinear refractive index at the optical intensity levels on the order of several tens of terawatts per square centimeter. The common linear dependence of the index of refraction on the intensity of the optical field has been amended by the inclusion of new, nontrivially large terms proportional to second and higher powers of intensity.

The field of femtosecond laser filamentation is probably the one that would be affected the most, should the above suggestion be proven valid. For many years, it was believed that the intrinsically unstable balance between diffraction and self-focusing in ultraintense laser beams undergoing filamentation in gaseous media is stabilized by the weak defocusing action of plasma generated on the beam axis via multi-photon ionization [2] – [4]. The higher-order Kerr terms, if they did exist, could provide for an alternative stabilization mechanism. The filament propagation would be much longer ranged, as the losses to ionization would be reduced compared to the established filamentation scenario based on plasma defocusing [5].

Effects associated with weak saturation of the electronic Kerr response have been considered in the context of laser filamentation previously [6] – [8]. However, the suggestion that the Kerr effect may reverse sign and cause, among other things, beam self-defocusing, were too radical a departure from the established framework of laser filamentation and nonlinear optics in general. Almost immediately after the publication of [1], the results reported in that paper became the subject of intense debates.

Following [9], we will refer to the claim put forth in [1] as the Higher-Order Kerr Effect (HOKE) theory. Several independent experimental tests of HOKE in the context of laser filamentation have unambiguously proven that even if the higher-order Kerr terms do exist, they are not operative in common laser filaments in gases [10] – [12]. The question still remains whether this high-order Kerr effect exists at all. It has been argued that the sign reversal of the Kerr effect may occur in a transient regime, while neutral molecules in the gas are undergoing multiphoton ionization [13], [14].

Very recent experiments on direct profiling of the cross-phase modulation response in a thin gas target illuminated by an ultraintense and ultrashort laser pulse revealed no evidence of even a transient saturation of the Kerr effect, in complete contradiction with HOKE [15]. An alternative test that would also look at the presence of a potentially transient HOKE response has been proposed in [16]. In this Letter, we report experimental results on the realization of that proposal. In agreement with [15], we found no evidence of the higher-order Kerr response. We believe that at this time, the combined body of experimental evidence is sufficient to permanently disqualify the HOKE paradigm.

The experimental test reported here is based on the dramatic effect that the inclusion of the HOKE terms would have on the efficiency of third and fifth harmonic generation by a femtosecond laser pulse with peak power in the vicinity of the alleged turnover point for the higher-order Kerr effect. As has been numerically shown in [16] for tightly focused femtosecond pulses at 1.3 \( \mu m \) wavelength, the ratio of the yields of the fifth and third harmonics generated by such pulses is a growing function of the intensity of the pulse. This ratio saturates at a value on the order of one if the HOKE terms are included in the model, and it remains very small \( (\sim 10^{-4}) \) when these terms are omitted. Such a dramatic difference between the predictions of the two models is the consequence of the fact that in the established approach, the fifth harmonic generation is a cascade process involving the nonlinear mixing between the already generated third harmonic and the leftover pump, while in the new
theory, the fifth harmonic is generated directly from the fundamental through the higher-order Kerr response.

The above qualitative difference between the predictions of the old and the new models makes the comparison of yields of the third and fifth harmonics a reliable test of the new theory that is largely insensitive to the variations of the material parameters and to a particular experimental geometry.

We point out that an experiment on the generation of third and fifth harmonics in an Argon cell using intense pump pulses at 800 nm wavelength has been reported much before the HOKE controversy had even emerged [17]. The results of that work have been recently used to argue in favor of the validity of HOKE [18]. In our view, [17] is not straightforwardly applicable to the resolution of the HOKE controversy for the following reasons. Experiments reported in [17] were certainly not designed to support or disprove the HOKE theory, which was nonexistent at the time when [17] was published. Instead, that work targeted the demonstration of highest possible yield of fifth harmonic. The wavelength of the generated fifth harmonic was about 162 nm, which is in vacuum UV, thus making its reliable and quantitative characterization not straightforward. Furthermore, the extended interaction length and the associated phase mismatch between the pump wavelength and the generated harmonics, together with the fact that the actually detected portions of the harmonics were not exactly known, made the interpretation of those experiments in the context of the resolution of the HOKE controversy not reliable.

The experiment reported here is free from the above complications. In our case, third and fifth harmonics are generated using millijoule-level femtosecond laser pulses at 2.2 µm wavelength. This choice of pump wavelength is dictated by three considerations. First, both third and fifth harmonics of this wavelength fall into the near UV – visible spectral range, making them easily detectable. Second, the phase-matching distance between the pump beam and both of the harmonics in air at normal atmospheric pressure, at this pump wavelength, is estimated at over 10 centimeters. Thus using a short interaction length of less than 1 centimeter in our experiment eliminates any potential issues associated with phase mismatch between the pump and the harmonics. Third, the effect of the higher-order Kerr terms, according to [14], should become even more pronounced in the mid-infrared, compared to the 800 nm wavelength range commonly used in the high-intensity femtosecond laser experiments.

Our experimental setup is schematically shown in Figure 1. Femtosecond pulses at 2.2 µm center wavelength are produced by a commercial high-energy Optical Parametric Amplifier (OPA, Palitra-HE model by Quantronix Corporation). The OPA is pumped by about 40 fs-long, 20 mJ pulses at 800 nm wavelength generated by an ultrafast Ti:Sapphire amplifier chain operating at a 10 Hz pulse repetition frequency. The OPA is tuned to produce signal and idler pulses at 1.26 µm and 2.20 µm center wavelengths, respectively. The output beam from the OPA has a diameter of about 10 mm. Both signal and idler pulses have energies of about 1.5 mJ. The duration of the pulses is estimated by the OPA manufacturer at between 40 and 60 fs [19].

The co-propagating signal and idler beams are focused by a lens with 20 cm focal length. Immediately after the lens, the 1.26 µm signal is reflected by a dichroic beamsplitter operated at near normal incidence. This dichroic (CVI – Melles Griot Corporation, part number BBDS), is a dielectric low-pass filter. At normal incidence, it has a transmission cutoff at the wavelength of about 1.3 µm. The idler pulse at 2.2 µm is transmitted by this mirror with about 90% efficiency, while the transmission for the signal beam at 1.26 µm is less than 0.1%. For all wavelengths below 1 µm, transmission is less than 0.05%.

The energy of the focused 2.2 µm idler pulse, after the dichroic, is measured at about 1.25 mJ. It is important that the dichroic is oriented with its substrate facing the incident beam. In this case, the visible and UV light possibly generated inside the substrate is reflected by the coating, and only the 2.2 µm idler pulse is passed into the interaction zone.

The third and fifth harmonics of the 2.2 µm pump are generated in the ambient air, near the focal plane of the focusing lens. The estimated intensity of the pump beam near the focus is above the turnover point for the alleged sign reversal of the Kerr effect in the HOKE model and also above the ionization threshold of air. The length of the short and faint plasma spark generated in the vicinity of the interaction zone is less than 1 cm. Under these conditions, the ratio of the third and fifth harmonic yields, according to the HOKE theory, should approach one.

The third and fifth harmonics of the pump are reflected by another dichroic mirror, which is identical to the one used to block the signal pulse at 1.26 µm from the OPA. The second dichroic is operated with the coating facing the incident beam and at a 45° angle of incidence. This mirror efficiently reflects the generated harmonics, but blocks over 90% of the 2.2 µm pump. The
residual pump is further attenuated by about five orders of magnitude by a 3 mm–thick color-glass filter plate (KG5 filter by Schott Glass Corporation) placed after the dichroic.

After collimation with another lens, the signals at third and fifth harmonics are spatially separated by a 300 lines per millimeter transmissive diffraction grating. The spectra of the two harmonics are recorded by a fiber-coupled spectrometer (model USB4000 by Ocean Optics Corporation), with the aid of two additional lenses that separately focus the harmonic beams after they are separated by the grating. These spectra are shown in Figure 2. They are centered at 733 nm and 438 nm, very close to one-third and one-fifth of the pump wavelength of 2.2 μm.

The emission bandwidths of the detected third and fifth harmonics are about 20 nm and 11 nm, respectively. Assuming that the harmonic pulses are both Gaussian and close to transform limit, the durations of the two pulses can be estimated as 40 fs and 25 fs. The ratio of these pulse durations is in the ballpark of √5/3, which would be expected in the case of the perfectly phase-matched harmonic generation with non-depleted pump.

The energies of the two harmonic pulses are measured by dedicated silicon detectors that were calibrated against a pyroelectric detector, using reference laser pulses at 800 nm wavelength. Accounting for all losses experienced by the generated harmonics in our setup, the energies of the third and fifth harmonics are found equal to 1.9 μJ and 0.4 nJ, respectively. These pulse energies correspond to yields of about 1.5 · 10⁻³ for third harmonic and 3.0 · 10⁻⁷ for fifth harmonic, with respect to the energy of the pump pulse at 2.2 μm wavelength in the interaction zone. The ratio of yields for the two harmonics is about 2 · 10⁻⁴, in contradiction with the HOKE theory.

To verify that the generated harmonics were in fact originating from the tightly focused interaction zone and not from any optics in the setup, we substituted the focusing lens with a glass plate with the same thickness as the lens. In that case, the intensities of both third and fifth harmonics of the pump fell below the detectability limit.

In conclusion, we have conducted a dedicated experiment in order to validate or disprove the existence of the higher-order Kerr effect proposed in [1]. Our test was based on the qualitative difference that the inclusion of the higher-order Kerr terms has on the yields of the third and fifth harmonics generated by tightly focused ultraintense laser pulses at 2.2 μm wavelength in ambient air. Our results unambiguously disprove the existence of the high-order Kerr response, even in the transient regime. Our conclusion is in agreement with another recently reported dedicated test of HOKE [15].
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