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Abstract: An efficient process was developed allowing the removal of metal ions from polycontaminated aqueous solutions by combining modified colloids and membranes. Firstly, filtration experiments were performed using polyethersulfone membranes modified by a self-assembled multilayer film of polyelectrolytes. These polymer-modified membranes allowed the uptake of more than 90% of the metal ions initially present in the contaminated solutions (for solutions concentrated at 50 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Secondly, adsorption experiments were carried out with colloidal silica encapsulated with carboxymethyl chitosan (SiO\(_2\)-CMCS) or with mesoporous silica functionalized by grafting of 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane, i.e., cyclam (SiO\(_2\)-cyclam). The adsorption capacity of these compounds was shown to be higher than numerous other literature-known adsorbents, reaching 68 and 61 mg g\(^{-1}\) towards Cu(II) for SiO\(_2\)-CMCS and SiO\(_2\)-cyclam, respectively. Finally, by coupling adsorption with ultrafiltration in the tangential mode, the removal of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions was found to be improved, allowing to reach a removal efficiency of 99% towards Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions at a metal concentration of 50 mg L\(^{-1}\), and a promising removal efficiency around 70% at a very high metal concentration of 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\). The mechanisms involved in the capture of the metal ions by modified membranes and colloids are also discussed.
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1. Introduction

Clean water is an essential element for all living organisms to sustain life. However, with the rapid population growth and the accelerated process of modernization, the demand for clean water is increasing continuously [1]. In particular, the demand for clean water has greatly increased in agricultural, industrial and domestic sectors consuming nearly all available fresh water. In addition, water resources are the final recipients of terrestrial pollutants and effluent discharges from industrial and domestic sources, which generate toxic effects on living organisms and ecosystems [2]. Especially, metallurgical industry and chemical manufacturing have generated large amounts of wastewater containing aquatic contaminants such as heavy metal ions or dyes [3,4]. The presence of heavy metal ions in water, even in trace quantities, brings many severe challenges to the public health and environmental ecosystems due to the toxicity and non-biodegradability of heavy metal...
ions [5,6]. Consequently, removing metal pollutants from wastewater has become a crucial topic of worldwide concern.

That is why tremendous efforts have been done during the past few decades to develop numerous techniques for treating the contaminated wastewaters. Among them, most frequently used techniques consist in chemical treatments (such as chemical precipitation, ion-exchange, coagulation, and flotation), electrochemical treatments (electrolysis and electrodialysis), physical treatments (adsorption and membrane filtration), or biological treatments, as extensively reviewed by Fu et al. [7].

In particular, membrane nanofiltration and reverse osmosis are two techniques which have already been used successfully to remove metal ions with a high removal efficiency. For example, the retention of Cu$^{2+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ ions increased up to 99.5% by using Na$_2$EDTA with the osmosis process [8]. However, this technique suffers from a major drawback, which is its high power consumption due to the pumping pressures, and the restoration of the membranes. Concerning nanofiltration, this technique has attractive advantages such as high separation efficiency, easy operation, and easy to scale up [9,10]. However, nanofiltration suffers from its high energy consumption and cost due to the need to perform the filtration of metal ions at high operating pressure (10–30 bars) [11,12].

An alternative to these techniques is ultrafiltration which is a more economic membrane technique working at low pressure. In most cases, the metal removal efficiency obtained with ultrafiltration membranes is slightly lower than the one obtained for nanofiltration membranes, but the cost and energy consumption are also lower when using ultrafiltration technique. In addition, it is possible to improve the performances of ultrafiltration membranes by performing their chemical modification, especially by immersion in polyelectrolyte solutions [13]. Such modification leads to the adsorption of a thin polyelectrolyte film on the surface of the membrane and improves the membrane surface charge density even if the operating pressure remains high [14–16]. To reduce the operating pressure, a solution could be the use of traditional ultrafiltration but it is ineffective at removing dissolved metal ions, in the form of hydrated ions, from aqueous solutions since the pore sizes of the membranes are larger than dissolved ions. To reduce the operating pressure without decreasing the separation performances of the membranes, a more efficient strategy is the use of ultrafiltration membranes chemically modified by self-assembly of polyelectrolyte multilayer films. Such modified membranes have the advantages of promoting both chelation by the chelating functions present in the structure of the polyelectrolyte and electrostatic interactions between metal cations and the charges present in the polyelectrolyte film, without needing a high operating pressure. The efficiency of this strategy has been demonstrated by: (i) our group who modified polyethersulfone membranes by poly(allylamine hydrochloride) and poly(sodium 4-styrene sulfonate) to remove Cu(II), Zn(II) and/or Ni(II) from aqueous solutions [17–19]; (ii) Qin et al. who modified polycrylonitrile membranes with polyethyleneimine and poly(sodium 4-styrene sulfonate) to treat Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II) and Cd(II) aqueous solutions [20]; and (iii) Kochan et al. who modified polyethersulfone membranes with poly(styrene sulfonate) and polyethyleneimine or poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) to treat sludge supernatant wastewater [21].

Adsorption is another interesting technique which is simple, effective, flexible, reversible and economic. Activated carbon has probably been the most widely used adsorbent for a long time, but it suffers from a lack of structural and functional tenability and low adsorption capacities [22,23]. Consequently, there is an urgent need for the development of new adsorbents with high adsorption capacity and selectivity to remove heavy metal contaminants from aqueous solutions. Biopolymers containing nitrogen functional groups, such as chitosan, are good candidates for metal adsorption due to their chelation abilities. For example, chitosan has been used as biosorbent to perform the removal of metal ions including Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, and Pb$^{2+}$ [24,25] according to sorption mechanisms described by Guibal et al. [26]. Synthetic materials, such as chemically modified mesoporous silica, can also be prepared to be used as adsorbent. For example, mesoporous silica was used to remove Ni$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ ions after functionalization by N,N-dimethyldecylamine and dodecylamine [27] or by aminopropyl groups [28,29]. Lamellar silica functionalized with amino
groups were prepared and showed to be highly efficient for metals extraction [30]. Colloidal silica particles can also be used, after functionalization by dimethylsilyl groups [31], chitosan [32], or its derivatives [33], to remove heavy metal ions such as Cd$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ ions. Another possibility to remove heavy metal ions consists in using cyclam-modified materials since these tetraaza macrocyclic ligands possess a strong ability to bind transition and heavy metal ions as previously shown by the groups of Salih [34] and Corriu [35].

Another strategy to remove metal ions from wastewater consists in the combination of adsorption and ultrafiltration. The main group developing this strategy is the one from Malamis et al. who had already used combined ultrafiltration–adsorption processes to treat industrial wastewater streams [36,37]. In particular, they removed efficiently the metal pollutants contaminating metal plating wastewater [38] and textile wastewater [39].

Considering this literature, cyclam- and chitosan-based materials were chosen in this work for their remarkable binding ability towards heavy metal cations and their possible combination with silica matrix leading hybrid materials by either incorporating cyclam moieties into the mesoporous silica thanks to the sol-gel process, or by encapsulating colloidal silica particles by modified chitosan. Moreover, we proposed to test the feasibility of a strategy combining polyelectrolyte-modified membranes with silica adsorbents modified with cyclam or chitosan derivatives to gain an effective removal of Cu(II), Zn(II) and Ni(II) ions (Figure 1). Single and poly-contaminated solutions as well as the effect of metal concentration on the filtration and adsorption efficiency were systematically studied.

The adsorption capacity of the two adsorbents, which are believed to allow a good chelation of metals, was also compared. The mechanisms allowing the removal of metal ions by the modified membranes and by the adsorbents were finally discussed.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of the filtration–adsorption treatment process.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Reagents

All the reagents used were of analytical grade and had the highest purity available. Copper (II) chloride (from dihydrate solid, Fisher Chemical (Illkirch, France), 98% pure), nickel (II) chloride (from hexahydrate solid, Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France), 98% pure), and zinc (II) chloride (from solid, Fluka (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France), 98% pure) solutions were prepared by dissolving required amounts in double deionized water (Milli-Q (Merck Millipore, Molsheim, France), resistivity 18 MΩ.cm). The pH of the aqueous solutions was adjusted to pH 5 using HCl or NaOH at 10$^{-1}$ mol L$^{-1}$ (both from VWR Chemicals (Fontenay sous Bois, France)). Cyclam was purchased from CheMatech (Dijon, France) and used as received.
The polyelectrolytes used to modify the organic membranes were poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH, 58 kDa) and sodium poly(styrene sulfonate) (PSS, 70 kDa). Polyelectrolyte solutions, concentrated at 5 g L\(^{-1}\), were prepared in 10\(^{-2}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) NaCl (from Sigma-Aldrich) solutions without any pH adjustment. Tetraethylorthosilicate, 3-chloropropylmethoxysilane and pluronic P123 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Fumed silica particles (Aerosil 200) were obtained from Evonik Industries (Tokyo, Japan). They have a specific surface area of 200 +/- 25 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). The molecular weight of chitosan was 150 kDa and its degree of deacetylation was comprised between 68 and 75%. For the synthesis of carboxymethyl chitosan (CMCS), isopropanol, sodium hydroxide, and chloroacetic acid were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

2.2. Membranes and Filtration Setup

The filtration experiments were conducted in a planar membrane module (Pleiade\(^{®}\) module from Orelis, Paris, France) with an active area of 0.013 m\(^2\). The filtration membranes (Pleiade\(^{®}\) membranes from Orelis) were flat sheets made of a polyethersulfone (PES) skin layer on a polyester support layer. These PES membranes had a molecular weight cut-off of 10 kDa and a surface area of 149.4 cm\(^2\). Filtration experiments were performed with a cross-flow system described in Figure 2. This membrane setup operated in a recycled mode. A contaminated aqueous solution (2 L) was added in the feed tank, and constantly pumped into the membrane module. The solution was filtered in a tangential mode under a trans-membrane pressure (TMP) of 0–3 bars which was applied thanks to the retentate closing valve. The cross-flow velocity was 0.063 m s\(^{-1}\).

![Figure 2. Schematic diagram of membrane filtration setup.](image)

At a pressure of 2 bars, a few milliliters of permeate was collected after 20 min of pumping (to stabilize the flux), for being analyzed by atomic absorption spectrometry. Before being used for the first time, the membrane was immersed in a 10\(^{-2}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH solution for 2 h, rinsed with water, and stored in water overnight (to remove the chemicals remaining from the manufacturing process). Between each experiment, the membrane was cleaned by circulating a 10\(^{-2}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH solution for 30 min without applying a TMP pressure and 10 min with a TMP pressure of 2 bars, followed by rinsing with water (several times, with TMP pressure at 2 bars) to remove the polymer film and to restore the water flux and the membrane permeability. The same cleaning operations were repeated until recovering the initial water flux and membrane permeability.

After a night in pure water, the permeability was determined. Then, the membrane was modified using the Layer-by-Layer (LbL) technique which involves the sequential deposition on a substrate of two species interacting spontaneously. The most common type of LbL assembly is based on alternating deposition of polyanions and polycations leading to thin polyelectrolyte multilayer films, as first reported by Decher [40]. Here, this technique was used to deposit a multilayer film composed of...
poly(styrene sulfonate) (PSS) as polyanion and poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH) as polycation on the PES membrane surface. Since the PES membrane was negatively charged [41], it was first immersed into the positively charged PAH polycation solution (pH$_{\text{PAH}}$ = 3.9 < pK$_{\text{PAH}}$ = 8.8) for 30 min, followed by rinsing with deionized water. Next, the membrane was immersed into the negatively charged PSS polyanion solution (pH$_{\text{PSS}}$ = 4.8 > pK$_{\text{PSS}}$ = 1.5) for 30 min and rinsed; thus, a PAH/PSS bilayer film was obtained. Following this procedure, a multilayer film made of 11 successive polyelectrolyte layers was self-assembled by repeating the PAH and PSS deposition cycles. Such number of polyelectrolyte layers was chosen since it leads to optimal metal ions removal, as previously shown by our group [17].

2.3. Preparation of the SiO$_2$-CMCS Hybrid Materials

Aerosil 200 fumed silica was dried in an oven at 100 °C, crushed and kept in a desiccator until use. Carboxymethyl chitosan (CMCS, Figure 3a) was prepared by reaction of chitosan with chloroacetic acid in a basic medium following the synthesis protocol developed by Liang et al. [42]. Two grams of the so-obtained CMCS powder were then added to 100 mL of deionized water, and the suspension was stirred during 24 h to allow the complete dissolution of the CMCS powder. After that, 0.5 g of Aerosil 200 silica was added to 100 mL of the CMCS solution. The suspension was stirred during 24 h and its pH was adjusted to pH 7. Then, the suspension was centrifuged 5 min at 10, 000 tr min$^{-1}$, and rinsed with water. The procedure was repeated until the conductivity reached a value inferior to 100 µS cm$^{-1}$. Then, the composite was dried in an oven at 110 °C during 24 h, crushed to obtain a brown powder and kept in a desiccator until use. This protocol allowed the encapsulation of the colloidal silica by the CMCS reactant.

![Figure 3. Structures of: (a) carboxymethyl chitosan (CMCS); and (b) tetraazamacrocycle cyclam.](image)

In previous works, these composites were characterized. Thus, the specific surface area of the silica particles decreased considerably after modification since it decreased from 200 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ to 5 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ [32]. The degree of ionization of the carboxyl groups of these composites was determined and found to be 0.74 using titration experiments and the isoelectric point of SiO$_2$-CMCS composite was found to be 6.0 using zeta-potential measurements [43]. The morphology of SiO$_2$-cyclam composites was also studied and it was found by SEM that these composites consist in small grains of the silica particles distributed heterogeneously in the CMCS matrix. The infrared and $^1$H RMN spectra of SiO$_2$-CMCS composites can also be found elsewhere [19,43].

2.4. Preparation of the SiO$_2$-Cyclam Hybrid Materials

The first part of the synthesis consists in the preparation of pre-functionalized (mesoporous silica matrix)-Cl. In this aim, triblock copolymer (EO$_{20}$PO$_{70}$EO$_{20}$ with PEO = poly(ethylene oxide) and PPO = poly(propylene oxide)) Pluronic P123 (4.0 g) was dissolved in 160 mL of an aqueous solution of HCl (pH = 1.5). The resulting clear solution was added to a mixture of 3-chloropropyltrimethoxysilane (0.84 g, 4.49 mmol) and tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) (8.41 g, 40.41 mmol). A vigorous stirring was performed for 3 h at room temperature until a transparent solution appeared.

Then, the solution was transferred in a hot oil bath at 60 °C and NaF (76.0 mg, 1.80 mmol) was immediately added to induce the polycondensation. A white precipitate appeared within a few
minutes and the resulting suspension was further stirred for 2 days at 60 °C. The resulting white powder was filtered off and the surfactant was selectively removed by soxhlet extraction over ethanol for 24 h. After drying at 120 °C overnight under vacuum, 2.89 g (94%) of a white powder were obtained. This powder (called Silica-Cl) consisted of mesoporous silica bearing (CH$_2$)$_n$-Cl chains which were used later to attach the functionalizing cyclam groups.

The second step was the immobilization of cyclam groups on mesoporous silica. The process used a mixture of 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (cyclam) (0.42 g, 2.09 mmol), Silica-Cl (1.00 g, 1.49 mmol) dissolved in 90 mL of acetonitrile and triethylamine (1.1 g, 10.9 mmol). The used mixture was heated under reflux (78 °C) and stirred for 2 days. The white solid was quantitatively recovered by filtration and washed five times with hot chloroform and three times with hot ethanol to remove the excess of cyclam. After drying at 120 °C overnight under vacuum, 1.10 g (95%) of mesoporous silica functionalized by cyclam molecules (Silica-cyclam) were obtained as a white powder.

Some properties of the mesoporous SiO$_2$-cyclam composites were determined: specific surface areas were determined by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method on an ASAP 2010 analyser (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA) and the average pore diameters were calculated by the BJH method. The BET surface area was $S_{\text{BET}} = 627$ m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, the total pore volume was $V_p = 0.52$ cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$, the mean pore diameter was $D_p = 36$ Å, and the mean thickness of the pore walls was 70 Å. The structure of SiO$_2$-cyclam is very porous and consists of parallel and well-aligned pores as previously shown by TEM [44]. The infrared and Raman spectra of SiO$_2$-cyclam composites can also be found elsewhere [44].

2.5. Adsorption Experiments

The adsorption of Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) ions by cyclam- and CMCS-modified silica materials was carried out at room temperature to determine their respective adsorption capacity. To quantify the adsorption capacities of the hybrid materials, series of Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) solutions, with an initial concentration between 1 and 1000 mg L$^{-1}$, were prepared in plastic bottles and the initial pH of the solution was adjusted to pH 5. A pH of 5 was chosen to prevent the precipitation of metal cations. Moreover, the effect of pH on the metal ion uptake of cyclam-modified polymeric microbeads was studied by Kavakli et al. [34] who demonstrated that pH values of 5–6 were more suitable than lower values to obtain a maximum uptake of all metal ions. After adjustment of the pH, 25 mg of adsorbent were added in the metal solutions (100 mL), and the bottles containing the suspensions were sealed and kept under stirring during 24 h.

Once the equilibrium reached, the equilibrium concentration $C_e$ was measured by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS). It allowed to access to the concentration of adsorbed metal cations which is the initial metal concentration minus the equilibrium metal concentration ($C_0-C_e$). From these values, it was possible to obtain the equilibrium adsorption capacity $q_e$ of each adsorbent which was calculated using Equation (1):

$$q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e) \times V}{W}$$

where $q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity, $C_0$ and $C_e$ are the initial and equilibrium metal concentrations (mg L$^{-1}$), respectively, $V$ is the volume of the metal solution (L) and $W$ is the amount of the adsorbent (g).

2.6. Analytic Methods

All the concentrations of Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) ions were determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry using a SpectrAA 50B (Varian, Grenoble, France) using air/acetylene mixing (ratio 4:1).
The retention rate (R) which corresponds here to the contaminant removal efficiency was defined as the percentage of a contaminant removed from the feed solution by the membrane. It was deduced from the AAS analyses and calculated using Equation (2):

$$ R (%) = \left(1 - \frac{C_P}{C_0}\right) \times 100 \quad (2) $$

where $C_0$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the initial concentration of metal cations in the feed, and $C_P$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the same item in the permeate.

The permeate volume flux ($J_V$) was calculated, using Equation (3), from the time taken to collect a few milliliters of a weighed permeate sample at each value of TMP and after 20 min of pumping (to stabilize the flux):

$$ J_V = \frac{m_p}{\rho \times S \times t} \quad (3) $$

where $m_p$, $\rho$, $S$ and $t$ are the mass of the collected permeate sample (g), the volumetric mass of solution (g m$^{-3}$), the effective area of the membrane (m$^2$) and the time (s), respectively.

Before any chemical modification, the permeability of the membrane was also determined by the same procedure using Equation (4):

$$ J_w = L_P \Delta P \quad (4) $$

where $J_w$, $\Delta P$ and $L_P$ are the permeate flux for water (m$^3$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), the TMP (bar) and the permeability of the membrane (m$^3$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ bar$^{-1}$), respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Adsorption of the Metal Ions by SiO$_2$-CMCS and SiO$_2$-Cyclam Adsorbents

An adsorption isotherm is a curve describing the phenomenon governing the adsorption or retention of a substance to a solid-phase at a constant temperature and pH. Thus, an adsorption isotherm can describe how pollutants, such as heavy metal cations, interact with the adsorbent materials. The physicochemical parameters and the thermodynamic assumptions of the adsorption model provide an insight into the adsorption mechanism of the adsorbates onto the adsorbents. Numerous equilibrium isotherm models based on different kinetic and thermodynamic approaches have been proposed over the years. The Freundlich adsorption model is an experimental model which is frequently used to study heterogeneous systems. Indeed, Freundlich isotherm describes non-ideal and reversible adsorption and is not restricted to monolayer formation. Thus, it considers that: (i) adsorption sites are not identical; (ii) the total adsorbed amount is summed over all types of sites; and (iii) energy distribution decays exponentially. In the field of metal removal, this model has been successfully used to describe the adsorption of metal ions on aminated adsorbents by Koong et al. [29].

Graphically, the plot of ln ($q_e$) versus ln ($C_e$) should indicate a straight line in the case of an adsorption following the Freundlich model [45]. Langmuir isotherm model is a more theoretical model which is very commonly used. It was initially formulated to describe gas–solid phase adsorption onto activated carbon. The Langmuir model assumes: (i) monolayer coverage of the solid; (ii) all adsorption sites are equally probable; and (iii) no interaction between adsorbed species even on adjacent sites. Graphically, it is characterized by a plateau, an equilibrium saturation point where, once a molecule occupies a site, no further adsorption can take place. This model has been successfully used to describe the adsorption of Cd(II), Cr(III) and Co(II) on functionalized Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles by Alqadami et al. [46].

However, in Figure 4, which shows the evolution of the equilibrium capacity per mass of the adsorbent $q_e$ as a function of the equilibrium copper concentration ($C_e$) in solution for cyclam-modified silica (Figure 4a) and CMCS-modified silica (Figure 4b), the profile of the curves that we obtained when studying the adsorption of metal ions on modified adsorbents did not fit with the curve profiles of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. On the contrary, our curves fit very-well with the general curve
profile of Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET) isotherms. Indeed, BET isotherm is a theoretical equation which was
developed to derive multilayer adsorption systems. Thus, this is a more
general multilayer model which assumes that a Langmuir isotherm is applied to each layer and that no
transmigration occurs between the successive layers. It also assumes that there is equal energy of adsorption for
each layer except for the first layer. Even if the BET isotherm is more frequently used to study the
adsorption of gas on adsorbents, it is also possible to use it for studying the adsorption of a solute on
the surface of an adsorbent. In this case, the BET isotherm is described by Equation (5) [47]:

\[ q_e = \frac{K_{BET}C_0Q^o}{(C_S - C_e)\{1 + (K_{BET} - 1)(C_e/C_S)\}} \]

(5)

where \( K_{BET} \) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) is an adsorption constant relating to the energy of interaction with surface, \( C_S \) is
the saturation concentration of the solute (mg L\(^{-1}\)), and \( Q^o \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) is the maximum adsorption
capacity for forming single layer.

Figure 4. Equilibrium adsorption of Cu(II) with: (a) SiO\(_2\)-cyclam; and (b) SiO\(_2\)-CMCS hybrid materials.

Graphically, a BET isotherm is generally characterized by two domains: (i) a first domain at low
concentration which is similar to the Langmuir isotherm since it consists in an increase followed by a
plateau indicating that each site is occupied by a molecule (this plateau corresponds to the formation
of the first layer of adsorbate); and (ii) a continuous increase which corresponds to the formation of
the following adsorbate layers onto the first monolayer. However, depending on the value of the
different parameters involved in Equation (5), the plateau can be more or less visible [48]. In Figure 4,
which depicts the evolution of the amount of Cu(II) ions adsorbed (\( q_e \)) versus the concentration of
metal ions remaining in solution (\( C_e \)), it is noticeable that there is no saturation of the \( q_e \) values which
would be characteristic of the adsorption of a monolayer according to Langmuir model. On the
contrary, after an almost vertical increase at low concentrations, which corresponds to the very rapid
monolayer formation on the adsorbent, the \( q_e \) value increases with a smaller positive slope between
100 and 200 ppm. After that, at high concentrations, the \( q_e \) value continuously increases as long
as metal ions are present in solution. This part of the curve corresponds to the formation of the
second and higher adsorbate layers obtained by adsorption of the metal ions. Since the curve profile
corresponds very well with the BET model, this means that the adsorption of the Cu(II), Ni(III) and
Zn(II) cations onto SiO\(_2\)-CMCS and SiO\(_2\)-cyclam particles is not limited to a monolayer but consists in
a multilayer adsorption in which no transmigration takes place between the layers. It also means that
each layer has the same energy of adsorption except the first one. The adsorption of the metal ions
is due both to electrostatic interactions between cations and to complexation between the aminated
adsorbates and the metal ions in presence of counter-anions. Indeed, complexation can occur between
the adsorbent and the first layer of metal ions, but once the first layer is formed then there would
be no such complexation anymore and after that it is possible to form another layer which will be
positively charged. Thus, after this layer, no adsorption is expected as there is no complexation and
due to electrostatic repulsion between cations.
Looking at Figure 4a,b, it is possible to estimate the maximum of the adsorbent capacity ($q_{\text{max}}$) of SiO$_2$-CMCS and SiO$_2$-cyclam particles observed over the range of metal concentration going from 1 to 950 mg L$^{-1}$ (since the curves are continuously increasing over this concentration range the $q_{\text{max}}$ value was taken at 950 ppm for all metals). Resulting values, gathered in Table 1, give the adsorption capacity of cyclam- and CMCS-based adsorbents for the different metal ions. It can be observed that CMCS-based adsorbents were slightly more efficient since the maximum of adsorption reached 68, 61 and 45 mg g$^{-1}$ for Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions, respectively, with CMCS-based adsorbents while $q_{\text{max}}$ values were 61, 50 and 26 mg g$^{-1}$ for Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions, respectively, with cyclam-based adsorbents for an initial concentration of 950 mg L$^{-1}$. However, these differences are not high enough to be really significant and one can conclude that both cyclam- and CMCS-modified materials both possess a very good adsorption capacity towards Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) metals. Indeed, the best adsorption capacities of cyclam-silica and CMCS-silica based adsorbents were 61 and 69 mg g$^{-1}$ for Cu(II) ions, respectively, which constitute high capacities compared to the adsorption capacities for Cu(II) ions of other common adsorbents and hybrid materials as detailed in Section 4.1. For comparison of adsorbent performance, the monolayer adsorption capacity ($q_{\text{ML}}$) was also calculated from the slopes of the linear plots of BET equation. The $q_{\text{ML}}$ values obtained for SiO$_2$-CMCS composites were 18, 15 and 9 mg g$^{-1}$ for Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions, respectively. These values were higher than those obtained for SiO$_2$-cyclam composites which were 16, 13 and 6 mg g$^{-1}$ for Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions, respectively. The interaction mechanism of metal ions with the adsorbents is also discussed in Section 4.2.

Table 1. Maximum equilibrium capacity of the adsorbent per mass ($q_m$) for metal concentrations comprised between 1 and 1000 mg L$^{-1}$.

| Metal Ion | SiO$_2$-Cyclam Hybrid Material | SiO$_2$-CMCS Hybrid Material |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cu(II)    | $q_m = 61$ mg g$^{-1}$        | $q_m = 68$ mg g$^{-1}$      |
| Ni(II)    | $q_m = 50$ mg g$^{-1}$        | $q_m = 61$ mg g$^{-1}$      |
| Zn(II)    | $q_m = 26$ mg g$^{-1}$        | $q_m = 45$ mg g$^{-1}$      |

3.2. Filtration–Adsorption Combined Process

3.2.1. Single Component Solutions

To determine the ability of the modified membranes to remove heavy metal ions from a contaminated aqueous solution, the filtration experiments of metal ions were first performed with single salt solutions in the form of copper (II), zinc (II) and nickel (II) chloride. Moreover, it is important to perform these filtrations with different initial metal concentrations in the feed to assess the range of applicability of the filtration process. That is why the removal of metal ions by PAH-PSS modified membranes was investigated by carrying out retention experiments for pH 5 feed solutions over a wide concentration range (50–1200 mg L$^{-1}$). At the lowest initial concentration, the combined treatment was so efficient ($R > 90\%$) that it is difficult to discuss the impact of the experimental parameters. That is why we have also worked on metal concentrations as high as 1200 mg L$^{-1}$. The observed metal retention rates for the different metal solutions were plotted against concentration in Figure 5.

When metal ions solutions were treated using the modified membranes, the retention rate of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) reached 91%, 93% and 98%, respectively, for an initial feed concentration of 50 mg L$^{-1}$ which was the lowest concentration tested, but already constitutes a high concentration for an effluent solution. Logically, when the metal concentration was increased, the retention rate of all metal ions decreased since the chelating functions of the polymer film were unable to chelate all metal cations present in the solutions. However, even at very high concentration (1200 mg L$^{-1}$), the retention rate remained not negligible since it was comprised between 62% and 67% whatever the metal ion (Figure 5). The evolution of the retention rate as a function of the metal concentration was similar for the three metal ions used even if it can be observed that the retention rates obtained for
Ni(II) ions were slightly lower than those obtained for Cu(II) and Zn(II) ions. It is also noteworthy that unmodified PES membranes were not able to efficiently retain metal ions since the retention rate obtained with unmodified membranes was lower than 5% whatever the nature and concentration of the metal ion.

![Retention rates of the single metal ion solutions](image)

Figure 5. Retention rates (R%) of the single metal ion solutions at different concentrations with or without use of an adsorbent: (a) Cu(II) solution; (b) Ni(II) solution; and (c) Zn(II) solution.

After filtration through the modified membranes, the permeate solutions were recovered. At this point of the process, these solutions still contained heavy metal ions. Indeed, a feed solution initially concentrated at 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\) and leading to a retention rate of 70% still contained 360 mg L\(^{-1}\) of metal ions. To remove these persisting metal ions, 25 mg of adsorbent (SiO\(_2\)-cyclam or SiO\(_2\)-CMCS) was added into the previously filtered solutions (100 mL). The aim of the adsorbent addition was to determine the efficiency of the combination filtration/adsorption by measuring the metal retention rate before and after the addition of silica hybrid materials used as adsorbents.

As expected, the addition of silica hybrid materials allowed the adsorption of some persisting metal ions by the adsorbent, and thus resulted in an increase of the removal efficiency of the process. For example, in the case of the adsorption of copper ions by cyclam-modified silica, the retention rate increased from 91% to 97% at low concentration (50 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and from 62% to 67% at high concentration (1200 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Similarly, the retention rate increased from 91% to 100% at low concentration and from 62% to 77% at high concentration when SiO\(_2\)-CMCS was used as adsorbent to remove copper ions (Figure 5). Thus, both adsorbents allowed the removal of part of the metal ions in solution but SiO\(_2\)-CMCS materials had a stronger efficiency than SiO\(_2\)-cyclam to uptake Cu(II) ions. With Ni(II) and Zn(II) solutions, a similar increase of the removal efficiency was observed any metal concentration and for any nature of the adsorbent. Indeed, both adsorbents had nearly the same removal efficiency. For example, at low concentration, the Zn(II) retention rate increased from 98% without adsorbent to 100% with SiO\(_2\)-cyclam or with SiO\(_2\)-CMCS adsorbent, while, at high concentration, it increased from 63% to 68–69%, whichever adsorbent was used.

The interaction mechanism of metal ions with modified membranes is discussed in Section 4.3.

### 3.2.2. Multicomponent Solutions

Before adding adsorbents in the poly-contaminated solutions, the performances of the modified membranes were first studied using mixed salt solutions. Feed solutions containing copper, zinc and
nickel chloride ions were prepared. The initial total metal ions concentrations of these solutions were 50, 400, 800 or 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\). For example, the solution concentrated at 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\) contained 400 mg L\(^{-1}\) of Cu(II), 400 mg L\(^{-1}\) of Zn(II) and 400 mg L\(^{-1}\) of Ni(II) ions.

First, it can be observed that the retention rate of the metal ions in the multicomponent solutions was very similar to the one obtained with single component solutions. For example, the retention rates of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) were 66%, 70% and 63%, respectively. Except at very low concentration (50 mg L\(^{-1}\)), the retention rates of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) were 93%, 95% and 92%, respectively. Similarly, at high concentration (1200 mg L\(^{-1}\)), the retention rates of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) were 66%, 70% and 63%, respectively. Except at very high concentrations, these retention rates are high enough to conclude that the removal of the three metal ions from a multicomponent solution is possible using modified membranes.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
**Figure 6.** Ion retention (%) of mixed metal ion solutions at different concentrations with or without use of SiO\(_2\)-CMCS adsorbent ([Ni\(^{2+}\)] = [Cu\(^{2+}\)] = [Zn\(^{2+}\)] = [M\(_{\text{tot}}\)/3]).

To estimate the usefulness of combining filtration and adsorption for removing metal ions from poly-contaminated solutions, we then added 25 mg of adsorbent to the permeate solutions (100 mL) collected after filtration through the modified membranes. Once the adsorption equilibrium reached, the solutions were analyzed to determine the removal efficiency at this end of the filtration/adsorption process. The so-obtained values are summarized in Figure 6 (SiO\(_2\)-CMCS adsorbent) and Figure 7 (SiO\(_2\)-cyclam adsorbent).

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Figure 7.** Ion retention (%) of mixed metal ion solutions at different concentrations with or without use of SiO\(_2\)-cyclam adsorbent ([Ni\(^{2+}\)] = [Cu\(^{2+}\)] = [Zn\(^{2+}\)] = [M\(_{\text{tot}}\)/3]).

Not surprisingly, the addition of adsorbent resulted in an increase of the removal efficiency whatever the metal ion and its initial concentration. For example, the use of SiO\(_2\)-cyclam adsorbent to uptake Cu(II) ions allowed an increase of the retention rate from 93% to 97% at 50 mg L\(^{-1}\), from 86%
to 94% at 400 mg L\(^{-1}\), from 75% to 86% at 800 mg L\(^{-1}\), and from 66% to 78% at 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\). It is also noticeable that the use of SiO\(_2\)-cyclam adsorbent allowed obtaining very high retention rates at low concentration (50 mg L\(^{-1}\)): 97% of Ni(II), 97% for Cu(II) and 99% for Zn(II), compared to 95%, 93% and 92%, respectively, without the use of this adsorbent. The retention rates at very high concentration of 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\) were logically lower than the retention rates obtained at lower concentrations due to the difficulty of capturing such high quantity of metal ions but they reached interesting values of 72% and 78% for Ni(II) and Cu(II), respectively. The retention rate of Zn(II) was lower (64%), probably because the adsorbent is more efficient for removing Cu(II) and Ni(II) ions than Zn(II) ions, as previously shown in Section 3.1.

Similar trends were obtained with SiO\(_2\)-CMCS adsorbent. Indeed, the use of SiO\(_2\)-CMCS allowed an increase of the retention rate of Cu(II) from 93% to 99% at 50 mg L\(^{-1}\), from 86% to 97% at 400 mg L\(^{-1}\), from 75% to 81% at 800 mg L\(^{-1}\), and from 66% to 73% at 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\). Whatever the metal ion, the retention rate obtained at 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) was very high since it reached 99% for Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) ions. At the very high concentration of 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\), the retention rate of Cu(II) reached 73% but it was only 68% and 64% for Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions, respectively. Once again, this can be explained by the higher adsorption capacity of SiO\(_2\)-CMCS towards Cu(II) than towards Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions.

In conclusion, at low metal concentration, the amount of adsorbent (SiO\(_2\)-cyclam or SiO\(_2\)-CMCS) was high enough to provide enough adsorption sites to metal cations, leading to very high retention rate whatever the metal ion. On the contrary, at high metal concentration, there were not enough adsorption sites on modified-silica particles to adsorb all metal cations, and thus a competition occurred among the metal cations for the available adsorption sites.

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison with Other Works

As shown in Section 3.1., the best adsorption capacities of SiO\(_2\)-cyclam and SiO\(_2\)-CMCS adsorbents were 61 and 69 mg g\(^{-1}\) for Cu(II) ions, respectively. These values can be compared with the ones obtained in other works (Table 2). Thus, the adsorption capacities values are high compared to the ones of traditional adsorbents such as activated carbon (between 9 and 38 mg g\(^{-1}\), depending on the activated carbon used) [49,50], zeolites (1–25 mg g\(^{-1}\)) or clays (3–11 mg g\(^{-1}\)) [51]. Our values are also higher than those obtained with modified cellulose (19–75 mg g\(^{-1}\)) [52] or with other mesoporous materials modified with similar organic compounds such as aminopropyl-MCM-41 (31 mg g\(^{-1}\)) [53], mercaptopropyl-functional porous silica (31 mg g\(^{-1}\)) [54], or EDTA-modified SBA-15 (13 mg g\(^{-1}\)) [55]. Only other chitosan derivatives have already led to higher adsorption capacities towards Cu(II) ions (up to 222 mg g\(^{-1}\)) [56,57]. In addition, it can be noticed that Escoda et al. previously showed that bare silica particles could not remove efficiently metal ions from aqueous solutions [58], which means that the efficiency of the adsorption observed in this work is unambiguously due to the presence of CMCS and cyclam on the silica particles.

| Adsorbent          | \(q_m\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | References         |
|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| SiO\(_2\)-cyclam    | 61                       | This work           |
| SiO\(_2\)-CMCS      | 68                       | This work           |
| Activated carbon   | 9–38                     | [49,50]             |
| Zeolite             | 1–25                     | [51]                |
| Clays               | 3–11                     | [51]                |
| Modified cellulose  | 19–75                    | [52]                |
| Aminopropyl-MCM-41 | 31                       | [53]                |
| Mercaptopropyl-silica | 13                   | [54]                |
| EDTA-modified SBA-15 | 13                     | [55]                |
| Chitosan           | 4–222                    | [56,57]             |
4.2. Interaction Mechanism of Metal Ions with the Adsorbents

Both SiO$_2$-CMCS and SiO$_2$-cyclam adsorbents have some functional groups on their surface which interact with the metallic adsorbates. Thus, the adsorption ability of these adsorbents for Cu(II), Zn(II) and Ni(II) metal ions can be attributed to several mechanisms including complexation reactions and the electrostatic interaction between metal ions and amino groups. Indeed, it is well-known that amino groups are electron rich and metal ions are electropositive. Thus, Cu(II), Zn(II) and Ni(II) cations can easily attach with the amino groups of the adsorbents. In particular, the uptake of the selected metallic cations using SiO$_2$-CMCS is mainly coordinated via the chelation of metal cations with amino groups of the chitosan [59], as follows:

$$\text{M}^{n+} + x \text{RNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{M}[(\text{RNH}_2)_x]^{n+}.$$

In addition, the carboxyl and hydroxyl groups present on the adsorbent can impact the adsorption mechanism. Indeed, previous studies have evidenced that two hydroxyl groups and one amino group can capture a divalent metal cation, whereas the fourth site of the cation is occupied by another hydroxyl group or by a water molecule [60].

It is also noteworthy that both cyclam- and CMCS-based adsorbents have a higher affinity towards the metal cations in the order of Cu(II) > Ni(II) > Zn(II). This is completely coherent with the results of other studies in which the same affinity order was observed. Thus, N-propylsalicylaldimino-functionalized SBA-15 mesoporous silica were prepared by Muresanu et al. and used for the adsorption of Ni(II), Cu(II), Co.(II) and Zn(II) ions from aqueous solutions [61]. They showed a higher adsorption capacity for Cu(II) ions than for the other metal ions and the adsorbent affinity for different ions decreased in the order: Cu(II) > Ni(II) > Co.(II) > Zn(II). These results were related to the formation constants ($K_f$) of the metal ion–ligand complexes since the bond strength between a ligand and a series of metal cations depends on the $K_f$ parameter according to the Irving–Williams series [62]. For the metal ions studied, the $K_f$ values and implicitly the bond strength between the metal ion and the ligand decrease in the following order: Cu(II) > Ni(II) > Co.(II) > Zn(II).

Similarly, the competitive adsorption of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) from polymetallic solutions onto glutaraldehyde cross-linked chitosan beads was studied by Juang et al. [47]. They showed a greater affinity of chitosan-modified beads for Cu(II) than for other metal ions. They also confirmed that the uptake of such transition metals is mainly effected via coordination with the amino groups on chitosan even if a second interaction is present between copper ions and the hydroxyl group of chitosan playing an additional role in the adsorption mechanism [63]. Consequently, the adsorption selectivity is believed to be governed by the strength of stability constants between the functional groups of adsorbents and the metal ions since the adsorption is due to the formation of complex by chelation between carboxylate and nitrogen-containing groups and metal ions. The higher affinity for Cu(II) than for other metal ions confirms this hypothesis since Cu(II) has a higher stability constant than Ni(II) or Zn(II) regardless of the type of ligand. Therefore, it is believed that Cu(II) ions interact stronger with the surface amino groups of chitosan than with the other metals [64].

The order of affinity of cyclam-based materials for Cu(II) and other metal ions is similar to the one observed with chitosan. Indeed, poly(p-chloromethyl styrene-ethylene glycol dimethacrylate) polymeric microbeads carrying specific 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane ligand to metal ions were used for adsorption/desorption of metal ions from aqueous solutions [34]. The uptake of metal ions onto the modified microbeads was found to be high (more than 100 mg g$^{-1}$ for initial metal concentration comprised between 0.05 and $20 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$) and partially selective since the cyclam-modified polymeric microbeads showed affinity to the studied metal ions in the order of Cu(II) > Ni(II) > Co.(II) > Zn(II). This selectivity was attributed to differences of the cavity size of the ligand, solvated metal ion diameter, and complex stability between the amine group on the ligand and the metal ions.
4.3. Interaction Mechanism of Metal Ions with the Membranes

The retention of metal ions by the modified membranes is due to three concomitant actions: the electrostatic interactions between membranes and cations, the complexation of the cations by the polymers deposited onto the membrane surface and the steric effect due to the partial filling of the membrane’s pores by the polymer films (Figure 8). To better understand the mechanisms underlying the retention of the metals by the modified membranes, it is possible to look at each of this contribution more closely.

![Figure 8. Schematic representation of the contributions impacting the retention of the metal ions.](image)

The electrostatic contribution comes from the repulsion between the positively charged metal ions and the membrane surface which is positively charged since it is coated by a polymer multilayer film terminated by a polycation layer. Consequently, the metal cations are repelled by the membrane, the counter-anions being also retained because of electroneutrality requirements, leading to high retention rates of the metals. In previous works, we have shown using tangential streaming potential measurements that the zeta potential of the outer surfaces of PAH/PSS assemblies alternates, after each new PAH or PSS adsorption, becoming successively positive or negative [18]. In addition, membrane potential measurements evidenced that the charge of the walls of the membrane’s pores alternates between positive and negative values, depending on the cationic or anionic nature of the last deposited polyelectrolyte layer [41]. Since the PAH/PSS films assembled in this paper are terminated by a cationic PAH film, the surface of the modified film is positive, thus leading to repulsive interactions between the modified membrane and the metal cations. Such mechanism was also observed by Gherasim et al. who studied the retention of cobalt ions by an organic tubular membrane [11]. In addition, we have already shown in previous studies that: (i) the retention of copper ions by a polymer-based modified membrane increases when the charge of the polycation increases and/or the charge of the polyanion decreases, thus indicating a strong influence of the polymer film’s charge [17]; and (ii) the retention rate of a polymer-modified membrane is far higher when the multilayer film is terminated by a polycation layer than when it is terminated by a polyanion layer [18]. Considering all of this evidence, electrostatic effects are believed to be the phenomenon having the most important impact on the retention of the cations by the membrane.

The removal of metal ions is also influenced by steric effects. Indeed, an increase of the thickness of the polymer film induces a decrease of the membrane’s pore size since the polymer film is deposited not only onto the surface of the membranes but also onto the walls of the pores. This decrease of the pore size of the pores is attested by the decline of the flux after chemical modification of the membrane.
(the flux is ten times higher before than after modification of the membrane by the polymer film). Thus, steric effects also play a role on the retention of metal ions by the modified membranes.

The chelation of metal ions by the membranes must also be considered. Indeed, the presence of amino and sulfonate groups in the film adsorbed on the membrane leads to the complexation of metal ions by these chelating groups. Ion complexation in the membrane along with either ligand diffusion across the membrane or ion hopping between immobile binding sites has already been evidenced by Noble [65]. The group of Bruening also succeeded in retaining high amounts of copper ions using aminated polyelectrolytes [66]. This was confirmed by Bessbousse et al. who used membranes composed of poly(vinyl alcohol) and polyethyleneimine to chelate Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) ions [67]. Finally, the group of Tieke specifically studied the complexation by assemblies made of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arenes and poly(vinyl amine) and proved that, if the complexation has to be taken into account, the electrostatic interaction remains predominant in the retention of metal ions even when polymers containing sulfonates or amines which possess numerous binding sites are used [68].

5. Conclusions

The present work investigated the performance of a process combining filtration and adsorption and allowing removing polluting metal ions from aqueous solutions. The adsorption capacity of both adsorbents was shown to be high compared to other adsorbents used in the literature. Indeed, SiO$_2$-cyclam adsorbent had an adsorption capacity between 33 and 61 mg g$^{-1}$ towards Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions while the adsorption capacity of SiO$_2$-CMCS was between 45 and 68 mg g$^{-1}$ towards the same metal cations. Thus, SiO$_2$-CMCS hybrid materials presented a slightly higher adsorption capacity due to the presence of amino and carboxylate functional groups in their structure which are both able to chelate metal ions. Moreover, it was shown that the affinity of both adsorbents for the different ions decreased in the order: Cu(II) > Ni(II) > Zn(II).

The ability to remove metal ions from aqueous solutions using polymer-modified membranes was also studied and led to very satisfactory metal uptake at the lowest concentrations tested (50 and 400 mg L$^{-1}$). On the contrary, at very high metal concentration (800 and 1200 mg L$^{-1}$), filtration was not efficient enough and so it was decided to combine filtration with adsorption to enhance the metal removal.

Thanks to the coupling of the filtration membranes with silica-based adsorbents, very good uptake of the metals was obtained at both low and high metal concentrations, indicating that this strategy constitutes a viable option for metal removal in aqueous solutions which opens the way to its potential use in industrial wastewater treatment.

The removal mechanism was also discussed and the metal uptake was attributed to three main contributions acting simultaneously: the coordination interaction between amino, carboxylate and sulfonate groups of the polymer modifying the membranes and of the molecules modifying the silica particles, electrostatic interactions between the charged polyelectrolyte film and the metal cations, and steric effects due to the functionalization of the pores walls of the membranes.
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