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Abstract—Multi objectives optimization in Robotics is one of the most difficult problem to be solved. In the paper will be shown the matrix form of the resistive and active forces and the proper algorithm to establish the best case between all studied cases. The mathematical matrix form of the active forces equations was transposed to the virtual LabVIEW instrumentation with the goals to obtain some characteristics of the active forces in each joints of the robot variation vs. time in the case when were changed some functional or constructive parameters. By using proper algorithm was choose the best solution between the studied cases for down movements of the robot’s arm. The applied method, the algorithm and the proper virtual instrumentation solve one small part of the complex problems of the optimisation in robotics.

Index Terms—Assisted research, multi objective optimization, virtual instrumentation, robot’s joints forces.

I. INTRODUCTION

The optimizing of the force variation vs. time in Robotics is one of the most important problem to be solved. Without the assisted research isn’t possible to study the dynamic behavior because will be necessary to show the variation of the forces and moments vs. time to identify and establishing the better solution of the movements, or dimensions of the bodies and the relative velocities. In the paper authors [1]-[17] by using the special software RoboAnalyzer, Robotech, V-Rep, RoKiSim, Ros,show some characteristics and solve direct and inverse kinematics problem and also the direct and inverse dynamic problem, but without show the mathematical matrix model and how could be influenced the force variation by different velocity characteristics or to obtain the minimum variation of the forces. Robotect [5] and V-REP [6] are robotics learning and simulation software. A user could simulate robot manipulators and mobile robots in various environments by introducing virtual sensors and actuators. RoKiSim [7] and RoboDK, Webots [8] are a development environment which focuses on modeling, programming, and simulation of robots. In the papers [18]-[37] are shown some applications, simulation, and visualization based on the Gazebo [15] simulator. The proper assisted research was made by using the proper virtual LabVIEW instrumentation.

In the literature about the research of the robot’s forces don’t show the mathematical matrix model, don’t show how will be the variation of these forces when will be changed the successive or simultaneously movements of the robot’s bodies. In the last research [38]-[47] we solved some problem from the dynamic behavior of the robots.

II. THE FORCES MATHEMATICAL MATRIX MODEL

The mathematical model of the robot’s forces analyze contents the following matrix equations, see Fig.1:

\[ (P)^0 = [z_u](F)^0 - [m_u](a_{gi,0})^0 \]  
\[ [z_u] = \begin{bmatrix} z_{i,1} & \cdots & z_{i,j} \end{bmatrix} \] \[ z_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{same sens graph} \\ 0 & \text{do’t touch graph} \end{cases} \] 
\[ (F)^0 = \begin{bmatrix} D_1^2(F_1^2) \\ D_2^2(F_2^2) \\ D_3^2(F_3^2) \\ D_4^2(F_4^2) \end{bmatrix} \] 
\[ [D_i^2] = [D_1^2][D_2^2][D_3^2][D_4^2] \] 

\[ [F_1^2] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & -s \\ 0 & s & c \end{bmatrix} \] 
\[ [m_{i,1,2}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

Fig. 1. Arm type studied robot.
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\[(a_{g1,0})^0 = \begin{bmatrix}
[D_1^0(a_{g1,0})] \\
[D_2^0(a_{g2,0})] \\
[D_3^0(a_{g3,0})] \\
[D_4^0(a_{g4,0})] \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \quad (6)

where: \((P)^0\) - is the active force matrix reduced to the base; 
\([z_u]\) - unitary joints-bodies matrix; \((F)^0\) - resistive force matrix reduced to the base; 
\((F_i)\) - resistive force matrix reduced to the proper cartesian system; 
\([D_{ij}]\) - transfer matrix from the \(i\) cartesian system to \(j\) cartesian system; 
\([m_u]\) - mass matrix, or where \(m_i\) was multiply by unitary matrix for the space.

III. THE VIRTUAL USED LABVIEW INSTRUMENTATION

The VI-s to determine the active forces of one arm type robot contents the followings, Figs. 2-9: joint’s velocity for the trapezoidal velocity characteristics; the time from the origin time, acceleration time, time of a cycle, time after begin the deceleration, joint’s mass, table with position vectors of each robot’s joints and weight centre of mass. The module of output data contents the characteristics of forces in all joints by \(ax, ay\) and \(az\) axes and also the force module of vector in the space with the angle variation to the base plane. All these characteristics are versus time. Virtual LabVIEW instrument for the active force was constructed by using the mathematical matrix model of the forces, relation

1. We can see inside of the block diagram of the virtual instrument some other subVI-s (subroutine) to calculate the absolute velocities and accelerations, the matrix of the resistive forces (fig.), the matrices of mass, the matrix of incidences bodies-joints \((G)\) and joints- bodies \((Z)\), relation (2), the matrix to transfer all vectors between Cartesian systems to the base, relations (3),(4), the 6x6 matrix with three dimensions to generate all needed transfer.
IV. ALGORITHM FOR OPTIMIZATION OF THE ROBOT’S FORCES BY USING THE ASSISTED RESULTS

One way to optimize the active robot joint’s forces were determined by using one multi objective optimization function. The used algorithm contents the following steps: (i) establish the constructive and functional parameters of the robot that could be studied; (ii) determine some force characteristics by changed some of the constructive or functional parameters, or type of movements; (iii) construct the table with the maximal variation of all these forces; (iv) impose for each type of force one maximal pounder (if all forces are the same impact to the global dynamic behavior of the robot- the values of all pounders will be the same); (v) calculate for each force and cases the pounder values by using the proportion between the minimum value of each force variation and the current variation from minimum to maximum forces and multiply with the maximum pounder values (using the neutrosophic theory) [16], [17]; (vi) calculate separately for up and down movements of the robot’s arm and determine the case what the sum of these total pounders have maximal value.

Mathematic we can write this multi objective function (MOF) like in relation (7):

\[
MOF(t_i, t_{ti}, t_{ai}, t_{di}, l_i, \phi_i) = \min (\text{range}P_{ix,y,z}) \cap \min (\text{range}|P|_1) \\
\cap \min (\text{range}P_{2x,y,z}) \cap \min (\text{range}|P|_2) \\
\cap \min (\text{range}P_{3x,y,z}) \cap \min (\text{range}|P|_3) \\
\cap \min (\text{range}P_{4x,y,z}) \cap \min (\text{range}|P|_4) \\
\cap \min (\text{range} < P_i)
\]

where: \(t_i\) is the time to origin of time [s]; \(t_{ti}\) - the cycle time [s]; \(t_{ai}\) - the acceleration time [s]; \(t_{di}\) - the when begin the deceleration [s]; \(l_i\) - the length of each body [m]; \(\phi_i\) - angle position of each body [rad]; \(P_{ix,y,z}\) - active forces in each joints [N]; \(|P|_i\) - module of the active force in each joints, [N]; \(|P| < P_i\) - angle in a space of each active force vector, [N].

This MOF function have 20 conditions to be simultaneously touch that will be possible by using neutrosophic theory [16], [17], all these conditions will be touch between T (true) and F (false) = \(p_i(T)p_i(F)\) where \(p_i\) are the ponders for each criteria and for each cases, otherwise the MOF result will be null, because it is impossible that all 20 forces components for each of studied cases to be in the same time minimum.

\[
MOF = \max (\sum_{i=1}^{20} p_i P_{ix,y,z,cmin,i} )_{cases}
\]

where: \(p_i\) is the maximal pounder; \(P_{ix,y,z,cmin}\) - the minimum value of each of these forces and angles; \(P_{ix,y,z,crt}\) - the current value of the forces for each of the studied cases.

The cases that were studied are: 0-0-0-0 all movements are simultaneously; 0-4-4-0 the first and four movements are simultaneously and the second and third will simultaneously, but successive after 4s after the first and fourth; 0-4-8-12 all movements are successive; 0-0.1-0.2-0.3 the movements are successive after the acceleration time of each of them; 0-3-9-
7.9-11.9 the movements are successive after the constant velocity time from the velocity characteristics; 0-0-0-0-1=0.8, \( \phi_1=1.4 \); all movements are simultaneously, but were changed the length of the first body and the angular position of the first body.

### TABLE I: THE MAXIMAL VARIATION OF THE FORCES

| Case | \( F_1 \) | \( F_2 \) | \( P_1 \) | \( P_2 \) | \( \phi_3 \) | \( \phi_4 \) | \( \phi_5 \) | \( \phi_6 \) | \( \phi_7 \) |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0-0-0-0 | 9.125 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.025 | 8 | 1.3 | 3 | 0.01 |
| 0-0-0-1 | 8.6 | 1.25 | 2 | 0.025 | 8.2 | 0.65 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 0.01 |
| 0-0-1-0 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 0.025 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.01 |
| 0-0-1-2 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.025 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 0.01 |
| 0-4-1-0 | 8.2 | 1.15 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 0.025 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.01 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 8.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.025 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.01 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 1.2 | 3 | 9 | 5.4 | 0.047 | 9 | 1.25 | 9.2 | 4 | 0.08 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 1.2 | 3 | 9 | 5.4 | 0.047 | 9 | 1.25 | 9.2 | 4 | 0.08 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 35 | 1.8 | 7.25 | 22 | 0.005 | 35 | 2.7 | 2 | 5 | 0.00 |
| 0-3-7-9-2-0 | 24.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 5 | 0.04 | 14.5 | 2.3 | 2 | 5 | 0.00 |

### TABLE II: THE POUNDERS CALCULATED USING THE TABLE I

| Case | \( F_1 \) | \( F_2 \) | \( P_1 \) | \( P_2 \) | \( \phi_3 \) | \( \phi_4 \) | \( \phi_5 \) | \( \phi_6 \) | \( \phi_7 \) |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0-0-0-0 | 88.8889 | 48 | 10 | 4 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 100 | 83.3300 |
| 0-0-0-1 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0-0-1-0 | 97.6969 | 40 | 19.7070 | 51.1700 | 97.6969 | 40 | 19.7070 | 51.1700 | 97.6969 | 40 | 19.7070 |
| 0-0-1-2 | 96.8854 | 60 | 10.6579 | 100 | 96.8854 | 60 | 10.6579 | 100 | 96.8854 | 60 | 10.6579 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 97.6969 | 50 | 34.0680 | 54.1495 | 97.6969 | 50 | 34.0680 | 54.1495 | 97.6969 | 50 | 34.0680 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 97.6969 | 40 | 19.7070 | 40 | 19.7070 | 40 | 19.7070 | 51.1700 | 97.6969 | 40 | 19.7070 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 88.8889 | 40 | 20 | 22.2222 | 53.5353 | 88.8889 | 40 | 22.2222 | 53.5353 | 88.8889 | 40 | 22.2222 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 97.6969 | 30 | 40.0000 | 40.9091 | 97.6969 | 30 | 40.0000 | 40.9091 | 97.6969 | 30 | 40.0000 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 30 | 30 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 35.3330 | 33.3333 | 0.8434 | 3.7 | 6.6667 | 14.3750 | 35.3333 | 2.5 | 15.7050 | 50 | 50 |
| 0-4-1-2 | 55.5555 | 24 | 54.5455 | 24 | 65.6250 | 55.5555 | 26.3090 | 65 | 50 | 35.7143 |

V. ANALYZE OF THE MULTI OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

This paper tries to develop one general assisted methodology of the dynamic behavior in the real domain of the articulated didactical arm type robot by analyze the active forces in each robot’s joints, Figs. 10(a)-(k).
VI. CONCLUSION

The results shown in the paper, the researched active forces in some different cases of the robot’s joints movements, the applied method, algorithm, multi objective function (MOF) and the proper LabVIEW VI-s can be used in many other research in the robotics field and will be used in the next research to optimize the moments.
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