Treatment of a central venous perforation caused by dialysis intubation using coils and cyanoacrylate glue: A case report
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Abstract. Central venous catheter is one of the most commonly used vascular therapies in patients receiving hemodialysis and vascular perforation is a rare but serious complication. The present study reports on a case of a 64-year-old female who developed massive hemothorax and hemorrhagic shock after long-term dialysis due to central venous perforation during placement of the central venous catheter. This case was successfully managed by digital subtraction angiography-guided direct injection of coils and cyanoacrylate glue into the sinus tract. In addition, the literature regarding central venous perforation resulting from long-term dialysis catheters was reviewed, risk factors and prevention strategies were summarized and the advantages and disadvantages of various therapeutic approaches were compared.

Introduction

Central venous catheter (CVC) is frequently used for temporary or permanent vascular access for hemodialysis, leading to potential complications, including local injury, hematoma, pneumothorax, thrombosis, vein stenosis and catheter-associated infections (1). Central venous perforation is a rare but serious complication that may lead to massive hemorrhage, hemorrhagic shock (HS) and even death. Treatment methods for CVC perforation have varied in the past decade and have included open surgical repair (2-6) and endovascular stent grafting repair (7-9). The present study reports on a case of left brachiocephalic vein (BCV) perforation and endovascular stent grafting repair (10). The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of the USTC and informed consent was also obtained from the patient. A 64-year-old female with a 15-year history of hypertension underwent left forearm arteriovenous fistula (AVF) surgery to treat stage-V chronic kidney disease (CKD) five years previously. One month prior to presentation at our department on June 8, 2017, the patient had a fistula obstruction and a local hospital placed a temporary catheter in the patient’s right femoral vein for hemodialysis. However, the inserted catheter caused occlusion of the right BCV. Review of the patient’s medical history revealed that she received a long-term hemodialysis catheter (hemosplit 14.5F/23 cm; BARD) via the left internal jugular vein (LIJV) two days prior to occurrence of HS. LIJV punctures using the Seldinger technique were performed under local anesthesia, followed by smooth introduction and positioning of the guidewires in the left IJV to a level just below the diaphragm. After dilator and guidewire removal, the catheters were introduced, followed by removal of the peel-away sheaths. When placing the catheters, the surgeon felt a marked resistance. Furthermore, the patient reported mild pain and discomfort in the left chest during the catheterization process. After the completion of catheterization, hard venous blood was aspirated. The surgeon considered the possibility of acute thrombosis. A total of 200,000 units of urokinase were injected into the catheter, revealing obstructed flow after 20 min. Subsequently, the patient developed symptoms of palpitations, chest stuffiness and cold sweats. Thoracic anteroposterior radiography at the emergency department indicated that the body segment of the dialysis catheter was located on the left side of the mediastinum (Fig. 1). Therefore, the patient was rapidly transferred to our hospital. Upon admission, general and systemic examinations were unremarkable except for pectoralgia on the left side and chest tightness accompanied by asthma or breathing difficulties obstruction, an elevated heart rate (115 beats/min) and hypotension (blood pressure, 82/45 mmHg). Laboratory investigations revealed a red blood cell count of 1.72x10^12/l (normal range: 3.5-5.5x10^12/l) and a hemoglobin level of 59 g/l (normal range: 110-150 g/l). A contrast-enhanced...
A chest computed tomography scan revealed that the left BCV was perforated through the mediastinum and entered the left thoracic cavity, with the appearance of pleural effusion (Fig. 2a-d). A pleural puncture was performed to extract nonclotting blood. According to the above symptoms, the patient was diagnosed with central venous perforation and HS. The patient immediately received anti-shock treatment by fluid infusion and blood transfusion. The patient was given 6 U total transfused red blood cells and 800 ml fresh frozen plasma. Subsequently, the patient's blood pressure rose to 90-100/50-60 mmHg and the heart rate was reduced to 100-110 beats/min. However, there were obvious symptoms of pectoralgia, which was aggravated when breathing and coughing. Since the patient had evidence of a bleeding disorder with unstable vital signs, it was decided to perform intracavitary treatment to repair the damaged blood vessels. A total of 10 µl non-ionic contrast medium (Iodixanol; 27 g/100 ml; Amersham Health) was injected into the dialysis catheter, and X-ray fluoroscopy indicated that the contrast agent entered the left thoracic cavity through the front of the dialysis catheter and quickly dispersed (Fig. 3).

A puncture needle was inserted into the left femoral vein, which was implanted with the 10F catheter sheath. Angiography revealed that steady blood flow was present in the left subclavian vein (LSV), cephalic vein and superior vena cava with no obvious leakage of the contrast agent. A guidewire (RFxPA35263M; 260 cm; Terumo Corp.) was left in the LSV to seal the sinus groove in the case of unsuccessful sinus embolization. A 4F catheter (Yashiro; Terumo Corp.) was inserted into the sinus canal through the artery end of the original dialysis catheter, followed by a 3F microcatheter (Progreat; Terumo Corp.). A total of four coils (6x50 mm, Fibered Platinum Coil; Boston Scientific) were then anchored at the fistula through the catheter. Cyanoacrylates [n-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (NBCA)/n-octyl cyanoacrylate; Fuaile] were mixed with lipiodol (Guerbet) at a proportion of 1:2 and 1.5 ml was injected under fluoroscopic guidance. The long-term dialysis catheter was then replaced at the original puncture site under fluoroscopy and placed in the superior vena cava. Post-operative blood transfusion, fluid infusion and chest drainage were continued. The HGB level increased to 103 g/l on the third day after the operation, and the patient was discharged from the hospital. The patient was followed up with for one year and she did not experience any hemorrhage or central venous stenosis.

**Discussion**

According to the clinical practice guidelines of the National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative, the success rates of autologous and grafted internal AVFs are 80 and 10%, respectively (1). However, for various practical reasons, CVC remains the first access for dialysis therapy for most patients. In China, particularly in underdeveloped areas, a large number of patients use long-term dialysis catheters as the only method of vascular access or while waiting for AVF maturation (10). However, dialysis catheters are reported to result in complications, including local injury, hematoma, pneumothorax, thrombosis, venous stenosis and catheter-associated infection. Central venous perforation is a rare complication with frequently occurring serious consequences that even include death. A total of 13 case reports on central venous perforation are included.
caused by dialysis catheterization published between 2007 and 2018 were reviewed (Table I) (2-9,11-15). Among them, 8 patients presented with hemothorax and HS (2,3,5,7,8,12-14), and 1 patient died despite rescue after surgery (3).

Review of the relevant literature and summary of our experience led to the assumption that the following factors may increase the risk of central venous perforation caused by dialysis catheters. First, since the distance from the LIJV to the right atrium is longer and passes through the left BCV and from the left side was avoided, as there was a contraindication regarding right intubation. Second, due to the patient's right BCV occlusion problems. Second, due to the patient's right BCV occlusion, central venous perforation occurs more frequently with left intubation (2). Second, obesity is a risk factor for central venous perforation (16). Wicky et al (17) retrospectively analyzed 11 cases of severe vascular complications after CVC misplacement, and 7 patients were obese with a body mass index of >30 kg/m². Third, the experience of the surgeons is an important factor affecting central venous perforation (2).

To reduce the occurrence of central venous perforation, the following suggestions should be considered. First, the ‘Fistula First Initiative’ principle should be followed, which may reduce CVC placement, thrombosis and stenosis. The ‘Fistula First Initiative’ emphasizes the primacy of the AVF as the desired vascular access for patients maintained on chronic hemodialysis (18). Second, the left approach should be considered unless there is a contraindication regarding right intubation. Third, if possible, placement of the CVC should be performed under X-ray guidance. Prior to implantation, vascular conditions may be clearly confirmed through angiography and the position of the catheter may be dynamically viewed under intra-operative fluoroscopy (1). Finally, if the guide wire inside the long-term dialysis catheter is more flexible and the expansion tube is more rigid, even if the guide wire enters smoothly, the rigid expansion tube may break the guide wire. Therefore, the use of more supportive guide wires during the left intubation may be a safer choice. Following placement of the avulsion sheath, the rigid guide wire may be retained in the upper vena cava and the dialysis catheter may be placed in the appropriate position through this guide wire. If the catheter is difficult to maneuver around the turns of blood vessels, the rigid guide wire may be inserted into the two catheter cavities to increase the supporting force.

After central venous perforation, the procedure for dealing with angiorrhexis to prevent further bleeding is a problem that requires to be considered. As presented in Table I, among the 13 cases reported from 2007 to 2018, 4 cases underwent thoracotomy (2-5), 1 case underwent thoracoscopic surgery (6), 3 cases received covered stents (7-9), 1 case received coil embolization (11), 3 cases received conservative treatment (12-14) and 1 case received coil combined with gel embolization (15). Of all of the cases, one patient died after thoracotomy (3). In the present case, therapeutic strategies were required to address the following two important issues. First, due to hemothorax and HS, extubation and hemostasis were the primary problems. Second, due to the patient’s right BCV occlusion and poor peripheral vascular conditions, the LIJV channel required to be retained as much as possible. Catheter insertion from the left side was avoided, as there was a contraindication of right intubation, and it was attempted to reduce the risk of

| First author (year) | Approach | Perforation site | Symptoms | Treatment | Outcomes (Refs.) |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| Winkes (2016)       | LIJV     | LBCV mediastinum| Pectoralgia hemothorax HS | Thoracotomy | Recovery (2)     |
| Iwafczuk (2013)     | RIJV     | RBCV pleural cavity | Pectoralgia hemothorax HS | Thoracotomy | Death (3)       |
| Wong (2016)         | RIJV     | Precava PA LA   | Pectoralgia | Thoracotomy | Recovery (4)     |
| Turkylilmaz (2017)  | LSV      | Precava pleural cavity | Pectoralgia hemothorax HS | Thoracotomy | Recovery (5)     |
| Kuzniec (2010)      | RIJV     | Precava         | NA       | Thoracoscopic surgery | Recovery (6) |
| Song (2015)         | RIJV     | Precava         | Pectoralgia hemothorax HS | EVSGR | Recovery (7)    |
| Azizzadeh (2007)    | LSV      | Precava         | Hemothorax HS | EVSGR | Recovery (8)    |
| Pua (2014)          | LIJV     | LBV             | NA       | EVSGR | Recovery (9)    |
| Zhang (2016)        | RIJV     | RBCV mediastinum| Hemothorax | Coils | Recovery (11)   |
| Wetzel (2017)       | LIJV     | LBCV            | Hemothorax HS | Conservative therapy | Recovery (12) |
| Kabutey (2013)      | LSV      | LBCV            | Hemothorax HS | Conservative therapy | Recovery (13) |
| Chao (2010)         | RIJV     | Precava         | Pectoralgia HS | Conservative therapy | Recovery (14) |
| Gárcarek (2015)     | LIJV     | LBCV mediastinum| NA       | Coils and glue | Recovery (15) |

EVSGR, endovascular stent grafting repair; HS, hemorrhagic shock; LA, left atrium; L/RBCV, left/right brachiocephalic vein; L/RJIV, left/right internal jugular vein; LSV, left subclavian vein; PA, pulmonary artery; NA, not available.
complications. Due to the marked surgical trauma, as well as the patient's complex disease history and unstable vital signs, surgical treatment was not the preferred treatment. Although covered stent implantation is an effective method for the treatment of vascular injury, this method was not applicable to the present case, since the vascular rupture was located on the opposite side of the left jugular vein opening, which would be covered by the stent, causing left jugular vein reflux disorder (19). Central venous perforation caused by intubation usually has a clear sinus tract in which the catheter is located, providing a basis for endovascular occlusion. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the second to report on a case with endovascular embolization treatment using coils combined with glue. In contrast to the present case, in the previously reported case, the patient had less blood loss and stable vital signs (15). The advantages of coils and cyanoacrylate glue embolization have been noted in various studies. For instance, Yavuz et al (20) indicated that patients with varicose veins had significant improvement in venous clinical severity scores and Aberdeen varicose vein questionnaire scores with no skin pigmentation, hematoma, paresthesia, deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism after application of a novel NBCA glue ablation catheter. A retrospective chart review of the long-term outcomes of patients treated with the combined injection of coils and cyanoacrylate glue for bleeding gastric fundal varices demonstrated that the combination therapy appeared safe and may reduce the risk of cyanoacrylate embolization (21). Balloon-protected embolization has been adopted for the endovascular repair of central vein injuries (22). In the present case, the catheter passed through the mediastinum and pleura into the thoracic cavity. It was opted for gradually retracting the dialysis catheter simultaneously with coil embolization, which not only enhanced the effect of the coil embolization but also blocked the small broken blood vessels. This method may achieve hemostasis with good long-term outcomes.

In summary, vascular perforation is a rare complication of CVC placement. Combined injection of coils and cyanoacrylate glue is a minimally invasive, safe and effective treatment method.
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