In Vivo Phenotypic Screening for Treating Chronic Neuropathic Pain: Modification of C2-Arylethynyl Group of Conformationally Constrained A3 Adenosine Receptor Agonists
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ABSTRACT: (N)-Methanocarba adenosine 5′-methyluronamides containing 2-arylethynyl groups were synthesized as A3 adenosine receptor (AR) agonists and screened in vivo (po) for reduction of neuropathic pain. A small N6-methyl group maintained binding affinity, with human > mouse A3AR and MW < 500 and other favorable physicochemical properties. Emax (maximal efficacy in a mouse chronic constriction injury pain model) of previously characterized A3AR agonist, 2-(3,4-difluorophenylethynyl)-N6-(3-chlorobenzyl) derivative 6a, MRS5698, was surpassed. More efficacious analogues (in vivo) contained the following C2-arylethynyl groups: pyrazin-2-yl 23 (binding Kd 20 nM, Emax 1.5), fur-2-yl 27 (0.6), thien-2-yl 32 (0.6) and its S-chloro 33, MRS5980 (0.7) and S-bromo 34 (0.4) equivalents, and physiologically unstable ferrocene 36, MRS5979 (2.7). 33 and 36 displayed particularly long in vivo duration (3 h). Selected analogues were docked to an A3AR homology model to explore the environment of receptor-bound C2 and N6 groups. Various analogues bound with μM affinity at off-target biogenic amine (M3, 5HT2A, 5HT2B, 5HT2C, and α2C) or other receptors. Thus, we have expanded the structural range of orally active A3AR agonists for chronic pain treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic neuropathic pain (NP) is a widespread condition that is often associated with diabetes, cancer, injury, exposure to toxic substances, and a variety of other diseases.1-3 When it occurs subsequent to cancer chemotherapy, it often necessitates the discontinuation of a life-saving treatment. The currently used therapies for NP are poorly efficacious and suffer from serious side effects, ranging from liver toxicity to addiction and personality changes. In many cases, the therapy involves drugs developed for a different condition that were incidentally found to reduce NP, e.g., biogenic amine reuptake inhibitors such as the antidepressant amitriptyline or anticonvulsant drugs such as gabapentin. Opioids, which are effective against acute pain, are not the first line of treatment for chronic NP, both because of addiction liability and low efficacy.4 Thus, there is an unsolved medical need for chronic neuropathic pain treatment that necessitates the exploration of novel mechanisms, such as purinergic therapy by agonists of adenosine receptors (ARs), inhibitors of adenosine kinase, and modulators of nucleotidases.5 The A3 subtype of the ARs (A3AR) is expressed in low levels in many tissues and cell types, including neurons and glial cells, and has recently become a promising target for treating NP.6-8 A3AR agonists, such as nucleosides 1-5 (Chart 1), are efficacious in models of inflammatory disease, cancer, stroke, cardiac ischemia, and other conditions.9 Prototypical A3AR agonists, ribosides N6-(3-iodobenzyl)-5′-N-methylcarboxamidoadenosine (IB-MECA, 1) and its 2-chloro analogue 2 and thioriboside 3 are efficacious in vivo.10-12 Prototypical agonists 1 and 2 are advancing to phase II and III clinical trials for psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, and hepatocellular carcinoma.13,14 A3AR agonists 4 and 5 additionally have a conformationally rigid ribose substitution consisting of a bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (methanocarba) ring system that maintains a receptor-preferred North (N) conformation. A3AR agonists of general structure 6 furthermore contain a rigid C2-phenylethynyl extension that enhances selectivity, especially in the (N)-methanocarba series. Compounds 1, 2, and 4 were shown to be active in reducing or preventing the development of...
The specific example of 6a in which Ar is 3,4-difluorophenyl was also shown to be effective in in vivo models of NP. These selective nucleoside A$_3$AR agonists potently and dose dependently reduce chronic NP and also augment the effects of commonly used agents for this condition, such as opiates. A$_3$AR agonists reduce the NP associated with administration of various chemotherapeutic agents representing three different etiologies. Therefore, a highly efficacious and orally bioavailable A$_3$AR agonist would be desirable in a clinical candidate.

Although agonists of the A$_1$ and A$_3$ARs also have analgesic properties, they additionally have potent cardiovascular side effects such as changes in heart rate and blood pressure, which are not as strongly associated with the activation of the A$_3$AR. Thus, we seek orally bioavailable and highly selective A$_3$AR agonists that have activity against chronic NP of distinct etiologies. We have approached this problem by designing and synthesizing new analogues of our previously reported chemical class of 2-alkynyl-(N)-methanocarba adenosine 5'-methyluronomides that might display improved and more drug-like physicochemical properties than previous agents. There are both peripheral and central mechanistic components to the observed protection against NP by A$_3$AR agonists. Thus, the ability to cross the blood–brain barrier as well as oral bioavailability would be desirable in a clinical candidate.

The 2-phenylethynyl groups that we previously reported to be already highly optimized for A$_3$AR recognition were varied to encompass diverse heterocyclic and other aryl groups and cycloalkyl groups. The reference compound 6a is highly efficacious in reversing chronic NP, but it has nonoptimal physicochemical properties (lipophilicity and MW) for drug development. Therefore, a group frequently included at the N$^6$ position of A$_3$AR agonists, N$^6$-(3-halobenzyl), was replaced with a smaller N$^6$-methyl group, which we already demonstrated to maintain human (h) A$_3$AR binding affinity in this chemical series. A beneficial effect of the N$^6$-methyl substitution was to reduce the molecular weight to below 500, which brings the analogues in closer compliance to Lipinski’s guidelines for bioavailable compounds. There was a cost associated with this structural shift, i.e., a species difference in receptor affinity, which was decreased at the mouse (m) A$_3$AR in comparison to the hA$_3$AR. Nevertheless, when administered po in mice, these derivatives consistently displayed in vivo activity reflective of A$_3$AR activation, with varying degrees of efficacy at a single dose.

We have used an in vivo phenotypic drug discovery (PDD) screen that reflects both pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics factors to demonstrate oral bioavailability and high efficacy of several of the newly synthesized nucleoside analogues. The pharmaceutical industry is rediscovering the predictive value of physiology-based PDD strategies. The initial screening used was the Bennett mouse model of chronic neuropathic pain resulting from constriction injury (CCI) of the sciatic nerve, in which the compound was administered by oral gavage (po) rather than intraperitoneally (ip), as we reported in earlier studies of A$_3$AR agonists in the same model. Although the expectation of A$_3$AR selectivity of the newly synthesized analogues was high based on existing SAR, they were additionally subjected to measurement of their AR interactions. Binding and functional assays at the heterologously expressed h and m ARs were performed, as well as screening of selected compounds at off-target sites. The A$_3$AR is a G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) of the rhodopsin-like family A and as such is amenable to molecular modeling based on homology to closely related GPCRs, specifically the structures of the agonist-bound active-like A$_3$AR. Novel structures that were efficacious in vivo and bound potently to the receptor were docked to an A$_3$AR homology model. Thus, in vitro pharmacology and molecular modeling were used to help interpret the positive in vivo results obtained for promising analogues.

### RESULTS

The novel (N)-methanocarba adenosine analogues 14–18 and 20–38 (Table 1) were synthesized and tested in a phenotypic screen as described below. Charged compounds were not included because they might be impaired in the ability to cross the blood–brain barrier. Related previously reported reference compounds included in Table 1 are N$^6$-(3-chlorobenzyl)-3,4-difluorophenyl 6a, N$^6$-methyl-C2- halophenylethynyl 8–13 and simple phenyl 7a, biphenyl 7b, and 2-pyridyl 19 analogues. The new nucleosides are all N$^6$-methyl compounds, for which the C2 substituent consists of the following substituted ethynyl groups: phenyl 14–18, nitrogen heterocycle 19–26, furan 27–30, thiophene 31–35, ferrocene (Fe(C$_5$H$_4$)$_2$) 36, and cycloalkyl 37 and 38. For comparison with the new analogues, structures and
The synthetic methods (Scheme 1) followed the route reported earlier starting with L-ribose.\textsuperscript{11,22,29} 6-Chloro 5′-ethyl ester intermediate \textsuperscript{39} was treated with five equivalents of methyleamine hydrochloride in the presence of triethylamine followed by a 40\% methyleamine solution (aqueous) at room temperature to provide intermediate 2-iodo 5′-methylamide \textsuperscript{40}

Table 1. Structures and Binding Affinities of Newly Synthesized AR Agonists (14−18 and 20−38) and Reference Compounds (6a−13 and 19)\textsuperscript{a}

| Compd. | \( R^1 \) | hA\(_1\)AR\textsuperscript{c} % inhibition | hA\(_2A\)AR\textsuperscript{c} % inhibition | A\(_{2A}\)AR\textsuperscript{c} or \( K_i \) (nM) |
|--------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 6\textsuperscript{a} | \( R^1 = 3\)-chlorobenzyl | 6\% ± 4\% | 16\% ± 3\% (m) | 3.49±1.84, 3.08±0.23 (m) |
| 7\textsuperscript{a} | 18\% ± 8\% | 14\% ± 7\% | 0.85±0.22 |
| 7\textsuperscript{b} | 18\% ± 8\% | 21\% ± 6\% | 3.10±1.26 |
| 8\textsuperscript{b} | 20\% ± 7\% | 17\% ± 2\% | 0.97±0.38 |
| 9\textsuperscript{b} | 14\% ± 2\% | 10\% ± 5\% | 0.97±0.24 |
| 10\textsuperscript{b} | 27\% ± 17\% | 19\% ± 3\% | 0.53±0.09, 37.7±1.1 (m) |
| 11\textsuperscript{b} | 22\% ± 6\% | 30\% ± 5\% | 0.58±0.04, 37.2±2.0 (m) |
| 12\textsuperscript{b} | 13\% ± 1\% | 30\% ± 1\% | 1.22±0.31 |
| 13\textsuperscript{b} | 6\% ± 6\% | 6\% ± 6\% | 1.65±0.08, 86±6 (m) |
| 14 | 12±7\% | 20±7\% | 0.77±0.17 |
| 15 | 8±4\% | 26±5\% | 0.91±0.07 |
| 16 | 10±5\% | 24±8\% | 0.63±0.07 |
| 17 | 10±9\% | 12±6\% | 3.39±0.65 |
| 18 | 16±6\% | 11±7\% | 2.16±0.39 |
| 19\textsuperscript{b} | 13\% ± 8\% | 13\% ± 4\% | 1.01±0.36, 58.2±3.6 (m) |
| 20 | 16±10\% | 12±6\% | 2.15±0.38 |
| 21 | 15±3\% | 2±2\% | 2.07±0.16 |
| 22 | 25±10\% | 27±5\% | 1.97±0.27, 65±6 (m) |

\textsuperscript{a}Binding in membranes prepared from CHO or HEK293 (A\(_2A\) only) cells stably expressing one of three hAR subtypes. The binding affinity for hA\(_1\), A\(_2A\), and A\(_3\)ARs was expressed as \( K_i \) values (\( n = 3−4 \)), measured using agonist radioligands \([\text{H}]\text{N}\text{6-}[\text{R-phenylisopropyl]adenosine-5}', [\text{H}]\text{2-[p-(2-carboxyethyl]phenyl-ethylamino]-5′-N-ethylcarboxamido-adenosine}, or [\text{I}]\text{N}\text{6-(4-amino-3-iodobenzyl)adenosine-5′-N-methyl-uronamide}, respectively. A percent in italics refers to inhibition of binding at 10 \( \mu \text{M} \). Nonspecific binding was determined using 68 (10 \( \mu \text{M} \) at hARs, 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) at mARs). \textsuperscript{b}Compounds 6a−13 and 19 were reported earlier in Tosh et al.\textsuperscript{22} Human, unless noted (m). Binding in membranes prepared from HEK293 cells stably expressing the mA3AR. Radioligand used was [\text{I}]\text{N}\text{6-(4-amino-3-iodobenzyl)adenosine-5′-N-methyl-uronamide}. \textsuperscript{c}The data (\( n = 3−4 \)) are expressed as \( K_i \) values. A percent in italics refers to inhibition of binding at 10 \( \mu \text{M} \).
for the N\textsuperscript{6}-methyl derivatives. Then, 40 was subjected to Sonogashira coupling with the appropriate aryl- or cycloalkylacetylene in the presence of PdCl\textsubscript{2}(Ph\textsubscript{3}P)\textsubscript{2}, CuI, and triethylamine to give protected intermediates 41−63. Finally, hydrolysis of the 2',3'-isopropylidene protecting group afforded the nucleoside target compounds 14−18 and 20−38 for biological testing.

The N\textsuperscript{6}-methyl (N)-methanocarba derivatives were tested in mice for the ability to reverse the development of neuropathic pain in a standard model of CCI.25 Each nucleoside was administered orally (po) at the time peak pain was reached, i.e., on day 7 following constriction of the sciatic nerve. Measurements of paw withdrawal threshold (value in g increases with pain protection) were made between 30 min and 5 h post drug. The results indicating maximal protective effect (\(E_{\text{max}}\) as a percentage of complete reversal at peak protection) at a standard dose (3 \(\mu\)mol/kg, roughly 1.2−1.6 mg/kg) and its duration (based on the efficacy remaining at 3 h) are presented in Table 2, and time curves at three doses for three selected compounds that achieved near maximal reversal of NP are shown in Figure 1. However, previously reported halogenated C\textsubscript{2}-phenylethynyl derivatives\textsuperscript{22} 8−12 did not reach full reversal of NP (40−72% efficacy), but a 3,4-difluoro analogue 13 was fully efficacious. Methoxyphenyl- (14−16, with the \(\alpha\)-isomer 14 having the highest efficacy), 3-trifluoromethyl- 17, and 4-hydroxymethyl-phenyl 18 derivatives did not exceed that range. All of the pyridyl derivatives (19−21) were of low efficacy (29−41%). A 2-(pyrimidin-2-yl)ethynyl analogue 22, 2-(pyrazin-2-yl)ethynyl analogue 23, 2-(N-methylpyrazol-2-yl)ethynyl analogue 26, 2-(fur-2-yl)ethynyl analogue 27, 2-(benzofur-2-yl)ethynyl analogue 30, 2-(thien-2-yl)ethynyl analogue 32, 2-(5-chlorothiophen-2-yl)ethynyl derivative 33 and its 5-Br analogue 34, and an unusual organometallic (ferrocene) derivative 36 were among the most efficacious in the CCI model with \(E_{\text{max}}\) in the range of 87−100%. This protection exceeded that produced by a previously characterized A\textsubscript{3}AR agonist,\textsuperscript{15,22} 2-(3,4-difluorophenylethynyl)-N\textsuperscript{6}-3-chlorobenzyl derivative 6a administered po. Thiazole analogue 35 was much less effective in vivo than the structurally similar thiophene 32.

The duration was indicated by the % protection remaining at the 3 h time point. The duration of compounds 30 and 34 were also long lasting, with roughly half of the peak efficacy remaining at 3 h. Thus, on the basis of either in vivo \(E_{\text{max}}\) or duration, the most favorable of the new compounds for in vivo application were 27 (intermediate dose of 0.46 mg/kg producing ∼80% reversal) was more potent in vivo than 23 and 27.

The nucleoside derivatives were secondarily tested in standard radioligand binding assays at three h\(\alpha\)AR subtypes (Table 1) to confirm A\textsubscript{3}AR selectivity.\textsuperscript{11,22} The hA\textsubscript{1}AR and hA\textsubscript{3}AR were stably

\[\text{Scheme 1. Synthesis of N^6-Methyl (N)-Methanocarba Derivatives}^{a}\]

\[\text{Reagents: (i) MeNH}_2\cdot\text{HCl, Et}_3\text{N, MeOH; (ii) 40% MeNH}_2\cdot\text{MeOH, rt; (iii) HC\equiv CR}^1, \text{Pd(PPh}_3)\text{Cl}_2, \text{CuI, Et}_3\text{N, DMF, rt; (iv) 10% TFA, MeOH, 70 °C.}\]
Table 2. Activity of Orally Administered AR Agonists (3 μmol/kg) in CCI Model of Neuropathic Pain in Mice and Physicochemical Parameters

| compd | time of peak protection (h) | max effect $E_{\text{max}}$ % ± SEM | effect at 3 h % ± SEM | MW (D) | cLogP | tPSA (Å²) |
|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|-------|-----------|
| N°-(3-Halobenzyl) Derivatives (Reference) Compounds |
| 1 | 1 | 94.1 ± 5.9 | 38.7 ± 3.1 | 510 | 0.48 | 131 |
| 2 | ND* | ND | ND | 544 | 1.20 | 131 |
| 6a | 1 | 100 ± 0.0 | 23.7 ± 10.8 | 565 | 4.15 | 122 |
| N°-Methyl Derivatives |
| 7a | 0.5–1 | 44.1 ± 9.9 | 5.0 ± 5.0 | 418 | 1.77 | 122 |
| 7b | 1 | 57.7 ± 9.0 | 14.3 ± 14.3 | 495 | 3.66 | 122 |
| 8 | 0.5 | 56 ± 12.5 | 9.8 ± 16.7 | 436 | 1.92 | 122 |
| 9 | 1–2 | 46.3 ± 6.3 | 12.4 ± 3.4 | 436 | 1.96 | 122 |
| 10 | 1–2 | 72 ± 21.2 | 10.4 ± 10.4 | 436 | 1.92 | 122 |
| 11 | 0.5 | 27.5 ± 5.1 | 10.6 ± 0.0 | 453 | 2.49 | 122 |
| 12 | 0.5 | 40.4 ± 7.8 | 4.6 ± 4.6 | 453 | 2.05 | 122 |
| 13 | 1 | 99 ± 8.4 | 28.7 ± 10.3 | 455 | 1.99 | 122 |
| 14 | 1 | 64.3 ± 10.7 | 17.9 ± 8.4 | 448 | 1.69 | 131 |
| 15 | 0.5–2 | 39.3 ± 9.4 | 20.8 ± 9.0 | 448 | 1.69 | 131 |
| 16 | 0.5–1 | 37.5 ± 11.2 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 448 | 1.69 | 131 |
| 17 | 0.5–1 | 60.7 ± 7.1 | 27.6 ± 1.3 | 486 | 2.66 | 122 |
| 18 | 1 | 48.3 ± 12.4 | 10.1 ± 10.1 | 448 | 0.73 | 142 |
| 19 | 0.5 | 31.8 ± 8.2 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 419 | 0.28 | 134 |
| 20 | 0.5–2 | 29.1 ± 17.7 | 13.9 ± 13.9 | 419 | 0.28 | 134 |
| 21 | 1 | 40.8 ± 14.5 | 6.7 ± 6.7 | 419 | 0.28 | 134 |
| 22 | 0.5–1 | 92.3 ± 7.7 | 18.2 ± 18.2 | 420 | −0.68 | 147 |
| 23 | 1 | 100 ± 0.0 | 21.0 ± 6.0 | 420 | −0.68 | 147 |
| 24 | 0.5 | 71.7 ± 2.7 | 31.7 ± 4.7 | 420 | −0.95 | 147 |
| 25 | 0.5–1 | 78.2 ± 21.8 | 23.3 ± 13.0 | 408 | 2.33 | 146 |
| 26 | 1 | 87.3 ± 12.7 | 28.4 ± 0.5 | 420 | −0.11 | 138 |
| 27 | 1 | 100 ± 0.0 | 27.6 ± 1.3 | 408 | 0.95 | 131 |
| 28 | 1 | 75.4 ± 1.7 | 22.8 ± 3.5 | 436 | 0.95 | 131 |
| 29 | 1 | 62.4 ± 14.7 | 4.2 ± 4.2 | 436 | 1.90 | 131 |
| 30 | 1 | 87.4 ± 0.6 | 40.6 ± 8.1 | 458 | 2.33 | 131 |
| 31 | 0.5–1 | 47.6 ± 5.1 | 13.2 ± 13.2 | 424 | 1.42 | 122 |
| 32 | 1 | 100 ± 0.0 | 21.0 ± 6.0 | 424 | 1.42 | 122 |
| 33 | 0.5–3 | 93.3 ± 6.7 | 82.8 ± 11.2 | 459 | 2.17 | 122 |
| 34 | 1 | 100 ± 0.0 | 48.7 ± 0.0 | 503 | 2.32 | 122 |
| 35 | 1 | 53.2 ± 6.8 | 12.7 ± 2.1 | 425 | 0.12 | 134 |
| 36 | 0.5–3 | 94.3 ± 5.7 | 78.1 ± 3.5 | 526 | 1.42 | 122 |
| 37 | 1–2 | 54.6 ± 5.9 | 19.1 ± 7.2 | 382 | 0.38 | 122 |
| 38 | 1–2 | 64.1 ± 10.5 | 18.3 ± 1.9 | 424 | 2.05 | 122 |

*a Effect shown for ipsilateral hind paw; there is no effect on the contralateral side. b calculated using ChemBioDraw, version 13.0. *ND: not determined.

Figure 1. Protection against hind paw allodynia in mice by N°-methyl derivatives 23, 27, and 33 at three doses (po) following CCI of the sciatic nerve. There was no effect on the ipsilateral side (Figure S1, Supporting Information).

expressed in CHO cells, and the hA2AAR was stably expressed in HEK293 cells. The $K_i$ values at the hA2AR were in most cases in the low nM range. At the hA2AR and hA3AR, only 10–40% of binding inhibition was seen at 10 μM for all of the tested (N)-methanocarba nucleosides. Thus, there was high hA2AR selectivity for the entire structural family. The most efficacious
derivatives in the in vivo assay, such as long-acting 33 and 36, were confirmed to display high selectivity in binding with $K_i$ values at the hA3AR of 0.70 and 2.68 nM, respectively. However, high A3AR affinity and selectivity alone were not sufficient to provide full protection in the CCI assay; other analogues that were less efficacious such as α-CI-phenyl derivative 11 were equally A3AR selective.

Nevertheless, a comparison of AR binding SAR was useful. A comparison of the binding affinities of compounds 6a and 13 suggests that the presence of an N$^t$-methyl group in 13 is associated with preserved or increased selectivity for the hA3AR. Compounds 14–16 are regioisomers, in which a methoxy group is moved to different positions on the phenylethynyl ring, but there is little effect on the subnanomolar hA3AR affinity and selectivity. Compounds 19–21 are regioisomers at the pyridylethynyl group, but there is little effect on the hA3AR affinity. Pyrazine derivatives 22–24 similarly display at most a 2-fold difference in hA3AR binding affinity, and pyrazole derivatives 25 and 26 are similar in hA3AR affinity. Furfyl 27–30 and thienyl 31–35 derivatives, ferrocene derivative 36, and cycloalkyl analogues 37 and 38 also displayed only minor variation of nanomolar hA3AR affinity.

The mA3AR affinity of selected compounds was measured in binding assays. The mA3AR affinity of this series of closely related N$^t$-methyl congeners was typically $>$30 nM, i.e., reduced $>$30-fold in comparison to the hA3AR affinity. In general, variation of the affinity at mA3AR was not parallel to changes at the hA3AR (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The unusual ferrocene derivative 36 was the most potent among these analogues at the mA3AR with a $K_i$ of 5.46 nM, while many other analogues had $K_i$ values of 30–50 nM. Nevertheless, affinity at the mA3AR and mA3AR (single point determination at 10 μM) was very weak, indicating that high A3AR selectivity (>100-fold for 23, 27, 30, and 33; $>$1000-fold for 6a and 36) was still present in the mouse.

Selected compounds were examined for functional potency and efficacy at the mA3AR in an assay of A3AR-induced inhibition of the production of cyclic AMP in stably transfected HEK293 cells (Figure 2). The tested compounds 23, 32, 33, and 36 were all full agonists at the mA3AR, with $IC_{50}$ values ranging from 3.14 nM (36) to 60.1 nM (32). Activity in the inhibition of cyclic AMP formation at the mA3AR and hA3AR was evaluated for representative compounds 23 and 32, which were shown to be potent, full agonists with functional selectivity of roughly 10000-fold for the A3AR.

Molecular modeling was used to analyze the putative interactions of the distal cyclic groups appended to the C2-ethynyl substituent with the A3AR. The environment of receptor-bound C2-arylthynyl and cycloalkylethynyl groups was explored by docking to A3AR homology models. We used our previously reported homology models of the h and mA3ARs based on a hybrid A2AAR–β2 adrenergic receptor template. The hybrid template strategy that determined the outward shift of the extracellular tip of TM2 with the creation of a larger pocket was required to accommodate the rigid and extended C2 substituent of these derivatives, as previously described. In docking selected compounds of the present series, a common binding mode was obtained at both the h and mA3AR models, and this mode featured all the key interactions found to anchor the adenine and pseudouracil moieties of similar derivatives. As an example, Figure 3 shows the docking poses of compound 33, which displayed high affinity at both the hA3AR (0.70 nM) and mA3AR (36 nM), at the two receptors. The planar bicyclic core formed a π–π stacking interaction with a phenylalanine in the second extracellular loop (EL2) and two hydrogen bonds with N6.S, while the methanocarba region formed a hydrogen bonding network with T3.36, S7.42, and H7.43. The C2 terminal cyclic group was found to occupy a region close to the extracellular environment in proximity of TM2, with tolerance for many substitutions and steric bulk, consistent with previous findings. Even though the residues in proximity of the terminal cyclic group are different between the h and mA3ARs, a good accommodation of all the different C2 substituents was found in both cases. In the case of compound 33, the aryl group at the C2 position is almost coplanar with the adenine core. However, for other compounds bearing heterocyclic rings with H-bonding donor or acceptor groups, the dihedral angle between the rings can vary to allow formation of H-bonds with residues in EL2, in particular with the backbone NH of Phe168 or with the side chain of Gln167 at the hA3AR and with the backbone NH of Phe169 or with the side chain of His168 at the mA3AR.

Interactions with potential off-target receptor sites, which could lead to side effects, were measured for the most promising leads from the in vivo screen. Binding of seven of the most promising N$^t$-methyl compounds, 23, 26, 27, 32–34, and 36, to various possible off-target sites (mostly GPCRs) was assayed in a broad screen of binding activity using cloned human or rodent cDNAs for CNS receptors and ion channels. This screening was provided by the National Institute of Mental Health Psychoactive Drug Screening Program (NIMH-PDSP). Data for compounds 1, 6a, and 13, reported elsewhere, are also provided for comparison. Screening results indicated that various analogues displayed significant in vitro binding to other sites in the micromolar range, including biogenic amine receptors. In 44 assays of off-target sites (Supporting Information), compounds 13, 23, and 32 at 10 μM inhibited binding by $>$50% at only a few sites. Various analogues showed significant binding in the μM range at $M_2$, $SHT_{2A}$, $SHT_{2B}$, $SHT_{2C}$ (except for D-phenylethynyl), and $α_{2C}$ receptors and at the translocator protein (TSPO), also known as the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor (PBR). The reference agonist 1 showed interactions ($K_i$ in μM) with $SHT_{2B}$ (1.08) and $SHT_{2C}$ (5.42) receptors. Thus, some of the derivatives have potential off-target activities that could present a liability for drug development. More off-target interaction was observed in the (N)-methanocarba series when an N$^t$-3-chlorobenzyl group was present, i.e., 6a; thus, the switch to N$^t$-methyl was beneficial from this perspective. Compounds 26 and 27 were found to be relatively free of off-target receptor effects in the PDSP screening. Compound 33 was largely free of off-target receptor effects, except at TSPO and $α_1$ and $α_2$ receptors. The SAR patterns of the broader chemical series at other GPCRs has been analyzed with the aid of molecular modeling.

In vitro stability measurements in physiological solutions were performed on selected compounds for comparison to the same parameters determined for 6a to be reported elsewhere (Table 3). Compound 6a was orally active in vivo in reducing chronic NP, although its physicochemical properties are not optimal (cLogP of 4.15, total polar surface area of 122 Å$^2$, and molecular weight of 565 D). Thus, it was considered advantageous to find other smaller and less hydrophobic A3AR agonists that were fully efficacious in vivo against NP. The physicochemical properties of several analogues are predictive of greater drug-like properties in vivo. For example, compound 33 that has a prolonged duration of action displays a more favorable cLogP of 2.17 and molecular weight of 459 D, but the total polar surface area was similar to 6a. Nevertheless, some compounds clearly in the favored ranges of physicochemical parameters were not among the most
efficacious in vivo. Thus, the in vivo effects are not ascribable to a simple combination of parameters. The solubility of pyrazinyl 23 and furyl 27 derivatives was greatly increased (>0.2 mg/mL) and plasma protein binding in three species diminished (24−61% free) while the in vivo efficacy of this analogue was maintained.

Stability tests (Table 3) indicated that 23, 27, 33, and 34 are stable in liver microsomes of three species (human, rat, and mouse) and in simulated body fluids (gastric, intestinal), but 36 is rapidly degraded (t1/2 in microsomes = 2−7 min). Therefore, it is likely that the long-lasting protective effects of 36 in the phenotypic screen are from an unidentified metabolite that forms in vivo. There was an unfavorable CYP450 inhibition profile for bromothienyl derivative 34, with a measurable IC50 value of 7.4 μM for the 2D6 isozyme. Compound 34 inhibited the 3A4 isozyme with an IC50 value of 9.4 μM. Compounds 23, 27, and 33 were shown not to be substrates for P-glycoprotein in a study of bidirectional permeability in a CACO-2 cell monolayer (Supporting Information). 27 and 33 displayed moderate apical to basal permeability, and 23 displayed low permeability.

Selected compounds were examined by the PDSP for functional inhibition of hERG K+ channels (% inhibition at 10 μM or IC50): 6a (12.2 μM), 13 (27 μM), 26 (28%), 27 (21%), 32 (11.5 μM), and 33 (12.9 μM). Thus, the hA3AR binding affinity of these analogues exceeds hERG inhibition by typically 10,000-fold.

■ DISCUSSION

Phenotypic screening is making a resurgence as a strategy in drug discovery. Although our approach is target-based at the outset, i.e., we have narrowed the structural scope based on new compounds consistent with a well developed SAR at the A3AR, we have subjected the next stage of refinement to an in vivo screen that combines multiple components of pharmacokinetics and possible interaction with multiple mechanisms as well as the main mechanism of AR activation. We have administered the
nucleosides orally, which is the preferred route for a chronic pain drug.

We have recently reported that A3AR agonists including 6a are more potent than currently used analgesics (gabapentin, amitriptyline, morphine);\(^1\) in contrast to opioids, these do not cause tolerance upon repeated administration and are not rewarding.\(^6,10\) The mechanisms of action whereby A3AR agonists block and reverse neuropathic pain states do not rely upon an endogenous opioid or endocannabinoid pathway\(^6,10\) but do rely upon activation of A3AR found in spinal cord dorsal horn and at supraspinal sites (i.e., in the RVM) to engage descending inhibitory noradrenergic and serotonergic bulbospinal systems, leading to reduced spinal neuronal hyperexcitability.\(^6\) It is noteworthy that A3AR attenuates neuropathic pain at least in part by reducing neuro-glial dysfunction and downstream neuroinflammatory events that are critical to the development of neuronal excitability associated with central sensitization, inhibition of spinal glial cell activation and redox-sensitive signaling transduction pathways (MAPK kinases and NFκB) leading to overall reduction in proinflammatory cytokines (TNF and IL1β) but increased levels of the potent anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective cytokine, IL-10).\(^15,16\) The discovery of highly selective A3AR agonist such as those described in this paper provides a necessary pharmacological tool to enable our mechanistic understanding of the roles of the A3AR in chronic pain states and therefore the impact of targeting this receptor to alleviate chronic pain and human suffering, thus addressing a huge medical need with major socioeconomic consequences.

The in vivo activities of various N\(^6\)-methyl derivatives were compared and correlated with structure, and several preferred candidates have been identified. The unsubstituted C2-phenyl-ethylthynyl analogue 7a displayed low efficacy (44% of full reversal at peak effect) in the CCI model of chronic NP. The extended biphenyl derivative 7b also displayed less than full efficacy. Aryl halogenation is a possible approach to lengthening the in vivo duration of action by preventing oxidation by cytochrome P450 enzymes in the liver.\(^3,2\) However, the monohalophenylethynyl analogues 8–12 were less than fully efficacious, and the

![Figure 3. Putative binding modes of 2-(2-chlorothienylethynyl)-N\(^6\)-methyl (N)-methanocarba derivative 33 (green carbons) obtained after docking simulations: (A) at the hA3AR, (B) at the mA3AR. Side chains of some amino acids important for ligand recognition are highlighted. H-Bonds are pictured as dotted lines. Hydrogen atoms are not displayed. The corresponding residue numbers of the hA3AR using the Ballesteros–Weinstein notation\(^4\) or locations are V72, 2.64; T94, 3.36; Q167, EL2; F168, EL2; W243, 6.48; N250, 6.55; Y265, 7.36; S271, 7.42; H272, 7.43.]

| Compound | test | aq solubility (pH 7.4, μg/mL)\(^a\) | stability in simulated fluids (t\(_{1/2}\), min) | % unbound in plasma | inhibition of 5 CYP isozymes (IC\(_{50}\), μM) | stability in liver microsomes (t\(_{1/2}\), min) |
|----------|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|          |      |                               | gastric (pH 1.6) | intestinal (pH 6.5) | human | rat | mouse | human  | rat | mouse |
| 23       |      | >208                          | >480             | >480                | 61.2 | 57.5 | 59.1 | >10    | >145 | >145  |
| 27       |      | >202                          | >480             | >480                | 24.2 | 40.5 | 50.2 | >10    | 145  | 141   |
| 33       |      | 16.8 ± 1.1                    | >480             | >480                | 6.22 | 5.91 | 6.40 | >10    | 145  | 141   |
| 34       |      | 15.6 ± 0.9                    | >480             | >480                | 2.67 | 4.04 | 4.60 | >10    | 140  | 143   |
| 36       |      | 14.5 ± 1.0                    | >480             | >480                | 7.00 | 6.32 | 4.85 | >7\(^b\) | 91   | 96    |

\(a\) mean (±SD, where given) for n = 3. \(^b\) % inhibition at 10 μM: 1A2, 15.0; 2C9, 24.2; 2C19, 34.0; 2D6, 57.5 (IC\(_{50}\) 7.4 μM); 3A4, 3.1 (average of n = 2). \(^c\) % inhibition at 10 μM: 1A2, 30.5; 2C9, 17.2; 2C19, 18.1; 2D6, 20.5; 3A4, 51.6 (IC\(_{50}\) 9.4 μM), (average of n = 2). 4CL\(_{int}\) values (μL/min/mg protein) in human liver microsomes: 23, 2.99; 27, 3.09; 33, 3.69; 34, 3.38.
3,4-difluorophenyl group of the more efficacious 13 resulted in a shorter peak duration in comparison to reference compound 6a. Substitution of the phenyl ring with methoxy improved the maximal effect (64%) but only in the ortho position in 14. All positions of nitrogen in the pyridyl analogues 19, 20, and 21 resulted in the same or lower efficacy than the phenyl analogue 7a. However, dinitrogen substitution in pyrazine derivative 23 increased the efficacy to ~100%, but the efficacy of isomers containing nitrogen at different positions in 22 and 24 resulted in less than full efficacy. A pyrazine moiety is found in many natural products and drug-like molecules such as the diuretic amiloride. 33 2-Furyl 27 and 2-thienyl 32 analogues were fully efficacious, with a ~3 h duration of action. Curiously, duration and efficacy of a 3-thienyl analogue 31 was considerably lower. Addition of 5-chloro to the 2-thienyl analogue 32, resulting in compound 33, prolonged the duration of action while maintaining full efficacy, and 5-bromothienyl substitution in 34 was also well tolerated in vivo and in binding (Ki 0.4 nM). A similar 5-chlorothiophene-2-carboxamide moiety was found to be relatively metabolically stable in a widely used anticoagulant Rivaroxaban. 54

The ferrocene derivative 36 was fully efficacious, but the toxicological properties of this organometallic compound were not tested. Other ferrocene compounds, such as anticancer derivatives of tamoxifen, were found to be biocompatible and not highly toxic. 35 Thus, 36 was considered to be a candidate for further biological evaluation. A class of organometallic (ruthenium) heterocyclic derivatives has already been reported as A3AR antagonists. 36 Cycloalkyl analogues 37 and 38 displayed less than full efficacy in vivo (60–70%). Among mono- and dihalo-substituted analogues, the 3,4-difluoro analogue 13, bearing the same C2 substituent as reference compound 6a, was the most efficacious.

Thus, the effects of introducing a 2-alkynyl group in adenosine (ribose) derivatives on their AR affinity and selectivity have been variable. 37 In some cases, selectivity for the A3AR has been achieved. In the present series of nucleoside derivatives that contain several sources of conformational rigidity, the nM affinity and nearly complete specificity for the A3AR is maintained for a wide variety of cyclic groups attached to the 2-ethyl moiety. Docking studies confirmed that the A3AR has sufficient plasticity in the region around the extracellular tip of TM2 to accommodate a wide range of steric and electronic character, allowing the rest of the ligand molecule to strongly interact with all the key residues important for AR binding. Thus, we have extended previous 2-phenylethynyl series to provide a great breadth of substitution that preserves target specificity. In summary, the analogues that reached nearly full efficacy in reversing NP in vivo were 13, 22, 23, 26, 27, 32, 33, 34, and 36. Thus, both 2-thienyl and 2-furyl derivatives are among the most efficacious analogues in vivo.

One of the major reasons for failure of a variety of AR ligands on a clinical path was low bioavailability. 39 Therefore, there was a need to optimize physicochemical characteristics that are predictive of bioavailability. The smaller molecular weight and lower hydrophobicity of the N6-methyl analogues in comparison to the reference A3AR agonist 6a are consistent with drug-like properties in vivo. Compound 6a suffers from high hydrophobicity, leading to low aqueous solubility. Pyrazine derivative 23 is much more polar than 6a and consequently more water-soluble and less bound to plasma proteins, and it displays good efficacy in the CCI model. Puran 27 and 2-chlorothiophene 33 derivatives are fully efficacious in CCI model and display a 5-fold higher hA3AR affinity than 6a. Compound 33 had a favorable combination of physicochemical parameters within this group of nucleosides. Moreover, compound 27 completely lacks off-target interactions at 10 μM and has a relatively low molecular weight (408), predictive of increased bioavailability, and possibly CNS entry. Thus, we have expanded the structural range of orally active adenosine derivatives for the treatment of chronic pain. The most efficacious compounds in vivo had a cLogP of ≤2.3 and a TPSA of ≤131 Å2. However, there appears to be no direct correlation between the in vivo efficacy against NP and the three physicochemical parameters listed in Table 3. A molecular weight of 526 in potentially short-lived ferrocene derivative 36 still allowed full efficacy, as did a TPSA of >120 (e.g., 23), which is considered the upper limit for molecules expected to readily cross the blood brain barrier. 23

Three 2-thienyl derivatives were among the most efficacious and long lasting in vivo. The in vivo metabolism of thiophene derivatives has been studied. 38 Cytochrome P450s may catalyze the oxidation of thiophene compounds with the simultaneous formation of two reactive intermediates, a thiophene-S-oxide and a thiophene epoxide. However, some S-halothiophene derivatives demonstrate greater stability in vivo. 34 Strikingly, in this study, the in vivo pharmacological effects of S-substituted thiophene derivatives 33 and 34 were among the most prolonged. These compounds were sufficiently stable in vivo to provide an extended duration of action compared to the majority of other analogues, suggesting that rapid degradation is not occurring in these derivatives. Both compounds were stable in liver microsomes, but compound 34 inhibited a CYP450 isozyme in the μM range; therefore, 33 appears to be the leading candidate molecule arising from this study.

CONCLUSIONS

The translation of AR agonists into therapeutic products has been impeded by efficacy, bioavailability, and side effect issues. 19 This study progresses beyond the most common initial screen of binding selectivity alone to some of parameters that are predictive of derisking in pharmaceutical development such as oral bioactivity.

We have modified the C2-arylethynyl group of our previously reported A3AR agonists with different rings, including both substituted benzene rings and small heterocyclic rings like thiophene. These are mostly in the N6-methyl series, which has reduced affinity at the mouse A3AR but may be advantageous because of favorable pharmacokinetics over the N6-benzyl series due to a lower MW and lower log P. Five-membered hetroring derivatives 27 and 32–34 were fully efficacious in reducing neuropathic pain in vivo, suggesting structural consistency. An unusual organometallic derivative 36 was among those compounds showing a prolonged duration of action that would not have been predicted from the binding affinity alone, but this compound was unstable under physiological conditions. The most promising fully efficacious analogues in this study, with respect to aqueous solubility and absence of off-target sites, were pyrazinyl 23 and furyl 27 derivatives. S-Chlorothiophenyl derivative 33 had a favorable balance of high and prolonged efficacy, predicted in vivo stability, and few off-target interactions. Measuring the potential off-target effects, such as interaction with α-adrenergic receptors, provides another means of identifying possible liabilities early in the drug discovery process. The lead compounds discovered here are now suitable for more extensive in vivo testing, including pharmacokinetic and toxicity evaluation. On the basis of these leads, we hope to identify other highly efficacious analogues with in vivo activity using phenotypic screening.
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EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemical Synthesis. Materials and Instrumentation. All reagents and solvents were purchased with a Bruker 400 spectrometer using CDCl₃ and CD₂OD as solvents. Chemical shifts are expressed in δ values (ppm) with tetramethylsilane (δ 0.00) for CDCl₃ and water (δ 3.30) for CD₂OD. TLC analysis was carried out on glass sheets precoated with silica gel F254 (0.2 mm) from Aldrich. All the final sulfonyl nucloside compounds were purified by HPLC with a Luna 5 μmRP-C18(2) semipreparative column (250 mm × 10.0 mm; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) and using the following conditions: flow rate of 2 mL/min, 10 mM trifluoroacetic acid–water (TFA–H₂O) solution (95:5) for 30 min. The purity of final sulfonyl nucloside derivatives was checked using a Hewlett-Packard 1100 HPLC equipped with a Zorbax SB-Aq 5 μm analytical column (50 mm × 4.6 mm; Agilent Technologies Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Mobile phase: linear gradient solvent system, 5 mM TBAP (tetrabutylammonium dihydrogen phosphate)–CH₂CN from 80:20 to 100:1 in 13 min; the flow rate was 0.5 mL/min. Peaks were detected by UV absorption with a diode array detector at 230, 254, and 280 nm. All derivatives tested for biological activity showed >95% purity by HPLC analysis (detection at 254 nm). Low-resolution mass spectrometry was performed with a JEOL SX102 spectrometer with 6 kV Xe atoms, following desorption from a glycerol matrix or on an Agilent LC/MS 1100 MSD with a Waters JEOL SX102 spectrometer with 6 kV Xe atoms, following desorption from an Agilent LC/MS 1100 MSD with a Waters.

NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz) δ 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 4.02 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (br s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.13–2.09 (m, 1H), 1.88 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.41–1.39 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C₂₇H₂₃N₇O₄ (M + H+) 471.1764; found, 471.1765.

(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-2-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-(2-((3-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (22). Compound 20 (60%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.87 (s, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (s, 1H), 7.61–7.58 (m, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (s, 1H), 4.02 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (br s, 3H), 2.82 (s, 3H), 2.11–2.09 (m, 1H), 1.87 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.40–1.37 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C₂₇H₂₃N₇O₄ (M + H+) 471.1764; found, 471.1765.

(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-2-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-(2-((3-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (23). Compound 26 (63%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.63 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (s, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (br s, 3H), 2.13–2.10 (m, 1H), 1.88 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.42–1.40 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C₂₇H₂₃N₇O₄ (M + H+) 471.1764; found, 471.1765.

(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-2-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-(2-((3-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (24). Compound 26 (65%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.69 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.64 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (s, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 4.6 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (br s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.11–2.07 (m, 1H), 1.84 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.42–1.39 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C₂₇H₂₃N₇O₄ (M + H+) 471.1763; found, 421.1732.

(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-2-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-(2-((3-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (25). Compound 25 (64%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 5.12 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (s, 1H), 4.04 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (br s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.13–2.10 (m, 1H), 1.88 (s, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.42–1.39 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C₂₇H₂₃N₇O₄ (M + H+) 471.1765; found, 487.1716.
1H, 4.87 (s, 1H), 4.04 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (br s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.10−2.07 (m, 1H), 1.85 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.41−1.38 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C_{13}H_{19}NO_{3} (M + H)^{+}, 409.1737; found, 409.1731.

(15S,2R,3R,4S,5S)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-((1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)ethyl)-6-(methyleneamino)-9H-purin-9-yl-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (26). Compound 26 (63%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. 1H NMR (CD_{3}OD, 400 MHz) δ 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (s, 1H), 4.02 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.13 (br s, 3H), 2.86 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.12−2.08 (m, 1H), 1.87 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.41−1.37 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C_{13}H_{19}NO_{3} (M + H)^{+}, 423.1888; found, 423.1888.

(15R,2S,3R,4S,5S)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-((5-Chlorothiophen-2-yl)ethynyl)-6-(methyleneamino)-9H-purin-9-yl-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (27). Compound 27 (58%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. 1H NMR (CD_{3}OD, 400 MHz) δ 8.16 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (dd, J = 1.6, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (br s, 3H), 2.87 (s, 3H), 2.11−2.09 (m, 1H), 1.86 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.41−1.40 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C_{13}H_{19}NO_{3} (M + H)^{+}, 409.1624; found, 409.1611.

(15S,8R,9R,10S)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[2.1.1]hexane-1-carboxamide (28). Compound 28 (63%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 35. 1H NMR (CD_{3}OD, 400 MHz) δ 8.09 (s, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 5.06 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (s, 1H), 4.01 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (br s, 3H), 2.87 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.12−2.08 (m, 1H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.85 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.40−1.38 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C_{13}H_{19}NO_{3} (M + H)^{+}, 437.1932; found, 437.1934.

(15S,2R,3S,4R,5R)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (29). Compound 29 (53%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. 1H NMR (CD_{3}OD, 400 MHz) δ 8.11 (s, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.02 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (br s, 3H), 2.75−2.69 (m, 2H), 2.11−2.08 (m, 1H), 1.86 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.41−1.38 (m, 1H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). HRMS calculated for C_{13}H_{19}NO_{3} (M + H)^{+}, 437.1932; found, 437.1932.

(15S,2R,3R,4S,5R)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (30). Compound 30 (62%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. 1H NMR (CD_{3}OD, 400 MHz) δ 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.5 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33−7.31 (m, 2H), 5.11 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 4.04 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (br s, 3H), 2.89 (s, 3H), 2.13−2.10 (m, 1H), 1.87 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.42−1.39 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C_{13}H_{19}NO_{3} (M + H)^{+}, 459.1773; found, 459.1777.

(15S,2R,3S,4R,5R)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (31). Compound 31 (61%) was prepared from compound 40 following the same method for compound 22. 1H NMR (CD_{3}OD, 400 MHz) δ 8.13 (s, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 1.2, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dd, J = 2.0, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, J = 1.2, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 4.04 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (br s, 3H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.13−2.09 (m, 1H), 1.87 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.42−1.39 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for C_{13}H_{19}NO_{3} (M + H)^{+}, 435.1369; found, 435.1403.
complex with the Gs protein (PDB code 3SN6), after superimposition with the hA3AR crystal structure, was used as template to build the extracellular terminus of TM2. No structural template was used for the modeling of EL1. Details of the modeling procedure have been previously described. Molecular Docking of (N-Methanocarba Derivatives at A3AR Models. Structures of compounds were built and prepared for docking using the build panel and the LigPrep panel implemented in the Schrödinger suite. Molecular docking of the ligands at the A3AR models was performed by means of the Glide package part of the Schrödinger suite. The docking site was defined using key residues in the binding pocket of the A3AR models, namely Phe (EL2), Asn (6.55), Trp (6.48), and His (7.43), and a 20 Å × 20 Å × 20 Å box was centered on these residues. Docking of ligands was performed in the rigid binding site using the XP (extra precision) procedure. The top scoring docking conformations of each ligand were subjected to visual inspection and analysis of protein–ligand interactions to select the final binding conformations.

Radioligand Binding Studies. [3H]-R-PIA, 63 Ci/mmol), [3H](6-chloro-2-(2-carboxyethyl)phenyl-ethylamino)-N’-ethylcarboxamido-adenosine, (66, [3H]CGS21680, 40.5 Ci/mmol), and [125I]-N’-(4-aminio-3-iodobenzyl)adenosine-5’-[3H]-ethylcarboxamido-adenosine, (67, [125I]-AB-MECA, 2200 Ci/mmol) were purchased from Perkin–Elmer Life and Analytical Science (Boston, MA). Test compounds were prepared as 5 mM stock solutions in DMSO and stored at −20°C. Pharmacological standards 2 (A3AR agonist), adenosine-N’-ethylcarboxamido (68, NECA, nonselective AR agonist), and 2-chloro-N’-cyclopentyladenosine (69, CCPA, A3AR agonist) were purchased from Tocris R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN).

Cell Culture and Membrane Preparation. CHO cells stably expressing the recombinant hA1 and hA3ARs and HEK293 cells stably expressing the hA2AAR were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) and F12 (1:1) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 2 μg/mL growth hormone. In addition, 800 μg/mL Geneticin was added to the A2AAR media, while 500 μg/mL hygromycin was added to the A1 and A3 media. After harvesting, cells were homogenized and suspended in PBS. The suspension was homogenized and then centrifuged at 10000g for 60 min at 4°C. The resultant pellets were resuspended in Tris buffer, incubated with adenosine deaminase (3 units/mL) for 30 min at 37°C. The suspension was homogenized with an electric homogenizer for 10 s, pipetted into 1 mL vials, and then stored at −80°C until the binding experiments. The protein concentration was measured using the BCA Protein Assay Kit from Pierce Biotechnology, Gaithersburg, MD). Filters were washed three times with 3 mL of 0.9% NaCl.

Binding Assays. Into each tube in the binding assay was added 50 μL of increasing concentrations of the test ligand in Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5) containing 10 mM MgCl2, 50 μL of the appropriate agonist radioligand, and finally 100 μL of membrane suspension. For the A2AR (22 μg of protein/tube), the radioligand used was [3H]R-PIA (final concentration of 3.5 nM). For the A3AR (20 μg/tube), the radioligand used was [3H]66 (10 nM). For the A2AR (21 μg/tube), the radioligand used was [125I]-AB-MECA (30 nM). Nonspecific binding was determined using a final concentration of 10 μM 68 diluted with the buffer. The mixtures were incubated at 25°C for 60 min in a shaking water bath. Binding reactions were terminated by filtration through Brandel GF/B filters under a reduced pressure using a M-24 cell harvester (Brandel, Gaithersburg, MD). Filters were washed three times with 3 mL of 50 mM ice-cold Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5). Filters for A1 and A3AR binding were placed in scintillation vials containing 5 mL of Hydrofluor scintillation buffer and counted using a PerkinElmer liquid scintillation analyzer (Tri-Carb 2810TR). Filters for A2AR binding were counted using a Packard Cobra II γ-counter. The Ki values were determined using GraphPad Prism for all assays.

Similar competition binding assays were conducted using HEK293 cell membranes expressing mA3ARs using [125I]-66 to label A1 or A2ARs and [3H]65 to label A3ARs. IC50 values were converted to Ki values as described. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 100 μM 68.

Cyclic AMP Accumulation Assay. Cyclic AMP assays were conducted with HEK293 cells expressing the mA3AR. HEK293 cells were detached from cell culture plates, resuspended in serum-free DMEM containing 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 1 unit/mL adenosine deaminase, 4-(3-butoxy-4-methoxyphenyl)methyl-2-imidazolidoline (Tocris, Ro 201724, 20 μm) and 300 nM 8-[4-[4-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazide-1-sulfonyl]phenyl]-1-propykanthione (Tocris, PSB603, 300 nM, to inhibit A2BARs expressed endogenously in HEK293 cells), and then transferred to polypropylene tubes (2 × 105 cells/tube). The cells were coincubated with forskolin (10 μM) and AR ligands for 15 min at 37°C with shaking, after which the assays were terminated by adding 500 μL of 1 N HCl. The lysates were centrifuged at 4000 g for 10 min. The cyclic AMP concentration was then determined in the supernatants using a competitive binding assay, as previously described. EC50 and Emax values were calculated by fitting the data to the equation:

\[
E = E_{\text{max}} + \frac{E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{min}}}{1 + 10^{logEC50}}
\]

In Vivo Studies of Neuropathic Pain. Methods are the same as those reported and are briefly summarized here. Male CD-1 mice (25–30 g) from Harlan (Indianapolis, IN) were housed 4–5 (for mice) per cage in a controlled environment (12 h light/dark cycles) with food and water available ad libitum. Experiments were performed in accordance with International Association for the Study of Pain, NIH guidelines on laboratory animal welfare, and Saint Louis University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee recommendations. Experimenters were blinded to treatment conditions in all experiments.

CIC Model of Neuropathic Pain. CCI to the sciatic nerve of the left hind leg in mice was performed under general anesthesia using the well characterized Bennett model. Briefly, mice (weighing 25–30 g at the time of surgery) were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane/100% O2 inhalation and maintained on 2% isoflurane/100% O2 for the duration of surgery. The left thigh was shaved and scrubbed with Nolvasan (Zoetics, Madison, NJ), and a small incision (1–1.5 cm in length) was made in the middle of the lateral aspect of the left thigh to expose the sciatic nerve. The nerve was loosely ligated around the entire diameter of the nerve at three distinct sites (spaced 1 mm apart) using silk sutures (6.0). The surgical site was closed with a single muscle suture and a skin clip. Pilot studies established that under our experimental conditions peak mechano-allodynia develops by D5–D7 following CCI. Test substances or their vehicles were administered as 3 μmol/kg doses given by gavage (0.2 mL po) at peak mechano-allodynia (D7). The vehicle used consisted of 10% DMSO in 0.5% methylcellulose (diluted from a 5 mM stock solution in DMSO). Methylcellulose (lot no. 021M0067V) was obtained from Sigma viscosity 400 cP and prepared in sterile distilled water (UPS).

Statistical Analysis for in Vivo Experiments. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM for n animals. Behavioral data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni comparisons. Significant differences were defined at a P < 0.05. All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (v5.03, GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).

Binding to Off-Target Sites. Ki determinations and binding profiles in a broad screen of receptors and channels were generally provided by the National Institute of Mental Health’s Psychostim Drug Screening Program, contract no. HSHS-271-2008-00025-C (NIMH PDSP). The NIMH PDSP is Directed by Bryan L. Roth MD, Ph.D. at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and Project Officer Jamie Driscoll at NIMH, Bethesda MD, USA. For experimental details, please refer to the PDSP web site http://pdsp.med.unc.edu/ and click on “Binding Assay” or “Functional Assay” on the menu bar.
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ABBREVIATIONS USED

AR, adenosine receptor; cAMP, adenosine 3’,5’-cyclic monophosphate; CCI, chronic constriction injury; CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; CNS, central nervous system; CYP, cytochrome P450; DMEM, Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium; DMF, N,N-dimethylformamide; EL, extracellular loop; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; HEPES, 2-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]-trimethylpropanesulfonic acid; HEK, human embryonic kidney; HRMS, high resolution mass spectroscopy; NECA, 5′-[N-ethyl-3-(2-methylaminoethyl)carboxamido]-adenosine; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; PBR, peripheral benzodiazepine receptor; PWT, paw withdrawal threshold; RMS, root-mean-square; SAR, structure−activity relationship; TBAP, tetrabutylammonium dibydrogen phosphate; TEA, triethylamine; TM, transmembrane helix; tPSA, total polar surface area; MW, molecular weight
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