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Abstract
Background
Few studies have characterized epidemiology and management of hypertension across several communities with comparable methodology in sub-Saharan Africa. We assessed prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension and predicted 10-year cardiovascular disease risk across seven sites in East and West Africa.

Methods
Between June and August 2018, we conducted household surveys in 7 communities in Kenya, Nigeria, Tanzania, and Uganda and collected data on socio-demographics, health insurance, and healthcare utilization. We measured blood pressure using a standardized protocol and digital monitors. We estimated 10-year cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk using a country-specific risk score and fit hierarchical models to identify determinants of hypertension prevalence, awareness and treatment.

Results
We analyzed data of 3549 participants with a mean age of 39.7 years (SD 15.4), 60.5% of whom were women, 9.6% had ever smoked, and 32.7% were overweight/obese. A quarter (901, 25.1% (95% Confidence Interval 23.7%, 26.6%)) of participants had hypertension, half of whom (515, 57.6%) were diagnosed. Among diagnosed, 50.5% (260) were taking medication, and among those taking medication 47.3% (123) were controlled. After adjusting for other determinants, older age was associated with increased hypertension prevalence, awareness, and treatment whereas primary education was associated with lower hypertension prevalence. Health insurance was associated with lower hypertension prevalence and higher chances of treatment. Median predicted 10-yr CVD risk across sites was 4.9% Interquartile range, IQR (2.4%, 10.3%) and 13.2% had risk of 20% or greater while 7.1% had risk of > 30%.

Conclusion
In seven communities in east and west Africa, a quarter of adults had hypertension, about 40% were unaware, half of those aware were treated and half of those treated were controlled blood pressure. Access to health insurance is needed to improve awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa.

Background
For the past few decades, the burden of hypertension has shifted from high-income countries to low- and middle-income countries including sub-Saharan Africa (SSA).\[1\] In Africa, the estimated number of people with hypertension has increased steadily from 54·6 million in 1990 to 92·3 million in 2000 and 130·2 million in 2010. It is projected to rise to 216·8 million by the year 2030.\[2\] Hypertension is widespread in SSA, with some countries experiencing the highest prevalence in the world.\[3, 4\] The high burden of hypertension is SSA has severe consequences including increased risk for morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular disease (stroke, myocardial infarction and hypertensive heart diseases).\[5\]

Results from the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study indicate that low-income countries have the lowest rates for awareness, treatment and control of hypertension globally.\[6\] In Africa, sub-Saharan Africa compared to North African countries have low levels of awareness, treatment control of hypertension especially in rural areas.\[7\] Low awareness and poor control of hypertension in SSA have been attributed to poor health infrastructure and compliance to treatment, with poverty being the underlying cause.\[8\]

A recent analysis of individual-level population-based data from the WHO Stepwise Approach to Surveillance (STEPS) described sub-Saharan Africa countries to have the worst hypertension care cascade performance relative to their predicted performance based on Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita.\[9\] However, the surveys were conducted more than 5 years ago, for example, 2014 in Uganda and 2012 in Tanzania and several population countries, such as Nigeria and Kenya, were not included as they don’t have a recent STEPS survey. In addition, this study did not include a previous hypertension diagnosis in their definition of hypertension therefore yielding conservative estimates and no estimates of hypertension control. [9]

With the above limitations, the studies characterizing the epidemiology of hypertension across several communities with comparable methodologies in sub-Saharan Africa are scarce. Yet understanding the magnitude, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in SSA is key to inform appropriate ad cost-effective preventive strategies. Therefore, we assessed prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Nigeria.
Methods
Study design
This descriptive multi-site cross-sectional study was conducted among 3675 adults aged 18 years and above from seven communities in four countries in Tanzania, Uganda, Kenya and Nigeria. The study settings comprised rural areas in Nigeria (Olorunda Abaa in Oyo state, Ogane-Uge in Kogi state, and Okpok Ikpa in Cross River State); semi-urban (Ikire town in Osun state Nigeria, Ukonga ward in Dar es Salam in Tanzania) and urban communities (Soroti municipality in Uganda and Viwandani slum of Nairobi in Kenya). For more detailed description of the selected communities see the Appendix.

Table 2 in the accompanying paper.[10]

Table 2
Association between systolic blood pressures (mmHg followed by 95% confidence interval) and sociodemographic, lifestyle and healthcare variables, adjusted by site, SevenCEWA study 2018

| Characteristic                         | Women (n = 2147) | Men (n = 1402) |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
|                                        | Model 1          | Model 2        | Model 3       | Model 1          | Model 2        | Model 3       |
| Age (per 10 years)                     | -4.8 (4.0, 5.6)  | -5.1 (4.3, 5.8) | -4.6 (3.7, 5.4) | -3.5 (2.6, 4.5)  | -3.3 (2.3, 4.2) | -3.3 (2.4, 4.2) |
| Marital Status                         |                  |                |               |                 |                |                |
| Single                                 | 3.7 (0.7, 6.7)   | 5.4 (2.5, 8.3)  | 3.3 (0.2, 6.4)  | 1.8 (-1.7, 5.3)  | 1.1 (-2.4, 4.7) | 0.9 (-2.7, 4.5) |
| Married                                | Ref              | Ref            | Ref           | Ref              | Ref            | Ref            |
| Separated                              | -3.7 (-7.6, 0.1) | 3.0 (0.1, 6.0)  | -3.6 (-7.4, 0.2) | -5.5 (-10.5, -0.5) | -4.5 (-9.8, 0.9) | -4.6 (-9.9, 0.7) |
| Widowed                                | 0.5 (-2.9, 3.9)  | 4.0 (1.1, 6.9)  | 0.8 (-2.7, 4.4) | 4.8 (-3.4, 12.9) | 5.1 (-3.1, 13.3) | 4.0 (-4.3, 12.4) |
| Highest level of Education attained    |                  |                |               |                 |                |                |
| None                                   | Ref              | Ref            | Ref           | Ref              | Ref            | Ref            |
| Primary                                | -3.6 (-6.8, -0.4) | -1.5 (-4.8, 1.7) | -3.3 (-6.7, -0.1) | 1.2 (-3.6, 6.1)  | -1.0 (-6.2, 4.3) | -0.8 (-6.1, 4.5) |
| Secondary                              | -6.5 (-9.9, -3.1) | -3.6 (-6.9, -0.3) | 6.0 (-9.6, -2.5) | -1.6 (-6.7, 3.5) | -3.9 (-9.4, 1.5) | -3.9 (-9.4, 1.6) |
| Tertiary                               | -6.7 (-10.4, -3.0) | -3.0 (-6.6, 0.7) | -5.0 (-9.0, -1.1) | -1.2 (-6.6, 4.2) | -2.8 (-8.5, 3.0) | -2.5 (-8.3, 3.3) |
| Smoking, n (%)                         |                  |                |               |                 |                |                |
| Never                                  | 2.4 (-3.5, 8.3)  | 2.7 (-3.3, 8.7) | 3.8 (-2.2, 9.7) | -5.1 (-8.1, -2.1) | -4.2 (-7.5, -0.9) | -4.2 (-7.5, -0.9) |
| Alcohol use                            |                  |                |               |                 |                |                |
| Never                                  | 0.9 (-2.3, 4.1)  | 0.4 (-3.6, 2.8) | 1.1 (-2.1, 4.3) | -1.6 (-4.5, 1.4) | -0.3 (-3.3, 2.7) | -0.2 (-3.2, 2.9) |
| Employment status                      |                  |                |               |                 |                |                |
| Self-employed                          | Ref              | Ref            | Ref           | Ref              | Ref            | Ref            |
| Government                             | -1.3 (-4.8, 2.1)  | -0.8 (-4.7, 3.1) | 1.2 (-3.6, 6.1) | -1.0 (-6.2, 4.3) | -0.8 (-6.1, 4.5) | -0.7 (-6.1, 4.5) |
| Private employer                       | -4.4 (-7.9, -1.0) | -4.8 (-8.7, -0.9) | 3.9 (-9.4, 1.5) | 3.9 (-9.4, 1.6)  | 3.9 (-9.4, 1.6)  | 3.9 (-9.4, 1.6)  |
| Unemployed                              | 2.6 (0.6, 4.7)   | 2.5 (0.4, 4.6)  | 1.5 (-1.8, 4.8) | 1.9 (-1.5, 5.3)  |                 |                |
| Body mass index category, n (%)        |                  |                |               |                 |                |                |
| Underweight (< 18.5 kg/m²)             | Ref              | Ref            | Ref           | Ref              | Ref            | Ref            |
| Normal (18.5 to < 25 kg/m²)            | 1.5 (-1.7, 4.6)  | 0.3 (-2.9, 3.5) | 5.7 (2.2, 9.2)  | 6.0 (2.5, 9.6)   |                 |                |
| Overweight/Obese (> 25 kg/m²)          | 6.6 (3.4, 9.8)   | 4.4 (1.1, 7.7)  | 8.5 (4.4, 12.6) | 9.0 (4.9, 13.1)  |                 |                |
| Health insurance                       |                  |                |               |                 |                |                |
| Uninsured                              | Ref              | Ref            | Ref           | Ref              | Ref            | Ref            |
| Insured                                | -2.9 (-5.8, 0.1) | -1.0 (-4.8, 2.7) |                 |                 |                 |                |
Study populations
Participants were recruited using a representative sample from each community. (See supplementary for recruitment procedures). Pregnant women and individuals with physical impairments preventing measurement of blood pressure were excluded. A resident was defined as someone who has stayed within the area for at least 3 months and is expecting to stay for another 3 months.

Data collection procedures
Trained research assistants conducted data collection using a structured questionnaire to collect information on socio-demographic and economic characteristics of the participants, common health problems and perceived health needs, health seeking behavior, health care service experiences, and satisfaction with health care services they received. We also collected information on common risk factors for non-communicable diseases (NCDs) including tobacco and alcohol use and conducted a qualitative study of healthcare utilization and quality of healthcare services the results of which are presented in another manuscript in the series.[10] Finally, we examined the willingness to pay for primary healthcare in each community as described in the third manuscript in the series.[11]

Measurements
Blood Pressure
Blood pressure was measured on the left upper arm using a digital blood pressure machine, with patient in a seated position after 3–5 minutes of rest. Three systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) measurements were taken at least five minutes apart using portable sphygmomanometers (OMRON-Healthcare-Co HEM-7211-E-Model-M6; Kyoto, Japan). The mean of the second and third readings was used in this analysis. Hypertension was defined as average SBP ≥ 140 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg and/or self-report of previous diagnosis with or without current treatment with antihypertensive medications in accordance with the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure.[12] Among those treated, control was defined as having systolic blood pressure below 140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure below 90 mmHg. We intentionally avoided using the 2017 American Heart Association(AHA) and American College of Cardiology (ACC) definition of hypertension as it would significantly increase the number considered hypertensive and the current national guidelines in
these countries have not yet incorporated these new lower thresholds.

**Anthropometric measurements**

Weight and height were taken with the participant wearing light clothing and with no shoes. Body weight was measured and recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg and height was measured and recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body mass index (BMI) was then calculated as body weight per height squared (kg/m$^2$). Overweight was defined as BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$ but $< 30$ kg/m$^2$ and obesity as BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$.

[13]

**Socioeconomic Characteristics**

Data on ownership of household items such as radio, television, telephone, sofa, refrigerator, bicycle, car, and having working electricity; house ownership, construction materials (floor, walls and roofing materials); source of fuel for cooking and lighting; source of water supply for home use and drinking; and sanitation facility were also collected. We generated proxy wealth scores using household asset ownership, categorized into five quintiles (poorest, poor, fair, rich, and richest) for each study site.

**Other covariates**

Sociodemographic information including age, gender, marital status, education level, and occupation were collected. Marital status was grouped into never married, married or living together, divorced or separated and widowed. Educational level attainment was categorized according to the highest level reached in primary school, secondary school, or tertiary education (including vocational training). We collected occupation data in pre-coded categories: self-employed, government employee, private employer, and unemployed.

**Statistical analyses**

We estimated the prevalence of hypertension for all participants and by site, and hypertension awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension among those with a prior diagnosis of hypertension. We examined association between prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension with a-prior set of covariates: age (continuous), gender (men and women), employment (unemployed, government, and private), health insurance (yes or no), education (primary school and below, secondary school, and tertiary education), alcohol use (yes or no), current smoker (yes or no), and diabetes (yes or no). We used hierarchical models with a logit link function and communities
(sites) as random intercepts, to identify both individual and community characteristics independently associated with mean systolic blood pressure after adjusting for age, marital status, highest level of education attained, smoking, alcohol use (Model 1); employment status, body mass index (Model 2), and additionally adjusted for health insurance (Model 3). The models with prevalence as outcome are for all participants; those of awareness are among those with hypertension; those for treatment are among those who were aware; and those for control are for those on treatment.

We computed standardized rates by employing direct standardization to the World Health Organization Standard Population age-structure for the period 2000–2025[14] using 10-year age bands. These allows for the calculation of standardized rates that are comparable across regions and time.[14] The overall rates by site indicate the rate that would result if all populations had the same age distribution.[15]

We used the Globorisk score[16] to predict the 10-year risk of a first fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular disease (CVD) (stroke and coronary heart disease) for adults aged 40 or greater for each site. The office-based Globorisk scores is a country-specific CVD risk prediction model that estimates the 10-year risk of a first fatal and non-fatal stroke and ischemic heart disease, based on age (years), gender, treated or untreated systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), diabetes status (defined here as physician diagnosis), and smoking status (yes/no)[17]. We considered two different thresholds to define high risk for future cardiovascular disease: >20% risk scores on the basis of the WHO guidelines[18] and 30% as the threshold on the basis of the global NCD target.[19] Participants with a score < 7·5% were considered low-risk. We used boxplots to compare predicted CVD risks for each site for men and women who were categorized as low-risk or high-risk. All analyses were complete case analyses performed using Stata version 15·1 (Stata Corp., TX, USA).

**Role of the funding source**

The funder of the study sponsor had no role whatsoever in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

**Results**
A total 3675 participants were enrolled at seven study sites over the period June to August 2018 with an overall response rate of 91% (lowest of 79% in Viwandani an urban slum in Nairobi, Kenya and 100% in Ikire and Ogane-Uge both rural areas in Nigeria). Of these, we excluded 109 participants who had no blood pressure measurements and 17 who were missing weight and height measurements.

The sample analyzed constituted 3549 participants with a mean age of 39.7 years (SD 15.4) out of which 60.5% were women. Participants in Nigerian sites on average were older than those from East African sites (p < 0.0001). (Table 1)

Table 1
Baseline characteristics, SevenCEWA study 2018

| Characteristic | All (n = 3549) | Ikire, Nigeria (n = 489) | Ogane-Uge, Nigeria (n = 403) | Okpok Ikpa, Nigeria (n = 465) | Olorunda Abaa, Nigeria (n = 708) | Soroti, Uganda (n = 760) | Ukonga, Tanzania (n = 424) | Viwandani, Kenya (n = 300) |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Setting        | Semi-urban    | Rural                    | Rural                       | Rural                          | Urban                           | Semi-urban             | Urban                     | Semi-urban               |
| Demographics   |               |                          |                             |                               |                                 |                        |                           |                           |
| Age (years), mean (SD) | 39.7 (15.4)  | 48.1 (18.1)              | 39.2 (19.5)                 | 38.5 (14.0)                    | 41.2 (12.9)                    | 33.7 (12.4)            | 43.9 (14.1)               | 34.7 (10.9)               |
| Women, n (%)   | 2147 (60.5)   | 269 (55.0)               | 211 (52.4)                  | 225 (48.4)                     | 458 (64.7)                     | 534 (70.3)             | 305 (71.9)                | 145 (48.3)                |
| Asset index    |               |                          |                             |                               |                                 |                        |                           |                           |
| Poorest        | 646 (18.2)    | 100 (20.5)               | 88 (21.8)                   | 99 (21.3)                      | 135 (19.1)                     | 154 (20.3)             | 89 (20.9)                 | 63 (21.0)                 |
| Poor           | 614 (17.3)    | 98 (20.0)                | 81 (20.2)                   | 97 (20.9)                      | 130 (18.4)                     | 153 (20.1)             | 80 (18.8)                 | 57 (19.0)                 |
| Fair           | 742 (20.9)    | 98 (20.0)                | 166 (41.2)                  | 131 (28.1)                     | 135 (19.1)                     | 152 (20.0)             | 82 (19.3)                 | 60 (20.0)                 |
| Rich           | 507 (14.3)    | 95 (19.5)                | -                           | 58 (12.5)                      | 135 (19.1)                     | 154 (20.3)             | 87 (20.5)                 | 60 (20.0)                 |
| Richest        | 575 (16.2)    | 98 (20.0)                | 53 (13.1)                   | 80 (17.2)                      | 133 (18.7)                     | 147 (19.3)             | 86 (20.28)                | 60 (20.0)                 |
| Highest level of Education attained |               |                          |                             |                               |                                 |                        |                           |                           |
| None           | 456 (12.8)    | 102 (20.9)               | 105 (26.1)                  | 105 (22.6)                     | 46 (6.5)                       | 72 (9.5)               | 22 (5.2)                  | 4 (1.3)                   |
| Primary        | 1217 (34.3)   | 115 (23.5)               | 153 (38.0)                  | 207 (44.5)                     | 154 (21.8)                     | 198 (26.1)             | 270 (63.7)                | 120 (40.0)                |
| Secondary      | 1279 (36.0)   | 193 (39.5)               | 123 (30.5)                  | 117 (25.2)                     | 301 (42.5)                     | 284 (37.4)             | 105 (24.8)                | 156 (52.0)                |
| Tertiary       | 580 (16.3)    | 68 (13.9)                | 22 (5.5)                    | 32 (6.9)                       | 205 (29.0)                     | 206 (27.1)             | 27 (6.4)                  | 20 (6.7)                  |
| Employment status |            |                          |                             |                               |                                 |                        |                           |                           |
| Self-employed  | 2121 (59.8)   | 395 (80.8)               | 307 (76.2)                  | 309 (66.5)                     | 560 (79.1)                     | 222 (29.2)             | 255 (60.1)                | 73 (24.3)                 |
| Government employee | 302 (8.5) | 21 (4.3)                | 8 (2.0)                     | 26 (5.6)                       | 63 (8.9)                       | 91 (12.0)              | 28 (6.6)                  | 65 (21.7)                 |
| Private employer | 333 (9.4) | 31 (6.3)                | 23 (5.7)                    | 38 (8.2)                       | 47 (6.6)                       | 56 (7.4)               | 13 (3.1)                  | 125 (41.7)                |
| Unemployed     | 785 (22.1)    | 42 (8.6)                 | 65 (16.1)                   | 84 (18.1)                      | 38 (5.4)                       | 391 (51.4)             | 128 (30.2)                | 37 (12.3)                 |
| Refused to answer | 8 (0.2)        | -                        | -                           | 8 (1.7)                        | -                               | -                      | -                         | -                         |
| Smoking, n (%) |               |                          |                             |                               |                                 |                        |                           |                           |
| Never          | 3036 (85.5)   | 439 (89.8)               | 221 (54.8)                  | 389 (83.7)                     | 672 (94.9)                     | 718 (94.5)             | 375 (88.4)                | 222 (74.0)                |
| Ever           | 409 (11.5)    | 47 (9.6)                 | 114 (28.3)                  | 71 (15.3)                      | 31 (4.4)                       | 42 (5.5)               | 26 (6.1)                  | 78 (26.0)                 |
| Declined to answer | 104 (2.9)   | 3 (0.6)                  | 68 (16.9)                   | 5 (1.1)                        | 5 (0.7)                        | -                      | 23 (5.4)                  | -                         |
| Alcohol use    |               |                          |                             |                               |                                 |                        |                           |                           |
| Never          | 2690 (75.8)   | 427 (87.3)               | 226 (56.1)                  | 256 (55.1)                     | 672 (94.9)                     | 633 (83.3)             | 349 (82.3)                | 127 (42.3)                |
| Ever           | 727 (20.5)    | 44 (9.0)                 | 90 (22.3)                   | 187 (40.2)                     | 31 (4.4)                       | 127 (16.7)             | 75 (17.7)                 | 173 (57.7)                |
| Declined to answer | 132 (3.7) | 18 (3.7)                 | 87 (21.6)                   | 22 (4.7)                       | 5 (0.7)                        | -                      | -                         | -                         |
| Self-reported Diabetes mellitus, n | 86 (2.4) | 25 (5.1)                | 10 (2.5)                    | 1 (0.2)                        | 20 (2.8)                       | 8 (1.1)                | 19 (4.5)                  | 3 (1.0)                   |

1

Fujiwa Y, Koike A, Sultana S, Suwarkar P, Chomba L, Wijeysundera D, et al. 2020. The Seven Community Blood Pressure and Diabetes in Africa (SevenCEWA) study: study protocol and baseline characteristics. BMJ Open 10:e033433.
| Measuresments | Body mass index category, n (%) |  |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Underweight (< 18.5 kg/m²) | 349 (9.8) 54 (11.0) 91 (22.6) 2 (0.4) 56 (7.9) 106 (13.9) 23 (5.4) 17 (5.7) |
| Normal (18.5 to < 25 kg/m²) | 1918 (54.0) 264 (54.0) 194 (48.1) 347 (74.6) 362 (51.1) 449 (59.1) 133 (31.4) 169 (56.3) |
| Overweight/Obese (> 25 kg/m²) | 1159 (32.7) 139 (28.4) 110 (27.3) 110 (23.7) 250 (35.3) 181 (23.8) 256 (60.4) 113 (37.7) |
| Missing | 123 (3.5) 32 (6.5) 8 (2.0) 6 (1.3) 40 (5.6) 24 (3.2) 12 (2.8) 1 (0.3) |
| Waist circumference (both genders) |  |
| Men (≥ 102 cm)* | 101 (2.8) 16 (3.3) 8 (2.0) 5 (1.1) 16 (2.3) 14 (1.8) 41 (9.7) 1 (0.3) |
| Women (≥ 88 cm)* | 865 (24.4) 104 (21.3) 48 (11.9) 127 (27.3) 211 (29.8) 156 (20.5) 180 (42.5) 39 (13.0) |
| Blood pressure |  |
| Mean SBP (mmHg), mean (SD)^ | 122.9 (20.8) 128.5 (23.9) 126.2 (20.5) 126.4 (19.6) 119.1 (21.6) 122.8 (17.2) 125.3 (21.3) 110.0 (16.3) |
| Mean DBP (mmHg), mean (SD)^ | 77.9 (12.8) 82.0 (14.0) 77.3 (12.9) 80.3 (12.4) 73.8 (12.6) 80.0 (11.2) 77.2 (12.7) 73.4 (11.4) |

Out of the total sample, the sites contributed as follows: 13.8% from Ikire, 11.4% from Ogonie-Uge, 13.1% from Okpok Ikpa, 19.9% from Olorunda Abaa, 21.4% from Soroti, 11.9% from Ukonga, and 8.5% from Viwandani. A total of 55 participants declined to respond to asset ownership questions 15 (3.7%) in Ogonie-Uge and 40 (5.6%) in Olorunda Abaa, Nigeria.

Across sites, 44% of participants lived in rural areas of Ogonie-Uge (11.4%), Okpok Ikpa (13.1%), and Olorunda Abaa (19.9%) all in Nigeria, a quarter lived in semi-urban areas [Ikire, Nigeria (13.8%) and Ukonga, Tanzania (11.9%)], and 29.9% lived in urban communities in Soroti, Uganda (21.4%) and Viwandani, Kenya (8.5%). Participants in Ukonga, Tanzania had the highest prevalence of obesity (60%) whereas those in Ogonie-Uge, Nigeria had the highest prevalence of underweight (22%).

(Table 1)

Overall, 25.1% (95% Confidence Interval 23.7%, 26.6%) of participants had hypertension. Nigerian communities had the highest crude prevalence of hypertension i.e., 38.6% (34.2%, 43.0%) in Ikire, 33.0% (28.4%, 37.7%) in Ogonie-Uge, 23.3% (20.3%, 26.6%) in Olorunda Abaa, and 20.4% (17.9%, 25.6%) in Okpok Ikpa. Among the three East African sites, Ukonga in Tanzania had the highest crude prevalence at 28.5% (24.3%, 33.1%) followed by Soroti in Uganda with 20.4% (17.6%, 23.4%) and the lowest crude prevalence was recorded in Viwandani in Kenya with a 9.7% (6.6%, 13.6%).
The age-standardized prevalence of hypertension was 16.3% (14.5, 18.1) for women and 15.6% (13.5, 17.6) for men. When stratified by site, the age-standardized prevalence was highest in Ogane-Uge, Nigeria at 22.1% (18.0, 26.1) and lowest in Viwandani, Kenya at 11.3% (7.4, 15.1).

Among the 896 participants with hypertension, 43.1% (39.8%, 46.4%) were not aware that they had hypertension. Of those who were known to have hypertension, 47.3% (42.9%, 51.7%) were not taking medications, and of those taking medication 51.6% (44.4%, 56.7%) did not have their blood pressure controlled.

Despite the low prevalence of hypertension in Viwandani (Kenya), about three-quarters [75.9% (56.5%, 89.7%)] of those with elevated blood pressures were not aware that they had hypertension.

On the contrary, Nigerian study sites with higher prevalence of hypertension had comparatively higher proportions of awareness of hypertension compared with sites in Tanzania and Kenya. (Fig. 1)

Compared with participants of other sites, participants from Soroti, Uganda and Okpok Ikpa, Nigeria had higher rates of diagnosed but untreated hypertension; 78.9% (70.3%, 86.0%) and 70.5% (60.3%, 79.4%) of those diagnosed, respectively. Overall study sites in Nigeria had higher blood pressure control rates compared to those in east Africa. (Fig. 1)

In models adjusted by site, for both gender, the factors associated with higher mean systolic blood pressures were older age and being overweight/obese. In contrast, being privately employed (compared with unemployed) among both genders, and among women having attained any education (compared with no education) were associated with lower mean systolic blood pressure. (Table 2)

Surprisingly, among men current smoking compared to never smoking was associated with a lower mean systolic blood pressure (-4.2 mmHg, 95% CI -7.5, -0.9).

In multivariable analyses, each 10-year increase in age for both sexes was associated with higher odds of prevalent hypertension (adjusted Odds Ratio 1.4, 95%CI 1.4, 1.5), whereas attainment of any education (versus no education) and having health insurance (aOR 0.6, 95%CI 0.5, 0.8) were associated with lower prevalence of hypertension particularly among women. (Table 3) Older age was also associated with a higher odds of awareness for both sexes (aOR 1.2, 95%CI 1.1, 1.3) and primary education was associated with lower odds of awareness among women (aOR 0.5, 95%CI 0.3, 0.7).
Finally, older age was also associated with higher odds of treatment for both sexes (aOR 1.2, 95% CI 1.1, 1.3). Having health insurance was also associated with a higher chance of being treated among women (aOR 1.5, 95% CI 1.2, 1.9). (Table 3)

### Table 3

|                                | Both genders | Women | Men   |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| **Number of participants**     | 901 (25%)    | 515 (57%) | 386 (25%) |
| **Awareness**                  | 615 (57%)    | 343 (62%) | 272 (25%) |
| **Treatment**                  | 260 (50%)    | 160 (46%) | 100 (58%) |

**Age (each 10 yrs)**

| Level of Education | Both genders | Women | Men   |
|--------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| None               | 1.4 (1.4, 1.5) | 1.2 (1.1, 1.3) | 1.2 (1.1, 1.3) |
| Primary            | 0.7 (0.5, 0.8) | 0.6 (0.4, 0.8) | 0.8 (0.6, 1.0) |
| Secondary          | 0.8 (0.5, 0.9) | 0.8 (0.5, 0.8) | 1.0 (0.6, 1.8) |
| Tertiary           | 1.0 (0.5, 1.2) | 0.8 (0.5, 0.8) | 1.5 (0.7, 3.1) |

**Wealth index**

| Level of Education | Both genders | Women | Men   |
|--------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Poorest            | 0.8 (0.7, 1.1) | 0.8 (0.7, 1.1) | 0.8 (0.5, 1.2) |
| Poor               | 0.9 (0.7, 1.1) | 0.9 (0.7, 1.1) | 0.9 (0.6, 1.4) |
| Rich               | 0.8 (0.7, 1.1) | 0.8 (0.6, 1.2) | 0.8 (0.5, 1.2) |
| Richest            | 0.9 (0.7, 1.2) | 0.9 (0.6, 1.3) | 0.8 (0.5, 1.4) |

**Health Insurance**

| Level of Education | Both genders | Women | Men   |
|--------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Uninsured          | 0.6 (0.5, 0.8) | 0.8 (0.6, 1.1) | 0.7 (0.4, 1.2) |
| Insured            | 0.8 (0.6, 1.2) | 0.7 (0.5, 1.4) | 0.6 (0.4, 0.8) |

*Adjustment was done for study site and gender.

Although we had smaller numbers and larger uncertainties for analysis of controlled blood pressure as outcome, each decade increase in age was associated with lower odds of control (aOR 0.7, 95% CI 0.6 to 0.8). (Appendix Table 1)

For participants aged 40 years and older, the overall median predicted 10-yr CVD risk of a first fatal and non-fatal CVD (stroke and ischemic heart disease) across all sites was fairly low at 4.9% IQR (2.4%, 10.3%) i.e., for men median 6.5% (IQR 3.7%, 13.1%) and women 3.9% (IQR 1.9%, 8.9%). We excluded men in Okpok Ikpa site because only 7 men aged > 40yrs were enrolled which would give unstable estimates. Noteworthy, the 10-year risk of CVD varied substantially across sites with highest risks estimated in Ikire, Nigeria for both men (median 10.3%, IQR 4.5%, 29.3%) and women (median 3.9%, IQR 1.9%, 8.9%).
The lowest predicted 10-yr CVD risk for both gender were in Viwandani, Kenya for men (median 4·7%, IQR 2·6%, 7·4%) and women (median 1·2%, 0·8%, 1·6%). (Fig. 2) Overall thirteen percent (13·2%) had predicted 10-yr CVD risk of 20% or greater as per the WHO guidelines[18] and 7·1% had predicted 10 year CVD risk using the 30% as the threshold of the global NCD target.[19] (Appendix Fig. 1)

Discussion
Prevalence, awareness, and blood pressure control of hypertension at the seven study sites in East and West Africa varied substantially. Other than documentation of these differences, our results may help to fully understand how hypertension affects sub-Saharan African countries as well as highlight the need to customize awareness, treatment, and prevention approaches according to the needs of each community and country. Such information is essential to the design of effective interventions aimed at minimizing rising rates of hypertension and it’s complications. [20]

Overall, 43% of participants with hypertension were not aware. Similar to other studies of hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa, we found hypertension unawareness was more common among men.[3, 21] These data are consistent with a review on hypertension in SSA, which found levels of awareness under 40% for both sexes.[5, 22]

Among participants with prevalent hypertension, 13% had their blood pressure controlled. This proportion is quite low, in part due to low levels of awareness. Also, 3 of 10 participants who were aware of their status received treatment, which could indicate a low level of engagement with primary health care providers and the cost of treatment poses a challenge in accessing treatment. Although several prior studies have found health insurance associated with treatment for hypertension and blood pressure control,[23–25] they largely been conducted in resource rich countries with established health insurance coverage unlike the current study settings were a dismal number were on health insurance.

Taken together, the poor control of hypertension is representative of the systemic issues facing the delivery of essential chronic care such as the socioeconomic determinants of hypertension, barriers to treatment, the inadequacy of healthcare infrastructure, the low levels of trained health care
personnel, and adherence.[26] To achieve higher coverage of hypertension awareness and blood pressure control requires strengthening of the primary care system in particular provision of universal health insurance as well as outreach and community based approaches, to ensure effective screening, adherence and follow up, development and implementation of guidelines for use by primary care personnel, and enhance access to essential medicines.[27–29] Use of mobile health approaches are low-hanging fruits in sub-Saharan Africa that could increase health care delivery given the penetration of mobile phones in the region.[30]

The predicted 10-year risk of fatal and non-fatal CVD disease (stroke and ischemic heart disease) was low. Overall, the population under study was of a relatively younger age (mean age 40 years), had low rates of self-reported diabetes and smoking—key factors in the Globorisk prediction model[17]. There is no evidence on the comparability of existing risk algorithms in identifying high-risk individuals among sub-Saharan African populations, as such we could not compare our results with any. Prior studies used CVD risk prediction equations that were not country-specific thus did not captured the national differences in CVD rates.[31]

Our study has several strengths. We studied diverse African communities using similar standard and validated questionnaires and measurement protocols to collect information on many lifestyle and socioeconomic factors which greatly reduces the potential for misclassification bias. However, our results should be interpreted with some limitations in mind. First, there is a possibility of unmeasured confounding as in any other observational study. Second, all participants were of African ancestry. Therefore, our findings should not be extrapolated to other ethnicities. Third, the predicted 10-year CVD risk might be underestimated due to under-reporting of smoking because of social desirability bias. Finally, population-based surveys are subject to the healthy volunteer bias,[32] thus leading to underestimation of the hypertension proportions.

In conclusion, we observed high prevalence, low awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in seven communities in East and West Africa. Overall, the predicted 10-year CVD risk was low despite sex-specific and region-specific differences. Our data show stark sex-specific and region-specific differences that will require further detailed understanding to inform effective intervention strategies.
Moreover, given the low levels of awareness of hypertension, and the related consequences of hypertension control, universal health insurance coupled with improvements in health promotion and system strengthening could help improve awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa.

Abbreviations
BMI: Body mass index; CVD: Cardiovascular Disease; DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure; GDP: Gross Domestic Product; NCD: Non-communicable diseases; SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure; SevenCEWA: Seven Communities in East and West Africa; SSA: sub-Saharan Africa; WHO STEPS: World Health Organization

Stepwise Approach to Surveillance

Ethics declaration
The health need assessments were approved by ethics committees of the respective study sites as follows; a) The Cross-River State Research Ethics Committee, Ethical Review Committee of the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, and the State Research Ethics Review Committee for the three Nigerian sites; b) The Senate Research and Publications Committee of Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences for the Ukonga site in Tanzania; c) The ethics review committee of the Mbale Regional Referral Hospital for the Soroti site in Uganda; d) The African Medical and Research Foundation for the Viwandani site in Kenya.

All participants provided written informed consent in English or the local languages.

Consent for publication
Not applicable

Availability of data and materialss
The dataset used and/or analysed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declaration of interests
All other authors declare no competing interests.

Source of funding
This study was supported by funding from the Bernard Lown Scholars in Cardiovascular Health Program at the Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public
Health, Boston, MA, USA.

Authors’ contributions
SO, AM, SFM, SA, CO, AOO, OAB, NS, and GD co-conceived the study. SO, AM, SFM, SA, CO, AOO, and OAB led the data collation. SO and GD led the data analysis. SO wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all authors provided crucial input on several iterations of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments
We would also like to thank each of the country-level survey teams and study participants who made this study possible.

References
1. Zhou B, Bentham J, Di Cesare M, Bixby H, Danaei G, Cowan MJ, Paciorek CJ, Singh G, Hajifathalian K, Bennett JE. Worldwide trends in blood pressure from 1975 to 2015: a pooled analysis of 1479 population-based measurement studies with 19·1 million participants. The Lancet. 2017;389(10064):37–55.

2. Adeloye D, Basquill C. Estimating the prevalence and awareness rates of hypertension in Africa: a systematic analysis. PloS one. 2014;9(8):e104300.

3. Ataklte F, Erqou S, Kaptoge S, Taye B, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Kengne AP. Burden of undiagnosed hypertension in sub-saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hypertension. 2015;65(2):291–8.

4. Steyn K, Bradshaw D, Norman R, Laubscher R. Determinants and treatment of hypertension in South Africans: the first Demographic and Health Survey. South African Medical Journal. 2008;98(5):376–80.

5. Addo J, Smeeth L, Leon DA. Hypertension in sub-saharan Africa: a systematic review. Hypertension. 2007;50(6):1012–8.

6. Chow CK, Teo KK, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Gupta R, Avezum A, Bahonar A, Chifamba J, Dagenais G, Diaz R. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in rural and urban communities in high-, middle-, and low-income countries. Jama.
7. Kayima J, Wanyenze RK, Katamba A, Leontsini E, Nuwaha F. Hypertension awareness, treatment and control in Africa: a systematic review. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2013;13(1):54.

8. Seedat Y. Why is control of hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa poor? Cardiovasc J Afr. 2015;26(4):193.

9. Geldsetzer P, Manne-Goehler J, Marcus M-E, Ebert C, Zhumadilov Z, Wesseh CS, Tsabedze L, Supiyev A, Sturua L, Bahendeka SK. The state of hypertension care in 44 low-income and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional study of nationally representative individual-level data from 1·1 million adults. The Lancet. 2019;394(10199):652–62.

10. Soter Ameh ADB, Caleb Ochimana2, Oluwasanu B, Mohamed S, Okello S, Muhiji A. Nelson Sewankambo, Goodarz Danaei: Access to and quality of primary health care: a qualitative multi-country study in West and East Africa. In.; 2019.

11. Akeem Deji Bolarinwa SA, Bayo Oluwasanu C, Ochimana S, Mohamed S, Okello A, Muhiji. Nelson Sewankambo, Goodarz Danaei: Willingness and Ability To Pay For Health Insurance Among Diverse Sub-Populations of West and East African Countries: Policy Implication To The Regional Governments. In.; 2019.

12. Jones DW, Hall JE. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure and evidence from new hypertension trials. In.: Am Heart Assoc; 2004.

13. Organization WH. Physical status: The use of and interpretation of anthropometry, Report of a WHO Expert Committee. 1995.

14. Ahmad OB, Boschi-Pinto C, Lopez AD, Murray CJ, Lozano R, Inoue M. Age standardization of rates: a new WHO standard. Geneva: World Health Organization
15. Schokkaert E, Van de Voorde C. Direct versus indirect standardization in risk adjustment. J Health Econ. 2009;28(2):361–74.

16. Hajifathalian K, Ueda P, Lu Y, Woodward M, Ahmadvand A, Aguilar-Salinas CA, Azizi F, Cifkova R, Di Cesare M, Eriksen L. A novel risk score to predict cardiovascular disease risk in national populations (Globorisk): a pooled analysis of prospective cohorts and health examination surveys. The lancet Diabetes endocrinology. 2015;3(5):339–55.

17. Hajifathalian K, Ueda P, Lu Y, Woodward M, Ahmadvand A, Aguilar-Salinas CA, Azizi F, Cifkova R, Di Cesare M, Eriksen L, et al. A novel risk score to predict cardiovascular disease risk in national populations (Globorisk): a pooled analysis of prospective cohorts and health examination surveys. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2015;3(5):339–55.

18. Organization WH: Prevention of cardiovascular disease: World Health Organization; 2007.

19. Organization WH. Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020. 2013.

20. Nulu S, Aronow WS, Frishman WH. Hypertension in Sub-Saharan Africa. Cardiol Rev. 2016;24(1):30–40.

21. Lloyd-Sherlock P, Beard J, Minicuci N, Ebrahim S, Chatterji S. Hypertension among older adults in low-and middle-income countries: prevalence, awareness and control. Int J Epidemiol. 2014;43(1):116–28.

22. Geldsetzer P, Manne-Goehler J, Marcus M-E, Ebert C, Zhumadilov Z, Wesseh CS, Tsabedze L, Supiyev A, Sturua L, Bahendeka SK. The state of hypertension care in 44 low-income and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional study of nationally
representative individual-level data from 1·1 million adults. The Lancet 2019.

23. Ludema C, Cole SR, Eron JJ Jr, Holmes GM, Anastos K, Cocohoba J, Cohen MH, Cooper HL, Golub ET, Kassaye S. Health insurance type and control of hypertension among US women living with and without HIV infection in the women's interagency HIV study. Am J Hypertens. 2017;30(6):594–601.

24. Duru OK, Vargas RB, Kermah D, Pan D, Norris KC. Health insurance status and hypertension monitoring and control in the United States. Am J Hypertens. 2007;20(4):348–53.

25. Zhang X, Zhang Y, Xiao X, Ma X, He J. The relation between health insurance and management of hypertension in Shanghai, China: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2016;16(1):959.

26. Organization WH: Implementation tools: package of essential noncommunicable (PEN) disease interventions for primary health care in low-resource settings. 2013.

27. Hogerzeil HV, Liberman J, Wirtz VJ, Kishore SP, Selvaraj S, Kiddell-Monroe R, Mwangi-Powell FN, von Schoen-Angerer T, Group LNA. Promotion of access to essential medicines for non-communicable diseases: practical implications of the UN political declaration. The Lancet. 2013;381(9867):680–9.

28. Di Cesare M, Khang Y-H, Asaria P, Blakely T, Cowan MJ, Farzadfar F, Guerrero R, Ikeda N, Kyobutungi C, Msamboza KP. Inequalities in non-communicable diseases and effective responses. The Lancet. 2013;381(9866):585–97.

29. Farzadfar F, Murray CJ, Gakidou E, Bossert T, Namdaritabar H, Alikhani S, Moradi G, Delavari A, Jamshidi H, Ezzati M. Effectiveness of diabetes and hypertension management by rural primary health-care workers (Behvarz workers) in Iran: a nationally representative observational study. The Lancet. 2012;379(9810):47–54.

30. Lee S, Cho Y-m, Kim S-Y. Mapping mHealth (mobile health) and mobile penetrations
in sub-Saharan Africa for strategic regional collaboration in mHealth scale-up: an application of exploratory spatial data analysis. Globalization health. 2017;13(1):63.

31. Siontis GC, Tzoulaki I, Siontis KC, Ioannidis JP. Comparisons of established risk prediction models for cardiovascular disease: systematic review. Bmj. 2012;344:e3318.

32. Leening MJ, Heeringa J, Deckers JW, Franco OH, Hofman A, Witteman JC, Stricker BHC. Healthy volunteer effect and cardiovascular risk. Epidemiology. 2014;25(3):470-1.

Figures

Figure 1

Proportions of awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension by gender and site, SevenCEWA study 2018
Figure 2

Predicted 10-year risk of a first fatal and non-fatal CVD for adults aged 40 or greater by gender and site using the Globorisk equations for each country[16], SevenCEWA study 2018
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