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Abstract

Objectives: An increased burden of chronic diseases in the working age population is observed across high income countries. Persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) are less likely to maintain or return to work due to several constraints they experience. The purpose of the study was to assess the preferences and needs of PwCDs regarding 6 areas of flexibility at the workplace. It was assessed whether there were any personal characteristics associated with higher or lower expectations and needs. Material and Methods: This was a part of a large multicenter international project, i.e., the PArticipation To Healthy Workplaces And inclusive Strategies in the Work Sector (PATHWAYS) project, aimed to contribute to the development of innovative approaches to promote professional integration and reintegration of PwCDs into the work sector. In Poland, 59 respondents diagnosed with different chronic diseases were identified through advocacy groups. An on-line survey was conducted to collect information on their needs and expectations. A cluster analysis was performed to reveal some expectation types which differentiated individuals across 6 analyzed domains, along with a multivariable logistic regression to identify some characteristics associated with a special expectation type. Results: Workplace facilitator items were generally scored as needed (“rather conductive” and “very conductive” groups identified). The factors positively associated with higher needs in this domain were education, and a hindering type of the disease. Unrestricted working hours were very conductive or indifferent, and were positively associated with education. Legal solutions were more conductive for older people. Medical support, access to training, and supervisors’ knowledge were needed in general, with no associations with the personal characteristics identified. Conclusions: Persons with chronic diseases report several facilitators which are needed for them to maintain or return to work, but they are not satisfied with the existing economy in Poland. These needs should be taken into consideration in the effective policy development. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2020;33(4):427–444
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INTRODUCTION
The modern world is characterized by increasing life expectancy and an accompanying increased risk of a chronic disease and disability. The development of a chronic medical condition is observed relatively early in one’s lifetime among a significant part of adult populations, as it starts in one’s fifties or even forties. As revealed by the research, people aged 45–64 suffer from 1.18 chronic disease on average; by the age of 50, every second person has at least 1 morbidity, and by the age of 65, most adults are multi-morbid [1]. These groups are persons of working age, and the diagnosis of a chronic disease has a remarkable impact on their personal and professional life. The presence of a chronic disease is linked with different levels of disability and, consequently, affects employment prospects and significantly increases the intention to retire early [2].
An analysis of the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) data has shown that people with hypertension are 6% less likely to be employed, as compared to those without hypertension, while diabetes and heart disease decrease the likelihood of being employed by about 15%, and stroke by 22% [2].
The outflow of persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) from the labor market has a remarkable impact on national economy causing productivity losses (due to early retirement and absenteeism), and an increased use of health care resources. This unfavorable tendency is observed in the majority of high income European countries, including Poland.
In the previous decade, the number of chronically ill adults (aged ≥15) in Poland increased from about 26% in 2006 to 34% in 2016 [3]. This corresponds to about 20% of the population aged 25–44 reporting a chronic disease, and this share increases to 42% in the 45–59 age category, and to 63% in the 60–69 age category [3]. Overall, about 17.7% of the adult population in Poland report limitations in their daily living activities, lasting ≥6 months. According to the latest available records published by the Central Statistical Office of Poland [4], 11.9% of the economically inactive people of working age are non-employed and do not seek a job due to an illness and disability. This corresponds to 1 278 000 potential workers aged 25–64 who are staying outside the labor market, and nearly 80% of them are persons who were previously in employment [4].
There are several causes which make PwCDs to stay outside the labor market, including system regulations and service providers, employers, and workplace features. After an acute disease period, disability management plays a key role in minimizing the impact of the disease and impairment on one’s personal life and ability to return to work. The development of easily accessible, affordable and effective disability management programs, which facilitate early intervention and keep workers engaged in the workplace, is among crucial initiatives that should be organized at the global and local levels [5]. The responsibility of employers being the main contributors in the process includes their willingness to hire PwCDs, and to provide both workplace and social standards which facilitate effective, safe and healthy work. The most important stakeholders, however, are PwCDs themselves.
There are several personal determinants which influence one’s decision about staying outside or returning to workforce. These may be categorized into economic and psycho-social ones. The economic drive is closely related to the need to cover household and daily living expenses, and the costs of leisure time activities, and to buy specific goods. The psycho-social category is a subject of much broader discussions as a determinant of taking up a job. Work gives independence and a better control of one’s life; it is also a source of satisfaction, empowerment, commitment, self-esteem, and self-realization. Working people may learn new things, get more skills, and make new friends or maintain friendships with their co-workers, which is the source of support [6,7]. Therefore, among the most important determinants which influence PwCDs’ participation in the labor market is an individual percep-
The needs of people with different limitations, handicaps or disabilities are also shaped by the abilities determined by the labor market and employers’ goals. It has meaningfully changed after the economic transition launched in 1989–1991 in Poland. These changes have led to full liberalization and privatization, and a rapid growth of small private businesses and large companies which aimed on assets. Before the transition period, but after Second World War, Poland intensively developed its social security policy to help disabled persons. There were occupational rehabilitation rules developed by Hulek [12] and Kirenko [13], which stated that each person with disability, despite the experienced health deterioration and limitations, should keep some functions and abilities, and have some compensative and developmental possibilities, which would enable him or her to develop new professional skills. Moreover, Hulek and Kirenko stated that there was no job which required from the disabled person to use all the functions and capabilities of the human body. This was the basis for developing a Polish model of occupational rehabilitation which tried to include disabled persons in the country’s economic goals.

Among different activities, rapid development of disabled workers co-operatives took place. The mission of these co-operatives was to conduct economic activities associated with physical, social, and educational and cultural rehabilitation. There were 2 types of work activities of co-operative members. Some of them were coming to the workplace, but those with severe impairments were working from their homes, operating within the cottage industry. The second group frequently worked with the help of their family or friends. In the 1970s, more than one-third of the hired disabled persons were working this way, which represented approx. 40 000 workers [14]. The basis for disabled workers co-operatives was also complex rehabilitation which included medical, rehabilitative, and social services. There were rehabilitative clinics, hired physicians, nurses, physiotherapists, massage therapists and social workers who concentrated on functional improvements, on the prevention of comorbidities, and on treatment of the underlying disease [15]. In 1967, next to disabled workers co-operatives, a regulation was adopted by the Council of Ministers in Poland for the planned employment of disabled persons, which obliged workshops to set up workplaces suitable for disabled persons, and to adapt some workplaces. Additionally, it was required that occupational health care should provide special rehabilitative and social services to people with impairments [16].

During and after the transition from socialism to capitalism, the situation of people with work performance limitations in Poland changed remarkably. The main economic focus on assets led to a decreased support for disabled persons on the labor market. Additionally, in 1990 the decision by the Second Congress of Polish Co-Operatives to shutdown collective clubs led to the elimination of disabled workers co-operations [17]. Moreover, the Polish parliament in 1990 passed a resolution requiring all collective organizations to be closed down, which led to the elimination of the Central Union of Disabled Workers Co-Operatives, of the Central Union of Blind Workers Co-Operatives, and of Regional and Provincial Unions of Disabled Workers Co-Operatives [18]. It was believed that an open market would solve the problems of disabled persons. However, the business model so adopted led to a decrease in rehabilitation services. Even after the transition, when the new rules were set up, the number of sheltered workshops decreased in 1999–2015 by two-thirds, from 3736 to 1179,
and the number of employed disabled persons decreased from 218,130 in 1999 to 128,800 in 2015 [19]. Rapid, or even revolutionary, changes which also involved the Polish labor market provided a unique opportunity to investigate the impact of changes and the current situation of PwCDs. Thus, the purpose of this study was to assess the preferences and needs of PwCDs in Poland, the country being about 25 years after economic transition. The needs were investigated considering 6 areas of flexibility at the workplace, including workplace environment, working hours flexibility and the level of supervisor control, legal solutions, medical circumstances, access to training and counseling, and co-workers and supervisors’ knowledge of the consequences of chronic conditions. It was assessed whether there were groups of PwCDs with higher or lower expectations in the areas under consideration, and whether there were any personal characteristics associated with specific needs and expectations.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This part of the study formed part of a large multicenter international project, i.e., the PATHWAYS project, aimed to contribute to the development of innovative approaches to promote professional integration and reintegration of PwCDs into the work sector. The PATHWAYS project details have been described elsewhere [20]. In brief, the project gathered health professionals representing different disciplines (academics, non-governmental organizations working for the integration of persons with health-related problems into the work sector, educational bodies, national health institutes, and social-service and governmental institutions aiming at and providing patient-centered services) to identify and analyze the existing policies, systems and politics to (re)integrate PwCDs into the open labor market, and to identify gaps between their needs and expectations, and the available and accessible solutions provided by the work sector across different European countries. The barriers existing in the Polish system were described and discussed in a manuscript by Pilat et al. [21]. The PATHWAYS project included also detailed investigations performed among PwCDs. The respondents involved in the Polish part of the project were patients with chronic conditions identified and contacted through advocacy groups. There were individuals identified as having one of the following health outcomes: depression, migraine, diabetes, musculoskeletal disorder(s), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cardiovascular disease. They were asked to provide responses in an on-line survey which was aimed at collecting information about the respondents’ demographic characteristic, employment and economic status, and a questionnaire investigating their needs and expectations. The questions gathered (using a 5-point Likert scale from 1 – “very inimical,” through 2 – “inimical,” 3 – “neither conducive nor inimical,” 4 – “conducive,” to 5 – “very conducive”) patients’ opinions across 6 domains, as:

- workplace facilitators,
- unrestricted working hours, and less control and a low-stress job,
- legal solutions protecting PwCDs,
- medical support for PwCDs,
- access to training and counseling,
- co-workers and supervisors’ knowledge of the consequences of chronic conditions.

The study group included 59 individuals with the mean age of 40. There were 41 (69.5%) women and 18 (30.5%) men involved, and 88% of the respondents had high school or university education. The majority of the respondents were non-managers (approximately 70%). There were 36 (61.0%) PwCDs employed during the survey. The respondents represented different types of diseases which caused work performance limitations, and 20 were classified as less hindering, 28 (47.5%) as hindering, and 11 as very hindering. There were no statistically significant differences
**Table 1. Characteristics of the Polish part of the PATHWAYS project representing persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) in the Małopolska region, data collection in 2016–2017**

| Variable                                | Participants (N = 59) |               | p     |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------|
|                                         | total                 | employed (N = 36) | non-employed (N = 23) |       |
| Gender [n (%)]                          |                       |               |       |
| men                                     | 18 (30.5)             | 12 (33.3)      | 6 (26.1) | 0.580<sup>a</sup> |
| women                                   | 41 (69.5)             | 24 (66.7)      | 17 (73.9) |
| Age [years] (M±SD)                      | 39.5±11.7             | 40.5±11.0      | 37.9±12.8 | 0.559<sup>ab</sup> |
| Education [n (%)]                       |                       |               |       |
| middle school or vocational             | 7 (11.9)              | 4 (11.1)       | 3 (13.0) | 0.999<sup>c</sup> |
| high school or university               | 52 (88.1)             | 32 (88.9)      | 20 (87.0) |
| Occupational classification [n (%)]     |                       |               |       |
| non-managers                            | 41 (69.5)             | 25 (69.4)      | 16 (69.6) |
| managers                                | 18 (30.5)             | 11 (30.6)      | 7 (30.4) |
| Disease                                 |                       |               |       |
| less hindering                          | 20 (33.9)             | 13 (36.1)      | 7 (30.4) |
| hindering                               | 28 (47.5)             | 18 (50.0)      | 10 (43.5) |
| very hindering                          | 11 (18.6)             | 5 (13.9)       | 6 (26.1)  |

<sup>a</sup> Chi-square test with 1 degree of freedom; <sup>b</sup> Mann-Whitney U test; <sup>c</sup> Fisher’s exact test.

between the employed and non-employed respondents regarding their basic characteristics (Table 1).

**Ethics**

The study protocol and all the procedures implemented in the research were reviewed and accepted by the Bioethics Committee of the Jagiellonian University (permission No. 122.6120.222.2016 dated June 27, 2016), and they followed the ethical standards described by the Declaration of Helsinki, revision 2013.

**Statistics and covariates**

Descriptive statistics, such as means and standard deviations (SDs), were used to describe the basic characteristics of the study participants. The results from the questionnaire investigating their needs and expectations were considered as ratio scale variables and were described by their means and SDs using their original scoring system (i.e., from 1 – “very inimical” to 5 – “very conducive,” a higher score implied a more needed, required or expected work-related feature). The item of the company having the right to terminate the contract with a PwCD in the case of their decreased productivity was reverse re-coded (5→1; 4→2, 2→4, 1→5) to obtain the same results direction. To reveal the groups characterized by special preferences or needs, the k-means cluster analysis was performed. There were step strategies implemented.

Firstly, a cluster analysis with a 2-cluster solution was run in each domain of the questionnaire investigating the respondents’ needs and expectations to reveal whether there are some expectation types which differentiate individuals within a domain. Secondly, the items which did not differ-
Table 2. Preference groups revealed by the cluster analysis across different domains (a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 – “very inimical” to 5 – “very conducive”) in the Polish part of the PATHWAYS project representing persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) in the Małopolska region, data collection in 2016–2017

| Preferences/Needs                                                                 | Total\(^a\) (M±SD) | Preliminary models \(p^b\) | Final model group 1 (M±SD) | Final model group 2 (M±SD) | \(p^b\) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| **Part I. Workplace facilitators**                                               |                     |                             |                             |                             |        |
| having a low-risk job (e.g., lower exposure to toxicants)                        | 3.69±1.36           | <0.001                      | 3.09±1.22                   | 3.92±1.34                   | <0.001 |
| working at an adaptive workplace, technically and architectonically             | 4.29±0.88           | 0.001                       | 3.64±0.92                   | 4.49±0.79                   | 0.001  |
| having facilitating equipment to function with the disease at the workplace      | 4.27±0.94           | <0.001                      | 4.00±0.82                   | 4.41±0.89                   | <0.001 |
| being employed in a healthy workplace, i.e., a healthy behaviors promoting workplace (with access to healthy food) | 4.46±0.61           | 0.001                       | 4.08±0.64                   | 4.59±0.55                   | 0.001  |
| access to separate rooms for persons with special health requirements (rooms for rest or taking drugs) | 4.36±0.88           | <0.001                      | 4.31±0.63                   | 4.38±0.95                   | <0.001 |
| having a workplace located close to one’s home or in an area with access to hospital with emergency or public transport | 4.21±0.94           | <0.001                      | 4.00±1.35                   | 4.28±0.76                   | <0.001 |
| cluster group type                                                              |                     |                             |                             |                             |        |
| **Part II. Unrestricted working hours, and less control and a low-stress job**   |                     |                             |                             |                             |        |
| a possibility to work partially from home                                        | 4.44±0.90           | 0.005                       | 3.00±0.82                   | 4.67±0.67                   | <0.001 |
| a possibility to adapt working time to personal needs (part-time employment)    | 4.39±0.90           | 0.001                       | 2.86±1.22                   | 4.63±0.57                   | <0.001 |
| a routine job organization (established allowed time, no urgent business trips) | 4.11±0.98           | 0.462                       |                             |                             |        |
| flexible working hours, self-management of working time (having a break in the case of the disease symptoms occurrence or the necessity to take a leave for therapy) | 4.58±0.63           | 0.503                       |                             |                             |        |
| having some time for the adaptation after a longer sick leave                    | 4.25±0.98           | <0.001                      | 3.25±1.17                   | 4.42±0.84                   | 0.001  |
| having an option to leave the workplace to have an appointment                   | 4.65±0.52           | <0.001                      | 4.13±0.35                   | 4.74±0.49                   | 0.001  |
| a possibility to change one’s job position or briefs (after a sick leave or if the disease symptoms are too troublesome) | 4.31±0.74           | 0.108                       |                             |                             |        |
| high supervisor’s control                                                        | 2.34±0.96           | 0.128                       |                             |                             |        |
| low supervisor’s control                                                        | 3.35±0.91           | 0.377                       |                             |                             |        |
| working in a low-stress environment                                              | 4.59±0.76           | 0.232                       |                             |                             |        |
| having a secure job (e.g., a permanent contract)                                 | 4.79±0.56           | 0.109                       |                             |                             |        |
| cluster group type                                                              |                     |                             |                             |                             |        |

\(^a\) PwCDs in the Małopolska region.

\(^b\) Significant differences between groups \((p<0.05)\).
Part III: Legal solutions protecting PwCDs

- Solutions which enable PwCDs to do some higher-risk jobs (e.g., a pilot or a surgeon) on special conditions (e.g., in the assistance of a co-worker)
  - 3.69 ± 1.17
  - < 0.001

- Having an option to take a day off or some working hours off due to non-medical disease-related activities, e.g., support group meetings
  - 4.25 ± 0.88
  - 0.727

- Having a possibility to combine part-time employment with social security disability insurance (to compensate inability to work full-time)
  - 4.45 ± 0.71
  - 0.802

- Legal protection from a wrongful discharge of a person with chronic disease due to his/her illness
  - 4.45 ± 1.00
  - 0.059

- The company having the right to terminate the contract with PwCDs due to their lower productivity
  - 1.89 ± 1.18
  - 0.233

Part IV: Medical support for PwCDs

- A possibility to get medical help (guaranteed by the presence of a physician or a nurse hired by the company)
  - 4.19 ± 0.88
  - 0.199

- A possibility to do some physical exercise at the workplace (e.g., yoga)
  - 4.33 ± 0.79
  - < 0.001

- A possibility to participate in mental health promoting programs organized at the workplace (e.g., meditation or relaxation groups)
  - 4.32 ± 0.73
  - < 0.001

- Getting professional support during the adaptation to a new workplace or after a sick leave
  - 4.42 ± 0.66
  - 0.014

- A possibility to adapt pharmacological treatment to job requirements (limitation of drug-induced psychological side effects)
  - 4.31 ± 0.77
  - < 0.001

- Access to medical care during non-typical working hours (other than 8 a.m. to 4 p.m.)
  - 4.56 ± 0.71
  - 0.095

- A possibility to buy mobile medical equipment (e.g., a glucometer) financed by the employer to limit the necessity to have an appointment during working hours
  - 4.21 ± 1.00
  - < 0.001

Part V: Access to training and counseling

- Access to training programs on how to manage one's chronic illness at work (how to manage symptoms, fatigue, overwork and stress)
  - 4.34 ± 0.81
  - < 0.001

- Access to counseling and guides about how to avoid mistakes in choosing one's job
  - 4.17 ± 0.83
  - < 0.001

- Access to vocational training
  - 4.27 ± 0.97
  - < 0.001

- A possibility to certify skills by the authorized institutions (e.g., a university, an accreditation center)
  - 4.30 ± 0.94
  - < 0.001
### Table 2. Preference groups revealed by the cluster analysis across different domains (a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 – “very inimical” to 5 – “very conducive”) in the Polish part of the PATHWAYS project representing persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) in the Małopolska region, data collection in 2016–2017 – cont.

| Preferences/Needs                                                                 | Total* (M±SD) | Preliminary models p^a | Final model group 1 (M±SD) | Final model group 2 (M±SD) | p^a  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------|
| **Part V: Access to training and counseling – cont.**                            |               |                        |                            |                            |      |
| access to counseling (including money management) facilitating one’s own business development | 4.47±0.70     | <0.001                 | 3.90±0.72                  | 4.84±0.37                  | <0.001|
| cluster group type                                                              |               |                        |                            |                            |      |
| **Part VI: Co-workers and supervisors’ knowledge**                               |               |                        |                            |                            |      |
| participation of co-workers in training about the symptoms and limitations accompanying chronic illnesses (including training to reduce stigma) | 3.94±0.89     | <0.001                 | 2.00                       | 3.98±0.85                  | <0.001|
| participation of managers and supervisors in training about the symptoms and limitations accompanying chronic illnesses (including training to reduce stigma) | 4.13±0.89     | <0.001                 | 1.00                       | 4.19±0.79                  | <0.001|
| provision to supervisors and co-workers of practical guides on how to help in daily activities of PwCDs and in emergency | 4.12±0.93     | <0.001                 | 1.00                       | 4.19±0.82                  | <0.001|
| basic knowledge of chronic illnesses among human resources managers             | 4.15±0.93     | <0.001                 | 1.00                       | 4.21±0.83                  | <0.001|
| knowledge of mental health problems among human resources managers              | 4.36±0.80     | 0.428                  |                            |                            |      |
| cluster group type                                                              |               |                        |                            |                            |      |

K-means cluster analysis.

^a The mean score for the whole group of the study participants.

^b P-values calculated by the ANOVA modeling in the cluster analysis.
entiate the groups were removed, and the remaining ones were taken together in one 2-cluster solution analysis, and these were presented as the final model. Finally, multivariable logistic regression was used to assess whether some demographic characteristics and the type of chronic condition were associated with special expectation types across domains. Due to the small sample size, the occupation types were categorized as managers (there were managers and professionals included in this group) and non-managers (including technicians, service and sales workers, and elementary occupations). Diseases were also categorized into 3 categories, i.e.:
- very hindering, which included schizophrenia, epilepsy, tuberous sclerosis and paresis;
- hindering, which included severe arthritis, back pain, migraine, anxiety and depression;
- less hindering, which included asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, heart disease, thyroid disease and others.

The last analysis was not performed for the “co-workers and supervisors’ knowledge” domain, as the cluster analysis categorized into the “inimical” subgroup only 1 respondent.

All the analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics version 24, release 24.0.0.0, 64-bit edition. The missing values were excluded from the analyses using a pairwise strategy. The p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Different areas of the needs and facilitators, analyzed by means of the questionnaire investigating the respondents’ needs and expectations, were classified into 6 aforementioned domains. The cluster analysis used to identify groups of higher or lower priority enabled the authors to reveal 2 groups of PwCDs in the “workplace facilitators” domain. One group reported strong needs to have a safe workplace environment, architectonic workplace adaptations, special amenities and furnishings, and a workplace located close to their home; this group was subsequently called a “very conducive” subgroup. The other group clearly did not require such facilitators although having facilitating equipment, a healthy workplace, access to separate rooms and a workplace located close to their home was perceived as conducive; therefore, this subgroup was called “rather conducive” (Table 2).

Considering the “unrestricted working hours, and less control and a low-stress job” domain, there were 7 areas, which did not differentiate clusters. These were: routine job organization, flexible working hours, a possibility to change one’s job position or briefs, working in a low-stress environment and having a secure job (these areas were scored as needed and conducive); other non-differentiating areas were a low supervisor’s control assessed as neither inimical nor conducive, and a high supervisor’s control which was perceived as inimical. The 2 groups created after the exclusion of non-differentiating items were: a group of PwCDs who perceived a possibility to work from home, a possibility to adapt working time to their needs, having some time for the adaptation to job requirements after a sick leave, and having an option to leave the workplace to have a medical appointment as “very conducive,” and a group of PwCDs who considered these elements as “indifferent” (Table 2).

The third domain focused on legal solutions protecting PwCDs, with “solutions which enable people with a chronic disease to do some higher-risk jobs (e.g., a pilot or a surgeon) on special conditions (like in the assistance of a co-worker)” being the only factor which differentiated the PwCDs’ groups. One subgroup of PwCDs clearly considered the aforementioned issue as conducive or very conducive (the “conducive” subgroup), while for the second subgroup it was inimical (the “inimical” subgroup).

In the “access to medical support” domain, there were also 2 subgroups recognized, one with very high priority on physical activity, access to mental health promoting pro-


Table 3. Subjects’ characteristics associated with the perception groups identified by their attitude to workplace facilitators, in the Polish part of the PATHWAYS project representing persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) in the Malopolska region, data collection in 2016–2017.

| Variable                  | Cluster group type | p     | OR \(^1\) | 95% CI       | p     |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------|--------------|-------|
|                           | conducive (N = 46) |       |           |              |       |
| Gender [n (%)]            |                    | 0.405 |           |              |       |
| men                       | 14 (77.8)          |       | 1 (ref)   |              |       |
| women                     | 32 (84.2)          |       | 0.46      | 0.06–3.37    | 0.443 |
| Age [years] (M±SD)        | 38.2±10.4          | 0.683 | 0.93      | 0.85–1.02    | 0.121 |
| Employment status [n (%)] |                    | 0.142 |           |              |       |
| employed                  | 32 (88.9)          |       | 1 (ref)   |              |       |
| non-employed              | 14 (70.0)          |       | 0.11      | 0.01–0.91    | 0.040 |
| Occupational classification [n (%)] |              | 0.999 |           |              |       |
| non-managers              | 34 (82.9)          |       | 1 (ref)   |              |       |
| managers                  | 12 (80.0)          |       | 0.45      | 0.06–3.49    | 0.446 |
| Education [n (%)]         |                    | 0.099 |           |              |       |
| middle school or vocational | 3 (42.9)          |       | 1 (ref)   |              |       |
| high school or university  | 7 (14.3)           |       | 13.67     | 1.17–159.40  | 0.037 |
| Disease [n (%)]           |                    | 0.086 |           |              |       |
| less hindering            | 5 (27.8)           |       | 1 (ref)   |              |       |
| hindering                 | 2 (7.1)            |       | 12.94     | 1.18–141.42  | 0.036 |
| very hindering            | 3 (30.0)           |       | 1.01      | 0.12–8.53    | 0.993 |

Bolded are statistically significant results.
Ref – reference.
\(^1\) Odds for very conducive as compared to conducive, adjusted for all other covariates listed in Table 3.
\(^a\) Fisher’s exact test; \(^b\) t-test for equal variances.

grams, professional support in the workplace adaptation and pharmacological treatment adjustment, an opportunity to buy medical aids financed by the employer – and this subgroup assessed these elements as very conducive (the “very conducive” subgroup), and the second subgroup which assessed these elements as rather conducive (mean scores of 3.3–4.0) (the “rather conducive” subgroup) (Table 2). Finally, the “co-workers and company directors/supervisors’ training” domain showed some group differences in each element considered, except the “knowledge about mental health among human resources managers.” It is worth noting, however, that almost all respondents reported these aspects as needed, and in the second (“inimical”) subgroup there was only 1 respondent (Table 2).

In the last part, an attempt was made to find out whether there were some personal characteristics associated with
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likely to express a higher level of needs and/or the need of higher flexibility in this domain (OR = 0.11, p = 0.040) (Table 3).

Next, high school or university education was identified as associated with a higher level of demand (the expressed need) within the “unrestricted working hours, and less control and a low-stress job” domain, which covered the areas of “a possibility to work partially at home,” “to adopt working time,” and “having time for adaptation and an option to leave” (OR = 62.3, p = 0.008) (Table 4). Finally, age was the feature identified as associated with the perception of “legal solutions protecting PwCD” as being conducive. After adjusting for important

Table 4. Subjects’ characteristics associated with the perception groups identified by their attitude to unrestricted working hours, and less control and a low-stress job, in the Polish part of the PATHWAYS project representing persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) in the Małopolska region, data collection in 2016–2017

| Variable                  | Cluster group type | p     | OR¹ | 95% CI     | p     |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------|-----|------------|-------|
|                           | indifferent (N = 8) |       |     |            |       |
|                           | very conducive (N = 48) |       |     |            |       |
| Gender [n (%)]            |                    | 0.254³ |     |            |       |
| men                       | 4 (22.2)           | 14 (77.8) |     | 1 (ref)    |       |
| women                     | 4 (10.5)           | 34 (89.5) |     | 0.72       | 0.07–7.35 | 0.781 |
| Age [years] (M±SD)        | 38.9±11.0          | 38.4±11.1 | 0.254⁶ | 0.98       | 0.90–1.07 | 0.637 |
| Employment status [n (%)] |                    | 0.236³ |     |            |       |
| employed                  | 7 (19.4)           | 29 (80.6) |     | 1 (ref)    |       |
| non-employed              | 1 (5.0)            | 19 (95.0) |     | 20.02      | 0.72–556.22 | 0.077 |
| Occupational classification [n (%)] |       | 0.99⁹ |     |            |       |
| non-managers              | 6 (14.6)           | 35 (85.4) |     | 1 (ref)    |       |
| managers                  | 2 (13.3)           | 13 (86.7) |     | 0.78       | 0.08–7.92 | 0.836 |
| Education [n (%)]         |                    | 0.005⁶ |     |            |       |
| middle school or vocational | 4 (57.1)        | 3 (42.9) |     | 1 (ref)    |       |
| high school or university | 4 (8.2)            | 45 (91.8) |     | 62.33      | 2.99–1299.90 | 0.008 |
| Disease [n (%)]           |                    | 0.67⁸ |     |            |       |
| less hindering            | 3 (16.7)           | 15 (83.3) |     | 1 (ref)    |       |
| hindering                 | 3 (10.7)           | 25 (89.3) |     | 0.68       | 0.05–9.37 | 0.775 |
| very hindering            | 2 (20.0)           | 8 (80.0)  |     | 0.15       | 0.01–3.23 | 0.228 |

¹ Odds for very conducive as compared to indifferent, adjusted for all other covariates listed in Table 4.
Other explanations as in Table 3.

specific levels of needs across the analyzed domains of workplace flexibility. The obtained results showed that the PwCDs who had high school or university education were more likely to assess workplace facilitators as very conducive (OR = 13.7, p = 0.037), and this was independent of their gender, age, employment status, occupational classification and disease type (Table 3). The PwCDs who were categorized in terms of the possible impact of their disease as “having a hindering disease” (see the statistical analysis part), as compared to the group of “having a less hindering disease” were also more likely to score higher the possible impact of workplace facilitators (OR = 12.9, p = 0.036). Non-employed PwCDs, however, were less likely to express a higher level of needs and/or the need of higher flexibility in this domain (OR = 0.11, p = 0.040) (Table 3).
The study considered 6 domains which may determine, promote, encourage or conduce decisions about searching for a job or being employed. These domains were: workplace facilitators, unrestricted working hours, and less control and a low-stress job, legal solutions protecting PwCDs, medical support for PwCDs, access to training and counseling, and co-workers and supervisors’ knowledge. In general, all the flexibility criteria and features making a workplace more facilitating were perceived as needed by the study participants.

In total, there were 39 items (areas) questioned and, among these, a high supervisor’s control and the company having the right to terminate the contract were assessed personal covariates, an increase in age by each year increased the odds to score by 14% (OR = 1.14, p = 0.027) (Table 5). The remaining 3 dimensions were assessed as rather conducive to very conducive (Table 2) and none of the analyzed personal characteristics was found to be associated with higher scores (especially higher needs or higher demands for these) (Tables 6 and 7).

**DISCUSSION**

The presented investigation gave an opportunity to identify and quantify the individual opinions of PwCDs about their needs regarding the possibility to maintain or return to work after a period of withdrawal caused by an illness.
as inimical in maintaining or returning to work. The items such as having a low-risk job, solutions which enable PwCDs to do some higher-risk jobs on special conditions, and a low supervisor’s control received mean scores which may be interpreted as somehow needed – they were slightly above the opinions meaning “neither conducive nor inimical” in the direction of “conducive.” All the other possible workplace or job-related facilitators were scored as needed (expressed as “conducive” and “very conducive”). Although every flexibility and facilitator may be conducive for PwCDs to maintain or return to work, this study gave an opportunity to identify those persons for whom some issues are perceived as more important and more needed. In this research, the PwCDs with high school or university education expressed stronger needs to have access to workplace facilitators and unrestricted working hours with a low-stress job. Age was associated with higher needs for legal solutions, a hindering type of the disease (but not very hindering), and higher needs for workplace facilitators. Being non-employed, however, was found to decrease the odds to express higher needs for a facilitating workplace.

The level of needs quantification, as expressed by PwCDs, depends on several personal factors. Individual perception

### Table 6. Subjects’ characteristics associated with the perception groups identified by their attitude to medical support for PwCDs, in the Polish part of the PATHWAYS project representing persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) in the Małopolska region, data collection in 2016–2017

| Variable                        | Cluster group type                  | P     | OR\(^1\) | 95% CI | p     |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|----------|--------|-------|
|                                | rather conducive (N = 15)            |       |          |        |       |
| Gender [n (%)]                 | men                                 | 0.999 |          |        |       |
|                                | women                               | 0.64  | 1.00 – 3.03 | 0.577 |
|                                | Age [years] (M±SD)                  | 0.690 |          |        |       |
|                                | employed                            | 0.99  | 0.93 – 1.05 | 0.700 |
|                                | non-employed                        | 0.44  | 0.11 – 1.67 | 0.226 |
|                                | Occupational classification [n (%)]  | 0.321 |          |        |       |
|                                | non-managers                        | 1.00  | 0.14 – 2.39 | 0.454 |
|                                | managers                            | 0.58  |          |        |       |
|                                | Education [n (%)]                   | 0.999 |          |        |       |
|                                | middle school or vocational         | 1.00  | 0.05 – 5.26 | 0.554 |
|                                | high school or university           | 0.49  |          |        |       |
|                                | Disease [n (%)]                     | 0.190 |          |        |       |
|                                | less hindering                      | 1.00  | 0.78 – 13.32 | 0.107 |
|                                | hindering                           | 3.22  |          |        |       |
|                                | very hindering                      | 6.58  | 0.59 – 72.98 | 0.125 |

Ref – reference.
\(^1\) Odds for very conducive as compared to rather conducive, adjusted for all other covariates listed in Table 6.
\(^a\) Fisher’s exact test; \(^b\) Mann-Whitney U test; \(^c\) Chi-square test with 1 degree of freedom.
of benefits, increased job satisfaction, positive experiences, the knowledge about possible adjustments, and an internal drive are among the most important [22]. The needs of PwCDs are frequently assessed in literature from the negative points of view, as these persons frequently experience exclusion and stigma [23]. This is typically observed among people with mental health problems, among whom these factors are among the causes of withdrawal from the labor market [24]. In consequence, the potential solutions to this problem include interventions to improve work participation of PwCDs, which focus on encouragement and empowerment, and which include behavioral counseling and psychological interventions to reduce fatigue, to develop problem-solving skills, and to develop the appropriate and effective coping strategies [25].

In this research, education was recognized as a factor associated with higher demands. The educational level may impose the perception of needs in several ways. Education is a good marker of a general capacity and ability to manage difficult situations, and is closely linked to social gradients, which are associated with multiple barriers in returning to work, such as low(er) skills, higher local unemployment rates or employer discrimination. It was also shown that education is among the successful interventions to enhance work par-

### Table 7. Subjects’ characteristics associated with the perception groups identified by their attitude to access to training and counseling, in the Polish part of the PATHWAYS project representing persons with chronic diseases (PwCDs) in the Małopolska region, data collection in 2016–2017

| Variable                        | Cluster group type | p    | OR | 95% CI      | p |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------|----|------------|---|
| Gender [n (%)]                 |                    | 0.772a |    |             |   |
| men                            | conducive (N = 22) |      |    | 1 (ref)    |   |
| women                          | very conducive (N = 34) | 11 (64.7) | 0.40 | 0.09–1.72 | 0.218 |
| Age [years] (M±SD)             |                    | 0.275b |    | 0.97       | 0.244 |
| employed                       |                    | 41.5±12.1 | 37.7±10.9 | 0.92–1.02 |   |
| non-employed                   |                    | 0.394c |    | 1 (ref)    |   |
| Employment status [n (%)]      |                    | 0.765c |    | 1 (ref)    |   |
| non-managers                   |                    |        |    | 0.96       | 0.326 |
| managers                       |                    |        |    | 0.26–3.60  |   |
| Education [n (%)]              |                    | 0.670a |    | 0.96       | 0.957 |
| middle school or vocational    |                    |        |    | 0.26–3.60  |   |
| high school or university      |                    |        |    | 0.34–17.30 |   |
| Disease [n (%)]                |                    | 0.348c |    | 1 (ref)    |   |
| less hindering                 |                    |        |    | 2.88       | 0.123 |
| hindering                      |                    |        |    | 0.75–11.03 |   |
| very hindering                 |                    |        |    | 0.33–12.73 |   |

Ref = reference.

| a Chi² test with 1 degree of freedom; b Mann-Whitney U test; c Fisher’s exact test. |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Odds for very conducive as compared to conducive, adjusted for all other covariates listed in Table 7. |
Firstly, they have shown that PwCDs attach importance to workplace facilitators. The adaptation of the workplace usually requires some investments. In Poland, there is a possibility to reimburse these by PFRON, provided that the company receives a positive opinion from the National Labor Inspectorate and the disabled person is hired for at least 36 months; otherwise, the company has to give back a part of the reimbursement. Therefore, companies, especially private, are not willing to take that chance. The simplification of the whole process, and some non-repayable subsidies or tax relieves might improve this situation.

Secondly, the study participants highlighted the demand for unrestricted working hours and some other legal solutions, which calls for the need of developing some legal solutions to set flexible working hours and possibilities to work from home.

Thirdly, PwCDs wished to have a low-stress job, which shows an importance of psychological interventions and effective coping skills. Therefore, there should be more investments in social security and occupational rehabilitation systems to make these services easily available. In this respect, one of the strengths of the presented study is the knowledge of the needs of PwCDs, which seems to be the key element required for public health and labor market decision-makers, economists and politicians. In making successful workplace accommodations, the type of adaptations (what should be done and in which area) depends largely on the perception of PwCDs. Once implemented, these may help the currently working PwCDs to improve their job satisfaction and productivity, and encourage those who are staying outside the labor market to return. This study provides some evidence that all these elements are actually important to PwCDs.

In addition, the study enabled the authors to quantitatively assess the needs of PwCDs related to maintaining or returning to work, and identified some personal characteristics which are associated with higher demands in some areas. This study, however, is not free from limitations. The small sample size limited an in-depth analysis.
regarding the detailed characteristics of those people who are linked to special workplace preferences and needs. It would be worth knowing the limitation and disability levels linked with different demands, and also the occupation of these persons. The small sample size led to a lower estimation precision in the analyses. It was, therefore, hard to draw a definite conclusion on the size of the impact of investigated factors on the actual level of needs. There is also a set of possible covariates which were not considered in this study, such as previous work experience, or whether the respondents were actively searching for a job or not.

CONCLUSIONS
In summary, PwCDs facing different disabilities experience several limitations on the labor market. They report several facilitators which are needed to maintain or return to work, but these are not provided in Poland, which leads to a high unemployment rate in this group. There is a need for the effective policy development which takes into consideration the needs of PwCDs, and the enhancement of employers’ involvement.
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