The Werner syndrome protein operates in base excision repair and cooperates with DNA polymerase β
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ABSTRACT

Genome instability is a characteristic of cancer and aging, and is a hallmark of the premature aging disorder Werner syndrome (WS). Evidence suggests that the Werner syndrome protein (WRN) contributes to the maintenance of genome integrity through its involvement in DNA repair. In particular, biochemical evidence indicates a role for WRN in base excision repair (BER). We have previously reported that WRN helicase activity stimulates DNA polymerase β (pol β) strand displacement synthesis in vitro. In this report we demonstrate that WRN exonuclease activity can act cooperatively with pol β, a polymerase lacking 3′–5′ proofreading activity. Furthermore, using small interference RNA technology, we demonstrate that WRN knockdown cells are hypersensitive to the alkylating agent methyl methanesulfonate, which creates DNA damage that is primarily repaired by the BER pathway. In addition, repair assays using whole cell extracts from WRN knockdown cells indicate a defect in long patch (LP) BER. These findings demonstrate that WRN plays a direct role in the repair of methylation-induced DNA damage, and suggest a role for both WRN helicase and exonuclease activities together with pol β during LP BER.

INTRODUCTION

One of the major theories of aging proposes that the phenotypical changes associated with age are due to the accumulation of oxidatively induced DNA base lesions (1). Base excision repair (BER) is the cellular repair pathway responsible for the removal of these lesions and the restoration of normal DNA bases. BER is divided into two sub-pathways, in which one single nucleotide (SN) or greater than one (long patch (LP)) nucleotide is incorporated during the repair process. The major proteins involved in SN BER include DNA glycosylases, apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1), DNA polymerase β (pol β) and DNA ligase. Notably, pol β lacks 3′–5′ proofreading activity, making it susceptible to incorporation errors (2), and mismatched bases have been shown to impede further nucleotide incorporation (3,4) and the ligation step (5). LP BER involves several additional proteins, including flap endonuclease 1 (FEN-1) and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1), among others (6–8).

Werner syndrome (WS) is a segmental progeroid syndrome in which patients appear much older than their chronological age and display many clinical features resembling those of normal aging. The protein defective in WS (WRN) is a member of the RecQ family of DNA helicases, and possesses 3′–5′ exonuclease activity in addition to 3′–5′ helicase activity (9). Neither the yeast or bacterial RecQ helicases possess exonuclease activity, thus making the dual catalytic activities of WRN quite unique. The N-terminal exonuclease domain of WRN shows homology to the proofreading domain of Escherichia coli DNA polymerase I (10) and WRN has a proposed role as an autonomous proofreading enzyme (11). Furthermore, WRN is able to degrade DNA substrates containing a 3′ G:T mismatch (12,13).

We have previously shown that WRN interacts with pol β and stimulates pol β strand displacement DNA synthesis via its helicase activity (14). In this report we investigated a role for WRN exonuclease activity on 3′ mismatches in cooperation with pol β in vitro and a cellular role for WRN in BER in vivo. We found that WRN exonuclease and helicase activities cooperated with pol β on BER intermediates containing...
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Synthetic oligodeoxyribonucleotides were purchased from Midland Certified Reagent Co. (Table 1) or Oligos etc. Inc. (see below). MMS and methoxyamine (MX) were from Sigma. Hygromycin B was from Invitrogen and [α-32P]dCTP (3000 Ci/mmol) was from GE Healthcare. Recombinant human WRN (15) and pol β (16) were purified as described.

Cell lines

The siRNAs targeted against WRN mRNA (UGAAGAGCAAGUUACUUCCGU) were cloned into the pSilencer™ 3.1-H1 hygro vector (Ambion Inc.). The pSilencer™ 3.1-H1 negative control hygro vector (Ambion) was used to express a scrambled siRNA with no significant homology to known human genes. Stable WRN KD and wild-type (WT) cells were generated by transfection of U-2 OS cells and selection by growing in 200 μg/ml hygromycin B (W.-H. Cheng, R. Kusumoto, P.L. Opresko, X. Sui, S. Huang, M.L. Nicolette, T.T. Paull, J. Campisi, M. Seidman, V.A. Bohr, manuscript submitted). Individual clones were selected and tested for levels of WRN KD by western blot analysis and RT–PCR. The clone demonstrating the greatest level of WRN KD was chosen for further analysis. Telomerase-immortalized cell lines from a normal (GM01604) and WS (AG03141) patient were described previously (17) and cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, glutamine, penicillin and streptomycin.

WRN exonuclease assays

Exonuclease reactions (10 μl) contained WRN and various DNA substrates as indicated in exonuclease buffer [40 mM Tris (pH 8), 4 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT, 0.1 μg/μl BSA and 4 mM ATP]. The concentrations of the DNA substrate and WRN are indicated in the figure legend. In addition, molar ratios were chosen based on optimal enzymatic activity under the experimental conditions employed. Samples were incubated for 15 min at 37°C and terminated by the addition of formamide stop dye (80% formamide, 0.5x TBE, 0.1% bromophenol blue and 0.1% xylene cyanol). Reaction products were heat denatured for 5 min at 95°C and run on a 20% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Radioactive products were visualized using a PhosphorImager and quantitated using ImageQuant software.

Pol β extension assays

Reactions were performed in exonuclease buffer containing 100 μM dNTPs, pol β and WRN as indicated and were incubated for 15 min at 37°C. The concentrations of the DNA substrate, pol β and WRN are indicated in the figure legend and were chosen based on enzymatic activity under the reaction conditions employed. Reactions were terminated and analyzed as above for exonuclease assays. For Figure 1C, the fold increase was calculated as the % extension (total extension products/total reaction products) for lanes containing WRN and pol β divided by % extension for lanes containing pol β alone.

WRN helicase assays

Helicase reactions (10 μl) were performed in exonuclease buffer containing 1 mM dNTPs and DNA substrates (250 fmol) as indicated. Reactions were initiated by the addition of WRN (125 fmol), incubated for 15 min at 37°C and terminated by the addition of stop dye (16 mM EDTA, 0.3% SDS, 13% glycerol, 0.05% xylene cyanol and 0.05% bromophenol blue). Reaction products were run on a 12% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized using a PhosphorImager.

Western blotting

Cells were pelleted, resuspended in SDS–protein sample buffer and boiled for 5 min. Proteins were separated by 10% SDS–PAGE and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad). After blocking with 2.5% non-fat milk in phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-T) for 1 h at RT, the membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: anti-actin (Chemicon International, 1:2500), anti-pol β (Trevigen, 1:250) or anti-lamin A/C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1000). After washing with PBS-T, the membrane was incubated with the corresponding horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Vector Laboratories, 1:10000) for 1 h at RT. Antigen–antibody complexes were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence using ECL Plus (Amersham Biosciences).

Cell survival assays

Cells were seeded at a density of 1 × 10⁴ cells/well in 6-well dishes. MMS (11.8 M) was initially diluted in PBS to make a 1 M stock, and subsequent dilutions were made directly into the media. Cells were exposed to various concentrations of MMS (0–1.25 mM) for 1 h at 37°C (5% CO₂). Subsequently, cells were washed twice with PBS and fresh media was added. After incubation for 7 days, cells were counted by a
cell lysis procedure (18) using a Z1 Coulter Particle Counter (Beckman Coulter, aperture 5 μm). Results were expressed as the number of cells in drug-treated wells relative to cells in control wells (% control growth).

Cytotoxicity studies were also conducted in the presence of MX as described previously (19,20). A stock solution of 3 M MX was prepared in PBS immediately before use and dissolved to a final concentration (30 mM) in media to which NaOH was added to adjust the pH to neutral. Dilutions of MMS as indicated were prepared in the MX-containing medium. Cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C, washed twice with PBS and then incubated for an additional 3 h in media containing MX. Subsequently, cells were washed twice with PBS, incubated in fresh medium for 7 days at 37°C, and counted by the cell lysis procedure described above.

Figure 1. WRN exonuclease activity cooperates with pol β on 3’ mismatches. (A) A DNA substrate (250 fmol), containing correctly base paired (15P/34G, lanes 1–3) or mispaired (15MMT/34G, lanes 4–6; 15MMG/34G, lanes 7–9; 15MMA/34G, lanes 10–12) 3’ termini opposite guanine, was incubated with WRN (0, 100 or 500 fmol) for 15 min at 37°C. Products were run on denaturing polyacrylamide gels and visualized using a PhosphorImager. The 3’ recessed primer is indicated by S.

(B) A DNA substrate (250 fmol), containing correctly base paired (17P/34G, lanes 1–3) or mispaired (17MMA/34G, lanes 4–6; 17MMT/34G, lanes 7–9; 17MMC/34G, lanes 10–12) 3’ termini opposite cytosine, was incubated with WRN (0, 100 or 500 fmol) and analyzed as above. (C) Reactions containing WRN (250 fmol, lanes 2 and 4) and pol β (10 fmol, lanes 3 and 4) were incubated with recessed substrate 15MMG/34G (250 fmol, left panel) or 17MMC/34G (250 fmol, middle panel) for 15 min at 37°C and analyzed as described above. Reactions containing WRN (300 fmol, lanes 2 and 4) and pol β (3 fmol, lanes 3 and 4) were incubated with recessed substrate 17P/34G (250 fmol, right panel) for 15 min at 37°C and analyzed as described above. The 3’ recessed primer is indicated by the arrow. Extended products are indicated by the bracket.
Cell extract preparation

Cell extracts were prepared as described previously (21). Briefly, cells were washed twice with PBS at room temperature, detached by scraping, pelleted by centrifugation and resuspended in Buffer I [10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.8), 200 mM KCl and protease inhibitor cocktail (Boehringer Mannheim)]. An equal volume of Buffer II [10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.8), 200 mM KCl, 2 mM EDTA, 40% glycerol, 0.2% Nonidet P-40, 2 mM DTT and protease inhibitor cocktail] was added. The suspension was rotated for 1 h at 4°C, and the resulting extract was clarified by centrifugation at 14000 r.p.m. (Soravall rotor SS-34) at 4°C for use in in vitro BER assays. The protein concentration of the extract was determined by Bio-Rad protein assay analysis using BSA as a standard.

In vitro SN and LP BER assay

The BER assay was performed in a final reaction volume of 20 μl. A 35 bp duplex DNA oligonucleotide substrate (250 mM) containing uracil (U) at position 15 (U strand: 5'-GCCCTGAGGTCACTGTAGAGGATCCCCGGGTAC-3'; Template strand: 5'-GTACCCGGGGATCCTCTAGCTTGACCTCAGGGC-3') was pre-incubated with WT or WRN KD cell extract (20 μg) for 5 min at room temp in a BER reaction mixture that contained 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 0.5 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 20 mM KCl, 4 mM ATP, 5 mM phosphocreatine, 100 μg/ml phosphocreatine kinase, 0.5 mM NAD and 100 μM ddTTP. The repair reaction was initiated by the addition of 10 mM MgCl2 and 2.2 μM [α-32P]dCTP (specific activity, 106 dpm/pmol) and incubated at 37°C. Aliquots (5 μl) were removed at the indicated periods. The reaction was terminated by the addition of an equal volume (5 μl) of DNA gel loading buffer (95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, 0.02% bromophenol blue and 0.02% xylene cyanol). After incubation at 75°C for 2 min, the reaction products were separated by electrophoresis in a 15% polyacrylamide gel containing 8 M urea in 89 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.8), 89 mM boric acid and 2 mM EDTA. The gels were scanned by PhosphorImager and the data was analyzed by ImageQuant software.

RESULTS

We have previously shown that the WRN helicase stimulates pol β strand displacement DNA synthesis (14), thereby indicating a mechanism by which WRN promotes BER. To further investigate the biochemical mechanism for WRN’s role in promoting BER, we next tested for the possible contribution of the WRN exonuclease in pol β-directed BER. Given the ability of WRN to efficiently excise 3’ mismatches, we examined for cooperativity with pol β, since a mismatched primer terminus is a poor substrate for pol β primer extension (3,4). WRN excised a G:G mispair, resulting in a predominately 14 nt product (Figure 1C, lane 1, lane 2). We observed limited extension by pol β alone (Figure 1C, lane 1, lane 3), however, the presence of WRN resulted in a 15-fold increase in the percent of products extended by pol β (Figure 1C, left panel, lane 4). Similarly, on a recessed substrate containing a 3’ C:C mispair, WRN was able to excise the mismatch (Figure 1C, middle panel, lane 2) allowing for incorporation by pol β (Figure 1C, middle panel, lane 4). WRN increased the percent of extended products 10-fold (Figure 1C, middle panel, lane 4) compared to pol β alone (Figure 1C, middle panel, lane 3). As expected, on a recessed substrate with correctly paired 3’ termini, pol β extension was similar in the absence or presence of WRN (Figure 1C, right panel, lanes 3 and 4). These results suggest that the WRN exonuclease has the capability to excise mismatches following misincorporation of nucleotides by pol β.

Based on our findings that the WRN exonuclease removed several 3’ mismatches in the context of a recessed DNA substrate, we next wanted to determine whether the WRN helicase could unwind gap substrates containing a 3’ mismatch. As shown in Figure 2A, WRN was able to displace the downstream oligonucleotide from substrates with either correctly paired nucleotides (lane 2) or G:G (lane 4) and A:G (lane 6) mismatches. The gapped substrates unwound by WRN migrated to the same position as a recessed substrate, which was used as a marker (Figure 2A, lane 1). Following our observations that WRN unwound from a gap containing a 3’ mismatch, we next evaluated both exonuclease and helicase activities of WRN on the same substrates, with and without pol β. We found that similar to the recessed substrates, WRN was able to degrade from gapped substrates containing correctly paired 3’ termini (Figure 2B, lanes 2 and 4) or A:G mispairs (Figure 2B, lanes 6 and 8). In addition, pol β incorporated predominantly 1 nt (Figure 2B, lanes 3 and 7). However, the presence of WRN resulted in a stimulation of

| Primer:Template | Exonuclease activity of WRN (fmol DNA/min) |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------|
|                 | 100 fmol WRN                             | 500 fmol WRN |
| C:G             | 1.06 ± 0.32                              | 3.86 ± 0.81  |
| T:G             | 0.13 ± 0.03                              | 0.42 ± 0.05  |
| G:G             | 0.53 ± 0.17                              | 1.92 ± 0.47  |
| A:G             | 0.65 ± 0.19                              | 2.01 ± 0.15  |
| G:C             | 0.46 ± 0.49                              | 1.77 ± 1.04  |
| A:C             | 1.11 ± 1.02                              | 3.29 ± 1.46  |
| T:C             | 0.55 ± 0.33                              | 1.63 ± 0.83  |
| C:C             | 0.50 ± 0.39                              | 1.84 ± 0.88  |

Values represent the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.
pol β strand displacement synthesis and longer products (Figure 2B, lanes 4 and 8). These results demonstrate that both WRN helicase and exonuclease activities can potentially function together on BER strand break intermediates containing correct or mispaired 3’ termini.

Based on our in vitro data that WRN helicase and exonuclease activities cooperate with pol β on BER intermediates, we next focused on whether WRN participates with pol β in vivo during BER. We therefore generated stable cell lines containing either an siRNA targeting WRN mRNA (WRN KD) or an siRNA negative control (WT) with a sequence that has no significant similarity to human genes (see Materials and Methods). We determined by real-time RT–PCR that WRN mRNA levels were decreased 3-fold in WRN KD cells compared to WT cells (data not shown). In addition, the WRN KD cells contained undetectable levels of WRN protein compared to WT cells, while levels of actin were similar between the two cell lines (Figure 3A). The reduction in WRN levels in the stable cell lines was maintained even after 20 passages (data not shown). Furthermore, while WRN protein levels were significantly reduced in the KD cells, pol β levels were not affected (Figure 3B).

To confirm that the WRN KD cells behaved similar to WS cells, we measured cellular survival by colony formation following treatment with mitomycin C (MMC) or camptothecin (CPT). WRN KD cells were more sensitive to both MMC and CPT (data not shown). Similar results were also observed following treatment of WT and WRN KD cells with MMC or CPT and measurement of cellular proliferation using 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT, data not shown). These results are consistent with previously published reports demonstrating that cells lacking the WRN protein display increased sensitivity to CPT and DNA cross-linking agents (22–25). In summary, these results demonstrate that the WRN KD cells have significantly reduced levels of WRN protein, and that knockdown of WRN confers sensitivity to DNA damaging agents similar to that of WS cells.

As pol β-mediated BER is important for the repair of alkylating-induced DNA base damage, we investigated the influence of WRN on cellular survival in the presence of the methylating agent MMS. MMS is a $\gamma$2 methylating agent and can generate 7-methylguanine, 3-methyladenine, 1-methyladenine and 3-methylcytosine lesions (26). Following incubation of cells with MMS, and measurement of cellular survival, we found that WRN KD cells were more sensitive to MMS compared to WT cells (Figure 4A). The lethal dose at 37% (LD37) for WRN KD cells was 0.68 mM MMS and 1.15 mM MMS for WT cells. Therefore, the down-regulation of WRN resulted in a 1.7-fold increased sensitivity to MMS. We also investigated the sensitivity of WS fibroblasts to MMS. Similar to the results observed with WRN KD cells, we found that WS cells were hypersensitive to MMS compared to normal cells (Figure 4B). The LD37 for WS and WT cells was 0.15 and 0.75 mM MMS, respectively. Thus, WS cells displayed a 5-fold higher sensitivity to MMS compared to WT cells. As MMS-mediated DNA damage is repaired, at least in part, by SN BER, these results suggest that WRN plays a role in SN BER. To investigate a role for WRN in LP BER of MMS-induced DNA lesions, we performed survival assays in the presence of MX. MX can react with the aldehydic C1 atom of an abasic site rendering it refractory to β-elimination by pol β dRP lyase activity (19), thus blocking SN BER. Incubation of WRN KD cells with MMS in the presence of MX resulted in decreased cellular survival compared to WT cells (Figure 4C). WRN KD cells were 1.6-fold more sensitive to MMS in the presence of MX compared to WT cells, as determined by the LD37 (0.35 and 0.58 mM MMS for WRN KD and WT cells, respectively). Thus together, these results suggest that WRN is also important for the repair of MMS-induced DNA damage via both the SN and LP pathway of BER.
To further examine the contribution of WRN in BER, we developed a modified BER assay in which the first nucleotide incorporated was [\textsuperscript{32}P]dCMP and the second incorporated by LP BER was a chain terminating ddNMP instead of a normal dNMP. This assay specifically examines contributions by PCNA-dependent polymerases, but not by the replicative polymerases. In addition, since the substrate is a 35 bp oligonucleotide duplex, contributions by PCNA-dependent polymerases are excluded. Thus, the labeled \textit{in vitro} BER products are the fully repaired and ligated 35mer SN BER product, the 16mer product representing a LP BER intermediate (2 nt incorporated), and the 15mer product (1 nt incorporated) representing either SN or LP BER intermediates (Figure 5A). Since the fate of the 15mer product could eventually be either SN or LP BER, quantification of this product was not included (see below). In the experiment shown in Figure 5B, the BER reaction was conducted with extracts from WT and WRN KD cells and the reaction products were analyzed after a 10 min incubation. The results indicated that the amount of the SN BER product in the WRN KD extract was slightly reduced (1.2-fold) compared with WT extract, and the LP BER (2 nt incorporated) product was reduced 2-fold (Figure 5B, compare lanes 1 and 2). A time-course of \textit{in vitro} BER with WT and WRN KD extracts revealed that WRN KD extracts had reduced levels (~2-fold) of the LP BER intermediate (16mer) at each time point examined (Figure 5C and D). The quantitation of LP BER products is shown in Figure 5D and demonstrates a deficiency in LP BER in the WRN KD extracts compared with the WT extracts. While the experiment shown in Figure 5D represents one of four similar assays, the average fold-decrease in the LP BER product (16mer), as a function of WRN down-regulation, is shown in Figure 5E. Thus, our results demonstrate a role for WRN in cell extract-mediated LP BER.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we characterized WRN exonuclease activity on 3' mismatches in cooperation with pol \( \beta \) and examined a cellular role for WRN in BER. We found that the WRN exonuclease removed 3' mismatches in the context of recessed and gapped substrates. In addition, WRN and pol \( \beta \) acted cooperatively on BER intermediates containing 3' mismatches. We also demonstrated that a down-regulation of WRN expression resulted in elevated cellular sensitivity to MMS and greatly reduced LP BER \textit{in vitro} using whole cell extract assays. These findings provide further support that WRN participates in pol \( \beta \)-directed repair of DNA damage.

We have previously demonstrated a physical and functional interaction between WRN and pol \( \beta \) (14). Furthermore, we have recently shown that WRN unwinds several single-strand break BER intermediates, and that this unwinding activity is regulated by the presence of APE1 and pol \( \beta \) (27). As WRN also possesses 3'-5' exonuclease activity, and pol \( \beta \) lacks proofreading activity, we examined in this report for cooperativity between WRN and pol \( \beta \) on 3' mismatch-containing substrates. The WRN exonuclease degraded most of the 3' mispairs examined, and in doing so, was able to promote incorporation by pol \( \beta \). Furthermore, both WRN exonuclease and helicase activities operated together, and in cooperation with pol \( \beta \), to facilitate processing of strand break intermediates. As shown in Figure 2B, the primer strand was degraded by WRN, albeit to a lesser extent, even in the presence of ongoing DNA synthesis by pol \( \beta \). This may indeed reflect a more considerable amount of 3' end processing by exonucleases, such as WRN, on a DNA lesion \textit{in vivo}, or alternatively, degradation of the 3' termini may be limited by the cellular localization of WRN and/or the concentration of WRN relative to the site of DNA damage. In a similar fashion, the 5' termini may determine whether pol \( \beta \) inserts predominantly 1 nt, or strand displacement synthesis is required to facilitate repair. For example, if the 5' dRP is oxidized and refractory to pol \( \beta \) dRP lyase activity, WRN helicase activity could stimulate pol \( \beta \) stand displacement synthesis \textit{in vivo} and promote LP BER. In conclusion, while the WRN exonuclease did not preferentially excise 3' mismatches, these findings coupled with our previous results suggest a model whereby the two catalytic activities of WRN may be important and may cooperate during pol \( \beta \)-directed BER. As shown here for WRN, APE1 (28) and TREX1 (29,30) have also been found to excise nucleotides misincorporated
by pol β. Thus, these proteins may provide redundant or compensatory editing activities for this error-prone polymerase. Which exonuclease is called upon in vivo may be dictated by the intracellular environment, protein–protein interactions, DNA sequence, or the nucleotide mismatch. For example, we note that the mismatch least excised by WRN, T:G, is a preferred mismatch of APE1 (28,31). Cooperativity between WRN and pol β may also be important in certain sequence contexts, such as trinucleotide repeat sequences and guanine-rich regions, which form secondary structures such as hairpins and G4 quadruplexes. Expansion of triplet repeats by pol β has been shown to be due to its limited strand displacement activity (32), and WRN is efficient at catalyzing the unwinding of many alternate DNA structures including Holliday junctions, triple helices and G4 quadruplexes (33,34). In the event that pol β misincorporates a nucleotide, the WRN exonuclease could excise the mismatch. Thus, WRN may serve to facilitate strand displacement and enhance the fidelity of DNA repair via its combined helicase and exonuclease activities, particularly during LP repair synthesis.

As the understanding of LP BER is continually emerging, as is the number of proteins involved in this repair pathway, which specific protein or protein complex is called upon in vivo will likely be determined by the DNA damaging agent and the specific lesion generated. PARP-1 has been identified as a component of a BER protein complex (7) and has been shown to stimulate LP BER in the presence of FEN-1 (8). In addition, it has recently been shown that FEN-1 stimulates LP BER in vitro by creating short 1 nt gap products which are favorable substrates for pol β gap-filling activity (35). WRN physically and functionally interacts with many of the proteins involved in LP BER, including pol β, PARP-1 and FEN-1 (36), and in this report we demonstrate for the first time that extracts down-regulated for WRN protein expression are deficient in LP BER. This also represents the first reported association between a human disorder and a specific deficiency in LP BER.

We also demonstrate that both WS and WRN KD cells were hypersensitive to MMS. Consistent with our findings in human cells, Imamura et al. (37) observed that WRN−/− chicken DT40 cells displayed elevated sensitivity to MMS. Furthermore, it was recently shown using antisense oligonucleotides that WRN KD cells were hypersensitive to the methylating agents methyl-lexitropsin and temozolomide compared to isogenic controls (38). Together these results suggest that WRN participates in the repair of methylating-induced DNA damage and thus confers cellular survival. This is most likely through a role of WRN in pol β-mediated BER.

In support of this conclusion, we found that extracts from WRN KD cells have reduced SN and LP BER activities in vitro, which are consistent with the in vivo cellular sensitivity assays. The observation that WRN KD cells were more sensitive to MMS in the presence of MX suggests that WRN participates in LP BER. Consistently, we observed a significant decrease in LP BER using WRN KD extracts in vitro. The sensitivity of WRN KD cells to MMS alone, compared to isogenic controls, indicates a role for WRN in SN BER. In addition, extracts from WRN KD cells had slightly lower SN BER of a uracil-containing substrate. The observation that the survival studies with MMS alone were more dramatic than the in vitro BER results may reflect an additional role for WRN in the processing of stalled replication forks and/or DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair. MMS-induced DNA damage can result in the stalling of replication forks (39,40) and the formation of DSBs, as evidenced by the phosphorylation of histone H2AX (41,42). In addition, when cells lacking pol β are treated with MMS, unrepaired single-strand breaks are converted to DSBs during S phase, and become substrates for homologous recombination (43). Thus, if WRN participates in the BER of MMS-induced DNA damage,
as suggested by this study, the absence of WRN may lead to increased replication fork arrest and/or DSBs during replication. As WRN has also been shown to participate in DSB repair (25,44), the decreased cellular survival of WRN KD cells observed here may also be due in part to WRN’s role in DSB repair.

Recently Szekely et al. (45) reported that the KD of WRN in primary human fibroblasts results in increased DNA damage following oxidative stress, particularly in non-dividing cells. As BER is important for the repair of both oxidation and alkylation-mediated DNA damage, our results presented here are consistent with their findings, and with a specific role for WRN in BER.
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