Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one among chronic disease requiring long term medication and its prevalence increasing globally. It is becoming a potential epidemic in India with more than 62 million diagnosed diabetics and an increase of nearly 2 million per year. Adherence to treatment is very important for good glycemic control. Poor adherence to medication regimens increases the probability of adverse outcomes in type 2 diabetes patients. Therefore, improving medication adherence is a growing priority to control this epidemic. Hence, this study was conducted to determine the level of adherence to medication in Type 2 diabetic patients and to study the various factors affecting adherence.

Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted at medicine outpatient department (OPD) and general medical ward of a tertiary care teaching hospital, MIMS, Mandya, Karnataka, India. Among 200 type 2 diabetic patients for duration of 2 months using a predesigned and pretested semi-structured interview schedule and diabetes medication adherence was assessed by Morisky’s medication adherence scale questionnaire. 

Results: Out of 200 participants, 15 (7.5%) were found to have high adherence while 67 (33.5%) had moderate and 118 (59%) had low adherence. Factors found to be associated with non-adherence were older age (>60years), females, low education status, unemployment, longer duration of disease, people from villages, diabetics without family support, poor socioeconomic status, cost, complexity of drug regimens, frequent dosing, long duration of treatment, lack of knowledge of disease and medications, non-availability of medications and absence of glucometer. 76.27% of the patients had poor plasma glucose control.

Conclusion: There is a need to focus on improving adherence among type 2 diabetes patients and strengthening health care systems for regular supply of medicines and provide health education to the patients and their families emphasizing the need of adherence to medications.
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like India, limited resources, treatment costs, unequal distribution of health providers between urban and rural areas further hampers strict adherence to medications [9].

Type 2 diabetes is poorly controlled due to lack of adherence to treatment regimen. Prevalence of poor adherence ranges from 67% to 74% [9]. Number of studies have been published about non adherence to medication in type 2 diabetes mellitus however it is essential to evaluate adherence on a regular basis due to change in culture and life style. In addition, the introduction of new medicine in the market with their varied dosing schedule, efficacy and adverse drug profile may alter adherence. Poor adherence leads Poor glycemic control which has consistently shown to be associated with long term complications. Hence the present study aimed to determine the prevalence of non-adherence to medication and factors responsible for the same in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients.

METHODS

A hospital based cross-sectional study was conducted at the medicine outpatient department (OPD) and medical general ward of a tertiary care teaching hospital MIMS (Mandya institute of medical sciences) Mandya, Karnataka India for duration of 2 months. The study subjects were diagnosed Type 2 diabetic patients on medication for one or more than one year (oral hypoglycaemic drugs/insulin) aged 18 years and above. A convenience sample of 200 patients with confirmed diagnosis of Diabetes mellitus were selected for the study. All those patients who did not give consent were excluded from the study. The patients attending Medicine outpatient department and medical general ward and fulfilling the inclusion criteria were enrolled consecutively. The study tools used were a predesigned and pretested semistructured interview questionnaire to study the level of adherence to medication and factors influencing it. Diabetes medication adherence was measured by Morisky’s medication adherence scale (MMAS Questionnaire)[10]. It is a 8-item structural scale. The end score of the scale 8 indicates high adherence [6-8] medium adherence and less than 6 low adherences. Also, Plasma glucose levels of the adhered and non-adhered patients were compared to observe the severity of diabetes in both the groups and its effect on adherence to medication.

Ethical consideration and confidentiality

Ethical clearance for the study was obtained from Institutional Ethical Committee. Confidentiality of the participants was ensured and a written consent was obtained from the subjects after explaining the objectives of the study.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data analysis was done using SPSS version 16. All observations were in terms of percentage and proportions. Test of significance were applied for comparisons wherever required. P value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant at 95% confidence level.

RESULTS

| Characteristics       | number | Percentage |
|-----------------------|--------|------------|
| Age (years)           |        |            |
| 18-40                 | 28     | 14         |
| 41-60                 | 131    | 65.5       |
| >60                   | 41     | 20.5       |
| Gender                |        |            |
| Male                  | 108    | 54         |
| Female                | 92     | 46         |
| Place of residence    |        |            |
| City                  | 39     | 19.5       |
| Village               | 161    | 80.5       |
| Education             |        |            |
| Illiterate            | 67     | 33.5       |
| Primary schooling     | 49     | 24.5       |
| Middle schooling      | 38     | 19         |
| High schooling/PUC    | 29     | 14.5       |
| Graduate / Post graduate | 17 | 8.5       |
| Occupation            |        |            |
| Unemployed            | 96     | 48         |
| retired               | 18     | 9          |
| disabled              | 8      | 4          |
| Employed              | 78     | 39         |
| Socio-economic status |        |            |
| Upper                 | 0      | 0          |
| Upper middle          | 11     | 5.5        |
| Middle                | 53     | 26.5       |
| Upper lower           | 91     | 45.5       |
| Lower                 | 45     | 22.5       |
A total of 200 diabetes mellitus patients were enrolled in the study, out of which 92 (46%) were females and 108 (54%) were males. 131 (65.5%) participants belonging to 41 to 60 years age group followed by 41 (20.5%) belong to above 60 years age group and 28 (14%) belong to 18 to 40 years age group. Among the participants, 136 (68%) belong to lower socio-economic class and 64 (32%) belongs to middle class. One third of the participants, 67 (33.5%) were illiterate and 17 (8.5%) patients were completed their graduation, 49 (24.5%) had Primary schooling, 38 (19%) had Middle schooling, 29 (14.5%) completed their High schooling/PUC. Among 200 patients, 161 (80.5%) from village and 39 (19.5%) patients were from city. 96 (48%) patients were Unemployed, 18 (9%) were retired from job and Employed were 78 (39%) patients and 8 (4%) were disabled. (Table 1)

| Table 2: Clinical characteristics and adherence to medication among diabetic patients (N=200) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Disease related parameter                   | Total n (%)     | High Adherence (n=15) | Medium Adherence (n=67) | Low Adherence (n=118) |
| Age (years)                                 |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| 18-40                                        | 28 (14)         | 12 (42.86)              | 10 (37.71)                  | 6 (21.42)                 |
| 40-60                                        | 131 (65.5)      | 30 (22.9)               | 48 (36.64)                  | 53 (40.49)                |
| >60                                          | 41 (2.5)        | 6 (14.63)               | 10 (24.39)                  | 25 (59.86)                |
| Gender                                       |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| Male                                         | 108 (54)        | 25 (23.14)              | 43 (39.81)                  | 40 (37.03)                |
| Female                                       | 92 (46)         | 21 (22.82)              | 32 (34.78)                  | 39 (42.39)                |
| Education                                    |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| Illiterate                                   | 67 (33.5)       | 10 (14.92)              | 20 (29.82)                  | 37 (55.22)                |
| Primary schooling                            | 49 (24.5)       | 9 (18.36)               | 18 (36.72)                  | 22 (44.89)                |
| Middle schooling                             | 38 (19)         | 10 (26.31)              | 8 (21.1)                    | 20 (52.63)                |
| High schooling/PUC                           | 29 (14.5)       | 7 (24.13)               | 9 (31.03)                   | 13 (44.83)                |
| Graduate / Post graduate                     | 17 (8.5)        | 6 (35.29)               | 8 (47.05)                   | 3 (17.64)                 |
| Employment                                   |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| Unemployed                                   | 96 (48)         | 16 (16.67)              | 35 (36.46)                  | 45 (46.86)                |
| retired                                      | 18 (9)          | 6 (33.33)               | 7 (38.89)                   | 5 (27.86)                 |
| disabled                                     | 8 (4)           | 2 (25)                  | 1 (12.5)                    | 5 (62.5)                  |
| Employed                                     | 78 (39)         | 25 (32.05)              | 39 (50)                     | 14 (17.95)                |
| Socioeconomic status                         |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| Upper                                        | 0               | 0                       | 0                           | 0                         |
| Upper middle                                 | 11 (5.5)        | 4 (36.36)               | 4 (36.36)                   | 3 (27.27)                 |
| Middle                                       | 53 (26.5)       | 23 (43.39)              | 17 (32.07)                  | 13 (24.52)                |
| Upper lower                                  | 91 (45.5)       | 21 (23.07)              | 40 (43.95)                  | 30 (32.97)                |
| Lower                                        | 45 (22.5)       | 6 (13.33)               | 14 (31.11)                  | 25 (55.56)                |
| Duration of disease since diagnosis (yrs)    |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| <5                                           | 105 (52.5)      | 7 (6.67)                | 41 (39.05)                  | 57 (54.29)                |
| 5-10                                         | 61 (30.5)       | 10 (16.39)              | 24 (39.34)                  | 27 (44.26)                |
| >10                                          | 34 (17)         | 3 (8.82)                | 13 (38.24)                  | 21 (61.76)                |
| Treatment taken                              |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| OHA                                          | 136 (68)        | 25 (18.38)              | 43 (31.61)                  | 68 (50)                   |
| Insulin                                      | 41 (20.5)       | 5 (12.19)               | 17 (41.46)                  | 19 (46.34)                |
| OHA+ Insulin                                 | 23 (11.5)       | 4 (17.39)               | 8 (34.78)                   | 11 (47.82)                |
| Family support                               |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| Present                                      | 45 (22.5)       | 22 (48.89)              | 15 (33.33)                  | 8 (17.78)                 |
| Absent                                       | 155 (77.5)      | 47 (30.32)              | 58 (37.42)                  | 50 (32.26)                |
| Co morbidities                               |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| Hypertension                                 | 82 (41)         | 20 (24.39)              | 24 (29.27)                  | 38 (46.34)                |
| IHD                                          | 34 (17)         | 7 (20.59)               | 11 (32.35)                  | 16 (47.05)                |
| Dyslipidemia                                 | 44 (22)         | 12 (27.27)              | 13 (29.54)                  | 19 (43.18)                |
| Obesity                                      | 26 (13)         | 9 (34.61)               | 7 (26.92)                   | 11 (42.30)                |
| Hypertension + IHD                           | 27 (13.5)       | 4 (14.81)               | 6 (22.2)                    | 18 (66.67)                |
| Place of residence                           |                 |                          |                             |                           |
| City                                         | 39 (19.5)       | 17 (43.59)              | 12 (30.77)                  | 10 (25.64)                |
| Village                                      | 161 (80.5)      | 38 (23.60)              | 41 (25.46)                  | 82 (50.93)                |
| Self-monitoring of blood glucose at home by glucometer | 18 (9) | 12 (66.67) | 4 (22.22) | 2 (11.11) |
| No                                           | 182 (91)        | 40 (21.98)              | 42 (23.07)                  | 100 (54.95)               |
A total of 200 diabetes mellitus patients were enrolled in the study, out of which 92 (46%) were females among which 22.82% had good adherence, 34.78% had moderate and 42.39% were poorly adherent to treatment regimens. 108 (54%) were males among which 23.14% had good, 34.78% were moderate and 42.39% had poor adherence. 28 (14%) participants belonged to 18 to 40 years age group, out of which 42.86% had good, 37.71% were moderate and 21.42% had poor adherence followed by 41 (20.5%) belongs to above 60 years age group, out of which 14.63% were good, 24.39% had moderate and 60.98% had poor adherence. 13 (65.5%) participants belongs to to 41 to 60 years age group, out of which 22.9% had good, 36.64% had moderate and 40.49% had poor adherence to treatment. Majority of the participants, 136 (68%) belong to lower socio-economic class, out of which 19.85%, 39.70%, 40.44% patients had good, moderate, poor adherence to treatment regimens respectively. 64 (32%) belongs to middle class of which 42.19% had good, 32.81% moderate and 25% patients had poor medication adherence (Table 2).

One third of the participants, 67 (33.5%) were illiterate, out of which 14.92% patients were good, 29.82% had moderate and 55.22% of patients had poor adherence to treatment and 17 (8.5%) patients were completed their graduation, out of which 35.29% patients were good, 47.05% patients were moderate and 17.64% of patients had poor adherence to treatment regimens. Out of 200 patients in the study, 161 (80.5%) were from village, out of which 23.60% patients had good, 25.46% had moderate and 50.93% had poor adherence to treatment. 39 (19.5%) patients were from city, out of which 43.59% patients were good, 30.77% were moderate and 25.60% had poor adherence to treatment regimens. Among 200 study patients 96 (48%) patients were unemployed out of which 16.67% patients were good, 36.46% had moderate and 48.86% had poor adherence to treatment, 18 (9%) were retired from job, out of which 33.33% patients had good, 38.89% had moderate and 27.78% were poor adherence to treatment and Employed were 78 (39%) patients, out of which 32.05% had good, 50% had moderate and 17.95% had poor adherence to treatment and 8 (4%) were disabled, out of which 25% had good, 12.5% was moderately adherent and 62.5% had poor adherence to treatment (Table 2).

Out of 200 study subjects nearly half of the patients, 105 (52.5%) were diagnosed to be diabetic within last 5 years, out of which 6.67% patients were good, 39.05% had moderate and 54.29% had poor adherence to treatment while 61 (30.5%) patients had since 6 to 10 years, out of which 16.39% were good, 39.34% had moderate and 44.26% had poor adherence. 34 (17%) patients had diabetes for more than 10 years, out of which 8.8% patients had good, 38.24% patients were moderate and 61.76% had poor adherence to treatment. Out of 200 study patients, 136 (68%) participants were taking oral hypoglycaemic agents (OHA), out of which 18.38% had good, 31.61% had moderate and 50% of patients had poor adherence to treatment. 41 (20.5%) patients were taking insulin therapy, out of which 12.19% had good, 41.46% had moderate and 46.34% had poor adherence. 23 (11.5%) were on both OHA +Insulin, out of which 17.39% patients were good, 34.78% had moderate and 47.82% had poor adherence. Regular self-monitoring of blood glucose at home by own glucometer seen among 18 (9%) patients, out of which 66.67% had good adherence, 22.22% had moderate and 11.11% had poor adherence to treatment and those who does not use glucometer were 182 (91%), out of which 21.98% had good, 23.07% had moderate and 54.95% had poor adherence to treatment regimens (Table 2).

Out of 200 study subjects, patients had one or more co-morbidities with hypertension being the most common co-morbidity in 82 (41%) patients, out of which 24.39% had good, 29.27% moderate and 46.34% had poor adherence followed by IHD (Ischemic heart disease) in 34 (17%) out of which 20.57% patients had good, 32.35% had moderate and 47.05% patients had poor adherence. Dyslipidimia in 44 (22%) out of which 27.27% had good, 29.54% had moderate and 43.18% were poor adherence, obesity in 26 (13%), out of which 34.61% had good, 26.92% had moderate and 42.38% patients had poor adherence and Hypertension +IHD in 27 (13.5%) patients, out of which 14.81% had good, 22.2% were moderate and 66.67% had poor adherence to treatment. Among study patients, 45 (22.5%) patients had Family support, out of which 48.89% patients had good adherence, 33.3% had moderate and 17.78% had poor adherence. 155(77.5%) not had support from their family, out of which 30.32% had good adherence, 37.42% had moderate and 32.26% had poor adherence (Table 2).

Out of 200 study subjects, the most important reason preventing optimal adherence was financial constraint, among type 2 diabetes populations, the cost of Medication, finance constraints was found to be 36%, 62% respectively. Having their own glucometer at home for self-glucose monitoring seen in only 9% of the patients in our study. Other factor for non-adherence was complexity of dosage regimen, number of medications, frequency of dosing, side effects, duration of treatment was found to be 17%, 27%, 24%, 6%, 66% respectively. Lack of knowledge about the disease seen in 38%. In present study, inadequate knowledge regarding therapy of 59.5%. The identified causes of non-adherence to taking anti-diabetic medications as prescribed were, decision to omit, nature of work, busy schedule of work, when felt worse, when felt better, and Forget fullness were found to be 20%, 12.29%, 35%, 17.5% in our study respectively. Doctor / system related factors like short consultation time, long waiting time, long travel time, lack of
privacy (overcrowding), poor response, unfriendly relation with health professional, not giving detailed knowledge about disease, complications, medications, methods of treatment, importance of adherence, follow up visits, self-care, non-availability of medications regularly, non-availability of all type of medications seen in 27%, 21%, 12.5%, 63%, 20%, 17.5%, 20%, 17.5% respectively in this study. (Table 3).

Table-3: Factors affecting rate of medication adherence in Type 2 diabetic patients

| Causes                                                                 | Number of patients (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| **Non adherence to taking anti-diabetic medications as prescribed**   |                        |
| **Social and Economic**                                               |                        |
| a. Costs of medication too expensive                                 | 72 (36)                |
| b. Financial constrains                                              | 124 (62)               |
| c. Regular self monitoring of glucose using glucometer at home        | 18 (9)                 |
| **Therapy- related factors**                                          |                        |
| a. Complexity of medication regimen                                  | 34 (17)                |
| b. Number of medications/Too much medications                        | 54 (27)                |
| c. Frequency of dosing / Increasing number of dosing times            | 48 (24)                |
| d. Side effects                                                       | 12 (6)                 |
| e. Long duration of treatment period                                  | 132 (66)               |
| **Patient-related factors**                                           |                        |
| a. Lack of knowledge about the disease                               | 76 (38)                |
| b. Inadequate knowledge regarding therapy                            | 119 (59.5)             |
| c. Forgetfulness                                                     | 35 (17.5)              |
| d. Decision to omit                                                   | 40 (20)                |
| e. Being busy/ busy schedule of Work                                 | 24 (12)                |
| f. Forget fullness + Being busy                                      | 19 (9.5)               |
| g. When felt better                                                   | 70 (35)                |
| h. When felt worse/bad                                                | 58 (29)                |
| i. Others (pain due to injection, Hypoglycemia etc.)                  | 28 (14)                |
| **Doctor /system related factors**                                    |                        |
| a. Short consultation time                                            | 54 (27)                |
| b. Long waiting time                                                  | 70 (35)                |
| c. Long travel time                                                   | 40 (20)                |
| d. Lack of privacy (over crowding)                                   | 42 (21)                |
| e. Poor response                                                      | 44 (22)                |
| f. Unfriendly relation with health professional                       | 23 (12.5)              |
| g. Not giving detailed knowledge about disease, complications, medications, methods of treatment, importance of adherence, follow up visits, self care | 126 (63) |
| h. Non availability of medications regularly                          | 40 (20)                |
| i. Non availability of all type of medications                        | 35 (17.5)              |
Table-4: Summary of patient’s responses to the Morisky medication adherence predictor scale (n=200)

| Question                                                                 | Yes                  | No       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| **Response (score coding)**                                              | **Number (%)**       | **Number (%)** |
| 1. Do you sometimes forget to take your Medicine(s)?                    | 137 (68.5)           | 63 (31.5) |
| 2. Thinking over the past 2 weeks, were there any days when you did not take your medicine? | 46 (23)              | 154 (75) |
| 3. Have you ever cut back or stopped taking your medicine without telling your doctor because you felt worse when you took it? | 67 (33.5)            | 133 (66.5) |
| 4. When you travel or leave home, do you sometimes forget to bring along your medicine? | 68 (34)              | 132 (66) |
| 5. Did you take all your medicine yesterday?                             | 188 (94)             | 12 (6)   |
| 6. When you feel like your symptoms are under control, do you sometimes stop taking your medicine(s)? | 131(60.5)            | 69 (34.5) |
| 7. Taking medicine every day is a real inconvience for Some people; do you ever feel hassled about sticking to your treatment plan? | 87 (43.5)           | 113 (56.5) |
| 8. How often do you have difficulty remembering to take all your medicines? | 78 (39)             | 122 (61) |

The assessment of patients response to 8-item Morisky medication adherence scale showed that 15 (7.5%) patients were good adherence, 67(33.5) patients were moderately adherent and 118 (59%) patients were poor adherence to treatment regimens (Table 4).

Table-5: Association between adherence to medication and plasma glucose control (N=200)

| Adherence pattern | Number of patients (%) | Plasma glucose status controlled (%) | controlled (%) not |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| High/good         | 15 (7.5)               | 11(73.33)                           | 4(26.67)           |
| Medium            | 67 (33.5)              | 40 (59.70)                          | 27(40.29)          |
| Low               | 118 (59)               | 28(23.73)                           | 90 (76.27)         |
| Total             | 200                    | 79 (39.5)                           | 121(60.5)          |

Out of 200 participants, 79(39.5%) patients had good blood glucose control and 121 (60.5%) had poor blood glucose control. 15 (7.5%) patients were found to have high adherence, 67 (3.5%) patients had moderate and 118 (59%) patients had low adherence to diabetes medications where adherence was assessed using Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS)[10]. The association between adherence to medications and status of plasma glucose control was seen. Those patients having good adherence 15 (7.5%) and medium adherence 67 (33.5%) patients were found to have better plasma glucose control of 11 (73.33%) and 40 (59.70%) respectively than low adherence 118 (59%) patients who had poor plasma glucose control of 90 (76.27%) (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Adherence to prescribed medications is essential for metabolic control and reduce complications. The present study showed Out of 200 participants, 15(7.5%) patients were found to have high adherence, 67 (33.5%) patients had moderate adherence and 118 (59%) patients had low adherence to diabetes medications and which is nearly similar to study by
Divya S et al. in a tertiary hospital of South India in 2015 reported non-adherence in 82 (54.6%) and adherence 68(45.4%) patients [11] and study by Imran M et al. in a diabetic clinic in Bangalore reported a non-adherence rate of 122 ( 61%) while 42 (21%) were high adherent and 36(18%) were moderately adherent[12]. And rate differs in study by Sajith M et al. from a hospital in Pune where 43 (40.95%) patients had good adherence whereas 39 (37.14%) had medium adherence and 23 (21.90%) had low adherence and our study also showed that high adherence was associated with good plasma glucose control of 73.33% and low medication adherence showed poor plasma glucose control 76.27% which is similar to study by Sajith M et al. showed that high adherence was associated with plasma glucose control in 53.49% and low medication adherence showed poor plasma glucose control in 86.96% [10].

Our results have shown that therapeutic adherence was significantly affected by different patient factors like age, gender, family support, educational status, employment, place of residence and socioeconomic status and duration of disease, family support, and other medical comorbidities. The present study, showed a high rate of good adherence in male with 25 (23.14%) than females 21(22.82%) which is similar to Study by Sajith M et al. which showed good adherence in male with 26 (43.33%) than females 17 (37.78%)[10] may be this due to relatively more awareness and economic independency among males from developing country villages. Incontradiction, study by Mahamed I, et al. showed high rate of good adherence in females 30 (15%) than male with 12 (6%) [12].The present study, showed a high rate of good adherence in young people of less than 40 years, 12 (42.86%) than elderly which is same as study by Mohamed E E et al. with 51.8% and lesser adherence was found in Elderly and middle age group [13] May be this is because older people get tired of taking medications for and longer duration and with economic issues.

In present study, patients with higher education like graduates and post graduates showed 35.39% of higher compliance than illiterates of 14.92%. Which is similar to study of Martin L R et al. [19] which differs In Study by Sajith M et al. showed, illiterates had higher compliance of 47.62% compared to literates 30% [10] our study showed that employed patients had high medication adherence rate with 32.05% compared to unemployed patients 16.67%, which differs in Study by Sajith M et al. showed, high medication adherence rate with 41.46% compared to employed patients 30.00%[10] our study showed that diabetics who had Support by family members shown high medication adherence of 48.89% compared to non-supportive family in 30.32%. Is same in Study by Sajith M et al. 45.94% supportive and 35.29% among non-supporters [10]. Similar result with 47.7% was found in study by Mohamed E E et al. [13], our study showed that diabetics coming from city had more good adherence of 43.59% compared to people from village 23.60% which is similar to study conducted in Egypt by Maria Kurowska et al. 41.9% In urban and 34.4% in rural adherence rate[14]. This is may be because of accessibility, awareness relatively more in urban people.

The duration of diabetes plays an important role in management of diabetes.52.5% had a diabetic history of 1-5 years which is similar to study by Sajith M et al. in 43.81% [10] and Upadhyay D K et al. 70.3% [17]. In our study, two third of diabetics 68% were taking OHAs and 20.5% of the people were on insulin therapy among which high adherence of 18.38% seen in OHA therapy people than 12.19% of insulin therapy patients which is similar to Study by Sajith M et al.[10] this is due to easy mode of administration, independtly can be taken in longer course.The most important reason preventing optimal adherence was financial constraint among type 2 diabetes population, our study showed, the cost of Medication,finance constraints was found to be 36%, 62% respectively which is similar to Study by Sajith M et al. 34.29%, 66% [10].

Other factor for non-adherence was complexity of dosage regimen, number of medications, frequency of dosing, side effects, duration of treatment was Found to be 17%,27%,24%,6%,66% respectively which is similar to study by Sajith M et al.[19]05%,25.71%,18.10%,0.95%,58.10%, which is significantly lower than The study carried out in Ethiopia by Yusuff KB et al. [15]. Lack of knowledge about the disease seen in 38% which similar to study by by Sajith M et al. in 31%. In present study, inadequate knowledge regarding therapy of 59.5% which is near to Study by Mahamed E E. et al. In Egypt where he found with 62.0% [13]. The identified causes of non-adherence to taking anti-diabetic medications as prescribed were, decision to omit, nature of work /busy schedule of work, when felt worse, when felt better, and Forget fullness were found to be 20%,12%,29%,35%,17.5% in our study which is similar study by Sajith Met. 19%, 11.42%, 33.33%, 33.33% and 16.19%, respectively [10], and which are lower than the study by Rolando N et.al from Nigeria [18].

The factors found to be associated with non-adherence were older age, females, low education status, unemployment, longer duration of disease, people resides in villages, diabetic without family support, poor socioeconomic status, cost, complexity of drug regimens, frequent dosing, long duration of treatment, lack of knowledge of disease and medications, side-effects, forgetfullness short consultation time, long waiting time, long travel time, lack of privacy (overcrowding), poor response,
unfriendly relation with health professional, not giving detailed knowledge about disease, complications, medications, methods of treatment, importance of adherence, follow up visits and self-care, non-availability of medications regularly, non-availability of all type of medications and absence of glucometer.

CONCLUSION

From this study, it is seen that adherence to medication was poor and as a result, plasma glucose control was poor in majority of patients. Hence, there is a need to focus on improving adherence among type 2 diabetes patients as it leads to better clinical outcomes and less complications in such patients. Various factors of medication non-adherence were identified and evaluated. Therefore we recommend interventions that will address these factors of non-adherence in order to improve medication adherence. It was found that those patients who were dependent on government health care system for medications were less adherent to medications. Thus, there is a definite need to improve health care systems for regular supply of medicines and provide health education to the patients and their families emphasizing the need of adherence. The physicians Improving on the areas of patient education and medication counselling, communication, encouraging patients to monitor their blood glucose level regularly, simplifying drug regimen with decreasing the number of drug taken, medication selection bearing in mind costs and in tolerable side effects of the medications.

REFERENCES

1. World Health organization. Diabetes Fact sheet N° 312.Updated January 2015. Available from http://www.who.int/en/World health organization, diabetes fact sheet updated November 2017. Available from http://www.who.int/en/. Accessed December 12, 2017.
2. Diabetes. World Health Organisation; 2008. Available From: http://www.who.int/media centre/fact sheets/f s 312/en/.[Last accessed on 2013 Jul 01].
3. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 6th Edition, and International Diabetes Foundation Available from: http://www.idf.org/sites/default/files/D a 6 _Regional_fact sheets 0. pdf Accessed on: August 29, 2014.
4. Joshi S R, Das A K, Vijay V J, Mohan V. Challenges in diabetes care in India: sheer numbers, lack of awareness and inadequate control. J Assoc Physicians India; 2008; 56:443–50.
5. Jonas J B, Panda Jonas S, Nangia V, Joshi PP, Matin A. Diabetes mellitus in rural India. Epidemiology. 2010;21(5):754–5.
6. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Kapur A, Vijay V, Mohan V, Das A K. Diabetes Epidemiology Study Group in India (DESII). High prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National Urban Diabetes Survey. Diabetologia. 2001; 44(9):1094–101.
7. Shohana R, Augustine C, Ramachandran A, Vijay V. Improving Psychosocial Care: The Indian Experience. Diabetes Voice. 2005;50(1):19-21.
8. Chew LD. The impact of low health literacy on diabetes outcomes. Diabetes Voice 2004;49(3):30-2.
9. Nagpal J, Kumar A, Kakar S, Bhartia A. The Development of Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients (QOLID): A Validation and Reliability Study in Middle and Higher Income Groups. Journal of Association of Physicians India. 2010; 58:295-304.
10. Sajith M, Pankaj M, Pawar A, Sumariya R. Medication adherence to antidiabetic therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Into J Pharmacy Science. 2014; 6, Suppl 2, 5.
11. Divya S, Nadig P. Factors contributing to non-adherence to medication among Type 2 diabetes mellitus in patients attending tertiary care hospital in South India. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2015; 8:2.
12. Imran M, Plathottam J J. A study on treatment adherence among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus attending diabetic clinic. Into J Community Med Public Health. 2017; 4:1701-3.
13. Mohamed EE, Shams, AMEB. Measuring the rate of therapeutic adherence among outpatients with T2 DM in Egypt. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal. 2010; 18:225–232.
14. Maria Kurowska, Jerzy S, Tarach, Joanna Malicka, Anna Chitryn, Anna Dabrowska. The impact of level of education and paid work on HbA1c concentrations in patients with type 1 diabetes-preliminary findings. Lublin –Polonia. 2010;23(Suppl 2):74-77.
15. Yusuff KB, Obe O, Joseph B Y. Adherence to antidiabetic drug therapy and self-management practices among type 2 diabetes in Nigeria. Pharm World Sci. 2008; 30(Suppl 6):876-883.
16. Cameron C. Patient compliance: recognition of factors involved and suggestions for promoting compliance with therapeutic regimens. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 1996; 24:244–250.
17. Upadhayay DK, Palaian S, Ravi Shankar P, Mishra P, Sah AK. Prescribing pattern in diabetic out
patients in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Nepal. J Cardiovasc Dis Res. 2007; 4:248–55.
18. Rolando Nnaemeka Okoro, Cyprian Kingsley Ngong. Assessment of patient’s Antidiabetic medication adherence levels in non-comorbid diabetes mellitus in a tertiary health care setting in Nigeria. Pharmacie Globale, International J of Comprehensive Pharmacy. July, 2012; 7(suppl 1):1-9.
19. Martin LR, Williams SL, Haskard KB, Dimatteo MR. The challenge of patient adherence. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2005;1:189-99.