DFD-01 Reduces Transepidermal Water Loss and Improves Skin Hydration and Flexibility
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: In plaque psoriasis, the benefit of topical steroids is well established. The vehicle formulation of topical steroids may also provide benefit in addition to the effects of the steroid itself. DFD-01 (betamethasone dipropionate spray, 0.05%) is a formulation composed of a topical steroid in an emollient-like vehicle that enhances penetration to the target site of inflammation in the skin. The aim of this study was to assess the effect of DFD-01 and its vehicle on skin hydration and barrier function in compromised skin and to evaluate its effect on flexibility in healthy skin.

Methods: Eighteen healthy white volunteers were enrolled in each of two studies. In Study 1, dry shaving of volar forearms created a compromised skin barrier, through which transepidermal water loss (TEWL) was measured using an evaporimeter. Capacitance, a measure of epidermal hydration, was also measured at baseline and at 1, 2 and 4 h after application of DFD-01 or its vehicle formulation. In Study 2, intact skin flexibility was tested with a cutometer before and at 1, 2 and 4 h after application of DFD-01 or vehicle.

Results: In Study 1, both DFD-01 and its vehicle were effective at reducing TEWL through the compromised stratum corneum. Capacitance measurements confirmed this finding; razor-chafed skin treated with either DFD-01 or vehicle exhibited levels of skin hydration similar to unshaved control skin. Study 2 found softening and greater flexibility of normal skin treated with either DFD-01 or vehicle compared with nontreated control skin samples.

Conclusions: These tests suggest that the DFD-01 formulation and its vehicle are each effective at retaining moisture within a damaged skin barrier and for softening and increasing the flexibility of intact skin.
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INTRODUCTION

There is a sharp contrast between healthy, hydrated skin and eczematous or psoriatic skin that is dry and scaly and has poor barrier function [1]. In plaque psoriasis, the well-demarcated plaques, with their characteristic overlying silvery scale and erythema, may be painful, itchy and uncomfortable [2]. About 85% of patients with psoriasis suffer from pruritus, which can be severe and may interfere with sleep [3] and the ability to concentrate [4]. Psoriasis may be exacerbated by stress, infections and skin drying [5].

Emollients are moisturizers that help skin feel more comfortable and less itchy by keeping it moist and intact [6, 7]. Emollients can restore and protect skin barrier function, increase moisture retention in the skin, increase remission times between flares and enhance symptom control in patients with psoriasis [8].

Topical steroids are the mainstay of treatment for the majority of patients with psoriasis with limited disease (< 5% body surface area) [9]. They are also used for patients with more extensive disease in conjunction with other therapies, including systemic or biologic medications or ultraviolet light therapy [9]. When used as recommended, topical steroids provide efficacy with a good safety profile for the majority of patients.

An agent that provides the combination of emollient-like vehicle and steroid can address skin discomfort and itching, as well as the underlying immunologic disturbance of psoriasis. DFD-01 [Sernivo™ (betamethasone dipropionate) Spray, 0.05%, Promius Pharma, Princeton, NJ) is a medium-potency steroid formulation that has demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of extensive, moderate psoriasis, including more difficult-to-treat areas such as knees and elbows [10, 11].

This article describes two studies conducted on healthy skin to assess the effect of DFD-01 and its vehicle (DFD-01 without steroid) on skin hydration, barrier function and flexibility. Enhanced skin flexibility, improved epidermal hydration and reduced water loss are indicative of an emollient-like effect, which may have the potential to ease the skin discomfort associated with psoriasis.

METHODS

Study 1: Transepidermal Water Loss

Enrolled subjects were healthy white males and females, aged 18–55 years, with Fitzpatrick skin type I, II or III. Subjects were excluded if they had any of the following: type I or II diabetes; current tobacco use; any skin condition on the volar forearms other than dry skin (e.g., psoriasis, eczema, atopic dermatitis); marks, scars, moles, scratches or other blemishes on the volar forearm test sites that would interfere with the study; or known allergies or sensitivities to any topical corticosteroid product or cosmetics, soaps or fragrances.

Participants visited the test site on 2 consecutive days, each day wearing a short-sleeved shirt and having not showered within the hour prior to their visits. Participants were required to discontinue use of all moisturizing products (e.g., soaps, lotions, sunscreens, insect repellents, etc.) on the volar forearms for 3 days prior to the start of the study and for the duration of the study.

On day 1, volunteers were dry shaved with a disposable razor to create four 5 by 5-cm test sites on both volar forearms (two sites per forearm). Dry shaving disrupts the integrity of the stratum corneum and creates a damaged skin barrier. Evaporimeter measurements (RG1 Evaporimeter system, cyberDERM, Broomall, PA) with transepidermal water loss (TEWL) probes (Cortex Technology, Hadsund, Denmark) were conducted after shaving to confirm elevated water loss and provide a measure of skin barrier function. Both probes of the Evaporimeter contain sensors that measure the temperature and relative humidity at two fixed points along the axis perpendicular to the skin surface. Water loss data were collected at a rate of four inputs/s over a 20-s interval once steady-state conditions had been achieved to provide an average of evaporative water loss rate in gm/m²/h.

On day 2, the four test sites were evaluated with the evaporimeter for post-shaving TEWL. Measurements were taken following a minimum 25-min acclimatization period in a
controlled environment with the relative humidity maintained at < 50% and temperature maintained between 19 and 22 °C. Capacitance, which measures epidermal hydration, was recorded at the same time as TEWL with a Corneometer® CM 825 (Courage + Khazaka Electronic GmbH, Cologne, Germany). Following these baseline readings, DFD-01 or vehicle (0.1 ml) were each randomly and blindly rubbed into a different test site using a clean finger cot, leaving one site per arm as a damaged, nontreated control. TEWL and capacitance measurements were repeated at 1, 2 and 4 h after treatment. Vehicle contained water, sorbitan monostearate, polyoxyl 20 cetostearyl ether, cetostearyl alcohol, mineral oil, propylparaben, methylparaben, butylated hydroxytoluene, and hydroxyethyl cellulose and oleyl alcohol. DFD-01 was the same formulation with the addition of betamethasone dipropionate, 0.05%.

Participants were required to wear nonocclusive, noncontact, protective arm guards in between product application and each of the follow-up measurements to minimize product transfer and site contact with foreign material. Participants were instructed to refrain from exercising or drinking hot beverages or cold/iced coffee or tea during the 2 h prior to each measurement on day 2.

Study 2: Skin Flexibility

Study 2 enrolled healthy white males and females, aged 18–55 years. Unlike Study 1, there were no Fitzpatrick skin type restrictions. Pre-study requirements regarding skin product use and study inclusion and exclusion criteria were similar to those in Study 1. The use of arm guards between measurements and the restrictions on exercise and consumption of hot and cold drinks between readings were also similar to Study 1.

Each volunteer had six 5 by 5 cm sites (three sites per volar forearm) outlined (Fig. 1), and baseline cutometer measurements were taken from each site. Skin flexibility was tested with a Cutometer® MPA 580 (Courage + Khazaka Electronic GmbH). In this study, the instrument specifications used were a 2-mm-diameter aperture probe, mode 1 (constant negative pressure during on period), vacuum 450 mbar, 2 s on, 2 s off, three cycles. The instrument technician took one measurement (three cycles) from each site.

DFD-01 and vehicle (0.1 ml) were each randomly and blindly rubbed into one test site on each arm using a clean finger cot; the central site on each arm remained untreated as a control. Flexibility measurements were repeated at 1, 2 and 4 h after treatment, with the maximum extension of the third cycle (R3) used for analysis. Change in control sites subtracted from change in treated sites determined treatment effects.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines

Ethical consent for Study 1 and Study 2 was received from IntegReview IRB prior to enrollment. For both studies, volunteers provided written informed consent and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) authorization prior to participation. Studies were conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki, current International Council for Harmonisation guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (GCP), Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations and other applicable laws and regulations.
Statistics

For both Study 1 and Study 2, repeated-measures ANOVA was used to compare the two test products and the nontreated controls. If differences were significant, multiple post hoc comparisons were made using a Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test. For all analyses, the level of significance was $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Study 1: Transepidermal Water Loss

Study 1 enrolled 17 female subjects with a median age of 39 years (range 21–52 years) and one male subject, aged 28 years. Dry shaving of the skin on day 1 caused elevated TEWL rates in all participants, confirming disruption of the stratum corneum barrier. On day 2, mean TEWL rates were measured at the nonshaved control sites on the side of the volar forearm with intact stratum corneum and were recorded as 7.1 ± 1.4 (SD) g water/cm$^2$ skin surface/h, which is well within the normal range; this remained constant over the course of the study.

Because there were slight differences in baseline TEWL values, the net change from baseline was calculated for each time point, and these values were analyzed for significance. TEWL rates were statistically significantly lower in shaved sites treated with either DFD-01 or vehicle compared with shaved but nontreated sites at 1 h after product application and for all time points thereafter ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 2). TEWL rates were similar between DFD-01 and vehicle throughout the study.

Skin surface hydration levels measured by capacitance readings for nonshaved control skin areas were within normal range and remained constant over the 4-h study period (Fig. 3). As expected, razor-shaved skin was drier than nonshaved skin, and capacitance was significantly decreased in all the dry shaved sites (Fig. 3). To control for slight differences in baseline values, the net change from baseline at each time point was calculated and analyzed for significance. Capacitance testing showed that both DFD-01 and vehicle were effective moisturizers when applied to the dry skin induced by dry shaving, with no significant differences between the two products at any time point. Capacitance measurements at 1, 2 and 4 h post treatment all showed significant differences between razor-chafed untreated skin and skin treated with either vehicle or DFD-01 ($P < 0.001$, repeated-measures ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons test; Fig. 3). Skin surface hydration levels of treated skin became equivalent to those of normal, intact skin. There were no adverse events during the study.

Study 2: Skin Flexibility

For this study, 15 females (mean age 41.6 years, range 23–54) and three males (mean age 34 years, range 25–51) were enrolled. Both vehicle and DFD-01 produced the net effect of an increase in R3 (maximum extension) value, indicating greater softening/flexibility of the skin. At 1 h post treatment, there was a highly significant difference between DFD-01 and no treatment ($P < 0.01$); overall, the effect was greater for DFD-01 than for vehicle (Fig. 4). Over time, R3 values decreased in treated skin samples, indicating firming of the skin. There were no reports of serious adverse events in this study. There was one nonserious adverse event (mild numbness of left hand), which was judged as unlikely to be related to the study products.

DISCUSSION

These studies were designed to investigate the properties of DFD-01 and its vehicle formulation on both normal, unbroken skin and dry shaved skin. Changes in TEWL and evaluation of skin moisturization and skin flexibility were compared between normal and damaged skin.

Study 1 demonstrated a reduction in TEWL with both DFD-01 and vehicle, showing that both products increased water retention in shaved skin. The capacitance investigation in Study 1 found that the level of skin hydration in razor-chafed skin treated with either DFD-01 or vehicle was similar to that of unshaved control skin samples. This suggests that these products
are effective for softening and moisturizing damaged stratum corneum.

Study 2 showed that over the course of 4 h, normal skin treated with either DFD-01 or vehicle demonstrated a net effect of softening and increased flexibility. These findings indicate that the product has an emollient-like effect. Over the 4-h duration of Study 2, all skin sites, including the control sites, showed an increase in R3 values.

This may be due to repeated suction or the continued acclimatization of the volunteers, who went from a rainy external environment to the cool, dry study environment.

The findings in Study 1 and Study 2 demonstrate that the DFD-01 vehicle helps to reduce TEWL and improve barrier function, supporting skin healing. The increase in flexibility indicates that the vehicle formulation is softening the

Fig. 2 Study 1, mean transepidermal water loss rate from volar forearm skin previously dry shaved and treated with DFD-01 and its non-steroid-containing vehicle, measured over the course of 4 h. After 1 h, the difference between shaved but not treated skin and shaved and treated with either DFD-01 or vehicle was significant for all time points ($P < 0.001$, ANOVA)
damaged skin and returning it to a healthier state. The similar effect seen with DFD-01 and its vehicle is expected following the single application used in these studies on healthy skin. On psoriatic skin, the additional therapeutic effect of the betamethasone dipropionate 0.05% in DFD-01 would be expected to become evident with repeated applications. The emollient properties of the vehicle demonstrated in these studies may contribute to the onset of efficacy of DFD-01. In a head-to-head comparison, DFD-01 showed rapid improvement as early as day 4 in outcomes including Total Sign Score, erythema and scaling, compared with a superpotent augmented betamethasone dipropionate 0.05% lotion (Diprole) [10].

There were no serious adverse events reported in Study 1 or Study 2. Subjects in Study 1 reported no adverse events. One subject in Study 2 experienced an unrelated adverse event of mild hand numbness. Previous studies, in which DFD-01 was administered twice daily for 28 days, reported application site pain and pruritus as the most common adverse events [12]. The lack of side effects associated with DFD-01 or its vehicle in these studies is expected following a single exposure.

Generalizability of these findings is limited by small sample size and use of nonpsoriatic skin. Studying healthy skin with standardized barrier disruption allows for appropriate control of methodologies using evaporimeter and Corneometer assessments. The inflammation present in psoriatic skin confounds the ability to evaluate barrier repair alone.

The positive role of moisturizers and emollients in patients with psoriasis is well documented [13, 14]. These products help reduce scale and play a supportive role in normalizing hyperproliferation, differentiation and apoptosis. Improved barrier function and stratum corneum hydration make the epidermis more resistant to external stressors [15]. Reduction of superficial scale improves appearance, and softening the plaques may reduce discomfort, itch and irritation [16].

CONCLUSIONS

These two studies demonstrate that both DFD-01 and its vehicle provide moisturization, softening of razor-shaved skin and reduced TEWL compared with no treatment.
Undamaged skin is more flexible after the application of DFD-01 or its vehicle formulation. These results support the emollient-like properties of DFD-01, which may require repeated application for continued effect.
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