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Abstract

We propose the systematic construction of classical and quantum two-dimensional space-time lattices primarily based on algebraic considerations, i.e. on the existence of associated $r$-matrices and underlying spatial and temporal classical and quantum algebras. This is a novel construction that leads to the derivation of fully discrete integrable systems governed by sets of consistent integrable non-linear space-time difference equations. To illustrate the proposed methodology, we derive two versions of the fully discrete non-linear Schrödinger type system. The first one is based on the existence of a rational $r$-matrix, whereas the second one is the fully discrete Ablowitz–Ladik model and is associated to a trigonometric $r$-matrix. The Darboux-dressing method is also applied for the first discretization scheme, mostly as a consistency check, and solitonic as well as general solutions, in terms of solutions of the fully discrete heat equation, are also derived. The quantization of the fully discrete systems is then quite natural in this context and the two-dimensional quantum lattice is thus also examined.
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1. Introduction

The fundamental paradigm in the frame of classical integrable systems is the AKNS scheme [1]. This offers the main non-relativistic set up, and is naturally associated to the non-linear
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Schrödinger system (NLS), the mKdV and KdV equations, and can be also mapped to typical examples of relativistic systems such as the sine-Gordon model. The AKNS scheme and NLS type hierarchies are among the most widely studied integrable prototypes (see for instance [1–3, 24, 42] and [39–41]). Both continuum and discrete versions have been thoroughly investigated from the point of view of the inverse scattering method or the Darboux and Zakharov–Shabat (ZS) dressing methods [3, 56, 57], [4, 13, 15, 17, 43, 44, 47, 48, 59], yielding solutions of hierarchies of integrable non-linear PDEs (ODEs) as well as hierarchies of associated Lax pairs. Numerous studies from the Hamiltonian point of view in the case of periodic (see for instance [21, 49, 50] and [25, 26]) and generic integrable boundary conditions [6, 51] also exist. The Hamiltonian or algebraic frame offers the most systematic means for constructing and studying classical integrable systems. The potency of the algebraic approach relies on the existence of a classical $r$-matrix that satisfies the classical Yang–Baxter equation. This then signifies the presence of associated Poisson structures that naturally lead to sets of quantities in involution, i.e. integrals of motion. Quantization in this context is then quite natural as the classical $r$-matrix is replaced by a quantum $R$-matrix that obeys the quantum YBE, and the classical Poisson algebra is replaced by a quantum algebra [20]. The existence of a classical (quantum) $r$-matrix allows also the computation of the time components of the Lax pairs of the hierarchy via the fundamental Semenov-Tian-Shansky formula (STS) [49], that involves the $r$ and $L$ matrices. This universal formula has been extended to the case of open boundary conditions as well as at the quantum level [6, 14, 37].

In the present investigation we are proposing the algebraic setting for constructing ‘space-time’ discrete integrable systems. The study of fully discrete systems has been a particularly active field in recent decades, especially after the prototypical Hirota’s works [30] on non-linear partial difference equation, leading also to intriguing connections with quantum integrable systems [32, 55], (see also [28] and references therein). A fundamental frame for describing such integrable systems and the associated partial difference equations is the so-called consistency approach [5, 29, 45]. These studies have also produced various significant connections with Yang–Baxter maps and the set theoretic Yang–Baxter equation (also linked to the notion of Darboux–Bäcklund transformations) [46, 52], cluster algebras [23, 31, 35], and the concept of algebraic entropy (see e.g. [27, 53] and references therein), to mention a few. Our approach is mainly based on algebraic considerations and is greatly inspired by earlier works on space-time dualities [7, 8, 11, 15, 22] and the existence of underlying spatial and temporal Poisson structures. To illustrate the algebraic approach we present two distinct fully discrete versions of the NLS-type hierarchy based on the existence of classical and quantum $r$-matrices and the underlying deformed algebras: (1) the fully discrete version of the system introduced in [40, 41] (fully DNLS), which is the more natural discretization of the NLS-type systems (AKNS scheme generally) from the algebraic point of view, and is associated to a rational $r$-matrix. (2) The fully discrete Ablowitz–Ladik (AL) model (see e.g. [2, 3, 39]) associated to a trigonometric $r$-matrix. Generalized local [43] transformations are then employed in order to identify solutions of the associated fully DNLS nonlinear partial difference equations as well as to confirm the findings from the algebraic point of view. When discussing the solutions of the relevant partial difference equations we are primarily focused on the discrete version of the DNLS hierarchy associated to a rational $r$-matrix (see [41] and references therein). Note that the DNLS model is a natural integrable version of the discrete-self-trapping equation introduced and studied in [19] to model the nonlinear dynamics of small molecules, such as ammonia, acetylene, benzene, as well as large molecules, such as acetanilide. It is also related to various physical problems such as arrays of coupled nonlinear wave-guides in nonlinear optics and quasi-particle motion on a dimer among others.
We stress that this is the first time to our knowledge that a systematic construction of fully discrete space-time integrable systems based on the existence of a classical $r$-matrix is achieved. This fundamental idea is naturally extended to the quantum case and the two-dimensional quantum lattice can then be constructed. This derivation is based on the existence of copies of two distinct quantum algebras associated to spatial and temporal ‘quantum spaces’ and it is in a manner in the spirit of constructing higher dimensional quantum lattices via the solution of the tetrahedron equation \[9, 58\] (see also relevant \[10\]), although in our construction there is a clear distinction between spatial and temporal quantum algebras.

Let us briefly outline what is achieved in the article:

• In section 2 we present the spatial and temporal Poisson structures associated to discrete time integrable systems. In this frame the time components of Lax pairs, i.e. the $V$-operators are required to be representations of a quadratic Poisson structure, whereas the space components satisfy linear Poisson structures in the semi-discrete time case, and quadratic classical algebras in the fully discrete case. We first examine the semi discrete time case and we consider the time like approach, i.e. for a given $V$-operator we apply the corresponding STS formula \[7\] and derive the hierarchy of the space components of the Lax pairs. This part serves as a predecessor, providing the main frame to consistently formulate the fully discrete case. After we provide the general algebraic set up for fully discrete integrable systems we examine two prototypical systems that are discretizations of the NLS-type scheme. For both examples the time components of the Lax pairs are constructed as representations of the quadratic temporal algebras. Having identified the Lax pairs we also derive the associated partial difference equations via the fully discrete zero curvature condition.

• In section 3 the Darboux–Bäcklund methodology is implemented for the fully DNLS system. The purpose of this section is two-fold: (1) we extract the Lax pairs for the space-time discretization of NLS confirming the findings of the algebraic approach. (2) We derive solutions via certain local Darboux transforms. More specifically, by employing the fundamental Darboux transformation we perform the dressing process and we identify the Lax pairs of the discrete hierarchy. Explicit expressions for the first few members are presented and the findings of the algebraic approach are confirmed. Via the fundamental Darboux transform we also derive two types of discrete solitonic solutions that are the fully discrete analogues of the solutions found in \[17\]. More importantly, with the use of a Toda type Darboux matrix we identify generic new solutions (i.e. not only solitonic) of the non-linear partial difference equations in terms of solutions of the associated linear equations, i.e. the fully discrete heat equation, generalizing the findings of \[17\] to the fully discrete case.

• In section 4 we present the two-dimensional quantum lattice. In order to be able to build the two-dimensional quantum lattice along the space and time directions, in analogy to the classical case as described in section 2, we introduce the notion of spatial and temporal ‘quantum spaces’. Despite the slight abuse of language, we employ the notion of quantum spaces to describe copies of the underlying quantum algebras when constructing the corresponding spin chain like systems with $N$ ($M$) sites, or quantum spaces, along the space (time) direction. This construction is in exact analogy to the classical description. The quantum discrete NLS model, associated to the Yangian $R$-matrix, as well as the quantum Ablowitz–Ladik model (or $q$ bosons), associated to a trigonometric $R$-matrix, are considered as our prototypical quantum systems.
2. Discrete time integrability: algebraic formulation

In this section we suggest the algebraic formulation for the construction of discrete time integrable systems. Specifically, we present the space and time like Poisson structures associated to discrete time integrable systems. We first consider the semi-discrete time case, which basically serves as a predecessor of the fully discrete frame. The Lax pairs are perceived in this context as representations of the underlying space-time Poisson structures. The discrete versions of the zero curvature condition provide compatibility conditions among the various fields involved and yield the associated difference/differential equations. To explicitly illustrate the proposed methodology we examine two prototypical systems that are discretizations of the NLS-type scheme and are associated to two distinct classical $r$-matrices (rational versus trigonometric). For both examples the Lax pairs are constructed as representations of the spatial and temporal algebras. Having identified the Lax pairs we derive the associated partial difference equations from the fully discrete zero curvature condition.

2.1. The semi-discrete time setting

We first examine the case of discrete time and continuous space classical integrable systems and we mainly focus on the time-like algebraic picture. The main reason we consider this case first is the fact that the STS formula is available, and the hierarchy of associated $U$-operators can be thus systematically derived [7]. This will be achieved in the following subsection for a particular example, the semi discrete time NLS system. Such a construction will provide a first indication on the consistent forms of Lax pairs in the fully discrete scenario. Note that in this case we only consider integrable systems associated to rational $r$-matrices, i.e. the Yangian [54].

Let us first recall the space-like description and we then move on to the time-like picture as discussed in [7, 8, 15]. The starting point is the existence of a Lax pair $(U, V)$ consisting of generic $c$-number $d \times d$ matrices (see e.g. [21]). The Lax pair matrices depend in general on some fields and a spectral parameter, and form the auxiliary linear problem:

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_x \Psi(x, a, \lambda) &= U(x, a, \lambda)\Psi(x, a, \lambda), \\
\Psi(a + 1, x, \lambda) &= V(x, a, \lambda)\Psi(x, a, \lambda),
\end{align*}$$

(2.1)

where $a$ is the discrete time index. Compatibility of the two equations above leads to the discrete time zero curvature condition:

$$\partial_x V(x, a, \lambda) = U(x, a + 1, \lambda)V(x, a, \lambda, x) - V(x, a, \lambda, x)U(x, a, \lambda).$$

(2.2)

Before we move on to the algebraic formulation of discrete time integrability let us first introduce some useful objects. Let us define the space like monodromy matrix, which is a solution of the first of the equations of the auxiliary linear problem (2.1)

$$T_S(x, y, a, \lambda) = \widehat{P} \exp \left\{ \int_y^x U_a(\xi, \lambda) d\xi \right\}, \quad x > y,$$

(2.3)

where $\widehat{P}$ denotes path ordered integration. We also define the periodic space transfer matrix as $T_S(a, \lambda) = \text{tr} T_S(A, -A, a, \lambda)$, then using the discrete time zero curvature condition as well as assuming periodic space boundary conditions, or vanishing conditions at $\pm A$, we conclude that $T_S(a, \lambda)$ is constant in the discrete time, i.e. $T_S(a, \lambda) = T_S(a + 1, \lambda)$. A more detailed discussion on the latter statement is provided in the next subsection, where the fully discrete case is examined.
The $M$-site time monodromy $T_T$, which is a solution to the time part of (2.1), is defined as

$$T_T(x, b, a, \lambda) = V(x, b, \lambda) \cdot \cdots \cdot V(x, a + 1, \lambda) \cdot V(x, a, \lambda), \quad b > a,$$  

(2.4)

and the time-like transfer matrix is given by $t_T(\lambda) = \text{tr} T_T(x, M, 1, \lambda)$. By means of the zero curvature condition (2.2) and assuming periodic time-like boundary conditions we conclude that $\frac{\text{d}x_T(\lambda)}{\text{d}t} = 0$.

From the algebraic point of view the fundamental statement is that the $U$-operator satisfies the linear Poisson structure [21, 41]

$$\left\{ U(x, a, \lambda) \otimes U(y, a, \mu) \right\}_S = [r(\lambda - \mu), U(x, a, \lambda) \otimes I + I \otimes U(y, a, \mu)] \delta(x - y),$$  

(2.5)

where $I$ is in general the $d \times d$ identity matrix, the subscript $S$ denotes space-like Poisson structure, and the $r$-matrix is a solution of the classical Yang–Baxter equation [49],

$$[r_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), r_{13}(\lambda_1)] + [r_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), r_{23}(\lambda_2)] + [r_{13}(\lambda_1), r_{23}(\lambda_2)] = 0.$$  

(2.6)

The $r$-matrix acts on $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{V}$ is a $d$ dimensional vector space in general, and in the index notation $r_{12} = \sum_{ij} r(ijkl) e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}$, similarly for $r_{23}$ and $r_{13}$, and $e_{ij}$ are in general $d \times d$ matrices with elements ($e_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$.

In [7], where the continuum space-time scenario was examined, it was assumed that $V$, as well as $U$ satisfy linear Poisson structures (see also [8] on further emphasis on the algebraic/r-matrix description). Indeed, it was noticed that the time-like Poisson bracket could be constructed from a corresponding algebraic expression regarding the time component of the Lax pair.

Here we assume time-like discretization and introduce time-like indices $a, b$, then the $V$-operator in (2.1) is required to satisfy the quadratic algebra:

$$\left\{ V(x, a, \lambda) \otimes V(x, b, \mu) \right\}_T = [r(\lambda - \mu), V(x, a, \lambda) \otimes V(x, b, \mu)] \delta_{ab},$$  

(2.7)

where $r$ is the same classical $r$-matrix as in (2.4), and the subscript $T$ denotes the time-like Poisson structure. Both space and time Sklyanins bracket’s (2.5) and (2.7) are the typical Poisson structures on the $LGL_d$ loop group.

The time like monodromy matrix (2.4) satisfies the quadratic algebra (we write for simplicity $T_T(x, M, 1, \lambda) = T_T(\lambda)$)

$$\left\{ T_T(\lambda) \otimes T_T(\mu) \right\}_T = [r(\lambda - \mu), T_T(\lambda) \otimes T_T(\mu)].$$  

(2.8)

Consequently, one obtains commuting operators, with respect to the time-like Poisson structure $\{ \text{tr} T_T(\lambda), \text{tr} T_T(\mu) \}_T = 0$.

2.1.1. Deriving $V$-operators. Our main objective now is to identify the form of the time components of the Lax pairs, i.e. the $V$-operators for algebras associated to the rational $r$-matrix [54],

$$r(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i,j=1}^d e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji},$$  

(2.9)
The quantity $\sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$ is the so called permutation operator. We express the $V$-operator in the following generic form as a finite $\lambda$ series expansion

$$V^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \lambda^m Y^{(m,k)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

In the case we examine here, i.e. the DNLS hierarchy we consider $Y^{(k,k)} = D = \text{diag}(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ ($d − 1$ zero diagonal zero entries in general). $Y^{(m,k)}$ are in general $d \times d$ matrices to be identified algebraically. Note that for $Y^{(k,k)} = I$ ($I$ is the $d \times d$ identity matrix) we essentially deal with the classical version of the $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ Yangian (here we focus on $d = 2$). More generally in the Yangian case $Y^{(k,k)}$ can be a constant non-singular matrix.

We impose the following two fundamental assumptions in order to identify each $V^{(k)}$ of the generic form (2.10).

- The basic assumptions
  1. Each $V^{(k)}$ of the form (2.10) satisfies the quadratic algebra (2.7).
  2. $\det V^{(k)} = \lambda^k + \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n \lambda^n$.

From assumption 1 and the general form of the $V^{(k)}$-operator (2.10), the following Poisson relations emerge, being the classical analogues of the Yangian $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ ($Y^{(k,k)}$ is a constant (non-dynamical) matrix)

$$\begin{align*}
\left\{ Y^{(m-1,k)} \otimes Y^{(0,k)} \right\}_T &= \left[ \mathcal{P}, Y^{(m,k)} \otimes Y^{(0,k)} \right] \\
\left\{ Y^{(m-1,k)} \otimes Y^{(l,k)} \right\}_T &= \left\{ Y^{(m,k)} \otimes Y^{(l-1,k)} \right\}_T = \left[ \mathcal{P}, Y^{(m,k)} \otimes Y^{(l,k)} \right],
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

where $m, l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$ is the permutation operator.

In the language of dressing Darboux transform assumption 2 is equivalent to saying that the determinant of $V^{(k)}$ is independent of the fields, i.e. the determinant of the ‘dressed’ $V$-operators should be equal to the one of the ‘bare’ operators (free of fields). By employing the two fundamental assumptions above we can then express all $Y^{(m,k)}$ in terms of some ‘fundamental’ fields (see also [15] for a relevant discussion), that satisfy certain basic Poisson relations. The problem then reduces into classifying representations of the classical algebra (2.7) of the general structure (2.10).

Given the operator $V^{(k)}$ we can then apply the time-like STS formula [7, 49], which is valid for continuum $x$ [15], derive the hierarchy of associated $U$-operators and extract in turn the hierarchy of non linear integrable ODEs. This will be achieved in the next subsection. Notice that extra compatibility conditions emerge from the discrete time zero curvature condition ensuring the consistence of our construction. Let us now focus on the first two members of the hierarchy, and identify $V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}$ from the algebraic point of view. In the continuum time situation for each time flow $t_k$ a corresponding $V^{(k)}(x, t_k, \lambda)$ exists. In analogy, in the discrete case our notation will be $V^{(k)}(x, a_k, \lambda)$ for each discrete time index $a_k$. We shall drop the sub-index $k$ henceforth for brevity.

**The $V^{(1)}$-operator.** The first non-trivial $V$-operator is linear in $\lambda$ and is associated to a discrete time version of the transport equation,
\[ V^{(1)}(x,a,\lambda) = \lambda D + Y^{(0,1)} \]
\[ = \left( \lambda + N^{(1)}_a(x) \right) \hat{u}_a(x) \]
\[ = \left( \lambda + N^{(1)}_a \right) \hat{u}_a(x) \]
\[ = \left( \lambda + N^{(1)}_a \right) \hat{u}_a(x) \]

where from the condition \( \det V^{(1)} = \lambda + 1 \) we obtain
\[ N^{(1)}_a(x) = 1 + \hat{u}_a(x)u_a(x). \tag{2.13} \]

Note that the \( x \) dependence in the fields is always implied even if omitted for brevity. Due to the fact that \( V^{(1)} \) satisfies the quadraticalgebra \( (2.7) \) we derivethe Poisson relations:
\[ \{ Y^{(0,1)}, Y^{(0,1)} \}_T = P \left( D \otimes Y^{(0,1)} - Y^{(0,1)} \otimes D \right), \tag{2.14} \]
where \( P = \sum e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} \) is the permutation operator. Hence, the Poisson relations for the fields follow
\[ \{ u_a(x), \hat{u}_b(x) \}_T = \delta_{ab}, \quad \{ \hat{u}_a(x), N^{(1)}_b \}_T = \hat{u}_a(x)\delta_{ab}, \]
\[ \{ u_a(x), N^{(1)}_b \}_T = u_a(x)\delta_{ab}. \tag{2.15} \]

The field \( N^{(1)} \) \((2.13)\) is apparently compatible with the classical algebra \( (2.15) \). □

The \( V^{(2)} \)-operator. We now derive the \( V \)-operator quadratic in \( \lambda \):
\[ V^{(2)}(x,a,\lambda) = \lambda^2 D + \lambda Y^{(1,2)}(x,a) + Y^{(0,2)}(x,a) \]
\[ = \left( \lambda^2 + \lambda N^{(2)} + A_a \right) \hat{u}_a(x) \]
\[ = \left( \lambda^2 + \lambda N^{(2)} + A_a \right) \hat{u}_a(x) \]
\[ = \left( \lambda^2 + \lambda N^{(2)} + A_a \right) \hat{u}_a(x) \]
\[ = \left( \lambda^2 + \lambda N^{(2)} + A_a \right) \hat{u}_a(x) \]

Requiring that \( \det V^{(2)} = \lambda^2 + 1 \) we conclude that the algebraic quantities \( N, A, D \) are expressed in termsof the fundamental fields \( u, \hat{u}, B, C \) as
\[ N^{(2)}_a = \frac{u_aB_a + \hat{u}_aC_a}{1 + \hat{u}_aB_a}, \quad A_a = \frac{1 + B_aC_a}{1 + \hat{u}_aB_a}, \quad D_a = 1 + \hat{u}_aB_a. \tag{2.17} \]

Requiring also that \( V^{(2)} \) satisfies the time-like Poisson structure \( (2.7) \) we then produce the Poisson relations
\[ \left\{ Y^{(1,2)} \otimes Y^{(1,2)} \right\}_T = P \left( D \otimes Y^{(1,2)} - Y^{(1,2)} \otimes D \right), \tag{2.18} \]
\[ \left\{ Y^{(1,2)} \otimes Y^{(0,2)} \right\}_T = P \left( D \otimes Y^{(0,2)} - Y^{(0,2)} \otimes D \right), \tag{2.19} \]
\[ \left\{ Y^{(0,2)} \otimes Y^{(0,2)} \right\}_T = P \left( D \otimes Y^{(0,2)} - Y^{(0,2)} \otimes D \right), \tag{2.20} \]
and hence the time-like algebra for the fields (we only write below the non zero commutators for the fundamental fields, see also [7], see also appendix B for the corresponding quantum algebra relations):
\[ \{ u_a(x), B_b(x) \}_T = - \{ \hat{u}_a(x), C_b(x) \}_T = (1 + \hat{u}_a(x)u_a(x)) \delta_{ab}, \]
\[ \{ B_a(x), C_b(x) \}_T = - \{ u_a(x)B_b(x) + \hat{u}_a(x)C_b(x) \}_T = \hat{u}_a(x) \delta_{ab}. \tag{2.21} \]
The quantities defined in (2.17) are compatible with the algebra (2.21).

It is worth noting that in the space-like formulation the $U$-matrix (2.1) is the starting point and the conserved quantities as well as the hierarchy of $V$-operators emerge from it [16, 49]. In the time-like approach on the other hand the starting point is some $V$-operator, and from this the time-like conserved quantities as well as the $U$-hierarchy are derived [7]. In the next subsection, we focus only on time-like Poisson structures thus we drop the subscript $T$ whenever this applies.

The discrete time-like Lax pair hierarchy. Here we exclusively discuss the time-like case, and extract the associated charges in involution as well as the hierarchy of $U$-operators. The generating function of the hierarchy of the local conserved quantities associated to the system is given by:

$$G(\lambda) = \ln(tr(T(\lambda))),$$

where $T(\lambda) = T_T(M, 1, \lambda)$ the time-like monodromy (2.4) ($x$ dependence is implied).

We may also derive the generating function that provides the hierarchy of $U$-operators associated to each one of the time-like Hamiltonians. Indeed, taking into consideration the zero curvature condition as well the time-like Poisson structure satisfied by $V$ one can show that the generating function of the $U$-components of the Lax pairs is given by the time-like analogue of the STS formula (see also [7] for a more detailed derivation)

$$\mathcal{U}_2(a, \lambda, \mu) = t^{-1}(\lambda)T_1(M, a, \lambda)r_1\big((\lambda - \mu)T_1(a - 1, 1, \lambda)\big),$$

where recall the time-like monodromy matrix defined in (2.4) for $b > a$. We also introduce the index notation: $A_1 = A \otimes I$ and $A_2 = I \otimes A$ for any $d \times d$ matrix $A$, $I$ is the $d \times d$ identity matrix, and $r$ acts on $V \otimes V$ ($V$ is the $d$ dimensional vector space). In the case where the $r$-matrix is the Yangian (2.9) the latter expression (2.22) reduces to

$$\mathcal{U}(a, \lambda, \mu) = t^{-1}(\lambda)\frac{\lambda - \mu}{\lambda - \mu} T(a - 1, 1, \lambda) T(M, a, \lambda).$$

We restrict our attention now on the hierarchy associated to $V^{(2)}$ (2.16). Indeed, expanding the monodromy matrix (2.4) constructed by the $V$-operator (2.16), in powers of $\frac{1}{\lambda}$, we obtain the associated charges in involution. We report below the first couple of conserved quantities:

$$H^{(1)} = \sum_{a=1}^{M} u_a B_a + \hat{u}_a C_a,$$

$$H^{(2)} = \sum_{a=1}^{M} \left( u_a u_{a-1} + \frac{1 + B_a C_a}{1 + u_a u_{a-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u_a B_a + \hat{u}_a C_a}{1 + u_a u_{a-1}} \right)^2 \right)$$

In fact, $H^{(2)}$ is the Hamiltonian of the semi discrete time NLS system.

In addition to the derivation of the time-like charges in involution above we can also compute the corresponding $U$-operators of the time-like hierarchy via the expansion in powers of $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ of (2.23). The pair $(U^{(k)}, V^{(2)})$ gives rise to the same equations of motion as Hamilton’s equations with the Hamiltonian $H^{(1)}$ associated to the $x_k$ flow.

3 'Conserved' with respect with respect to spatial variations for the monodromy matrix built using $V$. 

We provide below the first few members of the series expansion of $U$ corresponding to the charges \((2.24)\)

\[
U^{(1)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U^{(2)}(x, a, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \dot{u}_a(x) \\ u_{a-1}(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ldots \tag{2.25}
\]

We focus on the second member of the hierarchy, which is going to give an integrable time discretization of the NLS model. Note that the $U^{(2)}$-operator of the system under study, satisfies the algebra \((2.5)\), thus the space-like Poisson structure for the fields is given by:

\[
\{u_a(x), \dot{u}_a(y)\}_S = \delta(x - y). \tag{2.26}
\]

Having identified both the charges in involution as well as the various $U$-operators, we focus on the second member of the hierarchy. In particular, let us obtain via the Hamiltonian $H^{(2)}$ (and the time-like Poisson relations) and/or the Lax pair $(U^{(2)}, V^{(2)})$ the corresponding equations of motion. Equations \((A.1)\) and \((A.3)\), via the definition of $N^{(2)}$ \((2.17)\), lead to

\[
B_a = \frac{\partial_x \dot{u}_a - \dot{u}_a^2 \partial_x u_a}{1 - u_a \dot{u}_a}, \quad C_a = \frac{u_a^2 \partial_x \dot{u}_a - \partial_x u_a}{1 - u_a \dot{u}_a}. \tag{2.27}
\]

Also, from the zero curvature condition we obtain the following constraints

\[
\partial_x B_a = u_{a+1} \partial_a - A_a \dot{u}_a, \quad \partial_x C_a = u_a A_a - B_a u_{a-1}. \tag{2.28}
\]

Given that $A, D, N^{(2)}$ \((2.17)\), and $B, C$ \((2.27)\) are expressed in terms of the fundamental fields $u_a, \dot{u}_a$ and their $x$-derivatives, equation \((2.28)\) are the equations of motion for the fundamental fields $u_a, \dot{u}_a$.

As shown above the Lax pair $(U^{(2)}, V^{(2)})$ produces the discrete time analogue of the NLS equation, whereas the Lax pair $(U^{(2)}, V^{(3)})$ is expected to yield the discrete time complex mKdV equations in analogy to the findings of \([15, 17]\) (see also \([12]\) on the mKdV Lax pair). The algebraic derivation of $V^{(3)}$ is not included in our computations here as it is quite involved and will be presented elsewhere.

### 2.2. The fully discrete setting

We come now to our primary objective, which is the derivation and study of fully discrete integrable systems. In this frame the notion of space-time duality will be more natural given that space and time are at equal footing, in exact analogy to the continuous space-time picture \([7, 15]\). We are going to describe the problem algebraically, whereas in the subsequent subsection we apply the fully discrete dressing process as a further consistency check on the derivation of the associated Lax pairs.

Consider the fully discrete Lax pair $(L, V)$ that depends on the fields and some spectral parameter. Let also $n$ denote a discrete space index, and $a$ a discrete time one, then the fully discrete auxiliary linear problem takes the form:

\[
\Psi(n + 1, a, \lambda) = L(n, a, \lambda)\Psi(n, a, \lambda) \tag{2.29}
\]

\[
\Psi(n, a + 1, \lambda) = V(n, a, \lambda)\Psi(n, a, \lambda). \tag{2.30}
\]

Consistency of the two equation of the auxiliary linear problem lead to the fully discrete equations of motion (the fully discrete analogue of the zero curvature condition):

\[
V(n + 1, a, \lambda)L(n, a, \lambda) = L(n, a + 1, \lambda)V(n, a, \lambda). \tag{2.31}
\]
In this context both discrete space and time are at equal footing as in the continuous case [7].

We consider the space-like monodromy matrix defined at some discrete time \(t\) as

\[ T_\lambda(n, a, \lambda) = L(n, a, \lambda) \cdots L(m + 1, a, \lambda)L(m, a, \lambda), \quad n > m, \tag{2.32} \]

and the space-like transfer matrix is defined as \(t_\lambda(a, \lambda) = \text{tr} T_\lambda(N, 1, a, \lambda)\). Recall that in general \(L, V\) are \(d \times d\) matrices and the trace above is defined with respect to the \(d\) dimensional (auxiliary) space. By virtue of the fully discrete zero curvature condition we show that \(t_\lambda(a, \lambda) = t_\lambda(a + 1, \lambda)\), i.e. the transfer matrix is a constant with respect to the discrete time. Indeed, consider \(t_\lambda(a + 1, \lambda)\), also from (2.31) we have that \(L(n, a + 1) = V(n + 1, a)L(n, a)V^{-1}(n, a)\), then

\[ t_\lambda(a + 1, \lambda) = \text{tr} \left( V(N + 1, a, \lambda)L(N, a, \lambda) \cdots L(1, a, \lambda)V^{-1}(1, a, \lambda) \right). \tag{2.33} \]

Assuming periodic space like boundary conditions, i.e. \(V(N + 1, a, \lambda) = V(1, a, \lambda)\), and recalling (2.33) and the definition of the space-like transfer matrix we conclude that \(t_\lambda(a + 1, \lambda) = t_\lambda(a, \lambda)\). The \(\lambda\)-series expansion of the transfer provides naturally the conserved quantities of the system with respect to the discrete time denoted by the index \(a\). Note that the continuous limit of (2.32), \((L(n, \lambda) \to I + \delta U(n, \lambda))\), provides the solution of the space part of the discrete time auxiliary linear problem of the previous section given by (2.3).

Similarly, let us consider the time-like transfer matrix defined for any space index \(n\) as \(t_\tau(n, \lambda) = \text{tr} T_\tau(n, M, 1, \lambda)\), where the time monodromy matrix \(T_\tau\) in given by (2.4). Through (2.31) \(V(n + 1, a, \lambda) = L(n, a + 1, \lambda)V(n, a, \lambda)L^{-1}(n, a, \lambda)\) and assuming time like boundary conditions \(L(n, M + 1, \lambda) = L(n, 1, \lambda)\) we conclude that the transfer matrix is invariant with respect to the discrete space indexed by \(n\), i.e. \(t_\tau(n + 1, \lambda) = t_\tau(n, \lambda)\), i.e. suitable expansion in powers of \(\lambda\) produces the hierarchy of associated invariants for the system with respect to the discrete space characterized by the index \(n\). In the continuous space limit the latter reduces to \(\frac{\text{d}t_\tau(n, \lambda)}{\text{d}t} = 0\) (see also comments at the beginning of section 2).

We graphically represent the Lax pair \((L, V)\) in our fully discrete set up as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{a\} & \quad \cdots \quad \{n\} \\
\text{L(n, a)} & \quad \text{V(n, a)} \\
\text{n} & \quad \text{a}
\end{align*}
\]

The set of time indices \(\{a\} \equiv \{a, a - 1, \ldots, a - k + 1\}\) denotes discrete time dependence in \(L\), which is usually implicit. The integer \(k\) depends on the form of the \(L\) operator. Similarly, the set of space like indices \(\{n\} \equiv \{n, n - 1, \ldots, n - l + 1\}\) denotes discrete space dependence in \(V\), which is usually implicit. In the cases considered here \(k = l = 2\). The dashed line represents the \(d\) dimensional ‘auxiliary’ space of the Lax pairs (in the examples that follow \(d = 2\)).
Next we graphically represent the space-like monodromy:

The space-like monodromy $T_S(a)$, corresponds to a one-dimensional $N$-site space-like lattice at a given discrete time $a$. The space transfer matrix is defined after taking the trace over the auxiliary space resulting in periodic space boundary conditions, that is the space transfer matrix is graphically depicted by a cylinder, i.e. consider the first and $N$th site in the figure above to coincide. The time-like monodromy is the vertical analogue of the above figure and represents an one-dimensional time $M$-site time-like lattice, for a given space index $n$. We basically consider a 90 degrees rotation of the figure above and replace the spatial indices $\{1, N\}$ with temporal ones $\{1, M\}$, and the fixed index $a$ with $n$ (also the colors are interchanged accordingly: green $\leftrightarrow$ purple, i.e. horizontal lines green and vertical lines purple).

The auxiliary space does not appear in the two-dimensional lattice that is graphically depicted below for a given Lax pair $(L, V)$:

The figure above should be carefully interpreted, especially when referring to monodromies and transfer matrices. More specifically, for a fixed time index $a$ we focus on the space-like monodromy/transfer matrix (2.32) (horizontally), and the respective space-like discrete system whereas, in the time-like situation the space index $n$ is fixed and we focus on the time-like monodromy/transfer matrix (2.4) (vertically) (see also relevant comments on the ‘conservation’ laws discussed earlier in this section). The space and time monodromies can be seen as horizontal and vertical ‘stripes’ respectively in the two-dimensional lattice above. The latter interpretation applies also in the continuum scenario on the $x - t$ plane [7, 8] when considering the corresponding continuous monodromies. The clear distinction between space and time indices becomes more transparent below when presenting the algebraic formulation of the problem. When considering a given Lax pair and the fully discrete zero curvature condition in order to extract the space time difference equations the two-dimensional lattice is interpreted in the usual sense as the discretization of the $x - t$ plane.

Let us now focus on the algebraic formulation of fully discrete integrable systems. The key object in describing the space-like discrete picture is the $L$ operator, which satisfies the
quadroic Poisson structure

\[
\left\{ L(n, a, \lambda) \otimes L(m, a, \mu) \right\}_S = [r(\lambda - \mu), L(n, a, \lambda) \otimes L(m, a, \mu)] \delta_{nm} \quad (2.34)
\]

\( \lambda, \mu \) are spectral parameters, and the \( r \)-matrix satisfies the classical Yang–Baxter equation.

Similarly to the semi-discrete time case described in the preceding subsection we require that the time component \( V \) of the Lax pair satisfies the time-like Poisson structure:

\[
\left\{ V(n, a, \lambda) \otimes V(n, b, \mu) \right\}_T = [r(\lambda - \mu), V(n, a, \lambda) \otimes V(n, b, \mu)] \delta_{ab}. \quad (2.35)
\]

The classical \( r \)-matrix is the same as the one of the space-like algebra (2.34).

The involution of the charges produced by the space and time-like transfer matrices is guaranteed by the existence of the Poisson structures (2.34) and (2.35). Indeed, the monodromies (2.32) and (2.4) satisfy (2.34) and (2.35) respectively, and thus the corresponding transfer matrices are in involution for different spectral parameters: \( \{ \tau(\lambda), \tau(\lambda') \}_S = \{ \tau(\lambda), \tau(\lambda') \}_T = 0 \). This fact stipulates the existence of extra continuous dynamical parameters (underlying continuous ‘time’) in accordance to Hamilton’s equations. The associated hierarchies of the continuous time components of Lax pairs can then be obtained via the STS formula for both discrete space-like or time-like systems constructed as described above.

We focus now on two distinct versions of the fully discrete NLS model associated to rational and trigonometric classical \( r \)-matrices respectively.

2.2.1. The fully discrete NLS model. We first examine the fully discrete version of the NLS model associated to the Yangian \( r \)-matrix. The \( L \) operator of the discrete NLS-type hierarchy \((2.36)\) is given as \([40, 41]\)

\[
L(n, a, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + N_{na} & X_{na} \\ Y_{na-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.36)
\]

where \( N_{na} = \theta + X_{na} Y_{na-1} \), \( \theta \) is an arbitrary constant. The Lax operator satisfies Sklyanin’s bracket \((2.34)\). Notice that the discrete time dependence in \( L \) is fully justified by the time-like derivation of \( U^{(0)} \) via the STS formula in the previous subsection \((U^{(2)} \) is the continuum space limit of \( L \)). The Poisson structure \((2.34)\) leads to the following Poisson relations among the fields

\[
\{ X_{na}, Y_{na-1} \}_S = -\delta_{nm}, \quad \{ X_{na}, X_{ma} \}_S = \{ Y_{na}, Y_{ma} \}_S = 0. \quad (2.37)
\]

In analogy to the semi-discrete time case described in the previous section we consider the following generic form for the \( V \)-hierarchy

\[
V^{(k)}(n, a, \lambda) = \lambda^k D + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \lambda^l \chi^{(l,k)}(n, a), \quad (2.38)
\]

where \( D = \text{diag}(1, 0) \). To be precise in our notation we should write: \( V^{(k)}(n, a, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \ldots) \), however for simplicity we suppress the time-like indices \( a_l, l \neq k \) and we instead write \( V^{(k)}(n, a) \). We also require that \( \det V^{(k)} = \lambda^k + 1 \), and all \( V^{(k)}(n, a) \) satisfy the quadratic algebra \((2.35)\), with the same \( r \)-matrix as in \((2.34)\). Then all \( \chi^{(l,k)} \) can be expressed in terms of some ‘fundamental’ fields (see also \([7, 15]\)), that satisfy the basic
Poisson relations. Let us focus on the first two members of the hierarchy, and identify $V^{(1)}$, $V^{(2)}$ and the corresponding space time difference equations.

The $V^{(1)}$-operator. The first non-trivial $V^{(1)}$ of the general form is linear and is associated to a discrete time version of the transport equation,

$$V^{(1)}(n, a, \lambda) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda + N_{na}^{(1)} \\ Y_{n-1}^{a} \end{array} \right),$$

(2.39)

where from the condition $\det V^{(1)} = \lambda + 1$ we obtain

$$N_{na}^{(1)} = 1 + X_{na} Y_{n-1}^{a}.$$ 

(2.40)

Due to the fact that $V^{(1)}$ satisfies the quadratic algebra (2.35) and hence (2.14), we derive the Poisson relations for the fundamental fields (i.e. the time like analogue of (2.37)):

$$\{Y_{na}, X_{n-1}^{a}\}_T = \delta_{ab}.$$ 

(2.41)

Having identified the Lax pair we may now extract the equations of motion associated to $(L, V^{(1)})$. These are linear difference equations in analogy to the continuous case, i.e. they are the discrete analogues of the linear transport equation:

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + 1, \quad F \in \{X, Y\}.$$ 

(2.42)

The $V^{(2)}$-operator. The $V^{(2)}$ operator, quadratic in $\lambda$, reads as

$$V^{(2)}(n, a, \lambda) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda^2 + A_{na} \lambda X_{na} + B_{na} \lambda Y_{na} + C_{na} \\ \lambda Y_{n-1}^{a} + C_{na} \end{array} \right),$$

(2.43)

where as in the semi discrete case requiring $\det V^{(2)} = \lambda^2 + 1$ we obtain the fields $N, A, D$ expressed in terms of the fundamental fields $X, Y, B, C$:

$$N_{na}^{(2)} = \frac{Y_{n-1}B_{na} + X_{na}C_{na}}{1 + X_{na} Y_{n-1}^{a}}, \quad A_{na} = \frac{1 + B_{na} C_{na}}{1 + X_{na} Y_{n-1}^{a}}, \quad D_{na} = 1 + X_{na} Y_{n-1}^{a}.$$ 

(2.44)

Requiring also that $V$ satisfies the time-like Poisson structure (2.35) and hence (2.18)–(2.20) we produce the time-like algebra for the fields, which reads as (we only write below the fundamental commutators, see also [7], and appendix B for the time-like quantum algebra):

$$\{Y_{n-1}^{a}, B_{ab}\}_T = - \{X_{na}, C_{ab}\}_T = (1 + X_{na} Y_{n-1}^{a}) \delta_{ab},$$

$$\{B_{na}, C_{ab}\}_T = - (Y_{n-1}B_{na} + X_{na}C_{na}) \delta_{ab}.$$ 

(2.45)

We now consider the fully discrete version of the NLS like model with a Lax pair $(L, V^{(2)})$ given in (2.36) and (2.43), and we employ the fully discrete zero curvature condition (see all the associated relations in appendix A). Then as in the semi-discrete time case studied in the previous subsection we can identify the fields $B, C$ in terms of $X, Y$ using equations (A.10), (A.12) and the definition for $N^{(2)}$ (2.44):

$$B_{na} = \frac{X_{n+1} - N_{na} X_{na} + X_{na}^2 (Y_{n-2} - N_{n-1} Y_{n-1})}{1 - X_{na} Y_{n-1}^{a}}.$$ 

(2.46)
Substituting the above expressions in (A.11) and (A.13) of the appendix A we obtain the quite involved space-time non-linear difference equations for the fields \(X, Y\)

\[
B_{n+1a} = N_{na} B_{na} + X_{na} D_{na} - \beta_{a+1} X_{na},
\]

\[
C_{na} = C_{n+1a} N_{na+1} + D_{n+1a} Y_{na} - Y_{na} \beta_{na},
\]

These equations are the fully discrete analogues of NLS type equations. Comparing (2.46)–(2.49) with (2.27) and (2.28) we conclude that (2.46)–(2.49) are the discrete space analogues of (2.27) and (2.28). Indeed, in the continuous space limit \(N \to 1\) and \(F_{n+1a} \to \partial_x F_a(x)\), so equations (2.46)–(2.49) reduce to (2.27) and (2.28).

2.2.2. The fully discrete Ablowitz–Ladik model. We now examine an alternative version of the fully discrete NLS model associated to a trigonometric \(r\)-matrix. Specifically, we examine the fully discrete version of the AL model. Indeed, the \(r\)-matrix for the AL model is a trigonometric one, a variation of the classical sine-Gordon \(r\)-matrix [21, 39]:

\[
r(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2 \sinh(\lambda)} \left( \cosh(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_{jj} \otimes e_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{2} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} + \sinh(\lambda) \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{jj} \right).
\]

(2.50)

We also recall that the classical Lax operator for the AL model is given by (see e.g. [2, 34, 36])

\[
L(n, z) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
z \\
\beta_n \\
z^{-1}
\end{array} \right),
\]

(2.51)

where \(z = e^\lambda\) is the multiplicative spectral parameter. The \(L\)-operator satisfies (2.34), with \(r\) being the trigonometric matrix (2.50). This leads to the classical algebra for the fields (see also [34]):

\[
\{b_n, \hat{b}_n\}_S = \delta_{nm} \left( 1 - b_n \hat{b}_n \right), \quad \{b_n, b_m\}_S = \{ \hat{b}_n, \hat{b}_m \}_S = 0.
\]

(2.52)

The AL model is thus associated to a deformed harmonic oscillator classical algebra (\(q\)-bosons at the quantum level [39]). Note that \(n, m\) denote space like indices. Dependence of a continuum time-like parameter \(t\) or a discrete time dependence characterized by some time index \(a\) is implied, but is not explicitly stated for now.

From the space-like transfer matrix we obtain the following space-like conserved quantities, after expanding suitably in powers of \(z^{\pm 1}\)

\[
H^+_S = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_{n+1} \beta_{n}, \quad H^-_S = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_{n+1} \hat{\beta}_{n}.
\]

(2.53)

Let us also introduce realizations of the time-like algebra (2.35) with the \(r\)-matrix given in (2.50). These realizations will play the role of the discrete time components of the fully discrete AL Lax pairs:

\[
V^-(a, z) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
z \\
\hat{B}_a \\
z^{-1}
\end{array} \right), \quad V^+(a, z) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
z^{-1} \beta_a \\
B_a \\
z^{-1}
\end{array} \right).
\]

(2.54)
Note that here \(a, b\) denote time indices, whereas space dependence is implied, but is not explicitly stated for now. Requiring that both \(V^\pm\) satisfy the time-like algebra we obtain the associated time-like Poisson relations for the fields:

\[
\left\{ B_a, \hat{B}_b \right\}_T = \delta_{ab} \left( 1 - B_a \hat{B}_a \right), \quad \left\{ B_a, B_b \right\}_T = \left\{ \hat{B}_a, \hat{B}_b \right\}_T = 0, \tag{2.55}
\]

where \(A_a = -1 + \hat{B}_a B_a\) and is compatible with the Poisson structure above.\(^4\)

From the time-like transfer matrix (2.4) we obtain the following time-like conserved quantities corresponding to \(V^\pm\):

\[
H^+_T = \sum_{a=1}^M \left( \hat{B}_{a+1} B_a - \hat{B}_a B_{a+1} \right), \quad H^-_T = \sum_{a=1}^M \left( \hat{B}_a B_{a+1} - \hat{B}_{a+1} B_a \right). \tag{2.56}
\]

We shall use suitable Lax pairs to produce space and time discretizations of the AL model by considering three distinct cases:

A. We first consider the Lax pair \((L, V^-)\):

\[
L(n, a, z) = \left( \frac{\beta_{na}^{-1}}{\beta_{na}} \right), \quad V^-(n, a, z) = \left( \frac{\beta_{na}}{\beta_{na}} - z \beta_{na}^{-1} \right), \tag{2.57}
\]

where \(\beta_{na} = -1 + \beta_{na}^{-1} \beta_{na-1}^{-1}\). From the fully discrete compatibility condition (2.31) the equations of motion arise (from the anti-diagonal entries):

\[
\beta_{na-1} = \beta_{n-1a} + \beta_{na} - \beta_{na} \beta_{na-1} \beta_{n-1a},
\]

\[
\beta_{na+1} = \beta_{n+1a} + \beta_{na} - \beta_{n+1a} \beta_{na} \beta_{na} \tag{2.58}.
\]

B. We next consider the Lax pair \((L, V^+)\):

\[
L(n, a, z) = \left( \frac{\beta_{na}^{-1}}{\beta_{na}} \right), \quad V^+(n, a, z) = \left( \frac{z - \beta_{na}^{-1}}{\beta_{na}^{-1}} \right), \tag{2.59}
\]

where \(\beta_{na}^+ = -1 + \beta_{na}^{-1} \beta_{na+1}^{-1}\).\(^5\) From the fully discrete compatibility condition (2.31) we obtain the partial difference equations:

\[
\beta_{na} = \beta_{n+1a-1} + \beta_{n-1a} - \beta_{n+1a-1} \beta_{n+1a} \beta_{n-1a},
\]

\[
\beta_{na} = \beta_{n+1a+1} + \beta_{na+1} - \beta_{n+1a+1} \beta_{na} \beta_{na} + 1 \tag{2.60}.
\]

**Remark 2.1.** Interestingly, by adding equations (2.58) and (2.60) we obtain a space-time discrete analogue of an mKdV-like equation, provided that \(\beta_{n+1a} \to \beta_{n+1a-1}\):

\[
\beta_{na} - \beta_{na-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \beta_{na} \beta_{na-1} \right) \left( \beta_{n+1a} - \beta_{n-1a} \right). \tag{2.61}
\]

\(^4\) A can be defined up to an overall multiplicative constant.

\(^5\) To emphasize the notion of ‘ultra-locality’, and also for our notation to be compatible with the rest of the examples, we may introduce a new fundamental field \(\gamma_{na} := \beta_{na}^{-1}\), so the fields that appear in \(L\) in this case are \(\beta_{na}^{-1}, \gamma_{na}^{-1}\) and the fields in \(V^+\) are \(\beta_{na}^{-1}, \gamma_{na-1}^{-1}\).
Comparison with Hirota’s lattice KdV reduction [30] would be very interesting, however for such a comparison to be possible a fully discrete analogue of a Miura-like transformation would be needed. This is a significant open question, which however will be addressed elsewhere.

C. Finally we consider the Lax pair \((L^+, V^-)\):

\[
L^+(n, a, z) = \left( z - \frac{z^{-1} A_{na}}{\beta_{na-1}} \right), \quad V^- (n, a, z) = \left( z \beta_{na} - \frac{\beta_{na-1} z^{-1}}{z A_{na} + z^{-1}} \right),
\]

where \(A_{na} = -1 + \beta_{na} \beta_{na-1} \) and \(A_{na} = -1 + \beta_{na} \beta_{na-1} \), also \(L\) is structurally similar to \(V^+\), but the time and space indices are interchanged, i.e. \(L\) satisfies the space-like algebra and the fields then satisfy the ultra-local Poisson relations for fixed time \(a\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \beta_{na}, \hat{\beta}_{ma} \}_S &= \delta_{nm} \left( 1 - \beta_{na} \beta_{ma} \right) \\
\{ \beta_{na}, \beta_{ma} \}_S &= \{ \hat{\beta}_{na}, \hat{\beta}_{ma} \}_S = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The space time difference equations arising from the fully discrete zero curvature condition read as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\beta}_{na} + \beta_{na-1} \beta_{na} &= \hat{\beta}_{na-1} \beta_{na} \beta_{na+1} \\
\beta_{na+1} + \beta_{na-1} \beta_{na} &= \beta_{na+1} \beta_{na} \beta_{na-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Consistency checks have been also performed by comparing the diagonal terms in the compatibility condition (2.31) for the three distinct Lax pairs presented above.

### 3. Darboux-dressing formulation and solutions

The most efficient way to derive the continuous time components of Lax pairs, i.e. the \(V\)-operators is the use of the STS formula. This formula can be derived provided that an associated Poisson structure is available, then use of the zero curvature condition and Hamilton’s equations leads to STS formula [49]. However, in the discrete time set up the analogue of the STS formula is not available, thus alternative ways to construct the \(V\)-hierarchy are required.

In the preceding section we were able to construct the \(V\)-operators by requiring that they satisfy the time-like quadratic Poisson structure. In what follows, mostly as a consistency check on the findings of the previous section, we implement the discrete time Darboux-dressing formulation to identify the \(V\)-hierarchy, and confirm the findings of the algebraic approach. This process also offers a systematic means to derive solutions of the associated integrable non-linear difference equations as discussed in subsection 3.3.

#### 3.1. The semi-discrete time NLS hierarchy

We first examine the semi-discrete time scenario and consider the Lax pair \((U, V)\), where \(U\) is given by \(U^{(2)}\) in (2.25) and the hierarchy of \(V\)-operators will be derived through the dressing process, i.e. we are considering now the space-like description as opposed to the time-like consideration of subsection 2.1.1. In particular, we are going to explicitly derive the first two members of the discrete time hierarchy, \(V^{(1)}\) and \(V^{(2)}\) confirming the algebraic findings of subsection 2.1.
Consider the associated auxiliary linear problem (2.1), and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the Darboux transform such that:

$$\Psi(x, a, \lambda) = \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) \hat{\Psi}(x, a, \lambda),$$

(3.1)

where both $\Psi, \hat{\Psi}$ are solutions of associated linear problems with Lax pairs $(U, V)$ and $(\hat{U}, \hat{V})$ respectively. Let us focus on the $x$-part of the linear auxiliary problem to derive the $x$-part of the Darboux–Bäcklund relations:

$$\partial_x \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) = U(x, a, \lambda) \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) - \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) \hat{U}(x, a, \lambda).$$

(3.2)

We consider here the fundamental Darboux transform for the NLS hierarchy (see also recent relevant results for the NLS model and generalizations [15, 17])

$$\mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda + A_a(x) & B_a(x) \\
C_a(x) & \lambda + D_a(x)
\end{array}\right).$$

(3.3)

Also, recall that $U$ is given by $U^{(2)}$ in (2.25), and $\hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using the fundamental Darboux matrix above and solving the $x$-part of the Darboux–Bäcklund transformation (BT) relations (3.2) we obtain the following sets of constraints (see also e.g. [15]):

$$B_a = -\hat{u}_a, \quad C_a = u_{a-1}, \quad D_a = \Theta - A_a,$$

(3.4)

where $\Theta$ is an arbitrary constant, and the extra constraints

$$\partial_x u_a = \hat{u}_a, \quad \partial_{x} u_{a-1} = u_{a-1} A_a, \quad \partial_{x} A_a = \hat{u}_a u_a.$$

(3.5)

We shall also perform the discrete time dressing to obtain the $V$-operators and confirm the expressions for $V$ derived algebraically in the previous section. Let us consider the general form of the $V$-operator associated to a certain discrete time characterized by an index $a$,

$$V^{(0)}(\lambda) = \lambda^m D + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda^k \mathcal{Y}^{(k,m)},$$

(3.6)

recall $D = \text{diag}(1, 0)$, and we express $\mathcal{M} = \lambda I + K$, where $I$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix, and the matrix $K$ reads from (3.3). Also, $V^{(m)}(\lambda) = \lambda^m D + I$. From the discrete time part of the Darboux relations

$$\mathcal{M}(x, a + 1, \lambda) V(x, a, \lambda) = V(x, a, \lambda) \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda),$$

(3.7)

the following recursion relations emerge for the generic object $V^{(m)}$ (3.6) ($x$ dependence on the expression below is always implied, but omitted for brevity):

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(m-1,m)}(a) = K(a + 1)D - DK(a),$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(k-1,m)}(a) = -\mathcal{Y}^{(k,m)}(a) K(a), \quad k \in \{2, \ldots, m - 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(0,m)}(a) - 1 = -\mathcal{Y}^{(1,m)}(a) K(a)$$

(3.8)

$$K(a + 1) = \mathcal{Y}^{(0,m)}(a) K(a).$$

We now focus on the explicit derivation of the first two members of the discrete time hierarchy, $V^{(1)}$ and $V^{(2)}$. 
Let us first identify the $V^{(1)}$ operator and the corresponding non-linear ODEs. The constraints emerging from (3.8) associated to $V^{(1)}$ are summarized below:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{12}(0,1) &= -Ba, \\
Y_{21}(0,1) &= Ca + 1, \\
Y_{11}(0,1) &= Aa + 1 - Aa.
\end{align*}
$$

(3.9)

which lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{u}_{a+1} - \hat{u}_a &= u_a, \\
\hat{u}_{a+1} &= u_a Aa + \hat{u}_a Aa,
\end{align*}
$$

(3.10)

Combining the constraints (3.9) and (3.10), and recalling the $x$-part of the Darboux transform (3.5) we conclude:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{11}(0,1) &= 1 + u_a \hat{u}_a, \\
Aa + 1 - Aa &= Y_{11}(0,1) Aa
\end{align*}
$$

(3.11)

Analogous expressions were obtained in [17], where the semi-discrete space case was studied. In this particular case we observe a simple exchange of the role of space and time. The latter equations can be seen as non-linear versions of the transport equation. We have thus reproduced expression (2.12) for $V^{(1)}$ confirming the algebraic approach of the previous section.

We move on to derive $V^{(2)}$ via the dressing formulation. Let us introduce the following notation compatible with the expression (2.16) derived in the previous subsection:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{11}(0,2) &= \hat{A}_a, \\
Y_{22}(0,2) &= \hat{D}_a, \\
Y_{12}(0,2) &= \hat{B}_a, \\
Y_{21}(0,2) &= \hat{C}_a.
\end{align*}
$$

and $Y_{22}(1,2) = 0$, $Y_{21}(1,2) = N^{(2)}_a$. Then from equation (3.8) for $V^{(2)}$ we obtain for the off diagonal entries

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{12}(1,2) &= \hat{u}_a, \\
Y_{21}(1,2) &= u_a
\end{align*}
$$

(3.12)

as well as the following set of constraints

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{B}_a &= \hat{u}_a Aa + N^{(2)}(a) \hat{u}_a, \\
\hat{B}_a Aa &= \hat{u}_{a+1} - \hat{u}_a Aa, \\
\hat{C}_a &= -u_a \hat{A}_a, \\
\hat{C}_a Aa &= u_a - D_a u_{a-1}.
\end{align*}
$$

(3.13)

(3.14)

(3.15)

(3.16)

The diagonal entries of (3.8) lead to:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{a+1} - A_a &= \hat{C}_a \hat{u}_a + u_{a+1} - u_a Aa, \\
A_{a+1} - A_a &= N^{(2)}_a, \\
A_{a+1} &= \hat{A}_a Aa + B_a u_{a-1}, \\
\hat{D}_a &= 1 + u_a \hat{u}_a, \\
\hat{B}_a &= 1 - N^{(2)}_a A_a - \hat{u}_a u_{a-1}.
\end{align*}
$$

(3.17)

(3.18)

(3.19)

(3.20)

Combining equations (3.17), (3.18) and (3.13) we conclude the $N^{(2)}_a$ as expected is given by expressions (2.17). Similarly, $\hat{D}_a$ given in (3.20) agrees with expression (2.17) from the algebraic viewpoint. Also, for $\hat{B}_a$ we conclude via (3.20), (3.15), (3.16) and the definition of $\hat{D}_a$ (3.20) to the expression given by (2.17). The dressing process yields exactly the same
expression for \( V^{(2)} \) as the algebraic formulation of subsection 2.1 and this is indeed a strong consistency check. Moreover, the equations of motion derived in subsection 2.2 via the zero curvature condition (see also (A.5) and (A.6)), are recovered via equations (3.13)–(3.20) and using the \( x \)-part of the Darboux-BT relations (3.5). Indeed, expressions (A.5) are immediately recovered by combining (3.13), (3.15) and recalling (3.5).

3.2. The fully discrete time NLS hierarchy

We come now to the application of the fully discrete Darboux-dressing process in order to construct the fully discrete NLS hierarchy. Let \( \hat{M} \) be the local Darboux transformation such that

\[
\hat{M}(n+1, a, \lambda) \hat{\Lambda}(n, a, \lambda) = \Lambda(n, a, \lambda) \hat{M}(n, a, \lambda),
\]

(3.22)

where \( \Lambda \) is given by (2.36) and \( \hat{\Lambda} \) is in general of the same form, but with fields \( \hat{X}, \hat{Y} \), and here we consider the simple case where \( \hat{X} = \hat{Y} = 0 \). Similarly for the discrete time components of the Lax pair the transformation (3.21) leads to

\[
V(n, a, \lambda) \hat{M}(n, a, \lambda) = \hat{M}(n, a + 1, \lambda) \hat{V}(n, a, \lambda).
\]

(3.23)

We consider for now the fundamental Darboux matrix given in (3.3), but \( G_a(x) \rightarrow G_{na} \), where \( G \in \{A, B, C, D\} \).

From the discrete space part of the Darboux-BT relations (3.22) we obtain,

\[
B_{na} = -X_{na}, \quad C_{na} = Y_{n-1a-1},
\]

(3.24)

We also derive, as expected that \( D_{na} = 1 - A_{na} \) and \( N_{na} = 1 + X_{na} Y_{na-1} \). The discrete space dressing has been performed in [17]), and detailed computations can be found there.

Let us first derive \( V^{(1)} \), being of the form (2.39). From (3.23), we obtain relations (3.9) and (3.10) provided that \( u_a(x) \rightarrow Y_{n-1a}, \hat{u}_a(x) \rightarrow X_{na} \) and \( \hat{N}_a(x) \rightarrow \hat{N}_{na} \), conforming also that \( \hat{N}_{na} = 1 + X_{na} Y_{na-1} \). Similarly, for the derivation of \( V^{(2)} \) (2.43) we obtain via (3.23) equations (3.13)–(3.16) and (3.17)–(3.20), but \( u_a(x) \rightarrow Y_{n-1a}, \hat{u}_a(x) \rightarrow X_{na} \) and \( \hat{F}_a(x) \rightarrow \hat{F}_{na} \), where \( F \in \{N^{(2)}, B, C, \hat{A}, \hat{D}\} \), confirming also equation (2.44) coming from the algebraic approach.

3.3. Solutions

Having derived the \( V \)-operators of the discrete time NLS systems via the dressing methodology we come now to the derivation of solutions of the associated integrable non-linear difference equations.

Solitonic solutions can be obtained from the fundamental Darboux matrix as in the continuous and the semi-discrete space case (see e.g. [17] and references therein). In fact, by solving the constraints from the space part of the Darboux transform we obtain such solutions. We do not provide the detailed computations here, however for a more detailed analysis on the derivation of these expression we refer the interested reader to [17]. In any case, such expressions will be also identified in the subsequent section in a more straightforward manner using a different Darboux matrix, which provides not only solitonic, but generic solutions for both
the semi-discrete time scenario and the fully discrete case. We report below the expressions of the stationary solutions found in the semi-discrete space case [17], which are also valid in the fully discrete case:

(1) Solitons of type I

\[ X_n = \frac{\xi^{n-1}(\xi - 1)x_1}{\xi^{n-1}(\xi - 1 + d_1) - d_1}, \quad Y_n = \frac{\xi^{-n}(\xi - 1)(1 - a_1)y_1}{\xi^{-n}(\xi - 1 + a_1) - a_1}, \]

(3.25)

where \( x_1, y_1, a_1, d_1 \) are constants. Periodic boundary conditions are valid for all the associated fields and this can be easily checked by inspection provided that \( \xi^N = 1 \).

Note that in the stationary solutions above the discrete time dependence is naturally introduced: \((X_n, Y_n) \rightarrow (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})\) and \(\xi^n \rightarrow \xi^0\), where \(\zeta = 1 = (\xi - 1)^2\), (see also next section, where a detailed discussion on the related dispersion relations is presented). The soliton I solutions \( \hat{u}_\theta(x), \hat{u}_{\theta+1}(x) \) for the discrete time and continuum space case studied in the proceeding section have the same form as in (3.25), but \(\xi^n \rightarrow e^{-\xi_1}\) and the dispersion relation becomes \(\zeta = k^2 + 1\) (see also next section).

(2) Solitons of type II

\[ X_n = \frac{(\zeta - 1)x_1}{(\zeta + 1 + \hat{k}_1)d_1\eta^{n+1} - \hat{k}_1 d_1 \epsilon^{-n+1}}, \quad Y_n = \frac{\eta(\zeta - 1)(1 - \hat{k}_2 a_1)y_1}{(\zeta + 1 + \hat{k}_2 a_1)\eta^n - \hat{k}_2 a_1 \epsilon^n}, \]

where \(\hat{\xi} = \epsilon^{-1}, \hat{\kappa} = \eta^{-1}, \eta = 1 + \epsilon, \epsilon = 1 - \eta, \) and \(\hat{\zeta} = \xi^{-1}, \hat{\kappa} = -\hat{\kappa}^{-1}\) (see also [17]), and \(x_1, y_1, \hat{a}_1, d_1\). As in the case 1 above the time dependence is easily implemented: \((X_n, Y_n) \rightarrow (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})\) and \(\eta^n \rightarrow \eta^n\xi^n, \epsilon^n \rightarrow e^{-\hat{\xi}_1}\), where \(\zeta = 1 = (\epsilon - 1)^2, \zeta = 1 = (\eta - 1)^2\), (see also next subsection). Similarly to case 1 the soliton II solutions \( \hat{u}_\theta(x), \hat{u}_{\theta+1}(x) \) for the discrete time and continuum space case studied in the proceeding section have the same form as in (3.26), but \(\eta^n \rightarrow e^{-\xi_1}, \epsilon^n \rightarrow e^{-2\xi_1}\), and the dispersion relation becomes \(\zeta = k_1^2 + 1, \zeta = k_2^2 + 1\), (see also next subsection on the issue of dispersion relations).

Note that two-soliton solutions can be obtained by repeatedly applying the fundamental Darboux and using Bianchi’s permutability theorem. Detailed computations and explicit expressions of such solutions can be found in [17] for the semi-discrete space NLS model.

**Solutions from the Toda type Darboux.** We consider in what follows a different type of Darboux transformation, the Toda type Darboux (see also e.g. [17]). We shall employ this transformation to identify generic solutions for both the semi-discrete time and the fully discrete NLS systems generalizing the findings of [17].

1. **The semi-discrete time NLS.** Let us first discuss the semi-discrete time NLS case and derive solution via the Toda type Darboux transformation repeating some of the fundamental computations of [17]. Recall the \(U\)-operator of the continuous space and discrete time Lax pair \((U, V)\), where \(U\) is given by \(U^{(2)}\) in (2.25). As was shown in [17] in order to derive general solutions of the non-linear ODEs/PDEs in the simplest possible way we use the Toda type Darboux transform:

\[ \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + A_0 & B_0 \\ C_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

(3.26)

The \(x\)-part of the Darboux transform gives:

\[ \partial_x \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) = U(x, a, \lambda) \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) - \mathcal{M}(x, a, \lambda) U_0(x, a, \lambda), \]

(3.27)
where \( U_0 \) is also given by \( U^{(2)}(2.25) \), but \( u_a \rightarrow u^{(0)}_a, \hat{u}_a \rightarrow \hat{u}^{(0)}_a \). If \( u^{(0)}_a = 0 \), then \( \hat{u}^{(0)}_a \) satisfies the linear equation (we consider the example of the NLS-like equations (2.27) and (2.28))

\[
\hat{u}^{(0)}_{a+1} - \hat{u}^{(0)}_a = \partial^2_x \hat{u}^{(0)}_a.
\]

(3.28)

The equation above is nothing but the discrete time version of the heat equation. The solution of the linear equation \( \hat{u}^{(0)}_a \) can be expressed as

\[
\hat{u}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{S} c_j e^{-k_j x + \Lambda_j a}, \quad \text{and/or} \quad \hat{u}_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda \, c(\lambda) e^{i\lambda x + \lambda a}
\]

(3.29)

with dispersion relations given as

\[
\Lambda_j = \ln \left( k_j^2 + 1 \right), \quad \Lambda_j = \ln \left( -\lambda^2 + 1 \right).
\]

(3.30)

From the Darboux relations (3.27) we obtain: \( B_a = \hat{u}^{(0)}_a, C_a = u_{a+1} \)

\[
\partial_x \hat{u}^{(0)}_a = -A_a \hat{u}^{(0)}_a, \quad \partial_x u_{a-1} = A_a u_{a-1}, \quad \partial_x A_a = u_{a-1} \hat{u}_a.
\]

(3.31)

Solving the equations above leads to:

\[
u_{a-1} = \frac{g}{\hat{u}_a} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_a = -g^{-1} \frac{\hat{u}^{(0)}_a \partial^2_x \hat{u}^{(0)}_a - (\partial_x \hat{u}^{(0)}_a)^2}{\hat{u}^{(0)}_a}.
\]

(3.32)

Choosing for instance the simple linear solutions: \( \hat{u}_0 = c_1 e^{-k_1 x + \Lambda_1 a} + c_2 \) or \( \hat{u}_0 = c_1 e^{-k_1 x + \Lambda_1 a} + c_2 e^{-k_2 x + \Lambda_2 a} \) we obtain one soliton solutions, respectively:

\[
u_{a-1} = \frac{g}{c_1 e^{-k_1 x + \Lambda_1 a} + c_2} \quad \text{type I soliton}
\]

(3.33)

\[
u_{a-1} = \frac{g}{c_1 e^{-k_1 x + \Lambda_1 a} + c_2 e^{-k_2 x + \Lambda_2 a}} \quad \text{type II soliton}
\]

(3.34)

and similarly for \( \hat{u}_a \).

2. The fully discrete NLS. We focus now on the derivation of the fully discrete NLS solutions by means of the Toda type Darboux.

\[
\tilde{M}(n + 1, a, \lambda) L_0(n, a, \lambda) = L(n, a, \lambda) \tilde{M}(n, a, \lambda),
\]

(3.35)

where the \( L \) operator is given by (2.36) and \( L_0 \) is given by the same expression as \( L \), but with \( X_{na} \rightarrow X^{(0)}_{na} \) and \( Y_{na} \rightarrow Y^{(0)}_{na} \). As in the semi discrete case above we are considering the case where \( Y^{(0)}_{na} = 0 \), then it follows from the set of equations of motion for the fields (A.10)–(A.17) that \( X^{(0)}_{na} \) satisfy the set of linear difference equations:

\[
X^{(0)}_{n+2a} - 2X^{(0)}_{n+1a} + X^{(0)}_{na} = X^{(0)}_{na+1} - X^{(0)}_{na},
\]

(3.36)

which is the fully discrete analogue of heat equation. The solutions of the linear difference equations above are of the generic form

\[
X^{(0)}_{na} = \sum_{s=1}^{S} c_s e^{k_s a} e^{\xi_s a}, \quad \text{and/or} \quad X^{(0)}_{na} = \int_{|\xi|=1} d\xi \, c(\xi) e^{\xi a} e^{\xi_1 a},
\]

(3.37)

and the associated dispersion relations are easily extracted in this setting and read as

\[
\zeta_s - 1 = (\xi_s - 1)^2.
\]

(3.38)
After solving the set of equations provided by (3.35) for (3.26) we conclude (recall we have set $Y_n^{(0)} = 0$, see also [17]),

\[ Y_{n-1} = Y_{n-1} - A_{n+1}A_n, \]  

(3.39)

\[ X_{n+1}^{(0)}X_n^{(0)} - 1 = X_{n+1}Y_{n-1} - A_{n+1}A_n \]  

(3.40)

\[ A_{n+1} - A_n = X_nY_{n-1}. \]  

(3.41)

Via (3.39)–(3.41) we obtain

\[ Y_{n-1} = \prod_{m=2}^{n} (1 - A_m), \quad X_n = (A_{n+1} - A_n) \prod_{m=2}^{n} (1 - A_m)^{-1} X_1. \]

Having the solution $X_n^{(0)}$ at our disposal we can immediately solve for

\[ 1 - A_n = X_n^{(0)}X_n^{(0)} - 1 \Rightarrow \prod_{m=2}^{n} (1 - A_m) = \frac{X_n^{(0)}X_n^{(0)}}{X_2^{(0)}}, \]  

(3.42)

and hence obtain the explicit expressions for both fields:

\[ Y_{n-1} = \frac{X_n^{(0)}}{X_n^{(0)} + 1} Y_1, \quad X_n = -\frac{X_n^{(0)}Y_1^{(0)}}{Y_1^{(0)} + 1} Y_1 - \frac{X_n^{(0)}X_n^{(0)} - X_n^{(0)}Y_1^{(0)}}{X_n^{(0)} + 1}. \]  

(3.43)

Periodic boundary conditions ($X_{N+1} = X_1, Y_{N+1} = Y_1$) are valid provided that $X_2^{(0)} = X_1^{(0)}$. Also, $X_2^{(0)}$ and $Y_1$ (boundary terms) in the expressions above are treated as constants. Expressions (3.43) are general new solutions of the non-linear partial differential equations for the fully DNLS hierarchy in terms of solutions of the fully discrete heat equation. We describe below two simple solutions of the type (3.43), which reproduce the two types of discrete solitons.

As in the discrete time case examined in the previous subsection we consider the following simple linear solutions:

(a) We first choose

\[ X_n^{(0)} = c_1 + c_2 \xi_n \zeta_n, \]  

(3.44)

where recall $\zeta - 1 = (\xi - 1)^2$, (see also (3.38)). We substitute (3.44) in (3.43), and we obtain the discrete analogues of type I solitons:

\[ X_n = \frac{(X_2^{(0)})^{-1} Y_1^{(0)} - c_1 c_2 (\xi - 1)^2}{c_2 + c_1 \xi^{-n} \zeta^{-a}}, \quad Y_n = \frac{X_2^{(0)} Y_1^{(0)}}{c_1 + c_2 \xi^{n+1} \zeta^a}. \]  

(3.45)

(b) The second simple choice is

\[ X_n^{(0)} = c_1 \eta_n^{n} \zeta_n^{a} + c_2 \xi_n^{n} \zeta_n^{a}, \]  

(3.46)

where $\zeta_n$ are given by (3.38). After substituting the above in (3.43) we obtain the type II discrete solitons:

\[ X_n = \frac{(X_2^{(0)})^{-1} Y_1^{(0)} - c_1 c_2 (\eta - 1)^2}{c_1 \zeta^{-n} \zeta^{-a} + c_2 \eta^{-n} \zeta^{a}}, \quad Y_n = \frac{X_2^{(0)} Y_1^{(0)}}{c_1 \zeta^{n+1} \zeta^a + c_2 \eta \zeta^{n} \zeta^a}. \]  

(3.47)
With this we conclude our explicit computation of the two types of discrete soliton solutions for the fully discrete NLS model. For generic Fourier transforms of the solutions of the linear problem we obtain distinct solutions of the fully discrete NLS.

4. The two-dimensional quantum lattice

Our goal now is to generalize the fully discrete description in the quantum case by constructing the two-dimensional quantum lattice. Out basis for such a construction will be the fundamental RTT scheme for deriving quantum algebras (see e.g. [20, 37]). We first briefly review this formulation and then we use it for the construction of the two-dimensional quantum lattice. For a given $R$-matrix, solution of the Yang–Baxter equation, associated quantum algebras emerge from the core relation:

$$R(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \left( L(\lambda_1) \otimes I \right) \left( I \otimes L(\lambda_2) \right) = \left( I \otimes L(\lambda_1) \right) \left( L(\lambda_2) \otimes I \right) R(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2). \quad (4.1)$$

As in the classical frame the $L$-operator is the fundamental object and encodes the key algebraic information.

Before we proceed with our construction let us first introduce the ‘double quantum space’ notation, which is suitable for the description of the two-dimensional quantum lattice. Let $\mathcal{A}_S$ and $\mathcal{A}_T$ denote the spatial and temporal quantum algebras respectively, and let us also distinguish two types of $L$ operator: space-like Lax operators $L \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{A}_S \otimes \mathcal{A}_k^T$, versus time-like operators, i.e. the quantum analogues of $V$-operators, $V \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{A}_l^S \otimes \mathcal{A}^T$, both satisfying (4.1). In the examples we are considering here $k = l = 2$. In the double quantum index notation for $L(n, a)$ ($n$ space index and $a$ times index), $\mathcal{A}_S$ occupies the $n$th site in the space-like tensor product, whereas $\mathcal{A}_k^T$ occupy the sites $a - k + 1$ to $a$ in the time-like tensor product. An analogous interpretation holds for $V(n, a)$.

In the space-like description, precisely as in the classical case, we ‘freeze’ the time index and we construct the one-dimensional space monodromy $T_S(n, 1, a, \lambda) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{A}_S^n \otimes \mathcal{A}_k^T$ as in (2.32), whereas in the time-like description we freeze space indices and construct the time-like monodromy $T_T(n, M, 1, \lambda) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{A}_l^S \otimes \mathcal{A}_M^T$ as in (2.4). Naturally $T_S$ and $T_T$ satisfy (4.1) and consequently traces over the auxiliary space lead to commuting transfer matrices: $t_S \in \mathcal{A}_S^N \otimes \mathcal{A}_k^T$ and $t_T \in \mathcal{A}_l^S \otimes \mathcal{A}_M^T$.

It is worth noting that in the space transfer matrix the discrete time dependence is considered to be implicit, and similarly in the time transfer matrix the space dependence is implicit. The term ‘quantum spaces’, albeit slightly misleading, refers in general to copies of the spatial and temporal quantum algebras (that might be also represented). The double quantum index notation for the quantum Lax pair $(L(n, a), V(n, a))$ is also compatible with the classical notation of section 2. The figures in pages 11–12 as well as relevant comments on space and time monodromies, and the two-dimensional lattice apply in the quantum case as well. Specifically, the purple and green lines in these figures correspond as expected to spatial and temporal quantum spaces respectively. A concrete frame that describes two-dimensional quantum integrable lattices is provided by the so called tetrahedron equation [9, 58]. Our construction is more straightforward in the sense that the partial quantum algebras we are interested in are independent of each other, and they both emerge from the fundamental relation (4.1) as argued above. In fact, both space and time algebras can be embedded in a bigger algebra, which however simply decomposes into two independent parts ruled by (4.1).

We next examine the quantum versions of the two main examples considered in the classical case, i.e. the fully discrete NLS and AL models.
1. The discrete NLS model. We first examine the quantum DNLS system. Inspired by the classical expressions we consider the generic algebraic objects of the form
\[ L^{(m)}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \lambda^k Y^{(k,m)}, \tag{4.2} \]
where \( Y^{(m,m)} = \text{diag}(1,0). \)

- The basic assumptions
  1. The \( L^{(m)} \)-operators satisfy the quantum algebra (4.1), where \( R(\lambda) = \lambda + P \) is the Yangian \( R \)-matrix, and recall \( P = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} \) is the permutation operator for the general \( gl_d \) case.
  2. We require the existence of an inverse:
\[ L^{(m)}(\lambda) \bar{L}^{(m)}(-\lambda) = f^{(m)}(\lambda) I, \tag{4.3} \]
where \( f^{(m)}(\lambda) = \lambda^m + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k \lambda^k \), and we define
\[ \bar{L}(\lambda) = (U \otimes \text{id}) L^{(m)}(\lambda) (U \otimes \text{id}), \tag{4.4} \]
\( U = \text{antidiag}(i,-i) \) and \( ^t \) denotes transposition with respect to the two-dimensional in our case (\( d \) dimensional in general), ‘auxiliary’ space. Specifically, let \( L(\lambda) = \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes L_{ij}(\lambda) \) then \( L^{(m)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes L_{ij}(\lambda) \).

The condition (4.3) is equivalent to the requirement that the quantum determinant of \( L^{(m)} \) is proportional to the identity, in analogy to the classical case. The problem thus reduces into deriving realizations of the quantum algebra of the form (4.1) subject to the constraint (4.3).

Let us focus on the first two elements of the algebraic hierarchy \( L^{(1)} \) and \( L^{(2)} \) assuming that they provide realizations of the quantum algebra (4.1). Let us express \( L^{(1)}, L^{(2)} \) as follows
\[ L^{(1)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + N & X \\ Y & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^{(2)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 + \lambda N^{(2)} + A & \lambda X + B \\ \lambda Y + C & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.5} \]

**The \( L^{(1)} \)-operator.** For \( m = 1 \) and \( f^{(1)} = \lambda + 1 \) we recover the DNLS model [40, 41]. Indeed, solving condition (4.3) we conclude that \( N = 1 + XY \) and due to the fact that \( L^{(1)} \) satisfies the quantum algebra (4.1) we obtain the familiar canonical relations for the fields: \( [X, Y] = 1 \), and the extra relations \( [X, N] = X \) compatible with the definition of \( N \) from (4.3). A familiar representation of the canonical fields is given in terms of differential operators as \( X \mapsto x \xi, Y \mapsto x^{-1} \partial_x \), where \( x \) commutes with both \( \xi, \partial_x \). \( \square \)

**The \( L^{(2)} \)-operator.** For \( m = 2 \) and \( f(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + a_1 \lambda + a_0 \), then condition (4.3) gives rise to the following identities (we choose \( a_1 = 1 \)) \( ^6 \)
\[ \mathbb{D} = 1 + XY, \quad N^{(2)} = (XC + \mathcal{B}Y) \mathbb{D}^{-1} \tag{4.6} \]
and also
\[ A = \mathbb{D}^{-1} (a_0 - XC + \mathcal{B}C). \tag{4.7} \]

\(^6\) There is a freedom on the derivation of the fields up to constant and/or a shift depending on the choice of the constants \( a_i \).
The above expressions (4.6) and (4.7) are the quantum analogues of (2.45). The algebraic relations between the fundamental fields are dictated by (4.1) and are given as follows; we first give the exchange relations among the fundamental fields:

\[
[X, Y] = 0, \quad \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{N}^{(2)} \mathbb{D}, \quad [X, \mathbb{C}] = \mathbb{D}, \quad [Y, \mathbb{B}] = -\mathbb{D}.
\]  

(4.8)

All the exchange relations among the various fields emerging from (4.1) are presented in appendix B. The semi-classical limit of the quantum algebraic relations above indeed lead to the Poisson relations (2.18)–(2.20) and (2.45), provided that \([- , ] \rightarrow \{ , \}\).

A representation of the algebra (4.8) in terms of differential operators is given below

\[
X \mapsto f_x, \quad Y \mapsto g_y, \quad \mathbb{B} \mapsto g^{-1}(1 + fg_{xy})\partial_x, \quad \mathbb{C} \mapsto -f^{-1}(1 + fg_{xy})\partial_x,
\]

(4.9)

where \(f, g\) commute with each other and also commute with \(x, y, \partial_x, \partial_y\). Also, as is well known typical realizations of the algebra (4.8) are obtained as tensor products of the algebra, i.e. we define \(\mathcal{L}^j(\{ j \}, \lambda) = \mathcal{L}^{(j+1)}(j+1, \lambda)\mathcal{L}^{(j)}(j, \lambda)\), where here we use the index notation and \(j\) can be either space or time index.

Given the form of the \(\mathcal{L}\)-operators we derived above we can now identify the quantum space component is given by

\[
L_n \rightarrow f_n x_n, \quad Y_{n-1} \rightarrow g_{n-1} y_n,
\]

(4.10)

where in the expressions above a representation for \(f_n, g_n\), compatible with the space like algebra, can be given as \(f_n \mapsto \epsilon_n, g_n \mapsto \partial_{\epsilon_n}\).

2. The quantum AL model. Let us now focus on the case of a trigonometric R-matrix and the quantum versions of the AL model. The quantum AL model. Consider now various solutions of the RTT relations (4.1) in the case we choose the trigonometric matrix [39]:

\[
R(\lambda) = a(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^2 e_{jj} \otimes e_{jj} + c \sum_{i \neq j=1}^2 e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} + b(\lambda) \sum_{i \neq j=1}^2 q^{\text{sgn}(j-i)} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji},
\]

(4.11)

where \(q = e^\alpha\) and \(a(\lambda) = \sinh(\lambda + \mu), b(\lambda) = \sinh(\lambda), c = \sinh(\mu)\).

We consider below the quantum analogues of the three distinct cases discussed in the classical case:

\[
\mathcal{L}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & \hat{b} \\ \hat{b} & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix},
\]

(4.12)

and the associated quantum algebra (4.1) is given as (see also [39]) (recall \(z = e^\alpha\))

\[
q \hat{b} - q^{-1} \hat{b} z = q - q^{-1}.
\]

(4.13)

We also consider the following \(\mathcal{L}\)-operators, solutions of (4.1)

\[
\mathcal{L}^{-}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & \hat{B} \\ \hat{B} & -z \hat{A} + z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{+}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z - z^{-1} \hat{A} & \hat{B} \\ \hat{B} & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix},
\]

(4.14)
where \( A = -1 + \hat{B} \hat{B} \) (defined up to an overall multiplicative constant). The corresponding quantum algebra:

\[
q \hat{B} \hat{B} - q^{-1} \hat{B} \hat{B} = q - q^{-1}, \quad \hat{B} \hat{A} = q^{-2} \hat{A} \hat{B}, \quad \hat{B} \hat{A} = q^2 \hat{A} \hat{B}.
\] (4.15)

The semi-classical limit of the quantum algebraic relations (4.13) and (4.15) lead to the Poisson relations (2.52) and (2.55), provided that \( \frac{1}{q^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2} \).

Representations of the algebras (4.13) and (4.15) are provided as follows (see also [18, 37] and relevant references therein). Let \( X, Y \): \( XY = q^2 YX \), then

\[
\hat{b} := (q \xi X + 1) Y \zeta, \quad b := Y^{-1} \zeta^{-1},
\] (4.16)

where \( \xi, \zeta \) commute with \( X, Y \) and they commute with each other, similarly for \( \hat{B}, B \). Typical realizations of the elements \( X, Y \) are given as \( X := e^x, Y := e^y \) provided that \( [\xi, y] = 2 \mu (q = e^\mu) \). \( X, Y \) can be represented in terms of differential operators: \( \hat{x} \rightarrow -2 \mu x, \hat{y} \rightarrow \hat{\partial}_x \), or by matrices, for example \( X \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^p q^{\lambda_k} e_{jk} \) and \( Y \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^1 e_{ik+1} + e_{ip} \). The latter \( p \) dimensional representation is called the cyclic representation and is valid for \( \mu = \frac{2}{p} \).

The operators \( L, L^\pm \) will be now used for realizing the quantum discrete AL model. Below, we express the quantum Lax pairs in the double quantum notation.

A. We first consider the Lax pair \( (L, V^-) : L \rightarrow L(n, a): b \rightarrow \beta_{n-1}, b \rightarrow \beta_n \) and \( L^- \rightarrow V^-(n, a): \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}_{n-1}, B \rightarrow \hat{B}_n \).

B. We also consider the Lax pair \( (L, V^+) : L \rightarrow L(n, a): b \rightarrow \beta_{n-1}, b \rightarrow \gamma_{n-2} \) and \( L^+ \rightarrow V^+(n, a): \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}_{n-1}, B \rightarrow \gamma_{n-1} \).

C. Finally we consider the Lax pair \( (L^+, V^-) : L^+ \rightarrow L^+(n, a): \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}_n, B \rightarrow \hat{B}_{n-1} \) and \( V^+(n, a) \) is defined as in case A.

When defining the object \( A = -1 + \hat{B} \hat{B} \) appearing in \( L^\pm \) we consistently keep a specific order for the fields involved, which of course is irrelevant at the classical level. Similarly, the order in the non-linear terms in the partial difference equations (2.58), (2.60) and (2.64) is important in the quantum case. Our quantum description is also compatible with the notion of the quantum auxiliary linear problem and the quantum Darboux–Bäcklund transformation as discussed in [14, 38]. The various quantum equations of motion of (2.58), (2.60) and (2.64) are as expected precisely of the form of the quantum Darboux–Bäcklund relations appearing in [14, 38], due to the form of the discrete zero curvature condition (2.31) (cf (3.22)). Moreover, the algebraic content of the quantum Darboux matrix as suggested in [14] is fully justified by the existence of space-time quantum algebras, and in particular the fact that the \( V \)-operator, which plays the role of the Darboux matrix, satisfies the temporal quantum algebra.

**Remark 4.1.** The trigonometric \( R \)-matrix (4.11) as well as the various expressions for the \( L \)-operators can be associated to the more familiar \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \) \( R \)-matrix [33] (see for instance the use of the various versions in [14, 38, 39]) via suitable transformations.

Indeed, let \( \hat{L}(\lambda) = (G^{-1} \otimes V^{-1}) \hat{L}(\lambda) (G \otimes \text{id}) \) and \( \hat{R}(\lambda) = (G \otimes G^{-1}) \hat{R}(\lambda) (G \otimes G^{-1}) \), where \( G = \text{diag}(q^\mu, q^{-\mu}) \) and \( \hat{R} \) is the XXZ (or sine-Gordon) \( R \) matrix is given as [33]

\[
\hat{R}(\lambda) = a(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^2 e_{jj} \otimes e_{jj} + c \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ij} + b(\lambda) \sum_{ij} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj},
\] (4.17)

where recall, \( q = e^\mu \) and \( a(\lambda) = \sinh(\lambda + \mu), b(\lambda) = \sinh(\lambda), c = \sin(\mu) \). Also, the algebraic object \( V \) is such that, \( \hat{A} = V^{-2} \), equivalently, \( \hat{B} V = qV \hat{B} \) and \( B V = q^{-1} V B \). Also, \( \text{det}_q \hat{L} \propto \text{id} \) or equivalently (4.3) is valid for \( \hat{L} \). It can be shown by direct computation for
Remark 4.2. The $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ operators are associated to the same quantum algebra, however they provide distinct co-products.

Let us use $L^\pm$ as our examples to illustrate this. Let

$\hat{\mathcal{L}}^-(z) = \left( \frac{zV}{C} - zV^{-1} + z^{-1}V \right), \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}^+(z) = \left( \frac{zV - z^{-1}V^{-1}}{C} \right), \quad (4.18)$

where $C = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}V\hat{B}, \hat{C} = q^\frac{1}{2}V\hat{B}$. We also multiply $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ with $\sigma_z$ (the diagonal Pauli matrix), $((\sigma_+ \otimes \sigma_+)R(\sigma_- \otimes \sigma_-) = R$, same for $\hat{R}$). The quantum algebras emerging from (4.1) are Hopf algebras equipped with a co-product $\Delta(L(\lambda)) = L(2, \lambda)L(1, \lambda)$, where 1, 2 are quantum space indices. Then from $\mathcal{L}^-$ we obtain (recall $\mathcal{A} = V^{-2}$)

$\Delta(\mathbb{A}) = \mathbb{A} \otimes \text{id}, \quad \Delta(\hat{\mathbb{A}}) = \hat{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \text{id} + V^{-2} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{A}}, \quad (4.19)$

whereas from $\mathcal{L}^+$:

$\Delta(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \otimes V, \quad \Delta(\hat{\mathbb{C}}) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V + V^{-1} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (4.20)$

Similarly, from $\mathcal{L}^+(\mathcal{L}^+)$ with $\mathbb{B}, \hat{\mathbb{B}} (\mathbb{C}, \hat{\mathbb{C}})$ being interchanged in the co products above. □

We have only considered here periodic boundary conditions at both classical and quantum level. The significant point then is the implementation of integrable space and time integrable boundary conditions [6, 15, 51] in the discrete systems, and the effect of these boundary conditions on the behavior of the solutions. As a final remark we note that although the fully discrete case represented various technical and conceptual difficulties, we were able to achieve the consistent simultaneous discretizations of both space and time directions in such a way that integrability was ensured, based on the concurrent existence of temporal and spatial classical and quantum algebras.
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Appendix A. Discrete time NLS equations: consistency

1. Semi-discrete time NLS. We obtain the following set of constraints from the zero curvature condition, by focusing first on the off diagonal elements:

$\mathbb{B}_a = \partial_t u_a + N^{(2)} u_a \quad (A.1)$

$\partial_x \mathbb{B}_a = u_{a+1} \mathbb{D}_a - \mathbb{B}_a \hat{u}_a \quad (A.2)$

$\mathbb{C}_a = -\partial_x u_a + u_a N^{(2)} \quad (A.3)$

$\partial_x \mathbb{C}_a = u_a \hat{u}_a - \mathbb{D}_a u_{a-1}. \quad (A.4)$

Dependence of the fields on $x$ is in all the always implied, but omitted for brevity. Equations (A.1) and (A.3), via the definition of $N^{(2)} (2.17)$, lead to
\[ B_a = \frac{\partial_x \hat{u}_a - \hat{u}_a^2 \partial_x u_a}{1 - u_a \hat{u}_a}, \quad C_a = \frac{u_a^2 \partial_x \hat{u}_a - \partial_x u_a}{1 - u_a \hat{u}_a}. \]  

Also, from the zero curvature condition we obtain the following constraints

\[ \partial_x B_a = \hat{u}_a + 1 D_a - A_a \hat{u}_a, \quad \partial_x C_a = u_a A_a - D_a u_a - 1. \]  

Given that \( A, D, N^{(2)} (2.17), \) and \( B, C \) (A.5) are expressed in terms of the fundamental fields \( u_a, \hat{u}_a \) and their \( x \)-derivatives, equation (A.6) are the equations of motion for the fundamental fields \( u_a, \hat{u}_a \).

Consistency checks are also performed for the diagonal entries of the matrix from the zero curvature condition:

\[ \partial_x D_a = u_a \hat{D}_a - C_a \hat{u}_a \]  

\[ \partial_x N^{(2)} = \hat{u}_a + 1 u_a - \hat{u}_a u_a - 1 \]  

\[ \partial_x A_a = \hat{u}_a + 1 C_a - B_a \hat{u}_a. \]  

Indeed, using the fundamental equations (A.1) and (A.2) and the definitions (2.17) the above equations are confirmed.

2. Fully discrete NLS.

Having at our disposal the Lax pair we can now write down the set of equations merging for the fully discrete version of the zero curvature condition (2.31)

\[ B_{na} = X_{n+1a} + \left( N^{(2)}_{n+1a} - N_{na+1} \right) X_{na} \]  

\[ B_{n+1a} = N_{na+1} B_{na} + X_{na+1} D_{na} - \hat{A}_{n+1a} X_{na} \]  

\[ C_{n+1a} = Y_{n-1a} - Y_{na} \left( N_{na} - N^{(2)}_{na} \right) \]  

\[ C_{na} = C_{n+1a} N_{na+1} + D_{n+1a} Y_{n-1a} - Y_{na} X_{na}. \]  

The first equations above come form the off diagonal elements of the zero curvature condition. Equations (A.10)–(A.13) together with (2.44) provide the fully discrete analogues of the equations of motion (A.1)–(A.4).

The diagonal entries provide extra consistency constraints

\[ N^{(2)}_{n+1a} - N_{na+1} = N^{(2)}_{na} - N_{na} \]  

\[ D_{n+1a} = X_{na} B_{na} - C_{n+1a} X_{na} \]  

\[ A_{n+1} - A_n = N_{na+1} N^{(2)}_{na} - N^{(2)}_{n+1a} N_{na} + X_{na+1} Y_{n-1a} - X_{n+1a} Y_{na-1} \]  

\[ \hat{A}_{n+1} N^{(2)}_{na} - \hat{A}_n N_{na+1} = X_{na+1} C_{na} - B_{n+1a} Y_{na-1}. \]  

Equations (A.15)–(A.17) are the discrete space analogues of the semi-discrete case (A.7)–(A.9). However in the fully discrete case an extra constraint arising and corresponds to equation (A.14).

### Appendix B. Algebraic relations and compatibility

By means of (4.1) we obtain the following algebraic relations involving all the fields (4.6) and (4.7):

\[ [C, D] = -Y N^{(2)}, \quad [B, D] = N^{(2)} X, \quad [X, D] = [Y, D] = 0 \]  

(B.1)
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{N}^{(2)}, B & = -B, \quad \mathcal{N}^{(2)}, C = C, \quad \mathcal{N}^{(2)}, A = \mathcal{N}^{(2)}, D = 0 \quad (B.2) \\
[X, A] & = B, \quad [Y, A] = -C, \quad [X, \mathcal{N}^{(2)}] = X, \quad [Y, \mathcal{N}^{(2)}] = -Y \quad (B.3) \\
[A, B] & = A X - \mathcal{N}^{(2)} B, \quad [A, C] = C \mathcal{N}^{(2)} - Y A \quad (B.4) \\
[A, D] & = C X - Y B, \quad [X, D] = [Y, D] = 0. \quad (B.5)
\end{align*}
\]

All the relations above are compatible with the fields as defined in (4.6)–(4.8).
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