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Impairment of fine motor dexterity in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease dementia: association with activities of daily living
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Objective: Cognitive impairment is a hallmark of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer’s disease dementia (AD). Although the cognitive profile of these patients and its association with activities of daily living (ADLs) is well documented, few studies have assessed deficits in fine motor dexterity and their association with ADL performance. The objective of this research paper is to evaluate fine motor dexterity performance among MCI and AD patients and to investigate its association with different aspects of ADLs.

Methods: We assessed normal aging controls, patients with multiple- and single-domain amnestic MCI (aMCI), and patients with mild AD. Fine motor dexterity was measured with the Nine-Hole Peg Test and cognitive functioning by the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale. We analyzed the data using general linear models.

Results: Patients with AD or multiple-domain aMCI had slower motor responses when compared to controls. AD patients were slower than those with single-domain aMCI. We found associations between cognition and instrumental ADLs, and between fine motor dexterity and self-care ADLs.

Conclusion: We observed progressive slowing of fine motor dexterity along the normal aging-MCI-AD spectrum, which was associated with autonomy in self-care ADLs.
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Introduction

Patients with Alzheimer’s disease dementia (AD) exhibit a progressive impairment of episodic memory and other cognitive functions, which affects functional status.1 In the early symptomatic stages, known as mild cognitive impairment (MCI), cognitive symptoms occur with only slight loss of function.2 Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies suggest that cognitive decline (the main difference between normal aging and MCI) precedes functional decline (the main difference between MCI and AD).3,4 This pattern is associated with the main biomarkers of AD and moderated by several factors, including cognitive reserve.5 Motor impairment may emerge as a phenotype of cognitive decline.7 Deficits in fine motor dexterity are associated with functional loss, and are especially impairing of the basic and instrumental aspects of activities of daily living (ADLs).8 Studies have shown deficits in fine motor skills in patients with AD and MCI.9,10 However, this evidence comes from complex or experimental motor tasks, involving sophisticated apparatuses usually available only in research settings. This type of test is called process-oriented because mechanisms involved in motor control are of primary interest. Clinical assessment of motor impairments with simple, user-friendly, and easily interpretable tests, known as task-oriented tests, is more feasible.

Taking into account previous reports of motor impairment and ADL loss in MCI and AD, we hypothesized that motor complications would be detectable in simple, clinically oriented motor tasks, and that motor and cognitive performance might be differently associated with ADLs. The objective of this study was to evaluate group differences among normal controls, patients with MCI, and patients with AD in a simple motor task, and to investigate potential associations with functional performance.

Methods

We evaluated a sample of 20 healthy controls, 34 patients diagnosed with single-domain amnestic MCI (aMCI), 32 with multiple-domain amnestic MCI (MDaMCI), and 38 with mild AD. Healthy controls had no subjective
cognitive complaint, no history of mental or neurological
diseases, and no evidence of cognitive impairment on
objective tests or functional impairment according to a
clinical interview. Patients were evaluated during 2010 to
2013 at an outpatient clinic, within a major university
hospital, that provides specialized investigation and care
of memory deficits in the elderly population.

The diagnosis of amnestic MCI was established on the
basis of: subjective cognitive complaints confirmed by an
informant; objective impairment in neuropsychological tests
of memory (aMCI), language, visuospatial abilities, or
executive functions (MDaMCI); preserved global cognitive
functioning; and absence of functional impairment or mild
impairment restricted to complex aspects of ADLs, accord-
ing to a clinical interview, as described in previous
studies. Diagnosis of AD followed standard clinical
guidelines. Patients who exhibited active psychotic symp-
toms were excluded from the final sample. All participants
underwent a neuropsychological assessment as published
elsewhere, with tests included in the diagnosis process
and a complementary protocol not involved in the establish-
ment of cognitive status. Diagnoses were established
by consensus of an expert board, based on clinical,
cognitive, and functional data. All participants and their
caregivers (in cases of dementia) gave written consent for
participation.

This study is part of a broader research project
investigating clinical and neurobiological markers of
depression and dementia and their associations. This
project was approved by the local Research Ethics
Committee and is in accordance with the Declaration of
Helsinki. The present study is part of the first author’s
doctoral dissertation, the results of which have been
published elsewhere.

Functional status was reported by each participant’s
caregivers during an interview conducted as part of the
neuropsychological assessment, using the General
Activities of Daily Living Scale (GADL). This measure involves
sub-scores of basic ADLs (self-care) and instrumental
ADLs (domestic and complex). Higher scores are represen-
tative of higher independence in daily life. We devel-
oped a scale using the most common ADLs performed by
older adults with low formal education and conducted a
validation study for this specific population, following the
recommendations discussed by Sikkes et al. As a global
measure of cognitive functioning, we used the total score of
the Brazilian version of the Dementia Rating Scale (DRS) and
a brief neuropsychological battery designed for the
assessment of attention, executive functions, language,
visuoconstructual skills, and memory. Higher scores in
this test represent better cognitive performance. We
created a fine motor dexterity measure summing the time
of four trials (two with the dominant and two with the non-
dominant hand) of the Nine-Hole Peg Test. In this task-
oriented test, the subject must put nine pegs in nine holes
organized on a small board and subsequently remove
them, as fast as possible. The test is widely used in the
assessment of neurological disorders and a commercial
version is available.

We analyzed our data by general linear models. To
assess group differences in fine motor dexterity, we
entered the Nine-Hole Peg test as the dependent
measure, the group as a fixed factor, and age and
education as covariates. We compared the estimated
marginal means by corrected (Sidak) post-hoc tests.

The association between fine motor dexterity and ADLs was
tested using a multivariate general linear model with the
three GADL measures as dependent measures, group as a
fixed factor, and age, education, Nine-Hole Peg Test, and
DRS total score as covariates. Statistical significance
was established at p < 0.05. The partial eta-squared
represents the effect sizes for previous analysis.

Results

The results suggest a progressive pattern of impairment
in motor task performance. The general linear model used
to assess group differences in fine motor dexterity was
significant and showed a large effect size (F = 14.60, p <
0.001, η² = 0.38). We found a significant main effect for
diagnosis (F = 10.36, p < 0.001, η² = 0.21) and formal
education (F = 11.02, p = 0.001, η² = 0.09), but not for
age (F = 2.27, p = 0.135, η² = 0.02). The model for DRS
total score was also significant (F = 103.29, p < 0.001,
η² = 0.81). Again, we found a significant main effect for
diagnosis (F = 132.38, p < 0.001, η² = 0.77) and formal
education (F = 12.84, p < 0.001, η² = 0.10), but not for
age (F = 0.74, p = 0.391, η² = 0.01).

Figure 1A shows the estimated marginal means for fine
motor dexterity and cognitive functioning in each group. We
found no significant differences on comparison of controls
vs. aMCI (p = 0.568), aMCI vs. MDaMCI (p = 0.195), or
MDaMCI vs. AD (p = 0.719). Significant differences were
found on comparison of controls vs. MDaMCI (p = 0.009),
controls vs. AD (p < 0.001), and aMCI vs. AD (p = 0.004).
In DRS scores, group differences were significant for all
comparisons (p < 0.001).

Figure 1B synthesizes the contributions of fine motor
dexterity and cognitive functioning to each aspect of ADLs.
The multivariate general linear models for ADL measures
were all significant. In the complex ADL model (F = 23.72,
η² = 0.44), cognitive functioning was the main
predictor (F = 56.39, p < 0.001, η² = 0.32), while fine motor
dexterity was not significant (F = 0.85, p = 0.772, η² <
0.01). The domestic ADL model (F = 12.26, p < 0.001, η² = 0.29)
followed the same pattern, but showed lower effect sizes for
cognitive functioning (F = 0.26, p < 0.001, η² = 0.18) and still
no significance for fine motor dexterity (F = 0.14, p = 0.839,
η² < 0.01). However, the model for self-care ADLs was
significant (F = 11.28, p < 0.001, η² = 0.27) and showed the
opposite pattern, with a significant main effect for fine motor
dexterity (F = 17.88, p < 0.001, η² = 0.13) but not for
cognitive functioning (F = 2.91, p = 0.091, η² = 0.02). Age
and education were not significantly associated with ADL
performance in this sample (all p > 0.05).

Discussion

Our results suggest that the intensity/severity of cognitive
deficits is associated with greater slowness on a fine
motor dexterity measure. We also documented differential
patterns of association of motor and cognitive functioning with basic and instrumental ADLs.

Motor evaluation is often neglected in cases of AD, due to the more overt cognitive features included in the diagnosis. Recent data, however, suggest that motor impairment may be a consequence of cognitive impairment. Multiple brain regions and their connectivity are essential to maintenance of good performance (speed and accuracy) in timed tasks. The progressive brain atrophy and dysfunction of AD impair connectivity and lead to a widespread range of cognitive deficits. In turn, this progressive reduction of brain connectivity and consequent inefficient interactions between specific cognitive functions characterize the MCI and dementia stages of AD as a disconnection syndrome.

This may underlie the slowness in motor tasks found in our study. This reduction may also be a compensatory mechanism to maintain test accuracy (not measured in the current study), increasing the connectivity of multiple brain regions. Using a finger-tapping test, Scheller et al. found an increased pattern of connectivity in cortical motor regions in patients at high risk of motor impairment (preclinical patients with the genetic mutation associated with Huntington’s disease).

As seen in our results and in previous studies, changes in fine motor dexterity and hand function are associated with functional impairments in self-care activities. A longitudinal decline in instrumental ADLs usually precedes the decline of basic/self-care ADLs. Although predictors...
of instrumental ADL changes have been documented,\textsuperscript{3,4} there are relatively few established predictors of basic ADL decline in MCI-AD. Our results may have important implications for patient follow-up and for the prediction of more severe functional deficits in MCI and dementia. These results might affect routine clinical assessment practices, e.g., to incorporate specific measures of fine motor dexterity in the evaluation of patients with MCI and AD. Using both cognitive and motor assessments would allow the clinician to compute better estimates of functional performance, as seen in other neuropsychological disorders, such as Parkinson’s disease dementia.\textsuperscript{15,16} The impairment of fine motor coordination observed in MCI-AD is important from the perspective of MCI-AD conversion.

Our study has limitations that should be addressed. First, its cross-sectional design limits its potential to set predictive models of functional loss. In a longitudinal perspective, we could test whether patients with motor deficits are more likely to experience long-term functional loss. A second limitation is the absence of patients with moderate/severe dementia, in whom impairment in basic ADLs would be more obvious and add variability to our data. We also excluded patients with active psychotic symptoms. This may restrict the representativeness of our findings, as such symptoms are relatively common in patients with dementia or MCI.\textsuperscript{23} We believe that our results, using a simple and widely available task-oriented test, are sensitive to detect motor deficits in these populations. However, these results should be replicated in a longitudinal design. Participants with impaired motor dexterity may be at higher risk of loss of essential ADL performance, and non-pharmacological interventions focusing on fine motor dexterity may improve performance in these ADLs. If these findings are corroborated, this might allow clinicians to predict and intervene earlier in patients at higher risk of functional decline.
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