Abstract: Composite electrochemical coatings (CECs) are some of the most widely investigated coatings due to its versatility in tailoring physio-mechanical and tribological properties. The effectiveness of the CECs for tribological applications is dependent on the solid–liquid interfaces. The active and passive nature of the contact boundaries for a CEC with a solid/liquid interface is defined by the surface energy of these boundaries. Unless the effect of surface energy on the tribological properties of the CEC are understood, it is not possible to get a holistic picture on properties, such as corrosion and tribocorrosion. The present study investigates the surface energy of optimized nickel (Ni) and Ni–graphene (Ni–Gr) coatings and their effect on the dynamic friction and wear behavior. It was found that the addition of Gr to the Ni coating in small quantities could decrease the polar component of surface energy significantly than the dispersive component. The presence of Gr in the coating was able to reduce the wear while providing low friction. The Ni–Gr coating exhibited low surface energy that includes weak adhesive forces, which can prevent embedding of the wear particles during sliding.
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1. Introduction

Composite electrochemical coatings (CECs) are generating significant interest, both in research and in practical applications, particularly in transportation, electrotechnical, food, and textile industries [1–3]. CECs provide a unique technological edge to enhance the mechanical and tribological properties of the surface. Nickel (Ni) is one of the most common metal coatings used to synthesize CECs as it is characterized by superior corrosion resistance, and enhanced mechanical and tribological properties. Recently, graphene has been used in the electrodeposition of Ni composite coatings known as nickel-graphene (Ni–Gr) coatings for lubrication application. Such coatings are superior in tribological properties as compared to other hard CECs that consist of chromium, boron nitride, zirconium dioxide, PTFE, etc. [3,4]. Gr nanosheets and platelets, due to their layered crystal structure, have been extensively used as lubricant additives to enhance the tribological performance [5–12]. Gr being lightweight, impermeable, atomically thin, wear-resistant, mechanically strong, and inert, is one of the most sought-after materials for a composite coating. In addition, Gr in the form of nanosheets and platelets have been used as nanomaterial additives in composites and lubricants to enhance the tribological properties [13–18]. In a composite surface coating, graphene has been shown to provide the substrate surface with enhanced wear, corrosion, and hardness properties, which are significant in defining the tribological behavior [19–22]. Further, the presence of graphene in composite surface
coatings provides high flexibility, which helps compensate micro curvatures or changes in surface roughness of coated substrate while still providing a stable coating. This aspect of graphene composite coatings has made it also suitable for flexible electronics and other electrotechnical applications.

The coating thickness, surface morphology, and mechanical properties vary depending on the electrodeposition process parameters used in the synthesis of Ni–Gr composite coating [23,24]. Additionally, these characteristics also affect the graphene particle distribution in the Ni metal matrix, hence, affect the tribological properties of the coating. The effect of coating characteristics on the mechanical and wear behavior of Ni–Gr composite coatings have been investigated [20,22,23,25]. Most of the focus was given on either wear resistance or corrosion resistance [24]. Coupling these will have a greater impact to understand the performance of the coatings, given that most corrosion-resistant elements are not wear-resistant and vice versa [20,22,25]. The tribological and mechanical performance of the coating has been related to the surface energy [26–28]. Fundamental understanding of surface energy on the coating performance is largely unknown [27,29]. It is necessary to understand that these tribological and surface interactions of the coatings affected by surface energy. The surface energy of the coatings has been known to vary with surface composition and topography [30,31]. The present study investigates the effect of the surface energy of Ni and Ni–Gr composite coatings and correlates them to the dynamic friction (henceforth referred to as ‘friction’ in the text) and wear behaviors. The surface energy effect of Gr in correlation to the friction and wear behavior of the composite surface will also be studied in this research.

Electrodeposition is one of the most economical and widely used electrochemical method of generating composite surface coatings on metallic substrates [32,33]. The electrodeposition process and modified versions of this process are being used for the fabrication of new devices, but they also have raised some new issues [34,35]. Extensive studies have been conducted for the investigation of effects of electrodeposition parameters, including bath composition, pH, deposition time, additive/surfactants, deposition current density, and bath temperature on the properties of deposited films [36–38]. Bath composition of the composite material and current density deposition parameter are observed to be the two main factors that influence the properties of the CECs, especially in case of pulse electrodeposition [32,39]. This is because they directly influence the composition, structure, and properties of coatings [40]. In most cases, the deposition rate of the coatings is also related to the deposition temperature [41]. It was observed from the previous studies that the composition of the Ni–Gr composite coatings is dependent on the deposition temperature if the remaining bath parameters were fixed [24,38]. The present study incorporates this knowledge to electrodeposit Ni and Ni–Gr composite coatings and understand how the surface energy and its various components affect the friction and wear mechanisms.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Electrodeposition

The Ni and Ni–Gr nanocomposite was deposited on the 25 × 25 × 15 mm³ 1045 steel plate by electrodeposition in their respective Ni and Ni–Gr bath solutions. The detailed material composition of the 1045 steel is given in Table 1. The constituents of Ni and Ni–Gr bath solution are given in Table 2. In addition to similar chemical composition as of Ni, Ni–Gr electrochemical bath consists of 0.1 g/L of Gr nanoplatelets [19]. The Gr nanoplates used in this study are 6–8 nm thick layers with the length ranging from 5–25 µm. The details of the bath solution are provided in several previous studies, which can vary in the concentration of solvents based on the substrate material [19,42]. Before the deposition process, the steel samples were ground against the sandpapers of grit size 120, 240, 400, 600, 800, and 1000 to remove deep scratches and then were wet polished with diamond suspension polishing mixtures of sizes 15, 6, 3, and 0.05 µm on a polishing wheel. The polishing of steel was completed with a final polish using colloidal silica. To ensure uniformity of surface finish, each surface was profiled using a 3D optical profilometer (Rtec Instruments, San Jose, CA, USA). The profiling of the surface
ensured that an average surface roughness ($S_a$) of 0.1 ± 0.05 µm was obtained after the polishing. The surface roughness measurements were recorded again after the plating process.

Table 1. Material composition and physical properties of 1045 medium carbon steel.

| Properties | 1045 Medium Carbon Steel (ASTM A108) | Properties | 1045 Medium Carbon Steel (ASTM A108) |
|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| Material Composition | Iron 98.21%–98.85% | Yield Strength | 530 MPa |
| | Carbon 0.43%–0.50% | Hardness | 190HV0.5 |
| | Manganese 0.60%–0.90% | Hardness rating | Medium |
| | Phosphorus 0%–0.04% | Melting Point | 1427 °C |
| | Silicon 0.15%–0.30% | Elongation | 19% |
| | Sulfur 0%–0.05% |  |

Table 2. Constituents of Ni and Ni–Gr plating bath solution.

| Plating bath Composition | Quantity (g/L) |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| NiSO$_4$·7H$_2$O | 26.26 |
| Na$_2$SO$_4$ | 56.81 |
| H$_3$BO$_4$ | 18.54 |
| Graphene (Gr) | 0.1 |

Pure nickel plate (99.5%) was used as an anode, and a rectangular steel sample was used as a cathode in the electrochemical setup. During the electrodeposition process, the following parameters were set throughout the process: Current density = 5.66 A/dm$^2$, on–off pulse time = 10 ms, and duty cycle = 20%. The pH of the bath was maintained between 3.8 and 4.0 at a temperature of 40 °C. A 10% H$_2$SO$_4$ was used to decrease the pH levels as needed. The electrodeposition was carried out for 50 min. These optimized parameters are based on the author’s prior work on electrodeposited Ni–Gr coatings [42]. Three samples of each coating were prepared to ensure the repeatability of the process. An average thickness of ~10 µm is obtained for all Ni and Ni–Gr coatings.

2.2. Surface Characterization

The phase and structural analysis of the Ni and Ni–Gr coatings was performed using Raman spectroscopy (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Thermo Electron North America LLC, Waltham, MA, USA). Raman spectroscopy was carried out using a Thermo Scientific DXR Raman Microscope equipped with a 5 mW 532-nm laser averaging multiple area map points taken at 100× magnification. The Raman spectroscopy provides wavelength stability with less than 1 cm$^{-1}$ for over 12 h period. Spectra were collected in the 1000–3500 cm$^{-1}$ spectral region, with a grating resolution of 900 lines/mm. The exposure time was 2 s for 26 scans of 1 µm step along the line and three scans over 0.1 µm depth at each point.

2.3. Friction and Wear Tests

Reciprocating wear tests were performed on the 1045 steel, Ni coating, and Ni–Gr coating. The tests were performed under dry laboratory conditions (20% RH, 24 °C) with a 2 N constant normal load against a ceramic ball (silicon nitride (SiN)) of 11.5 GPa hardness. A sliding speed of 5 mm/s was maintained for a sliding distance of 4200 m. This test was chosen over other conventional tribological testing as it can provide better insights into the interface kinematics during the reciprocating form of sliding. The experiments were performed on an Rtec Multi-function Tribometer 5000 (Rtec Instruments, San Jose, CA, USA), equipped with a robust high-resolution capacitance load sensor, which can apply the normal load and measure the resulting friction force during sliding. Each test was performed three times to ensure repeatability. After the wear tests, the wear tracks were profiled using the 3D optical profilometer to investigate the wear track, and hence the wear performance.
2.4. Hardness Tests

Nanoindentations tests were performed on all the samples using a Hysitron Triboindenter TI950 (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) equipped with Berkovic probe. A maximum force of 10 mN was applied, and the hardness values were measured over ten different locations on the sample. The study reported the average of these hardness values with their standard deviation.

2.5. Contact Angle and Surface Energy Measurements

The surface energy and the interfacial tension between the composite surface and the liquids were evaluated using contact angle measurements with rame-hart contact angle Goniometer (rame-hart, New Jersey, NJ, USA). Liquids, namely, distilled water and 3.5% NaCl were used in these measurements as these liquids simulate some of the atmospheric conditions, which dictate the corrosion properties of coatings and surfaces. The sessile drop method was used, with distilled water and 3.5% NaCl as testing liquids to calculate the surface energy and its polar and dispersive components [43]. The contact angle of the steel, nickel, and nickel–graphene coated surfaces were measured using both liquids. The left and right contact angles of the sessile droplet were recorded and averaged over ten trials to find the average contact angle for each surface. All measurements had a difference in the left and right contact angles less than 2°. These steps ensured the repeatability and reliability of the contact angle measurements.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectra for Ni and Ni–Gr coatings at 532 nm laser excited at 5 mW for the range of 100–3500 cm$^{-1}$ is shown in Figure 1. In the Raman spectra of Ni coating, there were no significant peaks observed, whereas for the Ni–Gr coating five peaks were observed at 1404 cm$^{-1}$ (D-mode), 1583 cm$^{-1}$ (G-mode), 1620 cm$^{-1}$ (D'), 2775 cm$^{-1}$ (2D-mode), and 3085 cm$^{-1}$ (D+G-mode) [44–46]. This confirms the inclusion of Gr in the electrodeposited Ni–Gr composite coating.

![Figure 1. Raman spectra of Ni and Ni–Gr coating on 1045 steel surface with a 532 nm excitation laser wavelength.](image-url)

The D and 2D-peak positions are dispersive in nature as they are dependent on the laser excitation energy [45]. The high intensity of the D peak indicates the presence of a large number of nucleation centers on the surface [47,48]. The low-intensity D’-peak shows that the coating has minimal...
impurities or there are minor surface charges in the Gr. The 2D-band confirms the graphitic sp² phase, and the low intensity of the 2D-peak indicates the multi-layer nature of Gr used in the present study. The depth-based scans of 0.1 µm step on the Ni–Gr coating showed a similar intensity of G-band, as shown in Figure 1. This observation indicates the presence of Gr in the coatings below the surface. The distribution of Gr in the coating thickness should enable the coated surface to maintain consistent surface energy even after undergoing wear.

3.2. Surface Roughness

Studies [1,49] have shown that the surface roughness of the substrate can directly influence the electrodeposited coating characteristics, such as coating adhesion, and surface finish. Studies have shown that the surface roughness influences the interface toughness, which limits the coating thickness [50]. Hence, the surface roughness can be a defining factor in optimizing the coating characteristics. To understand the effect of graphene on the electrodeposited Ni–Gr coating characteristics, it is necessary to achieve consistent surface roughness on the steel substrate before the coating as well as after the coating. The surface profiles of the polished steel substrate are shown in Figure 2a. The thickness and the average surface roughness (Sa) of the electrodeposited Ni and Ni–Gr coatings obtained with the parameters described above are illustrated in Figure 2b,c respectively.

Figure 2. 3D surface profile and average roughness of (a) 1045 steel substrate, (b) Ni coating, and (c) Ni–Gr coating.

The Ni and Ni–Gr coating thickness as obtained were ~9.8 and ~9.7 µm, respectively. It can also be seen that the Sa of all the samples before and after the coating was within the range of 0.1 ± 0.05 µm. Hence, the comparison of these coatings for their tribo-metallurgical behavior was rational. The surface roughness and profile data indicated an excellent finish of electrodeposit coatings with a surface roughness that corresponded to the substrate surface.

3.3. Surface Energy of the Coatings

The surface energy of the coatings was calculated using Fowkes theory [51]. Based on Fowkes theory, the interactions between the surfaces and liquids are given as follows:
Young’s equation of surface energy:

$$\sigma_S = \sigma_{SL} + \sigma_L \cos \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where, $\sigma_L$ is the overall surface tension of the wetting liquid, $\sigma_S$ is the overall surface energy of the solid, and $\sigma_{SL}$ is the interfacial tension between the surface and the liquids.

Dupre’s definition of adhesion energy:

$$I_{SL} = \sigma_S + \sigma_L - \sigma_{SL}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where, $I_{SL}$ is the energy of adhesion per unit area between a liquid and a solid surface. By applying the Fowkes theory, adhesive energy between a solid–liquid can be separated into interactions between the dispersive components and between the non-dispersive (polar) components of the two phases. By separating the dispersive and polar components in the above two equations, the primary equation of the Fowkes’ surface energy theory is obtained as:

$$\sqrt{(\sigma_{L1}^D)(\sigma_{S1}^D)} + \sqrt{(\sigma_{L2}^P)(\sigma_{S2}^P)} = \frac{\sigma_L(\cos \theta + 1)}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where, $\sigma_{L1}^D$, $\sigma_{L1}^P$, $\sigma_{S2}^D$, and $\sigma_{S2}^P$ are the polar and disperse components of the surface energy for the liquid and solid. The following values were used to consider the contribution of water and NaCl solutions to the surface energy: Distilled water surface tension ($\sigma_{L1}^D$) = 72.10 mN/m ($\sigma_{L1}^P$ = 52.20 mN/m; $\sigma_{S2}^D$ = 19.90 mN/m), and 3.5% NaCl ($\sigma_{L2}^D$) = 73.76 mN/m ($\sigma_{L2}^P$ = 22.20 mN/m; $\sigma_{S2}^D$ = 51.56 mN/m) [52].

The contact angle of the steel, nickel, and nickel–graphene coated surfaces were measured using both liquids. The contact angle of distilled water and 3.5% NaCl measured on 1045 steel surface, Ni coating, and Ni–Gr coating is shown in Figure 3.

| Liquid interface | 1045 steel | Ni Coat | Ni–Gr Coat |
|------------------|------------|---------|------------|
| Distilled water  | 69.6° 69.0°| 95.3° 94.6°| 86.4° 85.0°|
| 3.5% NaCl        | 66.3° 67.5°| 57.6° 58.5°| 53.9° 52.7°|

**Figure 3.** Image of the droplets of distilled water and 3.5% NaCl on 1045 steel, Ni coating, and Ni–Gr coatings.

The measured contact angle, as well as the calculated surface energy values of 1045 steel, Ni coating, Ni–Gr coating, are given in Table 3. These measurements were used in the Fowkes’ surface energy calculations to obtain two linear equations (one equation for each liquid) correlating the dispersive ($\sigma_{L1}^D$) and polar ($\sigma_{L1}^P$) components of surface energy for the given solid surface. The variation in calculated surface energy values with its components for each surface are shown in Figure 4.

The results indicate that Ni–Gr coating had overall surface energy, which was about 82.84% less than the Ni coating, while 75.6% less than the steel substrate. The presence of graphene was found to decrease the polar component of surface energy significantly than the dispersive component. It can also be observed that even though Ni and Ni–Gr coating shows a hydrophobic nature, they can show about a 38% decrease in contact angle when wetted by a 3.5% NaCl solution. Thus, the dispersive and polar components impact on the surface energy was evident from these data. The contact angle did
not depend on the thickness of single-, bi-, and multilayer Gr [53,54]. Hence, small quantities of Gr present on the surface were sufficient to influence the surface energy.

Table 3. Average contact angle of distilled water and 3.5% NaCl on 1045 steel, Ni coating, and Ni–Gr coating.

| Surface    | Contact Angle (°) | Surface Energy (mJ/m²) | Overall Surface Energy (mJ/m²) | Adhesive Energy (mJ/m²) |
|------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
|            | Distilled Water   | 3.5% NaCl              | Dispersive Component          | Polar Component        |
| 1045 steel | 70.6              | 66.3                   | 26.83 (26%)                   | 77.44 (74%)            |
| Ni Coat    | 95                | 58.5                   | 18.06 (12%)                   | 129.96 (88%)           |
| Ni–Gr Coat | 86                | 52.9                   | 5.15 (20%)                    | 20.25 (80%)            |
|            | Overall           |                        | 104.27 (100%)                 | 2.97                   |
|            | Distilled Water   |                        | 148.02 (100%)                 | 13.52                  |
|            | 3.5% NaCl          |                        | 25.4 (100%)                   | 11.92                  |

Figure 4. Surface energy and its components (dispersive and polar) calculated for 1045 steel, Ni coating, and Ni–Gr coating.

According to Fowkes, dispersion interactions relate to London dispersion, arising from the electron dipole fluctuations, and the polar interactions. The low polar surface energy, in addition to the hydrophobic nature of Ni–Gr coatings, indicates that the London dispersion forces are weaker at the coating–fluid interface [55]. This shows that even though fluids with high dispersion surface energy can wet the Ni–Gr coating, the adhesion energy between the fluid and the surface can be low as can be observed in Table 3. This wetting property of Ni–Gr coating was significant when used in lubricated environments where high wettability with low polar surface energy surfaces was beneficial.

3.4. Hardness

Nanoindentations tests were performed on the 1045 steel, Ni coating, and Ni–Gr coating using a Hysitron Triboindenter TI950 with Berkovich probe. A maximum force of 10 mN was applied to measure the hardness of the substrate and coatings. The hardness of the surfaces of substrates and the coatings are given in Table 4. A maximum indentation depth of 0.283 µm was observed during the indentations. The hardness of the substrates was also compared against the hardness of the counter body, SiN ball. The surface of the counter body was over 40% harder than the Ni and Ni–Gr coatings. Considering this large difference in hardness between the surface and the counter body, the plowing effect of the counter body should lead to abrasive wear on the surface during sliding. It can be observed that the steel surface had a low hardness as compared to the coatings, while both Ni and Ni–Gr coatings had similar hardness. The increased hardness indicates that impervious and strong bonding of
coating was obtained on the substrate. The similar hardness of both Ni and Ni–Gr coatings also shows that graphene in low volume fraction did not necessarily change the mechanical properties of the coating. Additionally, the large standard deviation (0.949) in the hardness of Ni coating as compared to the Ni–Gr coating might be due to the minor inconsistencies in the electrodeposited layers of Ni. These inconsistencies might have been minimized by the addition of Gr where the standard deviation of hardness was only 0.425. These observations indicate that Gr was a useful tribological material to modify the surface energy with enhanced structural homogeneity of the Ni–Gr electrodeposit.

| Surface          | H (GPa) | Std. Dev. |
|------------------|---------|-----------|
| 1045 steel       | 4.632   | 0.443     |
| Ni Coat          | 6.606   | 0.949     |
| Ni–Gr Coat       | 6.769   | 0.425     |
| SiN ball         | 10.82   | 0.396     |

3.5. Friction and Wear

Reciprocating sliding tests were performed to understand the friction and wear behavior of coating in correlation with the surface energy. The coefficient of friction and wear data were recorded by sliding SiN ceramic ball over the 1045 steel, Ni coated, and Ni–Gr coated surfaces. A normal load of 2 N on the ceramic ball of 3 mm diameter was applied over a sliding distance of 4200 m. The maximum Hertzian contact pressure under the static condition at the interface of the tribo-pair, SiN and 1045 steel, was calculated to be 1432.5 MPa with an expected maximum penetration depth of 0.012 mm [56]. The evolution of the coefficient of friction (COF) during sliding is shown in Figure 5. An average COF of 0.42 ± 0.06, 0.372 ± 0.09, and 0.194 ± 0.04 was observed for 1045 steel, Ni coating, and Ni–Gr coating, respectively. It can be observed that as sliding progressed, the COF increased for 1045 steel (18% increase over a distance of 4200 mm) and the Ni coated surface (12% increase over a distance of 4200 mm), while it decreased by 11% for the Ni–Gr coating.

![Figure 5. Evolution of the coefficient of friction when sliding on 1045 steel and coated surfaces.](image)

The sliding conditions generate wear debris of varying sizes depending on the surface. In the case of 1045 steel, the wear has been shown to yield micro-sized particles, which can enter the asperity interface and induce a degenerative two-body and third-body-effect [57–60]. These micro-sized particles are less spherical and abrade the contact surface. Thus, the wear mechanisms under such situations would be abrasive wear. In the case of the Ni coating, the slow electrodeposition led to high nucleation with small refined grains that allowed it to generate sub-micron sized particles during wear.
These sub-micron sized particles led to being more spherical that will roll off easily at the interface without abrading the surfaces, leading to a stable COF as the sliding progresses (after 2900 m). Finally, on the Ni–Gr coated surface the wear (after 2000 m of sliding) led to the exfoliation of graphene from the coating allowing the nanosized particles to enter the asperity contact, thus reducing asperity variations. The low surface energy of Gr enabled the Ni–Gr coating to have minimal adhesive friction, which was a resultant of the low polar surface energy for the coating. Further, Gr is a solid lubricant that helped easy shearing and reduced COF drastically. Additionally, the low surface energy of the Ni–Gr coating aided in reducing the adhesion of Gr at the asperity interfaces, which provided for a low and consistent COF during sliding.

The wear depth observed after the reciprocating sliding tests on each surface is shown in Figure 6. The figure shows the 2D cross-section profile and 3D wear track profile after sliding a distance of 4200 m. In the present tribo-system, the wear coefficient of 1045 steel surface was $3.31 \times 10^{-10}$, Ni coating was $2.95 \times 10^{-11}$, Ni–Gr coating was $1.51 \times 10^{-11}$, and the SiN counter body was $1.93 \times 10^{-13}$. The profile of 1045 steel shown in Figure 6a indicates a wear depth of 0.36 μm with pits and embedded wear particles along the wear track. In order to further investigate the wear particle size generated during sliding on each substrate surface, the wear tracks were observed under a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The SEM images of the wear particles along the wear track can be observed in Figure 7a–c. The generation of large micron-sized wear particles (Figure 7a) during sliding causes entrapment of the particles at the asperity interface, which either led to pitting or embedding of the particle on the surface during repeated sliding. Since, steel exhibited moderately high surface energy with similar contact angles for distilled water and 3.5% NaCl, hence it could be expected to be susceptible to corrosion and tribocorrosion [42,61].

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** Wear depth and wear track profiles of (a) 1045 steel, (b) Ni Coating, and (c) Ni–Gr coating.

The wear profiles on Ni and Ni–Gr coatings are shown in Figure 6b,c respectively. The Ni coating exhibited a wear depth of ~0.16 μm with large built-up edges along the wear track (Figure 6b). The build-up edges during wear on the Ni coating was mainly due to the high polar surface energy of Ni. The high polar surface energy caused the adhesion of submicron-sized wear particles (Figure 7b) that led to the formation of buildup edges over the length of sliding. The Ni–Gr coating, on the other hand, exhibited a wear track of depth <0.1 μm and a width that was nearly 25% less than the 1045 steel or Ni coating. The wear debris along the wear track of Ni–Gr surface was much smaller than those...
found on the Ni surface (Figure 7c). It was clear from the SEM images that 1045 steel substrate without any coating generated large micron-sized particles while the Ni-coat generated sub-micron sized wear particles. The Ni–Gr-coat exhibited minimal wear while generating sub-micron to nano-sized wear particles [62]. There was no particle adhesion found on the counter body (SiN ball) as can be observed in Figure 7a–c (ball tip).

Observing the wear profiles and wear particles generated during sliding on the Ni and Ni–Gr coating, it is evident that the addition of Gr to Ni matrix can reduce the wear while providing low friction. This behavior can be attributed to the low surface energy of Ni–Gr surface, which reduces the adhesive forces between the wear particles and surface. The reduced adhesive forces result in a reduction in wear particle size and its agglomeration as can be observed in Figure 7c. When the dispersion of a liquid or solid lubricant (such as Gr particle) occurs during sliding on a surface, it is restrained by weak London interactions, which were enough to provide the lubrication effect. The generation of small sub-micron to nano-sized wear particles was a resultant of the low adhesive forces, which prevented embedding of wear particles at the tribo-interface during sliding. Hence, as the Gr exfoliated during sliding provided a well-lubricated tribo-interface without any agglomeration of particles or built-up edges.

3.6. Wear Mechanisms

To better understand the wear mechanisms that led to the observed wear profiles and wear debris, a phenomenological model describing the generation of wear debris and their interaction at the tribo-interface is shown in Figure 8. It can be observed in Figure 8a that sliding between the counter material and 1045 steel surface generated micron-sized wear particles through two-body abrasion mechanism. These wear particles get trapped at the tribo-interface during sliding leading to three-body abrasive wear particle that further generated micron-sized irregular shaped wear debris. Such three-body wear debris tended to increase the abrading effect further, leading to deep wear tracks.
Additionally, the high surface energy of the steel surface could increase the interaction of these wear particles at the tribo-interface.

When the steel surface is coated with either Ni coating or Ni–Gr composite coating the surface energy interactions between the counter body and the coated surface changes, leading to unique wear mechanisms. Figure 8b describes the wear mechanism when sliding occurs between the counter body and Ni-coated steel surface. As sliding progresses the two-body abrasion generated sub-micron spherical wear particles, which were small enough to enter the asperity valleys. Though the overall surface energy of the Ni coating was higher than the 1045 steel surface, the dispersive surface energy of the Ni coating was lower. The low dispersive surface energy reduced the adhesive nature of the wear particles.
Ni wear particles. This physical nature of the wear particles in combination with the surface energy properties of the Ni coating led to reduced friction and abrasion at the tribo-interface. When sliding occurred between the counter body and Ni–Gr coated surface, the wear mechanism was similar to that observed for the Ni coating. In addition, there was also Gr exfoliation from the coating during sliding (Figure 8c). The structure of the graphene helped to easy shearing and further reduced the frictional force, wear rate, and debris formation. Hence, even a low concentration of Gr in the composite coating could enhance the tribological behavior of the surface.

4. Conclusions

The following conclusions could be drawn from the present study:

- The surface roughness and profile data indicated an excellent finish of electrodeposited coatings with a surface roughness that corresponded to the substrate surface.
- Ni–Gr coating had overall surface energy, which was about 82.84% less than the Ni coating, while 75.6% less than the steel substrate.
- The presence of graphene was found to decrease the polar component of surface energy significantly than the dispersive component.
- Fluids with high dispersion surface energy could wet the Ni–Gr coating, but the adhesion energy between the fluid and the surface could be low.
- The addition of Gr to Ni matrix was found to reduce the wear while providing low friction.
- The COF increased for 1045 steel (18% increase) and the Ni coated surface (12% increase), while it decreased by 11% for the Ni–Gr coating.
- Wear on Ni–Gr coated surface led to the exfoliation of graphene from the coating allowing the nanosized particles to enter the asperity contact and reduce the COF.
- The low surface energy of Ni–Gr coating was found to decrease the adhesive forces between the wear particles and surface.
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