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Abstract

The present study was conducted to assess the social demographic profile, distribution and information needs of farmers visiting the Livestock Fair (Pashu Palan Mela) held at Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (GADVASU), Ludhiana in September, 2019 via structured interview schedule. The study revealed that 34% of respondents who attended the livestock fair belonged to upto 25 years age group category, with the education level of 64% farmers upto matriculation or higher secondary. The motive of more than half of the farmers to attend the livestock fair was to gather new information on livestock and agriculture. Moreover, 87% farmers were interested to come to livestock fair in future, even in the absence of new technology or inventions. The proportion of about 95% respondents regarded livestock fair as an important means of dissemination of knowledge. In addition, about 58% farmers were interested to opt livestock related trainings as their future endeavor. The livestock fair was considered to fulfill the purpose of 86% farmers attending it.
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Introduction

The contribution of livestock in India is about 25.6% and 4.1% in Agriculture and National GDP. The milk production in India has touched the mark of 165.4 MT yet the productivity per animal is quite low when compared to developed countries. This low productivity of cattle and buffaloes owned by marginal and small farmers is due to very low or non-adoption of improved production technologies (Triveni, 2016). Timely transfer of knowledge to the farmers plays a crucial role in improvement of livestock economy.

Although animal husbandry practices in India are based on traditional knowledge, yet the rising demand for animal products, complex production technologies, globalization and consumer concerns about food safety have increased the need of information flow to the producers (Mishra et al., 2006; Verbeke,
Agricultural knowledge and related information is the fundamental criterion for increased productivity and development in India as most of the population is involved in agriculture (Das, 2012). Information has been regarded as the fifth need of man after air, water, food and shelter by Kemp (Bachhav, 2012). The higher exposure of farmers to information sources enhances the possibilities of adoption of innovative technologies and scientific management practices. To structure and build up a suitable information system for familiarizing the farmers with relevant and legitimate information, it is very important to understand and take care of their needs. Need is essentially a gap between "what is" (current circumstance) and "what should be" (needed circumstance).

Investigation of information needs and awareness among farmers is highly crucial to understand the farming situations at field level. Keeping that in mind, the present study was carried out at Pashu Palan Mela (Livestock Fair) held in September 2019 with the objective to:

Examine the social demographic profiles and perceptions of farmers visiting fair.

Assess the information demands among the farmers’ about various agricultural and livestock disciplines.

Evaluate the significance of various sources of information to fulfill the information necessities of farmers.

Materials and Methods

The Directorate of Extension Education, GADVASU organizes two days ‘Pashu Palan Mela’ (livestock fair) at the university campus in the months of March and September every year. During the fair, thousands of farmers from Punjab and adjoining states visit the stalls of different departments of the university to supplement their knowledge of new technologies, innovations and information material for their benefits. The present study was conducted based on data collection from randomly selected 100 farmers of the Punjab state at September 2019 livestock fair held at GADVASU.

The respondents were interviewed with a questionnaire containing both open and close ended questions on different aspects of awareness, knowledge, seeking information demands etc. The interview schedule was prepared in vernacular (Punjabi) language to establish better communication between farmers and researchers at the time of data collection. The questionnaire was pre-tested on a few selected farmers, and the easiness of completion of the questionnaire and ambiguity of questions were noted and subsequently revised before a large-scale interview of the farmers. The data was analyzed viz. frequency and percentage with standard statistical methods (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994) to draw the results.

Results and Discussion

The study revealed that 34% of farmers belonged to age group category of up to 25 years, and 45% belonged to 26-40 years age group, and rest 21% were of higher age groups indicating that higher number of younger farmers participated in the livestock fair (Fig. 1). The education level of most of the farmers (64%) was up to matriculation or higher secondary, whereas merely 30%
farmers were having a higher qualification. This may be due to the reason that acquiring the education up to higher secondary level is easier at the local level (Fig. 2). It was observed that 62% farmers in the selected respondents were small farmers with herd size up to 10, and 34% respondents had herd size from 11-30. The average herd size was 9.6 among the farmers interviewed. In addition, majority of farmers (46%) had 10-30 acre land followed by 37% farmers having less than 10 acre land. The average of total agricultural land under farmers surveyed was 18.37 acre (Fig. 3). Similar study has reported that majority of the farmers (42%) lied in the age group category 26-40 years, and had their qualification from primary to higher secondary level with herd size upto 10 animals (Hundal et al., 2016).

The purpose of about 56% respondents for coming to livestock fair was to get new information on agriculture and livestock. Out of all respondents, 8% came to get mineral mixture and vaccinations/semen straws, and the purpose of 12% respondents was to know about new discoveries and inventions. The motive of 8% respondents to visit livestock fair was entertainment and pleasure, whereas 16% attended livestock fair for multiple common reasons. Moreover, approximately 33% respondents attended the fair for the first time (Table 1.). Even if new technologies are not available, about 87% people were interested to attend the livestock fair in future.

Furthermore, the percentage of farmers who enquired about breeding, animal nutrition, management practices, new technologies and other activities were 15, 13, 12, 13 and 4%, respectively. In addition, 43% respondents gathered information about common multiple topics (Table 2.). Similar studies have reported that breeding and health care were priority areas for seeking information (Gupta and Tripathi, 2002; Gangil et al., 2005).

Furthermore, 99% farmers felt motivated by the awards given to progressive farmers in livestock fair. Approximately, 95% respondents considered livestock fair as an important means for dissemination of knowledge.

The important means of information used to know about the dates of livestock fair were newspaper, television, friends, doctors, university and other common multiple modes by 53, 8, 31, 1, 1 and 6% respondents, respectively (Table 3.). A similar study indicated that the print media was the most useful and preferred source of information about livestock fair followed by friends or fellow farmers (Rehman et al., 2011; Farooque, 2004; Ngathou et al., 2006; Gloy et al., 2000; Phipps et al., 2001; Butt, 2002; Howell and Habron, 2004; Luqman, 2004; Parthaap and Ponnusamy, 2006; Clifford and William, 2007). Moreover, it was revealed that farmers prefer to receive information from formal sources (Babu et al., 2012; Demiryurek, 2008).

In the past, newspapers have had a significant impact in the advancement of agriculture and in the wake of expanding level of literacy, the papers hold incredible potential as a source for dissemination of farm information (Lahiri and Mukhopadhyay, 2011). On the other hand, several other studies suggested that farmers prefer to assess information in casual settings from informal and personal sources (friends, neighbors, shopkeepers) rather than formal and remotely available contacts (Wakle et al., 1998; Biradar, 2000; Edeoghon et al., 2008). The most effective information among the farmers was transferred through personal contacts and interpersonal contacts are considered as most preferred among rural communities in India (Sharma and Sahoo, 2008; Bhaskaran and Rao, 1985).
Table 1 Distribution of respondents according to the purpose and frequency of visiting Cattle Fair

| S. No. | Questionnaire Items                                      | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| A.     | Purpose of visit                                         |           |         |
| 1.     | To get new information on Agriculture and Livestock      | 56        | 56%     |
| 2.     | To get Mineral mixture and Vaccinations/Semen straws     | 8         | 8%      |
| 3.     | To know about new discoveries and inventions             | 12        | 12%     |
| 4.     | For entertainment and pleasure                           | 8         | 8%      |
| 5.     | For both 1. and 3.                                      | 8         | 8%      |
| 6.     | For both 1. and 4.                                      | 2         | 2%      |
| 7.     | For both 2. and 3.                                      | 1         | 1%      |
| 8.     | For both 2. and 4                                       | 1         | 1%      |
| 9.     | For 1., 2. and 3.                                       | 2         | 2%      |
| 10.    | For 1., 2. and 4                                        | 1         | 1%      |
| 11.    | For 1., 3. and 4.                                       | 1         | 1%      |
| B.     | Frequency of visit                                      |           |         |
| 1.     | Farmers came for the first time                         | 33        | 33%     |
| 2.     | Farmers used to come usually                            | 67        | 67%     |

Table 2 Distribution of respondents in relation to the livestock practice knowledge they received at the Pasu Palan Mela

| S. No. | Gain in knowledge about           | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1.     | Animal Breeding                   | 15        | 15%     |
| 2.     | Animal Nutrition                  | 13        | 13%     |
| 3.     | Management Practices              | 12        | 12%     |
| 4.     | New Technology/Machines           | 13        | 13%     |
| 5.     | Other                             | 4         | 4%      |
| 6.     | Both 1. and 2.                    | 10        | 10%     |
| 7.     | Both 1. and 3.                    | 8         | 8%      |
| 8.     | Both 1. and 4.                    | 6         | 6%      |
| 9.     | Both 1. and 5.                    | 1         | 1%      |
| 10.    | Both 2. and 3.                    | 1         | 1%      |
| 11.    | Both 2. and 4.                    | 1         | 1%      |
| 12.    | Both 3. and 4.                    | 1         | 1%      |
| 13.    | Both 2. and 5.                    | 1         | 1%      |
| 14.    | 1., 2. and 3.                     | 8         | 8%      |
| 15.    | 1., 2. and 4.                     | 1         | 1%      |
| 16.    | 1., 2., 3. and 4.                 | 5         | 5%      |
Table 3 Distribution of farmers according to the sources of information utilized

| S. No. | Sources of information   | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1.     | Newspaper                | 53        | 53%     |
| 2.     | Television (T.V.)        | 8         | 8%      |
| 3.     | Friends                  | 31        | 31%     |
| 4.     | Doctors                  | 1         | 1%      |
| 5.     | University               | 1         | 1%      |
| 6.     | Newspaper and T.V.       | 2         | 2%      |
| 7.     | Newspaper and Friends    | 3         | 3%      |
| 8.     | T.V. and Friends         | 1         | 1%      |

Table 4 Distribution of farmers in relation to the type of training at University they are interested to attend in future

| S. No. | Type of training                  | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1.     | Livestock                         | 49        | 49%     |
| 2.     | Agriculture                       | 18        | 18%     |
| 3.     | Fisheries                         | 4         | 4%      |
| 4.     | Both Agriculture and Livestock    | 5         | 5%      |
| 5.     | Not interested in any type of training | 24 | 24% |

Fig. 1 Distribution of respondents according to Age
The technical session at the livestock fair was heard by 26.4% farmers. The respondents who opted for future trainings on livestock, agriculture, fisheries were about 49, 18 and 4%, respectively in contrast to 24% farmers who would not like to participate in any type of training. The farmers who would like to have training on both agriculture and livestock disciplines were about 5% (Table 4.). Out of all the 100 surveyees, 37 farmers purchased university’s recommended literature on agriculture or livestock and the livestock fair fulfilled the purpose of 86% farmers who attended it.
Farmers have to be provided with timely and appropriate information inputs to get the maximum benefits. The motive of most of the respondents at livestock fair was to get new information on agriculture and livestock followed by new discoveries/inventions. The information demands of farmers mainly revolved around the livestock breeding followed by animal nutrition and new technologies in addition to management practices.

In addition, newspapers followed by friends were found to be the most beneficial source of disseminating knowledge for farmers. Moreover, a major chunk of farmers (58%) were interested in livestock related disciplines as their future endeavor.
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