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Abstract

This paper presents the design and construction of an annotated Chinese collocation bank as the resource to support systematic research on Chinese collocations. With the help of computational tools, the bi-gram and n-gram collocations corresponding to 3,643 head-words are manually identified. Furthermore, annotations for bi-gram collocations include dependency relation, chunking relation and classification of collocation types. Currently, the collocation bank annotated 23,581 bi-gram collocations and 2,752 n-gram collocations extracted from a 5-million-word corpus. Through statistical analysis on the collocation bank, some characteristics of Chinese bi-gram collocations are examined which is essential to collocation research, especially for Chinese.

1 Introduction

Collocation is a lexical phenomenon in which two or more words are habitually combined and commonly used in a language to express certain semantic meaning. For example, in Chinese, people will say 历史-包袱 (historical baggage) rather than 历史-行李 (historical luggage) even though 包袱 (baggage) and 行李 (luggage) are synonymous. However, no one can argue why 历史 must collocate with 包袱. Briefly speaking, collocations are frequently used word combinations. The collocated words always have syntactic or semantic relations but they cannot be generated directly by syntactic or semantic rules. Collocation can bring out different meanings a word can carry and it plays an indispensable role in expressing the most appropriate meaning in a given context. Consequently, collocation knowledge is widely employed in natural language processing tasks such as word sense disambiguation, machine translation, information retrieval and natural language generation (Manning et al. 1999).

Although the importance of collocation is well known, it is difficult to compile a complete collocation dictionary. There are some existing corpus linguistic researches on automatic extraction of collocations from electronic text (Smadja 1993; Lin 1998; Xu and Lu 2006). These techniques are mainly based on statistical techniques and syntactic analysis. However, the performances of automatic collocation extraction systems are not satisfactory (Pecina 2005). A problem is that collocations are word combinations that co-occur within a short context, but not all such co-occurrences are true collocations. Further examinations is needed to filter out pseudo-collocations once co-occurred word pairs are identified. A collocation bank with true collocations annotated is naturally an indispensable resource for collocation research. (Kosho et al. 2000) presented their works of collocation annotation on Japanese text. Also, the Turkish treebank, (Bedin 2003) included collocation annotation as one step in its annotation. These two collocation banks provided collocation identification and co-occurrence verification information. (Tutin 2005) used shallow analysis based on finite state transducers and lexicon-grammar to identify and annotate collocations in a French corpus. This collocation bank further provided the lexical functions of the collocations. However to this day, there is no reported Chinese collocation bank available.
In this paper, we present the design and construction of a Chinese collocation bank (acronymed CCB). This is the first attempt to build a large-scale Chinese collocation bank as a Chinese NLP resource with multiple linguistic information for each collocation including: (1) annotating the collocated words for each given headword; (2) distinguishing bi-gram and n-gram collocations for the headword; (3) for bi-gram collocations, CCB provides their syntactic dependencies, chunking relation and classification of collocation types which is proposed by (Xu and Lu 2006). In addition, we introduce the quality assurance mechanism used for CCB. CCB currently contains for 3,643 common headwords taken from “The Dictionary of Modern Chinese Collocations” (Mei 1999) with 23,581 unique bi-gram collocations and 2,752 unique n-gram collocations extracted from a five-million-word segmented and chunked Chinese corpus (Xu and Lu, 2005).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some basic concepts. Section 3 describes the annotation guideline. Section 4 describes the practical issues in the annotation process including corpus preparation, headword preparation, annotation flow, and the quality assurance mechanism. Section 5 gives current status of CCB and characteristics analysis of the annotated collocations. Section 6 concludes this paper.

2 Basic Concepts

Although collocations are habitual expressions in natural language use and they can be easily understood by people, a precise definition of collocation is still far-reaching (Manning et al. 1999). In this study, we define a collocation as a recurrent and conventional expression of two or more content words that holds syntactic and semantic relation. Content words in Chinese include noun, verb, adjective, adverb, determiner, directional word, and gerund. Collocations with only two words are called bi-gram collocations and others are called n-gram collocations.

From a linguistic view point, collocations have a number of characteristics. Firstly, collocations are recurrent as they are of habitual use. Collocations occur frequently in similar contexts and they appear in certain fixed patterns. However, they cannot be described by the same set of syntactic or semantic rules. Secondly, free word combinations which can be generated by linguistic rules are normally considered compositional. In contrast, collocations should be limited compositional (Manning et al. 1999) and they usually carry additional meanings when used as a collocation. Thirdly, collocations are also limited substitutable and limited modifiable. Limited substitutable here means that a word cannot be freely substituted by other words with similar linguistic functions in the same context such as synonyms. Also, many collocations cannot be modified freely by adding modifiers or through grammatical transformations. Lastly, collocations are domain-dependent (Smadja 1993) and language-dependent.

3 Annotation Guideline Design

The guideline firstly determines the annotation strategy.

(1) The annotation of CCB follows the headword-driven strategy. The annotation uses selected headwords as the starting point. In each circle, the collocations corresponding to one headword are annotated. Headword-driven strategy makes a more efficient annotation as it is helpful to estimate and compare the relevant collocations.

(2) CCB is manually annotated with the help of automatic estimation of computational features, i.e. semi-automatic software tools are used to generate parsing and chunking candidates and to estimate the classification features. These data are present to the annotators for determination. The use of assistive tools is helpful to produce accurate annotations with efficiency.

The guideline also specifies the information to be annotated and the labels used in the annotation.

For a given headword, CCB annotates both bi-gram collocations and n-gram collocations. Considering the fact that n-gram collocations consisting of continuous significant bi-grams as a whole and, the n-gram annotation is based on the identification and verification of bi-gram word combinations and is prior to the annotation of bi-gram collocations.

For bi-gram annotation, which is the major interest in collocation research, three kinds of information are annotated. The first one is the syntactic dependency of the headword and its co-word in a bi-gram collocation. A syntactic dependency normally consists of one word as the governor (or head), a dependency type and another word serves
as dependent (or modifier) (Lin 1998). Totally, 10 types of dependencies are annotated in CCB. They are listed in Table 1 below.

| Dependency Description | Example                                                                 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ADX                    | Adjective and its adverbial modifier                                      |
| ADP                    | Predicate and its adverbial modifier where the predicate serves as head |
| CN                     | Noun and its adjective modifier                                          |
| CMP                    | Predicate and its complement in which the predicate serves as head       |
| VXS                    | Juxtaposition structure                                                   |
| VN                     | Noun and its nominal modifier                                             |
| SBV                    | Predicate and its subject                                                |
| FOG                    | Predicate and its object in which the predicate serves as head           |
| PV                     | Serial verb constructions which indicates that there are serial actions  |
| OF                     | Others                                                                   |

Table 1. The dependency categories

The second one is the syntactic chunking information (a chunk is defined as a minimum non-nesting or non-overlapping phrase) (Xu and Lu, 2005). Chunking information identifies all the words for a collocation within the context of an enclosed chunk. Thus, it is a way to identify its proper context at the most immediate syntactic structure. 11 types of syntactic chunking categories given in (Xu and 2006) are used as listed in Table 2.

| Description          | Examples                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BNP                  | Base noun phrase                                                        |
| BAP                  | Base adjective phrase                                                   |
| BVP                  | Base verb phrase                                                        |
| BDP                  | Base adverb phrase                                                       |
| BQP                  | Base quantifier phrase                                                  |
| BTP                  | Base time phrase                                                        |
| BFP                  | Base position phrase                                                    |
| BNT                  | Name of an organization                                                  |
| BNS                  | Name of a place                                                          |
| BNZ                  | Other proper noun phrase                                                |
| BVT                  | N-V structure                                                           |

Table 2. The chunking categories

The third one is the classification of collocation types. Collocations cover a wide spectrum of habitual word combinations ranging from idioms to free word combinations. Some collocations are very rigid and some are more flexible. (Xu and Lu 2006) proposed a scheme to classify collocations into four types according to the internal association of collocations including compositionality, non-substitutability, non-modifiability, and statistical significance. They are,

**Type 0: Idiomatic Collocation**

Type 0 collocations are fully non-compositional as its meaning cannot be predicted from the meanings of its components such as 敘木求鱼 (climbing a tree to catch a fish, which is a metaphor for a fruitless endeavour). Some terminologies are also Type 0 collocations such as 蓝牙 (Blue-tooth) which refers to a wireless communication protocol. Type 0 collocations must have fixed forms. Their components are non-substitutable and non-modifiable allowing no syntactic transformation and no internal lexical variation. This type of collocations has very strong internal associations and co-occurrence statistics is not important.

**Type 1: Fixed Collocation**

Type 1 collocations are very limited compositional with fixed forms which are non-substitutable and non-modifiable. However, this type can be compositional. None of the words in a Type 1 collocation can be substituted by any other words to retain the same meaning such as in 外交/ 表示/ 独立/ 不干涉/) (diplomatic immunity). Finally, Type 1 collocations normally have strong co-occurrence statistics to support them.

**Type 2: Strong Collocation**

Type 2 collocations are limitedly compositional. They allow very limited substitutability. In other words, their components can only be substituted by few synonyms and the newly generated word combinations have similar meaning, e.g., 缔结/ 合同/ 合作/ 联盟/ (alliance formation) and 缔结/ 联盟/ 下 (alliance formation). Furthermore, Type 2 collocations allow limited modifier insertion and the order of components must be maintained. Type 2 collocations normally have strong statistical support.

**Type 3: Loose Collocation**

Type 3 collocations have loose restrictions. They are nearly compositional. Their components may be substituted by some of their synonyms and the newly generated word combinations usually have very similar meanings. Type 3 collocations are modifiable meaning that they allow modifier insertions. Type 3 collocations have weak internal associations and they must have statistically significant co-occurrence.

The classification represents the strength of internal associations of collocated words. The annotation of these three kinds of information is essential to all-rounded characteristic analysis of collocations.
4 Annotation of CCB

4.1 Data Preparation

CCB is based on the PolyU chunk bank (Xu and Lu, 2005) which contains chunking information on the People’s Daily corpus with both segmentation and part-of-speech tags. The accuracies of word segmentation and POS tagging are claimed to be higher than 99.9% and 99.5%, respectively (Yu et al. 2001). The use of this popular and accurate raw resource helped to reduce the cost of annotation significantly, and ensured maximal sharing of our output.

The set of 3, 643 headwords are selected from “The Dictionary of Modern Chinese Collocation” (Mei 1999) among about 6,000 headwords in the dictionary. The selection was based both on the judgment by linguistic experts as well as the statistical information that they are commonly used.

4.2 Corpus Preprocessing

The CCB annotations are represented in XML. Since collocations are practical word combinations and word is the basic unit in collocation research, a preprocessing module is devised to transfer the chunked sentences in the PolyU chunk bank to word sequences with the appropriate labels to indicate the corresponding chunking information. This preprocessing module indexes the words and chunks in the sentences and encodes the chunking information of each word in two steps. Consider the following sample sentence extracted from the PolyU chunk bank:

确保[人民n 群众n]BNP 的u[生命n 财产n]安全/an]BNP

(ensure life and property safety of the people)

The first step in preprocessing is to index each word and the chunk in the sentence by giving incremental word ids and chunk ids from left to right. That is,

[W1] 保证[v [W2] 人民n [W3] 群众n [W4] 的u [W5] 生命n [W6] 财产n [W7] 安全/an]BNP

where, [W1] to [W7] are the words and [C1] to [C2] are chunks although chunking positions are not included in this step. One Chinese word may occur in a sentence for more than one times, the unique word ids are helpful to avoid ambiguities in the collocation annotation on these words.

The second step is to represent the chunking information of each word. Chunking boundary information is labeled by following initial/final representation scheme. Four labels, O/B/I/E, are used to mark the isolated words outside any chunks, chunk-initial words, words in the middle of chunks, and chunk-final words, respectively. Finally, a label H is used to mark the identified head of chunks and N to mark the non-head words.

The above sample sentence is then transferred to a sequence of words with labels as shown below,

\[
\text{<labeled> [W1][O_O_N][O] 确保[v [W2][B_BNP_N][C1]
人民n [W3][E_BNP_H][C1] 群众n [W4][O_O_N][O] 的u [W5][B_BNP_N][C2] 生命n [W6][I_BNP_N][C2] 财产n [W7][E_BNP_N][C2] 安全/an <labeled>}
\]

For each word, the first label is the word ID. The second one is a hybrid tag for describing its chunking status. The hybrid tags are ordinal with respect to the chunking status of boundary, syntactic category and head. For example, B_BNP_N indicates that current word is the beginning or a BNP and this word is not the head of this chunk. The third one is the chunk ID if applicable. For the word out of any chunks, a fixed chunk ID O is given.

4.3 Collocation Annotation

Collocation annotation is conducted on one headword at a time. For a given headword, an annotator examines its context to determine if its co-occurred word(s) forms a collocation with it and if so, also annotate the collocation’s dependency, chunking and classification information. The annotation procedure, requires three passes. We use a headword 安全/an (safe), as an illustrative example.

Pass 1. Concordance and dependency identification

In the first pass, the concordance of the given headword is performed. Sentences containing the headwords are obtained, e.g.

S1: 保[b] 证[v] [确保[v 安全/an]BNP 的u 原则/n (follow the principles for ensuring the safety)

S2: 确保[v [人民n 群众n]BNP 的u[生命n 财产n]安全/an]BNP

(ensure life and property safety of the people)

S3: 确保[v 长江/n] 安全/an 度汛/v] BNP

(ensure the flood pass through Yangzi River safety)

With the help of an automatic dependency parser, the annotator determines all syntactically and semantically dependent words in the chunking context of the observing headword. The annotation output of S1 is given below in which XML tags are used for the dependency annotation.

S1:<sentence> 保证[v [确保[v 安全/an]BNP 的u 原则/n
Dependency of word combination is annotated with the tag <dependency> which includes the following attributes:

- no is the id of identified dependency within current sentence according to ordinal sequence
- observing indicates the current observing headword
- head indicates the head of the identified word dependency
- head_wordid is the word id of the head
- head_chunk is the chunk id of the head
- head_chunkid is the chunk id of the head
- modifier indicates the modifier of the identified dependency
- modifier_wordid is the word id of the modifier
- modifier_chunk is the chunk id of the modifier
- modifier_chunkid is the chunk id of the modifier
- relation gives the syntactic dependency relations labeled according to the dependency labels listed in Table 1.

In $S1$ and $S2$, the word combination 确保 / an 安全 has direct dependency, and in $S3$, such a dependency does not exist as 确保 / an only determines 度汛 and 安全 / an depends on 度汛. The quality of CCB highly depends on the accuracy of dependency annotation. This is very important for effective characteristics analysis of collocations and for the collocation extraction algorithms.

**Pass 2. N-gram collocations annotation**

It is relatively easy to identify n-gram collocations since an n-gram collocation is of habitual and recurrent use of a series of bi-grams. This means that n-gram collocations can be identified by finding consecutive occurrence of significant bi-grams in certain position. In the second pass, the annotators focus on the sentences where the headword has more than one dependency. The percentage of all appearances of each dependent word at each position around the headword is estimated with the help of a program (Xu and Lu, 2006). Finally, word dependencies frequently co-occurring in consecutive positions in a fixed order are extracted as n-gram collocations.

For the headword, an n-gram collocation 生命 / n 财产 / n 安全 / an is identified since the co-occurrence percentage of dependency 生命 / n-NN- 财产 / n-NN- 安全 / an is 0.74 greater than an empirical threshold suggest in (Xu and Lu, 2006). This n-gram is annotated in $S2$ as follows:

$$<ncolloc observing="生命 / n" w1="生命" w2="财产" w3="安全" start_wordid="5"> </ncolloc>$$

where,

- $<$nloc> indicates an n-gram collocation
- w1, w2...wn give the components of the n-gram collocation according to the ordinal sequence.
- start_wordid indicates the word id of the first component of the n-gram collocation.

Since n-gram collocation is regarded as a whole, its internal dependencies are ignored in the output file of pass 2. That is, if the dependencies of several components are associated with an n-gram collocation in one sentence, the n-gram collocation is annotated and these dependencies are filtered out so as not to disturb the bi-gram dependencies.

**Pass 3. Bi-gram collocations annotation**

In this pass, all the word dependencies are examined to identify bi-gram collocations. Furthermore, if a dependent word combination is regarded as a collocation by the annotators, it will be further labeled based on the type determined. The identification is based on expert knowledge combined with the use of several computational features as discussed in (Xu and Lu, 2006).

An assistive tool is developed to estimate the computational features. We use the program to obtain feature data based on two sets of data. The first data set is the annotated dependencies in the 5-million-word corpus which is obtained through Pass 1 and Pass 2 annotations. Because the dependent word combinations are manually identified and annotated in the first pass, the statistical significance is helpful to identify whether the word combination is a collocation and to determine its type. However, data sparseness problem must be considered since 5-million-word is not large enough. Thus, another set of statistical data are
collected from a 100-million segmented and tagged corpus (Xu and Lu, 2006). With this large corpus, data sparseness is no longer a serious problem. But, the collected statistics are quite noisy since they are directly retrieved from text without any verification. By analyzing the statistical features from both sets, the annotator can use his/her professional judgment to determine whether a bi-gram is a collocation and its collocation type.

In the example sentences, two collocations are identified. Firstly, 安全/度汛/v is classified as a Type 1 collocation as they have only one peak co-occurrence, very low substitution ratio and their co-occurrence order nearly never altered. Secondly, 确保/v 安全/an is identified as a collocation. They have frequent co-occurrences and they are always co-occurred in fixed order among the verified dependencies. However, their co-occurrences are distributed evenly and they have two peak co-occurrences. Therefore, 确保/v 安全/an is classified as a Type 3 collocation. These bi-gram collocations are annotated as illustrated below,

```xml
<dependency no="1" observing="安全/an" head="度汛/v" head_wordid="W4" head_chunkid="C1" modifier="安全/an" modifier_wordid="W3" modifier_chunkid="C1" relation="ADV">
</dependency></bcolloc>
```

where,

- `<bcolloc>` indicates a bi-gram collocation.
- `<col` is for the collocated word.
- `<head` indicates the head of an identified collocation
- `<type` is the classified collocation type.
- `<relation` gives the syntactic dependency relations of this bi-gram collocation.

Note that the dependency annotations within the bi-gram collocations are reserved.

4.4 Quality Assurance

The annotators of CCB are three post-graduate students majoring in linguistics. In the first annotation stage, 20% headwords of the whole set was annotated in duplicates by all three of them. Their outputs were checked by a program. Annotated collocation including classified dependencies and types accepted by at least two annotators are reserved in the final data as the Golden Standard while the others are considered incorrect. The inconsistencies between different annotators were discussed to clarify any misunderstanding in order to come up with the most appropriate annotations. In the second annotation stage, 80% of the whole annotations were then divided into three parts and separately distributed to the annotators with 5% duplicate headwords were distributed blindly. The duplicate annotation data were used to estimate the annotation consistency between annotators.

5 Collocation Characteristic Analysis

5.1 Progress and Quality of CCB

Up to now, the first version of CCB is completed. We have obtained 23,581 unique bi-gram collocations and 2,752 unique n-gram collocations corresponding to the 3,643 observing headwords. Meanwhile, their occurrences in the corpus are annotated and verified. With the help of a computer program, the annotators manually classified bi-gram collocations into three types. The numbers of Type 0/1, Type 2 and Type 3 collocations are 152, 3,982 and 19,447, respectively.

For the 3,643 headwords in The Dictionary of Modern Chinese Collocations (Mei 1999) with 35,742 bi-gram collocations, 20,035 collocations appear in the corpus. We call this collection as Mei’s Collocation Collection (MCC). There are 19,967 common entries in MCC and CCB, which means 99.7% collocations in MCC appear in CCB indicating a good linguistic consistency. Furthermore, 3,614 additional collocations are found in CCB which enriches the static collocation dictionary.

5.2 Dependencies Numbers Statistics of Collocations

Firstly, we study the statistics of how many types of dependencies a bi-gram collocation may have. The numbers of dependency types with respect to different collocation types are listed in Table 3.

| Collocations | 1 type | 2 types | >2 types | Total |
|--------------|--------|---------|----------|-------|
| Type 0/1     | 152    | 0       | 0        | 152   |
| Type 2       | 3970   | 12      | 0        | 3982  |
| Type 3       | 17282  | 2130    | 35       | 19447 |
| Total        | 21404  | 2142    | 35       | 23581 |

Table 3. Collocation classification versus number of dependency types
It is observed that about 90% bi-gram collocations have only one dependency type. This indicates that a collocation normally has only one fixed syntactic dependency. It is also observed that about 10% bi-gram collocations have more than one dependency type, especially Type 3 collocations. For example, two types of dependencies are identified in the bi-gram collocation 安全/an-国家/n. They are 安全/an-AN-国家/n (a safe nation) which indicates the dependency of a noun and its nominal modifier where 国家/n serves as the head, and 国家/n-NN-安全/an (national security) which indicates the dependency of a noun and its nominal modifier where 安全/an serves as the head. It is attributed to the fact that the use of Chinese words is flexible. A Chinese word may support different part-of-speech. A collocation with different dependencies results in different distribution trends and most of these collocations are classified as Type 3. On the other hand, Type 0/1 and Type 2 collocations seldom have more than one dependency type.

5.3 Syntactic Dependency Statistics of Collocations

The statistics of the 10 types of syntactic dependencies with respect to different types of bi-gram collocations are shown in Table 4. No. is the number of collocations with a given dependency type D and a given collocation type T. The percentage of No. among all collocations with the same collocation type T is labeled as $P_T$, and the percentage of No. among all of the collocations with the same dependency D is labeled as $P_D$.

| Type 0/1 | Type 2 | Type 3 | Total |
|---------|--------|--------|-------|
|         | No.    | $P_T$  | No.    | $P_T$  | No.    | $P_T$  | No.    | $P_T$  |
| ADA     | 1      | 0.7    | 0.1    | 0.1    | 1637   | 7.6    | 88.5   | 1850   | 7.2    |
| ADV     | 9      | 5.9    | 0.3    | 0.4    | 2555   | 11.8   | 88.5   | 2886   | 11.2   |
| AN      | 20     | 13.2   | 0.4    | 1.1    | 4771   | 22.0   | 84.3   | 5662   | 22.0   |
| CMP     | 12     | 7.8    | 2.2    | 1.1    | 379    | 1.8    | 70.8   | 555    | 2.1    |
| NJX     | 8      | 5.3    | 3.2    | 4.2    | 198    | 0.9    | 79.8   | 248    | 1.0    |
| NN      | 45     | 28.9   | 0.9    | 10.1   | 3722   | 17.2   | 77.5   | 4802   | 18.6   |
| SBV     | 4      | 2.6    | 0.2    | 2.3    | 152    | 0.7    | 87.0   | 2568   | 10.0   |
| VO      | 26     | 17.1   | 0.5    | 8.5    | 203    | 0.9    | 87.0   | 325    | 1.3    |
| TV      | 1      | 2.0    | 0.2    | 1.1    | 1646   | 6.8    | 86.4   | 1694   | 6.6    |
| OT      | 25     | 16.4   | 7.7    | 5.1    | 97     | 0.4    | 29.8   | 325    | 1.3    |
| Total   | 152    | 100.0  | 0.6    | 3994   | 100.0  | 15.5   | 21647  | 100.0  | 83.9   | 25793  | 100.0 |

Table 4. The statistics of collocations with different collocation type and dependency

Corresponding to 23,581 bi-gram collocations, 25,793 types of dependencies are identified (some collocations have more than one types of dependency). In which, about 82% belongs to five major dependency types. They are AN, VO, NN, ADV and SBV. It is note-worthy that the percentage of NN collocation is much higher than that in English. This is because nouns are more often used in parallel to serve as one syntactic component in Chinese sentences than in English.

The percentages of Type 0/1, Type 2 and Type 3 collocations in CCB are 0.6%, 16.9% and 82.5%, respectively. However, the collocations with different types of dependencies have shown their own characteristics with respect to different collocation types. The collocations with CMP, NJX and NN dependencies on average have higher percentage to be classified into Type 0/1 and Type 2 collocations. This indicates that CMP, NJX and NN collocations in Chinese are always used in fixed patterns and these kinds of collocations are not freely modifiable and substitutable. In the contrary, many ADV and AN collocations are classified as Type 3. This is partially due to the special usage of auxiliary words in Chinese. Many AN Chinese collocations can be inserted by a meaningless auxiliary word /u and many ADV Chinese collocations can be inserted by an auxiliary word 地/u. This means that many AN and ADV collocations can be modified and thus, they always have two peak occurrences. Therefore, they are classified as Type 3 collocations. 7.7% and 62.5% of the collocations with dependency OT are classified as Type 0/1 and Type2 collocations, respectively. Such percentages are much higher than the average. This is attributed by the fact that some Type 0/1 and Type 2 collocations have strong semantic relations rather than syntactic relations and thus their dependencies are difficult to label.

5.4 Chunking Statistics of Collocations

The chunking characteristic for the collocations with different types and different dependencies are examined. In most cases, Type 0/1/2 collocations co-occur within one chunk or between neighboring chunks. Therefore, their chunking characteristics are not discussed in detail. The percentage of the occurrences of Type 3 collocations with different chunking distances are given in Table 5. If a collocation co-occurs within one chunk, the chunking distance is 0. If a collocation co-occurs between neighboring chunks, or between neighboring words, or between a word and a neighboring chunk, the chunking distance is 1, and so on.
Table 5. Chunking distances of Type 3 collocations

| Chunk | ADA | ADV | AN | CMP | NJX | NN | SBV | VO | VV | OT |
|-------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|
| 0     | 56.8| 53.1| 65.7| 48.5| 70.2| 62.4| 46.5| 41.1| 47.2| 86.4|
| 1     | 38.2| 43.7| 28.5| 37.2| 15.4| 27.9| 41.2| 35.7| 41.1| 13.5|
| 2     | 5.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 14.2| 14.4| 9.7 | 11.0| 17.6| 9.6 | 0.1 |
| >2    | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 |

It is shown that the co-occurrence of collocations decreases with increased chunking distance. Yet, the behavior for decrease is different for collocations with different dependencies. Generally speaking, the ADA, ADV, CMP, NJX, NN and OT collocations seldom co-occur cross two words or two chunks. Furthermore, the occurrences of AN, NJX and OT collocations quickly drops when the chunking distance is greater than 0, i.e. these collocations tends to co-occur within the same chunk. In the contrary, the co-occurrences of ADA, ADV, CMP, SBV and VV collocations corresponding to chunking distance equals 0 and 1 decrease steadily. It means that these four kinds of collocations are more evenly distributed within the same chunk or between neighboring words or chunks. The occurrences of VO collocations corresponding to chunking distance from 0 to 3 with a much flatter reduction. This indicates that a verb may govern its object in a long range.

6 Conclusions

This paper describes the design and construction of a manually annotated Chinese collocation bank. Following a set of well-designed annotation guideline, the collocations corresponding to 3,643 headwords are identified from a chunked five-million word corpus. 2,752 unique n-gram collocations and 23,581 unique bi-gram collocations are annotated. Furthermore, each bi-gram collocation is annotated with its syntactic dependency information, classification information and chunking information. Based on CCB, characteristics of collocations with different types and different dependencies are examined. The obtained result is essential for improving research related to Chinese collocation. Also, CCB may be used as a standard answer set for evaluating the performance of different collocation extraction algorithms. In the future, collocations of all unvisited headwords will be annotated to produce a complete 5-million-word Chinese collocation bank.
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