**Abstract**

Since 2008, the kiwifruit industry has been devastated by a pandemic outbreak of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actiniae* (Psa), the causal agent of bacterial canker. This disease has become the most significant limiting factor in kiwifruit production. Psa colonizes different organs of the host plant, causing a specific symptomatology on each of them. In addition, the systemic invasion of the plant may quickly lead to plant death. Despite the massive risk that this disease poses to the kiwifruit industry, studies focusing on Psa ecology have been sporadic, and a comprehensive description of the disease epidemiology is still missing. Optimal environmental conditions for infection, dispersal and survival in the environment, or the mechanisms of penetration and colonization of host tissues have not been fully elucidated yet. The present work aims to provide a synthesis of the current knowledge, and a deeper understanding of the epidemiology of kiwifruit bacterial canker based on new experimental data. The pathogen may survive in the environment or overwinter in dormant tissues and be dispersed by wind or rain. Psa was observed in association with several plant structures (stomata, trichomes, lenticels) and wounds, which could represent entry points for apoplast infection. Environmental conditions also affect the bacterial colonization, with lower optimum values of temperature and humidity for epiphytic than for endophytic growth, and disease incidence requiring a combination of mild temperature and leaf wetness. By providing information on Psa ecology, these data sets may contribute to plan efficient control strategies for kiwifruit bacterial canker.
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**Introduction**

Kiwifruit [*Actinidia chinensis* Planch. var. *chinensis* and *Actinidia chinensis* var. *deliciosa* (A. Chev.)] production, which is mostly concentrated in China, Italy and New Zealand, generates a significant agricultural value of over three billion euros annually, with a retail market value worth over ten billion euros (FAO data, 2017). The emergence and spread in all the key production areas of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actiniae* (Psa), responsible for bacterial canker of kiwifruit, has compromised the viability of this production on a global scale [1–6]. The pathogen is highly virulent, spreading quickly between plants of different cultivars and vines of different ages [5–8]. For example, in Italy, between 2010 and 2012, more than 2000 ha of kiwifruit orchards have been either destroyed by the disease or cut down, causing severe economic losses for growers, satellite industries, and other stakeholders of the kiwifruit production chain [9].
Because of its recent and rapid emergence, the biology and ecology of Psa biovar 3, responsible for the pandemic outbreak of kiwifruit bacterial canker, has not yet been fully elucidated [3, 5, 6]. The information available on well-studied, related \textit{P. syringae} pathovars, such as \textit{P. syringae pv. syringae}, may provide general indication on conspecific bacteria. However, because of the strict host specificity, molecular and biochemical peculiarities must exist in Psa, and many aspects of this pathovar biology and disease epidemiology still require in-depth investigation. Primary entry points and mechanisms of spread of Psa represent important aspects still to elucidate. This information is the key to assist in the development of effective means of disease control which should be tailored on the key steps of the disease cycle.

This work aims to elucidate the so far unresolved points in the epidemiology of bacterial canker, with a particular focus on the sources of inoculum and on the sites of infection. Some short communications have listed plant tissues where the bacteria have been detected [10–12]: lenticels, lesion margins, buds, woody tissue, suckers and fruit pedicels. However, such studies provided no evidence for direct tissue contamination, since Psa can migrate through the apoplast leading to a systemic plant infection. Further studies identified natural openings and lesions as important entry sites for Psa, as well as the importance of lenticels and fresh cut in the infection process, as observed through a scanning electron microscope [13, 14]. Furthermore, it was observed, through bacterial injections into leaf veins as described by Serizawa and Ichikawa [15], that bacteria can migrate from young leaves to twigs facilitating a systemic infection throughout the vine [8, 12, 13, 16–18]. The bacterium was retrieved in xylem vessels and phloem tissues, indicating that the systemic spread can rapidly reach potentially any tissue of the infected plant [14].

However, the methods used to obtain these results have inherent limitations. The manipulation of plant material required to prepare the samples for the scanning electron microscope is well known to generate artefacts in the quantity and distribution of bacteria in the plant samples [19]. A less biased method of observation is the use of the confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM), in which plant material is not manipulated by treatments such as staining and fixing, providing a more accurate observation of bacteria distribution directly \textit{in vivo} [19]. Furthermore, with the use of autofluorescent protein labelling, bacterial movement can be tracked visually in live plant tissues, providing more accurate information on migration through the plant [10, 14, 19].

Large variability within the \textit{Actinidia} Lindl. genus exists due to the large natural geographic range in which these plants are found [20]. The main cultivated varieties are \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} and \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa}, the former being characterized by yellow- or red-fleshed fruit, while the latter includes primarily green-fleshed cultivars [21]. However, between \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} and \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa}, there are differences not only in vegetative morphology, flower and fruit morphology, and chromosome number [20, 22–24] but also in different susceptibility to Psa. In fact, it has been observed that yellow- and red-fleshed kiwifruit (\textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis}) are generally more susceptible than green-fleshed (\textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa}) cultivars such as ‘Hayward’ displaying a more pronounced symptomatology, enhanced spread, and faster dieback [25].

Possible explanations for differences in response to the Psa infection include variation in morphology features such as a higher density of lenticels and stomata and a larger leaf surface area [26]. However, as for other pathogenic bacteria showing an epiphytic phase, factors that contribute to the creation of favourable leaf microhabitat conditions should not be overlooked [27, 28].

Understanding the disease epidemiology has significant practical applications in the improvement of disease management. A clear elucidation of the importance of entry points that may vary throughout the season, can enable fine-tuned disease management strategies based on the plant-pathogen phenological synchrony for enhanced plant protection. Furthermore, cultivar factors influencing host-pathogen interactions are central for the appropriate selection of resistance genotypes in breeding programmes [29].

This paper aims to evaluate the key steps of the infection process and the influence of the environmental factors on Psa growth and infection. Furthermore, the relative importance of the possible entry points of Psa, the colonization pathway, the environmental survival of the pathogen and its spread from plant to plant are determined.

The study was conducted both in controlled and field conditions in Italy and New Zealand. In controlled conditions, the inoculation of host plants was performed with the use of GFP-labelled bacteria and to allow for a non-invasive, real-time observation under CLSM of the plant-pathogen interactions both at the cell and whole plant level. Furthermore, the investigation of the successful colonization of Psa through various entry points in the two different species allowed to draw new hypotheses for the different susceptibility between \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} and \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa}.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Material**

The experiments in controlled conditions were performed on 1- to 3-year-old potted plants of \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa} cv. ‘Hayward’, or \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} cvs. ‘Zesy002’ (Gold3), ‘Jintao’ and ‘Hort16A’, \textit{A. arguta} (Siebold & Zucc.) Planch. Ex Miq, \textit{A. polygama} (Siebold & Zucc.) Maxim. and \textit{A. eriantha} Bentham grown in a mixture of peat and sand (1:1, v/v) with standard irrigation and fertilization,
and maintained in a growth chamber with a 16:8 h (light/dark) photoperiod. Normal growth conditions were 24 °C and approximately 85% relative humidity (RH). Semi-field experiments were performed on 4- to 5-year-old potted plants of A. chinensis var. deliciosa cv. ‘Hayward’, or A. chinensis var. chinensis cv. ‘Hort16A’.

Field experiments were carried out in commercial orchards of A. chinensis var. deliciosa cv. ‘Hayward’ or cv. ‘Tomuri’ or ‘Matua’ and A. chinensis var. chinensis cv. ‘Jintao’ or cv. ‘Hort16A’ located near Faenza F (44° 17’ 8” N; 11° 120 53’ 0” E), Sarna (44° 15’ 13”N; 11° 52’ 50” E) and Imola (44° 37’ 42” N, 11° 68’ 85” E). In all the studied orchards, at the beginning of the experiments, the incidence of bacterial canker was approximately 50%, assessed by monitoring of visual symptoms on vines. To monitor the microclimate conditions, humidity (EasyLog-UBS-1), temperature and leaf wetness (Spectrum data-loggers 1000 Series Micro Stations) sensors were placed in each orchard. Rainfalls data was obtained by Dexter Service of Regione Emilia Romagna (Italy).

Field Monitoring of Symptoms

During three seasons (2013–2015), the presence of bacterial canker symptoms was recorded on a population of canes in five commercial orchards. In the same locations, meteorological data were also collected.

The canes were selected and tagged in March. The symptom assessment was performed weekly from April to November, by recording the number of bacterial canker lesions on the leaves. Starting from 2014, to obtain timely information on the bacterial spread in the orchard, potted sentinel plants of A. chinensis var. deliciosa ( cvs. ‘Hayward’ and ‘Tomuri’) were placed weekly under the canopy of three of the commercial orchards. After a 7-day exposure, Psa populations were determined in six sentinel plants, while six more were maintained in a greenhouse for 3 weeks before leaf spots were recorded.

Bacterial Growth, Inoculation and Quantification

For experiments in controlled conditions, transgenic Psa strains, derived from CFBP7286 (biovar 3), isolated in Italy from A. chinensis var. chinensis, retaining the wild-type virulence and expressing a green CFBP7286-GFPuv or red CFBP7286-DsED fluorescent proteins were used for experimental inoculation [10]. Bacteria were grown in liquid Lysogenic Broth medium (27 °C, under agitation at 120 rpm) for 2 days, then precipitated by centrifugation and resuspended in sterile 10 mM MgSO₄.

Potted plants were inoculated with a bacterial suspension (approx. 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹) according to the target entry point. In all cases, the plants were kept at 100% RH for 48 h post inoculation. For each experimental condition, 5–8 biological replicates were used in a randomized block design.

Leaf scars on A. chinensis var. deliciosa and A. chinensis var. chinensis canes were inoculated with 20-μL drops of bacterial suspension (approx. 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹) after natural leaf fall or mechanical removal of the leaf. The inoculation was performed 1, 2, 3, 4 or 15 days after detachment. Ten days after inoculation, the samples were surface-sterilized and Psa endophytic population was determined.

Pruning cuts were inoculated as described for leaf scars. Inoculation was performed up to 32 days after pruning. Host colonization was assessed 10 days after inoculation as previously described.

Closed (BBCH 00) or swollen (BBCH 03) [30] buds were inoculated by applying for 24 h a cotton plug soaked in bacterial suspension. Ten days later, the phenological stage of the bud (bud swelling, BBCH 01-03; bud burst, BBCH 07; sprouting buds, BBCH 09) was recorded, and Psa population was determined. Lenticels were inoculated using the same protocol. The penetration of Psa through the lenticel was determined 7–40 days post inoculation by excising the surrounding tissue and using its internal face to inoculate Lysogenic Broth Agar medium amended with cycloheximide (100 mg L⁻¹) and kanamycin (50 mg L⁻¹).

Host invasion through natural openings on leaves (stomata, trichomes) was tested by directly spraying the bacterial suspension on the target organs. Female flowers were inoculated by brushing stigmas with contaminated pollen, whilst male flowers were sprayed with a bacterial suspension. Roots were inoculated by dipping in the bacterial suspension for 1 min. Subsequently, the plants were placed in sterile sand until analysis. Host colonization was assessed 1, 3, 7, 14, 21 and 30 days after inoculation according to the different inoculated organ.

Epiphytic bacterial populations were obtained by washing the samples in 10 mM MgSO₄ for 30 min under energetic agitation (150 rpm). To assess the growth of the pathogen within the tissues, the plant samples were surface-sterilized by 1-min washes in 70% ethanol, 1.5% NaOCl, and twice in sterile water, then homogenized in 10 mM MgSO₄. The bacterial concentrations (colony-forming units per mL, CFU mL⁻¹) were determined by plating sequential tenfold dilutions of the bacterial suspensions on agarised Lysogenic Broth medium amended with cycloheximide (100 mg L⁻¹). The molecular identity of dubious colonies, and the overall reliability of the method were confirmed with a PCR recognition and quantification protocol [31].

Visualization of Colonization and Migration inside the Host Tissues

Psa colonization of the host plant was monitored by fluorescent microscopy and confocal laser scanning microscopy. Microscopical observation of samples inoculated with
fluorescent bacteria was performed using a motorized microscope (SMZ25, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) fitted with a BHS (GHS) filter set (excitation light 450–490 nm, emission 510 nm) used to visualize the fluorescent bacteria. Optical sections were obtained with a C1-S confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) equipped with an Argon laser. PlanApo objectives 40, 60 and 100× (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) and BHS (GHS) filter set were used for image acquisition. Images were acquired and analysed by the NIS-Elements C Microscope Imaging Software (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

The rates of Psa migration through plant tissues were calculated by determining the endophytic bacterial population in several plant organs, accounting for distance from the inoculation point and acropetal/basipetal direction. Actinidia chinensis var. deliciosa (cv. ‘Hayward’) and A. chinensis var. chinensis (cv. ‘Hort16A’) plants were stab-inoculated on the stem. Three weeks or 4 months later, Psa populations were determined in 1-cm samples taken above and below the inoculation point. For each variety, three plants for each time point were inoculated. Maximum linear migration rates were also calculated from freshly excised canes, immersed in bacterial suspension (7.3 × 10^5 CFU mL^-1) diluted in 10 mM MgSO_4 and maintained at approx. 95% RH. After 20 days, samples of wood tissue were taken every 10 cm to determine the furthest distance where Psa could be found.

### Influence of Temperature and Relative Humidity on Psa Growth in Plants

The infection threshold was evaluated on the four main cultivars of A. chinensis var. chinensis and A. chinensis var. deliciosa, i.e. ‘Zesy002’, ‘Hort16A’, ‘Jintao’ and ‘Hayward’. Experimental inoculations were performed in controlled conditions on 3-year-old potted plants. The experiment was repeated three times, and each repetition consisted in 30 plants per cultivar divided in three biological replicates of ten plants. Half of the plants were spray-inoculated with a wild type strain of Psa (CFBP7286, biovar 3) and the other half with a GFPuv-Psa in order to visualize the colonization of the plant tissues. Plants were inoculated with increasing concentrations of the pathogen (approx. 10^2, 10^3, 10^4, 10^5 CFU mL^-1) and incubated in different temperature and humidity combinations (Table 1).

Psa endophytic population was quantified at 3, 6, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h post-inoculation. Symptoms were assessed at 7, 14 and 21 days post-inoculation. The infection threshold was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
IT = AVG_{T,RH} \frac{P_T + P_{T-1}}{2}
\]

\(t\): first time point where an endophytic population was found in a specific range of temperature (T) and humidity (RH).
\(P_T\): endophytic Psa population at the time point T.

### Table 1

| Temperature (°C, ± 2) | Relative Humidity (%), ± 5 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
|                       | 20 | 65 | 75 | 90 |
| 0                     | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  |
| 5                     | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  |
| 7                     | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  |
| 16                    | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  |
| 21                    | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  |
| 24                    | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  |
| 35                    | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  |
| 40                    | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  |

\(P_{T-1}\): epiphytic Psa population at the time point prior T.
AVG: average of all the combinations of temperature and humidity.

Bacterial growth rates were calculated in the logarithmic phase. For each combination of temperature and RH, the first time point in which an endophytic Psa population occurred \((T_n)\) was considered to estimate the infection threshold. This value was calculated as the average between epiphytic populations at \(T_n\) and \(T_{n-1}\).

### Survival of Psa in Asymptomatic Plant Material, Soil, Water and Non-host Species

The experiments were carried out in two commercial orchards of A. chinensis var. chinensis and A. chinensis var. deliciosa located in Faenza (Emilia-Romagna, Italy). Further experiments were conducted in controlled conditions with experimental contamination of the different substrates.

To assess Psa survival on litter and pruning wood, symptomatic leaves and dieback pruned twigs and canes were collected at leaf fall from an A. chinensis var. chinensis and A. chinensis var. deliciosa orchards. The leaves and pruning woods from the two species were mixed together in equal parts and enclosed in a plastic net (2-mm mesh). Each plastic net was left on the orchard for 6 months. Four samples (10 g each) were collected weekly to determine Psa population. In addition, climatic data were collected during the whole experiment. Finally, samples of healthy leaves and pruning wood were experimentally inoculated and incubated in controlled conditions (24 °C and 75% RH) for 6 months.

Detection from soil was performed both in the root area of infected and healthy plants (10 samples for each conditions and kiwifruit variety). For sampling, the litter-soil interface was discarded and only the first soil layer was sampled at a depth of 10 cm. Psa presence was investigated also in
rhizosphere soil. For this purpose, symptomatic plants were uprooted and the soil adhering to roots was analysed. Experiments were also performed in controlled conditions with the same soil samples sterilized and successively inoculated with Psa \( (10^9 \text{ CFU} \text{ g}^{-1}) \).

To evaluate Psa survival in water sources, 1-L samples were collected from different water sources (i.e. artificial basins inside the orchard, overflow water collector channels, rivers). Water was filtered by using a sterile nitrocellulose filter (pore 0.22 µm, Ø 47 mm). To concentrate Psa population, the used filter was collected and gently agitated (50 rpm) for 5 min in 10 mL of sterile water. The resulting 100-fold concentrated suspension was plated and colony formation was assessed after 48 h of incubation at 27 °C.

Concerning non-host plants, the most common weed species present in kiwifruit orchards were sampled at bud break (BBCH 07), fruit set stages (BBCH 69) and harvest (BBCH 81). Six plants for each species were analysed at each phenological stage. Incidence was calculated by analysing 3–6 leaves for each plant. To calculate Psa population only positive samples were taken in account. The experiments in controlled conditions were performed only on the species where Psa was found in field conditions. The different plants were inoculated by spraying till run off with a Psa suspension of \( 2 \times 10^7 \text{ cells mL}^{-1} \). Plants were kept at 24 °C and 75% RH.

To determine the host tissues where Psa could overwinter, infected plants were selected at leaf fall. Successively, the plants were uprooted before bud break and the different organs were sampled to verify Psa presence and population. More in details, the following organs where analysed: dormant buds, the first 3 cm on each side of the bud, 1-year old canes, trunk and roots.

**Psa Recovery from Rain Splash**

The possible recovery of Psa from rain splash was performed according to the procedures described by Petriccione et al [32]. Briefly, Petri dishes containing nutrient agar supplemented with 3% sucrose (NSA) were attached to a plastic screen (1 m x 1 m). Three plastic screens were joined together to intercept different wind directions. During rainfall, these screens supporting the Petri dishes were joined together to intercept different wind directions. The inoculation of leaves by CFBP7286-GFPuv produced leaf spots similar to the ones observed in natural conditions, but without a yellow halo surrounding the spots (Fig. 1.1 a).

Epiphytic populations of Psa were detected on several plant organs including buds, leaves, twigs and flowers. Under experimental conditions, Psa penetration inside the host tissues was observed in intact leaves, flowers, lenticels, buds, leaf and fruit abscission scars and pruning cuts (Fig. 1).

The inoculation of leaves by CFBP7286-GFPuv produced leaf spots similar to the ones observed in natural conditions, but without a yellow halo surrounding the spots (Fig. 1.1 a).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by means separation with Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD), using the STATISTICA ver. 7 software (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, USA). Data were expressed as mean ± standard error (S.E.). Differences were considered significant for \( P \leq 0.05 \) level. Bacterial growth in relation to temperature or relative humidity was assumed to fit a Gaussian curve. The curve was calculated with MyCurveFit online calculator (https://mycurvefit.com/). Comparison among percentages (e.g. incidence) was performed according to Marascuilo Procedure for \( \chi^2 = 0.05 \).

**Results and Discussion**

**Relative Importance of the Different Entry Points**

Epiphytic populations of Psa were detected on several plant organs including buds, leaves, twigs and flowers. Under experimental conditions, Psa penetration inside the host tissues was observed in intact leaves, flowers, lenticels, buds, leaf and fruit abscission scars and pruning cuts (Fig. 1). The inoculation of leaves by CFBP7286-GFPuv produced leaf spots similar to the ones observed in natural conditions, but without a yellow halo surrounding the spots (Fig. 1.1 a).

The inoculation of leaves by CFBP7286-GFPuv produced leaf spots similar to the ones observed in natural conditions, but without a yellow halo surrounding the spots (Fig. 1.1 a).

Despite the epiphytic colonization, plant organs may remain asymptomatic suggesting an epiphytic phase prior to the penetration inside the host tissues. Indeed, endophytic colonization occurs only after Psa epiphytic population exceeds the infection threshold that varies according to plant susceptibility. The infection threshold of leaves was calculated for the most diffused kiwifruit cultivars, estimating the epiphytic population of Psa at the time of apoplastic invasion. This value ranged from \( 10^3 \) in the most sensitive cultivar to \( 2.5 \times 10^9 \text{ CFU} \text{ g}^{-1} \) fresh weight (FW) in *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* (cv. ‘Hayward’) (Table 2). The infection threshold of leaves of male *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* (cv. ‘Tomuri’) plants was
**Table 2** *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* infection thresholds calculated for *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* and *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* cultivars. Infection threshold values are expressed as 10^6 CFU g⁻¹ FW. *X* values marked with the same letter do not differ according to Fisher’s LSD test (*P* ≤ 0.05). Average ± SE are reported.

| Species                   | Cultivar      | Value of *x* |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* | ‘Zesy002’     | 4.2 ± 0.2 a  |
| *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* | ‘Hort16A’     | 3.2 ± 0.2 b  |
| *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* | ‘Jintao’      | 3.0 ± 0.3 b  |
| *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* | ‘Hayward’ (♀) | 4.4 ± 0.4 a  |
| *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* | ‘Tomuri’ (♂)  | 3.3 ± 0.3 b  |

significantly lower than that of female (cv. ‘Hayward’) ones (Table 2). In controlled conditions, the infection threshold was generally reached in 6–24 h post inoculation. Experimental inoculation of leaves by spraying till run-off *Psa* (10^6 CFU mL⁻¹) resulted in (65 ± 6)% of invasion of *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* and (58 ± 7)% of *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* leaf petiole, indicating a successful systemic migration of the pathogen.

The need for *Psa* to reach a population threshold before infection takes place, can explain the isolation of *Psa* in asymptomatic tissues [11].

Generally, the plant surface is thought to be a hostile environment for microorganisms with limited nutrients, drastic and rapid variations in temperature and humidity even during a single day, direct exposure to damaging UV irradiation, and competition and/or antagonism from other epiphytes [34, 35]. On the other hand, *Actinidia* leaves are typically covered with a dense layer of trichomes (Fig. 2) which may play an important role in the infection process [10], by creating a favourable and humid micro-environment and protecting the pathogen from adverse condition such as UV light, desiccation, pesticide sprays etc. In addition, epiphytic bacteria like *Psa* can live in large aggregates or microcolonies which can form or be part of a biofilm on the leaf surface, presumably to better resist environmental stresses, like other *Pseudomonas* species [36]. Indeed, on leaf surface, *Psa* microcolonies were primarily localized at the base of uniseriate and stellate trichomes (Fig. 3 b,c). Different *Actinidia* species present different types and densities of trichomes [37]. On the abaxial leaf surface, multicellular stellate hairs, either petiolate or not, are the most common type of trichome in both *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* and *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* leaves. Similarly, in other plant species, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* bacterial cells, rather than being randomly distributed over the leaf surface, form aggregates associated with leaf structures, such as veins and particularly glandular trichomes [38, 39]. It has been observed that glandular trichomes offer optimal conditions for microbial growth due to their ability to retain water [40] and secrete a diversity of chemical compounds, including sugars, proteins, oils and secondary compounds [41, 42]. Moreover,
Plant trichomes are also generally fragile and plant pathogenic bacteria can enter hosts through the open bases or broken stalks of damaged trichomes [43]. In the presence of free water, P. syringae pv. tomato residing on or near trichome bases have been shown to readily invade tomato fruit through these openings and multiply inside the broken trichome bases [44]. Psa has been observed to invade kiwifruit leaves via damaged trichomes [10, 13]. Therefore, the density of trichomes on the abaxial leaf surface may contribute to the different susceptibility observed in kiwifruit species and cultivars. Indeed, A. chinensis var. chinensis cultivars, that are more susceptible to infection, also present a higher trichome density in comparison to A. delicosa (Fig. 2). Moreover, both in A. chinensis var. chinensis and var. delicosa, young leaves present a higher trichome density than the older and more resistant (see below) leaves. Finally, in resistant species, such as A. arguta, trichomes are almost absent (Fig. 2).

After the epiphytic growth, as soon as the leaf is exposed to few hours of leaf wetness, penetration inside the host occurs via stomata (Fig. 3d, f). Under experimental inoculation, the infection through the stomata was responsible for the majority of leaf spots, being several stomata per leaf colonized by the pathogen (Fig. 3a).

Leaf wetness is an essential pre-requisite for infection since it provides a continuum between the leaf surface colonized by the pathogen and the stomatal chamber [45]. Invasion via stomata is a common mechanism in several plant pathogenic bacteria [39]. However, stomata also represent the first line of defence of the plant innate immune system, and plants have evolved a mechanism to close stomata upon sensing microbe-associated molecular patterns [46]. To overcome these defences, different Psa biovars developed different accessory virulence factors, such as coronatine [47, 48] or ethylene [5], that promote stomata opening.

Fig. 2 Leaf structure in different Actinidia species: A. chinensis var. chinensis (a, b and c), A. chinensis var. delicosa (d, e and f) and A. arguta (g, h and i). a Abaxial surface of A. chinensis var. chinensis leaf showing a lateral vein. b Higher magnification of A. chinensis var. chinensis leaf surface showing a dense layer of stellate trichomes. c Transversal section of a A. chinensis var. chinensis leaf. The abaxial surface is on top of the image, and it is characterized by petiolate stellate trichomes. On the adaxial surface, uniseriate multicellular trichomes are visible. d Abaxial surface of A. chinensis var. delicosa leaf showing a lateral vein. e Trichome distribution in A. chinensis var. delicosa abaxial leaf surface showing stellate trichomes. f Transversal section of an A. chinensis var. delicosa leaf. g Abaxial surface of an A. arguta leaf showing a lateral vein. h Higher magnification of the abaxial surface of an A. arguta leaf characterized by the absence of trichomes. i Transversal section of A. arguta leaf. The abaxial surface is on the top.
Fig. 3  Kiwifruit leaves (a–f) and lenticels (g–h) colonized by Psa CFBP7286-GFPuv (a, c, e, f) or CFBP7286-DsRED (b, d, h). a Fluorescence stereomicroscope photograph of the abaxial surface of an A. chinensis var. delicosa leaf. Several infected stomata are highlighted as bright green fluorescent spots. The arrow indicates a leaf lesion producing a drop of bacterial exudate. Stomata are highlighted with an ‘s’. b Uniseriate multicellular trichome colonized by Psa. Each red rod is a bacterial cell. Psa forms microcolonies at the base of the trichome. c Abaxial surface of a A. chinensis var. chinensis leaf. The base of several petiolate stellate trichomes is colonized by Psa CFBP7286-GFPuv (bright green signal). d–e Confocal laser scanning (CLSM) micrographs of A. chinensis var. delicosa and A. chinensis var. chinensis (f) showing colonization of stomata by Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae. To visualize the host colonization in vivo, the strains CFBP7286-DsRED (d) or CFBP7286-GFPuv (e, f), expressing a red or green fluorescent protein, were used. In micrograph (d) each red rod is a single pathogen cell; in (e) and (f), Psa cells are visualized as green rods. Unless specified, measuring bars in the same raw have the same length. g Confocal laser scanning micrograph of a healthy lenticel of a 2-week-old A. chinensis var. chinensis shoot. The lenticel surface is characterized by stomata-like structure indicated by the arrow. In the central part of the lenticel, a dark micro lesion, due to the swelling of lenticel in high humidity conditions, is also visible. h Lenticel infected by Psa CFBP7286-DsRED. Each red spot is a bacterial microcolony. Psa colonize the lenticel surface and it is associate to a microlesion due to lenticel swelling (arrow)
Finally, leaf spots, under conditions of relative humidity exceeding 80%, can also produce bacterial exudate (Fig. 3a).

Together with leaves, flowers are the other primary site of infection of Psa [15, 49, 50]. This bacterium can colonize both female (Fig. 1.2 a, b) and male (Fig. 1.2 d, e) Actinidia flowers, causing flower browning and fall, and systemic invasion of the host plant, eventually leading to its death [50]. On female flowers, Psa initially colonizes the stigma, which provides a nutrient-rich and humid environment allowing a fast growth of the pathogen. From the stigma, Psa migrates along the style till the nectar cup (Fig. 1.2 a). Systemic penetration into the flower can occur either from the stigma or via the nectarthodes [50]. Psa is often vectored to stigma by contaminated pollen (Fig. 1.2 b). Experimental inoculation of flowers with Psa (10^6 CFU mL^-1) resulted in (75 ± 5)% of systemic invasion of A. chinensis var. chinensis and (53 ± 6)% of A. chinensis var. deliciosa female flowers. Incidence of systemic invasion of male flowers was, in both species, approximately 60%. Infection threshold on the flowers of the different species has not been yet estimated. However, in the first 6 h after inoculation with low Psa dosage (10^4 CFU mL^-1), Psa population ranged between (3.0 ± 1.6) × 10^5 CFU and (2.4 ± 1.4) × 10^6 CFU per flower in A. chinensis var. deliciosa and A. chinensis var. chinensis, respectively. These population values are close to the carrying capacity of flowers and thus they most likely exceed the flower infection threshold [50].

At bud break, Psa can systemically migrate from the overwintering organs to swelling bud. In male plants, the internal contamination of flower buds may lead to colonization of anthers (Fig. 1.2 c) which will produce contaminated pollen [50]. Moreover, several studies showed that Actinidia spp. pollen or commercial pollen preparations can be contaminated by Psa [10, 51–55]. Contaminated pollen and pollen-mediated flower contamination (Fig. 1.2 d,e) is one of the main infection pathways in field conditions [50].

In experiments on buds, colonization of cortical tissues of both closed and open buds was observed and Psa population increased with the bud evolution, as buds developing a shoot include a 100-fold higher Psa population than swelling buds (Fig. 4a). Bud colonization can occur both from internal migration from overwintering organs or from external colonization. Experimental inoculation performed during advanced bud swelling (BBCH 03) by wetting buds with a Psa suspension (10^7 CFU mL^-1) resulted in (70 ± 13)% and (77 ± 10)% bud endophytic colonization in A. chinensis var. deliciosa and A. chinensis var. chinensis, respectively.

Actinidia lenticel morphology evolves and changes through different phenological phases, changing from a cluster of stomata to a semi-impermeable tissue. Epiphytic colonization of lenticels was frequently observed, and in young hydrated lenticels, Psa primarily localized around microwounds or stomata-like structure (Fig. 3 h, g). However, successful inoculation via lignified lenticels was achieved only by placing for 3 days a Psa (10^8 CFU mL^-1)-imbibed cotton wool around the trunk. Under experimental inoculation, lenticel colonization allowed the invasion of the host tissues (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. S1). The maximal incidence of endophytic colonization after inoculation of lenticels was (50 ± 6)% and (75 ± 9)% in A. chinensis var. deliciosa and A. chinensis var. chinensis, respectively. Although it was not possible to elucidate the effective risk of penetration via lenticels in natural conditions, experiments performed on lignified lenticels suggest they may be of limited importance as Psa entry points.

After the initial spread occurring in spring via the leaf and flower disease cycles, another important step of the disease cycle leading to systemic colonization occurs in autumn. Indeed, in this season, leaf and fruit abscission scars and pruning cuts represent important entry points for the pathogen (Fig. 1.3). In A. chinensis var. deliciosa, colonization of leaf scars was also possible even 15 days after leaf detachment (either natural or mechanical) (Fig. 4c). In comparison to natural abscission scars, the mechanically induced ones initially host a higher Psa population. This observation may suggest that the abscission layer formed during the onset of natural leaf senescence may at least partially reduce the risk of Psa colonization. Upon experimental inoculation, the average incidence of contamination of natural leaf scars was (25 ± 3)%, with no substantial differences during the time of observation.

Similar results were observed for pruning cuts, as wounds remained receptive even 1 month after cutting (Fig. 4d). Incidence after inoculation of pruning cuts was initially 80% and decreased to 70% 28 days after cutting. Experiments performed on A. chinensis var. chinensis showed similar results suggesting that A. chinensis var. deliciosa and A. chinensis var. chinensis have similar susceptibility concerning pruning cuts (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Inoculation of Actinidia by roots dipping in a Psa suspension, resulted, in axenic conditions, in colonization of root hairs, where a sporadic occurrence of Psa microcolonies was observed starting from 1 day after inoculation (Fig. 1.5 b, c). However, a successful endophytic colonization of the plant after root inoculation was not observed up to the end of the experiments (30 days after inoculation). On the other hand, an endophytic presence of Psa inside the roots of plants inoculated in the aboveground organs (e.g. leaves and flowers) was observed (Fig. 1.5 d, f).

A critical phase of the life cycle of Psa is its ability to endophytically migrate from the leaves to shoots and canes via apoplast [5, 10, 13]. This systemic invasion of the plant may determine the rapid death of plants [10]. Indeed, once inside the host’s tissues, Psa can migrate systemically to different organs. Migration occurs preferentially through xylematic vessels (Fig. 1.4), where Psa is often found attached to the vessels wall. Moreover, plugging of xylem vessel was often observed [13]. Sporadic presence of Psa was also found
in sieve elements and sclerenchymatic fibres in the shoot cortex. Psa can migrate both acropetally and basipetally, moreover, Psa can go beyond branching node moving equally in the different directions (Fig. 4e). The migration rate was evaluated in *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* and *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* after stab-inoculation of a single shoot of 1-year old potted plants. Previous studies demonstrated that the migration rate negatively correlated with plant resistance [56].
our experiments, migration rate correlated only weakly with the host susceptibility. Indeed, 3 weeks after inoculation acropetal and basipetal migration were 2 and 3 cm, respectively both in \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} and \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa}. However, endophytic Psa population at the same distance from the inoculation points were generally higher in \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} in comparison with \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa}. In 4 months, the furthest migration upward reached 14.5 cm (till the apical bud), whereas downward, it reached 138 cm (Fig. 4e). Furthermore, Psa completely colonized the roots reaching the most distant (142.5 cm) ones from infection point, passing beyond the grafting union.

While the exact mechanisms of migration have not been yet elucidated, both acropetal and basipetal movement was observed. Xylematic flow may easily be invoked to explain the translocation toward transpiring leaves, since Psa is found yet elucidated, both acropetal and basipetal movement was infection point, passing beyond the grafting union. However, this is not the case for the root system where Psa can be found even a few centimeters away from the inoculation point, suggesting a more complex mechanism of migration.

In our experiments, the dynamics of Psa endophytic growth results as the most reliable parameter to assess plant susceptibility.

Additional experiments were also performed to evaluate the susceptibility of \textit{A. arguta}, a minor, but important \textit{Actinidia} species. In stab-inoculation experiments, all the \textit{A. arguta} cultivars showed less severe symptoms than \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa} and \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis}, most notably 3 weeks after inoculation (Fig. 7). Moreover, \textit{A. arguta} plants showed the lowest Psa endophytic population and migration distance inside the tissues (Fig. 7). These findings confirm the results obtained by Cotrut and colleagues [63]. Psa is rarely found in commercial orchards of \textit{A. arguta} and, when it is found, its economic consequences are negligible [64].

The most important cultivated kiwifruit varieties can be listed in order of increasing susceptibility as follows:
- \textit{A. arguta} – Different cultivars.
- \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa} – ‘Hayward’.
- \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} – ‘Zesy002’.
- \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} – ‘Jintao’.
- \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} – ‘Hort16A’.

Phenological factors such as differences in flowering times between \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} and \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa} [29] could also be significant, and they have been observed to generate differences to insect susceptibility [29]. Xylem tyloses were observed more frequently in \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa} than in \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} infected xylem [13]. Biochemical factors contributing to resistance have been largely understudied, however, differences in the expression of pathogenesis-related proteins and enzymes of the phenylpropanoid pathway, and in peroxidase and NAD(P)H oxidase activities may relate to the different sensitivity of \textit{Actinidia} spp. to Psa. In fact, these responses were more intensely stimulated by the plant defence inducer acibenzolar-S-methyl, in \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{deliciosa} than in \textit{A. chinensis} var. \textit{chinensis} [65].
The predicted optimal temperature was 21 °C and 24 °C for epiphytic and endophytic growth, respectively.

Concerning relative humidity, the highest epiphytic growth was observed at 65%, whereas, for endophytic growth the optimal humidity was over 75% (Fig. 8b). The predicted optimal RH was 60% and 80% for epiphytic and endophytic growth, respectively. Moreover, humidity significantly influenced the maximum population size reached by the pathogen in the endophytic, but not in the epiphytic phase (Fig. 8b).

The dynamic of population growth showed a similar trend in the different cultivars, but it was faster in the more susceptible ones (i.e. ‘Hort16A’ and ‘Jintao’) (supplementary Fig. S2 and S3).

The environmental conditions also influence the disease incidence and severity in field conditions. Experiments conducted in three consecutive years (2013–2015) in three locations suggest that leaf wetness and temperature are the two main drivers of primary symptom occurrence and development (Fig. 9). Symptom development concentrates in the spring (April–May), between 7 and 70 days after bud break, as no further increase in leaf spots is observed from late June on. In this period, the average daily temperature was about 18.4 ± 3.7 °C and symptoms occurred at the same time of periods of leaf wetness. Generally, symptoms were observed when leaf wetness in the previous 3 days was lasting more than 4.5 h per day. At the increase of temperature around 22.3 ± 2.2 °C, symptom occurrence ceased also in presence of leaf wetness periods. Finally, the correlation between temperature and relative humidity breaks down during temperature falls below a daily average of approximately 15 °C. During summer, the progress of disease incidence and severity is arrested, most probably as a consequence of temperature, rather than of leaf ontogenetic resistance. In fact, even though older leaves tend to be less susceptible (Fig. 10a, b) the disease progression in field conditions stopped also in 1- and 2-week old leaves at the beginning of June, corresponding to an increase of average temperature above 22 °C (Fig. 10c). Moreover, in experiments carried out with 3-week-old sentinel plants, placed every week in infected orchards, incidence and population of Psa were correlated, and were promoted by extended leaf wetness. However, similarly to field conditions, symptoms only formed in spring and early summer (supplementary Fig. S4). Symptoms (exudates, water-soaked leaf spots) may as well appear in autumn after frost events [66].

**Spread of the Disease inside the Orchard**

(Insect-mediated Spread, Human Spread, Wind and Rain Splashing)

Since Psa has the ability to penetrate into the host plant tissues through multiple entry points, many agents may contribute to its spread in the orchard from the sources of inoculum. Agricultural practices are one main factor, since, on the one hand, they create or facilitate pathways for pathogen infection and are applied systematically on every single plant in the orchard. On the other hand, the chance of infection can be reduced by optimizing agricultural practices and controlling the main risk factors. While the role of clothes and vehicles is negligible [67], soil particles and agricultural tools can easily spread Psa within and among orchards. Thus, agricultural practices should keep the initial inoculum as low as possible and be performed when the pathogen is least active to restrict its population growth.

Pruning, for instance, may allow the pathogen to be carried through cutting tools and to be directly injected into open wounds. Thus, it was observed that winter pruning, when the plant is completely dormant, is associated to the lowest disease incidence in the following season, while late pruning at bleeding sap stage results in the highest incidence. In addition, intra-row spread prevailed over inter-row spread, suggesting that close distance of infected and recipient tissues and/or temporal vicinity of human intervention are the main determinants of the chance of infection [62, 67, 68]. Notably, short pruning is also not reliable for curative purposes, to
eradicate infected tissues; however, it may facilitate the efficacy of spray treatments.

The recirculation of water from infected orchards for root-drip irrigation also promotes Psa spread [62]. This observation conflicts with the impossibility to experimentally infect plants by root application of Psa suspensions. Thus, infection via roots may be relatively inefficient, but still of some importance in cultural conditions. Alternatively, Psa surviving in the soil or litter may significantly contribute to secondary spread.

Pollination is a key determinant of fruit size and quality. However, Psa may be vectored by pollen granules, and flowers are considered a high-risk point of infection [50]. For this reason, only certified pollen from Psa-free orchards should be used for artificial pollination, which is commonly performed in commercial orchards.

Apart from agricultural practices, which may be optimized to minimize the chance of Psa infection, other uncontrolled factors contribute to bacterial spread. Insects, including pests (Metcalfa pruinosa) and pollinators, may disperse the bacterium within the orchard [69–71]. However, as Psa does not survive long either in M. pruinosa guts or in beehives, long-range or long-term vectoring by insects seems unlikely.

Fig. 6 Cultivar susceptibility to Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae in A. chinensis var. chinensis (‘Zesy002’, ‘Hort16A’ and ‘Jintao’) and A. chinensis var. deliciosa (‘Hayward’) plants. a Percentage of infected plants dying within 4 months from inoculation. Columns labelled with different letters are significantly different according to Marascuilo procedure ($\chi^2 = 0.05$); b average length of the migration of Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae (CFBP7286-GFPuv) inside the plant host tissues, 3 weeks after inoculation; columns labelled with different letters are significantly different according to Fisher’s LSD test ($P \leq 0.05$). c Disease index calculated during the first 3 weeks post experimental inoculation corresponding to the percentage of leaf area affected by necrotic spots according to Cellini et al [62]. No statistical difference was observed among ‘Hort16A’, ‘Jintao’ and ‘Hayward’. ‘Zesy002’ showed a significantly lower disease index at 14 and 21 days according to Fisher’s LSD test for $P \leq 0.05$ (values labelled with an asterisk). For the data shown of panel a, b and c, eight replicates of three plants per each cultivar were used. d Disease survey performed in ten commercial orchards located in Emilia Romagna, Italy. The data are the average of 3 years (2013–2015). Data obtained by different A. chinensis var. chinensis male and female varieties were averaged. Concerning A. chinensis var. deliciosa, female vines belonged to the cv. ‘Hayward’, while for male vines data collected from cv. ‘Tomuri’ and ‘Matua’ were averaged. Lower case letters indicate statistical differences inside the same variety, whereas, capital letter indicates statistical differences between plant of the same sex belonging to different varieties according to Marascuilo procedure ($\chi^2 = 0.05$)
Wind and rain splash are considered main drivers of Psa spread in the orchard [15, 68]. The present study also allowed to verify that the pathogen can be intercepted by the Petri dishes mainly during prolonged rain events and especially in spring and autumn. Accordingly, the observation of a reduced disease occurrence under plastic covers [72; Donati et al., unpublished results] was explained with a lower exposure of plants to wind and moisture. Also frost events were associated with Psa outbreaks [66], as freeze-thaw cycles promote bacterial growth and production of exudates, which form the primary inoculum for subsequent spread. Frost events during vegetative activity are particularly risky, for the presence of natural entry points (stomata, wounded tissues) that may enable Psa infection. Metagenomic and classical microbiological approaches showed that Psa is generally associated with *P. syringae* pv. *syringae* in *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* and *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* flowers and leaves [73]. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* is known to promote ice nucleation [74] and to infect the host through the resulting frost injuries. Therefore, Psa, although not expressing ice nucleation activity, may take advantage from the association with *P. syringae* pv. *syringae*. The synergistic co-presence of two pathovars has been previously observed [73], suggesting that, in nature, they may be active as a pathogenic consortium rather than independent pathogens.

**Survival in the Environment and Overwinter Strategy**

Psa could not be detected in free soil samples collected from infected orchards, nor in proximity of roots over 1 cm in diameter. In contrast, a detectable Psa population (approx. $10^7$ CFU g$^{-1}$ of soil) was found in 40% of soil samples associated to fine radicles. In autoclaved soil samples, inoculated with a Psa suspension and kept in controlled conditions, Psa survived ($6 \times 10^2$ CFU g$^{-1}$) for up to 15 days. In soil samples containing fine root particles, Psa maintained a higher population ($4 \times 10^3$ CFU g$^{-1}$) for up to 45 days.

In New Zealand, we found that autoclaved soils inoculated with Psa the pathogen population decreased from $1 \times 10^8$ CFU g$^{-1}$ of soil to $2 \times 10^4$ CFU g$^{-1}$ over a 3-month period [61]. A similar result was obtained when using sterilized potting mix. Psa could not be detected in three composts commercially prepared in a region where Psa was present but made from non-kiwifruit green waste. When those commercial composts were inoculated with Psa, Psa population...
numbers decreased in 7 days or less, from c. 10^8 CFU g\(^{-1}\) to below the threshold of detection [75].

Psa survived on fallen leaves and pruning wood litter for 6 months (end of the experiment). During the first 2 months, all the samples harboured a viable Psa population, decreasing to 6 and 15% incidence (in orchard and controlled conditions, respectively) in the last sampling date. In both cases, also Psa population decreased during time. In orchard conditions, during the first 2 months the average Psa population was 3 × 10^3 CFU g\(^{-1}\), while in controlled conditions, in litter inoculated with 10^8 CFU mL\(^{-1}\), it was 9 × 10^3 CFU g\(^{-1}\), while, by the end of the experiment the average Psa population was approximately 10^2 CFU g\(^{-1}\).

The pathogen was not isolated from any of the water sources sampled (i.e. river, stream and pond inside the orchard). However, in controlled conditions, Psa (2 ×
10^7 CFU mL\(^{-1}\) inoculated in distilled sterile water could survive up to 9 days. In rainwater and superficial water samples, collected in a Psa-free area, viable bacteria were detectable for 4 days. These findings confirm the results obtained by Vanneste et al. [61]. After filter sterilization, the same water samples allowed Psa survival for at least 3 months (end of the experiment) with a population of approx. 3 × 10^3 CFU mL\(^{-1}\).

Experiments performed in similar conditions resulted in Psa survival up to 30 months [61]. In previous work, a significantly higher disease incidence was observed when irrigation water was taken from local basins with no access to running water [62]. These data, although in partial contrast, suggest that Psa may persist in water sources at concentrations below the detection limit, but still posing an increased risk of contamination.

Psa occurrence on non-host plants commonly found in kiwifruit orchard was sporadic (Table 3). At bud break (BBCH 07) and fruit set stages (BBCH 69), but not at harvest (BBCH 81), Psa was commonly isolated from Calystegia sylvatica and Capsella bursa-pastoris with an epiphytic population of 2 × 10^5 and 1.5 × 10^4 CFU g\(^{-1}\) FW, respectively. Psa was also found on Agropyron repens, Bellis perennis, Chenopodium album, Cichorium intybus, Malva spp., Plantago sp., Picris echioides, Taraxacum officinale and Chamaerops humilis. Only plants collected in proximity of infected kiwifruit plants harboured a detectable epiphytic population of Psa. In controlled conditions, Psa was able to survive on non-host plants between 4 and 8 days. Similar results were obtained for Cryptomeria japonica, Pinus radiata and Casuarina cunninghamiana which are commonly used in New Zealand as shelter belts around kiwifruit orchards [61, 76]. Thus, excluding kiwifruit plants or wind-driven cells are likely to be the source of Psa inoculum for the contamination of non-host species [18].

Concerning the overwinter survival, Psa could be isolated from all the plant tissues considered in these experiments (Table 4). Psa population (10^7 CFU g\(^{-1}\) FW) was found on dormant buds. Up to 40% and 17% of dormant buds...
harboured Psa in *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* and *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa*, respectively. In symptomless canes, Psa was isolated only in proximity of buds. Psa was also found in all the trunk samples collected in proximity of visible cankers (up to 15 cm from the symptoms). Among the plants showing secondary symptoms during the previous season, a considerable fraction (80% of *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* and 40% of *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* samples) harboured a high Psa population (1.2 × 10⁸ CFU g⁻¹ FW) inside the root system. Psa overwintering population was generally higher in *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* than *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* possibly reflecting the higher susceptibility of the first species. In both species, Psa incidence in buds increased with the breaking of dormancy, suggesting a reactivation of the pathogen in concurrence with an increase of the host metabolic activity. The high root pressure occurring at the end of dormancy, bringing water from the root apparatus to the opening buds, sustains and increases their metabolism [77]. Psa may exploit the xylematic water transport to migrate from the roots and trunk, where there is the highest overwintering incidence, to buds causing the failure of bud break and the wilting of young sprouts that are common symptoms in early spring.

The high root pressure at bud break may also contribute to the reactivation of cankers and to the formation of new ones. The root pressure may provide both water and nutrients for Psa growth and the pressure to facilitate exudation. In these conditions, in xylematic vessels plugged by a rapid Psa growth and the hydration and swelling of the bacterial exopolysaccharides, the water pressure caused by the end of dormancy may lead to cracking and exudation of the trunk, leaders and canes.

### Disease Cycle

The disease cycle of the bacterial canker is shown in Fig. 11. During late winter, in concurrence with the late stage of plant dormancy, xylem functionality reactivates leading to the development of root pressure, defined as positive xylem pressure that arises in the roots. Root pressure rise contributes to the swelling of dormant buds which preludes to bud break. Root pressure also results in copious bleeding (exudation) from the xylem if pruning cuts are made during this time [77]. The high root pressure at bud break may also contribute to the reactivation of cankers and to the formation of new ones. In these conditions, in xylematic vessels plugged by a rapid Psa growth and the hydration and swelling of the bacterial exopolysaccharides, the water pressure caused by the end of dormancy may lead to cracking and exudation of the trunk, leaders and canes. Exuding cankers provide the primary source of inoculum [78]. In late winter or early spring, environmental conditions are very favourable for disease

---

### Table 3  Incidence and epiphytic population of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in herbaceous plants commonly found in kiwifruit orchards. Three samplings were performed, at bud break (BBCH 07), fruit set (BBCH 69) and harvest (BBCH 81) in an *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* orchard in Faenza, Emilia Romagna-Italy. The data represent the average of the 3 sampling dates. Plants were collected only in proximity of kiwifruit vines presenting exuding cankers

| Species                  | Incidence (%) | Population (CFU g⁻¹ FW) |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Calystegia sylvestica    | 44            | 2.0 × 10⁵                |
| Capsella bursa-pastoris  | 44            | 1.5 × 10⁴                |
| Taraxacum officinalis    | 25            | 1.4 × 10⁵                |
| Agropyron repens        | 20            | 2.4 × 10³                |
| Bellis perennis         | 20            | < 10²                   |
| Chenopodium album       | 11            | 9.7 × 10²                |
| Cichorium intybus       | 11            | 2.4 × 10³                |
| Malva spp.              | 7             | 8.3 × 10²                |
| Plantago spp            | 7             | 2.4 × 10²                |
| Picris echoides         | 7             | < 10²                   |
| Chamaerops humilis      | 7             | < 10²                   |
| Chenopodium rubrum      | 0             | 0                       |
| Myosotis arvensis       | 0             | 0                       |
| Urtica dioica           | 0             | 0                       |
| Glechoma hederacea      | 0             | 0                       |
| Veronica persica        | 0             | 0                       |

---

### Table 4  Incidence and endophytic population of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in overwintering tissues of *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* and *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa*. Experiments were performed in screenhouse without controlled environmental conditions. During the first season, inoculation of the plant was performed by contaminating a single flower cluster with a pathogen suspension (1.5 × 10⁵ CFU mL⁻¹). All the plant developed primary symptoms (including failure of bud break, leaf spots, twig dieback and flower necrosis) during spring, while only 24% of them developed secondary symptoms (i.e. cankers) before leaf fall. During the second season, 1 month before bud break, all plants were removed and analysed. For each variety, eight replicates of three plants each were inoculated

| Tissue         | *A. chinensis* var. *chinensis* | *A. chinensis* var. *deliciosa* |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                | Incidence (%) | Population (CFU g⁻¹ FW) | Incidence (%) | Population (CFU g⁻¹ FW) |
| Trunk          |                |                          |                |                          |
| Asymptomatic   | 80              | 2.8 × 10⁶                | 65              | 3.9 × 10⁷                |
| Near cankers   | 100             | 100                     |                |                          |
| Roots:         |                |                          |                |                          |
| Rootstock      | 80              | 2.2 × 10⁸                | 60              | 5.3 × 10⁷                |
| > 1 cm         | 80              | 1.2 × 10⁸                | 40              | 3.2 × 10⁶                |
| < 1 cm         | 50              | 4.5 × 10⁶                | 40              | 5.4 × 10⁶                |
| Buds:          |                |                          |                |                          |
| Dormant        | 40              | 1.1 × 10⁵                | 17              | 6.7 × 10⁴                |
| Swelling       | 60              | 9.0 × 10⁵                | 58              | 5.3 × 10⁴                |
| Opening        | 67              | 3.0 × 10⁴                | 70              | 1.8 × 10³                |
development and primary infection can be facilitated by physical damage caused by frost, high winds and rain [49, 79].

In spring, Psa cells spread from the exuding cankers to leaves and young shoots. On leaves, under favourable conditions, the pathogen multiplies epiphytically, rapidly reaching the infection threshold. Infection occurs primarily via stomata (Fig. 3) [10, 48, 80]. For the onset of the infection, leaf wetness is necessary. Indeed, the water film connecting the plant surface with the stomatic chamber and spongy mesophyll is necessary both for bacterial multiplication and for the migration inside the host tissues [45, 81]. Psa strains belonging to biovar 2 produce coronatine [47], which, among other effects, contrasts stomata closure [82]. However, coronatine does not appear to be strictly required for virulence, since the genes for its biosynthesis are not present in any strain of the biovar 3, even though they are highly virulent [33]. Such strains, in turn, may produce ethylene [5] that can also suppress ABA-mediated stomata closure [83, 84]. In addition, ethylene may support pathogen growth and colonization within the plant tissues, due to its antagonistic role against SA-mediated defences [65]. In favourable, high humidity conditions, leaf spots can also produce bacterial exudates (Fig. 3a), starting a spring leaf cycle by providing the inoculum for a secondary spread of the disease to healthy shoot and leaves throughout spring.

At the end of winter, the increasing root pressure also facilitates the migration of Psa from overwintering organs, such as the roots, to buds, where the pathogen multiplies (Fig. 4a) and can cause the failure of bud break or the shoot dieback, which are common symptoms in early spring. In infected flower buds, Psa can internally colonize the anthers, leading to the production of infected pollen [50]. Pollen-mediated dispersal contributes to a rapid spread inside the orchard. The flower cycle provides the main source of inoculum during spring, when the environmental conditions are highly favourable for the pathogen growth in plant (Fig. 11). During the flower cycle, the spread from plant to plant is facilitated by pollinators [50]. Both leaf and flower infection
lead to systemic infection in spring, that may result in secondary symptoms (i.e. cane dieback and canker on leaders) during the following autumn.

With the rise of temperature and the decrease of leaf wetness, the formation and development of symptoms is arrested. When average daily temperature exceeds 22 °C and leaf wetness is for more than 3 days below 4 h per days, the disease undergoes a latency period that, in Italian conditions, overlaps with the summer period.

At the beginning of autumn, as soon as the environmental conditions become more favourable for the disease, secondary symptoms appear, such as cane withering and trunk cankers. These symptoms provide the source of inoculum for a secondary spread of the disease which may lead to systemic invasion. In this phase, leaf and fruit abscission scars represent the main entry points for the pathogens.

**Conclusions**

This work provides an in-depth assessment of Psa dependence on environmental parameters, and host-pathogen relations that are crucial for the epidemiology of the bacterial canker of kiwifruit. While, in the past, the research has been mostly focused on methods for the control of pathogen population or for the stimulation of plant defences [85], it has become evident more recently that agricultural practices and the control of environmental orchard conditions may also contribute significantly to control of Psa [62].

The microscopic observations allowed to elucidate the infection process and to show how the bacterium penetrates in the host plant and colonizes the different tissues. Psa was able to infect the plant via stomata, damaged trichomes, flowers, lenticels, buds at different developmental stage (BBCH 00-09), leaf abscission scars and pruning cuts, whilst Psa was unable to infect plants via roots. Therefore, all these entry points need to be protected in order to minimize the risk of Psa infection. After invading the host tissues, the pathogen can colonize the periderm, the sclereids, the pith and the xylem. However, the migration inside the host tissue occurs probably mainly through xylem vessels. Migration can be both basipetal and acropetal and Psa can move across branching nodes.

Thus, the information presented here may integrate the current disease control strategies, indicating as possible targets for disease control not only Psa viability, but also its fitness in the epiphytic niche, the penetration into the apoplast (infection), the systemic colonization of the host, and the survival on non-host species.
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