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Abstract

In this worldwide spread of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) infection, it is of utmost importance to detect the disease at an early stage especially in the hot spots of this epidemic. There are more than 110 Million infected cases on the globe, so far. Due to its promptness and effective results computed tomography (CT)-scan image is preferred to the reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Early detection and isolation of the patient is the only possible way of controlling the spread of the disease. Automated analysis of CT-Scans can provide enormous support in this process. In this article, We propose a novel approach to detect SARS-CoV-2 using CT-scan images. Our method is based on a very intuitive and natural idea of analyzing shapes, an attempt to mimic a professional medic. We mainly trace SARS-CoV-2 features by quantifying their topological properties. We primarily use a tool called persistent homology, from Topological Data Analysis (TDA), to compute these topological properties.

We train and test our model on the “SARS-CoV-2 CT-scan dataset” \cite{Soares et al. 2020}, an open-source dataset, containing 2,481 CT-scans of normal and COVID-19 patients. Our model yielded an overall benchmark F1 score of 99.42\%, accuracy 99.416\%, precision 99.41\%, and recall 99.42\%. The TDA techniques have great potential that can be utilized for efficient and prompt detection of COVID-19. The immense potential of TDA may be exploited in clinics for rapid and safe detection of COVID-19 globally, in particular in the low and middle-income countries where RT-PCR labs and/or kits are in a serious crisis.

\*Corresponding author
Email addresses: soh.iqbal@gmail.com (Sohail Iqbal), fa.usmani@gmail.com (Hafiz Fareed Ahmed), t.qaiser@imperial.ac.uk (Talha Qaiser), Imran.qureshi@kfupm.edu.sa (Muhammad Imran Qureshi), N.M.Rajpoot@warwick.ac.uk (Nasir Rajpoot)

\textsuperscript{1}These authors contributed the same during this project
1. Introduction

On March 11 2020 WHO declared a pandemic caused by the novel coronavirus (nCoV). The disease is well known as SARS-CoV-2, or COVID-19, and originated from Wuhan, China in December 2019. After more than a year the virus is still spreading exponentially and has reached 212 countries. At the time of writing this paper there are more than 110 million infected cases, whereas the death toll has crossed 2.4 million.

The main reason for the spread of the virus is its asymptomatic nature, where an affected person spreads the virus without any signs of illness. The only precaution that is required worldwide is immediate isolation of the affected person. For this purpose, effective and timely testing is the core factor for treatment and prevention.

COVID-19 is a member of the family of viruses SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome). It causes severe respiratory illness and primarily damages the lungs (Huang et al., 2020). We use “Reverse Transcription Polymerise Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)” and chest computed tomography (CT) scan for the detection of COVID-19. In RT-PCR we reverse transcription of RNA into DNA, and then detect the presence of virus DNA. This method is a laboratory technique that requires a trained personnel that carries out the whole process. The test might take hours, if not days, to give the results. This situation worsens, for extremely affected areas with limited test kits and trained personnel. The RT-PCR testing also gives us the false-negative results, in some cases (Tahamtan & Ardebili, 2020), while in the chest CT-scan, the image analysis has been done by radiologists (Kong & Agarwal, 2020). The latter is much more sensitive method than the RT-PCR. The study of 1014 cases shows the significance of chest CT-scans over RT-PCR (Ai et al., 2020). One study finds that 40 out of 41 (98%) patients had pneumonia with abnormal findings on chest CT-scans (Huang et al., 2020).

The image analysis by radiologists suggest that in COVID-19-positive patients, the ground-glass opacities (GGOs) together with consolidations, crazy pavings appear at the peripheral portions of bilateral lungs. The increased attenuation in chest CT scan is the main feature in detection of COVID-19. Detection of these features is relatively time efficient due to higher sensitivity of this method (Li et al., 2020).

Over the past decade, one of the promising directions in health care innovation is the applicability of artificial intelligence (AI) in medical imaging. In recent years, AI in general has revolutionized the field of computer vision (Voulodimos et al., 2018), and natural language processing (Ruder et al., 2019; Ruder, 2019) by pushing state-of-the-art performance in various pattern recognition tasks. More recently, there is an upward trend in exploring the usability of machine learning algorithms for medical imaging data (Currie et al., 2019). In the current scenario with the worldwide outbreak of SARS-CoV2, it is imperative to develop screening tools to analyze the COVID-19 chest CT-scans. One of the main challenges in deep learning is data hungriness. In order to converge a deep learning model one may require thousands, and in some cases millions of images (Deng et al., 2009) for training. On the other hand techniques of Topological Data Analysis (TDA) use geometrical features, making them efficient in terms of amount of data required, speed, predictability and interpretability.

TDA is one of the rapidly growing techniques in data analysis. It provides tools to
analyze data by bridging techniques from machine learning, statistics, algebraic topology, topology, and algebra. One of the main tools in TDA is persistent homology (PH). It is a very effective technique that record the intrinsic topological properties of data. The essential idea is to produce topological features across a scale. On this scale, some features “die” early and some “live” longer. The persisting times of these features are the key point of PH. The ideas of PH has been successfully applied to many areas of science and technology vis-à-vis network structures (de Silva & Ghrist, 2007; Lee et al., 2012), computational biology (Kasson et al., 2007; Yao et al., 2009; Wang & Wei, 2016), data analysis (Carlsson, 2009; Liu et al., 2012; Rieck et al., 2012), image analysis (Carlsson et al., 2009; Frosini & Landi, 2013; Bendich et al., 2010), amorphous material structures (Hiraoka et al., 2016), etc.

In the recent years these techniques has been successful in medical image analysis. For example, in (Qaiser et al., 2019, 2016, 2017) the authors developed models, based on PH, for efficient tumor segmentation in whole-slide images of histology slides; in (Garside et al., 2019) the topological features are used to differentiate healthy patients and those with diabetic retinopathy; in (Chung et al., 2018) segmentation of skin cancer using a given image was achieved using these techniques, etc.

In this work we develop a state-of-the-art model to detect traces of COVID-19 infection in CT-scans. To train and test our model we use “SARS-CoV-2 CT-scan dataset” (Soares et al., 2020).

![CT-Scan images from “SARS-CoV-2 CT-scan dataset” for COVID-19 patients (first row) and normal cases (second row).](image)

There are mainly three stages to develop our model. In the first stage, we devise a way to construct a simplicial complex from a give image and then calculate PD’s associated to it. We map our PDs on a Hilbert sphere, following Anirudh et al. (Anirudh et al., 2016), in the second stage. This step enable us to perform different statistical operations on the space of PDs. In the last stage, we use SVM to develop our classification model.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. We review basics of PH in Section 2. In Section 3 we describe our methodology to extract features from CT-scans. Our results are reported in 4. Finally, in Section 5 we draw our conclusions.
2. Mathematical Preliminaries

In this section we recall basic notions and definitions leading to persistent homology and persistent diagrams (PD’s).

2.1. Persistent homology (PH)

The persistent homology is one of the main tools used in topological data analysis (TDA). It provides a way to analyze the shape of a point cloud data without actually calculating the precise geometry. It illuminates some qualitative features of data which persist across multiple scales. These persistent features provide an effective quantification for the shape of data. The method is based on techniques from algebraic topology, a branch of mathematics that deals with different “bridges” between algebra and topology known as “functors”. These functors take topologically equivalent (homotopic) spaces to algebraically equivalent (isomorphic) spaces. The functorial nature of persistent homology makes it robust to perturbations of an input point cloud; a rarely found feature in some of the existing data analysis techniques.

A number of functors exist to deal with different classes of topological spaces (simplicial, cellular, singular, etc). The development of PH is based on the functor known as simplicial homology. On the topological side we consider a simplicial complex $\Delta$, and on the algebraic side we get vector spaces $H_i(\Delta)$ for $i = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \}$. The dimension of $H_0(\Delta)$ gives the number of connected components, $H_1(\Delta)$ gives the number of holes, $H_2(\Delta)$ gives the number of voids, and so on. In PH we construct simplicial complexes $\Delta_\epsilon$ from a point cloud depending on a scale parameter $\epsilon$. The homological features that remain persistent across scales, provide an effective analysis of the shape of data. The summary of these features is either shown on a “bar diagram” (BD) or a “persistent diagram” (PD).

In what follows we provide a formal overview of the aforementioned terminologies. For more details see (Rotman, 2013; Carlsson, 2009; Otter et al., 2017; Edelsbrunner et al., 2000).

2.1.1. Simplicial Homology

**Definition 2.1.1 (Simplex).** Let $\{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ be an affine independent set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The $n$-simplex generated by this set, denoted by $[p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n]$, is the convex hull of points $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$. Every point $x$ of this simplex can be written uniquely in the following form

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t_i p_i, \text{ where } \sum_{i=0}^{n} t_i = 1 \text{ and each } t_i \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

A $k$-face of $[p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n]$ is a simplex generated by a collection of $k + 1$ points from $\{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. A $k$-face is in fact a $k$-dimensional geometric object. A simplicial complex is obtained by “gluing” together different simplices along their common faces.

**Definition 2.1.2 (Simplicial Complex).** A finite simplicial complex $\Delta$ is a collection of simplices in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that (1) if $\alpha \in \Delta$ then every face of $\alpha$ belong to $\Delta$, (2) for any two simplices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Delta$, the intersection $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2$ is either empty or a common face of $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. 
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These conditions guarantee that $\Delta$ records changes in each dimension. In order to calculate simplicial homology we need an orientation on the simplicial complex. An orientation of a simplicial complex is a partial order of its vertices which when restricted to a particular simplex gives a total order.

2.1.2. Homology of a Simplicial Complex

For an oriented simplicial complex $\Delta$, and integer $m \geq -1$ the $m$th chain group $C_m(\Delta)$ consists of formal sums of the form

$$a_1\sigma_1 + a_2\sigma_2 + \cdots + a_n\sigma_n,$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ are oriented $m$-simplices of $\Delta$. For convenience, we define $C_m(\Delta) = \{0\}$ for $m > \dim \Delta$ and $m < 0$. An oriented simplicial complex is an element of the chain group $C_m(K)$ of the form $\pm < p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_m >$ where $p_0, \ldots, p_m$ are distinct. The boundary operator $\partial_m : C_m(\Delta) \to C_{m-1}(\Delta)$ is defined by setting

$$\partial_m(< p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_m >) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^i < p_0, \ldots, \hat{p}_i, \ldots, p_m >,$$

and $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ are oriented $m$-simplices of $\Delta$.
where $\hat{p}_i$ means deleting $p_i$, and extends by linearity. Combining all information, we get the following chain complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{-\partial_{m+1}} C_m(\Delta) \xrightarrow{-\partial_m} C_{m-1}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{-\partial_{m-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{-\partial_2} C_1(\Delta) \xrightarrow{-\partial_1} C_0(\Delta) \xrightarrow{-\partial_0} 0,$$

such that the composition of any two consecutive maps is a zero map. We define the $m$-th simplicial homology as

$$H_m(\Delta) = \text{Kernel} \partial_m / \text{Image}(\partial_{m+1}),$$

where $0 \leq m \leq \text{dim} \Delta$.

Its dimension

$$\beta_m(\Delta) = \dim H_m(\Delta)$$

is called the $m$th Betti number of the simplicial complex $\Delta$.

### 2.1.3. Persistence Diagram (PD)

For a data set $X$, we can calculate its Betti numbers after imposing a simplicial complex $\Delta(X)$ on it. This information is not useful since it only gives number of connected components, 1-dimensional holes, etc. To extract further information, we construct a filtered complex, which consists of nested subcomplexes $\Delta(X, \epsilon)$ of $\Delta$, that depend on a scale parameter $\epsilon$, such that

$$\Delta(X, \epsilon_1) \subseteq \Delta(X, \epsilon_2) \text{ whenever } \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2.$$

We can apply the simplicial homology functor on each subcomplex. The inclusion map on the complexes $\Delta(X, \epsilon_1) \subset \Delta(X, \epsilon_2)$ induces a linear map on the homology groups $H_m(\Delta(X, \epsilon_1)) \rightarrow H_m(\Delta(X, \epsilon_2))$, for $0 \leq m \leq \text{dim} \Delta$. Hence the homology of this filtration complex consistently provide information about $\Delta$ at different values of $\epsilon$. One can represent these features, over various scales, using persistent diagrams (PDs). To exemplify, consider a point cloud in $\mathbb{R}^2$, say $S$, given in Fig 5. To extract qualitative information from this data we compute topological features for different values of a scale parameter $\epsilon$. At each scale level of $\epsilon$, we consider open discs, say $D(p, \epsilon)$, of radius $\epsilon$ around each point $p$. Then we build a simplicial complex $\Delta(S, \epsilon)$ using the following rule; a set of points $A = \{p_0, \ldots, p_n\}$ forms an $n$-simplex if $\cap_{p \in A} D(p, \epsilon) \neq \Phi$. At each level the simplicial complex is made up of simplices shown in the Fig 5.

As the values of $\epsilon$ increase from 0, we get a filtration of simplicial complexes $\Delta(S, \epsilon)$

![Figure 5: A filtration of simplicial complexes build from a given point cloud $\Delta(S, 0)$](image-url)
We can represent the birth and death of these topological features using the persistent diagram. A persistent diagram (PD) is a collection of ordered pairs in the extended plane. A point \((a, b)\) represent the birth at scale parameter value \(\epsilon = a\) and death at \(\epsilon = b\). The points that touches the infinity line are the persistent features that do not die till the last value of our filtration parameter. In Fig 6 we see a point at line of infinity. This depicts that there is one-dimensional hole (or loop) that appears at \(\epsilon = 2\) (see Fig 5) and never dies. It is very consisting with the observation that the overall shape of data in Fig 5 is circular.

![Figure 6: PDs representing topological features of the point cloud from Fig 5](image)

Apart from its stability, another important feature of the PH is that it can be computed using different algorithms. There are many libraries available that implement algorithms for the computation of PH. These libraries include, PERSEUS, javaPlex, DIONYSUS, RIPSER, GUDHI, etc. We use RIPSER (Tralie et al., 2018) due to its computational efficiency (Otter et al., 2017).

There are many ways to impose a simplicial complex on a given point cloud. The choice depends on the nature of data, computational cost, and restrictions of software/package used. Some typical simplicial complexes are, Vietoris–Rips complex, Čech complex, Delaunay complex, clique complex, alpha complex, strong witness complex, weak witness complex, etc.

### 3. Methods and Algorithm

Thoracic radiology evaluations found high rates of ground-glass opacities and consolidations in COVID-19 patients. One can observe the ground-glass opacities (GGOs) together with consolidations in the CT-Scan of COVID-19 images. These regions are isolated with difference in shapes (left image in Fig. 7), which is captured by PDs associated to \(H_0\) and \(H_1\). Moreover, these regions have unique shape in the intensity plot, see appearance of alps, saddle points in Fig. 7 (right image). These are recorded by PDs of Lower-star-filtration and \(H_2\).
All these shape features are captured by PDs associated to two different filtered complexes, namely, filtered Vietoris-Rips (VR) complex, and lower-star-filtration. The whole process is summarized as two pipelines in Fig 8. In the following subsections, we describe each step of these two pipelines.

There are four PDs associated to an image; three from filtered VR complex corresponding to $H_0, H_1$ and $H_2$, and one from lower-star-filtration. To simplify statistical operations we map each PD onto a Hilbert sphere, this is explained in Subsection 3.2. On Hilbert
sphere, we reduce dimension by using principal geodesic analysis (PGA) \cite{Fletcher2004}. Eventually each PD is mapped onto a vector of length 2400, a juxtaposition of these vectors gives a combined feature vector for each image. In the final step, in Subsection 3.3 we build a model from these feature vectors.

3.1. PDs Associated to a CT-Scan Image

3.1.1. Filtered VR Complex

Before calculating PH we first build feature point cloud (FPC) from a given point cloud. The FPC is an optimal way to record changes for all values of some chosen feature while keeping the computational time in a feasible limit.

Let $X$ be the point cloud with a metric $d_X$, and $F$ be a compact feature space endowed with a metric $d_F$. We define the projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow F$ such that $\pi(p)$ is the feature of the point $p$. Let $U = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a covering of $F$, where $I$ is a finite indexing set, the finiteness is guaranteed by the compactness of $F$. Using the projection map $\pi$, we get a covering $C(X)$ of $X$, where $C(X) = \{\pi^{-1}(U_i)\}_{i \in I}$. Let $\Gamma(X, U)$ be the connected components of $C(X)$, that is,

$$\mathbb{X}(U) = \{V : \exists k \in I \text{ such that } V \text{ is connected component of } \pi^{-1}(U_k)\}.$$ 

We build a feature point cloud (FPC) by taking vertices to be points of $\mathbb{X}(U)$. The distance between two connected components is taken as the distance between their centroids.

For a gray image $M$, let $X_M$ be the point cloud in $\mathbb{R}^3$ defined as

$$X_M = \{(i, j, p) : p \text{ is the intensity value at } (i, j)\}.$$ 

For feature space $F_M = [0, 255]$, such that $\pi : X_M \rightarrow [0, 255]$ is defined as $\pi(i, j, p) = p$. For some finite cover $W = \{W_i\}_{i \in J}$ of $F_M$ we get an FPC, denoted as, $\mathbb{X}_M(W)$. The cover is chosen in such a way that the resulting FPC provides a good approximation of the PH of $X_M$. To calculate PH we use filtered Vietoris–Rips complex

$$VR_0(\mathbb{X}_M(W)) \subset VR_{0.5}(\mathbb{X}_M(W)) \subset \cdots \subset VR_{500}(\mathbb{X}_M(W)).$$ 

where

$$VR_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{X}_M(W)) = \{A \subset \mathbb{X}_M(W) : d_X(a_1, a_2) < 2\epsilon, \forall a_1, a_1 \in A\}.$$ 

3.1.2. PDs: Capturing the Visible

This filtered complex, defined in the previous section, is able to capture the key features of a CT-scan, like, peaks, variations in intensity, etc. Connected components and appearance of loops at different intensity levels are captured in the PD’s of $H_0$, and $H_1$ respectively (see Fig. 9). The PDs of $H_0$ and $H_1$ for the images in Fig. 9 are given in Fig. 10. It is evident that the difference in their visual appearance is captured by these PDs.
Figure 9: Comparison of COVID and Non-COVID CT-scans. Difference in the variation of connected components ($H_0$) and (randomly shaped) loops ($H_1$) at different intensity levels can be seen.

A peak, which is topologically equivalent to tetrahedron, appear as points at (or near to) infinity-line in the PD of $H_2$, that is, a persistent 2-dimensional void (see Fig. 10, 11).

Lower Star Filtration (LSF) captures key features about variation in intensities in an image. Local minimum and saddle points, in the intensity plot, are vital shape features (see Fig. 10). These features are recorded using LSF. The birth time of a point in this PD is local minimum and death time is saddle point.

To record these changes, we construct a simplicial complex, say $\Delta$, in the following way. Each pixel is taken as a vertex, and there is an edge from one vertex to its neighbouring 8 (or less in case its an edge vertex) vertices. This allow us to construct the filtration $\Delta_0 \subset \Delta_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Delta_{255}$, where $\Delta_\epsilon = \{ A \subset \Delta : \max_{a \in A} p(a) \leq \epsilon \}$, here $p(a)$ is the pixel value.
of the vertex $a$. Only the zero-dimensional PD is essential for our model development. The Fig. 11 shows a major difference between the PDs associated to LSF of a COVID-19 and a non-COVID-19 CT-scan image.

![Figure 11: PDs of $H_0$, $H_1$, $H_2$, and lower-star-filtration of a COVID-19 infected, and a Non-COVID-19 CT-scan](image)

### 3.2. Statistics on Space of Persistent Diagrams

There are many approaches to infer results from a collection of PD’s, for example, bottleneck distance ([Cohen-Steiner et al., 2007](#)) (and its generalizations), $L_p$-Wasserstein metric ([Cohen-Steiner et al., 2010](#)), persistent landscape ([Bubenik, 2015](#)), and Riemannian framework ([Anirudh et al., 2016](#)), etc. Due to its efficiency and computational cost, we use Riemannian framework to perform our statistical analysis, which includes principal geodesic analysis, and SVM on the space of PD’s. In this approach (see figure 12) we first approximate a given PD with a 2D probability distribution function (pdf) that are further mapped, using square-root transformation, onto a Hilbert sphere. On Hilbert sphere we have closed-form expressions to compare two PD’s. Using this we first apply principal geodesic analysis to reduce our dimensions, and then we build our model using SVM.

![Figure 12: Riemannian framework approach estimates a PD with a pdf, and then maps onto a Hilbert sphere](image)

For each point $(a, b)$ of a PD, we use multivariate normal distribution with parameters
\[ \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix} \] and \( \mu = (a, b) \). We calculate the values of this 2D pdf on the meshgrid \([0, 530]^2\) with a uniform difference of 0.5. Further, we compute square-root representation of this pdf which maps them on a Hilbert sphere (c.f. (Anirudh et al., 2016, Section 3.3)). Hence each PD is converted into a vector of length 1061\(^2\). To reduce this dimension we apply Principal Geodesic Analysis (PGA) (Fletcher et al., 2004) on our Hilbert sphere. This whole procedure is applied on each collection of PD’s for \( H_0, H_1, H_2 \), and on the PD coming from lower-star-filtration. Hence for a fixed image, each of the four PDs is represented as a vector of length 2400.

3.3. Model Development

We build the classification model using SVM which tends to perform relatively well on limited training data sets with high dimensional features. Each of the 2,480 CT-Scans is represented by a homology feature vector. We use Principal Component Analysis to reduce the dimension of each feature vector to 4800. Before training of the SVM, we randomly split the data into \( k \)-folds (where \( k = 5 \)); we used \((k - 1)\)-folds for training of the SVM and the remaining 1-fold for testing stage to validate the performance of the model on a completely unseen data set. The proposed model, using topological features of \( H_0, H_1, H_2 \) and LSF, achieves the classification accuracy of 99.4164\% on the test data set.

We use the Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel for the SVM model and we further select the optimal hyperparameters using the Bayesian optimization. In particular, we perform the optimization search to minimize the cross-validation loss (error) by varying the kernel scale and box constraint. The kernel scaling parameter applies on the input features before computing the Gram matrix and the box constraint acts as a regularizer to mitigate the impact of overfitting by penalizing the margin-violating observations. For both parameters, the model searches for log-scaled positives ranging between \( 1e^{-3} \) and \( 1e^3 \).

4. Results and Discussion

We use a publicly available data set “SARS-CoV-2 CT-scan dataset”, which contains 1252 CT scans that are positive, and 1230 CT scans for patients non-infected for COVID-19 infection. These data is collected from patients in hospitals from Sao Paulo, Brazil and made public in (Angelov & Almeida Soares, 2020; Soares et al., 2020).

We propose a model based on topological features, these provides a binary classification for COVID and Non-COVID CT-Scans. Our model is an attempt to capture the features as observed by a professional medic. These features are picked up by the topological summaries provided by PDs. Hence making it biologically more interpretable as compared to deep neural networks. Moreover topological techniques do not need plenty of data to train a model. Table II compare the average values of the evaluation metrics achieved by different deep networks and our topological model.
| Method                        | Metric | Accuracy | Precision | Recall | Specificity | F1 Score |
|-------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|-------------|----------|
| Topological Approach          |        | 99.416 ± 0.27 | 99.41 ± 0.24 | 99.416 ± 0.62 | 99.424 ± 0.22 | 99.42 ± 0.26 |
| ResNet101 (Alshazly et al., 2020) |        | 99.4 ± 0.4 | 99.6 ± 0.3 | 99.1 ± 0.6 | 99.6 ± 0.3 | 99.4 ± 0.4 |
| SqueezeNet (Alshazly et al., 2020) |        | 95.1 ± 1.3 | 94.2 ± 2.0 | 96.2 ± 1.4 | 94.0 ± 2.2 | 95.2 ± 1.2 |
| ResNet101 (Alshazly et al., 2020) |        | 99.2 ± 0.3 | 99.2 ± 0.4 | 99.3 ± 0.5 | 99.2 ± 0.4 | 99.2 ± 0.3 |
| ShuffleNet (Alshazly et al., 2020) |        | 97.5 ± 0.8 | 96.4 ± 1.4 | 99.0 ± 0.2 | 95.9 ± 1.5 | 97.5 ± 0.8 |
| InceptionV3 (Alshazly et al., 2020) |        | 99.1 ± 0.5 | 98.8 ± 0.8 | 99.8 ± 0.3 | 98.5 ± 0.8 | 99.1 ± 0.5 |
| ResNet50 (Alshazly et al., 2020) |        | 99.1 ± 0.5 | 99.0 ± 0.5 | 99.3 ± 0.5 | 98.9 ± 0.6 | 99.1 ± 0.5 |
| DenseNet169 (Alshazly et al., 2020) |        | 99.3 ± 0.5 | 99.4 ± 0.6 | 99.3 ± 0.5 | 99.3 ± 0.7 | 99.3 ± 0.4 |
| DenseNet201 (Alshazly et al., 2020) |        | 99.3 ± 0.2 | 99.4 ± 0.4 | 99.4 ± 0.2 | 98.9 ± 0.4 | 99.2 ± 0.2 |
| xDNN (Soares et al., 2020) |        | 97.38 | 99.16 | 95.53 | - | 97.31 |
| Contrastive Learning (Wang et al., 2020) |        | 90.8 ± 0.9 | 95.7 ± 0.4 | 85.8 ± 1.1 | - | 90.8 ± 1.3 |
| Modified VGG19 (Panwar et al., 2020) |        | 95.0 | 95.3 | 94.0 | 94.7 | 94.3 |
| COVID CT-Net (Yazdani et al., 2020) |        | - | - | 85.0 ± 0.2 | 96.2 ± 0.1 | 90.0 ± 0.1 |
| DenseNet201 (Jaiswal et al., 2020) |        | 96.2 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 96.2 |

Table 1: The topological model performs better in terms of all metrics than the other state-of-the-art approaches including the latest deep learning models. See [Alshazly et al., 2020] for the definitions of evaluation metrics.

Figure 13: Some samples of COVID-19 and Non-COVID-19 CT-Scans with the predictions of our topological model.

Some of the topological features are more important than the others, depending on the input image. The following table present performance of our model by using individual topological features.

Although LSF feature performs exceptionally well as compared to other features but in some situations lack of saddle points can make it less reliable. So combining all the features makes our model more reliable in all situations.
| Top. Feature | Metric    | Accuracy | Precision | Recall | Specificity | F1 Score |
|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|-------------|----------|
| $H_0$       |           | 71.6 ± 2.1 | 70.3 ± 3.3 | 74 ± 1.1 | 69.2 ± 3.7 | 72 ± 1.93 |
| $H_1$       |           | 68.5 ± 0.5 | 67.1 ± 2.6 | 72.6 ± 7.7 | 64.4 ± 8.1 | 69.5 ± 32.3 |
| $H_2$       |           | 64.8 ± 2.1 | 63.5 ± 1.8 | 67.7 ± 4.7 | 61.9 ± 2.2 | 65.5 ± 2.5 |
| LSF         |           | 97.5 ± 0.6 | 97 ± 1    | 98 ± 0.6 | 97 ± 1   | 97.5 ± 0.6 |

Table 2: Performance metrics for different combinations of topological features

![Image of t-SNE visualization]

Figure 14: A model using only LSF feature was not able to predict the traces of COVID-19 due to scarcity of saddle points in the infected region. But all models using all/one of the features $H_0$, $H_1$, and $H_2$ detected it correctly.

4.1. t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding Visualization

To visualize the relative position of topological feature vectors coming from the CT-scans of SARS-CoV-2 we apply t-SNE. In this process we take vectors of length 4800 and map them to 2D. In Fig 15, we can clearly see two segregated clusters of the COVID-19 and Non-COVID-19 images.
Figure 15: Visualization of the t-SNE embedding of the entire SARS-CoV-2 CT-Scan dataset. We clearly see two different clusters representing COVID-19 (red) and Non-COVID-19 (blue). It is clear that the embedding has some of the geometric properties of Hilbert sphere.

4.2. Robustness Analysis

Machine learning algorithms have achieved persuasive performance in several medical imaging problem but the interpretability of these ML models is very limited and it remains a significant hurdle in adoption of these models in clinical practice. We perform an experiment to check the robustness of our model we perform the following procedures.

In the first stage, we removed critical regions (GGOs and consolidations) of interest from COVID-19 positive cases and then predict its outcome. Secondly, we investigated the performance of our model on images by randomly removing non-COVID-19 regions. We have illustrated some COVID-19 cases in Fig. 16 where we covered the GGOs and consolidations and the model predicted it to be non-COVID-19.

Hence the infected COVID-19 regions are very accurately captured by our chosen topological features and deductively by our model.
Figure 16: (A) Original images. (B) We covered COVID-19 regions. (C) & (D) We covered random non-COVID-19 regions.
5. Conclusion

This paper presents a new approach to detect COVID-19 from CT-scan images, using persistent homology, and achieved state-of-the-art results. The work shows that the techniques of topological data analysis are effective and perform better than most of the deep neural networks.

This work provides a highly interpretable model based on topological features of CT-scans. The model is based on the slogan “mimic a professional medic”. This outperforms most of cutting edge deep neural network approaches. However it will be interesting to combine topological features of this work with deep convolutional neural networks, this is left as an open direction. Chest CT-scan imaging has high sensitivity for diagnosis of COVID-19 so this is step forward in detecting and hence eliminating COVID-19.
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