The epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) is composed of a single copy of an α-, β-, and γ-subunit and plays an essential role in water and salt balance. Because ENaC assembles inefficiently after its insertion into the ER, a substantial percentage of each subunit is targeted for ER-associated degradation (ERAD). To define how the ENaC subunits are selected for degradation, we developed novel yeast expression systems for each ENaC subunit. Data from this analysis suggested that ENaC subunits display folding defects in more than one compartment and that subunit turnover might require a unique group of factors. Consistent with this hypothesis, yeast lacking the luminal Hsp40s, Jem1 and Scj1, exhibited defects in ENaC degradation, whereas BiP function was dispensable. We also discovered that Jem1 and Scj1 assist in ENaC ubiquitination, and overexpression of ERdj3 and ERdj4, two luminal mammalian Hsp40s, increased the proteasome-mediated degradation of ENaC in vertebrate cells. Our data indicate that Hsp40s can act independently of Hsp70 to select substrates for ERAD.

INTRODUCTION

Proteins that transit the secretory pathway are translocated into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) during or soon after synthesis, and as this diverse family of macromolecules enter the ER, protein folding and posttranslational modifications commence. The efficacy of the posttranslational modification and folding processes are closely monitored by the ER quality control system, which is responsible for recognizing immature secreted proteins (Ellgaard and Helenius, 2003). Key components of the quality system are molecular chaperones, which bind and maintain the solubility of peptides with overall hydrophobic character (Flynn et al., 1991; Blond-Elguindi et al., 1993; Rudiger et al., 1997, 2001). Through the action of chaperones and chaperone-like lectins, misfolded proteins in the ER can be subjected to repeated rounds of assisted folding (Hebert and Molinari, 2007). Nevertheless, terminally misfolded proteins or improperly modified proteins may be targeted for degradation by the cytoplasmic proteasome. This process has been termed ER-associated degradation (ERAD; McCracken and Brodsky, 1996) and can be loosely subdivided into three steps: substrate recognition, retrotranslocation from the ER to the cytosol, and ubiquitination and degradation by the 26S proteasome (Meusser et al., 2005; Ismail and Ng, 2006; Vembar and Brodsky, 2008). Because an ever-increasing number ERAD substrates have been identified that are associated with disease, including the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR; cystic fibrosis), α1-antitrypsin (antitrypsin deficiency), aquaporin-2 (nephrogenic diabetes insipidus), and HMG-CoA reductase (atherosclerosis), a better definition of the requirements for the ERAD of these and other substrates may lead to novel opportunities for therapeutic intervention.

ERAD substrate recognition is carried out primarily by molecular chaperones that reside within the ER lumen and on the cytosolic face of the ER membrane. One class of chaperones, the heat-shock protein (Hsp) 70s, includes an ER luminal Hsp70, BiP (also known as Kar2 in yeast), and cytosolic Hsp70s. Hsp70s promote protein folding by maintaining substrate solubility, but these ATP-dependent chaperones have also been intimately linked to ERAD substrate selection in both yeast (Plemper et al., 1997; Brodsky et al., 1999; Hill and Cooper, 2000; Zhang et al., 2001; Kabani et al., 2003) and mammalian cells (Knatiker et al., 1995; Schmitz et al., 1995; Begga et al., 1996; Meerovitch et al., 1998; Skowronek et al., 1998; Meacham et al., 2001; Molinari et al., 2002; Goldfarb et al., 2006; Okuda-Shimizu and Hendershot, 2007). Another class of chaperones, the Hsp40s, are Hsp70 cochaperones. Hsp40s contain a J-domain that binds and stimulates Hsp70’s ATPase activity, which is required for high-affinity substrate interaction (Walsh et al., 2004; Craig et al., 2006). In addition, Hsp40 homologues bind peptides and may “hand-off” unfolded proteins to Hsp70s. In all cases thus far examined (see for example Meacham et al., 1999; Nishikawa et al., 2001; Huyer et al., 2004; Youker et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2008), the contributions of Hsp40s during ERAD have been shown to require their interaction with cognate Hsp70s.

In the yeast ER lumen, there are two Hsp40 homologues that partner with BiP, Jem1 and Scj1, and in the yeast cytosol there are two Hsp40s, Hlj1 and Ydj1, that partner with a yeast Hsp70, known as Ssa1. Genetic analysis indicates that the luminal and cytosolic Hsp40s function redundantly during ERAD (Nishikawa et al., 2001; Youker et al., 2004). Other chaperones and chaperone-like proteins also contribute to ERAD substrate selection and may act in concert with the
A membrane protein of significant medical importance is the epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) composed of the α-, β-, and γ-subunits, and their endocytic, recycling, and lysosomal degradation is tightly coupled (Meusser et al., 2005; Ismail and Ng, 2006; Vembar and Brodsky, 2008). Consistent with these data, multiprotein complexes have been identified in the ER membrane that house putative “retrotranslocons,” E3 ubiquitin ligases, components required for substrate selection, and/or a cytoplasmic ATPase (p97, or Cdc48 in yeast), which helps drive substrate extraction from the ER (Lilley and Ploegh, 2005; Neuber et al., 2005; Ye et al., 2005; Carvalho et al., 2006; DeLaBarre et al., 2006; Denic et al., 2006; Gauss et al., 2006; Oda et al., 2006). In fact, the yeast E3s required for ERAD, Hrd1 and Doa10, might select substrates and moonlight as retrotranslocation channels (Ravid et al., 2006).

ERAD substrates have been classified according to the sites of their folding lesions. ER membrane proteins with lesions in the cytoplasm (ERAD-C substrates) require the lesion in the cytoplasm (ERAD-C substrates) require the Doa10 ubiquitin ligase, whereas proteins with lesions in the lumen (ERAD-L substrates) are ubiquitinated by Hrd1. Proteins with folding lesions within the transmembrane domains, termed ERAD-M substrates, are also ubiquitinated by Hrd1 (Vashist and Ng, 2004; Carvalho et al., 2009; Clerc et al., 2009; Cormier et al., 2009). Current evidence indicates that a large repertoire of chaperones may simultaneously associate with a given substrate, or groups of chaperones may bind substrates in a hierarchical manner that mediate the “decision” between folding and degradation (Wang et al., 2006). Nevertheless, it remains impossible to predict which chaperones might be required for the folding and/or ERAD of a given substrate.

After selection, an ERAD substrate is retrotranslocated to the cytoplasm. The identity of this retrotranslocation channel is still unclear, but in most cases retrotranslocation and substrate ubiquitination and degradation are tightly coupled (Meusser et al., 2005; Ismail and Ng, 2006; Vembar and Brodsky, 2008). ERAD substrates have been classified according to the sites of their folding lesions. ER membrane proteins with lesions in the cytoplasm (ERAD-C substrates) require the lesion in the cytoplasm (ERAD-C substrates) require the Doa10 ubiquitin ligase, whereas proteins with lesions in the lumen (ERAD-L substrates) are ubiquitinated by Hrd1. Proteins with folding lesions within the transmembrane domains, termed ERAD-M substrates, are also ubiquitinated by Hrd1 (Vashist and Ng, 2004; Carvalho et al., 2006; Denic et al., 2006; Gauss et al., 2006; Oda et al., 2006). In fact, the yeast E3s required for ERAD, Hrd1 and Doa10, might select substrates and moonlight as retrotranslocation channels (Ravid et al., 2006).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast Strains, Growth Conditions, and Plasmids

Yeast strains were propagated at 26°C, and standard methods for growth, media preparation, and transformation were used unless indicated otherwise (Adams et al., 1997a). A complete list of the strains used for this study is presented in Supplemental Table S1.

Expression Plasmids

To create the pRS426GPD and pRS423GPD ENaC-HA constructs, which represent IRA and H55-marked vectors, respectively, the sequences encoding ENaC subunits were PCR amplified from murine ENaC cDNA constructs (Hughy et al., 2003) and inserted into the pRS426GPD or pRS423GPD expression vectors (Mumberg et al., 1995) between the following restriction sites: α (Clal and EcoRI), β (BamHI and EcoRI), and γ (SpeI and HindIII). In each case the primer corresponding to the 3’ end of the gene included sequences allowing for the insertion of an HA epitope immediately 5’ to a translational stop site. The integrity of each insert was confirmed by DNA sequence analysis. Primer sequences are available upon request.

To monitor the degradation of CPY* (see below), we obtained pRS161CPY*-3HA as a gift from the Weissman lab (University of California, San Francisco) (Bhamidipati et al., 2005). For some studies, we used pRS313CPY*-3HA, which was constructed by PCR amplification of pRS316CPY*-3HA and insertion into the Clal and Spel sites of pRS313 (Silkeski and Hieter, 1999).

The expression of epitope-tagged forms of Jem1 utilizes plasmids pRS316JEM1-3HA and pRS316JEM1H566Q-3HA, which were generously gifts from the Nishikawa lab (Nagoya University) (Nishikawa and Endo, 1997).

The analysis of the unfolded protein response (UPR) in yeast utilized plasmid pCl104, which was obtained from the Walter laboratory (University of California, San Francisco). UPR assays were performed as previously reported (Kabani et al., 2003).

Indirect Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy was performed based on a previously reported protocol (Coughlan et al., 2004) with several modifications. Wild-type yeast (HRD1/DODA1) (Pagant et al., 2007) containing either the pRS426GPD-ENaC-HA, pRS426GPD-EÎ±NaC-HA, or pRS426GPD-Î±ENaC-HA expression vectors were grown to an OD₅₆₂₅ of 0.5–0.8 and fixed for 30 min with 3.7% formaldehyde. Cells were harvested by pelleting in a clinical centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 3 min and washed twice with 3 ml of solution A (2 M sorbitol, 0.5 M KPO₄, pH 7). Cells were then resuspended in 500 μl solution A, 60 ng/ml 100T zymosan (Difco), and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were pelleted at 3000 rpm for 3 min, washed with solution A twice, resuspended in 800 μl of solution A before adding 30 μl of the cell suspension to a microscope slide (pretreated with 1 mg/ml poly-
Assays to Measure the Degradation of ERAD Substrates

To assess the degradation of ENaC subunits, overnight cultures of yeast at 26°C containing the indicated ENaC expression vector and in the appropriate selective medium were diluted into the same medium and then grown to midlog phase (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.3–0.8). Cultures with an OD<sub>600</sub> > 1 were not used because ENaC protein expression was minimal under these conditions (our unpublished data). Next, protein synthesis was stopped by the addition of cycloheximide to a final concentration of 50 μg/ml, and the cultures were shifted to 37°C and incubated with vigorous shaking. At the indicated time points, 1 ml of cells was harvested and pelleted, and the yeast were washed once with ice-cold water and then flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total cellular protein was precipitated as described (Zhang et al., 2001) and was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA–conjugated resin (Roche) in PBS/0.1% BSA (w/v) for 1 h at room temperature. The slides were then washed as above, and coverslips were mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade mounting media (Invitrogen). Images were captured on an Olympus BX60 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) fitted with a Hamamatsu C4742–95 digital camera (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ), and ana-ProLong Gold Antifade mounting media (Invitrogen). Images were captured on a mouse, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in PBS/0.1% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. Once with PBS/0.1% BSA, once with PBS/0.1% BSA/0.1% NP40, and once with PBS/0.1% BSA and were then incubated with the appropriate secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-rabbit; 1:500 and Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse). The slides were then washed as above, and coverslips were mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade mounting media (Invitrogen). Images were captured on an Olympus BX60 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) fitted with a Hamamatsu C4742–95 digital camera (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ) and analyzed using QED imaging software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD).

In Vitro and In Vivo Ubiquitination Assays

The in vitro ubiquitination assay (Nakatsukasa et al., 2008) was adapted to measure the extent of ubiquitinated ENaC in ER-derived microsomes prepared from wild-type and mutant yeast strains expressing the indicated HA-tagged ENaC subunit. Microsomes and cytosol from transformed strains grown in selective medium were prepared as described (McCraken and Brody, 1996), and 20-μl ubiquitination reactions contained ~20 μg of microsomes, an ATP-regenerating system, and 2 mg/ml cytosol in B88 (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 250 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 2 μg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml trypsin inhibitor, 1 μg/ml EDTA, 10 μg/ml TPCK, 1 mM PMSF, 0.1 μg/ml pepstatin A). Reactions were assembled on ice with protease inhibitor cocktail and were then warmed to 25°C for 10 min before 2 μM of the ubiquitinated ENaC was added (~200,000 cpm/μl). At the indicated time point, the reaction was quenched with 1.25% SDS containing a protease inhibitor cocktail and 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide (NEM). ENaC subunits were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA conjugated resin (Roche) with protease inhibitors. The precipitated samples were split and half of the material was used for a Western blot analysis to detect the precipitated ENaC subunit and the other half was used for phosphorimager analysis. Data were analyzed and quantified using Image Gauge Software (v.3.45; Fuji Film Science Lab).

The detection of ubiquitinated ENaC in yeast was performed essentially as described (Ahner et al., 2007). In brief, cells expressing the indicated HA-tagged ENaC subunit were grown to log phase in 30 ml of selective medium. Next, the cells were disrupted with glass beads in lysing buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.1% NP40, 10 mM NEM, and a protease inhibitor cocktail) by agitation on a Vortex mixer 10 times for 30 s with 30-s incubations on ice between each cycle. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation, and the protein concentration was estimated by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm. ENaC was immunoprecipitated from equal amounts of lysate with anti-HA–conjugated resin (Roche), and the precipitated proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE. Half of each sample was used to detect ENaC, and the other half was used to detect polyubiquitinated ENaC. ENaC was detected with anti-HA antibody (Roche), and ubiquitinated ENaC was detec-ted with anti-ubiquitin antiserum (obtained from the laboratory of C. Pickart, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine [deceased]) after boiling the nitrocellulose membrane for 30 min in water. Data were obtained and quantified as described above.

Functional Analysis of ENaC Channel Activity in Xenopus Oocytes

Functional analysis of ENaC was performed in Xenopus oocytes using two-electrode voltage clamp (TEV) and by measuring surface expression (Zerrange et al., 1999; Kashlan et al., 2007). The cDNAs encoding the murine ENaC subunits (α, β, γ) were inserted into pBlueScript SK+ (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) in PBS/0.1% BSA (w/v) for 1 h at room temperature. The slides were then washed as above, and coverslips were mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade mounting media (Invitrogen). Images were captured on an Olympus BX60 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) fitted with a Hamamatsu C4742–95 digital camera (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ) and analyzed using QED imaging software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD).

RESULTS

The ERAD of the ENaC α-, β-, and γ-Subunits Requires Both the Hrd1 and Doa10 Ubiquitin Ligases

At the plasma membrane, each of the three ENaC subunits has a large extracellular loop that accounts for the bulk of the protein’s mass. After subunit synthesis, this loop resides within the ER (Figure 1A) and folds into its native structure when the associated subunits are present. Therefore, we predicted that the ERAD-1 pathway would be required to degrade individual ENaC subunits and consequently that the Hrd1 but not Doa10 ubiquitin ligase would catalyze subunit ubiquitination and degradation. A Hrd1 degrada-tion-resistant mutant might also reflect the fact that the transmembrane helices in each subunit fail to pack unless the subunits have oligomerized. These exposed helices might then be recognized as folding lesions within the membrane; thus, the ERAD-M E3 ligase, which is also Hrd1, would be expected to select ENaC for degradation (Carvalho et al., 2006; Sato et al., 2009). We further envisioned that ER lumenal Hsp70 and Hsp40 chaperones would be required to facilitate ERAD because ENaC’s luminal segment includes a folded domain (Snyder et al., 2000; Rossier, 2003; Jasti et al., 2007; Gonzales et al., 2009). In the absence of an associated subunit, these domains might mis-fold and would have to be retained in a retrotranslocation-conformation competent form. Conversely, the deposition of some subunit mass in the cytoplasmic space might lead to the limited requirement for 1049
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components of the ERAD-C machinery. By analogy, the cytoplasmic portion of ENaC subunits is recognized by an E3 ligase that triggers channel endocytosis from the plasma membrane (Snyder, 2005).

To begin to test these hypotheses, we expressed each of the ENaC subunits in yeast. The subunits were tagged with an HA epitope at the C-terminus because epitopes at this position have no effect on channel function when examined in oocyte expression systems and in Madin-Darby canine kidney cells (Adams et al., 1997b; Hanwell et al., 2002). Also, because we wanted to assess chaperone-dependent effects on ERAD, we chose to constitutively express each subunit to minimize stress responses that might arise from using an inducible system. Initial pilot studies expressing α-ENaC using a variety of promoters (Mumberg et al., 1995) established that driving ENaC subunit expression from the GPD promoter in a 2μ vector yielded adequate levels of expression without significant effects on cell growth (our unpublished data).

As shown in Figure 1B, each subunit acquired N-linked glycosylation when expressed in yeast, as evidenced by the multiple bands that were apparent after Western blot analysis and by the fact that these bands collapsed mostly into a single species after treatment with endoglycosidase H. Indirect immunofluorescence was then used to uncover the steady-state residence of each subunit in yeast, and strong colocalization with BiP was apparent (Figure 1C). These data indicate that the α-, β-, and γ-subunits reside primarily within the ER. The results are consistent with studies in mammalian cells and in Xenopus oocyte expression systems, indicating that most of the α-, β-, and γ-subunits are degraded in the ER and that little if any of the β- and γ-ENaC subunits can traffic to the plasma membrane (see for example, Valentijn et al., 1998; Hanwell et al., 2002; Mohan et al., 2004). Of interest, the more diffuse signal corresponding to the α-subunit might reflect data indicating that some fraction of this subunit resides at the cell surface in other systems (Harris et al., 2008).

To assay whether the extent of stabilization correlated with the degree of ubiquitination—and thus that the effect of deleting the ligases was direct—we examined α- and β-subunit ubiquitination in vivo. To this end, the α- and β-subunits were immunoprecipitated from wild type and from hrd1Δ and hrd1Δdoa10Δ mutant yeast, and an anti-ubiquitin antibody was used in a subsequent Western blot analysis. The results presented in Figure 3, A and B, indicate that subunit ubiquitination was significantly decreased in yeast lacking Hrd1 or both Hrd1 and Doa10. These data are consistent with a requirement for either Hrd1 or Doa10, as observed in Figure 2, and indicate that the effects of deleting the ligases are direct.

To confirm these data, we next developed an assay in which the degree of ENaC subunit ubiquitination could be assessed in vitro. This assay was based on a recently reported system in which the conjugation of 125I-ubiquitin onto membrane-integrated ERAD substrates could be monitored after their expression in yeast (Nakatsukasa et al., 2008). We therefore prepared ER-derived microsomes from α-ENaC-expressing yeast and delineated the time, temperature, and cytosol dependence on ENaC subunit ubiquitination in vitro (Figure S1). We next prepared microsomes from either wild-type yeast or hrd1Δdoa10Δ cells that expressed the α- or β-subunit and used the optimized conditions to assess subunit ubiquitination in vitro. We again found that subunit ubiquitination decreased in the ligase mutant strain (Figure 3C), whereas polyubiquitination was more modestly affected in the single mutants (our unpublished data). Together, and contrary to our expectations, these results suggest that Hrd1 and Doa10 perform distinct functions during
ENaC subunit degradation. Thus, the ENaC subunits cannot be easily classified as ERAD-M/L or -C substrates. Based on the decreased stability of the \( \alpha \)-subunit compared with the \( \beta \) - and \( \gamma \)-subunits in the \( \textit{hrd1} \) \( \textit{doa10} \) strain, our results also suggest that the mechanism by which the \( \alpha \)-subunit is destroyed might possess unique attributes (see Discussion).

The ER Lumenal Hsp40s, Jem1 and Scj1, Facilitate the Ubiquitination and Degradation of ENaC Subunits

After synthesis, a significant portion of ENaC’s mass is deposited into the ER lumen, including a folded domain that regulates channel gating (Snyder et al., 2000; Rossier, 2003; Jasti et al., 2007; Gonzales et al., 2009). Thus, we reasoned that the turnover of ENaC subunits would require lumenal Hsp70 and Hsp40 chaperones. Jem1 is one of two Hsp40 homologues within the yeast ER (the other being Scj1) that function redundantly to prevent the aggregation of soluble, lumenal ERAD substrates before degradation (Nishikawa et al., 2001). Consequently, we examined whether strains lacking Jem1 and Scj1 proficiently degraded the ENaC subunits. As shown in Figure 4A, we noted a significant reduction in the rate and extent of \( \alpha \)-, \( \beta \)-, and \( \gamma \)-subunit degradation in the mutant strains relative to the wild-type control. We believe that this was a direct effect of a reduction in ERAD efficiency because ENaC subunit aggregation was not evident in this or any other experiment (our unpublished data). Deletion of \( JEM1 \) or \( SCJ1 \) individually had no effect on subunit turnover (our unpublished data).

The inefficient degradation of the ENaC subunits in the \( \textit{scj1}\Delta \textit{jem1} \Delta \textit{hrd1} \Delta \textit{doa10} \Delta \) strain might either result from an inability of the protein to be delivered or recognized by E3 ligases or result from an inability to transfer the ubiquitinated species to the proteasome. To differentiate between these scenarios, we assessed subunit ubiquitination in vitro, as described above, in a wild-type strain and in cells lacking Scj1 and Jem1 (Figure 4B). The relative percentage of ubiquitinated protein decreased significantly for both the \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-subunit, consistent with the Hsp40s playing a role before ubiquitination in the ERAD process.

Hsp40s partner with Hsp70s, and in every case examined Hsp40 function requires the activity of its cognate Hsp70 during ERAD. Previous work established that Jem1 and Scj1 cooperate with BiP, the lone Hsp70 in the ER lumen, to support nuclear membrane fusion and protein folding, respectively (Schlenstedt et al., 1995; Nishikawa and Endo, 1997; Silberstein et al., 1998). As a result, it seemed reasonable to ask whether yeast containing an ERAD-specific mutant allele in the gene encoding yeast BiP, \( \textit{KAR2} \) (Kabani et al., 2003), would exhibit a defect in the degradation of the \( \alpha \)-, \( \beta \)-, and \( \gamma \)-ENaC subunits. To our surprise, we found that the destruction of each subunit was unaffected in the \( \textit{kar2} \) mutant (Figure 5). The \( \textit{kar2} \) mutant (\( \textit{kar2}-1 \)) is a strain in which the ERAD of Scj1-Jem1– dependent substrates is significantly reduced and in which the off-rate of substrates from the Kar2-1 protein results in ERAD substrate aggregation (Kabani et al., 2003). Nevertheless, to confirm that the mutant was ERAD-deficient, the wild-type and \( \textit{kar2}-1 \) strains were transformed with a vector that drove the expression of \( \textit{CPY}^* \), a well-characterized substrate that was previously demonstrated to require BiP (Plemper et al., 1997). As anticipated, \( \textit{CPY}^* \) degradation was significantly slowed in \( \textit{kar2}-1 \) mutant yeast relative to the wild-type strain, as it was in the Hsp40 mutant (Figure 4). Combined with the data presented in Figure 4, these results implicate the ER lumenal Hsp40s...
acting independently of an Hsp70 as mediators of ENaC subunit quality control.

Even though BiP was dispensable for the degradation of ENaC, the chaperone might assist during subunit folding. BiP plays both a direct and contributory role in the UPR (Kimata et al., 2007). If BiP is recruited from Ire1, the UPR sensor (Cox et al., 1993), and helps fold the unassembled luminal domain of ENaC, then ENaC subunit expression might induce the UPR. Alternatively, ENaC expression might titrate other ER chaperones (e.g., Jem1 and Scj1), which would compromise general protein folding and induce the UPR. To test these hypotheses, yeast were transformed with the α-ENaC expression vector or with a vector control, as well as with a UPR reporter (see Materials and Methods). We then assessed the extent of UPR induction, as described (Kabani et al., 2003). As a control for these assays, cells were treated with 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for 1 h, which increased the UPR 2.5-fold in wild-type yeast (Table S2). As an additional control the UPR response was examined in ire1Δ cells, which are unable to trigger the UPR (Cox et al., 1993; Mori et al., 1993). We found that α- and β-subunit expression induced the UPR and that the level of induction did not rise further with DTT treatment. These data suggest that the luminal portion of ENaC interacts with and possibly titrates away BiP and/or other chaperones that are important for maintaining ER homeostasis. As discussed above, one of these chaperones may be Scj1, and of note, the deletion of SCJ1 is known to induce the UPR (Silberstein et al., 1998).

To further verify that Scj1 and Jem1 play a direct role during the ERAD of ENaC subunits, and that degradation is BiP-independent, we reasoned that ENaC should be proficiently degraded in scj1Δjem1Δ yeast expressing a plasmid-borne copy of Jem1 with a mutation in the HPD motif, which interferes with BiP association (Nishikawa and Endo, 1997). In contrast, these cells should exhibit an ERAD defect for a substrate that is Scj1, Jem1, and BiP dependent, such as CPY* (Nishikawa et al., 2001). We also transformed a wild-type copy of Jem1 into the mutant cells. As expected, only the wild-type Jem1 protein rescued the temperature-sensitive phenotype of scj1Δjem1Δ yeast (Figure S2A). Next, the α-ENaC or CPY* expression vector was transformed into each strain, and ERAD was assessed. Even though the signal-to-noise ratio was low in these experiments, the data shown in Figure S2, B and C, are consistent with our hypothesis: Expression of either wild type or the HPD mutant Jem1 resulted in identical levels of α-ENaC degradation, whereas there was a statistically significant attenuation of subunit turnover in the vector control; in contrast, CPY* degradation was slowed the most in the HDP mutant Jem1-expressing strain.

The Proteolysis of ENaC Is Unaffected in Strains Mutated for Cytoplasmic Hsp40 Chaperones That Facilitate the Degradation of Other ERAD Substrates

Based on previously derived models (Snyder et al., 1994) and on the recent structural determination of an ENaC family member (Figure 1; Jasti et al., 2007; Gonzales et al., 2009), only ~25% of the total mass of ENaC resides in the cytoplasm. Thus, the Doa10 dependence of ENaC degradation was unexpected, but suggested that ENaC’s cytoplasmic motifs might also represent ERAD recognition sites. In other words, the subunits might exhibit ERAD-C-like features. The degradation of some ERAD-C substrates requires the action of cytoplasmic Hsp70 and Hsp40s and are therefore stabilized in temperature-sensitive Hsp70 (ssa1-45) and Hsp40 (hfl1Δyjd1-151) mutant strains (Zhang et al., 2001; Huyer et al., 2004; Youker et al., 2004). We therefore examined the turnover of each of the ENaC subunits in the
chaperone mutant strains. First, we noted that there were variable levels of stabilization of each subunit in the ssa1-45 strain (Figure S3). However, the degradation of each of the three subunits was unaffected in hlj1/H9004 ydj1-151 yeast relative to the wild-type control (Figure S4). Interestingly, Rubenstein and colleagues (Goldfarb et al., 2006) reported that the vertebrate homolog of Ydj1, Hdj2, also had no effect on ENaC biogenesis. At this point, we are unable to conclude whether the variable Ssa1 dependence for some subunits but not others reflects distinct subunit recognition or arises from a secondary effect. However, consistent with a secondary effect, we found that α-subunit expression in a bona fide wild-type strain induces a heat-shock response (our unpublished data), which significantly increases SSA1, SSA3, and SSA4 levels. In the ssa1-45 mutant, this compensatory response might be absent, because of the ssa1 mutant allele and because of the absence of the SSA2, SSA3, and SSA4 genes (Becker et al., 1996). Of note, contributions of the heat-shock response on ERAD efficiency have previously been reported in yeast (Liu and Chang, 2008).

**The Overexpression of ER-resident Mammalian Hsp40s in Xenopus Oocytes Accelerates the Proteasome-mediated Degradation of ENaC**

The human Hsp40s most similar to Scj1 and Jem1 in both domain architecture and cellular localization are, respectively, ERdj3 and ERdj4 (Nishikawa and Endo, 1997; Shen et al., 2002; Walsh et al., 2004; Hennessy et al., 2005; Shen and Hendershot, 2005). Scj1 and ERdj3 are type I Hsp40s that
possess an N-terminal J-domain, a glycine/phenylalanine-rich domain, and a cysteine-rich domain. Jem1 and ERdj4 are both membrane-associated J-domain-containing proteins. ERdj3’s role in biological processes has yet to be determined, but the chaperone resides in BiP-containing complexes, associates with unfolded BiP substrates, and can bind unfolded proteins in the absence of BiP (Yu et al., 2000; Meunier et al., 2002; Shen and Hendershot, 2005; Yu and Haslam, 2005; Jin et al., 2009). ERdj4 also binds unfolded proteins and was recently established to play an Hsp70-dependent role in the ERAD of mutant surfactant protein C (Shen et al., 2002; Dong et al., 2008). On the basis of these data, we predicted that ERdj3 and ERdj4 might facilitate the ERAD of ENaC in higher cell types.

To test this hypothesis, we used a Xenopus oocytes expression system in which exogenous factors that impact ENaC function and trafficking can be readily examined (Adams et al., 1997b; Valentijn et al., 1998; Goldfarb et al., 2006; Kashlan et al., 2007). First, increasing amounts of ERdj3 or ERdj4 cRNA were coinjected into oocytes in the presence or absence of cRNAs encoding the three ENaC subunits. Next, the residence of active ENaC at the plasma membrane was detected by measuring the amiloride-sensitive Na⁺/H₁⁺ current (see Materials and Methods). The result of this analysis indicated a dose-dependent decrease in ENaC current when the cRNA for either of the Hsp40s was coinjected (Figure 6, A and B). To determine whether the reduction in current was ERAD-dependent, the proteasome inhibitor MG132 was added 21 h after the cRNAs encoding either ERdj3 or ERdj4 were coinjected with cRNAs for the ENaC subunits. The amiloride-sensitive current was then measured after a 3 h incubation. As displayed in Figure 6C, we noted a small rise in ENaC current even in the absence of ERdj3 or ERdj4 coinjection, consistent with the known instability of ENaC in the ER (see Introduction). The ERdj3 and ERdj4 dependent decrease in ENaC activity was again observed in this independent experiment, but in the presence of MG132 the cur-
Hsp40s Select ENaC for ER Degradation

The data reported in this manuscript contain two novel findings. This is the first example in which lumenal Hsp40s have been shown to play a role in the ERAD of a membrane protein in yeast. To date, the ERAD of these substrates has been found to be independent of ER chaperone function (Hill and Cooper, 2000; Zhang et al., 2001; Huyer et al., 2004). This phenomenon has most likely been observed because the examined integral membrane proteins deposit only a limited amount of their total mass in the ER lumen. Thus, the folding “problem” in these substrates is primarily confined to the cytoplasmic space. As expected, then, a cytosolic Hsp70 (Ssa1) and Hsp40s (Hlj1 and Ydj1) play an important role in the disposal of ERAD-C substrates. In contrast, the majority of ENaC resides within the ER lumen, and consistent with what has been noted for soluble ERAD-L substrates (Nishikawa et al., 2001), we discovered that maximal rates of ENaC subunit turnover require two ER luminal Hsp40s, Scj1 and Jem1.

The second novel finding is that ENaC is the first ERAD substrate for which Hsp40s have been found to facilitate degradation independent of Hsp70 function. Hsp40s function as Hsp70 cofactors, but these chaperones also bind directly to peptide substrates, which in nearly all cases is followed by the transfer of the bound substrates to an Hsp70 (Hennessy et al., 2005; Qiu et al., 2006; Buck et al., 2007). Therefore, it was surprising that the function of the Scj1-Jem1 Hsp70 cognate, BIP, was dispensable for ENaC subunit degradation. This suggests that the substrate-binding activities of Scj1 and Jem1 directly target ENaC subunits for ERAD. But, another formal possibility is that the residual BIP activity in the kar2-I strain, which is unable to mediate the efficient ERAD of other Scj1-Jem1–dependent substrates, is still sufficient to promote ENaC subunit degradation.

This suggests that the activities of both ligases are required to append a critical level of ubiquitin to effectively target the substrate for proteasome-mediated degradation. Consistent with this view, we noted that the extent of Hrd1-Doa10 stabilization of the α- and β-subunits (Figure 2) mirrored their proteasome-dependent degradation (Figure S5). In contrast, the degradation of most other ERAD substrates requires either Hrd1 or Doa10 (Vembar and Brodsky, 2008), although exceptions to this rule have emerged (Gnann et al., 2004; Huyer et al., 2004; Kota et al., 2007; Nakatsuka et al., 2008). One interpretation of these data is that folding lesions in the individual ENaC subunits reside both in the ER membrane/lumen and in the cytoplasm. Based on the topology of the ENaC subunits and the crystal structure of an assembled, homotrimeric ENaC relative, ASIC (Jasti et al., 2007; Gonzales et al., 2009), it may not be surprising that Hrd1 plays a role in ENaC ubiquitination. Approximately 75% of the mass of each ENaC subunit is either in the ER lumen or membrane and there are abundant intrasubunit contacts that would be absent if the subunits fail to assemble (Jasti et al., 2007; Gonzales et al., 2009). It was initially more surprising that Doa10 plays a role in the degradation of the ENaC subunits. The cytoplasmic segments of ENaC might be recognized by several cytoplasmic chaperones, perhaps including Ssa1, which then transfers ENaC to Doa10, as suggested for ERAD-C substrates (Han et al., 2007; Nakatsuka et al., 2008). It is also possible that Doa10 directly recognizes and ubiquitinates ENaC subunits. Consistent with this notion, Pca1, a Doa10-requiring ERAD substrate does not show a significant dependence on cytoplasmic Hsp70 for its disposal (Adle et al., 2009).

The modest stabilization of the α- and γ-ENaC subunits observed in the ssa1 mutant strain is consistent with a role for cytoplasmic Hsp70 in transferring the substrate to Doa10, and we note that Hsp70 and Hsc70 have been found to facilitate, respectively, the folding and degradation of ENaC and CFTR in other systems (Rubenstein and Zeitlin, 2000; Goldfarb et al., 2006). However, the relatively subtle effect on ENaC degradation in the ssa1 strain—compared with that observed for other Ssa1-dependent substrates (Zhang et al., 2001)—suggests that different cytoplasmic chaperones act in place of this abundant Hsp70. As an initial test of this hypothesis, we examined ENaC subunit degradation in yeast that contained a temperature-sensitive mutation in Hsp90 but observed that degradation was unaffected (our unpublished data). Nevertheless, we cannot exclude the possibility that the Ssa1 dependence arises from an indirect effect, which was discussed above. Of note, ENaC was cleaved when ssa1 strains reached the late-log phase, which necessitated the use of cultures at significantly lower ODs than used in other experiments (our unpublished data). This observation again suggests that compensatory, stress-induced phenomena may be triggered to provide an alternate mechanism to dispose of ENaC subunits.

Based on the data presented in Figure 2 and Figure S5, the Hrd1-Doa10 and proteasome dependence for the ERAD of α is somewhat different from the other subunits. One interpretation of this result is that the α-subunit might utilize another E3 or that α-ENaC might be turned over in an ERAD-independent manner. To begin to address the second hypothesis, we found that the degradation of the α-subunit was unaffected in a pep4 mutant (our unpublished data), suggesting that the protein is not destroyed after its delivery to the vacuole by the secretory or autophagic pathway. However, we note that the α-subunit can function—albeit quite inefficiently—as a sodium channel on its own; in con-
trast, the β- and γ-subunits fail to form active channels (Canessa et al., 1994b). In fact, the expression of an α-β chimera in yeast leads to a salt-sensitive growth phenotype (Gupta and Canessa, 2000); therefore, it is possible that the expression of the α-subunit triggers an osmotic stress response that leads to a fraction of the protein being degraded in a Hrd1 and Dna10-independent manner. Moreover, only the α-subunit possesses an ER export sequence (Mueller et al., 2007). Even though each of the subunits resided in the ER under steady-state conditions (Figure 1C), a fraction of the population of α-subunits might migrate to an ER subfraction where the subunits are destroyed through an alternate mechanism. Indeed, the turnover of CFTR in yeast has been proposed to require localization to an ER subdomain (Fu and Sztul, 2003). Future efforts may lead to a better understanding of the nature of the Hrd1 and Dna10-independent degradation pathway.

In sum, we propose that a continued characterization of the factors required for ENaC degradation will yield additional insights into the varied pathways by which ERAD substrates are targeted for degradation. In addition, it will be interesting to determine if other ERAD substrates are targeted for degradation in an Hsp40-dependent but Hsp70-independent manner, and to define what features distinguish this apparently rare class of secreted proteins. Finally, given links between ENaC function and a number of human maladies, these future efforts might uncover previously ill-defined, new therapeutic targets.
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