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We investigate the dynamics of a single, overdamped colloidal particle, which is driven by a constant force through a one-dimensional periodic potential. We focus on systems with large barrier heights where the lowest-order cumulants of the density field, that is, average position and the mean-squared displacement, show nontrivial (non-diffusive) short-time behavior characterized by the appearance of plateaus. We demonstrate that this “cage-like” dynamics can be well described by a discretized master equation model involving two states (related to two positions) within each potential valley. Non-trivial predictions of our approach include analytic expressions for the plateau heights and an estimate of the “de-caging time” obtained from the study of deviations from Gaussian behaviour. The simplicity of our approach means that it offers a minimal model to describe the short-time behavior of systems with hindered dynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The transport of particles in modulated potentials plays a fundamental role in diverse fields such as colloidal particles on topologically or energetically structured surfaces [1, 2], particles in optical lattices [3] and optical line traps [4], biased Josephson junctions [5], and in biophysical processes [6, 7]. In many of these cases, the dynamics can be described as overdamped (i.e., non-inertial) Brownian motion in one spatial direction. A generic model in this context is a particle in a periodic “washboard” (e.g., sinusoidal) potential, which is tilted by the constant biasing force, see Fig. 1(a). The resulting particle current in the long-time limit was calculated analytically more than 50 years ago by Stratonovich [8, 9]. More recently, Reimann et al. [10] derived an analytical solution for the corresponding diffusion coefficient related to the long-time limit of the mean-squared displacement, $\langle \Delta x^2(t) \rangle$. This diffusion coefficient in the modulated potential can become much larger than that in a free system, contrary to the intuitive idea that confinement slows down diffusion. This “giant diffusion” effect has also been found in experiments [11, 12]. Taken together, one can state that the long-time properties of particles in periodic forces are nowadays well understood.

Much less is known on the short-time dynamics of driven (or undriven) overdamped particles in periodic forces [14]. However, it is precisely this regime which has recently attracted significant theoretical and experimental interest (see, e.g. [2, 13–17]). One reason for this interest is the similarity of the observed short-time dynamics with that of systems approaching a glass transition [17] or, more generally, a state characterized by complex energy landscapes yielding trapping. A key quantity in this context is the mean-squared displacement as function of time. For (non-interacting and interacting) colloids in modulated potentials, this quantity has already been investigated by computer simulations of the corresponding Langevin equations [17], numerical solutions of the Fokker-Planck (Smoluchowski) equation [22], as well as in experiments [2]. Provided that the barrier height is sufficiently large, $\langle \Delta x^2(t) \rangle$ typically grows linearly with time initially, reflecting diffusive motion within one valley. At intermediate time a plateau appears signaling that the particles are trapped (sub-diffusive behavior). Only at long times, do the particles eventually overcome the barrier, and $\langle \Delta x^2(t) \rangle$ approaches linear time-dependence (these three dynamic regimes can be seen in Fig. 3). These dynamic features of a single particle in a modulated potential resemble the single-particle dynamics of various many-particle systems in kinetically trapped or glassy states [23, 24], examples being dipolar particles trapped in chains [25], colloidal...
gels [26], and (binary) Lennard-Jones–glasses [28]. Indeed, from the perspective of glasses (or gels), the modulated one-particle potential is thought to arise from interaction effects, that is, the formation of cages formed by the neighboring particles [17]. Hopping over a barrier then corresponds to the escape from the cage.

Contrary to the long-time properties discussed above there is, so far, no simple analytical approach towards the transport properties in the short-time regime. Attempts have been made to derive, on an approximate level, explicit formulae predicting the height of the plateau, as well as the crossover time from the sub-diffusive into the diffusive regime [2 17]. This yields good estimates, but is clearly far away from detailed, quantitative predictions. To overcome this gap, we propose in the present paper a discretized model, which we treat by the master equation formalism. Clearly, the investigation of diffusion problems via discretized models and master equations has a long tradition [27]. In particular, it is well known that conventional master equation approaches without memory effects yield a linear time dependence of all cumulants, i.e., normal diffusive behavior. More complex dynamics, also resembling that of glassy systems, is displayed by models based on continuous time random walks [19, 20, 28–30] and fractional Brownian motion [21, 31].

Here we introduce a discrete model in which the particle can adopt one of two positions (“states”) within each valley. Transitions both between these states and between wells are described by rates. Using techniques similar to those used in e.g. the theory of full counting statistics in quantum transport [22, 24], we calculate the cumulant generating function for the particles position within this rate equation approach. The treatment is simple, requiring just manipulation of two-by-two matrices, and analytic results can be obtained. In parallel, we calculate the density distribution and the cumulants of the particles position, which we denote \( \langle x^n \rangle \) for the \( n \)th cumulant. In particular, we obtain analytic expressions for the plateau heights, as well as estimates of the “de-caging” or “cross-over” time from the study of the non-Gaussian parameter, the kurtosis.

The paper is structured as follows. In section II we describe the full Smoluchowski equation with a periodic potential and in section III we present our rate equation model. The three dynamical regimes are investigated in section IV and in section V we describe the microscopic evaluation of the model parameters. Section VI compares the results between model and numerically exact results. Finally, in section VII we conclude.

II. THE SMOLUCHOWSKI EQUATION WITH A PERIODIC POTENTIAL

We are interested in the diffusion of a particle subjected to a periodic force in 1D. For our purposes the full description of the system is given by the probability distribution function \( \rho(x, t) \), which we take to be governed by the Smoluchowski (over-damped Fokker-Planck) equation:

\[
\dot{\rho}(x, t) = \mathcal{L} \rho(x, t),
\]

with the differential operator

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{D_0}{kT} \left[ kT \partial_x^2 + u''(x) + u'(x) \partial_x \right]
\]

where \( kT \) is the thermal energy, \( D_0 \) the so-called short-time diffusion coefficient, and \( u(x) \) the modulated potential.

In this work we shall consider as example a tilted washboard potential (our approach is general, however). The potential reads

\[
u(x) = u_0 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \right) - F x,
\]

with \( a \) the period of the potential, and parameters \( u_0 \) and \( F \) describe the depth and tilt of the potential respectively. A section of this potential is plotted in Fig. I.

We assume that the form of the potential is such that it may be meaningfully divided up into a number of wells, defined between subsequent maxima, and labelled \( n = \ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). This occurs when the difference between the potential maxima and minima, \( \Delta u \), is large compared with the thermal energy of the particles: \( \Delta u \gg k_B T \).

The main quantities of interest here are the cumulants of the particles position, which we denote \( \langle x^n \rangle \) for the \( n \)th cumulant. Of particular interest is the second cumulant or variance \( \langle x^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta x^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2 \). We will also consider the kurtosis

\[
\kappa(t) = \frac{\langle x^4(t) \rangle}{\langle x^2(t) \rangle^2}
\]

as a measure of the deviations from a Gaussian distribution. We note that the kurtosis is trivially related to the \( \alpha \)-parameter often studied in the context of glass formation (see, e.g. Ref. [17]): \( \alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa(t) \).

III. TWO-STATE-PER-WELL MODEL

Our aim is to model the SE for the probability density defined as a function of continuous variable \( x \) with a simpler model involving probabilities for finding the particle in one of a set of discrete states, defined by regions of the \( x \) axis. The most obvious approach of this type would be to associate one state with each well of the potential and write down a rate-equation for the probability \( p^{(n)}(t) \) of finding the particle in well \( n \) at time \( t \). This one-state-per-well approach is discussed in Appendix A and it is clear that all position cumulants are linear in time for all time. Such a simple rate equation is therefore inadequate to describe the short-time dynamics of interest here.
The model that we shall focus on is the next most complicated model in this family, and possesses two states per well (2S/W), which correspond to whether the particle is to be found in the left or right side of the well, see Fig. 1b. In this model, the location of the particle is described by two discrete indices: the integer well index, \(n\), and the internal index \(\alpha = L, R\) and \(p_\alpha^{(n)}\) is the corresponding probability. For calculating the position cumulants, we take the particles to be localised at positions \(x = na + x_{L/R}\), where \(x_L\) and \(x_R\) are the offsets of the left and right states respectively.

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \begin{array}{c} p_L^{(n)} \\ p_R^{(n)} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\gamma^+ - \Gamma^- & -\gamma^- \\ \gamma^+ & -\gamma^- - \Gamma^+ \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} p_L^{(n)} \\ p_R^{(n)} \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \Gamma^+ \\ \Gamma^- & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} p_L^{(n-1)} \\ p_R^{(n-1)} \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma^- \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} p_L^{(n+1)} \\ p_R^{(n+1)} \end{array} \right) .
\]

IV. LIMITS

Calculating the CGF just involves manipulation of two-by-two matrices and various limiting cases can easily be extracted. The three distinct phases of time evolution can be looked at in turn.

A. Short times: linear growth

Let us assume that transitions between these states can be described by rates and define rates \(\gamma^+\) and \(\gamma^-\) to describe hopping within a single well, and rates \(\Gamma^+\) and \(\Gamma^-\) to describe hopping between the wells. In both cases, superscript + indicates movement to the right and −, movement to the left. The rates are homogeneous due to the periodicity of the force and, since we assume that the barriers are high \((\Delta u \gg kT)\), the intra-well rates \(\gamma^\pm\) are significantly larger than the inter-well rates \(\Gamma^\pm\). The system can then be described by the rate equation system
B. Intermediate times: plateaus

Going beyond first order in time, Eq. (10) predicts a first cumulant, for example, which reads

\[ \langle x(t) \rangle_c = \frac{\gamma^+ x_L + \gamma^- x_R}{\gamma^+ + \gamma^-} + \frac{\gamma^+ p_L(0) - \gamma^- p_R(0)}{\gamma^+ + \gamma^-} (x_L - x_R) e^{-\gamma t}. \] (16)

The first cumulant therefore simply relaxes exponentially with rate \( \gamma = \gamma^+ + \gamma^- \) to an asymptotic value within the initial well. The other cumulants behave similarly, with the expression for the \( k \)th cumulant being composed of a sum of terms with time-dependences \( e^{-m\gamma t} \) where index \( m \) is an integer with maximum value \( k \). Asymptotically then, the cumulants within a single well reach values which correspond to the plateau values. For the first four cumulants, the plateau values read

\[ \langle x \rangle_{c, \text{plat}} = \frac{\gamma^+ x_L + \gamma^- x_R}{\gamma^+ + \gamma^-}, \]
\[ \langle x^2 \rangle_{c, \text{plat}} = \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^- (x_L - x_R)^2}{(\gamma^+ + \gamma^-)^2}, \] (17)
\[ \langle x^3 \rangle_{c, \text{plat}} = \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^- (\gamma^+ - \gamma^-) (x_L - x_R)^3}{(\gamma^+ + \gamma^-)^3}, \]
\[ \langle x^4 \rangle_{c, \text{plat}} = \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^- (\gamma^2 - 4 \gamma^+ \gamma^- + \gamma^2) (x_L - x_R)^4}{(\gamma^+ + \gamma^-)^4}. \]

These are the “caged values”. On time-scales larger than \( (\Gamma^\pm)^{-1} \), the particle begin to leave the first well and the values move away from the above.

C. Long time-limit

In the asymptotic \( t \to \infty \) limit, the complete CGF of Eq. (16) becomes

\[ F^x_\infty (\chi; t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} F^x_\infty (\chi; t) = \lambda_0 (\chi) t \] (18)

where \( \lambda_0 (\chi) \) is that eigenvalue of \( W (\chi) \) which behaves as \( \lim_{\chi \to 0} \lambda_0 (\chi) = 0 \):

\[ \lambda_0 (\chi) = -\frac{\Gamma t}{2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{\Gamma^2} \sum_{\pm} \gamma^\pm \Gamma^\pm (e^{\mp i \chi} - 1) \right], \] (19)

with \( \Gamma = \gamma^+ + \Gamma^+ + \gamma^- + \Gamma^- \). From this expression it is clear that, in the long-time limit, intra-well distances are irrelevant implying that \( \langle x^k \rangle_c \approx a^k (n^k)_c \). The reason is that, in this limit, the extension of the probability distribution function is much larger than a single well. Moreover, combining Eq. (12) and Eq. (13), one finds that the cumulants are then simply linear in time, as they should be. The first two read

\[ \langle x(t) \rangle_{c, \text{asym}} = \frac{\gamma^+ \Gamma^+ - \gamma^- \Gamma^-}{\Gamma} t; \]
\[ \langle x^2(t) \rangle_{c, \text{asym}} = \frac{\Gamma^2 (\gamma^+ \Gamma^+ + \gamma^- \Gamma^-) - 2 (\gamma^+ \Gamma^+ - \gamma^- \Gamma^-)^2}{\Gamma^3} t. \] (21)

The latter gives directly the long-time diffusion coefficient

\[ D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle x^2(t) \rangle_c = \frac{1}{2} \langle x^2(t) \rangle_{c, \text{asym}}. \] (22)

V. RATES

The 2S/W model has six parameters: the four rates, \( \gamma^\pm \) and \( \Gamma^\pm \); and the two positions \( x_{L/R} \). The inter-well rates we choose as twice the Kramer’s rates

\[ \Gamma^\pm = 2 r_{K^\pm}, \] (23)

where \( r_{K^\pm} \) are the Kramer’s rates for passage out of the well to the right (+) and left (−). Explicit forms are given in Eq. (A7). The factor two arises because, if the particle is localised in the right half of the well, the average time it will take to hit the right edge will be half that as if the particle was distributed over the whole well. The remaining parameters we fix by comparing the initial behaviour with that of the SE, Eq. (11).

If we start the SE equation with the particle localised at a point \( x_d \) and let the system evolve for a short time, then, to linear order in time \( t \), the mean position of the particle evolves as a deterministic particle subject to a constant force \( f(x_d) = -u'(x_d) \). In contrast, the second cumulant evolves as if the particle experiences free diffusion. Evolution from \( x_{L/R} \) therefore gives the first two cumulants

\[ \langle x \rangle \sim x_{L/R} + f(x_{L/R}) \frac{\gamma^+}{\gamma^+ + \gamma^-} t; \quad \langle x^2 \rangle_c \sim 2 D_0 t. \] (24)

Equating these results with Eq. (11) and rearranging gives

\[ \gamma^+ = \gamma^- = \frac{1}{2} \gamma = \frac{2 D_0}{(x_R - x_L)^2} \]
\[ (x_R - x_L) f(x_L) = 2 kT \]
\[ (x_R - x_L) f(x_R) = -2 kT. \] (25)

Equations (26) have to be solved numerically for \( x_L \) and \( x_R \), which then give the rates \( \gamma^\pm \).

With these choices, since \( \gamma^+ = \gamma^- \), we obtain for the plateau values of Eq. (17) the values

\[ \langle x \rangle_{c, \text{plat}} = \frac{1}{2} (x_L + x_R); \quad \langle x^2 \rangle_{c, \text{plat}} = \frac{1}{4} (x_L - x_R)^2; \]
\[ \langle x^3 \rangle_{c, \text{plat}} = 0; \quad \langle x^4 \rangle_{c, \text{plat}} = \frac{1}{8} (x_L - x_R)^4. \] (26)
Furthermore, in the limit $\Gamma^x/\gamma \gg 1$, the asymptotic cumulants read:

$$\langle x^k(t) \rangle_{c}^{\text{asymp}} \approx \frac{a^k}{2} \left[ \Gamma^+ + (-1)^k \Gamma^- \right] t,$$

which are identical with those of the one-state-per-well model (see Appendix A).

**VI. RESULTS**

Before discussing the short-time behaviour of the system, we begin by demonstrating that our 2S/W model recovers the known long-time behaviour. In Fig. 2 we compare the diffusion coefficient $D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \langle x^2(t) \rangle_c$ of Eq. (22) with the exact result of Ref. [10]. The results of the 2S/W model agree well with the exact ones in the regime of deep valleys, i.e. $u_0 \ll kT$ and $F \ll F_c$, with $F_c$ the force for which potential valleys disappear.
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**FIG. 2.** Comparison of diffusion coefficient $D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \langle x^2(t) \rangle_c$ of Eq. (22) with the exact result of Ref. [10]. The results of the 2S/W model agree well with the exact ones in the regime of deep valleys, i.e. $u_0 \ll kT$ and $F \ll F_c$, with $F_c$ the force for which potential valleys disappear.

At longer times, the particle breaks out of the confinement of its initial well, and starts diffusing through the potential. The second cumulant then starts increasing linearly again, this time with the diffusion constant of the full solution and one given by the approximate value of Eq. (26). The coincidence of these results is a good indication that the mean-square displacement undergoes a genuine plateau, rather than something more like an inflexion point, as is the case for e.g. $u_0/kT = 5$ in Fig. 3.
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**FIG. 3.** The mean squared displacement $\langle x^2(t) \rangle_c$ for different amplitudes $u_0$ with no applied force ($F = 0$). The lines show the result from the 2S/W model, and the symbols those from numerical integration of the SE. For the parameters shown here, the 2S/W model reproduces the essential features of the short-time dynamics. The agreement with the numerically exact results increases with increasing well-depth (increasing $u_0$).

At longer times, the particle breaks out of the confinement of its initial well, and starts diffusing through the potential. The second cumulant then starts increasing linearly again, this time with the diffusion constant of the full solution and one given by the approximate value of Eq. (26). The coincidence of these results is a good indication that the mean-square displacement undergoes a genuine plateau, rather than something more like an inflexion point, as is the case for e.g. $u_0/kT = 5$. Not shown here, but the first cumulant $\langle x \rangle_c$ shows similar plateau behaviour, the height and duration of which is also well reproduced by the 2S/W model.

At longer times, the particle breaks out of the confinement of its initial well, and starts diffusing through the potential. The second cumulant then starts increasing linearly again, this time with the diffusion constant of the full solution and one given by the approximate value of Eq. (26). The coincidence of these results is a good indication that the mean-square displacement undergoes a genuine plateau, rather than something more like an inflexion point, as is the case for e.g. $u_0/kT = 5$. Not shown here, but the first cumulant $\langle x \rangle_c$ shows similar plateau behaviour, the height and duration of which is also well reproduced by the 2S/W model.

Put into the model; rather, it emerges as a prediction of it. Fig. 4 shows the height of the plateau as a function of the applied force $F$. The 2S/W approach works better the more pronounced the potential barriers, but even for $u_0 = 5kT$, the 2S/W theory and numerics agree quite well. Fig. 4 shows two different results for the plateau height extracted from the 2S/W model; one based on the full solution and one given by the approximate value of Eq. (26). The coincidence of these results is a good indication that the mean-square displacement undergoes a genuine plateau, rather than something more like an inflexion point, as is the case for e.g. $u_0/kT = 5$. Not shown here, but the first cumulant $\langle x \rangle_c$ shows similar plateau behaviour, the height and duration of which is also well reproduced by the 2S/W model.
VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have investigated the short-time dynamics of a particle driven through a modulated potential using a Markovian master equation approach based on a discretization of the spatial axis into two states per well. The remaining input into the theory are the transition rates, which we have chosen by using Kramer’s rates (inter-well transitions) and by comparison with the true short-time dynamics from the continuous (SE) approach (intra-well transitions).

A major advantage of our discretized two-state model is that relevant transport quantities, such as the mean-squared displacement, can be calculated analytically. In particular, we have derived explicit expressions for the heights of the plateaus in the mean-squared displacement at intermediate times, i.e., within the subdiffusive regime, and for the kurtosis, which signals the crossover to the diffusive long-time regime. The results from the two-state model are in excellent agreement with those obtained from numerical solution of the SE with and without external drive, provided that $\Delta u/kT \gg 1$ and $F \lesssim F_c$. This latter requirement is consistent with the expectation that (only) in this limit, the valleys in our continuous model can be approximated by almost-isolated wells. In the same range of parameters, the diffusion coefficient $D$ (derived from the second cumulant), is found to be fully consistent with previous analytical results of Reimann et al.\textsuperscript{10}. It is precisely the high-barrier case, which is relevant in many experimental realizations of colloids in modulated potentials (see e.g.\textsuperscript{2}), as well as in the more general context of modeling systems exhibiting cage effects (such as undercooled liquids\textsuperscript{30} and dense colloidal suspensions\textsuperscript{17}). For such systems our “minimal” model for short-time dynamics could be particularly useful.

We close this paper with some remarks on possible extensions of our approach and its relation to other models. To start with, we have found that discrepancies between the master equation and the SE approach arise as $\Delta u/kT$ decreases. In this case, quantitative agreement can be improved by modifying the manner in which the rates are calculated. Firstly, expanding the full generating function to linear order in time yields

$$\langle x(t) \rangle \sim x_{L/R} + \gamma^{\pm}(x_{R} - x_{L})t \pm \Gamma^{\mp}(a + x_{L} - x_{R})t$$

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle_c \sim \gamma^{\pm}(x_{R} - x_{L})^2t + \Gamma^{\mp}(a + x_{L} - x_{R})^2t.$$\hspace{1cm}(28)

These values can be equated to Eq. (24) to match better with the SE. Secondly, the inter-well rates, Eq. (23), can be improved by using higher-order corrections to Kramer’s rate\textsuperscript{4}. These latter corrections are particularly important when the periodic contribution to the potential becomes steeper than the sinusoid considered here.

A further point concerns the performance of our master equation approach for the prediction of higher-order cumulants. Whereas the complete behaviour of the first two cumulants is well reproduced by our 2S/W model, the agreement for the higher-order cumulants is not as good, and although the broad features of these $k > 2$ are reproduced, some fine details at very short times are not captured. To improve these higher cumulants it seems to be promising to augment the model with more states per
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Appendix A: One-state-per-well model

The simplest approach is to split the potential into a number of wells (labelled \(n = \ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\)) defined between subsequent maxima, and write down a rate equation for the probability \(p^{(n)}(t)\) of finding the particle in well \(n\) at time \(t\). The position of the particles is given as \(x = na\). The rate equation looks like

\[
p^{(n)} = -(W^+ + W^-)p^{(n)} + W^+p^{(n-1)} + W^-p^{(n+1)} \quad (A1)
\]

where \(W^\pm\) are rates. We define the MGF and CGF via

\[
e^{xF(x,t)} = \mathcal{G}(x; t) = \sum_n e^{inx}p^{(n)}, \quad (A2)
\]

such that the \(k\)th derivative

\[
\frac{\partial^k}{\partial(x)^k} \mathcal{G}(x; t)|_{x=0} = \langle n^k(t) \rangle_e \quad (A3)
\]

is the \(k\)th cumulant of the well number. The corresponding position cumulants are simply: \(\langle x^k(t) \rangle_e = a^k \langle n^k(t) \rangle_e\). Fourier Transform of Eq. (A1) gives

\[
\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\chi; t) = W(\chi)\mathcal{G}(\chi; t) \quad (A4)
\]

Differentiating, we obtain the cumulants

\[
\langle x^k(t) \rangle_e = a^k [W^+ + (-1)^kW^-] t. \quad (A6)
\]

The cumulants of this model are therefore linear in time for all time, and there can be no caging effects in this one-state-per-well model. All odd cumulants are equal. All even cumulants are equal.

Good agreement with the asymptotic solutions \(10\) is obtained in the regime \(\Delta u/kT \gg 1\) with the rates chosen according to Kramers rule, \(W^\pm = r_{K,\pm}^\pm\) with \(2, 36\)

\[
r_{K,\pm}^+ = \frac{D_0}{2\pi kT} \sqrt{|u''(x_{\min})||u''(x_c)|} e^{-(u(x_c) - u(x_{\min}))/kT},
\]

\[
r_{K,\pm}^- = \frac{D_0}{2\pi kT} \sqrt{|u''(x_{\min})||u''(x_a)|} e^{-(u(x_a) - u(x_{\min}))/kT}\]

where \(x_{\min}, x_a, x_c\) are the positions of a potential minimum and the maxima to its left and right, respectively.

Appendix B: CGF

We demonstrate here that Eq. \(10\) gives the position cumulants as stated in Eq. \(12\). Consider the moment generating function corresponding to Eq. \(10\):

\[
\mathcal{G}(\chi; t) = e^{xF(x,t)} = \langle \tilde{\phi}_0 | e^{i\chi \Delta} e^{W(\chi)t} | \rho(0) \rangle. \quad (B1)
\]

Taking the \(k\)th-derivative, we find

\[
a^k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial(x)^k} \mathcal{G}(\chi; t) \bigg|_{x=0} = a^k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial(x)^k} \langle \tilde{\phi}_0 | e^{i\chi \Delta} | \rho(\chi; t) \rangle \bigg|_{\chi=0} = a^k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial(x)^k} \sum_n \langle \tilde{\phi}_0 | \sum_n e^{i\chi(\Delta+n)} | \rho(n)(t) \rangle \bigg|_{\chi=0}
\]

\[
= \sum_n \langle \tilde{\phi}_0 | (\Delta+n)^k \rho(n)(t) \rangle = \sum_n (na + x_L)^k p^{(n)}_L + (na + x_R)^k p^{(n)}_R = \langle x^k \rangle_n. \quad (B2)
\]
which is the $k$th moment of the spatial distribution. The standard relation between MGF and CGF completes the proof.

[1] P. Tierno, P. Reimann, T. H. Johansen, and F. Sagues, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 230602 (2010).
[2] C. Dalle Ferrier, M. Krüger, R. D. L. Hanes, S. Walta, M. C. Jenkins, and S. U. Egelhaaf, Soft Matter 7, 2064 (2011).
[3] M. Siler and P. Zemanek, New. J. Phys. 12, 083001 (2010).
[4] B. J. Lopez, N. J. Kuwada, E. M. Craig, B. R. Long, and P. Reimann, C. Van den Broeck, H. Linke, P. Hänggi, and F. Sagues, Phys. Rev. E 87, 010602 (2013).
[5] P. Hänggi and F. Marchesoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 387 (2009).
[6] A. Ros, R. Eichhorn, J. Regtmeier, T. T. Duong, P. Reimann, and D. Anselmetti, Nature 436, 928 (2005).
[7] P. Hänggi and F. Marchesoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 387 (2009).
[8] R. L. Stratonovich, Radiotekh. Elektron. 3, 497 (1958).
[9] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck Equation (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
[10] P. Reimann, C. Van den Broeck, H. Linke, P. Hänggi, J. M. Rubi, and A. Perez-Madrid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 010602 (2001).
[11] S.-H. Lee and D. G. Grier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 190601 (2006).
[12] M. Evstigneev, O. Zvyagolskaya, S. Bleil, R. Eichhorn, C. Bechinger, and P. Reimann, Phys. Rev. E 77, 041107 (2008).
[13] W. Mu, Z. Liu, L. Luan, G. Wang, G. C. Spalding and J. B. Ketterson, New. J. Phys. 11, 103017 (2009).
[14] K. Lindenberg, J. M. Sancho, A. M. Lacasta, and M. A. J. Michels, Phys. Rev. E 75, 011104 (2005).
[15] R. D. L. Hanes, C. Dalle-Ferrier, M. Schmiedeberg, M. C. Jenkins, and S. U. Egelhaaf, Soft Matter 8, 2714 (2012).
[16] B. Wang, J. Kuo, S. Chul Bae, S. Granick, Nat. Mat. 11, 481 (2012).
[17] B. Vorselaars, A. V. Lyulin, K. Karatasos, and M. A. J. Michels, Phys. Rev. E 75, 011104 (2007).
[18] K. S. Schweizer, J. Chem. Phys. 123, 244501 (2005).
[19] B. Doliwa and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. E 67, 030501(R) (2003).
[20] T. Odagaki and Y. Hiwatari, Phys. Rev. A 41, 929 (1990).
[21] I. Goychuk and P. Hänggi, Subdiffusive dynamics in washboard potentials: two different approaches and different universality classes, in: J. Klafter, S. C. Lim, R. Metzler (Eds.), Fractional Dynamics: Recent Advances (World Scientific, Singapore, 2011), Ch. 13, pp. 307.
[22] K. Lichtner and S. H. L. Klapp, Europhys. Lett. 92, 40007 (2010).
[23] P. Chaudhuri, L. Berthier, and W. Kob, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 060604 (2007).
[24] P. Chaudhuri, L. Berthier, and W. Kob, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 060604 (2007).
[25] J. Jordanovic, S. Jäger, and S. H. L. Klapp, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 038301 (2011); H. Schmidle, C. K. Hall, O. D. Velez, and S. H. L. Klapp, Soft Matter 8, 1521 (2012).
[26] E. Del Gado and W. Kob, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 028303 (2007).
[27] J. W. Haus and K. W. Kehr, Phys. Rep. 150, 263 (1987).
[28] A. Heuer, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 373101 (2008).
[29] E. W. Montroll and G. H. Weiss, J. Math. Phys. 6, 167 (1965).
[30] P. Chaudhuri, Y. Gao, L. Berthier, M. Kilfoil, and W. Kob, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 244126 (2008).
[31] I. M. Sokolov and J. Klafter, Chaos 15, 063017 (2005).
[32] D. A. Bagrets and Yu. V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. B 67, 085316 (2003).
[33] C. Flindt, T. Novotný, and A.-P. Jauho, Phys. Rev. B 70, 205334 (2004); C. Flindt, T. Novotný, and A.-P. Jauho, Physica E 29, 411 (2005). A.-P. Jauho, C. Flindt, T. Novotný and A. Donarini, Physics of Fluids 17, 100613 (2005). C. Flindt, T. Novotný, A. Braggio, M. Sassetti, and A.-P. Jauho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 150601 (2008).
[34] C. Emary, C. Pöttl, and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. B 80, 235321 (2009); D. Marcos, C. Emary, T. Brandes, and R. Aguado, New. J. Phys. 12, 123009 (2010).
[35] A. Rahman, Phys. Rev. 136, A405 (1964).
[36] P. Hänggi, and P. Talkner, and M. Borkovec, Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 251 (1990).
[37] J. W. Haus and K. W. Kehr, Phys. Rep. 150, 263 (1987).
[38] D. Bedeaux, K. Lakatos-Lindenberg, and K. E. Shuler, J. Math. Phys. 12, 2116 (1971).
[39] V. M. Krene, E. W. Montroll, and M. F. Shlesinger, J. Stat. Phys. 9, 45 (1973).
[40] This can be seen by considering e. g. the scalar product $\langle \phi_0 | \rho(x = 0; t) \rangle = (1, 1)^T \cdot \left( \sum_n p_L^{(n)}, \sum_n p_R^{(n)} \right) = \sum_n \left( p_L^{(n)} + p_R^{(n)} \right) = 1$. 
