Mechanistic Insights into Ni(II)-Catalyzed Nonalternating Ethylene–Carbon Monoxide Copolymerization
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ABSTRACT: Polyethylene materials with in-chain-incorporated keto groups were recently enabled by nonalternating copolymerization of ethylene with carbon monoxide in the presence of Ni(II) phosphinephenolate catalysts. We elucidate the mechanism of this long-sought-for reaction by a combined theoretical DFT study of catalytically active species and the experimental study of polymer microstructures formed in pressure-reactor copolymerizations with different catalysts. The pathway leading to the desired nonalternating incorporation proceeds via the cis/trans isomerization of an alkyl-olefin intermediate as the rate-determining step. The formation of alternating motifs is determined by the barrier for the opening of the six-membered C, O-chelate by ethylene binding as the decisive step. An η2-coordination of a P-bound aromatic moiety axially oriented to the metal center is a crucial feature of these Ni(II) catalysts, which also modulates the competition between the two pathways. The conformational constraints imposed in a 2′,6′-dimethoxybiphenyl moiety overall result in a desirable combination of disfavoring ethylene coordination along the alternating incorporation pathway, which is primarily governed by electronics, while not overly penalizing the nonalternating chain growth, which is primarily governed by steric.

INTRODUCTION

An access to polyethylenes with in-chain keto units by incorporation of small amounts of carbon monoxide during ethylene polymerization has been long sought after. Among others, small amounts of such keto units can impart the material with desirable photodegradability to reduce the problematic environmental persistency of mismanaged polyethylene waste.1 Due to the strong relative binding and low insertion barriers of carbon monoxide relative to ethylene, catalytic copolymerizations usually afford strictly alternating copolymers.2 These are high melting materials with entirely different properties and application profiles than polyethylene. Note that copolymerizations of other functionalized vinyl monomers with CO are also found to occur by an alternating mechanism.3,4

The only known catalyst systems capable of introducing several consecutive ethylene units in addition to alternating motifs are neutral phosphinesulfonato Pd(II) complexes;5 these are recently complemented by cationic diphosphazane monoxide Pd(II) catalysts.6 However, even very high carbonyl contents resulting in material properties similar to alternating polyketones (Tm ~ 200 °C) are obtained,7 or low-molecular-weight wax-like materials (Mn ≤ 3.000 g/mol) are formed, which impedes any study of material properties.8–12

Note this picture was altered only most recently by Nozaki et al., who succeeded in generating higher-molecular-weight linear polyethylene with isolated in-chain keto units employing metal carbonyls as a source of carbon monoxide in combination with advanced phosphinesulfonato Pd(II) catalysts.13 Considering the possible alternative catalysts, neutral Ni(II) catalysts have long been known to be capable of ethylene chain growth reactions,14 and recent developments pioneered by Shimizu et al. afforded catalysts that generate high-molecular-weight materials.15–18 Concerning the reactivity of neutral Ni(II) catalysts toward carbon monoxide, previous studies suggest that the phosphineenolato Ni(II) complexes investigated are sensitive for irreversible deactivation and form alternating polyketones at the most.19 With this background, it is all the more notable that the long-sought nonalternating copolymerization is enabled by advanced phosphinephenolato Ni(II) complexes. Copolymerization of ethylene at only 5 atm overall pressure with low concentrations of carbon monoxide yields polyethylenes with isolated keto
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groups. Among others, due to their high molecular weights (up to $M_w$ 400,000 g/mol; $M_n$ 200,000 g/mol), these polymers are processable and have mechanical properties that are at par with those of commercial high-density polyethylene (HDPE).

At the same time, they are photodegradable. As an additional benefit, the catalysts are based on a non-noble earth-abundant metal.

These findings prompt the question of how nonalternating ethylene−CO chain growth is possible and occurs with these catalysts. We now report relevant pathways and barriers identified by extensive theoretical studies. These also provide the first insights into how the active sites’ catalytic properties are determined by their coordination environment in these reactions, which are correlated with the experimental observations of polymer microstructures formed in pressure-reactor copolymerizations.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Several different instructive state-of-the-art phosphinephenolate Ni(II) catalysts with different substitution patterns and a phosphinesulfonato Pd(II) reference system were explored (Chart 1).

**Experimental Microstructures.** To compare the polymer microstructures obtained with different catalysts, polymerization experiments with a short duration of 5 min and also otherwise identical conditions were performed (Table 1). For details of the procedure cf. the Supporting Information.

Due to the limited reaction times, polymer yields and consequently also the conversion of monomers are low such that the polymerizations are performed under near steady-state conditions. Conditions in terms of temperature (90 °C) and monomer concentrations are chosen to facilitate the differentiation of the catalysts according to the polymer microstructures expected. All catalysts, including complexes 3 and 3′, which have not been studied for CO copolymerization to date, yield copolymers comprising isolated keto groups, with the exception of 4. However, the amount of isolated vs. adjacent nonalternating keto groups vs alternating motifs varies distinctly. Translated to the incorporation events during chain growth (Table 1), these microstructures correspond to a large range of strong preferences for nonalternating CO incorporation vs. alternating incorporation and vice versa.

**Theoretical Studies.** The reaction pathways underlying the observed copolymerizations were explored by in-depth DFT studies. For all catalysts explored (Chart 1), the intermediate 1-cycle5-T (cf. Figures 1 and 2) with the alkyl chain in the trans position to the oxygen atom models the growing polymer chain formed after the migratory insertion of an ethylene unit into the metal−acyl bond. This stable five-membered chelate is set as zero point energy for the entire ethylene and CO copolymerization pathway, in line with previous calculations reported by Ziegler et al. in the competition between the alternating and nonalternating E/C0 copolymerization catalyzed by the reference Pd complex 1.

Here, we re-evaluate these mechanisms at a higher level of theory to use catalyst 1 as a reference for the nickel-based catalysts, taking into account a more complete reaction pathway...
Since 1-cycle5-T is the starting point of both pathways giving access to the formation of nonalternating keto-polyethylene and alternating polyketone segments, respectively, we performed an in-depth structural analysis of this species to determine the stability and the strength of the metal···O interaction depending on the nature of the metal as well as on the chelating ligand structure.

As expected, for the palladium complex 1, the Pd···O interaction is weaker compared to, e.g., nickel complex 2, as reflected by a longer Pd···O distance in 1-cycle5-T for 1 (see Figure 1) due to the greater electron density on the palladium center with respect to the nickel site.

It is worth noting that a η2 interaction of the aryl ring of the bis-phenyl moiety with the metal is observed for complex 2, and this feature impacts the catalytic behavior (vide infra).

Chain Growth Pathways. Starting from 1-cycle5-T, we calculated all intermediates and transition states (TSs) along the catalytic pathways involved in the formation of alternating (alt) and nonalternating (non-alt) polymer motifs for complex 2 and for the reference complex 1 (see Figure 2). The reported free energies were obtained through solvent single point energy calculations on the BP86\textsuperscript{24,25} optimized geometries using the M06 functional and the triple-ζ TZVP\textsuperscript{26–28} with the Gaussian09 package;\textsuperscript{29} for more details, see the Supporting Information.

Nonalternating Chain Growth (non-alt). This catalytic pathway is initiated by ethylene coordination to the alkyl–metal complex 1-cycle5-T. This coordination occurs via TS-1-Cooor-E-T by breaking the metal···O interaction and requires a free energy barrier of 7.3 and 12.8 kcal/mol for 1 and 2, respectively, see Figure 2. The greater electron density present on the metal center for 1 clearly facilitates this step. For both complexes, the resulting 1-Cooor-E-T intermediate with the alkyl chain trans to the oxygen is higher in energy relative to 1-cycle5-T + C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} considered at infinite distance, and this is more pronounced for 2 than for 1 (3.9 kcal/mol for 1 and 7.6 kcal/mol for 2). This is likely due to the lower electron density present at the nickel center in the neutral (P,O)Ni complex, which causes a weaker binding of the ethylene as a result of a reduced back-donation from the metal to the olefin.

From 1-Cooor-E-T, both complexes prefer to isomerize to the less-stable 1-Cooor-E-C intermediate and then insert ethylene. This pathway is preferred to direct ethylene insertion from 1-Cooor-E-T, which goes along with a significantly higher energy barrier (almost 10 kcal/mol higher with respect to the insertion from the isomer 1-Cooor-E-C; for details, see the Supporting Information). The isomerization step via the transition state TS\textsubscript{Isom} requires a significantly higher energy barrier for the nickel complex compared to the palladium complex (16.6 and 24.5 kcal/mol for 1 and 2, respectively) due to a much higher steric hindrance caused by the η2 coordination of the aryl ring to the metal in 2, as evidenced by the topographic steric maps (compare NE and NW quadrants for 1 and 2 in Figure 3).

Once 1-Cooor-E-C is formed, the monomer insertion occurs via TS-1\textsubscript{Ins-E-C} to yield the stable β-agostic complex, 2-β-T.
The overall free energy barrier from 1-cycle5-T to TS-1_{Ins-E-C} amounts to 20.2 and 20.8 kcal/mol for 1 and 2, respectively.

Notably, the monomer insertion barrier is similar for both complexes; however, for 1, it represents the rate-determining step of the nonalternating pathway, while for 2, it is lower than the cis/trans isomerization that becomes the rate-determining step for the nonalternating chain growth pathway due to steric impediments.

**Alternating Chain Growth (alt).** Starting from 1-cycle5-T, alternatively to ethylene coordination, CO coordination can take place, leading to the isoenergetic 1-Coor-CO-T intermediate. The overall energy barrier for this process is, also in this case, higher for the nickel complex (12.3 kcal/mol for 2 vs 9.0 kcal/mol for 1), in line with the greater strength of the Ni···O interaction with respect to the Pd···O one.

From 1-Coor-CO-T, CO insertion occurs via TS-1_{Ins-CO-T}, yielding the six-membered chelate species, 1-cycle6-C. The overall free energy barriers from 1-Coor-CO-T to TS-1_{Ins-CO-T} amount to 18.0 and 13.8 kcal/mol for 1 and 2, respectively. This difference is ascribed to a weaker σ-donation from the coordinated CO to a more electron-rich palladium center with respect to the nickel case, as confirmed by the increased metal−CO bond length in TS-1_{Ins-CO-T} for 1 with respect to 2, see Figure 4.

Notably, for 2, the resulting chelate 1-cycle6-C is unfavored by 8.1 kcal/mol, whereas for 1, it is unfavored only by 4.7 kcal/mol. This difference is related again to the greater electron density on the Pd center that stabilizes the metal−acyl bond in the trans position to the phosphorus atom to a greater extent than for 2.

From 1-cycle6-C, ethylene coordinates trans to the oxygen atom (2-Coor-E-C) through TS-2_{Coor-E-C}, that is about 7 kcal/mol higher in energy for 2 than for 1 (compare barriers of 12.3 and 19.4 for 1 and 2, respectively). This difference is related once again to the greater electron density present at the palladium center, which favors the opening of the chelate required for ethylene coordination.

For catalyst 2, the resulting 2-Coor-E-C intermediate is 12.2 kcal/mol less stable than the corresponding Pd intermediate (compare 7.8 kcal/mol for 2 and −4.4 kcal/mol for 1, respectively). This is likely due to both the higher steric hindrance of the phosphine-bound aryl substituents and the reduced electron density on the metal center for 2. From 2-Coor-E-C, the following ethylene insertion occurs via TS-2_{Ins-E-C} (at 8.5 and 8.6 kcal/mol for 1 and 2, respectively), yielding the stable five-membered chelate complex 2-cycle5-T at −8.6 and −10.7 kcal/mol for 2 and 1, respectively.

Notably, in the case of catalyst 1, the rate-determining step is the CO insertion with an energy barrier of 18.0 kcal/mol, whereas in the case of catalyst 2, the rate-determining step is ethylene coordination with an energy barrier of 19.4 kcal/mol.

**Alternating vs Nonalternating Chain Growth for 1 and 2.** The pathways elucidated above underline that the five-membered chelate complex 1-cycle5-T is the key intermediate of this copolymerization catalysis, opening the route to both the nonalternating (non-alt) and alternating chain growths (alt). The competition between these pathways determines the polymer microstructure.

Starting from 1-cycle5-T, for both catalysts considered, both pathways are feasible and competitive with one another. Comparing the rate-determining energy barriers along the two pathways, i.e., ΔΔG‡ (non-alt)−(alt) of 3.8 for 1 and 5.1 kcal/mol for 2, it emerges that overall complex 2 is similar to complex 1 in the sense of featuring a ΔΔG‡ (non-alt)−(alt) higher by only 1.3 kcal/mol.

This result agrees with the experimental finding of nonalternating keto groups and alternating motifs formed in pressure-reactor copolymerizations with these catalysts (Table 1). The slight preference for alternating incorporation found by theoretical methods is offset by the high ethylene/CO monomer ratio employed in the copolymerization experiments. The experimentally observed slightly higher portion of isolated keto units in the polymer with 1 compared to 2 agrees with the slightly lower ΔΔG‡ (non-alt)−(alt) determined theoretically for 1; for the detailed discussion on the comparison between the theoretical and the experimental ratio of non alternating propagation to alternating propagation segments, see SI.

The results outlined show the following: (I) Steric factors affect the nonalternating pathway. The η2 coordination of the aryl ring to the metal causes a greater steric hindrance for complex 2, increasing the energy of the transition state with higher steric demand, i.e., the cis/trans isomerization. (II) Electronic factors affect the alternating pathway. The lower electron density at the Ni center favors the CO migratory insertion into the metal−alkyl bond and reinforces the Ni···O interaction, increasing the energetic barrier of ethylene coordination, which consequently becomes the decisive step.

![Figure 3. Topographic steric maps of the transition state TS_{Ins} for catalysts 1 (top left) and 2 (top right). The complexes are oriented as shown below (bottom left and right).](image3)

![Figure 4. Geometry of TS-1_{Ins-CO-T} for catalysts 1 (left) and 2 (right). Distances are reported in Å.](image4)
Impact of the Structure of Chelating Phosphinephenolates on Catalysis. Phosphine Donor Substituents. To elucidate the impact of the electronic and steric nature of chelating phosphinephenolate on the two competing pathways, catalysts 3 and 4 (Chart 1) were investigated. Since the ΔΔG‡ (non-alt)−(alt) determined for 1 and 2 was found to correlate with the experimentally observed copolymer microstructures, we focused the calculations on the two crucial transition states.

Starting from 1-cycle5-T, by comparing the rate-determining energy barriers along the two pathways, it emerges that ΔΔG‡ (non-alt)−(alt) is 6.5 kcal/mol for 3 and 9.2 kcal/mol for 4, which are 1.4 and 4.1 kcal/mol higher for 3 and 4, respectively, compared to that for 2. This trend qualitatively agrees with the experimentally observed microstructures (Table 1).

For 3, the non-alt pathway suffers from the increased steric hindrance of the P-bound substituents, i.e., the additional aryl ring in the axial plane perpendicular to the coordination plane (compare SE quadrants in the steric maps of TS_{isomer} for 2 and 3 in Figure 5). Moreover, the absence of the OMe groups on the aryl moiety allows the ring to be in closer proximity to the Ni than in 2 (compare Ni−aryl distances in Figure 5). As a consequence, the energy barrier for the cis/trans isomerization increases. On the other hand, despite the shorter Ni−aryl ring distances for 3 than that for 2, the lack of the electron-donating groups on the aryl ring of the bis-phenyl moiety reduces the electron density transferred to the metal for 3 compared to that for 2. As result, for 3, the Ni−O interaction in the chelate is stronger, increasing the energy barrier for ethylene coordination, i.e., TS-2_{trans,E:C} (for the electronic analysis, see the Supporting Information). Overall, 3 favors the non-alt pathway to a lower extent with respect to 2.

Moving to 4, the aromatic ring on the naphthyl ligand interacts even more closely with Ni (see aryl−Ni distance in Figure 5), increasing both the steric hindrance around the catalytic center and the electron density on the metal due to the presence of the less encumbered and electron-donating methyl substituents on catalyst 4 compared to the larger methoxy groups on catalyst 2 (see electronic analysis in the Supporting Information). As a result, the Ni−O interaction in the chelate intermediate (i.e., 1-cycle5-T and 1-cycle6-C) weakens, lowering the ethylene coordination TS (TS-2_{trans,E:C}) to such an extent that the CO migratory insertion (TS-1_{trans,CO:T}) becomes the rate-determining step along the alt pathway. Moreover, for steric reasons (compare the Ni−C ipso aryl ring distances reported in Figure 5 for catalysts 2 and 4), the rate-determining cis/trans isomerization step along the non-alt pathway is even more penalized. Consequently, the ΔΔG‡ (non-alt)−(alt) is higher for 4 than that for 3 and 2, in line with the experimentally observed preference for alternating chain growth (Table 1).

Phenolate Substituents. As regards the impact of the substituent in the α-position on the phenolate moiety, for comparison to the pentafluorophenyl-substituted catalysts 2 and 3 their tert-butyl substituted analogues (2′ and 3′, Chart 1) were also explored.

From a steric point of view, 2′ and 3′ are similar to their C_{6}F_{5} analogues 2 and 3 as suggested by the topographic steric maps and have similar values of the buried volume (%V_{bur}) of the quadrants (see Figure 6 and the Supporting Information for 3 vs 3′). Moreover, from an electronic analysis (see Supporting Information), the nature of the substituent does not alter the charge on the metal dramatically.

Indeed, the calculated ΔΔG‡ (non-alt)−(alt) of 5.7 kcal/mol for 2′ and 6.4 kcal/mol for 3′ are similar to those of 5.1 and 6.5 kcal/mol for the respective C_{6}F_{5} analogues 2 and 3, respectively. This is well in agreement with the experimentally observed smaller effect of the phenolate substitution compared to the phosphine substituents on the polymer microstructures (Table 1).
The rate-determining step of the pathway leading to the nonalternating incorporation of the two monomers is the cis/trans isomerization of the alkyl-olefin-intermediate. The formation of alternating motifs, instead, is determined by the opening of the six-membered chelate by ethylene coordination. The nature of the rate-determining step of either pathway differs from that of a phosphinesulfonate Pd(II) complex, which is studied here as a reference at the same level of theory.

The pathways and barriers identified for nonalternating vs. alternating incorporation of ethylene and carbon monoxide agree qualitatively with experimentally observed microstructures from pressure-reactor copolymerizations with catalysts varying in the phosphinephenolates’ structure.

In the Ni(II) phosphinephenolate catalysts studied, the desired nonalternating incorporation is the result of a favorable combination of electronic and steric factors. (1) A moderate steric hindrance of the phosphine moiety on the ligand facilitates the nonalternating path. (2) A balanced electronic donation to the electron-poor Ni center is desirable to avoid the formation of a too stable five-membered chelate resting state that would reduce the catalytic activity, while an increase in the stability of the six-membered chelate disfavors the undesired alternating path.

The aromatic rings on the phosphine moieties may provide a suitable electronic contribution when apically coordinated to the metal center, and easily clear out the catalytic site by moving away from the metal in the transition states with higher steric demand, i.e., the cis/trans isomerization and the ethylene coordination steps. In fact, the P-bound 2′,6′-dimethoxybiphenyl moiety in catalysts 2 and 2′ increases the energy barrier for ethylene coordination but does not impede the linear growth of the polyethylene chain.

We anticipate that these insights will also promote the discovery and the design of novel catalysts for this unique polymerization reaction, which provides in-chain-functionalized polyethylenes with a desirable property profile including lower environmental persistence.

---
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