Effects of surface charges of gold nanoclusters on long-term in vivo biodistribution, toxicity, and cancer radiation therapy
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Abstract: Gold nanoclusters (Au NCs) have exhibited great advantages in medical diagnostics and therapies due to their efficient renal clearance and high tumor uptake. The in vivo effects of the surface chemistry of Au NCs are important for the development of both nanobiological interfaces and potential clinical contrast reagents, but these properties are yet to be fully investigated. In this study, we prepared glutathione-protected Au NCs of a similar hydrodynamic size but with three different surface charges: positive, negative, and neutral. Their in vivo biodistribution, excretion, and toxicity were investigated over a 90-day period, and tumor uptake and potential application to radiation therapy were also evaluated. The results showed that the surface charge greatly influenced pharmacokinetics, particularly renal excretion and accumulation in kidney, liver, spleen, and testis. Negatively charged Au NCs displayed lower excretion and increased tumor uptake, indicating a potential for NC-based therapeutics, whereas positively charged clusters caused transient side effects on the peripheral blood system.
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Introduction
Gold nanoclusters (Au NCs) are well established in medicine due to their excellent biological properties that include excretion in the form of small molecules and ultrahigh tumor uptake.\textsuperscript{1–3} Unlike traditional large size nanoparticles (NPs, >10 nm),\textsuperscript{4–6} typical Au NCs have a renal clearance cutoff of less than 5.5 nm\textsuperscript{7} to easily escape the reticuloendothelial system (RES) and accumulate in the tumor tissue.\textsuperscript{8,9} Therefore, they are widely applied in imaging, drug deliveries, and cancer radiation therapies.\textsuperscript{1–3,9–17} As a preclinical molecule, potential toxicities could hinder further medical applications. In contrast to small chemical molecules, nanosized particles with larger volumetric specific surface area are more reactive.\textsuperscript{18,19} The dimension, shape, surface charge, and coating can all contribute to the overall toxicity of NPs.\textsuperscript{20–23} To exploit realistic applications of Au NCs, all these factors should be taken into account and studied carefully to ensure the potential side effects on humans are minimized. Various in vitro studies were performed but with conflicting results. Connor et al reported that Au NPs are not inherently toxic to human K562 cells unless they are taken up,\textsuperscript{24} and Shukla et al suggested Au NPs did not possess any immunological or cellular toxicity.\textsuperscript{25} However, other studies indicated that Au NPs could lead to oxidative damage to lung fibroblasts as well as cytotoxicity and genotoxicity to small airway epithelial cells.\textsuperscript{26,27} The reasons for these conflicting results may be attributed to the different surface charges of Au NCs and different cell lines employed in the experiments, which increase uncertainties in relation to toxicities. Furthermore,
in vitro experiments are very helpful for high-throughput screening of a large number of nanomaterials, but the in vivo biological system is far more complicated and the Au NCs may interact with various proteins and cell types. Our previous work has shown that bovine serum albumin (BSA)- and glutathione (GSH)-protected Au NCs could cause inflammation and kidney damage in the short term, but the toxicity response would be eliminated after 28 days of treatment with 94% being excreted as GSH-Au NCs. Other reports also indicated good biocompatibility in vivo.28–31 However, the effects of surface charge in the physiological environment have not been studied. We therefore investigated biodistribution, excretion, and toxicity in this study and evaluated the biocompatibility of Au NCs with positive, negative, and neutral surface charges.

NPs have highly active surfaces and surface charge is a significant factor in determining their biological characteristics. Indeed, surface charge has a distinct impact on cell toxicity and can vary with cell type.32–34 Surface charge can affect adhesion to cell membranes as well as uptake efficiency.35 Positively charged NPs bind strongly to serum components in the blood via noncovalent interactions with proteins and electrostatic interactions with the cell surface.36–38 Furthermore, these positively charged NPs can be easily taken up by nonphagocytic cells and cause more disruption of the membrane integrity and lysosomal and mitochondrial damage than their negatively charged counterparts.39–42 Positively charged Au NPs, therefore, display high uptake in the liver. A better understanding of the effects of surface charge is therefore, important for both fundamental knowledge regarding potential nano–bio interfaces and medical applications.

To address these concerns of gold clusters, we investigated the long-term in vivo biological performances with different surface charges (positive, negative, and neutral). All three types had a surface coating of GSH and were therefore of similar size. Tumor uptake was also evaluated.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials and synthesis**

Orange-emitting Au NCs were synthesized using a previously reported method.22 Briefly, freshly prepared aqueous solutions of HAuCl₄ (20 mM, 0.50 mL) and GSH (100 mM, 0.15 mL) were mixed with 5 mL of ultrapure water at 25°C. The reaction mixture was heated to 70°C with stirring (500 rpm) for 24 hours. The resultant solution was light yellow under room light. Au NCs were purified using ultrafiltration (with a cutoff of 3 kDa molecular weight). For positively charged Au NCs, 1 mmol Au NCs was mixed with 3 mmol ethylenediamine and stirred at room temperature (RT) for 30 minutes, then 10 mmol 1-(3-dimethyl-amino propyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC/HCl) was added and incubated for 3 hours. Samples were purified using ultrafiltration devices (cutoff weight 3 kDa). For negatively charged Au NCs, 3 mmol ethanedioic acid and 30 mmol EDC/HCl were mixed and stirred for 30 minutes at RT, then 1 mmol Au NCs (synthesized as mentioned previously) was added and incubated for 3 hours at RT. Impurities were removed by ultrafiltration (3 kDa). Raw products and purified Au NCs were stable at 4°C for 6 months showing negligible change in their optical properties.

**Characterization**

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a JEOL JEM-2100F microscope operated at 200 kV (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Zeta potential and hydrodynamic diameter data were acquired by using a NanoZS Zeta-sizer particle analyzer (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). Sample solutions were prepared by diluting Au NCs to 0.01 mM with PBS solution (pH 7.4). Data were acquired in the phase analysis light scattering mode at 25°C.

UV–vis (ultraviolet–visible) absorption spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Inc., Kyoto, Japan). Photoluminescence spectra were recorded by a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F4600 Hitachi; Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The stability of differently charged Au NCs was evaluated by observing changes in fluorescence spectra over time. The Au NCs (3 mM, 0.5 mL) were diluted twofold in fetal bovine serum (FBS), and fluorescence spectra were measured at the time points of 0.5, 2, 6, 12, and 24 hours.

**Animal treatments and sample collection**

All animals were purchased, maintained, and handled under protocols approved by the guidelines set by the Institute of Radiation Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Science. The experiments also received ethical approval from Institute of Radiation Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Institutional Review Board. One hundred and sixty, 11-week-old male C57 mice were housed in standard 12-hour light/dark cycles with food and water given ad libitum. Mice were randomized into control and treatment groups of 1, 7, 30, 60, and 90 days (n=8). Au NCs and distilled water (control) were intraperitoneally injected at a dose of 5.9 mg/kg. After 1, 7, 30, 60, and 90 days of administration, animals were sacrificed using isoflurane anesthesia and angiocatheter exsanguination with PBS. Blood, liver, kidney, spleen, heart, lung, and testis were collected and weighed for further excretion, biodistribution, and pathological investigations.
Biodistribution
Tissue samples from mice treated with Au NCs (0.5 g) were digested in 6 mL nitric acid in a microwave (Mars 5; CEM, Kamp-Lintfort, Germany). Then the samples were condensed to 1–2 mL using an electric heater. The resultant solution was transferred to polyethylene terephthalate bottles and adjusted to 50 g using 2% nitric acid. The concentration of Au was determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (Agilent, 7500 CE; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

In vivo toxicity
C57 male mice were divided into 20 groups, including controls, Au, Au+, and Au− groups for 1, 7, 30, 60, and 90 days postinjection. Approximately, 0.2 mL of Au NCs (3 mM) was intraperitoneally administered, and all the mice were weighted every day and checked for behavioral changes. At different time points, mice were sacrificed, and blood samples of the major organs were subjected to toxicity analysis. A standard saphenous blood collection technique was used to draw 1 mL blood, and 20 μL was placed in a potassium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid collection tube for hematology analysis. Serum was separated by centrifuging the blood at 250 × g for 5 minutes to remove the cellular fraction for biochemistry analysis. Kidneys were preserved, fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, processed into paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for pathology analysis using a digital microscope.

In vivo radiotherapy
All animals were purchased, maintained, and handled under protocols approved by the Institute of Radiation Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Science. Tumor models (U14) were produced by the subcutaneous injection of 0.1 mL 2 × 10^6 cells/mL in PBS into the right armpit of female BALB/c mice. When tumor volumes reached 100–300 mm³, mice were randomly divided into four groups (control + radiation, neutral Au NCs + rad, positive Au NCs + rad, and negative Au NCs + rad). Solutions of Au NCs or distilled water (control) were intraperitoneally injected into mice and 30 minutes later they were exposed to 5 Gy gamma rays. 137Cs with an activity of 3,600 Ci and a photon energy of 662 keV was employed. Tumor size was measured every 2 days and calculated as (tumor length) × (tumor width)²/2.

Statistical analysis
Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, and independent Student’s t-test was used for statistical analysis.

Results and discussion
Synthesis and characterization of GSH-Au₃₃ NCs
Au NCs were synthesized and purified according to a pre-defined protocol. Either ethanediamine or ethanedioic acid was conjugated to GSH-Au₃₃ using EDC/HCl as a condensing reagent to generate a positively or negatively charged surface, respectively. A representative TEM image (Figure 1A) revealed that as-synthesized Au NCs (neutral) dispersed well in solution and were of a homogeneous dimension (<5 nm), and a uniform average size of 3 nm was confirmed by dynamic light scattering (Figure 1B). Negatively and positively charged Au NCs had an average size of 3.2 and 3.7 nm, respectively. Differences in the surface charge were analyzed by zeta potential (Figure 1C), and surface potentials of −0.1 mV, +6.3 mV, and −3.9 mV were obtained for neutral, positively, and negatively charged Au NCs, respectively. Optical properties were determined by measuring the fluorescence intensity at 610 nm (Figure 1D).

Blood plasma stability
Au NCs interact with various plasma proteins in the blood plasma. Adsorption of plasma proteins on the surface makes
NCs more easily susceptible to opsonization and prolongs the clearance via blood circulation. Stability in blood plasma is thus important for NCs to exert any potential therapeutic effects. Au NCs were diluted in FBS, and the photoluminescence intensity was measured at different time points within 24 hours. As shown in Figure 2, only a slight decrease in fluorescence intensity occurred within 12 hours, indicating interactions with proteins and formation of “protein corona”. However, the fluorescence intensity of all clusters was stabilized to some extent after 12 hours of incubation, and after

Figure 1 Physical properties of gold cluster.
Notes: (A) TEM image of neutral Au NCs (scale bar 10 nm). (B) Dynamic light scattering of Au NCs with different surface charges. The hydrodynamic diameter is 3, 3.2, and 3.7 nm for neutral, negative, and positive Au NCs, respectively. (C) Surface charge characterized by zeta potential (~0.1 mV, ~3.9 mV, and ~6.3 mV for neutral, negative, and positive Au NCs, respectively). (D) Photoluminescence spectra of Au NCs with different surface charges excited at 365 nm.
Abbreviations: TEM, transmission electron microscope; Au NCs, gold nanoclusters; au, arbitrary units.

Figure 2 Stability of gold clusters.
Notes: (A–C) Time-dependent UV absorption of Au, Au+, and Au NCs in the blood plasma. (D) Changes of luminescence intensity (%) in blood plasma over time.
Abbreviations: UV, ultraviolet; Au, gold; NCs, nanoclusters; au, arbitrary units.
24 hours, it decreased to 75% for neutral and negative Au NCs and to 80% for positive Au NCs. It can be observed from Figure 2A–C that the fluorescence intensity of neutral and negative Au NCs continued to decrease over 12 hours without any shift in the center of the main emission peak, whereas there was a slight blue-shift and peak broadening for positive Au NCs, due to their higher affinity for plasma proteins under physiological conditions. Negatively charged Au NCs bearing acidic groups (dicarboxyl) tended to absorb proteins with a pI > 5.5, such as apolipoprotein, whereas positively charged Au NCs bearing basic groups preferred proteins with a pI < 5.5, such as albumin. Au NC–protein complexes are in a dynamic balance and change over time. Therefore, measuring their fluorescence intensity is useful for evaluating their stability in blood plasma.

**Biodistribution and excretion**

In vivo biodistribution and excretion profiles are crucial for evaluating biocompatibility, therapeutic potential, and possible toxicity of NCs. Low accumulation in nontargeted organs is desirable, as is faster excretion, especially for NCs of ultrasmall size (<5 nm). The influence of surface charge on biodistribution and excretion was investigated over a relatively long period of 90 days. As shown in Figure 3, highly vascularized kidneys, testis, liver, and spleen were the most targeted organs. The kidneys retained the largest amount of Au NCs, and metabolic clearance was clearly abnormal compared with other organs, with a characteristic biphasic biodistribution as reported by us previously. All organs except kidneys continued to excrete Au NCs for 30 days, but the amount of Au NCs refluxed during 30–90 days, as indicated by the V-shaped distribution profiles. Neutral Au NCs were more vulnerable to RES uptake than charged NCs. Target-oriented differences in biodistribution – due to differences in the charges – could be observed, which indicated that positive Au NCs preferred to accumulate in the kidneys. Additionally, higher retention in other RES organs such as liver and spleen at 1 day after administration indicate fast clearance from the bloodstream.

In contrast, negative Au NCs displayed a higher residual amount in liver (6,741.1 ng/g) than positive NCs after 90 days, which suggests a longer circulation time in vivo. Based on the amount of Au present in all organs, both positively and negatively charged Au NCs were excreted slightly more slowly than neutral clusters over the 90-day period. Positive Au NCs exhibited the largest overall accumulation in the kidney, spleen, lung, and heart (21,758, 7,559, 2,007, and 2,487 ng/g, respectively) after 90 days, suggesting a wider distribution in vivo. Liver and testis were the main organs targeted by negative Au NCs (6,741 and 5,514 ng/g,

![Figure 3 Biodistribution of Au, Au+, and Au− in the main organs at 1, 7, 30, 60, and 90 days after administration. Abbreviations: Au, gold; d, days.](image-url)
respectively) during 90 days of observation. It is well known that NCs \(^{50}\) with high surface activities can be easily opsonized to form aggregates that are readily identified by macrophages and captured by blood-rich organs, of which liver and spleen are the most prominent. A higher accumulation of positive Au NCs in kidneys indicated that this is the main target organ of these clusters. However, relatively higher levels in other organs suggest that excretion of charged Au NCs is slower than neutral Au NCs. The accumulation of neutral Au NCs in kidneys could reflect a faster renal clearance, as was reported by us previously. \(^{50}\) The biodistribution of the three different surface-charge variants could reflect typical renal clearance of NCs, while the biphasic distribution suggested that NCs can be easily retained in the tissues or organs through the endothelial leakiness effect. \(^{51}\) The target selectivity of Au NCs with different surface charges is consistent with the in vivo biodistribution of Au composite nanodevices (5 nm) with different surface charges. \(^{47}\)

**In vivo toxicity**

Determination of the in vivo toxicity of NCs is essential prior to any clinical applications, especially when the synthetic processes vary and diverse surface modifications are incorporated. For a promising candidate of NCs, it is interesting to investigate the influence of surface charges of Au NCs on their toxicities. Au NCs were intraperitoneally injected into C57 mice at a dose of 5.9 mg/kg and hematology, biochemistry, and pathology tests were performed on days 1, 7, 30, and 90. Different surface charges could cause side effects on the peripheral blood system (Figure 4). Specifically, red blood cells, platelets (PLT), hemoglobin (HGB), mean corpuscular hemoglobin, and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) increased dramatically after 7 and 30 days in mice treated with positive Au NCs, whereas white blood cells (WBC), hematocrit, and HGB decreased significantly after 30 days. Besides, mean corpuscular volume (MCV) also decreased dramatically on the 60th day. In contrast, negative Au NCs only led to a decrease in WBC on day 90 and an increase in PLT on day 1. Neutral Au NCs caused an increase in PLT and MCHC and a decrease in MCV after 30 days. The results indicated that the surface charge has a huge influence on the toxicity of Au NCs, with the positive ones being the most prominent. This is consistent with previous in vitro studies and showed that positively charged NCs were more easily transported into cells,

**Figure 4** Hematology of WBC, RBC, PLT, HCT, HGB, MCH, MCHC, and MCV at 1, 7, 30, 60, and 90 days postinjection.

**Notes:** *Indicates a significant difference between control and Au NC-treated groups, \(P<0.05\). The \(P\)-value in each graph from left to right: RBC, \(P=0.009\); WBC, \(P=0.024\), 0.026, 0.035; PLT, \(P=0.001\), 0.017, 0.036; HCT, \(P=0.017\); HGB, \(P=0.001\), 0.038; MCH, \(P=0.039\); MCHC, \(P=0.049\), 0.026; MCV, \(P=0.007\), 0.016.

**Abbreviations:** Con, control; WBC, white blood cells; RBC, red blood cell; PLT, platelets; HCT, hematocrit; HGB, hemoglobin; MCH, mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; Au NCs, gold nanoclusters; d, days.
resulting in the breakage of cell membranes. Importantly, all parameters recovered to normal levels after 90 days.

Biochemistry analysis was performed because the levels of particular enzymes and proteins in serum can indicate damage to important organs such as liver and kidney, which are targets for Au NCs. We measured alanine transferase (ALT), aspartate transferase, total protein (TP), albumin, globulin, and total bilirubin to evaluate the liver function after treatment with Au NCs and creatinine and blood urea nitrogen to evaluate the kidney function. As shown in Figure 5, ALT decreased significantly on day 90 for mice treated with negative Au NCs, while positive Au NCs caused a dramatic increase in TP on days 1 and 90. Fortunately, none of the Au NCs showed any adverse effects on organ function, regardless of the surface charge. In view of the toxicity results described, which showed that kidney is the main organ that is targeted, we chose this organ for immunohistochemical analysis, and consistent with the biochemical analysis, no evident damage was apparent within 90 days of treatment (Figure 6).

Therapy using Au NCs
The surface charge of NPs has a great influence on the circulation time in blood and tumor uptake efficiency. Au NCs with different surface charges are promising candidates for tumor targeting during radiotherapy sensitization. We therefore studied the accumulation of Au NCs with different surface charges in tumor tissue using U14-bearing nude mice administered with 0.2 mL of 3 mM Au NCs. At 24 hours postinjection, the Au concentration in tumor tissue was 149, 222.6, and 320.7 ng/g for neutral, positive, and negative NCs, respectively (Figure 7A). Negatively charged Au NCs exhibited the highest tumor uptake, and positive NCs also showed higher uptake compared to neutral clusters. The effects of surface charge on radiotherapy sensitization were further investigated using U14 tumor-bearing nude mice exposed to 5 Gy gamma radiations. The time-dependent volume of tumors was measured every other day, and both negatively and positively charged Au NCs were better at inhibiting the tumor growth, due to a more efficient uptake than neutral Au NCs (Figure 7B). The average tumor volumes were 217 mm$^3$ for radiation alone (control) and 175, 165, and 130 mm$^3$ for groups treated with neutral, positive, and negative Au NCs, respectively. This corresponded to a tumor volume shrinkage of 38.9%, 42.2%, and 54.3% after 23 days for neutral, positive, and negative Au NCs, respectively, compared with controls.

![Figure 5 Biochemical levels of ALT, AST, GLB, BUN, ALB, TP, CREA, and TBL at 1, 7, 30, 60, and 90 days postinjection. Notes: *Indicates a significant difference between control and Au NC-treated groups, P<0.05. The P-value in each graph from left to right: ALT, P=0.033; TP, P=0.033, 0.049. Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GLB, globulin; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; ALB, albumin; TP, total protein; CREA, creatinine; TBL, total bilirubin; Au NCs, gold nanoclusters; d, days.](image-url)
Figure 6 Kidney pathology following treatment with Au, Au+, and Au− at 1, 7, 30, and 90 days postinjection.
Abbreviation: Au, gold.

Figure 7 Tumor uptake and cancer therapy with Au, Au+, and Au.
Notes: (A) Biodistribution in tumor tissue of Au NCs with different surface charges at 24 hours after injection. (B) Change in tumor volume over 23 days following treatment with Au NCs under 5 Gy radiation.
Abbreviations: Au NCs, gold nanoclusters; pi, postinjection.
particles in terms of tumor uptake and localization, and neutral Au NCs accumulate in tumor tissue due to enhanced permeability and retention as well as endothelial cell leakiness.\textsuperscript{51} However, fast renal clearance can undermine the potential for distribution in the tumor tissue. The high tumor uptake of positive Au NCs has been attributed to rapid extractions into the interstitium and internalization into the endothelium.\textsuperscript{57,58} Additionally, the cellular toxicity of positive Au NCs also contributed to enhancing the radiotherapeutic effects above those exhibited by neutral NCs.\textsuperscript{52,59} Negatively charged NCs exhibited the strongest antitumor effect mainly due to reduced nonspecific cellular uptake by the phagocytic system and adsorption by plasma proteins.\textsuperscript{54} Moreover, negative Au NCs with small size (\textasciitilde3.2 nm) could be sheltered from the RES arrest more efficiently. He et al compared the effects of polymeric particles of different size and surface charges on tumor uptake and in vivo biodistribution, showing that rhodamine B labeled carboxymethyl chitosan grafted nanoparticles (RhB-CMCNP) bearing less negative charges exhibited enhanced tumor distribution and in correspondence with lowest liver and spleen containing.\textsuperscript{54} Therefore, longer circulation and stability in bloodstream could increase the potential for tumor uptake and distribution.\textsuperscript{54} In the present study, both positively and negatively charged Au NCs exhibited higher tumor uptake than neutral clusters. Negative Au NCs retained in vivo with prolonged circulations, which may explain the high tumor uptake. These results may be useful for designing Au NCs with more specific radiotherapy sensitization effects.

Au NCs of ultrasmall sizes can be highly active, and the surface charge plays an important role in determining both biodistribution and toxicity.\textsuperscript{60,61} In this study, we prepared three differently charged Au NCs (neutral, positive, and negative) and studied their stabilities in blood plasma, biodistribution, excretion, toxicity, and therapeutic potential. Compared with neutral Au NCs, negatively charged Au NCs were retained longer in liver and spleen, presumably due to capture by Kupffer cells and macrophages.\textsuperscript{43,52} Positive Au NCs caused some recoverable damage to the peripheral blood system, but normal functioning was recovered within the 90-day trial. Negative Au NCs showed the highest tumor uptake and the strongest effect in radiotherapy sensitization. Surface charge also played a critical role in the biocompatibility and therapeutic effects with charged clusters displaying better uptake efficiency that could benefit future therapies.

**Conclusion**

In this work, we prepared three differently charged Au NCs (neutral, positive, and negative) and studied their stability in blood plasma, biodistribution, excretion, toxicity, and therapeutic potential. Compared with neutral Au NCs, negatively charged Au NCs were retained longer in liver and spleen, presumably due to internalization by Kupffer cells and macrophages. Positive Au NCs caused a small amount of damage to the peripheral blood system, but normal function was recovered within 90 days postinjection. Surface charge had a pronounced effect on tumor uptake. Negative Au NCs displayed the highest tumor uptake and the most pronounced radiotherapy sensitization. Surface charge, therefore, plays an important role in toxicity and therapeutic potential for Au NCs.
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