The Association between Home Environment and Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents in Hangzhou City, China
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Abstract
To investigate the influence of the home environment, defined as family socioeconomic status (SES) (parent education level, household income), student resource-mediated SES (access to nutritional resources and cognitively stimulating experiences), reading ability, and difficulty with homework on quality of life in children and adolescents residing in urban and suburban areas in Hangzhou City, Zhejiang Province, China. This study included 3200 Grade 3–6 students from 8 elementary schools in Hangzhou City. Assessments included questionnaires that evaluated student quality of life, family SES, resource-mediated SES (dietary behavior and the home literacy environment), reading ability, and difficulty with homework. The effects of the home environment on student quality of life were analyzed by univariate analysis, multiple linear regression analysis, and structural equation modeling. Overall, 80.6% of students had a medium or better quality of life. Young age (Grade 3 or 4), female sex, household income of 10000–15000 RMB, high breakfast consumption, daily intake of fruit, a balanced diet, and good reading habits were positively correlated with student quality of life (P < 0.05), while overuse of electronic devices was negatively correlated with quality of life (P < 0.05). Dietary behaviors, home literacy environment, and student reading ability and difficulty with homework directly affected quality of life. Family SES indirectly affected student quality of life. Children and adolescents in China should have access to good nutrition and cognitively stimulating experiences to enhance their well-being and provide them with social and academic advantages.
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Highlights
- The influence of the home environment on quality of life in students in China was explored with structural equation modeling.
- Student quality of life was directly affected by diet, the literacy environment, reading ability and difficulty with homework.
- Student quality of life was indirectly affected by family socioeconomic status.
- These findings will inform the development of programs that promote improved quality of life in Chinese children.
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Childhood and adolescence represent crucial phases in the development of physical, psychological, behavioral, and social maturity (Hosokawa and Katsura, 2017; Lee & Jackson, 2017; Rashid et al., 2018; Zou et al., 2018). During
these phases, family socioeconomic status (SES) and the home environment influence child and adolescent quality of life (QOL), defined as their physical, emotional, and social well-being (Maatta et al., 2017).

Widely used markers of SES include social indicators, such as occupation and educational level, and economic indicators that are material and resource related (Roubinov & Boyce, 2017), such as income. The link between SES and QOL in children and adolescents is mediated by accessibility to resources, including nutrition and cognitively stimulating experiences. Nutritional intake influences child and adolescent growth and development, cognitive ability, immunity, morbidity, and mortality, with poor nutrition a key component of poor health (Boe et al., 2018; Bradley & Corwyn, 2002; Hong, 2007). Access to cognitively stimulating experiences provides children and adolescents direct and peer or adult-mediated learning opportunities that impact their cognitive ability and potential for benefiting from school (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002; Rowland et al., 2018; Russell et al., 2018). The connection between SES, access to cognitively stimulating experiences, and QOL in children and adolescents is related to parental behavior (Jin & Lu, 2017). High-SES parents, defined as those with better education and an economic advantage, typically spend more time reading and communicating with their children and adolescents than low-SES parents (Sun et al., 2013). This might be because higher-SES parents have the time and income to make better interpersonal and material investments in their children’s development than lower-SES parents, who must focus on more basic needs (Sohr-Preston et al., 2013). A good home literacy environment is positively related to child and adolescent language and literacy development and might improve child and adolescent reading ability (He et al., 2014; Noble et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2013). Children and adolescents with reading difficulties might experience concomitant psychosocial problems in three dimensions, including self-belief, social cognitive ability, and interpersonal ability (Nathan, 2006). In Hungary, children <18 years with a reading disability had a lower QOL than controls without a reading disability (Balazs et al., 2016).

Studies that investigated the influence of the home environment on QOL in children and adolescents focused on children and adolescents with chronic disease or those who were obese. Research that investigated the QOL in children and adolescents in the general population is limited and mainly explored associations between family economic status, parenteral education level, family structure, number of siblings, household crowding, and parenting style (Hosokawa & Katsura, 2017; Lee & Jackson, 2017; Ran et al., 2018; Rashid et al., 2018; Zou et al., 2018). In the United States, socioeconomic disadvantages were associated with a significant negative impact on the cognitive achievement of children aged 1–9 years (Lee & Jackson, 2017). In Japan, family income was related to social skills in preschoolers aged 5 years, and maternal and paternal education levels were related to internalizing and externalizing problems in first graders aged 6 years (Hosokawa & Katsura, 2017). In Brazil (Paula et al., 2012), clinical, socioeconomic and home environment (family structure; number of siblings; use of cigarettes, alcohol and drugs in the family; household overcrowding) factors exerted a negative impact on the oral health-related QOL of schoolchildren aged 12 years. In Wuhan, China, youth optimism was a mechanism by which family SES was associated with life satisfaction in children and adolescents from primary and high schools (Zou et al., 2018). In Shapingba district, Chongqing, China, inadequate health literacy might have contributed to poor QOL among junior middle school students.

Reports on the influence of other factors on the QOL in children and adolescents in China are limited. Compared with adults, QOL research studies in children and adolescents in China are scarce, possibly because of the relatively stronger emphasis on parent-centeredness than child-centeredness in Chinese culture (Daniel & Britta, 2007). Previous studies that investigated related topics used traditional statistical methodologies, such as single factor and multiple linear regression analysis (Matthews et al., 2014; Paula et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2007). However, when applying traditional multiple linear regression analysis, the dependent variable and independent variables were defined and only the direct effect between variables was determined. The effect of latent variables, such as factors in the home environment, can only be inferred from other variables that are observed. Structural equation modeling uses a combination of factor and multiple regression analysis that indicates measurement errors, and represents, estimates, and tests a theoretical network between variables (Joreskog & Sorbom, 1979; Meuleners et al., 2003). Therefore, structural equation modeling evaluates the relationship between latent constructs and observed variables. This study investigated the QOL in children and adolescents residing in urban and suburban areas in Hangzhou City, Zhejiang Province, China using structural equation modeling. Hangzhou City is an economically developed municipality that is located on the southeast coast of China with a per capita GDP of USD 20,419 2017. This study explored how the home environment, defined as family SES (parent education level, household income), resource-mediated SES (access to nutritional resources and cognitively stimulating experiences), reading ability, and difficulty with homework directly and indirectly influenced the QOL in children and adolescents in Hangzhou City. SES, reading ability and difficulty with homework were chosen as the variables measured in this study because evidence suggests (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002) that the effect of SES on child health is
mediated by the availability of environmental (reading and nutritional) resources and psychological factors, which influence reading ability and difficulty with homework. Cognitive stimulation is a particularly important consideration. Low-SES children lack resources and experience, which limits the development of their cognitive abilities, reflected by their reading skills, and their potential to benefit from school. In addition, exposure to resources and culture is a mediating variable between SES and child intelligence or academic performance and behavioral problems (Poulain et al., 2020). Understanding how inequalities in the home environment affect children and adolescents informs the development of programs that promote improved QOL in Chinese children.

Methods

Participants

The sample include 3200 students with a mean age of 11.19 years (standard deviation [SD] = 1.96, range 9–14 years). Based on a dichotomous (male/female) measure of gender, 53.8% of the students were male and 46.2% of the students were female. There were 848 (26.5%) Grade 6 students, 768 (24.0%) Grade 5 students, 836 (26.1%) Grade 4 students, and 748 (23.4%) Grade 3 students. Most of the students (96.9%) lived with both biological parents, who were married. In addition, this study included 3200 parents (89.3% mothers) that reported on their children. Parents had a mean age of 38.67 years (SD = 4.21). 52.6% of parents lived in urban areas, and 47.4% of parents lived in the suburbs. For economic status, 1075 (33.6%), 1217 (38.0%), and 908 (28.4%) families had an income CNY < 10000, CNY 10,000–15,000, or CNY > 15,000 per month, respectively. Regarding parents occupation, 29.6% of fathers were professional technical staff, and 22.9% of mothers were unemployed. For education level, 23.9% of fathers had a college diploma or above, 45.9% of fathers reached junior college level, and 30.2% of fathers finished senior high school or below. Between the mothers, 22.0% were educated to junior high school or below, and 17.0% had a college diploma or above.

Procedure

This study was conducted in Hangzhou, a city that is in the north of Zhejiang Province on the southeast coast of China. There are 10 districts in Hangzhou City and approximately 10–15 primary schools in each district; therefore, a multistage cluster sampling design was used to select eight primary schools. First, four urban and four suburban primary schools were randomly selected. Then, three to five classes were randomly selected from Grade 3–6 in each primary school. Inclusion criteria for students were: (1) a normal intelligence quotient, as reported by teachers; (2) no history of brain trauma or brain disease, visual or auditory dysfunction, or psychiatric disorders; (3) able to speak and read Chinese; and (4) parental consent.

This cross-sectional study was conducted between September and December 2016 by two researchers and six students with master’s degrees who had experience in conducting epidemiological surveys. Before data collection, the scientific research ethics committee of Hangzhou Normal University reviewed and approved the study protocol, the informed consent forms, and the questionnaires. Permission to conduct this study in the schools was obtained from each head teacher, and informed consent was obtained from the students and their parents (through a letter sent home). When consent was given, the Children and Adolescents’ Quality of Life Scale and instructions on its completion were provided to the included students, who completed the questionnaire independently. During this process, researchers were available to answer student questions. Then, questionnaires were retrieved. Each student took home the Chinese Reading Ability and its Influencing Factors questionnaire for their mother or father to complete. The students returned this questionnaire to their teacher within 1 week. Parents and students were not obliged to complete the questionnaires, even if they had provided informed consent. Anonymity and confidentiality were assured. Questionnaires with a response rate of 90% were included in the analyses. Missing data were input using medians. This study used double data entry and validation, and the logical range of each variable was considered to minimize errors. Finally, 3391 students returned the Children and Adolescents’ Quality of Life Scale questionnaire with a 94.2% response rate, 3360 parents returned the Chinese Reading Ability and its Influencing Factors questionnaire with a 93.3% response rate, and 3200 families completed both questionnaires, for an 88.9% response rate.

Measures

Student quality of life

Students completed the Children and Adolescents’ Quality of Life Scale that consisted of 49 items (Wu et al., 2006a, c) and measured 4 domains, and 13 dimensions. One domain evaluated social psychological function (21 items) and assessed five dimensions, including teacher–student relationship (5 items, e.g., Are you satisfied with the relationship between you and your teacher? 1 = not at all satisfied–4 = very satisfied), peer relationships (5 items, e.g., Is your classmate friendly toward you? 1 = not friendly–4 = very friendly), and parent–child relationship (4 items, e.g., Do you like staying with your parents?)
learning ability and attitude (3 items, e.g., Do you remember new things easily?), and self-concept (4 items, e.g., Do you feel you are an important member of the team?), which are scored as 1 = never–4 = always. Another domain evaluates physical–mental health (12 items) and assesses three dimensions, including: physical perception (5 items, e.g., Do you often feel tired after getting up?), negative emotions (4 items, e.g., Do you often feel regretful for what you have done?), and attitude toward homework (3 items, e.g., Do you often feel satisfied with your ability to participate in sports? 1 = rare–4 = too many opportunities), and athletic ability (3 items, e.g., Are you satisfied with your ability to participate in sports? 1 = not at all–4 = very satisfied). Finally, there is a life satisfaction domain that assesses a self-satisfaction dimension (8 items, e.g., Are you satisfied with your sleep? 1 = not at all satisfied–4 = very satisfied). Total, domain, and dimension scores were calculated, with higher scores indicating better QOL. Scores lower than two SDs below the mean were considered very poor QOL. Scores between one and two SDs below the mean were considered poor QOL. Scores that were one SD above or below the mean were considered moderate QOL. Scores that were between one and two SDs above the mean were considered better QOL. Scores that were two SDs above the mean were considered excellent (Wu et al., 2006a, c) QOL.

Total scores were transformed to a T score metric, which was referenced to means and SD stratified by gender, age, and region of residency for the Chinese general population. A lower T score represented a poorer QOL; 30 ≤ T < 40 = bad QOL; 40 ≤ T < 60 = medium QOL; 60 ≤ T < 70 = good QOL; and T ≥ 70 = best QOL.

During development and validation of the Children and Adolescents’ Quality of Life Scale, internal consistency and reliability for the entire questionnaire, each domain, and each dimension were evaluated as acceptable (Tavakol & Dennick, 2011) using Cronbach’s alpha (between 0.73 and 0.95). Content validity of the scale was assessed as good using a correlation coefficient between each dimension and the overall score (0.56–0.89), and the four domains and the overall score (0.52–0.83) (Wu et al., 2006b). The Children and Adolescents’ Quality of Life Scale is widely used in China to assess children and adolescents aged 7–18 years. In previous studies (Peng et al., 2005a; Wu et al., 2006b), Cronbach’s alpha was reported at 0.855–0.872, and content validity was reported at 0.661–0.866. The cumulative variance contribution rate was 75.44% and the factor loading was between 0.71 and 0.89, which indicated good construct validity. In this study, confirmatory factor analysis was used to assess the factorial structure of the Children and Adolescents’ Quality of Life Scale. Findings confirmed the 13-factor structure (Lance et al., 2006), because the goodness of fit index (GFI) was 0.972, the adjusted goodness of fit index (AGFI) was 0.954, the comparative fit index (CFI) was 0.948, the Tucker–Lewis index (TLI) was 0.945, the Chi-squared (χ²/df) was 2.273, and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) was 0.045. The cumulative percent variance was 75.44%. Factor loading was used to identify whether items loaded strongly onto their hypothesized latent variable. Factor loading was from 0.48 to 0.89, which indicated good construct validity.

Student home environment

Student home environment was defined by family SES, resource-mediated SES, reading ability, and difficulty with homework. Family SES was defined by parent education level and household income. Resource-mediated SES was defined by child and adolescent access to nutritional resources and cognitively stimulating experiences, such as reading materials and electronic devices. These variables were measured using the Chinese Reading Ability and its Influencing Factors questionnaire, which was completed by one parent from each family. Internal consistency and reliability for the entire questionnaire and each domain were evaluated using Cronbach’s alpha, with values between 0.76 and 0.94 considered acceptable. Content validity of the scale was assessed as good using the correlation coefficient between each dimension and the overall score (0.67 to 0.91) (p < 0.05). The cumulative percent variance was 72.37% and factor loading was from 0.52 to 0.87, which indicated good construct validity.

Family SES and resource-mediated SES

In Part 1 of the Chinese Reading Ability and its Influencing Factors questionnaire, parents reported on items that assessed the general home environment, including student gender and date of birth, parent educational level, family SES (household income, annual cost of extracurricular books: CNY < 300 = 1, CNY 300–500 = 2, 500–800 = 3, CNY > 8000 = 4), student dietary behavior (frequency of breakfast intake, frequency of fruit intake, and balanced diet), and the home literacy environment (student reading-related behavior, weekly use of electronic devices, and rules for use of electronic devices). Variables were defined based on previously published studies (He et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2013).

Reading-related behavior was scored based on the time spent reading each day (none = 0, 0–0.5 h = 1, 0.5–1 h = 2, >1 h = 3) plus the frequency of participation in extracurricular...
Student reading ability

In Part 2 of the Chinese Reading Ability and its Influencing Factors questionnaire, parents reported on student reading ability using the Dyslexia Checklist for Chinese Children (Wu et al., 2006a, c), which consists of 57 items and 8 dimensions, including barriers to spoken language (six items, e.g., lack of competence in oral communication and not good at oral communication); problems with written expression (seven items, e.g., writing very slowly and finishing homework very late); bad reading habits (six items, e.g., reading the same sentence over again or skipping sections); attention deficit disorder (7 items, e.g., cannot concentrate during class or doing homework); visual disturbance (seven items, e.g., confuses the letters d and b); disturbance in auditory perception (seven items, e.g., writing very slowly and finishing homework very late); dysgraphia (six items, e.g., does not understand normal speech, only understands when speech is slow or repeated); and difficulty understanding (nine items, e.g., often does not understand the meaning of words in sentences). The responses to each item were scored as 1 = never–5 = always. Higher scores represented a lower reading ability. The eight dimensions were clustered into two categories using principal component analysis: dyslexia (defined as a specific and significant impairment in reading ability that cannot be explained by deficits in intelligence, learning opportunity, motivation, or sensory acuity (Fisher et al., 2002), and included the first six dimensions) and bad reading habits (defined as reading habits that impede reading speed or are harmful to health).

Internal consistency and reliability for the total score and the factors that composed the Dyslexia Checklist for Chinese Children were evaluated using Cronbach’s alpha, with values of 0.974 and 0.752–0.901, respectively. Factor loadings for all items were satisfactory, from 0.383 to 0.856 (Hou et al., 2018). In this study, confirmatory factor analysis was used to assess the factorial structure of the Dyslexia Checklist for Chinese Children. Findings confirmed the eight factor structure (Lance et al., 2006), because the GFI was 0.943, the AGFI was 0.931, the CFI was 0.927, the TLI was 0.925, the RMSEA was 0.051. The cumulative variance contribution rate was 75.68%. Factor loading was used to identify whether items loaded strongly onto their hypothesized latent variable. Factor loading was between 0.41 and 0.91, which indicated good construct validity.

Student difficulty with homework

Difficulty with homework was scored based on the need for parental pressure to ensure the homework was finished (seldom = 1, sometime = 2, always = 3) plus the time each day required to finish the homework (<1 h = 0.5, 1–2 h = 1.5, 2–4 h = 3, >4 h = 4). Rules for use of electronic devices were defined according to the parental attitude concerning student television and computer use and were scored from 1 to 6. Total scores for reading-related behavior, weekly use of electronic devices, and rules for use of electronic devices was from 0 to 27.

Statistical Analysis

Initially, normality, outliers, and multicollinearity were evaluated. Normality was assessed using coefficients of skewness (sk) and kurtosis (ku). Values fell within the acceptable ranges of −0.58–1.54 for sk and −2.15–2.63 for ku. The multivariate normality test gave a value of 8.45, which indicated that the data followed a multivariate normal distribution, because this value was <10. The existence of outliers were identified by Cook’s distance. The maximum Cook’s distance was <0.5 (0.028), which indicated there were no outliers in these data. Multicollinearity was tested by the tolerance rate and variance inflation factor (VIF). The findings showed no tolerance rate <0.10 or VIF > 10. All the tolerance values were >0.78 and the VIF was <3.9, which indicated no multicollinearity.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS16.0 and AMOS22.0. A descriptive analysis was conducted using mean ± SD for quantitative variables and frequencies ± percentages for qualitative variables. Reliability was examined with Cronbach’s alpha and content validity was measured using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Differences in QOL scores based on gender, student grade, parent education level, annual cost of extracurricular books, and student dietary habits were analyzed using a Student’s t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post-hoc analysis. The factors that affected QOL were identified using multiple regression analysis with the four domains and total scores for QOL as dependent variables, and student gender and grade, parent education level, home literacy environment, and student reading ability as independent variables. Factors with p < 0.1 in the univariate analysis were included in the multiple regression analysis.
Based on the data from the multiple regression analysis and previously published literature, factors in the home environment, including family SES, resource-mediated SES, student reading ability, and difficulty with homework were included in structural equation modeling to analyze their effect on student QOL. The costs of extracurricular books and monthly household income were used to adjust family SES. Student reading ability, which is affected by the home literacy environment, and difficulty with homework were included in the model. Structural equation modeling was performed using AMOS24.0. A Chi-squared test was used to assess model fit. In addition, the CFI, TLI, RMSEA, and the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) were used to assess goodness of fit of models. Acceptable criteria were set according to guidelines reported in literature: CFI > 0.93, TLI > 0.90, RMSEA < 0.08, and SRMR < 0.08. Full information maximum likelihood with robust standard errors (MLR) was adopted to deal with missing data and non-normality. The level of significance in the analyses was set to 0.05.

Results

Student Quality of Life

Overall, 15.1% of students had the worst QOL, 4.3% had a bad QOL, 62.5% had a medium QOL, 14.4% had a good QOL, and 3.7% of had the best QOL. 80.6% of students had a medium or better QOL.

Between the four domains assessed by the QOL measure, scores for psychosocial function, physical–mental health, and life satisfaction were significantly higher among female students compared with male students (p < 0.001). Scores for psychosocial function, physical–mental health, living environment, and life satisfaction were significantly higher among younger students (Grade 3 or 4) compared with older students (Grade 5 or 6) (p < 0.001). Scores for psychosocial function and living environment were significantly higher among students residing in urban regions compared to students residing in suburban regions (p < 0.01), and scores for life satisfaction was significantly higher among students residing in suburban regions (p < 0.01) (Table S1).

Influence of Parents Educational Level and Family SES on Student Quality of Life

Scores for all four domains assessed by the QOL measure (psychosocial function, physical–mental health, living environment, life satisfaction) significantly increased with increases in parent educational level and family SES (p < 0.05) (Table S2).

Influence of Student Eating Habits on their Quality of Life

Scores for psychosocial function, physical–mental health, and life satisfaction were significantly increased in students who ate breakfast every day compared with those who ate breakfast less frequently (p < 0.05). Scores for psychosocial function, physical–mental health, living environment, and life satisfaction were significantly increased in students who ate fruit everyday compared with those who ate fruit often or seldom and in those who ate fruit often compared with those who ate fruit seldom (p < 0.05). Scores for psychosocial function, physical–mental health, living environment, and life satisfaction were significantly increased in students who ate a balanced diet compared with those who ate predominantly meat or vegetables, and scores for psychosocial function, physical–mental health, and living environment were significantly increased in students who ate predominantly meat compared with predominantly vegetables (p < 0.05) (Table S3).

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Univariate analysis and multiple linear regression analysis were performed using the four domains assessed by the QOL measure (psychosocial function, physical–mental health, living environment, life satisfaction) as dependent variables and student gender, age, region of residence, family SES (parent education level, household income), dietary behavior (breakfast intake, fruit intake, balanced diet), and home literacy environment (reading-related behavior, weekly use of electronic devices, rules for use of electronic devices at home), as independent variables. Factors with p < 0.1 on univariate analysis were included in multiple regression analysis. The findings revealed eight factors were associated with higher psychosocial function, including young age (junior grades), having a father with a college level or above of education, household income CNY 10,000–15,000, frequent breakfast consumption, daily intake of fruit, good reading-related behavior, and limited time spent using electronic devices; the most influential factor was reading-related behavior. Eight factors were associated with higher physical–mental health, including young age (Grade 3 or 4), household income CNY 10,000–15,000, frequent breakfast consumption, balanced diet, daily intake of fruit, good reading-related behavior, limited time spent using electronic devices, and lenient rules for use of electronic devices. The most influential factor was reading-related behavior. Eight factors were associated with a better living environment, including living in an urban area, having a father with college level or above of education, having a mother with a junior college level of education, frequent breakfast consumption, daily intake of fruit,
good reading-related behavior, and strict rules for use of electronic devices. The most influential factor was reading-related behavior. Eight factors were associated with better life satisfaction, including living in a rural area, young age (Grade 3 or 4), frequent breakfast consumption, balanced diet, daily intake of fruit, good reading-related behavior, limited time spent using electronic devices, and lenient rules for use of electronic devices. The most influential factor was reading-related behavior (Table 1).

### Structural Equation Modeling

Structural equation modeling was used to describe the influence of the home environment on student QOL (Fig. 1). Dietary behavior, difficulty with homework, reading ability, home reading environment and other influencing factors were identified using univariate analysis, multiple linear regression analysis, and exploratory factor analysis. Measurement and structural models were constructed. The measurement model was tested with confirmatory factor analysis. The strength of relationships in the structural model were estimated using correlation coefficients generated by AMOS24.0. Model fit was assessed, and the model was respecified. The final model had good fit, because \( \chi^2/df \), GFI, AGFI, NFI, IFI, and CFI were >0.9, and the RMSEA was <0.05 (Table 2).

The estimated path coefficients from one independent latent variable to the dependent latent variable are

### Table 1 Multivariate linear regression for students quality of life scores (standardized coefficients)

| Independent variables | Categories | Quality of life |  |
|-----------------------|------------|----------------|---|
|                       |            | Psychological function | Physical mental health | Living environment | Life satisfaction | Total score of QOL |
| Residence (suburb = 0) Urban |            | 0.021 | -0.027 | 0.118* | -0.057** | 0.017 |
| Grade (three, four = 0) Five and six |            | -0.097** | -0.103 | -0.018 | -0.136 | -0.11* |
| Gender (boys = 0) Girls |            | 0.086** | 0.022 | -0.012 | 0.028 | 0.056** |
| Students reading behavior |            | 0.23* | 0.16* | 0.19* | 0.18* | 0.24* |
| Students time using electronic devices |            | -0.062** | -0.078** | -0.011 | -0.065** | -0.069** |
| Rules for using electronic devices at home |            | 0.013 | -0.051** | 0.054** | -0.039** | 0.003 |
| Students breakfast intake |            | 0.092** | 0.084** | 0.052** | 0.087** | 0.095** |
| Monthly household income (<5000 = 0) | 5001–1000 yuan | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.009 | -0.016 | 0.012 |
| | 10001–150000 yuan | 0.073** | 0.058** | 0.032 | 0.025 | 0.070 |
| | >15000 yuans | 0.020 | 0.008 | 0.054** | -0.006 | 0.021 |
| Father’s education (junior high and below = 0) | Senior high school | 0.019 | 0.008 | 0.022 | 0.003 | 0.022 |
| | Associate degree | 0.025 | 0.021 | 0.027 | 0.011 | 0.030 |
| | Bachelor’s degree and above | 0.045** | -0.005 | 0.056** | 0.003 | 0.027 |
| Mother’s education (junior high and below = 0) | Senior high school | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.021 | 0.018 | 0.023 |
| | Associate degree | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.061** | -0.002 | 0.019 |
| | Bachelor’s degree and above | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.054** | 0.002 | 0.017 |
| Students balanced diet intake (more vegetables = 0) | More meat | 0.020 | -0.045** | 0.053** | -0.002 | 0.014 |
| | Balanced diet | 0.018 | 0.046** | 0.072** | 0.076** | 0.064** |
| Students vegetable and fruit intake (never = 0) | Often | 0.021 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.004 | 0.022 |
| | Everyday | 0.068* | 0.061** | 0.087* | 0.065** | 0.089** |

* < 0.01; ** < 0.05
The estimated path coefficients from latent variables to QOL are summarized in Table 4. The direct effect of dietary behavior on QOL was positive and significant. The direct effect of difficulty with homework on QOL was negative and significant. The total effect of family SES (parent education level, household income), dietary behavior, home literacy environment, and reading ability on QOL was positive and significant and the total effect of difficulty with homework was negative and significant.

**Discussion**

This study investigated the QOL in children and adolescents residing in urban and suburban areas in Hangzhou City, Zhejiang Province, China. Compared with national data (Wu et al., 2006b), the overall QOL for primary school students in Hangzhou City was good, because 80.6% of students had a medium or better QOL. Compared with children and adolescents in the general Chinese population (Wu et al., 2006a, c), children and adolescents residing in Hangzhou City had lower scores for psychosocial function (3.04 ± 0.47 versus national 3.72 ± 0.71) and living environment (2.73 ± 0.54 versus national 3.67 ± 0.79) and higher scores for physical–mental health (3.04 ± 0.49 versus national 2.33 ± 0.61) and life satisfaction (3.11 ± 0.44 versus national 1.52 ± 0.44). These data suggest that strategies should be implemented to improve the psychosocial function and living environment of children and adolescents residing in Hangzhou City. However, overall QOL and several aspects of QOL (psychological function, physical mental health, living environment, and life satisfaction) were significantly higher in children and adolescents residing in Hangzhou City compared to primary school students in Hubei province (Huang & Shan, 2006),
Shanghai, and Suzhou (Peng et al., 2005b; Shen et al., 2004). This might be explained by the geographical location of the children and adolescents. Previous studies showed that QOL scores for primary school students varied between regions and were higher in cities than in rural areas (Chen et al., 2007). Accordingly, evidence suggests (Fang, 2001) that student QOL is a comprehensive indicator reflecting health and living standards, varying with socio-economic and cultural levels.

Previous studies that investigated similar topics always used traditional statistical methodologies (C et al., 2014; Paula et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2007), which did not evaluate the effect of latent variables. In addition, variables might be collinear by chance, which might lead to the incorrect identification of relevant predictors in the statistical model. In this study, by using structural equation modeling, the direct (parent education level and monthly household income) and indirect effects of family SES on student QOL by mediating variables, such as dietary behavior and the home literacy environment were analyzed. Bradley et al., (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002) reported that accessibility to resources and cultural activities was a mediating variable between SES and child intelligence and behavior. The home literacy environment is an important mediating variable. A good home literacy environment provides resources for the development of a child’s reading ability, and it is a protective factor against dyslexia (He et al., 2014; Noble et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2013). Since structural equation modeling investigates indirect effects, reading ability and difficulty with homework were included as factors in the home environment and were analyzed as mediating variables in this study.

Evidence suggests that fruit and vegetable intake and the variety of fruit consumed are associated with some aspects of QOL in children (Matthews et al., 2014), and an inappropriate diet and lack of breakfast might be important environmental risk factors that lead to learning disorders in children (Wang et al., 2013). In addition, it has been reported that parent beliefs and behaviors (Davis-Kean 2005; He et al., 2014) were indirectly related to child academic achievement. Therefore, in this study, student eating habits were chosen as a measure of nutritional resources, and the home literacy environment was chosen as a measure of student exposure to cognitively stimulating experiences. The results showed that dietary behaviors and the home literacy environment of students living in Hangzhou City directly affected their QOL by influencing their reading ability and their ability to do homework. Family SES indirectly affected student QOL. These data imply that a higher family SES, as shown by well-educated parents and a high household income, healthy dietary behaviors among children and adolescents, and a good home literacy environment, could improve student reading ability, decrease risks of dyslexia,

| Table 3 | Standardized total effect, standardized direct effect, and standardized indirect effect of latent variables on students quality of life |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Independent variable | Dependent variable | Standardized total effect | Standardized direct effect | Standardized indirect effect |
| Family socioeconomic status | Students dietary behavior | 0.62* | 0.62* | 0.00 |
| Home literacy environment | Students reading ability | 0.94* | 0.94* | 0.00 |
| Students reading ability | Students difficulty in finishing homework | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41** |

| Students dietary behavior | Students reading ability | −0.49** | 0.00 | −0.49** |
| Students reading ability | Students difficulty in finishing homework | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32** |

| Home literacy environment | Students reading ability | −0.27** | 0.00 | −0.32** |
| Students reading ability | Students difficulty in finishing homework | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22** |

| Students reading ability | Students difficulty finishing homework | 0.82* | 0.82* | 0.00 |

| Table 4 | Standardized total effect, standardized direct effect, and standardized indirect effect of latent variables |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Independent variable | Dependent variable | Standardized total effect | Standardized direct effect | Standardized indirect effect |
| Students dietary behavior | Students reading ability | −0.39** | −0.39** | 0.00 |
| Students reading ability | Students difficulty in finishing homework | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22** |

| Students reading ability | Students difficulty finishing homework | 0.82* | 0.82* | 0.00 |

* < 0.01; ** < 0.05
improve student ability to do homework, and enhance student QOL. Similar to the results of this study, previous research showed that SES was not related to child mental health or psychological well-being in a sample of 19,487 school-aged children collected from the 2013–2014 China Education Panel Survey; however, SES indirectly affected child mental health and psychological well-being through parent–child relations, peer relations, and teacher–student relations (Jarman et al., 2015; Ge, 2017).

Previous studies have shown that there is an association between parental education level and nutrition in children. In Europe, the IDEFICS (identification and prevention of dietary- and lifestyle-induced health effects in children and infants) cohort study (Arvidsson et al., 2017) of 16,229 children aged 2–9 years revealed that children of parents with a lower level of education had a higher sugar and fat intake than children of parents with a higher level of education. Studies of 34,366 children in Brazil and 7474 children in the United Kingdom found that the unhealthy dietary habits of children were associated with a low level of maternal education (Cribb et al., 2011; Saldiva et al., 2014). In the United States (Shonkoff et al., 2017) a study of 599 parent–child dyads showed that clearly explained parent rules about the types of foods children could eat might decrease sugar intake.

A good home literacy environment provides children and adolescents direct and indirect learning opportunities and encourages a culture of continuous learning. Children from low-SES families often lack access to cognitively stimulating resources, such as educational materials and outdoor activities that enhance cognitive ability. Previous reports (Noble et al., 2006; Park et al., 2017) show that family SES, time parents spend reading with children, and the number of books in a home are associated with the home literacy environment; student weekday and weekend screen time decrease as parental education level increases (Sharif & Sargent, 2006); and a good home literacy environment might improve student reading abilities (He et al., 2014; Noble et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2013).

The precise determination of the processes how the home environment influences QOL in children and adolescents is challenging; therefore, this study has several limitations. First, it was a cross-sectional study and causality could not be inferred. Second, factors that contribute to QOL are complex and important indicators might not have been considered in this model, including student to parental exposure to stress inducing conditions and health-relevant behaviors or lifestyle.

In conclusion, this study showed that the home environment influenced the QOL in children and adolescents in Hangzhou City, China. These findings emphasize that children and adolescents should have access to good nutrition and cognitively stimulating experiences to enhance their well-being and provide them with social and academic advantages.
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