Colorectal epithelial neoplasm associated with gut-associated lymphoid tissue
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Background: Colorectal epithelial neoplasm extending into the submucosal gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) can cause difficulties in the differential diagnosis. Regarding GALT-associated epithelial neoplasms, a few studies favor the term “GALT carcinoma” while other studies have mentioned the term “GALT-associated pseudoinvasion/epithelial misplacement (PEM)”. Methods: The clinicopathologic characteristics of 11 cases of colorectal epithelial neoplasm associated with submucosal GALT diagnosed via endoscopic submucosal dissection were studied. Results: Eight cases (72.7%) were in males. The median age was 59 years, and age ranged from 53 to 73. All cases had a submucosal tumor component more compatible with GALT-associated PEM. Eight cases (72.7%) were located in the right colon. Ten cases (90.9%) had a non-protruding endoscopic appearance. Nine cases (81.8%) showed continuity between the submucosal and surface adenomatous components. Nine cases showed (81.8%) focal defects or discontinuation of the muscularis mucosae adjacent to the submucosal GALT. No case showed hemisiderin deposits in the submucosa or desmoplastic reaction. No case showed single tumor cells or small clusters of tumor cells in the submucosal GALT. Seven cases (63.6%) showed goblet cells in the submucosa. Conclusions: Our experience suggests that pathologists should be aware of the differential diagnosis of GALT-associated submucosal extension by colorectal adenomatous neoplasm. Further studies are needed to validate classification of GALT-associated epithelial neoplasms.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case selection

Eleven cases of colorectal epithelial neoplasm, involving submucosal GALT, identified after endoscopic submucosal dissection, were studied from the pathologic archives of Kosin University Gospel Hospital (Busan, Korea), over a 7-year period from January 2012 to December 2018.
Clinicopathologic analysis

The following clinicopathologic features were extracted from the medical record: age, sex, location, endoscopic appearance. The location of the neoplasm was classified according to the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology classification [29] and was categorized into either right-sided colon (including cecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure and transverse colon) or left-sided colon (including splenic flexure, descending colon, sigmoid colon, and rectum) [30].

The endoscopic appearance of the neoplasms were classified according to the Paris classification [31-35]. With regard to the distinction between sessile protruding type (0-Ia) and slightly elevated non-protruding type (0-IIa), a more practical definition was applied instead of the definition using the cut-off value of 2.5 mm or twice the thickness of surrounding normal colorectal mucosa: a superficial neoplastic lesion with the height more than one-third of the diameter was classified into protruding type [31,35].

Histopathologic evaluation

For each case, hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides were reviewed, and the pathologic diagnoses were reclassified by three pathologists (Y.H.J., J.H.A., and H.K.C.). Submucosal GALT was defined as lymphoid aggregates or follicles located below the muscularis mucosae [3,6,8]. A colorectal epithelial neoplasm located in the submucosal GALT was defined as a colorectal epithelial neoplasm involving the submucosal GALT.

Conventional adenomas were classified into three subtypes based on the amount of villous component: tubular (villous component less than 25%), tubulovillous (villous component 25% to 75%), and villous adenoma (villous component less than 25%), tubulovillous (villous component more than 75%) [36].

Dysplasia was graded into either low-grade or high-grade. Non-complex architecture with elongated and pseudostratified nuclei was graded as low-grade dysplasia [36]. Complex architecture (markedly irregular, crowded, cribriform, or fused glands) with accompanying cytologic features (loss of nuclear polarity, pleomorphic nuclei) was graded as high-grade dysplasia [36]. Dysplastic glands without complex architecture were not considered high-grade dysplasia.

The following histologic features for PEM were evaluated: grade of dysplasia in submucosal glands, continuity of submucosal glands with surface adenomatous component, focal defect of muscularis mucosae adjacent to submucosal GALT, hemosiderin deposits in submucosa, contour of submucosal GALT, cystic dilation of submucosal glands, and admixture of submucosal glands with normal colonic epithelium [11,12,37-45].

The following histologic features suggesting frank invasion were evaluated: desmoplasia, single or small clusters of tumor cells, and lymphovascular invasion [46].

The following histologic features characteristic of GALT carcinoma were evaluated: oncocytic cytoplasm of submucosal glands and depletion of goblet cells in submucosal glands [13-28,47].

The size of the entire tumor was measured to the first digit after the decimal point (cm). The diameter of the largest isolated submucosal lymphoid aggregate or follicle involved by the neoplasm was measured to the second digit after the decimal point (cm).

Immunohistochemical study

To evaluate the muscularis mucosae, immunohistochemical staining for desmin was performed. Paraffin-embedded tissue sections with 5 µm thickness were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated by a graded series of ethyl alcohol concentrations. Heat-induced antigen retrieval was carried out in citrate buffer (pH 6.0). Sections were incubated with the primary antibody for desmin (1:200, D33, DAKO, Glostrup, UK) in a Bond-MAX automated immunostainer (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Counterstaining with Mayer’s hematoxylin was performed.

Statistical analysis

Clinical features including location (number 1 assigned for right-sided, number 2 assigned for left-sided), sex (number 1 assigned for male, number 2 assigned for female), and endoscopic appearance (number 1 assigned for protruding, number 2 assigned for non-protruding) were analyzed by single sample t test with a test value of 1.5.

The relationship between “the grade of dysplasia in the submucosal GALT” and pathologic features (including pathologic size of the entire lesion and largest diameter of isolated submucosal GALT involved) were analyzed by Mann-Whitney test.

The relationship between “the grade of dysplasia in the submucosal GALT” and the remaining clinicopathologic features (including those for PEM, frank invasion, and GALT carcinoma) were analyzed by chi-square test and Fisher exact probability test.

A p-value less than .05 was considered statistically significant. All statistics were analyzed using SPSS ver. 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).
Ethics statement

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kosin University Gospel Hospital with a waiver of informed consent (IRB No. 2019-08-009) and performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki [48].

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics

In the 11 cases of colorectal neoplasms involving submucosal GALT, the median age was 59 years (ranging from 53 to 73 years). Eight cases (72.7%) occurred in males. Only one case (case 3) showed protruding or “dome-shaped” endoscopic appearance. The other 10 cases showed non-protruding endoscopic appearance. Eight cases (72.7%) were in the right-sided colon. Clinical characteristics of the 11 cases were summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

With median follow-up duration of 17 months (ranging from 5 to 61 months), no recurrence was identified (data not shown in Tables 1 and 2).

Pathologic features

The pathologic diagnoses of the 11 cases included tubular adenoma with low grade dysplasia (n = 3, 27.3%) and tubular adenoma with high-grade dysplasia (n = 8, 72.7%). Three cases (27.3%) showed high-grade dysplasia in submucosal glands; the other eight cases (72.7%) showed low-grade dysplasia in submucosal glands. Nine cases (81.8%) showed continuity between submucosal and surface adenomatous components. Nine cases showed (81.8%) a focal defect or discontinuation of the muscularis mucosae adjacent to submucosal GALT (Fig. 1). No case showed hemosiderin deposition in the submucosa. Ten cases (90.9%) had rounded or lobular architecture of submucosal GALT involved in the glands; in the other case without rounded

Table 1. Clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal neoplasm associated with submucosal GALT

| Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 | Case 5 | Case 6 | Case 7 | Case 8 | Case 9 | Case 10 | Case 11 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Age (yr) | 56 | 58 | 66 | 53 | 70 | 71 | 55 | 73 | 73 | 59 | 54 |
| Sex | F | M | F | M | M | M | M | F | M | M | M |
| Location | Rectum | Ascending | Ascending | Transverse | Ascending | Transverse | Transverse | HF | HF | HF | HF |
| Endoscopic appearance | 0-IIa + Is | 0-IIa | 0-IIa | 0-IIa | 0-IIa | 0-IIa | 0-IIa | 0-IIa | 0-IIa | 0-IIa + Is | 0-IIa |
| Pathologic diagnosis | TA w/ HGD | TA w/ HGD | TA w/ HGD | TA w/ HGD | TA w/ HGD | TA w/ HGD | TA w/ LGD | TA w/ HGD | TA w/ HGD | TA w/ LGD |
| Dysplasia in the SM GALT | LGD | LGD | LGD | LGD | LGD | LGD | LGD | LGD | LGD | LGD |
| Continuity of SM glands with surface adenomatous component | + | – | + | + | + | + | – | + | + | + |
| Focal defect of MM adjacent to the SM GALT | + | – | + | + | + | + | – | + | + | + |
| Hemosiderin deposit in the SM | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Rounded contour of involved SM GALT | + | – | – | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Cystic dilatation of SM glands | + | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Admixture of SM glands with normal colonic epithelium | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Desmoplasia | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Single or small clusters of tumor cells in the SM GALT | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Lymphovascular invasion | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Oncocytic cytoplasm of the SM glands | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Goblet cells in the SM glands | + | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Histologic size of the entire tumor (cm) | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| The largest diameter of isolated SM GALT (cm) | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.19 |

GALT, gut-associated lymphoid tissue; F, female; M, male; TA, tubular adenoma; w/, with; HGD, high-grade dysplasia; LGD, low-grade dysplasia; SM, submucosa or submucosal; 0-IIa, flat elevated type; 0-IIa, slightly depressed type; +, present; –, absent; ±, inconspicuous.

*Endoscopic appearance was classified according to the Paris classification. 0-IIa+Is corresponds to “nodular mixed type of the granular laterally spreading tumor.” 0-IIa corresponds to either “homogeneous type of granular laterally spreading tumor” or “flat elevated type of non-granular laterally spreading tumor.”
Table 2. Summary of clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal neoplasm associated with submucosal GALT and relationship with grade of dysplasia in submucosa

| Table 2. Summary of clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal neoplasm associated with submucosal GALT and relationship with grade of dysplasia in submucosa |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                              | Total (n = 11) | HGD in the SM (n = 3) | LGD in the SM (n = 8) | p-value |
| Age (yr)                      | 59 (53–73)     | 73 (66–73)             | 57 (53–71)             | .138    |
| Sex                           |                 |                         |                       |         |
| Male                          | 8 (72.7)        | 1 (33.3)                | 7 (87.5)              | .138    |
| Female                        | 3 (27.3)        | 2 (66.7)                | 1 (12.5)              |         |
| Endoscopic appearancea        |                 |                         |                       | .001    |
| Protruding                    | 1 (9.1)         | 1 (33.3)                | 0                     |         |
| Non-protruding                | 10 (90.9)       | 2 (66.7)                | 8 (100)               |         |
| Location                      |                 |                         |                       | .138    |
| Right-sided                   | 8 (72.7)        | 2 (66.7)                | 6 (75.0)              |         |
| Left-sided                    | 3 (27.3)        | 1 (33.3)                | 2 (25.0)              |         |
| Pathologic diagnosis of entire ESD specimen |                 |                         |                       | .491    |
| HGD                           | 8 (72.7)        | 3 (100)                 | 5 (62.5)              |         |
| LGD                           | 3 (27.3)        | 0                       | 3 (37.5)              |         |
| Continuity of SM glands with surface adenomatous component |         |                         |                       | > .99   |
| Continued                     | 9 (81.8)        | 3 (100.0)               | 6 (75.0)              |         |
| Discontinued                  | 2 (18.2)        | 0 (0.0)                 | 2 (25.0)              |         |
| Focal defect of MM adjacent to SM GALT |         |                         |                       | > .99   |
| Continued                     | 2 (18.2)        | 0                       | 2 (25.0)              |         |
| Discontinued                  | 9 (81.8)        | 3 (100)                 | 6 (75.0)              |         |
| Hemosiderin deposit in the SM |                 |                         |                       | –       |
| Present                       | 0               | 0                       | 0                     |         |
| Absent                        | 11 (100)        | 3 (100)                 | 8 (100)               |         |
| Contour of involved SM GALT   |                 |                         |                       | .273    |
| Rounded                       | 10 (90.9)       | 2 (66.7)                | 8 (100)               |         |
| Irregular                     | 1 (9.1)         | 1 (33.3)                | 0                     |         |
| Cystic dilatation of SM glands |                 |                         |                       | > .99   |
| Present                       | 3 (27.3)        | 1 (33.3)                | 2 (25.0)              |         |
| Absent                        | 8 (72.7)        | 2 (66.7)                | 6 (75.0)              |         |
| Admixture of SM glands with normal colonic epithelium |         |                         |                       | > .99   |
| Present                       | 1 (9.1)         | 0                       | 1 (12.5)              |         |
| Absent                        | 10 (90.9)       | 3 (100)                 | 7 (87.5)              |         |
| Desmoplasia                   |                 |                         |                       | –       |
| Present                       | 0               | 0                       | 0                     |         |
| Absent                        | 11 (100)        | 3 (100)                 | 8 (100)               |         |
| Single or small clusters of tumor cells in the SM GALT |         |                         |                       | –       |
| Present                       | 0               | 0                       | 0                     |         |
| Absent                        | 11 (100)        | 3 (100)                 | 8 (100)               |         |
| Lymphovascular invasion       |                 |                         |                       | –       |
| Present                       | 0               | 0                       | 0                     |         |
| Absent                        | 11 (100)        | 3 (100)                 | 8 (100)               |         |
| Oncocytic cytoplasm of the SM glands |         |                         |                       | –       |
| Present                       | 0               | 0                       | 0                     |         |
| Absent                        | 11 (100)        | 3 (100)                 | 8 (100)               |         |
| Goblet cells in the SM glands |                 |                         |                       | –       |
| Present                       | 7 (63.6)        | 2 (66.7)                | 5 (62.5)              |         |
| Absent                        | 4 (36.4)        | 1 (33.3)                | 3 (37.5)              |         |
| Pathologic size of entire lesion (cm) | 0.17 (0.12–0.33) | 0.23 (0.12–0.33) | 0.155 (0.13–0.29) |         |

Values are presented as median (range) or number (%). GALT, gut-associated lymphoid tissue; HGD, high-grade dysplasia; SM, submucosa or submucosal; LGD, low-grade dysplasia; ESD, endoscopic submucosal dissection.

aEndoscopic appearance was classified according to the Paris classification.
Fig. 1. Continuity of submucosal glands with surface adenomatous component and focal defect of muscularis mucosae adjacent to submucosal gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT). (A) Case 5. Tubular adenoma with high-grade dysplasia. Histologic continuity of submucosal glands with surface adenomatous component is seen. Submucosal glands with low-grade dysplasia show similar degree of differentiation compared with surface component. However, this neoplasm shows focal area of glands with complex architecture and corresponding high-grade cytologic features in surface mucosa elsewhere (depicted in inset). This case is more compatible with tubular adenoma with high-grade dysplasia than invasive adenocarcinoma, which usually shows less differentiated tumor cells in the deepest part of invasion. (B) Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining for desmin in case 5 shows focal defects of the muscularis mucosae with GALT-associated pseudoinvasion/epithelial misplacement (PEM). (C) Case 9. Tubular adenoma with high-grade dysplasia. Both surface adenomatous component and submucosal glands show high-grade dysplasia and histologic continuity across the muscularis mucosae. (D) IHC staining for desmin in case 9 highlights discontinuous muscularis mucosae. (E) Case 2. Tubular adenoma with high-grade dysplasia. In contrast to cases 5 and 9, no histologic continuity of submucosal glands with surface adenomatous component is seen. Inset depicts glands with high-grade dysplasia in surface mucosa. Narrow rim surrounding submucosal glands is not compatible with typical desmoplasia. Absence of single tumor cells/small clusters of tumor cells, poorly formed or back-to-back glands, solid tumor nests, or “true” desmoplasia favor diagnosis of tubular adenoma with high-grade dysplasia involving GALT (PEM) over adenocarcinoma with “true” submucosal invasion. (F) Intact muscularis mucosae with subjacent GALT of case 2 is identified with IHC staining for desmin. (G) Case 11. Tubular adenoma with low-grade dysplasia. Cystically dilated tumor glands cross through the muscularis mucosae. Note simultaneous crossing over by non-neoplastic glands (indicated by arrow). (H) IHC staining for desmin in case 11. PEM via GALT is accompanied by discontinuous muscularis mucosae rather than hypertrophy of muscularis mucosae.
architecture (case 3), the tumor glands under the imaginary line connecting the adjacent muscularis mucosa beneath the normal mucosa were partly surrounded by submucosal GALT (Fig. 2). Three cases (27.3%) showed cystically dilated submucosal glands. Only one case (9.1%, case 11) showed an admixture of non-neoplastic glands and neoplastic glands in the submucosal GALT. No case showed a desmoplastic reaction. No case showed a single tumor cell or small clusters of tumor cells in the submucosal GALT. No case showed oncocytic cytoplasm of submucosal glands. Seven cases (63.6%) showed goblet cells in submucosal glands. The median value of the histologic size of the entire tumor was 1.7 cm (range, 1.2 to 2.7 cm). The median value of the largest diameter of the isolated submucosal GALT involved by epithelial neoplasm was 0.17 cm (range, 0.12 to 0.33 cm). Pathologic characteristics of the 11 cases were summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

All 11 cases of colorectal epithelial neoplasm involving submu-
cosal GALT had a submucosal tumor component more compatible with PEM (Figs. 1, 2).

**Clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal neoplasm associated with submucosal GALT in relation to grade of dysplasia**

No statistically significant difference in pathologic size of the entire lesion was identified between the two groups (high-grade dysplasia in submucosa versus low-grade dysplasia in submucosa). No statistically significant difference of the largest diameter of isolated submucosal GALT involved was identified between the two groups (high-grade dysplasia in the submucosa versus low-grade dysplasia in the submucosa) (Table 2).

No statistically significant relationship between grade of dysplasia in the submucosa (high-grade dysplasia versus low-grade dysplasia) and pathologic features (including pathologic features for PEM; continuity of submucosal glands with surface adenomatous component, focal defect of muscularis mucosae adjacent to submucosal GALT, hemosiderin deposit in the submucosa, contour of submucosal GALT, cystic dilation of submucosal glands, and admixture of submucosal glands with normal colonic epithelium; pathologic features for frank invasion; desmoplasia, single tumor cell or small clusters of tumor cells, and lymphovascular invasion; and pathologic features for GALT carcinoma; oncocytic cytoplasm of submucosal glands and depletion of goblet cells in submucosal glands) was identified (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

A consistent and close association between GALT, particularly organized lymphoid aggregates in the submucosa, and colorectal carcinogenesis, has been reported in rodent models despite scarce incidence of GALT in normal rat intestine [49-53]. However, the specific role of GALT in human colorectal carcinogenesis is not fully understood [6]. Herein, we analyzed the clinicopathologic features of eleven colorectal epithelial neoplasms involving submucosal GALT.

Eight cases (72.7%) were in males. However, validation with a larger number of cases is required to investigate the possible sex predilection of GALT-associated neoplasms. Fu et al. [8] reported significantly higher incidence of GALT in the early colorectal neoplasms of females compared to those of males.

Eight cases (72.7%) were located in the right-sided colon. Kealy [5] reported a more frequent incidence of GALT in the distal colon compared with the proximal colon. Langman and Rowland [4] reported similar relative abundance of GALT in the rectum, but the mean density of GALT in the normal human large intestine was approximately eight times higher than that of the previous report; the normal density of GALT in the proximal colon was not as low as that of previous data [54]. They attributed this gap to differences in the techniques used [4]. O’Leary and Sweeney [55] reported the greatest normal frequency of GALT in both the rectum and cecum, which has relatively abundant microflora [1]. Lee et al. [12] also reported the right side predilection of GALT-associated neoplasms (“tubular adenoma with pseudo invasion” in their study).

Fu et al. [8] reported the different incidences of GALT according to different macroscopic types of colorectal neoplasm. They reported that neoplasms with depressed or flat macroscopic types showed significantly higher incidence of submucosal GALT than neoplasms with protruding macroscopic types [8]. In our study, 10 cases (90.9%) showed non-protruding endoscopic appearances; the endoscopic appearance of the one other case (case 3) was protruding type (0-Is according to Paris classification) [31]. Several reports have emphasized the non-protruding macroscopic appearance of GALT-associated colorectal neoplasms [2,22,56].

GALT carcinoma and GALT-associated PEM share some overlapping histopathologic features, including submucosal localization, well defined round contour with expansive growth, absence of desmoplasia, cystically dilated glands, and close association with submucosal GALT [12,13]. Most cases of GALT carcinoma reported so far were confined to the submucosal layer; only two of 23 cases reported as GALT carcinoma showed tumor extension beyond the submucosa [13,16,19,24]. Some prefer to use the term “pseudo invasion” over “epithelial misplacement,” emphasizing the expansive lobular growth pattern of tumor glands located in the submucosa after herniation [41]. In fact, the unique histologic features of GALT carcinoma had once been attributed to the result of PEM from overlying conventional adenomas. Thus, the term “lymphoid-associated neoplasia in the herniated colonic epithelium” was once presented [25,47]. One case report author used the term “adenomatous polyp,” but other researchers have used the term “GALT carcinoma” [13,15].

The presence of lamina propria surrounding submucosal glandular structures strongly indicates PEM [11,12,37-45,57]. Absence of lamina propria surrounding submucosal glands along with discontinuity between surface adenomatous component and submucosal glands has been presented as a distinct feature of GALT carcinoma [13,18]. However, as normal lamina propria is occasionally occupied by GALT, a rim of lamina propria surrounding the submucosal glands can be obscured by...
GALT. We are unable to suggest any specific reliable criteria with acceptable interobserver agreement regarding lamina propria rimming. Also, the absence of continuity between the surface adenomatous component and submucosal glands does not completely exclude the possibility of PEM, as shown in our study (cases 2 and 7).

Nevertheless, unique cytologic features that are distinctive of GALT carcinoma have been suggested, including submucosal glands lined by a single layer of columnar cells with oncocytic cytoplasm and lack of goblet cells. Both of these features are reminiscent of special cell types in follicle-associated epithelium [2]. In our study, seven cases (63.6%) showed goblet cells in the submucosa. No case showed submucosal glands lined by a single layer of oncocytic columnar cells. Hence, we insist that all 11 cases in this study have a submucosal tumor component more compatible with GALT-associated PEM.

Since their first description by Muto et al. [38], hemosiderin deposits in the submucosa, along with granulation tissue and fibroinflammatory reaction (so-called siderogenous desmoplasia), were considered characteristic histologic features of benign submucosal epithelium that are distinct from those of true invasive carcinoma [11,12,37-45,57]. The classic explanation is that repeated twisting or torsion of a long stalk of pedunculated polyp can cause epithelial misplacement into the submucosa to result in these histologic features. This is further supported by the preponderant occurrence in the sigmoid colon, where peristalsis is the most powerful [12,38,41]. However, in our study, no siderogenous desmoplasia indicating repeat tissue damage was identified. All eleven cases had the non-pedunculated macroscopic type and occurred both on the right-sided and left-sided of the colon. One possible explanation is that PEM in non-pedunculated colorectal neoplasms may be ascribed to a relative abundance of submucosal GALT. With respect to ‘the microanatomic defect of muscularis mucosae’ and ‘the size of the submucosal GALT’, Kealy [5] reported a positive correlation between maximum diameters of lymphoid nodules and gaps in the muscularis mucosae. In our study, the median value of the largest diameter of isolated submucosal GALT was 0.17 cm (range, 0.12 to 0.33 cm). Increased number and size of submucosal lymphoid follicles can result in microanatomic defects in the muscularis propria, eventually causing GALT-associated PEM [11,12].

The defining feature of colorectal cancer, in contrast to premalignant neoplasm, is invasion of the muscularis mucosae into the submucosa [36,58-61]. This definition of colorectal adenocarcinoma is partly based on histologic knowledge that there are no lymphatic vessels in normal colonic lamina propria. There is also a lack of clinicopathologic data showing intramucosal carcinoma with nodal metastasis, although ‘the presence of lymphatic vessels in the colonic lamina propria of the pathologic states’ and ‘the presence of lymphovascular invasion in the colorectal intramucosal carcinoma’ have been reported [62-65]. In certain circumstances, colorectal epithelial neoplasms can extend into the submucosa without histologic features of frank invasion.

Conventional cytologic features, such as anaplasia, loss of nuclear polarity, and nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, have been used as criteria for the differential diagnosis of PEM versus true invasion, although this distinction is not widely accepted [57]. Adenomatous component showing high-grade dysplasia in the submucosa can mimic malignancy [40,41]. However, the grade of dysplasia in the submucosa itself may not match other pathologic parameters for the differential diagnosis of PEM. In our study, no statistical significance was identified in the relationship between the grade of the dysplasia in the submucosa (high-grade dysplasia versus low-grade dysplasia) and “the clinicopathologic features (including pathologic features for PEM, frank invasion, and GALT carcinoma).” Additionally, six cases showed similar grades of dysplasia between the submucosal component and surface component. The five other cases showed a less severe grade of dysplasia in the submucosa compared with that in the mucosa. No case showed high-grade dysplasia in the submucosa with low-grade dysplasia in the mucosa (Table 2, Fig. 1). Generally, invasive adenocarcinoma shows less differentiated tumor cells at the deepest part of invasion. However, adenoma with PEM shows a similar degree of differentiation between the surface component and the submucosal component [37,38,43].

Our experience suggests that pathologists should be aware of the interpretation of GALT-associated submucosal extension of colorectal adenomatous neoplasms. This study is limited due to the small number of cases. Thus, further investigation with a larger number of cases and validation of the classification criteria of GALT-associated colorectal neoplasm is recommended.
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