ZEROES OF THE SPECTRAL DENSITY OF DISCRETE SCHRODINGER OPERATOR WITH WIGNER-VON NEUMANN POTENTIAL
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Abstract. We consider a discrete Schrödinger operator \( J \) whose potential is the sum of a Wigner-von Neumann term \( c \sin(\omega n + \delta) \) and a summable term. The essential spectrum of the operator \( J \) equals to the interval \([-2, 2]\). Inside this interval, there are two critical points \( \pm 2 \cos \omega \) where eigenvalues may be situated. We prove that, generically, the spectral density of \( J \) has zeroes of the power \(|c|^2 |\sin \omega|\) at these points.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we consider a discrete Schrödinger operator, i.e., a Jacobi matrix

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix}
    b_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\
    1 & b_2 & 1 & \cdots \\
    0 & 1 & b_3 & \cdots \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix},
\]

whose diagonal entries (potential) are of the form

\[
b_n := \frac{c \sin(2\omega n + \delta)}{n} + q_n,
\]

where \( c, \omega, \delta \) are real constants and \( \{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a real-valued sequence such that

\[
c \neq 0, \omega \notin \frac{\pi}{2} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^1.
\]

The operator \( J \) is a compact perturbation of the free discrete Schrödinger operator and therefore, by Weyl’s theorem, its essential spectrum equals the interval \([-2, 2]\), cf. [4]. Moreover, since \( \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^2 \), the interval \((-2, 2)\) is covered with absolutely continuous spectrum, cf. [9]. The presence of the (discrete) Wigner-von Neumann potential \( c \sin(2\omega n + \delta) \) with frequency \( \omega \) produces two critical (resonance) points, namely, the points \( \pm 2 \cos \omega \) where \( J \) may have half-bound states or eigenvalues. Here, by a half-bound state we understand a point where a subordinate solution of the eigenfunction equation exists, which does not belong to \( l^2 \). The spectrum on the rest of the interval \((-2, 2)\) is purely absolutely continuous, cf. [12].

In the present paper we study the behaviour of the spectral density of the operator \( J \) near the critical points \( \pm 2 \cos \omega \). Our main result is Theorem 5.1, where we show that the spectral density has a zero of the order \(|c|^2 |\sin \omega|\) at each of these points, unless an eigenvalue or a half-bound state is located there.
Vanishing of the spectral density divides the absolutely continuous spectrum into separate parts and is called pseudogap. The physical meaning of this phenomenon is that the interval of the spectrum near such point contains very few energy levels.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is based on two ingredients. The first is a Weyl-Titchmarsh type formula taken from [12], which relates the value of the spectral density to the coefficient in the orthogonal polynomials asymptotics (Proposition 2.1). The second is the limit behaviour of the solutions of a certain model discrete linear system (Proposition 4.1), which has been studied in [19].

Operators with Wigner-von Neumann potentials [24] attracted attention of many authors [2, 15, 5, 17, 11]. In [11] the Weyl function behaviour near the critical points was studied for the differential Schrödinger operator on the half-line with an infinite sum of Wigner-von Neumann terms in the potential. The spectral density is proportional to the boundary value of imaginary part of the Weyl function. Hence the object under consideration in [11] is the same as in the present paper. The questions addressed in [11] were also studied by a different method in [3, 4, 5]. In [13] all the possible cases for such potentials were considered (bound state or half-bound state).

In [16, 19] (following [15]) we considered the Schrödinger operator with a potential which is the sum of Wigner-von Neumann part, a summable part, and a periodic background part. There we have proposed a new approach based on the study of the model discrete linear system (4.1). We consider that the main advantage of this approach is that the result can be formulated as a theorem concerning the model system. This leads to a greater universality of the method. In the present paper we show that it is applicable to the Jacobi matrix case and allows to use the same theorem as in [19]. We plan to consider other applications of this method, for instance, the Schrödinger operator on the half-line with point interactions supported by a lattice (Kronig-Penney model) with the sequence of interacting centers or strengths of interaction perturbed by a sequence of Wigner-von Neumann potential form [24].

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we define the operator $\mathcal{J}$ and recall the Weyl-Titchmarsh type formula for its spectral density. In Section 3 we transform the eigenfunction equation to a form of the model discrete linear system (3.14). In Section 4 we recall the results about this system which we obtained in [19]. In Section 5 we prove our main result, Theorem 5.1.

2. Preliminaries

The operator $\mathcal{J}$ acts in the Hilbert space $l^2$ of square summable complex-valued sequences by the rule

\begin{equation}
(\mathcal{J}u)_1 = b_1u_1 + u_2, \\
(\mathcal{J}u)_n = u_{n-1} + b_nu_n + u_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 2,
\end{equation}

on the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J}) = \{ u \in l^2 : \text{the result of (2.1) is in } l^2 \}$$

(maximal domain) and is self-adjoint [1]. It has a matrix representation of the form (1.1) in the canonical base of $l^2$. Eigenfunction equation for $\mathcal{J}$ is the following three-term recurrence relation:

\begin{equation}
u_{n-1} + b_nu_n + u_{n+1} = \lambda u_n, \quad n \geq 2,
\end{equation}

and its solutions are called generalized eigenvectors. One of these solutions is formed of polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$ which additionally satisfy the "first line" equation: $b_1u_1 + u_2 = \lambda u_1$, and are defined by conditions $P_1(\lambda) = 1, P_2(\lambda) = \lambda - b_1$. There exists a measure $\rho$ such that polynomials $P_n(\lambda)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, form an orthogonal base in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$. Moreover, the operator $\mathcal{J}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator
of multiplication by an independent variable in this space, and so the measure \( \rho \) is called the spectral measure of \( J \). The derivative of the spectral measure \( \rho' \) is called the spectral density and is the main object of our interest.

The spectral density of the discrete Schrödinger operator with summable potential can be expressed in terms of the asymptotics as \( n \to \infty \) of its orthogonal polynomials by the Weyl-Titchmarsh (Kodaira) type formula. The classical Weyl-Titchmarsh formula deals with the differential Schrödinger operator on the half-line with summable potential \[22\], Chapter 5, \[14\]. For the operator \( J \) considered in this paper (and actually for a larger class of discrete Schrödinger operators with non-summable potentials) analogue of it was obtained in \[12\] and is given by the following statement. Define the new variable \( z \) as follows:

\[
\lambda = z + \frac{1}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad z = \frac{\lambda + i \sqrt{4 - \lambda^2}}{2}.
\]

The interval \([-2, 2]\) of the variable \( \lambda \) corresponds to the upper half of the unit circle of the variable \( z \).

**Proposition 2.1** (Janas-Simonov). Let \( J \) be the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential \( \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) given by \[12\] and let conditions \[13\] hold. Then there exists a continuous function \( F: \mathbb{T}\{1, -1, e^{\pm i\omega}, -e^{\pm i\omega}\} \to \mathbb{C} \) such that orthogonal polynomials associated to \( J \) have the following asymptotics for \( \lambda \in (-2, 2) \) \( \{\pm 2 \cos \omega\} \):

\[
P_n(\lambda) = \frac{zF(z)}{1 - z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{Z^n} + \frac{zF(z)}{z^2 - 1} \cdot z^n + o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

Function \( F \) does not vanish on \( \mathbb{T}\{1, -1, e^{\pm i\omega}, -e^{\pm i\omega}\} \). Spectrum of \( J \) is purely absolutely continuous on \( (-2, 2) \) \( \{\pm 2 \cos \omega\} \). The spectral density of \( J \) equals:

\[
\rho'(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{4 - \lambda^2}}{2\pi|F(z)|^2}, \quad \lambda \in (-2, 2).
\]

The Weyl-Titchmarsh type formula \[23\] will be the main tool in our analysis of the behaviour of the spectral density.

### 3. Reduction of the eigenfunction equation to the model problem

In this section we transform the eigenfunction equation for \( J \) rewriting it as a discrete linear system in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) and reducing it to the model system of a simple form, which was studied in \[19\]. As the result we will be able to control the spectral density of \( J \) by a reformulation of Proposition \[24\] above in terms of a certain solution of the model system. As a byproduct we establish the asymptotic behavior of generalized eigenvectors at the critical points.

Consider for \( \lambda \in (-2, 2) \) the eigenfunction equation for \( J \)

\[
u_{n-1} + b_n \nu_n + \nu_{n+1} = \lambda \nu_n, \quad n \geq 2.
\]

Write it in the vector form,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
u_n \\
u_{n+1}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda - b_n \end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_{n-1} \\
u_n
\end{pmatrix}, \quad n \geq 2.
\]

Consider a new parameter \( \phi \) such that \( \lambda = 2 \cos \phi \). Variation of parameters in the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
u_n \\
u_{n+1}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\phi n} & e^{i\phi n} \\ e^{-i\phi(n+1)} & e^{i\phi(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_n
\end{pmatrix}
\]

in the system \[3.2\] leads to an equivalent system

\[
u_{n+1} = M_n(\phi)\nu_n, \quad n \geq 1,
\]
with the coefficient matrix

\[
(3.4) \quad M_n(\phi) := I + \frac{b_{n+1}}{2i \sin \phi} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & e^{2i \phi(n+1)} \\
-e^{-2i \phi(n+1)} & -1
\end{pmatrix} = I + V_n^{(1)}(\phi) + R_n^{(1)}(\phi),
\]

where

\[
(3.5) \quad V_n^{(1)}(\phi) := \frac{c \sin(2\omega(n+1) + \delta)}{2i(n+1) \sin \phi} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
+ \frac{c}{4(n+1) \sin \phi} \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i(2(\phi-\omega)(n+1)-\delta)} & e^{i(2(\phi-\omega)(n+1)-\delta)} \\
e^{-i(2(\phi+\omega)(n+1)+\delta)} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
- \frac{c}{4(n+1) \sin \phi} \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i(2(\phi+\omega)(n+1)+\delta)} & 0 \\
e^{-i(2(\phi-\omega)(n+1)-\delta)} & e^{i(2(\phi-\omega)(n+1)-\delta)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
(3.6) \quad R_n^{(1)}(\phi) := \frac{q_{n+1}}{2i \sin \phi} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & e^{i \phi(n+1)} \\
-e^{-i \phi(n+1)} & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The following theorem gives asymptotics of generalized eigenvector \(s\) of \(J\) for different values of the spectral parameter belonging to the interval \((-2, 2)\).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \(J\) be the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential \(\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) given by \((1.2)\), \(\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) be real-valued sequence such that \(\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^1\) and let the conditions \((1.3)\) hold. Then for every \(\lambda \in (-2, 2)\) there exists a base \(u^+(\lambda)\) and \(a^- (\lambda)\) of generalized eigenvectors of \(J\) with the following asymptotics as \(n \to \infty\).

1. For \(\lambda = 2 \cos \omega\)

\[
(3.7) \quad u_n^+(\lambda) = n \frac{\sin \omega}{\sin \phi} (\cos(\omega n + \delta/2) + o(1)), \\
u_n^-(\lambda) = n \frac{\sin \omega}{\sin \phi} (\sin(\omega n + \delta/2) + o(1)).
\]

2. For \(\lambda = -2 \cos \omega\)

\[
(3.8) \quad u_n^+(\lambda) = (-1)^n n \frac{\sin \omega}{\sin \phi} (\sin(\omega n + \delta/2) + o(1)), \\
u_n^-(\lambda) = (-1)^n n \frac{\sin \omega}{\sin \phi} (\cos(\omega n + \delta/2) + o(1)).
\]

3. For \(\lambda = 2 \cos \phi \in (-2, 2) \setminus \{\pm 2 \cos \omega\}\)

\[
(3.9) \quad u_n^+(\lambda) = \exp(i \phi n) + o(1), \\
u_n^-(\lambda) = \exp(-i \phi n) + o(1).
\]

**Remark 3.1.** A similar result is obtained in, e.g., \([20]\) by the method of averaging (and \([12]\) for the third case). Note that in \((-2, 2) \setminus \{\pm 2 \cos \omega\}\) eigenfunction equation is elliptic (i.e., all its solutions have the same order of magnitude), while at the critical points \(\pm 2 \cos \omega\) it is hyperbolic (the orders of two solutions are different).

**Proof.** For \(\lambda \in (-2, 2)\) the transfer matrix \((3.4)\) has the form

\[
M_n(\phi) = I + \frac{c}{4n \sin \omega} X_j + V_{j,n}(\phi),
\]

where \(\{V_{j,n}(\phi)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is some conditionally summable matrix-valued sequence which belongs to \(l^2\) and \(X_j\) is a constant matrix, which is different in the three cases under consideration:

1. \(\lambda = 2 \cos \omega\) or \(\phi = \omega\): \(X_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & e^{-i \delta} \\
e^{i \delta} & 0
\end{pmatrix}\).

2. \(\lambda = -2 \cos \omega\) or \(\phi = \omega + \pi\): \(X_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -e^{-i \delta} \\
e^{i \delta} & 0
\end{pmatrix}\).

3. \(\lambda \in (-2, 2) \setminus \{\pm 2 \cos \omega\}\) or \(\phi \in (0, \pi) \setminus \{\omega \mod \pi, \pi - (\omega \mod \pi)\}\): \(X_3 = 0\).

By \([8]\) Theorem 3.2 (a version of the discrete Levinson theorem) we can neglect the term \(V_n(\phi)\) (i.e., solutions of the system \((3.3)\) have the same asymptotics as
solutions of the analogous system without this term). Hence in all three cases 
\( j = 1, 2, 3 \) the system \( v_{n+1} = M_\alpha(\phi) v_n \) has a base of solutions \( v_n^{(1)} \) and \( v_n^{(2)} \) with the following asymptotics as \( n \to \infty \):
\[
v_n^{(1)} = n^\mu_1 \left( \frac{c_{\mu_1}(X_j)}{\sin(2\omega_1 n + \delta_1)} \right),
\]
and
\[
v_n^{(2)} = n^\mu_2 \left( \frac{c_{\mu_2}(X_j)}{\sin(2\omega_1 n + \delta_1)} \right),
\]
where \( \mu_1(X_j), \mu_2(X_j) \) are the eigenvalues of matrices \( X_j \) and \( \frac{c_{\mu_1}(X_j)}{\sin(2\omega_1 n + \delta_1)}, \frac{c_{\mu_2}(X_j)}{\sin(2\omega_1 n + \delta_1)} \) are the corresponding eigenvectors. Returning to the solution \( u_n \) by the equality \( u_n = e^{-i\phi_n}(v_n)_1 + e^{i\phi_n}(v_n)_2 \) we complete the proof (by \( (v_n)_1 \) and \( (v_n)_2 \) we denote two components of the vector \( v_n \in \mathbb{C}^2 \)).

From now on we will use the expression \( c \sin(2\omega n + \delta) \) in the rewritten form
\[
c \sin(2\omega n + \delta) = |c| \sin(2\omega_1 n + \delta_1)
\]
with
\[
(3.9) \quad \delta_1 := \delta + \frac{\pi}{2} (\text{sign } c - 1)
\]
and
\[
(3.10) \quad \omega_1 := \omega - \pi \left\lfloor \frac{\omega}{\pi} \right\rfloor \in (0, \pi),
\]
where \( \lfloor \cdot \rfloor \) denotes the standard floor function (\( \lfloor x \rfloor \) is the greatest integer which is less than \( x \)). Now we can fix the range of the variable \( \phi \in (0, \pi) \) corresponding to \( \lambda \in (-2, 2) \), so that \( z = e^{i\phi} \).

The term \( V_n^{(1)}(\phi) \) for \( \phi \neq \omega_1, \pi - \omega_1 \) is conditionally summable and belongs to \( L^2 \). As Theorem 3.1 shows, this term does not affect the type of asymptotics of solutions (this leads to the preservation of the absolutely continuous spectrum and was considered in detail in [12]). The values \( \phi = \omega_1, \pi - \omega_1 \) correspond to \( \lambda = \pm 2 \cos \omega_1 \), i.e., to the resonance points. At these points the term \( V_n^{(1)}(\phi) \) is not summable even conditionally and the type of solutions asymptotics is different.

As Proposition 2.1 and the formula (3.3) suggest, the spectral density is related to the asymptotic behavior of the solution to the system \( v_{n+1} = M_\alpha(\phi) v_n \), which corresponds to orthogonal polynomials. We need to understand the dependence of asymptotics of this solution on the parameter \( \lambda \) (or, equivalently, on the parameter \( \phi \)) near two critical points. The analysis is based upon the idea that if (for example) \( \phi \) is close to \( \omega_1 \), then
\[
M_n(\phi) = I + \frac{|c|}{4n \sin \omega_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i(2(\phi - \omega_1)n - \delta_1)} \\ e^{-i(2(\phi - \omega_1)n - \delta_1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{some inessential part} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
As we have seen before, the terms in matrix entries of \( M_n(\phi) \) of the form \( e^{i\alpha n} \) are "dangerous" (make effect on asymptotics) only if \( \alpha = 2\pi \mathbb{Z} \). In the case \( \alpha = 0 \) the term of the type \( X \), where \( X \) is some constant matrix, produces a resonance (change of solutions asymptotics). Now we want to eliminate all non-resonating exponential terms from the system by a certain transformation, i.e., by substitution \( v_n \mapsto v_n := T_n(\phi) v_n \), where \( \{T_n(\phi)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a sequence of invertible matrices. Such a substitution leads to the discrete linear system \( w_{n+1} = T_{n+1} M_n(\phi) T_n w_n \). Transformations that we find are local, i.e., exist and can be applied only in some neighbourhoods of the critical points. It is important to control the properties of the summable remainder to ensure that it is still uniformly summable after the transformation. Let us introduce the following notation. Let \( S \) be some subset of the complex plane and \( R_n(\lambda), n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in S \) be a sequence of 2 \times 2 matrices depending on a
Proof. Let us prove the statement for Lemma 3.2. Since $|\pi - \omega_1| \in U_\pm \subset (0, \pi) \setminus \{\pi - \omega_1\}$, we will later use the following (trivial) result.

Define Harris-Lutz type transformations \cite{10, 6} as follows:

\begin{equation}
T_n^\pm (\phi) := -\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} V_k^{(1)}(\phi) + \frac{|c|}{4k \sin \omega_1} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & e^{i(2(\phi + \omega_1)k \mp \delta_1)} \\
e^{-i(2(\phi - \omega_1)k \mp \delta_1)} & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}

We will later use the following (trivial) result.

**Lemma 3.1.** For every real $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 2\pi \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} e^{i[k\xi]} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n|\sin \frac{\xi}{n}|}$.

**Proof.** Straightforwardly,

\begin{align*}
\left| (e^{i\xi} - 1) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i[k\xi]}}{k} \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} e^{i(k+1)\xi} \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) - \frac{e^{i[n\xi]}}{n} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{2}{n}.
\end{align*}

Since $|e^{i\xi} - 1| = 2 |\sin \frac{\xi}{2}|$, the proof is complete. \hfill \Box

Now we are able to state the properties of the transformations $T^\pm$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Sums in (3.11), which define $T^\pm(\phi)$, converge in $U_\pm$ and estimates

\begin{equation}
T_n^\pm (\phi) = O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \text{ as } n \to \infty
\end{equation}

hold uniformly in $U_\pm$, respectively. Moreover,

\begin{equation}
\exp(-T_{n+1}^\pm (\phi)) M_n(\phi) \exp(T_n^\pm (\phi)) = I \pm \frac{|c|}{4n \sin \omega_1} \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i(2(\phi + \omega_1)n \mp \delta_1)} & e^{i(2(\phi - \omega_1)n \mp \delta_1)} \\
e^{-i(2(\phi + \omega_1)k \mp \delta_1)} & 0
\end{pmatrix} + R_n^\pm (\phi),
\end{equation}

where $\{R_n^\pm (\phi)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^1(U_\pm)$ and for every natural functions $R_n^\pm (\cdot)$ are continuous in $U_\pm$, respectively.

**Proof.** Let us prove the statement for $T^+$ (one can obtain the proof of the second statement by changing the notation). Write

\begin{equation}
T_n^+ (\phi) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} t_k^+ (\phi),
\end{equation}

where

\begin{align*}
t_k^+ (\phi) &:= -\frac{|c|}{2i(k+1) \sin \phi} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix} \\
&- \frac{|c|}{4(k+1) \sin \phi} \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i(2(\phi \mp \omega_1)(k+1) \mp \delta_1)} & e^{i(2(\phi - \omega_1)(k+1) \pm \delta_1)} \\
e^{-i(2(\phi + \omega_1)(k+1) \mp \delta_1)} & 0
\end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{|c|}{4k \sin \omega_1} \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i(2(\phi \mp \omega_1)k \mp \delta_1)} & e^{i(2(\phi - \omega_1)k \pm \delta_1)} \\
e^{-i(2(\phi + \omega_1)k \pm \delta_1)} & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
Since the values $\xi = \pm 2\omega_1, \pm 2(\phi + \omega_1)$ do not belong to $\mathbb{R}\setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for $\phi \in U_+$, the sum over $k$ of first and third terms can be uniformly estimated using Lemma 3.3. The difference between the second term and the same expression with sum over $\xi$ in the denominator is uniformly $O(1/k^2)$, therefore the difficulty can only arise near the point $\phi = \omega_1$: when one takes the sum over $k$ of the following terms:

$$\left[ \frac{1}{\sin \omega_1} I - \frac{1}{\sin \phi} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i(\phi - \omega_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i(\phi - \omega_1)} \end{pmatrix} \right] \times \frac{|c|}{4k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i(2(\phi - \omega_1)k - \delta_1)} \\ e^{-i(2(\phi - \omega_1)k - \delta_1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Expression in the square brackets does not depend on $k$ and is $O(\phi - \omega_1)$ as $\phi \to \omega_1$, which cancels the zero of $\xi$ when we apply Lemma 3.4. This gives a uniform in $U_+$ estimate $T_n^+\phi) = O(1/n)$ as $n \to \infty$. To obtain the equality (3.13) we use the estimate $e^T = I + Y + O\|Y\|^2$ as $\|Y\| \to 0$ together with (3.12): substitute $M_n$ in the form (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) and $T_n^+$ in the form (3.17) into the expression $(I - T_n^+ + O(1/n^2))M_n(I + T_n^+ + O(1/n^2))$, open the brackets, simplify the result and leave only the terms of the order $1/n$ (the smaller terms should be included into the remainder $R_n^+$). The remainder is uniformly summable and continuous in $U_+$, which follows immediately. □

Transform the system further using the similarity relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\alpha} \\ e^{-i\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & -\cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

It is easy to check that by the transformation

$$\hat{v}_n^+ := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\delta_1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\delta_1/2} \end{pmatrix} \exp(-T_n^+(\phi))v_n$$

the system $v_{n+1} = M_n(\phi)v_n$ is reduced to the following one:

(3.14) \[ \hat{v}_{n+1}^+ = \left[ I + \frac{|c|}{4n \sin \omega_1} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2(\phi - \omega_1)n) & \sin(2(\phi - \omega_1)n) \\ \sin(2(\phi - \omega_1)n) & -\cos(2(\phi - \omega_1)n) \end{pmatrix} + \hat{R}_n^+(\phi) \right] \hat{v}_n^+, \]

where $\{\hat{R}_n^+(\phi)\}_n \in \ell^1(U_+)$ and the function $\hat{R}_n^+(\cdot)$ is continuous in $U_+ \forall n$. System (3.14) is equivalent for $\phi \in U_+$ to the eigenfunction equation (3.1) for the operator $\mathcal{J}$. Define the solution $\hat{p}_n^+(\phi)$ of (3.14) which corresponds to orthogonal polynomials:

(3.15) \[ \hat{p}_n^+(\phi) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\delta_1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\delta_1/2} \end{pmatrix} \exp(-T_n^+(\phi)) \times \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\phi n} & e^{i\phi(n+1)} \\ e^{-i\phi(n+1)} & e^{i\phi(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P_n(2\cos \phi) \\ P_{n+1}(2\cos \phi) \end{pmatrix}. \]

Now we are able to restate Proposition 2.1 in a form which is more convenient for our needs. The objects $\hat{v}_n^+, \hat{R}_n^+(\phi), \hat{p}_n^+(\phi)$ are defined in the same fashion for $\phi \in U_-$. 

**Lemma 3.3.** For every $\phi \in U_+$ the sequence $\{\hat{p}_n^+(\phi)\}_n \in \ell^1(U_+)$ given by (3.15) is a solution of the system (3.14). For every $\phi \in U_+ \setminus \{\omega_1\}$ it has a non-zero limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{p}_n^+(\phi) =: \hat{p}_\infty^+(\phi).$$

The spectral density of $\mathcal{J}$ can be expressed in terms of this limit as

(3.16) \[ \rho^*(2\cos \phi) = \frac{1}{4\pi \sin \phi \|\hat{p}_\infty^+(\phi)\|^2}, \phi \in U_. \]
Analogous statement holds true, if one replaces \( \tilde{p}^+ \) by \( \tilde{p}^- \), \( \tilde{R}^+ \) by \( \tilde{R}^- \), \( U_+ \) by \( U_- \) and \( \omega_1 \) by \( \pi - \omega_1 \).

**Proof.** The assertion of Proposition 4.1 for \( \phi \in U_+ \setminus \{ \omega_1 \} \) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i\phi n} & e^{i\phi(n+1)} \\
e^{-i\phi(n+1)} & e^{i\phi n}
\end{pmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}
P_n(2\cos\phi) \\
\rho(2\cos\phi)
\end{pmatrix} \to \frac{1}{2\sin\phi}
\begin{pmatrix}
iF(e^{i\phi}) \\
iF(e^{i\phi})
\end{pmatrix}
\]

as \( n \to \infty \). Together with the fact that \( T^+_n(\phi) = o(1) \) this yields:

\[
\hat{p}_\infty^+(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & i \\1 & -i\end{pmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}e^{i\delta_i/2} & 0 \\0 & e^{-i\delta_i/2}\end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sin\phi}
\begin{pmatrix}iF(e^{i\phi}) \\
iF(e^{i\phi})
\end{pmatrix}
\]

An explicit calculation shows that

\[
\left\|\hat{p}_\infty^+(\phi)\right\| = \frac{|F(e^{i\phi})|}{2\sin\phi}
\]

By Proposition 2.1 again,

\[
\rho'(2\cos\phi) = \frac{\sin\phi}{\pi|F(e^{i\phi})|^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\sin\phi\left\|\hat{p}_\infty^+(\phi)\right\|^2},
\]

which completes the proof for \( \phi \in U_+ \). In the second case the proof is analogous. \(\square\)

4. **RESULTS FOR THE MODEL PROBLEM**

In this section we formulate results concerning the model system

\[
x_{n+1} = \left[I + \frac{\beta}{n}\begin{pmatrix}\cos(\varepsilon n) & \sin(\varepsilon n) \\\sin(\varepsilon n) & \cos(\varepsilon n)\end{pmatrix} \right] + R_n(\varepsilon)x_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \varepsilon \in U,
\]

which were obtained in [19]. Using these results we immediately get information about the behavior of the functions \( \hat{p}_\infty^+(\phi) \) and \( \hat{p}_\infty^-(\phi) \) near the points \( \omega_1 \) and \( \pi - \omega_1 \), respectively, and therefore about the behavior of the spectral density of \( J \) near the critical points, cf. (3.18). Here \( \beta \) is positive, \( \varepsilon \in U \) is a small parameter, \( U \) is an interval such that

\[
0 \in U \subset (-2\pi; 2\pi)
\]

and the matrices \( R_n(\varepsilon) \) are supposed to be uniformly summable in \( n \) with respect to \( \varepsilon \in U \) and continuous in \( U \) for every \( n \).

Let us write the system (4.1) as

\[
x_{n+1} = B_n(\varepsilon)x_n
\]

with

\[
B_n(\varepsilon) := I + \frac{\beta}{n}\begin{pmatrix}\cos(\varepsilon n) & \sin(\varepsilon n) \\\sin(\varepsilon n) & \cos(\varepsilon n)\end{pmatrix} \right] + R_n(\varepsilon).
\]

We parametrize different solutions by their initial conditions \( f \in \mathbb{C}^2 \) (while the system itself depends on the small parameter \( \varepsilon \in U \):

\[
x_{n+1}(\varepsilon, f) := B_n(\varepsilon)x_n(\varepsilon, f), \quad n \geq 1.
\]

**Proposition 4.1** (Naboko-Simonov). Assume that functions \( R_n(\cdot) \) are continuous in \( U \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the matrices \( B_n(\varepsilon) \) are invertible for every \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in U \) and the sequence \( \{R_n(\varepsilon)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in L^1(U) \). Then for every \( f \in \mathbb{C}^2 \) and every \( \varepsilon \in U \setminus \{0\} \) the limit

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n(\varepsilon, f)
\]

exist. For \( \varepsilon = 0 \) the limit

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_n(0, f)}{n^\beta}
\]
exists for every \( f \) and the linear map

\[
f \mapsto \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_n(0, f)}{n^\beta}
\]

has rank one. If, moreover, \( f \) is such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_n(0, f)}{n^\beta} \neq 0 \), then there exist two one-side limits

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to \pm 0} |\varepsilon|^{\beta} \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n(\varepsilon, f) \neq 0.
\]

This result can be reformulated in terms of infinite matrix products, which will be more useful for us here. Let \( R \) stand for the whole sequence \( \{R_n(\varepsilon)\}_{n=1}^\infty \).

**Proposition 4.2.** In assumptions of Proposition 4.1, the following holds. For every \( \varepsilon \in U \setminus \{0\} \) there exists

\[
\Phi(\beta, \varepsilon, R) := \prod_{n=1}^\infty B_n(\varepsilon).
\]

For \( \varepsilon = 0 \) there exists the limit

\[
\Phi_0(\beta, R) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^\beta} \prod_{n=1}^N B_n(0),
\]

which is a matrix of rank one. And finally, there exist two one-side limits

\[
\Phi_{\pm}(\beta, R) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to \pm 0} |\varepsilon|^{\beta} \Phi(\beta, \varepsilon, R)
\]

such that

\[
\text{Ker} \: \Phi_0(\beta, R) = \text{Ker} \: \Phi_{-}(\beta, R) = \text{Ker} \: \Phi_{+}(\beta, R).
\]

5. **Zeroes of the spectral density**

In this section we put together all the ingredients: the Weyl-Titchmarsh type formula from [12], the analysis of [19] and the transformations of Section 3 to obtain the main result of the present paper.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( J \) be the discrete Schrödinger operator with the potential

\[
e^\beta c \sin(2\omega n + \delta) + q_n,
\]

where \( c, \omega, \delta \) are real constants, \( \{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) is a real-valued sequence such that

\[
e \neq 0, \omega \notin \frac{\pi}{2} \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^1.
\]

Let \( \nu_{cr} \in \{-2\cos \omega, 2\cos \omega\} \). If \( \nu_{cr} \) is neither an eigenvalue nor a half-bound state of \( J \), then there exist two one-side limits

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \nu_{cr} \pm 0} \frac{\rho'(\lambda)}{|\lambda - \nu_{cr}|^{1/2} \sin \omega},
\]

where \( \rho' \) is the spectral density of \( J \).

**Proof.** Consider the neighbourhood of the critical point \( 2\cos \omega_1 \) and \( \phi \in U_+ \). Take

\[
\varepsilon := 2(\phi - \omega_1),
\]

see [3.14]. Lemma 3.3 yields in the notation of Proposition 4.2

\[
\rho'(\lambda) = \rho'(2\cos \phi) = \rho'(2\cos(\omega_1 + \varepsilon/2))
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi \sin(\omega_1 + \varepsilon/2)} \frac{1}{\| \Phi \left( \frac{|\varepsilon|}{4 \sin \omega_1}, \varepsilon, \hat{R}^+ \right) \|} \frac{1}{\| \hat{p}_n^{\varepsilon/2}(\omega_1 + \varepsilon/2) \|}.
\]
Now the asymptotics of $\rho'(2\cos(\omega_1 + \varepsilon/2))$ as $\varepsilon \to \pm 0$ follow from Proposition 4.2 due to the continuity of $\hat{p}_1^+(\omega_1)$, if only
\[
\hat{p}_1^+(\omega_1) \not\in \text{Ker } \Phi_0 \left( \frac{|c|}{4\sin \omega_1}, \hat{R}^+ \right).
\]
The latter by the definition of $\Phi_0$ means that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{p}_n^+(\omega_1) \neq 0.
\]
Due to the relation (3.13) and Theorem 3.1 this in turn means that orthogonal polynomials at the point $2\cos \omega_1$ are not $O \left( n - \frac{|c|}{\sin \omega_1} \right)$ as $n \to \infty$, see the formulas (3.7) and (3.8). In the opposite case, the point $2\cos \omega_1$ is either an eigenvalue of $J$ (if $\frac{|c|}{\sin \omega_1} > \frac{1}{2}$) or a half-bound state (if $\frac{|c|}{\sin \omega_1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$). Since $\sin \omega_1 = |\sin \omega|$, this proves the result for the critical point $2\cos \omega_1$. The proof for the second critical point $-2\cos \omega_1$ can be obtained by changing $\omega_1$ to $\pi - \omega_1$ and $+$ to $-$ in the notation.
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