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ABSTRACT
Over the last few years, we have witnessed the rapid development of Michael Porter and Mark Kramer's "Creating Shared Value - CSV" strategy. It builds on the philosophy of Corporate Social Responsibility - CSR and represents a step forward in uniting business and state efforts to address social issues. The author proves this by comparing CSV and CSR and outlining some of their similarities and differences. Clarifying the essence of CSV and highlighting it from CSR are consider to force the impact of this new philosophy in the world of modern business.
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Over the past decade, economic literature has gained popularity the theories of increasing competitiveness and focusing on the relationship between business and society. One of these is Michael Porter's Creating Shared Value (CSV) and Mark Cremer's Creating Shared Value (CSV) concept. In harmony with European priorities, they perfectly combines the focus on sustainability, innovation and growth with responsibility for environmental protection and commitment to social problems and are in harmony with European priorities.

The idea of the relationship between business and society can be found in Adam Smith's theory of "the invisible hand" (9), in which the notion that "personal interests produce the public good" (1). A further development of this theory is the philosophy of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) that has become popular in recent decades. One of the most well-known definitions of "corporate social responsibility" is that of the International Business Forum. Which identifies it as "promoting the practices of responsible business that benefit

business and society and promote social, economic and environmental sustainable development by maximizing the positive impact of business on society and minimizing the negative." CSR is reflected in a number of European Union documents, including the European Sustainability Strategy (2001), the International Standard ISO 26000 - Social Responsibility (2010) and a number of national strategies.

In 2006, M. Porter and M. Kramer first used the concept of "shared value" (5). Several years later, they are releasing a new publication in which they express the affirmation that the implementation of CSR has not achieved the expected results. According to them, "the more corporate becomes the business, the more he is accused of social failures ". (6). Out of this problem, the authors see the creation of shared value "that is not social responsibility, philanthropy or even sustainability, but a new way to achieve economic success" (ibid.). With this statement, Porter and Kramer place a sign of inequality between CSR and CSV. Business attention is focused on the new concept - Creating Shared Value (CSV). It submits its philosophy of corporate social responsibility ideas and is a step forward in the efforts of the economic community to boost competitiveness.
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CSV is a new ideology that is manifested through many policies and practices aimed at creating value for both the company and society, taking into account its needs and priorities. There are three ways to create a “shared value” (7):

- Rethinking products and markets - the focus is on achieving social impact by increasing revenue, market share and profitability by creating new or refining existing products to achieve a social impact. For example, the company BD (“Becton & Dickinson”) in New Jersey, for example, manufacturing medical equipment and apparatus, invented a "needleless system" that uses the traditional use of medical syringes with needles. The result of the innovation for the company is a multiplicity of sales growth, and for society a new, painless way of medical intervention and limiting the spread of HIV infections.

- Redefining productivity in the value chain – focusing on innovation that will lead to quality and productivity gains, resource savings, etc.

- Possibilities for cluster development at local level – the goal is to improve the external environment in which the company operates, based on local infrastructure investments, supporting local suppliers, creating jobs, and so on.

The similarities and differences between the two concepts – Shared Value (CSV) and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) are summarized in a Table 1.

### Table 1. Similarities and differences between CSR and CSV

| Indicator | Similarities | Differences |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| **1. Social problems approach** | Business is responsible to public problems | ● The problems of the society are of the secondary importance. ● The emphasis is on the risk mitigation in the short term. | ● The responsibility to society is society is of a long-term nature. ● Social problems are the starting point for companies’ strategies. ● The solution of social significant problems s reflected in joint participation in projects or programs. |
| **2. Business and society partnership** | A close relationship between business and society, represented by the State or municipal institutions, non-governmental organizations, universities and others. | ● The partnership is most often in the form of business support for solving social significant problems. | ● Formation of clusters, associations, associations, foundations and other forms of joint activity. |
| **3. Creating value** | Harnessing the benefits of solving social, environment and economic problems | ● The aim is to create a better reputation through participation in charity initiatives | ● Creating an economic value based on creating value for society through cost-cutting |
| **4. Connection to the production program** | Existence of a commitment to take account of the production program with the risks it may cause to society | ● Preventive actions to reduce the risk of environment pollution. | ● The production program is fully subordinated to the production of environment-friendly and resource-efficient products. |
| **5. External influence** | Voluntary, subordination of the economic policy of the State. | ● According to personal preferences, programs or initiatives are implemented, which are subject to State regulations | ● More necessity and focus on increasing competitiveness |
| **6. Time aspect** | They do not have an episodic character | ● Part of the company's short-term strategies | ● Part of the company's long-term strategies |
| **7. Bind to the company budget** | - | ● It is not tied to the company budget | ● The company's budget is fully compliant with the realization of shared value concept |

*The table summarizes and comments on the differences between CSR and CSV of the following publications: (6);( 4);( 2).*
The similarities and differences that appear in the table show that CSV is a kind of continuation and further development of CSR ideas. It focuses on implementing business strategies through compliance and integration with society, but in the long run. The pursuit of higher profit is in line with social needs. The motivation of managers is not limited to achieving good reputation but also real benefits to society. Their contribution is to invest resources which are economically and socially justifiable. Companies hosting CSV must necessarily take account of how their activities impact the environment in which they operate and limit their own negative influence.

Great Western companies such as General Electric, IBM, Intel, Johnson & Johnson, Nestle and others adopt the CSV concept. They are justified by the argument of its advantage, which consists in "building the concept of perception that in the long run, the interests of business and society can intertwine and complement each other, bringing both profit and dividends for business and benefit for the society whose needs will be satisfied" (3).
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