Plasma soluble podoplanin is a novel marker for the diagnosis of tumor occurrence and metastasis
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INTRODUCTION

Podoplanin (PDPN), also known as aggrus, is a type-I transmembrane sialoglycoprotein that is highly expressed in lymphatic endothelial cells, but not vascular endothelial cells.1 PDPN is also expressed in many tumor cells, including squamous cell carcinoma,2-4 malignant mesothelioma,5,6 Kaposi’s sarcoma,7 angiosarcoma,1 hemangioblastoma,8 testicular seminoma,9 dysgerminoma9 and brain tumors.10 PDPN is composed of 3...
structural domains: a highly O-glycosylated extracellular domain, a hydrophobic transmembrane domain, and a short cytoplasmic domain. PDPN induces platelet aggregation through direct binding of its extracellular domain to C-type lectin-like receptor 2 (CLEC-2) expressed on the platelet surface. Thus, PDPN plays an important role in lymphatic vascular development and in cancer metastasis through interaction with CLEC-2. PDPN is also expressed in cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF) where it facilitates lung adenocarcinoma cell invasion by activating the Rho-ROCK pathway via its cytoplasmic domain.

In addition to the form of PDPN expressed on the cell surface, a soluble form of PDPN (sPDPN) has recently been detected and investigated. Carrasco-Ramirez et al report that cells ectopically or endogenously expressing PDPN release membrane-shed micro-vesicles (MV) and endosomal-derived exosomes (EXO) that contain PDPN mRNA and protein. In vitro study suggested a crucial role of PDPN in EXO and MV production and/or release and for PDPN-EXO in tumorigenesis. Those PDPN-EXO may account for PDPN in circulating fluids in vivo because PDPN+ microparticles were identified in pleural effusions of both cancer and benign origin in humans. However, given the expression of PDPN in tumors, sPDPN is a promising biomarker because of the potential ease of its measurement in serum. To this end, Sankiewicz et al used surface plasmon resonance to quantitate sPDPN in the serum and urine of bladder cancer patients and healthy volunteers and found that the PDPN levels in the patient samples were higher than that of the healthy controls.

To date, several anti-PDPN monoclonal antibodies with high sensitivity and specificity have been developed. Among these, NZ-1 reacts with the platelet aggregation-inducing (PLAG) domain of human PDPN, thereby inhibiting PDPN-induced platelet aggregation in vitro and suppressing cancer metastasis in vivo. Immunohistochemistry conducted using another PDPN antibody, D2-40, has been used to detect tumor metastasis and significantly increased the detection frequency of lymphatic invasion compared to the use of conventional HE staining in squamous cell carcinoma. However, few antibodies targeting sPDPN have been generated, and both immunohistochemistry and surface plasmon resonance are relatively difficult and expensive analyses.

Therefore, we recently developed 2 novel mouse mAb against human PDPN (SZ163 and SZ168) and established a double-antibody sandwich ELISA, which we then used for quantitation of sPDPN in the plasma of 283 cancer patients and 99 normal controls. The results show that plasma sPDPN could be a novel tumor marker for detection and diagnosis of both tumor occurrence and metastasis. Moreover, our double-antibody sandwich ELISA is a highly desirable screening method for biomarkers because of its relatively low cost and minimal invasiveness.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plasma collection from cancer patients or normal individuals

The study conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 2004 Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee at the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, China and Luoyang Central Hospital Affiliated to Zhengzhou University, China. Informed consent was obtained from all normal individuals and patients. 2 ml samples of blood were collected by vein puncture into tubes containing 3.6 mg ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid. Plasma was prepared by centrifugation at 1500 g for 5 minute before storage at –80°C. As a control, plasma was collected from 100 normal individuals with various ABO blood groups. Blood samples from patients with adenocarcinoma (87 cases), squamous cell carcinoma (86 cases), lung cancer (45 cases), gastric cancer (38 cases) or rectal cancer (27 cases) were collected from the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University and Luoyang Central Hospital Affiliated to Zhengzhou University. Specifically, the 87 cases of adenocarcinomas consisted of 3 origins: gastric (32 cases), lung (31 cases) and rectal (24 cases). The 86 cases of squamous cell carcinomas consisted of 7 origins: esophageal (36 cases), lung (25 cases), cervical (15 cases), gastric (4 cases), nasopharyngeal (3 cases), rectal (2 cases) and neck (1 case). Criteria for diagnosis of cancer were derived from the recommendations of The Union for International Cancer Control.

2.2 Animals and cell lines

Female BALB/c mice (8 weeks old) were purchased from Shanghai SLRC Experimental Animal (Shanghai, China). The Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell line, CHO cells ectopically expressing PDPN (CHO-PDPN) and the U87 astrogliaoma cell line were stored in our laboratory. The NCI-H226 lung squamous cell line was purchased from Jiangsu KeyGEN BioTECH (Nanjing, China).

2.3 Cell culture

Chinese hamster ovary, CHO-PDPN and U87 cells were cultured in DMEM (Hyclone, Logan, Utah, America), supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA). NCI-H226 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco), supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco).

2.4 Polypeptide synthesis and coupling

A 22-amino-acid polypeptide, DTETTLEGVVAMPGAEDDVVC, was synthesized and coupled with keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) by Shanghai Ziyu Biotechnology. The following 21 amino acids, DTETTLEGVVAMPGAEDDVV, correspond to amino acids 31-51 of human PDPN. The interaction with CLEC-2 was primarily observed at Glu47 and Asp48 in the platelet aggregation-stimulating (PLAG) domain (amino acids 29-54) and the α2-6 linked sialic acid at Thr52 of hPDPN. The sequence of our polypeptide was chosen within the PLAG domain, for the purpose of developing mAb targeting the PDPN-CLEC-2 interaction with potential therapeutic application against cancer metastasis.

2.5 Hybridoma production and antibody purification

Female BALB/c mice were immunized by subcutaneous injection of DTETTLEGVVAMPGAEDDVVC-KLH (100 µg/100 µl/mouse), with
100 μl/mouse of Freund’s complete adjuvant. A secondary subcuta-
neous immunization was performed 4 weeks later, followed by a final
injection given by tail vein an additional 4 weeks later. The animal
experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Soo-
chow University, Suzhou, China. Murine anti-human PDPN mAb
were developed using standard hybridoma technology. The supernatants
from positive clones were screened by ELISA for binding to the syn-
thetic peptide and to CHO-PDPN cells immobilized on 96-well plates.
The isotype of the mAb was determined using immune double diffu-
sion. The IgG was purified from ascites using a protein G-Sepharose
4B column (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK).

2.6 | ELISA screening of positive monoclonal
antibody clones

The 22-amino-acid polypeptide or CHO-PDPN cells were immobi-
lized on 96-well plates at 1 μg/ml or 4 × 10^4 cells/ml, respectively,
using 50 μl of solution per well, at 4°C overnight. The wells coated
with the peptide were washed with PBS containing 0.05% Tween-
20 (PBST) to remove non-adsorbed antibodies and then blocked
with 2% (w/v) BSA in PBS for 2 hours at 37°C. The wells coated
with the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minute
at room temperature, washed with PBST, blocked with 2% BSA,
and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. After washing, the plates were incu-
bated with hybridoma supernatant or SZ163 and SZ168, which are
two anti-human PDPN mAb we generated by synthetic peptide
immunization and hybridoma screening as described in the methods,
at various concentrations (1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5 and 31.25 ng/
ml) for 2 hours at 37°C. After washing, the bound mAb were then
incubated with peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG for
1 hour at 37°C. After further washing, the enzymatic reaction was
conducted with a substrate solution containing tetramethylbenzidine
(TMB) (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). The reaction was
stopped with 50 μl/well of 2 mol/L H_2SO_4, and the optical density
was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher).

2.7 | Analysis of human podoplanin expression by
flow cytometry

The specificity of the anti-PDPN mAb were characterized by flow
cytometry. Cultured U87, NCI-H226 or CHO-PDPN cells were col-
lected by trypsin-EDTA treatment and incubated with 18H5 as a
positive control (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), mouse IgG as a negative
control, or anti-PDPN antibodies (SZ163 and SZ168, 2 μg/tube) for
1 hour at room temperature. The cells were then incubated with PE-
conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at
room temperature for 30 minute. Flow cytometry was performed using
FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

2.8 | Western blot analysis

Cells were solubilized using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime Biotechnol-
y, Shanghai, China) and the lysates were separated in reduced
SDS-PAGE followed by transfer to a PVDF membrane (Millipore,
Darmstadt, Germany). After blocking with 8% skim milk in 0.1%
PBS, the membrane was probed with either SZ-163 or SZ-168
(3 μg/ml in PBS + 0.1% Tween 20) for 2 hours at room temperature.
Specifically bound primary antibodies were detected with HRP-con-
jugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Immunotech, Marseille, France) and
ECL substrate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to the
manufacturers’ instructions.

2.9 | Analysis of plasma soluble podoplanin by ELISA

One hundred microliter of 10 μg/ml of SZ-163 mAb IgG was coated
into a 96-well microtiter plate overnight at 4°C. The wells were
washed with PBST to remove non-adsorbed antibody and then
blocked with 2% (w/v) BSA in PBS for 2 hours at 37°C. After wash-
ing, the plates were incubated with 100 μl of the recombinant
human PDPN-Fc (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) (125, 62.5,
31.25, 15.63, 7.82, 3.91 and 1.95 ng/ml) as the standard and plasma
samples for 2 hours at 37°C. After washing, bound sPDPN was incu-
bated with HRP-conjugated SZ-168 IgG (HRP-SZ-168) for 1 hour at
37°C and the binding of HRP-SZ-168 was detected with TMB.

2.10 | Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 19.0 statistical soft-
ware (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Data were expressed as the
mean ± SD. The nonparametric test was used to compare the
results obtained from the cancer patients and normal individuals,
and between the metastatic and non-metastatic groups of cancer
patients. Differences among groups were considered as statistically
significant if the P-value was ≤0.05.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Production and characterization of anti-
podoplanin monoclonal antibodies

A total of 8 anti-PDPN mAb (named SZ-161 to SZ-168) were obtained.
Both SZ-163 and SZ-168 were identified as IgG1 subtypes and both
antibodies bound specifically with the synthetic PDPN peptide (Fig-
ure 1A). Furthermore, immunoblotting indicated that both SZ-163 and
SZ-168 bound to the human recombinant protein PDPN-Fc (67 kDa),
and to endogenous PDPN (46 or 36 kDa depending on glycosylation sta-
tus) in U87 astrogloma cells, NCL-H226 lung squamous cell and CHO-
PDPN cells (Figure 1B). In addition, flow cytometric analyses indicated
that SZ163 and SZ168 recognized PDPN expressed on the tumor cell sur-
face, although SZ168 appeared to have a higher affinity (Figure 1A,C).

3.2 | Plasma soluble podoplanin levels in normal
individuals and cancer patients

A dilution series of PDPN-Fc from 125 to 1.95 ng/ml was created
to assess the function of the SZ163 and SZ168 antibodies in ELISA.
Plotting the OD450 nm versus the PDPN-Fc levels resulted in a
binding curve of PDPN to SZ163 and HRP-SZ168. A curve in a
semi-log scale was obtained by plotting optical density versus
PDPN-Fc (Figure 2A). The linear regression gave a correlation of
0.99. According to the ELISA results, the sPDPN levels in the plasma
of normal individuals ($n = 99$) ranged from 0.52 to 8.67 ng/ml, while
that in the plasma of cancer patients ($n = 284$) ranged from 0.52 to
185.40 ng/ml. The average sPDPN level in the cancer patients
(21.95 ± 2.49 ng/ml) was significantly higher than that in the normal
individuals (1.33 ± 0.14 ng/ml) as compared by the Mann-Whitney
test analyses ($P < .0001$) (Figure 2B).

### 3.3 Soluble podoplanin levels in patients with various cancer types before and after treatment

Plasma samples from tumor patients with adenocarcinoma
(87 cases), squamous cell carcinoma (86 cases), rectal cancer
(27 cases), lung cancer (45 cases) or gastric cancer (38 cases)
were collected before and after treatment. As assessed by ELISA,
there were significant increases in the plasma levels of sPDPN in
squamous cell carcinoma (6.91 ± 0.59 ng/ml), adenocarcinoma
(31.09 ± 5.48 ng/ml), rectal cancer (20.98 ± 6.30 ng/ml), lung
cancer (19.50 ± 5.59 ng/ml) and gastric cancer (23.71 ± 6.90 ng/
ml) compared with that of normal individuals (1.31 ± 0.13 ng/ml)
($P < .0001$) (Figure 2B,C). Moreover, the post-treatment plasma
sPDPN levels of cancer patients ($n = 156$) (4.47 ± 0.35 ng/ml)
were significantly lower compared with those seen pre-treatment
($n = 128$) (43.74 ± 4.97 ng/ml) (Figure 3). For each cancer type,
the plasma sPDPN level of the post-treatment group was signifi-
cantly lower than that of the pre-treatment group, especially in
squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma and lung cancer (Fig-
ure 3). The pre-treatment group consisted of patients that did
not receive any surgery, chemotherapy or radiotherapy, while the
post-treatment group consisted of patients 3-7 days after surgery
or those that received at least one course of radiation/
chemotherapy.
FIGURE 2 Establishment of a double-antibody sandwich ELISA and detection of plasma soluble podoplanin (sPDPN) levels in normal individuals and cancer patients. 

A, Representative binding curve of PDPN to anti-PDPN antibody generated by plotting absorbance versus concentration of rPDPN-Fc. Linear regression analysis resulted in a correlation of 0.99. 

B and C, Plasma sPDPN levels in normal individuals and inpatients of different cancer types.

FIGURE 3 Plasma levels of soluble podoplanin (sPDPN) in patients with squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, rectal, lung and gastric cancer before or after treatment.
3.4 | Analysis of the soluble podoplanin plasma levels in metastatic and non-metastatic cancer patients at pre-treatment and post-treatment

The cancer patients were divided into 2 groups according to whether their tumor was metastatic or not, and sPDPN levels in the 2 groups were analyzed using a Mann-Whitney test. The sPDPN level was 30.35 ± 3.63 ng/ml in the plasma of the metastatic group (n = 192) and 6.28 ± 0.77 ng/ml in that of the non-metastatic group (n = 92), and this difference was statistically significant (P < .0001) (Figure 4A).

For pre-treatment cancer patients (n = 129), the sPDPN values ranged from 0.90 to 185.40 ng/ml (63.16 ± 6.74 ng/ml) in the metastatic group (n = 84), compared with 0.64 to 39.60 ng/ml (7.43 ± 1.35 ng/ml) in the non-metastatic group (n = 45). The plasma sPDPN level in the non-metastatic group was significantly lower than that in the metastatic group of the pre-treatment patients (P < .0001) (Figure 4B).

For post-treatment cancer patients (n = 155), the sPDPN values were equivalent in the metastatic and non-metastatic groups (0.50 to 60.20 ng/ml [4.86 ± 0.70 ng/ml] in the metastatic group [n = 108] and 0.42 to 18.28 ng/ml [5.12 ± 0.75 ng/ml] in the non-metastatic group [n = 47] [P = .8221]) (Figure 4C).

For specific cancer types, the plasma sPDPN level in the non-metastatic group with adenocarcinoma pretreatment was significantly lower than that in the metastatic group (P = .0004) (Figure 4D). However, the difference between the non-metastatic and metastatic groups diminished after treatment (P = .3502) (Figure 4D). The comparison of plasma sPDPN levels between the non-metastatic and metastatic groups pre-treatment and post-treatment was more obvious in adenocarcinoma than other cancer types, for example, lung cancer (P = .1566 vs P = .9113) and rectal cancer (P = .2803 vs P = .2007) (Fig. S1).

3.5 | Soluble podoplanin was as sensitive as carcinoembryonic antigen for use as an indicator of cancer

Carcinoembryonic antigen is a heavily glycosylated protein primarily found in the apical membrane of enterocytes. CEA levels are established markers in clinical practice for prognostic purposes of colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung cancer and breast cancer.25,26 Thus, the diagnostic efficiency of sPDPN for predicting cancer was compared to that of CEA. The CEA level ranged from 0.42 to 5.35 ng/ml (average, 1.48 ng/ml) in the plasma of normal individuals (n = 99), compared with 0.5 to 1330.0 ng/ml (average, 31.09 ng/ml) in the plasma of cancer patients (n = 110). The area under the curve (AUC) was analyzed and the specificity and sensitivity of the 2 indexes (sPDPN and CEA) were compared using receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis (Figure 5). The results suggested that as a biomarker, sPDPN accurately reflected tumor occurrence and development.
DISCUSSION

Thus far, PDPN has been considered a promising therapeutic target for treatment of tumors, especially metastatic tumors. In previous reports, anti-PDPN monoclonal antibodies have been examined for their treatment and diagnostic potential. NZ-1 and MS-1 were shown to inhibit PDPN-induced platelet aggregation in vitro and suppress cancer metastasis in mice, although it is unknown whether these antibodies suppress human cancer metastasis. Another anti-PDPN antibody, D2-40, was proposed as a potential diagnostic marker for many different types of human tumors. However, quantitation of the sPDPN level in plasma by ELISA has not been previously reported. Moreover, the relationships between sPDPN and tumor invasion, metastasis and malignant progression have remained largely unclear.

To detect sPDPN in the plasma and explore its biological function, we generated 2 new anti-human PDPN mAb (SZ163 and SZ168) and developed a double-antibody sandwich ELISA to quantitate plasma sPDPN, using SZ-163 as the coating antibody and HRP-SZ-168 as the detecting antibody. The ELISA results showed that the levels of sPDPN in tumor patients with adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and rectal, lung and gastric cancers were significantly higher than those of normal individuals (P < .0001) (Figure 2). Furthermore, sPDPN levels in metastatic cancer patients were significantly higher than those of non-metastatic cancer patients (P < .0001) (Figure 4). Therefore, our ELISA provided an easier, faster and more reliable way to detect sPDPN in plasma compared with the previously reported surface plasmon resonance method. The ELISA could be applied on a large scale at a reasonable cost to diagnose patients with tumors or to differentiate patients with metastasis. In addition, our results implied that PDPN on the surface of tumor cells can be hydrolyzed, spontaneously resulting in the release of the extracellular domain into the plasma. PDPN is known to possess multiple cleavage sites for different types of proteolytic enzymes, such as serine protease, trypsin, elastase, cysteine protease calpain-2, MMP2/9 and presenilin-1/gamma-secretase in the extracellular and cytoplasmic domains. Therefore, the extracellular domain of PDPN may be shed through the action of these proteolytic enzymes, especially in the tumor environment where O-glycosylation of PDPN is known to be misregulated. This speculation is supported by recent progress in production of a series of PDPN cancer-specific monoclonal antibodies (CasMab) by Kato group. For example, the CasMab LpMab-2 recognizes cancer-type aberrant glycosylation (O-glycosylation or sialylation, not keratan sulfate) of Thr55 and/or Ser56; therefore, it reacts with hPDPN-expressing cancer cells but not with normal cells. Another CasMab LpMab-21, the epitope of which contains sialyated Thr76, detects PDPN in glioblastomas, oral cancers and seminomas as well as in normal cells such as lymphatic endothelial cells, but does not recognize PDPN in the renal glomerulus or type I alveolar cells of lung. LpMab-7, an anti-non-PLAG hPDPN mAb, can be used for detecting different glycan profiles of hPDPN, including the poly LacNAc structure. Evidence from the PDPN CasMab suggest the diversity of PDPN glycosylation among different cancer cells.

In our study, the plasma sPDPN level in cancer patients post-treatment was significantly lower compared with pre-treatment cancer patients. The difference was more significant in cancer types with high expression of PDPN, such as squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma or lung cancer than other cancers (Figure 3), suggesting the specificity of sPDPN as a cancer biomarker. In addition, in squamous cell carcinoma, the plasma sPDPN from patients who underwent chemotherapy or surgery and chemotherapy were significantly lower than those of pre-treatment patients, while this was not true of patients who underwent surgery alone (Fig. S2). These results...
suggested that cancer treatment decreased the formation or increased the clearance of plasma sPDNP, and that the sPDNP levels in plasma may reflect the efficiency of cancer treatment. Furthermore, the comparison of the diagnostic efficiency of sPDNP and CEA suggested that sPDNP might be a better cancer marker, with an AUC value closer to 1 and a lower cut-off value.

Additional studies that include expanded numbers of cancer patients and cancer types will be required for further testing of the sPDNP-specific mAb and ELISA system. However, the results of this study strongly suggest that optimization of sPDNP as a reliable marker for detection and diagnosis of tumor occurrence and metastasis under more complicated pathological circumstances is warranted.
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