Experimental evaluation of liver regeneration patterns and liver function following ALPPS
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Background: The underlying mechanism of liver regeneration after Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation (PVL) for Staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) is still unclear. The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship between future liver remnant (FLR) volume, liver regeneration characteristics and restoration of function in an experimental model of ALPPS.

Methods: An ALPPS model in rats was developed with selective PVL, parenchymal transection and partial hepatectomy (step 1), followed by resection of the liver (step 2). Three different ALPPS groups with FLR sizes of 30, 20 and 10 per cent of total liver volume were compared with sham-operated controls and animals undergoing resection of left lateral lobe and 90 per cent PVL with respect to morbidity, mortality, liver regeneration and function.

Results: Three of 15 animals that had ALPPS with 10 per cent FLR (ALPPS10) died after step 1. Ascites developed in two of five rats that had ALPPS with 20 per cent FLR and in three of four animals in the ALPPS10 group after step 2. Although the relative increments in FLR size and growth rates were highest in the ALPPS groups, small FLR size was associated with a sustained increase in levels of serum aminotransferases and bilirubin, a lower albumin concentration, severe sinusoidal injury, increased expression of proliferation markers and increased activation of hepatic progenitor cells after step 2.

Conclusion: There is discordance between FLR volume increase and functional restoration after the ALPPS procedure.

Surgical relevance

The exact mechanism of liver regeneration after ALPPS is unclear. A rodent model of ALPPS was developed to study the relationship between future liver remnant (FLR) size, liver regeneration and restoration of function following ALPPS.

An ALPPS model was developed in rats. ALPPS was associated with liver dysfunction when the FLR size was below a certain level despite a large net volume increase. Hepatic hypertrophy with a low FLR was associated with increased Hippo signalling and hepatic progenitor cell (HPC) activation.

Sinusoidal injury related to the FLR size results in functional impairment. Sinusoidal injury and repopulation of HPCs may account for the discordance between FLR volume increase and functional compensation.

This observation provides a better understanding of liver regeneration after ALPPS.
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Introduction

Liver resection is a potentially curative treatment option for patients with primary and secondary liver tumours. Unfortunately, only about 20–30 per cent of the patients are eligible for resection. The main limiting factors reflect total tumour burden – the size and number of lesions, their distribution within the liver parenchyma, and their relationships to major vital hepatic structures such as vessels and bile ducts. These factors determine the amount of liver tissue to be removed and the size of the future liver remnant (FLR).
The FLR needs to be of adequate size and quality to avoid liver failure after hepatectomy and small-for-size liver syndrome with associated morbidity and mortality. Previous studies have indicated that the size of the FLR should be at least 25 per cent of total liver volume or a ratio greater than 0.5 between FLR and bodyweight. Various strategies such as portal vein embolization (PVE) and two-stage hepatectomy have been developed to increase FLR and enable surgical therapy in patients otherwise deemed unresectable.

Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation (PVL) for Staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) is an alternative technique for expansion of the FLR. The ALPPS procedure combines selective PVL and parenchymal transection between the part of the liver to be removed and the FLR, as well as local resections of any tumours in the FLR during the first stage (step 1), followed by resection of the deportalized liver at the second stage (step 2). The principal advantage of this approach seems to be a greater volume increase of FLR than that seen after PVE alone, implying that a greater proportion of patients might then be offered a curative resection. ALPPS has evoked much controversy, however, owing to associated high morbidity and mortality, even in experienced centres. Some reports have suggested discordance between the volume increase of the FLR and its functional capacity.

The exact mechanism underlying ALPPS-associated liver regeneration is still unclear. A rodent model of ALPPS was therefore developed to study the relationship between FLR size, liver regeneration and restoration of function.

**Methods**

**Animals**

Male Lewis rats (LEW/OrlRj) (Janvier Labs, Saint Berthevin, France), weighing 230–280 g and aged 9–12 weeks, were used for the experiments. All animal experiments were approved by the Norwegian Animal Research Authority (FOTS project number 8085) and performed in accordance with both the Norwegian Animal Welfare Act and FOTS guidance, which is adapted to cover important issues in the ARRIVE guidelines. Bodyweight, survival rate and complications were recorded.

A series of experimental arms involved a resection group with three ALPPS groups (ALPPS10, ALPPS20 and ALPPS30) with varying sizes of FLR (10, 20 and 30 per cent), a 30 per cent liver (left lateral lobe, LLL) resection group and a 90 per cent PVL group, designed to assess and compare the magnitude of liver regeneration following the surgical procedure. Animals in a sham-operated control group underwent laparotomy alone and were killed on day 0 to determine reference parameters for liver and blood.

Five animals in each of the ALPPS, LLL and PVL groups were killed on days 1, 3 (before step 2 surgery) and 7. The FLR weight of these animals was recorded, and the kinetic growth rate (KGR), denoting the percentage increase in FLR per day, and the ratio of remnant liver weight relative to bodyweight (LBW) and expressed as a percentage value.
Fig. 1 Schematic anatomy of rat liver and the surgical procedure of left lateral lobe (LLL) resection, Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation for Staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) and portal vein ligation (PVL). a Schematic anatomy of rat liver lobes: LLL, median lobes (ML; left ML and right ML), right lobes (RL; superior RL and inferior RL) and caudate lobes (CL; anterior CL and posterior CL). b Illustration of surgery steps of LLL resection, ALPPS and PVL. After step 1 surgery, the LLL was resected in the LLL group; 70–90 per cent PVL was combined with 30 per cent liver parenchyma (LLL) resection and transection between the median lobes in ALPPS30, ALPPS20 and ALPPS10 groups respectively; 90 per cent portal branches were ligated in the PVL group. In step 2 surgery (on day 3 after step 1), the deportalized liver segments were removed in the ALPPS groups. On day 7 after step 1 (day 4 after step 2), the remnant liver lobes (future liver remnant) showed significant hypertrophy.
were calculated. Blood samples and the FLR tissue were harvested at the time points indicated above.

Assessment of liver function

Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin and albumin levels were measured\(^1\).

Histological examination and immunohistochemistry

Formalin-fixed liver tissue specimens were stained with haematoxylin and eosin, and immunostained for Ki-67, as described previously\(^1\). Histological analyses were performed in a blinded fashion, and the number of Ki-67-positive hepatocytes was determined in five random visual fields at x100 magnification.

Western blotting

A standard western blot assay was used to analyse protein expression, as described previously\(^1\). Immunostaining was examined for proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), yes-associated protein (YAP) and β-tubulin. The immunoreactive signals were visualized by scanning densitometry with ChemiDoc™ Touch Imaging System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA).

Immunofluorescence assay

For identification of hepatic progenitor cells (HPCs) in the harvested samples, cryostat sections of liver tissue were processed for double immunofluorescence staining, as described previously\(^15\) for α-fetoprotein (AFP), cytokeratin (CK) 19 and cluster of differentiation (CD) 133, as markers of HPCs.

Further details of the methods employed in the study can be found in Appendix S1 (supporting information).

Statistical analysis

Differences were analysed using ANOVA (among LLL, ALPPS30, ALPPS20 and ALPPS10 groups) and Student’s \(t\) test (between PVL and ALPPS10 groups) with SPSS\(^\circledR\) version 16.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). The statistical tests for ANOVA and \(t\) test are denoted as \(F\) and \(t\). A probability level of less than 5 per cent was considered statistically significant.

Results

Survival and complications

A total of 80 rats were used in the experiment (Table 1) and most tolerated the operative procedure well, with an overall survival rate of 96 per cent (77 of 80) after surgery. In the ALPPS10 group, three of 15 rats died from portal hypertension and liver failure within 1 day of step 1, and overall survival was significantly lower in this group compared with that in the other groups \((F = 3.814, P = 0.015)\). No deaths occurred in any of the other groups.

Postoperative ascites was noted after step 2 in two of five rats in the ALPPS20 group and in three of four animals in the ALPPS10 group, whereas no such complications were apparent in the other groups. The difference in complication rate was statistically significant \((F = 6.212, P = 0.002)\).

Increased size of the future liver remnant

In the ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 groups, the growth rate was rapid throughout the observation period, whereas in the ALPPS10 and PVL groups there was slower growth after step 1 surgery and PVL. There was a marked increase in growth following step 2 in the ALPPS10 group. By day 7, the greatest relative volume increase was observed for the ALPPS10 animals, with a weight gain of 318 per cent and a LBW ratio of 1.7 per cent (Table 2), which represents 42.5 per cent of normal rat liver volume.

### Table 2  Changes in liver to bodyweight ratio and kinetic growth rate after surgery

| Group          | Day 0 | Day 1 | Day 3 | Day 7 |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                | FLR   | LBW   | Gain  | KGR   | LBW   | Gain  | KGR   | LBW   | Gain  | KGR   |
| LLL resection  | 70    | 2-8(0-2) | 3-6(0-1) | 23    | 22-6(0-6) | 3-5(0-1) | 19    | 6.2(2-9) | 3-3(0-4) | 12    | 17/9(2) |
| ALPPS30        | 30    | 1.2(0-1) | 1-4(0-1) | 12    | 12-2(2-3) | 2-4(0-2) | 86    | 28-6(6-4) | 3-1(0-1) | 152   | 21-7(2-5) |
| ALPPS20        | 20    | 0-8(0-1) | 1-0(0-1) | 22    | 21-6(2-5) | 1-6(0-1) | 88    | 29-3(7-0) | 2-8(0-2) | 239   | 34-0(16-8) |
| ALPPS10        | 10    | 0-4(0-1) | 0-4(0-1) | 6     | 5-5(10-7) | 0-7(0-1) | 65    | 21-6(5)   | 1-7(0-1) | 318   | 45-3(10-4) |
| PVL            | 10    | 0-4(0-1) | 0-4(0-1) | 5     | 4-5(6-0)   | 0-8(0-1) | 112   | 37-4(22-8) | 1-1(0-1) | 187   | 26-8(17-3) |

*Values are mean(s.d.). †Mean(s.d.) liver to bodyweight ratio (LBW) of control rats on day 0 was 4-1(0-3) per cent. ‡Gain of LBW at specific time point versus LBW on day 0. §Kinetic growth rate (KGR) describes the percentage increase in size of the future liver remnant (FLR) per day. LLL, left lateral lobe; ALPPS, Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation for Staged hepatectomy; PVL, portal vein ligation.

Table 1  Liver regeneration patterns and liver function after ALPPS

| Group          | Day 0 | Day 1 | Day 3 | Day 7 |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                | FLR   | LBW   | Gain  | KGR   | LBW   | Gain  | KGR   | LBW   | Gain  | KGR   |
| LLL resection  | 70    | 2-8(0-2) | 3-6(0-1) | 23    | 22-6(0-6) | 3-5(0-1) | 19    | 6.2(2-9) | 3-3(0-4) | 12    | 17/9(2) |
| ALPPS30        | 30    | 1.2(0-1) | 1-4(0-1) | 12    | 12-2(2-3) | 2-4(0-2) | 86    | 28-6(6-4) | 3-1(0-1) | 152   | 21-7(2-5) |
| ALPPS20        | 20    | 0-8(0-1) | 1-0(0-1) | 22    | 21-6(2-5) | 1-6(0-1) | 88    | 29-3(7-0) | 2-8(0-2) | 239   | 34-0(16-8) |
| ALPPS10        | 10    | 0-4(0-1) | 0-4(0-1) | 6     | 5-5(10-7) | 0-7(0-1) | 65    | 21-6(5)   | 1-7(0-1) | 318   | 45-3(10-4) |
| PVL            | 10    | 0-4(0-1) | 0-4(0-1) | 5     | 4-5(6-0)   | 0-8(0-1) | 112   | 37-4(22-8) | 1-1(0-1) | 187   | 26-8(17-3) |

*Values are mean(s.d.). †Mean(s.d.) liver to bodyweight ratio (LBW) of control rats on day 0 was 4-1(0-3) per cent. ‡Gain of LBW at specific time point versus LBW on day 0. §Kinetic growth rate (KGR) describes the percentage increase in size of the future liver remnant (FLR) per day. LLL, left lateral lobe; ALPPS, Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation for Staged hepatectomy; PVL, portal vein ligation.
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Fig. 3 a–d Typical microscopic changes in the future liver remnant after Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation for Staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) and portal vein ligation (PVL) (haematoxylin and eosin stain, magnification ×400; scale bars 50 μm): a hepatocyte mitosis – cellular features of extreme hepatocyte hypertrophy and binuclear hepatocytes or polyploidy (arrows); b microvesicular steatosis (arrows); c marked sinusoidal dilatation (arrows); d microvesicular steatosis – atrophic hepatocytes (arrows) and sinusoidal dilatation around central vein. e Sinusoidal injury score on days 1, 3 and 7 after step 1 surgery. Values are mean(s.d.) (4–5 animals per group). *P < 0.050, ALPPS10 versus LLL, ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 (ANOVA); †P < 0.050, ALPPS10 versus PVL (Student’s t test)
The differences in dynamic changes in FLR volume with time in the ALPPS groups were reflected in the KGR. Mean(s.d.) KGR between day 0 and day 7 was 21.7(2.5), 34.0(16.8) and 45.3(10.4) per cent/day in ALPPS30, ALPPS20 and ALPPS10 groups respectively, whereas KGR in LLL and PVL groups was 1.7(9.2) and 26.8(17.3) per cent/day (Table 2). In all groups except LLL, the LBW of the FLR on day 7 was significantly higher than on days 1 and 3 ($F = 259.307$, $P = 0.001$) (Fig. 2a). The LBW in the ALPPS10 group was significantly higher than that in the PVL group on day 7 ($t = 11.479$, $P = 0.001$).

Macromorphology of the FLR is shown in Fig. 2b.

**Histology of the future liver remnant and assessment of liver function**

Histological analysis of the FLR displayed hepatocyte mitosis and hepatic sinusoidal injury characterized by sinusoidal dilatation, microvesicular steatosis, hepatocellular atrophy, and centrilobular or perisinusoidal fibrosis. These microscopic changes were most pronounced in the ALPPS30 group on days 1–3, and in ALPPS20 and ALPPS10 and PVL groups on days 1–7, but were not notable in either the LLL or the control group at any time point. Representative images from PVL and ALPPS groups are shown in Fig. 3a–d. The sinusoidal injury score, evaluated by histological parameters of sinusoidal inflammation, dilatation and steatosis in both the periportal and centrilobular area, was visualized as highest for ALPPS10 on day 7 ($F = 189.632$, $P = 0.001$), which was a significant difference compared with LLL, ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 ($F = 26.100$, $P = 0.001$), and PVL ($t = 3.363$, $P = 0.015$) on day 7 (Fig. 3e). Hepatocellular atrophy, necrosis and fibrosis were present but not pronounced in the ALPPS groups, and there was no difference between the groups on day 7 ($F = 1.977$, $P = 0.168$).
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Fig. 5 Immunostaining and analysis of a proliferation marker Ki-67 (magnification ×400, scale bars 20 μm) and c proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and yes-associated protein (YAP) in the future liver remnant after step 1 of left lateral lobe (LLL) resection, Associating Liver Partition and Portal vessel ligation for Staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) and portal vein ligation (PVL) on days 1, 3 and 7. b,d,e Detection values of Ki-67, PCNA and YAP on days 1, 3 and 7 after step 1 surgery: b quantitative data for Ki-67 measured from immunohistochemical images; d,e quantitative analysis of PCNA and YAP expression from western blots. Values are mean(s.d.) (4–5 animals per group). Horizontal dotted lines indicate the level of the parameter in the control group on day 0. *P < 0.050, ALPPS10 versus LLL, ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 (ANOVA); †P < 0.050, ALPPS10 versus PVL (Student’s t test)
Fig. 6 Representative expression of hepatic progenitor cell (HPC) activation in the future liver remnant after surgery. a Double immunofluorescence staining with α-fetoprotein (AFP) (red) plus cytokeratin (CK) 19 (green) or CK19 (red) plus cluster of differentiation (CD) 133 (green), and Hoechst nuclear staining (blue) (magnification ×400, scale bars 20 μm). Expression of activated HPC is indicated by simultaneous positive staining (yellow) of both CK19 and AFP/CD133 (arrows). b Quantitative assessment of the number of HPCs per 1000 liver cells on days 1, 3 and 7 after step 1 surgery following left lateral lobe (LLL) resection, Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation for Staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) and portal vein ligation (PVL). Values are mean(s.d.) (4–5 animals per group). *P < 0.050, ALPPS10 versus LLL, ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 (ANOVA); †P < 0.050, ALPPS10 versus PVL (Student's t test).
In all groups, apart from ALPPS10, ALT and AST levels increased significantly after step 1 surgery \((F = 32.485 \text{ and } 39.950 \text{ respectively, } P = 0.001)\), returning to normal levels from day 3. Bilirubin and albumin levels were both within the normal range from day 1 to day 7 in these groups.

In the ALPPS10 group, ALT, AST and bilirubin levels increased significantly on day 1 \((F = 18.890, 11.648 \text{ and } 6.151 \text{ respectively, } P = 0.001)\), normalizing on day 3. A significant increase in bilirubin \((F = 22.431, P = 0.001)\) and a concomitant reduction in albumin \((F = 38.677, P = 0.001)\) levels were, however, observed on day 7. This pattern was different from that in the other intervention groups (Fig. 4).

**Characteristics of liver regeneration**

Ki-67 and PCNA evaluations in tissue samples from the FLR with immunohistochemistry or western blotting are shown in Fig. 5a-c. Maximum expression of Ki-67 and PCNA in LLL, PVL, ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 groups occurred after step 1, between days 1 and 3 (Fig. 5b,d). The expression of Ki-67 in ALPPS10 was significantly higher than that for LLL, ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 on days 3 and 7 \((F = 13.875 \text{ and } 26.476, P = 0.001)\), and PVL on day 7 \((t = 9.907, P = 0.001)\). The maximal growth rate in ALPPS10 was observed after step 2, between days 3 and 7, and proliferation appeared to be ongoing after day 7, in contrast to growth in the other groups where the regenerative pattern was approaching a more stable and normal state by this time.

The Hippo/YAP pathway is fundamental in the maintenance and restoration of liver size\(^{17}\), and promotes progenitor renewal, proliferation and dedifferentiation\(^{18}\). The expression of YAP, a major regulator of the Hippo signalling pathway, in LLL, PVL, ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 groups, showed a transient increase after step 1, followed by a return to normal levels (Fig. 5c). YAP expression in the ALPPS10 group was significantly higher than that in LLL, ALPPS30 and ALPPS20 groups on day 3 \((F = 4.133, P = 0.032)\) and day 7 \((F = 18.703, P = 0.001)\), and in the PVL group on day 7 \((t = 4.191, P = 0.006)\) (Fig. 5c).

Activation of HPCs in the FLR using antibodies against AFP (fetal hepatoblastic marker), CK19 (epithelial marker) and CD133 (stem cell marker)\(^{15}\) revealed simultaneous positive staining for both CK19 and AFP/CD133 (Fig. 6a). HPCs were distributed mainly in the perportal area (zone 1), and few HPCs were found around central veins (zone 3). No HPCs were detected in control animals. After step 1 surgery, HPCs were observed in all groups on day 1, in ALPPS10 and PVL groups on day 3, and in ALPPS30, ALPPS20, ALPPS10 and PVL groups on day 7. The mean(s.d.) number of HPCs in the ALPPS10 group on day 7 was 75.8(8.6) per 1000 liver cells, which was about four times higher than that in ALPPS30, ALPPS20 \((F = 209.310, P = 0.001)\) and PVL \((t = 13.246, P = 0.001)\) groups (Fig. 6b).

**Discussion**

The development of an appropriate animal model was critical to this study. The anatomy and physiology of rat liver are well known. Precise PVL with no twisting artery branches and second-stage hepatectomy were considered critical in creating an ALPPS model. The portal vein stem, with its accompanying artery and bile duct, divides into a left branch supplying the caudate lobe, a right branch supplying the right lobe, and a median branch supplying the right and left median lobes and the LLL. These three portal branches are easily separated from the concomitant arterial branches and formed the anatomical basis for the present ALPPS model.

Another consideration was adhesion formation between the transection surfaces after step 1, as well as the involvement of omentum and bowel that might increase the technical challenge of lobectomy in step 2. The median lobe, a separate anatomical unit close to the diaphragm, lends itself to both PVL and resection, and was considered a suitable deportalized segment for step 2.

The most widely employed rodent hepatectomy models have used right and caudate lobes (30 per cent), right lobe alone (20 per cent) and caudate lobe alone (10 per cent) as respective FLRs\(^{12}\), so these were used in the present model. These features may offer a comparative advantage over the other reported ALPPS models\(^{14,19}\).

One disadvantage of this procedure might be that the parenchymal transection did not conform to the demarcation line between deportalized segments and the FLR. This transection selection may not be critical, as the inflammatory response invoked by parenchymal transection\(^{14,20}\) is generally considered to be systemic rather than liver-specific\(^{19,21}\).

The extent of liver resection and thus the size of the FLR have been reported as determining factors for liver failure after hepatectomy and small-for-size liver syndrome. A reduced parenchymal volume is insufficient to maintain normal liver function and inadequate to handle portal inflow leading to raised portal vein pressure\(^{22,23}\). Small-for-size syndrome is characterized by postoperative liver dysfunction with progressive cholestasis and coagulopathy, portal hypertension and ascites\(^{24}\). High portal blood flow through a relatively small liver vascular bed
leading to increased portal pressure is thought to have a central role in this process\textsuperscript{22–24}. It has been shown previously\textsuperscript{25} that survival rates following increasing degrees of hepatic resection in rats (75 per cent, 85 per cent or above, 90 per cent or greater hepatectomies) were 100, 18 and 0 per cent respectively at 48 h, and were linked to sinusoidal damage related to high flow and raised portal blood flow\textsuperscript{26}.

With the present protocol, ALPPS with 10 per cent FLR led to increased mortality (3 of 15 animals) after step 1 and ascites (3 of 4 animals) after step 2 surgery. Furthermore, rats in the ALPPS10 group had increased levels of transaminases and bilirubin, and lowered albumin values after step 2. These changes suggest a pathophysiological setting similar to that of posthepatectomy liver failure and small-for-size liver syndrome, supporting clinical observations\textsuperscript{10} that FLR size in ALPPS is a critical factor affecting morbidity and mortality. From a clinical perspective, this could indicate the need for a longer time interval between step 1 and step 2 surgery when the FLR size is below a certain threshold, or the patient has raised bilirubin levels. Recent clinical evidence\textsuperscript{10} relating to risks with ALPPS supports this.

Compared with the 90 per cent PVL group, the increased mortality and morbidity in the ALPPS10 group was a striking finding. Both protocols with the same size of FLR should theoretically lead to the same level of portal hypertension. This could indicate that the ALPPS procedure incorporating parenchymal transection and local resection triggers the release of cytokines and growth factors\textsuperscript{14,21}, affecting liver parenchymal integrity and function\textsuperscript{24}.

The findings in all ALPPS groups in the present study confirmed clinical and experimental experience that ALPPS induces a rapid increase in the FLR volume. An inflammatory response together with altered haemodynamics are assumed to be key factors initiating rapid hypertrophy through systemic release of cytokines and growth factors\textsuperscript{14,21}. These factors are essentially the same as those observed in the initiation and proliferation phase of liver regeneration after conventional liver resections\textsuperscript{27}.

The marked increase in FLR volume observed in ALPPS could indicate that the regenerative process, particularly with small FLR volumes, may be different to that observed after partial hepatectomy or PVL\textsuperscript{19}. Previous studies\textsuperscript{13,28} suggest that different rates of liver regeneration after major hepatectomy depend on the size of the resection, with the maximum rate of liver regeneration seen after 70 per cent hepatectomy. In the present experiments, the greatest regenerative ability was with the 10 per cent FLR. The increase of FLR weight and the maximal KGR were greater at the end of the experiment, the smaller the initial FLR. This is in agreement with a recent clinical study\textsuperscript{29} showing that KGR of the FLR in patients after ALPPS and the regenerative response in living liver donors correlated with the size of the liver remnant.

There were differences in growth patterns between the three ALPPS groups in the present study. The relative weight increase was greatest with a FLR of 10 per cent, but the maximal regenerative response was delayed in comparison with that in ALPPS20, ALPPS30 and PVL groups. The mechanism of delayed liver regeneration after step 1 in the ALPPS10 group remains unclear. As this growth pattern in ALPPS with a FLR of 10 per cent was similar to that following marginal hepatectomy (80–90 per cent) in rats\textsuperscript{28}, this characteristic may be related to a FLR size below the threshold that the animals can easily tolerate.

The possible role of HPC-mediated liver regeneration in the setting of ALPPS proved interesting in these studies. YAP is a critical regulator of liver size through expansion of undifferentiated HPCs\textsuperscript{18,10}. In mature hepatocytes YAP is expressed at very low levels, whereas it is highly expressed in the progenitor cell compartments\textsuperscript{18}. High levels of YAP indicate a HPC phenotype resulting in differentiation into hepatocytes and liver growth\textsuperscript{30}. The present results indicated a higher expression of YAP after ALPPS compared with that following PVL and LLL, and YAP reached maximum levels on days 3–7 in ALPPS20 and ALPPS10 groups, inversely correlated to FLR size.

Although HPCs are not considered to be part of liver regeneration under most circumstances where mature hepatocytes dominate\textsuperscript{27}, the present results indicated HPC activation in all of the ALPPS groups, and the number of HPCs in the ALPPS10 group on day 7 reached 75±8 per 1000 liver cells. The pattern of HPC activation seems to correlate with FLR size and extent of sinusoidal damage. Thus, as well as sinusoidal injury, the immaturity of the liver parenchyma by repopulating HPCs\textsuperscript{31} could also attribute to the discrepancy between functional capacity and volume of the FLR. The mechanism of activation and proliferation of HPCs in ALPPS requires further evaluation.
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Graphical Abstract

Associating Liver Partition and Portal vein ligation (PVL) for Staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) is a new strategy to expand the future liver remnant (FLR). ALPPS-associated morbidity, mortality and the mechanism of liver regeneration remain unclear. To evaluate the relationship between FLR size, postoperative liver regeneration and function restoration in ALPPS, a rodent model of ALPPS was developed with selective PVL, parenchymal transection and partial hepatectomy (step 1), followed by resection of the deportalized liver (step 2). Results showed that hepatic sinusoidal injury related to FLR size could result in functional impairment and mortality after ALPPS surgery. Hepatic hypertrophy after ALPPS with a low FLR was associated with increased Hippo/YAP signalling and hepatic progenitor cell (HPC) activation. Sinusoidal injury and repopulation of HPCs are relevant for the disconcordance between FLR volume increase and functional compensation, which might provide a better understanding of ALPPS surgery.