The prolyl 3-hydroxylases P3H2 and P3H3 are novel targets for epigenetic silencing in breast cancer
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Expression of P3H2 (Leprel1) and P3H3 (Leprel2) but not P3H1 (Leprecan) is down-regulated in breast cancer by aberrant CpG methylation in the 5′ regulatory sequences of each gene. Methylation of P3H2 appears specific to breast cancer as no methylation was detected in a range of cell lines from other epithelial cancers or from primary brain tumours or malignant melanoma. Methylation in P3H2, but not P3H3, was strongly associated with oestrogen-receptor-positive breast cancers, whereas methylation in P3H3 was associated with higher tumour grade and Nottingham Prognostic Index. Ectopic expression of P3H2 and P3H3 in cell lines with silencing of the endogenous gene results in suppression of colony growth. This is the first demonstration of epigenetic inactivation of prolyl hydroxylases in human cancer, implying that this gene family represents a novel class of tumour suppressors. The restriction of silencing in P3H2 to breast carcinomas, and its association with oestrogen-receptor-positive cases, suggests that P3H2 may be a breast-cancer-specific tumour suppressor.
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Epigenetics describes heritable changes in gene expression that occur in the absence of changes in DNA sequence (Herman and Baylin, 2003). The best characterised epigenetic alteration in cancer is hypermethylation of CpG rich regions, usually found in the promoter region of a gene (Jones and Baylin, 2002). Hypermethylation, along with other epigenetic events often associated with gene silencing, is crucial in the development of cancer (Baylin, 2005). The detection of methylation-associated gene inactivation is today widely used to identify candidate tumour suppressor genes (Baylin and Ohm, 2006).

The prolyl 3-hydroxylases (P3H), P3H2 and P3H3, were originally termed Lepre1 and Lepre2 due to their ‘Leprecan-like’ amino-acid sequence identity to Leprecan, now termed P3H1 (Wassenhove-McCarthy and McCarthy, 1999; Jarnum et al, 2004; Vranka et al, 2004). Along with the collagen prolyl 4-hydroxylases (c-P4H) and lysyl hydroxylases (LH), the P3H belong to the 2-oxoglutarate dioxygenases (Vranka et al, 2004).

The prolyl 4-hydroxylases (P4H) have been extensively studied and are known to reside in either the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or cytoplasm, where their function is to hydroxylate proline residues in the X-Pro-Gly sequence in collagens (Kivirikko et al, 1989) or to hydroxylate the 564 proline residue in the α-subunit of the hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF; Bruick and McKnight, 2001; Epstein et al, 2001; Ivan et al, 2001). The c-P4H enzymes have a key function in the biosynthesis of collagen allowing appropriate folding of the procollagen chains to form a triple helical structure (Myllyharju, 2003). Furthermore, a decrease in oxygen tension has been found to result in an up-regulation of P4H genes, P4HA1 and P4HA2, as they have been found to be transcriptionally activated by HIF. Although the prolyl hydroxylase reaction does require O₂, it is thought that the over-production of P4HA1 and P4HA2 in hypoxic conditions compensates for this (Hofbauer et al, 2003; Fähling et al, 2006).

In comparison to the P4H proteins, the function of the P3H proteins is less well defined. However, it is known that P3H-modified residues are more abundant in basement membrane collagens. Prolyl 3-hydroxylation typically occurs in the Gly-3Hyp sequence (Gryder et al, 1975; Vranka et al, 2004; Myllyharju, 2003). P3H1 belongs to a family comprising two further genes, all three proteins sharing conserved catalytic residues of the 2-oxoglutarate and iron-dependent dioxygenases with the c-P4Hs and LHs (Vranka et al, 2004). P3H2 was initially identified as a protein mainly localised to the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi (Jarnum et al, 2004), but more recently has been demonstrated in tissues rich in basement membranes, and participates in the hydroxylation of collagen IV (Tianen et al, 2008). It has previously been hypothesised that prolyl 3-hydroxylation occurs after prolyl 4-hydroxylation, thus once the triple helix is formed, the 3-hydroxyproline results in destabilisation (Jenkins et al, 2003; Mizuno et al, 2004). There are no published reports on the function of P3H3.

This study examined the epigenetic regulation of P3H1, P3H2 and P3H3 expression in breast cancer cell lines and in a panel of breast carcinomas. We show that loss of P3H2 and P3H3...
expression results from epigenetic silencing, and is associated with aberrant hypermethylation in the CpG islands around exon 1 of both P3H2 and P3H3.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The following breast carcinoma cell lines were used in this study and routinely maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s media (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), supplemented with l-glutamine (5 mM) and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2: MDA MB 231, MDA MB 361, MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2: MDA MB 231, MDA MB 361, MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2. The following breast carcinoma cell lines were used in this study: P3H2, P3H3, and MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2: MDA MB 231, MDA MB 361, MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2. The following breast carcinoma cell lines were used in this study: P3H2, P3H3, and MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2.

Expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen Ltd., West Sussex, UK). RNA (500 ng) was used for cDNA synthesis (ImProm-2, Promega, Southampton, UK). Expression of P3H1, P3H2 and P3H3 was analysed by RT–PCR and normalised to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). Primers were designed using Primer3 software (http://www.tulip.org.uk/). The primer sequences for RT–PCR were: P3H1: 5'-CCGAGCCACAGCCTCTCCTC-3' (forward); 5'-ACAGAGTTCTTTGGAGT-3' (reverse), product size, 183 bp; P3H2: 5'-TGAGTACCTTTGAAAGGAGGAAACA (forward); 5'-AGGGTGCTCGCACTCCTGTCTC-3' (reverse), product size, 165bp; P3H3: 5'-GACCTGTCCTACCCACATGC-3' (forward); 5'-CTGCAGCACACACCTTCTTC-3' (reverse), product size, 153bp; GAPDH: 5'-TGAAAGCTCGGACTACGGATT-3' (forward); 5'-GGCATGGAATTGATCAATCGTG-3' (reverse), product size, 143 bp. PCR was performed in a 20 µl volume using 1.8 × ReddyMix PCR Master Mix (Abgene, Epsom, UK). Reaction products were resolved on a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualised under UV.

For western blotting, cells were lysed with RIPA lysis buffer. Protein lysate (40 µg) was resolved on 8% SDS – PAGE gel and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane that were incubated for 1 h with primary antibodies. Rabbit antibody against P3H2 was described previously (Jarnum et al., 2004) and was used at a dilution of 1:1000. Polyclonal rabbit antibodies against P3H3 were raised against the peptide CHQRVQDKTRGRPRREL (Biogenes, Berlin, Germany) and used at a dilution of 1:1000. The secondary antibody was affinity-purified HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit and used at a dilution of 1:2000 (Dako, Cambridgeshire, UK). Anti-PCNA (1:10,000) was used as a loading control.

Methylation analysis of the P3H2 and P3H3 CpG islands

Bisulphite modification

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from cell pellets using the DNeasy kit (Qiagen Ltd.). Genomic DNA (500 ng) was used for bisulphite modification with the Zymo EZ DNA Methylation kit (Genetix, Hampshire, UK), and eluted in 200 µl H2O. Included in each bisulphite modification were unmethylated human DNA, and CpGenome Universal Methylated DNA (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA, USA), which were used as negative and positive controls, respectively.

Bisulphite sequencing

Bisulphite-modified gDNA was used as the template in the PCR reaction. Primers were designed using MethPrimer software. Primers for P3H2 were designed spanning the entire predicted CpG island, with a further 200 bp at 5’ and 3’ ends. Primer sequence for P3H2 was: 5'-TTTTGATATAATTTAGAGGAGGTTTGG-3' (forward); 5'-AACA ACACACCAACAAACCAAA-3' (reverse), product size, 937 bp.

Figure 1  Epigenetic regulation of expression of P3H2 and P3H3 in breast carcinoma cell lines. (A) RT–PCR analysis of P3H1, P3H2 and P3H3 expression in the indicated breast carcinoma cell lines and normal breast epithelium (HMEC). The control gene GAPDH is also shown. (B) Western blot analysis of expression of P3H2 and P3H3 in breast carcinoma cell lines. Western blot analysis of the indicated breast carcinoma cell lines was performed as described in Materials and methods. The control gene PCNA is also shown. Approximate position of molecular weight markers is indicated. (C) Methylation in the CpG islands of P3H2 and P3H3 correlates with down-regulation of expression. As shown, the CpG island of P3H1 was uniformly unmethylated in each cell line and in normal breast epithelium (HMEC), consistent with expression analysis (A). The figure shows MSP analysis of the P3H2 CpG island using two primer pairs. Pair 1 (upper panel of P3H2) detects methylation in the MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2: MDA MB 231, MDA MB 361, MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2. The following breast carcinoma cell lines were used in this study: P3H2, P3H3, and MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2.

Figure 1  Epigenetic regulation of expression of P3H2 and P3H3 in breast carcinoma cell lines. (A) RT–PCR analysis of P3H1, P3H2 and P3H3 expression in the indicated breast carcinoma cell lines and normal breast epithelium (HMEC). The control gene GAPDH is also shown. (B) Western blot analysis of expression of P3H2 and P3H3 in breast carcinoma cell lines. Western blot analysis of the indicated breast carcinoma cell lines was performed as described in Materials and methods. The control gene PCNA is also shown. Approximate position of molecular weight markers is indicated. (C) Methylation in the CpG islands of P3H2 and P3H3 correlates with down-regulation of expression. As shown, the CpG island of P3H1 was uniformly unmethylated in each cell line and in normal breast epithelium (HMEC), consistent with expression analysis (A). The figure shows MSP analysis of the P3H2 CpG island using two primer pairs. Pair 1 (upper panel of P3H2) detects methylation in the MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2: MDA MB 231, MDA MB 361, MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2. The following breast carcinoma cell lines were used in this study: P3H2, P3H3, and MDA MB 436, MDA MB 468, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) in 5% CO2.
For bisulphite sequence analysis of the P3H3 CpG island, three sets of primers were designed spanning the CpG island, with a further 200 bp at 5’ and 3’ ends.

Primer sequences for P3H3 were:

5’-TTGTTGTTATTGTTGTTGTTTTT-3’ (forward 1); 5’-CC CGACCTAATAAACCCTCTAC-3’ (reverse 1), product size, 482 bp.

5’-ATTTGTAGAGGGTTTATTAGGTGG-3’ (forward 2); 5’-AAC CCTAAACTAAAATAAATACAACC-3’ (reverse 2), product size, 585 bp.

5’-GAGGTAAGTTGGGTTTTT-3’ (forward 3); 5’-CTCAATTAAA AAAACCAATAAAAATAA-3’ (reverse 3), product size, 230 bp.

Reactions were performed in a 50 µl volume using Thermo-Start PCR Master Mix (Abgene). PCR products were resolved on a 1% agarose gel, with the product of the correct molecular weight excised from the gel, purified using a Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen Ltd.), ligated into the pCR2.1 TA vector (Invitrogen) and transformed into One Shot Top10 Chemically Competent E. coli (Invitrogen). Typically, eight colonies were picked per cell line, and sequenced with the reverse primer, using the BigDye
CLINICAL TISSUE

Genomic DNA was extracted from 184 primary, previously untreated breast cancers, using the M48 Qiagen DNA extraction robot, following Tayside Tissue Bank Local Research Ethics Committee approval. Cancers were subject to histopathological review before use for DNA extraction to ensure adequate representation of neoplastic cells. Expression of the oestrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and HER2 (using antibody CB11, supplemented by FISH for 2-positive cancers to confirm amplification), was assessed as part of routine clinical care. Clinical and pathological data included tumour grade, tumour type, pathology node status, and relapse-free and overall survival.

COLONY FORMATION ASSAY

Plasmids for ectopic expression of P3H2 and P3H3 were as follows: pEGFP-N1-P3H2 was as previously described (Jarnum et al., 2004). A full-length P3H3 cDNA in pCMV6-XL6 vector was purchased from Origene (Rockville, MD, USA) and the insert was subcloned into pcDNA3.1 as a NotI fragment. Correct orientation was determined by sequencing multiple plasmid clones. To assess the effect on cell proliferation of ectopic expression of P3H2 and P3H3, cell lines were transfected with 4 μg of the above expression clones or empty vector alone, using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Media were changed 24 h after transfection, and transfected cells were selected in G418 (800 μg ml⁻¹). After 16-day growth, surviving colonies were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed with phosphate-buffered saline, and dH₂O, dried, then stained with liquid crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) and counted. Experiments were carried out in triplicate.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Assessment between two categorical variables was carried out using χ²- or Fisher’s exact test. Analysis of the cumulative survival was carried out by the Kaplan–Meier method and differences between the groups were tested with the log-rank test. All reported P-values were two sided and considered statistically significant if P<0.05. Tests were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA).

RESULTS

Transcriptional down-regulation of P3H2 and P3H3 in breast cancer cell lines

Using RT–PCR, we analysed the expression of the P3H1, P3H2 and P3H3 genes in breast carcinoma cell lines (Figure 1A). All three genes were expressed in HMEC. P3H1 was expressed in all 13 carcinoma cell lines in our panel, but there was no detectable expression of P3H2 mRNA in MDA MB 361, MDA MB 453, MCF7, and T47D cell lines, with only low levels of expression in BT474 and SKBR3. In the case of P3H3, expression was undetectable in the MDA MB 231, MDA MB 361, MDA MB 468, MCF7, BT474 and SKBR3 cell lines (Figure 1A). Next, we analysed protein levels of P3H2 and P3H3. We used a previously described antibody to P3H2 and generated a new polyclonal antibody to P3H3 and performed western analysis of the breast carcinoma cell line panel. In general, protein levels for both P3H2 and P3H3 paralleled mRNA expression (Figure 1A and 1B). Interestingly, however, P3H3 protein was barely detectable in T47D cells despite readily detectable expression of P3H3 mRNA, and the level of P3H3 protein was also reduced in MDA MB 453 relative to MDA MB 436, GI101 and Cal51 despite comparable expression of P3H3 mRNA.

This may reflect other regulatory mechanisms operating at the level of mRNA translation or protein stability.

Aberrant methylation of P3H2 and P3H3 in breast cancer cell lines

We identified CpG islands in the 5’ sequences of P3H1, P3H2 and P3H3 genes (http://genome.ucsc.edu). To address whether promoter methylation was the cause of loss of gene expression, we performed MSP analysis of the CpG islands of P3H1, P3H2 and P3H3 in each of the breast cancer cell lines. The CpG island of P3H1 was uniformly unmethylated in each cell line consistent with expression analysis (Figure 1C). In the case of P3H2 methylation, initial analysis used primers located in the centre of the CpG island and these detected methylation in the MDA MB 453 and T47D cell lines, both of which lacked detectable expression of P3H2. However, analysis with this primer set did not detect methylation in some cell lines that lack expression of P3H2. We therefore designed a second primer set located further 3’ in the CpG island. Analysis of the cell line panel with this primer set detected methylation additionally in MDA MB 361, MCF7, BT474 and SKBR3, as well as MDA MB 453 and T47D (Figure 1C), establishing a good correlation with down-regulation of mRNA. Methylation-specific PCR analysis in the P3H3 CpG island with a single primer set detected methylation in cell lines MDA MB 231, MDA MB 361, MDA MB 468, MCF7, BT474 and SKBR3 (Figure 1C), confirming a clear correlation between methylation as detected by MSP and down-regulation of mRNA.

To characterise methylation in greater detail across the P3H2 and P3H3 CpG islands, we mapped each island, using bisulphite sequencing, in a panel of cell lines previously analysed by MSP (Figures 2 and 3). These studies closely paralleled the MSP analysis. For example, in the P3H2 CpG island, the region of the CpG island sampled by MSP primer pair 1 was methylated only in the MDA MB 453 and T47D cell lines. In contrast, the region of the CpG island sampled by MSP primer pair 2 contained methylation in all cell lines lacking expression of P3H2. Consistent with methylation-dependent transcriptional silencing, some of the cell lines expressing P3H2 mRNA show an extremely low frequency of CpG methylation (Figure 2). In the case of P3H3, methylation was observed across the entire CpG island consistent with MSP analysis (Figure 3). As with P3H2, there was an extremely low level of methylation and generation of a new polyclonal antibody to P3H3 and performed western analysis of the breast carcinoma cell line panel. In general, protein levels for both P3H2 and P3H3 paralleled mRNA expression (Figure 1A and 1B). Interestingly, however, P3H3 protein was barely detectable in T47D cells despite readily detectable expression of P3H3 mRNA, and the level of P3H3 protein was also reduced in MDA MB 453 relative to MDA MB 436, GI101 and Cal51 despite comparable expression of P3H3 mRNA.
studies in cell lines described above, MSP analysis with primer set 2 of P3H2 was most strongly associated with down-regulated expression of the mRNA. To further confirm the utility of this primer set for methylation detection, we performed preliminary bisulphite sequencing on eight cancers designated as either methylated or unmethylated by MSP. These initial studies fully confirmed that primer pair 2 accurately assessed methylation status and so this primer pair was used for all subsequent analyses.

### Figure 2
Schematic representation of bisulphite sequence analysis of the P3H2 CpG island in breast carcinoma cell lines and normal breast epithelium (HMEC). Bisulphite sequencing was performed as described in Materials and methods. Primer pairs 1 and 2 used for MSP are indicated as set 1 and set 2 respectively. The thick black line on the scale indicates the position of exon 1 relative to the CpG island. The position of the part of the P3H2 open-reading frame within the CpG island is indicated by the broken line above the scale. Vertical lines below the scale represent individual CpG dinucleotides within the CpG island. The density of methylation for each cell line is represented by a quartile of blocks corresponding to each CpG. Black shading represents up to 25% methylation. Open blocks indicate no methylation. There is dense methylation in the MDA MB 361, MDA MB 453, MCF7, T47D and BT474 cell lines. There is no methylation in HMEC.

### Figure 3
Schematic representation of bisulphite sequence analysis of the P3H3 CpG island in breast carcinoma cell lines and normal breast epithelium (HMEC). Bisulphite sequencing was performed as described in Materials and methods. Primers used for MSP are indicated above the scale. The thick black line on the scale indicates the position of exon 1 relative to the CpG island. The position of the part of the P3H3 open-reading frame within the CpG island is indicated by the broken line above the scale. Vertical lines below the scale represent individual CpG dinucleotides within the CpG island. The density of methylation for each cell line is represented by a quartile of blocks corresponding to each CpG. Black shading represents up to 25% methylation. Open blocks indicate no methylation. There is methylation in MDA MB 231, MDA MB 361, MDA MB 468, MCF7 and BT474. The CpG island is uniformly unmethylated in HMEC.
The frequency of methylation for P3H2 and P3H3 found in the 184 analysed breast samples was 42 and 26% respectively. Several associations were observed between methylation status and clinicopathological parameters (Table 1). First, methylation in the P3H2 CpG island was positively associated with a positive oestrogen receptor status ($P = 0.0053$), whereas methylation in the P3H3 CpG island showed no such association ($P = 0.71$) (Table 1). The observation prompted us to determine whether there was a similar association in breast cancer cell lines. Other than MDA MB 453, all cell lines methylated in the P3H2 CpG island (MDA MB 361, MDA MB 453, MCF7, T47D, BT474 and SKBR3 express the oestrogen receptor). Methylation of the P3H3 CpG island was positively associated with increasing tumour grade ($P = 0.02$) and with higher Nottingham Prognostic Index ($P = 0.02$). However, we did not find evidence that methylation in either gene was associated with clinical outcome (Table 1).

Ectopic expression of P3H2 and P3H3 suppresses colony-forming ability

Genes found to be hypermethylated in their promoter region are often considered to be candidate tumour suppressor genes. One property that such genes may possess is the ability to suppress proliferation when ectopically expressed in cells lacking endogenous expression. To determine whether this was the case for P3H2 and P3H3, an expression plasmid for P3H2 was introduced into MCF7 and T47D cell lines and an expression plasmid for P3H3 was introduced into MCF7 and MDA MB 231, and we assessed the efficiency of colony formation after 16 days in G418 selection. In each case, expression of the transfected cDNA efficiently suppressed colony growth (Figure 5). Using RT–PCR and western blotting we confirmed that the transfected sequences were expressed (Figure 5). Ability to suppress proliferation demonstrated in these assays is consistent with a potential tumour suppressor function for P3H2 and P3H3.

DISCUSSION

The 2-oxoglutarate dioxygenases are a family of proteins required for modifications of collagen that are essential for its synthesis, folding and assembly. The collagen P3H are members of the 2-oxoglutarate dioxygenase family, which catalyse the post-translational formation of 3-hydroxyproline in Gly-3Hyp-4Hyp
### Table 1  Relationship between (A) P3H2 methylation and clinical parameters and (B) P3H3 methylation and clinical parameters

|       | n   | U   | M   | p    | OS  | DFS |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|
| (A)   |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| Total | 184 | 107 | 77  | —    | 0.9136 | 0.8587 |
| ER status |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| ER+   | 130 | 67  | 63  | 0.0053 | 0.3681 | 0.4207 |
| ER-   | 54  | 40  | 14  |      | 0.9874 | 0.5983 |
| PgR status |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| PgR+  | 77  | 40  | 37  | 0.1736 | 0.0656 | 0.0677 |
| PgR-  | 107 | 67  | 40  |      | 0.5742 | 0.5379 |
| Menopausal |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| Pre   | 35  | 20  | 15  | 0.1021 | 0.8266 | 0.7161 |
| Peri  | 6   | 6   | 0   |      | NA   | NA  |
| Post  | 141 | 79  | 62  |      | 0.9941 | 0.9951 |
| Unknown | 2   | 2   | 0   |      | NA   | NA  |
| Tumour grade |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| 1     | 32  | 20  | 12  | 0.7497 | 0.9147 | 0.6178 |
| 2     | 67  | 37  | 30  |      | 0.3671 | 0.8012 |
| 3     | 75  | 45  | 30  |      | 0.2621 | 0.8066 |
| Unknown | 10  | 5   | 5   |      |      |     |
| NPI   |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| 1 (good) | 42  | 26  | 16  | 0.7725 | 0.9865 | 0.404 |
| 2 (moderate) | 79  | 46  | 33  |      | 0.8471 | 0.6637 |
| 3 (poor) | 46  | 25  | 21  |      | 0.6778 | 0.1847 |
| Unknown | 17  | 10  | 7   |      |      |     |
| p53 status |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| WT    | 130 | 73  | 57  | 0.4167 | 0.4665 | 0.5849 |
| Mutant | 54  | 34  | 20  |      | 0.4091 | 0.5051 |
| Cell lines |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| ER+   | 1   | 6   |     | 0.029 |      |      |
| ER-   | 6   | 1   |     |      |      |      |
| (B)   |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| Total | 184 | 136 | 48  | —    | 0.7504 | 0.3621 |
| ER status |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| ER+   | 130 | 97  | 33  | 0.717 | 0.6233 | 0.7558 |
| ER-   | 54  | 39  | 15  |      | 0.2504 | 0.1384 |
| PgR status |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| PgR+  | 77  | 61  | 16  | 0.1776 | 0.8762 | 0.9168 |
| PgR-  | 107 | 75  | 32  |      | 0.3545 | 0.1295 |
| Menopausal |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| Pre   | 35  | 26  | 9   | 0.8508 | 0.6927 | 0.5699 |
| Peri  | 6   | 5   | 1   |      | 0.6547 | 0.6547 |
| Post  | 141 | 103 | 38  |      | 0.9136 | 0.5579 |
| Unknown | 2   | 2   | 0   |      | NA   | NA  |
| Tumour grade |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| 1     | 32  | 29  | 3   | 0.02  | 0.372 | 0.7803 |
| 2     | 67  | 48  | 19  |      | 0.9137 | 0.4962 |
| 3     | 75  | 54  | 21  |      | 0.4772 | 0.5206 |
| Unknown | 10  | 5   | 5   |      |      |     |
| NPI   |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| 1 (good) | 42  | 38  | 4   | 0.02  | 0.9638 | 0.9422 |
| 2 (moderate) | 79  | 58  | 21  |      | 0.5954 | 0.1292 |
| 3 (poor) | 46  | 31  | 15  |      | 0.2346 | 0.446  |
| Unknown | 17  | 8   | 9   |      |      |     |
| p53 status |     |     |     |      |     |     |
| WT    | 130 | 96  | 34  | 1    | 0.6751 | 0.99  |
| Mutant | 54  | 40  | 14  |      | 0.4247 | 0.2493 |

DFS = disease-free survival; ER = oestrogen receptor; NA = not applicable; NPI = Nottingham Prognostic Index; OS = overall survival; PgR = progesterone receptor; WT = wild type.
sequences in collagens, especially type IV and V collagens. The possible involvement of the P3H genes in human tumourigenesis was explored because ectopic expression of P3H1 was reported to cause growth arrest in fibroblasts (Kaul et al, 2000). There are three P3H proteins encoded in the human genome, P3H1, P3H2 and P3H3. Here, we show that both P3H2 and P3H3, but not P3H1, are frequent targets for epigenetic inactivation in human breast cancer. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first to report of an epigenetic inactivation of any prolyl hydroxylase gene in human neoplasia.

Breast carcinoma cell lines were screened by RT–PCR and western blotting for expression of the three P3H genes. Strikingly, whereas P3H1 was present in all cell lines, expression of both P3H2 and P3H3 was undetectable in several lines at both mRNA and protein levels. Bisulphite sequencing and MSP of the CpG islands and P3H3 was undetectable in several lines at both mRNA and western blotting for expression of the three P3H genes. Strikingly, neoplasia.

An epigenetic inactivation of any prolyl hydroxylase gene in human breast cancer. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first to report of a number of tumour suppressor genes that are frequent targets for epigenetic inactivation in human breast cancer, including \( p16^{INK4a} \), \( Rassf1a \) and \( E-cadherin \) among others. Previous expression profiling studies of human breast cancer show that P3H2 mRNA (Radvanyi et al, 2005; Richardson et al, 2006) and P3H3 mRNA (van’t Veer et al, 2002) are down-regulated in breast cancer, consistent with our results.

Taken together, our results suggest that P3H2 and P3H3 are candidate tumour suppressors in breast cancer, raising the question of which function(s) are selected against during tumourigenesis. The collagen prolyl hydroxylases are localised to the endoplasmic reticulum and their activity is required for proper collagen synthesis and assembly. Studies of inherited disorders of collagen biosynthesis suggest that loss of function in P3H proteins results in dysfunctional collagen; mutations in P3H1 are associated with oesteogenesis imperfecta type VIII (Cabral et al, 2007) and loss of function mutations in both P3H1- and P3H1-related protein CRTAP have been described in oesteogenesis imperfecta types II and III (Baldrige et al, 2008). Evidence implicating collagen abnormalities in human tumours is afforded by studies showing methylation-dependent silencing of collagen-encoding genes in various tumour types (Sengupta et al, 2003; Ikeda et al, 2006). Type IV collagen, a major substrate for the P3H proteins, is an important component of the basement membrane, and impaired

---

**Figure 5** Ectopic expression of P3H2 and P3H3 in cells lacking endogenous expression suppresses cell proliferation. Expression plasmids for each gene or empty vector alone were introduced into individual cell lines as shown and transfected cells selected in G418. Surviving colonies were stained and counted after 16 days. (A) Expression of transfected plasmids for P3H2 and P3H3. T47D cells (which lack endogenous P3H2) and MDA MB 231 cells (which lack endogenous P3H3) were transfected with either control vector (C) or P3H2 and P3H3 expression plasmids respectively as indicated. Cell lysates were prepared and subjected to western blot analysis as described in Materials and methods. (B) Expression of transfected plasmids for P3H2 and P3H3 in MCF7 cells. MCF7 cells, which lack endogenous expression of both P3H2 and P3H3, were transfected with the indicated expression plasmids. Expression of transfected plasmids was analysed by RT–PCR as described in Materials and Methods. (C) Representative experiment showing suppression of colony growth by ectopic expression of P3H2 and P3H3. MCF7 cells (which lack endogenous expression of both P3H2 and P3H3) were transfected with expression plasmids for P3H2 and P3H3 as indicated. Cells were grown in the presence of G418 for 16 days, and surviving colonies were stained and counted. (D) Suppression of colony growth of MCF7, T47D and MDA MB 231 cells by ectopic expression of P3H2 and P3H3. The data shown are mean number of colonies (± standard error of mean) from three independent plates.
expression of type IV collagen has been reported to be an early event in acquisition of an invasive phenotype in some epithelial cancers (Ikeda et al., 2006). In this respect, it will clearly be of interest to determine whether loss of expression of P3H2 and/or P3H3 affects the properties of the basement membrane in breast cancer cells and thereby influences cancer-associated phenotypes such as invasiveness and metastasis. In addition to the possible effects of loss of P3H2 and P3H3 on collagen, our data demonstrate that both P3H2 and P3H3 have a direct anti-proliferative effect in breast cancer, suggesting additional tumour suppressor properties for each gene. Specifically, ectopic expression of both P3H2 and P3H3 in cells lacking endogenous expression due to epigenetic silencing resulted in a decrease in colony formation. Inhibition of colony formation in such assays has been demonstrated previously with known tumour suppressors such as p53 (Crook et al., 1994). Clearly, understanding the mechanism(s) by which the P3H genes negatively regulate proliferation will require additional studies.

A striking feature of the data is the restriction of methylation in P3H2 to breast cancer, with no detectable methylation in carcinoma cell lines in the other tumour types examined. Such tight specificity of methylation in one gene for a single tumour type is unusual and raises the potential to use detection of methylated DNA either in tissue or body fluids as a cancer biomarker. Verification that P3H2 is methylated only in breast cancer would make it an attractive candidate gene with potential utility in diagnosis and screening in breast cancer. The specificity of down-regulation of P3H2 mRNA in breast cancer may reflect the association with oestrogen-receptor-positive primary breast cancers, an association also noted in breast carcinoma cell lines. Selective methylation of the P3H2 CpG island in oestrogen-receptor-positive breast cancers is consistent with multiple array-based expression profiling studies (Miller et al., 2005; Minn et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005; Hess et al., 2006) and in array analysis comparing oestrogen-receptor-positive and -negative breast cancer cell lines (Neve et al., 2006). However, it remains to be determined whether P3H2 is an oestrogen-inducible gene and what the mechanistic basis is for the selective methylation of P3H2 in oestrogen-receptor-positive cases. One possibility is that P3H2 is an oestrogen-inducible negative regulator of proliferation. This hypothesis is supported by the demonstration that ectopic expression of P3H2 in cell lines lacking endogenous expression suppresses colony survival and growth. A second interesting association was that methylation in P3H3 was associated with higher histopathological grade, consistent with a number of expression profiling studies (van’t Veer et al., 2002; Ivshina et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2004; Farmer et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2005; Ginestier et al., 2006; Hess et al., 2006) and with higher Nottingham Prognostic Index. From the relatively small number of cases of primary breast cancer analysed (n = 184), we did not observe a significant association between P3H2 or P3H3 methylation and clinical outcome. However, mRNA analysis implies that down-regulation of P3H2 is associated with less favourable prognosis in some breast cancer series (van de Vijver et al., 2002; Pawitan et al., 2006; Desmedt et al., 2007) and recurrence after tamoxifen (Ma et al., 2004). It will clearly be of interest to determine in large study populations whether analysis of P3H2 methylation has prognostic utility in breast cancer.

This is the first demonstration of epigenetic inactivation of prolyl hydroxylases in human cancer. The prolyl 3-hydroxylases P3H2 and P3H3 are, therefore, novel candidate tumour suppressor genes in breast cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work was funded by Breakthrough Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Research Scotland. TC is a recipient of a CRUK Clinician Scientist award.

REFERENCES

Baldridge D, Schwarze U, Morello R, Lennington J, Bertin TK, Pace JM, Pepin MG, Weis M, Eyre DR, Walsh J, Lambert D, Green A, Robinson H, Michelson M, Houge G, Lindman C, Martin J, Ward J, Lemyre E, Mitchell JJ, Krakow D, Rimoin DL, Cohn DH, Byers PH, Lee B (2008) CRTAP and LEPRE1 mutations in recessive osteogenesis imperfecta. Hum Mutat 29: 1435 – 1442

Baylin SB (2005) DNA methylation and gene silencing in cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol 2(suppl 1) S4 – S11

Baylin SB, Ohm JE (2006) Epigenetic gene silencing in cancer – a mechanism for early oncogenic pathway addiction? Nat Rev Cancer 6: 107 – 116

Bruck RK, McKnight SL (2001) A conserved family of prolyl-4-hydroxylases that modify HIF. Science 294: 1337 – 1340

Cabral WA, Chang W, Barnes AM, Weis M, Scott MA, Leikin S, Makareeva E, Kuznetsova NV, Rosenbaum KN, Tiff CJ, Bulas DI, Koynna C, Smith PA, Eyre DR, Marinji JC (2007) Prolyl 3-hydroxylase 1 deficiency causes a recessive metabolic bone disorder resembling lethal/severe osteogenesis imperfecta. Nat Genet 39: 359 – 365

Crook T, Marston NJ, Sara EA, Vosden KH (1994) Transcriptional activation of p53 correlates with suppression of growth but not transformation. Cell 79: 817 – 827

Desmedt C, Piette F, Loi S, Wang Y, Lallemant F, Haibe-Kains B, Viale G, Delorenzi M, Zhang Y, d’Aguiisses MS, Bergh J, Lidereau R, Ellis P, Harris AL, Klijn JG, Foekens JA, Cardoso F, Piccart MJ, Buyse M, Sotiriou C (2005) Gene-expression patterns are able to distinguish breast cancer types and to predict outcome better than the current system of tumour grading. J Clin Oncol 23: 4024 – 4034

Eisenberg R, Schweizer P, Levy R, Goldenberg D (2002) Prognostic value of gene expression profiles in molecularly defined breast tumors. J Clin Oncol 20: 3616 – 3623

Farmer P, Bonnefoi H, Becette V, Tubulina-Hulin M, Fumoleau P, Larsimont D, Macrogan G, Bergh J, Cameron D, Goldstein D, Duss S, Nicoualz A, Briskin C, Fiche M, Delorenni M, Iigo R (2005) Identification of molecular a priori breast tumors by microarray analysis. Oncogene 24: 4660 – 4671

Ginestier C, Cervera N, Finetti P, Esteyries S, Eterni B, Adelade A, Xerli L, Viens P, Quemecjer J, Charafe-Jauffret E, Chaffanet M, Birnbaum D, Bertucci F (2006) Prognosis and gene expression profiling of 20q13- amplified breast cancers. Clin Cancer Res 12: 4533 – 4544

Gryder RM, Lamon M, Adams E (1975) Sequence position of 3-hydroxylase in basement membrane collagen. Isolation of glycyl-3-hydroxyprolyl-4-hydroxyproline from swine kidney. J Biol Chem 250: 2470 – 2474

Herman JG, Baylin SB (2003) Gene silencing in cancer in association with promoter hypermethylation. N Engl J Med 349: 2042 – 2054

Hess KR, Anderson K, Symmans WF, Valero V, Ibrahim N, Meija JA, Booser D, Theriault RL, Budzari AD, Demsprey PJ, Rouzier R, Sneige N, Ross JS, Vidaurre T, Gómez HL, Hortobagyi GN, Puotasi L (2006) Pharmacogenomic predictor of sensitivity to preoperative chemotherapy with paclitaxel and fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 24: 4236 – 4244

Hofbauer KH, Gess B, Lohaus C, Meyer HE, Katschinski D, Kurtz A (2003) Oxygen tension regulates the expression of a group of procollagen hydroxylases. Eur J Biochem 270: 4515 – 4522

Ikeda K, Iyama K, Ishikawa N, Egami H, Nakao M, Sado Y, Ninomiya Y, R Shah (2006) Methylation of prolyl 3-hydroxylases in breast cancer. British Journal of Cancer (2009) 100(10), 1687 – 1696
Ivan M, Kondo K, Yang H, Kim W, Valiando J, Ohh M, Salic A, Asara JM, Lane WS, Kaelin Jr WG (2001) HIFalpha targeted for VHL-mediated destruction by proline hydroxylation: implications for O2 sensing. Science 292: 464 – 468

Ishvina AV, George J, Senko O, Mowb B, Putti TC, Smeds J, Lindahl T, Pawitan Y, Hall P, Nordgren H, Wong JE, Liu ET, Bergh J, Kuznetsov VA, Miller LD (2004) Genetic reclassification of histologic grade delineates new clinical subtypes of breast cancer. Cancer Res 66: 10292 – 10301

Jarnum S, Kjellman C, Darabi A, Nilsson I, Edvardsen K, Aman P (2004) Leprel, a novel ER and Golgi resident member of the Leprecan family. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 317: 342 – 351

Jenkins CI, Bretschler LE, Guzei IA, Raines RT (2003) Effect of 3-hydroxyproline residues on collagen stability. J Am Chem Soc 125: 6422 – 6427

Jones PA, Baylin SB (2002) The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer. Nat Rev Genet 3: 415 – 428

Kaul SC, Sugihara T, Yoshida A, Nomura H, Wadhwa R (2000) Gros1, a membrane-associated proteoglycan, leprecan. Oncogene 19: 3576 – 3583

Kivirikko KI, Myllylä R, Pihlajaniemi T (1989) Protein hydroxylation: prolyl 4-hydroxylase, an enzyme with four co-substrates and a multi-functional subunit. FASEB J 3: 1609 – 1617

Ma XJ, Wang Z, Ryan PD, Isakov SJ, Barmettler A, Fuller A, Muir B, Mohapatra G, Salunga R, Tuggle JT, Tran Y, Tran D, Tassin A, Amon P, Wang W, Wang W, Enright E, Stecker K, Estepa-Sabal E, Smith B, Younger J, Ballis U, Michaelson J, Bhan A, Habin K, Baer TM, Brugge J, Haber DA, Erlander MG, Sgroi DC (2004) A two-gene expression ratio predicts clinical outcome in breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen. Cancer Cell 5: 607 – 616

Miller LD, Smeds J, George J, Vega VB, Vergara L, Ploner A, Pawitan Y, Hall P, Klaar S, Liu ET, Bergh J (2005) An expression signature for p53 status in human breast cancer predicts mutation status, transcriptional effects, and patient survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci 102: 13550 – 13555

Minn AJ, Gupta GP, Siegel PM, Bos PD, Shu W, Giri DD, Viale A, Olshen AB, Gerald WL, Massagué J (2005) Genes that mediate breast cancer metastasis to lung. Nature 436: 518 – 524

Mizuno K, Hayashi T, Peyton DH, Bachinger HP (2004) The peptides acetyl-(Gly-3(S)Hyp-4(R)Hyp)10-NH2 and acetyl-(Gly-Pro-3(S)Hyp)10-NH2 do not form a collagen triple helix. J Biol Chem 279: 282 – 287

Myllyharju J (2003) Prolyl 4-hydroxylases, the key enzymes of collagen biosynthesis. Matrix Biol 22: 15 – 24

Myllyharju J (2005) Intracellular Post-Translational Modifications of Collagens. Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg 2005; 247: 115 – 147

Neve RM, Chin K, Fridyand J, Yeh J, Baehner FL, Fevr T, Clark L, Bayani N, Coppe JP, Tong F, Speed T, Spellman PT, DeVries S, Lapuk A, Wang NJ, Kuo WL, Stilwell JL, Pinkel D, Albertson DG, Waldman FM, McCormick F, Dickson RB, Johnson MD, Lippman M, Ethier S, Gazdar A, Gray JW (2006) A collection of breast cancer cell lines for the study of functionally distinct cancer subtypes. Cancer Cell 10: 515 – 527

Pawitan Y, Rööhle J, Amerl L, Borg AL, Eghazi S, Hall P, Han X, Holmberg L, Huang F, Klaar S, Liu ET, Miller L, Nordgren H, Ploner A, Sandelin K, Shaw PM, Smeds J, Skoog L, Wedrén S, Bergh J (2006) Gene expression profiling spares early breast cancer patients from adjuvant therapy: derived and validated in two population-based cohorts. Breast Cancer Res 7: R953 – R964

Radwan Y, Singh-Sandhu D, Gallichan S, Levitt C, Pedyaczak A, Mallo G, Gib K, Kwock K, Hanna W, Zubovits J, Armes J, Venter D, Hakimi J, Shortreed J, Donovan M, Parrington M, Dunn P, Oomen R, Tartaglia J, Bernstein NL (2005) The gene associated with trichorhinophalangeal syndrome in humans is overexpressed in breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 11005 – 11100

Richardson AL, Wang ZC, De Nicolio A, Lu X, Brown M, Miron A, Liao X, Iglehart JD, Livingston DM, Ganesan S (2006) X chromosome abnormalities in basal-like human breast cancer. Cancer Cell 9: 121 – 132

Sengupta PK, Smith EM, Kim K, Murnane MJ, Smith BD (2003) DNA hypermethylation near the transcription start site of collagen alpha2(I) gene occurs in both cancer cell lines and primary colorectal cancers. Cancer Res 63: 1789 – 1797

Tianinen P, Pasanen A, Sormunen R, Myllyharju J (2008) Characterization of recombinant human prolyl 3-hydroxylase isozyme 2, an enzyme modifying the basement membrane collagen IV. J Biol Chem 283: 19432 – 19439

van de Vijver MJ, He YD, van’t Veer LJ, Dai H, Hart AA, Voiskui DW, Schreiber GJ, Petersen JL, Roberts C, Marton MJ, Parrish M, Atsma D, Witteveen A, Glas A, Delahaye L, van der Velde T, Bartelink H, Rodenhuis S, Rutgers ET, Friend SH, Bernards R (2002) A gene-expression signature as a predictor of survival in breast cancer. N Engl J Med 347: 1999 – 2009

van’t Veer LJ, Dai H, van de Vijver MJ, He YD, Hart AA, Mao M, Peterse HL, van der Kooy K, Marton MJ, Witteveen AT, Schreiber GJ, Kerkhoven RM, Roberts C, Linley PS, Bernards R, Friend SH (2002) Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. Nature 415: 530 – 536

Vranka JA, Sakai LY, Bachinger HP (2004) Prolyl 3-hydroxylase 1, enzyme characterisation and identification of a novel family of enzymes. J Biol Chem 279: 23615 – 23621

Wang Y, Klijn JG, Zhang Y, Siewerts AM, Look MP, Yang F, Talantov D, Timmermans M, Meijer-van Gelder ME, Yu J, Jatkoe T, Berns EM, Atkins D, Foekens JA (2005) Gene-expression profiles to predict distant metastasis of lymph-node-negative primary breast cancer. Lancet 365: 671 – 679

Wassenhove-McCarthy DJ, McCarthy KJ (1999) Molecular characterization of a novel basement membrane-associated proteoglycan, leprecan. J Biol Chem 274: 25004 – 25017

Zhao H, Langerød A, Ji Y, Nowels KW, Nesland JM, Tibshirani R, Bukholm IK, Kåresen R, Botstein D, Berresen-Dale AL, Jeffrey SS (2004) Different gene expression patterns in invasive lobular and ductal carcinomas of the breast. Mol Biol Cell 15: 2523 – 2536