Overexpression of CEACAM6 activates Src-FAK signaling and inhibits anoikis, through homophilic interactions in lung adenocarcinomas
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ABSTRACT
Among carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule (CEACAM) family proteins, CEACAM6 has received less attention than CEACAM5 and its presence and role in lung cancer are largely unknown. The application of CellphoneDB on the single cell RNA sequencing dataset showed that the homophilic interactions among CEACAM6 molecules, which are overexpressed in lung cancer cells were highly significant. CEACAM6 was overexpressed in 80.1% of lung adenocarcinomas and its overexpression had a significant relationship with non-smoking history and activating EGFR mutations. The effect of CEACAM6 overexpression on patient prognosis was evaluated using TCGA-LUAD dataset; the CEACAM6 overexpression group showed a shorter overall survival than that of the control group when matched for stage, age, sex, and pack-years. Immunoblotting of cell culture soup and ELISA of human derived material suggested that the majority of CEACAM6 was present on the cancer cell surface and interacted with other cancer cells in the crowded tumor microenvironment. Treatment with CEACAM6 showed CEACAM6 homophilic interactions in the cell membrane and anoikis inhibition through the activation of the Src-FAK pathway. Inhibition of CEACAM6 or its homophilic interactions in the cancer cell membrane may provide another therapeutic strategy for lung cancer.

Introduction

After the discovery of increased cancer-specific proteins in primary and metastatic colorectal cancers more than 50 years ago, the discovery of carcinoembryonic antigen-related family proteins in various cancer tissues, embryos, or fetus tissues has ensued [1,2]. The human carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule (CEACAM) family is a family of proteins encoded from 12 independent genes located on chromosome 19q13.1–13.2. Except for CEACAM16, which has two N-terminal domains, all CEACAM family proteins are composed of one variable-like N-terminal domain and 0–6 C-terminal constant type 2 (C2)-like immunoglobulin domains [3–5]. These domains, along with the manner in which they are attached to the membrane, characterize each protein [6]. The CEACAM5, 6, 7, and 8 proteins are attached to the cell membrane via a glycosyolphosphatidylinositol linkage whereas CEACAM1, 3, 4, 19, 20, and 21 are anchored through the transmembrane domain [7].

The diverse subtypes and structures of the CEACAM protein subfamily show a varied distribution in the organs and are involved in various cellular functions, such as survival and proliferation, suppression of anoikis, metastasis, and sometimes tumor suppression [8–10]. Cancer-related CEACAM family proteins are: CEACAM5, also known as CEA; CEACAM6, which is also expressed in granulocytes and monocytes; and CEACAM1, which is most widely distributed in non-malignant epithelial tissues including lymphoid and myeloid cells [7]. Their biological function is mediated by dimerization resulting from homophilic or heterophilic interactions of the N-glycosylated extracellular domain. CEACAM1 and CEACAM5 are homodimerized through N-terminal immunoglobulin-variable domains [11,12]. CEACAM6 generates homodimers as well as heterodimers with CEACAM1, 5, or 8 [13,14]. The cis- or trans-dimerization between CEACAM family proteins is presumed to play an important role in the interaction of cell clusters in
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the tumor microenvironment (TME) composed of various cell types [15].

High expression levels of CEACAM6 have been reported in several neoplastic diseases, such as pancreatic adenocarcinomas, colorectal polyps, and early adenocarcinomas. In a study that used immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of tissue microarray (TMA), the expression level of CEACAM6 was relatively higher than that of CEACAM5 in breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, colorectal cancer, and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [16]. CEACAM6 is an important molecule that inhibits anoikis, a cell-death that occurs due to the loss of cell adhesion [17]. A study that used pancreatic cancer cells found that the expression level of CEACAM6 had a positive correlation with the inhibition of anoikis [18].

The mechanism by which CEACAM6, that does not have an intracellular domain, inhibits anoikis is not well understood. Bonstorff et al. reported the energetic stability of the homodimeric interaction of CEACAM6 and the heterodimeric interaction of CEACAM6 and CEACAM8 through X-ray crystallographic structure analysis and analytical ultracentrifugation [15]. In a study by Camacho-Leal et al., immunofluorescence staining showed co-localization between CEACAM6 and integrin, but activation of the signaling system through physical interaction between CEACAM6 and integrin has not been reported [19]. The EGFR pathway and/or the Src-FAK pathway, both are positive regulator of cell survival, proliferation and migration, have been reported to be activated in cells that are forced to overexpress CEACAM6 [20,21].

Although the role of CEACAM6 and its overexpression has been reported in a few cancers, its clinical implications in lung adenocarcinoma is unknown. Because CEACAM6 is located on the cell surface, it is expected to play an important biological role in cell-cell interactions, but the existence and function of CEACAM6 in the TME of lung cancer has been limitedly studied. In this study, using human derived specimens, we investigated the overexpression of CEACAM6 in lung adenocarcinoma, its presence in the blood, and its effects on anoikis and the Src-FAK signaling system.

Materials and methods

Study cases and ethical approvals. Three-hundred and eleven cases fulfilling the enrollment and exclusion criteria described below were recruited from the institutional tissue archive and assessed using TMA. The enrollment criteria included: (1) cases pathologically confirmed as lung adenocarcinoma from December 2010 to May 2018, (2) patients who underwent lung resection for treatment purposes, (3) cases where residual blocks were available for TMA production in the institutional tissue bank, and (4) cases in which EGFR mutation status was identified from tissue. Patients who received adjuvant or neo-adjuvant chemotherapy were excluded. All hematoyxin and eosiin stained slides from resected lung adenocarcinoma specimens were reviewed and representative areas were marked on them. Tissue cores (3 mm) were extracted from the matched formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor blocks and placed into 6 (3 mm) were extracted from the matched formalin-fixed paraffin blocks and placed into 6

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Plasma samples were analyzed using a Human CD66c/CEACAM6 (Sandwich ELISA) ELISA Kit (LSBio, Cat No: LS-F7305) for human CEACAM6 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentrations were calculated using the protein standards included in the kits.

Live-dead and anoikis assays. The effect of CEACAM6 on cell attachment and survival in an FBS-free culture environment was observed using the following protocol. Firstly, 5 × 10^5 cells were suspended in SF RPMI media supplemented with or without CEACAM6 at 10 ng/mL and plated on a 24-well Thermo Scientific™ Nunc™ Cell-Culture Treated Multidish Culture Plate® (Thermo Scientific™). After 16h, cells were stained with the LIVE/DEAD™ Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit (Invitrogen™, Cat No: L3224) and observed with an EVO fluorescent microscope system (Leica) at 494 and 517 nm. Inhibition of anoikis by CEACAM6 was observed as described elsewhere [21,29]. Briefly, cells suspended either in 2 mL RPMI media supplemented with 5% FBS or SF RPMI media, were incubated in the poly-HEMA-coated (Sigma, Cat No: P3932) wells with or without addition of CEACAM6 for 16 h. Following the induction of anoikis, cells were stained with the LIVE/DEAD™ Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit and the image was captured with the EVO fluorescent microscope system. The anoikis fraction (percentage of cells undergoing anoikis) was calculated by using ImageJ (Green: Live, Red: Dead). All observations were repeated in triplicate and the mean ± SD reported.

Statistical analysis. Differences between groups were analyzed using the Student’s t-test, multifactorial analysis of variance of initial measurements, and Mann-Whitney U test for nonparametric data (as appropriate) using R v4.1.0 (https://www.r-project.org/). Propensity score matching of TCGA-LUAD data was performed using Matchit v4.3.0 [30] and survival analysis was performed using survival v2.32-13 and packHV v2.2 R packages. Multicollinearity was tested using the HH package version 3.1-43 and no interaction was inferred when an r value of less than 0.1 was shown. Statistical significance was considered when P < 0.05.
Results

CEACAM6 is frequently overexpressed in lung adenocarcinomas. In a previous single-cell transcriptome study using non-smoker lung cancer cells, CEACAM6 was one of the most differentially expressed genes overexpressed in lung cancer cells when

---

Table 1
List of interacting molecules within lung cancer cell of non-smokers.

| Interacting pair | Secreted | Annotation_strategy | Significant_means* | P-values** |
|-----------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| CD74 : APP      | No       | Curated             | 10.1916            | <0.001    |
| CEACAM6 : CEACAM6 | No       | Curated             | 9.9360             | <0.001    |
| CD74 : MIF      | Yes      | ID2, InnateDB-All   | 9.3260             | <0.001    |
| CD74 : COPA     | Yes      | IMEx, IntAct        | 9.4922             | <0.001    |
| I CAM1 : AREG   | Yes      | InnateDB-All        | 7.5263             | <0.001    |
| EGFR : AREG     | Yes      | Curated             | 6.6550             | <0.001    |
| CEACAM5 : CEACAM6 | No       | Curated             | 6.4427             | <0.001    |
| CD55 : ADGRE5   | Yes      | Curated             | 6.3808             | <0.001    |
| CXCL2 : DPP4    | Yes      | Curated             | 3.1502             | <0.001    |
| CADM1 : CADM1   | No       | Curated             | 2.4790             | <0.001    |
| LGALS9 : CD47   | Yes      | InnateDB-All        | 2.2030             | <0.001    |
| EFN A1 : EP H A2 | Yes      | Curated             | 1.9217             | <0.001    |
| CD44 : HBEGF    | Yes      | ID2, InnateDB-All   | 1.9061             | <0.001    |
| EGFR : GRN      | Yes      | InnateDB-All        | 1.8111             | <0.001    |
| CD74 : COPA     | Yes      | IMEx, IntAct        | 1.7214             | <0.001    |

*Significant_means denotes the significant mean calculation for all the interacting partners. If \( P < 0.05 \), the value will be the mean; alternatively, the value is set to 0.  
** \( p \)-values for all the interacting partners: \( p \)-value refers to the enrichment of the interacting ligand-receptor pair in each of the interacting pairs of cell types.
Compound mutations include S768I

| Parameters          | Number of cases |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Age (median [range], years) | 66 years       |
| Gender (n, (%))      | Male 165 (53.1%) | Female 146 (46.9%) |
| Stage               | I 189           |
|                     | II 43           |
|                     | III 24          |
|                     | IV 2            |
|                     | N.A. 53         |
| Location of primary Tumor*  | RUL 101       |
|                      | LUL 74          |
|                      | RLL 48          |
|                      | LLL 47          |
|                      | RML 15          |
|                      | LUL_LLL 8       |
|                      | RUL_RML 10      |
|                      | RUL_RLL 5       |
|                      | RML_RLL 3       |
| Smoking             | Non-smoker 233  |
|                     | Ex-smoker 48    |
|                     | Current smoker 30|
|Predominant pattern  | Acinar 211      |
|                     | Solid 39        |
|                     | Papillary 34    |
|                     | Lepidic 20      |
|                     | Micropapillary 7|
| EGFR                | Wild type 116   |
|                     | L586R 96        |
|                     | E19D 85         |
|                     | **Rare mutation 10|
|                     | **Compound mutations 4|

* RUL: right upper lobe, RML: right middle lobe, RLL: right lower lobe, LUL: left upper lobe, LLL: left lower lobe.

** Rare mutations include E20ins, G719A, L861Q, V786M, G719X, G719A.

† Compound mutations include S768I + V774M, G719C + S768I, E19D + T790M, E19Del + L858R.

compared to the adjacent normal-appearing pulmonary epithelial cells [23]. To identify the candidate molecules involved in inter-cancer-cell interactions, we analyzed that same dataset using CellPhoneDB v.2.0 [22,23]. Table 1 shows a list of interacting molecules that were significant ligand-receptor pairs in lung cancer cell of non-smokers (A full list of interactions is shown in the supplementary Table 2). Among them, CEACAM6 was one of the molecules specifically and significantly overexpressed in lung cancer cells compared to that in normal-appearing adjacent lung epithelial cells [23]. We further investigated CEACAM6 overexpression in 311 lung adenocarcinoma samples and found that 60.1% showed moderate to high expression levels, 32.2% showed low expression levels, and only 7.7% of the samples showed no expression (Fig. 1A-C). Table 2 shows the basic demographic and molecular pathological characteristics of the samples used.

CEACAM6 is more strongly expressed in lung cancer cells of non-smokers than in that of smokers. Demographic, pathological, and molecular factors related to CEACAM6 overexpression in these samples were investigated through regression analysis. Interestingly, non- and ex-smokers and the presence of EGFR activation mutations were significantly associated with overexpression of CEACAM6 (P = 0.0013, P = 0.0228, and P = 0.0152, respectively; linear regression). Further evaluation by multivariate analysis revealed a significant relationship between CEACAM6 overexpression and ex- and non-smokers (Table 3). To clarify the relationship between smoking history and EGFR mutations, a multicollinearity test was performed; the VIF score was 2.2 for ex-smokers, 2.3 for non-smokers, and 1.1 for EGFR mutants, suggesting that multicollinearity does not exist between these parameters.

Lung adenocarcinoma patients overexpressing CEACAM6 have a poor prognosis. The effect of CEACAM6 overexpression on clinical outcome was further evaluated using TCGA-LUAD dataset from the Genomic Data Commons data portal. (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). First, the top third TCGA-LUAD cases overexpressing CEACAM6 were extracted based on the RNA-seq by expectation maximization value using OncoLnc (http://www.oncolnc.org/)^2. In parallel, we selected cases in TCGA-LUAD dataset for which stage, age, sex, pack-year, and survival data were available on the clinical dataset as of October 1, 2021. Using these data, survival analysis was performed by 1:1 matching of the propensity scores for stage, age, sex, and pack-year of the CEACAM6 overexpression group and control group^3 (Fig. 1D and E). The group overexpressing CEACAM6 and the matched control dataset are shown in Supplementary Table 3. When the effect of CEACAM6 overexpression on prognosis was evaluated using the TCGA-LUAD dataset, patients in the CEACAM6 overexpression group showed a significantly shorter overall survival than those in the control group when matched for stage, age, sex, and smoking history (Fig. 1F, P = 0.030, LogRank test).

Cell surface-attached CEACAM6, not secreted form, mediates its role in TME. To further evaluate the role of CEACAM6 in the lung cancer TME, we first performed immunoblotting using lyses and culture media that were obtained during lung cancer cell culture. Immunoblotting of the cells grown in fetal bovine serum (FBS)-supplemented growth media showed strong expression of CEACAM6 whereas that of the cells maintained in FBS-free media showed no or very weak expression (Fig. 2A, Supplementary Fig. 1A). These findings suggest that the expression of CEACAM6 in lung cancer cells is strongly influenced by the presence of FBS in the culture environment. Therefore, to detect the presence of CEACAM6 in the cell culture environment, culture soups with NSCLC cells grown in FBS-supplemented media and FBS-supplemented fresh media were blotted for CEACAM6 (Fig. 2B). The results showed strong expression of CEACAM6 at 75 kDa, which is corresponding to the size of the glycosylated form, in culture soup of all lung cancer cells and in FBS-supplemented fresh media. Interestingly,
new bands from cancer cell origin were observed only in one culture soup out of total eight cell lines. In other words, in the culture soup of SNU1330 cells, which harbor homozygous deletions in exon 19 of EGFR, new bands of approximately 37 kDa and 210 kDa were shown. To detect the CEACAM6 secreted from lung cancer cells—which may be masked by added FBS—we immunoblotted (1) culture soups with NSCLC cells grown in FBS-free media, (2) FBS-free culture media, and (3) FBS-supplemented growth media. CEACAM6 was observed only in FBS-supplemented media (Supplementary Fig. 1B). These findings suggested that CEACAM6 was present in large amounts in the cell culture environment, which was related to the expression of CEACAM6 in lung cancer cells and not secreted to the media in the most of the cells.

To investigate the presence and concentration of circulating CEACAM6 in the blood of lung cancer patients, a pilot test with immunoblotting and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was conducted using plasma from patients with COPD as a control group. The average plasma concentration of CEACAM6 in 29 lung cancer patients with various stages was 171.1 ng/mL (149.2–203.6 ng/mL), whereas that of the 11 control patients with COPD was 211.1 ng/mL (176.3–263.4 ng/mL). No significant difference in plasma CEACAM6 was observed between the two groups (Fig. 2C). Besides, no significant relationships were observed between CEACAM6 plasma concentration and parameters such as stage, tumor size, and other clinical measures (data not shown). Collectively, these results suggest that most CEACAM6 interacts with other cancer cells in the crowded TME as a cell surface attached form.

Homophilic interactions of CEACAM6 inhibit anoikis through Src-FAK pathway activation. To simulate the interaction between CEACAM6 on the surface of lung cancer cells and CEACAM5 or CEACAM6 in the TME, synthetic CEACAM5 or CEACAM6 attached with 6X His-Tags were treated in SF conditions, stained with His antibodies, and observed with a confocal microscope (Fig. 2D). Most of the signals observed on the cell surface were derived from the co-localization of His-tagged CEACAM6 and endogenous CEACAM6, whereas the co-localization of CEACAM5 and CEACAM6 was barely observed (Fig. 2D and E). Previous reports indicated that intracellular overexpression of CEACAM6 or modulation of N-glycosylation induce the activation of signaling systems which are involved in cell fates [20,21]. The effect of CEACAM6 on these signaling systems was observed by gradually increasing the concentration of CEACAM6 treatment in the cells (Fig. 3A and B). Among the signaling pathways evaluated, the activation of the Src-FAK signaling system was observed in a CEACAM6 dose-dependent manner, which lead to the phosphorylation of MAPK/extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (MEK1/2) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK). On the other hand, there was no effect on EGFR phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. 2). As a comparison, cells were treated in the same manner with CEACAM5, but no effect on Src-FAK signaling was observed (Supplementary Fig. 3). These findings indicate that CEACAM6, not CEACAM5, interacts with itself in a transmanner and activates the Src-FAK pathway, which has a positive effect.
on cancer cell fate. The effect of the homophilic interaction between exogenous CEACAM6 and its cell membrane-bound form on cell survival was further evaluated using poly 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (poly-HEMA) coated culture plate. Unlike previous studies that overexpressed CEACAM6 by introducing its gene [31] or silenced CEACAM6 using small interfering RNA [18], we investigated the biologic effect of CEACAM6 by adding exogenous CEACAM6 to SF culture media (Fig. 3C and D). When CEACAM6 was added to FBS-free media while culturing cells in a poly-HEMA coated culture plate, anoikis was significantly reduced in the CEACAM6-treated group compared to that in the control group. These findings suggest that CEACAM6 in the TME may play an important role in the inhibition of anoikis through the activation of the Src-FAK signaling system.

Discussion

CEACAM6 has received less attention than CEACAM5 but has recently gained increasing interest as it is often overexpressed in early-stage NSCLC [23,32]. Studies on the clinical implications of CEACAM6 overexpression or its effect on cellular signaling systems in lung cancer are very limited. This study showed that CEACAM6 is frequently overexpressed in lung adenocarcinoma, adversely affects patient prognosis, and inhibits anoikis by activation of Src-FAK signaling through homophilic interactions.

Unlike CEACAM1, 3, 4, 19, 20, and 21, which have a bona fide transmembrane domain, CEACAM5 and 6 are loosely attached to the membrane with a glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor composed of 26 hydrophobic amino acids. Therefore, it was hypothesized that CEACAM6, like CEACAM5, could be easily released into and detected in the blood circulation, but this was not observed in our pilot study using plasma. One possible reason is that CEACAM5, which has a total of six C2-like domains, can be more easily detached from the cell surface by phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C or physical interactions compared to CEACAM6, which has only two C2-like domains.

A clinical study using the anti-CEACAM6 monoclonal antibody, Tinurilimab (NCT03596372; Bay 1834942), is in progress based on the rationale that CEACAM6 is an immune checkpoint regulator suppressing the activity of effector T-cells against tumors (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03596372) [33]. The researchers showed that when CEACAM6 was overexpressed or attached to cancer cells using beads, it inhibited the phosphorylation of zeta-chain-associated protein kinase 70 to suppress T-cell receptor-mediated T-cell responses. Furthermore, the Tinurilimab showed an increased tumor cell killing effect in the tumor-cell/T-cell co-culture system [34,35]. Our findings provide additional evidence that CEACAM6 is a possible target candidate for the treatment of lung cancer.

However, this study had some limitations. First, the dataset used to evaluate CEACAM6 overexpression in lung adenocarcinoma tissues, the dataset used to analyze the effect of overexpression on lung cancer patient prognosis, and the blood samples used to identify the presence of...
circulating CEACAM6 were different from each other. In the TMA dataset obtained from the patients who underwent lung resection at the research institution, information on cancer-related events such as recurrence and cancer-death were not included; therefore, TCGA-UDU dataset was used to analyze the effect on prognosis. In addition, since blood samples were obtained from other datasets, the inability to compare the CEACAM6 expression levels and the blood concentration levels was a limitation. Second, a significant proportion of the lung cancer samples were from non-smokers and carried EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) sensitizing mutations. Our results were obtained from a dataset with high frequencies of non-smokers, women, and EGFR-TKI mutations which may have biased the conclusion that the majority of lung cancers show CEACAM6 overexpression. Since overexpression is also shown in single cell (scRNA) sequencing data [32] and another IHC study [36] with various cancer tissues, it would be easy to generalize the fact that CEACAM6 is overexpressed in most lung cancers. Third, CEACAMS/6 used for in vitro experimentation was not in glycosylated form. Glycosylation, a post-translational modification related to protein folding, localization, and stability, has a great influence on protein interaction and intracellular signal transduction [37]. Chiang et al. showed that when N-glycosylation of CEACAM6 on the cell surface was quenched with swainsonine and N-Acetyl-Glucosaminytransferase 5 short hairpin RNA, its interaction with EGFR and EGFR signaling decreased [20]. It may be necessary to use the N-glycosylated form of CEACAM6 for a more precise evaluation of the binding or signaling effects. Finally, the identification of motifs involved in the homophilic interaction of CEACAM6 and the R&D of the tools controlling interaction were left for further research tasks. If the antibodies or chemicals, which block the interaction of CEACAM6 demonstrate, inhibition of the FAK-Src pathway and restoration of anoikis, it would be additional evidence supporting their clinical development. Along with the recent report by Pinkert et al., their humanized monoclonal antibody selectively blocking CEACAM6 would be of great help in solving this problem [35].

Collectively, CEACAM6 is overexpressed in most lung adenocarcinomas and adversely affects patient prognosis. CEACAM6 on the surface of lung cancer cells inhibits anoikis through the activation of Src-FAK signaling through homophilic trans-interactions with adjacent cancer cells. These findings suggest that CEACAM6 contributes to a positive survival feedback loop between cancer cells in a crowded TME.
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