Identifying the crystal and electronic structure evolution in tri-component transition metal oxide nanosheets for efficient electrocatalytic oxygen evolution

Xiaoping Zhang\textsuperscript{1} | Chung-Li Dong\textsuperscript{2} | Zhidan Diao\textsuperscript{1} | Ying-Rui Lu\textsuperscript{2,3} | Shaohua Shen\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{1}International Research Center for Renewable Energy, State Key Laboratory of Multiphase, Flow in Power Engineering, Xi’an Jiaotong University, Xi’an, Shaanxi, China
\textsuperscript{2}Department of Physics, Tamkang University, New Taipei City, Taiwan
\textsuperscript{3}National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu, Taiwan

Correspondence
Shaohua Shen, International Research Center for Renewable Energy, State Key Laboratory of Multiphase, Flow in Power Engineering, Xi’an Jiaotong University, Xi’an, Shaanxi 710049, China.
Email: shshen_xjtu@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Funding information
National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 51961165103, 51672210; National Program for Support of Top-notch Young Professionals

Abstract
Transition metal oxides (TMOs) nanosheets comprised of earth abundant Fe, Co, and Ni elements have been designed. Remarkably, the tri-component NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x}/Ni foam (NF) electrode delivers a high current density of 100 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} at an over-potential of only \(\approx 383\) mV for oxygen evolution reaction (OER), with prolonged electrochemical stability. The improved OER activity of NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x}/NF can be ascribed to the simultaneous incorporation of Ni and Co into FeO\textsubscript{x}/NF, boosting the intrinsic catalytic activities by altering the crystal and electronic structures. With electron redistribution happening in the tri-component NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x}/NF, the electron transfer from Co to Ni through the Fe acting as the electron transfer bridge renders Co more acidic (Lewis acid) to activate H\textsubscript{2}O (Lewis base) molecules through Lewis acid-base interaction. By identifying the interactions of Fe, Co, and Ni and electron redistribution in the tri-component TMOs, this study provides new insights into the crystal and electronic structure evolution of tri-component NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x} catalysts for active electrocatalysis.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years, great efforts have been devoted to the electrochemical study of water splitting for hydrogen and oxygen evolution in pursuit of sustainable and efficient energy conversion and storage.\textsuperscript{1} In comparison to hydrogen evolution reaction during water splitting, the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) involves a four-electron process and is more challenging due to its slow reaction kinetics, high overpotentials, and the inferior stability of catalysts.\textsuperscript{2-5} Thus, to enhance the efficiency for electrocatalytic water splitting, suitable and effective electrocatalysts have been explored to trim down the anodic overpotential and to enhance the activity for OER. To date, iridium (Ir) and ruthenium (Ru) oxides have shown effective OER catalytic activities in aqueous media. However, the practical applications of IrO\textsubscript{2} and RuO\textsubscript{2} are limited by their scarce availability and high cost.\textsuperscript{6-8} To this end, non-precious metal oxides based on first-row transition metals those are naturally abundant, comparable activity and stability have appeared at
the forefront of electrocatalyst research. In addition, they exhibit rich chemistries, such as variable oxidation states and advantaged phase changes during the electrochemical processes, which can be also easily tuned and synthesized at large scale for targeted applications.

Recently, extensive research efforts have been focused on the transition metal oxides (TMOs), especially, those Fe, Co, and Ni-based oxides, with large surface area, plenty of active sites by tuning the morphology and structure, which aims to boost the inward diffusion of electrolyte ions and improve the intrinsic electrochemical performance. For example, rod-like, mixed rod-like and sheet-like, and sheet-like Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructures were prepared by Zhang et al. for efficient OER, and Co$_3$O$_4$ nanosheets exhibited the highest current density and lowest overpotential, which can be attributed to its short electrolyte infiltration diffusion path lengths and low charge transfer resistance. Han and co-workers reported that Ni–Co Prussian-blue-analog (PBA) nanocages exhibited a small overpotential of 380 mV to generate a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. The outstanding electrocatalytic activity of Ni-Co PBA nanocages came from their complex three-dimensional (3D) cage-like hollow and porous structure. Wang’s group reported that ultrathin CoFe layered double hydroxides nanosheets exhibited a low overpotential of 266 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for efficient OER, which was ascribed to a great number of active sites and vacancies. Tricomponent NiCoFe-based catalysts have also aroused much attention, given the abundant high-valence species and good structural stability. For example, rod-like NiCoFeOx/NF and CoFeOx/NF exhibiting a small overpotential of 380 mV to generate a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$.

The outstanding electrocatalytic activity of Ni-Co PBA nanocages came from their complex three-dimensional (3D) cage-like hollow and porous structure. Wang’s group reported that ultrathin CoFe layered double hydroxides nanosheets exhibited a low overpotential of 266 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for efficient OER, which was ascribed to a great number of active sites and vacancies. Tricomponent NiCoFe-based catalysts have also aroused much attention, given the abundant high-valence species and good structural stability. For example, rod-like NiCoFeOx/NF and CoFeOx/NF exhibiting a small overpotential of 380 mV to generate a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$.

All these observations demonstrate that it is of great concern to optimize the crystal and electronic structures, by identifying the interactions of metal cations in TMOs, especially those multi-component metal oxides, for the design of high efficiency electrocatalysts for OER. As discussed above, although many research works have been focused on the NiCoFe-based catalysts, the optimization of the crystal and electronic structures by identifying the interactions of Fe, Co, and Ni and the electron redistribution in tri-component TMOs is still rarely reported.

With keeping aiming at those generally used transition metals (TM = Fe, Co, and Ni) for electrocatalysis, herein, TMOs nanosheets were directly grown on Ni foam (NF) substrates through a facile hydrothermal route followed by thermal treatment. The 3D interconnected macroscopic porous structure across the whole NF substrate not only enables the interior area to be accessible for deposition of catalysts, but also facilitates the mass transport of the reactant hydroxide ions and fast dissipation of gaseous products (eg, O$_2$ for OER reaction). It was demonstrated that among the single-metal-component TMOs/NF (TMOs = FeO$_x$, CoO$_x$, NiO$_x$), FeO$_x$/NF was most catalytically effective in the electrochemical behavior for OER. In order to enhance the catalytic performance of FeO$_x$/NF, Co and/or Ni were introduced into FeO$_x$/NF to obtain bi-component (NiFeO$_x$/NF, CoFeO$_x$/NF) and tri-component (NiCoFeO$_x$/NF) metal oxides. In comparison to the separate introduction of Ni or Co into FeO$_x$/NF yielding no enhancement in electrocatalytic activity and even poorer activity than FeO$_x$/NF, it is surprising that with Ni and Co simultaneously introduced into FeO$_x$/NF, the obtained tri-component NiCoFeO$_x$/NF performed as an outstanding OER catalyst, achieving a high current density of 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ at an overpotential of 383 mV and low Tafel slope of 44.7 mV dec$^{-1}$. The greatly improved OER activity of NiCoFeO$_x$/NF as compared with other TMOs/NF (NiO$_x$/NF, CoO$_x$/NF, FeO$_x$/NF, etc.)
NiFeOx/NF, CoFeOx/NF) can be ascribed to the synergistic evolution of crystal structures (from single crystalline to polycrystalline) and electronic structures, with the simultaneous incorporation of Ni and Co into FeOx/NF. It was revealed that in the tri-component NiCoFeOx/NF, the introduced Co cations tended to substitute at the Fe sites along with Fe vacancies created, while the introduced Ni cations existed as NiOx. With electron redistribution happening along with the crystal structure evolution, Fe served as the electron transfer bridge, promoting the charge transfer from Co to Ni, which renders Co more acidic (Lewis acid) to activate H2O (Lewis base) molecules through Lewis acid-base interaction. Therefore, tuning the crystal and electronic structures by tailoring the chemical compositions of transition-metal based materials with the introduction of suitable cations emerges as an effective approach to achieve outstanding electrocatalytic performances for water splitting.

2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

These nanostructured TMOs/NF electrodes were successfully prepared by a simple hydrothermal process as schemed in Figure 1A. TMOs nanosheets were directly grown on NF substrates in aqueous solution containing metal nitrates in stoichiometric ratios, followed by an annealing process. Figure 1B-G displays the SEM images of the NF substrates hydrothermally deposited with TMOs nanosheets. It can be observed that the TMOs nanosheets grow on the skeletons of the NF with uniform morphology, forming a 3D network with highly open structure. Clearly, the NiOx/NF and CoOx/NF (Figure 1B,C) nanosheets are of multilayered structure with thickness varying from 50 to 100 nm. In comparison, with the introduction of Fe, all the Fe-contained TMOs (Figure 1D-G) nanosheets show an obvious decrease in thickness. Especially, the NiCoFeOx nanosheets grown on NF (Figure 1G) display the nanosheet thickness greatly reduced to be several nanometers and the two-dimensional nanosheet size much increased to be several micrometers, as compared with other TMOs. Due to the low loading contents of TMOs (<0.1 mg cm−2), all the TMOs/NF electrodes exhibit three distinct diffraction peaks of NF substrates at 44.5°, 51.8°, and 76.4° (PDF#65-2865), without any other peaks observed in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (Figure S1, Supporting Information). However, all the precipitates, collected after hydrothermal process and undergone an annealing process similar to TMOs/NF, exhibit diffraction peaks at around 35.6°, 43.3°, and 62.9° (Figure S2,
Supporting Information), which is consistent with the standard pattern of FeOx (PDF#39-1346). This indicates that all the hydrothermally grown TMOs have similar crystal structure.

Given the fact that FeOx/NF was measured to have the highest electrocatalytic OER activity among the three single-component TMOs/NF electrodes (see the electrochemical measurements in the following sections), the discussion and analysis on crystal structure and electronic structure as well as the electrocatalytic activity of the bicomponent and tri-component TMOs/NF electrodes in the following sections was carried out with the FeOx/NF electrode as reference.

More details in the structural and morphological features of the prepared TMOs nanosheets were further investigated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images. As shown in Figure 2A, the semi-transparent FeOx nanosheets indicate the very thin thickness of the single layer, which is determined to be only ≈4.5 nm. In comparison, the TMOs containing two metallic elements (ie, NiFeOx, CoFeOx) display even smaller single-layer thickness of ≈4.0 nm for NiFeOx and ≈3.5 nm for CoFeOx (insets in Figure 2B,C), with the second transition metal introduced. Especially, the ternary NiCoFeOx shows the smallest single-layer thickness of only ≈2.5 nm (inset in Figure 2D). Furthermore, the ultrathin feature of the nanosheets is also confirmed by atomic force microscopy (AFM). It can be found that the as-prepared nanosheets have thickness of 4.0 to 4.5 nm, 3.5 to 4.0 nm, 3.0 to 3.5 nm, and 2.0 to 3.0 nm for FeOx, NiFeOx, CoFeOx, and NiCoFeOx (Figure S3, Supporting Information), respectively, which are consistent with the thickness in the TEM images. As shown in the high-resolution TEM image (Figure 2E), the lattice spacings of 0.24 nm can be well assigned to the (311) planes of FeOx, and FeOx nanosheets demonstrate the single-crystalline nature (inset in Figure 2E) of well-defined and continuous crystal lattice with defects hardly observed. However, with the second transition metal Ni or Co introduced into the FeOx nanosheets homogeneously (Figure S4, Supporting Information), the lattice spacings of the obtained binary NiFeOx and CoFeOx decrease to 0.22 and 0.21 nm, respectively, maybe due to the smaller sizes of Ni and Co ions than Fe ions. Instead of single-crystalline observed for FeOx, NiFeOx, and CoFeOx display the polycrystalline nature (inset in Figure 2F,G) with defects created. Moreover, the

**FIGURE 2** TEM images of: A, FeOx; B, NiFeOx; C, CoFeOx; and D, NiCoFeOx, the insets of, A–D, show the thickness of the nanosheets, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy images and selected area electron diffraction patterns (inset) of: E, FeOx; F, NiFeOx; G, CoFeOx; H, NiCoFeOx; and I, elemental mapping of NiCoFeOx.
introduction of Ni could induce more defects in NiFeO\textsubscript{x} as than Co in CoFeO\textsubscript{x} (as marked by the yellow-line circles in Figure 2F,G). With both Ni and Co introduced into FeO\textsubscript{x} nanosheets, the lattice spacing of the obtained ternary NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x} reduces to 0.22 nm, and NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x} also shows the poly-crystalline nature (inset in Figure 2H), with plenty of defects created. The reduction of lattice spacings indicates that the incorporation of Ni and/or Co may trigger crystal structure evolution of TMOs, possibly due to the different sizes of transition metal ions. The scanning EDX elemental mapping (Figure 2I and Figure S4 in Supporting Information) reveals the homogeneous distribution of transition metals in these TMOs nanosheets hydrothermally grown on NF substrates. Thus, it could be deduced that the incorporation of Ni and/or Co could greatly alter the crystal structure of the obtained TMOs nanosheets, with crystal phases transformed from single crystalline for FeO\textsubscript{x} to poly-crystalline for alloy-based TMOs (i.e., NiFeO\textsubscript{x}, CoFeO\textsubscript{x}, and NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x}) with defects simultaneously introduced.

X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were collected to reveal the atomic compositions and the chemical states of the as-prepared TMOs nanosheets. Figure 3A shows the Fe 2p spectra of all samples. For FeO\textsubscript{x}, two peaks with binding energies of Fe 2p\textsubscript{3/2} at 711.3 eV and Fe 2p\textsubscript{1/2} at 724.6 eV could confirm the oxidation state of Fe\textsuperscript{3+}.\textsuperscript{6,36,37} With Ni (or Co) introduced into FeO\textsubscript{x}, both the Fe 2p\textsubscript{3/2} and Fe 2p\textsubscript{1/2} peaks shift to higher (or lower) binding energies for the obtained NiFeO\textsubscript{x} (or CoFeO\textsubscript{x}), indicating that the introduction of Ni or Co atoms into the FeO\textsubscript{x} lattice may trigger the charge redistribution around the Fe atoms, but in different ways. Then, it is reasonable that with the simultaneous incorporation of Ni and Co atoms into FeO\textsubscript{x}, the obtained NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x} shows the Fe 2p\textsubscript{3/2} and Fe 2p\textsubscript{1/2} peaks located between those of NiFeO\textsubscript{x} and CoFeO\textsubscript{x}. As shown in Figure 3B, the Ni peaks corresponding to Ni\textsuperscript{2+} and Ni\textsuperscript{3+} are observed for all the Ni contained TMOs nanosheets. Specifically, the two fitting peaks at 872.0 and 854.6 eV could be ascribed to Ni\textsuperscript{2+}, while the two peaks at 873.8 and 855.8 eV should be ascribed to Ni\textsuperscript{3+}.\textsuperscript{38,39} Similarly, the Co 2p peaks could also be deconvoluted into multi-valence states, for example, Co\textsuperscript{2+} with binding energies at 781.2 and 796.9 eV and Co\textsuperscript{3+} with binding energies at 779.7 and 795.2 eV (Figure 3C).\textsuperscript{40,41} It could be then reasonably expected that the introduction of the redox couples of Ni\textsuperscript{2+/3+} and Co\textsuperscript{2+/3+} in TMOs nanosheets might alter the charge distribution around the Fe atoms and thus the electronic structure evolution might contribute to the improved electrochemical performances.\textsuperscript{42–44} Figure 3D shows the O 1s spectra of all the TMOs/NF samples. For the single metallic TMO sample, that is, FeO\textsubscript{x}, the peak with a low

**FIGURE 3** XPS spectra of: A, Fe 2p; B, Ni 2p; C, Co 2p; and D, O 1s for NiO\textsubscript{x}/NF, CoO\textsubscript{x}/NF, FeO\textsubscript{x}/NF, NiFeO\textsubscript{x}/NF, CoFeO\textsubscript{x}/NF, and NiCoFeO\textsubscript{x}/NF.
binding energy at 529.6 eV could be assigned to the oxygen–metal bond (Fe–O).\textsuperscript{45,46} The second peak at 531.0 eV should be attributed to the defects with low oxygen coordination in the materials such as hydroxyls, chemisorbed oxygen and undercoordinated lattice oxygen.\textsuperscript{47} The third peak with a higher binding energy at 532.8 eV could be attributed to the physically and chemically absorbed water molecules on the surface.\textsuperscript{48} Clearly, with Co and/or Ni atoms introduced into Fe\textsubscript{Ox}, the metal–oxygen (M–O) peaks shift to higher and lower binding energy for the bicomponent NiFe\textsubscript{Ox} and CoFe\textsubscript{Ox}, respectively, while the tri-component NiCoFe\textsubscript{Ox} shows the M–O peak locating between those of NiFe\textsubscript{Ox} and CoFe\textsubscript{Ox}. This phenomenon is consistent with the binding energy shift of the Fe peaks in these alloy-based TMOs, which again evidences that the introduction of Ni and/or Co in TMOs nanosheets might alter the charge distribution of the Fe–O bonds. Based on the TEM images and XPS analysis, it could be verified that the introduction of Ni and/or Co into Fe\textsubscript{Ox} will affect the crystal structures (i.e., from single crystalline to poly-crystalline with the introduction of defects) as well as the electronic structures, which in turn would be expected to tune the catalytic activity of these TMOs electrocatalysts.

It is believed that the electrocatalytic activity is strongly associated with the electronic structure. Thus, it would be of great importance to reveal the effect of the incorporated Co and/or Ni on the electronic structures of TMOs nanosheets in more details, by carrying out the X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra. Figure 4A shows the Fe K-edge XANES of Fe\textsubscript{Ox}/NF,

![Figure 4](image_url)

**FIGURE 4**  A, Fe K-edge; B, Co K-edge; and C, Ni K-edge XANES spectra; D, extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) oscillations of Fe K-edge (solid lines), Co K-edge (line with open circles), and Ni K-edge (dot lines) $k^2\chi$ spectra; the Fourier transformed amplitude of the EXAFS $k^2$ data at the, E, Fe K-edge; F, Co K-edge (open circles), and Ni K-edge (dot lines); schematic representations of the model structures for G, Fe\textsubscript{Ox}/Ni foam (NF) and H, NiCoFe\textsubscript{Ox}/NF
NiFeO₅/NF, CoFeO₅/NF, and NiCoFeO₅/NF. The transition metal K-edge is resulted from the electron transition from 1s core level to 4p unoccupied orbitals. The intensity of the absorption peak is proportional to the amount of unoccupied electronic states. Notably, the peak intensity of Fe K-edge of bi-component CoFeO₅/NF (NiFeO₅/NF) is lower (higher) than that of FeO₅/NF, which implies that there is a charge redistribution upon the incorporation of Co or Ni. For CoFeO₅/NF, the charge redistribution is likely to be originated from the charge transfer from Co to Fe, which is evidenced by the fact that the peak intensity of Co K-edge (Figure 4B) for CoFeO₅/NF is higher than that for CoO₅/NF. Comparatively, with Ni incorporated into FeO₅/NF, the Fe K-edge of the obtained NiFeO₅/NF is higher than that of FeO₅/NF, and main peak of Ni K-edge (Figure 4C) is lower in NiFeO₅/NF than in NiO₅/NF, which strongly suggests the Fe and Ni, respectively, loses and gains some charges. Interestingly, as both Co and Ni are incorporated in FeO₅/NF, the peak intensity of Fe K-edge of the tri-component NiCoFeO₅/NF is almost identical to that of FeO₅/NF. This fact along with the analytical results above suggests the charge transfer is from Co to Ni in NiCoFeO₅/NF, and Fe acts as the electron transfer bridge. To confirm this argument, the Co K-edge (Figure 4B, inset) of CoFeO₅/NF and NiCoFeO₅/NF, and Ni K-edge (Figure 4C, inset) of NiFeO₅/NF and NiCoFeO₅/NF are compared. NiCoFeO₅/NF exhibits the higher (lower) peak intensity of Co K-edge (Ni K-edge) than CoFeO₅/NF (NiFeO₅/NF). Therefore, Ni gains charges as Co is presented in NiFeO₅/NF, while Co loses charges as Ni is presented in CoFeO₅/NF.

Figure 4D presents the EXAFS oscillations of Fe K-edge (solid lines), Co K-edge (line with open circles), and Ni K-edge (dot lines) k² spectra. It is clear that Fe K-edge EXAFS oscillations of NiFeO₅/NF, CoFeO₅/NF, and NiCoFeO₅/NF are similar to each other and resemble that of FeO₅/NF. This indicates all samples have similar atomic structure around Fe site as compared to FeO₅/NF. Notably, Co K-edge EXAFS oscillations of CoFeO₅/NF and NiCoFeO₅/NF are almost identical and analogous to the Fe K-edge EXAFS oscillations. However, Ni K-edge EXAFS oscillations of the Ni contained TMOs samples closely resemble each other, but differ from Fe K- and Co K-edge EXAFS oscillations. Thus, the atomic structure around Fe and Co sites are alike, but atomic structure around Ni site bears resemblance to NiO₅/NF. Figure 4E presents the Fourier-transformed (FT) amplitude of the EXAFS k² data at the Fe K-edge. The peaks at about 1.5 and 2.5 Å are, respectively, associated with the first coordination shell Fe—O and second coordination shell Fe-Metal (M) (M = Fe, Co, Ni). Yet again, all samples exhibit the similar coordination shells. Figure 4F shows the FT EXAFS k² signal at the Co K-edge (open circles) and Ni K-edge (dot lines). At a glance, the coordination shells revealed from Co K-edge are different from Ni K-edge but analogous to Fe K-edge. Thus, it could be suggested that Fe and Co locate at similar sites in the crystal lattice, but Ni locates at the position that is different from Co and Fe. Quantitatively, the FT-EXAFS curve-fitted results are shown in Figure S5 (Supporting Information), indicating that the fitting curves are well consistent with the experimental data. The results of the best fits are listed in Tables S1 to S3 (Supporting Information). Notably, the bond length (M—O and M—M) of FeO₅/NF and NiFeO₅/NF are almost the same, as indicated by the vertical lines in Figure 4E, suggesting the incorporation of Ni in FeO₅/NF does not change the bond length and Ni does not replace Fe/Co. The CoFeO₅/NF and NiCoFeO₅/NF in Figure 4F can be interpreted along the same lines. Above results suggest that the Co incorporated in FeO₅/NF is likely to replaces Fe, while the Ni incorporated in FeO₅/NF appears to be positioned at interstitial site, which would form the local NiO₅-like atomic environment and create defects in the nanosheets, as clearly observed in TEM images (Figure 2F-H).

Taking a closer look at the FT of EXAFS at Fe K-edge (Figure 4E), in comparison with FeO₅/NF and NiFeO₅/NF, the M—O bond length decreases and the M—M bond length increases for CoFeO₅/NF (1.92 Å) and NiCoFeO₅/NF (1.91 Å) with Co incorporated, as indicated by two vertical lines in Figure 4E. Furthermore, in presence of Co, the coordination number of M—O (M—M) shell for CoFeO₅/NF or NiCoFeO₅/NF is increased (decreased) in comparison with FeO₅/NF, as indicated by the horizontal red lines revealing the ratio of FT-EXAFS intensity of M—O to M—M shell is lowest for FeO₅/NF. The detailed variation of coordination numbers for M—O and M—M shell is depicted in Table S1 (Supporting Information). The enlarged coordination number in first M—O shell and the shrunken M—O bond length, together with the reduced coordination number in second M—M shell and increased M—M bond length, reveal that Co tends to substitute Fe. However, some Fe is not replaced successfully by Co, thus generating the Fe vacancies. By comparing NiFeO₅/NF and FeO₅/NF, the change of M—O and M—M coordination number and bond length is insignificant, which suggests the effects of Ni incorporated in FeO₅ are different from that of Co incorporated, and there is no substitution effect when Ni is incorporated. These observations may explain why the single-crystal like FeO₅ no longer persists as Co and/or Ni are introduced, and instead poly-crystalline with defects introduced is formed. This phenomenon is also verified in the identical FT of EXAFS at Co K-edge for CoFeO₅/NF and NiCoFeO₅/NF, as shown in Figure 4F.
curve fitting of Co K-edge is displayed in Figure S5C with the best fits listed in Table S2 (Supporting Information). The difference in the coordination number and bond length can be hardly observed. Thus, the presence of NiO$_x$ has nearly no influence on the atomic structures around Fe and Co sites in these samples. To further address the effect of NiO$_x$ in bi-component or tri-component samples, the FT of EXAFS at Ni K-edge for NiFeO$_x$/NF, NiCoFeO$_x$/NF, and NiO$_x$/NF are compared (Figure 4F). The tri-component sample exhibits the largest peak intensity in comparison with single- and bi-component samples, implying that the Ni–O coordination number is highest in NiCoFeO$_x$/NF, which is evidenced in the best fits in Table S3 (Supporting Information). The enhanced Ni–O coordination number may play an important role to connect the CoFeO$_x$/NF fragments to form poly-crystalline NiCoFeO$_x$/NF. Based on these X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) results, as proposed in the model structures (Figure 4G,H), the introduced Co tends to substitute at the Fe sites along with Fe vacancies created, while the introduced Ni tends to exist in NiO$_x$ form.

The electrochemical measurements were conducted in a typical three-electrode setup in 1.0 M NaOH solution to explore these TMOs/NF electrodes used for OER. Bare NF shows a very poor OER activity, and in comparison, considerable OER activities were observed for NiO$_x$/NF, CoO$_x$/NF, and FeO$_x$/NF (Figure 5A). The overpotential required to drive a current density of 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ for OER decreases from 526 mV for NF to 467, 447 and 402 mV for NiO$_x$/NF, CoO$_x$/NF, and FeO$_x$/NF, respectively. To ascertain the reaction kinetics, Tafel analysis was conducted (Figure 5B), with Tafel slopes
determined to be 118.3, 81.7, 59.4, and 45.0 mV dec\(^{-1}\) for bare NF, NiO\(_x\)/NF, CoO\(_x\)/NF, and FeO\(_x\)/NF, respectively. Comparatively, among these single-metal-component TMOs/NF, FeO\(_x\)/NF was the most catalytically effective in the electrochemical behavior for OER.

In order to enhance the electrocatalytic OER performance of FeO\(_x\)/NF, a second metal component (eg, Co and Ni) was introduced into FeO\(_x\)/NF, yielding bi-component NiFeO\(_x\)/NF and CoFeO\(_x\)/NF. However, the overpotentials required to drive a current density of 100 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for OER are 421 and 404 mV for NiFeO\(_x\)/NF and CoFeO\(_x\)/NF (Figure 5D), respectively, which are even larger than that of FeO\(_x\)/NF. Accordingly, the Tafel slopes were determined to be 48.9 and 45.1 mV dec\(^{-1}\) for NiFeO\(_x\)/NF and CoFeO\(_x\)/NF (Figure 5E), respectively, larger than that of FeO\(_x\)/NF, which evidences that the incorporation of Co or Ni could hardly enhance the catalytic performance of FeO\(_x\)/NF for OER. Surprisingly, when Co and Ni were simultaneously incorporated into FeO\(_x\)/NF to form tri-component TMOs/NF (see Figure S6 and related discussion), that is, NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF, the overpotential required to drive a current density of 100 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for OER was greatly reduced to 383 mV, even smaller than that of RuO\(_2\)/NF as the benchmarking noble-metal electrocatalyst (434 mV at 100 mA cm\(^{-2}\)), along with a much reduced Tafel slope of 44.7 mV dec\(^{-1}\) (Figure 5G,H), which implies that the simultaneous incorporation of Ni and Co play a synergistic role in boosting the catalytic activity of FeO\(_x\)/NF for OER. It is then noteworthy that the tri-component NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF electrocatalyst performs an admirable OER activity in alkaline solution, as compared to other reported electrocatalysts (Table S4, Supporting Information).

To gain further insightful understanding into the improvement in electrochemical performance of the tri-component NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF electrode, electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of all the electrodes were collected (Figure 5C,F,I), with EIS results fitted by equivalent circuit (Figure S7, Supporting Information) and listed in Table S5 (Supporting Information). Here, \(R_o\) is the series resistance, and \(R_{ct}\) (\(R_{ct1}\), \(R_{ct2}\)) represents the charge transfer resistances. In the case of TMOs/NF, \(R_{ct1}\) signifies the resistance of charge transfer from the catalyst to the electrolyte, whereas \(R_{ct2}\) indicates the resistance of charge transfer from the NF to the TMOs catalyst. Though the \(R_{ct1}\) of NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF electrode (0.181 \(\Omega\)) is slightly larger than FeO\(_x\)/NF electrode (0.138 \(\Omega\)), the total resistance \((R_{ct1} + R_{ct2})\) of the NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF electrode (0.686 \(\Omega\)) is much smaller than others (1.728 \(\Omega\) for NiO\(_x\)/NF, 1.321 \(\Omega\) for CoO\(_x\)/NF, 1.016 \(\Omega\) for FeO\(_x\)/NF, 1.152 \(\Omega\) for NiFeO\(_x\)/NF, and 1.242 \(\Omega\) for CoFeO\(_x\)/NF). The small charge transfer resistance, which benefits the efficient charge transport, is partly due to the simultaneous incorporation of Ni and Co.

In addition, the electrochemically active surface areas (ECSAs) of the as-made FeO\(_x\)/NF, NiFeO\(_x\)/NF, CoFeO\(_x\)/NF, and NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF were estimated from the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (\(C_{dl}\)) measured by a series of cyclic voltammetry curves under different scan rates in 1.0 M NaOH (Figure S8, Supporting Information). As shown in Figure S9 (Supporting Information), the NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF electrode (0.098 F cm\(^{-2}\)) exhibits \(C_{dl}\) larger than other electrodes (0.084 F cm\(^{-2}\) for FeO\(_x\)/NF, 0.093 F cm\(^{-2}\) for NiFeO\(_x\)/NF, and 0.087 F cm\(^{-2}\) for CoFeO\(_x\)/NF), revealing the increased ECSA with Ni and Co simultaneously introduced into FeO\(_x\)/NF. The increase in ECSA of the NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF electrode can be attributed to the smaller nanosheet thickness, the introduced Fe vacancies and the crystal structure evolution (ie, from single crystalline to polycrystalline with the introduction of defects), as induced by the simultaneous Ni and Co incorporation, which may provide more exposed active sites for OER.

The long-term durability of electrocatalysts is another crucial factor to be considered for practical applications. As shown in Figure 6A, the NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF electrode shows a slight decay in current density in the first 6 hours and maintains unchanged with OER prolonging to 12 hours, indicating the good stability of the TMOs/NF electrodes for OER. Further evidentially, after 12 hours long-term measurement, the linear sweep voltammogram (LSV) curve of the NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF exhibits an onset potential almost the same as that of the initial cycle (inset in Figure 6A). After electrochemical reaction, the layered morphology of NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF (Figure S11, Supporting Information) is almost the same as those of the original sample (see Figure S10 and related discussion in the Supporting Information), further evidencing the good morphological stability of NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF for OER. Then, the stability decay in the initial OER period should be due to the etching of the metal elements during the surface phase transformation (see Figure S12 and related discussion in the Supporting Information). It is interestingly observed that, with the chemical states of Fe and Co (Figure S12A,B) maintained unchanged, NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF after stability test has the higher Ni\(^{3+}\) content at the surface as compared to original NiCoFeO\(_x\)/NF (Figure S12C), and such change in Ni oxidation states demonstrates the possible formation of oxyhydroxide during water oxidation process.\(^{20}\) Meanwhile, a slight shift in binding energy could be observed in the O1s spectra (Figure S12D), which
also indicates the surface phase transformation from metal oxide component to metal hydroxide component during the water oxidation reaction. Similar phenomenon has been well documented in TMOs for OER, and the derived oxide/hydroxide species has been evidenced for the superior catalytic performance toward water oxidation.\(^{32}\) The amounts of \(\text{O}_2\) evolved at \(\text{NiCoFeO}_x/\text{NF}\) electrode were collected during the chronoamperometry test at 1.54 V vs reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) to determine the Faradic efficiency. As shown in Figure 6B, the \(\text{O}_2\) gas was linearly produced with reaction time prolonging, with the evolution rates of \(\text{O}_2\) theoretically calculated and experimentally measured to be 0.77 and 0.69 \(\mu\text{L}\text{s}^{-1}\), respectively. The calculated Faradaic efficiencies of the evolved \(\text{O}_2\) were then determined to be over 90\%, meaning that almost all the generated charges were utilized for OER.

As revealed in the above crystal and electronic structural analysis, in the tri-component \(\text{NiCoFeO}_x/\text{NF}\), the incorporated Co tends to partially substitute the Fe along with Fe vacancies generated, while the incorporated Ni mainly exists in \(\text{NiO}_x\) form. Along with the crystal structure transformation from single to polycrystalline phase, the electronic structure evolution was also monitored and analyzed in XANES and EXAFS spectra. As proposed in Figure 6C, with Co and Ni together introduced into \(\text{FeO}_y/\text{NF}\), the electron transfer from Co to Ni happens in the tri-component \(\text{NiCoFeO}_x/\text{NF}\), with the Fe acting as the electron transfer bridge. Thus, the charge density of Co decreases (\(\delta_1\)) and the charge density of Ni increases (\(\delta_2\)). This electron donation from Co to Ni will make Co more acidic (Lewis acid), which facilitates the activation of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (Lewis base) molecules through Lewis acid-base interaction. It could be then reasonably expected that the strong electron interactions and the charge redistribution between Co, Fe, and Ni in \(\text{NiCoFeO}_x/\text{NF}\) should be also beneficial to the intrinsic electrochemical performances for OER. In a word, the simultaneous Co and Ni incorporation would trigger crystal structure and electronic structure evolution, synergistically increasing the population and the intrinsic activity of active sites, which should be responsible for the accelerated charge transfer process and the surface catalysis kinetics, and then the excellent performance of the \(\text{NiCoFeO}_x/\text{NF}\) electrode for OER.

### 3 CONCLUSION

In summary, nanosheet-like TMOs deposited on 3D conductive nickel foam (NF) substrates were prepared through a cost-effective hydrothermal method. These obtained TMOs nanosheets show excellent OER electrocatalytic performance and durability in alkaline electrolytes. Especially, the tri-component \(\text{NiCoFeO}_x/\text{NF}\) electrode needs a small overpotential of only about 383 mV to achieve a pretty high current density of 100 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for OER. The outstanding OER activity of \(\text{NiCoFeO}_x/\text{NF}\) can be ascribed to the distinct crystal and electronic structure evolution as induced by the simultaneous Co and Ni incorporation: (a) the reduced nanosheet thickness and the altered crystal structure (ie, from single crystalline to polycrystalline with the introduction of defects) increase the ECSA; (b) the electron donation from Co to Ni will make Co more acidic (Lewis
acid), which facilitates the activation of H₂O (Lewis base) molecules through Lewis acid-base interaction; (c) the evolved electronic structures (the charge redistribution of the M−O bond) give rise to the promoted catalyst/electrolyte charge transfer processes and the accelerated catalysis kinetics for OER. This work not only demonstrates a facile route to better the electrocatalytic activity of TMOs catalysts, but also provides an in-depth insight into the crystal and electronic structure evolution for the enhanced OER properties.

4 | EXPERIMENTAL

4.1 | Materials

Hydrochloric acid (HCl), ethanol (C₂H₅OH), urea (CH₂N₂O), ammonium fluoride (NH₄F), nickel nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), iron nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O), cobalt nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Ni foams (NFs) were bought from Shenzhen Kejing Star Technology Co., Ltd. All chemicals were used without further purification.

4.2 | Hydrothermal deposition of TMOs nanosheets onto NFs

In a typical experiment, NF was cleaned with diluted HCl, ethanol, and deionized water for several times. Stoichiometric amounts of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, and Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (specifically, 1 mmol of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (or Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O) were used to synthesize NiOₓ (or CoOₓ, FeOₓ); 0.5 mmol of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and 0.5 mmol of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (or Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) were used to synthesize NiFeOₓ (or CoFeOₓ); 0.33 mmol of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 0.33 mmol of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, and 0.33 mmol of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O were used to synthesize NiCoFeOₓ were dissolved into 70 mL of deionized water to form a uniform solution, followed by addition of 1 mmol of NH₄F and 2 mmol of urea with vigorous magnetic stirring. After stirring for 1 hour, the solution was transferred into the Teflon-lined autoclave, and then the pretreated NF was immersed into the reaction solution. The autoclave was sealed and maintained at 120 °C for 12 hours in an electric oven. After cooling to room temperature, the samples were collected and washed with deionized water and absolute ethanol for several times and then dried at 60 °C for 10 hours. Afterward, the samples were annealed at 400 °C for 2 hours to obtain the TMOs/NF materials.

The loading mass density of the TMOs on the NF was >0.1 mg cm⁻².

4.3 | Materials characterization

Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (NexION 3500D) was applied for determining the transition metals dissolved in the electrolyte after durability test. XRD patterns were obtained from a PANalytical X’pert MPD Pro diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu Kα irradiation (wavelength 1.5406 Å), the voltage operated was 40 kV and the current was 40 mA. The morphology of the samples was observed through field emission scanning electron microscope (JEOL JSM-7800F) operated at 3.0 kV. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out in a FEI Tecnai G2 F30 TEM with an accelerating voltage of 300 kV. The chemical compositions of the samples were conducted by XPS (AXIS Ultra DLD) with monochromatic Al Kα radiation (hv = 1486.69 eV) and with a concentric hemispherical analyzer. AFM was obtained on a Veeco NanoScope IIIA AFM instrument (Digital Instruments, Santa Barbara, California) in tapping mode. The synchrotron XASs at Fe, Co, and Ni K-edge were performed at BL17C and BL01C, at the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Taiwan.

4.4 | Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical measurements were performed with a potentiostat (Autolab PGSTAT302N, Metrohm) in a three-electrode cell system. TMOs/NF and commercial RuO₂, a platinum plate, a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) were employed as the working electrode, counter electrode, and reference electrode, respectively. In this work, all LSVs were carried out in 1.0 M NaOH aqueous electrolyte (pH = 13.6) at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹. Furthermore, LSV were iR corrected based on the electrolyte ohmic resistance (Rᵢ) except the durability tests. EIS was carried out on a three-electrode cell system in 1.0 M NaOH at 25°C, at a certain potential with sweeping frequency in the range from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz. All potentials were calibrated to RHE using equation $E_{RHE} = E_{SCE} + 0.244 + 0.059 \times pH$, where $E_{RHE}$ is the potential referred to RHE and $E_{SCE}$ is the measured potential against SCE. The amount of O₂ evolved was detected by gas chromatograph (SP-2100, Beijing Beifen-Ruili Co., Ltd., China) with N₂ as carrier gas. The Faradaic efficiency was determined by the ratio of experimentally measured and theoretically calculated amounts of evolved O₂ gas during OER.
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