Morphometric Analysis of Daluaghat Drainage basin in Giridih District, Jharkhand, India
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Abstract: The word morphometry sanity the measurements and analysis of outward appearance and its properties. In perspective of geomorphology which is science of landforms it is apprehensive with the different geometrical aspects of the landforms. Morphometric analysis of Daluaghat basin was conceded. The basin morphometric parameters such as linear and aerial aspects of the river basin were determined and computed. The parameters considered for analysis are stream length, bifurcation ratio, drainage density, stream frequency, Drainage texture, form factor circularity ratio, elongation ratio, compactness ratio etc. The Daluagh at basin has a dendritic to parallel drainage pattern. It is the 5th order drainage basin. Dendritic drainage pattern in the area reveals that the area developed of homogeneous rock material, which is structurally undisturbed. Logarithm of number of stream vs stream order and length of stream segment vs stream order were computed in the basin area.
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1. Introduction

Drainage basins should be the study area for the enhanced understanding of the hydrologic system. The most favorable and sustainable development of the resource is requirement so that it is assessed realistically to stay away from any upcoming problems concerning its qualitative and quantitative accessibility. About 70% of population in India is dependent on agriculture, directly or indirectly. India has different geographical features and diverse climates. Morphometric analysis requires measurement of linear features, gradient of channel network and contributory ground slopes of the drainage basin (Nautiyal, 1994). The morphometric characteristics of various basins have been studied by many scientists using conventional (Horton,1945, Strahler,1957) and Remote sensing an GIS methods (Nag,1998;Srinivasa,2004;Chopraetal,2005;Nookaratram et al 2005;Thakkar et al.2007;Bhatt et al. 2007; Kar et al. 2009; Rao et al. 2010; S. Dutta et al 2012).

2. Location of the Study Area

The Daluaghat river basin bounded between latitude 24º 18’ N to 24º 23’ N. and longitude 86º 23’ E to 86º 27’ E in Survey of India Toposheet number 72 L/8 and having area of about 46.02 Km² (Fig. 1). This is a tributary of BarakarRiver flowing along the left bank of Barakar River. The entire Basin is placed in the Lower Hazaribagh Plateau. The study area has a stunning climate. For five to six months of the year, from October onward the days are sunny and refreshing. The mean temperature in December is 23 °C. The nights are cold and temperatures in winter may fall below freezing point in many places. In April and May being hottest when temperature rises up to 47°C but it is very dry and not oppressive as in the neighboring plains.

Figure 1: Location of study area

The rainy season (June to September) is pleasurable. The Chotanagpur Plateau receives an annual average rainfall of around 1,400 millimeters (55 in), which is less than the rain forested areas of much of India and almost all of it in the monsoon months between June and August. In the present paper the authors had completed an try to morphometric analysis of Daluaghat river basin.
3. Methods & techniques

The Survey of India Toposheet number is 72 L/8 on the scale of 1: 50,000 were used for the present study. Stream ordering method as suggested by the Strahler has been in use. The different morphometric parameters have been calculated by using formulae.

4. Results and Discussions

Computation of Morphometric Parameters

The morphometric parameters can be generally classified into the three categories:

4.1 Linear aspects of the drainage network.

4.2 Aerial aspects of the drainage network.

4.3 Relief aspects of the drainage network.

4.1 Linear aspects of the drainage network

4.1.1 Stream Order (Nu)

In the drainage basin analysis the first step is to find out the stream orders. In the present Study, the channel segment of the drainage basin has been ranked according to Strahler’s stream ordering system. According to Strahler [2] the smallest fingertip tributaries are elected as order 1. Where two first order channels join, a channel segment of order 2 is formed; Where two of orders 2 join, a segment of order 3 is formed; And so forth. The trunk stream through which all discharge of water and sediment passes is therefore the stream segment of highest order. The study area is a 5th order drainage basin. The total numbers of 155 streams were identified of which 123 are 1st order streams, 24 are 2nd order, 5 are 3rd order, 2 in 4th order, 1 in 5th order streams (Table 1). Drainage patterns of stream network from the basin have been observed as mainly dendritic type which indicates the homogeneity in texture and lack of structural control.

4.1.2 Bifurcation ratio (Rb)

Bifurcation ratio is related to the branching pattern of a drainage network [3]. It is a dimensionless property and shows only a small variation for different regions with different environments except where powerful geological control dominates[2]. Values of Rb typically range from the theoretical minimum of 3 to 5 for basins in which the geologic structures do not warp the drainage pattern[2].

From the Table 1, it is understandable that the bifurcation ratio values for the Daluaghat drainage basin vary from 2.0 to 5.125 with the mean bifurcation ratio of 3.61. The highest Rb 5.125 is found between 1st and 2nd order.

4.1.3 Stream Length (Lu)

The length of the stream channel is a dimensional property, which shows the size of the element of drainage lines. It is the total length of stream in a meticulous order. It is the most momentous hydrological feature of the basin which reveals surface runoff characteristics. Stream of comparatively smaller length are characteristics of areas with larger slopes and finer texture. Generally, the maximum length of the stream is found in first order streams and its length decreases as the increases of stream order. The numbers of stream of different orders in a basin were counted and their lengths are measured with the help of the software (Table 1).

Correlation between logarithm of number of streams versus stream order and logarithm of length of stream versus stream order were measured figure 3 and 4.

Figure 2: Stream Ordering of Daluaghat Drainage Basin
(Source: SOI Topographical Map 72L/8)

Figure 3: Relationship between stream Order and log total no. of stream

Figure 4: Relationship between stream Order and log of total Stream length

4.3 Stream Length (Lu)

The length of the stream channel is a dimensional property, which shows the size of the element of drainage lines. It is the total length of stream in a meticulous order. It is the most momentous hydrological feature of the basin which reveals surface runoff characteristics. Stream of comparatively smaller length are characteristics of areas with larger slopes and finer texture. Generally, the maximum length of the stream is found in first order streams and its length decreases as the increases of stream order. The numbers of stream of different orders in a basin were counted and their lengths are measured with the help of the software (Table 1). Correlation between logarithm of number of streams versus stream order and logarithm of length of stream versus stream order were measured figure 3 and 4.
4.1.4 Mean stream length (Lsm)
The mean length of a channel is a dimensional property and shows the characteristic size of drainage network components and its contributing basin surface [2]. The mean stream length (Lsm) of a drainage basin is determined by the following equation:

\[ \text{Lsm} = \frac{\sum \text{Lum}}{\text{Nu}} \]  

(1)

Where, \( \sum \text{Lum} \) is the total length of stream, Nu is the total number of streams of the basin. Here, the mean stream length of 1st order is 0.04, 2nd order is 0.12, 3rd order is 0.51, 4th order is 1.03, 5th order is 1.82 respectively (Table 1).

4.1.5 Stream Length Ratio (Rl)
The Rl between streams of different orders in the study area show that there is a variation of Rl in basin (Table 1). The order is 0.04, 2nd order is 0.12, 3rd order is 0.51, 4th order is 1.03, 5th order is 1.82 respectively (Table 1).

4.2 Aerial aspects of the drainage network

4.2.1 Basin Area (A)
Basin area is the direct effect of the drainage development in a particular basin. The area of Daluaghat river basin is about 46.02 km². It indicates that rainwater will achieve the main channel more quickly, where the water has much further to travel.

4.2.2 Drainage Density (Dd)
Drainage density (Dd) expresses the convenience of spacing of channels, therefore providing a quantitative measure of the total length of stream channel for the entire basin. The total length of the stream of all orders divided by the area of the basin[4]. In general, the low drainage density leads to coarse texture while high drainage density leads to fine texture [2]. High drainage density is the resultant of weak and impermeable subsurface material and sparse vegetation and mountainous relief. The drainage density (D) of the basin is 0.29 km⁻¹ respectively.

4.2.3 Stream frequency (Fs)
Stream frequency of the basin may be defined as the ratio of the total numbers of streams of all orders to the basin area [4]. It may express as:

\[ \text{Fs} = \frac{\text{Nu}}{\text{A}} \]  

(2)

Where, Nu, Total numbers streams. A is Area of the basin. In the study area Fs is 3.36 respectively.

4.2.4 Texture Ratio (Rt)
Texture Ratio of the basin may be defined as ratio of total numbers of streams to the total perimeter of the basin. Texture ratio is a most significant influencing factor in the drainage morphometric analysis which is depends on the underlying lithology, infiltration capacity and relief aspect of the terrain. Smith [5] has classified drainage texture into five categories i.e. very coarse (<2), Coarse (2-4), moderate (4-6), fine (6-8) and very fine (>8). In the study area texture ratio is 5.13, which indicate moderate texture.

4.2.5 Form factor
Form Factor Ratio is the dimensionless ratio of the basin area to the square of basin length [4]. If the form factor value of would forever be greater than 0.78 for an absolutely circular basin. Long narrow basins have bigger lengths and hence smaller form factor. The value of form factor varies from 0 (highly elongated shape) to the unity i.e 1 (perfect circular shape). The Form Factor Ratio value of the Daluaghat river basin is 0.48.

4.2.6 Circularity Ratio (Rc)
Circulatory ratio is the ratio of basin area to the circle having the same perimeter as the basin [6].

\[ \text{Rc} = \frac{4 \times \pi A}{P^2} \]  

(3)

It is influenced more by the length, frequency and gradient of streams of various orders than slope conditions and drainage pattern of the basins (Strahler, 1957). Circulatory ratio of Daluaghat river basin is 0.63.

4.2.7 Elongation Ratio (Re)
Elongation ratio is defined as the ratio of diameter of a circle of the same area as the basin to the maximum basin length [3].

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{2 \sqrt{A/\pi}}{Lb} \]  

(4)

It is the especially important index in the investigation of basin shape which helps to give an idea about hydrological characters of a drainage basin. The value of elongation ratio (Re) normally varies from 0.6 to 1.0 related with an extensive variety of climate and geology. Values close to 1.0 are typical of regions of very low relief whereas that of 0.6 to 0.8 are associated with high relief and steep ground slope (Strahler, 1964). These values can be classified into three categories, i.e. circular (Re >0.9), oval (09-0.8) and elongated (< 0.7). The Elongation ratio of the Daluaghat river basin is 0.78 which indicate basin is oval.

4.2.8 Lemniscate (k)
Chorely [7] state the lemniscate value to find out the slope of the basin. In the following equation to be used -

\[ k = \frac{Lb^2}{4A} \]  

(5)
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Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391
Where, A = Area of the basin, Km². P = Basin perimeter, Km

In present study area, the value of compactness constant is 1.00.

4.2.10 Length of overland flow (Lo)
According to the Horton [5], Length of overland flow mean the length of the runoff the rainwater on the ground surface ahead of it gets determined into specific stream channels. This factor relates inversely to the average slope of the channel and is quite equal with the length of sheet flow to large degrees. The length of overland flow (Lo) roughly equals to half of the reciprocal of drainage density [5]. Lengths of overland flow in consequence calculated for Daluaghat basin is 1.73 respectively.

4.2.11 Constant channel maintenance (Ccm)
According to the Schunn [3] Constant channel maintenance mean the reciprocal of drainage density. It is expressed in sq km. /km. while it represents the drainage to maintain one unit of channel length; consequently it is determined of basin erodibility. The value of basin is 3.44 respectively.

4.2.12 Infiltration Number (If)
Infiltration number is calculated by multiplying the value of drainage density (Dd) and stream frequency (Fs). It is expressed as following formula

\[ If = Dd \times Fs \]  

Where, D is the Drainage density and Fs is the stream frequency. Accordingly higher the value of infiltration number greater the permeability of soil covers. In the present study Infiltration number is 0.97 respectively.

4.3 Relief aspects of the drainage network.

4.3.1 Basin Relief (H)
The difference between the maximum and minimum elevation of the basin is known as the basin relief. The contour value varies from 200 m to 357 m. In the study Basin relief is 157 meters.

4.3.2 Relief ratio (Rh)
The relief ratio (Rh) is the ratio between Relative relief of the basin and Basin length [3] (Table 1). According to him, there is direct correlation between the relief and channel gradient. The Rh is generally is increase with decreasing the drainage area and size of basin of a given drainage basin. In the present study Relief ratio is 15.58. High values of Rh show steep slope and high relief while low values may indicate the lower degree of slope and small ridges.

4.3.3 Ruggedness Number (RI)
A dimensionless number that expresses the geometric characteristics of the drainage system; It is expressed as following formula

\[ RI = \frac{H \times Dd}{2} \]  

Where, H is the Relative relief of basin and Dd is the drainage density of the basin. The ruggedness number of Daluaghat river basin is 4.52 respectively.

5. Conclusion
Quantitative investigation of drainage network create that the dendritic to sub dendritic drainage pattern is originated in the study area. Bifurcation ratio of Daluaghat river basin increases from 1st and 2nd order but decreases in 4th and 5th order Stream because not exaggerated by structure control. In the study area texture ratio is 5.13. Drainage texture of the investigated watershed which indicates moderate texture. The morphometric parameter reveals to recognize different terrain parameters such as nature of the bedrock, infiltration capacity, runoff, etc. Related studies help in better understanding the landforms and their processes and drainage pattern demarcations for basin area planning and management.
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Table: 1 Linear Aspects of the morphometric attribute

| River Basin | Stream order | Total no. of stream (Nu) | Total Stream length (Lu) | Mean Stream length (Lsm) | Stream length ratio | Log Nu | Log Lu |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|
| Daluaghat   | 1st          | 123                      | 4.74                     | 0.04                     | 2.08                | 0.67   |
|             | 2nd          | 24                       | 2.95                     | 0.12                     | 3                   | 1.38   |
|             | 3rd          | 5                        | 2.56                     | 0.51                     | 4.25                | 0.69   |
|             | 4th          | 2                        | 2.072                    | 1.03                     | 2.02                | 0.30   |
|             | 5th          | 1                        | 1.814                    | 1.82                     | 1.76                | 0      |
| Total       | 155          | 134                      |                          |                          |                     |

Bifurcation Ratio (Rb)

| 1st Order / 2nd Order | 2nd Order / 3rd Order | 3rd Order / 4th Order | 4th Order / 5th Order | Mean Bifurcation Ratio |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 5.125                 | 4.8                   | 2.5                   | 2                     | 3.61                   |
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