Near infrared down-conversion luminescence of \( \text{Ba}_4\text{Y}_3\text{F}_{17}:\text{Yb}^{3+}:\text{Eu}^{3+} \) nanoparticles under ultraviolet excitation
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The single-phase solid solutions \( \text{Ba}_4\text{Y}_3\text{F}_{17}:\text{Yb}:\text{Eu} \) with fluorite-type structure were synthesized by co-precipitation from aqueous solution technique. The average particle size was approximately 100 nm without agglomeration. The sensitized down-conversion luminescence of \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) ions was observed under 296 nm excitation. The quantum yield of \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) luminescence was found to reach a value of 0.4 % for samples with \( \text{Eu}/\text{Yb} \) ratios of 0.1/1.0 and 0.1/10.0.
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1. Introduction

According to the International Energy Agency (IEA) and the European Environment Agency (EEA), the energy consumption increases from year to year. It stimulates the search for new sources of energy and improving the efficiency of existing ones. According to the forecast, the terawatt energy will be generated by means of photovoltaic devices until 2030 years with a simultaneous reduction of kW/h cost [1]. One of the most affordable source of energy is solar energy. Silicon-based solar cells are mostly used for solar energy utilization. Most of this energy will be generated by silicon solar panels. In addition to silicon, various multilayer compositions such as GaAs, CdTe, Cu(In,Ga)Se\(_2\), and recently proposed perovskite structures [2, 3]. The latter are expensive and difficult to produce on an industrial scale. Additionally, there is the problem to dispose them after the expiration due to toxic components and use of such compositions is contrary to the principles of green chemistry. The advantages of silicon are chemical availability and the maturity of the technological chains, the disposal of electronic components, including those containing rare-earth elements.

At the same time, one serious drawback of silicon-based solar cells is relatively low light-to-electrical energy conversion efficiency (LECE), namely, no higher than 25 % for the best samples [4, 5]. The region of the highest photosensitivity of silicon is located about 1 \( \mu \)m and his LECE spectrum poorly corresponds the solar emission spectrum.

The enhancement of silicon solar panels efficiency by down-conversion of solar irradiation from ultraviolet and blue spectral range to ytterbium is an urgent task and it is extremely actual for space applications [6–9]. Prospective emitter is trivalent ytterbium ion due to near-infrared (NIR) luminescence band around 1000 nm (\( ^2\text{F}_5/2-^2\text{F}_7/2 \) transition) [9–13], which well coincides with the top of LECE spectrum of silicon batteries. One of the new thoroughly investigated luminescence matrices is \( \text{Ba}_4\text{Y}_3\text{F}_{17} \) [14–17], because it demonstrated the high quantum yield of down-conversion luminescence [14].

Energy transfer from the UV and blue spectral regions to ytterbium is possible for various sensitizing cations absorbing in these spectral regions. One especially efficient mechanism of energy transfer is through stepwise relaxation of a sensitizer ion, resulting in the excitation of two acceptor ions by quantum cutting mechanism [12, 13, 18, 19]. The quantum cutting demonstrated high quantum efficiency coefficient up to 195 % but the quantum yield of NIR luminescence is low. A more efficient pathway is simple downshifting in a systems with higher quantum yield of luminescence. A promising composition is \( \text{Yb}/\text{Eu} \) doping pair, because absorption spectrum of europium comprises several lines in the UV and blue spectral regions. The highest directly measured quantum yield of ytterbium luminescence (2.5 %) upon 266 nm pumping was reached for the \( \text{SrF}_2: \text{Yb} \) (1.0 mol %):Eu (0.05 mol %) powder [20].

The purpose of this paper is to synthesize \( \text{Ba}_4\text{Y}_3\text{F}_{17}:\text{Yb}:\text{Eu} \) solid solution and to study its luminescent properties. This specimen of interest is intended to be utilized for enhancing of LECE of silicon solar cells.
2. Experimental

$\text{Ba}_4\text{Y}_3\text{F}_{17} \cdot \text{Yb}^{3+} \cdot \text{Eu}^{3+}$ nanoparticles were synthesized by co-precipitation from aqueous nitrate solutions technique as reported earlier [14]. 99.99 wt. % dihydrate potassium fluoride (REACHEM, Russia), 99.99 wt. % yttrium, ytterbium, and europium nitrate hexahydrates, barium nitrate (all reagents from LANHIT, Russia), and double distilled water were used as starting materials without additional purification. Potassium fluoride was preliminarily dried at 350 °C for 3 hours and it was taken with a 50 % excess from stoichiometry. 0.08 M aqueous solutions of barium nitrate and rare earth nitrate were added dropwise to potassium fluoride (0.16 M) with vigorous stirring. Precipitates were washed several times by double distilled water and collected by centrifugation. The resulting precipitates were dried at 45 °C and annealed in a platinum crucible in air at 600 °C for 1 hour. X-ray powder diffraction analysis was performed on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with CuKα radiation. The TOPAS software ($R_{wp} < 10$) was used for calculation of X-ray coherent scattering domain and unit cell parameters. The morphology, particle size, and composition of the samples were analyzed by a Carl Zeiss NVision 40 scanning electron microscope equipped with an EDX detector.

Raman spectra were captured with a multi-purpose analytical instrument NTEGRA SPECTRA™ (NT-MDT) in epi-configuration. The spectrometer was wavelength calibrated with a silicon wafer by registering a first-order Raman band centered at 520 cm⁻¹. A sensitivity of the spectrometer was as high as ca. 3500 photon counts per 0.1 s provided that we used a 100× objective (N.A. = 0.7), an exit slit of 100 μm and a linearly polarized light with the wavelength of 532 nm and the intensity of 5.4 MW/cm². The Raman spectra were collected with the EMCCD camera cooled down to −100 °C and registered with spectral resolution of 3 cm⁻¹ using a 600 grooves/mm grating.

Photoluminescence was excited by pulsed UV and visible laser radiation from the H₂ Raman shifter cell converting the 266 nm UV radiation from LS2147 laser and/or OPO laser system produced by JV Lotis TII. The pulse duration was 10 ns, repetition rate 10 Hz. The luminescence spectra were registered by a StellarNet EPP2000 portable spectrometer with a spectral resolution of about 0.5 nm. The luminescence kinetics were registered with a MDR-23 monochromator and FEU-100 photomultiplier tube for the UV-visible spectral range and FEU-62 photomultiplier tube for the NIR spectral range and recorded with the 1 GHz 8 bit Rhode–Schwartz oscilloscope. The direct measurements of luminescence quantum yield were performed using the luminescence spectra recorded in a Thorlabs IS200 integrating sphere coupled to a StellarNet EPP2000 spectrometer via an optical fiber. The spectral sensitivity of this system was calibrated using an approach described previously [21].

3. Sample characterization

The samples were single-phase according to X-ray powder diffraction after annealing at 600 °C (Fig. 1). The unit cell parameters are in convenience with preliminary data published elsewhere (Table 1) [14, 22].

![Fig. 1. X-ray powder diffraction for Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(5.0 mol.%): Eu(0.1 mol.%) powder after annealing at 600 °C](image-url)
TABLE 1. Unit cell parameters and X-ray coherent scattering domain for Ba₄Y₃F₁₇: Yb:Eu samples

| Nominal composition                                      | a, Å   | X-ray coherent scattering domain, nm |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(1.0 mol. %):Eu(0.1 mol. %)                    | 5.9252(2) | 73 ± 5                             |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(5.0 mol. %):Eu(0.1 mol. %)                    | 5.8960(2) | 49 ± 3                             |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(10.0 mol. %):Eu(0.1 mol. %)                   | 5.8793(3) | 75 ± 11                            |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(1.0 mol. %):Eu(0.05 mol. %)                   | 5.8890(3) | 96 ± 15                            |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(5.0 mol. %):Eu(0.05 mol. %)                   | 5.8801(3) | > 100                              |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(10.0 mol. %):Eu(0.05 mol. %)                  | 5.8843(3) | > 100                              |

Scanning electron microscopy revealed that the particles were about 100 nm without agglomeration (Fig. 2). The particle sizes well correlated with X-ray coherent scattering domains (Table 1), which means that all particles are single crystalline.

The real compositions were revealed by energy-dispersion analysis (Table 2). The content of the yttrium and barium are close to nominal content. In contrast, the contents of ytterbium and europium are higher than nominal ones, but it is lower than the error of analysis.

![Image](image_url)

**Fig. 2.** The typical scanning electron microscopy image for Ba₄Y₃F₁₇: Yb (5.0 mol.%): Eu (0.1 mol.%) powder after annealing at 600 °C

TABLE 2. Compositions based on EDX analysis of Ba₄Y₃F₁₇: Yb:Eu samples

| Initial nominal compositions | Real chemical compositions from EDX analysis |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(1.0 mol. %):Eu(0.1 mol. %)   | Ba₀.568Y₀.364Eu₀.004F₂.362                    |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(5.0 mol. %):Eu(0.1 mol. %)   | Ba₀.638Y₀.344Eu₀.004F₂.362                    |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(10.0 mol. %):Eu(0.1 mol. %)  | Ba₀.585Y₀.363Eu₀.004F₂.706                    |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(1.0 mol. %):Eu(0.05 mol. %)  | Ba₀.568Y₀.364Eu₀.004F₂.435                    |
| Ba₄Y₃F₁₇:Yb(10.0 mol. %):Eu(0.05 mol. %) | Ba₀.530Y₀.363Eu₀.004F₂.439                    |
The Raman spectrum contains several bands around 300 cm\(^{-1}\) and 600 cm\(^{-1}\), which is characteristic for fluorides with narrow phonon spectra, see Fig. 3. On the other hand, the Raman spectra tail spreads above 800 cm\(^{-1}\), which is non-typical for fluorides and may influence on the energy transfer processes.

**FIG. 3.** Raman spectrum of Ba\(_4\)Y\(_3\)F\(_{17}\) sample

Luminescence of Eu\(^{3+}\) ions can be excited by transitions from the \(^7F_0\) ground state to the \(^5D_J\) manifolds, in particular, due to \(^7F_0 – ^5D_2\) transition located around 465 nm with relatively large cross-section. The excitation of luminescence is also possible in UV spectral range as the result of transitions to \(^5I_J\) and \(^5K_J\) manifolds or the charge transfer states (Fig. 4). In order to avoid photodynamic processes initiated under intense UV pumping and following color center formation [23], which can distort the spectral-luminescent properties, excitation was performed at 296, 399 and 463 nm (transitions \(^7F_0 \rightarrow ^5H_{5,6}, ^5L_6\) and \(^5D_2\), correspondingly) only.

**FIG. 4.** Energy diagram of Eu\(^{3+}\) and Yb\(^{3+}\) ions and the energy transfer processes from Eu\(^{3+}\) to Yb\(^{3+}\) ions [24]
In the case of double doped samples by Eu$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$ ions, the energy gap between $^5H_{5,6}$ and $^5D_2$ states of Eu$^{3+}$ ions is close to the $^2F_{7/2}$-$^2F_{5/2}$ transition energy of Yb$^{3+}$ ions. Following the results of [24] the energy transfer from Eu$^{3+}$ to Yb$^{3+}$ ions may occur through various mechanisms, for example: $^5H_{5,6}$ (Eu$^{3+}$) + $^2F_{7/2}$ (Yb$^{3+}$) $\rightarrow$ $^5D_2$ (Eu$^{3+}$) + $^2F_{5/2}$ (Yb$^{3+}$), or $^5D_0$ (Eu$^{3+}$) + $^2F_{7/2}$ (Yb$^{3+}$) $\rightarrow$ $^7F_6$ (Eu$^{3+}$) + $^2F_{5/2}$ (Yb$^{3+}$) as it is illustrated in Fig. 4.

The luminescence spectrum under pulsed excitation at 296 nm consists of Eu$^{3+}$ transitions from $^5D_3$, $^5D_2$, $^5D_1$ and $^5D_0$ states localized in 400 – 750 nm range, as well as luminescence of Yb$^{3+}$ ions $^2F_{5/2}$ $\rightarrow$ $^2F_{7/2}$, which is situated around 980 – 1000 nm (Fig. 5). The most intense luminescence bands at 465 nm, 488 nm and 511 nm correspond to $^5D_2$ $\rightarrow$ $^7F_{0,2,3,4}$ transitions, at 526 nm, 538 nm and 557 nm bands – to $^5D_1$ $\rightarrow$ $^7F_{0,1,2,3,4}$ and at 576 nm, 592 nm, 617 nm and 699 nm – to $^5D_0$ $\rightarrow$ $^7F_{0,1,2,4}$ transitions, respectively. The NIR luminescence of Yb$^{3+}$ ions is evidence of the energy transfer processes from Eu$^{3+}$ to Yb$^{3+}$ ions. At the same time, the luminescence of $^2F_{5/2}$ $\rightarrow$ $^2F_{7/2}$ of Yb$^{3+}$ ions was not detected for the case of excitation at 399 nm or 463 nm.

![FIG. 5. Typical luminescence spectra of Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$:Yb:Eu samples under pulsed excitation at 296 nm](image)

The energy transfer coefficients from Eu$^{3+}$ to Yb$^{3+}$ were estimated from the luminescence spectra using expression (1):

$$q^E = \frac{\int I^{Yb}(\lambda)d\lambda}{\int (I^{Yb}(\lambda) + I^{Eu}(\lambda))d\lambda} \times 100\%,$$

where $I^{Yb}(\lambda)$ is the luminescence intensity of Yb$^{3+}$ and $I^{Eu}(\lambda)$ is the luminescence intensity of Eu$^{3+}$ (Table 3). The increase of doping level leads to some saturation of energy transfer coefficient most probably due to luminescence concentration quenching effect. Thus there should be some optimal content ratio for Yb/Eu ions contents.

**TABLE 3. Energy transfer coefficients (%) from Eu$^{3+}$ to Yb$^{3+}$ in Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$:Yb:Eu samples**

| Eu content, mol.% | Yb content, mol.% |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1.0               | 5.0               | 10.0             |
| 0.05              | 8 ± 1             | 5 ± 1            | 9 ± 1            |
| 0.10              | 11 ± 1            | 15 ± 1           | 9 ± 1            |

It is exhibited in fluorescence kinetics. The luminescence decays of Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$:Yb$^{3+}$:Eu$^{3+}$ samples from $^5$D$_1$ and $^5$D$_0$ states of Eu$^{3+}$ at 556 nm and 617 nm, correspondingly, and from $^2$F$_{5/2}$ of Yb$^{3+}$ ion (at 1020 nm) were investigated under the excitation at 296 nm (Figs. 6 and 7, respectively). The kinetics from $^5$D$_1$ state (Fig. 6a) exhibit the long lasting raise (few ms for Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$:Eu$^{3+}$ (0.1 mol. %)), which is evidence for cross-relaxation effects between...
Near infrared down-conversion luminescence of Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$:Yb$^{3+}$:Eu$^{3+}$ nanoparticles ... 321 manifolds of Eu$^{3+}$ ions [22]. However, the lifetime of $^5$D$_1$ state is weakly dependent on Yb$^{3+}$ ions’ doping level. The luminescence decay from $^3$D$_0$ state of Eu$^{3+}$ ions is more complicated and consists of fast and slow components. The contribution of the last one grows with a simultaneous increase of Yb$^{3+}$ content, which can be attributed to reverse energy transfer from Yb$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$ via cooperative processes [25].

![Figure 6](image1.png)

**Fig. 6.** Luminescence decays of Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$:Eu (0.1 mol. %):Yb (x mol. %), x = 0, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0 samples at 556 nm (from $^5$D$_1$ state) (a) and 617 nm (from $^3$D$_0$) (b) under 296 nm excitation.

![Figure 7](image2.png)

**Fig. 7.** Luminescence decay kinetics of Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$:Yb:Eu samples at 1020 nm upon 296 nm excitation.

The luminescence decays of Yb$^{3+}$ ions registered at 1020 nm under excitation at 296 nm are not exponential too, and look faster with the increase of Yb$^{3+}$ ions content. It also proves the reverse energy transfer processes from two excited Yb$^{3+}$ ($^4$F$_{5/2}$) to $^3$D$_1$ manifold of Eu$^{3+}$ ions. Since the dependence of the luminescence decay of Eu$^{3+}$ ions at 617 nm differs from the exponential law, the lifetimes were estimated as the average ones according to the formula (2):

$$t_{avg} = \frac{\int t \cdot I(t)dt}{\int I(t)dt},$$

where $I(t)$ is dependence of luminescence intensity on time, $t$ – time. The results of calculation are presented in Table 4. The average luminescence lifetimes of $^5$D$_1$ manifold of Eu$^{3+}$ ions decreases with increasing Yb$^{3+}$ ions concentration, while the lifetimes assumed to $^3$D$_0$ manifold increases. This suggests that energy transfer from Eu$^{3+}$
to Yb$^{3+}$ ions occurs from higher-lying states than the $5D_0$ one, which can cause a low quantum yield of ytterbium down-conversion luminescence, since these states are characterized by shorter lifetimes. Therefore, taking into account the absence of the Eu$^{3+}$–Yb$^{3+}$ energy transfer under excitation at 399 nm and 465 nm and despite the fact of existing of luminescence from $5D_3$ state of Eu$^{3+}$, the most probable mechanism is $5H_{3/2}(Eu^{3+})$–$2F_{7/2}(Yb^{3+}) \rightarrow 5D_2(Eu^{3+})$–$2F_{5/2}(Yb^{3+})$ energy transfer process. Finally, using the integrating sphere, the quantum yield of Yb$^{3+}$ luminescence was measured under the 296 nm excitation (Table 5).

| Eu content, mol.% | 617 nm (from $5D_0$) | 556 nm (from $4D_1$) | 1020 nm (from $2F_{5/2}$) |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Yb = 0            | 3.0 ± 0.1 ms         | 10.3 ± 0.1 ms        | —                        |
| Yb = 1.0          | 2.2 ± 0.1 ms         | 10.2 ± 0.1 ms        | 2.0 ± 0.1 ms             |
| Yb = 5.0          | 5.6 ± 0.1 ms         | 8.4 ± 0.1 ms         | 0.9 ± 0.1 ms             |
| Yb = 10.0         | 8.8 ± 0.1 ms         | 7.3 ± 0.1 ms         | 0.7 ± 0.1 ms             |

The maximum quantum yields for Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$ samples were recorded for the Eu/Yb ratios of 0.1/1 and 0.1/10.0 and amounted to 0.4 %. It is obvious that competition of mutually oppositely directed energy transfer processes has determined such a small value of quantum yield of down-conversional luminescence of Yb$^{3+}$ ions in the studied samples.

4. Conclusions

The single-phase solid solutions Ba$_4$Y$_3$F$_{17}$:Yb:Eu with fluorite-type structure were synthesized by co-precipitation from aqueous solution technique. The average particle size was approximally 100 nm without agglomeration, which correlated with X-ray coherent scattering domains. The luminescence spectra from all $5D_j$ manifold of Eu$^{3+}$ ions were observed under irradiation at various wavelengths, whereas the sensitized down-conversion luminescence of Yb$^{3+}$ ions, which was detected for the 296 nm excitation only, was not observed for resonant excitation to $5D_{J}$ states of Eu$^{3+}$. Moreover, the reverse cooperative energy transfer from a pair of Yb$^{3+}$ ions in the excited $4F_{5/2}$ state to $5D_{0}$ state of Eu$^{3+}$ ions was observed. The quantum yield of Yb$^{3+}$ down-conversion luminescence was measured using the integrating sphere. The maximal value was 0.4 % for the Eu/Yb ratios of 0.1/1.0 and 0.1/10.0, which appears because of competition of mutually opposite directed energy transfer processes between Eu$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$ ions.
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