Subretinal drusenoid deposits versus drusen on multicolor imaging
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Case 1
A 73-year-old man presented with a visual acuity of 6/6, N6 in the right eye (RE) and 6/36, N18 in the left eye (LE). Anterior segment examination of both eyes (BE) was normal. RE fundus showed multiple large, fluffy yellow posterior pole drusen. LE fundus showed a macular scar secondary to wet age-related macular degeneration (ARMD). Drusenoid material within the pigment epithelial detachment was noted as being picked up better on infrared reflectance (IR) image [Fig. 1a-e].

Case 2
A 53-year-old man with 6/9, N6 in BE was diagnosed with dry ARMD in BE. Examination showed drusen at the posterior pole. The optical coherence tomography (OCT) scans delineate the sub-retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) drusen which are further visualized clearly on the IR image [Fig. 1f-o].

Case 3
Fundus examination of a 71-year-old lady with visual acuity was 6/6 showed multiple, flat, pale-yellow posterior pole deposits located in the retinal deeper layers, more along the superotemporal arcade suggestive of intermediate ARMD. The OCT showed multiple hyperreflective spots between retina and RPE, suggestive of SDDs. The SDDs were also well-visualized on the green reflectance (GR) and blue reflectance (BR) images compared to IR image [Fig. 2a-e].

Case 4
A 79-year-old lady presented with visual acuity of 6/9, N6 in BE. Examination of BE showed multiple, yellow dots at the posterior pole, concentrated superior to macula. The dot-like deposits located in the retinal deeper layers, more along the face images.

Discussion
Subretinal drusenoid deposits (SDD), also known as reticular pseudo drusen are identified on optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) as hyperreflective spots located between neurosensory retina and RPE.[1] The clinical relevance of SDDs lies in their association with the late forms of ARMD.[2,3] SD-OCT is an important and valuable tool to differentiate between the SDD and drusen. MCI utilizes three laser colors: blue (488 nm); green (515 nm); and infrared (820 nm) that penetrate the tissue at different depths and captures the reflectance strengths from different retinal structures and represent the information as en face images.[3] The IR image visualizes structures at the level of the outer retina, RPE and choroid. In this report, we found both varieties were identified on the multicolor image. The identification of the SDD on GR image and to a lesser extent on the BR image contributed to its visualization of the composite MCI. The SDDs were not visualized on the IR image. On the contrary, soft sub-RPE drusen were highlighted on the IR image, but not readily identifiable on the GR and BR images. This contributed to its visualization as yellow-orange colored lesions on the composite MCI. In certain instances, they may be identified on the GR and BR images too as a consequence of their composition or the elevation produced by the drusenoid pigment epithelium detachment and the overlying RPE status. This contrasting reflectance pattern between the SDD and drusen could possibly be explained by the absent esterified cholesterol in SDD and the light absorption characteristics of cholesterol.[4]

To conclude, SDD can be distinguished from drusen on the basis of its appearance and identification on the GR images. The addition of MCI to SD-OCT imaging could further assist in the accurate diagnosis of SDD.
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Subretinal drusenoid deposits were visualized on the GR and BR images and were not seen on the IR image [Fig. 2f-j].
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