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Genomic characterization of ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates belonging to a hybrid aEPEC/ExPEC pathotype O153:H10-A-ST10 eae-beta1 occurred in human diarrheagenic isolates, meat, poultry and wildlife
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Abstract: Different surveillance studies (2005-2015) on the presence of ESBL-producing *E. coli* in the northwest Spain revealed that *eae*-positive isolates of serotype O153:H10 were periodically detected in meat (of beef, chicken and pork), and also implicated in human diarrhea. This study aimed: i) to characterize the degree of relatedness between human and animal isolates; ii) to know if this was a geographically restricted or disseminated genetic lineage. Thirty-two isolates were conventionally typified as O153:H10-A-ST10 fimH54, fimAvMT78, traT and *eae*-beta1, being 21 of those CTX-M-32 or SHV-12 producers. PFGE comparison of their macrorestriction profiles showed high similarity (>85%). The plasmidome analysis revealed a stable combination of IncF (F2:A-B-), IncI1 (STunknown) and IncX1 plasmid types, together with non-conjugative Col-like. Besides, the core genome investigation based on the cgMLST scheme from Enterobase, proved close relatedness between isolates of human and animal origin. Our results demonstrate that a hybrid MDR aEPEC/ExPEC of clonal group O153:H10-A-ST10 (CH11-54) would be playing a successful role in spreading ESBLs (CTX-M-32) in our region within different hosts, including wildlife. It would be potentially implicated in human diarrhea via food (meat) transmission. Importantly, we proved genomic evidence of a related hybrid aEPEC/ExPEC in other countries.
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1. Introduction

*Escherichia coli* is a normal inhabitant of the human and animal intestinal tract. However, *E. coli* can also act as a pathogen in a broad range of conditions, from diarrheagenic diseases to extraintestinal infections. Unlike extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC), with no specific virulence determinants for each subtype, the diarrheagenic *E. coli* (DEC) categories are characteristically defined by certain pathotype-specific virulence markers [1,2]. Thus, enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC) are carriers of the *eae* gene, as part of the pathogenicity island LEE, codifying a protein called intimin. The intimin is responsible for the intimate adherence of the bacteria to the enterocyte membranes and, eventually, for the attaching and effacing (AE) lesion of the brush-border microvilli [3]. The variable C-terminal-encoding sequence of *eae* defines more than 30 distinct intimin types and subtypes associated with tissue tropism [4,5]. EPEC are further classified as typical (tEPEC), when they carry an EPEC adherence factor (EAF) plasmid that encodes adherence mediated by the bundle forming pilus (BFP), while atypical EPEC (aEPEC) produce the AE lesion but do not express BFP [4,6]. Currently, aEPEC isolates are emerging enteropathogens detected worldwide and isolated from different niches (animal species, environment, and food samples), while the main reservoir of tEPEC isolates are humans [7,8].

Antimicrobial resistance is a serious global concern which involves the health care system, food production and environmental integrity [9]. In fact, it is assumed that antimicrobial drug use in the livestock sector plays an important role in the spread of extended-spectrum beta lactamas (ESBL)-producing *E. coli* thought the food chain to humans [10,11]. The genomic plasticity of *E. coli* is the consequence of the important role played by mobile genetic elements (MGEs) such as plasmids, bacteriophages, pathogenicity islands, transposons and insertion sequence elements in the evolution of the bacteria [12]. As a result, hybrid *E. coli* pathotypes unpredictably emerge, given the mobility of most of the genes encoding virulence and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) [12,13]. Since 2011, when a novel Shiga-toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) belonging to serotype O104:H4, with virulence features (VF) common to the enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAggEC), and CTX-M-15 producer was identified as the one involved in the large German outbreak [14], the concept of pathotype has been questioned. Currently, classical and new approaches, such as whole genome sequencing (WGS), are being used to enhance the understanding the evolution of this highly adaptable species [13,15].

From different in-house surveillance studies on the presence of ESBL-producing *E. coli* in the northwest of Spain (2005-2015), we noticed that *eae*-positive isolates of the serotype O153:H10 were periodically recovered from meat, wildlife, and avian farm environment. We also found them involved in human diarrhea. This study aimed: i) to characterize the degree of relatedness between human and animal isolates; ii) to know if this was a geographically restricted or disseminated genetic lineage.

2. Results

Thirty-two *eae*-positive *E. coli* (21 ESBL and 11 non-ESBL) belonging to the serotype O153:H10 constituted the collection of study. They were detected within different surveys in the period 2005 to 2015: 14 from human stools, eight from beef meat, seven from chicken meat, and one each of pork meat, wildlife (fox feces) and poultry farm environment (Table S1).

2.1. Conventional typing

Table 1 summarizes the main traits determined by conventional typing for the 32 isolates. All were positive for the intimin *eae*-beta1, but negative for *bfpA* gene, conforming the aEPEC pathotype. Other virulence genes defining verotoxigenic (VTEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC), enteroaggregative (EAggEC) or enterotoxigenic (ETEC) pathotypes were not detected; however, the *fimAvMSS78* gene, which is a virulence locus that codify a *fimA* variant MT78 of type 1 fimbriae [16] was present in all isolates. Besides, the *traT* gene that codifies an outer membrane protein implicated in serum survival [17] was also present in 17 of the isolates (Table 1). By means of the serotype, phylogroup, ST and clonotyping, the isolates were assigned to the clonal group O153:H10-A-ST10 (CH11-54).
The highest rates of AMR were to: ampicillin (75%; 24/32), cefuroxime (68.7%; 22/32), cefotaxime (65.6%, 21/32), ceftazidime (65.6%, 21/32), cefepime (59.4%, 19/32) and gentamicin (59.4%, 19/32). The ESBL-typing determined that 19 isolates were CTX-M-32 and two SHV-12 (Table 1).
| Sample origin | Code* | Year | Geographic origin | Virulence gene profile | Resistance profile | bla_PSE type |
|---------------|-------|------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Pork meat     | *LREC-122 | 2011 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Chicken meat  | *LREC-115 | 2009 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN, TOB | CTX-M-32     |
| Chicken meat  | FV 19517 | 2009 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Chicken meat  | *LREC-118 | 2009 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Chicken meat  | *LREC-110 | 2010 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Chicken meat  | FV 14703 | 2010 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN, TOB, FOF | CTX-M-32     |
| Chicken meat  | LREC-126 | 2010 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN, TOB | CTX-M-32     |
| Chicken meat  | *LREC-123 | 2010 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN, TOB | CTX-M-32     |
| Beef meat     | *LREC-119 | 2007 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Beef meat     | *LREC-117 | 2007 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Beef meat     | 4-3a    | 2007 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ | SHV-12       |
| Beef meat     | 85-5a   | 2008 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | AMP, GEN           | -            |
| Beef meat     | *LREC-125 | 2008 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, FEP | CTX-M-32     |
| Beef meat     | *LREC-114 | 2008 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN, TOB | CTX-M-32     |
| Beef meat     | 65-6a   | 2009 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | - | - |
| Beef meat     | *LREC-120 | 2011 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP | SHV-12       |
| Wildlife (Fox)| *LREC-111 | 2015 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN, TOB | CTX-M-32     |
| Poultry farm  | *LREC-127 | 2010 | Pontevedra        | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Human         | *LREC-116 | 2006 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Human         | *LREC-113 | 2007 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Human         | *LREC-121 | 2007 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Human         | *LREC-124 | 2007 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, eae-betal | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, GEN | CTX-M-32     |
| Human         | 31952.07 | 2007 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | - | - |
| Human         | 32651.07 | 2007 | Lugo              | fimH54, fimAv$_{ART70}$, traT, eae-betal | NAL, CIP | - |
| Human  | 32884.07 | 2007 | Lugo | fimH54, fimAv13978, traT, eae-betA1 | AMP, CXM, CAZ, AMC, SXT | - |
| Human  | 34535.07 | 2007 | Lugo | fimH54, fimAv13978, traT, eae-betA1 | NAL, CIP | - |
| Human  | 39044.07 | 2007 | Lugo | fimH54, fimAv13978, traT, eae-betA1 | - | - |
| Human  | 21011.08 | 2008 | Lugo | fimH54, fimAv13978, traT, eae-betA1 | - | - |
| Human  | 38506.08 | 2008 | Lugo | fimH54, fimAv13978, traT, eae-betA1 | CIP | - |
| Human  | 40237.08 | 2008 | Lugo | fimH54, fimAv13978, traT, eae-betA1 | NAL, CIP | - |
| Human  | *LREC-112 | 2011 | Santiago de Compostela | fimH54, fimAv13978, traT, eae-betA1 | AMP, CXM, CTX, CAZ, FEP, NAL | CTX-M-32 |
| Human  | 55515.12 | 2012 | Lugo | fimH54, fimAv13978, traT, eae-betA1 | AMP, GEN | - |

* Strains further analyzed by WGS are those marked with (*); **ampicillin (AMP), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC), cefuroxime (CXM), ceftazidime (CAZ), cefotaxime (CTX), cefepime (FEP), cefoxitin (FOX), gentamicin (GEN), tobramycin (TOB), fosfomycin (FOF), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (SXT), ciprofloxacin (CIP) and nalidixic acid (NAL)
The PFGE comparison of the XbaI-macrorrestriction profiles of the ESBL-producing aEPEC isolates revealed high similarity. Thus, all but one clustered with an identity >85% in the dendrogram shown in Figure 1. It is of note that three human clinical isolates, recovered in different years, clustered each with a fox (95.2% of similarity) and with two beef meat isolates (100% and 97.6% of similarity, respectively).

Figure 1. PFGE of XbaI-digested DNA from 20 ESBL-producing aEPEC isolates of the clonal group O153:H10-A-ST10 (one autodigested). On the right of the dendrogram: Isolate designation, O:H serotype, ST, ESBL type, phylogroup, year of isolation, geographic origin, source and virulence-gene profile.

2.2 Whole genome sequencing (WGS)

To further investigate the virulence profile, resistome, plasmid content and relatedness, 17 representative aEPEC/ExPEC isolates of different origins were WG sequenced. The de novo assembled contigs were then typed in silico using the Enterobase tools (Table S2), as well as the Center for Genomic Epidemiology (CGE) databases (Table 2).

SerotypeFinder and Enterobase predictions corroborated O and H antigens, with the exception of LREC-120 and LREC-121, for which O153 was solved by serotyping. MLST (CGE and Enterobase), CHyper and ClermonTyping also confirmed conventional data for ST (10), CH (11-54) and phylogroup (A) (Table 2, Table S2). Additionally, the wgST, cgST, and rST of the genomes were determined using the schemes of Enterobase based on 25,002; 2,513 and 53 loci, respectively (Table S2). WgMLST and cgMLST are powerful schemes with extreme and high resolution, respectively, which determined different STs for each of the 17 genomes analyzed, while rST (medium resolution) established the same ST (2021) for all genome but for LREC-127 (58738) (Table S2).

VirulenceFinder corroborated the hybrid pathotype nature of the isolates, predicting in all genomes the eae gene (intimin) together with other components encoded in the LEE pathogenity island, as well as the increased serum survival gene iss recognized for its role in ExPEC virulence [18]. Besides, the astA gene, which encodes the heat-stable enterotoxin 1, was also present in all 17 isolates (Table 2).

ResFinder identified the genes associated to resistances observed in vitro (acquired resistances for beta-lactams, aminoglycosides, and point mutations for quinolones). Only, the blactX-M32 was not predicted in silico for LREC-112 and LREC-119, but by conventional sequencing. Furthermore, ResFinder determined other acquired resistances which had not been tested in vitro, such as to phenicols and macrolides in all genomes, and to tetracyclines in 16 out of the 17 genomes (Table 2).

Based on replicon identification, PlasmidFinder revealed a homogenous profile of four/five plasmid types. Thus, the comcomitant presence of IncF (F2:A::B-), IncI1 (STunknown) and IncX1, together with non-conjugative ColI56-like plasmids, was detected in 15 of 17 genomes. Four of those 15 genomes were also carriers of Col (MG828)-like plasmids (Table 2).
In the asymmetric distance matrix on the cgMLST scheme from Enterobase, based on the presence/absence of 2,513 genes, the 17 genomes showed <20 differences (range 5-19) in relation to the human diarrheagenic isolate LREC-113 (Table 3, Figure 2). We also looked into the static Hierarchical Clustering (HierCC) designations in Enterobase. The 17 genomes were assigned into the same HierCC HC50 (37600), which means all strains in this cluster have links no more than 50 alleles apart. Besides, using HC20, three human genomes (LREC-113, LREC-116, LREC-124) and two beef meat (LREC-119, LREC-125) clustered together (37606) with links no more than 20 alleles apart (Table S3). A dendrogram based on the SNPs of the core genomic regions present in 90% of the compared genomes and using LREC-113 as reference, was also built in Enterobase, downloaded and modified with FigTree v1.4.3 (Figure 3). Within 1,068 variant sites, the number of SNPs was <62 for 13 of the 17 genomes (Table S3).
**Table 2.** *In silico* characterization of 17 *E. coli* genomes from the study collection using CGE databases and ClermonTyping

| Code   | Serotype  | Phylogroup | CHType | ST | Plasmid content | Acquired resistances (black) and point mutations (blue) | Virulence genes |
|--------|-----------|------------|--------|----|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| LREC-110 | O153:H10 | A          | 11-54  | 10 | IncF (F2:A:-B-) | blaCTX-M-32, bla23S-M-3, aac(3)-Ila, aadA1, catA1, mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, espF, gad, iss, mchF, nleA, tccP, tir |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncI1 (STunknown) |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncX1           |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | Col156         |                                                |                |
| LREC-111 | O153:H10 | A          | 11-54  | 10 | IncF (F2:A:-B-) | blaCTX-M-32, bla23S-M-3, aac(3)-Ila, aadA1, catA1, mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, gad, iss, mchF, nleA, tccP, tir |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncI1 (STunknown) |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncX1           |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | Col156         |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | Col (MG828)    |                                                |                |
| LREC-112 | O153:H10 | A          | 11-54  | 10 | IncF (F2:A:-B-) | blaCTX-M-32, aadA1, catA1, mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, espF, gad, iss, mchF, nleA, tccP, tir |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncX1           |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | Col156         |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | Col (MG828)    |                                                |                |
| LREC-113 | O153:H10 | A          | 11-54  | 10 | IncF (F2:A:-B-) | blaCTX-M-32, bla23S-M-3, aac(3)-Ila, aadA1, catA1, mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, espF, gad, iss, mchF, tir |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncI1 (STunknown) |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncX1           |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | Col156         |                                                |                |
| LREC-114 | O153:H10 | A          | 11-54  | 10 | IncF (F2:A:-B-) | blaCTX-M-32, bla23S-M-3, aac(3)-Ila, aadA1, catA1, mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, espF, gad, iss, mchF, nleA, tir |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncI1 (STunknown) |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | IncX1           |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | Col156         |                                                |                |
|        |           |            |        |    | Col (MG828)    |                                                |                |
| LREC-115 | O153:H10 | A          | 11-54  | 10 | IncF (F2:A:-B-) | blaCTX-M-32, bla23S-M-3, aac(3)-Ila, aadA1, catA1, mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, espF, gad, iss, mchF, nleA, tccP, tir |
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| LREC-116 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A-B-) | IncI1 (STunknown) | IncX1 | Col156 | blaCTX-M-32, blaTEM-1A; aac(3)-IIa, aadA1; catA1; mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, gad, iss, mchF, tccP, tir |
| LREC-117 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A-B-) | IncI1 (STunknown) | IncX1 | Col156 | blaCTX-M-32; aadA1; mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, gad, iss, mchF, tccP, tir |
| LREC-118 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A-B-) | IncI1 (STunknown) | IncX1 | Col156 | Col(MG828) | blaCTX-M-32, blaTEM-1A; aac(3)-IIa, aadA1; catA1; mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, espF, gad, iss, mchF, nleA, tccP, tir |
| LREC-119 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | Col156 | blaCTX-M-32; aadA1; catA1; mdf(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, gad, iss, mleA, tccP, tir |
| LREC-120 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncI1 (ST22-CC2) | IncQ1 | IncX1 | Col156 | Col (MG828) | blaTEM-12; aadA1, aadA2; catA1; cmrA1; mdf(A); sul3; tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, gad, iss, mchF, nleA, tccP, tir |
| LREC-121 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A-B-) | IncI1 (STunknown) | IncX1 | | blaCTX-M-32, blaTEM-1A; aac(3)-IIa, aadA1; catA1; mdf(A); tet(A) | astA, eae, espA, espB, gad, iss, mchF, nleA, tccP, tir |
| LREC-122 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A/-:B-)
IncI1 (STunknown)
IncX1
Col156
Col (MG828) | \textit{bla}\textsubscript{CTX-M-32}, \textit{aadA1}; \textit{catA1}; \textit{mdf(A)}; \textit{tet(A)} | \textit{astA}, \textit{eaeA}, \textit{espF}, \textit{gad}, \textit{iss}, \textit{mchF}, \textit{nleA}, \textit{tccP}, \textit{tir} |
| LREC-123 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A/-:B-)
IncI1 (STunknown)
IncX1
Col156
Col (MG828) | \textit{bla}\textsubscript{CTX-M-32}, \textit{bla}\textsubscript{TEM-1A}; \textit{aadA1}; \textit{catA1}; \textit{mdf(A)}; \textit{tet(A)} | \textit{astA}, \textit{eaeA}, \textit{espA}, \textit{espB}, \textit{gad}, \textit{iss}, \textit{mchF}, \textit{nleA}, \textit{tccP}, \textit{tir} |
| LREC-124 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A/-:B-)
IncI1 (STunknown)
IncX1
IncY
Col156
Col (MG828) | \textit{bla}\textsubscript{CTX-M-32}, \textit{bla}\textsubscript{TEM-1A}; \textit{aadA1}; \textit{catA1}; \textit{mdf(A)}; \textit{tet(A)} | \textit{astA}, \textit{eaeA}, \textit{espA}, \textit{espF}, \textit{gad}, \textit{iss}, \textit{mchF}, \textit{tccP}, \textit{tir} |
| LREC-125 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A/-:B-)
IncI1 (STunknown)
IncX1
Col156
Col (MG828) | \textit{bla}\textsubscript{CTX-M-32}; \textit{aadA1}; \textit{catA1}; \textit{mdf(A)}; \textit{tet(A)} | \textit{astA}, \textit{eaeA}, \textit{espA}, \textit{espF}, \textit{gad}, \textit{iss}, \textit{mchF}, \textit{nleA}, \textit{tccP}, \textit{tir} |
| LREC-127 | O153:H10 | A | 11-54 | 10 | IncF (F2:A/-:B-)
IncI1 (STunknown)
IncX1
Col156
Col (MG828) | \textit{bla}\textsubscript{CTX-M-32}, \textit{aadA1}; \textit{catA1}; \textit{mdf(A)}; \textit{tet(A)} | \textit{astA}, \textit{eaeA}, \textit{espA}, \textit{espF}, \textit{gad}, \textit{iss}, \textit{mchF}, \textit{nleA}, \textit{tccP}, \textit{tir} |
Serotypes, clonotypes, sequence types, replicon/plasmid STs, acquired antimicrobial resistance genes and/or chromosomal mutations, virulence genes were determined using SerotypeFinder 2.0, CHtyper 1.0, MLST 2.0, PlasmidFinder 2.0, pMLST 2.0, ResFinder 3.1 and VirulenceFinder 2.0 online tools at the CGE, respectively. While phylogroups were predicted using the ClermonTyping tool at the Iame-research Center web.

1Serotypes: underlined and in red those (LREC-121, LREC-120) that were not predicted (ONT) by SerotypeFinder but assigned as O153 by conventional typing.
2Resistome: Acquired resistance genes: beta-lactam: blaTEM-1A, blaCTX-M-32, blaKPC-2; aminoglycosides: aac(3)-IIa, aadA1, aadA2; phenicol: catA1, cmlA1; macrolides: mdf(A); sulphonamides: sul3; tetracycline: tet(A). Point mutations (marked in blue): quinolones and fluoroquinolones: gyrA S83L: TCG - TTG. Underlined and in red those blaCTX-M-32 genes (LREC-112, LREC-119) that were not predicted by ResFinder but determined in conventional typing

3Virulence genes: astA: EAST-1, eae: intimin, espA: type III secretions system, espB: secreted protein B, espF: type III secretions system, gad: glutamate descarboxylase, iss: increased serum survival, mchF: ABC transporter protein MchF, nleA: non LEE encoded effector A, tccP: Tir cytoskeleton coupling protein, tir: translocated intimin receptor protein. bp: base pairs; CHType: clonotype (fumC-fimH); ST: sequence type according to Achtman scheme; pMLST: plasmid sequence type.
Table 3. Asymmetric distance matrix based on the cgMLST scheme from Enterobase in which D (a, b) equals all sites that are present in (b) and different from (a)

| Genome code / cgMLST | LREC-110 | LREC-111 | LREC-112 | LREC-113 | LREC-120 | LREC-117 | LREC-119 | LREC-116 | LREC-115 | LREC-123 | LREC-122 | LREC-124 | LREC-125 | LREC-118 |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| LREC-110             | 37600    | 37601    | 37602    | 37605    | 37606    | 37607    | 37609    | 37610    | 37611    | 37612    | 37613    | 37614    | 37615    | 37616    | 37617    | 37618    | 38299    |
| LREC-111             | 0        | 14       | 17       | 19       | 13       | 12       | 18       | 19       | 12       | 15       | 27       | 8        | 15       | 15       | 15       | 18       | 12       | 17       |
| LREC-127             | 17       | 21       | 0        | 24       | 9        | 22       | 14       | 16       | 9        | 11       | 24       | 15       | 13       | 13       | 15       | 13       | 13       |
| LREC-112             | 19       | 23       | 24       | 0        | 19       | 25       | 24       | 25       | 18       | 21       | 33       | 17       | 22       | 22       | 24       | 23       | 21       |
| LREC-113             | 13       | 16       | 9        | 19       | 0        | 18       | 9        | 11       | 5        | 6        | 18       | 10       | 9        | 8        | 11       | 9        | 8        |
| LREC-120             | 12       | 20       | 22       | 25       | 18       | 0        | 23       | 23       | 18       | 20       | 33       | 14       | 20       | 20       | 24       | 22       | 19       |
| LREC-117             | 18       | 22       | 14       | 24       | 9        | 23       | 0        | 17       | 8        | 12       | 22       | 15       | 14       | 13       | 14       | 12       | 13       |
| LREC-112             | 19       | 23       | 16       | 25       | 11       | 23       | 17       | 0        | 10       | 13       | 25       | 15       | 16       | 16       | 14       | 14       | 14       |
| LREC-119             | 12       | 16       | 9        | 18       | 5        | 18       | 8        | 10       | 0        | 6        | 17       | 10       | 9        | 9        | 7        | 6        | 8        |
| LREC-116             | 15       | 19       | 11       | 21       | 6        | 20       | 12       | 13       | 6        | 0        | 22       | 12       | 11       | 11       | 13       | 11       | 10       |
| LREC-115             | 27       | 30       | 24       | 33       | 18       | 33       | 22       | 25       | 17       | 22       | 0        | 22       | 24       | 23       | 23       | 15       | 20       |
| LREC-114             | 8        | 11       | 15       | 17       | 10       | 14       | 15       | 15       | 10       | 12       | 22       | 0        | 13       | 12       | 15       | 14       | 11       |
| LREC-113             | 15       | 19       | 13       | 22       | 9        | 20       | 14       | 16       | 9        | 11       | 24       | 13       | 0        | 7        | 15       | 13       | 8        |
| LREC-122             | 15       | 18       | 13       | 22       | 8        | 20       | 13       | 16       | 9        | 11       | 23       | 12       | 7        | 0        | 15       | 13       | 9        |
| LREC-124             | 18       | 22       | 15       | 24       | 11       | 24       | 14       | 16       | 7        | 13       | 23       | 15       | 15       | 0        | 12       | 14       |
| LREC-125             | 16       | 20       | 13       | 23       | 9        | 22       | 12       | 14       | 6        | 11       | 15       | 14       | 13       | 13       | 12       | 0        | 12       |
| LREC-118             | 14       | 17       | 13       | 21       | 8        | 19       | 13       | 14       | 8        | 10       | 20       | 11       | 8        | 9        | 14       | 12       | 0        |
Figure 2. GrapeTree inferred using the MSTree V2 algorithm based on the cgMLST V1 + HierCC V1 scheme from Enterobase.
Figure 3. Dendrogram based on the SNPs of the core genomic regions present in 90% of the compared genomes and using LREC-113 as reference, built in Enterobase and modified with FigTree v1.4.3.
3. Discussion

The recovery, over the time, of eae-positive isolates of serotype O153:H10 from different sources and its association with ESBL enzymes triggered this investigation. From independent studies on ESBLs, we found that O153 aEPEC represented 5.5% of the ESBL-producing E. coli recovered from chicken meat (2009-2010), 7.7% of pork meat (2011-2012), 5.5% 20% of beef meat (2011-2012), 1% of poultry farm environment (2010-2012) and 1% of wildlife feces (2014-2015) in our region [19]. Besides, we had detected 23 (0.24%) O153 aEPEC as the only pathogen within 9,523 stools of epidemiologically unrelated patients (2006-2012), in the routine testing of human diarrheagenic samples. From those 23, 14 (0.15%) were O153:H10 eae-beta1 fimA,AvMT78, and five of them blaCTX-M-32 producers (Table S4, Table S5, Figure 1). By conventional typing, all animal and human isolates were assigned to the clonal group O153:H10-A-ST10 (CH11-54), conforming a hybrid aEPEC/ExPEC pathotype. The symptomatology reported in humans was mainly mild diarrhea, but there were also some cases of acute/hemorrhagic gastroenteritis (Table S5). Epidemiological studies have indicated that aEPEC are emerging enteropathogens, implicated in human diarrhea, with higher prevalence than tEPEC in both developed and developing countries [20]. aEPEC are present in both healthy and diseased animals and humans [8,21,22], are phylogenetically heterogeneous and carry virulence factors of other diarrheagenic E. coli more often than tEPEC strains [6,20,23]. However, the main feature of the EPEC diarrheagenic group is the ability to induce A/E lesions on intestinal epithelium encoded in the chromosomal pathogenicity island (LEE). Within more than 30 intimin types and subtypes based on the polymorphism of eae, the subtype determined here (beta-1) is first or second in prevalence within different studies on isolates from humans with diarrhea in Spain [21], Australia [24], Brasil [25,26], Peru [27] or China [28].

It is of note that we have detected this clonal group in subsequent and current studies on meat sampled in supermarkets of our city. In fact, we recovered aEPEC/ExPEC from 15 out of 100 poultry meat samples (2016-2017); from those, five were carriers of isolates belonging to the clonal group O153:H10-A-ST10, being one CTX-M-32 carrier (unpublished data). Recently, Zhang et al. [29] reported a 2.75% prevalence of aEPEC in retail foods at markets in the People’s Republic of China, being the beta-1 intimin and the ST10 the second intimin and ST most prevalent within their isolates. According to the authors, the presence of virulent and MDR aEPEC in retail foods poses a potential threat to consumers.

Since the occurrence of the major outbreak of HUS in Europe caused in 2011 by an EAgiGEC/STEC O104:H4, other hybrid pathotypes have been recognized, and new are expected, either by novel assemblies of E. coli virulence determinants or through acquisition of new virulence genes from other bacterial species [13]. In Norway, Lindstedt et al. [30], expressed their concern regarding the detection of E. coli from human fecal content with a combination of intestinal and ExPEC virulence genes (IPEC/ExPEC) in a high frequency (64.3%). Several other studies have also identified STEC- and ET-EC-associated virulence genes coexisting in E. coli isolates from humans, animals or environmental origin [31,32]. But probably one of the most outstanding is the EPEC/STEC O80:H2-ST301, emerged in France over the last few years and diffused within Europe, associated with invasive infections, which combines intestinal VF s (stx2d, eae-xi and ehxA genes) and extraintestinal genes characteristic of the plasmid pSS8 [33,34]. To highlight in this O80 clone, the location of MDR and pSS8 genes in the same plasmid; and in addition to this plasmid, another two (a carrier of ehxA gene and a cryptic one) were described within the isolates [33,34]. The clonal group described here poses also the threat of being MDR and characteristically associated with ESBL type CTX-M-32. CTX-M-32 enzyme is derived from CTX-M-1 by a single amino acid replacement, being probably an ancestor among CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15 [35]. The blrCTX-M-32 gene was first described in 2004 in an Escherichia coli isolate in our Health Area (A Coruña, northwest Spain) [35]. Furthermore, it was described in three human isolates O25b:H4-ST131 ibeA-positive of our region, as early as in 2008 [11]. Of the 2,427 E. coli bloodstream isolates recovered in the hospital of our city (HULA) in the period 2000-2011, 96 were positive for ESBL production, from which 4.2% were CTX-M-32 and 4.2% SHV-12 [36]. The same prevalence was observed in this hospital in 2015 (unpublished data).
The in silico analysis of 17 representative genomes O153:H10-A-ST10 corroborated the main traits determined by conventional typing. In a recent study, we had proved the good correlation and usefulness of SerotypeFinder or Enterobase predictions [22,37]. Here, only the serotype of two genomes could not be predicted in silico, probably due to the limitation of the assembly based on Illumina short reads [38]. MLST, CHTyper from CGE and Enterobase also confirmed conventional results. Like in the previous study, we found that VirulenceFinder properly identifies E. coli pathotypes (hybrid in this case), although based on different traits for the ExPEC pathotype. Thus, this clonal group O153:H10-A-ST10 typically carries the locus that codify a fimA variant MT78 of type 1 fimbriae [16] and the traT gene for an outer membrane protein implicated in serum survival [17]. Both VFs are not included in the VirulenceFinder scheme, and so they were not predicted. On the contrary, CGE tool identified in all genomes the increased serum survival gene iss, recognized for its role in ExPEC virulence [18], which was not determined by PCR. This is because CGE database predicts 14 variants of the iss gene [39], including the one described in E. coli IAH (CU928160), and harbored by the O153:H10-A-ST10 genomes. Our specific PCR detects the plasmid-borne iss allele (designated type 1), which is highly prevalent among avian pathogenic E. coli and neonatal meningitis-associated E. coli isolates but not among uropathogenic E. coli isolates [18]. The phenotypic AMR determined in vitro correlated with the results based on ResFinder databases, with the exception of blaCTX-M-32 not predicted in two genomes, but solved by conventional sequencing. Based on this and previous studies [37,40], we consider both conventional and genomic-based analysis complementary for a better understanding and characterization of emerging isolates.

An interesting trait of our isolates was the concomitant presence of IncF (F2:A::B-), IncI1 (STunknown) and IncX1, together with non-conjugative Col156-like plasmids. Although carriage of plasmids means a fitness cost on the hosts [41], different studies support the hypothesis that interference between conjugative plasmids may reduce fitness costs by decreasing the efficiency of transfer. However, the mechanisms of such inhibitory systems need further investigation [42]. On the other hand, small plasmids was shown to increase its stability in cells containing big plasmids [41].

Another objective in this study was to know if this was a restricted genetic lineage. For this purpose, we searched related genomes uploaded in Enterobase based on the HierCC Cluster ID. As a result, we found a hybrid aEPEC/ExPEC pathotype A-ST10 eae-beta1 within its database associated to five human, one avian, and one unknown isolates (Table S3). Of note, the two human isolates (Code Name: 853984 and 866428) from United Kingdom, which clustered with the 17 Spanish genomes in the HC100 HierCC group (37600) (Table S3, Figure S1). The in silico analysis of these two genomes showed they belonged to the clonal group O153:H10-A-ST10 CH11-54 eae-beta1, were MDR carried similar virulence traits (conforming hybrid aEPEC/ExPEC pathotype), and plasmid combination: IncF (F2:A::B-), IncX1, Col156-like (Table S6). To highlight that six of the seven genomes were carriers of IncF (F2:A::B-) and Col156-like plasmids (Table S6). As above suggested, it would be necessary further investigation on the interaction between these plasmids and other mobile genetic elements affecting their transmission and persistence, as well as their role in the maintenance/acquisition of resistance genes.

In summary, our results demonstrate that a hybrid MDR aEPEC/ExPEC of clonal group O153:H10-A-ST10 (CH11-54) would be playing a successful role in spreading ESBLs (CTX-M-32) in our region within different hosts, including wildlife. It would be potentially implicated in human diarrhea via food (meat) transmission due to the genomic relatedness of isolates. Importantly, we proved the presence a related hybrid aEPEC/ExPEC in other countries.
4. Materials and Methods

4.1. E. coli collection

During the period of 2005 to 2015, different surveillance studies performed at the Reference Laboratory of Escherichia coli (LREC), in Lugo, Spain, aimed the detection of ESBL-producing E. coli within different sources of our region. These studies included samples from chicken, beef and pork meat, as well as poultry farm environment and wildlife. Briefly, the confluent growth of the MacConkey Lactose plates from each sample was screened by PCR for the presence of specific bla genes using the TEM, CIT, SHV, CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-9 group-specific primers [43]. Then, up to 10 individual colonies from positive plates were re-analyzed. Those confirmed for the bla genes were further characterized by PCR for the presence of VF eae, stx1, stx2, ipaH, pcDV432, eltA, estA or estB associated with the main intestinal pathotypes (enteropathogenic, verotoxigenic, enteroinvasive, enteroaggregative and enterotoxigenic) of E. coli. Likewise, specific extraintestinal VF were tested: fimH, fimAVMST, papC, sfa/focDE, sfa/draBC, cnf1, cdtB, sat, hlyA, icaD, icroN, kpsM II (establishing neuC-K1, K2 and K5 variants), kpsM III, cwaC, iss, traT, ibeA, malX, usp and tsh (Table S7, Table S8, Table S9).

On the other hand, human diarrheic E. coli isolates, mainly from the Hospital Universitario Lucus Augusti (HULA) of our city (Lugo, northwest Spain), were routinely analyzed in our laboratory for intestinal VF, and those positive, complementary analysed for extraintestinal traces and ESBL genes, as described in the preceding paragraph.

All isolates were serotyped using the method described by Guinée et al. [44] employing O1 to O185 and H1 to H56 antisera. As a result, 32 eae-positive E. coli (21 ESBL and 11 non-ESBL) belonging to the serotype O153:H10 constituted the collection of study (Table S1).

4.2. Antimicrobial susceptibility and ESBL typing

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was conducted by disc diffusion assay. The antibiotics tested included ampicillin (AMP), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC), cefotaxime (CTX), ceftazidime (CAZ), cefotaxime (CTX), ceftazidime (CAZ), cefoxime (OXF), cefoxitin (FOX), aztreonam (ATM), imipenem (IMP), gentamicin (GEN), tobramycin (TOB), fosfomycin (FOF), nitrofurantoin (NIT), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (SXT), ciprofloxacin (CIP) and nalidixic acid (NAL). All results were interpreted according to the CLSI guidelines [45]. Sequencing of the specific regions was performed for conventional typing of blal_192LEE genes (Table S9).

4.3. Phylogenetic assignment and PFGE comparison

Phylogroup and ST assignment was performed following the Clermont et al. [46] and Achtman MLST [47] schemes’, respectively. The clonotyping was based on the internal 469-nucleotide (nt) and 489-nt sequence of the fumC and fimH genes, respectively, to define the CH type [48]. The molecular similarity within the collection was established comparing the XbaI-PFGE profiles of the isolates obtained following the PulseNet protocol, and imported into BioNumerics (Applied Maths, St-Martens-Latem Belgium) to perform a dendrogram with the UPGMA algorithm based on the Dice similarity coefficient and applying 1% of tolerance in the band position.

4.4. Genome sequencing, assembly and analysis

DNA from 17 isolates was extracted with the QIAamp 96 DNA Qiacube HT kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT kit (Illumina). Pooled libraries were denatured following the Illumina protocol and 600 μl (approx. 20 pM) were loaded onto a MiSeq V2 -500 cycle cartridge (Illumina) and sequenced on a MiSeq to produces fastq files. Raw reads were uploaded and automatically assembled in Enterobase using SPAdes Genome Assembler v 3.5. with a contig threshold of minimum 200 nucleotides. Subsequently, the de novo assembled contigs were MLST (7 gene Achtman ST scheme, whole genome MLST, core genome MLST and ribosomal MLST) and serotyped in silico using Enterobase typing tools [49]. The raw reads were also analyzed using the CGE databases: SerotypeFinder, MLSTyper, CHtyper, PlasmidFinder, ResFinder, and VirulenceFinder [50–54]. For genomic relatedness comparison, we used different approaches based on the cgMLST of Enterobase. Thus, a MSTree was inferred using the MSTree V2 algorithm and the asymmetric distance matrix based on the cgMLST scheme from Enterobase. This cgMLST scheme
consists of 2,513 genes present in over 98% of 3,457 genomes, which represented most of the diversity in Enterobase. We also investigated the HierCC designations for our collection and other related genomes of Enterobase within each cluster group [49,55]. The SNP tree was also built in Enterobase, where all assemblies were aligned against LREC-113 using Last [56], and SNPs from these alignments were filtered to remove regions with low base qualities or ambiguous alignment. Specifically, any sites with low base qualities (Q < 10) or sites which could not be aligned unambiguously (ambiguity of alignment ≥ 0.1, as reported by Last) were excluded. Additionally sites were removed if disperse repetitive regions were aligned with ≥ 95% identities and longer than ≥ 100 bps according to nucleotide BLAST; or they were part of tandem repeats that were identified by TRF [57]; or within CRISPR regions, which were identified by PILER-CR [58]. After removing repetitive regions, all core SNPs were then called in the core genomic regions that were conserved in ≥ 90% of the genomes.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at www.mdpi.com.xxx/s1, Table S1: Thirty-two isolates included in the study (in red) from our own collections, Table S2: Assembly data from Enterobase of the 17 O153:H10-A-ST10 genomes sequenced using Illumina NextSeq technology, Table S3: HierCC designations from Enterobase for the 17 Spanish collection and other 7 related genomes within each cluster group. SNPs of the core genomic regions, Table S4: Number of human stool samples analyzed and positive for aEPEC O153, Table S5: Twenty-three aEPEC O153 human isolates recovered in the period 2006-2012, Table S6: in silico characterization of seven E. coli related genomes from Enterobase using CEG databases, Table S7: Targets and primers associated with diarrheagenic pathotypes of E. coli, Table S8: Targets and primers associated with extraintestinal pathotypes of E. coli., Table S9: Detection and sequencing of blaTEM, blaSHV and blaCTX-M genes, Figure S1: GrapeTree inferred using the NINJA NJ algorithm and based on the cgMLST V1 + HierCC V1 scheme from Enterobase.
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