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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Abelmoschus ficulneus* (L.) Wight & Arn. (Malvaceae) commonly known as herb in the Ayurveda, Indian traditional medicine system. Herb is reported as a Rasayana that has the potential to produce immunomodulatory effects. In the present study, the immunomodulatory effect of aqueous (AEAF) and ethanolic (EEAF) leaves extract of *A. ficulneus* (L.) Wight & Arn. in different experimental animal models were evaluated.

**Results:** Acute oral toxicity shows that both extracts have wide range of safety as per OECD 420 guidelines. Quantitative and qualitative analysis was evaluated by performing preliminary phytochemical screening, thin layer chromatography and high-performance thin layer chromatography developed for simultaneous quantification of flavonoids (quercetin) in both the extracts. Oral administration of *A. ficulneus* L. extracts showed a significant increase in the primary and secondary humoral antibody responses against cyclophosphamide (100 mg/kg) induced immunosuppression, by significantly increasing \((p < 0.05)\) the hemagglutinating antibody titre at dose of 200 mg/kg/p.o. and also significantly \((p < 0.05)\) potentiated delayed type hypersensitivity reaction induced by sheep red blood cells. There was a significant \((p < 0.05)\) increase in the phagocytic index, percentage neutrophil adhesion and significantly prevented the mortality induced by bovine *Pasteurella multocida* in mice when compared with control group. Result findings shows that both the extracts have ability to inhibit immunosuppression induced by cyclophosphamide.

**Conclusion:** Study finding shows that AEAF and EEAf (at dose 200 mg/kg) extracts exerts immunostimulatory effects on specific and nonspecific immune system thus chemical constituents of herbal extracts may have applications as an effective immunotherapeutic agent and its potential application in the treatment of immune-related diseases.
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**Background**

Ayurveda is the vital indigenous medicine system of India. Rasayana therapy is one of the eight major divisions of Ayurvedic discipline [1].

Rasayana remedy on human being has been reported by various scientists; the mechanism of action of Rasayana remedy have not been scientifically explored much. The modern research has only evidenced the biological activity of the medicinal plants and their active ingredients. Based on the findings, the Rasayana therapy is interpreted in modern science like immunomodulatory action, anti-ageing action, apoptogenic action, endurance or extended life span, etc. [2].

The concept “Vyadhi-ksamatva” is often associated with immunology. Vyadhi means disease or disorders and ksamatva means capacity to defence. Specific class of agents like anti-allergic, corticosteroids, anticancer and chemotherapeutic have been employed to protect against
immunological extremity and microorganism. However, modern synthetic therapy has many adverse effects. Phyto remedies seem to be significant sources as immunomodulatory agents. Therefore, it seems to be abundant to explore phyto remedies for potential immunomodulatory activity [3]. Recently, a wide number of in vitro studies have suggested a role of flavonoids isolated from plants in the modulation of immune response, through the stimulation of B and T cell immune response with respect to immune-mediated disorders [4, 5].

The genus *Abelmoschus* (Family—Malvaceae) is one of the pharmaceutically important groups of the flowering plants. The species *A. ficulneus* L. has prominent role in the traditional medicinal practices due to the presence of prominent phytochemicals. *A. ficulneus* L. is an edible medicinal plant has wide range of medicinal applications. The whole plant is used to treat sprain, bronchitis and toothache and *Abelmoschus* taxa have applications in industry [6].

*A. ficulneus* L. were found to have a high flavonoid, saponin and phenolic contents, which are responsible for all pharmacological activities including jaundice and a major fatal disease [7]. Therefore, increased in the demand of herbal medicines for therapeutic applications, it has become necessary to exploit the medicinal potential of plant species.

Hence, the present work proposed to evaluate immunomodulatory effect of *A. ficulneus* L. on humoral and cellular immune response by various experimental animals’ models: in vivo and in vitro studies.

**Methods**

**Drugs and chemicals**

Analytical grade solvents (ethanol and petroleum ether), Cyclophosphamide (Alkem Laboratories Ltd. Mumbai), Levamisole (Khandewal pharma. Ltd. Mumbai), Quercetin (Modern Industries, Sinner), Colloidal carbon (camel India Pvt. Ltd.), Nylon fibres (Local market, Nashik, India). *Pasteurella multocida* of bovine origin vaccine (Government Veterinary Hospital, Nashik).

**Plant procurement and authentication**

*A. ficulneus* L. herb was collected and procured in the month of July and leaves were dried in the shade. The *A. ficulneus* L. was authenticated by an herbarium section of a botanical survey of India, Maharashtra, Pune. No. BSI/WRC/Cert./2014.

**Experimental animals**

For the evaluation of immunomodulatory effect, adult healthy Swiss albino mice (Mus musculus, having 10–12 weeks old, 18–22 g body weight were used in this study, *n* = 6 in each group of models) either sex was used and housed at 25 ± 5 °C in a ventilated animal house under 12/12 h light/dark cycle. Animals were purchased from LACSMI Bio Farms (Laboratory Animal Centre for Safe Medical Innovations), # 12, “Rachana Blossom”, Jagdishnagar, Aundh, Pune-411 007, Maharashtra CPCSEA Registration Number: 1277/PO/RcBt/S/09. The all mice were maintained under standard conditions in an animal house approved as per the guidelines of CPCSEA, Department of Animal Welfare, and Government of India. All mice were fed with a pelleted diet and distilled water ad libitum. The experimental animal protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethical Committee (IAEC) (Protocol No. 884/PO/Re/S/05/CPCSEA).

**Preparation of leaves extracts**
The dried leaves coarse powder (500 g) was extracted by using Soxhlet apparatus by petroleum ether (40–60 °C) to remove resinous and fatty material for 12 h. Then defatted marc was subjected through 95% ethanol for 24 h to obtain the ethanolic extract. For aqueous extract dried leaves, coarse powder macerated with chloroform and distilled powder (1:9) for 7 days. The aqueous extract of *A. ficulneus* L. (AEAF) and ethanolic extracts *A. ficulneus* L. (EEAF) were evaporated up to 30 °C temperature to yield a yellowish-brown powder. Both extracts were sealed in an airtight polythene or container and stored at 4 °C for further experimental work [8, 9].

**Preliminary phytochemical investigations**
Preliminary phytochemical analysis was carried out to check and identify the effective constituents of leaves extracts *A. ficulneus* L. investigated for as per the standard procedure reported practical pharmacognosy books [9, 10].

**Total flavonoid content determination**
For estimation of total flavonoid content (TFC), aluminium chloride method was used and quercetin is used as a standard. The TFC was determined from the calibration curve of quercetin and expressed as mg of QE/gm of dry weight. Method was repeated 3 times for precision and values were expressed in mean ± STD deviation in terms flavonoid content, quercetin equivalent per g of dry weight [11, 12].

**Total phenol determination**
Folin-Ciocalteu’s assay was used to determine total phenol content using gallic acid as a standard. Absorbance of sample was measured with the blank at 750 nm using a spectrophotometer. Method was repeated three times for precision and values were expressed in mean ± standard deviation in terms of phenol content, gallic acid equivalent, GAE per g of dry weight [13].
Thin layer chromatography
Active constituents (flavonoids) of A. ficulneus L. leaves extracts were detected by the method thin layer chromatography using silica gel 60 TLC plates. These phytochemicals were identified and compared with their retention time obtained, which is compared with the standard (quercetin) retention time for flavonoids. The volume of the spots applied on the chromatographic plates was 5 μl and TLC were performed by ethyl acetate:water:formic acid:glacial acetic acid (100:26:11:11) mobile phase for both extracts. The presence of flavonoid was detected by the formation of yellowish-brown colour on TLC plate by exposure of polyethylene glycol reagent on TLC plate. The chromatograms were evaluated without chemical treatment, under UV 254 and UV 365 nm light. Rf value was calculated by the formula, distance travelled by the sample and distance travelled by the solvent [14, 15].

HPTLC instrumentation and method
Chromatographic analysis carried out on plate size 10 × 10 cm HPTLC plates silica gel 60 F 254 purchased from E. MERCK KGaA instrument (Germany). Samples of standards and extracts were applied as band length 6.0 mm wide and 8.0 mm apart by Camag Linomat 5 sample applicator. The application rate of sample on plate was 150 nl/s. Analysis was done at 380 nm in absorbance remission mode by win CATS Chromatography software [16].

Preparation of standard
A stock solution of quercetin (100 μg/ml) was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of accurately weighed quercetin in 100 ml ethanol. For calibration 5–20 μl standard solution were applied to HPTLC plate in the range 500–2000 ng per band.

Preparation of sample solution
Extraction of leaves of A. ficulneus L. were carried out by solubility of the marker constituents, ethanolic leaves extract prepared by weighing 50 g of dried powdered of leaves of A. ficulneus L. and extraction done by maceration method for 24 h. Solution was filtered, concentrated, evaporated through distillation and used for further HPTLC analysis.

Method validation
The analytical method was validated for specificity, linearity, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantization (LOQ) according to the International Council for Harmonization (ICH, 2005) guidelines. The specificity calculated by comparing Rf values and ultraviolet–visible (UV) spectra of peaks of components in sample and standard chromatogram.

Acute toxicity study
The oral acute toxicity study for both the extracts were carried out as per the OECD 420 guidelines in female mice at a single dose of 2000 mg/kg. As per the guidelines, mice were observed for 48 h for behavioural changes of toxicity and animals kept for 14 days under observation for any toxicological signs and lethal outcome [17].

Evaluation of immunomodulatory effect
The effect of both extracts (AEAF and EEAF) on immunomodulation the specific and nonspecific immune response was evaluated in mice using in vivo experimental animal models.

Specific immune response: humoral antibody response
Antigen: sheep red blood cells
In the specific immune responses, sheep red blood cells (SRBCs) are used as an antigen. Fresh blood was collected in freshly prepared sterile Alsevere’s solution in 1:1 proportion. Three times sheep blood was washed by centrifugation method at 3000–5000 rpm for 15 min. And after the final wash, red blood cells were suspended in normal saline and makeup final concentration of SRBCs up to 1 × 10⁹ cells and used for immunization and challenge. One millilitre of the 2% suspension contained approximately 10⁹ red blood cells.

Evaluation of humoral antibody response
For humoral immune response, mice grouped into total eight groups consisting of six mice (n = 6) in each group. Group 1 received (negative control) Cyclophosphamide (Cyp.100 mg/kg, p.o.), single-dose on 9th and 16th day; group 2 received (vehicle control) distilled water (10 ml/kg, p.o.), for 21 days; group 3 (test extract 1) received AEAF (200 mg/kg p.o.) for 21 days; group 4 (test extract 2) received EEAF (200 mg/kg p.o.) for 21 days; group 5 (standard) received Levamisole (LMS 50 mg/kg, p.o.) for 21 days; group 6 received AEAF (200 mg/kg p.o.) for 21 days and a single dose of Cyp.100 mg/kg, p.o. each on 9th and 16th day; group 7 received EEAF (200 mg/kg p.o.) for 21 days and Cyp.100 mg/kg, p.o. single dose each on 9th and 16th day; group 8 received LMS (50 mg/kg, p.o.) for 21 days and Cyp.100 mg/kg, p.o. single dose each on 9th and 16th day. Mice from all groups were immunized by 0.1 ml intraperitoneal of suspension 1 × 10⁹ cells SRBCs/ml on the 7th and 14th day considered for immunization. Blood samples were collected from all groups of mice by retro-orbital plexus on 14th day of study considered for primary antibody response and also blood samples withdrawn on the 21st day of study considered for secondary antibody response, after immunization. Blood was centrifuged and serum was isolated for determination of antibody levels by the
haemagglutination technique by 96-wells U-shaped microtiter plates. Two-fold dilutions (0.025 ml) of serum samples were performed in the 96-wells microtiter plates with normal saline. In each well, 0.025 ml of 1% (V/V) SRBCs were added. The plates kept for incubation for 1 h at room temperature and then evaluated for haemagglutination. The highest dilution set out haemagglutination was considered for antibody titre; titre was expressed in a graded manner and the minimum dilution (1/2) being ranked as 1. The mean ranks of all groups were compared for statistical analysis [3, 18].

Cell-mediated immune response: delayed type hypersensitivity reaction

Cell-mediated immune response was measured using delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) reaction by foot paw oedema method. Mice were divided into eight groups same as humoral antibody (HA) titre. On the 21st day of the study, all animals from each group were immunized with 0.1 ml of 1% SRBCs suspension in the sub plantar route of the right-hand paw. After 24 h, animals in all groups were assessed for foot paw oedema reaction, i.e. on the 22nd day. The oedematous thickness of the right footpad injected with SRBCs was determined by the vernier calliper method. The hypersensitivity reaction was expressed as the difference in the thickness (mm) between the right and left footpad injected with normal saline [3, 18, 19].

Non-specific immune response

Neutrophil adhesion test

Nonspecific immune response was assessed by neutrophil adhesion method. Mice are divided into four groups of six animals in each group. Group 1 control received distilled water, 10 ml/kg, p.o. for 14 days; group 2 and group 3 (test 1 and test 2 respectively) received AEAF 200 mg/kg and EEAF 200 mg/kg p.o. for 14 days. Group 4 standard received Levamisole 50 mg/kg for 14 days. On the 14th day of the study, blood samples were collected in pre-treated EDTA vials from all groups of mice by retro-orbital plexus and analyzed for differential leukocyte count (DLC) and total leucocyte count (TLC). After initial count analysis, all blood samples were incubated in nylon fibres 80 mg nylon fibres/ml for 15 min at 37 °C and again blood samples of incubated in nylon fibres were again analyzed for DLC and TLC respectively to give a neutrophil index and adhesion of blood specimens.

The percent of neutrophil adhesion was calculated by given formula:

\[
\text{Neutrophil Index} = \frac{\text{DLC}}{\text{TLC}} \times \% \text{Neutrophils}
\]

\[
\text{Neutrophil Adhesion} = \frac{\text{NIu} - \text{NIt}}{\text{NIu}} \times 100
\]

Were,

NIu: Neutrophil Index of blood samples
NIt: Neutrophil Index of blood samples incubated with nylon fibres [20].

Carbon clearance test

Mice were divided into four groups, the same as described in neutrophil adhesion tests. Carbon ink suspension and normal saline used in a 1:8 proportion and

---

**Table 1** Total flavonoid content in different *A. ficulneus* L. leaves extracts

| *A. ficulneus* L. leaves extract | mg of QE/g of dry weight ± SD |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Aqueous extract                 | 9.8 ± 0.7                     |
| Methanolic extract              | 27.83 ± 0.305                 |
| Ethanolic extract               | 24.36 ± 0.91                  |
| Hydroalcoholic extract          | 14.06 ± 0.91                  |

---

**Table 2** Absorbance of Quercetin in chelated form at \(\lambda_{max} = 510\) nm

| Concentration of Quercetin (μg/ml) | Absorbance at \(\lambda_{max} = 510\) nm |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 20                                | 0.165                                  |
| 40                                | 0.315                                  |
| 60                                | 0.475                                  |
| 80                                | 0.616                                  |
| 100                               | 0.757                                  |

---

**Table 3** Absorbance of Gallic acid equivalent at \(\lambda_{max} = 750\) nm

| Concentration of Gallic acid (μg/ml) | Absorbance at \(\lambda_{max} = 750\) nm |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 2                                   | 0.017                                  |
| 4                                   | 0.032                                  |
| 6                                   | 0.048                                  |
| 8                                   | 0.062                                  |
| 10                                  | 0.075                                  |
used for test in a dose of 10 μl/g body weight of mice. Carbon ink solution was injected by tail vein route to each mouse 48 h after the 14th days of treatment, i.e. on the 14th day. Then, 25 μl blood samples were collected by retro-orbital plexus at 0 and 15 min after injection carbon ink solution and blood was mixed with 2 ml of 0.1% sodium carbonate solution. The optical density (OD) of the samples was measured spectrophotometrically at 660 nm. The phagocytic index (K) was calculated by equation: 

\[ K = \log OD_1 - \log OD_2/15, \]

where OD₁ (0 min) and OD₂ (15 min) are the optical densities respectively [21].

**Mice lethality test**

Mice were divided into five groups of 6 mice in all groups. Control group 1 received no drug, no vaccination; group 2 vehicle/positive control, received distilled water, 10 ml/kg, p.o. and vaccination; groups 3 and 4 received AEAF 200 mg/kg and EEAF 200 mg/kg, p.o. for 21 days; and group 5 standard Levamisole received 50 mg/kg for 21 days. On the 7th and 17th day of an experiment, all groups were immunized through the intraperitoneal route with haemorrhagic septicaemia vaccine (0.2 ml/mouse s.c.), except control group. On the 21st days of an experiment, the mice were challenged with 0.2 ml of 25 ml LD₅₀ dose of *Pasteurella multocida* (bovine origin) containing 10⁷ cells per ml subcutaneously and were examined for about 72 h and percentage mortality is calculated as [22],

\[ \text{Percent mortality} = \frac{\text{Number of animal's dead}}{\text{Total number of animals}} \times 100. \]

**Method of anaesthesia**

In present work, all animals (Except mice lethality test animals) were anaesthetized by pharmacological method with Pentobarbital sodium 25 mg/kg i.p. (Mfg by Alcami corp., Charleston, USA.) for post operational studies.

**Statistical analysis**

All the investigational data were expressed as mean ± SEM. The parameters such as humoral immune response and cellular immune response were analysed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test. Whereas neutrophil adhesion test, carbon clearance and mice lethality test were analysed by

**Table 4** Thin layer chromatography of standard and aqueous and ethanolic extracts

| Phytochemical          | Rf value | Result | Literature |
|------------------------|----------|--------|------------|
| Aqueous extract: Flavonoid | 2.1/3.8  | 0.55   | Quercetin  |
| Ethanolc extract: Flavonoid | 2.9/4    | 0.72   | Quercetin  |

**Table 5** Total phenolic content in different *A. ficulneus* L. leaves extracts of Gallic acid

| *A. ficulneus* L. leaves extract | Total phenolic content (mg of GAE/g of dry weight) ± SD |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Aqueous extract                  | 41.66 ± 0.288                                         |
| Methanolic extract               | 48.83 ± 0.288                                         |
| Ethanolc extract                 | 47.16 ± 1.25                                         |
| Hydroalcoholic extract           | 43 ± 0.5                                               |
one-way ANOVA by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism trial version 5.01 (GraphPad Software, Inc); p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for comparison.

Results

Preliminary phytochemical evaluation
The phytochemical screening of leaves extracts of A. ficulneus L. showed the presence of glycosides, carbohydrates, fixed oils and fats, proteins and amino acids, saponins, tannins, phytosterols, phenolic compounds alkaloïds and flavonoids while gums and mucilage are absent.

Total flavonoid content
The total flavonoid content for extracts of AEAF and EEAF presented in Table 1 as well as absorbance of standard compound (quercetin) is shown in Table 2 at different concentrations and standard curve of quercetin indicated the equation of $y = 0.0077x$ and $R^2 = 0.9977$ clarified in Fig. 1 (Table 3).

Total phenolic content
The total phenolic content for different extracts of A. ficulneus L. leaves presented in Table 4 as well as absorbance of standard compound (gallic acid) is shown in this Table 5 at different concentrations. Standard curve of gallic acid indicated the equation of $y = 0.0077x$ and $R^2 = 0.9947$ clarified in Fig. 2.

| Parameters                          | Results       |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Range of linearity (ng/band)        | 1000–35000    |
| Regression of equation              | 1329.4x + 4708.4 |
| Slope                               | 1329.4        |
| Correlation coefficient (r²)        | 0.9831        |
| LOD (ng/band)                       | 8.3787        |
| LOQ (ng/band)                       | 25.39         |

Thin layer chromatography
Thin layer chromatogram of aqueous and ethanolic extract was given in Fig. 3 and results are tabulated in Table 4. Based on Rf value, aqueous and ethanolic extract of A. ficulneus L. a spot having 0.72 and 0.76 respectively. This value compared with standard Rf value of flavonoid and it was identified as flavonoid compound as quercetin in the extract of A. ficulneus L.

HPTLC evaluation
Preparation of calibration curve and linearity
The standard solutions of quercetin were prepared (100 μg/ml) in methanol. They were diluted to make different concentrations for calibration curves and were applied on TLC plate. The plate was scanned at 380 nm and curve was plotted with respect to peak area vs. concentration/amount per spot (Fig. 4). A good linearity was found to be with correlation coefficient ($r^2$) value of 0.9831 for quercetin (Table 6 and Fig. 4).

Limit of detection and limit of quantitation
Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) were calculated based on standard deviation (SD) and slope (S) of the calibration curve at levels according to formula {LOD = 3.3 (SD/S) and LOQ = 10 (SD/S)}, and found to be 8.3787, 25.39 ng for quercetin (Table 6) [23].

Specificity
The specificity was determined by comparing Rf values and ultraviolet-visible spectra of peaks of components in samples and standard chromatogram. Purity of sample spot corresponding to quercetin in sample was analyzed by overlaying the spectrum of standard and sample peaks (Fig. 5).

Observation shows the Rf values at 0.64 and 0.62 at 380 nm respectively (Figs. 6 and 7) for quercetin in standard and samples respectively by densitometry.
Acute oral toxicity was carried out by up- and downregulation method. There were no signs of toxicity or deaths observed in mice treated by a single oral administration of the both leaves extract of *A. ficulneus* L. at limit dose 2000 mg/kg p.o. 1/10th of this dose, i.e. 200 mg/kg for AEAF and EEAF were used in the subsequent study respectively.

**Haemagglutinating antibody titre**

The HA titre mainly manifest to assess primary and secondary humoral immune responses. Specific primary and secondary HA titre values in SRBCs control group, AEAF, EEAF and Levamisole groups was significantly (*p* < 0.001) increased when compared to normal control groups (Table 7 and Fig. 8). This shows the increased production of HA titre against SRBCs as antigen in AEAF and EEAF.
mice groups. Whereas in cyclophosphamide + SRBCs-treated group, HA titre was significantly showing a dose-dependent increase action ($p < 0.001$) compared to SRBCs-treated group. The effect is due to immunosuppression by cyclophosphamide which abruptly decreases the level of HA in blood. Results indicate that protection of AEAF and EEAF toward immunosuppression by cyclophosphamide through increased significant level HA titre in the blood (Fig. 9).

**Delayed type hypersensitivity**

The DTH response measured by footpad thickness in the hind paw is an indicator of cell-mediated immunity. The percentage change in DTH response found after 24 h in the AEAF EEAF and Levamisole groups was 33.20.49 ± 0.062, 63.80.74 ± 0.033 and 0.84 ± 0.021 respectively. It was 0.72 ± 0.028, 0.73 ± 0.030 and 0.81 ± 0.021 in the Cyp-treated groups compared to control animals (Table 8 and Fig. 10). EEAF significantly ($p < 0.01$) stimulated the cell-mediated immune response. Thus, Cyclophosphamide is responsible for inhibition of cellular immune response. Results show AEAF and EEAF 200 mg/kg in the presence of Cyclophosphamide showed significant ($p < 0.001$) increase in footpad reaction when compared with the alone Cyclophosphamide-treated control group. Therefore, treatment with AEAF and EEAF in the presence of immunosuppressant like Cyclophosphamide is capable of stimulating cellular immune response.

**Neutrophil adhesion test**

Blood is incubated with nylon fibres (NF) and is responsible to decrease in the neutrophil counts due to adhesion of neutrophils to the fibres. Doses of AEAF and EEAF showed significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in the neutrophil adhesion when compared to control (Table 9 and Fig. 11)

**Carbon clearance test**

Administration of AEAF (200 mg/kg, p.o.) and EEAF (200 mg/kg, p.o.) shows increased in the withdrawal of carbon particles through blood is indicated by a significant increase in phagocytic index ($p < 0.05$) when compared with control group (Table 10 and Fig. 12).

### Table 7 Effect of aqueous and ethanolic extract of *A. ficulneus* L. leaves on specific primary and secondary antibody response in mice

| Groups (n = 6) | Treatment | Primary HA titre Mean ± SEM | Secondary HA titre Mean ± SEM |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Negative control | Cyp. 100 mg/kg, p.o. | 1.167 ± 0.1667 | 1.667 ± 0.2108 |
| Vehicle control | Distilled water, 10 ml/kg, (p.o.) | 2.333 ± 0.2108* | 3.000 ± 0.3651* |
| AEAF | 200 mg/kg p.o. | 4.667 ± 0.2108*** | 5.600 ± 0.2236*** |
| EEAF | 200 mg/kg p.o. | 4.833 ± 0.3073*** | 5.000 ± 0.2582*** |
| Levamisole | LMS 50 mg/kg, p.o. | 0.500 ± 0.2582*** | 0.833 ± 0.3333*** |
| AEAF + Cyp. | 200 mg/kg p.o. + single dose of Cyp.100 mg/kg, p.o. | 4.500 ± 0.2236*** | 6.167 ± 0.3073*** |
| EEAF + Cyp. | 200 mg/kg p.o. + single dose of Cyp.100 mg/kg, p.o. | 6.667 ± 0.2108*** | 8.000 ± 0.3651*** |
| LMS + Cyp. | LMS (50 mg/kg, p.o.) + single dose of Cyp.100 mg/kg, p.o. | 7.833 ± 0.3073*** | 8.500 ± 0.3416*** |

Fig. 8 Effect of AEAF and EEAF on Primary HA titre. Results were expressed as mean ± SEM, (n = 6). Statistical analysis was performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 as compared with NC. $P$ value less than < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001, when compared with control

Fig. 9 Effect of AEAF and EEAF on Secondary HA titre. Results were expressed as mean ±SEM, (n = 6). Statistical analysis was performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 as compared with NC. $P$ value less than < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001, when compared with control
Mice lethality test
One hundred percent mortality was found in negative control group within 72 h by administration of Pasteurella multocida. There was 66.66% and 50% mortality in AEAF and EEAF vaccinated group and 50% mortality found in vehicle control group, whereas in standard group (levamisole), 33.33% mortality was found as compared to negative control group shown in Table 11. The results suggest that AEAF is most effective amongst treatments with test materials in preventing mortality.

Discussion
Modulation of the immune system by plant origin isolated extracts and fractions is an emerging field in scientific literature studies, especially in cases where unwanted immunosuppression is the result of various therapy [24]. Plant extracts have been widely investigated in the recent time in different parts of the world for their possible immunomodulatory properties [25].

Immunomodulatory effect of A. ficulneus L. leaves extracts were evaluated on two types of immune system at humoral and cellular level immune response on haemagglutinating titre, DTH response, phagocytic function, neutrophil adhesion response, mouse lethality effect and cyclophosphamide-induced myelosuppression in mice. Proposed findings show that both leaves extracts possesses immunostimulatory effect in experimental animal models of cellular and humoral immunity.

The preliminary phytochemical analysis, flavonoid, phenolic content and TLC studies of A. ficulneus L. revealed the presence of saponin glycosides, steroids, tannins, flavonoids and phenolic compounds.

The result of the acute oral toxicity showed that both extracts have a wide safety margin. This is an indication that both extracts are nontoxic and safe at effective doses that prevent lethal effects.

In the present study, HPTLC method was developed for quercetin in leaves extracts of A. ficulneus L. The developed method was simple, accurate and specific for the analysis of quercetin in herbal crude drug sample. Results indicate that leaves extract of A. ficulneus L. is a rich source of quercetin and may be more useful for formulations.

The stimulation of the humoral response by both the leaves extracts as evidenced by an enhancement of

Table 8 Effect of aqueous and ethanolic extract of A. ficulneus L. leaves on delayed type of hypersensitivity (DTH) in mice

| Groups (n = 6)    | Treatment                          | DTH Mean diff. of paw oedema in (mm) Mean ± SEM |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Negative control | Cyp. 100 mg/kg, p.o.               | 0.13 ± 0.014                                  |
| Vehicle control  | Distilled water, 10 ml/kg, p.o.   | 0.27 ± 0.014*                                 |
| AEAF             | 200 mg/kg, p.o.                    | 0.49 ± 0.062***                               |
| EEA F            | 200 mg/kg, p.o.                    | 0.74 ± 0.033***                               |
| Levamisole       | LMS 50 mg/kg, p.o.                 | 0.84 ± 0.021***                               |
| AEAF + Cyp.      | 200 mg/kg, p.o. + single dose of Cyp. 100 mg/kg, p.o. | 0.72 ± 0.028*** |
| EEA F + Cyp.     | 200 mg/kg, p.o. + single dose of Cyp. 100 mg/kg, p.o. | 0.73 ± 0.030*** |
| LMS + Cyp.       | LMS (50 mg/kg, p.o.) + single dose of Cyp. 100 mg/kg, p.o. | 0.81 ± 0.021*** |

Table 9 Effect of aqueous and ethanolic extract of A. ficulneus L. leaves on neutrophil adhesion in mice

| Groups (n = 6) | Treatment                          | Neutrophil adhesion (%) Mean ± SEM |
|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Vehicle control | Distilled water, 10 ml/kg, p.o.     | 25.50 ± 0.76                      |
| AEAF          | 200 mg/kg, p.o.                     | 37.00 ± 0.57***                   |
| EEA F         | 200 mg/kg, p.o.                     | 38.00 ± 0.57***                   |
| Levamisole    | LMS 50 mg/kg, p.o.                  | 42.83 ± 0.70***                   |
antibody titre using antigen SRBCs in mice indicates the enhanced excitability of macrophages and B lymphocyte subsets involved in antibody formation [18].

The delayed hypersensitivity response directly correlated with enhancement in T lymphocytes. The increased response indicates that *A. ficulneus* L. leaves extracts have a stimulating effect on B lymphocytes and macrophages killing activity through nitric oxide release by stimulating T cell for the hypersensitivity reaction on cellular mediated immunity [26].

*A. ficulneus* L. leaves extracts show significantly increased adhesion of neutrophils to nylon fibers which correlate with the process of margination of cells in blood vessels. This might be due to the hyperactivation of the β2 integrins, present on the membrane of the neutrophil through which they adhere firmly to the nylon fibers. Hence, it was concluded that *A. ficulneus* L. leaves extracts caused stimulation of neutrophil towards the site of inflammation [26].

The rate of carbon clearance is the identified ability of the reticuloendothelial system and its granulopoiesis activity. The faster removal of carbon particles has been correlated with the enhanced phagocytic activity [27].

![Graph showing the effect of AEAF and EEA on Neutrophil adhesion test in mice. Results were expressed as mean ± SEM, (n = 6). Statistical analysis was performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001, when compared with control.](image1)

Commonly used method for assessment of serological response is mice lethality test in mice immunized with vaccines. In this test, mice were injected with the vaccine before administration of the bacterial culture; *Pasteurella multocida* is pathogenic to rodents and determines the mortality percentage. *A. ficulneus* L. decreases the rate of mortality by stimulating antibodies that can counter the pathogen [28].

Findings of the present study showed an overall stimulatory effect of *A. ficulneus* L. on specific (humoral immune response), cellular (cell mediated immune response) and nonspecific immunity.

**Conclusion**

*A. ficulneus* L. leaves extract at 200 mg/kg doses potentiates immune system by specific humoral and cellular immunity mechanism in different experimental animal models. Further pharmacological screening of each isolated compound of extracts to be evaluated for its immunomodulatory activity and probable mechanism of action to be explored.

| Table 11 Effect of aqueous and ethanolic extract of *A. ficulneus* L. leaves on Mice lethality rate in mice |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Groups (n=6) | Treatment | Mortality Percentage |
| | | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | Day 4 |
| Negative Control | No drug, no vaccination | Nil | 50 % | 50 % | 100% |
| Vehicle control | Distilled water, 10 ml/kg, p.o.+ vaccination | Nil | 16.66 % | 33.33 % | 50 % |
| AEEF | 200 mg/kg, p.o. + vaccination | Nil | 16.66 % | 50 % | 66.66 % |
| EEA | 200 mg/kg, p.o. + vaccination | Nil | 16.66 % | 33.33 % | 50 % |
| Levamisole | LMS 50 mg/kg, p.o. + vaccination | Nil | 16.66 % | 16.66 % | 33.33% |

![Graph showing the effect of AEAF and EEA on Carbon Clearance test in mice. Results were expressed as mean ± SEM, (n = 6). Statistical analysis was performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test.](image2)
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