Impact of analyzing fewer image frames per segment during offline volumetric radiofrequency-based intravascular ultrasound measurements of target lesions prior to percutaneous coronary interventions
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Abstract In the present study, we evaluated the impact of a 50% reduction in number of image frames (every second frame) on the analysis time and variability of offline volumetric radiofrequency-based intravascular ultrasound (RF-IVUS) measurements in target lesions prior to percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI). Volumetric RF-IVUS data of vessel geometry and plaque composition are generally obtained by a semi-automated analysis process that includes time-consuming manual contour editing. A reduction in the number of frames used for volumetric analysis may speed up the analysis, but could increase measurement variability. We repeatedly performed offline volumetric analyses in RF-IVUS image sets of 20 mm-long coronary segments that contained 30 de novo lesions prior to PCI. A 50% reduction in frames decreased the analysis time significantly (from 57.5 ± 7.3 to 35.7 ± 3.7 min; P < 0.0001) while geometric and compositional RF-IVUS measurements did not differ significantly from measurements obtained from all frames. The variability between measurements on the reduced number of frames versus all frames was comparable to the intra-observer measurement variability. In target lesions prior to PCI, offline volumetric RF-IVUS analyses can be performed using a reduced number of image frames (every second frame). This reduces the time of analysis without substantially increasing measurement variability.
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Introduction

While conventional greyscale intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) is a tomographic invasive imaging technique that permits accurate quantification of vessel and
plaque dimensions and a limited assessment of plaque composition [1–3], radiofrequency-based IVUS (RF-IVUS) allows a more advanced assessment of plaque composition [3–5]. By use of motorized pullbacks of the IVUS catheter, it is possible to perform accurate volumetric measurements [6–9]. Therefore, volumetric RF-IVUS is increasingly used for the assessment of coronary atherosclerosis and the direct and long-term effects of percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) [10–16].

RF-IVUS systems comprise a semi-automated contour detection program by which the vessel and lumen boundaries are automatically traced on each cross-sectional image frame of a coronary segment. In a subsequent (and relatively time consuming) process these automatically-detected contours are visually checked and, if required, corrected by computer-assisted manual editing by an experienced analyst in order to generate reliable volumetric RF-IVUS data [17–19]. Generally, such corrections are required in the majority of IVUS frames [19]. As previously demonstrated in much milder coronary disease, a 50% reduction of cross-sectional image frames used to generate the volumetric RF-IVUS data can significantly reduce analysis time [19]. However, this approach resulted in a substantial increase in measurement variability for some tissue components such as necrotic core [19]. Theoretically, in more advanced atherosclerotic lesions such a reduced number of frames could have less impact on volumetric RF-IVUS measurements. Therefore, we evaluated the impact of reducing the number of cross-sectional IVUS image frames used to generate RF-IVUS volumetric data during offline analysis of target lesions prior to PCI on measurement variability.

Methods

Study population

IVUS image sequences were obtained in 30 patients with symptomatic coronary artery disease who were in sinus rhythm and scheduled for PCI procedures or de novo lesions. IVUS was performed prior to any intervention. As in previous studies [17, 19–21] we did not study coronary segments with major side branches, severe calcification (total arc of calcium > 180° and/or any single arc of calcium deposits > 75°, in each cross-sectional image frame) or extreme vessel tortuosity (based on the operators’ visual assessment of the angiogram) that could have limited quantitative assessment of vessel borders. Informed consent was obtained prior to cardiac catheterization from all patients in accordance with the directives of the Local Medical Ethics Committee.

RF-IVUS data acquisition

RF-IVUS data were acquired with commercially available phased-array IVUS catheters (Eagle Eye Gold™ 20 MHz, Volcano Corporation, Rancho Cordova, CA, USA) by a dedicated console (InVision Gold™ Volcano Corporation). The IVUS catheter probe was placed ≥10 mm distal to the most distal side-branch; angiographic cine runs were performed to define the position of the IVUS catheter. After intracoronary injection of 200 μg nitroglycerin, a continuous pullback of the IVUS catheter was performed using a motorized pullback device at 0.5 mm/s (TrackBack-II, Volcano Corporation); during the pullback greyscale images were recorded and in an ECG-gated manner (i.e., at the top of the R-wave) raw RF-data were acquired [22]. IVUS image data were stored on digital video disk for offline analysis.

A detailed description of the technique and validation of RF-IVUS has previously been reported [4, 5, 7]. In brief, spectral (raw) IVUS RF-data were reconstructed to generate tissue maps in order to classify and display the plaque in four major components: fibrous (green); fibro-lipidic (light-green); necrotic core (red); and calcium (white).

RF-IVUS image analysis

RF-IVUS analysis of the coronary segments was performed offline by an experienced IVUS analyst. Twenty-millimeter-long coronary segments, located between two adjacent side-branches, were analyzed. By using a semi-automated contour detection program (Volcano pcVH software program version 2.2 by Volcano Therapeutics Inc., USA), vessel and lumen borders were automatically traced. The leading edge of the media-adventitia interface and the leading edge of the blood-intima acoustic interface were used for definition of vessel and lumen borders, respectively. The automatically detected vessel and lumen borders of each cross-sectional image were visually
checked and, if required, contours were corrected by computer-assisted manual editing. Plaque-media (Plaque) area was used as a measure of atherosclerotic plaque and was calculated as the difference between vessel and lumen cross-sectional area (plaque area = vessel area − lumen area) [3].

For each coronary segment, volumetric data of vessel, lumen and plaque volume and plaque burden as well as absolute and relative amounts of fibrous, fibro-lipidic, necrotic core, and calcified tissue were obtained from the application of the trapezium method to the cross-sectional area measurements. The trapezium method is derived from the Simpson’s rule method, but takes the accurate distances between adjacent image frames into account [18, 19]. The pcVH software program uses this method to calculate volumes from the cross-sectional area data. Volumetric plaque burden (%) was calculated as (plaque volume/vessel volume) × 100%. To account for any differences in lengths, all volumetric data were normalized for 10 mm-long segments [11].

RF-IVUS data analysis

Volumetric geometrical and compositional IVUS data were obtained from three different analyses [19], each performed on all 30 coronary segments, to obtain insight into the effect of reducing the number of cross-sectional image frames used for the generation of volumetric data (Fig. 1). Analyses were spread over a period of 3 months, and the IVUS analyst was blinded to the results of previous analyses. Analysis time (time from start of manual contour editing to calculation of volumetric data) was recorded for the different approaches.

In order to generate volumetric data, automated contour detection was performed with the pcVH software on all image frames followed by a visual check and manual contour editing which was required on almost every cross-sectional image frame (Analysis I). This analysis was performed a second time (Analysis II) to assess the intra-observer variability. In the third analysis following the automated contour detection (on all image frames), the contours of every second frame were skipped by use of the IVUS analysis software (i.e., no check or editing of contours that were not used for any calculation). Manual contour editing of this reduced number of image frames (50% of total frames) was performed in order to generate new volumetric data (Analysis III). Thus, Analysis III involved a manual contour editing step that was potentially more difficult as a result of the reduced number of cross-sectional image frames (larger “steps” between image frames) [19].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative data are presented as mean ± 1SD and compared using student’s t test. Differences between analyses were presented as mean ± 1SEM. A two-sided P value <0.05 was considered as significant. According to Bland and Altman, the agreement between two measurements was assessed by determining the mean ± 2SD of the between measurement differences [23]. Intra-class correlations were calculated for comparisons at individual level. An intraclass correlation > 0.90 was considered good. The frequency of RF-TCFA was compared using cross-tables.

Results

Study population and RF-IVUS data

In 30 patients (23 men; 62 ± 10 years of age) with stable angina, a total of 30 de novo coronary lesions were examined with RF-IVUS prior to PCI (10 RCA; 12 LAD; 8 LCX). The mean heart rate during IVUS examination was 64 ± 11 bpm. There was no complication related to IVUS imaging.

A total of 3,725 IVUS cross-sections were analyzed: 49 ± 11 frames/segment for Analysis I and II based on all image frames, and 25 ± 6 frames/segment for Analysis III based on the reduced number of image frames. The vast majority of image frames (≥95%) had to be edited in order to generate accurate measurements. The length of the segments measured 20.0 ± 0.2 mm (range 19.5–20.4 mm) with a plaque burden of 53.0 ± 9.5% (range 36–71%). The atherosclerotic segments contained pre-dominantly fibrous tissue (53.7 ± 9.4%) and necrotic core (22.8 ± 7.1%). The mean distance between consecutive frames was 0.4 ± 0.1 mm (range 0.2–0.6 mm) for Analyses I and II, and 0.9 ± 0.2 mm (range 0.5–1.3 mm) for Analyses III. Table 1 presents the complete set of volumetric RF-IVUS data.
Intra-observer comparison and measurement variability

Comparing Analysis I to Analysis II showed little intra-observer variability for geometric as well as compositional data (Table 2) with excellent intra-class correlations (all ≥ 0.95). Intra-observer comparison showed no significant differences for geometric measurements (relative differences < 1.5%; Table 2). In addition, absolute and relative compositional data showed no significant differences (relative differences for plaque composition <4%; Table 2). The limits of agreement were relatively small for both geometry and plaque composition (Figs. 2, 3).

Reduced number of frames and measurement variability

Generation of volumetric RF-IVUS data from a reduced number of image frames (requiring contour editing of fewer images) significantly reduced the time of analysis (57.5 ± 7.3 vs. 35.7 ± 3.7 min;
In addition, it resulted in small and insignificant differences for volumetric geometric and compositional measurements when compared to measurements based on all image frames (Analysis I vs. III, relative differences < 5%; Table 2 and Figs. 2, 3). These differences were comparable to the intra-observer variability (Analysis I vs. II). Between Analysis I and Analysis III, there were high intra-class correlations (all ≥ 0.96). Bland–Altman plots showed relative small limits of agreement (Figs. 2, 3).

### Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the impact of reducing the number of cross-sectional IVUS image frames for the offline calculation of RF-IVUS based volumetric data in target segments of lesions prior to PCI. The main finding of this study was that reducing the number of image frames by ignoring every second frame resulted in a significant reduction of analysis time without major impact on volumetric geometric and compositional RF-IVUS measurements. In fact, differences between volumetric analyses based on the reduced number of image frames versus analyses based on all image frames were comparable to the levels of intra-observer differences.

Previous studies on measurement variability of RF-IVUS

Rodriguez-Granillo et al. [21] first assessed the inter-catheter and inter-observer variability of cross-sectional area measurements with RF-IVUS in 16 mild coronary plaques. Prasad et al. [20] examined the between-pullback variability of RF-IVUS measurements in 16 coronary lesions prior to PCI with a mean plaque burden of 55% to demonstrate that the differences between RF-IVUS analyses of repeated pullbacks were lower for volumetric measurements than for cross-sectional measurements. The findings of these studies emphasize that volumetric RF-IVUS measurements show a lower variability than cross-sectional area measurements [17, 20]. Similar to the findings of these RF-IVUS studies, conventional (grey-scale) IVUS studies previously demonstrated a particularly low measurement variability of volumetric parameters [24, 25].
Reduced number of analyzed image frames versus measurement variability

As current systems for RF-IVUS analysis still require some manual editing of the automatically detected contours, a reduction in number of image frames used for generation of volumetric data is likely to reduce workload and time of analysis. In addition, the data of the present study suggest that an increase in pullback speed to 1.0 mm/s and analysis of every frame within target lesions may possibly equalize an IVUS pullback speed of 0.5 mm/s and analysis of every other frame. Such an increase in pullback speed could be advantageous, as it may increase patient comfort by shortening the duration of lumen obstruction in target lesions prior to PCI. On the other hand, we cannot exclude that a higher pullback speed may perhaps lead to more ‘jumps’ of the IVUS catheter in heavily calcified or tortuous vessel segments, which could increase measurement variability.

We previously addressed the issue of reducing the number of image frames for generation of volumetric data in coronary segments with a substantially lower level of atherosclerotic disease [19]. In those segments with mild-to-moderate atherosclerosis, a reduced number of image frames saved analysis time, but increased the variability of volumetric RF-IVUS measurements above the level

| Table 2 Measurement differences of RF-IVUS data derived from different methods of analysis |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Analyses I versus* Analyses II Analyses III |
| Analyses II Intra-observer re-analysis Analysis III Reduced number of frames based on complete data set of all frames (and potentially more difficult analysis) |
| Vessel geometry | | |
| Δ Vessel volume (mm³) | −0.98 ± 0.90 | −0.04 ± 0.70 |
| Δ Lumen volume (mm³) | −1.07 ± 0.55 | −0.48 ± 0.66 |
| Δ Plaque volume (mm³) | 0.10 ± 0.90 | 0.44 ± 0.74 |
| Δ Plaque burden (%) | 0.06 ± 0.41 | −0.01 ± 0.46 |
| Plaque composition | | |
| Δ Fibrous volume (mm³) | 0.32 ± 0.42 | 0.05 ± 0.42 |
| Δ Fibrous volume (%) | −0.23 ± 0.37 | −0.63 ± 0.38 |
| Δ Fibro-lipidic volume (mm³) | −0.01 ± 0.19 | 0.08 ± 0.15 |
| Δ Fibro-lipidic volume (%) | −0.35 ± 0.22 | −0.03 ± 0.22 |
| Δ Necrotic core volume (mm³) | 0.28 ± 0.16 | 0.15 ± 0.16 |
| Δ Necrotic core volume (%) | 0.34 ± 0.17 | 0.03 ± 0.24 |
| Δ Calcium volume (mm³) | 0.09 ± 0.08 | 0.14 ± 0.08 |
| Δ Calcium volume (%) | 0.24 ± 0.43 | 0.62 ± 0.37 |

Values are normalized to 10 mm length; * 2-sided student t test; mean ± standard error of the mean
of the intra-observer variability [19]. This was most likely the result of the somewhat more difficult image analysis in the reduced stack of image frames.

Our present study in more advanced coronary atherosclerosis (in target lesions prior to PCI) demonstrates—on the contrary—that a reduced number of

frames versus analysis of all frames (Analysis I versus Analysis III). Mean difference ($\Delta$) did not differ significantly between both comparisons.
image frames did not increase the variability of volumetric RF-IVUS measurements above the level of the intra-observer variability. This may be partly explained by the fact that a mild absolute difference between repeatedly detected contours may affect volumetric data in larger plaques to a lower extent compared to the smaller plaques studied in our previous report [19]. In addition, the assessment of significant coronary lesions is inherently associated with a somewhat lower IVUS image quality because of reduced flow due to luminal obstruction by the IVUS catheter, more direct contact between IVUS catheter and plaque, and the presence of somewhat more calcium compared to segments with mild-to-moderate disease that may explain the higher intra-observer variability [(standard deviation of mean difference

---

**Fig. 3** Agreement of repeated RF-IVUS measurements of volumetric compositional data. Agreement for intra-observer comparisons (re-analysis based on all image frames; Analysis I versus Analysis II) and comparison of reduced number of frames versus analysis of all frames (Analysis I versus Analysis III). Mean difference (Δ) did not differ significantly between both comparisons.
divided by mean measurement) \times 100$: $<22\%$ in present study versus $<7\%$ in mild disease [19].

Within long IVUS segments, it could be interesting to make a distinction between sub-segments that can be analyzed on an every-other-frame basis versus sub-segments that are better analyzed frame-by-frame. The findings of the present study do not allow such distinction as the 20-mm-long segments analyzed contained not only the site of maximum lumen narrowing but also the shoulders of the lesions up to the reference segments which means that there was a considerable variation in plaque burden within segments. Further insights may be obtained from future studies addressing relatively short, homogeneously diseased coronary segments with broad spectrum of lumen narrowing and plaque burden between individual segments.

Does it make sense to measure plaque composition in target lesions of PCI?

Volumetric assessment of plaque composition—in particular of necrotic core volume—prior to PCI may provide interesting information with prognostic implications. Necrotic core volume which shows a strong relation with plaque size may be an important factor for the outcome of PCI [26]. For instance, the presence of a relatively large necrotic core may lead to an increased myocardial injury due to peri-interventional micro-embolization during stent implantation [11, 27]. Hong et al. [15] demonstrated that the (absolute) necrotic core volume was the only independent predictor of significant troponin elevation post-PCI both in patients with stable and unstable angina. In addition, volumetric plaque composition seems to be related to the development of a no-reflow phenomenon after stent deployment in patients with acute coronary syndrome [14, 28]. As a consequence, pre-PCI volumetric RF-IVUS assessment may have the potential to identify lesions at particularly high risk for PCI complications that may help to tailor such procedures.

However, RF-IVUS image analysis depends on accurate contour detection that is still quite time consuming and that limits its use for decision-making during routine PCI procedures. The present study demonstrates that the analysis of every second RF-IVUS frame may be an option to reduce time of analysis without introducing major deviations from the results of the full analysis or substantially increasing measurement variability.

Limitations

In the present study, IVUS analyses were performed in an offline setting in target lesions prior to PCI; therefore our findings cannot be extrapolated to RF-IVUS reproducibility studies in an online setting or in segments with mild atherosclerosis [19]. Similar to other studies that assessed the reproducibility of (RF-)IVUS, we did not include very tortuous and severely calcified vessels that could have led to a non-uniform pullback speed and/or inability to reliably detect the external vessel contour [17, 19–21]. Accordingly, measurement reproducibility could be lower in unselected cases with extensive calcification or vessel tortuosity. The number of coronary segments and the segment length studied was limited, but similar to previous studies on IVUS reproducibility [4, 17, 19–21]. We did not address potential variability between IVUS catheters, pullbacks, different pullback speeds, or pullback devices because our study was focused on the assessment of reducing the number of image frames for volumetric RF-IVUS analyses.

Conclusions

In target lesions prior to PCI, offline volumetric RF-IVUS analyses can be performed using a reduced number of image frames (every second frame). This reduces the time of analysis without substantially increasing measurement variability.
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