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In this paper, we will consider the generalized sextic functional equation

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{7} C_i (-1)^{7-i} f(x + iy) = 0, \quad \text{(4)} \]

for every \( x, y \in V \), where \( C_i = n!/(n - i)! \). The functional equation (1) is called to be a monomial mapping of degree \( n \). The function \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[ f(x) = ax^n, \quad \text{(2)} \]

is a particular solution of the functional equation (1). In particular, the functional equation (1) is called a sextic functional equation for the case \( n = 6 \), and every solution of the functional equation (1) is called to be a sextic mapping for the case \( n = 6 \). Many mathematicians [11–17] have previously investigated the stability of the sextic functional equation, and many authors [18–26] have studied the stability of the \( n \)-monomial functional equation in various spaces.

The solution of the functional equation

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i (-1)^{n-i} f(x + iy) = 0, \quad \text{(3)} \]

is called a generalized polynomial mapping of degree \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (See Baker [27]). The function \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[ f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i, \]

is a particular solution of the functional equation (3). Some mathematicians [28–31] have previously investigated the stability of the functional equation (3) for the cases \( n = 4, 5, 6, 7 \). In particular, the functional equation

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{7} C_i (-1)^{7-i} f(x + iy) = 0, \quad \text{(4)} \]

is called a general sextic functional equation, and every solution of the functional equation (4) is said to be the general sextic mapping.

1. Introduction

In 1940, Ulam [1] remarked the problem concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. In 1941, Hyers [2] gave an answer to this question for additive mappings between Banach spaces. Subsequently, many mathematicians came to deal with this question (cf. [3–10]). Let \( V \) and \( W \) be real vector spaces, \( X \) be a real normed space, \( Y \) be a real Banach space, \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (the set of natural numbers), and \( f : V \rightarrow W \) be a given mapping. Consider the functional equation

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i (-1)^{n-i} f(x + iy) = 0, \quad \text{(1)} \]

for every \( x, y \in V \), where \( C_i = n!/(n - i)! \). The functional equation (1) is called an \( n \)-monomial functional equation, and every solution of the functional equation (1) is called to be a monomial mapping of degree \( n \). The function \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[ f(x) = ax^n, \quad \text{(2)} \]

is a particular solution of the functional equation (1). In particular, the functional equation (1) is called a sextic functional equation for the case \( n = 6 \), and every solution of the functional equation (1) is called to be a sextic mapping for the case \( n = 6 \). Many mathematicians [11–17] have previously investigated the stability of the sextic functional equation, and many authors [18–26] have studied the stability of the \( n \)-monomial functional equation in various spaces.

The solution of the functional equation

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i (-1)^{n-i} f(x + iy) = 0, \quad \text{(3)} \]

is called a generalized polynomial mapping of degree \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (See Baker [27]). The function \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) given by

\[ f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i, \]

is a particular solution of the functional equation (3). Some mathematicians [28–31] have previously investigated the stability of the functional equation (3) for the cases \( n = 4, 5, 6, 7 \). In particular, the functional equation

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{7} C_i (-1)^{7-i} f(x + iy) = 0, \quad \text{(4)} \]

is called a general sextic functional equation, and every solution of the functional equation (4) is said to be the general sextic mapping.
In this paper, we will partially generalize the results in [31] for the stability of the general sextic functional equation. For the details, one can refer Corollary 4 and Corollary 7 which are special cases of main theorems. Specifically, in this paper, we will show that there is only one solution $F$ of the general sextic functional equation (4) near the function $f$, which approximates the functional equation (4) by using fixed point theorem [32–35]. Moreover, the solution mapping $F$ of the functional equation (4) can be explicitly constructed by the formula

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} n C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j \left( \frac{(-84)^{n-i} 1344^i}{4096^n} f_e \left( 2^{3n-i-j} x \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{(-23)^{n-i} 336^i}{512^n} f_o \left( 2^{3n-i-j} x \right),$$

or

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} n C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j \left( 42^i (-336)^i 512^{n-i} f_o \left( \frac{x}{2^{3n-i-j}} \right) \right)$$

$$+ 84^i (-1344)^i 4096^{n-i} f_e \left( \frac{x}{2^{3n-i-j}} \right),$$

which approximates the mapping $f$.

### 2. Main Results

We first recall the following Margolis and Diaz fixed point theorem, which is necessary to obtain the main results of this paper.

**Proposition 1** (see [36]). Suppose $(X, d)$ is a complete generalized metric space, which means that the metric $d$ may assume infinite values, and $J : X \to X$ is a strictly contractive mapping with the Lipschitz constant $0 < L < 1$. Then, for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = +\infty \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

or there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that:

(i) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < +\infty$ for all $n \geq k$

(ii) The sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converge to a fixed point $y^*$ of $J$

(iii) $y^*$ is a unique fixed point of $J$ in $Y = \{y \in X : d(J^k x, y) < +\infty\}$

(iv) $d(y, y^*) \leq 1/(1 - L)d(x, y)$ for every $y \in Y$

In this paper, we let $V$ and $W$ be real vector spaces, $X$ be a real normed space, and $Y$ be a real Banach space. For a mapping $g : V \to W$, we use the following abbreviations

$$g_e(x) = \frac{g(x) + g(-x)}{2},$$

$$g_o(x) = \frac{g(x) - g(-x)}{2},$$

$$Dg(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i (1)^i g(x + iy),$$

for every $x, y \in V$.

Now, we will see useful lemma for the proof of main theorem.

**Lemma 2.** Let $\theta$ be a real constant such that $0 < \theta < \pi/4$ and $\cos(3\theta) = -17/(21\sqrt{21})$. Let $\varphi : V^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function for which there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(2x, 2y) \leq \left( 4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 \right) L \varphi(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Then, $1 < 4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 < 2$,

$$\left( \frac{4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14}{512} \right)^3 + \frac{42 \left( 4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 \right)}{512} + \frac{1344 \left( 4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 \right)^3}{512} = 1,$$

and the equality

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i 42^i (-336)^i \varphi \left( 2^{3n-i-j} x, 2^{3n-i-j} y \right) = 0,$$

holds for all $x, y \in V$.

**Proof.** When $0 < \theta < \pi/4$ and $\cos 3\theta = -17/(21\sqrt{21})$, it is not difficult to see that $1.74837 < \theta < 1.74848$ and $0.83493 < \cos \theta < 0.834925$ in the trigonometric function table. So $1.3 < 4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 < 1.4$.

We can also obtain the equality (7) by the following calculation:

$$\left( \frac{4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14}{512} \right)^3 + \frac{42 \left( 4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 \right)}{512} + \frac{1344 \left( 4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 \right)^3}{512} = 1,$$

$$\frac{1344 \sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 4704}{512} + \frac{14112 \cos^2 \theta - 4704 \sqrt{21} \cos \theta + 8232}{512},$$

$$\frac{1344 \sqrt{21} \cos^3 \theta - 14112 \cos^2 \theta + 2352 \sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 2744}{512},$$

$$\frac{336 \sqrt{21} (4 \cos^3 \theta - 3 \cos \theta) + 784}{512},$$

$$\frac{336 \sqrt{21} \cos 3\theta + 784}{512},$$

$$\frac{336 \sqrt{21} \times \left( -17/(21\sqrt{21}) \right) + 784}{512} = 1.$$
And, to obtain the equality (8), by (7), we obtain the following calculation:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j \frac{4^{2i-2}336^i}{j!} \varphi_e \left(2^{3n-i-j}x, 2^{3n-i-j}y \right) \right)
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j \frac{4^{2i-2}336^i}{j!} \left(4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 \right)^{2n-i-j}L^{2n-i-j} \varphi_e \left(2^n x, 2^n y \right) \right)
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j \frac{4^{2i-2}336^i}{j!} \left(4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 \right)^{2n-i-j}L^{2n-i-j} \varphi_e \left(2^n x, 2^n y \right) \right)
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j \frac{4^{2i-2}336^i}{j!} \left(4\sqrt{21} \cos \theta - 14 \right)^{2n-i-j} \varphi_e \left(2^n x, 2^n y \right) \right)^n
= \lim_{n \to \infty} L^n \varphi_e (x, y) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V.
\]

In the following main theorem, we will prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (4) by using the direct method.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( \theta, L, \) and \( \varphi \) be as in Lemma 2. If \( f : V \to Y \) is a mapping satisfying \( f(0) = 0 \) and the inequality

\[
\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V, \quad (11)
\]

then there exists the unique solution mapping \( F : V \to Y \) of (4) such that

\[
\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{\Phi(x)}{L-L}, \quad (12)
\]

for all \( x \in V \), where

\[
\varphi(x) = \frac{9\varphi_e(-6x, 2x) + 56\varphi_e(-x, x) + 392\varphi_e(-2x, x) + 1008\varphi_e(-3x, x)}{4096}.
\]

In particular, \( F \) is represented by

\[
F(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j \frac{4^{2i-2}336^i}{j!} f_e \left(2^{3n-i-j}x \right) \right)
+ \frac{\left(-4\right)^{i-j}336^i}{512^n} f_o \left(2^{3n-i-j}x \right),
\]

for all \( x \in V \).

**Proof.** We let the set \( S \) be the set of the functions \( g : V \to Y \) with \( g(0) = 0 \). And we define a generalized metric on \( S \) by

\[
d(g, h) = \inf \left\{ K \in R \mid \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq K \Phi(x) \text{ for all } x \in V \right\}.
\]

Then, it is not so difficult to show that \((S, d)\) is a complete generalized metric space (see ([34], Theorem 2.5) or the proof of ([37], Theorem 3.1)). Next, we see the mapping \( J : S \to S \), which is defined by

\[
Jg(x) = \frac{4032g(2x)}{8192} - \frac{1344g(-2x)}{8192} - \frac{420g(4x)}{8192}
+ \frac{252g(-4x)}{8192} + \frac{9g(8x)}{8192} - \frac{7g(-8x)}{8192},
\]

for all \( x \in V \).

And, by using the oddness and the evenness of \( g_o \) and \( g_e \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = n+1 \), due to mathematical induction, we can get

\[
J^n g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_j \left( \frac{(-84)^{i-j}1344^i}{4096^n} g_e \left(2^{3n-i-j}x \right) \right)
+ \frac{(-42)^{i-j}336^i}{512^n} g_o \left(2^{3n-i-j}x \right),
\]

holds for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( x \in V \).

Let \( g, h \in S \) and we choose \( K \in [0, \infty) \) as an arbitrary constant with \( d(g, h) \leq K \). Due to the definition of \( d \) and (7) in
Lemma 2, we have

\[
\|g(x) - Jh(x)\| \leq \frac{4032}{8192} \|g(2x) - h(2x)\| + \frac{1344}{8192} \|g(-2x) - h(-2x)\| \\
+ \frac{420}{8192} \|g(4x) - h(4x)\| + \frac{252}{8192} \|g(-4x) - h(-4x)\| \\
+ \frac{9}{8192} \|g(8x) - h(8x)\| + \frac{7}{8192} \|g(-8x) - h(-8x)\|
\]

for all \(g, h \in S\), where \(0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{4}\). Let \(K = \frac{336}{512} \Phi(2x) + \frac{42}{512} \Phi(4x) + \frac{1}{512} \Phi(8x)\).

\[
\leq K \left(\frac{336(4 \sqrt{2} \cos \theta - 14)}{512} + \frac{42(4 \sqrt{2} \cos \theta - 14)^2}{512} \right)^2
\]

Now, after long and tedious calculation, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\|f(x) - f(y)\| &\leq \frac{1}{4096}(6x, 2x) + 8DF_e(-x, x) \\
&+ 56DF_e(-2x, x) + 112DF_e(-3x, x) \\
&+ \frac{1}{512}(6x, 2x) + 6DF_e(-x, x) \\
&+ 42DF_e(-2x, x) + 112DF_e(-3x, x).
\end{align*}
\]

And, by (11) we obtain

\[
\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \frac{9}{4096}(6x, 2x) + 56\phi_e(-x, x) + 392\phi_e(-2x, x) + 1008\phi_e(-3x, x)
\]

for every \(x \in V\). It implies that \(d(f, F) \leq 1 < \infty\) from the definition of \(d\) and due to Proposition 1, the sequence \(\{f^n\}\) converges to only one fixed point \(F : V \rightarrow Y\) of \(J\) in the set \(T = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}\) which implies (13). Moreover, by Proposition 1, we have

\[
d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1 - L}d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1 - L},
\]

which implies (12).

Also, by the equality (8) in Lemma 2, since one has

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4096^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j(-84)^{-j}1344jDF_e(2^{3n-i-j}x, 2^{3n-i-j}y)
\]

\[
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j(-2^{3n-i-j}x, 2^{3n-i-j}y)
\]

\[
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j(-2^{3n-i-j}x, 2^{3n-i-j}y) = 0,
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j(-2^{3n-i-j}x, 2^{3n-i-j}y)
\]

\[
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{512^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j(-2^{3n-i-j}x, 2^{3n-i-j}y) = 0,
\]

for all \(x, y \in V\), we obtain

\[
DF(x, y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_j(-84)^{-j}1344jDF_e(2^{3n-i-j}x) \\
+ \frac{(-2^{3n-i-j}x)}{512^n}2^{3n-i-j}x, 2^{3n-i-j}y) = 0,
\]

for every \(x, y \in V\).

Therefore, \(F\) is the unique solution of the functional equation (4) with (12). Finally, we see that if \(F\) is a solution of the sextic functional equation (4) with \(F(0) = 0\), then we can derive that \(F\) is a fixed point of \(J\) from the equality

\[
F(x) - JF(x) = \frac{1}{4096}(6x, 2x) + 8DF_e(-x, x) \\
+ 56DF_e(-2x, x) + 112DF_e(-3x, x) \\
+ \frac{1}{512}(6x, 2x) + 6DF_e(-x, x) \\
+ 42DF_e(-2x, x) + 112DF_e(-3x, x).
\]

(14)

In next corollary, we will consider special function \(\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^p + |y|^p\) in Theorem 3 to compare with the results in [31].
Corollary 4. Let $X$ be a real normed space, $\theta$ be as in Lemma 2, and $p$ be a fixed real number such that $0 < p < \log_2(4\sqrt{21} - 14)$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the equality $f(0) = 0$ and the inequality
\[
\|Df(x,y)\| \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p,
\]
for all $x,y \in X$, then there exists a unique solution mapping $F : V \rightarrow Y$ of (4) satisfying the inequality
\[
\frac{(1512 + 401 \cdot 2^9 + 1008 \cdot 3^9 + 9 \cdot 6^9)(2\sqrt{21} - 7)\|x\|^p}{8192(4\sqrt{21} - 14 - 2^9)},
\]
for all $x \in X$.

Proof. If we put $\varphi(x,y) = \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$ and $L = 2^9/4\sqrt{21} - 14$, then we have the equalities $\varphi(2x, 2y) = 2^p\varphi(x, y) = (4\sqrt{21} - 14)L\varphi(x, y)$ for all $x,y \in X$. So the condition (6) in Lemma 2 holds for all $x,y \in X$. According to Theorem 3, there exists a unique solution mapping $F : V \rightarrow Y$ of (4) satisfying the inequality (16) for all $x,y \in X$.

Next, we will try to prove the stability of the sextic functional equation (4) from another point of view. For that, we first will introduce useful facts in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Let $\theta$ be a real constant such that $0 < \theta < \pi/4$ and $\cos(3\theta) = 637/77\sqrt{77}$. Let $\varphi : V^2 \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ be a function for which there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that
\[
L\varphi(2x, 2y) \geq \left(8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28\right)\varphi(x, y),
\]
for all $x,y \in V$. Then, we have $97 < 8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28 < 97.8$,
\[
\frac{84}{(8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28)^2} + \frac{1344}{(8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28)^2} + \frac{4096}{(8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28)^2} = 1,
\]
and the equality
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_i^j \frac{1344L}{2^{3n-i-j}} 4096^{n-i} \varphi_{\epsilon}(\frac{x}{2^{3n-i-j}}, \frac{y}{2^{3n-i-j}}) = 0,
\]
for all $x,y \in V$.

Proof. When $0 < \theta < \pi/4$ and $\cos(3\theta) = 637/77\sqrt{77}$, it is not difficult to see that $0 < 3\theta < 0.33997$ and $97 < 8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28 < 97.8$ in the trigonometric function table. Also, we obtain the equality (18) from the following calculation:

$$
4096 + 1344 \left(8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28\right) + 84 \left(8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28\right)^2 - \left(8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28\right)^3
= 4096 + 10752\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 37632 + 413952 \cos^2 \theta
+ 37632\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 65856 - 39424\sqrt{77} \cos^2 \theta
- 413952 \cos^2 \theta - 18816\sqrt{77} \cos \theta - 21952
= -9856\sqrt{77} (4 \cos^3 \theta - 3 \cos \theta) + 81536
= -9856\sqrt{77} (3\theta) + 81536 = 0.
$$

And by (17) and (18), we have

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_i^j \frac{1344L}{2^{3n-i-j}} 4096^{n-i} \varphi_{\epsilon}(\frac{x}{2^{3n-i-j}}, \frac{y}{2^{3n-i-j}})
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_i^j \frac{1344L}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28} \left(\frac{4096L}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28}\right)^{n-i} \varphi_{\epsilon}(\frac{x}{2^{3n-i-j}}, \frac{y}{2^{3n-i-j}})
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_i^j \frac{1344L}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28} \left(\frac{4096L}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28}\right)^{n-i} \varphi_{\epsilon}(\frac{x}{2^{3n-i-j}}, \frac{y}{2^{3n-i-j}})
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_i^j \frac{1344L}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28} \left(\frac{4096L}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28}\right)^{n-i} \varphi_{\epsilon}(\frac{x}{2^{3n-i-j}}, \frac{y}{2^{3n-i-j}}).
$$

for all $x,y \in V$. Therefore, by taking the limit, we complete the proof of (19).

In the following theorem, we will prove the stability of the solution for the sextic functional equation (4) with different types of functions compared to Theorem 3.

Theorem 6. Let $\theta, L, \text{ and } \varphi$ be as in Lemma 5. If $f : V \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping such that the inequality (11) in Theorem 3 holds for every $x,y \in V$, then there exists only one solution $F : V \rightarrow Y$ of (4) satisfying the following inequality

\[
\|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq (\frac{x}{2\sin \theta})^p + (\frac{y}{2\sin \theta})^p
\]
Lemma 5, we have
\[ \|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{\Psi(x)}{1 - L}, \]  
for every \( x \in V \), where
\[ \Psi(x) = 2\varphi\left(\frac{-3x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 14\varphi\left(\frac{-x}{8}, \frac{x}{8}\right) + 98\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 224\varphi\left(-\frac{3x}{8}, \frac{x}{8}\right). \]

In particular, \( F \) is represented by
\[ F(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_i C_j \left[ 42(-336)^i 512^{n-j} f_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-i-j}}\right) + 84(-1344)^i 4096^{n-j} f_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-i-j}}\right) \right], \]
for any \( x \in V \).

Proof. Similar to Theorem 3, we consider the set \( S \) which contains all functions \( g : V \to Y \) with \( g(0) = 0 \), and we define a generalized metric on \( S \) as
\[ d(g, h) = \inf \{ K \in \mathbb{R}, \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq K \Psi(x) \text{ for all } x \in V \}. \]

We now consider the mapping \( J : S \to S \) defined by
\[ Jg(x) = 63g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + 21g\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) - 840g\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) - 504g\left(-\frac{x}{4}\right) + 2304g\left(\frac{x}{8}\right) + 1792g\left(-\frac{x}{8}\right), \]
for every \( x \in V \). Then, similar to Theorem 3, by mathematical induction, we obtain that
\[ J^n g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_i C_j \left[ 42(-336)^i 512^{n-j} g_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-i-j}}\right) + 84(-1344)^i 4096^{n-j} g_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-i-j}}\right) \right], \]
holds for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( x \in V \).

Let \( g, h \in S \) and we assume \( K \in [0, \infty) \) as an arbitrary constant with \( d(g, h) \leq K \). By the definition of \( d \) and (18) in Lemma 5, we have
\[ \|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| \leq 84 \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + 1344 \Psi\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) + 4096 \Psi\left(\frac{x}{8}\right) \]
\[ \leq 84L \Psi(x) \frac{8}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28} + 1344L^2 \Psi(x) \frac{8}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28} \]
\[ + 4096L^3 \Psi(x) \frac{8}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28} \]
\[ \leq \left( \frac{84}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28} + \frac{1344}{8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28} \right) LK \Psi(x) \]
\[ \leq L K \Psi(x), \]
for every \( x \in V \), which implies that
\[ d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h), \]
for all \( g, h \in S \), where \( 0 < L < 1 \). So, with the Lipschitz constant \( L \), \( J \) is a strictly contractive self-mapping of \( S \), where \( 0 < L < 1 \).

Moreover, by the definition of \( Df(x, y) \), with long and tedious calculation, we have
\[ f(x) - Jf(x) = \left[ \frac{3x}{4} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + 8 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8} \right] + 56 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8}, \]
\[ \left[ \frac{3x}{4} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + 6 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8} \right] + 42 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8}, \]
and, by (11) in assumption, we obtain
\[ \|f(x) - Jf(x)\| \leq \left[ \frac{3x}{4} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + 8 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8} \right] \]
\[ + 56 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8} + 112 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8} \]
\[ + \left[ \frac{3x}{4} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + 6 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8} \right] + 42 \frac{x}{8} \cdot \frac{x}{8} \]
for every $x \in V$.

It implies that $d(f, Jf) \leq 1 < \infty$ by the definition of $d$. Therefore, according to Proposition 1, the sequence $\{f^n\}$ converges to only one fixed point $F : V \longrightarrow Y$ of $J$ in the set $T = \{ g \in \mathcal{L} | d(f, g) < \infty \}$, which is represented by (23) for every $x \in V$.

We also due to Proposition 1 obtain that

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{1-L},$$

which implies (22).

Now, by (19) in Lemma 5, since we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{4i}^{14} \left\| \left( -1344 i \right)^{-i/2} 4096^{n-i} Df_\ell \left( \frac{x}{2^{m-i}}, \frac{y}{2^{m-i}} \right) \right\| \right| = 0,$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{4i}^{14} \left\| \left( -336 i \right)^{-i/2} 512^{n-i} Df_\ell \left( \frac{x}{2^{m-i}}, \frac{y}{2^{m-i}} \right) \right\| \right| = 0,$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{4i}^{14} \left\| \left( -1344 i \right)^{-i/2} 4096^{n-i} Df_\ell \left( \frac{x}{2^{m-i}}, \frac{y}{2^{m-i}} \right) \right\| \right| = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in V$, due to the equality (23), we obtain

$$DF(x, y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{4i}^{14} \left( -336 i \right)^{-i/2} 512^{n-i} Df_\ell \left( \frac{x}{2^{m-i}}, \frac{y}{2^{m-i}} \right),$$

$$\cdot \left( \frac{x}{2^{m-i}}, \frac{y}{2^{m-i}} \right) + \lim_{n \to \infty} n \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{4i}^{14} \left( -1344 i \right)^{-i/2} 4096^{n-i} Df_\ell \left( \frac{x}{2^{m-i}}, \frac{y}{2^{m-i}} \right) = 0,$$

which conclude that $F$ is a solution of the sextic functional equation (4).

Finally, we see that if $F$ is a solution of the sextic functional equation (4), then the equality

$$F(x) - IF(x) = \left[ DF_\ell \left( -\frac{3x}{4}, \frac{x}{4} \right) + 8DF_\ell \left( -\frac{x}{8}, \frac{x}{8} \right) \right] + 56DF_\ell \left( -\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{8} \right) + 112DF_\ell \left( -\frac{3x}{8}, \frac{x}{8} \right),$$

implies that $F$ is a fixed point of $J$.

In next corollary, we will consider special function $\varphi(x, y) = ||x||^p + ||y||^p$ in Theorem 6 to compare with the results in [31].

**Corollary 7.** Let $X$ be a real normed space, $\theta$ be as in Lemma 5, and $p$ be a fixed real number such that $\log(8\sqrt{77}\cos \theta + 28) < 1$. If $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ satisfies the equality $f(0) = 0$ and the inequality (15) for all $x, y \in X$, then there exists a unique solution mapping $F : V \longrightarrow Y$ of (4) such that

$$\| f(x) - F(x) \| \leq \frac{\left( 175 + 50 \cdot 2^p + 112 \cdot 3^p + 5^p \right) \| x \|^p}{4^p \left( 2^p - 8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28 \right)},$$

for all $x \in X$.

**Proof.** If we put $\varphi(x, y) = ||x||^p + ||y||^p$ and $L = 8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28/2^p$, then we have the inequalities $Lp(2x, 2y) = 2^p Lp(x, y) = (8\sqrt{77} \cos \theta + 28) \varphi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. So the condition (17) in Lemma 5 holds for all $x, y \in X$. According to Theorem 6, there exists a unique solution mapping $F : V \longrightarrow Y$ of (4) satisfying the inequality (24) for all $x, y \in X$.
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