Saddles and softness in simple model liquids
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We report a numerical study of saddles properties of the potential energy landscape for soft spheres with different softness, i.e. different power $n$ of the interparticle repulsive potential. We find that saddle-based quantities rescale into master curves once energies and temperatures are scaled by mode-coupling temperature $T_{MCT}$, confirming and generalizing previous findings obtained for Lennard-Jones like models.

The analysis of the potential energy landscape (PEL) of model liquids has allowed to clarify many interesting phenomena of the supercooled liquid regime and the slowing down of the dynamics. More recently a promising PEL description was obtained studying the properties of saddle points. In a previous work some of us reported a numerical investigation of the PEL for different Lennard-Jones (LJ) like model liquids, focusing on the properties of saddle points visited by the systems at different temperatures. The main findings of that work were: i) the existence of master curves for saddle-based quantities (saddle order $n_s$ vs. $T$, energy elevation of saddles from underlying minima vs. $n_s$) when temperatures and energies are scaled by mode-coupling temperature $T_{MCT}$ (we set $k_B=1$); ii) a nearly constant ratio between elementary saddle energy-barriers $\Delta E$ (energy elevation of saddles of order 1 from underlying minima) and $T_{MCT}$: $\Delta E \simeq 10 T_{MCT}$; iii) a quantitative relationship between $\Delta E$ and the Arrhenius activation energy $\Delta E_{Arr}$ (obtained from low-T diffusivity): $\Delta E_{Arr} \simeq 2 \Delta E$. Although obtained for different models, the reported universality was not too surprising due to the similar shape of the repulsive part of the pair potential, in particular all having the same $r^{-12}$ dependence. For this reason a wider class of models must be analyzed in order to show the robustness of the reported universality.

In this note we extend the class of model liquids under consideration, analyzing soft spheres with different power $n$ of the interparticle repulsive potential (different softness). We find that systems interacting with $r^{-n}$ potential belong to the same universality class of LJ-like potentials, thus pointing towards a common organization of saddles in the PEL of disordered systems.

The investigated systems are 80:20 binary mixtures of $N=1000$ particles enclosed in a cubic box with periodic boundary conditions and interacting through the pair potential

$$V_{\alpha\beta}(r) = 4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{r} \right)^{n}, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in A, B$, $\sigma_{AA} = 1.0$, $\sigma_{AB} = 0.8$, $\sigma_{BB} = 0.88$, $\epsilon_{AA} = 1.0$, $\epsilon_{AB} = 1.5$, $\epsilon_{BB} = 0.5$. Reduced units will be used in the following ($\sigma_{AA}$ for length, $\epsilon_{AA}$ for energy, $(m\sigma_{AA}^2/\epsilon_{AA})^{1/2}$ for time - $m$ is the mass of the particles). The analyzed density was $\rho=1.2$. The investigated values of the parameter $n$ tuning the softness of the interaction were $n=6, 8, 12, 18$. We note that for $n<6$ crystallization events prevent the study of the supercooled regime. Following previous works, we studied the properties of the saddles of the PEL visited by the system during its dynamic evolution at a given temperature (performed through isothermal molecular dynamics simulations with Nosé-Hoover thermostat). The temperature range investigated is such that, for each $n$, the diffusivity covers about 4 orders of magnitude. Saddles are located using minimization procedures (LBFGS algorithm as implemented by Liu and Nocedal) on the pseudo-potential $W=|\nabla V|^2$ ($V$ is the total potential energy). Inherent structures are also located (minimizing the true potential $V$) at each temperature. We then collected the properties of saddles (energy $\epsilon_s$ and order $n_s$, defined as the number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian of $V$) and inherent structures (energy $\epsilon_{is}$).
In Fig. 1 we show the saddle order \( n_s \) as a function of \( T/T_{MCT} \). The data collapse onto a master curve, even if some deviation is present, in particular for \( n_s=6 \) and \( n_s=18 \). In a first approximation scaling \( T \) by \( T_{MCT} \) gives rise to a common behavior of the analyzed quantity for the different models. It is worth noting that a similar master plot has been obtained in Ref. [13] for LJ and CS\(_2\) systems.

Looking at the mean energy elevation \( e_s-e_{MCT} \) of saddles from underlying minima as a function of saddle order \( n_s \) (inset of Fig. 2), one obtains nearly straight lines, with different slopes for different values of \( n \). This indicates a simple organization of the PEL, with saddles equipped in energy above underlying minima, and allows to define an elementary energy barrier elevation \( \Delta E \) from the slopes \( m' \) of the curves: \( \Delta E = m'/3 \) (the factor 3 arises from the definition of \( n_s \) as the number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian normalized to the number of degrees of freedom \( 3N \)). From the inset in the figure one observes that different softness give rise to different \( \Delta E \) values.

In the main panel of Fig. 2 the energy elevation, now scaled by \( T_{MCT} \), is plotted vs. \( n_s \). The data collapse onto a master curve, with a mean slope \( m = m'/T_{MCT} = 30 \), indicating that the different soft sphere models have a similar landscape organization with the same elementary energy barrier height when expressed in unit of \( T_{MCT} \): \( \Delta E/T_{MCT} \approx 10 \). We note, however, a small correlation between the slope and the value of \( n \), with lower values of \( n \) associated to higher values of the slope. Also reported in Fig. 2 are the data obtained in Ref. [13], suggesting a wider universality of the relationship \( \Delta E \approx 10 T_{MCT} \).

A last observation arises from the analysis of Arrhenius energy barriers \( \Delta E_{Arr} \) obtained from Arrhenius fits \( \exp(-\Delta E_{Arr}/T) \) of the low-\( T \) diffusivity data (see Ref. [13]). Expressed in unit of \( T_{MCT} \) one obtains, for different softness \( n \), values of \( \Delta E_{Arr} \) in the range \( 21 - 24 \). This finding is in agreement with the previous observation for LJ-like models: \( \Delta E_{Arr} \approx 2 \Delta E \).

In conclusion, the analysis of soft sphere models with different softness confirms the results previously obtained for LJ-like models, supporting the hypothesis of an universal relation controlling the structure of the PEL.

\[\text{FIG. 2: Energy elevation (rescaled by } T_{MCT} \text{) of saddles from underlying minima } (e_s-e_{MCT})/T_{MCT} \text{ against saddle order } n_s, \text{ for the systems of this work (soft spheres with different softness } n \text{) and for LJ-like models [13]. The straight line has slope 30, corresponding to an elementary energy barrier } \Delta E/T_{MCT} = 10. \text{ In the inset the same quantity not rescaled by } T_{MCT} \text{ for the soft sphere models.}\]
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