An efficient method for high performance prediction mechanism for diabetes using enhanced Firefly algorithm and Map-Reduce
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Abstract. Algorithms in Data mining are utilized to predict unrefined data into useful conventional information. This conservative information plays a vital role in the Health care industry. In this study we focus on the functionalities of diabetes prediction. In diabetes data we have the problem of data imbalance in predicting the accuracy. The Proposed tailored Firefly Algorithm along with Map reduce is used to augment the efficacy and precision of prediction. Comparison of Different bench mark algorithms with our new Extended Fire Fly is done and variety of classification methods are used with moto to increase the effectiveness. The new method helps to maximize the prediction of accuracy and reduces the time. The PIMA Indian Diabetic Dataset from UCI machine learning repository is utilized for our experiment results. Different metrics are used in order to prove the effectiveness.

1. Introduction

Data mining is surfing large data and finding out hidden patterns. Data mining is confluence of various techniques and is widely used in various applications. Health care industry is predominant field and data mining plays a vital role for systematic use of data and analysis. The application of DM in health care helped in policy decision and saved hospitals from error. DM techniques helped to prevent disease as the helped easy prediction. The applications of Data mining to health care data leads to best practices to improve care at reduced cost. Map Reduce framework integrated with Data mining techniques provides efficient method to handle data with very high speed considering security and also accuracy.

MapReduce principle splits the process into map phase and reduce phase. Key-value-pair is used as input and output by the phases. Enormous health care data is available and The phenomenon used in Map Reduce is storing and processing data. The key concept is to find out hidden knowledge in medical area using various mining techniques. SVM is used to classify the medical data set as they produce better performance in classification and SVM provide precise and accurate classification for health care data[1].
FireFly is also nature inspired algorithm and this is used in this paper because of its capability of automatic subdivision and FA has the capacity to deal with multimodal function.\cite{2}. The vast availability of medical data leads to improvement in data mining tools to ensure healthcare professionals to diagnose diabetes disease. Data mining tools and techniques are used to diagnose variety of disease like stroke, cancer, cardiac disease. Research confirms that diabetes is leading cause for death. Hybridizing data mining with other techniques like Map reduce and optimization results in performance enhancements. Gap analysis in prediction of diabetes disease is analyzed in order to give treatment in proactive manner. The focus of this paper is to fuse the advantages of Mapreduce, SVM with enhanced Firefly algorithm to increase the efficiency to predict diabetes.

2. Literature Review

Existing technologies does not support unstructured healthcare data and in the paper “Healthcare Big Data Analysis using Hadoop MapReduce” the author suggested for storing and processing the usage of MapReduce.\cite{3}. Utilization of various models with respect to medical data was studied by Neesha Et al. \cite{4}.

In the research work \cite{5} “A MapReduce based parallel SVM for large scale spam filtering”, the author represents a parallel algorithm for filtering. Ontology also employed to maximize accuracy.Firefly is used all in areas of optimization and also in engineering practice. This algorithm produces better results and the author proposed a comprehensive review of the algorithm\cite{6}.

A. Iyer et al \cite{7} attempted to discover solutions to analyze the diabetes ailment with the support of studying the styles discovered by implementing classifier Naïve Bayes.

The important to do step is preprocessing which in turn contributes to Feature Selection \cite{9}. It permit us to decrease variety of attribute wanted for closing the end outcome, eliminate unneeded information, inappropriate information and missing information. This pace up the prediction rules and allow in generating better accurate consequences which is primarily based at the preprocessed records set \cite{8}. The author iterates that performance and exactness of classifier is stepped forward while it is fixed with any feature choice \cite{9}-\cite{10}.

Various strategies and methods are available for Healthcare system to diagnose diabetes in minimum possible time with maximum accuracy. A mechanism for identifying category 2 diabetes mellitus was illustrated by N. Wang & G. Kang \cite{11}. They used hybrid mechanism and arrived at better solution.

Based on the prediction rules the author suggested mobile application in order to pro-actively review the stages of diabetes\cite{12}. Android mobile application by using predication rule was developed by Sowjanya \cite{13} for monitoring diabetes. Shi et al. \cite{14} work includes assessment of the various risk involved due o diabetes using gadgets. Researchers had proved hybridization yields better results than used individually\cite{15}.

3. Proposed System

The proposed model is controlled to upgrade the accuracy to anticipate the diabetic patient. during this model, we’ve differentiated different classifiers and ACO, PSO count. the object is to grow the precision by using Extended Firefly Algorithm (EFA) close by Fuzzy rule set and Map lessen technique. PIMA Indian Diabetes Dataset from UCI AI vault is utilized for our execution work.
3.1. Fuzzy rule set generation

The rules set are created based on the data models. In this step, the technique reads the data. Using this, the lists of features are formed. For every feature values, the model calculates the minimum maximum values. Based on the result, the values are and the fuzzy rule has been created. This is applied to the dataset to frame the rule set.

Algorithm
Input: Feed the Cluster set Fcs
Output: Fuzzy Rule Set Rs is generated

Start

Read Fcs.

Identify number of classes NEs1 = ∑ Distinct (Ensembles Class) ∈ Fc

For each class c1

Read all data arguments Cds1.

\[ Cds1 = \sum Di1 \in c1 \]

Find list of features \[ Fs1 = \sum Features \in Di1 \]

For each feature \( Fi \) 1

Calculate Feature minimum \( Fmin 1 = \int_{i=1}^{size(Cds)} \min(Cds 1(1(Fi 1))) \)

Calculate Feature maximum \( Fmax 2 = \int_{i=1}^{size(Cds)} \max(Cds 1(1(Fi 1))) \)

End

Create Fuzzy Rule RS = \( \int_{i=1}^{size(Fs^1)} \sum Fmin 1, Fmax 1 \)

Insert to rule set Rs.
The above algorithm calculates the minimum and maximum values for each and every feature in the dataset. The range values are measured based on the feature and finally the fuzzy rule is generated.

3.2. Firefly algorithm (FA)
Firefly algorithm (FA) is an effective optimization technique. Like PSO, Firefly algorithm (FA) is a population based search algorithm. Each member represents a solution in the search space. Based on this an Extended Firefly algorithm (EFA) is proposed to find out the accuracy of the diabetic data [25]. The below is the Pseudo Code for code of Extended Firefly algorithm [24].

**Pseudo Code for code of Extended Firefly algorithm**

Begin
Let fitness function be \( f(ax) \) where \( x_1 = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_D) \)
Generate an initial population of fireflies \( x_1(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n) \)
Light intensity \( I_1 \) at \( x_1 \) is determined by \( f(x_1) \)
Define the light absorption coefficient \( \gamma \)
While \( (t < \text{MaxGeneration}) \)
   for \( i = 1 \) to \( n \) (all \( n \) fireflies)
      for \( j = 1 : n \) (\( n \) fireflies)
         if \( (I_j > I_i) \)
            move fireflies \( i \) towards \( j \) in \( d \)-dimension
         endif
      end
   end
   Attractiveness varies with distance \( r \) via \( \beta_0 e^{-\gamma r^2} \)
   Evaluate new solutions and update light intensity;
   end for \( j \)
   end for \( i \)
Rank fireflies and find the current best;
end while
Post-processing the results and visualization;
end

The limit of the classifier is to predict diabetes and normal individual from the dataset stacked. one among the subset is planning set and another is test set. The past holds set of segments named with class marks and thusly the test set contains lines with dark names.

The above is the procedure for Extended Firefly algorithm [23] used to predict and reduce the features of diabetic data.

The manners of bird are used in particle swarm optimization (PSO) (Kennedy and Eberhart, 1995) [22], and ant actions in ant colony optimization (ACO) (Dorigo et al., 2006) [20], artificial
3.3. **MapReduce**

The MapReduce algorithm has two main tasks, Map and Reduce.

(i) The mapping job is executed by Mapper Class
(ii) The reduce task is executed by Reducer Class.

Identification of input and sorting is done Map class the output is utilized as an input to the Reducer class, and this is used to search similarity leading to reduction.

MapReduce generate several mathematical procedures to crack a task into small portions and allocate them to various system. MapReduce is used in our diabetic data after the process of fuzzy rule generation along with the Extended Firefly Algorithm (EFA) algorithm to predict the disease and to improve its efficiency and accuracy.

4. **Results and Discussion**

The proposed EFA algorithm fused with fuzzy rule for prediction proves to be effective when applied to varied data. This integration proved good for predicting diabetes data. The classification accuracy is also improved to obtain optimized results. The performance is compared with few existing techniques such as particle swarm optimization (PSO) and ant colony optimization (ACO).

**Time Complexity**

The time complexity of collection and gauge has been assessed for the proposed estimation EFA differentiating and hence the current computation particle swarm improvement (PSO) and ant colony optimization smoothing out (ACO). It’s been gone after for different number of trials.

| Method Name | Time Complexity |
|-------------|-----------------|
| ACO         | 49              |
| PSO         | 10              |
| EFA         | 8               |

Table 1 shows the close to result on time unpredictability introduced by different procedures and in this way the proposed estimation has conveyed less time multifaceted nature than various systems.
Figure 2 shows the near outcome on time intricacy passed on by different strategies and subsequently the outcome shows that the proposed technique has made less time than different systems.

**False Classification Ratio**

The False Classification Ratio has been measured for the proposed algorithm Extended Firefly algorithm (EFA) comparing with the existing algorithm particle swarm optimization (PSO) and ant colony optimization (ACO). It has been tested for different number of test cases.

The procedure used to lessen the higher number of highlights by the utilizing the bogus depiction degree. By including the comfortable principles, the bogus solicitation degree is decreased and helps the expansion of figure precision.

| Method Name | False classification ratio |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| ACO         | 8.3                         |
| PSO         | 5.7                         |
| EFA         | 3                           |

Table 2, shows the overall result on counterfeit solicitation degree for various techniques and the proposed calculation EFA has made inconsequential inspiration for bogus degree than the other existing strategies.
Figure 3. Comparison on false classification ratio.

Figure 3 shows the near outcome on bogus arrangement degree made by different methodology and its shows evidently that the proposed procedure has passed on less fake depiction degree than different techniques.

**Prediction accuracy**
The presumption accuracy has been broadened. The proposed assessment join the highlights so the accuracy will be broadened.

| Method Name | Accuracy |
|-------------|----------|
| ACO         | 82       |
| PSO         | 90       |
| EFA         | 96       |

Table 3 shows the comparative result on prediction accuracy introduced by different methods and the proposed algorithm has produced higher prediction accuracy than other methods.

Figure 4. Comparison on disease prediction accuracy.
Figure 4 shows the association on infection gauge of various techniques. The proposed system produces higher illness suspicion accuracy than different procedures.

Comparison on prediction method with Presion, Recall and Accuracy

From the above results, it is clearly shown that the proposed system provides the best result when predicting the information tic data. To evaluate the efficiency, we utilized evaluation metrics: precision, recall, and F-measure which is discussed below.

| Method Name | Precision | Recall | Accuracy |
|-------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| ACO         | 75        | 80     | 82       |
| PSO         | 83        | 86     | 90       |
| EFA         | 94.5      | 96     | 96       |

5. Conclusion

The core objective of this research is to enhance the accuracy of predictive model for diabetic data. The proposed EFA algorithm along with fuzzy set and map reduce is used to improve the accuracy for diabetic data set. The model is also utilized for prediction has been applied and evaluated to improve the efficiency with different test cases. The proposed method has produced effective results in predicting diabetic data. The classification accuracy is also improved to obtain the best results. The performance of the proposed system is compared with few existing techniques such as particle swarm optimization (PSO) and ant colony optimization (ACO). The PIMA diabetic dataset is used. The dataset used for the used for the evaluation of the EFA along with map reduce proposed method contains the parameter data set name, no of dimensions, no of data points and no of classes. For best results Precision and Recall is also calculated for the model.
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