Abstract: Cloud computing refers to the services and applications that are accessible throughout the world from data centers. All services and applications are available online. Virtual machine migration is an important part of virtualization which is considered as essential part in cloud computing environment. Virtual Machine Migration means transferring a running Virtual Machine with all its applications and the operating system state as it is to target destination machine where it continues to run as if nothing happened. It makes balancing between servers. This improves the performance by redistributing the workload among available servers. There are many algorithms of load balancing classified into two types: static load balancing algorithms and dynamic load balancing algorithms. This paper presents the algorithm (Balanced Throttled Load Balancing Algorithm- BTLB). It compares the results of the BTLB with round robin algorithm, AMLB algorithm and throttled load balancing algorithm. The results of these four algorithms would be presented in this paper. The proposed algorithm shows the improvement in response time (75 μs). Cloud analyst simulator is used to evaluate the results. BTLB was developed and tested using Java.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing is a technology that enables data exchange and provides users with a lot of resources. Users just pay for the services they have used. Archana showed that in an open environment: cloud computing stores data, software packages and distributed resources. Software-as-a-Service (SaaS), Infrastructure-as-a-Service (IaaS), and Platform-as-a-Service (PaaS) are the main categories of cloud computing services. There is no need to install software in any thin clients (PCs, Laptops, iPads and Mobile devices) [1]. It provides on-demand services for users with online applications. There is no worry about backup and restoring data. Sharing resources, information and internet applications are the key functions of cloud computing. The goal of cloud computing is reducing operating costs, improving data processing time, decreasing computing power requirements for thin devices, enhancing security, and improving the efficiency. It also increases system stability for potential system changes, improving fault tolerance, increasing multiple data processing speed, reducing (hardware, software costs and their maintenance overheads), rationalizing energy and saving disk space.

Green information technology would be used as a default (3R: Recycle, Reuse and Reduce). As a result of increases on a website or business application, the full workload cannot eventually be supported by a single server [1].

Fig 1 (Elaborated from Elmahdy's Cloud Infrastructure Services class notes [2]) shows the main components of a cloud computing system.

The essential cloud characteristics are: On-demand self-service, Broad network access, Resource pooling, Rabid elasticity, and Measured service [2].
The main purpose of load balancers is to guarantee healthier servers. A load balancer regulates users and servers. It handles incoming network and application traffic. Load balancer uses different approaches to manage traffic through several servers. Load balancing takes place in two levels: The first level, mapping between requested services or applications and virtual machines. The second level, mapping between virtual machines and hosts.[4]

**LIVE VIRTUAL MACHINE MIGRATION**

Is the process of moving a running VM from one physical host to another with minimal disruption to ongoing services. It is a powerful tool that facilitates hardware maintenance, load balancing, fault tolerance, and power saving in clusters and data centers.[5]

This paper is composed of six sections. The first section is Introduction. The Second section shows the literature review. The third section introduces related work. The fourth section demonstrates the proposed algorithm. The fifth section discusses.

**II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

In cloud computing there are many load balancing algorithms. The most used load balancer algorithms are: Round Robin Algorithm (RR), Active Monitoring Load Balancing algorithm (AMLB) and Throttled Algorithm (TLB) [4]. “Cloud Analyst Simulator” is used to evaluate the used algorithms.

**A. Load balancers Algorithms:**

**A.1 Round Robin Algorithm (RR)**

It is a simple way to distribute requests from clients across servers. A client request is forwarded to each server in turn. It is the most used algorithm, and it is easy to implement and conceptualize [4].

In this algorithm, requests from clients are routed cyclically to the available servers (Fig. 2). It works good when servers have roughly the same computing and storage capabilities.

**A.2 Active Monitoring Load Balancing Algorithm (AMLB)**

It maintains a virtual machine index table and the number of allocations allocated to each virtual machine. Data Center Controller receives a new task from a client. When a request from Data Center Controller arrives at AMLB, The AMLB scans the index table from top. When it finds the least loaded VM, AMLB returns VM id to datacenter controller. If there are more than one loaded VM, AMLB selects the first identified [14]. The datacenter controller sends the request to the selected VM (Fig. 3 Elaborated from [9]).

**A.3 Throttled Load Balancing Algorithm (TLB)**

In this algorithm the load balancer responsible for preparing index table. It displays the information of the virtual machine state either Available or Busy. When the task is arrived, the load balancer assigns the task to suitable virtual machine. Which is available to execute the user task (Fig 4 Elaborated from [9]). But every time throttled checks the table from first index to determine the available virtual machine [7].

**B. Related work**

Different load balancing algorithms for cloud computing have been proposed. To provide an efficient distribution of load between available machines. The paper briefly summarizes some of the essential load balancing algorithms built in the cloud computing environment by various researchers.

- Vibhore Tyagi and Tarun Kumar [15] used throttled load balancing algorithm in multi data center across VM’s, and optimized response time. They deduced that the throttled load balancing algorithm provides the best summary overall response time and data center processing time with low processing costs.
S. A. Narale and P. K. Butey [8] presented an efficient algorithm for load balancing, depending on two different features: One is the response time to serve the requests and other is the load distribution among the existing VMs. They proposed modified throttled algorithm and compared it with Round Robin, Throttled algorithms. And observed that using Round Robin and Modified Throttled algorithm lead to efficient utilization of VMs compared to Throttled algorithm and Modified Throttled algorithm gives better average response time compared to other two algorithms.

Violetta N. Volkova, Liudmila V. Chernenkaya, Elena N. Desyatirkova, Moussa Hajali, Almutha Khodor and Alkaadi Osama [4] displayed three load balancing algorithms used in cloud computing Round Robin Algorithm (RR), Active Load Balancing Monitoring (AMLB) and Throttled Load Balancing Algorithm (TLB), they compared the results of using the three load balancing algorithms then conclude that in the Throttled load balancing algorithm (TLB) the response time and the data center time is better than in other algorithms.

Sambit Kumar Mishra, Bibhudatta Sahoo and Priti Paramita Parida [10] have described various load balancing techniques, in varying cloud computing environments, and suggested a classification for the algorithm for load balancing.

Bhandari A. and Kaur K. [11] proposed a modified throttled balancing technique, used CloudAnalyst tool of CloudSim to implement it, comparing it with other load balancing techniques and validate that a modified throttled load balancing algorithm is more efficient and effective than other conventional algorithms for load balancing.

Geeta and Shiva Prakash [12] discussed the major challenges facing the cloud computing environment and the development of many load balancing algorithms and various QoS management modeling techniques in cloud systems.

Wenhong Tian, Minxian Xu, Aiguo Chen, Guozhong Li, Xinyang Wang and Yu Chen [13] compared four open-source simulators, CloudSim, GreenCloud, iCanCloud and CloudSched. These simulators can simulate different layers of Cloud data center instances, from their architectures, simulation process, elements modeling, performance metrics and outputs, then gave detailed comparisons about these simulators. They realized that none of them is completely perfect.

### III. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

#### Balanced Throttled Load Balancing Algorithm (BTBL)

As a result of the drawback of using throttled load balancing algorithm we proposed the balanced throttled load balancing which work as follow:

1. The index table of all the virtual machines is maintained by Balanced Throttled Load Balancer. This also maintains the state of each virtual machine i.e. whether the virtual machine is busy or available. Initially, at the start of the algorithm, all the virtual machines have been present.
2. Then, Data Center Controller gets the fresh task.
3. Data Center Controller, then on receiving the call, contacts Balanced Throttled Load Balancer to do the next allocation of the virtual machine.
4. Then balanced throttled Load Balancer construct new map and start to add all available VM.
5. Then balanced throttled Load Balancer deconstructs virtual machine available VM map if this map length is greater than 0 then it gets the first available VM id from the map, then:

   a) VM id is returned by the Balanced Throttled Load Balancer to the Data Center Controller.

   b) The Data Center Controller then transfers the call to a respective virtual machine which has been identified by that virtual machine id.

   c) Then Data Center Controller gives the notification to the Balanced Throttle load balancer about the allotment about the new virtual machine id, then remove this VM Id from map of available VM.

   d) On receiving the call from the Data Center Controller, Balanced Throttled Load Balancer then upgrades the virtual machines available VM map consequently.

When available virtual machine is not found in the available VM Map then:

### C. Cloud Analyst Simulator

The cloud analyst is a cloud simulator that offers an efficient simulation platform for the deployment of data centers in real time. It was developed to analyze the behavior of large-scale cloud applications under different implementation circumstances. It is a simulator that can be used to model and repeatedly perform simulations in easy way [13]. Cloud Analyst used to analyze number of load balancing algorithms.
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a) 1 is returned by the Balanced Throttled Load Balancer.
b) Then the request is put into the queue by the Data Center Controller.
c) When all the processing request is completed by the virtual machine and the response has also been received by the Data Center Controller, Balanced Throttled Load Balancer gets a notification from Data center controller to perform the de-allotment of the respective virtual machine and add this available VM Id to available VM Map.
d) Now when the virtual machine is de-allocated, then the Data Center Controller examines the awaiting call queue. When some waiting calls in the pending queue exist, the processing of the call starts from 3rd step onwards.

Fig. 6 Balanced Throttled Load balancing algorithm (BTLB)

The best way to test the algorithm is make simulation. We defined 6 user bases that represent the 6 regions of the world and 4 data centers. Each user base has several parameters showed in TABLE I, each data center has 800 VM’s.

Table I, User Database Settings

| Name | Region | Requests per User per Hr | Data Size per Request (bytes) | Peak Hours Start (GMT) | Peak Hours End (GMT) | Avg Peak Users | Avg Off-Peak Users |
|------|--------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------|
| UB1  | 0      | 60                       | 100                           | 13                     | 15                  | 600000         | 60000            |
| UB2  | 1      | 60                       | 100                           | 15                     | 17                  | 400000         | 40000            |
| UB3  | 2      | 60                       | 100                           | 20                     | 22                  | 400000         | 40000            |
| UB4  | 3      | 60                       | 100                           | 1                      | 3                   | 180000         | 18000            |
| UB5  | 4      | 60                       | 100                           | 21                     | 23                  | 80000          | 80000            |
| UB6  | 5      | 60                       | 100                           | 9                      | 11                  | 90000          | 90000            |

Figure 7 shows the parameters of main configure part Which contain User bases, Simulation Duration and Application Deployment Configuration.

The simulation performed for every load balancing algorithm with the above parameters, then compared the result depending on Overall response time. Fig 7, Fig 8, Fig 9, Fig 10 are the results of Round Robin algorithm, Active Monitoring algorithm, Throttled Algorithms and The Proposed Algorithm, respectively.

IV. CONCLUSION

After using these four load balancing algorithms we conclude that creating new load balancing algorithm is important task in cloud computing where load balancing is complex task in cloud computing.
Comparing the above results of simulation using the four algorithms we can conclude that the overall response time of Balanced Throttled load balancing algorithm is better than the overall response time of throttled load balancing and the other algorithms.
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