The Philippines is situated in a region that boasts rich marine biodiversity and recognized as one of the top tuna producing countries in the world particularly in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (WCPO) (WPCFC 2012). The major production areas in the Philippine waters are the Moro Gulf/Celebes Sea, Sulu Sea, South China Sea (Vera 2007), and Southern Luzon (Barut 2002). Zambales Coast, which is part of the West Philippine Sea, is an important marine fishing ground for pelagic fisheries resources in the province. It has a narrow coastline of more than 110 km and lies on the northwestern part of the region. The whole municipal coast is generally deep with 900 meters as the deepest part. The bottom is generally characterized as sandy and muddy.

The coastal habitats of the Zambales Province include reefs, seagrass beds, and mangrove forests, which provide shelter to various finfishes and aquatic invertebrates. The average annual municipal and commercial production from 2003 to 2012 of the province was recorded at 12,694 MT (BAS 2003-2012). This figure represents 69% of the total fisheries production of the province. Tuna and tuna-like species comprised the bulk of this production with 50% contribution. Ten out of the 11 coastal municipalities of Zambales have tuna unloadings.

Despite its importance to the local economy, data on tuna resources of the whole Zambales Coast is limited. This study aims to document tuna resources - tuna catches, catch per unit effort (CPUE), species composition, seasonality, and size composition, which are used as the basis for fisheries management strategies and policies in the Zambales Coast. This study, which is also anchored on the National Tuna Management Plan of the Philippines aims to contribute to the generation and analysis of data that would support management decisions for the rational use and conservation of tuna fisheries in the country.
fish landing sites located in Subic, Masinloc, and Sta. Cruz were established as sampling stations. Four out of these six stations (1 in Subic, 2 in Masinloc, and 1 in Sta. Cruz) were classified as commercial. The fish landing center in Subic has both commercial and municipal fisheries unloadings. Figure 1 shows the established fish landing sites for the National Stock Assessment Program in Central Luzon. These sites were monitored every other two days including Saturdays, Sunday, and holidays. Sampling time varies in every landing center depending on the landing time of fishing boats. The assigned enumerators gathered information on the total number of fishing boats landed during the sampling day, the volume of catch by gear type, species composition, and length measurements of tuna species. Data gathered were summarized monthly and tabulated by species composition and gear type. The dominant and abundant tuna species were tallied monthly to get the common sizes of the dominant tuna species usually caught in the study area.

**Catch, Effort and CPUE**

The catch is recorded as whole weight in kilograms. For this study, the catch per unit effort (CPUE) of the major fishing gears used to catch tuna was determined by taking the quotient of the catch (in kg) over the total number of fishing days. The CPUE was computed using raised data. The standardized unit of effort used is kilogram per day (kg/day).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### Tuna Fishing Gears Observed in Zambales

Three types of commercial fishing gears are used in Zambales (Table 1). Purse Seine has the highest percentage share with 71.43% and is concentrated in Masinloc and Sta. Cruz, Zambales. Danish Seine contributed 17.86% and was recorded only in Subic, Zambales. Ringnet accounted for 10.71% and was distributed in the three municipalities of the province.

There are seven types of tuna fishing gears used by municipal fishermen of Zambales (Table 2). A total of 673 fishing gears were recorded in three municipalities with monitored landing sites. Out of the seven types of fishing gears, only two types dominated the list. Multiple Handline has recorded the highest number of 342 gears (50.82%) in Subic, Zambales while Handline placed second in terms of number with 234 gears and was recorded in Subic, Masinloc, and Sta. Cruz, Zambales.

#### Tuna Catch

Table 3 shows the annual landed catch of tuna species caught by different fishing gears in Zambales. A
A total of 67,356.47 metric tons of tuna was recorded in Zambales for ten years (2003-2012). There were ten types of fishing gears used for catching tuna in Zambales. Purse Seine dominated the catch with 71.84% share of total landed production (48,391.86 MT) followed by Multiple Handline and Ringnet with 23.48% (15,816.72 MT) and 2.84% (1,909.83 MT), respectively. These gears operate either within or near the Fish Aggregating Device (FAD) locally known as "payao." The observed three dominant tuna fishing gears in Zambales are similar to the ones reported in Philippine Fisheries Profile; the use of Purse Seine, ring net, and handline usually accounts for over 75% of the annual national tuna catch (BFAR & WCPFC 2012).

Results of the study indicate that tuna resources were primarily caught both in municipal and commercial fishing activity. There were seven types of fishing gears used for municipal fishing and three types of gears for commercial fishing. Tuna catch from commercial fisheries contributed 75% to the total provincial tuna catch and the remaining 25% was attributed to municipal fisheries (Figure 2).

Based on the record, there is an increasing trend in the catch of tuna in Zambales for 2005 to 2011 (Figure 3). Highest catch was recorded in 2011 with 12,968.09 MT. The result of the trend on tuna catch is comparable with the report of BAS on 2003-2012. Increase in the catch can be attributed to the unloading of catches of the other fishing vessels from nearby provinces and the addition of two landing centers in Sta. Cruz, Zambales as sampling stations in line with the implementation of the National
Table 4. Yearly Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) of Dominant Fishing Gears Observed in Zambales, 2003-2012

| Year       | Purse Seine | Multiple Handline | Ringnet |
|------------|-------------|-------------------|---------|
|            | 2003        | 2004              | 2005    | 2006    | 2007    | 2008    | 2009    | 2010    | 2011    | 2012    | Average |
| Catch (kg) | 1,099,108.52| 1,369,041.10      | 1,904,642.74| 2,725,017.43| 4,627,342.38| 5,988,729.29| 6,445,000.01| 6,410,194.97| 10,672,131.62| 7,150,650.59| 4,839,185.87|
| Fishing Effort (day) | 640 | 1,528 | 2,481 | 2,045 | 2,583 | 2,535 | 3,652 | 4,290 | 4,022 | 5,057 | 2,883 |
| CPUE (kg/day) | 1,718.24 | 896.26 | 767.75 | 1,332.34 | 1,791.76 | 2,362.53 | 1,764.79 | 1,494.22 | 2,653.35 | 1,413.96 | 1,678.52 |
| Catch (kg) | 1,648,361.71| 1,422,849.54      | 522,177.99 | 1,465,600.70| 1,603,718.26| 1,361,934.32| 1,808,449.07| 2,205,785.95| 1,972,825.44| 1,805,021.26| 1,581,672.42|
| Fishing Effort (day) | 42,365 | 28,610 | 10,936 | 23,742 | 36,446 | 27,470 | 24,062 | 22,929 | 16,403 | 18,341 | 25,130 |
| CPUE (kg/day) | 38.91 | 49.73 | 47.75 | 61.73 | 44.00 | 49.58 | 75.16 | 96.20 | 120.27 | 98.42 | 62.94 |
| Catch (kg) | 71,117.13 | 406,165.00        | 272,287.77 | 399,556.19 | 100,115.96 | 132,790.00 | 150,008.42 | 182,927.14 | 126,105.40 | 68,761.76 | 190,983.48 |
| Fishing Effort (day) | 794 | 1,102 | 1,329 | 1,223 | 1,319 | 495 | 703 | 597 | 387 | 647 | 860 |
| CPUE (kg/day) | 89.57 | 368.57 | 204.88 | 326.70 | 75.90 | 268.26 | 213.38 | 306.41 | 325.85 | 106.28 | 222.07 |
Tuna Project. The decrease in the catch for 2012 was due to the reduction in fishing activities because of bad weather caused by the frequent occurrence of typhoons in Zambales during that year.

Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE)

Table 4 shows the catch per unit effort of the dominant fishing gears observed in the area for the ten-year period. Records show that purse seine, the most dominant commercial gear observed in the monitored landing sites, had an average CPUE of 1,678.52 kg/day, while for ring net, also a commercial gear, the computed CPUE was only 222.07 kg/day. For municipal fishing, multiple handline recorded an average catch of 62.94 kg/day.

The trend in the annual CPUE for the three dominant fishing gears showed a fluctuating trend both for commercial and municipal fisheries (Figure 4). The highest CPUE obtained for purse seine and multiple handline was recorded on 2011.

Catch Composition of Tuna Species Landed in Zambales

Twenty-one species of tuna have been recorded in Philippine waters (BFAR & WCPFC 2012). Eight of these species were caught in Zambales Coast. These were bullet tuna (*Auxis rochei*), frigate tuna (*Auxis thazard*), eastern little tuna (*Euthynnus affinis*), skipjack (*Katsuwonus pelamis*), albacore tuna (*Thunnus alalunga*), yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*), bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) and longtail tuna (*Thunnus tonggol*) (Froese and Pauly 2014).

Figure 5 illustrates the catch composition and percentage share in the catch of the different species of tuna landed in Zambales. The skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) topped the list of the most dominant species with 35,978.94 MT or 53.42% share followed by yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) with 21,990.89 MT or 32.65% share and bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) with 6,863.34 MT which contributes 10.19% share.

The catch composition varies depending on the type of gear being used for fishing. Figures 7 to 9 show the species composition of tuna landed by the three dominant fishing gears observed in Zambales.

Purse Seine recorded a total catch of 48,391.86 MT, comprising of seven tuna species (Figure 6). Skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) dominated the catch with 28,769.20 MT (61.18%). Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) and Bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) ranked second and third in the catch composition of Purse Seine with a total catch of 13,759.80 MT (26.36%) and 3,649.91 MT (7.76%), respectively.
On 2011, the major tuna catches of purse seine landed in ports of various regions in the country are also the same with the result of study in Zambales, it is mainly composed of skipjack tuna with 40-55% share followed by yellowfin tuna with 12-37% share and other species with 20-40% contribution (BFAR & WCPFC 2012).

In Figure 7, the recorded total catch of tuna species using Multiple Handline is 15,816.72 MT. Yellowfin, Skipjack, and Bigeye tuna dominated the catch but this time Yellowfin tuna ranked first with 7,147.51 MT followed by Skipjack tuna and Bigeye tuna with a total catch of 5,703.59 MT and 2,942.15 MT, respectively. A total of seven species of tuna were observed for this type of fishing gear.

Skipjack tuna and Yellowfin tuna comprised the biggest bulk of the catch of Ring Net (Figure 8) with 1,144.42 MT (59.92%) and 423.01 MT (22.15%), respectively. A total of 1,909.83 MT of tuna catch composed of seven species was recorded using this type of fishing gear. Based on BFAR – Philippine Fisheries Observer Program Sampling activities for 2010 and 2011, the catch breakdown is as follows: skipjack tuna with 32-42% share and yellowfin tuna with 17-18% contribution on the total catch (BFAR & WCPFC 2012). This shows that the tuna catch in ring net being landed in Zambales is similar to the result of the sampling activities in terms of species composition.

**Seasonality of Dominant Tuna Species**

The monthly seasonal distribution of the three dominant tuna species being landed in Zambales during the period of study is shown in Figures 9 to 11.

Production peak of *Katsuwonus pelamis* as shown in Figure 9 was recorded on the first quarter of the year and almost the same production volume for the succeeding quarters. In Figure 10, highest production of *Thunnus albacares* was observed on second and third quarters and nearly the same trend in the catch for other quarters. For *Thunnus obesus*, bulk of production appeared on first and second quarters of the year and observed to have almost consistent production on the remaining quarters (Figure 11).
Generally, there is a little seasonality in the tuna fisheries, other than influenced by monsoonal events and movements into and out of the area (BFAR 2012).

**Size Composition of Tuna Species Landed in Zambales**

Figure 12-16 shows the size composition of the dominant tuna species caught by major fishing gears in Zambales for the period of 2003-2012.

The size composition of *Auxis thazard* is presented in Figure 12. For handline fishing, 87.13% of the catch were above the length of maturity of 30 cm (Froese and Pauly 2014) while for purse seine, only 61.25% of this species were caught above the length of maturity.

As shown in Figure 13, the length of maturity for *Euthynnus affinis* ranges from 40-65 cm (Froese and Pauly 2014). Record shows that this species was usually caught in Zambales Coast below length of maturity both for handline and purse seine. Ninety three percent of the handline catch and 67.18% of the purse seine catch were below the length of maturity of this species.

The length of maturity for *Katsuwonus pelamis* is 40 cm as can be seen in Figure 14 (Froese and Pauly 2014). Based on the record, 72.80% of the handline catch and 57.27% of the purse seine catch for this species had sizes above the length of maturity. For multiple handline, only 29.69% of the catch was composed of mature skipjack tunas thus, 70% were composed of small skipjack tuna.

Figure 15 illustrates the size composition of *Thunnus albacares* caught in Zambales for the 10-year study period. The length of maturity for this species is 103 cm (Froese and Pauly 2014). Based on the gathered data, only 59.20% of the handline catch and 41.32% of the purse seine catch was above the length of maturity.

The length of maturity for *Thunnus obesus* is 50 cm as can be seen in Figure 16 (Froese and Pauly 2014). Based on the record, 91.00% of the handline catch and 96.25% of the purse seine catch was above the length of maturity. For multiple handline, only 35.36% of the catch was composed of mature skipjack tunas thus, 65% were composed of small skipjack tuna.
The monthly seasonal distribution of the three dominant tuna species being landed in Zambales during the period of study was also assessed. Peak in the catch of *Katsuwonus pelamis* was recorded in the first quarter of the year. For *Thunnus albacares*, the highest catch was observed on second and third quarters. The bulk of catch for *Thunnus obesus* appeared also on first and second quarters of the year. All of these species were observed to have almost consistent catch for the remaining quarters of every year.

The size composition of the seven tuna species for the period of 2003-2012 varies per species. Records show that most of the tuna species landed in Zambales were caught before they reach the length of maturity. Among those species, *T. albacares* and *T. obesus* recorded the highest percentage of small tuna catch.
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APPENDIX
Tuna species Caught by Different Fishing Gears in Zambales, 2003-2012

Species: Auxis rochei
English Name: Bullet Tuna
Local Name: Bonito/Piyok

Species: Auxis thazard
English Name: Frigate Tuna
Local Name: Tulingan (Ganaden 1999)

Species: Euthynnus affinis
English Name: Eastern Little Tuna/Kawakawa
Local Name: Tulingan (Ganaden 1999)

Species: Katsuwonus pelamis
English Name: Skipjack Tuna
Local Name: Gulyasan (Ganaden 1999)

Species: Thunnus albacares
English Name: Yellowfin Tuna
Local Name: Tambakol (Ganaden 1999)

Species: Thunnus alalunga
English Name: Albacore
Local Name: Tambakol/Bonito

Species: Thunnus obsesus
English Name: Bigeye Tuna
Local Name: Tambakol (Ganaden 1999)

Species: Thunnus tonggol
English Name: Longtail Tuna
Local Name: Tambakol/Bonito (Ganaden 1999)