Seasonal changes in predator community switch the direction of selection for prey defences
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Insect communities consist of aposematic species with efficient warning colours against predation, as well as abundant examples of crypsis. To understand such coexistence, we here report results from a field experiment where relative survival of artificial larvae, varying in conspicuousness, was estimated in natural bird communities over an entire season. This takes advantage of natural variation in the proportion of naive predators: naivety peaks when young birds have just fledged. We show that the relative benefit of warning signals and crypsis changes accordingly. When naive birds are rare (early and late in the season), conspicuous warning signals improve survival, but conspicuousness becomes a disadvantage near the fledging time of birds. Such temporal structuring of predator–prey relationships facilitates the coexistence of diverse antipredatory strategies and helps explain two patterns we found in a 688-species community of Lepidopterans: larval warning signals remain rare and occur disproportionately often in seasons when predators are educated.
Animal colouration, ranging from camouflage to conspicuous warning signals, beautifully exemplifies the power of natural selection in action. Camouflage evolved to conceal prey in the presence of predators, while conspicuous warning signals (aposematism) evolved to warn potential predators that a prey item is not profitable; that is, it has defences against predation. Explaining the evolution of aposematism has been challenging, as only most effective visual warning signals function as an anti-predatory strategy and the associated conspicuousness places a warning-coloured animal at risk. To yield a net benefit, avoidance by educated predators must outweigh the mortality costs by naive predators. However, unless avoidance has evolved to be fully innate, every predator generation has naive (young) predators. If they target conspicuous prey and ignore the possible defence mechanism before the prey has been killed or injured, the problem of apparent altruism reappears continually: other prey individuals with similar phenotypes benefit as the predator becomes educated, but the individual educating the predator suffers a cost. If the predators’ innate avoidance or neophobic behaviour is weak or absent, explaining aposematism is challenging not only in its initial stages but in its maintenance phase too. The problematic need to educate naive predators never disappears.

In seasonal environments, birds breed largely synchronously. The age structure of the predator community therefore changes predictably over the breeding season. The proportion of juveniles increases very rapidly as the progeny of the year start feeding. The age structure of the predator community thus changes over the breeding season, which suggests that juvenile fledging time is important to note that the advantages refer to relative survival. Absolute survival of prey may be additionally linked to variations in alternative prey availability; in times of high insect abundance, per capita survival can increase despite breeding efforts of birds, also causing high predator activity. Although such factors have an impact on the absolute values of survival that can be expected, we focus here on changes in relative survival between prey types, as this determines the direction of selection (that is, whether warning colours or crypsis is favoured).

To test for seasonal variation in the survival of cryptic or warning-coloured individuals created by a naturally occurring changes in the predator community, we studied attack rates on three artificial larval types (cryptic, small warning signal and large warning signal) by fitting a set of candidate models to data and estimating their support based on Akaike information criteria (AIC). All models with at least moderate support, including the two models with clearly best support, agree on a general pattern in which the survival of warning-coloured prey increases over time and exceeds that of cryptic prey early and late in the season, but not in between (Table 1 and Fig. 1a). Thus, perfect and maximally strong signals are not required to yield the survival advantage once predators have started to avoid warning signals in general. The model selection procedure leaves it open whether large signals yield a consistent survival advantage over small signals (model E: small and large signal type have different intercepts) or not (model D: both warning-coloured prey types have identical survival that increases linearly over time, presumably because of increasing protection offered by growing vegetation and/or the availability of alternative prey). It is well known that a large, strong warning signal can promote predator-avoidance learning compared with a small signal, but the relative benefit remains weak because of the detectability cost.

Changes in predator community structure. The changes in the survival of the artificial larvae match the temporal variation in the predator community structure, based on data of timing of young insectivorous birds leaving their nests and starting to feed on their own. The timing of naive predators in the predator community was calculated from the insectivorous birds’ nestling ringing data of 29 species (Supplementary Table 1), by estimating the timing of fledglings leaving their nests (approximately 1 week after ringing; The Finnish Ringing Centre). The same pattern can be found in a different data set of immature and mature birds (59 species, Supplementary Table 2) mist-netted throughout the summer (Supplementary Fig. 2). As the latter data set comprises birds that were caught in flight, it reflects the activity of the birds in each age group. From the nest-ringing data, the first passerine birds were estimated to leave their nests during week 21 (21–27 May) when the mist-netting data (Supplementary Fig. 2) shows that the first juvenile birds were caught 3 weeks later, during week 24 (11–17 June). The date when fledged birds start actively feeding on their own lies somewhere between these two estimates. Based on these two data sets, the peak date for the abundance of
naive juveniles was estimated as 9 July (day 190 and week 28 from the beginning of the year; Fig. 1b).

**Warning colours on macrolepidopteran species.** Given that the survival benefit of warning colouration changes seasonally and matches the temporal pattern in the experience level of insectivorous birds, we tested whether the consequences of temporally varying selection are visible in the prevalence of warning-coloured Lepidopteran larvae at different times of the breeding season of predators. Warning-coloured species should time their life cycle such that their larvae feed when the main fledgling period of predators is over and the juveniles have already gained experience with warning colours. If predators remember warning signals for a time period of several months or, in the case of migratory species, gain further education at overwintering sites, warning colouration keeps its benefits into spring, before the fledging of new juvenile predators.

To examine the occurrence of free-living warning-coloured Lepidopteran species (we excluded those species, N = 57, whose larvae live hidden in the stems of plants), we scored 688 photographs of Finnish macrolepidopteran larvae depicting warning colours of the last larval instar (see Methods). A total of 15.1% of larvae were classified as having features of warning colouration (classes 1–3, see Methods; see Fig. 2a for examples). Of these, 36.5% were classified as having some features of warning colouration, 31.7% as moderately warning coloured and 31.7% as strongly warning coloured. For each Lepidopteran species we also estimated the timing of occurrence and the abundance of last instar larvae (see Methods).

The prevalence of warningly coloured macrolepidopteran larvae was lowest during the main fledgling period of insectivorous birds, and the last-instar occurrence of a warningly coloured larva was significantly further away from the estimated peak juvenile time of insectivorous birds (9 July) than the corresponding timing of a non-warning-coloured larva (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 3a). This holds true both for spring (Supplementary Table 3b), when warning-coloured larvae occur earlier than non-warning-coloured ones, and for fall, where they occur later (Supplementary Table 3c). However, the relatively species-poor springtime data remain significant only in a part of the parameter range where we assume moderate to strong differences in larval abundance between species. As warning colouration is usually not only confined to the last instar of a larva, we checked whether our conclusions changed if the larval abundance was assumed to peak 10 days before the date given for the occurrence of the last instar; all significance levels remained unchanged.

**Discussion**

Crypsis yields higher survival than warning colouration for artificial larvae as soon as fledglings begin to forage (Fig. 1a,b and Supplementary Fig. 2). Thus, naive predators can strongly and quickly alter selection for warning colouration versus crypsis. The relative advantage of crypsis declines rapidly: by the end of July, it has disappeared altogether. Our results highlight the ecological risks involved in warning colouration as a defence strategy against non-educated predators and suggest a clear reason for the relatively small number of Lepidopteran species (of 688 species, only 5% were classified as strongly signalling) that use this strategy. Certainly many biotic, abiotic and phylogenetic factors all affect larval colouration in Lepidopteran larvae, which can potentially restrain the evolution of warning colouration in many species. Nevertheless, as the small signalling artificial larvae survived equally well as the larvae with large warning signal (Fig. 1a), our results further suggests that conspicuousness against predators is costly. A large orange patch on the black larva makes it an easy target to detect, which makes such lifestyle dangerous whenever there is a risk that environment features naive predators.

Although our focus was on the direction of relative survival, which switches twice, it also appears appropriate to comment on overall trends in absolute survival. The abundance of alternative prey increases over the summer, as does the height and density of the vegetation; such factors are potential candidates for explaining why Fig. 1a as a whole features increasing survival (per prey item) over the season. As our data set relies on naturally occurring temporal variation, we have not removed the fact that several seasonal changes co-occur. Teasing apart the role of each explanation is consequently not simple; for example, the conspicuousness difference between warningly coloured prey and cryptic prey could increase as the vegetation grows more dense. However, it is noteworthy that any explanation that relies on a variable that increases (or decreases) continually over the season is an unlikely alternative explanation for our observed pattern where crypsis is favoured both early and late in the season; our preferred explanation, the naivety of the predator community, offers a clear temporal peak matching our results, as

| Table 1 | The eight tested models, model outcome in terms of the temporal pattern of survival differences between larval types. |

| Model (O) | AIC | Δi | Wi | Temporal pattern |
|-----------|-----|----|----|-----------------|
| D warning-coloured: identical linear cryptic: non-linear | 1,449.21 | 0.36 | Both signals: W (5 June) C (27 July) W |
| E warning-coloured: linear diff.intercept cryptic: non-linear | 1,449.28 | 0.07 | Small signal: W (3 June) C (30 July) W |
| F warning-coloured: different linear cryptic: non-linear | 1,450.09 | 0.23 | Large signal: W (8 June) C (24 July) W |
| H all different, non-linear | 1,452.76 | 3.55 | Small signal: W (3 May) C (28 July) W |
| G all identical, non-linear | 1,459.91 | 10.70 | Survival of all types increases with time |
| A all identical, linear | 1,466.85 | 17.64 | Survival of all types increases with time |
| B all linear, warning-c diff. from cryptic | 1,470.23 | 21.02 | Survival of all types increases with time |
| C all different and linear | 1,471.33 | 22.12 | Survival of all types increases with time |

AIC, Akaike Information Criteria. Models are listed in order of increasing AIC values (Δi, score differences Δi and Akaike weights Wi).

The column ‘temporal pattern’ summarizes the outcome by giving the date-specific winning type. Thus ‘W (5 June) C (27 July) W’ means that the model predicts the warning-coloured type to have higher survival than the cryptic type before 5 June and after 27 July but not between those dates. This is given separately for the different warning-signal colours where the model differentiates between them.
that generalization can be wide). Generalized learning explains why aposematic organisms can trust their warning colours as soon as most predators have learned to avoid them. Yet, in the middle of every summer, the problems related to the initial evolution of aposematism recur: there is a continuous need to educate a large number of naïve predators. Accordingly, warning colours are less often found in larvae that occur during this time of the year. The fast decline of the benefit of crypsis implies, however, that young predators learn sufficiently fast so that late-occurring larvae enjoy an environment in which predators are already educated. The seasonal changes in the colouration of the real larval community suggest that this is evolutionary significant: later occurring species essentially exploit the education effort by earlier species. Given that there are other factors that can constrain the timing of life history events (direct effects of weather on growth and metabolic rate, and the seasonal availability or quality of food plants), our work uncovers an intriguing possibility of a multi-species evolutionary game where it is safer to feed later in the season than other prey, but a combination of other fitness components prevents all species from exploiting the late niche of relative safety equally. This gradual change from crypsis-dominated prey community to one displaying more warning colours, in turn, provides predators with opportunities to learn over time, a requisite for maintaining the pattern that we observe.

**Methods**

**Survival of artificial caterpillars in natural vegetation.** To estimate the efficacy of protective uation in different seasons with changing predator community age structure, we conducted experiments using three types of artificial plasticine larvae (length: 3.85 cm; width: 8 mm, Black: Caran d’Ache Model colour 0259.009 and orange: Creative Art Modeling clay) with either a small (round: a girth of 4–5 mm) or large (patch length: 3.45 cm; width: 7 mm) orange warning signal located roughly one quarter from one end of the larva, or no warning colours at all (larvae all black) (Supplementary Fig. 1). The total number of artificial larvae was 1,243. We estimated the attack rate by wild birds on these larval types by attaching larvae triplets with a metal wire to natural vegetation (at height 20–75 cm) in open and semi-open environments in the Jyväskylä area of southern Finland (62°14′ N, 24°43′ E). All three different types of larvae were placed in all types of backgrounds. To mimic the natural conditions, larvae were placed on the stems and lower parts of plants rather than on the leaves and upper part of plants where they would maximize their visibility to predators. Larvae were distributed widely (over an area of about 50 km²). Different triplets were never closer than 20 meters apart and larvae within that day’s, which placed occupied 5 m apart. Experimental conditions were randomly occupied 19 different dates spanning 21 May (week 21) to 19 August (week 33)—with at least one experimental date per week, except week 25—involved placing an average of 20 triplets; the same location was never used twice; therefore, any single predator was unlikely to re-encounter artificial larvae during the experiment. The larvae were checked for bird attack marks 5 days after they were attached to vegetation. Beak-marked larvae were recorded as killed. Excluding the 72 larvae lost with an unknown fate yields data on 1,171 larvae in total.

To ensure that all three larval types were positioned equally, we assessed the visibility (0 – not visible, 1 – partly visible and 2 – totally visible) of the larvae from above, as well as from 2 m distance horizontally from four orthogonal directions. To mimic the natural conditions, larvae were placed on the stems and lower parts of plants rather than on the leaves and upper part of plants where they would maximize their visibility to predators. Larvae were distributed widely (over an area of about 50 km²). Different triplets were never closer than 20 meters apart and larvae within that day’s, which placed occupied 5 m apart. Experimental conditions were randomly occupied 19 different dates spanning 21 May (week 21) to 19 August (week 33)—with at least one experimental date per week, except week 25—involving placing an average of 20 triplets; the same location was never used twice; therefore, any single predator was unlikely to re-encounter artificial larvae during the experiment. The larvae were checked for bird attack marks 5 days after they were attached to vegetation. Beak-marked larvae were recorded as killed. Excluding the 72 larvae lost with an unknown fate yields data on 1,171 larvae in total.

**Signal conspicuousness.** To test the visibility of the three types of signals, we attached 30 artificial larvae, 10 of each signal type (black, small orange signal and large orange signal), to natural vegetation with a metal wire at height of 30–90 cm. The area was 20 m × 20 m and the vegetation height was about 120 cm. The dominant plants were tea-leaved willows (Salix phylicifolia) and fireweeds (Epilobium angustifolium). Larvae were placed randomly in the vegetation. Twenty-one (n = 21) biology students, who had been shown the three types of larvae before the experiment, each searched for the larvae for 7 min and the order in which they found different larval types was recorded. Each different larval types found were given a score: first 1, second 2 and so on: 384 out of 600 larvae were found by the students. Next, we calculated the total scores for each larval type; low scores indicate high conspicuousness. The larvae with a large orange signal (score mean = 154.5, s.e.m. = 5.8) were the easiest to find (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Z = 204.5, s.e.m. = 6.1) and the black larva (score mean = 185.7, s.e.m. = 7.7; Wilcoxon signed-rank test
Estimation of the survival of artificial larvae. Complying with statistical recommendations, we kept the set of candidate models small\(^{24,25}\) (Table 1). Each model specifies the 5-day survival probability \(S_5(d)\) as either a linear function of time, \(S_5(d) = a + b \times d\) (denoting days since 1 January), or a quadratic form \(S_5(d) = a + b \times d + c \times d^2\) that peaks at day \(d = c\). The model does not force the peak to occur within the timeframe of the experiment; thus, the quadratic form also allows for curved shapes with, for example, continually improving survival throughout the experiment. Models vary in the number of parameters, depending on whether the model is linear or quadratic, whether different larval types had independent or identical parameter values and whether the position of the peak was set as \(a \leq d\) or \(b = 0.001\), then \(a = b = d\), on, for example, \(d = 180\) would predict \(S_5(d) = 0.28\). If we then observed that 5 out of 15 larvae placed that day survived, the log-likelihood of this observation is obtained as the above sum and equals \(5 \ln(0.28) + 10 \ln(1 - 0.28) = -9.65\). If another model produces \(S_5(d)\) that is closer to the observed survival (5/15 in this example), then its log likelihood is higher. The entire data set over the entire season provides the sum of all such values, with the predictions \(S_5(d)\) obviously changing with \(d\) as the season progressed. The AIC approach enters the best total log-likelihood obtainable within each model structure to form a tabulated list of the AIC values, which additionally penalize models that include many parameters\(^{18,19}\).

Note that the AIC differences produced are identical to an approach that considers the likelihood of observing a specific number of surviving larvae based on the binomial distribution grouped according to weeks (the equivalence arises because the factorials of each binomial distribution are necessarily identical across models for the same data sets).

### Predator community structure

We estimated temporal changes in predator community based on two different data sets. The ringing data (obtained from the Finnish Ringing Centre) comprises 29 insectivorous passerine species ringed in southern Finland in the summer of 2005, total \(n = 8,797\). It reflects the onset of the predictable change in the predator community, allowing us to estimate when naive predators start feeding on their own. The mist-net data set (likewise obtained from the Finnish Ringing Centre) gives us an estimate of when the juveniles are abundantly foraging on their own.

Note that birds that nest in nest boxes are overrepresented in the ringing data due to the relative ease of finding their nests. In addition, some very common species may be underrepresented if they fail to attract the interest of ringers in proportion to their abundance. Yet, we do not believe such issues to cause a bias in assessing the nest-leaving date: although the peak laying date of species breeding in nest boxes is later than those with open nests, the earliest chicks fledge at the same time.

The mean age of ringed chicks was 7 days and passerine birds usually leave their nest at the age of 14 days (The Finnish Ringing Centre). Thus, we estimated the nest-leaving date by adding 7 days to the date of the ringing of the chicks. However, fledglings do not begin feeding on their own immediately after leaving their nest; they are mainly dependent on their parents’ feeding for at least a week (The Finnish Ringing Centre). Therefore, we expect the young birds to begin to have a significant effect on the prey species on average 2 weeks after ringing. We additionally estimated the changes in insectivorous bird community structure based on a data set of mist-netted birds (59 species) in 14 localities (total \(n = 3,925\), comprising 1,666 adult and 2,259 immature birds) throughout the summer 2005 in southern Finland. The mist nettings were performed between 1 May and 30 September, 12 times in each locality, but on different dates in different localities. The birds born in the summer 2005 were recorded as immature (juvenile) and the individuals from previous years were recorded as adults (ad). As this data is based on birds that were caught in flight, it tells us about the activity of the birds in each age group (see Supplementary Fig. 2). By comparing this data with the nest ringing data, we obtain a more complete estimate of the time when juvenile birds start feeding actively and their proportion in the predator community.

### Warning colours on macrolepidopteran species

Southern Finland (below latitude 66°) has 759 resident macrolepidopteran species, of which we scored 750 for warning colours on the last larval instar. Scoring was performed based on slides taken by Kimmo Silvennoinen, who has hand-reared the larvae and photographed each specimen on their natural host plants. The non-scored nine species were the rarest ones and thus unlikely to affect our analysis. From the final analysis (Supplementary Table 3), we excluded those species (\(n = 57\)) that complete their larval life within the host plant (for example, *Ipimorpha retusa*) and are thus not exposed to visually hunting predators. The final analysis thus comprised 688 Lepidoptera species.

Two evaluators, who are keen lepidopterists (KO and KS) assigned species to one of four categories (see Fig. 2a):

1. no typical warning colours; typical warning colours (for example, yellow, red, orange combined with black) not present. Colourful larvae that match their host plant colouration as well as the masquerading caterpillars were included in this category (\(n = 584\)).
2. some features of warning colouration: small, specific typical warning colours such as orange, yellow or red areas on otherwise relatively cryptic body (\(n = 38\)).
3. moderate warning colouration: clear orange, yellow or red areas, or conspicuous black and white patterns (\(n = 33\)).
4. strong warning colouration: extremely conspicuous; large orange, yellow or red areas, often combined with black or white (n = 33).

When the evaluators did not agree in their assessment (3.9% of the species), further pictures of larvae in their natural environment were checked to reach agreement. Some of the species are polymorphic in their appearance; in these cases we classified the species according to the more numerous morph. Note that as we only examined whether the species had typical warning colours against their natural host plant, it is possible that some species with atypical warning signals (for example, ultraviolet colours) were scored as non-warning coloured. Ultraviolet signals are, however, known to be extremely rare in caterpillars. Moreover, it is noteworthy that our classification does not distinguish between aposematic organisms and their Batesian mimics, as we did not study whether each species has chemical defence against predation. However, it is also noteworthy that the temporal switch in selection is expected to apply to both categories equally.

We recognize that our classification to four categories was crude, as bird vision is known to differ from the human vision. For instance patterning, in addition to colours, can also influence detectability; for example, elongated patterns are more visible than small dots. However, elongated pattern elements typically are also larger in size and will be classified more conspicuous than a specimen with the same colours arranged in small dots. We aimed at a scale of analysis that prevents us from acquiring fresh samples of all caterpillar larvae required by standard colour analysis, and available data on lepidopteran colours and their bird-eye-corrected natural vision are not always available. We aimed at a scale of analysis that prevents us from acquiring fresh samples of all caterpillar larvae required by standard colour analysis, and available data on lepidopteran colours and their bird-eye-corrected natural vision are not always available.

To account for the different dimensions of the larval species, we also estimated the time when each of the species occurs as a last-instar larvae in southern Finland. The estimation of the timing of the large larvae of a species was based on its life cycle. We recorded both the timing of the adult flight of adults as well as the overwintering stage of the larvae. The last-instar larvae of a species was based on its life cycle. We recorded both the timing of the adult flight of adults as well as the overwintering stage of the larvae. The last-instar larvae were estimated to occur 2 weeks before the onset of the adult flight. If the species had more than one generation per year, the timing of the larval stage of the large larvae of the species was based on the more numerous morph. Note that as we only examined whether the species had typical warning colours against their natural host plant, it is possible that some species with atypical warning signals (for example, ultraviolet colours) were scored as non-warning coloured. Ultraviolet signals are, however, known to be extremely rare in caterpillars. Moreover, it is noteworthy that our classification does not distinguish between aposematic organisms and their Batesian mimics, as we did not study whether each species has chemical defence against predation. However, it is also noteworthy that the temporal switch in selection is expected to apply to both categories equally.
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