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Abstract The efficacy of therapeutic modalities in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) depends on both genetic and epigenetic mechanisms. This review focuses on epigenetic mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of CML and in resistance of tumor cells to tyrosine kinase inhibitors leading to the leukemic clone escape and propagation. Regulatory events at the levels of gene regulation by transcription factors and microRNAs are discussed in the context of CML pathogenesis and therapeutic modalities.
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Introduction

It has been over half a century since a specific chromosomal aberration – the Philadelphia chromosome (Ph chromosome), was found in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) [1], but still many questions regarding molecular mechanisms of pathogenesis in CML remain unanswered. The CML genetics provided detailed analyses of the Ph chromosome that is a result of a reciprocal translocation t(9;22)(q34;q11) accompanied by breaks on long arms of chromosome 9 (9q34) proximally from the ABL gene and on long arms of chromosome 22(q11) with BCR (Breakpoint Cluster Region) [2]. The hybrid gene BCR-ABL product translates a chimeric protein with strong and constitutive tyrosine kinase activity that phosphorylates target proteins to facilitate expansion of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. The end of the last century was marked by significant progress in CML treatment following the introduction of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) imatinib (IM) that binds to the kinase domain (KD) of BCR-ABL and inhibits its tyrosine kinase activity [3]. Despite the high efficiency of imatinib therapy, still approximately 30 % of patients develop resistance to imatinib resulting in first line therapy failure. Imatinib resistance due to the mutations in KD of BCR-ABL can be bypassed by 2nd line TKIs such as dasatinib or nilotinib [4]. Resistance to the 2nd line therapy also develops and not surprisingly is also associated with specific KD mutations [5]. Genetic mechanisms at the level of KD sequence integrity must be important; but other mechanisms of primary or acquired resistance to TKI are also now being studied including additional clonal aberrations, BCR-ABL overexpression, and TKI bioavailability. However, apart from BCR-ABL, there are other genetic or epigenetic alterations that are still unknown as they may contribute to CML stem cells survival during a long term TKI therapy that successfully inhibits the BCR-ABL activity but is not curative. One can imagine that TKI therapy may in the future benefit from being combined with other agents in order to achieve deep and long term molecular responses.

Abnormal epigenetic regulation of the expression of CML-associated genes may play a critical role in its pathogenesis and in the mechanisms modulating therapeutic responsiveness. Epigenetics is thought to involve well recognized regulatory mechanisms of gene expression such as DNA methylation or covalent post-translational modifications of histone core proteins that lead to changes in the chromatin accessibility for mRNA transcription regulation [6, 7]. As well as nuclear events, other mechanisms including non-coding RNA-mediated (by microRNAs, miRs) specific mRNA silencing at the levels of translation and RNA stability are also considered to be very powerful epigenetic...
mediators to modulate CML expression profiles and phenotypic outcomes. MicroRNAs are able to control hundreds of mRNAs and thus they control broad physiological and pathological features including tumor aggressiveness. Unlike mRNAs, microRNAs are stable and therefore can be routinely quantitated and potentially may also serve as disease biomarkers.

This review summarizes the role of epigenetics in CML, and focuses on DNA methylation and histone modification as well as post-transcriptional effects of microRNAs in the pathogenesis of CML from diagnosis and throughout treatment.

DNA Methylation in CML

The methylation of CpG islands is an active enzymatic and transcription-inhibiting control mechanism that balances the levels of gene expression that is frequently dysregulated in hematological malignancies. A large number of genes (mostly tumor suppressors) are inactivated by hypermethylation of CpG islands mainly in the promoter regions while some genes (such as oncogenes) are hypomethylated. This phenomenon has been documented to play a critical role in both solid tumors and leukemias [8].

ABL1 (v-abl Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1) methylation at its Pa promoter represents a likely marker of CML pathogenesis [9, 10]. The frequency of methylation in chronic phase (CP) CML however ranges from 26 % [11] to 77 % [12], 78 % [10] and 81 % [13•]. Sun et al. [14] confirmed the high incidence of Pa methylation in CP bone marrow (BM) samples in contrast to normal BM. They observed copies of ABL1 promoter Pa to be methylated 20–60 % in BM from 7 CP CML patients at diagnosis. No Pa methylation was detected in normal BMs or colonies derived from them. On the other hand, most colonies from CP CML patients were methylated at the Pa. The authors suggested that ABL1 Pa methylation was an early marker of CML in BM. Asimakopoulos et al. [9] demonstrated that in accelerated phase (AP) CML, methylation is likely to be an allele-specific process, since each progenitor cell carries both methylated and unmethylated alleles. This paragraph documents the promising but also quite highly disputed significance of the ABL1 hypermethylation in CML.

One of the most frequently studied genes in leukemias is the cell cycle regulating gene p15 (CDKN2B). Cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor p15 (p15INK4b) together with CDK4 or CDK6 are known to negatively regulate cyclin D transcription leading to inhibition of cell cycle progression. Abnormal hypermethylation of p15 gene regions has been associated with the disease progression in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) [15, 16] and with the poor outcome in acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) [17]. The clinical importance of p15 methylation in AML patients is not conclusive [18, 19]. Similarly, the significance of p15 methylation in CML patients is not fully understood as the p15 promoter in CML patients is hypomethylated [20, 21], while others observed p15 hypermethylation in 18 % and 24 % of patient samples, respectively [12, 22]. To conclude, p15 methylation in CML patients requires additional work to fully understand its clinical relevance.

Ras association domain-containing protein 1 (RASSF1A) is another candidate gene for DNA methylation. Avramouli et al. [23] however did not observe in 41 CML patients in different stages of the disease any methylation of RASSF1A that is normally involved in cell cycle control. In contrast, RASSF1A was methylated in the CML-derived K562 cell line. Methylation of RASSF1A is not likely to be critical step in the pathogenesis and/or the progression of primary CML samples, although it seems to have clinical importance in Hodgkin disease [24] and in epithelial cancers [25].

Methylation of additional genes was later associated with progression of CML and potential resistance to TKI treatment. For instance, two genes involved in development, TFAP2A (transcription factor AP-2 alpha) and EBF2 (early B-cell factor 2), were found to be more methylated in blast crisis (BC) compared to CP in the study of 55 CP CML and 8 BC CML samples [25]. The autophagy related 16-like 2 gene ATG16L2 was methylated in 69 % of CML patients. Patients with methylated ATG16L2 had a lower probability of achieving a major molecular response (MMR) at 12 or 18 months compared with the unmethylated cases at baseline [25].

One of the candidate tumor suppressor genes, often methylated in many malignancies, is the death-associated protein kinase 1 DAPK1. The DAPk subfamily is part of the Ser/Thr kinase family, whose members show significant homology in their catalytic domains and have cell death-associated functions such as the capability of inducing apoptosis. Qian et al. [26] examined the methylation pattern of DAPK1 in 49 CML patients at different stages of the disease (29 patients in CP, 3 in AP and 17 in BC). aberrant methylation of DAPK1 was observed in 51 % of CML cases. A correlation between gender and methylation status of DAPK1 and also with the CML stages was found. These results indicate that DAPK1 methylation may play a significant role in the CML progression.

Recently, a significant methylation in CML progressed to AP or BC was found near five genes that were selected based on genome wide methylation studies in K562 cells, OSCP1 and TFAP2E, or were detected to be hypermethylated in patients with myeloproliferative neoplasms, PGRA and PGRB; CDKN2B (p15) was found to be deleted in K562 [13]. Moreover, a significant increase in the number of methylated genes in advanced stages of the disease was
also noted. On the other hand, no significant differences in overall survival between patients in CP with 0–4 hypermethylated genes compared to CP with 4–10 hypermethylated genes were observed.

The methylation status of additional genes observed in several studies with CML patients in different stages of disease is summarized in Table 1.

### DNA Methylation Inhibitors (DNMTi) in CML

Nowadays, several drugs, especially demethylating agents, targeting DNA methyl transferase 1, are being utilized in AML and MDS [32] and are strongly considered also in CML. The most abundantly tested DNMTi are 5-azacitidine and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (decitabine). These agents are incorporated into both DNA and RNA during replication or transcription, respectively, and inhibit effects of DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) [33]. Kantarijian et al. [34] reported the results of decitabine treatment in 130 CML patients not previously treated with imatinib. The patients were treated with decitabine at 100 mg/m² over 6 hours every 12 hours x 5 days (1000 mg/m²) in the first 13 patients, 75 mg/m² in the subsequent 33 patients, and 50 mg/m² in the remaining 84 patients. Hematological response rates were 28 % in BC, 55 % in AP, and 63 % in CP. Severe and prolonged myelosuppression was one of the side effects during this therapy. A median of three courses were required to achieve optimal response suggesting that the decitabine effect on leukemia cells is slow but continuous. A phase I study of low-dose prolonged exposure to decitabine treatment in 50 patients (44 with AML/MDS, 5 CML, and 1 acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)) with myeloid malignancies has shown that most of the hematologic and/or cytogenetic responses were achieved at a dose of 15 mg/m² for 10 days [35, 36]. Decitabine was also used in a phase II trial in 25 CML patients previously treated with imatinib that developed resistance [21] at a dose of 15 mg/m² intravenously daily, 5 days a week for 2 weeks in combination with imatinib 600 mg orally daily in 18 and 10 patients with CML in AP and BC, respectively. The combination therapy was well tolerated with mild toxicities limited to myelosuppression. Similarly to the phase I trial, where decitabine was applied alone, the overall hematologic response rate was 30 % in BC and 50 % in AP. Moreover, the hematologic response rate was higher in patients without any mutation in the BCR-ABL kinase domain (KD) than in those with mutations. Based on these findings, the authors suggested that decitabine cannot efficiently destroy tumor cell resistant to imatinib.

Hydralazine and valproate are now being tested for their potential to inhibit DNA methylation and histone deacetylation, although they are commonly used as an anti-hypertensive and epileptic drug, respectively. Additionally, they have shown promising results in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy or alone in the treatment of several solid and hematologic neoplasias, including MDS [37, 38]. Cervera et al. [39] evaluated the effect of these agents on the response rates and their role in reverting the resistance to IM in CML patients with acquired resistance who had no access to 2nd generation TKI [39]. Eight patients received hydralazine 182 mg (fast acetylators) or 83 mg (slow acetylators) daily, magnesium valproate 30 mg/kg t.i.d. and the same dose of IM at the time of progression. Mild neurologic toxicity was the only side effect during the treatment and no toxicity of grade 3/4 was observed. All but one of the patients achieved hematologic and cytogenetic responses or at least stabilization of disease and the overall survival was 63 % at 18 months.

### Therapy Modulating Histone Modifications in CML

Histone modifications are an integral part of gene regulation involving transcription as well as other cellular processes within the nucleus. Histone modifications involve acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation [40] and other posttranslational modifications that mark sites of chromatin accessibility, DNA integrity and repair, transcription and replication [41]. Each of the core histones is strongly conserved throughout evolution and can be modified at arginine-(R) or lysine-(K) residues and also at other less studied residues [42]. Histone methylation and acetylation are the most investigated modifications that are reversible. In general, malignant tissues demonstrate not only methylation of DNA, but also changes in histone modification resulting in chromatin condensation rate with effects on proliferation, differentiation and finally on tumor suppression. Pro- and anti-apoptotic genes and cell cycle genes are also widely studied targets of histone modifications in

### Table 1 DNA methylation status in CML patients

| Gene           | Methylation status by CML stages % |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|
|                | CP      | AP       | BC       |
| Calcitonin [27] | 6       | 63       | 92       |
| HIC1 [28]      | 50      | –        | 100      |
| ER [29]        | 50      | –        | 100      |
| PDLIM4 [13+]   | 13      | 29       | 33       |
| HOXA4 [30]     | 60      | –        | 91       |
| HOXA5 [30]     | 33      | –        | 87       |
| DDIIT3 [31]    | 68      | 100      | 53       |

CP Chronic phase; AP Accelerated phase; BC Blast crisis
cancer cells [43, 44]. Specific enzymatic machinery is involved in gene regulatory effects involving specific histone acetylation including histone deacetylases (HDAC) and histone acetyltransferases (HATs). In CML, histone modifications have been studied since HDAC inhibitors were developed.

HDAC inhibitors, that may have the potential to restore normal acetylation of histone proteins and transcription factors, can be classified into several groups: aliphatic acids (phenylbutyrate), cyclic peptides (romidepsin), benzamides (entinostat), electrophilic ketones, and hydroxamates (vorinostat) [33, 45]. At present, there also exist additional compounds such as panobinostat. In addition, valproic acid (VA), is also considered to have inhibition effects on HDAC. The results of the therapy with VA in combination with hydralazine are mentioned above.

Several HDAC inhibitors can target genes involved in the growth arrest and apoptosis, including one of the most studied inhibitors, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, vorinostat), which has been approved by FDA for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma [46]. Nimmanapalli et al. [47] observed that the treatment with SAHA alone induced the expression of p21 and/or p27, but decreased the mRNA and protein level of BCR-ABL in K562 and LAMA-84 cells. Moreover, co-treatment with SAHA and IM caused stronger down-regulation of the levels compared to either drug alone. The BCR-ABL protein level was also notably decreased in CD34+ cells derived from BC CML patients treated with IM and the apoptosis was induced. It is possible that the SAHA treatment enhances the cytotoxicity of IM and that it may show activity against imatinib-refractory BC CML [47]. These results are in accordance with the study of Fiskus et al. [48] evaluating the effects of vorinostat and dasatinib (2nd generation TKI) co-treatment, which caused attenuation of the BCR-ABL protein level and induction of apoptosis in imatinib-sensitive and imatinib-resistant cells as well as in primary CML cells from patients in advanced stages of the disease. This mechanism of how hydroxamates function on CML cells remains unclear. It is suggested that hydroxamates (LAQ824, LBH589, and vorinostat) may induce acetylation and inhibition of non-histone protein Heat Shock Protein 90 (a chaperone for BCR-ABL), and thus promotes polyubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of BCR-ABL [48, 49].

MicroRNAs in CML

As pointed out above, the posttranscriptional level is as important as chromatin level in guidance of the epigenetic regulations. MicroRNAs are evolutionarily conserved tiny RNA molecules with potentially great regulatory functions in many biological processes in humans, animals and plants. Their regulatory functions are processed through the complementarities of their “seed sequence” and 3’UTR of the targeted gene. If the complementarities are incomplete, the translation into a protein is blocked. In the case of perfect complementarities, the RNA-induced silencing complex (RICS) induces mRNA degradation.

The number of known microRNAs continuously increases. Up to now, more than 1000 pre-microRNAs have been annotated in the human genome. Based on bioinformatic predictions, it is expected that more than a half of gene products in the human genome may be regulated by microRNAs, with each microRNA capable of regulating hundreds of genes [50].

When studying microRNAs associated with CML, several important issues arose:

(i) what is the microRNA expression profile specificity in CML pathogenesis ?, (ii) can some microRNAs be regulated by BCR-ABL or do microRNAs target BCR-ABL ?, and finally (iv) what are the microRNA targets in CML and are they involved in CML-associated processes ?

We and others demonstrated that the expression profiles of mature microRNAs are typical for CML including both mixed as well as highly purified peripheral blood (PB)-derived cell populations [51, 52, 53]. In spite of the different biological materials and microarray platforms used in the studies, the published microRNA expression profiles clearly distinguished: CML patients from healthy individuals [51] and more importantly also found differences between clinically defined CML phases [53], and between patients either responding or not responding to TKI therapy [54]. MicroRNA-based expression profiles may reflect a transformation from CP to AP or BC of CML [53]. Despite the different blood cells and methods used for the microRNA expression profiling, significant overlap exists, and it may be crucial in understanding the aberrant CML hematopoiesis as well as the treatment resistance.

One of the hallmarks of CML is a decreased expression of miR-150 (in comparison to healthy individuals) in bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells (MNC) and CD34+ cells [51] and in MNC [52] and total leukocytes of PB [53] at CML diagnosis. A significantly reduced amount of miR-150 was found in MNC [52] and total leukocytes of PB also in AP and BC [53]. Moreover, miR-150 was significantly increased and recovered to the physiological level following the relatively short therapy with imatinib [52]. This is consistent with the data showing a normal level of miR-150 in CML patients-responders to imatinib [53]. Additionally, we found a uniform profile of the significant decrease of miR-150 expression in MNC and polymorphonuclear cells (PMNC) fractionated from peripheral blood of six CML patients at diagnosis in comparison to the levels in MNC patients at diagnosis in comparison to the levels in healthy individuals [51].
and PMNC of healthy individuals. After short treatment with imatinib (5–7 months from imatinib start), the amount of mir-150 significantly increased to normal levels in MNC and PMNC (unpublished data).

In several papers, the amount of miR-146a was found to be significantly decreased at CML diagnosis [51, 52, 53]. It was shown that miR-146a expression was normalized after imatinib treatment [52, 53]. A significantly decreased expression of miR-10a was reported in MNC and CD34+ cells of CML patients at diagnosis in comparison to healthy controls [51]. Aberrantly decreased levels of mir-10a were confirmed in MNC of PB at diagnosis [52]. However, after short treatment with imatinib, the microRNA levels were normalized.

We may find several discrepancies in the microRNA expression profiles in CML such as the mir-17-92 cluster also known as oncomir-1. The Mir-17-92 is a polycistronic cluster of six microRNAs with their increased expression levels in CD34+ cells of CML patients at diagnosis. In contrast, their levels were physiologically expressed in CD34+ blasts cells [55]. Interestingly, the expression levels of pri-miR-17-92 were found increased in CD34+ cells at the CML diagnosis and blast crisis [55]. We showed that the levels of mir-17-92 were significantly increased in leukocytes of PB in blast crisis while levels were normal or slightly increased at diagnosis [53]. In addition, Flamant et al. [52] found a decrease in expression of oncomir-1 in MNC PK 14 days after starting Imatinib. Recently, in a study with a small cohort of patients (n=17), it was suggested that the miR-451 expression level found in total leukocytes of PB at diagnosis may be associated with the response to IM therapy. In addition, it was shown that the miR-451 level may inversely correlate with the BCR-ABL transcript level in CML diagnosis [51, 52, 53]. It was also shown that miR-146a level may be associated with the response to IM therapy.

Function of miRNAs Regulated by BCR-ABL

Two important groups of miRNAs may play a significant role in the CML pathogenesis: (i) miRNAs that are inversely correlated with the BCR-ABL transcript level in CML diagnosis. In addition, it was shown that the miR-451 level may be associated with the response to IM therapy. The PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway is activated in imatinib naive cells, but under imatinib treatment, it may contribute to the resistant phenotype [57]. Recent work of Rokah et al. [58] supplemented the predicted genes in the annotated CML pathways being targets of mir-155, mir-31 and mir-564 that were found to be aberrantly expressed in K562 cell lines in comparison to blood cells of healthy individuals (also see Fig. 1).

Bioinformatic Prediction of miRNA Targets in CML

We and others showed that in silico predicted targeted genes of selected microRNAs may be associated with CML pathogenesis. The increased levels of 13 miRNAs (miR-19a, miR-19b, miR-17, miR-20, miR-92a, miR-106a, miR-221, miR-222, miR-126, miR-146a, miR-181a, miR-181b, let-7c, miR-155) and decreased levels of 4 miRNAs (miR-103, miR-150, miR-451, miR-144) in PB cells from blast crisis were used to uncover signaling pathways and their role in CML (Fig. 1) [53]. Some of the predicted proteins are involved in mitogen-activated pathway (MAP) kinase signaling that functionally interact with BCR-ABL. The miRNA may also regulate PI3K (phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase) pathway. The gene encoding PI3K is the predicted target of mir-181a, miR-221 and miR-19a (their levels were decreased in CML patients treated with imatinib) [53]. Decreased levels of the above mentioned miRNAs may contribute to the increased levels of PI3K and thus in the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway and it may potentially regulate resistance development in CML. AKT1 is a member of the anti-apoptotic pathway of PI3K that is associated with the BCR-ABL directed transformation and with the response to the TKI treatment. The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is activated in imatinib naive cells, but under imatinib treatment, it may contribute to the resistant phenotype [57]. Recent work of Rokah et al. [58] supplemented the predicted genes in the annotated CML pathways being targets of mir-155, mir-31 and mir-564 that were found to be aberrantly expressed in K562 cell lines in comparison to blood cells of healthy individuals (also see Fig. 1).

MMP16, which drives a membrane transmigration of cancer cells, and NFASC were predicted as targets of deregulated microRNAs in CML: miR-150 and miR-146a. For the other two deregulated molecules, mir-142-3p and mir-199b-5p, the following targets were predicted: ACSV2A, ANK3, ARHGEF12, C18orf25, CCN1, MAP3K11, MYH9, MYO5A, RBM47, RHEB, RNF38, and ZNF618 [52]. Genes encoding proteins that may be important in CML are also ARHGEF12 and MYH9. The ARHGEF12 is known as the LRG encoding Rho guanine factor gene essential for nucleotide exchange and was firstly identified as the fusion partner of MLL in acute myeloid leukemia. The MYH9 encodes the heavy chain of non-muscle myosin and its upregulation was described in myeloid cells during differentiation [58]. To conclude this part, targets of microRNAs may be involved in clinically relevant tasks in CML, but a detailed understanding of these targets will require additional studies.
downregulated by BCR-ABL and (ii) miRNAs that are upregulated by BCR-ABL.

Several miRNAs are regulated by BCR-ABL in the Ph+ cell lines:

1) **Pri-miR-17-92** transcription is directly regulated by c-MYC and also by BCR-ABL [55]. The inhibition of c-MYC using anti-c-MYC specific shRNA together with imatinib treatment induced the highest reduction in the amount of pri-miR-17-92 in K562 cells. As a consequence, nearly complete arrest of proliferation was observed following the inhibition of c-MYC expression. The inhibitory effect on growth of K562 through c-MYC silencing was partially reverted by the overexpression of ectopic construct encoding miR-17-19b. Altogether, the data support the existence of the BCR-ABL / c-MYC / miR-17-92 pathway in CML pathogenesis.

2) **miR-130a** is mediated by BCR-ABL in K562 cells [59]. After the BCR-ABL silencing in K562, the levels of miR-130a and miR-130b significantly decreased, whereas the expression of their putative target, the growth negative regulator CCN3, significantly increased. To prove that CCN3 is the target of miR130a/b, the HL-60 cell line was transfected individually with both miRNAs showing that the expression of the tumor suppressor gene CCN3 was repressed with a different intensity. Finally, overexpression of miR-130a (but not miR-130b) significantly inhibited protein expression of its target CCN3.

3) The expression levels of **miR-150** significantly increased following the inhibition of the BCR-ABL activity by imatinib treatment in the Ph+ cell line MOLM7 [53]. The MYB is a proved target gene of miR-150 and represents a transcription factor for the proliferation and survival of normal and leukemic blasts. Experiments on a murine model of BC CML showed that c-MYB is required for BCR-ABL driven leukemogenesis [60]. Thus, the reduction of miR-150 might contribute to the up-regulation of c-MYB. Moreover, an observed significant inverse correlation of miR-150 and MYB and with BCR-ABL expression.

**MicroRNAs may Directly Target BCR-ABL**

The identification of miRNAs that directly target the expression of BCR-ABL may play a role in the microRNA-based therapy in CML. A recent study showed that normal ABL, and also BCR-ABL, are targets of miR-203 [62]. The predicted duplexes, formed between the miR-203 “seed sequence” and 3’UTR of ABL, showed complete complementarity. The 3’UTR region of ABL is present in the BCR-ABL fusion gene. It was observed that the upstream region of the gene encoding miR-203 was hypermethylated in Ph+ leukemias, resulting in the absence of miR-203. After the reintroduction of miR-203 into the CML cell lines, K562 and KCL-22, expression of miR-203 was restored. Additionally, it was accompanied by an ABL and BCR-ABL decrease and dramatic reduction in the cell proliferation. It was shown that epigenetic inactivation through promoter region methylation of miR-203 might allow expression of ABL and BCR-ABL to escape the regulatory control. Epigenetic regulation of miR-203 expression represents an example of aberrant hypermethylation of the
promoter region of genes encoding microRNAs leading to an inappropriate increase of oncogene levels.

**Conclusion**

Significant progress in CML treatment has been achieved since the introduction of first and 2nd line tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Despite the high efficiency of the TKI therapy, some patients developed resistance due to mutations in the BCR-ABL kinase domain, resulting in therapy failure. Other mechanisms of resistance are not fully understood. Abnormal epigenetic regulation of the expression of important genes may play a critical role in the pathogenesis of CML and its resistance to therapy. Since epigenetic changes have the potential to be modulated, new drugs targeting different epigenetic mechanisms are strongly considered for the therapy of CML especially those that developed resistance to imatinib including demethylated agents and HDAC inhibitors. MicroRNAs are also potentially very important in the pathogenesis of CML. In the future, microRNA-based therapy is planned to be one of the approaches in CML management. This requires that we improve the chemical design of microRNA antisense oligonucleotides and microRNA mimics and we must to develop efficient delivery methods for microRNAs. Lastly, recent progress in biotechnology and bioinformatics provides novel promising tools (such as next generation sequencing) for de novo epigenetic factors characterization and deeper understanding of the epigenetic mechanisms to be successfully utilized for personalized CML therapy in the future.
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