ABSTRACT

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an immune-mediated, inflammatory disease characterized by repeated episodes of demyelination. **Objective:** The present study aimed to trace the epidemiological and functional profile of patients with Multiple Sclerosis treated at a Reference Hospital in Manaus, AM. **Method:** 80 charts were selected for screening and subsequent application of the Functional Independence Measure (MIF) scale. **Results:** 32 patients were included in the study, 23 females (74%), whose mean age was 35 (± 12) years, with a relationship between females and males of 2.5. The mean diagnostic time for men was 7.8 years and for women of 5.3 years. The mean score on the Total MIF scale was 110.9 (± 17.5). **Conclusion:** Epidemiological characteristics are in line with most similar studies, but lack further studies aimed at assessing the functionality of individuals with MS.
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RESUMO

A esclerose múltipla (EM) é uma doença imuno-mediada, inflamatória, caracterizada por repetidos episódios de desmielinização. **Objetivo:** Traçar o perfil epidemiológico e funcional dos pacientes com Esclerose Múltipla atendidos em um Hospital de Referência de Manaus-AM. **Método:** Foram selecionados 80 prontuários para triagem e posterior aplicação da escala de Medida de Independência Funcional (MIF). **Resultado:** Foram incluídos no estudo 32 pacientes, 23 do sexo feminino (74%) cuja média de idade era de 35 (± 12) anos verificando uma relação entre mulheres e homens de 2.5. O tempo de diagnóstico médio para os homens foi de 7.8 anos e para as mulheres de 5.3 anos. A média do escore na escala de MIF Total foi...
Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an autoimmune, inflammatory, demyelinating and chronic disease that affects the central nervous system (CNS); it is more common in young adults and has an unknown etiology. Given the differences in prevalence rates among populations, the most accepted pathogenic hypothesis presumes that the development of MS is influenced by an interaction among factors related to genetics, infectious agents, and environment. The illness is characterized by demyelination episodes that lead to multifocal clinical manifestations, which may be disabling, and functional deficits resulting from damage to parts of the neuraxis.

The incidence and prevalence of MS vary considerably around the world, presenting a significant relationship with ethnicity and geographical area. More recent studies have suggested that there are several regions in Brazil and Latin America where there are mixed-race populations (European, African and Indian) that have developed over many generations. As a consequence, these areas show peculiar population-shaping characteristics and important ethnic differences, which lead to distinct regional prevalence's of MS. A recent review defined five regional prevalence levels according to the number of affected people per 100,000 inhabitants: very low for 0 to 13; low for 13 to 38; intermediate for 38 to 70; high for 70 to 170; and very high for 170 to 350. In Brazil, the highest prevalence's are reported in the South and Southeast regions, which suggests the existence of a South–North gradient. Even with this difference, the country is considered to have low prevalence of MS, with an average number of 15 affected people per 100,000 inhabitants.

Because of diversity of symptoms and physiopathological processes, MS can vary in its symptomatic presentation and follow an unpredictable, complex and heterogeneous clinical evolution. Based on the decision of experts from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society in 1999, and according to clinical criteria characterized by progression or outbreak occurrence, the disease can be classified into several forms: relapsing-remitting (RRMS), primary progressive (PPMS), secondary progressive (SPMS) and progressive-relapsing (PRMS), as well as being categorized as benign or malignant. RRMS is the most common, and is characterized by clearly defined exacerbations, with complete recovery or permanent consequences and residual deficits. The other three types – PPMS, SPMS and PRMS – are marked by periods of progression of the disease.

It is known that, after an injury to the CNS, many symptoms may imply the development of long-term consequences that can compromise the independent execution of functional activities; however, patients with the same disease and similar impairments may show different disabilities. The number of outbreaks, the time of evolution of the disease, the type of pyramidal impairments, and the presence of cerebellar or motor manifestations in the beginning of the condition are the main factors related to functional incapacity. Because of the variety of...
symptoms in MS, several assessment scales have been created to evaluate those who are affected. Kurtzke’s Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) is the one that is best known and most used in clinical trials on MS, although the literature indicates that there are some limitations to this tool that require it to be complemented by the addition of functional scales.21,22

Rehabilitation programs currently use the Functional Independence Measure (FIM) as a source of information in order to determine limitations, plan the best therapeutic approaches, and follow patients’ evolution.23,24 The FIM is one of the most common scales used to determine functional level in neurological patients. It has been applied to different diseases of the nervous system and in many age groups, and has been shown to be valid, sensitive and reliable.

OBJECTIVE

The aim of the present study was to describe the epidemiological and functional profile of patients diagnosed with MS who were admitted to the Demyelinating Diseases Service of Getúlio Vargas University Hospital, in Manaus, Amazonas.14

METHODS

This was a retrospective, cross-sectional and descriptive prospective study carried out with patients previously diagnosed with MS, utilizing data collected at the Demyelinating Diseases Service at Getúlio Vargas University Hospital, in Manaus, Amazonas.

The participants were informed of the objectives and procedures of the study, and signed free and informed consent forms. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Federal University of Amazonas, under approval certificate CAE 61619716.8.0000.5020, and approval report 1.815.182.

Data collection occurred in two stages, without restrictions as for age, site or extent of the lesion, origin and gender, and with participants who met the following inclusion criteria: having a definite diagnosis of MS, being within reach to be found and contacted, and being able to answer the FIM questionnaire.

The first stage consisted of analyzing reports on patients admitted and diagnosed with MS between January 2010 and December 2015, with no restrictions regarding age, origin, gender, location or extension of the injury. In the second stage, this information was entered into forms with patients’ personal and clinical data.

Patients were then identified, located, and contacted by phone in order to apply a functional assessment. The tool used to evaluate the disability of patients with restrictions was the Functional Independence Measure. The patients had to be able to answer simple questions or be accompanied by an acquaintance during the application of the questionnaire.

Eighty medical records from patients identified during the first stage were analyzed; 48 were discarded, 20 because they did not have a definitive MS diagnosis and 28 because it was not possible to locate them to apply the FIM. Consequently, the sample for the study consisted of 32 patients who met the inclusion criteria.

The functional profile analysis was carried out by the FIM, which is a self-administered instrument to evaluate performance of motor and cognitive/social skills, and the assistance needed to carry out activities. The FIM includes 18 categories, each of which receives a score.
related to level of dependence: a score of 1 indicates total dependence and a score of 7 indicates total independence. The total score ranges from 18 to 126, and the lower the score, the more dependent the patient. The categories are grouped into six dimensions: 1) self-care (feeding, personal hygiene, bathing, dress upper half, dress lower half, use of the toilet); 2) sphincter control (control of urination and defecation); 3) transfers (bed, chair, wheelchair, toilet, bathroom, shower); 4) locomotion (gaits, wheelchair, stairs); 5) communication (understanding, expression); and 6) social cognition (social interaction, problem solving, memory).  

In the present study, only the total FIM score and the mobility (minimum of 3 and maximum of 21) and locomotion (minimum of 2 and maximum of 14) domains were assessed. An individual with no deficiencies reaches a score of 126, and a person showing total dependence gets a score of 18.25,26 

The data was expressed as average ± standard deviation. Initially, the Shapiro-Wilk normality test was used. The Student’s unpaired t-test was applied to analyze the difference between the normal means. The difference between several means was assessed through analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a post hoc Bonferroni test. The significance level was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

Among the 32 patients included in the study, 29 were from Manaus and 3 lived in the countryside of the state of Amazonas. Twenty-three (74%) were females, with an average age of 35 ± 12 years, and 9 (26%) were males, with an average age of 35 ± 11 years, a proportion of 2.5 women for each man.

The patients’ ages varied from 12 to 60 years old; 46.8% were between 31 and 40 years old. The average time since diagnosis was 7.8 years for men and 5.3 years for women. The patients’ characteristics, shown in Table 1, did not present statistically significant differences; however, women were predominant in the sample.

Table 1. Epidemiological characteristics of patients diagnosed with MS at the Demyelinating Diseases Service in Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil, between January 2010 and December 2015

| Variables                  | Men (n = 09) | Women (n = 23) |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Proportion (%)             | 26%         | 74%           |
| Age group (years ±SD)      | 35 ± 11     | 35 ± 12       |
| Time since diagnosis (years)| 7.8         | 5.3           |

SD = standard deviation

Table 2 exhibits comparative data with the average total FIM, mobility and locomotion scores and their standard deviation for gender, age group and time since diagnosis. Women presented higher average scores, and these values were statistically significant (p = 0.03) for both the total FIM score (115 ± 7) and the mobility score (20 ± 1). This showed that women had lower functional dependence when compared to men, whose average total FIM score was 100 ± 29, with a score of 17 ± 6 in the mobility domain.

Table 2. Mean values for scores on the FIM. Total score and scores for mobility and locomotion domains for patients diagnosed with MS at the Demyelinating Diseases Service in Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil, between January 2010 and December 2015
Variables | FIM Score | FIM Score | FIM Score
--- | --- | --- | ---
Sample (n=32) | 110.9 ± 17.5 |  
Gender |  
Female (n=23) | 115 ± 7* | 20 ± 1* | 13 ± 1  
Male (n=9) | 100 ± 29 | 17 ± 6 | 11 ± 4  
Age group (years) |  
Less than 30 (n =09) | 110 ± 17 | 19 ± 2 | 13 ± 2  
31 to 40 (n= 15) | 115 ± 7 | 20 ± 0.7 | 13 ± 0.8  
More than 40 (n= 08) | 103 ± 28 | 18 ± 6 | 11 ± 4  
Time since diagnosis (years) |  
1 to 4 (n = 11) | 115 ± 8 | 20 ± 0.9 | 13 ± 1  
5 to 9 (n = 16) | 112 ± 12 | 20 ± 2 | 13 ± 1  
10 or more (n = 05) | 94 ± 33 | 16 ± 7 | 10 ± 5  

*p=0.03; SD = standard deviation

Regarding the functional profile of the sample, the comparison of average total FIM scores among the variables revealed that most of these patients got scores near the maximum value (126 points); it was observed that these people had the capacity to perform their activities and needed little assistance. There was no statistical significance for age group and time since diagnosis among the patients in any of the three FIM categories, but the lowest average values were found for patients aged more than 40 years old with a time since diagnosis of 10 years or more. This result points to the hypothesis that older patients with more time since diagnosis present a functionally more dependent profile when compared to people who do not present these characteristics.

**DISCUSSION**

In the North region of Brazil, including the city of Manaus, there are few studies focused on the clinical and epidemiological aspects of MS, let alone its prevalence and incidence. The present study provides information at one point in time for a specialized public service in Manaus that is considered a reference center for demyelinating diseases. As such, it provides a situational diagnosis of the epidemiology and functionality of people with MS.

Analysis of the epidemiological data shows a predominance of MS cases in females (74%). This is in accordance with an experiment carried out in Uberaba, Minas Gerais by Ribeiro et al. who observed a predominance of women (71.4%) in a sample similar in number (35 patients) to the one described in the present paper (35 patients). Ferreira et al. Negreiros et al. and Cardoso et al. also obtained comparable results regarding gender predominance in cities in Pernambuco, Paraíba and São Paulo, respectively, confirming a higher propensity of women for developing MS. As for average age, the findings of the present study were consistent with most of the literature for both genders, corroborating the fact that MS affects mainly young adults.

Several instruments have been used to assess functional skills in patients with MS. Most studies describe the use of Kurtzke’s Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS); however, because of its limitations, researchers tend to complement it with other functional scales. In the present study, the authors opted for the FIM instrument, which was developed as an assessment tool that can be used to measure the current functional level of patients. The drawback of its use is the difficulty of comparing its results with the findings of other studies.
To evaluate the functionality of patients, cutoff values for analysis of the total FIM scores were based on those used by Riberto et al.\textsuperscript{24} The following score intervals were chosen: 18, complete dependence (total assistance); 19 – 60, modified dependence (assistance of up to 50\% in an activity); 61 – 103, modified dependence (assistance of up to 25\% in an activity); 104 – 126, complete/modified independence. Hence, the lower the score, the higher the level of dependence.

The average total FIM score of 110.9 ± 17.5 showed a population characterized by complete/modified independence. Gender analysis, however, showed that female patients were mainly responsible for this result, with an average score of 115 ± 7; the male patients obtained an average score of 100 ± 29, which puts them at the level of modified dependence, needing up to 25\% of assistance to complete an activity. According to a study by Tremlett et al.,\textsuperscript{17} in which the female participants showed a 30\% lower risk of obtaining low scores in the EDSS scale, even although MS is more frequent in females, the worst prognoses of long-term incapacity were made for affected men. Nevertheless, this conclusion still needs deeper analysis, and new investigations focused on the functional state of patients need to be carried out.\textsuperscript{14,23,24}

Regarding age group, patients more than 40 years old and with a time since diagnosis of more than ten years were categorized as requiring modified dependence and assistance of 25\%, unlike younger patients, who showed complete/modified independence, as well as those diagnosed between 1 and 4 years ago. This finding suggests that age and time since diagnosis may interfere with clinical progression for these patients, particularly level of dependence. However, in order to confirm this hypothesis, other clinical factors of the disease need to be investigated.

A systematic review by Vasconcelos et al.\textsuperscript{29} shows that there are prognostic aspects associated with demographic and clinical characteristics directly related to the progression of MS, and consequently, to functional performance, that are not limited to the data collected in the present study. The prognostic factors that are more commonly addressed and associated with clinical progression are, in addition to gender: the outbreak frequency in the first years of the disease; the residual deficit after the initial outbreak; the time interval between the first two outbreaks; and ethnicity.

This set of information is paramount for accurately characterizing samples, given that MS is an illness with fluctuating clinical features and has stability phases that determine the impairment of patients’ capacities. This applies to recent research about MS in Brazil: Several studies have described the sociodemographic and epidemiological characteristics of patients with MS, but lack assessment of their functionality in addition to their clinical condition. That is why the analyses in the present study are based more on clinical reasoning in comparison with other research.

As for clinical and demographic information, the present study revealed a few limitations during data collection. Nonetheless, it is hoped that it will contribute to unveiling the reality of MS in Manaus, Amazonas and the North region, so that the disease can be better mapped through incidence and prevalence studies in the near future. Also, since the study is based on data from a single public service, it does not reflect the situation of the state or the region. However, the objective of the present investigation was to describe the epidemiological and functional features of patients with MS from Manaus, where there is a center of reference for the treatment of this condition that admits patients from the entire state.
Hence, it is hoped that the study will encourage researchers to carry out more epidemiological and clinical studies on MS that allow assessment of the functional state of patients in other regions of the country, especially in states in the region, an area that lacks investigation of this topic.
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