Case Report

No drug-drug interaction between tezacaftor-ivacaftor and clofazimine: A case report.

S.E.M. Vonk, S.W.J. Terheggen-Lagro, L.M. Mouissie, R.A.A. Mathôt, E.M. Kemper, on behalf of the Amsterdam Mucociliary Clearance Disease (AMCD) research group.

1. Introduction

Clofazimine is an antitubercular drug which is used for the treatment of non-tuberculous mycobacterium (NTM). In August 2019, clofazimine got a positive opinion for orphan designation for the treatment of NTM lung disease by the European Medicines Agency. In vitro, clofazimine has been characterized as an inhibitor of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4, 2C8 and 2D6. In previously performed static and physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling prediction studies, an interaction between clofazimine and midazolam, a probe substrate for CYP3A4, was characterized. Midazolam area under the plasma concentration versus time curve (AUC) was increased with a factor 5.59 and 2.69 for static and PBPK modeling, respectively, when coadministered with 100 mg clofazimine [1]. At the moment of writing this report there are limited in vivo data available describing the interaction profile of clofazimine [2].

Tezacaftor-ivacaftor, a combination drug for the treatment of CF, are both substrates of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5. In the prescribing information of tezacaftor-ivacaftor dose reductions are recommended when patients are starting with a moderate to strong inhibitor of CYP3A4 [3]. Based on these data, an increase in AUC of tezacaftor-ivacaftor after initiation with clofazimine was expected.

In this case study, the interaction between tezacaftor-ivacaftor and clofazimine was evaluated in a patient with CF.

2. Case report

A 16-year-old girl with cystic fibrosis (CF) was initiated on clofazimine 100 mg once daily for approximately one year, as part of a quadruple therapy regimen including also ethambutol, azithromycin and amikacin to treat NTM. As chronic CF medication tezacaftor-ivacaftor was used in a regular dose of 100-150 mg, respectively, once daily in the morning, combined with ivacaftor 150 mg monotherapy once daily in the evening. Her medication profile was thoroughly screened before start of the NTM medication on drug-drug interactions. Since there were no in vivo data available describing the potential drug-drug interaction between clofazimine and CYP3A4 substrates, no immediate dose adjustments in tezacaftor-ivacaftor were made at the start of clofazimine. The patient was admitted to the hospital before the initiation of her NTM treatment. Blood samples were taken just before administration of tezacaftor-ivacaftor (time = 0) and 2, 4, and 6 h after administration. Similar PK curves were obtained at 8 and 115 days after start of clofazimine. Ivaftor, hydroxyethyl ivacaftor, ivacaftor carboxylate and tezacaftor were quantified in plasma with a validated liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method.

Please cite this article as: S.E.M. Vonk, S.W.J. Terheggen-Lagro, L.M. Mouissie et al., No drug-drug interaction between tezacaftor-ivacaftor and clofazimine: A case report., Journal of Cystic Fibrosis, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2021.10.003
Table 1

|              | Day 0 AUC* (mg·h/L) | Day 8 AUC* (mg·h/L) | Day 115 AUC* (mg·h/L) | AUC-ratio 0-24h | AUC-ratio 8-24h |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Ivacaftor    | 11.1                | 16.2                | 1.46                  | 12.2             | 1.09             |
| Hydroxymethyl ivacaftor | 19.6                | 33.1                | 1.69                  | 28.5             | 1.45             |
| Ivacaftor carboxylate * | 8.56                | 14.4                | 1.68                  | 22.3             | 2.61             |
| Tezacaftor   | 75.4                | 75.5                | 1.00                  | 56.3             | 0.747            |

Table 1: Area under the curve (AUC) of ivacaftor, hydroxymethyl ivacaftor, ivacaftor carboxylate and tezacaftor before start of clofazimine (day 0), and at day 8 and day 115 after start of clofazimine.

AUC-ratio = AUC_after start clofazimine (day 8 or 115)/AUC_before start clofazimine (day 0)

AUC_Clo for tezacaftor by a dose of 100 mg once daily, and AUC_Iv-12h, for ivacaftor and its metabolites by a dose of 150 mg twice daily.

* ivacaftor carboxylate is a non-active metabolite of ivacaftor

3. Discussion

In this case report no clinical significant increase in exposure of tezacaftor-ivacaftor at day 8 and day 115 was seen after the start with clofazimine therapy.

In order to calculate the AUC, blood samples were taken just before administration of tezacaftor-ivacaftor (time = 0) and 2, 4 and 6 h after administration. The maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) of tezacaftor and ivacaftor are reached after 4 and 6 h, respectively. Steady state plasma concentrations (Css) of tezacaftor and ivacaftor are reached within 8 days [3]. Inhibition of CYP enzymes is immediate and is normally dependent on the dose of the inhibitory drug. Since clofazimine has a very long elimination half-life of 25 days, Css is reached after approximately 3-4 months [5]. Therefore, blood samples were not only taken at day 8, but also on day 115 days after start of clofazimine when (higher) steady-state levels are present.

The observed AUCs of ivacaftor and tezacaftor in this patient before start of clofazimine correspond to the AUCs reported in the registration documents. Mean AUC (SD) at Css in the registration documents are AUC_0-24h 971 (35.8) mg·h/L and AUC_0-12h 11.4 (5.5) mg·h/L for tezacaftor and ivacaftor, respectively [3].

Maertens et al. studied the effect of clofazimine on bedaquiline exposure in a group of tuberculosis patients. The difference in exposure of bedaquiline in patients treated with and without clofazimine was -9.1% (90% CI -22.8 to +71; p=0.19). This study was however not designed to examine this DDI in particular and the confidence interval of the interaction effect were quite large, thus its clinical relevance was questioned. However, our findings support their results and in this case the patient served as its own control [2].

The difference between midazolam and tezacaftor-ivacaftor is remarkable, since other inhibitors of CYP3A4 do increase its AUC. In the study of Sangana et al. clofazimine was characterized as a moderate to strong inhibitor of CYP3A4 by in silico studies, with significant increases in midazolam AUC. However, in the study of Maertens et al. in vivo no clear inhibitory effect of clofazimine on bedaquiline exposure was seen. Therefore the CYP3A4 inhibiting potency of clofazimine in vivo may be questioned and further research is needed.

The AUC-ratio at day 115 and pre-start was 1.09, 1.45 and 0.747 for ivacaftor, hydroxymethyl ivacaftor and tezacaftor, respectively. These increases are not clinically relevant as registration docu-

ments define a moderate or strong inhibitor of CYP3A4 by respective AUC-ratios of 3- and 16-fold [3]. Only ivacaftor carboxylate showed an increase in AUC-ratio of 2.6 at day 115, but this is a pharmacologically non-active metabolite. Therefore, no adjustment in the dose of ivacaftor was made.

The AUC-ratio of ivacaftor metabolites increased after introduction of clofazimine. This was remarkable, since the opposite was expected when inhibiting the metabolism of ivacaftor. This phenomenon can possibly be explained by a lower clearance or inhibited metabolism of the metabolites. On the other hand, the reduction of tezacaftor with an AUC-ratio of 0.747 was also the opposite from expected when inhibiting the metabolism of tezacaftor. This reduction may be the result of a reduced bioavailability and/or an increased clearance.

Small differences were observed between the AUC-ratios at day 8 and 115. These differences are clinically not relevant and can possibly be explained by intra-individual variability in pharmacokinetics of tezacaftor-ivacaftor. For instance, both compounds are both poorly soluble, lipophilic molecules and administration with fat-containing food increases their AUC [3].

Limitations of this case report are that the patient was not genotyped for CYP3A4, however the clinical relevance of this is questioned, since the patient served as its own control. Secondly, food intake on the days of sampling was not controlled. The patient was advised to administer tezacaftor-ivacaftor with fat containing food as stated in the prescribing information [3], but on these days no restrictions were made compared to usual days. In summary, in this case report the drug-drug interaction between tezacaftor-ivacaftor and clofazimine was examined in a patient with CF treated for NTM. No clinical significant increase in exposure of tezacaftor-ivacaftor was seen after introduction of clofazimine, and no adjustments in the dose of tezacaftor-ivacaftor were made.

Patients perspective

I am a girl aged seventeen and live in the Netherlands in a village near the sea. When I was five years old I was diagnosed with Cystic Fibrosis. Last year I started the treatment with clofazimine for my NTM. I had been using tezacaftor-ivacaftor for a while and I did not have any side effects. Before the start and during the treatment with clofazimine the physicians checked the concentrations of tezacaftor-ivacaftor. For this, blood had to be taken several times, luckily I am used to this. After the start of the treatment with clofazimine I still almost had no side effects. The only side effect I had is that I get a sunburn really fast, but this also comes with a nice tan. After using clofazimine for over a year I got rid of my NTM, and I am very happy with that. I think it is special that I am the first person in whom this combination has been researched as far as my practitioners know, and hopefully other practitioners can learn something from this.
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