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Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic brought forward the challenge of dispersing accurate medical information to the public rapidly. Credible and non-credible sources may impact public reactions to the virus. The purpose of this study is to assess those reactions of women located in or near Kansas. A survey was conducted in July 2020 with questions on knowledge of COVID-19, attitudes and behaviors towards COVID-19, and primary sources of information. 305 survey respondents met criteria for further analysis, and descriptive statistical analyses were applied. Participants were generally knowledgeable of the pandemic, with a mean knowledge score of 11.40 out of 13 (SD 1.3). The attitude statement with the highest rate of agreement was that “social distancing is an effective way of controlling COVID-19 spread” (n = 265, 86.9%) and that with the highest rate of disagreement was, “I am not worried about my friends’ and family members health” (n = 253, 83.0%). The most-implemented behaviors as indicated by participants were avoiding contact with sick individuals and washing hands with soap and water often (n = 294, 96.4%), and the least implemented was avoiding meat consumption (n = 257, 84.3%). Finally, most participants indicated that health officials were their primary source of information (n = 215, 70.5%). Participants of this survey had fairly good knowledge of the virus. Attitudes of participants as a whole may be described as cautious without being overly fearful. Reported behaviors also align well with current public health recommendations. These responses may be reflective of where participants are receiving their information, which, for the majority, is from public health officials.
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Introduction

The first known case of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) was documented in Wuhan, China in December of 2019 [1]. By March 11, 2020, it had spread so widely that the World Health Organization labelled COVID-19 a global pandemic [2]. With severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) being a novel virus, little was known about it and the disease it causes. Information on viral features, transmission, and clinical presentations has been and continues to update as more research is conducted [3].

The uncertainty surrounding the pandemic, particularly in the first few months, as well as the continually updated information has created room for various responses by the public. These may manifest as differences in knowledge of, attitudes towards, and behaviors with regards to COVID-19. This variability in responses is nothing new, however. Past studies on attitudes, beliefs, and practices for previous epidemics, such as Ebola, also demonstrate a lack of consensus in the populations studied [4, 5]. This means that public perception may not always correlate well with the latest knowledge and recommendations outlined by the organizations leading the responses to these epidemics. A lack of awareness of or compliance with current guidelines may have important ramifications for controlling disease spread as well as mitigating public fears.

Investigation of individuals’ current knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs may aid in gauging how well the dispersal of information has been received by the public and by what means. A recent study surveying individuals in Kansas demonstrates the high level of knowledge and compliance this
population has [6]. This study aims to expand on that by detailing the specific facts and opinions that are more contentious among females in Kansas as well as the behaviors they are less likely to comply with.

Methods

Recruitment

The target population for this study was English-speaking women aged 18 years or older who had previously accessed The University of Kansas Hospital System (TUKHS). Through the Pioneers Research Participant Registry, which provides the contact information of individuals who have consented to be contacted for research, the survey was sent to 1000 women who met this study’s criteria and accessed TUKHS in December of 2019. The survey was sent using REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) to ensure responses were non-identifiable, and individuals had 16 days to submit their responses. The timeframe allotted for survey participation was between July 15 and July 31, when COVID-19 case rates were relatively stable in Kansas [7]. 325 individuals participated in the survey; 9 of these participants were male and 11 did not complete the survey. These individuals were excluded from analysis leaving a total of 305 participants.

Survey Design

A questionnaire was designed with specific sections for demographic information, knowledge, attitudes, behaviors, and primary sources of information.

The true or false statements within the knowledge section took into consideration the current knowledge on COVID-19 as of July 2020.

The statements within the attitude and behavior sections were constructed based off past and current literature on these same constructs [8–16]. The format of these questions was a 5-point-Likert scale.

Finally, included in the survey was a question on participants’ primary sources of information where they were allowed to select multiple sources.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistical analysis was used for each survey item. Total knowledge score was described using mean and standard deviation. The remainder of the data collected are categorical and described using percentage rates.

Results

Participant Characteristics

This study included a total of 305 females. A summary of their demographics is shown in Table 1. The majority of participants were above the age of 50 (n = 218, 71.5%) and white (n = 276, 90.5%). 70.3% (n = 184) of the population have received a Bachelor’s degree or higher and 81.6% (n = 249) were not healthcare workers. Income and employment status varied. Almost all participants (n = 278, 91.1%) had not contracted or been exposed to COVID-19 at the time they took this survey, though 38.4% (n = 117) had a friend, relative, or acquaintance who had been. Most individuals (n = 217, 71.1%) indicated that they had a condition that put them at risk for COVID-19 complications.

Knowledge

Participants generally had good knowledge of COVID-19 with a mean score of 11.40 out of 13 (SD 1.3). The knowledge statements with the highest rate of correct answers were regarding COVID-19 transmission via respiratory droplets (n = 300, 98.4% answered correctly) and a lack of vaccine availability (n = 300, 98.4%). The statement with the highest rate of incorrect answers (n = 134, 43.9%) was regarding virus survival time on surfaces. Statements regarding COVID-19 fatality, treatment, and creation followed with higher levels of incorrect rates. Percentages of correct and incorrect answers for all statements are shown in Table 2.

Attitudes

The statement with the highest level of disagreement was “I am not worried about my friends’ and family members health” with 83.0% (n = 253) of individuals either disagreeing or strongly disagreeing. This was slightly higher than the 76.1% (n = 232) of individuals who disagreed with not being worried about their own health. However, the statement with the highest rate of strong disagreement only (n = 168, 55.1%) was “I think the response to the COVID-19 pandemic has been overblown.” On the other end, most people agreed that “social distancing is an effective way of controlling COVID-19 spread” (n = 265, 86.9% agree and strongly disagree) and that “COVID-19 presents a serious threat to the public” (n = 263, 86.2%). More variability in responses or neutrality was shown in regards to the healthcare system’s and United States’ ability to control the virus. Percentages of responses for each attitude statement are shown in Table 3.
Behaviors

The behaviors that most participants indicated they implemented were avoiding close contact with sick individuals (n = 294, 96.4% total of “always” and “often”), washing hands with soap and water often (n = 294, 96.4%), and social distancing 6 feet away from others (n = 284, 93.1%). In contrast, the least-implemented behaviors included avoiding meat consumption (n = 257, 84.3% total of “never” and “rarely”), cancelling or postponing doctor’s appointments (n = 201, 65.9%), and disinfecting groceries and mail (n = 188, 61.6%). There seemed to be more mixed opinions for avoiding leaving the house and avoiding getting take-out. Parentages of responses for each behavior statement are shown in Table 4.

Sources of Information

Participants were allowed to select more than one option as their primary source of information. The most commonly selected source was health officials at 70.5% (n = 215) and the least commonly selected source was friends or family at 16.1% (n = 49). Figure 1 demonstrates total percentages for each source.

Discussion

Principal Results

With very little knowledge about COVID-19 at the start of its outbreak, information has continually been updated and shifting over time with increased research. This brings about the challenge of disseminating the most updated information to the public so that their knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors are in line with the most recent recommendations [17]. Responses may range from being overly anxious to unconcerned. Either way, public perceptions have the capacity to influence actions in a way that can either alleviate or worsen the spread of an infectious disease like COVID-19. Thus, it is important to understand what public perceptions have been at a time when research is still at its inception and what the primary sources of information have been in order to understand how to best communicate scientific knowledge with the public.

The purpose of this study was to understand individuals’ knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs on COVID-19 at a time when significant research was being conducted to influence guidelines and recommendations. This was particularly looking at a specific subset of the United States population, surveying women in Kansas when case rates in the state had been more stable than the months prior.
Participants of this study had fairly good knowledge of COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 with an average score of 11.40 out of 13. Given that their most common primary sources of information came from health officials and healthcare professionals, which is where the current knowledge is based off of, this pattern makes sense. The question that seemed to have been the most challenging for participants was how long the virus lasts on surfaces. This was a question that was disputed throughout the first few months of the pandemic (more so than, for example, taking antibiotics to treat COVID-19) [18]. Thus it implies that knowledge on a topic is dependent on the consistency with which a certain fact is relayed. Other more definitively false statements, such as SARS-CoV-2 being manufactured by humans, vitamin C treating COVID-19, and COVID-19 having a high fatality rate, still presented with a decent number of individuals answering “true.” These beliefs, though the scientific data at the time did not support it, still persisted in the population, indicating the capability of misinformation to spread.

The general attitude of participants seemed to be that of caution without being overly fearful or overly unconcerned. Of course, there were still outliers who did not believe

Table 2  
Assessment of participants’ knowledge about COVID-19 (N = 305)

| Statement                                                                 | Correct, n (%) | Incorrect, n (%) | No answer, n (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| The main clinical symptoms of COVID-19 are cough, shortness of breath, and fever (true) | 296 (97.0)     | 9 (3.0)         | 0 (0.0)         |
| Those who are elderly and have chronic illnesses are more likely to develop severe cases of COVID-19 (true) | 299 (98.0)     | 6 (2.0)         | 0 (0.0)         |
| COVID-19 is highly fatal (false)                                           | 226 (74.1)     | 78 (25.6)       | 1 (0.3)         |
| COVID-19 was created by humans (false)                                     | 236 (77.4)     | 66 (21.6)       | 3 (1.0)         |
| The COVID-19 virus spreads via respiratory droplets (from cough or exhalation) of infected individuals (true) | 300 (98.4)     | 2 (0.7)         | 3 (1.0)         |
| Eating or touching animals is another main mechanism of spreading the COVID-19 virus (false) | 284 (93.1)     | 17 (5.6)        | 4 (1.3)         |
| Infected individuals who don’t feel sick can still infect others (true)    | 299 (98.0)     | 3 (1.0)         | 3 (1.0)         |
| Getting a flu shot will decrease your risk of developing COVID-19 (false)   | 294 (96.4)     | 10 (3.3)        | 1 (0.3)         |
| The COVID-19 virus lives for about an hour on surfaces (i.e. boxes) (false) | 166 (54.4)     | 134 (43.9)      | 5 (1.6)         |
| Taking antibiotics is one way to prevent COVID-19 (false)                  | 297 (97.4)     | 4 (1.3)         | 4 (1.3)         |
| The current treatment for COVID-19 is supportive care (true)               | 230 (75.4)     | 72 (23.6)       | 3 (1.0)         |
| Vitamin C can help treat COVID-19 (false)                                  | 249 (81.6)     | 50 (16.4)       | 6 (2.0)         |
| There is currently an effective vaccine against COVID-19 available (false)  | 300 (98.4)     | 3 (1.0)         | 2 (0.7)         |

Table 3  
Assessment of participants’ attitude towards and beliefs about COVID-19 (N = 305)

| Statement                                                                 | Strongly Disagree, n (%) | Disagree, n (%) | Neutral, n (%) | Agree, n (%) | Strongly Agree, n (%) | Missing, n (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| I believe COVID-19 will be successfully controlled this year               | 83 (27.2)                | 132 (43.3)     | 46 (15.1)     | 35 (11.5)    | 6 (2.0)               | 3 (1.0)       |
| COVID-19 presents a serious threat to the public                         | 10 (3.3)                 | 15 (4.9)       | 15 (4.9)      | 80 (26.2)    | 183 (60.0)            | 2 (0.7)       |
| I believe the outbreak will get worse                                     | 9 (3.0)                  | 19 (6.2)       | 36 (11.8)     | 117 (38.4)   | 124 (40.7)            | 0 (0.0)       |
| I am confident that the U.S. will win the battle against the COVID-19 virus | 18 (5.9)                 | 54 (17.7)      | 83 (27.2)     | 109 (35.7)   | 41 (13.4)             | 0 (0.0)       |
| I think the response to the COVID-19 pandemic has been overblown          | 168 (55.1)               | 61 (20.0)      | 33 (10.8)     | 22 (7.2)     | 21 (6.9)              | 0 (0.0)       |
| I am not worried about my personal health                                | 118 (38.7)               | 114 (37.4)     | 36 (11.8)     | 28 (9.2)     | 9 (3)                 | 0 (0.0)       |
| I am not worried about my friends’ and family members’ health             | 149 (48.9)               | 104 (34.1)     | 29 (9.5)      | 13 (4.3)     | 5 (1.6)               | 5 (1.6)       |
| I think social distancing is an effective way of controlling COVID-19 spread | 3 (1.0)                  | 7 (2.3)        | 30 (9.8)      | 133 (43.6)   | 132 (43.3)            | 0 (0.0)       |
| I am confident in the healthcare system’s ability to control the virus    | 16 (5.2)                 | 60 (19.7)      | 92 (30.2)     | 114 (37.4)   | 22 (7.2)              | 1 (0.3)       |
| I am afraid of going to the doctor                                       | 114 (37.4)               | 111 (36.4)     | 39 (12.8)     | 32 (10.5)    | 8 (2.6)               | 1 (0.3)       |
COVID-19 presents a serious threat or those who were very afraid of going to the doctor. However, those answers typically represented less than 15% of total responses.

In regards to behaviors, participants indicated that they follow several of the practices recommended by public health officials and physicians at the time. Social distancing and avoiding contact with those who are sick was almost unanimously said to be practiced. Mask-wearing, which has garnered some public controversy during the course of the pandemic, while not the most-practiced behavior, was still often or always practiced by 90.2% of the population [19]. These participants’ behaviors align well with their high knowledge scores, cautious attitude, and most common primary sources of information. As with both the knowledge and attitude components, there are still individuals who partake in behaviors that are indicative of a more fearful attitude (i.e. disinfecting groceries) or a more heedless attitude (i.e. not wearing a mask), though these individuals represent a small portion of total participants.

Overall, the participants of this study had good knowledge of COVID-19 and exemplified a more cautious attitude towards it. This also manifested in their indicated behaviors which aligned well with the given guidelines at the time this survey was administered. The more heavily used sources of information for these participants were those that tend to be more credible, such as health officials and healthcare professionals, as opposed to the potentially less credible sources of social media and friends or family. These findings align well with the prior study on Kansans’ perception, knowledge, and compliance that found that participants’ most trusted source was healthcare workers. This study also demonstrated Kansans above average understanding of COVID-19 and high compliance with preventative practices [6].

**Limitations and Future Directions**

While this study is meant to represent the stances of Kansas women, it is not representative of the entire population.
The majority of participants were white women who were above the age of 50 and had a condition that put them at risk for COVID-19 complications. All these factors may bias participant responses. For example, an older population who is more at risk of contracting a severe case of COVID-19 is likely to be less risky in their behaviors and perceptions of COVID-19 [20]. Future studies should include more individuals who are younger as well as include more ethnic, racial, and income diversity in order to account for all Kansan women.

The next limitation of this study is that it only represents one timepoint during the entire pandemic. While the survey was administered during a time when case rates were more stable in Kansas in order to minimize the impact of environment on responses, these responses will inevitably change with changing circumstances. Longitudinal studies examining these same criteria at different timepoints during the pandemic may be beneficial for understanding the fluctuating responses with fluctuating pandemic circumstances.

Finally, with regards to the behavior component of this survey, responses only represent reported behaviors of participants. There is no way of knowing whether participants are actually practicing said behaviors with the consistency that they state. There may be a discrepancy between individuals’ perceived practices and actual practices that this study does not take into account.

Conclusions

Despite generally good knowledge of the current pandemic, misinformed beliefs (as well as attitudes and behaviors based on those beliefs) still exist. Information sources should consider the impact they have on influencing the public response to the pandemic, which can have ramifications at both an individual and public level.
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