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Additional plots

Figure S.1: Water temperatures for the whole simulation duration showing observed (top) and simulated (bottom, using the reference strategy) Temperatures for Eibenstock Reservoir (ES).

Figure S.2: Water temperatures for the whole simulation duration showing observed (top) and simulated (bottom, using the reference strategy) Temperatures for Saidenbach Reservoir (SB).
Figure S.3: Monthly average temperature 3 (top) and 25 m (bottom) below the surface for all four withdrawal strategies for Eibenstock Reservoir and difference between the strategies and the reference strategy dyn2 (bottom). The gray shaded areas indicate summer time as defined for the period from first of May to first of November.
Figure S.4: Monthly average temperature 3 (top) and 25 m (bottom) below the surface for all four withdrawal strategies for Lichtenberg Reservoir and difference between the strategies and the reference strategy dyn1 (bottom). The gray shaded areas indicate summer time as defined for the period from first of May to first of November.
Figure S.5: Monthly average temperature 3 (top) and 25 m (bottom) below the surface for all four withdrawal strategies for Sগণব্যুৎপত্তি রেজারুপ এবং difference between the strategies and the reference strategy dyn1 (bottom). The gray shaded areas indicate summer time as defined for the period from 1. May to 31. October.
Figure S.6: Summer Schmidt stability (as defined from 1. May to 31. October) and thermocline depth for all four scenarios and all three reservoirs. The individual boxplots represent annual averages of 16 years. The scenarios annotated with an asterisk indicate the reference scenario for the respective reservoirs. For description of the reservoirs see Table 1, for description of the strategies see Section Management strategies.

Figure S.7: Monthly relative hypolimnion volume (volume of hypolimnion divided by total volume) averaged over all 16 years for all three reservoirs and all four withdrawal strategies. For description of the reservoirs see Table 1, for description of the strategies see Section Management strategies.
Principal component analysis

The data cluster according to the reservoirs and LB and SB are more similar than ES. The larger part of the variance comes from the difference between the years and not so much from the different withdrawal strategies. Major difference between the reservoirs is start and end of stratification.

Figure S.8: Biplot of the principle component analysis
### Full linear model

Table S.1: Parameters of full linear model between modeled reservoir features and external predictor variables. See table 3 and 4 in for explanation of features and predictor variables. Colons (e.g. AT_sum:R.SB) indicate interaction terms. The values provided are the corresponding slopes and intercepts of the linear models described in equation 3, if the values are depending on the reservoir or used strategy the default values ($\beta_0$ and $\beta_i$) correspond to reservoir ES and strategy dyn1.

| Term (predictor variables & interaction) | Int. heat energy | Characteristic features (response variables) |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Intercept ($\beta_0$)                   | 1.14             | 8.83, 15.3                                 |
| **Predictor variables ($\beta_i$)**     |                  |                                             |
| AT_sum                                  | $-0.00484$       | 0.375, -0.273, 8.53, 1.12, 6.54            |
| AT_win                                   | 0.00546          | 0.111, -0.0354, -1.9, 1.51, -20.5           |
| Qout                                    | 0.000783         | 0.00203, 0.0171, -0.0236, 0.01, 0.0878       |
| Qrw                                     | 0.00685          | -0.0525, 0.16, 1.68, 0.404, 1.3              |
| V                                        | $-0.00841$       | -0.0154, -0.16, 0.299, 0.0656, -3.44         |
| wind                                     | $-0.0333$        | -0.866, 0.000527, 0.435, -17.7, 4.01         |
| **Reservoir ($\beta_s$)**               |                  |                                             |
| R.LB                                    | $-0.509$         | 1.09, -8.25, 78.7, -119, -27.9              |
| R.SB                                    | $-0.257$         | 1.68, -4.71, 0.984, 54.4, 69.2              |
| **Strategy ($\beta_t$)**                |                  |                                             |
| S.dyn2                                  | $-0.0934$        | -0.683, -3.36, -7.06, 14.4, -133            |
| S.high                                  | $-0.213$         | -0.73, -4.02, 9.01, 27.9, -2.4              |
| S.low                                    | 0.0186           | 0.321, 0.544, 15.4, 9.39, -33.5             |
| **Reservoir:Strategy ($B_{R,s}$)**      |                  |                                             |
| R.LB:S.dyn2                              | 0.0777           | 0.558, 2.23, 4.36, -27.6, 50.7              |
| R.SB:S.dyn2                              | 0.0639           | 0.063, 1.33, 3.37, -18.6, 43.1              |
| R.LB:S.high                              | 0.173            | 0.788, 2.32, -5.29, -12.4, 19.5              |
| R.SB:S.high                              | 0.149            | 0.619, 1.78, -3.82, -11, 18.1               |
| R.LB:S.low                               | $-0.0292$        | -0.0176, -1.08, -6.55, 5.52, 4.04           |
| R.SB:S.low                               | $-0.0297$        | -0.0188, -0.966, -5.36, 0.813, 3.98         |
| **Interaction Reservoir ($\beta_{i,r}$)** |                  |                                             |
| AT_sum:R.LB                              | $-0.00498$       | -0.35, 0.0917, 0.307, 1.83, -2.66           |
| AT_sum:R.SB                              | $-0.0114$        | -0.147, -0.125, 0.0917, -1.27, -11.8        |
| AT_win:R.LB                              | 0.00933          | 0.113, 0.198, -1.21, 1.82, 10.2             |
| AT_win:R.SB                              | 0.00601          | 0.0201, 0.15, -0.237, 1.39, 10.3            |
| Qout:R.LB                                | 0.00417          | 0.0109, 0.0369, -0.154, -0.689, 0.889      |
| Qout:R.SB                                | 0.000195         | 0.00899, 0.00256, 0.18, -0.367, -0.755      |
| Qrw:R.LB                                 | 0.014            | 0.426, -0.181, -8.46, 6.71, -3.58           |
| Qrw:R.SB                                 | $-0.00574$       | 0.072, -0.136, -1.49, -1.46, -0.784        |
| V:R.LB                                   | 0.00449          | -0.0419, 0.0715, 2.68, 5.79, -7.13          |
| V:R.SB                                   | 0.0021           | -0.0822, 0.0589, 0.846, 0.459, 0.238        |
| wind:R.LB                                | $-0.0217$        | -0.751, 0.769, 2.38, -22.4, -2.06          |
| wind:R.SB                                | 0.00549          | -0.304, 0.926, 17.3, -20.9, -18.1          |
| **Interaction Strategy ($\beta_{i,t,s}$)** |                  |                                             |
| AT_sum:S.dyn2                            | 0.00235          | -0.0182, 0.142, 0.0535, 0.745, 7.18         |
| AT_sum:S.high                            | 0.00124          | -0.0127, 0.0879, -0.28, -0.784, -0.428      |
| AT_sum:S.low                             | 0.00223          | -0.0105, 0.0671, -0.664, -1.44, 2.74        |
| AT_win:S.dyn2                            | $-0.00154$       | -0.0163, -0.0452, -0.276, -0.803, -0.817   |
| AT_win:S.high                            | 0.000295         | 0.00809, 0.0249, -0.0401, -1.22, 1.11       |
| AT_win:S.low                             | $-0.00312$       | 0.043, -0.0897, -0.176, -0.298, 0.00978     |
| Qout:S.dyn2                              | $-0.000309$      | -0.00029, -0.00766, -0.00686, 0.000607, -0.0726 |
| Qout:S.high                              | $-0.000567$      | -0.00129, -0.0145, 0.00347, -0.0433, -0.00749 |
| Qout:S.low                               | $-0.000107$      | 0.00301, -0.00259, -0.0151, -0.0134, 0.051  |
| Qrw:S.dyn2                               | 0.000373         | -0.0154, -0.00218, -0.00594, 0.00828, -0.748 |
| Qrw:S.high                               | 0.000246         | -0.000426, 0.0449, 0.00464, -1.06, -0.464   |
| Qrw:S.low                                | 0.0009           | -0.0122, 0.0476, 0.0896, -0.25, -0.381      |
| V:S.dyn2                                 | 0.00139          | 0.0189, 0.0417, 0.0526, -0.601, 1.38         |
| V:S.high                                 | 0.00335          | 0.0124, 0.043, -0.0899, -0.0104, 0.599       |
| V:S.low                                  | $-0.000823$      | -0.00238, -0.0331, -0.106, 0.254, 0.0217    |
| wind:S.dyn2                              | $-0.0198$        | 0.0513, -0.589, 1.43, 5.12, -14.6           |
| wind:S.high                              | $-0.0124$        | 0.0054, -0.42, 0.194, 6.52, -9              |
| wind:S.low                               | $-0.00208$       | -0.0612, -0.023, 0.432, 1.49, -3.06         |
| **Model quality**                        |                  |                                             |
| $R^2$                                    | 0.927            | 0.738, 0.603, 0.603, 0.85, 0.657            |

3. and 4. if the values are depending on the reservoir or used strategy the default values ($\beta_0$ and $\beta_i$) correspond to reservoir ES and strategy dyn1.