Breastfeeding is an unmatched and most effective intervention to provide an ideal food for the growth and development of infants and young children.[1] Breastfeeding has various known health benefits for infants, children and mothers. It also serves as a vital approach to improve public health at large; however, this can be achieved only when optimal breastfeeding can be implemented successfully.[2] Optimal breastfeeding involves: initiation of breastfeeding in the first hour after birth, continued exclusively for the first six months of life[3] and continued, with safe and adequate complementary foods, up to two years or beyond.[4,5]

In contrast, the introduction of any fluids or foods other than breastmilk to a baby before the establishment of regular breastfeeding (prelacteal feeding) can deprive the child of valuable nutrients and colostrum, which thus puts the newborn at increased risk of infection. Besides, this malpractice has also been shown to delay the initiation of breastfeeding and hinders the proper establishment and future success of breastfeeding.[6] Evidence suggests that providing prelacteal feeds can lead to early termination of full breastfeeding.[6] Nevertheless, prelacteal feeds are given for non-nutritional purposes, in the belief that they can act as laxatives or cleansing or hydrating agents.[7]

Poor breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices are a global public concern. Worldwide, it is estimated that only 35% of infants are exclusively breastfed for the first six months of life, while the majority of infants receive some other food or fluid in their early months.[7]

Developing countries share the greatest burden of death related to suboptimal breastfeeding, especially non-exclusive breastfeeding in the first six months of life, which results in 1.4 million deaths and 10% of the disease burden in children under five years.[8] If promotion and support for early initiation and exclusive breastfeeding can be made universal, there would be a 45% reduction in all-cause and infection-related neonatal mortality, also considering that about 12% of deaths of children under two years have been attributed to suboptimal breastfeeding.[7]

The prevalence of prelacteal feeding in sub-Saharan Africa has been calculated to be 32.2%. Plain water, milk other than breastmilk,
and sugar or glucose water were some of the prelacteal feeds given to babies. A wide range of harmful newborn feeding practices including prelacteal feeding has been documented in Ethiopia, even after the implementation of infant and young child feeding programmes. The findings of the recent nationwide Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS) showed that the prevalence of prelacteal feeding was 8%.

There is a variation in the prevalence of prelacteal feeding from place to place within the country, 75.5% in the Kersa District, 45.4% in the Harari region, 42.9% in Afar, 38.8% in Raya Kobo and 15% in Bahir Dar city.

Studies so far have identified different factors contributing to prelacteal feeding. These include: father's educational status, mother's occupation, average monthly household income, time of initiation of breastfeeding, mode of delivery, place of delivery and antenatal care (ANC) follow-up.

Many aspects of the relationship between prelacteal feeding and maternal sociodemographic characteristics and healthcare utilisation have been extensively studied and described in the literature. However, there is a paucity of evidence on how equally important factors such as immediate postnatal care (PNC) and maternal breastfeeding knowledge affect prelacteal feeding practice, and were given little attention, particularly in the study area. The present study was therefore aimed to assess the prevalence of prelacteal feeding and its associated factors among mothers of under-24-month-old children in Arba Minch Zuria District, Ethiopia.

**Methods and materials**

**Study design and setting**

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted from February to March 2018 in Arba Minch Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) sites which were hosted by Arba Minch University. The sites are located in the Arba Minch Zuria District in southern Ethiopia. According to the HDSS report, the district has a total population of 74 157, of whom 3 521 are children under two years. The district comprises 31 kebeles (wards) of which, nine kebeles were within the HDSS limit. The area has three climatic zones: highland, midland and lowland.

**Sample size determination and sampling procedure**

The sample size was calculated using the single population proportion formula by considering the following assumption: 95% confidence interval (CI), 5% margin of error, 10% for non-response, and best estimates of the proportion of mothers who gave prelacteal feeding being 38.8% from the previous study at Raya Kobo. Accordingly, the final computed sample size was 402.

All kebeles within the limits of Arba Minch HDSS were included in the study. Hence, all mothers of under-24-month-old children residing within these kebeles were our source population. The list of mothers with a child under the age of 24 months in these kebeles was retrieved from the HDSS database using the mothers’ identification numbers. Then a simple random sampling method was used to select study participants by generating a table of random numbers using Stata statistical software (StataCorp., USA). Finally, the randomly selected participants were accessed in their dwellings by referring to their HDSS household ID.

**Data collection tool and procedure**

Data were collected using a structured and pretested questionnaire which was adapted from prior studies conducted in different areas and modified accordingly. The questionnaire contained items on sociodemographic characteristics, pregnancy, childbirth, early infant feeding practices, and mothers’ health services utilisation. The questionnaire was uploaded on mobile devices running an Android operating system which was preinstalled with Open Data Kit (ODK; Nafundi, USA) data collection software. In total, nine trained and experienced data collectors and three field supervisors working in Arba Minch HDSS sites collected the data using hand-held devices. The data collectors visited 13 households per day. The collected data were then sent to a server in the HDSS office, aggregated and downloaded. Training was given for two days to data collectors and supervisors, and mainly focused on data collection tools and the mobile data collection platform using ODK to equip data collectors with the skills necessary to manage data collection. The questionnaire was thoroughly reviewed by a senior expert who had experience in question construction to make sure the questionnaire had no mistakes. An expert familiar with the topic also reviewed the tool to see if the questions captured the topic well. A pretest was also conducted on 20 mothers living in a kebele not enrolled in the study, to ensure the quality of the data as well. Data from the pretest were excluded after correcting the wording, sequence and approach of the questions.

**Data processing and analysis**

The collected data were cleaned, coded and checked for missing values, and then analysed using SPSS version 20 software (IBM Corp., USA). Descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages and proportions were used to summarise pertinent variables and presented using tables and graphs. The family wealth index was constructed via the principal component analysis (PCA) method and the family wealth status was grouped into quantiles. A binary logistic regression model was used to identify factors associated with prelacteal feeding. Crude odds ratios (cOR) with their 95% CIs were computed to assess the association between each independent variable and the outcome variable and to identify variables that were candidates for multivariable analysis. Variables with a p-value <0.25 in bivariate logistic regression were entered into the multivariable analysis. An adjusted odds ratio (aOR) with a 95% CI was used to assess the strength of association, and a p-value <0.05 was used to assert statistical significance in the final model. The collinearity effect was tested using the variance inflation factor (VIF). Model fitness was checked by using the Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test with the enter method.

**Measurements and operational definitions**

**Prelacteal feeding** is defined as feeding newborns anything other than breastmilk before breastfeeding is initiated or regularly given within the first three days from birth. This was assessed by asking mothers a single question: ‘Did you give any food/fluid to your baby in the first three days after birth?’ A dichotomised possible response of Yes was scored as 1 and No scored as 0.

**Index child** is the last child of the mother who was less than two years old and for whom the feeding practice was asked.

**Maternal breastfeeding knowledge** was assessed by mothers’ responses to the following three questions related to early breastfeeding: (i) How long after birth should a newborn start breastfeeding? (correct response: Immediately or less than one hour after delivery.); (ii) What do you do with colostrum? (correct response: Give to the infant.); (iii) How long should the baby breastfeed exclusively? (correct response: 6 months). Each question was given a score of 1 or 0, depending on a correct or incorrect response, respectively. We then created an overall score of correct knowledge response of 0 - 3. Thus, those who responded to all three questions correctly had good knowledge, those
with two correct responses had moderate knowledge, and those with one or no correct response had poor knowledge.

**Results**

**Sociodemographic characteristics**

A total of 400 mother-child pairs participated in the study, yielding a response rate of 99.5%. The mean (standard deviation (SD)) age of study participants was 29.01 (5.39) and ranged from 16 to 42 years. Nearly 77% of study participants were followers of the Protestant religion. The majority of study participants (326 (81.5%)) were of Gamo ethnicity. Seventy-six percent of all mothers in the study area were housewives by occupation. The mean (SD) age of the index child was 9.02 (6.99) months (Table 1).

**Maternal health care utilisation-related characteristics**

Out of 400 mothers, prelacteal feeding was given by 16.8% (95% CI 13.0 - 20.0). Of the latter, non-breastmilk constituted the most common prelacteal feed, and was given by 42 (10.5%) of the respondents. Other prelacteal feeds were sugar water and coffee leaves boiled in sugar water. Of those who gave prelacteal feeds, 13.5% said that insufficiency of breastmilk was a major reason for providing prelacteal feeds (Table 3).

**Mothers’ knowledge of breastfeeding**

Most mothers (85.8%) knew that breastfeeding should begin immediately or within one hour after birth, and 53.5% of mothers

---

**Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of mothers of under-24-month-old children in Arba Minch Zuria District, Ethiopia, in 2018**

| Characteristics               | n (%)       |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| Age, years                   |             |
| 16 - 24                      | 92 (23)     |
| 25 - 34                      | 231 (57.8)  |
| ≥35                          | 77 (19.3)   |
| Ethnicity                    |             |
| Gamo                         | 326 (81.5)  |
| Zeise                        | 54 (13.5)   |
| Other*                       | 20 (5.1)    |
| Religion                     |             |
| Protestant                   | 309 (77.3)  |
| Orthodox Christian           | 86 (21.5)   |
| Other                        | 5 (1.3)     |
| Occupation                   |             |
| Housewife                    | 304 (76.8)  |
| Farmer                       | 64 (16.0)   |
| Other†                       | 29 (7.2)    |
| Marital status               |             |
| Married                      | 393 (98.3)  |
| Never married                | 1 (0.3)     |
| Separated/widowed            | 6 (1.5)     |
| Educational status           |             |
| No formal education          | 232 (58.0)  |
| Formal education             | 168 (42.0)  |
| Child’s sex                  |             |
| Male                         | 205 (51.2)  |
| Female                       | 195 (48.8)  |
| Child’s age (months)         |             |
| 0 - 11                       | 244 (61.0)  |
| 12 - 23                      | 156 (39.0)  |
| Husband’s educational status |             |
| (n=393)                      |             |
| No formal education          | 190 (48.3)  |
| Formal education             | 203 (51.7)  |
| Family wealth status         |             |
| Low                          | 133 (33.3)  |
| Middle                       | 134 (33.5)  |
| High                         | 133 (33.3)  |

*Wolayita, Gofa, Amhara.
†Merchant, government employee, own business, daily labourer or prophet.

**Table 2. Maternal health service utilisation and child-related characteristics in Arba Minch Zuria District, Ethiopia, in 2018**

| Variables                                              | n (%)     |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| ANC follow-up for the index child (n=400)              |           |
| Yes                                                    | 328 (82.0) |
| No                                                     | 72 (18.0)  |
| Number of ANC follow-ups (n=328)                       |           |
| 1                                                      | 4 (1.0)    |
| 2 - 3                                                  | 127 (31.8) |
| ≥4                                                     | 197 (49.3) |
| Received counselling on breastfeeding at ANC (n=328)    |           |
| Yes                                                    | 108 (32.9) |
| No                                                     | 220 (67.1) |
| Place of delivery                                       |           |
| Home                                                   | 192 (48.0) |
| Health facility                                        | 208 (52.0) |
| Mode of delivery                                       |           |
| Caesarean                                              | 12 (3.0)   |
| Vaginal                                                | 388 (97.0) |
| Perceived size of baby at birth                        |           |
| Small                                                  | 121 (30.3) |
| Medium                                                 | 212 (53.0) |
| Large                                                  | 67 (16.8)  |
| Immediate postnatal care                               |           |
| Yes                                                    | 135 (33.8) |
| No                                                     | 265 (66.3) |
| Encountered breast problem after delivery of index child|           |
| Yes                                                    | 60 (15.0)  |
| No                                                     | 340 (85.0) |
| Parity                                                 |           |
| Primipara                                              | 78 (19.5)  |
| Multipara                                              | 322 (80.5) |

ANC = antenatal care.
recognised that babies should be fed colostrum. Nearly two-thirds (68.5%) of study participants mentioned that a child should be fed only breastmilk until six months of age. Overall, 46.0%, 17.8% and 36.3% of all study participants had good, moderate and poor knowledge, respectively, of breastfeeding.

Factors associated with prelacteal feeding practice
In the multivariable model, not receiving counselling on breastfeeding, lack of immediate PNC, and poor mothers’ knowledge of breastfeeding were positively associated with prelacteal feeding (p < 0.05). Mothers who did not receive counselling about breastfeeding during antenatal follow-up were 4.1 times more likely to provide prelacteal feed to their babies, compared with those who received counselling (aOR 4.1; 95% CI 1.70 - 9.76). The odds of giving prelacteal feed were 6.46 times higher among mothers who did not have immediate postnatal care than those who received immediate PNC (aOR 6.46; 95% CI 2.85 - 14.63). Furthermore, mothers who had a poor and moderate level of knowledge about breastfeeding were 3.95 and 3.12 times more likely to give prelacteal feeds to their babies than those who had good knowledge, respectively. Hence, as mothers’ knowledge of breastfeeding increases, prelacteal feeding practices decrease (Table 4).

Discussion
Considerable improvements have been achieved in prelacteal feeding practices in Ethiopia. A countrywide survey showed a reduction in the prevalence of prelacteal feeding from 27% in 2011 to 8% in 2016. However, in the current study, the prevalence of prelacteal feeding was 16.8%, which is two times higher than stated in the recent EDHS report. The prevalence of prelacteal feeding in the current study is also higher than that in a finding in North Wello Zone of 11.1%. This difference may be attributed to disparity in the residency of respondents. In the latter study, participants resided in cities where there was broader access to information and healthcare services, unlike the current study which was conducted in rural parts of the district. A nearly consistent finding was reported in a study from Bahir Dar City, in which the prevalence of prelacteal feeding was 15%. The prevalence of prelacteal feeding in the present study was significantly lower than that reported in Jammu and Kashmir in India (88%), Kersa District in Ethiopia (75.8%), and Vietnam (73.3%). This substantial variance may be credited to differences in cultural beliefs, practices related to newborn feeding, and sample size. Additionally, higher prevalences of prelacteal feeding have been reported in Egypt (57.8%) and the Afar (42.9%), Harar (45.4%) and Raya Kobo regions (38.8%).

The finding of a study from northern Nigeria also showed a significantly higher prevalence (85.2%) of prelacteal feeding, as compared with the current finding. This discrepancy might result from a difference in the respondents’ age category. In the former study, participants were younger girls aged 10 - 14 years who were married. This group of younger girls was likely to give prelacteal feeds because they were not sufficiently mature and experienced to feed newborns.

Our study revealed that milk other than mother’s breastmilk accounted for the highest proportion (10.5%) of prelacteal feeds provided by the mother, followed by rolled butter (1.8%) and clean water (0.8%). Butter was also reported as a common prelacteal feed in Raya Kobo whereas plain water was described as a predominant prelacteal feed in the Harari region.

In the current study, counselling on breastfeeding during ANC visits was associated with reduced risk of prelacteal feeding practice. A consistent finding was reported in a study from Kolkata and Maharashtra in India, and in north-eastern Ethiopia, in which the odds of giving prelacteal feeds were higher among mothers who had not received counselling than among those who had.

The present study found that mothers who had received immediate PNC had a lower likelihood of giving prelacteal feeding than those who had not received it; this was because mothers who were visited by healthcare providers immediately after birth (within six hours) would have a greater chance of obtaining counselling on optimal breastfeeding.

A lower level of knowledge about breastfeeding was one of the significant factors that was positively associated with prelacteal feeding practice in our study. A parallel finding was reported in studies from rural Sidama and Vietnam in which a higher knowledge score on breastfeeding corresponds with minimal odds of giving prelacteal feeds. This evidence signals an increasing need for encouraging strategies that enhance the dissemination of health information to improve knowledge of the community about optimal breastfeeding.

The main strength of this study is the utilisation of an appropriate model for analysis and sampling procedure to yield a representative sample. Despite this strength, the study also had the following shortcomings: its findings rely on the women's memory, which is subjected to recall bias, even though attempts such as probing to help them remember, were made. The study also has the drawbacks of cross-sectional study design.

Conclusions and recommendations
Despite the implementation of infant and young child feeding programmes, one out of six neonates in the study area still receives prelacteal feeds. Prelacteal feeding practice thrives among mothers who do not have immediate postnatal care, those who did not receive counselling about breastfeeding during ANC visits, and mothers...
with poor knowledge of breastfeeding. The findings of this study, therefore, emphasise the need to improve mothers’ knowledge of breastfeeding, and the importance of skilled counselling on optimal breastfeeding during ANC visits. Furthermore, special attention should be given to mothers during immediate postnatal care to mitigate the burden of prelacteal feeding practice. Lastly, this study calls for further qualitative research in the study area to anticipate how best the finding of this study will be utilised.
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