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Abstract

This study examined the impact of armed conflict on public health surveillance systems, the limitations of traditional surveillance in this context, and innovative strategies to overcome these limitations. A qualitative case study was conducted to examine the factors affecting the functioning of poliovirus surveillance in conflict-affected areas of Borno state, Nigeria using semi-structured interviews of a purposeful sample of participants.

The main inhibitors of surveillance were inaccessibility, the destroyed health infrastructure, and the destroyed communication network. These three challenges created a situation in which the traditional polio surveillance system could not function.

Three strategies to overcome these challenges were viewed by respondents as the most impactful. First, local community informants were recruited to conduct surveillance for acute flaccid paralysis in children in the inaccessible areas. Second, the informants engaged in local-level negotiation with the insurgency groups to bring children with paralysis to accessible areas for investigation and sample collection. Third, GIS technology was used to track the places reached for surveillance and vaccination and to estimate the size and location of the inaccessible population.

A modified monitoring system tracked tailored indicators including the number of places reached for surveillance and the number of acute flaccid paralysis cases detected and investigated, and utilized GIS technology to map the reach of the program.

The surveillance strategies used in Borno were successful in increasing surveillance sensitivity in an area of protracted conflict and inaccessibility. This approach and some of the specific strategies may be useful in other areas of armed conflict.

Introduction

The Global Polio Eradication Program was established in 1988 with the lofty goal of eradicating polio globally by the year 2000. The program is now 20 years past this target date and struggling to stop transmission in the remaining indigenous wild poliovirus reservoirs in parts of Pakistan and areas of conflict in Afghanistan.

Sensitive poliovirus surveillance is a key component of the effort to eradicate polio because it allows the program to rapidly detect and respond to any cases of polio to stop the transmission. The ability to conduct sensitive surveillance is substantially curtailed however in situations of insecurity and inaccessibility due to armed conflict. This study examined the impact of armed conflict on public health surveillance systems, the limitations of traditional surveillance strategies in this context, and potential strategies to overcome these limitations.

Polio eradication efforts rely heavily on a sensitive poliovirus surveillance system, centered primarily on active surveillance for any case of acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) in children with laboratory testing of fecal specimens for poliovirus. Conducting this surveillance well requires a comprehensive network of district surveillance officers and health facility surveillance focal persons to quickly detect, report, and investigate AFP cases as they occur. This network requires participation by public and private health care providers and is often augmented with support from partners such as the World Health Organization (WHO). There are a set of performance indicators that track the functioning and sensitivity of typical AFP surveillance systems. However, in areas of armed conflict these monitoring systems are challenged.

Without full access to the population for vaccination and surveillance, poliovirus can circulate undetected. For example, an outbreak of polio in South Sudan was detected in 2008, which, based on poliovirus genomic sequencing analysis, represented three years of undetected transmission due to ongoing conflict in that country. Disruptions to both vaccination and surveillance have led to polio outbreaks and delayed detection in Afghanistan, Somalia, Angola, and the Democratic Republic of Congo as well.

This complex problem, which can have far-reaching implications, is exemplified in the northern Nigeria State of Borno where wild poliovirus (WPV) was detected in 2016 and linked to transmission of lineages last detected in 2011, representing five years of undetected transmission due to the ongoing conflict in the state. For over a decade Northeast Nigeria, and particularly Borno state, has been plagued by ongoing attacks by Boko Haram and offshoot terrorist groups. These armed groups are responsible for mass killings, hostage takings, and destruction of houses and infrastructure including health facilities. During 2014–2016, Boko Haram gained control of progressively more territory in the state. Approximately 2.2 million people fled their homes due to the terrorist activities and millions more are in need of humanitarian assistance. A large, unknown number of people remained trapped in inaccessible areas of Borno State that the polio program could not access to conduct disease surveillance or vaccination. Because of this situation, the polio program in Nigeria could not rule out the possibility of continued polio transmission in the state.

This case study examined the impact of armed conflict on the public health surveillance systems. The primary question was: how can the conventional polio surveillance system and strategies be modified for areas of conflict and inaccessible populations? Secondary questions focused on exploring the inhibitors of effective surveillance in the context of armed conflict, potential strategies to overcome them, modified performance monitoring mechanisms, and systems for facilitating collaboration for surveillance.

Methods

Study design

This study employed a qualitative single case study design to examine the AFP surveillance system in inaccessible areas of Borno State, Nigeria. Inaccessibility was defined as the inability of civilians to safely move in and out of a given area due to the risk of attack by insurgents. Elements of case study research include corroboration of findings from different types of evidence, use of a conceptual framework to guide the research design, and use of appropriate data collection and data analysis techniques to address issues of validity and reliability. This design was chosen to allow an in-depth exploration of the challenges and strategies at play in a severe conflict situation.
Researcher Characteristics
The corresponding author conducted all the interviews and analyses for this study. Based in Atlanta, he had travelled to Borno state twice prior to the study to support the polio eradication program and had met some of the respondents. He was not working on polio eradication in Nigeria at the time of this study and did not attempt to bias or sway them in any way from providing their own perspectives during the interviews.

Conceptual Framework
A conceptual framework (Figure 1) was developed encompassing the key factors that affect the AFP surveillance system in conflict-affected areas. It includes the systems, assumptions, barriers, theories, and opportunities regarding conducting high quality polio surveillance in conflict-affected areas. The framework identifies the interconnections between these factors to focus on the opportunities to change the current system in ways that will make it more effective in the context of armed conflict. It illustrates the ways in which the current polio surveillance system is hindered in areas of armed conflict and suggests alternatives that may be effective in overcoming those barriers. Finally, it includes novel strategies such as engagement with local communities, engagement with security forces, use of tailored surveillance indicators, and use of remote sensing to assess population dynamics.

Study sample
The sample for this study was purposefully selected and encompassed 15 key documents and 16 staff selected for in-depth interviews. Key documents were selected to obtain detailed information on the armed conflict in Borno, the humanitarian response to the conflict, and polio eradication program activities in those areas. Documents included published reports, journal articles, program plans, and news media articles (Table 1).

TABLE 1: List of Documents Reviewed
| Type of Document | Document names | Date of Publication | URL |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----|
| Report           | Displacement Tracking Matrix | December 2017 | https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/en/operations/nigeria/assessment/displacement-tracking-matrix-dtm |
| Report           | Nigeria Emergency Response: Borno State Early Warning Alert and Response System | October 2016 | https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/sites/www.humanitarianresponse.info/files/documents/files/borno_jBulletin_w41_2016_10_16_october.pdf |
| Report           | Northeast Nigeria Humanitarian Response bulletin: Borno State Government. | October 2016 | https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/sites/www.humanitarianresponse.info/files/documents/files/borno_number_1_sept_2016.pdf |
| Report           | Northeast Nigeria Humanitarian Response bulletin: Borno State Government. | July 2019 | https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/health_sector_bulletin_july_19_ne_nigeria.pdf |
| Report           | Lake Chad Basin Crisis Overview | February 2016 | https://reliefweb.int/report/nigeria/lake-chad-basin-crisis-overview-29-february-2016 |
| Report           | Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI) polio updates for Borno 20016-2019 | March 2020 | http://polioeradication.org/ |
| Report           | 33rd Nigeria Polio Expert Review Committee Report | January 2017 | http://polioeradication.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/finalreport-33ERCmeeting-012017.pdf |
| Report           | Finding inhabited settlements and tracking vaccination progress... in Borno | May 2019 | https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31096971/ |
| Report           | Polio Independent Monitoring Board Report | October 2018 | http://polioeradication.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/20181105-16th-IMB-Report-FINAL.pdf |
| Report           | USAID Lake Chad Basin Complex Emergency Fact Sheet | May 2017 | https://www.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/documents/1866/05.12.17_-_USAID_DCHA_Lake_Chad_Basin_Complex_Emergency_Fact_Sheet_15.pdf |
| Plan             | National Primary Health Care Development Agency National Polio Eradication Responce Emergency Plan | 2018 | http://polioeradication.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Nigeria-National-Polio-Emergency-Plan-2018.pdf |
| News Article     | New York Times article on Boko Haram: “Boko Haram is Back. With Better Drones.” | September 2019 | https://www.nytimes.com/2019/09/13/world/africa/nigeria-boko-haram.html |
| News             | Washington | September | https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/africa/nigerian-children-who-escaped-boko-haram-say-they-faced-an |
The staff interviewed in this study were selected to obtain a range of perspectives from various organizations, position types, and levels that are important for the functioning of the surveillance system (Table 2). The primary aim of these interviews was to gain a deeper understanding of the inhibitors of surveillance, the opportunities for effective surveillance, and the monitoring and collaboration systems. Five of the staff interviewed worked in four Local Government Areas (LGAs, districts) with high levels of conflict and inaccessibility: Guzamala, Bama, Ngala, and Kukawa. Interviews were continued until reaching a point of saturation where very little new information was gained from additional interviews.

**TABLE 2: Interviews conducted**

| Number | Level       | Organization | Position type |
|--------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1      | State       | CDC contractor| Surveillance  |
| 2      | State       | CDC contractor| Surveillance  |
| 3      | State       | NSTOP        | Surveillance  |
| 4      | State       | NSTOP        | Surveillance  |
| 5      | State       | NSTOP        | Surveillance  |
| 6      | State       | NSTOP        | Data analysis |
| 7      | State       | WHO          | Surveillance  |
| 8      | State       | Ehealth      | Data analysis |
| 9      | State       | Solina       | Data analysis |
| 10     | State       | IOM          | Humanitarian Support |
| 11     | District    | NSTOP        | Surveillance  |
| 12     | District    | NSTOP        | Surveillance  |
| 13     | District    | NSTOP        | Surveillance  |
| 14     | District    | NSTOP        | Surveillance  |
| 15     | District    | MoH          | Surveillance  |
| 16     | International| CDC         | GIS Specialist |

Table Notes: CDC contractor: International contract with Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; WHO: World Health Organization; NSTOP: National Stop Transmission of Polio program (a cohort of trained health professionals recruited within Nigeria, modeled after the CDC-supported international STOP program); Ehealth: Nigeria-based public health non-governmental organization; Solina: Nigeria-based health consulting firm; MoH: Ministry of Health

**Data collection and data management**

Standardized interview guides were developed, pre-tested for clarity and relevance with relevant stakeholders and refined prior to data collection. Separate interview guides were developed for state level and district level interviews. Interviews were conducted between April and August 2020. All semi-structured
telephone interviews were recorded and manually transcribed. Each interview was approximately one hour in length. Interview transcriptions were reviewed and cleaned for transcription errors prior to analysis. Reflective memos were produced immediately after each interview. To strengthen validity, a secondary note-taker and a secondary coder were engaged for a portion of the interviews and member checking and peer-debriefing exercises were conducted prior to finalizing the results.

Data analysis

Data relevant to the study questions and constructs in the 15 documents were extracted using a tool in Microsoft Excel to create a matrix for analysis by construct and document type. The document extracts were analyzed to better understand the constructs in the study, identify emerging themes, and assess consistency of information among reports as a measure of the reliability of the available data.

Interview data were analyzed using MaxQDA software. Data were coded based on a-priori and emerging codes (Table 3). Two rounds of coding were conducted to ensure that emerging codes and co-occurring codes were fully captured. Analysis was conducted using analytic memos, matrix displays, summary tables, code relations graphs, and code mapping to develop and describe themes and relationships in the data. Content from co-occurring codes was analyzed in further detail through summary tables and grids by organization. Results were compared and contrasted among respondents and respondent groups and also triangulated among interview data and reviewed documents to look for areas of convergence and divergence. Data analysis was conducted concurrently with data collection.

Table 3: Coding system

| 1 Inhibitors | 2 Strategies | 3 Monitoring systems | 4 Collaboration and information sharing systems |
|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1.1 Accessibility of populations | 2.1 Community informants | 3.1 Tailored surveillance performance indicators | (no subcodes were included for this code) |
| 1.2 Communication | 2.2 GIS technology | 3.2 Tailored surveillance quality assessment tools |
| 1.3 Health infrastructure | 2.3 Collection and testing of specimens beyond AFP cases |
| 1.4 Overall infrastructure | 2.4 Collaboration with security |
| 1.5 Population movement | 2.5 Profiling of displaced people |
| 1.6 Traumatizing violence | 2.6 Evacuation (emerging code) |
| 1.7 Malnutrition and disease outbreaks | 2.7 Nomadic population (emerging code) |
| 1.8 Rainy season (emerging code) |
| 1.9 Nomadic population (emerging code) |

Ethical considerations

This study posed little risk to the participants. Only program staff who were already deeply involved in the issues were included. Informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to conducting the interviews. All responses were kept confidential and no identifying information was retained electronically. Ethical approval was obtained from the Government of Borno State Ethical Review Board, and the case study was determined non-research by the CDC Center for Global Health Human Subjects Research Office and the University of Illinois Ethical Review Board.

Role of the funding source

Funds provided by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) were used to cover the cost of transcribing the interviews. CDC also allowed the primary investigator (a CDC employee) to work on this project during his working hours. The primary investigator conducted the study and made the decision to submit the manuscript for publication while working for CDC. CDC as an agency did not provide input into the study design or analysis.

Results

Findings from the document review and interviews were consistent; the respondents’ interviews were very consistent and highly detailed (Table 4). The main inhibitors of surveillance in the conflict areas of Borno State were inaccessibility, and the destruction of both the health care infrastructure and the communication network; respondents unanimously reported that there were no functional health facilities and no cellular network in those areas. The traditional polio surveillance system relies on active surveillance in facilities, passive reporting, and prompt communication and could not function in the
inaccessible areas. Figure 2 displays the accessibility by ward (sub-district) in Borno state as of December 2020. Other important challenges to the traditional AFP surveillance system, including traumatizing violence and widespread malnutrition, were considered surmountable. Population movement was viewed as a potential surveillance advantage because migrating families were primarily fleeing inaccessible areas to accessible areas, where they could more easily be captured in the surveillance system.

Respondent 3: “Up to 45% of the state geographic area remain inaccessible. Take for example, there are 27 local governments in the state, only 6 are fully accessible” …“populations living in those areas cannot be reached by the regular teams that conduct AFP surveillance and surveillance for other vaccines preventable diseases. So, some populations are trapped there”

**Respondent 1:** “So, all those health facilities in those trapped communities have been destroyed.”

**Respondent 4:** “in those inaccessible areas, communication structures has been destroyed, so GSM networks are not available. You won’t be able to communicate on phone in those areas.”

| Table 4 | Triangulation of data from document reviews and interviews |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Construct** | **Sub Construct** | **Documents** | **Interviews** | **Level of Agreement** |
| Inhibitors | Inaccessibility | Discussed by most | Discussed by most | High |
| | Communication | Discussed by one | Discussed by most | High |
| | Health Infrastructure | Discussed by some | Discussed by most | High |
| | Overall Infrastructure | Discussed by one | Discussed by most | High |
| | Population movement | Discussed by most | Discussed by most | High |
| | Traumatizing violence | Discussed by some | Discussed by most | High |
| | Malnutrition and disease outbreaks | Discussed by most | Discussed by most | High |
| | Rainy season | Not discussed | Discussed by most | NA* |
| | Nomadic population | Not discussed | Discussed by some | NA |
| Strategies | Community informants | Not discussed | Discussed by most | NA |
| | GIS technology | Discussed by some | Discussed by most | High** |
| | Collection and testing of specimens beyond AFP cases | Discussed by some | Discussed by most | High |
| | Collaboration with security forces | Discussed by some | Discussed by most | High |
| | Profiling of displaced people | Discussed by some | Discussed by most | High |
| | Evacuation | Not discussed | Discussed by most | NA |
| | Nomadic population | Not discussed | Discussed by some | NA |
| Monitoring systems | Tailored surveillance performance indicators for inaccessible areas | Not discussed | Discussed by most | NA |
| | Tailored surveillance quality assessment tools | Not discussed | Discussed by some | NA |
| Collaboration and information sharing systems | Discussed by some | Discussed by most | High** |

* Not applicable
** Interviewees provided additional information not found in the documents
Three strategies were found to be effective in overcoming these challenges: 1) use of local community informants to conduct surveillance in inaccessible areas; 2) local-level negotiation with insurgency groups to bring children with paralysis to accessible areas for investigation and sample collection; and 3) use of GIS technology (satellite imagery) to estimate the size and location of the population in inaccessible places and track progress in surveillance. Together, these provided strong cumulative evidence of the absence of WPV transmission in Borno state.

Lay adults who resided in or were able to enter inaccessible areas were recruited as community informants in inaccessible areas (CIIAs) to search for children with suspected AFP. CIIAs were recruited through a snowball approach and included hunters, traders, nomads, and others identified at markets who were uninvolved in government programs, to protect them from anti-government sentiment. No stipend was provided; CIIAs were given an allowance after attending monthly meetings. The settlements they visited depended on whether they could indeed negotiate access. Their exact activities depended on the security risk level in the areas they reached, from simply observing children to directly asking adults if they had any paralyzed children in their or neighboring households. A separate coordination system to monitor CIIAs was set up with ward and LGA coordinators who were also intentionally distanced from the polio program to protect them from anti-government sentiment. Respondents agreed that CIIAs were reaching most, but not all settlements in inaccessible areas. Challenges discussed included reporting of false AFP cases, late reporting, additional costs required to collect specimens, and the inability to directly supervise the work of the informants.

Respondent 5: “The major strength really lies on the ability of the informants to be able to navigate into these inaccessible areas, to be able to interact with the caregivers without any problem.”

Most respondents (12/16) discussed the strategy of temporarily evacuating children with suspected AFP cases for confirmation and investigation. Given that CIIAs were not health workers and often illiterate, and inaccessible areas had no electricity, the most feasible but sometimes dangerous approach for collecting specimens and conducting case investigations and clinical examinations was to bring the patient to an accessible area of Borno. Funds were pre-positioned at LGAs to cover lodging, meals, and medical care costs, which played a large role in persuading families to agree to evacuation. While this strategy greatly improved case investigation, cases were often investigated late after onset due to the challenges of evacuation, including travel by foot or horse-drawn cart. It is also not clear if all children with suspected AFP were evacuated; there was no system in place for recording information about suspected AFP in children who could not be evacuated. Of note, many respondents explained that the work of the CIIAs, including evacuation of cases, required direct negotiation with the insurgents at the local level. Several respondents emphasized the importance of CIIAs having established the trust of local insurgent actors.

Respondent 12: “The community informants have been able to gain the trust of the community. So, even if a child of a terrorist needs to be evacuated, these guys can still go ahead and do the vaccination, because they have been trusted, they cannot be attacked. But if a soldier, a military man approaches those communities, the terrorists or the bad boys can engage them in a fight.”

Respondents enthusiastically described the benefits of GIS technology for implementing and monitoring of surveillance in inaccessible areas. The methods of satellite imagery analysis for assessing populations in Borno has been described elsewhere. Before the use of satellite imagery, there was conflicting information on the size and location of populations remaining in inaccessible areas. Satellite imagery allowed estimation of inhabitance, population size and precise location of settlements in the inaccessible areas, and the use of GPS-enabled phones allowed the tracking of places visited by CIIAs and security forces for surveillance. Over 12,000 settlements in the inaccessible districts were regularly analyzed using satellite imagery to estimate the inaccessible population, prioritize areas for implementing surveillance and vaccination activities, track progress in reaching the population, and advocate with security forces for support in reaching inaccessible populations if needed. Most respondents discussed the value of GPS-enabled phones as an accountability tool for documenting the places CIIAs visited, although several reported logistical difficulties in providing phones to CIIAs. Other strategies discussed were collection and testing of stool specimens from healthy children from inaccessible areas, collaboration with security forces, profiling newly arrived displaced persons, and accessing nomadic populations for surveillance.

Respondent 1: “We use satellite imagery to estimate population, population usually in trapped areas... And that has really been helpful in the program.”

Respondent 16: “so being able to use the tracking phones to add another layer of accountability, I think has been extremely valuable. So you can make sure that if somebody says they reach, they reached a settlement... Well, you can see. Alright. Did you actually go there? Did you actually spend enough time to do what you said you did?”

A modified surveillance monitoring system focused on process indicators including the number of settlements reached and the number of AFP cases detected and investigated. The monitoring system relied heavily on GIS technology to regularly map the reach of the program and produce reports for program planning (Fig. 3). A diverse data team worked in an ongoing process of refining the system and analyzing and reporting the monitoring data. The polio Emergency Operations Center in Borno facilitated strong collaboration across organizations involved in the polio program and the humanitarian response.

Respondent 3: “The most important tool is the Geo-Location Tracking Systems, which I call the GTS. That shows that the person has been to a settlement. He cannot be somewhere else and then the geo-location system would show somewhere else. So, the next monitoring system is the geo-location monitoring system that is being used to show that they have visited the community itself.”

Discussion

Key findings
Our case study found that the major challenges to standard AFP surveillance activities in conflict-affected areas of Borno state were inaccessibility due to insecurity and the complete destruction of health and communication infrastructure. The most effective strategies to overcome these challenges were the recruitment of community informants with access to inaccessible areas, evacuation of AFP patients for investigation and specimen collection, and use of GIS technology for estimating the population size and location of the inaccessible settlements and tracking surveillance visits in the inaccessible areas. Implementation of these strategies involves risk and requires a careful balancing of the safety of the local actors with the achievement of public health goals. Although the surveillance data for Borno, as a critical geography, was sufficient for certification of the eradication of indigenous WPV from the World Health Organization (WHO) Region of Africa in August 2020, the remaining challenges include pockets of settlements still unreached by vaccination and surveillance activities, uncertain regularity and quality of surveillance in the inaccessible areas, and challenges with investigating contacts of AFP cases and conducting 60-day follow up examinations when case specimens cannot be promptly collected.

Traditional performance monitoring for polio surveillance relies heavily on tracking the rate of non-polio (NP) AFP detection in children under 15 years of age. However, monitoring this rate is less useful in areas of armed conflict and insecurity because the populations in those areas are often small, with low likelihood of reporting a background NP AFP case every year, of uncertain size and with severely limited health care access. In addition, the NP AFP rate assumes a relatively homogenous level of AFP detection in a given area, and low case detection in inaccessible areas may be masked by high detection in accessible areas within the same administrative area. The risk of assumed homogeneity in the surveillance performance indicators for LGAs within Borno state can be seen in the premature decision by WHO remove Nigeria from the list of WPV-endemic countries in 2015, after one year without any WPV detection. Revisions in the performance monitoring system for surveillance in inaccessible areas was necessary, focusing on accurately identifying the populations at risk and using process indicators and GPS tracking of surveillance visits in the inaccessible areas.

**Recommendations**

To further improve surveillance performance in the inaccessible areas of Borno State, we recommend developing systems to: 1) report and track suspected AFP cases that are not evacuated for investigation; 2) track the regularity of surveillance visits by CIILAs and categorize settlements by frequency of visits; 3) track the collection of specimens from contacts of AFP cases when specimen collection from patients is not timely; 4) continually enumerate the number of children <15 years of age unreached by surveillance and <5 years of age unreached by vaccination using GIS tracking data and satellite imagery analysis; and 5) use this AFP surveillance approach to detect other priority diseases in the inaccessible areas.

This study suggests useful approaches for other areas of armed conflict. The progress in Borno required sustained efforts with full financial backing, constant innovation, collaboration among partners, attention to data accuracy, and a focus on accountability and transparency. The use of local-level negotiation by community actors to expand access may be useful in other settings where higher-level negotiations are not successful. Collaboration with security forces can be useful for some areas where civilian staff cannot work safely. In Borno a bottom-up approach to partner collaboration was employed to achieve a common goal through innovation, collaboration, attention to data, and accountability. This approach may serve as a model for how staff from government, international agencies, non-governmental organizations and community members can work together. Finally, GIS is a very powerful tool for assessing inhabitation status of settlements in conflict areas and for tracking interventions.

**Limitations**

This study is subject to several limitations. Only publicly available documents were analyzed. The interviews were limited to 16 respondents, although interviews continued until the point of response saturation. In addition, some cadres of staff sought in the sampling frame did not participate. Finally, it would have been useful to directly interview community-level respondents, however because of the vulnerability of that population they were excluded.

**Conclusions**

This study found that, even in the most insecure and inaccessible areas of Borno State Nigeria, it was possible to conduct sensitive public health surveillance using modified approaches. In August 2020 the countries of the World Health Organization Africa Region were certified as free from WPV, an achievement that rested largely on the vaccination and surveillance activities conducted in the conflict-affected areas of Nigeria, particularly Borno state8. This study revealed a very effective system of collaboration to address an adaptive problem with no easy solutions. The approach used in Borno was characterized by intense sustained efforts with large financial backing, constant innovation, strong collaboration, attention to data, and a focus on accountability and transparency. This model, along with some of the specific strategies of local negotiated access, collaboration with security forces, and use of GIS technology, may be useful for other public health interventions in areas of armed conflict.
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**Figure 1**

Conceptual Framework
Figure 2

Accessibility in Borno State, December 2020 (provided by the Borno polio Emergency Operations Center)
Figure 3

Map of surveillance visits in Borno since 2014 as of April 2020 (provided by the Borno polio Emergency Operations Center)