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The Incidence of Type-1 Diabetes in NOD Mice Is Modulated by Restricted Flora Not Germ-Free Conditions

Cecile King\textsuperscript{a}\textsuperscript{a}, Nora Sarvetnick\textsuperscript{b}\textsuperscript{b}

Department of Immunology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, United States of America

Abstract

In the NOD mouse, the incidence of type-1 diabetes is thought to be influenced by the degree of cleanliness of the mouse colony. Studies collectively demonstrate that exposure to bacterial antigen or infection in the neonatal period prevents diabetes [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10], supporting the notion that immunostimulation can benefit the maturation of the postnatal immune system [11]. A widely accepted extrapolation from this data has been the notion that NOD mice maintained under germ-free conditions have an increased incidence of diabetes. However, evidence supporting this influential concept is surprisingly limited [12]. In this study, we demonstrate that the incidence of diabetes in female NOD mice remained unchanged under germ-free conditions. By contrast, a spontaneous monoculture with a gram-positive aerobic spore-forming rod delayed the onset and reduced the incidence of diabetes. These findings challenge the view that germ-free NOD mice have increased diabetes incidence and demonstrate that modulation of intestinal microbiota can prevent the development of type-1 diabetes.
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Introduction

The development of the postnatal immune system is guided by the interactions of lymphocytes with self-MHC/peptide ligands derived from our body’s own tissues and those from the environment, such as the commensal microbial flora of the gastrointestinal tract and the diet. The important role of the gastrointestinal microbiota has been emphasized by the evidence of reduced intestinal lymphatic tissue and underdeveloped lymphoid organs in germ-free mice [13,14,15,16]. The interplay between the host immune system and commensal bacteria is dynamic and continuous since the size and cellularity of gastrointestinal lymphoid tissues recover following selective colonization of germ-free animals [13,14]. Studies on local immune function demonstrate that IgA secreting plasmablasts are reduced in germ free mice [17] and the induction of commensal specific IgA [18] has been shown to occur in response to current bacterial exposure [19]. The molecular basis for the influence of commensal bacteria on host immune function remains incompletely understood, but recent studies have revealed a critical role for the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain containing 1 (NOD1) protein [20] and bacterial polysaccharide [21].

The way in which antigenic stimulation guides the development and maintenance of a healthy immune system is of fundamental importance to our understanding of immunological tolerance. In the non-obese diabetic (NOD) mouse strain, the target pancreatic insulin producing beta cells are attacked and destroyed by activated immune cells, leading to type-1 diabetes. Several infectious, and non-infectious agents are known to prevent type-1 diabetes in NOD mice. They include; persistent viral infection (MHV [1], LCMV [2]), mycobacterial infection [3], bacterial antigens [4,5]; Hsp65 [6,7,8] and complete (heat-killed mycobacterium-containing) Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) [9,10]. Stimulation with adjuvant containing bacterial extracts in the neonatal period is known to prevent diabetes and imparts qualitative and quantitative changes in the immune cell compartments that lasts throughout adulthood [9,22]. There has been a number of hypotheses presented that could account for the protective effect of immunostimulation such as a change in the cytokine milieu [23] and the increase in T cell numbers or populations of regulatory T cells [5,22,24,25,26].

Thus, the incidence of type-1 diabetes in NOD mice is thought to reflect the degree of cleanliness of the colony. A widely accepted extrapolation from these data has been that NOD mice maintained under germ-free conditions have an increased incidence of diabetes. However, there is little evidence to support this view [12].

Materials and Methods

A germfree caesarean derivation was performed on NOD mice using 10 females and 5 males. Cesarean-derived mice were weaned onto sterile dams and housed in sterile isolators to maintain germfree status and fed an endotoxin free NIH31-M diet, designed to provide appropriate nutrition after being autoclaved (http://www.taconic.com/winspage.cfm?param=b=292 until thirty weeks of age at Taconic Farms breeding facility, Germantown, NY, USA. All supplies were sterilized and entered into the isolator using strict aseptic techniques. Mice underwent monthly microbiological...
testing to ensure that germfree rodents were free of aerobic and anaerobic organisms (for a complete list of agents see http://www.taconic.com/wmspage.cfm?parm1=265). Urine glucose was measured every one or two weeks and mice with frank glucosuria -two consecutive reading of 4+ (estimated at 55 mmol/L urine glucose) were considered diabetic. Control female NOD mice were housed under specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions at Taconic and the Scripps rodent colony, which were handled in accordance with the Taconic Animal Care and Use Committee, which approved this study (A3194-01). Blood glucose values (BGV) were determined using Glucofilm blood glucose strips (Miles Diagnostic, Elkhart, IN). Mice were considered diabetic following two consecutive blood glucose readings above 18 mmol/L.

**Results and Discussion**

We sought to determine the influence of intestinal microbiota on the incidence of type-1 diabetes in NOD mice. To our surprise, the incidence of type-1 diabetes in female germ free NOD mice (n = 22) was indistinguishable from that of NOD mice housed under SPF conditions in our colony (n = 20) (Figure 1, p=0.6696) or the 80% incidence of female NOD mice housed under SPF conditions at Taconic (Figure 1, p<0.78). However, our findings also indicated that intestinal microflora had the capacity to influence the development of type-1 diabetes. In one cohort of NOD mice (n = 22) housed in a separate isolator, a spontaneous contamination with a gram-positive aerobic spore-forming rod (that was subsequently typed as *Bacillus cereus*) was detected at week 16. These mice exhibited a delayed onset, and reduced incidence of clinical disease (p<0.001) during the 30-week study period (Figure 1).

The NOD genetic background is one important factor contributing to the normal development of T1D in female NOD mice in the absence of known intestinal microbiota. However, the critical influence of the environment was highlighted by the reduced incidence of diabetes following spontaneous monoculture with aerobic, spore-forming, bacteria. Diet has a well-established role in the development of T1D in NOD mice [27,28,29] and the observed reduction of diabetes is likely to have reflected diabetes promoting as well as regulatory factors from the interactions of dietary components, restricted microbiota and the immune system. The extent to which the monoculture influenced the development of immune regulatory networks, as has been suggested in *Mycobacterium* infection reduces the incidence of diabetes mellitus in NOD female mice [30], remains unknown. However, since restricted flora limit the growth of other organisms through local competition, our findings did not exclude the possibility that germ-free mice harbour microorganisms that play a role in diabetes development but defy current methods of detection.

Taken together, these findings debunk the myth that germ-free NOD female mice have increased diabetes incidence and support the notion that modulation of intestinal microbiota can have beneficial effects on the development of autoimmune diabetes. Future examination of the influence of an array of commensal microbiota on diabetes incidence offers the potential for non-invasive approaches for individuals at risk of developing type-1 diabetes.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: CK NS. Performed the experiments: CK. Analyzed the data: CK NS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CK NS. Wrote the paper: CK NS.

**References**

1. Wilberz S, Partke HJ, Dagnes-Hansen F, Herberg L (1991) Persistent MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) infection reduces the incidence of diabetes mellitus in non-obese diabetic mice. Diabetologia 34: 2–5.
2. Oldstone MB (1980) Prevention of type I diabetes in nonobese diabetic mice by virus infection. Science 239: 500–502.
3. Martins TC, Aagas AP (1996) Changes in B and T lymphocytes associated with mycobacteria-induced protection of NOD mice from diabetes. J Autoimmun 9: 501–507.
4. Satoh J, Shintani S, Oya K, Tanaka S, Nohunaga T, et al (1988) Treatment with streptococcal preparation (OK-432) suppresses anti-idiot autoimmunity and prevents diabetes in BB rats. Diabetes 37: 1188–1194.
5. Qin HY, Singh B (1997) BCG vaccination prevents insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) in NOD mice after disease acceleration with cyclophosphamide. J Autoimmun 10: 271–278.
6. Elias D, Markovits D, Resher T, van der Zer R, Cohen IR (1990) Induction and therapy of autoimmune diabetes in the non-obese diabetic (NOD/Lt)
mouse by a 65-kDa heat shock protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87: 1576–1580.
7. Sai P, Rivereau AS (1996) Prevention of diabetes in the nonobese diabetic mouse by oral immunological treatments. Comparative efficiency of human insulin and two bacterial antigens, lipopolysaccharide from Escherichia coli and glycoprotein extract from Klebsiella pneumoniae. Diabetes Metab 22: 541–548.
8. Ramiya VK, Shang XZ, Pharis PG, Wasserfall CH, Stabler TV, et al. (1996) Antigen based therapies to prevent diabetes in NOD mice. J Autoimmun 9: 349–356.
9. Sadelain MW, Qin HY, Lauzon J, Singh B (1990) Prevention of type I diabetes in NOD mice by adjuvant immunotherapy. Diabetes 39: 583–589.
10. McInerney MF, Pek SB, Thomas DW (1991) Prevention of insulitis and diabetes onset by treatment with complete Freund’s adjuvant in NOD mice. Diabetes 40: 715–723.
11. Holt PG (1995) Postnatal maturation of immune competence during infancy and childhood. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 6: 59–70.
12. Maclaren N, Scharz D, Drash A, Grave G (1989) Initial pathogenic events in IDDM. Diabetes 38: 534–538.
13. Hudson JA, Luckey TD (1964) Bacteria Induced Morphologic Changes. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 116: 628–631.
14. Glaister JR (1973) Factors affecting the lymphoid cells in the small intestinal epithelium of the mouse. Int Arch Allergy Appl Immunol 45: 719–730.
15. Hooijkaas H, Benner R, Pleasants JR, Wostmann BS (1984) Isotypes and specificities of immunoglobulins produced by germ-free mice fed chemically defined ultrafiltered “antigen-free” diet. Eur J Immunol 14: 1127–1130.
16. Cebra JJ, Periwal SB, Lee G, Lee F, Shroff KE (1998) Development and maintenance of the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT): the roles of enteric bacteria and viruses. Dev Immunol 6: 13–18.
17. Crabbe PA, Nash DR, Bazin H, Eysen H, Heremans JF (1970) Immunohistochemical observations on lymphoid tissues from conventional and germ-free mice. Lab Invest 22: 448–457.
18. Macpherson AJ, Gatto D, Sainsbury E, Harriman GR, Hengartner H, et al. (2000) A primitive T cell-independent mechanism of intestinal mucosal IgA responses to commensal bacteria. Science 288: 2222–2226.
19. Hapfelmeier S, Lawesson MA, Slack E, Kirundi JR, Stool M, et al. (2010) Reversible microbial colonization of germ-free mice reveals the dynamics of IgA immune responses. Science 328: 1705–1709.
20. Bouakdara D, Brezillon C, Berard M, Wertz C, Varona R, et al. (2008) Lymphoid tissue genesis induced by commensals through NOD1 regulates intestinal homeostasis. Nature 456: 507–510.
21. Mazmanian SK, Liu CH, Tsianos AO, Kasper DL (2005) An immunomodulatory molecule of symbiotic bacteria directs maturation of the host immune system. Cell 122: 107–118.
22. King C, Ilie A, Koelsch K, Sarvetnick N (2004) Homeostatic Expansion of T cells during immune Insufficiency Generates Autoimmunity. Cell 117: 263–277.
23. Shehadeh NN, LaRosa F, Lafferty KJ (1993) Altered cytokine activity in adjuvant inhibition of autoimmune diabetes. J Autoimmun 6: 291–300.
24. Gembert JM, Herbelin A, Tencerele-Bohin E, Dy M, Chatenoud L, et al. (1996) Early defect of immunoregulatory T cells in autoimmune diabetes. C R Acad Sci III 319: 125–129.
25. Baxter AG, Kinder SJ, Hammond KJ, Scotty R, Godfrey DI (1997) Association between alpha beta TCR+CD4+CD8- T-cell deficiency and IDDM in NOD/Lt mice. Diabetes 46: 572–582.
26. Martins TC, Aguas AP (1999) Mechanisms of Mycobacterium avium-induced resistance against insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) in non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice: role of Fas and Th1 cells. Clin Exp Immunol 115: 240–254.
27. Elliott RB, Reddy SN, Bibby NJ, Kiia K (1988) Dietary prevention of diabetes in the non-obese diabetic mouse. Diabetologia 31: 62–64.
28. Mueller DB, Koczvara K, Mueller AS, Pallauf J, Ziegler AG, et al. (2009) Influence of early nutritional components on the development of murine autoimmune diabetes. Ann Nutr Metab 54: 209–217.
29. Alam C, Valkonen S, Palagani V, Jalava J, Eerola E, et al. (2010) Inflammatory tendencies and overproduction of IL-17 in the colon of young NOD mice are counteracted with diet change. Diabetes 59: 2237–2246.
30. Wen L, Ley RE, Volchkov PY, Stranges PB, Avalosyan L, et al. (2008) Intestinal immunity and intestinal microbiota in the development of Type 1 diabetes. Nature 453: 1109–1113.