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The Ccdc66-deficient (Ccdc66 -/-) mouse model exhibits slow progressive retinal degeneration. It is unclear whether CCDC66 protein also plays a role in the wildtype (WT; Ccdc66 +/-) mouse brain and whether the lack of Ccdc66 gene expression in the Ccdc66 -/- mouse may result in morphological and behavioral alterations. CCDC66 protein expression in different brain regions of the adult WT mouse and in whole brain during postnatal development was quantified by SDS-PAGE and Western blot. Ccdc66 reporter gene expression was visualized by X-gal staining. Selected brain regions were further analyzed by light and electron microscopy. In order to correlate anatomical with behavioral data, an olfactory habituation/dishabituation test was performed. CCDC66 protein was expressed throughout the early postnatal development in the WT mouse brain. In adult mice, the main olfactory bulb exhibited high CCDC66 protein levels comparable to the expression in the retina. Additionally, the Ccdc66 -/- mouse brain showed robust Ccdc66 reporter gene expression especially in adult olfactory bulb glomeruli, the olfactory nerve layer and the olfactory epithelium. Degeneration was detected in the Ccdc66 -/- olfactory bulb glomeruli at advanced age. This degeneration was also reflected in behavioral alterations; compared to the WT, Ccdc66 -/- mice spent significantly less time sniffing at the initial presentation of unknown odors and barely responded to social odors. Ccdc66 -/- mice develop substantial olfactory nerve fiber degeneration and alteration of olfaction-related behavior at advanced age. Thus, the Ccdc66 -/- mouse model for retinal degeneration adds the possibility to study mechanisms of central nervous system degeneration.

Introduction

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) constitutes a group of inherited diseases that cause retinal degeneration and a consequent loss of vision in humans. The main characteristic of the classic type of RP is the progressive degeneration of the photoreceptor cells and/or the adjacent retinal pigment epithelium (Dias et al., 2017). More than 250 genes have been associated with retinal diseases [RetNet Retinal Information Network; available at https://sph.uth.edu/retnet/], and the inheritance mode is heterogeneous (including autosomal-dominant and autosomal-recessive, X-chromosomal, etc.; Dryja et al., 1990; Rosenfeld et al., 1992; Schwahn et al., 1998; Dias et al., 2017). Besides affecting the retina, up to 20–30% of the RP cases appear as part of a multi-systemic disease, for example accompanied by kidney abnormalities, reduced gonadal function in Bardet-Biedl syndrome or hearing difficulties in Usher syndrome (Yan and Liu, 2010; Mockel et al., 2011). Therefore, animal models are of pioneering relevance to identify implicated genes and their respective disease-causing mutations in humans. These mutations in animal models are also useful for determining the underlying biochemical and pathological mechanisms as well as for describing phenotype characteristics. The broad variety of animal, especially mouse models of retinal degeneration mirrors the heterogeneity of progression.
and severity of human retinal degeneration, with a spectrum that ranges from fast degeneration to a human RP-like slow progressive decline of retinal function (Dalke and Gray, 2005; Baehr and Frederick, 2009).

In order to study retinal degeneration, and more specifically RP, the Coiled-Coil Domain Containing 66 - deficient (Ccd66 -/-) mouse, engineered by a 5’ gene-trap-mediated disruption of the Ccd66 gene (5’of mouse exon 4; accession no. NM_177111), was presented as a model of human RP-like, autosomal recessively inherited retinal degeneration (Gerding et al., 2011). The model was generated based on the identification of the causative CCDC66-mutation in autosomal recessive generalized progressive retinal atrophy (gPRa) in Schapendoes dogs (Dekomien et al., 2010). The Ccd66 -/- mouse lacks intact full-length Ccd66 RNA and CCDC66 protein, which results in first signs of degeneration of retinal photoreceptors around postnatal day 13. Retinal degeneration progresses slowly by thinning mainly of the outer retina to half of the normal thickness around the age of six months. Functional retinal impairment has also been observed by electoretinography measurements showing gradually reduced scotopic a-wave (primary rod-driven) and photopic b-wave (primary cone-driven) amplitudes during the first three postnatal months (Gerding et al., 2011). In the WT mouse retina, CCDC66 protein expression is present directly after birth and during early postnatal development. In conjunction with the early signs of degeneration in the photoreceptors, these studies demonstrate the crucial role of CCDC66 protein in retinal development and function.

Recent research suggests that Ccd66 plays a role in microtubule-mediated functions in terms of spindle pole and centriolar satellite organization as well as in cilium formation and trafficking, which hints to multiple functions of CCDC66 protein outside of the retina (Sharp et al., 2011; Conkar et al., 2017). An initial screen of Ccd66 RNA expression by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) confirmed extra-retinal Ccd66 RNA expression also in the WT mouse brain (Dekomien et al., 2010). The relevance of Ccd66 gene expression and its products outside of the retina, more specifically in the brain, and the consequences if CCDC66 protein is absent, are still unclear; the Ccd66 -/- mouse does not show an obvious phenotype such as seizures, freezing or overall impaired locomotion that might be related to major brain damage. In turn, if there are effects due to a lack of proper Ccd66 gene expression, these are rather expected to be mild, subtle and/or to manifest later in life.

The present study therefore aimed at characterizing Ccd66 protein-expressing structures in the WT mouse brain and to uncover whether the lack of Ccd66 gene expression in the Ccd66 -/- brain might result in morphological and behavioral alterations. Thereby, this study heralds further insights into the function of CCDC66 protein.

### Experimental procedures

#### Animals

Ccd66 -/- mice were generated and genotyped as described before (Gerding et al., 2011). In short, the transgenic Ccd66 -/- mouse, is a knock-in model, where a trap has been introduced in the 5’ end of mouse exon 4 (accession no. NM_177111). The gene trap consists of a splice acceptor site, a mouse exon 4 (accession no. NM_177111), was presented as a model of human RP-like, autosomal recessively inherited retinal degeneration (Gerding et al., 2011). The model was generated based on the identification of the causative CCDC66-mutation in autosomal recessive generalized progressive retinal atrophy (gPRa) in Schapendoes dogs (Dekomien et al., 2010). The Ccd66 -/- mouse lacks intact full-length Ccd66 RNA and CCDC66 protein, which results in first signs of degeneration of retinal photoreceptors around postnatal day 13. Retinal degeneration progresses slowly by thinning mainly of the outer retina to half of the normal thickness around the age of six months. Functional retinal impairment has also been observed by electoretinography measurements showing gradually reduced scotopic a-wave (primary rod-driven) and photopic b-wave (primary cone-driven) amplitudes during the first three postnatal months (Gerding et al., 2011). In the WT mouse retina, CCDC66 protein expression is present directly after birth and during early postnatal development. In conjunction with the early signs of degeneration in the photoreceptors, these studies demonstrate the crucial role of CCDC66 protein in retinal development and function.

Recent research suggests that Ccd66 plays a role in microtubule-mediated functions in terms of spindle pole and centriolar satellite organization as well as in cilium formation and trafficking, which hints to multiple functions of CCDC66 protein outside of the retina (Sharp et al., 2011; Conkar et al., 2017). An initial screen of Ccd66 RNA expression by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) confirmed extra-retinal Ccd66 RNA expression also in the WT mouse brain (Dekomien et al., 2010). The relevance of Ccd66 gene expression and its products outside of the retina, more specifically in the brain, and the consequences if CCDC66 protein is absent, are still unclear; the Ccd66 -/- mouse does not show an obvious phenotype such as seizures, freezing or overall impaired locomotion that might be related to major brain damage. In turn, if there are effects due to a lack of proper Ccd66 gene expression, these are rather expected to be mild, subtle and/or to manifest later in life.

The present study therefore aimed at characterizing Ccd66 protein-expressing structures in the WT mouse brain and to uncover whether the lack of Ccd66 gene expression in the Ccd66 -/- brain might result in morphological and behavioral alterations. Thereby, this study heralds further insights into the function of CCDC66 protein.

#### Tissue preparation

Mice were deeply anesthetized with CO₂ and decapitated. Brain and retina tissue was dissected and immediately frozen on dry ice (for protein isolation) or in isopentane on dry ice (for X-gal staining/reporter gene visualisation). Tissues were stored at −80 °C until further use. The day of birth was defined as P0. For protein isolation, tissues from WT mice (Ccd66 +/+; n = 3/age) were pooled at each investigated age. Retinas were collected at 3 months, and brain hemispheres at 3 months and postnatal days P4, P8, P10, P12, P15, P19, and P24. For X-gal staining, olfactory bulbs from P4, P10, P17, 1 month, 1.5 months- and over 10-month-old Ccd66 -/- mice and WT controls (n = 3/age and genotype) were embedded in freezing medium and snap frozen for cryosectioning. In addition, whole mount preparations of the olfactory bulb and epithelium of an adult Ccd66 -/- and an age-matched WT mouse were investigated by the removal of nasal, frontal and parietal bones, immediately followed by X-gal staining (see below). For electron microscopy, Ccd66 -/- and age-matched WT mice (n = 3/ genotype and age; 1, 3, 9, 12 and 18 months) were anesthetized by pentobarbital-potassium (500 mg/kg Narcoren®, Merial GmbH, Germany) and perfusion-fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 20 min and transferred into 0.5% PFA until used.

#### Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was conducted as described previously. Briefly, homogenates from mouse tissue were extracted in ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (50 mM Tris - HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) NP-40, 1 g/l SDS, 1 g/l Na-Desoxycholate) with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) on ice for 20 min, centrifuged at 600 g for 20 min, and supernatants were harvested and stored at −80 °C. Protein quantification was performed according to a standard method (Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit, #23225, Thermo Scientific™, USA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were adjusted to equal volumes with RIPA buffer and denatured in Laemmli-buffer (31% (v/v) 5.5 M Tris (pH 6.8), 10% (w/v) SDS, 50% (v/v) glycerol) at 95 °C including a final concentration of 100 mM DL-Dithiothreitol (DTT). Protein (40 μg) was loaded on 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond C, GE Healthcare, USA). Unspecific binding sites on the membrane were saturated by incubation with a blocking reagent (Western Blocking Reagent, Solution, Sigma-Aldrich) followed by incubation with the primary antibody diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and blocking reagent (1:1; polyclonal rabbit anti-CCDC66 antibody, T-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (1:200)). Using horseradish peroxidase- (HRP-) conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:5000; Jackson ImmunoResearch, USA), detection was carried out using ECL plus (GE Healthcare, UK) and documented by using the FUSION-SL documentation system and FUSION-CAPT software (VWR, Germany). Antibodies were removed with stripping buffer (Restore™ Western Blot Stripping Buffer, Thermo Scientific™, USA) and re-probed with antibodies for loading control (anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH); rabbit, polyclonal IgG, #ab9485, 1:500, Abcam, UK). The relative protein expression was quantified using the ImageJ 1.35i analysis tool (Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, USA) (Schindelin et al., 2015) by measuring the integrated optical density of bands and subsequent normalization to GAPDH expression.

#### X-gal staining

Ccd66 reporter gene-positive cells were labelled by the blue precipitate released during the hydrolysis reaction of X-gal through the
lacZ-encoded β-galactosidase. Frontal cryosections (30 μm; CM1850 Cryostat, Leica, Germany) were dried at room temperature (RT), briefly fixed in EtOH (7 min, −20 °C), transferred into PBS (5 min, RT), covered with 500 μl of 20 mg/ml X-gal staining solution (in 9.1 ml PBS, 100 μl 500 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 100 μl 500 mM K4 Fe(CN)6, 200 μl 100 mM MgCl2) and incubated overnight at 37 °C in a humidity chamber. Sections were slightly counter-stained with neutral red solution (0.3% (w/v) neutral red, 0.1 M acetic acid in H2O) for 3 min, rinsed with H2O and washed in PBS for 5 min. Finally, tissue dehydration was conducted in ascending EtOH concentrations (70%, 80%, 90%, 96%, 2 × 100%) and sections were cover-slipped in embedding medium (Eindeckmittel DT500, DiaTec, Germany). Images were taken with the Metafer/Viside system (Metasystems, Germany). Whole mount preparations were immediately incubated in X-gal staining solution (for 1−4 h) and photo-documented with the Olympus Microscope BH-2.

**Light and electron microscopy analysis**

Light- and electron microscopy analyses were performed as described before (Petrash-Parvez et al., 2007). Briefly, removed brains were adjusted in a plexiglass frame, embedded in 2% agarose in PBS and cut into 1 mm coronal slices with a vibratome cutter. Slices with the two main olfactory bulbs were photo-documented in PBS (Fig. 4A and C), then postfixed with 4% osmium tetroxide in PBS for 3 h and embedded in araldite. One side was taken for series of semithin sections to investigate morphological alterations light microscopically (Fig. 4B, D, E−H), the other side was taken for alternate semi- and ultrathin sections for electron microscopic analyses (Fig. 5). Semithin sections (0.75 μm) were stained with 1% toluidine blue. Ultrathin sections (100 nm) were contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Photo-documentation of semithin sections was performed by an Olympus Microscope BH-2 equipped with an Olympus camera DP-71 (Olympus, Japan) and the computer-assisted software analysis Cell A (Soft imaging system GmbH, Germany). Ultrathin sections were viewed in a Philips EM 420 electron microscope. All data were exported as TIFF files into Adobe Photoshop CS5 Extended (v.x.12.04 × 64; Adobe Imaging Systems Inc., USA) for documentation. Images used in the same figure were adjusted for brightness and contrast in Adobe Photoshop.

**Olfaction habituation/dishabituation test**

The olfaction habituation/dishabituation test was performed as described previously (Yang and Crawley, 2009). Shortly, the test consisted of a familiarization phase and a test phase. In the familiarization phase, mice were placed in the testing cage (Scanbur, Denmark) for 30 min while a clean cotton swab (Hain Lifescience GmbH, Germany) was presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors including water, two unknown neutral odors (almond, citrus baking) and two social scents (social a, social b) were presented. In the test phase in total 5 odors incl...
Western blot analyses of whole brain homogenates at different postnatal ages suggest a developmental change in CCDC66 protein expression in the WT mouse brain. Of note, in the adult WT mouse, CCDC66 protein in the olfactory bulb was expressed at similar levels as in the retina. In addition, Ccdc66 reporter was highly expressed in the adult Ccdc66/-/- mouse primary olfactory system. In order to capture developmental dynamics of Ccdc66 reporter gene expression in the olfactory bulb, X-gal staining was performed throughout the early postnatal development of the Ccdc66/-/- mouse olfactory bulb. Interestingly, Ccdc66 reporter gene expression was present at all investigated stages, predominantly in the olfactory nerve layer, as early as P4 and continuing at P10, P17, 1 month, 1.5 months and after 10 months (Fig. 3). Staining is thereby predominantly visible on the medial and ventral surface of the olfactory bulbs, where the olfactory nerve layer is more prominent compared to the dorsal (and lateral) surface. In addition, reporter gene seemed to successively increase in the olfactory glomeruli from about 1 month of age (Fig. 3D, enlarged in 3J) to over 10 months of age (Fig. 3F, enlarged in 3L), in the latter also with intense labeling of the dorsal olfactory bulb glomeruli. Reporter gene expression in the olfactory glomeruli was not observed in P4 to P17 (Fig. 3A-F). Hence the first occurrence of reporter gene expression in the glomeruli became obvious after their initial formation during embryonic development with numerous glomeruli already formed at P4 (Blanchart et al., 2008; Treloar et al., 2010), but Cdc66 expression increased with progressing maturation of the glomeruli with their dense innervation and synapses formation (Pomeroy et al., 1990). At P4 and P17, the subependymal zone also showed Cdc66 reporter gene expression (Fig. 3A and C).

Ccdc66-deficient mice showed degeneration of olfactory nerve fibers in the olfactory bulb

To investigate whether impaired expression of functional Ccdc66 gene products leads to degeneration in the main olfactory bulb, 1-, 3-, 9-, 12- and 18-month-old Ccdc66/-/- and +/- brains were investigated by semi- and ultrathin section series. In semithin sections, neither at the young nor at the old ages, morphological differences were observed between the Ccdc66/-/- and +/- olfactory bulbs (Fig. 4). In both genotypes, the olfactory bulbs displayed regular external and internal plexiform layers (Fig. 4E and F). The large mitral cells in between showed light neuronal somata and thick dendrites emerging towards the external plexiform layer. Dark cell degeneration, a characteristic phenomenon of dying cells in neurodegeneration (Turmaine et al., 2000; Nuber et al., 2008), which can easily be detected in Toluidine blue-stained semithin sections, was not observed. The glomerular cell layer (Fig. 4G and H), which was closer inspected according to its strong X-Gal staining at advanced ages, showed the characteristic darkly appearing unmyelinated nerve fibers entering the glomerula from the olfactory nerve layer. They terminate at the dendrites, which are localized in the lighter patchy parts of the glomerular neuropil. To note, semithin section series revealed no signs of degeneration in the main olfactory bulbs in Ccdc66/-/- mice.

Electron microscopic analyses revealed that Cdc66/-/- mice exhibited normal olfactory bulb morphology at 1 month, when compared with Cdc66+/+ mice (data not shown). At 3 months of age (Fig. 5A), solitary accumulations of single or double membrane vesicular formations (autophagic-like structures) occurred in the Cdc66/-/- glomerular layer, some of which filled with dense material. Because these accumulations were so rare it was not possible to determine where they are subcellulary localized. At advanced age (9, 12 and 18 months), it became obvious, that the accumulations of autophagic-like vesicles were abundantly localized in the glomerular...
layer (Fig. 5C, 12 months). They were mainly embedded in the dark axoplasm of the unmyelinated olfactory nerve fibers within the glomeruli (Fig. 5C and E). The accumulations may lead to expansions in these otherwise thin axons. Most vesicles presented with double membranes confining the character of autophagosomes (Fig. 5E). Some terminals filled with autophagosomes formed synaptic contacts with dendritic processes (Fig. 5F). Ccdc66 +/+ controls lacked degenerations at any stage analyzed (Fig. 5Ba andD). The electron microscopic findings indicate, that autophagy contributes to axonal degeneration in Ccdc66 -/- mice at advanced age focused on the glomerular olfactory nerve fibers and their terminals, suggesting an impairment of olfactory transmission in Ccdc66 -/- mice at advanced age.

Degeneration of the olfactory bulb glomeruli in Ccdc66 -/- mice results in impaired olfactory performance

Based on the degeneration in the olfactory bulb of adult Ccdc66 -/- mice at advanced age (Fig. 5), olfaction habituation and dishabitation (discrimination) was analyzed to determine whether functional changes in the olfactory performance of Ccdc66 -/- mice might be caused by neurodegeneration in the olfactory bulb. The test measures behavioral responses to the presentation of neutral non-social as well as social scents from the opposite sex (here males). Ccdc66 -/- mice spent significantly less time sniffing a new scent than did WT controls, except for the presentation of the non-social almond odor (Fig. 6A, p-values in 6C). WT and Ccdc66 -/- showed (at least a tendency towards) habituation to neutral, non-social odors observed by a gradually reduced response with increasing repetition of odor presentation (Fig. 6B, p-values in 6C). WT mice also tended to habituate to social odors, while Ccdc66 -/- mice barely showed any reaction and therefore no habituation (Fig. 6B, p-values in 6C). In addition, compared to the WT, the Ccdc66 -/- mouse showed reduced discrimination performance of the presented odors as revealed by, in most cases, non-significant changes in sniffing time between third and subsequent first presentation of the next odor (Fig. 6B, p-values in 6C).
Discussion

The genetically modified Ccdc66 -/- mouse exhibits early photoreceptor loss with slow progressive retinal degeneration and functional impairment of the retina (Gerding et al., 2011). Here we report that the lack of functional Ccdc66 gene expression results in degeneration of the olfactory nerve fibers in the olfactory bulb glomeruli as well as altered olfactory performance in Ccdc66 -/- mice. The present findings categorize the Ccdc66 -/- mouse as a model for cerebral neurodegeneration, in addition to the previously reported retinal degeneration.

CCDC66 protein/Ccdc66 reporter gene expression in the olfactory bulb

The results show that CCDC66 is not only expressed in the retina, but also in the WT mouse brain during postnatal development, primarily in the olfactory system. Of note, among different brain regions of the adult WT mouse brain, the olfactory bulb exhibited levels of CCDC66 protein expression comparable to those in the retina. Likewise, the Ccdc66 -/- mouse displayed strong and distinct Ccdc66 reporter gene expression in the olfactory bulb glomeruli and the nerve fibers as well as in the olfactory epithelium. Moreover, Ccdc66 reporter gene expression could be visualized along the pathway of the rostral migratory stream, i.e. the path of constant supplement of migrating
neuronal precursors that differentiate in granule and periglomerular cells in the respective layers of the olfactory bulb (Lois and Alvarez-Buylla, 1994; Kornack and Rakic, 2001; Curtis et al., 2007). Interestingly, in the olfactory nerve layer and olfactory bulb glomeruli, Ccdc66 reporter gene expression qualitatively increased during postnatal development. This is in contrast to the decreasing expression of CCDC66 protein in the WT mouse brain; however, CCDC66 protein expression levels were not analyzed in the different brain regions separately so that an increase of the CCDC66 protein level in the olfactory bulb might be obscured by a decrease of CCDC66 expression in other brain regions.

Despite the limited spatial resolution of enzymatic X-gal staining, distinct Ccdc66-reporter gene expression was observed in the olfactory nerve layer and olfactory bulb glomeruli where the olfactory nerve fibers terminate. More specifically, Ccdc66 gene expression in the nerve fiber layer of the olfactory bulb is present soon after birth, while labeling of the glomeruli does not start with the presence of the first mature glomeruli that are present prior to birth (Blanchart et al., 2008), but coincides or increases along with maturation of the glomeruli, reflected e.g. by increased glomeruli innervation and respective synapse formation (Pomeroy et al., 1990). Ccdc66 expression in the olfactory bulb glomeruli starts appearing at the age of 1 month. Besides increased innervation, a developmental event that might parallel the developmental time course of Ccdc66 -/- olfactory glomeruli labeling could be the turnover of olfactory receptor neurons. There has been controversy in reports on the lifespan of olfactory sensory neurons in mice, from approximately one month up to 90 days or even longer (Graziadei and Graziadei, 1979; Wilson and Raisman, 1980; Hinds et al., 1984; Mackay-Sim and Kitte, 1991; Kondo et al., 2010). However, the turnover of the olfactory receptor neurons goes along with the process of remodeling, which might be consistent with the proposed function of
CCDC66 being involved in cytoskeleton remodeling (Conkar et al., 2017). Furthermore, Ccdc66 reporter gene expression in the ciliated olfactory sensory neurons strengthens the recently published finding that CCDC66 is involved in cilium formation and trafficking (Conkar et al., 2017).

Degeneration in the olfactory bulb of the Ccdc66 -/- mouse

Ccdc66 -/- mice exhibit reporter gene expression in the olfactory epithelium, the olfactory nerve fibers and in the adult olfactory glomeruli. Accumulations of autophagic-like structures were observed in the olfactory nerve fibers within the glomeruli at advanced age, suggesting an olfactory impairment in the first relay station of the olfactory pathway. It is not clear whether the olfactory epithelium also degenerates. The primary focus of this study concerns the olfactory bulb showing degenerations at advanced age. Degeneration of the axon terminals of the olfactory sensory neurons due to prior degeneration of the olfactory cilia could represent a possible degeneration mechanism and will be subject of further studies.

Interestingly, the autophagic-like vesicles in the olfactory nerve fibers were not found in 1-month-old Ccdc66 -/- mice, it starts at the age of three months with single degeneration signs. This is consistent with the Ccdc66 reporter gene being expressed in the Ccdc66 -/- olfactory glomeruli just starting in 1-month-old mice and increasing with age. We did not investigate ages between 3 and 9 months old, but it is likely that the crucial development of dystrophic axons happens in that time window. We realize that the statistical evaluation of the degeneration is very important and should be addressed in our future studies with a special focus on the development of the degeneration together with specific immunohistochemical and additional functional studies.

A potential spatiotemporal process coinciding Ccdc66 expression might be the maturation of the olfactory glomeruli, the turnover of

![Fig. 5. Electron microscopy of the olfactory bulb glomeruli in Ccdc66 -/- and Ccdc66 +/+ mice.](image-url)
olfactory sensory neurons (see previous section), or more generally the process of renewal, that initiates degeneration in some Ccdc66-deficient structures. In the retina, for example, CCDC66 protein is present in the outer segments of retinal photoreceptors prior to the formation of outer segments that are also permanently renewed (Young, 1967). Initial signs of degeneration in the retina are present from as early as P13, so that proper outer segments do not form at all (Gerding et al., 2011). CCDC66 might be associated with processes involved in cytoskeletal-dynamics, more specifically the process of the renewal or reconstruction of ciliated cells. The fact that retinal photoreceptor cells as well as olfactory sensory neurons, both ciliated cells, undergo degeneration in the Ccdc66 -/- mouse suggests that a ciliopathy-like disease phenotype might be represented by the Ccdc66 -/- mouse. The investigation of additional ciliated systems in the Ccdc66 -/- mouse will be of further interest in following studies.

**Olfactory deficits in the Ccdc66 -/- mouse**

The impairment of olfactory capacity is often an early sign of neurodegeneration, as it happens to be the case in Parkinson’s or Alzheimer’s disease, and can precede the onset of for example motor symptoms in Parkinson’s disease (Doty, 2012). The early recognition of neurodegeneration, for instance by loss of olfactory sense, is essential for the initiation of neuroprotective therapies (Sarkar et al., 2016). Olfaction is a key sensory modality in mice. The main olfactory bulb that showed degeneration in the Ccdc66 -/- mice is primarily responsible for the perception of neutral odors, but it also plays a role in the perception of volatile urine odors (Kang et al., 2009). When studying neurodegeneration in the olfactory system, it is generally recommended to test males and females separately in order to identify sex-dependent alterations (Lehmkuhl et al., 2014). In some studies, neurodegeneration due to neurotoxic substance exposure or olfactory changes were more pronounced in females than in males (Roddick et al., 2016). Therefore, we focused on the analysis of a single sex (females) for the habituation/dishabituation test. To detect potential effects, the investigated animals were older than the age at which we confirmed degeneration in the olfactory bulb (i.e. > 1.5 years).

The results here showed that the Ccdc66 -/- mouse, compared to the Ccdc66 +/+ control, exhibited a decreased ability to detect odors, especially social odors. Besides the degeneration of the olfactory bulb, these effects might also be an effect of the vomeronasal organ/accessory bulb degeneration, which will be of interest in further studies. In general, the olfactory capacity does not seem to be entirely exhausted in the Ccdc66 -/- mouse, since there is a certain ability to discriminate and habituate also in the Ccdc66 -/- mouse, and there are some differences regarding the type of presented odor. The presentation of almond did not reach significant differences between first odor presentation in Ccdc66 +/+ and Ccdc66 -/- mice. This might be either the result of the technical aspect, respectively the small sample size tested, or, generally different odor qualities or of degeneration in certain areas in the Ccdc66 -/- mouse. In case that degenerations in the region of the Ccdc66 -/- olfactory bulb where lemon rather than almond odor patterns are represented, with each odor having a specific pattern in the olfactory bulb odor map, the detection of some odors might be impaired more than others (Sakano, 2010; Mori et al., 2006).

The Ccdc66 mouse as a model for retinal disease, neurodegeneration and beyond?

The Ccdc66 mouse model, initially presented as a model for RP-like retinal degeneration, could also be useful to study neurodegeneration, which we observed in the olfactory system and which will be investigated in additional brain/organ systems in the future taking into consideration the potential role of CCDC66 in cilia formation and maintenance.

Overall, one of the benefits of the Ccdc66 -/- mouse model regarding the neurodegeneration in the olfactory bulb and the retina is the slow progression of neurodegeneration that enables a long monitoring period. Furthermore, the homozygous Ccdc66 mutation is, despite its effects on the visual, olfactory and probably on other systems, lethal. This implies, in case of additional, yet undiscovered effects in the Ccdc66 -/- mouse brain, that these effects can be expected to be mild and/or late manifesting and they can be analyzed during a long time span.

In general, the phenotype of animal models might be moderate in comparison to the phenotype of its respective human disease, yet the Ccdc66 gene is highly conserved in vertebrate species and also expressed in the human retina (Dekomien et al., 2010).

**CCDC66 mutation in other species and human disease research**

The identified degeneration of the Ccdc66 -/- olfactory bulb is...
mirrored by impaired olfactory behavior in Ccdc66 -/- mice. Initially the Ccdc66 mutation in the mouse model studied here was identified to be associated with gPRA in Schapendoes dogs (Dekomien et al., 2010). Originally for centuries, the Schapendoes were bred as herders and not as scent dogs, while during the last decades they were converted into accompanying dogs exclusively. The affected dogs exhibited a clear retinal phenotype. Regarding the findings presented here, affected dogs’ owners were retrospectively interviewed about the dogs’ sniffing behavior. According to the owners reports, the sniffing behavior of the Schapendoes dogs seemed not to be obviously affected. On the one hand, the findings in the mouse model are not necessarily unrestrictedly transferrable to the dog species, primarily because we do not know about degenerations in the olfactory bulb of dogs harboring the gPRA-causing CCDC66 mutation. On the other hand, a potentially slightly altered sniffing behavior could be difficult to assess, especially in daily life, since dogs might sniff but could have an impaired discrimination ability. Furthermore, neither domesticated dogs nor laboratory Ccdc66 -/- mice do live in an environment with selective pressure. Therefore, impaired discrimination or habituation of odors would not result in drastic life-threatening consequences in the affected dogs or Ccdc66 -/- mice.

Besides the initially identified mutation in Schapendoes dogs and in the artificially generated Ccdc66 -/- mouse, in humans, a disease causing mutation of the CCDC66 gene has not yet been described. In a cohort of 80 RP and 20 Leber congenital amaurosis patients no pathogenic variant has been found (Gerding et al., 2011). In addition, a homozygous missense mutation in the CCDC66 gene was recently excluded by linkage and segregation analysis to be disease causing in two Arabian siblings with retinal dystrophy, or in this particular type of retinal degeneration (Khan et al., 2018). The more precise description of the Ccdc66 -/- mouse may allow to predict defined groups of patients with retinal degeneration of unknown cause (besides RP) that might be promising candidates to be tested for CCDC66 mutation in order to identify disease-causing mutations in humans.

Database information on the pathogenetic relevance of CCDC66 mutations is insufficient up to now (for example see NCBI or ExAC Browser). Next generation sequencing results barely classify/categorize CCDC66 mutations. Since CCDC66 might only be considered to have clinical relevance in retinal degenerations, probably exome analysis in cohorts of multisystemic diseases did not take into account CCDC66 as potentially disease related gene.

In conclusion, the mutation localization within the CCDC66 gene might be important regarding whether a mutation is disease causing or not; CCDC66 RNA shows a complex splicing pattern. Depending on the location, not all CCDC66 protein isoforms might be affected by certain mutations and compensatory mechanisms might inhibit phenotypic manifestations. For example, Cdc66 RNA localization by in situ hybridization revealed clear expression in the WT retina, while it was almost absent in the Cdc66-deficient mouse retina. On brain tissue slices, the pattern of Cdc66 RNA in situ hybridization does not obviously differ, comparing both genotypes (data not shown). Nevertheless, the fulllength CCDC66 isoform is lacking in the Cdc66-deficient mouse as shown by Western blot analysis (Fig. 1A) and progressive effects of the trapped Cdc66 gene on olfactory structure and behavior are not negated by certain isoforms probably being still present. However, since in addition to the retinal phenotype, Cdc66-deficient mice exhibit olfactory bulb degeneration along with olfactory impairment, the Cdc66 -/- mouse model adds the possibility to study mechanisms of central nervous system degeneration. Furthermore, the more precise description of the Cdc66-deficient mouse phenotype presented here gives rise to the new categorization of the Cdc66 -/- mouse as a syndromic retinal disease model, supports a cilia-associated function of CCDC66 and suggests scrutinizing a broader spectrum of symptoms in human disease with possible contribution of CCDC66 mutations.
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