Dissecting an Allosteric Switch in Caspase-7 Using Chemical and Mutational Probes*
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Apoptotic caspases, such as caspase-7, are stored as inactive proteasezymogens, and when activated, lead to a fate-determining switch to induce cell death. We previously discovered small molecule thiol-containing inhibitors that when tethered revealed an allosteric site and trapped a conformation similar to thezymogen form of the enzyme. We noted three structural transitions that the compounds induced: (i) breaking of an interaction between Tyr-223 and Arg-187 in the allosteric site, which prevents proper ordering of the catalytic cysteine; (ii) pinning the L2 loop over the allosteric site, which blocks critical interactions for proper ordering of the substrate-binding groove; and (iii) a hinge-like rotation at Gly-188 positioned after the catalytic Cys-186 and Arg-187. Here we report a systematic mutational analysis of these regions to dissect their functional importance.

Caspase-7 has been a paradigm for understanding the structure and dynamics of the executioner caspases (15–21). The substrate-binding site is composed of four loops; L2, L3, and L4 are contributed from one-half of the caspase dimer, and L2’ is contributed from the other half of the caspase dimer (Fig. 1). These loops appear highly dynamic as they are only observed in x-ray structures when bound to substrate or substrate analogs in the catalytically competent conformation (17–19, 22) (Fig. 1A).

A potential alternative to active site inhibitors are allosteric inhibitors that have been seeded by the discovery of selective cysteine-tethered allosteric inhibitors for either apoptotic executioner caspase-3 or apoptotic executioner caspase-7 (23) as well as the inflammatory caspase-1 (24). These thiol-containing compounds bind to a putative allosteric site through disulfide bond formation with a thiol in the cavity at the dimer interface (Fig. 1A) (23, 24). X-ray structures of caspase-7 bound to allosteric inhibitors FICA3 and DICA (Fig. 2) show that these compounds trigger conformational rearrangements that stabilize the inactive zymogen-like conformation over the substrate-bound, active conformation. The ability of small molecules to hold mature caspase-7 in a conformation that mimics the naturally occurring, inactive zymogen state underscores the utility and biological relevance of the allosteric mechanism of inhibition. Several structural changes are evident between these allosterically inhibited and active states. (i) The allosteric inhibitors directly disrupt an interaction between Arg-187 (next to the catalytic Cys-186) and Tyr-223 that springs the Arg-187 into sterically inhibited and active states. (ii) The allosteric inhibitors function in vivo (for review, see Ref. 31). Thus, a clear understanding of in vitro inhibitor function is central to the ability control caspase function in vivo.

Here, using mutational analysis and small molecule inhibitors, we assess the importance of these three structural units to
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modulate both the inhibition of the enzyme and the coupling between allosteric and active site labeling. Our data suggest that the hinge movement and pinning of the L2-L2/H11032 are most critical for transitioning between the active and inactive forms of the enzyme.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Constructs and Protein Purification**—The caspase-7 large subunit expression plasmid pH08 was created by subcloning the PCR product encoding residues 57–198 from a pro-caspase-7 expression plasmid (a gift from Dr. Guy Salvesen, Burnham Institute) into pRSET (amp<sup>+</sup>, Invitrogen). The small subunit coding sequence for caspase-7 residues 210–303 plus the amino acids QLH<sub>6</sub> was introduced into pBB75 (kan<sup>r</sup>, a gift of Prof. Yigong Shi, Tsinghua University) to generate pH07 encoding a C-terminal His<sub>6</sub> tag following the small subunit coding sequence. All of the individual point mutations were introduced by oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis into pH07 or pH08. The resulting plasmids were cloned and sequenced to confirm the presence of the mutation and absence of the wild-type sequence. To produce the mutant caspase-7 described here, the appropriate large subunit- or small subunit-expressing plasmids were transformed simultaneously into *Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3). Protein expression was induced with 100 μg/ml isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside and allowed to proceed for 12–18 h at 14 °C. Each caspase variant was purified by affinity chromatography on a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid superflow column (Qiagen) developed with a step gradient of 250 mM imidazole. Mutants were further purified using ion exchange chromatography on a 5-ml High-Q column (Bio-Rad) with a linear gradient from 50 to 750 mM NaCl. The purity of all mutants was assessed by SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie Blue (Bio-Rad) and found to be ≥95% pure. The concentration of active sites was determined by active site titration with an irreversible active site inhibitor z-DEVD-fmk (Cbz-Asp-E73-Val-Asp-fluoromethyl ketone, Calbiochem). Inactivation as a result of active site titration was observed by monitoring remaining caspase activity in the fluorescence assay described below.

**Caspase Modification**—Time, reductant, and compound concentrations were co-varied within the ranges listed to induce various levels of thiol modification. Caspase-7 mutants (4 M) were incubated in Tris-EDTA buffer for 1–3 h with 0.1–5 mM -mercaptoethanol, 0.05–0.25 mM FICA or DICA, or 0.01–0.04 mM z-DEVD-fmk (Calbiochem). To observe mutual exclusivity, after the first modification, the second compound was added and incubated an additional hour. Modification of both small and large subunits was measured using a QSTAR Pulsar-i, quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS Sciex). We assume uniform ionization of the modified and unmodified caspase and routinely observe S.D. = ±2% for modification.

**Activity Assays**—Modified or unmodified caspase-7 wild type or variant (final concentration ranges of 160–400 nM) was added to reaction buffer (10% polyethylene glycol 400, 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 0.1% CHAPS, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol). The addition of 5 μM fluorogenic substrate, Ac-
DEVD-AFC (acetyl-Asp-Glu-Val-Asp-aminofluorocoumarin, Alexis Biochemicals), initiated the reaction. Assays were performed on a microplate spectrofluorometer GeminiXS (Molecular Devices) with an excitation wavelength of 365 nm and an emission wavelength of 495 nm. For wild type and most caspase-7 variants, kinetic data were collected over a 7-min assay run at room temperature. For the G188P and G188L mutations, kinetic data were collected over an 8-h period. To determine the $K_m$ and $k_{cat}$ values, activity was measured as a function of substrate concentration over a range from 0 to 0.8 mM. Data from this analysis were fitted to a rectangular hyperbolic curve in Prism Software (GraphPad). Data from three or more independent experiments were averaged.

For G188P and G188L, $K_m$ is not significantly altered from wild type, but the turnover ($k_{cat}$) is dramatically reduced. Although surface mutations such as these do not typically cause gross misfolding, there are three lines of biochemical evidence to suggest that this residual activity is not due to wild-type contamination or gross misfolding of the mutants. First, each mutant was tested three times from two different preparations and gave consistent results and protein yields (Table 1). Second, the final chromatographic step gave predominantly a single symmetrical peak that eluted where the wild-type enzyme does (data not shown). SDS-PAGE showed the mutant proteins to be on average $>95\%$ pure. We also do not see evidence of wild-type contamination as assessed by mass spectrometry whose detection limit is close to 1%. Lastly, the G188P mutant is specifically and quantitatively labeled with each of the allosteric inhibitors at the thiol at the dimer interface although there are four other thiols in the enzyme (Table 2). We would not expect any of these to be the case were the protein preparations grossly misfolded or contaminated with wild-type protein.

4 Mutant nomenclature lists the wild-type amino acid in single letter code and its position followed by the mutant residue.

### RESULTS

#### Design and Characterization of Mutations That Couple Active and Allosterically Inhibited Conformers of Caspase-7—

We generated a panel of mutants to test the functional impact of rotating about the Gly-188 hinge, breaking the interaction between the allosteric couple Arg-187–Tyr-223, or packing of the L2$^1$ loop (Fig. 4). These were expressed in *E. coli*, purified to $>95\%$ homogeneity, and kinetic parameters were determined by standard Michaelis-Menten analysis (Table 1). The G188P mutation, which should introduce a severe restriction in the rotation about Gly-188, caused a dramatic $\sim6000$-fold reduc-
tion in catalytic efficiency \( (k_{\text{cat}}/K_m) \). The less restrictive G188I mutation caused much more modest 46-fold reduction in catalytic efficiency. Virtually all the reduction in catalytic efficiency for both mutations is manifested in \( k_{\text{cat}} \). For amide bond hydrolysis, acylation is rate-limiting, and therefore \( K_m \) is a reasonable measure of the substrate dissociation constant (25–27). These data would suggest that although the substrate can bind the same way, the hinge movement at Gly-188 is critical for the positioning of the catalytic Cys-186.

Sandwiched between the Gly-188 hinge and the catalytic Cys-186 is Arg-187. The Arg-187 is involved in the allosteric inhibitors (Fig. 3). A series of side-chain truncation mutants (R187M, R187A, and R187G) caused only 2–5-fold reductions in catalytic efficiency. This suggests that the guanido functionality or alkyl side-chain does not participate to a large degree in stabilizing the active conformation. Neutralizing the charge and repositioning the hydrogen-bonding functionality in the R187N mutant or imposing a larger hydrophobic side-chain in the R187W mutant caused larger 17-fold reductions in catalytic efficiency. Virtually all of this was the result of an increased \( K_n \), consistent with the L2’ loop being critical for substrate binding. Two other mutants were produced, C290T and C290N, which mutated the residue to which the allosteric thiol inhibitors tether. These had virtually no impact on catalysis, suggesting that the inhibition caused by the allosteric tethered compounds was not due to simply masking this residue.

In sum, mutations at the allosteric couple suggest that this interaction is not so critical for stabilizing the active conformer of caspase-7. In contrast, swiveling about the Gly-188 is critical for proper positioning of the catalytic cysteine, and binding of the L2’ loop against Tyr-229 is important for substrate binding.

As with any mutational analysis, it is possible that these effects were caused by misfolding of the protein. However, two lines of evidence would suggest that misfolding is not a systematic problem. First, most of the mutations (including those at Gly-188) had only small effects on \( K_m \), suggesting that substrate can bind. Also, what subtle changes were observed in \( K_m \) are statistically significant from wild type, which suggests that the activity observed is not from a small fraction of wild-type-like enzyme but rather a bulk of slightly altered enzyme. Secondly, the enzymes were expressed and purified at wild-type levels, suggesting that the mutations did not introduce severely destabilizing changes to the protein typical of aberrant folding variants.

**Effect of Mutation in Allosteric Couple on Allosteric Labeling and Inhibition**—We next probed the effect that mutations in the Arg-187–Tyr-223 couple have on three parameters: (i) the ability of the allosteric compounds FICA and DICA to tether, (ii) their ability to inhibit the enzyme, and (iii) their ability to prevent labeling of the catalytic cysteine with the active site titrant. Previously, we found that the strength of the interaction of allosteric inhibitors FICA and DICA is related to the rate at which FICA and DICA bind to caspase-7 and that the amount of FICA or DICA binding is linearly related to the level of inhibition (23). DICA binds to wild-type caspase-7 more rapidly and more tightly than FICA (as determined by reductant titration, data not shown). Wild-type and caspase-7 mutants were incubated for a fixed period of time (2 h) followed by mass spectrometry to determine the extent of binding of FICA or DICA (Table 2). All of the mutants were able to bind DICA as well as or better than wild type except for Y223D, Y223E, C290N, and C290T. The diminished binding to Y223D and Y223E is possibly due to an unfavorable interaction between the negative charge and the very hydrophobic DICA molecules. C290D and C290N are incapable of disulfide bonding with caspase-7.
DIC or FICA. We also see reduced tethering of DICA to the G188L mutant yet no reduction in tethering to the G188P mutant. Modeling in the DICA wild-type structure suggests that this difference is from the larger leucine side-chain impinging binding of the DICA.

The level of FICA binding was lower than the level of DICA binding in several of the mutant proteins. Nevertheless, all of the mutants were capable of binding at some level. As binding of FICA and DICA depends on the time and reductant concentration, it is likely that all mutant proteins can be fully occupied by FICA and DICA under the appropriate conditions. The possible exceptions are Y223E and Y223D, in which a negative charge decreases affinity for neutral FICA and DICA. Importantly, all of the proteins with mutations of the allosteric couple retain the ability to be inhibited by FICA and DICA (Table 2). Moreover, the level of inhibition by FICA and DICA correlate with the extent of compound binding.

Effect of Mutations in the Allosteric Couple on Mutual Exclusivity—We next designed experiments to probe the role of the mutated residues in coupling the allosteric and active sites. In wild-type caspase-7, the active site binders like peptide inhibitor DEVD-fmk prevent binding of synthetic allosteric inhibitors DICA and FICA and vice versa (23). Thus, binding at the active site is mutually exclusive (competitive) with binding at the allosteric site, although the compounds do not physically touch. We reasoned that if the allosteric couple Arg-187–Tyr-223 was essential for enforcing the mutual exclusivity of the active and allosteric sites, then mutation of these residues might break the tight mutual exclusivity observed in wild-type caspase-7.

To probe the mutual exclusivity of mutants, we performed order of addition experiments with active and allosteric site inhibitors. We incubated caspase-7 mutants first with allosteric inhibitors DICA or FICA and then added active site–directed inhibitor DEVD-fmk (Fig. 5). Mutual exclusivity of wild-type caspase-7 is demonstrated by the fact that the sum total of binding of DEVD active site titrant to the large subunit plus either FICA or DICA tethers to the small subunit never exceeded 100%. For example, when DICA labeling of the wild-type allosteric site proceeded until 97% of the small subunits were bound, then only the remaining 3% of unlabeled large subunits bound to DEVD (Fig. 5A). When FICA labeling is allowed to proceed only until 75% of the small subunits are bound, only the remaining unlabeled 25% of large subunits can subsequently be bound (Fig. 5B). The C290N and C290T mutations displayed the tight 100% limit like the wild-type protein because these mutations obliterate the thiol required for disulfide formation with FICA and DICA. In the experiments reported here, as well as in similar experiments not shown, the tight mutual exclusivity between the active and allosteric sites in wild-type caspase-7 was always maintained (Fig. 5).

In contrast, all of the mutations at both Arg-187 and Tyr-223 break the mutual exclusivity (Fig. 5). Under the conditions tested, up to 180% binding was observed, which reflects the fact that both the active and the allosteric sites are nearly fully occupied with on average 1.8 compounds (active site inhibitor plus allosteric site inhibitor) bound per monomer. Replacement of Arg-187 by Ala, Met, Asn, Trp, or Gly allowed simultaneous occupation of the active and allosteric sites up to 140%. The most conservative of all the mutations, R187K that most closely mimics the Arg side chain, retained the highest degree of mutual exclusivity (<120% for both FICA and DICA).

In sharp contrast, when the order of binding was reversed such that caspase-7 mutants were first incubated with active site inhibitor DEVD-fmk and then incubated with the allosteric inhibitors DICA or FICA, we observed almost complete retention of the mutual exclusivity (Fig. 6). When caspase-7 mutants were first labeled with DEVD-fmk and then FICA, the total sum of stoichiometries did not exceed unity. When DICA was added in the second step, the greatest level of conjugation was 120% total. This is a substantial decrease relative to the reverse-ordered reaction where many mutants displayed binding in excess of 140%. The order of addition dependence suggests that locking loops into the active conformation in the first step lowers the ability to label with the allosteric site compounds FICA or DICA. The fact that the tight mutual exclusivity of the active and allosteric sites is broken in both Arg-187 and Tyr-223 mutants, but not in Trp-229 or Gly-188, mutants strongly suggests that Arg-187 and Tyr-223 alone are sufficient for enforcing the tight mutual exclusivity of wild-type caspase-7.

DISCUSSION

These data provide insights into the functional importance of three regions for modulating the conformational transition between the active form (on form) and allosterically inhibited form (off form) of caspase-7: the hinge, the allosteric couple,
and the L2’ loop. Previous structural studies have shown that the allosterically inhibited conformation is very close to the zymogen form of caspase-7 (8). The mutational analysis identifies Gly-188 as a critical hinge determinant for transitioning between the on and off forms. The G188P mutation causes a ~6000-fold reduction in catalytic efficiency and is about 200 times more impactful than the G188L mutation. Moreover, virtually all of these effects are on $k_{\text{cat}}$. These data suggest that rotation about the main-chain amide is critical for alignment of the catalytic apparatus when transitioning between the off and on forms of the enzyme.

Allosteric couples exist in both the executioner caspases (caspase-3 and -7) and the inflammatory caspase-1 (23, 24, 28). For caspase-7, the couple is the Arg-187–Tyr-223 dyad, whereas the structurally analogous pair in caspase-1 is the Arg-286–Glu-390 salt bridge. However, the magnitudes of the disruptive effects seen for mutating these couples are very different. Mutation of the Arg-187–Tyr-223 in caspase-7 shows rather modest effects, about 3–17-fold reductions in catalytic efficiency depending on the mutation couple. In contrast, mutation of the Arg-286–Glu-390 salt bridge in caspase-1 causes a nearly 300-fold reduction (24, 28). This difference may be a consequence of the more extensive 18-member hydrogen-bonded allosteric network in caspase-1 when compared with the four-member network in caspase-7.

The difference in functional impact for mutating the couples may also reflect the relative equilibrium distribution between on and off states for these enzymes in the absence of bound substrate, as shown in the model in Fig. 7. For example, recent conformationally selective antibodies that were separately raised to recognize caspase-1 which is locked into either the on or off state, show that the apo-enzyme favors binding to the off state antibody by a factor of at least 100:1 (29). Caspase-1 also shows pronounced positive cooperativity, suggesting that substrate binding drives it into the active conformation (24, 28). Moreover, the crystal structure of caspase-1 in the absence of an active site ligand shows it in an off state that is virtually identical to that of the allosterically inhibited caspase-1 (22, 30). In contrast, caspase-7 shows much weaker positive cooperativity, and the x-ray structure of apo-caspase-7 shows it in a partially active conformation with the L2 loop in the active conformation but the L2’ loop and Arg-187 in a zymogen-like conformation. These data suggest that apo-caspase-7 favors the on state to a greater degree than caspase-1.

Mutating the Arg-187–Tyr-223 couple in caspase-7 breaks the mutual exclusivity of binding at the active and allosteric sites, which allows the sum of active site and allosteric site inhibitors to exceed unity (Fig. 5). This suggests that the allosteric couple links conformational changes from the allosteric and active site. Nonetheless, when the allosteric couple is
mutated, the allosteric tethered compounds can still inhibit enzyme activity. This suggests another interaction capable of enforcing allosteric inhibition, namely locking the L2’ loop over the allosteric site by direct interactions with the allosteric inhibitors, FICA or DICA. This “closing” of the L2’ loop is further supported by the order-of-addition experiment (Fig. 6) where the prior addition of the active site inhibitor DEVD-fmk prevents further labeling with allosteric inhibitors even for mutants that mutate the allosteric couple. This suggests that binding of the L2’ loop over the allosteric site, which is facilitated by the positive interactions made between the L2’ loop and FICA and DICA, is sufficient to inhibit caspase-7. Our data suggest that interactions between the L2’ loop and compounds that occupy the allosteric site are important for allosteric inhibition.

The mutational experiments in caspase-7 and previously on caspase-1 suggest that coupling between the allosteric and active sites is mediated by a similarly positioned allosteric couple: Arg-187–Tyr-223 in caspase-7 and Arg-286–Glu-390 in caspase-1. Previous studies (23, 24) show that the conformational changes induced by binding of the allosteric compounds to either caspase-7 or caspase-1 are highly conserved despite them sharing only 23% sequence identity. One of the central challenges for generating selective and tight binding non-covalent active site inhibitors of the caspases is their common requirement for an acidic functionality in the PI-binding pocket, which have not to date produced drug-like compounds. The allosteric site in caspases offers an alternative because selective tethered compounds can be found for the executioner caspase-3/-7 or for inflammatory caspase-1. Our mutational and labeling experiments suggest that if one were to further optimize these allosteric inhibitors, it is worth focusing on optimization of the interaction between the compound and the L2’ loop. Dissection of the allosteric mechanism is not only critical to our fundamental understanding of allosteric but also facilitates our understanding of how to selectively inhibit caspases in cells. Finally, the allosteric transition from on (mature caspase-7) to off (in the presence of FICA or DICA) is immi-
nently relevant to the zymogen form (procaspase-7), which is the predominant form of the enzyme in the absence of apoptotic stimuli. These studies broaden our understanding of how this transition occurs, and eventually, how specific small molecules may be used to reverse this transition in cells and even animals.
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