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Ultrasensitive and Highly Selective Ni$_3$Te$_2$ as a Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor at Extremely Low Working Potential

Bahareh Golrokh Amin, Umanga De Silva, Jahangir Masud, and Manashi Nath*  
Department of Chemistry, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409, United States

ABSTRACT: Developing Nonenzymatic glucose biosensors has recently been at the center of attention owing to their potential application in implantable and continuous glucose monitoring systems. In this article, nickel telluride nanostructure with the generic formula of Ni$_3$Te$_2$ has been reported as a highly efficient electrocatalyst for glucose oxidation, functional at a low operating potential. Ni$_3$Te$_2$ nanostructures were prepared by two synthesis methods, direct electrodeposition on the electrode and hydrothermal method. The electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$ exhibited a wide linear range of response corresponding to glucose oxidation exhibiting a high sensitivity of 41.615 mA cm$^{-2}$ mM$^{-1}$ and a low limit of detection (LOD) of 0.43 μM. The hydrothermally synthesized Ni$_3$Te$_2$, on the other hand, also exhibits an ultrahigh sensitivity of 35.213 mA cm$^{-2}$ mM$^{-1}$ and an LOD of 0.38 μM. The observation of high efficiency for glucose oxidation for both Ni$_3$Te$_2$ electrodes irrespective of the synthesis method further confirms the enhanced intrinsic property of the material toward glucose oxidation. In addition to high sensitivity and low LOD, Ni$_3$Te$_2$ electrocatalyst also has good selectivity and long-term stability in a 0.1 M KOH solution. Since it is operative at a low applied potential of 0.35 V vs Ag/AgCl, interference from other electrochemically active species is reduced, thus increasing the accuracy of this sensor.

INTRODUCTION

In the current society, diabetes has rapidly grown to be one of the leading causes of death on a global scale. Diabetes stems from abnormal blood glucose level, and frequent self-monitoring and continuous testing of physiological glucose concentration are key to controlling the advancement of the disease. Continuous blood glucose monitoring allows for better glycemic control, resulting in lesser fluctuation of blood glucose levels in diabetic patients. By better monitoring of the glucose concentration in blood, patients are more likely to prevent the occurrence of diabetic emergencies such as hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia, as well as to avoid long-term complications associated with the disease, such as kidney failure, blindness, and high blood pressure.1–4 The conventional approach for monitoring the spread of the disease and controlling it involves regular sampling of the blood glucose level via finger pricking, which causes anxiety, tension, and additional pain to the patients. Also, relying on blood samples collected at various times throughout the day under different physiological conditions will provide sporadic results of glucose levels, making this approach less reliable for the purpose of administering insulins.5,6 Therefore, researchers are trying to develop more convenient glucose sensors, capable of continuously and reproducibly measuring glucose levels at different concentrations.

With the advent of modern monitoring devices, a highly sensitive and accurate glucose measuring system should be able to reliably detect glucose from the blood, as well as alternative biofluids, including saliva, urine, sweat, etc. If diabetic patients are provided with such noninvasive and convenient glucose sensors, they are more likely to measure frequent glucose levels, which will allow them to optimize their insulin injections.7,8 However, glucose concentrations in these body fluids are as low as 0–0.8 mmol L$^{-1}$ for urine,9 0.03–0.08 mmol L$^{-1}$ for saliva,10 and 0.02–0.6 mmol L$^{-1}$ for sweat,11 requiring the glucose sensors to be highly sensitive with extremely low limit of detection (LOD) and better selectivity. The rapid response time and precise measurements achievable by electrochemical sensing methods have made glucose detection possible from body fluids other than blood.12 However, conventionally used enzyme-based glucose sensors still fall short of these requirements since their efficiencies are influenced by changes in pH and variation of temperature in addition to the difficulty of immobilizing fragile enzyme on the electrode surface. The efficiency of enzymatic glucose sensors can also be affected by the presence of other interfering compounds in blood.13–16 Due to the high working potential required for electron transfer between thick enzyme layer and glucose molecules, other redox-active species present in blood can also oxidize/degrade, thus affecting the accuracy of glucose sensing.17 According to recent research developments, all of the crucial parameters including sensitivity, selectivity, LOD, and stability can be improved by employing the next-generation glucose sensing modules, namely, the nonenzymatic...
glucose sensors.\textsuperscript{18,19} While enzyme-based sensors are dependent on thick enzymes to mediate electron transfer, in nonenzymatic glucose biosensors, on the other hand, the process of glucose oxidation takes place directly on the electrode surface. Specific glucose oxidation electrocatalysts anchored on the electrode surface facilitate electron-transfer process at the electrode–analyte interface, thereby decreasing the operating potential for glucose oxidation and improving efficiency of the sensor.\textsuperscript{20−25}

Among nonenzymatic electrochemical glucose sensors, noble metals such as Au-based,\textsuperscript{26−30} Pt-based,\textsuperscript{31,32} or Pd-based\textsuperscript{33,34} and their alloys exhibit an enhanced conductivity with an accelerated electrochemical redox process for glucose biosensing. However, the scarcity and high cost of these precious metals inhibit their large-scale usage in practical implementations.\textsuperscript{35−40} To mitigate this issue, nanocatalysts based on earth-abundant transition metals have received great attention in virtue of their excellent redox behavior, natural abundance, lower cost, functional stability in alkaline medium, and satisfactory biocompatibility.\textsuperscript{41−43} Among transition metals, Ni-based catalysts are of interest for electrochemical nonenzymatic glucose sensing owing to their low cost and remarkably high catalytic activity arising from the facile transformation of Ni\textsuperscript{2+}/Ni\textsuperscript{3+} redox couple in alkaline medium, which facilitates the electrooxidation of glucose on the electrode surface.\textsuperscript{44−47}

Recent studies by several researchers have shown that nickel chalcogenide-based electrocatalysts possess unprecedented electrochemical activity toward several energy conversion processes such as full water splitting\textsuperscript{48−54} and supercapacitors.\textsuperscript{55,56} Such enhancement of electrocatalytic activity of the Ni chalcogenides compared to that of their oxide analogue is caused by the facile electrochemical redox of the active Ni center, as well as decreased band gap and increased metallicity of the chalcogenide lattice compared to that of the oxides, which are higher band-gap insulators. The higher conductivity of the lattice also enhances electron-transfer efficiency within transition-metal selenide and telluride composites compared to that in the corresponding oxides. The trend in catalytic activity from the oxides to the other chalcogenide series (sulphides, selenides, and tellurides) can also be explained through their gradual change in the electronegativity of the chalcogen atom. As the electronegativity of the chalcogen atom decreases down the series, the degree of covalency in metal–chalcogen bond increases. Since the electrocatalytic efficiency is enhanced by a higher degree of covalency in metal–anion bonding, it is expected that the catalytic efficiency will increase in the chalcogenide series from oxide to telluride.\textsuperscript{48,56} Moreover, the higher applied potentials required for nickel-based oxides decrease the efficiency of these electrodes toward glucose biosensing. Ni chalcogenides, on the other hand, being electrochemically active at a much lower applied potential can significantly increase the catalytic efficiency for direct glucose oxidation.\textsuperscript{57,58}

In this study, the electrocatalytic activity of nickel telluride nanostuctures with the molecular formula of Ni\textsubscript{3}Te\textsubscript{2} has been reported for direct glucose oxidation in an alkaline medium. The Ni\textsubscript{3}Te\textsubscript{2} electrocatalyst composite has been synthesized by two approaches: direct electrodeposition and one-step hydrothermal synthesis. Both of these catalyst composites show similar activity toward the oxidation of glucose to gluconolactone, indicating that it is indeed an intrinsic property for Ni\textsubscript{3}Te\textsubscript{2} independent of the synthesis method. High sensitivity and low LOD were obtained with the Ni\textsubscript{3}Te\textsubscript{2} electrocatalyst at low applied potentials for glucose oxidation, making this compound promising for nonenzymatic glucose biosensors.

\section*{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

\textbf{Morphology and Composition.} Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the as-grown electrodeposited films shows randomly oriented nanoflakes on the surface of Ni foam, as shown in Figure 1a. Such a nanoflake geometry expectedly increases the active surface area of the electrocatalyst composite leading to better exposure of the catalytic
sites to the analyte present in the electrolyte, thus improving catalytic interaction. SEM image of the hydrothermally synthesized Ni$_3$Te$_2$, on the other hand, shows leaf-like dendritic nanostructures on the electrode surface (Figure 1c). It is worth mentioning that Ni$_3$Te$_2$ growth on NF by electrodeposition and by hydrothermal methods shows high surface area amenable for superior catalytic activity. The Ni$_3$Te$_2$ nanostructures were also analyzed through transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and the results have been included in our previous publication. TEM images show granular particle-like and flake-like morphologies for the hydrothermally synthesized and electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$, respectively.

The PXRD patterns of the electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$ as well as the hydrothermally synthesized Ni$_3$Te$_2$ on Ni foam (NF) show the presence of pure Ni$_3$Te$_2$ where the diffraction peaks matched with those reported for standard Ni$_3$Te$_2$ (PDF #04-014-3418), as shown in Figure 1b,d. Both PXRD patterns show that the product formed was a pure phase with no other evident impurity peaks. It was also observed that the relative peak intensities and peak widths were different between the hydrothermally synthesized and electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$, respectively.

The electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) was estimated by measuring the double-layer charging current at different scan rates based on the following equation (eq 2)$^{49}$

$$\text{ECSA} = \frac{C_{DL}}{C_s}$$

where $C_s$ is the specific capacitance (0.040 mF cm$^{-2}$) in a N$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution and $C_{DL}$ is the double-layer capacitance calculated from the slope of the plot of capacitive current ($i_{DL}$) in a nonfaradic double-layer region against scan rate $v$ (V s$^{-1}$). The ECSA was measured to be 13.0 cm$^2$ for electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$ and 20.2 cm$^2$ for hydrothermally
synthesized Ni$_3$Te$_2$, as shown in the Supporting Information (Figures S1 and S2, respectively).

**Electrochemical Behavior of Electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$−NF Electrode.** Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is known to be useful for investigating the electrochemical behavior of the electrode. The CV plots and influence of the scan rate on the current density of electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$ in 0.1 M KOH in the absence of glucose are provided in Figure 2a. As shown in Figures 2a and S1, by increasing the scan rate from 10 to 80 mV s$^{-1}$, the current density changes proportionally with the square root of scan rate, implying a typical diffusion-controlled electrochemical process at the surface of the electrode.

The CV response of electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$−NF electrode in the presence of different concentrations of glucose in the electrolyte is presented in Figure 2b. As shown in Figures 2a and S1, by increasing the scan rate from 10 to 80 mV s$^{-1}$, the current density changes proportionally with the square root of scan rate, implying a typical diffusion-controlled electrochemical process at the surface of the electrode.

The CV response of electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$−NF electrode in the presence of different concentrations of glucose in the electrolyte is presented in Figure 2b. As shown from this figure that an explicit oxidation peak located at 0.35 V vs Ag/AgCl was observed in the presence of glucose with concentrations ranging from 1 to 4 mM. The enhancement of anodic peak current densities was more obvious with the increase of glucose concentration, suggesting high electrocatalytic activity of electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$ toward glucose electrooxidation. It is worth mentioning that upon the addition of 1.0 mM glucose, bare Ni foam did not show any oxidation peak corresponding to glucose oxidation in the working potential ranging from 0 to 0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl (Figure S3), confirming that the substrate by itself was not an active electrocatalyst for glucose oxidation.

The large current densities achieved by electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$ electrode in the presence of a 4 mM glucose concentration, reveal another potential application of this electrode in a nonenzymatic glucose fuel cell. Currently, the development of implantable medical devices has been limited due to the slow improvements in lithium-ion battery technology. Glucose fuel cells are potential candidates to replace the lithium-ion batteries due to their superior long-term stability, sufficient power density, and abundance of glucose in the body to generate a continuous and stable power output. However, the main challenge for enzymatic glucose fuel cells is the poor anode selectivity toward glucose oxidation in the presence of oxygen in the body tissue. Non-enzymatic glucose fuel cell with a high current response and excellent selectivity can be a feasible solution for improvements in power generation for implantable medical devices. It can be concluded from Figure 2b that electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$ can be a potential choice for this technology, as it shows a high current density of about 45 mA cm$^{-2}$ in the presence of 4 mM glucose.

**Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Glucose on Electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$.** A high amperometric current response during detection of glucose can be strongly affected by the applied potential. Large applied potential can trigger oxygen evolution reaction at the anode, which leads to a large background anodic current and dwindling of the active surface area. Therefore, to determine the optimum working potential for glucose sensing, the amperometric response of the Ni$_3$Te$_2$−NF electrode was recorded at different applied potentials. As Figure 2c shows, with the successive addition of 0.01 mM of glucose into a 0.1 M KOH solution, the catalytic current of electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$−NF electrode elevated with the increase of applied potential from 0.30 to 0.35 V but then

![Figure 3](image-url)
decays at 0.40 V potential. Therefore, 0.35 V vs Ag/AgCl was selected as the optimal applied potential for electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂ electrode, which enables the best sensing performance for glucose oxidation. It should be noted here that this is one of the lowest potentials reported for electrocatalytic glucose oxidation and is much lower than that of oxide-based sensors. The onset of electrocatalytic glucose oxidation at such low working potential validates our initial hypothesis and confirms that replacing oxides by chalcogenides such as selenides and tellurides enhances electrode activation at lower potential and will result in a high selectivity of the electrode. Additionally, the reduced band gap in selenides and tellurides also leads to better electrical conductivity and charge transfer in the catalyst composite, leading to high current densities at low applied potentials.

The mechanism of glucose oxidation is believed to be initiated by the hydroxyl attachment on the catalytically active transition-metal site, Ni(III), which is generated in situ through local site oxidation of Ni(II) (catalyst activation). The electron transfer is then initiated between the glucose molecule and the hydroxyl-activated catalytic site, leading to glucose oxidation to gluconolactone and further redox of the transition-metal catalytic site. Since the catalytically active transition-metal site undergoes a reversible oxidation−reduction cycle during the mechanism of glucose oxidation, the redox potential for the transition-metal sites can have a large influence on the catalytic efficiency for glucose oxidation, especially the applied potential value. Previous research from our group has shown that the Ni⁺³/Ni⁺² redox potential can be shifted to more cathodic potential in Ni₃Te₂ compared to Ni oxides, thereby reducing the applied potential for catalyst activation.

The amperometric response of the electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂−NF was measured at a constant applied potential of 0.35 V with successive addition of different concentrations of glucose into the alkaline solution under continuous stirring and is shown in Figure 2d. The inset shows the magnified version of the amperometric response at low glucose concentrations. As can be seen from these plots, the current response illustrated a high sensitivity of the electrode to glucose concentration as low as 0.01 μM, which can easily be detected by electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂ electrode. The calibration curve shown in Figure 2e, obtained by plotting the steady-state current density values vs the glucose concentrations, reveals two linear regions. In the low-glucose-concentration ranges from 0.01 μM to 0.8 mM, the response is linear with an ultrahigh sensitivity of 41.615 mA mM⁻¹ cm⁻² (R² = 0.9977). While the second linear region between 1 and 4 mM shows a sensitivity of 9.26 mA mM⁻¹ cm⁻² (R² = 0.9975). For the linear range from 0.01 μM to 0.8 mM, based on eq 1, the LOD was estimated to be 0.43 μM with a signal-to-noise ratio of 3 (S/N = 3).

As can be seen from Figure 2f, a rapid current response was achieved upon the addition of glucose, reaching the steady-state current in less than 2 s. The fast response time demonstrates competent activity of electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂ electrode toward glucose sensing.

Figure 3a shows the amperometric responses of electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂ to stepwise injections of 0.01 mM of glucose to 0.1 M KOH at 0.35 V, wherein current response from different batches of electrodes were measured under
identical experimental conditions. It was observed that the addition of a similar concentration of glucose resulted in almost identical jumps in current density. This repeatability of the results confirms the reliability of Ni₃Te₂ as a sensor, as well as its functional stability in an alkaline medium, along with reproducibility of the results.

For an electrochemical glucose sensor, selectivity is a crucial feature to assess the ability of the electrode to be utilized in practical applications. Normally, certain biomolecules such as ascorbic acid, fructose, sucrose, and lactose coexist with glucose in human blood. These interfering species may also undergo electrochemical oxidation, thus producing background current signal at high applied potentials, which will affect the accurate determination of glucose concentration. Hence, an experiment was conducted for examining the selectivity of the electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂—NF electrode, where the amperometric current response of electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂ to the sequential addition of 0.1 mM of glucose and 0.01 mM of a number of interfering compounds including ascorbic acid, dopamine, lactose, fructose, sucrose, uric acid, KCl, and NaCl was measured at an applied potential of 0.35 V vs Ag|AgCl, and the results are shown in Figure 3b. It is clearly seen that the electrochemical signals from interferent species are negligible compared to the significant jump of current density observed with the addition of glucose, confirming superior selectivity of Ni₃Te₂ electrode towards glucose oxidation.

Long-term stability and repeatability of the Ni₃Te₂—NF electrode towards glucose sensing were estimated by checking the electrode response to the addition of similar concentration of glucose over a week where the electrode was stored under ambient conditions and reused. Figure 3c shows the results of these tests, and it can be clearly seen that the electrode produced similar response throughout, indicating stability and repeatability of the sensor. Even after being exposed to air for 7 days, electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂ retained at least 96% of its original current response.

The reproducibility of the electrode toward glucose oxidation was also tested by adding 0.1 mM of glucose to 0.1 M KOH under constant stirring. After almost 3 h, electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂ completely oxidized all of the added glucose, reducing the current density to nearly zero. Fresh addition of 0.1 mM of glucose at this point immediately increased the current density to a value almost similar to the previous value, as shown in Figure 3d, confirming the reproducibility of the current response of the sensor.

**Electrochemical Behavior of Hydrothermally Synthesized Ni₃Te₂**

Hydrothermally synthesized Ni₃Te₂ was assembled on the electrode surface, as discussed above. Similar to electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂, hydrothermally synthesized Ni₃Te₂ was also tested for glucose sensing in 0.1 M KOH by collecting CV scans in the absence and presence of glucose. The CV plots shown in Figure 4a represent the current response in the absence of glucose and were performed in the potential range from 0 to +0.45 V vs Ag|AgCl with scan rates increasing from 10 to 80 mV s⁻¹. The cathodic current measured was proportional to the square root of scan rate, as shown in the inset, suggesting a typical diffusion-controlled process for the hydrothermally prepared Ni₃Te₂ electrode.

Upon the addition of different concentrations of glucose ranging from 1 to 4 mM, a notable enhancement of the anodic current density was observed, while the peak oxidation potential stayed at almost the same position, demonstrating the electrode’s activity toward glucose oxidation. It is well established that the enhancement of the oxidative current is attributed to the electrooxidation of glucose in the presence of Ni(III).⁶⁹

The high current density of almost 30 mA cm⁻² is achieved by the hydrothermally prepared Ni₃Te₂ in the presence of 4 mM glucose. This result shows that the hydrothermally prepared Ni₃Te₂ electrodes, similar to those made through electrodeposition, have the potential of being used in devices for energy conversion from glucose.

**Glucose Oxidation with Hydrothermally Prepared Ni₃Te₂**

As mentioned above, applied potential can strongly affect the magnitude of current response for the glucose sensor. Therefore, we have analyzed the current response with respect to variations in the applied potential to select an optimal potential. Figure 4c shows the amperometric responses of hydrothermally synthesized Ni₃Te₂ to the sequential addition of 0.1 mM of glucose at different applied potentials ranging from 0.30 to 0.40 V. Based on the observed current response, the optimal potential for amperometric detection of glucose was selected to be 0.35 V vs Ag|AgCl, which aligns with CV results mentioned above.

Amperometric response upon successive addition of different concentrations of glucose into a constantly stirred 0.1 M KOH solution was measured at 0.35 V and is shown in Figure 4d. In this figure, distinct increases in amperometric currents were observed with the stepwise increase of added glucose concentration, demonstrating superior catalytic ability of hydrothermally prepared Ni₃Te₂ electrode for glucose electro-oxidation. The inset shows current response of the sensor in the region of low added-glucose concentrations, confirming the ability of the sensor to reliably detect even minute amounts of glucose, which leads to high sensitivity of the electrode toward glucose electrooxidation.

The amperometric current response with the addition of varying amounts of glucose was used to obtain the calibration curve, as shown in Figure 4e. It was observed that there were two linear regions in the calibration curve, one at the lower glucose concentration (up to 0.8 mM) and the other at the higher glucose concentration (1–4 mM). The linear fit in the low-glucose-concentration region (0.01–0.8 mM) showed an ultrahigh sensitivity of 35.213 mA mM⁻¹ cm⁻² (R² = 0.9957). The LOD was as low as 0.38 μM, based on the signal/noise value of 3 (S/N = 3). The sensitivity in the higher glucose concentration from 1.0 to 4.0 mM was calculated to be 9.802 mA mM⁻¹ cm⁻² (R² = 0.9966). At higher glucose concentration, the amperometric current density gradually reaches a saturation due to the presence of adsorbed reaction intermediates on the electrode surface covering the available active sites. This may lead to insufficient active sites to oxidize the incoming glucose on the electrode surface. The addition of glucose in the vicinity of the electrode produces a sharp increase in current density with a response time of less than 4 s, as can be seen in Figure 4f. Such low response time verifies the high catalytic activity of hydrothermally prepared Ni₃Te₂ toward glucose oxidation. The Ni₃Te₂ electrodes were also analyzed through electroimpedance spectroscopy (EIS), and from the fitting of the EIS spectra (as shown in Figure S4), the Rₑ (charge-transfer resistance) values were estimated to be 45.38 Ω for electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂ and 45.98 Ω for hydrothermal Ni₃Te₂. Such small charge-transfer resistances are indicative of fast charge-transfer kinetics at the electrode—electrolyte interface and low contact resistance.
The reproducibility of the results, as well as the reliability of these measurements, was tested by measuring the electrochemical response from several electrodes assembled from different batches of hydrothermally synthesized Ni3Te2. It was observed that the different electrodes showed an almost identical jump in current densities with the addition of the same concentrations of glucose (0.1 mM) in 0.1 M KOH at 0.35 V vs Ag/AgCl, as shown in Figure 5a. Such an identical current response between different electrodes and different addition events confirmed the excellent stability and reproducibility of these electrodes.

One of the major challenges in nonenzymatic glucose sensing, as mentioned above, is to eliminate the interference from biomolecules coexisting in the blood such as dopamine, ascorbic acid, urea, salts, fructose, etc. In physiological conditions, the level of glucose is much higher than that of these interfering species (<0.5 mM).64,66 Hence, the selectivity of the hydrothermally synthesized Ni3Te2−NF electrode was determined toward glucose oxidation in the presence of added interferent species by measuring the current response upon the addition of 0.1 mM of glucose followed by successive additions of 0.01 mM of ascorbic acid, dopamine, lactose, fructose, sucrose, uric acid, KCl, and NaCl, as shown in Figure 5b. It was found that the hydrothermally prepared Ni3Te2 electrode provides remarkable response only for glucose electrooxidation, while the addition of other species had a negligible effect on the anodic current.

The long-term stability of this nonenzymatic sensor was also evaluated through amperometric response for the specific concentration of glucose recorded for over a week (Figure 5c). The hydrothermally prepared Ni3Te2 electrode was stored in air when not in use and was reused for this study. The results indicate that the sensor retained more than 94% of its initial current response, suggesting favorable long-term stability and reproducibility of this nonenzymatic glucose sensor.

To investigate the cyclability of the hydrothermally prepared Ni3Te2 electrode, a chronoamperometry experiment was carried out, where 0.1 mM glucose was injected into 0.1 M of KOH at 0.35 V and the oxidation current was allowed to decay down, indicating full oxidation of the added glucose. This electrode needed almost 5 h to convert all of the added glucose to gluconolactone. Glucose solution (0.1 mM) was added again to this electrolyte, which resulted in similar current density as the initial addition of glucose (Figure 5d), confirming data reliability and reproducibility for long-term tests.

The Ni3Te2 electrode was also analyzed through SEM and PXRD to investigate the structural and compositional stability after a prolonged period of glucose oxidation. SEM analysis showed that both hydrothermally synthesized and electrodeposited Ni3Te2 maintained their respective morphologies after chronoamperometric test, as shown in Figure S5 in the Supporting Information. The compositions of the electrodes were also found to remain unchanged as evidenced by PXRD patterns after chronoamperometric tests, as shown in Figure S6. These studies further confirmed that the Ni3Te2 electrodes were indeed stable for long-term glucose oxidation with no structural or morphological degradation.

**Human Blood Glucose Determination.** To verify the possibility of the Ni3Te2 sensor in practical application, Ni3Te2−NF electrode was used to test human blood glucose concentration. As provided in Table S1, Ni3Te2 sensor shows excellent performance toward glucose detection in physiological blood samples. To quantify the glucose level in blood samples, first, the human blood samples obtained from three participating volunteers were tested with the commercially available glucose oxidase-based strips. As shown in Figure S10, the Ni3Te2−NF electrode was found to have excellent correlation with the glucose oxidase-based strips, indicating the potential of incorporating the electrode in a clinical glucose monitoring system.

**Figure 5.** (a) Amperometric response of different batches of hydrothermally synthesized Ni3Te2−NF electrodes to the stepwise addition of similar concentrations of glucose in an alkaline solution. (b) Amperometric response of the electrode to the addition of glucose (0.1 mM) and other interfering species (0.01 mM), as mentioned in the diagram. (c) Extensive stability check of the Ni3Te2−NF electrode measured for 7 days. (d) Continuous chronoamperometric test to the addition of identical concentrations of glucose, as well as the complete oxidation time.
available glucometer (ReliOn). The electrochemical test was then carried out by initially adding 100 μL of 1 mM glucose solution 2 times to the electrolyte (0.05 M KOH) to stabilize the system’s current response. Then, 100 μL of the human blood sample was directly injected into the 0.05 M KOH followed by two more additions of 1 mM glucose. The current response for each of the standard glucose additions (i.e., 1 mM glucose solution) was recorded and plotted as a function of concentration producing a linear plot, as shown in Figure S7. The level of the glucose present in the blood sample was estimated from the linear fit of the plot (after subtracting the background glucose concentrations).64 As shown in Table S1, the glucose concentration measured with Ni₃Te₂-based sensor, regardless of the fabrication method, was in good agreement with the results obtained from ReliOn glucometer. The relative standard deviation below 3.5% verified the superior electrochemical performance of Ni₃Te₂ as a promising candidate for an effective glucose sensing platform.

Moreover, the possibility of detecting small changes in glucose level in a glucose-enriched solution was tested. Figure 6a,d shows the injection of 0.1, 1, and 5 μM of glucose to 0.1 M KOH and the corresponding changes in current density for electrodeposited Ni₃Te₂, as well as hydrothermally synthesized Ni₃Te₂, respectively. Then, 5 mM of glucose was added to a 0.1 M KOH solution to resemble the normal glucose level in blood. Figure 6b,c demonstrates the effect of injection of 5, 1, and 0.1 μM of glucose to this alkaline solution containing 5 mM glucose for electrodeposited and hydrothermally prepared Ni₃Te₂, respectively. It should be noted here that small change in glucose level was detected reliably even in the presence of high glucose concentration. The difference between the current response measured from glucose addition to an alkaline medium with zero initial concentration of glucose and the injection of similar concentrations of glucose to an electrolyte containing 5 mM of glucose is compared in Figure 6c,e. It can be concluded from these figures that the standard deviation in detecting small glucose concentration reliably was small in the lower concentration range. In the higher-glucose-concentration range, detecting an accurate concentration of glucose was challenging due to the considerable background current generated by the presence of the excess amount of glucose, which reduced the availability of the active sites for a new analyte on the catalyst surface. However, Ni₃Te₂ electrode can successfully detect even minute amounts of increase in glucose concentration in a glucose-rich medium to a reliable extent. Such capabilities will be extremely useful to detect...
spikes in glucose concentrations under physiological conditions.

To the best of our knowledge, the functional parameters for this nonenzymatic sensor based on Ni$_3$Te$_2$ including sensitivity, LOD, and working potential are considerably better than the previously reported sensors, as can be seen from the comparison, Table S2. It can be concluded from this table that the extremely low working potential along with ultrahigh sensitivity of Ni$_3$Te$_2$ validated our initial hypothesis that replacing oxides and selenides with tellurides can lower the band gap, enhance the redox activity of the Ni site, and consequently lower the applied potential to 0.35 V vs Ag/AgCl. From our previous research, it was also observed that Ni$_3$Te$_2$ surface exhibited favorable −OH adsorption kinetics on the Ni site, leading to catalyst activation at lower potential.48 Since glucose oxidation follows catalyst activation and initiates at the $S$−$OH$ site ($S$ = catalytically active transition-metal ion), improved catalyst activation at low applied potential will have a positive influence on enhancing the glucose oxidation catalytic activity. The low working potential can overcome one of the biggest challenges associated with these nonenzymatic sensors, which is the selectivity of the electrode toward glucose oxidation. This modest working potential will make Ni$_3$Te$_2$ an ideal candidate for smaller, economical, and energy-efficient glucometers.

■ CONCLUSIONS

In this study, two convenient electrochemical synthesis processes of electrodeposition and hydrothermal synthesis were employed to prepare Ni$_3$Te$_2$ nanostructures on Ni foam. The morphology, composition, and electrocatalytic performance of the binder-free electrodes were carefully characterized by various techniques. Both developed nonenzymatic sensors showed a superior catalytic activity toward the electrochemical oxidation of glucose. For electrodeposited Ni$_3$Te$_2$, an extremely high sensitivity of 41.615 mA mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ with a low LOD of 0.43 μM in a range between 0.01 and 0.8 mM and 9.26 mA mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for the range of 1.0−4.0 mM was measured along with the other advantages associated with this electrode such as fast response, excellent selectivity, and long-term stability and repeatability. The hydrothermally synthesized Ni$_3$Te$_2$ electrode also provides a high sensitivity of 35.213 mA mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ from 0.01 μM to 0.8 mM with an LOD as low as 0.38 μM and 9.802 mA mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ from 1 to 4 mM. Excellent selectivity, reproducibility of current response, and long-term functional stability of this electrode verified the intrinsic properties of Ni$_3$Te$_2$ toward glucose sensing through direct electrooxidation. It can be concluded that Ni$_3$Te$_2$ is a potential material for the development of an enzyme-free sensor for reliable glucose determination.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All chemicals used in this research were used as purchased, without further purification. Nickel sulfate (NiSO$_4·6$H$_2$O) was purchased from Fisher Scientific, and tellurium dioxide (TeO$_2$) and hydrazine hydrate (N$_2$H$_4·H$_2$O) were purchased from Acros Organics. Dextrose and dopamine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, ascorbic acid, sodium chloride, potassium chloride, and lactose were obtained from Fisher Scientific, and fructose from Sigma-Aldrich. Ni foam was employed as a substrate in both electrodeposition and hydrothermal synthesis. Deionized water (DI water) was used to prepare all of the solutions. Ni foam was rinsed with dilute HCl and DI water prior to the preparation of Ni$_3$Te$_2$ electrode.

**Electrodeposition of Ni$_3$Te$_2$.** Direct electrodeposition was carried out in a conventional three-electrode system using an IviumStat potentiostat, with Ni foam as the working electrode, Pt as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. The electrolyte contained 15 mM of nickel sulfate and 3 mM of tellurium dioxide and was maintained at 80 °C with a pH of 2.5 by the addition of dilute HCl. Ni$_3$Te$_2$ was deposited on precleaned Ni foam at −1.05 V (vs Ag/AgCl).

**Hydrothermal Synthesis of Ni$_3$Te$_2$.** NiSO$_4·6$H$_2$O (9.0 mM) was dissolved in 15.0 mL of deionized water under vigorous magnetic stirring. Then, TeO$_2$ (6.0 mM) was added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 20 min to form a homogeneous solution. Finally, N$_2$H$_4·H$_2$O (3.0 mL) was added to the mixture and stirred continuously for another 10 min. The resulting solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. Precleaned Ni foam was placed inside the autoclave, which was sealed and maintained at 185 °C for 20 h. Later, it was naturally cooled down to room temperature. The prepared electrode was washed several times with DI water to remove impurities. The product was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h.

A detailed description of the synthesis procedure and morphological and structural studies has been reported in our previous work.48

■ CHARACTERIZATION

**Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD).** The obtained electrodeposited and hydrothermally synthesized Ni$_3$Te$_2$ samples were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction measurements using a Philips X-Pert X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands, λ = 1.5418 Å). The PXRD pattern was collected from 2θ values of 10−90°.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).** SEM images of Ni$_3$Te$_2$ were acquired using an FEI Helios NanoLab 600 FIB/FESEM operating at an acceleration voltage of 10 kV and a working distance of 5.0 mm to study the morphology of the product.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT
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