Rearing enhancement of *Ovalipes trimaculatus* (Crustacea: Portunidae) zoea I by feeding on *Artemia persimilis* nauplii enriched with alternative microalgal diets
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The southern surf crab *Ovalipes trimaculatus* (de Haan, 1833) presents a high potential for aquaculture. In this study, we analyze the benefits of different dietary treatments on its molt success and fitness of larval stages. *Artemia persimilis* nauplii were enriched with monospecific (*Nannochloropsis oculata, Tetraselmis suecica, Dunaliella salina, Isochrysis galbana* and *Chaetoceros gracilis*) and multispecific (Mix) microalgal diets twice a day over a 48-h period. Mean total length (TL), growth instar number (I) and gut fullness rate (GFR) of nauplii showed significant differences between dietary treatments at several sampling times, optimal results being observed in those providing Mix. *Artemia* nauplii grown under most experimental dietary treatments reached the capture size limit for *Ovalipes trimaculatus* zoea I (700 µm) within 24 h. After that time interval, Mix-enriched nauplii were amongst those with higher protein contents. *Ovalipes trimaculatus* zoea I fed on *Artemia* nauplii enriched during 24 h under different dietary treatments showed significant differences in survival, inter-molt duration, molting success to zoea II and motility. Optimal results were observed in zoea I fed on Mix-enriched *Artemia* nauplii. This work not only represents a first step towards the dietary optimization for *O. trimaculatus* zoeae rearing but also provides the first results on the use of enriched *A. persimilis*.

Portunid crabs stand out as highly valued resources for fisheries and aquaculture because of their export potential and high nutritional value1. Due to their size, meat content and unique flavor, their products are highly priced in domestic and international markets2. Since global portunid product demands exceed expectations each year, world fisheries captures have grown steadily, surpassing 1 million tons by 20162, leading to the local overexploitation of some species4. At the same time, unsatisfied market demands have been increasingly sustained by restocking and aquaculture production5 in excess of 0.38 million tons by 2016, 96% of which were produced in East Asia1.

At present, portunid aquaculture is restricted to meat production of *Scylla serrata*, *Portunus pelagicus*, *Portunus trituberculatus*, *Portunus sanguinolentus* and *Charybdis feriata* and to restocking of *Callinectes sapidus*. Still, the culture potential for many other large-sized species from the taxon is virtually unexplored. Indeed, reported production out of the west coast of Asia is still negligible5, representing both a challenge and an opportunity for the industry sector elsewhere. The southern surf crab *Ovalipes trimaculatus* (de Haan, 1833), one of the species with high potential for aquaculture, is widely distributed in coastal areas of the South Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans, being present along the mid-latitude (25°–45° S) Argentinean coast7, where populations have
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been targeted by artisanal fisheries over the last 10 years providing products with good acceptance in the local shellfish markets\(^3\). Although several studies have been conducted on the structure of its populations, reproduction, growth and some behavioral and anatomical aspects\(^6\), information available on the biology of its early life stages is still scarce and insufficient to allow encouraging their breeding in aquaculture facilities\(^12,13,17\).

Larval stages of decapod crustaceans may be lecithotrophic or planktotrophic depending on the reproductive strategy of each species\(^18\). While the former cover their food requirements by consuming abundant yolk reserves stored in the oocytes, the later start feeding on different plankton components soon after hatching\(^18,19\). Thus, breeding planktotrophic decapod larvae requires assessing the quality and frequency of food consumption to optimize survival, growth and physiological condition\(^20\). However, this involves the simultaneous maintenance of larvae and auxiliary food cultures, representing the bottleneck for the aquaculture of many decapods, including portunid crabs\(^21,22\).

Research on dietary quantity and quality requirements has contributed to minimize mortality and to enhance growth and fitness of zoae from several portunids including *Scylla serrata*\(^23\), *Portunus sanguinolentus*\(^24\), *P. pelagicus*\(^20\) and *Callinectes sapidus*\(^26\). Among other live feeds, brine shrimps (*Artemia* spp.) are widely used due to their food carrying capacity and good acceptance\(^17\). At low temperatures (i.e., 12°), like those experienced by *O. trimaculatus* during the reproductive season, *Artemia persimilis*, a brine shrimp species native from Argentina and Chile\(^26\), shows higher survival rates compared to its native congener A. franciscana, probably resulting from its adaptation to Patagonian climate conditions\(^26,31\). Its cysts have nutritional properties comparable to those of other commercial species traded in international markets\(^26\) displaying high hatching efficiencies\(^24\) and producing small-sized nauplii with elevated fatty acid unsaturation, highly desirable for use as live food in aquaculture\(^26,36\). Still, up to date the species has been rarely used to feed larval stages of fishes or marine invertebrates\(^25\).

*Artemia* is an incomplete food source itself because of the paucity of some essential elements in its composition, as for example the n3 and n6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) frequently required for successful development of crustacean larvae\(^28\). Although nutritive commercial emulsions have been used to complement its composition, fulfilling the dietary requirements of larvae preying on it, their autodigestion with synthesis of toxic compounds\(^29\) along with relatively high commercial cost\(^39\) have discouraged this practice. Alternatively, feeding *Artemia* with various types of food in suspension culture systems has allowed its enrichment with higher fatty acid content and to use it as carrier of other nutrients (e.g., vitamins), antimicrobial substances, vaccines and probiotics\(^39\).

Microalgae can be incorporated as a food additive to supply basic nutrients into a wide variety of food, and represent an alternative to replace feedstuff and ensure sustainability standards in aquaculture. Their positive effect on the growth rate and physiological condition of aquatic species are related to their increased triglyceride and protein deposition in muscle, improved resistance to diseases, decreased nitrogen output into the environment, and augmented omega-3 fatty acid content, physiological activity and carcass quality\(^30,31\). Typically, microalgae can provide up to 30–40% protein, 10–20% lipid and 5–15% carbohydrate contains if feed to *Artemia* during the exponential phase of culture growth\(^24\), representing an energy source with a high benefit to cost ratio\(^38\).

| Treatment                          | Short name   |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Equal proportions of the five microalgal species | Mix          |
| *Dunaliella salina*               | Duna         |
| *Nannochloropsis oculata*         | Nanno        |
| *Isochrysis galbana*              | Iso          |
| *Tetraselmis suecica*             | Tetra        |
| *Chaetoceros gracilis*            | Chaeto       |

Table 1. Dietary treatments applied for enrichment of *Artemia* nauplii.

Taking into consideration all of the above mentioned, this study has two main objectives: (1) testing alternative microalgal dietary compositions and different feeding schedules to enrich *Artemia persimilis* so as to optimize its nutritional value as live food, and (2) enhancing survival, growth and physiological condition of *Ovalipes trimaculatus* zoae I by feeding them with *Artemia persimilis* nauplii enriched on different microalgal diets.

Materials and methods

Effects of different microalgal dietary treatments on the condition of *Artemia* nauplii. Five species of microalgae: *Nannochloropsis oculata*, *Tetraselmis suecica*, *Dunaliella salina*, *Isochrysis galbana*, *Chaetoceros gracilis* were cultured separately to feed *Artemia* in monospecific diet treatments, and also all of them were combined in equal proportions to constitute a multispecific diet treatment (Mix) Table 1. Microalgae were cultured in autoclaved 0.45 um-filtered and UV-treated seawater using f/2 nutrient medium at 30 psu\(^43\). In the case of *Chaetoceros gracilis*, the culture medium was supplemented with a silicate solution (30 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Microalgae cultures were supplied with constant aeration and were subjected to a 12:12 h light:dark photoperiod and 22°±1 °C temperature in a culture chamber. Daily, number of cells per ml were determined using a Neubauer chamber and cultures were maintained at 10° cell ml\(^{-1}\). Once cell populations reached the exponential growth phase (i.e., 5 days from inoculation), the culture was used to feed *Artemia*. The ratio of microalgae to *Artemia* was kept constant throughout the experimentation period\(^44\).
Artemia cysts (Biosima, *A. persimilis*) were disinfected with a sodium hypochlorite solution (5 mg L⁻¹ of active chlorine) during 10 min. Then, they were washed with freshwater to remove any rest of disinfecting solution. Cleaned cysts were incubated in sterile diluted seawater at 35 °C and 15 g L⁻¹ of salinity under continuous aeration and 2000-hx illumination until hatching⁴⁶. Twenty four hours later, newly hatched nauplii (as maximum 24 h alive) were collected using a 250-µm mesh-size net and transferred into cylindroconical recipients containing 1 L of sterile seawater with constant aeration. All of the experiments were conducted in an incubator chamber under controlled temperature (26 °C) and photoperiod (12:12 light:dark).

To test the six feeding treatments Table 1, initial density of recently hatched (<24 h) *Artemia* nauplii in the containers was adjusted to approximately 400 nauplii L⁻¹. Microalgae cultures were kept at nearly 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹ throughout the experiment to maintain constant cell biochemical composition⁴⁴. Throughout the 48 h experiment duration, *Artemia* nauplii were fed twice: at the beginning of the experiment and after 24 h, with 10⁶ cell mL of microalgae. Samples for growth, gut fullness, and biochemical determinations were taken as described in Table 2, using a hand net 150 µm in mesh size. The sampling interval was established according to growth and molt stages of nauplii at 26 °C reported by Cohen et al.⁴⁶ and considering the results of previous observations on the time required by *Artemia* to achieve gut fullness.

Samples of *Artemia* nauplii were taken by duplicate every 12 h since the beginning of the experiment to evaluate the proximal composition under each enriching treatment. Gross biochemical composition of *Artemia* nauplii was based on classical methods⁴⁷. Moisture content was determined by drying the sample in an oven at 105 °C to constant weight⁴⁸. Ash content was obtained by drying the samples in a furnace at 550 °C for 8 h⁴⁸. Two key nutritional components were determined: (i) fat content was established by using the Bligh Dyer extraction method⁴⁸ and (ii) crude protein content was estimated by a colorimetric method⁴⁹. All results were expressed as mg g⁻¹ of proximal composition in dry weight.

To determine growth and gut fullness, 10 *Artemia* nauplii were sampled for measurements at each sampling time for each dietary treatment. Individuals were handled with forceps under a Leica (DM2500 model) dissecting stereomicroscope at 50X magnification. Total length (*Tl*), total gut length (*Tgl*) and total full gut length (*Tfg*) were measured with the Leica Application Swite program (V 4.5). Based on these registers, the rate of gut fullness (GFR) was calculated for each individual as:

\[
GFR = \frac{Tfg}{Tgl} \times 100
\]

This ratio was used as an indicator of the carrying capacity of nutritional components into the guts of the *Artemia* nauplii. Growth stages were determined in samples preserved in 70% ethanol solution, based on total lengths and on the presence of specific appendages, following Cohen et al.⁴⁶.

**Experiment II: effects of different dietary treatments on the condition of *Ovalipes trimaculatus* zoeae I.** Ovigerous *O. trimaculatus* females carrying embryos at advanced stages of development (Stages IV and V⁵⁰) were hand-collected by SCUBA diving on subtidal sand bottoms of Nuevo Gulf (42° 25′ S, 64° 07′ W, Argentina) during the reproductive season (October–December) of 2015. Specimens were transported to the Experimental Marine Aquarium of the National Patagonian Sci-Tech Center (CCT CONICET–CENPAT) and were acclimated in plastic tanks containing filtered seawater with continuous aeration. Up to 30% of the seawater volume was renewed once a day until hatching occurred. After hatching, groups of 100 zoeae I were collected, using a glass pipette and placed into 2 L containers filled with sterile seawater under 13 ± 1 °C and 33 ± 1 g L⁻¹, simulating the average of SST and salinity conditions through the reproductive season in Nuevo Gulf⁵¹. Photo-period was fixed to 12:12 h, simulating the natural light cycle at the time of experimentation.

Newly hatched *O. trimaculatus* zoeae I were subjected to nine dietary treatments Table 3, each applied on 3 replicates of the 100-zoeae I samples mentioned above. Except for the starving treatment, zoeae were fed with 2–4 *Artemia* nauplii mL⁻¹ complemented with Mix at constant microalgae to *Artemia* ratio (10⁶ cells mL⁻¹)⁴⁴. Whenever corresponded, *Artemia* nauplii were enriched during 24 h before being offered to the zoeae, taking into consideration the results of Experiment I. Every morning the zoeae were transferred into a new beaker using a 5 mL pipette, counted and staged based on their total length, presence of appendages and shape of the eyes, following the descriptions of Schoeman and Cockcroft⁴⁵. For each treatment, duration from hatching to the first moult (D, in days), survival (S) and the number of zoeae II (nZII) obtained from the total number of zoeae I at the beginning of the experiment, were registered. Moulting success (MS %) was calculated as the number of live zoeae II over nZII.

Motility was determined as a measure of larval fitness based on the vertical displacements (Vd, cm s⁻¹) of zoeae I in response to the light stimulus. After feeding for 5 days, 10 zoeae I were randomly chosen from each treatment, and placed in a rectangular glass column (15 cm high x 10 cm long x 1 wide) previously filled with 150 mL of sterilized seawater conditioned at the same temperature as the larval culture. The column was placed within a dark box equipped with a white 2000-Im led-light set on the top. Taking into account their observed

| Time (h:min) | 00:00 | 00:15 | 00:30 | 12:00 | 12:15 | 12:30 | 24:00 | 24:15 | 24:30 | 36:00 | 48:00 |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Feeding     | x     |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Sampling    | x     | x     | x     | x     | x     | x     | x     | x     | x     | x     | x     |

**Table 2.** Sampling and feeding schedule applied on *Artemia* nauplii.
positive phototaxism and high photokinesis, each O. trimaculatus zoea I was left at the bottom of the column and light was immediately turned on. Time (in seconds) taken by the zoeae to displace from the bottom to the top of the column was registered with a digital chronometer, and vertical displacement (Vd) was calculated thereafter. In a preliminary pilot experiment testing the time taken by 150 zoea I to displace from bottom to top of the column, most passed the 10-cm mark in an average of 32.97 s, and it took more than 100 s only to three of them (maximum time = 112.01 s). For this reason, while testing for different treatments, whenever a zoea did not reach the top after 3 min the experiment was stopped.

Data analysis. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test mean differences between treatments for each variable of Experiment I whenever normality (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) and homoscedasticity (Fisher test) assumptions were fulfilled. Square root transformation was applied if necessary. When normality or homoscedasticity assumptions could not be confirmed, the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test was used to examine differences between treatments, especially in Experiment II. Whenever differences were significant (P < 0.05), Tukey and Dunn post hoc tests were used for treatment comparisons.

Results

Experiment I: effects of different microalgae dietary treatments on the condition of Artemia nauplii. Dietary treatments applied on Artemia nauplii resulted in significant differences in mean TL (μm) and growth of instar (I) at every sampling time starting on 12 h Table 4. On the average, nauplii fed on Mix grew to instar 3 after only 12 h, significantly faster than those fed on monospecific microalgal cultures Fig. 1A. Also, larvae fed on Mix displayed one of the highest mean TL at all sampling times, while those supplemented with Nanno performed one of the lowest Fig. 1B. Since estimated mean (± sd) length reported for O. trimaculatus zoeae I was 1.9±0.19 mm52, preys larger than 700 μm were beyond their capture limit. Therefore, a feeding period not longer than 24 h resulted optimal for most dietary treatments Fig. 1B.

With the exception of Artemia nauplii enriched with Chaeto and Iso, nauplii showed a rapid ingestion of microalgae with high mean gut fullness (%) just 15 min after feeding Fig. 2. At all sampling times except for 24 h 30 min, gut fullness (%) showed significant differences between feeding treatments. In general, Artemia nauplii fed on Mix were among those with the highest gut fullness (%), while those fed on Chaeto, Iso and Dunna showed a fast gut emptying Fig. 2.

Just after hatching, Artemia nauplii showed relatively low contents of all measured biochemical components Table 5. Proximal composition was not measured right after first food supply, considering that instar I nauplii do not feed, however, it was observed that protein and lipid representation relative to total dry matter peaked 30 min after the second feeding for all dietary treatments, reflecting the enhancement provided by microalgae encapsulation Table 5. At that time, all treatments except Tetra provided high percentages of lipids, with Iso presenting the highest value. Mix and Iso supplied the highest percentages of protein Table 5.

Experiment II: effects of different dietary treatments on the condition of Ovalipes trimaculatus zoeae I. Different dietary treatments applied to O. trimaculatus zoeae I resulted in significant differences in survival, intermolt duration, vertical displacement and molting success Table 6. Zoeae I fed on Mix-enriched Artemia nauplii presented the shortest intermolt duration, while those fed on unencapsulated Mix or on nauplii enriched with Dunna, Iso or Chaeto presented the longest Fig. 3. Zoeae I fed on unencapsulated Artemia nauplii, and those that were starved, survived 6 days and did not molt Fig. 3. Furthermore, Zoeae I from treatments where they were starved or feed on starved Artemia (Z sta and A sta, Table 3 were the only that could not displace to the top of the glass column within the stipulated time (180 s). Feeding O. trimaculatus zoeae I on Mix-enriched Artemia nauplii resulted in the highest mean survival and molting success (%) Fig. 3. In contrast, feeding with unencapsulated Mix, or Artemia nauplii enriched with Iso or Chaeto resulted in relatively low values for this variable Fig. 3. In agreement with previous results, Zoeae I fed on Mix-enriched Artemia nauplii also showed the highest vertical displacement, while those fed on unencapsulated Mix displayed the lowest values for this variable Fig. 3.

Table 3. Dietary treatments applied to Ovalipes trimaculatus zoeae I.

| Treatment | Treatment short name |
|-----------|----------------------|
| 1. Artemia enriched with Mix microalgal | A Mix |
| 2. Artemia enriched with Dunaliella salina | A Duna |
| 3. Artemia enriched with Nannochloropsis oculata | A Nanno |
| 4. Artemia enriched with Isochrysis galbana | A Iso |
| 5. Artemia enriched with Tetraselmis suecica | A Tetra |
| 6. Artemia enriched with Chaetoceros gracilis | A Chaeto |
| 7. Starved Artemia | A sta |
| 8. Only Mix of microalgae | Mix |
| 9. Starved Zoea | Z sta |
Since larvae Artemia nauplii results in a progressive period of time, reflected by the gut fullness in this work, is relevant to evaluate the effectiveness of the enrichment. C20-rich Tetra), and carotenes (e.g., Dunna and asthaxanthin/lutein-rich Tetra). All of them provided high contents of aminoacids (e.g., Chaeto and Nanno), lipids (e.g., Iso) and PUFA (e.g., Nanno, DHA-rich Iso, and therefore highest gut retention of Mix observed in this study suggest that this is the optimum dietary treat- 
ment. Since high gut fullness observed in Nanno and Tetra 12 h after first feeding could not be observed 12 h after the second feeding (36 h), it is difficult to draw clear conclusions on these treatments. Lowest gut fullness observed in Dunna, Iso and Chaeto reflected fast emptying in the starving period, and probably a low efficacy of enrichment if nauplii are offered as food few hours after enrichment. Finally, since gut fullness of enriched nauplii to the zoeae. Artemia nauplii enriched on Chaeto did not grow faster than those fed on Iso, both after 24 or 48 h, in agreement with results reported by Lora-Vilchis et al. Artemia nauplii remaining in the digestive system of enriched Artemia nauplii during a certain period of time, reflected by the gut fullness in this work, is relevant to evaluate the effectiveness of the enrichment treatment. On one hand, starvation occurring just after enrichment of Artemia nauplii results in a progressive decline of gut and tissue nutrient reserves and on a change of their nutritional value over the time. Since larvae from some crustacean decapods, such as Jasus edwarsii, tear enriched Artemia into pieces previous to their inges- 
tion, causing the loss of its microalgal gut contents, attention has been focused on their relative contribution to the overall biochemical composition of nauplii and juveniles of the species. Based on that study, and considering that Artemia nauplii are non-selective filter-feeders with a relatively high ratio of gut content to body volume, it can be assumed that microalgae in gut contents of enriched specimens represent their main nutrient reservoir, and therefore highest gut retention of Mix observed in this study suggest that this is the optimum dietary treat- 
ment. Since high gut fullness observed in Nanno and Tetra 12 h after first feeding could not be observed 12 h after the second feeding (36 h), it is difficult to draw clear conclusions on these treatments. Lowest gut fullness observed in Dunna, Iso and Chaeto reflected fast emptying in the starving period, and probably a low efficacy of enrichment if nauplii are offered as food few hours after enrichment. Finally, since gut fullness of Artemia nauplii was high for all treatments during 15–30 min after enrichment, it is recommended for an effective incorporation of microalgae not to exceed this time period when offering Artemia nauplii to the zoeae.

The nutritional value of microalgae can vary significantly depending on the culture conditions. Therefore, a careful selection of a mixture of microalgae harvested at the time of optimum physiological condition (exponential growth phase), should provide an excellent nutritional package for larval stages of many marine invertebrates. Dhont and Van Stappen inform that for a variety of Artemia strains, biochemical composition of nauplii range within 416–619 mg g⁻¹ for protein, 120–272 mg g⁻¹ for lipids and 71–214 mg g⁻¹ of ash. In this study, protein contents were equal to or higher than values reported by these authors, particularly for those

### Table 4. ANOVA tests for differences in means of total length (TL), instar (I) and gut fullness rate (GFR %) between dietary treatments applied for enrichment of Artemia nauplii at different times in Experiment I. P values in bold letters denote significant statistical differences.

| Time (h) | SC | d.f | CM | F     | P-value |
|----------|----|-----|----|-------|---------|
| Total length (µm) (TL) |   |     |    |       |         |
| 0        | 29,732.27 | 5   | 5,946.45 | 1.12  | 0.3607  |
| 12       | 79,767.86 | 5   | 15,953.57 | 9.29  | <0.0001 |
| 24       | 79,153.98 | 5   | 15,830.8  | 15.3  | <0.0001 |
| 36       | 329,571.09 | 5   | 6,314.22  | 19.19 | <0.0001 |
| 48       | 159,656.2 | 5   | 31,931.24 | 34.63 | <0.0001 |
| Growth instar (I) |   |     |    |       |         |
| 0        | 1.35 | 5   | 0.27 | 1.08  | 0.3819  |
| 12       | 13.28 | 5   | 2.66 | 10.79 | <0.0001 |
| 24       | 6.95 | 5   | 1.39 | 4.49  | 0.0017  |
| 36       | 8    | 5   | 1.6  | 8.31  | <0.0001 |
| 48       | 2    | 5   | 0.4  | 6.26  | 0.0001  |
| Time (h:min) | Gut fullness rate (GFR) |   |     |       |         |
| 0:00:15  | 424.75 | 5   | 84.95 | 27.3  | <0.0001 |
| 0:00:30  | 5.65  | 5   | 1.13  | 3.58  | 0.0075  |
| 12:00    | 539.94 | 5   | 107.99 | 23.85 | <0.0001 |
| 24:00    | 555.76 | 5   | 107.95 | 35.49 | <0.0001 |
| 24:15    | 5,873.49 | 5  | 1,174.70 | 8.18  | <0.0001 |
| 24:30    | 1.77  | 5   | 0.35  | 1.01  | 0.4189  |
| 36:00    | 197.91 | 5   | 39.58 | 5.67  | 0.0003  |
| 48:00    | 177.49 | 5   | 35.5  | 3.56  | 0.0076  |
obtained 30 min after the second feeding. In contrast, the representation of lipids in *Artemia* nauplii total dry weight was relatively low compared to values reported by Dhont and Van Stappen\(^6\), ranging from 40 to 80 mg g\(^{-1}\) 30 min after the second feeding, and exceeding this range only in Tetra (≈100 mg g\(^{-1}\) after 36 h) and Chaeto (≈120 mg g\(^{-1}\) after 48 h).

Although no information is yet available on the specific nutrient requirements of *O. trimaculatus* zoeae I, and little is known about those of the broad diversity of brachyuran species\(^6\), there is some evidence pointing out that 300 mg g\(^{-1}\) of protein in dry matter of microalgae selected for aquaculture satisfy nutrient demands of zoeae from crustacean decapods\(^6\). In fact, most microbound or microencapsulated diets formulated for crustacean larvae contain between 300 and 500 mg g\(^{-1}\) of crude protein to cover their nutrient demands (Holme et al. 2006). Therefore, protein content of *Artemia* nauplii in excess of 300 mg g\(^{-1}\) of dry matter registered in this study 30 min after the second feeding event (i.e., at time 12 h 30 min) should cover the nutritional requirements of *O. trimaculatus* zoea I. On the other hand, lipid requirement of crustacean larvae of many cultured species range...

Figure 1. Development and growth of *Artemia* nauplii under different dietary treatments: (A) average naupliar instar (I), and (B) total length (TL), at different times after hatching. Bar heights and whisker amplitudes represent mean ± standard deviation values respectively. Different letters on top of the bars indicate significant differences revealed by Tukey tests.
within 43–130 mg g⁻¹ (Holme et al. 2006). Although values registered in enriched Artemia nauplii in this study should satisfy the lipid requirements of larvae of many of these species, lipid contents resulted low compared to those of many Artemia strains. Since the early work of Benijts et al. (2001), demonstrating that dry weight as well as caloric, lipid and fatty acid contents decrease as Artemia pass through successive molts, there is agreement in that enriched Artemia must not be reared for more than 24 or 36 h until being offered as live food in aquaculture production. Indeed, Sorgeloos et al. (2001) recommend not exceeding a 24-h incubation period after hatching. On the other hand, since the average length (± sd) of O. trimaculatus zoeae I, measured from the tip of the spine to the telson, is 1.9 mm (± 0.19 mm), offering large Artemia nauplii is expected to impose anatomical constraints for their capture and manipulation. Although this study does not provide a strict evaluation of the optimum size relationship between

Table 5. Biochemical composition of Artemia nauplii enriched under different dietary treatments in Experiment I, expressed as mean mg/g of dry matter. Please see short name in Table 1.

| mg g⁻¹ | Time (h min) | Mix | Duna | Nanno | Iso | Tetra | Chaeto |
|--------|--------------|-----|------|-------|-----|-------|--------|
| Ash    |              |     |      |       |     |       |        |
| 12     | 77.2         | 62.7 | 104.1 | 57.8  | 77.1| 71.4  |
| 24.3   | 69.3         | 34.7 | 105.8 | 69.4  | 80.9| 77.8  |
| 36     | 75.5         | 63.2 | 122.4 | 68.4  | 84.5| 88.5  |
| 48     | 89.9         | 61.6 | 107.1 | 75.3  | 109.4| 92.6  |
| Protein|              |     |      |       |     |       |        |
| 12     | 426          | 389.1| 445   | 355.5 | 237.8| 418   |
| 24.3   | 774.4        | 632.5| 449.2 | 797.5 | 493.4| 626.1 |
| 36     | 472.3        | 436.8| 583.4 | 474   | 778.3| 741.4 |
| 48     | 515.7        | 592.5| 470.3 | 669.4 | 663  | 731.8 |
| Lipids |              |     |      |       |     |       |        |
| 12     | 35.4         | 51.6 | 24.4  | 50.6  | 68.7| 44.6  |
| 24.3   | 36.9         | 49.2 | 30.5  | 77.9  | 45.2| 46.2  |
| 36     | 26.4         | 28.1 | 34.5  | 105.7 | 40.4|        |
| 48     | 27.2         | 49.4 | 30.2  | 51.3  | 68  | 116.4 |
Artemia nauplii and O. trimaculatus zoeae I, direct observations made during the experiments showed that the portunid larvae are not able to capture Artemia larger than 700 μm. On the other hand, even if the zoeae of O. trimaculatus were capable to feed on nauplii larger than that size by tearing apart their body parts, as observed for the zoeae of Scylla serrata, this could result in the loss of nutritional reserves in the gut contents of brine shrimps, as pointed by Smith et al., suggesting that this practice should be avoided.

Considering that newly hatched zoeae I of portunids have low motility capacity compared to later larval stages, it has been argued that they catch food items mainly by chance and consequently feed less frequently.

Table 6. Kruskal–Wallis tests for differences in survival (S (%)), zoeae I intermolt duration (D), vertical displacement (Vd), total number (live and dead) of zoeae II (nZII) and molting success (MS (%)) between groups Ovalipes trimaculatus zoeae I fed on different diets. P values in bold letters denote significant statistical differences.

| Variable       | Kruskal–Wallis test | P-value |
|----------------|---------------------|---------|
| S (%)          | 67.23               | 0.0489  |
| D (days)       | 41.26               | 0.0001  |
| Vd (cm s⁻¹)    | 50.17               | 0.0001  |
| nZII           | 33.62               | 0.0001  |
| MS (%)         | 91.22               | 0.0001  |

Figure 3. Growth, survival and physiological condition of Ovalipes trimaculatus zoeae I on different dietary treatments. (A) Zoeae I intermolt duration (D); (B) Vertical displacement average speeds (cm s⁻¹) (Vd); (C) Total number of live and dead zoeae II obtained in each treatment (nZII); (D) Molting success to zoeae II as the ratio of the number of live zoeae II over nZII (MS %). Bar heights and whisker amplitudes represent mean ± standard deviation values respectively. Different letters on top of the bars indicate significant differences revealed by Dunn tests.

Artemia nauplii and O. trimaculatus zoeae I, direct observations made during the experiments showed that the portunid larvae are not able to capture Artemia larger than 700 μm. On the other hand, even if the zoeae of O. trimaculatus were capable to feed on nauplii larger than that size by tearing apart their body parts, as observed for the zoeae of Scylla serrata, this could result in the loss of nutritional reserves in the gut contents of brine shrimps, as pointed by Smith et al., suggesting that this practice should be avoided.

Considering that newly hatched zoeae I of portunids have low motility capacity compared to later larval stages, it has been argued that they catch food items mainly by chance and consequently feed less frequently.
Concentrations of 2–4 Artemia nauplii ml⁻¹ provided to O. trimaculatus zoeae I in this study have been reported to successfully sustain the survival and growth of Scylla tranquebarica from zoea I to megalopa⅜. However, the later and other studies have stated that survival can be enhanced when Artemia nauplii are complemented with rotifersⅢ. Furthermore, rotifers have been used as the only source to feed Callinectes sapidus zoeae I and II, supplementing with Artemia nauplii from zoae III to VIIⅤ. Nevertheless, zoeae I of C. sapidus present markedly smaller total lengths (0.90–1.25 mm)Ⅳ, than those of O. trimaculatus. In this study, highest survival, molting success and vertical displacement, and lower intermolt duration were obtained when feeding was based on MIX-enriched Artemia. In contrast, zoeae I fed on unenriched nauplii survived until the sixth day and could not molt to the next instar, confirming that it offers a reduced nutritional contributionⅤ,Ⅵ.

On the other hand, provision of Artemia nauplii enriched with monocultures of different species of microalgae resulted in intermediate growth, survival, development and physiological conditions of O. trimaculatus zoea I. All of these results, however, should be considered with care since effects of dietary treatments applied to O. trimaculatus zoeae I could express in later developmental stages, as observed on Portunus trituberculatus zoeae fed on Nanno-enriched Artemia, where despite high zoegal growth and survival, the first postlaval instar (i.e., crab I) presented anomalous morphology and were inviableⅥ.

Crad zoeae are relatively strong swimmers, having the capacity to respond to a variety of external stimuli including light, gravity, salinity and temperature, among othersⅤ. Zoeae I of O. trimaculatus, as those of other portunid crabsⅢ, present a strong positive phototaxis. However, stressors such as elevated concentration of CO₂Ⅵ, or other organic and inorganic chemicalsⅦ in seawater, and low physiological condition associated to starvation or sub-optimal feedingⅧ, may affect the phototactic response and swimming capacity of their larvae as we can observe in the starvation treatment of Experiment II. Therefore, differences in vertical displacement records of O. trimaculatus zoeae are likely the result of contrasting phototactic and photokinetic responses associated to the physiological condition of zoeae fed on different diets, preserving optimal for the Mix treatment.

This work represents a step ahead towards the dietary optimization for rearing of O. trimaculatus zoeae, that, along with other recent studiesⅧ,Ⅸ, is expected to contribute building the necessary knowledge to help producers reducing time and cost associated to the species' larval breeding processⅩ,Ⅺ.

References

1. Santhanam, R. Biology and Culture of Portunid Crabs of World Seas (Apple Academic Press, Florida, 2018).
2. Sreekakshmi, K. R., Manjusha, L., Vartak, V. R. & Venkateshwarlu, G. Variation in proximate composition and fatty acid profiles of mud crab meat with regard to sex and body parts. Indian J. Fish 63(2), 147–150 (2016).
3. FAO. World capture and aquaculture production statistics 2000–2016. Fisheries and aquaculture software. FishStat Plus—Universal software for fishery statistical time series. In EAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. Rome (2008).
4. Aguilar, R., Johnson, E. G., Hines, A. H., Kramer, M. A. & Goodison, M. R. Importance of blue crab life history for stock enhancement and spatial management of the fishery in Chesapeake Bay. Rev. Fish. Sci. 16(1–3), 117–124 (2008).
5. Jenkins, G. I., Michael, R., Tweedly, J. R. Identifying future restocking options for Blue Swimmer Crabs (Portunus armatus). In Recreational Fishing Initiatives Fund: Project 2015/09, 30 (2017).
6. Feng, X., Johnson, E. G., Williams, E. P. & Place, A. R. Successful identification and discrimination of hatchery-reared blue crabs (Callinectes sapidus) released into the Chesapeake Bay using a genetic tag. J. Shellfish Res. 36(1), 277–282 (2017).
7. Spivak, E. D. Los crustáceos decápodos del Atlántico sudoccidental (25°-55°S): distribución y ciclos de vida. Investig. Mar. 25, 69–91 (1997).
8. Dima, J. B., Barón, P. J. & Zaritzky, N. E. Mathematical modelling of the heat transfer process and protein denaturation during the thermal treatment of Patagonian marine crabs. J. Food Eng. 113(4), 623–634 (2012).
9. Dima, J. B., Barón, P. J. & Zaritzky, N. E. Pasteurization conditions and evaluation of quality parameters of frozen packaged crab meat. J. Aquat. Food Prod. 92, 54–66 (2014).
10. Buscaino, G. et al. Acoustic signals and behaviour of Ovalipes trimaculatus in the context of reproduction. Aquat. Biol. 24(1), 61–73 (2015).
11. de la Barra, P., Narvate, M. & Williner, V. Functional morphology of the gastric mill of the swimming crab Ovalipes trimaculatus (Decapoda: Portunidae). J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K. 98(7), 1659–1666 (2018).
12. DellaTorre, F. G. et al. Seasonal abundance and vertical distribution of crab larvae from northern Patagonia (Argentina): Implications for their transport in a biogeographic boundary region. Mar. Biol. Res. 10(1), 37–50 (2014).
13. Fenucci, J. L. & Boschi, E. E. Contribución al conocimiento biológico del cangrejo comercial de las aguas costeras de la provincia de Buenos Aires, Ovalipes trimaculatus (de Haan) (Crustacea, Decapoda, Portunidae). Phys. 89, 291–308 (1975).
14. Martelli, A., Hernandez-Moresino, R. D., Barón, L. & Barón, P. J. The effect of temperature, salinity, eyestalk ablation, and limb removal on growth and survival of juvenile paddle crab, Ovalipes trimaculatus (Brachyura: Portunidae). Bull. Mar. Sci. 95(1), 69–82 (2019).
15. Vallina, M., Sal Moyoano, M. P., Cuartas, E. J. & Gavio, M. A. Reproductive system and size maturity of the paddle crab Ovalipes trimaculatus (Brachyura: Portunidae) along the Argentine coast. J. Crustac. Biol. 34(3), 357–366 (2014).
16. Martelli, A., Tapella, F., Gonzalez-Pisani, X., DellaTorre, F. & Barón, P. J. Embryonic development of the southern surf crab Ovalipes trimaculatus (Decapoda: Brachyura: Portunoidae). Sci. Mar. 80(4), 499–509 (2016).
17. Schoeman, D. S. & Cockcroft, A. C. A description of Ovalipes trimaculatus (De Hann 1833) (Brachyura: Portunidae: Polybiinae) zoeae reared in the laboratory. S. Afr. J. Mar. Sci. 17, 113–134 (1996).
18. Mercier, A., Doncaster, E. J. & Hamel, J. F. Contrasting predation rates on planktotrophic and lecithotrophic propagules by marine benthic invertebrates. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 449, 100–110 (2013).
19. Anger, K. The Biology of Decapod Crustacean Larvae 14, 1–420 (AA Balkema Publishers, Lisse, 2001).
20. Calvo, N. S., Stumpf, L., Pietrokovsky, S. & Greco, L. S. L. Early and late effects of feed restriction on survival, growth and hepatopancreas structure in juveniles of the red claw crayfish Cherax quadricarinatus. Aquaculture 319(3), 355–362 (2011).
21. Strathmann, R. R. Culturing larvae of marine invertebrates. Developmental biology of the Sea Urchin and other marine invertebrates. In Methods and Protocols (eds Carroll, D. J. & Stricker, S. A.) 1–25 (Springer, New York, 2014).
22. Azra, M. N. & Ilkwanawuddin, M. Larval marine rearing and techniques of commercially important crab, Portunus pelagicus (Linnaeus, 1758): Present status and future prospects. Songklanakarin. J. Sci. Technol. 37(2), 135 (2015).
23. Hassan, A., Hai, T. N., Chatterji, A. & Sukumaran, M. Preliminary study on the feeding regime of laboratory reared mud crab (Scylla serrata) (Forskål, 1775). World Appl. Sci. J. 14(11), 1651-1654 (2011).
24. Samuel, N. J., Pelayi, S., Thananjayan, A. Seed production of commercially valuable portunid crab Portunus sanguineus (Herbst). Eur. J. Exp. Biol., 1(2), 23-32 (2011).
25. Redzuari, A. et al. Effects of feeding regimes on survival, development and growth of blue swimming crab, Portunus pelagicus (Linnaeus, 1758) larvae. World Appl. Sci. J. 18(4), 472-478 (2012).
26. Mauer, L., Liang, D. & Chung, J. S. Effects of prey densities and dietary supplementation on the larval development of the blue crab Callinectes sapidus Rathbun, 1896 (Brachyura: Portunidae). J. Crustac. Biol. 37(6), 674-682 (2017).
27. Lavens, P. & Sorgeloos, P. The history, present status and prospects of the availability of Artemia cysts for aquaculture. Aquaculture 181(3), 397–403 (2000).
28. Sorgeloos, P., Dhert, P. & Candreva, P. Use of the brine shrimp, Artemia spp., in marine fish larviculture. Aquaculture 200(1), 147–159 (2001).
29. Dan, S., Oshiro, M., Ashidate, M. & Hamasaki, K. Starvation of Artemia in larval rearing water affects post-larval survival and morphology of the swimming crab, Portunus trituberculatus (Brachyura, Portunidae). Aquaculture 452, 407–415 (2016).
30. Parraguez, M., Gajardo, G. & Beardmore, J. A. The New World Artemia species A. franciscana and A. persimilis are highly differentiated for chromosome size and heterochromatin content. Hereditas 146(2), 93–103 (2009).
31. Medina, G. R., Goenaga, J., Hontoria, F., Cohen, G. & Amat, F. Effects of temperature and salinity on prereproductive life span and reproductive traits of two species of Artemia (Branchiopoda, Anostraca) from Argentina: Artemia franciscana and A. persimilis. Hydrobiologia 579(1), 41–53 (2007).
32. Gajardo, G. M. & Beardmore, J. A. The brine shrimp Artemia: Adapted to critical life conditions. Front. Physiol. 3, 185 (2012).
33. Sato, N. E., Mallo, J. C. & Fenucci, J. L. Calidad de los quistes de Artemia sp. en acuicultura. Rev. de Biol. Mar. y Oceanogr. 39(2), 79–92 (2004).
34. Mechaly, A. S., Cervellini, P. M. & Bambill, G. A. Experiencias preliminares con Artemia persimilis (Crustacea: Anostraca) como potencial alimento vivo en acuicultura. Rev. Aquat. 21(1), 7–24 (2004).
35. Ruiz, O., Amat, F. & Navarro, J. C. A comparative study of the fatty acid profile of Artemia franciscana and A. persimilis cultured at mesocosm scale. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 354(1), 9–16 (2008).
36. Mechaly, A. S., Agüero, S. D. & Escolante, P., Cervellini, P. M. A review of the biology and ecology of Artemia persimilis (Piccinelli & Prosdocimi, 1968) (Crustacea: Anostraca), as basis for its management (2013).
37. Villalta, M., Estevez, A., Bransden, M. P. & Bell, J. G. Effects of dietary eicosapentaenoic acid on growth, survival, pigmentation and fatty acid composition in Senegal sole (Solea senegalensis) larvae during the Artemia feeding period. Aquacult. Nutr. 14(3), 232–242 (2008).
38. Becker, E. W. Microalgae for aquaculture: Nutritional aspects. In Handbook of Microalgal Culture: Applied Phycology and Biotechnology 2nd edn (ed. Richmond, A.) 671–691 (Wiley, Hoboken, 2013).
39. Hartvigsen, K., Lund, P., Hansen, L. F. & Helmer, G. Dynamic headspace gas chromatography/mass spectrometry characterization of volatiles produced in fish oil enriched mayonnaise during storage. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48(10), 4858–4867 (2000).
40. Azimrad, M., Meshkini, S., Ahmadifard, N. & Hoseini, S. H. The effects of feeding with symbiotic (Pseudokokcidia acidilactici and Fructooligosaccharide) enriched adult Artemia on skin mucus immune responses, stress resistance, intestinal microbiota and performance of angelfish (Pterophyllum scalare). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 54, 516–522 (2016).
41. Brown, M. R. & Blackburn, S. I. Live microalgae as feeds in aquaculture hatcheries. In Advances in Aquaculture Hatchery Technology (eds Allan, G. & Burnell, G.) 117–156 (Woodhead Publishing, Oxford, 2013).
42. Tamara, C. S. & Ako, H. Using microalgae as culture feed for the culture of freshwater ornamental fishes in Hawaii. UJR Aquacult. Panel Symp. 28, 109–119 (1999).
43. Guillard, R. R. & Ryther, J. H. Studies of marine planktonic diatoms: I. Cyclotella nana hustedt, and Detonula confervacea (CLEVEn. Can. J. Microbiol. 8(2), 229–239 (1962).
44. Chakraborty, R. D., Chakraborty, K. & Radhakrishnan, E. V. Variation in fatty acid composition of Artemia salina nauplii enriched with microalgae and baker’s yeast for use in larviculture. J. Agric. Food Chem. 55(10), 4043–4051 (2007).
45. Lavens, P. & Sorgeloos, P. Manual on the Production and Use of Live Food for Larviculture (No. 361) (Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Rome, 1996).
46. Cohen, R. G., Amat, E., Hontoria, F. & Navarro, J. C. Preliminary characterization of some Argentinean Artemia populations from La Pampa and Buenos Aires provinces. Int. J. Salt Lake Res. 8(4), 329–340 (1999).
47. Dima, J. B., De Vido, N. A., Leal, G. A. & Barón, P. J. Fluctuations in the biochemical composition of the Patagonian stone crab Platxanthus patagonicus A. Milne Edwards, 1879 (Platxanthidae: Brachyura) throughout its reproductive cycle. Sci. Mar. 73(3), 423–430 (2009).
48. AOAC. Animal feed. In Official Methods of Analysis 15th edn (ed Helrich, K.) Ch. 4 (Arlington, VA, USA, 1990).
49. Bligh, E. & Dyer, W. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can. J. Biochem. Physiol. 37, 9170 (1959).
50. Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L. & Randall, L. J. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265–275 (1951).
51. Rivas, A. & Ripa, P. Variación estacional de la estructura termo-halina de golfo Nuevo, Argentina. Revista Geofísica (Mex) 28, 23–23 (1989).
52. Martelli, A. Evaluación del desarrollo de las etapas tempranas del ciclo de vida del cangrejo nadador Ovalipes trimaculatus (de Hann 1833) y su aplicación en acuicultura. Tesis de Doctorado en Biología, Centro Regional Universitario Bariloche—Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Argentina 192 (2018).
53. Reis, D. B. et al. Comparative study on fatty acid metabolism of early stages of two crustacean species: Artemia sp. metanapulii and Grapsus adscensionis zoeae, as live prey for marine animals. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol. 204, 53–60 (2016).
54. Muknes, P. O. et al. Feasibility of extensive, small-scale mud crab farming (Scylla serrata) in East Africa. Western Indian Ocean J. Mar. Sci. 14(1–2), 23–38 (2015).
55. Guédez, A. C., Makata, F. X. in Nutritional Value and Uses of Microalgae in Aquaculture, Aquaculture, (ed Muchlin, Z.) InTech (Accessed December 2019) https://www.intechopen.com/books/aquaculture/nutritional-value-and-uses-of-microalgae-in-aquaculture (2012).
56. Yúfera, M. & Lubián, L. M. Effects of microalgal diet on growth and development of invertebrates in marine aquaculture. Introd. Appl. Physiol. 1, 209–227 (1990).
57. Lora-Vilchis, M. C., Cordero-Esquivel, B. & Voltolina, D. Growth of Artemia franciscana fed Isochrysis sp. and Chaetoceros muelleri during its early life stages. Aquicult. Res. 35(11), 1086–1091 (2004).
58. Eijjeno, J. O., Danielsien, T. L. & Olsen, Y. Losses of lipid, protein and n-3 fatty acids in enriched Artemia franciscana starved at different temperatures. Aquaculture 193, 65–80 (2001).
59. Smith, G. G. et al. Changes in gut content and composition of juvenile Artemia after oil enrichment and during starvation. Aquaculture 208(1–2), 137–158 (2002).
60. Dhont, J. & Van Steappen, G. Biology, tank production and nutritional value of Artemia. In Live Feeds in Marine Aquaculture (eds Stottrup, J. & McEvoy, L.) (Wiley, Hoboken, 2008).
61. Huang, L. et al. Effect of high temperature on the lipid composition of Isochrysis galbana Parke in logarithmic phase. Aquacult. Int. 25(1), 327–339 (2017).
© The Author(s) 2020

Acknowledgements
This work includes partial contents of the Doctoral Thesis of A. Martelli at the National University of Comahue (Argentina), and was financed with a research grant from the National Council for Scientific and Technical Research (CONICET, Argentina), and projects PIP CONICET 0352/14 (Drs. T. Rubilar and PJB) and PICT 2015-1295 (PJB) (ANPCyT, MINCYT). The authors also thank to Dr. R. Hernández Moresino for valuable suggestions on an earlier version of the manuscript.

Author contributions
A.M. and E.B. conceived the original idea and carried out both experiments. A.M. took the samples and wrote the manuscript with support from E.B. and P.J.B. and J.D. realized the biochemical analysis. P.J.B. supervised the project. A.M. and E.B. contributed equally to the work.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020