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Abstract: Wavelength Division Multiplexing (WDM) is a promising solution for data transport in future all-optical wide area networks. Such networks consist of fibers joined by dynamically controllable cross-connects which provide purely optical transport between pairs of network access stations. Optical packet switching (OPS) is optical switching with the finest granularity. Incoming packets are switched all-optically without being converted to electrical signal. There are two categories of OPS networks. Slotted (synchronous) OPS networks, in which all the packets have the same size and unslotted (asynchronous) OPS networks, where packets may or may not have the same size. In this study we propose to integrate MPLS over slotted OPS networks by aggregating optical packets into a labeled optical burst. The burst has a fixed number of packets (segments). The number of segments in each burst is encoded in the experimental field of the MPLS header.
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INTRODUCTION

The deployment of Wavelength-Division Multiplexing (WDM) in communications networks has brought solutions to satisfy the rapidly increasing demand for the bandwidth capacity introduced by the huge explosion in the public Internet[1]. This situation led to research interest in optical packet switching (OPS), which appears to be a strong candidate because of the high speed, data rate/format transparency and configurability it offers[2,3]. In general, optical packet switched networks are divided into two categories: slotted (synchronous) and unslotted (asynchronous)[2].

In a slotted OPS network all the packets have the same size. They are placed together with the header inside a fixed time slot, having a longer duration than the header and the packet to provide a guard time before and after each packet[2]. The architecture of a typical OPS node in a slotted network is shown in Fig. 1[4].

MPLS[5,6] is a key development in Internet technologies that will assist in adding a number of essential capabilities to today’s best effort IP-based networks. It replaces the standard destination-based hop-by-hop forwarding paradigm in IP-based networks with a label swapping-forwarding paradigm. This has the benefits of simplifying the packet-forwarding engine[7], enabling easy scaling to terabit rates and enhancing service provisioning capabilities[8]. Furthermore, it decouples forwarding from routing[5-7], enabling one to apply new specialized or customized routing services without requiring changes in the forwarding path.

In an MPLS-based network, once a packet is received by an edge label switching router (LSR), the packet is examined and a label is assigned to it. At subsequent routers, there is no further analysis of the packet’s network layer header[5]. Rather, the entire journey of the packet inside the MPLS-based network will be based on the label only.

Figure 2 illustrates an optical MPLS (OMPLS) network. Packets from different source nodes enter the core network at an ingress node. Packets with the same...
destination address are aggregated into one burst. A burst can consist of up to 8 packets. A label is associated with the burst and a MPLS header is then generated and added to it. An End Of Burst Sequence (EOBS) is also added to the burst indicating the end of the burst in case a length mismatch because of contention resolution. The number of packets in a burst is enough to determine the burst size since all the packets have the same size. The number of packets in the burst is encoded in the Experimental field of the MPLS header shown in Fig. 3.

Once inside the core network, core routers computes a new label and wavelength from a routing table given the current label, current wavelength and fiber port. The original label is then swapped with the new label and the labeled burst is converted to the new wavelength. The burst size is computed from the exp field and the packet size. A copy of the new MPLS header is saved and the burst starts its way out. In case of a low priority burst is interrupted by a high priority burst while in transmission, the switch will continue transmitting the current packet of the low priority burst, inserting an EOBS and then saving the remaining packets. After that, the high priority burst will be switched out. The switch then updates the MPLS header of the low priority burst, add it to the rest of the packets forming a new burst and switched out once the output port is available as shown in Fig. 5.

**Contention resolution and EOBS:** When the core switch receives a burst, it extracts its MPLS header, computes a new label and wavelength from a routing table given the current label, current wavelength and fiber port. The original label is then swapped with the new label and the labeled burst is converted to the new wavelength. The burst size is computed from the exp field and the packet size. A copy of the new MPLS header is saved and the burst starts its way out. In case of a low priority burst is interrupted by a high priority burst while in transmission, the switch will continue transmitting the current packet of the low priority burst, inserting an EOBS and then saving the remaining packets. After that, the high priority burst will be switched out. The switch then updates the MPLS header of the low priority burst, add it to the rest of the packets forming a new burst and switched out once the output port is available as shown in Fig. 5.

**PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF LABELED SEGMENTED WDM OPS**

**Burst blocking probability:** Aggregating packets doesn’t modify the blocking probability of the system. With the assumption that the packet arriving process at a given output port of the switch is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$, packet transmission time $1/\mu$, the number of wavelengths on the output fiber is $K$ and there is no extra waiting buffers, the switch is a bufferless system which can be modeled as an $M/M/K/K$ queue. The packet blocking probability is given by the following Erlang B formula:

\[
B = \frac{\lambda^K}{K!} \left( \frac{1}{K-\lambda} \right)
\]
Now, if $N$ packets are aggregated to form a burst, then the system still can be modeled as an $M/M/K/K$ queue with a Poisson arrival with a rate of $\lambda/N$ and the average transmission time required for each burst is $N/\mu$. The new burst blocking probability $PB_2$ is equal to the packet blocking probability $PB_1$ given in equ.1 above.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study we have proposed to use MPLS in synchronous optical packet switching networks where packets to be delivered to the same destination are aggregated in a single burst and labeled. The switching of the burst in subsequent nodes will depend on the current label. The burst size is encoded in the MPLS exp field. This field is used as an indicator to the number of packets in the burst, which can be up to 8 since the field has only 3 bits. The packets in a synchronous OPS network have the same size, so the burst size is determined by knowing the number of packets composing the burst. The proposed scheme can also be used for contention resolution scenarios, since the burst consist of an integer number of packet which are well delimited. Aggregating packets doesn’t modify the blocking probability of the system and reducing the number of packets in the network therefore improves overall performance.
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