We examine the nHz gravitational wave (GW) foreground of stars and black holes (BHs) orbiting SgrA* in the Galactic center. A cusp of stars and BHs generates a continuous GW spectrum below 40 nHz; individual BHs within 1 mpc to SgrA* stick out in the spectrum at higher GW frequencies. The GWs and gravitational near-field effects can be resolved by timing pulsars within a few pc of this region. Observations with the Square Kilometer Array may be especially sensitive to intermediate-mass BHs in this region, if present. A 100 ns–10 μs timing accuracy is sufficient to detect BHs of mass 1000 M⊙ with pulsars at distance 0.1–1 pc in a 3 yr observation baseline. Unlike electromagnetic imaging techniques, the prospects for resolving individual objects through GW measurements improve closer to SgrA*, even if the number density of objects steeply increases inward. Scattering by the interstellar medium will pose the biggest challenge for such observations.
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### 1. INTRODUCTION

There is a great ongoing effort to use pulsar timing arrays (PTAs) to detect gravitational waves (GWs) in the nHz frequency bands. GWs, if present, modify the exceptionally regular arrival times of pulses from radio pulsars. Observations of a correlated modulation in the time of arrivals (TOAs) of pulses from a network of highly stable millisecond pulsars (MSPs) across the sky can be used to detect GWs (Dettweiler 1979; van Haasteren et al. 2011). Existing PTAs utilize the brightest and most stable nearby MSPs in the Galaxy.

At nHz frequencies, the GW background is expected to be dominated by cosmological supermassive black hole (SMBH) binaries (Rajagopal & Romani 1995; Jaffe & Backer 2003; Wyithe & Loeb 2003; Sesana et al. 2004). Only a few studies considered the GW signal from nearby sources. Lommen & Backer (2001) showed that pulsar signals would be sensitive to a putative SMBH binary in the Galactic center (GC) with mass ratio 0.06; however, such a binary would have other dynamical consequences that are not observed (Yu & Tremaine 2003). Further, Jenet et al. (2004) showed that the nearby extragalactic source 3C 66B does not contain a massive SMBH binary, because PTAs do not observe the expected GW modulation. Blandford et al. (1987) and de Paolis et al. (1996) examined whether the variable gravitational field of nearby stars could be detected using pulsar timing in the cores of globular clusters, and similarly, Jenet et al. (2005) considered the possibility of detecting GWs from intermediate-mass black hole (IMBH) binary sources using pulsars in the cluster.

In this paper, we examine the prospects for directly detecting the GW foreground and gravitational near-field effects of the GC using pulsars in this region (see also Ray & Kluzniak 1994). We estimate the foreground (in contrast with the cosmological background of GWs) generated by the dense population of stars and compact objects in the GC, including about 20,000 stellar mass black holes (BHs; Morris 1993; Freitag et al. 2006a) and perhaps a few IMBHs of mass $10^3 M_\odot$ (Portegies Zwart et al. 2006). As these objects are much more massive than regular stars populating the GC, they segregate and settle to the core of the central star cluster. The emitted GW signal falls in the nHz range, well in the PTA frequency band. While this foreground signal may be faint at kpc distances in the Galaxy as Jenet et al. (2004) discussed, it may exceed the GW background locally, near the GC. The present generation of PTAs achieve an upper limit of the characteristic stochastic GW background amplitude of the order $h_c \lesssim 6 \times 10^{-15}$ at $f \sim 1$ yr $\sim 30$ nHz (van Haasteren et al. 2011), while the theoretical prediction is $h_c \sim 9 \times 10^{-16}(f \text{ yr})^{-2/3}$ (Kocsis & Sesana 2011, and see Section 4.1 below). We estimate the level of pulsar timing accuracy necessary (1) to constrain the mass of IMBHs in the GC using pulsars in the GC neighborhood to a level better than existing constraints, or (2) to resolve the central cusp of stellar mass objects.

While a large population of pulsars is expected to reside in the GC (Pfahl & Loeb 2004), their detection is quite challenging. It requires high sensitivity ($0.01 \mu$Jy) at relatively high radio frequencies ($\geq 10$ GHz) where the pulse smearing due to the scattering of the interstellar medium (ISM) is less severe (Lazio & Cordes 1998). Since pulsars have a steep radio frequency spectrum, $S_f \propto \nu^{-1.6}$ to $\nu^{-1.8}$ (Kramer et al. 1998), the high frequency of observation makes them very faint and thus difficult to detect and time. Note however that Keith et al. (2011) have successfully detected nine radio pulsars at a frequency of 17 GHz, including the detection of an MSP and a magnetar with an indication that the spectral index may flatten above 10 GHz. The future Square Kilometer Array (SKA) is expected to find several thousand regular pulsars, and a few MSPs in the GC (Cordes et al. 2004; Cordes 2007; Smits et al. 2009, 2011; Macquart et al. 2010). High time resolution surveys recently found five MSPs in mid-galactic latitudes (Bates et al. 2011), three within 100 pc of the GC (Johnston et al. 2006; Deneva et al. 2009). Based on the properties of nearby pulsars and nondetections in a targeted observation, Macquart et al. (2010) recently put an upper limit of 90 regular pulsars within the
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central 1 pc. It is likely that the timing accuracy of these pulsars in the GC will be much worse than those in the local Galactic neighborhood. However, the GW signal may be much stronger near the GCs to make a GW detection possible with a lower timing accuracy.

We adopt geometrical units $G = c = 1$, and suppress the $G/c^2$ and $G/c^3$ factors to change mass to length or time units.

2. BLACK HOLES IN THE GALACTIC CENTER

2.1. Stellar Mass BHs

The number density of objects in a relaxed galactic cusp orbiting around an SMBH with semimajor axis $a$ is

$$n_\star(a) = \frac{3 - \alpha}{4\pi} N_\star \left(\frac{a}{\text{pc}}\right)^{-\alpha} \text{pc}^{-3}, \quad (1)$$

where $N_\star$ is the total number of objects within 1 pc, and $\alpha = 7/4$ for a stationary Bahcall–Wolf cusp (Bahcall & Wolf 1976; Binney & Tremaine 2008). If the mass function in the cusp is dominated by heavy objects, the density of light and heavy objects relaxes to a profile with $\alpha \sim 3/2$ and $\alpha \sim 7/4$, while in the opposite case as steep as $\sim 9/4$ to 3 for the heavy objects (Alexander & Hopman 2009; Keshet et al. 2009). These stationary profiles have a constant inward flux of objects which are eventually swallowed by the SMBH and replenished from the outside. We take the theoretically expected value $N_\star = 20,000$ for stellar mass BHs of mass $m_\star = 10 M_\odot$ within 1 pc (Morris 1993; Miralda-Escudé & Gould 2000; Freitag et al. 2006a) and assume $\alpha_{BH} = 2$.

The eccentricity distribution for a relaxed thermal distribution of an isotropic cusp is such that the number of objects in a de bin is proportional to $dN \propto e de$, independent of semimajor axis (Binney & Tremaine 2008).

2.2. Intermediate-mass BHs

IMBHs are expected to be created by the collapse of Population III stars in the early universe (Madau & Rees 2001), runaway collisions of stars in the cores of globular clusters (Portegies Zwart & McMillan 2002; Freitag et al. 2006b), or the mergers of stellar mass BHs (O’Leary et al. 2006). If globular clusters sink to the Galactic nucleus due to dynamical friction, they are tidally stripped and deposit their IMBHs in the Galactic nucleus. Then the IMBHs settle to the inner region of the nucleus by mass segregation with stars. Portegies Zwart et al. (2006) predict that the inner 10 pc of the GC hosts 50 IMBHs of mass $M \sim 10^3 M_\odot$.

There are very few unambiguous observations of IMBHs in the universe (Miller & Colbert 2004). Ultraluminous X-ray sources provide the best observational candidates. In particular, HLX-1 in ESO 243-49 is found to have a mass between $3 \times 10^4 M_\odot \lesssim M \lesssim 3 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ (Davis et al. 2011). In the GC, 0.13 pc projected distance from SgrA*, IRS 13E is a dense concentration of massive stars, which has been argued to host an IMBH of mass between $10^3$ and $10^6 M_\odot$ (Maillard et al. 2004), however the observed acceleration constraints make an IMBH interpretation in IRS 13E presently unconvincing (Fritz et al. 2010). Astrometric observations of the radio source SgrA* corresponding to the SMBH can be used to place an upper limit of the mass of an IMBH to $M \lesssim 10^4 M_\odot$ in 5–500 mpc (Reid & Brunthaler 2004). Further, an IMBH could have served to deliver the observed young stars in the GC (Hansen & Milosavljević 2003; Fujii et al. 2009), eject hypervelocity stars (Yu & Tremaine 2003; Gualandris et al. 2005), create a low-density core in the GC (Baumgardt et al. 2006), efficiently randomize the eccentricity and orientations of the observed S-star orbits (Merritt et al. 2009), and may have contributed to the SMBH growth (Portegies Zwart et al. 2006). These dynamical arguments can be used to place independent limits on the existence and mass of IMBHs in the GC (see Genzel et al. 2010, for a review). Future observation of the pericenter passage of the shortest period known star S2 may improve this limit to a few $10^5 M_\odot$ in 2018 (Gualandris et al. 2010), and even better limits will be possible by imaging SgrA* with Event Horizon Telescope (EHT), a millimeter/submillimeter very long baseline interferometer (VLBI; Broderick et al. 2011). We examine whether pulsar timing could detect an IMBH with parameters not excluded by existing observations, or be used to place independent limits.

2.3. Loss Cone

A depleted region is formed in phase space if objects are removed at a rate faster than they are replenished from outside by inward diffusion. In this region, Equation (1) is no longer valid. The dominant source of removing stars is tidal disruption or physical collisions, while for BHs it is GW capture by the SMBH.

The objects with initial conditions $(a, e)$ fall in and merge with the SMBH due to GW emission in a time

$$t_{\text{mg}} = \frac{5\kappa}{256 \pi m_\star M_\odot^2} \left(1 - e^2\right)^{3/2} = 36 \kappa m_{\text{mpc}}^{-1} a_{\text{mpc}}^2 (1 - e^2)^{3/2} \text{yr}, \quad (2)$$

where $a_{\text{mpc}} = a/\text{mpc}$, $m_\star = m_\star/10^5 M_\odot$, and $1 \lesssim \kappa < 1.8$ is a weakly dependent function of eccentricity (Peters 1964). Assuming $e \gg 0$ and $t_a \lesssim t_{\text{mg}}$, the minimum semimajor axis is

$$a_c = 0.4 \text{ mpc} \times m_3^{1/4} (1 - e)^{-7/8} t_{a,9}^{1/4}, \quad (3)$$

where the inward diffusion time is parameterized as $t_{d,9} = t_a/10^9 \text{yr}$, and we assumed $f \sim f_p \sim (1 - e)^{-3/2} f_{\text{orb}}$ (see Equation (A5)). For stellar mass BHs, the inward diffusion time is related to two-body relaxation (Binney & Tremaine 2008). Depending on the number and masses of BHs, O’Leary et al. (2009) find that $0.1 \lesssim t_{d,9} \lesssim 10$. For IMBHs, the inward diffusion is due to dynamical friction and the scattering of stars. This process is initially faster than the relaxation timescale, but then slows down ($t_{d,9} \sim 10$) as stars on crossing orbits are ejected by the IMBH (Gualandris & Merritt 2009). The number density inside $a_c$ is expected to be much less than that of Equation (1). In such a state, the eccentricity of an IMBH is increased (Matsubayashi et al. 2007; Sesana 2010; Iwasawa et al. 2011). However, a possible triaxiality of the cluster might result in the refilling of the loss cone and shorter inward migration timescales (Khan et al. 2011; Preto et al. 2011; Gualandris & Merritt 2012).

3. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM THE GALACTIC CENTER

We start by reviewing the essential formulas to derive the GWs generated by a population of binaries with circular orbits, then turn to the general eccentric case. We discuss other details of the spectrum, regarding the high-frequency cutoff and splitting into discrete peaks, at the end of the section.
### 3.1. Unresolved Circular Sources

The GW frequency for a circular orbit is twice the orbital frequency, \( f = 2f_{\text{orb}} \), and the corresponding orbital radius is

\[
\rho(f) = M_\bullet (\pi M_\bullet f)^{-2/3} = 2.6 f_8^{-2/3} \text{ mpc},
\]

where \( f_8 = f/(10^8 \text{ Hz}) \). In the last equality, the mass of the central SMBH in SgrA\(^*\) is taken as \( M_\bullet = 4.3 \times 10^6 M_\odot \) (Gillessen et al. 2009). To put in context, 20 mpc (i.e., about 4100 AU) is the distance to the observed S-stars, the innermost star, S2, has semimajor axis 4 mpc and pericenter 0.4100 AU is the distance to the observed S-stars, the innermost star, S2, has semimajor axis 4 mpc and pericenter 0.2/3pc, and the stellar disk of massive young stars extends down to 30 mpc (Genzel et al. 2010).

The root-mean-square (rms) strain generated by an object of mass \( m_\bullet \) orbiting around an SMBH of mass \( M_\bullet \) on a circular orbit at distance \( D \) from the source in one GW cycle is

\[
h_0(f) = \sqrt{\frac{32}{5}} \frac{M_\bullet m_\bullet}{D \rho(f)} = 8.8 \times 10^{-15} m_3 D_{\text{pc}}^{-1} f_8^{2/3},
\]

where \( D_{\text{pc}} = D/\text{pc}, m_3 = m_\bullet/(10^3 M_\odot) \), and the 0 index will stand for zero eccentricity. The \( \sqrt{32/5} \) prefactor accounts for rms averaging the GW strain over orientation.

The GW strain of many independent sources with the same frequency but random phase adds quadratically. For a signal where the spectral shape is different from the rms cosmological background (Phinney 2001). Note that the stochastic background of burst sources is expected to be typically much weaker than the periodic sources, unless there are IMBHs on wide eccentric orbits.

We can express \( h_\bullet \) with the number density of objects using

\[
dN/dr = 4\pi r^2 n_\bullet(r), \quad h_\bullet^2(f) = \frac{8\pi}{3} r^3 n_\bullet(r) h_0^2 = \frac{256\pi}{15} \frac{M_\bullet m_\bullet^2}{D^2} (\pi M_\bullet f)^{-2/3} n_\bullet(r(f)).
\]

Equation (8) gives the rms GW signal level drawing the stars or BHs from a density profile \( n_\bullet(r) \). The equation shows that the spectral shape is different from \( f^{-2/3} \), describing the rms cosmological background (Phinney 2001). Note that \( h_\bullet \) is proportional to the rms mass of objects, which may exceed the mean for a multimass population. The GW signal for any one realization is well approximated by the rms if \( \Delta N \gg 1 \). Close to the center (which corresponds to high \( f \)), \( \Delta N \) is small, and the GW spectrum becomes spiky, which we discuss in Section 3.3 below.

The GW foreground may be different from the above estimate for sources with significant eccentricity, for sources on unbound orbits, or if they are non-periodic or evolve secularly during the observation, or if the population is anisotropic. We elaborate on the corresponding effects on the GWs in the subsections below, and discuss the characteristic GW frequency where the spectrum would be affected by the loss cone and small number statistics.

### 3.2. Eccentric Periodic and Burst Sources

Here we summarize the modification of the above estimates due to eccentricity, and refer the reader to the Appendix for details.

For eccentric sources, the GW spectrum of individual sources is no longer peaked around \( f = 2f_{\text{orb}} \). For mildly eccentric sources (\( e \ll 0.3 \)), the GW spectrum is spiky, with discrete upper harmonics, \( f = n f_{\text{orb}} \), with decreasing amplitude for \( n > 2 \). The observed width of each spectral peak is \( \Delta f = 1/T \). For larger eccentricities, the upper harmonics are stronger than the \( n = 2 \) mode, and the peak frequency corresponds to the inverse pericenter passage timescale \( f_\text{p} \), where 90% of the GW power is between \( 0.2 f_\text{p} < f < 3 f_\text{p} \).

The GWs are in the PTA frequency band if the pericenter passage timescale is less than the observation time, \( T \). We distinguish two types of sources: periodic and GW burst sources.\(^5\) Periodic sources have orbital periods shorter than \( T \), or semimajor axis \( a_{\text{per}} \approx 5.7 \times 10^2 \text{ mpc} \). At relatively low frequencies where small number and loss cone effects do not kick in, these sources generate a continuous GW spectrum that is similar to that given by the circular formula Equation (13) within a factor two, assuming an isotropic thermal eccentricity distribution (see Equations (A12) and (A13) in the Appendix).

Sources with semimajor axes larger than Equation (9) generate a GW burst during pericenter passage in the PTA band if their pericenter distance at close approach is less than Equation (9). Only a small fraction of these burst sources contribute to the PTA measurements—the ones that are within time \( T \) from pericenter passage along their orbits during the observation. In the Appendix, we show that the stochastic background of burst sources is expected to be typically much weaker than the periodic sources, unless there are IMBHs on wide eccentric orbits.

### 3.3. Individually Resolvable Sources

The GW foreground generated by a population of objects is smooth if the average number per \( \Delta f \) frequency bin satisfies \( \Delta N f \gg 1 \). If the number density follows Equation (1), and the total number within 1 pc is normalized as \( N_\bullet = N_\circ/(2 \times 10^6) \), then the spectrum becomes spiky (\( \Delta N f \ll 1 \)) above

\[
f_{\text{res}} = 4.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Hz} \times 10^{(\alpha - 2)/(9 - 2\alpha)} \left[ \frac{(3 - \alpha)N_\circ}{T_{10}} \right]^{3/(9 - 2\alpha)}.
\]

where \( T_{10} = T/10 \text{ yr} \), and we used Equations (4) and (6) for circular orbits. The corresponding orbital radius is

\[
r_{\text{res}} = 1.0 \text{ mpc} \times 10^{(\alpha - 2)/2(\alpha - 9)} \left[ \frac{T_{10}}{(3 - \alpha)N_\circ} \right]^{2/(9 - 2\alpha)}.
\]

\(^4\) This is true as long as the source distribution is effectively continuous in frequency space, so that individual sources are unresolved, but not for resolved discrete sources (see Equation (13) and Section 3.3 below).

\(^5\) There are also “repeated burst” sources, which are highly eccentric with orbital periods less than \( T \), which satisfy Equation (9) (Kocsis & Levin 2011). We do not distinguish repeated bursts from periodic sources here.
Sources within $r_{\text{res}}$ generate distinct spectral peaks above frequency $f_{\text{res}}$. We refer to these sources as resolvable.

The total number of resolvable sources is

$$N_{\text{res}} = \int_0^{r_{\text{res}}} n_*(r) 4\pi r^2 dr = N_* \left(\frac{r_{\text{res}}}{pc}\right)^{3-\alpha}$$

$$= 20 \times 10^{9(\alpha-2)/(9-2\alpha)} \hat{N}_* \times \left(\frac{T_{10}}{(3-\alpha)\hat{N}_*}\right)^{2(3-\alpha)/(9-2\alpha)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Note that $f_{\text{res}}, r_{\text{res}},$ and $N_{\text{res}}$ are exponentially sensitive to the density exponent: in a 10 year observation of $N_* = 20,000$ BHs, $f_{\text{res}} = (19, 42, 110) \text{ nHz}$, $r_{\text{res}} = (1.7, 1.0, 0.53) \text{ mpc}$, and $N_{\text{res}} = (7, 20, 70)$ for $\alpha = (1.75, 2, 2.25)$, respectively. Similarly, for $N_* = 50$ IMBHs, $f_{\text{res}} = (2.0, 3.8, 6.4) \text{ nHz}$, $r_{\text{res}} = (7.6, 5.1, 3.5) \text{ mpc}$, and $N_{\text{res}} = (1, 3, 12)$ for $\alpha = (2.25, 2.5, 2.75)$, respectively. If the supply of objects by two-body relaxation is very slow, $t_d \gg 1 \text{ Gyr}$, and the loss cone is empty (see Section 2.3), then the number of resolvable sources can be much less. The GW spectrum transitions from continuous to discrete inside the PTA frequency band, and the expected number of resolvable sources is typically non-negligible.

For $f > f_{\text{res}}$, the GW spectrum is $h_c(f) = 0$, except for distinct frequency bins that include one resolvable source each. For the latter, the net GW signal amplitude in time $T$ is

$$h_{c,1}(f) = (fT)^{1/2} h_0(f) = 1.6 \times 10^{-14} m_3 T_{10}^{-1/2} f_8^{-7/6}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Therefore, $h_{c,1}(f)$ increases quickly for individual sources with decreasing orbital radius or increasing frequency. The prospects for detecting individual sources closer than $r_{\text{res}}$ to SgrA* increases because the GW amplitude increases, while the confusion noise per frequency bin decreases (see Equation (23) below for the corresponding timing residual).

Note that Equation (13) corresponds to the GW polarization averaged integrated signal\(^6\) for stationary circular orbits. We derive $h_c(f)$ for eccentric periodic and burst sources in the Appendix. In that case, the GW power of each source averaged over source inclination. Note that throughout this manuscript $h_c(f)$ is in dimensionless units, counts per frequency bin.

3.4. Maximum GW Frequency

What is the maximum GW frequency for objects outside of the loss cone, for which the GW merger is still longer than the relaxation timescale? The maximal emitted GW frequency corresponding to Equation (3) is

$$f_{\text{lc}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ Hz} \times m_3^{-3/8} (1-e)^{-3/16} r_{d,9}^{-3/8},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where note that the dimensionless diffusion time is $0.1 \leq t_{d,9} \leq 10$ for multimass two-body relaxation models (see Section 2.3), and we assumed $f \sim f_p \sim (1-e)^{-3/2} f_{\text{orb}}$ (see Equation (A5)).

The GW spectrum is expected to terminate above $f_{\text{lc}}$. Equation (14) implies that $10 M_\odot$ BHs generate a GW background with a maximum frequency of around $10^{-6} \text{ Hz} = (12 \text{ days})^{-1}$ for mildly eccentric sources, and somewhat larger for more eccentric orbits. For IMBHs with $m = 10^3 M_\odot$, $t_d \approx 10 \text{ Gyr}$, and $e = 0.9$, the corresponding cutoff frequency is around $2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ Hz} = (60 \text{ days})^{-1}$. Sources outside the loss cone are in the PTA GW frequency band.

3.5. Frequency Evolution

The above treatment is valid only if the frequency shift is negligible during the observation, which we discuss next.

The timescale on which the GW frequency evolves is $f/f_e \sim t_d$, where $t_d$ is the timescale on which sources drift inward. The frequency drift is then $f_T \sim f_T/t_d$. Compared with the frequency resolution $\Delta f = 1/T$ during the observation,

$$\frac{\dot{f}T}{\Delta f} \sim \frac{f_T^2}{t_d} \sim 3 \times 10^{-8} f_8 T_{10}^2/t_{d,9}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The frequency drift is negligible due to GW emission or two-body relaxation for sources outside the loss cone.

4. GRavitational WAVes and Pulsar TIMing

The cosmological GW background and its detectability with PTAs can be summarized as follows.

4.1. Stochastic Cosmological Background

The cosmological GW background constitutes an astrophysical noise for measuring the GWs of objects orbiting SgrA* in the GC. At these frequencies the stochastic GW background is expected to be dominated by SMBH binary inspirals, with a characteristic amplitude roughly (Kocsis & Sesana 2011)

$$h_{c,3} = 1.8 \times 10^{-15} f_8^{-2/3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The GW background may be suppressed below the stochastic level of Equation (16) by a factor 2–4 due to gas effects at $f_8 < 1$ (Kocsis & Sesana 2011), and because of small number statistics at $f_8 > 1$ (Sesana et al. 2008). On the other hand, individual cosmological SMBH binary sources may stick out above this background by chance if they happen to be very massive and relatively close-by (Sesana et al. 2009).

4.2. Sensitivity Level of PTAs

If pulsars are observed repeatedly in $\Delta t$ time intervals for a total time $T$, the spectral resolution is $\Delta f = 1/T$, and the observable frequency range is $1/T \leq f \leq 2/\Delta t$. For $T = 10 \text{ yr}$, $\Delta t = 1 \text{ week}$, therefore $3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Hz} \leq f \leq 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Hz}$.

To derive the angular sensitivity of PTAs, let the unit binary orbit normal, the vectors pointing from Earth toward the pulsar, and from the binary to the pulsar be, respectively, $\hat{L} = (\sin \iota \cos \lambda, \sin \iota \sin \lambda, \cos \iota)$, $\hat{p} = (\sin \mu \cos \psi, \sin \mu \sin \psi, \cos \mu)$, and $\hat{k} = (0, 0, 1)$. In the far-field radiation zone of a circular binary, the metric perturbation is $h_{ab} = h_{ab}^\psi(t) + h_{ab}^\psi(t)$, where

$$h_{ab}^\psi(t) = 2[1 + (\hat{L}^T k_c)^2] A \sin \Phi(t) e_{ab}^\psi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$h_{ab}^\psi(t) = 4 \hat{L}^T k_c A \cos \Phi(t) e_{ab}^\psi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $\hat{k}$ gives the GW propagation direction, $\Phi(t) = 2\pi f t$ is the GW phase, and $A = M_* m_*/(D r)$ is the GW strain amplitude.
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4.3. Near-field Effects

Up to this point, we assumed that the pulsar signal is modulated by the leading-order radiative GW terms, and neglected other post-Newtonian (PN) near-field effects. This is justified if the binary to pulsar distance is \( D_p \gg \lambda \), where

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi f} = 0.16 f^{-1}_8 \text{pc}
\]  

is the reduced GW wavelength. Thus, near-field effects will be negligible for \( f_8 > 1 \) for \( D_p \geq 1 \) pc, but they are significant at lower frequencies for pulsars closer to the center.

Let us estimate the order of magnitude of the leading-order near-field corrections for the particular geometry of this problem. The variations in the TOAs are approximately determined by the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) at the pulsar. The near-zone metric induces a variation in the pulsar position and velocity, and modulates the propagation of pulses (Jenet et al. 2005). We resort to simple order-of-magnitude estimates neglecting possibly important lensing effects (Wex et al. 1996; Finn 2009).

In the PN approximation, the metric is practically a power series in \( m_i/r_i, r_i/r_j, \) and \( v_i \), where \( m_i \) are the masses (i.e., \( M_\bullet \) or \( m_\ast \)), and \( r_i \) are distance parameters (i.e., \( r \) or \( D \)), and \( v_i \) are the velocities (Blanchet et al. 1998; Alvi 2000; Johnson-McDaniel et al. 2009). The constant coefficients in this power series are typically order one. We look for periodically varying terms in the 2PN metric of the binary that might be comparable to \( h(r) \) at the pulsar and assume that the corresponding correction to the gravitational redshift and Doppler shift, collectively called Einstein delay, is proportional to these terms.

For this estimate we restrict to circular binary orbits, where \( m_\ast \ll M_\bullet \ll r \ll D \), with \( m_\ast \sim 10^3 M_\odot \), \( r \sim \text{mpc} \), \( D \sim \text{pc} \).

In this regime,

\[
\frac{r}{D} \sim 2.6 \times 10^{-3} f_8^{2/3} D_8^{-1}, \quad v \sim \frac{M_\bullet}{r} = 8.5 \times 10^{-3} f_8^{1/3},
\]

\[
\frac{m_\ast}{r} \ll \frac{M_\bullet}{D} \ll \frac{M_\bullet}{r} \sim 7.3 \times 10^{-5} f_8^{2/3}.
\]  

We are not interested in terms that are not time-varying such as \( M_{\text{max}}/D \) or \( M_{\text{max}}^2/D^2 \), since these generate a constant gravitational redshift. Remarkably, the mass dipole terms \( \mathbf{r}_i \mathbf{r}_j/r_i^2 \) are much larger than the standard radiative term \( m_i m_j/r_i D \) at these distances, but these terms cancel out in the center-of-mass frame and are not present in the metric. (Here, \( \mathbf{r}_i = M_\bullet \mathbf{r}/(M_\bullet + m_\ast) \) and \( \mathbf{r} = m_\ast \mathbf{r}/(M_\bullet + m_\ast) \) give the vectors to the binary components relative to the barycenter, \( \mathbf{r} \) is the binary separation, \( D \) is a field point.) However, the pulsar perceives the binary components at their retarded positions and so the dipole terms do not cancel out exactly unless the binary line-of-sight velocity is zero. The current dipole terms \( m_i \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{k} \) are indeed present in the \( g_{00}, g_{0i} \), and \( g_{ij} \) components of the binary metric (see Equation (2.15) in Alvi 2000; and Equation (6.4) in Johnson-McDaniel et al. 2009). This leads to an orientation-averaged TOA correction

\[
\delta t_{\text{cd}} \sim \frac{m_i v_i r_i}{D^2} \frac{\sqrt{fT}}{2\pi f} = 30 m_3 D_{\text{pc}}^{-3} f_8^{-5/6} T_{10}^{1/2} \text{ns}.
\]  

The next correction is the mass quadrupole,

\[
\delta t_{\text{mq}} \sim \frac{m_i r_i^2}{D^3} \frac{\sqrt{fT}}{2\pi f} = 9 m_3 D_{\text{pc}}^{-3} f_8^{-11/6} T_{10}^{1/2} \text{ns}.
\]
All other terms in the metric are smaller by positive integer to a timing variation, which leads to variations in the path length to Earth. This leads $hc$ modulation, the Galactic nucleus where the central object is an SMBH.

Now consider terms higher order in the masses. The leading-order term here is the quadrupolar radiation term $M_* m_/f(Dr) \sim m_v^2/D$, which leads to TOA residuals $\delta t_{GW}$ of Equation (23). All other terms in the metric are smaller by positive integer powers of the small parameters in Equation (26).

In addition to the Einstein delay discussed above, the tidal effects of the binary also induce epicyclic motion in the pulsar, which leads to variations in the path length to Earth. This leads to a timing variation, $\delta r$, analogous to the Roemer delay in pulsar binaries relative to the binary barycenter. We estimate $r_p$, the radius of the pulsar’s epicyclic motion around the guiding center of its mean motion. We assume that the pulsar exhibits oscillations on the forcing period, so that its angular velocity matches the angular frequency of the forcing, $\omega$. The centripetal acceleration is then $r_p \omega^2$. We consider the case where the forcing is due to a current dipole or a mass quadrupole, $|F_{cd,R}/m_p \sim m_v r \sin \iota/D^3$ and $|F_{mq,R}/m_p \sim m_v^2 r^2 \sin \iota/D^4$. The time lag is $\delta r \sim 2 r_p \cos \iota$ in a half-cycle, and we assume the measurement improves with $(f T)^{1/2}$ for longer observations. Neglecting factors of order unity, we get

$$\delta t_{cd,R} \sim \frac{m_v r \sqrt{fT}}{D^3 (2\pi f)^2} = 5 m_3 D_{pc}^{-2} f_8^{-11/6} T_{10}^{-1/2} \mu s,$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

$$\delta t_{mq,R} \sim \frac{m_v^2 r^2 \sqrt{fT}}{D^4 (2\pi f)^2} = 1 m_3 D_{pc}^{-4} f_8^{-17/6} T_{10}^{-1/2} \mu s.$$

These estimates are consistent with that of Jenet et al. (2005). They found that timing residuals corresponding to $\delta t_{mq,R}$ can be 500 ns for a pulsar inside a globular cluster with $D_{pc} = 0.03$, $f_8 = 0.3$, $T_{10} = 1$ for a $10 M_\odot$ BH orbiting around an $10^3 M_\odot$ IMBH. The residuals are much larger in the near-field zone of the Galactic nucleus where the central object is an SMBH.

In conclusion, the Einstein delay terms $\delta t_{cd}$ and $\delta t_{mq}$ given by Equations (27)–(28) are much larger than the Roemer delay $\delta t_{cd,R}$ and $\delta t_{mq,R}$ for $D \lesssim 1$ pc and $f \gtrsim 10$ nHz. In particular, $\delta t_{cd}$ is comparable to the standard radiative GW modulation, $\delta t_{GW}$ at 10 nHz. The gravitational near-field timing residuals of individual sources become much larger for pulsars at $D \sim 0.1$ pc, at the level $\delta t_{cd} \sim \delta t_{mq,R} \sim 10 m_3 \mu s$ at $f \sim 10 m_3$ nHz, and even larger for smaller $f$. For stellar mass BHs, the individual contributions of residuals are overlapping in Fourier space where near-field effects are most important (see Equation (10)), and the net timing residual can be estimated by scaling with $\sqrt{dN/d\ln f}$ using Equation (8).

5. RESULTS

We can now combine the results above to draw conclusions on the detectability of GWs from the GC with pulsars in its neighborhood. From Equation (23), the distance within which a PTA could measure the GWs of an individual source with a fixed timing precision $\delta t = 10 \delta t_{10}$ ns is

$$D_{hr} = 14 m_3 T_{10}^{-1} f_8^{1/2} T_{10}^{1/2} / \mu s,$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

Equations (13) and (16) show that the GWs from an individual BH in the GCs rises above the stochastic GW background within a distance

$$D_{bg} = 8.7 m_3 T_{10}^{1/2} f_8^{-11/6} \mu s.$$

A pulsar within $D_{hr}$ and $D_{bg}$ to the GC could be used to detect GWs from individual objects in the GC. These estimates are conservative. First, they assume an average orbital and pulsar orientation; $D_h$ and $D_{hr}$ might be 2–3× larger for certain orientations. Second, Equation (32) assumes that small number statistics and gas effects are negligible in cosmological SMBH mergers, and might overestimate the background (Sesana et al. 2008; Kocsis & Sesana 2011).\(^7\)

Figure 1 shows the orientation averaged characteristic GW amplitude for a 10 year observation, incorporating the additional near-field effects using Equations (24) and (27)–(30). The lower and top x-axis shows the GW frequency and orbital radius for circular sources, respectively. The magenta curve displays the

\(^7\) Note that the signal from individual cosmological SMBHs can also be enhanced by a factor 2–3 for certain orientations, but this effect is washed out for the unresolved stochastic background.
spectrum of timing residuals for a Monte Carlo realization of a population of 20,000 BHs within 1 pc with number density $n(r) \propto r^{-2}$ and random orientation with mass $10 M_\odot$ on circular orbits. The solid blue line shows the rms foreground of a cusp of stellar mass BH averaged over different realizations. The spectrum separates into distinct spectral spikes at higher frequencies with rms maxima shown by the dashed line. Figure 2 shows the GW amplitude of a realization of 1000 BHs with mass $30 M_\odot$ in 1 pc with a steeper number density profile $n(r) \propto r^{-2.5}$. Despite of the smaller overall number of sources in the cluster, there are many more resolvable sources within 1 mpc in this case.

The net background level in Figures 1 and 2 is conservative, as the background is sensitive to the rms mass of objects in the cluster, which may significantly exceed the mean. Furthermore, individual sources may generate up to $\sim 3 \times$ larger timing residuals for certain orientations. Timing pulsars at a distance 0.1–1 pc from the GC with 100 ns–10 $\mu$s timing precision can be used to detect IMBHs of mass $10^4 M_\odot$, if they exist within 6 mpc of SgrA*. A population of stellar BHs within 2–5 mpc generates timing variations greater than 100 ns–10$\mu$s for a pulsar within 0.1 pc. However, it will be much more difficult to individually resolve $10 M_\odot$ stellar BHs within 1 mpc to SgrA*, which requires an extreme 1–20 ns timing precision.

Figure 3 shows the characteristic GW spectra for a Monte Carlo realization of an eccentric population of 10 $M_\odot$ BHs with an isotropic thermal eccentricity distribution. Magenta and cyan lines show the contribution of periodic and burst sources, respectively. The dashed lines show $h_c(f)$ for individual circular sources, and the solid blue lines represent the rms GW level for a population of circular sources for comparison. The net GW spectrum of burst sources is typically less than the level of periodic sources. The figure verifies the analytical calculations of the Appendix, the continuous low-frequency spectrum of periodic sources is indeed comparable to the circular level, modulo a weakly frequency dependent constant between 0.4 $\lesssim K \lesssim$ 0.8. Note that for clarity, we are not including the gravitational near-field effects here, which would dominate over the continuous low-frequency GW spectrum for $D \sim 0.1$ pc as shown in Figure 1.

The transition to a spiky spectra happens at somewhat larger frequencies for eccentric sources (cf. Figures 1 and 3). Since individual sources generate GW spectra with many orbital upper harmonics, the net high-frequency spectrum is more complicated than in the circular case. The orientation averaged spectral level for individual sources is typically less than $b \ell \lesssim 200$ ns $m_\odot D_\odot^{-3}$ per frequency bin, however the total root-sum-squared signal of all upper harmonics of individual sources is much larger than the level of a single bin. In the Appendix, we show that the S/N for detecting individual sources with a matched filter is comparable for eccentric and circular sources. In this sense, the dashed lines in Figure 3 are representative of the total timing residual of individual sources as a function of pericenter frequency for arbitrary eccentricity. However, the full spectrum is rich in narrow features for eccentric sources and pericenter precession slowly modulates the amplitude of the timing residuals in individual pulsars. Both of these features could help to separate individual eccentric sources from the signal of other sources in GC.

6. DISCUSSION

We have shown that pulsars within a few pc distance from the GC offer a unique probe to identify IMBHs and stellar BHs orbiting around SgrA*. An IMBH, if present in the GC, sinks to orbital radii corresponding to the pulsar timing frequency bands. Depending on the binary orientation, orbital frequency, and pulsar distance, the GWs and gravitational near-field effects modulate the TOAs by a few ns to 100 $\mu$s for these sources with masses between $10 M_\odot$ and $10^4 M_\odot$. Based on the GW spectral features, the signals of more than one IMBH (up to tens, if present) could be individually resolved and isolated from the fainter signal of stars and stellar mass objects and the cosmological GW background.

This observational probe is complimentary to EM measurements with different systematics. GWs are generated by all gravitating objects, including those that are black and undetectable in EM bands. GWs escape the galactic nucleus without any dissipation or dispersion. Closer to SgrA*, sources generate stronger, higher frequency GWs, the number of observable cycles increases, and the number of objects per frequency bin decreases. Thus, unlike EM imaging techniques, the prospects for detecting and resolving individual objects through GW measurements improve closer in toward SgrA*, even if the number density of objects increases inward steeply. Furthermore, the gravitational effects are proportional to the rms mass of objects, making the measurement more sensitive to individual higher
mass objects in the distribution, even if the total mass of lighter objects is somewhat larger on comparable radius orbits.

Repeated pulsar timing observations over a few year baseline could reveal a detailed census of BHs in the inner mpc of the GC. We have shown that the GW foreground of stellar BHs rises above the cosmological background and separates into distinct peaks above 40 nHz, corresponding to a GW period of less than 1 yr or orbital separations less than 1 mpc. Based on a simple estimate using circular orbits, we found that the total number of individually resolvable BHs is between 7 and 70, depending on the radial number density distribution exponent $r^{-\alpha}$ between $r^{-1.75}$ and $r^{-2.25}$. These observations are therefore exponentially sensitive to $\alpha$, capable of testing the theory of strong mass segregation (Keshet et al. 2009).

Eccentricity complicates the spectral shape of resolvable sources by adding upper frequency harmonics. Although the timing residuals in individual frequency bins is suppressed by this effect relative to circular orbits, the total timing residual S/N with a matched filter is comparable for eccentric sources. At lower frequencies, a population of objects on eccentric orbits generates a stochastic GW foreground with a similar spectral shape and a comparable amplitude, as a circular population. Objects on larger semimajor axis, eccentric orbits generate GW bursts during pericenter passage near SgrA*. The stochastic GW burst signal is much less than the level of periodic sources.

This analysis hinges on the assumption that future surveys will discover pulsars near the GC that can be timed to the sufficient accuracy. Most of the observed S-stars within a few mpc and the young O/B stars in the GC will eventually turn into pulsars in a supernova explosion (Pfahl & Loeb 2004). Based on the age and number of these stars, and assuming that we do not live in a special time, one might expect more than $10^4$ pulsars in the GC. Some of these may become MSPs, and may be beamed toward us to be detectable with future SKA-type instruments (Cordes et al. 2004). They might be expected to segregate to the outskirts of the GC on a Gyr timescale as heavier objects sink inward (Chanamé & Gould 2002). Recently, Liu et al. (2012) examined the expected timing accuracy of pulsars in the GC accounting for radiometer noise, pulse phase jitter, and the interstellar scintillation of the ISM. They found that the 1 hr timing accuracy of SKA is expected to be between 10 and 100 $\mu$s for regular pulsars. Our results indicate that the necessary accuracy to detect timing variations associated to individual $10 M_\odot$ BHs within 1 mpc requires much higher timing accuracy, which might be prohibitively difficult even with MSPs with a factor of 100–1000 better timing accuracy. However, the net variations caused by a population of these objects is detectable between 2 and 5 mpc at these accuracy levels. Remarkably, a 10–100 $\mu$s timing accuracy is sufficient to individually resolve or rule out the existence of $10^3 M_\odot$ IMBHs within 5 mpc from SgrA*.

As the GW spectrum is rich in strong spiky features at high frequencies, it may be possible to isolate GW induced timing residuals from other systematic effects. One such effect is if the pulsar itself is a part of a binary system. Fortunately, however, binaries with orbital periods of years, matching the GW foreground of the GC, are very soft, and are not long lived near the GC; they are easily disrupted by three-body encounters. Indeed, due to the high-velocity dispersion in the GC, $\sigma \sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$ at $D \sim$ pc, stellar mass binaries are soft for orbital frequencies $f \lesssim (2\pi)^{-1} \sigma^3/(G M_\odot) \sim 9600$ nHz, or orbital period $f^{-1} \gtrsim 1.2$ days. The evaporation timescale on which a series of more distant encounters gradually increases the binary separation is $t_e \sim 0.06 \sigma/(G \rho a \ln \Lambda) \sim 40 f_8^{2/3}$ Myr, where $\Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm, and the ionization timescale to disrupt the binary by a close three-body encounter is $t_i \sim 0.04 \sigma/(G \rho a) \sim 70 f_8^{2/3}$ Myr (see Section 7.5.7 in Binney & Tremaine 2008). Here we have expressed the binary semimajor axis $a$ with the orbital frequency, which is of order $0.1 \lesssim f_8 \lesssim 10$ to match the GW signal. Thus, MSPs which form in short-period binaries, like in typical low-mass X-ray binaries, and become wide, may be expected to typically become single in the GC. However, a few pulsar–BH binaries may form through three-body exchange interactions and may be longer lived in the GC (Faucher-Giguère & Loeb 2011). Ultimately, multiple pulsars would be necessary to rule out systematic effects.

GW observations with pulsar timing in the GC could be combined with other observable channels to map the GC. An IMBH orbiting around the SMBH would be observable with future millimeter VLBI imaging with EHT (Broderick et al. 2012).
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**APPENDIX**

**ECCENTRIC SOURCES**

Here we present the mathematical derivation of the GW foreground of eccentric sources in the GC. We start by reviewing the eccentric waveforms and GW spectra, then calculate the GW background of an eccentric population of periodic sources and burst sources, respectively, and finally discuss simple estimates of the S/N of timing residuals for individual eccentric sources.

### A.1. Waveform

An individual eccentric source with semimajor axis $a$ and eccentricity $e$ generates a GW strain composed of discrete upper harmonics with frequency $f_n = n f_{\text{orb}}$,

$$h(a, e, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(a, e; f_n) e^{2\pi i f_n t},$$

where

$$h_n(a, e; f_n) = \frac{2}{n} \sqrt{g(n, e)} h_0(a),$$

where $h_0(a) = \sqrt{32/5 M_* m_*/(Da)}$ is the GW strain amplitude for circular orbits, and

$$g(n, e) = \frac{n^4}{32} \left[ \left( J_{n-2} - 2 n J_{n-1} + \frac{2}{n} J_n + 2 n J_{n+1} - J_{n+2} \right)^2 + (1 - e^2)(J_{n-2} - 2 J_n + J_{n+2})^2 + \frac{4}{3 n^2} J_n^2 \right].$$

Here, $J_i \equiv J_i(x)$ is the $i$th Bessel function evaluated at $x = ne$ (Peters & Mathews 1963), and we have rms averaged over the binary inclination. The Fourier transform of $h(a, e, t)$ measured for some time $T$ is then

$$\tilde{h}(a, e, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(a, e; f_n) T w(f, f_n, T),$$

$$w(f, f_n, T) = \frac{\sin[2\pi(f - f_n)T]}{2\pi(f - f_n)T}.$$

Here, $w(f, f_n, T)$ is the Fourier transform of a window function of width $T$ and a unit integral. It approaches unity at $f = f_n$ and cuts off for $|f - f_n| \gtrsim 1/2T$. The spectral width of each GW harmonic is $\Delta f \sim 1/T$.

For circular sources, $g(n, 0) = 1$ for $n = 2$ and 0 otherwise. For eccentric sources, the dominant frequency harmonic is $f_p = n_p f_{\text{orb}}$, the inverse pericenter passage timescale,

$$n_p(e) = \left\lfloor \frac{1.15 (1 + e)^{1/2}}{(1 - e)^{1/2}} \right\rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the nearest integer larger than $x$ (O’Leary et al. 2009). The emitted GW spectrum is broad band with a maximum near $f_p$, where 90% and 99% of the power is between 0.2 $f_p < f < 3 f_p$ and between 0.1 $f_p < f < 5 f_p$, respectively. The $g(n, e)$ harmonic weights in Equation (A3) have a maximum near $n_p(e)$.

The definition of the inclination-averaged GW strain amplitude (A2) can be made more lucid by recalling the definition of the GW flux, $S = \tilde{h}(f)^2/16\pi$, and verify if the total power output is consistent with Equation (16) of Peters & Mathews (1963). Indeed,

$$P = 4\pi D^2 S = \frac{1}{4} D^2 \tilde{h}^2 = \pi^2 D^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 f_{\text{orb}}^2 h_n^2$$

$$= 4\pi^2 D^2 f_{\text{orb}}^2 h_0^2 F(e) = \frac{32 M_*^3 m_*^2}{5 a^5} F(e),$$

where we have used Kepler’s law $a_{\text{orb}}^2 = 4\pi^2 f_{\text{orb}}^2 = M_* a^3$, the definition of $h_0$,

$$F(e) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n, e) = \frac{F_1(e)}{(1 - e^2)^{1/2}},$$

and

$$F_1(e) = 1 + (73/24)e^2 + (37/39)e^4.$$

### A.2. GW Background of Periodic Sources

Let us estimate the net contribution of many sources to the GW background if observed for time $T$. For a source with semimajor axis $a$ and eccentricity $e$, the counts in each frequency bin $f$ are given by

$$h_{\text{c},i}(a, e; f) = \tilde{h}^2(a, e; f) \Delta f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f T h_0^2(a) \frac{4}{n^2} g(n, e)$$

$$\times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |f - f_n(a)| \leq \Delta f/2 \text{ and } f T > 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(A8)

where $f_n(a) = n f_{\text{orb}}(a) = n(2\pi M_*/a)^{-1}(a/M_*)^{-3/2}$ is the GW frequency of the $n$th upper harmonic for a fixed semimajor axis. Conversely, the range of semimajor axis, $a_n \pm 0.5\Delta a_n$, for which the $n$th harmonic contributes to the frequency bin between $f \pm 0.5 \Delta f$, is

$$a_n = M_* (2\pi M_*/f)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2/3} a_2,$$

(A9)

where $\Delta a_n = |da_n/df| \Delta f = (2/3)a_n/(f T)$. 

\[9\]
Now let us assume a phase space distribution in which the number of objects in the neighborhood of \((a, e)\) is \(d^2N = (\partial^2 N/\partial a\partial e) da de\). The number of sources that contribute to the \(n^{th}\) harmonic is \(\Delta N_n = \frac{1}{f} \int d e (\partial^2 N/\partial a\partial e) \Delta a_n\). The GW signal of all sources is then

\[
h^2_{\text{per}}(f) = \int_0^1 de \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (\partial^2 N/\partial a\partial e) \frac{da}{df} \right] h_n(a, e; f) \ .
\]

For periodic sources, \(n_{\text{max}}\) is set by the condition that objects are observed for at least one orbit, \(1/f_{\text{orb}}(a_n) = n/f \lesssim 1/T\), implying that \(n_{\text{max}} = f T\). We shall consider the contribution of burst sources, on larger radius orbits observed for only a fraction of the orbit, separately below. Rearranging and using Equation (A8), \((\partial^2 N/\partial a\partial e) = 4\pi^2 a^2 n(a)e\varphi(e),\) and \(da/df = (2/3)a/f\), gives

\[
h^2_{\text{per}}(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{f T} \frac{8\pi a^3}{3} n_n(a_n) h_n^2(a_n) \int_0^1 \varphi(e) \frac{4}{n^2} g(n, e) \ .
\]

Let us assume that \(n_n(a) \propto a^{-\alpha}\). Then using \(h_n(a) \propto a^{-1}\) and Equation (A9), we find that the right-hand side is proportional to \(a^{1-\alpha}\). Now let us express \(a_n, a_0\) using Equation (A9),

\[
h^2_{\text{per}}(f) = \frac{8\pi a^3}{3} n_n(a_0) h_n^2(a_0) K_{\text{per}}(f),
\]

where

\[
K_{\text{per}}(f) = \frac{f T}{1} \int_0^1 \varphi(e) g(n, e) \ .
\]

Thus, \(K_{\text{per}}(f) = 0\) at \(f \leq 1/T\), and increases monotonically, and asymptotes a constant for \(n_{\text{max}} = f T \rightarrow \infty\). One can show\(^8\) that this constant is insensitive to the highest eccentricity sources if \(e > 1/2\), which is expected to be satisfied. For \(\alpha = 2\) and a thermal distribution of eccentricities \(\varphi(e) = 2e\), we get \(K_{\text{per}} \sim 0.8\) for \(f T \gg 1\). This together with Equation (A12) shows that the net GW spectrum of a continuous population of eccentric sources is very similar to that of circular sources. However, the signal is much different for individually resolvable sources, as they are comprised of many upper harmonics.

\section{A.3. GW Background of Burst Sources}

GW bursts are generated by objects that make only one close approach near the SgrA\(^*\) during the observation. These sources are on eccentric orbits with orbital time \(f_{\text{orb}}\) exceeding \(T\), and for which the pericenter timescale \(f_{\text{p}}^{-1}\) is less than \(T\) and the orbital phase is such that pericenter passage occurs within the observation. The latter condition means that only a \(1/(f_{\text{orb}} T)\) fraction of all such sources will contribute in the observation time. For a fixed measurement frequency, \(f\), therefore \(f_{\text{orb}} = f/n < 1/T\), \(f_{\text{p}}/f_{\text{orb}} > 1/T\). Therefore, \(f T < n < n_{\text{p}} f T\) and the fraction among these sources that contribute is \(n/(n_{\text{p}} f T)\).

Repeating the derivation for the net GW burst background over time \(T\), Equations (A8)–(A10), we get

\[
h^2_{\text{b,per}}(f) = \frac{8\pi a^3}{3} n_n(a_0) h_n^2(a_0) K_{\text{b,per}}(f),
\]

where

\[
K_{\text{b,per}}(f) = \frac{1}{\int_0^1 \varphi(e) g(n, e)}
\]

Note that resolving the burst source also requires that the sampling frequency \(f_{\text{max}} = 2/\Delta t\) and timescale between observations \(\Delta t\) to satisfy \(f_p < f_{\text{max}} \lesssim \Delta t/2 < f_p^{-1}\). This implies that \(n_{\text{min}} > (1/n_{\text{p}} f_{\text{p}} - \Delta t/2)\). Comparing \(K_{\text{per}}(f)\) and \(K_{\text{b,per}}(f)\), Equations (A13) and (A15), shows that the net GW signal of periodic sources exceeds the contribution of GW burst sources.

\section{A.4. Signal-to-noise Ratio}

Here we present simple estimates on the scaling of the GW signal and timing S/N for eccentric sources using elementary functions. This is useful not only because the exact signal presented above is algebraically complicated, but also because the total S/N for individual sources is not represented well by \(h(a, e)\) in a single frequency bin, but is sensitive to the coherent sum over many orbital harmonics.

Let us define an effective GW strain amplitude using the GW power at pericenter passage, \(P_p\), is the average power times the fraction of time the source spends near pericenter passage, i.e., \(n_p\), given by Equation (A5). Thus,

\[
P_p = \frac{1}{4} D^2 e_p^2 h_p^2 = n_p P = \frac{32 M^2 m^2}{5} \frac{1}{r_p^5} (1 + e)^{1/2} F_1(e) \ .
\]

This amounts to the root-sum-square of individual frequency harmonics in the spectrum for single sources, without averaging over the full orbit (cf. Equation (A6)). From this we get that the effective strain at close passage is

\[
h_p = 2 \left( \frac{n_p P}{D \omega_p} \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{32}{5} \frac{2 M c^2 m^2}{D r_p} F_1^{1/2}(e)} \ .
\]

where \(\omega_p = 2\pi f_p\) and we have used \(\omega_p = M^{1/2}(1 + e)^{1/2} r_p^{-3/2}\). The pulsars are sensitive to the time integral of the strain (see Section 4.2),

\[
\mathcal{H}_c = \frac{h_p}{\omega_p} (f_{\text{orb}} T)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{32}{5} \frac{1}{D a_{1/4}^4} \frac{M c^2 m^2}{T^{1/2}} (1 + e)^{1/2} F_1^{1/2}(e)} \ .
\]

The signal-to-noise ratio is then \(S/N = \mathcal{H}_c/\delta_0\) where \(\delta_0\) is the timing noise over time \(f_{\text{p}}^{-1}\). Note that the rms of the eccentricity-dependent terms is \(0.438\) in Equation (A18), if the eccentricity is drawn from a thermal distribution \(\varphi(e) = 2e\) between \(0 \leq e < 1\) (Binney & Tremaine 2008). The S/N of the timing residual is not very sensitive to the semimajor axis, or the maximum eccentricity in the cluster. For fixed \(a\), eccentric sources contribute to the net timing residuals at a similar level as circular sources.

Equation (A18) is only applicable for periodic sources, i.e., if \(f_{\text{orb}} T \gg 1\). For a single GW burst source with \(1/f_p < T < 1/f_{\text{orb}}\),

\[
\mathcal{H}_{c,1b} = \frac{h_p}{\omega_p} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{32}{5} \frac{1}{D} \frac{r_p}{1 + e} F_1^{1/2}(e)} \ .
\]

---

\(^8\) To see this, consider an approximate sharply peaked signal around \(f_{\text{p}}\) for which \(g(a, e) \sim \delta_{n_{\text{p}}(a)} F(e)\), where \(\delta_i = 1\) if \(i = j\) and 0 otherwise.
provided that the orbital phase coincides with pericenter passage during the observation. This shows that for burst sources with fixed pericenter distance, the total timing residual is weakly dependent on eccentricity, for fixed pericenter distance. The number of sources is constant as a function of $r_p$ for fixed $e$, but the fraction of sources that are near pericenter passage at any given instant decreases quickly for smaller $r_p$ proportional to $1/n_p$. Therefore, the net contribution of burst sources scales as

$$\mathcal{H}_{c,b} = \Delta N \mathcal{H}_{c,1b} = \mathcal{H}_{c,1b}(\omega_p T)^{1/3} n_p r_p \Delta r_p,$$

$$= \frac{h_p (\omega_p T)^{1/3} r_p \Delta r_p}{\omega_p} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{32}{5} \frac{M_{*}^{3/4} m_{*} T^{1/2} n_p r_p \Delta r_p}{D r_p^{3/4}} (1 + e)^{1/2} P^{1/2}(e). \quad (A20)$$

The spectral density within a frequency bin follows from $\Delta r_p = (2/3) \omega_p^{-3/5} \Delta \omega_p$, implying that $\mathcal{H}_{c,n} \propto r_p^{-1/4} \Delta r_p \propto \omega_p^{-3/2} \Delta \omega_p$. The average timing spectral density of burst sources decreases quickly toward higher frequencies.
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