Autumn Warming Delays the Downregulation of Photosynthesis and Does Not Increase the Risk of Freezing Damage in Interior and Coastal Douglas-fir
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During autumn, evergreen conifers utilize the decrease in daylength and temperature as environmental signals to trigger cold acclimation, a process that involves the downregulation of photosynthesis, upregulation of photoprotection, and development of cold hardiness. Global warming will delay the occurrence of autumn low temperatures while daylength remains unaffected. The impact of autumn warming on cold acclimation and the length of the carbon uptake period of species with ranges that encompass diverse climates, such as Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii), remains unclear.

Our study investigated intraspecific variation in the effects of autumn warming on photosynthetic activity, photosynthetic pigments, and freezing tolerance in two interior (var. glauca) and two coastal (var. menziesii) Douglas-fir provenances. Following growth under simulated summer conditions with long days (16 h photoperiod) and summer temperatures (22/13 °C day/night), Douglas-fir seedlings were acclimated to simulated autumn conditions with short days (8 h photoperiod) and either low temperatures (cool autumn, CA; 4/−4 °C day/night) or elevated temperatures (warm autumn, WA; 19/11 °C day/night). Exposure to low temperatures in the CA treatment induced the downregulation of photosynthetic carbon assimilation and photosystem II efficiency, increased the size and de-epoxidation of the xanthophyll cycle pigment pool, and caused the development of sustained nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ). Seedlings in the WA treatment exhibited no downregulation of photosynthesis, no change in xanthophyll cycle pigment de-epoxidation, and no development of sustained NPQ. Albeit these changes, freezing tolerance was not impaired under WA conditions compared with CA conditions. Interior Douglas-fir seedlings developed greater freezing tolerance than coastal seedlings. Our findings suggest that autumn warming, i.e., short photoperiod alone, does not induce the downregulation of photosynthesis in Douglas-fir. Although autumn warming delays the downregulation of photosynthesis, the prolonged period of photosynthetic activity does not bear a trade-off of impaired freezing tolerance.
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INTRODUCTION

Climate change is projected to increase global average surface temperatures by 2.5–5°C by the end of the century (IPCC, 2014). Even larger increases are projected for the middle to high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere, which are dominated by temperate and boreal forests. In these forests, evergreen conifers undergo cold acclimation during autumn to protect their overwintering tissues (Chang et al., 2020). This cold acclimation process involves the cessation of growth, downregulation of photosynthesis, upregulation of photoprotection, and development of cold hardiness (Öquist and Hüner, 2003; Chang et al., 2020). Evergreen conifers rely upon decreases in temperature and photoperiod during autumn as signals to trigger these physiological changes (Welling et al., 2002; Rossi et al., 2008; Singh et al., 2017). Autumn warming will delay the low temperature signal while the short photoperiod signal will remain unaffected; this desynchronization has the potential to disrupt the cold acclimation process (Hänninen, 2016; Chang et al., 2020). Thus, the impact of projected autumn warming on evergreen conifer species will be strongly influenced by the importance of photoperiod versus temperature for the induction of the physiological changes that constitute cold acclimation (Way and Montgomery, 2015).

Evergreen conifer cold acclimation begins during late summer and early autumn with the initiation of growth cessation (Repo et al., 2000; Hamilton et al., 2016) and bud formation (Chen et al., 2012; Maurya and Bhalerao, 2017). Most evergreen conifers utilize short photoperiod as the dominant signal for initiation of growth cessation (Rossi et al., 2006). In these species, growth cessation likely involves a preemptive redirection of photoassimilates from sink tissues to storage tissues in early autumn (Oleksyn et al., 2000; Palacio et al., 2014). During late autumn, low temperatures begin to limit cell division, cell differentiation, and carbon allocation (Rossi et al., 2008). These changes decrease metabolic sink capacity and further cease growth. Photosynthesis continues to fuel the cold acclimation process (Wong et al., 2019; Fréchette et al., 2020); however, the combined effects of growth cessation and low temperatures eventually induce a downregulation of photosynthetic activity (Öquist and Hüner, 2003; Chang et al., 2020). This downregulation is due to limitation of the rates of photosynthetic electron transport and the Calvin cycle (Kingston-Smith et al., 1997; Öquist and Hüner, 2003; Crosatti et al., 2013). Comparatively, the rates of the primary photophysical and photochemical reactions of photosystem II (PSII) are largely temperature independent (Hüner et al., 1998; Ensminger et al., 2006). This disparity in temperature sensitivities creates potential for the accumulation of excess light energy during late autumn and winter (Öquist and Hüner, 2003; Hüner et al., 2013). Excess light energy can lead to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that cause photooxidative damage to PSII (Barber and Andersson, 1992; Apel and Hirt, 2004). This is especially threatening since low temperatures also inhibit the repair of damaged PSII subunits, such as the reaction center protein D1 (Ottander et al., 1995; Ensminger et al., 2006).

To protect against the harmful effects of low temperatures, evergreen conifers reorganize their photosynthetic apparatus into large aggregates that exhibit downregulated photosynthesis and upregulated photoprotection (Ottander et al., 1995; Savitch et al., 2002; Chang et al., 2020). In Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris), the downregulation of photosynthetic activity involves the moderate degradation of chlorophyll pigments and reaction center proteins, which causes a decrease in the size of PSII light-harvesting complexes (LHCs; Ottander et al., 1995; Porcar-Castell et al., 2008). The upregulation of photoprotective capacity usually involves the accumulation of carotenoid pigments that perform ROS scavenging, such as β-carotene, neoxanthin, and lutein (Krieger-Liszkay et al., 2008; Jahns and Holzwarth, 2012; Chang et al., 2015). It also involves the accumulation of carotenoid pigments that contribute to nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ), particularly xanthophyll pigments (Demmig-Adams and Adams, 1992; Ensminger et al., 2006; Verhoeven, 2014). In response to excess light energy, light-harvesting violaxanthin is de-epoxidated to form antheraxanthin, which is further de-epoxidated to form energy quenching zeaxanthin (Müller et al., 2001; Horton et al., 2008). During the growing season, these reactions occur in a fast and reversible process called the xanthophyll cycle, which provides a dynamic mechanism of NPQ in response to short-term stress (Demmig-Adams et al., 2012; Janka et al., 2015). During winter, the pigment quantity (VAZ) and de-epoxidation state (DEPS) of the xanthophyll cycle are increased, providing a sustained mechanism of NPQ in response to long-term stress (Sveshnikov et al., 2006; Demmig-Adams et al., 2012).

Cold acclimation also involves the development of cold hardiness, which is initiated during early autumn in response to decreasing photoperiod and evolves during late autumn in response to the combination of short photoperiod and low temperature (Chang et al., 2015; Strimbeck et al., 2015). Freezing temperatures can induce the formation of extracellular ice crystals that impose mechanical and osmotic stresses, causing tissue damage and cellular dehydration (Sutinen et al., 2001; Crosatti et al., 2013). Freezing and thawing in leaves can also disrupt the integrity of plasma and thylakoid membranes, resulting in leakage of solutes and collapse of cells and chloroplasts (Steponkus, 1984). To protect against this, evergreen conifers, such as Siberian spruce (Picea obovata), undergo large changes in lipid and carbohydrate metabolism during the development of cold hardiness (Angelcheva et al., 2014). These metabolic changes help maintain membrane fluidity (Moellering et al., 2016; Crosatti et al., 2013) and provide cellular osmo- and cryoprotection (Angelcheva et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2015). In addition, specific dehydrin proteins that have osmo- and cryoprotective functions are expressed (Close, 1997; Chang et al., 2015, 2016). Together, these cold hardening processes provide evergreen conifers, such as Douglas-fir, with tolerance to prolonged exposure to winter temperatures below −40°C (Strimbeck et al., 2015).

Several studies have investigated the effects of autumn warming on cold acclimation in evergreen conifers in order to assess the impact of climate change on temperate and boreal forests. Growth chamber experiments employing elevated
temperatures ranging from +5 to +15°C have demonstrated delayed downregulation of photosynthesis in seedlings of white pine (Pinus strobus; Chang et al., 2016; Fréchette et al., 2016) and white spruce (Picea glauca; Hamilton et al., 2016; Stinziano and Way, 2017). These findings are consistent with those of open-top chamber field experiments on mature Scots pine (Wang, 1996). Delayed development of freezing tolerance due to autumn warming has also been demonstrated in both growth chamber and open-top chamber experiments ( Repo et al., 1996; Guak et al., 1996; Chang et al., 2016). However, a +15/+13°C (day/night) autumn warming treatment caused the downregulation of photosynthetic carbon assimilation but not PSII efficiency in jack pine seedlings (Pinus banksiana) (Busch et al., 2007). These seedlings responded to the resulting excess light energy via enhanced dynamic NPQ and increased quantities of ROS-scavenging β-carotene. In addition, the development of freezing tolerance in white spruce seedlings was unaffected under a +14/+12°C autumn warming treatment ( Hamilton et al., 2016). These contrasting results highlight the variation among evergreen conifer species in the photoperiod sensitivity of the different cold acclimation processes.

Responses to shorter photoperiod and lower temperature during late summer and autumn can also vary within species and among populations originating from different geographical areas (i.e., provenances) ( Savolainen et al., 2007; Fréchette et al., 2020) observed in white pine that the timing of the downregulation of photosynthesis in response to shorter photoperiod was delayed in southern provenances compared with northern provenances. In the same field experiment, Fréchette et al. (2020) also simulated autumn warming (+1.5°C above ambient during the day and +3°C above ambient during the night) and observed that warming caused a larger delay in the downregulation of photosynthesis in southern provenances than in northern provenances. Beuker et al. (1998) observed that northern provenances of Scots pine and Norway spruce (Picea abies) initiated the development of freezing tolerance in response to shorter photoperiods. These examples of intraspecific variation emerge from selective pressure for local adaptation to climate ( Aitken et al., 2008). Local adaptation often results in patterns of trait variability that follow latitudinal and elevational gradients across the ranges of evergreen conifer species ( Howe et al., 2003; Savolainen et al., 2007; Rehfeltt et al., 2014). However, local adaptation in many populations is laggard behind the shifts in climate caused by rapid warming (Carter, 1996; Corlett and Westcott, 2013; Gray and Hamann, 2013). As sessile species with long generation times, evergreen conifers are limited in their capacity to migrate and adapt in response to these shifts ( Savolainen et al., 2007; Aitken et al., 2008). Thus, their capacity for phenotypic plasticity will be a major factor determining their ability to avoid maladaptation to autumn warming.

Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) has been used in many studies to demonstrate local adaptation ( Eckert et al., 2009; Eilmann et al., 2013) and phenotypic plasticity ( Isaac-Renton et al., 2014; Hess et al., 2016). It is a dominant and economically valuable evergreen conifer in western North America with a range that encompasses diverse climates ( Howe et al., 2006; Mullin et al., 2011). In British Columbia specifically, mean annual temperature can vary as much as 6°C among Douglas-fir provenances ( Wang et al., 2012). High levels of intraspecific variation in growth cessation and freezing tolerance development have been observed across its latitudinal and elevational gradients ( Bansal et al., 2015; Ford et al., 2017). Intraspecific variation in the response of photosynthetic and photoprotective mechanisms to photoperiod and temperature is thus expected as well. The coastal Douglas-fir variety (var. menziesii) generally originates from low-to mid-elevation environments with milder climates, whereas the interior variety (var. glauca) generally originates from mid-to high-elevation environments with colder climates and larger daily temperature ranges ( Howe et al., 2006). Adaptation of these varieties in part reflects trade-offs between traits that improve vigor in milder climates and traits that improve tolerance to early frost ( St Clair et al., 2005). However, selection pressures are changing rapidly; an increase in average autumn temperature of up to 6°C is projected in British Columbia by the end of the century ( Arora et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012). The impact that this will have on the physiological mechanisms that regulate the autumn cold acclimation process in Douglas-fir remains unclear.

In this study, we assessed the effects of simulated autumn warming on cold acclimation in two interior and two coastal provenances of Douglas-fir seedlings. We aimed to characterize the intraspecific variation in (1) the autumn cold acclimation process as well as (2) the plasticity of this process in response to warming. We hypothesized that (1) the autumn cold acclimation process requires both low temperature and short photoperiod signals in Douglas-fir. Autumn warming therefore delays the downregulation of photosynthesis and the corresponding upregulation of photoprotection, which causes an extension of the carbon uptake period at the cost of impairment of the development of freezing tolerance. We further hypothesized that (2) interior Douglas-fir is maladapted to warm autumn (WA) temperatures at lower latitudes and elevations, whereas coastal Douglas-fir is maladapted to frost risk at higher latitudes and elevations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Experimental Conditions**

Seeds of interior and coastal Douglas-fir provenances (P. menziesii var. glauca and var. menziesii, respectively) in British Columbia were obtained from the Tree Seed Centre (Surrey, British Columbia, Canada). Interior Douglas-fir seeds originated from Little Elk Creek (LIT; 50°32′24.0″N, 115°37′12.0″W, 1,525 m) and Meldrum Creek (MEL; 52°02′24.0″N, 122°19′48.0″W, 900 m), and coastal Douglas-fir seeds originated from Pemberton (PEM; 50°19′12.0″N, 122°43′48.0″W, 550 m) and Tsawwassen River (TSO; 49°46′48.0″N, 126°37′48.0″W, 225 m) (Figure 1). These four provenances were selected because they represent a wide scope of the geographic and climatic conditions present across Douglas-fir’s range in British Columbia (Table 1).

Seeds were soaked for 24 h in distilled water at room temperature, surface-sterilized for 5 h in 30 ml of 3% hydrogen peroxide, and stratified for 3 weeks in the dark at 4°C. pH 4.5 potting soil was prepared with 21.6% (v/v) silica sand
FIGURE 1 | Locations of the interior (LIT, MEL) and coastal (PEM, TSO) Douglas-fir provenances used in this experiment.

(Cat. No. 1240s; Bell & Mackenzie, Hamilton, ON, Canada), 13.5% (v/v) sphagnum peatmoss (Premier Tech, Rivière-du-Loup, PQ, Canada), 10.8% (v/v) Turface (PROFILE, Buffalo Grove, IL, United States), 7.6% (v/v) coarse perlite (Therm-O-Rock, New Eagle, PA, United States), 3.2% (v/v) medium vermiculite (Therm-O-Rock), 0.1% (v/v) dolomitic limestone (National Lime & Stone, Findlay, OH, United States), 43.2% (v/v) distilled water. Seeds were planted in 168 ml cones lightly packed with potting soil and covered with 5 mm of silica sand. Seeds were germinated for 4 weeks in greenhouse under 17 h photoperiod and 25/17°C day/night, 400–1,200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of photosynthetically active radiation, and 55% relative humidity (RH). At 4 weeks after planting, seedlings were transplanted into 25 l square pots containing one seedling from

| Var | Prov | Lat  | Long | Elev | Historical (1961–1990) | Projected (2085) |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------------------------|------------------|
|     |      | (°N) | (°E) | (m)  | T_max (°C) | T_min (°C) | T_ave (°C) | P (mm) | RH (%) | T_max (°C) | T_min (°C) | T_ave (°C) | P (mm) | RH (%) |
| Int | LIT  | 50.54| -115.62| 1525| 7.9 | -3.7 | 2.1 | 134 | 59 | 14.3 | 2.9 | 8.6 | 174 | 63 |
|     | MEL  | 52.04| -122.33| 900 | 10.1 | -1.5 | 4.3 | 104 | 61 | 16.7 | 5.1 | 10.9 | 126 | 64 |
| Cst | PEM  | 50.32| -122.73| 550 | 10.9 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 320 | 69 | 17.4 | 7.8 | 12.6 | 363 | 71 |
|     | TSO  | 49.78| -126.63| 225 | 12.8 | 5.2 | 9 | 1343 | 75 | 18.5 | 10.7 | 14.6 | 1464 | 76 |

Climate data were obtained from the ClimateWNA software; predictions for 2085 were based on the CanESM2 model RCP 8.5 scenario (Arora et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012). Var, seed lot variety; Int, interior variety; Cst, coastal variety; Prov, provenance; Lat, latitudinal coordinates; Long, longitudinal coordinates; Elev, elevation above sea level; T_max, mean maximum autumn temperature; T_min, mean minimum autumn temperature; T_ave, mean average autumn temperature; P, mean total autumn precipitation; RH, mean autumn relative humidity.
each provenance. Seedlings were grown for 6 months under 18 h photoperiod and a temperature regime based on Wang et al. (2016). Following this growth phase, seedlings were transferred to growth chambers (BioChambers, Winnipeg, MB, Canada) and chilled for 2 months under 8 h photoperiod, 8/4°C day/night, and 50–300 µmol photons m–2 s–1. This was followed by a second 6-month-long growth phase in greenhouse. During growth phases, seedlings were watered once per week and fertilized twice per week according to Wenny and Dumroese (1992).

At the start of the experiment, 2-year-old seedlings were transferred to four growth chambers and acclimated to simulated summer control (SC) conditions. This SC treatment consisted of long days and average summer temperatures (16 h photoperiod; 22/13°C day/night). Following 6 weeks of acclimation, sampling and measurements were performed for the SC treatment. Seedlings were then shifted to simulated WA or cool autumn (CA) conditions (two growth chambers per treatment). The WA treatment consisted of short days and elevated temperature (8 h photoperiod; 19/11°C day/night), designed to simulate the projected autumn temperature range for Tsowlin River in 2085 (Wang et al., 2012) according to the representative concentration pathway (RCP) 8.5 climate change scenario of the CanESM2 climate model (Arora et al., 2011). The CA treatment consisted of short days and low temperatures (8 h photoperiod; 4/–4°C day/night), designed to reproduce the average autumn temperature range for Little Elk Creek from 1961 to 1990. Following 6 weeks of acclimation, sampling and measurements were performed for the WA and CA treatments. Ten seedlings per treatment and provenance were randomly selected for sampling and measurements. All analyses were performed on 2-year-old needles. Seedlings were rotated within each growth chamber once per week. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was maintained at 1,200 µmol photons m–2 s–1 midday and 400 µmol photons m–2 s–1 during the first and last hours of each day. Light was provided using metal halide and high-pressure sodium bulbs. RH was set to 55%.

**Photosynthetic Gas Exchange and Chlorophyll Fluorescence**

Photosynthetic gas exchange was measured using a GFS-3000 portable gas exchange system with a standard cuvette and a 3056-FI PAM-Flurometer (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). Measurements were started at least 1 h after growth lights were turned on. The measuring cuvette settings were: 400 ml min–1 flow rate; 400 ppm CO2; 22 (SC treatment), 19 (WA treatment), or 4°C (CA treatment); and 55% RH. Dark respiration (Rd) was measured after 40 min of dark adaptation. Net photosynthetic carbon assimilation (A) and stomatal conductance (gs) were measured during steady-state assimilation at 1,500 µmol m–2 s–1 PAR after at least 3 min of exposure. Gas exchange measurements were normalized to leaf surface area measurements estimated using WinSEEDLE Pro v.2011b (Regent Instruments, Québec City, QC, Canada).

Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured simultaneously with photosynthetic gas exchange. Dark adapted minimum PSII fluorescence (F0) and maximum PSII fluorescence (Fm) were measured after 40 min of dark adaptation. This was followed by measurement of light-adapted minimum PSII fluorescence (Fm′), maximum PSII fluorescence (Fm″), and transient PSII fluorescence (FT) at 1,500 µmol m–2 s–1 PAR after at least 3 min of exposure. Maximum quantum yield of PSII (Fv/Fm) was calculated as according to Genty et al. (1989).

\[
\frac{F_v}{F_m} = \frac{F_m - F_o}{F_m} \quad (1)
\]

Light energy partitioning was calculated using the parameters \(\Phi_{PSII}, \Phi_{NPQ}, \) and \(\Phi_{LD}\) (Hendrickson et al., 2004).

\[
\Phi_{PSII} = 1 - \frac{F_o}{F_m} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\Phi_{NPQ} = \frac{F_t}{F_m} - \frac{F_o}{F_m} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\Phi_{f,D} = \frac{F_t}{F_m} \quad (4)
\]

**Photosynthetic Pigments**

Photosynthetic pigments were extracted according to Junker and Ensminger (2016). Samples were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Frozen samples were transferred to a pre-cooled mortar and pestle filled with liquid nitrogen and homogenized to a fine powder. Approximately 50–60 mg of homogenized frozen needle tissue was then transferred to a 2 ml amber vial, and pigments were then extracted for 2 h at 4°C in 98% methanol buffered with 2% 0.5 M ammonium acetate in the dark. The extract was centrifuged for 5 min at 4°C at 14,000 rpm, the supernatant was collected, and the pellet was washed with 100% methanol. This step was repeated twice. The supernatants were combined and filtered using 0.2 µm pore PTFE syringe filters (Thermo Scientific, Rockwood, TN, United States). Photosynthetic pigments were separated using a reverse-phase C30 column (5 µm, 250 × 4.6 mm; YMC Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) and analyzed with a 1260 Infinity high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system equipped with a UV-diode array detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, United States). Pigments were eluted using a mobile phase with a gradient of methanol, water buffered with 0.2% ammonium acetate, and methyl tert-butyl ether. Elution was performed at a flow rate of 1 ml min–1 and a column temperature of 25°C. Calibration was performed using standards for chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b (St. Louis, MO, United States) and antheraxanthin, α-carotene, β-carotene, lutein, neoxanthin, violaxanthin, and zeaxanthin from DHI Lab (Hørsholm, Denmark). Peak detection and pigment quantification were performed using ChemStation (Agilent Technologies).

Total chlorophyll content (Chl) was calculated as the sum of chlorophyll a and b contents per gram of fresh weight. Total carotenoid content (Car) was calculated as the sum of violaxanthin (Vio), antheraxanthin (Ant), zeaxanthin (Zea), neoxanthin (Neo), lutein (Lut), α-carotene (α-Car), and β-carotene (β-Car), normalized to Chl. Total xanthophyll cycle pigment content (VAZ) was calculated as the sum of Vio, Ant, and Zea. DEPS of xanthophyll cycle pigments was calculated according to Thayer and Bjorkman (1990).
Freezing Tolerance

Cold hardiness was assessed by measuring chlorophyll fluorescence to determine leaf freezing tolerance, following a modified protocol after Chang et al. (2016). Samples were taken after 9 weeks of exposure to WA or CA treatments. Needles were excised and placed proximal-end-down within 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes containing 0.10 ml of distilled water. Needles were exposed to a range of freezing temperatures at 5°C intervals from 0 to −40°C using a Thermotron SM-16-8200 environmental test chamber (Thermotron Industries, Holland, MI, USA). The initial decrease from 0 to −1°C occurred over 1 h, followed by a maximum cooling rate of −5°C per h to reach target temperature. Each target temperature was held for 10–12 h. After each freezing interval, needles were transferred to thaw in a stepwise manner: −20°C refrigeration for 24 h (if target temperature was >30°C), 4°C refrigeration for 24 h, and room temperature for 24 h. Following recovery, needles were exposed to 800 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for 1 h. Needles were then dark-adapted for 40 min, and F_v/F_m was assessed. The temperature corresponding to a 50% reduction in post-recovery F_v/F_m (LT₅₀) was used as a proxy for freezing tolerance of the photosynthetic apparatus. LT₅₀ was calculated using the midpoints of sigmoidal vulnerability curves constructed using a modified generalized logistic function.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical tests were performed in R v3.5.2 (R Development Core Team, 2010). For all photosynthetic gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, and photosynthetic pigment parameters, the effects of treatment, provenance, and the interaction thereof were assessed via two-way mixed-design ANOVA models using the lmerTEST package (Kuznetsova et al., 2017), where treatment and provenance represented categorical fixed factors, and chamber and pot represented random factors. For each parameter, the best-fit model was chosen according to lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1998). Following the determination of estimated marginal means between provenances for all parameters where provenance was significant, using the emmeans package (Lenth, 2016), significance of pairwise differences between provenances was assessed via Tukey’s HSD test. Freezing tolerance was compared between treatments and provenances via Satterthwaite’s approximate F test using the drc package (Ritz et al., 2015). Normality of distributions and equality of variances (homoscedasticity) for model residuals were assessed via Shapiro–Wilk test and Levene’s test, respectively.

RESULTS

Photosynthetic Gas Exchange

Under simulated SC conditions, net photosynthetic carbon assimilation (A_net) was similar in seedlings of the provenances MEL, PEM, and TSO (Figure 2A). The lowest A_net was observed in the interior provenance LIT, which exhibited levels that were significantly lower than those in the interior provenance MEL (P < 0.05). After 6 weeks of acclimation to WA conditions, there were no significant changes in A_net. In contrast, after 6 weeks of acclimation to CA conditions, A_net significantly decreased by 85–95% (P < 0.001). This trend of treatment effects was also observed for stomatal conductance (g_s) (Figure 2B). Under SC, dark respiration (R_d) was significantly higher (approximately 50–60%) in seedlings of the interior provenances LIT and MEL than in those of the coastal provenances PEM and TSO (P < 0.001; Figure 2C). After acclimation to WA, only MEL seedlings exhibited a strong decrease in R_d. After acclimation to CA, LIT, MEL, and TSO seedlings all exhibited strong decreases in R_d. For LIT seedlings in particular, R_d significantly decreased by almost 50% under CA (P < 0.001).

Chlorophyll Fluorescence

Analysis of the maximum quantum yield of PSII (F_v/F_m) revealed values of approximately 0.81 in seedlings of all provenances under SC conditions (Figure 3). Acclimation to WA did not significantly affect F_v/F_m. However, F_v/F_m was approximately 75–85% lower under CA conditions than under SC and WA conditions (P < 0.001). There were no significant differences in F_v/F_m between provenances under any treatment (Table 2). Analysis of the proportion of absorbed light energy used for photochemistry (Φ_PSII), dynamic NPQ (Φ_NPQ), and the sum of fluorescence and sustained NPQ (Φ_D) revealed that, under SC conditions, decreases in Φ_PSII under increasing light intensity were compensated for via increases in Φ_NPQ (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 2). Acclimation to WA did not significantly alter this pattern of light energy partitioning (Table 2). However, acclimation to CA caused an almost fivefold increase in quenching of excess light energy via Φ_NPQ (P < 0.001). This shift in light energy partitioning primarily replaced Φ_NPQ. LIT seedlings exhibited significantly higher Φ_PSII and lower Φ_NPQ than seedlings of other provenances (P < 0.001; Figure 4).

Photosynthetic Pigments

After acclimation to WA and CA, there were no significant changes in total chlorophylls (Chl) (Table 2). However, there were small but significant decreases in the ratio of chlorophyll a to chlorophyll b (Chl a/b) for all provenances under WA and CA (P < 0.01; Figure 5B). In contrast, acclimation to WA and CA caused increases in total carotenoids (Car), lutein (Lut), and total xanthophyll cycle pigments (VAZ) (Figures 5C–E). After acclimation to WA, Car, Lut, and VAZ increased in seedlings of the interior provenances only. After acclimation to CA, however, they increased in seedlings of both the interior and coastal provenances. Under CA compared with SC and WA, VAZ was significantly higher (approximately 40–50%) in seedlings of all provenances (P < 0.01; Figure 5E). The DEPS of the xanthophyll cycle increased only in response to CA conditions (Figure 5F). Under CA compared with SC and WA, DEPS was 3.5–5 times higher in seedlings of all provenances (P < 0.01).

Freezing Tolerance

The freezing temperature corresponding to 50% reduction in F_v/F_m (LT₅₀) did not significantly differ between seedlings

\[
DEPS = \frac{(0.5Ant + Zea)}{(Vio + Ant + Zea)} \tag{5}
\]
acclimated to WA and seedlings acclimated to CA (Figure 6). However, LT_50 of the interior provenances LIT and MEL was approximately 8–9°C higher than that of the coastal provenances PEM and TSO \((P < 0.01)\).

**DISCUSSION**

**Downregulation of Photosynthesis and Upregulation of Photoprotection in Response to CA Conditions**

The transition from simulated SC conditions to simulated CA conditions caused substantial decreases in photosynthetic carbon assimilation (Figure 2A) and PSII efficiency (Figure 3). These changes are indicative of the downregulation of photosynthesis that is expected in evergreen conifers undergoing cold acclimation during autumn (Wong et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2020). The near-zero levels of \(A_{net}\) and \(F_v/F_m\) in our seedlings after 6 weeks of acclimation to CA were comparable with those observed in mature interior Douglas-fir growing under field conditions during mid-winter (Adams et al., 2002). Unlike in other evergreen conifer species, such as white pine (Chang et al., 2016; Fréchette et al., 2016) and Scots pine (Ottander et al., 1995; Ensminger et al., 2004), we did not observe that the degradation of chlorophyll pigments contributed to the downregulation of photosynthesis in our Douglas-fir seedlings following acclimation to low temperatures (Figure 5A). However, it is likely that the degradation of the PSII protein D1 contributed to the observed downregulation of photosynthesis, as has been demonstrated in field-grown white pine (Verhoeven et al., 2009) and Douglas-fir (Ebbert et al., 2005). The additional reduction of \(R_d\) in all provenances except PEM under CA conditions suggests a general downregulation in metabolic processes in these seedlings. While counterintuitive, the higher levels of \(R_d\) observed in the interior provenances LIT and MEL compared with the coastal provenances PEM and TSO are consistent with studies that report a general trend of higher \(R_d\) in trees originating from colder, high-elevation environments (Mitchell et al., 1999). This trend has been found to persist even in common growing environments similar to our own (Reich et al., 1996; Oleksyn et al., 1998).
Figure 4: NPQ to sustained NPQ (shift in the quenching of excess light energy from dynamic conditions to simulated CA conditions caused a substantial increase in the capacity for quenching of excess light energy, which was likely caused by the combination of light and low temperature (Ensminger et al., 2006). The transition from simulated summer conditions to conditions where we simulated the anticipated future warmer autumn did not affect photosynthetic carbon assimilation (Figure 2A) or PSII efficiency (Figure 3). Even after 6 weeks of exposure to short photoperiod, ANet and Fv/Fm remained largely unchanged under WA temperature. This suggests that short photoperiod alone does not trigger the downregulation of photosynthesis in Douglas-fir seedlings. This is in contrast to previous findings in white pine (Fréchette et al., 2016, 2020), jack pine (P. banksiana; Busch et al., 2007), and Scots pine (Vogg et al., 1998). The downregulation of photosynthesis has been linked to reduced metabolic sink capacity due to short photoperiod-induced growth cessation (Savitch et al., 2002; Busch et al., 2007; Hamilton et al., 2016). We did not measure growth cessation or bud formation, so it is unclear to what degree growth acted as a metabolic sink in our WA seedlings. Ford et al. (2017) used a modeling approach to determine that growth cessation is primarily induced by short photoperiod in provenances originating from low elevations that are adapted to warmer autumn temperatures compared with provenances originating from higher elevations that are adapted to colder autumn temperatures. The lack of downregulation of photosynthesis under WA conditions in our warmer low-elevation coastal provenances suggests that growth cessation may not be a major factor influencing the downregulation of photosynthesis in Douglas-fir. Photoassimilates produced during continued photosynthesis under WA were likely used to drive the metabolically expensive alterations that contribute to the accumulation of photoprotective carotenoid pigments and the development of cold hardiness (discussed below). Distribution of carbon through roots to soil organisms has been demonstrated to increase substantially at the end of the growing season in Scots pine forests (Högberg et al., 2010), presenting another potential sink for photoassimilates produced during autumn under warm temperatures.

In Interior Seedlings, Warm Temperature Does Not Impair the Accumulation of Photoprotective Carotenoid Pigments That Is Observed During Cold Acclimation and Growth Under Short Autumn Photoperiod

Following the transition from simulated summer conditions to simulated WA conditions, absorbed light energy that was in excess of the capacity for photochemistry and required safe dissipation was primarily quenched via dynamic NPQ (Figure 4). This indicates that the development of sustained NPQ during...
TABLE 2 | Effect of treatment and provenance on photosynthetic gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthetic pigments, and freezing tolerance.

| Category               | Parameter           | Best-fit model | Treatment          | Treatment × Provenance | Provenance | Treatment × Provenance |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Photosynthetic gas exchange | $A_{\text{net}}$ | Treatment × Provenance | 117.937 <0.001 | 4.002 0.009 | 2.536 0.024 |
|                        | $R_d$               | Treatment × Provenance | 19.170 <0.001 | 52.116 <0.001 | 2.800 0.014 |
|                        | $g_s$               | Treatment        | 32.967 <0.001 | NA NA | NA NA |
| Chlorophyll fluorescence | $F_v/F_m$          | Treatment        | 1221.000 <0.001 | NA NA | NA NA |
|                        | $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ | Treatment + Provenance | 12.025 <0.001 | 26.918 <0.001 | NA NA |
|                        | $\Phi_{\text{NPQ}}$ | Treatment + Provenance | 2343.621 <0.001 | 11.421 <0.001 | NA NA |
|                        | $\Phi_{f,D}$        | Treatment        | 3532.800 <0.001 | NA NA | NA NA |
| Photosynthetic pigments | Chl                 | Treatment + Provenance | 2.5150 0.089 | 2.796 0.048 | NA NA |
|                        | Chl a/b             | Treatment        | 12.998 <0.001 | NA NA | NA NA |
|                        | Car/Chl            | Treatment × Provenance | 34.076 <0.001 | 23.486 <0.001 | 2.965 0.013 |
|                        | VAZ/Chl            | Treatment × Provenance | 85.574 <0.001 | 14.172 <0.001 | 2.731 0.022 |
|                        | DEPS                | Treatment        | 824.440 <0.001 | NA NA | NA NA |
|                        | Vio/Chl            | Treatment × Provenance | 459.446 <0.001 | 13.372 <0.001 | 2.597 0.026 |
|                        | Ant/Chl            | Treatment + Provenance | 109.424 <0.001 | 5.910 0.00131 | NA NA |
|                        | Zea/Chl            | Treatment        | 338.190 <0.001 | NA NA | NA NA |
|                        | Neo/Chl            | Treatment + Provenance | 3.402 0.045 | 2.668 0.057 | NA NA |
|                        | Lut/Chl            | Treatment × Provenance | 28.786 <0.001 | 18.486 <0.001 | 4.265 0.001 |
|                        | $\alpha$-Car/Chl   | Treatment × Provenance | 23.820 <0.001 | 3.110 0.034 | 7.613 <0.001 |
|                        | $\beta$-Car/Chl    | –               | NA NA | NA NA | NA NA |

For each parameter, the best-fit model including treatment, provenance, week, and interactions thereof was chosen according to AIC. NA is used to denote that a factor was not included in the best-fit model. Effects of treatment, provenance, and week were assessed by pairwise comparison of models with and without each factor using a log-likelihood ratio test. P-values are bolded to denote statistical significance (P < 0.05). $A_{\text{net}}$, net photosynthetic carbon assimilation; $g_s$, stomatal conductance; $R_d$, dark respiration; $F_m$, maximum quantum yield of PSII; $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$, fraction of light energy quenched via photochemistry (i.e., effective quantum yield of photosystem II); $\Phi_{\text{NPQ}}$, fraction of light energy quenched via nonphotochemical quenching; $\Phi_{f,D}$, fraction of light energy quenched via fluorescence and sustained nonphotochemical quenching; Chl, chlorophylls; Chl a/b, ratio of chlorophyll a to chlorophyll b; Car, carotenoids; VAZ, total xanthophyll cycle pigments; DEPS, de-epoxidation state of the xanthophyll cycle; Vio, violaxanthin; Ant, antheraxanthin; Zea, zeaxanthin; Neo, neoxanthin; and Lut, lutein; $\alpha$-Car, $\alpha$-carotene; $\beta$-Car, $\beta$-carotene.

autumn cold acclimation requires low temperature in Douglas-fir, which is consistent with recent findings in white pine (Chang et al., 2016; Fréchette et al., 2016). Accordingly, the DEPS of the photoprotective xanthophyll cycle also remained unchanged under WA conditions (Figure 5F). Interestingly, the interior provenances LIT and MEL increased their photoprotective
capacity during WA through increases in carotenoid pigments (Figure 5C). The increased levels of lutein under WA were identical to those under CA (Figure 5D), suggesting that lutein accumulates only in response to short photoperiod in these provenances. The increase in xanthophyll cycle pigments in LIT and MEL under WA is surprising (Figure 5E) considering that there were no corresponding increases in dynamic or sustained NPQ (Figure 4). The short photoperiod sensitivity of these metabolic changes may reflect an adaptation to the sub-zero temperatures that colder high elevation provenances, such as LIT and MEL, experience during autumn (Table 1). Since temperature is a less reliable signal during the summer–autumn transition (Way and Montgomery, 2015), these seedlings may utilize shortening photoperiod to initiate changes in photoprotective pigments prior to low temperature exposure that causes excess light stress. Xanthophyll cycle activity can be rapidly induced by exposure to low temperature under controlled conditions in white pine (Chang et al., 2016; Fréchette et al., 2016). If this is also true in Douglas-fir under field conditions, the short photoperiod sensitivity of carotenoid accumulation in
of freezing tolerance in Douglas-fir seedlings in response to only autumn warming (Repo et al., 1996; Guak et al., 1998; Chang et al., 2016). Guak et al. (1998) observed delayed development of freezing tolerance compared with ambient autumn temperatures in a coastal site (Bansal et al., 2016). In field-grown white pine seedlings, the development of freezing tolerance begins in response to shortening photoperiod and rapidly increases following exposure to sub-zero temperatures (Chang et al., 2016). Field experiments employing elevated temperatures of approximately +1.5/+3°C day/night have shown no change in freezing tolerance development compared with ambient autumn conditions (Riioksenen et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2015). However, chamber experiments using larger temperature increases in the same range as the one used in our experiment have demonstrated delayed development of freezing tolerance under autumn warming (Repo et al., 1996; Guak et al., 1998; Chang et al., 2016). Guak et al. (1998) observed delayed development of freezing tolerance in Douglas-fir seedlings in response to only +4°C of warming applied continuously year round in open-top chambers. In contrast to this experiment and our expectations, we observed no difference in freezing tolerance between seedlings acclimated to CA and WA conditions. We also observed higher freezing tolerance in seedlings of the interior provenances versus coastal provenances, which is consistent with the observations of Bansal et al. (2016) that indicated provenances with colder autumn climates develop greater freezing tolerance. Nevertheless, WA conditions induced in all seedlings the development of freezing tolerance sufficient for the winter temperature minimums projected across the range of Douglas-fir in British Columbia (Arora et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012), even under the highest emissions scenario. These results suggest that Douglas-fir possesses a degree of short photoperiod sensitivity that will help conserve their freezing tolerance development under future warmer climates.

**CONCLUSION**

Our findings indicate that low temperature is the dominant signal for the downregulation of photosynthesis and upregulation of photoprotection in Douglas-fir seedlings during autumn. As we hypothesized, warming disrupts these key components of the autumn cold acclimation process, resulting in prolonged photosynthetic activity. Interior Douglas-fir does not appear to be maladapted to WA temperatures compared with coastal Douglas-fir. They exhibited unique accumulation of photoprotective carotenoid pigments in response to short photoperiod, which may be a benefit during low temperature events in future warmer autumns. Contrary to our hypothesis, the development of freezing tolerance does not appear to require both low temperature and short photoperiod signals in Douglas-fir. The short photoperiod sensitivity of the development of freezing tolerance appears to provide a degree of frost resistance that is sufficient for winter temperatures projected across Douglas-fir’s range. Thus, even coastal Douglas-fir appears to be adapted to frost risk at higher latitudes and elevations. Based on our findings, Douglas-fir from interior and coastal origin may benefit from an extended carbon uptake period resulting from autumn warming due to changing climate, without the costs associated with the disruption of cold acclimation. This distinguishes Douglas-fir from species of pine assessed in similar studies, which have demonstrated both limitation of photosynthesis under short autumn photoperiod and limitation of cold hardness under warm autumn temperature (Repo et al., 1996; Vogg et al., 1998; Chang et al., 2016; Fréchette et al., 2016, 2020). While our study focused on the effects of warming, rising CO₂ and episodes of drought are concurrent with rising temperature under natural climate conditions. Elevated CO₂ was demonstrated to additionally delay the downregulation of photosynthesis in white pine (Chang et al., 2016) and Scots pine (Wang, 1996). If this pattern holds for Douglas-fir, rising CO₂ may further prolong the period of photosynthetic activity under future climate. Albeit the potential carbon gains caused by elevated CO₂ and warming during autumn, it is crucial to emphasize that these carbon gains might be offset by loss in carbon uptake resulting from water stress and drought due to climate warming (Spittlehouse, 2003).

**Warm Temperature Does Not Impair the Development of Freezing Tolerance in Seedlings Growing Under Short Autumn Photoperiod**

Levels of freezing tolerance in our seedlings after 6 weeks of acclimation to CA (Figure 6) were consistent with those exhibited by Douglas-fir seedlings under late autumn field conditions in a coastal site (Guak et al., 1998) as well as by mature Douglas-fir under mid-autumn field conditions in a mountain site (Bansal et al., 2016). In field-grown white pine seedlings, the development of freezing tolerance begins in response to shortening photoperiod and rapidly increases following exposure to sub-zero temperatures (Chang et al., 2016). Field experiments employing elevated temperatures of approximately +1.5/+3°C day/night have shown no change in freezing tolerance development compared with ambient autumn conditions (Riioksenen et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2015). However, chamber experiments using larger temperature increases in the same range as the one used in our experiment have demonstrated delayed development of freezing tolerance under autumn warming (Repo et al., 1996; Guak et al., 1998; Chang et al., 2016). Guak et al. (1998) observed delayed development of freezing tolerance in Douglas-fir seedlings in response to only +4°C of warming applied continuously year round in open-top chambers. In contrast to this experiment and our expectations, we observed no difference in freezing tolerance between seedlings acclimated to CA and WA conditions. We also observed higher freezing tolerance in seedlings of the interior provenances versus coastal provenances, which is consistent with the observations of Bansal et al. (2016) that indicated provenances with colder autumn climates develop greater freezing tolerance. Nevertheless, WA conditions induced in all seedlings the development of freezing tolerance sufficient for the winter temperature minimums projected across the range of Douglas-fir in British Columbia (Arora et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012), even under the highest emissions scenario. These results suggest that Douglas-fir possesses a degree of short photoperiod sensitivity that will help conserve their freezing tolerance development under future warmer climates.

**CONCLUSION**

Our findings indicate that low temperature is the dominant signal for the downregulation of photosynthesis and upregulation of photoprotection in Douglas-fir seedlings during autumn. As we hypothesized, warming disrupts these key components of the autumn cold acclimation process, resulting in prolonged photosynthetic activity. Interior Douglas-fir does not appear to be maladapted to WA temperatures compared with coastal Douglas-fir. They exhibited unique accumulation of photoprotective carotenoid pigments in response to short photoperiod, which may be a benefit during low temperature events in future warmer autumns. Contrary to our hypothesis, the development of freezing tolerance does not appear to require both low temperature and short photoperiod signals in Douglas-fir. The short photoperiod sensitivity of the development of freezing tolerance appears to provide a degree of frost resistance that is sufficient for winter temperatures projected across Douglas-fir’s range. Thus, even coastal Douglas-fir appears to be adapted to frost risk at higher latitudes and elevations. Based on our findings, Douglas-fir from interior and coastal origin may benefit from an extended carbon uptake period resulting from autumn warming due to changing climate, without the costs associated with the disruption of cold acclimation. This distinguishes Douglas-fir from species of pine assessed in similar studies, which have demonstrated both limitation of photosynthesis under short autumn photoperiod and limitation of cold hardness under warm autumn temperature (Repo et al., 1996; Vogg et al., 1998; Chang et al., 2016; Fréchette et al., 2016, 2020). While our study focused on the effects of warming, rising CO₂ and episodes of drought are concurrent with rising temperature under natural climate conditions. Elevated CO₂ was demonstrated to additionally delay the downregulation of photosynthesis in white pine (Chang et al., 2016) and Scots pine (Wang, 1996). If this pattern holds for Douglas-fir, rising CO₂ may further prolong the period of photosynthetic activity under future climate. Albeit the potential carbon gains caused by elevated CO₂ and warming during autumn, it is crucial to emphasize that these carbon gains might be offset by loss in carbon uptake resulting from water stress and drought due to climate warming (Spittlehouse, 2003).
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