Characterization of a novel glycosylated glutathione transferase of *Onchocerca ochengi*, closest relative of the human river blindness parasite
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**Abstract**

Filarial nematodes possess glutathione transferases (GSTs), ubiquitous enzymes with the potential to detoxify xenobiotic and endogenous substrates, and modulate the host immune system, which may aid worm infection establishment, maintenance and survival in the host. Here we have identified and characterized a σ class glycosylated GST (OoGST1b), from the cattle-infective filarial nematode *Onchocerca ochengi*, which is homologous (99% amino acid identity) with an immunodominant GST and potential vaccine candidate from the human parasite, *O. volvulus* (OvGST1b). *Onchocerca ochengi* native GSTs were purified using a two-step affinity chromatography approach, resolved by 2D and 1D SDS-PAGE and subjected to enzymic deglycosylation revealing the existence of at least four glycoforms. A combination of lectin-blotting and mass spectrometry (MS) analyses of the released N-glycans indicated that OoGST1 contained mainly oligomannose Man₅GlcNAc₂ structure, but also hybrid- and larger oligomannose-type glycans in a lower proportion. Furthermore, purified OoGST1 showed prostaglandin synthase activity as confirmed by Liquid Chromatography (LC)/MS following a coupled-enzyme assay. This is only the second reported and characterized glycosylated GST and our study highlights its potential role in host-parasite interactions and use in the study of human onchocerciasis.

**Introduction**

The cattle filarial nematode *Onchocerca ochengi* is a well-established model natural system for the study of human onchocerciasis, the causative agent of which is *O. volvulus* (Trees, 1992; Makepeace and Tanya, 2016). Onchocerciasis is a devastating, vector borne, neglected tropical disease, affecting over 15 million people, 99% of whom live in Africa. Symptoms range from severe itching and disfiguring skin conditions (for the majority of sufferers), to being the second-leading infectious cause of blindness in Africa at over 1 million afflicted with vision loss (WHO, 2018). In efforts to discover new ways to control onchocerciasis, much research has been focused on the molecules which may allow *Onchocerca* spp. to establish and maintain infection. One such protein family is the glutathione transferases (GSTs) which may aid worm survival through detoxification of drugs and evasion of host-derived immunochemical attack, and with the potential to play roles in immunomodulation. Jaurigue and Seeberger (2017). A combination of lectin-blotting and mass spectrometry (MS) analyses of the released N-glycans indicated that OoGST1 contained mainly oligomannose Man₅GlcNAc₂ structure, but also hybrid- and larger oligomannose-type glycans in a lower proportion. Furthermore, purified OoGST1 showed prostaglandin synthase activity as confirmed by Liquid Chromatography (LC)/MS following a coupled-enzyme assay. This is only the second reported and characterized glycosylated GST and our study highlights its potential role in host-parasite interactions and use in the study of human onchocerciasis.
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Extending such focus on OvGST1b in the human-infecting O. volvulus filarial worm is however significantly limited through obvious logistical aspects in access to worm samples and necessary ethical constraints. Many onchocerciasis studies thus employ the closely related O. ochengi species in cattle as a valuable and accessible model for research into the genes and proteins which may play roles in this disease (Trees et al., 2000). Onchocerca ochengi GST homologue OoGST1 however, has yet to be isolated and studied to validate its status in terms of comparable structure, glycosylation state and enzymic activity with that of OvGST1b.

Therefore, investigations to resolve O. ochengi GST's, characterize the enzymic activity and unravel the structure of N-glycan modifications of the homologous OoGST1 protein, are presented here to allow comparative exploration of potential roles in host-parasite interactions. Our findings reveal subtle differences in glycosylation state between OvGST1s and OoGST1.

**Materials and methods**

**Parasite material**

*Onchocerca ochengi* adult female gravid worms were collected from nodules in hides of infected Gudali cattle from the Ngoundéré abattoir in the Adamawa region of Cameroon. Worm masses were dissected from collagenous tissue within nodules and male worms were removed. The females were washed in PBS and separated into 2 mL cryovial tubes before freezing and storage at −80 °C. Isolated female worms were transported to the UK on dry ice.

**Glutathione transferase purification**

Cytosolic extracts from *O. ochengi* were obtained by homogenization of frozen worms in an ice-cooled glass grinder in buffer containing 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 0.2% Triton X-100, 5 mM DTT and a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Roche, Mini-Complete, EDTA-free). Following homogenization, samples were centrifuged at 100 000 × g for 1 h at 4 °C and the supernatant, termed the cytosolic fraction, was retained for purification of GSTs.

GSTs were partially purified and further resolved to isolate glycosylated forms from the cytosolic fraction in two steps; *Step 1* employed S-hexylglutathione-affinity (S-hexylGSH-affinity) chromatography according to the adapted method of (Simons and Vander Jagt, 1977). In brief, the *O. ochengi* cytosolic fraction was passed at 0.5 mL min⁻¹ through Econo-columns (1.0 × 5 cm, 4 mL Bio-Rad, U.K.), containing 1 mL of S-hexylGSH-agarose (Sigma Aldrich), re-hydrated according to manufacturer’s instructions and equilibrated with 20 mL of 20 mM potassium-phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 50 mM NaCl (equilibration buffer). Non-S-hexylGSH-affinity proteins were washed from the column with 20 mL equilibration buffer at 0.5 mL min⁻¹. Affinity-bound proteins were eluted in 3 mL 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 buffer, containing 2 mM S-hexylGSH, and concentrated via centrifugal filtration in 10 kDa molecular weight cut off filters (Amicon Ultra-4, Millipore). GST samples were reduced to a final volume of 300 µL containing 2.5% (w/v) iodoacetamide replacing 1% DTT (LaCourse et al., 2009). Gels were then fixed overnight in 40% methanol/10% acetic acid, stained in colloidal Coomassie Blue G-250 overnight and then de-stained in 1% acetic acid.

**Electrophoresis**

Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2DE)

20 µg of native purified GSTs (S-hexylglutathione-binding proteins) was resuspended into immobilized pH gradient (IPG) rehydration buffer [6 M urea, 1.5 M thiourea, 3% w/v CHAPS, 66 mM DTT, 0.5% v/v ampholytes pH 3–10 (Pharmalytes, Amersham Biosciences, UK)] to a final volume of 300 µL. In-gel passive rehydration and isoelectric focusing of IPG gel strips with protein samples was at 20 °C with mineral oil overlay according to IPG strip manufacturer’s instructions (Bio-Rad, UK). Isoelectric focused strips were equilibrated, in two stages: a ‘reducing stage’ for 15 min in ‘equilibration buffer’ (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 30% glycerol, 2% SDS) containing 1% (w/v) DTT, followed by a 15 min ‘alkylating stage’ in ‘equilibration buffer’ containing 2.5% (w/v) iodoacetamide replacing 1% DTT (LaCourse et al., 2009). Gels were then fixed overnight in 40% methanol/10% acetic acid, stained in colloidal Coomassie Blue G-250 overnight and then de-stained in 1% acetic acid.

**Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)**

Protein samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE according to methods adapted from Laemmli (1970) on 12.5% polyacrylamide gels as previously described (LaCourse et al., 2009). Gels were Coomassie or Periodic-acid Schiff (PAS) stained (Sigma) and scanned upon a GS-800 densitometer (Bio-Rad).

Glutathione transferase enzyme activity

Establishment of GST presence within *O. ochengi* cytosolic extracts and S-hexylglutathione-binding protein samples was assayed *via* enzyme activity at 25 °C over 3 min at 340 nm using 1 mM 1-chloro-2, 4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) as standard second substrate in 100 mM potassium phosphate pH 6.5, containing 1 mM reduced glutathione in accordance with the adapted method of Habig et al. (1974). Assays were undertaken in triplicate in a Cary Varian spectrophotometer with specific activity expressed as nmol GSH/CDNB conjugated min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein (± standard deviation), and calculated as described by (Barrett, 1997) (see equation (1) below):

\[
\frac{\Delta OD}{\epsilon \times t} = \text{Specific Activity (nmol min}^{-1}\text{. mg protein}^{-1})
\]

Key to equation (1). \(\Delta OD\) = change in optical density over time (t) in min; \(\epsilon\) = extinction coefficient; \(V\) = total volume of assay mixture in the cuvette (mL); \(L\) = path length of the cuvette in cm; \(Pr\) = protein concentration of enzyme extract (mg mL⁻¹); \(S\) = volume of enzyme extract added to a cuvette (mL). The value of \(n\) is dependent on the extinction coefficient (\(\epsilon\)). If \(\epsilon\) is in cm³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, then \(n = 9\). If \(\epsilon\) is in M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, then \(n = 6\). If \(\epsilon\) is in mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹, then \(n = 3\).

Protein concentrations were estimated via the adapted method of Bradford (Bradford, 1976) using the Sigma (UK) Bradford Reagent protocol according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
Quadrupole time of flight (QToF) tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) analysis of OoGST peptides

Tryptic peptides were generated as previously described (LaCourse et al., 2009). Peptide mixtures from trypsin-digested gel spots were separated using an LC Packings Ultimate nano-HPLC System. Sample injection was via an LC Packings Famos auto-sampler and the loading solvent was 0.1% formic acid. The pre-column used was an LC Packings C18 PepMap 100, 5 mm, 100A and the nano HPLC column was an LC Packings PepMap C18, 3 mm, 100A. The solvent system was: solvent A 2% ACN with 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B, 80% ACN with 0.1% formic acid. The LC flow rate was 0.2 mL min\(^{-1}\). The gradient employed was 5% solvent A to 100% solvent B in 1 h. The HPLC eluent was sprayed into the nano-ES source of a Waters Q-TOFµ MS via a New Objective Pico-Tip emitter. The MS was operated in the positive ion ES mode and multiple charged ions were detected using a data-directed MS-MS experiment. Collision induced dissociation (CID) MS/MS mass spectra were recorded over the mass range m/z 80–1400 Da with scan time 1 s. The raw MS-MS spectral data files were processed using Waters ProteinLynx software (Waters, UK) to produce Sequest dta file lists which were then merged into a Mascot generic format (mgf) file.

Protein identification

All tandem MS data generated were searched against a sequence of OoGST as previously described (et al. 2016) and a Bos taurus reference proteome (UniProt UP000009136, March 2019) (37957 sequences, 17775113 residues in total) using the search engine MASCOT (version 2.3.02, Matrix science) Sequence parameters were a precursor mass tolerance of ±1.2 Da and fragment mass tolerance of ±0.6 Da. One missed cleavage was permitted, carbamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification and oxidation (M) and deamidation (N, Q) were included as variable modifications. Individual ion scores ≥39 were considered to indicate identity or extensive homology (P < 0.05), using MudPIT scoring. Only proteins with >2 peptides were used for analysis. Data were deposited to the PRIDE repository (Vizcaíno et al., 2016) with the data set identifier PXD013440.

Glycan analysis of O. ochengi σ class GST

Enzymic de-glycosylation of GSTs

GST samples were de-glycosylated with either peptide N\(^4\)-(N-acetyl-β-glucosaminyl) asparagine amidase F (PNGase F) or endoglycosidase H (Endo H) (both from NEB) treatment under reducing conditions according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 0.4 μg μL\(^{-1}\) OoGST and 1 μg μL\(^{-1}\) of glyco-sylated egg albumin (as positive control) were denatured at 100 °C for 10 min in Glycoprotein Denaturing Buffer. NP-40 was then added for PNGase F treatment only and samples digested with 25 units μL\(^{-1}\) per enzyme overnight in a 37 °C water bath. Mock-treated samples were processed the same (but without the addition of any enzyme) and the reactions were stopped by heating. All protein samples were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and either Coomassie blue or PAS stained or used for lectin blotting as indicated below.

Lectin-blotting

Lectin blotting was performed according to methods adapted from (Luk et al., 2008). Approximately 1 μg of PNGase F-treated or untreated σ class OoGST (see above) was fractionated on a 12.5% SDS-PAGE gel as described previously and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes at 90 V for 30 minutes on ice. The membranes were then incubated overnight at 4 °C in blocking buffer (PBS, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, 1% (w/v) BSA). Following several washes in washing buffer (PBS/0.1% (w/v) Tween 20), each membrane was incubated with 1 μL μL\(^{-1}\) biotinylated concanavalin A (ConA) (Vector Labs) for 1 h at room temperature (20–23 °C). Following further washes, membranes were then incubated in streptavidin-horse radish peroxidase (HRP) (ThermoFisher) at a 1: 100 000 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature (20–23 °C). Membranes were washed and then incubated with Supersignal West Dura (Pierce, UK) peroxidase buffer and luminol:enhancer solution at a 1:1 ratio, and developed by chemiluminescence, which continued for up to 5 h.

Prostaglandin-synthase assay

Prostaglandin synthase activity was assessed via the composite method of LaCourse et al. (2012) based upon aspects adapted from the original methods of Sommer et al. (2003), Meyer and Thomas (1995) and Meyer et al. (1996), with extraction modifications based upon Schmidt et al. (2005).

Sequence analysis of O. ochengi glycosylated glutathione transferase OoGST1

Oncocerca ochengi glycosylated σ-class GST (OoGST1) amino acid sequence (accession number nOo.2.0.1.t09064) was obtained from the University of Edinburgh’s O. ochengi genome assembly v. nOo.2.0.1 hosted by WormBase ParaSite (Howe et al., 2017; Consortium, 2019). Onchocerca ochengi GST Oo_GST_t09064 was aligned to highlight key residues involved in prostaglandin H\(_2\) binding using ClustalX Version 2.1 (Thompson et al., 1997; Larkin et al., 2007) with homologues Ov_GST_Ia (AAG44695.1) and Ov_GST_Ib (AAG44696.1) from O. volvulus along with the two mammalian haemato poetic prostaglandin D synthases (PGDS), highlighted in Perbandt et al., 2008.
**Structural analysis of OoGST1**

Initial protein tertiary models of *O. ochengi* σ class GST were produced *in silico* using SwissModel (Arnold et al., 2006) and Phyre2 (Kelley et al., 2015) with prostaglandin D synthase of *O. volvulus* (Protein Data Bank (PDB) 2HNL) used as a template structure. Homology prediction was also carried out by RaptorX (Kallberg et al., 2012) to predict disordered amino acid regions and I-Tasser (Yang et al., 2015) for increased confidence before refinement of the predicted model via ModRefiner (Xu and Zhang, 2011). Final structures were modified in PyMol (DeLano, 2002).

**Results**

**Sequence analyses and homology prediction**

OoGST1 shares 99 and 96% identity to *O. volvulus* GST1b and 1a respectively (Fig. 1a). All three nematode GSTs are σ class, have a 25-amino acid signal peptide that is cleaved prior to maturation and possess a 25-amino acid N-terminal extension not found in any other GST to date. Sequence similarity to other σ class GSTs commences after this extension and, like OvGST1b, OoGST1 shows 32 and 35% identity to the human and rat haematopoietic prostaglandin D synthase (PGDS), respectively (accession numbers gi:30749302 and gi:6435744). Similarly, the proposed prostaglandin H2 binding pocket differs significantly between the mammalian and *Onchocerca* GSTs and may suggest a potentially different binding mode for OoGST1 and OvGST1b than for the rat and *Homo* PGDS (Perbandt et al., 2008). There are also 6 potential N-glycosylation sites in OoGST1: one in the signal peptide (Asn5), one in the N-terminal extension, two in the N-terminus (Asn50, Asn79), and two in the C-terminus (Asn134, Asn144) of the mature protein. Homology modelling of OoGST1 predicts, in accordance with other σ class

---

**Fig. 1. In silico analyses of *O. ochengi* σ class GST OoGST1. (A) Alignment of amino acid sequences of OoGST1 with homologues from its sister species *O. volvulus*, and PGDS from rat and human. Blue boxes show the regions that are predicted to form the PDH2 binding pocket across rat, human and *O. volvulus* σ class GST [information adapted from (Perbandt et al., 2008)]. Yellow boxes highlight the predicted N-glycosylation sites in the mature *Onchocerca* spp. GSTs, whilst green box highlights a predicted N-glycosylation site in the cleavable signal peptide. Red boxes indicate the GSH binding regions. Global alignment was produced using ClustalX Version 2.1 (Thompson et al., 1997; Larkin et al., 2007). Accession numbers for the proteins used in the alignment are as follows: 1PD2_2_Rat_PGS – gi:6435744 (1PD2_2) from *Rattus norvegicus*; 1IYI_A_Homo_PGS – gi:30749302 (1IYI_A) from *Homo sapiens*; Ov_GST_Ia – gi:12005978 (AAG44695.1) from *Onchocerca volvulus*; Ov_GST_Ib – gi:12005978 (AAG44695.1) from *Onchocerca volvulus*; nOo.2.0.1.t09064 – WormBase ParaSite (Armstrong et al., 2016). (B) This initial model produced in silico using SwissModel (Arnold et al., 2006) is based upon the alignment of the *O. ochengi* sequence with the Protein Databank template pdb.2HNL from the closely related *Onchocerca volvulus* σ GST. The dimeric protein model is shown here with the 25 disordered amino acids N-terminal extension. Blue, yellow and red are used to highlight the PDH2 binding pocket, predicted N-glycosylation sites and GSH binding sites respectively. Rotating the protein 90° shows the wide PDH2 binding pockets, revealing bound GSH (red ball and stick).
GSTs, that this enzyme forms a dimeric protein (Fig. 1b). However, each homodimer possesses a 'lock and key' mechanism, typically observed only in other GST classes (α, μ, π) and vertebrate PGDS, but not normally observed in other nematodes aside from OvGST1b previously (Inoue et al., 2003; Line et al., 2019). Additionally, although topologically similar to π class GSTs, the structural differences in the substrate binding pocket of OoGST1 causes significant conformity changes resulting in a wider, shallower cleft.

The primary structure of the unusual 25 amino acid N-terminus extension is composed of a higher percentage (68%) of disorder-promoting amino acids; Ala, Arg, Gly, Gln, Ser, Glu, Lys and Pro, a low content of hydrophobic residues (12%), and no aromatic residues. This combination of amino acids suggests this part of the protein is unable to form the well-organized hydrophobic core that makes up a structured domain and thus is predicted to be an intrinsically disordered region (IDR) (Uversky, 2013). Indeed, x-ray crystallography of the OvGST1a on which this model was based revealed that this region lacked electron density and was therefore not modelled (Perbandt et al., 2008).

### Purification and enzymic characterization of OoGST

**Onchocerca ochengi** GST proteins from gravid, adult female worms were purified by affinity chromatography as described in Materials and Methods, and the enzymic activity carried out as shown by (Habig et al., 1974; Simons and Vander Jagt, 1977). Using CDNB as a model substrate, we found significant differences in cytosolic GST activity between whole worm extract, affinity-purified and column flow through (non-affinity) of GSTs, the structural differences in the substrate binding pocket of OoGST1 causes significant conformity changes resulting in a wider, shallower cleft.

The primary structure of the unusual 25 amino acid N-terminus extension is composed of a higher percentage (68%) of disorder-promoting amino acids; Ala, Arg, Gly, Gln, Ser, Glu, Lys and Pro, a low content of hydrophobic residues (12%), and no aromatic residues. This combination of amino acids suggests this part of the protein is unable to form the well-organized hydrophobic core that makes up a structured domain and thus is predicted to be an intrinsically disordered region (IDR) (Uversky, 2013). Indeed, x-ray crystallography of the OvGST1a on which this model was based revealed that this region lacked electron density and was therefore not modelled (Perbandt et al., 2008).

### Analysis of O. ochengi GSTs by 2DE

Considering that *O. ochengi* is predicted to have several GST classes (Armstrong et al., 2016), we carried out 2DE (Fig. 2) in order to resolve the GST classes for mass spectrometry protein identification (Table 1 and Supplementary file 1). Whilst π class GSTs are shown around the 25 kDa mark, but with different isoelectric points, σ class GSTs migrated at a higher apparent molecular mass (~35 kDa), but with spots less well-resolved than the π class isoforms. This indicates that σ class GST proteins were post-translationally modified, most likely glycosylated (see below).

Proteins were then excised from gels and identified by mass spectrometry in conjunction with MS-MS ions searches of a partially revised, publicly available *O. ochengi* database (Armstrong et al., 2016). Proteins identified were almost exclusively of the π and σ classes of GSTs (Table 1 and Supplementary file 1). As expected, we also identified bovine proteins, including a π-class GST in several spots (Supplementary file 1).

### Onchocerca ochengi GSTs are N-glycosylated and mainly modified by oligomannose N-glycans

To verify that σ class GSTs were glycosylated, as predicted by the presence of several N-glycosylation sequons (5–6) and also suggested by their migration on 2DE gels, S-hexylGSH-affinity-purified GSTs were incubated with either PNGase F or Endo-H. After digestion, only σ class GSTs appeared susceptible to either enzyme, as indicated by their faster migration on a Coomassie-stained gel (Fig. 3a and b). Furthermore, the lack of PAS reactivity after PNGase F treatment suggests that these proteins are specifically N-glycosylated (Fig. 3a, lanes 3 and 4). Whilst PNGase F caused a shift in migration of ~10 kDa, samples treated with Endo-H yield an extra band of ~28 kDa suggesting the possible presence of fucosylated, hybrid- or complex-type glycans on these proteins (Fig. 3b, lane 2). The identity of all GST proteins, before or after deglycosylation, was confirmed by mass spectrometry (not shown).

### Onchocerca ochengi GST are mainly modified by mannosylated N-glycans

To further determine the types of N-glycans present on *O. ochengi* GST, lectin-blotting was carried out using ConA for the recognition of terminal α-mannose residues (Fig. 4). As expected, in the untreated sample, ConA recognized all the α class GSTs, which migrated ~35–40 kDa, although recognition of a band with an apparent molecular mass of ~25 kDa suggests some degradation may have occurred (Fig. 4a). Following digestion with PNGaseF, most of the ConA binding was lost.

We took advantage of ConA recognition of the *O. ochengi* σ class GSTs for protein purification and glycan structural analyses.

---

**Fig. 2.** 2DE analysis of cytosolic glutathione-binding proteins of *O. ochengi*. 20 μg of S-HexylGSH purified GSTs were resolved via 2DE. Numbers/arrow indicate spots excised from the 2DE gel and identified via mass spectrometry (Table 1 and Supplementary file 1). Gel represents one of three run independently with the same sample, with identified spots visualized in all three gels.
| Spot Number | Wormbase ID     | Description            | GST class | Score | % coverage | Predicted Mr [kDa] | Predicted PI | Peptide sequence                                                                 |
|-------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------|-------|------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 70    | 24         | 24.4                | 7.3         | R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S K.SQFQGQLCVLDGQQVQSGAILR.H                        |
| 2           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 49    | 19         | 24.4                | 7.3         | K.LTYFSGIR.G K.SILPGELAK.F R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S                        |
| 3           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 70    | 42         | 24.4                | 7.3         | K.LTYFSGIR.G K.SILPGELAK.F R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S R.KYNLGENEMETTYIDMFCEGVR.D K.SQFQGQLCVLDGQQVQSGAILR.H |
| 4           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 91    | 42         | 24.4                | 7.3         | K.LTYFSGIR.G K.SILPGELAK.F R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEK.S R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S R.KYNLGENEMETTYIDMFCEGVR.D K.SQFQGQLCVLDGQQVQSGAILR.H |
| 5           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 150   | 42         | 24.4                | 7.3         | K.LTYFSGIR.G K.SILPGELAK.F R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEK.S R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S R.KYNLGENEMETTYIDMFCEGVR.D K.SQFQGQLCVLDGQQVQSGAILR.H |
| 6           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 259   | 42         | 24.4                | 7.3         | K.LTYFSGIR.G K.SILPGELAK.F R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEK.S R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S R.KYNLGENEMETTYIDMFCEGVR.D K.SQFQGQLCVLDGQQVQSGAILR.H |
| 7           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 30    | 24         | 24.4                | 7.3         | R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S K.SQFQGQLCVLDGQQVQSGAILR.H                        |
| 8           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 43    |             | 24.4                | 7.3         | K.SILPGELAK.F R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S                                   |
| 9           | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 49    | 4          | 24.4                | 7.3         | K.SILPGELAK.F R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S                                   |
| 10          | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t09864 | Glutathione s-transferase 1 | σ         | 231   | 34         | 28.5                | 9.4         | K.IGQMPGIR.E K.LUPWTYHK.N K.YTLTYFNGR.N R.FGLLGTAWEA1K.I K.LEEPPQIAAFVK.I K.IMAWLVNIEFLQK.L K.VSAUSLAFNMLTDLQVK.L |
| 11          | 1::nOo.2.0.1.t00341 | Glutathione transferase | π         | 109   | 19         | 24.4                | 7.3         | K.LTYFSGIR.G K.SILPGELAK.F R.LFLVDQDIK.F R.MIYAVETEKDPYIK.S                        |
After total O. ochengi GSTs were enriched by affinity chromatography using an S-hexylGSH column, only the glycosylated, σ class GSTs bound and were eluted off from the ConA column (Fig. 5). These samples were subsequently digested with PNGase F and the released glycans tagged with procanaimide and analyzed by HILIC-liquid chromatography followed by ESI-MS and ESI-MS/MS. As shown in Fig. 6, the Man$_5$GlcNAc$_2$-Proc structure represents the main glycan species (~45%) from σ class OoGST. This was confirmed by positive-ion ESI-MS analysis, which showed the presence of abundant [M + H$^+$] and [M + H$^+$]$^{2+}$ pseudomolecular ions at $m/z$ 1454.8 and 727.9, respectively, corresponding to a glycan of composition Hex$_5$HexNAc$_2$-Proc (Fig. S1 and Table 2). In addition, short paucimannose structures (Man$_4$GlcNAc$_2$-Proc and Man$_3$GlcNAc$_2$-Proc), oligomannoses (Man$_3$GlcNAc$_2$-Proc) and a few hybrid-type species (e.g. Fuc$_1$Man$_3$GlcNAc$_2$-Proc and Man$_3$GlcNAc$_3$-Proc) were found (Table 2). The identity of all glycan species, including that of the Man$_5$GlcNAc$_2$-Proc oligosaccharide, was further corroborated by MS/MS analyses, which produced the characteristic fragment ions (Fig. 7a and b).

**OoGST1 displays prostaglandin synthase activity**

The σ class GSTs have previously been reported to synthesize prostaglandin D2 (PGD2), PGE2 and PGF2; eicosanoids that function in diverse physiological systems and pathological processes (Meyer and Thomas, 1995; Sommer et al., 2003). Using the ConA-affinity-purified O. ochengi GST fraction shown to contain only OoGST1 (Fig. 5, lane 3) we employed a coupled assay with cyclooxygenase (COX-1) and arachidonic acid which showed OoGST1 also has the ability to synthesize prostaglandins. Nano-LC/MS detected the presence of both PGD2 and PGE2 in the assay mixture with the PGD2 form being the more significantly abundant of the two eicosanoids (Fig. 8). OoGST1 appears to reflect a similar proportionality and specificity to catalyse predominantly, or only PGD2 from PGH2, in a concentration-dependent manner as described for OvGST1a (Sommer et al., 2003). The presence of PGE2, a relatively limited product observed, may, as proposed by Sommer et al. (2003) represent a by-product of rapid degradation of the highly unstable PGH2.

**Fig. 3.** Glycosylated status of S-hexylGSH-purified O. ochengi GSTs. (A), 5 μg of undigested (lanes 1 and 3) or PNGase F-treated (lanes 2 and 4) GSTs were fractionated on 12.5% SDS-PAGE and stained with either colloidal Coomassie blue (lanes 1 and 2) or PAS (lanes 3 and 4). The asterix (*) in lane 2 shows the migration of the PNGase F enzyme. (B), Lanes 1 and 2 show non-glycosidase-digested and Endo H-treated GSTs from O. ochengi respectively. The asterix (*) in lane 2 shows Coomassie staining of the glycosidase Endo H enzyme.

**Fig. 4.** Lectin-affinity blotting of O. ochengi GST. Undigested (−) or PNGaseF-treated (+) GSTs were fractionated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and incubated with ConA for detection of mannose.

**Fig. 5.** SDS PAGE gel showing O. ochengi glutathione transferases (GSTs), resolved via S-hexylGSH-affinity and ConA-lectin-affinity chromatography. All bands shown in the SDS PAGE image were glutathione transferases (GSTs) of σ and α classes, purified and identified via tandem mass spectrometry. Lane 1, GSTs of σ and α classes resolved from cytosolic extracts eluted from an S-hexylGSH-affinity column. Lane 2, S-hexylGSH-affinity GSTs of the σ class that does not bind to the ConA-lectin-affinity column. Lane 3, ConA-lectin-binding σ class GSTs that also bind the S-hexylGSH-affinity column.
The $\sigma$ class GST of *O. ochengi* is of particular interest in terms of the potential involvement in host immune modulation as well as possible roles in detoxification of other endogenous host- and parasite-derived toxins. Given the presence of a signal peptide and its detection at the host-parasite interface in bovine nodule fluid (Armstrong et al., 2016), suggesting probable roles in the long-term survival of the parasite, further investigation of this GST in *O. ochengi* is warranted. Furthermore, the ability of GSTs

**Table 2.** Summary of most abundant N-glycan species from OoGST1

| Peak No. | GU  | % Relative abundance | Detected $[M + \text{Proc} + 2H]^+\ast$ | Detected $[M + \text{Proc} + H]^+\ast$ | Theoretical $[M + \text{Proc} + H]^+\ast$ | Composition | Proposed structure |
|---------|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 14      | 4.21| 7.7                  | 565.84                                | 1130.67                               | 1130.5                                 | (Hex)$_3$(HexNAc)$_2$ |  |
| 17      | 4.64| 0.7                  | 637.67                                | 1276.69                               | 1276.6                                 | (Hex)$_2$(HexNAc)$_2$(Deoxyhexose)$_1$ |  |
| 18      | 4.79| 3.2                  | ND                                    | 1333.71                               | 1333.3                                 | (Hex)$_3$(HexNAc)$_2$ |  |
| 20      | 5.03| 19.7                 | 646.87                                | 1292.73                               | 1292.3                                 | (Hex)$_4$(HexNAc)$_2$ |  |
| 21      | 5.34| 1.8                  | 767.90                                | ND                                    | 1536.3                                 | (Hex)$_3$(HexNAc)$_4$ |  |
| 26      | 5.99| 45.2                 | 727.89                                | 1454.78                               | 1454.3                                 | (Hex)$_3$(HexNAc)$_2$ |  |
| 33      | 6.89| 12.0                 | 808.92                                | ND                                    | 1616.3                                 | (Hex)$_3$(HexNAc)$_2$ |  |
| 39      | 7.81| 6.1                  | 889.83                                | ND                                    | 1778.3                                 | (Hex)$_3$(HexNAc)$_2$ |  |
| 44      | 8.67| 0.5                  | 971.02                                | ND                                    | 1940.3                                 | (Hex)$_3$(HexNAc)$_2$ |  |
| 46      | 9.39| 3.1                  | 1054.55                               | ND                                    | 2102.3                                 | (Hex)$_3$(HexNAc)$_2$ |  |

GlcNAc; Mannose; Fucose.

Proposed structures and relative abundance of the most common glycans from OoGST1 were taken from HILIC-LC (Fig. 6), and EIS-MS (Fig. S1) and EIS-MS/MS (Fig. 7) analyses.

**Discussion**

The $\sigma$ class GST of *O. ochengi* is of particular interest in terms of the potential involvement in host immune modulation as well as possible roles in detoxification of other endogenous host- and parasite-derived toxins. Given the presence of a signal peptide and its detection at the host-parasite interface in bovine nodule fluid (Armstrong et al., 2016), suggesting probable roles in the long-term survival of the parasite, further investigation of this GST in *O. ochengi* is warranted. Furthermore, the ability of GSTs
to detoxify endogenous and exogenous compounds, although well researched in other organisms, has not been fully explored in *O. ochengi*; that is, how any Phase II function may facilitate parasite establishment or survival.

We also show the predicted homology model between *OvGST1a* and *OoGST1* is almost identical, with both homodimers forming a dimeric functional protein. The significance of the 25-amino acid disordered region that both GSTs possess is yet to be determined. Intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) and proteins containing IDRs lack stable tertiary and/or secondary structures under physiological conditions, but are nevertheless fully functional and actively participate in diverse functions mediated by proteins (Dunker *et al.*, 2008; van der Lee *et al.*, 2014). These functions include cell signalling, cell regulation, molecular recognition and have also been shown to modulate immune responses (Wright and Dyson, 2015). Research highlights the potential for prostaglandins to be involved in a variety of host-parasite interactions and roles including reproduction, inflammatory responses and immunomodulation, yet much still remains to be understood; specifically, how these mechanisms and roles may relate to GSTs in helminth parasites and their interactions with mammalian hosts (Daugschies and Joachim, 2000; Szkudlinski, 2000; Brattig *et al.*, 2006; Kubata *et al.*, 2007; Biserova *et al.*, 2011; Sankari *et al.*, 2013; Kutyrev *et al.*, 2017; Laan *et al.*, 2017). Several reports highlight the potential involvement of eicosanoids in filarial worm infections. For example, Sommer *et al.* (2003) propose microfilariae of *O. volvulus* present in the skin of humans may employ PGD2 to avoid the cutaneous immune response in a similar way to that demonstrated by Angeli *et al.* (2001) in the *Schistosoma mansoni*-mouse model of human infection. Lui and Weller (1992) demonstrate prostanoids secreted by *Brugia malayi* inhibited host platelet aggregation, whilst production of PGD2 in the supernatant of *Dirofilaria immitis* indicated involvement in the relaxation of the aorta during canine heartworm disease. Further studies exploring the potential of *Onchocerca*-derived PGD2 to modulate the host immune system are currently underway in our laboratory.

![Figure 7](https://www.cambridge.org/core/coreimage)

**Fig. 7.** Positive-ion MS/MS spectra of Man$_5$GlcNAc$_2$-Pro (A) and Fuc$_1$Man$_3$GlcNAc$_2$-Pro (B) from *O. ochengi* GST1. (−73) refers to [M + H$^+$] ions that have lost terminal diethylamine from the procainamide tag during the collision (Kozak *et al.*, 2015). Blue squares, N-acetylglucosamine residues; green circles, mannose residues; red triangles, fucose residues; Proc, procainamide tag.
Our lectin-binding and structural analyses demonstrated that OoGST1 is a glycosylated protein. The expression of glycosylated GSTs has previously only been observed in the closely related filarial nematode, *O. volvulus*. OvGST1a and OvGST1b share 96 and 99% identity, respectively, to *O. ochengi* OoGST1, although the latter has an additional potential N-glycosylation sequon in the N-terminus. A combination of HILIC chromatography and LC-EIS-MS/MS of procainamide-labelled glycans showed that OoGST1 is mainly modified by a Man5GlcNAc2 oligosaccharide and, in a lower proportion, a series of larger oligomannose.

Fig. 8. Detection of prostaglandin synthase activity of *O. ochengi* class GST via a mass spectrometry approach. A coupled assay with *O. ochengi* native class GST and COX-1 catalyses the conversion of arachidonic acid to the H2 form before the prostaglandin isomer is converted to either the D or E form. Nano-LC/MS analysis allowed detection of both PGE2 (A) and PGD2 (B) in the assay mixture with the PGD2 form being the more abundant of the two prostanoids (C). Dashed, boxed figures above peaks show the fragmentation ions specific to detection of PGE2 (i) and PGD2 (ii) according to the method used and described by LaCourse et al. (2012).
structures (i.e. Manα–GlcNAc). This is similar to the glycan profile observed in glycopeptides from OvGST1 (Sommer et al., 2001). Interestingly, we found that OoGST1 also contains a fucosylated hybrid-type structure, with the fucose residue potentially linked as α(1–3) to innermost GlcNAc residue (based on PNGase F sensitivity). Previous studies have shown that N-glycans containing an α(1–3)fucose residue are common in helminth glycoproteins, which are highly immunogenic and elicit TH2 immune responses (Faveuve et al., 2003). It remains to be determined whether the presence of fucosylated glycans renders OoGST1 more immunogenic. Interestingly, OoGST1 N-glycans appear to render this protein more immunogenic to humans infected with O. volvulus, although no fucosylated glycans were detected by mass spectrometry (Sommer et al., 2001). Whilst the role of OoGST N-glycans remains to be determined, one potential function could be to increase its solubility in animal serum. Furthermore, the predominant presence of oligomannose structures could facilitate recognition by immune cell receptors with lectin domains, like the mannose receptor and DC-SIGN (Guo et al., 2004; Taylor et al., 2005).

Following this initial characterization of OoGST1, the opportunity exists for a wider range of studies upon this enzyme within the O. ochengi cattle experimental model system to inform studies of onchocerciasis and subsequent application to the human parasite O. volvulus. Indeed, cloning, expression and crystallographic studies, explorations of immunological aspects, as well as biochemical characterizations with a range of natural and model substrates, are underway with this glycosylated σ class GST of O. ochengi.
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