Leadership Quality and Risk of Long-term Sickness Absence Among 53,157 Employees of the Danish Workforce
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Objective: To examine whether low leadership quality predicts long-term sickness absence (LTSA) in Denmark. Methods: Using Cox models, we estimated the association between exposure to low leadership quality and onset of register based LTSA (more than or equal to 6 weeks) during 12-months follow-up among 53,157 employees without previous LTSA. Results: During 51,155 person-years, we identified 2270 cases of LTSA. Low leadership quality predicted LTSA with a dose–response pattern after adjustment for confounders. The hazard ratio (HR) of LTSA in the lowest compared with the highest quartile of leadership quality was 1.61 (95% CI: 1.43 to 1.82). Further, change from high to low leadership quality over time predicted risk of LTSA (HR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.02 to 1.97) compared with persistent high leadership quality. Conclusion: Exposure to low leadership quality is a risk factor of LTSA in the Danish workforce.
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Leadership quality also denoted managerial quality or supervisory quality, relates to specific behaviors or actions of a leader toward their subordinates. This includes, for example the leader’s ability to provide guidance, solve conflicts, or support employees in their professional development. High leadership quality may be a job resource with the potential of buffering health-hazardous exposures at work. According to Schaufeli and Bakker's job resources are aspects of work that reduce job demands, are functional in achieving work goals, and/or stimulate personal growth, learning, and development. Low leadership quality may also cause adverse working conditions, for example negative behaviors at work such as workplace bullying. Further, in accordance with the “Stress-as-Offense-to-Self” theory by Semmer et al., low leadership quality may be considered as a stressor in itself, as lack of appreciation, acknowledgment, and the breach of the social contract of what can be reasonably expected from a leader may directly affect employees’ well-being.

Long-term sickness absence (LTSA) may be considered an important indicator of both somatic and mental ill-health as it is strongly associated with risk of both disability pension and mortality. Although leadership quality is a major topic in work and organizational psychology, it is uncertain whether low leadership quality is associated with risk of LTSA. So far, prospective cohort studies on the association between leadership quality and risk of LTSA have shown inconsistent results, with studies both demonstrating associations, absence of associations and associations only in subgroups. In general, this literature is characterized by studies that are either based on relatively small samples or samples limited to specific occupational groups. Further, to our knowledge, no studies have yet investigated associations between changes in leadership quality over time and risk of LTSA. Examining such associations would be important for guiding future interventions.

In this article, we report results from a large prospective study linking survey data of more than 53,000 Danish employees from a wide range of job types and industries with register data on LTSA, defined as 6 or more consecutive weeks of sickness absence. In order to examine the potential effects of intervening on leadership quality among employees in Denmark we investigated (i) if low leadership quality predicted risk of LTSA and (ii) if low leadership quality predicted risk of LTSA differently among men and women, age groups, educational groups, and sector of employment (private or public). We further investigated in a subsample of more than 7000 employees with repeated measurement on leadership quality, (iii) if a change from high to low leadership quality predicted risk of LTSA compared with persistent high level of leadership quality.

METHODS

Study Design and Population

We used data from the 2012, 2014, and 2016 waves of the Work Environment and Health in Denmark study (WEHD), a
biennial survey data on working conditions and health. The design of WEHD is described in more detail elsewhere. Briefly, WEHD consists of a stratified workplace sample conducted in 2012 and 2016, a nationwide sample conducted in 2012, 2014, and 2016, and a cohort that consists of all responders from the 2012 wave of the nationwide sample who also received a questionnaire in 2014 and 2016. We included first-time respondents from the stratified workplace sample and the nationwide sample (study population 1) and respondents from the cohort responding in 2012 and 2014 (study population 2). Inclusion criteria for all participants were being (i) liable to pay taxes in Denmark, (ii) aged 18 to 64 years, (iii) employed with at least 35 hours monthly and with a monthly income of at least 3000 DKK (460 US Dollar, 400 Euro as of December 31, 2012), and (iv) not having a “research protected” address.

Figure 1 presents data source and flowcharts for study population 1 and 2. Study population 1 included all first time respondents from the 2012, 2014, and 2016 waves, a total of 67,402 individuals. The average response rate was 50%. We excluded 5116 respondents reporting non-employment at baseline (i.e., the date individuals filled in the questionnaire), 5242

FIGURE 1. Data sources and flowcharts for creating study population 1 and study population 2. LTSA, long-term sickness absence; RR, response rate; WEHD, Work Environment and Health in Denmark.
respondents with LTSA during the 2 years before or at baseline, 989 respondents who received either retirement benefits or disability pension or emigrated during the 2 years before baseline, 1633 respondents without a leader, and 1268 respondents with missing data on key variables including leadership quality (n = 631), yielding a final sample of 53,157 participants in study population 1.

Study population 2 included 11,471 individuals who responded to both the 2012 and 2014 wave. We excluded respondents reporting non-employment in 2012 or 2014 (n = 1444), had LTSA (n = 1376) or received retirement benefits, disability pension or migrated (n = 268) in the 4-year time period ranging from 2 years before filling in the questionnaire in the 2012 wave until filling in the questionnaire in the 2014 wave, reported that they had no leader (n = 444), and had missing data on key variables (n = 316), yielding a final sample of 7623 respondents in study population 2.

Measurement of Leadership Quality

Leadership quality was measured with eight items developed for WEHD: How often . . . (Q1) “does your immediate manager explain the company’s objectives so you understand what they mean for your work tasks?”; (Q2) “do you have sufficient authority in relation to your responsibilities at work?”; (Q3) “does your imme-
diate manager take the time to engage in your professional development?”; (Q4) “does your immediate manager involve you in the planning of your work?”; (Q5) “does your immediate manager give you the necessary feedback (praise and criticism) for your work?”; (Q6) “is your work recognized and appreciated by the management?”; (Q7) “do you get the necessary help and support from your immediate manager?”; (Q8) “can you trust the information that comes from the management?”

Response categories for all items were “Never” (1), “Seldom” (2), “ Sometimes” (3), “Often” (4), and “Always” (5). Scores were summed for all participants with non-missing values on four or more leadership quality items, resulting in a scale with a potential score by median split as high or low leadership quality in 2012 and 2014, respectively. We then categorized the respondents into four groups based on the level of leadership quality (high or low) in 2012 and 2014: “Persistent high leadership quality”; “ Change from high to low leadership quality”; “Change from low leadership to high leadership quality”; and “Persistent low leadership quality.”

In study population 1, we categorized the leadership quality score by median split as high (more than or equal to median) or low (less than median) leadership quality in 2012 (median = 28.0) and 2014 (median = 27.0), respectively. We then categorized the respondents into four groups based on the level of leadership quality (high or low) in 2012 and 2014: “Persistent high leadership quality”; “Change from high to low leadership quality”; “Change from low leadership to high leadership quality”; and “Persistent low leadership quality.”

In study population 2, we categorized the leadership quality score by median split as high or low leadership quality in 2012 (median = 28.0) and 2014 (median = 27.0), respectively. We then categorized the respondents into four groups based on the level of leadership quality (high or low) in 2012 and 2014: “Persistent high leadership quality”; “Change from high to low leadership quality”; “Change from low leadership to high leadership quality”; and “Persistent low leadership quality.”

The leadership quality items were developed for the WEHD questionnaire and its psychometric properties as a scale have not previously been tested. Therefore, we conducted a factor analysis (rotation method: varimax) in study population 1. The eight items loaded on one global factor with an eigenvalue of 4.57 and all rotated factor loadings ranged from 0.63 to 0.84, confirming the unidimensionality of the scale. The intern al consistency of the scale was high with a Cronbach α of 0.89.

Measurement of LTSA

Using the respondents’ unique civil registration number, the WEHD questionnaire data was linked to the DREAM register (Danish Register-Based Evaluation of Marginalization). DREAM contains weekly information on all social transfer payments in Denmark since 1991, and retirement, maternity leave, emigration, death, and all compensation granted for sickness absence since 1996.13 The type of social transfer payment is reported per week for each person.

We defined LTSA as any sickness absence spell lasting 30 days or more (more than or equal to 6 weeks). The reason for this cut-off point was that of January 2012 only sickness absence spells of 30 days or more were reimbursed by the municipality and subsequently registered in DREAM. We assessed the first episode of 6 or more consecutive weeks of sickness absence for each individual surviving 12 month (52 weeks) follow-up after baseline. We used the same definition of LTSA for study population 1 and 2.

Measurement of Covariates

As covariates we included sex (men or women), age (continuous), highest completed education in four categories (high; middle-high; middle-low; or low), according to the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED),20 cohabitation (yes/no), number of children living at home (no children; at least one child younger than 8 years old; or at least one child aged 8 to 17 while no children younger than 8 years old in the household), and sector of employment (private or public). We selected these covariates, as they are associated with risk of LTSA in Denmark.11,17 Information on sex, age, education, cohabitation, and children was retrieved from population based registers.18–21 Information on sector of employment was retrieved from the Integrated Database for Labor Market Research.22 We further included job type defined by one-digit DISCO-08 number, the Danish version of the International Standard Classification of Occupations’ (ISCO-08) system,23 and industry by using the codes of the Danish version of the EU’s nomenclature (NACE, Statistical classification of economic activities in the European Community) from Statistics Denmark.24 We used “the standard industrial grouping for publishing purposes”24 that aggregates the 726 possible industries into 10 groups. We included job type and industry as previous research suggest that job type is associated with participation in WEHD24 and we expected that reported leadership quality might differ between employees in different industries.

Statistical Analysis

Using Cox proportional regression models, we estimated hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for the association between exposure to different levels of leadership quality reported at baseline and risk of LTSA during a 12 months follow-up period with calendar time as the underlying time axis. Participants were followed from the date of first time questionnaire completion (study population 1) and the second time questionnaire completion (study population 2), respectively, until first episode of LTSA or censoring due to statutory retirement, voluntary early retirement, disability pension, emigration, death or end of follow-up, whichever came first. We calculated cases per 1000 person years, crude estimates and estimates adjusted for sex, age, education, cohabitation and children living at home, sector of employment, job type, industry, and type of sample (stratified workplace sample or nationwide sample). Because we used calendar time as the underlying time axis in the analyses, estimates were also
adjusted for the year participants filled in the questionnaire accounting for trends in sickness absence over time and design differences between the three WEHD waves.

Proportional hazard assumptions for leadership quality and covariates were visually inspected using Log–Log curves. We found no indication of violation of the proportional hazard assumption.

In study population 1 we tested for a dose–response relationship between lower levels of leadership quality and risk of LTSA by estimating the fully adjusted HR (95% CI) for one unit decrease in the categorized leadership quality groups. Further, we tested if low leadership quality predicted risk of LTSA differently depending on sex, age, educational attainment, and sector of employment by repeating the analyses separately for men and women, age groups (18 to 29, 30 to 44, 45 to 54, 55 to 64 years), the four educational groups (low, middle-low, middle-high, high), and labor market sector (employment in private or public sector).

In study population 2, we examined the association between changes in leadership quality from the 2012 to the 2014 survey and risk of LTSA in the 12 months after the 2014 survey. We estimated adjusted HRs (95% CI) for subsequent risk of LTSA among respondents experiencing persistent low leadership quality, a change from low to high leadership quality, and change from high to low leadership quality between 2012 and 2014 compared with respondents with persistent high leadership quality between 2012 and 2014. We used the same covariates as in the analysis on study population 1.

Sensitivity Analysis

We conducted two sensitivity analyses among the respondents from study population 1. First, to test for reversed causation we excluded cases of LTSA during the first 6 months of follow-up (n = 1823). We then estimated HR and 95% CI for the association between low leadership quality at baseline and risk of LTSA from 6 months after baseline until 12 months after baseline.

Second, we examined the association between the eight leadership quality items separately and risk of LTSA. We standardized the eight items and the leadership quality score with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one and estimated HR and 95% CI for LTSA per one standard deviation decrease in the leadership quality item, that is, from higher to lower leadership quality score during 12 months of follow-up. Estimates were adjusted for the same covariates as in the analysis on study population 1. This analysis was limited to respondents from study population 1 without missing values on any of the eight leadership quality items (n = 52,800).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Study Populations

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the two study populations. In study population 1 slightly more than half were men (52.5%), mean age was 45.0 years (SD = 11.3), and the majority was employed in the private sector (57.6%). Most participants had middle-low education (upper and post-secondary education, 43.6%), were cohabiting (77.9%), had no children living at home (55.2%), where professionals (31.7%), and were working with public administration, education, and health (39.7%). The mean leadership quality score was 27.3 (SD = 6.5) and similar across the study participants’ characteristics. In comparison with study population 1, participants in study population 2 were more often women (53.4%) and had a higher mean age of 47.8 (SD = 10.0).

Leadership Quality and Predicted Risk of LTSA in Study Population 1

During 51,155 person years, we identified 2270 cases of LTSA (44 cases per 1000 person-years). Among LTSA cases, the mean time from baseline to LTSA was 26.7 weeks (0.51 years). Participants were censored during follow-up due to statutory retirement pension (n: 734), voluntary early retirement (n: 933), disability pension (n: 80), emigration (n: 216), or death (n: 282).

Table 2 shows LTSA cases per 1000 person-years and the crude and adjusted hazard ratios of the association between exposure to levels of leadership quality at baseline and onset of LTSA during follow-up. The number of LTSA cases per 1000 person-years was 36, 48, 50, and 32 for persistent high leadership quality, respectively. Compared with persistent high leadership quality change from high leadership quality to low leadership quality, and change from low to high leadership quality, respectively. The corresponding adjusted hazard ratios were 1.09 (95% CI: 0.96 to 1.24), 1.19 (95% CI: 1.05 to 1.35), and 1.61 (95% CI: 1.43 to 1.82), respectively. There was a dose–response association between lower leadership quality scores and higher risk of LTSA. For each one unit decrease in the level of leadership quality (from higher to lower levels), the adjusted HR for LTSA was 1.17 (95% CI: 1.13 to 1.22).

Leadership Quality and LTSA Separately for Men and Women, Age Groups, Educational Level, and Sector of Employment in Study Population 1

Figure 2 shows the association between leadership quality and risk of LTSA separately for men and women, age groups, educational level, and sector of employment. Low leadership quality predicted risk of LTSA in both men and women, in all age groups, at all educational levels and among employees in the private and public sector. We found no indication of interactions between leadership quality and sex (P-value: 0.37), age groups (P-value: 0.55), educational level (P-value: 0.86), or sector of employment (P-value: 0.48).

Change in Leadership Quality and Predicted Risk of LTSA in Study Population 2

Table 3 shows for study population 2 LTSA cases per 1000 person-years and the crude and adjusted hazard ratios for the association between changes in leadership quality from the 2012 to the 2014 survey and onset of LTSA during 12 months follow-up after the 2014 survey. The number of LTSA cases per 1000 person-years was 36, 46, 50, and 32 for persistent high leadership quality, persistent low leadership quality, change from high to low leadership quality, and change from low to high leadership quality, respectively. Compared with persistent high leadership quality change from high leadership quality to low leadership quality predicted risk of LTSA with a HR of 1.42 (95% CI: 1.02 to 1.97). Persistent low compared with persistent high leadership quality was associated with risk of LTSA with a HR of 1.28 (95% CI: 0.97 to 1.69). The risk of LTSA in employees changing from low to high leadership quality was similar to that of employees with persistent high leadership quality (HR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.71 to 1.47).

Sensitivity Analysis

When we excluded cases during the first 6 months of follow-up, results were similar to the main analyses (Online-table 1, http://links.lww.com/JOM/A751).

When we analyzed the eight leadership quality items, separately, we found that one standard deviation decrease in the score predicted risk of LTSA for all eight items with adjusted HRs ranging from 1.11 to 1.22 (Online-figure 1, http://links.lww.com/JOM/A751). We found the strongest associations for items concerning authority in relation to responsibility (Q2), recognition and appreciation by the management (Q6), help and support from the immediate manager (Q7), and trusting information from the management (Q8). One standard deviation decrease in the total leadership quality score predicted risk of LTSA with an
| Study Population 1 | Study Population 2 |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Sex**           | **Sex**           |
| **Men**           | **Study Population 1** |
| 27,891            | 3,555             |
| 52.5              | 46.7              |
| 27.5 (6.5)        | 27.1 (6.3)        |
| **Women**         | **Study Population 2** |
| 25,266            | 4,068             |
| 47.5              | 53.4              |
| 27.0 (6.5)        | 27.7 (6.3)        |
| **Age (mean 45.0/47.8)** | **Age (mean 45.0/47.8)** |
| 18–29             | 6,096             |
| 11.5              | 423               |
| 27.7 (6.5)        | 5.5               |
| 30–44             | 16,971            |
| 31.9              | 2,224             |
| 27.2 (6.4)        | 29.2              |
| 45–54             | 17,120            |
| 32.2              | 2,703             |
| 27.2 (6.6)        | 35.5              |
| ≥55               | 12,970            |
| 24.4              | 2,273             |
| 27.2 (6.7)        | 30.0              |
| **Cohabitation**  | **Cohabitation**  |
| Yes               | 41,428            |
| 77.9              | 6,131             |
| 27.4 (6.5)        | 80.4              |
| No                | 11,729            |
| 22.1              | 1,492             |
| 26.8 (6.7)        | 19.6              |
| **Children living at home** | **Children living at home** |
| No children       | 29,341            |
| 55.2              | 4,374             |
| 27.2 (6.7)        | 57.4              |
| At least one child aged 0–7 years | 10,479 |
| 19.7              | 1,320             |
| 27.3 (6.3)        | 17.3              |
| At least one child aged 8–17 years | 13,337 |
| (no children < 8 years) | 12,970 |
| 25.1              | 1,929             |
| 27.5 (6.4)        | 25.3              |
| **Educational level** | **Educational level** |
| High (Second state tertiary) | 6,560 |
| 12.3              | 1,094             |
| 27.9 (6.1)        | 14.4              |
| Middle-high (First stage tertiary) | 15,531 |
| 29.2              | 2,513             |
| 27.3 (6.3)        | 33.0              |
| Middle-low (Upper and post-secondary) | 23,187 |
| 43.6              | 3,194             |
| 27.1 (6.7)        | 41.9              |
| Low (Primary lower secondary) | 7,879 |
| 14.8              | 822               |
| 27.3 (7.0)        | 10.8              |
| **Sector of employment** | **Sector of employment** |
| Private            | 30,621            |
| 57.6              | 4,231             |
| 27.4 (6.7)        | 55.5              |
| Public             | 22,536            |
| 42.4              | 3,392             |
| 27.0 (6.4)        | 44.5              |
| **Job type**       | **Job type**       |
| Commissioned armed forces officers | 315 |
| 0.6               | 58                |
| 27.6 (5.8)        | 0.8               |
| Managers           | 2,474             |
| 4.7               | 339               |
| 28.9 (6.3)        | 4.5               |
| Professionals      | 16,839            |
| 31.7              | 2,712             |
| 27.5 (6.1)        | 35.6              |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 7,198 |
| 13.5              | 1,152             |
| 27.5 (6.2)        | 15.1              |
| General office clerks | 4,915 |
| 9.3               | 723               |
| 27.2 (6.6)        | 9.5               |
| Personal services workers | 7,930 |
| 14.9              | 1,000             |
| 27.3 (6.8)        | 13.1              |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry, and fishery workers | 318 |
| 0.6               | 22                |
| 27.7 (7.0)        | 0.3               |
| Building and related trades workers | 4,348 |
| 8.2               | 501               |
| 26.0 (6.6)        | 6.6               |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 3,047 |
| 5.7               | 335               |
| 25.3 (7.1)        | 4.4               |
| Elementary occupations | 3,784 |
| 7.1               | 391               |
| 26.8 (7.1)        | 5.1               |
| Unknown job type  | 1,989             |
| 3.7               | 390               |
| 28.9 (7.0)        | 5.1               |
| **Industry**      | **Industry**      |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 582 |
| 1.1               | 75                |
| 28.9 (6.7)        | 1.0               |
| Manufacturing, mining and quarrying, and utility service | 8,766 |
| 16.5              | 1,078             |
| 26.7 (6.6)        | 14.1              |
| Construction      | 2,886             |
| 5.4               | 271               |
| 26.9 (6.6)        | 3.6               |
| Trade and transport | 8,969 |
| 16.9              | 1,286             |
| 27.2 (6.9)        | 16.9              |
| Information and communication | 1,894 |
| 3.6               | 331               |
| 27.9 (6.1)        | 4.3               |
| Financial and insurance | 1,894 |
| 3.6               | 333               |
| 29.2 (5.7)        | 4.4               |
| Real estate       | 541               |
| 1.0               | 111               |
| 27.3 (6.7)        | 1.5               |
| Other business services | 4,672 |
| 8.8               | 671               |
| 27.6 (6.5)        | 8.8               |
| Public administration, education, and health | 21,081 |
| 39.7              | 3,160             |
| 27.2 (6.4)        | 41.5              |
| Arts, entertainment and other services | 1,855 |
| 3.5               | 302               |
| 27.7 (6.8)        | 4.0               |
| Unknown industry | 17 |
| 1.0               | 5                 |
| 26.6 (7.3)        | 0.1               |
| **Sample type**   | **Sample type**   |
| Nationwide sample | 40,427            |
| 76.0              | 27.4 (6.5)        |
| Stratified workplace sample | 12,730 |
| 24.0              | 26.9 (6.6)        |
| Leadership quality (score) | 53,157 |
| 100.0             | 27.4 (6.3)        |
| High (4. quartile) | 12,800            |
| 24.1              | 35.9 (2.3)        |
| Middle-high (3. quartile) | 13,980 |
| 26.3              | 30.0 (1.4)        |
| Middle-low (2. quartile) | 14,470 |
| 27.2              | 25.1 (1.4)        |
| Low (1. quartile) | 11,907            |
| 22.4              | 18.6 (3.1)        |
| **Change in leadership quality between 2012 and 2014** | **Change in leadership quality between 2012 and 2014** |
| Persistent high leadership quality | 2,607 |
| 34.2              | 33.1 (3.4)        |
| Persistent low leadership quality | 2,764 |
| 36.3              | 21.7 (4.0)        |
| Change from high to low leadership quality | 1,213 |
| 15.9              | 31.2 (2.9)        |
| Change from low to high leadership quality | 1,039 |
| 13.6              | 23.6 (3.5)        |

SD, standard deviation.
The strengths of this study are the large dataset with 53,157 employees representing a wide range of job types and industries in the Danish workforce. The use of register-based LTSA ensured almost no loss to follow-up. To our knowledge, this is the largest study on the association between leadership quality and sickness absence to date. The large number of participants and cases allowed us to conduct subgroup analyses demonstrating that associations between leadership quality and LTSA was similar for men and women and across age groups, educational groups, and sector of employment.

The leadership quality score used in this study was a newly developed tool for WEHD and had not been tested previously. We therefore conducted a factor analysis of the scale before analyzing the association between leadership quality and LTSA. The items of the scale loaded on one global factor, the internal consistency of the scale was high, and a Cronbach α of 0.89 indicated a high degree of correlation between the items. A sensitivity analysis showed that all eight items predicted LTSA in the same direction and contributed to the ability of the leadership quality scale to predict LTSA. Thus, although the leadership quality scale was not tested previously, it turned out as a reliable scale with predictive validity regarding risk of LTSA.

To ensure that our results were not affected by reverse causation we conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding LTSA events during the first 6 months after baseline. The analysis showed similar estimates with an increased risk of LTSA among participants reporting low leadership quality, indicating that the association between leadership quality and LTSA is not due to reverse causation.

Several limitations of the study should be noted. First, the Danish registers do not include cause of LTSA and consequently we do not know whether a participant was sick due to a somatic disease or mental disorder. Consequently, we were not able to analyze whether low leadership quality predicted LTSA due to a somatic disease or mental disorder differently, which could be important to clarify as low leadership quality previously has been associated with an increased risk of both ischemic heart diseases and depressive disorder. Second, our study was limited to spells of sickness absence of 6 weeks or more as sickness absence in DREAM is registered when the employee’s salary after 30 days is reimbursed by the municipalities. Long-term and short-term sickness absence may have different causes and therefore our results cannot be generalized to all types of sickness absence. Third, the baseline response rate in the three WEHD waves of 54%, 50%, and 50%, respectively may raise concerns about the representativeness of the results. A recent analysis conducted on the 2012 WEHD wave...
FIGURE 2. Association between leadership quality and long-term sickness absence during 12-months follow-up by sex, age groups, educational groups, and sector of employment among 53,157 employees (study population 1). Estimates are adjusted for sex, age, cohabitation, children, educational level, sector of employment (private or public), job type, industry, and type of sample (nationwide sample or stratified workplace sample). As calendar time was the underlying time axis, estimates are also adjusted for year of survey and design differences between the three waves. CI, confidence intervals; HR, hazard ratio; PY, person years.
showed that sex, age, cohabitations, education, and job type were associated with the likelihood of responding to the questionnaire in 2012,14 and consequently we adjusted for these variables in the analyses. Finally, it is unclear whether our results are generalizable to employees in other countries with different sickness absence legislations. As our study, like most previous research on work environment and LTSA, has been conducted in a Nordic country, future prospective studies on leadership quality and LTSA in other than Nordic countries is encouraged.

We did not adjust the estimates for other psychosocial work environment conditions, even though previous research has shown associations between other psychosocial work environment factors and risk of LTSA,8,10,13,27 including a study using the WEHD data that found an association between high emotional demands and LTSA.27 We refrained from these adjustments, because we reasoned that low leadership quality may cause the occurrence of several potentially adverse psychosocial work environment factors, for example high quantitative demands, high emotional demands, low job control, or even workplace bullying.3 Thus, these other psychosocial work environment factors might not be confounders but mediators in the causal pathway linking low exposure to leadership quality with risk of LTSA, which would make statistical adjustment inappropriate. We suggest that future studies investigate how psychosocial working conditions may mediate the association between leadership quality and LTSA.

CONCLUSION

Low leadership quality predicted an increased risk of LTSA in a large nationwide sample of employees in Denmark. Associations were similar across men and women, age groups, educational levels, and sector of employment. Compared with persistent high leadership quality a change from high to low leadership quality 2 years apart predicted a higher risk of LTSA indicating that the increased risk of LTSA is likely related to workplace conditions rather than individual traits of the employees. As we found that low leadership quality predicted a 1.61 fold increased risk of LTSA, measured as 6 or more weeks of sickness absence, leadership quality may be considered an important social stressor at work with considerable consequences. Therefore, future intervention studies should examine if improving leadership quality can reduce LTSA among employees.
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