Short term feeding of industrial hemp with a high cannabidiolic acid (CBDA) content increases lying behavior and reduces biomarkers of stress and inflammation in Holstein steers
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Industrial hemp (IH) is defined as Cannabis sativa containing < 0.3% delta-9 tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and was legalized in the 2018 Farm Bill. The impact of cannabinoids in IH fed to livestock, especially after repeat exposure, has not been thoroughly investigated. Sixteen male castrated Holstein cattle weighting (± SD) 447 ± 68 kg were enrolled onto the study. Cattle were allocated into two treatment groups either receiving IH (HEMP, n = 8) or a control (CNTL, n = 8). Cattle in the HEMP group were fed 25 g IH mixed in 200 g of grain once a day for 14 days to target a daily dose of 5.5 mg/kg of cannabidiolic acid (CBDA). Behavior was continuously monitored with accelerometers and blood samples were collected at predetermined time points for plasma cannabinoid, serum cortisol, serum haptoglobin, liver enzymes, serum amyloid A, and prostaglandin E2 concentrations. The HEMP group spent a mean 14.1 h/d (95% CI 13.6–14.6 h/d) lying compared to the 13.4 h/d (95% CI 12.9–13.8 h/d) for the CNTL cattle (P = 0.03). Cortisol concentrations in the HEMP group were lower than the CNTL group (P = 0.001). Cattle in the HEMP group demonstrated an 8.8% reduction in prostaglandin E2 concentrations from baseline compared to a 10.2% increase from baseline observed in the CNTL group. No differences for haptoglobin or serum amyloid A were observed. These results suggest that feeding IH with a high CBDA content for 14 days increases lying behavior and decreases biomarkers of stress and inflammation in cattle.

With the legalization of industrial hemp [Cannabis sativa containing < 0.3% delta-9 tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)] in the 2018 United States Farm Bill, interest in IH has grown. There are numerous uses for IH and its various plant components. These uses include human therapeutics, fiber for plastics and hempcrete, and biofuel production1–3. Additionally, there is interest and potential for the inclusion of IH and IH by-products in animal feeds. The nutritional content, digestibility, and cannabionoid concentrations of various IH plant components has recently been described4. These data show that certain IH plant components may be suitable for inclusion into cattle rations since they have favorable crude protein and digestibility profiles.

Recently, we demonstrated that cannabidiolic acid (CBDA) is readily absorbed from the rumen in cattle following a single dose of IH flowers cultivated for cannabidiol (CBD) oil production5. However, there are no
published studies describing the effects of cannabinoids on cattle health and behavior. If hemp is to be utilized as an ingredient in the ration of cattle, knowing the pharmacokinetics and potential biological effects of cattle exposed to repeated doses of IH is prudent. These requisite data are needed if IH and IH by-products are to be considered by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Association of American Feed Control Officials (AAFCO). The objectives of this study were to determine the: 1) plasma concentrations and pharmacokinetics of cannabinoids during a 14-day feeding period; and 2) impacts of feeding IH on cattle activity and blood inflammatory and stress biomarkers.

### Results

**Plasma cannabinoid concentrations and pharmacokinetics.** No cannabinoids were detected in any of the CNTL cattle samples. Of the 16 cannabinoids included in the analysis, 10 were below their level of detection at all time points. Cannabinoids detected were cannabidiolic acid (CBD), cannabidivarinic acid (CBDVA), cannabidiol (CBD), cannabichromenic acid (CBCA), cannabigerolic acid (CBGA), and tetrahydrocannabinolic acid-A (THCA-A). Plasma CBDA concentrations over time are shown in Fig. 1. Final cannabinoid doses on a milligram per kilogram basis are listed in Table 1. The pharmacokinetic parameters of CBDA for all HEMP cattle are summarized in Table 2. In the initial IH feeding period, the geometric mean maximum concentration of CBD was 22.1 mg/mL and the geometric mean minimum concentration of CBD was 12.3 mg/mL. These were observed at 16.7 h and 9.3 h after the initial IH feeding respectively. The geometric mean of the terminal half-life (T½) was 15.3 h. A geometric mean residence time of 822.2 h was determined, and the geometric mean AUC 0-∞ was 13,569.6 h × ng/mL. The mean accumulation index was 1.5 for the 14-day feeding period. No cannabinoids were detected in the plasma of the CNTL cattle.

![Mean plasma concentrations (ng/mL) of cannabidiolic acid (CBD) at 5.5 mg/kg, cannabidivarinic acid (CBDVA) at 0.02 mg/kg, cannabigerolic acid (CBGA) at 0.2 mg/kg, and tetrahydrocannabinolic acid-A (THCA-A) at 0.1 mg/kg, over time in cattle feed industrial hemp once a day for 14 days (n = 8).](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/)

#### Table 1. Individual animal weights (kg) and doses (mg) of individual cannabinoids administered to each animal in the HEMP group. *ND Cannabinoids not detected in IH.*

| Animal ID | Mean | SD |
|-----------|------|----|
| 1         | 479.5| 513.6 |
| 2         | 465.9| 464.1 |
| 3         | 420.9| 504.5 |
| 4         | 472.7| 494.4 |
| 5         | 56.0 | 0.6  |
| 6         | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| 7         | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| 8         | 0.0  | 0.0  |

| Cannabinoid doses, mg/kg |
|--------------------------|
| Cannabidiolic acid (CBD) |
| Cannabidivarinic acid (CBDVA) |
| Tetrahydrocannabinolic acid A (THCA-A) |
| Cannabichromenic acid (CBCA) |
| Cannabidiol (CBD) |
| Cannabigerol (CBG) |
| Cannabichromene (CBC) |
| Cannabinol (CBN) |
| Cannabidiwin (CBDV) |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Mean | SD |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|----|
| 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 0.6 |
| 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.00 |
| 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.01 |
| 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.02 |
| 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.02 |
| 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| 0.018 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.00 |
| 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.01 |
| ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
Activity monitoring. Activity data are summarized in Table 3. Cattle in the HEMP group recorded a mean 1552 steps per day compared to 1547 steps per day for the CNTL cattle ($P = 0.97$). However, a time effect was observed ($P < 0.0001$) as well as a treatment by time interaction ($P < 0.0001$). Despite no differences in step count, cattle in the HEMP group spent more time lying down compared to the CNTL cattle. Cattle in the HEMP group spent a mean $14.1\ h/d$ (95% CI $13.6–14.6\ h/d$) lying compared to the $13.4\ h/d$ (95% CI $12.9–13.9\ h/d$) for the CNTL cattle ($P = 0.03$). Lying bouts between groups was not different with HEMP having $12.4\ bouts/d$ (95% CI $11.0–13.9\ bouts/d$) compared to CNTL cattle with $10.9\ bouts/d$ (95% CI $9.4–12.2\ bouts/d$; $P = 0.12$). A treatment by time interaction was observed (Fig. 2) as the HEMP cattle had few lying bouts prior to IH feeding and then increasing bouts/d after IH feeding. Mean motion index in the HEMP cattle [$7099.6\ (95\%\ CI\ 6256.8–7942.4)$] was lower than CNTL [$7222.3\ (6379.6–8065.1)$], but this was not significant ($P = 0.83$). A significant time effect was observed ($P < 0.0001$) as well as a treatment by time interaction ($P < 0.0001$).
effect was seen ($P < 0.0001$), as well as a treatment by time interaction ($P < 0.0001$) for motion index between groups. HEMP cattle has lower baseline (pre-dosing) motion indexes compared to CNTL, but these differences were not observed following IH feeding.

**Blood inflammatory biomarkers.** All results are presented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise noted. Inflammatory and stress biomarker data are summarized in Table 4.

**Cortisol.** Cattle in the HEMP group had lower mean cortisol concentrations than the CNTL cattle ($P = 0.0013$). These differences were most notable on day 14 and day 19. On day 14, HEMP cattle had mean cortisol concentrations of $0.76 ± 0.32 \text{ ng/mL}$ compared to $6.57 ± 0.93 \text{ ng/mL}$ ($P = 0.002$) in the CNTL group. Similarly on day 19 the HEMP cattle had mean cortisol concentrations of $2.75 ± 1.14 \text{ ng/mL}$ and the CNTL had mean concentrations of $7.90 ± 1.28 \text{ ng/mL}$ ($P = 0.004$).

**Ex vivo prostaglandin E$_2$.** There tended to be treatment differences observed between treatment groups for PGE$_2$ concentrations ($P = 0.09$). Cattle in the HEMP group had mean PGE$_2$ concentrations of $46.5 ± 3.9 \text{ pg/mL}$, $42.4 ± 5.2 \text{ pg/mL}$, $46.0 ± 5.0 \text{ pg/mL}$, and $33.2 ± 2.0 \text{ pg/mL}$ for the baseline, day 7, day 14, and day 19 time points. Cattle in the CNTL group had mean PGE$_2$ concentrations of $48.8 ± 8.1 \text{ pg/mL}$, $51.0 ± 9.5 \text{ pg/mL}$, $53.5 ± 2.7 \text{ pg/mL}$, and $42.0 ± 2.8 \text{ pg/mL}$ for the baseline, day 7, day 14, and day 19 time points.

**Haptoglobin.** No differences in haptoglobin were observed between HEMP and CNTL cattle. Mean haptoglobin concentrations were $8.66 ± 0.14 \text{ mg/dL}$ and $8.63 ± 0.19 \text{ mg/dL}$ for the HEMP and CNTL cattle respectively ($P = 0.93$). Haptoglobin concentrations did not change over time ($P = 0.61$).

**Serum amyloid A (SAA).** A significant treatment by time interaction was observed. Cattle in the HEMP group had elevated SAA concentrations compared to the CNTL group at 7 d ($53.0 ± 1.4 \text{ µg/mL}$ vs. $8.2 ± 1.4 \text{ µg/mL}$ ($P = 0.0065$)). Mean SAA concentrations were not different between groups at other time points.

**Hepatic enzyme concentrations.** Mean hepatic enzyme concentrations are presented in Table 5. All samples for total protein, albumin, globulin, aspartate transaminase (AST), gamma glutamyltransferase (GGT) were within the reference intervals for the reporting diagnostic laboratory (KSVDL). Animals in both groups had elevated alkaline phosphatase (ALP) at all time points. There were no significant treatment effects ($P = 0.44$) or treatment by time interactions ($P = 0.33$) observed for ALP between groups. Significant differences for AST were observed with mean CNTL levels of $80.4 ± 3.1 \text{ U/L}$ compared to HEMP at $69.8 ± 3.1 \text{ U/L}$ ($P = 0.03$). A treatment difference for GGT was observed. The mean CNTL GGT levels ($11.7 ± 0.8 \text{ U/L}$) were lower than the GGT levels of the HEMP cattle ($14.1 ± 0.8 \text{ U/L}$; $P = 0.05$). Sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH) levels tended to be higher in CNTL cattle ($16.7 ± 0.7 \text{ U/L}$) compared to HEMP cattle ($14.7 ± 0.7 \text{ U/L}$) ($P = 0.08$). There was a significant treatment by time interaction observed where mean SDH levels in the CNTL cattle increased from $15.5 \text{ U/L}$ prior to study commencement to $17.3 \text{ U/L}$ on day 19. The HEMP cattle mean SDH levels decreased from $15.7 \text{ U/L}$ prior to IH feeding to $13.6 \text{ U/L}$ on day 19 ($P = 0.04$). All mean levels were below the upper range of the reference interval for the reporting laboratory. However, there were 11 sample above the upper end of the laboratory’s reference range of $18.4 \text{ U/L}$.

**Discussion**

The current study was set to investigate the plasma concentrations of cannabinoids in cattle following daily dosing; and the effects of cannabinoids on activity and blood inflammatory and stress biomarkers. This is the first report of repeated IH administration to cattle, and subsequent impact on activity and selected blood parameters. The data here shows cannabinoid administration by daily feeding of IH impacts behavior and the stress potential
Table 5. Summary of mean (95% Confidence intervals) hepatic specific serum blood chemistry profiles prior to industrial hemp feeding and at 7, 14, and 19 days post feeding for cattle fed industrial hemp once a day for 14 days (HEMP) or control cattle (CNTL). a,b,c Different letter within rows are significantly different (P<0.05).

| Parameter (Ref range) | HEMP n=8 | CNTL n=8 | P-value Treatment |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|------------------|
|                       | 0        | 7        | 14               | 19               | 0        | 7        | 14               | 19               |
| Total protein (6.0–9.0 g/dL) | 6.3 (6.1–6.5) | 6.2 (6.1–6.5) | 6.2 (6.1–6.5) | 6.2 (6.1–6.5) | 6.2 (6.1–6.5) | 6.1 (6.0–6.2) | 6.1 (6.0–6.2) | 6.1 (6.0–6.2) | 0.60 |
| Albumin (3.1–4.3 g/dL) | 3.4 (3.2–3.6) | 3.4 (3.2–3.6) | 3.4 (3.2–3.6) | 3.4 (3.2–3.6) | 3.4 (3.2–3.6) | 3.4 (3.2–3.6) | 3.4 (3.2–3.6) | 3.4 (3.2–3.6) | 0.27 |
| Globulin, g/dL (n/a) | 2.9 (2.7–3.1) | 2.9 (2.7–3.1) | 2.9 (2.7–3.1) | 2.9 (2.7–3.1) | 2.9 (2.7–3.1) | 2.9 (2.7–3.1) | 2.9 (2.7–3.1) | 2.9 (2.7–3.1) | 0.21 |
| AST2 (53–156 U/L) | 71.5a,b (64.5–78.5) | 68.1a,b (61.1–75.1) | 70.5a,b (63.5–77.5) | 68.9a,b (61.9–75.9) | 82.8a,b (75.8–89.7) | 79.9a,b (72.9–86.9) | 79.9a,b (72.9–86.9) | 80.0a,b (73.0–87.0) | 0.03 |
| ALP (21–81 U/L) | 189.6 (145.1–234.1) | 178.3 (133.7–222.8) | 163.3 (121.4–207.8) | 165.9 (121.4–210.4) | 180.1 (135.6–224.6) | 188.0 (143.5–232.5) | 175.3 (130.7–219.8) | 173.0 (128.5–217.5) | 0.87 |
| GGT (10–39 U/L) | 14.4a,b (12.4–16.4) | 14.9a,b (12.9–16.9) | 14.0a,b (12.0–16.0) | 13.3ab (11.5–15.2) | 11.0b (9.0–13.0) | 11.6ab (9.6–13.6) | 12.1abc (10.1–14.1) | 12.0ab (10.0–14.0) | 0.05 |
| SDH (6.1–18.4 U/L) | 15.7a,b (13.6–17.7) | 14.3a,b (12.2–16.3) | 15.1a,b (13.0–17.1) | 13.6a,b (11.5–15.6) | 15.5a,b (13.4–17.5) | 17.6a,b (15.6–19.7) | 16.3ab (14.3–18.4) | 17.3ab (15.3–19.4) | 0.08 |

response in cattle. These findings are important as IH may present a viable way to mitigate stressful experiences such as transportation and regrouping of cattle.

Cattle in the HEMP group were individually hand fed IH daily as part of their grain ration to ensure complete intake. At each feeding, cattle were monitored by researchers to ensure the complete IH dose was consumed. To prevent sorting, the IH was chopped for better mixing with the grain, and molasses was applied just prior to feeding. The amount of IH fed was based on the mean body weight of the animals in the HEMP group obtained on the day of randomization and activity monitor attachment. All cattle received the same amount of IH to replicate a group feeding rate.

No cannabinoids were detected in any of the CNTL cattle samples. The cannabinoids detected in plasma of HEMP cattle of this study were similar to those previously reported with CBDA being the predominant cannabinoid. A similar terminal half-life of 14 h was observed and it is comparable to those previously published (14 h). The maximum CBDA concentrations (Cmax) following the first dose were lower than those previously reported (22 ng/mL vs 72.7 ng/mL). Time to reach maximum concentrations after the first dose were longer than those published. These differences highlight the need of additional work to better understand the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of cannabinoids in cattle.

Based on the accumulation ratio of CBDA in this study, there is evidence of drug accumulation. The mean accumulation ratio was 1.52 with a range of 1.30 to 1.76. This indicates concentrations at steady-state were approximately 1.5-times the mean concentrations during the first dosing period (24 h). Further work is needed to determine if this accumulation is clinically relevant. Based on visual inspection of the data, plasma CBDA steady state concentrations were reached at approximately 7 days of IH administration.

The cannabinoids CBDVA, CBGA, and THCA-A were detected in the plasma of all HEMP cattle. The doses for each were 0.005 mg/kg for CBDA, 0.2 mg/kg for CBGA, and 0.1 mg/kg for THCA-A. Despite being present in a relatively small doses of 0.005 mg/kg in the current study and 0.02 mg/kg in Kleinhenz et al. 2020; CBDVA is found in relatively higher concentrations than other cannabinoids. Cannabidiol (CBD) was detected in 159 of the 288 samples from HEMP cattle, and CBCA was detected in 93 of 288 samples from HEMP cattle. The impact of the rumen environment may have on cannabinoids is unclear and deserves further investigation.

Differences in the doses of cannabinoids other than CBDA are due to variation in the cannabinoid content of IH. The variety used in the current study was “Otto II Stout” and the cannabinoid profile was different than the variety used by Kleinhenz et al. 5. A representative sample was used to analyze the IH for cannabinoid content, IH. The variety used in the current study was “Otto II Stout” and the cannabinoid profile was different.

Based on the accumulation ratio of CBDA in this study, there is evidence of drug accumulation. The mean accumulation ratio was 1.52 with a range of 1.30 to 1.76. This indicates concentrations at steady-state were approximately 1.5-times the mean concentrations during the first dosing period (24 h). Further work is needed to determine if this accumulation is clinically relevant. Based on visual inspection of the data, plasma CBDA steady state concentrations were reached at approximately 7 days of IH administration.

Cattle in the HEMP group had lower PGE2 levels compared to CNTL cattle. Additionally, a decrease from baseline for PGE2 was observed for cattle in the HEMP group and compared to the increase in PGE2 from baseline for cattle in the CNTL group. These findings suggest a cannabinoid linked reduction in PGE, expression. Data by Takeda et al. demonstrated CBDA has COX-2 inhibitory properties. Additionally, CBDA has been shown to have anti-inflammatory and anti-hyperalgesia effects in rats with carrageenan induce inflammation. It has been suggested that the phenolic ring in CBDAs structure may mimic salicylic acid. Further investigation is needed to determine if the reduction in PGE2 from cannabinoid exposure is clinically relevant in cattle.

There were observed differences in hepatic specific serum biochemistry parameters. Hepatic enzymes were evaluated as evidence suggests cannabinoids are metabolized by liver microsomes. Elevated serum ALP has been reported in dogs administered CBD oil11. Interestingly, CBD oil administered to cats did not consistently result in elevated liver enzymes. Although differences in hepatic enzymes were seen between the HEMP and CNTL cattle; most parameters were within the reference range of the laboratory (KSVDL). Thus, these observed
PGE2 and cortisol concentrations have been negatively associated with transportation stress and subsequent selected based on their relation to bovine respiratory disease following transportation[19]. Additionally, elevated cattle during stressful times such as transportation and weaning. The stress biomarkers tested in this study were and improved lying times. Further work is needed to determine if cannabinoids can alter the stress response in was observed following the first dose of IH.

HEMP group had lower mean motion indexes during the acclimation period (− 48 h dosing), but an increase was obtained prior to initial IH feeding (day-1) and 8 and 12 h post-initial IH feeding; then every 12 h (prior to the minimal time from IH feeding to stressful event should be no less than 16 h. This recommendation is for IH to reduce transportation stress. Based on the pharmacokinetics of cannabinoids following IH administration, the acute phase proteins, serum amyloid A and haptoglobin are indicators of inflammation, and were included to investigate if IH feeding resulted in an inflammatory response. Feeding IH caused an increase in SAA concentrations at 7 d in the present study in cattle in the HEMP group. No corresponding increase in haptoglobin was observed in the HEMP group at that time. Although ALP was elevated in all cattle, other hepatic specific enzymes measured (SDH and GGT) were within normal reporting limits for the lab. The impact IH and/or cannabinoids had on the increased SAA is not clear as there is no published veterinary literature on these interactions. Furthermore, the role that IH and cannabinoids may have in mitigating SAA production following an inflammatory or disease event in cattle is not known and deserves further research.

Cortisol concentrations were lower in the HEMP cattle compared to CNTL cattle. This is interesting as both groups were managed identically, but the HEMP group had twice daily venipunctures to obtain blood for cannabinoide concentrations. Synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists given intravenously provoked an increase in serum cortisol, but hypoalgesia to cutaneous pain and thermal stimuli[13]. Cannabinoids, specifically cannabidiol, have been shown to reduce stress and anxiety in mice[14]. Conversely, CBD did not lower cortisol in dogs following a simulated fireworks model[15]. Accelerometers have been shown to be an accurate measure of cattle behavior[16]. Raw accelerometer data were condensed to a 24 h period to remove the diurnal effect of cattle’s natural behavior. There were significant differences in the activity of HEMP and CNTL cattle. Most notable were the change in lying time. Cattle in the HEMP group had fewer lying bouts prior to the start of IH feeding and increased lying time after IH feeding started. Lying behavior has been associated with cattle welfare with longer lying time indicating better welfare[17]. A significant treatment by time interaction was observed for motion index. Motion index is a measure of the animal’s overall activity and has been correlated to positive behavior such as running and jumping[18]. Cattle in the HEMP group had lower mean motion indexes during the acclimation period (− 48 h dosing), but an increase was observed following the first dose of IH.

These results, coupled with the cortisol data, suggest cattle fed cannabinoids via IH lower stress biomarkers and improved lying times. Further work is needed to determine if cannabinoids can alter the stress response in cattle during stressful times such as transportation and weaning. The stress biomarkers tested in this study were selected based on their relation to bovine respiratory disease following transportation[19]. Additionally, elevated PGE2 and cortisol concentrations have been negatively associated with transportation stress and subsequent disease in the feeding period[20]. The reduction in PGE2 and cortisol observed in this study support the potential for IH to reduce transportation stress. Based on the pharmacokinetics of cannabinoids following IH administration, the minimal time from IH feeding to stressful event should be no less than 16 h. This recommendation is based on the reported time to maximum concentrations (Tmax) of 16 h.

Material and methods

Ethics statement. This study was performed in accordance with all relevant legislative and regulatory requirements in the United States, the State of Kansas, and AAALAC. All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Kansas State University (IACUC# 4421) and are in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines. Industrial hemp was grown and handled under license of the Kansas Department of Agriculture Industrial Hemp Research Program (licenses numbers: KDA-0621466839 and KDA-0302873296).

Subjects and housing. The study was conducted in the May of 2021 in northeast Kansas. Sixteen (16) male castrated Holstein cattle weighing (± SD) 447 ± 68 kg were enrolled onto the study. Cattle had been acclimated at the research facility and halter trained prior to study commencement. All cattle were examined and deemed healthy by a veterinarian prior to enrollment. Cattle were grouped housed in outdoor dirt pens with access to shelter. Pen size per calf exceeded the recommendations set forth in the Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Research and Teaching[21]. Cattle had ad libitum access to grass hay and water via self-filling trough. In addition to the research feed, cattle were fed a custom grain mix at 8:00 and 16:00 h by animal care staff.

Animal groups. Cattle were randomly assigned by tag number to one of two treatment groups with calf as the experimental unit. Treatment groups were:

1. HEMP (n = 8)–cattle fed 25 g IH mixed in 200 g grain once a day for 14 days; or
2. CNTL (n = 8)–control cattle fed grain only for 14 days.

Industrial hemp dosing and cattle sampling. Cattle in the HEMP group were individually fed 25 g IH mixed in 200 g grain placed in rubber feed pans at 07:00 daily for 14 days. The IH was fed at 25 g per animal per day to target a mean dose of CBDA of 5.5 mg/kg. The target dose was based on the administered dose published by Kleinhenz et al.[2]. Daily IH feeding was after the daily blood sample for cattle in the HEMP group.

Cattle were blood sampled at predetermined time points from the jugular or coccygeal veins using a needle and evacuated tubes (Vacutainer, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Whole blood was collected into tubes containing sodium heparin, EDTA, sodium citrate, and no additives. Blood samples from the HEMP cattle were obtained prior to initial IH feeding (day-1) and 8 and 12 h post-initial IH feeding; then every 12 h (prior to the next IH feeding); then (starting 12 h after the last IH feeding) every 24 h for 5 samples or 108 h after the last IH feeding. Cattle in the CNTL group were blood sampled—24 h prior to the initial IH feeding; and on day 7, 14...
Cannabinoid analytes | LOD* | LOQ* | Intra-day precision (n=3) | Inter-day precision (n=6) | Inter-day accuracy (n=6) |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Tetrahydrocannabinol-glucuronide (THC-glc) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.75 | 47.5 | 95.0 |
| Cannabidiurinic acid (CBDVA) | 0.2 | 1.0 | 12.3 | 7.8 | 14.7 |
| Hydroxy-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC-OH) | 0.2 | 0.25 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 3.7 |
| Tetrahydrocannabinolic-acid (THC-acid) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 4.0 |
| Cannabidiurinic acid (CBDV) | 0.2 | 0.25 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| Cannabichromenic acid (CBCA) | 1.0 | 2.5 | 16.7 | 9.2 | 6.8 |
| Tetrahydrocannabinin (THCV) | 0.2 | 0.25 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| Cannabigerin (CBG) | 0.2 | 0.25 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| Cannabinol (CBN) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 4.2 |
| 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (9-THC) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (8-THC) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| Cannabichrome (CBC) | 0.2 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| Tetrahydrocannabinolic acid-A (THCA-A) | 1.0 | 2.5 | 8.5 | 3.7 | 8.0 |
| Cannabichromenic acid (CBCA) | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 9.3 |

Table 6. Lower level of detection (LOD), lower limit of quantification (LOQ), intra-day precisions, and inter-day accuracies for each cannabinoid analyte analyzed following oral administration of industrial hemp. *LOD lower limit of detection. bLOQ lower limit of quantification.

Plasma cannabinoid analysis. Plasma cannabinoid concentrations were determined using UPLC-MS methods described by Kleinhenz et al. All solvents used such as methanol, acetonitrile, isopropanol, formic acid were LC–MS grade. Cannabinoids standards were purchased in individual solutions in methanol (Cerilliant Corporation, Round Rock, TX), including: (±)-cis-11-Nor-9-carboxy-Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol glucuronide (THC-glu), (±)-11-Hydroxy-Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC-OH), cannabidiurinic acid (CBDVA), cannabidivaric acid (CBDV), cannabidiolic acid (CDBA), Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinolic acid A (THCA-A), cannabigerolic acid (CBGA), cannabigerol (CBG), Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (9-THC), Δ8-tetrahydrocannabinol (8-THC), cannabichromene (CBC), Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinin (THCV), cannabichromenic Acid (CBCA), cannabidiol (CBD), (−)-11-nor-9-Carboxy-Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC-acid). Cannabinoid analogs used as internal standards were also purchased in solution in methanol (Cerilliant Corporation, Round Rock, TX), including: (±)-cis-11-Nor-9-carboxy-Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol glucuronide (THC-glu), Cannabidiol-d3 (CBD-d3), Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol-d3 (9-THC-d3), (±)-11-nor-9-Carboxy-Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol-d9 (THC-acid-d9), (±)-11-Hydroxy-Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol-d3 (THC-OH-d3), Cannabichromene-d4 (CBC-d4). All cannabinoids standards were kept in the freezer at –20 °C.

On the day of analysis, plasma samples were allowed to thaw at room temperature for 2 h. Plasma (samples, quality controls or negative control plasma) were mixed with the internal standard mixture at 200 ng/mL (not added to the negative control sample) and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid to precipitate the proteins. The mixture was vortexed for 5 s and centrifuged for 5 min at 7000 g. The supernatant was then diluted with ultrapure 18 Ω water before clean-up. The sample was loaded on a solid phase extraction plate using positive pressure nitrogen. Each well was washed twice with 0.25 mL of a mixture of methanol–water (25:75). The compounds were added to the negative control sample) and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (A) as follow: 0 min: 60%B, 6.50 min: 86% B, 7.50–9 min: 100%B, 9.01 m in12 min: 60%B. The total run set at 0.5 mL/min, the mobile phase consisted of a gradient of acetonitrile (B) and water containing 0.1% formic acid (A) as follow: 0 min: 60%B, 6.50 min: 86% B, 7.50–9 min: 100%B, 9.01 m in12 min: 60%B. The total run time was 12 min. The injection volume was 5 µL. The data acquisition was performed by electrospary ionization in positive and negative mode using multiple reaction monitoring. Linear regression with a weighing factor of 1/X was used and accepted if the coefficient of correlation R2 was > 0.99. Calibration curves were linear from 0.1 to 100 ng/mL for all cannabinoids. The lower limit of detection, lower limit of quantification, intra-day precisions, inter-day precisions, and inter-day accuracies for each cannabinoid analyte are summarized in Table 6.

Cannabinoid pharmacokinetic analysis. Pharmacokinetic analysis for repeated dosing was performed on each HEMP animal to determine the pharmacokinetics of CBDA using non-compartmental methods using computer software (Phoenix 8.2, Certara, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA) using methods similar to Kleinhenz et al. Relevant pharmacokinetic parameters are defined and reported in Table 2.
Activity monitoring. Cattle in both groups had daily activity monitored via commercially available accelerometers (IceQube, IceRobotics Ltd, South Queensferry, Edinburgh, Scotland UK). Accelerometers were placed on the left rear legs 96 h prior to the first hemp feeding. Accelerometer ID was paired with animal ID prior to placement and at the time of removal 5 days after the final IH feeding (day 19). Steps, time standing up, time lying down and lying bouts, and motion index data was collected via accelerometers at 15 min intervals. Motion index is a measure of the animal’s activity and is correlated to the animals 3-D acceleration including running and jumping.18 Raw data were downloaded using a RFID reader and computer software (IceManager 2014, IceRobotics Ltd, South Queensferry, Edinburgh, Scotland UK).

Raw data analysis was performed using methods previously described by our lab25. Steps, lying bouts and motion index were summed into 24-h increments starting at 07:00 am on day−3 (72 h prior to first feeding) and ending at 7:00 am on day 19. Standing time and lying time were analyzed together due to their interrelation and summed on a 24 h increment to account for the recording method of the accelerometer.

Blood inflammatory biomarkers. Cortisol. Serum cortisol was determined using methods adapted by Kleinhenz et al.24. Serum was collected from HEMP and CNTL cattle at ~24 h, and 7, 14, and 19 d post-IH feeding for cortisol determination using a commercially available radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA) following manufacturer specifications with minor modifications. The standard curve was extended to include 1 and 3 ng/mL by diluting the 10 and 30 ng/mL manufacturer-supplied standards 1:10 respectively for a detection range of 1 to 300 ng/mL. A low (25 ng/mL) and high (150 ng/mL) quality control (QC) were ran at the beginning and end of each set to determine inter-assay variability. Standard curves were plotted as a 4-parameter logistic curve with an $R^2$ of 0.998.

Ex vivo prostaglandin $E_2$. Ex vivo $PGE_2$ concentration were determined using methods describe by our lab25. Briefly, whole blood samples were collected from each animal ~24 h prior to initial IH feeding, and 7, 14, and 19 d post-IH feeding. At each collection, whole blood (1 mL) samples were spiked with 10 µg lipopolysaccharide from Escherichia coli 0111:B4 (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA). Samples were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C, centrifuged and the plasma pipetted into individual cryovials and stored at −80 °C until analyzed. After thawing, plasma proteins were precipitated using methanol, centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min and $PGE_2$ concentration of the supernatant determined using a commercially available ELISA kit (Cayman Chemicals, MI, USA). The coefficient of variation for intra-assay variability was 10.7% and interassay variability was calculated as 10.8%.

Haptoglobin and hepatic enzyme concentrations. Serum samples collected prior to hemp feeding and at 7, 14, and 19 d post-IH feeding were submitted to the Kansas State Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory for biochemical analysis by photometric methods (Cobas 501, Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA).

Statistical analysis. Cortisol concentrations were log transformed for normality prior to analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using computer software (JMP 15.0, SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC). Responses were analyzed using a mixed model with calf as the experimental unit. Treatment was assigned as the random effect; and time and treatment by time interaction were considered as fixed effects. Baseline $PGE_2$ concentrations were included as a fixed effect when analyzing the $PGE_2$ percent change from baseline. Post hoc tests were conducted using Tukey–Kramer adjustment. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$ a priori.

Data availability
All data generated or analyzed for this study are included in this article.
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