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FEATURE ARTICLE
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ABSTRACT: Sponge–microbe symbioses underpin the ecological success of sponges in many aquatic benthic ecosystems worldwide. These symbioses are often described as mutually beneficial, but identifying positive symbiotic interactions and quantifying the contribution of partners to physiological processes is challenging. For example, our understanding of the relative contribution of sponge cells and their microbial symbionts to the uptake and exchange of dissolved organic matter (DOM) — a major component of sponge diet — is limited. Here, we combined host–symbiont cell separation with pulse-chase isotopic labelling in order to trace the uptake of $^{13}$C- and $^{15}$N-enriched DOM into sponge cells and microbial symbionts of the encrusting Caribbean sponges Haliclonavansoesti and Scopalina ruetzleri, which are low microbial abundance (LMA) species. Sponge cells were responsible for >99% of DOM assimilation during the pulse-chase experiment for both sponge species, while the contribution of symbiotic microbes to total DOM uptake was negligible (<1%). Nitrogen derived from DOM was translocated from sponge cells to microbial cells over time, indicating processing of host nitrogenous wastes by microbial endosymbionts. Thus, host cells drive DOM uptake in these species, while microbial symbionts may aid in the recycling of host-waste products. Our findings highlight the ability of sponges to derive nutrition by internalizing dissolved compounds from their environment and retaining nutrients via host–microbe interactions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Symbioses are widespread in nature and are defined in the broadest sense as intimate and enduring associations between different organisms, which lie on a continuum spanning mutualistic to parasitic
interactions (Paracer & Ahmadjian 2000). Mutualistic symbioses are those where both partners receive benefits in a reciprocal manner, and they underpin the ecological success of numerous foundational species and ecosystem engineers (Smith & Read 2010, van der Heide et al. 2012). Well-known examples include the symbiosis between phototrophic unicellular algae and reef-building corals (Muscatine & Porter 1977) and the association between tube worms and their chemosynthetic symbionts in deep-sea hydrothermal vent communities (Cavanaugh et al. 1981). Such mutualisms are pivotal in that they shape community structure and function and affect the ecology and evolutionary trajectory of the partnership at the individual level (Hay et al. 2004). Identifying and quantifying the costs and benefits of symbiotic interactions is necessary to fully evaluate the influence of symbiont on host ecology (Weisz et al. 2010). This can prove challenging, however, because such interactions are not always easily identifiable or measurable (Leung & Poulin 2008).

Sponges are ecologically important components of aquatic ecosystems globally and form stable and species-specific symbiotic relationships with complex assemblages of microorganisms (Erwin et al. 2012, Schmitt et al. 2012, Webster et al. 2013, Reveillaud et al. 2014, Thomas et al. 2016). While sponge–microbe interactions span the mutualism–parasitism spectrum, many of the long-term associations between sponges and their symbionts are thought to be mutualistic, or at least commensal (Taylor et al. 2007, Freeman & Thacker 2011, Thacker & Freeman 2012). In many cases, these mutualisms are (although likely multifaceted) underpinned by nutrient exchange (Thomas et al. 2016). For example, sponge symbionts can contribute to host nutrition via the translocation of photo- or chemo-synthetically fixed carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) (Wilkinson et al. 1999, Weisz et al. 2010, Fiore et al. 2013, Freeman et al. 2013, Rubin-Blum et al. 2019) or potentially via the provision of vitamins and amino acids (Fan et al. 2012, Song et al. 2021). In turn, microbial symbionts can utilize host-derived N-rich compounds in the sponge mesohyl (Achlatis et al. 2019, Hudspith et al. 2021), suggesting scavenging of host nitrogenous waste products. These symbioses exemplify the most obvious benefit of mutualism for the host: niche expansion through the acquisition of metabolic pathways restricted to the microbial symbiont (Leung & Poulin 2008).

Less well-defined are reciprocal benefits of sponge–microbe interactions where both partners can directly metabolize the nutrient in question. Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is the largest reservoir of organic C in the ocean (Benner et al. 1992) and a major component of sponge diet, constituting 56–99% of the daily C intake for many shallow-water (reviewed by de Goeij et al. 2017, Morganti et al. 2017, Hoer et al. 2018, Wooster et al. 2019) and deep-sea species (Leys et al. 2018, Bart et al. 2021). Heterotrophic prokaryotes are the primary consumers of marine DOM (Azam et al. 1983, Ducklow & Carlson 1992), and thus sponge symbionts have long been implicated in DOM cycling in sponges. However, radio- and stable-isotope tracer and cell kinetic studies have shown that both sponge cells and microbial symbionts are involved in dissolved organic compound processing (Shore 1971, Wilkinson & Garrone 1980, de Goeij et al. 2009, Rix et al. 2017, Bart et al. 2020). Recent nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry (Nano-SIMS) confirmed and visualized that both sponge cells (predominantly choanocytes: sponge filter cells) and microbial symbionts assimilate dissolved organic C and N (DOC and DON) (Achlatis et al. 2019, Rix et al. 2020, Hudspith et al. 2021). Quantifying the relative contribution of sponge cells and microbial symbionts to DOM assimilation remains challenging, and to date has only been achieved in 2 Mediterranean species with massive growth forms (Rix et al. 2020). Sponge symbionts accounted for 65% of DOC uptake in the high microbial abundance (HMA) sponge Aplysina aerophoba, but less than 5% of DOC uptake in the low microbial abundance (LMA) sponge Dysidea avara. Host versus symbiont contribution to heterotrophy was suggested to be driven by their relative biomass in the holobiont rather than single-cell differences in assimilation rates. Whether this applies to sponges with different growth forms (e.g. encrusting, massive) and across different ecosystems, such as tropical coral reefs and deep-sea sponge grounds, is not known. For example, shallow-water and deep-sea encrusting sponges seem to deviate from massive sponges in their ability to process DOM (de Goeij et al. 2017, Bart et al. 2021), although both groups can contribute significantly to local nutrient cycling (de Goeij et al. 2013, Kahn et al. 2015, McMuray et al. 2016).

Here, we investigate the relative contribution of sponge cells and microbial symbionts to DOM assimilation and the subsequent translocation of nutrients by combining stable isotope probing (SIP) with the separation of host cell and symbiont fractions. The dissociation and separation of sponge cells from their symbionts has proved a useful tool to trace nutrient uptake and transfer between autotrophic symbionts and host cells (Freeman & Thacker 2011, Fiore et al. 2013, Freeman et al. 2013) and to quantify their rela-
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tive contribution to heterotrophy (Rix et al. 2020). Therefore, we optimized the cell separation protocol for 2 common encrusting Caribbean LMA sponges, *Haliclona vansoesti* and *Scopalina ruetzleri*, and coupled this process with a pulse-chase experiment to trace the uptake and fate of $^{13}$C- and $^{15}$N-labelled DOM into sponge cells and microbial symbionts of these sponges. The objectives were to (1) quantify the relative contribution of sponge cells and microbial symbionts to DOM uptake and (2) investigate the transfer of DOM-derived C and N between sponge cells and symbionts over time.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sponge collection

This study was conducted at the Caribbean Research and Management of Biodiversity (CARMABI) Research Station on the island of Curacao during June and July 2018. Individuals of the encrusting sponges (Porifera, Demospongiae) *Haliclona vansoesti* (0.5–3 cm thick, conulose) and *Scopalina ruetzleri* (0.5–2 cm thick, conulose) (Fig. 1) were collected from the house reef in front of CARMABI and at the fringing reefs at station ‘Buoy 1′ (12°07′28.65″ N, 68°58′23.23″ W), located on the leeward side of Curacao. These species were chosen as they are common inhabitants of Curacao reefs (Weerdt et al. 1999, Kornder et al. 2021). Sponge individuals were collected from 25−30 m (*H. vansoesti*) and 5−15 m (*S. ruetzleri*) water depth by SCUBA, using a hammer and chisel. Individuals were cleared of epibionts and shaped (including the limestone substrate) to a surface area of approximately 29 ± 2 (mean ± SD, throughout the text) and 16 ± 2 cm$^2$ for *H. vansoesti* and *S. ruetzleri*, respectively (n = 9 species$^{-1}$). Differences in surface area were due to different tissue densities, as cell separation protocols were optimized using similar cellular biomass between species. Sponges were placed in a coral reef cavity, hanging in wire cages to protect them from sedimentation and predation, at 14 m water depth for between 7 and 10 d to recover from collection. Individuals were transferred to the aquaria facilities of CARMABI 24–48 h prior to incubation to acclimate and were maintained in 100 l flow-through aquaria supplied by reef water pumped in from a depth of 10 m at 3 l min$^{-1}$. Only healthy specimens with open oscula (visually checked) were used in the experiment.

2.2. Transmission electron microscopy

To visualize sponge cells and microbial symbionts of *H. vansoesti* and *S. ruetzleri* (Fig. 1B,D), tissue samples were taken from additional *in situ* sponge individuals (n = 3 species$^{-1}$). Sponges were brought to the surface in plastic bags, and tissue samples were taken immediately using a sterile scalpel blade and then transferred to vials containing 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde + 1% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in PHEM buffer (1.5 × PHEM [60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O] and 9% [w/v] sucrose, pH 7.4). Samples were fixed for 12 h at 4°C, triple-rinsed in PHEM buffer, and secondarily fixed in 1% (w/v) osmium tetroxide in Milli-Q water. Samples were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (30, 50, 70, 90, 100%) and infiltrated with EPON araldite using 2:1, 1:1, and 1:2 ethanol/resin mixtures. Samples were infiltrated with 100% resin transferred to the aquaria facilities of CARMABI 24–48 h prior to incubation to acclimate and were maintained in 100 l flow-through aquaria supplied by reef water pumped in from a depth of 10 m at 3 l min$^{-1}$. Only healthy specimens with open oscula (visually checked) were used in the experiment.

Fig. 1. The encrusting Caribbean sponges (A,B) *Haliclona vansoesti* and (C,D) *Scopalina ruetzleri*. Shown are (A,C) *in situ* photographs and (B,D) transmission electron microscopy images detailing the size and location of sponge cells and microbial symbionts. Sponge cells include choanocytes, which form choanocyte chambers, and cells of the mesohyl matrix. Microbial symbionts (insets) are located extracellularly in the mesohyl. c: choanocyte; cc: choanocyte chamber; m: mesohyl; mc: mesohyl cell. Arrows depict microbial symbionts
for 3 h, transferred to embedding capsules with fresh resin, and polymerized at 60°C for 24 h. Ultrathin (100 nm) sections were cut using a Reichert Ultracut S microtome and transferred to transmission electron microscope (TEM) grids. Sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and imaged with a FEI Tecnai T12 TEM at the Electron Microscopy Centre Amsterdam (EMCA).

2.3. Pulse-chase experiment with isotopically labelled DOM

A pulse-chase experiment was conducted to test for translocation of DOM-derived C and N between sponge cells and symbionts and to quantify the contribution of these cell fractions to DOM assimilation. Isotopically labelled (13C and 15N) DOM was extracted from batch cultures of the cosmopolitan diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum grown on F/2 medium supplemented with 100% NaH13CO3 and 50% Na15NO3 (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories; 99% 13C, 98% 15N), as per Hudspith et al. (2021). Briefly, diatoms were grown, collected via filtration on a 0.2 μm cellulose nitrate filter (Sartorius), and lysed to extract DOM. The resulting solution (representing DOM) was passed successively through a 0.7 μm GF/F filter (Whatman) and 0.2 μm polycarbonate filter (Whatman). The filtrate was freeze-dried and a subsample taken for C/N content and isotopic composition analysis (see Section 2.5) in order to calculate the amount of DOM to be added to pulse-chase incubations.

Individual sponges were incubated with isotopically labelled DOM during a 3 h pulse period and then transferred to flow-through aquaria with non-labelled fresh seawater for a further 21 h. Pulse-incubations were conducted in individual 2 l airtight incubation chambers (see de Goeij et al. 2013) filled with GF/F filtered (Whatman; 47 mm, 0.7 μm pore size) seawater. The lids of the chambers were gently homogenized using a pestle and mortar for 2 min. The resulting cell suspensions were equipped with a magnetic stirring device, ensuring constant water flow during incubation. Isotopically labelled DOM was injected into each chamber using a sterile syringe, and the chambers were closed ensuring no headspace. DOM was added to give a final concentration of 84 μM DOC, which is within the range of background DOC concentrations in Curaçao (de Goeij & van Duyl 2007). Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations were measured continuously during the incubations by an optical probe (OXY-4 mini, PreSens) inserted through an airtight port in the chamber lid and served as a proxy for respiration. DO concentrations decreased linearly over time, demonstrating active pumping of sponge individuals, and did not fall below 11% of starting concentrations, ensuring sufficient oxygenation of the incubation medium. Incubations were conducted in the dark to prevent photosynthesis by photoautotrophs, and chambers were placed in a flow-through aquarium to maintain ambient reef temperature. At the end of the pulse period, sponges were rinsed in label-free natural seawater and transferred to a flow-through aquarium for the chase period. Individuals were sampled at $t = 0$ h ($T_0$) and at the end of the pulse ($t = 3$ h; $T_3$) and chase periods ($t = 24$ h; $T_{24}$); $T_0$ sponges were not incubated and provided background enrichment values. Three replicates were used per species, per time-point. Sampled sponges were rinsed in label-free natural seawater and Milli-Q water, imaged for surface area analysis using the software program ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/), and tissue samples were collected using a sterile scalpel blade. A sub-sample of sponge tissue, referred to as ‘bulk’ tissue, was transferred to pre-weighed sterile cryovials and stored at −20°C for later stable isotope analysis. The remaining tissue was diced and transferred to a sterile 50 ml Falcon tube filled with ice-cold calcium- and magnesium-free artificial seawater + EDTA (CMFASW-E; Freeman & Thacker 2011) and stored at 4°C for 1 h prior to cell separation processing.

2.4. Separation of sponge and microbial cells

Sponge cell and microbial fractions were separated by centrifugation using methods adapted from Wehr et al. (2007), Freeman & Thacker (2011), and Rix et al. (2020) (Fig. 2), and centrifugation speeds optimized for H. vansoestii and S. rutzleri using a Hettich EBA 21 counter-top centrifuge (Andreas Hettich). After 1 h incubation with CMFASW-E, samples were gently homogenized using a pestle and mortar for 2 min. The resulting cell suspensions were filtered successively through 100, 70, and 40 μm cell strainers (Corning Inc.) to remove undissociated cells and spicules, transferred to sterile 50 ml Falcon tubes, and resuspended in 35 ml fresh ice-cold CMFASW-E. Samples were vortexed for 10 min at 4°C and then centrifuged for 4 min at 1250 x g. Approximately 35 ml of the resulting supernatant containing the microbial cells were transferred to a sterile 50 ml Falcon tube using a pipette and stored at 4°C; the remaining 5 ml of supernatant was discarded. The resulting sponge pellet was resuspended
in 15 ml of fresh ice-cold CMFASW-E, vortexed for 5 min at 4°C, and centrifuged for 4 min at either 375 × g (H. vansoestii) or 350 × g (S. ruetzleri). The supernatant was discarded and 15 ml of fresh ice-cold CMFASW-E added to the sponge pellet, which was vortexed for 5 min at 4°C and re-centrifuged using the same speeds. This washing step was repeated another 3 times. After the final washing step, the sponge pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of CMFASW, briefly vortexed, and transferred to a sterile 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. Samples were centrifuged for 6 min at 4000 × g for both sponge species using a Sorvall Biofuge Pico microcentrifuge (Thermo Electron Corporation). The supernatant was removed using a pipette and the sponge pellets stored at −20°C for later stable isotope analysis.

The initial supernatant containing the microbial fraction was centrifuged at 1250 × g for 5 min for both sponge species. The resulting supernatant was transferred to a clean 50 ml Falcon tube, vortexed, and re-centrifuged at the same speed. This step was repeated a further 2 times to pellet the remaining sponge cells from the supernatant. The final microbial supernatant was pelleted by centrifugation (60 min at 3824 × g, maximum speed), and the pellet resuspended in 1 ml CMFASW, briefly vortexed, and transferred to a sterile 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. These samples were centrifuged for 6 min at 10 177 × g in the microcentrifuge. The supernatant was removed and the microbial pellets stored at −20°C for later stable isotope analysis.

The purity of the sponge and microbial fractions was determined using epifluorescence microscopy. Prior to the final microcentrifugation steps, subsamples of the sponge and microbial fractions were transferred to sterile 15 ml Falcon tubes pre-filled with artificial seawater and formaldehyde (2% [v/v] final concentration). Samples were fixed for a maximum of 24 h and then filtered onto 0.2 μm polycarbonate filters (Whatman, 25 mm) supported with 0.45 μm cellulose nitrate filters (Sartorius, 25 mm). Filters were stained with SYBR gold (Invitrogen), mounted, and microbes and sponge cells enumerated for each fraction using a fluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems) at 1250 and 500 × magnification, respectively. For each sample, 10 fields were counted at each magnification, and the counts were recalculated to give the total number of cells per fraction. Sponge cell fractions were characterized by nuclei of approximately 2–3 μm diameter, while microbial fractions contained small cells <1 μm diameter. The purity of sponge cell fractions was 82 ± 6% for H. vansoestii and 86 ± 5% for S. ruetzleri. Microbial fractions contained 99 ± 1 and 97 ± 2% microbes for H. vansoestii and S. ruetzleri, respectively. Additionally, the molar C:N ratio of separated sponge cell and microbial fractions for each species were compared using a 1-way permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; see Section 2.6) and found to be significantly different (see Table 1), indicating good separation of the fractions. Samples of the filtered homogenate (pre-centrifugation) were also taken to quantify the total number of sponge cells and microbes in the sponge tissue. These counts were used to calculate the relative contribution of sponge cells and microbes to total DOM uptake.

2.5. Quantification of 13C- and 15N-enriched DOM uptake into bulk sponge tissue, host cells, and microbial symbionts

To determine the stable-isotopic enrichment of bulk sponge tissue and separated sponge and microbial cell fractions after a pulse of 13C- and 15N-labelled DOM, C and N content and isotopic ratios were measured using a Vario Isotope Tube Elemen-


2.6. Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in Primer V7 (Clarke & Gorley 2015) using the add-on PERMANOVA+ (Anderson et al. 2008). To test the effect of treatment time-point (0, 3, 24 h) on stable isotope enrichment of bulk tissue, sponge cells, and microbial cells, 1-way PERMANOVA analyses were performed for δ\(^{13}\)C and δ\(^{15}\)N independently, per species. Monte Carlo pairwise comparisons were made to determine which levels of treatment time-point were significant. For each species, 1-way PERMANOVAs were conducted to test for differences in the molar C:N ratio of enriched sponge cell and microbial fractions. Resemblance matrices were constructed using Euclidean distances and tests performed using Type III sum of squares and unrestricted permutation of raw data (999 permutations). Significance was determined at the \(\alpha = 0.05\) level. See Table 1 for full statistical output.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Incorporation of DOM into bulk sponge tissue, host cells, and symbiotic microbes

The sponges Haliclona vansoesti and Scopalina ruetzleri displayed significant enrichment of DOM-derived \(^{13}\)C and \(^{15}\)N into their bulk tissue, host cells, and symbiotic microbes during the 3 h pulse (PERMANOVA pairwise tests \(T_0\) vs. \(T_3\), all \(p_{(MC)} < 0.05\); Table 1, Fig. 3A,B). This stable isotopic enrichment of bulk sponge tissue after the pulse period translated to DOM incorporation rates of \(1.11 \pm 0.04 \, \text{μmol C DOM mmol }^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}\) and \(1.15 \pm 0.06 \, \text{μmol N DOM mmol }^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}\) for \(H.\) vansoesti, and \(0.73 \pm 0.07 \, \text{μmol C DOM mmol }^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}\) and \(0.65 \pm 0.04 \, \text{μmol N DOM mmol N sponge }^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}\) for \(S.\) ruetzleri. DOM assimilation rates by sponge cells and symbiotic microbes of \(S.\) ruetzleri were similar, but incorporation rates by sponge cells of \(H.\) vansoesti were approximately 1.5- to 2-fold higher than for symbiotic microbes (Table 2).

During the 21 h chase period, the bulk tissue, sponge cells, and symbiotic microbes of both species remained enriched in \(^{13}\)C and \(^{15}\)N (Fig. 3). However, the average isotopic enrichment of both bulk sponge tissue and separated sponge cells decreased relative to the end of the 3 h pulse, although these trends were not significant (PERMANOVA pairwise tests \(T_3\) vs. \(T_{24}\), all \(p_{(MC)} > 0.05\); Table 1, Fig. 3A,B). This was coupled with an increase in the average isotopic enrichment of microbial symbionts (Fig. 3C):
Table 1. Results of individual 1-way PERMANOVAs testing for differences in dissolved organic matter derived $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N enrichment into bulk sponge tissue, sponge cells, and microbes, between time-points (0, 3, 24 h) for the sponges Haliclona vansoesti and Scopalina ruetzleri. Pairwise (PW) comparisons using Monte Carlo tests show significant differences between each time-point. $p_{\text{perm}}$: permutational p-value, $p_{\text{MC}}$: Monte Carlo permutational p-value. Values in **bold** are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

| Sample               | PERMANOVA main test | PW tests | Carbon | Nitrogen |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------|--------|----------|
|                      | df                  | SS       | MS     | $p_{\text{perm}}$ | t     | $p_{\text{MC}}$ | t     | $p_{\text{MC}}$ |
| H. vansoesti         |                      |          |        |            |       |                |       |               |
| Bulk tissue          | N                    | 2,6      | 28845  | 14423     | 23.249| **0.003**      |       | 31.40 <0.001 |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 0.0233          |       | 4.361 0.013   |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 2.273           |       | 0.084      |
| Sponge cells         | N                    | 2,6      | 94065  | 47032     | 23.249| **0.007**      |       | 31.40 <0.001 |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 0.0096          |       | 4.041 0.013   |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 1.867           |       | 2.248 0.088   |
| Microbes             | N                    | 2,6      | 66858  | 33292     | 22.505| **0.007**      |       | 5.815 0.004   |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 0.5179          |       | 2.357 0.083   |
| C:N ratio            |                     | 1,10     | 47.12   | 47.11     | 48.816| **0.002**      |       |               |
| S. ruetzleri         |                      |          |        |            |       |                |       |               |
| Bulk tissue          | N                    | 2,6      | 99796  | 48988     | 21.64 | **0.016**      |       | 3.641 0.017   |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 0.0397          |       | 3.949 0.017   |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 1.279           |       | 0.269      |
| Sponge cells         | N                    | 2,6      | 11167  | 55834     | 6.8729| **0.032**      |       | 3.743 0.02   |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 0.279           |       | 3.473 0.02   |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 2.182           |       | 0.694      |
| Microbes             | N                    | 2,6      | 44848  | 22424     | 19.816| **0.002**      |       | 5.206 0.006   |
|                      |                      |          |        |            |       | 0.2154          |       | 2.972 0.04    |
| C:N ratio            |                     | 1,10     | 12.79   | 12.79     | 15.014| **0.003**      |       |               |

Fig. 3. Isotopic enrichment of (A) bulk sponge tissue, (B) sponge cells, and (C) symbiotic microbes of the sponges Haliclona vansoesti and Scopalina ruetzleri after a 3 h pulse of isotopically labelled ($^{13}$C and $^{15}$N) dissolved organic matter. Dashed grey lines: the end of the 3 h pulse and beginning of the 21 h chase. Enrichment presented as mean ± SD relative to non-labelled controls ($\Delta$δ$^{13}$C and $\Delta$δ$^{15}$N). Significant differences (*$p < 0.05$) between the end of the pulse (3 h) and chase (24 h) period are indicated. Note the different y-axis scales between graphs.
**3.1. Impact of isotopically labelled DOM on sponge host cells and microbial symbionts**

The isotopic enrichment of carbon and nitrogen in sponge tissue, host cells, and microbial symbionts of the sponge species *Haliclona vansoesti* (*Hv*) and *Scopalina ruetzleri* (*Sr*) during the 3 h pulse of isotopically labelled dissolved organic matter (DOM) is presented in Table 2. The isotopic enrichment increased from 141 ± 21 to 163 ± 49‰ for *Hv* and from 87 ± 29 to 145 ± 62‰ for *Sr*. The increases in 15N-enrichment were larger, with values increasing from 377 ± 72 to 664 ± 198‰ for *Hv* and from 230 ± 34 to 546 ± 181‰ for *Sr*. The overall trend of loss of stable isotope tracer in the bulk and sponge cell fractions coupled with a gain in the symbiont fraction during the label-free chase period indicates translocation of DOM-derived C and N from host cells to microbial symbionts. However, this trend was only significant for the increase in average 15N-enrichment of symbiotic microbes of *Sr* (PERMANOVA pairwise test $T_3$ vs. $T_{24}$, $t = 2.97$, $p(MC) = 0.04$).

### Table 2. Incorporation rates of carbon and nitrogen into bulk tissue, host cells, and microbial symbionts of 3 individuals of the sponge species *Haliclona vansoesti* (*Hv*) and *Scopalina ruetzleri* (*Sr*) during the 3 h pulse of isotopically labelled dissolved organic matter (DOM). Rates are expressed as μmol C$_{DOM}$ mmol C$_{fraction}$⁻¹ h⁻¹ and μmol N$_{DOM}$ mmol N$_{fraction}$⁻¹ h⁻¹, where fraction represents bulk sponge tissue, sponge cells, or microbial symbionts.

| Fraction | Bulk tissue | Carbon | Sponge cells | Microbes | Bulk tissue | Nitrogen | Sponge cells | Microbes |
|----------|-------------|--------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|
| *Hv* 1   | 1.13        | 2.30   | 1.32         | 1.21     | 2.44        | 1.22     |
| *Hv* 2   | 1.13        | 1.52   | 0.98         | 1.14     | 1.68        | 0.85     |
| *Hv* 3   | 1.07        | 1.99   | 1.11         | 1.09     | 2.14        | 0.94     |
| *Sr* 1   | 0.81        | 1.43   | 0.95         | 0.70     | 1.03        | 0.71     |
| *Sr* 2   | 0.68        | 0.76   | 0.63         | 0.62     | 0.57        | 0.55     |
| *Sr* 3   | 0.72        | 0.57   | 0.51         | 0.63     | 0.42        | 0.56     |

**3.2. Contribution of host cells and symbionts to DOM uptake**

Despite similar DOM-derived C and N incorporation rates by sponge cells and microbial symbionts of both species, sponge cells accounted for the majority (>99%) of DOM assimilation during the pulse-chase experiment when differences in cellular biomass and abundance between fractions were considered (Fig. 4). Host cells dominate the cellular biomass of these LMA species, whilst their sparse microbial communities comprise small cells ≤0.5 μm diameter (Fig. 1B,D). Symbiotic microbes of *Hv* assimilated 0.17% of the total DOC and 0.12% of the total DON during the 3 h pulse. Similarly, symbiotic microbes of *Sr* assimilated 0.29 and 0.32% of the total DOC and DON during the pulse, respectively. In conjunction with the isotopic enrichment results (Fig. 3B,C), the decrease in 13C- and 15N-enrichment of sponge cells and increase in symbiotic microbes resulted in a small increase in the relative contribution of microbial assimilation to total DOM and DON assimilation during the 21 h chase (Fig. 4). Microbial enrichment as a percentage of total enrichment increased from 0.17 to 0.52% for DOC and 0.12 to 0.69% for DON in *Hv*, and from 0.29 to 0.31% for DOC and 0.32 to 0.52% for DON in *Sr*, between 3 and 24 h (Fig. 4).

**4. DISCUSSION**

Sponges have been traditionally viewed as ‘particle feeders’, with phagocytosis as the primary mechanism of food uptake (van Tricht 1919, van Weel 1949, Hahn-Keser & Stockem 1997, Steinmetz 2019). Over the past few decades, the paradigm of sponge feeding ecology has shifted to also include sponges as ‘solute feeders’, as it has become clear that DOM...
is a major component of the diet of many sponges (e.g. Reiswig 1981, Yahel et al. 2003, de Goeij et al. 2017, Wooster et al. 2019). Studies investigating the relative contribution of sponge host and microbiome to DOM uptake and the subsequent exchange of nutrients, however, are limited (Achlatis et al. 2019, Rix et al. 2020, Hudspith et al. 2021). Here, we found that although both host and symbiont cells assimilated DOM, N-rich compounds derived from DOM were translocated from sponge cells to symbiotic microbes of the encrusting sponges *Haliclona vansoesti* and *Scopalina ruetzleri* over time, indicating utilization of nitrogenous wastes of the host by the microbiome. Host cells were primarily responsible for DOM uptake in these species, while microbial symbionts played a quantitatively minor role in this process, demonstrating the ability of sponge cells to internalize fluids and effectively exploit the largest source of organic C in the ocean: DOM. Despite not contributing significantly to heterotrophic nutrient acquisition in the LMA sponges tested here, microbial symbionts can nevertheless fulfill functionally important roles within the holobiont (Weisz et al. 2010, Fan et al. 2012, Song et al. 2021), which may explain in part the long-term stability and specificity of sponge–microbe symbioses.

### 4.1. Translocation of DOM-derived N from host cells to microbial symbionts

Both host cells and microbial symbionts incorporated DOM during the 3 h pulse, with microbial enrichment possibly resulting from direct or host-mediated uptake. During the chase period, N derived from DOM was translocated from host cells to the microbiome of both species, as $^{15}$N-enrichment of symbiotic microbes increased during the label-free chase, while host-cell enrichment decreased. The trend of increasing microbial $^{15}$N-enrichment over time was statistically significant for *S. ruetzleri* but not for *H. vansoesi*, which may be due to low statistical power (only 3 replicates per species, per time point), or inter-specific differences in the exchange of N-rich compounds between host cells and symbionts. The incorporation of these metabolites by the microbial community indicates recycling of nitrogenous wastes. Numerous molecular studies have highlighted the potential of the sponge microbiome to assimilate ammonium (Thomas et al. 2010, Feng et al. 2018), urea (Su et al. 2013), nitrate/nitrite (via assimilatory nitrate reduction; Weigel & Erwin 2017), and creatine/creatinine (Moitinho-Silva et al. 2017a), and microbial communities of sponges have recently been shown to incorporate N derived from host DOM-feeding (Achlatis et al. 2019, Hudspith et al. 2021). Together, these findings show that microbial symbionts benefit from their association with the host in a commensal manner, but do not exclude reciprocal benefits for the host. Aside from eliminating metabolites that are potentially toxic to the host (e.g. ammonia), the utilization of metabolic waste by microbial symbionts aids in the retention of nutrients that would otherwise be expelled from the sponge. This process would be advantageous for sponges, particularly in the oligotrophic environments in which they typically thrive. The metabolic waste that fertilizes microbial communities can be further recycled back to the host via phagocytosis of symbionts by host cells (Leys et al. 2018), microbial degradation and subsequent resorption, or extracellular release, thereby conferring mutual benefits to both partners. By including an extended chase period (e.g. >48 h), further work could confirm these translocation dynamics, which may take longer to manifest than our experimental timeframe allowed.

### 4.2. Host cells drive DOM uptake in *H. vansoesti* and *S. ruetzleri*

Sponge cells are principally responsible for DOM uptake in the LMA species *H. vansoesti* and *S. ruetzleri*, accounting for >99% of total DOC and DON incorporation. These findings are similar to those of Rix et al. (2020), who found sponge cells of the Mediterranean LMA sponge *Dysidea avara* were responsible for 99.6% of DOC uptake and 98.6% of DON uptake when fed algal-derived DOM. The similarities in host cell contribution to DOM uptake between a massive sponge and our encrusting species strengthens the hypothesis of host-driven uptake in LMA sponges. Further studies are needed to determine if this strategy is conserved across species with different growth forms from different habitats and for HMA species, where microbes can constitute up to 40% of sponge biomass (Hentschel et al. 2003). To date, the only HMA species examined showed a much larger contribution by symbiotic microbes, which reflects differing host–symbiont strategies for DOM uptake (Rix et al. 2020). Choanocytes are the main digestive cell type in sponges and are primarily responsible for DOM uptake (Achlatis et al. 2019, Hudspith et al. 2021). While the cellular mechanism of DOM incorporation by choanocytes is not known, small molecules, such as amino acids or sugar monomers, can
traverse eukaryotic membranes via transporter proteins, and genes coding for membrane transporters (e.g. amino acids, vitamins) have been identified in sponges (Fiore et al. 2015, Sagabe et al. 2019). Larger molecules are internalized via endocytosis, which is broadly divided into phagocytosis and pinocytosis, depending on particle size. Fluids and dissolved compounds are absorbed via pinocytosis (‘cell drinking’), which encompasses macropinocytosis and clathrin- and caveolae-dependent/independent pathways (Conner & Schmid 2003). Evidence for macropinocytic activity has been found in freshwater (Hahn-Keser & Stockem 1997, Musser et al. preprint doi:10.1101/758276) and marine sponges (Laundon et al. 2019). In corals, macropinocytosis is a major endocytic pathway and occurs across many cell types, facilitating solute absorption from the environment (Ganot et al. 2020). Further studies characterizing the cellular mechanism of DOM uptake by sponge cells and the degree of reliance of symbiont DOM assimilation on transport by choanocytes will help define the interdependency of DOM-fuelled sponge–symbiont interactions. Symbiotic microbes reside within the sponge mesohyl—the gelatinous matrix bound by the external pinacoderm and internal choanosome—and thus dissolved compounds theoretically have to pass through either of these cell layers before they can be utilized by microbes. Investigating host control over symbiont access to DOM will be especially pertinent in HMA sponges, where symbionts can contribute significantly (>65%) to DOM assimilation (Rix et al. 2020).

The low contribution (<1%) of the microbiome of *H. vansoestii* and *S. ruetzleri* to DOM assimilation, driven by their low relative biomass, suggests that microbial symbionts do not facilitate ecological niche expansion with respect to DOM cycling in these LMA species. However, microbial symbionts bring other, unique metabolic capabilities to their sponge host. Both *H. vansoestii* and *S. ruetzleri* harbor cyanobacteria (Easson & Thacker 2014, Rua et al. 2015), and although we observed few cyanobacteria in the homogenate of these sponges during the cell separation process, they can nevertheless provide supplemental host nutrition via the translocation of photosynthetically fixed C (Wilkinson 1979). *Proteobacteria* is an abundant bacterial group in these species (Gamma- and Alpha-proteobacteria for *H. vansoestii* and *S. ruetzleri*, respectively) (Easson & Thacker 2014, Rua et al. 2015) and is amongst the most dominant phylum in sponge microbiomes, particularly in LMA species (Giles et al. 2013, Moitinho-Silva et al. 2017b). They have been linked to a variety of functions, including nutrient transport, C metabolism (Moitinho-Silva et al. 2014), sulphur metabolism (Karimi et al. 2018), and inorganic phosphate assimilation (Gauthier et al. 2016). Aside from nutrition exchange, sponge symbionts can also benefit their host via photoprotection (Regoli et al. 2000), chemical defense (see Selvin et al. 2010), and utilization of potentially toxic metabolites.

**4.3. Evaluating sponge heterotroph–heterotroph symbioses using host–symbiont cell separation**

Here, we utilized sponge–microbe cell separation to successfully partition nutrient uptake and detect low levels of nutrient exchange within sponge heterotroph–heterotroph symbioses. Previous studies have attempted to infer host–symbiont partitioning of DOM using phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) SIP (Rix et al. 2017, Bart et al. 2020, Campana et al. 2021). However, this approach only considers the fraction of DOM incorporated into PLFAs, and only a relatively small number of PLFAs can be identified as bacterial- or sponge-specific biomarkers. Furthermore, short incubation times were hypothesized to be insufficient for the synthesis of very long-chained sponge-specific PLFAs, which limits interpretation of the data (Bart et al. 2020, Campana et al. 2021). Cell-separation enables a fully quantitative evaluation of host–symbiont uptake and translocation, as it includes DOM assimilation into total cellular biomass. This method requires optimization per species to obtain good separation of the host and symbiont fractions, which can be challenging and may not be practical for all species. We trialed a range of encrusting species, including HMA sponges, but found many were not suitable for the method (e.g. *Halisarca caerulea, Chondrilla caribensis, Plakortis angularispiculatus, Hyrtios proteus*). Due to their smaller sizes and the ease with which they can be shaped into fully functional individuals, encrusting species are ideal candidates for SIP experiments compared with massive species. Factors such as tissue density and composition, symbiont community (e.g. the presence of large cyanobacteria or eukaryotic symbionts such as dinoflagellates), and cell aggregation (e.g. both host–host and host–microbe), affect whether tissue can be dissociated easily and cell fractions separated and purified. Species with dense, collagenous tissue and those containing symbionts and host cells with similar or overlapping sizes pose particular challenges and would require additional optimization steps. Nevertheless, this technique has been
successfully used in a range of sponge species to infer trophic relationships between sponges and symbionts using natural stable-isotopic signatures of separated fractions (Freeman & Thacker 2011, Shih et al. 2020), and to follow the transfer of symbiont-derived inorganic C and N to host cells (Fiore et al. 2013, Freeman et al. 2013).

The molar C:N ratios of our sponge cell and microbial fractions were significantly different, indicating good separation of the fractions (Fiore et al. 2013, Shih et al. 2020), but a degree of cross-contamination does occur. However, similar values for host/symbiont contributions to DOM uptake were found in *D. avara* and *Aplysina aerophoba* using cell-separation and NanoSIMS (Rix et al. 2020), confirming the validity of the cell-separation technique. Our translocation results also corroborate recent studies which found DOM-derived nutrient exchange from host to microbiome using NanoSIMS (Achlatis et al. 2019, Hudspith et al. 2021), proving that host-symbiont cell separation can be a useful and sensitive tool to complement low-throughput and costly single-cell techniques.

### 4.4. Future directions

The relative contribution of symbiotic microbes to DOM assimilation increased over time in both *H. vansoestii* and *S. ruetzleri* (Fig. 4), which resulted from the translocation of metabolites from sponge cells to symbionts, but may also include higher retention of incorporated DOM by microbial symbionts relative to sponge cells. In order to fully quantify translocation and characterize the flow of C and N in sponge symbioses, however, a combinatorial approach is needed. Long-term isotopic labelling experiments coupled with sophisticated isotope-mixing models (Tanaka et al. 2018) can quantify nutrient cycling in holobionts, and require key aspects of sponge C and N metabolism, including proliferation rates of symbiotic microbes and release rates of C and N by the holobiont (e.g. using InEx methods; Yahel et al. 2005), to be elucidated. When combined with manipulative experiments, these models can quantify the effect of environmental stressors on nutrient acquisition and allocation within symbioses (Tremblay et al. 2013). Future studies should investigate the partitioning and exchange of nutrients in HMA and LMA sponges, and how these symbioses shift along the mutualism–parasitism continuum in response to environmental change.
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