INTRODUCTION

WHO defines abortion as pregnancy termination before 20 weeks gestation or with fetus born weighing <500 gms. More than 80 percent of abortions occur in the first trimester of pregnancy and half of these result from chromosomal anomalies. After the first trimester the abortion rate and chromosomal anomalies both decreases. Abortion may be spontaneous or induced. Threatened abortion is a type of spontaneous abortion.

According to WHO threatened abortion is, pregnancy related bloody vaginal discharge or frank bleeding during its first half of pregnancy without cervical dilatation. It is usually happen in around one-fifth of pregnancy.

Threatened abortion progress to pregnancy loss in about 50 percent cases. Additionally, first trimester bleeding assumes a role in the occurrence of late pregnancy complication. Hence by preventing and managing threatened abortion complications can be avoided.
Numerous sonographic criteria and biochemical markers have been studied to predict the outcome of threatened abortion.  

Various sonographic signs have been described to predict pregnancy outcome. Gestational sac not corresponding to gestational age or irregularly shaped gestational sac, a low implantation site, a large or irregular yolk sac, a weak decidual reaction, and slow embryonic heart rate are used as predictors of pregnancy outcome.  

Early pregnancy markers in patients with threatened abortion including the size of gestational sac, size and shape of yolk sac, fetal CRL, the presence/absence of subchorionic hematoma and FHR are good predictors of outcome.  

CA-125 is cell surface high molecular weight glycoprotein present in tissue derived from embryonic coelomic. During pregnancy, disruption of the epithelial basement membrane of the fetus or disruption of the decidua could theoretically lead to rise in maternal CA-125 level, thus can be used as a predictor of subsequent spontaneous abortion.  

METHODS  

Study population  
The study was conducted in the department of obstetrics and gynaecology, S.P. medical college and associated group of hospital, Bikaner, Rajasthan from 1 September 2019 to 31 August 2020. This is a hospital based prospective comparative study. The study group comprise of pregnant females attending obstetrics and gynaecology OPD. A total of 200 pregnant women were examined in this study. We divided these patients into 2 groups, group A and group B. Group A consists of 100 pregnant female with threatened abortion and group B with 100 normal pregnant female.  

Inclusion criteria  
Patient who presented with complain of vaginal bleeding, closed and uneffaced cervix and/or abdominal pain at 6-12 weeks of gestational age were included in the study. The pregnancy should be confirmed by a visible gestational sac of a live embryo, verified by cardiac activity on a real time ultrasound and gestational age was calculated by their last menstrual period, in both groups. Study population included women of 18 to 40 years age group.  

Table 1: Distribution of patients in both groups.  

| Pregnancy | Group A     | Group B     |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
|           | Number of patients | Percentage (%) | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
| Continued | 62           | 62          | 82           | 82          |
| Aborted   | 38           | 38          | 18           | 18          |
| Total     | 100          | 100         | 100          | 100         |

Exclusion criteria  
Other abortions (incomplete or missed abortion), molar pregnancy, ectopic pregnancy, women not willing to participate in the study were excluded.  

Data collection  
After careful and thorough history, physical examination, sonography done to confirm gestational age, fetal viability, intrauterine single gestation, after full filling the inclusion criteria and after taking informed consent, the participants were allocated into two groups.  

Data analysis  
To collect required information from eligible patients a pre-structured pre-tested performa was used. For data analysis microsoft excel and statistical software SPSS will be used and data were analysed with the help of frequencies, figures, proportions, measures of central tendency, appropriate statistical test.  

RESULTS  
Our study population is classified in 2 groups, group A as case and group B as control. Out of 100 patients of group A 62% patients continued pregnancy and 38% patients were aborted. For group B 82% patients continued pregnancy and 18% were aborted.  

In our study, majority 85% in group A and 96% patients in group B had no subchorionic haematoma. 15% in group A and 4% in group B had subchorionic haematoma. The p value is 0.008, the result was significant.  

In Table 3 mean FHR and CA-125 for aborted patients is 108.89 per minute and 100.24 U/ml respectively. For continued patients mean FHR is 128.32 per minute and CA-125 is 32.64 U/ml. FHR, CA-125 showing significant difference in group A as p value for these parameter is <0.0001. All other demographic and laboratory parameters are non-significant.
Table 2: Distribution of patients according to subchorionic haematoma.

| Subchorionic haematoma | Group A |       | Group B |       | P value |
|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
|                        | Number of patients | Percentage (%) | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |          |
| Absent                 | 85      | 85    | 96      | 96    | 0.008   |
| Present                | 15      | 15    | 4       | 4     | 0.008   |
| Total                  | 100     | 100   | 100     | 100   |         |

Table 3: Distribution of patients according to various parameters in group A.

| Parameters               | Group A |       |       |       |       |
|--------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                          | Aborted | Continued |       |       | P value |
| Age (in years)           | 25.1    | 2.69   | 24.85 | 3.78  | 0.59   |
| Gestational age (in weeks)| 9.05    | 1.74   | 8.66  | 1.74  | 0.11   |
| HB (gm/dl)               | 9.83    | 1.6    | 10.17 | 1.53  | 0.12   |
| RBS                      | 118.73  | 14.03  | 119.16| 12.29 | 0.81   |
| CA-125 (u per ml)        | 100.24  | 45.24  | 32.64 | 41.6  | <0.0001|
| CRL (mm)                 | 19.31   | 13.22  | 22.17 | 15.49 | 0.16   |
| FHR (per minute)         | 108.89  | 14.46  | 128.32| 13.51 | <0.0001|

Table 4: Distribution of patients according to various parameters in group B.

| Parameters               | Group B |       |       |       |       |
|--------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                          | Aborted | Continued |       |       | P value |
| Age (in years)           | 24.88   | 1.96   | 24.56 | 3.51  | 0.42   |
| Gestational age (in weeks)| 8.88    | 1.64   | 8.54  | 1.81  | 0.16   |
| HB (gm/dl)               | 9.6     | 1.25   | 9.84  | 1.22  | 0.17   |
| RBS                      | 116.88  | 15.06  | 117.95| 14.11 | 0.6    |
| CA-125 (u per ml)        | 32.45   | 15.94  | 16.39 | 9.5   | <0.0001|
| CRL (mm)                 | 16.44   | 11.37  | 23    | 15.1  | 0.0006 |
| FHR (per minute)         | 105.55  | 5.11   | 127.12| 14.79 | <0.0001|

Table 5: ROC analysis for CA-125, FHR and CRL for predicting abortion.

| Parameters | AUC | P value | CI 95% | Cutoff |
|------------|-----|---------|--------|--------|
| CA-125     | 0.966 | <0.0001 | 0.922-1.011 | 61.64 |
| FHR        | 0.846 | <0.001  | 0.755-0.937 | 115   |
| CRL        | 0.606 | 0.076   | 0.485-0.727 | 11    |

Table 6: Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV of CA-125 for abortion.

| Parameters  |                     | Aborted | Continued |
|-------------|----------------------|---------|-----------|
| CA-125 (>61.64) |                     | 32      | 2         |
| CA-125 (≤61.64)|                     | 6       | 60        |
| Sensitivity (%)       |                     | 84.21   |           |
| Specificity (%)       |                     | 96.77   |           |
| PPV (%)               |                     | 94.12   |           |
| NPV (%)               |                     | 90.91   |           |

Table 4 shows mean CRL for aborted patients is 16.44 mm and for continued is 23 mm. Mean FHR and CA-125 VALUE for aborted patients is 105.55 per minute and 32.45 U/ml. For continued patients mean FHR is 127.12 per minute and CA-125 is 16.39 U/ml. The p value for CRL is 0.0006, for FHR is <0.0001 and for CA-125 is <0.0001. The result is significant as p value is <0.05. All
other demographic and laboratory parameters are non-significant.

ROC curves of CA-125, FHR and CRL in predicting abortion were plotted. The AUC for CA-125, FHR and CRL was 0.966 (95% CI 0.922-1.011), 0.846 (95% CI 0.755-0.937) and 0.606 (95% CI 0.755-0.937), respectively in shown in Table 5. ROC curve gives cut off level of CA-125 61.64 U/ml and FHR 115 bpm and CRL at 11 mm in predicting the risk of abortion.

CA-125 is 84.21% sensitive, 96.77% specific in predicting abortion with 94.12% positive predictive value and 90.91% negative predictive value.

In this study we found that FHR is 76.32% sensitive, 90.32% specific in predicting abortion with 82.86% positive predictive value and 86.15% negative predictive value.

CRL is 50% sensitive, 69.35% specific in predicting abortion with 50% positive predictive value and 69.35% negative predictive value.

Figure 1: ROC analysis for predicting abortion; (a) CA-125; (b) FHR; (c) CRL.

Table 7: Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV of FHR for abortion.

| FHR (<115) | Continued |
|------------|-----------|
| Aborted    | 29        | 6         |
| FHR (≥115) | 9         | 56        |
| Sensitivity (%) | 76.32   |
| Specificity (%)  | 90.32    |
| PPV (%)        | 82.86    |
| NPV (%)        | 86.15    |
| Accuracy (%)   | 85       |
Table 8: Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV of CRL for abortion.

|                | Aborted | Continued |
|----------------|---------|-----------|
| CRL (<11)      | 19      | 19        |
| CRL (≥11)      | 19      | 43        |
| Sensitivity (%)| 50      |           |
| Specificity (%)| 69.35   |           |
| PPV (%)        | 50      |           |
| NPV (%)        | 69.35   |           |
| Accuracy (%)   | 62      |           |

DISCUSSION

The first trimester vaginal bleeding is common obstetrical complication, occurring in 25% of all pregnancy. Threatened miscarriage is defined as vaginal bleeding before 20 weeks in closed cervical os. According to our study mean age of group A was 24.95±3.04 years and in group B was 24.6±23.28 years. P value was 0.48. Most of the patients 66% and 68% were illiterate in group A and group B, respectively. Majority, 65% and 70% patients were from rural area in group A and group B respectively. 73% and 74% patients of group A and group B respectively were lower class category. In our study 39% and 42% patients were nulliparous, 29% and 28% were para 1, 22% and 22% of patients were para 2 respectively for group A and group B. In our study mean gestational age of group A was 8.81±1.74 weeks and group B was 8.61±1.78 weeks. Mean haemoglobin for group A was 10.04±1.22 gm/dl and for group B was 9.8±1.22 gm/dl. Mean RBS for group A was 119 mg/dl and for group B was 117.7 mg/dl. All above demographic and laboratory parameters were insignificant.

We considered ultrasound parameters SCH, CRL and FHR. Majority 85% in group A and 96% patients in group B had no subchorionic haematoma. 15% in group A and 4% in group B had subchorionic haematoma. The p value was 0.008. The result was significant.

Mean CRL was 19.31 (SD=13.22) mm for group A (aborted) and 22.17 (SD=15.49) mm for group A (continued). Mean CRL was 16.44 (SD=11.37) mm for group B (aborted) and 23 (SD=15.1) mm for group B (continued). The p value for CRL in group A is 0.16 and in group B is 0.0006.

Mean FHR for group A (aborted) 108.89 (SD=14.46) per minute and for group A (continued) was 128.32 (SD=13.51) per minute. Mean FHR for group B (aborted) was 105.55 (SD=5.11) per minute and for group B (continued) was 127.12 (SD=14.79) per minute. The p value for FHR in both group A and group B are <0.0001. The result is significant. The AUC for FHR and CRL is 0.846 (95% CI 0.755-0.937) and 0.606 (95% CI 0.755-0.937), respectively. According to our study, CRL is 50% sensitive, 69.35% specific in predicting abortion with 50% positive predictive value and 69.35% negative predictive value. FHR is 76.32% sensitive, 90.32% specific in predicting abortion with 82.86% positive predictive value and 86.15% negative predictive value. These findings are consistent with study by Oun AEM et al and Maged.\(^\text{12,13}\) However, Maged and Al Mohamady et al (2016) reported that, there was no significant difference between groups as regard to crown rump length and these results are confirmed in the present work.\(^\text{13,14}\) Regarding FHR, results of the present study agreed with Doubitel and Benson’s and Tannirandorn et al findings.\(^\text{15,16}\)

According to our study mean CA-125 value for group A (aborted) 100.24 (SD=45.24) U/ml and for group A (continued) was 32.64 (SD=41.6) U/ml. Mean CA-125 value for group B (aborted) 32.45 (SD=15.94) U/ml and for group B (continued) was 16.39 (SD=9.5) U/ml. In both groups p value are <0.0001. The result was significant.

The AUC for CA-125 is 0.966 (95% CI 0.922-1.011). According to our study, CA-125 is 84.21% sensitive, 96.77% specific in predicting abortion, with 94.12% positive predictive value and 90.91% negative predictive value. Previous studies concluding CA-125 as a good screening tool in patients with threatened miscarriage to predict pregnancy who aborted.\(^\text{17,18}\) While Fiegler et al (2003) used a cut-off value of 66.5 IU/ml with a sensitivity of 55% only and Schmidt et al (2001) used 65 IU/ml as a cut-off value and reported a sensitivity of 50% for this level.\(^\text{19,20}\)

CONCLUSION

Both maternal serum CA-125 measurement and USG are inexpensive, easily available, fast, non-invasive predictors of threatened abortion which may result in loss of pregnancy. But present study concluded that single raised maternal serum CA-125 value has best predictive value than USG parameters (FHR, sub-chorionic hematoma, CRL) in women with threatened abortion.
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