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ABSTRACT

Disk recession is of prime importance to understand the physics of the state transitions in X-ray binaries. The microquasar GX 339-4 was observed by Suzaku five times spaced by a few days during its transition back to the hard state at the end of its 2010–2011 outburst. The ~10 keV source flux decreases by a factor ~10 between the beginning and the end of the monitoring. Simultaneous radio and optical/infrared (IR) observations highlighted the re-ignition of the radio emission just before the beginning of the campaign with the maximum radio emission being reached between the first two Suzaku pointings, while the IR peaked a few weeks later. A fluorescent iron line is always significantly detected. Fits with Gaussian or Laor profiles give statistically equivalent results. In the case of a Laor profile, fits of the five data sets simultaneously agree with a disk inclination angle of ~20 degrees. The disk inner radius is <10–30 Rs, in the first two observations but almost unconstrained in the last three due to the lower statistics. A soft X-ray excess is also present in these first two observations. Fits with a multicolored disk component give disk inner radii in qualitative agreement with those obtained with the iron line fits. The use of a physically more realistic model, including a blurred reflection component and a comptonization continuum, give some hints of the increase in the disk inner radius but the significances are always weak (and model dependent), preventing any clear conclusion concerning disk recession during this campaign. Interestingly, the addition of warm absorption significantly improves the fit of OBS1, while it is not needed in the other observations. Given the radio-jet re-ignition that occurs between OBS1 and OBS2, these absorption features may indicate the natural evolution of the accretion outflows transiting from a disk wind, which is a ubiquitous characteristic of soft states, and a jet, which is a signature of hard states. The comparison to a long 2008 Suzaku observation of GX 339-4 in a persistent faint hard state (similar in flux to OBS5), where a narrow iron line clearly indicates a disk recession, is discussed.
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1. Introduction

Multiwavelength observation campaigns of microquasars, such as those done in X-ray and radio in the last 10 years, were crucial in bringing to light the striking link between the ejection phenomena (mostly observed in radio) and the inner accretion flow, whose radiation seems to be the dominant component in the X-rays (e.g., Corbel et al. 2000, 2003; Coriat et al. 2011; Gallo et al. 2003). For instance, strong radio emission, as interpreted by the presence of persistent jets (directly observed in a few cases, e.g., Dhawan et al. 2000; Stirling et al. 2001), is generally detected when the X-ray emission peaks at a few tens of keV in the so-called hard state (e.g., Corbel et al. 2004; Fender et al. 2004). This X-ray emission is commonly believed to originate via inverse Compton process from a plasma of hot electrons (the so-called corona) that scatters off UV/soft X-ray photons produced by the cooler part of the accretion flow. On the other hand the radio emission is quenched in the so-called soft state (Fender et al. 1999; Corbel et al. 2000), and the X-ray data are spectrally dominated by soft X-ray emission. This emission is generally interpreted as signature of a multicolored accretion disk component down to the last stable orbit $R_{ISCO}$.

In the past ten years, high energy resolution observations of several microquasars also showed the presence of highly ionized absorption features in their X-ray spectra. These features were interpreted as a signature of ionized gas in the close environment of the black hole, their blueshifts indicating of outflows or winds (e.g., Miller et al. 2004 hereafter M04; Miller et al. 2006c). It has been realized that these features were more specifically observed in the soft state (e.g., Ponti et al. 2012; Diaz Trigo et al. 2011; Diaz Trigo & Boirin 2013). These results suggest that X-ray binaries during outbursts may transit back and forth between disk-jet (in the hard state) and disk-wind (in the soft state) configurations (e.g., Neilsen & Lee 2009). However, the exact interplay between ejection and accretion phenomena and the origin of the transition from one state to the others is still poorly known (see, however, the recent study done by Kalemci et al. 2013).

The commonly adopted toy-picture of the central regions of microquasars plays on the relative importance of the accretion disk and hot corona emission along the outburst (e.g., Esin et al. 1997; Done et al. 2007). The accretion disk is assumed to be present in between an outer and inner radius, $R_{out}$ and $R_{in}$, while the hot corona is localized in between $R_{in}$ and $R_{ISCO}$. In the hard state, $R_{in} \gg R_{ISCO}$, and the hot corona dominates the observed...
emission. The inner part of the accretion disk (close to $R_a$) is then cold which explains its poor detection in the soft X-rays in this state. In contrast, in the soft states $R_a \sim R_{	ext{ISCO}}$, i.e. the hot corona is no more present and the spectra are dominated by the accretion disk emission.

If this picture is correct, variations of the disk inner radius $R_a$ should occur during the state transitions, by first decreasing during the hard-to-soft transition but then increasing during the soft-to-hard one. This interpretation is apparently supported by the observations in hard states of weak reflection components (e.g., Gierlinski et al. 1997; Barret et al. 2000; Miller et al. 2002; Zycki et al. 1998; Joinet et al. 2007), the absence of relativistic broadening of the iron line in a few cases (e.g., Tomsick et al. 2009; Plant et al. 2013), and the absence of obvious thermal components (e.g., Poutanen et al. 1997; Dove et al. 1997; Remillard & McClintock 2006; Done et al. 2007; Dunn et al. 2010), which are all potential signatures of small and remote reflecting area.

The variable disk inner radius during state transitions then becomes a natural key ingredient in most theoretical models, which controls or results from the spectral and timing evolution of microquasars. For the outburst of GX 339-4, the XMM observations during the transition showed that the soft excess and a broad emission feature around 6.4 keV were the same source in its hard state, has also shown that the spectrum could be consistent with a truncated disk (Tomsick et al. 2009). More specifically, by re-analyzing the whole Swift/XRT dataset of XTE J1817-330, C09 does observe a slight increase of the disk radius. This apparently occurs when the $2 \rightarrow 10$ keV luminosity decreases below $5 \times 10^{-3} L_{\text{Edd}}$. These authors analyzed other sources in the same way and obtain similar, though less significant, results.

The reality of the disk recession is clearly of prime importance in that we crucially need it if we want to understand the physics of the state transitions. By confirming, and precisely measuring, this recession (if any) should constrain and refine most of the present theoretical models. On the other hand, the absence of recession implies that we strongly reconsider our present understanding of the microquasar phenomenon.

In this paper we present a Suzaku campaign on the microquasar GX 339-4 that aims at catching any recession of the accretion disk during a soft-to-hard state transition. Section 2 detailed the observation and data treatment and Sect. 3 the data analysis. While the constraints on the disk inner radius, as discussed in Sect. 4, prevent any clear conclusions concerning its recession, the observation of ionized absorbing features in the soft X-rays may suggest a disk wind, whose properties may evolve during the transitions. These results are discussed in Sect. 5 before concluding.

### 2. Observations and data treatment

#### 2.1. Suzaku observations

GX 339-4 was observed five times (~20 ks each) by Suzaku at the end of its last outburst in February 2011, as soon as the source became visible by the satellite. The five observations were separated by a few days to follow the spectral evolution of the object along all its transition back to the hard state. The log of these observations is detailed in Table 1 with the corresponding dates. The RXTE/PCA 3–20 keV light curve of the complete 2010–2011 outburst of GX 339-4 is plotted at the top of Fig. 1 with the hardness ratio\(^1\). A zoom of the last part of the outburst, with the dates of the five Suzaku observations as indicated by the vertical dotted lines, is shown at the bottom of Fig. 1.

For the data treatment, we use the most up-to-date calibration files and the HEASoft version 11.6.1. We run the Suzaku XIS/HXD aepipeline (V1.1.0) tools to reprocess the data from scratch. We consider the pile-up effect in the XIS instrument by first running the aeatcor2 tool, which corrects the Suzaku attitude data for the effects of “thermal wobbling” caused by

---

\(^1\) Assuming a ten-solar-mass black hole.

\(^2\) The hardness ratio is defined as the ratio of the (5.7–9.5 keV) count rate over the (2.9–5.7 keV) count rate.
thermal distortions of the satellite bodies\textsuperscript{3}. Then, we run the pileup fraction estimation tool pileest which is also released in the HEASoft package to estimate the amount of pile up in the XIS images and disregarded regions with $>10\%$ pile-up fraction during the spectral extraction. Only the first two observations suffered from pile-up ($\sim19$ and $10\%$ for OBS1 and OBS2, respectively, for the most central pixels) and a circular region of $\sim10''$ radius in the central part of the image was excluded. We added together the XIS0 and XIS3 spectra. Unless specified in the text, the XIS spectra were all rebinned so that the minimum number of bins per resolution element is five in order to ensure a minimum number of counts per channel\textsuperscript{4} of 30.

To create the background files in the XIS energy range we use the ftool xisnxbgen which estimates the non X-ray background spectrum of the XIS instrument. While the cosmic X-ray background is expected to be low in the XIS energy band, we take it into account by following the recipe indicated in the ABC guide V4.0 (pp. 81–82)\textsuperscript{5} but renormalized it to the XIS FOV that corresponds to the 1/4 window mode. The total X-ray background files (including the non-X-ray and the cosmic X-ray background) of the HXD/PIN instruments were computed using the ftools hxdpinxbpi\textsuperscript{6}. For the non X-ray background, we use the “tuned” background files distributed by the HXD team and corresponding to our observations. These “tuned” background suffers from systematic uncertainties of about 1.3\%\textsuperscript{7} that have been added to the PIN data during our fitting procedure. Following the ABC guide V4.0 (Chap. 5.7.2), the normalization of the HXD/PIN with respect to XIS is fixed to 1.16. The energy ranges for the XIS and HXD/PIN instruments are restricted to 0.7–10 keV and 20–70 keV respectively. In the following, we name the five observations OBS1, OBS2, OBS3, OBS4, and OBS5 (see Table 1).

2.2. Radio and IR observations

Simultaneous or quasi-simultaneous radio observations were taken with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) at 5.5 and 9 GHz (Corbel et al. 2013, hereafter C13). Simultaneous or quasi-simultaneous optical and IR observations were taken with the SMARTS telescope (Buxton et al. 2012; Dincer et al. 2012). The corresponding light curves are reported at the top of Fig. 2 and the radio spectral index (between the 5.5 and 9 GHz band) is plotted at the bottom of the figure.

3. Results

3.1. Light curves

GX 339-4 was at the end of its outburst, and as expected, its X-ray flux decreases from OBS1 to OBS5. The count rates in the 0.7–2, 2–10, 20–70 and 70–200 keV ranges are indicated

\begin{itemize}
  \item {http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftools/caldb/help/aedtcorr2.html}
  \item For the rebin procedure, we use the tool PHARBN developed by M. Guainazzi and adapted to the XIS instrument.
  \item {http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/suzaku/analysis/abc/}
  \item {http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/suzaku/analysis/watchout.html}, item 16.
\end{itemize}
in Table 1, and indeed, they all decrease during the campaign. Interestingly, the decrease is much more pronounced in the softer energy range than in the harder ones. The 0.7 keV decrease is much more pronounced in the softer energy range. For clarity, only the XIS0+XIS3 spectra are plotted. They have been rebinned to have 30σ per bin. The bottom panel shows the contributions to the χ².

3.2. Spectral analysis

3.2.1. Above 3 keV: XIS data alone

We begin our spectral analysis on the Suzaku data above 3 keV, which is a spectral domain known to be dominated by the primary continuum. We first fit the XIS data only between 3 and 10 keV with a simple power law model. The best-fit values for the photon index are reported in Table 2, and the folded spectra are plotted in Fig. 3. The photon index decreases rapidly between OBS1 and OBS2, from $\Gamma = 1.72 \pm 0.01$ to $\Gamma = 1.56 \pm 0.01$ and then stays roughly constant around 1.55 between OBS2 and OBS5.

Excesses close to 6–7 keV are visible especially in OBS1, 2 and 3, suggesting the presence of an iron Kα line. We add a Gaussian component and fit the spectra again. The fit improves very significantly for OBS1 ($\Delta \chi^2 = 143$), which is less for OBS2 and 3 ($\Delta \chi^2 = 29$ and 30 respectively) and even less for OBS4 and 5 ($\Delta \chi^2 = 14$) but the improvement is always significant (at more than 99% following the F-test). The best-fit parameter values are reported in Table 2. The contour plots of the line flux vs. line energy and line width vs. line energy for the five observations are plotted in Fig. 4.

The line flux is clearly decreasing between OBS1 and OBS2 (at more than 3σ). Then it is consistent with a constant at a confidence level of ~70% between OBS2 and OBS5. Concerning the line energy, its highest value is reached in OBS3 with $E_{\text{gauss}} = 6.77 \pm 0.13$. The other measurements are consistent with a neutral iron line peaking at 6.4 keV. The significance of the variability in the line energy during the campaign is however less than 40%. The line width is consistent with a constant at a confidence level of ~60%. It has an average value of $\sim 440 \pm 70$ eV which potentially indicates some broadening.

3.2.2. 0.7–10 keV: XIS data alone

We now include the 0.7–3 keV data in the fitting procedure. The data/model ratios of the five XIS spectra, which are obtained after extrapolation of the best-fit powerlaw + Laor model down to 0.7 keV, are plotted in Fig. 6. A photo-electric absorption (model $\text{tbnew}$ in xspec) was added but the hydrogen column density was fixed to $6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is the typical galactic $N_H$ observed in the direction of GX 339-4 (e.g., Zdziarski et al. 2004; Crodolle Beu et al. 2011). The soft X-ray part of the spectra varies in time, in excess above the power law extrapolation in OBS1 and OBS2, but in deficit in the three last observations. Letting the column density free to vary improves the fits, but they are

---

8 See however Protassov et al. (2002) about the usage of the F-test in line-like features.

9 All modeling was performed using the “wilms” abundances (Wilms et al. 2000) with the vern cross-sections (Verner et al. 1996).
still statistically unacceptable, especially in the case of OBS1 and OBS2.

The soft X-ray excess could be the signature of the optically thick accretion disk component, which dominates the X-ray emission in the soft state, then smoothly disappears from OBS1 to OBS5 when the source re-enters in the hard state. To test this hypothesis, we have added a multicolored disk component to our fits. The column density is still free to vary independently for the five observations. The best fit parameters

### Table 2. Best fit of the XIS data between 3 and 10 keV with a simple power law, a power-law + Gaussian line and a power-law + Laor profile emission line.

| Obs. | $\Gamma$ | $F_{3-10\,\text{keV}}$ ($\times10^{-10}\,\text{erg cm}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$) | Power law $L_{3-10\,\text{keV}}/L_{\text{edd}}$ (%) | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 1    | 1.72 ± 0.01 | 3.35                         | 0.188             | 429/254        |
| 2    | 1.56 ± 0.01 | 2.05                         | 0.115             | 303/254        |
| 3    | 1.53 ± 0.02 | 0.89                         | 0.050             | 297/251        |
| 4    | 1.53 ± 0.02 | 0.66                         | 0.037             | 314/245        |
| 5    | 1.57 ± 0.02 | 0.47                         | 0.026             | 220/219        |

2008 $1.52 ± 0.01$ 0.44 0.024 435/254

| Obs. | $\Gamma$ | $E_{\text{gauss}}$ (keV) | $\sigma_{\text{gauss}}$ (keV) | $F_{\text{gauss}}$ ($\times10^{-4}\,\text{ph cm}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$) | $E_{\text{W}}$ (eV) | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1    | 1.81 ± 0.02 | 6.40 ± 0.10              | 0.66 ± 0.13                 | 6.5±1.7         | 150±40        | 285/251        |
| 2    | 1.60 ± 0.02 | 6.49 ± 0.12              | 0.35 ± 0.13                 | 1.5±0.6         | 55±25         | 267/251        |
| 3    | 1.57 ± 0.02 | 6.77 ± 0.13              | 0.36 ± 0.11                 | 1.0±0.3         | 90±20         | 263/248        |
| 4    | 1.56 ± 0.03 | 6.42 ± 0.20              | 0.36 ± 0.22                 | 0.5±0.4         | 60±33         | 300/242        |
| 5    | 1.62 ± 0.06 | 6.59 ± 0.30              | 0.60 ± 0.51                 | 0.7±1.4         | 130±35        | 207/216        |

2008 $1.54 ± 0.01$ 6.41 ± 0.02 0.10 ± 0.03 0.4±0.1 | 65±10 | 248/251

| Obs. | $\Gamma$ | $E_{\text{Laor}}$ (keV) | $R_{\text{in}}$ $(R_g)$ | $F_{\text{Laor}}$ ($\times10^{-4}\,\text{ph cm}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$) | $E_{\text{W}}$ (eV) | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1    | 1.80 ± 0.02 | 7.00±0.11                 | 5.0±0.5                  | 6.0±1.1         | 160±40        | 286/250        |
| 2    | 1.60 ± 0.02 | 6.84±0.14                 | 6.3±0.8                  | 1.9±0.6         | 80±20         | 266/250        |
| 3    | 1.58 ± 0.03 | 7.06±0.16                 | <35                      | 1.3±0.5         | 140±10        | 260/247        |
| 4    | 1.56 ± 0.03 | 6.82±0.44                 | <180                     | 0.7±0.4         | 90±6          | 300/241        |
| 5    | 1.61 ± 0.02 | 7.03±0.25                 | <25                      | 0.7±0.2         | 120±30        | 207/215        |

2008 $1.54 ± 0.01$ 6.43±0.02  >100 0.38±0.04 | 65±5 248/251

### Table 3. Best fit of the XIS data between 0.7 and 10 keV with a diskbb + power-law + Laor line and a photo-electric absorption (model tbnew, Wilms et al. 2000).

| Obs. | $N_h$ ($x10^{22}$) | $T_{\text{in}}$ (eV) | $N_{\text{diskb}}$ ($x10^{3}$) | $\Gamma$ | $E_{\text{Laor}}$ (keV) $R_{\text{in,Laor}}$ ($R_g$) | $F_{\text{Laor}}$ ($x10^{-4}$) | $E_{\text{W}}$ (eV) | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. | $\Delta\chi^2$ |
|------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1    | 0.47±0.01         | 330±10               | 1.9±0.2                       | 1.84±0.02 | 7.04±0.06               | 5.0±0.3                    | 160±30         | 593/384       | 1332          |
| 2    | 0.63±0.00         | 210±10               | 5.3±0.9                       | 1.69±0.01 | 6.89±0.77               | 6.8±0.9                    | 80±20          | 441/384       | 173           |
| 3    | 0.57±0.00         | >160                 | >10.0                         | >10.0    | 7.07±0.31               | 8.2±0.6                    | 110±40         | 386/381       | 6             |
| 4    | 0.59±0.00         | 210±10               | 5.3±0.9                       | 1.69±0.01 | 6.89±0.77               | 6.8±0.9                    | 80±20          | 423/374       | 7             |
| 5    | 0.67±0.04         | <8×10³                | <0.3                          | 1.63±0.10 | 7.17±0.47               | <30                        | 70±10          | 358/340       | 0             |

2008 $0.51±0.01$ 60±20  <3.9×10³ 1.67±0.01 | 6.44±0.02  >210 0.4±0.1 | 1048/384 19

Notes. In the last case, the five XIS spectra have been fitted simultaneously, letting all the parameters free to vary but imposing the Laor profile inclination angle to be the same between the five models. The best-fit value for the inclination angle is $i = 21°3$ deg. The errors on the inner disk radius $R_{\text{in}}$ of the Laor profile correspond to a confidence level of 90% for two parameters. We have also reported the best fit parameter values for the 2008 Suzaku observation, again assuming the same inclination angle as the five other Suzaku observations. With the addition of these data, the best-fit value for the inclination angle then becomes $i = 20°3$ deg. To compute the 3–10 keV flux in Eddington units, we adopt an Eddington luminosity of $L_{\text{edd}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (i.e. we assume a ten-solar-mass black hole) and a distance to GX 339-4 of 8 kpc.

Notes. The line flux is in units of ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. We assume a ten-solar-mass black hole for $R_g$. We also report the $\Delta\chi^2$ fit improvement due to the addition of the multicolored disk component. 

---

10 Imposing $N_h$ to the same value for the five observations gives a much worse fit with $\Delta\chi^2 = 171$ for four less d.o.f.
are reported in Table 3. The addition of a multicolored disk component strongly improves the fit of OBS1 and OBS2 with $\Delta \chi^2 = 1332$ and 173 respectively. This component is not statistically required however in the three last observations potentially suggesting the disappearance of the disk component during the state transition.

We have reported the contour plots for the five observations of the $\text{diskbb}$ normalization vs. $R_{\text{in,laor}}$ the best-fit value of the inner disk radius of the $\text{laor}$ profile in Fig. 7. Assuming an inclination angle of 21 deg., a distance of 8 kpc, and a black hole mass of 10 solar masses, the $\text{diskbb}$ normalizations can also be converted to an “apparent” disk inner radii $R_{\text{in,app}}$. Taking into account a color temperature correction factor $f_{\text{col}} = 1.7$ (Shimura & Takahara 1995; Kubota et al. 1998; Davis et al. 2006; Done et al. 2012), we deduce the “true” inner radius $R_{\text{in,diskbb}} = f_{\text{col}}^2 R_{\text{in,app}}$. The values of $R_{\text{in,diskbb}}$ have been reported on the right axis of Fig. 7a.

Both estimates of the disk inner radius (i.e. from $\text{diskbb}$ or $\text{laor}$) roughly agree with each other. However, note a few differences: $R_{\text{in,laor}}$ is consistent between OBS1 and OBS2 while $R_{\text{in,diskbb}}$ of OBS1 is smaller and inconsistent with the OBS2 value. In both cases however, the inner radius is found to be lower than or of the order of 10 $R_g$. In OBS3 $R_{\text{in,laor}}$ is constrained to be in between $\sim 5$ and $\sim 40 R_g$ while $R_{\text{in,diskbb}}$ is unconstrained. In OBS5 $R_{\text{in,laor}}$ is upper limited to $\sim 50 R_g$ while $R_{\text{in,diskbb}}$ is unconstrained. We reach however the same conclusions for the evolution of the disk inner radius, $R_{\text{in}}$ which are small in OBS1 and OBS2 ($<10 R_g$), but then the contours for OBS3, OBS4 and OBS5 becomes too large to constrain its behavior.

We have checked that these results do not significantly change when using a more physical model (for instance via up-scattering of disk photons) for the high energy continuum such as $\text{comptt}$ in xspec. These models indeed differ to a power-law shape especially in the low energy portion of the spectrum where a low energy roll-over is expected. The corresponding contour plots obtained when fitting with $\text{comptt}$ are reported in Fig. 7b. The main differences with Fig. 7a are larger upper limits on $R_{\text{in,laor}}$ in OBS4 and OBS5.

Note that the fits reported in Table 3 are relatively bad especially for OBS1 and indeed residuals are visible in the soft part ($\sim 2$ keV, see Fig. 9 and 10) of the spectrum, which indicates that a multicolored disk component alone does not fit the data correctly.

In Fig. 8, we have reported our best-fit results with those obtained by Dinçer et al. (2012). These authors use the RXTE/PCA data during the same outburst decay (from MJD 55 559.58 to MJD 55 649.64). They also used a $\text{diskbb} + \text{power law}$ model, and neutral absorption (Due to their limited band pass at low energy, they fixed the hydrogen column density at $5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$)\footnote{They also added a smeared edge at a fixed energy of 10 keV to fit the iron K absorption edge seen around 7.1 keV.}, so that our results can be safely compared to theirs. As seen in Fig. 8, both best-fit parameter values agree very well with each other. Compared to RXTE however, the lower limit of the energy band of the XIS Suzaku instrument allows to follow the disappearance of the $\text{diskbb}$ component down to lower flux and lower inner disk temperature.

3.2.3. Comparison with the 2008 Suzaku observation

GX 339-4 was observed by Suzaku in 2008 during a long exposure where flux and spectral index values were very close to the one of OBS5 (Tomsick et al. 2009, T09 hereafter). It is worth...
Data to model ratios of the five XIS0+XIS3 spectra for OBS1 (top) to OBS5 (bottom). The model is a power law + laor fitted above 3 keV and then extrapolated down to 0.7 keV. The hydrogen column density is fixed at $6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^2$. We use rem to model the X-ray absorption (Wilms et al. 2000).

Fig. 7. Contour plots of the disk normalization (left scale) and the corresponding disk inner radius $R_{in, diskbb}$ (right scale) vs. the disk inner radius $R_{in, laor}$ deduced from the laor profile. The five XIS spectra have been fitted with a diskbb + laor model and a power law (top) or a comptonization model comptt (bottom) for the continuum. We assume a disk inclination of 20 deg., a distance of 8 kpc and a black hole mass of 10 solar masses. For OBS5, the 90% confidence area is to the left of the light green line. The dashed contour corresponds to the Suzaku observation of 2008 (Tomsick et al. 2009).

The long exposure (The combined XIS0+XIS3 exposure time is on the order of 210 ks) results in high statistics compared to our own observations. From the fit of the iron line profile, T09 showed that the data agreed with a truncated accretion disk with $R_{in} > 30R_g$ at 90% confidence for a disk inclination of 0 deg, and $R_{in} > 70R_g$ for a disk inclination of 20 deg.

We have re-analyzed these data following the procedure detailed in Sect. 2. We have fitted them in the 3−10 keV energy range with the power law + gauf model first. The corresponding contour plots of the line flux and line width versus line energy are overplotted in Fig. 4 and the best-fit parameter values are reported in Table 2. If the spectral shape and flux agree with OB5, the line width is clearly inconsistent between the two observations, which indicates intrinsic differences (possibly geometry or ionization state) of the reflecting material.

Then we have fitted these data, which are still in the 3−10 keV energy range, but with the power law + laor model, either separately or simultaneously to our five Suzaku pointings, imposing, in the latter case, the inclination angle to the same value for each data set. The best-fit results are very similar in both cases and agree with those of T09 (see last row of Table 2). We find an inner radius $>100R_g$ for 2008 and a best-fit inclination angle of $i = 20^{\circ}\pm 6$ degrees that are consistent with our previous value obtained without the use of these data (see Sect. 3.2.1). We have overplotted the 90% contour plot of the disk inclination angle vs. disk inner radius of the 2008 observation with a dashed line in Fig. 5. While only at a 2$\sigma$ level, the fact that it does not overlap with the 90% contours obtained for our 2011 observations strongly suggests that the constraints on the disk inner radius that is deduced from the laor model are inconsistent between 2008 and 2011.

Following the fitting procedure of the previous sections, we have also fitted the 2008 data set in the 0.7−10 keV range by now adding a diskbb component to the model. The best-fit results are reported in the last row of Table 3 and the corresponding contour plots of the diskbb normalization vs. inner disk radius have been overplotted in dashed line in Fig. 7. The constraints on $R_{in}$ deduced from the diskbb normalization give a lower limit of $\sim 30R_g$ (compared to the lower limit of $\sim 200R_g$ from the Laor fit of the iron line), which agrees with our (unconstrained) contour plots of OBS3 and OBS5. These constraints on $R_{in}$ from the diskbb component have to be taken with caution, given that the disk component is poorly constrained in these observations.
3.3. Broadband spectral analysis: ionized reflection

3.3.1. Ionized reflection

From the previous sections, fits of the soft X-ray excess and iron line profiles, which are independent with each other, both suggest an increase of the disk inner radius from OBS1 to OBS2. Its evolution is, however, uncertain for the last three observations. We also confirm the large value of \( R_{\text{in}} \) deduced from the iron line fit in the 2008 Suzaku observation. To go a bit further, we use more realistic models for the continuum and the reflection component in this section. We also take advantage of the HXD instrument of Suzaku and include the HXD/PIN (20–70 keV) data in our fits.

In Fig. 9 we have reported the \( \chi^2 \) contribution when we extend the best-fit model \( \text{tineuwx (diskbb+ power law + laor)} \) of the XIS data (the best-fit parameters are reported in Table 3) above 10 keV in the HXD/PIN energy range. A clear excess between 20 and 40 keV is visible especially in OBS1 and OBS2 and suggests the presence of a reflection bump.

To provide a more physical description of the reflection component that gives birth to the iron line, we use the combination of the REFlionx code of Ross & Fabian (2005), which is convolved with the relativistic kernel \( \text{kdblr} \) (Laor 1991). In agreement with the results of Sect. 3.2.1, we fix the outer disk radius \( R_{\text{out}} \) to 400 \( R_g \) in \( \text{kdblr} \), the index of the radial power law dependence of the emissivity to 3 and the disk inclination angle to 20 degrees.

In REFlionx, the illumination has a power law shape. We first fit the different observations with a power-law for the primary continuum, by fixing the photon index in REFlionx to that of the power law continuum. However, as already discussed in Sect. 3.2.2, comptonization of the soft disk photons in a hot corona is widely accepted as the mechanism at the origin of the X-ray continuum of X-ray binaries. Thus, instead of a simple power law, we also use the Comptonization model \( \text{eqlor} \) (Coppi 1999) even if it is not perfectly consistent with the use of REFlionx. In this case, we fix the photon index in REFlionx to the precedent values obtained when fitting with the power law continuum of the power law continuum. The best-fit parameters obtained with these models are reported in Table 4. Note that the fits are always better with \( \text{eqlor} \) than with a power law. The lack of a low energy roll-over in this latter case could explain the larger residuals observed in the soft band (<2 keV). The best-fit parameters are however qualitatively similar between the two models, the main difference being the slope of \( \text{eqlor} \) can be estimated from the \( l_h / l_i \) ratio (e.g., Malzac et al. 2001) and appears to be very close to the photon index of the power law fits.
Fig. 10. Unfolded best-fit model of the five Suzaku observations (XIS: black crosses; HXD/PIN: red crosses) and corresponding data/model ratios using $\text{eqpair}$ for the continuum, a blurred reflection $\text{diskbb}$ for the reflection component and a multicolored disk $\text{diskbb}$ for the soft X-rays.

reflection component in OBS1 which has a lower flux and lower ionization with the use of a power law continuum. However, $R_{\text{in}}$ is still well constrained to a small value $\sim 15$ $R_g$ in this observation. We note also that the ionization parameter is not larger in OBS3 compared to the other observations and that does not support the presence of a more ionized iron line as suggested by the fits between 3 and 10 keV with a simple Gaussian (see Sect. 3.2.1).

Since both models (with a power law or $\text{eqpair}$ for the continuum) give similar parameter constraints and since the fits with $\text{eqpair}$ always give a better $\chi^2$, we only discuss the results obtained with this model from now on. We find good fits in all cases but some features in OBS1 are still present in the soft energy range ($<1$ keV, see next section). The unfolded spectra and the data/model ratios of each observation are reported in Fig. 10. To make a direct comparison to the $\text{diskbb} + \text{power law} + \text{gaussian}$ fits below 10 keV as discussed in Sect. 3.2.2 (and reported in Table 3), we have computed the corresponding $\chi^2$/d.o.f. of these new fits but limited to the 0.7–10 keV energy range. We find the following values: 530/382, 401/382, 392/379, 417/372.
The improvement of the fits in the 0.7 and 355 keV bands was significant for OBS1 and OBS2 with respect to the model. On the other hand, the hot corona optical depth, \(\tau\), suggests a photon starved geometry for the hot corona. The relative ratios of the 0.7 keV fluxes of the diode pixels to the total 0.7–10 keV flux are fixed to the one of the power law fit. In the case of \(\epsilon\), we froze the photon index of the XIS0 spectrum and line shape were exactly the same as in 2008, while the exposure time of OBS5) instead of 210 ks. A fit of this combination XIS0 and HXD data with a power law (top part) or \(\epsilon\) (bottom part) for the continuum and the ionized reflection component reflionx.

### Table 4. Results of the fits of the XIS and HXD data with a power law (top part) or \(\epsilon\) (bottom part) for the continuum and the ionized reflection component reflionx.

| Obs. | \(N_b\) | \(T_{\text{diskbb}}\) | \(N_{\text{diskbb}}\) | \(F_{\text{diskbb}}\) | \(F_{\text{ref}}\) | \(\Gamma\) | \(L_{\text{bol}}\) | \(\chi^2/d.o.f.\) |
|------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1    | 0.42   | 430.23          | 0.03            | 11.8            | 1.75            | 13.7    | 15.30           | 1000.200       |
| 2    | 0.64   | 220.18          | 0.33            | 3.5             | 1.67            | 9.0     | 40.25           | 230.100        |
| 3    | 0.67   | 110.71          | 6.10            | 0.4             | 1.50            | 4.0     | >70             | 1100.100       |
| 4    | 0.75   | 124.10          | 1.84            | 0.4             | 1.60            | 3.0     | >10             | 790.100        |
| 5    | 0.82   | <240            | >2.13           | >2.6            | 1.63            | >2.1    | >30             | 1400.100       |
| 2008 | 0.79   | 80.20           | 64.64           | 0.1             | 1.65            | >180    | 20.10           | 3.0            |

### Notes

In the case of the power law fit, the photon index used in reflionx is fixed to the one of the power law continuum. In the case of \(\epsilon\), we froze the photon index of reflionx to the one obtained in the power law fit. The inclination was fixed to 20 deg. We use the kernel from \(L_{\text{bol}}\) line profile to account for the gravitational effects close to the black hole (model \(kblur\) of XSPEC). All errors have a 90 per cent confidence for one parameter. The uncertainties on \(R_{\text{in}}\) are large error bars on the order of 1000. We assume a 10-solar-mass black hole and a distance to GX 339-4 of 8 kpc.

The hard to soft compactnesses ratio \(R_{\text{in}}/R_{\text{bb}}\) increases smoothly along all the monitoring which agrees with the observed spectral hardening (see Table 2). It is on the order of 5–8, which suggests a photon starved geometry for the hot corona. On the other hand, the hot corona optical depth, \(\tau\), increases significantly between OBS1 and OBS2 by a factor ~4 and then stays roughly constant on the order of ~2–3. Considering the ionization parameter, apart from OBS2, it is relatively high (with admittedly large error bars) on the order of \(\xi_{\text{ref}}\) ~ 1000, which suggests a photon starved reflecting medium.

The 0.7–70 keV fluxes of the different spectral components (respectively \(F_{\text{diskbb}}\), \(F_{\text{eqpair}}\) and \(F_{\text{ref}}\)) are also reported in Table 4. They show a clear decrease along the monitoring, which agrees with when the source returns back to its quiescent state. However, the relative ratios \(F_{\text{diskbb}}/F_{\text{eqpair}}\) and \(F_{\text{ref}}/F_{\text{eqpair}}\) are not constant, as we expect if all the spectral components fade in the same way. These ratios decrease from ~14 to 1% and ~25 to 10% respectively.

The disk temperature, \(T_{\text{disk}}\), and the eqpair soft photon temperature, \(T_{\text{bb}}\), also show a clear decrease from OBS1 to OBS5. Interestingly, while the two spectral components were let free to vary during the fitting procedure, \(T_{\text{diskbb}}\) is about half of \(T_{\text{bb}}\) (see Fig. 11a). This correlation may have a physical origin. Indeed, the soft temperature \(T_{\text{bb}}\) in eqpair corresponds to the disk temperature actually “seen” by the hot corona. On the other hand, \(T_{\text{diskbb}}\) is the effective disk temperature. The ratio between the two, the so-called spectral hardening factor, is generally estimated to be on the order of 2–3 (e.g., Shimura & Takahara 1995; Sobczak et al. 1999; Merloni et al. 2000; Davis et al. 2006), which agrees with what we find.

For comparison we have also fitted the 2008 Suzaku observation with the same model. The best-fit parameters are reported in the last row of Table 4. Apart from the reflection ionization parameter, which is on the order of ~40 i.e. well below our best-fit values that are observed in 2011 and a larger disk inner radius, the other parameters agree well with the spectral evolution from OBS1 to OBS5. More precisely, even if there is a 3-year gap between them and a poor statistics in 2011, the 2008 observation seems to be very similar to OBS5 but with a different accretion disk state.

We have checked if the uncertainties on \(R_{\text{in}}\) in OBS5 could be due to the lower statistics compared to 2008 by simulating a set of data from the best-fit model obtained for 2008 but with a combined XIS0+XIS3 exposure time of 40 ks (i.e. on the order of the exposure time of OBS5) instead of 210 ks. A fit of this simulated spectrum gives \(R_{\text{in}} > 130\) and \(\xi_{\text{ref}} = 70^{+20}_{-10}\). If the OBS5 spectrum and line shape were exactly the same as in 2008, we should have obtained values of \(R_{\text{in}}\) and \(\xi_{\text{ref}}\) which agree with those observed in 2008, even if the statistics of OBS5 are low. This is in contradiction with our results and this suggests that the accretion disk is in an intrinsically different state between 2008 and 2011.
Despite the difference in the soft band due to the lack of a low energy roll-over when fitting the continuum with a power law, we obtain consistent values of the absorber parameters when we use this model instead of EQPAIR.

3.3.2. Ionized absorption

As said previously, the best fit of OBS1 is not very satisfactory and features are visible, especially in the soft X-ray range, in the data to model ratio reported in Fig. 10. The presence of absorption lines in the soft X-ray spectrum of GX 339-4 have already been reported in the literature when the source was in an intermediate state transiting to the low/hard state (e.g., M04, Juett et al. 2006). If most of these lines may be produced by the interstellar medium (Juett et al. 2006), a sizable contribution of a few of them (e.g., the Ne\textsc{ii}\, line at 0.922 keV) could be due to a local contribution from an intrinsic AGN-like warm-absorber which is perhaps produced by a disk wind.

We have tested the presence of this absorber by adding an ionized absorber component (model ABSORI of XSPEC) in our fits. The fit of OBS1 improves significantly ($\Delta\chi^2 = 1021$) thanks to the addition of this spectral component. The corresponding parameters of the absorber are reported in Table 5 that is an hydrogen column density $N_{\text{h,abs}} \sim 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ and an ionization parameter $\xi_{\text{abs}} \sim 300$. The other model parameters do not significantly change compared to Table 4. We add the same absorber component to the other observations (including 2008). We do not find any significant improvement. The best fit are also reported in Table 5. The decrease of the signal-to-noise ratio may, however, limit the correct detection of absorbing material\textsuperscript{13}.

\footnote{Despite the difference in the soft band due to the lack of a low energy roll-over when fitting the continuum with a power law, we obtain consistent values of the absorber parameters when we use this model instead of EQPAIR.}

Table 5. Best fit parameters of the ionized absorber component.

| Obs. | $N_{\text{h,abs}} \times 10^{22}$ | $\xi_{\text{abs}}$ | $\Delta\chi^2$ | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|------|-----------------|--------|----------|----------------|
| 1    | $1.0^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ | 320\textsuperscript{90\textsuperscript{60}}\textsuperscript{60} | 107 | 597/513 |
| 2    | $0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$ | 180\textsuperscript{100\textsuperscript{10}}\textsuperscript{10} | 3 | 541/513 |
| 3    | $<4.0$ | $>90$ | $-1$ | 520/510 |
| 4    | $<2.4$ | $[0–5000]$ | 1 | 551/503 |
| 5    | $7.4^{+13}_{-3}$ | $>4900$ | 3 | 472/472 |
| 2008 | <0.25 | $[0–5000]$ | 0 | 524/513 |

Notes. The $\Delta\chi^2$ is the $\chi^2$ variation compared to the best fits reported in Table 4.

Then, we can roughly estimate the maximal distance $d$ between the absorbing material and the X-ray source by assuming that $d$ is necessarily larger than the radial extension $\Delta r$ of the absorber. Since $N_{\text{h,abs}} = n\Delta r$ (n being the density of the warm absorber) and $\xi_{\text{abs}} = \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{d^2n}$, then $d > \Delta r$ implies $d < \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{\xi_{\text{abs}}N_{\text{h,abs}}}$. Using the best fit parameter values obtained for OBS1 i.e. $L_{\text{bol}} \approx 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $\xi_{\text{abs}} \approx 300$ erg cm s$^{-1}$ and $N_{\text{h,abs}} \approx 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ we find that the absorber should be at a maximum distance of $\sim 10^6 R_\odot$ (assuming a 10 black hole solar masses) from the central X-ray source. This is on the order of the binary separation in GX 339-4 as estimated by Zdziarski et al. (2004), thus it agrees...
that the absorber may be produced in the inner parts of the binary system.

To have a qualitative idea of the ions potentially responsible for the observed absorption features in OBS1, instead of absorbing we simply add Gaussian absorption lines, with widths fixed to 0 eV (a more detailed work on these absorption line is dedicated to a future work). We focus only on OBS1 since the other observations do not apparently need the addition of absorption components. As previously said, a few absorption lines were already observed in GX 339-4 in past Chandra observations (M04), with the most intense one being Ne vl at 0.92 keV. We thus add an absorption Gaussian line with energy in the range 0.9–0.95 keV. The fit improves strongly with $\Delta \chi^2 = 61$ for 3 less degrees of freedom. The Gaussian best fit energy $E_{\text{abs}} = 0.92 \pm 0.02$ is consistent with Ne vl\(^{14}\). The line has an equivalent width (EW) of ~5.7 eV which corresponds to a Neon column density in between $5 \times 10^{16}$ and $10^{17}$ cm\(^{-2}\) (see M04 for the computation of the Neon column density). This agrees completely with the measurements made by M04 and Juett et al. (2006) and this is still higher than the expected value from the ISM, which suggests an origin in the local environment of the X-ray binary. Luo & Fang (2014) reach the same conclusion for GX 339-4 and for a sample of 11 other X-ray binaries. We have tested that the addition of this Gaussian absorption line is not needed in the other observations.

We have also tested the presence of (weak) blue-shifted ionized absorption lines from Fe xxv and Fe xxvi since they have been observed in a few microquasars and interpreted as the signature of fast ionized outflows. Their expected EW are generally on the order of 10–20 eV (e.g., Ponti et al. 2012). We do not detect such components in our data and find only upper limit for their EW of 5–10 eV.

4. Hints of disk recession

To be correct, when we talk about disk recession, we are talking about the recession of the optically thick part of the accretion flow. It is possible that the accretion disk extends down to the innermost stable circular orbit but that, for different reasons (e.g., most of its accretion power is advected or ejected), it does not radiate any more.

While we do not find clear indications of disk recession during our monitoring, a few arguments are consistent with this interpretation. Contrary to fits below 10 keV, the fits of the broadband spectra with blurred ionized reflection give good constraints on $R_{\text{in}}$ for OBS1 and OBS2, which are on the order of 10 $R_g$ and ~30 $R_g$ respectively, but it also puts lower limits for OBS3 ($>70 R_g$), OBS4 ($>10 R_g$) and OBS5 ($>30 R_g$). For the 2008 data set, our fit gives a lower limit $R_{\text{in}} > 180 R_g$, which agrees with T09 and clearly suggests a disk recession (see Fabian et al. 2014 for the limitations of the use of the X-ray reflection to estimate the disk inner radius). This recession was apparently stronger in 2008 which could be due to the fact that the source was in a persistently faint hard state for months. This could have given enough time for the inner accretion flow to evolve into the observed configuration.

Our estimates of $l_h/l_s$ from our fits also agree with the work of Sobolewska et al. (2011). Note however that we are not using exactly the same model as these authors. No reflection component was taken into account in their work expect for an iron line. We do not expect, however, that this would have any strong effect on our estimate of $l_h/l_s$ compared to their methods given the low flux we found in the reflection component (i.e. a factor 10 below the continuum flux, see Table 4). Thus we believe that our results can be safely compared to theirs. These authors studied the changes of the $l_h/l_s$ ratio with the bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}}$ in the high states of GX 339-4 and GRO J1655-40. At luminosities on the order of ~0.1–1%, i.e. the range of luminosities of the present Suzaku observations, Sobolewska et al. (2011) confirmed a transition in behavior of $l_h/l_s$, with $L_{\text{bol}}$. At luminosities lower than ~0.1–1%, both parameters correlate while they anticorrelate at larger $L_{\text{bol}}$. According to these authors, this behavior is consistent with a scenario where seed photons change from cyclo-synchrotron to the lowest luminosities to those from a (truncated) disk at higher luminosities. Our observed increase of $l_h/l_s$ from OBS1 to OBS5, with a decrease of $L_{\text{bol}}$, suggests then that the emission of the accretion disk still dominates the cooling process of the hot corona.

However, the changes of $l_h/l_s$ implies a variation of the disk-corona geometry. The increase of $l_h/l_s$ from OBS1 to OBS5 indicates a faster decrease of the soft photon flux compared to the corona heating power. This situation is naturally expected if $R_{\text{in}}$ increases from OBS1 to OBS5. Note that the increase of $R_{\text{in}}$ would also imply a diminution of the reflecting area and consequently a faster decrease of the reflecting component compared to primary one. This also agrees with the decrease of $F_{\text{rel}}/F_{\text{eqpair}}$ as discussed in Sect. 3.3.1.

We have reported the flux $F_{\text{diskbb}}$ of the diskbb component versus the diskbb temperature $T_{\text{diskbb}}$ in Fig. 11b. The log-log linear best fit (solid black line in Fig. 11b) gives $F_{\text{diskbb}} \propto T_{\text{diskbb}}^{-3.6\pm0.2}$ which is a bit smoother than the Stefan-Boltzmann law $\propto T^4$ which is expected in the case of an optically thick accretion disk with fixed inner radius. This discrepancy could be explained by a variation of the hardening factor with the disk temperature (e.g., Salvesen et al. 2013). However, we can again interpret this result in term of a variation of the disk inner radius. Indeed, we expect, in an standard accretion disk of inner radius $R_{\text{in}}$, inner temperature $T_{\text{diskbb}}$ and accretion rate $\dot{M}$:

$$
T_{\text{diskbb}}^{4} \propto P_{\text{in}}^3 \propto \dot{M}.
$$

(1)

On the other hand, X-ray binaries are known to be in a radiatively inefficient state where the X-ray luminosity is proportional to $M^\alpha$ with $\alpha \sim 2$–3 (e.g., Coriat et al. 2011 an references therein) at the end of the outbursts. Then $F_{\text{eqpair}}$ can be used as a proxy for the accretion rate of our system. In consequence, the ratio $R_{\text{proxy}} = [F_{\text{eqpair}}^{1/\alpha}]_{T_{\text{diskbb}}}^{1} / T_{\text{diskbb}}^{4}$ should be a proxy of the disk inner radius $R_{\text{in}}$. We have plotted $R_{\text{proxy}}$ normalized to its value in OBS1 (and assuming $\alpha = 2.5$) in Fig. 11c versus the best-fit inner radius values $R_{\text{in}}$ that are obtained from our broadband fits. While the values of $R_{\text{proxy}}$ for the last four observations are always larger than the one computed for OBS1, which then supports the scenario of the recession of the optically thick part of the accretion disk, they are smaller than $R_{\text{in}}$ in most cases. This discrepancy may be due to the bad estimate of the disk inner temperature $T_{\text{diskbb}}$ from our fits due to the limited energy range of Suzaku in the soft X.

5. Disk-wind to disk-jet transitions?

In the recent context of outflow/wind signature detection in black hole X-ray binaries (e.g., Miller et al. 2006c; Ponti et al. 2012; Diaz Trigo et al. 2012) and their potential link to the jet evolution during an outburst (Miller et al. 2006d; Neilsen & Lee 2009), the absorption features significantly detected in OBS1 (and only in OBS1) is an interesting result. Let us recall that the re-ignition

\(^{14}\) Note that this absorption line energy is well below the Si edge which is known to contaminate the XIS response around 1.8 keV.
of the radio emission was detected just before the beginning of our campaign, which peaks between OBS1 and OBS2, and is interpreted as the beginning of the jet-structure re-building while the source turned back to the hard state (e.g., C13). By analogy with the observation of the evolution between a jet-dominated to a wind-dominated accretion flow during a hard-to-soft transition in GRS 1915+105 (Nielsen & Lee 2009), our results could correspond to the reverse situation, that is the evolution from a wind-dominated to a jet-dominated accretion flow during a soft-to-hard transition.

The absorption features in OBS1 agree with the presence of a wind-dominated accretion flow during a soft-to-hard re-ignition of the compact jets (C13). The onset of the radio emission occurred between OBS1 and OBS2 while the reflare observed in OIR reached its maximum close to OBS3 and OBS4.

The Suzaku observations show a global fading of the X-ray flux during the monitoring. For the spectral fits, we first use phenomenological models with a simple power law for the continuum. The addition of an iron line is statistically needed in all the observations. Fits with a Lorentz profile are statistically equivalent to fits with a Gaussian. Simultaneous fits of the 5 observations with a Lorentz profile give a disk inclination angle of $\sim20$ deg. The constraints on the inner radius agree with $R_{\text{in}} < 10 R_g$ in OBS1 and OBS2 at a 90% confidence level. Due to the lower statistics, these constraints becomes $<100 R_g$ for the 3 last observations.

The presence of a soft X-ray excess, above the 3–10 keV power law extrapolation, is clearly visible in the first two observations and the addition of a multicolored disk component statistically improves the fits. This is not the case for the last three observations. The evolution of the disk component (decrease of its inner temperature and total flux) agrees with a disk recession from OBS1 ($R_{\text{in}} \sim 10 R_g$) to OBS2 ($R_{\text{in}} \sim 20 R_g$). However, again, due to the low statistics, we cannot confirm this recession for the last observations. A comparison with the very long Suzaku observation of GX 339-4 during a long faint hard state of its 2007–2008 outburst (T09), in a flux state similar to our OBS5, shows a relatively good agreement of the spectral shape between the two observations. The line shape is however inconsistent between the two pointings. In 2008 the source was observed during a persistent, but faint, hard state, while it was clearly in the decreasing phase of the outburst in 2011. It is then possible that the accretion flow had more time to evolve into a truncated accretion disk in 2008 compared to 2011.

The use of a model that includes blurred ionized reflection and thermal comptonization continuum gives better fits than the simple power law + DISKBB model used previously, at least for OBS1 and OBS2. For the other observations both models give similar results. This model gives constraints for $R_{\text{in}}$ in marginal agreement with a disk recession. Hints of this recession also come from the increase of the $l_{\text{rot}}/l_{\text{ini}}$ ratio of the hot corona along all the monitoring, and from the deviation of the disk flux to the Stefan law in $T^4$. These hints have to be taken with care, however, given the low statistics and the complexity of the model, this may lead to strong degeneracy between parameters.

Finally, signatures of ionized absorption seem to be present in at least OBS1 but absent in the other observations. With the radio re-ignition that occurs in between OBS1 and OBS2 (see C13), we suggest that the accretion flow may have transitioned from a disk wind, which is an ubiquitous characteristic of soft states, and a jet, which is signature of the hard states, during these two observations. These absorption features may be the last signature of the disk wind before the transition to a jet-dominated state.
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