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Abstract
As the long term evolution (LTE) standard comes to an end, 3rd Generation Partnership Project is discussing further evolution of the LTE to meet the international mobile telecommunications advanced requirements, which is referred to as LTE-Advanced (LTE release 10 and beyond). This article first presents the network infrastructure of the LTE-Advanced, and then provides an in-depth overview of enabling technologies from the physical layer aspects, including carrier aggregation, advanced multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) techniques, wireless relays, enhanced inter-cell interference coordination (elICIC), and coordinated multipoint (CoMP) transmission/reception. In particular, we describe concept and principle of each technology and elaborate important technical details. Moreover, we discuss promising study items of the LTE-Advanced for further enhancement.

1 Introduction
In the last few years, telecommunication industry has been growing explosively. The beginning of modern telecommunication was marked by the invention of telegraph in the eighteenth century. At that time, the message transmission was performed in a rudimentary way, in that there was no mechanism for automatic error correction and interference management. However, people had used it for about 100 years, until Thomas Edison invented telephone in 1876. The telephone network, known as public switched telephone network (PSTN), was rapidly established in Western counties. From that moment, a lot of novel concept and techniques, such as modulation, demodulation, and cellular concept, had been developed to improve the quality of telecommunication services. These inventions constitute the cornerstone of the first mobile telephone service which was introduced by America in 1946 [1,2].

In 1979, the first (1G) commercial cellular network was launched in Japan based on the Nordisk MobilTelefoni (NMT) standard which had been developed in the north European countries. After then, several countries followed, including US, UK, and Canada. In particular, the US deployed an analog cellular standard, called advanced mobile phone system (AMPS), in 1983 and it was widespread over North America [1,2]. A digital cellular standard known as global system for mobile communications (GSM, originally groupe special mobile) was founded in 1987 and became the standard for the 2nd generation (2G) cellular system, improving modulation, voice codecs and security service as compared to 1G analog systems [3]. In addition to the transition from analog to digital, the GSM system adopted time-division multiple access (TDMA) to serve multiple subscribers, while the AMPS used frequency division multiple access (FDMA). In another side, Qualcomm developed Interim Standard 95 (IS-95) system based on code division multiple access (CDMA) technology [4], which was considered as a part of 2G systems and was deployed in North America and Korea.

The 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) and 3GPP2 were founded in 1998 from groups of telecommunication associations to develop the 3rd generation (3G) cellular standard based on CDMA technology. The 3GPP has worked standardization based on the GSM network, whereas the 3GPP2 has continued to evolve the IS-95 network. The standardization had produced two different radio access technologies (RAT’s): Wideband CDMA (WCDMA) for 3GPP and cdma2000 for 3GPP2 [5]. The biggest difference is that the WCDMA supports inter-cell asynchronous operation, while the cdma2000 requires inter-cell synchronism relying on the global positioning system (GPS). Since the 3GPP released the first version of the standard, referred to as release 99 or Universal Mobile Telecommunication System (UMTS), in 2000, the standardization have been continuing evolution up to
now. Accordingly, the peak data rate has increased steeply, from 384/128 kbps for downlink (DL) and uplink (UL) in UMTS, 14/5.7 Mbps in high speed packet access (HSPA), to 28/11 Mbps in HSPA+ [6].

As the CDMA based network reached its limit in accommodating rapidly increasing demand for wireless data traffic, 3GPP decided to develop a standard based on a new access technology, which is called long term evolution (LTE). Especially, the LTE adopted orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) instead of CDMA as multiple access technology, in order to efficiently support wideband transmission. In addition, the use of multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) techniques plays an important role in improving the spectral efficiency. The LTE standard has been finalized, yielding release 9 as its final version. The peak data rate of LTE is as much as 100/50 Mbps for the DL and UL. Since March 2008, 3GPP has been working on further enhancement of the LTE to meet the International Mobile Telecommunications (IMT)-Advanced requirements, which was defined by International Telecommunications Union (ITU) for the fourth generation (4G) evolution [7]. The evolved versions (LTE release 10 and beyond) are called LTE-Advanced [8].

The LTE-Advanced established its own requirements [9], which are tabulated in Table 1 along with International Mobile Telecommunications-Advanced requirements [10]. Most of the LTE-Advanced specifications are set to meet or exceed the IMT-Advanced requirements, which makes the LTE-Advanced a strong candidate for the IMT-Advanced system. In 2009, the 3GPP partners made a formal submission to the ITU proposing that LTE-Advanced be evaluated as a candidate for IMT-Advanced [11]. Important technologies of the LTE-Advanced for meeting the IMT-Advanced requirements include carrier aggregation, advanced MIMO techniques, wireless relays, enhanced inter-cell interference coordination (eICIC), and coordinated multipoint (CoMP) transmission/reception.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2, the network architecture and protocol stack of the LTE-Advanced are described with special focus on the physical layer (PHY). Section 3 presents an overview of several enabling technologies that make the LTE-Advanced meet the IMT-Advanced requirements and be differentiated from the legacy LTE. Finally, in Section 4, concluding remarks are given by identifying promising future work items of the LTE-Advanced.

### 2 Network infrastructure
#### 2.1 Network architecture
The core network of the LTE-Advanced system is separated into many parts. Figure 1 shows how each component in the LTE-Advanced network is connected to one another [12-14]. NodeB in 3G system was replaced by evolved NodeB (eNB), which is a combination of NodeB and radio network controller (RNC). The eNB communicates with User Equipments (UE’s) and can serve one or several cells at one time. Home eNB (HeNB) is also considered to serve a femtocell that covers a small indoor area. The evolved packet core (EPC) comprises of the following four components. The serving gateway (S-GW) is responsible for routing and forwarding packets between UE’s and packet data network (PDN) and charging. In addition, it serves as a mobility anchor point for handover. The mobility management entity (MME) manages UE access and mobility, and establishes the bearer path for

| Performance metrics | IMT-Advanced requirements | LTE-Advanced requirements |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Peak data rate      | DL 1 Gbps, UL 1 Gbps      | DL 1 Gbps, UL 0.5 Gbps    |
| Peak spectral efficiency | DL 15 bps/Hz, UL 6.75 bps/Hz | DL 30 bps/Hz, UL 15 bps/Hz |
| Bandwidth           | Scalable bandwidth, minimum 40 MHz | Scalable bandwidth, 1.4/3/5/10/15/20 MHz per band, up to total 100 MHz |
| Latency              |                           |                           |
| User plane           | Maximum 10 ms             | Maximum 10 ms             |
| Control plane        | Maximum 100 ms            | Maximum 50 ms             |
| Handover interrupt time |                           |                           |
| Intra-frequency      | 27.5 ms                   | Better than LTE release 8 |
| Inter-frequency      | 40 ms (within a band)     |                           |
|                      | 60 ms (between bands)     |                           |
| VoIP capacity        |                           |                           |
| Indoor               | 50 users/sector/MHz        | Better than LTE release 8 |
| Microcell            | 40 users/sector/MHz        |                           |
| Base coverage urban  | 40 users/sector/MHz        |                           |
| High speed           | 30 users/sector/MHz        |                           |
UE’s packet data network gateway (PDN GW) is a gateway to the PDN, and policy and charging rules function (PCRF) manages policy and charging rules.

2.2 Protocol stack

Protocol stacks for the control plane and user plane are illustrated in Figure 2 [12]. The protocol for user plane includes package data convergence protocol (PDCP), radio link control (RLC), medium access control (MAC), and PHY protocol. The control plane stack additionally includes the radio resource control (RRC) and non-access stratum (NAS). The functions of each protocol are summarized in Table 2.

2.3 Physical layer

The LTE-Advanced inherits most of specifications from the LTE, but improves them to satisfy the IMT-Advanced requirements. DL multiple access scheme is orthogonal OFDMA, which allows assigning s subset of subcarriers to each individual user. The use of OFDMA provides high flexibility in the number of simultaneously served users and heterogeneous data rates for different users. On the other hand, single carrier-FDMA (SC-FDMA) is adopted for the UL. The reason for this is that the SC-FDMA provides much better peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) property than the OFDMA [15,16], and that the UL transmission usually does not require such high data rate as the DL transmission. Actually, the original SC-FDMA was slightly modified in the LTE-Advanced to support carrier aggregation in the UL, which will be discussed in Section 3.1.

As depicted in Figure 3, the frame length of the LTE-Advanced is 10 ms, which is divided into ten subframes [17]. Each subframe consists of two slots of length 0.5 ms, and each slot contains \( N_{\text{symb}}(=7) \) OFDM symbols for the case of normal cyclic prefix. A group of \( N_{\text{RB}}(=12) \) adjacent subcarriers constitute a resource block (RB). The larger the transmission bandwidth is, the larger number of RB’s \( (= N_{\text{RB}}) \) will be available. For a bandwidth of 20 MHz, for instance, there are 100 RB’s available. Differently from the LTE that uses only cell-specific reference signal (CRS), the LTE-Advanced employs UE-specific demodulation reference signal (DM-RS), channel state information reference signal (CSI-RS), multimedia broadcasting single frequency network (MBSFN) reference signal, and positioning reference signal in addition to the CRS [17]. Using these reference signals, LTE-Advanced could support 2 × 2, 4 × 2, 4 × 4, and 8 × 8 antenna configurations. Control channels for the DL subframe are physical control format indicator channel (PCFICH), physical hybrid automatic repeat request indicator channel (PHICH) and physical DL control channel (PDCCH) [17]. The structure of
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the UL subframe is simpler than that of the DL subframe. Reference signals called demodulation RS's are located within each slot at the fourth OFDM symbol. Only the first and the last subcarriers are dedicated to carry the control signals, such as channel quality indicator (CQI), acknowledgment/negative acknowledgment (ACK/NACK), and scheduling request indicator (SRI).

3 Enabling technologies

3.1 Carrier aggregation

According to the ITU-R World Radio communication Conference 2007 (WRC '07), the initial identified spectrum bands for the IMT-Advanced in addition to the already allocated bands are as follows: 450-470 MHz, 698-862 MHz, 790-862 MHz, 2.3-2.4 GHz, 3.4-4.2 GHz, and 4.4-4.99 GHz [9]. As a candidate of the IMT-Advanced, the LTE-Advanced should also support those frequency bands. Although the LTE-Advanced can support bandwidth of up to 100 MHz, the use of wide bandwidth will be highly limited within available spectrum of operators. In addition, the LTE-Advanced must
be backward compatible with the legacy LTE, which implies that legacy UE should be able to communicate by using a bandwidth not greater than 20 MHz. Carrier aggregation enables both bandwidth extension and backward compatibility by combining several 20 MHz or narrower component carriers (CCs).

As illustrated in Figure 4, there are three types of carrier aggregation: intra-band contiguous carrier aggregation, intra-band non-contiguous carrier aggregation, and inter-band non-contiguous carrier aggregation [18]. The first scenario applies when an operator owns a wide available frequency band. The second scenario will be useful when some unavailable range exists within the LTE-Advanced working bandwidth. The third scenario is the most attractive to operators, since it allows combining CCs’s distributed across different bands. Possible aggregation scenarios defined in release 10 are summarized in [19].

The original SC-FDMA was designed to work in a contiguous band. In order to support carrier aggregation in the UL as well as the DL, the LTE-Advanced adopts a modified version of SC-FDMA, which is referred to as clustered SC-FDMA. The use of clustered SC-FDMA allows non-contiguous bands for UL transmission, and thus enables frequency selective scheduling within a CC. However, the number of clusters is limited to two in release 10, since the clustering usually degrades the PAPR performance due to destruction of single carrier characteristic in the time domain [20]. Another aspect of the carrier aggregation is that different sets of CC’s can be assigned to the DL and UL. Moreover, even within the same cell, different UE’s will work with different numbers of CC’s, depending on their capabilities, channel condition, and so on, as illustrated in Figure 5 [11].

From the perspective of each UE, CC’s are associated to a primary cells (PCell) and possibly plural Secondary Cell (SCell’s) [21,22]. The PCell is the only serving cell from which the UE can receive the security input and NAS mobility information for the RRC connection establishment and handover. All the other serving cells, which are allowed at maximum four per UE in release 10, are called SCell’s. The PCell assignment is UE-specific, and the change of PCell at each UE can be accomplished only through a handover procedure. The PCell cannot be deactivated, whereas each SCell can be switched on and off dynamically using MAC control element. The PCell is responsible for the monitoring of broadcast signaling of system information and transmission of random access channel (RACH) and PUCCH. The SCell’s convey only the dedicated signaling information, PDSCH, PUSCH, and PDCCH. Meanwhile, the LTE-Advanced is designed to allow cross-carrier scheduling, which means that the PDCCH transmitted from a particular CC may contain the scheduling information on other CC’s as well as its own CC. This enables to disperse heavy load of PDCCH transmission in particular CC’s.

The idea of carrier aggregation can be generalized such that some LTE-Advanced spectrum can be combined with other frequency bands that are already assigned to other radio RAT’s [23]. It is based on the reality that service providers have to pay for their frequency licenses, so they have full authority to use those resources in the most efficient way. The service provider could flexibly select a frequency band among those of several RAT’s that are the most appropriate to a specific UE in order to maximize spectrum utilization. Spectrum sharing between different network operators will also be supported [23]. In this scenario, multiple providers will share the common spectrum as well as the network components. Recognizing which operators are available in the cell is one of the additional requirements for UE’s. Since each UE selects a specific operator, eNB will forward all data to this operator’s network core. This is also called network sharing, and allows operators to reduce the initial investigation cost.

### 3.2 Advanced MIMO

Multiple-input multiple-output refers to a communication system that is equipped with multiple antennas at both transmit and receive sides. The use of MIMO was a key that led to success of IEEE 802.11n, HSPA, and LTE, and now MIMO continues its journey with the LTE-Advanced. According to the LTE-Advanced requirements, the maximum spectral efficiency must be as high as 30 bps/Hz in the DL, which requires the use of 8 × 8 MIMO spatial multiplexing. The DL MIMO was already supported in the LTE in the form of transmit diversity.
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and closed-loop spatial multiplexing up to four layers. One important issue for supporting the $8 \times 8$ MIMO is to design efficient reference signals. The reference signals must be designed in such a way that minimizes the overhead and minimizes the performance degradation due to channel estimation errors at the UE. The LTE employs the CRS for each antenna port, which can be commonly used at every UE. However, the use of CRS for supporting $8 \times 8$ in the LTE-Advanced causes too much overhead. For this reason, the LTE-Advanced employs UE-specific reference signal, called DM-RS, which is used for demodulating data at the corresponding UE. The DM-RS is precoded with the same precoding matrix as that used for the PDSCH transmission, so that the DM-RS cannot be used for generating CQI. Another reference signal, referred to as CSI-RS, is cell-specific and each UE uses it for generating CQI, Precoding Matrix Index (PMI), and rank indicator (RI). Although the CSI-RS is similar to the CRS, the CSI-RS is transmitted much less frequently than the CRS.

The LTE-Advanced adopts a closed-loop precoding to realize $8 \times 8$ MIMO spatial multiplexing. Codebook design is important for closed-loop operation, in that it determines the feedback accuracy and overhead. In the LTE-Advanced, a codebook has been designed based on the assumption of cross-polarized antenna configuration. The resulting codebook can be represented by a product of two matrices as [17]

$$W_1 W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b_i b_{i+1} b_{i+2} b_{i+3} 0 0 0 0 \\ 0 0 0 0 b_i b_{i+1} b_{i+2} b_{i+3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_j \\ e_j^H \end{bmatrix}$$

where $b_i$ denotes the $i$-th column vector of the extended discrete Fourier transform matrix, $e_j$ denotes a 4 x 1 selection vector, i.e., only the $j$-th element is equal to one, while the others are zeroes, and $\alpha$ is the phase difference between horizontal antenna group and vertical antenna group of cross-polarized antennas. The matrix $W_1$ represents wideband and long-term channel characteristics, whereas the matrix $W_2$ represents frequency-selective and short-term channel characteristics. Each UE computes the precoding index and feeds it back to the eNB through either PUCCH or PUSCH. The feedback information includes the CQI and RI as well as the $W_1$ and $W_2$ indexes.

The MIMO transmission is supported in the UL as well. Unlike the LTE that does not support single user MIMO in the UL, the LTE-Advanced supports MIMO with two or four layers. Note that the maximum spectral efficiency of 15 bps/Hz can be attained only using spatial multiplexing with four layers. The transmission method for reference signals is similar to that of the LTE. The DM-RS is transmitted along with physical UL control channel (PUCCH) and sounding reference signal (SRS) is transmitted at the predefined subframe and symbol. In order to support spatial multiplexing, however, the DM-RS of each layer is designed to be orthogonal to one another, using cyclic shifts of a CAZAC (constant amplitude zero auto-correlation) sequence [24]. Moreover, an orthogonal cover code (OCC) is employed to provide additional orthogonality between layers. For the case of the SRS, the orthogonality between layers is realized by using cyclic shifts of the SRS sequence, different frequency combs or combination of the two.

The codebook for UL precoding was designed to preserve the single carrier property of the SC-FDMA. Specifically, the use of Cubic Metric Preserving (CMP) matrix makes each antenna carry signals from only one layer, so that the CM or PAPR is preserved after precoding. In addition, antenna selection matrices are also employed as precoding matrices, since they are useful when the antenna gain imbalance is severe. The resulting UL codebooks for 2 and 4 antennas are shown in Figure 6 [17].

### 3.3 Wireless relays

The eNB has been improved with many powerful functions and plays an important role in the LTE-Advanced...
at the RN. Moreover, legacy LTE UE secured between transmit antennas and receive antennas to achieve physical isolation or interference cancellation is necessary. In particular, Figure 7 illustrates the DeNB-to-RN transmission scenario when an inband RN employs time division for the separation of the DeNB-to-RN and RN-to-UE links, i.e., the two links become active in different subframes. In this case, during the subframe in which the DeNB-to-RN link is active, the RN cannot send any signal, including the CRS and PDCCH. Without the knowledge on the timing of such subframes, however, legacy UE’s will not accurately measure the CRS, which will cause significant performance degradation. To solve the problem, the MBSFN signaling defined in LTE release 8 is exploited, as depicted in Figure 7. In the MBSFN subframe, the CRS is not transmitted except for the first one or two OFDM symbols. Once the RN declares that a certain subframe is a MBSFN subframe, the RN transmits the CRS and PDCCH to UE’s during one or two OFDM symbols, and receives from the DeNB in the remaining time of the subframe. Correspondingly, the legacy UE’s will attempt to receive the CRS and PDCCH during only one or two

### Codebook for 2 transmit antennas

| 6 rank-1 vectors | 1 rank-2 matrix |
|------------------|----------------|
| 1 1/2 1 1/2 | 1 0 |
| 1 1/2 -1 1/2 1 1/2 [-j] | -j |

### Codebook for 4 transmit antennas

| 24 rank-1 vectors | 24 rank-2 matrices |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 1 1 1 2 2 1/2 1/2 -1 | 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 |
| 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 |

| 12 rank-3 matrices | 1 rank-4 matrix |
|--------------------|----------------|
| 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 | 1 0 0 0 |
| 0 0 1 2 1 0 2 1 0 | 0 0 1 |

**Figure 6**: UL codebooks for 2 and 4 transmit antennas

- **systems.** However, due to that reason, its cost is also getting higher, and could lead to extension trouble. Wireless relaying is another improvement of the LTE-Advanced that can solve such problem. First of all, the use of wireless relays could provide cell coverage extension and cell edge performance improvement. In addition, wireless relays can reduce coverage holes, enhance throughput, and provide group mobility [25].

- **The relay node (RN) establishes a wireless connection with radio access network via a donor cell.** The eNB corresponding to the donor cell is called Donor eNB (DeNB) for the RN. The connection can be either inband or outband. For the case of inband relays, the DeNB-to-RN link share the same band with direct DeNB-to-UE links within the donor cell. This means that the inband relay needs to operate in half-duplex mode to avoid self-interference problem, unless sufficient physical isolation or interference cancellation is secured between transmit antennas and receive antennas at the RN. Moreover, legacy LTE UE’s should be able to connect to the donor cell even in this scenario for backward compatibility. In particular, Figure 7 illustrates the DL transmission scenario when an inband RN employs time division for the separation of the DeNB-to-RN and RN-to-UE links, i.e., the two links become active in different subframes. In this case, during the subframe in which the DeNB-to-RN link is active, the RN cannot send any signal, including the CRS and PDCCH. Without the knowledge on the timing of such subframes, however, legacy UE’s will not accurately measure the CRS, which will cause significant performance degradation. To solve the problem, the MBSFN signaling defined in LTE release 8 is exploited, as depicted in Figure 7. In the MBSFN subframe, the CRS is not transmitted except for the first one or two OFDM symbols. Once the RN declares that a certain subframe is MBSFN subframe, the RN transmits the CRS and PDCCH to UE’s during one or two OFDM symbols, and receives from the DeNB in the remaining time of the subframe. Correspondingly, the legacy UE’s will attempt to receive the CRS and PDCCH during only one or two

**Figure 7**: Resource partitioning between the DeNB-to-RN link and RN-to-UE link [26].
OFDM symbols in the MBSFN subframe. This method of provisioning backward compatibility is called fake MBSFN, since the RN plays a trick on legacy UE’s using the MBSFN signaling and subframe structure. On the other hand, the outband relay is much easier to implement, since the DeNB-to-RN link and RN-to-UE link operate in different bands and thus can easily work in full-duplex mode.

In the LTE-Advanced, two types of inband RN’s are defined according to the functions and supportable protocol layers. A Type 1 RN controls its own cell with its own cell identity, including transmission of the CRS. The Type 1 RN appear as if it is an eNB to the legacy UE’s, which ensures backward-compatible operation. A Type 2 RN does not have its own cell identity and is partly of the donor cell. The Type 2 RN just helps the DeNB and UE’s transmit data; control signals are transmitted directly from the eNB to UE’s. The functions and characteristics of the Type 1 and Type 2 RN’s are summarized in Table 3 [26].

The relaying functionality can be realized in the form of amplify-and-forward or decode-and-forward scheme. In the amplify-forward scheme, relays just transmit an amplified version of the received signal from the source like a traditional repeater. The performance of the scheme is usually limited by noise amplification. In the decode-and-forward scheme, relays decode the received signal and re-encodes it to transmit [27]. The decode-and-forward scheme can be extended to cooperative communication schemes by allowing relays to interwork with the transmitter or receiver [28]. One of the most important challenges in wireless relays is to reduce backhaul overhead caused by the separation of DeNB-to-RN and RN-to-UE links, as explained above, which is called half-duplex constraint [29,30]. Solutions to this problem include the use of hybrid automatic repeat request (H-ARQ) [31] and partial relaying [32,33], in which only partial information is transmitted through the relay. Other important research issues are MIMO processing at the relay [34,35], and relay selection techniques [36].

### 3.4 Enhanced eICIC

With an explosive growth in wireless traffic, a variety of small-size low-power base stations are being deployed within the usual macro eNB to serve hot zone, office, and home areas. This type of overlay architecture is referred to as heterogeneous network (HetNet) [37-39].

Table 4 shows several types of nodes that may exist in a HetNet [38]. Note that relays discussed in Section 3.3 can also be considered as one part of a HetNet. Different types of nodes are optimized for better coverage and data transmission. For example, a macrocell, equipped with an eNB, covers a large area of a few kilometers and serves thousands of users, while a picocell uses a low-power type of eNB and serves tens of users in areas where the signal strength from macrocells is weak.

Due to a large number of heterogeneous cells that could exist in a certain area, inter-cell interference becomes a challenging issue in HetNet scenarios. In particular, in certain situations, the signal from the serving cell could be much weaker than that from the interfering cells, which is referred to as dominant interference scenario [38]. Figure 8 illustrates dominant interference scenarios between a macrocell and femtocells. Usually, femtocells adopt closed subscriber group (CSG) policy, which means that only a group of permitted users are allowed to access the femtocell. When a femtocell operates in the CSG mode, some UE’s entering a femtocell from macrocell need to keep the connection with a macrocell; they cannot handover to the femtocell even though the signal from the femtocell is much stronger. In this case, the UE’s will be affected by strong interference from the femtocell in the DL reception. Also, UE’s associated to femtocell will be interfered by macro UE’s in the UL transmission.

| Type        | Functions and characteristics                                                                 |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Type 1**  | · It controls a cell, which appears to a UE as a separate cell distinct from the donor         |
|             | · The cell shall have its own physical cell identity and the RN shall transmit its synchronization channels and reference symbols |
|             | · In the context of single-cell operation, the UE shall receive scheduling information HARQ feedback directly from the RN and send its control channels to the RN |
|             | · It shall appear as an eNB to release 8 UE’s (i.e., backwards compatible)                      |
|             | · To the LTE-Advanced UE’s, it should be possible for a Type 1 RN to appear different than release 8 eNB to allow for further performance enhancement |
| **Type 2**  | · It does not have a separate physical cell identity and thus would not create any new cell    |
|             | · It is transparent to release 8 UE’s; a release 8 UE is not aware of the presence a Type 2 RN |
|             | · It can transmit PDSCH                                                                     |
|             | · It does not transmit CRS and PDCCH                                                         |
Table 4 Characteristics of several types of nodes in heterogeneous networks

| Type of nodes | Transmit power (dBm) | Coverage          | Backhaul       |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Macrocell     | 46                   | Few km            | S1 interface   |
| Picocell      | 23-30                | < 1300 m          | X2 interface   |
| Femtocell     | < 23                 | < 50 m            | Internet IP    |
| Relay         | 30                   | 300 m             | Wireless       |
| RRH           | 46                   | Few km            | Fiber          |

The LTE release 8 and 9 employ messages for ICIC that can be exchanged between eNB’s via the X2 interface, such as the following three indicators [38]: (1) Relative narrowband transmit power (RNTP) indicator is used by a certain cell to inform neighboring cells which DL RB’s it is using to serve UE’s within and transmit power level for the corresponding RB’s. (2) Overload indicator (OI) is used to inform neighboring eNB’s on a certain eNB’s self-estimated interference level on UL RB’s. When other eNB’s receive this information, they would attempt to reschedule or reduce activities on those RB’s. (3) High interference indicator (HII) allows one eNB to warn neighboring eNB’s that certain UL RB’s will be heavily loaded in the near future to serve its own cell-edge UE’s. Other eNB’s would abstain from using those RB’s to avoid mutual interference.

The ICIC methods of the LTE release 8 and 9 do not consider dominant interference scenarios of HetNets. In order to address such scenarios, the LTE-Advanced has been developing enhanced ICIC (eICIC) techniques, which can be classified into three categories [40,41]: time-domain techniques, frequency-domain techniques, and power control techniques. In time-domain techniques, the victim users are scheduled in time-domain resources where interference from other nodes is mitigated. Time-domain techniques employ subframe alignment and OFDM symbol shift. In particular, subframe alignment can be realized by cooperative silencing. The aggressor eNB’s set almost blank subframe (ABS) where only minimal control signals are transmitted, and inform the eNB with victim UE’s of the ABS pattern via the X2 interface or Operation and Management (O&M). Then, the eNB can serve the victim UE’s during the subframe with reduced interference. In frequency-domain techniques, control and reference signals are scheduled in reduced bandwidth, so that the signals of different cells are ensured to be orthogonal to one another. While frequency-domain orthogonality can be achieved in a static manner, it may also be implemented dynamically through victim UE detection. In power control techniques, femtocells employ power control schemes different from the one used in macrocells. The power control scheme can be designed by accounting for the following factors: the strongest macro eNB received power at a HeNB, path loss between a HeNB and macro UE, target signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) of home UE, and target SINR of macro UE.

3.5 CoMP transmission/reception

Carrier aggregation and CoMP are the two most important techniques that boost the data rate of the LTE-Advanced to a new threshold. If we call CA a road of the LTE-Advanced, CoMP surely will be a car which the LTE-Advanced drives. In traditional telecommunication systems, each UE will be basically served by only one base station (BS) at a moment. Signals come from other BS’s will become interference to the UE. When the UE moves to the cell edge, it will communicate with more than one BS’s to prepare for handover. However, it is still being served by its original BS. This is also the time when the UE receives strong interference, and data rate will be very low. The situation will become worse if the UE is moving with high speed.

Coordinated multipoint can be considered as a distributed MIMO system, in that geographically distributed nodes form multiple antennas and they cooperate to transmit to and/or receive from UE’s [42-44]. CoMP has been studied as a solution for increasing the system throughput, especially at cell edge areas where inter-cell interference is severe with traditional approach. Due to the potential advantage, CoMP techniques received a lot of attention at the initiatory stage of the LTE-Advanced standardization. However, in practice, there are critical issues in CoMP, such as excessive feedback overhead [45], backhaul delay and burden [46,47], and interference channel estimation [48]. Accordingly, the discussion on CoMP was suspended in release 10, but it is being discussed again in release 11.

Coordinated multipoint can be applied to both the DL and UL. DL CoMP techniques can be classified...
Joint processing generally requires high-capacity X2 interface between eNBs for sharing transmit data, and thus can cause excessive backhaul overhead and latency. On the other hand, coordinated scheduling/coordinated beamforming (CS/CB) can be realized only if the channel state information and scheduling information are shared among eNBs [44]; data sharing is not required. In the CS/CB, a UE receives data from only one eNB, its own serving node, while the precoding and scheduling are coordinated among related eNBs in such a way to reduce interference and improve the throughput. Figure 9 illustrates how the joint processing and CS/CB serve UEs at the cell edge.

For the case of UL, joint detection and interference prediction are considered. Joint detection can be considered as a UL counterpart of the DL joint transmission. For joint detection, eNBs need to share received signal samples as well as channel state information and scheduling information. The basic principle of interference prediction is to perform link adaptation based on predicted SINR values [49]. Interference prediction is possible by exchanging resource allocation information among cells. Another emerging UL CoMP scheme of importance is interference-aware distributed precoding [50,51], which can be implemented in fully distributed...
manner without sharing even channel state information among eNB’s.

According to R1-110564 in 3GPP, CoMP techniques can be applied in three different scenarios [52], as illustrated in Figure 10. Currently, various CoMP schemes are being evaluated by several institutes under the scenarios. The scenarios of particular interest are the two scenarios with remote radio head (RRH), which ensures high capacity and low latency backhaul.

4 Conclusion
This article has provided an overview of current status of advanced technologies that enable the LTE-Advanced to meet its requirements and differentiate it from the legacy LTE. The standardization process on the LTE-Advanced is still ongoing, and more advanced features are expected to be discussed and included in the future. Promising study items include enhanced carrier aggregation without the backward compatibility constraint, efficient MIMO schemes under the scenario that low-power nodes are nonuniformly distributed, open-loop MIMO scheme with reduced overhead and complexity, mobile relays that can provide group mobility, improved eCIC techniques that can mitigate the residual interference in ABS, and efficient feedback mechanism and codebook design for CoMP operation.
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