Phase transitions in dipolar gases in optical lattices
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We investigate the phase diagrams of two-dimensional lattice dipole systems with variable geometry. For bipartite square and triangular lattices with tunable vertical sublattice separation, we find rich phase diagrams featuring a sequence of easy-plane magnetically ordered phases separated by incommensurate spin-wave states.

A recent breakthrough in the cooling of dipolar gases in optical lattices [1], following a decade of intensive research [2–4], opens a door into the earlier inaccessible many-body physics of lattice systems with anisotropic long-range interaction. Although bulk crystalline dipolar systems are abundant in nature [15–19], their experimental investigation has been hindered by the extremely low temperatures required for the observation of ordering transitions [12] and the absence of continuously variable parameters. Recently, artificial two-dimensional dipolar systems, such as lithographically created nanomagnet arrays, have been realized [13–14]. However, it is the advent of optical lattices with tunable lattice structure containing ultracold dipolar gases, that creates numerous possibilities for studies of previously unexplored phase transitions – both classical and quantum – between ordered and disordered phases of this fundamental many-body system.

In this Article we analyze a series of magnetic phase transitions in a classical dipolar gas in deep optical lattices [square, Fig. 1 and triangular, Fig. 2] obtained from bipartite monolayer lattices by vertical separation, z, of the two sublattices. One way to realize such systems would be loading of ferromagnetic spinor Bose-Einstein condensates in the nodes [10–11] of a deep bilayer optical lattice created with the help of a painted potential technique [12], which would allow for high degree of control over the shapes of optical lattices and interlayer separation.

We find that, upon the variation of z, each system experiences a sequence of easy-plane magnetically ordered phases separated by incommensurate spin-wave states, which could be detected with the help of Bragg diffraction of light [17–19]. The phase diagram for the square lattice on the z − T plane is shown in Fig. 1(a). For sufficiently small separations z ≪ a, where a is lattice constant, we reproduce the earlier predicted [21–22] canted antiferromagnetic phase, AF_K, with the ordering vector K. For z > a, we find an antiferromagnetic phase, AF_M, with larger unit cell and ordering wave vector at the M-point of the Brillouin zone of the bipartite lattice. For intermediate interlayer distances, we find a stable ferromagnetic phase (F), separated from the antiferromagnetic ones by incommensurate spin-wave states (ISW). At the critical temperature T_c, all of the ordered phases feature a degeneracy in the orientation of magnetization, characterized in Fig. 1(b) by angle θ, or θ_A and θ_B for AF_M. Away from
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Figure 1: (color online) (a) Dipolar magnetic gas on a bipartite square optical lattice as seen along [0,1] axis and the first Brillouin zone. The two sublattices, A and B, are vertically separated by the distance z. (b) Phase diagram of the dipolar gas: three commensurate phases (AF_K, F, and AF_M) separated by two incommensurate spin-wave phases (ISW1 and ISW2) with phase boundaries at z_1 = 0.245a, z_2 = 0.262a, z_3 = 0.629a and z_4 = 1.061a, where a is lattice constant, and T_0 = μ_0μ^2/4πa^3.

T_c, such a degeneracy is lifted, and Fig. 1(b) shows the optimal orientation of the order parameter for the low-temperature states. The structure of the intermediate incommensurate phases, ISW1 and ISW2 (Fig. 1) has also been established for T → T_c, while their nature at low temperatures remains an open question.

We find that the phase diagram for the bipartite triangular lattice (which forms a honeycomb lattice when z = 0) also contains a sequence of commensurate and
Figure 2: (a) Dipolar magnetic gas on a bipartite triangular optical lattice as seen along [0,1] axis and the first Brillouin zone. The two sublattices, A and B, are vertically shifted separated by the distance \( z \) (at \( z = 0 \), they form a honeycomb lattice). (b) Phase diagram of the dipolar gas: three commensurate phases \( (H_K, AF_M, F) \) separated by two incommensurate spin-wave phases \( (ISW3, ISW4) \) with phase boundaries at \( z_1 = 0.256a \), \( z_2 = 0.2600a \), \( z_3 = 0.479a \) and \( z_4 = 0.577a \), where \( a \) is lattice constant and \( T_0 = \mu_0 \mu^2 / 4\pi a^3 \).

incommensurate magnetic phases, Fig. 2. For \( z \ll a \), we find a helical phase with the ordering vector \( K \) \((H_K)\), which is specific for dipoles on a 2D honeycomb lattice \[21\]. A large vertical displacement of the two sublattices of the honeycomb lattice results in two weakly coupled triangular lattices, for which the ground state is ferromagnetic \((F)\) \[21\]. In between those two extremes lies an antiferromagnetic phase \( AF_M \) with the ordering vector \( M \) \[22\], separated from the helical and ferromagnetic phases by parametric intervals, where the magnetization texture is incommensurate with the lattice.

To find phase diagrams in Figs. 1-2 we consider an ensemble of classical magnetic dipoles \( \mu_s \), \( (|s|^2 = 1) \), placed on the A or B sites \( r_i^n \) \((n = A, B)\) of the square (Fig. 1) or triangular (Fig. 2) lattices, with interaction energy

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\alpha, \beta = x, y, z} \sum_{n, n' = A, B} J^{\alpha \beta}(r_i^n - r_j^{n'}) s^\alpha(r_i^n) s^\beta(r_j^{n'}),
\]

\[
J^{\alpha \beta}(r) = \frac{\mu_0 \mu^2}{4\pi r^3} \left( \delta_{\alpha \beta} - 3 \frac{r^{\alpha \beta}}{r^2} \right). \tag{1}
\]

Here \( \mu_0 \) is the vacuum permeability. The Hamiltonian is invariant under the group \( G \) of simultaneous rotation of magnetic moments in the \( xy \)-plane and the lattice rotations through the angles \( \pi/4 \) for square and \( \pi/3 \) for triangular lattices.

In order to identify the thermodynamic average, \((s(r_i^n))\) \((n = A, B)\), of magnetization for various interlayer separations \( z \), we apply the Landau theory and study the free energy in the vicinity of the transition temperature \[23\],

\[
F = \frac{N}{2} \sum_q S(q) \left( 3T \hat{\mu} + \hat{J}(q) \right) S(q) + F^{(m)} + \ldots, \tag{2}
\]

expressed in terms of a 6-vector \( S(q)^T = (S_A^x(q), S_A^y(q), S_A^z(q), S_B^x(q), S_B^y(q), S_B^z(q)) \), where \( S_A^n(q) \) is the Fourier transform of the order parameter \( (s(r_i^n)) \) of magnetic moments on A and B sublattices. In Eq. 2, \( \hat{\mu} \) is a \( 6 \times 6 \) unit matrix, and \( F^{(m)} \) incorporates higher-order invariants under the group \( G \) built using the order parameter \( (m = 4 \) for square and \( m = 6 \) for triangular lattices). A \( 6 \times 6 \) matrix \( \hat{J} \) has elements

\[
J_{nn'}^{\alpha \beta}(q) = (1/N) \sum_{ij} J^{\alpha \beta}(r_i^n - r_j^{n'}) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot (r_i^n - r_j^{n'})}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( N \) is the number of unit cells. For each wave vector \( q \), matrix \( \hat{J} \) has 6 eigenvalues, \( \lambda \), and 6 eigenvectors, \( \mathbf{V}(q) \). The lowest negative eigenvalue \( \lambda_0(q) \) found among \( \lambda \) \((q) \) by varying wave vector \( q \) over the Brillouin zone determines the polarizations \( \mathbf{V}(q) \) and the wave vector \( q_0 \) of the most favorable magnetic ordering and the transition temperature

\[
T_c = \frac{1}{3} \min_{q} \lambda_0(q) \equiv \frac{1}{3} |\lambda_0(q_0)|. \tag{4}
\]

In Fig. 3 we show plots for \( \lambda(q) \) for the square bipartite lattice with various vertical A-B sublattice separations. For \( 0 \leq z \leq z_1 \), where \( z_1 = 0.245a \) \((a \) is lattice constant \( q_0 \) coincides with one of \( K \)-points of the Brillouin zone as shown in Fig. 3 (a)-(b). This corresponds to the \( AF_K \) phase (Fig. 1) with the order parameter

\[
(s(r_i^n)) \propto (\cos(K \cdot r_i^n + \theta), p \sin(K \cdot r_i^n + \theta)), \tag{5}
\]

where \( p = \pm 1 \) for \( n = A/B \), and \( \theta \) is a constant. In Eq. \[2\], the degeneracy in \( \theta \) is lifted by the higher-order terms.
appearing after taking into account thermal fluctuations. As \( z \) increases from \( z_1 \) to \( z_2 = 0.262a \), the order parameter given by Eq. (6) continuously moves from \( K \)- to \( \Gamma \)- point (Fig. 3(a)), and the corresponding eigenvector \( V_0(q_0) \) determines the magnetization texture

\[
\langle s(r^n) \rangle \propto \hat{z} \times q_0 \cos(q_0 \cdot r^n)
\]

of the incommensurate phase ISW1 illustrated in Fig. 3(b), where \( \hat{z} \) is the unit vector perpendicular to the plane of the lattice and \( q_0 = (q_0, 0) \). For \( z_2 \leq z \leq z_3 \), where \( z_3 = 0.629a \), \( q_0 \) lies at \( \Gamma \)-point (Fig. 3(d)), which corresponds to the easy-plane ferromagnetic (F) ordering. As \( z \) increases from \( z_3 \) to \( z_4 = 1.061a \), \( q_0 \) continuously moves from \( \Gamma \)- to \( M \)-point (Fig. 3(b)), which determines the incommensurate spin-wave state ISW2 and the order parameter given by Eq. (6) with \( q_0 = (1/\sqrt{2})(q_0, 0) \). For \( z \geq z_4 \), \( q_0 \) is at one of the \( M \)-points (Fig. 3(f)) giving rise to the phase AFM, which can be viewed as two weakly coupled *AFR* phases on each of two square sublattice. The form of the order parameter in each of the commensurate phases is given in Table 1.

The phase diagram for the bipartite triangular lattice (Fig. 2(b)) with order parameters listed in Table 1 is somewhat similar to that for the square bipartite lattice. For \( 0 \leq z \leq z_1 \), where \( z_1 = 0.256a \), we find that \( q_0 \) is at one of the \( K \)-points. This corresponds to the helical \( H_K \) phase with the order parameter given by Eq. (5), where the vector \( K \) is at the corner of the hexagonal Brillouin zone of triangular lattice (Fig. 2(a)). Such a phase has been discussed in relation with a dipolar gas in a planar honeycomb lattice. For \( z_1 \leq z \leq z_2 \), where \( z_2 = 0.260a \), \( q_0 \) continuously shifts from \( K \) to \( M \)-point giving rise to the incommensurate phase ISW3 with magnetization texture

\[
\langle s(r^n) \rangle \propto (-\sin(q_0 \cdot r^n), \rho \cos(q_0 \cdot r^n), a_z \sin(q_0 \cdot r^n))
\]

where \( 0 \leq \rho \leq a_y \leq a_y \) are \( z \)-dependent, \( q_0 = q_0(K(1 - c) + Mc)/(K(1 - c) + Mc)(0 \leq c \leq 1) \). For \( z_2 \leq z \leq z_3 \), where \( z_3 = 0.479 \), \( q_0 \) lies at one of the \( M \)-points, which corresponds to the easy-plane antiferromagnetic phase AFM shown in Fig. 2(b) [22]. For \( z_3 \leq z \leq z_4 \), where \( z_4 = 0.577a \), \( q_0 \) moves from \( M \)-to \( \Gamma \)-points, which determines the incommensurate spin-wave state ISW4,

\[
\langle s(r^n) \rangle \propto (\sin(q_0 \cdot r^n), \cos(q_0 \cdot r^n))
\]

with \( q_0 = q_0M/[M] \). Finally, for \( z \geq z_4 \), \( q_0 \) lies at \( \Gamma \)-point, which corresponds to an easy-plane ferromagnetic state. In the limit \( z \to \infty \), this coincides with the ground state calculated for a dipolar magnet on a plane triangular lattice [20].

The above analysis of magnetic phases of dipolar gases on square and triangular bipartite lattices, limited to the quadratic terms in the Landau theory, is formally valid at \( T \to T_c \). To extend the phase diagrams in Figs. 1 and 2 to low temperatures, we investigate the stability of the ordering patterns described in Table 1 near \( T = 0 \) using the linear spin-wave theory. That is, we expand the interaction energy in small deviations of on-site magnetic moments from the ground-state value \( \langle s(r^n) \rangle \),

\[
E = E(0) + \frac{N_M}{2} \sum_q s^\dagger(q) \hat{A}(q) s(q).
\]

Such deviations have to respect the constraint \( |s(r^n)|^2 = 1 \) and can be parametrized as

\[
s(r^n) = (s(r^n) + \hat{z} \sigma_z(r^n)) + (\hat{z} \times \langle s(r^n) \rangle) \sigma_{||}(r^n) - (1/2)(\langle s(r^n) \rangle [\sigma_{||}(r^n)]^2 + \langle \sigma_z(r^n) \rangle^2).
\]
Here we use the Fourier transform, \( \sigma(q)^T = (\sigma_{11}^q(q), \sigma_{12}^q(q), ..., \sigma_{NM}^q(q), ...) \) of \( \sigma_\alpha(r_i^k) \), index \( k = 1, ..., M \) labels sites within the magnetic unit cell of a commensurate phase, so that the Fourier transform of \( \langle s(r_i^k) \rangle \) is \( S_\alpha(q) = S_k \delta(q) \) and \( N_M \) is the number of magnetic unit cells. The \( 2M \times 2M \) matrix \( \hat{A}(q) \) has elements \( A_{k1}^{\alpha\beta}(q) = \sum_{\delta,\varepsilon} (\hat{z} \times S_k)^\alpha J_{kk}^{\delta\varepsilon} (\hat{z} \times S_k)^\beta + f(0), A_{k2}^{\alpha\beta}(q) = \sum_{\delta,\varepsilon} (\hat{z} \times S_k)^\alpha J_{kk}^{\delta\varepsilon} (\hat{z} \times S_k)^\beta + f(0), A_{k1}^{\alpha\beta}(q) = \sum_{\delta,\varepsilon} J_{kk}^{\delta\varepsilon} (\hat{z} \times S_k)^\alpha, A_{k2}^{\alpha\beta}(q) = J_{kk}^{\delta\varepsilon} (\hat{z} \times S_k)^\beta + f(0), \) where \( f(0) = (-1/2) \sum_{\alpha,\beta} S_{k}^\alpha J_{kk}^{\delta\varepsilon}(0)S_{k}^\beta \). We find that all eigenvalues of \( \hat{A}(q) \) are positive for \( q \neq 0 \) within the same intervals \( z_i < z < z_{i+1} \), whereas at the edges of the intervals, the lowest eigenvalue of \( \hat{A}(q) \) acquires negative values reflecting a tendency towards incommensurate textures.

For most of the phases in Table I the interaction is degenerate in angle \( \theta \) (Figs. 1 and 2). This degeneracy is lifted \cite{22} by thermal fluctuations leading to the higher-order expansion terms in the Landau theory. To find, at least for \( T \ll T_c \), such symmetry breaking contributions, we take into account fluctuations \( \sigma(q) \) of the order parameter following \cite{22} and calculate the entropy part of the free energy

\[
\delta F_s = -T \ln \prod_q \int D\sigma(q) \exp[-\frac{1}{2T} \sigma^T(q)\hat{A}(q)\sigma(q)] 
\approx \frac{N_M T}{2} \sum_{q \in BZ} \ln(\det \hat{A}(q)). \tag{9}
\]

We evaluate the dominant contribution from the fluctuations lifting the degeneracy with respect to angle \( \theta \):

\[
\delta F_s \approx T A_0 - T A_1 \cos(m \theta), A_0, A_1 > 0, \tag{10}
\]

where \( m = 4 \) for square and \( m = 6 \) for triangular lattice. \cite{24} This determines the optimal choice \( \theta_0 \) shown in Table I. For \( T \rightarrow T_c \), such entropy terms give rise to the crystalline anisotropy contribution \( F^{m(\theta)} \propto T_c |S|^m \cos m \theta \) in Eq. \( \theta \).

For magnetic dipolar gases in deep bipartite (bilayer) square and triangular optical lattices, the predicted phase diagram may appear very much within the experimentally accessible range of controlled parameters. For deep optical lattices with \( a \sim 1 \mu m \) and optical field trapping mini-condensates of \( 10^3 \) spin-aligned \(^{87}\)Rb atoms per unit cell, we estimate \( T_c \sim 50 \mu K \) in the phase diagram in Figs. 1 and 2. Moreover, as the electric and magnetic dipole interactions are mathematically equivalent, the phase diagram in Figs. 1 and 2 should be applicable to the electric dipolar systems, where we estimate \( T_c \sim 100 \mu K \) for ferro- and antiferroelectric transitions in molecules with a dipole moment \( d \sim 1D \).

Table I: Order parameter for each of the commensurate phases of a dipolar gas on bipartite square and triangular lattices.

| Lattice | Phase | \( S \equiv \langle s(r_i^k) \rangle/\| \langle s(r_i^k) \rangle \| | | \( q_0 \) | \( \theta_0 \) |
|---------|-------|-------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| Square  | AF_{k} | \( (\cos(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i^k + \theta), p \sin(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i^k + \theta)), p = \pm 1 \) for \( A/B \) | \( \mathbf{K} = (0, \frac{\sqrt{\pi k}}{a}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \) | 0 |
| Triangular | AF_{k} | \( \cos(\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i^k + \theta, \sin(\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i^k + \theta)) \) | \( \mathbf{M} = (0, \frac{\sqrt{\pi k}}{a}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \) | 0 |
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