An adaptive hash-based search for integer motion estimation in SCC
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Abstract: As a new approach to finding integer motion vectors in SCC, hash-based searches have been proposed recently. In the paper we propose an improved search algorithm for integer motion estimation (IME) that employs hash-based search only for $8 \times 8$ coding units (CUs) and bottom-up search for larger CUs. Furthermore, it updates the hash function and hash table for each CTU to improve coding efficiency and reduce search complexity. According to simulation results, the proposed algorithm provides similar or better coding performance for screen contents while keeping the complexity at a lower level and constant compared with the reference algorithms.
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1 Introduction

Integer motion estimation (IME) is the most essential coding tool for interprediction to achieve high compression performance in High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) [1]. The test zone search (TZS) algorithm [2] adopted in the HM reference software as the search algorithm for IME adaptively employs basically several diamond search rounds and a raster search, if necessary. Although it is suitable for finding small motions, the TZS algorithm has a limitation in finding large motions and not effective for screen contents with repeating patterns, sharp edges and long scroll motions.

Recently, hash-based searches have been proposed for IMEs on screen content [3, 4, 5], where the best-matching block is searched only among reference blocks whose hash key is equal to that of the current prediction unit (PU). It is crucial to find a hash function suitable for maintaining search quality and reducing search complexity. A hash-based IME search algorithm [3] that adopts a hash function based on product-quantization is used to find intra block copy mode of 8 x 8 PUs for screen content coding. Another hash-based search [4] that employs a random hash function based on cyclic redundancy code is used only for inter prediction mode of all 2N x 2N PUs, while other PUs are searched with the TZS algorithm. The hash-based search in [5] employs a hash function utilizing both CRC and quantization code.

All of the above can give better coding performance for screen contents, but they have two problems. First, they use fixed hash functions that do not change according to the video contents, although the search complexity is very sensitive to the content of the input sequence. Second, their search algorithms are inefficient because all reference blocks are searched in a reference picture, but most of the best matching-blocks are located near to the current PU.

In this paper, we propose a new hash-based IME search algorithm that addresses these two issues. First, the proposed algorithm selectively changes hash functions in the CTU level to keep the search complexity constant. To generate CTU-level hash functions, we employ two methods to reduce the search complexity in finding hash functions: finding a hash function based on product quantization (PQ) [6] and reusing, if necessary, the hash function for the previous CTU with similar statistics. To improve the coding efficiency, secondly, we generate hash keys for all 4 x 4 block within each PU and use all blocks whose hash keys are equal to any of one of these hash keys in the IME process as search candidate.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly describe a typical hash-based search algorithm. Then a new hash-based search algorithm is proposed in Section 3, focusing on its computational complexity control. We describe a method to adaptively find a hash function for each CTU in Section 4. The IME algorithm using hash-based search is proposed in details in Section 5 and experimental results are presented in Section 6, which is followed by the conclusion in Section 7.
2 Hash-based search scheme

Integer motion estimation (IME) is a complex and essential algorithm for searching blocks that can compress the current PU, PU<sub>cur</sub>, most efficiently in video coding. A hash-based search algorithm uses all blocks whose hash key are equal to that of the PU<sub>cur</sub>. Thus, reprocessing for calculating hash keys for all PUs in the reference pictures is performed in advance in order to utilize a hash-based search algorithm.

Fig. 1 shows a typical hash-based search scheme, which consists of two steps: generating hash tables in the preprocessing step and evaluating search candidates in the IME search step. First, the hash key, key<sub>ref</sub>, of all blocks within reference pictures are calculated for a pre-selected hash function before encoding each picture. After then, by classifying the reference blocks according to their hash keys, hash tables are generated. And its location information is stored into a bucket identified with its hash key in a hash table. Thus, each bucket in the hash table is implemented a set of singly-linked lists, which includes all reference blocks with the same hash key.

In IME search step, a hash key for the current PU, key<sub>cur</sub>, is first calculated with the same hash function used for the hash table generation. Then the bucket identified with the hash key is fetched from the hash table and all blocks in the bucket corresponds to search candidates for finding the best matching block, PU<sub>best</sub>, which is a block with the minimum rate distortion cost [2].

3 Proposed hash-based search algorithm

Problem formulation: The computational complexity of real-time video encoders should be limited to be within a fixed level. Assuming that C<sub>target</sub> is the target upper bound of the search complexity for IME, our goal is to maximize the coding gain while satisfying the complexity constraint with a hash-based search algorithm.

The total computational complexity C<sub>total</sub> of the hash-based search algorithm for a CTU includes three components like the following.

\[
C_k^{\text{total}} = C_k^{\text{search}} + C_k^{\text{buildHT}} + C_k^{\text{findHF}},
\]

where C<sub>search</sub>, C<sub>buildHT</sub> and C<sub>findHF</sub> represent the computational complexity for IME search of all possible sized PUs, for building a hash table, and for finding a hash function, respectively for each CTU. Here C<sub>k</sub> represents the average complexity for a CTU in the k-th picture. In this paper, the subscripts k, and j are used to represent the indices of picture and CTUs in a picture, which can be omitted, if possible,
without confusion. \(C_{\text{search}}\) can be measured as the number of block-matching for IME of all PUs in a CTU, \(N_{\text{BM}}\), as follows.

\[
C_{\text{search}} = \sum_{\text{PU} \in \text{CTU}} C_{\text{BM}} * S_i * N_{\text{BM}},
\]

(2)

where \(C_{\text{BM}}\) is the computational complexity of block-matching for one block and \(S_i\) is a scaling factor of the computational complexity for a PU relative to that for an 8 \times 8 PU considering the various-sized PUs in a CTU. For example, \(S_i\) for a 16 \times 16 PU is equal to 4.

Assuming that \(B\), \(N_{\text{HT}}\), \(N_{\text{hash-key}}\), and \(N_{\text{total}}\) represent the bit length of hash keys, the number of hash tables used for IME search, the number of hash keys used for IME search per a PU, and the total number of 4 \times 4 blocks stored in the hash table, respectively, the expectation value of the number of 4 \times 4 blocks explored to find the best matching block, \(N_{\text{BM}}\), can be calculated as follows.

\[
N_{\text{BM}} = N_{\text{HT}} * N_{\text{hash-key}} * \left(\sum_{z=0}^{2^B-1} N_{\text{total}} * p_r(z) * p_c(z)\right),
\]

(3)

where \(p_r(z)\) is the probability for \(\text{key}_{\text{ref}}\) being equal to \(z\), and \(p_c(z)\) is the probability for \(\text{key}_{\text{cur}}\) being equal to \(z\).

The computational complexity for building a hash table, \(C_{\text{buildHT}}\), is proportional to the number of blocks in hash tables as follows.

\[
C_{\text{buildHT}} = C_{\text{hashing}} * N_{\text{total}},
\]

(4)

where \(C_{\text{hashing}}\) is the computational complexity of generating a hash key for one block and inserting it into hash tables, which depends on the hash function.

Lastly, \(C_{\text{findHF}}\) depends on the algorithm that adaptively determines a hash function which will be explained in Section 4.

**Proposed approach:** To alleviate the problems described in Section 1, we propose a new hash-based search algorithm with three steps: 1) finding hash functions to generate hash tables for the current picture, 2) building hash tables for the current pictures to be referenced by the following pictures and 3) performing IME search for the current picture, as shown in Fig. 2, where the additional blocks to a typical hash-based search are represented as shaded-boxes.

First, the proposed algorithm finds hash functions for each CTU while keeping \(C_{\text{total}}\) less than and close to \(C_{\text{target}}\). In estimating \(C_{\text{total}}\), we assume that \(C_{\text{search}}\), \(C_{\text{buildHT}}\) and \(C_{\text{findHF}}\) for the current picture are replaced with those for its immediately previous picture in the same temporal layer or their adjusted values according to the bit length of the hash key \(B\) and a threshold value that determines whether to find a hash function through data learning or to reuse the hash function. We will discuss it more in the Section 4.

After determining the hash function for the current CTU, a hash table is generated for the current CTU. For example, a hash key for each 4 \times 4 block in the CTU\(_{\text{jk}}\) is generated with its hash functions \(h_{\text{jk}}\) and is stored into a corresponding bucket in the CTU-level hash table \(HT_{\text{jk}}\). Therefore, all 4 \times 4 blocks in the same CTU are mapped into the same hash table. Note that not all possible hash keys are generated and the distribution of hash keys depends on the video contents. Through experiments, we found that only about 30–50% of all possible hash keys actually
Experimental results show that it can reduce IME search complexity by approximately 50–70% with negligible coding loss (0.01∼0.02%).

For the IME search algorithm referencing CTU-level hash tables, its hash function and hash table for each CTU must be stored together into data buffers considering the reference by the following pictures. The size of the buffer needs to be equal to the product of the number of CTU in a picture, $N_{CTU}$, and the number of the decoded picture buffer (DPB), $N_{DPB}$. Furthermore, they should be kept until the reference pictures used for building a hash table are removed from the DPB.

In the IME search step, the best-matching block is searched among all search candidates through block matching. To efficiently reduce IME search complexity, two methods are employed here. First, we employ the bottom-up MVPs (BMVPs) [7] for PUs of size larger than $8 \times 8$. Note that when the depth of the current PU is $d$ in the BMVP algorithm, its MVP candidates consists of the best motion vectors of its corresponding PUs with depth of $d + 1$. Second, we employ hash-based search only for PUs of size $4 \times 4$, $8 \times 4$, and $8 \times 8$ where search candidates consist of all blocks with a hash key equal to any of one of $4 \times 4$ blocks in the current PU.

Consequently, we can divide the complexity of hash-based IME search $C_{search}$ into two terms such as $C_{bottom-up}$ for find the BMVPs and $C_{hash-search}$ for finding the best matching block among blocks with the same hash key in the hash table, which is represented as follows.

$$C_{search} = C_{hash-search} + C_{bottom-up} \quad (5)$$

### 4 Searching an optimal hash function for each CTU

**Hash function:** In this paper we focus on using product quantization [6] in determining hash functions suitable for IME search. The hash functions based on PQ have a form of the Cartesian product of multiple quantized feature components, each of which can be quantized independently to minimize its expected distortion.

Assuming that $X$ is a $4 \times 4$ block, the input of the hash function $h$ is $m$ tuple $(f_1(X), \ldots, f_m(X))$, each of which is a feature-value for $m$-th feature calculated from the block. And the output of the hash function $h$ is $m$ tuple $(q_1(f_1(X)), \ldots,$
q_m(f_m(X)), each of which is a quantized value of the feature-value. Thus, it can be written as follows.

\[(q_1(f_1(X)), \ldots, q_m(f_m(X))) = h(f_1(X), \ldots, f_m(X)),\] (6)

where m features are selected in a predefined feature set. Features included in the feature set used for experiments in this paper are summarized in Table I.

How to determine the parameter of the hash function: \(C_{\text{search}}\) is the sum of \(C_{\text{hash-search}}\) and \(C_{\text{bottom-up}}\) as shown in Eq. (5). Even though the number of BMVPs to be used in the IME process can be slightly changed according to the input content, it does not really make any significant difference in \(C_{\text{bottom-up}}\). Thus it is assumed to be approximately the same as the previous pictures of the same temporal layer for simplicity. As a result, any change of \(C_{\text{search}}\) due to the input content is mostly from that of \(C_{\text{hash-search}}\).

In the Eq. (3) for \(C_{\text{hash-search}}\), \(N_{\text{HT}}, N_{\text{hash-key}}\) and \(p_r(z)\) are values that can be obtained at the time of determining the parameter of the hash function for the current CTU. Because \(N_{\text{HT}}\) is determined from the number of CTUs in the IME search area which is generally fixed. As \(N_{\text{hash-key}}\) is equal to the number of \(4 \times 4\) blocks in a PU, \(N_{\text{hash-key}}\) is fixed to 4 for \(8 \times 8\) PUs and 2 for \(8 \times 4\) or \(4 \times 8\) PUs. And the distribution for \(p_r(z)\) can be calculated from the hash table generated in the previous pictures.

On the other hand, \(N_{\text{total}}\) and \(p_r(z)\) are not. Especially, \(p_r(z)\) can’t be determined until all hash-based IME searches are completed for the current CTU. To determine the \(C_{\text{hash-search}}\), we just assume that the image change between two consecutive pictures is not large. Consequently, \(N_{\text{total}}\) and \(p_r(z)\) of previous pictures, respectively. Based on these assumption, the \(C_{\text{hash-search}}\) can be approximated as follows.

\[C_{\text{hash-search}} \approx \sum_{\text{PU}_i \in \text{CTU}} S^*_{i} \left( \sum_{z=0}^{2^{B}-1} p_r(z)^2 \right) = \sum_{\text{PU}_i \in \text{CTU}} S^*_{i} \ast F = a_{\text{est}} \ast F,\] (7)

where \(S^*_{i}\) is a kind of constant corresponding to the product of \(C_{\text{BM}}, S_i, N_{\text{HT}}, N_{\text{hash-key}}\) and \(N_{\text{total}}\). For the convenience, the sum of squares of \(p_r(z)\) in Eq. (7) is represented with \(F\), a complexity factor, which can be interpreted as a measure of the hash-based search complexity for a hash key distribution. Note that the complexity of the hash-based search is proportional to \(F\), which is the smallest if the distribution

| features | descriptions | equations |
|----------|--------------|-----------|
| DC       | Average value of luminance pixels | \[\frac{1}{W \cdot H} \sum_{x=0}^{W-1} \sum_{y=0}^{H-1} p_{\text{cur}}(x,y)\] |
| SATD     | Sum of absolute transformed differences | \[|H \cdot (P-DC) \cdot H^T|\] |
| ACTx     | Horizontal activity | \[\frac{1}{(W-1) \cdot H} \sum_{x=0}^{W-1} \sum_{y=0}^{H-1} |p(x+1,y) - p(x,y)|\] |
| ACTy     | Vertical activity | \[\frac{1}{W \cdot (H-1)} \sum_{x=0}^{W-1} \sum_{y=0}^{H-1} |p(x,y+1) - p(x,y)|\] |
| Gx       | Average horizontal edges | \[3 \times 3 \text{ Sobel filter}\] |
| Gy       | Average vertical edges | |
of hash keys is uniform. The complexity factor of the hash-based search $F$ can be
determined from several combinations of $B$ and how to make a hash function. Among them, we choose a $B$ and a hash function that minimizes the difference of
$C_{\text{target}}$ and the expectation of $C_{\text{total}}$. The hash function is determined based on PQ.

Then, assuming that $C_{\text{findNC}}$, $N_{\text{iteration}}$ and $B_d$ are the computational complexity
of finding the nearest centroid to the feature-value of the block when a block is
inputted, the number of iteration of the $k$-means clustering algorithm [6] and the bit
length of hash key of the $d$-th feature, the search complexity to find a hash function
based on PQ, $C_{\text{findHF}}$, is as follows.

$$
C_{\text{findHF}} = \sum_{d=1}^{m} C_{\text{findNC}} \ast N_{\text{total}} \ast N_{\text{iteration}} \ast 2^{B_d},
$$

where the sum of $B_d$ for $m$ features is equal to $B$. In the Eq. (8), $N_{\text{iteration}}$ is assumed
to be equal to that of the average of the previous picture, for simplicity. As a result,
the $C_{\text{findHF}}$ depends on only $B$ and can be estimated as follows.

$$
C_{\text{findHF}}^k \approx \left( \sum_{d=1}^{m} 2^{B_d} \right) \ast C_{\text{findHF}}^{k-1} = \beta_{\text{est}} \ast C_{\text{findHF}}^{k-1},
$$

where $B_d^{k-1}$ and $B_d^k$ are the bit length of hash key of the $d$-th feature for $(k-1)$-th
and $k$-th pictures in the same temporal layer, respectively.

On the other hand, $C_{\text{bottom-up}}$ and $C_{\text{buildHT}}$ does not depends on $B$. Consequently,
$C_{\text{total}}$ can be estimated with the Eq. (7) and (9) as follows.

$$
C_{\text{total}}^k \approx \alpha_{\text{est}} \ast C_{\text{hash-search}}^k + \beta_{\text{est}} \ast C_{\text{findHF}}^k + C_{\text{bottom-up}}^k + C_{\text{buildHT}}^k,
$$

where the $\alpha_{\text{est}}$ and $\beta_{\text{est}}$ are determined from the average of $\alpha_{\text{real}}$ and $\beta_{\text{real}}$ the
previous 3 pictures, respectively. The $\alpha_{\text{real}}$ and $\beta_{\text{real}}$ are values calculated from the
real search complexity from obtained after encoding a picture. And $B$ and $m$ are
initially set to 12 and 3, respectively, and $B_d$ is equally assigned for 3 features.

Fig. 3 shows the average number of search points for an $8 \times 8$ PU in TZS and
hash-based IME search (HBS) picture by picture, where the bit length of hash key of the $k$-th picture means that used hash functions of the $k$-th picture. This shows
that by adjusting $B$ the search complexity of a hash-based IME search can be kept
almost constant. And it is still working well even the scene-change point where the
average number of search candidates for the TZS algorithm reduces drastically. For
the experiment, we set the number of search points for $8 \times 8$ PUs in the hash-based

![Fig. 3. The average number of search points for an $8 \times 8$ PU in TZS and HBS](image-url)
search to a fixed 100. Thus, the average of search points of HBS may be larger than that of TZS in scenes where there is little change in the input image.

**How to find a hash function:** Fig. 4 shows a simplified flowchart of the algorithm to find a hash function suitable for each CTU, which consists of two paths: reusing and finding hash functions. To reduce the computational complexity for finding hash function, first it determines in the reusing step whether or not one of hash functions for the previous coded CTUs is used for a hash function of the current CTU, CTU\textsubscript{cur}. Otherwise, it tries to find a new hash function that minimizes similarity distortion.

We employ a heuristic that there exists a positive linear correlation between the similarity of two CTUs and the similarity of their hash functions to reduce the computational complexity \( C_{\text{findHF}} \). Therefore, we first find the CTU (CTU\textsubscript{MS}) most similar to the CTU\textsubscript{cur} among the previous coded CTUs by using a similarity measure between two CTUs and another similarity measure of their hash functions which are defined as follows, respectively.

\[
\text{similarity} (\text{CTU}_1, \text{CTU}_2) = |\mu_1 - \mu_2| + |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \quad (11)
\]

\[
\text{similarity} (h_1, h_2) = |F_1 - F_2|, \quad (12)
\]

where \( \mu_{jk} \) and \( \sigma_{jk} \) are the mean and the standard deviation of DC of blocks in the CTU\textsubscript{jk}, respectively, and \( F_{jk} \) is a complexity factor of the distribution of hash keys for CTU\textsubscript{jk} with its corresponding hash function \( h_{jk} \).

After finding CTU\textsubscript{MS}, its hash function \( h_{MS} \) is selected as the initial hash function of the CTU\textsubscript{jk}, \( h_{jk} \). The complexity factor \( F_{jk} \) is calculated from the distribution of hash keys, which are generated from sampled blocks in CTU\textsubscript{cur} with the hash function \( h_{jk} \) to further reduce \( C_{\text{findHF}} \). Experiments show that it does not degrade in performance if the sampling ratio is larger than 1/8. If \( F_{jk} \) is close to \( F_{MS} \), \( h_{jk} \) is used as the hash function of CTU\textsubscript{cur}, \( h_{jk} \). Otherwise, the finding step is performed.

It is practically impossible to find the optimal set of important features for hash keys at runtime because there exist too many search candidates and the coding performance and quality for each search must be evaluated through encoding. Thus, we decided to determine the number of features off-line just to reduce the search complexity of hash functions at runtime. As summarized in Fig. 5, when the target
complexity is set to 100, 200, 300, 500 and 1000, and the number of features are 2, 3, and 4, the total complexity and the coding performance are compared after encoding the first 32 frames of all the sequences in Table II. Through this experiment, we found that the number of features \( m \) depends on the \( C_{\text{target}} \). If the \( C_{\text{target}} \) is roughly less than \( 400 \text{K} \times C_{\text{BM}} \), it is appropriate to set it to 3, otherwise 2. Consequently, the number of features is limited to be 3, based on the assumption that \( C_{\text{target}} \) is less than \( 400 \text{K} \times C_{\text{BM}} \).

At runtime, the hash function is adaptively determined through three processes such as feature selection, bit allocation, and k-means clustering based on PQ. In the feature selection, one feature with the greatest variance is first chosen from the pre-selected feature set to distinguish blocks in the current CTU. Then features with smaller correlation with already selected features are chosen to have a set of selected features with more expressive power. In the bit allocation, the bit-length for each feature are determined in order of the variances based on the greedy algorithm. Finally, a hash function is determined through the k-means clustering algorithm based on PQ, which minimizes the sum of the difference between feature-values and its nearest centroids as follow.

\[
\text{minimize} \left( \sum_{d=1}^{m} \sum_{e=1}^{N_{\text{total}}} |f_d(X_e) - C(q(f_d(X_e)))| \right), \quad (13)
\]

where \( X, f(X), q(f(X)) \) and \( C(q(f(X))) \) are a \( 4 \times 4 \) input block, a feature-value of \( X \), a quantized value of \( f(X) \) and the nearest centroid of \( f(X) \), respectively.

After finding a hash function, the complexity factor \( F^O \) is calculated. Mostly, the \( F^O \) is smaller than \( F^i \), but it is not always true. Thus, in case of \( F^O > F^i \), the hash function \( h^i \) is chosen finally.

Both \( C_{\text{findHF}} \) and \( C_{\text{hash-search}} \) are dependent on the threshold value \( T_h \) to determine the ratio for reusing hash functions. Let’s assumed that \( p_{\text{finding}} \) is the number of CTU of performing the finding step divided by the total number of CTU. As \( p_{\text{finding}} \) increases, \( C_{\text{findHF}} \) is increased but \( C_{\text{hash-search}} \) is mostly decreased because \( F^O \) is on the average smaller than \( F^i \). Therefore, it is necessary to find the optimal threshold that minimizes \( C_{\text{total}} \).

According to the experiment results, there are two cases. In the first case, \( C_{\text{total}} \) is minimized when the threshold is 0 as shown in Fig. 6(a), which is mainly

![Fig. 5. The total complexity and the coding performance when the target complexity is set to 100, 200, 300, 500 and 1000 for three different number of features.](image-url)
occurred when the bit length of the hash key is short and F is large. This is because $C_{\text{findHF}}$ is much smaller than $C_{\text{hash-search}}$ so that there is not much room for further reduction of the $C_{\text{findHF}}$. In the second case, there is a minimum value of $C_{\text{total}}$ at a threshold value other than zero as shown in Fig. 6(b). Note that the first case occurs if $C_{\text{findHF}}$ is smaller than $C_{\text{hash-search}}$ when the threshold is 0, otherwise the second case. In the second case the minimum of $C_{\text{total}}$ occurs when the threshold value is between 0.02 and 0.05, and the variation of $C_{\text{total}}$ in the range is not large. Based on these observations, the threshold of the k-th picture is determined as follows.

$$Th^k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C_{\text{findHF}}(Th=0) < C_{\text{hash-search}}(Th=0) \\ 0.03 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $C_{\text{findHF}}(Th=0)$ and $C_{\text{hash-search}}(Th=0)$ are the average value of $C_{\text{findHF}}$ and $C_{\text{hash-search}}$ of the (k-1)-th picture when the threshold is 0. But thresholds used for all CTUs of the (k-1)-th picture are not always equal to 0, thus they are not available. In this case, $C_{\text{findHF}}(Th=0)$ and $C_{\text{hash-search}}(Th=0)$ are estimated by assuming that the complexity of the reusing step is zero as follows, respectively.

$$C_{\text{findHF}}(Th=0) \approx C_{\text{findHF}}/P_{\text{finding}}, \quad C_{\text{hash-search}}(Th=0) \approx C_{\text{hash-search}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

In Eq. (14), we selected the fixed threshold to 0.03, based on the observations because there is no large difference in the value of $C_{\text{total}}$ according to the variation of $Th$, which can be negligible compared to the estimation error of the complexity of the current picture with the complexity of the previous picture.

### 5 Proposed hash-based integer motion estimation

Fig. 7 shows the pseudo code for the proposed hash based IME of each PU, which are consisted of 2 stages: hash-table selection stage and hash-based search. The hash-table selection stage is performed before hash-based search. At first, we select a CTU with the smallest lower bound of the CTU-level RD cost which can be calculated by finding the motion vector of block with the shortest distance to AMVP among all PUs in the CTU$_{jk}$, assuming that distortion is zero. If CTU$_{jk}$ is included in the IME search range and if its lower bound of the RD cost is smaller than the current minimum RD cost, CTU$_{\text{min}}$, its corresponding hash table HT$_{jk}$ and hash function h$_{jk}$ are fetched for block matching.

Secondly, hash-based search is performed where a hash key is generated for each $4 \times 4$ subblock. A hash-key, $k_s$ is calculated with h$_{jk}$ for each $4 \times 4$ subblock in the current PU where $s$ is the index of subblocks in the raster-scan
The pseudo code of the proposed hash-based IME for a PU order in PU_{cur}. The subblocks with hash key, key_s in HT_{jk} are included in the s-th candidate list for PU_{cur}. Denoting that the t-th subblock is taken from the s-th candidate list and the position of the top-left pixel of the subblock is \((x_{st}, y_{st})\), the position of the top-left pixel of the reference PU for block-matching, refPU_{st}, can be derived by aligning both the current PU and the reference PU at the s-th subblock position corresponding to key_s and is calculated as follows.

\[
(x_{st} - \text{mod}(s, 2) \times 4, y_{st} - \text{floor}(s, 2) \times 4, \quad (16)
\]

where floor(s, 2) and mod(s, 2) are the quotient and remainder of dividing s by 2.

Note that it is possible that a referenced PU can be referenced again from different candidate lists. To avoid duplication computation, it can be checked whether the block is referenced before performing block matching. Experimental results show that the number of block matching can increase about 15 to 20% without the duplication check. Note that if the distortion calculated between the current PU and a reference PU is zero, the hash-based IME can be terminated because a perfectly matching PU is already found. Otherwise, this process is repeated until all reference PUs in the candidate-lists are searched and all reference CTUs in the search area are referenced and all reference pictures are referenced.

6 Experimental results

The proposed IME search algorithm was integrated into HM-16.9+SCM8.0 [8]. And 12 video sequences for screen contest [9] listed up in Table II were used for simulation tests. The coding performance is measured by the BD-rate [10], which is obtained with the weighted average of the Y, U and V components of 6, 1 and 1 for 4 quantization parameter values: 22, 27, 32 and 37 under lowdelayB common test condition. As an anchor for BD-rate calculation, the algorithm in [2] is used.

Table II shows comparison of total search complexity and BD-rate of reference algorithms and our algorithm. The “Avg. \(C_{total}\)” means the average search complexity of the full sequence. For comparison, [2], [4], [7] are used. They employ TZS, TZS+HBS and TZS+bottom-up search (BTS) for IME, respectively. Note that the search range for TZS and HBS are set to ±64 and the full-range, respectively. The total search complexity of [2] and [7] adopted TZS is considered IME search.
complexity only. And the total search complexity of [4] is included the complexity for IME search and building a hash table. On the other hand, ours combines HBS and BTS for IME and the search range is set to \( C_{320} \). And the target complexity is set to the level equivalent to the complexity of \( 120 \) \( C_{120} \), \( 150 \) \( C_{150} \) and \( 200 \) \( C_{200} \), which are denoted as 120, 150 and 200 in the table, respectively. However, when the target complexity is 120 \( C_{120} \) and 200 \( C_{200} \), even though the complexity is controlled, the total search complexity is not precisely controlled. This is because the total search complexity of most sequences is ranging from 100 to 200. And, \text{sc}_\text{map} \) and \text{sc}_\text{web} \) \text{browsing} \) doesn’t change the total complexity even though the target complexity is changed. Because, in that sequences, the IME search is early terminated due to lots of perfectly matching blocks, thus there is not much room to control search complexity. According to our experiment, the proposed algorithm gives an additional coding gain of about 5~8\% relative to [2] and [7]. It can reduce the overall search complexity to about half on average, while keeping the coding efficiency similar to [4].

7 Conclusion

We have proposed a hash-based search for integer motion estimation in this paper. The proposed method selectively changes hash functions in the CTU level to keep the search complexity constant. To generate CTU-level hash functions, we employ two methods: finding a hash function based on PQ and reusing the hash function for the previous CTU. To improve the coding efficiency, we generate hash keys for all \( 4 \times 4 \) block within each PU and use all blocks whose hash keys are equal to any of one of these hash keys in the IME process as search candidate. Consequently, the proposed algorithm provides similar or better coding performance for screen contents while keeping the complexity at a lower level and constant compared with the reference algorithms.

| sequence name       | Avg. \( C_{\text{total}} \) (K) | BD-rate (%) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
|                     | [2] | [4] | [7] | 120 | 150 | 200 | [4] | [7] | 120 | 150 | 200 |
| sc._flyingGraphics  | 441 | 656 | 88 | 124 | 150 | 199 | -0.92 | 0.35 | 1.91 | 1.04 | -0.73 |
| sc._desktop         | 97  | 102 | 19 | 120 | 150 | 185 | -18.8 | 0.16 | -15.6 | -19.1 | -19.3 |
| sc._console         | 248 | 260 | 50 | 121 | 150 | 191 | -19.6 | 0.23 | -13.7 | -18.7 | -19.5 |
| MissionControlClip3 | 90  | 148 | 18 | 120 | 150 | 182 | -8.78 | 0.10 | -8.83 | -12.0 | -12.3 |
| sc._web.browsing    | 61  | 39  | 12 | 113 | 113 | 113 | -31.0 | 0.10 | -24.7 | -31.8 | -32.2 |
| sc._map             | 30  | 51  | 6  | 120 | 123 | 123 | -0.40 | 0.28 | -0.43 | -0.56 | -0.59 |
| sc._programming     | 82  | 186 | 16 | 118 | 150 | 175 | -1.33 | 0.12 | -0.94 | -3.04 | -3.21 |
| SlideShow           | 304 | 325 | 61 | 124 | 150 | 195 | -0.1  | 0.14 | -0.91 | -0.81 | -0.79 |
| sc._robot           | 139 | 321 | 28 | 124 | 150 | 210 | 0.00  | 0.26 | 0.77  | 0.62  | 0.60  |
| Basketball_Screen   | 225 | 289 | 45 | 122 | 150 | 191 | -3.42 | 0.29 | -3.18 | -4.11 | -4.15 |
| MissionControlClip2 | 208 | 250 | 44 | 125 | 150 | 194 | -5.63 | 0.20 | -4.30 | -7.94 | -8.01 |
| ChinaSpeed          | 545 | 780 | 104 | 130 | 150 | 203 | -0.22 | 0.31 | 1.50  | 0.91  | 0.54  |
| screen contents avg.| 206 | 284 | 41 | 122 | 145 | 180 | -7.52 | 0.21 | -5.70 | -7.96 | -8.30 |
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