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ABSTRACT

Prevention is a very topical issue that any modern health system cannot ignore. The discussion about the concept of cardiovascular (CV) prevention is very wide and has been lasting for a long time. In this context, the research has never been stopped. A schematic classification of different types of prevention, as well as raised by the literature, implies some limitations, not always suitable to our complex patients. According to evidence-based medicine we should refer to the best available guidelines. Unfortunately the quality of evidence-based guidelines is far from optimal. The Federation of Associations of Hospital Doctors on Internal Medicine (FADOI) faced the problem of CV prevention in the higher risk complex patients with its experts in ischemic heart disease, heart failure, stroke, chronic kidney disease, peripheral arterial disease and diabetes mellitus, by asking the following questions: i) which are the methods of risk assessment and prognostic stratification (also with respect to the existing comorbidities)?; ii) which are the tailored actions to implement for the individual patient? For the purposes of a CV risk evaluation in complex patient we cannot be satisfied with a single high baseline risk strategy: we should resize our assessment parameters to the real world, implementing a high multidimensional CV complexity risk assessment strategy, in respect of an anthropological approach to the complexity of our patients. Essentially, hospital internists are called to exercise a proactive role of experts for each single complex patient, also in CV prevention.
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Presentation: this is not a simple matter

Atherosclerosis is a chronic, multifactorial disease that affects the arteries of large and medium caliber, characterized by an intimal focal injury, protruding inside the arterial lumen and causing its progressive re-
Atherosclerotic lesions have generally a focal distribution within individual arteries and affect multiple arterial branches. Elastic and muscular arteries, both of medium and large caliber, represent the most frequent sites affected by the atherogenic process. Main localizations, by frequency, are the distal portion of the abdominal aorta, the coronary arteries, the lower extremities (iliac, femoral and popliteal) arteries, the descending portion of the thoracic aorta, the carotid, vertebral arteries and the Willis circle. While intimal lesions begin to early develop during the first decades of life, clinical manifestations appear in adulthood, when there is a significant impairment of blood flow or in the presence of complications. Myocardial and cerebral infarction, aortic aneurysms and peripheral arterial disease are some of the most frequent clinical consequences of atherosclerosis. The objectives of Medicine are to promote and maintain health, or to restore it when compromised, in order to minimize suffering and disability of our patients. Cardiovascular diseases (CV) are the leading causes of deaths in Europe and worldwide. Also in Italy they represent one of the major public health problems, with massive implications on economic resources, as important causes of mortality, morbidity and disability. The most frequent CV pathologies, ranging from the so-called chronic non-communicable diseases are, specifically, ischemic heart disease (acute myocardial infarction and angina pectoris), cerebral-vascular disease (ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke), peripheral artery disease, chronic kidney disease, plus some morbid conditions burdened by a high prevalence and incidence, such as diabetes mellitus, metabolic diseases and heart failure. Strategies addressed to correcting the risk factors for a disease within a population are basically three: i) addressed to the whole population (community strategy); ii) targeted at individuals characterized by high levels of a single risk factor; iii) targeted at individuals with high levels of overall risk (i.e., a mix of various levels of exposure to different risk factors). Differentiating between primordial, primary (avoiding the occurrence of the disease in healthy people), secondary, and tertiary prevention (avoid the progression of the disease and complications in the sick people) is not an obvious or simple concept. It requires a definition upon the notion of disease that allows distinguishing between healthy and sick people. These categories are arbitrary and are used in order to systematize a complex matter for the organization of the health system, but possible overlaps between both of them cannot be ruled out. The concept of primary and secondary prevention does not highlight that atherosclerosis is an ongoing, evolving process: the subclinical organ damage, rather than the overt event, should be the reference designed to protect the patient earlier on detection of risk factors. The distinction between these situations was traditionally defined by the presence or absence of major CV events with clinically explicit symptoms (such as chest pain, focal neurological symptoms, claudication, etc.). Today, thanks also to non-invasive diagnostic imaging, it is possible to detect some organ damage and/or subclinical, often still asymptomatic, pathological situations, ranging from the presence of an intima-media thickening to the detection of the alteration of the pressure-ankle/arm ratio, of coronary calcifications and/or of atherosclerotic plaques or micro-albuminuria. The introduction of several diagnostic techniques has facilitated the ability to make a diagnosis of the disease, even in the absence of overt symptoms. Subclinical disease is an issue of great epidemiological importance in internal medicine (IM): we must have the ability to research the iceberg, underlying diseases, on the basis of an overall assessment. For those reasons, the priorities of assessment are suggested in the literature according to four levels: i) patients with a diagnosed disease; ii) asymptomatic individuals at high risk for CV mortality; iii) first-degree relatives of patients with premature CV disease; and iv) other patients encountered in routine clinical practice. Hence, we have the need for prevention measures based on the individual assessment of CV risk of each single patient, therefore with interventions on multiple risk factors and treatment of all associated clinical conditions. The strategy should be substantially to treat the risk level, rather than the risk factor. The American Heart Association (AHA), the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) and the Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) have recently emphasized the focus on CV prevention, addressing the issue in terms of lifestyle, considering the presence of overweight and/or obesity and hypercholesterolemia in adults, and on the importance of antithrombotic therapy. To these were added the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines, with the emerging recommendations about the importance of CV risk stratification and the reduction of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) in primary and secondary prevention. Specifically, they identified four categories of people at risk, requiring specific attention by doctors for the CV prevention: i) subjects with atherosclerotic CV clinically apparent disease; ii) subjects with LDL cholesterol levels >190 mg/dL and with familial hypercholesterolemia; iii) diabetes aged 40-75 years, with LDL cholesterol levels between 70 and 189 mg/dL and without evidence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; iv) individuals without evidence of CV disease or diabetes, but whose LDL cholesterol levels are between 70 and 189 mg/dL and 10-year CV atherosclerotic risk is above 7.5%. In IM the management of patients at higher CV risk or with established CV disease can be particularly problematic.
owing to the complexity of patients, strongly influencing the doctor’s decision making process. According to a recent cross-sectional study in subjects with coronary heart disease aged over 45 years,18 we have to consider different factors, such as: i) the co-existence of other chronic diseases: osteoarthritis (in 56.7% of the subjects examined), heart failure (29%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes mellitus (in 25%), stroke (13.8%); ii) alteration of laboratory data and the presence of factors suggestive of clinical complexity: reduced glomerular filtration rate (in 24.4% of patients), anemia (in 10.1%), abnormal transaminases (in 5.9%), taking more than four drugs (in 54.5% of cases), urinary incontinence (in 48.6%), dizziness or falls (in 34.8%), oral anticoagulant therapy (in 10.2%); iii) general factors indicative of an altered state of health: cognitive impairment (found in 29.9%), difficult moving (40.4% of cases), lack of vision or hearing (in 16.7 and 17.9% of cases, respectively). Patients in IM are old or very old (mean age 78.2 years), with high number of comorbidities (≥3 diseases) and with 6 or more concomitant treatments. Only 35% of patients are completely autonomous and at least 33% of the patients are bedridden. Over 80% needs some support at home for the care and treatment, with almost 50% helping some continuously care need.19 Since 1996 the Federation of Associations of Hospital Doctors on Internal Medicine (FADOI) deals with CV risk. In two studies (FAPOI-1-1996 and FAPOI-2-1999) in the analysis of comparison of the treatment of patients at risk of CV discharged from wards of Internal Medicine, on 6450 (235 wards) and 8133 patients (345 wards) respectively emerged a greater propensity to the use of statins, in front of a still apparent under-treatment of some CV risk conditions, such as hypertension, especially in women.20 In the NIMEC (National Internal Medicine Equivalent/Complex C-V-@Risk) study,21 performed on 902 subjects [457 M, 445 F, mean age 72 years, body mass index 26.7 kg/m² (range 26.3 to 27.1 kg/m²)] of the total 1316 patients hospitalized in wards of Internal Medicine, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MS) according to the Adult Treatment Panel III (ATP III) criteria was 45.3% [95% confidence interval (CI): 41.6 to 49.1] and 38.6% (95% CI: 34.9 to 42.3), according to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) criteria. The epidemiological data by the joint FADOI-SIMI (Società Italiana di Medicina Interna - Italian Association of Internal Medicine) analysis on the day a meter for life, carried out on 1877 people in 2015 on the out-hospital national setting, considering the measurement of waist circumference, blood pressure and blood sugar levels, highlighted an overall prevalence of MS in 17.4% of males and 11.4% of females.22 Arterial hypertension is one of the most frequent findings in patients admitted in IM wards.23 In spite the fact that the evidence to support use of most surrogate outcomes for policy making is weak, blood pressure is viewed as one of the few surrogate outcomes with a sufficiently robust evidence base to guide policy.24,25 In complex patients, comorbidities involve significant interrelationships between common risk factors, whose control may facilitate the management.26 A striking example is represented by COPD, in which increased CV morbidity and mortality are proven.27,28 Moreover we have to consider possible inequalities in the access to care (a tool introduced about is the Townsend deprivation score),29 the associations and clusters of diseases,30 which can affect the prognosis of patients, such as, for example, in the case of atrial fibrillation. Comorbidities may result in an increased CV risk not only for the potential risk factors common to other diseases, such as smoking, obesity, hypertension and alcohol abuse, but also for the pathophysiology of the disease itself. CV risk may be underestimated in patients with some comorbidities or treatment for other diseases.31 Several studies investigated the association between atherosclerotic disease and some infectious microorganisms, such as hepatitis A virus,32 herpes simplex virus,33 Chlamydia pneumoniae,34 and HIV;35 however, not only the precise mechanisms remain unclear and a controversy also exists over whether such an association really exists. HIV, for example, regardless of treatment, could amplify the pro-atherogenic process.36 In addition, several drugs may be involved in atherogenesis, such as highly active antiretroviral therapy, corticosteroids, acitretin, and cyclosporine. Similarly, also the hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection may result in increased CV risk37,38 and a higher incidence of coronary events in HCV-seropositive patients was highlighted, but with a much higher incidence in patients with detectable HCV RNA than those with only HCV positivity, just to legitimate the doubt that the lipid profile cannot always be an useful tool in CV risk stratification in patients with HCV infection.39 Moreover, we should not underestimate the role of inflammation, with pro-inflammatory cytokines and leptin as important agents in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis40 These mechanisms are shared with several other conditions, apparently unrelated to each other, but with similar pathogenic ways, such to define a specific framework disease state [the so-called immune mediated inflammatory disorders (IMIDs)], which in the general population may reach a prevalence of up to 7.5%. The spectrum of chronic diseases IMID-related is very broad: allergy, asthma, Bechêt’s disease, COPD, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, multiple sclerosis, psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, spondylo-arthropathies, sarcoidosis, uveitis, vasculitis, and so on.41 In the concept of a comprehensive approach to IM care we believe that in complex patients, when the risk/benefit of preventive and therapeutic interventions may be influenced by comorbidities and
multiple health needs, CV risk stratification should be further improved. In some specific cases, even if asymptomatic, we have to consider the real vascular age of patients, recurring to more aggressive treatments.\textsuperscript{6} The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (ATP III) identified in 2004 as equivalent of CV risks some clinical manifestations of atherosclerotic disease such as peripheral vascular disease, abdominal aortic aneurysm, symptomatic carotid artery disease, diabetes mellitus and all the multiple risk factors that confer more than 20% 10-year risk of CV disease according to the Framingham risk score (FRS).\textsuperscript{53,44} The ATP III also identified the main features of the metabolic syndrome: abdominal obesity, atherogenic dyslipidemia, hypertension, insulin resistance \pm glucose intolerance, proposing to include any pro-inflammatory state and pro-thrombotic state, but without enough evidence to introduce these parameters routinely. A pro-inflammatory state (clinically recognizable with increased C-reactive protein) and a pro-thrombotic state (increased PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 and fibrinogen)\textsuperscript{45} are often present in the metabolic syndrome: they could be responsible not only for a higher CV risk, but also can underlie some other conditions, such as sarcopenic obesity,\textsuperscript{46,47} polycystic ovary syndrome, fatty liver disease, cholelithiasis, asthma and some forms of cancer. To these pathological conditions we could add, in the presence of a physiological history of preterm birth with low weight (low birth weight - expression of a thrifty phenotype),\textsuperscript{49} another often unrecognized CV risk factor in adulthood for obesity, metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, high blood pressure variability as well as, among the volutary habits, inclination to smoking, more appetite and alcohol attitude.\textsuperscript{49-51} In the overall assessment of CV risk we have to consider the presence of previous atrial fibrillation, asymptomatic carotid disease, depression and/or cognitive dysfunction and/or vascular dementia, concealed kidney failure,\textsuperscript{52,53} obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS),\textsuperscript{54} erectile dysfunction\textsuperscript{55} and previous hospitalization for pneumonia,\textsuperscript{56} all of these as emerging factors for higher CV risk. Controversial, also with regard to the cost/benefit of laboratory assays, is the role of testosterone\textsuperscript{57,58} and vitamin D deficiency.\textsuperscript{59,60} Finally, we should not forget some further aspects, almost completely ignored, such as the periodontal disease resulting in early edentulous conditions, related to an increased CV risk.\textsuperscript{61,62} The overall clinical evaluation, together with the consideration of associated morbidities, as well as of some anthropometric assessments and of a few initial laboratory tests (to which obviously we may add echo-ultrasound examinations to investigate the state of the arteries) is crucial.

Questions submitted to the FADOI experts

The questions asked to the FADOI experts for the CV prevention in the higher risk complex patients in each individual pathology (considering previous and/or the clinical evidence of ischemic heart disease,\textsuperscript{63} heart failure, stroke, chronic kidney disease, peripheral arterial disease, diabetes mellitus) are the following:

i) which methods of risk assessment and prognostic stratification (also with respect to the existing comorbidities)?;

ii) which actions are to implement, tailored for the individual patient?

Answers to the raised questions by the interviewed experts internists for every single disease

Ischemic heart disease

Risk assessment and prognostic stratification

Over 50% of the reduction in mortality from coronary heart disease (CHD) is due to the reduction in risk factors, as well as to more appropriate non-pharmacological and pharmacological treatments. The EUROPA\textsuperscript{III} study, performed on 8966 patients recruited from 22 European countries, however, found that only a small portion of subjects show an effective and satisfactory control of CV risk factors.\textsuperscript{64}

Recommendations in patients with chronic ischemic heart disease

Due to the complexity of our patients we just limit our recommendations to some schematic criteria:

- Behavior strategies (educational/psychological interventions/changes in lifestyles);\textsuperscript{65}
- Avoid smoking;\textsuperscript{66}
- Healthy diet and physical activity;\textsuperscript{67}
- Body weight (weight reduction in obese or overweight people);\textsuperscript{68}
- Blood arterial pressure,\textsuperscript{69} in particular we suggest to: i) consider antihypertensive strategies; ii) avoid diuretics and β-blockers in patients at high risk for developing diabetes mellitus;\textsuperscript{70} iii) recommend ACE-inhibitors (ACEi) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) for patients with diabetes\textsuperscript{71} and antiplatelet drugs in hypertensive patients;\textsuperscript{72}
- Lipids (cholesterol reduction);\textsuperscript{73}
- Antiplatelet agents (careful evaluation of antiplatelet drugs administration);\textsuperscript{74}
- Blood glucose control, HbA1c should be reduced to levels <7.0%,\textsuperscript{75} whilst metformin should be the drug of first choice, unless contraindicated.\textsuperscript{76}
Which are the methods of risk assessment and prognostic stratification (also with respect to the existing comorbidities)?

Risk assessment and prognostic stratification in the prevention of cardio-vascular system in patients with overt ischemic heart disease has to be made on the basis of an overall assessment, with a careful evaluation of the associated co-morbidities, even if underlying: i) for the CV risk assessment in patients with CHD lifestyle habits, voluptuary attitudes and physical activity, weight and blood pressure control, drugs taken and adherence to prescribed medications are particularly important; ii) existing comorbidities (overt diabetes mellitus, kidney failure - also if concealed -, equivalent of CV and/or associated complex conditions) should be considered; iii) all therapies carried out and their connected adverse reactions (hypoglycemia, hypotension, bleeding, worsening of renal function, etc.) should be considered; iv) in the antithrombotic treatment, both the risk of thrombosis and bleeding for each individual patient should be properly reasoned; v) when assessing the risk and prognostic stratification we should clearly identify, also for the purpose of continuity and coordination of care, all the patients at higher CV risk, deserving them a dedicated preventive control.

Which tailored actions shall be implemented for the individual patient?

Actions that should be implemented in CHD patients are summarized in Table 1.

Heart failure

Heart failure definition

Heart failure (HF) is a complex clinical syndrome caused by a structural and/or functional abnormality, cause of ventricular filling and/or its ejection damage, leading to the loss of the heart ability to supply adequate amounts of blood to metabolic tissues needs, if not by increasing the left ventricular end-diastolic pressure.77,78

Ejection fraction and heart failure classification

The emphasis on ejection fraction (EF) in the classification of HF is related to its relationship with some patient’s characteristics (comorbidities, prognostic factors and their response to therapy). Patients enrolled in most trials are selected on the basis of this parameter. HF classification according to EF is reported by Yancy et al.79

Table 1. Actions to implement in the individual patient.

| Actions | Class |
|---------|-------|
| Educational/behavioral strategies | I |
| Abolishing all exposure to smoking | I |
| Low in saturated fat, salt, relatively rich with fish diet, red wine (20 g/day in men 10 g/day in women) | I |
| Physical activity (3 at least 0 min 3 times per week) | I |
| Weight reduction | I |
| Blood pressure reduction (by any drug) | I |
| Lowering LDL cholesterol <70 mg/dL | I |
| Antiplatelet agents (double association only for the first 12 months), then ASA | I |
| Blood glucose control | IIa |
| HbA1c <7.0% | |
| Metformin as the drug of choice | |
| Avoid weight gain and hypoglycemia | I |
| All patients requiring hospitalization or invasive procedure after an acute coronary event should participate in a rehabilitation program in order to improve the prognosis through changes in lifestyle and treatment adherence | IIa |

LDL, low-density lipoprotein; ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BB, β-blockers; ARBs, angiotensin II receptor blockers.
Heart failure epidemiology

The prevalence of HF among the general population is between 2% and 3%, with a marked increase after the age of 75 (with percentages ranging from 10 to 20%); over 60 years 10% of male subjects and 6% of females present a clinical picture of HF. In European countries, HF accounts for 5% of the causes of hospitalization and high health service costs (approximately 2% of health spending), owing to hospital stays, emergency department visits and repeated medical consultations.

Which are the methods of risk assessment and prognostic stratification (also with respect to the existing comorbidities)?

The New York Heart Association (NYHA) Functional Classification provides a simple way of classifying the extent of heart failure (Appendix Table 1). Despite progress in therapy, the overall prognosis of HF is still unfavorable, with 5-year mortality after diagnosis quite high (approximately 50%), similar to that of many cancers. Appendix Table 2 lists the most frequent clinical conditions associated with a worse prognosis in HF as several issues.

Which tailored actions shall be implemented for the individual patient?

Some actions are essential to ensure a proper care in heart failure:
- HF patients have to be aware of their condition through an educational program, to help them in improving self-care and their quality of life, mostly for preventing acute exacerbations and improving the prognosis, under the supervision by general practitioners.
- Non-pharmacological interventions in dietary and behavioral measure are essential, such as a lower salt diet, reducing fluid intake, physical training and abolition of cigarette smoking: i) a dietary regime that provides an intake of 1.5 g/day of sodium for the majority of patients with HF in stage A and B is recommended; for patients belonging to stages C and D, although in absence of currently sufficient information in literature, a sodium consumption lower than 3 g/day may improve symptoms; ii) in the patients with severe HF it should also be encouraged the reduction of daily fluid intake (max 1.5-2 L/day); the body weight water restriction (30 mL/kg body weight or 35 mL/kg if body weight exceeds 85 kg) can reduce their thirst.
- Special attention must be paid to co-morbidities, such as sleep disorders (OSAS), obesity (but even to a low body mass index up to cachexia) and depression.
- We should also carefully assess the side effects of some commonly used drugs [such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)].
- A proper social support should be guaranteed and an active life should be encouraged, by growing social relationships.
- A flu and pneumococcal vaccine has to be provided.
- Highest attention should be paid to any early possible worsening of symptoms and objective signs, highlighted by the patient himself, his family or caregivers or by monitoring some selected data, even with computerized tools.

Management of heart failure: hospital discharge information

Hospital discharge information and communication tools are crucial for the continuity of care: they are considered as main indicators of the quality of HF process management. Improving information transfer from hospital to primary care requires some important steps such as: i) describing etiological diagnosis, associated comorbidities, risk stratification; ii) explaining laboratory data, instrumental tests, specialist consultations; iii) explaining all the drugs prescribed during hospitalization and medications recommended after discharge; iii) monitoring and pursuing optimal dry weight heart rate, blood pressure; iv) defining the follow-up program of a short term (7-10 days) and in the medium-long term; v) supporting nursing and social care at home needed; vi) explaining some preferential telephone contacts provided; vii) providing an accurate patient and family education program, for the self-management (including weight measurement and red flags alerts).

Management of heart failure pharmacological interventions

Pharmacological interventions are very important in improving the symptoms related to congestion and/or low flow rate, in preventing hospital readmissions and reducing mortality. In patients with HF with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), ACEi or ARBs, β-blockers and anti-aldosterone agents are very useful in changing the course and prognosis of HF. The treatment should be initiated, with the majority of drugs, at low doses, with subsequent progressive and gradual titration up to the maximum dosage, as indicated by the guidelines and well tolerated by the patient. A flexible scheme in the assumption of diuretics (better if self-managed) would be used to reduce signs and symptoms of congestion. A lot of attention must be paid to drug interactions and their effects (for instance, on electrolyte balance), especially in the presence of some possible comorbidities (such as, renal failure). Until now, in HF with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction no one-drug treatment has proven to reduce morbidity and mortal-
ity. Particular care should be used in administering diuretics to avoid a critical decrease in pre-load and in reducing heart rate, to facilitate the ventricular filling, and in ensuring the proper treatment of arterial hypertension and ischemic heart disease.

**End stage heart failure: cardiac resynchronisation therapy, implantable cardioverter defibrillator, ventricular assist devices, heart transplantation**

Selection and management of ventricular assist device or of procedures of cardiac surgery is not among the duties of internal medicine doctors, who, however, must know their indications, selecting when seek the necessary specialist advice.81-83

**Cardiac resynchronisation therapy**

In patients with reduced LV function (EF \(\leq 35\%\)), sinus rhythm, left bundle branch block or echocardiographic signs of ventricular dyssynchrony and QRS width \(\geq 120\) ms, who remain symptomatic (NYHA III-IV) despite optimal medical treatment, cardiac resynchronisation therapy by using biventricular pacing improves symptoms and exercise capacity while decreasing hospitalisations and mortality.

**Implantable cardioverter defibrillator**

In primary prevention of sudden cardiac death (SCD) in heart failure patients with optimal pharmacological treatment, implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) therapy is indicated in selected patients with LVEF \(\sim 30\%\) after myocardial infarction (>40 days) and in patients with ischemic and non-ischemic heart failure (NYHA class II-III) with LVEF \(\sim 35\%\) to reduce mortality. For secondary prevention of SCD, ICD implantation has been shown to reduce mortality in cardiac arrest survivors and in patients with sustained symptomatic ventricular tachyarrhythmias.

**Ventricular assist device**

One of the most promising new alternatives to heart transplantation is the use of ventricular assist devices,84 both as a bridge to transplantation and permanent support.

**Heart transplantation**

The surgical approach for the treatment of end stage heart failure improves exercise capacity, quality of life, and survival compared with conventional treatment. Indications for heart transplantation are: general conditions yet fair, severe symptoms despite optimized therapy; poor prognosis on the short term; absence of therapeutic alternatives (i.e., severe symptoms of ischemia that constantly limit routine activity and are not amenable to coronary artery bypass surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention); hemodynamic compromise due to heart failure, recurrent symptomatic ventricular arrhythmias refractory to all therapeutic modalities absence of contraindications and patient’s motivation after information. Contraindications for heart transplant are: comorbidity (cancer treated in the previous 5 years, kidney or liver failure, multi-organ systemic disease, systemic infection, psychiatric illness); fixed elevated pulmonary vascular resistances and alcohol or drug current abuse.

**Stroke and cerebral vascular accidents**

Stroke is the second leading cause of death in the world (the third in the USA) and the main cause of disability. There are about 500 ischemic attacks and 2400 new strokes per million inhabitants/year. One third of new-onset stroke patients die each year, while just under half lose their independence. Primary and secondary prevention are strategic keys to preventing stroke and reducing significantly its recurrence.85

**Early secondary prevention: transient ischemic attack**

Transient ischemic attack (TIA) is associated with higher risk of incident recurrent and premature stroke since by the first 24 h until the thirtieth day. Therefore, secondary prevention after a TIA has to be initiated immediately.

**Chronic secondary prevention: a strict control of all risk factors is necessary**

**High blood pressure**

Arterial hypertension and age are the most powerful risk factors for stroke and lowering high blood pressure (BP) is mandatory, regardless of the used medications. Moreover, we have to recognize that some drugs are more effective than others, at least in secondary prevention: diuretics and calcium channel blockers seem to be more effective drugs.

**Use of estrogens**

The estrogen replacement therapy in post-menopausal women has not proved to be effective in preventing either primary or secondary CV prevention. The use of estrogen showed a worsening trend, but not significant, toward stroke recurrence.

**Lipid metabolism**

If the relationship between dyslipidemia and coronary artery disease (CAD) is widely recognized, it remains controversial for stroke.

**The treatment of carotid artery disease**

*Carotid endarterectomy (CEA):* There is an evidence-based consensus in asserting that in patients with
TIA or minor stroke and with stenosis of 70% or greater, the endarterectomy is the best option for the prevention of recurrent stroke. Conversely, surgical approach is not useful in stenosis lower than 50%, while for those between 50-69% and with ipsilateral symptoms the endarterectomy outcomes depend on the risk stratification of the patient. Finally, it was observed that the treatments achieved within two weeks from the acute episode have a significant preventive effect greater than those later ones especially in women.

Angioplasty and carotid stenting: Carotid stenting is an appealing, less invasive alternative to carotid endarterectomy, emerged as an important mode of therapy for high-risk patients with symptomatic high-grade stenosis. Angioplasty/stenting are now gaining more and more acceptance, mostly in case of inability to tolerate general anesthesia for CEA, history of damage to the contralateral vocal cord (previous CEA or neck surgery), previous neck surgery on the ipsilateral side, restenosis after CEA.

Antiplatelet agents: The role of platelets in the pathogenesis of atherothrombosis is now well known. The effectiveness of antiplatelet therapy for secondary prevention of stroke has been demonstrated with randomized trials and meta-analysis. Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA), ticlopidine, dipyridamole, cilostazol and trifusal have been studied for their peculiarities of antiplatelet drugs in the secondary prevention of stroke. The most effective results are obtained with ASA and clopidogrel; cilostazol and trifusal have given results similar to ASA.

Combination therapies: Dipyridamole plus ASA was effective in the secondary prevention of stroke or death in the long term, but with increased risk of major bleeding.

Oral anticoagulants: To date, the anticoagulant treatment does not have significant advantages over antiplatelet agents in the prevention of non-cardioembolic stroke, with the danger on the contrary of major bleeding: antiplatelet therapy continues to be the first choice therapy for non-cardioembolic stroke or TIA.

Terutroban: A study with terutroban, specific antagonist receptor of thromboxane A2, was stopped for futile results from the Data Monitoring Committee.

Conclusions: Secondary prevention after TIA or stroke event, it is crucial to address our intervention in preventing further damages that this could still cause. Strategies include the approach to CV risk factors such as blood pressure, diabetes, dyslipidemia, smoking, obesity, sedentary lifestyle. Antiplatelet therapy and more specific interventions such as the carotid revascularization may play a crucial role.

Which are the methods of risk assessment and prognostic stratification (also with respect to the existing comorbidities)?

Several prognostic scores for prediction of early stroke risk after TIA have been developed during the last decade. There are two types of prognostic scores: clinical and clinical-plus scores. The former is based on clinical predictors that are either baseline patient’s features or TIA characteristics and include the California score, ABCD score, and ABCD² score. Clinical-plus scores provide risk assessment based on imaging and other diagnostic test findings in addition to clinical predictors: they include the clinical and imaging-based predictive score (CIP), ABCD² plus imaging score (ABCD²-I), ABCD² plus dual TIA and imaging score (ABCD²-I) and recurrence risk estimator score (RRE). The ABCD² score is one of the most widely used clinical scores: it was generated as clinical score from a combined dataset from two prior scores (California and ABCD score). The risk assessment based on ABCD² score is reported in Appendix Table 3.

Which tailored actions shall be implemented for the individual patient?

The following actions are recommendable:
- Hospital admission, if indicated according to the ABCD² score.
- Early secondary prevention: i) TIA: ABCD² score assessment and early anti-platelet agents treatment; ii) atherothrombotic stroke: anti-platelet agents treatment within 48 h; iii) early carotid thromboendarterectomy [thrombus endarterectomy (TEA) or CEA] within 2 weeks after the acute event can lead to better results, mostly in women.
- Chronic secondary prevention: i) control of CV risk factors (hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, smoking, use of estrogen); ii) antiplatelet therapy; iii) TEA.
- Medical therapy: i) ASA 50-325 mg (100 mg according to experts); clopidogrel 75 mg; ii) ASA (25 mg) and dipyridamole (200 mg) twice daily.

Chronic kidney disease

Cardiovascular diseases are frequently associated with chronic kidney disease (CKD), in which the CV morbidity and mortality significantly increase. In Italy there are currently about 50,000 patients on hemodialysis, 4000 patients on peritoneal dialysis, 20,000 kidney transplanted, 10,000 incident patients on dialysis, five million suffering from CKD, 15 million of hypertensive and 8 million diabetic patients with possible kidney damage.

Mortality from CV disease is 10 to 30 times higher in dialyzed patients than in the general population.

Therefore, patients with CKD should be considered as a group of patients at highest CV risk and recommendations for their treatment should be based on this risk stratification.
Which are the methods of risk assessment and prognostic stratification (also with respect to the existing comorbidities)?

Screening for albuminuria

Proteinuria has a twofold meaning. In patients with proteinuric nephropathy, the rate of progression of CKD is determined by arterial BP levels and the extent of proteinuria: the reduction of proteinuria and BP both have a beneficial effect on renal risk. Proteinuria is also a marker of systemic vascular damage and for this reason reducing proteinuria and controlling hypertension aim to improve CV risk and reduce the overall mortality. Measures of secondary prevention in patients with CKD should be extended to those at risk of progressive renal failure, even though they have never suffered from a known CKD. These subjects may be identified by screening for albuminuria. In addition to patients with diabetes hypertensive, obese and smokers are at risk of albuminuria. The assessment of patients with CKD can be carried out according to the values of GFR and albuminuria [according to the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) classification of CKD: http://www.kdigo.org/clinical_practice_guidelines/pdf/CKD/KDIGO_2012_CKD_GL.pdf].

It is recommended that in all patients with CKD, in each of the five stages, has to be collected the medical history taking into account all CV risk factors, in order to implement all measures of cardiovascular disease secondary prevention. The following aspects must be explored: race and age; smoking and volpuntary habits; body weight; angina and myocardial infarction; previous coronary revascularization; stroke or transient cerebral ischemia; previous carotid surgery or angioplasty; peripheral vascular disease or previous vascular surgery; heart failure; arrhythmias; diabetes.

Which tailored actions shall be implemented for the individual patient?

Non-pharmacological interventions

Obesity is one of the risk factors for developing renal disease, and weight reduction programs can help patients in pre-dialysis to reduce proteinuria, blood pressure and the loss of kidney function. Physical activity combined with prescription of medications for obesity, together with dietary interventions up to bariatric surgery for severe obesity, can help to improve the eligibility of the transplanted kidney. Few data are available about peritoneal dialysis.

Pharmacological prevention

Both patients in 1-3 CKD and those in 4-5 stages (including patients on dialysis or renal transplanted) with a history of stable angina, acute coronary syn-

...
Peripheral arterial disease

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD), defined as the presence of atherosclerotic occlusive vascular disease of the extremities, is a marker of systemic atherosclerosis, emerging as a strong risk factor for CV morbidity and mortality. Early diagnosis and aggressive medical therapy can significantly reduce CV risk profiles in such patients. PAD is a manifestation of systemic atherosclerosis, considered as a predictor for other types of cardiovascular disease (CVD) (CAD and/or cerebrovascular disease) and CVD events, such as myocardial infarction (MI), cerebrovascular accident, and death. Data from the REDuction of Atherothrombosis for Continued Health (REACH) registry are an interesting source of information about the risk of a subsequent vascular event in patients with PAD. It recorded 55,499 patients at baseline with symptomatic disease, of whom 39,675 were eligible for a 3-year follow up. Of these (at baseline): 6.3% (2485) had PAD only; 5.7% (2271) had PAD plus CAD; 1.5% (614) had PAD plus cerebrovascular disease and 1.9% (748) had PAD plus coronary artery disease plus cerebrovascular disease. Patients recruited to the trial with PAD had the highest 3-year event rate of MI/stroke/death/hospitalization (40.4%). The hospital readmission rate for any vascular event was also higher for people with PAD (33.6%), compared with 23% for those with CAD and 18.7% for patients with any cerebrovascular disease. Over the 3-year period, patients with only PAD at baseline had the highest risk of progressing to involvement of disease in another vascular bed. Almost 10% of patients with PAD progressed to polyvascular disease over 3 years compared with around 4% with either coronary artery disease or cerebrovascular disease at baseline. Total PAD prevalence, based on noninvasive testing, was 11.7%, with increasing rates with age and elevated lipid levels. Despite strict guideline directives, the PAD is still considered today as undertreated in many countries.

Which are the methods of risk assessment and prognostic stratification (also with respect to the existing comorbidities)?

Diagnosis of PAD begins by asking the patients about their medical history, symptoms experienced and their general health, smoking, or if they have high blood pressure and/or diabetes. The physical examination may reveal cold extremities and other signs of subclinical peripheral artery disease. More examples include bruits over blood vessels and lack of normal pulses, including those behind their knees and feet.

Classification of peripheral arterial disease: clinical tools

Scales in PAD assessment were implemented to allow clinicians to describe their patients, using a common terminology and standards for reports, dealing with lower extremity ischemia. Both the Rutherford and the Fontaine classifications are not used routinely in clinical practice, but rather more in research settings. However, as the 2005 ACC/AHA guidelines pointed out, they may be useful for standardized communication between clinicians (Appendix Table 4).

Ankle-brachial index in adults in assessing peripheral arterial disease

An ankle-brachial index in adults (ABI) is one of the most common tests that is used to diagnose PAD, comparing the person’s blood pressure in their ankle with that in their arm. According to 2011 ACCF/AHA focused update recommendations the resting ABI should be used to establish the lower extremity PAD diagnosis in patients with suspected lower extremity PAD, defined as individuals with 1 or more of the following: exertional leg symptoms, non-healing wounds, age 65 years and older, or 50 years and older with a history of smoking or diabetes. ABI results should be uniformly reported with non-compressible values defined as greater than 1.40, normal values 1.00 to 1.40, borderline 0.91 to 0.99, and abnormal 0.90 or less. Among patients with a low ABI (defined as ≤0.90) detected in both population-based and high risk primary care cohorts, only 10-15% have intermittent claudication. Moreover, the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) concluded that there is no sufficient evidence to define the balance of benefits and harms of screening for PAD and CVD risk assessment with the ABI in adults. The USPSTF found no evidence that screening for and treatment of PAD in asymptomatic patients leads to clinically important benefits. It also reviewed the potential benefits of adding the ABI to the FRS and found evidence that this results in some patient risk reclassification; however, how often the reclassification is appropriate or whether it results in improved clinical outcomes is not known. ABI could improve PAD risk assessment, without, however, any evidence about the question of whether measuring ABI leads to better patient outcomes.

Which tailored actions shall be implemented for the individual patient?

Since PAD is a manifestation of systemic atherosclerosis in the lower extremities, its pharmacological therapeutic goals are nearly identical to those of the cerebrovascular disease and CVD. Smoking cessation, exercise, and an aggressive pharmacological CV risk factor modification, including diabetes, hypertension, lipid abnormalities, and life-long antiplatelet therapy, represent the cornerstones of treatment. For further details on each disease we refer to corresponding sections of this paper.
The role of exercise in peripheral artery disease

Exercise therapy, combined with comprehensive secondary prevention, is very beneficial for patients with PAD, by preserving or improving functional capacity and reducing cardiovascular events. Effects of exercise include some potential mechanisms, such as increase in collateral flow, improved nitric oxide-dependent vasodilation and mitochondrial energetics, decreased systemic inflammation.104

Antithrombotic therapy in peripheral artery disease

According to 2012 ACCP guidelines for secondary prevention patients with symptomatic PAD, one of the two following antithrombotic regimens are recommended, to be continued long term over no antithrombotic treatment: ASA 75 to 100 mg daily or clopidogrel 75 mg daily (all Grade 1A). It is suggested not to use dual antiplatelet therapy with ASA plus clopidogrel (Grade 2B). For patients with intermittent claudication refractory to exercise therapy (and smoking cessation), the use of cilostazol in addition to previously suggested is suggested, while it is not advisable the use of pentoxifylline, heparinoids, or prostanoids (Grade 2C). Prostanoids are recommended in patients with symptomatic PAD and critical limb ischemia/rest pain who are not candidates for vascular intervention. For patients undergoing peripheral arterial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) with or without stenting, a long-term ASA (75-100 mg/day) or clopidogrel (75 mg/day) treatment is recommended (Grade 1A). For patients undergoing peripheral artery PTA with stenting, single rather than dual antiplatelet therapy is suggested.105 Despite these recommendations, the usefulness of ASA in preventing PAD is not so effective.106 The Antithrombotic Trialists’ Collaboration meta-analysis demonstrates no benefit of ASA in reducing cardiovascular events in PAD.107 The effect of ASA on cardiovascular events in patients with preclinical PAD was studied in the Aspirin for Asymptomatic Atherosclerosis (AAA) trial with a double blind randomization of 3350 subjects with a low ABI (≤0.95) and a follow up for 8.2 years. No statistically-significant difference in endpoints was found between the subjects treated with ASA (100 mg) and the placebo group.108 Some new antiplatelet drugs, such as prasugrel, ticagrelor and picotamide seem to be more effective than ASA in PAD patients, particularly in diabetic patients with PAD. A novel antagonist of protease-activated receptor-1, vorapaxar, significantly reduced the rates of hospitalization for acute limb ischemia and peripheral artery revascularization.109

Statins in peripheral artery disease

Hypercholesterolemia, found in 45% to 59% of symptomatic PAD patients, is a relevant risk factor for PAD. Benefit of statin treatment on cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events has been shown in this group in PAD patients, in which additional effects of statins, such as improvement of pain-free walking distance and quality of life, have also been confirmed.110

Quality of care in peripheral artery disease

The list of quality statement developed for PAD in 2014 by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)111 is reported in Table 2.

Diabetes mellitus

Cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of mortality and morbidity in diabetic patients,112 with an estimated reduction from 10 to 15 years of life expectancy at the age of 50 years.

Table 2. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence list of quality statements for peripheral artery disease.

| Statement 1 | People who have symptoms of, or who are at risk of developing PAD are offered a clinical assessment and ankle brachial pressure index measurement |
| Statement 2 | People with PAD are offered an assessment for cardiovascular comorbidities and modifiable risk factors |
| Statement 3 | People with intermittent claudication are offered a supervised exercise program |
| Statement 4 | People with PAD being considered for revascularization who need further imaging after a duplex ultrasound are offered magnetic resonance angiography |
| Statement 5 | People with intermittent claudication are offered angioplasty only when imaging has confirmed it is appropriate, after advice on the benefits of modifying risk factors has been given and after a supervised exercise program has not improved symptoms |

PAD, peripheral arterial disease.
Which are the methods of risk assessment and prognostic stratification (also with respect to the existing comorbidities)?

Diabetic patients with clinical or instrumental evidence of CV complications are considered at high/very high CV risk. Diabetic patients without clinical or instrumental evidence of cardiovascular complications are considered at high CV risk according to age (>40 years), duration of diabetes and the presence of one or more other CV risk factors. Guidelines suggest a tailored approach to diabetic patients with high CV risk, taking into account their age, the severity of CV disease, the number and seriousness of comorbid conditions and the risk of iatrogenic hypoglycemia.113

Which tailored actions shall be implemented for the individual patient?

Glycemic targets

Tight glycemic control and glycated hemoglobin, preferably around 6.5%, are indicated for newly diagnosed diabetic and/or young patients. Less stringent glycated hemoglobin (7.5-8%) and less tight glucose control are recommended for diabetic patients with a long history of diabetes, in the elderly, mostly if frail and/or suffering from major comorbidities and/or frequent severe hypoglycemic events. Values for glycated hemoglobin much less tighter (8-9%) are indicated for diabetic patients over eighty, mostly if losing their functional independence and/or suffering from major comorbidities and/or frequent severe hypoglycemic events. Values for glycated hemoglobin much less tighter (8-9%) are indicated for diabetic patients over eighty, mostly if losing their functional independence and/or at serious risk of hypoglycemia (Table 3).

Lifestyle changes

It is preferable not to aim for a diet but for an individualized nutritional therapy.

Physical activity is strongly recommended in diabetic patients. Regular exercise improves glycemic control and CV risk factors, facilitates weight loss, improves the subjective well-being.

Associated clinical conditions (for more details please refer to above paragraphs)

Hypertension: ESH/ESC 2013 Guidelines recommended a target of <140/85 mm Hg for systolic BP (SBP) in diabetic patients, regardless of the level of CV risk (Recommendation grade IA).

Latest indications of 2015 the standard of care of the American Diabetes Association recommended for hypertensive diabetic patients a target of <140/90 (Level of Evidence: A). Lower targets (<130 mmHg SBP, <80 mmHg diastolic BP) may be pursued in some subjects (e.g., young subjects, with long life expectancy, or subjects to high risk of stroke - with high systolic arterial hypertension or with chronic kidney disease and albuminuria, with high diastolic arterial hypertension, hopefully achieved by the employment of few drugs without side effects.

Dislipidemias: In secondary CV prevention statins should always be used at appropriate doses. Among the groups of patients considered to benefit the most from statin therapy there are specifically all the patients with diabetes aged 40-75 also without a history of clinical atherosclerotic CV disease and LDL-c of 70-189 mg/dL.13 Adding ezetimibe to simvastatin in diabetics with previous acute coronary syndrome is a good chance, according to the IMPROVE-IT trial.114

ASA and antiplatelet therapy: In primary prevention, therapy with ASA (75-162 mg/day) has to be taken into consideration in diabetic patients with a high CV (>10% at 10 years) (Strength of recommendation: C). In diabetic patients with lower CV risk, therapy with ASA is not recommended, owing to the overwhelming bleeding risk vs potential benefits. (Strength of recommendation: C). In secondary prevention ASA is strongly recommended (Strength of recommendation: A).

![Table 3. Glycated hemoglobin targets in the secondary prevention in diabetic patients.](image-url)

| Clinical judgment on the expectation of life (years)* | Therapy only by drugs at low risk of hypoglycemia# | Therapy by drugs at high risk of hypoglycemia§ | Therapy by drugs at high risk of hypoglycemia§ |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| This patient probably will live                      |                                                  |                                             |                                             |
| <5 years                                             | <8%                                              | 8-8.5%                                     | 8-9%§                                      |
| 5-10 years                                           | <7%                                              | 7-8%                                       | 8-8.5% §                                   |
| >10 years                                            | <7%                                              | <7%                                        | 7-8%                                       |

*Based on age, severity of cardiovascular disease, number and seriousness of comorbid conditions; **metformin, acarbose, DPP-IV (dipeptidyl peptidase 4) antagonists, GLP-1 (glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor) agonists, SGLT-2 (sodium-glucose co-transporter 2) inhibitors; *sulfonylureas, glinides, insulin; 'an A1C of 8.5% is equivalent to average estimated glucose of 200 mg/dL; higher target involve further risks, related to glycosuria, dehydration and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome.
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Conclusions: in secondary prevention of Internal Medicine adult patients affected by atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease a comprehensive clinical judgment is needed

People with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease have higher cardiovascular risk and need intensive lifestyle changes together with proactive pharmacological interventions. Risk stratification using risk charts is not required for making treatment decisions in them. Prevention is a great topic, that any modern health system cannot ignore. The discussion about the concept of CV prevention is very wide and lasts for a long time. In this context, the research has never been stopped. Today, in patients at very high CV risk, further therapeutic options will stand out, based on the use of monoclonal anti-PCSK9 and omega-3 is under discussion for the CV prevention in higher risk patients, even if the latter has not yet a proven efficacy in CAD. In achieving LDL-c targets in CV prevention by statins it is required the following: a proper knowledge of the guidelines; the use of more effective statins according to their high, moderate or low intensity efficacy in lowering LDL-c, increasing dosages of statins; the use of combination therapeutic strategies and greater attention to persistence in treatment by patients. Also recently the incremental usefulness of reducing LDL-c by adding ezetimibe to statin therapy in patients with previous acute coronary syndrome was confirmed. These results were particularly significant for patients with diabetes and CAD: in diabetics, the greatest reductions in CV events were in ischemic stroke (39%), myocardial infarction (24%), and the composite of death due to cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction, or stroke (20%). In diabetics and non-diabetics, there were no differences between ezetimibe and placebo in safety outcomes including liver test abnormalities, muscle side effects, gall bladder related events and cancer. In diabetics with recent acute coronary syndrome, ezetimibe added to simvastatin reduced LDL-c (0.5 mmol/L) (20 mg/dL) more than simvastatin alone and achieved an average LDL-c of 1.4 mmol/L (54 mg/dL), this greater reduction resulting in lower risks of future cardiovascular events.

Medication therapy discontinuation after MI is common and occurs early after discharge. Patients who discontinue taking evidence-based medications are at increased mortality risk. Key determinants of CV care may not be the evidence, but rather some other variables, such as age, baseline comorbidity, kidney and liver function, disability, autonomy and dependency, social and local environmental factors, that can significantly influence the fitness/frailty of the real patient, its prognosis and therefore the doctor’s decision making (Figure 1).

Figure 1. General algorithm for reducing atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) events in secondary CV risk prevention in Internal Medicine. Data from Wedding, 2003 and Stone et al., 2013.
A schematic classification of different types of prevention, as well as raised by the literature, implies obvious limitations, not always suitable to our complex patients. According to evidence based medicine we should refer to the best available guidelines. Unfortunately the evidence-based quality of guidelines is far from optimal, even when proposed by respectable scientific societies, because in most cases trials excluded patients deriving from the real world. Guidelines do not consider complex circumstances, thus being unsuitable, because of the lack of evidence. Although recently it has begun to consider the problem of comorbidities, there are few guidelines that consider that some patients may be suffering from other concomitant diseases, and treated with further drugs, with risk of drug interactions and adverse reactions, such as in case of multiple antihypertensive therapy. We have to recognize that to date no satisfactory evidence based guidelines for complex patients are available. We always need a careful judgment on any single case, before applying the general indications of guidelines. For the purposes of a CV risk evaluation in complex patient we cannot be satisfied with a single high baseline risk strategy: we should resize our assessment parameters to the real world, implementing a high multidimensional CV complexity risk assessment strategy, in respect of an anthropological approach to the complexity of our patients. Essentially, hospital internists are called to exercise a proactive role of experts for each single complex patient, also in CV prevention. The peculiarity of the internists is to know how to face - with a systemic vision - the cases of higher CV risk, deserving specific assessment, prevention and treatment in each selected case. We need to identify the levels of CV risk in the individual patient by a multidimensional assessment, with a careful selection of the existing priorities, as well as the evaluation of the actual adherence to effective therapies, a systematic exercise of reconciliation in each therapeutic opportunity care, counseling and implementing the best appropriate treatment.
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