Closed Wedge Distal Femoral Osteotomy with a Polyaxial Locking Plate Designed for the Proximal Tibia: Minimum 5-Year Outcomes
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Since distal femoral varus osteotomy (DFO) -specific plates had not been available in Japan before 2015, we performed DFO using a plate for tibia. The purpose of this study was to elucidate the efficacy and problems associated with the non-specific plate in DFO. We used NCB-PT plates (Zimmer Inc.) in the upside-down position and the minimum 5-year outcomes were evaluated. The mean preoperative weight bearing line ratio and Japanese Orthopaedic Association score improved from 97.6\%±35.8\% and 68.0±11.5, respectively, to 44.0\%±16.1\% and 82.0±7.6, respectively, 1 year postoperatively and to 42.8\%±15.7\% and 86.0±8.2, respectively, 5 years postoperatively. The flexion range decreased from 149.0°±6.5° to 138.0°±5.7° 1 year postoperatively and to 135.0°±20.9° 5 years postoperatively. Although DFO using the NCB-PT plate provided mid-term benefits, it resulted in a loss of knee flexion, possibly due to excessive coverage of the medial femoral epicondyle.
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with the Non-Contact Bridging Plate for Proximal Tibia (NCB-PT; Zimmer Inc., Winterthur, Switzerland), placing the plate upside down. Although not specifically designed for CWDFO, when used in this position, the NCB-PT provided good contact with the medial distal femur (Fig. 2), and its polyaxial locking system\(^3\) was easy to use and allowed for correct screw placement.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the mid-term results of a minimum 5-year follow-up of CWDFO using the NCB-PT and to elucidate the problems associated with the use of a plate not specifically designed for CWDFO. TomoFix MDF has been available in Japan since 2015, but we believe that some of the pitfalls of CWDFO may be more evident and more easily clarified by our description of the use of a plate not specifically designed for CWDFO.

### Case Report

Five patients who were treated with CWDFO using the NCB-PT between 2010 and 2012 and had a minimum of 5 years of follow-up were investigated. We aimed for a corrected weight bearing line (WBL) percentage\(^4\) of 40%–50%, except in case 2. Patients began active and passive range of motion on the first postoperative day and the standard protocols for partial and full weight bearing were started 4 and 8 weeks after surgery, respectively. All plates were removed one year after surgery.

A full-length anteroposterior radiograph of the lower extremity with full weight bearing was obtained preoperatively and 1 and 5 years postoperatively. The Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) score\(^5\) and range of knee flexion were assessed preoperatively and 1 and 5 years after surgery. Both the radiographic and clinical outcomes at 1 year after surgery were evaluated before plate removal. The data for each case are presented in Table 1.

The preoperative mechanical proximal tibial angle (mMPTA)\(^6\)
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**Fig. 2.** Fitting of the Non-Contact Bridging Plate for Proximal Tibia (NCB-PT; Zimmer Inc.) in the upside-down position on the medial distal femur. The plate fits well on the modeled bone after medial closed-wedge distal femoral osteotomy. It fully covers the medial femoral epicondyle.

### Table 1. Details of Each Case

| Case | Sex | Age (yr) | BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | F/U time (yr) | mMPTA (°) | mLDFA (°)\(^a\) | Weight bearing line (%)\(^b\) | Japanese Orthopaedic Association score (points)\(^b\) |
|------|-----|---------|-----------------|--------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
|      |     |         |                 |              |          | Preop | Postop\(^1\) | Preop 1 year 5 years | Preop 1 year 5 years          |
| 1    | F   | 74      | 20.5            | 6.2          | 88       | 84   | 97           | 60  17  17           | 65  75  80                       |
| 2    | F   | 58      | 25.7            | 5.8          | 90       | 80   | 94           | 156 60 60          | 50  80  80                       |
| 3    | F   | 77      | 19.9            | 5.4          | 89       | 83   | 93           | 100 43 43          | 70  80  85                       |
| 4    | F   | 58      | 18.7            | 5.0          | 90       | 81   | 90           | 81  50  50          | 75  80  85                       |
| 5    | M   | 62      | 23.0            | 5.0          | 88       | 81   | 90           | 91  50  44          | 80  95  100                      |
| Mean |     | 65.7    | 21.6            | 5.5          | 89.0     | 81.8 | 92.8         | 97.6 44.0 42.8     | 68.0 82.0 86.0                  |
| SD   |     | 8.9     | 2.8             | 0.5          | 1.0      | 1.6  | 2.9          | 35.8 16.1 15.7     | 11.5 7.6 8.2                   |

BMI: body mass index, F/U: follow-up, mMPTA: mechanical medial proximal tibial angle, mLDFA: mechanical lateral distal femoral angle, Preop: preoperative, Postop: postoperative, SD: standard deviation, NS: not significant.

\(^a\)A paired \(t\)-test was used to compare preoperative and postoperative values for mLDFA.

\(^b\)A paired \(t\)-test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used to compare preoperative and 1- and 5-year postoperative values for weight bearing percentage, Japanese Orthopaedic Association score, and range of knee flexion.

\(^c\)Postoperative measurement of the mLDFA was taken 4 weeks after surgery.

\(^d\)Differences with \(p\)-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.
and mechanical lateral distal femoral angle (mLDFA) were 89.0°±1.0° and 81.8°±1.6°, respectively (Table 1). This means there was no proximal tibial deformity, and all knees had a valgus deformity at the distal femur. The mLDFA was significantly corrected to 92.8°±2.9° (p<0.001) (Table 1) and it was unchanged for 5 years after CWDFO in all patients (data not shown). This means no bone-related correction loss or overcorrection was noted during the first 5 years postoperatively. The mean WBL percentage and JOA score were corrected from 97.6%±35.8% and 68.0±11.5 points to 44.0%±16.1% and 82.0±7.6 points (p<0.005 and p<0.05), respectively one year after surgery and maintained for the 5-year follow-up period (Table 1). However, the mean range of flexion decreased significantly from 149.0°±6.5° preoperatively to 138.0°±5.7° at 1 year after surgery (p<0.001). Despite plate removal, the range of flexion improved to the preoperative level in only one case (case 5) at the 5-year follow-up. No patient required conversion to total knee arthroplasty (TKA) during the follow-up period.

In case 1, there was a 6° overcorrection compared with preoperative planning. The overcorrection was not noted during surgery despite the intraoperative alignment check using the cable method. At the 5-year follow-up, the patient complained of increased discomfort in the left knee. Arthroscopy was performed and a horizontal tear of the medial meniscus was partially removed. Case 2 demonstrated the most severe valgus deformity, with a WBL percentage of 156% (Fig. 3A). The mMPTA, joint line convergence angle and mLDFA were 90°, –10°, and 80°, respectively. Therefore, the deformity was solely caused by the distal femur and the remaining problem was severely stretched medial soft tissue laxity. If a TKA were chosen for such cases, a constrained type of TKA would be chosen due to the medial laxity. Therefore, in spite of the medial and patellofemoral osteoarthritic changes observed on arthroscopy, DFO was selected to avoid a constrained type TKA at a young age. To prevent osteoarthritis progression, we aimed for a corrected WBL percentage of 60%, and the planned alignment was achieved (Fig. 3B). The mLDFA was corrected from 80° to 94°, and it was preserved during the 5-year follow-up (Fig. 3C). Case 3 suffered an intraoperative lateral cortex fracture. Because bone union was delayed, full weight bearing was postponed until 3 months after surgery. The osteotomized site was completely fused 9 months after surgery, without correction loss or overcorrection. Despite the absence of intraoperative complication, case 4 had a 10° loss of flexion at the 5-year follow-up as well. The flexion range of cases 1–4 was decreased one year after surgery, resulting in a loss of flexion at the 5-year follow up. In case 5, based on the flexion losses described above, we attempted deep knee flexion in the patient intraoperatively after plate fixation. The medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) was too tight during knee flexion because the plate head encroached on the medial femoral epicondyle (Fig. 4). After releasing the MPFL, full knee flexion was achieved and no patellar subluxation was seen intraoperatively.

**Discussion**

As the improvement in the JOA score was maintained for 5 years after surgery, it suggests that DFO is an effective treatment option for valgus osteoarthritis of the proximal tibia.
years without TKA conversion in all cases, CWDO with the NCB-PT may provide mid-term benefits for patients with lateral OA. Despite good long-term results of TKA for severely valgus knees, achieving adequate soft-tissue balance remains challenging. There may be limitations to correction of extra-articular bony valgus deformity solely via intra-articular correction during TKA; a constrained prosthesis, which can be used if appropriate balance is not obtained, can result in problems such as implant failure. Therefore, for younger patients like case 2 (Fig. 3), even when there is moderate medial and patellofemoral osteoarthritis, time-saving CWDO could be a possible option to avoid TKA with a constrained prosthesis.

The healing of the lateral cortex fracture in case 3 required 9 months. Therefore, preserving an intact lateral cortex is crucial to the success of medial CWDO. For open wedge HTO, a lateral cortex fracture is classified as Takeuchi type I or II. According to the “fibula-as-supporting-strut theory” of Nakamura et al., a type I lateral cortex fracture in which the fracture portion is supported by the fibula is considered stable, whereas a type II fracture, in which the fracture portion is not supported by the fibula, is considered unstable. Because there is no supporting structure similar to the fibula in the distal femur, lateral cortex fractures that occur during CWDO should always be considered unstable.

More than 10º of decrease in flexion was found in only one case (case 2) and the other cases showed relatively well-preserved flexion angles. However, the mean flexion range decreased one year after surgery, resulting in permanent loss of flexion in four of our five cases (cases 1–4). Therefore, for more objective evaluation of these results, despite the lack of mid-term follow-up, we investigated six patients treated by CWDO using a TomoFix MDF with a minimum of 1-year follow-up (range, 1.2 to 2.2 years). In that series, the JOA score improved from 70.0±13.8 points to 96.7±2.6 points (p<0.005, paired t-test) one year after surgery (data not shown in Table). In contrast to CWDO with the NCB-PT, the mean range of flexion also significantly improved from 130.8±3.8º to 144.2±10.7º (p<0.01, paired t-test) one year after surgery (data not shown in Table). These results might indicate that flexion loss may be a plate-induced complication. Figures 1 and 2 show the difference in plate position between the TomoFix MDF and the NCB-PT. The distal end of the former is located above the medial femoral epicondyle so as not to cover the joint capsule (Fig. 1), while the latter fully covers the medial femoral epicondyle (Fig. 2). This difference may imply that putting a plate on the medial epicondylar causes flexion loss after CWDO due to the immobilization of the medial capsule and/or MPFL. The fact that only the patient with MPFL release during CWDO (case 5) recovered full range of motion may support this hypothesis. Therefore, a capsule-friendly CWDO plate may be required to acquire a good range of flexion. Even when DFO-specific plates are used, special care should be taken not to place the plate on the medial femoral epicondyle. An intraoperative check of the flexion range may be useful for prevention of the flexion loss.

In conclusion, the use of the NCB-PT in the upside-down position for CWDO for the treatment of valgus knee deformities provided mid-term benefits for patients with lateral unicompartmental osteoarthritis. Although the number of patients was small for statistical analysis, the outcomes indicate that using the NCB-PT plate may cause loss of knee flexion.
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