The association between primary open-angle glaucoma and fall: an observational study
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Purpose: Falls are among the most serious public health concerns for the elderly. Information conveyed via the visual sense is relevant to postural balance and movement, and proper visual function is essential to avoid falls. Here we investigated the prevalence of injurious falls among patients with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) who were more than 45 years old, compared with comparably aged healthy subjects.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional study. Consecutive patients who visited the Tanabe Eye Clinic, Yamanashi, Japan between January 1 and March 30, 2009 were screened for eligibility by ophthalmic examination. A total of 117 control subjects (77 men, 40 women; aged 60.2 ± 7.5 years) who were free of ocular disease and 101 POAG patients (58 men, 43 women; aged 62.3 ± 8.7 years) were consecutively enrolled. Participants answered a questionnaire on injurious fall experience during the previous 10 years. The prevalence of injurious fall in subjects with POAG versus healthy controls was examined with Fisher’s exact test. Adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated with logistic regression models for the subjects with POAG (factors: age, gender, mean deviation in the better eye or worse eye).

Results: The self-reported prevalence of injurious fall was 0.9% (1/117) in the control group and 6.9% (7/101) in the POAG group. The association between injurious fall and POAG was statistically significant (P = 0.026, Fisher’s exact test). Within the POAG patients, the group reporting falls was significantly older and had a lower BMI, worse BCVA, and worse mean deviation in both the better and worse eye than the group reporting no falls. Worse mean deviation in the eye with the better visual field (odds ratios 0.75; 95% confidence intervals: 0.57 to 0.99; P = 0.036) was a significant risk factor for injurious falls in subjects with POAG.

Conclusions: POAG was significantly associated with injurious falls.
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Introduction

Falls are among the most serious public health concerns for the elderly, worldwide. About 30% of individuals over the age of 75 years fall at least once in a year; 10%–20% of the falls lead to injury, and 5%–6% result in fractures.1,2 Falls are associated with restricted activity,1 hospitalization,4 subsequent admission to a nursing home,5 and injury-related death.6–8

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness in the world, affecting approximately 5 million adults globally; it is the leading cause of blindness in Japan.9,10 A previous study reported that glaucoma is not diagnosed in 93.3% of Japanese people with the disease, even though they have significant visual field defects.10 Furthermore, many of those with diagnosed glaucoma have little awareness of the disease, probably
because the visual field loss in many cases occurs only in the peripheral areas, and visual acuity is preserved for a relatively long period. This implies that many patients with glaucoma do not realize that they have visual field defects.

Several factors, such as age, gender, postural hypotension, the use of multiple medications, impairment of cognition, balance, gait,2 or vision,11–15 increase the risk of falling and fall-related injuries. Information conveyed via the visual sense is relevant to postural balance16 and movement,17 and proper visual function is essential for avoiding a fall.

In a large-scale prospective cohort study, Coleman et al reported that 13% of the subjects with no bilateral visual field loss reported falling, compared with 16%, 19%, and 22% of subjects with mild, moderate, and severe bilateral visual field loss, respectively, and this trend was statistically significant.14 In the Salisbury Eye Evaluation Project, subjects with bilateral, not unilateral, glaucoma had more trouble performing balance tasks and bumped into objects more frequently.18 However, the association between injurious fall and primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) has not been fully investigated.

The objective of this study was to investigate the prevalence of injurious falls among patients with POAG who were more than 45 years old, in comparison with comparably aged healthy subjects.

Patients and methods
The procedures used in this study conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and to national (Japanese) and institutional (Keio University School of Medicine) regulations. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Keio University School of Medicine.

Study design and subject enrollment
The design of this cross-sectional study and subject enrollment procedures are summarized in Figure 1. Those who visited the Tanabe Eye Clinic, Yamanashi, Japan between January 1 and March 30, 2009 were screened for eligibility by means of an ophthalmic examination that included slit-lamp biomicroscopy, funduscopy, gonioscopy, intraocular pressure measurements with Goldmann applanation tonometry, and visual field examination with a static visual field analyzer.
using the 30-2 Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm Standard Strategy (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA) in the glaucoma subjects. Patients with ophthalmologic diseases that could potentially compromise visual acuity or visual field loss, such as age-related macular degeneration, and fundus disease apart from POAG, were excluded. Patients younger than 45 were also excluded. The study methods were explained to every patient who met the inclusion criteria, and all of them agreed to participate.

Written informed consent was obtained from all of those enrolled. A single ophthalmologist (ST) subspecializing in glaucoma performed all of the examinations.

POAG diagnostic criteria
POAG was diagnosed in 143 patients according to the following three findings: (1) glaucomatous optic cupping represented by notch formation, generalized enlargement of cupping, senile sclerotic disc or myopic disc, or nerve fiber-layer defects; (2) typical glaucomatous visual field defects such as Bjerrum scotoma, nasal step, or paracentral scotoma compatible with optic disc appearance; and (3) open angle observed on gonioscopy.

Control subject inclusion criteria
The 153 control participants were mostly outpatients who visited the clinic for such purposes as annual ophthalmologic check-ups, screening and correction of refractive errors, or treatment of external ophthalmopathy. All control participants were free of fundus disease and cataracts affecting visual acuity.

Exclusion criteria
Patients were excluded from the study if they had any systemic disease, including but not limited to diabetes mellitus, systemic hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, Parkinson’s disease, and rheumatoid arthritis. Those who could not understand the questionnaire were also excluded. These criteria resulted in the exclusion of 42 subjects (hypertension, 17 subjects; diabetes mellitus, 20; cerebrovascular disease, 2; Parkinson’s disease, 2; rheumatoid arthritis, 1) from the POAG group and 36 subjects (hypertension 16; diabetes mellitus, 20) from the control group.

Questionnaire
All participants were requested to fill out a questionnaire on their demographic characteristics, ie, age, sex, weight, height, history of smoking, and consumption of alcohol, and were asked if they had been injured in a fall during the past ten years (yes or no). The answers to the questionnaire were collected and analyzed in a masked fashion to avoid observer bias.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics were calculated for the demographic, medical, and visual function variables. The homogeneity of distributions between the POAG and control groups was examined with an unpaired t-test or Fisher’s exact test, depending on the variable. Differences between the group of POAG subjects who reported falling and those who reported no falls were also examined. The adjusted odds ratios (OR) on injurious fall and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated with logistic regression models (factors: age, gender, (1) mean deviation (MD) in the better visual field eye or (2) MD in the worse visual field eye).

Results
A total of 117 control subjects (77 men, 40 women; aged 60.2 ± 7.5 years) and 101 POAG patients (58 men, 43 women; aged 62.3 ± 8.7 years) were recruited. All the participants were ethnic Japanese. The demographic characteristics of the controls and POAG subjects are summarized in Table 1.

Within the POAG subjects, the group reporting falls was significantly older and had a lower BMI, worse BCVA, and worse MD in both the better and worse eye, compared to the group reporting no falls (Table 3). Worse MD in the better visual field eye (OR 0.75; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.99; \( P = 0.04 \), adjusted for age and gender) was a significant risk factor for injurious fall in subjects with POAG, but not better MD in the worse visual field eye (OR 0.83; 95% CI, 0.68 to 1.02; \( P = 0.07 \), adjusted for age and gender).

Discussion
The current study demonstrated that POAG is associated with an increased frequency of injurious falls. These results were compatible with previous reports.19–22

In our study, a total of 218 participants experienced 8 injurious falls over the recall period of 10 years (3.9% for 10 years; average annual rate, 0.4%). This number of falls is rather small compared to previous reports.23,24 Yasumura et al reported that the annual rate of injurious fall in subjects older than 65 was 5.6% (74/1317) in a rural Japanese community.24
The low injurious fall rate in our study may partially be explained by the following factors, which are all associated with the risk of falling:

1. The relative youth of the subjects enrolled in this study (ie, age is a significant risk factor for falls).
2. The exclusion of subjects with systemic disease.
3. The inclusion of fewer subjects with fall proneness (ie, multiple falls by the same individual may have been included in earlier reports), and
4. The male-skewed gender ratio in our study compared to previous studies (ie, being female is a significant risk factor for injuries).

The strengths of the present study are that (1) all of the subjects with POAG and the controls without POAG were examined by a single glaucoma specialist at a single institution, and (2) clear diagnostic criteria for POAG were applied.

The limitations are the following. (1) The long recall period might diminish the reporting accuracy. We sought to examine the association between relatively young glaucoma patients and falls, because the prevalence of glaucoma in Japan is 5% in 40-year-old people. However, it is well known that young patients only rarely fall. Therefore, we used a long time frame to collect as many events as possible. Consequently, however, recall bias was an important limitation. (2) A relatively small number of participants reported injurious falls, especially among the control subjects in this study. (3) Recall inaccuracies and a reluctance to provide information might have affected the self-reported data. Subjects with POAG might remember an injurious fall better than subjects without POAG, which could increase the number of reported falls in POAG subjects. (4) Visual and ophthalmological data were obtained only after a fall had occurred, typically several years later. Therefore, the visual field of the subjects who fell may have differed at the time of the fall from that measured at the time of enrollment. Because we could not evaluate the patients’ visual field when the fall occurred, the accuracy of our conclusion might be affected. (5) It is possible that in some cases the glaucoma severity was inaccurate because of the cross-sectional nature of the data and the progression of the disease over the long time frame. (6) We did not define the criteria for an injurious fall in this study. Thus, whether the outcome was a serious fall (one resulting in hospitalization or fracture), or one that caused a milder injury, such as soft tissue damage, bruises, or lacerations, was unknown. Therefore, the damage caused by the fall may have varied, and mild falls may not have been recorded. (7) We asked the patients to answer dichotomously, and did not collect the data as numbers of injurious falls. This was a limitation because the number of falls would have enabled more efficient analysis techniques, such as negative binomial regression models. (8) The exclusion of subjects with hypertension and diabetes mellitus was an important limitation. In the POAG group, these exclusion criteria meant that over 25% of the potential participants were excluded, and these would have likely been older patients. The relatively young age group

Table 1 Demographic characteristics

|                   | Control (N = 117) | POAG (N = 101) | P-value* |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|
| Age (years)       | 60.2 ± 7.5       | 62.3 ± 8.7     | 0.06     |
| Gender (male/female) | 77/40           | 58/43          | 0.21     |
| BMI               | 22.6 ± 1.5       | 22.5 ± 3.0     | 0.76     |
| Smoking history never or former/current | 71/46          | 77/24          | 0.08     |
| Alcohol consumption (daily/sometimes/none) | 29/40/48       | 27/39/35       | 0.64     |
| BCVA (better eye; logMAR) | 0.01 ± 0.05     | 0.05 ± 0.12    | <0.001   |
| (worse eye; logMAR) | 0.03 ± 0.08      | 0.11 ± 0.20    | 0.001    |
| MD value (better eye, dB) | −3.8 ± 4.1     |                |          |
| MD value (worse eye, dB) | −7.3 ± 5.2     |                |          |
| Topical eye drop Prostaglandin | 90 (90/101 = 89.1%) |             |          |
| Beta blocker | 58 (58/101 = 57.4%) |             |          |
| CAI               | 10 (10/101 = 9.9%) |             |          |

Note: *Unpaired t-test or Fisher exact test was used depending on the variable (eg, continuous and categorical variables).

Abbreviations: POAG, primary open-angle glaucoma; BMI, body mass index; CAI, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor; BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; logMAR, logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; MD, mean deviation.

Table 2 Number of injurious falls

| Group | Control (N = 117) | POAG (N = 101) | P-value* |
|-------|------------------|----------------|----------|
| Injurious fall | 1 (1/117 = 0.9%) | 7 (7/101 = 6.9%) | 0.026    |

Note: *Fisher’s exact test.

Abbreviation: POAG, primary open-angle glaucoma.

Table 3 Demographic characteristics of non-fallers and fallers in subjects with primary open-angle glaucoma

|                   | Non-faller (N = 94) | Faller (N = 7) | P-value* |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|
| Age               | 61.2 ± 7.8          | 77.0 ± 6.6     | <0.001   |
| Gender (male/female) | 56/38           | 2/5            | 0.13     |
| BMI               | 22.8 ± 2.9          | 19.6 ± 3.6     | 0.006    |
| BCVA (better eye; logMAR) | 0.07 ± 0.12     | 0.54 ± 0.45    | <0.001   |
| (worse eye; logMAR) | 0.04 ± 0.08        | 0.30 ± 0.22    | <0.001   |
| MD in the better eye | −3.2 ± 3.5         | −10.7 ± 5.8    | <0.001   |
| MD in the worse eye | −6.6 ± 4.5         | −15.8 ± 7.1    | <0.001   |

Note: *Unpaired t-test or Fisher exact test was used depending on the variable (eg, continuous and categorical variables).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; logMAR, logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; MD, mean deviation.
examined would explain the small incidence of injurious falls in the cohort and significantly limits the generalizability of the study findings. (9) Recall inaccuracies and a reluctance to provide information may have affected the self-reported data. Cummings et al reported that up to 30% of falls are not recalled even in a 1-year period;26 thus, there is likely to be significant recall bias in this study design.

The current study suggests that POAG is significantly associated with an increased risk for injurious fall.
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