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Introduction

As summarized in a previous paper (5), numerous attempts to isolate the FIP coronavirus in chicken embryos, primary cells and continuous cell lines were not successful and the only in vitro virus growth was demonstrated in cultures derived from peritoneal exudates of experimentally infected kittens. Coronavirus of different species have been shown to multiply in tissues of chicken embryos, suckling mice, newborn rabbits, suckling rats and hamsters (4). However, transmission studies of FIP virus in mice, rats, rabbits, hamsters and guinea pigs have been without success (1, 3). Recently we were able to demonstrate growth of FIP virus in the brains of suckling mice (5). In this paper the successful propagation of FIP virus in the brains of suckling rats and hamsters but not of rabbits is reported.

Material and Methods

Virus

For inoculation experiments in conventional animals, brain material from a tenth or eleventh suckling mouse brain (SMBr) passage of FIP virus, containing about 100 MID_{50} / 25 μl. was used. For inoculation of specified pathogen-free (SPF) suckling rats, material from the eighth SMBr-passage in SPF mice was used (series A, reference 5).
Feline Infectious Peritonitis (FIP) Virus / IV.

Sera

For virus identification by indirect immunofluorescence tests (IFT) the same paired pre- and postinoculation sera were employed as for SMBr passaged virus (5):

— paired pre- and postinoculation sera of an experimental FIP case (Dahlberg strain) from our laboratory (Utrecht).
— paired pre- and postinoculation sera from a SPF kitten, kindly provided by Dr. N. C. PEDERSEN (Davis, Cal.).

Animal inoculation experiments

Litters of conventionally reared one day-old (max. 36 hrs) suckling rats, Syrian hamsters and one litter of one day-old suckling rabbits were used in these experiments. Litters of one day-old SPF rats from a barrier-contained colony (strain CPB, WU; Centraal Proefdierbedrijf TNO, Zeist) were employed to establish the specificity of FIP virus fluorescence and clinical symptoms. The SPF status included absence of complement fixing anti-mouse hepatitis virus antibodies. Suckling rats and hamsters were inoculated intracerebrally (i. c.) with 25 μl volumes and suckling rabbits with 100 μl volumes of 50 % (w/v) SMBr FIP virus suspensions, or with 50 % suspensions of suckling rat brain (SRBr) and suckling hamster brain (SHBr) in subsequent passages. Seven days p. i. their brains were tested for the presence of FIP viral antigen by IFT. Isolation of FIP virus from the second SRBr and SHBr passages in SMBr was performed as described previously (5). In all litters (five to eleven animals) used for isolation and reisolation, at least one control animal was inoculated i. c. with uninfected brain material of the same passage history.

Immunofluorescence tests

The preparation and the specificity of the conjugates used in the direct and indirect IFT have been described previously (5). In order to find a less time consuming alternative to cryostat brain sectioning for titrations, the results of the IFT on mouse brain sections were compared with those on smear preparations from the same brains. For this purpose brains were divided in two and one half was used to make a smear preparation on a microscope slide, the other for the usual section technique (5). After acetone fixation (10 min at — 20 °C) both sections and smears were processed as described previously (5).

Neutralization test (NT)

One in ten dilutions of pre- and postinoculation sera of an experimentally FIP virus-infected SPF cat (Davis, Cal.) were mixed with equal volumes of SMBr FIP virus (13th passage), containing about $10^{3.3}$ MID$_{50}$/25 μl and incubated for 1 hr at 37 °C. In two litters of twelve one day-old SPF rats each, four animals were inoculated i. c. with 25 μl of the respective virus-serum mixtures. Their litter mates were inoculated with mixtures of normal mouse brain and the same serum dilutions as controls. All animals were weighed and checked for clinical signs at daily intervals. At the seventh day p. i. the rats were sacrificed and their brains examined histologically and by direct IFT.

Comparative histopathology and IFT

Histological examination was performed on brain sections after fixation in 1 % formamide, paraffin embedding and haematoxylin eosin (HE)
staining (standard procedures) on the seventh day p. i. In order to compare histopathological findings with the IFT results brain material was fixed in cold ethanol (−20 °C) and embedded in paraffin according to a technique described by Sainte Marie (7). Alternate sections were used for histological examination and the direct IFT, respectively. The same organs examined in the mouse (2), were tested in i. c. infected rats and hamsters using the direct IFT.

Results

Comparison of the cryostat section and smear technique for IFT

All mouse brains where FIP virus specific immunofluorescence was demonstrated using the section technique also showed bright immunofluorescence in the smear technique (Fig. 1 A). Using the smear method instead of the section method turned out to be a technical improvement, since it was performed more rapidly and appeared even more sensitive in our hands: in one isolation series, where immunofluorescence was evidenced in sections from the third SMBr passage onward, fluorescent cells could be detected in smear preparations already in material from two previous passages. All brains which were negative in the section technique were also negative in the smear technique. The smear technique was employed routinely in all experiments described in this paper.

Passage of FIP virus in the brains of suckling rats, hamsters and rabbits (Tab. 1).

Nine one day-old conventionally reared rats were inoculated i. c. with SMBr FIP virus. Two of them died on the sixth day p. i. A third animal was missing. The other six showed growth retardation as compared with the mock-infected control animal (Fig. 2). Seven days p. i. the brains of all rats were tested in the direct IFT. Distinct fluorescence, comparable to that in the FIP virus infected SMBr smears, was found in the brain smear preparations of the eight infected animals (Fig. 1 B); the brain of a mock infected rat was negative in this test. A second SRBr passage showed essentially the same results: All four infected animals showed a positive IFT and three of them showed retardation in growth. For specificity reasons, the passage proce-
Table 1
Passage history of FIP virus in suckling rats and hamsters

| Passage History | SPF Mouse Brain | Mouse Brain |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| SPF Rat Brain   | SPF Rabbit Brain|
| SPF Hamster Brain | SPF Mouse Brain |
| SPF Mouse Brain | SPF Rat Brain |
| SPF Rat Brain   | SPF Rabbit Brain|
| SPF Hamster Brain | SPF Mouse Brain |

Signs: 0 Brain smears positive in the direct IFT
@ Brain smears negative in the direct IFT

The same passage procedures were performed in conventionally reared one day-old hamsters (Tab. 1). The eight infected animals of the first passage and the five used in a subsequent passage showed immunofluorescence in their brains on the seventh day p.i. (Fig. 1 C). Two out of five infected animals in a second series showed brain fluorescence on the seventh day p.i.; neither growth retardation nor clinical symptoms were noticed in these experiments. Upon a further passage in three one day-old hamsters one of them died on the fourth day; in the other two growth retardation was observed and brain smears were IFT positive on the seventh day p.i. Five one day-old conventionally reared rabbits were inoculated with SMBr adapted FIP virus by the i.c. route; no fluorescence could be detected in brain smears nor was any clinical sign observed.

All mock infected animals included in the litters of the above described species were IFT negative when brain smears were tested seven days p.i.

Fig. 2. Size difference between rats seven days after i.c. inoculation with suckling mouse brain adapted FIP virus (above) and normal mouse brain suspension (below)

Specificity of immunofluorescence encountered in SRBr and SHBr

The specificity of the fluorescence in the brains of rats and hamsters after inoculation with SMBr adapted FIP virus was established in indirect
immunofluorescence assays, using two pairs of pre- and postinoculation sera from FIP virus infected cats (Utrecht and Davis). The preinoculation sera were negative in the indirect IFT on infected brain smear preparations from rats and hamsters. The post inoculation sera, which had been found to react in the indirect IFT on TGE virus-infected porcine kidney cells (5), were also positive on infected preparations from both species. Specificity was further established by inoculation of litters of one day-old mice with fluorescence-positive rat or hamster brain material; the results are depicted in Table 1.

Fig. 3. Four one day-old SPF rats in a litter of twelve were inoculated with a mixture of SMBr adapted FIP virus and preinoculation feline serum (Davis) and four one day-old rats in another litter of twelve with a mixture of this virus and the postinoculation serum of the same cat. In 3 A the mean body weights and standard deviations of the four rats inoculated with the first mixture (●—●) and of the four rats with the second mixture (○—○) during seven days p.i. are shown. In 3 B mean weight differences are demonstrated between the eight mock infected animals and the four rats inoculated with the first mixture (●—●) and between the eight other mock infected animals and the four rats inoculated with the second mixture (○—○), during seven days p.i.

Specificity of growth retardation in suckling rats for infection with FIP virus

In order to determine the specificity of growth retardation which was consistently found in suckling rats after i.c. infection with FIP virus, a neutralization test was carried out. Four one day-old SPF rats in a litter of twelve were inoculated with a mixture of SMBr-adapted FIP virus and preinoculation feline serum (Davis), and four one day-old rats in another litter of twelve were inoculated with a mixture of this virus and postinoculation serum
of the same cat. Fig. 3 A shows the mean body weight and standard deviation of the two groups of four rats during seven days p. i. In Fig. 3 B mean weight differences between the eight mock infected animals and the four infected animals in both groups are shown during the same period. At the third day p. i. a distinct bend in the growth curve of the infected animals is seen. After the sixth day p. i. growth rates in both groups tend to run in parallel again. The observed growth retardation can be ascribed to infection with FIP virus, since it is neutralized by the postinoculation serum. The absence of immunofluorescence seven days p. i. in the brains of the non-retarded rats indicated successful virus neutralization. The rats inoculated with the preinoculation serum — virus mixture were all positive in the brain smear IFT whereas all mock infected litter mates were negative.

Histopathology compared with sites of immunofluorescence in SRBr

Histological examination of HE stained sections from SMBr FIP virus infected and uninfected suckling rats seven days p. i., showed a multifocal acute to subacute encephalitis primarily localized in the cerebral cortex of the infected animals. In the foci gliosis, neural necrobiosis, edema and hemorrhagic necrosis were found (Fig. 4). Comparison of alternate brain sections of the SPF rats used in the neutralization test showed that viral antigen was not confined to areas where the foci were found. In histologically normal cortical regions, which were relatively rich in pyramidal cells in these young animals (Fig. 5 A: HE staining), fluorescent antigen could be demonstrated in the cytoplasm of pyramidal and most probably glia cells (Fig. 5 B). Apart from some oedematous areas, no histological alterations or immunofluorescent
Fig. 5. Alternate sections through the cerebral cortex of a rat (from the neutralization experiment) seven days after infection. The histologically normal preparation (A) is relatively rich in pyramidal cells (HE stain. Magnification 160 x). After staining with the direct immunofluorescence method (B) a large number of strongly and weakly positive cells are found, the latter having a granular appearance.
cells were encountered in the cerebellum. In none of the uninfected litter mates could histopathological changes or viral antigen be demonstrated.

**Discussion**

Application of the smear technique for the demonstration of viral antigen considerably facilitated the demonstration of FIP virus antigen in the brains of suckling rats and hamsters. Growth retardation, originally reported in FIP virus infected suckling mice (2) was shown to be a consistent finding in infected rats; the specificity of this symptom for FIP virus infection was assessed in a neutralization assay. The inconsistency observed in growth retardation in infected suckling hamsters might be ascribed to a dose dependence, as has been demonstrated in mouse experiments (2). Retardation starts at the third day p. i. and tends to diminish toward the seventh day, where growth curves again run parallel (Fig. 3 A). Around the fifth day, when the weight differences between infected and uninfected animals are most pronounced, some of the infected animals died. With regard to age susceptibility, the course of FIP virus infection in the brain of suckling rats and hamsters and its possible abortive character, further experiments are required. The direct IFT carried out on sections of the CNS, the eyes, the abdominal and thoracic parenchymal organs of infected rats and hamsters revealed fluorescence only in the pyramidal and glia cells of the cerebral cortex, independent of the focal lesions described (unpublished observations). As in infected mice (2) fluorescent foci were also found in the spinal cord and eyes of infected hamsters; all the other organs were negative. The specificity criteria were the same as those used in a previous study (5); in addition specific fluorescence developed after inoculating suckling mice with brain material from infected rats and hamsters. A second passage series in rats was performed under SPF conditions, in order to exclude possible cross-reactions with rat coronaviruses. In mice this was not considered necessary since cross-reactions between MHV and FIP virus have not been demonstrated (6). The absence of immunofluorescence in the brains of mock infected control animals of the same litters further supports absence of cross-reacting coronaviruses.

FIP virus has been demonstrated to multiply in three rodent species which opens new perspectives in search for an in vitro system. A possible role played by rodents in the epizootiology of FIP should be further studied.

**Summary**

Feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) virus grown in suckling mouse brain was shown to multiply in the brains of one day-old laboratory rats and hamsters using the direct immunofluorescence test on smear preparations. In suckling rats retardation in growth was consistently observed from the third day post infection, which could be inhibited by a feline anti-FIP virus immune serum. Specificity was assessed by repeated passages in the rat and hamster, by indirect immunofluorescence and by successful passage back into suckling mice.
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Zusammenfassung

Virus der felinen infektiosen Peritonitis (FIP)

IV. Vermehrung im Gehirn von Säuglingsratten und -hamstern

Mit Hilfe der direkten Immunfluoreszenz in Abklatschpräparaten wurde die Vermehrung von an Säuglingsmäuse adaptiertem Felinem Infektiosen Peritonitis (FIP) Virus im Gehirn von eintägigen Laboratoriumsratten und -hamstern nachgewiesen. Vom dritten Tage post infectionem an wurde bei den Säuglingsratten eine Verzögerung im Wachstum beobachtet, die sich mit Hilfe eines anti FIP-Virus Immunserums der Katze hemmen ließ. Zum Spezifizitätsnachweis wurden die Ratten- und Hamsterpassagen wiederholt, indirekte Immunfluoreszenztests durchgeführt und eine Rückpassage in Säuglingsmäusen mit Erfolg durchgeführt.

Résumé

Le virus de la péritonite infectieuse du chat (FIP)

IV. Multiplication dans le cerveau du rat et du hamster nouveau-né

La multiplication du virus de la péritonite infectieuse féline (FIP) adapté au cerveau de la souris nouveau-née est montrée dans les cerveaux de rats et de hamsters d'un jour, utilisant le test d'immunofluorescence sur des préparations empreintes. Retardation de croissance est observée chez des rats à partir du troisième jour après l'infection; cette retardation pouvait être empêchée par un sérum félin dirigé contre le virus FIP. La spécificité était montrée dans des passages répétés chez des rats et des hamsters par l'immunofluorescence indirecte et par nouveaux passages de ce virus dans des souris nouveau-nés.

Resumen

Virus de la peritonitis infectiosa felina (FIP)

IV. Multiplicación viral en el cerebro de ratas y de hámsters recién-nacidos

Mediante la técnica de inmunofluorescencia se comprobó la multiplicación del virus FIP (previamente adaptado en cerebro de ratones recién-nacidos), en preparados cerebrales de presión en portaobjetos, en el cerebro de ratas de laboratorio y el cerebro de hámsters de un día de edad. Tras el transcurso de 3 días p. i. fué notable un retraso de crecimiento en las ratas infectadas. Este proceso de retardo de crecimiento podía ser contrarrestado mediante el uso de un suero felino, inmuno anti-FIP. Repetición de pasajes intracerebrales en ratas y hámsters con el virus FIP y su detección mediante la inmunofluorescencia indirecta, como también un retropasaje eficaz del virus FIP en ratones mamones, sirvieron para la verificación de la especificidad.
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