Rab18 is not necessary for lipid droplet biogenesis or turnover in human mammary carcinoma cells
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ABSTRACT Rab GTPases recruit peripheral membrane proteins and can define organelle identity. Rab18 localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) but also to lipid droplets (LDs), where it has been implicated in effector protein recruitment and in defining LD identity. Here, we studied Rab18 localization and function in a human mammary carcinoma cell line. Rab18 localized to the ER and to LD membranes on LD induction, with the latter depending on the Rab18 activation state. In cells lacking Rab18, LDs were modestly reduced in size and numbers, but we found little evidence for Rab18 function in LD formation, LD turnover on cell starvation, or the targeting of several proteins to LDs. We conclude that Rab18 is not a general, necessary component of the protein machinery involved in LD biogenesis or turnover.

INTRODUCTION

How organelles achieve their specific identity is a central question for cell biology. Key for determining the identity of many membrane-bound organelles are small GTPases of the Rab family (Soldati et al., 1995; Stenmark, 2009; Hutagalung and Novick, 2011). These proteins are switchlike molecules that regulate recruitment of specific proteins to membranes. In the inactive, guanosine diphosphate (GDP)-bound state, Rab proteins bind to GDP-dissociation inhibitor (GDI) chaperones in the cytosol. Membrane-bound guanine nucleotide-exchange factors (GEFs) on specific organelles activate Rab by removing the bound nucleotide, allowing the binding of GTP and switching the protein to an active conformation (Pfeffer, 2001; Barr, 2013). Once activated, they provide binding surfaces to recruit effector proteins with functions specific to the organelle. Through such a mechanism, each organelle of the endomembrane system contains specific Rab proteins that act to determine its identity (Barr, 2013).

Lipid droplets (LDs) are cellular organelles found in most cells that store neutral lipids, such as triacylglycerols (TG) and sterol esters, as precursors for cellular membrane lipids and as reservoirs for metabolic energy (Walther and Farese, 2012; Gross and Silver, 2014; Pol et al., 2014). Among cellular organelles, LDs are unique because they are bounded by a monolayer of phospholipids rather than a bilayer membrane (Tauchi-Sato et al., 2002). Liquid droplets bind specific proteins, and the principles of protein targeting to LDs are just beginning to be understood (Willing et al., 2013; Kory et al., 2016; Rowe et al., 2016; Čopič et al., 2018; Prévost et al., 2018). How the identity of LDs is determined molecularly is poorly understood: neither specific lipid species nor Rab proteins that define droplet identity have been identified.

Among Rab proteins, evidence suggests Rab18 may be important for LD identity. Rab18 localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Gerondopoulos et al., 2014) and to LDs (Martin et al., 2005; Ozeki et al., 2005) in several different human and mouse cell lines. Depletion of Rab18 causes defects in ER tubule integrity and affects LD morphology and protein localization to LDs (Martin et al., 2005; Ozeki et al., 2005; Carpanini et al., 2014; Gerondopoulos et al., 2014). The overexpression of Rab18 increases apposition of ER and LD membranes, possibly indicating a role for the protein at ER–LD contact sites (Ozeki et al., 2005). Consistent with these findings,
Rab18 has been implicated in LD biogenesis at the ER by recruiting the NAG-RINT1-ZW10 (NR2) tethering complex and SNARE proteins (Gillingham et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2018). These findings suggest that Rab18 is a determinant of LD organelle identity. However, this hypothesis has not been extensively tested, and the function of Rab18 at LDs is unclear.

Here we tested whether Rab18 has a direct role in LD homeostasis by studying the protein in mammalian cells. Although we found that Rab18 localizes to LDs, we surprisingly found no evidence of a direct role for Rab18 in LD homeostasis with respect to LD formation, the targeting of selected LD proteins, or TG turnover. This suggests that Rab18 is not required for the basic machinery of these processes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Rab18 localizes to LDs and the ER
To investigate the role of Rab18 in LD biology, we first analyzed its subcellular localization. We chose to study Rab18 in SUM159 cells (Flanagan et al., 1999), a human mammary carcinoma cell line that we use extensively to study LD biology. These cells 1) express both TG synthesis enzymes, DGAT1 and DGAT2, 2) generate LDs readily on incubation with fatty acid-containing medium, 3) express factors involved in LD biogenesis (including seipin, FIT2, and perilipins 3 and 4; (Bulankina et al., 2009; Gross et al., 2011; Wilfling et al., 2014a; Choudhary et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016)), and 4) are diploid and amenable to clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-based genome engineering and microscopy imaging (Wang et al., 2016; Gluchowski et al., 2017). Additionally, SUM159 cells express Rab18 (see below).

We expressed green fluorescent protein (GFP)-Rab18 in these cells, and imaging by spinning-disk confocal microscopy showed that Rab18 colocalized with the calreticulon-KDEL ER marker mCherry-ER3 (Figure 1A). When cells were treated with oleate for 18 h to induce LD formation, we found that Rab18 localized to LDs (stained with LipidTox) (Figure 1A), as reported (Martin et al., 2005; Ozeki et al., 2005; Li et al., 2016).

We determined whether the localization of Rab18 to LDs depends on the activation state of Rab18. We found that the hydrolysis-deficient mutant Rab18Q67L, which is locked in the active, GTP-bound form, localized to LDs with fatty acid loading, whereas the nucleotide-binding deficient, inactive Rab18S22N mutant was absent from LDs and instead localized to discrete puncta on the ER (Figure 1A).

Overexpression of Rab18 induces close apposition of ER and LD membranes (Ozeki et al., 2005), which might confound localization interpretations. To distinguish between direct localization of Rab18 to LDs versus ER localization close to LDs, we used structured illumination microscopy (SIM), which provides greater imaging resolution than confocal microscopy. We analyzed colocalization of GFP-Rab18 with the luminal ER marker mCherry-ER3. We found that Rab18 localizes to both ER and LDs, with a portion of the signal clearly localizing to LDs and distinct from the ER marker (Figure 1, B and C).

To complement the microscopy studies, we also determined the localization of endogenous Rab18 by examining its biochemical fractionation behavior. In oleate-loaded cells, we detected Rab18 in total lysate and LD fractions, which were enriched for the LD marker proteins PLIN3 and PLIN4 (Figure 1D). Other ER proteins, such as reticulon and calnexin, were absent from the LD fraction, but readily detected in the total lysate (Figure 1D). Our results indicate that Rab18 is an ER-localized protein in SUM159 cells that becomes activated and localizes in part around LDs on their formation.

Deletion of Rab18 does not affect the structure of the ER
Rab18 has been implicated in LD and ER function by overexpression and depletions experiments, but it is unclear whether Rab18 is required for LD biogenesis or turnover. This may be due to the difficulties in interpreting overexpression experiments or residual Rab18 activity in cells with RNAi-mediated depletion of the protein (Martin et al., 2005; Ozeki et al., 2005; Gerondopoulou et al., 2014). To overcome the latter problem, we generated a knockout cell line by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing. Using this technology, we isolated a SUM159 cell clone with two alleles of Rab18 that were mutated to generate a premature stop codon at the beginning of exon 5, which results in depletion of Rab18 mRNA levels and a complete absence of the protein by Western blot and mass spectrometry analyses (Figure 2, A–D). To rule out clone-specific effects, we also generated a second clone with a 172-bp deletion in exon 5 of both alleles, which results in a premature stop codon. We then evaluated both knockout clones in each of the following experiments.

Since Rab18 is an ER-localized protein in standard culture conditions, we first investigated ER morphology in wild-type (WT) and Rab18 knockout cells. Compared with control cells, Rab18 knockout cells appeared to have normal ER when analyzed by immunofluorescence with antibodies directed against the tubular ER protein Rtn4 or by in vivo fluorescence microscopy using GFP-ERox (Figure 2E). Reintroduction of GFP-Rab18 into Rab18 knockout cells revealed no differences in its localization compared with that found in wild-type cells (Supplemental Figure S1).

Rab18 is not required for LD biogenesis, but Rab18 deletion modestly reduces the size and numbers of LDs
The dual localization of Rab18 to ER and LD membranes on LD induction prompted us to test whether Rab18 is involved in LD biogenesis. We monitored LD size and number on induction of LD biogenesis by addition of oleate to the culture medium of control and Rab18 knockout SUM159 cells. Whereas LDs appeared similar in both cell types at 2 h after oleate addition, there was a modest reduction in the numbers and areas of LDs in Rab18 knockout clones after 24 h (Figure 3, A–C). By using radioactive oleate as a tracer, we found no differences in TG accumulation between Rab18 knockout and wild-type cells (Figure 3, D and E), suggesting that any changes in LD accumulation were not due to changes in TG synthesis rates.

Deletion of Rab18 does not affect the targeting of several key proteins to LDs
During LD biogenesis, several enzymes of the TG synthesis pathway localize to LDs, including ACSL3, DGAT4, and DGAT2 (Stone et al., 2009; Kassan et al., 2013; Wilfling et al., 2013). These proteins, known as class I proteins, are anchored to the membrane by hydrophobic sequences that are embedded in the bilayer membrane and the LD surface. Thus, moving them from the ER to LDs for targeting to LDs requires a membrane continuity or membrane bridge (Wilfling et al., 2013; Wilfling et al., 2014b). Since Rab proteins regulate membrane tethering and fusion reactions, as well as membrane contacts (Hutagalung and Novick, 2011; Hönscher et al., 2014), we hypothesized that Rab18 may be involved in establishing ER–LD bridges for class I membrane protein targeting to LDs. We first tested targeting of GPAT4-GFP to LDs after treatment of cells with oleate for 24 h. As found in control cells, deletion of Rab18 did not affect the dual localization of GPAT4 to ER and LD membranes (Figure 4A).

To further test localization of proteins involved in key aspects of LD biology, we examined adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL), a TG hydrolase that localizes to LDs via membrane-embedded targeting sequences (Schweiger et al., 2008; Soni et al., 2009). Like GPAT4,
ATGL localization depends on Arf1/COPI proteins (Soni et al., 2009) and may occur via ER-LD bridges. We expressed a fluorescently tagged catalytically inactive mutant of ATGL that localizes to LDs (Smirnova et al., 2006). Similarly to the findings for GPAT4, targeting of ATGL to LDs was unaffected by the absence of Rab18 (Figure 4B).

Class II proteins localize to the LD monolayer directly from the cytosol by inserting one or several amphipathic helices into the monolayer of the LD surface (Bussell, 2005; Prévost et al., 2018). We examined the localization of PLIN3, a class II protein, and also did not detect differences in targeting for Rab18 knockout and

**FIGURE 1**: Rab18 localizes distinctly to LDs and the ER in SUM159 cells. (A) Overexpressed GFP-Rab18 localizes to LDs (LipidTox) (white arrowheads) and the ER (mCherry-ER3), and localization depends on GTP state (white arrows). SUM159 cells coexpressing mCherry-ER3 and GFP-tagged WT Rab18, GDP-bound Rab18(S22N) mutant, or GTP-bound Rab18(Q67L) mutant were incubated with OA for 0 or 18 h and imaged with spinning disk confocal. Scale bar 5 µm and for inlay 1 µm. (B) Rab18 localizes to the ER and LD structures. SUM159 cells co-expressing mCherry-ER3 and GFP-Rab18 were incubated with oleic acid for 18 h and imaged by SIM. Max projections of 1.25-µm stacks are shown. Scale bars, 1 µm. (C) Quantification of Rab18 signal distribution in SIM images. n = 5 fields. (D) Rab18 was detected in LD fractions and total cell lysates of SUM159 cells. LD fractions and cell lysates isolated from SUM159 cells after 18 h oleic acid were analyzed by mass spectrometry to detect proteins on LDs compared with total lysate. ND = not detected.
FIGURE 2: Rab18 deletion does not affect ER morphology. (A) Sequence analysis of Rab18 KO clones A and B. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing of the Rab18 locus introduces early stop codons at exons 4 (clone A) and 5 (clone B). (B) qPCR data reveal decreased Rab18 mRNA levels by 98 and 96% in Rab18KO-A and –B, respectively, compared with WT control. WT vs. Rab18KO-A* in gray, WT vs. Rab18KO-B* in black. (C) No Rab18 protein is detected in knockout clones by Western blot. Expression levels of Rab18 protein in WT and Rab18 KO cells were analyzed by Western blot with an antibody against endogenous Rab18. No detectable Rab18 protein was found in the Rab18KO-A or Rab18KO-B. (D) Rab18 peptide fragments were not detected by mass spectrometry in Rab18KO-A. WT SUM159 cell lysates and Rab18KO-A cell lysates were analyzed by mass spectrometry with sequence coverage of 68.4% for Rab18. (E) ER morphology in Rab18 KO clones is similar to WT cells. Cells were transfected with GFP-ERox to analyze general ER morphology. Separately, cells were fixed and probed with Reticulon 4 (Rtn4) antibody to visualize ER tubules. Scale bar 5 µm and for inlay 1 µm.

Deletion of Rab18 has no effect on triglyceride turnover during cell starvation

Induction of lipolysis in cultured adipocytes increases Rab18 targeting to LDs (Martin et al., 2005; Pulido et al., 2011). We thus tested the hypothesis that Rab18 may be involved in turnover of LD-stored TGs. We generated cells with abundant LDs (incubating them in oleate containing medium for 12 h) and induced starvation and TG turnover by removing fetal bovine serum (FBS), insulin, and oleate from the culture medium. We found that, by 48 h of starvation, most LDs were degraded (Figure 5A). When we analyzed LD size and numbers (by boron-dipyrromethene [BODIPY] staining using high-throughput microscopy and automated image analysis) during a time course of LD catabolism, Rab18 knockout cells (and in particular one clone) showed evidence of increased turnover of LDs, but after 48 h, we did not detect differences in Rab18 knockout and wild-type cells (Figure 5, A and B).

We also analyzed the amounts of free fatty acids released into the culture medium (using radioactive oleate as tracer material) and, consistent with the microscopy studies, detected no major differences between Rab18 and wild-type cells with respect to TG consumption or fatty acid release (Figure 5, C–E).

In the current study, we found little effects of deleting Rab18 on LD biogenesis or turnover in a mammary carcinoma cell line. The most significant phenotype we found was a modest reduction in LD size and numbers with oleate induction of LDs. We also did not find effects of Rab18 deletion on the targeting of several key LD proteins, although we cannot exclude that Rab18 activation at LDs is involved in recruiting other proteins. Considering these data, we conclude that Rab18 is not a necessary component of the basic cell machinery required for LD formation, expansion, or consumption. In comparison, other key components of this machinery, such as seipin, FIT2, or perilipins for LD biogenesis (Bulankina et al., 2009; Gross et al., 2011; Wilfling et al., 2014a; Choudhary et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016), or Arf1/COPI proteins for ER-LD targeting (Wilfling et al., 2014b), have prominent effects on cells and tissues that store neutral lipids. Homozygous Rab18 knockout mice show an eye and neuronal phenotype, and embryonic fibroblasts derived from this line have a mildly affected LD morphology, but neutral lipid storage in liver or adipose tissue is not affected (Carpanini et al., 2014), further arguing against a strong requirement for Rab18 in fundamental LD processes.

In contrast to our findings in SUM159 cells, defects in LD formation were found when Rab18 was knocked out in murine adipocytes, suggesting that Rab18 is an important component of LD growth and maturation in this cell type (Xu et al., 2018). However, consistent with our findings, deficiency of Rab18 in several other mammalian cell lines (AML12, HeLa, Cos7, and 293T) did not show an effect on LDs (Xu et al., 2018).
It is unclear why Rab18 depletion might affect LD formation and maturation in murine adipocytes, but not other cell types, and why it has no dramatic effect on murine adipose tissue. One possibility is that Rab18, while not a component of the basic LD formation machinery in cells, is involved in specific processes of LD growth in specialized cells such as adipocytes, which generate much larger, unilocular LDs (tens of microns diameter) than most cell types (0.5- to 2-μ diameter) using specific machinery, such as CIDE-C/Fsp27 (Nordström et al., 2005; Puri et al., 2007). Thus, Rab18 may be similarly involved in adipocyte-specific processes. An alternative explanation may be that Rab18 has functional redundancy with other Rab proteins in some cells (SUM159, AML12, HeLa, Cos7, and 293T) but not in murine adipocytes. Further studies are needed to clarify the physiological function of Rab18 and to determine the specific downstream molecular consequences of Rab18 recruitment to LD surfaces.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Antibodies**

We used rabbit polyclonal antibodies against Rab18 (ProteinTech; 11304-1-AP), ATGL (CST; 2138S), Calnexin (Enzo: ADI-SPA-860), reticulon 4 (Santa Cruz; Nogo N18 SC11027), and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-25778). Tip47 antibody from guinea pig was from Fitzgerald (20RTP001). Mouse (monoclonal) anti-α tubulin was from Sigma (T5168). We used HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies against mouse (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-2004), rabbit (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-516102), and guinea pig (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-2438) for immunoblotting and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (Abcam; ab150077) for immunofluorescence.

**Plasmids**

Human expression vectors were generated by cloning Rab18, Rab18(S22N), Rab18(Q67L), into pEGFP-C1 vector (Clontech) using BamHI and SalI and PLIN3 into pEGFP-C1 vector with pTK promoter using KpnI and BamHI. C-terminal mCherry-tagged ATGL(S47A) was expressed from pcDNA3.1 (Thermo Fisher), C-terminl GFP-tagged human GPAT4 from pcDNA-DEST47 vector (Thermo Fisher), C-terminal GFP-tagged KDEL from oxGFP vector (Addgene #68069), and mCherry-ER3 (Addgene #55041).

**Cell culture and transfection**

SUM159 cells (RRID:CVCL_5423) were obtained from the laboratory of Tomas Kirchhausen (Harvard Medical School) and were maintained in DMEM/F-12 GlutaMAX (Life Technologies) with 5 μg/ml insulin (Cell Applications), 1 μg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma), 5% FBS (Life Technologies 10082147), Thermo Fisher), 50 μg/ml streptomycin, and 50 U/ml penicillin. Where noted, cells were incubated with media containing 500 μM oleic acid complexed with 0.5% essentially fatty acid free bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma Aldrich). Transfection of plasmids into SUM159 cells was performed with FuGENE HD transfection reagent (Promega) 18–24 h before imaging.
Generating Rab18 knockouts in SUM159 cells

Three independent targeting sequences to direct Cas9 to exons 4, 5, and 7 of the Rab18 locus were used simultaneously as guide RNA (gRNA) in the Cas9 and gRNA expression plasmid px459 (Addgene) (primer sequences: exon 4, CACCGGAATAGTCCAAT CCTGAAG, AAACCTTCCAGATTGGACTATTTCC; exon 5, CACCCGCTGTGACTATAGCAAGC, AAACCGCTATTATAGAGGTGCACAGC; exon 7, CACCGCG TGAAGTCGATAGAAATGA, AAACGGTACCTTCCAGATTGGACTATTTCC). Either 600 μg of each gRNA px459 plasmid or 1 μg of empty px459 plasmid was transfected into 80,000 cells. Transfected cells were isolated by treatment with puromycin for 4 d, followed by single-cell sorting using flow-cell cytometry (FACS Aria II; BD Biosciences) as described (Ran et al., 2013). Knockout clones were verified by Western blot analysis of cell lysates using Rab18 antibodies and sequencing of PCR-amplified gRNA target regions subcloned into pCR Blunt II TOPO vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Positive clones were verified by quantitative PCR (qPCR) (sense primer: CCAAGTTATTATAGCGCAAAGT, and anti-sense primer: CAAGTTCTCAGAAGCGACATT) using power SYBR green (Life Technologies). For all experiments with Rab18 knockout cells, wild-type cells transfected with an empty px459 plasmid were used as control wild-type cells.

Imaging and image analysis

Live cell imaging was performed on a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope equipped with a CSU-X1 spinning disk confocal head (Yokogawa); 405-, 488-, 561-, or 639-nm laser lines; 100× ApoTIRF 1.4 NA objective (Nikon, Melville, NY); and iXon Ultra 897 electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) or Zyla 4.2 Plus scientific complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (sCMOS) cameras (Andor, Belfast, UK). Cells were annually tested for mycoplasma contamination by Universal Mycoplasma Detection Kit (American Type Culture Collection). For quantification of ER morphology, 3-μm Z stacks with 0.25-μm steps were acquired.

Imaging experiments of SUM159 cells were carried out in Life Technologies Fluoro-brite DMEM (Life Technologies) supplemented with SUM159 maintenance media components. LDs were stained with 0.5 μg/ml BODIPY 493/503 (Life Technologies) or HCS LipidTOX Deep Red Neutral Lipid Stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 20 min prior to imaging. Nuclei were stained with 1 μg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Thermo Fisher). For immunofluorescence experiments cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde (Polysciences) at RT for 20 min, washed three times, with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and stained for LDs and nuclei as described above. Twenty-four to 64 images were acquired per well.

High-throughput imaging was performed on an IN CELL Analyzer 6000 microscope (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) using a 60 x 0.95 NA objective. Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde (Polysciences) at RT for 20 min, washed three times, with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and stained for LDs and nuclei as described above. Twenty-four to 64 images were acquired per well.

Long Gold Antifade mounting medium with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and stored at 4°C.

SIM of living cells was performed on an OMX V4 Blaze (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) equipped with three PCO.edge sCMOS
cameras, 488- and 568-nm laser lines, and a 60 × 1.42 Plan Apochromat objective (Olympus). Usually 2.5-μm stacks with 0.125-μm step size with 15 raw images (three rotations with five phases each) per z-section were acquired. Spherical aberration was minimized by immersion oil matching (Hiraoka et al., 1990). Superresolution images were reconstructed from raw data sets with channel specific, measured optical transfer function and Wiener filter constant of 0.001 using a CUDA-accelerated three-dimensional SIM.

**FIGURE 5:** Rab18 deletion does not affect TG turnover. (A) LDs are degraded similarly in Rab18KO clones and WT cells with starvation. Representative images of WT, Rab18KO-A, and Rab18KO-B cells after 12 h OA loading (t = 0) and 24 or 48 h of starvation. LDs stained with BODIPY 493/503 and nuclei with Hoechst. Scale bar 5 µm and inlay 1 µm. (B) Rab18KO average BODIPY object area and number are similar to WT after 48 h starvation. WT and Rab18KO cells were incubated with oleic acid for indicated time points as in A. Cells were fixed and imaged by high-throughput microscopy. Average BODIPY object area and number per cell per field (>5 cells per field) was quantified per condition. n > 7 fields. WT vs. Rab18KO-A * in gray; WT vs. Rab18KO-B * in black. (C) Rab18KO clones have similar synthesis of TG and PE and less PC than WT cells with starvation. WT and Rab18KO cells were incubated with [14C] oleic acid for 18 h, followed by starvation for increasing time. Total lipids were extracted at each time point and separated by TLC to detect radiolabeled TG, PE, and PC levels. Representative autoradiographs of three replicates per genotype. (D) Quantified TG and PE levels are similar, and PC levels are less between Rab18KO clones and WT cells over time with starvation. TG, PC, and PE signals were quantified from TLC plates using Fiji. Data presented are normalized CPM to mg/ml protein and relative to WT at t = 0 h. n = 3 biological replicates. (E) Rab18KO clones have decreased free fatty acid release over time with starvation. The [14C]-labeled free fatty acid release measured over time with starvation after WT; Rab18KO-A, and Rab18KO-B cells were incubated with [14C] oleic acid for 18 h. n = 3 biological replicates.
reconstruction code based on Gustafsson et al. (2008). TetraSpeck beads (ThermoFisher) or a nano-grid control slide (GE) were used to measure axial and lateral chromatic misregistration. Experimental data sets were registered using the imwarp function in MATLAB (MathWorks).

For image analysis, LD area and number from high-throughput microscopy images were quantified using CellProfiler software (Carpenter et al., 2006). Two workflows were developed for analysis of images from oleic acid (OA) loading conditions and from starvation conditions. Rab18 localization in SIM images was quantified by segmenting mCherry-ER3 and GFP-Rab18 signals of sum projections from 0.375-μm stacks using Huang’s threshold. Total Rab18 integrated density was measured in the combined Rab18 and ER area, while LD integrated density was calculated in total area without the ER area.

Protein targeting was quantified using FIJI software (Schindelin et al., 2012). To identify the region of LDs, a threshold was applied to the BODIPY or LipidTox channels as developed by Prévost et al. (2018). The mean intensity of mCherry or GFP protein signal within the LD region was measured and divided by the mean intensity of the total signal in the cell.

Metabolic labeling and analysis of lipids
Incorporation of TG during fatty acid loading was measured by addition of 500 μM [14C]-oleic acid (25 μCi/μmol), followed by lipid and protein extraction in hexane/isopropanol (3:2) or radiomunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer, respectively. Lipid loading to thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates (Merck) was normalized to total protein. Phospholipids were separated in CHCl3/ CH3OH/ H2O (65:35:4) and neutral lipids in hexane/diethyl ether/acetic acid (80:20:1). TLC plates were exposed to imaging screens and scanned in a Typhoon FLA 7000 (GE Healthcare) to visualize radioactive compounds. For starvation experiments, cells were incubated with 500 μM [14C]-oleic acid (25 μCi/μmol) for 16 h, followed by three washes with PBS and addition of starvation medium (DMEM low glucose, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 1% penicillin and streptomycin, 1% essentially fatty acid-free BSA). At each time point, lipids and proteins were extracted as described above.

To measure free fatty acid release, 10% of medium was collected, time point, lipids and proteins were extracted as described above.

Subcellular fractionation
Cells were harvested, washed once with ice-cold PBS followed by a wash in homogenization buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8, Roche complete protease inhibitor tablet), and followed by resuspension in 1 ml of homogenization buffer (HB) and lysis through a 23G needle 30 times. NaCl was added to final concentration of 100 mM, and lysates were cleared for 10 min, 1000 x g and 8000 x g to remove unlysed cells, nuclei, and mitochondria. Cleared lysate was subjected to ultracentrifugation for 1 h at 100,000 x g at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in homogenization buffer, followed by SDS–PAGE and Western blot analysis. To purify LDs, the 100,000 x g supernatant was mixed 1:1 with 50% OptiPrep in HB and layered with 500 μl 16% OptiPrep, 1.5 ml 8% OptiPrep, 500 μl 2% OptiPrep, and 500 μl HB. Gradients were subjected to ultracentrifugation in a swinging bucket rotor (TLSS5, Beckman-Coulter) for 16 h at 150,000 x g at 4°C. LDs were collected from the top layer. Protein was precipitated from this layer, and the remaining layers collectively to analyze the cytosol (Wessel and Flügge, 1984). Protein concentration was determined by Bradford or absorption at 280 nm (nanodrop; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Mass spectrometry analyses of total cell lysates and LDs
Precipitated proteins from total cell lysates and purified LD fractions were resubilized in 100 mM NaOH aided by sonication at 4°C, and the solution was brought to pH 7.5 with 200 mM HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid). Proteins were reduced using 5 mM dithiothreitol (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 1 h, followed by alkylation cysteine residues using 15 mM iodoacetamide (Sigma-Aldrich) in the dark at RT for 1 h. Excessive iodoacetamide was quenched using 10 mM dithiothreitol. Protein mixtures were diluted in 1:6 ratio (vol/vol) using ultrapure water prior to digestion using sequencing grade trypsin (Promega) at 37°C for 16 h. Digested peptides were subsequently desalted using self-packed C18 STAGE tips (3M Empore) for liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis (Rappaport et al., 2003). De-salted peptides were resuspended in 0.1% (vol/vol) formic acid and loaded onto a high-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) system for analysis on an Orbitrap Q-Exactive HF (Thermo Fisher Scientific) mass spectrometer coupled to an Easy nanoLC 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The stationary phase buffer was 0.5% formic acid, and mobile phase buffer was 0.5% (vol/vol) formic acid in acetonitrile. Chromatography for peptide separation was performed using increasing organic proportion of acetonitrile (5–40% [vol/vol]) over a 265-min gradient) on a self-packed analytical column using a PicoTip emitter (New Objective, Woburn, MA) using Reprosil Gold 120 C-18, 1.9-μm particle size resin (Dr. Maisch, Ammerbuch-Entingen, Germany). The mass spectrometry analyzer operated in data-dependent acquisition mode with a top 10 method at a mass range of 300–2000 Da.

Mass spectrometry data were processed by MaxQuant software version 1.5.2.8 (Cox and Mann, 2008) with the following settings: oxidized methionine residues and protein N-terminal acetylation as variable modification, cysteine carbamidomethylation as fixed modification, first search peptide tolerance 20 ppm, and main search peptide tolerance 4.5 ppm. Peptide specificity was set to trypsin with up to two missed cleavages were allowed. Only peptides longer than five amino acids were analyzed, and the minimal ratio count to quantify a protein is 2 (proteome only). The false discovery rate was set to 1% for peptide and protein identifications. Database searches were performed using the Andromeda search engine integrated into the MaxQuant environment (Cox et al., 2011) against the UniProt-human database containing 71,579 entries (October 2017). "Matching between runs" algorithm with a time window of 0.7 min was employed to transfer identifications between samples processed using the same nanospray conditions. Protein tables were filtered to eliminate identifications from the reverse database and also common contaminants. Mass spectrometry source files generated were deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository (Vizcaíno et al., 2016) with the data set identifier PXD009683.

Statistical analysis
Data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance of qPCR data was analyzed by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. For high-throughput imaging data that were not normally distributed, the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test for single comparisons was used. The following analyses for normally distributed data were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0: for free fatty acid release from
the same samples over time, statistical significance was evaluated by repeated measures two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); for quantification of protein targeting, one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey post-hoc test. For all other data involving multiple comparisons, statistical significance was evaluated by two-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni post-hoc test. For all analyses, values of \( p < 0.01 \) were considered statistically significant, **\( p < 0.01 \); ***\( p < 0.001 \). All experiments were repeated at least two independent times.
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