The role of altered fatty acid in pathological scars and their dermal fibroblasts
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Abstract

Purpose: The proposed pathological mechanism for scar formation is controversial, and increased attention has been paid to the fatty acids (FAs) in the formation of pathological scars. Notably, FAs are known to be important in inflammation and mechanotransduction, which is closely related to scar formation. Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the roles of FA in scar formation.

Methods: Hypertrophic scar and keloid formed for more than a year and without other treatment, as well as normal skin samples were obtained from patients who underwent plastic surgery. Finally, keloids (n = 10), hypertrophic scars (n = 10), and normal skin samples (n = 10) were collected under informed consent. Primary dermal fibroblasts were isolated and cultured. The amount and variety of FAs were detected by lipid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Immunohistochemistry, real-time PCR, and western blotting were used to verify the expression of sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1 (SREBP1) and fatty acid synthase (FASN) in the samples and their fibroblasts. Student’s t-test, ANOVA, and orthogonal partial least square discriminant analysis were performed for statistical analysis (**p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001).

Results: Compared with full-thickness normal skin, there were 27 differential FAs in keloids and 15 differential FAs in hypertrophic scars (**p < 0.05 and variable influence on projection >1.0). The expression of SREBP1 and FASN was lower in pathological scars both at mRNA and protein levels (all **p < 0.05). However, the mRNA levels of SREBP1 (****p = 0.0002) and FASN (****p = 0.0021) in keloid-derived fibroblasts were higher than that in normal skin fibroblasts (NFBs), while the expression in hypertrophic scar-derived fibroblasts was lower than that in NFBs (both *p < 0.05). Whereas there was no significant difference in FASN protein expression between keloid-derived fibroblasts and NFBs (p > 0.05).

Conclusion: FAs involved in pathological scars are abnormally changed in scar formation. Thus, fatty acid-derived inflammation and de novo synthesis pathway of FA may play a key role in the formation of pathological scars.
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Introduction

Pathological scars, which mainly consist of hypertrophic scars and keloids, are large areas of proliferating fibroblasts accompanied by disordered apoptosis, excessive deposition of collagen and glycoproteins in the extracellular matrix.1–3 The proposed pathological mechanism of scar formation is controversial, and studies have focused mainly on genetic,3 vascular,4 immunological, neurogenic,5 and nutritional factors.6 Moreover, increasing attention has been paid to the effect of lipids in the formation of pathological scars, and fatty acid (FA) is an important class of functional lipids.7

The lipid profiles in pathological scars showed constitutional changes. From a nutritional perspective, keloids contained decreased levels of membrane essential fatty acid (EPA),8 linoleic acid8 and increased arachidonic acid (AA),9 less abundant of cholesterol esters, wax esters, triglycerides, and ceramides compared to normal skin.10 Notably, lipids are related to various pathological mechanisms, including inflammation, secondary messengers and mechanotransduction.11 Moreover, the potential biological effects of FAs on wound healing have been investigated, and treatments related to FAs in scars have been studied.12–13
However, the altered FAs involved in pathological scars compared to normal skin remain unclear.

FAs include saturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs). PUFAs are EFAs that cannot be synthesized in vivo, but the components of their metabolism are important inflammation-related factors. So, the metabolism and dietary intake of PUFAs may influence the formation of pathological scars. Additionally, some kinds of FAs could be synthesized in vivo. In the process of FA synthesis in the human body, sterol regulatory element binding protein 1 (SREBP1) and fatty acid synthase (FASN) are the key enzymes of endogenous syntrophic fatty acid synthesis.

The role of FAs plays in pathological scars is worthy of researching. As a result, we conducted this study to (1) determine whether the FA content in pathological scars differs from that in normal skins, (2) explore whether the expression of SREBP1 and FASN in pathological scars and their dermal fibroblasts is abnormal, and (3) speculate on the relationship between the processes of FA synthesis and metabolism and the pathological scars.

Methods

Sample information

The investigative protocol was approved by the local ethics committee, and informed consent has been obtained from each patient. Hypertrophic scar and keloid formed for more than a year and normal skin samples were obtained from patients who underwent plastic surgery (Department of Burn and Plastic Surgery, the Fourth Medical Centre, Chinese PLA General Hospital and Plastic Surgery Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College). Patients with less than 1 year of scar formation or with other treatments will be excluded. Patients with underlying disease would also be excluded. Ultimately, a total of 30 samples were collected, and hematoxylin and eosin staining (Fig. 1) was used to determine the sample type.

Fibroblast culture

Dermal tissues were washed 3 times with phosphate buffer saline and then minced into pieces (about 1 mm). Pieces were explanted in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco BRL, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco BRL, NY, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Solarbio, China) at 37°C in 5% carbon dioxide. The medium was changed every 2 days. At 7–10 days after the primary culture, it was confirmed that the cells had proliferated on the dishes from the edge of the explanted tissue. Then, the cells were passaged 1–2 times per week.

Immunohistochemistry

Paraffin-embedded tissue sections of 4 μm serial sections were subjected to gradual deparaffinization. Antigen retrieval was carried out by immersing the slides in citrate buffer and incubating for 10 min at 100°C. Sections were rinsed in water and blocked in 10% normal goat IgG for 20 min. The samples were incubated in primary mouse monoclonal anti-SREBP1 and anti-FASN antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, US) diluted 1:200 in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Slides were washed in phosphate buffer saline, followed by 20 min incubation in 3% hydrogen peroxide in dH2O. Biotin-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (ZSGQ-BIO, China) was added for 20 min at room temperature. DAB reagent (Beyotime Biotechnology, China) was applied for 2–3 min, and hematoxylin (Beyotime Biotechnology, China) was applied for 2 min to retain nuclei.

Real-time PCR (RT-PCR)

A TRIzol reagent kit (Invitrogen, USA) was used for RNA extraction. The isolated RNA was reverse transcribed into complementary DNA using the Prime Script RT Reagent kit (Takara, China). Primers were obtained from Takara Biotechnology. Quantitative PCR was performed using the CFX96™ real-time system (Bio-Rad, USA), using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara, China) in a 12 μl PCR solution. The primer sequences are listed in Table 1. The results were normalized against the mean Ct values for GAPDH using the ΔCt method as follows: ΔCt = Ct gene of interest - mean Ct (GAPDH). The fold increase was calculated as 2^−ΔΔCt.

Western blot analysis

Radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was used to lyse cells for 10 min on ice. Cells were then centrifuged at 14,000×g at 4°C to remove cell debris. Samples containing 50 μg of protein were loaded onto a 5%–10% polyacrylamide gel, separated by electrophoresis and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The markers were tested by exposing the membranes to primary antibodies (Santa, USA). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse (Epizyme, China) antibody was used as the secondary antibody. Proteins were visualized with an enhanced chemiluminescence system using a

Table 1. The sequences for primers.

| Primer  | Forward | Reverse |
|---------|---------|---------|
| SREBP1  | CCTAAGTCTGGGACCTGCTGTC | CCTAAGTCTGGGACCTGCTGTC |
| FASN    | AGGAGAGACGGAGACCTTGGG | CCAAGTCTGGGACCTGCTGTC |
| GAPDH   | GCCCGTCAAGGCTGCAAC | GGCGTCAAGGCTGCAAC |

SREBP1: sterol regulatory element binding proteins 1; FASN: fatty acid synthase; GAPDH: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
Bio-Rad Gel Doc XR+ (Bio-Rad, USA). Blots were detected using ImageLab™ software, version 5.1 (Bio-Rad).

Targeted metabolic profiling of FAs

Each sample was mixed with 10 prechilled zirconium oxide beads and 20 μL deionized water. After homogenized for 3 min, 150 μL methanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) containing internal standard was added to extract the metabolites. Supernatant was transferred to the 96-well plate after centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 20 min; and 20 μL derivative reagents were added to each well, which was sealed, and derivatization at 30 °C for 60 min. Then, 400 μL of an ice-cold 50% methanol solution was added to the samples after evaporated for 2 h. The plate was stored at −20 °C for 20 min, followed by centrifugation at 4000 × g for 30 min. A 135 μL volume of supernatant was transferred to a new plate with 15 μL internal standards. Finally, the plate was sealed for lipid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software 8.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student’s *t*-test at a significance level of 5% were used to determine statistically significant differences. The LC-MS data were analyzed by orthogonal partial least square discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) using QuanMET software (Ver. 2.0, Metabo-Profile, Shanghai, China) (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001). The values are shown in the figures.

Results

Targeted metabolic profiling of FAs

In total, 52 FAs, including 8 short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), were detected. Results of OPLS-DA showed 27 differential FAs in keloids (Table 2) and 15 differential FAs in hypertrophic scars (Table 3) compared to full-thickness normal skin (p < 0.05 and variable influence on projection >1.0), respectively. Among the altered SCFAs, the expression of butyric acid, isobutyric acid, valeric acid and succinic acid were the highest in hypertrophic scars, followed by normal skins, and significantly decreased in keloids. Of the other 44 FAs, we found that differential expression was in 22 FAs in keloids compared with normal skins. However, only 13 differential FAs were identified in hypertrophic scars.

The expression of SREBP1 in hypertrophic scars and keloids

In the whole layer tissue, the results of immunohistochemistry (Fig. 2) and RT-PCR (Fig. 3A) showed that the mRNA expression of SREBP1 was lower in hypertrophic scars and keloids than in normal tissues. However, at the level of dermal fibroblasts, the expression of SREBP1 in KFBs was higher than that in NFBs, while its expression in hypertrophic scar-derived fibroblasts (HFBs) was lower than that in NFB both at the mRNA and protein level (Figs. 3B and C).

The expression of FASN in hypertrophic scars and keloids

As shown in immunohistochemistry (Fig. 4) and RT-PCR (Fig. 5A) of full-thickness skin tissues, the expression of FASN was downregulated in hypertrophic scars and keloids. However, the mRNA expression of FASN in KFBs was higher than that in NFBs, but decreased in HFBs (Fig. 5B). In terms of protein expression, the fibroblasts showed a slightly different trend: there was no significant difference in FASN protein expression between KFBs and NFBs (Fig. 5C).

From the above results, we found that the expression of SREBP1 and FASN in hypertrophic scars and keloids was lower than that in normal skin in terms of full-thickness skin, which is consistent with the trend in long-chain SFA in pathological scars. However, at the level of dermal fibroblasts, the mRNA expression of SREBP1 and FASN in KFBs was higher than that of NFBs, while was lower in

### Table 2

| Metabolite                     | VIP  | p value | Expression |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------------|
| Fatty acids                   |      |         |            |
| Ethylmethy lactic acid        | 2.15 | <0.0001 | Down       |
| 2-Hydroxy-3-methylbutyric acid| 1.74 | 0.0004  | Up         |
| Formic acid                   | 1.68 | 0.0008  | Down       |
| Docosapentanoic acid          | 1.64 | 0.0011  | Up         |
| Arachidonic acid              | 1.64 | 0.0011  | Up         |
| Eicosapentaenoic acid         | 1.57 | 0.0021  | Up         |
| 2-Methylvaleric acid          | 1.54 | 0.0026  | Down       |
| 5-Dodecenoic acid             | 1.46 | 0.0050  | Down       |
| 8,11,14-Eicosatrienoic acid   | 1.43 | 0.0061  | Up         |
| Adrenic acid                  | 1.43 | 0.0064  | Up         |
| Iaconic acid                  | 1.34 | 0.0118  | Down       |
| Myristoleic acid              | 1.33 | 0.0123  | Down       |
| 10Z-Nonadecenoic acid         | 1.32 | 0.0132  | Down       |
| Methylglutaric acid           | 1.32 | 0.0132  | Down       |
| DPAn-6                        | 1.28 | 0.0166  | Up         |
| Docosahexaenoic acid          | 1.28 | 0.0166  | Up         |
| Alpha-Linolenic acid          | 1.26 | 0.0185  | Down       |
| Isocapric acid                | 1.24 | 0.0212  | Down       |
| Heptanoic acid                | 1.17 | 0.0307  | Down       |
| 12-Hydroxyeicosatic acid      | 1.02 | 0.0644  | Down       |
| Dodecanoic acid               | 1.02 | 0.0653  | Down       |
| Sebacic acid                  | 1.00 | 0.0697  | Down       |
| Short-chain fatty acids       |      |         |            |
| Butyric acid                  | 2.05 | <0.0001 | Down       |
| Valeric acid                  | 1.62 | 0.0014  | Down       |
| Isovaleric acid               | 1.48 | 0.0045  | Down       |
| Malonic acid                  | 1.43 | 0.0065  | Down       |

VIP: variable influence on projection.

* “down” means the expression of this fatty acid in keloid was lower than that in normal skin; on the contrary, “up” means this fatty acid in keloid was higher expression.

### Table 3

| Metabolite                     | VIP  | p value | Expression |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|------------|
| Fatty acids                   |      |         |            |
| Ethylmethy lactic acid        | 2.41 | 0.0001  | Down       |
| Adrenic acid                  | 2.09 | 0.0019  | Up         |
| Methylmalonic acid            | 2.07 | 0.0021  | Up         |
| Docosapentanoic acid          | 1.93 | 0.0048  | Up         |
| Arachidonic acid              | 1.90 | 0.0058  | Up         |
| Eicosapentaenoic acid         | 1.78 | 0.0108  | Up         |
| Myristoleic acid              | 1.72 | 0.0149  | Down       |
| 2-Hydroxy-3-methylbutyric acid| 1.61 | 0.0238  | Up         |
| Myristic acid                 | 1.58 | 0.0271  | Down       |
| DPAn-6                        | 1.55 | 0.0306  | Down       |
| Palmitoleic acid              | 1.55 | 0.0311  | Down       |
| 12-Teodecenoic acid           | 1.50 | 0.0372  | Down       |
| Tridecanoic acid              | 1.47 | 0.0413  | Down       |
| Short-chain fatty acids       |      |         |            |
| Succinic acid                 | 1.81 | 0.0096  | Up         |
| Acetic acid                   | 1.74 | 0.0134  | Down       |

VIP: variable influence on projection.

* “down” means the expression of this fatty acid in hypertrophic scar was lower than that in normal skin; on the contrary, “up” means this fatty acid in hypertrophic scar was higher expression.
HFBs. The difference between full-thickness skin and dermal fibroblasts may be attributed to expression in the epidermis.

Discussion

The changed FAs in pathological scars and inflammation

Pathological scars are thought to be closely related to the occurrence of inflammation. Prostaglandins (PGs) are key components of inflammatory processes. Furthermore, PGs have been described as critical components in wound healing, tissue regeneration and fibrosis, such as PGE2, PGD2 and 15d-PGJ2. In addition, PGs are the main components of PUFA metabolism. Omega-6 and omega-3 FAs are the two major families of PUFAs. Excessive production of AA-derived eicosanoids, which including PGs, leukotrienes, and thromboxanes, are associated with the regulation and mediation of inflammatory processes. Cells involved in the inflammatory response are typically rich in the omega-6 fatty acid AA, but the AA contents can be altered through the administration of EPA and DHA. In our study, most PUFAs, such as AA, DPA, EPA, and DPAn-6, were more highly expressed in keloids and hypertrophic scars.

Moreover, SCFAs are also related to the regulation of inflammation, especially butyrate and propionate. A lack of SCFAs produces inflammatory mediators by inhibiting the activation of mast cells. In this study, a lack of SCFAs, such as butyric acid, was observed in keloids. This would influence the apoptosis, proliferation and differentiation of keratin-derived fibroblasts and cause collagen deposition. An in vitro study on keloid treatment in which butyrate was applied to keratin-derived fibroblasts for coculture verified this speculation. The results show that butyrate inhibits fibroblast proliferation, type III collagen expression, and PGE2 production but upregulates the expression of cyclooxygenase 1.

The FAs de novo synthesis and fast-proliferating KFBs

In addition to dietary intake, SFAs are FAs that could be synthesized by human body. FASN and SREBP1 are key factors in this process. Pathological scars are tumor-like tissue, and dermal-derived fibroblasts are fast-proliferating cells. Increased lipid production is one of the important metabolic markers of rapidly proliferating cells. Most normal cells obtain lots of FAs directly from the circulation to meet their metabolic and material synthetic needs. In tumor cells, which are rapidly proliferating cells, more than 90% of FAs, so-called de novo synthesis endogenous FAs, are obtained through self-synthesis. This process is completely independent of the normal pathway of FA synthesis.

Moreover, SREBP-1 and FASN are closely related to the abnormal FA synthesis of rapidly proliferating cells. SREBP-1 is an important transcription factor that regulates the synthesis of sterols and lipids in the de novo synthesis pathway and directly regulates the
expression of key enzymes. FASN, which provides the energy needed for the survival of proliferating cells, is a critical enzyme in the abnormal metabolism of endogenous syntrophic saturated fatty acid.22,23 The activity of FASN was found to be increased in a variety of rapidly proliferating cells, and this increase was closely related to poor prognosis.24,25 KFBs are also abnormally active and proliferative cells, and the expression of SREBP and FASN in the de novo synthesis of FAs would be a direction for the mechanism of keloids. The mechanism of pathological scar formation is generally focused on dermis-derived fibroblasts. According to the results, at the level of dermal fibroblasts, the mRNA expression of SREBP1 and FASN in KFBs was higher than that of NFBs, while its expression in HFBs was decreased (**p < 0.001). Then we speculate that the de novo FA synthesis pathway is abnormal in pathological scars and this process is active in keloid fibroblasts but inactive in hypertrophic scar fibroblasts.

The PUFAs diet and scar formation

Nutrition and treatment related to the FAs on pathological scars are also concerned by doctors. Given that some PUFAs exhibit different levels in pathological scars, we believe that EFA-related intake and dietary habits may affect pathological scars formation. Omega-6 PUFAs derived from linoleic acid and omega-3 PUFAs derived from Alpha-Linolenic acid are EFAs involved in the body's functions. LA and Alpha-Linolenic acid must be wholly derived from the diet.26 A retrospective questionnaire study performed in South Africa by Louw et al.27 found that individuals with keloids consumed higher levels of LA and AA, and the consumption of omega-6 PUFAs was lower than the amount recommended by the joint World Health Organization/Food and Agriculture Organization (5%–8% of the PUFAs).28 Based on these findings, some scholars have carried out several studies on the treatment of pathological scars with FA-related substances and achieved certain results.12,13 Furthermore, an in vitro study found that DHA has antifibrogenic effects on keloid fibroblasts.29

In conclusions, the synthesis and metabolism of FAs are important parts of regulation of the body. The type and quantity of FAs in hypertrophic scars and keloids are different from normal skin, and in the process of endogenous FA synthesis, the expression of SREBP1 and FASN in pathological scar-derived dermal fibroblasts is abnormal. Thus, FA synthesis and metabolism would be critical directions in pathological scar formation, and in-depth study would be done for the prevention and treatment of pathological scars.
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