Effects of Relative Humidity on the Diurnal Variation of Raindrop Size Distribution in Southwest China
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This study examined the effects of relative humidity (RH) on the diurnal variation of raindrop size distribution (RSD) over valley topography (Zigui region) of China from 2019 to 2020 based on a two-dimensional video disdrometer (2DVD), auto-weather station (AWS) and ERA5. The RSD structure of daytime shows a triple peaks when the RH is lower than 60% and it shows a single peak in other RH conditions, for the RSD of nighttime, the RSD structure shows a single peak when the RH is greater than 60%, and the RSD shape shows a bimodal structure with RH ≤ 60%. Significant day-night difference was found in RSDs between short-duration precipitation Amount (SPA) and long-duration precipitation Amount (LPA) under the different RH conditions. The daytime RSDs of SPA showed broader distributions than the nighttime RSDs, whereas the nighttime RSDs of LPA exhibited the opposite to that of SPA. Higher ground RH modified the RSD shape through raindrop sorting, collision-coalescence and breakup resulting with the formation of large size raindrops. Under the effect of same RH, the melting and collision-coalescence of large raindrops in the daytime of SPA are obviously stronger than those of LPA. This difference may be related to the near-surface wind filed and the intensity of convection.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Rain microphysical structure is basic to cloud physics of rain formation, and the raindrop size distribution (RSD) is an important microphysical characteristic of precipitation. The importance of RSD mainly is reflected in the three aspects: estimation or retrieval of DSD parameters and rainfall rates (Bringi et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2017; Adirosi et al., 2014), attenuation correction at C-and X-bands (Gorgucci et al., 2001; Thurai et al., 2017), and evaluating propagation effects in rain (Ryzhkov et al., 2005). Moreover, the information of range, coverage, resolution, precision and continuity with precipitation is obtained, which gives a great opportunity to improve microphysical scheme, climate models and rainfall prediction (Abel and Boutle, 2012; Thurai et al., 2017).

Previous studies have reported the changes of RSD in different climatic region and rain types from diurnal to seasonal scales by using the disdrometer data (Bringi et al., 2003; Rao, 2005; Thurai et al., 2010; Kumar and Reddy, 2013; Dolan et al., 2018; Seela et al., 2018; Suh et al., 2021). For example, Kozu et al. (2005) studied the diurnal and seasonal variations of RSD in the Asian monsoon region (Gadanki, Singapore and Kototabang), and the diurnal convective cycles and seasonal variations of precipitation have significant influences on the characteristic of RSD. Suh et al. (2016) found that the
frequency of Dm is higher at nighttime than during the daytime when $D_m > 0.65$ mm. For $N_w$, which tends to be inversely related to $D_m$, its frequency is higher at nighttime than during the daytime when $\log_{10}(N_w) > 3.9$ m$^{-3}$ mm$^{-1}$. Chen et al. (2017) revealed that little difference was found in stratiform DSDs between day and night, and daytime convective DSDs had a higher mass-weighted mean diameters (Dm) and lower generalized intercepts (NW) than the nighttime DSDs.

The middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River basin is one of the regions where heavy rainfall is frequent. Especially, when the East Asian summer monsoon breaks out and advances northward to this region, the extremely frequent convective activities often cause strong precipitation and bring serious flood disasters (Ding and Chan, 2005; Yu and Li, 2012; Yu et al., 2021). Previous studies have revealed the fact that there is diurnal variation in precipitation in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River, and pointed out that the convective burst from afternoon to night caused by the difference in surface radiative heating is the main period for the formation of heavy rainfall (Held and Soden, 2006; Tang et al., 2022). Zhou et al. (2008) revealed that the two peaks of the diurnal variation of precipitation in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River occurred in the afternoon and early morning, respectively. Yu et al. (2007a) and Yu et al. (2007b) found that the afternoon precipitation peaks are often caused by convective activities with a short time (<3 h), while the night-to-morning precipitation peaks are related to convective activities with a long time in the central and eastern China (>6 h). These research results have enriched the understanding of the diurnal variation of precipitation in the Yangtze River Basin and its formation mechanism. However, what are the characteristics of the RSD corresponding to the diurnal variation of precipitation, and what are the microphysical processes that cause the diurnal variation of the RSD are still unclear.

Due to the formation of diurnal variation of precipitation is often related to various diurnal variation in the atmosphere, some studies revealed that relative humidity also has obvious diurnal variation (Zhang et al., 2008) and linked with convective initiation (Sobel and Bretherton, 2000), and the variation of relative humidity have an impact on the coalescence of small drops (Ochs III et al., 1995). Therefore, the relative humidity may be a key factor to affect convective cloud dynamics and microphysical processes, and the relative humidity also changes the RSD structure. Coupled with the effect of valley topography, the valley effect causes a pronounced difference between the daytime and nighttime RSD characteristics (Suh et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017; Zagrodnik et al., 2018).

In addition to the observational analysis, the diurnal variation of RSD with different relative humidity, the day-night RSD difference between short-duration precipitation amount (SPA) and long-duration precipitation amount (LPA) and the day-night RSD difference between the convective and stratiform rainfall in SPA and LPA were studied. A brief description of observation data and rain integral parameters are provided in Section 2. Observational analysis is given in Section 3. The conclusions are summarized in the last section along with a discussion on future research.

2 DATA SOURCE AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Data Source

The data sets used in this study were collected at Zigui national weather station (ZG: 30.83°N, 110.96°E, and elevation is 295.5 m) from September 2019 to August 2020. The topography of ZG belongs to the mountainous landform of the Three Gorges of the Yangtze River in China, and the region strongly influenced by the southwest vortex. The southwest vortex generated in the southeast of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and it is a low-value vortex system with cyclonic circulation in the lower troposphere. Its development and eastward movement can bring heavy rainfall to the adjacent areas of the plateau (Jiang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020; You et al., 2021). The geographic location of the ZG site is shown in Figure 1A.

The 2DVD used was the current third-generation version manufactured by Joanneum Research at the Institute for Applied Systems Technology in Graz, Austria (2DVD, sn116) (Schönhuber et al., 1994). The core element of 2DVD has two orthogonally placed line scan cameras and illumination sources, and produces a horizontal measuring area of 10 cm × 10 cm. The horizontal imaging resolution of the unit is approximately 0.2 mm. The temporal resolution for the 2DVD data is 1 min in this study. The reanalysis wind field and relative humidity at 850 hpa from the ERA5 reanalysis data (0.25° × 0.25°, hourly) from 2019 to 2020 are used to elucidate the climatological background and the diurnal variation of relative humidity (RH) and ground temperature (T).

It has been frequently noticed that during heavy rain, there are a number of particle velocity outliers measured by the 2DVD due to raindrops that are wrongly matched by two cameras of 2DVD, and the mismatch will lead to incorrect estimates of drops shape and velocity (Yuter et al., 2006). Based on a recommended falling speeds deviating more than ±40% from the falling speed versus diameter relationship (Atlas and Ulbrich, 2000), the total number of raindrops is less than 10 or those that correspond to rain rates that are less than 0.1 mm h$^{-1}$ are also eliminated from dataset for each 1 min data (Tokay et al., 2013). The scatter plots of rainfall show a higher correlation coefficients between 2DVD and AWS measurements (Figure 1B). This clearly indicates that the rain integral parameters derived from 2DVD can be used to understand the RSD characteristics in ZG site. Additionally, due to the wind tower and 2DVD are on the same horizontal plane, we believe that the observation period is affected by the same horizontal winds. Lin et al. (2021) indicated the optical disdrometer may underestimate the rain rate in strong-wind environments during tropical cyclones, so we choose the DSD data corresponding to horizontal wind speed less than 14 m s$^{-1}$.

2.2 Methodology

The integral rainfall parameters are derived by the RSD moments, including the mass-weighted mean diameter ($D_m$ mm), normalized intercept parameter ($N_w$: mm m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$), rain rate ($R$: mm h$^{-1}$), liquid water content ($LWC$: g m$^{-3}$), radar reflectivity ($Z$: dBZ). The normalized intercept parameter $N_w$ represents $N(D)$
when raindrops diameter approaches to its minimum value. The slope parameter ($\Lambda$) designates the truncation of RSD tail with drop diameter. The shape ($\mu$) parameter represents the breadth of the RSD shape. The well-known gamma function is used to obtain the distribution of RSD (Ulbrich, 1983), and the gamma size distribution is given as follows:

$$N(D) = N_0 D^\mu \exp(-\Lambda D)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N(D)$ is the RSD (m$^{-3}$ mm$^{-1}$), $D$ is the raindrop diameter (mm), $N_0$ is the intercept parameter (mm$^{-1}$ m$^{-3}$), $\mu$ (dimensionless) and $\Lambda$ (mm$^{-1}$) are the shape and slope parameters, respectively.

$$M_n = \int_0^\infty D^n N(D)dD$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $M_n$ is the nth-order moment of the RSD for the gamma RSD model.

$$\mu = \frac{(11Q - 7) + \sqrt{1 + 14Q + Q^2}}{2(1 - Q)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where

$$Q = \frac{M_3^4}{M_6 M_3^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and

$$\Lambda = \sqrt{(4 + \mu)(3 + \mu)} \frac{D^2}{(D_m^2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Parameters $D_m$ and $N_W$ are reference parameters for a RSD and the distribution of $D_m$ and $N_W$ can directly express the nature of precipitation and the microphysical characteristics of precipitation.

$$D_m = \frac{M_4}{M_3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$N_W = \frac{4^4}{\pi \rho_w} \left( \frac{10^3 LWC}{D_m^4} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where $\rho_w$ is the water density (the value is assumed to 1.0 g cm$^{-3}$), and the above equation was derived from Bringi et al. (2003).

Based on the quality-controlled datasets, the definition of persistent rainfall as followed: the precipitation intensity has been greater than 0.1 mm h$^{-1}$ and the duration is greater than 1 h at the beginning of precipitation. Therefore, 59 persistent rainfall events between 9 September in 2019 and 26 August in 2020 are used to analyze the diurnal variation of precipitation and RSD.

The hourly precipitation data from September 2019 to August 2020 are analyzed and the number of total precipitation and total precipitation hours are calculated for each hour in every day. For a certain hour of the day, the total number of hours is 304 h. Based on the statistical results, the hourly climate averaged precipitation frequency (PF), and precipitation intensity (PI) for each hour of the day are further calculated as follows:

$$PF = \left( \frac{\text{Total precipitation hours}}{304} \right) \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$PI = \frac{\text{Total precipitation}}{PF}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Since different property of precipitation corresponds to different durations, and has an important effect on the diurnal variation of precipitation (Yu et al., 2007a; Chen et al., 2010; Han et al., 2017), and Moreover, the dominant microphysical processes corresponding to precipitation with different durations are also different. In order to investigate the differences of RSD between different precipitation, we will focus the discussion on the RSD

FIGURE 1 | Location of the observation site at Zigui site (A) and the comparison of hourly precipitation between 2DVD and AWS (B).
differences between SPA (Short-duration Precipitation Amount, duration of precipitation is less than 3 h, and referenced from Yu et al. (2007b) and LPA (Long-duration Precipitation Amount, duration of precipitation is longer than 6 h) based on the different HR, and the differences of convective and stratiform rainfall between SPA and LPA. Mean and standard deviation values of $D_m$, $\log_{10}N_w$, LWC, $Z$ ($\text{dBZ}$), $\mu$ and $\Lambda$ in daytime and nighttime are given in Table 1.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Synoptic Environment and Precipitation Daily Variation

Figure 2 shows the seasonal mean of the ERA5 reanalysis wind field and relative humidity at 850 hPa from the year 2019–2020. As can be seen, the warm and moist air is transported from the Indian Ocean and South China Sea to the continent by the dominant southwesterly winds, and the mean relative humidity is around 70%–80% in ZG region. In the context of terrain obstruction (Figure 1), the southwest warm and humid airflow formed a vortex around the mountain range nearby ZG region, and the average relative humidity of this vortex was maintained above 80%. If there is a low-pressure trough or vortex moving eastward on the Tibetan Plateau, the heavy rainfall or extreme precipitation will easily occur at ZG region under the combined effect of topography and thermal conditions in the mountains (Wang et al., 2020).

Based on the synoptic environments described above, the hourly precipitation frequency (PF) and precipitation intensity (PI) were calculated by using the hour precipitation data of auto weather station (AWS), it can be found that the occurrence and development of precipitation had obvious the characteristic of daily variation in Figure 3. The peak of PF occurs mainly at 0900 BJT during the daytime (DT), and the secondary peak occurs at 2300 BJT during the night-time (NT) (Figures 3A,B). The PI peaks occur at 1800 BJT and 2200 BJT, and this is consistent with the results of Yu et al. (2007b). From the diurnal variation of hourly precipitation, it can be inferred that the precipitation can be triggered in the early morning over valley terrain. The surface temperature increases during the DT by the influences of solar shortwave radiation, atmospheric instability and convective weather activity are also increased, which results in the increasing of short time heavy precipitation (Yu et al., 2007a). Although the PF$_{NT}$ and PI$_{NT}$ peaks are slightly smaller than that of DT precipitation, the PI value of NT is significantly greater than that of DT, suggesting that the NT precipitation is mainly caused by persistent precipitation, and this is closely related to large-scale circulation and stratiform precipitation (Chen et al., 2010). Because of the weakening of the atmospheric thermal effect at NT and the weakening of the thermal convective precipitation, which leads to the gradually weaken of the precipitation system, so that the PF has a valley value at 0700 in the early morning (Figure 3A). In addition, the ground temperature reduced by 5°C at the time of PF minimum, and the RH decreased from 93% at the beginning of precipitation to about 86% in Figures 3C,D. Precipitation was found to enhance with decreasing temperature and humidity under high temperature and high humidity conditions, which Utsumi et al. (2011) suggested was mainly caused by the long duration of precipitation.

#### 3.2 Diurnal Variations of RSDs With Respect to Different Relative Humidity

Past studies have suggested that the variation of precipitation with temperature is mainly related to water vapor conditions (Fan et al., 2007; Qian et al., 2010), and the above studies have also shown that the diurnal variation of precipitation is related to the RH. Considering that RSD is the main microphysical parameter to characterize precipitation, it is necessary to analyze the diurnal variation of RSD and the difference of diurnal variation of RSD under different RH conditions.

The 2D-based RSD distributions illustrate the distributions of $D_m$, $\log_{10}N_w$, LWC, $Z$ ($\text{dBZ}$), $\mu$, and $\Lambda$ in daytime and nighttime. The scale of the wind vector (10 m s$^{-1}$) is marked by wind arrows at the top of panels, while relative humidity is revealed by the color bar.

### Table 1: Mean (standard deviation) of several integral RSD parameters for rainfall.

| Rain types | Samples | $D_m$ | $\log_{10}N_w$ | LWC | $Z$ ($\text{dBZ}$) | $\mu$ | $\Lambda$ |
|------------|---------|-------|----------------|------|-------------------|-------|---------|
| All        | 15,169  | 1.07  | 0.4            | 3.71 | 0.55              | 0.14  | 0.25    | 22.3    | 8.6     | 7.9     | 10.2    | 14.0    | 16.1    |
| Daytime    | 9,284   | 1.03  | 0.33           | 3.73 | 0.52              | 0.13  | 0.24    | 21.7    | 8.2     | 8.1     | 10.5    | 14.0    | 17.8    |
| Nighttime  | 5,885   | 1.12  | 0.4            | 3.69 | 0.59              | 0.15  | 0.26    | 23.2    | 9.2     | 7.6     | 10.3    | 13.9    | 16.1    |

**FIGURE 2** Mean wind field and relative humidity (%) at 850 hPa based on the ERA5 data. The scale of the wind vector (10 m s$^{-1}$) is marked by wind arrows at the top of panels, while relative humidity is revealed by the color bar.

**FIGURE 5** illustrates the distributions of $D_m$, $\log_{10}N_w$, $\mu$, LWC, $Z$ ($\text{dBZ}$), $\mu$, and $\Lambda$ in daytime and nighttime.
The minimum and maximum Dm values occurred at 05:00 and 04:00 BJT, respectively (Figure 5A). The Dm larger than 1.0 mm dominated from 00:00 to 09:00 BJT (before PF1_peak), and then the mean Dm decreasing remarkably between 09:00 to 14:00 BJT. The maximum Dm at 14:00 BJT is significantly smaller than the other times, but the RH is close to 95% at this time. Moreover, the RH gradually decreases before PI1_peak, and the maximum Dm shows an increasing trend at the moment. The Nw distribution showed inversely to Dm; however, no inverse relationship was identified between Dm and Nw after the PI1_peak (Figure 5B).

For the distribution of µ and LWC, there was an increasing trend from 17:00 to 23:00 BJT followed by a remarkably decreasing trend from 00:00 to 08:00 BJT (Figure 5C). Note that the time of the sharp decline for RH between 14:00 and 17:00 BJT is simultaneous with the mean of µ value increasing. Then, the LWC shows a valley at 14:00 BJT, corresponding to RH being
close to saturation state. Moreover, the LWC trend shows a gradually increases when RH decreases sharply (Figure 5D). In order to compare the RSDs differences between different RH conditions the RH is classified as relative dry (RH < 60%), semi-moist (60%~70%), moist (80%~90%) and very moist (>90%). The mean values of $D_m$, $\log_{10}N_w$, LWC, $Z$, $\mu$, $\Lambda$ and R corresponding to different RH conditions are given in Table 1, respectively. We can see that relative large $D_m$ and small $\log_{10}N_w$ are displayed during the relative dry (RH < 60%), and the mean of $\mu$ decreases with the increase of RH. It is inferred from the lower RH condition will benefit to the formation of large raindrops. Moreover, the mean of $\log_{10}N_w$ ($\mu$) increases (decreases) with the increase of RH (Table 1).

### 3.3 RSD Differences of SPA and LPA

Since different property of precipitation corresponds to different durations, and has an important effect on the diurnal variation of precipitation (Yu et al., 2007a; Chen et al., 2010; Han et al., 2017). Using the definitions in Section 2.2, the RSDs differences of precipitation during the SPA (Short-duration precipitation Amount) and LPA (Long-duration precipitation Amount) are analyzed.

Figure 6A shows a peak of SPA and LPA at 09:00 BJT (black line) and 12:00 BJT (blue line), and the PF value of LPA is always larger than that of SPA. The peak of PI for SPA coincide with the LPA at 19:00 BJT, the time series of LPA_PI displays considerably larger values than that of SPA between 18:00 and 09:00 BJT, but the PI of SPA is significantly greater than that of LPA between 12:00 and 16:00 BJT (Figure 6B). Compared with the RH and ground temperature of SPA and LPA, the RH is mainly distributed between 80% and 90% for SPA, and the minimum of mean RH is about 75% at 16:00 BJT (Figure 6C). For LPA, it is obvious that the average RH of LPA basically to sustain around 93% near saturation, and only the average RH decreases to below 90% when the precipitation reaches the peak (Figure 6D). The positive $\Delta T$ occurs mainly from 07:00 to 17:00 BJT in LPA, and the $\Delta T$ are below 0°C from 18:00 to 22:00 BJT, which is exactly to coincide with the two peaks of PI in the NT of LPA (Figures 6E,F). This temperature gradient formed by the difference between DT warming and NT cooling is easy to excite topographic thermal circulation for valley topography, which often facilitates the triggering and development of convective systems by superimposing topographic effects.

According to previous studies (e.g., Porcù et al., 2014; Wen et al., 2016; Krishna et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2019), the time evolution of RSDs show a regular behavior with respect to rain intensity, and the RSD is a key feature for describing liquid precipitation. Figure 7 shows the diurnal variations of RSD in the different RH conditions between SPA and LPA. For the RSDs of SPA, the RSDs of DT show a structure of single, double and triple peaks at different RH conditions, and the RSDs shape of SPA_DT is generally concave upward, especially, a significant increase in the number concentration of raindrops with a diameter of 3–4 mm is observed (Figure 7A). Compared to RSDs of SPA_DT, the RSDs of SPA-NT have nearly exponential distribution with less tendency toward concavity, and raindrop concentration of NT is higher than that of DT when the RH is above 90%. However, the width of RSDs shape in SPA-NT is significantly smaller than that of SPA_DT, and this characteristic is similar with the diurnal variation of RSDs in Tibetan Plateau (Chen et al., 2017). The day-night difference in the RSDs of SPA and LPA most likely indicate that the microphysical processes that dominate SPA and LPA are significantly different. For the
SPA, the collision-coalescence is more effective at day than night, due to the coalescence produces a decrease of small drops and an increase of large drops (Rosenfeld & Ulbrich, 2003).

In Figures 7C,D, the RSD shapes of the 2.4–3 mm and 1.6 mm diameters are concave-shaped and inverted-triangular in the SPA_DT and LPA_DT in the case of low relative humidity, respectively. This characteristic shows that both the RSDs of SPA_DT and LPA_DT have an evaporation spectrum when the ambient humidity is relatively dry, the main feature is that the number concentration of small drops decreases rapidly with the increase of diameter, the slope of the curve is large, and the curve of larger drops concentration is small and the curve is relatively flat. The RSD shape is similar to the simulated result of Hu and Sivastava. (1995) with obvious evaporation process, which illustrates the melting and collision-coalescence of large raindrops in SPA_DT are obviously stronger than those of LPA_DT. Compared with the night difference in the RSDs of SPA, the RSDs of LPA_NT shows a broader distribution than that of DT, which is opposite to the day-night RSD difference of SPA.

The RSDs of LPA is generally concave downward, which is similar to the results of other studies (Porcù et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2021).

### Table 2

| RH Class | Dm (mm) | log10Nw (mm^{-1}m^{-3}) | LWC (g m^{-3}) | Z_{dbz} (dBZ) | µ | Λ(mm^{-1}) | R (mm h^{-1}) |
|----------|--------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|---|-----------|---------------|
| ~60%     | 1.29   | 2.87                   | 0.03           | 19.1         | 9.24 | 12.3      | 0.62          |
| 60–80%   | 1.08   | 3.41                   | 0.07           | 19.5         | 8.95 | 13.9      | 1.32          |
| 80–90%   | 1.03   | 3.61                   | 0.08           | 20.5         | 8.15 | 14.2      | 1.3           |
| 90%–     | 1.08   | 3.78                   | 0.03           | 23.2         | 7.75 | 13.9      | 2.82          |

In Figures 7C,D, the RSD shapes of the 2.4–3 mm and 1.6 mm diameters are concave-shaped and inverted-triangular in the SPA_DT and LPA_DT in the case of low relative humidity, respectively. This characteristic shows that both the RSDs of SPA_DT and LPA_DT have an evaporation spectrum when the ambient humidity is relatively dry, the main feature is that the number concentration of small drops decreases rapidly with the increase of diameter, the slope of the curve is large, and the curve of larger drops concentration is small and the curve is relatively flat. The RSD shape is similar to the simulated result of Hu and Sivastava. (1995) with obvious evaporation process, which illustrates the melting and collision-coalescence of large raindrops in SPA_DT are obviously stronger than those of LPA_DT. Compared with the night difference in the RSDs of SPA, the RSDs of LPA_NT shows a broader distribution than that of DT, which is opposite to the day-night RSD difference of SPA.

The RSDs of LPA is generally concave downward, which is similar to the results of other studies (Porcù et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2021).

### 3.4 RSDs Between Convective and Stratiform Rainfall in SPA and LPA

To find out the RSDs differences in convective and stratiform regimes of SPA and LPA, the probability distribution functions (PDFs) of the integral parameters log10R, D_m, log10LWC, log10N_w, Z, and µ) for SPA are calculated and are given in Figure 8. The precipitation is classified into stratiform and convective type by adopting the Bringi et al. (2003). That is, if the standard deviation of rain rate over five consecutive DSD samples is > 1.5 mm h^{-1} and rain rate is > 5 mm h^{-1}, then it is classified as convective. Otherwise, it is assumed to be stratiform if the standard deviation of rain rate is ≤ 1.5 mm h^{-1}.

The PDF distribution of rain rate shows that the peak frequency is higher for convective distribution than for the...
The Dm distribution curve in convective is distinctly different from stratiform, and the Dm distribution shows peak PDF values around 1.4–1.8 mm in convective rainfall and around 0.7–1.2 mm in stratiform rainfall (Figure 8B). The PDF of liquid water content (log10LWC) shows a similar distribution curves with log10R and a comparatively more frequency in convective compared to stratiform for log10LWC > −0.6 dB (Figure 8C). The probability distribution of normalized intercept parameter (log10Nw) shows a higher percentage at lower log10Nw values in stratiform and a higher percentage at higher log10Nw values in convective rainfall (Figure 9D). The radar reflectivity (Z) distribution shows peak PDF values at 40 dBZ is higher in convective...
rainfall than that of stratiform rainfall (23dBZ, Figure 8E). The distribution of μ is higher in stratiform than convective rainfall for μ > 10 (Figure 8F), which suggests a narrower RSDs shape in stratiform than convective rainfall.

Comparing with the probability distribution functions (PDFs) of the integral parameters in SPA, overall, there are some differences between probability distributions of RSDs in SPA and LPA (Figure 9). The PDF peaks of rain rate and LWC in stratiform rainfall for LPA are higher than that of convective rainfall, and these characteristics are exactly the opposite of SPA. The distribution of rain rate, D_m, and the shape parameter highlights the dominance of light, small-moderated drop rainfall with narrow size spectra in the stratiform than the convective rainfall. To further confirm the contribution of different size raindrops to the formation of SPA and LPA, the frequency distributions of different raindrop sizes in convective and stratiform regimes for SPA and LPA are given in Figure 10. For ease of description, the raindrops sizes are divided into very small (the diameter is smaller than 0.7 mm), small size (0.7–1.4 mm), medium size (1.4–2.44 mm) and large size (greater than 2.4 mm) (Hopper et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2021). We found that the stratiform precipitation in DT and NT for SPA is dominated by 0.7–1.44 mm, the convective precipitation of DT for this type was dominated by large sized raindrops, and NT convection is dominated by 1.4–2.44 mm. For LPA, the raindrops diameter distribution is relatively uniform, with small size raindrops accounting for 78.5% and 62% of DT and NT in stratiform precipitation, respectively, while convection rainfall is dominated by medium size raindrops (the frequencies of DT and NT are 67% and 86%, respectively).

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The diurnal variations of RSD characteristics in different precipitation system and different duration of precipitation by the influences of relative humidity have been investigated based on the RSD spectra from 2DVD deployed at ZG. Along with the 2DVD, hourly rainfall, temperature (T) and relative humidity (RH) values from the in situ AWS, as well as ERA-Interim data are used.
to illustrate the effect of relative humidity on the diurnal variations and its connections to the microphysical mechanism.

The synoptic background of the ZG region are different from those of the plain and plateau of China (Volkert et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2008), the southwest warm and humid airflow formed a vortex around the mountain range nearby ZG region, and the average relative humidity of this vortex was maintained above 80%. In the context of the climatic condition, the frequency and intensity of precipitation show a bimodal structure, namely, a peak of precipitation frequency mainly appeared at 09:00 BJT and 23:00 BJT, and the peak of precipitation intensity mainly appeared at 18:00 BJT and 22:00 BJT.

The diurnal variation of precipitation has a relation with the RSD characteristics, we found that the D_{max}/N_{v} distributions of daytime (DT) and nighttime (NT) is close to the “maritime-like” cluster. Overall, the relative large D_{max} and small log_{10}N_{v} are displayed during the relative dry (RH<60%).

Based on the classification of the SPA (Short-duration precipitation Amount) and LPA (Long-duration precipitation Amount), the RSDs shape of SPA_DT and LPA_DT show a triple peaks when the RH is less than 60%, and the RSDs structure in other RH conditions are single peak. A remarkable difference in the RSDs of DT between SPA and LPA is that the RSDs shape of SPA_DT is generally concave upward, and the RSDs shape of LPA_DT is generally concave downward. It indicates that the RSDs of LPA is mainly controlled by the collision-coalescence and breakup in warm clouds. In the case of lower RH condition, the melting and collision-coalescence of large raindrops in SPA_DT are obviously stronger than those of LPA_DT. Compared with the night difference in the RSDs of SPA, the RSDs of LPA_NT shows a broader distribution than that of DT, which is opposite to the day-night RSD difference of SPA.

In addition, statistical analysis is performed for the contribution of different scale particles to different types of precipitation in SPA and LPA. The results show that the stratiform precipitation in SPA is dominated by the raindrop diameter of 0.7–1.44 mm, the convective precipitation of DT for this type is dominated by large sized raindrops, and NT convection is dominated by medium size raindrops (1.4–2.44 mm). For the stratiform of LPA, the raindrops with small size raindrops accounting for 78.5% and 62% in the DT and NT, respectively. But for convection rainfall is dominated by medium size raindrops (the frequencies of DT and NT are 67% and 86%, respectively).

This study has provided some new insights into the diurnal variation of RSDs and the associated the ground meteorological condition for the different types and durations, which was utilized to address the diurnal variations of precipitation and RSDs, the RSDs differences of SPA and LPA and the effect of relative humidity on RSDs in different precipitation. Effects of other factors on the diurnal variation of RSD, for example, horizontal and vertical winds, and its impact on the diurnal variation of RSDs will be quantitatively studied in the future study. Of course, it is necessary to extract more information about the evolution of RSD from other observations, including polarimetric radars, micro rain radar, and microwave radiometers. The understanding would greatly benefit from additional information, such as the hydrometer types, hydrometer spatial distributions, raindrops fall velocity, the evolution of RSD with height and liquid water mass. For the follow-up studies, the above information and wind tower data will be analyzed to improve the conclusions.
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