Semileptonic and nonleptonic decays of $D$ into tensor mesons with light-cone sum rule

S. Momeni,* R. Khosravi,† and S. Ghaziasgar‡

Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran

Form factors of $D$ decays into $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$ tensor mesons are calculated in the light-cone sum rules approach up to twist-4 distribution amplitudes of the tensor meson. The masses of the tensor mesons are comparable to that of the charm quark mass $m_c$; therefore all terms including powers of $m_T/m_c$ are kept out in the expansion of the two-particle distribution amplitude $\langle \bar{q}_1(x) q_2(0) | 0 \rangle$. Branching ratios of the semileptonic $D \to T \ell \nu$ decays and nonleptonic $D \to T P (P = K, \pi)$ decays are taken into consideration. A comparison is also made between our results and predictions of other methods and the existing experimental values for the nonleptonic case. The semileptonic branching ratios are typically of the order of $10^{-5}$, and the nonleptonic ones show better agreement with the experimental data in comparison to the Isgur-Scora-Grinstein-Wise predictions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Analysis of heavy meson decays to the light ones, is a useful tool to explore the CKM matrix and $CP$ violations. The $D$-meson decays occurring by $c$ quark decay (in quark level) are placed in the above-mentioned processes.

In the semileptonic decays, the form factors determine the nonperturbative effects. The form factors of the semileptonic decays of charmed meson $D_{s(3)}$ to scalar, pseudoscalar or vector mesons have been estimated by various approaches. In Refs. [1, 2], the light-cone sum rule (LCSR) approach has been used to study the $D \to \pi(K) \ell \nu$ decays. The form factors of the nonleptonic $D \to \pi(K, K^*) \ell \nu$ transitions have been evaluated by the lattice QCD method in Ref. [3-5], while the semileptonic processes $D \to \pi, \rho, K$ and $K^*$ have been investigated by the heavy quark effective theory in Ref. [6]. The semileptonic decays $D_{s(3)} \to f_0(K_0^*) \ell \nu$, $D_{s(3)} \to \pi(K) \ell \nu$, and $D_{s(3)} \to K^*(\rho, \phi) \ell \nu$ have been studied in the framework of the three-point QCD sum rules (3PSR) [7-14]. The $D$ meson decays into the axial vector meson, the $D_q \to K_1 \ell \nu (q = u, d, s)$ and $D \to a_1, f_1(1285), f_1(1420)$ transitions are analyzed by the 3PSR approach [15, 16].

For the tensor meson, as the final state, the form factors have been calculated in the Isgur-Scora-Grinstein-Wise (ISGW) quark model and its improved version, the ISGW2 model in Refs. [17, 18]. The observed $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$ tensor mesons are: isovector meson $a_2(1320)$, isodoublet state $K_2^*(1430)$, and isosinglet mesons $f_2(1270)$ and $f_2^*(1525)$. $a_2(1320)$ is a $(d\bar{u})$ state, and $K_2(1430)$ is a $(s\bar{u})$ state, while the wave functions of $f_2$ and $f_2^*$ are defined as their mixing angle:

$$f_2(1270) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) \cos \theta_{f_2} + s\bar{s} \sin \theta_{f_2} ,$$

$$f_2^*(1525) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) \sin \theta_{f_2} - s\bar{s} \cos \theta_{f_2} .$$

Since $\pi(KK)$ is the dominate decay of $f_2(f_2^*)$ (for more information, see [19]), the mixing angle should be small and it has been reported $\theta_{f_2} = 7.8^\circ$ [20] and $\theta_{f_2} = (9 \pm 1)^\circ$ [19]. Therefore, $f_2(1270)$ is primarily a $(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$ state, while $f_2^*(1525)$ is dominantly $(s\bar{s})$ [21].

In this paper the form factors for the $D$ decays into light tensor mesons ($T$) in the LCSR approach are calculated. In this method, the operator product is expanded near the light cone, while the nonperturbative hadronic matrix elements are parametrized by the light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) of the tensor meson.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, by using the LCSR, the form factors of $D \to T \ell \nu$ decays are derived. In Sec. III, the numerical analysis of the LCSR for the form factors is presented and the branching ratio values of the semileptonic and nonleptonic decays are evaluated. A comparison is also made between our results and the predictions of other methods and experimental data in this section.
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II. $D \to T$ FORM FACTORS IN THE LCSR

In the LCSR method, to calculate the $D \to T$ transition form factors, first, the correlation function

$$\Pi_\mu (p', q) = i \int d^4 x e^{i q x} \langle T(p', \lambda)|T \{ j^{int}_\mu (x) j^\dagger_D (0)\}|0 \rangle,$$

where $j_D = i \bar{q} \gamma_5 c$ is the interpolating current for the $D$ meson and $q = u, s$ and $d$ for $D = D^0, D^+_{\chi}$ and $D^+$, respectively, is considered. Moreover, in Eq. (2), $j^{int}_\mu = \bar{q} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) c$ is the interaction current in which $q' = d$ for the $D^0 \to a_2$ transition and $q' = s$ for $D^0(D^+_{\chi}) \to K^*_2 (f_2^\prime)$ decay. In addition, $\sqrt{2} j^{int}_\mu (D^+ \to f_2) = j^{int}_\mu (D^0 \to a_2^*)$.

According to the general philosophy of the LCSR, the correlation functions of Eq. (2) can be obtained in two ways: the physical or phenomenological side and the QCD or theoretical ones. The form factors can be obtained by using the dispersion relation to link these two parts.

Let us first consider the physical part of Eq. (2). By inserting a complete set of hadrons with the same quantum numbers of the $D$ meson between the currents and isolating the pole term of the lowest $D$ meson, correlation function is obtained as

$$\Pi_\mu (p', p) = \frac{\langle T(p', \lambda)|j^{int}_\mu (x)|D(p)\rangle \langle D(p)|j^\dagger_D (0)|0 \rangle}{m_D^2 - p^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^\mu_h (s)}{s - p^2} ds,$$

(3)

where, the first term in Eq. (3) represents the ground-state $D$-meson contribution and the second term describes the contributions of the higher states and continuum, while $\rho^\mu_h$ is the spectral density for these states. These spectral densities are approximated by evoking the quark-hadron duality ansatz as

$$\rho^\mu_h (s) = \rho^{QCD}_\mu (s) \theta(s - s_0),$$

(4)

where $s_0$ is the continuum threshold chosen near the squared mass of the lowest $D$-meson state. It follows from Eq. (3) that to calculate the form factors of the $B \to T$ transition the matrix elements $\langle T(p', \lambda)|j^{int}_\mu (x)|D(p)\rangle$ and $\langle D(p)|j^\dagger_D (0)|0 \rangle$ are needed. The first matrix element is defined in terms of the form factors as [22-24]

$$\langle T(p', \lambda)|j^{int}_\mu (x)|D(p)\rangle = -\frac{2V(q^2)}{m_D + m_T} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \varepsilon^*_\nu p^\alpha p'^\beta - A_1(q^2) \varepsilon^*_\lambda p_m (m_D + m_T) + \frac{A_2(q^2)}{m_D + m_T} (\varepsilon^*_\lambda q)(p + p')^\mu$$

$$+ 2m_T \varepsilon^*_\lambda q^\alpha A_3(q^2) - A_0(q^2),$$

(5)

with

$$A_3(q^2) = \frac{m_D + m_T}{2m_T} A_1(q^2) - \frac{m_D - m_T}{2m_T} A_2(q^2) \quad \text{and} \quad A_3(0) = A_0(0),$$

(6)

where $q = p - p'$, $\varepsilon^*_\mu = \varepsilon^*_\mu p^\nu / m_D$. Moreover, In Eq. (5), $V, A_1(i = 0, \ldots, 3)$ are the form factors of $D \to T$ transition. For simplicity, the following definitions are used:

$$V(q^2) = -\frac{2V(q^2)}{m_D + m_T}, \quad A_1(q^2) = -A_1(q^2)(m_D + m_T),$$

$$A_2(q^2) = \frac{2m_T}{m_D + m_T}, \quad A_3(q^2) = \frac{2m_T}{q^2} [A_3(q^2) - A_0(q^2)].$$

(7)

On the other hand, the second matrix element in Eq. (3) is defined in terms of the $D$-meson leptonic decay constant and mass as

$$\langle D(p)|j^\dagger_D (0)|0 \rangle = \frac{f_D m_D^2}{m_c + m_q},$$

(8)

Using Eqs. (4), (5), (7) and (8), these hadronic representation can be obtained for $\Pi_\mu (p', p)$:

$$\Pi_\mu (p', p) = \frac{f_D m_D}{m_c + m_q} \frac{1}{m_D - p^2} \left\{ i V(q^2) \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \varepsilon^*_\nu p^\alpha p'^\beta p_\mu + A_1(q^2) \varepsilon^*_\lambda p^\sigma + A_2(q^2) \varepsilon^*_\alpha \varepsilon^*_\beta \varepsilon^*_\mu \varepsilon^*_\nu (p + p')^\mu \right\}$$

$$+ A_3(q^2) \varepsilon^*_\alpha \varepsilon^*_\beta \varepsilon^*_\mu \varepsilon^*_\nu p^\beta \mu + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_0}^\infty \frac{\rho^{QCD}_\mu (s)}{s - p^2} ds,$$

(9)
To obtain the theoretical part of Eq. (2) in the LCSR approach, the $T$ product of currents should be expanded near the light cone $x^2 \simeq 0$. After contracting the $c$ quark field,

$$\Pi_\mu(p', q) = -\int d^4xe^{iqx}(T(p', \lambda)|q'(x)\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)S^c(x, 0)\gamma_5\bar{q}(0)|0),$$  

(10)

where $S^c(x, 0)$ is the full propagator of the $c$ quark, is obtained. In this paper, just the free propagator is considered as

$$S^c(x, 0) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-ikx}\frac{k + m_c}{k^2 - m_c^2}.$$  

(11)

Using the Fierz rearrangement formula in Eq. (10), it follows that in order to calculate the theoretical part, the matrix elements of the nonlocal operators between $T$-meson and vacuum states are needed. Two-particle distribution amplitudes for the tensor meson $T$ are given in [25, 26],

\[
\langle T(p', \lambda)|q_1(x)q_2(0)|0\rangle = -\frac{i}{4} \int_0^1 du e^{iu p' x} \left\{ f_{TM} \int \frac{m_T^2}{(p' x)^2} \Phi^{T}_\mu(u) - \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}_\alpha x^\nu x_\beta}{2(p' x)^3} g_3^T(u) \right\},
\]

(12)

where $x^2 \neq 0$ and

$$\bar{g}_3(u) = g_3(u) + \Phi_{\perp}(u) - 2g_3(u), \quad \bar{h}_t(u) = h_t(u) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\perp}(u), \quad \bar{h}_3(u) = h_3(u) - \Phi_{\perp}(u).$$  

(13)

In Eq. (12), $\Phi_{\parallel}$ and $\Phi_{\perp}$ are the twist-2 functions; $g_3, h_t$ and $h_3$ are the twist-3 functions; $g_3$ and $h_3$ are of twist 4. The leading-twist $\Phi_{\parallel,\perp}$ can be expanded as [26]

\[
\Phi_{\parallel,\perp}(u, \mu) = 6u(1 - u) \sum_{\ell = 1}^{\infty} g^\ell_{\parallel,\perp}(\mu)C_{\ell}^{3/2}(\xi),
\]

(14)

and twist-3 LCDAs are related to twist-2 ones through the Wandzura-Wilczek relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
g_\parallel(u) &= \int_0^u dv \frac{\Phi_\parallel(v)}{v} + \frac{1}{u} \int_0^1 dv \frac{\Phi_\parallel(v)}{v}, \\
g_\perp(u) &= 2\tilde{u} \int_0^u dv \frac{\Phi_\perp(v)}{v} + 2u \int_0^1 dv \frac{\Phi_\perp(v)}{v}, \\
h_t(u) &= \frac{3}{2}(2u - 1) \left( \int_0^u dv \frac{\Phi_{\perp}(v)}{v} - \int_1^u dv \frac{\Phi_{\perp}(v)}{v} \right), \\
h_3(u) &= 3 \left( \tilde{u} \int_0^u dv \frac{\Phi_\parallel(v)}{v} + u \int_1^u dv \frac{\Phi_\parallel(v)}{v} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

where $\mu$ is the normalization scale, $\tilde{u} = 1 - u$ and $\xi = 2u - 1$. Also, using the equation of motion given in Ref. [27], we can express the twist-4 DAs.

Two-parton chiral-even light-cone distribution amplitudes of a tensor meson are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle T(p', \lambda)|q_1(x)\gamma_\mu q_2(0)|0\rangle &= f_{TM} \int_0^1 du e^{iu p' x} \left\{ p'_\mu \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}_\alpha x^\nu x_\beta}{(p' x)^2} \Phi^{T}_\mu(u) + \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}_\alpha x^\nu x_\beta}{p' x} g_\parallel(u) - \frac{m_T^2}{2} x_\mu \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}_\alpha x^\nu x_\beta}{(p' x)^3} g_3^T(u) \right\}, \\
\langle T(p', \lambda)|q_1(x)\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q_2(0)|0\rangle &= f_{TM} \int_0^1 du e^{iu p' x} \varepsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} x^\nu \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma}_\lambda x_\delta \frac{g_3^T(u)}{p' x},
\end{align*}
\]

(16)
and the chiral-odd LCDA is

\[
\langle p' | q_1(x) | q_2(0) \rangle = -i f_\perp \frac{1}{m_T} \int \frac{du}{u} e^{i p' x} \left\{ \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} x^\alpha p'_\beta - \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} x^\alpha p'_\gamma \right\} \frac{1}{p' x} \Phi^T(u) + \langle p'_\mu x_\nu - p'_\nu x_\mu \rangle \\
\times \frac{m^2}{(p' x)^3} h^T(u) + \frac{m^2}{2} \left[ \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} x^\alpha x_\nu - \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} x^\alpha x_\mu \right] \frac{h^T(u)}{(p' x)^2},
\]

(17)

where \( f_\perp \) is scale independent, and \( f_\perp^T \) is a scale-dependent decay constant of the tensor meson \( T \), as defined in Ref. [26].

Now, two-parton distribution amplitudes should be inserted in Eq. (10), and traces and integrals should be calculated. Finally, the same structures are equated both phenomenological and theoretical sides of the correlation functions, and the Borel transform is performed with respect to the variable \( p^2 \) as

\[
B_{p^2}(M^2) = \frac{1}{(p^2 - m_D^2)^n} = \frac{(-1)^n \epsilon^{\frac{m_T}{M}}}{\Gamma(n) (M^2)^n},
\]

(18)

the sum rules are obtained for the form factors describing \( D \to T \) decay. For instance, the form factor \( A_1(q^2) \) is obtain as

\[
A_1(q^2) = -\frac{\alpha_1}{m_T + m_D} \left\{ \frac{f_\perp^T}{m_T} \int_{u_0}^1 du \frac{f^{(i)}(u)}{8u^2} \left[ -31u + 33(1 + \frac{\delta_1(u)}{M^2}) \right] e^{-s(u)} - f_\perp^T m_T \int_{u_0}^1 du \frac{h^{(i)}(u)}{2u^2 M^2} \left[ \frac{\delta_2(u)}{M^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right] e^{-s(u)} \right\} + 8 f_\perp^T m_T \int_{u_0}^1 du \frac{h^{(i)}(u)}{u^2 M^2} \left[ u - 4 + 2\delta(u) \right] e^{-s(u)} + f_\perp^T m_T \int_{u_0}^1 du \frac{h^{(i)}(u)}{u^2 M^2} \left[ u - 4 + 2\delta(u) \right] e^{-s(u)} \right\},
\]

(19)

where

\[
u_0 = \frac{1}{2m_T} \left[ \sqrt{(s_0 - m_T^2 - q^2)^2 + 4m_T^2(s_0 - m_T^2)} - (s_0 - m_T^2 - q^2) \right],
\]

\[
\alpha_1 = \frac{m_T^2}{m_T^2 + m_D^2} \frac{m_D^2}{m_T},
\]

\[
\delta_1(u) = \frac{m_D^2}{2} - m_D^2 u + q^2 (u - 2),
\]

\[
f^{(i)}(u) \equiv \int_0^u f(v) dv,
\]

\[
f^{(ii)}(u) \equiv \int_0^u dv \int_0^\omega d\tau f(\tau).
\]

The explicit expressions for the other form factors are presented in Appendix A.

### III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In this section, our numerical analysis of the sum rules for the form factors and branching ratios is presented. In the calculation of the form factors \( V \) and \( A_i (i = 0, 1, 2) \), masses are taken in GeV as \( m_c = 1.28 \pm 0.03 \), \( m_D^0 = 1.86 \), \( m_D^+ = 1.86 \), \( m_{D_s} = 1.96 \) [28]. For the \( s \) and \( d \) quark at \( \mu = 1 \) GeV, we take \( m_s = (3.5 - 6) \) MeV and \( m_d = (104^{+26}_{-34}) \) MeV [29]. For \( D^0, D^+ \), and \( D_s \)-meson decay constants, the results of the QCD sum rule as \( f_{D^0} = f_{D^+} = (210.25 \pm 11.60) \) MeV and \( f_{D_s} = (245.70 \pm 7.46) \) MeV [30] are used.

For the tensor mesons, the relevant parameters are presented in Table I. All of the masses presented in Table I are chosen from Ref. [28], while the decay constants \( f_T(f_T^T) \) and the Gegenbauer moments \( a_{1(\parallel, \perp)} \) are taken from Ref. [21].

#### A. Analysis of the form factors

In this subsection, our numerical analysis of the form factors is presented. The sum rules for the form factors contain two parameters: namely, Borel mass squares \( M^2 \) and continuum thresholds \( s_0 \). Our results should be independent
TABLE I: Masses, decay constants and Gegenbauer moments for tensor mesons. The decay constants and Gegenbauer moments are given at the scale $\mu = 1$ GeV.

| $T$ | $a_2$ | $K_2^*$ | $f_2$ | $f_2'$ |
|-----|-------|---------|-------|--------|
| $T_2$ | 1.32  | 1.42    | 1.27  | 1.52   |
| $K_2^*$ | 1.32  | 1.42    | 1.27  | 1.52   |
| $f_2$ | 107 ± 6 | 118 ± 5 | 102 ± 6 | 126 ± 4 |
| $f_2'$ | 105 ± 21 | 77 ± 14 | 117 ± 25 | 65 ± 12 |
| $a_{1||,\perp}$ | 5/3    | 5/3     | 5/3   | 5/3    |

of these parameters since $M^2$ and $s_0$ are not physical quantities. In this paper the value of continuum threshold is used as $s_0 \in [6, 8]$ GeV$^2$ [15]. To carry out numerical calculations, a region of $M^2$ must be obtained and the suitable region has two conditions. First, the nonperturbative terms must remain subdominant by the lower bound of $M^2$; and second, the higher bound must decrease the contributions of the higher states and continuum. In Fig. 1, the $M^2$ dependence of the form factors $A_1(q^2 = 0)$ and $A_0(q^2 = 0)$ is presented for $D^0(D_s^+) \to a_2(f_2^\prime)$ transition, at three different values of the threshold $s_0 = 6$ GeV$^2$, $s_0 = 6.5$ GeV$^2$ and $s_0 = 7$ GeV$^2$, with red, black, and blue lines, respectively. In this figure, the relative change in the value of the form factors at $q^2 = 0$ is also displaced at the shaded interval of the Borel parameter. Our numerical analysis reveals that for $3$ GeV$^2 \leq M^2 \leq 5$ GeV$^2$ all of the form factors show good stability.
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FIG. 1: The form factors $A_1(0)$ and $A_0(0)$ for the $D^0(D_s^+) \to a_2(f_2^\prime)$ transition on $M^2$ at three values of the threshold $s_0$. The the relative change in the value of the form factors at the shaded interval of the Borel parameter is displaced in every plot.

Now, the $q^2$ dependency of the form factors can be carried out. First, the values of the form factors at $q^2 = 0$ are estimated. In Fig. 2, our results for $V, A_i(i = 0, 1, 2)$ of $D \to T\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays in $q^2 = 0$ are presented. Moreover, this table contains the predictions of the covariant light-front model (LFQM) and improved version ISGW quark model approaches [18, 31]. The results of the other approaches are rescaled according to Eq. (5). The errors in Fig. 2 are
estimated by the variation of the Borel parameter $M^2$ and the decay constants $f_T$ and $f_{T^*}$.

\[ F(q^2) = \frac{F(0)}{1 + \alpha q^2/m_D^2 + \beta q^4/m_D^4}, \]

where $F(0)$ denotes the value of the form factor at $q^2 = 0$. In addition, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the corresponding fitting coefficients listed in Table II for different form factors. The dependence of the fitted form factors $V, A_i(i = 0, 1, 2)$ on $q^2$ for $D \rightarrow T$ transitions is shown in Fig. 4.

FIG. 2: The values of the $V(0), A_i(0)$ in comparison with the predictions of the other approaches, such as LFQM and ISGW.

Figure 3 depicts the twist-2,3 and twist-4 contributions in the form factor formula $A_1(q^2)$ and $V(q^2)$ for $D^0 \rightarrow a_2$ decay. Similarity, as shown in this figure, for all of the form factors the most contribution is related to the twist-2 DAs, while the twist-4 DAs have the least contribution.

To extend the present result to the whole physical region, $m_T^2 \leq q^2 \leq (m_D - m_T)^2$, we use the parametrization of the form factors with respect to $q^2$ as

\[ F(q^2) = \frac{F(0)}{1 + \alpha q^2/m_D^2 + \beta q^4/m_D^4}, \]

where $F(0)$ denotes the value of the form factor at $q^2 = 0$. In addition, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the corresponding fitting coefficients listed in Table II for different form factors. The dependence of the fitted form factors $V, A_i(i = 0, 1, 2)$ on $q^2$ for $D \rightarrow T$ transitions is shown in Fig. 4.
The contributions of twist-2, 3 and twist-4 functions in these form factors are displaced with blue, red, and yellow lines, respectively.

FIG. 3: $A_1$ and $V$ form factor of $D^0 \to a_2$ transition on $q^2$. The contributions of twist-2, 3 and twist-4 functions in these form factors are displaced with blue, red, and yellow lines, respectively.

| Form factor | $F(0)$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | Form factor | $F(0)$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$
|-------------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|----------|----------|
| $V^{D^+\to a_2}$ | 2.54 | 1.71 | 0.46 | $V^{D^+\to K_2}$ | 2.98 | 1.80 | 0.58 |
| $A_1^{D^+\to a_2}$ | 1.21 | 2.61 | 4.22 | $A_1^{D^+\to K_2}$ | 0.81 | 2.60 | 4.43 |
| $A_2^{D^+\to a_2}$ | -2.85 | 3.12 | 2.75 | $A_2^{D^+\to K_2}$ | -3.89 | 2.77 | 1.81 |
| $A_0^{D^+\to a_2}$ | 1.80 | 2.56 | 2.75 | $A_0^{D^+\to K_2}$ | 2.98 | 1.80 | 0.58 |
| $V^{D^+\to f_2}$ | 3.21 | 2.04 | 0.81 | $V^{D^+\to f_2}$ | 2.31 | 1.68 | 0.42 |
| $A_1^{D^+\to f_2}$ | 0.48 | 2.86 | 6.54 | $A_1^{D^+\to f_2}$ | 1.38 | 2.62 | 4.14 |
| $A_2^{D^+\to f_2}$ | -4.65 | 2.91 | 1.94 | $A_2^{D^+\to f_2}$ | -2.32 | 3.32 | 3.35 |
| $A_0^{D^+\to f_2}$ | 1.20 | 2.73 | 2.83 | $A_0^{D^+\to f_2}$ | 1.92 | 2.58 | 2.85 |

B. Differential branching ratio for the semileptonic decays

Now, we would like to evaluate the branching ratio values for the $D \to T \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays. The expressions of the differential decay width are given as

$$\frac{d\Gamma_L(D \to T \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)}{dq^2} = \sigma q^2 \left[ \frac{1}{9} (2q^2 + m_\ell^2) h_0^2(q^2) + \frac{1}{3} \lambda m_\ell^2 A_0^2(q^2) \right],$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma_\pm(D \to T \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)}{dq^2} = \sigma q^2 \left( \frac{2q^2 + m_\ell^2}{12} \right) \left[ (m_D + m_T) A_1(q^2) \mp \sqrt{\lambda} m_D m_T V(q^2) \right]^2,$$

where $m_\ell$ represents the mass of the charged lepton and $L, \pm$ denotes the helicities of the tensor mesons. The other parameters are defined as

$$\sigma = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{q\ell}|^2 \lambda^{3/2}(m_D^2, m_T^2, q^2)}{256 m_D^2 m_T^2 \pi^2 q^2}, \quad v = \left( 1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{q^2} \right),$$

$$h_0(q^2) = \frac{1}{2m_T} \left[ (m_D^2 - m_T^2 - q^2)(m_D + m_T) A_1(q^2) \mp \lambda m_D m_T A_2(q^2) \right].$$

The differential branching ratios of the $D \to T \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$ decays are plotted on $q^2$ in Fig. 5, in which take $|V_{q\ell}| = (0.22 \pm 0.00), |V_{\ell\ell}| = (0.98 \pm 0.01)$ and $m_\ell = 105.65$ MeV [28]. In this figure, the black, blue, red, and yellow lines show $d\Gamma_{T\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu}/dq^2$, $d\Gamma_{T\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu}/dq^2$, $d\Gamma_{T\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu}/dq^2$, and $d\Gamma_{T\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu}/dq^2$, respectively. Integrating Eq. (21) over $q^2$ in the whole physical region and using the total mean lifetime $\tau_{D^0} = 0.41$, $\tau_{D^+} = 1.04$ and, $\tau_{D^{+}} = 0.50$ ps [28], the branching ratio values of these decays are obtained as presented in Table III.
FIG. 4: The form factors $V_i$ on $q^2$ for $D \to T$ decay.

TABLE III: Branching ratios of $D \to T \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$ obtained in this work. $\text{Br}_L$, $\text{Br}_+$, and $\text{Br}_-$ stand for the portion of the rate with a longitudinal polarization, positive helicity, and negative helicity of the $T$-meson, respectively. The error comes from the variation of form factors.

| Decay             | $\text{Br}_L$        | $\text{Br}_+$     | $\text{Br}_-$     | $\text{Br}_{\text{total}} \times 10^5$ |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|
| $D^0 \to a_2 \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$ | $1.23 \pm 0.44$       | $0.06 \pm 0.01$   | $0.21 \pm 0.06$   | $1.50 \pm 0.51$                        |
| $D^0 \to K^*_2 \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$ | $2.35 \pm 0.83$       | $0.09 \pm 0.03$   | $0.41 \pm 0.15$   | $2.85 \pm 1.01$                        |
| $D^+_s \to f_2 \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$ | $1.52 \pm 0.73$       | $0.02 \pm 0.01$   | $0.22 \pm 0.07$   | $1.76 \pm 0.81$                        |
| $D^+ \to f_2 \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$   | $6.37 \pm 2.55$       | $0.40 \pm 0.19$   | $1.08 \pm 0.39$   | $7.85 \pm 3.13$                        |

C. Nonleptonic decays

Finally, we want to evaluate the branching ratios for the nonleptonic $D \to T \, P(\,P = K, \pi)$ decays. For these decays, the factorizable amplitude has the expression [32]

$$\mathcal{X}(D \to T \, P) = i \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cq} V_{cq}^* f_P \varepsilon_{\mu \nu} \varepsilon^*_\mu \varepsilon^*_{\nu} \left[ A_1(m_P^2) + (m_D^2 - m_P^2) A_2(m_P^2) + m_P^2 A_3(m_P^2) \right]$$

$$= \varepsilon^*_\mu \varepsilon^*_{\nu} \mathcal{M}(D \to T \, P),$$

where $q_2 = s \ (d)$ for $P = K \ (\pi)$. Also, $f_P$ is the $P$ meson decay constant, and $A_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3)$ is defined in Eq. (7). The decay rate is given by

$$\Gamma(D \to T \, P) = \frac{m_D}{12 \pi m_T^3} \left( \frac{m_P}{m_T} \right)^2 |\mathcal{M}(D \to T \, P)|^2;$$

(24)
where \( p_c \) is the c.m. momentum of the tensor meson in the rest frame of the \( D \) meson. For estimating the branching ratios of the nonleptonic \( D \to T P \) decays, the values of \( A_i \) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) at \( q^2 = m_P^2 \) are needed. For \( \pi \) and the \( K \) meson, the masses are chosen in giga-electron-volts as \( m_{\pi^+} = 0.139 \) and \( m_{K^+} = 0.493 \) [28]. The results are presented in Table IV. Inserting these values in Eq. (24) and using \(|V_{ud}| = (0.97 \pm 0.00), |V_{us}| = (0.22 \pm 0.00)\),
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**FIG. 5:** Differential branching ratios of the \( D \to T \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu \) as functions of \( q^2 \).

where \( p_c \) is the c.m. momentum of the tensor meson in the rest frame of the \( D \) meson. For estimating the branching ratios of the nonleptonic \( D \to T P \) decays, the values of \( A_i \) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) at \( q^2 = m_P^2 \) are needed. For \( \pi \) and the \( K \) meson, the masses are chosen in giga-electron-volts as \( m_{\pi^+} = 0.139 \) and \( m_{K^+} = 0.493 \) [28]. The results are presented in Table IV. Inserting these values in Eq. (24) and using \(|V_{ud}| = (0.97 \pm 0.00), |V_{us}| = (0.22 \pm 0.00)\),

**TABLE IV:** The values of \( A_i (i = 1, 2, 3) \) for \( D \to T P (P = K, \pi) \) transition at \( q^2 = m_P^2 \).

| \((D, T, P)\)          | \(A_1(m_P^2)\) | \(A_2(m_P^2)\) | \(A_3(m_P^2)\) |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \((D^0, a_2, K^+)\)    | -3.85 ± 0.09   | -0.88 ± 0.24   | 35.32 ± 39.07  |
| \((D^0, K^*_2, \pi^+)\)| -2.61 ± 0.75   | -1.16 ± 0.30   | 1.51 ± 23.05   |
| \((D^+, f'_2, \pi^+)\) | -1.64 ± 0.31   | -1.31 ± 0.34   | 3.41 ± 66.80   |
| \((D^+, f'_2, K^+)\)   | -1.39 ± 0.25   | -1.21 ± 0.29   | 0.13 ± 4.54    |
| \((D^+, f_2, \pi^+)\)  | -4.25 ± 0.62   | -0.72 ± 0.18   | 41.05 ± 37.29  |

\( f_{\pi^+} = (130 \pm 0.26) \) MeV, and \( f_{K^+} = (156 \pm 0.49) \) MeV, the values for the branching ratio of nonleptonic decays are obtained as presented in Table V. In comparison, the experimental values and IGSW results are also included in this table. This table shows that for the \( D^0 \to a_2 K^+, D^0 \to K^*_2 \pi^+, \) and \( D^+ \to f_2 \pi^+ \) cases our results for the branching ratios are in good agreement with the experimental results.

In summary, the \( D \to T \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell \) decays in the LCSR approach up to the twist-4 LCDAs of the \( T \) tensor meson were considered. Using the transition form factors of the \( D \to T \), the semileptonic branching ratios for \( \ell = \mu \) and the nonleptonic ones for \( D \to T P (P = K, \pi) \) decay were analyzed. For the nonleptonic case, a comparison of the results for the branching ratios with the IGSW approach and existing experimental results was also made.
TABLE V: Branching ratios for various $D \to T P (P = K, \pi)$ decays.

| Decay                        | IGSW[32] | This work  | Exp [33–35] |
|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| $\text{Br}(D^0 \to a_2 K^+) \times 10^4$ | 0.05     | 7.06 ± 1.44 | 7.0 ± 4.3   |
| $\text{Br}(D^0 \to K_2^* \pi^+) \times 10^3$ | 0.10     | 3.94 ± 0.54 | 2.0$^{+1.3}_{-0.7}$ |
| $\text{Br}(D_s^+ \to f_2^- \pi^+) \times 10^3$ | 1.6      | 4.58 ± 0.42 |             |
| $\text{Br}(D_s^+ \to f_2^- K^+) \times 10^6$ | 4.9      | 6.92 ± 2.94 |             |
| $\text{Br}(D^+ \to f_2^- \pi^+) \times 10^3$ | 0.02     | 2.86 ± 0.68 | 0.9 ± 0.1   |
Appendix A: Form Factor Expressions

In this Appendix, the explicit expressions for the form factors of $D \to T$ decays are given:

\[
V(q^2) = \frac{\alpha_1}{2}(m_T + m_D) \left\{ -f_T m_c \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{g_0^{(i)T}}{2u^3 M^4} (2u + 3) e^{-s(u)} + \frac{1}{4} f_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{\Phi^{(i)T}}{u^2 M^2} (2u - 7) e^{-s(u)} - 8 f_T^2 m_T \right. \\
\times \left. \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{h_1^{(iii)T}}{u^2 M^4} e^{-s(u)} - \frac{1}{8} f_T^2 m_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{\tilde{h}_1^{(iii)T}}{u^3 M^4} (2u + 1) e^{-s(u)} - \frac{1}{2} f_T^4 m_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{h_3^{(ii)T}}{u^2 M^4} (u - 1) e^{-s(u)} \\
- \frac{16}{3} f_T^2 m_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{h_3^{(ii)T}}{u^3 M^4} (u - 1) e^{-s(u)} \right\},
\]

\[
A_2(q^2) = \alpha_1 (m_T + m_D) \left\{ f_T m_c \int_{u_0}^{1} du \left[ \frac{9 \Phi^{(i)T} - g_0^{(i)T}}{u^3 M^4} + 2 \frac{\delta_2(u)}{M^2} + 48 \left( 1 - \frac{\delta_1(u)}{3 M^2} \right) \right] e^{-s(u)} - \frac{17 f_T^4}{8 m_T} \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{\Phi^{(i)T}}{u^2 M^2} e^{-s(u)} \\
+ \frac{2}{3} f_T m_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{h_1^{(iii)T}}{u^3 M^4} \left[ 39 + 4u - 24 \frac{\delta_2(u)}{M^2} + 48 \left( 1 - \frac{\delta_1(u)}{3 M^2} \right) \right] e^{-s(u)} + \frac{1}{2} f_T^4 m_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{h_3^{(ii)T} (2 - u)}{u^2 M^4} e^{-s(u)} \right\},
\]

\[
A_0(q^2) = A_3(q^2) = \frac{\alpha_1}{2 m_T} \left\{ f_T m_c \int_{u_0}^{1} du \left[ -9 \Phi^{(i)T} + g_0^{(i)T} + (4 - 2u) \frac{\delta_2(u)}{M^2} \right] e^{-s(u)} + \frac{17 f_T^4}{8 m_T} \right. \\
\times \left. \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{\Phi^{(i)T}}{u^2 M^2} e^{-s(u)} + 2 f_T^2 m_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{\tilde{h}_1^{(iii)T}}{u^3 M^4} \left[ -31 - 4u + 24 \frac{\delta_2(u)}{M^2} + 48 \left( 4 - 2u \right) \right] e^{-s(u)} \\
+ \frac{1}{4} f_T^4 m_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{h_1^{(iii)T} (2 - u)}{u^3 M^4} \left[ 1 - \frac{\delta_1(u)}{3 M^2} \right] e^{-s(u)} - \frac{1}{6} f_T^2 m_T m_c \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{\tilde{h}_3^{(ii)T} (2 - u)}{u^4 M^6} e^{-s(u)} \\
+ \frac{2}{3} f_T^2 m_T \int_{u_0}^{1} du \frac{h_3^{(ii)T} (2 - u)^2}{u^3 M^4} e^{-s(u)} \right\},
\]
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