Prefrontal and striatal dopamine D$_2$/D$_3$ receptors correlate with fMRI BOLD activation during stopping
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Abstract
D$_2$-like dopamine receptors in animals and humans have been shown to be linked to impulsive behaviors that are highly relevant for several psychiatric disorders. Here, we investigate the relationship between the fronto-striatal D$_2$/D$_3$ dopamine receptor availability and response inhibition in a selected population of healthy OPRM1 G-allele carriers. Twenty-two participants successively underwent blood-oxygen level dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) while performing a stop-signal task and a separate positron emission tomography (PET) scan. Striatal and extrastriatal D$_2$/D$_3$ dopamine receptor availability was measured using the radiotracer [18F]fallypride. Caudate D$_2$/D$_3$ dopamine receptor availability positively correlated with stopping-related fronto-striatal fMRI activation. In addition, right prefrontal D$_2$/D$_3$ dopamine receptor availability correlated positively with stopping-related striatal fMRI BOLD signal. Our study partially replicates previous findings on correlations between striatal D$_2$/D$_3$ dopamine receptor availability and response inhibition in a population selected for its genetic determination of dopamine response to alcohol and as a modulator of impulse control via the endogenous opioid system. We confirm the important role of D$_2$/D$_3$ dopamine receptor availability in the fronto-striatal neural circuit for response inhibition. Moreover, we extend previous findings suggesting that dopamine receptor availability in the right inferior frontal cortex, a crucial region of the stopping network, is also strongly associated with stopping-related striatal fMRI activity in healthy OPRM1 G-allele carriers.

Keywords Right inferior frontal gyrus · [18F]fallypride positron emission tomography · Striatum · OPRM1 gene · Stop-signal task

Introduction
Impulsive behavior is of high clinical relevance in psychiatric disorders such as substance use disorders, attention-deficit/hyperactivity syndrome or personality disorders.
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Addiction is one clinical field in which disturbed inhibitory control via fronto-striatal brain circuits plays a crucial role (Morein-Zamir & Robbins, 2015). Furthermore, there is evidence that both, impulsivity as well as addictive behaviors can be linked to a dysfunctional dopaminergic system (Bosker et al., 2017; Koob & Volkow, 2010). Pharmacogenetic studies provide links between fronto-striatal dopamine function and response inhibition (Colzato et al., 2009; Forbes et al., 2009). Neuroimaging studies revealed positive associations between striatal D2/D3 receptor availability and impulsivity in drug users (Buckholtz et al., 2010; Kohno et al., 2016). Dopaminergic neurotransmission has been shown to play an important role in the modulation of SST performance in rats (Bari et al., 2011). In humans, previous studies revealed associations between stop signal reaction time (SSRT), a measure of stopping latency, and dopamine release in frontal and pre-central cortical regions as well as interactions of the striatal dopamine system and motor inhibition ability (Albrecht et al., 2014; Lorenz et al., 2015). In a behavioral study, SSRT was negatively correlated with D1- and D2-type dopamine Binding Potential (BPND), specifically in the dorsal but not the ventral striatum. The effect of D2-type BPND on SSRT appeared to specifically relate to response inhibition while the relationship between D1-type BPND and SSRT was hypothesized to represent a general motor effect (Robertson et al., 2015). To the best of our knowledge there is only one previous study in humans that used fMRI and Positron Emission Tomography (PET) to investigate the correlation between dopamine receptor availability and the neural networks of response inhibition. In line with Robertson et al. (2015), Ghahremani et al. (2012) reported that striatal dopamine D2/D3 receptor availability negatively correlated with stopping latency (i.e., SSRT). In addition, dopamine D2/D3 receptor availability positively correlated with inhibition-related fMRI activation in a fronto-striatal neural circuitry. Prefrontal clusters included ventrolateral prefrontal cortices and anterior insulae (Ghahremani et al., 2012), regions typically involved in response inhibition (e.g., Aron, 2011; Aron et al., 2014; Sebastian et al., 2013, 2016, 2017). Several lines of research thus provide ample evidence for a close link between fronto-striatal dopamine function and response inhibition.

In the present study we assess the relationship of fMRI BOLD signal during response inhibition and D2/D3 dopamine receptor availability in healthy male subjects. To this end, participants completed an SST during fMRI. D2/D3 dopamine receptor availability was measured using the radiotracer [18F]fallypride in a separate PET session. Findings on D2/D3 dopamine receptor availability in these subjects have been previously published elsewhere (Pfeifer et al., 2017). All participants of the study were originally selected for the A118G allele of the OPRM1 gene (rs1799971) with the aim to study alcohol effects in this genotype. Interestingly, preclinical and clinical studies also found that this genotype may modulate impulse control via the endogenous opioid system (Olmstead et al., 2009; Wiskerke et al., 2011; Ray & Hutchison, 2012).

In the light of the replicability crisis in the field of functional neuroimaging conducting replication studies is strongly required (Munafo et al., 2017). Therefore, using a similar study design as Ghahremani et al. (2012) the main aim of the present study was to replicate previous findings on D2-like dopamine receptors and their relationship to fronto-striatal brain activation in the context of impulse control. Given the findings by Ghahremani et al. (2012) and Robertson et al. (2015) we hypothesized to find a negative correlation of striatal D2/D3 receptor availability with stopping latency (i.e., SSRT). Moreover, we expected striatal D2/D3 receptor availability to positively correlate with fronto-striatal fMRI BOLD signal during response inhibition. In order to extend previous findings, we not only assessed the relationship of striatal D2/D3 receptor availability but also of prefrontal D2-like dopamine receptors with stopping-related brain activity.

**Methods**

**Study design**

For the present study all participants underwent three study visits: First, we assessed the medical history and conducted several basic medical tests (i.e., clinical examination, blood sample analysis, and drug urine screening test). On the second study visit, the participants underwent a structural MRI and performed an SST during fMRI. Third, D2/D3 dopamine receptor availability was measured by PET at a separate study visit.
Ethical approval

Study procedures were in line with the Helsinki Declaration. Ethical approval was obtained by the local ethics committee of Rhineland Palatinate in Mainz, the radiation protection authorities (Bundesinstitut für Strahlenschutz—BfS) and the Federal Health Administration (Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte-BfArM).

Participants

The participants for the present investigation were recruited as part of a larger study (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) – Project Number 126873260; https://gepris.dfg.de/gepris/projekt/126873260). Further study results have been reported in previous publications (Pfeifer et al., 2015, 2017). Participants were recruited via public advertisement. All recruited participants were genotyped for the OPRM1 allele and only male 118G allele-carriers between 21 and 45 years of age who were non-smokers were included for data acquisition in this study. In total, 24 participants were included for the PET and fMRI measurements. Participants were financially compensated. Exclusion criteria were any actual or lifetime psychiatric and substance use disorder as well as current use of any psychotropic drugs. All participants were screened for psychiatric disorders with a standard psychiatric interview (“Diagnostic System for Experts” DIA-X; Wittchen & Pfister, 1997). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to participation.

Two participants were excluded from all analyses (i.e., behavioral and neuroimaging) for not following task instructions resulting in a final sample of 22 participants. These participants had a mean age of 26.55 (SD = 4.62, range: 22–37 years). The IQ, based on the multiple-choice vocabulary test (MWT-B; Lehrl et al., 1995), was 105.50 (± 8.06, range: 96–129). On average, 8.31 days (SD = 1.15, range 3–21 days) elapsed between the fMRI and the subsequent PET measurement.

Genotyping

The rs1799971 genotype was determined by pyrosequencing as described in a previous publication (Pfeifer et al., 2015).

Stop-signal task

We employed the same experimental paradigm that was used in Sebastian et al. (2013). The task was programmed in Presentation software (version 13.0, www.neurobs.com). To ensure that the study participants understood the instructions of the task and to get familiarized with it, a brief training session was conducted prior to the scanning session. At the scanning session all participants accomplished three runs of the SST.

The SST consisted of a go condition (75%) and a stop condition (25%). A white fixation circle in the center of the screen was presented at the beginning of each trial (500 ms). Within this circle, a white arrow was presented for a maximum of 1000 ms or until a button press was performed. Participants were instructed to respond with a button press corresponding to the pointing direction of the arrows. In the stop condition, a stop-signal was presented after a variable stop-signal delay (SSD) following the display of the arrow. The stop-signal consisted of a color change of the circle from white to blue. Participants were instructed to attempt to stop the response in case of a stop-signal. The SSD was adapted to the participants’ performance following a staircase procedure to yield a probability of 50% of correct inhibitions per run. In the beginning of a run, the SSD was 220 ms. If the response was not inhibited, the SSD was decreased by 50 ms in the next stop trial with a minimum SSD of 70 ms. If a response was inhibited correctly, the blue circle and the arrow remained on the screen and the SSD was increased by 50 ms in the next stop trial. The length of the interstimulus interval was jittered with a mean duration of 1000 ms and a standard deviation of 292 ms. A run consisted of 128 stimuli that were presented in a pseudo-randomized order (Sebastian et al., 2012, 2013).

MRI data acquisition

Images were acquired on a Magnetom Trio syngo 3 T system (Siemens, Germany) equipped with a 12-channel head coil for signal reception. Stimuli were projected on a screen at the foot end of the scanner bore and were viewed with the aid of a mirror mounted on the head coil. Foam padding was used to limit head motion within the coil. A high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical data set was obtained using a 3D magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence for registration purposes (TR = 1,900 ms, TE = 2.52 ms, flip angle = 9°, FOV = 256 mm, 176 sagittal slices, voxel size 1×1×1 mm³). Functional MRI images were obtained using T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TR = 2,200 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV = 192 mm, 36 slices, voxel size = 3×3×3 mm³).

PET data acquisition

PET scans were acquired under resting conditions with closed eyes by means of a Siemens ECAT EXACT scanner (CTI, Knoxville, Tenn.) with an axial intrinsic resolution of 4.3 mm FWHM operating in the three-dimensional mode. Images were reconstructed by filtered back projection using
PET Image analysis

The binding potential ($BP_{ND}$) was calculated on a voxelwise basis using the simplified reference tissue model (SRTM) of Lammertsma and Hume (1996) (please see also Pfeifer et al., 2017). The cerebellum was chosen as a reference region since it is generally considered to be free of dopamine receptors. Prior to statistical analysis, the binding potential images were spatially normalized into Montreal Neurological Institute space (McGill University, Montreal) to remove intersubject anatomical variability. For this purpose, integral images (sum of frames between 4 and 8 min after infusion) were calculated and spatially normalized by using SPM8 routines (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London) and a ligand-specific $D2$ template. Subsequently, transformation parameters of normalization were applied to respective individual $BP_{ND}$ images (Pfeifer et al., 2017). For voxel-wise statistical analysis the spatially normalized $BP_{ND}$ images were smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian filter of 12 mm at full width at half maximum (FWHM). The $BP_{ND}$ was calculated on Volumes-of-Interests (VOI) by applying a VOI-template that we used in a preceding publication (Landvogt et al., 2010). Since $BP_{ND}$ in the left and right regions of the given striatal VOIs (supplemental Fig. 1) were highly correlated (Caudate: $r = 0.921$, $p < 0.001$, 95% CI: 0.816–0.967; Putamen: $r = 0.891$, $p < 0.001$, 95% CI: 0.752–0.954) the average of the left and right portion of each VOI was used for correlation analyses. For the IFC we used the inferior frontal gyrus of the Hammers brain atlas (Heckemann et al., 2006). We chose to use the right IFC only since in particular the right IFC is implicated in stopping (Aron et al., 2014; Cieslik et al., 2015; Sebastian et al., 2013, 2016).

fMRI single-subject analysis

A linear regression model (general linear model) was fitted to the fMRI data from all participants. Significant hemodynamic changes for each condition were assessed using $t$-statistics after convolution with a canonical hemodynamic response function. Five events were modeled: correct and incorrect reactions as well as omissions in the go condition and correct and incorrect reactions in the stop condition (successful and unsuccessful stop trials, respectively). Instruction and fixation cross were modeled as regressors of no interest. Head-motion parameters and their first derivatives as well as 1st to 4th order polynomial regressors of slow drift were entered as nuisance regressors. Prior to model estimation a standard 128 s high-pass filter was applied to the data and the model. As preprocessing and first-level analyses were conducted in individual space, resulting contrast images were transformed into stereotactic MNI space using DARTEL deformation fields and averaged across runs. Spatially normalized images were resampled to a resolution of 1.5×1.5×1.5 mm$^3$ and smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel with a full width at half maximum of 9 mm.

fMRI group analysis

To assess stopping-related BOLD activity on second level, the parameter estimates resulting from the first level contrast ‘successful stop > go’ were entered into a second level, random effects group analysis using a one sample t-test design.

To assess correlations of stopping-related BOLD activity with $BP_{ND}$, we used both voxelwise whole-brain as well as VOI-based approaches. On a whole-brain level, we subjected $BP_{ND}$ within the right IFC, caudate and putamen to separate multiple regression analyses using the fMRI contrast ‘successful stop > go’. All fMRI group results were thresholded at $p < 0.05$ corrected for multiple comparisons (family wise error, FWE, correction at...
cluster level using a height threshold of \( p < 0.001 \). The SPM anatomy toolbox 2.2b (Eickhoff et al., 2005, 2007) was used to allocate significant clusters of activation to anatomical regions.

For VOI-based correlations, following Buckholtz et al. (2010) we calculated the first eigenvariate of the parameter estimate for the contrast 'successful stop > go' for each subject for the following anatomically defined VOIs: the right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) pars opercularis from the Harvard–Oxford atlas included in FSL (Desikan et al., 2006) and the left and right striatum VOIs from the probabilistic atlas from Keuken et al. (2014) (supplemental Fig. 1). All probabilistic masks were thresholded at 10%. We then used JASP (version 0.11.1; JASP team, 2018, https://jasp-stats.org) to compute Pearson correlations with \( D_2/D_3 \) receptor availability in right IFC, caudate and putamen. Based on previous findings (Ghahremani et al., 2012) we expected \( D_2/D_3 \) receptor availability to positively correlate with fronto-striatal fMRI data. We thus performed 1-sided tests for a positive correlation with a Bonferroni-corrected alpha threshold of \( p < 0.05/9 = 0.006 \).

### Behavioral data analyses

Behavioral data (RT and accuracy) were collected while participants performed the SST in the scanner. Measures of interest were mean RT on correct go trials, percentage of unsuccessful stop trials, and percentage of go omission errors. SSRT was computed using the integration method with replacement of go omissions with the maximum go RT following the recommendations of Verbruggen et al. (2019). Since previous studies reported a negative correlation of striatal BPND and stopping performance (i.e., SSRT) (Ghahremani et al., 2012; Robertson et al., 2015) we computed one-tailed Pearson correlations with a Bonferroni-corrected alpha threshold \( p < 0.05/3 = 0.017 \) for correlations with SSRT. To exploratory test for a relationship of fronto-striatal BPND and other behavioral measures of the SST (i.e., go RT and omission errors) we computed two-tailed Pearson correlations with a Bonferroni-corrected alpha threshold \( p < 0.05/6 = 0.008 \). For all behavioral data analyses, we used JASP (version 0.11.1; JASP team, 2018, https://jasp-stats.org).

### Results

#### Behavioral results

Table 1 summarizes the behavioral results of the stop-signal task. The participants responded correctly on 98.56% of the go trials and successfully inhibited their response in 49.67% of the stop trials. Those results indicate that participants adhered to task instructions and that the staircase procedure worked successfully. SSRT and mean Go RT values corresponded to previous findings in the literature in comparable samples (Boehler et al., 2010; Ghahremani et al., 2012).

| Mean  | SD    |
|-------|-------|
| RT correct go (ms) | 441.23 | 85.82 |
| RT unsuccessful stop (ms) | 406.00 | 79.03 |
| SSRT (ms) | 199.77 | 53.11 |
| SSD | 224.09 | 110.28 |
| % unsuccessful stop | 50.69 | 3.46 |
| % go omissions | 0.68 | 1.11 |
| % go choice errors | 0.76 | 1.18 |

Percentage error trials (i.e., stop respond, go omission, go choice errors) was estimated by dividing the number of unsuccessful stop, go omission or choice errors on go trials by the total number of the respective trial type.

SSD stop-signal delay, SSRT stop-signal reaction time

### Correlation of BPND and task performance

\( D_2/D_3 \) striatal receptor availability (BPND) and its correlations with SST performance parameters are given in Fig. 1. \( D_2/D_3 \) striatal receptor availability in putamen, caudate and right IFC did not significantly correlate with stopping latency as indexed by the SSRT. Exploratory tests revealed a Bonferroni-corrected significant correlation of \( D_2/D_3 \) caudate receptor availability with go omission errors. We observed no further Bonferroni-corrected significant correlations.

### fMRI results

Contrasting 'successful stop > go' resulted in activation typically associated with response inhibition in the SST. The activation pattern comprised significant clusters in a bilateral, but right lateralized fronto-parietal network. Prefrontal activation was mainly located in bilateral IFG/ anterior insula and pre-SMA (Fig. 2). Results are summarized in Table 2.

#### Voxel-wise analysis

Whole-brain voxel-wise multiple regression analysis using the fMRI contrast 'successful stop > go' revealed a significant positive correlation of \( D_2/D_3 \)-receptor availability in the caudate with one cluster covering the left rolandic operculum, caudate and thalamus and with the superior frontal gyrus (Fig. 3a; Table 3). \( D_2/D_3 \)-receptor availability in the right inferior frontal cortex correlated positively with BOLD signal in the left striatum and calcarine gyrus (Fig. 3b; Table 3) during successful stopping. \( D_2/D_3 \)-receptor availability in the putamen did not correlate with BOLD signal during successful stopping. There were no negative correlations between \( D_2/D_3 \) receptor availability in any region of interest with 'successful stop > go' BOLD signal.
After applying Bonferroni correction, we observed a marginally significant positive correlation of BP<sub>ND</sub> in caudate with BOLD signal extracted from the right striatal VOI during successful stopping (i.e., with 'successful stop inhibit > go' fMRI activity). Furthermore, BP<sub>ND</sub> in right IFC correlated positively with bilateral striatal BOLD signal during successful stopping (Fig. 4). For none of the VOIs a Bonferroni-corrected significant correlation of BP<sub>ND</sub> with right IFG fMRI BOLD signal was observed.

Discussion In the present study we sought to replicate previous findings on the relationship of D<sub>2</sub>/D<sub>3</sub> receptor avail-
**Fig. 2** Activation maps for the contrast 'successful stop > go'. Maps are thresholded at $p_{FWE} < 0.05$, (correction at cluster level, cluster forming threshold of $p < 0.001$ uncorrected, min. cluster extent $k = 1616$ voxel). The color scale represents $t$-scores.

**Table 2** Activation foci for the fMRI contrast 'successful stop > go'

| Region                        | side | x   | y   | z   | Z   | p    | k   |
|-------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Insula Lobe                   | R    | 36  | 17  | -9  | 6.34| .001 | 13,696 |
| IFG (p. Opercularis)          | R    | 44  | 8   | 27  | 6.34| .001 | 13,696 |
| Middle Orbital Gyrus          | R    | 26  | 44  | -18 | 6.34| .001 | 13,696 |
| IFG (p. Triangularis)         | R    | 48  | 29  | 9   | 6.34| .001 | 13,696 |
| Middle Frontal Gyrus          | R    | 42  | 36  | 18  | 6.34| .001 | 13,696 |
| Olfactory cortex              | R    | 17  | 12  | -14 | 6.34| .001 | 13,696 |
| Insula Lobe                   | L    | -33 | 18  | -9  | 5.96| .001 | 5573  |
| IFG (p. Orbitalis)            | L    | -39 | 47  | -17 | 5.96| .001 | 5573  |
| Middle Orbital Gyrus          | L    | -20 | 54  | -18 | 5.96| .001 | 5573  |
| SuperiorOrbital Gyrus         | L    | -17 | 36  | -26 | 5.96| .001 | 5573  |
| Posterior-Medial Frontal Gyrus| R    | 12  | 17  | 65  | 6.16| .001 | 10,125|
| ACC                           | L    | -2  | 27  | 30  | 6.16| .001 | 10,125|
| Superior Frontal Gyrus        | R    | 18  | 5   | 71  | 6.16| .001 | 10,125|
| Posterior-Medial Frontal      | L    | -2  | 12  | 53  | 6.16| .001 | 10,125|
| MCC                           | R    | 11  | 18  | 41  | 6.16| .001 | 10,125|
| Superior parietal lobe        | L    | -62 | -51 | 51  | 4.71| .002 | 1616  |
| Middle Temporal Gyrus         | L    | -50 | -53 | -2  | 4.71| .002 | 1616  |
| SupraMarginal Gyrus           | L    | -56 | -51 | 27  | 4.71| .002 | 1616  |
| Inferior Occipital Gyrus      | R    | 36  | -66 | -12 | 6.71| .001 | 26,614|
| Middle Occipital Gyrus        | R    | 33  | -90 | 3   | 6.71| .001 | 26,614|
| Superior Temporal Gyrus       | R    | 60  | -44 | 23  | 6.71| .001 | 26,614|
| Fusiform Gyrus                | R    | 35  | -75 | -14 | 6.71| .001 | 26,614|
| Calcarine Gyrus               | R    | 20  | -93 | -5  | 6.71| .001 | 26,614|
| Middle Temporal Gyrus         | R    | 51  | -27 | -11 | 6.71| .001 | 26,614|
| Fusiform Gyrus                | L    | -32 | -62 | -17 | 6.71| .001 | 13,232|
| Middle Occipital Gyrus        | L    | -21 | -99 | 3   | 6.71| .001 | 13,232|
| Inferior Occipital Gyrus      | L    | -33 | -87 | -12 | 6.71| .001 | 13,232|
| Cerebellum (VI)               | L    | -38 | -47 | -29 | 6.71| .001 | 13,232|
| Cerebellum (Crus 1)           | L    | -26 | -68 | -30 | 6.71| .001 | 13,232|

Local maxima of brain activations during 'successful stop > go' in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) x-, y-, and z-coordinates with associated Z-score ($p_{FWE} < 0.05$, cluster level corrected) and cluster extent in number of voxel (k). Coordinates of local sub-peaks within a cluster are shown indented.

ACC anterior cingulate cortex, MCC middle cingulate cortex, IFG inferior frontal gyrus, R right, L left.
ability and stopping latency and associated fronto-striatal brain activation in the context of impulse control in a sample of healthy OPRM1 G-allele carriers. In contrast to previous findings (Ghahremani et al., 2012; Robertson et al., 2015) we did not observe a significant negative relationship of stopping latency (i.e., SSRT) and fronto-striatal D₂/D₃ receptor availability. Yet, fronto-striatal D₂/D₃ dopamine receptor availability significantly correlated positively with BOLD activity during successful stopping as expected. More specifically, whole brain voxel-wise multiple regression analyses revealed that D₂/D₃ receptor availability in the caudate was significantly associated with stopping-related prefrontal and caudate fMRI activity. In addition, we assessed the relationship of D₂/D₃ receptor availability in the right IFC, since the right IFC has been shown to be crucial for stopping (Aron et al., 2014; Sebastian et al., 2016, Fig. 3).

**Table 3** Activation foci resulting from whole-brain voxel-wise correlations of D₂/D₃-receptor availability (BPND) with 'successful stop > go' fMRI activation.

| Region side | side x | y | z | Z | p  | k  |
|-------------|--------|---|---|---|----|----|
| Caudate (mean) BPND correlation with 'successful stop > go' BOLD signal | Rolandic Operculum L | -36 | 6 | 14 | 4.50 | <.001 | 3139 |
| Caudate Nucleus L | -9 | -8 | 18 |
| Thalamus R | 3 | -18 | 9 |
| Thalamus R | 14 | -11 | 3 |
| Superior Frontal Gyrus R | 17 | 60 | 18 | 4.31 | .018 | 989 |
| Superior Frontal Gyrus L | -15 | 68 | 15 |
| right inferior frontal cortex BPND correlation with 'successful stop > go' BOLD signal | Putamen L | -21 | 14 | 9 | 4.15 | .011 | 1111 |
| Caudate Nucleus L | -6 | 5 | 9 |
| Calcarine Gyrus L | -6 | -71 | 12 | 3.88 | .047 | 772 |
| Lingual Gyrus L | -9 | -77 | -2 |

Local maxima of brain activations in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) x-, y-, and z-coordinates with associated Z-score (pFWE < 0.05, cluster level corrected) and cluster extent in number of voxel (k). Coordinates of local sub-peaks within a cluster are shown indented.

BPND binding potential, R right, L left.
striatal VOIs with right IFC BPND as well as a marginally whole-brain results. We observed a highly significant positive correlation of fMRI parameters extracted from bilateral striatal VOIs with right IFC BPND as well as a marginally significant correlation of fMRI parameters extracted from the right striatum with right caudate BPND. Taken together, the present findings provide further evidence for the important role of striatal D2/D3 receptor availability in humans for the neural circuitry subserving response inhibition behavioral control. Moreover, we show that also right prefrontal D2/D3 receptor availability is critically related to fronto-striatal brain activity during successful stopping.

D2/D3-receptor availability and response inhibition

The modulatory role of dopamine in inhibitory action control is broadly supported by pharmacological testings and observations in various clinical populations (de Wit et al., 2002; Colzato et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2016, Nandam et al., 2013). A previous study demonstrated that disturbances within ascending dopaminergic trajectories (i.e., decreased midbrain D2/D3- autoreceptor availability) may enhance deficits in impulse control as assessed with the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (Buckholtz et al., 2010). In contrast to the behavioral paradigm used in the present study impulsivity was measured as a personality trait in the study by Buckholtz et al (2010). This is important to note since associations between impulsive traits measured with self-report scales and state impulsivity as assessed using experimental paradigms are – if at all present – relatively small (Cyders & Coskunpinar, 2011; Reynolds et al., 2006; Stahl et al., 2014). Results are thus not directly comparable. Here, we applied a neurocognitive impulse control task with the aim to replicate findings from preceding studies that investigated the contribution of striatal dopaminergic function to inhibitory control in humans with a similar methodology (Ghahremani et al., 2012; Robertson et al., 2015). In contrast to previous findings, SSRT displayed only a non-significant negative association with D2-like dopamine receptors in the present study. Therefore, the data do not support strong associations between D2-like dopamine receptors in the dorsal striatum and inhibitory control. It is noteworthy, however, that brain behavior correlations in small samples (n = 20–30) may be sensitive to outliers and may overestimate the actual effect size (Cremers et al., 2017). Brain-behavior correlations in the present and previous studies were assessed in small samples (present study: n = 22, Ghahremani et al., 2012: n = 18, Robertson et al., 2015: n = 27). Nevertheless, the association of SSRT and striatal D2/D3-receptor availability was consistently negative in all of these studies providing accumulating evidence for the suggested relationship. Ghahremani et al. (2012) as well as other authors point out that models of behavioral control should consider not only D2-like receptor availability, but also their balance with the D3 receptor status in the striatum (Eagle et al., 2011). As the latter cannot be measured with [18F]fallypride, our study cannot provide information on the ratio of both different receptor types. This may, however, be essential for an integrative interpretation of D2-like receptor availability and its correlation with stopping latency.

One interesting finding in our study population is that elevated D2/D3 dopamine receptor availability in the caudate was associated with a higher propensity for go omission errors. In line with that, in a study that investigated the neural correlates of omission errors using event-related potentials omissions were attentional lapse-based errors as indicated by a delayed brain preparation before the stimulus onset (Perri et al., 2017). Furthermore, significantly elevated omission error rates in the SST have been reported in individuals with ADHD, a clinical condition that may benefit from a stabilization of dopamine signaling when treated with stimulants (Adams et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2016; Overtoom et al., 2002).

Stopping-related BOLD activity and striatal D2/D3-receptor availability

Multiple regression of caudate D2/D3 receptor availability revealed a positive association with stopping-related fMRI activity in the rolandic operculum, caudate, thalamus and superior frontal gyrus, thus replicating previous findings by Ghahremani et al. (2012) in large parts. The present results extend previous findings by additionally testing for stopping-related associations with right prefrontal D2/D3-dopamine receptor availability. Right IFC BPND was associated with left striatal activity resulting from the 'successful stop > go' fMRI contrast. This finding highlights the possible relationship of prefrontal dopamine transmission for stopping and strengthens previous findings of a lateralization of this brain function (Aron et al., 2014). However, our data cannot reveal causal relationships between dopamine transmission and inhibitory control. Therefore, the question remains how dopamine exactly modulates the stopping network. In this context, Kayser et al. (2016) assessed the changes in impulsive choice ratio on tolcapone, a drug that augments frontal dopamine tone, in a cohort of pathological gamblers using a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled within-subject study design. Stronger baseline right IFC BOLD signal correlated with greater declines in impulsive choice on tolcapone versus placebo. In addition, connectivity of right IFC and striatum increased on tolcapone versus placebo. This suggests that tolcapone or dopamine augmentation in general may have a role in reducing impulsive behaviors.
Fig. 4 VOI-based correlations between binding potential (BPND) in right inferior frontal cortex (IFC), caudate and putamen and BOLD signal in right and left striatum and right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) during successful stopping (i.e., ‘successful stop > go’ fMRI contrast).

* Correlation was significant after applying Bonferroni correction. 

* Correlation marginally significant after applying Bonferroni correction.
specifically via increases in top-down control via fronto-striatal pathways in particular in individuals with greater baseline right IFC activity. The findings by Kayser et al. (2016) thus underline the present findings regarding the importance of fronto-striatal dopaminergic modulation in the context of impulse control. Furthermore, researchers who investigated cortical dopamine release during an SST with fallypride PET found that changes in cortical D2/D3 receptor availability were detectable (Albrecht et al., 2014). Interestingly, the latter study demonstrated significant changes in cortical dopamine in anatomic locations corresponding to neural correlates of inhibiting motor responses as characterized in humans with fMRI (left orbitofrontal cortex, right middle frontal gyrus, and right precentral gyrus).

Taken together, the present results corroborate a positive association of stopping-related striatal brain activity with D2/D3-dopamine receptor availability in the nucleus caudatus. They extend these findings by revealing a similar association with D2/D3-dopamine receptor availability in the right IFC, a key region of the neural stopping network.

Our study has limitations: First, [18F]fallypride has a comparable affinity to D2 and D3 dopamine receptors in vivo. Therefore, we are not able to distinguish specific findings for each receptor subtype. Second, using this tracer we cannot report findings in the D1 dopamine receptor system which also plays a crucial role for successful motor inhibition. Another limitation is the lack of a control group for the behavioral findings in our participants with the OPRM1 G-allele. However, a previous study that investigated response inhibition by means of the SSRT (Courtney et al., 2013). Finally, there were several days between the behavioral/fMRI and PET assessments.
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