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Inhaled pulmonary vasodilators are not associated with improved gas exchange in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19: A retrospective cohort study
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Abstract

Purpose: Measure the effect of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators on gas exchange in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19.

Methods: A retrospective observational cohort study at three New York University Hospitals was performed including eighty-four mechanically ventilated SARS Cov-2 nasopharyngeal PCR positive patients, sixty nine treated with inhaled nitric oxide (iNO) and fifteen with inhaled epoprostenol (iEPO). The primary outcomes were change in PaO2:FIO2 ratio, oxygenation Index (OI), and ventilatory ratio (VR) after initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators.

Results: There was no significant change in PaO2:FIO2 ratio after initiation of iNO (mean −4.1, 95% CI −17.3-9.0, P = 0.54) or iEPO (mean −3.4, 95% CI −19.7-12.9, P = 0.66), in OI after initiation of iNO (mean 2.1, 95% CI−0.04-4.2, P = 0.054) or iEPO (mean −3.4, 95% CI −19.7-12.9, P = 0.75), or in VR after initiation of iNO (mean 0.17, 95% CI −0.03-0.36, P = 0.25) or iEPO (mean 0.33, 95% CI −0.0847-0.74, P = 0.11). PaO2:FIO2, OI and VR did not significantly change over a five day period starting the day prior to drug initiation in patients who received either iNO or iEPO assessed with a fixed effects model.

Conclusion: Inhaled pulmonary vasodilators were not associated with significant improvement in gas exchange in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19.

1. Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and can lead to hypoxic respiratory failure requiring hospitalization, intensive care unit admission (ICU), and mechanical ventilation. Severe acute hypoxic respiratory failure due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) frequently meets criteria for the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and has been correlated with diffuse alveolar damage on autopsy histopathology of the lung [1-3]. Management of COVID-19 with ARDS consists of lung protective ventilation with low tidal volumes and low driving pressure, prone body position when PaO2:FIO2 ratio is under 150, and in some cases extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) [4-7]. ARDS is characterized by a variable degree of microvascular occlusion, and early findings suggest that vascular and endothelial injury may be more prominent in ARDS due to COVID-19 [8,9]. Contrast enhanced chest CT scans of patients with COVID-19 have suggested abnormality of small blood vessels [10,11]. Post mortem examination of pulmonary endothelial cells via electron microscopy in patients who died of COVID-19 suggests pulmonary capillary endotheliopathy [12,13].
Immunothrombosis, neutrophil extracellular trap formation and innate immune activation are more prominent in the lung of patients COVID-19 as compared to influenza [14].

Inhaled pulmonary vasodilators including inhaled nitric oxide (iNO) and inhaled prostaglandins such as inhaled epoprostenol (iEPO) cause selective pulmonary vasodilation through cyclic guanosine monophosphate (c-GMP) and cyclic adenosine monophosphate (c-AMP) mediated smooth muscle relaxation, respectively, resulting in increased blood flow to ventilated lung units improving ventilation and perfusion matching [15]. Both agents also may possess anti-inflammatory and anti-platelet aggregation effects [16]. Both iNO and iEPO have been studied in ARDS and have been shown to improve the PaO2:FIO2 ratio, though they have been not found to reduce 30-day mortality or increase the number of ventilator-free days [17-20]. iNO decreases the alveolar dead space in animal models of acute lung injury [21,22]. Because COVID-19 appears to have a specific effect on blood vessels including the pulmonary vasculature, the effect of selective pulmonary vasodilators in COVID-19 may differ from that observed in ARDS due to other causes. We hypothesized that inhaled pulmonary vasodilators would improve oxygenation and decrease impairment in CO2 elimination in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study setting and patient population

We performed a retrospective, observational cohort study in three New York University (NYU) Langone Health hospitals in close proximity to New York, NY. Adult patients (≥18 yr.) with positive Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) nasopharyngeal real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) specimen admitted to NYU Langone Medical Center, NYU Langone Brooklyn Hospital, and NYU Langone Hospital - Long Island during the study period. iEPO was administered using a Heated Humidifier (Mallinckrodt Pharmaceutical, Bedminster NJ). The injector module for the nitric oxide system was placed on the dry side of the ventilator circuit. iNO was provided with the INOMAX system (Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals, Mountain View CA) placed on the dry side of the ventilator circuit heated humidifier (Fischer and Paykel, Auckland NZ). The starting dose of iNO was 10–40 ppm and determined by the treating clinician. iNO was the only inhaled pulmonary vasodilator provided at NYU Langone Medical Center and NYU Langone Hospital - Long Island during the study period. iEPO was administered using a Medfusion 3500 syringe pump (Cary, NC), and syringe connected to an Aerogen Solo vibratory mesh nebulizer system (Aerogen, Mountain View CA) placed on the dry side of the ventilator circuit heated humidifier. The initial dose of iEPO was 50 ng/kg/min based on ideal body weight and titrated by the treating intensivist as tolerated based on clinical response. iEPO was the only pulmonary vasodilator provided at NYU Langone Brooklyn Hospital during the study period.

2.2. Pulmonary vasodilators

An institutional guideline suggested inhaled pulmonary vasodilators can be considered in ARDS with PaO2:FIO2 ratio < 200, after optimization of lung protective mechanical ventilation and consideration of prone body position. The ultimate decision to start inhaled pulmonary vasodilators rested with the treating intensivist.

iNO was provided with the INOMAX system (Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals, Bedminster NJ). The injector module for the nitric oxide system was placed on the dry side of the ventilator circuit heated humidifier (Fischer and Paykel, Auckland NZ). The starting dose of iNO was 10–40 ppm and determined by the treating clinician. iNO was the only inhaled pulmonary vasodilator provided at NYU Langone Medical Center and NYU Langone Hospital - Long Island during the study period. iEPO was administered using a Medfusion 3500 syringe pump (Cary, NC), and syringe connected to an Aerogen Solo vibratory mesh nebulizer system (Aerogen, Mountain View CA) placed on the dry side of the ventilator circuit heated humidifier. The initial dose of iEPO was 50 ng/kg/min based on ideal body weight and titrated by the treating intensivist as tolerated based on clinical response. iEPO was the only pulmonary vasodilator provided at NYU Langone Brooklyn Hospital during the study period.

2.3. Data collection

Patient data were obtained from the electronic Medical Record (EMR; EPIC, Verona WI). All adult patients with positive SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal RT-PCR admitted during the study period with orders or flowsheet documentation of iNO were reviewed. All patients receiving iEPO were obtained from a query of pharmacy records. Patients meeting all inclusion and no exclusion criteria were included for analysis. Mechanical ventilator, arterial blood gas and clinical data were collected on the date of mechanical ventilation, the day prior to initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators, the day of initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators before and after initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators, and on the three subsequent days. The ventilator data and arterial blood gas with highest PaO2:FIO2 in supine position were collected on each study day. On the day selective pulmonary vasodilators were started ventilator parameters and arterial blood gas values were collected within four hours before, and after initiation of the selective pulmonary vasodilator. The primary endpoints were change in gas exchange after initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators. Oxygenation was assessed by PaO2:FIO2 ratio, and the oxygenation Index (OI) (FiO2*Mean Airway Pressure/PaO2) [23]. Impairment in CO2 elimination was estimated with ventilatory ratio (VR) (VMeasured/PaCO2Measured/ Vt predicted PaCO2predicted) [24,25].

2.4. Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR). Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies and percentages. Comparisons between groups were performed with Kruskall Wallis test for continuous variables and Chi Square or Fischer’s Exact tests for categorical variables. Change in PaO2:FIO2, OI, and VR were assessed using a paired Student’s t-test, and serial measures were assessed with analysis of variance using a fixed effects model. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed in SAS 9.4 (Carey, NC).

3. Results

We screened 120 patients and excluded 36 for the following reasons: ECMO (n = 15), inadequate records (n = 14), spontaneously breathing at initiation of selective pulmonary vasodilators (n = 4), cardiac arrest at time of selective pulmonary vasodilator initiation (n = 3). The final study population included 84 patients, of which 69 received iNO and 15 received iEPO. (See Fig. 1.) Patients were predominantly male (73% male iNO vs 93% male iEPO p = 0.1). Demographic and medical history of the groups is summarized in Table 1. Pharmacotherapy and immunomodulatory treatments reflected the current standards during the study period. Mortality by hospital day 30 was high in both groups but significantly worse in the iEPO group without correcting for severity of illness (52 vs 93%, p = 0.0031).

Clinical and laboratory parameters on the day of pulmonary vasodilator initiation are summarized in Table 2. On the day of initiating inhaled pulmonary vasodilators patients in both groups had predominantly moderate or severe ARDS. Patients who received iEPO had more disturbed gas exchange and significantly lower PaO2:FIO2 and higher OI and VR than those who received iNO. Neuromuscular blockade was more common in patients receiving iEPO (55 vs 87%, p = 0.02). A majority of patients in both groups received vasopressors, and anticoagulation.

iNO was started a median of 6 days after the initiation of mechanical ventilation and continued for a median of 106 h. iEPO was started a median of 7 days after the initiation of mechanical ventilation and continued for a median of 53 h. We performed paired t-tests of PaO2:FIO2, OI and VR before and after iNO or iEPO, shown in Fig. 2. There was no significant increase in PaO2:FIO2in patients who received iNO (mean − 4.1
mmHg, 95% CI -17.3–9.0 mmHg, \( P = 0.54 \)), or iEPO (mean -3.4 mmHg, 95% CI -19.7–12.9 mmHg, \( P = 0.66 \)). Similarly, there was no significant improvement in OI in patients who received iNO (mean 2.1, 95% CI -0.04–4.2, \( P = 0.054 \)), or iEPO (mean -3.4, 95% CI -19.7–12.9, \( P = 0.75 \)). Finally, there was no significant improvement in VR in patients who received iNO (mean 0.17, 95% CI -0.03–0.36, \( P = 0.25 \)), or iEPO (mean 0.33, 95% CI -0.08–0.74, \( P = 0.11 \)). The proportion of patients with greater than 20% increase in \( P_{A\text{O}_2}:F_{I\text{O}_2} \) was 32/84 (38%). There was no significant correlation between time from initiation of mechanical ventilation to start of selective pulmonary vasodilators and the responses to selective pulmonary vasodilators as measured by changes in \( P_{A\text{O}_2}:F_{I\text{O}_2} \), OI or VR and (Supplementary Fig. 1). Similarly there was no significant correlation between \( P_{A\text{O}_2}:F_{I\text{O}_2} \), OI or VR prior to the start of selective pulmonary vasodilators and the responses to selective pulmonary vasodilators as measured by changes in \( P_{A\text{O}_2}:F_{I\text{O}_2} \), OI or VR (Supplementary Fig. 2).

We assessed gas exchange over 5 days starting the day prior to initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators and ending on day 3 after start of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators using a fixed effects model shown in Fig. 3. There was substantial dropout in both groups due to death, and one patient in the iNO group was placed on ECMO. Gas exchange as assessed by \( P_{A\text{O}_2}:F_{I\text{O}_2} \), OI and VR in patients who received iEPO was significantly worse than patients who were received iNO. We did not observe a significant change in \( P_{A\text{O}_2}:F_{I\text{O}_2} \) ratio, OI, or VR over the five-day study period.

Adverse consequences of selective pulmonary dilators were minimal and similar in both groups. The highest carboxyhemoglobin percentage observed after initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators was 3% in the iEPO group and 2.9% in the iNO group. The highest methemoglobin percentage observed after initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators was 2.1% in the iEPO group and 2.7% in the iNO group. The lowest observed platelet count in the iEPO group was 54,000 per mm\(^3\) and observed on the second day after initiation of iEPO. The lowest observed platelet count in the iNO group was 49,000 per mm\(^3\) and observed on the third day after initiation of iNO. Carboxyhemoglobin percentage, methemoglobin percentage and platelet count were not significantly different in either group at any study time point.

4. Discussion

Here we report our observations of a retrospective observational cohort study of the effects of selective inhaled pulmonary vasodilators on gas exchange in a relatively large group of mechanically ventilated patients with ARDS due to COVID-19. We analyzed both oxygenation (using \( P_{A\text{O}_2}:F_{I\text{O}_2} \) ratio and OI) and carbon dioxide removal (VR), and
Table 1
Subject demographic characteristics and clinical outcomes.

|                          | iNO Number | Percent | iEPO Number | Percent | P value |
|--------------------------|------------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Male                     | 49         | 71.0    | 14          | 93.3    | 0.1     |
| Female                   | 20         | 29.0    | 1           | 6.7     | 0.1     |
| White                    | 29         | 42.0    | 3           | 20.0    | 0.08    |
| African american         | 8          | 11.6    | 0           | 0.0     | 0.08    |
| Asian                    | 4          | 5.8     | 1           | 6.7     | 0.08    |
| Other race               | 19         | 27.5    | 8           | 53.3    | 0.006   |
| Unknown                  | 5          | 7.2     | 3           | 20.0    | 0.08    |
| Latino                   | 24         | 34.8    | 9           | 60.0    | 0.097   |
| DM                       | 24         | 34.8    | 3           | 20.0    | 0.22    |
| HTN                      | 34         | 49.3    | 5           | 33.3    | 0.40    |
| Congestive Heart Failure | 3          | 4.3     | 0           | 0.0     | 0.45    |
| Chronic Renal Disease    | 8          | 11.6    | 1           | 6.7     | 0.28    |
| Liver disease            | 6          | 8.7     | 0           | 0.0     | 0.24    |
| Asthma                   | 7          | 10.1    | 0           | 0.0     | 0.46    |
| HIV                      | 1          | 1.4     | 1           | 6.7     | 0.33    |
| Cancer                   | 4          | 5.8     | 0           | 0.0     | 0.36    |
| Organ transplant         | 3          | 4.3     | 0           | 0.0     | 0.54    |
| Tracheostomy             | 31         | 44.9    | 2           | 13.3    | 0.039   |
| Mortality day 30         | 36         | 52.2    | 14          | 93.3    | 0.0031  |
| Renal Replacement Therapy| 15         | 21.7    | 7           | 46.7    | 0.58    |
response to these mediators [16]. Whether direct effects of viral infection or the inflammatory response to it explain the lower response rate that we observe remains a subject for future exploration. Because we use surrogate measures of the efficiency of oxygen and carbon dioxide transfer to estimate the effects of iNO and iEPO, we can only speculate about what mechanisms might underlie our observations. Mechanistic research would require more precise measures such as mixed inert gas elimination technique (MIGET), but this technique is cumbersome and currently available for research purposes at only a few centers worldwide. Whether exhaled gas might be safely collected and analyzed during the COVID-19 pandemic remains uncertain.

Our study has several strengths. We were able to include a relatively large cohort of patients who received iNO and a smaller group who received iEPO, included measurements of oxygenation as well as an estimate of ventilatory dead space, and an assessment of gas exchange over a 5-day period. Doses of iNO and iEPO are typical of those used in clinical practice, including the COVID-19 pandemic era.

The weaknesses of our study relate to a retrospective study design. We are not able to assess the effect of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators on clinical outcomes such as mortality or duration of mechanical ventilation. The higher 30-day mortality in patients who received iEPO likely relates to a higher baseline severity of illness in those patients. Patients treated during the study period received the contemporary standard of care pharmacotherapy for COVID-19, which has subsequently evolved. It is possible that the effect of selective pulmonary vasodilators on gas exchange may be different in patients with a different severity of illness, or at a different time point in the disease. We cannot exclude the possibility of a small response to these drugs, or that there are subgroups

Fig. 2. Paired student’s t-tests of P_{A O2:F{O2}}, OI, and VR before and after initiation of iNO or iEPO.
which may have a response to iEPO or iNO. Patients in our study started selective inhaled pulmonary vasodilators relatively late in the course of ARDS. However, we did not find a correlation between time from the initiation of mechanical ventilation and the start of selective inhaled pulmonary vasodilators and change in gas exchange. Nor did we observe an association between the severity of disordered gas exchange and a favorable response to selective inhaled pulmonary vasodilators.

COVID-19 causes severe acute respiratory failure, which is both similar to ARDS from other causes, and a source of unique challenges. We hypothesized that selective inhaled pulmonary vasodilators will improve

![Graphs showing fixed effects model serial measures ANOVA of PaO2/FiO2 (a), OI (b), VR (c) over five consecutive days beginning one day prior to initiation of iNO or iEPO.](image)

**Fig. 3.** Fixed effects model serial measures ANOVA of PaO2/FiO2 (a), OI (b), VR (c) over five consecutive days beginning one day prior to initiation of iNO or iEPO.
oxygenation and decrease alveolar dead space. Neither oxygenation nor efficiency of pulmonary CO₂ elimination were significantly improved after initiation of inhaled pulmonary vasodilators in a group of 84 mechanically ventilated adult patients with ARDS due to COVID-19. It is possible that the vascular manifestations of COVID-19 result in a specific subtype of lung injury in which the pulmonary vasculature is less responsive to selective inhaled pulmonary vasodilators.
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