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Abstract

Seven transmembrane receptors (7TMRs), also known as G protein-coupled receptors, are popular targets of drug development, particularly 7TMR systems that are activated by peptide ligands. Although many pharmaceutical drugs have been discovered via conventional bulk analysis techniques the increasing availability of structural and evolutionary data are facilitating change to rational, targeted drug design. This article discusses the appeal of neuropeptide-7TMR systems as drug targets and provides an overview of concepts in the evolution of vertebrate genomes and gene families. Subsequently, methods that use evolutionary concepts and comparative analysis techniques to aid in gene discovery, gene function identification, and novel drug design are provided along with case study examples.
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INTRODUCTION

Seven transmembrane receptors (7TMRs) represent the largest membrane bound receptor superfamily in humans, with over 840 members (Oh et al., 2006; Lagerstrom and Schioth, 2008). Genomic analysis of predictable pharmaceutical drug targets indicates that 7TMRs make up 19% of the drugable proteome, and that 36% of existing drugs target 7TMRs (Rask-Andersen et al., 2011). Worldwide, as of 2014, 7TMR targeting drugs have a market value of $100 billion, which is expected to grow to $115 billion by 2018 (Ufuk, 2014).

7TMRs are cylindrical receptor proteins usually found in the cellular membrane and are involved in signal transduction, by which chemical messengers found outside of the cell are able to alter intra-cellular protein activity and gene expression. 7TMRs possess seven hydrophobic transmembrane α-helices, which anchor the receptor into the membrane layer. The α-helices are connected by extra- and intracellular loops, in addition to an extracellular N-terminal strand and an intracellular C-terminal strand (Katritch et al., 2012). Ligands bind to pockets formed by the extracellular domains and/or transmembrane α-helices and induce conformational changes which modulate the intracellular domains’ ability to interact with various intracellular messenger proteins such as G-proteins and β-arrestins (Conroy et al., 2015; M’Kadmi et al., 2015). Vertebrate 7TMRs can be categorised into the glutamate, rhodopsin, adhesion, frizzled/taste2, and secretin families based on the GRAFS system. The rhodopsin-like family can be further subdivided into α, β, γ, and δ subgroups (Fredriksson et al., 2003). Endogenous ligands for 7TMRs include peptides, amines, lipids, nucleotides, ions, and even photons.

7TMRs that are targeted by the neuropeptide category of peptide ligands are grouped in the secretin-like and β and γ groups of the rhodopsin-like 7TMRs (Fredriksson et al., 2003). The rhodopsin-like 7TMRs and cognate neuropeptides can then be further categorised into 5 clades (Yun et al., 2015) and the secretin-like 7TMRs and cognate neuropeptides into 5 families (Hwang et al., 2013), as displayed in Fig. 1. To date, over 30 7TMR-neuropeptide families have been identified in humans consisting of over 70 7TMR genes and over 60 neuropeptide genes, with a further 8 orphan 7TMRs in 6 families with no known ligands (Table 1).

Neuropeptide-interacting 7TMRs mediate a multitude of roles in the nervous system and peripheral organs and influen...
ence a number of physiological and psychological processes including reproduction, growth, homeostasis, metabolism, food intake, sleep, and social and sexual behaviours (Cho et al., 2007; Mirabeau and Joly, 2013; Vaudry and Seong, 2014). Mature neuropeptides are produced by cleavage of larger pre-cursor proteins which share typical motifs in their amino acid sequences. These motifs include a signal peptide sequence at the N-terminus and an evolutionary conserved mature neuropeptide sequence, which is often flanked by cleavage sites (Steiner, 1998).

As larger quantities of biological data become available, rational, specifically tailored techniques are emerging. For example, novel peptide genes have been identified by tailoring genomic-analysis algorithms to search for specific peptide motifs (Mirabeau et al., 2007). In addition, comparative and evolutionary genomic analysis techniques are aiding in the delineation of the mechanisms that drive the emergence of gene families, permitting the determination of novel peptide and 7TMR interaction (Hwang et al., 2013; Park et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014a). Therefore, this article will discuss, using previously published data, how an understanding of gene family evolution, within the context of vertebrate genome evolution over the past 500 million years, can provide valuable insight for gene discovery, gene function identification, and drug design for peptide ligand-7TMR families.

**Fig. 1.** A simplified schematic of a phylogenetic tree of the pre-2R progenitor genes of the 5 clades of rhodopsin peptide-interacting 7TMRs, with the inclusion of the MCR family, and the single clade of secretin peptide-interacting 7TMRs. The inner ring consists of the phylogenetic schematic of the 7TMR progenitors and their VAC placement, and the outer ring consists of the neuropeptide ligand progenitors and their VAC placement. Lines between 7TMRs and their ligands indicate pre-2R interaction between multiple progenitor 7TMRs and ligands. 7TMRs annotated in red indicate the absence of known ligands for these receptors. The VAC bars are colour coded green, pink, tan, yellow, blue, and grey to represent VACs D, E, I/B, C, F, and A, respectively.

**GENE FAMILY EXPANSION VIA INDIVIDUAL GENE AND WHOLE GENOME DUPLICATION**

Gene families are established through evolutionary processes such as gene/genome duplication followed by altera-
Table 1. Rhodopsin-like and Secretin-like 7TMR and their cognate neuropeptide gene families

| Clade | Family       | 7TMR Pre-2R progenitors | Neuropeptide Post-2R members | Neuropeptide Pre-2R progenitors |
|-------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1     | Cholecystokinin | CCKR A/B CCKR 3/4 | CCK CCK/GAST | CCK/GAST | CCK/GAST |
|       | Neuropeptide FF | NPFFR 1/2/3 NPFFR3 | NPFF NPFF/NPFF | NPFF/NPFF | NPFF/NPFF |
|       | Hypocretin     | HCRTR 1/2 HCRTR2 | HCRT HCRT 1/2 | HCRT 1/2 | HCRT 1/2 |
|       | Tachykinin     | TACR 1/2/3 TACR3 TACR4/5* | TAC1 TAC3 TAC 1/3/4 | TAC 1/3/4 | TAC 1/3/4 |
|       | Prokineticin   | PROKR 1/2/3 PROKR3 | PROK1 PROK 1/2/3 | PROK 1/2/3 | PROK 1/2/3 |
|       | Orphan 83      | GPR83 1/2/3 GPR83-1 | Unknown Unknown | Unknown Unknown | Unknown Unknown |
|       | Prolactin releasing peptide | PRLHR 1 PRLHR 2/3 PRLHR 4/5 | PRLH1 PRLH2 | PRLH 1/2 | PRLH 1/2 |
|       | Neuropeptide Y | NPYR 1/3/4/6 NPY3R NPY4R | NPY/2* NPY/PYY/NPY | NPY/PYY/NPY | NPY/PYY/NPY |
|       | Pyroglutamylated Rfamide peptide | QRFPR 1/2/3 QRFPR 4 | QRFFP QRFFP 1/2 | QRFFP 1/2 | QRFFP 1/2 |
| 2     | Galanin/Spexin | GALR1 GALR2/3 | GAL GALP SPX SPX | GALP SPX | GALP SPX |
|       | Kisspeptin     | KISSR | KISS1 KISS 1/2/3 | KISS 1/2/3 | KISS 1/2/3 |
|       | Urotensin-2    | UTS2R-1/4 UTS2R-2 | URP/2* UTS2 UTS 1/2, UTS2 | URP/2* UTS2 UTS 1/2, UTS2 | URP/2* UTS2 UTS 1/2, UTS2 |
|       |               | UTS2R-3/5 | UTS2R-2 UTS2R-3 | UTS2R-5 | UTS2R-5 |
Table 1. Continued

| Clade Family | 7TMR Pre-2R progenitors | 7TMR Post-2R members | Neuropeptide Pre-2R members | Neuropeptide Post-2R members |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Melanin-concentrating hormone | MCHR 1/3 | MCHR1 | MCHR3 | PMCH1 |
| | MCHR 2 | MCHR2 | | |
| | MCHR 4/5 | MCHR4 | MCHR5 | |
| | MCHR 6/7 | MCHR6 | MCHR7 | |
| Somatostatin | SSTR 1/4/6 | SSTR1 | SSTR4 | SST1/3/4* |
| | | SSTR6 | SSTR2 | SST2/6* |
| | SSTR 2/3/5 | SSTR2 | SSTR3 | SSTR5 |
| Neuropeptide-B/W | SSTR 7 | SSTR7 | | |
| | NPBWR 1/2 | NPBWR1 | NPBWR2 | NPW |
| | OPR D/K/L/M | OPRD | OPRK | PENK |
| | | OPRL | OPRM | PDYN/PENK/ |
| | | | | PNOC/POMC* |
| Melanocortin | MCR 1 | MCR1 | | |
| | MCR 2 | MCR2 | | |
| | MCR 3/5 | MCR3 | MCR5 | |
| | MCR 4 | MCR4 | | |
| 3 Neuromedin-B/Bombesin subtype 3/ | NMBR/BRS3/GRPR | NMBR | BRS3 | GRP |
| Gastrin-releasing peptide | | GRPR | | NMB |
| Endothelian | EDNRA A/B/B2 | EDNRA | EDNRB | EDN |
| Orphan 37 | GPR37 /L1 | GPR37 | GPR37 | Unknown |
| | | | L1 | |
| 4 Neuromedin-U | NMUR 1/2/3 | NMUR1 | NMUR2 | NMU |
| | | NMUR3 | | NMS |
| Growth hormone secretagogue/Motilin | GHSR 1/2/3 MLNR | GHSR | GHSR2 | GHS |
| | | GHSR3 | | MLN |
| Orphan 39 | GPR39-1/2 | GPR39 | GPR39-2 | Unknown |
| | | | | |
| Neurotensin | NTSR 1/2 | NTSR1 | NTSR2 | NTS |
| | | | | NTS2 |
| Thyrotropin-releasing hormone | TRHR 1/2/3 | TRHR1 | TRHR2 | TRH |
| | | | | TRH1/2* |
| NMUR4 | NMUR4 | NMUR4 | | Unknown |
| Orphan 139/142 | GPR 139/142 | GPR139 | | Unknown |
| Orphan 139-like** | Unclear | Unclear | | Unknown |
| Orphan 139-like** | Unclear | Unclear | | Unknown |
tions to gene function or gene loss (Abi-Rached et al., 2002; Larhammar et al., 2002; Holland, 2003; Santini et al., 2003; Vienne et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2011; Hwang et al., 2013). In particular, the quadruplication of genes by two rounds (2R) of whole genome duplication during early vertebrate evolution facilitated the rapid proliferation of genes within vertebrate gene families. These families emerged and were populated via continuous local gene duplications prior to 2R (Hwang et al., 2013). Subsequent to 2R, heavy gene loss and additional local gene duplications followed by differentiation and functionalization has created the current variety of vertebrate gene families (Lundin, 1993; Holland et al., 1994; Larhammar and

### Table 1. Continued

| Clade | Family | 7TMR Pre-2R progenitors | Post-2R members | Neuropeptide Post-2R members | Pre-2R progenitors |
|-------|--------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 5     | Gonadotropin-releasing hormone | GnRHR1A | GnRHR1A | GnRH1 | GnRH |
|       |        | GnRHR1 B/C | GnRHR1B | GnRHR1 |
|       |        | GnRHR2 A/B/C | GnRHR2A | GnRHR2 |
|       | Orphan 150 | GPR150-1/2 | GPR150 | Unknown | Unknown |
|       | Neuropeptide-S | NSPR | NPSR | NPS | NPS |
|       | Arginine vasopressin/Oxytocin | OTR/AVPR1 A/B | AVPR1A | AVP | AVP |
|       | Orphan 19 | GPR19 | CRHR 1/2 | CRHR1 | CRH |
|       | Secretin-like | Corticotropin-releasing hormone/ | CRHR2 | UCN | CRH/UCN |
|       | Urocortin | | | | |
|       | Calcitonin/Islet amyloid polypeptide/ | CALCR/L | CALCR | CALC/IAPP |
|       | Adrenomedullin | | CALCRL | | |
|       | Parathyroid hormone | PTHR 1/2/3 | PTH1R | PTH1 | PTH 1/2/LH |
|       | Glucagon/Glucose-dependent | GLP2R | GLP2R | GCG | GCG/GIP/GCRP |
|       | insulinotropic polypeptide/ | GLP1R | GLP1R | GIP | |
|       | glucagon related peptide | GCGR/GIPR/GCRPR** | GCGR | GCRP | |
|       | Growth hormone-releasing hormone/ | GHRHR1 | GHRHR1 | GHRH | GHRH/SCT/ |
|       | Secretin/Vasoactive intestinal | SCTR | SCTR | SCT | SCT |
|       | peptide/pituitary adenylate | ADCCYP1R/ | ADCCYP1R | PACAP | PACAP/VIP |
|       | cyclase-activating polypeptide | GHRHR2/3*/VIPR1/2** | GHRHR2/3* | VIP | |

This table lists the known gene families of neuropeptide-interacting 7TMRs, divided into the 5 clades of the rhodopsin-like and single clade of the secretin-like. It lists the pre 2R progenitors of each family, as well as the post-2R products and subsequent duplications. *Genes which are absent, or present as pseudogenes, in human are noted in grey. Some families possess unclear relationships. **Alongside each 7TMR family endogenous ligand genes for members of each 7TMR family are listed.
Salannek, 2004; Hwang et al., 2013, 2014; Kim et al., 2014a; Seifideh et al., 2014).

Imperfections in the DNA replication process on an evolutionary time scale results in divergence of the amino acid sequences of duplicated genes. Advantageous changes, which enhance gene function and organism reproduction, are more likely to be retained in the species while detrimental changes are more likely to be lost. Neutral changes, which have no overall effect on organism survivability, accumulate at a slower rate (Lynch et al., 2001). Subsequent to duplication, daughter genes accumulate different mutations which result in a process of differentiation and functionalisation. There are numerous categories of functionalisation that are influenced by factors such as chromosomal location, the method of gene duplication, the location of the mutation, the gene type, and the replication rate of the species (Jensen and Bachtrog, 2011). However, the typical pattern is that one duplicate retains a larger proportion of the original functionality which leaves less function-conservation pressure on the other duplicate(s). This allows greater freedom for mutations to accumulate subsequent to duplication (Assis and Bachtrog, 2013). If the duplicate gene(s) is not rendered non-functional then a process of sub-functionalisation and specialisation often occurs; the expression patterns of the genes differentiate and each gene gains partial functionality of the pre-duplication gene. As the duplicates continue to diverge, neofunctionalisation may occur and novel functions that did not exist in the pre-duplicate gene may be acquired (He and Zhang, 2005; Rastogi and Liberles, 2005; Gibson and Goldberg, 2009; Klingel et al., 2012).

**PHYLOGENY AND SYNTENY FOR DELINEATING GENE FAMILY EMERGENCE**

Phylogenetic analysis, using the amino acid sequence of gene products, is an important tool to delineate evolutionary relationships among genes from different taxa. Together with recent advances of bioinformatics tools to discover novel genes, a large amount of protostomian and deuterostomian data are rapidly being accumulated (Mirabeau et al., 2007). In addition, reverse pharmacological approaches in invertebrates (Hauser et al., 2006; Lindemans et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2013) and vertebrates (Civelli et al., 2006) have facilitated discovery of a great number of peptide-7TMR families. It is of importance to note that phylogenetic analyses of protostomian and deuterostomian sequences of peptide 7TMRs show a large number of family subtrees containing both protostomian and deuterostomian 7TMRs, indicating that many vertebrate 7TMR families originate prior to the divergence of deuterostomes and protostomes (Mirabeau and Joly, 2013). However, phylogenetic analysis without knowing the location of selected genes within the genomes of species often fails to correctly determine the evolutionary process for the establishment of a gene family (Abi-Rached et al., 2002; Larhammar et al., 2002).

Syntetic analysis involves the comparison of the locations of orthologous or paralogous genes between chromosomes, within or among species, which facilitates more accurate analyses of the origins and relationships of individual peptide-7TMR families (Cerda-Reverter et al., 2000; Lagerstrom et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2011, 2012; Dores, 2013; Osugi et al., 2014). However, small scale synteny analysis is only suitable for determining the evolutionary history of closely related families. Delineating the evolutionary mechanisms for larger gene families with less closely related members is particularly difficult due to the scattered distribution of the genes on many different chromosomes. Thus, to elucidate the evolutionary history of a superfamilies, such as 7TMRs and their neuropeptide ligands, large scale synteny such as comparison of large segments of chromosomes among species that represent a wide selection of the vertebrate clade is also required.

Comparisons of entire genomes between evolutionarily distinct taxa have led to reconstructions of hypothetical ancestral homologous genes of early vertebrates or chordates (Nakatani et al., 2007; Putnam et al., 2008), which support the hypothesis that 2R occurred during early vertebrate emergence, approximately 500 million years ago. 2R produced, on average, four ghastostome ancestral chromosomes (GACs) that share related sets of genes, defined as ohnologs, from pre-2R progenitor vertebrate ancestral chromosomes (VACs) (Dehal and Boore, 2005; Meyer and Van de Peer, 2005; Nakatani et al., 2007; Putnam et al., 2008). Assigning GAC and VAC positions to members of a gene family provides a fast and relatively accurate tool to aid in tracing the origins of gene superfamilies (Yegorov and Good, 2012; Hwang et al., 2013; Yun et al., 2015). For instance, the neuropeptide Y (NPY) prokinetin-releasing peptide (PRLHR), orphan G protein-coupled receptor 83 (GPR83), prokinetin (PROKR), tachykinin (TACR), neuropeptide FF (NPFFFR), hypocretin (HCRTR), cholecystokinin (CCKR), and pyroglutamylated RFamide peptide (QRFPR) families are phylogenetically grouped in clade 1 of the rhosodin-like neuropeptide-7TMR families and are located on VAC_C except for the HCRTR family (Fig. 1). The receptor families in clade 5 consisting of the orphan GPR19, arginine vasopressin (AVP)/oxytocin (OTR), neuropeptide-S (NPSR), orphan GPR150, and gonadotropin-releasing hormone (Gn-RHR) are mainly located on VAC_D or VAC_A (Yun et al., 2015) (Fig. 1). The secretin-like 7TMR families comprising of corticotropin releasing hormone receptor (CRHR1), calcitonin receptor (CALCR), parathyroid hormone receptor (PTHHR), growth hormone-releasing hormone receptor (GHRHR, which also includes the secretin, vasoactive intestinal peptide, and pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide receptors), and glucagon receptor (GCGR, which also includes the glucagon-like peptide 1, glucagon-like peptide 2, and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptors) families are located on VAC_E except for the GCGR family (Hwang et al., 2013) (Fig. 1). Thus, in general, it can be postulated that extensive tandem local duplication within ancestral chromosomes, which occurred prior to 2R, drove the emergence of these gene families. The presence of members of a single clade on two or more chromosomes is likely due to chromosomal translocation before 2R. The Nakatani model only rebuilds putative VACs dated shortly before 2R, therefore this model does not account for prior translocation. Chromosomal translocations between the 1st and 2nd WGDs may also account for the spread of gene family members from a single VAC onto multiple distinct GACs.

When performing evolutionary comparative analysis, either syntenic or phylogenetic, it is important to ensure specific types of representative species are included. Within a lineage, some species will have particularly well-conserved genomes that have undergone lower rates of chromosomal change and retain a greater variety of genes produced by 2R (Yun et al., 2013).
Those with the most conserved genomes/gene families/morphology are referred to as ‘basal’ species. Therefore, a variety of the most basal species from across the desired spectrum of taxa should be selected as representative species. Furthermore, for comparative evolutionary analysis, the genomes of these species must be available and to fully exploit their genomic data their DNA must be allocated to chromosomes and their genes be well annotated. Therefore, the following species provide good representatives: the human genome, which possesses unrivalled annotation and is better conserved than many other available mammal genomes (Burt et al., 1999); the chicken genome, which has some of the best preserved chromosomes of the tetrapods (Nishida et al., 2008); and spotted gar, which has recently been considered the best vertebrate representative as, unlike most teleost fish, it has not undergone a 3rd whole genome duplication and has undergone fewer translocations than other vertebrates with mapped genomes (Amores et al., 2011). Furthermore, the inclusion of experimentally important species, such as mouse, zebrafish, and Xenopus species help to increase the usefulness of data and ensure a diverse selection of vertebrate gene samples.

Unfortunately, the genomes of a number of important species such as coelacanth, a basal tetrapod (Amemiya et al., 2010); elephant shark, the slowest known evolving vertebrate (Venkatesh et al., 2014); and Branchiostoma floridae, a basal chordate and a useful outgroup for vertebrate analysis (Elphick and Mirabeau, 2014), have not yet been arranged into chromosomes and instead are available as short DNA sequences on scaffolds which diminishes their use for synteny analysis. Other species such as lamprey or hagfish, which could provide important perspectives on inter-2R genome evolution (Caputo Barucchi et al., 2013; Mehta et al., 2013), and Asymmetron lucayanum, which may be the most basal chordate discovered (Yue et al., 2014), have only partial genomes available. The arrangement of genomic data onto chromosomes and annotation of the genes of these species would provide a large boon to vertebrate evolutionary research.

In addition to using evolutionarily divergent species simply to put human gene families into perspective, analysing species with particularly interesting physiological attributes could help to design novel therapeutic treatments. For example, the naked mole rat shows incredible longevity, resistance to mammalian age-related disease, and cancer (Lewis et al., 2016), and the elephant shark possesses an adaptive immune system that lacks several constituent genes that are vital to the mammalian immune system, but are capable of mounting an immune response (Venkatesh et al., 2014). Further exploring how gene families have evolved in these species could bring novel insights into treating human medical issues.

DISCOVERY OF NOVEL PEPTIDE GENES AND THEIR EVOLUTIONARY DEVELOPMENT

The use of evolutionary conserved sequences and motifs to bulk analyse genomes is an established approach to novel gene discovery and genome annotation (Mirabeau et al., 2007). However, many species, including humans, have lost various ohnologs which may be retained in more basal species (Yun et al., 2015) (Table 1). For instance, in human there is a single kisspeptin (KISS) gene and a single KISS 7TMR gene (KISSR), while spotted gar has three KISS genes and four KISSR genes (Lee et al., 2009; Yun et al., 2015). In human there are two GnRH genes and one GnRHR gene but coelacanth has three GnRH genes and four GnRHR genes. The loss of multiple members of a family may leave the resulting members too divergent for analyses using conserved motifs to identify each other without related genes to span the evolutionary divide. Therefore, performing blast searches using the full repertoire of protein sequences from a gene family, especially if selected from more basal species, gives a higher likelihood of success. However, basal species are not guaranteed to possess more genes in every family. For instance, humans have a single NPS gene and a single NPSR gene while neither spotted gar nor coelacanth appear to have genes from this family (Yun et al., 2015).

In combination with phylogenetic analysis, small scale synteny (Yun et al., 2015) and VAC/GAC models (Nakatani et al., 2007), newly discovered genes can be correctly identified as orthologs (the same gene in different species), ohnologs (duplicates produced by WGD), or other paralogs (related genes created by other duplication events). For example, as displayed in Fig. 2, the single human KISS gene is located on a GAC_D2 linkage group while spotted gar possess an ad-
ditional two KISS genes on GAC_D0 and D3. Because these two genes are located on different GACs we can hypothesise that they are separate orthologs created by 2R from a single progenitor and not created by local duplication previously or subsequently. The use of synteny is particularly helpful when analysing peptide gene families because of the limited use of phylogeny to determine the exact relationships among peptide gene families. Therefore, it can be postulated that, because of the lower rates of change in species such as spotted gar, coelacanth and elephant shark, using gene identification algorithms in these basal species may return genes that have diverged too far to be detected in humans, and that by using VAC/GAC models, the regions of the genome that are most likely to harbour novel genes can be prioritised.

The evolutionary relationships among gene families can be examined by phylogenetic analysis. However, in the case of neuropeptide genes, signal peptide sequences are not conserved, and propeptide sequences, other than the mature peptide, are highly variable because these sequences are free from evolutionary conservational pressure (Lee et al., 2009). Sequence comparison of the short, conserved mature peptides is often not sufficient to extrapolate reliable relational information, particularly if they emerged prior to 2R (Cardoso et al., 2010; Hwang et al., 2013). Duplications that have occurred more recently, especially those subsequent to 2R, are more likely to be found in the same linkage block and share a high degree of amino acid sequence similarity (Yun et al., 2015). However, genes that emerged earlier, in pre-vertebrate evolution, or those that undergo particularly high rates of change accumulate mutations which lead to greater deviation in residue sequence and function. In contrast, 7TMR membrane domains are reasonably well conserved across vertebrate and invertebrate species, and the amino acid sequences are long enough to generate relatively reliable phylogenetic trees. Concerning the concept of co-evolution of peptides and the receptor genes, the evolutionary relationships among peptides can be extrapolated by matching them against their cognate 7TMR families. For instance, amino acid sequences of even the mature neuropeptides such as NPYR, PRLHR, PROKR, TACR, NPFFR, HCRTR, CCKR, and QR-FPR families that are phylogenetically grouped in clade 1 (Fig. 1) are highly diverged such that phylogenetic analysis cannot be performed. However, when the genes for these neuropeptides are placed on VAC/GACs, 6 of the 8 neuropeptide gene families are found to be located on VAC_E (Yun et al., 2015). Similarly, the AVP/OT, NPS, and GnRH neuropeptide gene families of clade 5 are on VAC_C. These results indicate that neuropeptide families also multiplied through local duplications prior to 2R by the same pattern as their cognate 7TMRs.

COEVOLUTION OF NEUROPEPTIDES AND THEIR RECEPTOR GENES

Every known ligand gene for the related GNRHR, NPSR, and AVPR groups of 7TMRs found in clade 5 (Yun et al., 2015) can be found on a GAC_C linkage group (Fig. 1). Therefore, the ligands for the orphan GPR150 and GPR19 7TMRs, that are also present in clade 5, can be postulated to also be present on a GAC_C linkage group. Furthermore, because the closest relative of GPR150 is NPSR, then the ligand for GPR150 can be postulated to share similarity with the NPSR ligand, NPS. By using these methods Kim et al. (2014a) were able to determine the receptor for the novel neuropeptide spixin (SPX) (Mirabeau et al., 2007). Syntenic analysis and relocating SPX genes and neighbouring genes on reconstructed VACs reveals that SPXs are located in the vicinity of KISS and galanin (GAL) family genes, suggesting that SPX, GAL, and KISS genes arose from a common ancestor through local duplications before 2R and that SPX may interact with receptors exhibiting similarity in amino acid sequence with those of GAL 7TMRs (GALRs) and KISSRs. KISS and GAL 7TMRs are phylogenetically closest among rhodopsin-like G protein-coupled receptors, and synteny revealed the presence of 3 distinct receptor progenitors KISSR, GALR1, and GALR2/3 before 2R (Fig. 2). A ligand-receptor interaction study showed that SPX activates human, Xenopus, and zebrafish GALR2/3 but not GALR1, suggesting that SPX is a natural ligand for GALR2/3 (Kim et al., 2014a). Furthermore, linkage group analysis of the secretin neuropeptide and 7TMR family genes aided in the identification of a receptor for a novel GCRF neuropeptide (Hwang et al., 2013; Park et al., 2013).

Gradual duplication, differentiation, subfunctionalisation, and neo-functionalisation form the basis of the model of slow, consistent genome evolution where largely self-contained gene families are inherited from parents and passed down to offspring in a vertical pattern of inheritance. This allows genes to be placed into related families and their relationships be traced through evolutionary history, sometimes over a billion years (Nordstrom et al., 2011). However, occasionally sudden changes in gene interaction or lateral gene transfer defy this trend. For example, the pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) gene possesses two evolutionary distinct subunits: the ACTH subunit and the opioid subunit, each of which is a ligand for two completely unrelated 7TMR families. It appears that the ACTH subunit existed as an individual gene in the vertebrate ancestor and, by chance, its peptide product started to interact with the progenitor of the melanocortin family of 7TMRs (MCR) (Hattina et al., 2007). The MCR family progenitors emerged from the wider MECA group of receptors, none of which interact with peptide ligands, which means the MCR family is an evolutionary anomaly (Fredriksson et al., 2003). Subsequent to 2R, it appears that a duplication of an opioid gene, prepronociceptin (PNONC), placed an opioid coding region into the ACTH gene, resulting in a novel hybrid gene, POMC. Furthermore, intra-gene duplication of the ACTH subunit and proliferation of the MCR family has led to the development of an entirely novel system that has only been found in vertebrates (Harris et al., 2014). Lateral gene transfer can also produce sudden changes that do not fit into the standard evolutionary model. For example, it has been noted that the syncytin gene, which is critical for placental development in mammals (Dussaillant et al., 2009), in distantly related mammals sometimes have similar syncytin peptide sequences while closely related species sometimes have largely divergent syncetin peptide sequences (Redelsperger et al., 2014). It appears that mammalian syncytin genes originate from a viral gene and that periodically, in a pattern that does not follow standard evolutionary patterns, is updated via recombination and gene adopted into evolving mammalian genomes (Cornelis et al., 2014).
Subsequent to gene duplication, subfunctionalisation and specialisation can result in related ligands with similar amino acid sequences which have greater or lesser ability to interact with 7TMR subtypes within a gene family (Kim et al., 2014a). The amino acid sequence of a peptide ligand defines the structural and chemical nature of that peptide, including the interactions that the peptide undergoes. Amino acids that are critical for protein function tend to be evolutionarily retained while those of decreasing importance will, on average, have increasing rates of variation. As the function of related peptides deviate further then variation even within the best conserved amino acids increases. As noted by Yun et al. (2015), humans often possess fewer, and different, paralogs within a gene family compared to other species. Therefore, by using a variety of paralogs from within a gene family from an array of species, different peptide sequences that are capable of binding human 7TMRs of interest at varying potencies and affinities can be analysed (Kim et al., 2014a) and the function of amino acids in receptor binding ascertained (Reyes-Alcaraz et al., 2016). Once the functions of individual amino acids within a peptide have been analysed then mutational experiments can be conducted to specifically alter the binding affinity of the peptide sequences to create novel ligands. Furthermore, alteration of the nature of the amino acids used in peptide synthesis can reduce susceptibility to proteases.

The SPX and GAL neuropeptide genes emerged through a local duplication from a common ancestor gene and both interact with members of the GAL 7TMR family (GALR1, 2, and 3). GAL can activate GALR1 and 2 to a high degree with a much lower ability to activate GALR3. SPX can activate GALR2 and 3 to a high degree. The mature neuropeptides of SPX and GAL share several conserved residues, including Trp2, Thr3, Tyr9, Leu10, and Gly12 (Kim et al., 2014a) (Fig. 3). This indicates that these common residues may be required for activation of GALR2, the SPX-specific residues are likely involved in retaining the agonist activity toward GALR3 while GAL-specific residues may contribute to decreased affinity to GALR3. This observation can lead to a postulation that the replacement of SPX-specific residues with those of GAL can produce a novel agonist acting only on GALR2 with no cross reactivity with GALR3. Indeed, out of SPX-specific residues, Gln5, Met7, Lys11, and Ala13 were found to be critical for GALR3 activation. Replacement of these residues with Gal-specific residues (Gln5→Asn, Met7→Ala, Lys11→Phe, and Ala13→Pro) abolished the ability to activate GALR3 while retaining full activity to GALR2. This mutation study takes into account the evolutionary fates of duplicated neuropeptide ligand and receptor genes. The pre-2R local duplication followed by whole-genome duplication produced GALR1, GALR2 and GALR3. Likewise, pre-2R local duplication produced GAL and SPX progenitors and following whole-genome duplication, generated the GAL family (GAL and GALP) and SPX family (SPX1 and SPX2) (Fig. 2). During the divergence of the GAL/SPX and GALR1/2/3 system, GALR2 appears to have become an intermediate form as it responds to both SPX and GAL with high affinity, whereas GALR1 and GALR3 acquired significant preference to GAL and SPX, respectively (Reyes-Alcaraz et al., 2016).

Based on this concept, Reyes-Alcaraz et al. (2016) synthesised novel agonists that were capable of targeting and specifically activating GALR2. Furthermore, N-terminal modification and substitution of residues that were not found to alter GAL activity with D-isoforms of these residues greatly increased the stability of the peptide in serum. The endogenous ligands, SPX and GAL, and other synthetic ligands, such as M1145 and M1153, had cross-reactivity with multiple GALRs to some extent. The ability to activate GALR2, specifically, had inter-
estimating therapeutic possibilities as each subtype of GALRs has been found to exhibit largely divergent physiological function. For instance, a recent observation demonstrates that GALR1 and GALR2 mediate opposite anxiety-like effects in rats: GALR1 and GALR2 agonists exerted anxiogenic and anxiolytic-like effects, respectively (Morais et al., 2016). GALR3 may also induce anxiogenic behaviour as GALR3-specific agonists decrease anxiety and induce depression-like behavior in rats (Swanson et al., 2005). In addition, the actions of SPX and GAL in appetite behaviour appear to oppose each other as well: SPX is anorexic while GAL is orexigenic (Taylor et al., 2009; Shiba et al., 2010; Wong et al., 2013). Thus, the design of an agonist that discriminates GALR2 from GALR1 or GALR3 is of particular importance from therapeutic perspective.

Conversely, Moon et al. (2010) compared the amino acid sequences of two related secretin-like 7TMR interacting neuropeptides; glucagon like peptide (GLP-1), which binds GL-P1R, and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP), which binds GIPR. These neuropeptides and 7TMRs share high similarity in amino acid sequence and both modulate insulin secretion from pancreatic B-cells, among other functions (Baggio and Drucker, 2007). However, despite their similarities they have no ability to activate each other’s receptor. Moon et al. (2010) compared the two amino acid sequences and generated a hybrid that replaced four residues in GIP with those of GLP-1. This allowed the mutant peptide to activate both receptors with moderate potency, which allows two related but distinct messenger pathways to be activated with a single ligand. Subsequently, further research was conducted to increase the half-life and potency of GIP/GLP-1 hybrids (Moon et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2014b).

In addition, the use of comparative techniques where related proteins are compared to analyse the function of individual amino acids can be used to highlight amino acids in receptors that are highly conserved among vertebrate species. In 7TMRs, the intra- and extra-cellular loops as well as the N- and C-terminals of the receptors tend to deviate at a relatively high rate. Therefore, amino acid sequences in these regions that are well conserved among vertebrate species likely have a function in receptor activity or stability. By comparing GLP-1 receptor sequences and performing mutagenic studies, Moon et al. (2015) were able to find, by using point mutations of evolutionary conserved residues, that amino acid residue Arg1328 flanked by Leu176 and Phe381 in extra-cellular loop 3 may interact with Asp9 and Gly4 of the GLP-1 neuropeptide. This information helps to bring greater understanding to the mechanisms by which GLP-1 interacts with the GLP1R, which at the moment is not well known due to a lack of crystal structure data for the ligand-bound receptor complex.

CONCLUSIONS

Currently, a number of ‘orphan’ 7TMRs are predicted to bind peptidergic ligands, which may be expressed from currently undiscovered genes or known genes where functional relationship with orphan 7TMRs have not yet been identified. In these situations, the use of VAC/GAC maps and identification of peptide-receptor systems in more basal species, which have not diverged as greatly, may help to identify the ligand genes in humans. However, it has been postulated that some 7TMRs remain orphans, despite intensive efforts to identify endogenous ligands, because they simply do not have ligands (Davenport et al., 2013). Instead, some 7TMRs may influence signal transduction through dimerisation and modulation of other 7TMRs (Levoye et al., 2006). It is also possible that, as 7TMRs have constitutive activity rates irrespective of ligand binding, other mechanisms may be the primary mediator of some orphan 7TMR activity, such as pH, pressure, or temperature (Ahmad et al., 2015).

Prompted by the wide variety of important biological processes mediated by 7TMR signalling, there is a high demand for novel drugs that can target individual receptors and regulate these signalling pathways. However, high clinical standards with regards to new drugs being authorised for sale on the market combined with the high cost of drug development means that there is a strong interest in techniques that facilitate the design of drug candidates which can specifically target individual 7TMR mediated pathways. The discussed techniques and examples demonstrate how comparison of genomes, gene families, and individual protein sequences, using phylogeny and synteny, can aid in gene discovery, gene function identification, and the design of hybrid ligands.
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