restore the posterior tibial slope as closely as possible to the anatomical condition for greater knee flexion in the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) retaining TKA and a lesser slope in the posterior-stabilized TKA\(^1\). Posterior tibial slope affects the flexion gap, knee joint stability, and posterior femoral rollback that are associated with the range of knee joint motion\(^2\). In particular, considering that more bone resection in the anterior tibial plateau is necessary for knees with greater preoperative posterior tibial slope during posterior-stabilized TKA than PCL retaining TKA\(^3\), posterior tibial slope can affect the extension-flexion gap balance and joint line in the sagittal plane\(^4\). There is no controversy that the posterior tibial slope is correlated with flexion gap that facilitates flexion after surgery\(^5\). However, an excessive increase in the posterior tibial slope may result in abnormal anterior tibial translation, posterior instability, and anterior cam-post impingement, which can lead to ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) wear and biomechanical changes that eventually decrease the survivorship of TKA\(^6,7\). The normal posterior tibial slope has been reported to be\(^6,9\), but the optimal value has yet to be established.
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Purpose: The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effect of change in tibial posterior slope on contact force and ligament stress using finite element analysis.

Materials and Methods: A 3-dimensional finite element model for total knee arthroplasty was developed by using a computed tomography scan. For validation, the tibial translations were compared with previous studies. The finite element analysis was conducted under the standard gait cycle, and contact force on ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) and stresses on lateral and medial collateral ligaments were evaluated.

Results: The tibial translations showed similarity with previous studies. As the tibial posterior slope angle increases, the contact stress area increased and was well distributed, and the contact force on UHMWPE decreased overall. However, the maximum contact force in the case for 10\(^\circ\) case was greater than those for others. The stresses on ligaments were the greatest and smallest in 0\(^\circ\) and 10\(^\circ\) cases, respectively.

Conclusions: The higher tibial posterior slope angle leads to the lower contact stress and more extensive stress distribution overall in posterior-stabilized total knee arthroplasty. However, it does not absolutely mean the smallest contact force. The stresses on ligaments increased with respect to the smaller tibial posterior slope angle.
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Introduction

Posterior tibial slope that is created during proximal tibial resection in total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has emerged as an important factor in the biomechanics of the knee joint and postoperative clinical outcome. The common approach is to
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In the past decade, the advancement in implant designs, materials, and operative techniques has contributed to a reduction in the delamination of the UHMWPE component and structural changes and improvement in clinical outcomes. Knee replacements undergo millions of load cycles and the wear on the replacements that is directly associated with the durability and longevity tends to occur in the surface of the damaged tibial component. However, the exact mechanism of the component wear has not been elucidated. The generation of UHMWPE wear debris from the articular surface is affected by a variety of factors including articulation of the joint, implant structure, and bearing material. Bartel et al. associated damage to the surface of tibial component with contact force on the surface of UHMWPE with the use of finite element analysis. However, there have been few domestic finite element analysis studies on the biomechanics of the articular surface of the knee and the relationship with the posterior tibial slope.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the relationship between the posterior tibial slope (0°, 7°, 10°), the contact force, and stresses on the medial and lateral ligament during knee flexion after posterior-stabilized TKA using finite element analysis. Furthermore, we assessed the relationship between the posterior tibial slope and damage to the surface of tibial component.

Materials and Methods

We developed finite element models of the normal knee with prosthesis in place. Computer tomography (CT) images obtained at intervals of 1 mm were processed using Mimics 14.0 (Materialise NV, Leuven, Belgium) to allow for the geometrical and structural complexity of the knee. The models were constructed in the mid-sagittal plane using the contour data of the normal femur, tibia, and patella that were obtained from 29-year-old males, who had no bone metabolism disorders, history of taking medication that may affect the bone metabolism, and trauma. Regarding the knee alignment, the anatomical femorotibial angle was in 6° extension, the mechanical axis of the femur was 0°, anatomical axis was 6°, and the varus angle was 3°. The varus angle was defined as the angle formed by the anatomical axes of the femur and the tibia. The angles formed by the distal tibial articular surface and the floor, the anatomical axis of the tibia and the mechanical axis of the knee were measured by drawing an extension line parallel to the tibial pilon. Preprocessing (modeling and mesh generation) was carried out using Hypermesh 10.0 (Altair Engineering, Troy, MI, USA), finite element analysis and postprocessing were done using ABAQUS 6.10 (Abaqus, Providence, RI, USA). Dynamic models were constructed to simulate the motion and contact force on the UHMWPE during gait cycle.

1. Validation of the Finite Element Model

Validation of the finite element models of the normal knee was carried out. Femur and tibia were modeled as rigid bodies due to their stiffness. The meniscus was assumed to be a linear elastic material with an elastic modulus of E=59 MPa and a Poisson ratio of ν=0.49, and the patella with an elastic modulus of E=12 MPa and a Poisson ratio of ν=0.45. Cruciate and collateral ligaments were considered linear materials based on the neo-Hookean model. The locations of ligaments were determined by referring to the previous studies and consultation with clinicians. The tibia and fibula were fixed in terms of the movement along and rotation around the x, y, and z axes, whereas the femur and patella were left completely free under load. Anterior-posterior loads up to 100 N were applied to the center of the knee and tibial translation values were compared with those in the studies by Li et al. (Fig. 1).

2. Finite Element Model and Material Properties

Ten-noded triangular elements were used to represent the implant bearing and femoral component. To reduce computational time in the dynamic explicit finite element analysis, the tibia and femur were modeled as rigid bodies (Fig. 1). The femoral component was assumed as conventional cobalt chrome with a density of 8,900 kg/m³, an elastic modulus of 209

Fig. 1. (A) Finite element model of tibia and femur. (B) Finite element model of total knee replacement.
GPa, and a Poisson ration of 0.31\(^{17}\). The UHMWPE was modeled as a plastic material because plastic properties as well as linear elastic properties significantly influence the mechanical behavior of the material\(^{17}\). Material properties and locations of the major ligaments around the knee joint were determined based on the previous studies and consultation with clinicians\(^{13,14}\). Ligaments were simulated as connector elements. The anterior and posterior ligaments are resected before implant insertion in posterior-stabilized TKA. Accordingly, only the medial and lateral ligaments were included in the analysis (Fig. 2).

3. Boundary and Loading Conditions

Four springs were used to represent the anteroposterior (AP) displacement and varus-valgus rotation of the components and anatomical characteristics of soft tissues (Fig. 3). The femoral component was constrained in varus-valgus degrees of freedom, while flexion rotation and compression loading were applied. The distal surface of the tibial insert was supported in the inferior-superior direction, insert tilt was constrained, and varus-valgus degrees of freedom was not constrained\(^{18}\). The standard gait cycle with the peak flexion angle of 62\(^\circ\), as seen in Figs. 3, 4 was applied\(^{19}\). Depending on the loading condition, either load control or displacement control was used. Each gait cycle contained 20 data points. The swing phase in which the foot is off the ground was assumed as 100% of the gait cycle and the knee at 20%, 50%, 60%, and 70% of gait cycle was observed. The coefficient of friction between the articulating surfaces was assumed to be 0.07 in agreement with the range reported in the literature\(^{20}\). Posterior resection was performed at a valgus angle of 6\(^\circ\) and posterior tibial slope was measured using a line that connects the center of the tibial intercondylar eminence and the center of the ankle and a perpendicular line on the lateral view of the knee as references. The same loading and boundary conditions were applied to the finite element models with different posterior slopes (0\(^\circ\), 7\(^\circ\), and 10\(^\circ\), respectively) to predict the contact stress distribution, peak contact stress, and stress on the medial and lateral collateral ligaments. In addition to the posterior tibial slope, there are various factors that may affect the posterior-stabilized TKA including the joint line change, flexion-extension gap, and leg alignment. However, the design variable of the posterior tibial slope was the only factor we considered in this study.
Results

1. Validation of Finite Element Model

The amounts of tibial translation under the AP loads of up to 100 N were comparable to those in the study by Li et al.\textsuperscript{15,16} (Fig. 5). Under the 100 N AP load, the anterior and posterior tibial translation was 2.39 mm and 4.98 mm, respectively, which was similar to 2.43 mm and 5.28 mm\textsuperscript{15}, and 2.55 mm and 4.86 mm\textsuperscript{16}, thus validating the accuracy of our finite element models.

2. Dynamic Analysis on Gait Cycle Loading

The posterior tibial slope appeared to be correlated positively with the contact stress area and inversely with the contact force on UHMWPE. However, the peak contact stress at 60% and 70% gait cycle was greater when the posterior tibial slopes were 10\textdegree{} than 0\textdegree{} and 7\textdegree{} (Fig. 6). The contact stress for 0\textdegree{}, 7\textdegree{}, and 10\textdegree{} posterior tibial slope were, by perspective percentage of the gait cycle: 17.8 MPa, 17.9 MPa, and 7.81 MPa at 20% gait cycle, 22.8 MPa, 7.15 MPa, and 0.238 MPa at 50% gait cycle, 99.3 MPa, 52.1 MPa, and 53.7 MPa at 60% gait cycle, and 8.64 MPa, 1.89 MPa, and 39.1 MPa at 70% gait cycle (Table 1).
stress distribution was correlated with the posterior tibial slope. However, the peak contact stress was greater in the 10° posterior tibial slope model than in the 0° posterior tibial slope model (120 MPa vs. 100 MPa).

3. Stress on Medial and Lateral Collateral Ligaments

The posterior tibial slope was inversely correlated with the load on the medial and lateral collateral ligaments. The load on the collateral ligaments according to the posterior tibial slope is shown in Fig. 7. The peak load appeared at 70% and 80% gait cycle in all knees. The load on the lateral collateral ligament, deep medial collateral ligament, anterior medial collateral ligament, and oblique medial collateral ligament was 2474 N, 1466 N, 258 N, and 195 N, respectively, in the 0° posterior tibial slope model, 2068 N, 886 N, 186 N, and 140 N, respectively, in the 7° model, and 1869 N, 936 N, 167 N, and 126 N, respectively, in the 10° model (Table 2). The load on the deep lateral collateral ligament was 40-65% of that of the medial collateral ligament. The load on the anterior and oblique collateral ligaments was approximately 5-15% of that of the medial collateral ligament. The load was the greatest on the lateral collateral ligament, followed by in the order of deep, anterior, and oblique medial collateral ligaments.

**Discussion**

In this study, we investigated the relationship between the posterior tibial slope and the contact stress at different phases of gait cycle, peak contact stress, and the stress on the medial and lateral collateral ligaments in posterior-stabilized TKA using finite element analysis. Posterior tibial slope was correlated positively with contact stress distribution and inversely with contact stress.

On comparisons between the 0° posterior tibial slope model and 7° posterior tibial slope model at the same point in gait cycle (Figs. 4, 8), the contact area was wide in the 7° model whereas narrow in the 0° model from 20% of the gait cycle where the angular displacement increases. It can be interpreted that the contact stress is distributed over a wider area between the femoral and the bearing components in knees with a posterior tibial slope of 7° compared to those with a posterior tibial slope of 0°. In the 10° model, the contact area was even wider than that in the 7° model (Fig. 6). However, wide contact stress distribution does not necessarily mean low contact force. For some unidentified reasons, the peak contact stress was 20% higher in the 10° model than in the 0° model. Due to the configurational differences of each model, the contact stress had different tendencies according
to gait cycle. The morphological properties of each knee implant can also affect the overall tendency.

Posterior tibial slope was inversely correlated with the stress on the collateral ligaments. Fig. 7 shows the load applied on the medial and lateral collateral ligaments according to the gait cycle: the stress on the medial and lateral collateral ligaments for the lateral stability of the femur and tibia can be compared. In all three different posterior tibial slope models, the lateral collateral ligament that consists of one bundle supports greater stress than the medial collateral ligament that consists of three bundles. Stress increases and decreases in a similar fashion as the flexion pattern of the gait cycle (Figs. 4A, 7). In all of the models, the stress is the greatest on the lateral collateral ligament followed by the deep and anterior ligaments and the oblique medial ligament (Fig. 7). The stress on the lateral collateral ligament was the greatest in the 0° model followed by 7° model and 10° model (Fig. 7D), indicating the posterior tibial slope was inversely correlated with the stress on the lateral collateral ligament. The medial collateral ligaments were also inversely correlated with the posterior tibial slope. Therefore, the stress on the medial and lateral collateral ligaments is correlated with the knee flexion and contact stress[21].

Limitations of this study include that PCL-retaining TKA models were not included in the finite element analysis, each

---

Table 2. The Maximum Forces on Medial and Lateral Collateral Ligaments in Different Tibial Posterior Slopes

| Ligaments                  | Tibial posterior slope (N) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Lateral collateral ligament| 2474 2068 1869            |
| Deep medial collateral ligament | 1466 886 936         |
| Anterior medial collateral ligament | 258 186 167         |
| Oblique medial collateral ligament | 195 140 126        |

---

Fig. 7. Load on collateral ligaments under gait cycle for (A) posterior tibial slope of 0° (B) posterior tibial slope of 7° (C) posterior tibial slope of 10° (D) lateral collateral ligament. TKR: total knee replacement, LCL: lateral collateral ligament, MCL: medical collateral ligaments (anterior, oblique, deep).
patient's anatomical differences were not considered as variables, and the model construction was based on knees of the Asian enrollees only. However, the significance of our study can be found in the fact that there have been few biomechanical studies on the influence of the posterior tibial slope on the contact surface and ligaments. Considering that the ideal posterior tibial slope is between $0^\circ$ and $5^\circ$ in most posterior-stabilized TKAs, the posterior tibial slope (design variable) was varied from $0^\circ$ (within the ideal value), $7^\circ$ (outside the ideal value), to $10^\circ$ (twice the ideal value) in our models. However, we think improvements should be made in further studies because the same design variable was not maintained and larger posterior tibial slope models were not included in this study. In addition, further studies should involve more design variables and PCL-retaining TKA models to verify more effective posterior tibial slope based on the findings of the biomechanical relationship between the contact surface, contact stress, and articular surface erosion.

**Conclusions**

Posterior tibial slope was correlated positively with stress distribution and inversely with contact stress in posterior-stabilized TKA. However, the peak contact stress was higher in the $10^\circ$ posterior tibial slope model than that in the other models with smaller posterior slope. In addition, the stress on medial and lateral collateral ligaments was inversely correlated with tibial posterior slope.
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