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ABSTRACT
The fossil record of fishes from the Farola de Monte Hermoso locality (lower Pliocene), Buenos Aires, Argentina, shows an unusual composition. The locality at the southern boundary of the Brazilian Ichthyogeographic Realm. However, its fossil record is composed of fossil fishes that are not necessarily related to Brazilian lineages, namely indeterminate siluriforms, trichomycterid catfishes, and percomorphaceans. The aim of the present contribution is to describe and report for the first time isolated specimens belonging to Characidae fishes. In the Pampean region the fossil record of characids is restricted to Oligosarcus Günther, 1864 sp. from the late-middle Pleistocene. The present finding fills a temporal gap between the Paleogene and Quaternary reports and indicates that Brazilian fish lineages were present in the area by early Pliocene times, and may constitute an indirect evidence of the evolution of the basins in the southern Pampean Area.
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RÉSUMÉ
Registre fossile de Characiformes dans la Formation de Monte Hermoso (Pliocène inférieur), Buenos Aires, Argentine. Implications paléobiogéographiques.
Le registre fossile de poissons de la localité de Farola de Monte Hermoso (Pliocène inférieur) dans la province méridionale de Buenos Aires, Argentine, est encore biaisé. Il est situé à la limite méridionale du domaine ichthyogéographique brésilien. Cependant, son registre fossile est composé de poissons fossiles qui ne sont pas nécessairement reliés aux lignées brésiliennes, en particulier siluromes indéterminés, poissons-chats trichomyctéridés et percomorphacéens. Le but de la présente contribution est de décrire et mentionner des spécimens isolés appartenant aux poissons Characidés. Dans la région de la Pampa, le registre fossile des Characidés est restreint à Oligosarcus Günther, 1864 sp. du Pléistocène moyen tardif. Les présentes découvertes combinent un vide temporel entre les registres au Paléogène et au Quaternaire et indiquent que des lignées de poissons brésiliens étaient présents dans la zone au Pliocène inférieur et peuvent constituer une preuve indirecte de l’évolution des bassins dans le domaine méridional de la Pampa.

INTRODUCTION
The fossil record of Farola de Monte Hermoso locality (lower Pliocene) has been well known since the visit of Charles Darwin in 1832. The fossil record of fishes is relatively good; since the 19th century, authors have reported articulated remains (Moreno 1889), siluriform spines and perches (Ameghino 1898; Arratia & Cione 1996).

More recently, the record of fossil fishes has improved with new findings and more detailed taxonomic studies, including the description of trichomycterid catfishes (Bogan & Agnolin 2009), and a new perichthyid genus and species (Agnolin et al. 2014). Tomassini et al. (2013) mentioned, but did not describe, indeterminate siluromes and characid remains. The aim of the present contribution is to describe characiform fossils mentioned by Tomassini et al. (2013). Because previous authors suggested that the Farola de Monte Hermoso locality may be related to the Río Colorado paleobasin, and consequently may have been related to the Austral Ichthyogeographic region, the presence of a characiform has important palaeobiogeographical implications.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
The specimens described here were non-selectively collected by microsectors, due to screening with superposition of sieves with different thickness meshes (2000, 1000, 500 and 250 microns). Sediments were previously washed with water to loosen the consistency of the rock, release clasts, and disintegrate it.

LOCALITY AND HORIZON
Farola Monte Hermoso (39°00′30″S, 61°30′10″W) is located on the Atlantic coast, approximately 53 km from the city of Bahía Blanca and approximately 12 km southwest of Pehuen Có beach (Buenos Aires Province, Argentina). This locality has been studied by numerous authors (e.g. Darwin 1846; Ameghino 1898; Bonaparte 1960), who described the diversity and abundance of vertebrate fossil remains yielded by this locality. In addition, Farola Monte Hermoso constitutes the type locality of the Monte Hermoso Formation (lower-middle Pliocene) (Zavala & Navarro 1993) and of the Montethermosan and lower Chapadmalalan stage/age, corresponding to the late Miocene-early Pliocene and early Pliocene respectively (Cione & Tonni 2005).

The Monte Hermoso Formation is constituted of sedimentary deposits that represent floodplain and channel subenvironments within a meandering fluvial system (Zavala & Navarro 1993). The specimens studied here come from deposits ranging in thickness from 5 to 60 cm, including clastic-supported breccias, composed of embedded silty and sandy intraclasts over 15 cm in diameter, some of them rounded off, and a silt-sandy matrix. In some sections, these deposits have a massive structure, while in others they have a planar cross-bedding. This level is correlated with the St facies of Zavala & Navarro (1993) and is included in the Neocavia depressidens Parodi & Kraglievich, 1948 Biozone, the biostratigraphic basis of the stage/age lower Chapadmalalan, (early Pliocene) (Cione & Tonni 2005).

INSTITUTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS
MD-FM Museo Municipal de Ciencias Naturales “Carlos Darwin”, Punta Alta, Buenos Aires.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY
Order CHARACIFORMES sensu Fink & Fink, 1996
Family CHARACIDAE sensu Mirande, 2019
Genus and species indeterminate

REFERRED MATERIAL. — MD-FM-19-03, complete isolated right premaxilla (Fig. 1A-C); and MD-FM-19-04, incomplete left maxilla lacking portion of the alveolar ramus (Fig. 1D-F).

DESCRIPTION
Specimens were found disarticulated and isolated, and thus, it is uncertain if they belonged to the same individuals.
Fossil record of a Characiform in the Monte Hermoso Formation

**Premaxilla**

The premaxilla is subtriangular in contour with a short and narrow ascending process that surpasses half the length of the premaxillary ramus. The ascending process is subtriangular in contour and has a nearly straight anterior margin.

The external surface of the ascending process bears a shallow fossa, and the alveolar ramus has four large external fossae and irregularly disposed smaller pits. In lateral view, near the dorsal margin of the premaxilla, a well-defined and delimited groove extends along the bone. This groove runs subparallel to the margin of the premaxilla and extends nearly to the mid-length of the ascending process.

The medial surface of the ascending process has a cup-shaped articular surface for a ligamentary articulation with the mesethmoid; ap, ascending process; cry, crypt; fos, fossa; la, attachment site of the ligamentum primordiale; pr, premaxillary ramus; t, tooth; tu, tubule. Scale bars: 0.1 mm.

**Maxilla**

This is a long element with an acute anterior process. The base of a single, broad tooth is preserved at the ventral margin of the bone. The dorsal and ventral margins gradually diverge along the preserved portion of bone. The dorsal margin shows the anterior attachment site of the ligamentum primordiale as a small projection. The posteriormost portion of the maxilla is not preserved. In medial view, there is a single small dorsal tubule for the passage of blood vessels.

**DISCUSSION**

MD-FM-19-03 has a short premaxilla with a short but well-defined ascending process, lacking medial interdigitations to articulate with contralateral premaxilla, presence of teeth in more than one row, teeth from inner row larger than those of the outer row, and the replacement teeth are formed in crypts, a combination of characters shared with characids (Vari 1979; Fink & Fink 1996; Buckup 1998; Zanata & Vari 2005; Mirande 2019). Further, MD-FM-19-03 differs from alestids in lacking the posterolateral portion of the premaxilla extended in a pedicle-like process, and maxilla without several teeth and having a single anterior process without an anterior notch (Zanata & Vari 2005; Mirande 2019). Moreover, MD-FM-19-03 differs from several species of MD-FM-19-03 differs from Roeboides Günther, 1864, and the Exodontinae in lacking any sign of outer mammilliform teeth outside the mouth, a condition associated with lepidophagous habits (Miquelarena 1986; Mirande 2010). Specimen MD-FM-19-03 differs from most Aphyocharacini and all Cheirodontinae in having two functional rows of teeth (vs one single row; Malabarba 1998).

The presence of only four teeth in the inner premaxillary series is a feature that MD-FM-19-03 shares with the characid genus Gymnocharacinus Steindachner, 1903 and most members of the Stevardiinae (e.g. Acrobryon Eigenmann & Pearson, 1924, Bryconamericus Eigenmann, 1907, Diapoma...
Cope, 1894, *Markiana* Eigenmann, 1903). This character has been used since Eigenmann (1917) and Géry (1966, 1977) to diagnose some characid genera and characid clades. Malabarba and Weitzman (2003) proposed the presence of four teeth in the inner premaxillary tooth row as a synapomorphy for their clade A, which was subsequently recognized as a subfamily by Mirande (2010). Some genera of Stevardiinae, however, have more than four teeth in the inner premaxillary row, including *Mimagoniates* Regan, 1907, *Nantis* Miranda, Aguilera & Apelcicueta, 2006, and *Pseudocorynopoma* Perugia, 1891, among those living in the Rio de la Plata basin.

MD-FM-19-03 is distinguishable from several species of *Bryconamericanus* (e.g., *Bryconamericanus exodon* Eigenmann, 1907, *B. stramineus* Eigenmann, 1908), *Hemibrycon* Günther, 1864 (e.g., *Hemibrycon darwini* Meek & Hildebrand, 1916, *H. surinamensis* Géry, 1962), and *Knodus* Eigenmann, 1911 (e.g., *Knodus breviceps* Eigenmann, 1908) in lacking anteriorly displaced teeth in the first tooth row that result in a zig-zag-pattern of teeth (Mirande 2010). Two rows of premaxillary rows distinguishes it from the Alestidae, Bryconidae, Chalceidae, and *Triportheus* Cope, 1872 (Agoniatidae) (Mirande 2010, 2019). The ascending process of the premaxilla is much shorter and forms a right angle with the alveolar ramus. This combination of features, together with the presence of four teeth in the posterior premaxillary row of teeth, is rare or unique among known members of the Characidae. In *Nantis* and all examined Stevardiinae having four teeth in the inner premaxillary row, the ascending process is almost as long as the alveolar ramus and forms an acute angle with it that, together with the contralateral premaxilla, gives space for the usually triangular anterior mesethmoid process (Mirande 2010). Similar morphology was observed in other genera bearing more than four teeth in the inner premaxillary row, as in the examined species of *Andromakhe* Terán, Benitez & Miranda, 2020, *Astyanax* Baird & Girard, 1859, *Gymnocybium* Eigenmann, 1908, *Hemigrammus* Gill, 1858, *Hyphessobrycon* Durbin, 1908, *Moenkhausia* Eigenmann, 1903, and *Psilodontum* Eigenmann, 1911. Some stevardiines, such as *Mimagoniates* (Glandulocaudini) and *Pseudocorynopoma* (Stevardiini), have a relatively long alveolar ramus, but these have more than four teeth in the inner premaxillary row.

The almost straight angle between the alveolar and ascendent premaxillary rami suggests a narrow anterior mesethmoid process, comparable to that of *Gymnochactarius* or *Mimagoniates*, among the examined genera. However, MD-FM-19-03 differs from *Gymnochactarius* in having a long alveolar ramus, the outer tooth row with four (rather than three elements), and inner teeth that do not gradually diminish in size toward the posterior end of the premaxilla (Miquelarena & Arámburu 1983). As mentioned above, the preserved premaxilla differs from extant members of *Mimagoniates* by having only four premaxillary teeth in the inner row (Mirande 2010).

Members of the fossil genera *Bryconetes* Weiss, Malabarba & Malabarba, 2014 and *Paleotetra* Weiss, Malabarba & Malabarba, 2012 (Eocene-Oligocene) have also four teeth in the inner premaxillary row (Weiss et al. 2012, 2014). Among those taxa, the premaxilla is known only in the species of *Paleotetra* (Weiss et al. 2012: figs 4A; 8C). It shows similar proportions to the one herein described but has a more acute angle between the alveolar and ascendent rami, suggesting the possession of a broader anterior mesethmoid process.

The maxilla (MD-FM-19-04) has fewer taxonomically useful features. The most striking character is the presence of an insertion site for a single, apparently broad tooth. This differs from most characids and especially from the taxa sharing some features with the premaxilla herein described. *Bryconetes* and *Paleotetra* have several maxillary teeth (Weiss et al. 2012, 2014), whereas the Stevardiinae have usually more than two teeth, with narrow base. A single broad maxillary tooth is present in some species of *Psilodon*, such as *P. puka* (Mirande, Aguilera & Apelcicueta, 2007) and *P. pyrlandi* (Casciotta, Almirón, Bechara, Roux & Ruiz Díaz, 2003) (Casciotta et al. 2003; Mirande et al. 2007; Terán et al. 2020). However, *Psilodon* species have a branched maxillary vascular tubule (Mirande 2010: fig. 54), different from the fossil, which has only the dorsal ramus of this canal, the commonest condition among the Characidae. Some members of the Cheirodontinae (such as *Cheirodon* Girard, 1855) have a single broad maxillary tooth, but they almost universally have an abruptly expanded maxillary lamella just posterior to the maxillary tooth or teeth (Mirande 2010: fig. 53), while in the preserved fossil that expansion is gradual. The *ligamentum primordiale* is usually attached dorsally to the maxilla in the region between the ascending process and posterior lamella (the insertion region may be deduced for *Astyanax*, *Markiana*, and *Serrapinnus* Malabarba, 1998 in Mirande 2010: figs 54; 55; 53, respectively). In the fossil, the attachment of the ligament appears to have been just dorsal to the dentigerous region, which is more posteroventrally located than in the mentioned genera.

The fossil herein described presents a mosaic of several diagnostic features partially shared with different taxa, as the Eocene-Oligocene stem-Characidae genera *Bryconetes* and *Paleotetra*, most members of the Stevardiinae, some species of *Psilodon* and the nominotypical species of the subfamily Gymnocharacinae (*Gymnocharacins* bergii Steindacher, 1903). However, the isolated and fragmentary nature of the specimens described here do not allow us to identify the material beyond the family level. Indeed, the unusual combination of features of the maxilla and premaxilla leaves open the possibility of both remains belong to different species.

**PALEOEOBIOGEOGRAPHICAL IMPLICATIONS**

The Characidae are the most diverse family of Neotropical fishes, with more than 1150 known species (Eschmeyer & Fong 2017; Mirande 2019). In contrast to current diversity, the fossil record of characids is patchy. The oldest possible characids are represented by isolated teeth from latest Cretaceous Bolivian deposits (Gayet et al. 2001; Gayet & Meunier 2003). More complete characids are known from the Eocene-Oligocene Entre-Canegos Formation and the Oligocene Trenembé Formation of southeastern Brazil, where four different paleospecies have been recognized (Malabarba 1998; Weiss et al. 2012, 2014).
In the Pampean region, the fossil record of characids is restricted to an indeterminate species of *Oligosarcus* Günther, 1864 from the Pleistocene of Centinela del Mar (Bogan & de los Reyes 2009). The present finding constitutes an important addition to this meagre record. It fills a temporal gap between the Paleogene and Quaternary records and yields new information about the extension of paleobasins as well as the past distribution of the Brazilian ichthyofaunas.

The locality of Farola de Monte Hermoso is currently in the Pampean phytogeographic and zoogeographic district (Cabrera & Willink 1980). Ringuelet (1961) considered this district an ecotone that shared considerable similarities with northern latitudes, representing a depauperate Chacoan District. The fossil record of Farola de Monte Hermoso is in concordance with Ringuelet’s hypothesis, indicating that the area had a warmer climate, covered by wooded xeric areas, than occurs today in the Chacoan region, but probably with greater humidity (Tonni 1974; Pascual 1984; Albino 1992; Pascual et al. 1996; Tomassini et al. 2011; Bogan & Agnolin 2019). By Pleistocene times in the Pampean Area, when the climate became more rigorous, the Chacoan faunistic influence from the Pampas greatly diminished (Ringuelet 1961).

The Neotropical region is traditionally divided into the Austral and Brazilian ichthyogeographic subregions (Ringuelet 1975; Menni 2004). Most of the diversity of freshwater fishes is restricted to the Brazilian subregion, the area to which characid fishes are now confined (Ringuelet 1975; Almirón et al. 1997). The Pampean region constitutes the southernmost limit of several freshwater fish clades that are sensitive to low temperatures, as is the case for characids (Ringuelet 1961). The South to the Salado basin, characids are represented by four species (*Bryconamericus iheringii* Boulenger, 1877; *Cheirodon interruptus* [Günther, 1864], and *Psalidodon pampa* [Casciotta, Almirón & Azpeluceta, 2005]), some of which may belong to recent anthropical introductions (Casciotta et al. 1999; Bogan et al. 2020).

The Patagonian Naked Characin (*Gymnocharacinus bergii*) is a species endemic to the Valcheta stream, a thermal watercourse located in the northern Río Negro province of Patagonia (Menni 2004). This species is the only characid that is not included in the Brazilian Ichthyogeographical Area and is considered a relic of previously widespread Brazilian fish faunas (Menni 2004). The presence of loricariids and other siluriforms in northern Patagonia and the southern Buenos Aires pampas by late Miocene times (Cione et al. 2005; Bogan & Agnolin 2019) is in agreement with this, as indicative of warmer climatic conditions in the area and a consequently widespread geographical distribution of Brazilian fishes. In this sense, Cione et al. (2005; see also Bogan & Agnolin 2019) hypothesized that the rivers of northern Patagonia during the late Miocene were hydrographically connected to more northern basins at some point. This explains the presence of Brazilian fishes in northern Patagonia by late Neogene times.

Fossil fishes from Farola de Monte Hermoso are represented by taxa that are not necessarily related to Brazilian lineages, including trichomycterid siluriforms and percichthyids, which are widely distributed in Andean, Patagonian and Cuyan basins (Bogan & Agnolin 2009; Agnolin et al. 2014). The presence of a characid constitutes the first unequivocal evidence of Brazilian fishes in the area.

It is worth noting that the combination of characters exhibited by the material described here is different from those of extant austral characids, including *Gymnocharacinus* and those of the southern Pampean region (i.e., *Bryconamericus*, *Cheirodon*, *Oligosarcus*, and *Psalidodon*).

The present record is in concordance with the hypothesis of a widespread distribution of Brazilian ichthyofaunas, specifically characid fishes.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Since the first discovery of a fossil fish 120 years ago, the Farola de Monte Hermoso locality has yielded a large number of fossil fishes. However, they still are insufficiently known and new discoveries in the area are welcome.

The present finding constitutes the first undisputable evidence of a characid fish from the early Pliocene Farola de Monte Hermoso locality. It further indicates that Brazilian fish lineages were present in the area by early Pliocene times and may constitute an indirect evidence of the evolution of basins in the southern Pampean Area.
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