Characterization of the Bonding Zone in AZ91/AlSi12 Bimetals Fabricated by Liquid-Solid Compound Casting Using Unmodified and Thermally Modified AlSi12 Alloy
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Liquid-solid compound casting was used to produce two types of AZ91/AlSi12 joints. The magnesium alloy was the cast material poured onto a solid aluminium alloy insert with an unmodified or modified structure. The bonding zone obtained for the unmodified insert was not uniform in thickness. There was a eutectic region (Mg$_2$Al$_12$ + a solid solution of Al in Mg) in the area closest to the AZ91. The region adjacent to the AlSi12 had a non-uniform structure with partly reacted Si particles surrounded by the Mg$_2$Si phase and agglomerates of Mg$_2$Si particles unevenly distributed in the Mg-Al intermetallic phases matrix. Cracks were detected in this region. In the AZ91/AlSi12 joint produced with a thermally modified AlSi12 insert, the bonding zone was uniform in thickness. The region closest to the AZ91 alloy also had a eutectic structure. However, significant microstructural changes were reported in the region adjacent to the modified AlSi12 alloy. The microstructure of the region was uniform with no cracks; the fine Mg$_2$Si particles were evenly distributed over the Mg-Al intermetallic phase matrix. The study revealed that in both cases the microhardness of the bonding zone was several times higher than those of the individual alloys; however, during indenter loading, the bonding zone fabricated from modified AlSi12 alloy was less prone to cracking.
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0 INTRODUCTION

Compound casting is an inexpensive and efficient method for joining two dissimilar metals or alloys to produce bimetallic parts, often complex in shape, with no geometric or dimensional restraints. The literature on the topic shows that compound casting has been used for years to connect various dissimilar alloys, e.g., steel and cast iron [1] to [4], steel and alloyed steel [5] and [6], steel and AI [7] and [8], Al and Cu [9], dissimilar Al alloys [10] and [11], dissimilar Mg alloys [12] or Al and Mg alloys [13] to [27]. There are two variants of the method: liquid-solid compound casting and liquid-liquid compound casting, with the former being more common. Liquid-solid compound casting consists of pouring one liquid alloy directly onto a solid insert made of another alloy, placed in a mould cavity. Liquid-liquid compound casting [5], [11] and [22], in contrast, involves pouring two molten alloys together directly into a mould. To prevent their mixing, a thin plate made of still another alloy is placed in the mould to act as an interlayer [22]. An alternative solution is to rapidly cool one of the liquid alloys at the joint interface so that it starts to solidify when in contact with the other alloy poured [11].

Currently, magnesium and aluminium alloys are widely used in the automotive and aviation industries [28] to [30] as the application of lightweight materials aids in reducing the vehicle mass and, consequently, fuel consumption. A bimetal based on the two alloys retains the favourable properties of both and, as such, is an extremely attractive engineering material. Mg/Al bimetals can be obtained using various methods [31]. As stated above, research on the joining of Mg alloys to Al alloys using compound casting has been conducted extensively in recent years. Some studies have investigated joining pure Mg to pure Al [14], [17] and [24]. In this case, three zones can be differentiated at the interface: a eutectic (Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ + a solid solution of Al in Mg) adjacent to the Mg, the Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ intermetallic phase in the middle and the Al$_3$Mg$_2$ intermetallic phase close to the Al. Some works dealing with Al/Mg bimetals produced by compound casting reveal that good results are obtained when Mg is poured onto an Al insert [14] and [17]. The developments in Mg/Al compound casting indicate that a reaction can occur between liquid Mg and the oxide film on the surface of an Al insert. As the reaction leads to the removal of the passive film, there is direct contact between the metals, which allows the...
joint to be formed. The use of molten Al and solid Mg [14], however, results in the occurrence of gaps at the interface; this is related to the presence of unreactive oxides on the surface of an Mg insert. One study on the subject [24] suggests that it is possible to form a uniform bonding zone for Al/Mg rods produced by pouring Al around an Mg insert. The major problem encountered during the fabrication of Mg/Al bimetal joints is the occurrence of Mg-Al intermetallic phases at the interface. It is commonly known that Mg-Al intermetallic phases are hard but brittle. Thus, when a continuous zone of these phases forms close to the Al-based insert, the joint strength is low (20.2 MPa to 39.9 MPa) [14]. For this reason, structure modification to improve the strength properties of a bimetallic joint seems a promising approach. Previous research has included studies of compound casting applied to join Mg alloys to Al alloys, e.g., Mg/AlMg1 [13], Mg/A413 [15], AM50/A319 [16], AZ91/A356 [18] and [22], AZ91/AlSi17 [19] and [20], ZE41/AlSi12 [21], AZ31/AlZn (AlZn6, AlZn15, AlZn30) [23], and AZ31/ AW-6060 [25]. The experimental data presented in the above-mentioned works show that the microstructure of the bonding zone undergoes modification because of the presence of other alloying elements in the alloys joined. As pointed out in [15] and [19] to [21], the use of AlSi alloy in the form of a solid insert leads to the formation of the Mg-Al intermetallic phases as well as the Mg2Si phase in the bonding zone close to the Al alloy. Applying ZE41 magnesium alloy [21] also results in some modification of the bonding zone structure in the area close to the Mg alloy. The Mg-Zn-RE and Al-RE intermetallic phases are detected there. The use of Al alloys containing a high amount of Zn [23] causes a change in the morphology and microstructure of the interface, where Zn-rich intermetallic phases are predominant. As noted in [13], [22], [26] and [27], significant modification of the bonding zone structure can also be achieved by applying an interlayer made of a different metal: Mn [13], Zn [22] and [26] and Ni [27] between Mg and Al in compound casting. The research described in [13] shows that an Mn interlayer prevents Mg-Al intermetallic phases from forming at the Mg/Al interface. The results presented in [22] and [26] indicate that the bonding zone of the AZ31/Al-6060 joint fabricated with a Zn interlayer is mainly composed of Mg-Al-Zn phases and it is characterized by high shear strength, higher than that obtained without the Zn interlayer [26]. Li et al. [27] reported that the application of a Ni interlayer could also limit the formation of brittle Mg-Al intermetallic phases and improve the strength of the joint.

This article focuses on the fabrication of a light bimetallic material, composed of AZ91 magnesium alloy and AlSi12 aluminium alloy, using the liquid-solid compound casting method. The present study aimed to investigate how the thermal modification process of the aluminium alloy insert would affect the microstructure, composition, and microhardness of the bonding zone formed between the alloys joined.

1 EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

AZ91 magnesium alloy, containing 9.14 wt% Al, 0.64 wt% Zn and 0.23 wt% Mn, was used as the cast material. Two types of AlSi12 aluminium alloy (10.97 wt% Si, 0.43 wt% Mn, 0.26 wt% Fe, 0.15 wt% Mg and 0.15 wt% Cu) were considered: unmodified (as-received) and thermally modified. AlSi12 was in the form of cylindrical inserts 30 mm in diameter and 10 mm in thickness. The unmodified inserts were cut from an AlSi12 ingot. The thermally modified inserts were prepared by pouring AlSi12 alloy onto a thick steel plate. The microstructures of both insert types are shown in Fig. 1. The thermal modification caused significant refinement of the AlSi12 alloy

Fig. 1. OM images of the AlSi12 inserts; a) unmodified; b) modified
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microstructure, as depicted in Fig. 1b. Before compound casting, the surfaces of the Al alloy inserts were ground using SiC papers up to 800 grit, polished and cleaned with ethanol. The liquid-solid compound casting process proceeded as follows. First, an insert was placed in the cavity of a steel mould. Then, both were preheated to 300 °C. No protective atmosphere was used because of the ability of the molten Mg alloy to react with the oxide film on the surface of the Al alloy.

Next, the AZ91 alloy (100 g) was melted in a furnace under an argon atmosphere at a temperature of 660 °C. Finally, the Mg alloy was poured under normal atmospheric conditions into the mould with the aluminium alloy insert inside.

The microstructural analysis of the AZ91/AlSi12 joints was performed on cross-sections prepared using standard metallographic techniques, with the final polishing being carried out with aluminium oxide slurry (0.3 µm). A Nikon ECLIPSE MA200 optical microscope (OM) and a JEOL JSM-5400 scanning electron microscope (SEM), equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS), were employed for the examinations. The microhardness of the bonding zone was measured with a MATSUZAWA MMT Vickers tester at a load of 100 g.

2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 2 shows low and high magnification OM images of the microstructure of the AZ91/AlSi12 bimetal joint produced with an unmodified AlSi12 insert. It is visible that the bonding zone is continuous but non-uniform in thickness (120 µm to 200 µm) or structure. The area of the bonding zone close to the AZ91 alloy is thinner and darker, while that close to the AlSi12 is thicker with fine and coarse particles unevenly distributed in the light matrix. The contour of the interface with the AlSi12 alloy is highly irregular in shape (Fig. 2b).

Fig. 3 shows details of the microstructure of the bonding zone observed through scanning electron microscopy. The results of the EDS quantitative analysis conducted at points 1 to 11, as marked in this figure, are provided in Table 1. The high magnification image in Fig. 3a reveals that the darker region adjacent to the AZ91 has a structure with two phases: dark and light (points 1 and 2, respectively). The Al-Mg equilibrium phase diagram [32] and the EDS results suggest that the chemical composition of the dark phase corresponds to a solid solution of Al in Mg, whereas the light phase is the Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ intermetallic phase. Below the eutectic, there are light dendrites (point 3) of the Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ phase. Fig. 3b depicts the region adjacent to the AlSi12 alloy. The chemical composition of the light matrix is not uniform. The Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ intermetallic phase was detected in the matrix close to the eutectic (point 4), and the Al$_3$Mg$_2$ phase (point 5) was observed close to the AlSi12.

As can be seen from Fig. 1a, the microstructure of the unmodified AlSi12 alloy comprised needle-like eutectic Si and Chinese script-like phases distributed regularly in the Al matrix. The Chinese script-like Al-Si-Mn-Fe phases (point 6), as well as the needle-like and irregularly shaped Si particles (points 7 and 8, respectively), were also present in the bonding zone (Fig. 3b). The Al-Si-Mn-Fe phases were stable; they did not undergo transformation through reactions at the interface, so their Chinese script-like shape remained unchanged.
It was found that the Si particles observed in the bonding zone were surrounded by a darker phase. At a certain distance from the Si particles, the darker phase was fragmented and dispersed in the light-coloured matrix. This phenomenon is clearly visible in Fig. 3c. The EDS linear analysis along the marked line reveals that the darker phase area is rich in Mg and Si. According to the Mg-Si binary phase diagram [33], the solubility of Si in Mg is extremely low, and Si atoms react with Mg atoms and form the Mg$_2$Si phase. The results of the EDS quantitative analysis in this area (point 9) confirm the presence of the Mg$_2$Si phase. Some of the Si particles are too large to be fully consumed in the reaction with Mg during compound casting. Locally in the bonding zone, there are single Mg$_2$Si particles and their agglomerates, where smaller Si particles were fully consumed. The light matrix area close to the Mg$_2$Si “halo” is slightly lighter in colour (point 10), and its chemical composition resembles that of the Al$_3$Mg$_2$ intermetallic phase. In the slightly darker area (point 11) surrounding the Al$_3$Mg$_2$ particles, the atomic percentage of Mg is higher and more similar to that of the Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ intermetallic phase. The decrease in the Mg content in the light matrix close to the dark halo resulted from the formation of the Mg$_2$Si phase. Figs. 2a, b and Figs.

**Table 1.** Results of the EDS quantitative analysis at points marked in Fig. 3

| Point | Mg    | Al     | Zn | Si | Mn | Fe |
|-------|-------|--------|----|----|----|----|
| 1     | 84.15 | 15.85  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| 2     | 64.36 | 35.42  | 0.22 | - | -  | -  |
| 3     | 63.08 | 36.92  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| 4     | 62.76 | 37.24  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| 5     | 41.76 | 58.24  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| 6     | 1.64  | 69.13  | 13.28 | 8.17 | 7.78 | - |
| 7     | -     | 0.56   | 99.44 | - | -  | -  |
| 8     | -     | 0.44   | 99.56 | - | -  | -  |
| 9     | 62.86 | 4.77   | 32.37 | - | -  | -  |
| 10    | 39.49 | 60.51  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| 11    | 55.75 | 44.25  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
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3a and b reveal some pores and cracks in the bonding zone. The defects were caused by volume changes associated with the phase transformation at the reactive interface during liquid-solid compound casting. Long parallel cracks can be seen propagating across the light matrix between areas where the transformation of large Si particles into the Mg$_2$Si phase occurred. The volume changes accompanying the formation of new phases resulted in transformation stresses, which occurred locally and initiated the formation of cracks in the matrix composed of brittle Mg-Al intermetallic phases. It is evident that the presence of numerous defects, such as cracks and pores, as well as residual stress negatively affects the properties of the joint. The microstructural observations also showed that the contour of the interface between the bonding zone and the AlSi12 alloy was highly irregular. The long needles of Si present in the AlSi12 alloy disturbed the diffusion reactions between the AZ91 and the AlSi12, which resulted in a non-uniform thickness of the bonding zone.

The OM images in Fig. 4 show the microstructure of the bonding zone obtained when a thermally modified AlSi12 insert was used. From the low magnification view in Fig. 4a, it is evident that the refinement of the insert microstructure led to substantial changes in the joint microstructure. In this case, the bonding zone has a uniform thickness of about 250 µm. The interface between the AZ91 alloy and the bonding zone and that between the bonding zone and the AlSi12 alloy are flat. The region of the bonding zone adjacent to the AZ91 alloy is thinner and darker than the region close to the AlSi12, as was the case with the joint fabricated with an unmodified AlSi12 insert. The thinner and darker region, however, can be further divided into two subregions (marked A and B). The thicker and lighter region closest to the AlSi12 (marked C) has a uniform structure with fine darker particles distributed evenly in the light matrix. Fig. 4b shows a higher magnification image where the microstructure of the three regions can be seen.

Details of the bonding zone were examined using SEM/EDS. Fig. 5a shows a low magnification SEM image of the microstructure of the bonding zone and the linear distribution of elements along the marked line. As can be seen from the linear analysis, the bonding zone is mainly composed of Mg, Al, and Si. The concentration of Mg close to the AZ91 is slightly higher than that in the area adjacent to the AlSi12. In contrast, the concentration of Al increases slightly with increasing distance from the area close to the AZ91. Si was detected only in regions B and C of the bonding zone. The high magnification images in Figs. 5b to d reveal details of the microstructure of the three regions (A, B and C). The EDS quantitative analysis was carried out at points 1 to 11 marked in these figures. The results are provided in Table 2. A lamellar structure is visible in the AZ91 alloy close to the bonding zone (Fig. 5b). At point 1, a high concentration of Al was detected, which suggests that during compound casting, enrichment of AZ91 with Al occurs locally close to the bonding zone and plate-like precipitates of the Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ phase form in the solid solution of Al in Mg [34]. This phenomenon was also observed in the AZ91/AlSi12 joint fabricated using an unmodified AlSi12 insert.

Changes in the AZ91 alloy microstructure caused by the diffusion of Al were visible at a distance of about 200 µm from the bonding zone. Fig. 5b reveals that region A of the bonding zone close to the AZ91 alloy has a eutectic structure. The eutectic is composed of an Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ intermetallic phase (light phase – point...
2) and a solid solution of Al in Mg (dark phase – point 3). In region B (Fig. 5c), the light dendrites of the Mg\(_{17}\)Al\(_{12}\) intermetallic phase (point 4) are surrounded by a eutectic (Mg\(_{17}\)Al\(_{12}\) (point 5) + a solid solution of Al in Mg (point 6)). The region also contains fine grey particles. The EDS result obtained for point 7 suggests that these particles are the Mg\(_2\)Si phase. Fig. 5d shows details of the microstructure of region C adjacent to the AlSi12 alloy and a distribution of elements along the marked line. In this region, there are Si-rich grey particles regularly distributed in the light matrix rich in Mg and Al. The EDS quantitative analysis was carried out for the light matrix at points 8 to 10. The analysis at point 8 indicates the Mg\(_{17}\)Al\(_{12}\) intermetallic phase. The data obtained for the region closer to the AlSi12 alloy (points 9 and 10) suggest the Al\(_3\)Mg\(_2\) intermetallic phase. The quantitative analysis of the gray particles (point 11) indicates the Mg\(_2\)Si phase. From the linear distribution of elements, it is clear that the fine, white particles found in this area are rich in Al, Si, Mn and Fe. In the bonding zone formed between the AZ91 and the thermally modified
AlSi12, there were no cracks that could reduce the mechanical properties of the joint. Fig. 6 shows that, in this case, only single pores occurred locally in the bonding zone.

It can be assumed that the formation of the bonding zone between AZ91 and AlSi12, described in this study, was as follows. The compound casting process involved pouring liquid AZ91 alloy heated to 660 °C onto a solid AlSi12 alloy insert preheated to 300 °C, which led to the interdiffusion of the elements at the reactive interface as well as partial melting of the AlSi12 alloy surface. A thin layer of the AlSi12 insert

| Table 2. Results of the EDS quantitative analysis at points marked in Fig. 5 |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Point | Mg (at.%) | Al (at.%) | Zn (at.%) | Si (at.%) |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | 85.11 | 14.77 | 0.12 | - |
| 2 | 65.42 | 34.18 | 0.40 | - |
| 3 | 79.76 | 20.24 | - | - |
| 4 | 61.68 | 38.32 | - | - |
| 5 | 63.23 | 36.77 | - | - |
| 6 | 74.76 | 25.24 | - | - |
| 7 | 61.18 | 5.51 | - | 33.31 |
| 8 | 62.10 | 37.90 | - | - |
| 9 | 40.67 | 59.33 | - | - |
| 10 | 40.18 | 59.82 | - | - |
| 11 | 60.27 | 5.82 | - | 33.91 |

Fig. 6. An OM image of the microstructure of the AZ91/AlSi12 joint with some local porosity in the bonding zone.

Fig. 7. Microhardness of the bonding zone of the AZ91/AlSi12 joint fabricated using unmodified AlSi12; a) low magnification; b) high magnification of the area close to the AZ91; c) high magnification of the region close to the AlSi12.
(100 μm to 150 μm) and the AZ91 alloy solidifying at the interface were mutually enriched with the elements present in the two alloys as a result of diffusion and fusion processes. When the temperature of the AZ91/AlSi12 bimetal fell after casting, the solidified liquid formed a continuous transition zone containing Mg-Al intermetallic phases. The microstructure of the zone was not homogeneous because of the concentration gradient in the reactive interface. Compound casting with the use of thermally modified AlSi12 aluminium alloy inserts led to the formation of a bonding zone that was more uniform in thickness and contained no cracks. In this case, the microstructure of the bonding zone close to the AlSi12 was relatively uniform. Fine Mg2Si particles were distributed evenly in the Mg-Al intermetallic phases matrix. There were no Si particles that were not fully consumed in the reaction with Mg or the coarse Chinese script-like Al-Si-Mn-Fe phases.

Figs. 7 and 8 show impressions left by the Vickers indenter in the AZ91/AlSi12 joints fabricated using an unmodified and a thermally modified AlSi12 insert, respectively. Whichever the case, the microhardness of the AZ91 alloy was in the range of 56.2 HV to 65.5 HV. The unmodified and thermally modified AlSi12 alloy inserts differed in microhardness, which was 43 HV to 48.5 HV (Fig. 7) and 51.7 HV to 53.8 HV (Fig. 8), respectively. As is evident from these figures, the impressions in both bonding zones were much smaller than those in the alloys joined, which indicates the high hardness of the interface. The microhardness values were reported to change across the bonding zones because of its non-uniform microstructure. For the AZ91/AlSi12 joint produced with an unmodified AlSi12 insert (Fig. 7), the microhardness of the eutectic region close to the AZ91 alloy was ranged from 156 to 170.4 HV. Slightly higher values (171.6 HV to 180.5 HV) were reported in the transition area between the eutectic and the Mg17Al12 phase region, where dendrites of the Mg17Al12 phase were found. Higher microhardness (198.6 HV to 252.2 HV) was observed in the region close to the AlSi12 aluminium alloy. The values, however, varied significantly. The highest microhardness was reported in the areas where Mg2Si phase agglomerates or not fully consumed Si

![Fig. 8. Microhardness of the bonding zone of the AZ91/AlSi12 joint fabricated using modified AlSi12; a) low magnification; b) high magnification of the area close to the AZ91; c) high magnification of the region close to the AlSi12](image-url)
particles surrounded by the Mg$_2$Si phase occurred in the Mg-Al intermetallic phases matrix. As can be seen from the higher magnification images in Figs. 7b and c, no cracks were observed near the impressions left in the eutectic region; they developed, however, at the corners and near the edges of the impressions in the bonding zone close to the AlSi12 alloy, which suggests that the Mg-Al intermetallic phases constituting the matrix of this region were brittle. In the bonding zone of the AZ91/AlSi12 bimetal fabricated from modified AlSi12 (Fig. 8), the microhardness of the eutectic region ranged between 158.5 HV and 171.3 HV. The microhardness of the region close to the AlSi12 did not differ much, either (224.7 HV to 233.8 HV). This was a consequence of a more uniform structure of this region, which contained fine Mg$_2$Si phase particles distributed regularly in the Mg-Al intermetallic phases matrix. As can be seen from Fig. 8b, no cracks are propagating from the impression in the eutectic region. Cracks propagating from the impression corners (Fig. 8c) were detected only in the region adjacent to AlSi12, where Mg$_2$Si particles were embedded in the $\text{Al}_3\text{Mg}_2$ intermetallic phase matrix, which indicates that this intermetallic phase had higher brittleness than the Mg$_{17}\text{Al}_{12}$ phase constituting the bonding zone matrix close to the eutectic region.

3 CONCLUSIONS

The AZ91/AlSi12 bimetallic joints under analysis were fabricated through liquid-solid compound casting. The process involved pouring liquid AZ91 magnesium alloy heated to 660 °C onto a solid AlSi12 aluminium alloy insert placed in a steel mould, both preheated to 300 °C. Two types of AlSi12 inserts were used in the experiment: unmodified and thermally modified.

It was found that the thermal modification resulted in the refinement of the AlSi12 alloy microstructure and was consequently responsible for the microstructural changes in the bonding zone.

When an unmodified insert was used, the bonding zone was non-uniform in thickness. The region closest to the AZ91 alloy had a eutectic structure ($\text{Mg}_{17}\text{Al}_{12}$ + a solid solution of Al in Mg). The region adjacent to the AlSi12 alloy was non-uniform. The Mg-Al intermetallic phases matrix contained partly reacted Si particles surrounded by the Mg$_2$Si phase, single fine Mg$_2$Si particles and their agglomerates as well as irregularly distributed coarse Al-Si-Mn-Fe phase particles. Long parallel cracks were observed in this area of the bonding zone.

In the case of the AZ91/AlSi12 joint fabricated using a thermally modified AlSi12 insert, the bonding zone was uniform in thickness, and no cracks were detected. The region of the bonding zone close to the AZ91 alloy also had a eutectic structure. Significant microstructural changes were observed in the region close to the AlSi12 alloy. The microstructure of the bonding zone adjacent to the AlSi12 was uniform. There were fine Mg$_2$Si particles evenly distributed in the Mg-Al intermetallic phases matrix. Fine Al-Si-Mn-Fe phase particles were also present in this zone.

It was found that, for both bimetallic joints, the microhardness of the bonding zone was several times higher than those of the alloys joined. In the AZ91/AlSi12 joint fabricated using modified AlSi12 alloy, the microhardness values in the region close to the AlSi12 alloy did not differ significantly because of its uniform structure. This type of microstructure was less susceptible to cracking when subjected to indentation load.
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