Correlation of palpation versus ultrasound-assisted approach for locating the level of lumbar puncture used for subarachnoid block in elective cesarean delivery
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ABSTRACT

Aims: To correlate the level of lumbar puncture used for subarachnoid block in parturient undergoing elective cesarean delivery between palpation and ultrasound method; and to find its accuracy.

Methods: This is an observational study, conducted in 314 parturient undergoing elective cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia over the period of three months at Paropakar Maternity and Women's Hospital Kathmandu. The interspinous space identified by palpation method on lateral position for subarachnoid block and later the site confirmed by ultrasound.

Results: In this study, intervertebral space identified by palpation was matched in 38.1% (i.e. 107 in 281 patients) when assessed with ultrasound (USG). In 166 (59.1%) patients, skin puncture level was determined by palpation was found to be one intervertebral space cephalic. In eight (2.8%) patients, one intervertebral space caudal while assessed with USG. The correlation between intervertebral space determined by palpation and by ultrasonography was poor (correlation coefficient r=0.288). The kappa was 0.293±0.015.

Conclusions: The level of lumbar puncture used for subarachnoid block in elective cesarean delivery by palpation method is poorly correlated (38.1%) with ultrasonographic identification of corresponding interspinous level.
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INTRODUCTION

Cesarean delivery is one of the most commonly performed surgeries in obstetrics. Cesarean section is generally carried out under Subarachnoid Block (SAB). It produces a quick sensory and good motor block through the injection of local anesthetic to the subarachnoid space. With the use of SAB, maternal mortality and morbidity were significantly reduced in obstetric anesthesia.1,2 Tuffier line is an imaginary horizontal line joining the two superior parts of the posterior iliac crests. It passes through the L4 vertebral body. This is commonly used surface landmark for the identification of intervertebral space (IVS) for neuraxial block and it is identified by palpation. Palpation is shown to be least reliable method with accuracy rate being as low as 29%.3

A full term parturient undergoes various physical changes including weight gain, pelvic rotation, hyperlordosis, and tissue edema.4 Many studies have found that anesthesiologist select interspinous spaces one or two spaces higher than their intended selected space5-8 and it increases the incidence of severe neurological trauma after spinal anaesthesia. There are numerous case reports of spinal cord injury during subarachnoid block for cesarean delivery.5-8

So there is appropriate concern of neurological injury with using landmarks technique. In recent years, Neuraxial ultrasound is commonly used in regional anaesthesia practice.9 The ultrasound helps in correct
identification of the lumbar interspinous space. The study done by Watson et al\textsuperscript{10} found that the success rate was 76\% with ultrasound to identify the lumbar interspinous space.

Hence, this study was designed to find out correlation of lumbar puncture used for subarachnoid block in parturient undergoing elective cesarean delivery between by palpation method with ultrasound.

METHODS

This is a prospective observational study, conducted in parturient undergoing elective cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia (SAB) over the period of three months in Paropakar Maternity and Women's Hospital, a tertiary level public hospital in Nepal, from 16\textsuperscript{th} July to 17\textsuperscript{th} September 2017. Ethical approval was obtained from the hospital IRC. Before enrolling in the study, informed written consent was taken. Cases with single term cesarean delivery, ASA physical status grade II were enrolled in this study. Patient having contraindication to spinal anesthesia were excluded.

The patient was kept in left lateral position, parallel to the edge of the operation table. The interspinous space L3-L4 was identified by using landmark technique, after cleaning and draping the subarachnoid block was given. The puncture site was marked by permanent sterile skin marker and documented it. After completion of surgery patient shifted to the recovery room. Another anesthesiologist who is blind to the marked needle puncture site was performed ultrasound scan in left lateral position. Ultrasound probe was placed over the sacral area in the transverse axis. Sacrum was taken as a reference landmark which appears as a horizontal hyperechoic line. Then probe was moved into cephalad direction to identify the spinous process of each lumbar vertebra. The hyper-echogenic pattern corresponding to the laminae of vertebra white hypoechogenic shadow corresponding inter-vertebral space forms a saw tooth like pattern. For accurate counting of interspinous space, each space corresponding to the center of probe was marked on skin.

The primary outcome was to find out the accuracy of the skin puncture level, determined by palpation with ultrasound. Secondary outcome was to observe the skin puncture level, higher or lower than their intended selected vertebral level by palpation.

Data analyzed using SPSS 20 and expressed in descriptive parameter for age and BMI; and logistic regression analysis tool was used to assess the correlation between identification of the lumbar interspinous spaces by palpation and ultrasound imaging. The agreement between palpation method and ultrasound assessment of IVS was analyzed using kappa statistic. The p-value<0.05 was defined as statistical significance.

RESULTS

Three hundred fourteen parturient had taken consent to participate in this study. However, thirty-three women were excluded because ten patients had incomplete medical records, and 23 women had multiple needle insertion marks on their back.

So only two hundred eighty-eight-one parturient women were included for analysis. Among them, the age range from 16 to 38 (25.91±4.419) years. The body mass index (BMI) ranges from 21 to 45 (28.29±3.612).

The level of the puncture mark documented by the anesthesiologist by palpation at L2-L4 was 274 and L4-L5 in 7. Among them only in 107 (38.1\%) patients, the level of the puncture mark by palpation was matched with intervertebral space assessed by using ultrasound (USG). In 166 (59.1\%) patients, skin puncture level determined by palpation was found to be one intervertebral space cephalic. In eight (2.8\%) patients, inter space level documented in the anesthetic record was one intervertebral space caudal during USG examination (Table-1 and Figure-2). These Variables were evaluated by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. There was significant disagreement between intervertebral space determined by palpation and ultrasonography. The correlation between two techniques for the estimation of intervertebral space was poor at L2-L4 (correlation coefficient, r=0.288, kappa = 0.293±0.015).

Table-1: Lumbar intervertebral space identified by palpation and USG

| Lumber intervertebral space | Identified by Palpation | Identified by Ultrasound imaging (%) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| L2-L3                       | 0                       | 107 (38.1\%)                        |
| L3-L4                       | 274 (97.5\%)            | 107 (38.1\%)                        |
| L4-L5                       | 7 (2.5\%)               | 8 (2.8\%)                           |

Palpary accuracy of lumber spinal level is better on upper intervertebral spaces confirmed by ultra-
Correct identification of intervertebral space is essential for SAB. Traditionally, Tuffier’s line, is used as an anatomical landmark, as it is believed to pass through the L4 vertebral body for the estimation of vertebral levels for central neuraxial block.\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^1\)

The accuracy of palpation method to assess interspinous space was in between 29% to 64%.\(^1\)\(^4\) In our study, the accuracy of interspinous space by palpation method was 38.1% when compared to ultrasound.

A similar study conducted by Parate et al\(^1\)\(^5\) the IVS located by palpation method was in agreement with ultrasound location in 37.14% of the patients and differs by 1-3 IVS in cephalad direction (53.31%). The accuracy of palpation method with ultrasound is almost similar to our study. The accuracy is unaffected by age, sex height, and BMI.

Our findings are consistent with the finding of Schlotterbeck et al\(^1\)\(^6\), the clinical puncture level was accurate in 36.4% of patients. Ultrasound examination showed the puncture level to be more cephalad than the level noted in the anesthetic record in almost 50% of patients. In 15% of patients, the puncture level was more caudal than the anesthetist had assessed. In our study, the accuracy of interspinous space by palpation method was 38.1% when compared to ultrasound. Meanwhile In 59.1% patients, the skin puncture level determined by palpation was one intervertebral space cephalic and in 2.8% it was one intervertebral space caudal in our study. The factors including type of anesthesia, indication, time spinal pathology did not seem to influence the frequency of errors.

Another study by Locks et al found that puncture mark matched in 53% with the level estimated by postpartum ultrasound in non-obese patients.\(^1\)\(^8\) The findings of above are differing as compare to our study. These contradictory results might be justified by differences in their methodology, reference standard and competence of anaesthesiologist.

Likewise, in a study by Lee et al\(^1\)\(^9\) found that the accuracy of the spinal level of the intercristal line agreed with the ultrasound was 14%. One level higher in 23% and two level higher in 25%. The findings of above study are differing as compare to our study. This might be due to the use of different statistical tools for data analysis.

The palpated level being one spinal level higher in 22.7%, two levels higher in 45.3%, three levels higher in 16% and four level higher in 4% in a study by Chakraverty et al.\(^2\)\(^0\) These findings are different as compared to our study. This might be due to the high BMI of study population. In high BMI correlations between palpated and ultrasonography have been reported poor.

There was concurrence of intervertebral space identification between clinical and ultrasound examination in 64 % among patients undergoing lower limb surgery reported by Duinec et al.\(^2\)\(^1\) Which is higher than our study might be justified by differences in studied population, methodology, references.

The accuracy of ultrasound assessment when compared to other techniques (i.e. computerized tomography scan, magnetic resonance imaging, X-ray) has been reported as 68-76% but with training and experience, it can enhance up to 90%. The ultrasound can be used bedside or at Operation Theater and assessment can be done in the same flexed position given for spinal anesthesia.

In obstetric patients the traditional methods of assessing the intervertebral level by palpation is not a reliable technique. The puncture points might be
higher than the expected level. The correct identification vertebral levels are very important to avoid needle trauma to the spinal cord. When the vertebral level is misidentified during neuraxial block, the complications like; nerve root damage, spinal cord damage, cauda equina syndrome may occur. Our studies found that the selected interspinous spaces by palpation are found one spaces higher than their intended selected space. Ultrasonography provides more accurate than palpation in correctly identifying lumbar interspaces.

A limitation of this study is that the ultrasonography was done only in longitudinal approach. Both the longitudinal and transverse approaches should be used to assess the intervertebral space for future research.

CONCLUSIONS

The marked level of lumbar puncture used for subarachnoid block in elective cesarean delivery by palpation method is poorly correlated (38.1%) with ultrasonographic identification of corresponding interspinous level. The selected interspinous space by palpation are found one spaces higher than their intended selected space. Ultrasonography provides more accurate than palpation in correctly identifying lumbar interspaces.
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