A Hybrid Clustering Model to Evaluate Quality of Software Services on Cloud Environment
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Abstract: Cloud computing is emerging as an innovative paradigm in the field of Internet-based services in many software and hardware industries. The benefits that it provides in terms of cost, flexibility, efficient technology and business ability represent a huge competitive advantage for an organization. This is proven to be an essential requirement for extending several existing applications. In order to utilize the entire rewards of the SaaS model proficiently and successfully, there should be a suitable quality model to evaluate the SaaS quality. In fact, service-providers must evaluate their services against needs of service-user to increase their services. The existing SaaS quality evaluation models lack focus on all aspects of quality and services together.

On the other hand, each cloud service user is unique that leads to a very huge variation in the requirements of the software services. Therefore, this paper presents a hybrid model to help service users to opt a better SaaS product to satisfy their environment and needs. The proposed Hybrid model is implemented by using a hybrid clustering algorithm to construct clusters of SaaS products.

Index Terms: Software as a Service (SaaS), K-Means Clustering, DBSCAN Clustering, Hybrid Clustering

I. INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing is the newest technology of computing as well as to provide numerous scalable resources dynamically and to virtualizes those resources as a service over the Internet. Three main cloud offering models are available in the cloud computing environment, they are Platform as a service (PaaS), Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) , and Software as a Service (SaaS ) . The SaaS model provides several applications for costumers without installing any application locally. So, one can use any virtual servers available on the web to make a cloud. SaaS is a model of delivering into centrally hosted applications of the Internet. SaaS applications run on a SaaS supplier's server. The advantage of SaaS is that the software or application can be accessed and installed through internet without installing them at the local system. The cloud service providers manage access to the application, including security, availability, reliability, and performance. SaaS business applications are regularly accessed by service users by means of a thin client via a web browser. The private and public organizations and individuals are adopting SaaS products to utilize its profit. But, selection of right SaaS product from pool of products is a major problem for SaaS customer. In this context, a hybrid clustering model has been proposed to improve the quality of evaluation of SaaS products. The paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the literature review carried out towards SaaS product selection and identifies the gaps embedded in the existing models. Section III explains proposed hybrid model, Section IV discusses experimental results and compares with the results of existing models and Section V presents conclusion and future work.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The work on SaaS evaluation is relatively very less till2005; later, analytical works have improved towards SaaS analysis. Chen Yiming, et.al, proposed a model by choosing the most effective SaaS vendor supported service principles instead of selecting SaaS Product. Pang XiongPilar cyst, Li Dong, projected an advanced quality model that measures the safety, software quality of the SaaS and quality of service from the perspective of a service-provider. Jae Yoo, et.al, proposed a top-quality methodology that may facilitate to look at the quality side of SaaS, supported the SaaS key features derived from primary SaaS options. They valued and assessed their proposed quality model for evaluating SaaS quality. However, they have not covered all the key features of SaaS like multi-tenancy etc. Manish Godse, Shrikant Maulik , proposed a replacement model based on Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP ) approaches / approached for ranking the SaaS features like Functionality , Vendor name , Design , Usability , and Cost. However, the proper ranking of SaaS products is not possible, as each feature plays a vital role in the SaaS. Authors Qian Tao etc. proposed a technique for all cloud services based on non-functional QoS attributes like reputation, reliability, security, time, price and availability. They exploited the results by Partitioning around Medians (PAM) rule for all kinds of cloud services. However, its own limitations will effect the optimum solution. The review of literature summarizes that, the researchers contributed their innovations and supported key options and quality attributes to evaluate SaaS products. But, all researchers considered vendor perspective attributes only. This paper addresses the gaps involved in evaluation of SaaS without considering user perspective attributes.
III. PROPOSED HYBRID CLUSTERING MODEL

To overcome the research gaps identified from literature review, a Hybrid clustering model is proposed and implemented on real time data sets with user’s perspective attributes i.e.

• Product Name: the name with the SaaS product.
• Rating: Rating is a numeric value given by SaaS users on the scale of 1 to 10, 1 being the lowest and 10 highest.
• No. of Reviews: Reviews are a numeric attribute, which give no. of comments from SaaS users of that product.
• Category of SaaS: This is a categorical attribute that describes the category of SaaS.

This SaaS data set has been collected from Amazon public cloud and a few other sources. The proposed hybrid model consists of two phases. In the first phase K-means clustering algorithm applied to SaaS products data set. In the second phase, the DBSCAN algorithm applied to first phase results. The data flow in Hybrid Clustering model is as shown in Figure 1.

K-means Clustering

K-means clustering is “to classify objects of multiple groups such that, objects in the same cluster are as similar as possible, whereas objects of different clusters are as dissimilar as possible” [11]. In K-means clustering, every cluster is represented by means of its center or centroid that corresponds to the mean of data objects assigned to each cluster. K-means clustering model constructs four clusters of SaaS data set ratings and number of reviews. Different clusters are shown in Figure 2 and indicated in different colors.

Cluster 1 represents SaaS products of the highest rating, and highest number of reviews. • Cluster 2 represents SaaS products of the highest rating, and average number of reviews. • Cluster 3 represents SaaS products of average rating and average reviews. • Cluster 4 represents SaaS products that are below average rating, with a below average number of reviews.

Limitations of K-Means:
The limitations of K-means clustering algorithm is as follows: It can be applied only when the mean of a cluster is defined. User needs to specify k. The results obtained are sensitive to the initial random selection of cluster-centers. That means clustering is highly sensitive to outliers. K-means is not suitable for discovering clusters with any convex shapes or clusters of very different size. Hence, the Density Based Clustering Algorithm is implemented over K-means clusters data set, which does not require the user to specify the number of clusters to be generated. It can find any shape of clusters that can identify outliers.

Density Based Clustering (DBSCAN)

DBSCAN is a Density-Based Spatial Clustering and Application with Noise. It clusters together points that are close to each other based on spatial distance and minimum number of points[12,13]. This cluster model identifies clusters of any shape in a data set and handles noise and outliers within the data set. The steps involved in DBSCAN clustering algorithm are as shown in the Figure 3.
The DBSCAN algorithm requires 2 parameters\textsuperscript{14,15}, i.e. 
Eps: the minimum spatial distance between two points. If the 
distance between two points is less than or equal to this value 
(\(\text{Eps}\)), then these points are considered neighbors. 
MinPoints: the minimum number of points to form a cluster. 
In conventional DBSCAN Algorithm, Eps and MinPts 
values were chosen on trial and error basis. To enhance the 
output of DBSCAN algorithm, the optimal Eps value has 
been generated by KNNdist in R Studio as 0.15 for a sample 
dataset, shown in Figure 4.

\[
\text{dbscan::kNNdistplot(saassv, k = 5)}
\]
\[
\text{abline(h = 0.15, lty = 2)}
\]

**Figure 4. Optimal Eps value for DBSCAN Clustering**

DBSCAN algorithm constructed seven different clusters 
of convex shape as shown in the Figure 5 and represented 
by different colors. The number of clusters varies according 
to datasets and it also impacts on no. of noise points. 
Taking this as a consideration, a cluster number as seven to 
reduce no. of noise points.

**Limitations of DBSCAN:**
DBSCAN fails to discover the clusters of varying 
densities and overlapping regions. It is not completely 
deterministic, so that, border points or data objects that are 
reachable from more than one cluster can be part of either 
cluster, depending on the order for data processing.

**Hybrid K-means -DBSCAN (KD) Clustering**
Each of the K-means and DBSCAN algorithms have their 
own limitations and benefits. To make use of the advantages 
of both clustering algorithms, hybrid clustering algorithm 
has been proposed to overcome the limitations of both 
clustering algorithms. The steps involved in the proposed 
Hybrid algorithm are as shown in Figure 6.

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
The Hybrid clustering algorithm has constructed 40 
clusters and 277 noise points with Eps = 0.15 and minPts = 5 for 1460 objects. The clusters are shown in Figure 7 with 
different colors.
In summary, the proposed Hybrid model is one of the cloud offerings, Software as a Service (SaaS). This model provides a clear overview of different parameters of choices of SaaS consumers that can help to evaluate before using SaaS products. This proposed hybrid cluster model is considered attributes of software service from a user’s perspective service that is feedback from service users in terms of ratings and reviews. This model provides SaaS product cluster groups so that service users can select any SaaS product of the group accordingly. Moreover, this model is implemented on live data set and produced better results compared to existing models.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the proposed Hybrid model is one of the cloud offerings, Software as a Service (SaaS). This model provides a clear overview of different parameters of choices of SaaS consumers that can help to evaluate before using SaaS products. This proposed hybrid cluster model is considered attributes of software service from a user’s perspective service that is feedback from service users in terms of ratings and reviews. This model provides SaaS product cluster groups so that service users can select any SaaS product of the group accordingly. Moreover, this model is implemented on live data set and produced better results compared to existing models.
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