Predicting and Defining Steroid Resistance in Pediatric Nephrotic Syndrome Using Plasma Metabolomics
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Introduction: Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is a kidney disease that affects both children and adults. Glucocorticoids have been the primary therapy for >60 years but are ineffective in approximately 20% of children and approximately 50% of adult patients. Unfortunately, patients with steroid-resistant NS (SRNS; vs. steroid-sensitive NS [SSNS]) are at high risk for both glucocorticoid-induced side effects and disease progression.

Methods: We performed proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H NMR) metabolomic analyses on plasma samples (n = 86) from 45 patients with NS (30 SSNS and 15 SRNS) obtained at initial disease presentation before glucocorticoid initiation and after approximately 7 weeks of glucocorticoid therapy to identify candidate biomarkers able to either predict SRNS before treatment or define critical molecular pathways/targets regulating steroid resistance.

Results: Stepwise logistic regression models identified creatinine concentration and glutamine concentration (odds ratio [OR]: 1.01; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.99–1.02) as 2 candidate biomarkers predictive of SRNS, and malonate concentration (OR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.89–1.00) as a third candidate predictive biomarker using a similar model (only in children >3 years). In addition, paired-sample analyses identified several candidate biomarkers with the potential to identify mechanistic molecular pathways/targets that regulate clinical steroid resistance, including lipoproteins, adipate, pyruvate, creatine, glucose, tyrosine, valine, glutamine, and sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine.

Conclusion: Metabolomic analyses of serial plasma samples from children with SSNS and SRNS identified elevated creatinine and glutamine concentrations, and reduced malonate concentrations, as auspicious candidate biomarkers to predict SRNS at disease onset in pediatric NS, as well as additional candidate biomarkers with the potential to identify mechanistic molecular pathways that may regulate clinical steroid resistance.
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See Commentary on Page 1

Glomerular disease is the third leading cause of end-stage kidney disease in the United States and its related health care costs are approximately $4.1 billion annually. Immunosuppressive drugs are the primary therapies for NS, as well as most glomerular diseases, although approximately 20% to 50% of patients with NS fail to achieve clinical remission in response to glucocorticoid (GC) therapy. Unfortunately, in the absence of biomarkers to predict treatment responsiveness, many patients receive prolonged yet ineffective...
samples from children with SSNS and SRNS can be used to identify biomarkers able to (i) predict clinical steroid resistance, (ii) define specific molecular pathways or targets mechanistically associated with clinical steroid resistance, and (iii) differentiate steroid sensitivity from steroid resistance in NS (see Figure 1). To test these hypotheses, we analyzed paired plasma biosamples collected from 2008 to 2014 through the Midwest Pediatric Nephrology Consortium from children with NS that were obtained both at the time of disease presentation (before initiation of GC therapy) and after an average of approximately 7 weeks of GC treatment, when the clinical determination of SRNS versus SSNS was made by the treating nephrologist.

**METHODS**

**Study Approval and Ethics Statement**

All research protocols and consent documents were approved by the institutional review board of Nationwide Children’s Hospital as the coordinating center (approval numbers IRB07–00400, IRB12–00039 and IRB05–00544), as well as by each of the other participating centers of the Midwest Pediatric Nephrology Consortium. Informed written consent (and assent, where appropriate) was obtained from the parents of all participants before samples were collected, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Pediatric Patients With NS and Plasma Collection**

Pediatric patients with NS aged between 18 months and 18 years were included in this study if they exhibited 3+ proteinuria and edema. The clinical response of each patient to GC (i.e., SRNS or SSNS) was assessed approximately 6 to 10 weeks after initial presentation and is also shown in Table 1. Fifty percent of the patients enrolled were excluded from this study because of our inability to confirm with certainty that they had not received even a single dose of GC therapy before beginning the study, or before the first (pre-steroid) sample collection. Paired plasma samples were collected for each patient, first sample “pre” at the time of disease presentation before even a single dose of GC, and second sample “post” after 6 to 10 weeks of GC therapy. There were 4 patients (3 SSNS and 1 SRNS) who did not have the second sample collected. Broad-spectrum NMR data were acquired for 86 patient samples and the distribution of sample sizes is described in detail in Figure 1 and provided in figure legends and tables. One patient was identified as steroid-dependent and was excluded from all further analyses. Please see Supplementary Material for additional details.
**1H NMR Metabolomics**

1H NMR spectra of diluted plasma samples were acquired with a 1D Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill pulse sequence (cpmgpr1d) on a Bruker (Billerica, MA) Avance III 700 MHz NMR spectrometer (located at the David H. Murdock Research Institute at Kannapolis, NC) using a 3-mm cryogenically cooled CRYO QNP probe and ambient temperature of 25 °C. Representative 1H NMR spectra can be found in Supplementary Figure S1 and further procedural details are outlined in the Supplementary Material.

**Statistical Methods**

For univariate statistics, the count (%) and P value from a χ² test is reported for categorical variables (demographics). The mean (SD) and P value from a t test with Satterthwaite approximation for unequal variances are reported for continuous variables (demographics, bins, and concentrations). A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant, and P values were not adjusted for multiple testing because this was an exploratory analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Unsupervised (principal component analysis) and supervised (orthogonal partial least-squares discriminate analysis [OPLS-DA]) multivariate analyses were completed in SIMCA 14.1 (Umetrics, Malmo, Sweden) using mean centering and pareto scaling to reduce the dimensionality of the binned data and visualize the variation in the data.23,24 OPLS-DA allows visualization.

**Figure 1.** Study hypothesis and design. The present studies were designed to test the hypothesis that metabolomic analyses of paired plasma samples from children with steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome (SSNS) and steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) can be used to identify biomarkers able to (approach I) predict clinical steroid resistance, (approach II) define specific mechanistic molecular pathways or targets associated with clinical steroid resistance, and (approach III) differentiate clinical steroid sensitivity from steroid resistance. SSNS Pre, light blue circle; SSNS Post, dark blue circle; SRNS Pre, yellow triangle; SRNS Post, orange triangle. Starting patient samples: n = 86 (SSNS Pre = 30, SSNS Post = 27, SRNS Pre = 15, SRNS Post = 14). Approach I: Thirty SSNS patient samples were subjected to 1H NMR and 27 were analyzed after removing 2 outliers and 1 steroid-dependent [SD] patient; 15 SRNS patient samples were subjected to HNMR and were analyzed. Approach II: Twenty-seven SSNS pre-patient samples were analyzed after removing 2 outliers and 1 SD patient; 26 SSNS post-patient samples were analyzed after removing 1 SD patient; 24 sample pairs were analyzed for relative concentration data; 15 SRNS pre-patient samples were analyzed; 14 SRNS post-patient samples were analyzed; and 14 pairs were analyzed for relative concentration data. Approach III: Twenty-six SSNS post-patient samples were analyzed after removing 1 SD patient; 14 SRNS post-patient samples were analyzed.

**Table 1.** Demographic of patients with nephrotic syndrome

| Patient attributes | Steroid-resistant (n=15) | Steroid-sensitive (n=30) | P |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Male               | 5 (33.3%)                | 13 (43.3%)               | 0.57 |
| Female             | 9 (60%)                  | 16 (53.3%)               |   |
| Unknown            | 1 (6.7%)                 | 1 (3.3%)                 |   |
| White              | 6 (40%)                  | 13 (43.3%)               | 0.28 |
| Black/African American | 7 (46.7%)              | 7 (23.3%)                |   |
| Other              | 0 (0%)                   | 7 (23.3%)                |   |
| Unknown            | 2 (13.3%)                | 3 (10%)                  |   |
| Body mass index percentile | 88.7 (12.0)     | 85.3 (20.4)              | 0.50 |
| Subjects with nonmissing data | 13               | 27                      |   |
| Age (yr)           | 9.5 (3.8)                | 5.8 (3.7)                | 0.0006 |
| Subjects with nonmissing data | 14               | 28                      |   |
| Weeks to post-treatment sample | 7.0 (2.2)             | 6.9 (2.8)                | 0.84 |
| Subjects with nonmissing data | 14               | 27                      |   |

*Includes Asian and Native American persons.

Demographics of the phenotypic groups indicating count (%) and P value from a χ² test of males, females only, or white, black/African American only for categorical variables. The mean (SD) and P value from a t test with Satterthwaite approximation for unequal variances are reported for continuous variables. Bold value is statistically significant.
of the separation of the study groups, and the variable influence on projection (VIP) statistic provides information on the importance of a bin in differentiating these groups with higher VIP values, indicating greater importance. Bins important to differentiating the phenotype had either VIP ≥1 where the jack-knifed CI does not include 0 or a \( P < 0.1 \) from a \( t \) test with a Satterthwaite approximation when paired samples were treated as independent, to be consistent with the comparison of 2 classes in the binned analyses.

Logistic regression models for the odds of steroid resistance among subjects were created using a stepwise selection procedure with candidate predictors entering the model at \( P < 0.05 \) and exiting the model at \( P < 0.05 \). Three models were created: (i) demographics alone, (ii) metabolites (relative concentration) alone, and (iii) metabolites plus demographics. The candidate predictors for the demographics-only model were age (years), body mass index percentile, and dichotomized race/ethnicity (African American or white/other). The concentration fit metabolites were candidate predictors for the metabolites-only model. The final model including demographics and metabolites always included the final result from the demographics model, and the model selection algorithm chose the metabolites. Continuous variables were centered, and the fit of each model was assessed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test. Receiver operating characteristics curve and area under the curve (AUC) analyses were used to assess each model’s ability to predict clinical steroid resistance. Subjects identified as outliers (\( n = 2 \)) or who were missing age (\( n = 3 \)) were excluded from the modeling.

Modeling was completed for 2 sets of samples: (i) samples from subjects of all ages (\( n = 39 \)) and (ii) samples from subjects older than 3 years (\( n = 28 \)).

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

Eighty-eight pediatric patients were enrolled from 2008 to 2014, although only approximately 50% of these patients (\( n = 45 \)) were able to be enrolled before receiving even a single dose of steroids. All 45 patients who had not received any steroids before the average prescribed steroid dosage in a representative subset cohort of these 2 groups. The differences in steroid dosage (SSNS, 1.81 ± 0.23 mg/kg per day vs. SRNS, 1.24 ± 0.14 mg/kg per day) were found to be not significantly different between the 2 groups by nonparametric Mann-Whitney test (\( P > 0.05 \)). More over, SRNS was associated with a trend toward a higher percentage of African American patients (46.7% of SRNS patients) than in SSNS (23.3% of total SSNS patients).

**Metabolite Biomarkers to Predict Steroid Resistance**

NMR metabolomics data quality and metabolic profiling are described in the Supplementary Material and presented in Supplementary Figure S2. The various approaches to analyze the data are outlined in Figure 1 and the results described later in this article. Although NMR data were collected from all the patient samples, 2 SSNS pretreatment samples were identified as outliers and excluded from all analyses for predictive markers and for paired analyses. In addition, 1 patient identified as having steroid-dependent NS was also excluded from all future analyses.

Multivariate analysis using both principal component analysis and OPLS-DA of binned NMR data (Figure 2a and b) from only pretreatment samples (approach I, Figure 1) did not distinguish the SSNS and SRNS patients’ baseline samples. Concentration fitting, followed by comparison of concentrations in the pretreatment samples, found only creatinine to be significantly different between the SRNS versus SSNS groups (Supplementary Table S1). Logistic regression modeling (25 SSNS, 14 SRNS) (after deleting 2 outliers, 1 steroid-dependent patient and 2 with missing ages from initial 30 SSNS and deleting 1 with missing age from 15 SRNS patients) using the relative concentration data for 22 metabolites also selected creatinine (Figure 2c and e; AUC = 0.79, OR: 1.07; OR 95% CI: 1.02–1.12; goodness of fit \( \chi^2 = 4.23, \text{df} = 8, P = 0.84 \)) as a metabolite able to predict the subsequent response to GC treatment. Creatinine is a well-known marker of kidney function and has previously been correlated with the known steroid resistance risk factor, age at presentation. Age alone predicted SRNS (OR: 1.33; OR 95% CI: 1.09–1.64; goodness of fit \( \chi^2 = 5.42, \text{df} = 6, P = 0.49 \)). When age was included in the stepwise selection algorithm for metabolites, age (OR: 84 Kidney International Reports (2020) 5, 81–93
1.38; OR 95% CI: 1.10–1.73) and glutamine (OR: 1.01, 95% CI: 1.00–1.02), but not creatinine, were selected as predictors of response to GC treatment (goodness of fit $\chi^2 = 5.69$, df = 8, $P = 0.68$). The AUC for the age and glutamine model was 0.81, compared with 0.77 in a model using age alone (Figure 2e). With one exception, SRNS cases in this cohort were observed beginning at age 4. Therefore, a similar modeling approach was completed for samples with age > 3 years only ($n = 28$, 15 SSNS and 13 SRNS) for which age was more likely to misclassify...
samples. Using age as a predictor in the logistic regression model (OR: 1.3; OR 95% CI: 1.00–1.71; goodness of fit $\chi^2 = 4.80, df = 5, P = 0.44$) resulted in an AUC = 0.72. Creatinine (OR: 1.08; OR 95% CI: 1.00–1.16) and malonate (OR: 0.93; OR 95% CI: 0.88–0.99) were selected for the metabolites-only model (goodness of fit $\chi^2 = 12.69, df = 7, P = 0.08$, AUC = 0.84). When age was included in the model and the selection algorithm was used to select metabolites, the OR for age was 1.36 (OR 95% CI: 0.99–1.86) and malonate was selected (OR: 0.94; OR 95% CI: 0.89–1.00). The model with age and malonate (goodness of fit $\chi^2 = 4.26, df = 7, P = 0.75$) had an AUC of 0.84, compared with 0.72 for age alone (Figure 2d and f).

**Metabolite Biomarkers to Define Mechanistic Molecular Pathways/Targets of Steroid Resistance**

Differentiation of the SSNS samples (approach II, Figure 1) in the first 2 components of the unsupervised multivariate analysis (principal component analysis) showed that GC treatment perturbed the metabolomic profiles of post-treatment samples compared with pretreatment samples. Supervised analysis (OPLS-DA) found a model meeting guidelines for acceptability23 (Figure 3b, R2X(cum) = 0.911; R2Y(cum) = 0.734; Q2(cum) = 0.595). Supplementary Table S2 compares pre- and post-treatment SSNS samples and provides the VIP statistic, $P$ value, and fold change for bins with their associated metabolites that had either a VIP $\geq 1$ with a jack-knifed CI that did not include 0 or a $P < 0.1$. Important bins for differentiating the pre- and post-treatment samples indicated changes in circulating lipoproteins, plasma glucose concentrations, and creatine. Lipoproteins and collection tube additives were large contributors to the total spectra, and their influence on the quantitation of lower concentration metabolites was of concern. Individual metabolites with characteristic NMR peaks were therefore fit, and the

---

**Figure 3.** Metabolite changes with steroid therapy in steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome (SSNS). Multivariate analysis scores plots for comparing SSNS pre- ($n = 27$) and post-treatment ($n = 26$) samples: (a) SSNS-only principal component analysis; R2X(cum) 0.937; Q2(cum) 0.85. (b) SSNS-only orthogonal partial least-squares discriminate analysis; R2X(cum) 0.911; R2Y(cum) 0.734; Q2(cum) 0.595; SSNS Pre, light blue circle; SSNS Post, dark blue circle; pools, black diamond. (c) Box plots showing the concentration difference by phenotype, where $\Delta = $ post-treatment – pretreatment concentrations (i.e., values above the dotted 0 line imply GC-induced increases in concentration). Metabolites displayed were significantly changed between paired pretreatment and post-treatment SSNS samples. Metabolite changes in $\Delta$ SRNS are also shown for comparison and described below. Phenotype is marked with * if $P < 0.05$ from a paired $t$ test of paired pretreatment and post-treatment samples.
concentration determined relative to the 1-mM format reference standard. Concentration fitting, followed by 1-sample t tests, of the concentration difference between pre- and post-treatment samples identified adipate, alanine, creatine, glucose, glutamine, pyruvate, tyrosine, and valine as significantly affected by treatment in SSNS samples (Figure 3c, Supplementary Table S3). Results from these same metabolites in SRNS pretreatment versus post-treatment samples are also shown for comparison purposes and are described as follows.

Using the same multivariate modeling approach, the SRNS samples (approach II, Figure 1) did not show differentiation in the unsupervised or supervised score plots. No model could be fit that met the criteria for acceptance (Figure 4a and b), indicating that the overall metabolomics profiles between these 2 groups were not significantly perturbed. However, concentration fitting followed by 1-sample t tests of the concentration difference between pre- and post-treatment SRNS samples did identify alanine, propylene glycol, and sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine as affected by GC treatment in SRNS samples (Figure 4c, Supplementary Table S3). Alanine concentration was also perturbed in the SSNS group, and thus may be interpreted as resulting from GC treatment. This is an important proof-of-concept that the metabolites of children with SRNS are in general not being perturbed in response to GC treatment to the same extent as the metabolites of children with SSNS. When post-treatment concentration changes in children with SSNS were compared with those with SRNS (approach II, Figure 1), \( \Delta [\text{adipate}] \) (\( P = 0.002 \)) and \( \Delta [\text{pyruvate}] \) (\( P = 0.004 \)) were significantly lower (Figure 3c, Supplementary Table S3).

Metabolite Biomarkers to Differentiate Steroid Sensitivity From Resistance

SSNS could be distinguished from SRNS post-treatment (approach III, Figure 1, Figure 5a and b; principal component analysis R2X[cum] 0.897; OPLS-DA R2Y [cum] 0.53; Q2[cum] 0.214). Supplementary Tables S4 and S5 compare post-treatment SSNS and post-treatment SRNS samples and provides the VIP statistic, \( P \) value, and fold change for bins with their associated metabolites that had either a VIP \( \geq 1 \) with a jack-knifed CI that did not include 0 or a \( P < 0.1 \). Important bins for differentiation of the SSNS and SRNS phenotypic groups post-treatment were nearly identical to those important for differentiation of the SSNS pre- and post-treatment samples. Comparison of concentrations in the post-treatment samples found adipate, creatine, creatinine, and pyruvate to be significantly different between the SRNS and SSNS groups (Figure 5c, Supplementary Table S4).

Detailed analyses for metabolomics methodology validation are presented in the Supplementary Material.

![Figure 4. Metabolite changes with steroid therapy in steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS). Scores plots for comparing SRNS pre- \(( n = 15 \)) and post-treatment \(( n = 14 \)) samples: (a) SRNS-only principal component analysis; R2X[cum] 0.964; Q2[cum] 0.845. (b) SRNS-only orthogonal partial least-squares discriminate analysis; R2X[cum] 0.75; R2Y[cum] 0.229; Q2[cum] –0.586; SRNS Pre, yellow triangle; SRNS Post, orange triangle; pools, black diamond. (c) Box plots showing the concentration difference by phenotype, where \( \Delta = \text{post-treatment} – \text{pretreatment} \) concentration. Metabolites are displayed if significantly changed between paired pre- and post-SRNS samples and not previously displayed in Figure 2. Phenotype is marked with * if \( P < 0.05 \) from a paired t test of paired pre- and post-samples.](image-url)
CONCLUSION

NS is a kidney disease that affects both children and adults, although approximately 20% of children and approximately 50% of adults present with or subsequently develop steroid resistance, with its greatly increased risks for both treatment side effects and disease progression. The current study tested the hypothesis that paired plasma metabolomic sample analyses could identify candidate biomarkers able to either predict steroid resistance before GC treatment or define critical mechanistic molecular pathways/targets that regulate steroid resistance (see Figure 1 and Table 2). We used a metabolomic discovery approach starting with 86 samples, and identified a small group of candidate biomarkers predictive of steroid resistance, such as glutamine and malonate, after controlling for age. Paired-sample analyses also enabled us to identify a larger group of candidate metabolite biomarkers potentially able to define specific mechanistic molecular pathways/targets of steroid resistance, including lipoproteins, adipate, pyruvate, creatine, glucose, tyrosine, valine, and glutamine. A single endogenous metabolite, sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, was also identified as perturbed by GC treatment in children with SRNS, but not in those with SSNS. Together, these findings have identified several specific candidate metabolite biomarkers able to predict SRNS at disease onset, as well as potential therapeutic target pathways that could enable the future development of more targeted and effective treatments for NS.

GCs are known to have significant metabolic effects, including (i) increased mobilization of amino acids and increased urinary nitrogen, with an overall increase in conversion of protein to glycogen storage; (ii) stimulation of gluconeogenesis and reduced insulin response, leading to increased plasma glucose levels; and (iii) increased lipoprotein concentrations, with redistribution of fat from the extremities to the trunk and face.26 The increase in glucose bins and [glucose] in post-versus pretreatment SSNS in our study likely resulted from this gluconeogenesis and reduction in insulin sensitivity. Consistently, [alanine], [tyrosine], and [valine] all were similarly increased with GC treatment. [Alanine] also increased in the SRNS group, implying that this pathway may be a generic GC-responsive pathway, and not necessarily associated with GC efficacy. In contrast, [Glycerophosphocholine] increased after GC treatment in SRNS, but not in SSNS. Glycerophosphocholine is a major renal osmolyte,27 so this finding suggests that GC may significantly influence osmotic stress, even in the absence of clinical efficacy. GCs are also known to augment the biosynthesis of phosphatidylcholine for lung surfactant,28 so the metabolic fate of choline also may be affected by the

Figure 5. Metabolite biomarkers to differentiate steroid sensitivity from resistance. Scores plots for comparison of steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome (SSNS) (n = 26) and steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) (n = 14) post-treatment: (a) principal component analysis, R2X(cum) 0.966; Q2(cum) 0.858; (b) orthogonal partial least-squares discriminate analysis, R2X(cum) 0.897; R2Y(cum) 0.53; Q2(cum) 0.214; SSNS Post, dark blue circle; SRNS Post, orange triangle; pools, black diamond. (c) Box plots showing the concentration by phenotype for metabolites with P < 0.05 from a t test with Satterthwaite approximation for unequal variances between SSNS and SRNS samples post-treatment.

(Supplementary Figures S3 and S4, and Supplementary Tables S6–S8).
response to GC. In addition, GCs modulate the activity of the Na+/H+ exchanger in renal proximal tubular cells, suggesting that GC could alternatively affect osmotic stress through this mechanism.

Some of the metabolic GC responses observed in this study have been described in studies of adult patients, or with animal models. We observed a decrease in [adipate], adipate bins, and lipoprotein bins with GC treatment in SSNS samples, and in post-treatment SSNS versus SRNS samples. Hyperlipidemia is a well-characterized finding in NS, in addition to albuminuria and the associated decrease in oncotic pressure. The observed changes in [adipate], adipate bins, and lipoprotein bins in SSNS patients may be related to the improvement in hyperlipidemia associated with GC-induced remission. Serum creatinine is a standard marker of renal function, and by both 1H NMR and clinical assay, creatinine was higher in SRNS vs. SSNS samples, both pre- and post-treatment with GC. In contrast, creatine was higher after GC treatment in SSNS versus SRNS samples. Creatine is nonenzymatically converted to creatinine, thus changes in creatine concentration may be anticipated with a change in creatinine homeostasis.

It is worth noting that 2 studies have recently attempted to differentiate the major histological subtypes of glomerular disease, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, minimal change disease, IgA nephropathy, and membranous nephropathy, from each other and from healthy controls in adults, based on urinary metabolite profiles. In contrast to these, the current study used unique paired plasma samples from children that were obtained both pretreatment and post-treatment with GC, when the clinical distinction was made between SSNS versus SRNS. This approach enabled us to identify specific metabolites with the potential ability to differentiate SSNS from SRNS, both at the time of disease onset (before GC treatment) as well as after GC therapy. Although our study uniquely characterized plasma in a pediatric cohort, lower pyruvate concentrations in SSNS versus SRNS post-treatment samples reinforced recent findings that pyruvate can distinguish focal segmental glomerulosclerosis from minimal change disease and membranous nephropathy in the urine of adults. One of these studies also speculated regarding potential effects on renal proximal tubules in addition to the glomerulus in pathologies such as focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, although it is well-established that NS is a glomerular disease with very few cases of accompanying renal tubular dysfunction.

Pyruvate-metabolizing enzymes, including pyruvate carboxylase, are also regulated by GC. Pyruvate metabolites in pregnant women are important mediators of pregnancy and have been implicated in the development of pregnancy-induced hypertension and preeclampsia. Pyruvate also may be important for the regulation of glucocorticoid receptor expression, which may be important for the development of GC resistance.

Glutamine is metabolized by the kidney for the maintenance of pH homeostasis. During acidosis, glutamine is catabolized to 2 molecules of ammonium and a-ketoglutarate, which then enter the tricarboxylic acid cycle and gluconeogenesis. Malonate is involved in fatty acid biosynthesis and is protective in cases of ischemia reperfusion, although its regulation by the kidney is less well understood.

The role of podocyte energy metabolism and its role in different nephropathies has been an active area of research in recent years. It is possible that the glomerular dysfunction seen in NS induces systemic metabolic perturbations, which may in turn drive metabolic effects in podocytes that could affect response to GC therapy and/or disease progression.

| Metabolite or bin | Predictive biomarker | Mechanistic biomarker | Differentiating biomarker |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                  | SSNS Pre vs. SRNS Pre | SSNS Pre vs. SRNS Post | SRNS Pre vs. SRNS Post | Δ SSNS vs. Δ SRNS | SSNS Post vs. SRNS Post |
| Creatinine       | X                    |                       |                         |                      |                         |
| Glutamine        | X                    | X                     |                         |                      |                         |
| Malonate (age >3) | X                    |                       |                         |                      |                         |
| Adipate          |                      | X                     | X                       |                      |                         |
| Pyruvate         |                      |                       |                          |                      |                         |
| Creatine         |                      |                       |                          |                      |                         |
| Lipoproteins (bins) |                 | X                     |                          |                      |                         |
| Glucose          |                      |                       |                          |                      |                         |
| Tyrosine         |                      |                       |                          |                      |                         |
| Valine           |                      |                       |                          |                      |                         |
| sn-Glycero-3-phosphocholine | | | | | 

SSNS, steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome; SRNS, steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome.

1, 2 Approaches defined in Figure 1.
This study had several limitations and strengths. Although most similar biomarker and metabolomics studies have been carried out using urine, which is noninvasive, our studies used paired plasma samples in addition to pre- and post-treatment samples. However, the identification of these markers in plasma is highly clinically and biologically relevant, because NS is a glomerular disease with systemic involvement, and plasma metabolites directly reflect their concentrations in the blood, following renal tubular reabsorption and/or secretion. It will be of significant interest to further study and validate our identified biomarkers in urine samples from a larger and separate childhood NS cohort in the future, and such studies are already under way. Furthermore, SRNS typically presents in children at older ages and thus higher weights than in children with SSNS. Because this is a typical generalized difference between the 2 clinically defined groups, accounting for these as confounders to the current studies was not found to be essential or clinically relevant. Predictive models were however adjusted for age (except where noted as excluded), whereas other analyses were not. In addition, we acknowledge the possibility that some of the SRNS patients may have had an underlying genetic cause of disease. However, because the sample collections began more than a decade ago, there were no provisions to screen them for monogenetic causes at that time. Despite this limitation, this study emphasizes differences between children with SRNS and SSNS that are clearly detectable at the time of clinical presentation, regardless of any underlying genetic causes. However, future metabolomics studies that could differentiate SRNS due to known genetic versus nongenetic causes are currently being planned in a broader patient cohort.

One of the major strengths of this study was the evaluation of paired samples from most patients, both before any GC treatment and following an average of approximately 7 weeks of daily oral GC. This enabled us to use multiple approaches (see Figure 1) to analyze and interpret the dataset, in terms of predicting, mechanistically defining, and differentiating biomarkers in children with SSNS versus SRNS. Notably, this exact same group of patient samples also has undergone plasma proteomics analyses, and we are now initiating studies to identify additional relevant molecular pathways and biomarkers of steroid resistance in NS using approaches to integrate the proteomics and metabolomics datasets.

Technically, NMR may not capture the richest possible dataset for this analysis because of its comparatively lower sensitivity. However, it offers a technical strength due to its nondestructive nature, superior stability, reproducibility, and chemical structure content of the spectra compared with other metabolomics techniques, and these factors were considered to be more important for the current studies. Another strength of the metabolomics approach is its ability to capture the emergent properties of a system (phenotype), because metabolism integrates inputs from genetics, regulatory or compensatory responses to stimuli, environmental exposures, and more. However, this pathway integration could result in metabolomic analyses being somewhat less specific than some other -omics methods regarding the mechanism of changes or identifying specific therapeutic targets.

In summary, the current studies identified several specific metabolite differences between children with SSNS versus SRNS at the time of disease onset, as well as following GC therapy, by using paired plasma samples obtained both before and after an average of approximately 7 weeks of daily oral GC. Because steroid resistance is a clinical feature also seen in many other diseases, further validation of our study results could greatly improve our ability to predict the risk of clinical steroid resistance at disease onset for patients presenting with any of the many diseases for which steroids are a primary treatment, including asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, autoimmune hepatitis, and other inflammatory conditions. In addition, these findings could improve our understanding of the molecular pathways and mechanisms that regulate the clinical response to GC, and thus help identify potential future molecular targets to improve the treatment of NS as well as other conditions treated with steroids.
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