New Monoclonal Antibodies against a Novel Subtype of Shiga Toxin 1 Produced by Enterobacter cloacae and Their Use in Analysis of Human Serum
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ABSTRACT Shiga toxin (Stx) is a major virulence factor of several bacterial pathogens that cause potentially fatal illness, including Escherichia coli and Shigella spp. The continual emergence of new subtypes of Stxs presents challenges for the clinical diagnosis of infections caused by Stx-producing organisms. Here, we report the development of four new monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) against Stx1e, a novel subtype of Stx1 that was produced by an Enterobacter cloacae strain and had limited reactivity with existing anti-Stx1 antibodies. Western blot analysis indicates that these MAbs were Stx1 specific, bound to the A subunit, and had distinct preferences for subtypes of Stx1. Of the four MAbs, Stx1e-2 was capable of partially neutralizing cytotoxicities derived from Stx1e in Vero cells. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays assembled with these high-affinity MAbs detected Stx1e at concentrations as low as 4.8 pg/ml in phosphate-buffered saline and 53.6 pg/ml in spiked human serum samples and were also capable of distinguishing Stx1e-producing strains in enriched cultures. These assays may therefore have clinical value in diagnosing Stx1e-producing bacterial infection. Additionally, characteristics of Stx1e, such as the origin of stx1e genes, conditions for toxin expression, receptor binding, and cytotoxicity, were investigated with the new antibodies developed in this study. This information should be useful for further understanding the clinical significance and prevalence of Stx1e-harboring E. cloacae and other organisms.

IMPORTANCE Stxs are among the most clinically important virulence factors of Shigella and enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli. There are many varieties of Stx, and although Stx1a and Stx2a are the most common and widely distributed types of Stx, new variants of Stx are continually emerging. These new variants of Stx can be challenging to detect, since most Stx detection kits are optimized for the detection of Stx1a and Stx2a. Stx1e, recently discovered in an atypical host (Enterobacter cloacae), is undetectable by many Stx assays. To formulate new assays for the detection of Stx1e, we generated four new MAbs that recognize this Stx subtype. Using these antibodies, we generated an assay capable of detecting Stx1e at low picogram-per-milliliter concentrations. This assay is also compatible with a human serum matrix, suggesting that it may have utility for the clinical detection and diagnosis of Stx1e-associated infections.
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Shiga toxin (Stx)-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) is a worldwide health concern affecting an estimated 265,000 United States citizens and about 3 million persons globally each year (1, 2). However, STEC is a harmless component of the natural flora.
of many ruminants (1, 3) and is therefore nearly ubiquitous in the environment. STEC infection has a variety of clinical outcomes, ranging from mild diarrhea to hemorrhagic colitis (bloody diarrhea) and potentially deadly hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) (4). Stx is among the most clinically relevant virulence factors of STEC and plays a critical role in the development of hemorrhagic colitis and HUS (4, 5). Two dissimilar types of Stx have evolved in *E. coli*, Stx1 (of which there are three subtypes, Stx1a, Stx1c, and Stx1d) and Stx2 (including seven subtypes, Stx2a through Stx2g) (6). All Stxs have similar macromolecular structures consisting of a single catalytic A subunit and five receptor-binding B subunits (an AB₅ configuration), but variations in immunological reactivity, receptor preference, and toxin potency were found among subtypes of Stxs (7–9) that present challenges for the detection of these toxins and evaluation of their prevalence, distribution, and clinical relevance.

The genes encoding Stxs are found mainly in the *Escherichia* and *Shigella* genera. They are usually carried by functional lambdoid phages (in the case of Stx2 and some Stx1 forms in *E. coli*) or now-defunct lambdoid remnants (in the case of *Shigella dysenteriae* Stx) (10). Because of the ability of lambdoid phages to infect other bacterial hosts within the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, stx genes have been discovered in a variety of bacteria, including *Citrobacter freundii* (11, 12), *Enterobacter cloacae* (13), *Acinetobacter haemolyticus* (14), *Aeromonas* sp. (15), and even the distantly related genus *Enterococcus* (16). However, the presence of stx genes in these atypical hosts waned after repeated subcultures, suggesting that the phages may not propagate efficiently within them or that the stx genes themselves are unstable.

Recently, the California Department of Public Health (Richmond, CA) identified an Stx1-producing *E. cloacae* strain, M12X01451, from a human clinical specimen (17). The case patient had nonbloody diarrhea and abdominal cramping that persisted for 5 days. Unlike the other atypical Stx hosts, *E. cloacae* strain M12X01451 was shown to be a stable carrier of an stx1 gene. The M12X01451 stx1 gene showed 87% amino acid sequence identity to stx1c, and the gene product was named Stx1e on the basis of sequence dissimilarity with known Stx1 subtypes and the recent nomenclature recommendations (17, 18). This Stx1e is the first new subtype of Stx1 to be discovered in an organism other than *Shigella* or *E. coli* (17). It was found to be toxic to Vero cells but was not neutralized by the commonly used 13C4 anti-Stx1 monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) and was recognized poorly by commercial Stx1 detection kits (17). This suggests that existing Stx1-specific antibodies may be of limited use in Stx1e analysis and that new antibodies and better diagnostic tools are needed for Stx1e. Here, we describe the generation of high-affinity MAbs that recognize Stx1e and their use for characterizing and detecting this toxin in human serum samples.

**RESULTS**

**Generation and characterization of MAbs against Stx1e.** Recombinant catalytically inactive Stx1e (E167Q) toxoid was expressed in *E. coli* and purified by multiple-step chromatography (including anion exchange, hydrophobic interaction, and gel filtration). The final product (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) was injected into mice. By standard hybridoma fusion techniques, splenocytes from immunized mice were fused to mouse myeloma cells (SP2/0). A total of 2,880 wells containing 10 to 100 hybridomas per well were screened for the ability to bind Stx1e toxoid. After three to five rounds of clonal selection and recovery, four hybridomas that produce high-affinity anti-Stx1e MAbs were selected for further characterization. The isotypes, dissociation

| Antibody | Isotype | Avg $K_D$ (10⁻⁹ M) ± SD | Subunit | Specificity¹ |
|----------|---------|--------------------------|---------|--------------|
| Stx1e-1  | IgG1, kappa | 5.2 ± 2.2               | A       | Stx1c, -d, -e |
| Stx1e-2  | IgG2a, kappa | 1.0 ± 0.2               | A       | Stx1a, -c, -e |
| Stx1e-3  | IgG1, kappa  | 2.6 ± 1.4               | A       | Stx1a, -c, -e |
| Stx1e-4  | IgG1, kappa  | NA                     | A       | Stx1a, -c, -d, -e |

¹Based on Western immunoblotting.
constants, and specificities of the antibodies produced by these four hybridoma cell lines are summarized in Table 1. All four antibodies were found to bind the A subunit of Stx1e toxoid in Western immunoblot assays (Fig. 1A). In addition to Stx1e, MAb Stx1e-1 also recognized Stx1c and Stx1d but not Stx1a toxoid. MAb Stx1e-2 detected Stx1a, Stx1c, and Stx1e but not Stx1d. MAb Stx1e-4 recognized all four subtypes of Stx1, although it detected Stx1a poorly. MAb Stx1e-3 had a unique specificity for only Stx1e. None of these MAbs cross-reacted with Stx2a. As an essential confirmation, these antibodies were tested by Western immunoblot assay for the ability to detect Stx1e produced by bacterial strain M12X01451. All four antibodies did recognize Stx1e in both cell lysate and cell-free medium, and as with many E. coli strains that express Stx1

**FIG 1** Stx1e antibody subtype and subunit specificity. (A) Western immunoblot assays with anti-Stx1e MAbs and purified toxoid (50 ng/lane). Stx1e antibodies were used at 1 μg/ml. (B) Western blot assays with anti-Stx1e MAbs on lysate or medium samples from *E. cloacae* MX1201451 induced with mitomycin C (MITO; final concentration, 50 ng/ml) or not induced, as indicated. (C) Direct ELISA of the toxoids indicated with 1 μg/ml toxoid and 1 μg/ml MAb or PAb.

---

**TABLE 1** Summary of antibody specificities

| Antibody   | Specificity                        |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Stx1e-1    | Stx1c, Stx1d                      |
| Stx1e-2    | Stx1a, Stx1c, Stx1e               |
| Stx1e-3    | Only Stx1e                        |
| Stx1e-4    | All Stx1 subtypes                 |

---
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Stx2, mitomycin C greatly increased the amount of Stx1e produced by *E. cloacae* M12X01451 (Fig. 1B).

The subtype specificity of these four MAbs was also tested by direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), and the results differed slightly from those of the Western immunoblot assays (this may be due to sensitivity differences or epitope linearity). Stx1e-1 and Stx1e-2 recognized all four subtypes of Stx1 (to various degrees) in direct ELISAs (Fig. 2C). Similar to the Western blot assays, none of the MAbs cross-reacted with Stx2a in direct ELISAs.

**Assays for Stx1e detection.** To select antibodies that could be used for sensitive detection of Stx1e in immunoassays, four MAbs plus one previously developed polyclonal antibody (PAb) against Stx1a (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material) were paired in all possible combinations and evaluated by sandwich ELISAs (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). Sandwich, or indirect, ELISAs are often more sensitive when applied to complex matrices because of the lack of

---

**FIG 2** ELISAs for Stx1e detection. (A) Detection of Stx1e by MAb sandwich ELISA. Capture MAbs were used at 5 μg/ml; detection MAbs were used at 1 μg/ml. (B) Detection of Stx1e by MAb Stx1e-2/PAb or PAb/Stx1e-2 sandwich ELISA. The capture antibody was used at 5 μg/ml; the detection antibody was used at 1 μg/ml. (C) Detection of Stx1e in M12X01451 medium and cell lysate with the PAb/Stx1e-2 antibody combination in sandwich ELISA. MITO, mitomycin C.
competition for plate binding. Antibody combinations PAb/Stx1e-2, Stx1e-2/PAb, and Stx1e-4/Stx1e-2 were superior to the others and were therefore further evaluated for the ability to recognize Stx1a, Stx1c, and Stx1d. The sandwich ELISA with MAb Stx1e-4/Stx1e-2 detected Stx1a and Stx1c very poorly but cross-reacted with Stx1d (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). The limit of detection for Stx1e with this ELISA was 2.3 ng/ml (Fig. 2A). The PAb/Stx1e-2 and Stx1e-2/PAb combinations were more sensitive and less specific, recognizing all four Stx1 subtypes (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material) with limits of detection (LODs) for Stx1e of 4.3 and 7.8 pg/ml, respectively (Fig. 2B).

Using purified Stx1e (E167Q) toxoid as a standard and a sandwich ELISA with the Stx1e-2/PAb antibody combination, we were able to estimate the amount of Stx1e present in M12X01451 cell-free culture medium. Stx1e was present at 7.7 ng/ml without mitomycin C induction and at 432.3 ng/ml with mitomycin C, an induction of 56.3-fold (Fig. 2C). However, the quantity of cell-free Stx1e was dwarfed by the amount remaining in the cells. Without mitomycin C induction, the cell lysate contained 545.8 ng/ml, and with induction, it contained 2.93 μg/ml, an increase of 5.4-fold. Such a dramatic induction upon mitomycin C treatment further reinforces the hypothesis that Stx1e expression is controlled by a phage promoter.

Stx1e is phage encoded, and the phage is active. Stx2 is encoded by an active lambdoid phage, and this enables its transfer among other pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains of E. coli (19). The Stx of Shigella spp. is also associated with phage sequences, but the phage is defective and can no longer undergo the lytic phase of its life cycle (10). Low concentrations of iron induce the expression of Stx in Shigella spp. (20). Some Stx1-containing phages are thought to be defective as well and are likewise inducible by low iron concentrations (21). To test whether the stx1e gene is part of the bacterial genomic DNA (gDNA), carried by a latent phage, or carried by an active phage, we determined whether we can detect the stx1e gene in filter-sterilized bacterial medium. An stx1e-specific PCR was developed by using regions within the stx1e A subunit DNA that are distinct among Stx1 subtypes (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Samples including filter-sterilized medium from Stx1e-expressing strain M12X01451 or the E. cloacae type strain (ATCC 13047) were treated with Benzonase prior to PCR to ensure that the medium contained no bacterial gDNA (or mRNA). Of the media of these three strains, only that of Stx1e-expressing M12X01451 showed an stx1e-positive band (Fig. 3). A control PCR of the genomic control gene wrbA yielded no band for the medium-containing Benzonase-treated samples (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material), which indicates that the filtered medium did not contain any intact bacterial cells. gDNA was absent as well: no PCR product was detected in samples containing M12X01451 gDNA pretreated with Benzonase. These results suggest that the stx1e gene is carried by an active phage.
Receptor preference and antibody neutralization of Stx1e cytotoxicity. Stx1e recognizes the globotriose saccharide on the surface of target cells, but unlike Stx2, the lipid anchor for the sugar does not affect its binding efficiency (22). Stx1a is therefore capable of binding both Gb3 and Gb4 (22). To test whether Stx1e has a similar receptor binding preference, we utilized MAbs developed in this study and bacterial cells that express Gb3-LPS or Gb4-LPS upon their surface or an empty vector as a control (23, 24). Stx1e (E167Q) bound to both Gb3- and Gb4-LPS, similar to the Stx1a (E167Q) control, which also bound to both sugars (Fig. 4A).

To determine the cytotoxicity of the Stx1e subtype, we treated Vero (green monkey kidney) cells with various concentrations of purified Stx1e (from strain M12X01451). The 50% cytotoxic dose (CD50) of Stx1e was determined to be 3.7 pg/ml, almost identical to the CD50 of Stx1a (4.2 pg/ml) (Fig. 4B; see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). To examine the ability of our anti-Stx1e antibodies to neutralize native Stx1e produced by strain M12X01451, Stx1e-containing bacterial supernatants were preincubated with antibodies before Vero cell treatment. Although most of the MAbs did not affect the toxicity of Stx1e-containing cell-free medium, 31% of the toxin was neutralized by Stx1e-2 and the Stx1 polyclonal fully neutralized Stx1e toxicity (Fig. 4C) at 10 μg/ml antibody. This mixture was then added to wells (seeded with 10^4 cells/well) and incubated for 1 h. This is an unsurprising result since A subunit-specific MAbs are frequently less effective at neutralizing Stx in cell culture than are B subunit-specific MAbs or PAbs. The ability of the Stx1 PAb to fully detoxify the M12X01451 culture supernatant suggests that no other toxins were present and that Stx1e was primarily responsible for toxicity to Vero cells.

**Receptor preference and antibody neutralization of Stx1e cytotoxicity.** Stx1 recognizes the globotriose saccharide on the surface of target cells, but unlike Stx2, the lipid anchor for the sugar does not affect its binding efficiency (22). Stx1a is therefore capable of binding both Gb3 and Gb4 (22). To test whether Stx1e has a similar receptor binding preference, we utilized MAbs developed in this study and bacterial cells that express Gb3-lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or Gb4-LPS upon their surface or an empty vector as a control (23, 24). Stx1e (E167Q) bound to both Gb3- and Gb4-LPS, similar to the Stx1a (E167Q) control, which also bound to both sugars (Fig. 4A).
Detection of Stx1e in human serum. Stxs are frequently associated with the complication of infections caused by Stx-producing organisms. The occurrence of bacterial strains expressing new subtypes of Stxs presents challenges for monitoring the level of serum Stxs and their relationship to the clinical course of bacterial infection toward HUS development. Since strain M12X01451 was originally isolated from a human patient (17), there could be diagnostic applications for an assay that detects Stx1e in human serum. Therefore, we evaluated whether one of our most sensitive assays (Stx1e-2 as a capture antibody, the Stx1 PAb for detection) is compatible with the human serum matrix. Purified Stx1e was used to spike pooled human serum diluted 10-fold in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). It was found that this Stx1e ELISA had considerable background noise (data not shown). However, when the detection PAb and goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibody were preincubated with human serum for 1 h at 25°C, the background became negligible (Fig. 5). The final LOD with this assay was 53.6 pg/ml.

**DISCUSSION**

As the first Stx to propagate stably within the clinically relevant organism *E. cloacae*, Stx1e is a prototype for non-*E. coli* (or *Shigella*) Stxs. Here, we report the development of four A subunit-specific MAbs that recognize Stx1e. Using these new molecular tools, we developed sensitive assays capable of detecting Stx1e at low picogram-per-milliliter concentrations. Utilizing these assays, we determined that mitomycin C induces Stx1e expression in *E. cloacae* M12X01451 and that most of the Stx1e in both induced and noninduced cultures is cell associated. These assays could have clinical diagnostic applications as well, since they are compatible with human serum. We additionally demonstrated affinity of Stx1e to its presumed receptor Gb3 (and Gb4) and measured its cytotoxicity to Vero cells. Finally, we provided evidence that the stx1e operon resides upon a mobile element, an active phage, suggesting that the stx1e genes could be common and providing information on the origin of this Stx1 subtype.

Although it is not thought to be a major cause of gastrointestinal illness, *E. cloacae* could be a larger contributor to food contamination than suspected. Many rapid diagnostic tests for foodborne pathogens focus on the common culprits (norovirus, *Salmonella*, *Listeria*, *E. coli*, and *Campylobacter*), and standard microbiological tools that detect and/or differentiate *E. coli* are often not analyzed for *E. cloacae* (MacConkey agar plates, for example). It is possible that intestinal *E. cloacae* infections are generally less severe than STEC infections and therefore may not result in hospital admission and go unreported. The presence of a phage-carried Stx in some strains of pathogenic *E. cloacae* could change the frequency of hospital admissions caused by *E. cloacae* gastro-
intestinal pathogens. It is even possible that the stx1e genes are an adaptation to the human or animal intestinal environment. Of course, the ability to detect Stx1e at low concentrations would help determine the prevalence of Stx-producing E. cloacae in the environment, agriculture, and ourselves.

The probability that the stx1e operon is carried upon an active phage and its similarity to other Stx1 subtypes provide clues to the origin of the stx1e operon. The stx1e operon has the greatest similarity to stx1c, but it has many unique polymorphisms in common with both stx1d and stx/stx1a/stx1c, although it is by far the most divergent Stx1 subtype (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Most likely, stx1e diverged from stx/stx1a/stx1c alongside stx1d briefly and then evolved separately for a time (see Fig. S7 in the supplemental material). A possible mechanism for the considerable divergence of stx1e from the other stx1 subtypes would be a change of the primary host. In this case, after the ancestral stx1-carrying phage adapted to stably infect E. cloacae, it evolved to stx1e. E. cloacae is common in the same environments as E. coli (part of the gut microbiota of humans and animals, as well as the areas where these animals live) (25), so it is likely that E. cloacae was exposed at some point to an stx-carrying phage. Since Stx1e is difficult to detect and not tested for, it is unknown how common Stx1e-expressing E. cloacae is. Therefore, it is difficult to predict whether the stx1e gene originated in ruminants or humans. However, if it can be proven that Stx1e gives E. cloacae a selective advantage against bacterial predators (such as Tetrahymena), it is more likely that Stx1e evolved in the environment or the intestines of ruminants. When more strains of Stx1e-expressing E. cloacae or E. coli surface, the question of Stx1e’s origin can be reevaluated.

The putative Stx1e phage itself is worthy of intense study. Atop the list of questions concerning the Stx1e phage is whether it has adapted to E. cloacae exclusively or if it is capable of infecting and disseminating the stx1e genes to other bacterial hosts. If the Stx1e phage is capable of infecting and propagating within E. coli, it is only a matter of time before STEC adds Stx1e to its repertoire of exotoxins. It may have happened already, since Stx1e is not routinely tested for and is difficult to detect by current methodologies. Similarly, it is possible that this phage originated in E. coli and is still present and undetected in some isolates, subsequently dispersing to E. cloacae as well.

An emerging Stx-producing E. cloacae pathogen and the new immunologically distinct Stx1e represent daunting challenges for health care, diagnostics, and food safety. However, these new antibodies and associated assays should prove capable of meeting these new challenges. The Stx1e-detecting assays developed in this study can be easily adapted to commercial assay platforms and made available for clinical diagnostic use. The availability of assays for Stx1e will be useful for determining the abundance of Stx1e-producing pathogens and addressing problems that these pathogens may cause.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains used in this study.** The strains used in this study are described in Table 2.

| Strain | Genus and species | Biomolecule expressed | Origin | Reference |
|--------|------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------|
| M12X01451 | E. cloacae | Stx1e | Clinical | 17 |
| ATCC 13047 | E. cloacae | No Stx | Clinical | |
| Stx1e (E167Q) | E. coli | Stx1e (E167Q) | Laboratory | This study |
| Stx1a (E167Q) | E. coli | Stx1a (E167Q) | Laboratory | 27 |
| Stx1c (E167Q) | E. coli | Stx1c (E167Q) | Laboratory | NA* |
| Stx1d (E167Q) | E. coli | Stx1d (E167Q) | Laboratory | NA |
| RM10638 | E. coli | Stx2a | Cow (2009) | 29 |
| ATCC 29922 | E. coli | No Stx | Clinical | |

*NA, not available.

**Cloning, expression, and purification of Stx1e (E167Q) toxoid.** To generate a nontoxic immunogen, the E167Q point mutation was introduced into the A subunit of Stx1e by mutagenic PCR (26). The stx1e (E167Q) operon (including the A and B subunits of Stx1e) was constructed by mutagenic PCR (see Table 2).
Positive fractions from hydrophobic interaction chromatography were then purified with a MAb Stx1e-2 as with the Stx1e toxoid [see cloning, expression, and purification of Stx1e (E167Q) toxoid above].

**Immunization and hybridoma preparation, fusion, and screening.** SP2/0 myeloma cells and splenocytes were grown and prepared as previously described (6). Mice received a total of three intraperitoneal injections of 5 μg of Stx1e (E167Q) in the Sigma adjuvant system (Sigma-Aldrich) at 2-week intervals. Two weeks after the third injection, mice were boosted with 0.1 μg/mouse Stx1e (E167Q) in sterile PBS. Four days later, mice were sacrificed by rapid cervical dislocation, their spleens were excised, and splenocytes were harvested as previously described (6). Hybridomas were fused as previously described (6). Briefly, fusions of SP2/0 myeloma cells and splenocytes were achieved in accordance with a polyethylene glycol-based protocol. Clonal hybridoma lines were then obtained with three to five rounds of cloning by limited dilution, regrowth, and screening. Screening was conducted by direct ELISA.

**ELISAs.** Hybridoma screening ELISAs were conducted as previously described, with 100 ng/ml Stx1e (E167Q) as the plate-binding antigen and blocking buffer containing 5% nonfat dry milk in PBST (PBS with 0.5% Tween 20) (6). Sandwich ELISAs were also performed as previously described (6), with 5 μg/ml capture antibody, 1 μg/ml detection antibody, and blocking buffer containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBST. Antibodies used for detection were either an unconjugated PAb or a biotinylated MAb. A compatible HRP conjugate (streptavidin-HRP or goat anti-rabbit–HRP) at 0.2 μg/ml in BSA-PBST was then added to the ELISA well for a 1-h incubation. ELISAs were developed with SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce). All of the ELISAs presented in the figures shown here were performed at least three times, and a representative ELISA is shown. Human serum was purchased from Innovative Research, Inc.

**Western immunoblot assays.** Western immunoblot assays were conducted as previously described (6). Pure toxin/toxoid and cell-free medium samples were incubated at 72°C for 10 min in 1× NuPage lithium dodecyl sulfate (LDS) loading buffer and then run on a 4 to 12% NuPAGE Novex Bis-Tris minigel (Invitrogen). Cell lysate and cell-free medium samples were generated by centrifuging 100 μl of culture, removing the medium to a separate tube, adding LDS buffer to each sample (25 μl of 4× LDS for the medium sample, 125 μl of 1× LDS for the cell pellet), and then heating it at 95°C for 10 min at 1,400 rpm. Five microliters of each sample was then loaded onto a gel. The proteins were then transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (pore size, 0.45 μm; Amersham Hybond-P), blocked with 2% ECL compatible HRP conjugate (streptavidin-HRP or goat anti-rabbit–HRP) at 0.2 μg/ml in BSA-PBST was then added to the ELISA well for a 1-h incubation. ELISAs were developed with SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce). All of the ELISAs presented in the figures shown here were performed at least three times, and a representative ELISA is shown. Human serum was purchased from Innovative Research, Inc.

**Vero cell cytotoxicity and antibody neutralization assays.** Vero cells were prepared and grown as previously described (28). Before the cytotoxicity assays were conducted, the cells were trypsinized, diluted to 10⁵/ml, dispensed into 96-well cell culture-treated plates, and then incubated for 24 h. Filter-sterilized mitomycin C-induced M12X01451 culture medium or pure Stx1e toxin was diluted in fresh Vero medium (0.1 μl of medium/well for cell-free medium). Purified Stx1a from a crude preparation...
(Toxin Technologies, subjected to affinity purification) (27) was used as a control. MAbs were preincubated at 10 μg/ml with toxin-containing medium for 1 h at RT before the mixture was added to the Vero cells. The medium in the Vero cell assay plate was then removed and replaced with the Stx1e and/or MAb-containing mixture (100 μl/well). Twenty-four hours after treatment, the Vero cells were lysed with 100 μl/well CellTitre-Glo reagent (Promega) diluted 1:3 in PBS with 3 min of shaking. Luminescence was measured with a Victor II plate reader. All Vero cell toxicity assays were conducted three times with similar results. Photographs were obtained from replicate wells with a Cytation 3 module at ×200 magnification.

**PCR and Benzonase treatment.** With the exception of those used to construct the Stx1e (E167Q) toxoid, all of the PCR samples contained filter-sterilized (0.2 μm) medium from M12X01451 or *E. cloacae* (ATCC 13047). Benzonase treatment consisted of adding 2.5 U of Benzonase to 50 μl of filtered medium and incubating it at 37°C for 15 min. Samples were then concentrated with a 100-kDa Amicon filter and washed. stx1e colony PCRs were conducted with the GoTaq Green master mix (Promega) and primers stx1e-spec-F1 and stx1e-spec-R1 (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). wrbA PCRs were conducted with GoTaq Green and primers wrbA-F1 and wrbA-R1 (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). gDNA (a total of 6 ng per sample from strain M12X01451) was used to spike control samples. A 10-min incubation step at 95°C was included to denature all viral or bacterial DNA before PCR. This was followed by 30 cycles of 1 min each of denaturation at 95°C, annealing at 55°C, and extension at 72°C. PCRs were analyzed by gel electrophoresis with 0.8% agarose in Tris-acetate-EDTA and visualized under UV light with GelRed (Biotium).

**Antibody affinity measurements.** The affinity of the MAbs for Stx1e (E167Q) was measured with an Octet QK® system (fortéBIO, Menlo Park, CA) as previously described (6). Biotinylated MAbs were bound to streptavidin biosensors at 10 μg/ml in PBS. Stx1e (E167Q) was then incubated with the sensors at different concentrations (142, 71, 36, and 18 nM) and then allowed to dissociate in PBS. Dissociation constants (K_D values) were calculated with the Octet QK® software (Data acquisition 7.0).

**Receptor binding assay.** Gb3/Gb4 binding assays were conducted as previously described (28). Briefly, fixed *E. coli* cells expressing Gb3-LPS, Gb4-LPS, or a control were diluted to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.05 in carbonate buffer (0.1 M NaCO_3, pH 9.6), and 100 μl was bound to the wells of an ELISA plate by incubation at 50°C until all of the liquid had evaporated. Wells were then blocked with 5% milk-PBST for 1 h. Stx1e was then added at the indicated concentrations, and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at RT. MAb Stx1e-2 at a 1/1,000 dilution in blocking buffer was then added, and the mixture was incubated for 1 h. Goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP at 1/5,000 was then added, and the mixture was incubated for 1 h. The signal was detected with SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Scientific), and plates were read on a Victor II plate reader (PerkinElmer). Wells were washed six times with PBST between incubations.
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