Music Therapy in Pain and Anxiety Management during Labor: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract: Background and Objective: The study of music therapy in labor is unknown. The main objective of this research was to evaluate the effectiveness of music therapy to manage pain and anxiety during labor. Materials and Methods: A search strategy was used with PubMed/MEDLINE, LILACS, Cochrane, TRIPDATABASE, and Google Scholar. The selection criteria were based on randomized clinical trials; quasi-experimental research on pain intensity and anxiety during labor was evaluated. The primary outcomes were measured by the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). A meta-analysis of the fixed effects was performed using mean differences (MD). Twelve studies were included for the final analysis, six (778 women) of which were meta-analyzed. Results: Decreased VAS scores for pain intensity associated with music therapy were found in the latent (MD: −0.73; 95% CI −0.99; −0.48) and active (MD: −0.68; 95% CI −0.92; −0.44) phases of labor. VAS scores for anxiety decreased both in the latent (MD: −0.74; 95% CI −1.00; −0.48) and active (MD: −0.76; 95% CI −0.88; −0.64) phases. Conclusion: Music therapy seems to have beneficial effects on pain intensity and anxiety during labor, especially for women giving birth for the first time. However, the evidence is qualified as low.
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1. Introduction

The World Federation of Music Therapy defines music therapy as the use of music and/or musical elements (sound, rhythm, melodies, or harmonies) to ease and promote communication, relationships, learning, movement, expression, organization, and other relevant therapeutic objectives, thereby solving physical, emotional, mental, social, and cognitive needs [1]. Both medicine and music are capable of being used in order to improve the human condition and their union gives rise to so-called music therapy—that is, therapy through music [2]. It is currently used as a complementary therapy for physical, mental, and surgical procedures [3]. Music therapy seeks to develop, in a culturally accepted way, the potential and/or abilities of patients, in an effort to ensure better intrapersonal/interpersonal integration and subsequent improved quality of life through prevention, rehabilitation, and treatment [4].

The effects of music therapy have been studied for the gestation and delivery periods. Studies have shown promising results of decreasing levels of anxiety and stress in the mother [5,6], and improved fetal parameters (such as heart beat variability) [7]. However, these results cannot be extrapolated to
the general population due to the degree of variability between the studies, low number of patients evaluated, and the risk of bias [8].

Peru recognizes music therapy as a part of its services provided during obstetrical psycho-prophylaxis, especially during sessions that instruct the mother on how to prepare for childbirth and the postpartum period [9], and also as mind–body therapy is included in the health system [10]. In pursuit of comprehensive and timely care during childbirth, this systematic review and meta-analysis seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of music therapy in the management of pain and anxiety during labor.

This study sought to answer the following research questions: (a) How effective is music therapy in pain and anxiety management during labor? (b) How effective is music therapy in reducing pain and anxiety, when compared to standard care? (c) How effective is music therapy in alleviating pain, as measured by lowered vital signs—i.e., heart rate, respiration rate, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure), when compared to standard care? (d) Is there a difference in the effectiveness of music therapy in reducing pain and anxiety in primiparous women during the active and latent phases, when compared to standard care?

2. Materials and Methods

Previous protocol was not registered or published for this systematic review and meta-analysis. We used the PICOT framework (Patients, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, Type of study) to guide our eligibility criteria. This systematic review and meta-analysis included randomized clinical trials and/or quasi-experimental studies with complete and accessible information. These studies evaluated the effect of music therapy in the final months of pregnancy on labor, compared to the control groups (without music therapy or offered other types of therapies). Observational studies, case reports, and book chapters were excluded. We considered studies included women with no health problems, and no discrepancy in gestation number, age, type of pregnancy (single or multiple), or delivery method (vaginal or Caesarean section). The primary outcomes evaluated were pain intensity and anxiety, measured by Visual Analog Scale (VAS). Additionally, other types of outcomes were considered; in the case of the mother, satisfaction, depression, systolic or diastolic blood pressure, medication use, maternal heart rate; in the case of the newborn, Apgar, heart rate, admission to the intensive care unit (ICU). Finally, information on safety and/or adverse events was also collected. Only studies in English or Spanish were included.

The systematic search of the scientific literature was carried out using bibliographic databases such as PubMed/Medline, LILACS, and COCHRANE, and search engines such as TRIPDATABASE and Google Scholar. The use of keywords, descriptors, and Boolean operators was sought, covering everything published from 2003 until June 2018—84 studies were thus obtained. An additional 11 studies were identified from secondary references and gray literature. On eliminating duplicates, 91 studies were finally selected.

The studies were selected by two reviewers, part of the research team (E.N.T.-L. and M.S.), who independently evaluated the studies according to inclusion criteria and organized them by title and summary using EndNote X7.2.1® Reference Manager. Any doubts or disagreements were resolved between the reviewers, and a third opinion was requested by the team investigator (R.S.-A.) in the case of a disagreement.

The data were extracted independently by the reviewers, followed by a second review by the research team in order to improve and guarantee the quality of the process. Subsequently, the risk of bias was assessed using a quality tool validated by The Cochrane Collaboration® [11]. The studies were rated as low risk, high risk, or uncertain risk of bias in six domains: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and staff (detection bias), blinding of outcome assessors (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), and selective reporting of results (reporting bias).
With the help of the Review Manager (RevMan), version 5.3, and the Cochrane Collaboration®, we figured a meta-analysis of fixed effects for the main outcomes, obtaining mean differences (MD) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI); an effect with a $p < 0.05$ value was considered statistically significant. The I² statistic and chi-square tests were used to measure heterogeneity. Additionally, summary tables were used to interpret the findings, using the GRADE criteria to classify the quality of the evidence found (high, moderate, low, or very low).

Finally, narrative evaluations of the findings were carried out in subgroups—number of pregnancies, route of birth, type of music used, phase of delivery, and postpartum stage (puerperium).

3. Results

Between 2003 and June 2018, 91 studies were identified using a search strategy. Of these, 62 were excluded, and 12 studied that met all the criteria (all in English) were finally chosen (Figure 1) for this research work. More than half (9/12, 58.3%) of the evaluated patients had a normal delivery. In some studies, the control group was offered another form of intervention; for example, massages (33.3%). However, the majority of studies (8/12, 66.7%) used standard therapy or care as a control, as per the place where the study was conducted (Table 1).

![Figure 1. PRISMA flow chart.](image-url)
Table 1. General characteristics of the studies included in this systematic review.

| Study                | n  | Comparator                  | Patients   | Type of Delivery | Kind of Music                                                                 | Evaluation               |
|----------------------|----|-----------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Gokyildiz (2018) [12]| 50 | Conventional therapy        | Primiparous| Normal delivery  | Acemasiran                                                                      | Labor (active phase) and postpartum |
| Dehcheshmeh (2015) [13]| 90 | Hoku point ice massage and conventional therapy | Primiparous| Normal delivery  | Sounds of the sea                                                              | Labor (active phase)     |
| Simavli (2014) [14]  | 156| Conventional therapy        | Primiparous| Both of them     | Classical, Turkish artistic music, Turkish folklore, Turkish classical and popular music | Labor (latent phase and active phase and postpartum) |
| Simavli (2014) [15]  | 161| Conventional therapy        | Primiparous| Normal delivery  | Classical, light, popular, artistic Turkish or Turkish folk music and Turkish Sufi music. | Postpartum               |
| Hosseini (2013) [16] | 30 | Conventional therapy        | Primiparous| Normal delivery  | Relaxing music                                                                  | Labor (active phase)     |
| Li (2012) [17]       | 60 | Conventional therapy        | Not specified| Cesarean delivery | Not specified                                                                  | Post caesarean            |
| Liu (2010) [18]      | 103| Conventional therapy        | Primiparous| Normal delivery  | Five types of relaxing music                                                   | Labor (latent phase and active phase) |
| Taghinejad (2010) [19]| 101| Massage                     | Primiparous| Normal delivery  | Classic                                                                        | Labor (active phase)     |
| Kimber (2008) [20]   | 90 | Massage                     | Both of them| Both of them     | Not specified                                                                  | Labor (active phase)     |
| Ebneseshahi (2008) [21]| 77 | Conventional therapy        | Not specified| Cesarean delivery | Not specified                                                                  | Post Caesarean            |
| Reza (2007) [22]     | 100| White music                 | Not specified| Cesarean delivery | Spanish guitar                                                                 | Post Caesarean            |
| Phumdoong (2003) [23] | 110| Conventional therapy        | Primiparous| Normal delivery  | Five types of soft music                                                        | Labor (active phase)     |

Most of the studies were found to be of moderate to low quality, with an uncertain level of bias, as the masking and randomization procedure was generally not described (Figure 2).

3.1. Effect of Music Therapy on Labor Pain

With respect to studies that only evaluated primiparous women, the results highlight the benefits of music therapy, as compared to standard therapy, although the intervention should be measured heterogeneously. Despite this, the meta-analysis showed significant differences in VAS scores, favoring music therapy in the intensity of latent pain (MD: −0.73; 95% CI −0.99; −0.48); in the active phase (MD: −0.68; 95% CI −0.92; −0.44) in its entirety or during the first phase (MD: −1.71; 95% CI −2.65; −0.77) and second hour postintervention (MD: −2.90; 95% CI −3.79; −2.01) (Figure 3).

Only two studies evaluated the use of music therapy in the immediate postpartum period (14, 15). These studies showed statistically significant results from immediate to 24 h postpartum; however, the heterogeneity and presence of bias made it impossible to perform a meta-analysis. On the other hand, only one study evaluated changes in pain intensity with music therapy [20], and no significant differences were found during labor; however, the specific time of labor, how the intervention was performed, or how long it was evaluated for was not specified.

Only three studies evaluated the effect of music therapy on the intensity of post-Cesarean pain [17,21,22], finding significant decreases in VAS—between 1.6 and 1.9. However, heterogeneity in investigational methods prevented a meta-analysis from being conducted.
3.1. Effect of Music Therapy on Labor Pain

With respect to studies that only evaluated primiparous women, the results highlight the benefits of music therapy, as compared to standard therapy, although the intervention should be measured heterogeneously. Despite this, the meta-analysis showed significant differences in VAS scores, favoring music therapy in the intensity of latent pain (MD: −0.73; 95% CI −0.99; −0.48); in the active phase (MD: −0.68; 95% CI −0.92; −0.44) in its entirety or during the first phase (MD: −1.71; 95% CI −2.65; −0.77) and second hour postintervention (MD: −2.90; 95% CI −3.79; −2.01) (Figure 3).

3.2. Effect of Music Therapy on Anxiety during Labor

Of the twelve studies included in this systematic review (RS), eight evaluated anxiety levels (66.7%). All the studies that evaluated the effect of music therapy on the level of anxiety had regular care as control.

In primiparous patients in labor, four studies evaluated the outcome of anxiety; a meta-analysis was conducted with three of them (14,15,18). The results revealed significant differences in the use of music therapy in the latent phase (MD: −0.74; 95% CI −1.00; −0.48) and in the active phase of labor (MD: −0.76; 95% CI −0.88; −0.64) (Figure 4). Likewise, similar to the pain results, one study evaluated the differences in anxiety levels measured with a VAS in the postpartum period in primiparous patients, finding significant differences in the benefits of music therapy one hour to 24 h postpartum [12].

In three studies, differences in anxiety after a Cesarean section as a result of music therapy in the preoperative period were evaluated [17,21,22]. A study by Li et al. [15] found a decrease in anxiety symptoms up to 6 h in the postoperative period; however, this variable was measured with the
self-reported anxiety scale (SAS score). On the other hand, studies by Ebnesahidi et al. [21] and de Reza et al. [22] found no significant differences in assessing anxious symptoms using VAS.

3.3. Quality of Evidence Found

The evidence gathered in respect to the effect of music therapy on labor pain was found to be of low quality, except for the subgroup of patients evaluated during the active phase, where the evidence in favor of music therapy was rated as moderate.

1. **Latent phase of labor**

| Study or Subgroup | music therapy | usual care | Mean Difference | N/Rand, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Liu 2010          | 4.83 ± 2.67   | 3.0 ± 1.02 | -1.81          | -2.61 to -1.01 |
| Simari 2014        | 4.16 ± 0.75   | 4.9 ± 0.92 | -1.76          | -2.52 to -0.99 |

Total (95% CI): -1.81
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.00$, $Q = 1 (P = 0.36)$, $I^2 = 0$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.93 (P < 0.0001)$

2. **Active phase of labor**

| Study or Subgroup | music therapy | usual care | Mean Difference | N/Rand, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Liu 2010          | 6.17 ± 1.02   | 7.0 ± 1.21 | -0.83          | -1.50 to 0.04  |
| Simari 2014        | 5.99 ± 0.83   | 7.4 ± 0.71 | -1.41          | -2.10 to -0.72 |

Total (95% CI): -0.83
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.03$, $Q = 5.74$, $df = 2 (P = 0.06)$, $I^2 = 65$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.55 (P < 0.0001)$

2.a. **First hour post intervention during active phase**

| Study or Subgroup | music therapy | usual care | Mean Difference | N/Rand, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Hosseini 2013     | 5.67 ± 1.97   | 7.6 ± 1.12 | -1.93          | -3.14 to -0.72 |
| Phurnbong 2003    | 64.73 ± 15.67 | 70.95 ± 15.86 | -6.22 | -12.12 to 0.02 |
| Sunary 2018       | 3.26 ± 0.48   | 4.56 ± 0.71 | -1.26          | -2.12 to -0.44 |

Total (95% CI): -1.93
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.27$, $Q = 3.42$, $df = 2 (P = 0.19)$, $I^2 = 65$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.57 (P < 0.0001)$

2.b. **Second hour post intervention during active phase**

| Study or Subgroup | music therapy | usual care | Mean Difference | N/Rand, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Hosseini 2013     | 5.8 ± 1.20    | 7.8 ± 0.87 | -2.03          | -3.55 to -0.51 |
| Phurnbong 2003    | 70.93 ± 20.27 | 80.05 ± 14.42 | -9.12 | -16.09 to -2.16 |
| Sunary 2018       | 2.64 ± 0.57   | 5.32 ± 1.99 | -2.68          | -3.46 to -1.91 |

Total (95% CI): -2.03
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.29$, $Q = 4.13$, $df = 2 (P = 0.13)$, $I^2 = 65$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.69 (P < 0.0001)$

2.c. **Third hour post intervention during active phase**

| Study or Subgroup | music therapy | usual care | Mean Difference | N/Rand, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Phurnbong 2003    | 78.13 ± 18.72 | 86.28 ± 11.87 | -8.15 | -15.94 to -0.36 |
| Sunary 2018       | 2.9 ± 0.57    | 5.78 ± 2.36 | -2.88          | -3.64 to -2.12 |

Total (95% CI): -8.15
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.57$, $Q = 5.41$, $df = 1 (P = 0.02)$, $I^2 = 65$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.75 (P = 0.03)$

Figure 3. Meta-analysis of the effect of music therapy on the intensity of pain during the latent and active phases of labor.
Similarly, for the outcome that evaluated the effect of this intervention on anxiety during labor, the quality of the evidence was rated as moderate when the studies investigated the effect of music therapy during the active phase (Table 2).

3.2. Effect of Music Therapy on Anxiety During Labor

Of the twelve studies included in this systematic review (RS), eight evaluated anxiety levels (66.7%). All the studies that evaluated the effect of music therapy on the level of anxiety had regular care as control.

In primiparous patients in labor, four studies evaluated the outcome of anxiety; a meta-analysis was conducted with three of them (14,15,18). The results revealed significant differences in the use of music therapy in the latent phase (MD: $-0.74; 95\% \text{ CI} = -1.00$ to $-0.48$) and in the active phase of labor (MD: $-0.76; 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.88$ to $-0.64$) (Figure 4). Likewise, similar to the pain results, one study evaluated the differences in anxiety levels measured with a VAS in the postpartum period in primiparous patients, finding significant differences in the benefits of music therapy one hour to 24 h postpartum [12].

Figure 4. Meta-analysis on the effect of music therapy on anxiety during the latent and active phases of labor.

3.4. Other Secondary Outcomes

Only one study addressed the effect of music therapy on the scores of the Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS), finding significant differences in favor of this therapy in assessments on the first and eighth days of the puerperium period [14].

On the other hand, four studies reported results on medication consumption: Kimber et al. [14] reported a 10% decrease in the use of medicine, compared to those who received standard therapy [20]; however, this decline was not statistically significant. In the remaining three studies, a specific group of analgesics was addressed—Ebneshahidi et al. [21] found that morphine use 30 min after a Cesarean section was significantly lower in the group that received music therapy ($p < 0.05$) [22]. In addition, Simavli et al. [15] showed a lower consumption of paracetamol and diclofenac in the postoperative period (between 8–24 h) in patients receiving music therapy (15).

In the case of maternal cardiovascular parameters (blood pressure and heart rate), the studies had conflicting results. For example, Simavli et al. [15] showed promising results in respect to a decrease in maternal heart rate and systolic blood pressure in the active phase and up to two hours postpartum, compared to those receiving usual care [15]. The studies by Gokyildiz et al. [12] and Ebneshahidi et al. [21] also showed favorable results, but were not statistically significant [12,21].

Finally, only the study by Gokyildiz et al., 2018, evaluated the evolution of fetal heart rate in women who had received music therapy in the active phase (1–7 h), without finding significant differences [12].

3.5. Safety and Adverse Effects of Music Therapy

None of the 12 studies reported any adverse effects or unfavorable outcomes with music therapy during labor.
| Outcomes                                      | Absolute Anticipated Effects * (95% CI)                                                                 | Relative Effect (95% CI) | No. of Participants (Studies) | Certainty of the Evidence (GRADE) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Labor Pain**                                |--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Latent labor pain                             | The average pain during latent labor in the intervention group was 0.73 lower (0.99 less than 0.48 less) | -                        | 2 Randomized controlled experiments (RCTs) |  ⬤⬤⬤ VERY LOW                   |
| Active labor pain                             | The mean pain in the active phase of labor in the intervention group was 0.68 lower (0.92 less than 0.44 less) | -                        | 3 RCTs                        |  ⬤⬤⬤ LOW                         |
| Pain in the first hour postintervention during the active phase of labor | The average pain in the first hour after intervention during the active phase of labor in the intervention group was 1.71 lower (2.65 less than 0.77 less) | -                        | 3 RCTs                        |  ⬤⬤ Low                          |
| Pain in the second hour postintervention during the active phase of labor | The average pain in the second hour postintervention during the active phase of labor in the intervention group was 2.9 lower (3.79 less than 2.01 less) | -                        | 3 RCTs                        |  ⬤⬤ Low                          |
| Pain in the third hour postintervention during the active phase of labor | The average pain in the third hour postintervention during the active phase of labor in the intervention group was 6.04 lower (12.8 lower than 0.71 higher) | -                        | 2 RCTs                        |  ⬤⬤ Low                          |
| **Anxiety during Labor**                      |--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Latent labor anxiety                          | The average latent phase anxiety of labor in the intervention group was 0.74 lower (1 lower than 0.48 lower) | -                        | 2 RCTs                        |  ⬤⬤⬤ VERY LOW                   |
| Anxiety in active phase of labor              | The average anxiety during labor phase in the intervention group was 0.76 lower (0.88 lower than 0.64 lower) | -                        | 3 RCTs                        |  ⬤⬤⬤ MODERATE                    |

* The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the risk assumed in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% confidence interval). CI: Confidence Interval; Grades of evidence from the GRADE Working Group; High: High confidence in the match between the actual and estimated effect; Moderate: Moderate confidence in the estimation of the effect. There is a possibility that the actual effect is far from the estimated effect; Low: Limited confidence in the estimation of the effect. The actual effect may be far from the estimate; Very low: Little confidence in the estimated effect. The true effect is most likely different from the estimate.
4. Discussion

This review highlights the benefits of music therapy in the management of pain and anxiety levels, especially in primiparous women, during the latent and active phases of labor. However, the heterogeneity and degrees of bias prevent us from detecting significant and clinically important differences (as compared to the control) that allow a clear recommendation of this practice.

In the case of variation in labor pain intensity, the results are consistent with those reported by Smith et al. [24], who addressed pain management by comparing music therapy and therapeutic massages [24]. On the other hand, Smith et al. [8], in a more recent systematic review, found discrete effects of music therapy on pain intensity, finding a difference in means (MD) of $-0.73$ (95% CI $-1.01$; $-0.45$) by conducting a meta-analysis of two studies (192 women) [8]. In comparison with the systematic review, this paper addresses three studies (372 women) and evaluates the effects by phases of labor, finding an MD of $-0.73$ (95% CI $-0.99$; $-0.48$) in the latent phase and $-0.68$ (95% CI $-0.92$; $-0.44$) in the active phase.

Regarding anxiety management, we did not find systematic reviews that evaluated the effect of music therapy on this variable. However, it should be noted that this is a fairly well-reported study variable in previous studies and in those published after the search period established for this paper. For instance, Garcia et al. [5], in a recent study, reports statistically significant decreases in the anxiety levels of pregnant women who performed a non-stressful test (NST) [5] in the third trimester. However, Teckenberg et al. [7], when evaluating the effect of this intervention on hospitalized pregnant women, found significant results only in patients who had high levels of anxiety prior to the intervention [7].

The secondary outcomes also showed contradictory results; however, the quality of the evidence found and heterogeneity in data collection did not allow for the realization of a meta-analysis or the elaboration of conclusive conclusions about the effects of music therapy on these outcomes.

It is important to note that the analysis by subgroups, despite not having achieved an increase in the possibilities of meta-analysis, offers characteristics not found in other studies. The study of intervening factors, such as labor phase, number of previous deliveries, and delivery method, allows the obtained results to be applied (with corresponding limitations) to a real clinical context in health facilities that offer these services. Therefore, it is recommended that future revisions consider these analyses in their designs and expand them according to the need observed in their populations.

Another limitation of this study is that within its objectives, it was not possible to evaluate the true effect of music therapy on the duration of labor phases; thus, future studies should consider collecting information on this variable.

The quality of the evidence found was the greatest limitation in obtaining conclusions that could fully answer the research question, as the GRADE evaluation rated the evidence as being of low to moderate quality, mainly due to heterogeneity levels and the high risk of bias found. Therefore, it is recommended that more randomized clinical trials with better quality are carried out, and that comply with reporting bias control systems (or, if unavoidable, all possible biases should enable a more real rating of the quality of evidence being reported).

Finally, no adverse effects or unfavorable outcomes of the complementary therapy were found, implying that it can be recommended to attending physicians as an addition to routine treatment, as long as health establishments have the required environments and professionals to provide the service.

5. Conclusions

This paper concludes that music therapy could have beneficial effects on the management of pain and anxiety during labor, preferably for primiparous women. However, the risk of inclusion of biases and limited number of trials prevents a strong recommendation from being made.
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