High-throughput screening on cochlear organoids identifies VEGFR-MEK-TGFB1 signaling promoting hair cell reprogramming

Qing Liu,1 Linqing Zhang,1 Min-Sheng Zhu,1 and Guoqiang Wan1,2,3,4,*

1MOE Key Laboratory of Model Animal for Disease Study, Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, The Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Medical School, Model Animal Research Center of Medical School, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210032, China
2Research Institute of Otolaryngology, No. 521 Zhongshan Road, 210008 Nanjing, China
3Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Molecular Medicine, Medical School of Nanjing University, Nanjing 210032, China
4Institute for Brain Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210032, China
*Correspondence: guoqiangwan@nju.edu.cn
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2021.08.010

SUMMARY

Hair cell degeneration is a major cause of sensorineural hearing loss. Hair cells in mammalian cochlea do not spontaneously regenerate, posing a great challenge for restoration of hearing. Here, we establish a robust, high-throughput cochlear organoid platform that facilitates 3D expansion of cochlear progenitor cells and differentiation of hair cells in a temporally regulated manner. High-throughput screening of the FDA-approved drug library identified regorafenib, a VEGFR inhibitor, as a potent small molecule for hair cell differentiation. Regorafenib also promotes reprogramming and maturation of hair cells in both normal and neomycin-damaged cochlear explants. Mechanistically, inhibition of VEGFR suppresses TGFB1 expression via the MEK pathway and TGFB1 downregulation directly mediates the effect of regorafenib on hair cell reprogramming. Our study not only demonstrates the power of a cochlear organoid platform in high-throughput analyses of hair cell physiology but also highlights VEGFR-MEK-TGFB1 signaling crosstalk as a potential target for hair cell regeneration and hearing restoration.

INTRODUCTION

Hearing loss is the most common sensory defect that affects more than 320 million people worldwide (Olusanya et al., 2014). Degeneration of the cochlear sensory hair cells is the primary cause of sensorineural hearing loss, which may arise from genetic defects, noise exposure, aging, ototoxicity, and other environmental insults (Wang and Puel, 2018). Upon ototoxic or noise damage, birds and amphibians can regenerate the lost hair cells either by direct trans-differentiation or asymmetric division of the surrounding supporting cells (Warchol, 2011). However, in the postnatal mammalian cochlea, although multiple cochlear supporting cells exist, these cells are post-mitotic and do not regenerate hair cells, thus leading to permanent hearing loss when hair cells are damaged (Rubel et al., 2013).

Attempts have been made to induce proliferation and differentiation of the otherwise quiescent mammalian supporting cells, among which the LGR5+ supporting cells are the most attractive candidates (Jansson et al., 2015). LGR5 is a WNT co-receptor and a well-recognized progenitor cell marker in multiple tissues, including intestine, liver, and skin (Barker et al., 2013). WNT activation of the LGR5+ supporting cells leads to spontaneous hair cell conversion in neonatal cochlea (Bramhall et al., 2014; Samarajeewa et al., 2019), which can be further promoted by induction of ATOH1 (Kuo et al., 2015), reduction of SOX2 (Atkinson et al., 2018), or inhibition of NOTCH (Bramhall et al., 2014). However, functional recovery remains a significant challenge, as either the induced hair cells are immature and die eventually or the hair cell induction fails to occur beyond 1 week of age (Samarajeewa et al., 2019). Although NOTCH inhibition has been shown to promote functional hair cell trans-differentiation in adult in vivo, the efficiency remains low and hair cells are regenerated at the expense of supporting cells (Mizutari et al., 2013). Therefore, additional signals and pathways required for efficient hair cell differentiation, maturation, and survival remain to be identified.

Large-scale screening to identify modulators for hair cell toxicity, protection, or regeneration has been largely carried out with zebrafish lateral line models (Coffin et al., 2010) or cochlear HEI-OC1 cells (Teitz et al., 2018). However, unlike mammalian hair cells, zebrafish hair cells can spontaneously regenerate (Warchol, 2011) and the cultured HEI-OC1 cells do not fully recapitulate the structural and functional characteristics of native hair cells (Kalinec et al., 2003). Thus, the relevance of these models for studying mammalian hair cell differentiation is limited. Recent developments and applications of tissue organoids have provided unprecedented opportunities for disease modeling, omics profiling, and high-throughput screening studies (Fatehullah et al., 2016). Inner ear organoids from pluripotent stem cells or cochlear organoids from neonatal mouse cochlear progenitors have also been developed in recent years (Koehler et al., 2013, 2017; McLean et al., 2017). These organoids resemble some of the key inner ear development processes and are able to differentiate into hair cells with remarkable structural and functional...
Figure 1. Highly efficient expansion and differentiation of cochlear organoids in 3D culture

(A) Experimental scheme of cochlear organoid expansion and differentiation. DIV, day in vitro.
(B) Bright-field images of cochlear organoids at DIV2–16. Scale bar, 200 μm.
(C) Gradual increase in cochlear organoid diameters at expansion stages (DIV2–DIV10). Error bars represent mean ± SD. n = 113 (DIV2), 108 (DIV4), 127 (DIV6), 113 (DIV8), or 132 (DIV10) organoids pooled from three independent experiments. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA.
(D) Differentiated cochlear organoids (DIV18) expressed hair cell markers MYO7A (green) and POU4F3 (red). Scale bar, 500 μm.
(E) Increase in percentage of organoids expressing hair cell marker MYO7A at DIV14, DIV16, and DIV18. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 3 independent experiments with 150–250 organoids at each condition. *p < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA.

(legend continued on next page)
similarities to the native hair cells (Liu et al., 2016; Rocco and Edge, 2019; Schaefer et al., 2018). Here, we establish a high-throughput screening platform using cochlear organoids robustly expanded from neonatal mice. Using a new hair cell-specific reporter mouse, we screened the FDA-approved drug library and identified a small-molecule, regorafenib, promoting hair cell reprogramming in a NOTCH-independent, VEGFR-MEK-TGFB1 axis-dependent signaling pathway.

RESULTS

Cochlear organoids can be expanded and differentiated into hair cells at high efficiency in 3D culture

Progenitor cells from neonatal cochlea can be expanded and differentiated into hair cell-like cells in 3D culture as cochlear organoids (Lenz et al., 2019; McLean et al., 2017; Rocco and Edge, 2019). To examine the efficiency of progenitor cell expansion and hair cell differentiation, cochlear sensory epithelial cells from postnatal day 3 (P3) mouse were dissociated and subjected to a stepwise culture protocol for expansion and differentiation (Figure 1A). Cochlear organoids showed gradual increase in sizes during the expansion stage (DIV0-10; Figures 1B and 1C). Replacement of the expansion medium with differentiation medium at DIV10 onward induced expression of hair cell markers, including POU4F3 and MYO7A (Figure 1D). Expression of MYO7A was first observed at 4 days post-differentiation (DIV14), and more than 60% of the organoids contained MYO7A+ hair cells by DIV18 (Figure 1E). The differentiated organoids also expressed other mature inner hair cell markers, including PVALB (Figure S1A), CALB2 (Figure S1B), and OTOF (Figure S1C). We have attempted to immuno-stain the mature OHC marker SLC26A5, but did not observe reliable signals from the differentiated hair cells.

To examine the dynamics in maturation of the induced hair cells, we further analyzed the temporal changes in hair cell markers and structures of the differentiating cochlear organoids. qPCR analysis of the differentiating organoids (DIV10–DIV20) showed time-dependent increases in expression levels of multiple hair cell-specific genes, including Atoh1, Pou4f3, Myo7a, Gfi1, Tmc1, Slc17a8, Chrm9, and Cacna1d (Figure S1D). The total mRNA levels of Sox2 did not show significant alteration in cochlear organoids (Figure S1D; Sox2). Both POU4F3 and MYO7A are well-known hair cell markers; however, POU4F3 was first detected in embryonic day 13 (E13) mouse hair coil hair cells (Xiang et al., 2003), while MYO7A expression is only observed as late as E15.5 (Chen et al., 2002). Correspondingly, in cochlear organoids at DIV14, only 60% of the POU4F3+ hair cells co-expressed MYO7A (Figure 1G). The ratio of POU4F3 and MYO7A co-expression significantly increased to more than 80% at DIV16 and DIV18 (Figures 1F and 1G), consistent with their expression patterns during embryonic development. The synaptic ribbon is a structural hallmark of functional hair cells, which tethers presynaptic vesicles at afferent synapses (Fuchs et al., 2003) and can be labeled by ribbon-specific marker RIBEYE/CTBP2 (Schmitz et al., 2000). While only about 50% of the MYO7A+ cells showed CTBP2 labeling at DIV14, almost all induced hair cells expressed CTBP2+ ribbon puncta at DIV20 and DIV26 (Figures 1H and 1I). Hair cell stereocilia, which are essential for hair cell mechanotransduction (Fettiplace and Hackney, 2006), also showed gradual elongation during organoid differentiation (Figures 1J–1L). Importantly, the kinocilia, developmental structures present in immature but not mature cochlear hair cells (Liu et al., 2018b), were gradually lost by DIV24 and DIV26 (Figures 1J, 1K, and 1M). These findings indicate that expansion and differentiation of the cochlear organoids is highly efficient, and hair cells differentiated within the organoids show developmental maturation.

Cochlear organoids are derived from both LGR5+ and LGR5– progenitor cells

The cochlear sensory epithelium consists of hair cells and multiple subtypes of SOX2+ non-sensory supporting cells
Previous reports indicate that the LGR5+ supporting cells, which include greater epithelial ridge, inner border, inner pillar, and the third row of Deiters cells, are resident cochlear progenitors that undergo proliferation and differentiation after NOTCH inhibition and/or WNT activation (Bramhall et al., 2014; McGovern et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2012). In addition, PLP1+ inner border and inner phalangeal cells have been shown to spontaneously regenerate in injured neonatal cochlea (Mellado Lagarde et al., 2014); however, whether these cells can be expanded and reprogrammed to hair cells remains unknown. To examine the contributions of the supporting cell subtypes to cochlear organoid growth and differentiation, we performed lineage-tracing experiments using cochlear cells of P3 pups born to Rosa26-ACTB-mTmG mice mated with different Cre lines. In brief, single cells from P3 sensory epithelia of Lgr5-CreER, Sox2-CreER, or Plp1-CreER:mTmG mice (Figure 2A) were isolated and subjected to organoid culture in the presence of 4-OH tamoxifen. After induced hair cell differentiation, the proportion of lineage-traced (Cre+ or mG+) or untraced (Cre– or mG–) organoids and MYO7A+ or MYO7A– organoids was analyzed.

Robust recombination and mGFP labeling were observed in cochlear organoids lineage traced with Lgr5-CreER, Sox2-CreER, and Plp1-CreER (Figures 2B–2E). At DIV18, we found that more than 90% and about 80% of the cochlear organoids were derived from SOX2+ cells and LGR5+ cells, respectively, whereas PLP1+ cells contributed to only about 20% of the total organoids (Figure 2F; Cre+). Interestingly, although MYO7A+ cells were all derived from SOX2+ cell lineage, not all SOX2+ cells could differentiate into MYO7A+ cells (Figures 2C and 2F), suggesting that some of the supporting cells retained proliferative capacity but were unable to differentiate into MYO7A+ cells at current experimental conditions. In contrast, albeit at a smaller proportion (~20%), almost all the PLP1+ organoids were successfully differentiated into MYO7A+ cells (Figures 2D and 2F), highlighting the potency of inner border and inner phalangeal cells as hair cell progenitors. Similar to SOX2+ organoids, not all LGR5+ organoids could differentiate into MYO7A+ cells (Figures 2E and 2F). This is consistent with a previous report that only a subset of LGR5+ cells can be converted to hair cell-like cells when forced to overexpress ATOH1 and a constitutively active form of β-catenin (Kuo et al., 2015). Intriguingly, hair cell differentiation was observed in a small population of LGR5– (and presumably SOX2+) cells (Figure 2F). These MYO7A+/LGR5– organoids showed a gradual increase in proportion from DIV16 to DIV20 (Figure 2G), indicating that a subpopulation of LGR5– cells may also serve as progenitors that can be differentiated into hair cell at a slower kinetics than LGR5+ cells. In summary, our study demonstrates that both LGR5+ and LGR5– cells contributed to expansion and hair cell differentiation of cochlear organoids.

Culture optimization and further expansion of cochlear organoids

To increase the yield of cochlear organoids for high-throughput experiments, we optimized the culture conditions for passaging and further expansion (Figure S2A). While initial culture conditions using 50 ng/mL EGF and 3 μM CHIR99021 supported growth of the first-passage organoids, these conditions failed to maintain organoid growth after further dissociation and passaging. We performed concentration gradients of EGF and CHIR99021, two major determinants of organoid growth based on our initial screening, to identify the optimal condition for passage 2 organoid expansion. We found that, for passage 2 organoids, a reduced concentration of CHIR99021 (2 μM) was required (Figure S2B). Furthermore, a reduced concentration of EGF (25 ng/mL) was optimal for organoid expansion (Figure S2C).

We then characterized the growth and differentiation of passage 2 cochlear organoids under the optimized culture conditions (Figure S2D). Growth of passage 2 cochlear organoids was demonstrated by gradual increase in diameter from DIV10 to DIV16, but their overall sizes were significantly smaller than passage 1 organoids (Figures S2E and S2F). Despite their smaller sizes, the relative compositions of LGR5+ organoids (Figures S2G and S2H) and the ability to support hair cell differentiation (Figures S2I and S2J) were indistinguishable between passage 1 and passage 2 organoids. As a result, the culture and expansion of passage 2 organoids allowed approximately 400-fold increase in number of organoids compared with passage 1, and allowed a total of 6,000-fold increase in sample throughput, i.e., 6,000 organoids derived from a single cochlear sensory epithelium.

FDA-approved small-molecule screening of cochlear organoids identifies Regorafenib in promoting hair cell differentiation

We recently established a novel hair cell fate reporter mouse model by knocking in EGFP-IRES-CreER into the Pou4f3 locus, which allowed hair cell-specific EGFP and CreER expression driven by the endogenous Pou4f3 promoter (Du et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). In the heterozygous Pou4f3(EGFP/+), EGFP expression was specifically and robustly detected in hair cells of P0 (Figure S3A) and P28 (Figure S3B) cochlea, as well as in P0 and P28 utricular hair cells (Figures S3C and S3D). These Pou4f3(EGFP+) knockin mice did not display auditory dysfunction until at least 3 months age (Zhu et al., 2020) and were suitable for studying hair cell development and lineage specification.
A previous report showed that WNT activation and γ-secretase/NOTCH inhibition synergistically promotes hair cell differentiation in cochlear organoids (McLean et al., 2017). We found that γ-secretase inhibition by LY411575 was sufficient for efficient hair cell conversion (Figures S3E and S3G); while WNT activation by CHIR99021 was critical for the survival of cochlear organoids differentiated by LY411575 (Figures S3E and S3F). Therefore, to discover

Figure 2. Cochlear organoids are predominantly derived from LGR5+ progenitor cells
(A) Experiment scheme of organoid lineage tracing using Sox2-CreER, Plp1-CreER, or Lgr5-EGFP-IRES-CreER (Lgr5-CreER) mice.
(B) Bright-field and fluorescent images of cochlear organoids at expansion (DIV2–DIV10) and differentiation (DIV12–DIV18) stages. mEGFP fluorescence indicates lineage-traced organoids using Lgr5-CreER. Scale bars, 500 μm.
(C) Most MYO7A+ organoids were derived from SOX2+ progenitor cells. Scale bar, 50 μm.
(D) Organoids derived from PLP1+ progenitor cells were in small numbers but effectively differentiate into MYO7A+ organoids. Scale bar, 50 μm.
(E) Both LGR5+ and LGR5− organoids differentiated into hair cells. Confocal images of DIV18 organoids immunostained by MYO7A. Scale bars, 50 μm.
(F) Proportions of MYO7A+ and MYO7A− organoids (DIV18) lineage traced with Sox2-CreER, Lgr5-CreER, or Plp1-CreER. All ratios were calculated from 50 to 150 organoids of each condition from two independent lineage-tracing experiments.
(G) Proportions of MYO7A+ organoids lineage traced with (dark red) or without (light red) Lgr5-CreER. All ratios were calculated from 50 to 150 organoids of each condition from two independent lineage-tracing experiments.

A previous report showed that WNT activation and γ-secretase/NOTCH inhibition synergistically promotes hair cell differentiation in cochlear organoids (McLean et al., 2017). We found that γ-secretase inhibition by LY411575 was sufficient for efficient hair cell conversion (Figures S3E and S3G); while WNT activation by CHIR99021 was critical for the survival of cochlear organoids differentiated by LY411575 (Figures S3E and S3F). Therefore, to discover
novel signals that promote hair cell differentiation, we screened 1,004 Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved small molecules in differentiating cochlear organoids in the presence of CHIR99021 and the absence of LY411575 (Figure 3A; Data S1, sheets 1 and 2). For this purpose, we used passage 2 cochlear organoids derived from the Pou4f3(EGFP+)/+ mice, in which the differentiated hair cells could be faithfully labeled by the expression of Pou4f3-driven EGFP (Figures 3B and 3C).

High-throughput screening of the small molecules identified 91 candidates that promoted hair cell differentiation according to the selection criteria (Figure 3C; Data S1, sheet 3). Among the candidate small molecules, regorafenib was found to reproducibly promote hair cell differentiation at concentrations of 2, 5, and 10 μM (Figure 3E). A higher dose of regorafenib (15 μM) appeared to be toxic to the differentiating organoids (Figure 3E). Importantly, regorafenib at 5 μM exhibited comparable potency in hair cell induction as the positive control LY411575 (Figure 3E). In addition, as with LY411575, regorafenib treatment also promoted the formation of presynaptic ribbons in the induced hair cells (Figures 3F–3H). Thus, our study established a high-throughput cochlear organoid screening platform and identified a novel small-molecule candidate, regorafenib, for promoting hair cell differentiation and developmental maturation.

**Regorafenib promotes hair cell reprogramming in cochlear explants by inhibiting VEGFR**

To validate the effect of regorafenib on cochlear tissues, we cultured P3 cochlear explants (Figure 4A) and treated them with vehicle, CHIR99021 alone or with γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT or regorafenib over the course of 5 days. Interestingly, CHIR99021 alone promoted hair cell differentiation only in the apical turns of the cochlear explants (Figure 4B), which was not potentiated by either DAPT or regorafenib. However, both DAPT and regorafenib were able to synergize with CHIR99021 to promote hair cell differentiation at the middle and basal cochlear turns (Figure 4B). Regorafenib promoted hair cell differentiation in a dose-dependent manner from 0.5 to 1.5 μM (Figures 4B and 4C) at the middle turn. The effective concentrations of regorafenib on the cochlear explants were lower than that on the organoids (Figure 3E), which is likely due to better accessibility of the small molecules to the supporting cells of cochlear explants compared with the organoids. As the integrity of hair cell morphology and effects of hair cell differentiation were most consistent at middle turns, we only examined the cochlear middle turns in subsequent experiments.

Interestingly, regorafenib treatment alone failed to promote an increase in hair cell counts, similar to CHIR99021 treatment alone in the middle turns (Figure 4D). These results suggested that the effects of regorafeni...
Figure 3. FDA-approved small-molecule screening of cochlear organoids identifies regorafenib in promoting hair cell differentiation

(A) Experimental scheme of small-molecule screening using Pou4f3(EGFP/+ ) reporter cochlear organoids.
(B) Representative confocal image of DIV26 Pou4f3(EGFP/+ ) reporter organoids (CHIR99021 + vehicle control) in a single well. Scale bar, 500 μm.
(C) Confocal images of EGFP+ hair cells in differentiated DIV26 Pou4f3(EGFP/+ ) reporter organoids (CHIR99021 + LY411575). Hair cells were co-labeled with MYO7A and stereocilia labeled with F-actin. Scale bar, 20 μm.
(D) Regorafenib promoted hair cell differentiation in Pou4f3(EGFP/+ ) cochlear organoids. A total of 1,004 FDA-approved small molecules (gray dots) were screened with negative (vehicle, open circle) and positive (10 μM LY411575, filled circle) controls, all in the presence of CHIR99021 (CHIR). Regorafenib is highlighted as a red circle. The left y axis shows the percentage of EGFP+ (hair cell-containing) organoids; the right y axis shows relative survivability of small-molecule-treated organoids.
(E) Dose-response of regorafenib in promoting hair cell differentiation in cochlear organoids. V, vehicle; LY, LY411575 (10 μM). Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 3–13 wells with 100–400 organoids at each condition. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA.
(F–H) Regorafenib promoted expression of CTBP2 in hair cells of differentiated organoids. (F) Confocal images of MYO7A (blue), EGFP(Pou4f3) (green) and CTBP2 (red) in organoids treated with vehicle, LY411575 (10 μM) or regorafenib (5 μM). Scale bar, 20 μm. Dotted small inserts represented magnified views of single hair cells with synaptic ribbons. (G) Percentage of CTBP2+ organoids and (H) numbers of CTBP2 puncta per hair cell in organoids treated with vehicle, LY411575 or regorafenib. V, vehicle; LY, LY411575 (10 μM); Reg, regorafenib. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 3–7 wells with 100–250 organoids at each condition. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA. See also Figures S2 and S3 and Data S1.
explants cultured with vehicle medium (Figures 5B and 5C). Remarkably, both DAPT and regorafenib significantly promoted hair cell replenishment at comparable levels (Figures 5B and 5C). Lineage tracing of SOX2+ supporting cells indicate that CHIR99021 alone (vehicle medium) failed to induce hair cell reprogramming, whereas both DAPT and regorafenib promoted conversion of SOX2+ supporting cells to hair cells (Figures 5D and 5E).

To examine if DAPT and regorafenib present synergistic effects on hair cell reprogramming, we co-treated both the normal and injured P3 cochlear explants at lower doses of DAPT and regorafenib in the presence of...
Lower doses of DAPT (5 μM) and regorafenib (0.5 μM) showed variable effects in promoting hair cell differentiation in both normal and injured cochlear explants. However, co-treatment of low doses of DAPT and regorafenib significantly increased hair cell density compared with either treatment alone (Figures S5A–SSD), suggesting that DAPT and regorafenib act synergistically on hair cell differentiation.

As both the γ-secretase inhibitor and regorafenib promoted expression of CTBP2 in hair cells of the cochlear organoids (Figure 3), we examined if the reprogrammed hair cells in P3 cochlear explants also displayed presynaptic ribbons. Although DAPT effectively promoted hair cell

See also Figure S5.
regorafenib but not DAPT regulated TGFβ signaling (Figure 6D), a process also important for cell fate determination and reprogramming (Mullen and Wrana, 2017; Yu and Feng, 2019).

We then identified that expression of the TGFβ ligand Tgfβ1 was downregulated by regorafenib treatment, based on both RNA-seq and qRT-PCR analyses (Figure 7A; Table S2). It is possible that VEGFR signaling promotes expression of Tgfβ1, which then activates TGFβ signaling to suppress hair cell reprogramming. As MEK and PI3K are two key kinases downstream of VEGFR signaling (Cross et al., 2003), we next examined whether these kinases were involved in regulation of Tgfβ1 expression by VEGFR signaling in P3 cochlear explants. Co-treatment of the MEK inhibitor U0126 inhibited the effect of regorafenib on downregulation of Tgfβ1 expression in a dose-dependent manner, whereas the co-treatment of PI3K inhibitor wortmannin had no such effect (Figure 7B). Importantly, phospho-SMAD2, the downstream effector of TGFβ signaling, was significantly downregulated by regorafenib treatment (Figures 7C and 7D). Together, these data indicate that the VEGFR inhibitor regorafenib did not affect NOTCH signaling but suppressed TGFβ signaling by MEK-dependent downregulation of Tgfβ1 expression.

TGFB signaling mediates hair cell reprogramming by regorafenib

We next hypothesized that downregulation of Tgfβ1 expression and suppression of TGFβ signaling by regorafenib contributes to hair cell reprogramming. To test this hypothesis, we co-treated the P3 cochlear explants with CHIR99021 and the TGFβ receptor (TGFBR) inhibitor SB431542. As expected, SB431542 treatment inhibited both baseline and TGFβ1-induced SMAD2 phosphorylation (Figure 7E). Explants with SB431542 co-treatments showed significantly more hair cells compared with CHIR99021 treatment alone (vehicle), demonstrating that direct inhibition of TGFBR also effectively promoted hair cell reprogramming (Figures 7F and 7G). However, the effects of SB431542 were less prominent than regorafenib or DAPT (Figures 7F, S5E, and S5F), which may suggest that either SB431542 was insufficient to completely inhibit TGFBR signaling or that other yet to be identified signaling pathways may have contributed to the effects of regorafenib. Consistently, co-treatment of regorafenib with SB431542 resembled the effects of regorafenib (Figures S5E and S5F).

We next examined if the effects of regorafenib were dependent on Tgfβ1 expression by co-treatments with the recombinant TGFβ1. As expected, SMAD2 phosphorylation was promoted by TGFβ1 and inhibited by regorafenib (Figures 7H and 7I). However, inhibition of p-SMAD2 by regorafenib was completely abolished by TGFβ1.
co-treatment (Figures 7H and 7I). Importantly, while regorafenib promoted hair cell reprogramming, its effect was also completely abolished by co-treatment of the TGFB1 recombinant protein (Figures 7J and 7K). TGFB1 alone did not exert any effect on the hair cell density in cochlear explants (Figures 7J and 7K). A similar inhibitory effect on regorafenib-induced hair cell reprogramming was also observed with co-treatment of TGFB2 protein (Figures S5G and S5H). Thus, VEGFR-TGFB may serve as an inhibitory signal hampering hair cell reprogramming in cochlear supporting cells. Consistent with this notion, Flt1 (Vegfr1), Kdr (Vegfr2), Tgfb1, Tgfb2, Tgfbr1, and Tgfbr2 showed

Figure 6. DAPT and regorafenib regulate distinct signaling pathways in cochlear explants
(A) NOTCH signaling pathways were enriched in the top 10 gene ontology biological processes differentially regulated by DAPT in cochlear explants.
(B) DAPT but not regorafenib regulated NOTCH pathway genes in cochlear explants treated for 1 and 5 days. Expression of NOTCH pathway genes Hey1, Hes1, Hes5, Jag1, Dil1, and Dil3 were examined by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 3 independent experiments with six cochlear explants at each condition. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA.
(C) TGFB signaling pathway was regulated by regorafenib in cochlear explants.
(D) Regorafenib but not DAPT regulated TGFB pathway genes in cochlear explants treated for 1 and 5 days. TGFB pathway genes Tgfb1, Fshb, Cdh5, Cx3cr1, Nos3, and Clec3b were examined by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 5–6 independent experiments with 10–12 cochlear explants at each condition. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA.
See also Figure S6 and Tables S1 and S2.
Figure 7. Regorafenib promotes hair cell reprogramming via the VEGFR-MEK-TGFB1 pathway

(A) Tgfb1 was downregulated by regorafenib but not DAPT in cochlear explants. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 6 cochlear explants from two independent experiments. ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA.

(legend continued on next page)
preferential expressions in P28–P35 cochlear supporting cells (Figure S7A), according to previously published cell-type-specific RNA-seq results (Liu et al., 2018a). In addition, based on immunofluorescence data, both the VEGF receptor (KDR) and the two TGFβ receptors (TGFBR1 and TGFBR2) were highly expressed in supporting cells surrounding OHCs at postnatal days 1–3 and day 23 (Figures S7B–S7J). Together, these data provide strong evidence that the VEGFR inhibitor regorafenib promotes hair cell reprogramming via downregulation of Tgfβ1 expression and inhibition of TGFβ signaling.

DISCUSSION

Protection and regeneration of the mammalian cochlear hair cells are essential for hearing restoration; however, efforts to identify the key regulators of these processes has been hampered by the lack of high-throughput in vitro models. In this study, we establish and characterize a cochlear organoid platform that achieves ~6,000-fold increase in sample output for high-throughput screening of small molecules promoting hair cell differentiation. The identified VEGFR inhibitor robustly induced hair cell reprogramming in normal and injured cochlear explants, through a NOTCH-independent and a TGFβ-dependent mechanism. Our study not only highlights the versatility of the cochlear organoid system as a powerful model for auditory research, but also identifies the VEGFR-MEK-TGFβ1 signaling axis as a novel target for hair cell regeneration.

Cochlear progenitor cells derived from sensory epithelia of neonatal mouse can be efficiently expanded and differentiated into hair cells (Lenz et al., 2019; McLean et al., 2017). Our study represents the first high-throughput screening effort using cochlear organoids. The identified FDA-approved small-molecule regorafenib was successfully validated on normal and injured cochlear explants, suggesting that the cochlear organoids are a valid in vitro model for hair cell reprogramming studies. Together with a previous report (McLean et al., 2017), we also show that in vitro differentiation of hair cells in the organoid recapitulates the embryonic development of cochlear hair cells, including dynamic expression of hair cell markers, regulations of stereocilia and kinocilia, and formation of presynaptic ribbons. Thus, the cochlear organoid may also serve as an excellent model for studying mechanisms and regulators of hair cells during embryonic development. In addition, the cochlear organoids could also be robustly transduced by lentiviruses and gene edited using CRISPR-Cas9 (Lenz et al., 2019). Thus, cochlear organoids may serve as a powerful platform for high-throughput studies involving virus-mediated gene expression or editing.

Nevertheless, the cochlear organoid model used in this study is not without pitfalls. Firstly, continuous propagation and long-term maintenance of the cochlear organoids remains a challenge. Unlike the intestinal organoids, which can be passaged quasi-infinitely without losing stemness (Lee et al., 2018), the current cochlear organoids can only be effectively maintained within two passages despite our optimization efforts. Further optimization of the culture conditions needs to be performed in a systematic manner to achieve long-term propagation of the cochlear organoids. Secondly, the organoids were derived from early postnatal mouse cochlea, whereas dissociated

(B) Regorafenib downregulated Tgfβ1 expression via the MAPK pathway. MAPK (MEK) inhibitor U0126 but not PI3K inhibitor wortmannin abolished the effect of regorafenib on Tgfβ1 expression. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 3 independent experiments with six cochlear explants at each condition. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA.

(C and D) Regorafenib treatment downregulated SMAD2 phosphorylation in cochlear explants. Cochlear explants were treated with regorafenib (10 μM) for 12 h. (C) Western blot graphs and (D) densitometric quantification of SMAD2 phosphorylation. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 3 independent experiments with six to seven cochlear explants. *p < 0.05 by Student’s t test.

(E) SMAD2 phosphorylation was induced by TGFβ1 treatment and inhibited by TGFβ type I receptor inhibitor SB431542 (SB). Cochlear explants were treated with TGFβ1 (10 ng/mL) and/or SB431542 (10 μM) for 6 h.

(F and G) Inhibition of TGFβ signaling by SB431542 promoted hair cell reprogramming in cochlear explants. (F) Hair cell counts and (G) confocal images of hair cell explants treated with SB431542. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 3–12 cochlear explants at each condition. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA. Scale bars, 20 μm.

(H and I) Effect of regorafenib in downregulation of SMAD2 phosphorylation was abolished by co-treatment with TGFβ1 recombinant protein in cochlear explants. (H) Western blot graphs and (I) densitometric quantification of SMAD2 phosphorylation. Cochlear explants were treated with TGFβ1 (10 ng/mL) and/or regorafenib (10 μM) for 12 h. Error bars represent mean ± SD. n = 4 cochlear explants from two independent experiments. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 by one-way ANOVA to vehicle control and *p < 0.05 between Reg and Reg + TGFβ1. (J and K) TGFβ1 recombinant protein abolished the effect of regorafenib on hair cell reprogramming. (J) Confocal images of hair cell markers and (K) hair cell counts of cochlear explants treated with regorafenib (1 μM) alone or together with TGFβ1 recombinant protein (0.25, 0.5, or 1 ng/mL). DAPT (10 μM) alone and TGFβ1 (1 ng/mL) alone were controls. Scale bars, 20 μm. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. n = 4–10 cochlear explants at each condition. ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA compared with vehicle control (open bar). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 by one-way ANOVA compared with regorafenib alone (red bar).

See also Figures S5 and S7.
cells from adult mouse cochlea showed very limited expansion. Although the LGR5+ supporting cells remain present in the adult cochlea (Shi et al., 2012), the activities of ATOH1, WNT signaling, and NOTCH pathways appear to be reduced compared with neonatal aged samples (Roccio and Edge, 2019). It is likely that additional co-factors are required for successful expansion of adult progenitor cells in vitro. Thirdly, cochlear organoids generated from fetal cochlear tissue showed heterogeneous and show variability in the potency and dynamics in cell differentiation, suggesting that distinct signaling pathways may be required for induction of hair cell formation from distinct supporting cell subpopulations. Finally, a robust human cochlear organoid model is still lacking. Due to limitations in sample acquisition and ethical concerns, progenitor cells from human cochleae are rarely available (McLean et al., 2017). Instead, inner ear organoids could be obtained by 3D culture and staged induction from human pluripotent stem cells (Koehler et al., 2017). However, only a small proportion of the inner ear organoids contain differentiated hair cells and the induced hair cells from stem cell-derived organoids display vestibular but not cochlear characteristics (Koehler et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2016). Therefore, further optimizations of the human inner ear organoids for robust generation of cochlear hair cells will be a major challenge and a worthy undertaking.

Our finding reveals that VEGF and TGFB crosstalk acts as a repressive signal for hair cell reprogramming. VEGF is widely expressed in cochlea, including cells of the organ of Corti, stria vascularis, spiral ligament, and spiral ganglion (Michel et al., 2001). TGFB1 is expressed in the stria vascularis, supporting cells of the inner hair cells and Deiters cells (Bas et al., 2019). Both FLT1 and KDR are expressed in cochlear supporting cells and spiral ganglion neurons (Michel et al., 2001), suggesting that these cells respond to VEGF signaling. Our data indicate that VEGF signaling in cochlear supporting cells regulates TGFB1 expression via the MEK pathway, which is consistent with the co-localization of KDR and TGFB1 in these cells (Bas et al., 2019; Michel et al., 2001). As we show that the TGFB receptors TGFBRI and TGFBRII are also expressed in these supporting cells, it is likely that TGFB1 acts as an autocrine or paracrine signal to inhibit hair cell trans-differentiation. However, whether the VEGF and TGFB crosstalk plays an important role in hair cell development and supporting cell maintenance in vivo remains to be examined in future.

TGFB is a well-known signaling pathway involved in proliferation and differentiation of embryonic and somatic stem cells (Mullen and Wrana, 2017; Yu and Feng, 2019). Inhibition of TGFB signaling is important for non-neural ectoderm induction at early differentiation stages of embryonic stem cell-derived inner ear organoids (Koehler et al., 2013, 2017). In addition, as TGFB regulates cellular quiescence (Hua and Thompson, 2001), TGFB inhibition is required for efficient expansion of the LGR5+ cochlear progenitor cells (McLean et al., 2017). Our data suggest that the TGFB signaling, presumably regulated by VEGFRs in supporting cells, also plays an important inhibitory role for hair cell reprogramming. Consistent with this notion, inhibition of BMP, also acting on the TGFB pathway, promotes hair cell regeneration in the chicken cochlea (Jiang et al., 2018). While our study provides important in vitro data for the involvement of VEGFR-MEK-TGFB1 signaling in hair cell fate conversion, the in vivo evidence is still lacking. Pharmacological or direct genetic manipulations of TGFB signaling in mammalian cochlea in vivo will be an important next steps toward better understanding the roles of TGFB in hair cell development and regeneration.

Our results suggest that the VEGFR-MEK-TGFB1 axis promotes hair cell reprogramming and maturation in a NOTCH-independent pathway. Intriguingly, we found that inhibition of VEGFR-MEK-TGFB1 but not NOTCH signaling promotes presynaptic ribbon formation in reprogrammed hair cells, suggesting that TGFB signaling may play a unique role in synaptic formation. To date, the interplay between WNT and NOTCH signaling is thought to be instrumental to hair cell fate determination and regeneration, as they appear to converge on key transcription factors, such as SOX2 and ATOH1 (Samarajeewa et al., 2019). As inhibition of VEGFR and NOTCH synergistically promotes hair cell reprogramming, the VEGFR-MEK-TGFB1 signaling axis provides an important additional target for studying hair cell development, maturation, and regeneration in the mammalian cochlea.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Mouse strains**

Lgr5-EGFP-IRES-CreER (no. 008875) (Barker et al., 2007), Plp1-CreER (no. 005975) (Doerflinger et al., 2003), Sox2-CreER (no. 017593) (Arnold et al., 2011), and Rosa26-ACTB-mTmG (no. 007676) (Muzumdar et al., 2007) were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. Rosa26-CAG-STOP-Cas9-taTomato (Rosa26-Cas9-taTomato) mice were obtained from GemPharmatech, China. Pou4f3-EGFP-IRES-CreER or Pou4f3(EGFP+)/ knockin mice were generated using the CRISPR-Cas9 genome-editing technology (Du et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). Both male and female mice on a C57BL6 background were used in this study. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University, China, with protocol approval no. WGQ01.

**Cochlear organoid experiments**

Cochlear sensory epithelia were isolated from P3 mice followed by single-cell suspension and 3D culturing. For drug screening studies, cochlear organoids from Pou4f3(EGFP+)/ mice were differentiated in culture media containing 10 µM of individual small...
molecules from the FDA-approved drug library. Details are provided in the supplemental experimental procedures.

Cochlear explant experiments
Cochlear sensory epithelia were isolated from P3 mice and cultured as explants in collagen-coated 35 mm dishes. For lineage-tracing experiments, Sox2-CreER;Rosa26-tdTomato cochlear explants were cultured in medium containing 4-hydroxytamoxifen. For neomycin-injured experiments, cochlear explants were cultured in medium containing 0.15 M neomycin.

Immunofluorescence
Cochlear organoids or explants were wash three times with PBS, and then fixed at room temperature with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 20 min and then washed three times with PBS. Immunostaining procedures are described in the supplemental experimental procedures. All imaging was carried out using a Zeiss LSM880 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Germany).

Gene expression analyses
Total RNA from cochlear organoids or explants were extracted using RNAiso Plus (TaKara, Japan). Cochlear explants were washed once with PBS and 1 mL RNAiso Plus was added. qRT-PCR and RNA-seq are detailed in the supplemental experimental procedures.

Statistical analysis
Statistical significance was determined by unpaired Student’s t test to compare two groups or by one-way ANOVA to compare multiple experimental groups using GraphPad Prism 8.

Data and code availability
The RNA-seq data are available at the GEO database under accession no. GSE139485.
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Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2021.08.010.
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