Molecular Signatures of Human Regulatory T Cells in Colorectal Cancer and Polyps
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Regulatory T cells (Tregs), a subset of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells, play a pivotal role in regulating immune homeostasis. An increase in Tregs was reported in many tumors to be associated with immune suppression and evasion in cancer patients. Despite the importance of Tregs, the molecular signatures that contributed to their pathophysiological relevance remain poorly understood and controversial. In this study, we explored the gene expression profiles in Tregs derived from patients with colorectal cancer [colorectal carcinoma (CRC), n = 15], colorectal polyps (P, n = 15), and in healthy volunteers (N, n = 15). Tregs were analyzed using CD4+CD25+CD127lowFoxP3+ antibody markers.

Gene expression profiling analysis leads to the identification of 61 and 66 immune-related genes in Tregs derived from CRC and P patients, respectively, but not in N-derived Treg samples. Of these, 30 genes were differentially expressed both in CRC- and P-derived Tregs when compared to N-derived Tregs. Most of the identified genes were involved in cytokine/chemokine mediators of inflammation, chemokine receptor, lymphocyte activation, and T cell receptor (TCR) signaling pathways. This study highlights some of the molecular signatures that may affect Tregs’ expansion and possible suppression of function in cancer development. Our findings may provide a better understanding of the immunomodulatory nature of Tregs and could, therefore, open up new avenues in immunotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Regulatory T cells (Tregs) are suppressor cells that play a pivotal role in regulating immune hemostasis and immunological tolerance to self (1). Tregs are present in low numbers (1–2% of lymphocytes) within both CD4+ and CD8+ populations (2, 3). Their main function is to prevent inappropriate immune responses by suppressing immune effector cells. This is useful for maintaining immune hemostasis in autoreactivity, severe inflammation, and transplantation in patients. However, excessive Tregs’ oversuppression in cancers and tumor environment may lead to undesirable immune tolerance and evasion. The frequencies of Tregs in peripheral blood, lymphoid tissues, and tumor microenvironment have been investigated in many different types of cancers including liver, breast, renal, leukemia, and gastric cancers and were associated with cancer progression and poor prognosis suggesting their critical role in tumor development (4–8). However, contradictory reports on their role exist in the colon, gastric, and head and neck cancers (9–11). The discrepancies could be due to unstandardized antibody markers used in different laboratories and varying terminologies used to
describe different subpopulations of Tregs (12). This complicates direct comparison between studies. Despite the availability of many markers associated with Tregs, the most prominent Tregs are CD4+CD25+CD127lowFoxP3+ (13–15). At the functional level, cytokines produced by Tregs such as elevated levels of IL-10 (16, 17) and TGF-β (18) are also widely used. Various mechanisms contribute to the elevated numbers and suppressive function of Tregs in cancer. However, there are a limited number of studies describing the molecular signatures that may contribute to the underlying Tregs-mediated tolerance and suppression in cancer development.

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is the third most common cancer worldwide with an estimated number of 1.4 million (9.7%) cases in 2012 (19). In Malaysia, 2,246 CRC cases (12.3%) were reported in 2011 (20). It is widely recognized that genetic factors play important roles in the pathogenesis of CRC. However, evading immune surveillance is recognized as an emerging hallmark in cancer progression (21). There is considerable evidence to suggest that the immune system plays a protective role in tumorigenesis (22–24). Correlation between serrated polyps and colorectal neoplasia has been largely reported (25–27). Approximately 15–20% of all sporadic CRCs arise via the serrated pathway, in which serrated polyps may be the precursor lesions (28, 29). Serrated polyps are classified pathologically according to the World Health Organization criteria as hyperplastic polyps (HPs), sessile serrated adenoma/polyps with or without cytological dysplasia, and traditional serrated adenomas (30). In the context of CRC progression, Tregs’ frequencies and function may be important because high frequencies of Tregs might favor CRC and polypos’ growth or development and influence the course of the disease through enhancing suppression of antitumor immunity. Thus, it is interesting to investigate the molecular signatures of Tregs in CRC and polyps and possible correlation with Tregs influences the disease development.

In this study, we explored the gene expression profiles in CD4+CD25+CD127lowFoxP3+ Tregs derived from CRC, P, and N samples in order to investigate the molecular signatures that may influence cancer development. We identified a number of differentially expressed Treg transcripts derived from CRC and P patients. We suggest these genes to be relevant for Tregs’ general function. Other transcripts were identified to differ among these groups and might give rise to their phenotypic differentiation. These could potentially be used as biomarkers to discriminate Tregs derived from CRC and P patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and Healthy Volunteers

Ethics approval was obtained from the UKM Research Ethics Committee (reference number UKM 1.5.3.5/244/FRGS/2/2013/ SKK01/UKM/03/3). Ten to twelve milliliters of peripheral blood were collected in BD Vacutainer® Heparin Tubes (Becton Dickinson) from 15 healthy volunteers (N), 15 patients with colorectal polyps (P), and 15 CRC patients who were diagnosed in UKM Medical Center, Kuala Lumpur (UKMMC) from 2014 to 2015. N samples were used as a control and classified as the participants who went through endoscopy as part of their annual health screening and were diagnosed as normal. P samples were those with primary polyps including serrated adenoma, adenoma polyps, and dysplasia. The HPs were not included in the samples. For the CRC cases, the samples were collected from the Dukes’ B and Dukes’ C stage. Both groups of patients with CRC and P were histologically confirmed, primary diagnosed, and neither received any form of treatments prior to blood sample collection. The histological stage of the tumor was determined according to the Duke’s staging system. Data including patient clinical history, age, gender, colorectal polyp’s classification, and tumor staging are summarized in Table 1. None of the donors suffered from allergies, autoimmune diseases, and were free from acute or chronic infections. Patients who underwent neoadjuvant treatment or resection were excluded from the study.

Lymphocyte Isolation

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated by the Ficoll/Paque™ PLUS density gradient centrifugation method (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) as recommended by the manufacturer. PBMCs were counted and frozen instantly in liquid nitrogen until analyzed.

Antibodies and FACS Analysis

All the antibodies used in this study were purchased from BD Biosciences unless stated otherwise. Tregs were stained using anti-CD4 (-PerCP-Cy 5.5, clone SK3), anti-CD25 (-PE, clone 7A4), anti-CD127 (-Alexa 647, clone HIL-7R-M21), and anti-FoxP3 (-Alexa 488, clone 25D9/C7) as recommended in the manufacturer’s protocol. A total of 1 × 10^6 cells were incubated with 10–20 μl of the fluorochrome-labeled antibodies in the dark at room temperature for 30 min, washed twice, and analyzed on the flow cytometer. The stained PBMCs were loaded onto BD FACSArity™ II system (BD Biosciences) and analyzed to confirm the presence of CD4+CD25+CD127lowFoxP3+ (Tregs) and CD4+CD25− (T responder cells). Cells were analyzed and sorted based on their phenotype to a purity of >90%. Data were analyzed using BD FACSDivia™ Software (BD Biosciences). Sorted cells were collected in 12 mm × 75 mm round-bottom tubes coated

| Variables | N (n = 15) | P (n = 15) | CRC (n = 15) |
|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Age (range) | 55–77 | 56–80 | 49–81 |
| Median | 62 ± 12 | 68 ± 10 | 72 ± 11 |
| Sex | | | |
| Male | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| Female | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Race | | | |
| Malay | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| Chinese | 7 | 10 | 6 |
| Classification | | | |
| Serrated adenoma | 2 | | |
| Tubular adenoma | 13 | | |
| Dukes’ B | | 8 | |
| Dukes’ C | | 7 | |
with human AB serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific) prior to the addition of Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (Thermo Fisher Scientific) + 1% Human AB serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, postsort analysis). Sorted cell populations were centrifuged (100 × g, 5 min), the supernatant was carefully removed, and the resulting cell suspensions were divided into three fractions. Fraction 1 was used to determine purity, fraction 2 for FoxP3 staining, and fraction 3 for RNA extraction. Purity was calculated as the number of events in the original sort gate after the exclusion of cell debris. Fraction 2 of the sorted cells was stained for intracellular FoxP3 expression using anti-hFoxP3-Alexa 488. This was done on cells that were fixed and permeabilized using FoxP3 staining buffer set (BD Pharmingen™), according to the manufacturer's instructions. This was a postsort analysis to confirm that Treg populations express FoxP3⁺ phenotype.

**RNA Extraction, Quantification, and Microarray Analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from 2 × 10⁵ Tregs using RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen). Quality and integrity of the total RNA were quantified on Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Only those RNA samples with RIN number of ≥7 and of good integrity were used in the microarray analysis. Total RNA (100 ng) was then reverse transcribed and the single-stranded cDNA (sscDNA) was amplified using the WT-Amplification Kit Module (Affymetrix). The purified sscDNA (5.5–15.0 μg) was subsequently fragmented and labeled using the GeneChip® WT Terminal Labeling Kit (Affymetrix). Labeled cDNA (3.5 μg) was then hybridized to the GeneChip® Human Gene 2.0 ST array (Affymetrix) using the GeneChip® Hybridization Oven 640 (Affymetrix) at 60 rotations per minute at 45°C for 16 h. After hybridization, the arrays were washed and stained according to the manufacturer’s protocol using a GeneChip® Fluidics Station 450 (Affymetrix). The arrays were scanned using the GeneChip® Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix).

**Real-time Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (RT-qPCR)**

Validation of differentially regulated target genes identified by expression array was performed using RT-qPCR. The samples were the same CRC, P, and N samples used for transcriptomic profiling. Random primed cDNA was prepared from total RNA using a high capacity RNA to cDNA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Relative gene expression analysis was carried out using SYBR Green on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Gene-specific primer pairs for qPCR were selected from Primer Bank 25 and listed in Table 2. GAPDH gene was used as an internal control. Results were analyzed using a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system software (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Relative mRNA levels were normalized to GAPDH as an internal control gene.

**Statistical Analysis**

Microarray data analyses were carried out using Expression and Transcriptome Analysis Console (Affymetrix). Data normalization based on median signal intensities was qualified and quantified using Robust Multichip Analysis algorithm, which includes background adjustment, quantile normalization, and summarization. A log₂ fold change > 2 was considered as upregulated genes and a log₂ fold change < -2 as downregulated genes. Statistical significance was calculated by one-way ANOVA and false discovery rate (FDR) correction (adjust p-value based on Benjamin–Hochberg Step-Up FDR-controlling Procedure). A p < 0.01 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

**Phenotypic Analysis of Tregs**

Figures 1A–C show the gating strategy of CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127low Tregs relative to the CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127high (non-Tregs). The cells were analyzed and sorted from PBMC populations as outlined in the Materials and Methods section. Purity analysis of the 1 postsort CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127low Tregs was performed, and representative data are presented in Figure 1C. The data confirm high purity of more than 90%. The postsorted CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127low Treg populations were also stained for FoxP3 expression. Figures 1D,E show a strong shift indicating a strong Foxp3 expression in these populations. These results support that the isolated T cells were mostly CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127lowFoxp⁺ Treg populations.

**Analysis of Treg Population**

Regulatory T cell populations were analyzed and sorted after PBMC treatment as outlined in the Materials and Methods section. The purity of the isolated Tregs was more than 90% and expressed high levels of FoxP3 protein. A significant increase in Treg frequencies was observed in CRC-derived Tregs (12.56%) when compared to P- and N-derived Tregs (2.86 and 1.83%, respectively) (Figure 2).

**Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127lowFoxP3⁺ Tregs**

In order to clarify the possible role played by Tregs in human CRC development, we performed transcriptional profiling of Tregs to identify candidate genes whose expression levels may differ between CRC, P, and N samples. Principal component analysis and hierarchical clustering clearly segregated the

### Table 2 | List of primers for real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR.

| Gene      | Forward primer                      | Reverse primer                      |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CCR4      | AGAAAGCGCTGCAAAGCAGTGGG             | TCAAATCGATGACCTGACCTGAG             |
| CXCL10    | GAGGCTGCTGGAATGGAGCAAGTTGGA         | TATTCTGCTGCTTCTGCTGGA               |
| CCR1      | TAAAGGGCTGACATGCTCGTTGG             | CTTAGAGGAGAACGAGGCC                 |
| CCR7      | AGAAAGCGCTGCAAAGCAGTGGG             | TCAAATCGATGACCTGACCTGAG             |
| CCL1      | CGGTTGCTGCTCCTGCTCCT               | TTACGCTGACAACTGACAT                |
| TRA1      | GAGGAGGAGAAGATAACTCAAGGGATT         | CCGGAGCTTCTAGTGAGAT                |
| TRA2P2    | GTGATGGAGGATCGAAGGGTTGA             | CCAAGGGAGGATTTACGAGTG              |
| IL10RA    | CAGATCAATGATGCCTCACTGCC            | TTCTGAGAAGTTAGAGCTG                |
| GAPDH     | TGACACACCAACTCCCTGAC               | GGAAGGCCATGGACCTAGCA               |

| Gene      | Forward primer                      | Reverse primer                      |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CCR4      | AGAAAGCGCTGCAAAGCAGTGGG             | TCAAATCGATGACCTGACCTGAG             |
| CXCL10    | GAGGCTGCTGGAATGGAGCAAGTTGGA         | TATTCTGCTGCTTCTGCTGGA               |
| CCR1      | TAAAGGGCTGACATGCTCGTTGG             | CTTAGAGGAGAACGAGGCC                 |
| CCR7      | AGAAAGCGCTGCAAAGCAGTGGG             | TCAAATCGATGACCTGACCTGAG             |
| CCL1      | CGGTTGCTGCTCCTGCTCCT               | TTACGCTGACAACTGACAT                |
| TRA1      | GAGGAGGAGAAGATAACTCAAGGGATT         | CCGGAGCTTCTAGTGAGAT                |
| TRA2P2    | GTGATGGAGGATCGAAGGGTTGA             | CCAAGGGAGGATTTACGAGTG              |
| IL10RA    | CAGATCAATGATGCCTCACTGCC            | TTCTGAGAAGTTAGAGCTG                |
| GAPDH     | TGACACACCAACTCCCTGAC               | GGAAGGCCATGGACCTAGCA               |
Treg transcriptome profiles of CRC and P from N samples, suggesting the three populations to be transcriptionally distinct (Figure 3).

Analysis of the transcriptome using one-way ANOVA, FDR correction ($p < 0.01$), and absolute log fold change ($>2$ or $<-2$) revealed 689 DEGs (330 upregulated; 339 downregulated) in the
CRC-derived Tregs compared with N-derived Tregs (Data Sheet S1 in Supplementary Material). In the P-derived Tregs, 583 genes were differentially expressed (382 upregulated; 201 downregulated) compared to N-derived Tregs (Data Sheet S2 in Supplementary Material). These DEGs were then grouped using gene ontology biological processes, which identified immune-related genes as the most significant DEG function group in CRC- and P-derived Tregs (Data Sheets S3 and S4 in Supplementary Material). We then used the Venn diagram to further delineate the DEGs in both groups. N-derived Tregs were used as the baseline (Figure 4).

The overlapped regions show 20 upregulated and 10 downregulated genes in both CRC- and P-derived Tregs. These genes were differentially expressed in both CRC- and P-derived Treg populations (Table 3). In order to distinguish the two populations,
we focused our analysis on the DEGs that appear exclusively in CRC- or P-derived Tregs using the N-derived Tregs group as the baseline.

In CRC-derived Tregs, the upregulated genes are those of chemokines that are mostly involved in chemotaxis (CCR1, CCR2) and some interleukins that are important in cytokine-mediated signaling pathway in Treg activation (e.g., IL10, SOCS3). There was consistent downregulation of TCRs in CRC-derived Treg activation (e.g., IL10, SOCS3). There are some interleukins that are important in cytokine-mediated chemokines that are mostly involved in chemotaxis (CCR1, CCR2).

In P-derived Tregs, the DEGs were involved in cell proliferation (e.g., CCNB2 and IL22), binding protein (ANLN), and chemotaxis (CCR7 and CCL1). Significant reduction in expression ($p < 0.01$) was observed in cell surface receptors (TRAJ 14, IL10RA, and TNFR) (Table 5).

### RT-qPCR Analysis of mRNA Expression

To further validate the gene expression profiles of the Treg populations, a panel of DEGs was chosen from those highlighted in Tables 3–5 and assessed by RT-qPCR. The analysis confirmed a significant increase in the expression of CCR4, CXCL10, CCR1, CCR2, CCR7, and CCL1 genes and a significant decrease in the expression of TRAJ1, TRGJP2, and IL10RA in the CRC- and/or P-derived Treg populations compared to N-derived Tregs (Figure 5).

### DISCUSSION

CD4$^+$CD25$^+$CD127$^+$FoxP3$^+$ Tregs' frequencies from CRC are higher than P and N samples. This appears to be Tregs' characteristic feature in most tumors and compares favorably with previously published data (31, 32).

We examined the transcriptome profiles of Tregs derived from CRC, P, and N samples to uncover specific molecular markers and pathways that might be associated with each group. We found that the DEGs which appeared in both CRC- and P-derived Tregs could represent common genes required for Tregs' suppression of function. It could be that under certain circumstances, some of these genes may trigger immune dysregulation and may also lead to development of polyps or cancer. However, we do not have any clinical data to support this hypothesis.

The common genes identified here include those controlling inflammatory cytokine/chemokine and their receptors. Thus, we observed an upregulation of CCR4 gene expression (both in CRC- and P-derived Tregs). These findings are consistent with previous studies (33, 34). CCR4 is important in mediating Tregs' trafficking to different sites of inflammation. Furthermore, CCR4 is specifically expressed by a subset of most suppressive Tregs but not naive Tregs (35). It could be that the CRC- or P-derived Tregs release the CCR4 ligand and thus are able to attract the Tregs' expressing high levels of CCR4 to the sites of inflammation. Our findings are consistent with previously published data (33, 36) that support the important role of CCR4 in mediating Tregs' trafficking to different sites of inflammation.

---

**Table 3** | Top 10 gene list of DEGs that were overlapped in CRC- and P-derived Tregs based on Venn diagram analysis.

| Fold change | p-Value | Gene symbol | Description |
|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 4.36        | 0.00038 | CCR4        | Chemokine (C–C motif) receptor 4 |
| 4.32        | 0.000292| CXCL10      | Chemokine (C–X–C motif) ligand 10 |
| 4.05        | 0.00017 | IFNG        | Interferon, gamma |
| 4.02        | 6.99E–09| KIF23       | Kinesin family member 23 |
| 3.91        | 1.13E–09| CDC6        | Cell division cycle 6 |
| −3.51       | 2.20E–07| TRA20       | T cell receptor alpha joining 20 |
| −3.51       | 0.000135| AREG        | Amphiregulin |
| −3.56       | 2.60E–07| YME1L1      | YME1-like 1 ATPase |
| −3.69       | 1.32E–08| TRA14       | T cell receptor alpha joining 14 |
| −5.22       | 4.36E–09| TXNIP       | Thioredoxin interacting protein |

**Table 4** | Top 10 gene list of DEGs that appeared only in CRC-derived Tregs based on Venn diagram analysis.

| Fold change | p-Value | Gene symbol | Description |
|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 5.16        | 0.000005| CCR1        | Chemokine (C–C motif) receptor 1 |
| 4.21        | 0.000135| CCR2        | Chemokine (C–C motif) receptor 2 |
| 3.39        | 0.000004| IFI30       | Interferon, gamma-inducible protein 30 |
| 3.38        | 0.000003| IL10        | Interleukin 10 |
| 2.66        | 0.000272| SOCS3       | Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 |
| −3.49       | 1.01E–08| TRA4        | T cell receptor alpha joining 4 |
| −3.51       | 2.20E–07| TRA20       | T cell receptor alpha joining 20 |
| −3.66       | 6.08E–07| TRA3        | T cell receptor alpha joining 3 |
| −8.51       | 0.000013| TRAV22      | T cell receptor alpha variable 22 |
| −11.71      | 1.08E–08| TRGJP2      | T cell receptor gamma joining P2 |

**Table 5** | Top 10 gene list DEGs that appeared only in P-derived Tregs based on Venn diagram analysis.

| Fold change | p-Value | Gene symbol | Description |
|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 7.32        | 0.000031| CCR7        | Chemokine (C–C motif) receptor 7 |
| 6.76        | 0.000208| CCL1        | Chemokine (C–C motif) ligand 1 |
| 4.41        | 2.15E–11| CCRNB2      | Cyclin B2 |
| 4.42        | 8.05E–11| ANLN        | Anillin, actin binding protein |
| 4.11        | 0.000503| IL22        | Interleukin 22 |
| −2.07       | 0.000087| GAB2        | GRB2-associated binding protein 2 |
| −2.31       | 0.000002| TRAJ14      | T cell receptor alpha joining 14 |
| −2.33       | 0.000005| TNFRSF11A   | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily |
| −2.63       | 5.75E–07| IL10RA      | Interleukin 10 receptor, alpha |
| −3.65       | 0.000108| HIST1H2BG   | Histone cluster 1 |

---
We also observed an upregulation of CXCL10 gene that encodes for IFN-γ-induced protein 10. CXCL10 binds to CXCR3 receptor to promote tumor growth, migration, and invasion of cancer cells in several tumor types (37). CXCR3 is abundantly expressed on other activated effector cells including cytotoxic T-lymphocytes, natural killer cells, and T helper cells. It is possible that the upregulation of CXCL10 in Tregs may affect their homing and migration capacities. This may also influence their interaction with effector cells and may enhance their suppressive function.

Apart from the IFN-γ that is produced at the inflammation site, we also observed Tregs and their intrinsic IFN-γ gene in both samples (CRC- and P-derived Tregs). This observation is in line with increasing evidence indicating that intrinsic IFN-γ Tregs play a major role in both inductions of Tregs as well as immunosuppression (38, 39). Studies have shown that IFN-γ is produced from stable Foxp3+ Tregs and has an essential immune-regulatory function in preventing experimental graft-versus-host disease (38). IFN-γ Tregs develop rapidly during inflammation and represent the first line of Tregs that suppress initial immune responses (39). This supports our findings that Tregs-intrinsic IFN-γ production was essential for their immune suppressive function.

We took our analysis further in order to distinguish the genes that are only expressed in CRC- or P-derived Tregs using N-derived Tregs as the baseline. We found that CCR2 was highly expressed on CRC- but not in P-derived Tregs. CCR2 binds the ligand (CCL2) to exert its activity. Tumor environment has been reported to express a high level of CCL2 (40, 41). We postulate that CCR2 is important for Tregs migration to the cancer site. This may activate CCL2/CCR2 signaling pathway and is important in promoting tumor growth.

We also observed an overexpression of SOCS3 in Tregs derived from CRC. Overexpression of SOCS3 is correlated with decreased proliferation and suppressive function (42). SOCS3 binds to the Janus kinases directly inhibiting their kinase activity (43). It could be that upregulation of the SOCS3 gene was in response to cytokine stimulation in the CRC environment. It may be that the SOCS proteins produced by Tregs inhibit cytokine-induced signaling pathways in T effector cells or other immune cells. This is supported by other studies that show a strong correlation of SOCS3 in T cells that inhibit Th1 but promote Th2 development (44, 45).

We detected high CCR7 expression in Tregs derived from P compared to CRC population. CCR7 is used by Tregs for homing into lymph nodes (LNs), where they expand upon antigen stimulation and inhibit the generation of antigen-specific T cells and suppress effector cell responses (46). It is possible that LN homing is part of their homing mechanism used by all Tregs and its absence may hamper Tregs’ localization into functional LN microenvironments.

We also observed high expression of CCL1 in P-derived Tregs. CCL1 is highly differentially regulated in Tregs in the presence of cancer.
of IL-6 (47). Neutralization of this chemokine ligand decreased Treg numbers and inhibited Tregs’ conversion and suppressive function (47). Increased expression of CCL1 Tregs could be one of the factors that interrupt APCs/T cells interaction. This supports the import function of CCL1 in Treg suppression.

Distinct TCR repertoires are displayed by Treg populations. In our study, we observed the downregulation of TCR in both CRC- and P-derived Tregs. This is in agreement with other studies that described Foxp3 to potently repress some TCR-induced genes, as well as some genes that are involved in the TCR signaling pathway (48, 49). Indeed, the downregulation of TCR in Tregs does not affect their expansion, activity, and function. TCR was largely dispensable for Foxp3 expression, lineage stability, and for high expression of many Treg signature genes (49). This support our data that TCR may not be needed for Tregs’ suppression function in CRC and P patients.

In summary, the present study reports a distinct transcriptional profile of CD4+CD25+CD127loFoxP3+ Tregs derived from human CRC, P, and N. We suggest that the molecular signatures identified here may be important for Tregs function in homeostasis and immune suppression. We showed that the transcripts for cytokines, chemokines, and their receptors were highly expressed in CRC- and P-derived Tregs. This suggests their critical role for trafficking and regulating Tregs in CRC and P patients. The study may provide a better understanding of the immunomodulatory nature of Tregs and could, therefore, open up new avenues for immunotherapy.
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