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Abstract
The primary aim of this study is to determine the significant correlation between organizational commitment and distributed leadership among secondary school teachers in Malaysia. Using the instrument of Three-Dimensional Model (TCM) to measure the level of organizational commitment and Distributed Leadership Readiness Scale (DLRS) to measure the level of distributed leadership, the study specifically seeks to determine (1) the level of organizational commitment among secondary school’s teachers in Malaysia, (2) the level of distributed leadership among schools’ teachers in Malaysia, and (3) the relationship between distributed leadership and organizational commitment among secondary schools’ teachers in Malaysia from 350 respondents selected using a simple random stratified sampling technique. Data presented in this study were analyzed using a descriptive statistic to explain the level of variables and inferential statistics to find a correlation between these variables. Findings indicated that there is a significant correlation between organizational commitment and distributed leadership with \( r = 0.556, \ p = 0.000 \) at the strong level.
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Introduction
One of the characteristics of teachers’ quality is teacher must have a high commitment towards their job because high commitment among the employee is one of the key success factors of any organization (Ibrahim, Wan Sulaiman, Ibrahim, Abdul Malek, & Bausing, 2018; Wan Sulaiman, Ibrahim, & Mariappa, 2013; Metcalfe & Dick, 2002). Organizational commitment among teachers is important because a committed teacher can produce more promising learning outcomes among students (Ling, 2016). As stated in Malaysia Educational Blueprint (MEB) (2013), good teachers alone are not enough. Teachers will periodically update their knowledge to keep up with current education advancement and they need to attend training to keep up with their necessary professional knowledge and skills (Mustakim, et. al., 2020). Other factors too, can boost organizational commitment among teachers. There are many environmental factors influence teacher’s organizational commitment and the most
common variable by several comprehensive studies is leadership practice among principal (Meyer & Allen, 1997; Nguni et al., 2006; Ross & Gray, 2006; Dee, Henkin, & Singleton, 2006; Rehman, et al., 2012; Saeed et al., 2013). In an educational institution context, especially at school, principal leadership style influences teachers’ commitment towards their organization.

Rather than leaders or their positions, duties, habits, and processes, distributed leadership refers to the leadership practice. Rather than being a product of one or more leaders' decisions, leadership practice emerges from interactions between leaders and subordinates. The focus of the second wave of MEB begins from 2016 until 2020 is to enhance teacher career pathway and progression, and for principal is to elevate the profession and move towards distributed leadership. And, the third wave of MEB starts from 2021 until 2025 by focusing on creating a peer-led culture of professional excellence among teachers and school leaders. Previous research has shown that supportive principals have a positive effect on teachers' organizational engagement, with teachers being more committed to the school when principals provide guidance, motivation, recognition, and specific school objectives (Nguni et al., 2006; Park, 2005; Tsui & Cheng, 1999).

There are several definitions from scholars about distributed leadership. Elmore (2000) suggested the idea of distributed leadership is straightforward. To direct and complete several tasks that vary in size, complexity, and scope, this style of leadership relies on various sources of leadership within the organization (Elmore, 2000; Hoy & Miskel, 2013). In this study, the discussion of distributed leadership focused on four dimensions of Elmore (2000) distributed leadership theory. Goal, vision, and school objective; school culture; leadership practice; and sharing the burden are the four dimensions of dispersed leadership.

**Literature Review**

Many models have been developed by the previous scholar to discuss organizational commitment. This research used Mayer and Allen’s model (1990) or known as Three-Commitment Model (TCM). Organizational commitment is an attitude, a belief, a sense of attachment to and in an organization (Meyer & Alllen, 2004; Ling, 2016). Affective commitment, continuance commitment, and normative commitment are three components of Meyer and Allen's (1991) organizational commitment model. The first dimension is an affective commitment or also known as moral commitment is refers to the employees’ identification with and attachment to the organization (Mayer and Allen, 1997). For continuance commitment, it is the employees’ feeling to be committed to the organization due to the cost they must pay for leaving the organization. The sense of commitment among the employee is because of compliance or conformity because of rewards and punishment. Employees believe that remaining and working in the company is their obligation and responsibility as a result of this form of dedication (Meyer & Allen, 1991).

The professional learning community's mission, vision, and goals are considered the foundation. A shared vision, according to Neuman and Simmons (2000), includes concrete expectations with an emphasis on student achievement. School vision has also been defined as an educational forum in which the organization’s values shape the organization’s norms (Gordon, 2005). Teachers are empowered to cooperate, participate in school-based decision-making, participate in professional development, and promote the leadership of classroom
teachers in a community that supports distributed leadership (Murphy, 2005). If the organization pursues a shared goal, understands the change process, builds partnerships, fosters knowledge building, and aims for continuity, school environments can change as an added advantage (Fullan, 2001). The idea behind shared responsibility is that leadership should not be the responsibility of a single person, but rather should be shared among many people in an organization (Storey, 2004). There is no single best way to determine the effectiveness of a program implemented in school, neither the best method to present and describe the adequacy of every provision in a program implementation (Mustakim, 2018); the way school leaders identify, present, and carry out their interactions with others in the process of leading is called practice leadership (Gordon, 2005, p. 41).

Previous research has shown that supportive principals improve teachers' organizational engagement (Hulpia & Devos, 2010; Nguni et al., 2006; Park, 2005; Tsui & Cheng, 1999). Hulpia and Devos (2009) compared four schools with highly committed teachers to four schools with low committed teachers. Different leadership strategies affected organizational engagement, according to the findings. Teachers said they were more committed to the school if the leaders were approachable, dealt with issues quickly or encouraged teachers to engage, and closely monitored teachers' daily activities. The quality of positive leadership, teamwork within the leadership team, and participatory decision-making are all important factors in teachers' organizational engagement (Hulpia, Devos, & Van Keer, 2011).

Rabindarang and Bing (2012) studied the relationship between distributed leadership and organizational commitment among 31 teachers from seven vocational colleges. The result showed there is a significant correlation between distributed leadership and organizational commitment. Ali and Salisu (2015) researched distributed leadership and teacher organizational commitment. They found that a significant relationship between these two variables. Research by Marlia and Yahya (2016) among 298 teachers in Kedah showed distributed leadership had a significant correlation with teachers’ organizational commitment. However, the result just indicated the weak correlation between distributed leadership and organizational commitment at r=.31 when p< .01. Aboudahr and Jiali (2019) used the Distributed Leadership Inventory (DLI) developed by Hulpia et al. (2009) and the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ) developed by Mowday et al. to study 896 teachers in Baiyun districts in Guangzhou city (1979). The findings showed that the school's dispersed leadership and teachers' organizational engagement were linked positively.

Today, teachers used social media to express their stress and problem at their schools. Besides, there is also a newspaper report about teachers nowadays are unhappy with their job and some teachers choose to retire early (Harian, 2016). Effective solutions need to take by the authorities to ensure all teachers are committed because commitment among teachers can influence student academic achievement and school performance (Mohamad, Kasim, Zakaria, & Nasir, 2016). Previous literature found leadership influences organizational commitment (Hulpia & Devos, 2010; Rabindarang & Bing, 2012; Marlia & Yahya, 2016). And, this study was conducted to get concrete data on the significant correlation between organizational commitment and distributed leadership among secondary school teachers in Malaysia.
Methodology
This research employs a quantitative approach to provide a deeper understanding of the research issues. The primary objective of this research is to identify the significant correlation between organizational commitment and distributed leadership among secondary school teachers in Peninsular Malaysia. Specifically, the study seeks to:

[1] identify the level of organizational commitment among secondary school’s teachers in Malaysia,
[2] identify the level of distributed leadership among schools’ teachers in Malaysia, and
[3] determine the relationship between distributed leadership and organizational commitment among secondary schools’ teachers in Malaysia.

A survey was administered among 350 secondary school teachers from a selected school in Peninsular Malaysia using a simple random stratified sampling technique. This research utilized Three-Component Model (TCM) to measure organizational commitment and Distributed Leadership Readiness Scale (DLRS) to measure distributed leadership. Data obtained in this study were analyzed using a descriptive statistic to explain the level of variables and inferential statistics to find a correlation between these variables.

Results and Analysis
Findings of the level of Organizational Commitment among Secondary School Teachers in Peninsular Malaysia indicated that all dimensions of organizational commitment are at a moderate level. The highest is an affective commitment with M=3.70, SD=0.49. Then it was followed by continuance commitment with M=3.41, SD=0.54. Next is a normative commitment that is M=2.98, SD=0.52. Overall, the level of organizational commitment is moderate with M=3.37, SD=0.40. This means organizational commitment among secondary school teachers in Peninsular Malaysia is at a moderate level. Details about this finding are illustrated in Table 1:

Table 1: Level of Organizational Commitment

| Descriptive Statistic           | Mean | SD  | Level   |
|---------------------------------|------|-----|---------|
| Affective Commitment            | 3.74 | 0.50| Moderate|
| Continuance Commitment          | 3.26 | 0.55| Moderate|
| Normative Commitment            | 2.99 | 0.52| Moderate|
| Overall Organizational Commitment| 3.37 | 0.40| Moderate|

*Note: Low (1 – 2.33), Moderate (2.34 – 3.66), High (3.67 – 5), N = 350

Findings related to the level of Distributed Leadership among Secondary School Teachers in Peninsular Malaysia shows that all dimension is at a high level. The highest is school culture with M=4.19, SD=0.60. Then it followed by dimension sharing responsibility with M=4.15, SD=0.62. Next is dimension mission, vision, and school objective that is M=4.09, SD=0.58. The least but still at a high level is practice leadership with M=4.07, SD=0.57. Overall, the level of distributed leadership is high with M=4.12, SD=0.57. This mean, all secondary school principal in Peninsular Malaysia practiced distributed leadership at a high level. Details about this perception of secondary schools’ teachers towards their principal Distributed Leadership is illustrated in Table 2:
Table 2: Level of Distributed Leadership

| Descriptive Statistic                                                                 | Mean | SD  | Level |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| Mission, Vision, and School Objective Determination Partnership                       | 4.09 | .58 | High  |
| School Culture The effect on student achievement Cooperation and Collaboration        | 4.19 | .60 | High  |
| Professional Learning Communities                                                    |      |     |       |
| Sharing of Responsibility Decision Making Collaborative Decision Making Performance Evaluation Professional Development | 4.15 | .62 | High  |
| Practice Leadership Principalship                                                     | 4.06 | .70 | High  |
| Middle Leader                                                                         | 3.94 | .64 | High  |
| Overall Distributed Leadership                                                        | **4.12** | **0.57** | **High** |

*Note: Low (1 – 2.33), Moderate (2.34 – 3.66), High (3.67 – 5), N = 350*

Findings concerning the Significant Correlation between Distributed Leadership and Organizational Commitment among Secondary School Teachers in Peninsular Malaysia are highlighted in Table 3. This finding was analyzed using Pearson Correlation. The result of the correlation coefficient is based on Cohen (1998). From Table 3, results show there is a positive significant correlation between distributed leadership and organizational commitment ($r=.556$, $p=.000$) at the strong level.

Table 3: Correlation between distributed leadership and organizational commitment

| Variables                        | r   | P    | Level |
|----------------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| Distributed Leadership           | .556** | .000 | Strong |
| Organizational Commitment        |      |      |       |

Discussion

Generally, findings of this study indicated the level of organizational commitment among secondary school teachers in Malaysia is moderate, similarly as highlighted in a study administered by Nazari, Zaidatol, Ramli, and Kairuddin (2012), Colak, Altinkurt, and Yilmaz (2014), Ramalho Luz, de Paula, and de Oliveira (2016), Akdemir and Ayik (2017), and Mohammed Aboudahr and Jiali (2019). While outcomes from distributed leadership show all four dimensions are at the high level and it contributed the high level for overall distributed leadership, similar to result encountered in the study conducted by Rahman (2015); Harun et al (2016); Marlia and Yahya (2016); Mayan and Mansor (2020).

According to Powell and Meyer (2004) in Ling (2016), Malaysian teachers are very much influenced by continuance commitment which is related to the investment for this context is pension, benefits, seniority, and special competencies that the employee gained by working for a long time in the organization. Workers feel committed to their company
because of the costs they believe are associated with quitting, while employees interpret their relationship with the organizations of whether their beliefs and interests are congruent with those of the organization's loyalty (Meyer & Allen, 1991; Wong & Tong, 2014). Result in this study showed only affective commitment is at a high level meanwhile the other two dimensions, continuance commitment, and normative commitment, is at a moderate level. The result shows that secondary school teacher in Malaysia has a moderate level towards organizational commitment and show the high level of affective commitment compared to the continuance commitment.

The results show there is a positive significant correlation between distributed leadership and organizational commitment at the strong level. This finding is similar to the research conducted by Ali and Salisu (2015); Marlia and Yahya (2016); Baddiri and Abdullah (2017), and Samancioglu, Baglibel, and Erwin (2020) that shows a significant correlation between distributed leadership and organizational commitment. This result shows, the high level of distributed leadership practice and organizational commitment among secondary school teachers are at a moderate level.

Conclusion
In conclusion, this research focused on organizational commitment and distributed leadership among secondary school teachers in Peninsular Malaysia. Organizational commitment is important to study because a committed teacher can produce more promising learning outcomes among students and previous research proved it influenced to dent academic achievement and school effectiveness (Park, 2005; Ling, 2016). This research found that the level of organizational commitment among secondary school teachers in Peninsular Malaysia is at a moderate level. Meanwhile, the perception of teachers toward distributed leadership practice by their principals at a high level. There is a significant correlation between distributed leadership and organizational commitment among secondary school teachers in Peninsular Malaysia.

The low level of motivation among teachers is extensively recognized as one of the most pressing challenges in Malaysia's educational system. Several studies have identified several elements that contribute to this issue. But what about the schools with the lowest levels of teacher dissatisfaction? One key element that could be contributing to this disparity is the usage of distributed leadership in schools, which refers to principals that share power and responsibility with their teachers. This strategy has been found to be an effective strategy to promote organizational commitment among secondary school teachers in Peninsular Malaysia by helping to create relationships between principals and teachers so that both feel a sense of ownership in academic accomplishment.

The finding of this research contributed to the existing knowledge of organizational commitment and distributed leadership theories based on Malaysian culture and thought. The result of this research shows organizational commitment is at moderate level. Continuance commitment and normative commitment score moderate level while affective commitment is at high level. This means secondary school teachers in Peninsular Malaysia committed to their school because of their positive emotional attachment to the organization and they willing to commit to school without concerning of material benefit.
This research implies that teachers' modest organizational engagement is influenced by distributed leadership approaches. Distributed leadership is highlighted in the Malaysia Education Blueprint as one of the finest leadership style methods based on best practices in developed nations. To promote teacher organizational engagement, principals should strengthen their abilities to adopt a dispersed leadership style at work and establish an exciting and inclusive environment. Aside from that, the administrator should put in place a program like continual professional development to keep teachers engaged and prevent burnout.
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