Error Correction in Nanopore Reads for de novo Genomic Assembly
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Abstract. The purpose of genome sequencing is to determine the DNA sequence of a given organism. Current sequencing technologies can be classified by the type of output data. Whereas Nanopore technology generates long reads with high error rates, short read technologies - such as Illumina sequencing - generate shorter reads but with low error rate. Since de novo genome assembly of sequencing reads is defined as a NP-hard problem, it remains as one of the major challenges for defining reference genomes of different species. This paper aims to improve the quality of reads obtained through Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT). We developed an algorithm to associate the reads obtained from Illumina with the ones obtained with Nanopore. Low accuracy ONT reads were corrected with the high quality Illumina reads to achieve an improved sequencing data. The inclusion of this algorithm as a preprocessing step resulted in improved coverage, contig length, and mismatch rate when performing de novo genome assembly of a bacterial genome with well known tools.
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1 Introduction

Genomics has advanced notoriously in recent years, currently there are several technologies allowing the DNA sequencing of different species in a simpler process at a tiny fraction of the cost of 20 years ago [6]. Thanks to these advances many species have been sequenced, but the vast majority still have not gone through the process of defining their reference genome, which empirically implies that their genetic maps remains unknown. In order to obtain biologically meaningful information from sequencing data, unreferenced species must still undergo through a process known as de novo assembly.
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De novo assembly aims to reconstruct the genome from the reads obtained in the sequencing process, without having any information aside of the reads.

There is a wide range of technologies to perform DNA sequencing. In this work we selected two technologies based on their cost and the read length they can generate. The first is Illumina, a short reads technology, with reads of maximum 300 nucleotides and very low error rates but a high processing cost when assembling an entire genome. The second, Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT), technology of long reads, that provides reads of variable size (commonly 10,000 nucleotides), but with a higher percentage of error and a low cost of processing when assembling in time and resources.

1.1 DNA Sequencing

All living beings have a unique and unrepeatable deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) which contains all the genetic information of the individual.

The DNA consists of four nucleotides, adenine (A), thymine (T), guanine (G) and cytosine (C), which are intertwined forming DNA sequences that give rise to genes. The order of the nucleotides will determine the genes that the organism can express, that is, the biological instructions.

DNA sequencing is the process that aims to determine the order of nucleotides of a DNA molecule [18].

1.2 Next Generation Sequencing

Next generation sequencing technologies (NGS) allow sequencing of a species using new strategies that generate a very high number of genome reads with less effort, lower cost, and much less time per sequenced nucleotide [15]

Today there are different NGS platforms (IonTorrent, Illumina, PacBio, Oxford Nanopore) that differ in the used sequencing chemistry. An important difference between the sequencers is the quantity and quality of the data, some tools deliver sequences of very small fixed sizes while others deliver very long reads but of variable size, also each technology has different percentages of error [1].

Sequencing technologies deliver the raw data, that is, a file with the genetic information divided into disordered fragments. Each fragment is called read.

The size and accuracy of the reads will depend on the used technology. To obtain the final sequence in an orderly manner, some assembly method must be used to join the reads and reconstruct the genome.

This project will use a technology of long reads, Oxford Nanopore and Illumina, as a technology of short reads.

**Oxford Nanopore.** This technology through the use of electrical signals determines the nucleotide to be sequenced. Through this method very long reads of variable length can be obtained.
It is a technology of high portability and low cost. Its ability to determine DNA fragments of greater length is one of its advantages [3]. But its high percentage of error compared to short read technologies remains its bigger drawback.

**Illumina.** This technology uses the method of “synthesis sequencing”, where nucleotides are labeled with fluorescent colors that generate light signals that will determine the nucleotide to be sequenced [12].

Illumina has the advantage of providing a low cost per base pair and low error rates. However, one of its biggest disadvantages is the short length of this reads. Therefore, the processing to rebuild the genome is computationally expensive, and often unachievable when there is no reference genome to map the reads.

Reads assembly remains major challenge in DNA sequencing, whereas longer reads may help to facilitate this process, the read length is inversely proportional to read accuracy. Therefore, we aimed to improve the accuracy of long reads by the development of a preprocessing algorithm to facilitate genome assembly. This paper presents a preprocessing strategy for sequences obtained with Oxford Nanopore, with the aim to improve reads accuracy. For this, we start with a correction algorithm, which uses Illumina sequences, to then make an assembly of improved reads. With this strategy we achieve improvements in genome coverage and lower error rates.

## 2 Genome Assembly

The sequencing process delivers DNA information divided into fragments. In order to extract the relevant information, sequencing reads must be assembled, i.e. aligned and merged in order to reconstruct the original sequence. Genome assembly and can be of two types, comparative assembly and *de novo* assembly.

### 2.1 *de novo* assembly

*De novo* assembly is a process used to reconstruct genomes, without having prior knowledge of its genomic organization. In this type of assembly a reference genome is not used, but only the information contained in the sequenced reads. This type of assembly is generally used when sequencing the genome of a species that has not been previously referenced.

**Error Correction Algorithms in Long Reads.** Currently there are different ways to perform *de novo* assembly. In this work the strategy will be to make an error correction before making the assembly to identify and correct errors.

The error correction algorithms for long reads can be divided into three approaches [19]:

- Algorithms based on alignment of short reads: Short reads are aligned with long reads and these alignments are used to correct errors in long reads.
Algorithms based on assemblies of short reads: The short reads are assembled. Long reads align with the assembly and are used to correct long reads.

- Algorithms using only long reads: The reads are self-corrected through consensus sequences obtained by overlays or alignments of the same reads.

There are several tools for the correction, in this work we selected Lordec [17].

The Fig. 1 presents a scheme used in this work to make de novo assembly with the steps previously described. In the second step (preprocessing) we include the algorithm explained in this work.

![Fig. 1. Scheme of Pipeline used to de novo assembly.](image)

### 3 Algorithm

In this work we developed an algorithm to associate the short reads obtained from Illumina with the ones obtained with Nanopore. We use a three step approach, starting with candidates selection, followed by filtering of short reads, and finally Nanopore read improvement through consensus.

![Fig. 2. First part of the algorithm: candidates selection](image)

The first step is built upon the methodology presented by Kawulok J. [4]. This algorithm was adapted to perform a search for candidate reads within the Illumina library based on Levenshtein distance to Nanopore reads as presented in Algorithm 1. Given a Nanopore read, the Illumina reads that are similar are selected. First an index of k-mers is created, which stores all the sub-sequences of a defined size k along with their positions, then it is divide the Illumina read
into \( N \) fragments of a size \( k \). Each fragment is searched in the index and those that are found become Illumina candidates. This is explained graphically in the Fig. 2.

**Algorithm 1.** Candidates selection

**Input:** \( ont \): Nanopore read to improve

**Input:** \( ill\_reads \): Illumina reads

**Input:** \( k \): Size of Illumina fragments to use

**Output:** \( new\_ont \): New improved Nanopore read through Illumina reads

**Assumes:** \( create\_index(ont, k) \): Create \( k\)-mers index for Nanopore read

**Assumes:** \( get\_slices(illumina, k) \): Split the Illumina read obtaining \( N \) fragments of a size \( k \)

**Assumes:** \( search(fragment\_illumina, ont) \): Search, align and calculate the distance between the Illumina read and the ONT read based on the Illumina fragment.

1: \( index\_ont \leftarrow create\_index(ont, k) \)
2: for \( illumina \) in \( illumina\_reads \) do
3: \( slices\_ill \leftarrow get\_slices(ill, k) \)
4: for \( fragment\_illumina \) in \( slices\_ill \) do
5: if \( fragment\_ill \) in \( index\_ont \) then
6: \( pos, dist \leftarrow search(ont, fragment\_illumina) \)
7: Appends \( illumina \) to \( candidatos \)
8: \( cands\_m, pos\_m \leftarrow define\_cand(cands, pos) \)
9: \( new\_ont \leftarrow consensus(cands\_m, pos\_m, seq\_ont) \)
10: return \( new\_ont \)

In the second step reads were filtered on basis of the estimated error rate of Nanopore sequencing technology. After selecting the candidates, were analyzed with the function \( define\_cand \) (Algorithm 2) where Illumina reads serve to improve the Nanopore reads.

**Algorithm 2.** Selection of Illumina candidates apt to improve Nanopore read

**Input:** \( dist\_max \): User defined percentage to determine accepted range of error between Illumina read and Nanopore read

1: function \( DEFINE\_CAND(cands, pos) \)
2: \( dist\_max \leftarrow (size\_ill \ast (dist\_max)) \)
3: for \( id\_ill \) in \( cands \) do
4: if \( cands[id\_ill] \leq dist\_max \) then
5: Appends \( id\_ill \) to \( cands\_mejora \)
6: Appends \( pos[id\_ill] \) to \( pos\_mejora \)
return \( cands\_mejora, pos\_mejora \)

Lastly, the Nanopore reads were improved with the information obtained in earlier steps (Algorithm 3).
Algorithm 3. Nanopore read improvement through consensus

**Assumes:** get\_max\_nucleotide(cons, pos): Look at the position pos which nucleotide has greater distance weighting in the consensus array

1: function **consensus**(cands, pos, ont)
2:  for ill in cands do
3:   start ← pos
4:   end ← pos + size + 1
5:   p ← 0
6:   for x in start to end do
7:     for nucl in 'ACGT' do
8:       if ill[p] == nucl then
9:         cons[nucl][x] ← cons[nucl][x] + 1/dist
10:        p++
11:     new\_ont ← ""
12:   for pos\_ont in 0 to length(ont)-1 do
13:     max\_nucl ← get\_max\_nucleotide(cons, pos\_ont)
14:     Concatenates new\_ont with max\_nucl
15: return new\_ont

4 Results

4.1 Experimental Environment

Different tools were used during the development of this project:

- Sequence alignment: BWA [7], Minimap2 [9]
- Analysis and manipulation of sequences: Samtools [10], Tablet [11], Quast [2], Qualimap [13].
- Error correction: Lordec [17].
- De novo assembly: Miniasm [8], Smartdenovo [16], Flye [5].

The alignment, analysis and manipulation of sequences were used to validate data and sintonize the algorithm.

The Python programming language was used to develop the algorithm along with different libraries, including Biopython for the management of biological data. This work was implemented a multicore version of the algorithm proposed.

**Data Set.** It was decided to use bacterial sequencing libraries of *Escherichia coli*. The sequencing libraries used were obtained from the NCBI database.

Below are the libraries used along with their most important features.

**Illumina Library Metrics:**

- Amount of reads: 893,383
- Mapped reads with the reference: 85.31%
- Duplicate Reads: 26.34%
- Error percentage with reference: 3.14%
Oxford Nanopore Library Metrics

- Amount of reads: 15,911
- Mapped reads with the reference: 95.87%
- Duplicate Reads: 4.13%
- Error percentage with reference: 22.67%

4.2 Experimental Results

After performing different tuning experiments, we tested the potential benefit of the preprocessing algorithm proposed here with three different de novo assemblers: Miniasm, Smartdenovo and Flye. We performed de novo assembly of a testing data-set detailed in the 4.1 section under three different conditions:

- Basic assembly: Assembly made with the original Nanopore reads (without any preprocessing or correction step).
- Lordec Assembly: Assembly performed only with the error correction of the original reads with the Lordec tool.
- Improved Assembly: Assembly performed after preprocessing with our tool (step two of Fig.1) and correction with Lordec tool.

The Table 1 presents the results obtained by using the three assemblers mentioned above. Under the analyzed conditions the inclusion of a preprocessing step as proposed here results in a significant increase of total aligned fraction, the size of largest contig and genome fraction coverage.

|                        | Genom fraction (%) | Total aligned length | Largest contig | Mismatches per 100 kbp | Indels per 100 kbp |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Miniasm                |                    |                      |                |                        |                   |
| Basic assembly         | 2.5%               | 206                  | 259,582        | 2,444.65               | 14.81             |
| Lordec assembly        | 66.463%            | 3,453,423            | 308,407        | 3,541.52               | 97.95             |
| Improved assembly      | 69.497%            | 3,609,974            | 434,975        | 2,967.11               | 133.01            |
| Smartdenovo            |                    |                      |                |                        |                   |
| Basic assembly         | 2.918%             | 149,865              | 619,175        | 2,305.21               | 6.01              |
| Lordec assembly        | 63.571%            | 3,273,485            | 1,605,886      | 3,829.54               | 187.43            |
| Improved assembly      | 67.073%            | 3,454,852            | 1,609,702      | 3,224.38               | 193.48            |
| Flye                   |                    |                      |                |                        |                   |
| Basic assembly         | 71.002%            | 3,675,484            | 880,453        | 3,306.01               | 1171.86           |
| Lordec assembly        | 76.772%            | 3,958,214            | 1,259,122      | 2,436.21               | 319.19            |
| Improved assembly      | 76.774%            | 3,963,040            | 1,661,394      | 2,361.44               | 293.19            |
The basic assembly covers a very small fraction of the genome when using Miniasm and Smartdenovo assemblers, this is due to the low coverage of the Nanopore data set used, the inclusion of the Lordec error correction tool improves this results. The integration of our preprocessing algorithm further improves the assembly. The integration of our tool no only resulted in increased coverage but also in a lower number of mismatches per 100 Kb. The number of indels may be increased or decreased depending on the analyzed assembly tool.

5 Conclusions

Currently, the availability and accessibility to NGS technology has increased dramatically, and consequently the amount of sequencing data generated. However, the assembly of new genomes remains challenging and is defined as a NP-hard problem [14]. This paper presents an algorithm to associate high accuracy short reads with error prone long reads, that could add potential benefits when included as a preprocessing step during de novo assembly.

Our approach allows additional benefit in terms of genome coverage, contig length, and mismatch rate when performing genome assembly with Miniasm, Smartdenovo, and Flye. This improvement is also sizable when including error correction steps with tools such as Lordec.

The benefit of the here proposed approach in terms of coverage ranges from 5 Kb to 181 Kb in a small sized bacterial genome, indicating its potential for helping the advancement in de novo assembly strategies. These results warrant further research in computational optimization of the algorithm, analysis of its performance with larger genomes, and its integration into more complex pipelines.
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