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ABSTRAK

Karakteristik utama akuifer pada kawasan Karst Gunung Sewu adalah sistem infiltrasinya yang dominan atas rekahan-celahannya sehingga menimbulkan masalah pencemaran karena buruknya filtrasi. Salah satu sumber air yang ada di kawasan ini adalah Mataair Baron. Mengingat pentingnya Mataair Baron sebagai sumber air bersih, studi ini dilakukan untuk mengetahui pencemaran yang terjadi di Mataair Baron dan aspek-aspek yang mempengaruhinya. Dari kajian tersebut, diharapkan dapat disampaikan rekomendasi pengelolaan lingkungan yang memperhatikan aspek-aspek pembangunan berkelanjutan dan perencanaan ruang yang tepat guna. Dalam mencapai tujuan tersebut, analisis dalam studi ini menggunakan pendekatan keruangan dan hidrologis. Pendekatan hidrologis dilakukan dengan memeriksa parameter-parameter kualitas cuplikan air pada Mataair Baron dan menghitung indeks pencemaran untuk mengetahui kualitas air dan status pencemaran. Hasil tersebut kemudian dikaji lebih mendalam dengan pendekatan keruangan dengan menggunakan data geomorfologis dan penggunaan lahan untuk kemudian menentukan faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi kualitas dan status air tersebut. Hasil yang didapatkan adalah Mataair Baron berada pada status cemar ringan dengan jumlah TSS mencapai 97,1 mg/L, bikarbonat mencapai 96 mg/L, total koliform 210 MPN/100ml, dan total minyak lemak mencapai 2 mg/L dan telah melalui bakumutu yang ditetapkan. Angka tersebut berkorelasi dengan penggunaan lahan di sepanjang daerah tangkapan dimana banyak terdapat pemukiman dan lubang-lubang pengatusan. Untuk menanganinya, strategi-strategi pengelolaan yang dilakukan perlu berjalan dalam dua dimensi yakni dimensi sosio-cultural dan dimensi keruangan. Setiap dimensi harus terkait dan tidak dapat dipisahkan satu dengan yang lainnya. Pengelolaan daerah tangkapan juga perlu dilakukan dengan menggandeng seluruh pemangku kepentingan yang ada.
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ABSTRACT

Gunung Sewu's karstic aquifer characteristic of fracture-fissure water conduit leads to pollution problem in the water resources. One main source of water used is the Baron Spring. This study aims to analyze the water quality of the Baron Spring toward the land use in the catchment area and provide recommendations for spatial planning and water management in certain area. This research used spatial analysis of GIS and water quality was analyzed by Pollution Index. The results showed that the Baron Spring was in a mild contaminated state with amount of TSS mencapai 97.1 mg/L, bicarbonate mencapai 96 mg/L, total coliform 210 MPN/100ml, and total oil and fat 2 mg/L which have exceeded the water source quality standards. Those results are related with the land use in the catchment area where settlement is dense in the upstream, also because of the distribution of settlement and sinkhole in the middle-part of catchment. In coping with the contamination and pollution several strategies are urged to be designed and implemented. Strategies comprised by two approaches, socio-cultural and spatial approach. Each of the approaches is linked and should not be separated. Management of catchment including stakeholder involvement and spatial planning are essential to be considered.
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1. Introduction

Karst landscape is well known for its uniqueness and typical features. Processes and dynamics of this landscape are the main factors which make karst become different among other landscapes. The key process in karst landscape is the solution of the limestone. Limestone is relatively soluble compared to other rocks in the world. The dissolution creates mostly surface and subsurface features where water flows through the cracks and fissures all over the karst area (Bonacci, 1987; Milanovic, 2005). It eventually leads to the peculiar and unique hydrological properties which characterized by its aquifer, porosity and permeability, anisotropic and heterogeneity (Haryono & Adjie, 2004). Complexity of karst area makes it easily affected by human activities (Burri, Castiglioni, Sauro, 1999). Currently, karst supplies 25% of water needs all over the globe (Ford & Williams, 2007) and higher precentage in the region with mostly karst area, its water supplies are provided by karst landscape.

Because it mainly comprises by secondary porosity, water which flows in karst is poorly filtered which leads to the main problem of karst hydrology: the pollution of groundwater (Bakalowicz, 2011). Pollutions may come from human activity and land use such as domestic sector, agriculture, or even limestone mining (Naja, Rivero, et al., 2010). Inherently, due to the karstification and souliton process, karst water contains major ions such as Natrium, Magnesium, and Calcium (Perez-Ceballos, Pacheo-Avila, et al., 2011). Those characteristics may lead to the health issue hence it becomes one of the main focuses at karst groundwater preservation and conservation.

It is imperative to comprehend and manage the water issues at karst area. It requires an examination on hydrological response and aquifer in order to comprehend the hydrological characteristics of a particular karst area (Ford, 2003; Kiraly, 2003; Adjie, 2009; Vysoka, Bruthans, Zak, et al., 2011). Through a thorough examination, it can be concluded that hydrological characteristics of karst yield certain vulnerability (Marin, Andreo, & Mudarra, 2015; Marin, Andreo, Jimenez-Sanchez, 2011; Pratwiti, 2013; Prayogo et al., 2015; Zhu, Wang, Hu, et al., 2019).

Taking water issues in karst also means embracing it on the terms of sustainable development. Point number 6 "Clean Water and Sanitation" of 17 goals at Sustainable Development Goals directly related to the water quality issues at karst area. This paper aims to elaborate the importance and significance of water quality and the factors which induced it. Furthermore, it also examines and develops the notion on how sustainable development is a fundamental concept on karst management, vis a vis on how karst’s hydrological conservation is basically an effort toward sustainable development (Van Beynen, Brinkmann, van Beynen, 2012; Brinkmann & Parise, 2012).

2. Methodology

This aims to analyze and monitor water quality at Baron Spring as one of the main source of water supply. Sampling method to use was purposive sampling where Baron Spring was selected because all of the water in Bribin Underground System flows to this spring. The pollution will be accumulated and can be used to reflect how much the pollution all along the Underground River is.

A. Spatial Analysis

Spatial analysis was used to help understand the factors which affect the water quality holistically. From the spatial analysis, it can be known what kind of human activities impact the water quality. This analysis was done using Geographic Information System (GIS) and Image. GIS is a fruitful tools in building a strategic and efficient development plan spatially as well in karst area (Komac & Urbanc, 2012) Data used in GIS were land use, Underground River, and ponors and caves distribution and the images used were SPOT 6 to detect and verify the land use.

For the GIS, data are obtained from various sources, for example Peta Rupa Bumi Indonesia (Peta RBI) from Badan Informasi Geospasial for the land use and Surface River. Geological map is obtained from Geological Agency for Gunung Sewu area.

Water Quality Analysis

Primary data collection is done through water sampling, aimed to determine water quality data through testing. Sampling points were selected based on water flow analysis of baron spring. Water samples were stored in a liter sample bottles.

Water quality status were analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively, based on the result of laboratory test of water quality for both chemical and physic parameters. Data analyzed based on Government Regulation No.82/2001, class I for the raw water.

Pollution Index of Baron Spring

Pollution index or PI is one of method of measurement water quality status to determine pollution or contamination level relative on permitted water quality parameter. This method was developed at the first place by Nemerow & Sumitomo (1970). The classification of water quality status are based on PI score (Minister of Environment Decree No.115/2003) at Table 1.

| PI Score | Category               |
|----------|------------------------|
| < 1      | Good water quality     |
| 1 < PI ≤ 5 | Moderately Polluted  |
| 5 < PI ≤ 10 | Polluted            |
| PI > 10  | Extremely Polluted     |

Pollution index describe the suggestion of water quality status to determine the action or policy of the river's or water management (Sunyawati, Sunyoto,
3. Results and Discussions

A. Water Chemistry and Quality

Water quality status were analysed as qualitatively and quantitatively, based on the result of laboratory test of water quality (obtained April 22nd; 2017) for both chemical and physic parameters. Data analysed based on Government Regulation No.82/2001, class I for the raw water. The result of laboratory test of Water quality can be seen at Table 2.

**Table 2. Laboratory Result of Baron Spring**

| Parameters | Unit | Value |
|------------|------|-------|
| TSS        | mg/L | 97.1* |
| TDS        | mg/L | 368   |
| Nitrit (NO2-N) | mg/L | 0.0009 |
| Nitrat (NO3-) | mg/L | 5.542  |
| Posphat (PO4-P) | mg/L | 0.025  |
| Calcium (Ca2+) | mg/L | 84     |
| CaCO3      | mg/L | 84    |
| Bicarbonate (HCO3-) | mg/L | 96*    |
| Oil        | mg/L | 2*    |
| Coliform Total | MPN/100 ml | 21.0* |

(*) = Exceed of quality standard of Government Regulation No.82/2001. Data were obtained on April, 22nd 2017

Total Suspended Solids (TSS) value on the sampel exceeds the parameter threshold number. It supposes to be below 50 mg/L yet it reaches 97 mg/L. TSS parameter represents suspensions in water where they are not dissolved. It can be easily observed by the turbidity of water.

Phosphate is one of the surface water quality parameter. Phosphate compounds dissolve in rocks. A movement of the earth’s base will cause the rise of phosphate compound to the earth surface and surface water. Based on the results of laboratory tests, the phosphate content in baron spring samples is 0.025 mg/L. Testing of phosphate aims to determine pollutant factors derived from phosphate compounds. Phosphate content is usually obtained from erosion, weathering, household and industrial waste disposal. Emissions of cleaning agents such as soap and detergents contain phosphate. In addition, industrial activities both large and small scale will increase the amount of pollutants such as phosphate.

Phosphate is one of the elements for plants breed. The phosphate contained in the soil or water will be absorbed by the roots of plants to support the plant growth process. Hence, phosphate can trigger the growth of plants. They can disrupt the flow of current or water in the river. In addition, these plants will reduce the availability of oxygen levels in the water. It disrupts the metabolic processes of bio-organism and other microorganisms in the water.

The bicarbonate content in water can make water become alkaline and affect the pH of water. Based on the results of laboratory tests, the bicarbonate content in Baron source water samples is 96 mg/L. This value exceeds the standard limit for class I surface water quality. While the total content of Calcium and Hardness in the baron source water sample is 84 mg/L. This value still meets the limits of class I water quality standards in Government Regulation No. 82 of 2001. According to Ruliasih (2001), hardness can be softened or eliminates / reduces hardness (Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ content) in water. The methods are: heating, the process of deposition or the process of lime soda, and also ion exchange. Heating: MgCO₃ salt is soluble in cold water, but the higher the water temperature, the smaller the solubility of MgCO₃, even to become insoluble and can precipitate. CaCO₃ salts are less solubility than MgCO₃, so even in cold water so that some CaCO₃ settles, in more deposition hot water. Based on these properties, the hardness produced by the Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ ratio can be removed by heating. It can also cause sedimentation in heating equipment.

Based on the results of laboratory tests, the total oil value in the baron spring is 2 mg/L. This value has exceeded the class I water quality standard of 1 mg/L. Oil and fat content in the water will reduce the penetration of light and oxygen into the water so that it inhibits the rate of natural purification. The real impact of the presence of oil and fat on the surface of the water is the obstruction of sunlight penetration which means reducing the rate of photosynthesis in the water. The closure will also reduce oxygen free input from air to water. Lack of photosynthesis rate and oxygen input from the air will interfere with organisms in the water. Decomposition of fat and oil under conditions of lack of oxygen 2 will cause incomplete decomposition, causing a rancid odor.

Nevertheless, numbers of the alkalinity and calcium ions on the result are below the standard and relatively low. It may be caused by the hydraulic property and the water flow where the sample was taken. It was a rainy season and the water flow is high. The effect of the season on the hydraulic properties will be explained later on the next section.

The highest treat on the water quality at Baron Spring was the Coliform Total because it may yield diarrhea epidemic to the people who use the water. It exceeded far higher than the standard allowed more than 4 times folded, 210 MPN/100 ml while the standard only allowed 50 MPN/100 ml. the coliform,
generally is one of the threatening aspect regarding to the water quality. Poorly filtrated water and numerous sources of coliform are the main factor of the Coliform contamination. However, number of Coliform at the Baron River alters depending on the season. Coliform numbers tend to be higher on rainfall season because of the increasing washing of bacteria from the surface (Matthies & Obst, 2011). Uncontrolled septic tank also may lead to the contamination of Coliform.

B. Pollution Index

The analysis and analysis to determine the pollution index can be seen on the Table below.

| Table 3. Pollution Index at Baron Spring |
|-----------------------------------------|
| **No.** | **Parameter (permkenes)** | **Unit** | **Baron Spring** | **Ci/Lij** | **Ci/Lij** | **New Ci/Lij** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | TSS | mg/L | 97.1 | 50 | 1.942 | 2.441 |
| 2 | TDS | mg/L | 368 | 1000 | 0.368 | 0.368 |
| 3 | Nitrite (NO2-N) | mg/L | 0.0099 | 0.06 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 4 | Nitrate | mg/L | 5.542 | 10 | 0.554 | 0.554 |
| 5 | Phosphate (PO4-P) | mg/L | 0.025 | 0.2 | 0.125 | 0.125 |
| 6 | Calcium (Ca2+) | mg/L | 84 | 150 | 0.56 | 0.560 |
| 7 | Alkalinity (CaCO3) | mg/L | 84 | 500 | 0.168 | 0.168 |
| 8 | Bicarbonate (HCO3-) | mg/L | 96 | 10 | 9.6 | 5.911 |
| 9 | Oil and Fat | mg/L | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2.505 |
| 10 | Coliform Total | MPN/100ml | 210 | 50 | 4.2 | 4.116 |

(Ci/Lij)M
(Ci/Lij)R
Pij
Category
| 4.116 |
| 1.676 |
| 3.143 |
| Moderately Polluted |

Referring to the Minister of Environmental Decree Number 115 Year 2003, the sample of the water can be categorized as moderately polluted. Moderately polluted water could be possibly used for several utilizations. Recommendation for this level of polluted water is for the recreational purpose, fresh water aquaculture, and irrigation.

People may use this water for domestic and household purpose but with strict precautions and is not recommended. However, since the water quality in karst area is commonly poor, several treatments could be used in order to increase the quality of water hence make it more suitable for the direct usage. For the drinking water, it is obligatorily boiled first to kill the coliform bacteria. Coliform bacteria contamination may lead to digestive disease such as diarrhea. Treatment by using active carbon or arang (in the traditional terms) to absorb the pollutant is recommended considering it is easy to use (Siong, Idris, Atabaki, 2013; Fares, Aissa, Bouadi et al., 2018). Usage of carbon will decline the number of oil and fat and the suspended material in the water.

It may be not exceed the threshold yet still imperative to be considered. The amount of Calcium and the Bicarbonate ion in the water needs to get a certain attention. Long term of calcium accumulation may lead to the kidney stone which is common in the karst area. To deal with it, water is needed to be still for at least one night to let the calcium precipitated into the bottom of the water and separated from the water.

Fig. 1. Maps of Existing Land Use
Sources of E. coli are likely from feces of human and animal hence, spatially there are numbers of feces sources were located at the catchment area. Industrial activity and hospital in Wonosari City also contributes to the pollution. In the northern part, a surface and fluvial type is observed. Many surface river which eventually disappear into underground at the physiographic boundary. At the southern side, the water enters ground through poron in a closed depression or doline. The difference of landform causes different land use. Where in the north, because it is topographically flatter that the southern side, it is easier to build settlement hence drives the northern side to become urban area.

C. Spatial Analysis

The result from lab shows that the water is polluted by Coliform and high amount of bicarbonate ion, oil and fat, and the Total Suspended Solid. Sources of E. coli are likely from feces of human and animal hence, spatially there are numbers of feces sources located at the catchment area.

There are two types of catchment area in the Bribin-Baron system. At the northern part, a surface and fluvial type is observed. Many surface river which eventually disappear into underground at the physiographic boundary. At the southern south, the water enters ground through poron in a closed depression or doline. The difference of landform causes different land use. Where in the north, because it is topographically flatter that the southern south, it is easier to build settlement hence drives the northern side to become urban area.

C.1. Urban and Settlement

In the north side of the catchment area where most of the water comes from surface to become an underground river, situated a vast area of settlement and urban area. Urban area is one of the main sources of pollution in karst area. In terms of contamination from urban area, pollutant can be divided into “spills” or “leaks” (Vesper, Loop, & White, 2003).

From the maps, it can be seen that feces source is land use for settlement or urban area where many septic tank and toilet in that particular area. Industrial activity and hospital in Wonosari City also contributes to the pollution on the surface water which eventually enters the sub-surface flow. It can be considered as the main source of E. coli. Because the water directly enters the ground through sinkhole, the water is poorly filtered and all of pollutants are carried away along with the water flow. Oil, as well as E. Coli, is likely produced from human activity, particularly from household. It originates from chemical compound like cooking oil from household or oil spills in the road and washed away by rainfall into sewage and eventually enters the karst conduit.

C.2. Farming and Agricultural Activities

In the southern part of the catchment area, the land use was dominated by field. This field strongly depends on rainfall. When the rain was abundant, this field is cultivated with peanut (Arachis hypogea) or rice (Oryza sativa) and when the rainfall was few, it is cultivated with cassava. Fertilizer is moderately used. At this particular area, pollutant can be washed away directly to poron and small cave all along the catchment area.

Farming and agricultural activity may affect and pollute groundwater in karst area (Baker & Groves, 2008). In the catchment area dry field and rice field has a very large proportion with area of 33.000 ha. It is strongly related with the high number of phosphate and nitrate in the water sample. Not only comes from the fertilizer, phosphates are also produced from decaying animal or plant. Rainfall dropping in the field washes away the decaying material from the animal and plant into groundwater. Nevertheless, the phosphate and the nitrate number still below the threshold number.

D. Infiltration Characteristics

Infiltration on karst area is unique because it consists by several characteristic. Based on the water flow source, karst area may have two type of source which are autogenic and allogenic. Autogenic flow happens where hydrometeoreogical water or precipitation falls into a certain area of karst and directly goes underground through sinkhole or poron. Allogenic happens where precipitation falls outside the karst area but then flows back into karst area and goes underground.

Based on the location and its infiltration characteristics, hydrological system on Karst of Gunung Sewu can be categorized into three different zone (Kusumayudha, 2002) which are: Panggang Zone, Wonosari Basin, Sadeng Zone. Panggang zone mostly consists by autogenic water infiltration and the groundwater discharges through several springs along the lithological unit of Wonosari Limestone. The spring situated around the karst either at southern side or northern side.

Sadeng zone also mostly consists by autogenic infiltration yet all the groundwater flows to southern side of the area and discharges into coastal spring or cave. Wonosari Basin Hydrological Zone comprises with both autogenic and allogenic water flows. The allogenic water comes from Wonosari Basin and supplied from old-volcanic lithology of Baturagung Ridge far north. All those water flow to the southern side of the area and released through several coastal springs including Baron Springs.
Table 5. Comparison of Infiltration Characteristics

|来源          | Panggang | Wonosari Basin | Sadeng Zone |
|--------------|----------|----------------|-------------|
|水源          | Autogenic| Allogenic & Autogenic | Autogenic |
|排水          | Southern and northern side| Southern side to coastal zone | Southern side to coastal zone |

Both allogenic and autogenic water flows at Karst of Gunung Sewu has poor filtration. The autogenic which directly changes to groundwater through sinkhole has inadequate filtration because contaminants are drifted away along the water flow. Allogenic water at Karst Gunung Sewu originates from surface water and prone to contamination from the surface. No filtration happens since the surface water enters the cave directly through blind valley.

Apart from the source of water, karst infiltration can be divided into two types of diffuse and conduit water flow (White, 1988; Ford & Williams, 2007). Diffuse and conduit water affects the velocity and transportation of contaminant on groundwater (Green, Painter, Sun et al., 2006). Contaminant tends to be transported farther and faster on conduit water flow. In diffuse water flow, contaminant has slower and lower energy hence it can be accumulated in groundwater flow.

The information of the land use can be obtained from maps. Northern side of the catchment area comprises by urban and settlement area which are the main contaminant source of E. Coli and oil. From northern side, water flow is allogenic which a huge amount of water flows directly enter underground. Nearly filtration occurs since the water flow is mostly comes from the surface water.

In the southern part of Gunung Sewu physiography, instead of allogenic, the water flows autogenic. Autogenic water might be divided into two water flow: diffuse and conduit. Diffuse water might has filtration occurred through thin layer of terra rossa soil on the surface. However, because it has clayish texture, it also has high saturation characteristic thus only very little amount of water may be infiltrated into this layer of soil. Soil in karst also has particular role in the geochemistry and hydraulic aspect of karst water (Boschetti, Falasca, Buci, 2014). These little amounts infiltrated of water then enter the sub-surface channel through epikarst layer where diffuse infiltration happens (Klimchouk, 2004). Epikarst layer needs to be highly noticed since its role on diffuse infiltration and the storage function it has.

Conduit autogenic water flows occur when the surface water enter sub surface through sinkholes and ponors in the closed depression. These sinkholes and ponors commonly have size between 1 m or higher. Because of the size of the channel, water becomes turbulent characterized with high velocity and high energy of flow. Therefore, in the southern part of Gunung Sewu, the water also poorly filtrated. Filtration only happens at the thin layer of soil in the bottom of closed depression.

Hydraulic and hydrodynamical properties in karst area or karst aquifer vary through temporal changes (Prelovsek, Turk, Gabrovsek, 2008). Karst hydrology strongly depends on the amount of rainfall and the surface run-off. Interaction between the rainfall water and the porosity as the property of limestone also build the hydraulic characteristic; the hydraulic properties on dry season will be different with rainy season. Eventually, it will affect the
material within the water like contaminant and pollutant (Epting, Page, Aukenthaler et al., 2018).

E. Water Catchment Management

Shortly, the property of the catchment area on Baron Spring can be divided into two parts: northern side and southern side. Northern side of the area comprised by urban and settlement area, has allogenic recharge and goes into subsurface through huge pocket valley like Kalisuci River, Surupan River, or Blimbing Valley. Land use in Southern Area is dominated by agriculture and village respectively. Water and run-off are recharged by autogenic through diffuse infiltration in the epikarst zone or conduit flow through sinkhole and ponor. It can be summarized on this Table below.

Table 6. Landuse Comparison Between Northern and Southern Part of Study Area

|                      | Northern Part | Southern Part |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Dominant Land Use    | Urban and settlement | Agriculture |
| Recharge             | Allogenic    | Autogenic     |
| Channel              | Conduit      | Diffuse and conduit |
| Resident             | Dense        | Rare          |
| Catchment area       | Wide; Wonosari Basin | Narrow; closed depression |

By understanding those characteristics, several management strategies can be formulated below. Generally in northern part of the watershed, a surface hydrology management should be applied into two different approaches which are technocratic approach including waste management, septic tank management, optimizing green belt at riverbank and socio-cultural approach including socialization and dissemination.

Socio-cultural approach holds a fundamental role in the watershed management. Not only affecting the water quality and quantity, socio-cultural approach also a foundation to ensure the sustainability of watershed management through participatory effort and bottom-up management model (O’Randhir, Raposa, 2014; Brombal, Niu, Pizol, et al., 2018). It is expected collaboration among stakeholder involved in applying sustainable guideline in the watershed management.

Collaborative and participatory efforts are imperative because the urban and settlement system is relatively complex with high uncertainty. Hence, in some terms, it can be considered as “wicked” (Nyerges, Ballal, Steinita, et al., 2016). The complexity emerges from its multi-sectoral dimension including geologic, economic, socio-cultural, and political dimensions with multi-stakeholder within it. It is conceivable if every dimension and stakeholder perceive their own perception, value, and particular act in order to satisfy their own needs. Management is obligated to comprehend all of those aspects to formulate efficient and precise management guideline.

In jurisdictional aspect, framework in prevailing sustainable development is the Act Number 32 Year 2009 On Protection and Management of Environment. This act is considerably as backbone for all the environment management including watershed (Rifani, 2015). It alludes that environmental management stands on 6 pillars of planning, utilization, controlling, monitoring, maintaining, and law forcing. All of those pillars are sequentially executed to ensure the conservation works and ensure sustainable development is prevailed.

Table 7. Planned Landuse

| Spatial Pattern   | Area (Ha) |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Production Forestry | 1039.768  |
| Social Forestry   | 11979.35  |
| Industry          | 49.53701  |
| Settlement        | 9303.27   |
| Wetland Agriculture | 444.422   |
| Dryland Agriculture | 18458.99  |
| Water Catchment   | 5803.395  |
| Cave Buffer       | 79.70451  |
| Spring Buffer     | 299.2021  |
| Coastal Buffer    | 35903.93  |
| Lake Buffer       | 326.4795  |

Planning and maintaining, spatially can be done by using Urban Land Use Plan (Rencana Tata Ruang Wilayah (RTRW)) which put zoning based on the relation and dependency of each element to other spatially. Gunung Kidul Regency Government, in this
case particularly Spatial Planning Agency (BAPPEDA) as the caretaker and the stakeholder which has responsibility in designing and regulating spatial planning must put the watershed management as the crucial aspect. Legally, the Urban Land Use Plan had been designed and formally prevailed at Gunung Kidul Regency Decree Number 6 Year 2011. The land use planning can be seen on Figure 4.

From the spatial planning above, it can be seen that one of the problem in terms of water quality is the inadequacy of Cave Buffer zone. It is relatively small compared to the other land use it can be hardly seen on the maps. To overcome this, it is recommended to increase the area of cave buffer zone where a more appropriate farming is practice such as decreasing number of fertilizer and utilization of filter to impede the contaminant from agricultural activity enter the water stream below the surface.

![Buffer Zone on The Cave](image)

Management on the Water Catchment should incorporate the sustainable development concept. Generally, the related matter on this paper; water and urban and spatial planning are included in point 6 about Clean Water and Sanitation. Taking sustainable development into account means to embrace all of the sustainable targets into the development such as provision of sanitation facilities, integrated water resources management, and involvement of local communities.

1. Provision of Sanitation Facilities

Although most of the household have septic tank as the keeper of fecal waste, it is commonly founded the septic tank is not built properly. The distance between one septic tank and the other is not far enough and will lead to the lacking of pollutant and releases Coliform into the water stream. Provision of sanitation facilities is not limited only to the quantity but more importantly, the increasing quality of the facilities. Residents need to be encouraged in building proper septic tank in order to decline the number of pollutant.

2. Involvement of Communities

Local communities have lived along the nature far longer than other stakeholder. Their knowledge and value on water resource is very crucial in reserving water resource (Klaas, 2011). Not only because the regulator are able to obtain efficient way in managing water resource, local communities can be associated to build network among stakeholders.

3. Integrated Water Resources Management

Catchment area of Baron Springs comprised by several area with different characteristics. It is very important to comprehend all of the characteristics within a single management. As a dynamic system, every part of the catchment area is linked to each other. Management of the catchment area cannot be separated due to the inter relation of the sub-system.

4. Sinkhole's Buffer Zone

To increase filtration capacity of the sinkhole, it is important to create buffer zone where anthropogenic activities are limited. Waste and garbage in the buffer zone are also strictly managed with green belts established at the surrounding area.

![Management Strategies](image)

4. Conclusion

It can be concluded that water quality on the Baron Spring is moderately polluted due to the agricultural and settlement pressure on its catchment area. It’s getting more severe because of the intrinsic filtration characteristic of karst landform. Filtration is poorly occurred because of the conduit channel and less diffuse infiltration. Seasonal factor also has a certain role where it increases washing from the surface.

To cope with the problem, spatial management including integrated management and designing buffer zone for the cave or sinkhole in a closed depression is important. Socio-cultural approaches like involvement of communities are also encouraged to make sure the sustainability of the project.
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