Abstract

Treatment of children with cervical spine disorders requiring fusion is a challenging endeavor for a variety of reasons. The size of the patients, the corresponding abnormal bony anatomy, the inherent ligamentous laxity of children, and the relative rarity of the disorders all play a part in difficulty of treatment. The benefits of modern posterior cervical instrumentation in children, defined as rigid screw–rod systems, have been shown to be many including: improved arthrodesis rates, diminished times in halo-vest immobilization, and improved reduction of deformities. The anatomy of children and the corresponding pathology seen frequently is at the upper cervical spine and craniocervical junction given the relatively large head size of children and the horizontal facets at these regions predisposing them to instability or deformity. Posterior screw fixation, while challenging, allows for a rigid base to allow for fusion in these upper cervical areas which are predisposed to pseudarthrosis with non-rigid fixation. A thorough understanding of the anatomy of the cervical spine, the morphology of the cervical spine, and the available screw options is paramount for placing posterior cervical screws in children. The purpose of this review is to discuss both the anatomical and clinical descriptions related to posterior screw placement in the cervical spine in children.
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Core tip: This paper reviews the techniques used for modern posterior screw fixation of the pediatric cervical spine. The preoperative considerations, necessary studies, and surgical techniques are reviewed in order to educate the reader on the use of modern screw fixation in the pediatric cervical spine. Upper cervical fixation techniques as well as lateral mass screw fixation in the subaxial spine are discussed.
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PREOPERATIVE CONSIDERATIONS

The standard preoperative work-up of any child undergoing surgical treatment for a cervical spine problem will include plain radiographs, magnetic resonance imaging, and computed tomography scanning. Plain radiographs include anterior-posterior and lateral views, as well as flexion-extension lateral views of the cervical spine. The use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is important as it gives detailed information related to neck cord, neuroforamen, and position of the vertebral artery. Areas of compression are readily visualized as well as cord signal changes which are important to have as a baseline in patients with instability or neurologic findings.
Computed tomography (CT) scanning with fine cut images is important as it gives concrete information regarding the bony anatomy as well as the course of the vertebral artery. Three-dimensional reconstructions are of paramount importance in cases of upper cervical instrumentation as it gives the surgeon a complete understanding of the course of the vertebral artery which is mandatory with upper cervical screw placement. The standard use of CT angiography or MR angiography is not needed unless either significant congenital bony malformations are present or high grade instability is present with concern regarding the course of the vertebral artery. We have also found in some cases of tumor encasement that angiography is helpful to define the exact location of the vertebral artery as well as the patency of the vertebral artery.

ANESTHESIA AND POSITIONING

CONSIDERATIONS

Depending on the reason that cervical surgery is performed fiberoptic techniques may be required for intubation or nasal intubation may be required. Frequently instability is present requiring immobilization during airway placement and having an anesthesiologist present who is experienced in difficult airways is paramount to patient safety. The use of a halo-vest for positioning or Gardner-wells tongs for positioning is of great importance to secure the cranium and allow for adequate fluoroscopic visualization. In the majority of patients I place the halo crown and attach it to a vest and then turn the patient to prone positioning. The posterior aspect of the vest can then be removed for the operation (Figure 2). This is important for a variety of reasons, the first being complete immobilization of the head and neck during positioning and turning which is the safest way to turn when a patient is completely relaxed under anesthesia. Second, placing the patient in a halo crown and vest also allows me to afford reduction of deformities and to place the skull in the appropriate position in order to avoid craniocervical fusions done in misalignment. Finally, if the patient is going to stay in a vest then at the end of the operation the posterior vest can be added and the patient safely turned and then extubated.

The use of neurologic monitoring is required for all pediatric patients undergoing cervical instrumentation and fusion. The standard for all patients is motor-evoked monitoring, sensory monitoring, as well as EMG monitoring of the upper limb. Anesthetic agents should be used which don't interfere with the ability to obtain stable and reliable monitoring.

C1 LATERAL MASS FIXATION

Fixation at C1 in the past has traditionally been with sublaminar wiring underneath the arch of the atlas, which is inherently not dangerous or technically difficult given the space available for the cord at this level. However, wiring or cable grafting is problematic both biomechanically and from a pathologic standpoint. C1 wiring is inherently not stable in rotation which is problematic given the articulation of C1 with both the occiput and C2 allows for the majority of cervical rotation. From a clinical standpoint, the posterior ring of C1 frequently requires removal in cases where decompression is required and then wiring is not an option. These factors have led to the anatomical studies of the lateral mass of C1 to determine if screw fixation is feasible, and if so then what are the anatomical constraints[1-3].

The lateral mass of C1 is a quadrilateral structure of bone lying anterolateral to the spinal cord and in close relationship to the vertebral artery, which lies in the anterolateral confines of the lateral mass. The surgical exposure of the lateral mass entry point is challenging for a variety of reasons. The entry point of the lateral mass screws requires following the posterior arch of C1 surgically down to the entry point into the lateral mass (Figure 3A). This can be done by electrocautery dissection staying on the inferior aspect of the posterior arch of C1 as the vertebral artery lies on the superior aspect of the ring of C1 as it exits from the skull base. Classically the teaching had
been the vertebral artery is at risk 1.5 cm from the midline, this has never been validated in children and is not a reliable rule in pathologic cases. Nevertheless, the current recommendation would be to be aware of the position of the vertebral artery laterally on the superior aspect of C1 and if need be a preoperative CT angiography study is helpful, especially with congenital deformities. The ring of C1 gradually turns away and into the lateral mass of C1 and this region needs to be dissected out with meticulous attention to the venous plexus, which is diffuse and engulfs this region. Aggressive bipolar cautery of these venous bleeders prophylactically will make exposure of the entry point easier. The C2 nerve root also is encountered running below the arch of C1 and needs to be identified to find the lateral mass starting point. Variation exists in opinion regarding the need sacrifice the root of C2 for better exposure. I have found this not to be required for adequate exposure or for safe screw placement.

The ideal starting point of C1 screws can be found by studying the preoperative CT scan of the patient, however in all cases the screw starting point remains underneath the arch of C1, although occasionally the undersurface of the arch where it meets the bony lateral mass needs to be burred away (Figure 3B). The starting point is usually in line where the arch meets the lateral mass, it is never lateral to the arch and the medial border is easily identified with a freer. The spinal cord is not at risk with this screw given the entry point is anterolateral and usually lies at the anterior-posterior midpoint of the cord.

The C1 lateral mass usually allows for screw placement even in the youngest of pediatric patients. In a recent series of patients as young as two years of age, CT evaluation revealed that the mean length available for the lateral mass screw was greater than 15 mm and the mean medial-lateral dimensions were greater than 7 mm which would allow for safe placement in all patients of a 3.5 mm screw. The screw trajectory was evaluated in another series of pediatric patients with CT scans and confirmed from an optimal starting point the ideal screw trajectory would be medially angled 16 degrees and could be placed to a depth of 20 mm. In that study, the placement of screws was deemed feasible in 151/152 lateral masses.

Clinically, the anatomic feasibility found in CT studies has been shown to be a reliable indicator of anatomy in children. Two recent series looking specifically at screw placement into C1 in pediatric patients documented successful screw placement with no intraoperative complications and uneventful fusion for a variety of pediatric pathologic conditions.

C2 SCREW FIXATION

The axis plays a significant role in the management of cervical spine problems in children requiring instrumentation and fusion. It can be either the base of a cranio-cervical fusion; it may be coupled with the atlas in cases of atlanto-axial instability, or may be the top of a mid-level fusion. Many screw options exist for the axis and are preferable to subaxial cabling as the space available for the cord is much less at the axis rendering sub laminar placement of wires dangerous and the inherent biomechanical weakness of cabling. Screw fixation into the axis may be divied into three separate screw types: pedicle, pars, and intralaminar. The placement of which screw is dependent on a variety of factors which may be seen on the preoperative CT scanning, notably the width of the bony channels and the position of the vertebral artery.

The C2 spinous process is used as a landmark in the upper cervical spine and can be used as the entry point for intralaminar screws. Intralaminar screws at C2 have been shown to be effective for rigidity of constructs in biomechanical studies. The entry point for C2 laminar screws is on the contralateral side of the spinous process and needs to be placed either caudal or rostral in the spinous process so that crossing screws can be placed (Figure 4A). The dorsal aspect of the lamina is exposed the same for all cervical fusions involving the axis. A starting point on the contralateral side of the spinous process is made using a burr and then the lamina is anulleted using an awl. Looking at the dorsal lamina easily sees the rostral-caudal orientation. The avoidance of penetrating the

Figure 3 C1 lateral mass fixation. A: Axial computed tomography (CT) cut through the atlas. Note the lateral masses and the relationship of the C1 arch meeting the lateral masses which are landmarks for correct starting points for lateral mass screws; B: Sagittal cut of a CT scan in a 14 year-old patient who has underwent C1-C2 arthrodasis with C1-C2 screw rod construct for an odontoid non-union. Note the starting position of the C1 lateral mass screw inferior to the bony arch of C1. The outline of the vertebral artery can be seen on the superior aspect of the C1 arch.
ventral lamina and spinal canal can be done by placing a freer via blunt dissection under the ventral lamina as a landmark to avoid misguided trajectory. Screw length can be estimated by the preoperative studies of the presumed screw tract.

CT analysis of the upper cervical vertebra has shown that the lamina is able to accept 3.5 mm screws in most patients. A recent tomographic study of children revealed screw lengths measured on CT to be around a mean of 20 mm with the majority of lamina having a bony channel able to withstand screw placement. Clinically, the placement of laminar screws has been shown to be safe and efficacious and the decision regarding intralaminar screw placement can be determined with preoperative CT in all patients. The complication of canal breach has not been reported in multiple clinical studies regarding screw placement in children.

There is variability in the terminology of C2 screws placed in the pars/isthmus/pedicle. Two separate screw paths exist which have been described, each with different starting points and risks associated with their trajectory. The pars and pedicle are intertwined in a shared mass of bone in the atlas, which needs to be directly visualized during surgical dissection. During dissection as the lamina is followed down laterally the isthmus of C2 can then be followed going superiorly and medially. The dissection of the isthmus is paramount for defining safe screw trajectory as the dorsal and medial part of the isthmus is readily dissected aiding in direct visualization in screw path. The dissection of the isthmus must be done with bipolar cautery to avoid bleeding from the venous plexus, which engulfs the C1-C2 posterior bony complex. The medial aspect of isthmus once dissected can be used to guide screw trajectory and avoid unwanted canal penetration, placing a freer on the medial side will help directly visualize the screw path. Placement of C2 screws can be done with the determination of a pedicle screw or a pars screw. Pars screws are done with a starting point more caudal and just above the C2-C3 facet in the midline. The medial-lateral determination is done by using the medial dissected out isthmus as the landmark and the lateral trajectory is done under fluoroscopic guidance using the dorsal isthmus as a landmark as well. The length if the pars screw is directly determined by the course of the vertebral artery as well as by the C1-C2 articulation (Figure 4B). C2 pedicle screws share the same isthmus of bone but the starting point is more superior and lateral than the pars screws. The screw trajectory is more medially directed and headed into the C2 body, placing the canal at risk unless dissection has identified the medial pars (Figure 4C).

The morphometric studies regarding C2 screws are not entirely clear regarding the variation of pedicle versus pars, but can be interpreted regarding the shared isthmus of bone necessary for screw placement. In a morphometric study of children less than six years of age the mean width of the pedicle measured on CT scan was greater than 3.5 mm and the mean pedicle length was greater than 17 mm.

Multiple clinical studies exit regarding the use of pars/pedicle screws in children. While not entirely clear of the separation of pars/pedicle screws it is clear that screws can be placed in the vast majority of patients without injury to the vertebral artery or malposition of screws. Clinically, the placement of laminar screws has been shown to be safe and efficacious and the decision regarding intralaminar screws are possible in almost all patients and serves as an excellent and reliable fixation point in pediatric deformity surgery.

**C1-C2 TRANSARTICULAR SCREWS**

Placement of C1-C2 transarticular screws remains a powerful yet challenging technique of fixation. Classically, these screws are placed for C1-C2 instability but may be placed as a base for cranio cervical constructs. The challenges of screw placement make dissection of the C2 isthmus as well as C1-C2 joint mandatory for safe screw placement.

The placement of transarticular screws demands a
complete understanding of the course of the vertebral artery both in its relationship to the axis and in its relationship to C1. The course of the vertebral artery is anomalous in up to 25% of patients in clinical studies where screw placement would be beneficial[11]. The most common problematic course in relationship to C2 is either a medially deviated course where the ideal trajectory of the screw from the isthmus of C2 into the C1 lateral mass does not exist or in a sagittal plane abnormality where the vertebral artery is high riding and lead to a small isthmus negating any potential screw placement[7]. The position of the vertebral artery in relationship to the C1 lateral mass is also of paramount importance screw placement must end up in the lateral mass after crossing the joint.

Morphometric studies of upper cervical anatomy suggest that a minority of patients less than six have anatomy suitable for transarticular screws given either the size of the C2 isthmus or the course of the vertebral artery[9]. Clinical studies have shown that even in younger patients screws may be safely placed if the preoperative imaging suggests anatomy, which allows for safe screw placement[9,11].

Adequate visualization of the C2 isthmus is paramount to safe screw placement and is done as described above for pars screws. The C1-C2 joint must also be in a reduced position and accessible by direct fell via a freer elevator. We have used a cannulated screw system at our institution and once the guide wire is placed thru C2 into the joint we have made it a step of the procedure then to adequately feel with a freer the guide wire in a good position as well as using confirmatory biplanar fluoroscopy. There is minimal room for error using transarticular screws so guide wire placement in a correct position and must be done by C2 pars visualization, palpation of the guide wire in the C1-C2 joint, and confirmation of correct guide wire placement on AP and lateral fluoroscopy. Once adequate guide wire placement has been done then screws can be placed after measuring, drilling, and tapping (Figure 5A).

Placement of a unilateral C1-C2 transarticular screw is occasionally needed if the anatomy of the vertebral artery on both sides is not symmetrical. Biomechanically this has been shown to be reasonable fixation[13]. We have made it a habit to placed autogenous structural iliac crest graft between C1 and C2 in cases where the ring of C1 is present in order to augment the posterolateral gutter fusion. Typically we have place a horseshoe shaped graft and secured it via cables placed underneath the ring of C1 and through the spinouts process of C2 (Figure 5B).

Clinically, transarticular screws have been safely used in children who have safe anatomy on preoperative CT scans. Brockmeyer reviewed his series of patients treated with transarticular screws and found safe screw placement in children younger than age four[13].

**SUBAXIAL LATERAL MASS SCREWS**

The use of lateral mass screws has been studied in children and shown to be safe and efficacious if the preoperative template on CT scanning is favorable[15,16]. The lateral mass is a quadrangular structure of bone, which has a medial border of the spinal canal and an anterior border the vertebral artery. Multiple techniques exist for placement of screws in the lateral mass with variation in the starting point and variation in both the laterally
Conclusions
Surgeons taking care of children with spinal spine abnormalities will encounter a wide variety of pathologic conditions requiring fusion. Stability of the spine, reduction of deformities, protection of the spinal cord, and enhanced fusion all are aided by stability obtained with modern instrumentation using screw-rod constructs. These constructs have been shown to be both feasible in morphometric studies as well as safe in clinical studies. Placement of screws demands a complete understanding of the preoperative anatomy which can be done by adequate preoperative studies. Finally, given the small nature of the patients meticulous surgical dissection is paramount to safe screw placement. The modern techniques will lead to an improved rate of arthrodesis while minimizing any time needed in external immobilization which ultimately leads to better patient/family satisfaction.
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