The Effect of Mineral N Fertilization and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* Seed Inoculation on Productivity of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill)
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Abstract: Soybean yields can be considerably improved by inoculation with selected *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strains and fertilization. The aim of this study was to assess the productivity of two soybean cultivars depending on the applied N mineral fertilizers and seed inoculation with *B. japonicum*. The study showed that on average, for both cultivars, the soybean yield was most favorably affected by the combined use of inoculation and nitrogen fertilization (increase in seed yield by 42%, protein yield by about 28%). The application of mineral nitrogen at the dose of 30 or 60 kg·ha⁻¹ allowed the increase in the seed yield by about 17% and protein content by about 14% compared to the control. Inoculation of soybean seeds with *B. japonicum* increased the yield of soybeans by about 20%, proteins by about 10% compared to the control, and inoculation of Hi®Stick Soy favored a better yield than Nitragina. Inoculation of seeds with Nitragina or Hi®Stick Soy and fertilization with mineral nitrogen increased the content of protein and fiber in seeds of both soybean cultivars, as well as reduced the amount of ash and fat. The seeds of cv. Aldana had a higher amount of protein and ash than cv. Annushka, but a similar amount of fat and fiber.
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1. Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) is an important legume cultivated worldwide and due to the high biological value of protein it is considered the most important protein plant in the world [1–3]. Global soybean production in 2020 was reported to be 361 million tones [4]. Soybean covers about 29% of the world supply of consumer vegetable oil [5]. Oil and protein are the two major seed compositions that give soybean the potentiality for use in various applications [6,7]. The soybean seed contains 40–42% of protein and 18–22% of oil [8–13]. Moreover, soybean consists of carbohydrate, potassium, and sodium [6].

Soybean is a host for N₂, which is a fixing bacteria representing the *Rhizobium* group, and they can obtain up to 50% or more of their N needs through BNF [14–18]. The key bacteria species that are used as soybean inoculants are *B. japonicum* and *B. elkanii* [19]. Soybean inoculation is usually conducted by coating the seeds with bacteria cells before sowing [20]. *Bradyrhizobium* strains remain in areas with previous soybean cropping. In addition, the inoculation benefits may be influenced by the size of the population of soil bacteria that are capable of nodulating soybean, including naturalized strains [17,21,22]. Therefore, re-inoculation is recommended as it can result in increases in grain yield of soybean exceeding 10%, even in soils with high populations of soybean bradyrhizobia [23]. A few of the studies indicated that legume seed inoculation is a profitable practice providing financial benefits [24]. BNF made by the *Bradyrhizobium* genus decreased costs of production and promoted more sustainable soybean yields. This is possible due to the
fact that BNF sufficiently contributes to a high soybean production, in addition to replacing the N mineral fertilization [15,16,25]. Although the relevance of biological N nutrition of soybean \( \text{Glycine max } (L.) \text{ Merr.} \) is recognized worldwide, inoculation with \( B. \text{ japonicum} \) shows variable results and the benefit needs to be validated under current crop production practices.

The aim of this study was to assess the productivity of two soybean cultivars depending on the applied N doses of mineral fertilizers and seed inoculation with \( B. \text{ japonicum} \).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Field Experiment and Cultivation Management

A two-factor factorial field experiment was carried out in the years 2017-2018 in split-plot design on a Luvisol soil with sandy loam texture classes [26] in four replications at the Agricultural Experimental Station in Grabów [51°21′18″ N; 21°40′09″ E] (Masovian Voivodeship, Poland) belonging to the Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation—State Research Institute in Pulawy. The first experimental factor was soybean cultivar: Aldana (000) early cultivar from the Polish breeding, and a very early one from the Ukrainian breeding, cv. Annushka (0000). The second factor was: The nitrogen fertilization dose (N·ha\(^{-1}\): 1 – control – 0 kg; 2 – 30 kg; 3 – 60 kg; 4 – Hi®Stick + 0 kg; 5 – Nitragina IUNG Puławy + 0 kg; 6 – 30 kg + Nitragina IUNG Puławy; 7 – 60 kg + Nitragina IUNG Puławy; 8 – 30 kg + Hi®Stick Soy; 9 – 60 kg + Hi®Stick Soy. Ammonium nitrate (NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\)) was used.

Seed material was inoculated with two inoculants—domestic Nitragina (IUNG-PiB, PL) or foreign HiStick® Soy (BASF Agricultural Specialities Limited, GB). Both Nitragina and HiStick® Soy contain \( B. \text{ japonicum} \) strains specific for soybean, in which peat is a carrier. Both cultivars were fertilized with mineral N in doses: 0, 30, and 60 kg·ha\(^{-1}\) before planting by mixing with surface soil.

The plots for sowing were 36 m\(^2\) in size and 32.3 m\(^2\) for harvesting. At the beginning of the trial, at a depth of 0–25 cm, the soil layer contained phosphorus (P), potassium (K), and magnesium (Mg): 10.2–12.0; 13.6–19.8; 4.2–6.0 (mg·100 kg\(^{-1}\) soil), respectively. The mineral N content in the profile of 0–60 cm was 50–55 kg·ha\(^{-1}\). The soil pH was 5.6–6.4 (measured in 1 M of potassium chloride (KCl)). Phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) fertilization was applied in doses of 50 and 90 kg·ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. The forecrop was winter wheat.

Seeds were sown in row spacing of 24 cm, sowing rate of 80 germinating seeds per m\(^2\), and the sowing depth of 3–4 cm. Both cultivars were sown on 9 May 2017 and 25 April 2018.

Directly after sowing, Stomp 330 EC [3.5 l·ha\(^{-1}\)] was applied into the soil, and after emergence, Corum 502.4 SL [1.25 l·ha\(^{-1}\)] by KFMR Krukowiak sprayer was used to control the annual weeds.

Plants were harvested at the full maturity stage (R8) of soybean in the second 10 days of September.

2.2. Data Collection

Before harvesting, after reaching full maturity, the seed yield was determined, as well as the most important morphological traits of 10 plants and structural components of soybean yield: number of pods, number and weight of seeds per plant, number of seeds per nod. Moreover, the number and fresh weight of root nodules per plant and the plant height and the first pod height were determined. After harvesting, the seed yield, seed humidity, and 1000 seed weight (in 14% of moisture) were determined.
2.3. Chemical Analysis of Soybean Seeds

The N content (nitrogen %) in the dry weight of seeds (DWS) was determined by the flow analysis (FA) and spectrometric detection, the total protein by the Kjeldahl distillation method after mineralization in sulfuric acid, as well as the fat and ash content by the Soxhlet method.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The results were statistically analyzed with the use of the variance analysis using Statistica v.10.0 software (StatSoft, Kraków, Poland). Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used to compare the differences between the means for the cropping method, while confidence intervals for the means of LSD (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)) were used.

2.5. Weather Conditions

In both years of the study, the total sum of precipitation was similar in the vegetation season, but it was less by about 30 mm than the mean from the long-term average. In 2017, at the end of the second 10 days of April, strong cooling has occurred which delayed the sowing of soybean (sowing was in the first 10 days of May) (Figure 1). At the beginning of the third 10 days of April and the first 10 days of May, the high amount of precipitation was noted (exceeded by 77% of the long-term average), which made it difficult to make the mechanical treatment in the field of soybean crop. In June and in the first 10 days of July, a small amount of rainfall was recorded, compared to the long-term average, which had an unfavorable effect on the development of soybean crop. In the first 10 days of August, there were very small amounts of rainfall (0.9 mm), which caused premature soybean maturity. In 2018, the amount of precipitation in May and July exceeded the average from multi-years by 70.9 and 41.1%, respectively, which favored the yielding of soybean.

![Figure 1. Monthly rainfall and temperature during the growing period in 2017 and 2018, and the long-term (1871–2000) average.](image)

3. Results

The application of N mineral fertilizers and seed inoculation by *B. japonicum* or both the inoculation and N mineral fertilization and course of weather conditions during the growing season significantly affected the yielding of tested soybean cultivar.
In both years of the study, the amount of rainfall during the growing season was similar, but the delayed sowing date and the low amount of rainfall in June and the first 10 days of July in 2017 resulted in that the seed yield this year was lower by 35%, and the protein yield by about 39% than in 2018 (Table 1). On average, during both years of the study, for both cultivars, the N use at a dose of 30 or 60 kg·ha⁻¹ increased the seed yield in relation to non-fertilized plants by about 17%, and protein by about 14%. The use of seed inoculation with Nitragina or Hi®Stick Soy, containing bacteria that increase the production of nodules on the soybean root system, positively influenced the level of soybean yielding, and the difference in the seed yield in relation to the control was about 20%, and the increase in protein yield was lower and amounted to about 10%. A more beneficial effect of increasing the N dose from 30 to 60 kg·ha⁻¹ and the Hi®Stick Soy than the Nitragina on the soybean yield was also observed. The combined application of inoculation and nitrogen fertilization most favorably increased the seed yields in relation to the control by an average of 42%, and proteins by about 28%. The evaluated cultivars Aldana and Annushka yielded at a similar level in agroecological conditions. Moreover, during all the years of the study, the seeds of both cultivars were characterized by similar humidity during harvesting, regardless of the tested factors.

Table 1. Effect of mineral nitrogen fertilization and inoculation on seed and protein yields in two soybean cultivars in 2017 and 2018

| Cultivar  | Treatment Nitrogen Rates (kg ha⁻¹) | Seed Yield (t ha⁻¹) | Protein Yield (kg ha⁻¹) |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|           | 2017 | 2018 | Mean | 2017 | 2018 | Mean |
| Aldana    |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 0         | 1.43 ± 0.023 * | 2.01 ± 0.072 | 1.72 | 402.2 ± 25.20 | 800.2 ± 35.62 | 601.2 |
| 30        | 1.73 ± 0.127 | 2.12 ± 0.086 | 1.93 | 530.0 ± 29.30 | 750.4 ± 36.50 | 640.2 |
| 60        | 1.66 ± 0.079 | 2.26 ± 0.138 | 1.96 | 508.4 ± 23.60 | 780.9 ± 36.60 | 644.6 |
| Hi Stick + 0 | 1.63 ± 0.124 | 2.60 ± 0.464 | 2.12 | 499.3 ± 18.90 | 789.3 ± 35.60 | 644.3 |
| Hi Stick + 30 | 1.74 ± 0.136 | 3.13 ± 0.276 | 2.43 | 543.7 ± 55.00 | 932.6 ± 68.20 | 738.2 |
| Hi Stick + 60 | 1.72 ± 0.124 | 3.39 ± 0.275 | 2.56 | 580.1 ± 59.80 | 1008.3 ± 72.20 | 794.2 |
| Nitragina + 0 | 1.52 ± 0.104 | 2.42 ± 0.268 | 1.97 | 456.0 ± 29.80 | 753.2 ± 45.60 | 604.6 |
| Nitragina + 30 | 1.71 ± 0.092 | 2.90 ± 0.187 | 2.51 | 534.4 ± 32.20 | 864.6 ± 36.90 | 699.5 |
| Nitragina + 60 | 1.74 ± 0.072 | 3.11 ± 0.266 | 2.42 | 576.5 ± 56.20 | 917.9 ± 65.20 | 747.2 |
| mean      | 1.65 | 2.66 | -    | 514.6 | 844.2 | -    |
| Annushka  |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| 0         | 1.36 ± 0.206 | 2.03 ± 0.157 | 1.69 | 357.0 ± 18.50 | 751.6 ± 25.50 | 554.3 |
| 30        | 1.65 ± 0.068 | 2.29 ± 0.093 | 1.97 | 464.1 ± 19.20 | 814.4 ± 55.60 | 639.3 |
| 60        | 1.86 ± 0.108 | 2.42 ± 0.231 | 2.14 | 558.0 ± 56.60 | 856.6 ± 65.20 | 707.3 |
| Hi Stick + 0 | 1.78 ± 0.059 | 2.51 ± 0.064 | 2.14 | 522.8 ± 35.60 | 817.8 ± 55.80 | 670.3 |
| Hi Stick + 30 | 1.98 ± 0.121 | 2.87 ± 0.168 | 2.43 | 594.4 ± 22.20 | 876.3 ± 30.40 | 735.4 |
| Hi Stick + 60 | 1.89 ± 0.125 | 3.14 ± 0.425 | 2.51 | 602.3 ± 25.70 | 954.4 ± 45.90 | 778.4 |
| Nitragina + 0 | 1.56 ± 0.065 | 2.48 ± 0.384 | 2.02 | 448.5 ± 32.20 | 813.4 ± 45.20 | 630.9 |
| Nitragina + 30 | 1.75 ± 0.041 | 2.76 ± 0.166 | 2.26 | 513.9 ± 33.50 | 852.6 ± 39.90 | 683.2 |
| Nitragina + 60 | 2.08 ± 0.179 | 3.00 ± 0.462 | 2.54 | 624.0 ± 48.20 | 897.3 ± 40.10 | 760.6 |
| mean      | 1.77 | 2.61 | -    | 520.5 | 848.3 | -    |

Mean for cultivar: 1.71, 2.63, - 517.5, 846.2, -

LSD (α = 0.05):
- Cultivar (A) Treatment (B)
  - n.s. ** n.s. 2.802 n.s. -
  0.233 0.523 - 5.493 -
  n.s. n.s. 7.769 67.45 -
  n.s. n.s. 5.102 43.85 -

* Mean ± standard error; ** significant at p ≤ 0.05 according to Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test; n.s.: Non-significant.
The favorable distribution of rainfall in 2018 significantly affected the growth and development of soybean, and the plants were characterized by a more favorable structure, which also resulted in a higher yield level. Soybean plants in cultivation with seed inoculation and different nitrogen fertilization rates were characterized by larger seeds, and set more pods and seeds on the plant than plants without fertilization and inoculation (Tables 2–4). In both years of the study, the Annushka cultivar was characterized by a greater number of pods and seeds, as well as weight per plant, but less 1000 seeds weight. Moreover, these factors only slightly changed the height of the first pod and the height of the soybean plants (Table 5). Unfavorable soil moisture conditions (drought) occurring during the growing season resulted in poor nodule fixation on the soybean root system. The formation of nodules took place only after significant rainfall at the turn of July and August. The number of nodules as well as their weight were small, although more were recorded on the root system of plants that received the Hi®Stick Soy (Table 6). In addition, the cv. Annushka set slightly more nodules than the cv. Aldana.

Table 2. Weight of 1000 seeds and humidity of seeds depending on the mineral N fertilization applied and the inoculation

| Cultivar | Nitrogen Rates (kg ha⁻¹) | Weight of 1000 Seeds (g) | Year Mean |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
|          |                          |                          | 2017  2018 | Mean |
| Aldana   |                          |                          |           |      |
| 0        | 146.6 ± 3.192 *          | 166.3 ± 6.218            | 156.4     |
| 30       | 153.9 ± 6.350            | 169.2 ± 2.607            | 161.6     |
| 60       | 150.3 ± 4.024            | 167.4 ± 12.958           | 158.9     |
| Hi Stick + 0 | 154.7 ± 3.556       | 175.6 ± 4.170            | 165.2     |
| Hi Stick + 30 | 154.6 ± 2.010       | 180.3 ± 9.127            | 167.5     |
| Hi Stick + 60 | 154.1 ± 7.800           | 180.4 ± 5.406            | 167.3     |
| Nitragina + 0 | 148.8 ± 7.005       | 187.1 ± 11.165           | 168.0     |
| Nitragina + 30 | 148.6 ± 8.361         | 177.6 ± 4.195            | 163.1     |
| Nitragina + 60 | 148.3 ± 11.237        | 179.2 ± 4.565            | 163.8     |
| mean     | 151.1                    | 175.9                    | -         |
| Annushka |                          |                          |           |      |
| 0        | 115.3 ± 3.456            | 119.9 ± 11.173           | 117.6     |
| 30       | 114.5 ± 1.979            | 130.5 ± 4.161            | 122.5     |
| 60       | 116.2 ± 6.313            | 127.3 ± 7.093            | 121.8     |
| Hi Stick + 0 | 118.3 ± 5.347       | 132.4 ± 7.068            | 125.4     |
| Hi Stick + 30 | 118.5 ± 0.829          | 135.1 ± 10.401           | 126.8     |
| Hi Stick + 60 | 116.3 ± 5.874          | 138.2 ± 11.258           | 127.3     |
| Nitragina + 0 | 111.6 ± 5.354         | 131.5 ± 3.583            | 121.6     |
| Nitragina + 30 | 115.7 ± 5.325         | 134.6 ± 4.710            | 125.2     |
| Nitragina + 60 | 110.9 ± 3.566         | 133.5 ± 14.679           | 122.2     |
| mean     | 115.3                    | 131.7                    | -         |
| Mean for cultivar | -                        | 133.2                    | 153.8     |

LSD (α = 0.05):
- Cultivar (A) 0.897 **
- Treatment (B) 1.661 0.641 -
- B/A 2.350 0.906 -
- A/B 1.565 0.576 -

* Mean ± standard error; ** significant at p ≤ 0.05 according to Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test.
Table 3. Pod number per plant and seed number per pod depending on the mineral N fertilization applied and the inoculation

| Cultivar | Nitrogen Rates (kg ha⁻¹) | Treatment | Pod Number per Plant | Seed Number per Pod |
|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|          |                          |           | 2017                  | 2018                | Mean       | 2017                  | 2018                | Mean       |
| Aldana   | 0                        | 8.20 ± 0.406 * | 12.08 ± 2.143         | 10.14               | 1.63 ± 0.138 | 1.85 ± 0.109         | 1.74                |
|          | 30                       | 8.13 ± 0.163 | 12.18 ± 1.883         | 10.16               | 1.55 ± 0.172 | 1.92 ± 0.065         | 1.74                |
|          | 60                       | 8.03 ± 1.101 | 12.80 ± 2.253         | 10.41               | 1.58 ± 0.141 | 1.82 ± 0.157         | 1.70                |
|          | Hi Stick + 0             | 8.40 ± 1.387 | 13.04 ± 2.258         | 10.72               | 1.88 ± 0.143 | 1.86 ± 0.010         | 1.87                |
|          | Hi Stick + 30            | 8.33 ± 0.352 | 14.25 ± 2.693         | 11.29               | 1.64 ± 0.140 | 1.82 ± 0.089         | 1.73                |
|          | Hi Stick + 60            | 8.48 ± 0.991 | 14.40 ± 2.577         | 11.44               | 1.64 ± 0.098 | 1.83 ± 0.145         | 1.74                |
|          | Nitragina + 0            | 8.10 ± 0.831 | 13.43 ± 2.144         | 10.76               | 1.58 ± 0.162 | 1.88 ± 0.045         | 1.73                |
|          | Nitragina + 30           | 8.07 ± 0.452 | 13.32 ± 2.568         | 10.69               | 1.60 ± 0.131 | 1.79 ± 0.137         | 1.69                |
|          | Nitragina + 60           | 8.09 ± 0.786 | 13.70 ± 2.395         | 10.89               | 1.57 ± 0.113 | 1.84 ± 0.063         | 1.70                |
| mean     |                          | 8.20       | 13.24                 | -                   | 1.63       | 1.85                 | -                   |
| Annushka | 0                        | 10.10 ± 1.471 | 16.50 ± 2.028         | 13.30               | 1.76 ± 0.058 | 2.00 ± 0.227         | 1.88                |
|          | 30                       | 10.53 ± 1.482 | 17.68 ± 2.228         | 14.11               | 1.69 ± 0.095 | 1.92 ± 0.150         | 1.81                |
|          | 60                       | 10.36 ± 1.810 | 17.68 ± 1.310         | 14.02               | 1.75 ± 0.116 | 1.85 ± 0.016         | 1.80                |
|          | Hi Stick + 0             | 10.70 ± 2.089 | 17.53 ± 1.941         | 14.11               | 1.79 ± 0.073 | 1.89 ± 0.329         | 1.84                |
|          | Hi Stick + 30            | 10.73 ± 0.676 | 18.30 ± 2.239         | 14.52               | 1.79 ± 0.159 | 1.94 ± 0.099         | 1.86                |
|          | Hi Stick + 60            | 11.35 ± 2.263 | 18.50 ± 3.473         | 14.93               | 1.74 ± 0.174 | 2.02 ± 0.086         | 1.88                |
|          | Nitragina + 0            | 9.89 ± 1.150 | 17.60 ± 2.424         | 13.74               | 1.69 ± 0.150 | 1.99 ± 0.150         | 1.84                |
|          | Nitragina + 30           | 9.80 ± 1.922 | 18.20 ± 4.024         | 14.00               | 1.64 ± 0.112 | 2.02 ± 0.121         | 1.83                |
|          | Nitragina + 60           | 10.00 ± 1.437 | 18.10 ± 3.004         | 14.05               | 1.59 ± 0.245 | 2.01 ± 0.101         | 1.80                |
| mean     |                          | 10.38      | 17.79                 | -                   | 1.71       | 1.96                 | -                   |
| Mean for cultivar |                          | -          | 9.29                 | 15.51 | -           | 1.67 | 1.90 | - |

LSD (α = 0.05):

- Mean ± standard error; ** significant at p ≤ 0.05 according to Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test; n.s.: Non-significant.

Table 4. Seed number and weight per plant depending on the mineral N fertilization applied and the inoculation

| Cultivar | Nitrogen Rates (kg ha⁻¹) | Treatment | Seed Number per Plant | Seed Weight (g plant⁻¹) |
|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|          |                          |           | 2017                  | Mean       | 2017                  | Year | Mean       | 2017                  | Mean       | 2018                  |
| Aldana   | 0                        | 13.41 * ± 0.901 | 22.35 ± 4.049         | 17.88       | 1.98 ± 0.161 | 3.72 ± 0.735         | 2.85                |
|          | 30                       | 12.70 ± 2.750 | 23.28 ± 3.478         | 17.99       | 1.96 ± 0.475 | 3.95 ± 0.607         | 2.96                |
|          | Hi Stick + 0             | 15.83 ± 2.207 | 24.25 ± 5.455         | 20.04       | 2.35 ± 0.399 | 4.48 ± 1.041         | 3.41                |
|          | Hi Stick + 30            | 13.72 ± 2.829 | 25.85 ± 4.671         | 19.78       | 2.20 ± 0.465 | 5.09 ± 0.761         | 3.64                |
|          | Hi Stick + 60            | 12.98 ± 1.299 | 26.35 ± 7.334         | 20.16       | 2.19 ± 0.274 | 5.14 ± 2.020         | 3.66                |
|          | Nitragina + 0            | 12.90 ± 2.247 | 25.20 ± 4.014         | 19.05       | 1.91 ± 0.336 | 4.76 ± 1.013         | 3.33                |
|          | Nitragina + 30           | 12.99 ± 3.178 | 23.81 ± 4.379         | 18.04       | 1.90 ± 0.532 | 4.90 ± 0.551         | 3.40                |
|          | Nitragina + 60           | 12.82 ± 1.483 | 25.21 ± 3.860         | 19.01       | 2.00 ± 0.221 | 4.88 ± 0.521         | 3.44                |
| mean     |                          | 13.45      | 24.36                 | -           | 2.04       | 4.56                 | -                   |
| Annushka | 0                        | 17.87 ± 2.770 | 32.65 ± 7.795         | 25.26       | 2.18 ± 0.219 | 3.85 ± 0.765         | 3.02                |
|          | 30                       | 17.80 ± 3.007 | 33.55 ± 2.403         | 25.67       | 2.22 ± 0.399 | 4.01 ± 0.282         | 3.11                |
|          | Hi Stick + 0             | 18.19 ± 7.295 | 32.71 ± 2.331         | 25.45       | 2.20 ± 1.050 | 4.14 ± 0.265         | 3.17                |

* Mean ± standard error; ** significant at p ≤ 0.05 according to Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test; n.s.: Non-significant.
Table 5. Morphological features depending on the mineral N fertilization applied and the inoculation

| Treatment Nitrogen Rates (kg ha⁻¹) | 2017 | 2018 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|
|                                   | Aldana | Annushka | Aldana | Annushka |
|                                  | First Pod Plant Height (cm) | First Pod Plant Height (cm) | First Pod Plant Height (cm) | First Pod Plant Height (cm) |
| 0                                  | 14.0 ± 1.971 * | 47.8 ± 4.071 | 19.7 ± 2.632 | 55.6 ± 5.089 | 10.0 ± 0.884 | 45.2 ± 3.899 | 13.0 ± 0.810 | 55.4 ± 7.693 |
| 30                                 | 14.7 ± 1.756 | 49.5 ± 2.921 | 21.6 ± 2.952 | 57.4 ± 2.574 | 12.1 ± 1.003 | 47.5 ± 0.978 | 13.2 ± 1.209 | 57.3 ± 2.222 |
| Hi Stick + 0                       | 16.6 ± 2.285 | 50.1 ± 0.805 | 18.9 ± 4.458 | 61.5 ± 1.979 | 9.9 ± 1.186 | 46.9 ± 1.847 | 14.1 ± 1.731 | 55.9 ± 1.965 |
| Hi Stick + 30                      | 13.6 ± 1.556 | 51.7 ± 5.137 | 21.9 ± 1.803 | 59.2 ± 2.097 | 10.8 ± 1.596 | 46.7 ± 2.804 | 13.8 ± 2.395 | 55.8 ± 1.105 |
| Hi Stick + 60                      | 13.4 ± 1.416 | 59.0 ± 2.129 | 19.6 ± 1.725 | 61.5 ± 6.492 | 9.9 ± 1.390 | 52.3 ± 2.834 | 14.2 ± 2.745 | 59.8 ± 6.717 |
| Nitragina + 0                     | 16.4 ± 1.859 | 49.3 ± 2.023 | 21.5 ± 2.788 | 57.8 ± 2.812 | 9.9 ± 0.460 | 46.4 ± 3.385 | 14.2 ± 1.424 | 58.4 ± 3.688 |
| Nitragina + 30                    | 14.3 ± 3.146 | 53.7 ± 2.612 | 21.8 ± 2.481 | 58.2 ± 7.372 | 9.9 ± 1.665 | 50.1 ± 2.794 | 11.9 ± 1.653 | 60.1 ± 4.033 |
| Nitragina + 60                    | 16.6 ± 2.022 | 53.1 ± 2.859 | 22.5 ± 3.161 | 59.9 ± 7.744 | 10.9 ± 0.865 | 48.3 ± 3.593 | 13.3 ± 2.951 | 60.0 ± 4.004 |
| mean                              | 14.8      | 51.9     | 20.9     | 58.8     | 10.4     | 48.2     | 10.4     | 58.3     |

* Mean ± standard error.

Table 6. Number and fresh matter of nodules per plant depending on the mineral N fertilization applied and the inoculation

| Cultivar | Treatment Nitrogen Rates (kg ha⁻¹) | Number of Nodules per Plant | Fresh Matter of Nodules (g Plant⁻¹) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|          | Year | Mean | Year | Mean |
| Aldana   | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 |
| 0        | 0.10 * | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.08 |
| 30       | 0.05 | 0.30 | 1.17 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.07 |
| 60       | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.08 |
| Hi Stick + 0 | 0.61 | 5.60 | 3.10 | 0.12 | 1.40 | 0.76 |
| Hi Stick + 30 | 0.52 | 6.11 | 3.31 | 0.07 | 2.30 | 1.18 |
| Hi Stick + 60 | 0.59 | 7.13 | 3.86 | 0.02 | 2.40 | 1.21 |
| Nitragina + 0 | 0.10 | 6.27 | 3.18 | 0.02 | 3.49 | 1.76 |
| Nitragina + 30 | 0.35 | 5.47 | 2.91 | 0.06 | 2.23 | 1.14 |
| Nitragina + 60 | 0.10 | 5.18 | 2.64 | 0.02 | 1.93 | 0.97 |
| mean       | 0.27 | 4.07 | - | 0.04 | 1.57 | - |

* Mean ± standard error.
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| Cultivar  | Treatment | Nitrogen Rates (kg·ha⁻¹) | 2017 | 2018 | Mean | 2017 | 2018 | Mean |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|           |           |                          | Protein Concentration (mg g DW⁻¹) | Fat Concentration (mg g DW⁻¹) |
|           |           |                          | Year  | Year  | Mean  | Year  | Year  | Mean  |
| Aldana    | 0         |                          | 281.3 * | 271.7 | 276.5 | 270.2 | 251.2 | 267.0 |
|           | 30        |                          | 306.3 | 282.5 | 294.4 | 263.3 | 253.1 | 258.2 |
|           | 60        |                          | 306.3 | 289.4 | 297.8 | 270.1 | 259.4 | 264.7 |
|           | Hi Stick + 0 |                        | 306.3 | 329.4 | 317.8 | 272.2 | 257.5 | 264.8 |
|           | Hi Stick + 30 |                        | 312.5 | 335.6 | 324.1 | 264.4 | 247.3 | 255.8 |
|           | Hi Stick + 60 |                        | 337.5 | 336.2 | 336.8 | 263.3 | 246.4 | 254.8 |
|           | Nitragina + 0 |                        | 300.0 | 321.3 | 310.6 | 266.9 | 254.3 | 260.6 |
|           | Nitragina + 30 |                        | 312.5 | 335.4 | 323.9 | 267.7 | 242.5 | 261.1 |
|           | Nitragina + 60 |                        | 331.3 | 338.8 | 335.1 | 269.2 | 244.4 | 265.8 |
| mean      | -         |                          | 310.4 | 315.6 | -     | 268.3 | 250.7 | -     |
| Annushka  | 0         |                          | 262.5 | 270.1 | 266.3 | 270.1 | 252.3 | 261.2 |
|           | 30        |                          | 281.3 | 281.7 | 281.3 | 267.4 | 264.9 | 265.2 |
|           | 60        |                          | 300.0 | 282.5 | 291.3 | 260.3 | 265.6 | 269.9 |
|           | Hi Stick + 0 |                        | 293.7 | 306.9 | 300.3 | 266.6 | 259.4 | 263.0 |
|           | Hi Stick + 30 |                        | 300.0 | 327.5 | 313.7 | 266.3 | 244.6 | 255.4 |
|           | Hi Stick + 60 |                        | 318.7 | 329.0 | 323.8 | 264.2 | 243.8 | 254.0 |
|           | Nitragina + 0 |                        | 287.5 | 304.9 | 296.2 | 268.1 | 263.2 | 265.6 |
|           | Nitragina + 30 |                        | 293.7 | 323.7 | 308.7 | 267.4 | 248.6 | 258.0 |
|           | Nitragina + 60 |                        | 300.0 | 334.3 | 317.2 | 265.5 | 246.3 | 255.9 |
| mean      | -         |                          | 293.0 | 306.7 | -     | 266.2 | 254.0 | -     |

Mean for cultivar

LSD (α = 0.05):

| Cultivar (A) | Treatment (B) | B/A | A/B |
|--------------|---------------|-----|-----|
| 2.30 **      | 0.82          | n.s.| 0.25|
| 6.56         | 6.82          | -   | 4.11|
| 9.28         | 9.65          | 5.81| 3.09|
| 5.64         | 5.62          | 4.74| 1.80|

* Mean at p ≤ 0.05; ** significant at p ≤ 0.05 according to Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test; n.s.: Non-significant.

A more even distribution of rainfall during the growing season in 2018 favored the accumulation of protein in soybeans, as well as caused a reduction in fat content, but had a slight effect on the amount of ash and fiber (Table 7 and 8). The seeds of cv. Aldana were characterized by higher protein and ash content, a similar amount of fat and fiber, although a slightly higher amount of fat and fiber was observed in the seeds of cv. Annushka than cv. Aldana. A significantly higher protein content was found in the seeds of both soybean cultivars, in which 60 kg·ha⁻¹ nitrogen was used and seeds were inoculated with Nitragina or Hi®Stick Soy. The use of seed inoculation and fertilization with mineral nitrogen had a positive effect on the accumulation of protein in the seeds of both soybean cultivars. However, at the same time, it increased the fiber content. In addition, the studied factors limited the amount of ash and fat.

Table 7. Total protein and fat content in dry matter of the soybean seeds depending on the mineral N fertilization applied and the inoculation
Table 8. Ash and fiber content in dry matter of the soybean seeds depending on the mineral N fertilization applied and the inoculation

| Cultivar  | Treatment       | Nitrogen Rates (kg ha⁻¹) | Ash Content (%) | Fiber Content (%) |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|           |                 |                          | 2017           | 2018  | Mean  | 2017 | 2018  | Mean  |
|           | Aldana          | 0                        | 6.20 *         | 6.31  |       | 5.41 | 5.30  | 5.36  |
|           |                 | 30                       | 5.94           | 6.02  | 5.98  | 5.52 | 5.46  | 5.49  |
|           |                 | 60                       | 6.11           | 6.03  | 6.07  | 5.58 | 5.48  | 5.53  |
|           | Hi Stick + 0    |                          | 5.85           | 5.95  | 5.90  | 5.61 | 5.53  | 5.57  |
|           | Hi Stick + 30   |                          | 5.91           | 5.91  | 5.91  | 5.35 | 5.22  | 5.28  |
|           | Hi Stick + 60   |                          | 5.92           | 5.96  | 5.94  | 5.61 | 5.53  | 5.57  |
|           | Nitragina + 0   |                          | 6.11           | 6.12  | 6.11  | 5.98 | 6.02  | 6.00  |
|           | Nitragina + 30  |                          | 6.05           | 5.95  | 6.00  | 5.62 | 5.56  | 5.59  |
|           | Nitragina + 60  |                          | 6.01           | 5.86  | 5.94  | 6.00 | 6.16  | 6.08  |
|           | mean            |                          | 6.01           | 6.01  |       | 5.63 | 5.58  |       |
|           | Annushka        | 0                        | 6.01           | 6.12  | 6.06  | 6.32 | 6.24  | 6.28  |
|           |                 | 30                       | 5.90           | 6.14  | 6.02  | 6.51 | 6.42  | 6.46  |
|           |                 | 60                       | 5.95           | 6.03  | 5.99  | 6.48 | 6.60  | 6.54  |
|           | Hi Stick + 0    |                          | 6.01           | 5.96  | 5.98  | 6.57 | 6.62  | 6.60  |
|           | Hi Stick + 30   |                          | 5.74           | 5.82  | 5.78  | 6.61 | 6.68  | 6.64  |
|           | Hi Stick + 60   |                          | 5.86           | 5.78  | 5.82  | 6.44 | 6.39  | 6.41  |
|           | Nitragina + 0   |                          | 5.93           | 5.85  | 5.89  | 6.76 | 6.89  | 6.82  |
|           | Nitragina + 30  |                          | 5.82           | 5.74  | 5.78  | 6.43 | 6.38  | 6.40  |
|           | Nitragina + 60  |                          | 5.71           | 5.76  | 5.73  | 6.62 | 6.73  | 6.67  |
|           | mean            |                          | 5.88           | 5.91  |       | 6.53 | 6.55  |       |
|           | Mean for cultivar|                         | 5.95           | 5.96  |       | 6.08 | 6.07  |       |

LSD (α = 0.05):

- Cultivar (A) 0.127 **
- Treatment (B) 0.085 0.105
- B/A 0.121 0.148
- A/B 0.136 0.087

* Mean at p ≤ 0.05; ** significant at p ≤ 0.05 according to Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test.

4. Discussion

In Europe, soybean needs approximately 500 mm of precipitation in the growing season, including at least 300 mm at the flowering and fruit formation stage [27].

The yield of soybean is determined by the climate conditions: Air temperature, total rainfall, and its distribution [28–35]. A negative influence of high air temperature was recorded on the yielding of both cultivars of soybean. The average air temperature at the main development stage was as follows: Flowering and pod formation stage was much lower than 25 °C, although higher than the long-term mean in Poland. Montanez et al. [36] considered this as most favorable for the soybean yield and biological N fixation. The seed yield of soybean mostly depends on the total rainfall in May, July, and August, as soybean plants assimilate approximately 20% N since the beginning of flowering, and 80% during generative development [30,31].

The study by Seneviratne et al. [37] has shown that the inoculation and N fertilizer use promote plant growth and increase yields of soybean. Incorporating 23 kg N·ha⁻¹ as the primary fertilizer application and adding 23 kg N·ha⁻¹ at the end of flowering stage does not inhibit soybean nodulation. Mourtzinis et al. [38] recorded an increase in yield by 120 kg ha⁻¹, La Menza et al. [39] by up to 11%, while Capatana et al. [40] by up to 30%. Kaschuk et al. [18] stated that mineral N fertilization of soybean caused a decrease in the number of nodules and in the seed yield, but it also depends on the N dose. Prusinski et al. [35] found that in some cases N fertilization in combination with inoculation excels the inoculation alone. Capatana et al. [40] stated that an increase in the seed yield
in soybean only after inoculation was increased by 3.7%, while the mineral fertilizer with inoculation increased by about 30%. Albareda et al. [41] found that the seed inoculation resulted in a significantly higher grain yield and nodulation than in the controls. The effect of the mineral N fertilization and the seed inoculation on the soybean yield, result in highly diversified findings [35]. In our own study, the average of 2-year studies, the highest seed yields were recorded after applying HiStick with 60 kg N·ha$^{-1}$ in both cultivars.

In the study by Jarecki et al. [42], using Nitragina, Mikrokomplex, and Nitragina + Mikrokomplex resulted in a significant increase in soybean yield and a change in the chemical composition of seeds. Debela et al. [43] found that the combined application of 2 t VC·ha$^{-1}$ (vermicompost prepared from raw materials, such as soybean straw, wood ash, and animal manure) and 75 kg NPS·ha$^{-1}$ (blended fertilizer used as a source of nitrogen, phosphorus, and sulfur: 19% N, 38% P$_2$O$_5$, and 7% S) inoculated with *Rhizobium* strain had resulted in a better and optimum yield of 3870 kg·ha$^{-1}$, which is environmentally sound for soil management and is tentatively recommended for use. Zilli et al. [25] in their studies found that re-inoculation provided average increases in grain yield of 12 to 18% compared to the non-inoculated control. Studies by Saturno et al. [44] showed that the N-fertilizer impaired nodulation and N$_2$ fixation and did not improve grain production [18,45]. Zilli et al. [25,46] stated that soybean, brings additional economic benefits due to BNF by the bacteria *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, and BNF allows high soybean yields at a low cost. According to Zufio et al. [47], the application of 50 kg N·ha$^{-1}$ of mineral fertilizer associated with the *Bradyrhizobium* spp. inoculation enhanced the physiological quality of soybean seeds, resulting in higher seed germination percentage and higher emergence and seedling emergence speed index. Zerpa et al. [48] found that the inoculants combination treatment resulted as more favorable to shoot height, dry weight and leaf area, nodule number, as well as dry weight. Leggett et al. [24] showed a significant increase in soybean grain yield due to the inoculation with *B. japonicum*.

Under the favorable humidity in the period from June to August in the second year of the study (2018), a plant response to inoculation and mineral N fertilization was significantly different than in the first year (2017), with the significantly lower precipitation in the above period. According to Korsak-Adamowicz et al. [49], neither drought nor high air temperature is favorable for the symbiosis of soybean and *B. japonicum*.

The soybean seed yield depends mainly on the number of pods per plant [27,30], which states that with the high number of pods per plant, the number of seeds increase to two units. In our own research, a positive effect of the inoculants and mineral N fertilization was found on the morphological features: Pod number, as well as number and weight of seeds in both cultivars, but higher values of those traits were found in Annushka cv. which was lower than in cv. Aldana. The 1000 seed weight was higher in Aldana cv. than in Annushka cv. In the study by Prusiński et al. [35], no significant effect of the inoculants and mineral N fertilization was found on the pod number and the 1000 seeds weight in the tested cultivars. Jarecki and Bobrecka-Jamro [50] found that the starting N rate of 25 kg N·ha$^{-1}$ facilitates producing significantly more seeds per pod than as the result of Nitragina inoculation. Boros [51] stated that when the purpose of selecting legumes is to increase the yield, both the number of pods, as well as the number of seeds per plant and the 1000 seeds weight value must be taken into account simultaneously, especially in soybeans. In our research, the mineral N and inoculation had little effect on plant height. Additionally, in both cultivars in all years of the study, higher plants were observed after the application of Nitragina + 60 kg N·ha$^{-1}$ than after Nitragina alone. In the study by Prusiński et al. [35], the favorable effect of mineral N on plant height was confirmed only in cv. Annushka.

BNF in soybean plants is a sensitive process, dependent mostly on the weather pattern, that directly affects the development of *B. japonicum* bacteria, nodulation, and N fixation [49]. In our research, factors of the study (mineral N and inoculation) had little effect on the number of root nodules and dry weight. The quality of root nodules and
their weight was low. However, the more nodule amount on the root system was noted after the application of inoculants Hi®Stick Soy. A higher count of nodules was noted in cv. Annushka than Aldana. Jarecki and Bobrecka-Jamro [50], after the application of inoculants, observed an increase in the number of root nodules and dry weight, as well as the higher count of B. japonicum. Kaschuk et al. [18] and Saturno et al. [44] stated that mineral N fertilization of soybean can negatively affect the nodules number. Mrkovacki and Morinkovic [52] stated that the low doses of mineral N are able to increase the nodules number and their dry weight, which, however, was not noted in our research. Results of Debela et al. [43] studies showed that the combination of VC 2 tons ha\(^{-1}\) with Rhizobium inoculation (TAL-379) gave the highest number of effective nodules per plant. According to those authors, three factors of interaction of Rhizobium inoculation, VC, and NPS rates significantly influenced the number of primary branches (NPB), number of pod per plant, seed yield, and harvest index.

Martyniuk [53] drew attention to the need for selecting an appropriate seed dressing if there was a plan to inoculate the seeds of legumes with nitragine. In turn, Korsak-Adamowicz et al. [49] reported that the symbiosis of nodule bacteria with the crop is not conducive to drought and high temperature. According to De Bruin et al. [54], Mason et al. [55], Hungria et al. [21], and Ambrosini et al. [22], the benefit from inoculation of the soybean with Bradyrhizobium spp. strains is affected by the soil cropping in the first year, but with smaller effects in the next seasons.

In our study, a significantly higher protein content was found in the seeds of both soybean cultivars when mineral nitrogen in a dose of 60 kg·ha\(^{-1}\) was applied and seeds were inoculated with Nitragina or Hi®Stick Soy. The use of seed inoculation and fertilization with mineral nitrogen increased the fiber content. It was confirmed by Jarecki et al. [42] who found a similar effect on protein content in the seeds, which was significantly higher in bacterial inoculant Nitragina (N) (36.4% dry matter) and Nitragina+foliar fertilization with Mikrokompleks (N+M) (36.3% dry matter) treatments as compared with foliar fertilization with Mikrokompleks treatment (M) and the control (C). Shahid et al. [56] and Afzal et al. [57] also used the bacterial inoculant to obtain an increase in protein content. Vratarić et al. [58] stated that foliar fertilization differentiates the chemical composition of soybean seeds, affecting an increase in the protein and fat contents. In the study by Jarecki et al. [42], Nitragina resulted in the significant change in the chemical composition of seeds. Zuffo et al. [47] reported that the mineral nitrogen fertilization increased the crude protein content of seeds.

Herein, the studied factors limited the amount of ash in seeds. While Jarecki and Bobrecka-Jamro [59] using the bacterial inoculant stated an increase in ash content in soybean seeds. Considering a widespread interest in soybean cultivation in Europe, it seems justifiable, also in Poland, to continue further studies in various regions.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, agroecological conditions have affected the effectiveness of inoculation and mineral N fertilization and directly impacted the soybean development, which also modifies the yield. In worse agroecological conditions, inoculation and N fertilization are advisable.

The soybean yield was most favorably affected by the combined use of inoculation and nitrogen fertilization (increase in seed yield by 42%, protein yield by about 28%). The application of mineral nitrogen at the dose of 30 or 60 kg·ha\(^{-1}\) allowed the increase of the seed yield and protein yield compared to the control. The inoculation of soybean seeds with B. japonicum increased the yield of soybeans. In addition, the protein yield compared to the control and inoculation of Hi®Stick Soy favored a better yield than Nitragina.

The seed inoculation and differentiated doses of nitrogen fertilization had a positive effect on the morphological features of both soybean cultivars. The small amount of rainfall during significant periods of growth and development of soybean strongly limited nodule binding on the root system, and their numbers and weights were small.
Hi®Stick Soy has a better effect on soybean nodulation, and the cv. Annushka nodded slightly more than the cv. Aldana.

The inoculation of seeds with Nitragina or Hi®Stick Soy and fertilization with mineral nitrogen increased the content of protein and fiber in seeds of both soybean cultivars and reduced the amount of ash and fat.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.K.; data curation, J.K.; formal analysis, J.K. and J.B.; funding acquisition, J.K.; investigation, J.K. and J.B.; methodology, J.K.; project administration, J.K.; resources, J.K. and J.B.; supervision, J.K.; validation, J.B.; visualization, J.B.; writing—original draft, J.K. and J.B.; writing—review and editing, J.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The studies have been supported by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development in Poland within the Multiannual Programme 2016–2020 IUNG-PIB: “Increasing the use of domestic feed protein for the production of high-quality animal products under sustainable conditions”.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

**References**

1. Stein, H.H.; Berger, L.L.; Drackley, J.K.; Fahey, G.C. Jr.; Hermot, D.C.; Parsons, C.M. Nutritional properties and feeding values of soybeans and their co-products. In *Soybeans. Chemistry Production Processing and Utilization*; Johnson, L.A., White, P.J., Galloway, R., Eds.; AOCS Press: Urbana, IL, USA, 2008; pp. 613–660. https://doi.org/10.1007/B978-1-893997-64-6.50021-4.

2. de Visser, C.L.M.; Remco, S.; Stoddard, F. The EU’s dependency on soybean import for the animal feed industry and potential for EU produced alternatives. *OCL* 2014, 21, D407. https://doi.org/10.1051/ocl/2014021.

3. Thrane, M.; Paulsen, P.V.; Orcutt, M.W.; Krieger, T.M. Soy protein: Impacts. production. and applications (Ch. 2). In *Sustainable Protein Sources*; Nadathu, S.R., Wanasundara, J.P.D., Scanlin, L., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2017; pp. 23–45. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128027783000020.

4. Available online: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL (accessed on 20.12.2021).

5. SOYSTATS 2020. Available online: http://soystats.com/ (accessed on 22 January 2021).

6. Anwar, F.; Kamal, G.M.; Nadeemb, F.; Shabir, G. Variations of quality characteristics among oils of different soybean varieties. *J. King Saud Univ. Sci.* 2016, 28, 332–338.

7. Naresh, S.; Ong, M.K.; Thiagarajah, K.; Mutiah, N.B.S.J.; Kunasundari, B.; Lye, H.S. Engineered soybean-based beverages and their impact on human health. *Non-Alcohol. Beverages* 2019, 6, 329–361.

8. Subramanian, S.; Smith, D.L. A Proteomics Approach to Study Soybean and Its Symbiont Bradyrhizobium japonicum—A Review; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2013. http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/53728.

9. Medic, J.; Atkinson, C.; Hurburgh, C.R. Current knowledge in soybean composition. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* 2014, 91, 363–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-014-2407-9.

10. James, A.T.; Yang, A. Interactions of protein content and globulin subunit composition of soybean proteins in relation to tofu gel properties. *Food Chem.* 2016, 194, 284–289.

11. Luboński, A.; Markowicz, M. Effect of nitrogen fertilization system on yielding of three non-GMO soybean varieties. *Fragm. Agron.* 2017, 34, 66–75.

12. Patil, G.; Vuong, T.D.; Kale, S.; Valliyodan, B.; Deshmukh, R.; Zhu, C.; Wu, X.; Bai, Y.; Yungbluth, D.; Lu, F.; et al. Dissecting genomic hotspots underlying seed protein, oil and sucrose content in an interspecific mapping population of soybean using high-density linkage mapping. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2018, 16, 1959–1953.

13. Zhang, J.; Wang, X.; Lu, Y.; Bhusal, S.J.; Song, Q.; Cregan, P.B.; Yan, Y.; Brown, M.; Jiang, G.L.; Zimmer, S.; et al. Effects of soybean variety and Bradyrhizobium strains on yield, protein content and biological nitrogen fixation under cool growing conditions in Germany. *Eur. J. Agron.* 2016, 72, 38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2015.09.008.

14. Collino, D.J.; Salvagiotti, F.; Perticari, A.; Ficinetti, C.; Orvando, G.; Urquiaga, S.; Racca, R.W. Biological nitrogen fixation in soybean in Argentina: Relationships with crop. soil. and meteorological factors. *Plant Soil* 2015, 392, 239–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2459-8.
15. Hungria, M.; Mendes, I.C. Nitrogen fixation with soybean: The perfect symbiosis? In *Biological Nitrogen fixation*; de Bruijn, F.J., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2015; pp. 1009–1023. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781119053095.ch09](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781119053095.ch09).

16. Albuquerque, T.M.; Ortez, O.; Carmona, G.I.; Ciampitti, I.A. Soybean: Evaluation of Inoculation. *Kans. Agric. Exp. Stn. Res. Rep.* 2017, 3, 6. [https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-9977.7437](https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-9977.7437).

17. Moretti, L.G.; Crusciol, C.A.; Kuramae, E.E.; Bossolani, J.W.; Moreira, A.; Costa, N.R.; Alves, C.J.; Pascoaloito, I.M.; Rondina, A.B.L.; Hungria, M. Effects of growth-promoting bacteria on soybean root system, plant development, and yield. *Agron. J.* 2020, 112, 418–428. [https://doi.org/10.1094/aj202010](https://doi.org/10.1094/aj202010).

18. Kaschuk, G.; Nogueira, M.A.; Luca, M.J.; Hungria, M. Response of determine and indeterminate soybean cultivars to basal and topdressing N fertilization compared to sole inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium*. *Field Crop. Res.* 2016, 195, 21–27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.05.010).

19. Tain, C.F.; Zhou, Y.J.; Zhang, Y.M.; Li, Q.Q.; Zhang, Y.Z.; Li, D.F.; Chen, W.X. Comparative genomics of rhizobia nodulating soybean suggest extensive recruitment of lineage-specific genes in adaptation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 8629–8634.

20. Carciochi, W.D.; Rosso, L.H.M.; Secchi, M.A.; Torres, A.R.; Naevae, S.; Casteel, S.N.; Kovacs, P.; Davidson, D.; Purcell, L.C.; Archontoulis, S.; et al. Soybean yield, biological N fixation and seed composition responses to additional inoculation in the United States. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 19908. [10.1038/s41598-019-56465-0](10.1038/s41598-019-56465-0).

21. Hungria, M.; Araujo, R.S.; Ju'nior, S.; Barbosa, E.; Zilli, J.E. Inoculum rate effects on the soybean symbiosis in new or old fields under tropical conditions. *Agron. J.* 2017, 109, 1106–1112. [https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2016.11.0641](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2016.11.0641).

22. Ambrosini, V.G.; Fontoura, S.M.; de Moraes, R.P.; Tamagno, S.; Ciampitti, I.A.; Bayer, C. Soybean yield response to Bradyrhizobium strains in fields with inoculation history in Southern Brazil. *J. Plant Nutr.* 2019, 42, 1941–1951. [https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2019.1648680](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2019.1648680).

23. Moretti, L.G.; Lazarini, E.; Bossolani, J.W.; Parente, T.L.; Caioni, S.; Araujo, R.S.; Hungria, M. Can additional inoculations increase soybean nodulation and grain yield? *Agron. J.* 2018, 110, 715–721. [https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2017.09.0540](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2017.09.0540).

24. Legget, M.; Diaz-Zorita, M.; Koivunen, M.; Bowman, R.; Pesek, R.; Stevenson, C.; Leister, T. Soybean response to inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* in the United States and Argentina. *Agron. J.* 2017, 109, 1031–1038.

25. Zilli, J.E.; Pacheco, R.S.; Gianluppi, V.; Smerdle, O.J.; Uruquiaga, S.; Hungria, M. Biological N fixation and yield performance of soybean inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium*. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 2021; 119, 323–336. doi:10.1007/s10705-021-10128-7.

26. IUSS Working Group WRB. World reference base for soil resources 2014, update 2015. In *International Soil Classification System for Naming Soils and Creating Legends for Soil Maps*; World Soil Resources Reports No. 106; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2015.

27. Dolijanowic, Z.; Kovacevic, D.; Oliaca, S.; Jovovic, Z.; Stipesevic, B.; Jug, D. The multi-year soybean grain yield depending on weather conditions. In Proceedings of the Medunarodni Simpozij Agronomija, Dubrovnik, Croatia, 17–22 October 2013; pp. 422–477.

28. Bujak, K.; Jedruszcak, M.; Frant, M. The effect of simplified cultivation and foliar fertilization of macro and microelements on yield of soybean grown in monoculture. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. E* 2004, 59, 139–147.

29. Candráková, E.; Macák, M.; Szombathová, N.; Hanácková, E. The influence of fertilization on yield and yield component formation of soybean varieties. *J. Cent. Eur. Agric.* 2008, 9, 511–518.

30. Stojmenova, L.; Alexieva, S. Impacts of climate condition on soybean yield. Pochvoznanje. *Agrokomisija Ekol.* 2009, 43, 10–14.

31. Ohyama, T.; Minagawa, R.; Ishikawa, S.; Yamamoto, M.; Hung, N.V.P.; Ohtake, N.; Sueyoshi, K.; Sato, T.; Nagumo, Y.; Takahashi, Y. Soybean Seed Production and Nitrogen Nutrition. In *A Comprehensive Survey of International Soybean Research—Genetics, Physiology, Agronomy and Nitrogen Relationships*; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2013; pp. 115–157.

32. Faligowska, A.; Suzka, J. Influence of organic polymer on yield components and seed yield of soybean. *Nauka Przrz. Technol.* 2014, 8, 9. (In Polish)

33. Toleikiene, M.; Slepetys, J.; Sarunauskas, S.; Deveikyte, I.; Kadziuliene, Z. Soybean Development and Productivity in Response to Organic Management above the Northern Boundary of Soybean Distribution in Europe. *Agronomy* 2021, 11, 214. [https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11020214](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11020214).

34. Lu, W.; Misselbrook, Thomas, H.; Feng, Liping; Wu, Lianhai. Assessment of Nitrogen Uptake and Biological Nitrogen Fixation Responses of Soybean to Nitrogen Fertilizer with SPACSYS. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 5921. [https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155921](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155921).

35. Prusinski, J.; Baturo-Ciesniewska, A.; Borowska, M. Response of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) to Mineral Nitrogen Fertilization and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* Seed Inoculation. *Agronomy* 2020, 10, 1300. [https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10091300](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10091300).

36. Montanez, A.; Danso, S.; Hardarson, G. The effect of temperature on nodulation and nitrogen fixation by five *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strains. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 1995, 2, 165–174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0929-1393(95)00052-m](https://doi.org/10.1016/0929-1393(95)00052-m).

37. Seneviratne, M.; Gunaratne, S.; Bandara, T.; Weerasundara, L.; Rajakaruna, N.; Seneviratne, G.; Vithanage, M. Plant growth promotion by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* under heavy metal stress. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* 2016, 105, 19–24.

38. Mourtzinis, S.; Kaur, G.; Orłowski, J.M.; Shapiro, C.A.; Lee, C.D.; Wortmann, C.; Holshouser, D.; Nafziger, E.D.; Kandel, H.; Nieckamp, J. Soybean response to nitrogen application across the United States: A synthesis-analysis. *Field Crop. Res.* 2018, 215, 74–82. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.09.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.09.035).

39. La Menza, N.C.; Monzon, J.P.; Specht, J.E.; Grassini, P. Is soybean yield limited by nitrogen supply? *F. Crops Res.* 2017, 213, 204–212.
40. Capataná, N.; Bolohan, C.; Marin, D.I. Research regarding the influence of mineral fertilization along with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* on soybean grain yield (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) under the conditions of south-eastern Austria. *Sci. Papers. Agron.* 2017, 60, 207–214.

41. Albareda, M.; Rodríguez-Navarro, D.N.; Temprano, F.J.; Contreras, M.A. Soybean inoculation: Dose, Nfertilizer supplementation and rhizobia persistence in soil. *Field Crop. Res.* 2009, 113, 352–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2009.05.013.

42. Janecki, W.; Buczek, J.; Bobrecka-Jamro, D. Response of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) to bacterial soil inoculants and foliar fertilization. *Plant Soil Environ.* 2016, 62, 422–427. https://doi.org/10.17221/292/2016-PSE.

43. Debela, C.; Tan, T.; Wogi, L. Effect of Rhizobium Inoculation, NPS Fertilizer and Vermicompost on Nodulation and Yield of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) at Bako, Western Ethiopia. *J. Chem. Environ. Biol. Eng.* 2021, 5, 49–61. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jcebe.20210502.13. ISSN: 2640-2645 (Print); ISSN: 2640-267X (Online).

44. Saturno, D.F.; Cerezini, P.; Da Silva, P.M.; De Oliveira, A.B.; De Oliveira, M.C.N.; Hungria, M.; Nogueira, M.A.; Moreira, P.D.S. Mineral Nitrogen Impairs the Biological Nitrogen Fixation in Soybean of Determinate and Indeterminate Growth Types. *J. Plant Nutr.* 2017, 3, 1690–1701. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2017.1310890.

45. Chibeba, A.M.; Kyei-Boahen, S.; Guimaraes, M.F.; Nogueira, M.A.; Hungria, M. Feasibility of transference of inoculation-related technologies: A case study of evaluation of soybean rhizobial strains under the agro-climatic conditions of Brazil and Mozambique. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2018, 261, 230–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.06.037.

46. Zilli, J.E.; Alves, B.J.R.; Rouws, L.F.M.; Simo’es-Araujo, J.L.; Soares, L.H.B.; Cassa’n, F.; Castellanos, M.O.; O’Hara, G. The importance of denitrification performed by nitrogen-fixing bacteria used as inoculants in South America. *Plant Soil.* 2020, 451, 5–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04187-7.

47. Zerpa, M.; Mayz, J.; Méndez, J. Effects of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* inoculants on soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) growth and nodulation. *Ann. Biol. Res.* 2013, 4, 193–199.

48. Korsak-Adamowicz, M.; Starczewski, J.; Dopka, D. Influence of selected agrotechnological operations on soybean. *Fragm. Agron.* 2007, 24, 238–244. (In Polish)

49. Janecki, W.; Bobrecka-Jamro, D. Reaction of soybean plants to the vaccination of seeds with nitragina and initial nitrogen fertilization *Nauka Przyp. Technol.* 2016, 10, 12. ISSN 1897-7820 http://www.npt.up-poznan.net. (In Polish)

50. Boros, L. Assessment of the interdependence of selected quantitative characteristics determining the seed yield in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), dwarf bean (*Ph. Vulgaris*, L.) and soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). *Biol. Inst. Hod. Aklim. Rośl.* 2003, 2, 481–486. (In Polish)

51. Mrkovacik, N.; Morinkovic, J. Effect of fertilizer application on growth and yield of inoculated soybean. *Not. Bot. Hort. Cluj-Napoca* 2008, 36, 48–51.

52. Martyntiuk, S. Scientific and practical aspects of legumes symbiosis with root-nodule bacteria. *Pol. J. Agron.* 2012, 9, 17–22. (In Polish)

53. De Bruin, J.L.; Pedersen, P.; Conley, S.P.; Gaska, J.M.; Naeve, S.L.; Kurle, J.E.; Elmore, W.; Giesler, L.J.; Abendroth, L.J. Probability of yield response to inoculants in fields with a history of soybean. *Crop. Sci.* 2010, 50, 265–272. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2009.04.0185.

54. Mason, S.; Galusha, T.; Kmail, Z. Soybean yield and nodulation history and inoculation. *Agron. J.* 2016, 108, 309–312. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2015.0245.

55. Shahid, M.Q.; Saleem, M.F.; Khan, Z.H.; Anjum, S.A. Performance of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under different phosphorus levels and inoculation. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.* 2009, 46, 1–5.

56. Afzal, A.; Bano, A.; Fatima, M. Higher soybean yield by inoculation with N-fixing and P-solubilizing bacteria. *Agron. for Sust. Dev.* 2010, 30, 487–495.

57. Vratarić, M.; Sudarić, A.; Kovačević, V.; Duvnjak, T.; Krizmanić, M.; Mijić, A. Response of soybean to foliar fertilization with magnesium sulfate (*Epsom salt*). *Cereal Res. Commun.* 2006, 34, 709–712.

58. Janecki, W.; Bobrecka-Jamro, D. Effect of fertilization with nitrogen and seed inoculation with nitragina on seed quality of soya bean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). *Acta Sci. Pol. Agric.* 2015, 14, 51–59.