Stable microresonator Kerr soliton frequency combs in a III-V platform (AlGaAs on SiO$_2$) are demonstrated through quenching of thermorefractive effects by cryogenic cooling to temperatures between 4 and 20 K. This cooling reduces the resonator’s thermorefractive coefficient, whose room-temperature value is an order of magnitude larger than that of other microcomb platforms like Si$_3$N$_4$, SiO$_2$, and AlN, by more than two orders of magnitude, and makes soliton states adiabatically accessible. Realizing such phase-stable soliton operation is critical for applications that fully exploit the ultra-high effective nonlinearity and high optical quality factors exhibited by this platform.

Microresonator frequency combs based on dissipative Kerr solitons (DKSs) are promising for chip-scale metrology implementation, including applications such as optical clocks and range measurements. DKSs have been demonstrated in amorphous dielectrics such as SiO$_2$ and Si$_3$N$_4$, crystalline materials such as MgF$_2$, III-Nitride materials such as AlN, and promising new integrated photonics platforms such as thin film LiNbO$_3$. In contrast, III-As materials, though they are particularly attractive for microcomb applications due to the ability to simultaneously realize ultra-high effective nonlinearity and large optical quality factor $(Q)$ are yet to show stable microcavity DKS operation. Given the competing processes that can occur in such materials, including thermorefractive, free carrier, and photorefractive effects, achieving this milestone is important to further establish the potential of these platforms for applications that require phase-stable frequency comb generation. III-V materials, mostly binary or ternary compounds made of Aluminum (Al), Gallium (Ga), Arsenic (As), and Phosphorus (P), started to gain attention more than three decade ago for photonics applications thanks to their large third-order nonlinearity—two-to-three orders of magnitude higher than SiO$_2$, Si$_3$N$_4$ and AlN—and the avoidance of two-photon absorption (TPA) in the telecom band thanks to band-gap engineering. Reduction in TPA resulted in several milestones for nonlinear photonics such as waveguide soliton pulse compression in suspended GaAs photonic crystal membranes, energy-efficient optical gates, and ultra-low-threshold optical parameter oscillators. A major breakthrough has been the emergence of the III-V on insulator platform, which has enabled strong modal confinement and dispersion control, and for which longstanding challenges with respect to scattering losses have been mitigated, resulting in the realization of high quality factor $(Q > 10^4)$ microring resonators in which geometric dispersion control can be exercised. Together with the ultra-high effective nonlinearity $(\gamma = 377 \text{ W}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1})$, these devices have achieved comb generation at pump powers easily reachable with chip-integrated lasers. However, while a transient “soliton step” was observed in ref. [13], indicating soliton existence, stable access, and operation on a DKS state was not achieved in that work.

Here, we demonstrate the first stable generation of DKSs in an ultra-high nonlinearity III-V microresonator. The DKS is thermally accessible under manual tuning of the pump laser frequency, due to a dramatic drop in the thermorefractive coefficient $(dn/dT)$, where $n$ is the system’s refractive index and $T$ is temperature) realized by a cryogenic operating temperature $(T < 20 \text{K})$. We measure the system’s temperature-dependent $dn/dT$ and show that its large gradient creates a limited window for which DKSs are thermally accessible. Within this range there is a balance between high enough power to sustain the DKS and low enough power to avoid strong heating that increases the resonator’s thermorefractive coefficient and reduces the DKS accessibility region (i.e., shortens the DKS step length).

The experimental setup (Figure 1) is similar to ref. [25], where the sample is in a 20 cm diameter closed-cycle cryostat whose sample mount temperature can be set from 4 to 100 K. The resonator is a microring of radius $R = 18.97 \mu m$ and ring width $RW = 740 \text{ nm}$, made in 400 nm thick Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As encapsulated in SiO$_2$, and the resulting calculated dispersion characteristics are given in the Supporting Information. The microring chip is accessed by lensed optical fibers (insertion loss of 6 dB/facet), and
Figure 1. Experimental setup for generating a DKS in an AlGaAs-on-insulator microring resonator, where the sample is placed on top of a temperature-controlled mount inside a closed-cycle cryostat to modify the resonator’s thermorefractive coefficient and achieve conditions favorable for DKS generation. The soliton is generated by manually tuning the frequency of the CTL pump laser, while the beat note measurement is performed using a second CTL laser. CTL: Continuous Tunable Laser, EDFA: Erbium Doped Fiber Amplifier, Pmeter: Power Meter, OSA: Optical Spectra Analyzer.

is pumped by an amplified continuous tunable laser (CTL). The resonator output spectrum is monitored with an optical spectrum analyzer (OSA), and a second CTL is used in heterodyne beat note measurements against the generated comb, detected by a 12 GHz photodiode and an electrical spectral analyzer.

First, we measure the shift of the resonances with temperature (T) to extract the thermorefractive coefficient \( \frac{dn}{dT} \), where we probe the frequency shift of a resonance—the one which will be further pumped to generate the soliton frequency comb around 191.4 THz—while changing the temperature of the sample mount from 7 K to 96 K. We do not observe significant change in either the coupling \( Q \) (average \( Q = 850 \times 10^3 \)) or the intrinsic \( Q \) (average \( Q = 575 \times 10^3 \)), as shown in Section S2, Supporting Information. We believe that the resonator is limited by scattering losses and because the microring is pumped far from its band-edge, we cannot draw conclusions about a potential change of absorption due to temperature-induced band-gap modification. In order to retrieve the temperature dependence of \( \frac{dn}{dT} \) from the measured frequency shift, we first calculate \( \frac{dv}{dn} = -55.98 \text{THz} \) from an eigenmode solver (see Section S3, Supporting Information), where \( v \) is the eigenfrequency, and \( n \) is the refractive index of the AlGaAs, with the assumption that any variation in the refractive index of SiO\(_2\) has little effect on the resonance frequency due to its small value and the large modal confinement within the AlGaAs layer (see Section S3, Supporting Information). We then retrieve \( \frac{dn}{dT} = (\frac{dv}{dn})^{-1} \frac{dv}{dT} \), with the results displayed in Figure 2. It is important to note that we disregard thermal expansion as a potential source of a temperature-dependent resonance frequency shift, given its comparatively small expected value (near \( 10^{-7} \text{K}^{-1} \)), as reported in the literature.[29]

The results of the measurements in Figure 2 show that in addition to a nearly 100% higher thermorefractive coefficient than Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) and SiO\(_2\) between 30 and 60 K, the slope of \( \frac{dn}{dT} \) (i.e. \( \frac{d^2n}{dT^2} \)) for the AlGaAs system is quite large, as evidenced by an order of magnitude change in the range between 7 K < T < 20 K. This suggests a more limited pump power and temperature window for soliton accessibility under slow pump frequency tuning in AlGaAs than in Si\(_3\)N\(_4\).[25]

In Figure 3, we examine comb generation by pumping the resonator at \( f_{pmp} = 191 \text{THz} \), increasing the pump power to the few mW level, and sweeping the pump frequency with the laser piezo element manually, hence orders of magnitude slower than
the expected thermal time constant (expected to be on the order of tenths of a µs). When the pump power is too high (in-waveguide power >5 mW), only modulation instability (M.I.) states are observable, resulting in a comb spectrum that significantly differs from the sech² envelope expected for a soliton state. This is consistent with the broad beat note (inset to Figure 3a) obtained through interference of the comb and a stabilized tunable laser near 197 THz. In contrast, for an in-waveguide power between 3 and 5 mW (about 10 x greater than the estimated threshold power), the resulting comb spectrum (Figure 3b) is significantly different from the M.I. one, and follows the expected sech² envelope. Furthermore, the beat note measurement (inset to Figure 3b) shows a single narrow peak with a reduced noise floor relative to the M.I. state. This data, taken together, is indicative of stable access to a DKS state. It is interesting to note the comb tooth around 187 THz appears to be lower than the comb envelope in both the M.I. and DKS states, which indicates a possible avoided mode crossing. However, it is spectrally separated far enough from the pump that its influence on DKS formation is apparently limited. The limited power window over which soliton states are adiabatically accessible appears to be strongly linked to the thermal properties of the system. Indeed, AlₐGa₁-ₐAs presents a relatively good thermal conductivity (κₐGaAs = 62.2 W.m⁻¹.K⁻¹[10]), and is about a factor of 2 larger than that of Si₃N₄ (κSi₃N₄ = 30 W.m⁻¹.K⁻¹[17]). However, the microring in this study is embedded within SiO₂ which is a thermal insulator (κSiO₂ ≈ 1 W.m⁻¹.K⁻¹[31]). As a result, the temperature of the resonator can increase by a few degrees once a sufficiently large circulating power is reached. This relatively small increase of resonator temperature due to the pump will result in a large increase of the thermorefractive coefficient, resulting in a soliton state that is no longer thermally accessible. Figure 4b summarizes this physical picture in a qualitative way, using the model described in the Supplement (uncertainty in quantities such as the resonator absorption rate and heat capacity preclude a fully quantitative description). In this figure, the soliton existence region within the 2D landscape of in-waveguide pump power and pump laser detuning from the cavity mode has been computed using the steady-state Lugato–Lefever equation through the pyLLE software,[22] which only includes Kerr-mediated effects. Here, we have defined detuning as positive when the laser is on the red-detuned side of the cavity (i.e., at lower frequency). Thermal accessibility is addressed by a secondary equation as described in ref. [33], and is directly proportional to the thermorefractive index. Its solution is depicted by the solid red line in Figure 4b. If the pump power is low enough, for example, corresponding to the horizontal green line in Figure 4b, dδn/dT is low enough, and the soliton existence window extends in laser detuning beyond the thermally inaccessible region and can be accessed adiabatically through slow frequency tuning of the pump (Figure 4c). However, when the pump power is too high (purple horizontal line in Figure 4b), the soliton
existence window lies entirely within a thermally inaccessible region and can not be accessed adiabatically (Figure 4a), resulting solely in the observation of MI states.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated stable DKS operation in an ultra-high nonlinearity III-V microring resonator. This has been realized by reducing its thermorefractive coefficient by more than two orders of magnitude through operation at cryogenic temperature. We further show that due to the large gradient of the thermorefractive coefficient between 4 and 20 K, the pump power window over which soliton states are thermally accessible is limited. Our results show that thermorefractive effects have been the main limitation to soliton accessibility at room temperature, and point to the importance of minimizing optical absorption and maximizing thermal conductivity. Such reduction in absorption could be obtained by better surface passivation, while thermal mitigation can be provided using other cladding material. Together with effective pump-cavity detuning methods like use of an auxiliary laser or integrated heaters, this may open the possibility of realizing straightforward room-temperature access to DKS in a III-V platform.
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