Strategies for Articular Cartilage Repair and Regeneration
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Articular cartilage is an avascular tissue, with limited ability to repair and self-renew. Defects in articular cartilage can induce debilitating degenerative joint diseases such as osteoarthritis. Currently, clinical treatments have limited ability to repair, for they often result in the formation of mechanically inferior cartilage. In this review, we discuss the factors that affect cartilage homeostasis and function, and describe the emerging regenerative approaches that are informing the future treatment options.
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INTRODUCTION

Articular cartilage (AC) is a highly specialized connective tissue that covers the surfaces of bones in a synovial joint. Its main function is to allow for an almost friction-less movement of the joints, and facilitate the transmission of loads whilst protecting the subchondral bone. However, the constant mechanical stresses that are experienced by the AC makes it vulnerable to wear, tears, and sports injuries. Moreover, mature AC has a limited ability to self-renew and repair as it is avascular, lacks innervation and has a low cell-density (Chiang and Jiang, 2009). Therefore, without sufficient and potent treatment, cartilage damage can easily lead to progressive tissue deterioration, debilitating joint pain, dysfunction, and eventually the degenerative disease, osteoarthritis (OA) (Borrelli et al., 2019).

Osteoarthritis refers to exposure of subchondral bone to the joint space, which causes inflammation that may be accompanied by osteophyte development, severe pain, and progressive loss of joint function. In fact, retrospective studies with arthroscopic examinations reveal the presence of chondral lesions in nearly 60% of the patients (Chubinskaya et al., 2015). Acute knee injury has also been shown to be a risk factor for OA, with individuals with cartilage damage 7.4 times more likely to develop the disease (Wildet al., 2002). This is consistent with a more recent study in young adults which identified a risk difference of 8.1 for knee OA, between individuals with and without knee injury at 19 years of follow-up (Snoeker et al., 2020). With the improvement of human life expectancy and the development of social aging process, the incidence of OA will greatly increase during the following decades. In fact, epidemiological study in the Chinese population suggested that the prevalence of knee OA had reached 18% in the country (Wang et al., 2018).

Whilst current treatment options may improve joint function and reduce pain, they fail to elicit regeneration of the articular tissue with its distinct functional characteristics, and therefore are only partially effective. In this review, we begin by discussing the structure and biology of the AC, and then describe the current strategies for its repair, followed by highlighting the recent advances in regenerative technologies that are informing the future treatment options.
ANATOMY OF THE ARTICULAR CARTILAGE

In utero and at birth, AC is a compact, highly cellular tissue with isotropic distribution of chondrocytes, but poor in the extracellular matrix (ECM) (Weiss et al., 1968). As the AC matures and shapes before early adulthood, chondrocytes located in different areas receive AC variant degrees of mechanical, electrical, and physicochemical signals, leading to increased cellular size, accumulation of ECM, and eventually attaining a zonal anisotropic organization (Correa and Lietman, 2017).

The ECM comprises of a fibrillar network of collagen, non-collagenous proteins, proteoglycans, and water; and the orientation of the collagen fibrils along with chondrocyte morphology characterize the three zones of AC (Figure 1). In the thin superficial zone, that makes up approximately 10–20% of the AC thickness, the collagen fibrils and chondrocytes are aligned parallel to the articular surface. Chondrocytes are flattened and elongated, and the integrity of this layer is vital for the protection of the deeper layers. This zone confers the tensile properties to the AC, and helps resist the sheer forces experienced by the joint. The middle layer or the transitional zone which makes up 40–60% of the AC thickness is characterized by obliquely organized thicker collagen fibrils, and slightly larger chondrocytes at a relatively lower density. The transitional zone is the first line of resistance to the compressive forces imposed by the articulation. In the deep zone, the collagen fibrils are the thickest and are arranged perpendicular to the articular surfaces. The very large chondrocytes are typically arranged in columns, parallel to the collagen fibrils. This deep zone which make up nearly 30% of the AC volume, provides the greatest resistance to the compressive forces (Ulrich-Vinther et al., 2003). Calciﬁed cartilage, which lies beneath the deep zone separated by the tide mark, secures the AC by anchoring the collagen fibrils to the subchondral bone (Sophia Fox et al., 2009).

There are three main types of cartilages found in the human body, with differing structures and functions.

Hyaline Cartilage
Hyaline cartilage is the most common type of cartilage and can be seen at the connection sites between the ribs and sternum, trachea, and on the surfaces of the synovial joints. It has a glassy appearance and type II collagen is the most abundant type of collagen in hyaline cartilage, accounting for 90–95% of the collagen in ECM. Additionally, collagen IX links covalently to the type II collagen backbone, with type XI collagen forming the ﬁlamentous template of the ﬁbril (Eyre et al., 2006). The matrix of hyaline cartilage is also rich in glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), including negatively charged hyaluronic acid and chondroitin sulfate. Aggrecan (ACAN), is a major proteoglycan in the AC, which interacts with the GAGs to form large aggregates and their high anionic charge allow for increased retention of water molecules thus facilitating shock absorption. In adults, chondrocytes show low anabolic and proliferative activity, and the collagen ﬁbers last life-long, with minimal replacement activity.

Fibrocartilage
Fibrocartilage is mainly found between the vertebral bodies, symphysis pubis, meniscus, and tendon-bone interface. Its matrix is rich in densely braided collagen ﬁbers, making it highly resistant to compressive loads. The chondrocytes are aligned with the thick collagen ﬁbers, and are very few in number. Compared to hyaline cartilage, fibrocartilage contains a large amount of type I collagen in addition to type II collagen (Armiento et al., 2019).

Elastic Cartilage
Elastic cartilage is a type of elastic and ﬂexible tissue that can withstand repeated bending and is found in epiglottis, auricle and eustachian tube. In elastic cartilage, the cell morphology is basically similar to that of hyaline cartilage. Type II collagen and elastic ﬁbers branch densely in multiple directions, and contains relatively low amounts of type III, XII, V collagen (Wachsmuth et al., 2006).

THE ROLE OF MICROENVIRONMENT ON CARTILAGE FUNCTION

Considering the avascular characteristic of AC, chondrocyte metabolism, and thus cartilage homeostasis largely depends on the diffusion of nutrients, oxygen, and other regulatory factors from subchondral bone and synovial ﬂuid through the matrix. In this section, we discuss the current known factors in the chondrocyte microenvironment that regulate cartilage homeostasis and function, with speciﬁc focus on mechanical stimulation, oxygen tension, and cytokines and growth factors.

Mechanical Stimulation
The chondrocytes reside in a microenvironment that experiences complex combination of compressive loads, shear, and tensile stress regularly. Sensitivity to such mechanical stimuli and consequent adaptive responses are essential to maintain the joint function. Optimal mechanical stress has been shown to induce chondrogenesis during fetal development and maintains cartilage homeostasis in adults (Zhao et al., 2020). However, impact forces caused by falls, sports injuries and road accidents can lead to substantial damage. Indeed, studies have shown that above a certain threshold, impact forces can cause permanent changes to the mechanical properties and damage the structure of AC (Jeffrey and Aspden, 2006; Verteramo and Seedhom, 2007). Additionally, repetitive heavy loading has been shown to cause chondrocyte mitochondrial dysfunction, decreasing adenosine triphosphate (ATP) levels, exacerbating proton leakage and ROS formation (Coleman et al., 2016). Transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signaling is a well-established mediator of mechanical stress based regulation of cartilage. In a recent study, Zhen et al. show that TGF-β activity is concentrated in areas of cartilage that experience high mechanical stress, and it impairs the metabolic activity of chondrocytes, thus disrupting cartilage homeostasis (Zhen et al., 2021). Other pathways including MAPK-ERK, Wnt and Indian hedgehog signaling have been shown to play a role in regulating mechanotransduction in chondrocytes, and have been reviewed elsewhere (Zhao et al., 2020) (Figure 2A).
The insights of mechanobiology can provide new strategies in regenerative medicine. In order to mimic the natural environment of AC, mechanical stimulation can be added during expansion cultures of cell-based therapies (see section 4.2). At the cellular level, using biomechanics to enhance the chondrogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells has been well documented, which could be attributable to increased TGF-β mediated chondrogenic signaling (Li et al., 2010; Fahy et al., 2018). Low level of shear stress has shown to stimulate hMSC migration through JNK and p38 MAPK pathways mediated by SDF-1/CXCR4 axis (Yuan et al., 2013). In addition, mechanical loading enhances the angiogenic capacity, which could be attributed to FGFR and VEGFR signaling cascades (Kasper et al., 2007). Furthermore, optimal mechanical stimulation also has the potential to improve collagen formation, cell viability and fiber organization in the tissue-engineered constructs (Li et al., 2017; Salinas et al., 2018).

**Oxygen Tension**

AC microenvironment is generally hypoxic due to the lack of capillary network, and several studies have highlighted the relationship between hypoxia and AC development and homeostasis. The oxygen tension in the AC may be as low as 1–3% compared to 21% under normoxic conditions. Hypoxic environment has long been acknowledged as a positive influence that drives cartilage matrix accumulation, supporting a healthy chondrocyte phenotype (Murphy et al., 2009). Hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) are essential regulators that respond to hypoxia. They consist of an oxygen-regulatory α subunit, and a constitutively expressed β subunit. Under normal oxygen tension, the α subunit is degraded following hydroxylation by prolyl hydroxylases. However, it is stabilized under hypoxic conditions, dimerizes with the β subunit, and translocates to the nucleus to regulate gene expression. HIF-1α has been shown to be essential for chondrocyte survival and development of murine growth plates (Schipani et al., 2001). Furthermore, hypoxia has been associated with upregulation in expression of matrix components, and downregulation of degrading enzymes and hypertrophic markers in both healthy and OA chondrocytes (Murphy and Polak, 2004; Markway et al., 2013). A recently published study demonstrated that HIF-1α has anti-catabolic effects on AC by inhibiting the expression of Mmp13, via...
suppression of NF-κB signaling (Okada et al., 2020). Furthermore, using RNA interference, HIF-2α and not HIF-1α, was identified as a critical anabolic regulator of matrix synthesis (Lafont et al., 2007). It was also demonstrated that matrix genes such as aggrecan and type IX collagen were not HIF targets but regulated by hypoxia via SOX9, a cartilage-specific transcription factor (Thoms et al., 2013) (Figure 2B).

Several studies have highlighted the role of hypoxia in chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) (Robins et al., 2005; Elabd et al., 2018; Contentin et al., 2020). Proliferation of bone marrow MSCs was enhanced under hypoxia, together with increased chondrogenic ability, and higher type II collagen and aggrecan mRNA expressions (Bornes et al., 2015). Furthermore, hypoxia mediated HIF-1α stabilization led to activation of SOX9 and subsequent differentiation of MSCs to chondrocytes (Robins et al., 2005). Thus, targeting the hypoxic pathways, possibly by inhibiting prolyl hydroxylases (Joharapurkar et al., 2018), could be therapeutic strategy for cartilage repair.

**Cytokines and Growth Factors**

During development, the synthesis of matrix components by chondrocytes is stimulated by a range of anabolic cytokines including TGF-β (Wang et al., 2014), bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) (Kobayashi et al., 2005) and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) (Lui et al., 2019). The maintenance of cartilage health requires the bioactive levels of TGF-β to be within a narrow range. Concentrations above or below this optimal range and subsequent alterations in the TGF-β/SMAD2/3 pathway result in abnormal cartilage function (Finnson et al., 2012; Zhai et al., 2015). High concentrations of TGF-β induced by mechanical stress and platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB) secreted by mononuclear preosteoclasts respectively, cause bone resorption and angiogenesis in subchondral bone. Since subchondral bone is crucial for maintaining AC integrity, pathological changes in subchondral bone exacerbate the progression of OA (Zhen et al., 2013; Su et al., 2020). BMPs have been shown to regulate several chondrocyte specific genes and are involved all phases of chondrogenesis. In vitro studies have highlighted the role of BMP-7 in chondrocyte proliferation, GAG secretion and chondrogenic gene expression including ACAN, SOX9 and Col2a1 (Shen et al., 2010). BMP-2 mediated induction of chondrocyte differentiation from MSCs has been shown to occur via TGF-β3 pathway (Shen et al., 2009). BMP-6 has also been shown to promote chondrogenesis and hypertrophic differentiation from MSCs (Sekiya et al., 2001), and stimulate matrix synthesis (Bobacz et al., 2003). IGF-1 also modulates chondrogenesis from MSCs by stimulating cell proliferation, regulating apoptosis, and inducing chondrogenic gene expression (Longobardi et al., 2006; Ikeda et al., 2017). Moreover, mechanical stimulation has a synergistic effect on IGF-1 mediated increase in collagen and proteoglycan synthesis (Bonassar et al., 2001). Furthermore, chronic deficiency in IGF-1 levels has shown to associate with increased severity of AC lesions in OA in a rat model (Ekenstedt et al., 2006) (Figure 2C).

In response to trauma or inflammatory disease such as OA, a process of cartilage remodeling initiates. A catabolic response is mediated by inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 (IL-1) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) which suppress Sox9 mRNA and protein levels via the NF-κB pathway. This leads to a marked inhibition in expression of cartilage specific genes responsible for ECM formation and chondrogenesis (Murakami et al., 2000). This was confirmed in a separate study which showed IL-1β and TNF-α mediated inhibition of chondrogenesis by human MSCs through NF-κB dependent mechanisms (Wehling et al., 2009). IL-1β has also been shown to upregulate the expression of matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP3) and tumor-necrosis-factor-stimulated gene 6 (TSG6), and downregulate the expression of matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP3) and tumor-necrosis-factor-stimulated gene 6 (TSG6), and downregulate ACAN, further exacerbating the catabolic phenotype (Stöve et al., 2000). TNF-α also induces the expression of cartilage degradation molecules including MMP-9 and MMP-13, and decreases collagen type II and type XI synthesis (Lefebvre et al., 1990; Reginato et al., 1993; Liacini et al., 2003) (Figure 2D).

**CURRENT AND EMERGING TREATMENT MODALITIES**

Articular cartilage lesions, when left untreated, leads to the onset of degenerative OA, and thus demands an effective treatment option for repair and regeneration. Here we discuss the current treatment modalities used for the repair for cartilage lesions, and the emerging technologies.

**Surgical Approaches**

Surgical approaches for articular cartilage can be divided into three categories: bone marrow stimulation, autografts and allografts, and cell-based therapies. Bone marrow stimulation procedures are widely applied in treatment of osteochondral lesions treatment, which include microfracture, subchondral drilling or abrasion of the subchondral bone. Microfracture generally targets small, contained defects and requires making several perforations in the subchondral plate by using an arthroscopic awl and may result in good clinical outcome for smaller lesions (Sommerfeldt et al., 2016). Nevertheless, microfracture might ultimately induce the formation of fibrocartilage since bone marrow released into the defect forms a blood clot (Goyal et al., 2013; Kwon et al., 2019). Subchondral drilling and subchondral abrasion are alternatives to microfracture, but are less popular due to the risks of thermal necrosis, hypertrophy, or cysts (Chen et al., 2009).

Osteochondral autograft directly delivers mature and viable hyaline cartilage into the defects and therefore realizes a faster rehabilitation compared to most other cartilage repair strategies (Redondo et al., 2018). However, the application of osteochondral autograft transfer is restricted to small chondral defects (<2 cm²) because of the limitations in graft availability (Hangody et al., 2004). Osteochondral allograft transplantation is a feasible solution for AC repair due to the avascular nature of cartilage which alleviates any immunological concerns (Arzi et al., 2015). Although osteochondral allograft transplantation overcomes the graft availability concerns of osteochondral autograft transfer, the
difficulties in matching allografts to native architecture and improving the viability and longevity of the fresh tissue still exists (Koh et al., 2006; Cook et al., 2016).

Autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) is the most widely used surgical approach to treat large chondral defects (>3–4 cm²), and is the first application of cell engineering strategy in orthopedic surgery. It relies on repopulating the cartilage lesion with mature autologous chondrocytes, and thus requires two surgeries—one to harvest chondrocytes from healthy cartilage, and another to reimplant them into defects after expansion in cultures in vitro (Welch et al., 2016). Approximately 22% of patients suffer from hypertrophy of the periostium after ACI procedures (Gikas et al., 2009). Matrix-induced ACI (MACI) is the second generation of this technique which involves incorporation of chondrocytes onto a collagen scaffold prior to implantation (Nawaz et al., 2014). Although both ACI and MACI show better hyaline or hyaline-like cartilage formation and graft survival, patients have to endure two surgeries, a longer recovery phase and high financial costs (Chimutengwende-Gordon et al., 2020). Furthermore, the chondrocyte can de-differentiate during culture expansion, with downregulation of chondrogenic markers resulting in a limited life-span following implantation (Brittberg et al., 2003).

**Cell Based Therapy**

Chondrocytes often lose their capacity to produce ECM and proliferate after passing in culture, a phenomenon referred to as de-differentiation (Goldring et al., 1986; Schnabel et al., 2002). A recent study identified an important role of perlecan, a heparin sulphate proteoglycan, in the repair of defects in human cartilage. The authors also demonstrate that treating the chondrocytes with heperanase increased their proliferative potential and chondrogenic gene expression, with implications for in vitro expansion of cells (Garcia et al., 2021). A new population of cells termed as cartilage-derived stem/progenitor cells (CPSCs) have been identified in the cartilage, which unlike the chondrocytes, may have the ability to self-renew (Jiang and Tuan, 2015). The application potential of CPSCs is still unclear, but research is ongoing to better understand this cell phenotype and its therapeutic prospects for AC repair (Jiang et al., 2016; Bauza et al., 2020).

MSCs offer a promising cell source for regeneration and repair of cartilage lesions, as they have the ability to differentiate into chondrocytes, and are easy to harvest, with minimal donor site morbidity (Park et al., 2018). MSCs used for repairing the cartilage lesions are obtained from a variety of autologous tissues including bone marrow (BM-MSCs), adipose tissue (AT-MSCs) and peripheral blood (PB-MSCs) (Reissis et al., 2016). Depending on the specific cartilage pathology, the MSCs can either be implanted into the defect following surgical incision, or administered via intra-articular injection. A post-surgical prognosis study assessing the efficacy of AT-MSCs implantation for cartilage lesions observed 76% of the patients had the repair rated as abnormal or severely abnormal as per the International Cartilage Repair Society standards (Koh et al., 2014). Furthermore, compared to MSCs implantation, intra-articular injections have a higher risk of the cells migrating to non-target tissues (Reissis et al., 2016). Whilst, there have been several studies which have reported improved outcomes following MSC based therapies (Wakitani et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2019) there are some challenges that need to be overcome.

Indeed, multiple novel strategies are being explored to improve the use MSC in cartilage regeneration. For instance, a magnetically actuated microrobot has recently been proposed to improve the targeting efficiency of MSC in tissue regeneration for cartilage lesions (Go et al., 2020). Other studies are exploiting the ability of MSCs to exert their regenerative functions by secreting paracrine molecules that modulate the local immune response or improves chondrocytes cell survival and proliferation (Toh et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2020). By using MSCs derived extracellular vesicles, studies have shown improved cartilage regeneration and repair (Vonk et al., 2018; Alcaraz et al., 2019).

**Small Molecules**

A variety of synthetic or natural small molecule compounds have shown effective in maintaining a stable chondrocyte phenotype, supporting an ideal microenvironment to promote chondrocyte proliferation and chondrogenic differentiation of stem cells (Li et al., 2020). Kartogenin (KGN) can facilitate chondrocyte differentiation by regulating the CBFβ-RUNX1 signaling pathway in BM-MSCs (Johnson et al., 2012). Moreover, KGN can enhance the therapeutic effect of conventional treatment modalities. Intra-articular injection of KGN after microfracture showed increased hyaline-like cartilage formation and better defect filling, indicating an enhanced quality of repair for full-thickness cartilage defects (Xu et al., 2015).

Another small molecule compound TD-198946, a derivative of thienoindazole, can strongly induce chondrogenic differentiation via upregulation of Runx1 expression, preventing and repairing degeneration of the articular cartilage (Yano et al., 2013). A novel synthetic small molecule 5{i,2} was discovered to induce a more directed chondrogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs compared to TGF-β3. Molecule 5{i,2} is thought to exert its effect by enhancing the transcription of chondrogenic markers including SOX9 and aggrecan (Cho et al., 2012). A small molecule called BNTA was described to target superoxide dismutase 3 (SOD3) in the cartilage microenvironment and induce superoxide anion elimination in chondrocyte culture, promoting generation of ECM components (Shi et al., 2016). Salidroside, isolated from the root of *Rhodiola rosea*, improves chondrocyte proliferation, prevents apoptosis, and drives cartilage specific gene expression via TGF-β/Smad3 and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways (Zhang and Zhao, 2018; Wu et al., 2019). Lastly, berberine chloride, derived from *Coptis chinensis* and *Berberis aristata*, has been verified to stimulate chondrocyte proliferation and upregulate aggrecan and Col II expression through activating Wnt/β-catenin pathways (Zhou et al., 2016).

Such small molecule drugs present an attractive therapeutic strategy for MSC based cartilage regeneration and repair. They have a significant cost advantage compared to biologics, more predictable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile and
are usually orally bioavailable, thus making them a convenient option (Ngo and Garneau-Tsodikova, 2018).

**Tissue Engineering**

Tissue engineering is using a combination of cells, biochemical and physio-chemical factors along with engineering and biomaterials to improve or replace biological function (Musumeci et al., 2014). Tissue engineering in cartilage repair can be divided into two categories, scaffold-dependent and independent approaches. Scaffold refers to a variety of synthetic or natural biomaterials which construct a suitable environment for cell distribution, cell–matrix and cell–cell interactions (Kwon et al., 2019). In addition, an ideal biomaterial scaffold for AC regeneration can now be bioactive, biomimetic, biodegradable and bioresponsive, allowing signaling with spatio-temporal control (Musumeci et al., 2014). Scaffolds can be made of a wide array of materials including polyglycolides, polylactides, silk and decellularized cartilage-derived matrix (Kwon et al., 2019). Hydrogel-based scaffolds are becoming one of the most prevalent treatment strategies in cartilage defect repair. Injectable hydrogels can form irregular shapes that better fill the cartilage defects, provide a high-water content scaffold similar to natural ECM and are minimally invasive (Liu et al., 2017). More recently, injectable thermogels formed by the sol-gel phase transition have been employed to repair cartilage defects. A thermogel of triblock copolymer poly (lactide-co-glycolide)-block-poly (ethylene glycol)-block-poly (lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA–PEG–PLGA) dissolves in water at low temperatures, but gels spontaneously at body temperatures. In a rabbit model of full-thickness cartilage defect, the BM-MSC incorporated PLGA–PEG–PLGA thermogel regenerated cartilage with high expression of GAGs and type II collagen, and comparable biomechanical properties to normal cartilage (Zhang et al., 2018).

While scaffolds provide an initial mechanical stability, it has several limitations including degradation-associated toxicity, hindrances to remodelling, stress shielding, and altered cell phenotypes (Athanasiou et al., 2013). Therefore, investigations were directed into developing scaffold-free strategies. Three dimensional (3D) bioprinting is the placing of cells in a 3D space to generate a cohesive tissue microarchitecture akin to the in vivo characteristics (Moldovan et al., 2019). Hydrogels are fast becoming a common printing media which are then jetted with cells, an approach referred to as bio-ink based hybrid bioprinting (Laternser et al., 2018; Moldovan et al., 2019). Hydrogels can differ in their physical properties including viscosity and rigidity, which has implications for the mechanical environment, and the amount of natural cell binding motifs. This may in turn influence cell spreading and cell–matrix interactions. Therefore, the choice of bioink is crucial considering the chondrocyte phenotype varies in fibrocartilage and hyaline cartilage (Daly et al., 2016). In addition, the scaffold free cell-sheet engineering wherein 3D MSC sheets are developed to create a transplantable hyaline-like cartilage tissue have successfully shown chondrogenic differentiation capability (Thorp et al., 2020).

At present, most of the research on the role of tissue microenvironment on regulating AC and chondrocyte phenotype rely on in vitro experiments, and requires further exploration in vivo. Better understanding of the influence of the microenvironment on chondrocytes and MSCs can provide vital insights for development of novel therapies. For instance, the use of chondrogenic growth factors in scaffolds can improve cartilage synthesis and improve implantation outcomes (Chen et al., 2019). The application of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) in cartilage repair is an excellent example of combining the understanding of molecular biology with regenerative medicine to treat cartilage injury (Abrams et al., 2013; Kennedy et al., 2018). PRP consists of concentrated platelets, white blood cells, plasma, and various other growth factors. On one hand, PRP can be used as a natural scaffold for tissue engineering (Wu et al., 2009; Vinod et al., 2019). Once activated, PRP acts as a gelatinous scaffold that supports the growth of seeded cells and lubricates the articular cartilage, reducing the coefficient of friction and wear. On the other hand, PRP also contains growth factors that can directly promote cartilage repair. Studies have shown that PRP can regulate synovial inflammation and reduce pain through the inflammatory NF-κB signaling pathway. PRP also improves the expression of TGF-β, aggregan, and type II collagen, thus promoting cell migration, proliferation, and differentiation of progenitor/stem cells (Mascarenhas et al., 2015; Sakata and Reddi, 2016; Moussa et al., 2017). Therefore, PRP has potential applications in improving the efficacy of traditional surgical treatment, cell therapy, and tissue engineering.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE OUTLOOK**

Articular cartilage regeneration and repair is a dynamic multidisciplinary field that is continuously evolving. The current clinical approaches have achieved limited success, however, the rapid advances in cell-based therapies, biomaterials, and developments in mechanobiology have the potential to provide long-term solutions for cartilage repair and regeneration. Comprehensive treatment modalities with lower financial costs, shorter recovery period, and reduced surgical recovery is expected in the future.

The formation of fibrocartilage remains a major obstacle in cartilage repair. Fibrosis is characterized by activation and proliferation of fibroblasts or fibrogenic cells, accompanied by an over deposition of ECM (Novo et al., 2009; Sakai and Tager, 2013). In case of AC, the repair procedures after cartilage injury may lead to excessive secretion and deposition of ECM proteins, which may result in fibrocartilage formation (Pearle et al., 2005; Chan et al., 2018). In addition, the inadequate number of stem cells and progenitor cells recruited to the injured site after cartilage damage or microfracture surgery can exacerbate the formation of fibrocartilage (Im, 2016; Hu et al., 2021). The fundamental objective for treating cartilage injury remains the regeneration of hyaline cartilage, or transplant mature hyaline cartilage tissue. However, the potential reparative role of fibrocartilage has been largely neglected due to its inferior mechanical properties. Preventing hyaline cartilage fibrosis and promoting the hyalinization of fibrocartilage may provide new therapeutic ideas for repairing cartilage damage (Shi and Li, 2021).
There are two strategies that could be employed to prevent hyaline cartilage fibrosis. The first is to reshape the microenvironment of the injury site. By adding appropriate cytokines, the spontaneous inflammatory response after injury can be alleviated and cell proliferation and differentiation can be promoted. The three-dimensional structure and physical properties of the grafts used in ACI and MACI could also be optimized to closely simulate the structure of articular cartilage, display better response to mechanical pressure and other stimuli, and thereby be more suitable for the growth of resident cells. The avascular nature of AC makes it difficult for nutrients to diffuse, and the ECM of AC is relatively dense. These factors contribute to the difficulty for mesenchymal stem cells and progenitor cells to migrate to injury sites. The second strategy could be to promote migration of the endogenous skeletal or mesenchymal stem/ progenitor cells to the AC. Application of chemoattractants could facilitate this migration of resident mesenchymal stem/ progenitor cells to the AC. Application of chemoattractants could facilitate this migration of resident mesenchymal stem/ progenitor cells, and thus improve the repair capacity (Dwyer et al., 2007; Park et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017).

Finally, in order to achieve fibrocartilage hyalinization, it is necessary to identify where the cells within the fibrosis tissue come from. Studies have shown that chondrocytes originated from synovium-derived mesenchymal stem cells and articular chondrocytes have similar gene expression profiles, suggesting that synovial and AC are formed from the same precursors (Zhou et al., 2014; Caldwell and Wang, 2015). This may explain why repair of cartilage damage with synovium-derived mesenchymal stem cells shows less de-differentiation and fibrosis, and thus better outcomes in some cases than with other types of mesenchymal stem cells (Sakaguchi et al., 2005; Pei and He, 2012). However, the origins of fibrocartilage after injury still remain unclear. In addition, changes in cell behavior in fibrotic tissue (such as cytoskeletal activity, cell metabolism, etc.), and alterations in gene expression at transcriptional and translational levels need to be clarified. This is essential to thoroughly explore the mechanism of fibrocartilage formation and screen suitable stimulus factors and small molecule drugs that can modify the microenvironment to minimize the fibrocartilage phenotype and promote hyalinization of the cartilage.
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