Outpatient Cardiac Rehabilitation Closure and Home-Based Exercise Training During the First COVID-19 Lockdown in Austria: A Mixed-Methods Study

Stefan Tino Kulnik1*, Mahdi Sareban1,2,3, Isabel Höppchen1,4, Silke Droese2,3, Andreas Egger2,3, Johanna Gutenberg1,5, Barbara Mayr1,2,3, Bernhard Reich2,3,6, Daniela Wurhofer1 and Josef Niebauer1,2,3

1 Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Digital Health and Prevention, Salzburg, Austria, 2 University Institute of Sports Medicine, Prevention and Rehabilitation, Salzburg, Austria, 3 Research Institute of Molecular Sports Medicine and Rehabilitation, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria, 4 Institute of Nursing Science and Practice, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria, 5 Department of Health Promotion, CAPHRI, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands, 6 REHA Zentrum Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria

Objective: To assess the impact of the closure of group-based cardiac rehabilitation (CR) training during the first COVID-19 lockdown in spring 2020 on patients’ physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and cardiovascular risk, and to describe the patient experience of lockdown and home-based exercise training during lockdown.

Design: Mixed methods study. Prospectively collected post-lockdown measurements were compared to pre-lockdown medical record data. Quantitative measurements were supplemented with qualitative interviews about the patient experience during lockdown.

Setting: Outpatient CR centre in Salzburg, Austria.

Participants: Twenty-seven patients [six female, mean (SD) age 69 (7.4) years] who attended weekly CR training sessions until the first COVID-19 lockdown in March 2020.

Outcome Measure(s): Quantitative: exercise capacity (maximal ergometer test, submaximal ergometer training), cardiovascular risk (Framingham risk score, blood pressure, body mass index, lipids). Qualitative: individual semi-structured interviews.

Results: Exercise capacity had significantly reduced from pre- to post-lockdown: mean (SD) power (W) in maximal ergometry 165 (70) vs. 151 (70), \( p < 0.001 \); submaximal ergometer training 99 (40) vs. 97 (40), \( p = 0.038 \). There was no significant difference in Framingham risk score and other cardiovascular risk factors. Qualitative data showed that almost all patients had kept physically active during lockdown, but 17 (63%) said they had been unable to maintain their exercise levels, and 15 (56%) felt their cardiorespiratory fitness had deteriorated. Many patients missed the weekly CR training and the motivation and sense of community from training together with others. Several patients stated that without professional supervision they had felt less confident to carry out home-based exercise training at high intensity.
INTRODUCTION

The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has resulted in extraordinary worldwide public health orders of social distancing and self-isolation. This has led to widespread disruption of centre-based cardiac rehabilitation (CR) programmes and other social opportunities for cardiovascular disease (CVD) patients to engage in heart-healthy exercise. Heart-healthy exercise, in this context, refers to the medically recommended amount and intensity of regular physical activity (PA) to achieve a positive effect in the secondary prevention of CVD. This includes leisure activities and activities of daily living (e.g., walking, hiking, gardening) as well as targeted endurance and strength training (Niebauer et al., 2013; Schwaab et al., 2021).

In Austria, the first COVID-19 lockdown was initiated in mid-March 2020, with closure of all outpatient CR centres and restriction of inpatient rehabilitation to patients with urgent medical indications only (Beiglböck et al., 2020; Desson et al., 2020). During May 2020, restrictions in Austria were gradually lifted, but outpatient CR centres, fitness centres and sports grounds remained closed for group-based exercise and sports activities for a further 2 months. In July 2020, Austrian outpatient CR centres then re-opened under strict hygiene regulations, enabling a gradual return to group-based training for CVD patients.

The COVID-19 pandemic has presented a twofold risk for CVD patients. Firstly, pre-existing cardiovascular co-morbidity exposes CVD patients to high risk of adverse outcome in case of COVID-19 infection (Williamson et al., 2020). The British OpenSAFE study of over 17,000,000 primary care health records showed a hazard ratio of 1.17 (95% CI = 1.12, 1.22) for COVID-19-related death in those with chronic heart disease (Williamson et al., 2020). CVD patients have therefore been urged to take social distancing measures very seriously. This, however, has in turn led to invariable disruption of CVD patients’ opportunities and established routines for group-based PA (Hall et al., 2021). Exercise-based CR and regular heart-healthy PA are vital measures in the secondary prevention of CVD (Niebauer et al., 2013; Reich et al., 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has raised a subsequent risk for CVD patients relating to potential deterioration in modifiable CVD risk factors which are amenable to PA (e.g., arterial hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, obesity, hyperglycaemia), leading to a potential worsening of overall CVD risk status (Chen et al., 2020; Crisafulli and Pagliaro, 2020; Lippi et al., 2020).

We conducted a study to investigate the impact of the COVID-19-related national lockdown and public health restrictions in Austria on CR patients, with respect to maintenance of PA for secondary CVD prevention. The purpose was (1) to assess the impact of the closure of group-based CR training during the first COVID-19 lockdown in spring 2020 on patients’ physical activity, cardiopulmonary fitness, and cardiovascular risk, and (2) to describe the patient experience of lockdown and home-based exercise training during lockdown.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

We conducted a mixed methods study with sequential quantitative – qualitative design, in which qualitative data were used to elucidate quantitative data (Schoonenboom and Johnson, 2017; Cresswell and Plano Clark, 2021). The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of the County of Salzburg (reference 1095/2020) and prospectively registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (identifier NCT04501432).

Setting and Participants

We recruited participants from a cohort of CR patients who had regularly attended weekly group-based exercise training at the University Institute of Sports Medicine, Prevention and Rehabilitation, Salzburg, Austria, until the COVID-19-related lockdown came into force in mid-March 2020. Patients were in phase IV of CR and had previously completed phase II and/or phase III CR programmes. Phase IV CR describes a patient’s lifelong self-managed heart-healthy lifestyle and includes a recommendation for regular targeted exercise training (Niebauer et al., 2013).

As part of their phase IV CR, patients had been attending weekly group-based training sessions at the study site for several months and up to several years prior to March 2020. These supervised training sessions comprise submaximal cycle ergometer training with 3-lead ECG monitoring followed by resistance training using medical-grade gym equipment. Intensity/resistance of training is regularly revisited and adjusted by staff at the centre, aiming toward medically recommended training targets for CR patients (Niebauer et al., 2013). Patients...
undergo annual maximal exercise capacity testing as part of their routine care.

At the time of the first COVID-19-related lockdown, the centre was not set up to provide telehealth or telerehabilitation services. During the first weeks of lockdown, staff produced motivational videos with exercise instructions and short training routines for indoor training. Patients were informed by letter that such videos had been produced and were freely accessible to them online.

Participants were recruited from mid-July 2020, when patients were invited to return to train at the centre following lockdown. Eligibility criteria were: pre-lockdown completion of a maximal cycle ergometer test, no new contraindications for maximal exercise testing, no new complaints limiting exercise performance, and no language barrier for qualitative interviews. All participants gave written informed consent to the study.

**Quantitative Assessments**

Clinical and socio-demographic data were collected from medical records, including most recent pre-lockdown maximal cycle ergometer test results and submaximal cycle ergometer training session recordings.

The first study visit included post-lockdown medical history and physical exam, anthropometry, resting blood pressure, resting 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG), and venous blood samples (blood count, electrolytes, glucose, HbA1c, lipids, kidney, and liver enzymes). Overall CVD risk was estimated using the Framingham risk score (FRS) for recurrent cardiovascular events (D’Agostino et al., 2000). Self-reported PA level was obtained using the German short version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ; Sember et al., 2020). Participants then underwent maximal cycle ergometer testing using the same protocol as pre-lockdown (step test protocol until exhaustion with 12-lead ECG recording) (Balady et al., 2010). Supervising clinicians and patients were unaware of the previous and current performance during ergometry.

Following a resting period of 2–7 days, participants attended the second study visit and completed an endurance training session at their individual pre-lockdown settings. Endurance training was performed on electrically braked cycle ergometers (Ergoline® ergoselect 100, Erlangen, Germany) as high-intensity interval or pyramid training for 40–50 min. The selection between high-intensity interval or pyramid training at the study site is decided by patient preference, as these modalities achieve similar improvements in CR training (Tschentscher et al., 2016). Post-lockdown, all participants completed the same training modality as in their most recent pre-lockdown session. Heart rate was measured continuously with a 3-lead-ECG and monitored by sport scientists.

**Qualitative Interviews**

Concluding the second study visit, participants gave audio-recorded semi-structured interviews (Britten, 1995; Pope and Mays, 1995). The interviewer asked pre-defined questions to explore participants' experiences of the COVID-19 lockdown and the closure of group-based CR training. The interview schedule is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

**Statistical Analysis**

Quantitative data were summarised using descriptive statistics. Within-group comparisons of cardiorespiratory fitness and CVD risk status before versus after lockdown were conducted using paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed rank test (2-tailed, alpha = 0.05). Individual pre-post ergometry results for maximal exercise capacity were categorised (worsened, unchanged, improved) applying a threshold for minimal detectable difference of 13 W (Hellmark and Bäck, 2018). Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS v26 software.

**Qualitative Analysis**

Interview recordings [median (range) duration 44 (21, 96) min; total duration 21:43 h] were transcribed verbatim, anonymised, coded in qualitative analysis software QDA Miner Lite v2.0.8 and interpreted using framework analysis (Gale et al., 2013). Framework categories were defined according to the major topics of the interview schedule, and portions of text were coded to the relevant category. E.g., responses to the question whether participants had been able to maintain their recommended amount of PA during the lockdown were coded to the framework category “Maintaining physical activity and physical fitness.” Within framework categories, more fine-grained codes were then assigned to meaningful units of text. E.g., a respondent’s account of how his wife was encouraging him to keep physically active was labelled “Motivating influence of spouse and other family members,” and so on. The first iteration of coding was prepared by one researcher (IH) and subsequently refined through peer review and further analysis by other study team members (SK, JG, and DW).

**Data Integration**

Data integration was conducted using a mixed methods matrix (O’Cathain et al., 2010), in which quantitative and qualitative data for each participant were summarised, allowing comparison of findings within and across participants and identification of patterns in the data. Data integration incorporated Kappa statistics, Fisher’s exact test, and Spearman’s correlation coefficients to corroborate and explain patterns in the data (Bryman, 2006).

**RESULTS**

Group-based exercise training at the outpatient CR centre was suspended from 16th March until 17th July 2020. From mid-July until the end of October 2020, we recruited 28 (57%) of 49 eligible patients. One withdrew, and 27 completed all study procedures (Figure 1). Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1.

**Exercise Capacity and Cardiovascular Risk**

Two participants were excluded from analysis of cardiorespiratory fitness data, due to subsequent diagnosis of new non-cardiac complaints limiting exercise performance. The median (IQR) time period between participants’ most recent
TABLE 1 | Participant characteristics.

| Characteristic                                      | Categories                                                                 | N = 27 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Age, years (mean, SD, range)                        |                                                                             | 69 (7, 55–82) |
| Sex                                                 | Female                                                                      | 6 (22%) |
|                                                     | Male                                                                        | 21 (78%) |
| Marital status                                      | Married/civil partnership                                                  | 24 (89%) |
|                                                     | Single                                                                      | 3 (11%) |
| Employment status                                   | Retired                                                                    | 18 (67%) |
|                                                     | Employed/self-employed                                                    | 8 (30%) |
|                                                     | Homemaker                                                                  | 1 (4%)  |
| Residence                                           | Urban                                                                       | 15 (44%) |
|                                                     | Rural                                                                       | 12 (66%) |
| Primary indication for cardiac rehabilitation (ICD-10 codes) | Ischaemic heart diseases (I20-I25)                                       | 21 (78%) |
|                                                     | Heart failure (I50)                                                        | 2 (8%)  |
|                                                     | Pulmonary heart disease and diseases of pulmonary circulation (I26-I28)    | 1 (4%)  |
|                                                     | Non-rheumatic aortic valve disorders (I35)                                  | 1 (4%)  |
|                                                     | Myocarditis (I41)                                                          | 1 (4%)  |
|                                                     | Malformation of coronary vessels (Q24.5)                                   | 1 (4%)  |
| Time since first cardiac event, years (median, IQR) |                                                                             | 8 (5.5–9) |
| Diabetes mellitus                                   | Yes                                                                         | 2 (7%) |
|                                                     | No                                                                          | 25 (93%) |
| Smoking status                                      | Non-smoker                                                                  | 18 (67%) |
|                                                     | Ex-smoker                                                                   | 9 (33%) |
|                                                     | Current smoker                                                              | –      |
| IPAQ physical activity level                        | Low                                                                         | 5 (18%) |
|                                                     | Moderate                                                                    | 6 (22%) |
|                                                     | High                                                                        | 13 (48%) |
|                                                     | Missing                                                                     | 3 (11%) |

Data are n (%) unless stated otherwise. ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision; IPAQ, International Physical Activity Questionnaire.

*At time of data collection.
Cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiovascular disease risk status pre- and post-lockdown.

| Outcome | Parameter | Pre      | Post     | Difference | P-value |
|---------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|---------|
| Maximal cycle ergometry\(^{a}\) | Power (W) | 165 (70) | 151 (70) | −14 (12) | <0.001 |
|         | Power (% of reference value) | 112 (37) | 102 (38) | −10 (10) | <0.001 |
|         | Maximal heart rate (bpm) | 142 (24) | 135 (24) | −7 (9) | 0.003 |
| Submaximal cycle ergometer training session\(^{b}\) | Power (W) | 99 (40) | 97 (40) | −2 (5) | 0.038 |
|         | Peak heart rate (bpm) | 131 (28) | 134 (28) | 3 (22) | 0.73 |
|         | Average heart rate (bpm) | 112 (19) | 115 (21) | 3 (11) | 0.30 |
| CVD risk status | Resting systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 121 (20) | 124 (18) | 3 (20) | 0.46 |
|         | Triglycerides (mg/dl) | 137 (70) | 145 (90) | 8 (56) | 0.45 |
|         | HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 59 (14) | 65 (16) | 6 (7) | <0.001 |
|         | LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 88 (49) | 81 (50) | −7 (23) | 0.11 |
|         | Glucose (mg/dl)\(^{c}\) | 102 (18) | 96 (10) | −6 (17) | 0.11 |
|         | HbA1c (%)\(^{d}\) | 6.0 (0.3) | 6.0 (0.2) | <0.1 | 0.12 |
|         | CVD risk (%)\(^{e}\) | 7.0 (2.8) | 6.9 (2.4) | −0.1 (0.9) | 0.61 |

Data are arithmetic mean (SD). P-values by paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed rank test (2-tailed, alpha = 0.05). CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein.

\(^{a}\) n = 25; median (IQR) time period between pre- and post-lockdown test = 11 (10, 20) months. Median (IQR) time period between pre- and post-lockdown maximal cycle ergometry training sessions was 5 (7) months.

\(^{b}\) n = 25.

\(^{c}\) Median (IQR) time period between pre- and post-lockdown test = 11 (10, 20) months.

\(^{d}\) n = 23.

\(^{e}\) Framingham risk score for recurrent cardiovascular event within 2 years.

Pre-lockdown maximal cycle ergometry tests and the beginning of lockdown was 6 (5, 9) months. The median (IQR) time period between pre- and post-lockdown maximal cycle ergometry tests was 11 (10, 20) months. The median (IQR) time period between pre-lockdown submaximal cycle ergometer training session and the beginning of lockdown was 1 (1, 2) week. The median (IQR) time period between pre- and post-lockdown submaximal cycle ergometer training sessions was 5 (5, 7) months. With regard to individual exercise capacity, 14 (56%) participants had worsened, 10 (40%) were unchanged, and 1 (4%) had improved post-lockdown. FRS for recurrent cardiovascular events had worsened in 7 (26%), remained unchanged in 12 (44%), and improved in 8 (30%) participants. At group level, maximal and submaximal exercise capacity had significantly reduced, whereas CVD risk factors remained unchanged from pre- to post-lockdown (Table 2 and Figure 2).

**Patient Experience During Lockdown**

Reflecting on their ability to maintain PA and cardiorespiratory fitness since the beginning of the COVID-19-related lockdown, almost all participants (n = 25) stated that they had found alternatives to keep physically active. Activities included self-directed training at home or outdoors (home trainer, jogging, cycling), going for walks and hikes, and household activities such as gardening and chopping firewood. Despite this, 17 (63%) said they had not been able to maintain the amount and intensity of exercise (C09, Table 3) and 15 (56%) felt their fitness had worsened (C23, Table 3).

With regard to other secondary prevention behaviour, participants reported that lockdown had not affected medication adherence or (non)smoking (C11, Table 3). Most participants generally observed heart-healthy dietary recommendations and had continued to do so during lockdown. Some participants said they had eaten more unhealthy food during lockdown and gained weight (C18, Table 3). Conversely, others found that lockdown created more time for home-cooking, causing them to reflect on and improve their diet (C16, Table 3). In general, participants regretted the lack of a weekly “fixture” for exercise (C22, Table 3). Many missed the sense of community at the outpatient rehabilitation centre and the motivation from training together with others (C08, Table 3). Several participants stated that without professional supervision they felt less confident or unsafe to train at the same (high) intensity as at the rehabilitation centre (C25, Table 3). Many participants also commented that they had not been able to find good alternatives for the strength training component for which medical-grade weightlifting equipment was available at the centre. Post-lockdown, 22 (82%) participants continued with regular weekly training sessions at the centre, while 5 (18%) decided not to (e.g., ongoing fear of contracting COVID-19).

**Findings From Data Integration**

In the integration of quantitative and qualitative data, self-estimated levels of cardiorespiratory fitness from qualitative interviews (worsened, unchanged, improved) matched findings from maximal ergometry in 18 (67%) participants, showing fair agreement (Kappa 0.36, p = 0.04). Only 3 (11%) participants overestimated their cardiorespiratory fitness (Kappa 0.66, p < 0.001). This indicates good judgement and supports the credibility of patients’ reflections on PA during lockdown.
Self-reported ability to maintain heart-healthy PA was not associated with age, sex, place of residence, or employment status (Table 4), placing emphasis on qualitative data to explain motivations and barriers for PA. With respect to employment status, it is noteworthy that for most participants the COVID-19 pandemic had not caused any financial insecurity. Only one participant had demonstrably improved his cardiorespiratory fitness over the lockdown period. His work-related travel had been reduced and meetings were conducted remotely, creating more time for self-directed individual exercise. This participant was familiar with heart rate and activity monitoring via smartwatch and confident in pushing himself in self-directed exercise (C01, Table 3). In contrast, the other participants described various experiences, from those who kept intrinsically motivated to exercise (C05, Table 3) to those who struggled (C15, Table 3). A prominent facilitator/barrier for PA for many participants was the motivating influence of spouses or other family members who encouraged or joined in PA (C13, Table 3), and – conversely – the demotivating influence of next of kin not willing or unable to engage in PA (C17, Table 3).

The use of digital technologies to support or enhance self-directed PA during lockdown in this cohort was limited. Only three participants described the deliberate use of wearables and fitness apps (C01, Table 3), some reported using the step counter function of their smartphone or fitness tracker (C12, Table 3), and some had accessed digital platforms for information on exercise, including online videos created by staff at the CR centre specifically for patients in COVID-19 quarantine. Many participants were either disinterested or sceptical regarding the usefulness of digital technologies for PA. Reasons included doubt in the accuracy of wearable measurements, discomfort of carrying/wearing a device,
### TABLE 3 | Anonymised quotes from qualitative interviews.

| Speaker | Quote                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Code                                           | Framework category                                           |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| C01     | “Well, with regard to running, it (physical fitness) has definitely improved. I know that. Because I monitor practically all those parameters, distance average pulse, speed, and so on. So, there you can, with regard to those parameters, I have improved.”                                                                 | Improved exercise levels and fitness           | Maintaining physical activity and physical fitness          |
| C05     | “I just said, I have to walk a little bit. I just have to. If you can’t go to rehab any more, just go marching.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Intrinsic motivation to exercise during lockdown | Maintaining physical activity and physical fitness          |
| C07     | “I have an old mobile. I don’t have a smartphone. And that is also too large. So, for me that is too cumbersome. Because the small mobile I slide in my pocket and done. Smartphones are definitely an amazing thing. But I see that certain people, they are almost addicted to it. And I don’t understand that at all.”                                                                 | Reasons for non-use                            | Digital technology for self-directed physical activity      |
| C06     | “I mean, it’s just so silly. You could just as well do something on your own. But that’s just not fun, isn’t it? Then you come here, and it just flows automatically. (…) But alone, it’s no fun.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Missing the motivation from training with others | Closure of group-based cardiac rehabilitation classes       |
| C09     | “And so, unfortunately, that (exercise programme) then successively reduced. And then with the Corona period and all the excuses one comes up with (…) Idleness, neglect. Yes, the ‘inner devil’ gets stronger.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Unable to maintain exercise levels             | Maintenance physical activity and physical fitness          |
| C11     | “No, I always took care that I had generous amounts of medication at home. Because you don’t know, is there a shortage or something. No, that worked well. It also worked well with our family doctor. No problem.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Obtaining prescription medication during lockdown | Medication adherence, smoking, and diet                     |
| C12     | “So, I have this movement app, I got that. But you realise, you say: Now I did, I’m still missing a few steps to reach the target. So, I’ll walk another small round.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Step-counter                                   | Digital technology for self-directed physical activity      |
| C13     | “There was nobody who said, and now you do this and then you do this. Except for my wife: ‘And now you do this’.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Motivating influence of spouse and other family members | Maintenance physical activity and physical fitness          |
| C15     | “Funnily enough, for the first time in my life, I became really lazy. Which then also had its consequences.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Unable to maintain exercise levels             | Maintenance physical activity and physical fitness          |
| C16     | “Because there is not enough time for cooking when I’m at work. (…) That just isn’t healthy food. So, regarding my diet, I tend to try that, in my free time when I’m off work, I eat more consciously. (…) But definitely, during lockdown I was able to make use of that. To eat more healthily.”                                                                 | Opportunities to improve diet during lockdown   | Medication adherence, smoking, and diet                     |
| C17     | “I missed that, the organised (group exercise). Because on your own – I don’t know what you are like, but it is difficult on your own. Of course, if you have a partner who is active and says, ‘now, let’s do something,’ then – But if you see that they are not able to participate, you do get idle.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | De-motivating influence of spouse not engaging in exercise | Maintenance physical activity and physical fitness          |
| C18     | “And my guilty pleasure is sweets and carbohydrates. Bread and so on. And this was difficult during lockdown. Because you could see that I tended to reward myself with food. And also, because we spent a lot of time at home, I did change my eating habits.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Difficulties maintaining heart-healthy diet during lockdown | Medication adherence, smoking, and diet                     |
| C22     | “The training. Here. At the Sports Medicine (CR centre). Because that was something like a ‘jour fixe.’ Once a week, you come here, you do your training, you see how you are doing. You have the readings in front of you and that. And I actually missed that.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Regretting the lack of a weekly fixture for group exercise | Closure of group-based cardiac rehabilitation classes       |
| C23     | “But I did think, I wouldn’t say that I made any improvements in my fitness during lockdown. That would be self-deception. (…) And because of this, I would say that in my estimation I am about ten, fifteen to twenty percent weaker compared to before the lockdown. Gut feeling.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Worsening physical fitness pre versus post lockdown | Maintaining physical activity and physical fitness          |
| C24     | “For me, that’s the enemy. The computer, that’s the enemy for me. Because I never learned to touch-type, because I was in construction. And then I had to work with the computer, enter all the cables and things. (…) Yes, I actually don’t need it.”                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Reasons for non-use                            | Digital technology for self-directed physical activity      |
| C25     | “… you come here and do your training, you are monitored. You just have a good feeling, when you leave. You say, okay, they did have a look at that, and if there would have been anything, they would have reacted and would have told me.”                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Confidence through being monitored during exercise training | Closure of group-based cardiac rehabilitation classes       |
psychological pressure and anxiety created by self-tracking, and low affinity toward or deliberate rejection of digital technologies (C07, C24, Table 3). There were no distinct patterns when these qualitative accounts were compared with quantitative PA and cardiorespiratory fitness data.

Individual changes in FRS were small (0.5–3% points). These changes were associated with changes in blood lipid levels from pre- to post-lockdown, but not with other parameters (Table 4). Cholesterol lowering medication had remained unchanged, except for one patient who had reduced, and one who had increased his statin dose. These two patients’ FRS post-lockdown had worsened and improved, respectively. Participants’ qualitative accounts of their dietary habits during lockdown did not offer any explanatory patterns with regard to changes in cholesterol or FRS.

DISCUSSION

In this cohort of CR patients, cardiovascular risk factors had remained unchanged after lockdown, but maximal exercise capacity had significantly reduced by 8.5%. Despite self-directed physical activities during lockdown, patients were unable to maintain exercise levels equivalent to regular group-based CR training. To put these findings into context, 6–12 months of weekly outpatient CR training in this setting typically achieve a 10% increase in maximal exercise capacity (Reich et al., 2020). Considering the average time period of 6 months between pre-lockdown maximal exercise capacity tests and the beginning of lockdown, it is therefore possible that the negative effect of lockdown on maximal exercise capacity may have been underestimated in this analysis.

For the majority of patients, pre-lockdown data for submaximal exercise capacity were available from within 1 to 2 weeks of the beginning of lockdown. These data showed a statistically significant reduction by 2% following lockdown, which corroborates the trend seen in maximal exercise capacity and further supports the temporal aspect of the impact of lockdown.

We emphasise that our findings do not speak to a comparison of centre-based versus home-based CR programmes, for which there is high-level evidence of similar effectiveness (Anderson et al., 2017). Rather, our findings illustrate the impact of the disruption to weekly group-based supervised exercise training during the COVID-19-related supervised exercise training, which forced patients to find their own home-based alternatives.

Population-Level Physical Activity During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Key findings from this study are in line with other research on PA behaviour during the COVID-19 pandemic. Already early on in the COVID-19 pandemic, concerns were raised about potential negative consequences of social isolation on preventive health behaviour and subsequent worsening of non-communicable chronic diseases (Lippi et al., 2020). One year later, a systematic review (66 studies, >86,000 individuals) demonstrated that overall levels of PA in healthy adolescents and adults had declined and sedentary behaviour had increased (Stockwell et al., 2021). A rapid review (26 studies, >23,000 respondents) showed that PA had also decreased in most patient groups with disabilities and chronic conditions (de Boer et al., 2021). Moreover, an online survey of over 11,000 adults in 11 countries across Europe, Asia, and North and South America indicated that more stringent national COVID-19 restrictions were associated with greater likelihood of insufficient PA (adjusted OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.03, 1.45) (Ding et al., 2021).

This evidence derives mainly from self-reported PA data in healthy and non-cardiac patient populations, but some studies also provide objectively tracked data from cardiac patient groups, e.g., demonstrating over 25% reduction in activity data from patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators.

### Table 4: Associations with ability to maintain physical activity and Framingham risk score.

| Variables                                      | Associationa |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Ability to maintain physical activity (self-report) | −0.237 (p = 0.233) |
| Age                                            | p = 0.608 (Fisher’s exact) |
| Sex                                            | p > 0.999 (Fisher’s exact) |
| Place of residence (urban versus rural)         | p = 0.169 (Fisher’s exact) |
| Employment status (working versus retired)      |               |
| Δ Framingham risk scoreb–c                       |              |
| Δ Total cholesterolb                           | −0.515 (p = 0.006) |
| Δ HDL cholesterolb                             | 0.543 (p = 0.003) |
| Δ LDL cholesterolb                             | −0.343 (p = 0.079) |
| Δ Body weightb                                 | −0.010 (p = 0.960) |
| Δ Body mass indexb                             | −0.007 (p = 0.973) |
| Ability to maintain physical activity (self-report) | −0.134 (p = −0.507) |
| IPAQ physical activity level                    | 0.114 (p = 0.570) |
| Maximal exercise capacity post-lockdown (% of the reference value) | 0.290 (p = 0.143) |
| Δ Maximal exercise capacityb                   | −0.140 (p = 0.485) |

HDL: high-density lipoprotein; IPAQ: International Physical Activity Questionnaire; LDL: low-density lipoprotein.

a Spearman’s Rho unless stated otherwise.
b Δ refers to change from pre- to post-lockdown.
c Framingham risk score for recurrent cardiovascular event within 2 years.
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lockdown and emphasising the need to offer targeted and
textually relevant strategies for supporting PA during the
COVID-19 pandemic.

Determinants of Physical Activity During the COVID-19 Pandemic
In a survey of 1,809 adults in the United States, the most common
reason for increasing PA during the COVID-19 pandemic was
more time, whereas the most common barriers for PA were
motivation and worry/stress (Knell et al., 2020). A survey of
427 adults in Belgium showed that worsened time allocation,
experiencing PA as effortful, and lack of family encouragement
were significant barriers to PA, whereas better time allocation and
not experiencing PA as effortful predicted higher levels of
PA (Symons et al., 2021). Another survey of 1,521 adults in the United Kingdom showed that physical opportunity and
reflective motivation were the most consistent predictors of PA
behaviour, while automatic motivation was not or negatively
associated with PA (Spence et al., 2021). This indicates that
in the radically different context of the COVID-19 pandemic, reflective motivational processes (e.g., making plans, evaluating
what has happened) appear more relevant than automatic
processes that usually influence habitual PA behaviour during our
daily routines. Specific to CVD, a longitudinal survey of 1,565
patients during the first COVID-19 lockdown in the Netherlands indicated that the amount of moderate to vigorous PA was
lower in women, patients with heart failure, those with limited
possibilities for PA, and those who were fearful of COVID-19
infection (van Bakel et al., 2021).

These findings from quantitative observational studies match qualitative data from our study, in particular with respect
to participants’ reflective motivation, family encouragement, and time. Anecdotally, several of the patients who declined
participation in our study indicated that this was due fear of
COVID-19 infection. Other qualitative research has also
provided in-depth and differentiated accounts of individuals’
experiences during lockdown which align with our findings
(Petersen et al., 2021; Schaffler et al., 2021), drawing out both
positive and negative consequences of circumstances during
lockdown and emphasising the need to offer targeted and
contextually relevant strategies for supporting PA during the
COVID-19 pandemic.

Supporting Physical Activity During the COVID-19 Pandemic
Early recognition of the detrimental impact of COVID-19 social
isolation measures on PA behaviour led to a swift response
in promoting home-based training through prominent public
health campaigns, including guidance for home-based exercise
online and via digital platforms (Dwyer et al., 2020; Nyenhuis
et al., 2020). There was a demonstrable peak in online searches for “exercise” during the first phase of the pandemic in Australia,
the United Kingdom, and the United States, indicating increased
public interest in home-based PA (Ding et al., 2020). Use of PA
apps and various digital platforms correlated with maintenance
of PA and meeting PA recommendations in healthy adults and
adolescents in Australia and the United States (Yang and
Koenigstorfer, 2020; Parker et al., 2021). However, only a
proportion of the population are accessing apps and digital
platforms for PA (e.g., 39.5% in Australia) (Parker et al., 2021), and use of these types of digital support as captured in
observational surveys may indicate individuals with a priori
higher health literacy and greater motivation for PA, rather than
representing a cause of increased PA behaviour. Digital
interventions for increasing PA have also been shown to be more
efficacious for individuals with high (as opposed to low) socio-
economic status (Western et al., 2021). Moreover, these digital
options are typically aimed at the general/healthy population, while
more specific and tailored solutions are required to support
clinical populations such as patients with CVD.

Recommendations for supporting PA during the COVID-19
pandemic suggest that vulnerable groups, such as the elderly
and patients, should be offered supervised home-based exercise
programmes (Bentlage et al., 2020; Neubeck et al., 2020). Authors
highlight the benefits of PA on mental health and the importance
of supporting mental as well as physical health (Bentlage et al.,
2020; Neubeck et al., 2020). The application of wearable tracking
deVICES, providing an overview of daily activities to help reduce
inactivity and improve cardiovascular parameters, is a prominent
recommendation in the literature. Importantly, there needs to be
consideration of access to digital technologies, e.g., access
to the internet and affordability of devices, and individuals
need to be offered support and education in applying these
technologies (Bentlage et al., 2020; Neubeck et al., 2020). In
addition, it is recommended that digital support programmes
include explanations of the importance of PA, setting of realistic
exercise goals according to the individual’s health status, and
integration of family members for added motivational impact
(Bentlage et al., 2020).

Future Directions
With regard to delivering health care services for CVD patients,
the role of telehealth systems and digital technology for the
continuation of routine cardiac care and rehabilitation during
the COVID-19 pandemic has been emphasised (Neubeck et al.,
2020; Scherrenberg et al., 2020). This raises organisational
implications, including preparedness of health care providers in
terms of availability of equipment, workforce skills and
management of workflows, and financial implications regarding
reimbursement mechanisms for remote health care delivery
(Neubeck et al., 2020; Scherrenberg et al., 2020). Of note,
telehealth encompasses not only sophisticated digital solutions but also commonplace communication such as telephone calls,
text messaging and email, which may already offer successful
strategies (Thomas et al., 2020).
In the long term, it is anticipated that the COVID-19 pandemic will act as a catalyst for telehealth, telerehabilitation, and digital technologies, enabling more consistent incorporation of remote delivery modes for CR and rehabilitation in other clinical specialties (Scherrenberg et al., 2020; Laoutaris et al., 2021). There is high-quality evidence for the efficacy of telehealth interventions within CR settings (Gruska et al., 2020; Thomas et al., 2020; Schwaab et al., 2021), which should reassure providers that remote CR modalities may be offered safely to supplement centre-based CR according to current evidence-based clinical guidelines (Gruska et al., 2020; Schwaab et al., 2021).

This study emphasises the need for political, technical and organisational preparedness to offer remote support for PA via digital and non-digital strategies, taking into account individual needs, preferences, health literacy and digital literacy of CVD patients. There is an opportunity to build on insights gained during the COVID-19 pandemic to develop and implement remote rehabilitation modalities going forward.

Limitations

This study was observational and opportunistic, responding to sudden and exceptional circumstances created by the global COVID-19 pandemic. To address our research questions, we relied on retrospective medical record data in addition to prospectively collected data. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET), as opposed to maximal exercise capacity testing, would have been a preferable measure of cardiorespiratory fitness for our investigation; however, CPET is not conducted routinely for CR patients at the study site, and therefore CPET data were not available for the pre-lockdown timepoint. The time period between participants’ pre-lockdown maximal exercise capacity tests and the beginning of lockdown presents an additional limitation.

We acknowledge that our assessment of PA was limited due to the lack of an objective measure such as accelerometry, and that we did not capture quantitative dietary information. We acknowledge the potential for selection bias, whereby study recruits might represent more exercise-conscious patients who were more motivated to return to group-based CR sessions after lockdown. Participants’ mental health in association with PA during lockdown was not explicitly addressed in our study, although it is an important aspect described in the literature (Stockwell et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

This study adds to the currently limited literature on the impact of COVID-19-related social isolation on patients with CVD. The findings highlight the importance of providing group-based opportunities for supervised high-intensity training to patients who engage well in such a setting, and the detrimental impact of disruption to this type of CR service on PA levels and exercise capacity.
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