Five-year follow up results of posterior decompression and fixation surgery for delayed neural disorder associated with osteoporotic vertebral fracture
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Abstract

Usually, after osteoporotic vertebral fracture (OVF), bone healing follows a normal clinical course leading to bone union with conservative treatment using a brace. However, some patients with OVF do not undergo the normal fracture healing process for a few months, possibly leading to delayed union and/or pseudoarthrosis. In these cases, we performed posterior surgery with combined decompression, vertebroplasty, and posterior spinal fusion with spinal instrumentation. This study aimed to determine the clinical results of posterior surgery for delayed neural disorder secondary to OVF over a 5-year follow-up.

Forty-one Japanese patients who had posterior surgery for delayed paralysis secondary to OVF were enrolled in this study. All patients were followed for $\geq 5$ years (mean, 67 months; range, 61–86 months). Patients comprised 12 men and 29 women with an average age of 78.3 ± 6.2 years (range 63–87 years) at the time of operation. We performed posterior fixation from 2 levels above to 1 level below the decompression and vertebroplasty as an all in one procedure. Vertebral height index (VHI) and kyphotic angle (KA) were evaluated on radiogram. For clinical symptoms, a visual analog scale of back and leg pain and the Frankel classification and Japanese Orthopaedic Association scores were used.

During the operation and perioperative period, no serious complications occurred. In all patients, symptoms improved within 1 month and were maintained for 5 years postoperatively. In all patients, VHI and KA improved after surgery; however, reduction losses of 7.7% of VHI and 23% of KA were recognized. Five of 41 patients required reoperation due to adjacent vertebral fracture (AVF) and recollapse of the vertebral body.

Operation time and blood loss were acceptable, even for elderly patients. In all patients, alignment and subjective symptoms improved. However, reoperation owing to AVF and recollapse was necessary within 1 year in 5 of 41 (12%) patients. Careful follow-up is required within 1 year after surgery for OVF.

Abbreviations: AVF = adjacent vertebral fracture, KA = kyphotic angle, OVF = osteoporotic vertebral fracture, VHI = vertebral height index.
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1. Introduction

Osteoporosis is characterized by low bone mass and micro-architectural deterioration of bone tissue, leading to decreased bone fragility and a consequent increase in fracture risk.\textsuperscript{[1]} It is a common problem in older people in developed countries\textsuperscript{[2]} and vertebral fracture is one of the most common fractures caused by osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{[3]} Usually, after osteoporotic vertebral fracture (OVF), bone healing follows a normal clinical course leading to bone union with conservative treatment using a brace. However, some patients with OVF do not undergo the normal fracture healing process for a few months, which leads to delayed union and/or pseudoarthrosis.\textsuperscript{[4,5]} Moreover, some of these patients experience delayed paresthesia secondary to OVF.\textsuperscript{[6]} In these cases, surgical treatment is highly recommended as the patients have severe low back pain and/or neurological complications.\textsuperscript{[7,8]} However, there are controversies about the surgical procedures, which include anterior spinal fixation,\textsuperscript{[9]} combined anterior-posterior procedures,\textsuperscript{[7]} and posterior shortening.\textsuperscript{[10]}

However, to minimize surgical invasiveness in elderly patients, a 1-stage posterior instrumentation technique with or without vertebroplasty (VP) has also been tried, according to several reports.\textsuperscript{[7–9,11]} For delayed neural disorder secondary to OVF, we performed posterior surgery with combined decompression, VP, and posterior spinal fusion with spinal instrumentation. The purpose of this study was to determine the clinical results of
posterior approach surgery for delayed paralysis secondary to OVF during a 5-year follow-up.

2. Methods

2.1. Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Toyama University Hospital. Patients gave their written consent for this analysis.

2.2. Design

The design of this study was a case–control group.

2.3. Patient population

Forty-one Japanese patients who had posterior surgery for delayed paralysis secondary to OVF from 2007 to 2012 were enrolled in this study. All patients were followed for 5 years (mean, 67 months; range, 61–86 months). Osteoporosis was diagnosed by radiography and/or bone mineral density (BMD) based on the Clinical Practice Guideline of the Japanese Osteoporosis Society.[12] BMD of the vertebral body (VB) and the femoral neck was measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry using Lunar enCORE™ (GE Healthcare, Tokyo, Japan). Preoperatively. Cases consisted of 14 men and 27 women with an average age of 76.3 ± 6.2 years (range, 63–87 years) at the time of operation. Delayed union and/or pseudoarthrosis was diagnosed based on presence of an intravertebral vacuum cleft on plain radiography and/or computed tomography (CT) as well as fluid collection within the VB on T2-weighted sagittal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) using a 1.5T system (MAGNETOM Avanto, Siemens Co., München, Germany).[13] All patients had continued to complain of symptoms for >3 months (range, 3–36 months; mean, 6.9 months). Inclusion criteria for the surgery were as follows: those who could not maintain spinal alignment owing to repositioning of affected VB, had the conditions of delayed union and/or pseudoarthrosis according to OVF classification,[13] and had a cleft in the affected VB. Exclusion criteria were as follows: those who were treated with anterior surgery and those who underwent reduction operation for severe spinal deformity.

During the 5-year follow-up, 3 subjects died as a result of other factors within 1 year, 2 within 3 years, and 1 within 5 years after surgery (Fig. 1). Moreover, 3 subjects developed difficulty standing, owing to cerebral infarction in 1 and dementia in 2, within 1 year after surgery. One subject developed difficulty standing because of dementia within 3 years. Two subjects developed difficulty standing because of dementia within 5 years. Seven subjects died, and 6 nonstanding subjects were excluded sequentially. Therefore, 28 of 41 subjects were followed for the entire 5 years (final follow-up ratio, 71%).

2.4. Surgical procedure

The surgical technique was performed according to previous reports.[9,11] Briefly, initial reduction of the kyphotic deformity was obtained by placing the patient in the prone position. VP was performed using a hydroxyapatite (HA) block (Apaceram, HOYA Co, Tokyo, Japan).[14] Pedicle screws (PS) were placed promptly into the vertebrae, 2 levels above and 1 level below, the affected vertebra. A wide decompressive laminectomy was performed. Nesplon tape (ultrahigh-molecular-weight polyethylene tape; Alfresa Pharma, Osaka, Japan) and/or a hook were used to obtain more rigid fixation (Fig. 2). The surgeries were performed by multiple surgeons in one institute.
The patients were allowed to move freely, wearing a hard orthosis on the second day after surgery. All patients wore a hard brace for 2 months and then a soft brace for 2 months.

2.5. Imaging evaluation
To analyze the deformity of the VB, we serially measured the vertebral height index (VHI) on a lateral radiograph acquired in the neutral decubitus position and expressed it as the ratio of the vertebral height (sum of measurements at anterior, middle, and posterior regions) to its longitudinal diameter\(^{[15,16]}\) preoperatively, at 1 month, and at 1, 3, and 5 years after surgery. We also measured the kyphotic angle (KA) between the upper end plate line and lower end plate line as an evaluation of kyphotic deformity preoperatively, at 1 month, and 1, 3, and 5 years after surgery.

2.6. Clinical evaluation
Clinical records were reviewed for operative time, intraoperative blood loss, complications during the operation and perioperative period (within 1 week after surgery), and radiological and neurological improvements. With regard to the subjective symptoms, back pain (BP) and leg pain (LP) were evaluated using the visual analogue scale (VAS, 0–10 cm) preoperatively, 1 month, and at 1, 3, and 5 years after surgery. Neural function was evaluated using the Frankel classification. Furthermore, surgical outcomes were evaluated by the Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) score, with the exception of the activities of daily living (ADL) items (Table 1).\(^{[17]}\) Briefly, subjective symptom items (9 points: low BP, LP, and walking ability) and clinical signs (6 points: sensory and motor disturbance and angle of positive straight leg raising test) were evaluated. In addition, we investigated the causes and treatments in reoperative and/or complicated cases.

2.7. Statistical analysis
Values were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD). Significant differences between means were analyzed using the Student t test, \(\chi^2\) test, Kruskal-Wallis test, and repeated measurement with analysis of variance, when appropriate. A \(P\) value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results
3.1. Patient profiles
We performed the surgery in 41 patients, including 5 thoracic levels (2 at T6, 1 at T8, and 2 at T10), 20 thoracolumbar (3 at T11, 4 at T12, 9 at L1, and 4 at L2), and 16 lumbar (5 at L3, 6 at L4, and 5 at L5). Table 2 summarizes the characteristics of the patients. There was no significant difference in sex (\(\chi^2\) test, \(P=.419\)) and age (Kruskal-Wallis test, \(P=.727\)) in relationship to fracture level.

3.2. Surgical results
Blood loss averaged 384 ± 214 (range, 70–970) mL during the operation. Operative times were 217 ± 148 (range, 106–281) minutes. Complications during the surgeries included dural laceration in 3 and leakage of HA block into the spinal canal in 1 in the early cases. In that case the HA block was removed 6 days later.

| Table 1 |
| --- |
| Evaluation system for the treatment of low back disorders devised by the Japanese Orthopaedic Association. |
| Item | Score |
| Subjective symptoms | |
| Low back pain | |
| None | 3 |
| Occasional mild pain | 2 |
| Frequent mild or occasional severe pain | 1 |
| Frequent severe pain | 0 |
| Leg pain and/or numbness | |
| None | 3 |
| Occasional mild leg pain and/or numbness | 2 |
| Frequent mild or occasional severe leg pain and/or numbness | 1 |
| Frequent severe leg pain and/or numbness | 0 |
| Walking capacity | |
| Normal | 3 |
| Able to walk > 500 m with leg pain and/or numbness | 2 |
| Able to walk 100–500 m | 1 |
| Able to walk <100–500 m | 0 |
| Clinical signs | |
| Straight leg raise test | |
| Normal | 2 |
| 30–70 degrees | 1 |
| <30 degrees | 0 |
| Motor function | |
| Normal | 2 |
| Slight weakness (MMT: good) | 1 |
| Severe weakness (MMT: poor) | 0 |
| Sensory function | |
| Normal | 2 |
| Slight disturbance | 1 |
| Severe disturbance | 0 |
| Bladder function | |
| Normal | 0 |
| Mild dysuria | −3 |
| Severe dysuria | −6 |
| Total for normal | 15 |

MMT = manual muscle testing. Items of activities of daily living (ADL) are removed.

| Table 2 |
| --- |
| Characteristics of patients. |
| Location | Level | No. (%) | Sex (male/female) | Average age (range) |
| Thoracic | T6 | 2 | | |
| | T8 | 1 | | |
| | T10 | 2 | | |
| | Subtotal | 5 (12) | 2:3 | 77.1 (63–87) |
| Thoracolumbar | T11 | 3 | | |
| | T12 | 4 | | |
| | L1 | 9 | | |
| | L2 | 4 | | |
| | Subtotal | 20 (49) | 5:15 | 76.6 (65–86) |
| Lumbar | L3 | 5 | | |
| | L4 | 6 | | |
| | L5 | 5 | | |
| | Subtotal | 16 (39) | 7:9 | 75.3 (67–86) |
| Total | 41 (100) | 14:27 | 76.3 (63–87) |

L = lumbar, No. = number of cases, T = thoracic.
3.3. Clinical course

In all subjects, the subjective symptoms improved within 1 month. The mean preoperative VAS for BP was 8.3 ± 3.2, which improved to 3.8 ± 1.3 at 1 month after surgery (Fig. 3). Postoperative VAS for BP at 1 year, 3 years, 5 years were 2.1 ± 0.7, 2.7 ± 0.6, and 3.1 ± 0.9, respectively. The mean preoperative VAS score for LP was 7.8 ± 2.9, which improved to 3.4 ± 1.9 at 1 month after surgery. Postoperative VAS for LP at 1 year, 3 years, 5 years were 1.5 ± 0.9, 1.2 ± 0.8, and 1.8 ± 0.5, respectively. During the follow-up period, VAS for BP and LP significantly improved in comparison with preoperative VAS (P < .001).

Preoperative Frankel Grade was classified as C in 35, D in 5, and E in 1. In all cases, the deficit was stable or recovered by >1 step after surgery, and no subjects experienced worsening after surgery. The mean preoperative JOA score was 7.5 ± 2.6. At 1 month, 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years after surgery, mean JOA scores were 11.2 ± 3.7, 12.9 ± 4.1, 12.5 ± 3.3, and 11.5 ± 2.3 points, respectively. During the follow-up period, JOA scores were significantly improved in comparison with preoperative JOA scores (P < .001).

During follow-up, we found adjacent vertebral fracture (AVF) in 7 (17%) subjects. The fracture site was proximal to the VB in all cases. As salvage treatment, balloon kyphoplasty (BKP, Medtronic Sofamor Danek Japan Co, Osaka, Japan) was performed in 1 case, conservative therapy with hard brace in 4 cases, and additional fusion in 2 cases. Recollapse of the VB with backout of spinal instrumentation was seen in 2 cases. As salvage treatment, spinal shortening was performed in 1 case and an additional fusion was performed in 1 case. Loosening of PS was recognized in 14 cases (34%); however, we did not need additional therapy to avoid contributing to symptoms during follow-up. Finally, reoperation was necessary in 5 cases (12%) (Table 3).

3.4. Imaging course

The mean preoperative VHI was 1.38 ± 0.32, which improved to 1.82 ± 0.56 at 1 month after surgery (Fig. 4). Postoperative VHIs at 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years were 1.76 ± 0.49, 1.73 ± 0.45, and 1.70 ± 0.48, respectively. VHI was significantly improved in comparison with preoperative VHI (P < .001). However, the mean ratio of reduction loss was 7.7%, recognized at 5 years in comparison to that at 1 month.

The mean preoperative KA was 19.3 ± 6.7, which improved to 3.9 ± 1.4 at 1 month after surgery. Postoperative KAs at 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years were 4.5 ± 1.2, 4.7 ± 1.7, and 4.8 ± 1.8, respectively. KAs were significantly improved in comparison with preoperative KA (P < .001). However, the mean ratio of reduction loss was 23% recognized at 5 years in comparison to that at 1 month.

3.5. Illustrative case

3.5.1. Case 1: 75-year-old man. The patient fell on his buttocks 6 months before presentation. He gradually developed low BP and LP. He could not stand or walk because of severe low BP and right LP (L5 area) at admission. Manual muscle testing (MMT) revealed muscle weakness of the tibialis anterior muscle and extensor hallucis longus, classified as Frankel Grade D. Radiogram showed L4 OVF and flexion-extension myelography showed abnormal instability of the VB and compression of the dural sac by the posterior wall of the VB (Fig. 5A and B). VHI was 0.77 and kyphotic angle was 13 degrees before surgery. CT myelography showed a cleft in the L4 VB (Fig. 5C) and T2-weighted MRI showed high signal change in the L4 VB (Fig. 5D). Surgery was performed. Blood loss was 310 mL and operative time was 156 minutes. There were no complications during the operation or perioperative period. The subjective and neurological symptoms improved remarkably within 1 week after surgery.
VHI was 1.38 and KA was 2 degrees just after surgery (Fig. 5E). Because of reduction loss, his VHI was 1.22 and KA was 4 degrees 5 years later (Fig. 5F). Although loosening of the PS was recognized, he was ambulatory with only slight low BP.

### 3.5.2. Case 2: 76-year-old woman

The patient fell on her buttocks 3 months before presentation. She gradually developed low BP and LP. She could not stand and walk because of severe low BP and muscle weakness at admission. She was classified as Frankel Grade C. Her radiogram showed an L2 OVF and flexion-extension views showed abnormal instability of the VB (Fig. 6A and B). VHI was 0.77 and kyphotic angle was 8 degrees before surgery. CT showed a cleft in the L2 VB (Fig. 6C), and T2-weighted MRI showed high signal change in the L2 VB and compression of the dural sac (Fig. 6D). Surgery was performed. Blood loss was 455 mL and operative time was 204 minutes. There were no complications during the operation or perioperative period. Her symptoms and the neurological signs improved remarkably immediately after surgery. VHI was 1.73 and KA was 2 degrees at 1 month after surgery (Fig. 6E). After 6 months, recollapse with backout of the spinal instrumentation was seen (Fig. 6F). We performed repositioning and an additional fusion 7 months after the initial operation (Fig. 6G). After reoperation, her clinical course improved, and she was able to ambulate with a cane.

### 4. Discussion

OVFs usually heal without development of severe BP and/or LP or neuropathy with conventional conservative treatment. However, some OVF patients do not experience the normal fracture healing process, leading to delayed union and/or pseudarthrosis. OVFs or pseudarthrosis, also known as Kummell disease, was first described by Kummel in 1895. Of patients with OVF, 3.5% develop pseudarthrosis and 3% to 5.3% develop late-onset paresis. Risk factors for delayed union and/or pseudarthrosis, such as trauma with slight external force and a diffuse low-intensity area on T1-weighted MRI at the time of trauma, have been reported.

When OVF causes the neural disorder, surgery is necessary to achieve spinal stability and improve the neural disorder. However, there are many older patients who may experience, depending on the operative method chosen, residual general functional decline, presence of various kinds of complications, and local bone fragility. Therefore, surgeons must take several factors into consideration when choosing the surgical procedure best suited for each patient. For OVF, anterior decompression and fusion, posterior decompression and fusion, anterior and posterior combined surgery, spinal shortening, and VP have been reported. Anterior surgery is the direct method that can resect the pseudarthrosis VB and replace with bone. However, respiratory and cardiovascular complications during the perioperative period are of concern in elderly patients. Furthermore, additional posterior surgery may be required for sinking or transplantation of grafted bone owing to osteoporosis. Although VP for nonunion may provide relief, it is difficult to maintain the postoperative kyphotic correction and height restoration at the final follow-up. On the contrary, this surgical method, which involves posterior decompression, VP, and rigid posterior fixation with instrumentation in one procedure, is technically common, but is a little aggressive for elderly patients. However, each surgical method has advantages and disadvantages as described above. Therefore, the important question regarding how to improve surgical outcomes for patients with OVF accompanied by neurological deficits remains somewhat unanswered.
This study is one of the longest follow-up reports of this kind. As others have reported to date, this procedure is effective for improving pain and paralysis. However, 7 of 41 subjects died and 6 were unable to stand due to other factors at the 5-year follow-up. To our knowledge, 5-year survival rate and walking ability after surgery for delayed neural disorder following OVF has not yet been reported. In this study, 5-year survival was 83%. Although the validity of this result is not clear, systematic management is required for OVF after surgery.

Table 4
Various surgical methods for osteoporotic vertebral fracture with neurological deficit.

| Ref. | Method | Case no. | Level | Complications during surgery and perioperative period (ratio) | Follow-up period, y | Surgery | Kyphotic angle, degrees |
|------|--------|----------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------------|
| 7    | AP combined               | 24       | TL    | 4 (17%)                                                     | >2                  | 623 (407) | 335 (139)       |
|      | P with VP                  | 21       |       | 5 (24%)                                                     | >2                  | 218 (173) | 196 (87)        |
| 8    | A                                 | 27       | Entire spine | 27 (31%)                                                   | 3                   | 1420 (1464) | 380 (81)        |
|      | P with VP                  | 25       | TL    | NA                                                        | NA                  | NA2      | NA1            |
|      | P without VP               | 25       |       | NA                                                        | NA                  | NA1      | NA1            |
| 9    | A                                 | 36       |       | 27 (31%)                                                   | 3                   | 1377 (1054) | 387 (113)       |
|      | P with VP                  | 25       | TL    | NA                                                        | NA                  | NA       | NA2            |
|      | P without VP               | 25       |       | NA                                                        | NA                  | NA1      | NA1            |
| 11   | A                                 | 32       | TL    | 12 (38%)                                                   | 4                   | 708 (488) | 219 (41)        |
|      | P with VP                  | 28       |       | 16 (31%)                                                   | 4                   | 304 (170) | 218 (60)        |
|      | P without VP               | 18       | TL    | 16 (31%)                                                   | 4                   | 904 (148) | 305 (41)        |
| 21   | A                                 | 6        | Entire spine | 10 (12%)                                                   | 2                   | NA2      | NA1            |
|      | P with VP                  | 36       |       | NA                                                        | NA                  | NA       | NA1            |
|      | P with or without VP        | 26       |       | NA                                                        | NA                  | NA1      | NA1            |
|      | This study                  | 41       | Entire spine | 8 (20%)                                                   | >5                  | 384 (214) | 217 (148)       |

Blood loss, ml. (SD)

| Ref. | Method | Case no. | Level | Complications during surgery and perioperative period (ratio) | Follow-up period, y | Surgery | Kyphotic angle, degrees |
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|      | P with or without VP        | 26       |       | NA                                                        | NA                  | NA1      | NA1            |
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|      | P without VP               | 18       | TL    | 16 (31%)                                                   | 4                   | 904 (148) | 305 (41)        |
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|      | P with VP                  | 36       |       | NA                                                        | NA                  | NA       | NA1            |
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|      | This study                  | 41       | Entire spine | 8 (20%)                                                   | >5                  | 384 (214) | 217 (148)       |

Blood loss, ml. (SD)

A = anterior, AP = anterior-posterior, AVF = adjacent vertebral fracture, NA = not available, No. = number, P = posterior, PS = posterior shortening, Ref = reference, SD = standard deviation, TL = thoracolumbar, VP = vertebroplasty.

* Date is summarized in all surgical methods.

1 Measure method is different.
During 5 years of follow-up, 5 of 41 subjects required reoperation. The main causes were AVF and backout of spinal instrumentation. AVF and backout of spinal instrumentation are considered to be contradictory complications. AVF can occur because of complete fusion. However, backout of spinal instrumentation can occur because of residual instability as a result of incomplete fusion. Toyone et al. reported that patients who underwent spinal instrumentation surgery were susceptible to the development of subsequent vertebral fractures within 2 years after surgery. As the mechanism, change in postoperative immobilization and altered biomechanics and initial low bone density were assumed. Therefore, careful follow-up and aggressive treatment for osteoporosis are required, especially within 1 year of surgery. Recently, we actively treated osteoporosis preoperatively and early postoperatively to prevent AVF and backout of spinal instrumentation. In the study, perioperative administration of teriparatide was effective to prevent AVF and implant failure in osteoporotic patients with spinal deformities undergoing surgery.

4.1. Limitations
The present study has several limitations. The first is there is no control group. However, the positioning of this surgical procedure was evaluated by comparing with other previously reported methods as shown in Table 4. The limitation and long-term results of this procedure revealed. The second is restriction of the vertebral level performing this surgery. The thoracic spine may be poses special neuroanatomical challenges, considering the relatively small pedicle size and severe angulation from physiological kyphosis in the mid- and upper thoracic spine. In such cases, it is necessary to devise to change the approach to the VB. Finally, the population size is relatively small and future studies would need a larger sample size.

5. Conclusion
We investigated the clinical results of posterior surgery for delayed paralysis secondary to OFV during 5 years. Operative time and blood loss were acceptable, even for elderly patients. In all subjects, imaging results and subjective symptoms improved. However, reoperation because of AVF and backout of spinal instrumentation was necessary within 1 year in 5 of 41 (12%) subjects. Careful follow-up is required for the first year after surgery for OFV.
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