Sharp thresholds and percolation in the plane

Béla Bollobás∗†‡§ Oliver Riordan†§¶

October 4, 2005

Abstract

Recently, it was shown in [4] that the critical probability for random Voronoi percolation in the plane is 1/2. As a by-product of the method, a short proof of the Harris-Kesten Theorem was given in [5]. The aim of this paper is to show that the techniques used in these papers can be applied to many other planar percolation models, both to obtain short proofs of known results, and to prove new ones.

1 Introduction

In [5], a short proof was given of the fundamental result of Harris [14] and Kesten [16] that the critical probability \( p_H = p_H(\mathbb{Z}^2, \text{bond}) \) for bond percolation in the planar square lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) is equal to 1/2, where \( p_H \) is the critical probability for the occurrence of percolation (see below), and \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) is the graph with vertex set \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) in which vertices are adjacent if and only if they are at Euclidean distance 1. The methods used in [5] were developed in [4] to prove the new result that the critical probability for percolation in random plane Voronoi tilings is also 1/2. Here we show that the same methods easily give exponential decay of the volume below the critical probability. Furthermore, while the arguments in [5] are written specifically for bond percolation in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), they can also be applied in many other planar contexts. We illustrate this by considering several examples. We start with two well-known ones, site percolation in the square and triangular lattices. Next, we consider a new bond percolation model in the square lattice, where the states of the edges are not independent, showing that an analogue of the Harris-Kesten result holds in this context. Finally, we study random discrete Voronoi percolation in the plane. It is very likely that the methods of [4] and [5] can be applied to many other percolation models.
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In the rest of this introduction we shall recall some of the fundamental concepts of percolation theory. Then, in Section 2, we present the basic tools we shall use to prove our results. In Section 3, we show that the method of 5 easily extends to prove an exponential decay result of Kesten 17. In Section 4, we apply our method to give short proofs of well-known results for site percolation on any lattice, proving results we believe to be new.

A bond percolation measure on an infinite graph $G$ is a probability measure on the space of assignments of a state, namely open or closed, to each edge $e$ of $G$ (with the usual $\sigma$-field of measurable events). Similarly, a site percolation measure on $G$ is a probability measure on assignments of states to vertices. Here, $G$ will usually be a planar lattice; in particular, we consider the square lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and the triangular lattice $L_\triangle$.

Given a lattice $L$, when discussing bond percolation on $L$ we consider the measure $\mathbb{P}^{L,\text{bond}}_p$ in which the states of the edges are independent, and each edge is open with probability $p$. Similarly, when discussing site percolation on $L$ we consider the measure $\mathbb{P}^{L,\text{site}}_p$ in which the vertices are open independently with probability $p$. When there is no danger of confusion, we write $\mathbb{P}_p$ for either of these measures.

An open cluster is a maximal connected subgraph of $L$ all of whose edges (vertices) are open. We write $C_v$ for the open cluster containing a given vertex $v \in L$. Thus a vertex $w$ lies in $C_v$ if and only if $w$ can be reached from $v$ by an open path, i.e., a path in $L$ all of whose edges (vertices) are open. In the case of site percolation, if $v$ is closed then $C_v = \emptyset$.

Writing $|C_v|$ for the number of vertices of $C_v$, let

$$\theta(p) = \mathbb{P}_p(|C_0| = \infty),$$

where $0 = (0,0)$ is the origin. We shall always take 0 to be a vertex of $L$. By Kolmogorov’s 0-1 law, percolation occurs if and only if $\theta(p) > 0$. More precisely, if $\theta(p) > 0$, then with probability 1 there is an infinite open cluster somewhere in $L$, while if $\theta(p) = 0$, then with probability 1 there is no such cluster. As $\theta(p)$ is increasing in $p$, there is a critical probability $p_H$ such that $\theta(p) = 0$ for $p < p_H$ and $\theta(p) > 0$ for $p > p_H$. This critical probability depends on the lattice $L$ and type of percolation under consideration. To emphasize this dependence we may write $p_H(L, \text{bond})$ or $p_H(L, \text{site})$. Here, following Welsh (see 24), the $H$ is in honour of Hammersley; Broadbent and Hammersley introduced the basic concepts of percolation in a 1957 paper 5, where they posed the problem of determining $p_H$ in a variety of contexts. Hammersley 11, 12, 13 proved general upper and lower bounds which imply, for example, that $0.35 < p_H(\mathbb{Z}^2, \text{bond}) < 0.65$.

Writing $\mathbb{E}_p$ for the expectation corresponding to $\mathbb{P}_p$, let

$$\chi(p) = \mathbb{E}_p|C_0|$$

be the expected size of the open cluster of the origin. It is immediate that $\chi(p)$
is increasing in $p$, so there is another critical probability, 

$$p_T = \inf \{ p : \chi(p) = \infty \},$$

with the $T$ in honour of Temperley. As $\theta(p) > 0$ implies $\chi(p) = \infty$, we have $p_T \leq p_H$.

For many years it was believed that $p_T = p_H = 1/2$ for bond percolation in $\mathbb{Z}^2$; this conjecture seems not to be made explicitly, but, supported by various results and numerical evidence, this belief gradually arose. In 1978, Russo [22] and Seymour and Welsh [24] made significant progress. In particular, they proved independently that $p_T + p_H = 1$. It was only in 1980, twenty years after Harris’ proof of the inequality $p_H \geq 1/2$, that Kesten [16] proved that $p_T = p_H = 1/2$. Since then, Menshikov [20] (see also Menshikov, Molchanov and Sidorenko [21]) and Aizenman and Barsky [1] (see also Grimmett [10]) have shown that $p_T = p_H$ in great generality, in particular, for site percolation in any lattice graph; see Section [17] for a formal definition. Note that bond percolation in a lattice graph $L$ corresponds to site percolation in the line graph of $L$, which can be realized as a lattice graph, so results for site percolation in general lattices apply to bond percolation as well.

Below the critical probability, much stronger results are known than $\chi(p) < \infty$. In particular, Kesten [17] showed in 1981 that for site percolation in a lattice, when $p < p_T$, the number $|C_0|$ of vertices in $C_0$ decays exponentially. (See also Aizenman and Newman [2] and Grimmett [10].) In the light of the proofs that $p_T = p_H$ mentioned above, Kesten’s result implies that there is a single critical probability $p_H$, with percolation above $p_H$ and exponential decay of the size of the open cluster of the origin below $p_H$. Here we shall show that, in various contexts, the method of [5] easily gives exponential decay for $p < p_H$, implying that $p_T = p_H$.

![Figure 1: Portions of the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^2$ (solid lines) and the isomorphic dual lattice $L^*$ (dashed lines).](image)

An important property of bond percolation in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is the ‘self-duality’ of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. This property is key to the results of Harris and Kesten. In the context of bond percolation, the appropriate notion of duality is the standard one for plane graphs: the dual $G^*$ of a graph $G$ drawn in the plane has a vertex for each face of $G$, and an edge $e^*$ for each edge $e$ of $G$. The edge $e^*$ joins the two
vertices of $G^*$ corresponding to the faces of $G$ in whose boundary $e$ lies. Taking $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$, there is a vertex $v$ of $G^*$ for each square $[a, a+1] \times [b, b+1], a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, which we may take to be the point $v = (a+1/2, b+1/2)$. It is easy to see that $G^*$ is isomorphic to $G$: see Figure 1. This self-duality can be considered the ‘reason why’ $p_H(\mathbb{Z}^2, \text{bond}) = 1/2$, but this trivial observation, made soon after the question first arose, is very far from giving a proof of the Harris-Kesten result.

2 Preliminaries

As in [5], the proofs here will be mostly self-contained. The main result we shall use is a sharp-threshold result of Friedgut and Kalai [9], a simple consequence of a result of Kahn, Kalai and Linial [15] concerning the influences of coordinates in a product space. (See also [7].)

Let $X$ be a fixed ground set with $N$ elements, and let $X_p$ be a random subset of $X$ obtained by selecting each $x \in X$ independently with probability $p$. For a family $A \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ of subsets of $X$, let $\mathbb{P}_X^X(A)$ be the probability that $X_p \in A$. In this context, $A$ is increasing if $A \in A$ and $A \subset B \subset X$ imply $B \in A$. Also, $A$ is symmetric if there is a permutation group acting transitively on $X$ which fixes $A$. In other words, $A$ is a union of orbits of the induced action on $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

In our notation the result of Friedgut and Kalai [9] we shall need is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** There is an absolute constant $c_1$ such that if $|X| = N$, the family $A \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is symmetric and increasing, and $\mathbb{P}_X^X(A) > \varepsilon$, then $\mathbb{P}_q^X(A) > 1 - \varepsilon$ whenever $q-p \geq c_1 \log(1/(2\varepsilon))/\log N$.

We shall also make frequent use of Harris’ Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** If $A, B \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ are increasing, then for any $p$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_p^X(A \cap B) \geq \mathbb{P}_p^X(A) \mathbb{P}_p^X(B).
$$

Taking complements, the lemma also applies to two decreasing events, where a decreasing event is the complement of an increasing one. In other contexts, Lemma 2 is often known as Kleitman’s Lemma [18]. The present context is exactly that of Harris’ original paper [14]: $X$ will be a set of edges or vertices in the lattice (according to whether we are considering site or bond percolation), and $X_p$ will be the subset of $X$ consisting of the open edges/vertices. Thus an event is increasing if it is preserved by changing the states of one or more edges/vertices from closed to open, and Harris’ Lemma states that increasing events are positively correlated.

In addition to the results above, we shall need two observations concerning $k$-dependent percolation. A bond percolation measure on a graph $G$ is $k$-dependent if, for every pair $S, T$ of sets of edges of $G$ at graph distance at least $k$, the states (being open or closed) of the edges in $S$ are independent of the states of the edges in $T$. When $k = 1$, the separation condition is exactly that no edge of $S$ shares a vertex with an edge of $T$. The definition of $k$-dependence for a site
percolation measure on $G$ is exactly the same, except that $S$ and $T$ run over all sets of vertices at graph distance at least $k$. Here we shall consider dependent measures only on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

These $k$-dependent measures arise very naturally in a variety of contexts (for example, static renormalization arguments), and have been considered by several authors; see Liggett, Schonmann and Stacey [19] and the references therein. In [19], a very general comparison result between $k$-dependent and product measures is proved: working on any fixed countable graph $G$ of bounded degree (for example, $\mathbb{Z}^d$), for any $p < 1$ there is an $f(G, k, p) < 1$ such that any $k$-dependent measure in which each edge (vertex) is open with probability at least $f(G, k, p)$ dominates the product measure $\mathbb{P}_p$ in which edges (vertices) are open independently with probability $p$.

In particular, provided the individual edge probabilities are high enough, percolation occurs in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ under the assumption of $1$- (or $k$-) dependence.

**Lemma 3.** There is a $p_0 < 1$ such that in any $1$-dependent bond percolation measure on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfying the additional condition that each edge is open with probability at least $p_0$, the probability that $|C_0| = \infty$ is positive.

In applications, the value of $p_0$ is frequently important. Currently, the best known bound is the result of Balister, Bollobás and Walters [3] that one can take $p_0 = 0.8639$. Here, the value of $p_0$ will be irrelevant: all we shall need is the essentially trivial Lemma 4. For completeness, we give a very simple proof that one can take $p_0 = 0.995$.

Indeed, suppose that the open cluster $C_0$ containing the origin is finite. Let $C_\infty$ be the (unique) infinite component of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus C_0$, and let $B$ be the edge-boundary of $C_\infty$, i.e., the set of edges joining $C_\infty$ to $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus C_\infty$. Note that every edge in $B$ joins $C_\infty$ to $C_0$, and is thus closed. Passing to the lattice $L^*$ dual to $L = \mathbb{Z}^2$ as defined above, the edges of $L^*$ corresponding to the edges of $L$ in $B$ form a simple cycle $S$ in $L^*$ that surrounds the origin.

![Figure 2: An open cluster $C$ in $L = \mathbb{Z}^2$ (dots and solid lines), the edge boundary $B$ of the infinite component $C_\infty$ of $L \setminus C$ (dotted lines), and the corresponding cycle $S$ in $L^*$ (dashed lines). The point marked with a cross is in a finite component of $L \setminus C$.](image)

Given the length $\ell \geq 4$ of $S$, there are crudely at most $\ell^2 3^{\ell - 2}$ possibilities for $S$ (and hence $B$): $S$ must cross the $x$ axis at some $x$-coordinate between $\frac{1}{2}$
and $\ell - \frac{3}{2}$. Walking round $S$, at each stage there are at most three possibilities for the next edge, and at most one choice that closes the cycle at the end. Passing back to $L = \mathbb{Z}^2$, the edges of $L$ may be partitioned into four complete matchings, one of which must contain a set $B'$ of at least $|B|/4 = \ell/4$ edges of $B$. Now the states of the edges in $B'$ are independent of each other, and each $e \in B'$ is closed with probability at most $1 - p_0$. Putting everything together, we see that the probability that $|C_0|$ is finite, which is exactly the probability that some closed cycle in the dual surrounds the origin, is at most

$$\sum_{\ell \geq 4, \ell \text{ even}} \ell - \frac{2}{2}3^{(-2)}(1 - p_0)^\ell/4.$$  

This is strictly less than 1 if $p_0 = 0.995$.

Finally, a corresponding negative result is just as easy: we repeat the statement and proof from [4]. This time, it is easier to work with site percolation. Recall that in the site percolation context, $C_0$, the open cluster of the origin, is the set of vertices of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ joined to the origin by a path in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ every one of whose vertices is open.

**Lemma 4.** Let $k$ be a fixed positive integer, and let $\overline{P}$ be a $k$-dependent site percolation measure on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in which every vertex $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is open with probability at most $p$. There is a constant $p_1 = p_1(k) > 0$ such that for every $p \leq p_1$ there is a $c(p, k) > 0$ for which

$$\overline{P}(|C_0| \geq n) \leq \exp(-c(p, k)n)$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

**Proof.** If $|C_0| \geq n$, then the subgraph of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ induced by the open vertices contains a tree $T$ with $n$ vertices, one of which is the origin. It is well known and easy to check that the number of such trees in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ grows exponentially, and is at most $(4e)^n$. Fix any such tree $T$. Then there is a subset $S$ of at least $n/(2k^2 - 2k + 1)$ vertices of $T$ such that any $a, b \in S$ are at graph distance at least $k$; indeed, one can find such a set by a greedy algorithm: whenever a vertex $a$ is chosen, the number of other vertices it rules out is at most the number of other vertices of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ within graph distance $k - 1$ of $a$, namely $4(\frac{k}{2}) = 2k^2 - 2k$. The vertices of $S$ are open independently, so the probability that every vertex of $T$ is open is at most $p^{|S|}$. Hence,

$$\overline{P}(|C_0| \geq n) \leq (4e)^n p^n/(2k^2 - 2k + 1).$$

Provided $p$ is small enough that $r = 4ep^{1/(2k^2 - 2k + 1)} < 1$, the conclusion follows, taking $c(p, k) = -\log r$.  

## 3 Bond percolation in $\mathbb{Z}^2$: exponential decay

In this section we consider bond percolation in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, writing $\mathbb{P}_p$ for the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_p^{\mathbb{Z}^2, \text{bond}}$, in which each edge of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is open with probability $p$, independently of all other edges. In [5], a short proof was given of the Harris-Kesten
result that in this context \( p_H = 1/2 \), using Theorem 1 as the main ingredient. In fact, the method also gives a simple proof that for \( p < 1/2 \) there is exponential decay of the ‘volume’ \(|C_0|\) of the open cluster containing the origin. It follows that \( \chi(p) \) is finite for \( p < 1/2 \), and hence that \( p_T = p_H = 1/2 \). The result below was first proved by Kesten [17] in 1981.

**Theorem 5.** For every \( p < 1/2 \), there is a constant \( a = a(p) > 0 \) such that

\[
P_p(|C_0| \geq n) \leq \exp(-an)
\]

for all \( n \geq 0 \).

We shall deduce Theorem 5 from Lemma 11 of [5], reproduced below as Lemma 6. Most of the work in [5] went into proving this lemma (or the stronger form, Lemma 9 in [5]); the deduction of the Harris-Kesten Theorem was then easy. The lemma concerns ‘open crossings of rectangles’: we identify a rectangle \( R = [x_0, x_1] \times [y_0, y_1] \), where \( x_0 < x_1 \) and \( y_0 < y_1 \) are integers, with an induced subgraph of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \). This subgraph includes all vertices and edges in the interior and boundary of \( R \). We write \( H(R) \) for the event that there is a horizontal open crossing of \( R \), i.e., a path from the left side of \( R \) to the right side consisting entirely of open edges of \( R \). Similarly, we write \( V(R) \) for the event that there is a vertical open crossing of \( R \).

**Lemma 6.** Let \( p > 1/2 \) be fixed. If \( R_n \) is a 3n by n rectangle in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), then \( P_p(H(R_n)) \to 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Fix \( p < 1/2 \), let \( p_1 > 0 \) be a constant for which Lemma 4 holds with \( k = 9 \), and set \( c = (1 - p_1)^{1/4} \).

We shall apply Lemma 4 to the lattice \( L^* \) dual to \( L = \mathbb{Z}^2 \), which is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \). Defining the state of a dual edge \( e^* \) to be the state of \( e \), each edge of \( L^* \) is closed with probability \( 1 - p > 1/2 \), independently of all other edges. By Lemma 4 if \( R \) is a 3m by m rectangle in \( L^* \) then, provided we choose \( m \geq 10 \) large enough, the probability that \( R \) is crossed the long way by a path of closed dual edges is at least \( c \).

Set \( s = m - 1 \), and let \( S \) be an \( s \) by \( s \) square in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \). Arrange four 3m by m rectangles in the dual lattice to form an annulus \( A \) as in Figure 3 with the inside of the annulus surrounding \( S \). Using Lemma 2 with probability at least \( c^4 = 1 - p_1 \), each of the four rectangles is crossed the long way by a path of closed dual edges. If this happens, then there is a cycle of closed dual edges in \( A \) which surrounds \( S \). (See Figure 3.) It follows that in this case, in the original lattice, no vertex in \( S \) is connected by an open path to a vertex outside \( A \).
Returning to $\mathbb{Z}^2$, given an $s$ by $s$ square $S$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, let $B(S)$ be the event that some vertex in $S$ is connected by an open path to a vertex at $L_\infty$-distance $2s > m + 1$ from $S$. We have shown that $\mathbb{P}_p(B(S)) \leq p_1$.

Let us define a site percolation measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ as follows: each $v = (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is open if $B(S_v)$ holds for the square $S_v = [sx, s(x + 1)] \times [sy, s(y + 1)]$. As $B(S_v)$ depends only on the states of edges within $L_\infty$-distance $2s$ of $S_v$, the measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is 9-dependent. Furthermore, each $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is open with $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$-probability at most $p_1$. Let $C_0$ be the open cluster of the origin in our original bond percolation, and let $C'_0$ be the open cluster of the origin in the site percolation we have just defined. By Lemma 4 there is an $a > 0$ such that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(|C'_0| \geq n) \leq \exp(-an)$ for every $n$.

If $|C_0| > (6s + 1)^2$, then every vertex $w$ of $C_0$ is joined by an open path to some vertex at $L_\infty$-distance $3s$ from $w$. If $w \in S_v$, then it follows that $B(S_v)$ holds. Thus, if $|C_0| > (6s + 1)^2$, then $B(S_v)$ holds for every $v$ such that $S_v$ contains vertices of $C_0$. The set of such $v$ forms an open cluster with respect to $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, and is thus a subset of $C'_0$. Hence, as each $S_v$ contains only $(s + 1)^2$ vertices, for $n \geq (6s + 1)^2$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_p(|C_0| \geq n) \leq \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(|C'_0| \geq n/(s + 1)^2) \leq \exp\left(-an/(s + 1)^2\right),
$$

completing the proof of Theorem 4.

## 4 Percolation in other lattices

The arguments given in [5] were specific to the case of bond percolation in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, since we were trying to give as simple a proof as we could that $p_H = 1/2$ in this case. However, parts of the proofs are applicable in many other contexts. In particular, the method used in Section 5 of [5] applies to any planar lattice, and can be extended to other contexts. The heart of the method is a simple application of Theorem 1; we present this in the setting of a general lattice as Lemma 8 in the next subsection.

In fact, the method of [5] was developed in [4] in a rather different, continuous, context, namely random Voronoi percolation; in [4] it is shown that the critical probability for random Voronoi percolation in the plane is 1/2. The arguments needed for the random Voronoi case are much more complicated than those for lattices; we shall not even outline them here.

In order to apply Theorem 4 to deduce results about critical probabilities, one needs an appropriate equivalent of the Russo-Seymour-Welsh Theorem, stating essentially that if (very large) squares may be crossed with significant probability, then the same applies to rectangles with a fixed aspect ratio. As in [4], in many contexts simpler methods can be used to prove an essentially equivalent result. To illustrate this we give two examples, in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3. The
first, site percolation in the square lattice, shows that knowing the critical probability is not necessary. The second, site percolation in the triangular lattice, shows that the square geometry is not necessary.

4.1 Sharp thresholds in lattices

In this subsection we consider percolation on lattices in $R^d$. We say that $L$ is a $d$-dimensional lattice graph, or simply lattice, if $L$ is a connected, locally finite graph on a vertex set $V = V(L) \subset R^d$ with any two vertices at distance at least some $\rho > 0$, such that there are $d$ automorphisms $\alpha_i$ of $L$ acting on $V$ by translation through linearly independent vectors $v_i \in R^d$. We work throughout with site percolation on the graph $L$: for bond percolation we may realize the line graph of $L$ as a lattice $L'$ and work with site percolation on $L'$. Note that in the 2-dimensional case, $L$ need not be a planar graph.

A basic property of any lattice graph is that its vertex set $V$ has a partition into finitely many classes $V_j$ so that the automorphism group of the graph $L$ acts transitively on each $V_j$. We shall need the following slightly strengthened form of Theorem 1.

Lemma 7. Let $X$ be a finite ground set with $|X| = N$, and suppose that $A \subset P(X)$ is increasing. Suppose also that there is a group $G$ acting on $X$ so that every orbit of the action of $G$ on $X$ has size at least $M$, and so that $A$ is a union of orbits of the induced action of $G$ on $P(X)$. There is an absolute constant $c_1$ such that if $P^X_p(A) > \varepsilon$, then $P^X_q(A) > 1 - \varepsilon$ whenever $q - p \geq c_1 \frac{\log(1/(2\varepsilon))}{\log N} \frac{N}{M}$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 1, i.e., of Theorem 2.1 of Friedgut and Kalai 3. Following the proof in 2 step by step, the only modification is that having found one variable with influence at least $x$, one concludes that the sum of the influences of all variables is at least $Mx$, rather than at least $Nx$. □

For notational convenience, we state the following result only in the 2-dimensional case. In $d$-dimensions corresponding results concerning paths from one face of a hypercuboid to the opposite face, or surfaces separating one face from the opposite face, can be proved in exactly the same way.

We work with the probability measure $P_p = P^{L,\text{site}}_p$ in which each vertex of $L$ is open independently with probability $p$. An open path is a path in $L$ all of whose vertices are open. If $L$ is a 2-dimensional lattice and $R \subset R^2$ is a rectangle, then we write $H(R) = H_L(R)$ for the event that $R$ has a horizontal open crossing, i.e., that there is a path in $L$ consisting of open vertices of $R$ joining vertices $v_1$ and $v_2$, where $v_1$ is incident with an edge of $L$ that meets the left-hand side of $R$, and $v_2$ with an edge that meets the right-hand side of $R$. In fact, for the application below the precise definition of $H(R)$ (i.e., how we deal with vertices near the boundary of $R$) will not matter – the statement of
our lemma will not be affected if the dimensions of the rectangles involved are altered by \(O(1)\).

In this section, all our rectangles have a fixed orientation, which we take without loss of generality to be parallel to the coordinate axes. We also suppose that the origin is a lattice point. Note that \(\mathbb{P}_p(H(R))\) may depend not just on the dimensions of \(R\), but also on its position with respect to \(L\); we do not assume that the corners of our rectangles are lattice points. In the case \(L = \mathbb{Z}^2\), this assumption might be natural, but it would make no difference – the statement of the lemma is unaffected if we round the coordinates to integers.

**Lemma 8.** Let \(L\) be a 2-dimensional lattice graph. Let \(0 < p_1 < p_2 < 1, \varepsilon > 0\), and positive real numbers \(x_1 > x_2, y_1 < y_2\) be fixed. There is an \(n_0\) such that if \(n \geq n_0\) and \(R\) is an \(x_1 n\) by \(y_1 n\) rectangle for which \(\mathbb{P}_{p_1}(H_L(R)) \geq \varepsilon\), then \(\mathbb{P}_{p_2}(H_L(R')) \geq 1 - \varepsilon\) for any \(x_2 n\) by \(y_2 n\) rectangle \(R'\).

**Proof.** The argument is essentially the same as in [5]; we write it out for completeness. Throughout this proof \(n_0\) will be a large constant to be chosen later, depending on all the parameters in the statement of the lemma.

Let \(v_1\) and \(v_2\) be two linearly independent vectors such that translations of \(\mathbb{R}^2\) through \(v_i\) induce automorphisms of \(L\), and let \(F\) be the corresponding fundamental region of \(L\), i.e., the parallelogram with vertices 0, \(v_1\), \(v_2\) and \(v_1 + v_2\). Note that \(F\) has diameter \(D = O(1)\), where the constant depends only on \(L\), and \(F\) contains \(\Theta(1)\) vertices of \(L\).

Suppressing the dependence on \(L\), suppose that \(\mathbb{P}_{p_1}(H(R)) \geq \varepsilon\) for an \(x_1 n\) by \(y_1 n\) rectangle \(R\) with \(n \geq n_0\). We may find points \(w_1\) and \(w_2\), each of the form \(a_1v_1 + a_2v_2, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\), within distance \(D\) of \((x_1 + 1)n, 0)\) and \((0, (y_2 + 1)n)\), respectively. Let \(F'\) be the parallelogram with vertices 0, \(w_1\), \(w_2\) and \(w_1 + w_2\). Then we may assume that \(R\) lies within \(F'\), and indeed that \(R\) does not come closer than a distance \(n/3\) to the boundary of \(F'\). To see this, note that \(\mathbb{P}_p(H(R))\) is unchanged if we translate \(R\) through a vector \(v_i, i = 1, 2\).

Let \(T\) be the graph obtained from \(L\) by quotienting by (the automorphisms whose action corresponds to) translations of \(\mathbb{R}^2\) through \(w_1\) and \(w_2\). Then \(T\) is a graph with \(\Theta(n^2)\) vertices, where the implicit constants depend on \(L, x_1\) and \(y_2\), and \(T\) is ‘locally isomorphic’ to \(L\). In particular, for rectangles \(R'\) too small to ‘wrap around’ \(T\), which are the only rectangles we shall consider, each rectangle \(R'\) in \(L\) corresponds to a rectangle in \(T\), and the induced subgraphs of \(L\) and \(T\) are isomorphic.

We write \(\mathbb{P}_p^T\) for the probability measure in which each vertex of \(T\) is open with probability \(p\), independently of all other vertices. From the remark above, there is an \(x_1 n\) by \(y_1 n\) rectangle \(R\) in \(T\) such that

\[
\mathbb{P}_p^T(H(R)) = \mathbb{P}_p^L(H(R)) \geq \varepsilon.
\]

Let \(E\) be the event that there is some \(x_1 n\) by \(y_1 n\) rectangle \(R'\) in \(T\) for which \(H(R')\) holds. Then

\[
\mathbb{P}_p^T(E) \geq \mathbb{P}_p^T(H(R)) \geq \varepsilon.
\]
The event $E$ is increasing and symmetric in the sense of Lemma 7, translations of $T$ through the vectors $v_i$ preserve $E$, and such translations map any vertex of $T$ to a vertex in one given fundamental region. Thus the action of the group generated by these translations on $T$ has $O(1)$ orbits, each of size at least $S = cn^2$, where $c$ depends on $L$, $x_1$ and $y_2$. We claim that for any constant $\eta < 1$ we have

$$P_{p_2}(E) \geq 1 - \eta,$$

provided that $n_0$ is chosen large enough, which we shall assume from now on. Indeed, writing $N = |T| = \Theta(n^2)$, then as $N/S$ is bounded, by Lemma 4 it suffices to choose $n_0$ large enough that for $n \geq n_0$ we have $\log N = 2 \log n + O(1)$ larger than a certain constant depending on $\eta$ and the parameters of the lemma.

Let $R'$ be any $x_2n$ by $y_2n$ rectangle in $T$. Note that $x_1 > x_2$ and $y_1 < y_2$, so $R'$ is ‘shorter and fatter’ than $R$. It follows that if $n$ is large enough, the torus $T$ can be covered by a bounded number $M$ of translates $R_i$ of $R'$ through vectors of the form $a_1v_1 + a_2v_2$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, in such a way that any $x_1n$ by $y_1n$ rectangle $R$ in $T$ crosses some $R_i$ horizontally, meaning that the intersection of $R$ and $R_i$ is an $x_2n$ by $y_1n$ rectangle. It follows that any horizontal open crossing of $R$ contains a horizontal open crossing of $R_i$. Hence, if $E$ holds, then so does one of the events $E_i = H(R_i)$, so $E^c \supset \bigcap_i E_i^c$.

The events $E_i$ are increasing. Hence, by Lemma 2 for each $i$ the decreasing event $E_i^c$ is positively correlated with the decreasing event $\bigcap_{j<i} E_j^c$, and

$$P_{p_2}(E^c) \geq P_{p_2} \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^M E_i^c \right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^M P_{p_2}(E_i^c) = P_{p_2}(H(R')^c)^M.$$

For the last step we use the fact that the subgraph of $T$ induced by each $R_i$ is isomorphic to that induced by $R'$. Thus,

$$P_{p_2}(H(R')^c) \leq P_{p_2}(E^c)^{1/M} \leq \eta^{1/M} = \varepsilon,$$

if we choose $\eta$ appropriately. Using the local isomorphism between $L$ and $T$, we have

$$P_{p_2}(H(R')) = P_{p_2}(H(R')) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

as required.

### 4.2 Site percolation in the square lattice

For this subsection, let $L_{\square} = \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the planar square lattice viewed as a graph as in Section 3 and let $L_{\square}$ be the (non-planar) graph with vertex set $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in which two vertices are adjacent if they are at Euclidean distance 1 or $\sqrt{2}$. We consider the probability measure $P_p$ in which each vertex $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is open with probability $p$, independently of the other vertices. Note that we are considering two notions of site percolation involving the same probability measure. For $L = L_{\square}$ or $L_{\square}$, the open cluster $C_0(L)$ containing the origin is the set of open vertices that may be reached from the origin by a path in the graph $L$ all of whose vertices are
open. As before, for a rectangle \( R \) with integer coordinates, we write \( H_L(R) \) for the event that \( R \) has a horizontal open crossing in \( L \), and \( V_L(R) \) for the event that \( R \) has a vertical open crossing.

The lattices \( L_{□} \) and \( L_{□\times□} \) are dual in a sense illustrated by the following lemma.

![Figure 4: A rectangle \( R \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) with each vertex drawn as an octagon, with an additional row/column of vertices on each side. ‘Black’ (shaded) octagons are open. Either there is a black path from left to right, or a white path (which may use the squares) from top to bottom. The path \( W \) entering at \( x \) is shown by thick lines. As \( W \) leaves at \( y \), \( H_{L_{□}}(R) \) holds.](image)

**Lemma 9.** Let \( L \) be one of \( L_{□} \) and \( L_{□\times□} \), let \( L^* \) be the other, and let \( R \) be a rectangle with integer coordinates. Whatever the states of the vertices in \( R \), either there is an open \( L \)-path crossing \( R \) from left to right, or a closed \( L^* \)-path crossing \( R \) from top to bottom, but not both. In particular,

\[
P_p(H_L(R)) + P_{1-p}(V_{L^*}(R)) = 1.
\]

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may take \( L = L_{□} \). Consider the partial tiling of the plane by octagons and squares shown in Figure 4: we take one octagon for each vertex \( v \) of \( R \), coloured black if \( v \) is open and white if \( v \) is closed, plus additional black octagons to the left and right of \( R \) and white octagons above and below \( R \) as shown. All squares are white. Let \( G \) be the graph formed by taking those edges of octagons/squares that separate a black region from a (bounded) white one, with the endpoints of these edges as the vertices. Then every vertex of \( G \) has degree exactly 2 except for the four vertices
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x, y, z and w, which have degree 1. Thus the component of $G$ containing $x$ is a path $W$, ending either at $y$ or at $w$; the path $W$ cannot end at $z$ as, walking along $W$ from $x$, one always has a black region on the right and a white one on the left.

The black octagons on the right of $W$ correspond to an $L_{□}$-connected set of sites, while the white octagons on the left correspond to an $L_{□}$-connected set of sites. This, if $W$ ends at $y$, as shown, there is an open $L_{□}$-path from the left of $R$ to the right. If $W$ ends at $w$, there is a closed $L_{□}$-path from the top of $R$ to the bottom. We cannot have both crossings, as otherwise $K_5$ could be drawn in the plane.

The values of the critical probabilities $p_H(L, \text{site})$, $L = L_{□}, L_{□}$, are not known. A special case of the general result of Menshikov [20] (see also [21, 10]) implies that for $L = L_{□}$ or $L = L_{□}$ there is exponential decay of the radius of $C_0(L)$ below $p_H(L, \text{site})$, and hence that $p_T(L, \text{site}) = p_H(L, \text{site})$. As noted in the introduction, it follows from the results of Kesten [17] or Aizenman and Newman [2] (see also [10]) that there is exponential decay of $|C_0(L)|$. We give a new proof of the latter, stronger result.

**Theorem 10.** Let $L = L_{□}$ or $L_{□}$. For any $p < p_H(L, \text{site})$, there is a constant $a = a(p, L) > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}_p(|C_0(L)| \geq n) \leq \exp(-an)$ holds for all $n \geq 0$.

In proving Theorem 10 we shall make use of the following more general version of Lemma 6 of [5]. When there is no danger of ambiguity, we write $H(R)$ for $H_L(R)$ and $V(R)$ for $V_L(R)$.

![Figure 5: The rectangles $R_i$ and rectangle $R$ for $k = 3$: the solid paths indicate that $X_2$ holds.](image)

**Lemma 11.** Let $L = L_{□}$ or $L_{□}$, and let $k$, $r$, $s$ and $t > r$ be positive integers. Set $R_i = [0, r] \times [(i-1)s, is]$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and let $R = [0, t] \times [0, ks]$. Let
$X_i$ be the event that there is an open vertical crossing of $R_i$ joined by an open path in $R$ to the right-hand side of $R$. Then for some $i$ we have

$$P_p(X_i) \geq P_p(H(R))P_p(V(R_1))/k.$$  

Proof. The proof is almost exactly the same as that of Lemma 6 of [5]. If $V(R_i)$ holds, we can define a left-most vertical crossing $LV(R_i)$ of $R_i$ in such a way that the event $LV(R_i) = P_i$ does not depend on the states of vertices of $R_i$ to the right of $P_i$. (This is illustrated rotated in Figure 4: there is a horizontal open crossing $P$ consisting of sites next to the path $W$. Finding $W$ step by step, we only ever examine vertices adjacent to $W$, so no vertex below $P$ has been examined.)

For a fixed $i$, if $V(R_i)$ holds and $LV(R_i) = P_i$, define $P$ to be the vertical (but not necessarily open) crossing of $[0, r] \times [0, sk]$, obtained by reflecting $P_i$ in the horizontal lines $y = js$, as shown in Figure 5. Also, let $P_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, be the sub-paths of $P$ crossing each $R_j$. Note that the event that $P$ takes a particular value is independent of the states of vertices to the right of $P$.

With (unconditional) probability $P_p(H(R))$ there is a horizontal open crossing $P_H$ of $R$. Any such crossing must cross $P$; indeed, $P$ and $P_H$ share a vertex unless $L = L \times \Box$ and the paths cross diagonally within a grid square. It follows that $P_H$ contains a sub-path $P'$ with the following properties: every vertex of $P'$ lies strictly to the right of $P$ and is open, $P'$ starts at a vertex adjacent to a vertex $v$ of $P$, and $P'$ ends at a vertex on the right hand side of $R$; see Figure 5.

Let $Y_j(P)$ be the event that such a $P'$ exists with $v$ lying on $P_j$. Then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} P_p(Y_j(P)) \geq P_p(H(R)). \hspace{2cm} (2)$$

Now $Y_j(P)$ depends only on the states of the vertices to the right of $P$. For any possible value $P_{ij}$ of $LV(R_{ij})$, defining $P$ and $P_j$ as above, the event $LV(R_j) = P_j$ is independent of the states of vertices to the right of the path $P$. Thus,

$$P_p(Y_j(P) \mid LV(R_j) = P_j) = P_p(Y_j(P)),$$

and, from (2),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} P_p(Y_j(P) \mid LV(R_j) = P_j) \geq P_p(H(R)).$$

If $Y_j(P)$ holds and $LV(R_j) = P_j$, then $X_j$ holds (see Figure 5). Thus,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} P_p(X_j \mid LV(R_j) = P_j) \geq P_p(H(R)).$$

In other words,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{P_p(X_j \text{ holds and } LV(R_j) = P_j)}{P_p(LV(R_j) = P_j)} \geq P_p(H(R)).$$
Recalling the definition of the paths $P_j$, we have
\[ P_p(LV(R_j) = P_j) = P_p(LV(R_1) = P_1), \]
so
\[ k \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_p(X_j \text{ holds and } LV(R_j) = P_j) \geq P_p(H(R)) \prod_{j=1}^{k} P_p(LV(R_j) = P_j). \]  

(3)

So far, $P_1$ was fixed. As $P_1$ runs over all possible values of $LV(R_1)$, each $P_j$ runs over all possible values of $LV(R_j)$. Summing (3) over $P_1$, as $V(R_j)$ is the disjoint union of the events that $LV(R_j)$ takes each possible value, it follows that
\[ k \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_p(X_j) \geq P_p(H(R)) P_p(V(R_1)), \]
and the result follows.

As in [5], we obtain an immediate corollary concerning long thin rectangles, provided we know that certain crossings of squares exist with significant probability. We write $R_{m,n}$ for the $m$ by $n$ rectangle $[0,m] \times [0,n]$, and $H(R_{m,n})$ for the event that this rectangle has a horizontal open crossing in the lattice under consideration.

**Corollary 12.** Let $c > 0$ and integers $\rho, k \geq 2$ be given. There is a constant $c' = c'(c, k, \rho) > 0$ such that if $L = L\Box$ or $L\Box$, and $P_p(H(R_{s,s})), P_p(H(R_{ks,ks})) \geq c$, then $P_p(H(R_{ks,ks})) \geq c'$.

**Proof.** Let $h_{m,n} = P_p(H(R_{m,n})), s, h_{ks,ks} \geq c$ by assumption. We claim that for $m > s$ we have
\[ h_{2m-s,ks} \geq \frac{h_{m,ks}^2 c^3 / k^2}{k}. \]

(4)

Applying (4) this repeatedly, the result follows.

As in [5], the inequality (4) is an immediate consequence of Lemma [11] and Harris’ Lemma. To see this, choose an $i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, for which Lemma [11] holds with $r = s$, $t = m$, and consider the rectangles $R = [0,m] \times [0,ks], R' = [s-m,s] \times [0,ks]$ and the square $S = [0,s] \times [(i-1)s, is]$ in their intersection. Note that the square $S$ plays the role of the rectangle $R_i$ in Lemma [11] for the parameters $(r = s, t = m)$ we have used.

Let us write $E_1$ for the event $X_i$ defined in Lemma [11] which depends on the vertices in $R$, and let $E_2$ be the corresponding event for $R'$, defined by reflecting in the line $x = s/2$; see Figure [6]. Finally, let $E_3$ be the event $H(S)$. Note that if $E_1, E_2$ and $E_3$ all hold, then $H(R \cup R')$ holds, using only the fact that horizontal and vertical crossings of $S$ must cross. By Lemma [11] and our choice of $i$ we have
\[ P_p(E_1) \geq P_p(H(R)) P_p(V(S)) / k = h_{m,ks} P_p(V(S)) / k. \]
Figure 6: The overlapping rectangles $R$ and $R'$ with the square $S$ in their intersection. The paths drawn show that $X_i$ holds for $R$, as well as the reflected equivalent $E_2$ for $R'$. If $H(S)$ also holds, then so does $H(R \cup R')$.

By symmetry, $P_p(E_2) = P_p(E_1)$. As $E_1$, $E_2$ and $E_3$ are increasing events, by Lemma 2 we have

$$P_p(H(R \cup R')) \geq P_p(E_1)P_p(E_2)P_p(E_3) \geq h_{m,ks}^2 P_p(V(S))^2 P_p(H(S))/k^2.$$

By assumption, $P_p(V(S)) = P_p(H(S)) = h_{s,s} \geq c$, so

$$h_{2m-s,ks} = P_p(H(R \cup R')) \geq h_{m,ks}c^3/k^2,$$

completing the proof of (4) and thus of the corollary.

Using the method of Section 5 of [5], it is easy to deduce Theorem 10. The key step is to apply Lemma 8.

**Proof of Theorem 10**. Let $L = L_{\square}$ or $L_{\Box}$. It suffices to show that for any constant $p_1 < p_2$, either percolation occurs in $L$ at $p_2$ (i.e., $\theta_L(p_2) > 0$), or there is exponential decay of $|C_0(L)|$ at $p_1$. Fix $p_1 < p_2$, and set $p = (p_1 + p_2)/2$. Let $n_0$ be a large constant to be chosen later, depending only on $p_1$ and $p_2$.

For $i = 1, 2, 4$, let $S_i$ be a square of side length $in_0$. From Lemma 9 we have $P_p(H_L(S_i)) + P_{1-p}(H_{L^*}(S_i)) = 1$, where $\{L, L^*\} = \{L_{\square}, L_{\Box}\}$. It follows that either (a) there are two values of $i \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ for which $P_p(H_L(S_i)) \geq 1/2$, or (b) there are two values for which $P_{1-p}(H_{L^*}(S_i)) \geq 1/2$.

It follows from Corollary 12 (applied with $c = 1/2$, $\rho = 10$, and $k = 2$ or $k = 4$) that there is a $10n$ by $n$ rectangle $R_n$, $n \geq n_0$, such that

$$P_p(H_L(R_n)) \geq c' \text{ or } P_{1-p}(H_{L^*}(R_n)) \geq c',$$

where $c'$ is an absolute constant not depending on our choice of $n_0$.

As $p_1 < p < p_2$, for any constant $c_3 < 1$ it follows by Lemma 8 that if $n_0$ was chosen large enough, and $R'$ is a $6n$ by $2n$ rectangle with $n$ as above, then either

$$P_{p_2}(H_L(R')) \geq c_3$$
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or
\[
\mathbb{P}_{1-p_1}(H_L, (R')) \geq c_3. \tag{7}
\]

If \(7\) holds and \(c_3\) is chosen large enough then, as an open path in \(L\) cannot start inside and end outside a closed cycle in \(L^*\), we can use Lemma 4 exactly as in Section 3 to obtain exponential decay of the size of \(C_0(L)\) in \(\mathbb{P}_{p_1}\).

If \(8\) holds and \(c_3\) is chosen large enough, then \(\theta_L(p_2) > 0\) follows. There are several standard arguments; we outline a slightly less standard one, given in [5]. Choose \(c_3 = p_0^{1/3}\), where \(p_0\) is some constant for which Lemma 3 holds. For a \(6n\) by \(2n\) rectangle \(R\), let \(G(R)\) be the event that \(H(R), V(S_1)\) and \(V(S_2)\) all hold, where the \(S_i\) are the two \(2n\) by \(2n\) ‘end’ squares of \(R\). Note that \(\mathbb{P}_{p_2}(V(S_1)) = \mathbb{P}_{p_2}(H(S_1)) \geq \mathbb{P}_{p_2}(H(R)) \geq c_3\). Thus, by Lemma 2, \(\mathbb{P}_{p_2}(G(R)) \geq c_3^3 = p_0\). We define a 1-dependent bond percolation measure \(\mathbb{P}\) on \(\mathbb{Z}^2\) by declaring the edge from \((a, b)\) to \((a + 1, b)\) to be open in \(\mathbb{P}\) if \(G(R)\) holds in \(\mathbb{P}_{p_2}\) for the \(6n\) by \(2n\) rectangle with bottom left corner \((2an, 2bn)\). The definition for vertical edges is analogous. By Lemma 4 we have percolation in \(\mathbb{P}\). The definition of the event \(G(R)\) ensures that for any open path \(P\) in \(\mathbb{P}\) there is a corresponding open path \(P'\) in \(L\). When \(P\) is infinite, so is \(P'\), so site percolation occurs in \(L\) in the probability measure \(\mathbb{P}_{p_2}\), i.e., \(\theta_L(p_2) > 0\).

Theorem 10 certainly implies that \(p_T(L) = p_H(L)\) for \(L = L_\Box\) or \(L_\Box^\circ\). Together with an intermediate step 5 in the proof above, it also implies the well-known result relating \(p_H(L_\Box)\) and \(p_H(L_\Box^\circ)\).

**Corollary 13.** For site percolation we have \(p_H(L_\Box) + p_H(L_\Box^\circ) = 1\).

**Proof.** Suppose first that \(p_H(L_\Box) + p_H(L_\Box^\circ) > 1\). Then there is a \(p\) with \(p < p_H(L_\Box)\) and \(1 - p < p_H(L_\Box^\circ)\). By Theorem 10 we have exponential decay of \(|C_0(L_\Box)|\) in \(\mathbb{P}_p\) and of \(|C_0(L_\Box^\circ)|\) in \(\mathbb{P}_{1-p}\). Thus the \(\mathbb{P}_p\)-probability that a large square has either a horizontal open \(L_\Box\)-crossing or a vertical closed \(L_\Box^\circ\)-crossing tends to zero, contradicting Lemma 9.

It remains to show that \(p_H(L_\Box) + p_H(L_\Box^\circ) \geq 1\), which is analogous to Harris’ Theorem for bond percolation. To show this, we shall prove that any \(p\) we have

\[\theta_{L_\Box}(p) = 0\] or \[\theta_{L_\Box^\circ}(1 - p) = 0. \tag{8}\]

This follows from 9 in a standard way, analogous to the proof of Harris’ Theorem, Theorem 8, in [5]. Indeed, from 9 there is a sequence \(n_i\) with \(n_{i+1} \geq 4n_i\) such that for each \(i\), either \(\mathbb{P}_p(H_{L_\Box}(R_{n_i})) \geq c'\), or \(\mathbb{P}_{1-p}(H_{L_\Box^\circ}(R_{n_i})) \geq c'\). Passing to a subsequence \(n_{i_i}\), we may assume that one case always holds. If the first case holds, then we may construct annuli \(A_i\) as in Figure 3 with inner and outer radii \(n_{i_i}\) and \(3n_{i_i}\), so that the \(A_i\) are disjoint, and each surrounds the origin. By Lemma 5 each \(A_i\) contains an open \(L_\Box\)-cycle surrounding the origin with probability at least \((c')^4\). Hence, with probability 1 some \(A_i\) contains such a cycle, and it follows that \(\theta_{L_\Box^\circ}(1 - p) = 0\). Similarly, in the other case \(\theta_{L_\Box}(p) = 0\), proving 3. As noted above, \(p_H(L_\Box) + p_H(L_\Box^\circ) = 1\) follows.

Also, we have shown that \(\theta_L(p_H(L)) = 0\) for at least one of \(L_\Box\) and \(L_\Box^\circ\). \(\Box\)
Let us remark that, as pointed out by Professor Ronald Meester and described in [6], one can use a sharp-threshold of Russo in place of Lemma 8.

4.3 Site percolation in the triangular lattice

In this subsection we consider the equilateral triangular lattice $L_\triangle$ with edge length 1. We shall take the origin and the point $(0,1)$ on the $y$-axis to be vertices of $L_\triangle$. Each vertex of $L_\triangle$ will be open independently with probability $p$; we write $P_p$ for this site percolation measure. As usual, $L_\triangle$ will be viewed as a graph, in which vertices at distance 1 are adjacent.

It is well-known that $p_H(L_\triangle) = 1/2$. Indeed, the following result is another special case of the general results mentioned in the introduction.

**Theorem 14.** In the triangular lattice $L_\triangle$, if $p > 1/2$ then $\theta(p) > 0$. If $p < 1/2$, then there is a constant $a = a(p) > 0$ such that $P_p(|C_0(L_\triangle)| \geq n) \leq \exp(-an)$ holds for all $n \geq 0$.

The arguments will be very similar to those in the previous sections, so we only sketch the details.

Although the natural equivalent of Corollary 5 in [5] (i.e., the standard starting point that the crossing probability for a square is $1/2$ in $p = 1/2$ bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^2$) applies to a parallelogram with a 60 degree angle, we shall work with rectangles; parallelograms do not seem to fit together in the way required for the equivalent of Lemma 11. Also, while a symmetry argument shows that the crossing probability for a suitably oriented square is $1/2$ at $p = 1/2$, this works only for certain orientations. These orientations will not be consistent with the symmetry required in Lemma 11.

Unlike in previous sections, the rectangles we consider will often not be aligned with the coordinate axes. Given a non-square rectangle, we define long and short crossings of $R$ in the obvious way, and write $L(R)$ and $S(R)$ respectively for the events that $R$ has a long open crossing or a short open crossing.

As the neighbourhood of a vertex of $L_\triangle$ is connected, if $C$ is a finite open cluster in $L_\triangle$, then its vertex boundary contains a closed cycle $S$ surrounding $C$. Also, if a path in $L_\triangle$ starts inside and ends outside a cycle, then the path and cycle share a vertex. It follows that if $R$ is not too small (say both sides have length at least two), then $R$ has a long open crossing if and only if $R$ does not have a short closed crossing. Hence,

$$P_p(L(R)) + P_{1-p}(S(R)) = 1.$$

In particular,

$$P_{1/2}(L(R)) + P_{1/2}(S(R)) = 1. \quad (9)$$

Most of the work needed to prove Theorem 14 is contained in the following lemma. Working in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, we took our rectangles to be aligned with the coordinate axes. Here, we do not specify the orientation of the rectangle $R$. 
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Lemma 15. There is an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that for any $n_0$ there is an $n \geq n_0$ and a $6n$ by $n$ rectangle $R$ with

$$
\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(L(R)) \geq c.
$$

(10)

Proof. The idea is to use an equivalent of Lemma 11 for $L_\triangle$. In fact, we have written the proof of Lemma 11 so that it goes through unchanged for $L = L_\triangle$, noting that the lines $y = is$ that we reflect in are symmetry axes of $L_\triangle$.

In order to use an argument similar to that of Corollary 12 to deduce Lemma 15, we need as a starting point that certain crossing probabilities of rectangles are not too small.

Consider a fixed integer $s$, and rectangles of the form $[a, b] \times [0, s]$, where $a, b - a > 2$ are integer multiples of $\sqrt{3}/2$. If $R$ and $R'$ are two rectangles of this form with $R \subset R'$, and $R'$ is obtained by extending $R$ horizontally by a distance of $\sqrt{3}/2$, then $R'$ contains one extra column of lattice points. As $R'$ extends $R$ horizontally, we have $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(H(R')) \leq \mathbb{P}_{1/2}(H(R))$. However, we also have

$$
\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(H(R')) \geq \mathbb{P}_{1/2}(H(R))/2.
$$

(11)

Indeed, $H(R)$ depends only on the states of points inside $R$, and if $R$ has an open crossing then there is at least one point in $R' \setminus R$ which, if open, extends this crossing to an open crossing of $R$; see Figure 7.

Suppose that Lemma 15 does not hold and, in particular, that it does not hold with $c = 0.01$, say. Then there is an $n_0$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and any $6n$ by $n$ rectangle $R$ with any orientation, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(L(R)) < 0.01.
$$

(12)

We claim that, for any integer $s \geq 6n_0$, there is a real number $t(s)$ which is an integer multiple of $\sqrt{3}$, such that

$$
1/8 \leq \mathbb{P}_{1/2}(H([0, t] \times [0, s])) \leq 1/2
$$

(13)
holds for $t = t(s)$. Indeed, as $t$ increases, the probability above decreases, and from the observation (11) above it cannot decrease by more than a factor of 4 as $t$ increases by $\sqrt{3}$. Also, by (12), the probability above is at most 0.01 for $t = 6s$ and, using (9), at least 0.99 for $t = s/6$. Hence $s/6 \leq t(s) \leq 6s$.

This gives us a starting point for the induction used in the proof of Corollary 12: using (13) and (9), we see that for $s = 6n_0$, $R_1 = [0, t(s)] \times [0, s]$ has $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(H(R_1)), \mathbb{P}_{1/2}(V(R_1)) \geq 1/8$. The same follows for $R_i = [0, t(s)] \times [(i - 1)s, is]$, as each $R_i$ is positioned in the same way with respect to the lattice as $R_1$. The second ingredient of the starting point is the large rectangle $R$, for which we may take $[0, t(40s)] \times [0, 40s]$, using $k = 40$ when we apply Lemma 11. Note that we have $t(40s) \geq 40s/6 = (40/36)s \geq (40/36)t(s)$. Now the proof of Corollary 12 goes through as before, noting that all the rectangles we consider have vertices that are lattice points, and that the line $x = t(s)/2$ is a symmetry axis of $L_\triangle$.

Proof of Theorem 14. The method is similar to that we used for the square lattice, so we give only an outline, emphasizing the differences.

Let $n_0$ be a large constant, to be chosen below. Let $R$ be a $6n$ by $n$ rectangle with $n \geq n_0$ for which (11) holds; the existence of such an $R$ is guaranteed by Lemma 15. We first note that there is an absolute constant $c' > 0$ (not depending on $n_0$) such that if $R'$ is a $34n$ by $10n$ rectangle with any orientation, and any position with respect to the lattice, then $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(L(R')) \geq c'$. To see this, construct a path of rectangles $R_i$ inside $R'$, with each $R_i$ congruent to $R$ and placed similarly with respect to the grid, so that long crossings of $R_i$ and $R_{i+1}$ cross, and long crossings of the first and last $R_i$ cross the opposite short sides of $R'$, as in Figure 8. Then apply Lemma 2 noting that the number of rectangles in the path is bounded by some absolute constant. (In fact, this construction is possible starting from a rectangle $R$ with any fixed aspect ratio larger than $(1 + \sqrt{3})/2$, but with a larger aspect ratio the picture is clearer.)

The rest of the proof is as for the square lattice. Fix any $p > 1/2$, and any $c_1 < 1$. From the argument above and Lemma 8 if $n_0$ is chosen large enough, then for $n \geq n_0$ we have $\mathbb{P}_p(L(R'')) \geq c_1$ for every $33n$ by $11n$ rectangle $R''$. As in previous sections, we can apply Lemma 5 to deduce that $\theta_{L_\triangle}(p) > 0$, and Lemma 4 to deduce exponential decay of $|C_0(L_\triangle)|$ in $\mathbb{P}_{1-p}$.
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5 Percolation in symmetric environments

So far, we have considered site percolation on lattice graphs \( L \). The lattice structure was used in two ways: firstly, the notion of percolation, or of an open crossing of a rectangle, was defined using paths in \( L \) consisting of vertices of \( L \) that are open, where the model was that the states of vertices were independent. Secondly, the symmetry of the lattice was important, principally in the application of Lemma 8. For our methods, the second use of the lattice structure is essential, but the first is not. Rather than write a very general version of Lemma 8 whose statement would be almost as long as its proof, we shall illustrate this with two examples. In these settings the method of Menshikov \cite{20} does not seem to work, as the van den Berg-Kesten inequality \cite{25} does not apply.

We start by discussing the other main ingredient of our approach, namely, a suitable equivalent of the Russo-Seymour-Welsh (RSW) Theorem.

5.1 A general weak RSW Theorem

In \cite{4}, a weak version of the RSW Theorem was proved for random Voronoi percolation, where the Voronoi cells associated to a Poisson process in the plane are coloured. Due to the more complicated setting, the proof of this result, Theorem 12 of \cite{4}, is rather long. However, as noted in \cite{4}, the result holds for a wide class of percolation models. While weaker than the natural analogue of the RSW Theorem (whose truth is not known for random Voronoi percolation), the result in \cite{4} is strong enough to serve as a key step in establishing the critical probability.

Certain properties of the crossings that arise in percolation models are rather general. For example, in either bond percolation in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) or random Voronoi percolation, horizontal and vertical open crossings of the same rectangle must meet. Hence, such crossings of suitably arranged overlapping rectangles can be combined to form crossings of longer rectangles. To generalize this observation, we may consider any probability measure that assigns a state, open or closed, to each point \( x \) of some set \( S \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \). In this setting, a horizontal open crossing of a rectangle \( R \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) is a (piecewise-linear) geometric path \( P \subset R \) starting at a point on the left-hand side of \( R \) and ending at a point on the right-hand side, such that every point of \( P \) is open. In the bond percolation case, we may take \( S \) to be the set of points with at least one integral coordinate; this set is exactly the subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) obtained when we draw the graph \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) with straight-line segments as edges. A point of \( S \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2 \) is open if the corresponding edge is open. We may take the points of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) to be always open. Then crossings by open paths in the graph \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) correspond to open paths \( P \) as defined above. In the random Voronoi setting we have \( S = \mathbb{R}^2 \), and a point of \( S \) is open if it lies in an open Voronoi cell (defined with respect to a Poisson process).

Below we shall restate Theorem 12 of \cite{4} as Theorem 16 in \cite{4}, this result was formally stated and proved only for random Voronoi percolation, but it was noted that the proof given applies essentially without modification in a much
more general setting, which we now describe.

Let us suppose that we have a probability measure \( \mathbb{P} \) on assignments of a state, open or closed, to each point of some subset \( S \) of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), with the following additional assumptions.

(i) The event that a point, or a measurable subset, of \( S \) is open is increasing in a suitable product space, so that Lemma 2 can be applied to events such as ‘\( R \) has a horizontal open crossing’.

(ii) The set-up has the symmetries of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), i.e., is unchanged by translation through the vectors \((1,0)\) and \((0,1)\), reflection in the axes, and rotation through 90 degrees about the origin.

(iii) Disjoint regions are asymptotically independent as we ‘zoom out’. To make this precise, for \( R \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) let us write \( \lambda R \) for \( \{ \lambda x : x \in R \} \). We assume that if \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are disjoint rectangles, then for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a \( \lambda_0 \) such that for any \( \lambda > \lambda_0 \) and any events \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) defined in terms of the states of points in \( \lambda R_1 \) and \( \lambda R_2 \) respectively, we have

\[
\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) - \mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2) \leq \varepsilon.
\]

(iv) Shortest paths are not too long: there is a constant \( C \) such that, for any fixed rectangle \( R \), the probability that \( H(\lambda R) \) holds but the shortest open path \( P \) crossing \( \lambda R \) has length at least \( \lambda C \) tends to zero as \( \lambda \to \infty \).

Note that all these assumptions hold in the random Voronoi setting (see [4]). Also, they hold for bond percolation in \( Z^2 \); for example, for (iv) note that any shortest open crossing of an \( m \) by \( n \) rectangle uses each vertex at most once and hence has length at most \((m + 1)(n + 1)\). We shall describe other settings in which the assumptions above hold in the subsequent subsections. We write \( \lambda \mathbb{R} \) for the \( \mathbb{R} \) by \( \mathbb{R} \) rectangle \([0, m] \times [0, n] \).

**Theorem 16.** Let \( c > 0 \) and \( \rho > 1 \) be given. Under the assumptions above, if \( \mathbb{P}(H(\lambda \mathbb{R} \mathbb{N})) \geq c \) for all large enough \( \lambda \), then there is a \( c' > 0 \) such that for any \( n \) there is an \( n > n_0 \) with \( \mathbb{P}(H(\lambda \mathbb{R} \mathbb{N})) > c' \).

Proof. Theorem 12 of [4] states that, for random Voronoi percolation, for any \( \rho > 1 \), \( \lim inf \mathbb{P}(H(\lambda \mathbb{R} \mathbb{N})) > 0 \) implies \( \lim sup \mathbb{P}(H(\lambda \mathbb{R} \mathbb{N})) > 0 \). As noted in [4], the proof uses only the assumptions above, so the same conclusion holds in the setting here. This is exactly our conclusion here.

### 5.2 Dependent bond percolation

In this section we shall show that our methods can be applied to dependent percolation as well. Our example is a particular model of bond percolation on \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), where the states of the edges are not independent. (As far as we are aware, this model has not been previously considered.) Let \((\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z})^2 \) consist of the points \( x = (a, b) \) with \( 2a, 2b \in \mathbb{Z} \). Our underlying probability space will consist of independent identically distributed \((-1, +1)\)-valued random variables \( \nu_x, x \in (\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z})^2 \), with \( \mathbb{P}(\nu_x = +1) = p \). Let \( w \) be a function from \((\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z})^2 \) to \( \mathbb{Z} \) with the following properties: \( w(a, b) \geq 0 \) for all \((a, b)\), \( w \) has finite support, \( w(0, 0) \) is odd, \( w(a, b) \) is even unless \( a = b = 0 \), and \( w(a, b) = w(b, a) = w(-a, b) \) for all \((a, b) \in (\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z})^2 \), so \( w \) has the rotational and reflectional symmetries of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \).
We assign states to the edges of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) as follows: an edge \( e \) of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) has a midpoint \( m(e) \in \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \right)^2 \). Let \( e \) be open if

\[
\sum_{x \in \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \right)^2} w(x)v_{m(e)+x} > 0. \tag{14}
\]

Note that the sum above is always odd, and that if \( p = 1/2 \) then \( e \) is open with probability \( 1/2 \).

Let us write \( \mathbb{P}^w \) for the probability measure defined above. As before, we write \( C_0 \) for the open cluster containing the origin, i.e., the set of vertices of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) connected to \((0,0)\) by a path of open edges. We write \( \theta^w(p) \) for \( \mathbb{P}^w(\{C_0| = \infty\}) \).

Our next result shows that the Harris-Kesten result for (independent) bond percolation in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) extends to this particular locally-dependent setting.

**Theorem 17.** Let \( w : \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \right)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) satisfy the conditions above. If \( p > 1/2 \), then \( \theta^w(p) > 0 \). If \( p < 1/2 \), then there is a constant \( a = a(w,p) > 0 \) such that \( \mathbb{P}^w(\{|C_0| \geq n\}) \leq \exp(-an) \) for all \( n > 0 \).

We outline the proof, which is very similar to the proof of the Harris-Kesten Theorem given in [5] together with the proof of Theorem 5. note that these results are exactly the special case when \( w = 0 \) except at the origin.

**Outline proof of Theorem 17.** As usual, given a rectangle with integer coordinates we write \( H(R) \) (\( V(R) \)) for the events that \( R \) has a horizontal (vertical) crossing by open edges. Let \( L^* \) be the dual lattice to \( L = \mathbb{Z}^2 \); we may realize \( L^* \) so that the dual edge \( e^* \) of each edge \( e \) of \( L \) has the same midpoint as \( e \). As in the independent case (see Lemma 3 of [4]), taking the state of \( e^* \) to be the same as the state of \( e \), \( R = [a,b] \times [c,d] \) has a horizontal open crossing if and only if the corresponding dual rectangle \( R^* = [a+1/2,b-1/2] \times [c-1/2,d+1/2] \) has no closed vertical crossing; indeed, the probability measure is irrelevant to this observation. In our set-up, the state of \( e^* \) is also defined by (14). Hence, \( e^* \) is closed if and only if

\[
\sum_{x \in \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \right)^2} w(x)(-v_{m(e^*)+x}) > 0,
\]

and the distribution of closed edges in \( \mathbb{P}^w \) is exactly the distribution of open edges in \( \mathbb{P}^w \). Taking \( R \) to be an \( n+1 \) by \( n \) rectangle and using the isomorphism between \( L = \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and its dual that rotates \( R^* \) onto \( R \), it follows that \( \mathbb{P}^w(\{H(R)\}) + \mathbb{P}^w(\{H(R)\}) = 1 \). In particular, \( \mathbb{P}^w_{1/2}(\{H(R)\}) = 1/2 \), as in the independent case.

Writing \( R_{m,n} \) for an \( m \) by \( n \) rectangle, we have

\[
\mathbb{P}^w_{1/2}(\{H(R_{n,n})\}) \geq \mathbb{P}^w_{1/2}(\{H(R_{n+1,n})\}) = 1/2. \tag{15}
\]

Our set-up satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 16; we define \( S \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) and the states of points of \( S \) exactly as in the independent case discussed above. From [14], the event that a bond is open is increasing in the product probability space defined by the \( v_x \), and condition (i) follows. Condition (ii) follows from our symmetry assumptions on \( w \), and (iv) is immediate as for independent bond
percolation. Finally, (iii) follows from the assumption that \( w \) has finite support — indeed, for some constant \( D \) we obtain complete independence of regions separated by a distance of at least \( D \).

Using Theorem 16 and (15), there is a \( c' > 0 \) such that there are arbitrarily large \( n \) with \( \Pr_{1/2}(R_{10n,n}) > c' \). Fix \( p > 1/2 \). We claim that for any \( c'' < 1 \), there are arbitrarily large \( n \) with \( \Pr_p(R_{6n,2n}) > c'' \). Fix \( p > 1/2 \). We claim that for any \( c'' < 1 \), there are arbitrarily large \( n \) with \( \Pr_w(p(R_{6n,2n})) > c'' \). This follows from Lemma 7 in essentially the same way as Lemma 8, but without the complications arising from non-square lattices; we omit the details. Since the event \( H(R) \) depends only on variables \( v_x \) for \( x \) within a fixed distance of \( R \), taking \( n \) large enough we may use Lemma 3 to deduce that \( \theta_w(p) > 0 \): the argument is exactly that given in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 10 in Subsection 4.2; see also [5]. Similarly, we may use Lemma 4 to deduce exponential decay for \( p < 1/2 \), as in Section 3.

### 5.3 Random discrete Voronoi percolation

Our final example is a discrete approximation of random Voronoi percolation. Random Voronoi percolation, described below, was introduced in the context of first-passage percolation by Vahidi-Asl and Wierman [26]. The critical probability, \( 1/2 \), was established in [4]. The proof there is rather long; the majority of the difficulties arise in attempting to compare Voronoi percolation with a suitable discrete model, to which the method of [5] can be applied. Here we shall give a much simpler proof of a discrete result.

We start with \( L = \mathbb{Z}^2 \). Given \( 0 < \pi \leq 1 \), we select vertices of \( L \) independently at random, selecting each with probability \( \pi \), to form a random set \( L_\pi \). Given \( L_\pi \), we form the Voronoi cells associated to these points: for \( z \in L_\pi \), let

\[
V(z) = V_{L_\pi}(z) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x,z) = \inf_{y \in L_\pi} d(x,y) \},
\]

where \( d(.,.) \) is the Euclidean distance. Thus \( V(z) \) is the set of points in the plane at least as close to \( z \) as to any other point \( y \) of \( L_\pi \). We include the boundary, obtaining with probability 1 a set of closed convex polygons \( V(z), z \in L_\pi \), that tile \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). We say that two cells \( V(z_1), V(z_2) \) are weakly adjacent if they share at least one point, and strongly adjacent if they share an edge. These definitions may differ; indeed, they will do so wherever four or more cells meet at a vertex. Given \( L_\pi \) and \( 0 < p < 1 \), we assign each Voronoi cell a state, open or closed, taking cells to be open with probability \( p \), independently of each other. We write \( \Pr_\pi \) for the associated probability measure.

A strong (weak) path of open cells is a sequence of open cells in which each consecutive pair is strongly (weakly) adjacent. The strong (weak) open component of the origin is the set of cells joined by a strong (weak) open path to a cell containing the origin.

**Theorem 18.** Let \( 0 < \pi \leq 1 \) and \( 0 < p < 1 \) be given. If \( p > 1/2 \), then with positive \( \Pr_\pi \)-probability the weak component of the origin is infinite. If \( p < 1/2 \), then there is a constant \( a = a(\pi,p) > 0 \) such that the \( \Pr_\pi \)-probability that the strong component of the origin contains more than \( n \) cells is at most \( \exp(-an) \).
As $\pi \to 0$, after suitable rescaling $L_\pi$ converges to a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and the Voronoi tiling associated to $L_\pi$ approaches that associated to a Poisson process. In such a tiling, cells meet only three at a vertex, so strong and weak connections coincide. Thus, for small $\pi$, the set-up considered in Theorem 13 is a good approximation to random Voronoi percolation, and the result strongly suggests that the critical probability for random Voronoi percolation in the plane is $1/2$, as shown in [4]. However, one cannot just deduce this result (this would amount to an unjustified exchange of the order of two limits); in fact, dealing with random Voronoi percolation is much harder.

Outline proof of Theorem 13 Let us associate a random variable $v_z$ to each vertex $z$ of $L = \mathbb{Z}^2$. We take $v_z = 0$ if $z \not\in L_\pi$, $v_z = +1$ if $z \in L_\pi$ and $V(z)$ is open, and $v_z = -1$ if $z \in L_\pi$ and $V(z)$ is closed. Thus the $v_z$ are independent and identically distributed, with $\mathbb{P}(v_z = i) = p_i$, where $p_{-1} = \pi(1-p)$, $p_0 = 1-\pi$ and $p_{+1} = \pi p$. Let us say that a $x$ point of $\mathbb{R}^2$ is open if it lies in an open cell. Equivalently, $x$ is open if there is a $z \in L$ with $v_z = +1$ such that no $z' \in L$ with $d(x, z') < d(x, z)$ has $v_{z'} = -1$. This event is increasing with respect to the $v_z$. Note that two cells $V(z)$, $V(z')$ are connected by a weak open path if and only if there is a piecewise-linear path $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ joining $z$ and $z'$ with every point of $P$ open. Given a rectangle $R$, let us define horizontal and vertical open crossings of $R$ in terms of such paths $P$.

We claim that the conditions of Theorem 13 are satisfied. Indeed, condition (i) follows from our definition of openness for points of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Condition (ii) is immediate – our set-up inherits the symmetries of the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^2$ we started from. (iii) is very easy to check: for a fixed rectangle $R_1$, for large $\lambda$ it is very likely that every disc of radius $\log \lambda$ centered within distance $\log \lambda$ of $\lambda R_1$ contains at least one point of $L_\pi$; the expected number of such discs containing no points of $L_\pi$ tends to 0 as $\lambda \to \infty$. It follows that with probability $1 - o(1)$ the states of all points in $\lambda R_1$ are determined by the variables $v_z$ for $z$ within distance $2 \log \lambda = o(\lambda)$ of $\lambda R_1$; asymptotic independence follows immediately. For (iv), very crudely, with probability $1 - o(1)$ the length of a shortest path crossing $\lambda R$ is at most $\lambda^2$, as all Voronoi cells meeting $\lambda R$ have diameter at most $\log \lambda$, so there are at most $O(\lambda^2)$ such cells.

Let $R$ be any rectangle. Defining a point of $\mathbb{R}^2$ to be closed if it lies in a closed cell (so some points are both open and closed, if they are in the boundary of an open cell and of a closed cell), it is easy to check that either $R$ is crossed horizontally by an open path, or $R$ is crossed vertically by a closed path, or both. (As usual, consider the topological boundary of the set of open points in $R$ reachable by an open path from a point on the left-hand side of $R$.) It follows that for any $\pi > 0$ and any $p$ we have $\mathbb{P}_{\pi}^p(H(R)) + \mathbb{P}_{1-p}^\pi(V(R)) \geq 1$. Thus, writing $R_{m,n}$ for an $m$ by $n$ rectangle, $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}^\pi(H(R_{m,n})) \geq 1/2$ for all $n$. Hence, by Theorem 13 there is a $c' > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{1/2}^\pi(H(R_{10n,n})) > c'$$

(16)

for arbitrarily large $n$. 
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The rest of the argument is again similar to that in [5] and in Section 3. It suffices to show that for any fixed $\pi, p > 1/2$, $c'' < 1$ and $n_0$, there is an $n \geq n_0$ such that

$$P^\pi_p(H(R_{6n, 2n})) > c''.$$  \hfill (17)

Then, recalling that open paths in $\mathbb{R}^2$ correspond to weak paths of open cells, the first statement of Theorem 18 follows from Lemma 3 as usual (see the proof of Theorem 10 in Subsection 4.2, or [5]), except that we must be a little careful defining the 1-dependent measure: to achieve 1-dependence, we work with a modified form $G'(R)$ of the event $G(R)$, where $G'(R)$ depends only on the variables $v_z$ for $z$ within distance $n/2$, say, of the $6n$ by $2n$ rectangle $R$. For $n_0$ large enough, we can find such a $G'(R)$ with probability close to that of $G(R)$; the argument is as for asymptotic independence. The same technicality arises in the Voronoi setting; see Section 8 of [4]. For the second statement, we use Lemma 4 as in Section 3 noting that if $C$ is a weak cycle of open cells, then no strong path of closed cells starts inside and ends outside $C$.

To deduce (17) from (16), we argue as in the proof of Lemma 8. In this argument we have to overcome two additional minor complications. Firstly, it is convenient to work in the product of three element probability spaces, as above, so we need a version of Lemma 7 that applies in this setting. Such a result is given in [5]; the proof is a very simple modification of the proof of Theorem 3.2 of Friedgut and Kalai [9]. Secondly, as the event $H(R)$ depends on points outside $R$, it is no longer quite true that the crossing probability of a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and of the corresponding rectangle in the torus coincide. However, the difference tends to zero as we enlarge the rectangle and torus in a constant ratio; the argument is the same as for asymptotic independence above.
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