NF-Y substitutes H2A-H2B on active cell-cycle promoters: recruitment of CoREST-KDM1 and fine-tuning of H3 methylations
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ABSTRACT

The CCAAT box is a frequent promoter element, as illustrated by bioinformatic analysis, and it is bound by NF-Y, a trimer with H2A-H2B-like subunits. We developed a MNase I-based ChIP protocol on homogeneous cell populations to study cell-cycle promoters at the single nucleosome level. We analyzed histone methylations and the association of enzymatic activities. Two novel results emerged: (i) H3-H4 are present on core promoters under active conditions, with the expected cohort of ‘positive’ modifications; H2A-H2B are removed and substituted by NF-Y. Through the use of a dominant negative mutant we show that NF-Y is important for H3K36me3 deposition and for elongation, not recruitment of Pol II; (ii) H3K4 methylations are highly dynamic and H3K4me1 is a crucial positive mark. Functional siRNA inactivation and treatment with Tranylcypromine determined that KDM1 (LSD1) plays a positive role in transcription, specifically of G2/M genes. It requires CoREST, which is recruited on active promoters through direct interactions with NF-Y. These data are the first in vivo indication of a crucial interplay between core histones and ‘deviant’ histone-fold such as NF-Y, leading to fine-tuning of histone methylations.

INTRODUCTION

The fundamental unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, formed by 146 bp of DNA wrapped around four heterodimers of H2A-H2B and H3-H4 core histones (1). Histones are among the most conserved proteins in eukaryotes; they are formed by N- and C-terminal tails and a globular part, the histone-fold domain. The histone tails have long been known to be modified by a plethora of post-translational modifications—PTMs—and it is now clear that these are marks of peculiar chromatin environments (2–6). Some of them are associated with accessible, active chromatin, others with heterochromatin, either constitutive or facultative.

An enormous amount of information has been gathered on histone PTMs, thank to fine proteomic analysis and the development of antibodies highly specific for single modifications. Acetylations of H3 and H4, in particular, are believed to be hallmark of active areas of genomes. Methylation of lysines, instead, represents complex signals for two reasons: the first is that some residues are associated with ‘open’ or transcribed chromatin—H3K4, H3K36 and H3K79—while others—H3K9, H3K27 and H4K20—are signposts of repression. The second refers to the fact that single, double or triple methylations can be imposed on lysines and that these are often marks of different chromatin states. The presence of histone PTMs posits that they are the result of specific enzymatic activities, and that they are read by proteins, or complexes, that further modify histones and impact on aspects of DNA metabolism in general, and on transcription in particular. The complexity of the histone PTMs has been recently highlighted by genome-wide analysis, in which new concepts have emerged (7–15). Not only acetylations, but also methylations are dynamic, and a plethora of demethylases—KDMs—with restricted range of specificity emerged. KDM1 (LSD1) is specific for H3K4me2 and H3K9me2 (16, reviewed in ref. 17), whereas KDM5A, KDM5B and KDM5C/D preferentially demethylate H3K4me2/3 (18–21, reviewed in ref. 22).

The majority of histones PTMs analyzed so far are within the tails, but others are within the histone-fold (23); methylations and acetylations are found on lysines that are predicted to contact DNA directly in the nucleosomal structure, or that are involved in contacts between the H3-H4 tetramer and the H2B-H2A dimers.

Core histones share the histone-fold domain not only with ‘variant’ histones, such as H2A.Z and H3.3, which show limited aminoacids variations, but also with more distantly related proteins, whose structures have been detailed by crystallographic studies (24–27). Despite a relatively low level of primary sequence identity,
the overall heterodimeric features are remarkably conserved. One such factor is NF-Y, a trimeric complex whose NF-YB-NF-YC subunits resemble H2B-H2A, respectively (28). The heterodimer offers several docking spots for NF-YA association and the resulting trimer contacts DNA through a complex set of sequence-specific interactions—mainly via NF-YA—as well as non-sequence-specific contacts, through the L1-L2 loops of NF-YB-NF-YC (29 and references therein). Evolutionarily conserved lysines and arginines of H2B-H2A that make important DNA-binding contacts within the nucleosome are often conserved in NF-YB-NF-YC, and required for DNA binding. The sequence recognized by NF-Y is the CCAAT box, known to be an element frequently present in promoters and enhancers (30–33). It is essential for early mouse development (34) and, in accordance with its ubiquitous expression, it has a wide range of targets: cell-cycle genes, and those specifically active in the G2/M phase, stand out for having a distinctly higher frequency of CCAAT boxes (35). A prominent role of NF-Y in the G2/M transition has been recently confirmed by profiling experiments of cells RNAi-inactivated for the NF-YB subunit, or infected with a Dominant Negative NF-YA (36,37). Intriguingly, while NF-Y was once considered a hallmark of activation, ChIP on chip data indicate a link to repressed areas, associated to H4K20me3 and H3K27me3 (38). Cause–effect experiments indicate that the presence of H3K4me3 and H3K79me2 is linked to NF-Y binding to active promoters (39).

A limitation to the analysis of histone PTMs is imposed by the scarcely precise nature of ChIP procedures derived from sonication of chromatin: it is essentially impossible to pinpoint precisely modifications on a single nucleosome, as well as discriminate areas that are devoid of nucleosomes. To obviate this, several studies have used micrococcal nuclease I, which cuts chromatin in the linker region between nucleosomes. Nucleosome-free regions—NFRs—have emerged around the transcription start site—TSS—at the single-gene level and, more recently, in genome-wide studies (40–44). This type of analysis has been predominantly coupled to ChIP with antibodies against histones and their PTMs; transcription factors and enzymatic complexes that are indirectly associated with DNA are less studied by these approaches (45), possibly because of concerns about the recovery of MNase I-cut DNA in nucleosome-free areas.

We used a MNase I-derived ChIP assay in relatively homogeneous cell populations to study binding of NF-Y and histones, their PTMs and modifying machines. We derived maps of single nucleosomes on two cell-cycle regulated genes: Cyclin B2 and PCNA. Not only was this procedure accurate, but it was also very sensitive and suitable both for transcription factors—TFs—and proteins modifying histones PTMs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell cultures, chemicals and pharmacological treatment**

HCT116 p53−/− cells were cultured in McCoy’s medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% penicillin and streptomycin and L-glutamine. Stock solutions of nocodazole (Sigma M1404), tranylcypromine (tranylcypromine-2-phenylethylpropylamine, Sigma P8511) were prepared in DMSO at a concentration of 10 mg/ml and 50 mg/ml, respectively. Cells at 60–70% confluence were treated for 16 h with 1.2 µM nocodazole and 2 µM tranylcypromine; after nocodazole treatment, cells were washed twice with PBS and released from the block with fresh medium for 8 h.

All transfections were carried out using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions. The sequence of the KDM1 siRNA was 5′-UUUCAUGAUACCAGCAGCUU(dTdT)-3′ and of coREST 5′-AAAAUGUGCUCGCUUCUGACU(dTdT)-3′. Scrambled DNA was used as a negative control (Ambion USA). Infections with Ad-YAm29, Ad-YAwt and Ad-GFP adenoviruses were carried out as described previously (46).

**RNA, protein and immunoprecipitation analysis**

For FACS analysis, cells were harvested, washed three times with cold PBS, fixed with EtOH 95%, kept on ice for 30 min, RNase treated (1 mg/ml) for 30 min; finally, a propidium iodide solution (P4864, 1 mg/ml) was added for 30 min on ice and in the dark; Becton Dickinson FACSScan was used for analysis. Total RNAs were extracted using an RNA-Easy kit (Qiagen, Germany). One microgram of each RNA was retrotranscribed using SuperScript II and Random primers (Invitrogen, USA). Quantitative real-time PCRs were performed, normalizing all cDNAs for the GAPDH control. The RT-PCR primers are reported in Supplementary Figure 3.

Nuclear extracts were prepared collecting cells in Buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors cocktail, 1 mM PMSF) for 30 min on ice; NP-40 was added to a final concentration of 0.625%; cells vortexed for 10 s and centrifuged at 13 000 r.p.m. for 1 min. Pelleted nuclei were resuspended in ice-cold buffer C (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 0.42 M NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors cocktail, 1 mM PMSF), rocked at 4°C for 30 min and centrifuged at 13 000 r.p.m. for 5 min. Supernatants were quick-frozen and stocked at −80°C. For western blotting, 30 µg of nuclear extracts were used in 12% SDS–PAGE gels, proteins transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Protran, Whatman), decorated with the antibodies of interest and detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare UK) and the SuperSignal enhanced chemiluminescence system (Pierce, USA).

For immunoprecipitations, 1 mg of nuclear extract were precleared with protein G-Sepharose (50 µl) with 10× volume of RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris–Cl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.25% Na-deoxycholate, 1 mM PMSF). Protein G-Sepharose was added to the cell lysate with the primary antibody (30 µg of anti-NF-YB or anti-thioredoxin) and incubated for 3 h at 4°C. After incubation, centrifuged for 1 min and the pellet washed in 1.5 ml of RIPA buffer. The immunoprecipitated material
was resuspended in 1% SDS, 15 mM β-mercaptoethanol and loaded on an SDS gel for western blot analysis.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

A measure of 10^7 exponentially growing HCT116 p53^-/- cells were washed with PBS and incubated for 10 mins with 1% formaldehyde; after quenching the reaction with Glycine 0.125 M, cells were harvested and pelleted. Pellets were resuspended in 2 ml lysis buffer (0.1 M PIPES pH 8, 1 M KCl, 10% NP-40), kept on ice for 30 min and dounced 10 times (Type B Pestle). Nuclei were pelletted with 1% formaldehyde; after quenching the reaction cells were washed with PBS and incubated for 10 mins, then at 50°C for 3 h with Proteinase K; finally, the DNA was phenol–chloroform extracted, ethanol precipitated and run on a 2% agarose gel.

ChIP assays were performed as previously described (39) with 3 μg of the following antibodies: NF-YB (Diagenode, Belgium); unmodified H2B (Abcam 1790); unmodified H3 (Abcam 1791); unmodified H4 (Abcam 7311); H3K4me1 (Abcam 8895); H3K4me2 (Abcam 7766); H3K4me3 (Abcam 8580); H3K79me2 (Abcam 3594); H3K36me3 (Abcam 9050); H3K27me3 (Upstate 07-449); H4K20me3 (Abcam 9053), Pol II (Covance); KDM1 (pAB-028-050, Diagenode); coREST (Abcam 24166); KDM5A (Abcam 45301); KDM5B (Abcam 50958); Menin (BL342 Bethyl, USA); KTM5B (Abcam 49251); Flag control antibody (Sigma). The ChIP-PCR primers used for these experiments are reported in Supplementary Figure 3.

**Real-time PCR**

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using SYBR green IQ reagent (Biorad, USA) in the iCycler IQ detection system. Primers were designed to amplify genomic regions of 120–140 bp in size. The relative sample enrichment was calculated with the following formula: 2^ΔCt sample - 2^ΔCt control, where ΔCt = Ct input - Ct sample and ΔCt control = Ct input - Ct control Ab.

**RESULTS**

**Mapping of nucleosomes and NF-Y binding on two cell-cycle regulated promoters**

To develop a system in which PTMs of single nucleosomes could be analyzed, we introduced two modifications in the ChIP procedure: (i) we used HCT116 cells synchronized in G2/M by nocodazole treatment. (FACS analysis in Figure 1A). Cells were then released and cell-cycle progression monitored at different time points (data not shown): after 8 h, we found that a maximum of cells were at the G1/S boundary (Figure 1A). RT-PCR analysis of cell-cycle genes confirmed the upregulation of Cyclin B1 and Cyclin B2 in G2/M-arrested cells, downregulation of the G1 gene Cyclin D1, and of the G1/S E2F1, PCNA and TK1 (Figure 1B). Conversely, these latter genes were re-activated after the release, and Cyclin B1 and Cyclin B2 repressed. Internal controls—GAPDH, NF-YA and NF-YB—showed little variation in the conditions considered; (ii) chromatin was prepared with MNase I treatment, rather than sonication. Figure 1C shows the difference in the two protocols, with sonicated material having an average length of 1.3 kb and careful titration of MNase I–reducing chromatin to single nucleosomes. Binding of NF-Y to two representative CCAAT promoters—PCNA and Cyclin B2—was monitored in cycling, G1/S and G2/M cells by ChIP analysis with two sets of core promoter primers. Figure 1D shows that NF-Y is bound to both promoters in cycling cells, but only to PCNA in G1/S cells, and to Cyclin B2 in G2/M. This is in agreement with previous work on mouse NIH3T3 cells (47). In addition, we compared the efficiency of NF-Y recovery in G1/S and G2/M in ChIPs performed with sonicated and MNase I–treated chromatin. The 10-fold enrichment with anti-NF-YB over a control FLAG antibody routinely scored with sonicated material was dramatically enhanced to 80/90-fold in MNase I ChIPs. Overall, these data establish a sensitive, MNase I–based ChIP procedure based on relatively homogeneous populations of synchronized cells.

The MNase I chromatin was used in ChIP experiments with anti-NF-YB, H2B and H3 antibodies, to establish the position of single nucleosomes within the Cyclin B2 and PCNA promoters. To assay this, we performed analysis with a large set of amplicons of 140–160 bp, with primers placed at a distance of 20 bp across the −500/+500 region (Supplementary Figure 1). Representative results of this analysis are shown in Figure 2A: with primers located within a single nucleosome, or in the core promoters, amplicons are positive in semiquantitative PCRs with inputs DNA, as well as with the indicated antibodies, depending on the locations. On the other hand, when we used primers positioned across distinct nucleosomes, hence encompassing two nucleosomes, discrete fragments, either from inputs, or from ChIPped material, were more difficult to amplify, even at high PCR cycles. The same results were obtained with quantitative real-time PCR analysis (see below). Note that with MNase I–treated chromatin derived from cycling cells, positioning of nucleosomes could not be determined by this method, most likely because of the presence of cell populations in different phases of the cell cycle (data not shown).

In Figure 2A, analysis of ChIPs indicate that NF-Y is bound to core promoters, PCNA in G1/S cells (upper panel) and Cyclin B2 in G2/M cells (lower panels); it is largely absent in amplicons detecting neighboring nucleosomes. H2B was specifically evicted from core promoter areas, but easily recoverable in upstream and downstream nucleosomes. Residual binding of NF-Y and H2B was most likely due to the incomplete homogeneity of the
cellular populations. The H3 data were constant across the different areas, including in the core promoter. Q-PCR analysis in different cell-cycle conditions are shown in Figure 2B. We conclude that the use of MNase I chromatin allows us to map with a reasonable degree of precision nucleosomes positioning on the PCNA and Cyclin B2 promoters in vivo, that H2B, but not H3, is evicted from core promoters, and replaced by NF-Y under active conditions.

Analysis of histone modifications during the cell cycle

The system outlined above was used to evaluate the levels of histone methylations, as assessed with commercially available antibodies. Note that these reagents were used according to the specificities described by the vendors, and not tested by us in other assays. The results are shown in Figure 3. H3K36me3 and H3K79me2, which are associated with high levels of gene expression, were high...
across active promoters, and very low in inactive promoters. H3K4me3 also correlated with expression, as expected, but was distinctly higher than background on inactive promoters. The pattern of H3K4me1 was similar, except that positivity on inactive conditions was residual: indeed this mark best correlated with expression. Interestingly, H3K4me2 was much less polarized toward expression, and, in fact, more abundant on inactive promoters. As for methylations associated to repressed conditions, H3K27me3 was marginally enriched and quite flat in the different conditions, while H4K20me3 was increased under inactive conditions and dropped during activation, both on PCNA and Cyclin B2, specifically downstream of the TSS. All these marks were normalized for the levels of histones H3 and H4 analyzed in parallel. The positivity of histone H3 methylations on core promoters further indicate that H3 is indeed associated to active promoters, and pinpoint a precise switch in H3K4 methylations during the cell cycle.

Recruitment of histone-modifying enzymes on cell-cycle promoters

Next, we assayed proteins known to impact on the PTMs analyzed above, such as Menin, a component of the KMT2 (MLL) complex, which is responsible for deposition of the H3K4me marks (48): it was indeed present under active conditions, in keeping with the H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 levels (Figure 4A). Both on Cyclin B2 and PCNA, the levels were maximal on the –2 nucleosome and minimal on the core promoter. We examined the binding of histone demethylases, KDMs. KDM1 (LSD1) works with CoREST, a nucleosome-binding factor, to remove methyl-groups from H3K4me2/me1, but not

\[ \text{Nucleosome} \]  
\[ \text{Promoter} \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +1 \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +2 \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +3 \]  

\[ \text{Nucleosome} \]  
\[ \text{Promoter} \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +1 \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +2 \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +3 \]  

\[ \text{Nucleosome} \]  
\[ \text{Promoter} \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +1 \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +2 \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +3 \]  

\[ \text{Nucleosome} \]  
\[ \text{Promoter} \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +1 \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +2 \]  
\[ \text{Nucleosome} +3 \]  

Figure 2. Single nucleosome mapping on Cyclin B2 and PCNA promoters. (A) Semiquantitative PCR analysis of ChIPs with G2/M and G1/S cells; representative amplicons indicate nucleosomes positioning, according to the strategy detailed in Supplementary Figure 1. The antibodies used are indicated. (B) Distribution of core histone proteins and NF-Y on the Cyclin B2 and PCNA promoters, from –500 bp to +500 bp. The black bars correspond to chromatin from G1/S cells, the white ones from G2/M cells. ChIPs were performed with the indicated antibodies and analysis was done by Q-PCR with primers at the boundaries of each nucleosome, as in (A) and described in Supplementary Figure 1.
H3K4me3 (16,49,50); KDM5A (RBP2) and KDM5B (PLU-1) contain a JmjC domain, able to demethylate H3K4me3/2 to the unmodified state (22). Figure 4A shows the distribution of these enzymes: when the two genes are transcribed, KDM1 and KDM5A are bound in a relatively broad way, decreasing in repressive conditions. Similarly, CoREST is recruited only on active promoters. KDM5B behaved differently, as the distribution was somewhat less modified by cell-cycle conditions. To verify whether this pattern was specific for these promoters, we analyzed other cell-cycle promoters active in G1 and S—Cyclin D1, E2F1 and Cyclin A—and the results were very similar, namely association of KDM1 and KDM5A under active conditions and of KDM5B independently from the phase of the cell cycle (Figure 4B). KDM5B is the most prominent KDM under inactive conditions (Figure 4B). To rule out unspecific effects of our cell-cycle manipulations, we checked the binding of KDM1 and CoREST to their bona fide neuronal target SCG10 (16): consistent with a repressive role.

**Figure 3.** Histone methylations on Cyclin B2 and PCNA promoters. Analysis of the distribution of H3/H4 methylations along Cyclin B2 and PCNA genes. Black bars represent the data from G1/S cells, the white ones from G2/M cells. ChIPs were performed with the indicated antibodies and analyzed by Q-PCR with the appropriate amplicons. Values are reported as fold enrichment calculated with the following formula: $2^{\Delta Ct_s-\Delta Ct_b}$, where $\Delta Ct_s = Ct$ input $- Ct$ sample and $\Delta Ct_b = Ct$ input $- Ct$ control Ab (Flag). All values are normalized for the amount of H3 and H4 immunoprecipitated. Error bars represent SD, calculated from three independent experiments.
of the complex in non-neuronal cells, both proteins were substantially enriched in G1/S and G2/M, and inspection of the H3K4 methylations confirmed undetectable levels of H3K4me2 and high levels of H3K4me1 (Figure 4C). Because of the presence of H4K20me3 under inactive conditions (Figure 3), we also examined the major enzyme that leads to this modification, KMT5B (SUV4-20h1, ref. 51): it was indeed present both on Cyclin B2 and
PCNA under inactive conditions and maximal binding was scored on the ~2 nucleosome (Figure 4A). Finally, we controlled polymerase II association, which indeed peaked around the core Cyclin B2 promoter in G2/M and, in a more widespread way, in G1/S on PCNA, correlating with expression. In conclusion, components of histone-modifying complexes are recruited with a timing consistent with the histone PTMs shown in Figure 3, and, surprisingly, KDMs acting on H3K4 might be involved in the process of activation.

**Inhibition of KDM1 leads to promoter inactivation**

The results shown above suggest a positive role of KDM1 and CoREST in promoter activation; this could be monitored by inhibiting the enzymatic activity of KDM1 with tranylcypromine (tranylcypropamine-2-phenylcyclopropylamline) (52,53). The drug had a modest effect on S-phase progression over a wide range of concentrations (Figure 5A, data not shown), and when added to nocodazole, an efficient G2/M arrest was maintained (Figure 5A). We assayed the levels of gene expression in Q-PCR and the increase of G2/M promoters—Cyclin B1, Cyclin B2 and CDC25C—after G2/M arrest was blocked by tranylcypromine addition; the drug had modest effects when incubated in cycling cells. At the opposite, the repression of PCNA in G2/M was reversed; the same was true for other G1/S genes, such as Cyclin D1 and Rad17 (data not shown). The data were normalized with GAPDH and the non-cell-cycle regulated gene APOBEC3B was unaffected in all conditions. ChiP assays indicated that tranylcypromine increased the levels of H3K4me2 and dramatically decreased those of H3K4me1 on Cyclin B2 (Figure 5C); the levels of H3K4me3 were generally high after tranylcypromine treatment. Recruitment of KDM1 was dramatically decreased, while CoREST was mostly unaffected (Figure 5C). In keeping with promoter repression, the H4K20me3 was present, specifically in the core and downstream nucleosomes. On the active PCNA promoter, instead, this repressive mark was generally eliminated, the levels of H3 methylation, including H3K4me1, high (Figure 5C). We checked by western blot, the overall levels of H3 methylations under these conditions, and we observed the expected increase in H3K4me2 and decrease in H3K4me1 in cycling and G2/M-arrested cells treated with tranylcypromine (Figure 5D). The levels of H3K4me3 were less affected. No change was observed in the overall levels of histone H3 and of KDM1. Therefore, the effect of tranylcypromine on H3K4me2/1 levels is confirmed and the absence of KDM1 from the promoters was not due to a global decrease in the protein levels. We conclude that a tranylcypromine-inhibited KDM1 fails to bind to promoters, and that the block of H3K4me1-2 demethylation leads to inactivation of Cyclin B2 in G2/M; a KDM1-independent increase of H3K4me1 on G1/S promoters is associated with removal of negative marks and release from repression.

We performed functional inactivation studies, inhibiting both KDM1 and CoREST in HCT116 by RNAi: compared to transfections with scrambled siRNA, we obtained a robust decrease of KDM1 and CoREST with the respective siRNAs, as judged by western blots of nuclear extracts (Figure 5E). Furthermore, inactivation of CoREST had a negative effect on KDM1 levels, as detailed before (48,49). The overall levels of H3K4me1 dropped and those of H3K4me2 increased: in both cases, the effect was more pronounced upon RNAi of KDM1 (Figure 5E). We then assayed the expression levels of cell-cycle genes by Q-PCR at two time points—40 and 64 h post-transfections—under two conditions: cycling and G2/M-arrested cells. Figure 5F shows that RNAi of KDM1 and, to a lesser degree, of CoREST decrease Cyclin B1, Cyclin B2 and CDC25C mRNA levels both in cycling conditions, and following the expected G2/M increase. The effect was more complex on PCNA, since the mRNA decreased after KDM1 elimination under cycling conditions, but increased after nocodazole treatment. All the data were normalized for GAPDH expression and indeed the levels of the non-cell-cycle regulated gene APOBEC3B did not vary. These results are largely in agreement with those of the pharmacological inactivation of KDM1, suggesting an active role for KDM1-CoREST on G2/M promoters and a more complex behavior on G1/S genes.

**Role of NF-Y in the establishment of the histone methylation landscape**

Because of the importance of NF-Y in the regulation of the Cyclin B2 and PCNA promoters (54,55), a relevant issue was to verify its role in the positioning of histone methylations and the recruitment of the enzymatic entities responsible for their deposition. To assay this, we used an adenovirus containing a dominant negative mutation in the DBD subdomain of NF-YA (YA-DN): the protein associates with the histone fold dimer, rendering the trimer incapable of CCAAT association (46). As a control, we infected cells with wt Ad-NF-YA and Ad-GFP viruses. The efficiency of YA-DN in affecting NF-Y-dependent transcription was controlled in RT-PCR with cell-cycle genes (Supplementary Figure 2A); as the results with wt NF-YA and GFP controls were similar, we pursued further analysis only with the latter. We designed a protocol whereby HCT116 cells were first infected with YA-DN or GFP viruses for 8 h, released from the viruses and grown for 16 h in nocodazole to obtain a block in G2/M (Supplementary Figure 2B). We then analyzed histone methylations with the antibodies used before. As expected, YA-DN leads to a substantial reduction in NF-Y binding to Cyclin B2, as measured with an anti-YB antibody (Figure 6A). Recovery of H2B was increased, specifically in the core promoter; H3 and H4 did not vary significantly. The expected decrease in H3K4me3 and H3K79me2 (39) was coupled to that of H3K36me3, downstream of the TSS (Figure 6B). In addition, there was an increase in H4K20me3, but not of H3K27me3. H3K4me2 remained substantially unchanged, but a significant drop in H3K4me1 was evident. We controlled the overall levels of these PTMs in western blot analysis of nuclear extracts derived form cells infected with the two viruses: Supplementary Figure 2C shows...
Figure 5. KDM1 inhibition by tranylcypromine and siRNA. (A) FACS analysis of HCT116 cells untreated and treated with tranylcypromine with and without nocodazole. (B) Q-PCR analysis of mRNA expression, in cells treated with tranylcypromine and/or nocodazole. The genes analyzed were Cyclin B1, Cyclin B2, CDC25C (G2/M) and PCNA (G1/S). All data were normalized for GAPDH expression and the non-cell-cycle regulated APOBEC3B was used as a further control. (C) ChIP analysis of H3/H4 methylations and of KDM1 and coREST binding along the Cyclin B2 and PCNA promoters. ChIPs were performed with the indicated antibodies on chromatin of cells arrested in G2/M, with and without tranylcypromine; black bars correspond to G2/M cells, white ones to G2/M cells treated with tranylcypromine. The values are normalized for the amount of H3/H4 immunoprecipitated in parallel. (D) Western blot of HCT116 nuclear extracts of untreated, tranylcypromine and G2/M-tranylcypromine-treated cells with the indicated antibodies. (E) Western blot analysis of HCT116 cells after KDM1 and coREST siRNA interference. Protein levels were compared with equal amounts of nuclear extracts derived from cells transfected with a control scramble siRNA. Nuclear extracts were prepared 40 h after transfections of siRNAs. (F) Gene expression analysis of cell-cycle genes after KDM1 and coREST RNA interference and nocodazole treatment, as in (E). Two different time points were checked in Q-PCR: 40 and 64 h after transfection. All data were GAPDH normalized. APOBEC3B gene was used as a further control.
that there are modest changes, with a small increase in H3K4me1, ruling out that the changes observed in the ChIP assays are due to gross, global modifications of the methylation levels. We conclude that NF-Y removal leads to the local elimination, or reduction, of positive marks. The lower levels of H3K36me3 and H3K79me2 suggest a block in elongation, while the changes in H3K4me3 and H3K4me1 indicate that NF-Y is important for regulation of H3K4 methylations.

We evaluated the association of histone-modifying complexes in the same experimental setting and the results are shown in Figure 7A: consistent with promoter repression, and with the lower levels of H3K4me3, KDM5A and KDM5B were efficiently recruited, and Menin removed, by YA-DN. The substantial recruitment in KMT5B on upstream nucleosomes in the NF-Y-depleted promoter is in agreement with the increase of H4K20me3, albeit this was more prominent in downstream nucleosomes (Figure 7A). Interestingly, the presence of Pol II on the inactive Cyclin B2 promoter was only marginally affected. KDM1 association remained substantially elevated, somewhat decreasing only in the core promoter, while CoREST association was lost throughout the promoter. The binding of CoREST was

Figure 6. NF-Y dependence of histone methylations. (A) ChIP analysis of NF-Y and core histone along Cyclin B2 promoter, after YA-DN infection and nocodazole treatment. A scheme of the experimental procedure is outlined. Black bars correspond to cells infected with the Ad-GFP virus, white bars with Ad-YA-DN. Functional analysis of CCAAT cell-cycle promoters are in Supplementary Figure 2. (B) ChIP analysis of histone methylations, as in (A). The values are normalized for the amount of H3/H4 immunoprecipitated in parallel.
further checked on two control promoters, SCG10 and APOBEC3B; on the former, the YA-DN removed the protein from the promoter, on the latter, a CCAAT-box promoter, it did not (Figure 7B). Therefore, removal of CoREST is not a general feature of abolishing binding of NF-Y to CCAAT boxes. In both cases, and contrary to what is observed on Cyclin B2, the levels of H3K4me1 were high after infection with the YA-DN.

The NF-Y-dependent association of CoREST to Cyclin B2 suggested to ascertain whether there are interactions between the two proteins in vivo; nuclear extracts were immunoprecipitated with anti-YB and a control antibody (anti-thioredoxin) and checked in western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies.
endogenous proteins. Control thioredoxin was bound only to the anti-thioredoxin antibody.

In summary, the recruitment of KDM5s and the removal of KMT2 are consistent with the lower levels of H3K4me3 observed in the absence of NF-Y; the lack of CoREST is consistent with direct recruitment. Finally, the modest reduction in Pol II, compared to the dramatic decrease in H3K79me2 and H3K36me3, indicates a role of NF-Y in Pol II elongation.

DISCUSSION

We developed single nucleosome ChIP assays and adapted the system to study cell-cycle regulated promoters. By doing so, we detailed the presence of a novel type of nucleosome-free region under active conditions. Three major findings are reported: (i) the presence of H3-H4 on core promoters under active conditions, with the expected ‘positive’ post-translational modifications, is coupled to the substitution of H2B-H2A with the histone-fold containing NF-Y; (ii) methylations of H3 at lysine 4 are highly regulated by dynamic recruitment of KMT2 and KDM complexes; specifically, H3K4me1 is important for promoter activity and KDM1 plays a positive role, specifically impacting on G2/M genes; and (iii) we find novel roles for NF-Y, in recruiting the nucleosome binding cofactor CoREST, H3K36me3 deposition and Pol II elongation.

H3-H4 on core promoters

Chromatin assembly and disassembly on regulatory regions is likely to have an important role in transcriptional regulation, since actively transcribing genes are depleted of nucleosomes in their promoters. This concept has been confirmed by genome-wide analysis of nucleosome positioning in yeast, indicating that regions around the TSS are generally nucleosome-free and ‘open’ for TFs binding and Pol II association (40,41). In Drosophila and humans, the same idea applies, although nucleosome depletions are less pronounced (42–44); mammalians might have less stable and/or precise NFRs, and heterogenous populations of cells might have different NFR configurations. The complexity of the human system is illustrated by our MNase I ChIP analysis: in a growing population of cells, mapping of nucleosome positioning on cell-cycle genes with a reasonable degree of precision, and detecting phase-specific NF-Y association, was essentially impossible.

Our analysis agrees that nucleosomes are removed in active conditions on PCNA and Cyclin B2: H2B is absent in core areas, the levels of H3 are generally high and the tails modified. We cannot establish whether H3-H4 tetramers or dimers are present, but Q-PCRs seem to suggest the former hypothesis. The role of NF-Y in the interplay between H3-H4 and H2A-H2B is illustrated by two results: when NF-Y is absent from promoters, H2B levels are re-established, positioning a nucleosome on the TSS area; NF-Y removal by YA-DN leads to an increase in the levels of H2B, which is specific for the core region. Based on biochemical evidence of interactions with H3-H4, previous in vitro nucleosome reconstitution experiments (56) and structural considerations on conserved residues (29) we indeed predicted that ‘specialized’ nucleosomes that include H3-H4 and NF-Y are present in vivo. H3-H4 tetramers actually helped recruitment of NF-Y during nucleosome reconstitution in vitro, under biochemical conditions in which binding of the trimer to DNA was impossible (56). Interestingly, genomic studies on nucleosome positioning in yeast found the yeast equivalent HAP2/3/5 on top of the list of TFs whose sites are highly biased with respect to nucleosome positioning, predicting that CCAAT would be left open for binding by precise nucleosome locations on core promoters (57).

Our data might also have implications on the interpretation of recent genome-wide studies of nucleosomes in the human system: by using anti-H3 antibodies, the effective presence of nucleosomes might have been overrated, as we imagine that many promoters might be in the conditions described here: the dip in core promoter areas observed in these studies is predicted to be deeper with H2A-H2B antibodies. This might be particularly true for promoters containing multiple CCAAT boxes, such as the vast majority of those active specifically in G2/M, because their precise alignments are a conserved and widespread feature (54, Dolfini,D. and Mantovani,R., submitted).

A specific role for H3-H4 in activation of yeast promoters has been postulated based on the well-characterized PHO5 system (58, reviewed in ref. 59). In particular, nucleosome disassembly is essential for induction, and tetramers are observed under pre-induction conditions; a key chaperone factor—Asf1—binds to H3–H4 dimers to disassemble them from the center of the nucleosome: in order to do that, the DNA needs to be partially unwound and H2A-H2B must be removed. H2A-H2B assembly is also a regulated process. We propose a scenario whereby NF-Y plays the role of a ‘deviant’, sequence-specific H2A-H2B-like that interferes with nucleosome reconstitution and promoter inactivation, making chromatin locally accessible for histone-modifying machines. It is conceivable that other H2A-H2B-like complexes with some sequence preference, such as the TAFs of the TFIIID complex (25,26) and NC2/Dr1-Drap1 (24), could have the similar role.

Regulation of H3K4 methylations

One of the most intriguing questions concerning the histone PTMs is how they are locally regulated. Genome-wide analysis has clarified that certain histone modifications are associated with ‘active’ or ‘inactive’ chromatin and that islands exist with mixed positive and negative marks (13,14). A relevant question is what brings complexes to specific locations in a given cellular context. It seems reasonable to postulate that TFs have a part in deciding this issue, and there are examples of TFs that recruit machines that impact on the histone PTMs (60). Recently, for example, we found that certain H3 methylations require prior NF-Y binding. The data presented here extend the importance of NF-Y to H3K36me3, a mark produced by KMT3 and associated...
with transcriptional elongation (61–64); interestingly, elimination of NF-Y leads to a decrease, not abolition of Pol II recruitment; gene inactivation, therefore, is most likely caused by lack of this step. It should be noted that in the context of genes inducible by ER stress, NF-Y is apparently involved in Pol II recruitment and has a more ‘resident’ role (65,66).

Our data also represent a somewhat unexpected twist for H3K4 methylations. H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 correlate with expression, and H3K4me2 is high—and in fact generally higher—under cell-cycle conditions in which the specific promoter is inactive (Figure 8). This dynamic behavior is accomplished by increasing H3K4me3 through recruitment of KMT2, and demethylation through the activity of KDM1 and, possibly, KDM5A. Interestingly, tranylcypromine decreases the global levels of H3K4me1 and increases those of H3K4me2, as expected, but it does not decrease the levels of H3K4me3, suggesting that KDMs that act on this modification cannot compensate for the block on KDM1. ChIP–chip analysis of ENCODE arrays indicates that H3K4me1 is a signature of human enhancers, while H3K4me3 are found mostly on promoters (11). It should be noted that enhancers are known to be entry sites for Pol II, and higher levels of Pol II, TAF1 and p300 were indeed observed in these regions; there is also growing evidence that enhancers generate RNA Pol II transcripts (67,68). In a genome-wide ChIP-Seq screening, Barski et al. (13) found H3K4me1 on active promoters, with a distribution less focused on the TSS, with respect to H3K4me3. Thus, the presence of H3K4me1 might not be so restricted to enhancers and the distinction with promoters might be subtle. Finally, positional clues in our analysis are generally in agreement with previous studies: in particular, high levels of H3K79me2 and H3K36me3 on nucleosomes downstream of TSS in active conditions, and H4K20me3 on inactive ones.

It was important to analyze the association and activities of enzymes that methylate and demethylate H3K4. As for the former, ChIP analysis of Menin, part of the KMT2 complexes, found it predominantly in active conditions, in accordance with the idea that these complexes are involved in mono- and trimethylation. The latter modification would require additional proteins, such as ASH2 (47), not analyzed here. We confirmed the requirement of NF-Y for KMT2 recruitment (39) and it is therefore not surprising that H3K4me1 is also affected. Association of KMT2 was maximal away from the core region, suggesting that the complex either travels, or modifies nucleosomes neighboring its location. Two H3K4me3 demethylases were analyzed, KDM5A and KDM5B; the former was widespread on PCNA and Cyclin B2 in active conditions. The enzyme is able to demethylate H3K4me2 as well, possibly contributing to demethylation of H3K4. In summary, KDM1 and KDM5A indirectly impact on H3K4me1 by providing a substrate for KMT2 activity and balancing its drive toward H3K4me3. KDM5B, instead, is more constant throughout the cell cycle and the most prominent KDM under inactive conditions. This implies that the enzymatic activity is inefficient during the active phase. Interestingly, overexpression of KDM5B leads to a strong G2/M arrest, likely due to inactivation of genes involved in progression through mitosis (67). Most G2/M genes contain one, and often multiple CCAAT boxes (35) and indeed NF-Y inactivation leads to depletion of G2/M populations, due to gene inactivation (36,37). In keeping with this, NF-Y removal led to KDM5B—and KDM5A—recruitment and H3K4me3 demethylation. It seems reasonable to postulate that KDM5B targets G2/M promoters specifically organized by NF-Y. An additional interesting point pertains to the mechanisms of recruitment of KDM5s, which might be direct. In fact, they contain an ARID sequence-specific DNA-binding domain which recognizes GC-rich sequences: although the precise sequences derived by in vitro analysis (69,70) are absent from the PCNA and Cyclin B2 promoters, it is possible that the two proteins recognize variations of the theme, perhaps with the help of interacting factors.
A relevant finding of our study is the behavior of KDM1, an enzyme that efficiently targets H3K4me2/1, and is involved in repression of developmentally regulated genes (16,71,72). In many cases, the duo takes part in repression programs and CoREST is biochemically associated with HDACs (73,74). We confirm that both proteins are associated to a repressed neuronal promoter, but it is clear that they have a different role on cell-cycle promoters, particularly of G2/M: specific inhibition by tryanlylproline and RNAi inactivation leads to lack of KDM1 recruitment, a global as well as a local decrease in H3K4me1, an increase in H3K4me2 and lack of transcriptional induction.

Precedents for an activating role of KDM1 have been reported. The demethylase is a positive as well as a negative regulator of pituitary-specific gene expression during development, specifically of the Gh gene (71); several reports linked it to inducible transcription mediated by nuclear receptors, AR and ER (75–77). Importantly, in a genome-wide location screening, the majority of KDM1+ promoters were bound by Pol II and expressed (78). Finally, KDM1 binds to the the cell-cycle regulated viral gene Cp, possibly through E2F–Rb interactions, and functional inactivation leads to repression (79). It was postulated that the positive activity of KDM1 is exerted through demethylation of H3K9me2. The direct protein–protein interaction between NF-Y and CoREST, but not KDM1, suggests that NF-Y is responsible for CoREST recruitment. Without NF-Y, an increase in KDM1 association to Cyclin B2 is matched by a CoREST—and H3K4me1–decrease, confirming that the two partners need to be present for full enzymatic activity (16,46).

As one has a histone-like structure and the other—CoREST—interacts with DNA in a nucleosomal context (80), it will be interesting to pinpoint the domains responsible for NF-Y–CoREST interactions. The model we propose is illustrated in Supplementary Figure 4, whereby the NF-Y–CoREST–KDM1 ‘trio’ is essential for full induction of G2/M genes by maintaining high levels of the key H3K4me1, balancing the drive toward H3K4me3, a modification that does not guarantee activation.

Finally, the activating ‘trio’ work in a context-dependent way and have opposite functional outcomes in developmentally regulated units. KDM1 was shown to associate with DNA-binding, repressive TFs, such as TFII-I, ZEB1/2 and ZNF217 (81–83). In this respect, NF-Y is also found on several repressed genes, mainly of the GO Development and Differentiation category, and its removal leads to gene activation (38); therefore, the interactions noticed here should also be investigated by genetic means on this large class of genes.
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