Trends Analysis of rhBMP2 Utilization in Single-Level Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion in the United States
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Abstract

Study Design: Retrospective case study.

Objective: To evaluate the trends and demographics of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein 2 (rhBMP2) utilization in single-level anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) in the United States.

Methods: Patients who underwent single-level ALIF from 2005 to 2011 were identified by searching ICD-9 diagnosis and procedure codes in the PearlDiver Patient Records Database (PearlDiver Technologies, Fort Wayne, IN), a national database of orthopedic insurance records. The year of procedure, age, gender, and region of the United States were analyzed for each patient.

Results: A total of 921 patients were identified who underwent a single-level ALIF in this study. The average rate of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization increased (35%-48%) from 2005 to 2009, but sharply decreased to 16.7% in 2010 and 15.0% in 2011. The overall incidence of single-level ALIF without rhBMP2 (0.20 cases per 100,000 patients) was more than twice of the incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 (0.09 cases per 100,000 patients). The average rate of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization is highest in West (41.4%), followed by Midwest (33.3%), South (26.5%) and Northeast (22.2%). The highest incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 was observed in the group aged less than 65 years (compared with any other age groups, \( P < .001 \)), with an incidence of 0.21 per 100,000 patients.

Conclusions: The incidence of rhBMP2 utilization in single-level ALIF increased from 2006 to 2009, but decreased in 2010 and 2011. The Northeast region had the lowest incidence of rhBMP2 utilization. The group aged less than 65 years trended to have the higher incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization.
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Introduction

The procedure of anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) is commonly performed on patients suffering from pain and neurological symptoms associated with disorders of the lumbar spine caused by disc degeneration or trauma. An important objective of the ALIF procedure is solid arthrodesis of the spinal segment.1 Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein (rhBMP) belongs to a family of differentiation factors that promote bone creation and remodeling.2 Clinical use of rhBMP was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2002 for surgery of the anterior lumbar spine to
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The primary objective of this study is to further define the epidemiology, patient demographics of rhBMP2 utilization in single-level ALIF from a national private insurance database from 2005-2011.

Materials and Methods

Patients undergoing single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization were searched by use of the PearlDiver Patient Records Database (PearlDiver Technologies, Fort Wayne, IN). This database is a national insurance database with the largest contribution being from UnitedHealth Group (Decatur, IL). For the years 2005 to 2011, more than 49 million patient records exist in the database with an International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) code or Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code. Access to the database was granted by PearlDiver Technologies for the purpose of academic orthopedic research. The database was stored on a password-protected computer in our laboratory. By use of the database, CPT and ICD-9 codes can be searched in isolation or in combination with one another. The search results yield the number of patients with the searched code or combination of codes in each year, 5-year age group, and region of the United States.

We conducted a search of the database to identify all patients undergoing single-level ALIF surgery with/rhBMP2 utilization between 2005 and 2011. Patients were identified by entry of ICD-9 code 84.51 (“Insertion of interbody spinal fusion device”) and CPT code 22558 (“Anterior approach for lumbar fusion [anterior retroperitoneal exposure]”), and were further identified by combination or exclusion of ICD-9 code 84.52 (“Insertion of recombinant bone morphogenetic protein [rhBMP]”), as listed in Table 1. Patients with ICD-9 code 81.30-81.39 for “correction of pseudarthrosis of spine, refusion of spine,” CPT code 22585 for “each additional interspace” were excluded. The codes were searched so as to exclude the same code being counted more than once for the same patient. Patients were stratified by gender (female, male), geographic region (Midwest, Northeast, South, West) (Table 2) and age group (<65, 65-69, 70-74, 75-79, 80-84, >84 years). The database stratifies patients by age in 5-year increments.

When reporting results, we use the term “incidence,” calculated as the number of single-level ALIF cases with/without rhBMP2 identified per every 100,000 patients searched in a particular year, gender, age group, or region. This was done to account for differences in the number of patients in the database for a given variable. The “average rate” of ALIF with and without rhBMP2 utilization in this study was defined as the rate: incidence of ALIF with rhBMP2/ incidence of ALIF without rhBMP2. We then used $\chi^2$ analysis to determine statistical significance with regard to gender, age, and region. Linear regression was performed to test the significance of trends over time. The level of significance was $P < .05$.

Results

Nine hundred twenty-one patients undergoing single-level ALIF were identified by ICD code 84.51 and CPT code 22558. There were 554 women and 367 men. Further in combination or exclusion of ICD-9 code 84.52, there were 648 patients undergoing single-level ALIF without rhBMP2, and 274 patients undergoing single-level ALIF with rhBMP2. The overall incidence of single-level ALIF without rhBMP2 (0.20 cases per 100,000 patients) was more than twice of the incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 (0.09 cases per 100,000 patients) (Figure 1). Interestingly, the rate of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization increased (35%-48%) from 2005 to 2009, but sharply decreased to 16.7% in 2010 and 15.0% in 2011 (Figure 2). Accordingly, the incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization declined in 2010, and the incidence of single-level ALIF without rhBMP2 utilization increased steadily (Figure 2).

The incidence of patients undergoing single-level ALIF with/without rhBMP2 declined dramatically in 2010 and 2011.

### Table 1. ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes and CPT Procedure Codes Searched.

| Code       | Diagnosis/Procedure                                           |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| ICD-9-84.51| Insertion of interbody spinal fusion device                   |
| ICD-9-84.52| Insertion of recombinant bone morphogenetic protein (rhBMP)   |
| CPT-22558  | Anterior approach for lumbar fusion (anterior retroperitoneal exposure) |

Abbreviations: ICD-9, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision; CPT, Current Procedural Terminology.
In 2010, the incidence of patients undergoing single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 decreased 64.3% in male and decreased 46.7% in female (Figure 3). The male-to-female ratio of patients undergoing single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization was 0.70, with 41.2% of patients undergoing single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization being male patients and 58.8% female patients (Figure 4). This ratio did not differ significantly from the ratio of patients searched in the database (0.80) ($\chi^2 = 1.303, P = .254$).

The average rate of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization is highest in West (41.4%), followed by Midwest (33.3%), South (26.5%), and Northeast (22.2%). The incidence of patients undergoing single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 declined dramatically in 2010 in all regions, especially in South (down by 70.6%) and West (down by 68.4%). In 2011, the incidence of patients undergoing single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 increased in South and West, but still decreased in Midwest and Northeast (Figure 5). Overall, the incidence of patients undergoing single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 is lowest in Northeast (0.04 cases per 100 000 patients), compared with West (0.12 cases per 100 000 patients, $P = .001$), Midwest (0.11 cases per 100 000 patients, $P = .006$), South (0.09 cases...
occurred with rhBMP2 utilization, and costs. Related to the concerns about potential adverse events that may occur with rhBMP2 usage, it seemed more conservative in the Northeast region. Interestingly, we found that the incidence of rhBMP2 utilization declined dramatically in 2010 in all regions, especially in South (down by 70.6%) and West (down by 68.4%). However in 2011, the incidence of rhBMP2 utilization increased in South and West, but still decreased in Midwest and Northeast. Therefore, South and West regions were more active in rhBMP2 utilization. In terms of various spinal fusions, Singh et al. reported that rhBMP2 utilization rate was highest in South, which was 3 times that of Northeast, which got the lowest rate.

The greatest incidence of rhBMP2 utilization in single-level ALIF was observed in patients aged less than 65 years in this study. The trend was obvious that the lower rhBMP2 utilization in the older group. The group aged greater than 84 years had the lowest incidence of rhBMP2 utilization in single-level ALIF. From 2005 to 2011, the overall incidence of single-level ALIF increased significantly (P < .001) in patients older than 65 years. These results may reflect the aging population of the United States as people older than 65 years are expected to represent nearly 20% of the population by 2030 when compared with 12.4% in 2000. Cahill et al. found that female and white patients were more likely to receive rhBMP2 in spinal fusion procedures and greater hospital charges occurred for all categories of fusions. An explanation may be that these patients may have been more likely to be osteoporotic, a known risk factor for pseudarthrosis. Carreon et al. reported that in patients over 60 years old, the use of rhBMP2 was more cost-effective than ICBG for posterolateral fusion. Because there are more complications, increased need for additional treatment and revision surgery in patients older than 60 years receiving ICBG. More rigorous cost analysis studies coupled with patient outcomes may eventually allow for more specific guidance for rhBMP2 use, which may improve both treatment efficacy and cost efficiency for the patient.

There are several limitations in our study, including its retrospective nature and potential errors in ICD-9 and CPT code assignments. Additionally, we only focused on the trend of single-level ALIF within the Medicare population. Finally, the regional distribution of patients was uneven, with the South region having the largest number of patients. However, despite these limitations, we believe that the results of our study provide important information on rates of ALIF with rhBMP2 in the United States.

**Conclusions**

We found the mean rate of rhBMP2 utilization in single-level ALIF to be highest in the Western region (41.4%), followed by Midwest (33.3%), South (26.5%), and Northeast (22.2%). Overall incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 is significantly lowest in the Northeast. In terms of rhBMP2 utilization, it seemed more conservative in the Northeast region. Interestingly, we found that the incidence of rhBMP2 utilization declined dramatically in 2010 in all regions, especially in South (down by 70.6%) and West (down by 68.4%). However in 2011, the incidence of rhBMP2 utilization increased in South and West, but still decreased in Midwest and Northeast. Therefore, South and West regions were more active in rhBMP2 utilization. In terms of various spinal fusions, Singh et al. reported that rhBMP2 utilization rate was highest in South, which was 3 times that of Northeast, which got the lowest rate.

Discussion

The overall rate of rhBMP2 utilization in single-level ALIF increased between 2005 and 2009 (35%-48%), but sharply dropped to 16.7% in 2010 and 15.0% in 2011. While the reasons for this drop in rhBMP-2 usage are unclear, it may be related to the concerns about potential adverse events that may occur with rhBMP2 utilization, and costs.
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**Figure 7.** Average incidence of patients undergoing single-level ALIF with/without rhBMP by age from 2005 to 2011 (per 100,000 patients). ALIF, anterior lumbar interbody fusion; rhBMP, recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein.

| Region      | States                          |
|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Midwest     | IA, KS, MN, MO, NE, IL, IN, MI, WI, OH, NO, SD |
| Northeast   | CT, MA, ME, NH, NJ, PA, RI, NY, VT |
| South       | AL, AR, DC, DE, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV, WY, PR |
| West        | AK, AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY, HI |

per 100,000 patients, P = .036) (Figure 6). There were no significant differences among different regions in the incidence of patients undergoing single-level ALIF without rhBMP2.

Patients were categorized into 5-year age groups. The highest incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 was observed in the group aged less than 65 years (compared with any other age groups, P < .001), with an incidence of 0.21 per 100,000 patients (Figure 7). The group aged greater than 84 years had the lowest incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 (compared with other groups aged 65-79 years, P < .05), with an incidence of 0.01 per 100,000 patients. The highest incidence of single-level ALIF without rhBMP2 was observed in the group aged less than 65 years (compared with any other age groups, P < .001), with an incidence of 0.54 per 100,000 patients. The group aged greater than 84 years had the lowest incidence of single-level ALIF without rhBMP2 (compared with group aged 65-69 years, P < .05), with an incidence of 0.04 per 100,000 patients.

**Table 2. Regional Breakdown of States.**

| Region     | States                          |
|------------|---------------------------------|
| Midwest    | IA, KS, MN, MO, NE, IL, IN, MI, WI, OH, NO, SD |
| Northeast  | CT, MA, ME, NH, NJ, PA, RI, NY, VT |
| South      | AL, AR, DC, DE, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV, WY, PR |
| West       | AK, AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY, HI |

Regional Breakdown of States.
trended to have the higher incidence of single-level ALIF with rhBMP2 utilization.
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