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Abstract

Supercapattery is the generic name for hybrids of supercapacitor and rechargeable battery. Batteries store charge via Faradaic processes, involving reversible transfer of localised or zone-delocalised valence electrons. The former is governed by the Nernst equation. The latter leads to pseudocapacitance (or Faradaic capacitance) which may be differentiated from electric double layer capacitance with spectroscopic assistance such as electron spin resonance. Since capacitive storage is the basis of supercapacitors, the combination of capacitive and Nernstian mechanisms has dominated supercapattery research since 2018, covering nanostructured and compounded metal oxides and sulfides, water-in-salt and redox active electrolytes and bipolar stacks of multi-cells. The technical achievements so far, such as specific energy of 270 Wh/kg in aqueous electrolyte, and charging-discharging for over 5000 cycles, benchmark a challenging but promising future of supercapattery.
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1. Challenges to conventional electrochemical energy storage

Replacing fossil fuels by renewables requires energy storage, for which electrochemical energy storage (EES) devices are a desirable fit because of their modular nature, commercial choices and potentially fossil-comparable energy capacity. On the last point, oxidation of lithium in electrochemical cells causes a Gibbs energy change (ΔG°Li = 8.56 kWh/kg at 1000 °C) that is comparable to that of coal combustion (9.16 kWh/kg) in internal combust engines (ICEs) [1]. Representative commercial EES devices include rechargeable batteries (RBs) and supercapacitors (SCs), whilst flow batteries are suitable for stationary and large scale storage [2-4]. Although far better in energy efficiency than ICEs (ca. 20%), EES devices have neither performed to expectations. Laboratory-tested lithium-air battery (LAB) and commercial lithium-ion battery (LIB) can only store energy up to 1.0 and 0.3 kWh/kg, respectively, pending further improvement in rate and durability.

Performance wise, RBs offer higher storage capacity than SCs which are however better in power capability, energy efficiency, and cycle life. These complementary merits have encouraged development of several hybrid devices, including lithium-ion capacitors, redox
capacitors, and pseudocapacitors [5]. These hybrids store charge differently from a capacitor, but the word capacitor in these names has led to misuse of capacitance as a performance indicator [6]. For unambiguous classification and comparison, the generic name supercapattery (= supercapacitor + battery) was proposed in 2007, followed by laboratory demonstration later [7,8]. In fact, combination of capacitive and lithium storage electrodes was reported in earlier literatures, although the term “lithium-ion capacitor” (LIC) first appeared also in 2007 [9-12]. Because of their close relation with LIBs, research and development of LICs have progressed fast, along with other ion capacitors [13-15]. On the contrary, supercapattery rarely appeared in the literature before 2015. The recent growing interests are partly driven by curiosity and exploration of new and improved EES mechanisms, materials and devices beyond SCs and RBs [16-18]. The other and more fundamental reason is related to pseudocapacitance that has been, unfortunately, misused to account for the behaviour of many new transition metal compounds that are capable of Nernstian storage.

2. Pseudocapacitance explained

All rechargeable EES devices work following one or a combination of electric double layer (EDL) capacitive, pseudocapacitive and battery-like mechanisms [4]. EDL storage is physical at the electrode/electrolyte interface, whilst the latter two involve charge transfer reactions on electrode and hence are both Faradaic in nature. Battery-like or Nernstian storage is widely known to result from reversible electrode reactions that are broadly governed by the Nernst equation. It is featured by peak-shaped cyclic voltammograms (CVs) and by potential plateaux on galvanostatic charging-discharging plots (GCDs). Pseudocapacitance (or Faradaic capacitance) presents features same as those of EDL capacitance, namely rectangular CVs and linear GCDs. A hypothesis explains such differences by the transfer of localised and partially or zone-delocalised valence electrons, leading to Nernstian and pseudocapacitive responses, respectively [19]. It agrees with density functional theory modelling of oxygen doped graphenenes [20,21]. According to the band model [22], localised valence electrons have a fixed electronic energy level, corresponding to a fixed potential for their transfer. This in turn leads to peak-shaped CVs, and plateau-featured GCDs for Nernstian storage. For zone-delocalised valence electrons as in semiconductors (instead of full delocalisation as in metals and perfect monolayer graphene), their very close electronic energy levels are merged to a sufficiently wide band, into or from which electron transfer occurs in a continuous range of potentials. This hypothesis reflects well the rectangular CVs and linear GCDs for pseudocapacitive storage.

Although electrochemical characteristics of pseudocapacitance and EDL capacitance are recognised to be the same [4,6,23-25], some authors claimed differentiation between the two based on simulation against equation (11) or (12) below [26-28],

\[ i = av + bv^{1/2} \]  
\[ i = mv^n \]  

where \( i \) and \( v \) are the current and potential scan rate of the CV, respectively, and \( a \), \( b \), \( m \) and \( n \) are constants. For surface confined processes, \( b = 0, n = 1 \), but under diffusion control, \( a = 0, n = 1/2 \). Otherwise, the electrode reaction is under mixed control. It was assumed, but incorrectly, that the EDL currents resulted from surface confined changes and hence were
proportional to $v$, whilst Faradaic contributions were diffusion controlled, showing a linearity of $i$ on $v^{1/2}$. Obviously, these assumptions contradict the basic knowledge that surface confined processes, either capacitive or Nernstian, dominate the behaviour of relatively thin electrode coatings. Also, diffusion control could happen in relatively thick electrode coatings, into or from which transport of ions are necessary to maintain charge neutrality, for both capacitive and Nernstian processes.

In fact, a Faradic process, either Nernstian or capacitive, always unpairs or pairs electrons in the atomic or molecular orbits, which in turn generates or demolishes spins that can be monitored by electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy [29,30]. Fig. 1a shows the CVs of polyaniline (PAn). Whilst the three peak couples (A1/C1, A2/C2 and A3/C3) are well explained elsewhere [33,34], the capacitive responses are evident between 0.1 and 0.5 V. For comparison, Fig. 1b presents a typical cyclic esrogram of PAn between -0.2 and 0.5 V [30]. It can be seen that the ESR signal varied similarly as the currents on the CVs between 0.1 and 0.5 V, which is strong evidence of Faradaic dis-/charging with insignificant EDL contribution, if any. Note that A1 on the esrogram is at a more positive potential than A1 on the CVs. This difference is due to the ESR signal being proportional to the amount of charge passed, instead of the charge flow rate, i.e. the current.

Ironically, pseudocapacitance is responsible for the synthesis and test of a large number of nanostructured transition metal compounds, specially nickel and cobalt based oxides. These materials typically showed Nernstian features with appreciable power capability and cycling durability, but were unfortunately interpreted by pseudocapacitance with misleadingly high capacitance values [31,32]. Following several criticisms [4-6, 24,35], such Nernstian materials have been coupled with EDL materials in supercapatteries [36-45].

3. Basics of supercapattery and early development

Aiming at merging the merits of SC and RB [4,5,18,24], supercapattery engages with both capacitive and Faradaic mechanisms [18,46]. Because capacitive storage can be EDL or pseudocapacitive, and Faradaic storage can be pseudocapacitive or Nernstian, there is a large number of combination options.

Supercapattery behaviour can result from materials, such as heat treated nickel hydroxide films which exhibited fairly rectangular CVs from 0 to 0.35 V vs. SCE, but presented large current peaks at more positive potentials in aqueous KOH [47]. Composites of manganese oxides (MnO$_x$, 1.5 < $x$ ≤ 2) with carbon nanotubes (CNTs) or graphenes can also store charges in mixed mechanisms [48-50]. Further, engaging electron transfer reactions of soluble species, such as iodide ions, with EDL capacitance of a porous carbon electrode is another effective way to combine capacitive and Nernstian mechanisms [24,52-54].

The device approach to supercapattery considers the relations between the two electrodes. Firstly, the charges passing through the capacitive ($Q_{cap}$) and Nernstian ($Q_{bor}$) electrodes must be equal as expressed by equation (13) [4] where $Q_{sp}$ is the specific charge, and $C_{sp}$ the specific capacitance.

\[ Q_{bat} = m_{bat}Q_{sp} = m_{cap}C_{sp}\Delta E_{cap} = Q_{cap} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

\[ \Delta E_{cap} = \frac{Q_{sp}m_{bat}}{C_{sp}m_{cap}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)
Equation (14) is useful for designing supercapattery, disregarding whether the capacitive or Nernstian electrode is the positrode or negatrode.

Secondly, equal currents occur on both electrodes at any time. For reversible storage in thin films, equation (15) governs the relation, linking with the Nernst equation (16) for reduction (charging on positrode, or discharging on negatrode) [4]:

\[ i_{cap} = m_{cap} C sp \frac{dE_{cap}}{dt} = \frac{nF \Gamma_{redox}}{t} = i_{bat} \]  

\[ E_{bat} = E_{bat}^0 + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left( \frac{1-x}{x} \right), \quad x = \frac{\Gamma_{redox}}{\Gamma_{total}} = \frac{it}{nF \Gamma_{total}} \]  

where \( \Gamma \) is the amount of the relevant or all redox species in the thin film. Equations (15) and (16) were used to calculate the GCD plots in Fig. 2a to 2c [4]. In practical cases, charging capacitive electrodes, either EDL or Faradaic, is highly reversible and hence fast. Thus, in the calculations, the charging rates of the cell are assumed to be such that the Nernstian electrode could respond in accordance with the Nernst equation (16). These are indeed achievable as shown by the experimentally recorded GCDs in Fig. 2d to 2f [38,55,56].

4. Selected progresses between 2018 and 2019

4.1. Electrode materials

Since 2018, supercapattery research, excluding ion capacitors which are reviewed elsewhere [13-15], has focused on novel nanostructured and compounded Nernstian materials [16,17,37-45]. For example, hydrothermal doping 40% sulfur into FeCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) produced nanocaterpillars, and increased the capacitance to 1801 F/g from 779 F/g without doping at 2 A/g. The CVs and GCDs were fairly capacitive, but the capacitive potentials ranged only from 0 to 0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl. Supercapatteries made from an undoped FeCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) negatrode and the sulfur-doped positrode performed very well in aqueous 3 mol/L KOH. The cell voltage was 1.45 V, achieving specific energy and power of 140 Wh/kg and 1434 W/kg, respectively, and over 5000 dis-/charging cycles [16]. However, CVs and GCDs of the supercapattery showed clear resistive distortion, indicating higher resistance of the undoped negatrode. Also, energy efficiency estimated from the GCD at 2 A/g was lower than 60%.

Nanosheets of MoS\(_2\) were hydrothermally grown in the pores of a carbon nitride template [17]. In aqueous 1 mol/L KOH, the composite showed Nernstian CVs and GCDs from 0.0 V to 0.5 V. The specific charge capacity reached over 500 C/g. Surprisingly, a symmetrical supercapattery was built from this material, leading to unreasonable tests and results.

An interesting Nernstian positrode was made from nanosheets of carbon-coated Li\(_3\)V\(_2\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\) [45]. Li\(_3\)V\(_2\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\) offers three valence states of V (III, IV and V), corresponding to storage of three Li\(^+\) ions per formula at high positive potentials, > 3.8 V vs Li/Li\(^+\). With an activated carbon negatrode in mixed organic carbonates, the supercapattery was tested to 2.7 V to ensure reversible lithium storage in Li\(_3\)V\(_2\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)/C. The cell GCDs presented two shoulders, reflecting two steps of lithium storage. Reported specific energy and power were 53 Wh/kg and 3 kW/kg, respectively. However, after 2000 cycles, capacity loss reached 35%, apparently because repeated lithium-ion insertion and removal caused microscopic fatigue damage in the positrode.
Carbon negatrod es are often chosen for aqueous electrolytes, imposing high overpotentials for hydrogen evolution. Further, nano-pores of activated carbon permit proton or water reduction to adsorbed hydrogen atoms or molecules, but restrict their nucleation and growth into bubbles. These adsorbed hydrogen species can also be re-oxidised and hence increase charge storage capacity [57,58].

More desirable negatrod es are based on active metals because of their very negative redox potentials and reversible electrode reactions [13-15,55,56]. The concern on dendritic deposition upon cycling are addressed by several approaches, such as pulsed charging for both zinc and lithium deposition [59,60] and using 3D structured (porous) current collectors (e.g. copper foam) for lithium deposition [61,62].

Transition metal oxides are usually used on positrode, but iron or tungsten oxide undergoes reversible changes at negative potentials [63-65]. The crystalline/amorphous core/shell structured iron oxide with oxygen vacancies exhibited both capacitive and Nernstian features in 1 mol/L LiOH as shown in Fig. 3a and 3b. Specific capacitance of 701 F/g was claimed as averaged from the GCD plot. However, the reported GCD at 0.5 mA/cm² was non-linear, whilst the equation used for capacitance calculation, \( C_s = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta V} \), actually gives results for the inserted triangular dashed line in Fig. 3b. Thus, the performance should be better represented by specific charge. Further, the GCD is asymmetrical along the time axis, showing longer times for charging than discharging, suggesting a Columbic efficiency much lower than that for a true capacitive electrode.

Fig. 3c and 3d compare the CVs and GCDs of \( \text{WO}_3 \) and \( \text{W}_2\text{O}_{14} \). Clearly, the oxygen deficient \( \text{W}_2\text{O}_{14} \) performed better. In addition, the crystalline \( \text{W}_2\text{O}_{14} \) contained more ion channels than \( \text{WO}_3 \). Consequently, the specific capacitance increased from 371 F/g for \( \text{WO}_3 \) to 524 F/g for \( \text{W}_2\text{O}_{14} \) as derived from fairly linear GCDs. Note that, against convention, the GCDs in Fig. 3d start from discharging and then charging.

4.2. Electrolytes

Aqueous electrolytes match well with many redox materials [16-18, 37-44], and support cells to work at high voltages (>12.4 V) without water decomposition. For example, lead-acid batteries use sulfuric acid as electrolyte and work at >2.0 V.

An advanced approach to avoiding water decomposition is to use the so called water in salt (WIS) electrolytes in which all water molecules are bounded to, or surrounded closely by salt ions, water decomposition may not occur up to 3.0 V [66-69]. However, because of the minimum separation by a few layers of coordination and solvation water molecules, and hence strong attractions between cations and anions, WIS electrolytes show high viscosity and low conductivity. Addition of co-solvents could improve the performance, but also narrow the potential window [67,70].

Non-aqueous electrolytes, including ionic liquids, offer wider potential windows for utilising the very negative potentials of, for instance, lithium metal or lithiated carbon [9-11,13-15,45,71-73]. In such cases, the electrolyte not only conduct ions, but also participate in redox reaction, e.g. lithium-ion reduction or intercalation, which contributes directly to dis-/charging of the cell.
Similarly, redox electrolytes also help enhance storage in supercapacitors via both capacitive and Nernstian mechanisms [51-54,74]. Comparing with making new electrode materials, dissolved redox species (DRS) in electrolyte offer a simpler and cheaper approach to enhanced storage. A key issue is the cycling of electro-reacted DRS between the positrode and negatrode via diffusion. For example, halide ions (X\(^{-}\)) are the early DRS [51,74] with a reversible electro-reaction of 3X\(^{-}\) = X\(_3\)\(^{-}\) + 2e. Because both X\(^{-}\) and X\(_3\)\(^{-}\) are anions, they should be electrostatically attracted to, and trapped inside the pores of the activated carbon positrode. However, oxidation of I\(^{-}\) occurs near the equal potential of the positrode and negatrode at full discharge, causing insufficient electrostatic attraction and hence redox cycling [52]. This understanding explains the current peaks near 0 V on the cell CV in Fig. 4a, and agrees with the absence of any current peaks on the CVs in Fig. 4b for the cell containing Br\(^{-}\) whose oxidation potential is about 500 mV more positive than that of I\(^{-}\). Fig. 4c shows that simply discharging the cell to 0.1 V (not 0 V) also eliminated redox cycling [53]. By doing so, the cell repeated dis-/charging at 0.5 A/g to 4000 cycles with only 4 % capacitance loss.

4.3. Emerging merit-merging innovations

A particular recent progress is the combination of more than two storage mechanisms into supercapattery. A zinc-bromine supercapattery was studied, combining EDL capacitive, pseudocapacitive and Nernstian storage [54], although the claimed pseudocapacitive storage was in fact Nernstian with Br\(^{-}\) oxidation. This supercapattery was tested to 270 Wh/kg at 9300 W/kg with 81% capacity retention after 5000 cycles.

The combination of a positrode of the polyaniline/nano carbon fibres (NCF) composite, a NCF negatrode for lithium intercalation, and a polymer gel electrolyte had led to a flexible supercapattery that offered specific energy of 106.5 Wh/kg, and 70.3% capacity retention after 9000 cycles [75].

Last but not the least, the sandwich configuration of supercapattery (and supercapacitor) permits to use bipolar electrodes to serially stack multi-cells [76]. A basic advantage is that if \(n\) cells are to be serially connected, the number of electrodes is 2\(n\) for external connection, but \(n+1\) for bipolar stacking [76]. This will reduce significantly the mass and volume of the stack, and benefit to all gravimetric and volumetric properties. Importantly, the bipolar plates must be both liquid and gas proof. While the initial effort used titanium foils as the bipolar plates, it was shown that 50 \(\mu\)m thick carbon black/polyethylene composite films could be sufficiently conductive (through the film plane) and non-permeable, which also helped the fabrication of pouch cells for stacking [77]. The stack of bipolarly connected Zn-Br\(_2\) cells performed satisfactorily, reaching 50 Wh/L and 500 W/L with less than 1 % loss over 500 dis-/charging cycles. Graphite plates with vertically grown CNTs on both sides were also used to stack EDL cells that retained 96.7% of the initial capacity after 50000 cycles [78].

5. End remarks
Supercapattery is being developed amongst questions on what should be defined for battery and supercapacitor, particularly in relation with the confusion on pseudocapacitance. It is identified that electrode reactions can involve the transfer of either localised valence electrons governed by the Nernst equation which is the basis of batteries, or zone-delocalised valence electrons leading to pseudocapacitive behaviour. Aiming at merging the merits of Faradaic Nernstian and capacitive storage mechanisms, supercapattery research has progressed steadily since 2018, utilising nanostructured and compounded metal oxides and sulfides capable of Nernstian storage, salt-in-water and redox active electrolytes, and bipolar stacks. There are undoubtedly further improvements but, thanks to the knowledge and technology advancements in batteries and supercapacitors, supercapattery will become more competitive and promising in the near future.
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Fig. 1. (a) CVs in different potential ranges, and (b) a cyclic esrogram of electrodeposited polyaniline in acidic aqueous electrolytes. Redrawn from refs [34] and [30].
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Fig. 2. (a–c) Calculated GCD plots of potentials of the positrode (blue line) and negatrode (black line), and the cell voltage (red dashed lines) as a function of normalised time for three supercapatteries combining a pseudocapacitive positrode of narrow potential window with a Nernstian negatrode of quasi-reversibility (a), and a lithium metal or lithiated carbon negatrode (b), and an activated carbon positrode of wide potential window, and a lithiated carbon negatrode (c). (d–f) Experimentally recorded GCD plots of the cell voltage against time for supercapatteries with aqueous (d), ionic liquid (e), and aqueous + solid electrolytes. Redrawn from [4,38,55,56].
Fig. 3. (a,c) CVs and (b,d) GCDs of nanostructured and oxygen deficient (a,b) iron oxide, and (c,d) tungsten oxides. Redrawn from refs. [63,65].
Fig. 4. CVs of supercapattery with activated carbon positrode and negatrode containing indicated electrolytes. Note that the potential window is from 0 to 1.5 V in (a) and (b) but from 0.1 to 1.5 V in (c) where the black line and red dashed line CVs were recorded before and after 100 charging-discharging cycles. Redrawn from refs. [52, 53]