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Abstract

Vehicle re-identification is an important computer vision task where the objective is to identify a specific vehicle among a set of vehicles seen at various viewpoints. Recent methods based on deep learning utilize a global average pooling layer after the backbone feature extractor, however, this ignores any spatial reasoning on the feature map. In this paper, we propose local graph aggregation on the backbone feature map, to learn associations of local information and hence improve feature learning as well as reduce the effects of partial occlusion and background clutter. Our local graph aggregation network considers spatial regions of the feature map as nodes and builds a local neighborhood graph that performs local feature aggregation before the global average pooling layer. We further utilize a batch normalization layer to improve the system effectiveness. Additionally, we introduce a class balanced loss to compensate for the imbalance in the sample distributions found in the most widely used vehicle re-identification datasets. Finally, we evaluate our method in three popular benchmarks and show that our approach outperforms many state-of-the-art methods.

1. Introduction

Vehicle Re-IDentification (VRID) has significant applications in smart traffic control systems, video surveillance and visual target tracking [34, 40, 58]. The objective of VRID is to find a vehicle of interest (probe) within a set of vehicles (gallery set) in a single camera or multi camera settings. Various real world scenarios make the VRID problem challenging such as occlusion, minor appearance differences among different vehicles, illumination variations, pose variations, etc.

In recent years significant progress has been made in VRID with the advancement of deep learning techniques [13, 44, 70] and the introduction of large VRID benchmarks [40, 37, 34]. In all of these benchmarks, images are captured in a multi-camera settings to get multiple instances of the same vehicle under various pose and illumination conditions. However, in several scenarios, a vehicle might not appear in multiple cameras at the same time or some vehicles are captured more frequently than others. As a result, these benchmarks contain imbalanced sample distributions. In this work, we propose a local graph aggregation network module that associates spatial features (see Fig. 1) and incorporate a class balanced loss to overcome the class imbalance in the training dataset.

Zheng et al [70] showed that the limited number of samples present in several ids may hinder the learning procedure and proposed to use multiple datasets simultaneously to learn a more generalized feature representation. Zheng et al [69] proposed to utilize generative techniques to generate new samples with different viewpoints. The additional training images help achieve pose invariant features and hence better performance. However, both of the aforementioned approaches require additional training data that may be difficult or time consuming to acquire.

Several methods have been proposed to utilize detection and matching of license plate information for VRID [2, 60]
as the license plate number is unique for each vehicle. However, license plates may not be visible in various circumstances based on the pose of the vehicle or partial occlusion. This suggest that learning visual cues from the vehicle appearance would be key to VRID. The initial works for appearance-based VRID involved hand crafted feature extraction techniques to extract pose and illumination invariant features [35, 66, 25]. Recently, deep learning techniques gained significant popularity due to their superior performance [13, 44, 74, 26].

Despite recent progress, it remains a challenge to learn visual cues that are invariant under intra-class pose, illumination and partial occlusion variations, but also discriminative to the subtle inter-class differences in appearance [44]. Chu et al [7] proposed to tackle the problem of intra-class pose variation using a viewpoint-aware metric learning approach, where they learn two feature spaces for similar and different viewpoints. Meng et al [44] proposed to utilize a network for parsing vehicles into multiple views and then improvise the feature representation based on the parsed mask to align the features for four different viewpoints. Tang et al [56] proposed another method that utilizes synthetic data to estimate vehicle pose and shape information to learn pose invariant features by learning the vehicle type and color information in a multitask learning framework. Chen et al [7] proposed orientation invariant feature learning using semantic guided part attention. He et al [13] proposed to use part detection and attention mechanism to learn fine grained feature. However, all of these methods require extracting additional elements, such as part detection or segmentation, to improve pose invariant properties. Chen et al [6] proposed to split the output feature map of the backbone and learn the spatial significance of the feature map explicitly without any additional annotation of specific parts of the vehicle or keypoints. To achieve this, they partitioned the backbone feature map into multiple partitions and computed losses for these multiple branches. This partition strategy showed improved VRID performance. However, it may suffer due to part misalignment for various poses of the same vehicle [52].

In this research, we proposed a Local Graph Aggregation Network with class Balanced loss (LABNet) for VRID. We introduce a local graph aggregation module to learn spatial relationships in the feature map. Local graph aggregation improves pose invariant feature learning under partial occlusion or in complex background scenarios. A simple illustration of our framework is shown in Fig. 1, where a spatial graph is constructed on the extracted backbone feature map (actual constellation is 20 × 20). Our results demonstrate that stacking graph aggregation modules improves learning under partial occlusion, background clutter and pose variations. We also introduce a class balanced loss along with triplet id loss during network training. The objective is to improve the generalization capabilities of the network by putting more weight on classes with fewer samples. Current methods overlook the class imbalance problem during training, which is known to bias the system towards classes with the largest number of samples. The main contributions of our work are outlined below.

1. We propose a novel parameter-free Local Graph Aggregation (LGA) module after feature extraction for information diffusion among spatial nodes.
2. We present the LABNet architecture for VRID that includes a cascade of LGA modules to increase the receptive field of the feature map and a batch normalization layer for more effective training.
3. We introduce a class balanced loss, that is used in combination with triplet loss for VRID, to tackle the class imbalance problem in the training dataset.
4. Our method is extensively tested and outperforms state-of-the-art methods on several datasets.

2. Related Work

Several VRID methods that utilize deep learning techniques have been proposed in recent years. Bai et al [3] proposed a group-sensitive triplet embedding technique to efficiently train deep learning algorithms where the intra-class variance is modeled with an intermediate representation group. Kuma et al [29] compared various sampling techniques during the triplet loss calculation and showed that utilizing proper sampling technique for triplet loss along with id loss can significantly improve VRID performance. He et al [14] proposed to use a multi-domain learning and identity mining to improve the VRID performance. This approach adopted a baseline method [42] that uses soft margin triplet loss and id loss during training. P. Khorraramshahi et al [27] used a self-supervised residual generation technique as an attention mechanism to perform VRID. Porrello et al [47] proposed a teacher-student framework and multi-view knowledge distillation to tackle the viewpoint variation in VRID.

2.1. Feature aggregation using graph networks

Recently, various research efforts utilize graph based reasoning for VRID and person re-identification (PRID).
The Pyramidal Graph Network (PGN) [52] is a multiscale pyramidal graph network to incorporate multiscale information from backbone features. The multiscale pyramid is built utilizing various spatial pooling layers and using a Graph Convolution Network (GCN) to diffuse information within the pooled feature maps. Finally, the feature maps from these stages are summed and passed to the classifier to compute the classification score. The overall graph-based information aggregation shows improved performance over the baseline. The Masked Graph Attention Network (MGAT) [4] utilized graph based information processing on a minibatch of images, where features of each image are considered as a node and their mutual information is processed to learn an updated representation for PRID.

Another approach for aggregating features is to use part-level vehicle information. For part-level information aggregation, horizontal or vertical parts of the backbone feature map are aggregated and the loss is computed for all the part-level features [49]. This type of feature aggregation helps with pose invariant and fine-grained feature learning for VRID. In this work, we consider local neighborhood graph aggregation for explicit spatial reasoning. We also utilize multiple graph modules that are stacked to accumulate information within a larger local region.

2.2. Loss functions

In various VRID tasks, adding multiple loss functions generally helps to achieve improved performance. The most commonly used loss function is the id loss, or softmax loss function [55], that achieves better convergence. Label smoothing is another component that is mostly used in combination with the id loss to alleviate overfitting [27, 52] in VRID tasks. Another popular loss function for VRID is the triplet loss [50]. Several works have utilized both the triplet loss and the id loss to train the VRID algorithms [27, 52, 16]. However, existing VRID methods do not address the dataset imbalance problem with a class balances loss, such as the one introduced in [9].

2.3. Dataset augmentation and balance

Learning pose invariant features requires large labeled datasets, as training deep networks on a small dataset often leads to overfitting. However, collecting and annotating large VRID datasets is a time-consuming and expensive task. To address these issues, various augmentation techniques are used during training deep learning models for VRID. Popular augmentation techniques such as random cropping, random flipping, rotation, and scaling are often used for training VRID models. Additionally, random erasing augmentation [52, 27, 14] shows significant performance gain.

Furthermore, dataset imbalance is a significant issue with VRID, as illustrated by the distribution of ids shown in Fig. 3. The problem of class imbalance is widely studied in machine learning [21], as it often leads to classification bias. We adapt the class balanced loss proposed in [9] that is designed to counteract the effects of dataset imbalance.

3. Methodology

The overall architecture of our method is shown in Fig. 2. The input images are passed through the backbone feature extractor and the local graph is built on top of the backbone feature map. Then the aggregated features are used to compute the triplet loss function. A batch normalization (BN) layer is included to improve the effectiveness of training and it is followed by a fully connected (FC) layer. The output of the FC layer is used to compute the class balanced loss.

3.1. Local graph based feature aggregation

We consider an input sample $X \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times W \times H}$, where $W$ and $H$ are the image width and height respectively, that is processed through the backbone. The output feature map can be written as $x \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times w \times h}$ where $c$ is the feature dimension, $w$ is the width and $h$ is the height of the feature map. The width and height of the feature map depend on the pooling, padding, and stride of the backbone network. We build a graph $G(V, E)$ with nodes $V \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and edges $E$.
\( \mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \), where \( k = w \times h \).

For the edge formation, we assume the output backbone feature map, of width and height \( w \times h \), lies in a Euclidean coordinate space as depicted in Fig. 2. For node \( i \in \mathcal{V} \) with all possible edges \( (j, i) \in \mathcal{E} \) where \( j \in \mathcal{V} \); the neighborhood set can be written as follows.

\[
\mathcal{N}(i) = \{ j | d(p_i, p_j) < r \}, \forall j, j \neq i \tag{1}
\]

where, \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) are coordinate locations of node \( i \) and \( j \) respectively, \( d(., .) \) denotes Euclidean distance, and \( r \) is the distance threshold for the edge formation. The \( n^{th} \) aggregation layer \([10]\) can be written as follows.

\[
x_i^n = ReLU \left( \frac{1}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i) \cup \{i\}} \sqrt{deg(i)} \cdot \sqrt{deg(j)}} \cdot \left( x_j^{(n-1)} \right) \right) \tag{2}
\]

We consider the graph \( G(\mathcal{V}, A) \), where \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \) is an affinity matrix, and the aggregation function can be written as follows.

\[
x_a = ReLU(\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{A} \tilde{D} x) \tag{3}
\]

where \( \tilde{A} = A + I \) is the affinity matrix with the self loops, \( \tilde{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \) is the degree matrix of \( A \) and \( x_a \) is the output aggregated feature and \( ReLU \) is the non-linearity operation. The propagation rule is adapted from \([28]\).

The receptive field of the aggregated feature map can be increased by increasing \( r \), but that adds significant computational overhead and requires large memory. To overcome this limitation, we add multiple LGA layers in cascade that efficiently increase the receptive field of the feature map. With this arrangement, we can effectively increase the receptive field of the aggregated feature map without adding significant computational overhead. The output of the last LGA layer is propagated through a global average pooling (GAP) layer and directly used for the triplet loss computation. We implemented several types of graph-based feature aggregation techniques and found that parameter-free aggregation yields the best results. We found out that adding a weight matrix during the graph propagation caused overfitting of the model and hurt the final VRID performance. We also considered various graph pooling techniques to combine the outputs of nodes from the backbone feature map but this did not improve performance.

Similar to previous studies \([27, 14]\), we found that utilizing a batch normalization (BN) layer before the FC layer yields the best performance. We include a BN layer after the final LGA module and before the FC layer as depicted in Fig. 2.

### 3.2. Loss functions

The output of the local graph module is used for the computation of the triplet loss \([14]\) that is constructed as follows.

\[
\mathcal{L}_{tri} = \log \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \| \mathbf{x}^a - \mathbf{x}^p \|_2^2 - \| \mathbf{x}^a - \mathbf{x}^n \|_2^2 + m \right) \right] \tag{4}
\]

To tackle the class imbalance problem for id loss, we propose to adapt the class balancing loss proposed in \([9]\). For an input sample \( \mathbf{X} \) the output score of the network with one graph module can be written as follows.

\[
\mathbf{z} = FC(BN(G(BF(\mathbf{X})))) \tag{5}
\]

where, \( BF \) is the backbone feature extraction network, \( G \) is the local graph aggregation module, BN is the batch normalization layer and FC is the fully connected layer.

The class balanced id loss \([9]\) can be written as follows.

\[
\mathcal{L}_{CB}(\mathbf{z}, y) = -\frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta \eta_y} \log \frac{\exp(\mathbf{z}_y)}{\sum_{t=1} T \exp(\mathbf{z}_t)} \tag{6}
\]

where \( T \) is the number of classes and the ground truth label is \( y \in \{1, 2, ..., T\} \). The overall loss function of LABNet is the summation of the class balanced loss and the triplet loss that is given as follows.

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{CB}(\mathbf{z}, y) + \mathcal{L}_{tri} \tag{7}
\]

In Eq. (6), the term multiplying the cross-entropy loss is a smoothing term for class balancing. The hyperparameter \( \beta \in [0, 1] \) and \( \eta_y \) is the class frequency in the entire training set. One interesting property of the weighting term is that with \( \beta = 0 \), there is no re-weighting and with \( \beta \to 1 \), the re-weighting is the inverse of class frequency. This property allows the flexibility to tune the \( \beta \) parameter and optimize the training procedure for given dataset.

### 4. Datasets and Experiments

#### 4.1. Datasets

We use three popular benchmarks for the evaluation of LABNet. Some key comparisons among these datasets are shown in Table 1. In Fig. 3 (a),(b),(c), the dataset distribution is shown for VERI \([37]\), VehicleID \([34]\), and VERI-Wild \([40]\) respectively. In these figures the id imbalance is shown in Table 1. In Fig. 3 (a),(b),(c), the dataset distribution is shown for VERI \([37]\), VehicleID \([34]\), and VERI-Wild \([40]\) respectively. In these figures the id imbalance is shown in Table 1.
Table 1: Dataset description

| Dataset  | VERI       | VehicleID  | VERI-Wild |
|----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Train    | Images     | 37,746     | 110,178   | 277,797   |
|          | Vehicles   | 576        | 13,164    | 30,671    |
| Test     | Sub set    | x          | small     | small     |
|          | Gallery    | 11,579     | medium    | medium    |
|          | probe      | 1,678      | large     | large     |
|          | Vehicles   | 200        | 800       | 3,000     |
|          |            |            | 1,600     | 5,000     |
|          |            |            | 2,400     | 10,000    |
| Camera   | 20         | 12         | 174       |
| Views    | 6          | 2          | unconstrained |

VehicleID [34] is another standard benchmark for VRID. The dataset contains vehicles with only front or rear viewpoint. It is a large-scale dataset with 221,763 images of 26,267 vehicles. The training set contains 110,178 images of 13,164 vehicles. The testing set is divided into three subsets. The small test subset contains 800 gallery images and 5,693 probe images of 800 vehicles. The medium test subset contains 1,600 gallery images and 11,777 probe images of 1,600 vehicles. The large test subset contains 2,400 gallery images and 17,377 probe images of 2,400 vehicles. When constructing all the probe and gallery subsets, one image is randomly selected for each vehicle and put into the gallery set. Other images of the same vehicle are considered as the probe images. This procedure is repeated until the desired number of samples are drawn, in a manner similar to the dataset split in the benchmark paper.

Veri-Wild [40] is a benchmark that is widely adopted by the community. It is a large scale dataset that has been collected under different operating conditions, such as morning, afternoon, night, rainy weather, foggy weather etc. In this benchmark, there are 40,671 vehicles with 416,314 image patches. The dataset was collected with 174 cameras in unconstrained traffic conditions. Further, the overall training set contains 30,671 vehicle ids with 277,797 image patches. The testing set is further divided into three subsets, small, medium, and large. The small subset has 3,000 images as probe and 41,816 images as gallery of 3,000 vehicles. The medium subset has 5,000 probe images and 69,389 gallery images of 5,000 vehicles. The large subset has 10,000 probe images and 138,517 gallery images of 10,000 vehicles. The procedure for creating these subsets is to randomly select the vehicles from the test set and randomly select 1 image for the probe image from each of these vehicles. Then the rest of the images of the same vehicle are put in the gallery set.

4.2. Experiments

We used PyTorch as our implementation toolbox and PyTorch Geometric [10] for our Graph module implementation. In our experiments, the resnet101-ibn-a [45] was the feature extraction backbone, as it provided superior performance. We adapted some of our experimental settings from [14]. The network was trained with a batch size of 48 and randomidentity sampler during training. The sampler was responsible to randomly select 8 vehicles in each minibatch with 6 samples for each of them. The input image patches were re-scaled to $320 \times 320$ during both training and testing. For augmentation, the probabilities for both random horizontal flip and random erasing were set to 0.5. The output feature map shape of the backbone is $20 \times 20$ and our
| Method                          | mAP  | Rank-1 | Venue          |
|--------------------------------|------|--------|----------------|
| LABNet                         | 84.6 | 97.9   | Proposed       |
| SPAN+CPDM[7]                   | 68.9 | 94.0   | ECCV 2020      |
| SAVER[27]                      | 79.6 | 96.4   | ECCV 2020      |
| PVEN[44]                       | 79.5 | 95.6   | CVPR 2020      |
| HPGN[52]                       | 80.18| 96.72  | arXiv 2020     |
| QD-DLF[73]                     | 61.83| 88.50  | IEEE ITS 2020  |
| Appearance+License[15]         | 78.08| 95.41  | ICIP 2019      |
| SFF+SAT[32]                    | 74.11| 94.93  | ICNN 2019      |
| Part Regularization[13]        | 74.30| 94.30  | CVPR 2019      |
| SAN[48]                        | 72.50| 93.30  | arXiv 2019     |
| PAMTRI[56]                     | 71.88| 92.86  | ICCV 2019      |
| MRM[46]                        | 68.55| 91.77  | Neurocomputing 2019 |
| DMLM[5]                        | 70.10| 91.20  | ICCV 2019      |
| Triplet Embedding[29]          | 67.55| 90.23  | IJCNN 2019     |
| MOV1+BS[57]                    | 67.60| 90.20  | CVPR 2019      |
| VAE[8]                         | 66.34| 89.78  | ICCV 2019      |
| GFF+GGL[39]                    | 61.70| 89.40  | IEEE TIP 2019  |
| ResNet101-AAVER[26]            | 61.18| 88.97  | ICCV 2019      |
| MRM[31]                        | 71.40| 87.70  | ICME 2019      |
| Fusion-Net[22]                 | 62.40| 87.31  | IEEE TIP 2019  |
| Mob. VFL-LSTM[1]               | 58.08| 87.18  | ICIP 2019      |
| MGL[64]                        | 65.00| 86.10  | ICIP 2019      |
| EALN[41]                       | 57.44| 84.39  | IEEE TIP 2019  |
| FAA-Net[40]                    | N/A  | 49.43  | CVPR 2019      |
| JDRN+Re-ranking[33]            | 73.10| N/A    | CVPRW 2019     |
| MAD+STR[20]                    | 61.11| 89.27  | ICIP 2018      |
| RAM[38]                        | 61.50| 88.60  | ICME 2018      |
| VAMI[8]                        | 61.32| 88.92  | CVPR 2018      |
| GSTE[3]                        | 59.47| N/A    | IEEE TMM       |
| SDC-CNN[75]                    | 53.45| 83.49  | ICPR 2018      |
| PROVID[37]                     | 53.42| 81.56  | IEEE TMM 2018  |
| NuFACT+Plate-SNN[37]           | 50.87| 81.11  | IEEE TMM 2018  |
| SCCN-FT+CLBL-8-Ft[71]          | 25.12| 60.83  | IEEE TIP 2018  |
| ABLN-Ft-16[72]                 | 24.92| 60.49  | WACV 2018      |
| NuFACT[37]                     | 48.47| 76.76  | IEEE TMM 2018  |
| VST Path Proposals[53]         | 58.27| 83.49  | ICCV 2017      |
| OIFE+ST[39]                    | 51.42| 68.30  | ICCV 2017      |
| DenseNet121[19]                | 45.06| 80.27  | CVPR 2017      |
| FACT[34]                       | 18.75| 52.21  | ICME 2016      |
| VGG-CNN-M-1024[35]             | 12.76| 44.10  | CVPR 2016      |
| GoogLeNet[63]                  | 17.89| 52.32  | CVPR 2016      |

We used 0.97 as the class-balanced loss hyperparameter for VERI and VERI-Wild dataset and 0.4 for the VehicleID dataset. We set the value of $r_2 < 2$ in all of our experiments. The number of Local Graph modules was set to 2 based on the experiments on all the datasets. For VehicleID results, we evaluated the performance 5 times and showed the average results for both the mAP and Rank-1 metrics. Finally, during inference, after accumulating all the query and gallery images, we utilized the cosine distance for computing the evaluation metrics.

### 5. Evaluation metrics

We utilize standard metrics to evaluate the proposed method, specifically the mean Average Precision (mAP) and the Cumulative Match Characteristics (CMC).

**Mean Average Precision** is the primary metric for comparing various re-identification algorithms which can be written as follows:

$$AP = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{gt}} PR(r_n) \times gt(r_n)}{N_{gt}}$$

where, $r_n$ is the rank of a query in a recall list of size $n$, $PR(r_n)$ is the precision within the $r_n$ images and $gt(r_n)$ is the binary value, i.e. 1 if the $r$th image is correct else 0. $N_{gt}$ is the number of vehicles with same id as the query image. The mean Average Precision is the mean of all $AP$ for all the query images.

**Cumulative Matching Characteristics (CMC)** indicates if the top $k_n$ predictions by the network for a query image appear within a gallery list. The CMC at rank $\alpha$ can be written as follows:

$$CMC_{@\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} gt(q, \alpha)}{Q}$$

where $Q$ is the total number of query images and $gt(q, \alpha)$ is 1 if the corresponding query image appears within the rank $\alpha$.

### 6. Results

In this section we compare our method with the state-of-the-art methods and demonstrate quantitative results and the qualitative performance of our method.

#### 6.1. Quantitative results

We compare our results with many state-of-the-art methods on the aforementioned benchmarks. We used part of the local graph network is built on top of that. To train our network with VERI and VERI-Wild benchmarks, we started the learning rate at $10^{-3}$ and linearly increased it to $10^{-2}$ in epoch 10. From Epoch 10 to Epoch 39 we kept the learning rate fixed at $10^{-2}$ and reduced the learning rate to $10^{-3}$ at epoch 40 and kept it fixed until epoch 69. At Epoch 70, we reduced the learning rate to $10^{-4}$ and kept it fixed until epoch 120. For the VehicleID dataset, we used 0.1 times the learning rate used for VERI or VERI-WILD dataset.
Table 3: Results on VehicleID dataset

| Methods                      | small mAP | small Rank-1 | medium mAP | medium Rank-1 | large mAP | large Rank-1 | References          |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|
| LABNet                       | 89.64     | 84.02        | 86.24      | 80.18         | 83.48     | 77.2          | Proposed            |
| SAVER [27]                   | N/A       | 79.9         | N/A        | 77.6          | N/A       | 75.3          | ECCV 2020           |
| PVEN [44]                    | N/A       | 84.7         | N/A        | 80.6          | N/A       | 77.8          | CVPR 2020           |
| HPGN [52]                    | 89.60     | 83.91        | 86.16      | 79.97         | 83.60     | 77.32         | arXiv 2020          |
| QD-DLF [74]                  | 76.54     | 72.32        | 74.63      | 70.66         | 68.41     | 64.14         | IEEE ITS 2020       |
| Appearance+License [15]      | 82.70     | 79.9         | 79.9       | 76.9          | 77.7      | 74.8          | ICIP 2019           |
| MGL [64]                     | 82.10     | 79.6         | 79.6       | 76.2          | 75.5      | 73.0          | ICIP 2019           |
| Part Regularization [13]     | N/A       | 78.40        | N/A        | 70            | N/A       | 74.20         | CVPR 2019           |
| PRN [6]                      | N/A       | 78.92        | N/A        | 74.94         | N/A       | 71.58         | CVPRW 2019          |
| Triplet Embedding [29]       | 86.19     | 78.80        | 81.69      | 73.41         | 69.16     | 69.33         | IJCNN 2019          |
| MRM [31]                     | 80.02     | 76.64        | 74.72      | 74.02         | 70.86     | 70.86         | ImageNet 2019       |
| XG-6-sub-multi [66]          | N/A       | 76.1         | N/A        | 73.1          | N/A       | 71.2          | IEEE ITS 2019       |
| GRF+GGL [39]                 | N/A       | 77.1         | N/A        | 72.7          | N/A       | 70            | IEEE TIP 2019       |
| MSV [61]                     | 79.30     | 75.1         | 75.4       | 71.8          | 73.3      | 68.7          | ICASSP 2019         |
| DQL [18]                     | N/A       | 74.7         | N/A        | 71.01         | N/A       | 68.23         | IEEE TVT            |
| EALN [41]                    | 77.50     | 75.11        | 74.2       | 71.78         | 71.0      | 69.30         | IEEE TIP 2019       |
| Mob.VFL-LSTM [1]             | 73.37     | 69.52        | N/A        | 67.41         | N/A       | 69.30         | ICIP 2019           |
| ResNet101-AAVER [26]         | N/A       | 74.69        | N/A        | 68.62         | N/A       | 63.54         | ICCV 2019           |
| TAMR [12]                    | 66.02     | 62.90        | N/A        | 59.69         | N/A       | 59.69         | IEEE TIP 2019       |
| MSLR [17]                    | N/A       | 65.78        | N/A        | 64.24         | N/A       | 60.05         | Neurocomputing 2019 |
| RPM [43]                     | N/A       | 65.04        | N/A        | 62.55         | N/A       | 60.21         | ICMEW 2019          |
| SFF+SAAtt [32]               | 64.50     | N/A          | 59.12      | N/A            | 54.41     | N/A            | IJCNN 2019          |
| FDA-Net [40]                 | N/A       | 65.33        | 59.84      | 61.84         | 55.53     | N/A            | CVPR 2019           |
| GSTE [3]                     | 75.40     | 75.90        | 74.30      | 74.80         | 72.40     | 74.00         | IEEE TMM 2018       |
| RAM [38]                     | N/A       | 75.20        | N/A        | 72.3          | N/A       | 67.70         | ICME 2018           |
| C2P [11]                     | 63.50     | 61.10        | 60.00      | 56.20         | 55        | 51.40         | AAAI 2018           |
| VAMI [8]                     | N/A       | 63.12        | N/A        | 52.87         | N/A       | 47.34         | CVPR 2018           |
| SDC-CNN [75]                 | 63.52     | 56.98        | 57.07      | 50.57         | 49.68     | 42.92         | ICP 2018            |
| NuFACT [37]                  | N/A       | 48.90        | N/A        | 43.64         | N/A       | 38.63         | IEEE TMM 2018       |
| MAD+STR [20]                 | 82.20     | N/A          | 75.90      | N/A            | 72.80     | N/A            | ICIP 2018           |
| PMSIM [54]                   | 64.20     | N/A          | 57.20      | N/A            | 51.80     | N/A            | ICPR 2018           |
| MSVF [24]                    | N/A       | N/A          | N/A        | N/A            | 46.61     | N/A            | GCPR 2018           |
| ABLN-32 [73]                 | N/A       | 52.63        | N/A        | N/A            | N/A       | N/A            | WACV 2018           |
| DJDL [30]                    | N/A       | 72.30        | N/A        | 70.80         | N/A       | 68.00         | ICIP 2017           |
| Improved Triplet [67]        | N/A       | 69.90        | N/A        | 66.20         | N/A       | 63.20         | ICME 2017           |
| DenseNet121 [19]             | 68.85     | 66.10        | 69.45      | 67.39         | 65.37     | 63.07         | CVPR 2017           |
| MGR [62]                     | 62.80     | N/A          | 62.30      | N/A            | 61.23     | N/A            | ICCV 2017           |
| OIFE+ST [59]                 | N/A       | N/A          | N/A        | N/A            | 67.00     | N/A            | ICCV 2017           |
| DRDL [34]                    | N/A       | 48.91        | N/A        | 46.36         | N/A       | 40.97         | CVPR 2016           |
| FACT [34]                    | N/A       | 49.53        | N/A        | 44.63         | N/A       | 39.91         | ICME 2016           |

Table 2 illustrates the remarkable improvement of VRID techniques on the VERI dataset [37] over the years 2016-2019. Compared to the best method of 2016, performance improved by 39.52% in 2017, 42.75% in 2018, 59.33% in 2019. Our method outperforms the state-of-the-art methods on the VERI dataset. It achieves 4.6% gain from the HPGN [52] method on mAP metric and about 1.2% gain on the Rank-1 rate. LABNet also outperforms SAVER [27] and PVEN [44] by more than 5% on the mAP metric.
Table 4: Results on VERI-Wild dataset

| Methods                | small mAP | small Rank-1 | medium mAP | medium Rank-1 | large mAP | large Rank-1 | References |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| LABNet                 | 82.6      | 97.2         | 77.4       | 96.3          | 70.0      | 94.5         | Proposed   |
| SA VER[27]             | 80.9      | 94.5         | 75.3       | 92.7          | 67.7      | 89.5         | ECCV 2020  |
| PVEN[44]               | 82.5      | 96.7         | 77.0       | 95.4          | 69.7      | 93.4         | CVPR 2020  |
| HPGN[52]               | 80.42     | 91.37        | 75.17      | 88.21         | 65.04     | 82.68        | arXiv 2020 |
| Triplet Embedding[29]  | 70.54     | 84.17        | 62.83      | 78.22         | 51.63     | 69.99        | IJCNN 2019 |
| FDA-Net[40]            | 35.11     | 64.03        | 29.80      | 57.82         | 22.80     | 49.43        | CVPR 2019  |
| GSTE[3]                | 31.42     | 60.46        | 26.18      | 52.12         | 19.50     | 45.36        | IEEE TMM 2018 |
| Unlabelled GAN[76]     | 29.86     | 58.06        | 24.71      | 51.58         | 18.23     | 43.63        | ICCV 2017  |
| GoogleNet[63]          | 24.27     | 57.16        | 24.15      | 53.16         | 21.53     | 44.61        | CVPR 2015  |
| HDC[65]                | 29.14     | 57.10        | 24.76      | 49.64         | 18.30     | 43.97        | ICCV 2017  |
| DRDL[34]               | 22.50     | 56.96        | 19.28      | 51.92         | 14.81     | 44.60        | CVPR 2016  |
| Softmax[36]            | 26.41     | 53.40        | 22.66      | 46.16         | 17.62     | 37.94        | ECCV 2016  |
| Triplet[50]            | 15.69     | 44.67        | 13.34      | 14.34         | 9.93      | 33.46        | CVPR 2015  |

Table 3 shows the state-of-the-art comparison in the VehicleID [34] dataset. We also show the chronological improvement on VRID performance over 2016-2019. LABNet achieves competitive performance in comparison to state-of-the-art methods. PVEN [44] and HPGN [52] achieved better performance than LABNet by 1.2% and 0.41% respectively on Rank-1 metrics. However, LABNet achieves 3.6% better performance than SAVER [27] and 4% better performance than Appearance+License [15] in Rank-1 metrics.

Table 4 presents the VRID performance on the VERI-Wild [40] dataset. Our method achieves state-of-the-art results compared to the existing VRID techniques. LABNet outperforms PVEN [44] by 0.1% and 0.5% in the small subset on the mAP and Rank-1 metrics. For the medium test subset, LABNet achieved 1.4% and 0.9% gain over PVEN [44]. LABNet also outperforms PVEN [44] on the large test subset by 0.3% map and 1.1% Rank-1 metrics. The results show that LABNet is performing well on a large scale dataset. Since the VERI-Wild dataset is captured with unconstrained pose of the vehicles, our results indicate that LABNet achieves state-of-the-art pose invariant feature generation.

Table 5: Ablation study on VERI dataset

| Methods            | VERI mAP | VERI Rank-1 |
|--------------------|----------|-------------|
| Baseline+LGA+CB    | 84.6     | 97.9        |
| Baseline+LGA       | 84.6     | 97.3        |
| Baseline+LAP       | 83.1     | 96.5        |
| Baseline           | 84.2     | 97.4        |

Table 5 shows how each part of our proposed network improves performance on VERI [37] dataset. First, due to the backbone, data augmentation techniques, and training procedure, the baseline itself achieved superior performance. We also evaluated the feature aggregation performance using Local Average Pooling (LAP) instead of graph based aggregation to compare the performance. However, LAP reduced performance by 1.1% over the baseline on mAP. The Rank-1 performance was reduced by 0.9%. We then utilized our LGA module in the network and it improved performance by 0.4% on mAP. With the addition of Class Balanced (CB) loss, we achieved 0.6% improvement on Rank-1 performance compared to the Baseline+LGA. These experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of our method.

Table 6: Varying LGA on VehicleID dataset

| LGA Modules | small mAP | small Rank-1 | medium mAP | medium Rank-1 | large mAP | large Rank-1 |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1           | 89.6      | 83.9         | 86.36      | 80.52         | 83.76     | 77.44        |
| 1+2         | 89.64     | 84.02        | 86.24      | 80.18         | 83.48     | 77.2         |
| 1+2+3       | 89.46     | 84.0         | 86.08      | 80.06         | 83.84     | 77.56        |
| 1+2+3+4     | 89.94     | 84.56        | 86.5       | 80.7          | 83.5      | 77.14        |
| 1+2+3+4+5   | 90.2      | 85.04        | 85.86      | 79.62         | 83.54     | 77.2         |

Table 6 shows the results of further experiments that evaluate the performance for various depths of graph modules on the VehicleID [34] datasets. We found in our experiments that cascading multiple graph modules is beneficial on the small test set. However, the performance on the large test subsets is not affected by the number of LGA modules.
6.2. Qualitative results and visualization

Fig. 4 shows two successful cases of the proposed LABNet algorithm, where the top 4 predictions are included with the corresponding query images. For the partial occlusion scenario, LABNet successfully identifies the correct vehicle when the query image or the target images are partially occluded. For the viewpoint change scenario, the baseline method got confused with a vehicle having similar appearance and similar viewpoint. LABNet was able to successfully identify the correct vehicle even though there is slight viewpoint change in the third and fourth position.

7. Conclusion

In recent years, deep learning methods achieved significant improvements in the field of vehicle re-identification on various large scale benchmarks. In this paper, we proposed LABNet, a deep learning based method that further advances vehicle re-identification. Our method utilizes graph based feature aggregation on the backbone feature map to aggregate information explicitly among various spatial locations. We incorporate a class balanced loss with the softmax loss during training that improved feature learning on imbalanced datasets. We performed various experiments on multiple benchmarks and our method generally outperforms state-of-the-art methods. Finally, we show qualitative results to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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