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ABSTRACT
Accurate and efficient plasma models are essential to understand and control experimental devices. Existing magnetohydrodynamic or kinetic models are nonlinear and computationally intensive and can be difficult to interpret, while often only approximating the true dynamics. In this work, data-driven techniques recently developed in the field of fluid dynamics are leveraged to develop interpretable reduced-order models of plasmas that strike a balance between accuracy and efficiency. In particular, dynamic mode decomposition (DMD) is used to extract spatio-temporal magnetic coherent structures from the experimental and simulation datasets of the helicity injected torus with steady inductive (HIT-SI) experiment. Three-dimensional magnetic surface probes from the HIT-SI experiment are analyzed, along with companion simulations with synthetic internal magnetic probes. A number of leading variants of the DMD algorithm are compared, including the sparsity-promoting and optimized DMD. Optimized DMD results in the highest overall prediction accuracy, while sparsity-promoting DMD yields physically interpretable models that avoid overfitting. These DMD algorithms uncover several coherent magnetic modes that provide new physical insights into the inner plasma structure. These modes were subsequently used to discover a previously unobserved three-dimensional structure in the simulation, rotating at the second injector harmonic. Finally, using data from probes at experimentally accessible locations, DMD identifies a resistive kink mode, a ubiquitous instability seen in magnetized plasmas.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Understanding and eventually controlling the dynamics of plasmas is essential to the success of magnetic confinement fusion, a clean and sustainable energy source. To reach fusion-level conditions in experiments, a plasma must achieve sustained confinement. However, confinement can be degraded by kink, pressure-interchange, tearing, and ballooning modes as well as a wide array of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) and kinetic plasma phenomena. Characterizing plasma waves and instabilities is also of considerable interest to the astrophysical plasma physics community, including for understanding electrodynamic coupling between Saturn and its moons, the solar corona, and Earth’s magnetosphere. Improved understanding in this field may provide early detection of geomagnetic storms, reducing the economic impact of these events by billions of dollars. Despite the importance of modeling and controlling plasmas, the field is challenged by the presence of high-dimensional, nonlinear dynamics that exhibit multi-scale behavior in space and time. Despite using a simplified model of the dynamics, plasma simulations often remain very high-dimensional and multi-scale. Modeling real-world plasmas often requires computationally intensive simulations, precluding real-time control of laboratory plasmas.

This dynamic complexity and high computational cost motivate the development of reduced-order models that map the ambient high-dimensional space to a lower-dimensional feature space, where it is possible to model the evolution of dominant spatio-temporal coherent structures. Recent studies indicate that over 95% of the magnetic field energy in an experimental plasma device can be explained by as few as 5–10 spatio-temporal modes across a large range of parameter regimes, geometry, and degree of nonlinearity. This implies that...
the evolution of coherent structures, and possibly the bulk evolution of the plasma, can be understood using a low-dimensional model, with implications for physical discovery and real-time prediction and control. Nearly all fusion-relevant experiments have coherent edge fluctuations and zonal flows with significant effects on transport, including the Alcator C-Mod tokamak, the DIII-D tokamak, the TJ-II stellarator, the High Recycling Steady H-mode in the JFT-2M simulated HIT-SI discharges, suggesting that the device may be amenable to the use of recent inventions in mechanics. DMD produces spatio-temporal structures that are more physically meaningful in space and time. Although DMD is known to be sensitive to noise, several algorithms exist to address this issue.

**A. Dynamic mode decomposition for plasmas**

The dynamic mode decomposition (DMD) is a particularly promising technique, and recently, Taylor et al. have successfully applied it to build a sliding-window reduced-order model using only the major magnetic modes in HIT-SI—the axisymmetric spheromak and a pair of injector-driven modes. Their work showed that DMD produces spatio-temporal structures that are more physically interpretable than BOD modes and captures the vast majority of the magnetic energy with a simple rank-3 model in both experimental and simulated HIT-SI discharges, suggesting that the device may be amenable to control. Beyond the HIT-SI experiment, DMD has been successfully applied to identify limit-cycle dynamics in 2D turbulent cylindrical plasma simulations.

DMD is particularly attractive for physics research relevant to plasma waves and instabilities as it decomposes time-series signals into spatially correlated modes that are constrained to have periodic dynamics in time, possibly with a growth or decay rate. Thus, DMD results in a reduced set of spatial modes along with a linear model for how they evolve in time. Although DMD yields a linear model, the algorithm has strong connections to nonlinear dynamical systems via Koopman operator theory. There have also been several recent innovations and extensions to DMD that improve its ability to model complex systems, including for control, multi-resolution analysis, nonlinear observations, and modal analysis from data that are undersampled in space and time. Although DMD is known to be sensitive to noise, several algorithms exist to address this issue.

This collection of DMD algorithms may provide more efficient reduced-order models and deeper physical insight into the inner workings of plasmas.

**B. Contributions of this work**

In this paper, we extend the analysis of plasmas via DMD through the use of recent inventions in data-driven discovery, i.e., methods from the fields of statistics and optimization for investigating systems, which contain dynamical processes that may not be amenable to empirical models based on first-principles. We examine coherent magnetic structures in experimental and simulation HIT-SI data. Extensions of the original DMD algorithm, including the sparsity-promoting algorithm of Jovanović et al. and the optimized DMD of Askham and Kutz, lead to improvements in the characterization of physical modes beyond the two major magnetic structures and illustrate the ability of these methods to identify the full spatial and temporal dependence of a common plasma instability. In particular, we identify coherent modes beyond the dominant injector-driven modes and spheromak and, in conjunction with the simulation data, analyze previously unobserved 3D global structures. In addition, we fully characterize a resistive kink instability, illustrating that these methods can be used for instability identification and possibly for real-time control. To promote reproducible research and open science, the parallel python code used for this analysis can be found at https://github.com/akaptano/PlasmaPhysics_DMD. An overview of the workflow of methods and analysis in this paper is illustrated in Fig. 15.

The remainder of this paper is outlined as follows: Sec. II describes the geometry and experimental configuration of the HIT-SI device. In Sec. III, the BOD and the three DMD techniques are reviewed. Section IV compares these algorithms on experimental data to illustrate their strengths and weaknesses. In Sec. V, we investigate the magnetic structures observed in a simulation of a large-size version of HIT-SI, named BIG-HIT. The spatial structure of the dominant modes (i.e., the spheromak $f_0$ and the harmonics of the injector frequency $f_{inj}$) is analyzed and connected to physical understanding. A resistive (1,1) kink instability is characterized in excellent agreement with the full simulation data and theoretical prediction. Finally, comparisons in these simulations are made between the results obtained with 24 internal magnetic probes (synthetic probes in simulations) and those made with 5120 internal probes, to illustrate the power of these methods to retain their applicability on a sparse set of measurements.

**II. THE HIT-SI EXPERIMENT**

The helicity injected torus with steady inductive (HIT-SI) helicity injection experiment is an experiment investigating current drive and magnetic self-organization for magnetic confinement fusion, with an emphasis on studying formation and steady-state sustainment of a spheromak. This experiment exhibits coherent magnetic and velocity flow structures and therefore is an ideal choice for the application of these methods.

**A. Experimental setup**

HIT-SI consists of an axisymmetric main chamber that conserves magnetic flux and two inductive injectors (called the X injector and Y injector) mounted on each end as shown in Fig. 2. The HIT-SI experiment has an array of magnetic field probes that encircle four poloidal cross sections at toroidal angles $\phi = 0^\circ$, $45^\circ$, $180^\circ$, and $225^\circ$, illustrated on the right in Fig. 3. On the left side in Fig. 3, the 18 surface probes are shown in one of the four identical poloidal cross sections. There are also additional probes, labeled L05 and L06, which are spaced out every 22.5°, for a total of 96 probes. Each probe measures the components of the magnetic field, $B_r$, which are locally tangential to the conducting wall. With the exception of the probes labeled L05 and L06, the tangential directions are the toroidal $\phi$ and poloidal $\theta$ directions, and $B_r \approx 0$. The experimental probes have a time resolution $\Delta t \approx 2 \mu s$.

Circuits on each injector apply a voltage and an axial flux. These waveforms are oscillated in phase with typical frequencies...
$f_{inj} \approx 5-70$ kHz so that the cumulative power and magnetic helicity injected by the two injectors are approximately constant in time during the discharge. In an experimental shot, the spheromak is created during an initial formation period, and then sustained by the injectors. The analysis presented here will focus on this period of sustainment for all discharges considered in this paper. Because DMD associates the spheromak and the injectors each with a single oscillation frequency, the modes are denoted as $f_0 (f_0 \approx 0)$ and $f_{inj}$ throughout the paper. The higher harmonics of the injector frequency are $f_{inj}^2, f_{inj}^3$, and so on. A description of the equilibrium profile and postulated

**FIG. 1.** Illustration of the work flow of this paper applied to a set of plasma measurements. Spatio-temporal measurements are collected and are fit to a low-dimensional model with the dynamic mode decomposition. These coherent structures can be analyzed separately and used for forecasting future measurements.

**FIG. 2.** Left: a cross section of the device shows the toroidal structure, the two helicity injectors, the surface probes, and the diagnostic gap. Reproduced with permission from Wrobel et al., “Relaxation-time measurement via a time-dependent helicity balance model,” Phys. Plasmas, 20(1):012503 (2013). Copyright 2013 AIP Publishing. Right: Representative equilibrium during sustainment with an injector shows an axisymmetric spheromak (rainbow) surrounded by field lines tied to the injector (gray).
current drive mechanism during sustainment can be found in previous work.\textsuperscript{57} The sustainment period of each experimental discharge, indicated by the vertical black lines, is illustrated in Fig. 4.

In HIT-SI, some of the characteristic time scales overlap, such as the toroidal Alfvén time and the injector frequency forcing. The magnetic topology is fundamentally 3D and magnetic perturbation amplitudes are comparably large ($\langle \Delta B / |B| \rangle \approx 10\%$).\textsuperscript{58} Because experiments near fusion conditions often have well-separated characteristic scales and much smaller perturbation amplitudes,\textsuperscript{59} improved performance of these methods should be expected on these devices. Finally, diagnostic access is a key issue for magnetic confinement devices, and appears likely to decrease for reactor-scale machines,\textsuperscript{60} further motivating the methods developed here, which provide a path toward optimized reduced order models and control on sparse datasets.

B. Simulations of HIT-SI

In addition to analyzing experimental data in Sec. IV, in Sec. V, we investigate coherent magnetic structures for the BIG-HIT extended MHD simulations using the NIMROD code.\textsuperscript{62} NIMROD does not simulate the injector geometry and instead imposes boundary conditions on the top and bottom of the main chamber to model the injectors. BIG-HIT is identical to a typical HIT-SI simulation, but the device has been enlarged by a factor of 2.5. Morgan et al.\textsuperscript{51} provide more details on this simulation.

Simulations use the experimental surface probes as well as a set of internal probes, all of which measure the magnetic field. In principle, any set of measurements normalized to specific units may be used. For simplicity, all the measurements and later results are reported in units of Gauss, and hereafter, magnetic field units are omitted. In order to analyze the toroidal structure of the internal magnetic field, 32 internal magnetic probe (IMP) arrays are placed equally spaced toroidally at the axial location $Z = 0$, called the midplane. Each array contains 160 measurement points at equally spaced radial locations between $0 \leq R \leq 1.34$ m. It will be shown that a sparse set of only 24 well-separated IMPs captures the mode structures that are observed with the full 160 $\times$ 32 $= 5120$ IMPs, providing evidence that this analysis is relevant to experimental devices with a small number of unevenly spaced measurements.

C. Data format

All probe measurements at a fixed time $t_k$ are arranged into a column vector $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^D$, called a snapshot, where $D$ denotes the dimension of the measurement vector, given by the product of the number of spatial probe locations and the number of variables measured at each probe. These snapshots are arranged into a matrix $X$,\textsuperscript{20}

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_J \end{bmatrix}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

For both the experimental and simulation data without the internal probes, $D = 192$ and $S \approx 500 - 1000$, as typical discharges are $1 - 2$ ms with measurement resolution $\Delta t \approx 2 \mu s$. The small dataset used in Sec. V has 192 surface probes and 24 internal probes. The large simulation dataset has 192 surface probes and 5120 internal probes, for a total of $D = 5312$.

III. REDUCED-ORDER MODELS

Extracting coherent structures from high-dimensional data has been a central challenge in fluid mechanics and plasma physics for decades, but recent advances in data-driven modeling and data volume, quality, and availability have opened many new possibilities.\textsuperscript{21,22,27,29} Reduced-order models exploit these low-dimensional structures by mapping a high-dimensional ambient measurement space to a lower-dimensional feature space, where it is possible to describe the evolution of coherent structures. This low-dimensional space is ideal for physical understanding, computational efficiency, and closed-loop control.\textsuperscript{13,29} Here, we review two leading modal decomposition techniques, the biorthogonal decomposition (BOD)\textsuperscript{20} and the dynamic mode decomposition (DMD),\textsuperscript{25,30-32,49,50} Both methods are broadly applicable to data from simulations or experiments.

A. Biorthogonal decomposition

Both BOD and DMD are based on the singular value decomposition (SVD), which provides a low-rank approximation of the data matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times S}$ from Eq. (1),
where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times S}$ are unitary matrices and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times S}$ is a diagonal matrix containing non-negative and decreasing entries called the singular values of $X$. The singular values indicate how important the corresponding columns of $U$ and $V$ are for describing the structure in $X$. In many cases, it is possible to discard small values of $\Sigma$, resulting in a truncated matrix $\Sigma_r \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, and to approximate the matrix $X$ with only the first $r \ll \min(D, S)$ columns of $U$ and $V$, denoted $U_r$ and $V_r$.

$$X \approx U_r \Sigma_r V_r^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Throughout the paper, $V^*$ is used to denote the complex-conjugate transpose of a matrix $V$, $V^*$ to denote complex conjugation, and $V^{-1}$ to denote the pseudoinverse. The truncation rank $r$ is typically chosen to balance accuracy and complexity.\(^{21}\)

In practice, the BOD and the SVD are essentially synonymous; in the fluid mechanics community, this procedure is called the proper orthogonal decomposition (POD).\(^{21,25,26}\) If each column of $X$ corresponds to a set of spatial measurements at a particular time, as above, then the columns of $U$ form an orthogonal spatial basis, referred to as the *topos*, and the columns of $V$ similarly form an orthogonal temporal basis, referred to as the *chronos*. BOD has proven useful for interpreting plasma physics data across a range of parameter regimes.\(^{12,20,63}\) A typical SVD of HIT-SI surface probes produces three modes that comprise the vast majority of the signal energy, as quantified by the magnitude of the singular values.\(^{64}\) The first mode corresponds to the spheromak equilibrium, $f_\text{b0}$ $\approx 0$, while the second and third modes correspond to the injector fields, as they oscillate at approximately the injector frequency $f_{\text{inj}}^{\text{ph}}$. The fourth and fifth modes are sometimes the second harmonics of the injectors, but typically have a very low magnitude. Higher modes all appear to correspond to short-lived plasma events and signal noise.\(^{64}\)

Although all the BOD modes have a dominant frequency, they tend to mix the frequency content, as the SVD/BOD optimization identifies orthogonal modes purely based on energy content, and not to isolate frequency content. This frequency mixing in POD modes was one of the main motivations for the development of DMD in the fluids community. Other work\(^{25}\) has shown some promise with the BOD method to investigate kink instabilities, although in a very different experiment and parameter regime than HIT-SI. Previous HIT-SI work using the BOD has shown the identification of plasma-generated instability by subtracting the equilibrium and injector modes from the full reconstruction.\(^{65}\)

B. Dynamic mode decomposition

The dynamic mode decomposition is a matrix factorization technique which, like BOD, is based upon the SVD. DMD identifies spatial modes that are constrained to have coherent behavior in time, given by oscillations at a fixed frequency, potentially with exponential growth or decay. Thus, DMD provides a modal decomposition along with a linear reduced-order model for how these modes evolve in time.\(^{25,30–32}\) Identifying modes based on spatio-temporal coherence may avoid the breaking of coherent spatio-temporal structures, especially for plasmas with energetic waves and instabilities which are expected to oscillate, grow, or attenuate with approximately fixed frequency. Moreover, the connections to Koopman operator theory and the wealth of extensions make DMD a highly flexible choice that can be tailored for specific scientific goals. In this section, we will present the exact DMD formulation of Tu et al.\(^{29}\) which will provide a baseline comparison for the sparsity-promoting \(^{19}\) and optimized\(^{25}\) DMD algorithms in Secs. III C and III D.

The nonlinear evolution of the magnetic field may be approximated by a best-fit linear operator $A$ that evolves the state $x_t$ forward in time,

$$x_{t+1} \approx Ax_t.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

The dynamic mode decomposition approximates the leading eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the linear operator $A$. To approximate $A$ from data, we construct two matrices, $X$ and $X'$,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad X' = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_N \end{bmatrix},$$

which are related by

$$X' \approx AX.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

The best-fit linear operator $A$ that satisfies Eq. (5) is the solution to the following least squares optimization:

$$A = \arg \min_{\hat{A}} \| X' - AX \|_F = X' V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^*,$$

where $X^*$ is the pseudoinverse of the matrix $X$. However, when the measurement dimension $D$ is large, then $A$ is too large to analyze directly, and, instead, $A$ is projected onto the first $r$ singular vectors $U_r$,

$$\hat{A} = U_r^* A U_r = U_r^* X' V_r \Sigma_r^{-1}.$$

Next, the eigendecomposition of $\hat{A}$ is computed,

$$\hat{A} W = W \Lambda.$$

The diagonal matrix $\Lambda$ contains the eigenvalues $\lambda_j$ of $\hat{A}$, which are also eigenvalues of $A$. The corresponding eigenvectors of $\hat{A}$ (and $A$) may be computed as

$$\Phi = X' V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} W.$$

The columns $\phi_j$ of $\Phi$ are the DMD eigenvectors corresponding to DMD eigenvalues $\lambda_j$. It is then possible to reconstruct the state at time $k \Delta t$,

$$x_k = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \phi_j \lambda_j^{k-1} b_j = \Phi \lambda^{k-1} b,$$

where $b$ is a vector of DMD mode amplitudes. In the simplest case, it is possible to approximate $b = \Phi^* x_1$, although the sparsity-promoting and optimized variants below will provide more principled approaches to approximate $b$. The data matrix $X$ may then be written as

$$X \approx \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 & \cdots & \phi_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & b_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_1^{S-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_r & \cdots & \lambda_r^{S-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_j$ describe the discrete-time dynamical system in Eq. (4). It is often beneficial to analyze the corresponding continuous-time...
eigenvalues \( \omega_j = \log(\lambda_j)/\Delta t \), with \( \nu_j = \text{Re}(\omega_j)/2\pi, f_j = \text{Im}(\omega_j)/2\pi \). It is then possible to approximate the data matrix \( X \) as

\[
X \approx \begin{bmatrix}
\phi_1 & \ldots & \phi_r & b_1 & \cdots & b_T
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\phi_1 & \cdots & \phi_r
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
b_1 & \cdots & b_T
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon^{2\pi \nu_1} & \ldots & \epsilon^{2\pi \nu_{2r-1}}
\epsilon^{2\pi f_1} & \ldots & \epsilon^{2\pi f_{2r-1}}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \text{diag}(b) \) is a diagonal matrix of the mode amplitudes \( b \) and \( T(\alpha) \) is a Vandermonde matrix. Note that the continuous-time eigenvalues are computed from the entire time series, and the index \( k \) in \( \lambda^k \) serves only to indicate the amount of time elapsed (i.e., the eigenvalues are not recomputed every time step). The dynamics of each mode are separated, so that it is possible to isolate and examine a single spatio-temporal structure without the confounding effects of other modes. This will be particularly useful to characterize instability modes.

It is possible to obtain a better estimate of the mode amplitudes \( b \) with the following minimization problem:

\[
\min_b ||X - \Phi \text{diag}(b) \cdot T(\alpha)||_F. 
\]

This formulation is the basis of the DMD extensions.

C. Sparsity-promoting DMD

A central tension in reduced-order modeling is that including more modes often increases accuracy while reducing model interpretability. However, sparsity promotion through an addition \( L_1 \) penalty term has become a common technique for machine learning and data analysis because it can produce sparse and interpretable models in terms of a few essential modes. Jovanović et al. introduced an \( L_1 \) penalty in the DMD optimization,

\[
\min_b (||X - \Phi \text{diag}(b) \cdot T(\alpha)||_F + \gamma ||b||_1),
\]

to identify the key DMD modes. Here, \( \gamma \) determines the level of sparsity. Following Jovanović et al., we solve this optimization problem by writing it in a more convenient form which can be solved with the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM).^6^6

D. Optimized DMD

Depending on the scientific aims, the absence of a complete set of spatio-temporal DMD modes could be problematic. This lack of completeness implies that reconstructions of specific signals in the data matrix may be less accurate than the BOD. This could be an issue if a very accurate fit of a subset of the data is desired, either for data-driven discovery or for control purposes. The optimized DMD of Askham and Kutz addresses this issue by simultaneously considering the best-fit linear operator between all snapshots in time, as opposed to only considering sequential snapshots, as in the standard DMD. The optimized DMD results in excellent signal reconstructions, but at the cost of solving a potentially large, nonlinear optimization problem. The key to applying this method is a variable projection algorithm that simplifies the nonlinear optimization. An additional benefit is that snapshots are no longer required to be evenly spaced in time. Defining \( \Phi_b = \Phi \text{diag}(b) \), the nonlinear minimization problem is now

\[
\min_{\Phi,b} ||X - \Phi_b T(\alpha)||_F. 
\]

This problem is similar to the original DMD minimization, but the DMD fit is now optimized with respect to both \( \omega \) and \( \Phi_b \). This problem can be solved with a variable projection followed by the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, which relies on a QR decomposition. For speed, the code implements a parallel QR decomposition called direct TSQR. This algorithm is not guaranteed to find the global minima, but only a local one, so it benefits from an accurate initial guess. It is often useful to initialize using the results of the other DMD methods. Note that for real-valued data, the DMD methods give complex conjugate pairs. The implementation here breaks the complex conjugate symmetry although it is possible to explicitly retain this symmetry. This can be seen visually in Fig. 5(a). Reconstructions are built with the average of each mode and its complex conjugate, guaranteeing real-valued data.

There is often a trade-off between model interpretability and reconstruction accuracy. The sparsity-promoting DMD algorithm produces interpretable models, and the optimized DMD algorithm accurately reconstructs low-energy features and transient instabilities in the data. In this way, the strength of using a combination of DMD methods to understand a dynamic system is illustrated in Secs. IV and V. Throughout the paper, blue, red, and green are used for the exact DMD, sparsity-promoting DMD, and optimized DMD, respectively.

IV. COMPARISON OF DMD ALGORITHMS ON AN EXPERIMENTAL HIT-SI DISCHARGE

The exact, sparsity-promoting, and optimized DMD variants are compared on real experimental HIT-SI data in order to understand their relative strengths and weaknesses in identifying interpretable and accurate reduced-order models. In the following, an analysis of the high-performance experimental HIT-SI discharge 129499 (\( f_{\text{inj}} = 14.5 \) kHz), is performed. This discharge has been investigated extensively in previous studies.

A. DMD eigenvalues

The DMD eigenvalues determine the time evolution of the corresponding spatially coherent DMD modes. Figure 5(a) compares the eigenvalues for each of the three DMD methods; the eigenvalues are scaled by their amplitude \( |b_j| \). In each case, the SVD is truncated at \( r = 20 \) modes to avoid overfitting. The \( x \)-axis represents the imaginary component of the eigenvalue, and the \( y \)-axis represents the real component so that eigenvalues in the upper half plane are unstable and those in the lower half plane are stable. The exact and sparsity-promoting DMD variants result in complex conjugate eigenvalues pairs, which manifests as a symmetry about \( f_j = 0 \). However, optimized DMD does not necessarily result in these complex conjugate pairs of eigenvalues. The magnitude plot indicates that sparsity-promoting DMD is effective at isolating the three dominant modes, whereas exact and optimized DMD both result in spectra with many energetic modes. Although it appears that there are only two very energetic modes for optimized DMD, these modes decay extremely quickly, so that other modes become relatively more important. Thus, sparsity-promoting DMD is capable of extracting and isolating the leading large-scale magnetic structures in the experiment, providing enhanced interpretability. In contrast, Sec. V shows that optimized DMD is needed to extract and analyze small-scale transient modes for...
a more accurate fit. The large number of quickly decaying modes for all the methods indicates that despite the parsimonious $r = 20$ truncation, the dynamics can be well-fit with a lower-dimensional model. Another interpretation is that high-precision fits of the data require shorter time windows, suggesting a sliding-window DMD for forecasting and control.

B. DMD reconstruction and forecasting

A common scientific aim is an accurate reconstruction of diagnostic signals using a subset of the modes from a reduced-order model. Decomposing the signal dependence into coherent modes in a low-dimensional space is useful both for physical discovery and real-time control.

The advantage of the optimized DMD over the other algorithms is apparent from the reconstruction of a surface probe, as in Fig. 5(b). The exact DMD and sparsity-promoting DMD capture the bulk evolution, but the optimized DMD also captures the deviations. The DMD methods are trained on a subset of the data and then evolved in time to forecast the remaining data. The optimized DMD provides the most accurate forecast. However, this model contains exponentially growing modes that will eventually diverge. Including more than $r = 20$ modes causes optimized DMD to overfit and results in more unstable modes. These observations are reaffirmed quantitatively on simulation data in Sec. V.A.

V. DMD ANALYSIS ON BIG-HIT SIMULATIONS

Myriad linear and nonlinear coherent phenomena are observed in both space and laboratory plasmas. Physical understanding can be obtained from reduced-order models by extracting coherent structures, analyzing their temporal frequency content, and decomposing the spatial dependence into Fourier modes. The spatial Fourier dependence is important in many experimental devices to determine MHD stability. For toroidal devices, such as HIT-SI, the toroidal and poloidal Fourier wavenumbers are denoted as $(n, m)$. In HIT-SI, when the safety factor $q$ satisfies $q > 1$, it can be shown to be kink-unstable to the $(n, m) = (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), \ldots$ modes. Sawtooth oscillations

---

**FIG. 5.** Summary of the DMD analysis for the experimental discharge 129 499. (a) The DMD eigenvalues plotted in the complex plane, $\nu_j = \text{Re}(\omega_j)/2\pi$ and $f_j = \text{Im}(\omega_j)/2\pi$, for the experimental shot at $f_{\text{inj}} = 14.5$ kHz, weighted by $|b_j|$ until some minimum dot size; there are $r = 20$ modes. Modes above the dashed horizontal line are unstable. (b) The reconstruction and forecasting performance of each DMD method. The vertical black line indicates where forecasting begins. Optimized DMD provides the most accuracy but has growing modes that will eventually diverge. (a) DMD eigenvalues weighted by the amplitudes and (b) reconstruction and forecasting on a signal.
from these resistive kink modes are also common in toroidal devices when \( \min(q) < 1 < \max(q) \). Here, the DMD methods described in Sec. III are quantitatively compared based upon their ability to characterize the simulated large-size version of HIT-SI, named BIG-HIT. These 3D simulations were performed using a Hall-MHD model, assuming constant and uniform temperature and density. Relevant constants include the plasma temperature \( T = 71 \text{ eV} \), density \( n = 1.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \), resistivity \( \eta = 8.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ } \Omega \text{m} \), and injector frequency \( f_{ij} = 14.5 \text{ kHz} \). For more parameter details, see the original analysis and prior implementations of the model.51,71

For simplicity, and to demonstrate the ability of these methods to work on small subsets of data, only simulation data in the range \( 22.7 \text{ ms} \leq t \leq 28.5 \text{ ms} \) are used. Representative surface and internal probe \( B_0 \) time evolutions are depicted in Fig. 6 for this time range. The performance on a sparse and spatially well-separated dataset of 24 internal probes is compared with a large and uniformly spaced dataset of 5120 internal probes, in order to illustrate that the conclusions of this analysis on high-resolution data hold in the limit of far fewer probes.

**A. DMD reconstruction error**

Each extension of the dynamic mode decomposition has its particular strengths and weaknesses. In Sec. IV, the sparsity-promoting DMD resulted in interpretable models, while the optimized DMD provided excellent reconstructions of the experimental data. Now using BIG-HIT simulation data in the shortened window \( 22.7 \text{ ms} \leq t \leq 23.5 \text{ ms} \), Fig. 7 provides a quantitative comparison of the different DMD algorithms. For the exact and optimized methods, the relative reconstruction error is plotted as a function of the SVD truncation rank \( r \). The relative reconstruction error is defined as

\[
\epsilon = \frac{\|X - \Phi \text{diag}(b) T(\omega)\|_F}{\|X\|_F}.
\]

The sparsity-promoting scan is done with fixed \( r = 140 \) while \( \gamma \) is varied. The factor \( 1/\gamma \) is labeled on the top axis in Fig. 7(a) (and colored red to indicate it applies only to the sparsity-promoting DMD) so that it can be seen clearly that as \( \gamma \to 0 \), the sparsity-promoting results converge to exact DMD. The exact and optimized DMD methods use only the bottom axis, since the truncation number \( r \) is being varied. As \( \gamma \) increases, the reconstruction error of the sparsity-promoting DMD model also increases, as we would expect for a more parsimonious model.

At \( r \approx 140 \), the optimized DMD reconstruction error is an order of magnitude smaller than the exact DMD error (\( \epsilon \approx 0.009 \) against \( \epsilon \approx 0.05 \)). In fact, optimized DMD with \( r = 10 \) obtains the same reconstruction error as exact DMD with \( r = 140 \). However, at \( r = 160 \), the optimized DMD error increases significantly. In this case, the
DMD mode characterization

Physical insights into the dominant low-dimensional structures have the potential for improved understanding or control. In this section, sparsity-promoting DMD is used to characterize the dominant modes observed in BIG-HIT. Here, we will analyze the $B_{ij}$ measurements from the synthetic internal probes at the $Z=0$ midplane, as these are the most analogous quantities to those in the Poincaré plots used to detect kink activity in the simulations in later sections. Note that at $Z=0$, $B_z$ is either parallel or anti-parallel to $B_0$ and the sign choice does not affect the toroidal decomposition of this field (also, since all the internal probes are at $Z=0$, the internal probes cannot be poloidally decomposed). The reconstructions at $Z=0$ for the $f_{0-3}^{\text{pol}}$ and $f_{\text{link}}$ modes are illustrated in Fig. 8.

To analyze the spatial structure of each mode, reconstructions of the probe signals are created using only the relevant subset of DMD modes. These reconstructions map the probe locations to the proper location in $(R, \theta, \phi)$ space. A Fourier decomposition in the toroidal direction is performed separately for each set of probes with the same radial location. The toroidal decomposition for the internal probes is

$$B_z(R, \phi, t) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{N_{\text{max}}} \hat{B}_n^z(R, t) \cos (n\phi - \frac{\phi_{\text{pol}}}{\gamma_n}),$$

and the toroidal and poloidal decompositions for the surface probes are

$$B_0(R, \theta, \phi, t) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\text{max}}} \hat{B}_n^0(R, \theta, t) \cos (n\phi - \frac{\phi_{\text{pol}}}{\gamma_n}),$$

$$B_0(R, \theta, \phi, t) \approx \sum_{m=0}^{M_{\text{max}}} \hat{B}_m^0(R, \phi, t) \cos (m\theta - \frac{\phi_{\text{pol}}}{\gamma_m}).$$

To resolve the first $N_{\text{max}}$ modes, $2N_{\text{max}} + 1$ unique toroidal locations are required, and similarly for the poloidal direction. For evenly spaced measurements, the coefficients can be found directly by orthogonality. A general method of obtaining the coefficients of these decompositions for irregularly spaced angular measurements can be found in Appendix F in the reference on HIT-SI surface probes. Since the internal probes coefficients $B_n^z(R, t)$ are still too unwieldy to present clear results, especially for the set of 5120 internal probes, we instead illustrate the absolute value of the $\hat{B}_n^z(R, t)$ coefficients and average over the Fourier transforms obtained from different radial locations. This procedure results in

$$\langle \hat{B}_n^z(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{\text{rad}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{rad}}} |\hat{B}_n^z(R_i, t)|,$$

where $N_{\text{rad}}$ is the number of radial locations where a separate Fourier decomposition is performed. This quantity gives an average sense of the total toroidal dependence of the reconstructed $B_z$. For the poloidal Fourier decompositions, the four poloidal arrays of surface probes are separately decomposed and then similarly averaged (dropping the radial dependence since the probes in each array have identical radial locations).

![FIG. 8. $B_z$ at $Z=0$ of the sparsity-promoting DMD modes $f_0^{\text{pol}}, ..., f_3^{\text{pol}}$ and optimized DMD mode $f_{\text{link}}$, with each mode separately normalized by its maximum absolute value. The small dataset illustrated in the top row has resolution $\Delta R \approx 37 \text{ cm}$ and $\Delta \phi = 45^\circ$. In the bottom row, $\Delta R = 0.8 \text{ cm}$ and $\Delta \phi = 11.25^\circ$. The sparsity-promoting method captures the vast majority of the spatial structure for each mode even with the small dataset. The fine-scale structure in the kink instability is not captured with the small dataset. The relative mode amplitudes, rather than the amplitudes normalized by their maxima, can be found in Fig. 11.](image-url)
\[
\langle B_m^0(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \| \hat{B}_m(\phi_n, t) \|.
\]  

(17)

In Sec. IV, sparsity-promoting DMD was used to capture only the leading order structures, and so it is ideal for the analysis of the large coherent magnetic structures oscillating at \( f_{inj}^{(2)} \). This method is particularly relevant for noisy experimental devices to extract coherent modes while avoiding overfitting.

C. Sparsity-promoting DMD: First injector harmonic

The HIT-SI injectors drive large magnetic perturbations that sustain the spheromak. They are intentionally operated with an approximate \( n = 1 \) symmetry, but a full picture of the injector field structure is important for understanding the current drive and sustainment in this device. Reconstructions of the magnetic fields with only the injector mode reveal an overwhelming \( n = 1 \) dependence. There is also a phase shift of approximately 180° between the core and edge region of the plasma, shown in Fig. 8, consistent with ion doppler spectroscopy measurements on the experiment.\(^{55,56} \) A simulated version of this discharge shows similar results.\(^{56} \) This suggests a large-scale transition between the inner and outer regions of the plasma, perhaps contributing to a shear layer such as that expected with impose-dynamo current drive.\(^{7} \) This phase shift occurs at \( R \approx 0.8 - 0.9 \) m, close to the closed flux surfaces in the plasma.

While previous work observed this phase shift in the plasma flow velocity, HIT-SI is in a parameter regime where MHD is expected to capture much of the physics. To leading order, in the limit of ideal MHD, electrons and ions are tied to magnetic field lines, and the structure of the velocity and magnetic fields should be similar. However, the motivation for simulating Hall MHD is that this is not quite true; the ion inertial depth is \( \approx 6 \) cm and the ions are not frozen to the field. If the ion inertial depth effects are small for bulk oscillations, the structures in the velocity and magnetic fields can still be quite similar.

D. Sparsity-promoting DMD: Second injector harmonics

Sub-harmonic, harmonic, or nearly harmonic oscillations are a common feature observed in the nonlinear response to periodic inputs.\(^{57} \) Modes oscillating at the harmonics of the injector frequency are often identified by the DMD algorithms. Surprisingly, the DMD mode corresponding to the second harmonic depends mostly on the even toroidal numbers with dominant \( n = 2 \). Moreover, Fig. 8 shows that there is a phase shift of approximately 180° at \( R \approx 1.05 \) m and a smaller shift at \( R \approx 0.3 - 0.4 \) m. One possible interpretation is that the toroidally even part of the perturbation is filtered out where there is closed flux.

To investigate whether or not this mode corresponds to a physical structure, we directly analyze the BIG-HIT simulation \( B_{m=2}^{inj} \). We discover a rich, previously unobserved three-dimensional structure in the simulation, shown in Fig. 9. This structure wraps around the outside of the device by looping through the different injector mouths, and spirals down the core of the device, rotating at \( \Omega \approx f_{inj}^{(2)} \). A comparison of this structure at \( Z = 0 \) with the DMD reconstruction using only the \( f_{inj}^{(2)} \) mode shows surprising agreement. The reconstruction is able to capture much of the structure observed in the simulation, including the two phase shifts mentioned earlier.

We have verified that this mode is also present in HIT-SI simulations that evolve the full Hall-MHD equations, as opposed to BIG-HIT, which does not evolve temperature and density. These simulations have carefully chosen parameters to match the experiment, and are thus expected to be the most representative of the HIT-SI experiment. This is the first identification of a physical and coherent 3D structure in HIT-SI simulation or experiment beyond the dominant injector modes and the spheromak.

The \( f_{inj}^{(2)} \) mode exhibits mostly odd toroidal mode number dependence and a dominant \( n = 3 \) dependence at \( R \approx 0.1 \). However, this mode only accounts for approximately 1% of the total \( B_r \) energy and has similar Fourier dependence as the \( f_{inj}^{(2)} \) mode, making it exceedingly difficult to verify if this mode is contained in \( B_{r,25}^{inj} \) in the simulation, as was done for the \( f_{inj}^{(3)} \) mode. This difficulty should come as no surprise because DMD decomposes the magnetic field into different oscillating and rotating structures that may have a complicated Fourier structure. Decomposing the magnetic field into Fourier components may obfuscate the coherent structures. This is in fact one of the primary motivations for reduced order models.

E. Optimized DMD: Kink instability

Linear MHD stability is of considerable importance in the plasma physics community, especially for confinement devices. While the interpretable models of Secs. V.C and V.D allowed for the identification of large-scale physical structures while avoiding overfitting, the optimized DMD is useful for accurate modeling of transient instabilities over smaller time windows.

In Fig. 10, Poincaré plots from BIG-HIT show closed flux surfaces that exhibit an \( n = 1 \) structure and quasi-periodic sawtooth activity from a (1, 1) kink instability, which is consistent with the Kadaomtsev or Wesson models.\(^{59} \) The linear growth rate of the resistive \((n, m) = (1, 1)\) kink is

\[
\nu_{11} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\eta q(R_1) B_0(R_1)}{\mu_0 R_1^2 \rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

(18)

In the above equation, \( \rho \) is the mass density, \( R_1 \approx 0.9 \) m is the radius that satisfies \( q(R_1) \approx 1 \), and rough estimates from the previous BIG-HIT analysis yield \( q(R_1) \approx 0.05 \) and \( B_0(R_1) \approx 100 \) G. Evaluating with these values gives \( \nu_{11} \approx 1000 \) s\(^{-1} \).

Optimized DMD captures an \((n, m) = (1, 1)\) instability and obtains its growth rate for both datasets in the window \( 26.8 \) ms \( \leq t \leq 27.1 \) ms. This phenomenon is robust for a range of SVD truncation ranks from \( 10 \leq r \leq 50 \). When \( r < 10 \), the fit does not capture the exponential growth, and when \( r > 50 \), the poor initial guess results in convergence to a sub-optimal minimum. For values of \( 10 \leq r \leq 50 \), \( \nu_{11} \approx 600 - 1100 \) s\(^{-1} \) using 5120 internal measurements, and \( \nu_{11} \approx 600 - 2000 \) s\(^{-1} \) using 24 measurements, in excellent agreement with the estimate of \( \nu_{11} \approx 1000 \) s\(^{-1} \).
To account for some models resulting in many growing modes, only modes with $\nu_j > 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$ are retained; the major spheromak or injector modes are often below this threshold. Any modes oscillating within 1 kHz of the injector frequency are also rejected, in an attempt to control for modes directly driven by the injectors, which are known to have a $n = 1$ structure. The growth rate reported is the weighted average of the remaining growing modes. To validate this approach, the other two kink events observed in the full window $22.7 \text{ ms} \leq t \leq 28.5 \text{ ms}$ are analyzed. Again, the results indicate growth rates $\nu_{\text{kink}} \approx 500 - 2000 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and similar spatial dependence.

The toroidal and poloidal Fourier decompositions of the modes, reported in Fig. 11, indicate an $(n, m) = (1, 1)$ structure, and the contour plots in Fig. 8 illustrate dominant $n = 1$ dependence in the closed flux region. The surface probes indicate an $m = 1$ structure of the instability in Fig. 11, despite the broad spectrum. With the low-resolution dataset, the surface probes exhibit dominant $n = 4$ dependence. Many of the surface probe signals have barely perceptible changes during the transient instability, and thus it is reasonable that the spatial dependence of the instability cannot be consistently identified with these probes. The surface probe decomposition exhibits a dominant $n = 1$ structure for the high-resolution dataset, which may
be consistent with additional probes resulting in a better representation of the magnetic field dependence of the instability.

Originally, it appeared possible that the injectors directly drive the $n=1$ kinking, but this analysis suggests that plasma generated activity is responsible. Another simulation using a set of four injectors (two each on top and bottom) driving a primarily $n=2$ magnetic structure indicates periods of closed flux followed by an opening of the flux surfaces by an $n=1$ kink. This lends further evidence to identification of this kink mode independent of the primary injector magnetic configuration.
VI. CONCLUSION

The sparsity-promoting and optimized variants of the dynamic mode decomposition have been shown to enable the discovery of novel magnetic structures from a sparse set of measurements of a driven spheromak. Spatio-temporal modes corresponding to the injector harmonics are identified, along with the characterization of a resistive (1, 1) kink instability. Furthermore, the evolution of these modes is accurately captured by a low-rank, interpretable, and linear model, demonstrating the potential for forecasting and real-time control. Importantly, we demonstrate the effectiveness of DMD on data from both the HIT-SI experiment and accompanying BIG-HIT simulations.

The sparsity-promoting DMD is shown to provide an interpretable and physical model of the major magnetic modes while avoiding overfitting. This model leads to the new discovery of physical coherent structures in the BIG-HIT simulation. The \( f^{(1)}_{3/2} \) structure corresponds to the dominant part of the driven injector fields. The \( f^{(1)}_{1/2} \) mode on the midplane was used to uncover a previously unobserved 3D structure in the simulation that oscillates at \( f^{(1)}_{1/2} \), has an \( n = 2 \) toroidal Fourier dependence, and spirals through the injectors near the boundary of the device.

The optimized DMD demonstrates more accurate signal reconstruction that may be useful for forecasting and characterizing smaller-scale coherent structures. Unlike the other methods, the optimized DMD enables the full characterization of a resistive kink instability on a small time window, indicating the ability for robust data-driven identification of MHD instabilities, with implications for real-time control. These methods, with online training and communication with other machine learning techniques with offline training, \(^{74–76}\) could prove to be useful for disruption mitigation. If both the discovery of interpretable dynamics and the accurate characterization of instabilities are desired, the authors recommend a joint use of both the sparsity-promoting and optimized DMD algorithms, as illustrated here.

While this paper has focused on magnetic measurements from a number of simple probes, in principle, these methods only rely on a set of sparse experimental measurements of any relevant plasma quantity. Thus, they should be highly applicable to a wealth of different diagnostics and plasmas spanning much of the possible parameter space. Although all reduced-order methods discussed here result in global spatial modes, the analysis can be restricted to small-scale spatial structures by using a small number of nearby probes. Despite the localization of the resistive kink instability near the closed flux, it was successfully identified using these methods by radially averaging over the internal probe arrays and visually confirming the \( n = 1 \) structure in the closed flux region. To capture transient modes such as the resistive kink identified in this paper, the methods can be applied on a small time window. This flexibility and generality make DMD an excellent choice for the discovery of coherent plasma structures and instabilities and subsequent attempts to control them.

There are a number of important avenues of future research, which are suggested by this analysis. Zonal flows are ubiquitous, and their spatio-temporal structures are important for turbulent transport. A detailed analysis of the low frequency global potential oscillations on the TJ-II stellarator indicated the spatial structure had high sensitivity to the mean electric field profile. \(^{17}\) A data-driven DMD model for the evolution of the spatial structure of the zonal flows may facilitate future control over the turbulent transport properties mediated by this mode.

There are also a number of existing techniques that are specific to a certain class of dynamics arising in plasmas. For instance, bispectral analysis \(^{77,78}\) has traditionally been used for the identification and analysis of nonlinear wave interactions. For plasmas with important small-scale and transient turbulent structures, the (bi)orthogonal wavelet decomposition and reduced-order methods based on wavelets, \(^{29}\) such as multi-resolution DMD \(^{30}\) and multi-resolution biorthogonal decomposition, \(^{31}\) show significant promise. These would be ideal for the analysis of coherent structures with small spatial and temporal correlation lengths; for instance, quasi-coherent modes of this sort appear to be universal in the edge of Alcator C-Mod plasmas. \(^{81}\) The DMD framework has several other extensions that may improve the analysis of complex experimental plasmas, which often have limited measurements, complex multi-scale dynamics, and actuation. Another promising model for mapping non-linear dynamics onto approximate linear representations is the Hankel alternative view of Koopman (HAVOK) analysis, \(^{82}\) which utilizes time-delay coordinates to enrich the sensor space.

Discovering the underlying coherent structures also facilitates control. \(^{13}\) There are many unsolved open and closed loop control problems in experimental plasma devices. \(^{83,84}\) The DMD algorithm has been previously extended to decompose signals while disambiguating the system dynamics from the effects of external forcing. This is ideal for discovering and then controlling plasma-generated dynamics. In fact, DMD with control (DMDc) has shown significant improvement over the traditional method on externally forced systems. \(^{31}\) For the purposes of this work, it was found that performance was similar when injector current waveforms were treated as actuators. However, for other experimental devices, accounting for external actuation may significantly improve discovery of plasma dynamics.
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