Antimicrobial Potential of *Sonneratia alba* and *Sonneratia caseolaris* against Shrimp Pathogens
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ABSTRACT

Disease is one of the obstacles in shrimp farming. Many countries have experienced economic losses due to disease in shrimp caused by microbes. Many strategies are being used to overcome the problem such as antibiotics, formalin, probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics, and others. However, the use antibiotics in long term can cause negative effects. So that, the development of potential new natural compounds is required to overcome this problem. This review article aims to explain the nutritional content, bioactive compounds, antimicrobial potential, and the effect of *S. alba* and *S. caseolaris* on shrimp survival. *Sonneratia alba* and *Sonneratia caseolaris* are plants that have many bioactive compounds such as alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, and phenolics. They have also been shown to inhibit the growth of bacteria such as *Vibrio harveyi*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Saprolegnia* sp., and others. Application of *S. alba* and *S. caseolaris* can also increase the survival rate of infected shrimps. *S. alba* and *S. caseolaris* have the potential to be used as antimicrobial agents and can be used to protect shrimp from microbial pathogens.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Shrimp is a popular seafood commodity among global communities. Based on data from Research and Markets [1], the number of shrimps being traded reaches 8.12 million tons in 2021. Demand for shrimp is estimated to continue to increase and reach a value of US $
24.1 billion with a volume reaching 10.7 million in 2026. The major countries that produce shrimp are China, India, Vietnam, and Indonesia. While the United States is the largest consumer. Two of the most popular and produced shrimp commodities are *Penaeus monodon* and *Litopenaeus vannamei*. In Indonesia, *L. vannamei* is the main export commodity compared to other fishery commodities. In 2011, shrimp exports contributed around USD 1.5 billion, while fish exports amounted to 1 billion and seaweed was 0.2 billion [2].

However, shrimp farming also has various obstacles. One of the obstacles is the presence of diseases caused by bacteria or viruses such as white feces disease (WFD), white spot syndrome virus (WSSV), epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS), and acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND). Disease attack on shrimp production has caused economic losses in various countries. During 2010-2017, the economic loss on Mahachai Market, Thailand was estimated at USD 7.38 billion. The economic losses due to AHPND in VietNam's Mekong Delta in 2015 were estimated at more than USD 26 million, and the WSSV resulted in loss of more than USD 11 million [3].

White feces disease (WFD) is caused by bacteria from the genus *Vibrio* such as *V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. fluvialis*, *V. vulnificus*, *V. mimicus*, *V. alginolyticus*, *V. cholera* [4] and *V. Harveyi* [5]. This disease can cause mortality in *L. vannamei* shrimp as much as 30% [4]. Symptoms of this disease are discoloration of hepatopancreas and white feces, floating on the water surface, and white intestine [5]. White spot syndrome virus (WSSV) has caused mass mortality in the shrimp culture industry. This virus can cause white spots on the carapace, loose cuticles, decreased feed intake [6], weakness, pale hepatopancreas, unstable swimming, and redness of the abdomen [7]. Acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease can cause the death of 100% of postlarvae shrimp in the pond within 20-30 days. The symptoms that arise in shrimp infected with these bacteria are empty intestine, atrophy, and pale hepatopancreas [8].

Cultivators prevent disease attacks in various ways, namely formalin, antibiotics [9] probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics [10]. Formalin has been proved that effective for controlling WSSV in water [11]. However, giving antibiotics in large doses, and long term can cause resistance to pathogens. Antibiotics can also accumulate in the body of shrimp [9]. Therefore, the search for alternative natural materials to prevent and treat various pathogens that cause shrimp disease needs to be done.

*Sonnerratia alba* and *S. caseolaris* are natural ingredients that have potential as antibacterial and antiviral. Both of these plants live in the mangrove ecosystem. Both of these plants contain various bioactive compounds such as phenolic compounds, saponins, tannins and steroids [12-13]. This article aims to describe the content of the compounds in various parts of *S. alba* and *S. caseolaris* and their potential as antimicrobials as a prevention of disease infection in shrimp.

2. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF *Sonnerratia alba*

*Sonnerratia alba* is an evergreen tree that is classified as a true mangrove plant. Its height can reach 15 m with a trunk diameter of 30-40 cm. Brown bark. Leaves about 5-12 cm long, and 4-8 cm wide. The flowers are white and bisexual. Globular green fruit surrounded by sepalas [14-15]. *S. alba* is surrounded by thick and numerous pneumatophores [16].

Various useful chemical compounds are contained in various parts of *S. alba*. The bark has been shown to contain phenolic compounds with a lactone ring [17], triterpenoids [18]. The leaves contain saponins, tannins, phenols [19], and steroids [12]. The fruit contains phenolic compounds, flavonoids, triterpenoids, tannins, steroids [20]. *S. alba* fruit is known to contain 0.93 mg/g protein, 14.9 mg/100 g of total sugar, 40 mg/100 grams of vitamin C, and 52.78%, 0.063 mg/g of Mn, 0.72 mg/g of Zn, and 0.51 mg/g of Fe [21]. Young *S. alba* fruit contains 8.735% protein, 1.44% fat, and 74.12% carbohydrate. The old *S. alba* fruit contains 8.34% protein, 1.54% fat, and 75.1% carbohydrate [22]. Table 1 shows the various chemical compounds in various parts of the *S. alba* plant.

3. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF *Sonnerratia caseolaris*

*Sonnerratia caseolaris* is also an evergreen tree that is included in true mangroves. It can reach 20 m in height with a trunk diameter of 30 cm. This plant also has pneumatophores or aerial roots. It has red flowers with green sepalas. The fruit is round and contains a lot of seeds [26].
Sonneratia caseolaris fruit is known to contain 46.58 mg/100 grams of total sugar, 187.46 mg/100 grams of vitamin C, and 52.78% protein [27]. Another study conducted by Dari et al (2020) [28] showed that S. caseolaris fruit juice contained 0.67% carbohydrate, 0.28% protein, 0.06% ash content, 0.32% fiber, and 15% vitamin C levels. S. caseolaris fruit juice was also proven to have 90.19% antioxidant activity. The ethanol extract of the fruit contains saponins, sapogenins, terpenoids, flavonoids, tannins, and polyphenols [13]. Meanwhile, the acetate extract contains flavonoids, saponins, tannins, and phenolics. In the ethanol extract found alkaloids, saponins, and phenolics [29]. The leaves of Sonneratia caseolaris contain alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, phenolics, steroids, triterpenoids [30], saponins [31]. The stem contains steroids [31]. The methanol extract of the bark is proven to contain saponins, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, steroids [32]. Table 2 shows the various chemical compounds in various parts of the S. caseolaris.

4. ANTIBACTERIAL POTENTIAL OF Sonneratia alba AND Sonneratia caseolaris

With various secondary metabolite contents, these two types of mangroves trees have the potential to be used as antimicrobials that fight various disease-causing pathogens in shrimp and other pathogenic bacteria. Flavonoids contained in these two types of mangroves are known to inhibit bacteria by damaging the permeability of bacterial cell walls, binding to functional cell proteins and bacterial DNA so that growth does not occur [34], inhibits cell wall formation, cell membrane formation, and respiration [35]. The phenolic mechanism in inhibiting bacteria is to damage cell membranes, cell nucleus leakage, and damage cell content [34]. The mechanism of terpenoids in inhibiting bacteria is by causing membrane disruption [36]. Alkaloids can inhibit the bacterial nucleic acid synthesis and bacterial cell division [37]. Saponins can reduce cell surface tension thereby increasing cell permeability and leakage of cells [38]. Tannins can inhibit bacterial growth by inhibiting extracellular microbial enzymes and inhibiting the oxidative phosphorylation process [39].

Several studies have shown that S. alba leaves can inhibit the growth of Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Vibrio harveyi, Aeromonas hydrophila, and Saprolegnia sp. [40], and Salmonella sp. [41]. The fruit of S. caseolaris is known to inhibit the growth of E.coli, V. Cholerae, S.typhimurium, Bacillus subtilis [42]. while the leaf methanol extract can inhibit Shigella dysenteriae, Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella pneumonia, Enterobacter sakazaki, E. brevis, Chryseobacterium indologenes. Stenotrophomas maltophilia, A. hydrophila [43]. Table 3 shows S. alba inhibition zone and Table 4 shows the S. caseolaris inhibition zone against various bacteria.

5. THE EFFECT OF Sonneratia alba AND Sonneratia caseolaris AGAINST BACTERIAL INFECTION ON SHRIMP

Application of S. caseolaris to shrimp has been shown to increase the survival rate in shrimp infected with disease-causing bacteria. Arifuddin et al. [46] conducted a study by injecting hydroquinone extracted from S. caseolaris into the Penaeus monodon muscle. Shrimp were infected by V. harveyi at two test times, namely the day before hydroquinone administration and 7 days after hydroquinone administration. The results showed that the survival rate of shrimp given hydroquinone extract S. caseolaris was higher than the control. The total number of V. harveyi bacteria in the shrimp body increased the day after infection by bacteria, but the number of bacteria decreased after being given hydroquinone extract from S. caseolaris. Similar results were obtained in the study of Maryani et al. [47] which examined the effect of S. caseolaris calyx and fruit extracts on V. harveyi infection in P. monodon shrimp. Application of S. caseolaris petal and fruit extracts can increase the survival rate of shrimp. The administration of this extract also increases the resistance of shrimp after infected by bacteria V. harveyi and decreasing of bacteria level in the body of shrimp.

Application 20 ppm of S. alba fruit extract to giant tiger prawn postlarvae through a feed of Artemia salina can increase the survival rate in shrimp infected with V. harveyi. The survival rate reached 78.33% [34]. Freshwater ethanol extract, and saline water of S. alba can also inhibit the growth of Saprolegnia sp in shrimp. This can be seen based on the survival rate value of shrimp given S. alba higher than control [48].
Table 1. Compound content in various parts of *S. alba*

| Part of Plant | Solvent       | Bioactive compound                                                                 | Reference |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Leaf          | Methanol      | Phenolics, saponins, tannins, and steroids                                          | [12]      |
|               | Ethyl acetate | Phenolics, tannins, steroids                                                        |           |
|               | N-hexane      | Steroids                                                                           |           |
| Leaf          | Methanol      | Lupeol (1), Oleanic acid (2), β-Sitosterol (3), β-stigmasterol (4), and Sitost-4-en-3-one | [23]      |
| Leaf          | Dichloromethane| Ursolic acid, squalene                                                             | [24]      |
| Leaf          | Ethanol       | Saponins, tannins, Phenolics                                                        | [19]      |
| Leaf          | Water         | Tannins, phenolics                                                                  |           |
| Fruit         | Methanol      | Alkaloids, flavonoids, phenolics, tannins, steroids                                 | [25]      |
| Fruit         | N-hexane      | Phenolics, flavonoids, steroids, triterpenoids                                      | [20]      |
| Fruit         | Ethyl acetate | Phenolic, flavonoids, tannins, triterpenoids                                       |           |
| Fruit         | Water         | Phenolics, flavonoids, tannins, steroids                                            |           |
| Fruit         | Methanol      | Phenolics, flavonoids, tannins, steroids                                            |           |
| Fruit         | Dichloromethane| Oleanolic acid, ursolic acid (1b), α-amyrin cinnamate, β-amyrin cinnamate, β-sitosterol, and stigmasterol. | [24]  |
| Bark          | Methanol      | Phenolic with lactone rings                                                        | [17]      |
| Bark          | N-hexane, ethyl acetate and Methanol | 3β-hydroxy-lup-9(11),12–diene, 28-oic acid, lupeol, lupan-3β-ol (3) | [18]      |

Table 2. Compound content in various parts of *S. caseolaris*

| Part of Plant | Solvent       | Bioactive compound                                                                 | Reference |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Fruit         | Ethanol       | Saponins, sapogenins, terpenoids, flavonoids, tannins, polyphenols                  | [13]      |
| Fruit         | Acetate       | Flavonoids, saponins, tannins, and phenolics.                                      | [30]      |
| Fruit         | Ethanol       | Alkaloids, saponins, and phenolic                                                 | [29]      |
| Leaf          | Ethanol       | Alkaloid, flavonoid, tannins, phenolic, steroid, triterpenoid                      | [30]      |
| Leaf          | Ethanol       | Saponins                                                                           | [31]      |
| Stem          | Ethanol       | Steroids                                                                            | [31]      |
| Bark          | Methanol      | Saponins, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, steroids                                  | [32]      |
| Bark          | Ethyl acetate | Flavonoids                                                                          | [33]      |
Table 3. Inhibition zone of *S. alba* extract to microbe

| Bacterial strains  | Extract       | Concentration | Inhibition zone (mm) | Reference |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|
| *Vibrio harveyi*   | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 12.67                | [40]      |
| *V. harveyi*       | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 10.67                | [40]      |
| *V. harveyi*       | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 12.33                | [40]      |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* | Ethanol   | 1000 ppm      | 13.00                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.67                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 12.33                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Ethyl acetate | 100%          | 35.6                 | [41]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Methanol      | 1.5 mg        | 12.5                 | [44]      |
| *Escherichia coli* | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 12.67                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.00                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 12.33                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Ethyl acetate | 100%          | 36.2                 | [41]      |
| *E. coli*          | Methanol      | 1.5 mg        | 17.5                 | [44]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 12.00                | [40]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.33                | [40]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 11.67                | [40]      |
| *Aeromonas hydrophila* | Ethanol | 1000 ppm      | 13.00                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 11.67                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.00                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 12.33                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Ethyl acetate | 100%          | 36.2                 | [41]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Methanol      | 1.5 mg        | 17.5                 | [44]      |
| *Escherichia coli* | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 12.67                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.00                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 12.33                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Ethyl acetate | 100%          | 36.2                 | [41]      |
| *E. coli*          | Methanol      | 1.5 mg        | 17.5                 | [44]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 12.00                | [40]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.33                | [40]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 11.67                | [40]      |
| *Aeromonas hydrophila* | Ethanol | 1000 ppm      | 13.00                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 11.67                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.00                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 12.33                | [40]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Ethyl acetate | 100%          | 36.2                 | [41]      |
| *S. aureus*        | Methanol      | 1.5 mg        | 17.5                 | [44]      |
| *Escherichia coli* | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 12.67                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.00                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 12.33                | [40]      |
| *E. coli*          | Ethyl acetate | 100%          | 36.2                 | [41]      |
| *E. coli*          | Methanol      | 1.5 mg        | 17.5                 | [44]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Ethanol       | 1000 ppm      | 12.00                | [40]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Water         | 1000 ppm      | 11.33                | [40]      |
| *Saprolegnia sp.* | Seawater      | 1000 ppm      | 11.67                | [40]      |

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, *Sonneratia alba* and *Sonneratia caseolaris* contains a lot of nutrition and bioactive compounds such as protein, carbohydrate, alkaloid, flavonoid, tannins, terpenoid, saponin, phenolic, and steroid. They can inhibit the growth of bacterial strains. *Sonneratia alba* and *Sonneratia caseolaris* can also increase the survival rate of shrimps. So, these plants may be an excellent source to develop antibacterial agents to prevent and cure pathogenic diseases in shrimp.
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