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Date: 5 May 2020.
Abstract. In [32] and [33] I studied various topics related to an embedding of the category of admissible representations of a locally $p$-adic Lie group $G$ into the derived category of an additive category called the monomial category of $G$. By [33] this material had crystallised sufficiently that it was all about the hyperHecke algebra and its bar resolution. This is not too surprising because the connection between admissible representations and modules over the classical Hecke algebra is a fundamental connection often used by the Langlands Programme professionals ([15]; [29]). The hyperHecke algebra generalises the classical Hecke algebra and in the case of finite groups it was implicit in the stable homotopy results related to the Segal conjecture [23] - an area of algebraic topology which led to the first explicit formula for Brauer’s induction theorem [30]. However, even in the finite group case the hyperHecke algebra never quite got invented, as far as I know, in the “Segal conjecture years”.

In another, but related, direction Hopf algebras (notably PSH algebras) entered into admissible representation theory of general linear groups in [2], [3] and [35].

This sequel to [32] and [33] studies some PSH algebras and their numerical invariants, which generalise the epsilon factors of the local Langlands Programme. It also describes a conjectural Hopf algebra structure on the sum of the hyperHecke algebras of products of the general linear groups over a $p$-adic local field or a finite field.

1. Introduction

This essay is a sequel to [32] and [33]. It is a first attempt at clarifying the role of Hopf algebras in their approach towards the representation theory of locally profinite Lie groups.

I am very grateful to Francesco Mezzadri for showing me his (then) conjectural formula for the analogue for symmetric groups of the Kondo-Gauss sums for $GL_n \mathbb{F}_q$. The formula appears in ([32] Appendix III, §4) together with my proof that it respects the PSH algebra product. That formula started me thinking about numerical invariants for other Hopf (or PSH-) algebras and thence to wondering whether the hyperHecke algebras of general linear groups formed an analogous Hopf algebra.

2. PSH-algebras over the integers

2.1. A PSH-algebra is a connected, positive self-adjoint Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$. The notion was introduced in [35]. Let $R = \oplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ be an augmented graded ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ with multiplication

$$m : R \otimes R \longrightarrow R.$$ 

Suppose also that $R$ is connected, which means that there is an augmentation ring homomorphism of the form

$$\epsilon : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow R_0 \subset R.$$ 

These maps satisfy associativity and unit conditions.
Associativity:

\[ m(m \otimes 1) = m(1 \otimes m) : R \otimes R \otimes R \rightarrow R. \]

Unit:

\[ m(1 \otimes \varepsilon) = 1 = m(\varepsilon \otimes 1); R \otimes \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes R \rightarrow R \otimes R \rightarrow R. \]

\( R \) is a Hopf algebra if, in addition, there exist comultiplication and counit homomorphisms

\[ m^* : R \rightarrow R \otimes R \]

and

\[ \varepsilon^* : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \]

such that

\( m^* \) is a ring homomorphism with respect to the product \( (x \otimes y)(x' \otimes y') = xx' \otimes yy' \) on \( R \otimes R \) and \( \varepsilon^* \) is a ring homomorphism restricting to an isomorphism on \( R_0 \). The homomorphism \( m \) is a coalgebra homomorphism with respect to \( m^* \).

The \( m^* \) and \( \varepsilon^* \) also satisfy

Coassociativity:

\[ (m^* \otimes 1)m^* = (1 \otimes m^*)m^* : R \rightarrow R \otimes R \otimes R \rightarrow R \otimes R \otimes R \]

Counit:

\[ m(1 \otimes \varepsilon) = 1 = m(\varepsilon \otimes 1); R \otimes \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes R \rightarrow R \otimes R \rightarrow R. \]

\( R \) is a cocomutative if

Cocommutative:

\[ m^* = T \cdot m^* : R \rightarrow R \otimes R \]

where \( T(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x \) on \( R \otimes R \).

Suppose now that each \( R_n \) (and hence \( R \) by direct-sum of bases) is a free abelian group with a distinguished \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis denoted by \( \Omega(R_n) \). Hence \( \Omega(R) \) is the disjoint union of the \( \Omega(R_n) \)'s. With respect to the choice of basis the positive elements \( R^+ \) of \( R \) are defined by

\[ R^+ = \{ r \in R \mid r = \sum m_\omega \omega, \ m_\omega \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega(R) \}. \]

Motivated by the representation theoretic examples the elements of \( \Omega(R) \) are called the irreducible elements of \( R \) and if \( r = \sum m_\omega \omega \in R^+ \) the elements \( \omega \in \Omega(R) \) with \( m_\omega > 0 \) are call the irreducible constituents of \( r \).

Using the tensor products of basis elements as a basis for \( R \otimes R \) we can similarly define \( (R \otimes R)^+ \) and irreducible constituents etc.

Positivity:

\( R \) is a positive Hopf algebra if
\[ m(R \otimes R)^+ \subset R^+, m^*(R^+) \subset (R \otimes R)^+, \epsilon(Z^+) \subset R^+, \epsilon^*(R^+) \subset Z^+. \]

Define inner products \( \langle -, - \rangle \) on \( R, R \otimes R \) and \( Z \) by requiring the chosen basis \( (\Omega(Z) = \{1\}) \) to be an orthonormal basis.

A positive Hopf \( Z \)-algebra is self-adjoint if

Self-adjoint:

- \( m \) and \( m^* \) are adjoint to each other and so are \( \epsilon \) and \( \epsilon^* \). That is
  \[ \langle m(x \otimes y), z \rangle = \langle x \otimes y, m^*z \rangle \]

and similarly for \( \epsilon, \epsilon^* \).

The subgroup of primitive elements \( P \subset R \) is given by

\[ P = \{ r \in R \mid m^*(r) = r \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes r \} \]

3. THE DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

Let \( \{ R_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \} \) be a family of PSH algebras. Define the tensor product PSH algebra

\[ R = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} R_\alpha \]

to be the inductive limit of the finite tensor products \( \bigotimes_{\alpha \in S} R_\alpha \) with \( S \subset \mathcal{A} \) a finite subset. Define \( \Omega(R) \) to be the disjoint union over finite subsets \( S \) of \( \prod_{\alpha \in S} \Omega(R_\alpha) \).

The following result of the PSH analogue of a structure theorem for Hopf algebras over the rationals due to Milnor-Moore [24]

**Theorem 3.1.**

Any PSH algebra \( R \) decomposes into the tensor product of PSH algebras with only one irreducible primitive element. Precisely, let \( \mathcal{C} = \Omega \cap P \) denote the set of irreducible primitive elements in \( R \). For any \( \rho \in \mathcal{C} \) set

\[ \Omega(\rho) = \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \langle \omega, \rho^n \rangle \neq 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0 \} \]

and

\[ R(\rho) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega(\rho)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \omega. \]

Then \( R(\rho) \) is a PSH algebra with set of irreducible elements \( \Omega(\rho) \), whose unique irreducible primitive is \( \rho \) and

\[ R = \bigotimes_{\rho \in \mathcal{C}} R(\rho). \]

4. THE PSH ALGEBRA OF \( \{ GL_{m \mathbb{F}_q}, m \geq 0 \} \)

4.1. Let \( R(G) \) denote the complex representation ring of a finite group \( G \). Set

\[ R = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} R(GL_{m \mathbb{F}_q}) \]

with the interpretation that \( R_0 \cong \mathbb{Z} \), an isomorphism which gives both a choice of unit and counit for \( R \).

Let \( U_{k,m-k} \subset GL_{m \mathbb{F}_q} \) denote the subgroup of matrices of the form

\[ X = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & W \\ 0 & I_{m-k} \end{pmatrix} \]
where $W$ is an $k \times (m - k)$ matrix. Let $P_{k,m-k}$ denote the parabolic subgroup of $GL_{m}\mathbb{F}_q$ given by matrices obtained by replacing the identity matrices $I_k$ and $I_{m-k}$ in the condition for membership of $U_{k,m-k}$ by matrices from $GL_k\mathbb{F}_q$ and $GL_{m-k}\mathbb{F}_q$ respectively. Hence there is a group extension of the form

$$U_{k,m-k} \rightarrow P_{k,m-k} \rightarrow GL_k\mathbb{F}_q \times GL_{m-k}\mathbb{F}_q.$$  

If $V$ is a complex representation of $GL_{m}\mathbb{F}_q$ then the fixed points $V^{U_{k,m-k}}$ is a representation of $GL_k\mathbb{F}_q \times GL_{m-k}\mathbb{F}_q$ which gives the $(k, m - k)$ component of $m^* : R \rightarrow R \otimes R$. Given a representation $W$ of $GL_k\mathbb{F}_q \times GL_{m-k}\mathbb{F}_q$ so that $W \in R_k \otimes R_{m-k}$ we may form

$$\text{Ind}^{GL_{m}\mathbb{F}_q}_{P_{k,m-k}}(\text{Inf}^{GL_k\mathbb{F}_q \times GL_{m-k}\mathbb{F}_q}_{P_{k,m-k}}(W))$$

which gives the $(k, m - k)$ component of $m : R \otimes R \rightarrow R$.  

We choose a basis for $R_m$ to be the irreducible representations of $GL_{m}\mathbb{F}_q$ so that $R^+$ consists of the classes of representations (rather than virtual ones). Therefore it is clear that $m, m^*, \epsilon, \epsilon^*$ satisfy positivity. The inner product on $R$ is given by the Schur inner product so that for two representations $V, W$ of $GL_{m}\mathbb{F}_q$ we have

$$\langle V, W \rangle = \dim_C(\text{Hom}_{GL_{m}\mathbb{F}_q}(V, W))$$

and for $m \neq n$ $R_n$ is orthogonal to $R_m$. As is well-known, with these choice of inner product, the basis of irreducible representations for $R$ is an orthonormal basis.

The irreducible primitive elements are represented by irreducible complex representations of $GL_{m}\mathbb{F}_q$ which have no non-zero fixed vector for any of the subgroups $U_{k,m-k}$. These representations are usually called cuspidal.

In the remainder of this section we shall verify that $R$ is a PSH algebra, as is shown in ([35] Chapter III). I believe, in different terminology, this structural result was known to Sandy Green at the time of writing [16] and to his research supervisor Phillip Hall.

**Theorem 4.2.** (Self-adjoint) If $X, Y, Z$ are complex representations of $GL_{m}\mathbb{F}_q, GL_{n}\mathbb{F}_q, GL_{m+n}\mathbb{F}_q$ respectively then

$$\langle m(X \otimes Y), Z \rangle = \langle X \otimes Y, m^*(Z) \rangle.$$  

Also $\epsilon$ and $\epsilon^*$ are mutually adjoint.
Proof:
This follows from Frobenius reciprocity ([31] Theorem 1.2.39) because the Schur inner product is given by
\[
\langle m(X \otimes Y), Z \rangle = \dim_C(\text{Hom}_{GL_{m+n}}F_q(m(X \otimes Y), Z))
\]
\[
= \dim_C(\text{Hom}_{P_{m,n}}^{m,n}GL_{m+n}F_q \times GL_{m+n}F_q(X \otimes Y), Z))
\]
\[
= \dim_C(\text{Hom}_{P_{m,n}}^{m,n}GL_{m+n}F_q \times GL_{m+n}F_q(X \otimes Y), ZU_{m,n})).
\]
The adjointness of \( \epsilon \) and \( \epsilon^* \) is obvious. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.3. (Associativity and coassociativity)**
The coproduct \( m^* \) is coassociative and the product \( m \) is associative.

**Proof:**
Clearly \( m^* \) is coassociative because taking fixed-points \( GL_{a+b+c}F_q \) of a \( GL_{a+b+c}F_q \) representation is clearly associative. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that \( m \) is associative, since the Schur inner product is non-singular. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.4. (Hopf condition)**
The homomorphism \( m^* \) is an algebra homomorphism with respect to \( m \).
The homomorphism \( m \) is a coalgebra homomorphism with respect to \( m^* \).

Obviously the coalgebra homomorphism assertion follows from the algebra homomorphism assertion by the adjointness property of Theorem 4.2.

The discussion which follows will establish Theorem 4.4. It is rather delicate and involved so I am going to give it in full detail (following ([35] p.167 and p.173 with minor changes). For notational convenience I shall write \( G_n = GL_{a+b+c}F_q \) for the duration of this discussion.

Recall that we are attempting to show that for each \((\alpha, m-\alpha)\) and \((a, m-a)\) that the \( R(G_a) \otimes R(G_{m-a}) \)-component of \( m^* \cdot m \)

\[
R(G_a) \otimes R(G_{m-a}) \xrightarrow{m^*} R(G_{m-a}) \xrightarrow{m} R(G_a) \otimes R(G_{m-a})
\]
is equal to the \( R(G_a) \otimes R(G_{m-a}) \)-component

\[
R(G_a) \otimes R(G_{m-a}) \xrightarrow{m^* \otimes m^*} R \otimes R \otimes R \otimes R \xrightarrow{1 \otimes T \otimes 1} R \otimes R \otimes R \otimes R \xrightarrow{m \otimes m} R \otimes R.
\]

Let \( Z \) be a complex representation of \( G_m \) then the \((a, m-a)\)-component of \( m^*(Z) \) is given by

\[
ZU_{a,m-a} \in R(G_a \times G_{m-a}) \cong R(G_a) \otimes R(G_{m-a})
\]
with the group action given by the induced \( P_{a,m-a}/U_{a,m-a} \)-action.

If \( Z = m(X \otimes Y) \) with \( X, Y \) representations of \( G_{\alpha}, G_{m-a} \) respectively then

\[
Z = m(X \otimes Y) = \text{Ind}_{P_{a,m-a}}^{G_m}P_{m,m-a}(\text{Ind}_{G_{a} \times G_{m-a}}^{F_{a,m-a}}(X \otimes Y)).
\]
Therefore we must study the restriction
\[ \text{Res}_{G_m}^{G_{P_a,m-a}}(\text{Ind}_{G_a \times G_{m-a}}^{G_{P_a,m-a}}(X \otimes Y)) \]
by means of the Double Coset Formula (\cite{31} Theorem 1.2.40; see also Chapter 7, §1). Explicitly the Double Coset Formula in this case gives
\[ \sum_{g \in G_{P_a,m-a} \setminus G_m / P_a,m-a} \text{Ind}_{G_{P_a,m-a}}^{G_{P_a,m-a}}(g^{-1} \text{Ind}_{G_a \times G_{m-a}}^{G_{P_a,m-a}}(X \otimes Y)) \]
where the \((g^{-1})^\ast\)-action is given by \((ghg^{-1})(w) = hw\).

The Double Coset Formula isomorphism (downwards) is given by
\[ z \otimes p_{a,m-a} \mapsto j \otimes p_{a,m-a} \circ g p_{a,m-a} g^{-1} \]
where \(z = jgh\) with \(j \in P_{a,m-a}, h \in P_{a,m-a}\) with inverse (upwards) given by
\[ j \otimes p_{a,m-a} \circ g p_{a,m-a} g^{-1} \mapsto jg \otimes p_{a,m-a} w. \]

Next let \(\Sigma_m \subset GL_m \mathbb{F}_q\) denote the symmetric group on \(m\) letters embedded as the subgroup of permutation matrices (i.e. precisely one non-zero entry on each row and column which is equal to 1).

The following result is proved in (\cite{35} p.173; see also \cite{8} Chapter IV, §2)

**Theorem 4.5. (Bruhat Decomposition)**

The inclusion of \(\Sigma_m\) into \(GL_m \mathbb{F}_q\) induces a bijection
\[ \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_{m-a} \setminus \Sigma_m / \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_{m-a} \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} P_{a,m-a} \setminus G_m / P_{a,m-a} \]

Now we shall construct a convenient set of double coset representations for the left-hand side of Theorem 4.5.

Consider the double cosets
\[ \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_{m-a} \setminus \Sigma_m / \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_{m-a}. \]

On page 171 of \cite{35} one finds the assertion that the double cosets in the title of this section are in bijection with the matrices of non-negative integers
\[ \begin{pmatrix} k_{1,1} & k_{1,2} \\ k_{2,1} & k_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \]
which satisfy
\[ k_{1,1} + k_{1,2} = \alpha, \quad k_{2,1} + k_{2,2} = m - \alpha, \quad k_{1,1} + k_{2,1} = a, \quad k_{1,2} + k_{2,2} = m - a. \]

Let \(w \in \Sigma_m\) be a permutation of \(\{1, \ldots, m\}\). Set \(I_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}, I_2 = \{a + 1, a + 2, \ldots, m\}\), \(J_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, \alpha\}\) and \(J_2 = \{\alpha + 1, \alpha + 2, \ldots, m\}\). Therefore if \(g \in \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_{m-a}\) and \(g' \in \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_{m-a}\) we have, for \(t = 1, 2\) and \(v = 1, 2\),
\[ gwg'(J_t) \cap I_v = gw(J_t) \cap I_v = g(w(J_t) \cap g^{-1}(I_v)) = g(w(J_t) \cap I_v). \]

Therefore if we set
\[ k_{t,v} = \#(w(J_t) \cap I_v) \]
we have a well-defined map of sets from the double cosets to the $2 \times 2$ matrices of the form described above because

\[ k_{1,v} + k_{2,v} = \#(I_v) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } v = 1, \\ m-a & \text{if } v = 2 \end{cases} \]

and

\[ k_{t,1} + k_{t,2} = \#(J_t) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } t = 1, \\ m-\alpha & \text{if } t = 2. \end{cases} \]

Next we consider the passage from the matrix of $k_{i,j}$’s to a double coset. Write

\[ J_1 = J(k_{1,1}) \bigcup J(k_{1,2}), \quad J_2 = J(k_{2,1}) \bigcup J(k_{2,2}) \]

where $J(k_{1,1}) = \{1, \ldots, k_{1,1}\}$ and $J(k_{2,1}) = \{\alpha + 1, \ldots, \alpha + k_{2,1}\}$. Similarly write

\[ I_1 = I(k_{1,1}) \bigcup I(k_{2,1}), \quad I_2 = I(k_{1,2}) \bigcup I(k_{2,2}) \]

where $I(k_{1,1}) = \{1, \ldots, k_{1,1}\}$ and $I(k_{1,2}) = \{a + 1, \ldots, a + k_{1,2}\}$. Since the orders of $I(k_{i,j})$ and $J(k_{i,j})$ are both equal to $k_{i,j}$ there is a permutation, denoted by $w(k_{*,*})$ which sends $J_1 \cup J_2$ to $I_1 \cup I_2$ by the identity on $J(k_{1,1}) = I(k_{1,1})$ and $J(k_{2,2}) = I(k_{2,2})$ and interchanges $J(k_{1,2}), J(k_{2,1})$ with $I(k_{1,2}), I(k_{2,1})$ in an order-preserving manner.

Given the permutation $w(k_{*,*})$ we have

\[ \#(w(k_{*,*})(J_1) \cap I_1) = \#(J(k_{1,1}) \cap I(k_{1,1})) = k_{1,1}, \]

\[ \#(w(k_{*,*})(J_1) \cap I_2) = \#(w(k_{*,*})(J(k_{2,1})) \cap I(k_{2,1})) = k_{1,2}, \]

\[ \#(w(k_{*,*})(J_2) \cap I_1) = \#(w(k_{*,*})(J(k_{1,2})) \cap I(k_{1,2})) = k_{2,1}, \]

\[ \#(w(k_{*,*})(J_2) \cap I_2) = \#(J(k_{2,2}) \cap I(k_{2,2})) = k_{2,2} \]

so that the map $k_{*,*} \mapsto \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_{m-a}w(k_{*,*})\Sigma_a \times \Sigma_{m-a}$ is a split injection. In addition it is straightforward to verify that any permutation whose $k_{*,*}$-matrix equals that of $w(k_{*,*})$ belongs to the same double coset as $w(k_{*,*})$. Hence the map is a bijection.

For example when $a = 3, \alpha = 4, k_{11} = 1 = k_{22}, k_{21} = 2, k_{12} = 3$

\[
w(k_{*,*})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[ w(k_{*,*}) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 
\end{pmatrix}. \]

This permutation arises in another way as a permutation of the basis elements of tensor products of four vector spaces. Let

\[ V_1 = \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{12}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{22}} \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{12}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{22}}. \]

We have the linear map

\[ 1 \oplus T(k_{*,*}) \oplus 1 : V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \]

which interchanges the order of the two central direct sum factors. The basis for \( V_1 \) is made in the usual manner from ordered bases \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_{k_{11}}\}, \{e_{k_{11}+1}, \ldots, e_{k_{11}+k_{12}}\}, \{e_{k_{11}+k_{12}+1}, \ldots, e_{k_{11}+k_{12}+k_{21}}\} \) and \( \{e_{k_{11}+k_{12}+k_{21}+1}, \ldots, e_{m}\} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{11}}, \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{12}}, \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{21}} \) and \( \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{22}} \) respectively. Similarly the basis for \( V_2 \) is made in the usual manner from ordered bases \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k_{11}}\}, \{v_{k_{11}+1}, \ldots, v_{k_{11}+k_{21}}\}, \{v_{k_{11}+k_{21}+1}, \ldots, v_{k_{11}+k_{21}+k_{12}}\} \) and \( \{v_{k_{11}+k_{21}+k_{12}+1}, \ldots, v_m\} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{11}}, \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{21}}, \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{12}} \) and \( \mathbb{F}_q^{k_{22}} \) respectively.

The linear map \( 1 \oplus T(k_{*,*}) \oplus 1 \) sends the ordered set \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \) to the order set \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\} \) by \( e_j \mapsto v_{w(k_{*,*})(j)} \).

Clearly

\[ w(k_{*,*})G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{22}} \cdot w(k_{*,*})^{-1} = G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{22}} \]

from which it is easy to see that

\[ w(k_{*,*})G_a \times G_{m-a} \cdot w(k_{*,*})^{-1} \bigcap G_a \times G_{m-a} = G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{22}}. \]

So far we have shown that the \((a, m-a)\)-component of \( m^*(m(X \otimes Y)) \) is the sum of terms, one for each \( w(k_{*,*}) \), given by the induced \( G_a \times G_{m-a} \)-action on

\[ \text{Ind}_{P_{a,m-a}\cap \text{w}(k_{*,*})P_{a,m-a}\text{w}(k_{*,*})^{-1}}^ {G_a \times G_{m-a}} (\text{Inf}^ {P_{a,m-a}}_{G_a \times G_{m-a}} (X \otimes Y)). \]

On the other hand, for each \( w(k_{*,*}) \) there is a \((a, m-a)\)-component of the other composition we are studying given by

\[ R(G_a \times G_{m-a})^{(-)^{U_{k_{11},k_{12}} \times U_{k_{21},k_{22}}}} \rightarrow R(G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{22}}) \]

\[ 1 \otimes T(k_{*,*}) \otimes 1 \rightarrow R(G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{22}}) \]

\[ \text{IndInf} \times \text{IndInf} \rightarrow R(G_a \times G_{m-a}). \]

Composing this second route with the split surjection

\[ R(G_a \times G_{m-a}) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} R(P_{a,m-a}) \]
is equal to the composition

\[ R(G_\alpha \times G_{m-a}) \xrightarrow{(-)^{U_{k_{11},k_{12}} \times U_{k_{21},k_{22}}}} R(G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{22}}) \]

\[ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes T(k_*) \otimes 1} R(G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{22}}) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} \]

\[ R(P_{k_{11},k_{21},k_{12},k_{22}}) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}} R(P_{a,m-a}) \]

because the kernels of the quotient maps \( P_{k_{11},k_{21},k_{12},k_{22}} \rightarrow P_{k_{11},k_{21}} \) and \( P_{a,m-a} \rightarrow G_\alpha \times G_{m-a} \) are both equal to \( U_{a,m-a} \).

This composition takes the \( U_{k_{11},k_{12}} \times U_{k_{21},k_{22}} \)-fixed points of \( X \otimes Y \) with the \( G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{22}} \)-action and then conjugates it by \( w(k_*) \).

Alternatively it takes the \( w(k_*)U_{k_{11},k_{12}} \times U_{k_{21},k_{22}}w(k_*)^{-1} \)-fixed points of \( (w(k_*)^{-1})^*(X \otimes Y) \) with the \( G_{k_{11}} \times G_{k_{21}} \times G_{k_{12}} \times G_{k_{22}} \)-action. Now

\[ w(k_*)U_{k_{11},k_{12}} \times U_{k_{21},k_{22}}w(k_*)^{-1} \subset U_{a,m-a}. \]

For example, in the small example given in the last section of this chapter, \( U_{k_{11},k_{12}} \times U_{k_{21},k_{22}} \) consists of matrices of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[ D = \]

so that \( w(k_*)U_{k_{11},k_{12}} \times U_{k_{21},k_{22}}w(k_*)^{-1} \) consists of matrices

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{57} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[ w(k_*)Dw(k_*)^{-1} = \]

Since \( w(k_*)Dw(k_*)^{-1} \)'s act trivially we may inflate the representation to \( P_{k_{11},k_{21},k_{12},k_{22}} \) (i.e. extending the action trivially on \( U_{k_{11},k_{21},k_{12},k_{22}} \)) and then induce up to a representation of \( P_{a,m-a} \).

Now let us describe the isomorphism between the result of sending \( X \otimes Y \) via the second route and the \( U_{a,m-a} \)-fixed subspace of

\[ \text{Ind}_{P_{a,m-a}}^{P_{a,m-a}} w(k_*)P_{a,m-a}w(k_*)^{-1}((w(k_*)^{-1})^*\text{Ind}_{G_\alpha \times G_{m-a}}^{G_{k_{11}},k_{12},k_{22}}(X \otimes Y)). \]

There are inclusions

\[ w(k_*)P_{a,m-a}w(k_*)^{-1} \cap P_{a,m-a} \subset P_{k_{11},k_{21},k_{12},k_{22}} \subset P_{a,m-a}. \]
For example, $w(k_{s,*})P_{a,m-a}w(k_{s,*})^{-1} \cap P_{3,4}$, in the small example of the Appendix, consists of the matrices of the form

$$E' = \begin{pmatrix}
    a_{11} & a_{15} & a_{16} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{17} \\
    0 & a_{55} & a_{56} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{57} \\
    0 & a_{65} & a_{66} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{67} \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{27} \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{37} \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & a_{47} \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{77}
\end{pmatrix}$$

and, as we noted above, $w(k_{s,*})Dw(k_{s,*})^{-1}$ consists of the matrices

$$\{ (b_{ij}) \in w(k_{s,*})P_{a,m-a}w(k_{s,*})^{-1} \cap U_{3,4} \mid b_{17} = 0 \}.$$

There is a bijection of cosets

$$P_{k_{11},k_{21},k_{12},k_{22}}/w(k_{s,*})P_{a,m-a}w(k_{s,*})^{-1} \cap P_{a,m-a} \cong U_{a,m-a}/w(k_{s,*})P_{a,m-a}w(k_{s,*})^{-1} \cap U_{3,4}.$$

Therefore we may take the coset representations $X_\alpha$ to lie in the abelian group $U_{a,m-a}$. In the small example the $X_\alpha$'s may be taken to be of the form

$$X_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\
    0 & 1 & 0 & b & c & d \\
    0 & 0 & 1 & e & f & g \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$

The isomorphism from the image of $X \otimes Y$ via the second route to the $U_{a,m-a}$-fixed subspace of

$$\text{Ind}_{P_{a,m-a}}^{P_{a,m-a} \cap w(k_{*})P_{a,m-a}w(k_{*})^{-1}}((w(k_{s,*})^{-1})^*\text{Ind}_{G_\alpha \times G_{m-a}}^{P_{a,m-a}}(X \otimes Y))$$

is given by

$$g \otimes P_{k_{11},k_{21},k_{12},k_{22}} \mapsto \sum_{X_\alpha} gX_\alpha \otimes w(k_{s,*})P_{a,m-a}w(k_{s,*})^{-1} \cap P_{a,m-a} v.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 14. The remainder of the Hopf condition is given by the following result, which is proved in a similar manner to Theorem 14 (see [35] p.175).

**Theorem 4.6.**

In the notation of §1, $\epsilon^*$ is a ring homomorphism restricting to an isomorphism on $R_0$.  

11
5. The PSH Algebra of a Wreath Product

Let $H$ be a finite group and consider the wreath-product $\Sigma_n \int H$. This is the semi-direct product of the symmetric group $\Sigma_n$ acting on the $n$-fold product $H^n = H \times \ldots \times H$ on the left by permuting the factors. An arbitrary element of this group is $(\sigma,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$ where $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ and $\alpha_i \in H$. The product is given by

$$(\sigma,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) \cdot (\sigma',\alpha'_1,\ldots,\alpha'_n) = (\sigma\sigma',\alpha_1\alpha'_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,\alpha_n\alpha'_{\sigma(n)}).$$

We pause to check associativity and inverses, since we shall need the explicit formulae later.

$$( (\sigma,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) \cdot (\sigma',\alpha'_1,\ldots,\alpha'_n)) \cdot (\sigma'',\alpha''_1,\ldots,\alpha''_n)$$

$$= (\sigma\sigma',\alpha_1\alpha'_{\sigma(1)},\alpha_2\alpha'_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,\alpha_n\alpha'_{\sigma(n)}) \cdot (\sigma'',\alpha''_1,\ldots,\alpha''_n)$$

$$= (\sigma\sigma'\sigma'',\alpha_1\alpha'_{\sigma(1)}\alpha''_{\sigma\sigma(1)},\alpha_2\alpha'_{\sigma(2)}\alpha''_{\sigma\sigma(2)},\ldots,\alpha_n\alpha'_{\sigma(n)}\alpha''_{\sigma\sigma(n)}).$$

and

$$(\sigma,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) \cdot ((\sigma',\alpha'_1,\ldots,\alpha'_n) \cdot (\sigma'',\alpha''_1,\ldots,\alpha''_n))$$

$$= (\sigma,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) \cdot (\sigma'\sigma'',\alpha'_1\alpha''_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,\alpha'_n\alpha''_{\sigma(n)})$$

$$= (\sigma\sigma'\sigma'',\alpha_1\alpha'_{\sigma(1)}\alpha''_{\sigma\sigma(1)},\alpha_2\alpha'_{\sigma(2)}\alpha''_{\sigma\sigma(2)},\ldots,\alpha_n\alpha'_{\sigma(n)}\alpha''_{\sigma\sigma(n)}).$$

Also

$$(\sigma,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)^{-1} = (\sigma^{-1},(\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)})^{-1},\ldots,(\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})^{-1})$$

since

$$(\sigma,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) \cdot (\sigma^{-1},(\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)})^{-1},\ldots,(\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})^{-1})$$

$$= (\sigma\sigma^{-1},\alpha_1(\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)})^{-1},\ldots,\alpha_n(\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})^{-1})$$

$$= (1,1,\ldots,1).$$

The PSH algebra associated to complex representations of these wreath products are defined in \(\text{[35] Chapter Two } \S7\). The underlying graded group is

$$R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R(\Sigma_n \int H).$$

The multiplication

$$m : R(\Sigma_m \int H) \otimes R(\Sigma_n \int H) \rightarrow R(\Sigma_{m+n} \int H)$$
is defined on representations by 
\[ m(V \otimes W) = \text{Ind}_{\Sigma_m \int H \times \Sigma_n \int H}^{\Sigma_{m+n} \int H}(V \otimes W). \]

The comultiplication
\[ m^* : R(\Sigma_n \int H) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{a=0}^{n} R(\Sigma_a \int H) \otimes R(\Sigma_{n-a} \int H) \]
is defined to have \((a, n - a)\) component given by
\[ \text{Res}_{\Sigma_a \int H \times \Sigma_{n-a} \int H}^{\Sigma_n \int H} : R(\Sigma_n \int H) \longrightarrow R(\Sigma_a \int H) \otimes R(\Sigma_{n-a} \int H). \]

By convention \( R_0 = \mathbb{Z} \) and the unit and counit are defined as in the \( GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \) example of \S 3.

When \( H \) is trivial this is the PSH algebra associated to the symmetric groups.

The set of irreducible primitives \( \Omega \) is given by the set of representations of \( H \), considered as positive elements in \( R(\Sigma_1 \int H) = R(H) \).

6. Kondo-Gauss sums

**Definition 6.1.** Let \( \rho : H \rightarrow GL_n \mathbb{C} \) denote a representation of a subgroup \( H \) of \( GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \). If \( q \) is a power of the prime \( p \) we have the (additive) trace map
\[ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q / \mathbb{F}_p} : \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p. \]

In addition we have the matrix trace map
\[ \text{Trace} : GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q. \]

Define a measure map \( \Psi \) on matrices \( X \in GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \) by
\[ \Psi(X) = e^{\frac{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q / \mathbb{F}_p}(\text{Trace}(X))}{p}} \]
which is denoted by \( e_1[X] \) in [21]. Let \( \chi_\rho \) denote the character function of \( \rho \) which assigns to \( X \) the trace of the complex matrix \( \rho(X) \).

Define a complex number \( W_H(\rho) \) by the formula
\[ W_H(\rho) = \frac{1}{\dim \mathbb{C}(\rho)} \sum_{X \in H} \chi_\rho(X)\Psi(X). \]

When \( H = GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \) and \( \rho \) is irreducible \( W_{GL_n \mathbb{F}_q}(\rho) = w(\rho) \), the Kondo-Gauss sum which is introduced and computed in [21].

**Theorem 6.2.** ([32] Appendix III Theorem 3.2)

Let \( \sigma \) be a finite-dimensional representation of \( H \subseteq GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \). Then for any subgroup \( J \) such that \( H \subseteq J \subseteq GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \)
\[ W_H(\sigma) = W_J(\text{Ind}^J_H(\sigma)). \]
Proof
Set $\rho = \text{Ind}_H^J(\sigma)$. By definition
\[
W_J(\rho) = \frac{|H|}{|J| \dim C(\sigma)} \sum_{X \in J} \chi_\rho(X) \Psi(X) = \frac{1}{|J| \dim C(\sigma)} \sum_{X \in J} \sum_{Y \in J, YXY^{-1} \in H} \chi_\sigma(YXY^{-1}) \Psi(YXY^{-1})\]
by the character formula for an induced representation (\cite{ref} Theorem 1.2.43).

Consider the free action of $J$ on $J \times J$ given by $(X,Y) \mapsto Z = (Z^{-1}XZ, YZ)$ for $X, Y, Z \in J$. The map from $J \times J$ to $J$ sending $(X,Y)$ to $YXY^{-1}$ is constant on each $J$-orbit. Therefore
\[
W_J(\rho) = \frac{1}{|J| \dim C(\sigma)} \sum_{X \in J} \sum_{Y \in J, YXY^{-1} \in H} \chi_\sigma(YXY^{-1}) \Psi(YXY^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|J| \dim C(\sigma)} |J| \sum_{U \in H} \chi_\sigma(U) \Psi(U) = W_H(\sigma).
\]

Lemma 6.3.

$$(\dim C(\sigma_1) + \dim C(\sigma_2))W_H(\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2) = \dim C(\sigma_1)W_H(\sigma_1) + \dim C(\sigma_2)W_H(\sigma_2).$$

Example 6.4. The Weil representation $r(\Theta)$ of $GL_2 \mathbb{F}_q$.

The Weil representation is a very ingenious construction of a $(q - 1)$-dimensional irreducible complex representation of $GL_2 \mathbb{F}_q$. It is constructed from scratch in (\cite{ref} Chapter Three). However there is a very simple description of $r(\Theta)$ in terms of induced representations, which may be verified (for example) using the character formulae of (\cite{ref} Chapter Three).

There is a copy of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, unique up to conjugation, embedded in $GL_2 \mathbb{F}_q$. For example, if $\sigma$ is a non-square in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ then sending $a + b\sqrt{\sigma}$ to
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a & b \sigma \\
b & a
\end{pmatrix}
\]
gives such an embedding. Let $F$ denote the generator of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ and suppose that $\Theta : \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is a character such that $F(\Theta) \neq \Theta$.

Let $H$ denote the “top line” subgroup consisting of matrices of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
so that $H \cong \mathbb{F}_q^* \times \mathbb{F}_q$ by sending the above matrix to $(a, b/a)$. Therefore we have a character on $H$ given by
\[
(\Theta \otimes \Psi) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} = \Theta(a) \Psi(b/a).
\]
Here $\Psi$ is the additive measure defined in Definition 6.1 on $n \times n$ matrices in the case $n = 1$.

There is a (split) short exact sequence of complex $GL_2 F_q$-representations
\[ 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_{F_q}^{GL_2 F_q} (\Theta) \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_{H}^{GL_2 F_q} (\Theta \otimes \Psi) \longrightarrow r(\Theta) \longrightarrow 0. \]

By Theorem 6.2 and Lemma 6.3 we have
\[ (q - 1) W_{GL_2 F_q}(r(\Theta)) + (q^2 - q) W_{F_q^*} (\Theta) = (q^1 - 1) W_H (\Theta \otimes \Psi). \]

However
\[ W_H(\Theta \otimes \Psi) = \sum_{(a,b) \in H} \Theta(a) \Psi(b/a) \Psi(a + 1) = 0 \]

since the sum of the values of a non-trivial character over a finite abelian group ($F_q$ in this case) is zero. Therefore
\[ W_{GL_2 F_q}(r(\Theta)) = -q W_{F_q^*} (\Theta) \]
where the right side is $\frac{q}{q^2 - 1}$ times the classical Gauss sum over a finite field.

**Proposition 6.5.**

For $i = 1, 2$ let $\sigma_i : H_i \longrightarrow GL_n \mathbb{C}$ be a representation of $H_i \subseteq GL_{s_i} F_q$. Then we have a representation of $H_1 \times H_2$ (embedded into $GL_{s_1 + s_2}$ by direct sum of matrices) given by the tensor product $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$ and
\[ W_{H_1 \times H_2} (\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) = W_{H_1} (\sigma_1) W_{H_2} (\sigma_2). \]

**Proof**

We have
\[ W_{H_1 \times H_2} (\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} \sum_{X_1, X_2 \in H_1 \times H_2} \chi_{\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2} (X_1 \oplus X_2) \Psi(X_1 \oplus X_2) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} \sum_{X_1, X_2 \in H_1 \times H_2} \chi_{\sigma_1} (X_1) \chi_{\sigma_2} (X_2) \Psi(X_1) \Psi(X_2) \]
\[ = W_{H_1} (\sigma_1) W_{H_2} (\sigma_2). \]

□

**Remark 6.6.** In [21] Kondo gives formulae for his Gauss sums on the irreducible complex representations of $GL_n F_q$. The calculations of [21] do not use the function $W_H(\rho)$ but stick to the case of an irreducible $\rho$ and $H = GL_n F_q$. The greater freedom and generality of $W_H(\rho)$ should make the calculations

1To establish this result I first used the fact that (31 Chapter Three) provides an easy description of the right-hand map together with a complicated argument to show that the left-hand representation was inside the kernel. Then, smugly pleased with the discovery, I check it using the character values of (31 Chapter Three) only to find the same result appears in (31 p.47)!

2The factor $q$ was inadvertently missed out in (32 Appendix III Example 3.4).
much simpler. In (Appendix III) I made some calculations towards obtaining Kondo’s formulae by this approach.

7. Symmetric groups or $GL_n$ of the trivial field

The Kondo-Gauss sums of representations of $GL_n\mathbb{F}_q$ are integrals using the measure given by the additive character $\Psi$. In this section I shall establish the analogue of the Kondo-Gauss sum results for the PSH associated to symmetric groups. In the next section I shall extend these results to wreath products.

First we need the correct measure, meaning one obeying the properties proved for $GL_n\mathbb{F}_q$ in §5. On pp.307-8 of [32] we find the Kondo-Gauss sum for the symmetric group (aka the general linear group of the “field of one element”), which uses a disjoint-multiplicative character on the symmetric group. I learnt the measure which is used from Francesco Mezzadri.

We shall require some of the fundamental facts about irreducible representations of the symmetric groups, taken from [19]. These are absolutely irreducible so, although for the numerical invariants we are interested in complex representation we may as well discuss $\mathbb{Q}$-representations at this point.

**Definition 7.1.** If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ is a partition of the integer $n$ by strictly positive integers, $\{\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ with $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$, then the diagram of $\lambda$ is denoted by $[\lambda]$ and consists of the integer pairs

$$\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}.$$  

Each point $(i, j)$ is called a node of $[\lambda]$. For example the diagram of $(4, 2, 2, 1)$ is depicted

\[
\times \quad \times \quad \times \\
\times \quad \times \\
\times \\
\times
\]

which may usually be written $(4, 2^2, 1)$ using exponent notation for brevity.

If $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are partitions of $n$ we say that $\lambda$ dominates $\mu$, written $\lambda \succeq \mu$ if for all $j$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{j} \mu_i.$$ 

3A few years ago Francesco Mezzadri, who is my son-in-law, showed me his conjectural formula for the “Kondo-Gauss sum” for symmetric groups and asked me whether I could prove it. The contents of this section contain, inter alia, the proof which I came up with - based on Gordon James’ book [19]. Alas, in a bout of stereotypical absentmindedness, I forgot to show Francesco the idea. I was only reminded of the topic when I proved the relation of the formula to the PSH algebra ([32] Appendix III Proosition 4.3) by which time Francesco and his colleagues had found the proof given in [20].

4The reader will notice that, in the depiction of diagrams, it is the convention that $i$’s increase to the right and $j$’s increase downward. This convention is slightly weird but that’s tradition for you! When we come to tableaux, which involves putting integers on the nodes/crosses those numbers can go into arbitrary positions.
For example, we have \((6) \triangleright= (5, 1) \triangleright= (4, 2) \triangleright= (3, 3) \triangleright= (3, 1^3)\).

There is also the lexicographical total order on partitions of \(n\) where \(\lambda > \mu\) if and only if the least \(j\) for which \(\lambda_j \neq \mu_j\) satisfies \(\lambda_j > \mu_j\).

The total order refines the partial order in the sense that \(\lambda \triangleright \mu\) implies that \(\lambda_j > \mu_j\) but not conversely.

**Definition 7.2.** If \([\lambda]\) is a diagram the conjugate diagram \([\lambda']\) is obtained by interchanging the rows and columns in \([\lambda]\) and \(\lambda'\) is the partition of \(n\) which is conjugate to \(\lambda\). Hence \(4[[4, 2^2, 1']]\) looks like

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \\
\times & \\
\times & \\
\end{array}
\]

The lexicographical order is reversed upon taking conjugates and also

\(\lambda \triangleright \mu \Leftrightarrow \mu' \triangleright \lambda'\).

A \(\lambda\)-tableau is one of the \(n!\) arrays of \(n\) distinct integers obtained by replacing each node of \([\lambda]\) by one of the integers in the set \(\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). The symmetric group acts in such a way that \(\sigma \in \Sigma_n\) replaces each integer \(i\) in a \(\lambda\)-tableau by \(\sigma(i)\). If \(t\) is a \(\lambda\)-tableau then I shall denote this by \(\sigma(t)\).

For example, the following are two \((4, 3, 1)\)-tableaux

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\
3 & 6 & 7 & \\
8 & \\
\end{array}
\quad\text{and}\quad
\begin{array}{cccc}
4 & 5 & 7 & 3 \\
2 & 1 & 8 & \\
6 & \\
\end{array}
\]

and \(\sigma = (1, 4, 7, 8, 6)(2, 5, 3)\) sends the left-hand tableau into the right-hand one.

If we think of a \(\lambda\)-tableau as giving us the permutation with cycle decomposition given by writing each row as a cyclic permutation and stringing them out in order from the top - so that the left-hand one becomes \((1, 2, 4, 5)(3, 6, 7)(8)\) then \(\sigma\) applied to this gives the permutation conjugated by \(\sigma\). That is, in the above example

\[
\sigma \cdot (1, 2, 4, 5)(3, 6, 7)(8) \cdot \sigma^{-1} = (4, 5, 7, 3)(2, 1, 8)(6).
\]

**Lemma 7.3.** (Basic Combinatorial Lemma ([19] §3.7)

Let \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\) be partitions of \(n\) and suppose that \(t_1\) is a \(\lambda\)-tableau and \(t_2\) is a \(\mu\)-tableau. Suppose that for each \(i\) the numbers from the \(i\)-th row of \(t_2\) belong to different columns of \(t_1\) then \(\lambda \triangleright= \mu\).

**Proof:**

If the \(\mu_1\) numbers of the first row of \(t_2\) occur in different columns of \(t_1\) then the latter has at least \(\mu_1\) columns and so \(\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1\). Now consider the first two rows to deduce that \(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \geq \mu_1 + \mu_2\) and so on. \(\square\)

The row-stabiliser \(R_t\) of a tableau \(t\) is the subgroup of \(\Sigma_n\) which preserves each row of \(t\) as a set - not element-wise! So for the left-hand tableau in the
above example $R_t$ is

$$
\Sigma(\{1, 2, 4, 5\}) \times \Sigma(\{3, 6, 7\}) \times \Sigma(\{8\})
$$

where $\Sigma(S)$ denotes the group of permutations of the set $S$.

**Definition 7.4.** Two $\lambda$-tableaux $t_1$ and $t_2$ are equivalent, written $t_1 \sim t_2$, if they belong to the same $\Sigma_n$-orbit (under the row-action). Each such orbit or equivalence class is called a tabloid, denoted by $\{t\}$.

Now to define tabloid-orderings.

We say $\{t_1\} < \{t_2\}$ if and only if for some $i$ the following conditions hold:

(i) if $j > i$ then $j$ is in the same row of $\{t_1\}$ and $\{t_2\}$

(ii) $i$ is in a higher row of $\{t_1\}$ than $\{t_2\}$.

Given a tableau $t$ let $m_{i,r}(t)$ denote the number of entries less than or equal to $i$ in the first $r$ rows of $t$. Then $\{t_1\} \leq \{t_2\}$ if and only if for all $i$ and $r$ we have $m_{i,r}(t_1) \leq m_{i,r}(t_2)$.

For tabloids $\{t_1\}$ and $\{t_2\}$, we have that $\{t_1\} < \{t_2\}$ implies $\{t_1\} \leq \{t_2\}$.

Suppose that $w < x$ such that $w$ is in the $a$-th row and $x$ in the $b$-th row of $t$. Then we characterise a tableau $t(w, x)$ by

$$
m_{i,r}(t(w, x)) - m_{i,r}(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } b \leq r < a \text{ and } w \leq i < x, \\
-1 & \text{if } a \leq r < b \text{ and } w \leq i < x, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

This implies that $\{t\} \prec \{t(w, x)\}$ if $w < x$ and $w$ is lower than $x$ in $t$.

**Lemma 7.5.** (*Adjacency in the $\prec$ ordering ([19 Lemma 3.16])*)

If $x - 1$ is lower than $x$ in $t$, a $\lambda$-tableau, then there is no $\lambda$-tableau $t_1$ such that $\{t\} \prec \{t_1\} \prec \{t(x - 1, x)\}$.

**Definition 7.6.** (*Specht modules*)

Let $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k)$ be a partition of $n$. The row-stabiliser of the tabloid in which the rows are the sets of integers in the brackets of

$$(1, \ldots, \mu_1)(\mu_1 + 1, \ldots, \mu_1 + \mu_2)\ldots(m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_{k-1} + 1, \ldots, n)$$

is the product subgroup

$$
\Sigma(\{1, \ldots, \mu_1\}) \times \Sigma(\{\mu_1 + 1, \ldots, \mu_1 + \mu_2\}) \times \ldots
$$

Define $M^\mu$ to be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space whose basis consists of the $\frac{n!}{m_1!m_2!\cdots m_k!}$ tabloids in the $\Sigma_n$-orbit of the above $\mu$-tabloid. It is a cyclic $\mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n]$-permutation module generated by any tabloid equivalent to the above one.

In representation theory terms we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n]$-modules

$$
M^\mu \cong \text{Ind}_{\Sigma(\{1, \ldots, \mu_1\}) \times \ldots}^{\Sigma_n} \mathbb{Q}.
$$
Suppose that \( t \) is a tableau, defining the column stabiliser \( C_t \) of \( t \) in the manner analogous to that of \( R_t \) we define
\[
\kappa_t = \sum_{\sigma \in C_t} \text{sign}(g) \cdot g \in \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n].
\]

The polytabloid associated to the tableau \( t \) is given by
\[
e_t = \kappa_t(\{t\}) \in M^\mu.
\]
The Specht module \( S^\mu \) for the partition \( \mu \) is the submodule of \( M^\mu \) spanned by polytabloids.

Note that a polytabloid \( e_t \) depends on the tableau \( t \), not just on the tabloid \( \{t\} \). All the tabloids involved in \( e_t \) have coefficient equal to \( \pm 1 \).

Here is a small example. Suppose that
\[
t = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & 5 & 1 \\
3 & 4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and the columns of \( t \) are preserved by \( 1, (2, 3), (4, 5), (23)(45) \) so that
\[
\kappa_t = 1 - (2, 3) - (4, 5) + (23)(45) \in \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_5].
\]
If \( \pi \in \Sigma_5 \) then
\[
\pi(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
\pi(2) & \pi(5) & \pi(1) \\
\pi(3) & \pi(4) \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
so that
\[
\kappa_{\pi(t)} = 1 - (\pi(2), \pi(3)) - (\pi(4), \pi(5)) + (\pi(2), \pi(3))(\pi(4), \pi(5)) = \pi \kappa_t \pi^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_5].
\]
This property holds in general and proves that \( S^\mu \) is a cyclic \( \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n] \)-module generated by any polytabloid.

If \( \mu = (1^n) \) then \( S^\mu \cong \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n] \), the regular representation.

**Lemma 7.7.** (19 \ Lemma 4.6)

Let \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) be two partitions of \( n \). Suppose that \( t \) is a \( \lambda \)-tableau and \( t' \) is a \( \mu \)-tableau and that \( \kappa_t(\{t'\}) = 0 \). Then \( \lambda \supseteq \mu \) and if \( \lambda = \mu \) we have
\[
\kappa_t(\{t'\}) = \pm \kappa_t(\{t\}) = \pm \kappa_t.
\]
Therefore, if \( u \in M^\mu \) and \( t \) is a \( \mu \)-tableau, then \( \kappa_t(u) \) is a multiple of \( e_t \).

**Definition 7.8.** Let \( \langle -, - \rangle : M^\mu \times M^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \) be the symmetric \( \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n] \)-invariant bilinear form with respect to which the \( \mu \)-tabloids are an orthonormal basis.

Importantly we have \( \langle \kappa_t(u), v \rangle = \langle u, \kappa_t(v) \rangle \).
Theorem 7.9. The Submodule Theorem ([18] [1])

If $U$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n]$-submodule of $M^\mu$ then either $S^\mu \subseteq U$ or $U \subseteq (S^\mu)\perp$, the orthogonal complement of $S^\mu$.

Proof:

Suppose that $u \in U$ and $t$ is a $\mu$-tableau. Then $\kappa_t(u)$ is a multiple of $e_t$. If we can choose $u$ and $t$ such that the multiple is non-zero then $e_t \in U$ and so $S^\mu \subseteq U$ since $e_t$ generates $S^\mu$. If, for every $t$ and $u$, $\kappa_t(u) = 0$ we have $0 = \langle \kappa_t(u), \{t\} \rangle = \langle u, \kappa_t(\{t\}) \rangle = \langle u, e_t \rangle$ so that $U \subseteq (S^\mu)\perp$ since $e_t$ generates $S^\mu$. □

Theorem 7.10. ([18] and ([19] §11))

$S^\mu / S^\mu \cap (S^\mu)\perp$ is either zero or absolutely irreducible [27]. Furthermore, if it is non-zero, then $S^\mu \cap (S^\mu)\perp$ is the unique maximal submodule of $S^\mu$ and $S^\mu / S^\mu \cap (S^\mu)\perp$ is self-dual.

In fact all the irreducible representations of $\Sigma_n$ are constructible in this manner.

Remark 7.11. (i) Clearly $S^{(\lambda)} / S^{(\lambda)} \cap (S^{(\lambda)})\perp \cong \mathbb{Q}$, the trivial one-dimensional representation and $S^{(1^n)} / S^{(1^n)} \cap (S^{(1^n)})\perp \cong \mathbb{Q}_{\text{sign}}$, the one-dimensional representation on which $\Sigma_n$ acts via the sign character and $S^{(1^n)} = \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n]$.

(ii) For $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of $\Sigma_n$ we have $S^\mu \cap (S^\mu)\perp = 0$ so that the $\mathbb{Q}$-Specht module $S^\mu$ gives an absolutely irreducible $\mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n]$-module. We shall denote the irreducible complex representation of $\Sigma_n$ given on $S^\mu \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ by $\rho_\mu$ where $\mu$ is a partition of $n$.

(iii) If $\lambda'$ is the conjugate of $\lambda$ then, as $\mathbb{Q}$-representations,

$$S^{(\lambda')} \cong S^{(\lambda)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{\text{sign}}},$$

as one would naturally guess. The result ([19] Theorem 6.7) gives a non-zero homomorphism between these two $\mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n]$-modules, which must be an isomorphism by irreducibility. Alternatively this isomorphism follows directly from the definition of the $\mathbb{Q}$-Specht module.

Definition 7.12. $t$ is a standard tableau if the numbers increase along the rows and down the columns of $t$. Then $\{t\}$ is called a standard tabloid if

---

5A bit of old buffer’s related anecdotage: In the 1980’s I was the chair of the Canadian Mathematical Society’s Research Committee. The committee’s job was to seek out and encourage research conferences in promising topics (established or potential) in order to influence (modernise?) mathematical research in Canada. As a result I learnt of and had to attend conferences on all sorts of areas of pure mathematics. Thus it was that one time I found myself at a meeting on $q$-series, listening to fascinating talks by the likes of Ian Macdonald, Jonathan Alperin, George Lusztig. At this meeting, in Edmonton, Alberta, I learnt of this result and the following one by overhearing it mentioned in conversation by my friend Peter Hoffman. I immediately pricked up my ears since Gordon James† had been another of my friends ever since the 1970’s when we were both Cambridge dons. These are two elegant and important results!
there is a standard tableau in the equivalence class (i.e. orbit) \{t\} in which case \(e_t\) is called a standard polytabloid.

A standard tabloid contains a unique standard tableau since the rows are increasing and the permutation action only permutes each row. However a standard polytabloid may involve more than one standard tabloid (see \([19]\) Example 5.2).

**Theorem 7.13. Basis Theorem for \(S^\mu\)** \([19]\) Theorem 8.4)

The set \(\{e_t | t \text{ is a standard } \mu - \text{tableau}\}\) is a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-basis for \(S^\mu\)

**Theorem 7.14. (The Branching Theorem)** \([19]\) Theorem 9.2 p.34

(i) \(\text{Ind}_{\Sigma_n}^{\Sigma_{n+1}}(S^\mu) \cong \bigoplus_\lambda S^\lambda\), the direct sum over all the diagrams \([\lambda]\) obtained by adding a node to the diagram \([\mu]\).

(ii) \(\text{Res}_{\Sigma_n}^{\Sigma_{n-1}}(S^\mu) \cong \bigoplus_\lambda S^\lambda\), the direct sum over all the diagrams \([\lambda]\) obtained by deleting a node to the diagram \([\mu]\).

For example in (i), if \([\mu] = [(4, 2^2), 1]\) then the \([\lambda]\)'s are \([(5, 2^2, 1)], [(4, 3, 2, 1)], [(4, 2^3)]\) and \([(4, 2^2, 1^2)] \) whereas, for example in (ii), if \([\mu] = [(4, 2^2), 1]\) then the \([\lambda]\)'s are \([(3, 2^2), 1)], [(4, 2^1, 1^2)]\) and \([(4, 2^2)]\).

Before I begin the study of numerical invariants let us pause for an example. Here is the \(\Sigma_5\) character table \([19]\) p.24 - rows are the Specht module \(\mathbb{Q}\)-representations and columns are their character valued at conjugacy classes given by the partitions of cycle decompositions.

|      | (5)   | (4, 1) | (3, 2) | (3, 1^2) | (2^2, 1) | (2, 1^4) | (1^5) |
|------|-------|--------|--------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| (5)  | 1     | 1      | 1      | 1        | 1        | 1        | 1     |
| (4, 1)| -1    | 0      | -1     | 1        | 0        | 2        | 4     |
| (3, 2)| 0     | -1     | 1      | -1       | 1        | 1        | 5     |
| (3, 1^2)| 1 | 0      | 0      | 0        | -2       | 0        | 6     |
| (2^2, 1)| 0 | 1      | -1     | -1       | 1        | -1       | 5     |
| (2, 1^3)| -1 | 0      | 1      | 1        | 0        | -2       | 4     |
| (1^5) | 1     | -1     | -1     | 1        | 1        | -1       | 1     |

**Definition 7.15. Symmetric group analogues of Kondo-Gauss sums**

Let \(\lambda\) be a partition of \(n\). The length of \(\lambda\) is simply the number of strictly positive integers in the partition. If \(\sigma \in \Sigma_n\), the symmetric group, then the cycle decomposition of \(\sigma\) gives a partition of \(n\) and we define the length of \(\sigma\), denoted by \(l(\sigma)\), to be the length of that partition.
Let $x$ be a complex number. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ define a measure $\psi_x$ on $\Sigma_n$ by
$$\psi_x(\sigma) = x^{l(\sigma)}.$$ 

The measure $\psi_x$ can be used to give integrals of characters of representations of the symmetric group, in the spirit of Kondo-Gauss sums. This function is not an additive character - but it is additive on pairs of disjoint permutations, making it very suitable for the PSH algebra of $\Sigma_n$.

If $H \subseteq \Sigma_n$ and $\rho$ is a complex representation of $H$ define
$$W^x_{\Sigma_n}(\rho) = \frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\rho)} \sum_{h \in H} \chi_{\rho}(h) \psi_x(h).$$

In the special case when $H = \Sigma_n$ and $\rho = \rho_{\lambda}$ in the notation of Remark 7.11(ii) we have the following formula:

**Theorem 7.16.** (F. Mezzadri 2010; appearing in [20]; see also ([32 pp.307-8])

$$W^x_{\Sigma_n}(\rho_{\lambda}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{length}(\lambda)} (x - i + 1)(x - i + 2) \ldots (x + \lambda_i - i) = \prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda]} (x - i + j).$$

In [20] this monic polynomial with integer coefficients is denoted by $f_{\lambda}(x)$.

This is the integral to which I referred in the historical footnote at the beginning of this section. When one is familiar with the material in [19], which I have just recapitulated in this section, it is an easy induction. The induction and the behaviour of $W^x_{\Sigma_n}(\rho)$ with respect to the PSH algebra product ([32 Appendix III Proposition 4.3) will occupy the rest of this section.

**Lemma 7.17.** (i) If $\lambda'$ is the conjugate of $\lambda$ then
$$W^x_{\Sigma_n}(\rho_{\lambda}) = (-1)^n W^{-x}_{\Sigma_n}(\rho_{\lambda'}).$$

(ii) Also we have
$$\prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda]} (x - i + j) = (-1)^n \prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda']} (-x - i + j).$$

**Proof**

Part (i) follows from the fact that $\chi_{\rho_{\lambda'}}(\sigma) = \chi_{\rho_{\lambda'}}(\sigma) \cdot \text{sign}(\sigma)$ and the sign of $\sigma$ is minus one to the number of even length cycles in the cycle decomposition of $\sigma$. Part (ii) is clear from the definition of the conjugate diagram in Definition 7.2.

---

6Warning: In the section on the general wreath product we shall have to keep track of which symmetric group $\Sigma_n$ we are concerned with and at that point $\psi_x$ is apt to become $\psi_{n,x}$.

7The next section shows how all these formulae generalise to the case of the PSH algebra of wreath products.
7.18. Let $V_1, V_2$ be complex representations of $\Sigma_k, \Sigma_{n-k}$ respectively and let $m(V_1 \otimes V_2)$ be their product in the PSH algebra of §4. Recall that this product is the induced representation up to $\Sigma_n$.

The trace formula for the character of this representation of $\Sigma_n$ is given by

$$\chi_{m(V_1 \otimes V_2)}(\hat{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_n, \tau \hat{\sigma} \tau^{-1} \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}} \chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}^{}(\tau \hat{\sigma} \tau^{-1})$$

which is zero unless $\hat{\sigma}$ is conjugate in $\Sigma_n$ to an element $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}$.

Two elements of $\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}$ are conjugate in $\Sigma_n$ if and only if they are conjugate in $\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}$, because cycle shape determines conjugacy.

Suppose that $\hat{\sigma}$ is conjugate in $\Sigma_n$ to an element $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}$. Let $r_i, r'_i$ be the numbers of $i$-cycles in the cycle decomposition for $\sigma, \sigma'$ respectively. The number of distinct elements in the $\Sigma_n$-conjugacy class of $(\sigma, \sigma')$ is

$$\frac{n!}{1^{r_1+r'_1}(r_1 + r'_1)!2^{r_2+r'_2}(r_2 + r'_2)!\ldots}$$

while the number in the $\Sigma_k$-conjugacy class of $\sigma$ is

$$\frac{k!}{1^{r_1}(r_1)!2^{r_2}(r_2)!\ldots}$$

and the number in the $\Sigma_{n-k}$-conjugacy class of $\sigma'$ is

$$\frac{(n-k)!}{1^{r'_1}(r'_1)!2^{r'_2}(r'_2)!\ldots}.$$

Therefore the denominators are the orders of the relevant centralisers.

Next we want to simplify the formula for $\chi_{m(V_1 \otimes V_2)}(\hat{\sigma})$. If $\tau \hat{\sigma} \tau^{-1} \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}$ there exists $\mu \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}$ such that $\mu \tau \hat{\sigma} \tau^{-1} \mu^{-1} = \hat{\sigma}$. Setting $\tau_1 = \mu \tau$ we see that $\tau_1 \in Z_{\Sigma_n}(\hat{\sigma})$, the centraliser of $\hat{\sigma}$ in $\Sigma_n$. Therefore $\tau = \mu^{-1} \tau_1$ and we have a surjective map

$$(\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}) \times Z_{\Sigma_n}(\hat{\sigma}) \longrightarrow \{\tau \in \Sigma_n, \tau \hat{\sigma} \tau^{-1} \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}\}$$

sending $(\nu, \tau_1)$ to $\nu \tau_1$. Also $\nu \tau_1 = \nu' \tau'_1$ if and only if

$$(\nu')^{-1} \nu = \tau'_1 \tau_1^{-1} \in Z_{\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}}(\sigma, \sigma').$$

Therefore if $\lambda = (\nu')^{-1} \nu$ then $(\nu, \tau_1) = (\nu' \lambda, \lambda^{-1} \tau')$. Therefore there is a bijection

$$(\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}) \times Z_{\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}}(\sigma, \sigma') \rightarrow \{\tau \in \Sigma_n, \tau \hat{\sigma} \tau^{-1} \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}\}.$$

Therefore the number of $\tau$’s in the formula for $\chi_{m(V_1 \otimes V_2)}(\hat{\sigma})$ is

$$\frac{k!(n-k)!1^{r_1+r'_1}(r_1 + r'_1)!2^{r_2+r'_2}(r_2 + r'_2)!\ldots}{1^{r_1}(r_1)!2^{r_2}(r_2)!\ldots1^{r'_1}(r'_1)!2^{r'_2}(r'_2)!\ldots}$$
and each \( r \sigma r^{-1} \) gives an element in the \( \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k} \)-conjugacy class of \( \hat{\sigma} = (\sigma, \sigma') \) we find that

\[
\chi_m(V_1 \otimes V_2)(\hat{\sigma}) = \frac{1^{r_1+r'_1}(r_1+r'_1)!2^{r_2+r'_2}(r_2+r'_2)! \ldots}{1^{r_1}(r_1)!2^{r_2}(r_2)! \ldots 1^{r'_1}(r'_1)!2^{r'_2}(r'_2)! \ldots} \chi_V(\sigma) \chi_{V_2}(\sigma')
\]

if \( \hat{\sigma} \) is \( \Sigma_n \)-conjugate to \( (\sigma, \sigma') \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k} \) and zero otherwise.

**Theorem 7.19.** (32 Appendix III Proposition 4.3) If \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) are representations of \( \Sigma_k \) and \( \Sigma_{n-k} \) respectively and \( m(V_1 \otimes V_2) \) is the product in the PSH algebra of \( \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k} \) then the ratio of conjugacy class sizes satisfies

\[
\text{Ind}_{\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}}(V_1 \otimes V_2) = W_{\Sigma_n}^x(V_1 \otimes V_2).
\]

**Proof:** From the preceding discussion about the character values of

\[
\text{Ind}_{\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}}(V_1 \otimes V_2) = m(V_1 \otimes V_2)
\]

we see that

\[
W_{\Sigma_n}^x(m(V_1 \otimes V_2)) = \frac{k!(n-k)!}{n! \text{dim}_C(V_1) \text{dim}_C(V_2)} \sum_{\hat{\sigma} \in \Sigma_n} \chi_m(V_1 \otimes V_2)(\hat{\sigma}) \psi_x(\hat{\sigma})
\]

\[
= \frac{k!(n-k)!}{n! \text{dim}_C(V_1) \text{dim}_C(V_2)} \sum_{\hat{\sigma} \in \Sigma_n} \frac{1^{r_1+r'_1}(r_1+r'_1)!2^{r_2+r'_2}(r_2+r'_2)! \ldots}{1^{r_1}(r_1)!2^{r_2}(r_2)! \ldots 1^{r'_1}(r'_1)!2^{r'_2}(r'_2)! \ldots} \chi_V(\sigma) \chi_{V_2}(\sigma')
\]

\[
\times \psi_x(\hat{\sigma})
\]

where the sum is taken over those \( \hat{\sigma} \) in \( \Sigma_n \) which are conjugate to some \( (\sigma, \sigma') \) in \( \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k} \). Now if \( \hat{\sigma} = (\sigma, \sigma') \) with lengths as in the preceding discussion then the ratio of conjugacy class sizes satisfies

\[
\frac{|\{\Sigma_n \text{-conjugates of } (\sigma,\sigma')\}|}{|\{\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k} \text{-conjugates of } (\sigma,\sigma')\}|}
\]

\[
= \frac{n!}{1^{r_1+r'_1}(r_1+r'_1)!2^{r_2+r'_2}(r_2+r'_2)! \ldots k! 1^{r_1}(r_1)!2^{r_2}(r_2)! \ldots 1^{r'_1}(r'_1)!2^{r'_2}(r'_2)! \ldots (n-k)!}
\]

Therefore we may re-write the function as a sum over \( \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k} \) in the form

\[
W_{\Sigma_n}^x(m(V_1 \otimes V_2)) = \frac{1}{\text{dim}_C(V_1) \text{dim}_C(V_2)} \sum_{(\sigma,\sigma') \in \Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{n-k}} \chi_V(\sigma) \chi_{V_2}(\sigma') \psi_x(\hat{\sigma})
\]

\[
= W_{\Sigma_k}^x(V_1) \cdot W_{\Sigma_{n-k}}^x(V_2),
\]

as required, since \( \psi_x(\hat{\sigma}) = \psi_x(\sigma) \psi_x(\sigma') \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 7.20.** If \( 1 \) denotes the trivial one-dimensional representation then

\[
\text{Ind}_{\Sigma_n}^{\Sigma_{n+1}}(V) = m(V \otimes 1) \text{ so that } W_{\Sigma_n}^{x \Sigma_{n+1}}(\text{Ind}_{\Sigma_n}^{\Sigma_{n+1}}(V)) = xW_{\Sigma_n}^x(V)
\]
7.21. Proof of Theorem 7.16

The proof is by induction, using the Branching Rule of Theorem 7.14(i). Recall that \( \rho_\mu \) denotes the representation of \( \Sigma_n \) given by the complexification of the Specht module \( S^\mu \).

The branching rule and the analogue of Lemma 6.3 yield the relation

\[
(n + 1) \text{dim}(S^\mu) x \cdot W^x_{\Sigma_n}(\rho_\mu)
\]

\[
= \text{dim}(\text{Ind}^\Sigma_{\Sigma_n+1}(\rho_\mu))W^x_{\Sigma_{n+1}}(\text{Ind}^\Sigma_{\Sigma_n+1}(\rho_\mu))
\]

\[
= \sum_\lambda \text{dim}(S^\lambda)W^x_{\Sigma_{n+1}}(\rho_\lambda).
\]

where the sum is over all the diagrams \( [\lambda] \) obtained by adding a node to the diagram \( [\mu] \).

Following (20 and 32 Appendix III §4) denote the polynomial on the right-hand side of the equation of Theorem 7.16 by \( f_{S^\mu}(x) \) for the representation \( \rho_\mu \).

Furthermore, when \( \lambda \) is obtained by adding the \((i(\lambda), j(\lambda))\)-node to the diagram \( [\mu] \) to obtain \( [\lambda] \), we have the relation

\[
f_{S^\lambda}(x) = f_{S^\mu}(x) \cdot (x - i(\lambda) + j(\lambda)).
\]

Therefore, if we replace each \( W^x_{\Sigma_{n+1}}(\rho_\lambda) \) in the sum of \( \lambda \)'s by \( f_{S^\lambda}(x) \) we obtain

\[
\sum_\lambda \text{dim}(S^\lambda)f_{S^\mu}(x)(x - i(\lambda) + j(\lambda))
\]

\[
= (n + 1) \text{dim}(S^\mu) x \cdot f_{S^\mu}(x) - f_{S^\mu}(x) \cdot (\sum_\lambda \text{dim}(S^\lambda)(i(\lambda) - j(\lambda))).
\]

The sum

\[
\sum_\lambda \text{dim}(S^\lambda)(i(\lambda) - j(\lambda))
\]

is easily seen to be zero. The dimensions in the sum are unchanged by applying the conjugation involution \( \mu \mapsto \mu' \). However conjugation interchanges the \( i \)'s and \( j \)'s, as the \((i, j)\)'s are precisely those where an extra node can be added to the diagram for \( S^\mu \) and the \((j, i)\)'s are precisely those where an extra node can be added to the diagram for \( S^{\mu'} \), so the expression must be zero.

Therefore, if Theorem 7.16 holds for all the \( \lambda \) in the branching rule, then it holds for \( \mu \). This is the basis for an induction which starts with the trivial cases \((n)\) and \((1^n)\) for all \( n \). \( \square \)

The proof of Theorem 6.2 also yields the following result.

Theorem 7.22. (Analogue of Theorem 6.2)

Let \( \sigma \) be a finite-dimensional representation of \( H \subseteq \Sigma_n \). Then for any subgroup \( J \) such that \( H \subseteq J \subseteq \Sigma_n \)

\[
W^x_H(\sigma) = W^x_J(\text{Ind}^J_H(\sigma)).
\]
8. The General Wreath Product

In this section we consider the wreath-product $\Sigma \int H$ which is a subgroup of $GL_n \mathbb{F}_q$ if $H$ is subgroup of $GL_d \mathbb{F}_q$. We start by extending, in a preliminary way, the definition of the symmetric group numerical invariant of §6.

**Definition 8.1.** Suppose that $H \subseteq GL_m \mathbb{F}_q$ ($q = p^d$, $p$ prime) and that $\rho$ is a finite-dimensional complex representation of the subgroup $J$ of the wreath-product $\Sigma \int H$ define, for $x \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$W_{n,J}^{\times,H}(\rho) = \frac{1}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\rho)} \sum_{X=(\sigma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in J \subseteq \Sigma_n \int H} \chi_{\rho}(X) \psi_{n,x}(\sigma) \Lambda_{n,x,H}(\sigma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{n,x,H}(\sigma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) = \prod_{\text{cycles } (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \text{ in } \sigma} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \trace_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\trace_{GL_m}(\alpha_{x_1} \alpha_{x_2} \cdots \alpha_{x_r}))}/p.$$  

**Theorem 8.2.** If $G \subset J \subseteq \Sigma_n \int H$ in the situation of Definition 8.1 and $\lambda$ is a complex representation of $G$ then

$$W_{n,J}^{\times,H}(\Ind_G^J(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{|J| \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)} \sum_{X=(\sigma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in G} \chi_{\lambda}(W) \psi_{n,x}(\sigma) \mu_{n,x,H}(W, Y)$$

where

$$\mu_{n,x,H}(W, Y) = \prod_{\text{cycles } (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \text{ in } \sigma} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \trace_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\trace_{GL_m}(\alpha_{x_1} \alpha_{x_2} \cdots \alpha_{x_r}))}/p.$$  

**Proof:**
If $\rho = \Ind_G^J(\lambda)$ then

$$W_{n,J}^{\times,H}(\rho) = \frac{1}{|J| \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)} \sum_{X=(\sigma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in J \subseteq \Sigma_n \int H} \chi_{\rho}(X) \psi_{n,x}(\sigma) \Lambda_{n,x,H}(X)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|J| \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)} \sum_{X=(\sigma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in J} \chi_{\lambda}(Y X Y^{-1}) \psi_{n,x}(\sigma) \Lambda_{n,x,H}(X).$$

There is a bijection of sets of the form

$$\{(X, Y) \in J \times J \mid Y XY^{-1} \in G\}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\{(W, Y) \in G \times J\}.$$
The downwards map is given by \((X, Y) \mapsto (YX^{-1}, Y)\) and the upwards map is given by \((W, Y) \mapsto (Y^{-1}WY, Y)\). Therefore we have
\[
W_{x,H}^{W_{x,H}}(\rho)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{|J| \dim(\lambda)} \sum_{W = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \in G} \sum_{Y = (\sigma', \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in J, Y^{-1}WY \in J} \chi_{\lambda}(W) \psi_{n,x}(\sigma) \Lambda_{n,x,H}(Y^{-1}WY)
\]
because \(\psi_{n,x}((\sigma')^{-1}\sigma\sigma') = \psi_{n,x}(\sigma)\).
Recall that the product in the wreath product is given by
\[
(\sigma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \cdot (\sigma', \alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_n) = (\sigma\sigma', \alpha_1\alpha'_1(1), \alpha_2\alpha'_2(2), \ldots, \alpha_n\alpha'_n(\sigma(n)))
\]
so that
\[
(\sigma', \alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_n)^{-1} = ((\sigma')^{-1}, (\alpha'_1(1))^{-1}, \ldots, (\alpha'_n(\sigma(n))^{-1}).
\]
Therefore we have
\[
Y^{-1}WY
\]
\[
= ((\sigma')^{-1}, (\alpha'_1(1))^{-1}, \ldots)((\sigma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \cdot (\sigma', \alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_n))
\]
\[
= ((\sigma')^{-1}, (\alpha'_1(1))^{-1}, \ldots)((\sigma\sigma', \alpha_1\alpha'_1(1), \alpha_2\alpha'_2(2), \ldots)
\]
\[
= ((\sigma')^{-1}\sigma\sigma', \alpha'_1(1)^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_n\alpha'_n(\sigma(n))^{-1}^{-1}).
\]
Suppose that \((j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_t)\) is a cycle in the cycle decomposition of \(\sigma\). If \((\sigma')^{-1}(j_s) = k_s\) then \((\sigma')^{-1}\sigma\sigma'(k_s) = (\sigma')^{-1}(j_{s+1}) = k_{s+1}\) (with the convention that \(k_{t+1} = k_1\) so that \((k_1, \ldots, k_t)\) is a cycle in \((\sigma')^{-1}\sigma\sigma'\) and we have
\[
(\alpha'_1(1)^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_n\alpha'_n(\sigma(n))^{-1}) = (\alpha'_1(1)^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_n\alpha'_n(\sigma(n))^{-1}).
\]
Therefore the product of these terms, index by the cycle of \(k_i\)'s in cyclic order is equal to
\[
(\alpha'_1(1)^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_n\alpha'_n(\sigma(n))^{-1})
\]
and
\[
\text{Trace}_{GL_m}((\alpha'_1(1)^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_n\alpha'_n(\sigma(n))^{-1})) = \text{Trace}_{GL_m}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \ldots \alpha_t) = \text{Trace}_{GL_m}(\alpha_{(\sigma')^{-1}(j_1)} \ldots \alpha_{(\sigma')^{-1}(j_t)}).
\]
This observation completes the proof. □

Theorem 8.3.
If \(H\) is a subgroup of \(GL_m\mathbb{F}_q\) and \(S^\lambda\) is the finite-dimensional complex representation of \(\Sigma_n\) given by the Specht module of the partition \(\lambda\) then
\[
W_{x,H}^{\Sigma_n \Sigma_n f H}(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}(x e^{\frac{2\sqrt{\text{mod}}}{p}}).
\]
Here \(f_{\lambda}(x)\) is the polynomial associated to the \(\Sigma_n\)-representation \(S^\lambda\) which appeared in [7.21] (the proof of Theorem 7.16).
Proof:
In the formula of Theorem 8.2 every $\alpha_i = 1$. Therefore for each cycle, of length $t$ say, we contribute a factor $xe^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{md}/p}$ to $\psi_{n,x}(\sigma)\Lambda_{n,x,H}(Y^{-1}WY)$ which multiply together to give $\psi_{n,x}e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{md}/p}(\sigma)$. We receive the same contribution for each $Y$ which cancels out the $|J|$ in the denominator. □

Theorem 8.2 shows that $W_{n,J}^{x,H}(\rho)$ is not as inductive as the classical Kondo-Gauss sum because the measure is not sufficiently invariant to ensure that $W_{n,J}^{x,H}(\text{Ind}_G^J(\lambda))$ is equal to $W_{n,G}^{x,H}(\lambda)$.

To emphasise this problem we shall pause for a small explicit example, which also shows that $W_{n,J}^{x,H}(\rho)$ does not respect the wreath product PSH algebra structure.

**Example 8.4.** $G = \Sigma_2 \cong \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)\}$ and $J = \Sigma_3 \bigcap H$. Therefore we have $X = (1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1))$ and $X = ((1, 2), \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)$ which $Y = (\sigma', \alpha_1', \alpha_2', \alpha_3')$ with $\sigma'$ in $\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3), 123, 132\}$.

When $\sigma = 1$ we have

| $\sigma$ | $\sigma'$ | $Y^{-1}XY$ |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 1 | $((1, \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1a_1', \alpha_2'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_3'^{-1}a_3a_3')$ |
| 1 | (1, 2) | $((1, \alpha_1'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1', \alpha_3'^{-1}a_3a_3')$ |
| 1 | (1, 3) | $((1, \alpha_1'^{-1}a_3a_3', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1')$ |
| 1 | (2, 3) | $((1, \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1a_1', \alpha_3'^{-1}a_3a_3', \alpha_2'^{-1}a_2a_2')$ |
| 1 | (1, 2, 3) | $((1, \alpha_1'^{-1}a_3a_3' , \alpha_1'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1')$ |
| 1 | (1, 3, 2) | $((1, \alpha_1'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_3'^{-1}a_3a_3', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1')$ |

In this case the cycle decomposition of $\sigma$ is (1)(2)(3) as the $j_1$’s run through 1, 2, 3 the $k_1$’s run through the same set and for any triple of $\alpha_i$’s we get 6 terms each equal to

$$x^3 \prod_{i=1}^{3} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{Trace}_{GL_m}(\alpha_i))/p}$$

$$= x^3 e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{Trace}_{GL_m}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))/p} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{md}/p}.$$

When $\sigma = (1, 2)$ we have

| $\sigma$ | $\sigma'$ | $Y^{-1}XY$ |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (1, 2) | 1 | $((1, 2), (\alpha_1'^{-1}a_1a_1', (\alpha_2')^{-1}a_2a_2', (\alpha_3')^{-1}a_3a_3')$ |
| (1, 2) | (1, 2) | $((1, 2), (\alpha_1'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1', \alpha_3'^{-1}a_3a_3')$ |
| (1, 2) | (1, 3) | $((1, 3), (\alpha_2'^{-1}a_3a_3', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1')$ |
| (1, 2) | (2, 3) | $((2, 3), (\alpha_3'^{-1}a_3a_3', \alpha_2'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1')$ |
| (1, 2) | (1, 2, 3) | $((1, 3), (\alpha_2'^{-1}a_3a_3', \alpha_2'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_2'^{-1}a_2a_2')$ |
| (1, 2) | (1, 3, 2) | $((2, 3), (\alpha_2'^{-1}a_3a_3', \alpha_2'^{-1}a_2a_2', \alpha_1'^{-1}a_1')$ |
Now, if \((j_1, j_2) = (1, 2)\) then we have

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\sigma & \sigma' & (k_1, k_2) \\
\hline
(1, 2) & 1 & (1, 2) \\
(1, 2) & (1, 2) & (2, 1) \\
(1, 2) & (1, 3) & (3, 2) \\
(1, 2) & (2, 3) & (1, 2) \\
(1, 2) & (1, 2, 3) & (3, 1) \\
(1, 2) & (1, 3, 2) & (2, 3) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

From the data in these tables and the formula of Theorem 8.2 we find that

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)W_{3,G}^{x,H}(\lambda) = \sum_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)} \chi_{\lambda(1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)} x^3 e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_q / \mathbb{F}_p} (\text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\lambda))} \frac{1}{p} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{md}/p}
\]

while

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)W_{3,J}^{x,H}(\text{Ind}_G^J(\lambda)) = \sum_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)} \chi_{\lambda(1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)} x^3 e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_q / \mathbb{F}_p} (\text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\lambda))} \frac{1}{p} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{md}/p}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)} \chi_{\lambda(1, 2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)} x^2 e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_q / \mathbb{F}_p} (\text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\lambda))} \frac{1}{p} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{md}/p}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)} \chi_{\lambda((1, 2), \alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1)} x^2 e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_q / \mathbb{F}_p} (\text{Trace}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\lambda))} \frac{1}{p} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{md}/p}
\]

\[
\text{Remark 8.5. In Example 8.4 notice that Ind}_G^J(\lambda) = m(\lambda \otimes 1), the wreath product PSH multiplication, so that W_{n,\sigma_n}^{x, H}(\lambda) does not respect the PSH product.}
\]

9. The fibred PSH algebra of \(GL_n \mathbb{F}_q\) and wreath products

This section contains a couple of obvious remarks about fibred Hopf algebras\[8\].

The direct sum \(R = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty R(GL_n \mathbb{F}_q)\) is a PSH algebra ([23] and [22] p. 219). Here \(R(GL_n \mathbb{F}_q)\) is the ring of complex representations of \(GL_n \mathbb{F}_q\) whose positive basis as a torsion-free abelian group consists of the irreducible representations. Let \(\hat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)\) be the group of complex-valued characters of an abelian group \(A\). Since \(A\) has a multiplication map and a diagonal map the integral group ring \(\mathbb{Z}[^\hat{A}]\) is a Hopf algebra. The multiplication

\[
m : \mathbb{Z}[\hat{A}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\hat{A}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\hat{A}]
\]

\[\text{It reflects the unhealthy fixation with central characters, which pervades my essays [32] and [33].}\]
is the biadditive extension of the map \((\phi \in \hat{A}, a \in A)\)

\[
\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \mapsto (a \mapsto \phi_1(a)\phi_2(a)).
\]

The comultiplication

\[
m^* : \mathbb{Z}[\hat{A}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\hat{A}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\hat{A}]
\]
is given by the \(\mathbb{Z}\)-linear extension of \(\phi \mapsto \sum_{a \in \hat{A}} \nu^{-1} \otimes \phi\nu\). The map which assigns to an irreducible representation its central character gives a map of Hopf algebras

\[
\epsilon : R \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[^* \mathbb{F}_q].
\]

In fact, this map is fibred. We may write \(R_{n,\phi}\) for the subgroup of \(R(GL_n \mathbb{F}_q)\) generated by the irreducible representations with central character \(\phi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^*\).

When \(n = 0\) we have an exceptional case where \(R_0 = \mathbb{Z}\) and there is no grading by \(\phi\)'s. The multiplication

\[
m : R(GL_n \mathbb{F}_q) \otimes R(GL_m \mathbb{F}_q) \longrightarrow R(GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q)
\]
sends the tensor product of two positive basis elements \(V \otimes W\) to

\[
\text{Ind}_{P_{n,m}}^{GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q}(\text{Inf}_{GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \times GL_m \mathbb{F}_q} (V \otimes W))
\]
where \(P_{n,m}\) is the usual parabolic subgroup. Clearly, if \(\phi\) and \(\psi\) are the central characters of \(V\) and \(W\) respectively then the centre of \(GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q\) acts on this induced representation via \(\phi\psi\). Hence we obtain

\[
m : R_{n,\phi} \otimes R_{m,\psi} \longrightarrow R_{n+m,\phi\psi}.
\]

The comultiplication has \((a, n-a)\)-component given by the \(U_{a,n\cdot a}\)-invariant subspace of a \(GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q\)-representation \(V\) \((U_{a,n\cdot a}\) is the unitriangular subgroup of \(P_{a,n-a}\)). Clearly this induces

\[
m^* R_{n,\phi} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} R_{a,\nu^{-1}} \otimes R_{n,\nu\phi}.
\]

Similar remarks apply to the wreath-product PSH algebra of \(\S 7\) when, for example, \(H = GL_m \mathbb{F}_q\).

10. fibred Shintani base change

This section contains a couple of obvious remarks about fibred Shintani base change\(^9\).

The Galois group \(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q)\) acts on the PSH algebra for \(GL_n \mathbb{F}_{q^m}\), which we shall denote by \(R(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \bigoplus_{n,\phi} R(GL_n \mathbb{F}_{q^m})_\phi\). If \(V\) is an irreducible representation of \(GL_n \mathbb{F}_{q^m}\) which is equivalent to its image under the action of \(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q)\) then the same is true for its central character, \(\phi_V : \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*\).

By Hilbert’s Theorem 90 there is a factorisation through the norm map of the form

\[
\phi_V = \lambda_V \cdot \text{Norm} : \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^* \xrightarrow{\text{Norm}} \mathbb{F}_q^* \xrightarrow{\lambda_V} \mathbb{C}^*.
\]

\(^9\)It reflects the unhealthy fixation Shintani base change, which pervades my essays \([32]\) and \([33]\).
The Shintani correspondence ([32] Chapter Nine, §6; [28]) is a bijection between irreducible representations of $GL_n \mathbb{F}_q$ and Galois invariant irreducible representations of $GL_n \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. If the central character of the Galois invariant irreducible representation $V$ is $\phi_V$ then the central character of the Shintani correspondent of $V$ will be $\lambda_V$. It is shown that the Galois invariant irreducibles generate a subalgebra of the PSH algebra and that there is an algebra isomorphism, induced by the Shintani correspondence, between this subalgebra and the PSH algebra for $\mathbb{F}_q$. It is not a Hopf algebra isomorphism.

The graded subalgebra introduced above is fibred over the algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{q^m}]$ and the Shintani correspondence is fibred over the algebra map

$$\mathbb{Z}[\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{q^m}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q]$$

induced by the norm.

The Gauss sums of the Shintani-related central characters are related by the Hasse-Davenport Theorem [14], which I shall recall now - for completeness.

The additive measure is the character of the additive group of $\mathbb{F}_q$

$$\psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

given by the composition of the trace to the prime subfield, $\mathbb{F}_p$ followed by

$$\psi_p : \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

classified by $\psi_p(z) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} z/p}$.

If $\lambda : \mathbb{F}_q^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a character then its Gauss sum is defined by

$$\tau(\lambda) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi(x) \psi_q(x).$$

If $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a character which factorises through the norm as

$$\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^* \xrightarrow{\text{Norm}} \mathbb{F}_q^* \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^*$$

then

$$-\tau(\phi) = (-1)^m \tau(\lambda)^m.$$

11. The hyperHecke algebra of a locally profinite group

This section is a recapitulation from [33].

Let $G$ be a locally profinite group and let $k$ be an algebraically closed field. Suppose that $\phi : Z(G) \longrightarrow k^*$ is a fixed $k$-valued, continuous character on the centre $Z(G)$ of $G$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{cmc},\phi}(G)$ be the poset of pairs $(H, \phi)$ where $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, containing $Z(G)$, which is compact, open modulo the centre of $G$ and $\phi : H \longrightarrow k^*$ is a $k$-valued, continuous character whose restriction to $Z(G)$ is $\phi$.

We define the hyperHecke algebra, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{cmc}}(G)$, to be the $k$-algebra given by the following generators and relations. For $(H, \phi), (K, \psi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{cmc},\phi}(G)$,
write \([ (K, \psi), g, (H, \phi) ]\) for any triple consisting of \(g \in G\), characters \(\phi, \psi\) on subgroups \(H, K \leq G\), respectively such that

\[
(K, \psi) \leq (g^{-1}Hg, (g)^*(\phi))
\]

which means that \(K \leq g^{-1}Hg\) and that \(\psi(k) = \phi(h)\) where \(k = g^{-1}hg\) for \(h \in H, k \in K\).

Let \(\mathcal{H}\) denote the \(k\)-vector space with basis given by these triples. Define a product on these triples by the formula

\[
[(H, \phi), g_1, (J, \mu)] \cdot [(K, \psi), g_2, (H, \phi)] = [(K, \psi), g_1g_2, (J, \mu)]
\]

and zero otherwise. This product makes sense because

(i) if \(K \leq g_2^{-1}Hg_2\) and \(H \leq g_1^{-1}Jg_1\) then \(K \leq g_2^{-1}Hg_2 \leq g_1^{-1}Jg_1 \leq g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}Jg_1g_2\).

(ii) if \(\psi(k) = \phi(h) = \mu(j)\), where \(k = g_2^{-1}hg_2, h = g_1^{-1}jg_1\) then \(k = g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}jg_1g_2\).

This product is clearly associative and we define an algebra \(\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(G)\) to be \(\mathcal{H}\) modulo the relations (32)

\[
[(K, \psi), gk, (H, \phi)] = \psi(k^{-1})[(K, \psi), g, (H, \phi)]
\]

and

\[
[(K, \psi), hg, (H, \phi)] = \phi(h^{-1})[(K, \psi), g, (H, \phi)].
\]

12. A Hopf-like algebra for the hyperHecke algebras of \(GL_nK\)

Let \(G\) be a locally profinite group and let \(k\) be an algebraically closed field. A character of a group \(H\) will mean a continuous homomorphism \(\phi : H \to k^*\). Usually it is important that pairs \((H, \pi)\) belong to the poset \(\mathcal{M}_{cmc,\phi}(G)\), introduced in §10.

However, the material of this section, while applying without change to the case of general linear groups of local fields, is amply illustrated by the case of general linear groups of a finite field. In particular, the finite field case allows us to use the tensor product description of induced representations rather than the function space version.

Recall that the hyperHecke algebra of \(G\) is denoted by \(\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(G)\).

As explained in §10 \(\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(G)\) is generated by triples \([ (K, \psi), g, (H, \phi) ]\) where \(H, K \subseteq G\) and \(\phi, \psi\) are characters such that \((K, \psi) \leq (g^{-1}Hg, (g)^*(\phi))\). This condition means that \(K \subseteq g^{-1}Hg\) and if \(k \in K\) satisfies \(gkg^{-1} = h \in H\) then \(\psi(k) = \phi(h)\).

We think, as motivation, of a triple as a \(k[G]\)-module homomorphism

\[
[(K, \psi), g, (H, \phi)] : \text{Ind}_K^G(\psi) \to \text{Ind}_H^G(\phi)
\]

\(^{10}\)For the purposes of this essay, as in [33], I am using throughout a convention in which \(g\) is replaced by \(g^{-1}\) to make the composition coincide with the conventions of induced representations which I shall use here.

\(^{11}\)These are compared in one of the Appendices to [33].

\(^{12}\)The subscript stands for “compact open modulo the centre”.
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defined, in the tensor product description of induced representations (see [33 Appendix §12], by \( g' \otimes_K v \mapsto g'g^{-1} \otimes_H v \).

This motivation with inform the constructions which follow. For \( G_1 = GL_n \mathbb{F}_q \) and \( G_2 = GL_m \mathbb{F}_q \) suppose we have \([\langle K_1, \psi_1 \rangle, g_1, \langle H_1, \phi_1 \rangle]\) and \([\langle K_2, \psi_2 \rangle, g_2, \langle H_2, \phi_2 \rangle]\) in \( \mathcal{H}_{cme}(G_1) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{cme}(G_2) \), respectively.

Inside \( GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q \) we have the unitriangular subgroup \( U_{n,m} \) such that the parabolic subgroup \( P_{n,m} = (G_1 \times G_2)U_{n,m} \). On \( P_{n,m} \) we have \( \text{Inf}_{K_1 \times K_2}^G(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \) and \( \text{Inf}_{H_1 \times H_2}^H(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2) \) where \( K_{n,m} = (K_1 \times K_2)U_{n,m} \) and \( H_{n,m} = (H_1 \times H_2)U_{n,m} \).

Define the product of these two triples, as a triple in \( \mathcal{H}_{cme}(GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q) \), to equal

\[
m([\langle K_1, \psi_1 \rangle, g_1, \langle H_1, \phi_1 \rangle] \otimes [\langle K_2, \psi_2 \rangle, g_2, \langle H_2, \phi_2 \rangle])
= [\langle K_{n,m}, \text{Inf}(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \rangle, (g_1, g_2), \langle H_{n,m}, \text{Inf}(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2) \rangle].
\]

Next we need to motivate the coproduct by recalling the double coset formula (cite Sn94 Theorem 1.2.40; [32] Chapter Seven).

If \( K \subseteq GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q \) we have

\[
\text{Res}_{P_{a,n+m-a}}^{GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q} (\text{Ind}_K^{GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q}(\psi))
\cong \bigoplus_{z \in \mathcal{P}_{a,n+m-a} \backslash GL_{n+m} \mathbb{F}_q / K} \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}_{a,n+m-a} \cap \mathcal{K}Kz^{-1}} (\mathcal{K}(z^{-1})^*(\psi)).
\]

The explicit maps which give the Double Coset Formula in this case are

\[
g \otimes_K w \mapsto u \otimes_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap \mathcal{K}Kz^{-1}} kw, \ g = uzk, u \in \mathcal{P}_{a,n+m-a}, k \in K
\]
and

\[
u' \otimes_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap \mathcal{K}Kz^{-1}} kw \mapsto uz \otimes_K kw = uzk \otimes_K w = g \otimes_K w.
\]

Notice that

\[
g \otimes_K w \mapsto u \otimes_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap \mathcal{K}Kz^{-1}} kw \mapsto uz \otimes_K kw = uzk \otimes_K w = g \otimes_K w.
\]

Also

\[
u' \otimes_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap \mathcal{K}Kz^{-1}} kw \mapsto uz \otimes_K kw \mapsto u \otimes_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap \mathcal{K}Kz^{-1}} kw
\]
so if the maps are well-defined then they are inverse isomorphisms.

I shall pause to check that they are well-defined, as this process may be useful in what follows.

If \( g = uzk = u'z'k' \) then \( gKg^{-1} = uzKz^{-1}u^{-1} = u'z'K(z')^{-1}(u')^{-1} \) so we have

\[
(u^{-1}u' - (u')^{-1}u) : P_{a,n+m-a} \cap z'K(z')^{-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}
\]
also if \( v \in P_{a,n+m-a} \cap z'K(z')^{-1} \) it acts via \( \psi((z')^{-1}vz') \) whereas \( (u^{-1}u'v(u')^{-1}u) \) acts via

\[
\psi(z^{-1}u^{-1}u'v(u')^{-1}uz) = \psi(k^{-1}z^{-1}u^{-1}u'v(u')^{-1}uzk)
= \psi(k^{-1}(z')^{-1}vz'k) = \psi((z')^{-1}vz').
\]
Recall that \((K, \psi) \leq (g^{-1}Hg, g^*(\phi))\) means that
\[
K \psi \subseteq C^* = K (g^{-1}) H \phi \subseteq k^*
\]
or equivalently \(k = g^{-1}h g\) implies \(\psi(k) = \phi(h)\).

If \(K = (P_{a,n+m-a} \cap z'K(z')^{-1}, H = P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}\) and \(g = u^{-1}u'\)
we have
\[
K \psi((z')^{-1} - z') C^* = K (g^{-1}) H \psi(z^{-1} - z) k^*
\]

From the first datum we get
\[
[(K, \psi), g, (H, \phi)] : \text{Ind}_K^G(\psi) \to \text{Ind}_H^G(\phi)
\]
defined by \(g' \otimes_K v \mapsto g'g^{-1} \otimes_H v\).

Therefore from the second we get \((P = P_{a,n+m-a})\)
\[
[(K, \psi((z')^{-1} - z')), g, (H, \psi((z)^{-1} - z))] : \text{Ind}_K^P(\psi((z')^{-1} - z'))
\]
\[\overset{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} \text{Ind}_H^P(\psi(z^{-1} - z))\]
defined by
\[
g' \otimes_K v \mapsto g'g^{-1} \otimes_H v = g'(u^{-1}u')^{-1} \otimes_H v = g'(u')^{-1}u \otimes_H v.
\]

The double-check above confirms that conjugation as above on \(P_{a,n+m-a}\)
gives an canonical isomorphism which, in the language of hyperHecke triples
gives an inclusion (an isomorphism)
\[
(P_{a,n+m-a} \cap z'K(z')^{-1}, ((z')^{-1})^*(\psi)) \leq ((u^{-1}u')^{-1}P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}u^{-1}u', ((u^{-1}u')^*(z^{-1})^*(\psi)).
\]

Therefore, to recapitulate, we have a triple
\[
[(P_{a,n+m-a} \cap z'K(z')^{-1}, ((z')^{-1})^*(\psi)), u^{-1}u', P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}, (z^{-1})^*(\psi)]
\]
which gives a canonical \(P_{a,n+m-a}\)-isomorphism of induced modules
\[
\text{Ind}_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap z'K(z')^{-1}}^{P_{a,n+m-a}}( ((z')^{-1})^*(\psi)) \to \text{Ind}_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zK(z)\cap (zK(z)^{-1}((z')^{-1})^*(\psi))}^{P_{a,n+m-a}}
\]
given by
\[
u_1 \otimes_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap z'K(z')^{-1}} v \mapsto u_1(w'^{-1}u'_1) \otimes_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zK(z)^{-1}} v.
\]

Now, returning to the construction of the coproduct, suppose we have
\[
[(K, \psi), g, (H, \phi)] : \text{Ind}_K^{GL_{n+m,F}_q}(\psi) \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_H^{GL_{n+m,F}_q}(\phi)
\]
given by \(g' \otimes_K v \mapsto g'g^{-1} \otimes_H v\) with \(g' = uzk, u \in P_{a,n+m-a}, k \in K, z \in G\).
This is a \(GL_{n+m,F}_q\) map so it is a \(P_{a,n+m-a}\)-map and therefore will induce a
lot of maps between the summands in the Double Coset Formula.

The left-hand map to the Double Coset summand is, if \(g' = uzk\) as above,
\[
g' \otimes_K v \longrightarrow u \otimes_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}} k v
\]

\(^{13}\text{Apologies for } k \text{ the field and } k \in K \text{. The former will hardly appear again!}\)
and the right-hand map to the Double Coset summand requires us to find the double coset of $g'g^{-1} = uzkg^{-1} = uzg^{-1}(gkg^{-1}) \in P_{a,n+m-a}zg^{-1}H$ therefore the right-hand map is

$$g'g^{-1} \otimes_H v \rightarrow u \otimes P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hg^{-1} (gkg^{-1})v$$

Therefore the map between Double Coset summands corresponding to $[(K, \psi), g, (H, \phi)]$ is

$$u \otimes P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1} kv \rightarrow u \otimes P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hgz^{-1} (gkg^{-1})v$$

but if we insert the $K$ and $H$ actions in terms of $\psi$ and $\phi$ it becomes

$$u \otimes P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1} \psi(k)v \rightarrow u \otimes P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hgz^{-1} \phi(gkg^{-1})v = u \otimes P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hgz^{-1} \psi(k)v$$

or simply

$$u \otimes P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1} v \rightarrow u \otimes P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hgz^{-1} v.$$  

Since

$$(z^{-1})^*(\psi): P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1} \rightarrow k^*$$

equals

$$P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1} \leq P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hgz^{-1} ((zg^{-1})^{-1})^*(\phi) k^*$$

the map between Double Coset summands is

$$[(P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}, (z^{-1})^*(\psi)), 1, (P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hgz^{-1}, ((zg^{-1})^{-1})^*(\phi)))]$$

between induced representations of the form

$$\text{Ind}^P_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}}((z^{-1})^*(\psi))$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\text{Ind}^P_{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hgz^{-1}}(((zg^{-1})^{-1})^*(\phi)).$$

For each triple we have one such $P_{a,n+m-a}$-map for each $0 \leq a \leq n + m$.

Finally, from this triple, we want to derive a triple lying in $\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_a \mathbb{F}_q \times GL_{n+m-a} \mathbb{F}_q)$. This will use the isomorphism

$$P_{a,n+m-a}/U_{a,n+m-a} \cong GL_a \mathbb{F}_q \times GL_{n+m-a} \mathbb{F}_q.$$  

In the world of representations one would just average over the $U_{a,n+m-a}$-action but we are in a much more combinatorial situation.

Instead, suppose that the character $((zg^{-1})^{-1})^*(\phi) = 1$ on $U_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hgz^{-1}$, which guarantees that $((z^{-1})^{-1})^*(\psi) = 1$ on $U_{a,n+m-a} \cap z^{-1}Kz^{-1}$. This is true for all when $a = 0$ or $a = n + m$. 
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In this case our hyperHecke triple induces a triple in the hyperHecke algebra of \((P_{a,n+m-a}/U_{a,n+m-a}) \cong GL_a \mathbb{F}_q \times GL_{n+m-a} \mathbb{F}_q\)
\[
\left(\frac{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}}{U_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}}, (z^{-1})^*(\psi)), 1, \left(\frac{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hzg^{-1}}{U_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hzg^{-1}}, ((zg^{-1})^{-1})^*(\phi))\right]
\]
which lies in
\[
\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_a \mathbb{F}_q \times GL_{n+m-a} \mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_a \mathbb{F}_q) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{n+m-a} \mathbb{F}_q).
\]
This construction works equally well for \(GL_n\) of a local field.

**Definition 12.1.** (hyperHecke “coproduct”) Let \(F\) be a non-Archimedean local field or a finite field. The “coproduct”
\[
m^* : \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_n F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{a=0}^n \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_a F \times GL_{n-a} F)
\]
is defined by the formula
\[
m^*([(K, \psi), g, (H, \phi)])_{a,n+m-a}
\]
\[
= \sum_{a=0}^n \left(\frac{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}}{U_{a,n+m-a} \cap zKz^{-1}}, (z^{-1})^*(\psi)), 1, \left(\frac{P_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hzg^{-1}}{U_{a,n+m-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hzg^{-1}}, ((zg^{-1})^{-1})^*(\phi))\right)\right]
\]
where \(\sum_{a=0}^n\) indicates that only the terms for which \((zg^{-1})^{-1})^*(\phi) = 1\) on \(U_{a,n-a} \cap zg^{-1}Hzg^{-1}\) are summed over.

**Remark 12.2.** Hopflike algebra

The purpose of this remark is to clarify the notion of a Hopflike algebra.

Definition 12.1 constructs a “coproduct”
\[
m^* : \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_n F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{a=0}^n \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_a F \times GL_{n-a} F)
\]
where \(\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(G)\) is the hyperHecke algebra of a locally \(p\)-adic Lie group \(G\).

I believe the coproduct, correctly interpreted, is an “algebra map” with respect to the multiplication \(m\). for example the calculations of [34] show that
\[
\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_a F) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{n-a} F) \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_n F) \xrightarrow{m^*} \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_b F \times GL_{n-b} F)
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_a F) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{n-a} F) \xrightarrow{m^* \otimes m^*} \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{x_{1,1}} F \times GL_{x_{1,2}} F \times GL_{x_{2,1}} F \times GL_{x_{2,2}} F)
\]
\[
\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{x_{1,1}} F \times GL_{x_{1,2}} F \times GL_{x_{2,1}} F \times GL_{x_{2,2}} F) \xrightarrow{1 \times T \times 1} \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{x_{1,1}} F \times GL_{x_{2,1}} F \times GL_{x_{1,2}} F \times GL_{x_{2,2}} F)
\]
\[
\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{b_1} F \times GL_{n-b_1} F) \xrightarrow{m \times m} \mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{b_1} F \times GL_{n-b_1} F)
\]
are equal for any matrix of positive integers
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\
x_{2,1} & x_{2,2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
satisfying
\[x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} = a, x_{2,1} + x_{2,2} = n - a, x_{1,1} + x_{2,1} = b, x_{1,2} + x_{2,2} = n - b.\]

The calculation uses the Bruhat decomposition in the combinatorial manner of \([35]\) Appendix III.

It seems to me that there is a family of analogous pairs of equal compositions indexed by ordered partitions \(n = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t)\) and featuring \(\mathcal{H}_{cmc}(GL_{n_1}F \times \ldots \times GL_{n_t}F)\), each of which is a consequence of the Bruhat decomposition. The one given above and proved in \([34]\) is the case of partitions of length two.

I conjecture that the result is a Hopf algebra structure on the sum of the hyperHecke algebras of products of general linear groups, indexed by ordered partitions.
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