Multiple Victims: The Result of Caring Patients in Vegetative State
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1. Background

Vegetative State (VS) is one of the Disorders of Consciousness (DOC) (1); a condition which originates from the coma state (1-3), and occurs through full or relative return of the brain stem (1, 4), thalamic functions (4), wakefulness capacity and opening of the eyes (5). Therefore, patients in VS are awake, yet they fail to attain awareness about themselves and the environment, to have purposeful behavioral responses to stimuli, and to comprehend language and to communicate (1-3). The term ‘vegetative’ as explained in the Oxford dictionary, refers to “an organic body capable of growth and evolution while unable to think and feel” (6). Regardless of the challenges in naming this term, it refers to the lack of capability and need of vegetative patients for thorough but dignified and humanized care (7).

The prognosis of VS after some months is weak and is improbable after a year (3, 8). However, the advancements in cardiopulmonary resuscitation techniques, surgery and critical care for patients with severe brain injury have saved the lives of many vegetative patients, and consequently have brought forth longer lives for these patients (6, 9-11). Saout reported the prevalence of vegetative patients with a wide range of 56 to 140 per million (12). On the other hand, these patients will survive as long as they are provided with artificial hydration and nutrition (13, 14). The increasing frequency and long survival of such patients intensify caring difficulties. Due to the special condition of these patients, they need continuous medical and social interferences and widespread nursing care (15-18). The person who provides care, their skills and the location at which care is provided are all important for patient outcome. For example, in Taiwan such patients are cared for in hospital-based special care units or in nursing homes (19). In some countries including Iran, due to the long period of illness, these patients are discharged from the hospital after their conditions are stabilized, therefore, their care continues at home (20). The family thus plays a vital role in such patients’ lives and care (16, 18, 20, 21).

Having a vegetative patient in the family is a complicated (13) and stressful experience (22) accompanied by various issues of concern such as ambiguity in patient’s condition and uncertainty about the length of illness (13), high costs (23), and the stress due to lack of therapeutic effect despite the costs (24).

Among the family members, the main caregivers are more influenced because of the allocated amount of time and their emotional involvement in caring (25). In a study by Chiambretto et al. vegetative patients’ caregivers declared that the full-time care made them isolated...
in such a way that they had no time to meet friends, visit places of interest, participate in outdoor activities, study and watch television (26). The findings of the study of Moretta et al. also showed higher levels of psychological distress in vegetative patients’ caregivers (27). Caring for such patients in the family is, therefore, very challenging and bears various negative outcomes. However, most studies conducted in this regard were limited to quantitative assessment of caregivers from the perspective of mental health (10, 11, 13, 16, 21, 25, 27-29), and other effects on caregivers and the other members of the family have not been focused upon. Moreover, the conducted studies were quantitative, while description and exploration of unknown or less known phenomena is only possible through true qualitative studies (30, 31).

2. Objectives

The aim of the present study was to explore the effects of caring for vegetative patients on families and caregivers at home.

3. Patients and Methods

3.1. Design

In this study, content analysis was used for gathering and analyzing the data with an aim to abstract and describe the phenomenon, and analyze outcomes with concepts and categories which describe the phenomenon (32).

3.2. Setting and Participants

This study was conducted during 2013 and 2014. The key participants were family caregivers of vegetative patients who had at least one month of caring experience of their patients at home and were purposefully entered into the study. They were selected by maximum variation sampling based on age, gender, caring duration, relationship with the patient, marital status and education. Due to the lack of caring and supporting centers for such patients and lack of diagnosis in hospital records, the researcher found them by querying the hospital staff, especially those who provide at home care. Therefore, the environment of the study was wherever a trace of these patients could be found. Sampling was thus conducted in some provinces of Iran (Khuzestan, Lorestan and Tehran). The participants included 16 individuals who cared for vegetative patients at home. Thirteen were family members that cared for the patients, and three were professional caregivers. Most of the family caregivers were females and immediate members of the family (including mother, wife, son or daughter, sister or brother), and only in one case the caregiver was the brother’s wife. The average length of caring was 20.35 months (from 1.5 to 108 months). Professional caregivers were mostly males, and often nurse assistants who had the average experience of 9.67 years. Other characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1.

| Variable                  | Values                        |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Family Caregivers**     |                               |
| Age, y                    | 30.77 ± 7.18                  |
| Gender                    |                               |
| Female                    | 10 (76.92)                    |
| Male                      | 3 (23.08)                     |
| Caring Duration, m        | 20.35 ± 28.50                 |
| **Professional Caregivers** |                             |
| Age, y                    | 33 ± 6.08                     |
| Gender                    |                               |
| Female                    | 1 (33.33)                     |
| Male                      | 2 (66.66)                     |
| Working Experience, y     | 9.67 ± 8.33                   |

3.3. Data Collection

To gather data, unstructured and face-to-face interviews were performed. Due to the comfort of the participants, the interviews were conducted at home for family caregivers, and in researcher’s office at the university for professional caregivers. The average time of the interviews was 55.76 minutes (from 15 to 122). In interviewing family caregivers, one general question was asked to explain their experience during care, and in some cases they were asked to explain a day of care for such patients. The professional caregivers were also asked to explain their experience of caring for such patients. Then, during interviews, some prop questions were asked based on the participants’ statements to deepen the interviews. The interviews were recorded with the participants’ permission. Data gathering and analysis were synchronized. After identifying themes and reaching data saturation, the interviews were stopped. Saturation is the point at which all concepts are well defined (33) and new data isn’t attained and all levels of codes are completed (34). The data obtained from the two observations (a professional caregiver trained a family caregiver and took notes about caring for a patient in a vegetative state by a family caregiver at home) were also analyzed. MAXQDA10 software was used for data analysis.

3.4. Data Analysis

Data analysis was done based on the steps proposed by Granheim and Lundman. Analysis units included 16 interviews and two observations. The text of the interviews and notes about the observations were transcribed verbatim and read several times to gain a sense of the entire situation. Then, meaningful units related to parts and sections of data were summarized. The meaningful units were condensed and abstracted, and were then given...
appropriate codes and labels. The obtained codes were constantly compared based on their similarities and differences. Similar codes were, therefore, categorized. The categories are a descriptive level of the manifest content. Determination of the relationship between categories was done through extraction of themes, and themes were interpreted signifying the data’s latent content (35).

3.5. Trustworthiness
To ensure validity and reliability of data in this study, Lincoln and Guba’s four criteria including credibility, dependability, conformability and transferability were taken into consideration (36). To increase the reliability, the researcher was engaged with the data for more than one year. The transcripts of the interviews, codes and categories were scrutinized by colleagues experienced in qualitative studies (other members of the research team). If there were disagreements, the researchers discussed and clarified the cases to reach a consensus. Through the member check process, the codified transcripts of the interviews were given to three participants, and the codes were verified. To provide auditing, the process and procedure of the study was carefully recorded and reported.

3.6. Ethical Considerations
The present study was approved by the Research council affiliated with the Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (NO.ajums. REC. 1392, 202). Necessary information concerning the aim and purpose of the study was given to the participants, and written informed consents were obtained from them before entering the study. They were ascertained about the confidentiality of their information and anonymity, and they could leave the study at any stage.

4. Results
The analysis of the gathered data led to three themes: “lost main caregiver”, “affected caring partner” and “affected family”. Subthemes and subcategories related to these themes are presented in Table 2.

4.1. Lost Main Caregiver
The statements of the participants indicated that, because of the “hard caring” which imposed “various injuries”, the vegetative patients’ main caregivers in the family experienced the most physical and mental pressures compared with the other members of the family. One of the participants said: “I experienced the highest physical and mental pressure” (P12).

4.1.1. Hard Caring
“Hard caring” had three subcategories: “high needs of the patient”, “comprehensiveness of care” and “time-consuming caring” which made caring difficult.

| Themes | Subthemes |
|--------|-----------|
| Lost main caregiver | Hard caring |
| | High needs of the patient |
| | Comprehensiveness of care |
| | Time-consuming caring |
| | Various injuries |
| | Physical injuries |
| | Disordered daily activities |
| | Standstill in job and studies |
| | Isolation |
| | Mental distress |
| | Fatigue |
| Affected caring partner | Physical injuries |
| | Standstill in job and studies |
| Affected family | Other affected members |
| | Family mental deterioration |
| | Family financial erosion |
| | Expensive caring |
| | High expenses |
| | Financial deterioration |

4.1.1.1. High Needs of the Patient
All the participants declared that patients in a vegetative state are unable, totally dependent and need comprehensive care. Therefore, they compared such patients with new-born babies or flowers and plants to describe their dependency. Due to complete incapability, they needed high levels of care. In this regard, one of the participants said: “this patient needs a lot care, when I feed him, it takes an hour. Can you imagine how much it takes to medicate and suction him, to clean his mouth and other things?” (PS).

4.1.1.2. Comprehensiveness of Care
Family caregivers offered comprehensive care including “hygienic-supportive care” and “various imposed specialized care” for their patients. The main caregivers, in offering hygienic-supportive care to patients in a vegetative state paid close attention to hygienic considerations (including replacement of bedding and clothing, oral health considerations, bathing, caring and cleaning eyes), caring of pressure areas (including change of position and massage) and stimulating the senses of hearing and vision. Some of the statements of the participants were as followed: “we even brush his teeth and use dental
pain because I mostly use this arm to move, feed and exercise him. I don’t want his body to become stiff” (P8).

The main caregivers described “disordered daily activities” such as disorders in sleeping, nutrition, hygiene, sexual intercourse and paying attention to their health. Participants in this regard expressed that “they’re next to him day and night; they never sleep” (P9, Professional caregiver). “We never go anywhere for recreation, we can’t go, if we want to, we won’t feel comfortable” (P5).

Family members engagement in care in many cases led to “standstill in job and studies”. In this regard, participants said: “I worked as a notary, and I couldn’t hold this job because of my dad” (P2). “He worked for oil companies, but he doesn’t anymore” (P9, Professional caregiver).

“Domestic imprisonment” because of the full-time nature of care and “limited relationship” with surroundings and the society led to “isolation” of these caregivers. Here is what some of the participants said: “during these three years he was kept at home, I went out of the house only five times, I’ve been imprisoned at home” (P1). “I’ve thoroughly cut off my relationship with other people; I used to be in touch with the society, but from the time my dad got ill, I’m only in contact with him” (P2).

“Mental distress” in the form of depression and aggression “fatigue” were among problems that all participants emphasized upon. Their expressions included: “sometimes, I feel depressed, and I weep to calm down” (P12). “I’ve witnessed how her temper was affected. Sometimes she becomes aggressive to his sister and mother. She has no control over his behavior” (P13, Professional caregiver). “I feel fatigue because I do almost all his caring tasks” (P5).

4.2. Affected Caring Partner

In caring for patients in a vegetative state apart from the main caregiver, who had the major responsibilities, another member of the family cooperated directly with the main caregiver in providing care. This person was the caring partner. What main caregivers and their caring partners said showed that the caring partner was not vulnerable against problems and injuries, either. “Physical injuries” such as pain in the back and hands were among issues, which affected the caring partners. One of participants said: “my mom, my sister and I look after him, we change his position and take him to the bathroom, the three of us currently have constant backache” (P16). “Standstill in job and studies” was another issue faced by the caring partners: “I gave up my job, I decided to go to university, but I couldn’t” (P10).

4.3. Affected Family

In the process of caring for patients in a vegetative state, not only were the main caregiver and the caring partner affected, but also other members of the family were influenced as well, in one way or another. This theme includes three sub-themes: “other affected members”, “family mental deterioration” and “family financial erosion”.

The main caregiver in the family experienced many issues such as “physical injuries”, “disordered daily activities”, “standstill in job and studies”, “isolation”, “mental distress” and “fatigue” due to the long-time spent caring. Herniated disk and knee and arm pain were among the main caregivers’ “physical injuries”. One participant said: “scapular pain has been added to my backache and knee pain because I mostly use this arm to move, feed and exercise him. I don’t want his body to become stiff” (P8).

The main caregivers described “disordered daily activities” such as disorders in sleeping, nutrition, hygiene, sexual intercourse and paying attention to their health. Participants in this regard expressed that “they’re next to him day and night; they never sleep” (P9, Professional caregiver). “We never go anywhere for recreation, we can’t go, if we want to, we won’t feel comfortable” (P5).

Family members engagement in care in many cases led to “standstill in job and studies”. In this regard, participants said: “I worked as a notary, and I couldn’t hold this job because of my dad” (P2). “He worked for oil companies, but he doesn’t anymore” (P9, Professional caregiver).

“Domestic imprisonment” because of the full-time nature of care and “limited relationship” with surroundings and the society led to “isolation” of these caregivers. Here is what some of the participants said: “during these three years he was kept at home, I went out of the house only five times, I’ve been imprisoned at home” (P1). “I’ve thoroughly cut off my relationship with other people; I used to be in touch with the society, but from the time my dad got ill, I’m only in contact with him” (P2).

“Mental distress” in the form of depression and aggression “fatigue” were among problems that all participants emphasized upon. Their expressions included: “sometimes, I feel depressed, and I weep to calm down” (P12). “I’ve witnessed how her temper was affected. Sometimes she becomes aggressive to his sister and mother. She has no control over his behavior” (P13, Professional caregiver). “I feel fatigue because I do almost all his caring tasks” (P5).
4.3.1. Other Affected Members of the Family

In families with patients in a vegetative state, even members not directly involved in caring, experienced problems such as mental distress and even standstill in their job or studies. The participants stated: “apart from me, my dad suffered the most stress” (P12). "My brother was a taxi driver, who sold his car to pay for treatment expenses of our dad and now he is jobless" (P5).

4.3.2. Family Mental Deterioration

“Family mental deterioration” compared with before the patients’ illness was among challenges that most of the participants declared. One participant stated: “Our family was happy but they are not anymore, all are upset, depressed and nervous” (P4).

4.3.3. Family Financial Erosion

“Family financial erosion” was another problem that all families with a vegetative patient were confronted with. This erosion was because of “expensive caring” of such patients due to “expensive necessities” including various consumable and non-consumable necessities, “expensive nutrition” and “expensive procedures”.

“Diversity and plurality of consumption necessities” for patients in a vegetative state such as diapers, types of catheters (urinary and gastrointestinal tube and nelaton), serum, gauze and drugs were among the reasons why such care was expensive. The participants stated: “there are some supplies such as nelaton, adhesive paper, gauze and his drugs which are frequently used” (P5). “Diverse and expensive non-consumable products” such as floating mat, suction machine, oxygen capsule, wheelchair, pulse oximeter and even disinfection devices led to other costs, which made care of these patients expensive. The subjects claimed that: “bed, floating mat and suction machine are the necessary things they need at the beginning; you can imagine how much they cost?” (P3, professional caregiver). “Expensive procedures” such as visiting a doctor, home nurse, and occupational and physical therapist were other factors contributing to the expenses: “every 10 or 15 days, a doctor visits him at home, the visit costs 40,000 Tomans” (P2). “Every time a nurse comes to our house, it costs 10 to 15 thousand Tomans for procedures such as gastric or urinary tube insertion, drug injection, or dressing his wounds” (P16). “Expensive nutrition” also imposes high expenses on families. The participants notified that: “the patient’s nutrition is very expensive because their food must be various including vitamins, different types of meat and natural juices” (P4). Therefore, due to the “high expenses”, all the families experienced “financial deterioration” in the long term. One of the caregivers said: “those families with such patients have high costs, such costs paralyze the family” (P16).

5. Discussion

The first theme, “lost main caregiver”, showed that the main caregivers are affected by “various injuries” because of the “hard caring”. “Hard Caring” was the result of the variety and high volume of caring needs. In the present study, various types of care such as considerations related to hygienic needs, pressure areas, sensory stimulation, drugs and fluid therapy, I.V. line (intravenous line), tracheostomy, suction of pulmonary secretions, sore care, urination, defecation, nutrition, checking for vital signs and obtaining samples from patients were performed by family caregivers. In a study conducted by Lavrijsen et al. similar daily care for patients in a vegetative state was reported which was, of course, performed by professional caregivers in nursing homes (8). In the present study, based on what the participants said, performing the above-mentioned daily care made them thoroughly engaged in caring for the patients and consequently they had no time for themselves. While, in the study of Leonardi et al. it was reported that participants spent more than three hours for their relatives in a vegetative state (21). The difference between full-time care in the present study and three-hours of care in the study of Leonardi et al. could be due to the fact that their care was performed in special centers and by the personnel of the centers, while, in our study, family caregivers performed various types of care and monitored the patient at all times.

Due to the mentioned “hard caring”, the main caregivers in this study experienced “various injuries” such as “physical injuries”, “disordered daily activities”, “standstill in job and studies”, “isolation”, “mental distress” and “fatigue”. Therefore, the burden of caring must be considered as an interconnected multidimensional issue with physical, mental and social dimensions (21).

Backache was a “physical injury” experienced by most family caregivers. Therefore, caring for absolutely incapable and dependent patients in a vegetative state leads to heavy physical injuries for the caregivers (28). “Disordered daily activities”, especially sleep and recreation disorders resulting from full-time care were among family caregivers’ experiences. Alongside the findings of the present study, Leonardi et al. also discovered that most caregivers emphasized on the decrease in leisure time, especially in outdoor activities, social involvement and leisure activities such as jogging and cycling (21). In a study by Moretta et al. most of the participants temporarily or permanently abandoned their previous activities due to the reduced time they allocated to themselves and their hobbies, which imposed a high burden and negative effects on their quality of life (27). “Standstill in job” in the form of giving up or losing job was another issue described by the participants in the present study. Due to spending long durations of time for daily care or even in some cases for transferring the patients to cities with specialized units, the main caregivers gave up their jobs (28). In the study of Leonardi et al. over one-third of the samples were forced to temporarily or permanently abandon their jobs, which placed them in inappropriate economic conditions due to low wages or lack of wages (21). "Isola-
Gustavsson stated, having a disabled family member with important to these families and can affect their attitudes. As miserable (23). This indicates that financial issues are im... financial problems and explained their lives as poor and "financial erosion". Crispi and Crisci in a study aimed... fatigue was probably more severe in our study since care provided on a full-time basis.

The second theme, “affected caring partner” showed that despite the less responsibility and caring burden and fewer allocated hours to care, the caring partners were not invulnerable against injuries and had physical injuries and in some cases had to give up their jobs or studies. It is important to mention that when a family member is sick, incapable or injured, all the family members are affected (37) and experience high anxiety and distress (24).

The third theme, “affected family”, showed that all the family members of the patient in a vegetative state were affected. Living with a patient in a vegetative state is a stressful experience for all family members (24) and imposes various burdens on physical and socio-psychological aspects, and had direct and indirect financial expenses (16), which mostly affect functional capabilities of the families (37).

“Mental deterioration” of the family was among the experiences of the participants explained as sadness, depression and aggression. Due to the stress related to this difficult condition, the families of such patients experienced a wide range of feelings and anxieties such as isolation, fear of the future, and fear of sin, fear of insufficiency, sadness, fatigue, excessive protection, aggression and anger (24). Another important issue faced by the patients’ families was “financial erosion”. Crispi and Crisci in a study aimed at showing the attitudes and feelings of the patients’ relatives, found that the participants repeatedly mentioned financial problems and explained their lives as poor and miserable (23). This indicates that financial issues are important to these families and can affect their attitudes. As Gustavsson stated, having a disabled family member with a long-term inability such as DOC can impose multiple burdens on the families and caregivers due to its direct

5.2. Limitations
Similar to other qualitative studies, the findings of the present study should cautiously be generalized. However, due to the similarities of caring context of patients in a vegetative state, the findings are applicable all over Iran. Moreover, establishing care or support centers can help families and solve the problem of accessibility to such patients, which was among the limitations of the present study.
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