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Abstract

Introduction: Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and its associated lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), including nocturia, are extremely common among middle- and older-aged American men. While studies of physical activity (PA) and prevalent BPH-related outcomes suggest that PA may protect against the development of this common condition, only a few studies have examined the relation between PA and incident BPH-related outcomes and LUTS with mixed findings. Additionally, although nocturia is the most commonly reported and most bothersome LUTS in men with or without evidence of BPH, few studies have examined the association of PA and nocturia independent of BPH. The purpose of this analysis was to examine the association of PA with BPH-related outcomes and nocturia in the PLCO Trial.

Methods: We examined this association with both prevalent (n=28,404) and incident (n=4,710) BPH-related outcomes (measured by self-report of physician diagnosis, BPH surgery, finasteride use and clinical indicators) and nocturia. Poisson regression with a robust variance was used to calculate prevalence ratios (PRs) and relative risks (RRs).

Results: PA was weakly positively associated with several prevalent BPH-related outcomes and was strongly inversely associated with prevalent nocturia. In incident analyses, PA was only associated with nocturia. Men who were active one or more hours/week were 13% less likely (95% CI: 2, 22%) to report nocturia and 34% less likely (95% CI: 15, 49%) to report severe nocturia as compared to men who reported no PA. The associations were similar for men with and without additional BPH-related outcomes, except for prevalent nocturia, where the association was stronger for men without other BPH-related outcomes.

Conclusions: Combined with other management strategies, PA may provide a strategy for the management of BPH-related outcomes, particularly nocturia.
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INTRODUCTION

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a benign condition of the prostate gland characterized histologically by hyperplastic nodules in the periurethral region and transition zone of the prostate, and clinically by a palpably enlarged prostate and lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) (31, 41). These LUTS, which are typically measured by the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS, previously known as the American Urological Association Symptom Index (4)), include three irritative or storage symptoms (increased frequency, increased urgency, and nocturia or waking during the night to urinate) and four obstructive or voiding symptoms (poor stream, hesitancy, intermittency, and feeling of incomplete bladder emptying) (31). Irritative and obstructive LUTS may have differing pathologies, with irritative symptoms thought to be related to heightened prostate smooth muscle tone and obstructive symptoms to prostate enlargement (31). Based on autopsy studies, the underlying pathology of BPH and associated LUTS is believed to begin in men as young as their twenties or thirties (5, 17), such that by the time men reach their sixties, approximately half are believed to have prevalent BPH (31) based on autopsy studies.

In addition to BPH, LUTS may also be related to other underlying conditions. For instance, nocturia, the most common and bothersome LUTS in men, can relate to a broader overproduction of urine, an increase in nighttime urine production, bladder storage or capacity problems, sleep disturbance or a combination (13, 14). The causes of nocturia are multifactorial for most and may remain unclear in a significant number of patients (14). Nocturia increases with age and is estimated to occur in over 50% of men over the age of 45 (regardless of BPH status) (21, 37). It is also associated with numerous adverse health outcomes including depression and poor sleep (6, 8, 21).
Physical activity (PA) has myriad health benefits, which may also extend to protection against BPH and LUTS development and progression. PA has been proposed to protect against BPH/LUTS by several possible mechanisms, including reducing body size, decreasing sympathetic nervous system activity (27, 36), and lowering levels of systemic inflammation (3, 39). Consistent with this hypothesis, several studies have observed protective findings between PA and BPH-related outcomes (e.g., physician diagnosis of BPH, BPH surgery, BPH medication use, and clinical indicators) and LUTS (23, 39). However, most of these studies included men who had either a diagnosis of BPH or LUTS at the time of PA assessment (9, 10, 12, 15, 19, 20, 22, 25, 27, 29, 32, 33, 40), raising concerns about the influence of recall bias (i.e., men may have reported their PA differently following a diagnosis of BPH or LUTS onset) and reverse causation (i.e., men may have reduced their PA because of LUTS) to their findings. Considerably fewer studies have examined the possible relation between PA and incident disease without concerns of recall bias and reverse causation. Findings from these few incident analyses have been equivocal with no association observed in one (18) and an inverse or suggestively inverse association in two others (24, 43), though only crude results were presented in the study that observed suggestive results (43). With respect to earlier-life PA, which may potentially protect against initiation of BPH or LUTS in men’s twenties and thirties, only a few studies, to our knowledge, have addressed this question. These studies generally observed variable findings (9, 11, 19) and all were limited to prevalent disease.

Nocturia has not been well studied in relation to lifestyle interventions separate from other LUTS. Only one study, to our knowledge, (38) has explicitly studied this in men. In addition, studies of nocturia among men tend not to distinguish nocturia concurrent with BPH-related outcomes from nocturia that occurs in the absence of other BPH-related outcomes (21).
Understanding whether BPH-related nocturia (or other LUTS) share common risk factors with non-BPH-related nocturia, may also help target interventions.

Therefore, studies focusing on PA in early and late adulthood in relation to incident BPH-related outcomes and LUTS, including nocturia, are necessary for the targeting of appropriate and evidence based intervention strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial (PLCO) is a large, ongoing clinical trial designed to investigate the effects of prostate, lung, colorectal, and ovarian cancer screening on cancer-specific mortality. Its methods are well described (28). IRB approval was obtained at each screening center and each participant provided informed consent. Briefly, from 1993 to 2001, 76,705 men were recruited into PLCO at 10 screening centers across the U.S. Men 55-74 years of age with no reported histories of prostate cancer or prostatectomy were eligible for the trial. Men who had used finasteride in the prior 6 months were not eligible. At baseline, participants in both arms of the trial completed a questionnaire, which included questions on lifestyle and BPH-related outcomes and nocturia, the most common LUTS among men.(21) Participants in the intervention arm also completed a food frequency and PA questionnaire, and underwent a baseline prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test and digital rectal examination (DRE). During follow-up, participants completed brief annual study update questionnaires to update their cancer information and provide information on finasteride use. Participants in the intervention arm also underwent an additional five annual PSA tests and three annual DREs. Finally, in 2006-8, participants completed a supplemental questionnaire that included additional
BPH-related outcomes, nocturia and PA questions. Only men in the intervention arm were included in this analysis, as only these men provided complete baseline information on PA.

PA

On the baseline questionnaire, intervention participants were asked to report their current levels of “vigorous activities, such as swimming, brisk walking, etc.,” and their levels at 40 years of age in categories of hours per week (none, <1, 1, 2, 3, and 4+ hrs/wk). The specific question used in PLCO is associated with many expected factors (e.g., lower risk of post-prostatectomy incontinence (41), and higher fruit, vegetable (17), and energy intake (37), and vitamin D (6)), indicating that it has strong criterion validity. In our analysis, we considered the effects of each individual level of PA from none through 4+ hrs/wk, as well the effects of PA greater than or equal to one hr/wk to more closely align with current PA recommendations.

The supplemental questionnaire included a more detailed PA assessment. Participants were asked to report their typical frequency in the past year of PA “strenuous enough to work up a sweat or to increase [their] breathing and heart rate to very high levels” (none or <1, 2-3, 4-5, or 6-7 days/wk) and the duration of each session (none or <15, 16-19, 20-29, 30-39, or ≥40 min). The same questions were asked for moderate PA (“worked up a light sweat or increased [their] breathing and heart rate to moderately higher levels”). We converted responses to these four questions into the same categories of PA per week (moderate and strenuous combined) as on the baseline questionnaire by: 1) assigning the mid-point of each category of frequency and duration for both strenuous and moderate PA to each participant; 2) calculating the total amount of time spent engaged in strenuous and moderate PA per week as the sum of the products of weekly...
frequency and duration of both strenuous and moderate PA; and 3) dividing this sum into the
categories of weekly moderate and vigorous PA used on the baseline questionnaire.

**Prevalent BPH-related outcomes**

BPH is difficult to measure in epidemiologic studies because no universally accepted definition
exists suitable for use in large population-based studies. Therefore, most studies collect several
BPH- and LUTS-related measures, and use these measures to examine individual aspects of BPH
and LUTS, and/or overall BPH/LUTS, based on a composite definition. Due to its large sample
size and broad disease focus, PLCO did not collect as many BPH/LUTS-related measures as
other studies, particularly those that are smaller, or urology- or treatment-focused. However,
PLCO did still collect a wide range of BPH/LUTS-related measures that together capture many
facets of BPH/LUTS, including prostate enlargement, nocturia, and severity of symptoms (e.g.,
finasteride use).

The presence of BPH-related outcomes at baseline was determined among men without prostate
cancer on the baseline screen, using information obtained from the baseline PSA test, DRE, and
questionnaire (Table 1). PSA concentration was recorded for each PSA test and PSA values >1.4
ng/mL were considered indicative of BPH-related PSA elevation in men without a history of
BPH surgery (36). While PSA is not specific for BPH, it has been found to be significantly
correlated with other non-cancer-related BPH/LUTS measures, such as ultrasound-determined
prostate volume ($r=0.54$ (39)), maximum flow rate ($r=-0.29$ (39)), and, more importantly, long-
term outcomes of BPH/LUTS, including future prostate growth, (29) acute urinary retention, and
BPH surgery in men without prostate cancer (30).
Prostate volume was derived from transverse (width) and sagittal (length) prostate measurements estimated by trained DRE examiners, and was calculated using the following formula: \( \text{volume} = \frac{\pi}{6} \times \text{width}^2 \times \text{length} \). To reduce measurement error, only values from examiners who had performed at least 100 DREs were included and measures were corrected for examiner bias (i.e., some examiners routinely estimate higher or lower than other examiners).(26) Although prostate volume is typically estimated by trans-rectal ultrasound (TRUS) in clinical settings where prostate imaging is available, this type of invasive imaging could not be performed on all ~38,000 in the invention arm of PLCO. Therefore, we chose instead to use corrected DRE-estimated volume because it has been found to be associated with all expected variables in PLCO: age, PSA, TRUS-determined prostate volume (only available for 653 men who underwent prostate biopsy),(26) race (unpublished data), and obesity (in preparation). Volumes \( \geq 30\text{cc} \) were considered indicative of BPH-related outcomes in men without a history of BPH-related surgery.

Finally, on the baseline questionnaire, three BPH-related outcomes items were included: a history of surgical procedures of the prostate, including TURP and prostatectomy for benign disease; a history of a physician diagnosis of “an enlarged prostate or benign prostatic hypertrophy”; and nocturia in the past year. Nocturia, the most common and bothersome LUTS (2), is highly predictive of moderate and severe LUTS as assessed by the full IPSS minus nocturia \((r = 0.63-0.67(4))\). Nocturia was defined as waking \( \geq 2 \) times during the night to urinate (1) and severe nocturia was defined as waking \( \geq 3 \) times during the night in men without a history of BPH-related surgery.
Incident BPH-related outcomes

Similar to prevalent BPH-related outcomes at baseline, we combined follow-up examination and supplemental questionnaire data to indicate incident BPH-related outcomes and nocturia (Table 1). PSA levels were obtained and DREs performed as on the baseline examination. Incident PSA elevation was defined as a PSA value >1.4 ng/mL on any PSA test after baseline and incident large prostate volume was defined as an estimated prostate volume ≥30 cc on any follow-up DRE. Both definitions were limited to men with no reported finasteride (Proscar or Propecia) use during follow-up, which was queried on each annual study update questionnaire. Incident finasteride use was defined as a report of finasteride use on any of these annual study update questionnaires. Finally, on the supplemental questionnaire, two sets of BPH-related outcomes questions were included. Participants were asked to report a physician diagnosis of an enlarged prostate or BPH, and nocturia in the past year. We used this information to define incident physician diagnosis of an enlarged prostate/BPH, incident nocturia (waking ≥2 times during the night to urinate (1)), and incident severe nocturia (waking ≥ 3 times during the night). Nocturia definitions were limited to men with no reported finasteride use.

Analysis of prevalent BPH-related outcomes

After limiting the analysis of prevalent BPH-related outcomes to men in the intervention arm (n=38,340), we further excluded participants who: a) reported a history of cancer (except basal or squamous-cell skin cancer) at baseline (n=827); b) were diagnosed with prostate cancer on the baseline prostate cancer screen to avoid including men who may have reported BPH-related outcomes because of prevalent, possibly advanced stage prostate cancer (n=609); c) did not
complete the baseline questionnaire (n=887); d) provided incomplete information on BPH-related outcomes or nocturia (n=64); e) missed or had an invalid baseline PSA test or DRE result among those who did not report BPH surgery (n=3,023); f) did not complete the baseline food frequency and PA questionnaire (n=3,997); and g) did not provide complete information on PA and body mass index (BMI) (n=539). After these exclusions, 28,404 men remained in the prevalent analysis.

Analysis of incident BPH-related outcomes
For our investigation of incident BPH-related outcomes and nocturia, we further excluded men who: a) had any evidence of BPH-related outcomes or nocturia at baseline (n=21,927); b) were diagnosed with prostate cancer before completion of the supplemental questionnaire because prostate cancer or its treatment may alter the risk of BPH-related outcomes and LUTS (e.g., PSA elevation, prostate enlargement, and nocturia, n=101); c) did not complete the supplemental questionnaire (n=1,556); d) provided incomplete information on BPH-related outcomes or nocturia on the supplemental questionnaire (n=84); and e) did not have either a valid baseline PSA test result and at least one valid follow-up PSA test result, or a valid baseline DRE result and at least one valid follow-up DRE result among those who did not report finasteride use (n=26). These exclusion criteria resulted in an incident cohort of 4,710 men.

Statistical analysis
We investigated associations for PA with prevalent and incident BPH-related outcomes and nocturia by calculating prevalence ratios (PRs) and relative risks (RRs), respectively, using Poisson regression with robust variance estimation. PRs and RRs were calculated rather than odds ratios because BPH-related outcomes and nocturia are common outcomes. All regression
models included terms for age. Regression models for incident PSA elevation included additional terms for number of PSA tests and time between participants’ first and last PSA test, those for incident large prostate volume included number of DREs and time between their first and last DRE, and those for incident self-reported BPH-related outcomes and nocturia included follow-up time to account for differing time of enrollment. We investigated as potential confounders variables associated with access to and use of medical care (race; education; marital status and prevalence of chronic conditions including hypertension, coronary heart disease, stroke, emphysema, bronchitis, diabetes, arthritis, osteoporosis, hepatitis, cirrhosis, diverticulitis, colon polyps, and gall bladder disease), variables found to be associated with prevalent or incident BPH-related outcomes/nocturia in previous PLCO analyses (smoking history, alcohol intake, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use (16)), BMI across the lifecourse (baseline BMI, BMI at age 20, and BMI at age 50), and dietary variables found to be associated with prevalent or incident disease in previous studies of BPH-related outcomes and LUTS (intakes of total energy, carbohydrates, protein, fruit, vegetables, red meat, alcohol, fats, polyunsaturated fatty acids, and antioxidant nutrients, including beta-carotene, selenium, vitamin A, C, and E, and zinc from the diet and supplements, and dietary alpha-carotene, beta-cryptoxanthin, lutein and zeaxanthin, and lycopene). We also considered mutual adjustment for current PA and PA at age 40.

To investigate possible misclassification of BPH-related outcomes, we performed sensitivity analyses: 1) limiting the self-reported analyses to men without other medical conditions with similar symptoms as BPH; and 2) limiting the analyses of PSA elevation to men without a history of clinical prostatitis. For finasteride, we performed sensitivity analyses limiting the finasteride definition to men who also reported a diagnosis of an enlarged prostate/BPH because
finasteride can be used for both BPH (Proscar) and hair loss (Propecia). We also explored alternate definitions for elevated PSA and large prostate volume. For elevated PSA, these definitions were baseline PSA >1.5 ng/mL (prevalent analysis), at least two follow-up or two consecutive follow-up values >1.4 ng/mL (incident analysis), at least two follow-up or two consecutive follow-up values >1.5 ng/mL (incident analysis), and a large change in PSA over follow-up (PSA slope >80th percentile (35)). Alternate ways of defining prevalent large prostate volume were baseline prostate volume ≥40cc, uncorrected baseline prostate volume ≥30cc and examiner-noted prostate enlargement on the baseline DRE. Alternate incident definitions were: 1) prostate volume ≥30cc on at least two follow-up or two consecutive follow-up DREs; 2) prostate volume ≥40cc on at least two follow-up or two consecutive follow-up DREs; 3) uncorrected prostate volume ≥30cc on at least one or two follow-up DREs; and 4) examiner-noted prostate enlargement on at least one or two follow-up DREs. Results from all sensitivity analyses were similar to those from the main analyses, except where indicated in the results section.

Finally, because nocturia can be a symptom of other underlying conditions besides BPH (such as bladder dysfunction), we investigated the association of nocturia with PA in men with nocturia but no other evidence of BPH or LUTS separately from men who experienced nocturia and either a physician diagnosis of BPH, an elevated PSA or a large prostate volume.

RESULTS

Of 28404 eligible participants in the prevalent analysis, 4229 (14.9%) reported no current PA, with 7395 (26.0%) men reporting 4 or more hours/week (hrs/wk). The majority of PLCO participants were White (90.7%) with a mean sample age of 62.7 years. Most participants were overweight (50.6%) or obese (23.6%) and were former smokers (52.0%) (Table 1). Of 4710 men
in the incident analysis, 632 (13.4%) reported no PA, while 1208 (25.6%) reported four or more hrs/wk. The characteristics of the sample for the incident analyses were similar to those in the prevalent sample. PA levels did not vary by race. Men who were not active were more likely to be obese, to have been inactive at age 40, and to be current smokers. Inactive men reported higher fat and alcohol intakes and were less likely to take a multivitamin (Table 2). Inactive men were also more likely to report a history of hypertension, stroke, diabetes, and arthritis.

Current PA

Prevalent BPH-related outcomes and nocturia

The prevalence of the BPH-related outcomes and nocturia varied with 881 men reporting BPH surgery, 6320 reporting a physician diagnosis of BPH, 10830 men having an elevated PSA, 12006 having a large prostate volume, 8967 reporting nocturia and 2411 reporting severe nocturia (Table 1). Of the men with nocturia, 2315 (26%) had nocturia only with the remaining men reporting nocturia and other BPH-related outcomes. Similarly, 22% of men with severe nocturia had no other BPH-related outcomes. In bivariate analysis, baseline PA was associated with prevalent BPH-related outcomes using several outcome definitions, but the direction of the association varied by outcome definition. PA was positively associated with prevalent BPH-related outcomes when defined as having had BPH surgery; men exercising ≥1 hr/wk were 28% more likely (PR=1.28, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.05, 1.56) to report BPH surgery than men who did not report any PA. There was a significant trend (p=0.01); men exercising ≥4 hrs/wk were 30% more likely (PR=1.30, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.04, 1.61) to report BPH surgery than men who did not report any PA. PA was also associated with a weak but
statistically significant increase in the prevalence of BPH-related outcomes when defined as having an elevated PSA or a physician diagnosis of BPH (Table 3).

In contrast, PA was inversely associated with prevalent nocturia. Men who reported PA ≥1 hr/wk were 35% less likely to report severe nocturia (PR=0.65, 95% CI: 0.60, 0.72). When separating men with nocturia alone from those with other BPH-related outcomes, we found the association was stronger in men with nocturia alone (See Table, SDC 1, Prevalent nocturia with and without BPH-related outcomes, http://links.lww.com/MSS/A426). Men who reported PA ≥1 hr/wk were 18% less likely to report nocturia only (PR=0.82, 95%CI: 0.74, 0.91). and 39% less likely to report severe nocturia only (PR=0.61, 95% CI: 0.49, 0.76, p-trend<0.001) than men who did not report any PA. Among men who reported nocturia and either (1) physician diagnosis of BPH, (2) elevated PSA or (3) large prostate volume, the association between PA and nocturia was weaker and had a non-significant trend when all levels of activity were considered (p-trend=0.17). The association between PA and severe nocturia was similar but to that of men with nocturia only (PR ≥1 hr/wk =0.67, 95%CI: 0.60, 0.74, p-trend<0.001).

When we adjusted for current BMI, all associations for prevalent outcomes attenuated, although the trends for BPH surgery, a physician diagnosis of an enlarged prostate/BPH, and severe nocturia remained statistically significant. As none of the other potential confounders meaningfully affected the effect estimates, we present only those adjusted for age and current BMI.
Incident BPH-related outcomes and nocturia

The incidence of the BPH-related outcomes and nocturia varied with 881 men reporting finasteride use, 1446 reporting a physician diagnosis of BPH, 1322 men having an elevated PSA, 2499 having a large prostate volume, 1515 reporting nocturia and 383 reporting severe nocturia. Of the men with nocturia, 24% reported only experiencing nocturia and 76% reported nocturia and at least one other BPH-related outcome. Of the men with severe nocturia, 23% reported only experiencing nocturia and no other BPH-related outcome. Baseline PA was not associated with incident elevated PSA, large prostate volume, finasteride use, or physician diagnosis of BPH (Table 4). It was, however, associated with nocturia. Men who reported PA ≥1 hr/wk were 13% (RR=0.87, 95% CI: 0.78, 0.98) less likely to report nocturia and 34% (RR=0.66, 95%CI: 0.51, 0.85) less likely to report severe nocturia than men who were not active, with significant trends across activity level.

Recognizing the small number of subjects in some analyses, we explored the associations of PA and incident nocturia for men with and without other BPH-related outcomes (Table 5). We found no significant association of PA with nocturia in either group, though the effect estimates were similar in magnitude to each other and to the inverse estimate for nocturia among all men combined. The association of PA with severe nocturia in men with nocturia only was also not significant, whereas the association with severe nocturia in men who reported both incident nocturia and incidence of either (1) physician diagnosis of BPH, (2) elevated PSA or (3) large prostate volume was significantly inverse; men who reported PA ≥1 hr/wk were 32% less likely to experience incident severe nocturia (RR=0.68, 95%CI: 0.51, 0.91, p-trend=0.02).
None of the potential confounders, except current BMI, altered the results. Adjusting for current BMI did not significantly change the effect estimate, but did result in borderline significant trends for nocturia among all men and severe nocturia among men who reported other BPH-related outcomes.

PA across the life span

It has been suggested that consistent engagement in PA may be necessary to alter risk of several health outcomes. In prevalent analyses, PA level at age 40 was not significantly associated with any BPH-related outcome definition (data not shown), with the exception of severe nocturia; men reporting 1 or more hrs/wk of PA had a 15% (95% CI 5-25%) lower prevalence of severe nocturia.

In incident analyses, a similar pattern of findings was observed for PA at age 40 as for baseline PA, but none of the findings were statistically significant, except for severe nocturia (Table 6). Men who were active ≥1 hr/wk were 31% less likely to experience severe nocturia (RR=0.69, 95%CI: 0.52,0.90). We also evaluated whether the risk of incident BPH-related outcomes and nocturia differed for men who were active at age 40 and baseline (participating in ≥1 hrs/wk of PA at each) versus men who were inactive at both times (participating in <1 hr/wk of PA at each) (data not shown). The results were consistent with the data for baseline PA; physical activity change was only associated with the nocturia outcome. Men who were active at both times had a lower risk of nocturia (RR: 0.88, 95% CI: 0.78, 0.98), those who were active only at baseline had a non-significantly lower risk of nocturia (RR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.76-1.06), and those who became
inactive had no protection (RR: 1.06, 95% CI: 0.92-1.22) compared to men who were inactive at both times.

We also explored whether men with prevalent nocturia who increased their PA from the baseline questionnaire to the supplemental questionnaire saw a corresponding change in their nocturia over the same period and found no association (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

While PA has been examined in association with prevalent BPH-related outcomes and LUTS in several studies, few incident analyses exist. Furthermore, few studies have examined the relation between PA and nocturia, the most common and bothersome LUTS among men, independently of BPH. Therefore, our study is one of the first to examine PA in relation to both prevalent and incident BPH-related outcomes, as well as in relation to nocturia alone. We found that PA was significantly inversely associated with prevalent nocturia among all men and when separating nocturia concurrent with BPH-related outcomes from independent nocturia. Similar findings were observed for incident nocturia, although our stratified findings were not statistically significant, likely due to lower power. PA was not associated with other incident BPH-related outcomes definitions. These data suggest that PA may be protective for the development of nocturia, regardless of its underlying pathology. Future studies may also wish to consider exploring nocturia independently of other BPH-related outcomes to investigate the mechanisms underlying nocturia associations.

As noted before, understanding the association with incident BPH-related outcomes, including nocturia, is important for informing potential interventions and clinical care. We investigated
incident disease because of typical methodological concerns of recall bias and reverse causation in case-control studies. However, where outcome definitions overlapped, we didn’t see any differences in the results for prevalent versus incident disease (except for differences in statistical significance due to the very large sample size of the prevalent analysis), suggesting that recall bias and reverse causation are less of a concern for prevalent findings of PA and BPH-related outcomes.

In contrast to previous research on prevalent BPH-related outcomes, we found a positive association of PA with prevalent BPH surgery. While this may be a true association, BPH surgery as an outcome definition has important limitations that should be considered; it is only observed in men with BPH or LUTS who have access to or who qualify physically for surgery. We found only weak associations of PA with the other prevalent BPH-related outcome definitions, except for nocturia, which held in the incident analyses. We did not have data on incident BPH surgery with which to evaluate whether this unexpected finding is a function of it being a prevalent outcome.

Our finding of an inverse association with nocturia is novel, yet consistent with the very limited literature in this area. Two previous studies have examined the potential of PA intervention to reduce nocturia. Sugaya et al enrolled 47 elderly men in a walking intervention (38). Among the 30 men who completed assessment, episodes of nocturia and daytime urinary frequency significantly decreased and nighttime sleep was deeper for 67% of subjects. In a trial of nocturia, that included men and women, Soda et al found an intervention of fluid restriction, reduced hours in bed (to improve sleep quality), PA and keeping warm in bed significantly reduced nocturnal voids and volume (34). We did not find an improvement in nocturia among men with prevalent nocturia who increased their PA levels over time. However, it is possible that the
association is more proximal than could be captured by our instruments, which were designed to capture variation over months or years, not days. These findings suggest PA may be a valuable strategy in the management of nocturia in older men. Our findings are also consistent with the existing literature that has shown variability in the association of PA and BPH-related outcomes with the outcome definition used.

PA has been proposed to protect against BPH-related outcomes and LUTS development by several possible mechanisms, including reducing body size, and decreasing sympathetic nervous system activity (27). Individuals who are more physically active have also been found to have lower levels of systemic inflammation (3), which may potentially reduce their risk of BPH-related outcomes. Sugaya et al hypothesized the association between PA and nocturia could be due to improved (deeper) sleep (21, 38). If this is indeed the mechanism behind the association, PA may not have a significant effect on BPH/LUTS more broadly because it fails to address the other LUTS associated with the condition, but does address nocturia associated with sleep disturbance. This mechanism is consistent with a broader literature indicating PA improves sleep quality (21). However, as nocturia can be attributed to multiple causes, including those unrelated to BPH, it is possible that the association found is related to those conditions. Sleep disturbance is one such condition. Furthermore, as we did not collect information on other irritative LUTS, it is also possible that our observed association is attributable to other irritative LUTS mechanisms, such as heightened prostate smooth muscle tone, and is not specific to nocturia and sleep disturbance. Therefore, future studies should explore nocturia, as well as other irritative LUTS, to determine the specificity of our association and to inform underlying mechanisms.

Our results indicate that only recent PA is associated with incident BPH-related outcomes. When examining consistency of activity over time, we found that only men who maintained their PA or
who became active by the time of entry into the PLCO trial had a lower risk of nocturia; men active at age 40 who became inactive over time had no protection. This suggests that recent PA may be most important for decreasing risk of nocturia. It may also suggest that consistency of PA matters as men who are recently active are often consistently active. Mechanistically, these findings suggest PA does not prevent the initiation of BPH-related outcomes and LUTS, but does lead to reduced symptom experiences that typically drive the diagnosis of BPH/LUTS. Together, these findings suggest that men who have been consistently active may have delayed diagnosis and easier symptom management. The findings also suggest that men who have NOT been physically active can still benefit from PA interventions, which may help with symptom management and delayed diagnosis.

Our findings should be viewed in light of the limitations of the PA and BPH-related measures used, as well as the study design. With respect to PA, although only one question was asked of participants, this question has been found to be associated with many expected factors (e.g., lower risk of post-prostatectomy incontinence (42), and higher fruit, vegetable (17), and energy intake (37), and vitamin D (6)), indicating that it captures PA to the degree necessary to identify associations. However, while this instrument has criterion validity, a higher than expected percentage of the men in the study reported being regularly physically active, likely because they responded to the “brisk walking” versus “vigorous” aspect of the question. This contrasts with data from nationally representative studies that indicate the US male population is largely sedentary (7). Overreporting of physical activity in our sample may result in an underestimation of the true effect. As noted above, the large size and broad scope of the PLCO trial also limited the urology-focused measures included in the study questionnaires and examinations. As a result, we did not have access to prostate imaging, uroflowmetry or post-void residual volume.
measurement, and did not collect information on the full IPSS, incident BPH surgery, or use of BPH/ LUTS drugs beyond finasteride. However, the items PLCO did collect are consistently correlated with other more time-consuming, invasive, and/or non-cancer-related measures not collected in PLCO. Additionally, although information on incident BPH surgery or α-blocker use was not collected in PLCO, these men should still be classified correctly as having developed BPH-related outcomes by our definition based on a physician diagnosis of an enlarged prostate/BPH. Additionally, we considered each BPH-related outcome separately so that the limitations of any single outcome variable did not influence the other variables. Similar to many previous studies, our prevalence analyses are limited by the cross-sectional nature of the data, however, the prospective nature of the PLCO trial allowed us to also examine incident outcomes. The limitations in the measures imposed by the parent trial were offset by the novel access to incident data and a large study sample size in this underexplored area.

Our findings are among a small, but growing, literature that distinguishes prevalent from incident BPH-related outcomes and LUTS, such as nocturia. Our study found no significant association for PA with most definitions of BPH-related outcomes, though we did find a strong and significant association between a physically active lifestyle and nocturia. Future studies in men with BPH-related outcomes and LUTS, and nocturia in particular, should explore this as a potential symptom management strategy, with particular attention to the dose of PA necessary and the mechanisms that might underlie the association.
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Table 1: Prevalent and incident benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)-related outcomes and nocturia definitions

|                              | Prevalent definitions | Incidental definitions |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|                              | PSA elevation | Large prostate volume | BPH surgery | Physician diagnosis | Nocturia | PSA elevation | Large prostate volume | Finasteride use | Physician diagnosis | Nocturia |
| **Baseline examination:**    | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| PSA >1.4 ng/mL & no known cancer | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Prostate volume³ ≥30 cc      | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| **Baseline questionnaire:**  | ✓          | ✓                      | ✓          |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      | ✓                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Ever had TURP or prostatectomy for benign disease? | ✓          | ✓                      | ✓          |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      | ✓                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Ever been told by a doctor that they have an enlarged prostate or BPH? | ✓          | ✓                      | ✓          |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      | ✓                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Wake 2+ times/night to urinate during a typical night in the past year? (Nocturia) | ✓          | ✓                      | ✓          |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      | ✓                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Follow-up examination:       | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| PSA >1.4 ng/mL on any one of five PSA tests | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Prostate volume³ ≥30 cc on any of 3 DREs | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Annual study update:         | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Taken Proscar or Propecia (Finasteride) in the past year? | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| **Supplemental questionnaire:** |            |                        |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Ever been told by a doctor that they have an enlarged prostate or BPH? | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |
| Wake 2+ times/night to urinate during a typical night in the past year? (Nocturia) | ✓          | ✓                      |             |                | ✓         | ✓          | ✓                      |                | ✓                  | ✓        |

Total: 10830 12006 881 6320 8967 1322 2499 881 1446 1515

DRE=digital rectal examination; PSA=prostate-specific antigen

³ Calculated as (π/6) x width² x length, where width is the transverse measurement and length is the sagittal measurement. Both measurements were estimated by palpation by the DRE examiner.
Table 2. Age-adjusted baseline characteristics of male participants eligible for the analysis of physical activity and benign prostatic hyperplasia-related outcomes and nocturia in the intervention arm of the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial by baseline physical activity, 1993-2001

|               | Analysis of prevalent BPH/LUTS | Analysis of incident BPH/LUTS |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|               | None  | <1hr/week | 1hr/week | 2hr/week | 3hr/week | 4hr/week | None  | <1hr/week | 1hr/week | 2hr/week | 3hr/week | 4hr/week |
| N             | 4229  | 693       | 3208     | 3210     | 4134     | 796      | 532   | 601       | 570      | 770      | 717      | 1208     |
| Age (mean, years) | 62.4  | 62.0      | 62.4     | 62.5     | 63.0     | 63.3     | 60.4  | 60.2      | 60.3     | 60.5     | 61.0     | 81.4     |
| Race/ethnicity (%) |      |           |          |          |          |          |       |           |          |          |          |          |
| White        | 88.4  | 90.3      | 91.8     | 92.1     | 91.4     | 90.2     | 90.3  | 91.7      | 91.8     | 93.2     | 90.6     | 90.4     |
| Black        | 6.6   | 3.8       | 2.0      | 2.7      | 2.1      | 2.5      | 2.7   | 2.5       | 2.6      | 1.7      | 1.3      | 1.3      |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 3.0   | 3.4       | 3.4      | 3.5      | 4.0      | 5.2      | 4.6   | 4.5       | 4.2      | 4.5      | 3.9      | 0.5      |
| Other        | 2.4   | 2.0       | 1.9      | 1.7      | 1.9      | 2.1      | 1.7   | 1.3       | 1.4      | 0.6      | 1.3      | 1.8      |
| Body mass index (kg/m², %): |      |           |          |          |          |          |       |           |          |          |          |          |
| <20          | 21.0  | 20.0      | 21.7     | 20.4     | 27.0     | 32.8     | 21.0  | 21.0      | 20.1     | 24.4     | 25.4     | 34.0     |
| 25-29        | 45.3  | 49.0      | 52.1     | 52.1     | 53.3     | 51.8     | 47.0  | 49.1      | 54.5     | 51.2     | 55.1     | 49.8     |
| ≥30          | 33.7  | 30.4      | 26.2     | 21.5     | 16.7     | 15.0     | 32.0  | 29.3      | 25.4     | 24.3     | 16.5     | 16.2     |
| Physical activity at 40 (hrs/week, %): |      |           |          |          |          |          |       |           |          |          |          |          |
| None         | 52.3  | 3.8       | 3.0      | 4.6      | 5.1      | 3.0      | 55.0  | 3.2       | 3.0      | 4.7      | 4.4      | 3.9      |
| One          | 21.9  | 55.9      | 37.0     | 18.9     | 19.4     | 12.7     | 21.9  | 0.3       | 4.9      | 16.1     | 16.0     | 10.0     |
| Two to three | 32.5  | 25.2      | 38.1     | 45.9     | 38.3     | 15.7     | 12.1  | 22.1      | 37.2     | 48.4     | 40.4     | 15.7     |
| Four or more | 12.2  | 18.1      | 20.4     | 30.7     | 36.2     | 68.3     | 11.2  | 14.6      | 17.9     | 27.6     | 36.2     | 89.9     |
| Smoking history (%) |      |           |          |          |          |          |       |           |          |          |          |          |
| Never smoker | 22.0  | 28.0      | 30.5     | 31.4     | 32.5     | 32.3     | 22.2  | 25.4      | 30.3     | 32.1     | 33.2     | 31.0     |
| Current cigarette smoker | 21.1  | 14.0      | 6.9      | 8.0      | 0.1      | 0.8      | 20.6  | 15.2      | 7.8      | 0.3      | 0.1      | 0.3      |
| Former cigarette smoker | 50.4  | 51.4      | 52.0     | 52.0     | 52.8     | 52.0     | 51.3  | 50.3      | 53.5     | 52.2     | 51.1     | 53.2     |
| Cigar or pipe smoker only | 8.6   | 7.7       | 7.1      | 8.6      | 8.6      | 8.3      | 8.0   | 9.2       | 9.2      | 7.4      | 9.8      | 7.5      |
| Current mean intakes of: |      |           |          |          |          |          |       |           |          |          |          |          |
| Energy (kcal/day) | 2360  | 2288      | 2204     | 2314     | 2302     | 2414     | 2352  | 2243      | 2278     | 2301     | 2291     | 2454     |
| Carbohydrates (g/day) | 289   | 286       | 292      | 206      | 301      | 318      | 283   | 273       | 286      | 262      | 267      | 317      |
| Fat (g/day)    | 80    | 81        | 78       | 78       | 78       | 79       | 80    | 79        | 78       | 78       | 75       | 82       |
| Protein (g/day) | 62    | 82        | 60       | 61       | 60       | 54       | 61    | 88        | 89       | 80       | 80       | 98       |
| Alcohol (g/day) | 17.3  | 15.0      | 16.3     | 16.1     | 15.6     | 15.6     | 16.1  | 19.4      | 16.1     | 17.3     | 17.3     | 16.0     |
| Multivitamin use (%) |      | 30.3      | 40.5     | 43.4     | 45.9     | 47.2     | 40.3  | 34.3      | 38.1     | 43.7     | 43.9     | 50.9     | 47.2     |
| Medical history (%): |      |           |          |          |          |          |       |           |          |          |          |          |
| Hypertension  | 30.0  | 30.0      | 33.9     | 33.0     | 31.7     | 30.0     | 27.3  | 29.6      | 30.8     | 26.8     | 24.7     | 22.7     |
| Coronary heart disease | 12.5  | 13.0      | 10.7     | 12.9     | 13.9     | 13.3     | 8.4   | 9.3       | 8.2      | 9.6      | 9.6      | 6.6      |
| Stroke        | 3.6   | 2.7       | 2.3      | 2.0      | 1.7      | 1.0      | 1.7   | 1.2       | 1.4      | 1.0      | 0.8      | 1.1      |
| Diabetes      | 10.8  | 10.0      | 8.0      | 7.0      | 8.0      | 5.0      | 0.0   | 0.0       | 0.0      | 5.8      | 5.9      | 3.8      |
| Arthritis     | 35.3  | 31.5      | 29.8     | 28.0     | 27.6     | 26.6     | 31.6  | 23.6      | 26.4     | 23.0     | 23.2     | 20.8     |
| Colon polyps and polyp syndromes | 7.8   | 9.0       | 8.1      | 9.0      | 9.0      | 8.2      | 8.2   | 7.8       | 9.8      | 9.2      | 8.4      | 7.0      |
| Clinical prostate | 0.1   | 0.9       | 7.1      | 7.5      | 7.9      | 7.4      | 2.7   | 2.5       | 3.5      | 3.5      | 4.9      | 2.6      |

g/day: grams per day; hr/week: hour per week; kcal/day: kilocalories per day; kg/m²: kilograms per meter²
Table 3. Prevalence ratios (PRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of prevalent benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)-related outcomes and nocturia by current PA. Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial

|                                | None       | <1 hr/wk   | 1 hr/wk    | 2 hr/wk    | 3 hr/wk    | ≥4 hr/wk   | p-trend | ≥1 hr/wk |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|
|                                | FR  | 95% CI    | FR  | 95% CI    | FR  | 95% CI    | FR  | 95% CI    | FR  | 95% CI    | p-trend | FR  | 95% CI    | FR  | 95% CI    |
| Elevated PSA                   |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |         |      |           |      |           |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 | Ref       | 1.06 | 1.00, 1.11 | 1.07 | 1.01, 1.13 | 1.08 | 1.03, 1.14 | 1.07 | 1.01, 1.13 | 1.07 | 1.02, 1.12 | 0.02 | 1.08 | 1.03, 1.12 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00 | Ref       | 1.05 | 1.00, 1.11 | 1.06 | 1.00, 1.12 | 1.07 | 1.01, 1.13 | 1.05 | 0.99, 1.11 | 1.05 | 1.00, 1.10 | 0.17 | 1.06 | 1.01, 1.10 |
| No. of cases                   | 1517 | 1862      | 1237 | 1709       | 1603 | 2902       |      |           |      |           |         | 7451 |           |      |           |
| Large prostate volume          |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |         | 8139 |           |      |           |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 | Ref       | 1.00 | 0.96, 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.96, 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.96, 1.05 | 0.98 | 0.94, 1.02 | 0.19 | 0.99 | 0.96, 1.03 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00 | Ref       | 1.01 | 0.96, 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.96, 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.96, 1.05 | 0.99 | 0.95, 1.04 | 0.64 | 1.00 | 0.97, 1.04 |
| No. of cases                   | 1790 | 2126      | 1392 | 1891       | 1764 | 3092       |      |           |      |           |         | 659  |           |      |           |
| BPH surgery                    |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |         | 4432 |           |      |           |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 | Ref       | 1.02 | 0.79, 1.31 | 1.37 | 1.05, 1.77 | 1.21 | 0.94, 1.55 | 1.26 | 0.96, 1.60 | 1.30 | 1.04, 1.61 | 0.01 | 1.28 | 1.05, 1.56 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00 | Ref       | 1.01 | 0.78, 1.31 | 1.30 | 1.05, 1.77 | 1.19 | 0.93, 1.53 | 1.24 | 0.97, 1.58 | 1.26 | 1.01, 1.57 | 0.02 | 1.26 | 1.03, 1.54 |
| No. of cases                   | 106  | 116       | 111  | 135        | 142  | 271        |      |           |      |           |         | 659  |           |      |           |
| Physician diagnosis of BPH     |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |         | 4432 |           |      |           |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 | Ref       | 1.02 | 0.94, 1.10 | 1.06 | 0.97, 1.15 | 1.11 | 1.02, 1.20 | 1.08 | 0.99, 1.17 | 1.09 | 1.01, 1.17 | 0.004 | 1.09 | 1.02, 1.16 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00 | Ref       | 1.01 | 0.94, 1.10 | 1.05 | 0.96, 1.15 | 1.10 | 1.02, 1.19 | 1.07 | 0.96, 1.16 | 1.08 | 1.01, 1.16 | 0.02 | 1.08 | 1.01, 1.15 |
| No. of cases                   | 872  | 1016      | 711  | 1014       | 955  | 1752       |      |           |      |           |         | 5661 |           |      |           |
| Nocturia                       |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |         | 5661 |           |      |           |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 | Ref       | 0.92 | 0.87, 0.98 | 0.91 | 0.85, 0.97 | 0.68 | 0.63, 0.93 | 0.88 | 0.83, 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.86, 0.96 | 0.004 | 0.89 | 0.85, 0.94 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00 | Ref       | 0.93 | 0.88, 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.87, 0.99 | 0.91 | 0.85, 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.86, 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.91, 1.01 | 0.26 | 0.93 | 0.89, 0.98 |
| No. of cases                   | 1454 | 1552      | 1009 | 1326       | 1284 | 2362       |      |           |      |           |         | 5661 |           |      |           |
| Severe Nocturia                |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |         | 5661 |           |      |           |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 | Ref       | 0.76 | 0.67, 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.58, 0.77 | 0.69 | 0.61, 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.54, 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.58, 0.73 | <0.00 | 0.55 | 0.60, 0.72 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00 | Ref       | 0.77 | 0.68, 0.87 | 0.69 | 0.60, 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.64, 0.83 | 0.66 | 0.57, 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.63, 0.79 | <0.00 | 0.70 | 0.63, 0.77 |
| No. of cases                   | 493  | 431       | 250  | 355        | 303  | 579        |      |           |      |           |         | 1487 |           |      |           |

BMI: body mass index; BPH: benign prostatic hyperplasia; CI: confidence interval; hr/wk: hour per week; PA: physical activity; PR: prevalence ratio
Table 4. Risk ratios (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of incident benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)-related outcomes and nocturia by current PA; Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial

| Outcome                        | None       | < 1 hr/wk  | 1 hr/wk    | 2 hr/wk    | 3 hr/wk    | ≥4 hr/wk   | p-trend   | ≥1 hr/wk  |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                | RR 95% CI  | RR 95% CI  | RR 95% CI  | RR 95% CI  | RR 95% CI  | RR 95% CI  | RR 95% CI |
| Elevated PSA a                 | 1.00 Ref   | 1.02 0.85, 1.21 | 1.11 0.96, 1.37 | 1.11 0.94, 1.32 | 1.06 0.89, 1.27 | 1.11 0.94, 1.29 | 0.22       |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 Ref   | 1.01 0.85, 1.21 | 1.14 0.95, 1.36 | 1.10 0.92, 1.30 | 1.03 0.87, 1.24 | 1.05 0.89, 1.24 | 0.35       |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  |            |            |            |            |            |            |           |           |
| No. of cases                   | 164        | 211        | 171        | 223        | 200        | 353        | 547       |
| Large prostate volume b        | 1.00 Ref   | 0.94 0.86, 1.03 | 1.01 0.92, 1.11 | 1.00 0.92, 1.09 | 0.97 0.88, 1.06 | 0.93 0.86, 1.01 | 0.22       |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 Ref   | 0.94 0.86, 1.03 | 1.01 0.92, 1.11 | 1.00 0.92, 1.09 | 0.97 0.89, 1.06 | 0.94 0.87, 1.02 | 0.36       |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  |            |            |            |            |            |            |           |           |
| No. of cases                   | 342        | 412        | 314        | 420        | 360        | 631        | 1745      |
| Finasteride c                  | 1.00 Ref   | 1.08 0.59, 1.97 | 1.10 0.58, 2.08 | 1.33 0.75, 2.35 | 1.07 0.58, 1.97 | 0.75 0.42, 1.36 | 0.29       |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 Ref   | 1.09 0.60, 1.99 | 1.12 0.59, 2.12 | 1.36 0.77, 2.41 | 1.11 0.60, 2.05 | 0.79 0.44, 1.44 | 0.42       |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  |            |            |            |            |            |            |           |           |
| No. of cases                   | 18         | 24         | 18         | 30         | 22         | 27         | 97        |
| Physician diagnosis of BPH d   | 1.00 Ref   | 1.11 0.95, 1.30 | 1.11 0.94, 1.32 | 1.14 0.97, 1.34 | 1.00 0.85, 1.19 | 1.06 0.91, 1.23 | 0.87       |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 Ref   | 1.11 0.94, 1.30 | 1.10 0.93, 1.31 | 1.13 0.97, 1.33 | 1.00 0.84, 1.18 | 1.04 0.90, 1.21 | 0.75       |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  |            |            |            |            |            |            |           |           |
| No. of cases                   | 160        | 252        | 133        | 254        | 203        | 369        | 1014      |
| Nocturia a                     | 1.00 Ref   | 1.02 0.88, 1.17 | 0.85 0.73, 1.01 | 0.82 0.70, 0.95 | 0.99 0.85, 1.14 | 0.85 0.75, 0.98 | 0.02       |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 Ref   | 1.02 0.89, 1.18 | 0.86 0.73, 1.02 | 0.83 0.71, 0.96 | 1.01 0.87, 1.17 | 0.89 0.77, 1.01 | 0.06       |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  |            |            |            |            |            |            |           |           |
| No. of cases                   | 218        | 278        | 170        | 221        | 251        | 377        | 1019      |
| Severe Nocturia d              | 1.00 Ref   | 0.75 0.55, 1.04 | 0.75 0.53, 1.06 | 0.63 0.45, 0.80 | 0.57 0.40, 0.82 | 0.69 0.51, 0.91 | 0.01       |
| Age-adjusted                   | 1.00 Ref   | 0.70 0.55, 1.04 | 0.70 0.54, 1.09 | 0.64 0.46, 0.90 | 0.59 0.41, 0.84 | 0.71 0.52, 0.95 | 0.02       |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  |            |            |            |            |            |            |           |           |
| No. of cases                   | 70         | 88         | 48         | 55         | 47         | 07         | 247       |

BMI: body mass index; BPH: benign prostatic hyperplasia; CI: Confidence Interval; hr/wk: hour per week; PA: Physical activity; RR: relative risk

a also adjusted for number of PSA tests and time between the first and last PSA test; b also adjusted for number of DREs and time between the first and last DRE;
c also adjusted for time between completion of the baseline and supplemental questionnaires
Table 5. Risk ratios (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of incident nocturia for men with and without other BPH-related outcomes by current PA: Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial

|                      | None | < 1 hr/wk | 1 hr/wk | 2 hr/wk | 3 hr/wk | ≥4 hr/wk | p trend | ≥1 hr/wk |
|----------------------|------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Nocturia with other BPH related outcomes |      |           |         |         |         |          |         |         |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00 | 0.97      | 0.89    | 0.86    | 0.87    | 0.96     | 0.81    | 0.87    | 0.74    | 0.02    | 0.89 | 0.77, 1.03 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI | 1.00 | 0.97      | 0.84    | 0.78    | 0.83    | 0.98     | 0.80    | 0.89    | 0.75    | 0.23    | 0.91 | 0.70, 1.05 |
| No. of cases         | 165  | 200       | 133     | 178     | 186     | 293      |         |         |         |         | 790  |         |
| Severe nocturia with other BPH related outcomes |      |           |         |         |         |          |         |         |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00 | 0.75      | 0.56    | 0.48    | 0.51    | 0.61     | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.05    | 0.68 | 0.51, 0.91 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI | 1.00 | 0.75      | 0.56    | 0.48    | 0.51    | 0.61     | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.05    | 0.70 | 0.52, 0.94 |
| No. of cases         | 53   | 50        | 39      | 46      | 32      | 76       |         |         |         |         | 193  |         |
| Nocturia only |      |           |         |         |         |          |         |         |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00 | 1.18      | 0.85    | 0.75    | 0.66    | 0.45     | 0.78    | 0.88    | 0.60    | 0.15    | 0.83 | 0.63, 1.10 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI | 1.00 | 1.19      | 0.85    | 0.75    | 0.66    | 0.46     | 0.80    | 0.86    | 0.62    | 0.23    | 0.85 | 0.64, 1.14 |
| No. of cases         | 53   | 78        | 37      | 43      | 65      | 84       |         |         |         |         | 229  |         |
| Severe Nocturia only |      |           |         |         |         |          |         |         |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00 | 0.75      | 0.38    | 0.25    | 0.43    | 0.19     | 0.39    | 0.61    | 0.33    | 0.25    | 0.60 | 0.35, 1.02 |
| Also adjusted for current BMI | 1.00 | 0.75      | 0.38    | 0.25    | 0.43    | 0.19     | 0.39    | 0.61    | 0.33    | 0.27    | 0.60 | 0.35, 1.04 |
| No. of cases         | 17   | 16        | 9       | 15      | 21      | 54       |         |         |         |         |      |         |

BMI: Body mass index; BPH: benign prostatic hyperplasia; CI: Confidence interval; hr/wk: hour per week; PA: Physical activity; RR: relative risk

* also adjusted for number of PSA tests and time between the first and last PSA test, number of DREs and time between the first and last DRE, and time between completion of the baseline and supplemental questionnaires.
Table 6. Risk ratios (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of incident benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)-related outcomes and nocturnal PA at age 40; Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial

|                      | None     | < 1 hr/wk | 1 hr/wk  | 2 hr/wk  | 3 hr/wk  | ≥ 4 hr/wk | p_trend | ≥ 1 hr/wk |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
|                      | RR       | 95% CI    | RR       | 95% CI    | RR       | 95% CI    | RR       | 95% CI    |
| Elevated PSA         |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.98     | 0.81, 1.17 | 0.97     | 0.80, 1.18 | 1.08     | 0.90, 1.29 | 1.12     | 0.94, 1.34 | 0.93 | 0.79, 1.09 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.86, 1.16 |
| Also adjusted for    |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| current BMI          | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.98     | 0.81, 1.17 | 0.97     | 0.80, 1.18 | 1.07     | 0.90, 1.28 | 1.11     | 0.93, 1.33 | 0.92 | 0.78, 1.08 | 0.52 | 0.99 | 0.86, 1.15 |
| No. of cases         | 142      | 195       | 155      | 209       | 198      | 423      |         | 985      |
| Large prostate volume |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.94     | 0.85, 1.03 | 0.94     | 0.85, 1.04 | 1.00     | 0.91, 1.10 | 0.96     | 0.87, 1.06 | 0.96 | 0.86, 1.04 | 0.77 | 0.97 | 0.89, 1.04 |
| Also adjusted for    |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| current BMI          | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.93     | 0.85, 1.03 | 0.93     | 0.85, 1.04 | 1.00     | 0.91, 1.10 | 0.95     | 0.86, 1.05 | 0.96 | 0.88, 1.04 | 0.74 | 0.97 | 0.89, 1.04 |
| No. of cases         | 280      | 367       | 295      | 379       | 332      | 845      |         | 1852     |
| Finasteride          |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.83     | 0.44, 1.57 | 0.89     | 0.46, 1.72 | 0.76     | 0.39, 1.45 | 1.13     | 0.61, 2.06 | 0.80 | 0.46, 1.39 | 0.72 | 0.86 | 0.52, 1.43 |
| Also adjusted for    |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| current BMI          | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.83     | 0.44, 1.57 | 0.89     | 0.46, 1.71 | 0.76     | 0.40, 1.45 | 1.14     | 0.62, 2.08 | 0.81 | 0.46, 1.40 | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.53, 1.43 |
| No. of cases         | 17       | 20        | 17       | 18        | 24       | 43       |         | 102      |
| Physician diagnosis of BPH |      |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00     | Ref       | 1.13     | 0.96, 1.34 | 1.03     | 0.86, 1.24 | 0.59     | 0.83, 1.18 | 1.04     | 0.87, 1.24 | 1.05 | 0.90, 1.22 | 0.80 | 1.03 | 0.89, 1.19 |
| Also adjusted for    |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| current BMI          | 1.00     | Ref       | 1.13     | 0.95, 1.34 | 1.03     | 0.86, 1.24 | 0.59     | 0.83, 1.18 | 1.04     | 0.87, 1.24 | 1.04 | 0.90, 1.22 | 0.79 | 1.03 | 0.89, 1.19 |
| No. of cases         | 148      | 236       | 173      | 203       | 192      | 454      |         | 1062     |
| Nocturia             |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.91     | 0.78, 1.07 | 0.91     | 0.77, 1.06 | 0.85     | 0.73, 1.00 | 0.91     | 0.78, 1.07 | 0.92 | 0.80, 1.05 | 0.46 | 0.99 | 0.80, 1.02 |
| Also adjusted for    |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| current BMI          | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.91     | 0.78, 1.07 | 0.91     | 0.77, 1.06 | 0.85     | 0.73, 1.00 | 0.92     | 0.78, 1.08 | 0.92 | 0.80, 1.06 | 0.52 | 0.91 | 0.80, 1.03 |
| No. of cases         | 170      | 228       | 181      | 209       | 199      | 522      |         | 1111     |
| Severe Nocturia       |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| Age-adjusted         | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.73     | 0.52, 1.03 | 0.58     | 0.39, 0.86 | 0.09     | 0.49, 0.99 | 0.02     | 0.42, 0.90 | 0.75 | 0.56, 1.00 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.52, 0.90 |
| Also adjusted for    |          |           |          |          |          |          |         |          |
| current BMI          | 1.00     | Ref       | 0.73     | 0.51, 1.03 | 0.58     | 0.39, 0.86 | 0.09     | 0.48, 0.99 | 0.02     | 0.43, 0.91 | 0.75 | 0.56, 1.00 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.52, 0.90 |
| No. of cases         | 56       | 58        | 37       | 54        | 43       | 135      |         | 269      |

BMI: body mass index; BPH: benign prostatic hyperplasia; CI: confidence interval; hr/wk: hour per week; PA: Physical activity; RR: relative risk

* also adjusted for number of PSA tests and time between the first and last PSA test; † also adjusted for number of DREs and time between the first and last DRE; ‡ also adjusted for time between completion of the baseline and supplemental questionnaires.
Supplemental digital content.

Supplementary Table. Prevalence ratios (PRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of prevalent nocturia for men with and without other BPH-related outcomes by current PA; Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial
Supplementary Table. Prevalence ratios (PRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of prevalent nocturia for men with and without other BPH-related outcomes by current PA; Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial

|                               | None       | < 1 hr/wk   | 1 hr/wk     | 2 hr/wk     | 3 hr/wk     | ≥4 hr/wk    | p-trend     | ≥ 1 hr/wk   |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                               | PR         | 95% CI      | PR          | 95% CI      | PR          | 95% CI      | PR          | 95% CI      |
| Nocturia with other BPH related outcomes |            |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Age-adjusted                  | 1.00       | Ref         | 0.90        | 0.84, 0.97  | 0.95        | 0.87, 1.02  | 0.90        | 0.84, 0.97  | 0.91        | 0.84, 0.98  | 0.93        | 0.87, 1.00  | 0.17        | 0.92        | 0.87, 0.98  |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00       | Ref         | 0.91        | 0.84, 0.97  | 0.96        | 0.89, 1.04  | 0.92        | 0.86, 1.00  | 0.93        | 0.87, 1.01  | 0.97        | 0.91, 1.03  | 0.95        | 0.95        | 0.90, 1.01  |
| No. of cases                  | 1059       | 1097        | 762         | 994         | 959         | 1781        | 4496        |             |
| Severe nocturia with other BPH related outcomes |            |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Age-adjusted                  | 1.00       | Ref         | 0.73        | 0.64, 0.84  | 0.68        | 0.58, 0.81  | 0.69        | 0.59, 0.80  | 0.62        | 0.53, 0.73  | 0.67        | 0.59, 0.76  | <0.0001     | 0.67        | 0.60, 0.74  |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00       | Ref         | 0.74        | 0.64, 0.86  | 0.70        | 0.60, 0.83  | 0.72        | 0.62, 0.83  | 0.65        | 0.56, 0.76  | 0.72        | 0.63, 0.82  | <0.0001     | 0.70        | 0.63, 0.78  |
| No. of cases                  | 383        | 321         | 199         | 275         | 239         | 468         | 1181        |             |
| Nocturia only                 |            |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Age-adjusted                  | 1.00       | Ref         | 0.97        | 0.86, 1.11  | 0.82        | 0.70, 0.95  | 0.81        | 0.70, 0.93  | 0.79        | 0.69, 0.92  | 0.85        | 0.75, 0.96  | 0.0005      | 0.82        | 0.74, 0.91  |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00       | Ref         | 0.99        | 0.87, 1.12  | 0.84        | 0.72, 0.98  | 0.86        | 0.75, 0.99  | 0.85        | 0.74, 0.98  | 0.93        | 0.82, 1.05  | 0.08        | 0.88        | 0.79, 0.98  |
| No. of cases                  | 395        | 455         | 247         | 332         | 305         | 581         | 1465        |             |
| Severe Nocturia only          |            |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Age-adjusted                  | 1.00       | Ref         | 0.85        | 0.66, 1.11  | 0.61        | 0.44, 0.84  | 0.70        | 0.53, 0.93  | 0.59        | 0.44, 0.80  | 0.57        | 0.44, 0.74  | <0.0001     | 0.61        | 0.49, 0.76  |
| Also adjusted for current BMI  | 1.00       | Ref         | 0.88        | 0.68, 1.14  | 0.64        | 0.46, 0.89  | 0.77        | 0.58, 1.02  | 0.66        | 0.49, 0.89  | 0.66        | 0.51, 0.85  | <0.0001     | 0.68        | 0.55, 0.84  |
| No. of cases                  | 110        | 110         | 51          | 80          | 64          | 111         | 306         |             |

BMI: body mass index; BPH: benign prostatic hyperplasia CI: Confidence Interval; hr/wk: hour per week; PA: Physical activity; PR: prevalence ratio