Variability in personal protective equipment in cross-sectional interventional abdominal radiology practices
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Abstract
Purpose To determine institutional practice requirements for personal protective equipment (PPE) in cross-sectional interventional radiology (CSIR) procedures among a variety of radiology practices in the USA and Canada.
Methods Members of the Society of Abdominal Radiology (SAR) CSIR Emerging Technology Commission (ETC) were sent an eight-question survey about what PPE they were required to use during common CSIR procedures: paracentesis, thoracentesis, thyroid fine needle aspiration (FNA), superficial lymph node biopsy, deep lymph node biopsy, solid organ biopsy, and ablation. Types of PPE evaluated were sterile gloves, surgical masks, gowns, surgical hats, eye shields, foot covers, and scrubs.
Results 26/38 surveys were completed by respondents at 20/22 (91%) institutions. The most common PPE was sterile gloves, required by 20/20 (100%) institutions for every procedure. The second most common PPE was masks, required by 14/20 (70%) institutions for superficial and deep procedures and 12/12 (100%) institutions for ablation. Scrubs, sterile gowns, eye shields, and surgical hats were required at nearly all institutions for ablation, whereas approximately half of institutions required their use for deep lymph node and solid organ biopsy. Compared with other types of PPE, required mask and eye shield use showed the greatest increase during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.
Conclusion PPE use during common cross-sectional procedures is widely variable. Given the environmental and financial impact and lack of consensus practice, further studies examining the appropriate level of PPE are needed.
Introduction

Any invasive procedure carries at least a theoretical risk of introducing infection. Surgical site infections (SSIs) are significant clinical problems associated with increased patient morbidity and mortality [1, 2]. Efforts have been made in the operating room to counteract SSIs by increasing the physical barriers between the incision site and pathogens introduced from the air or body surfaces. This has led to stringent requirements for use of personal protective equipment (PPE)—scrubs, sterile gloves, sterile gowns, surgical hats, ear covers, beard covers, and disposable shoe covers—albeit based primarily on theoretical benefits rather than evidence-based practices [1–5]. Many of the PPE recommendations have filtered into the practice of procedures performed outside of the operating room, such as US- and CT-guided procedures, commonly referred to as cross-sectional interventional radiology (CSIR) procedures [6]. The infection rate associated with CSIR procedures is far less than is cited for SSIs, likely due to the minimal invasiveness of a skin puncture a few millimeters in diameter; however, the financial cost and environmental impact associated with the use of PPE are considerable [7, 8]. Multiple societies have published practice guidance for CSIR procedures, although there is no consensus standard addressing required PPE, and differing practices are observed anecdotally among various institutions [6, 9–13].

In 2020, Society of Abdominal Radiology created the Cross-sectional Interventional Radiology Emerging Technology Commission (CSIR ETC) to support radiologists performing cross-sectional procedures by researching best practices and developing practice guidelines to optimize patient outcomes. CSIR ETC currently includes 38 members from 22 institutions with geographic and practice-type diversity across the USA and Canada. The CSIR ETC members were surveyed to assess currently utilized PPE for CSIR procedures.

The main goal of this study was to determine institutional PPE requirements for common CSIR procedures. The secondary goal was to evaluate changes in PPE requirements during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

Methods

This Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act-compliant study was exempt from institutional review board approval. An eight-question survey about PPE during CSIR
The survey was completed by 26/38 (68%) members, representing 20/22 (91%) institutions in the CSIR ETC. Respondents were from 18 academic centers and 2 private practices. Of the 20 institutions represented in the responses, 20/20 (100%) performed superficial procedures (paracentesis, thoracentesis, thyroid FNA, superficial lymph node biopsy), 20/20 (100%) performed deep biopsy (deep lymph node biopsy and solid organ biopsy), and 12/20 (60%) performed ablation.

Results

The survey was completed by 26/38 (68%) members, representing 20/22 (91%) institutions in the CSIR ETC. Respondents were from 18 academic centers and 2 private practices. Of the 20 institutions represented in the responses, 20/20 (100%) performed superficial procedures (paracentesis, thoracentesis, thyroid FNA, superficial lymph node biopsy), 20/20 (100%) performed deep biopsy (deep lymph node biopsy and solid organ biopsy), and 12/20 (60%) performed ablation.

Prior to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, the most common PPE was sterile gloves, which were required by all institutions for every procedure. The second most common PPE was surgical masks, which were required by 14/20 (70%) institutions for superficial and deep procedures and 12/12 (100%) institutions for ablation. Scrubs, sterile gowns, eye shields, and surgical hats were required at nearly all institutions for ablation, whereas approximately half of institutions required their use for deep lymph node and solid organ biopsy. During the pandemic, eye shield and mask requirements increased far more than other PPE.

Foot covers were rarely required and only reported by 1/20 (5%) institutions for deep lymph node and solid organ biopsy and 2/12 (17%) institutions for ablation. Required non-sterile gowns were reported by 1/20 (5%) institutions for all superficial procedures and deep lymph node and solid organ biopsy. During the pandemic, 2/20 (10%) institutions added non-sterile gowns to their PPE requirements during deep lymph node and solid organ biopsy and 3/20 (15%) institutions added required non-sterile gowns during superficial procedures.

The different combinations of required PPE (e.g., “gloves and mask,” “gloves, mask, gown, and hat”) varied widely among the institutions and among procedures. For example, 13 different combinations of PPE were reported for solid organ biopsy, ranging from required use of only sterile gloves to required use of sterile gloves, scrubs, sterile gown, mask, hat, and eye shield. No more than 3 institutions shared the same combination of required PPE for solid organ biopsy. For paracentesis and thoracentesis, 16 different combinations of PPE were reported and ranged from only sterile gloves to a combination of sterile gloves, scrubs, sterile gown, mask, hat, and eye shield. No more than 2 institutions shared the same combination of required PPE for paracentesis/thoracentesis. For the remaining procedures, the numbers of different PPE combinations were 14 for thyroid FNA, 13 for superficial LN biopsy, 14 for deep LN biopsy, and 5 for ablation. No similarities in required PPE use were observed between practices in the same geographic region or the same practice type (academic vs. private).

Table 1 represents the institutions reporting PPE practice that complies with recommendations from the joint practice guidelines from Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), the Association of periOperative Registered Nurses (AORN), and the Association for Radiologic and Imaging Nursing (ARIN), which include wearing scrubs, hat, mask, sterile surgical gown, and sterile gloves during percutaneous biopsy and ablation [6]. Chlorhexidine agents were preferred for skin preparation by the majority of individual respondents for all procedures, ranging from 87 to 92%. Ninety-four percent of individual respondents reported use of a sterile table cover for the back table during ablation, but this was less commonly reported in other procedures, ranging from 48 to 64%. Preference for sterile towel and sterile paper drape use also varied (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Preventing infection is important when performing any procedure and follows the dictum, primum non nocere—first, do no harm. Use of PPE is viewed as a way to protect both the patient from infection and the proceduralist from body fluid and tissue exposure, but the extent to which PPE is used during CSIR procedures is variable. These survey results demonstrate tremendous variation in PPE practices.

Table 1 represents the institutions reporting PPE practice that complies with recommendations from the joint practice guidelines from Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), the Association of periOperative Registered Nurses (AORN), and the Association for Radiologic and Imaging Nursing (ARIN), which include wearing scrubs, hat, mask, sterile surgical gown, and sterile gloves during percutaneous biopsy and ablation [6]. Chlorhexidine agents were preferred for skin preparation by the majority of individual respondents for all procedures, ranging from 87 to 92%. Ninety-four percent of individual respondents reported use of a sterile table cover for the back table during ablation, but this was less commonly reported in other procedures, ranging from 48 to 64%. Preference for sterile towel and sterile paper drape use also varied (Fig. 3).
Fig. 1 Bar graphs show breakdown of responses by type of PPE prior to and during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. The values are percentage of institutions that required use of the PPE for the procedure. Data are presented on a per institution basis (one respondent per institution).

and are in keeping with a similar past survey of interventional radiologists that also showed varied use of PPE [14]. In the current survey, the majority of institutions required sterile gloves and masks for CSIR procedures prior to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, but other PPE requirements were largely inconsistent across the group. During the pandemic, required mask and eye shield use increased for all procedures (65–100% to 95–100% for masks and 45–67% to 80–92% for eye shields), although other PPE requirements continued to vary. Sterile gloves and masks seemingly represent the minimum requirements of PPE for CSIR procedures, along with eye shields during the pandemic, although a majority consensus on other elements of PPE was not evident from the survey.

The lack of consensus and the paucity of data evaluating PPE in CSIR procedures likely contribute to the practice variation observed in this survey. Several societies in radiology and other medical specialties have published practice guidelines for these procedures but make differing recommendations or do not make specific recommendations for PPE use [6, 9–13]. Joint practice guidelines from the SIR/AORN/ARIN recommend to mirror the operating room setting during all percutaneous biopsies and tumor ablations, requiring proceduralists to wear scrubs, hair coverings, sterile gowns, sterile gloves, and masks, although only a minority of institutions were noted in the survey to comply with this recommendation for biopsies [6]. American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM) Practice Parameters recommend to follow facility infection control practices, but do not provide specific guidance regarding PPE for most procedures [9]. In the literature, PPE use is usually not specified or addressed in articles describing the technique and complications of CSIR procedures, including those focused specifically on post-procedural infection. A large retrospective series evaluating infection after more than 13,000 ultrasound-guided CSIR procedures found an overall incidence of 0.1% for post-procedural infection, but the details of PPE were not included [15].

The relationship between PPE use in the operating room and the prevalence of SSIs is unclear, and the rate of infection during CSIR procedures is exceedingly low (0 to <1%), less than the rate cited for SSIs [3–5, 15–21]. Adopting the same standards of an operating room for CSIR procedures may be unnecessary when considering an analogous comparison in the
surgical literature: minor hand and skin surgery. In Canada, the most common procedural setting for carpal tunnel surgery is an ambulatory procedure room using “field sterility,” defined by the use of a surgical mask, sterile gloves, and small sterile drape [22, 23]. No gown or hat is worn. In this setting, multiple groups have shown no difference in clinical outcomes or post-operative infections when compared to carpal tunnel surgeries performed in the traditional operating room setting [24, 25]. A similar trend has also been observed in Mohs micrographic skin surgery, where prospective trials have shown no differences in the prevalence of SSIs between Mohs surgeries performed with non-sterile and sterile gloves [26, 27].

PPE guidelines need to consider the protection of the proceduralist from exposures to blood, tissue, and other bodily fluid. Such concerns may be more attributable to procedures involving high-pressure systems (such as arterial access) in which fluid splashes may be more common. For example, in a series of 100 angiographic procedures, 23 blood splashes occurred during 7 procedures, and the authors concluded that while the risk was low, face and eye protection were warranted [28].

Considering rising healthcare costs and the production of approximately four billion pounds of medical waste annually in the USA, it behooves proceduralists to weigh the theoretical benefit of infection rate reduction by PPE with the costs, both financially and environmentally. Increased healthcare costs associated with more stringent requirements for operating room attire has been extensively published in the surgical literature [23, 25, 29–33]. The healthcare industry is estimated to be responsible for 8% of the greenhouse gas emissions in the USA [7]. A recent analysis of greenhouse gas emissions from a tertiary care interventional radiology service found that the production and transportation of single-use supplies, including personal protective equipment, accounted as the second largest contributor to emitted carbon dioxide from the service [8]. Not unexpectedly, the survey results showed increased PPE use during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Global shortages in PPE during the beginning of the pandemic further echo the need for prudent and judicious use of these medical resources [34]. Most PPE is designed as single use and intended to be subsequently disposed, but preservation strategies for decontamination and
reuse of PPE have been critical during supply shortages [35, 36]. These strategies may be useful for decreasing waste and cost when applied to CSIR procedures.

The survey also found that chlorhexidine agents are used by the vast majority of respondents for all procedures for skin site antisepsis, in keeping with the widespread adoption after superior performance of chlorhexidine-alcohol over povidone-iodine was demonstrated [37]. All respondents reported use of sterile towels and/or sterile paper drapes for all procedures.

There are several limitations to this study. This survey was sent to a subset of abdominal radiologists, the vast majority working in academic practices, and the observations may thus vary from other types of practice groups. Nonresponse bias may also affect the results, although members from 20 out of 22 institutions represented in the ETC completed the survey. Additionally, institutional and individual post-procedural infection rates were not assessed and therefore the true relationship between PPE and the risk of infection cannot be determined on the basis of this survey.

Further investigation is warranted to examine the appropriate level of PPE for CSIR procedures and elucidate the true role of PPE in protecting both the patient and proceduralist. Given the extremely low risk of infection and the wide range of current practices evident in the survey, prospective studies comparing procedures performed with and without certain types of PPE can be ethically conducted. Assessment of cost and waste reduction would also be necessary, as this information would be of interest to institutions seeking to reduce their carbon footprint or to maximize profits by decreasing costs.

In conclusion, this survey shows the variation of PPE practices among abdominal radiologists performing CSIR procedures. Considering the lack of strong evidence to support increased PPE use and the financial and environmental impact, it is time to re-examine the theoretical but not proven benefit of PPE in CSIR procedural settings and establish consensus standards.

---

Table 1 Institutions complying with the SIR/AORN/AIRN recommendation for PPE during percutaneous biopsy and ablation (scrubs, mask, sterile gown, sterile gloves, hat)

| Procedure                  | Number/total (%) institutions |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Thyroid FNA                | 3/20 (15)                      |
| Superficial lymph node biopsy | 2/20 (10)                      |
| Deep lymph node biopsy     | 4/20 (20)                      |
| Solid organ biopsy         | 4/20 (20)                      |
| Ablation                   | 10/12 (83.3)                   |

Fig. 3 Bar graphs show breakdown of sterile equipment by procedure. The values are percentage of responses that reported use of sterile towels, sterile paper drape, and both sterile towels and paper drape. Responses are represented on an individual basis.
Appendix

1. Name

2. Institution

3. Type of practice
   - Private
   - Academic

4. Would you be interested in participating in a multi-institutional project investigating procedure attire, equipment, and post-procedure infection rate?
   - Yes
   - No

5. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, what attire and personal equipment were you required to use during these percutaneous procedures?

| Procedure                                      | Do not perform | Sterile gloves | Scrubs | Sterile gown | Non-sterile gown | Surgical mask | Surgical hat (skull cap or bouffant) | Booties | Eye shield |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------|------------|
| Paracentesis and thoracentesis                 |                |                |        |              |                  |               |                                      |         |            |
| Thyroid FNA                                     |                |                |        |              |                  |               |                                      |         |            |
| Superficial lymph node biopsy (e.g. axillary, inguinal) |                |                |        |              |                  |               |                                      |         |            |
| Deep lymph node biopsy (e.g. mesenteric, retroperitoneal) |                |                |        |              |                  |               |                                      |         |            |
| Solid organ biopsy (e.g. kidney, liver, lung)   |                |                |        |              |                  |               |                                      |         |            |
| Ablation                                        |                |                |        |              |                  |               |                                      |         |            |
6. During the COVID-19 pandemic, what attire and personal equipment are you required to use during these percutaneous procedures?

| Procedure                                      | Do not perform | Sterile gloves | Scrubs | Sterile gown | Non-sterile gown | Mask | Surgical hat (skull cap or bouffant) | Booties | Eye shield |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|------|--------------------------------------|---------|------------|
| Paracentesis and thoracentesis                 |                |                |        |              |                 |      |                                      |         |            |
| Thyroid FNA                                     |                |                |        |              |                 |      |                                      |         |            |
| Superficial lymph node biopsy (e.g. axillary, inguinal) |                |                |        |              |                 |      |                                      |         |            |
| Deep lymph node biopsy (e.g. mesenteric, retroperitoneal) |                |                |        |              |                 |      |                                      |         |            |
| Solid organ biopsy (e.g. renal, liver, lung)    |                |                |        |              |                 |      |                                      |         |            |
| Ablation                                       |                |                |        |              |                 |      |                                      |         |            |

7. What skin preparation agent do you most commonly use for these percutaneous procedures? (select 1 for each procedure)

| Procedure                                      | Do not perform | Chlorhexidine agent (e.g. ChlorPrep) | Povidone-iodine agent (e.g. Betadine) | Other skin preparation agent (e.g. alcohol swabs or alcohol solution) |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Paracentesis and thoracentesis                 |                |                                      |                                       |                                                                       |
| Thyroid FNA                                     |                |                                      |                                       |                                                                       |
| Superficial lymph node biopsy (e.g. axillary, inguinal) |                |                                      |                                       |                                                                       |
| Deep lymph node biopsy (e.g. mesenteric, retroperitoneal) |                |                                      |                                       |                                                                       |
| Solid organ biopsy (e.g. renal, liver, lung)    |                |                                      |                                       |                                                                       |
| Ablation                                       |                |                                      |                                       |                                                                       |
8. What equipment do you use for these percutaneous procedures? (select all that apply)

| Procedure                                      | Do not perform | Sterile towels | Sterile paper drape | Sterile table cover for the equipment table/“back” |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Paracentesis and thoracentesis                 |                |                |                    |                                              |
| Thyroid FNA                                     |                |                |                    |                                              |
| Superficial lymph node biopsy (e.g. axillary, inguinal) |                |                |                    |                                              |
| Deep lymph node biopsy (e.g. mesenteric, retroperitoneal) |                |                |                    |                                              |
| Solid organ biopsy (e.g. kidney, liver, lung)   |                |                |                    |                                              |
| Ablation                                       |                |                |                    |                                              |
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