Reliability of the Intraoral Records for programming the Condylar Inclination of the Semiadjustable Articulator
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ABSTRACT

Aim and objective: The purpose of the investigation was to determine the reliability of intraoral records for the programming of the condylar inclination of the semiadjustable articulator (SAA), performed by dentistry students at the Central University of Ecuador (UCE).

Materials and methods: The concordance study was of an observational type and was carried out in sixth- and seventh-semester students of the Faculty of Dentistry of the UCE in the period 2019 to 2020. It was applied to a sample made up of 60 students: divided into G1 (n = 30), sixth-semester students and G2 (n = 30), seventh-semester students. The students were given articulated plaster models in an SAA in order to program the condylar inclination based on intraoral records, comparing it with the condylar inclination obtained from a computed tomography (CT) scan by an expert, determining inter-rater reliability. A Fleiss’ Kappa test was performed for this study, with a 95% confidence level.

Results: The average condylar inclination of the left side measured by the groups of students was 28.68° ± 10.16° and for the right side it was 27.85° ± 10.59°, while in CT, it was 45° on the left side and 35° on the right side. The range of discrepancy of the groups with the CT values is 4.30° to 19.11°, with a significant difference between the programmed condylar inclination of the SAA between the study groups (p < 0.05), with greater amount of success in G1 (40% of students programmed the condylar inclination perfectly) compared to G2 (31.67% of students did it perfectly).

Conclusion: When performing the Fleiss’ Kappa test, the concordance of the condylar inclination in an SAA programmed by the students gave a result with a negative sign, which suggests that there is no concordance of the articulator data compared to the CT, verifying that the students are not trained in this practice.

Clinical significance: The clinical significance of this study lies in the fact that students must learn to better program the condylar inclination so that the treatments they carry out in the future are jointly and preserving all the normal anatomical factors related to the mouth and the TMJ, in order to do so achieve more personalized treatments for each patient.
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Introduction

The goal of prosthodontic rehabilitation is to design and manufacture a prosthesis that is in harmony with the patient’s stomatognathic system. An important parameter in oral rehabilitation is the condylar inclination, which is described as the route traversed by the condyle in relation to the articular eminence when the mandible moves protractively or laterally from the centric relation.¹ ²

Various intraoral and extraoral methods have been used to record the trajectory of the condyle and adjust the articulator accordingly. Centric and eccentric relations of the mandible can be recorded through the intraoral or positional wax method, graphic recordings, functional recordings, and cephalometry. However, some studies have not found significant differences between intraoral recording methods and radiographic recording methods.³

Curtis carried out a study where he compared the protrusive configurations in a joint adjusted by a pantograph and the protrusive configurations recorded by two intraoral recording materials: the first one made of polyvinyl siloxane and the second one of laminated metallized wax, determining that the mean condylar inclination obtained with the pantograph was greater than that recorded by the other two materials, while the analysis of variance showed that the condylar inclination recorded by the wax was statistically lower than that obtained by the pantograph.⁴ ⁵

The execution of the programming of semiadjustable articulators (SAAs) requires two condylar adjustments: the condylar guide and the Benett angle, which are obtained through intraoral records and the Hanau formula.⁶ ⁷

Some previous studies have tried to determine the reliability and validity of the various systems and procedures for programming condylar inclination, and the axiographic-pantographic systems are considered the most reliable due to their continuous evolution and because they recently incorporated the latest advances in computers and electronics; however, they are expensive methods.⁸
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The programming of the condylar inclination could vary between evaluators; therefore, the need to perform a concordance test arises to determine the reliability of this technique. In this way, it was proposed to determine the reliability of the intraoral records in the programming of the condylar inclination of the SAA, determining the inter-rater concordance in sixth- and seventh-semester students of the Faculty of Dentistry (FD) of the Central University of Ecuador (CUE) in the period 2019 to 2020. The research hypothesis was that the level of concordance among undergraduate students when programming the condylar inclination in a SAA through protrusive records was null or low.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The proposed research was approved by the Subcommittee on Research Ethics in Human Beings of the Central University of Ecuador (SEISH-UCE in Spanish). The sample was 60 students from the sixth and seventh semesters of the CUE FD, and it was divided into two groups: G1, \( n = 30 \) sixth-semester students and G2, \( n = 30 \) seventh-semester students, who signed the informed consent to participate in the study.

**Methodological Procedure**

**Intraoral Record**

The selected patient was female, the occlusal relationship was recorded with a wax roll (Aluwax; Dental wax products), considering the Christensen phenomenon that takes into account the posterior separation space that occurs between the maxillary and mandibular arches when a protrusive movement has been done.\(^9\)

In order to carry out the protrusive record, the mandible was located in an edge position, that is, edge to edge of the incisor. Therefore, the professional placed the index finger on the patient’s vestibular surface of the upper incisor and instructed her to try to bite the flesh, which resulted in a movement in position from edge to edge of the incisor.\(^9\) At the end of the occlusal movement, the bite was checked by placing it on plaster models and trimming the vestibular excesses with wax (Figs 1 and 2).

**Computed Tomography**

The tomographic procedure was performed in the Oral Radiology Department of the CUE School of Dentistry, with an exposure factor of 68 kVp and 10 mA at a 100% scale. In the research, a tracing of the sagittal contours of both articular eminences and the glenoid fossa was made, marking at the lowest point of the margin of the orbit called the “orbital” point, the highest point in the auditory meatus called the “porion,” giving from the union of both points the horizontal plane of Frankfurt. They also identified the highest point in the articular eminence and the lowest point in the articular tubercle and constructed a second line to represent the mean inclination of the condylar path joining the two points, considering as a final value the result obtained from both examiners, reflected in a linear diagram of the panoramic tracing of the sagittal condylar guiding angle (Figs 3 and 4).

**Programming of the Semiadjustable Articulator by Students**

Once the impressions were obtained (Orthoprint; Zhermack), they were cast in plaster type IV (Elite rock; Zhermack), the upper model was articulated based on the face bow and the lower one by means of a CR record and the students who decided to participate in the research, by signing the informed consent, were asked to program the condylar inclination based on the protrusive record, for which an explanation was provided on the points to consider.
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**Results**

To determine the reliability of intraoral records in the programming of the condylar inclination of the SAA, determining the inter-rater concordance in sixth and seventh-semester students of the CUE FD in the 2019–2020 period, according to descriptive results, it was evidenced that the value of the condylar inclination of the left and right side tomography are 45° and 35°, respectively. Meanwhile, the values of the condylar inclination obtained by the 60 students of sixth- and seventh-semester with the SAA on the left side presented a mean of 28.68° and a standard deviation of 10.15°; and the right was 27.85° and a standard deviation of 10.59° (Table 1).

Fifty-three percent of the sixth-semester students calibrated the right side perfectly; however, only 26.67% calibrated the left side perfectly. Forty-three percent of the seventh-semester students calibrated the right side perfectly; however, only 20% calibrated the left side perfectly (Table 2).

When comparing the tomographic condylar inclination and the SAA of the left and right sides of the sixth and seventh semesters, it is evident that there is a significant variation, the value $p < 0.05$ (Table 3).

In addition, the Student’s $t$-test was applied for independent samples for the sixth and seventh semesters for the comparisons of the angle measurements of the left and right sides of the joint value where it was evidenced that statistically, the left side has reliability when checking that the $p$-value (0.07) $>$ 0.05. Meanwhile, on the right side, there is a significant variation, the $p$-value (0.04) $<$ 0.05. With the Levene test, it was evidenced that the $p$-value $>$ 0.05. The conclusion is that there is compatibility between the hypothesis of equality of half-populations, where the

**Table 1: Descriptive data of the condylar inclination in degrees obtained with SAA and CT of the left and right sides**

| Condylar inclination data | Mean | Lower limit | Upper limit | D.E | Min | Max |
|---------------------------|------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Condylar inclination tomography LS | 45.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 | 0.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
| Condylar inclination tomography RS | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 0.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| Condylar articulator inclination LS | 28.68 | 26.06 | 31.31 | 10.16 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| Condylar articulator inclination RD | 27.85 | 25.11 | 30.59 | 10.59 | 10.00 | 60.00 |
| Discrepancy LS (SAA-CT) | −16.32 | −18.94 | −13.69 | 10.16 | −45.00 | 5.00 |
| Discrepancy RS (SAA-CT) | −7.15 | −9.89 | −4.41 | 10.59 | −25.00 | 25.00 |

RS, Right side; LS, Left side; SAA, Semiadjustable articulator; CT, Computed tomography; CI, Confidence interval; S.D., Standard deviation; Min., Minimum; Max., Maximum

**Table 2: Percentage distribution of variation of the condylar inclination (CT-SAA) of the left and right side (n = 60)**

| Semester | Variation | RS n (%) | LS n (%) | Total |
|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Sixth    | Perfect (<10°) | 16 (53.33) | 8 (26.67) | 24 (40.00)% |
|          | Acceptable (10°–20°) | 9 (30.00) | 11 (36.67) | 20 (33.33)% |
|          | Poor (20°–30°) | 5 (16.67) | 11 (36.67) | 16 (26.67)% |
| Seventh  | Perfect (<10°) | 13 (43.33) | 6 (20.00) | 19 (31.67)% |
|          | Acceptable (10°–20°) | 9 (30.00) | 8 (26.67) | 17 (28.33)% |
|          | Poor (20°–30°) | 8 (26.67) | 16 (53.33) | 24 (40.00)% |
| Total    | RS 60 | 60 | 120 |

RS, Right side; LS, Left side; SAA, Semiadjustable articulator; CT, Computed tomography
Table 3: Statistical Student’s t test

| Condylnar inclination difference | Mean | Dev. Deviation | 95% IC | Lower | Higher | t    | df | Sig. (bilateral) |
|---------------------------------|------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|------|----|------------------|
| Difference of the condylnar inclination of the CT of the LS—SAA of the LS | 16.317 | 10.159 | | 13.692 | 18.941 | 12.441 | 59 | 0.000 |
| Difference of the condylnar inclination of the CT of the —SAA of the RS | 7.150 | 10.60 | | 4.413 | 9.887 | 5.227 | 59 | 0.000 |

RS, Right side; LS, Left side; SAA, Semiadjustable articulator; CT, Computed tomography; CI, Confidence interval; S.D., Standard deviation; Min., Minimum; Max., Maximum; df, degree of freedom; Sig., Significance or p value.

Table 4: Comparison of the condylnar inclination obtained by undergraduate students with SAA and the discrepancy, by semester

| Group statistics | Semester | N  | Mean | S.D. | F | p value | t    | df | p value | prueba t para la igualdad de medias |
|------------------|----------|----|------|------|---|---------|------|----|---------|-------------------------------------|
|                  | Left articulator value | Sixth | 30 | 31.03 | 9.69 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 1.83 | 58.00 | 0.07 | 4.70 | −0.45 | 9.85 |
|                  |         | Seventh | 30 | 26.33 | 10.23 | 1.83 | 57.83 | 0.07 | 4.70 | −0.45 | 9.85 |
|                  | Right articulator value | Sixth | 30 | 30.70 | 10.92 | 0.11 | 0.74 | 2.15 | 58.00 | 0.04 | 5.70 | 0.38 | 11.02 |
|                  |         | Seventh | 30 | 25.00 | 9.61 | 1.83 | 57.09 | 0.04 | 5.70 | 0.38 | 11.02 |
|                  | Left discrepancy | Sixth | 30 | −13.97 | 9.69 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 1.83 | 58.00 | 0.07 | 4.70 | −0.45 | 9.85 |
|                  |         | Seventh | 30 | −18.67 | 10.23 | 1.83 | 57.83 | 0.07 | 4.70 | −0.45 | 9.85 |
|                  | Right discrepancy | Sixth | 30 | −4.30 | 10.92 | 0.11 | 0.74 | 2.15 | 58.00 | 0.04 | 5.70 | 0.38 | 11.02 |
|                  |         | Seventh | 30 | −10.00 | 9.61 | 2.15 | 57.09 | 0.04 | 5.70 | 0.38 | 11.02 |

RS, Right side; LS, Left side; SAA, Semiadjustable articulator; CT, Computed tomography; IC, CI, Confidence interval; S.D., Standard deviation; Min., Minimum; Max., Maximum

Table 5: Fleiss’ Kappa inter-rater concordance test

| Condylnar inclination CT vs SAA | Kappa | Asymptotic standard error | Z | p value | Lower 95% asymptotic CI bound | Upper 95% asymptotic CI bound |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|---|---------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| LS sixth | −0.355 | 0.084 | −4.217 | 0.000 | −0.520 | −0.190 |
| RS sixth | −0.322 | 0.086 | −3.732 | 0.000 | −0.491 | −0.153 |
| LS seventh | −0.391 | 0.089 | −4.386 | 0.000 | −0.566 | −0.216 |
| RS seventh | −0.401 | 0.085 | −4.697 | 0.000 | −0.568 | −0.234 |
| LS total | −0.359 | 0.060 | −5.939 | 0.000 | −0.477 | −0.240 |
| RS total | −0.353 | 0.059 | −5.991 | 0.000 | −0.468 | −0.237 |

RS, Right side; LS, Left side; SAA, Semiadjustable articulator; CT, Computed tomography; IC, CI, Confidence interval; S.D., Standard deviation

values presented by sixth-semester students are not different from those of seventh-semester students, considering that they have equal variances (Table 4).

To determine the reliability of the intraoral recordings in the programming of the condylar inclination of the SAA, there was no agreement between the measurements of the CT and the SAA because the p-value was negative. (Table 5)

**DISCUSSION**

In prosthodontic, it is of great importance to identify the condylar inclination, because the correct elaboration of the fixed or removable dental prosthesis depends on this parameter and it also maintains the patient’s masticatory system in harmony, for this reason dentists must know and master the use of dental articulators, specifically the values of inclination of the condylar trajectory, Bennett’s angle, which is obtained by means of protrusive or lateral registrations, to simulate the kinetics of the masticatory system, which allows customizing morphological and functional characteristics of the occlusion. Intraoral records are made using different materials, such as waxes, which are placed between the teeth and the patient is asked to perform both protrusive and lateral movements (if necessary) so that the incisal edges and occlusal surfaces are marked in the wax pattern, then they are transferred to the SAA and placed between the fixed models and in relation to the protrusion, we can know what the protruding movement of the patient was, thus establishing the condylar inclinations and subsequently securing the lock nuts to record the inclination value. Based on the above, the determination of the reliability of the intraoral records is based on the programming of the condylar inclination of the SAA, determining the inter-rater concordance in sixth- and seventh-semester students of the FD of the CUE in the period 2019 to 2020.

With the use of computerized tomography, the expert determined that the sagittal condylar guiding angle on the left side was 45° and on the right side it was 35°. These values were used...
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as a point of comparison with the programming reported by the sixth- and seventh-semester students of the FD of the UCE in the period 2019–2020, according to the literature that mentions that generally the left and right eminences rarely have exactly the same inclinations, contours, and slopes, given the fact that the degrees of the condylar angle vary between 22° and 65°,¹⁰ which agrees with the results of the present study.

Regarding the use of digital computed tomography (CT), it is a more precise method, demonstrating the greatest benefit for the patient by minimizing possible occlusal interferences during mandibular movements.¹¹ However, these advanced images in some cases are not available, which is why students and dentists must master condylar angle measurement techniques based on intraoral recordings to calibrate the SAA.

In the data collection, it was reported that the average of the condylar inclination of the left side measured by the sixth-semester students was 31.03° and those of the seventh semester was 25.89°, with respect to the right side the sixth semester was of 30.70° and those of the seventh semester was 26.64°, with a range of discrepancy with the values of the CT from 4.30° to 19.11°, where the measurements of the CT were greater than those registered by the sixth- and seventh-semester students, agreeing with the research of Shreshta et al.¹² who demonstrated that the measurements obtained by CT were greater than that of the plaster cast and exhibited low levels of association between them. They also mention that the inconsistency of the intraoral methods may be due to the material used, sagittal condylar angle changes with the degree of protrusion and experience of the operator; additionally, the tomography images are not very accurate in some cases for the measurement of condylar inclination, due to the fact that there are intervening structures; in addition, the reading may vary depending on the type of device and the cross section used.¹³ For this reason, it is recommended that general dentists and specialists do not ignore the use of clinical methods for programming the condylar inclination of the SAA. They argue that they are more economical, practical, and it is possible to use them in areas with little accessibility (rural areas).

The results agree with a previous study,¹⁴ who evaluated the influence of the operator, the type of articulator, and the programming of the articulator through the accuracy and precision of a wax record, showed that different operators can obtain different values of the condyle angle, representing significantly varied measurements, with values that ranged between 34° and 57°. Therefore, the person who takes the measurement of the condylar angle to calibrate the SAA based on intraoral recordings is a factor that directly influences the precision and accuracy of the device.

However, the findings of the present investigation differ from those published by Hindle et al.¹⁵ who determined the use of articulators and the recommendations for various restorative procedures that undergraduate dental students from the United Kingdom had used a structured questionnaire with nine questions about the type of articulator recommended for each procedure, steps that must be followed for it, showing that the students fully knew the practices of each of the procedures and also that they had correctly interpreted the questions. One of the possible differences between both studies is the applied methodology, since the research by Hindle et al. obtained the theoretical knowledge of the dental students on the use of articulators; on the other hand, in the current study, it was considered the practical part on how to program the condylar inclination of the SAA based on intraoral records.¹⁵

Through the results of the present study, it was evidenced that there is no reliability of intraoral records for the programming of the condylar inclination of the SAA of the students of the sixth and seventh semesters of the FD of the CUE in the period 2019 to 2020. The values of inter-rater concordance of the Fleiss’ Kappa test is negative; therefore, there is no concordance between the measurements of condylar inclination recorded by the students to program the SAA and those established by the specialist through computerized tomography, which was confirmed by the measurement of the intraoral record by the specialist. These findings show that sixth and seventh undergraduate students of the FD of the CUE are not trained to perform condylar programming, one of the factors that may be intervening is that teaching the theory of this technique begins in the sixth semester of the degree and it is possible that these students have the most up-to-date knowledge; in addition, the sixth-semester students showed more interest when the specialist taught them the method compared to the seventh-semester students.

CONCLUSION

The level of concordance between undergraduate students when programming the condylar inclination in an SAA through protrusive records was null with respect to that reported by the expert, due to the negative values of the Fleiss’ Kappa test, verifying that the undergraduate students are not trained in the practice of calibrating the condylar inclination of a SAA.

Limitations of study: The limitations of the study revolve around the experience of the operator, in this case, as they are undergraduate students and do not have experience in the SAA program.

Future directions: The future directions of this study could be to evaluate graduate students or prosthodontics because they have expertise in programming the condylar inclination of the SAA.
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