Development of a Leaking Urachal Fistula in A BPH Patient with Recurrent Acute Urinary Retention: A Case Report
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ABSTRACT

FE is a 65yr old retired civil servant who developed lower urinary tract symptoms associated with recurrent acute urinary retentions relieved each time by urethral catheterization. In one episode he started leaking urine from the umbilicus. A fistulogram outlined an irregular fistulous cavity in the lower anterior abdominal wall. Patient had excision of the fistulous tract and communication with bladder sealed. He had uneventful post operative recovery and is being worked up for open simple prostatectomy for his large prostate.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A patent urachus represents failure of the entire course of the fetal allantois to involute into the median umbilical ligament. This results in an open channel between the bladder and the umbilicus1. If the patent urachus is very narrow, it may present later in life if pressures in the bladder develop for e.g., in BOO forcing urine through the patent urachus [1]. Patent urachus and other abnormalities of the urachus are occasionally seen in the paediatric population but quite rare in adults.

Urachal abnormalities can be classified into four groups by many authors [2]-[6] or five groups by some other authors7: patent urachus, urachal sinus, vesicourachal diverticulum, urachal cyst, and alternating sinus as a fifth group.

We present a rare case of patent urachus in an adult with recurrent urinary retention from benign prostatic hyperplasia.

II. CASE REPORT

FE is a 65yr old man who developed lower urinary tract symptoms 4years prior to presentation characterized by frequency, nocturia, poor stream, straining, intermittency and feeling of incomplete emptying. These were complicated by acute urinary retention which led to his first presentation to the hospital. He was relieved by urethral catheterization. He was then evaluated and a diagnosis of benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) was made.

Investigations revealed a PSA of 1.8 ng/ml, an abdominopelvic ultrasound showed grossly thickened bladder wall and a prostate volume of 297 mls. The ultrasound also revealed a small supravesical collection. Its significance was not clear at that point. Packed cell volume was 35%. Urinalysis, urine culture, serum electrolytes, urea and creatinine were normal.

He was placed on tamsulosin and catheter removed after a few days. He was able to void and went home. However, three months after he went into another episode of urine retention and was taken to a peripheral hospital where he was again catheterized and discharged home. Catheter fell off at home and he was able to void freely again. He did not keep his follow up appointment in hospital.

After a few weeks he went into another episode of urine retention and noticed that he was now leaking urine from the umbilicus. Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. He was brought to hospital and...
re-catheterized. However, the urine leakage from the umbilicus continued and patient was disheartened by the ammoniacal odour. The leakage later became purulent despite clear urine noted in the drainage bag (Fig. 3).

A fistulogram outlined an irregular cavity about 10.5 cm × 8.0 cm × 4.5 cm located in the anterior abdominal wall. It was unable to demonstrate the bladder wall communication (Fig. 4). He was counselled for excision of fistulous tract and subsequent open simple prostatectomy. Operative technique and findings are shown in Fig. 5 to Fig. 9.

Under spinal anaesthesia and supine position, using an elliptical longitudinal incision incorporating the umbilical opening, the bladder was exposed and opened with urethral catheter insitu. the fistulous tract was then excised by blunt and sharp dissection achieving haemostasis with diathermy. It was a fistulous tract with a cavity midway between the umbilicus and the bladder. The cavity contained purulent urine. The tract between the cavity and bladder was narrow and communication with bladder was demonstrated using a small sized metal bougie. The communication with bladder was excised and bladder closed in 2 layers with vicryl one. Wound was then closed in layers leaving a wound drain insitu.

Postoperative period was uneventful, and patient was discharged on catheter and is being worked up for an open simple prostatectomy for his large prostate.

### III. DISCUSSION

Patient urachal fistula presenting in adults is an extremely rare phenomenon. Urachal remnant abnormalities are more commonly seen in infants and children and even in this age group they are still rare [1], [7]-[9].

The commonest presentation in these patients is urine discharge from the umbilicus 10 as was also the case in our patient. This may become infected with resultant discharge of pus as we saw in this patient. These anomalies can also present sometimes with acute life-threatening infections [1] and occasionally have been associated with malignant transformation [11]-[13].

Diagnosis is usually established with the use of abdominal ultrasound in most cases [1], [14]. A CT scan may also be done but that was not necessary in this patient due to cost and the fact that ultrasound already established the diagnosis. A fistulogram may also be helpful in outlining the cyst or fistula. It was very useful in this patient in defining the alternating cyst even though it was unable to outline the fistulous tract between the bladder and the cystic part. This may be due to the tightness of that narrowed segment. We established the fistulous tract at the operating table.

The traditional treatment of an infected urachal cyst is composed of a two-stage approach, i.e., an incision and then drainage of the infected cyst followed by secondary excision [15]-[17]. Reference [18] suggested that with the advent of improved antimicrobials and earlier detection, a single-stage procedure can be safely accomplished. However, some workers have argued that a two-stage procedure which involves initial incision and drainage of the infected cyst and delayed excision of the tract may have less complications and morbidity. Studies have reported good outcomes with either approach to treatment. We carried out a single stage excision of the fistulous tract and patient had an uneventful post operative recovery and is being worked up for open simple prostatectomy for his large prostate (297grams).
Fig. 4. Fistulogram.

Fig. 5. Intraoperative.

Fig. 6. Intraoperative.

Fig. 7. Intraoperative

Fig. 8. Intraoperative.

Fig. 9. Intraoperative.
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