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ABSTRACT

Background Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) was developed by Ponsky-Gauderer in the early 1980s. These tubes are placed through the abdominal wall mainly to administer fluids, drugs and/or enteral nutrition but can also be used for drainage or decompression. The tubes consist of an internal and external retention device. It is a generally safe technique but major or minor complications may arise during and after tube placement.

Method A narrative review of the literature investigating minor complications after gastrostomy placement.

Results This review was written from a clinical viewpoint focusing on prevention and management of minor complications and documented with real cases from more than 21 years of clinical practice.

Conclusions Depending on the literature the incidence of minor complications after gastrostomy placement can be high. To decrease associated morbidity, prevention, early recognition and proper management of these complications are important.

INTRODUCTION

The first and still most widely used “pull” technique to introduce a percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) was developed by Ponsky-Gardener in the early 1880s.1 If patients require enteral access for more than 4 to 6 weeks, a PEG is recommended by international guidelines.2 A PEG-tube can serve as a vehicle for liquid feeding formulas, fluids and/or liquid medications into the stomach but can also be used for decompression, drainage or management of gastric volvulus.3 It is retained in position by an internal and external fixation device, fixator or bumper. The internal bumper holds the device securely inside the stomach. It may be in the form of a flange, dome, string, basket or balloon. The external bumper may be in the form of a triangle, circle or other shape; it can be soft or hard and secures the gastrostomy tube externally against the abdominal wall, limiting unnecessary tube movement and leakage of gastric content.4 PEG tube insertion is usually considered a safe procedure, however, complications can occur with a variable rate based on the study population. These complications can be classified as minor or major.5 Major post-procedural complications include buried bumper syndrome, bleeding, tube dislodgement, gastric erosions and ulcers, (pneumoperitoneum) peritonitis, necrotising fasciitis, colonic injury, liver injury and PEG tract tumour seeding. A comprehensive overview of major complications with prevention actions and management was recently published.6 Fortunately, most of complications are minor (13%–40%) but, nevertheless, can be linked to a high incidence of morbidity. Minor complications include peristomal site infection, overgranulation tissue, peristomal leakage and tube blockage.7 In this narrative review, existing evidence of minor postprocedural PEG complications is explored while focusing on prevention and management. Furthermore, the evidence is illustrated with real cases from more than 21 years of clinical practice.

PERISTOMAL SITE INFECTION

Peristomal site infection is characterised by increased erythema, tenderness, induration and a purulent discharge. It is the most common complication following PEG (percutaneous endoscopic jejunostomy) tube placement and its incidence ranges from 4% to 30%.8 PEG insertion sites are frequently colonised with multiple micro-organisms. A
Dutch study found in 85 of a 100 patients Candida albicans (n=37; 44%), Staphylococcus aureus (n=28; 33%), Escherichia coli, Klebsiella, Enterobacter and enterococci (5%-20%) after culturing. Although, this did not result in any major discomfort besides some itching and local pain in approximately one fourth of patients. In a small study, fungi were isolated from the stomach in 13 (65%) of 20 patients. They found that the isolated species from the oral cavity, the stomach and later the gastrostomy tube were identical in most cases. In a retrospective review of 297 medical records of patients receiving prophylactic cefazolin before PEG placement, wound infection occurred in 36 patients (12.1%). Staphylococcus aureus resistant to methicillin was the most frequently isolated microorganism (33.3%), followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (30.6%). In a more recent, retrospective study over 16 years, 67 episodes of PEG site infection were diagnosed in patients with head and neck cancer, with an overall prevalence of 21.2%. Those undergoing PEG tube placement are often vulnerable to infection because of age, compromised nutritional intake, immunosuppression or underlying disease such as malignancy and diabetes mellitus. Additionally, patients who underwent chemotherapy or radiotherapy before PEG placement had a higher incidence of peristomal infections. Apart from patient-related factors, other variables can influence infectious outcomes, for example, placement technique, procedural differences, diameter of the tube, the presence of leakage and/or hypergranulation tissue and the differences in experience of stoma aftercare. Peristomal infection is mostly mild and generally well controlled by local therapy. Rarely, cases are severe or involve an abscess within the soft tissue surrounding the tube (figure 1). Even more rare, abscesses develop in the deeper tissue layers which are not easily visualised on inspection. Patients usually report excessive pain around the tube and may exhibit signs of systemic infection such as leukocytosis or fever. CT scan can be helpful in the diagnosis of these abscesses. The overall incidence of infections at PEG sites can be decreased by the use of periprocedural antibiotics (a single intravenous dose of a beta-lactam antibiotic or a suitable alternative in case of allergy). Alternatively, 20 mL of a co-trimoxazole solution deposited immediately through a newly inserted PEG catheter could be as effective as the intravenous administration. PEG insertion should be performed using a strict sterile/aseptic technique (skin disinfection, sterile surgical drapes, sterile gloves, sterile dressing, etc). A close professional relationship and good communication between the care givers (eg, nurses team, the nutrition support (nurse) specialist, wound ostomy nurse, endoscopist or radiologist) result in good periprocedural preparation and early identification and management of potential problems. Preventive actions and management options are summarised below.

**Prevention**

Prior to the procedure (< 30 min before)
- Use an oral antiseptic mouthwash (chlorhexidine or aqueous iodine) to reduce bacterial presence.
- Decolonise the nasopharynx if diagnosed (but not yet eradicated) presence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.
- If the body hair is abundant at the insertion site, use an electric shaver.
- Stop a proton pump inhibitor 24 hours before the procedure.
- Use a single intravenous dose of periprocedural antibiotics (a first-generation cephalosporin); unless in patients already receiving antibiotics covering skin-flora.
- Apply standard measures for infection prevention including aseptic preparation of the surgical field and preoperative handwashing and/or disinfection.
- Use a checklist that serves as a reminder of all necessary steps prior to and after tube placement.

**Following the procedure**
- Alternatively, consider administering a 20 mL co-trimoxazole solution through the newly inserted PEG catheter just after placement, instead of the periprocedural intravenous dose.
- Clean the stoma and peristomal skin with a sterile solution (normal saline or local disinfection) daily for the first week and consider applying a skin protecting film or cream.
- Alternatively, use a glycerin hydrogel or glycogel dressing instead of classical aseptic wound care during the first week.
- Apply a (split) gauze dressing (not too thick) to remove any discharge, above or under the external bumper (with a free distance of 0.5–1 cm).
- Protect the skin with a nonocclusive dressing.
- Avoid excessive pressure between the skin and the external bumper.
- Assess the stoma and peristomal skin daily for signs and symptoms of infection such as loss of skin integrity, maceration, erythema, purulent and/or malodorous exudate, fever and pain.

**Figure 1** Severe peristomal gastrostomy infection resulting in removal of the tube afterwards.
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- Reduce (after stoma healing) dressings to once or twice a week. The entry site can be cleansed using an additive-free pH 5.5 soap and water of drinking quality.
- Alternatively, dressings can be omitted and the site can be left open.

Management options
- Apply, in case of mild infection, a thin layer of an antiseptic ointment (eg, iodine paste) to the entry site of the tube and the surrounding tissue (in combination with a skin protecting film or cream).
- Ask for a wound consultant in case of severe infection or failure of first-line treatment.
- Administer a short course of a broad-spectrum antibiotic, either orally or enterally if infections occur early after PEG placement (within 3–5 days).
- Intravenous antibiotics are only indicated in more severe infections.
- Treat accordingly if infection and granulation tissue occur simultaneously (also see paragraph ‘overgranulation tissue’).
- Review effectiveness of any treatment at regular intervals.
- Remove the tube if the infection cannot be resolved (not responding to antibiotics or deterioration) or if the tube is affected by a fungus (also see paragraph ‘Tube replacement’).
- Consider urgent surgical intervention if a patient has signs of peritonitis, abscess or necrotising fasciitis.

OVERGRANULATION TISSUE

Over time, a spongy, friable, deep red coloured tissue above the gastrostomy site may develop (figure 2). Overgranulation or hypergranulation is an aberrant response with overgrowth of fibroblasts and endothelial cells with a structure similar to normal granulation tissue. It is vascular, so it bleeds easily and can sometimes be painful. The presence of this excess tissue usually leads to excess moisture with increased site drainage. It hinders keratinocytes progress on the wound bed surface to achieve complete re-epithelialisation, hereby compromising an adequate seal of healthy tissue around the tube. Risk factors for granulation tissue development are friction movement at the wound interface (eg, due to poor or incorrect positioning of the external fixator); and critical colonisation or true infection. Preventive actions and management options are summarised below. 2 4 8 19 25 30–32

Prevention
- Keep the gastrostomy site as dry as possible.
- Secure the tube properly and minimise friction/movement.
- Apply preventive actions against peristomal infection after the procedure (also see previous paragraph ‘Peristomal site infection’).
- Check if a low-profile device is in situ, if the device comfortably fits in the tract and has minimal movement.

Management options
- Cauterise excess tissue with topical silver nitrate (should be performed by an experienced person) and be aware that the healthy tissue around the granulation tissue might also be harmed if not performed properly.
- Apply a topical corticosteroid cream or ointment on the overgranulation tissue once or twice a day for a maximum of 7–10 days.
- Use salt (sprinkle about one-third of a 5 mL teaspoon of table salt over the tissue once a day until the overgranulation is flattened). This is an inexpensive and safe approach and is very feasible in a home environment if required.
Apply, if overgranulation tissue is extensive, a foam dressing in addition to hydrocortisone cream (depending on the level of exudate, foams can be left in place for up to 7 days).

In case of inflammation or signs of infection, consider a foam impregnated with an antimicrobial agent such as silver, honey or cadexomer iodine under the fixation device.

Change to an alternative brand or type of gastrostomy tube (low-profile or skin-level device (button)).

Apply argon plasma coagulation on the overgranulation tissue.

**PERISTOMAL LEAKAGE**

Peristomal leakage of gastric contents due to enlarging diameter of the PEG tract is a common complication and reported in some studies as high as 10%. Several factors contributing to the risk of peristomal leakage have been identified, including excessive cleansing with hydroperoxide, infection, gastric hypersecretion and excessive side torsion along the PEG tube. The latter causes ulceration at the skin and enlargement of the gastrostomy (figure 3). Also patient-related factors (eg, malnutrition, immunodeficiency, diabetes, increased workload of breathing/chronic cough and constipation) can compromise wound healing. Preventive actions and management options are summarised below.

**Prevention**

- Avoid side torsion on the tract wall.
- Evaluate regularly if the tube is not fixed too loosely or too tightly to the skin and check for a potential buried bumper syndrome.
- Check balloon inflation volume at weekly intervals (if the tube is a balloon retained gastrostomy tube) and inspect the water for evidence of stomach contents indicating balloon rupture.
- Observe the ostomy site closely for infection or overgranulation tissue.
- Check gastric residual volume if any signs of gastrointestinal intolerance are present (eg, nausea, vomiting, abdominal distention, constipation).

**Management options**

- Assess first whether leakage is caused by a problem of the tube itself (eg, poorly fitting tube or connected administration set, inside blockage, kinking, degeneration or cracking).
- Specify the nature of the leakage (eg, feed, fluids, gastric contents). The pH can be tested using a pH indicator strip.
- Stop tube feeding and investigate if leakage is seen within the first 72 hours and if it is associated with pain following initial gastrostomy tube insertion.
- Protect the surrounding skin using a barrier film or cream.
- Place a foam dressing or a super absorbent gauze dressing under the fixation device to absorb exudate and protect the exit site from further irritation/maceration.
- Ask for a wound care consultant.
- Uncap the tube and connect it to a drainage bag; or use a stoma bag (with adequate skin protection) placed over the ostomy site to collect excess leakage.
- Review medication and consider starting antisecretory therapy (proton pump inhibitor).
- Do not replace the initial tube by a larger diameter tube as this may cause enlargement of the tract, resulting in exacerbation of the leakage.
- Remove and place a new PEG at a different site allowing the original site to close and heal.
- Convert the PEG to a PEG-J (postpyloric feeding line through the PEG tube) for jejunal feeding, potentially combined with gastric drainage.
| Complication                        | Prevention                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Peristomal site infection         | Prior to the procedure (<30 min before)  
|                                   | ▶ Use an oral antiseptic mouthwash (chlorhexidine or aqueous iodine) to reduce bacterial presence. |
|                                   | ▶ Decolonise the nasopharynx if diagnosed (but not yet eradicated) of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. |
|                                   | ▶ If body hair is abundant at the insertion site, use an electric shaver. |
|                                   | ▶ Stop a proton pump inhibitor 24 hours before the procedure. |
|                                   | ▶ Use a single intravenous dose of periprocedural antibiotics (a first-generation cephalosporin); unless in patients already receiving antibiotics covering skin-flora. |
|                                   | ▶ Apply standard measures for infection prevention including aseptic preparation of the surgical field and preoperative handwashing and/or disinfection. |
|                                   | ▶ Use a checklist that serves as a reminder of all necessary steps prior to and after tube placement. |
|                                   | Following the procedure  
|                                   | ▶ Alternatively, consider administering a 20 mL co-trimoxazole solution through the newly inserted PEG catheter just after placement, instead of the periprocedural intravenous dose. |
|                                   | ▶ Clean the stoma and peristomal skin with a sterile solution (normal saline or local disinfection) daily for the first week and consider applying a skin protecting film or cream. |
|                                   | ▶ Alternatively, use a glycerin hydrogel or glycogel dressing instead of classical aseptic wound care during the first week. |
|                                   | ▶ Apply a (split) gauze dressing (not too thick) to remove any discharge above or under the external bumper (with a free distance of 0.5–1 cm). |
|                                   | ▶ Protect the skin with a nonocclusive dressing. |
|                                   | ▶ Avoid excessive pressure between the skin and the external bumper. |
|                                   | ▶ Assess the stoma and peristomal skin daily for signs and symptoms of infection such as loss of skin integrity, maceration, erythema, purulent and/or malodorous exudate, fever and pain. |
|                                   | ▶ Reduce (after stoma healing) dressings to once or twice a week. The entry site can be cleansed using an additive-free pH 5.5 soap and water of drinking quality. |
|                                   | ▶ Alternatively, dressings can be omitted and the site can be left open. |
| Overgranulation tissue            | ▶ Keep the gastrostomy site as dry as possible. |
|                                   | ▶ Secure the tube properly and minimise friction/movement. |
|                                   | ▶ Apply preventive actions against peristomal infection after the procedure (also see paragraph ‘Peristomal site infection’). |
|                                   | ▶ Check if a low-profile device is in situ, if the device comfortably fits in the tract and has minimal movement. |
| Peristomal leakage                | ▶ Avoid side torsion on the tract wall. |
|                                   | ▶ Evaluate regularly if the tube is not fixed too loosely or too tightly to the skin and check for a potential buried bumper syndrome. |
|                                   | ▶ Check balloon inflation volume at weekly intervals (if the tube is a balloon retained gastrostomy tube) and inspect the water for evidence of stomach contents indicating balloon rupture. |
|                                   | ▶ Observe the ostomy site closely for infection or overgranulation tissue. |
|                                   | ▶ Check gastric residual volume if any signs of gastrointestinal intolerance are present (eg, nausea, vomiting, abdominal distention, constipation). |
| Tube blockage and replacement     | ▶ Replace the tube feeding set every 24 hours. |
|                                   | ▶ Flush the tube using 30 mL of pure water every 4 hours during continuous tube feedings, before and after intermittent feedings and after checking gastric residuals. |
|                                   | ▶ Flush with ±15 mL of water after and between each medication through the tube. |
|                                   | ▶ Consider adapting flushing protocols in people with restricted fluid intake, for example, 10 mL every 6 hours with continuous infusions; and 5 mL before and 10 mL after administering drugs; or interrupting or starting enteral nutrition. |
|                                   | ▶ Pay particular attention to avoid obstruction with jejunal tubes because they tend to have smaller calibres than gastric tubes. |
|                                   | ▶ Never rotate a PEG with a jejunal extension (PEG-J). |
|                                   | ▶ Critically evaluate the medication: which drugs are really necessary, which medication has an alternative form (eg, liquid, effervescent tablet, syrup). |
|                                   | ▶ Crush, dissolve and administrate drugs separate from each other to prevent incompatibility. |
|                                   | ▶ Use sterile water in immunocompromised or critically ill patients if there are concerns about the safety of pure water. |

PEG, percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy.
feeding tubes in PEG is reported to be as high as 23%–35%. There are several risk factors for tube blockage: increased tube length, smaller tube calibre, medication administration and/or dissolving (eg, crushed (mixed) tablets), inadequate flushing, viscous solution (eg, high-fibre, caloriédense or blended foods), slow flow rates of the feed, contact of enteral formula with acidic gastric secretions and regular aspiration to measure residual volumes. Prevention is the key factor but once tube blockage occurs, several management options can be tried before resorting to removal and/or replacement.37 8 19 25 35–37

**Prevention**

- Replace the tube feeding set every 24 hours.
- Flush the tube using 30mL of pure water every 4 hours during continuous tube feedings, before and after intermittent feedings and after checking gastric residuals.
- Flush with ±15mL of water after and between each medication through the tube.
- Consider adapting flushing protocols in people with restricted fluid intake, for example, 10mL every 6 hours with continuous infusions; and 5mL before and 10mL after administering drugs; or interrupting or starting enteral nutrition.
- Pay particular attention to avoid obstruction with jejunal tubes because they tend to have smaller calibres than gastric tubes.
- Never rotate a PEG with a jejunal extension (PEG-J) (figure 4).
- Critically evaluate the medication: which drugs are really necessary, which medication has an alternative form (eg, liquid, effervescent tablet, syrup).
- Crush, dissolve and administrate drugs separate from each other to prevent incompatibility.
- Use sterile water in immunocompromised or critically ill patients if there are concerns about the safety of pure water.

**Management options**

- First assess if the tube is not kinked or compressed in any way.
- Try to unclog using a (lukewarm) water-filled syringe (20mL) using a back-and-forth motion for about 5 min.
- Try to unclog using a small (lukewarm) water-filled syringe (5–10mL) to apply more pressure (but avoid excessive force).
- Acidic carbonated soft drinks (eg, Coca-Cola) can be tried (low pH) but its effect is not superior to water.
- Do not use cranberry juice or sodas.
- Irrigate with an 8.4% NaHCO₃ solution and close the tube for 5–10 min.
- Irrigate with pancreatic enzymes diluted in water plus NaHCO₃ and close the tube for 5–10 min.
- Consider the use of commercial unclogging devices, for example, preloaded enzyme cocktails, a brush, a machine-operated unclogger or corrugated plastic rod.
- Replace the tube if occlusion is caused by fungal infection or if all previous strategies have failed (see next paragraph ‘tube replacement’).

**TUBE REPLACEMENT**

Most transoral bumper-type tubes can be maintained for 1 or 2 years (or sometimes longer), but eventually replacement will be required because of breakage, occlusion, dislodgement or degradation.25 The replacement can be performed in several ways: endoscopically, radiologically, surgically and bedside replacement (depending on the type of gastrostomy tube being replaced).4 23 38 39

**Prevention**

- See preventive measures in the paragraph ‘tube blockage’.

**Management actions**

- Replace the tube in a non-urgent but timely manner if it is diagnosed with signs of fungal colonisation, with material deterioration or compromised structural integrity. Especially silicon tubes are at risk for colonisation (figure 5).
- Consider a balloon-type tube that can be inserted ‘blindly’ (without endoscopy) in a matured tract.
- For a bumper-type tube, cut the tube just above the skin and push the internal bumper into the stomach (‘cut and push’ method). Migration is usually uneventful, even with large-calibre tubes.
- Endoscopic retrieval of the bumper is advocated in case of previous bowel surgery and in patients at risk of strictures, which could hinder spontaneous migration and elimination of the sectioned bumper.

The most relevant discussed preventive measures are summarised in an overview in **table 1**.

**CONCLUSION**

After gastrostomy placement several minor complications can occur, resulting in associated morbidity, affecting quality of life, increasing healthcare costs (eg, hospital (re) admissions, length of stay) and potentially interrupting nutritional treatment. Systematic long-term nutrition team follow-up of patients after PEG is therefore recommended. A nutrition support team with a nutrition nurse specialist can play a very important role in preventing, reducing and managing these complications.40–42 This review was written from a clinical viewpoint and focuses on relevant existing literature and evidence-based recommendations.
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