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ABSTRACT
Understanding private saving behaviour of the citizenry is crucial for informed policy decisions in an economy. The reason is not far-fetched; economies gain sustained growth from investment through saving. However, it does appear much of the available literature is on aggregate private savings rather than on private saving behaviour. This study updates the literature by looking at the combined effects of financial development, interest rate and inflation on private saving behaviour among Ghanaians. Data for the study were obtained from the World Bank Development Indicators between 1980 and 2019. We employed Johansen Cointegration Test; attempts are made to ascertain the existence of a long-run relationship among variables using the Vector Autoregressive (VAR) Model. The study confirms a significant positive relationship between private saving behaviour and financial sector development. This partly explains the relevance of deepening the financial sector through reductions in costs of performing transactions and initiating contracts to encourage private saving through improved propensity to save by the old and to rake in new entrants. A reliance on macroeconomic variables to forecast the behaviour of private saving enjoins policy decision makers to consider the implications of their decisions for private saving. Among the recommendations are, lower borrowing costs across the economy, resulting in increased investment and consumption spending, and hence economic recovery only in times of stagnation.
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1 OPEN ACCESS

1. INTRODUCTION

Saving has enjoyed prominence in both theoretical and empirical analyses of macroeconomics and policy design across globe (Le Blanc, Porpiglia, Teppa, Zhu & Ziegelmeier, 2016; Bonfinger & Ries, 2017; Kapounek, Korab & Deltuvkate, 2016; Thu, 2018). This in part is due to its ability to accumulate capital for investment and economic growth, and also the elusiveness of its precise measurement. And so, this paper describes saving as an essential feature in every economy that needs a considerable policy attention. Households’ saving behaviour is tainted mostly with irrationality and needs various levels of engagements whether in the academia, policymaker circles or particularly at national governments’ deliberations. It is usual in most situations to want to believe that global economic wellbeing is a function of the interplay of several economic units, of which household saving behaviour ranks favourably but independent of the reasons for their respective behaviour. Thus, aggregate savings is regardless of an individual’s or household’s rational behaviour; instead, what matters here is the saving behaviour motivators; what informs the household’s intent to saving or dissave? Note that private or household saving is used in the sense of domestic saving to evoke explanations for its fluctuating behaviour (see Figure 1).

Interestingly, ‘Saving’ and Savings’ have had a loose application among economists. Whereas ‘Saving’ is a flow concept, ‘Savings’ is a stock item at a given time. Thus, this paper will frequently refer saving as a flow concept whose behaviour is shrouded in ambiguities.

Statement of the Problem
Although there have been extensive studies on saving, the linkage has mostly been to micro-indicators at the blindside of a macro-linkage and has therefore left a literature gap to fill. The need for this current study is threefold; to show that household saving gravitate towards aggregate saving at the macroeconomic level. This is because the financial sector flourish on positive saving. Saving is a function of financial development, described as improving the quality and quantity...
of financial services with a comparatively low cost of doing business. This low cost of doing business has influenced the saving behaviour of the average depositor. Güngör, Çiftçioglu, and Balciyar (2014) posit that financial development has a positive composite index influence on private saving behaviour of the household. Financial development is also regarded as a deliberate macroeconomic policy that focuses on accumulating liquid assets, acquiring investment information, and strategically allocating resources, leading to a positive saving behaviour (Levine, 1997; Paun, Meselescu, Topan, & Danuletii, 2019). Al-tae and Ali-Jafari (2019) reveal that financial development does not just propel domestic savings in the short-run but has a long-lasting significant positive effect on the savings culture of the household. There is therefore the need to bring to the fore the relevance of financial sector development in mobilising savings through low contractual costs and affordable business fees. Second, the household saving behaviour is divergently positioned. de Melo and Tyboun (1986) and Honordy annis Lolos and Papapetrou (2005) hold contrary views on the positive saving-financial development relationship. They appear to agree with the earlier position of Griffin (1972) who laid bare the elusiveness and unpredictability of private saving. Regardless of the deepening level of the financial sector, the average household saving behaviour is not always linear. These unsettled academic brawls need an examination. Although negative (low) interest rates regime is preferred in times of recession, saving is traditionally known to inversely relate with interest rates. High deposit interest rates motivate households to want to increase deposits which could be used for investment purposes for economic growth. Paleyo-Romero (2020) found that low (negative) interest rates regime has both a contractionary effect on saving (substitution effect) and an expansionary effect (income effect). While the substitution effect lowers the reward on private saving, the zeal to maintain financial income causes an expansion in private deposits. And finally, this study also became inevitable due to the need to show that national policy on inflation could improve the saving magnitude. Thus, consumers are biased in their expectations because the individual does not expect equal changes in incomes as in price levels. Cited empirical studies associate a positive link between private saving and unanticipated inflation (Juster & Wachtel, 1972). However, Dash and Kumar (2018), Gashe (2017) showed contrary results from their studies. These findings are inconclusive and therefore need a further verification.

This current study rides on the backdrop of inadequate empirical studies pointing to outcomes of different macroeconomic policy decisions on private saving in Ghana, except those on aggregate savings tainted with irrationality of the household. If ever there are studies, policy decisions on the joint role of financial development, interest rate, and inflation in determining private saving behaviour have not had a deserving recognition in the empirical literature. Some authorities in economics outside Ghana have effortfully linked household saving behaviour to psychological, economic, and demographic factors (see Crespo-Cuaresma, Fidrmuc Hake, 2014; Fidrmuc, Hake & Slix, 2013; in Kapounet et 2016, Basabose, 2020).

Hypothesis Development

There is a form of symbiosis between household saving and financial development. This paper therefore hypothesises that financial sector development affects private saving positively. This is because, a well-functioning financial market is adequate grounds for encouraged saving. Likewise, improved household saving strengthens the activities of financial markets and therefore there is need to want to know the weight and direction of the relationship between saving and financial development through hypothesis building. Even with real interest rates, we do know that a higher propensity to save eventually lowers interest rates which again is linked to demographic factors (see Bonfinger & Ries, 2017). This study fills a literature gap by effortfully identifying the saving-interest rates nexus. Are positive or negative interest rates panacea for improved saving? We hypothesise that there is a direct relationship between saving and positive interest rates. The economy flourishes in a stable financial system and interest rates regime. These two variables working for improved saving will grow the economy as expected. There is a hypothesised positive link between saving and inflation. Mathematically, we have the following hypotheses:

- **H**₀ : Financial sector development has a positive effect on private saving
- **H**₁ : Financial sector development has no effect on private saving
- **H**₂ : Interest rates have a positive effect on private saving
- **H**₃ : Interest rates have no effect on private saving
- **H**₄ : Inflation has no effect on private saving
- **H**₅ : Inflation has a positive effect on private saving

The Trend of Saving in Ghana

In the period 2006 to 2011, Ghana registered severe instability of the private saving trend. Thus, from a five-year average of 6.54 (2001-2005) to an average decline rate of 1.78 between 2006 and 2010 (see Figure 1). This severe instability could have partly been attributed to the global economic crunch of 2007/2008 with its spillover effects on the global economy. Despite these developments, private savings rose appreciably in 2012 and witnessed a seven-year astronomical rise until 2019, with minimal deviations (see Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Trend of Savings in Ghana (1981-2019)](source: World Bank Development Data (2020))
2. THEORETICAL REVIEW

The Lifecycle Model of Modigliani

Most of the theories on household saving have been replaced for irrelevance and others improved with the passage of time. One of such theories that have undergone metamorphosis is the Life-Cycle Model of Modigliani (1949; Ando & Modigliani, 1963; Modigliani & Brumberg, 1990). This theory sees saving as an inevitable and unpredictable variable that enhances productivity and economic growth. The early classical economic theories on individual saving behaviour before the 1930s linked savings and investment linearly to deposit interest rate (see Caminati, 1981 and Smyth, 1993). However, a print controversy rose between Keynes’ (1936) general theory of savings and investment (cited in Richert-Kazmierska, 2019) and the classical economic thought over saving-investment equality. Keynes’s position on saving is dichotomous; at one level, Keynes sees saving as being equal to investment on their levels. In a different instance, Keynes thinks that only when the economy exhibits equilibrium characteristics will the equality of saving-investment be accepted, replacing the early classical economic thought. This double approach to saving-investment behaviour triggered debates among economists and has led to the reliance on the life-cycle theory of consumption and savings by Modigliani (1949; cited in Ando & Modigliani, 1963). The life-cycle theory of savings argues that the willingness of a person to save surges only with an improvement in income. The life-cycle theory affirms the linear saving-individual age relationship. Thus, the demographic structure of the individual is a dominant determinant of a potential depositor’s behaviour. These powerful raging arguments on what determines saving propensities in an economy have partly mooted the idea to undertake a study verifying the grounds on which these entrenched positions are taken. These academic interactions have not stopped at only the theoretical concerns but have extended to include several cited empirical studies on the various roles played by certain macroeconomic variables to either boost or discourage a person’s willingness to save (e.g., Griffin, 1972 and Juster & Wachtel, 1972; Larbi, 2013).

The compelling fact is even that, a reliance on macroeconomic variables to forecast household saving behaviour will mean policy decision-makers will have to consider the implications of their actions for household saving-consumption reactions. Since the saving-consumption hypothesis is transitional, policies should reflect every stage of the transition. The life-cycle theory underpins this study in several facets; it response to questions on how households maintain the same level of consumption as far as human lives are concern, reminiscent of certain factors, and in this study; financial development, interest rates and inflation, which are the exogenous variables presumed to work for or against household saving. In a sense, Keynes (1936) first saw saving as a good that households seek to expend their scarce financial resources on; deferring consumption at the initial stages of life. And in doing so, saving is regarded as a function of national policies on the variables that are studied in this research paper. The facts about the life-cycle theory in this paper are stylized. First, we look at how saving becomes a function of financial market (financial system) development. Financial sector development describes conditions under which clients do business fairly and at comparatively low cost. Entering into a contractual relationship to transact financial business should not threaten potential profits, part of which is slated for saving. This encourages potential customers of financial institutions to improve saving by increasing old accounts till and opening new ones. Consumption must be prudently discouraged to positively affect saving since the life-cycle model professes the maintenance of a uniform level of consumption throughout lifetime. How is this done in order not to venture or invite recessionary tendencies? Deposit interest rates are increased optimally. Inflation, particularly the hyper type, works against the lifecycle model since it deteriorates the real purchasing power. This enjoins national governments to implement policies that contain inflation to boost both saving and consumption.

Empirical Literature

Savings-financial sector development nexus

Domestic saving is not done for its sake; it is intended for the individual and national wellbeing (Bayar, 2014). At the individual level, it minimizes financial stress, helps leave financial legacy, and provides a greater sense of financial freedom. Undisputedly, national saving is partly a function of economic growth (Group Deputies, 1995). Pant and Kindness (2021) note that saving does not imply the absence of spending but a deliberate setting aside of part of one’s income for an intended purpose in the foreseeable future. Indeed, the financial sector of every economy is responsible for this fundamental role of saving. Financial development focuses on the process that allows for low cost of transacting business in the financial sector to enable potential customers to deposit their surplus funds to earn interest. As one of the cardinals of this study, the researchers attempt to establish the link between saving and financial development in Ghana. Numerous studies have been undertaken to confirm the presence of a link between private Saving (PS) and Financial Sector Development (FSD). Bayar (2014) studied emerging Asian Countries and reports that PS and FD are positively linked. In this study, Bayar appears to have supported results produced by the studies of Sahoo and Dash (2013), Horioka and Yin (2010).

The relevance of financial sector restructuring to domestic saving was established by Newlove (2008) in one of the pioneer studies in Ghana. Quartey (2008) equally emphasized the need to deepen financial sector development to boost domestic savings. Recent, Altaae and Al-Jafari (2019) agree with the results of these strands of studies in their revelation that financial development does not just propel domestic savings in Turkey in the short-run but has a long-lasting significant positive effect on the savings culture of the Turkish people. In Nigeria, Ewetan, Ike, and Urhie (2015) provided yet another evidence of a long-run relationship between financial sector development and domestic saving. On the contrary, Horioka and Yin (2010; Ewetan et al., 2015) studied household saving behaviour in OECD countries and its relationship with FD (computed by private credit to GDP) using Modigliani’s (1949) life-cycle theory. He finds that FD is key to increasing savings in the environment of moderate interest rate, stable prices, and regularized income.
Saving-interest rates relationship

As benchmark, the Central bank uses the Policy Rate (PR) to signal the cost of credit (Kwakye, 2010). This is reflected in the financial system through inter-bank transactions on one side and bank-customer transaction relationship on the other. The old saving-interest rate model is a linear relationship (see Caminati, 1981; Smyth, 1993). One pioneer article in Uruguay on real interest rate-saving relationship is that of de Melo and Tybout (1986; cited in Balassa, 1989). Their results exhibited a positive relationship, albeit weak. The regression estimates showed a t-value of about 1.5. Another study within the same period by McDonald (1983) on twelve selected Latin American countries, including Paraguay, Peru, Mexico, and Argentina, established evidence of a positive real interest rate-private saving relationship. Similarly, a study conducted on the nexus between saving and interest rate using sampled Asian countries of India, Korea, Pakistan, Philippines, Singapore, and Taiwan, among others, by Gupta in 1984, hypothesized an inverse relationship between interest rate and household saving. Results in most of these countries failed to accept the hypothesis at 5 percent significance level.

The elites in the insurance sector have also waded into the empirics of interest rate-saving behaviour among households. One such authority is Patricia Paleyo-Romero. In a study of household saving behaviour in sixteen European Union countries, Paleyo-Romero (2020) used balanced panel data spanning 2008 to 2018, to analyse a cross-sectional saving behaviour of households. The study brought forth two interesting revelations; low (negative) interest regime has both a contractionary effect on saving (substitution effect) and an expansionary effect (income effect). While the substitution effect lowers the reward on private saving, the zeal to maintain financial income causes an expansion in private deposits (Paleyo-Romero, 2020). Stakeholders in the financial sector appreciate the fact that interest rates are among levers used to maintain balance in every economy, as such, central banks adjust the prime rates to influence individual bank lending decisions. Following these developments, Smith (2021) thinks that negative interest rates boost the economy by encouraging consumption and enabling banks to borrow and lend to the public. Smith (2021) further states that negative interest rates fight deflation and save the economy from recession. Low interest rates, Smith emphasizes, make it expensive to hold more money, thereby incentivizing spending. Reasoning in the same direction, Hughes (2016) theoretically advanced an argument that negative interest rates stimulate the economy. This is done by the central bank through increased lending to commercial banks at lower rates during recession.

The link between saving and inflation

Depending on whether it is an anticipated or unanticipated inflation, its effect on private saving could either be symmetrical or asymmetrical (Juster & Wachtel,1972). Thus, consumers are biased in their expectations because the individual does not expect equal changes in incomes as in price levels. Thus, empirical studies associate a positive link between private saving and unanticipated inflation (Juster & Wachtel, 1972). Campbell and Lovati (1979) associate price level increase with improvement in savings. This is so because households usually respond to increased prices through cut-back in borrowing and spending, thereby saving. In a study by Santosh and Lakshmi (2018), both domestic and private saving were negatively affected by inflation.

### Table 1. Variable definition

| Variable | Acronym | Acronym Interpretation | Description |
|----------|---------|------------------------|-------------|
| PS / DS  | Private savings / Domestic Saving Financial | Domestic credit to private sector (% of GDP) | Section 3. Methodology and Specification of the Model |
| FD       | Development Interest Rate | Deposit interest rate (%) | Source: World Bank development indicators (2020) |
| IR       | Inflation | Consumer price index (annual %) | Specification of the model |

This paper assessed the possible effect of financial development (FD), interest rates (IR), and inflation rates (INFLR) on domestic and private savings in Ghana. To make this possible we obtained data from the World Bank Development Indicators (WDIs), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and annual reports from the Ghana Statistical Service (GSS) from 1980 to 2019. While Domestic Savings (DS) served as the outcome variable, FD, IR, and INFL-R were used as regressors to boost or discourage citizens' desire to save. Series of tests were carried to standardize the model used. The Dickey-Fuller (1979) [Test for stationarity {Unit Root Test}] of variables was first used to establish the suitability of selected variables. Having performed the Unit Root Test, all variables were found to be stationary at order one (see Table 4). Next in line was to establish the long-run relationship among variables using the Johansen (1991) Cointegration Estimation Model (see Table 4). There was need to meet a necessary underlying condition before all these tests were carried out, making sure issues of spurious or useless regression did not arise. E-views 9.0 software was used to perform the tests for time series properties.
DS_t = \delta + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \beta_1 DS_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_j FD_{t-j} + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \psi_m IR_{t-m} + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \varphi_s INFLR_{t-s} + \theta_{it1} \\
FD_t = \alpha + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \beta_1 FD_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_j DS_{t-j} + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \psi_m IR_{t-m} + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \varphi_s INFLR_{t-s} + \theta_{it2} \\
IR_t = \psi + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \beta_1 IR_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_j DS_{t-j} + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \psi_m DS_{t-m} + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \varphi_s INFLR_{t-s} + \theta_{it3} \\
INFLR_t = \sigma + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \beta_1 FD_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_j DS_{t-j} + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \psi_m IR_{t-m} + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \varphi_s INFLR_{t-s} + \theta_{it4} 

Where:
DS = Domestic Saving 
FD = Financial Sector Development 
IR = Nominal Interest rate on Savings 
INFLR = Annual Inflation Rate 
\beta_i = coefficient of each variable in the equation 
\sum_{t=1}^{k} = Maximum Lag Length in each equation (k), while t = lag time for each variable 
\delta, \alpha, \psi, and \sigma = Constant 
\theta_{it1}, \theta_{it2}, \theta_{it3} \text{ and } \theta_{it4} = Are stochastic error terms known as; Impulses / Innovations / Shocks in the system in each equation 
Note: Under the VAR Model, the dependent variable is a function of itself and all other variables in the Model (Adelaye, 2018).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
This study assessed the combined effect of financial development, interest rate, and inflation on private saving behaviour in Ghana. It was done by considering Private Saving behaviour (PS) as the variable of interest.

Table 2: Descriptive statistics

| Var | Obsvs. | Mean | Max  | Mini  | Std.Dev | Jacque-Bera |
|-----|--------|------|------|-------|---------|-------------|
| DS  | 42     | 7.3976| 22.09| -2.96 | 5.9364  | 5.306 (0.07) |
| FSD | 42     | 9.009 | 15.88| 1.54  | 5.2444  | 4.864 (0.08) |
| INF | 42     | 28.257| 122.87| 7.13  | 25.7735 | 88.116 (0.00) |
| IR  | 42     | 16.759| 35.76| 8.89  | 7.2473  | 11.882 (0.00) |

Source: Researchers’ computation based on the world Bank development indicators (2020)

Domestic saving (DS) proxies gross domestic saving, measured as a percentage of gross domestic product. Averagely, DS has a figure of about 7%, which is way below the Sub-Saharan African average of 21.102 in its 2019 estimates (World Bank Development Indicator, 2020). There is a corresponding standard deviation of about 6% depicting the variability from the expected normal average saving of a country. While the Maximum and Minimum values of DS stood at 22.09% and -2.96%, respectively, the Lagrange test (Jacque-Bera) probability values for DS and FSD are normally distributed since they are more than 0.05. Those of INF and IR are not normally distributed since their respective Jacque-Bera probability values are less than the alpha value of 0.05. It is observed from Table 2 that data is normally distributed for domestic saving and financial sector development. With respect to the inflation rate, a higher standard deviation of 25.7734 against its mean of 28.257 is reported, which is not good enough. A higher standard deviation mostly turns to throw sway off results as not reflecting realities on the ground. When it occurs this way, it destabilizes the policy intentions of governments. Interest rate performed comparatively better, considering a mean of 16.759 as against 8.89 of its standard deviation. This describes the general relative interest stability in the economy, although not satisfactory.

Table 3: Correlation matrix

|       | DS     | FSD    | INF    | IR     |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| DS    | 1      | 0.7789**| -0.5809**| 0.6015***|
| FSD   | 0.7789**| 1      | -0.5997**| -0.5468**|
| INF   | -0.5809**| -0.5997**| 1      | 0.6198**|
| IR    | 0.6015***| -0.5468**| 0.6198**| 1      |

Source: Researchers’ computation based on the world Bank development indicators (2020)
between paired variables raises the issue of multicollinearity and should be fixed. The results in Table 3, have no collinearity challenges between paired explanatory variables. Again, the correl values are comparatively moderate, still confirming a case of the absence of multicollinearity issues (see Bryman & Cramer 1997). Excepting the case of INFL-R, where DS inversely relates with at 5 percent significant level, DS has positive relationships with FSD and IR at 5% and 1% respectively.

The correlation coefficient is used to determine the level and direction of the relationship between paired independent variables and or between paired dependent and independent variables in some instances. Whereas variables are said to correlate inversely when the sign of the coefficient is negative, there is always a direct relationship when the sign of the coefficient is positive. The absolute coefficient figure explains the magnitude of the relationship. A correl value of 0.8 or more correlate inversely whereas variables are said to

Table 4: Augmented Dickey-Fuller Stationarity Unit Root Test Results

| Variable | Constant | Level | First Difference | Critical Value | Critical Value Integration | OI | ADF t-stats | Critical Value | Concl. | Critical Value | Critical Concl. Value | OI |
|----------|----------|-------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----|-------------|----------------|--------|----------------|-----------------------|----|
| DS       | -1.591626| -2.935001| -8.047533       | -3.605593***   | 1                     | -1.591626 | -2.935001       | -8.154399 | **     | -2.05004***   | 1 (I(1))                  | (I) |
| FD       | -1.113494| -2.935001| -7.345794       | -3.605593***   | 1                     | -1.113494 | -2.935001       | -7.314765 | **     | -2.05004***   | 1 (I(1))                  | (I) |
| IR       | -1.795573| -2.935001| -6.403373       | -3.605593***   | 1                     | -1.795573 | -2.935001       | -6.388536 | **     | -2.05004***   | 1 (I(1))                  | (I) |
| INFLR    | -4.733001| -2.600087| -3.904208       | -3.632900***   | 1                     | -4.733001 | -2.600087       | -4.456374 | **     | -2.43644***  | 1 (I(1))                  | (I) |

Notes: *** under first difference at 1% significant level. OI=Order of Integration, and Concl. =Conclusion. Source: Researchers’ computation based on the world Bank development indicators (2022)

The results in Table 4 indicate a non-stationarity at levels of all variables I (0). At first difference or Integration of order one [I (1)], all variables became stationary, satisfying a necessary condition for the estimation of cointegration and error correction models.

Determination of the optimal lag length
Another necessary condition before estimating the Johansen Cointegration Test is the determination of the optimal Lag Length. Table 5 provides results after running the test.

Table 5: Determination of the optimal lag length

| Lag | LogL | LR | FPE | AIC | SC | HQ |
|-----|------|----|-----|-----|----|----|
| 0   | 548.1499 | NA | 23298912 | 28.31538 | 28.46600 | 28.37660 |
| 1   | 449.3555 | 172.2569* | 335602.3 | 24.06951* | 24.92262* | 24.37560* |
| 2   | 441.2189 | 12.51797 | 516646.7 | 24.47276 | 26.00836 | 25.02372 |
| 3   | 436.2013 | 6.690064 | 975181.3 | 25.03966 | 27.25045 | 25.83179 |

* Indicates lag order selected by the criterion.
LR: sequential modified Likelihood Ratio (LR) test statistic (each test at 5% level)

Lag 1 under AIC is appropriate for the model analysis since AIC has the lowest value among the asterisked. What that means is that, if there are shocks or displacements in the system with respect to the regressors, the response variable will take a year to react to the shocks brought about by these explanatory variables (FD, IR & INFL-r). Unfortunately, this paper deals with only the short-run analysis since available data failed to establish any long-run relationship among variables, hence the use of the VAR Model. Table 6 provides details of the failure of the Trace Test to establish long-run characteristics.

Table 6: Johansen unrestricted cointegration test (Trace & Max-Eigen Value)

| Trace Test | Max-Eigen Value Test |
|------------|---------------------|
| No. of CEs Hypothesized | Eigen Value | Trace Stats | 5% Critical Value | Prob** | Max-Eigen Stats | 5% Critical Value | Prob** |
| None        | 0.33891 | 29.12653 | 47.85613 | 0.7623 | 16.14012 | 27.58434 | 0.6539 |
| At most 1   | 0.18621 | 12.98441 | 50.85527 | 0.8992 | 0.0355335 | 21.13162 | 0.9015 |
| At most 2   | 0.10950 | 4.905076 | 15.49741 | 0.8140 | 4.52309 | 14.26460 | 0.8004 |
| At most 3   | 0.01091 | 0.427866 | 3.841466 | 0.5130 | 0.427866 | 3.841466 | 0.5130 |

Trace Test indicates no cointegration Eqn. at 0.05 level
* Denotes rejection of the hypothesis at 0.05 level
Johansen Cointegration Test
As suggested by Granger (1969), if variables of interest are found to be cointegrated amongst themselves at first difference, then there is need to ascertain proper statistical inferences through the Vector Autoregression VAR Model and the Vector Error Correction Model (VECM). Unfortunately, after estimating the Johansen Cointegration Test, the system failed to establish the presence of cointegration equation among the variables. As such, the study opted for the VAR model (see Adeleye, 2018).

Table 7 : Simple vector auto-regression (VAR) analysis

| Variable | Coeff | Stand. Err | t-statistic | Prob |
|----------|-------|------------|-------------|------|
| PS (-1)  | 0.811241 | 0.11762 | 6.98961 | 0.0000 |
| FD (-1)  | 0.466941 | 0.15211 | 3.06976 | 0.0155 |
| IR (-1)  | -0.464438 | 0.08897 | -5.22016 | 0.0211 |
| INFL (-1) | 0.013338 | 0.03060 | 1.98017 | 0.7167 |
| Constant | 14.936269 | 2.77927 | 5.37417 | 0.0000 |

Source: Own Elaboration Based on Local Macroeconomic Data/WDI (1981-2019)

Private Saving (PS) serves as the dependent variable with Financial Development (FD), Deposit Interest Rate (DIR) and Annual Inflation Rate (INFL-R) subordinating as regressor variables. From past realisation, PS significantly increased by 81 percent over the previous performance. Relative to the regressor variables, FD has a significant positive effect on PS, implying private saving in Ghana is strongly a function of financial development. This finding resonates with those of Bayar (2014), Horioka and Yin (2010), Ewetan et al (2015) including that of Park and Shin (2009). Placing emphasis on the relevance of financial sector development, Quartey (2008) and Al-Jafari (2019) explain that serious financial sector restructuring improves domestic saving. In terms of interest rate-private savings relationship, this paper reliably estimates an inverse one. In making calculations for the same purpose, the old saving model fails to support the results of this paper (Caminati, 1981 and Smyth, 1993). The results produced in this paper are further discounted by the estimates De Melo and Tybout’s study of 1986, pp. 570, cited in Balassa (1989, pp. 8), as their findings exhibited a positive relationship although with weak t-static and prob values. The empirical sense of the results in this paper is that, as deposit interest rates shoot up, ceteris paribus, private saving worsens. Corroborating these results by inference, a study in Ghana by Oseli-Fosu et al (2014) estimated long-run interest rate elasticity of household savings which showed a statistically negative value.

An interesting strong argument mounted in support of results in this paper, Paleyo-Romero (2020) proximates that low (negative) interest regime has both a contractionary effect on saving (substitution effect) and expansionary effect (income effect). She used a balanced panel data of sixteen European Union countries from 2008 to 2018 to analyse cross-sectional saving behaviours of households. She starts by explaining that stakeholders in the financial sector appreciate the fact that interest rates are among levers used to maintain balance in every economy, as such, central banks adjust the prime rates to influence individual bank lending decisions. There are other supporting results to the negative interest rates-saving behaviour. A very recent one is that of Smith (2021) who thinks that negative interest rate hypothesis boosts economic activities by lending at low rates to commercial banks to give out loans to the public to encourage consumption and investment during recession. Thus, negative interest rates are antitheses to deflation.

Although this paper finds inflation to influence private saving albeit weak t-statistic positively, it is worth discussing. The position of Juster and Wachtel (1972) on inflation is one of a support to the positive association with private saving. However, they succinctly state that, depending on whether the inflation regime is anticipated or unanticipated, the effect on private saving could either be symmetrical or asymmetrical. Another study whose results are in tandem with those of this paper is Campbell and Lovald’s (1979) paper which associates price level increases with improvement in savings. This is done through cut-back in borrowing and spending, thereby having enough to save. Finally, Santosh and Lakshmi (2018) established results opposite to those revealed in this paper. They found both domestic and private saving to be negatively influenced by inflation in India.

Table 8 : The VAR model

| PS  | FD  | IR  | INFL |
|-----|-----|-----|------|
| PS(-1) | 0.811241 | 0.013804 | -0.007241 | -0.110858 |
| (0.11762) | (0.04518) | (0.11528) | (0.64121) |
| FD(-1) | 0.466941 | 0.931201 | -0.140207 | -2.785481 |
| (0.15211) | (0.05843) | (0.14909) | (0.82922) |
| IR(-1) | -0.464438 | 0.048615 | 0.837554 | -0.446391 |
| (0.08897) | (0.03417) | (0.08720) | (0.48500) |
| INFL(-1) | 0.013338 | -0.008483 | -0.000788 | -0.038624 |
| (0.03060) | (0.01175) | (0.02999) | (0.16979) |
| C  | 14.936269 | 0.158391 | 4.102557 | 61.43966 |
| (2.77927) | (1.06756) | (2.72398) | (15.1508) |
| (5.37417) | (0.14837) | (1.50690) | (4.05522) |

Source: Researchers’ computation based on the World Bank development indicators (2020)
6. LIMITATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Since the study is confined to Ghana’s situation, the generalization of results is quite problematic, except where aspiring researchers wish to do a comparative analysis of peculiar occurrences in individual economies. Besides, the use of more variables would have appeared better; however, the original intention of researchers was to establish a link between domestic saving prospects of citizens and three macroeconomic variables (SD, INF & IR). Having identified these gaps, the researchers aim to suggest that an entailing study involving several African economies, or a cross-country analysis will fit as a solution to the established gap. The inclusion of additional variables into the model will, together, place the research in a preferred position to generalize results.

REFERENCES

Abou El-Seoud, M. (2014). The effect of interest rate, inflation rate, and GDP on national savings rate. Global Journal of Economics and Management Perspective, 3(3), 1-7.
Adeleye, N. (2018). Vector autoregressive (VAR) models (Reviews), Part 2. https://crncheconometrics.com.ng
Adusei, M. (2015). Bank profitability: Insights from the rural banking industry in Ghana.Cogent Economics & Finance, 3(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2015.1076270
Azuenman, J., Cheung, Y.W., & Ito, H. (2017). The interest rate effect on private saving: Alternative perspectives. ADBI Working Paper 715. Tokyo. Asian Development Bank Institute. Available https://www.adbi.org/publications/interest-rate-effect-private-savingalternative
Altaraee, H.H.A., & Al-Jafari, M.K. (2019). The impact of saving and financial development on economic growth in Turkey. Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting, 11(2). https://doi.org/10.5296/ajfa.v11i2.15481
Ando, A., & Modigliani, F. (1963). The life-cycle hypothesis of saving: Aggregate implications and tests. The American Economic Review, 53(1), 55-84.
Balassa, B. (1989). The effects of interest rates on savings in developing countries. Policy planning and research working papers, no. 55.
Basaborse, J. (2020). Empirical analysis of the domestic saving behaviour in Rwanda. Dissertation submitted to the Umea University, Sweden.
Bayar, Y. (2014). Financial development and domestic savings in emerging Asian countries. Theoretical and Applied Economics, 21(7), 55-66.
Bondfors, P., & Ries, M. (2017). Excess saving and low interest rates: Theory and empirical evidence. London, Centre for Economic Policy Research. https://cepr.org/active/publications/discussion_papers/dp.dphp?dpno=12111
Bryman, A., & Cramer, D. (1997). Quantitative data analysis with SPSS for Windows: a guide for social scientists. Rurledge, London.
Campbell, C.R., & Lovati, J.M. (1979). Inflation and personal saving: An update. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review, 61, 3-9.
Caminati, M. (1981). The theory of interest rates in the classical economists. Metróeconomica, 33(1-23), 79-104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-999X.1981.tb00669.x
Crespo Cuaresma, J., & Fidrmuc, J., & Hake, M., (2014). Demand and supply drivers of foreign currency loans in CEECs: A meta-analysis. Economic Systems, 38(1), 26-42.
Dash, S., & Kumar, L. (2018). Does inflation affect savings non-linearly? Evidence from India. Journal of Applied Economics, 12(4),431-457. https://doi.org/10.1177/0973801018761655
De Melo, J., & Tybout, J. (1986). The effects of financial liberalization on savings and investment in Uruguay. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 34, 561-88.
Dickey, D. A., & Fuller, W. A. (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. Journal of the American Statistical Association 74 (366), 427 431.
Ewetan, O.O., Ike, D.N., & Urhe, E. (2015). Financial sector development and domestic savings in Nigeria: A bounds testing cointegration approach.
Le Blanc, A., Porpiglia, A., Teppa, F., Zhu, J., & Ziegelmeier, M. (2016). Household saving behaviour in the Euro area. International Journal of Central Banking, 12(2), 15-69.

Levine, R. (1997). Financial development and economic growth: Views and agenda. Journal of Economic Literature, 35, 668-726.

Modigliani, F. (1947). Fluctuations in the saving-income ratio: A problem in economic forecasting. Studies in Income and Wealth, 11, 371–441.

Modigliani, F., & Brumberg, R.H. (1990). Utility analysis and aggregate consumption functions: an attempt at integration, in Andrew Abel, ed., The Collected Papers of Franco Modigliani, 2. 128–197. The life cycle hypothesis of saving. Cambridge, MA. The MIT Press.

McDonald, D. (1983). The determinants of saving behaviour in Latin America. International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC. Mimeo.

Newlove, G. (2008). The impact of the financial sector reforms on savings, investments, and growth of gross domestic product (GDP) in Ghana. International Business & Economics Research Journal 7(10).

Paleyo-Romero, P. (2020). Getting high on low interest rates: how falling interest rates have driven savings high. The View by Economic Research. https://www.allianz.com/content/dam/imonemarketing/azcom/Allianz_com/economicsresearch/ publications/specials/en/2020/20200129-HouseholdSavings.pdf.

Pant, P., & Kindness, D. (2021). What it means to have savings: Savings means different things to different people. https://thebalance.com

Paun, C.V.Musetescu, R.C., Topan, V.M., & Danuletu, D.C. (2019). The impact of financial sector development and sophistication on sustainable economic growth. Sustainability, 11, (1713), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11061713

Quarrey, P. (2008). Financial sector development, savings mobilization, and poverty reduction in Ghana. In: Guha-Khasnobis, B., Mavrotas, G. (Eds). Financial Development, Institutions, Growth and Poverty Reduction. Studies in Development Economics and Policy, 87-119. Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1057/97802305954029.5

Richert-Kazmierska, A. (2019). Life cycle theories of savings and consumption, in: Gu, D., Dupre, M. (Eds) Encyclopedia of Gerontology and population aging, Spring, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/9783319-68992-2.199-1

Sahoo, P., & Dash, R. K (2013). Financial sector development and domestic savings in South Asia. Economic Modelling, 33, 388-397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2013.04.016

Santisong, K. D., & Lakahm, K. (2018). Does inflation affect savings non-linearly? Evidence from India. The Journal of Applied Economic Research. https://doi.org/10.1177/093801018786155

Smith, D. (2021, February 7th). Negative rates? No; the bank must think of when to raise. The Times. https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/negative-rates-no-the-bank-must-think-of-when-to-raise.

Thu, T.H. (2016). How do psychological factors affect household savings behaviour? Radboud University, Netherlands.