Dietary intake is not associated to the metabolic syndrome in elderly women
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Abstract

Background: The metabolic syndrome is a complex metabolic disturbance due to an interaction between genetic factors, poor dietary habits and physical inactivity. Aims: To investigate the role of dietary intake on the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in a population of elderly, socially active women in Brazil. Patients and Methods: A total of 284 women with mean age 69.3 ± 6.3 years were evaluated in a cross-sectional retrospective study. The metabolic syndrome was diagnosed according to criteria of the International Diabetes Federation. The dietary intake was evaluated through a questionnaire for 24-hour dietary recall. The groups with or without the metabolic syndrome were compared for dietary intake and risk factors for metabolic syndrome by the multiple regression model adjusted for age, smoking, physical activity, educational level, total energy intake and fiber contents of the diet. The odds ratio for the presence of the metabolic syndrome was calculated for each nutrient by quartile for total energy intake adjusted by the residue method.

Results: The prevalence of metabolic syndrome was 32% in the sample. There was not found any association between dietary intake, including all macronutrients and several micronutrients, and the presence of metabolic syndrome.

Conclusion: No associations were observed between nutritional factors and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in elderly women, a result possibly due to the fact that these factors have an influence in earlier phases of life, or to a recent modification of dietary habits, which however was not able to prevent the establishment of the syndrome.

Keywords: Metabolic syndrome, dietary intake, macronutrients, micronutrients, elderly

Introduction

The metabolic syndrome (MS) is a complex metabolic disturbance that includes several risk factors for cardiovascular diseases, such as systemic artery hypertension, atherogenic dyslipidemia, insulin resistance (with or without glucose intolerance) and prothrombotic and proinflammatory tendencies. The syndrome is due to a complex interaction between genetic factors, poor dietary habits and physical inactivity [1, 2]. Population studies reported by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) have shown that the MS, as defined by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), has a prevalence of 39% among the US adult population [3]. The estimated prevalence of MS in the Brazilian
Among the elderly, the role of dietary habits in the risk for the metabolic syndrome is still poorly understood. Nevertheless, the precise role of dietary habits in the etiology of the MS is still poorly understood. Investigations have been restricted to a few observational studies in adults, not particularly in the elderly population [7, 8]. The present study investigated the influence of nutritional components on the prevalence of MS in a population of elderly women, in the city of Passo Fundo, Brazil.

The aim was to contribute for the establishment of the role of dietary habits in the risk for the metabolic syndrome among the elderly.

Patients and Methods

The population studied was composed of 284 elderly women, aging 60 years or over, socially active and participating of a third age group for recreational activities (Divisão de Apoio ao Idoso) supported by the city hall of Passo Fundo, South Brazil. At the time of this study, there were 1204 participants and the elders were invited to take part of the study.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, and all participants signed an informed consent form.

Nutritional Evaluation

Dietary habits were recorded through a quantitative questionnaire for 24-hour dietary recall [9]. All questionnaires were recorded by trained dieticians. Besides recording the food ingested during the day, information was collected on the specific characteristics of each meal, such as the way they were prepared and stuffed, use of sugar or sweetener. To reduce the possibility of errors in the research, particularly relating to the representativeness of the dietary habits recorded, soon after the interview the participants were asked if the reported food ingestion represented a usual pattern. In cases in that answers did not match, the participant was asked to provide another dietary recall, so that the usual dietary ingestion could be recorded. Nutritional calculations were performed with the DietWim Professional software version 2.0® (Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil). Nutritional variables selected for this study included total calories, carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium, zinc and vitamins (A, D, E, C, B6, B9 and B12).

All other information was collected through a structured interview. The educational level was classified in three categories (<1, 1-8 and >8 years of formal study). Physical activity was categorized according to type, duration and periodicity, into four groups (<1x/week, 1-2x/week, 3-4x/week and >4x/week). According to smoking habits, the participants were classified as smokers, ex-smokers (if quitting smoke for more than two years) and non-smokers.

Anthropometrical Data

For measurement of weight (kg) and height (m), performed in an electronic anthropometric scale validated with a fixed stadiometer, individuals were barefoot and wearing light clothes. Waist circumference was measured midway between the iliac crest and the lower rib, with relaxed breathing, at approximately 0.1 cm above the umbilicus. Body mass index (BMI) was determined by weight divided by the square height. Blood pressure was measured with an aneroid sphygmomanometer, validated by an institution affiliated to Inmetro (the Brazilian regulatory agency). The mean of two measurements was used to express the systolic and diastolic blood pressures.

Hypertension was defined as systolic pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, or by the use of antihypertensive medications.

Biochemical Analyses

Peripheral blood was collected from the participants after at least a 12-hour fast. Analyses were done through enzymatic colorimetric method with commercial kits for total cholesterol (Cod-Ana Labtest®), HDL cholesterol (precipitating HDL-C, Labtest®), triglycerides (TG) (Gpo-Ana) and glucose (PAP Labtest®). LDL cholesterol was calculated with the Friedewald equation in individual with TG levels < 400 mg/dL, (LDL-C = (TG) - Total cholesterol– HDL-C - TG/5).

Diagnostics of the Metabolic Syndrome

The metabolic syndrome was defined according to criteria proposed by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) [10], which include altered abdominal circumference and two or more of the following components: plasma lipid levels ≥ 100 mg/dl; systolic blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mmHg (or the use of antihypertensive medication); HDL cholesterol < 50 mg/dl for women; triglycerides ≥ 150 mg/dL. Since the population analyzed had European origin, the cutoff for waist circumference used in this study was ≥ 80 cm.

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative data are presented as mean and standard deviation, and categorical data, as frequency and percentages. The chi-squared test and variance analysis (ANOVA) were used for comparison between the MS and without MS groups. The groups with or without metabolic syndrome were compared for macro- and micronutrients intake, with adjustment of all nutrient values by total energy intake using the residue method. This method also allows the establishment of a regression equation between nutrient intake (as dependent variable) and total caloric
intake (as independent variable). The presence of MS considering the quartile of nutrients was evaluated by the multiple logistic regression model with ORs adjusted for age, smoking, physical activity, educational level, total energy intake and fiber contents of the diet. The significance level was α=0.05 for a two-tailed test. Data were analyzed with the SPSS software version 11.5 (SPSS Chicago, IL).

Table 1 Characteristics of the study participants

| Parameters                 | Without metabolic syndrome (n=193) | With metabolic syndrome (n=91) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Age (years)                | 68.9±6.0                           | 68.8±6.5                       |
| Education level (%)        |                                    |                                |
| < 1 year                   | 140 (73.3)                         | 60 (65.9)                      |
| 1-8 years                  | 44 (23.3)                          | 22 (24.2)                      |
| >8 years                   | 7 (3.7)                            | 9 (9.9)                        |
| Smoking (%)                |                                    |                                |
| Never                      | 158 (82.7)                         | 70 (76.9)                      |
| Past                       | 17 (8.9)                           | 12 (13.2)                      |
| Current                    | 16 (8.4)                           | 9 (9.9)                        |
| Physical activity (%)      |                                    |                                |
| < 1/week                   | 21 (10.9)                          | 11 (12.1)                      |
| 1-2/week                   | 130 (67.4)                         | 60 (65.9)                      |
| 3-4/week                   | 26 (13.5)                          | 12 (13.2)                      |
| > 4/week                   | 16 (8.3)                           | 8 (8.8)                        |
| Weight (Kg)                | 68.0±12                            | 70.8±10.2<sup>1</sup>          |
| BMI (kg/m²)                | 28.4±4.7                           | 29.8±4.8<sup>1</sup>          |
| Waist (cm)                 | 94.3±12.2                          | 98.5±10.2<sup>1</sup>          |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 138±22                            | 138±22                         |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 79±13                             | 79±12                          |
| Plasma glucose (mg/dL)     | 79±9.8                             | 100±32<sup>2</sup>            |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)  | 190±58                             | 212±63<sup>1</sup>            |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)    | 116±42                             | 129±49<sup>1</sup>            |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)    | 58±6                               | 517±7<sup>2</sup>             |
| Carbohydrate Crude intake (g) | 222±76                           | 217±68                         |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 228±34                        | 226±35                         |
| Total energy (%)           | 50±8.0                             | 50±7.9                         |
| Protein Crude intake (g)   | 74.1±23.0                          | 75.7±28.7                      |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 76.8±14.9                      | 79.7±18.9                      |
| Total energy (%)           | 17.2±3.5                           | 17.5±3.7                       |
| Total fat Crude intake (g) | 61.2±20.9                          | 60.5±23.2                      |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 62.9±13.9                      | 62.6±15.9                      |
| Total energy (%)           | 31.9±7.2                           | 31.6±7.6                       |
| Oleic acid (g)             | 18.2±7.7                           | 17.8±9.3                       |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 18.6±6.1                        | 18.3±7.2                       |
| Total energy (%)           | 1.0±0.3                            | 1.0±0.4                        |
| Linoleic acid (g)          | 8.7±4.5                            | 8.1±4.8                        |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 8.5±3.9                        | 8.0±4.4                        |
| Total energy (%)           | 0.5±0.2                            | 0.4±0.2                        |
| Linolenic acid (g)         | 21.1±8.8                           | 20.3±10.1                      |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)<sup>*</sup> | 22.3±6.9                        | 21.6±7.8                       |
| Total energy (%)           | 1.2±0.3                            | 1.1±0.4                        |
| Saturated fatty acid (g)   |                                    |                                |
| Crude intake (g)           | 21.2±8.8                           | 20.3±10.1                      |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)<sup>*</sup> | 22.3±6.9                        | 21.6±7.8                       |
| Total energy (%)           | 1.2±0.3                            | 1.1±0.4                        |
| Cholesterol (mg)           | 218±110                            | 213±95                         |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)<sup>*</sup> | 223±103                        | 219±79                         |
| Total energy (%)           | 19.2±8.5                           | 20.5±8.0                       |
| Fiber (g)                  | 20.3±7.3                           | 21.7±7.1                       |

Data are means ± SD or count (percentage); <sup>1</sup> P<0.05 <sup>2</sup> P<0.001 vs. without metabolic syndrome.

Results

The sample included 284 women. According to the IDF definition [10] 91 (32% of the women) presented MS and 193 (68%) did not. Mean age was 69.3 ± 6.3 years, without differences between the two groups. Women with the MS presented anthropometric measurements such as abdominal circumference and BMI higher than those without the MS (p<0.005 and p<0.04, respectively).

Biochemical analyses of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol and glucose also had less favorable results among women with the MS. No differences were observed for the remaining characteristics. (Table 1).

Table 2 Daily intake energy and selected nutrients of the study participants

| Parameters                | Without metabolic syndrome (n=193) | With metabolic syndrome (n=91) |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Energy (kcal)             | 1735±486                           | 1720±471                       |
| Carbohydrate              |                                    |                                |
| Crude intake (g)          | 222±76                             | 217±68                         |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 228±34                        | 226±35                         |
| Total energy (%)          | 50±8.0                             | 50±7.9                         |
| Protein                   |                                    |                                |
| Crude intake (g)          | 74.1±23.0                          | 75.7±28.7                      |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 76.8±14.9                      | 79.7±18.9                      |
| Total energy (%)          | 17.2±3.5                           | 17.5±3.7                       |
| Total fat                 |                                    |                                |
| Crude intake (g)          | 61.2±20.9                          | 60.5±23.2                      |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 62.9±13.9                      | 62.6±15.9                      |
| Total energy (%)          | 31.9±7.2                           | 31.6±7.6                       |
| Oleic acid (g)            | 18.2±7.7                           | 17.8±9.3                       |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 18.6±6.1                        | 18.3±7.2                       |
| Total energy (%)          | 1.0±0.3                            | 1.0±0.4                        |
| Linoleic acid (g)         | 8.7±4.5                            | 8.1±4.8                        |
| Total energy adjusted(g)<sup>*</sup> | 8.5±3.9                        | 8.0±4.4                        |
| Total energy (%)          | 0.5±0.2                            | 0.4±0.2                        |
| Linolenic acid (g)        | 21.1±8.8                           | 20.3±10.1                      |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)<sup>*</sup> | 22.3±6.9                        | 21.6±7.8                       |
| Total energy (%)          | 1.2±0.3                            | 1.1±0.4                        |
| Saturated fatty acid (g)  |                                    |                                |
| Crude intake (g)          | 21.2±8.8                           | 20.3±10.1                      |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)<sup>*</sup> | 22.3±6.9                        | 21.6±7.8                       |
| Total energy (%)          | 1.2±0.3                            | 1.1±0.4                        |
| Cholesterol (mg)          | 218±110                            | 213±95                         |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)<sup>*</sup> | 223±103                        | 219±79                         |
| Total energy (%)          | 19.2±8.5                           | 20.5±8.0                       |
| Fiber (g)                 | 20.3±7.3                           | 21.7±7.1                       |

Data are means ± SD or count (percentage); <sup>p</sup> > 0.05 for all comparisons.<sup>*</sup> Adjusted for total energy intake by the residual method of linear regression.

Total energy intake and intake of all macronutrients and several micronutrients, adjusted or not adjusted for total energy intake, were not significantly different among elderly women with or without the metabolic syndrome.
The risk for the metabolic syndrome was not statistically different in relation to quartiles of intake of all macronutrients and several diet micronutrients adjusted for total energy intake, age, smoking, educational level, physical activity and fibers in the diet (Tables 4 and 5).

### Table 3 Daily intake energy and selected nutrients of the study participants

| Parameters               | Without metabolic syndrome (n=193) | With metabolic syndrome (n=91) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Vitamin A (g)            |                                   |                               |
| Crude intake (g)         | 1233±1551                          | 1211±1072                     |
| Total energy adjusted (g)*| 1249±1535                          | 1232±1066                     |
| Vitamin C (mg)           |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 135±92                             | 133±97                        |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 138±86                             | 137±96                        |
| Vitamin D (g)            |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (g)         | 3.4±2.3                            | 3.7±2.0                       |
| Total energy adjusted (g)*| 3.2±2.2                            | 3.6±1.8                       |
| Vitamin E (mg)           |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 17±8.0                             | 17.4±9.4                      |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 18.9±6.8                           | 18.7±8.4                      |
| Vitamin B6 (mg)          |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 2.8±2.0                            | 2.7±1.9                       |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 3.6±1.7                            | 3.5±1.5                       |
| Vitamin B12 (g)          |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (g)         | 4.3±6.0                            | 4.1±2.9                       |
| Total energy adjusted (g)*| 4.2±6.0                            | 4.1±2.6                       |
| Vitamin B9 (g)           |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (g)         | 30.5±166                           | 314±143                      |
| Total energy adjusted (g)*| 315±138                            | 328±121                      |
| Calcium (mg)             |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 720±300                            | 735±263                      |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 732±266                            | 751±227                      |
| Iron (mg)                |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 11.5±4.0                           | 11.3±3.5                     |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 12.1±3.0                           | 12.0±2.6                     |
| Magnesium (mg)           |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 275±97                             | 291±96                       |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 283±74                             | 301±73                       |
| Potassium (mg)           |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 2646±934                           | 2680±867                     |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 2715±678                           | 2770±608                     |
| Sodium (mg)              |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 2109±868                           | 2009±720                     |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 2170±659                           | 2087±594                     |
| Zinc (mg)                |                                    |                               |
| Crude intake (mg)        | 11.4±5.3                           | 11.0±5.6                     |
| Total energy adjusted (mg)*| 11.2±4.5                           | 11.0±4.7                     |

Data are means ± SD or count (percentage); p > 0.05 for all comparisons.* Adjusted for total energy intake by the residual method of linear regression.

### Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the relationship between dietary components and the metabolic syndrome in the elderly women and found no associations between the syndrome and intake of all macronutrients and several micronutrients.

The prevalence of the MS defined according to IDF criteria [10] in the sample of 284 elderly women with mean age of 68.9 years was 32%, similar to results in industrialized countries such as the USA (22.8% to 39.0%) [3, 11].

### Table 4 ORs of metabolic syndrome according to quartiles of total energy intake

| Quarters of total energy intake | 1(low) | 2 | 3 | 4 (high) | P value |
|--------------------------------|-------|---|---|----------|--------|
| Carbohydrate (g/dia)           | 231   | 228 | 224 | 228      |        |
| OR                             | 1.00  | 0.87| 1.01| 0.80     | 0.91   |
| Protein (g/dia)                |       |    |    |          |        |
| OR                             | 77.8  | 77.8| 78.7| 75.8     |        |
| Total fat (g/dia)              |       |    |    |          |        |
| OR                             | 60.6  | 62.9| 64.6| 63.7     |        |
| Oleic acid (g/dia)             |       |    |    |          |        |
| OR                             | 1.00  | 0.84| 0.73| 0.78     | 0.76   |
| Linoleic acid (g/dia)          |       |    |    |          |        |
| OR                             | 18.2  | 18.4| 18.7| 19       |        |
| Saturated fatty acid (g/dia)   |       |    |    |          |        |
| OR                             | 1.00  | 0.44| 0.86| 0.92     | 0.14   |
| Cholesterol (mg/dia)           |       |    |    |          |        |
| OR                             |       |    |    |          |        |
| Sodium (mg/dia)                |       |    |    |          |        |
| OR                             |       |    |    |          |        |
| Fiber (g/mg)                   |       |    |    |          |        |
| OR                             |       |    |    |          |        |

Nutrients intakes were adjusted for total energy intake by the residual method of linear regression. The odds ratios were obtained in logistic regression models adjusted for age, smoking, years of education, physical activity and dietary fiber.

The etiology of the MS is still poorly understood, but it seems to be due to a complex interaction among genetic and environmental factors, which include dietary habits [1, 2]. Recent studies have shown that increased total carbohydrate intake may result in altered levels of plasma lipids, lipoprotein concentration and glucose metabolism, leading to the establishment of the MS in some individuals [12]. Although dietary factors are associated to individual components of the MS, such as diabetes mellitus type 2,
blood hypertension, dyslipidemia and obesity [13], their role in the etiopathogeny of the combination of these components - the metabolic syndrome - is not well known [14]. Some studies have investigated this question in adult populations, observing some associations between dietary components and the MS [15, 16]. No association was observed between total carbohydrate intake and fiber contents of the diet and the MS. Similar results were reported by MC Keown [14], who however observed a relationship between the intake of integral grains and a low prevalence of the MS. Since the present study investigated the association between the MS and nutrients, but not specific types of meals, we could not corroborate those findings.

Interestingly, individuals with or without the metabolic syndrome presented similar intake of carbohydrate, which was higher than the Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDIs) [17], suggesting some type of gene-nutrition interaction. Concerning lipids, some studies have shown an association between the intake of linoleic acid and reduced risk for the MS [18, 19]. A similar association was reported by Freire and colleagues [16], who also suggested that total dietary fat may increase the risk for the MS. In humans, a detrimental role for saturated fat and a beneficial effect of polyunsaturated fats are still under debate [20]. The present study did not find any association; both groups presented similar levels of intake of total lipids and linoleic acid, which was in agreement with nutritional recommendations.

In this study, the intake of antioxidant vitamins (A, E, C) by individuals with or without the MS was also investigated. Epidemiological evidences show that antioxidants have a protective role in the physiopathology of several diseases [21]. Ford et al (2003) [22] examined the plasma concentration of antioxidants and their dietary ingestion. The results showed an association between the presence of MS and a low plasma concentration of antioxidants, but not with the intake of vitamins C, E and A. The results of this study corroborate these findings, since no relationship was observed between the MS and ingestion of vitamins C, E and A. The plasma concentration of these vitamins was not analyzed.

Some studies have shown an inverse association between the serum concentration of vitamin D and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome [23, 24]. Low serum concentrations of vitamin D are associated to dysfunction of pancreas cells and deficient secretion and activity of insulin [24]. In a study with 126 individuals, those with hypovitaminosis D presented a 3-fold higher probability to have MS than individuals with normal concentrations of vitamin D [23]. In another study, by Liu et al (2005) [25], no significant associations were seen between the intake of vitamin D and the prevalence of MS, but a relationship between the ingestion of calcium and milk products and low prevalence of the MS was observed. The present study did not observe associations between the ingestion of calcium and vitamin D and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome.

### Table 5 ORs of metabolic syndrome according to quartiles of total energy intake

| Nutrients          | OR 1(low) | OR 2 | OR 3 | OR 4 (high) | P value |
|--------------------|-----------|------|------|-------------|---------|
| **Median intake**  |           |      |      |             |         |
| Vitamin A (g)      | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (g/dia) | 1115 | 1303 | 1308 | 1265        |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 1.62 | 1.98 | 202         | 0.37    |
|                   | (0.70-3.75) | (0.86-4.58) | (0.86-4.78) |         |
| Vitamin C (mg)     | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 150  | 126  | 133  | 145         |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.70        | 0.5     |
|                   | (0.33-1.46) | (0.26-1.18) | (0.33-1.47) |         |
| Vitamin D (g)      | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (g/dia) | 2.9   | 3.7  | 3.4  | 3.6         |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 1.55 | 1.98 | 1.91        | 0.36    |
|                   | (0.73-3.44) | (0.88-4.45) | (0.85-4.31) |         |
| Vitamin E (mg)     | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 18.5 | 19.7 | 17.8 | 19.5        |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.70        | 0.36    |
|                   | (0.25-1.08) | (0.32-1.33) | (0.34-1.42) |         |
| Vitamin B6 (mg)    | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 4    | 3.4  | 3.3  | 3.6         |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 1.22 | 0.90 | 0.69        | 0.53    |
|                   | (0.59-2.51) | (0.42-1.94) | (0.31-1.58) |         |
| Vitamin B12 (g)    | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (g/dia) | 3.9   | 4    | 5.3  | 3.8         |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.90        | 0.23    |
|                   | (0.27-1.14) | (0.27-1.15) | (0.44-1.85) |         |
| Vitamin B9 (g)     | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (g/dia) | 341  | 302  | 309  | 326         |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 1.06 | 0.87 | 1.37        | 0.69    |
|                   | (0.50-2.26) | (0.38-1.97) | (0.57-3.30) |         |
| Calcium (mg)       | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 669   | 756  | 789  | 748         |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 2.43 | 1.59 | 1.50        | 0.16    |
|                   | (1.12-5.27) | (0.73-3.47) | (0.68-3.31) |         |
| Iron (mg)          | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 12.7  | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.8        |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 0.65 | 1.33 | 1.72        | 0.2     |
|                   | (0.30-1.38) | (0.63-2.83) | (0.30-1.72) |         |
| Magnesium (mg)     | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 293   | 288  | 284  | 288         |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 1.47 | 1.48 | 2.61        | 0.2     |
|                   | (0.68-3.19) | (0.65-3.38) | (1.07-6.39) |         |
| Potassium (mg)     | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 2807  | 2688 | 2623 | 2821        |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 1.64 | 1.17 | 1.43        | 0.59    |
|                   | (0.76-3.52) | (0.53-2.58) | (0.58-3.48) |         |
| Sodium (mg)        | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 2180  | 2181 | 2084 | 2114        |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 1.14 | 0.93 | 0.80        | 0.81    |
|                   | (0.56-2.34) | (0.45-1.91) | (0.38-1.68) |         |
| Zinc (mg)          | 1.00      | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00        | 1.00    |
| Median intake (mg/dia) | 12    | 11.1 | 10.3 | 11          |         |
| OR                 | 1.00      | 0.73 | 0.54 | 0.98        | 0.33    |
|                   | (0.36-1.47) | (0.25-1.13) | (0.47-2.0) |         |

Nutrients intakes were adjusted for total energy intake by the residual method of linear regression. The odds ratios were obtained in logistic regression models for each nutrient in quartiles of total energy intake.
Several studies have shown a positive effect of magnesium in several mechanisms involved with the lipidic metabolism, such as glucose intolerance and insulin homeostasis [26, 27]. Song et al (2005) showed an inverse association between the intake of magnesium and prevalence of the MS [28]. The results of this study did not show a significant relationship between magnesium ingestion and the MS.

According to most literature reports, the prevalence of MS is not associated with macronutrients and micronutrients present in the diet, but with the type of food ingested [7, 8, 16, 29]. The results of the present study did not disagree with other studies evaluating the relationship between dietary components and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among younger adults [7, 19, 29]. The absence of a relationship between dietary components and the MS in older individuals, shown by these results, may be due to particular characteristics of this age group, which had not been previously investigated. It is possible that the nutritional factors associated to MS have an influence in younger ages (even since intrauterine life, as suggested by some studies), but not in more advanced age as in older individuals. As suggested by Barker et al (1989) [30], environmental factors and particularly nutrition may exert their effects in earlier phases of the development, when they become associated to risks for early onset of cardiovascular disease and metabolic alterations, or premature death (young adults). According to this hypothesis, alterations in nutrition and in the endocrine situation during fetal life result in developmental adaptations which permanently modify structure, physiology and metabolism later in life.

It is also possible that the lack of association between nutritional factors and the MS, observed in the present study, is due to a modification induced in the dietary habits of the participants by previous medical recommendation, motivated by the risk of diseases such as the MS, but which have not been able to prevent the establishment of the syndrome. The method used in this work to analyze dietary habits, the 24-hour dietary recall, may be a limiting factor for not representing the global diet of the participants.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study suggest that dietary factors do not have an important influence on the risk for the metabolic syndrome in elderly women, and that the condition is due to more a complex interaction of other factors such as lifestyle, genetic susceptibility and metabolism. Multidimensional, longitudinal prospective studies involving groups in different ages, and with the investigation of several aspects such as nutritional habits, lifestyle, genetic factors and metabolism, are necessary for a better understanding of the etiology of the metabolic syndrome.
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