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The magnetic field-induced transformation from paramagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite in an Fe-3.9Mn-5.0C (at%) alloy has been studied by means of magnetization measurement, differential scanning calorimetry and optical microscopy, applying a pulsed ultra high magnetic field. As a result, the followings were found: Transformation temperature, $M'_s$, shifts from the $M_s$ temperature by a magnetic field, and the amount of the shift, $\Delta M_s = M'_s - M_s$, increases linearly with the critical magnetic field for inducing the martensitic transformation. Irrespective of $\Delta M_s$, the amount of magnetic field-induced martensites increases with the maximum strength of magnetic field and the martensite morphology is the same as that of thermally-induced ones. A thermodynamical analysis suggests that the effect of a magnetic field on the transformation from paramagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite in the Fe-Mn-C alloy is due only to the Zeeman effect, being different from that on ferromagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite in other ferrous alloys, where the high magnetic field susceptibility and forced volume magnetostriction are also effective.
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1. Introduction

Many studies have so far been carried out\(^{(1)-(10)}\) on magnetic field-induced martensitic transformations in various ferrous alloys and steels. As a result, much useful information has been obtained about the effect of magnetic fields on martensitic transformations, such as the critical magnetic field dependency of the shift of $M_s$, the amount and morphology of magnetic field-induced martensites and so on. However, all of those studies have been concerned with materials undergoing a transformation from ferromagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite, and no study has been made on materials exhibiting another type of transformation from paramagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite. Therefore, it is not clear whether the information so far obtained is valid for the other type of martensitic transformation, and it is necessary to confirm its validity experimentally. The Fe-Mn-C alloy may be suitable for such an experiment, because the alloy exhibiting a transformation from paramagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite can easily be prepared by varying the composition of Mn and C elements, and also thermodynamics and crystallography of its thermally-induced martensitic transformation have already been well examined\(^{(11)(12)}\). However, the previous studies on the magnetic field-induced martensitic transformations in Fe-Mn-C alloys\(^{(4)}\) did not involve considera-
tion from such a point of view. In the present study, therefore, the magnetic field-induced martensitic transformation in an Fe–Mn–C alloy has been examined in detail by means of magnetization measurements, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and optical microscopy, applying an ultra high magnetic field, and compared with that in other ferrous alloys examined so far.

II. Experimental Procedures

An Fe–3.9Mn–5.0C (at%) alloy was prepared by melting the component elements in a high frequency induction furnace under argon atmosphere and by casting into a water-cooled iron mold. The ingot of the alloy was hot-forged at 1273 K, homogenized at 1473 K for 8.64×104 s in a silica capsule filled with argon, and then quenched into iced water. Pieces of 40 mm length were cut from the heat-treated ingot, and they were hot-rolled into 0.5 mm thick sheets. Specimens with a 3 mm×20 mm×0.5 mm size for magnetization and DSC measurements were cut from the sheets and then austenitized at 1473 K for 7.2×103 s in silica capsules filled with argon, followed by quenching into iced water. The austenitized specimens were chemically polished and cut into lengths of 3 and 10 mm by spark cutting, the 3 mm length specimens were used for the DSC measurements (Rigaku-Denki DSC 8131) to determine the Ms temperature and the 10 mm length specimens for the magnetization measurements. Pulsed ultra high magnetic field with the maximum strength of about 31.75 MA/m were applied to the austenitic specimens at the High Magnetic Field Laboratory of Osaka University. Details of the ultra high magnetic field instrument were described elsewhere(13). After a magnetic field has been applied, each of the specimens was chemically etched in 35% sodium pyrosulphite solution and supplied for an optical microscopy observation. Chemical analysis of the alloy was carried out by using the rest part of the 0.5 mm thick sheets, which has been subjected to the same heat-treatment as above in order to avoid a discrepancy in composition between the chemically analysed and experimentally used specimens. The alloy composition thus analysed is the one mentioned at the beginning of this section.

III. Results

1. Transformation temperature and magnetic property of the austenitic phase

The DSC measurement has been made in the temperature range from 293 to 77 K in order to determine the transformation temperature and the latent heat of transformation. The reference material used in the measurement is the same as the present alloy, but it was sub-zero treated down to 77 K to avoid any further transformation. A typical DSC profile is shown in Fig. 1. The Ms temperature can be clearly determined to be 223 K for the change of heat flow. Although the Mf temperature cannot be so clearly defined, it is estimated to be about 170 K since there is no change in heat flow at that temperature. The latent heat of the martensitic transformation can be obtained from the integrated value (18.8 J/g) of heat flow in the temperature range from 170 to 223 K and the amount of martensites. The amount of martensites was obtained to be about 40% by the magnetization measurement in the same way as described before(5). Using these values, the latent heat of transformation was obtained to be 2518 J/mol.

The susceptibility in the austenitic state has been obtained by the magnetization measurement, a low magnetic field (about 1.6 MA/m) being applied. It was about 3.2×10⁻¹³ H·m²/kg and was independent of temperature in the

![Fig. 1 DSC profile taken from an Fe-3.9Mn-5.0C (at%) alloy in the temperature range between 77 and 293 K.](image-url)
range from 253 to 293 K. This means that the present Fe–Mn–C alloy is distinctly paramagnetic in the austenitic state. On the other hand, the spontaneous magnetization in the martensitic state has been obtained on the assumption that it originates in magnetic atoms and therefore it depends only upon the composition of Fe and Mn atoms. Thus, by referring to the Slater-Pauling curve(14), the magnetic moment in the martensitic state at 0 K was obtained to be about 2.0 $\mu_B$ for the present Fe–Mn–C alloy, although it is very rough approximation. This value is considered to be valid in the temperature range where the magnetization measurement has been made.

2. Critical magnetic field for inducing martensite

Magnetization $M(t)$ has been measured as a function of magnetic field $H(t)$ in one pulse whose maximum strength of a magnetic field is higher than a critical one for inducing martensitic transformation. Typical $M(t)$-$H(t)$ curves at temperatures higher than $M_s$ by 60 and 80 K are shown in Fig. 2, in which an increase in magnetization is recognized at a certain magnetic field as indicated by an arrow on each curve. In this way, the martensitic transformation is induced by a magnetic field even in the paramagnetic austenite, as observed in the ferromagnetic austenite(1)-(10). Such an increase in magnetization could not be observed if the maximum strength of an applied magnetic field was lower than the certain magnetic field. Therefore, a certain magnetic field corresponds to the critical one for inducing martensitic transformation. The relation thus obtained between the critical magnetic field and the shift of $M_s$ is shown in Fig. 3. It is apparent from the figure that the shift of $M_s$ increases linearly with increasing critical magnetic field. This characteristic is very important to know the magnetic effect on transformation from paramagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite. The dotted line in Fig. 3 is a calculated one which will be described later.

Another characteristic feature incidentally noted in Fig. 2 is that the high magnetic field susceptibility in the austenitic state is much smaller than that in Fe–Ni alloys previously examined(5), and it is the same value as that obtained by a low magnetic field. It should also be noted in Fig. 2 that the high magnetic field susceptibility in the martensitic state is also very small. These small values of the high magnetic field susceptibility will be discussed later.

3. Amount of magnetic field-induced martensite

The amount of magnetic field-induced martensites has been calculated in the same manner as in the previous study(5) by using the result of magnetization measurements. The cal-
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Fig. 2 Typical $M(t)$-$H(t)$ curves of an Fe–3.9Mn–5.0C (at%) alloy at temperatures higher than $M_s$ by 60 and 80 K.
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Fig. 3 Shifts of $M_s$ as a function of critical magnetic field for an Fe–3.9Mn–5.0C (at%) alloy, closed circles and dotted line being measured and calculated ones, respectively.
The calculated amount is shown in Fig. 4 as a function of the maximum strength of the pulsed magnetic field. When specimen is held at a temperature higher than $M_s$ by $\Delta T$, it is seen to increase with the maximum strength of magnetic field, that is, slightly near the corresponding critical magnetic field, abruptly at a little higher field than the critical one, and linearly at a much higher field. Such a magnetic field dependence of the amount of martensites is commonly observed at other temperatures of different $\Delta T$, and it is also seen in the magnetization curves in Fig. 2. The behavior relating to the increase of martensite has been examined by optical microscopy observations, as shown in Fig. 5: (a) shows a martensite structure after a magnetic field near the critical one has been applied at the temperature higher than $M_s$ by 35 K, which was taken at room temperature after polishing and etching; (b) shows an unetched martensite structure after a magnetic field considerably higher than the critical one has been successively applied to the same alloy at the same temperature as for (a), which was taken at room temperature for the identical place of (a). Surface relief newly appears at interfaces of martensites in (a), as known from a comparison between (b) and (a) as to the area indicated with the arrow in (a). The relief may be due to the growth of existing plates and/or the formation of new martensite plates. In order to make it clear, the alloy was observed after polishing and etching as shown in (c). A comparison between (b) and (c) in-
Magnetic Field-Induced Transformation from Paramagnetic Austenite to Ferromagnetic Martensite

4. Morphology of the magnetic field-induced martensite

Figure 6 shows optical micrographs of thermally-induced and magnetic field-induced martensites in an Fe-3.9Mn-5.0C (at%) alloy. Transformation temperature, their difference from $M_s$ and strength of applied magnetic field are shown for each of them.

dicates that the surface relief in (b) is caused by not only by a little growth of existing martensite plates, as arrowed in (a), but also by the formation of many small martensites.
mally-formed martensites formed by cooling a little below $M_s$ temperature, (a), and those of magnetic field-induced ones, (b) to (f). The formation temperature $T_f$, its difference from $M_s$, $\Delta T$, applied magnetic field $H$ and its direction are indicated on each of the micrographs. It is to be noted in the figure that the morphology of the magnetic field-induced martensites is the same as that of thermally-induced ones irrespective of $\Delta T$. This result is the same as that of Fe–Ni and Fe–Ni–C alloys examined previously\(^{5(8)}\). By the way, the micrographs (e) and (f) reveal that several martensite plates grow nearly parallel to the direction of applied magnetic field. This phenomenon is similar to that observed in single crystals of an Fe–Ni alloy as previously examined\(^{7}\), but it is not so frequent as in the Fe–Ni single crystals. This difference may be attributed to the fact whether grain boundaries exist or not. That is, the directional growth of martensites is suppressed by a back stress due to the existence of grain boundaries.

IV. Discussion

It has been proposed previously\(^{\text{1(10)}}\) that the magnetic effect on martensitic transformations is due to not only the Zeeman effect but also the high field susceptibility and forced volume magnetostriction effects. Then, relation between the critical magnetic field and the shift of $M_s$ in the present alloy will be discussed taking into consideration the proposed magnetic effect. In the present Fe–Mn–C alloy, however, the high magnetic field susceptibility and forced volume magnetostriction may be neglected for the following reasons. The former high magnetic field susceptibility of both the austenite and martensite phases is very small, as seen from Fig. 2, and its effect on the shift of $M_s$ is negligibly small. The forced volume magnetostriction is not effective, since the present alloy has no Invar effect because the austenite phase is paramagnetic and its lattice parameter changes normally with temperature as previously observed\(^{\text{11(11)}}\). Thus, the proposed formula to estimate the shift of $M_s$ of martensitic transformation under a magnetic field can be reduced as follows:

$$\Delta G(M_s) - \Delta G(M_s') = -\Delta M(M_s') \cdot H,$$

where $\Delta G(M_s')$ represents the change in Gibbs chemical free energy between the austenitic and martensitic phases at temperature $M_s'$, $\Delta M(M_s')$ the difference in magnetic moment between the austenitic and martensitic states at $M_s'$, and $H$ the critical magnetic field. The Gibbs chemical free energy of the present Fe–Mn–C alloy has been obtained by following an equation derived by Chang and Hsu\(^{\text{12}}\). The latent heat of transformation calculated from the equation was 2522 J/mol, and this is good agreement with the experimentally determined one in the present work, 2518 J/mol, meaning that the equation is available for the present alloy system. The critical magnetic field vs $\Delta M_s$ relation calculated by using the obtained Gibbs chemical free energy is shown by a dotted line in Fig. 3. The calculated relation is good agreement with the experimental one over the wide range of critical magnetic field. It is thus concluded that the magnetic effect exists even in materials undergoing a martensitic transformation from paramagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite, but that it is due only to the Zeeman effect, being different from another transformation from ferromagnetic austenite to ferromagnetic martensite, where the high magnetic field susceptibility and forced volume magnetostriction effects are not negligible.
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