Microstructure and mechanical properties of aluminum cast alloy A356 reinforced with dual-size B₄C particles

J. R. Chandrashekar  
Department of mechanical Engineering, Government Polytechnic, KGF, Kolar, Karnataka, India.  
chandrujramanna@gmail.com

M. H. Annaiah  
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sapthagiri College of Engineering, Bangalore, Karnataka, India  
drannaiahmb@gmail.com

R. Chandrashekar  
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sambhram Institute of Technology, Bangalore, Karnataka, India  
rajbchandras@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. The paper details the studies carried out on a dual-size particulate composite prepared by stir casting using A356 aluminum alloy and B₄C powders. Three composite compositions, viz., A356 plus 2% B₄C (44µm size and 105µm size in 1:1 ratio), 4% B₄C (3:1 ratio), and 6% B₄C (1:3 ratio) were cast in finger molds, from which test specimens were prepared for hardness and tensile tests as well as for metallography. Vickers hardness tests, tensile tests and microstructure analysis using an optical microscope were conducted. The results obtained indicated that the B₄C particles were evenly distributed in the alloy matrix. EDS also revealed the presence of B₄C in all the three composites. In general, the hardness and tensile strengths increased with increase in concentration B₄C powders. While the increase in hardness was increases less than 15%, there was significant increase (more than 35%) in tensile strength. However, the ductility represented by % elongation, which was already very low in A 356 cast alloy (24.2%), further decreased in composites. Tensile fractography results shows inter crystalline fracture where the breakage in the B₄C particle instead of deboning were observed.
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INTRODUCTION

Aluminum alloys find application in diverse fields owing to their high strength-to-weight ratio, low cost, and ease of fabrication [1-3]. Substituting the base aluminum alloys with particulate composites has the advantage of improving the mechanical strength parameters without seriously disturbing the thermal and electrical conductivities and other properties
The common reinforcement particles used in AMMCs are graphite, SiO₂, SiC, Al₂O₃ and B₄C used in small percentages of about 2 to 8% [8-12]. Some researchers have used hybrid reinforcement, i.e., using two types of particulates [13-14], while some others have employed dual or treble sizes of same particulate [15-16]. The automaker Honda uses a hybrid AMMC for some of its engine blocks [17]. Of the reinforcement particulates available, B₄C is perhaps the hardest. Hence an AMMC with B₄C particles may be expected to have higher strengths than other AMMCs. The work presented here, which is part of a larger comprehensive study, is based on aluminum alloy particulate composites with B₄C particles. In this study, two sizes of B₄C particles (44 µm, and 105 µm) in different ratios were employed to obtain three AMMCs in the as-cast condition. These were evaluated for their microstructural features and mechanical properties using standard testing procedures.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The cast aluminum alloys A 356 was selected for the study. The chemical composition of the alloy as tested and as required by standard is given in Tab. 1. Two sizes of B₄C powder, one fine and the other coarse, viz., 44 µm and 105 µm were procured from reputed suppliers. The chemical assay of B₄C powders is given in Tab. 2. The physical properties of aluminum, which is the major component of A 356 alloy, and B₄C are compared in Tab. 3.

| Elements | Si | Mg | Fe | Cu | Mn | Ni | Zinc | others | Al |
|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|--------|----|
| Weight % | 7.18 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.027 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.15 | Balance |

*Table 1: Chemical Composition analysis of A356.*

| Element / component | Weight % |
|---------------------|----------|
| Fe                  | 0.2      |
| Si                  | 0.1      |
| B₂O₃                | 0.5      |
| B₄C                 | Balance  |
| B                   | 79       |
| C                   | 20       |

*Table 2: Chemical Composition Assay of B₄C Particles.*

| Property     | A356 | B₄C   |
|--------------|------|-------|
| Melting Point, °C | 750  | 2363  |
| Boiling Point, °C | 2425 | 3500  |
| Density, kg/m³     | 2670 | 2420  |
| Crystal Structure  | FCC  | Rhombohedral |
| Hardness, VPN      | 65.8 | 94-97 |
| Tensile Strength, MPa | 143  | 350   |

*Table 3: Comparison of physical properties of A356 and B₄C Particles.*
Preparation of materials
The AMMCs were prepared by the well-established stir casting method. Pieces of A 356 alloy cut from ingots were placed in a graphite crucible and melted in an electric resistance furnace (schematic diagram of stir casting is shown in Fig.1). The melt was degassed using hexachloromethane tablet, and held at above 800°C, and stirring started. When a nice vortex was formed, pre-weighed quantities of B₄C powders were introduced, and stirring continued. After about three minutes, the melt was skimmed and the liquid metal poured into preheated finger molds. The three compositions of the AMMC, viz., 2% B₄C (44µ size and 105µ size in 1:1 ratio), 4% B₄C (3:1 ratio), and 6% B₄C (1:3 ratio), as shown in Tab. 4, were prepared as detailed above. From these cast fingers, test specimens for hardness, microstructure and tensile tests were machined as per ASTM standards.

![Schematic diagram of stir equipment.](image)

| Sl no | A356 wt% | B₄C wt% | Ratio of fine and coarse B₄C Particle |
|-------|----------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| 1     | 100      | -       | -                                   |
| 2     | 98       | 2       | 1Fine:1Coarse                       |
| 3     | 96       | 4       | 3Fine:1Coarse                       |
| 4     | 94       | 6       | 1Fine:3Coarse                       |

Table 4: Material composition with different ratios of fine and coarse size B₄C particle.

Microstructural examination
The test specimens were polished to 6-0 smoothness and etched with Keller’s Reagent. An Optical Microscope (Model NIKON LV-150, Fig.2) was used for the purpose.

Hardness tests
Hardness tests were performed on specimens polished to 5-0 smoothness with a Vickers Micro Hardness Tester (Model VHM-102, Fig. 3(a)). The applied load was 100 g for 10 s. Fig. 3(b) shows the schematic of hardness specimen.
The tensile test specimens were of 6.35 mm diameter and gauge length of 26 mm, conforming to ASTM E8 Standard. The tensile tests were accomplished using a Hounsfield-type testing machine (Model TUE-C-400, Fig. 4(a)) at a strain rate of 0.2 mm/s, at room temperature. Fig 4(b) shows Schematic of Tensile specimen.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Microstructure

The photomicrographs of the as-cast structures of base A 356 alloy, and AMMCs with A 356 and 2% B₄C, 4% B₄C, and 6% B₄C respectively is shown in the Fig.5(a to d), From Fig. 5(a), it is seen that the cast structure of the base A 356 alloy is dendritic or semi-dendritic. The Al-Si eutectic phase forms the dendritic structure with in a matrix of primary α-Al phase. Figs. 5 (b), (c)and (d), being the microstructures of cast 2%B₄C, 4% B₄C, and 6% B₄C respectively, indicate that the structures of all three cat composites are similar. There is nearly uniform distribution of B₄C particles in all three composite castings. This is true for the fine B₄C particles, as well as for the coarse B₄C particles. The microstructural examination reveals simple primary α-Al phase as matrix in which there are dendrites of the intermetallic Al-Si phase. In the composites, there is distribution of the B₄C particles, which are mostly spheroidal in shape. [18].

Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum

Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) permits researcher to identify what those particular elements are and also their comparative proportions in the selected area. This technique is one of the influential and convenient type of elemental
investigation. The graphical depiction of EDS analysis of A356 aluminum alloy, A356+2% B4C, A356+4% B4C and A356+6% B4C composites is shown in Figs. 6(a to d) respectively.

From Fig. 6(a) is the EDS spectrograph of A356 aluminum alloy. The spectrum confirms the existence of aluminum as the uppermost element followed by silicon and magnesium alloys. From Fig. 6(b to d) is the EDS spectrograph of A356 and B4C composites spectrum which evidence the existence of boron (B), carbide (C), in the carbon form.

![Figure 6: (a) shows the EDS spectrum of A356 alloy](image1)

![Figure 6: (b) shows the EDS spectrum of A356+2%B4C Composite.](image2)
Figure 6: (c) shows the EDS spectrum of A356+4%B₄C Composite.

Figure 6: (d) shows the EDS spectrum of A356+6%B₄C Composite.

**Hardness**

Fig. 7 is a bar graph of the hardness values of the A356 alloy and the three composites, hardness being measured in VHN. It is seen from Fig. 7 that while the composites seem to have higher hardness than the base A356 alloy, the increase in hardness is being 6%, 3.4% and 14.14% respectively for the composites with 2%, 4% and 6% B₄C.

Among the Dual size particle composites, the composite with 6%B₄C of the ratio 1 fine and 3 coarse size particles shows marginally higher hardness. This may be due to the higher ratio of coarse size B₄C particle. The higher amount of coarse
particle in AMMC shields the finer particles, additionally coarse particles helps to carry a extra portion of the applied load in comparison with the finer size particles [19]. The composite with 4% B₄C of the ratio 3 fine:1 coarse particle particles shows slightly lower hardness. This may be due to the higher amount of fine size B₄C particle. The higher amount of the fine size is more prone to the particle clustering hence the dispersion of fine size particle is very difficult [20-21].

![Graph showing VHN values for different composites](image)

**Figure 7:** Hardness Values of As-Cast A356 Alloy and Composites.

**Tensile strength**

Fig. 8 plots the UTS of the base alloy and three composites in their as-cast condition. While there is no significant increase in UTS by adding 2% or 4% B₄C (increase in UTS by 3.8% and 7.5% respectively), there is a significant increase achieved by adding 6% B₄C with the ratio of 1 fine and 3 coarse size. (an increase of 38.8%). The B₄C ceramic particle acts as a barrier against the plastic deformation in the A356 matrix material to counter the tensile load. The composite with 6% B₄C of the ratio 1fine:3 coarse size particle exhibits higher UTS this may be due to the increase in the wt% of B₄C reinforced particle [22-23]. and also a significant increase due to the higher ratio of coarse size B₄C particle in the composites. Higher ratio of coarse size B₄C particle acts as an effective stress carrier between reinforcement and matrix material. [24]

![Graph showing UTS values for different composites](image)

**Figure 8:** UTS of As-Cast A 356 Alloy and Composites

**Ductility (% of Elongation)**

Fig. 9 is a plot showing the ductility (represented by % Elongation) of the Base A356 alloy and the three composites. It is evident from Fig. 7 that there is a significant loss in ductility in composites as compared with the A356 alloy. The loss in ductility, as calculated by the reduction in % Elongation is found to be 24.2%, 27.1%, and 28.6% respectively for the 2%, 4%, and 6% B₄C composites. It is further evident that the % Elongation decreases as the B₄C particulate quantity increases [25] irrespective of the sizes of the B₄C particle. (though initially there is a drastic drop in % Elongation from the Base A 356 alloy and 2% B₄C,,this is due to the presence of needles like of silicon in the α-Al Matrix (silicon is the second highest constituent of A356 alloy) is responsible for the reduction in ductility [26-27].
Tensile Fracture analysis
Fig. 10 shows the SEM images of A356 alloy, 2 wt%, 4 wt%, and 6 wt% of B4C composites after the tensile test. The A356 alloy shows an intercrystalline fracture, Fig 10(a) this is due to the existence of dendritic shrinkage porosity. The reason is that the crack nucleation at the inter-dendritic region and propagation along these dendrites is the primary mechanism for failure of A356 alloys. All the A356 composites exhibited brittle failure along with quasi-cleavage feature, as shown in Fig. 10 (b to d), the micro cracks and quasi-cleavage feature is a common feature of materials with lower elongation. This may be due to the detachment of coarse size B4C particle. A small dimple was also absorbed on fracture area of the composite, fracture of coarse size B4C causes pullout due to the decrease in ductility as compared to the A356 alloy. From all this observation it is considered that as the load increase B4C particle of both size, breaks instead of debonding hence the nature of fracture in the composites is brittle & quasi cleavage type. [28-29].

Figure 10: Fractographs of (a) base A 356 alloy, (b) A356+2%B4C, (c) A356+4%B4C, (d) A356+6%B4C. In the figure, 1 represents α-Al grains, 2 represents micro cracks and voids 3 represents small dimples 4 represents shrinkage porosity.
CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions / inferences could be drawn from the present study on as-cast A 356 alloy and its composites with 2%, 4% and 6% B\textsubscript{4}C particulates with dual size.

1. Stir casting can be used to successfully prepare A 356 – B\textsubscript{4}C composites up to 6% B\textsubscript{4}C particulates without any problem. Uniform distribution of B\textsubscript{4}C particles, fine or coarse, can be achieved.

2. The microstructural examination reveals simple primary α-Al phase as matrix in which there are dendrites of the intermetallic Al-Si phase. In the composites material, there is distribution of the B\textsubscript{4}C particles, which are mostly spheroidal in shape.

3. EDS spectrograph of A356 and B\textsubscript{4}C composites spectrum which evidence the presence of boron (B), carbide (C), in the carbon form.

4. While there is an increase in hardness values by addition of 6wt% of B\textsubscript{4}C at the ratio of 1 fine and 3 coarse size, (increased by 14.14%) this is due to the higher ratio of coarse particle in composites shields the finer sized particles, but there is a decrease in hardness values by addition of 4wt% of at the ratio of 3 fine and 1 coarse size B4C particle, (decreased by 3.4%) this is due to clustering of higher amount of fine size particle.

5. There is significant increase in UTS (nearly an increase of 40%). In the composite of 6wt% of B\textsubscript{4}C with a ratio of 1 fine and 3 coarse size B\textsubscript{4}C particle when compared to the other two composites, this is due to the Higher ratio of coarse size B\textsubscript{4}C particle acts as an effective stress carrier between reinforcement and matrix material.

6. There is a decrease in ductility in composites, which may be expected. However, with increased amount of B\textsubscript{4}C particulates (2wt%,4wt% & 6wt%), ductility loss is minimal. It is evidence that the % of elongation decreases with the increase in wt% of B\textsubscript{4}C particle in the composites irrespective of the sizes of the B\textsubscript{4}C particle.

7. The fractographic studies reveals that as the load increase B\textsubscript{4}C particle of both size, breaks instead of debonding hence the nature of fracture in the composites is brittle & quasi cleavage type.
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