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Abstract

WordNet is the most widely used lexical resource for English, while Wikidata is one of the largest knowledge graphs of entity and concepts available. While, there is a clear difference in the focus of these two resources, there is also a significant overlap and as such a complete linking of these resources would have many uses. We propose the development of such a linking, first by means of the hapax legomenon links and secondly by the use of natural language processing techniques. We show that these can be done with high accuracy but that human validation is still necessary. This has resulted in over 9,000 links being added between these two resources.

1 Introduction

English WordNet (McCrae et al., 2019, 2020), derived from Princeton WordNet (Miller, 1995; Fellbaum, 2012, PWN)\(^1\), is the most complete wordnet for English, while Wikidata\(^2\) provides one of the largest collection of encyclopedic facts in machine readable form. Moreover, as Wikidata is an open resource to which anyone can contribute and data is published without any license, it is quickly becoming a central database to which knowledge graphs can link. As such, a linking between WordNet and Wikipedia was constructed by McCrae et al. (2018) and as a side part of this work we updated and contributed this list to Wikidata including manually remapping 156 links that had become stale. However, we also see that for most common nouns it is still possible to match most of the senses to a concept in Wikidata, for example of the eight senses of ‘work’ in WordNet, six of them can easily be mapped to a concept in Wikidata and only two abstract definitions ‘activity directed toward making or doing something’ and ‘applying the mind to learning and understanding a subject (especially by reading)’ are not obviously available in Wikidata. In fact, out of 122,147 noun lemmas in English WordNet 67,569 (55.3%) are represented by an entry in Wikidata and as such we believe that the majority of noun senses in WordNet should have a counterpart in Wikidata.

Given the size of the task of this linking, it is obvious that we should have some automatic help to improve the linking process; however, neither resource would accept fully automatic linking as has been applied in other resources such as BabelNet (Navigli and Ponzetto, 2010) and UBY (Gurevych et al., 2012). As such, in this paper we start the process of using automatic tools to construct the links between the datasets and manually validating. For the purpose of this paper, our first focus is on what we refer to as hapax links, that is links for which there is only a single sense for the lemma in WordNet and for which only one page in Wikidata has this lemma as the English title. We then consider how we could extend this further to the links where there is ambiguity in the lemma. Finally, we consider how this linking could

---

\(^1\)We use ‘WordNet’ to refer to either resource
\(^2\)https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Main_Page
be used to contribute back to English WordNet and extend the existing categories there.

2 Related Work

Most of the focus to date has been on the development of automatic linking between WordNet and encyclopedic knowledge graphs based on Wikipedia such as DBpedia (Auer et al., 2007) or Wikidata. One of the most prominent examples of this is BabelNet (Navigli and Ponzetto, 2010), which mapped WordNet to Wikipedia using a word sense disambiguation algorithm, in which the surrounding elements in the synset graph and article text were used as context for disambiguation. In their work (Navigli and Ponzetto, 2012), the authors report an F-Measure of 82.7% in their linking, and while this is strong it cannot be considered to be a gold standard. Another approach has been through the use of Personalised PageRank (PPR) (Agirre and Soroa, 2009), which was first attempted by Toral et al. (Toral et al., 2009) and later improved by Meyer and Gurevych (Meyer and Gurevych, 2011) to create the UBY resource (Gurevych et al., 2012). A similar resource, YAGO (Suchanek et al., 2008), has also been constructed by means of automatic linking and while they report very high accuracy (97.7%) this referred to only a limited number of concepts that are linked. There have also been attempts to link WordNet to other resources including the SemLink (Bonial et al., 2013; Palmer et al., 2014) that have provided links to other lexical resources and ontologies. In contrast to these works, this work is developing a manual linking that aims to be usable as a gold standard.

3 Hapax Linking

3.1 Methodology

One of the most obvious ways to get a good linking is to focus on the elements in the two resources that are *hapax legomenon* in the resource, that is that they only occur a single time in the resource. By this, we mean that for English WordNet, a synset only occurs in a single noun synset and for Wikidata the label is unique for this concept. As such, we first base our approach on identifying and linking these elements between the two resources based on an exactly matching hapax lemma in both resources. An initial analysis of this showed that there were quite a large number of links; however, we noticed that due to the large number of entities that are available in Wikidata there were often spurious links. In order to mitigate this, we took a couple of quick heuristics before evaluation

- Each Wikidata entity is identified with a ‘Q’ code that is assigned sequentially. A quick analysis suggested that ‘Q’ numbers over 10,000,000 generally referred to entities of such little significance that it was extremely unlikely they would be mentioned in English WordNet.
- We filtered out all entities whose definition contained “Wikipedia disambiguation page” or “Wikimedia disambiguation page” as these were not real-world entities in Wikidata.
- We also filtered out all entities whose definitions were of the form of 1-3 words followed by the word “by” and then 1-4 words. A very large number of entities matching this pattern were irrelevant entities such as “song/album/film” by “band/author/director”.

In total, using this method we discovered 16,452 candidates for this hapax linking, which represents 19.5% of all noun synsets in WordNet.

3.2 Evaluation

In order to evaluate the quality of the hapax linking, and automatically check for any errors in the linking, we set up an evaluation program using a simple spreadsheet to evaluate the hapax links. We provided the evaluators with enough information to evaluate the quality of the linking, in particular: the lemma and Wikidata identifier, the definitions of the concept given in both resources and the (instance) hypernyms of the concepts in each resource. The results of this can be seen in Table 1, where we give four examples of the linkings extracted, where the first three were the first three rows randomly presented to our evaluators. The fourth row, ‘Occam’ gives an interesting example of a spurious match, where the philosopher is linked to a programming language named after the philosopher. As part of the annotation guidelines, annotators were instructed to consider matches as long as they were broadly correct, so for example ‘prunus triloba’ refers to a species of plants in Wikidata but as a tree in English WordNet, but as they clearly refer to the same plant they are considered matching even though ontologically a species is not a tree.
| Wikidata ID | Lemma       | Wikidata Definition                                                                 | WordNet Definition                  | Wikidata Hypernyms | WordNet Hypernyms |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Q2663273    | boasting    | to speak with excessive pride and self-satisfaction about one’s achievements, possessions, or abilities [...] | speaking of yourself in superlatives | none               | speech act        |
| Q514686     | aphonia     | medical condition leading to loss of voice                                        | a disorder of the vocal organs that results in the loss of voice | voice disorder     | defect of speech, speech disorder, speech defect |
| Q105719     | Jean Harlow | American film actress                                                              | United States film actress who made several films with Clark Gable (1911-1937) | human              | actress           |
| Q838062     | Occam       | Concurrent programming language                                                    | English scholastic philosopher and assumed author of Occam’s Razor (1285-1349) | programming language; procedural programming language | philosopher       |
| Q2727171    | prunus triloba | species of plant deciduous Chinese shrub or small tree with often trilobed leaves grown for its pink-white flowers | Prunus, almond tree                |                    |                   |

Table 1: Examples of the Hapax linking and the information give to annotators to evaluate the results.

So far the annotation has been completed up to 1,997 entities and of those 1,920 have been accepted (96.1%) indicating that the hapax linking is overall very reliable. The annotators quickly noted that some Wikidata classes contained many entities not found in English WordNet, in particular ‘album’, ‘band’, ‘single’, ‘video game’, ‘film’, ‘television series’, ‘family name’, ‘written work’, ‘song’ and ‘television program’. These elements account for 35 of the false links and if they were excluded the overall accuracy of the hapax linking would be 98.4%. In addition, we also evaluated the inter-annotator agreement of the linking using two annotators over 497 evaluations and a Cohen’s kappa score of 81.4% was obtained indicating strong agreement between the annotators. In fact, 8 of the 11 disagreements between the annotators were errors by the annotators and only 3 were due to the nature of the task. This suggests that the annotators are able to make clear judgements in the vast majority of cases.

### 3.3 Publishing

The links have been made available through Wikidata by means of the property P5063, which links the elements to the GWA InterLingual Index (ILI) (Bond et al., 2016). These were contributed to the Wikidata project by means of QuickStatements. In addition, the data is made available as a comma-separated value list on the English WordNet project.

### 4 Towards a complete linking

The hapax linking above, while it has a very high accuracy is also not sufficient in order to create a complete linking between two resources, as such we have attempted to evaluate how easily this can be extended to a complete linking of the two re-
Table 2: List of classes in Wikidata that do not frequently occur in English WordNet

| Q7366   | song                |
| Q7889   | video game          |
| Q11424  | film                |
| Q101352 | family name         |
| Q134556 | single              |
| Q207628 | musical composition |
| Q215380 | musical group       |
| Q222910 | compilation album   |
| Q386724 | work                |
| Q482994 | album               |
| Q3305213| painting            |
| Q5398426| television series   |
| Q5741069| rock band           |

4.1 Extending the linking with Naisc

The first step in creating the linking is to extract the relevant facts about the entities from WordNet and Wikidata. From English WordNet, we extracted the definitions and labels as well as the synset links, and similarly for Wikidata we extracted the English labels and definitions, as well as the links between synsets. As the size of Wikidata was very large, we limited this extraction to entities whose terms occurred in English WordNet and hypernyms of these terms. As previously, we filtered these entities using heuristics, namely the “X by Y” pattern, disambiguation pages and discarding Q IDs over 10,000,000 as before. In addition, we also developed a reject list and removed all elements that were hyponyms of this list, which is shown in Table 2. We then applied the Naisc methodology consisting of the following analysis:

- The system identified the hapax links as in the previous step and accepted them automatically due to the high precision of these links established in the previous step. This created a merged graph containing the links between the Wikidata concepts, the links between the English WordNet synsets and the hapax links.

- The definitions were compared using the Jaccard similarity of the two definitions both at word-level and character-level, as in previous word similarity approaches (McCrae and Buitelaar, 2018).

- In addition, we analysed the similarity of each element according the Personalised PageRank (PPR) algorithm (Page et al., 1999), using the Fast-PPR implementation (Lofgren et al., 2014), as in Meyer and Gurevych (Meyer and Gurevych, 2011).

- This generated three scores, which were normalized in the range [0,1], by means of percentile ranking, so that the score which corresponds to the lowest of the top 10% of scores was mapped to 0.1.

- A simple average of the three scores (character-level Jaccard, word-level Jaccard and PPR) was used to rank each potential match.

- We used a bijective assumption, that each entity in WordNet matches only a single element in Wikidata, and as such the problem can be cast as an assignment problem (Munkres, 1957), which can be solved with the Hungarian algorithm (Kuhn, 1955). However, due to the very large size of the datasets, we instead used a simple greedy approach.

4.2 Evaluation of the Extended linking

The evaluation of the linking was completed by two annotators who evaluated 100 links predicted by the system. They agreed on an accuracy between 65-66% with a Cohen’s Kappa of 0.934 of the automatic linking. The primary disagreements were on two examples “snack bar” defined as “inexpensive food counter” or a “usually inexpensive bar” and “brother” defined as “Hong Kong internet slang” or “used as a term of address for those male persons engaged in the same movement”. Divided by the prediction scores, those links predicted with a confidence of less than 60% by the system were all incorrect (0.0% accuracy), those with a 60-80% accuracy were correct 23/39 times (59.0% accuracy) and those with a greater than 80% confidence were correct 42/49 times (85.7% accuracy). These statistics indicate that the system’s confidence was a good predictor of the accuracy of links.\(^3\)

\(^3\)These scores were not shown to the annotators in the manual evaluation.
5 Discussion

One of the key objectives of this project is to enable the extension of WordNet with more entities achieving a similar goal to that of Bond and Bond (2019) of developing wordnets of geographic place names, but for more categories than just place names. Given that we have 9,149 links now confirmed between WordNet and Wikidata, we can make inference about likely extra entities that could be added to WordNet. For example, if we know that ‘Paris’ (i83645) is an instance of ‘national capital’ (i82619) and we have now linked this to Wikidata (Q90) which asserts that this is an instance of ‘capital’ (Q5119), then we could establish the link between the categories for ‘national capitals’ and ‘capitals’ and add capitals that are missing from WordNet, such as ‘Juba’ (Q1947). We are currently investigating the potential to create an extended WordNet from this linking, however there are challenges due to the difference in structure between WordNet and Wikidata. For example, ‘George Washington’ (i97352/Q23) is asserted as an instance of ‘general’ (i90718) and ‘President of the United States’ (i92216) in WordNet but only as a ‘human’ (Q5) in Wikidata. Instead, Wikidata uses different properties, namely ‘occupation’ (P106) and ‘position held’ (P39) to assert the facts expressed in WordNet. It is unclear how best these inconsistencies should be resolved in the context of WordNet.

6 Conclusion

In this work we have analysed the task of linking the noun hierarchy of WordNet with Wikidata. We found that the approach relying on hapax linking can be achieved with very high accuracy, although this does still produce occasional errors. However, for ambiguous senses the task of linking is still much harder and the automatic methods need to be further refined to produce high quality results. As a result of this we have increased the amount of links between Wikidata and WordNet to nearly 10,000 and have made them available in Wikidata and English WordNet\(^4\). We hope that this can be a seed to further the integration of the two projects and close the gap between the lexical and encyclopedic information in the two resources.
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