Identification of in vivo phosphorylation sites of lens proteins from porcine eye lenses by a gel-free phosphoproteomics approach

Shyh-Horng Chiou,1,2 Chun-Hao Huang,1,2 I-Liang Lee,1,2 Yi-Ting Wang,3 Nai-Yu Liu,4 Yeou-Guang Tsay,4 Yu-Ju Chen3

(Chun-Hao Huang, I-Liang Lee, and Yi-Ting Wang contributed equally to this paper)

1Graduate Institute of Medicine and Center for Research Resources and Development, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan; 2Institute of Biological Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan; 3Institute of Biochemical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei; Chemical Biology and Molecular Biophysics Program, Taiwan International Graduate Program, Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan; 4Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan

Purpose: Phosphorylation is an important post-translational modification for the cellular regulation of various biosignaling pathways. We have identified in vivo phosphorylation sites of various lens proteins including especially the major structural proteins of the crystallin family from porcine eye lenses by means of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) or immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) followed by liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

Methods: For the identification of phosphorylated residues in various lens proteins of porcine lens extracts, we have adapted two complementary proteomic approaches, i.e., pre-fractionation of protein samples with 2-DE or enrichment of phosphopeptides with IMAC followed by LC-MS/MS analysis and database search. The results were compared and validated with those in phosphoproteomics databases.

Results: Two subunits of α-crystallin, αA-crystallin and αB-crystallin, as well as other lens crystallins and non-crystallin cellular proteins, such as β-enolase, heat shock protein β-1 (HSP27), and glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (GPI) were found to be phosphorylated in vivo at specific sites. Moreover, αA- and αB-crystallins were found to be the most abundantly phosphorylated proteins in porcine lenses, being extensively phosphorylated on serine or threonine, but not on tyrosine residues.

Conclusions: The complementary gel-based and gel-free proteomic strategies have been compared and evaluated for the study of crystallin phosphorylation from whole tissue extracts of porcine eye lenses. Technically, the IMAC method facilitates direct site-specific identification of phosphorylation residues in lens proteins, which does not necessitate the pre-MS/MS 2-DE separation of protein samples. Moreover, the improved strategy using gel-free phosphoproteomics analysis affords a more effective and simplistic method for the determination of in vivo phosphorylation sites than the conventional 2-DE pre-separation of protein mixture. This study should form a firm basis for the comprehensive analysis of post-translational modification of lens proteins in terms of aging or various diseased states.

Mammalian eye lenses are composed of elongated fiber cells, of which approximately 90% of the total soluble proteins belong to three major classes of proteins, i.e., α−, β−, and γ−crystallins [1,2]. Essentially, these crystallins can exist in the eye lens with little turnover throughout the entire lifespan, albeit with various degrees of post-translational modifications such as deamidation, phosphorylation, and proteolytic truncation [3-5]. Among these, phosphorylation is most noteworthy for playing a major role in the regulation of various biosignaling pathways [6] which may include cancer development, aging, and cataract formation. Therefore identification of protein phosphorylation and its exact locations in proteins or enzymes of interest are always considered as a preeminent and nontrivial task in the conventional mechanistic and functional study of various cellular proteins. Mainly attributable to the advent of emerging proteomics, the investigation of protein phosphorylation has recently become less tedious and more amendable to routine analysis [7].

The common strategy of most conventional proteomic approaches to the identification of proteins rests in the peptide mass fingerprints of proteins under study, which can be used as an identification tag to search the corresponding identical or highly homologous sequence fragment patterns in protein sequence databank. Such fingerprints usually come from the tandem mass spectra of peptides generated from proteolytic digestion of proteins of interest. However before obtaining the
digested protein fragments, the global or comprehensive separation of a given protein mixture is generally required. 2-DE gel electrophoresis was previously considered as the method of choice, as it could afford a high throughput and relatively high-resolution analytical tool to resolve and separate a mixture of thousands of protein species with different charge and size properties [8]. However, the serious drawback of low sensitivity and under-representation for some special classes of proteins such as the extremely basic or acidic groups of proteins and membrane proteins [8,9] necessitated the development of more sensitive labeling methods such as stable isotopic labeling [10] in conjunction with multidimensional LC-MS/MS analysis. Thus, direct digestion of total cellular protein extracts followed by high-resolution LC-MS/MS, the so-called shotgun strategy, has been shown to facilitate the highly sensitive identification of protein mixtures without prior protein separation on 2-DE gels [7,11,12].

In spite of the rapid improvement of various types of mass spectrometry designed to study post-translational modifications of cellular proteins, especially concerning protein phosphorylation, there still exist some discrepancies or ambiguities between results obtained from previous investigations of different laboratories. The major emphasis of recent proteomic studies is being directed toward a more facile and global analysis of cellular systems, however methodologies to date still do not exist for conducting a routine and reliable high-throughput analysis of proteome-wide changes in the phosphorylation of proteins. In this study, phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated lens proteins from porcine eye lens were identified by gel-based 2-DE protein fractionation and gel-free enrichment of phosphopeptides from trypsin-digested protein mixture on immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC), followed by LC-MS/MS. Based on our results of the comparison and evaluation of two different protocols of proteomic approaches, we conclude that gel-free IMAC phosphopeptide enrichment, coupled with LC-MS/MS analysis, is now capable of identification of phosphorylated sites from the whole lens extract, effectively circumventing the need for prior protein separation by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis.

**METHODS**

**Chemicals:** Triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEABC) and iron chloride (FeCl₃) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The BCA™ protein assay reagent kit was obtained from Pierce (Rockford, IL). Ammonium persulfate and N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylenediamine were purchased from Amersham Pharmacia (Piscataway, NJ). Acetic acid (AA) was purchased from J. T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ). Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), formic acid (FA), and HPLC-grade acetonitrile were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Modified, sequencing-grade trypsin was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI).

**Preparation of porcine lens extract:** Young porcine eyeballs were obtained from a local slaughterhouse. Eyeballs were kept and stored at −80 °C in a freezer before dissection. Porcine lenses were removed from the eyeballs, homogenized, and suspended in the buffer of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8 for the extraction of total lens crystallins as described previously [13-17].

**Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis:** Porcine lens extract was solubilized in lysis buffer containing 8 M urea, 0.5% CHAPS or Triton X-100. After the estimation of protein content using a 2-D Quant Kit (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden), about 100 µg total protein was loaded onto IPG gel strips (pH 3–10 Nonlinear, 24 cm, Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden). The IPG strips were rehydrated overnight according to the operational guideline of the manufacturer (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden). For the first-dimensional separation, isoelectric focusing (IEF) was performed using Ettan IPGphor II (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) at 20 °C with 300–8,000 V for 16 h. After IEF, the IPG strips were equilibrated for 10 min each in two equilibration solutions (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 2% SDS, 30% glycerol containing 100 mg dithiothreitol [DTT] or 250 mg iodoacetic acid [IAA], respectively), and then attached to a 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel of Laemmli’s buffer system, then covered by 0.5% agarose gel. 2-DE was conducted at 130–250 V for 5–6 h until the bromophenol blue reached the bottom of the gel. The gels were stained by Sypro-Ruby overnight. The protein profiles of the gels were scanned using a Typhoon 9400 scanner (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden). Gel image matching was done using ImageMaster™ 2D Platinum Software Version 5.0 (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden). Intensity levels were normalized between gels as a proportion of the total protein intensity detected for the entire gel.

**In-gel digestion:** Based on the 2D gel analysis of samples, differentially expressed proteins were selected for further identification by LC-MS/MS. The protein spots were cut from 2D gels, and then destained three times with 25 mM of ammonium bicarbonate buffer (pH 8.0) in 50% acetonitrile (ACN) for 1 h. The gel pieces were dehydrated in 100% ACN for 5 min and then dried for 30 min in a vacuum centrifuge. Enzyme digestion was performed by adding 0.5 µg trypsin in 25 mM of ammonium bicarbonate per sample at 37 °C for 16 h. The peptide fragments were extracted twice with 50 µl 50% ACN/ 0.1% TFA. After removal of ACN and TFA by centrifugation in a vacuum centrifuge, samples were dissolved in 0.1% formic acid as well as 50% ACN.

**LC-MS/MS analysis from 2-DE:** Electrospray mass spectrometry was performed using a Finnigan LTQ Orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer interfaced with Agilent 1200 capillary high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system. A 100×0.075 mm Agilent C18 column (3.5 µm
particle diameter) with mobile phases of A (0.1% formic acid in water) and B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) were used. The peptides were eluted at a flow rate of 0.4 μl/min with an acetonitrile gradient, which consisted of 5%–10% B in 5 min, 10%–50% B in 25 min, and 50%–95% B in 4 min. The spectra for the eluting fractions were acquired as successive sets of scan modes. The MS scan determines the intensity of the ions in the m/z range of 200 to 2,000, and a specific ion was selected for a tandem MS/MS scan. The former examined the charge number of the selected ion and the latter acquired the spectrum (CID spectrum or MS/MS spectrum) for the fragment ions derived by collision-induced dissociation. Proteins were identified in NCBI databases by use of MS/MS ion search with the search program Mascot.

**Comprehensive PTM mapping analysis:** The data interpretation steps were facilitated by Xcalibur and TurboSequest softwares (Thermo electron, San Jose, CA) as well as in-house proprietary programs. Our Excel macro Output Plus can extract MS and MS/MS data and store them as text files. SegMS macro can generate segmental average MS scans using the above MS data. The macro PTMFinder can use the segmental average MS scan and TurboSequest results to screen the likely modification-containing peptides. For modified candidate peptides with acquired MS/MS spectra, we use another macro MS2Graph to verify their identities along with identification of their modified residues within the peptides for further validation.

**Gel-assisted digestion:** The protein samples from the lens were subjected to gel-assisted digestion. The sample was incorporated into a gel directly in the Eppendorf vial with acrylamide/bisacrylamide solution (40%, v/v, 29:1), 10% (w/v) APS, 100% TEMED as a proportion (14:5:0:7:0:3) [9,18]. The gel was cut into small pieces and washed several times with 25 mM TEABC containing 50% (v/v) ACN. The gel samples were further dehydrated with 100% ACN and completely dried using SpeedVac. Proteolytic digestion was performed with trypsin (protein:trypsin = 50:1, g/g) in 25 mM TEABC with incubation overnight at 37 °C. The trypptic peptides were dried completely under vacuum and stored at −30 °C.

**IMAC Procedure:** The IMAC column was first capped at one end with a 0.5 μm frit disk enclosed in a stainless steel column-end fitting. The Ni-NTA resin was extracted from spin column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and packed into a 10 cm microcolumn (500 μm i.d. PEEK column; Upchurch Scientific/ Rheodyne, Oak Harbor, WA) as described previously [19]. Automatic purification of phosphopeptides was performed by connecting to an autosampler and an HP1100 solvent delivery system (Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA) with a flow rate 13 μl/min. First, the Ni⁺⁺ ions were removed with 100 μl 50 mM EDTA in 1 M NaCl. Then the IMAC column was activated with 100 μl 0.2 M FeCl₃ and equilibrated with loading buffer for 30 min before sample loading. The loading buffer/acetic acid was 6% (v/v) and the pH was adjusted to 3.0 with 0.1 M NaOH (pH=12.8). The peptide samples from trypsin digestion were reconstituted in the loading buffer and loaded into the IMAC column that had been equilibrated with the same loading buffer for 20 min. The unbound peptides were then removed with 100 μl of washing solution, consisting of 75% (v/v) loading buffer and 25% (v/v) ACN, followed by equilibration with loading buffer for 15 min. Finally, the bound peptides were eluted with 100 μl 200 mM NH₄H₂PO₄ (pH 4.4). Eluted peptide samples were dried under vacuum and then reconstituted in 0.1% (v/v) TFA (40 μl) for further desalting and concentration using ZipTips™ (Millipore, Bedford, CA).

**LC-MS/MS analysis from gel-assisted digestion and IMAC:** Purified phosphopeptide samples were reconstituted in 4 μl buffer A (0.1% formic acid (FA) in H₂O) and analyzed by LC-Q-TOF MS (Waters Q-TOF™ Premier; Waters Corp, Milford, MA). For LC-MS/MS analysis by Waters Q-TOF™ Premier, samples were injected into a 2 cm×180 μm capillary trap column and separated by 20 cm×75 μm Waters1 ACQUITYTM 1.7 mm BEH C18 column using a nanoACQUITY Ultra Performance LC™ system (Waters Corp.). The column was maintained at 35 °C and bound peptides were eluted with a linear gradient of 0%–80% buffer B (buffer A, 0.1% FA in H₂O; buffer B, 0.1% FA in ACN) for 120 min. MS was operated in ESI positive V mode with a resolving power of 10,000. NanoLockSpray source was used for accurate mass measurement and the lock mass channel was sampled every 30 s. The mass spectrometer was calibrated with a synthetic human [Glu]-Fibrinopeptide B solution (1 pmol/μl, from Sigma Aldrich) delivered through the NanoLockSpray source. Data acquisition was operated in the data directed analysis (DDA). The method included a full MS scan (m/z 400–1,600, 0.6 s) and three MS/MS (m/z 100–1,990, 1.2 s each scan) sequentially on the three most intense ions present in the full scan mass spectrum.

**Database search and data-filtering:** Raw MS/MS data were converted into peak lists using Distiller (version 2.0; Matrix Science, London, UK) with default parameters. All MS/MS samples were analyzed using Mascot (version 2.2.1; Matrix Science, London, UK). Mascot was set up to search the Swissprot_Mammalia (version 54.2, 55,307 entries) allowing for trypsin digestion. Phosphorylation (Ser/Thr/Tyr) and oxidation (Met) were selected as variable modifications. The data were searched with a fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.1 Da. Two missed cleavages were allowed for trypsin as the digestion enzyme. Mascot was searched with a fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.1 Da and a parent ion tolerance of 0.1 Da. Two missed cleavages were allowed for trypsin digestion. Phosphorylation (Ser/Thr/Tyr) and oxidation (Met) were selected as variable modifications. To evaluate the false discovery rate of protein identification, we repeated the search using identical search parameters and validation criteria against a randomized decoy database created by Mascot. The false discovery rates with Mascot score >36 (p<0.05) was 0.73% in this study.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The availability of complete genome sequences is moving biologic research to an era where cellular systems are analyzed as a whole rather than as individual components. While global gene expression measurements at the mRNA level opens the door to important biologic advances, much of the understanding of cellular systems and the roles of various cellular constituents still depends on proteomics. The study of proteins at the level of the cellular systems using the current proteomics methodology will provide a firm basis for understanding the complex biosignaling pathways of the whole organism within the interdisciplinary realm of systems biology [20]. Therefore, the global understanding of cellular systems revealed by proteomic investigations will create new avenues of research unlikely to arise from the past paradigm of “single” protein characterization methodologies.

Studies estimate that as many as one-third of all cellular proteins derived from mammalian cells are phosphorylated [6]. Although greater emphasis is being directed toward a comprehensive global analysis of cellular systems, methodologies still do not exist for reliable, high-throughput analysis of proteome-wide changes in the phosphorylation of proteins. Direct determination of individual phosphorylation sites occurring on phosphoproteins in vivo has been difficult to date, typically requiring the purification to homogeneity of the phosphoprotein of interest before analysis. There has been a need for a more rapid and general method for the analysis of protein phosphorylation in complex protein mixtures [21].

In this study, phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated lens proteins from porcine eye lenses were identified and compared by two complementary proteomic protocols, i.e., (1) gel-based 2-DE protein fractionation and (2) gel-free enrichment of phosphopeptides from trypsin-digested protein mixture on immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) followed by LC-MS/MS. We attempt to evaluate and establish a simplistic protocol to study the post-translational modifications, especially phosphorylation, on the whole lens extract.

Previous reports regarding the investigation of phosphorylation sites of lens crystallins started from the observation that radiolabeled inorganic phosphate ($^{32}$Pi) could be incorporated into both αA- and αB-crystallins with some evidence that serine was the only phosphorylated residue [22]. In vitro phosphorylation of αB-crystallin was later found to be located principally at Ser-45 and Ser-59 [23], in contrast to the in vivo phosphorylated sites at Ser-19 and Ser-45 [24]. For αB-crystallin in the lens, the major phosphorylation sites have been confirmed to be at serine residues 19, 45, and 59 and the phosphorylation at Ser-45 results in uncontrolled aggregation [4,25]. The phosphorylation of αA-crystallins was also identified by mass spectrometry [26]. Thus, there appeared to be some discrepancies or ambiguities, especially concerning different phosphorylated sites of crystallins under in vivo and in vitro conditions between previous investigations in the literature.

**Gel-based proteomic analysis of porcine lens extract:**

The global protein-expression profile of porcine lens was analyzed using high-resolution 2-DE. The pI range for the
first-dimension IEF strips was 3–10 and the second-dimension SDS–PAGE was run at 12.5% polyacrylamide gel (Figure 1). The proteomic analysis showed that most lens proteins located on the basic and low molecular weight regions correspond to lens crystallins (protein spots No. 1–20) as revealed by LC-MS/MS (Table 1). In our analysis, seven protein spots located on the acidic and basic region on 2D gel were identified as αA-crystallin (protein spots No. 1–4) and αB-crystallin (protein spot No. 5–7), respectively. We also detected 13 protein spots that belong to the class of β-crystallin (protein spots No. 8–20).

Table 1. Proteins of porcine eye lens identified by 2-DE and LC-MS/MS analysis.

| Spot | Protein name | Accession number | Mascot Score | pI/mass, kDa | Normalized spot intensity, % | Phosphopeptides |
|------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 1    | αA-crystallin | P02475          | 536          | 5.78/19.7    | 8.9                           |                |
| 2    | αA-crystallin | P02475          | 428          | 5.78/19.7    | 3.0                           |                |
| 3    | αA-crystallin | P02475          | 442          | 5.78/19.7    | 3.4                           |                |
| 4    | αA-crystallin | P02475          | 272          | 5.78/19.7    | 1.8                           |                |
| 5    | αB-crystallin | Q7M2W6          | 438          | 6.76/20.1    | 5.4                           | RPFFPFHSPSR    |
| 6    | αB-crystallin | Q7M2W6          | 465          | 6.76/20.1    | 2.8                           | RPFPFHFSPGK    |
| 7    | αB-crystallin | Q7M2W6          | 358          | 6.76/20.1    | 2.9                           |                |
| 8    | βA4-crystallin | XP_001927427  | 335          | 5.91/22.4    | 2.8                           |                |
| 9    | βA4-crystallin | XP_001927427  | 437          | 5.91/22.4    | 1.0                           |                |
| 10   | βA4-crystallin | XP_001927427  | 351          | 5.91/22.4    | 0.9                           |                |
| 11   | βB4-crystallin | XP_001929508  | 390          | 6.36/24.2    | 1.5                           |                |
| 12   | βB3-crystallin | XP_001929508  | 436          | 6.36/24.2    | 1.5                           |                |
| 13   | βB3-crystallin | XP_001929508  | 112          | 6.45/23.3    | 0.9                           |                |
| 14   | βA2-crystallin | XP_001924958  | 262          | 6.15/22.2    | 3.1                           |                |
| 15   | βB2-crystallin | XP_001924958  | 625          | 6.45/23.3    | 9.4                           |                |
| 16   | βB3-crystallin | XP_001929508  | 658          | 6.36/24.2    | 2.8                           |                |
| 17   | βB2-crystallin | XP_001924958  | 321          | 6.45/23.3    | 5.5                           |                |
| 18   | βB2-crystallin | XP_001924958  | 350          | 6.45/23.3    | 0.9                           |                |
| 19   | βB2-crystallin | XP_001924958  | 193          | 6.45/23.3    | 1.4                           |                |
| 20   | βA4-crystallin | XP_001927427  | 206          | 5.91/22.4    | 1.3                           |                |

In the “Phosphopeptides” column, the phosphorylation sites are underlined.

Figure 2. 2-DE gel patterns of αA- and αB-crystallins in a porcine lens. After purification of α-crystallin by gel filtration according to our previous report [5], 100 μg α-crystallin was loaded onto IPG gel strips (pH 3–10 Nonlinear, 13 cm). The IPG strips were rehydrated, and after IEF, subjected to 2-DE. Protein spots marked and enclosed by α1 and α2 on the map denote the acidic αA-crystallin and basic αB-crystallin subunits of native α-crystallin, respectively. They were further digested by trypsin and identified by nano LC-MS/MS. It is noted that only αB-crystallin spots were found to be phosphorylated by gel-based 2-DE proteomic analysis.
Gel-free proteomic analysis of phosphorylated proteins in porcine lens: Because the capability of a gel-based proteomic approach to identify phosphoproteins was limited for phosphoprotein identification, we adopted instead for a gel-free protocol similar to shotgun proteomic approaches [11,12]. By enrichment of the porcine lens phosphopeptides on IMAC followed by LC-MS/MS analysis, we have identified 195 phosphopeptides. Among the identified phosphopeptides, the proportions of phosphorylation on serine or threonine in the porcine lens were 85% and 15% (data not shown), respectively. As shown in Table 2, the 27 nondegenerate phosphopeptides belonged to six proteins in the porcine lens, including αB-crystallin, αA-crystallin, βB1-crystallin, β-enolase, heat shock protein β-1 (HSP27), and glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (GPI).

In contrast to traditional gel-based proteomic analysis, the gel-free methods can analyze all compositions of phosphopeptides in the porcine lens. As shown in Figure 4A, most phosphopeptides were identified from αB-crystallin, indicating that it is probably the most abundant phosphoprotein in the porcine lens tissue. The proportion of other phosphopeptides identified in αA-crystallin, βB1-crystallin, β-enolase, and HSP27 and GPI were 14%, 11%, 6%, 3%, and 2%, respectively, emphasizing the fact that α-crystallin consisting of αA- and αB-crystallin subunits is indeed the major phosphorylation target in the lens and may play a significant role in the phosphorylation-related biosignaling function of transparent lenses.

Identification of phosphorylation sites in lens crystallins: As shown in Table 2, the phosphorylation sites of αA-crystallin, αB-crystallin, and βB1-crystallin were found to spread over the entire polypeptide regions of these three crystallins. Based on the proportion of phosphorylation sites
in each crystallin, we found that Ser-59 and Thr-189 are two predominant phosphorylation-sites in αB-crystallin and βB1-crystallin, respectively (Figure 4B,C). To our knowledge, phosphorylation at Thr-189 of βB1-crystallin identified in this study is a new and first-reported phosphorylation site for β-crystallin class of lens crystallins. In addition, nine phosphorylated sites of αA-crystallin were found to distribute more or less evenly on the whole polypeptide chain with the exception of Ser-155, Ser-81, and Ser-59 (Figure 4D). In contrast the phosphorylation of αB-crystallin was shown to distribute unevenly over the whole crystallin with the highest proportion of phosphorylation occurring at Ser-59 followed by Ser-19 (Figure 4B). The mechanisms that account for the different extents of phosphorylation at specific sites of αA- and αB-crystallins remain unknown and is to be investigated in the future.

Identification of phosphorylation sites in β-enolase, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (GPI), and heat shock protein β-1 (HSP 27): In addition to lens crystallins, three non-crystallin proteins were also found to be phosphorylated in vivo in our proteomic analysis (Table 2). The β-enolase was found to be phosphorylated at Ser-83 and Ser-263 and GPI phosphorylated at Ser-232 and Ser-455. It is noteworthy that similar to αB-crystallin, a member of the heat shock protein family, a lenticular HSP27 with chaperone activity was shown to be phosphorylated at Ser-13 and Ser-15.

Conclusions: Besides αA- and αB-crystallins which show chaperone activity and extensive phosphorylation, βB1-crystallin and non-crystallin cellular proteins, such as β-enolase, heat shock protein β-1 (HSP27), and glucose-6-phosphate isomerase have also been shown for the first time to be phosphorylated in vivo at specific sites. Moreover, αA- and αB-crystallins were found to be the most abundantly phosphorylated proteins in porcine lenses, being exclusively phosphorylated on serine or threonine but not on tyrosine residues. Using the gel-free proteomic strategy by employing IMAC enrichment of phosphopeptides from a trypsin-digested lens protein mixture followed by sensitive LC-MS/MS proves to be superior to conventional proteomic analysis based on the pre-MS/MS 2-DE separation of protein samples. The improved strategy of gel-free phosphoproteomics analysis affords a more effective and facile method for the determination of in vivo phosphorylation sites of whole tissue extract.

In the “Phosphopeptides” column, the phosphorylation sites are underlined.

![Table 2. Summary of identified phosphorylated proteins and phosphorylated sites in porcine lens proteins by IMAC followed by LC-MS/MS analysis.](http://www.molvis.org/molvis/v16/a35)
phosphorylation in relation to the chaperone-like activity and their biological significance [25,31,32].
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