Introduction

There is a growing need for new ways to regenerate and repair injuries in organs. Most organs have limited inherent regenerative capacity, with scarring preventing full organ functioning. For instance, myocardial infarction is often followed by the myocardium being replaced with noncontractile scar tissue, which can further result in congestive heart failure [1,2]. In the case of bone, metabolic disorders such as osteoporosis cause abnormal bone loss and traumatic injuries lead to large lesions, which are incapable of self-regeneration. The search has therefore turned to novel ways to stimulate the original organogenic process and regenerate normal tissue.

Use of multipotential stromal cells (MSCs) or mesenchymal stem cells to reconstruct tissue looks extremely promising due to their trans-differentiation potential. MSCs have the ability to form cells of the connective tissue, muscle, heart, blood vessels and nerves [3-6]. These cells are easy to isolate from almost all individuals; these cells are relatively safe as they rarely form teratomas [7]. In addition, these stromal cells offer several advantages over conventional therapy. MSCs respond to their environment by differentiating into the needed lineages. These cells will therefore grow, remodel and adapt to changes in tissue functions over time. As MSCs derive from bone marrow, these can be isolated from most adults with the potential of autologous transplantation, not requiring immunosuppressive agents. This procedure is in contrast to traditional methods of transplantation that lead to infection, immune rejection or simply not enough material for large-scale grafts.

Preclinical animal studies have shown promise of using MSCs for tissue regeneration. Application of these cells has led to the formation of bone, the regain of ventricular function, and the restoration of renal tubular function in rodents [8-10]. Mice rendered paraplegic by spinal cord injury have recovered on MSC treatment [11,12]. The use of MSCs is limited, however, by their scarceness in the bone marrow, as they constitute only 0.001 to 0.01% of the bone marrow population. Since regeneration of large tissues requires around $10^7$ to $10^8$ MSCs [13], there exists a need for MSCs to be expanded prior to tissue regeneration. In culture conditions, however, prolifera-
MSCs begins: the incorporation of MSCs into regenerating tissue. MSCs applied to regenerate porcine hearts following an infarct display only 5% survival in a 14-day period [14-16]. Similar results are seen on implantation of MSCs into mouse hearts with infarcts. While MSCs injected into nonischemic hearts survive better initially, one cannot find viable cells after 4 weeks [17-19]. In rat brains with cerebral artery occlusion, allogenic and human MSC transplants also show very low survival [20]. The failure of these cells to regenerate tissue may thus simply be that they do not survive to contribute to the new tissue.

The reasoning behind low incorporation of MSCs may be attributed to poor viability of cells caused by ischemia, anoikis, loss of trophic factors or inflammation at the graft site [21]. To test whether nonspecific inflammation induced death of MSCs, Griffith and colleagues subjected human MSCs to various nonspecific inflammatory cytokines in vitro. MSCs were extremely susceptible to FasL-induced cell death and also died in the presence of TRAIL [22]. Not only does the inflammatory response challenge transplanted MSCs – these cells are also considered for regeneration of tissues with harsh microenvironments. For example, when used to regenerate cartilage, MSCs need to adapt to an avascular, low oxygen concentration and a low pH microenvironment characteristic of chondrocytes [23]. Taken together, the microenvironment in which MSCs are delivered, the presence of inflammation, or the loss of trophic factors may play a role in maintaining a proliferating MSC population at the graft site (Figure 1).

On the contrary, there are reports of improved healing on MSC delivery. In a rat cerebral occlusion model there is significant recovery in motor neuron function after MSC transplantation. Despite the low survival rate of MSCs in ischemic hearts, there is decreased scarring and increased neo-angiogenesis after MSC transplantation [24,25]. MSC injection has also helped to improve pulmonary emphysema [26]. In all these cases, secretion of various growth factors and cytokines by MSCs is
thought to bring about paracrine signaling and revival of endogenous tissue cells or suppression of harmful inflammation [27]. The lack of demonstrated persistence of the transplanted MSCs has resulted in these effects being attributed not to MSC integration and regeneration of tissue, but to trophic effects brought about by these unique cells [28].

The role of growth factors in increasing proliferation and survival in MSCs has been widely studied over the past few years. Most growth factors are pleiotrophic, causing multiple biological effects. They bring about changes in motility, proliferation, morphogenesis and survival. The search for the ideal growth factor for use with MSCs is still ongoing. While some groups aim at finding a growth factor not affecting differentiation, other groups opt for a growth factor that has differentiation preference towards a specific lineage. All groups, however, attempt to find a factor that improves ex vivo expansion and heightens survival on implantation. The present review explores the effects of various growth factors on MSC expansion and survival and the signaling mechanisms behind these effects.

Growth factor signaling behind MSC proliferation and population expansion
Transforming growth factor beta family of growth factors
The choice of growth factors to be used on MSCs was initially determined based on previously existing knowledge about the effect of a particular growth factor on cell morphogenesis. This was done with the dual pursuit of expanding MSCs and causing them to differentiate into the lineage that it was known to favor. Transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ), for example, is known to influence cells from the chondrogenic lineage in vivo, promoting initial stages of mesenchymal condensation, prechondrocyte proliferation, production of extracellular matrix and cartilage-specific molecule deposition, while inhibiting terminal differentiation [29-31]. When applied to MSCs in vitro to study chondrocyte regeneration, cells show increased proliferation and a bias towards the chondrogenic lineage [30,32]. TGFβ exists as three isoforms: TGFβ1, TGFβ2, and TGFβ3. While all three isoforms induce proliferation of MSCs and chondrocyte formation, TGFβ1 has been found to have the most pronounced effect on chondrogenesis and consistently increases proliferation of MSCs [33,34], making it a prime factor for induction of chondrogenesis from implanted MSCs.

Similarly, bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2 through BMP-7 – factors belonging to the TGFβ superfamily – are known to affect bone formation. While BMP-2, BMP-4, BMP-6, and BMP-7 induce MSCs to form osteoblasts, BMP-2 has the greatest impact on differentiation [35]. MSCs overexpressing BMP-2 and implanted with the extracellular matrix protein collagen I as a hydrogel system increase proliferation of MSC differentiation into bone, and this model has been used to study cranial closures in swine [36,37]. Another member of the same family, BMP-3, increases MSC proliferation threefold [38]. Since these factors all affect bone formation at different rates and some have a greater effect on proliferation, synergistic pairs of these growth factors can be used at optimal doses and at specific points during the bone regeneration process. One such search for synergistic pairs led to combination treatment of TGFβ, with BMP-2 on MSCs; chondrogenic differentiation was found to be enhanced [39].

TGFβ signaling occurs when TGFβ or factors from the family bind a type II serine–threonine kinase receptor recruiting another such transmembrane protein (receptor I). Receptor I phosphorylates the primary intracellular downstream molecules SMADs, causing their translocation into the nucleus and specific gene transcription. Receptor I can be ALK-1, ALK-2, ALK-3, or ALK-6 that signal SMAD 1, SMAD 5, and SMAD 8, or can be ALK-4, ALK-5, or ALK-7 that signal SMAD 2 and SMAD 3. Signaling via SMAD 1, SMAD 5, or SMAD 8 is required for chondrocyte differentiation while signaling through SMAD 2 or SMAD 3 blocks chondrocyte differentiation [40]. TGFβ and members of this growth factor family can also signal via the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), Rho GTPase and phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K) pathways [41]. The effect of BMP-2 on proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of MSCs has been shown to occur via sustained signaling of the MAPK Erk [42]. The mitogenic effects of BMP-3, on the other hand, have been found to be mediated by TGFβ/activin signaling and not by any of the MAPK signaling pathways, with ALK-4 and SMAD 2 and SMAD 3 being the key players involved [38]. Figure 2 shows how signaling via SMAD 2 or SMAD 3 leads to proliferation of MSCs but blocks terminal differentiation into chondrocytes, while signaling via SMAD 1, SMAD 5, or SMAD 8 potentially leads to chondrocyte differentiation in MSCs. Figure 2 also shows how sustained signaling via Erk leads to osteoblast formation.

Fibroblast growth factors
Fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) are a family of growth factors involved in wound healing and angiogenesis. Among the various members of this family, FGF-2 or basic fibroblast growth factor (b-FGF) has been used in MSC-related studies showing increased rabbit, canine and human MSC proliferation in vitro, with the mitogenic effect being more pronounced when MSCs are seeded at lower densities [13,43-45]. b-FGF not only maintains MSC proliferation potential, it also retains osteogenic, adipogenic and chondrogenic differentiation.
Figure 2. Growth factor signaling pathways mediating proliferation in multipotential stromal cells. Binding of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) to fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR), binding of epidermal growth factor (EGF) and heparin-binding (HB)-EGF to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and binding of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) to platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) causes phosphorylation of the respective receptors, causes recruitment of the adaptor protein Grb2 and the nucleotide exchange factor SOS, which causes activation of downstream pathways, primarily phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K)-Akt/protein kinase B (PKB) and the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) Erk. Phosphorylated Erk either enters the nucleus and activates transcription of cellular proliferation genes like c-myc, or activates downstream receptors like Rsk that then activates proliferation genes. Akt similarly prevents the expression of proteins like Myt1 and Wee1, which are involved in inhibiting proliferation. Bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2 activates proliferation via the MAPK Erk pathway, unlike BMP-3 that activates Smad2 and Smad3 via Activin signaling. TGFβ3 is the most potent transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) mitogen causing proliferation via activation of Smad2, Smad3 and Smad4. Binding of Wnt3a to the Frizzled receptor causes activation of the protein Dishevelled and inactivation of the Axin–APC–Gsk3 complex, which leads to a nuclear influx of β-catenin, activating the cell cycle proteins cyclin D1 and c-myc. TGFβ also causes an influx of β-catenin in a Smad3-dependent manner. Binding of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) to c-Met under low doses causes activation of Erk and Akt, but under higher doses it inhibits proliferation by activating the p38 MAPK pathway and causing the expression of cell cycle progression inhibitors p21Waf1 and p27Kip. APC, adenomatous polyposis coli protein; Gsk3, glycogen synthase kinase 3; RSK, ribosomal S6 kinase; Smad. Sma and Mad related proteins.
potentials through the early mitogenic cycles; eventually, however, all of the MSCs differentiate into the chondrogenic line. There is a report that b-FGF can extend the proliferation of MSCs for at least 80 population doublings, which is in excess of the Hayflick number [45]. Other reports, however, do not find this extension; rather, b-FGF may just decrease the doubling time [46] with the MSCs observing the Hayflick limitation [47]. This slowing and senescence of MSCs follows that seen in other cell types; as the cells reach senescence, their growth factor receptors become downregulated and signal attenuation is highly increased to bring about resistance to the growth factor stimuli [48,49].

FGF-4, another member of this growth factor family, also increases MSC proliferation at lower densities. In addition to MSC proliferation increasing five times, the number of colony-forming units – indicative of progenitor cell populations – increases by one-half [50]. This observation suggests not only that growth factors can drive proliferation; they could contribute to stem cell expansion and a greater number of cells undergoing differentiation. FGF signals proliferation through the MAPK cascade in various cell types. From microarray analysis, Tanavde and colleagues determined that MAPK-Erk signaling might be involved in increased growth induction by b-FGF [51]. The schematic mechanism is presented in Figure 2.

**Vascular endothelial growth factor**

While investigating ways to better vascularize the MSC transplant site, it was noted that vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) increased MSC proliferation on its own [52]. Both endogenous and exogenously secreted VEGF has been found in porcine MSCs [53] but the amounts are too low for autocrine signaling. For in vivo transplantation studies, therefore, MSCs have been either adenovirally transduced with the VEGF gene or injected with a VEGF peptide to bring about increased cell counts [54]. Some signaling studies imply that MSCs do not express the VEGF receptor. This could imply that VEGF stimulates MSC proliferation by activation and downstream signaling of the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptors [55].

**Platelet-derived growth factor**

PDGFs are potent mitogens of MSCs [56] and these stromal cells express all forms of the growth factor: PDGF-A and PDGF-C at higher levels and PDGF-B and PDGF-D at lower levels. Both receptors PDGFRα and PDGFRβ are also expressed [57]. The two receptors homodimerize or heterodimerize to generate overlapping but distinct cellular signals: PDGFRα binds PDGF-AA, PDGF-BB, PDGF-CC and PDGF-AB; PDGFRβ binds PDGF-BB and PDGF-DD; and PDGFRαβ binds PDGF-BB, PDGF-CC and PDGF-AB. Several groups have found PDGF-BB to induce both proliferation and migration in MSCs [58-60]. While PDGFRβ inhibits osteogenesis, however, PDGFRα has been observed to induce osteogenesis [57]. Akt signaling has been proposed to mediate both the suppression and induction of osteogenesis by PDGFR signaling [58]. As the two receptor isoforms present quantitatively different preferences for pathway activation, due to distinct phosphotyrosine motifs, definition of critical signaling elements will await a system's approach to parse the delicate balance of competing imputes.

Early studies with PDGF showed Erk to be responsible for MSC proliferation [60]. Recently, however, it was shown that while Erk gets phosphorylated in the presence of PDGF, addition of a PDGFR inhibitor does not change phosphorylation levels of Erk [61] – which might imply that Erk activation occurs not by direct PDGFR signaling but via a secondary pathway. The same group showed that increase of MSC proliferation occurs in a dose-dependent manner due to Akt phosphorylation. Not only was there an increase in proliferation on Akt activation, there was also secretion of VEGF [61]. Further, VEGF was found to act as a ligand to PDGFR in MSCs [61]. The mitogenic pathways operative downstream of PDGFR activation are thus still uncertain.

**Hepatocyte growth factor**

Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and its receptor c-Met are expressed at low levels in mouse MSCs [62]. While the low levels of HGF found in culture media are insufficient to activate the receptor, exogenous addition of HGF to MSCs triggers the activation of receptor, affecting proliferation, migration and differentiation. Interestingly, short-term exposure to HGF in MSCs activates Ras-ERK and PI3K-Akt; these are the main pathways activated by HGF in other cell types [63]. Despite activation of these pathways, long-term exposure to the growth factor inhibits mitogenesis. In addition, exposure brings about cytoskeletal rearrangement, cell migration and expression of cardiac markers. The inhibition of proliferation probably occurs by activation of p38 MAPK and blockade of G1-G2 phase transition. This signaling also induces the universal cell cycle progression inhibitor p21waf1 and p27kip proteins [64]. HGF therefore does not seem to be an ideal factor for use with MSCs. Table 1 summarizes the effects of the various growth factors on MSCs.

**Epidermal growth factor and heparin-binding epidermal growth factor**

The growth factors described above facilitate MSC proliferation but bias differentiation into a particular lineage. This is helpful in generating specifically differentiated...
cells in culture. When injected into the body or when implanted at the site of requirement for a different or multiple lineage, however, this bias can be counterproductive. Adding to this, if the growth factors initiate differentiation, this competes with expansion and thus there may be insufficient cell numbers to completely regenerate the desired tissue. The search for a growth factor that does not cause MSC differentiation led to investigation of growth factors from the near-ubiquitous prototypal growth factor receptor family of ErbB1/epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). EGFR signaling induces proliferation, motility and survival of MSCs. Two of the receptor's ligands, epidermal growth factor (EGF) and heparin-binding EGF, promote ex vivo expansion of MSCs without triggering differentiation into any specific lineage [60,65]. In addition to its mitogenic effect on MSCs, EGF also increases the number of colony-forming units by 25% [15]. This observation indicates that treatment with EGF would also be beneficial for the maintenance of early progenitor cells.

Classical growth factors, upon binding to their cognate receptors with intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity, activate several downstream pathways that lead to proliferation: Ras GTPase through Raf and MEK to the ERK MAPKs, PI3K activation of Akt/PKB, and the STAT pathways. Tamama and colleagues showed that EGF does not activate STAT3 for proliferation in MSCs, but rather triggers ERK strongly [60]. Heparin-binding EGF, the other EGFR ligand implicated in MSC proliferation, shows activation of ERK1/2 as well as phosphorylation of Akt, but the activation of Akt is significantly lower than that by EGF. With activation of EGFR signaling, therefore, the overall population of both MSCs and their early progenitors will be high, leading to enough cells for tissue formation.

Wnt family
There have been several conflicting findings concerning Wnt signaling proliferation in MSCs. One set of studies suggests that canonical Wnt signaling maintains stem cells in an undifferentiated but self-renewing state. Addition of Wnt3a by activating the canonical Wnt pathway increases both proliferation and survival while preventing differentiation into the osteoblastic lineage in MSCs [66]. Frizzled 1 and Frizzled 4 are present on undifferentiated MSCs and are responsible for canonical Wnt transduction via Wnt3a. In addition, Wnt3a also increases the survival rate of MSCs. Wnt5a, a noncanonical Wnt, competes for Wnt3a binding to the Frizzled receptor and negates the positive effect of Wnt3a on MSC proliferation [67]. The cell cycle progression factors cyclin D1 and c-myc have been implied in both these signaling mechanisms [68]. Studies with Wnt4, another noncanonical Wnt, show no change in MSC proliferation [69]. The other set of findings connotes that canonical signaling initiated by Wnt3a inhibits human

| Growth factor family | Growth factor | Receptor/signaling modulator | Effects on proliferation/survival/morphogenesis |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1 TGF-β              | TGFβ1        | ALK-1, ALK-2, ALK-3, ALK-6 [40] | Increases chondrogenesis [34]                 |
|                      |              | ALK-4, ALK-5, ALK-7 [40]     | Increases proliferation [32]                  |
|                      | BMP-2        | Erk [42]                    | Increases osteogenesis [33], increases proliferation [36,37] |
|                      | BMP-3        | ALK-4/SMAD 2, SMAD 3 [38]   | Increases proliferation [38]                  |
| 2 FGF                | FGF-2        | FGFR/Erk [51]               | Bias towards chondrogenesis on prolonged exposure [13], increases proliferation [13,43] |
|                      |              | FGFR/Erk (putative)         | Increases proliferation [44]                  |
| 3 VEGF               | VEGF-A       | VEGF receptor/PDGF receptor [55,87]/Erk [60] | Increases proliferation [52,53] |
|                      |              | VEGF receptor/PDGF receptor/Pi3K [60] | Increases survival [72]                      |
| 4 PDGF               | PDGF-BB      | PDGF receptor/Erk [60]       | Increases proliferation [81]                  |
|                      |              | PDGF receptor/Erk [60]       | Increases survival [76]                      |
| 5 EGF                | Soluble EGF  | EGF receptor/transient Erk [22,78] | No effect on differentiation [60], increases proliferation [60] |
|                      | Tethered EGF | EGF receptor/sustained Erk [22,78] | Increases spreading and survival [22]         |
|                      | Heparin-binding EGF | EGF receptor/Erk [64] | No effect on differentiation [65], increases proliferation [65] |
| 6 HGF                | HGF          | c-Met/p38 MAPK [64]         | Enhances survival [64]                       |
|                      |              | c-Met/Pi3K [64]             | Inhibits proliferation [64]                  |
| 7 Wnt                | Wnt3a        | β-catenin                   | Promotes proliferation [67]                  |

ALK, activin receptor-like kinase; BMP, bone morphogenetic protein; EGF, epidermal growth factor; Erk, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; Pi3K, phosphoinositide-3 kinase; TGFβ, transforming growth factor beta; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
MSC proliferation [70]. A third set of studies, however, proposes that canonical Wnt signaling at low levels promotes proliferation while at higher levels inhibits MSC proliferation [71].

Part of the controversy surrounding Wnt signaling is the extensive crosstalk between Wnts and other signaling pathways that affect the fate of MSCs. TGFβ, for example, causes rapid nuclear translocation of β-catenin in a Smad3-dependent manner, causing enhanced proliferation and suppression of osteogenesis.

MSC survival and role of growth factors
A second cell behavior critical for the successful use of MSCs in regenerative repair is the survival of transplanted cells. Various growth factors — trophic factors as they are called in other cell types — have been queried for promoting this survival. VEGF is one factor that has been extensively used in MSC survival studies. MSCs treated with VEGF in vitro and MSCs carrying the VEGF gene in vivo have been shown to increase survival in these stromal cells. Rodent hearts that have undergone myocardial infarction and have been injected with MSCs along with the VEGF peptide show a higher number of MSCs at the site of injection [52]. The surge in survival is attributed to an increase in Akt signaling causing a reduction in infarct size, lesser fibrosis, increased vascularity and thicker ventricular walls [53,72]. Akt signaling in other cell types causes increased expression of prosurvival proteins XIAP, Bcl2 and Bcl-xl, and decreased levels of caspases and apoptotic proteins Bad, Bax and Bim. Akt signaling is also known to inhibit the transcription factors FOXO1, FOXO2 and FOXO3 involved in causing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [73].

MSCs pretreated with transforming growth factor alpha and implanted at the ischemic site after a myocardial infarction show increased survival. This improvement is also attributed to VEGF signaling, although direct signaling through the EGFR receptor cannot be discounted. Transforming growth factor alpha increases VEGF production via the p38 MAPK pathway and enhances recovery [74]. For the ischemic cardiac tissue, MSCs supplanted with VEGF have so far been the best choice for increased survival, leading to improved vascularity in ischemic cardiac tissue and isolated islets. The current issue of debate, however, is whether VEGF causes greater incorporation of MSCs and succeeding survival, or whether it brings about paracrine effects on surrounding endothelial cells, increasing angiogenesis and formation of more vessels.

Several other growth factors have proven to increase MSC survival. MSC transplantation with brain-derived neurotrophic factor and nerve growth factor into rodents after traumatic brain injury has shown a significantly higher number of engrafted cells compared with MSCs transplanted without any growth factor [75]. PDGF-BB has been found to reduce the 46% loss of cells by apoptosis seen between days 5 and week 3 in rats following acute myocardial infarction [76]. Contrary to its limiting effect on MSC expansion, HGF causes a slight increase in MSC survival. PI3K signaling is implicated in this increase [64].

Another major growth factor studied for its effects on MSC survival is EGF. Since initial studies showed that EGF in the soluble state did not cause differentiation of MSCs but enhanced expansion, it was hypothesized that soluble EGF would similarly enhance survival of MSCs subjected to prodeath cytokines such as FasL and TRAIL in vitro. Contrary to what was expected, soluble EGF did not protect MSCs, but increased cell death in the presence of FasL [22]. Fan and colleagues then presented EGF to MSCs tethered to a biomaterial substratum (tEGF). This mode of presentation of the same growth factor enhanced survival of MSCs in the presence of the proinflammatory cytokines. In addition to limiting cell death, tEGF also increased cell attachment and spreading, which might limit cell death by anoikis [22]. The survival brought about by tEGF was found to be mainly due to sustained levels of Erk activation, as opposed to transient Erk activation with soluble EGF. Furthermore, tEGF restricts the subcellular localization of activated EGFR. Unlike soluble EGF that causes the internalization and finally the degradation of EGFR, tEGF restricts EGFR and EGFR signaling to the plasma membrane, and thereby changes the spatiotemporal balance of intracellular signaling pathways [77]. tEGF did not interfere with subsequent differentiation into osteoblasts under inducing conditions while increasing the efficiency and the number of osteoid colonies [78]. As this was the first study to directly challenge MSCs with proapoptotic inflammatory stimuli, the technique holds promise as a quantal advance in protecting MSCs from death in vivo. The cross-signaling of survival by various growth factors is represented in Figure 3.

Clinical issues of using growth factors in MSCs
Current limitations to using MSCs for regeneration include providing sufficient numbers of these stromal cells in a timely manner in the challenging in vivo milieu. To bring about MSC expansion, fetal bovine serum (FBS) is currently employed since human serum does not fully support growth of MSCs in vitro. Complications arise in use of FBS for MSC transplants in vivo, however, since FBS contains undefined elements that can vary in inducing proliferation. More importantly, contaminants in FBS can cause infections, and, being of nonhuman origin, the components can trigger host immune reactions [79]. On the commercial front, companies have developed serum-free and animal supplement-free MSC
Figure 3. Growth factor signaling pathways mediating survival in multipotential stromal cells. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) bind PDGFR, and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) binds c-Met, which causes phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K) to be activated, converting PIP2 to PIP3 and activating Akt/protein kinase B (PKB). This leads to the inhibition of the Fork head family of transcription factors Foxo1, Foxo3 and Foxo4, and also causes inhibition of pro-death proteins Bim, Bad and Caspase9. At the same time there is activation of pro-survival proteins XIAP, Bcl2 and Bcl-xL. In addition, Akt activation causes activation of eNOS and HSP90, causing nitric oxide synthesis and angiogenesis that promotes survival. Binding of epidermal growth factor (EGF) to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), in addition to activating Akt, brings together the guanine nucleotide exchange factor SOS and the small adapter protein Grb2, which activates the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway: Ras-Raf-Mek1/2-Erk1/2. Activation of Erk leads to the expression of pro-survival proteins like NF-kB, Bcl2 and Bcl-xL. EGF binding to EGFR also causes PLCγ to cleave PIP2 to IP3 and DAG, which activates protein kinase C (PKC). PKC can activate Raf and further cause downstream Erk activation. All these activated receptors, however, are quickly internalized by clathrin machinery or by alternate internalization mechanisms into the endosome where they continue to signal. The figure shows internalization of the EGF–EGFR complex continuing to signal in the cytosol, but once inside the lysosome, the receptor along with the ligand completely degrades and the survival signal is lost. Both the Akt and Erk signals generated therefore are acute and transient. Tethering of growth factors near the membrane, as in the case of EGF (tEGF), however, causes a more sustained signaling of Erk and Akt since the receptor–ligand complex signals for longer from the cell membrane, leading to multipotential stromal cell (MSC) survival for a more prolonged time period. Bcl2, B-cell lymphoma 2; DAG, diacyl glycerol; Erk, extracellular signal related kinase; eNOS, endothelial nitric oxide synthase; HSP90, heat shock protein 90; IP3, inositol triphosphate; NF, nuclear factor; PDGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor; PLCγ, phospholipase C gamma; PIP2, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate; PIP3, phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate; XIAP, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein.
media. These media products are comprised of synthetic supplements that are meant to replace serum, thereby reducing variability. The companies claim that MSCs grow as well in the media as in media supplanted with FBS. The proprietary composition of these products, however, goes against them for clinical use. Details of these products are summarized in Table 2. The use of growth factors as culture supplements instead of FBS therefore offers the most promising alternative [80]. Search for a serum-free media to expand MSCs has led to combination treatments with PDGF-BB, FGF-2 and TGFβ1 showing the most encouraging results. This treatment has not only brought about a synergistic effect on MSC proliferation, but has also retained the phenotype, differentiation and colony-forming potential of these cells [81].

In addition to using combined treatment of growth factors to improve proliferation, MSCs have been pretreated with a blend of growth factors to boost survival. Pretreatment of these stromal cells with FGF-2, BMP-2 and insulin-like growth factor-1 before delivery into the ischemic heart has shown enhanced rates of survival [82].

One of the reasons MSCs are preferred for regenerative use is their genetic stability. MSCs are shown to maintain their diploid karyotype without aneuploidy, polyploidy or chromosomal structural abnormalities [83]. There has also been a report, however, of MSCs displaying localized genetic alterations in the presence of FBS or autologous serum. The same report states that platelet lysate expands cytogenetically normal MSC colonies and that this effect may be due to the presence of growth factors such as EGF, PDGF and FGF in the platelet lysate [84]. In short, growth factors should be chosen not only based on expansion potential but also on not altering the MSC genome.

There still remains the scare that while growth factors increase proliferation, this proliferation and the added protection offered might let MSCs and surrounding cells escape control and lead to tumor growth. It is therefore important to have proper modes of growth factor delivery, which is localized, controlled and of a time-limited nature. Controlled release of growth factors or presentation of the growth factor in bioengineered forms, such as tEGF, are some of the ways in which this can be achieved [39,78,85,86], discussion of which lies beyond the scope of the present review.

### Conclusions and future directions

Growth factors are a promising adjuvant to MSCs to circumvent problems of MSC proliferation and expansion, and survival in vivo. The choice of growth factor(s), however, depends on three major criteria. First, the growth factor needs to prolong proliferation for several population doublings, to generate a considerable number of MSCs before these cells are to be differentiated into the desired tissue. Second, the growth factor should be able to completely replace the use of animal serum for proliferation purposes, to eliminate the use of xenographic substances and reduce variability. Finally, there need to be modes of localized and controlled delivery, which will help present the mitogenic and protective signals in sustained forms without letting MSCs escape into uncontrolled proliferation. While individual growth factors like b-FGF have advantages in steering MSCs down a select lineage after several population doublings, combination treatments of growth factors currently seem to be drawing a lot of attention due to their synergistic effect on MSCs. Composite treatment with PDGF, b-FGF and TGFβ1 appears to be a good alternative for proliferation in vitro to replace serum. More studies need to be performed, however, to look into whether such a combination would accentuate survival and encourage grafting of cells in the wound microenvironment. There also need to be ways by which such combinations can be delivered at the wound region.
While there have been several groups looking into the proliferation effects of growth factors and their effects on morphogenesis, much less attention has been paid to growth factor signaling for survival. This might partly be because MSCs were for a very long time considered to be cells with the advantage of survival. When MSCs were not observed in the body on delivery, the absence was attributed more to cells migrating away rather than to cells dying at the site. Only recently have there been studies showing that MSCs are susceptible to death by proinflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species, which might be the major reason for their loss at the site of delivery.

The advantage with the EGFR family of ligands is that work has been carried out on almost all aspects of MSC biology: effects on survival, proliferation, differentiation, migration and modes of delivery have been studied. This family of ligands appears to be generalized expanders and survival adjuvants while not affecting MSC differentiation. Moreover, presenting EGF in a tethered form has been studied with respect to sustained signaling, making it one of the factors of foremost importance. Taken together, the right choice of growth factors with proper modes of their delivery will help bridge the gap in MSC regenerative therapy and exploit the full potential of MSCs to regenerate tissue in the near future.
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