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ABSTRACT

Background: Nursing homes have represented important hotspots of viral spread during the initial wave of COVID-19 pandemics. The proximity of patients inside nursing homes allows investigate the dynamics of viral transmission, which may help understand SARS-CoV2 biology and spread.

Methods: SARS-CoV-2 viral genomes obtained from 46 patients infected in an outbreak inside a nursing home in Calabria region (South Italy) were analyzed by Next Generation Sequencing. We also investigated the evolution of viral genomes in 8 patients for which multiple swabs were available. Phylogenetic analysis and haplotype reconstruction were carried out with IQ-TREE software and RegresHaplo tool, respectively.

Results: All viral strains isolated from patients infected in the nursing home were classified as B.1 lineage, clade G. Overall, 14 major single nucleotide variations (SNVs) (frequency > 80%) and 12 minor SNVs (frequency comprised between 20% and 80%) were identified with reference to the Wuhan-H-1 sequence (NC_045512.2). All patients presented the same 6 major SNVs: D614G in the S gene; P4715L, ntC3037T (F924F) and S5398P in Orf1ab gene; ntC26681T (F53F) in the M gene; and ntC241T in the non-coding UTR region. However, haplotype reconstruction identified a founder haplotype (Hap A) in 36 patients carrying only the 6 common SNVs indicated above, and 10 other haplotypes (Hap B – K) derived from Hap A in the remaining 10 patients. Notably, no significant association between a specific viral haplotype and clinical parameters was found.

Conclusion: The predominant viral strain responsible for the infection in a nursing home in Calabria was the B.1 lineage (clade G). Viral genomes were classified into 11 haplotypes (Hap A in 36 patients, Hap B – K in the remaining patients).

1. Introduction

The rapid spread of a novel Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome CoronaVirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection was declared as a pandemic on March 11th 2020 by the World Health Organization (WHO) (Cucinotta and Vanelli, 2020). Since the beginning of the pandemic, the phylogenetic analysis of viral sequences was used to monitor the pandemic spread (Rambaut et al., 2020; van Dorp et al., 2020). So, the development of international databases (Fang et al., 2021; Massacci et al., 2020; McBroome et al., 2021; O’Toole et al., 2021), sharing viral genomic
sequences together with epidemiological and clinical data, has contributed to the design of public health countermeasures. The virus has evolved within an infected host as *quasispecies*, showing complex and dynamic distributions of intra-host viral populations (Capobianchi et al., 2020; Lythgoe et al., 2021; Z. Shen et al., 2020b; van Dorp et al., 2020; Werthem, 2020). Specific patterns of substitutions carried on single isolates have been classified as haplotypes, which can be used to determine viral lineage and to understand community spread (L. Shen et al., 2020a).

At the beginning of the first pandemic wave, the two dominant viral lineages were A and B. These two lineages spread worldwide and evolved under different selective pressures, leading to the continuous rise of novel variants in different countries. In Europe, the dominant viral lineages from February to April 2020 were A.2 and A.5, while from January to May 2020 were B.1 and B.2 (Rambaut et al., 2020).

Elderly people in nursing homes are particularly vulnerable to COVID-19 because of their age, the presence of chronic medical conditions, congregate living quarters and routine contact with staff members and outside visitors (M. K. Chen et al., 2021; Strausbaugh et al., 2003; Lythgoe et al., 2021; Z. Shen et al., 2020b; van Dorp et al., 2020; Trecarichi et al., 2020).

On March 26th 2020, the first outbreak in a nursing home in the Calabria Region involved 46 patients, providing a good model to investigate viral spread at the beginning of the pandemic. This outbreak was described in a clinical paper (Trecarichi et al., 2020).

Herein, we report on the results of Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) analysis of SARS-CoV-2 genomes isolated from nasopharyngeal swabs of positive patients residing in the nursing home. Multiple swabs were available for 8 patients so that a longitudinal follow-up was performed. The objective of the study was to investigate the evolution of viral variants both using phylogenetic analysis and haplotype determination. We found that the predominant viral strain responsible for the infection in a nursing home in Calabria was the B.1 lineage (clade G). However, sequenced viral genomes were classified into 11 haplotypes (Hap A in 36 patients, Hap B in 3 patients). This analysis can help track virus transmission and identify relevant mutations having an impact on the biological characteristics of the virus.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee (February 18th, 2021) of the Calabria Region, Italy. Sixty nasopharyngeal swabs samples, collected between March 26th and May 11th, 2020, from 46 subjects infected by SARS-CoV-2 were included in the analysis. For each patient a sample was obtained at admission (baseline) and 14 swabs were obtained at sequential time points from 8 patients of the same cohort. Clinical data were treated in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration (59th World Medical Association General Assembly, Seoul, Korea, October 2008) and the principles of good clinical practice. See Table 1 and Supplementary File S1 for details.

2.2. Diagnostic procedures and samples selection

Viral RNA was extracted using the NUCLISENS® easyMAG® (bioMérieux, Florence, Italy). SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection was performed by GeneFinder COVID-19 Plus RealAmp Kit (Eliotech, Turin, Italy) designed to detect envelope (E), RNA-dependent-RNA polymerase (RdRp), and nucleocapsid (N) genes and was subjected to NGS with the SARS-CoV-2 Respiratory panel (Illumina). According to the manufacturer’s instructions, a Ct value <40 was considered positive. A total of 60 RNA samples, showing a broad range of representative SARS-CoV-2 Ct values (from 12 to 37) and interpreted as positive, was selected for sequencing. Samples were divided into 3 groups: i) low Ct group (12 ≤ Ct ≤ 20), ii) medium Ct group (21 ≤ Ct ≤ 25) and iii) high Ct group (Ct >25).

### Table 1

| Patient’s clinical characteristics (N = 36) |
|-----------------------------------------|
| Patients (N)                              |
| Asymptomatic at diagnosis                | 5 |
| Symptomatic at diagnosis                 | 31 |
| Fever                                    | 7 |
| Cough                                    | 1 |
| Respiratory Failure                      | 2 |
| Fever + Respiratory Failure              | 11 |
| Fever + Cough                            | 5 |
| Cough + Respiratory Failure              | 1 |
| Fever + Cough + Respiratory Failure      | 4 |
| Comorbidities                            |    |
| Hypertension                             | 16 |
| Diabetes                                 | 2 |
| Cardiovascular diseases                  | 3 |
| Hypertension + Cardiovascular diseases   | 4 |
| Diabetes                                 | 2 |
| Diabetes + Cardiovascular diseases       | 3 |
| Clinical outcome                         |    |
| Hospitalization                          | 36 |
| Intensive Care Unit admission            | 1 |
| Death (exitus)                           | 11 |

2.3. SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing

RNA extracted from nasopharyngeal swabs were treated with Invi- 
trogen DNase I, Amplification Grade (ThermoFisher Scientific, Wal- tham, MA, USA). The concentration and the quality of isolated RNA samples were measured with the Qubit 2.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific) and Agilent 2200 Tape Station Instrument, respectively. Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA with Maxima H Minus Double-Stranded cDNA Synthesis Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The resulting dsDNA was purified using 1.8× Agencourt AMPure XP Beads (Beckman Coulter, Woerden, Netherlands) and quantified with Qubit 2.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific).

Sequencing-ready libraries were prepared using the SARS-CoV-2 Respiratory panel with the DNA Prep with Enrichment and IDT for Nextera DNA UD Indexes from Illumina (San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Viral enriched libraries were sequenced on the MiSeq System at 2 × 75 bp read length using MiSeq v3 reagents (Illumina).

2.4. Full-length analysis of genome variation

Raw sequencing reads (Fastq files) from each sample were aligned to the Wuhan-H-1 reference genome (accession number NC_045512.2) using the bwa aligner (Li and Durbin, 2009). Reads with quality or alignment scores of less than 30 were discarded. For each sample, a consensus sequence formed by the assembly of the most representative nucleotide at each position was generated. At positions with coverage of less than 50 reads the reference nucleotide was assigned. The Lofreq software was used for variant calling (Wilm et al., 2012). Single nucleotide variations (SNVs) were defined as variants exceeding 25% frequency within a sample.

2.5. Haplotype determination

Haplotype reconstruction was performed using sequence variation identified in all samples through different tools (Shorah2, Haploclique, RegressHaplo). However, linkage analysis executed by all three algo- rithms provided solutions with poor fits while haplotype reconstruction using RegressHaplo provided good fits (Leviyang et al., 2017). In all cases, we chose the haplotype reconstruction that contained the consensus and had the minimum number of haplotypes with analysis restricted to variants showing frequency ≥ 25%.
2.6. Phylogenetic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 isolates

Phylogenetic analysis was carried out using a total of 5095 full-length SARS-CoV-2 sequences, collected in Italy by March 2021 and downloaded from the GISAID database on June 4th 2021 (Elbe and Buckland-Merrett, 2017). We pairwise aligned each sequence to the reference and selected, for subsequent analysis, a subset of 913 sequences that had been uploaded in the period March 2020—March 2021. The selected 913 sequences included sequences from every PANGO lineage and every region of Italy. We also included 80 sequences from PANGO lineages A and B as outliers. Finally, two additional sequences were included: the founder sequence, which was the most common consensus sequence among the samples analyzed in this study and the reference sequence (NC_045512.2). We used MAFTT to align the 913 sequences and IQ-TREE (parameters -m GTR + G) to compute a maximum likelihood phylogeny (Katoh and Standley, 2013). We used the R packages ggtree (Nguyen et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2018) and ggnetwork (F. Briatte, R package, CRAN) to generate figures.

2.7. Public availability of sequencing data

The newly whole-genome sequences were submitted to GenBank® database (Benson et al., 2015). All sequences can be retrieved from GISAID under accession numbers listed in Supplementary File S2.

3. Results

3.1. NGS sequencing of COVID-19 patients

During the early pandemic phase in March–May 2020, we collected 60 nasopharyngeal swabs from 46 subjects residing in a nursing home in Calabria (Southern Italy). Of these, 46 samples were obtained at admission (baseline), 14 samples were obtained at sequential time points from 8 different patients. RNA from nasopharyngeal swabs was subjected to NGS with the SARS-CoV-2 Respiratory panel (Illumina). The median depth of sequenced SARS-CoV-2 genome was 3693 (range, 36–19,461), with >90% of the genome covered more than 50-fold in 58 samples. A median number of 111,747,841 reads (range 1,126,001-574,097,776) was generated for each sample (Supplementary file S3). To analyze NGS results, we constructed a viral consensus sequence for each COVID-19 patient within the nursing home. The consensus sequence was generated by assembling viral sequences relative to the reference genome of Wuhan-H-1 (NC_045512.2), using the most frequent nucleotide obtained by NGS at each position of the viral genome. The 60 consensus sequences obtained showed more than 99% sequence identity to the SARS-CoV-2 reference Wuhan-H-1 genome.

3.2. Viral classification

All the consensus sequences generated from the 60 isolates were submitted to the PANGO lineage tool to reconstruct viral phylogeny and identify viral lineages. On average, samples analyzed in this work...
contained 4–8 nucleotide changes relative to the reference sequence. The molecular analysis made use of viral sequences from March 2020 to May 2021 downloaded from the public database GSAID (May 2021). The 60 viral isolates from analyzed patients were classified as B.1 lineage, clade G (Fig. 1). The cluster of the B.1 lineage in the viral isolates of the web version of this article.)

3.3. Major and minor SNVs

Overall 26 single nucleotide variants (SNVs) passing quality control and frequency filters were identified in the study, including 65.5% transitions and 24.1% transversions. Transitions were distributed as follows: C > T (44.8%), T > C (6.8%), A > G (10.3%) and, G > A (3.5%) whereas transversions were: G > C (3.5%), A > C (6.9%), C > A (6.9%), G > T (6.9%) (Fig. S1 Supplementary Fig. S1). The most frequent substitution was the transversion C > T (44.8%) whereas the least frequent was G > A (3.5%). In agreement with previous studies (Graudenzi et al., 2020; Tonkin-Hill et al., 2021), our data show that the ratio C > T /G > A (values 44.8 and 3.5, respectively) was consistent with an excess of substitutions in the plus strand.

SNVs in the viral genome were classified as major when identified in >80% of virus population or minor when identified in a percentage of virus population included between 20% and 80%. In total, of the 26 SNVs identified in this study, 14 were regarded as major SNVs and 12 were regarded as minor SNVs. Major SNVs were distributed across 4 out of the 10 protein-coding genes of the viral genome (ORF1ab, ORF3a, S and M).

Among major SNVs, 7 were in the Orf1ab gene, 2 were in the S gene, 1 was in the Orf3a gene, 3 were in the M gene and, 1 was in 5' -UTR. See Supplementary file S4 (sheet 1) for more details.

The SNVs in the coding regions of Orf1ab gene were both synonymous (3037C > T(A964A); 3157C > T(A964A)) and non-synonymous (11,083 G > T: L3606F; 11,103C > T: P3613L; 14,408C > T: P4715L; 15,328C > T: L5022F; 16,456 T > C: S5398P); the 2 SNVs in the coding regions of M gene were both synonymous (21,575C > T: L5F; 26,057 A > G: D614G); the small indel 26,155 G > d (V255-) in the Orf3a gene was a 1-bp deletion; the 3 SNVs in the coding regions of M gene were both synonymous (26,555 A > G: E11E; 26,681C > T: F53F) and non-synonymous (26,774 G > T: M84I) while the remaining SNV located in the 5'-UTR was non-coding (ntC241T). See Fig. 2 for a graphic representation.

Six major SNVs were common to all samples at baseline, except for patient #26 (viral isolate 39): 241C > T in the 5'-UTR region, 3037C > T (F924F) in the Orf1ab gene, 14,408C > T (P4715L) in the Orf1ab gene, 16,456 T > C (S5398P) in the Orf1ab gene, 23,403 A > G (D614G) in the S gene and 26,681C > T (F53F) in the N gene.

Four major SNVs were identified in single patients: 3157C > T (A964A) in the Orf1ab, 21,575C > T (L5F) in the S gene, 26,555 A > G (E11E) and 26,774 G > T (M84I) in the M gene. They were present in patients #38, #6, #10 and #46, respectively. The remaining 4 major SNVs were found in 2 additional patients: patient #39 carried 2 SNVs in Orf1ab gene (11,083 G > T: L3606F and 11,103C > T: P3613L) while patient #34 carried a SNV in Orf1ab (15,328C > T: L5022F) and a SNV in del255 in ORF3a gene.

Conversely, minor SNVs were distributed across 5 out of the 10 protein-coding genes of the viral genome: ORF1ab, ORF3a, ORF6, M and N. See Fig. 2 for graphical details. Identified minor SNVs included 6 in the Orf1ab gene, 3 in the Orf3a gene and, 1 in the Orf6, M and N genes, respectively. See Supplementary file S4 (sheet 2) for more details. Minor SNVs in the coding regions of the Orf1ab gene were both synonymous (2) and non-synonymous (4); SNVs in the coding regions of ORF3 and N genes were non-synonymous (3 and 1, respectively) while SNVs in the coding regions of ORF6 and M were synonymous (2). See Fig. 2.

Six SNVs in the Orf1ab gene were 1684C > T (I473I), 4898C > T (H1545Y), 5765 G > A (G1834T), 5794C > T (G2443G), 8208C > A (T2648N) and 18,211 A > C (M5983L); SNVs in the ORF3a gene were 25,945T > C (Q185K), 25,771C > T (L127F) and 26,057 A > G (D614G); the small indel 26,155 G > d (V255-) in the Orf3a gene was a 1-bp deletion; the 3 SNVs in the coding regions of M gene were both synonymous (26,555 A > G: E11E; 26,681C > T: F53F) and non-synonymous (26,774 G > T: M84I) while the remaining SNV located in the 5'-UTR was non-coding (ntC241T). See Fig. 2 for a graphic representation.

Notably, of all SNVs identified, 13 occurred in the ORF1ab gene. However, when gene length was taken into account, SNVs resulted

![Distribution of major and minor SNVs along the SARS-CoV-2 genome. The figure shows major SNVs on the top and minor SNVs under the 0-orizzontal axis. SNVs are indicated according to their position in the SARS-CoV-2 genome. SNVs are depicted as follows: synonymous (green), non-synonymous (red), non-coding (blue), small indel (black). Frequencies of SNVs are reported on the left. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
enriched only in the ORF3a and M genes (binomial test, p-values 1E-4 and 0.04, respectively). The dνdS test for enrichment of non-synonymous versus synonymous SNVs within genes suggested that a significant enrichment of non-synonymous mutations was observed only in the ORF3a gene (dνdS = 3.1, p-value 0.04).

3.4. Analysis of viral haplotypes

The analysis of the 60 consensus viral sequences suggested the presence of different SARS-CoV-2 haplotypes co-circulating in a single nursing home within a short time frame during the first wave of the pandemic outbreak. In particular, the sequences from the 46 viral isolates at baseline collapsed into 11 haplotypes: from Hap A to Hap K (Table 2; Fig. 3).

The most prevalent haplotype was present in 36 patients and allowed us to identify a founder haplotype (Hap A), which may have initiated the local transmission of infection. Hap A was characterized by 6 major SNVs [241C > T in the 5′-UTR region, 3037C > T (F924F) in the Orf1ab gene, 14,408C > T (P4715L) in the Orf1ab gene, 16,456T > C (S5939P) in the Orf1ab gene, 23,403A > G (D614G) in the S gene, and 26,681C > T (F53F)] in the N gene.

Taking into account the consensus sequences of viral isolates at baseline, we found that 36 patients were infected by a virus carrying Hap A. Conversely, 10 patients were infected by virus carrying additional substitutions with respect to Hap A, giving rise to 10 novel haplotypes, Hap B to Hap K. See Table 2 for details.

Table 2 Haplotypes A-K.

| Haplotype | SNVs | Patient | Isolate |
|-----------|------|---------|---------|
| A | 5′UTR: mc241T, ORF1ab: F924F, ORF1ab: P4715L, ORF1ab: S5939P, S: D614G, M: F53F | (*) | (*) |
| B | ORF1a: D222A | #7 | 13 |
| C | S: L5F | #6 | 18 |
| D | M: E11E | #10 | 2 |
| E | ORF1ab: T2648N | #4 | 26 |
| F | 5′UTR: nt2641C, ORF1ab: P5939S, S: G614D | #26 | 39 |
| G | ORF1ab: L5022F, ORF1ab: ntG26155, ntT26156, ntT26157 | #34 | 48 |
| H | ORF1ab: A964A | #38 | 56 |
| I | ORF1ab: L3606F, ORF1ab: P3613L | #39 | 5 |
| J | N: T2651 | #41 | 59 |
| K | M: M841 | #46 | 64 |

(*) The complete list of patients/isolates with the Hap A is reported in Supplementary File S1 and S5.

The isolates from 2 patients (#10 and #38) were each characterized by the additional presence of 1 synonymous SNV (Hap D: E11E in M; Hap H: A964A in ORF1ab, respectively); 5 isolates (patients #4, #6, #7, #41 and #46) were characterized by the presence of 1 non-synonymous SNV (Hap E: T2648N in ORF1ab; Hap C: L5F in S; Hap B: D222A in ORF3a; Hap J: T2651 in N; Hap K: M841 in M); the haplotype of patient #34 (Hap G) was characterized by 1 non-synonymous SNV in the ORF1ab gene (L5022F) and by a 3-nt deletion (ntG26155, ntT26156, ntT26157) in the ORF3a gene that results in the deletion of V255; the haplotype of patient #39 (Hap I) presented two non-synonymous SNVs that reverted to the sequence corresponding to Wuhan-H-1 (ntT241C, P5398S and G614D) (Hap F).

3.5. Dynamics of haplotypes in patients with longitudinal follow-up

Patients for whom multiple swabs at different time points were available were: #1 (swabs taken at days 0 and 15; isolates 65 and 12), #2 (swabs taken at days 0 and 7; isolates 52 and 53), #3 (swabs taken at days 0 and 15; isolates 34 and 35), #4 (swabs taken at days 0, 8 and 16; isolates 26–28), #5 (swabs taken at days 0, 15 and 23; isolates 22–24), #6 (swabs taken at days 0 and 7; isolates 18, and 19), #7 (swabs taken at days 0, 18, 25, 33, and 41; isolates 13–17) and #8 (swabs taken at days 0, 7 and 15; isolates 8–10). Haplotype distribution was analyzed longitudinally in the 8 patients for whom multiple swabs were available.

As regard patient #6, a single haplotype (Hap C) was present at baseline while 2 haplotypes (Hap C and Hap C1, with frequencies of 67% and 33%, respectively) were present on day 7 (Fig. 4 and Supplementary File S5). Haplotype Hap C was characterized by SNV 21575C > T (L5F) in the S gene while haplotype Hap C1 was characterized by SNVs 21,575C > T (L5F) in the S gene and 1684C > T (I473I) in the ORF1ab gene. As regard patient #7 (isolates 13–17), 3 viral haplotypes were detected in the swab at baseline (Hap A, Hap B, Hap B1), with frequencies of 46% for Hap A and 27% for Hap B and Hap B1 (Fig. 4). Hap A was the founder haplotype; Hap B was characterized by the SNV 26057A > C (D222A) in the ORF3a; Hap B1 was characterized by the SNV 26057A > C (D222A) in the ORF3a gene and 5765G > A (G1834S) and 5766G > C (G1834A) in the ORF1a gene. Interestingly, on day 18 haplotypes B and B1 disappeared and the only viral haplotype detected was the Hap A. At days 25 and 41 a novel haplotype (Hap B2), characterized by SNVs 18211A > C (M5983L) in the ORF1a gene and 25771C > T (I127F) in the ORF3a gene, was detected with a frequency of 34% (Fig. 4). See also supplementary File S5.

3.6. Clinical characteristics of patients and correlation with viral haplotypes

Clinical and follow-up information was available for 36 patients. The mean age of the patients was 80 years (range 56–97). Hospitalization was required for 36 patients, while ICU admission was required for only one patient. As to symptoms at the time of diagnosis, 5 were classified as
asymptomatic (#11, #14, #26, #34 and #35) and 31 as symptomatic. Reported symptoms were of varying severity, ranging from mild to critical. Of the patients with symptoms, 7 presented with fever, 1 with cough, 2 with respiratory failure, 11 with fever and respiratory failure, 5 with fever and cough, 1 with cough and respiratory failure, and 4 with fever, cough, and respiratory failure. Concomitant diseases (comorbidities) were reported in 31 individuals except for patients #7, #15, #24, #35, #41 and #42. Reported comorbidities included hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease or a combination thereof. By August 2021, 11 of the patients accrued for the study had died while 25 patients were still alive. All deceased patients presented comorbidities except for patients #15, #24 and #42: 8 patients presented cardiovascular disease, 3 patients had also hypertension, 2 had also diabetes. Similarly, also the majority of surviving patients presented comorbidities except for patients #7, #41 and #3. Among surviving patients 16 had only hypertension, 2 only diabetes, 1 diabetes and cardiovascular disease, 1 cardiovascular disease and hypertension, 2 diabetes and hypertension. It is of note that patient #11, asymptomatic at diagnosis, and subsequently admitted in ICU, presented the founder haplotype Hap A. The clinical characteristics of the patients studied are summarized in Table 1. Additional demographic and clinical information can be found in Supplementary file S1.

When patients were stratified for viral haplotype, we found that 9/11 deceased patients carried the haplotype Hap A; while the remaining 2 patients (#6 and #38) presented the Hap C and Hap H haplotypes, respectively. As regard the 25 patients who were still alive by August 2021, 19 presented the founder haplotype Hap A whereas 6 patients presented different haplotypes (#4, Hap E; #7, Hap B; #10, Hap D; #26, Hap F; #34, Hap G; #41, Hap J).

Conversely, of the 5 asymptomatic patients, 3 (patients #11, #14 and #35) showed the founder haplotype (Hap A), while patients #34 presented Hap G and #26 presented Hap F. As for the symptomatic patients, 6 patients who had fever only presented haplotype Hap A (patients #1, #13, #23, #25, #32, #36) and 1 presented haplotype Hap B (patient #7); the patient who had cough only (#41) presented haplotype Hap J; the patients with respiratory failure (#6 and #16) presented Hap A and Hap C; four of the five patients who had fever and cough (#2, #31, #33 and #44) had Hap A; most of the patients with fever and respiratory failure (10/11) had Hap A and the remaining patients presented Hap H (#38); finally, all 4 patients with fever, cough and respiratory failure (#12, #18, #20, #37) presented Hap A. In summary, of the 28 patients for whom clinical information was available who were infected by SARS-CoV2 virus with haplotype Hap A, 3 were asymptomatic while 9 had died. Conversely, of the 8 patients infected by the virus with haplotypes different from Hap A, 6 were symptomatic (1 cough, 1 respiratory failure, 1 fever, 1 fever and cough, 2 fever and respiratory failure) and 2 had died (#6 with respiratory failure and #38 with fever and respiratory failure). Notably, both patients #6 and 38 (84 and 97 years old, respectively) presented important comorbidities, including hypertension and cardiovascular disease. In conclusion, the...
4. Discussion

Nursing homes have represented important hotspots of SARS-Cov2 spread during the initial wave of COVID-19 pandemics. The close proximity of patients inside nursing homes allows investigate the dynamics of viral transmission, which may improve our understanding of SARS-Cov2 biology and ability to spread. In this study, we have retrospectively sequenced 60 SARS-CoV-2 genomes isolated from 46 patients hospitalized in a nursing home in the Calabria Region.

Overall, the main findings of this NGS analysis are: 1) the main viral strain responsible for infection in the nursing home belonged to the B.1 lineage, clade G, with 14 major SNVs and 12 minor SNVs with reference strain responsible for infection in the nursing home belonged to the B.1 lineage, clade G. The analysis of the viral genome revealed that the main viral strain responsible for infection in the nursing home belonged to the B.1 lineage, clade G. The analysis of the viral genome revealed that the main viral strain responsible for infection in the nursing home belonged to the B.1 lineage, clade G.

Four patients (#2 and #3) presented the D residue at position 614 in the S protein in both the major and minor viral haplotypes (67% vs. 33%). One patient (#46) carried the M84I substitution within M protein, located in a region closer to the I82T typical of the delta variant, which currently reaches a frequency of 70%, thus suggesting that this substitution may provide some sort of fitness advantage. It is of note that one of the deceased patients (#38) carried a synonymous SNP (A964A, Hap H) in the non-structural protein nsP3 encoded by ORF1ab. NsP3 is known to be an essential component of the SARS virus replication complex and has been reported to block the host immune response (Lei et al., 2018).

In conclusion, the main viral strain responsible for infection in the nursing home belonged to the B.1 lineage, clade G. The analysis of the viral spread in the nursing home is of certain interest because elderly people in nursing homes are particularly vulnerable to COVID-19. On the other hand, infection in the nursing home has spread rapidly and allowed to study the dynamic of infection in a very controlled way. The results reported here highlight the high potential of virus evolution in a limited period of time during a single outbreak from a founder viral haplotype, supporting the importance to monitor the appearance of novel mutations and to classify viral haplotypes in order to determine their biological and clinical significance.
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