The conserved AU dinucleotide at the 5′ end of nascent U1 snRNA is optimized for the interaction with nuclear cap-binding-complex
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ABSTRACT

Splicing is initiated by a productive interaction between the pre-mRNA and the U1 snRNP, in which a short RNA duplex is established between the 5′ splice site of a pre-mRNA and the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA. A long-standing puzzle has been why the AU dinucleotide at the 5′-end of the U1 snRNA is highly conserved, despite the absence of an apparent role in the formation of the duplex. To explore this conundrum, we varied this AU dinucleotide into all possible permutations and analyzed the resulting molecular consequences. This led to the unexpected findings that the AU dinucleotide dictates the optimal binding of cap-binding complex (CBC) to the 5′ end of the nascent U1 snRNA, which ultimately influences the utilization of U1 snRNP in splicing. Our data also provide a structural interpretation as to why the AU dinucleotide is conserved during evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Nuclear pre-mRNA splicing is an evolutionarily conserved process that removes introns from precursor messenger RNAs (pre-mRNAs) to produce mature mRNAs. Intron removal is executed by the spliceosome, a large macromolecular machine consisting of five small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles (snRNPs), U1, U2, U4, U5 and U6 (1–3). Each of these snRNPs contains one small nuclear RNA (snRNA) together with a set of proteins (1–4). Unlike other macromolecular machines, such as the ribosome and RNA polymerase II (pol II), the spliceosome is assembled de novo on pre-mRNA substrates in an ordered and dynamic fashion through sequential binding of snRNPs and wholesale exchanges of splicing factors (2). The U1 snRNP first binds to the intron 5′ splice site (5′ss), which is followed by U2 snRNP recruitment to the intron branch site and the subsequent joining of U5-U4/U6 tri-snRNP to form a pre-catalytic spliceosome, which then progresses through a series of highly coordinated dynamic remodeling steps to produce a mature spliceosome that is activated for chemical catalysis (1,2,5).

During spliceosome assembly, productive binding of the U1 snRNP to the 5′ss is considered one of the most critical steps, because it commits a pre-mRNA to the splicing pathway (6–10). The successfully formed U1-snRNP-containing complexes are called commitment complexes (CCs). In the budding yeast, two commitment complexes, CC1 and CC2, can be detected by native gel electrophoresis in vitro (6,7). The formation of CC1 requires a functional 5′ss, whereas the subsequent formation of CC2 additionally requires a functional branch site, as well as branch-site-binding protein (BBP) and Mud2p, the yeast orthologs of mammalian SF1 and U2AF65, respectively (11–14). Several U1-snRNP proteins and other non-snRNP proteins also make energetic contributions to the CC formation and stability (15–23), including the heterodimeric cap-binding complex (CBC) consisting of Cbp20p and Cbp80p (24–26).

Several lines of evidence suggest that the CBC is functionally involved in splicing, distinct from its well-established role in binding to the 7-methylguanosine (m7G) cap structures that are co-transcriptionally added to pol II tran-
scripts in the nucleus. First, depletion of Cbp20p markedly reduces CC formation and splicing efficiency in vitro (27–30). Second, genetic perturbations of the CBC result in inefficient splicing in vivo (31,32). Third, the CBC is genetically linked to BBP, Mud2p, and several U1-snRNP components (31–33). However, understanding the precise role of the CBC in splicing is complicated by the fact that it can also bind to the m7G cap of the nascent pol II-synthesized snRNPs (31–33). Thus, it appears that the specific interaction of CBC with the m7G cap of the nascent pol II-synthesized snRNPs involves export of the partially assembled complex to the cytoplasm (1,34,35), where the m7G is converted into a trimethylguanosine (TMG) structure through the activity of trimethylguanosine synthase (Tgs1p in budding yeast) (36–38). The TMG-capped snRNPs are then re-imported into the nucleus for their respective functions in splicing (1,35,39,40). Because the CBC preferentially binds m7G over TMG (34,41), the cytoplasmic hypermethylation reaction presumably reduces the affinity of the CBC for the mature snRNPs. This hypothesis is supported by the findings that the CBC co-purifies with the U1 snRNP from the tgs1Δ cells (42) and that the cold-sensitive growth defect of tgs1Δ cells can be rescued by mutations in CBP20 that are specifically designed to relax the physical contacts between Cbp20p and the m7G cap (42,43). Thus, it appears that the correct methylation status enforced by Tgs1p and possibly other characteristics of the 5′ cap dictates the CBC occupancy, which in turn impacts the ultimate functionality of at least the U1 snRNP (38,42,43).

It is well established that the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA (positions 3–8) forms specific basepairs with the 5′-3′ ss of the pre-mRNA, which in budding yeast introns generally conform to a nearly invariant consensus sequence, GUAUGU (44,45) (Figure 1A). Although seemingly thermodynamically weak, mutational and suppression analyses demonstrate that the strength of this 5′-7 bp RNA duplex is the primary determinant of U1 snRNP recognition and selection of authentic 5′-3′ ss during splicing (46–49). These studies did not, however, address the impact of the potential interaction between the first two nucleotides of U1 snRNA (i.e. AU) and positions 7–8 of the 5′-3′ ss region, which show no apparent sequence conservation (44,45). Interestingly, artificially introducing basepairing between the AU dinucleotide of U1 snRNA and intron positions 7–8, which hyperstabilizes the U1 snRNA/5′-3′ ss interaction, resulted in either reduced (50) or enhanced (51) splicing efficiency. Recent in vivo studies in the mammalian system further cloud the picture by showing that U1 snRNA/5′-3′ ss helices may accommodate noncanonical registers such as bulged duplexes (52–54), thereby rendering it difficult to predict the outcome of extending the basepairing through the AU dinucleotide. These data therefore make it even more puzzling as to why the AU dinucleotide at the 5′ end of U1 snRNA is highly conserved, except in Schizosaccharomyces species (55) (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure S1).

To explore the potential role of the conserved AU dinucleotide at the 5′ end of U1 snRNA, we systematically altered the dinucleotide into all possible combinations. Through a combined classical and genome-wide genetic analysis as well as detailed biochemical probing, we showed that the AU dinucleotide identity is critical for the optimal interaction of CBC with the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA, which ultimately impacts its functionality in splicing at the CC formation step.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Competitive fitness assay**

The U1-snRNA-variant strains used in this assay were all derived from YTC1585 (MAFA snr19::LYS2 cup1::ura3 his3 leu2 ade2 trp1 pSNR19001 [SNR19/LEU2/CEN]) (50) via plasmid shuffling. In that, pSNR19001 was individually replaced by a variant of pSNR19001 expressing a specific version of U1 snRNA altered at its 5′ dinucleotide by standard oligonucleotide site-specific mutagenesis. Fitness of an U1-snRNA variant strain was quantitatively measured by placing the query strain in direct competition with a GFP-expressing reference strain (56). In brief, an equal number (10⁴) of early-log-phase query and reference cells were placed in the same culture for growth at the desired temperature for 19 h. A fluorescence-activated cell sorter (BD FACSort or BD FACCalibur™) was then used to count the number of GFP-expressing cells for a total number of 1000 cells in the resulting cell mixture. The time-zero control was also counted in the same manner.

**Primer extension analysis**

Radioactively end-labeled oligonucleotide (~5.8 x 10⁴ cpm) was used as primer in a 20-μl reverse transcription reaction that contained 50 μg of yeast total RNA at 45°C for 1 h (57). DNA products were recovered after degrading the RNA by NaOH and fractionated on a 6% sequencing gel (acylamide-bisacrylamide [19:1]/8 M urea). The same primer was used to generate the sequencing ladder using pSNR19001 as a template. Sequencing reaction was done by using Sequenase™ Version 2.0 DNA Sequencing Kit (Affymetrix). Individual band intensities shown in Figure 2 were quantitated by ImageJ (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij).

**Splicing-sensitive microarrays analysis**

Sample collection and splicing microarray analysis were conducted as described previously (58,59). In brief, total RNAs extracted from wild-type and UU mutant strains were converted into Cy3- and Cy5-labeled cDNAs, respectively, by random priming. These samples were then hybridized to microarrays to obtain raw data for processing into three datasets (P, pre-mRNA; M, mRNA; and T, total transcripts) that enumerate the differential hybridization results of the 249 intron-containing genes. Dye-flipped replicates were conducted in each individual microarray. The splicing microarray data have been deposited to GEO (GSE97015).

**Analysis of splicing efficiency by RT-qPCR**

Yeast strains expressing various U1 snRNA variants were used for extracting total RNAs by standard hot phenol/chloroform method. RNA samples were converted into cDNA by random priming following manufacturer’s instructions (RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA synthesis kit, ThermoFisher Scientific). We used Primer Express software (ver. 3.0.1, Applied Biosystems) to design all...
Figure 1. Alteration of U1 snRNA 5′-end AU dinucleotide results in growth defect. (A) Base-pairing interaction between U1 snRNA 5′-end and the 5′ss. U1 snRNA is proposed to form a 6-bp interaction with the canonical 5′ss (GUAUGU). The 5′-end AU dinucleotide, although highly conserved (bottom panel), is not predicted to universally interact with 5′ss. Note that the m7G cap is co-transcriptionally added to the 5′-end of U1 snRNA and further modified into m2,2,7G cap. The AU dinucleotide is uniquely missing in S. pombe. (B) The growth rates of yeast strains producing U1 snRNA variants relative to an isogenic wild-type strain were determined by a competitive fitness assay. Strains exhibiting significantly low fitness scores ($P < 0.01$, two-tailed t-test) at 30°C or 16°C were indicated (*). Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent biological replicates. (C) Growth phenotypes of strains expressing U1 snRNA variants. Relevant genotypes of the yeast strains are shown on the left of the panel. Cells were grown to saturation at 30°C, serially diluted, and spotted on YPD plates for incubation at 16°C.

the primer pairs (Supplementary Table S1) for qPCR reactions, which were done by using StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System with Fast SYBR® Green Master Mix. Data acquisition and processing were done by StepOne Software (v. 2.3; Applied Biosystems). We routinely determined the amplification efficiency of each primer pairs for normalizing the data between different primer pairs. To reduce pipetting errors, automated pipetting system (EzMate 401, Arise Biotech) was used. To measure splicing efficiency of a selected intron-contain gene, two primer sets were used to separately amplify an intron region and an exon 2 region, corresponding respectively to the levels of pre-mRNA (P) and total (T) transcripts. The ratio of P/T in the mutant background was then normalized against the same ratio obtained from the wild-type strain. All data were averages of at least three biological repeats.

Analysis of U1 snRNP and CCs by native gel electrophoresis

Active yeast splicing extracts were prepared according to the standard dounce protocol (60,61) or to the liquid-nitrogen grinding method (60,62). The procedure for probing U1 snRNP on the native gel has been previously described in detail (19). For CC detection we adapted a previously published protocol (7). Briefly, a 10-μl splicing reaction containing splicing extract (40%), an U2-specific DNA oligonucleotide RB60 (16.7 ng/μl) (63), and [32P]-labeled RP51A transcript (10^3 cpm/μl) was assembled in the absence of ATP and incubated at 25°C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was then mixed with equal volume of ice-cold RY buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 2 mM magnesium acetate, 20 mM EDTA, 1 μg/ml of total yeast RNA, and 1 mg/ml of heparin) and incubated on ice for 10 min prior to native gel electrophoresis.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis

ChIP analysis was done exactly as described (19), except that anti-Prp40p was used to precipitate the chromatin-bound U1 snRNP. Six primer sets were used to amplify different regions of the ACT1 gene (Supplementary Table S1). The enrichment of Prp40p-bound chromatin over background was normalized to that of primer set 1 data points. Data from six biological repeats were averaged and shown in Figure 4B.

Synthetic genetic array (SGA) analysis

To start the SGA screen, we first constructed a wild-type reference strain YTC1604 (MATa snr19Δ::matMX4 can1Δ::STE2pr::Sp_his5 lyp1Δ::STE3pr::LEU2 ura3Δ0 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 pRS4161_SNR19 [URA3/MET15/CEN]) as follows. Strain Y8205 (a gift from C. Boone) (64) was crossed with strain BY4741 (65) to
Figure 2. Alteration of U1 snRNA 5′-end dinucleotide impacts U1 snRNA transcription. (A) Transcription start sites of the U1 snRNA variants were mapped by primer extension. Sequence around the U1 snRNA transcription start is shown in the top panel, with the U1 snRNA sequence underlined. The numbers (1, 3, 7, 12, 17, 20 and 30) below the line indicate the wild-type and variant U1 snRNA start sites determined. The arrow represents the oligonucleotide primer used in the reverse transcription reactions, which is complementary to U1 snRNA positions 266–285. Image of the primer extension results are shown in the bottom panel. The sequencing ladder (C, T, A, G) was generated by Sanger sequencing off a plasmid harboring SNR19 using the same primer. (B) Summary of the transcription start sites used by U1 snRNA variants. Deletions are marked as short dash lines and base substitutions are underlined. Variants that have unexpected start sites are shown in bold, and those which have multiple strong start sites are marked by stars. For example, AA and CC variants have a significant start from the -1 position. We noted the U1 snRNA transcription appears to prefer A and G, although there are three cases of C start (AA, CC, and CU mutants). (C) Quantitation of the full-length U1 snRNA levels in U1 snRNA mutants by primer extension normalized to the U2 snRNA levels.
yield a desired segregant corresponding to strain YTC1337 (MATa can1Δ::STE2pr-Sp_his5 lyr1Δ::STE3pr-LEU2 ura3Δ0 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0). We then transformed pRS4161_SNR19 into strain YTC1337. Finally, to arrive at strain YTC1604, we deleted the chromosomal SNR19 by replacing it with a natMX4 selectable marker that was PCR amplified from plasmid p4339 (a gift of C. Boone). The SGA query strain YTC1605, which harbors an U1-snRNA UU mutant allele on pRS4161, was PCR amplified from plasmid p4339 (a gift of C. Boone). The SGA query strain YTC1605, which harbors an U1-snRNA UU mutant allele on pRS4161_UU was constructed in the same manner. With minor modifications, we followed the standard SGA procedure (64,66) by employing a high-throughput microbial array pinning robot (RoToR HDA, Singer Instruments). Strains YTC1604 and YTC1605 were independently mated with a collection of 4600 nonessential gene deletion strains (Standard SGA Array, a gift from C. Boone) on YPD plate overnight at 30°C for 3 days. MATa haploids containing deletions of both SNR19 and the nonessential gene were selected on (SD/MSG)-His/Arg/Lys+canavanine/thialysine plates twice before image acquisition and data processing, which was done using the ScreenMill (67).

**U1 snRNP purification**

To purify the U1 snRNP, we first constructed strain YTC1549 (MATa STO1-3xFLAG::hphMX6 snr19Δ::natMX4 MUD1-TAP::HIIS3MX6 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 pCG106 [SNR19/UAR3/CEN]). Subsequent replacement of pCG106 (50) with pSNR19001 derivatives (see above) made possible for purifying U1 snRNP variants differing at the 5′-end dinucleotide of the U1 snRNA (GG, CU, and wild-type) (Figure 6B). We followed the published protocol for tandem affinity purification of U1 snRNP via the TAP-tagged Mud1p (42), except that the calmodulin affinity step was replaced by a Mono Q step. After the TEV protease elution step from the IgG Sepharose, the eluted material was loaded onto a Mono Q 5/50 GL column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and the bound proteins were eluted by a linear gradient of NaCl (80–600 mM). Fractions (0.5 ml) were collected and analyzed by 8% SDS-PAGE. For Western blotting, the purified U1 snRNP was fractionated on a gradient gel (4–12%) (Invitrogen) and probed for the abundance of Sto1p using anti-FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:1000 dilution). The amounts of Prp40p and Smd1p in the same fractions were also determined by anti-Prp40p antibody (1: 5000 dilution) and anti-Smd1p antibody (1:1000 dilution).

**Rescuing the GG/tgs1Δ synthetic lethality by CBC2-Y24A mutation**

We first constructed strain YTC1565 (MATa snr19Δ::natMX4 tgs1Δ::kanMX4 cbc2Δ::hphMX4 can1Δ::STE2pr-Sp_his5 lyr1Δ::STE3pr-LEU2 ura3Δ0 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 pCG106), to which pCBC2001 (CBC2/MET15/CEN) and pCBC2002 (CBC2-Y24A/MET15/CEN) were individually transformed. The resulting strains were then transformed with pSNR19001 variants for expressing wild-type U1 snRNA, GG-U1 snRNA, or GU-U1 snRNA, respectively. These tester strains were initially cultivated in a medium lacking leucine, methionine, and uracil to retain the all three plasmids, serially diluted, and then spotted on the 5-flouroorotic acid (5-FOA) solid medium lacking leucine and methionine for counterselecting pCG106. The spotted plates were incubated at 18, 22 and 30°C until colony formation.

**Quantitation of TMG-capped U1 snRNA**

Yeast strains expressing various U1 snRNA variants were used for extracting total RNAs by standard hot phenol/chloroform method. An aliquot of total RNA (100–300 μg) was then incubated at 4°C for 3 h with 10 μl of Dynabeads® Protein A (Thermo Fisher), which were bound by 10 μl of anti-TMG antibody (R1311, Anti-m3G-cap, [Cat#201002], Dynatools). After extensive washes, the bound RNAs were extracted and purified. The amounts of U1 and U2 snRNAs in the precipitated as well as in the input RNAs were quantitated by RT-qPCR using U1- and U2-specific primer sets, respectively. The amount of anti-TMG precipitated U1 snRNA was calculated as [U1/Up/U2Ip] / [U1input/U2input] and further normalized to the value obtained from the wild-type strain. Data from three biological repeats were averaged and shown in Figure 6C.

**Molecular docking and estimation of binding free energy**

In brief, the atomic structure of the human nuclear cap-binding complex (CBC) in complex with a cap analogue m7GpppG (PDB: 1H2T) (24) was downloaded as a template. Next, the structure of CBP20 (nuclear cap-binding protein, 20 kDa) and that of m7GpppG analog (‘chain Z’ in PDB: 1H2T) were extracted respectively from the template as the ‘protein’ and ‘ligand’. To perform in silico mutation of RNA nucleotide (m7GpppG change to m7GpppA, m7GpppU, or m7GpppC) or to substitute amino acid residue (Y138A), commands of ‘swapna’ and ‘swapaa’ was used respectively in UCSF Chimera (version 10.2) (68). For further docking analysis, the atomic structures of the protein and ligand were loaded into MGLTools (version 1.5.6) (69) to create the PDBQT structure files, recording the coordinates of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in all polar residues, partial charges and a description of the rigid and rotatable parts of the molecule. The docking site for m7GpppG analog on a protein target was defined by a grid box that covers the entire m7GpppG analog. The binding Gibbs free energies (ΔG) (kcal/mol) between each particular ligands and the target protein was calculated using AutoDock Vina (version 1.1.3) (70), and was compared with that extracted based on in vitro data of the cap-CBC complexes at 20°C (24,71).

**RESULTS**

Altering the AU dinucleotide at the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA results in a growth defect

To address the significance of the conserved AU dinucleotide at the 5′-end of the U1 snRNA (Figure 1A), 19...
plasmids were constructed that enabled expression of U1 snRNA variants corresponding to all possible permutations of the 5′-terminal dinucleotides, as well as individual or pairwise deletions at these positions. These variant U1 snRNA plasmids were then tested for their ability to support cell viability in the absence of a wild-type U1 snRNA. Unexpectedly, all 18 mutant strains were viable and appeared to grow at a rate similar to that of the wild-type strain. Quantitative measurement of their growth rates via a GFP-based competitive fitness assay (see Methods), however, revealed that many mutant strains in fact exhibited reduced fitness compared to the wild-type strain (Figure 1B). Three mutant strains in particular (GG, GA, and UU) showed severely compromised fitness (Figure 1B). For example, the growth rate of UU mutant was reduced by >40% when grown at 30°C and UU and GG mutants were cold sensitive at 16°C (Figure 1B and C).

### Altering the AU dinucleotide at the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA impacts its transcription

To examine whether these plasmid-borne U1 snRNA genes were transcribed properly, we mapped the 5′ ends of the mutant U1 snRNAs by primer extension (Figure 2A). Most of the mutants produced U1 snRNAs at a level comparable to that of the wild-type strain, and their transcription start sites were either identical to that of the wild-type, shifted as expected for deletion mutants, or shifted to nearby downstream A or G residues (Figure 2A and B). However, in the UU mutant, which exhibited the lowest fitness score and was severely compromised at 30°C, primer extension showed that the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA was barely detectable (Figure 2A, lane 17). In this mutant, the majority of the U1 snRNAs detected appeared to lack first 19 or 29 nucleotides of the snRNA, thus entirely missing the region necessary for base-pairing with the 5′ss (Figure 1A).

To address whether the shortened U1 snRNA species in the UU mutant were packaged into U1 snRNP, we fractionated the UU mutant cell extract on a sucrose gradient and monitored U1 snRNP using an antibody targeting Prp40p, an integral U1-snRNP protein (Supplementary Figure S2). The UU mutant’s U1 snRNP reproducibly peaked at fraction 8, whereas the wild-type U1 snRNP peaked at fraction 7, suggesting a conformational and/or structural change. Primer extension showed that the 5′-shortened U1 snRNA species were present in these fractions (Supplementary Figure S2), suggesting that they were packaged into Prp40p-containing U1 snRNP particles.

The fact that the UU mutant was viable, although fitness compromised, raised the possibility that the shortened U1 snRNA species might still be functional. This consideration was in light of the previous reports that addition of excess SR proteins was capable of rescuing the splicing defect upon sequestering the U1 snRNA 5′ end or depletion of U1 snRNP in vivo (72,73). To test this hypothesis and to facilitate interpretation of subsequent primer extension assays, we constructed a LEU2-marked plasmid capable of expressing an internally deleted (nucleotides 196–239) form of U1 snRNA (74) (Supplementary Figure S3A, lane 2; S3B, lane 1). Expression of this short form of U1 snRNA (U1Δ) in the snr19Δ cell permitted the loss of an SNR19WT/URA3 plasmid, indicating the functionality of U1Δ (Supplementary Figure S3C, bottom panel). On this U1Δ plasmid backbone, we then engineered a series of 5′-end truncations that allowed transcription of the U1Δ species precisely from +7, +12, +17 or +20 positions (Supplementary Figure S3B, lanes 3–6). None of these truncated U1Δ species were able to complement the chromosomal snr19Δ deletion (Supplementary Figure S3C). This result suggested that the 5′-end truncated U1 snRNA species were not functional and that the viability of the UU mutant was most likely derived from a sufficiently low level of full-length U1 snRNA in the cell. Quantitative analysis by primer extension of the full-length U1 snRNA abundance in all the mutants, which was normalized to the intrinsic U2 snRNA levels, supported this notion (Figure 2C, see the UU lane; Supplementary Figure S2).

### Altering the AU dinucleotide at the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA impacts splicing in vivo

To determine the in vivo splicing defects associated with the UU mutant we turned to a splicing-sensitive microarray platform (59,75,76). Splicing in the UU mutant was, as expected, severely compromised at 30°C, as seen by the extensive accumulation of many intron-containing transcripts in comparison to that of the wild-type strain (Figure 3A). We then used RT-qPCR to validate this genome-wide analysis and to examine whether splicing was also compromised in two other mutants, GG and GA, which also exhibited significant fitness loss (Figure 1B). The ΔUU mutant was included as a control, because it had a fitness score nearly identical to that of the wild-type strain (Figure 1B), despite producing a shortened U1 snRNA missing the first two nucleotides (Figure 2A, lane 2 and Figure 2B) (See detailed analysis and discussion of the ΔAU mutant below). A panel of nine intron-containing genes were chosen for this analysis. For each of these genes, primer pairs were used to separately amplify regions within the intron or the downstream exon, corresponding respectively to the levels of pre-mRNA (P) and total (T) transcripts. The ratio of P/T in the mutant background was then normalized against the same ratio obtained from the wild-type strain. This analysis consistently showed that pre-mRNA accumulated significantly in UU, GG, and GA mutant strains (i.e. P/T > 1), but less so in the ΔUU mutant (Figure 3B). Interestingly, in both the GG and GA mutants, the splicing defect (Figure 3B) correlated well with the fitness deficiencies (Figures 1B and 3B), yet their levels of full-length U1 snRNA were comparable in these mutants to that in wild-type strain (Figure 2A, lanes 12 and 13; Figure 2C), suggesting that the identities of the 5′-end nucleotides play a role in splicing (see below).

### Altering the AU dinucleotide at the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA impacts its recruitment to pre-mRNAs

Alteration of the 5′-end dinucleotide of U1 snRNA could potentially change the physical properties and/or the functionality of U1 snRNP. To evaluate the first issue regarding physical property, we fractionated the active splicing extracts prepared from ΔUU, GG, UU, and the wild-type
strains by native gel electrophoresis and performed Northern blotting using a U1-snRNA-specific probe. Two major forms of U1 snRNP were detected in all cases (Figure 4A), consistent with previous reports (16,17,19). There is no detectable difference of these two forms of U1 snRNP in terms of their gel mobilities (cf. lanes 1 and 2–4). This is in sharp contrast to the effects of eliminating Prp42p, U1A/Mud1p, or Nam8p/Mud15p, in which significant alterations of gel migration pattern or loss of the fully assembled U1 snRNP were observed (Figure 4A, lane 5) (16,17). To address the second issue regarding functionality, we examined the formation of the CCs, which represent the initial binding of the U1 snRNP to pre-mRNA and can be accumulated by leaving ATP out of the in vitro splicing reaction. Radioactively labeled pre-mRNA was incubated with splicing extracts to form CCs, which were resolved by native-gel electrophoresis. A notable increase of the CC2 mobility was observed in GG and UU reactions, but not in ΔAU reaction (Figure 4A, lanes 6–9), consistent with their splicing and fitness phenotypes in vivo. This biochemical phenotype is reminiscent of the faster-migrating CCs that are seen when U1A/Mud1p (77) or Mud2p (11) is lost, but it is opposite to the result of eliminating Cbp20p/Mud13p, which causes a decrease in CC mobility (28). We interpreted the observed faster-migrating phenotype as reflecting a change of conformation or composition of CCs in vitro.

To further probe the impact of the observed change on splicing in vivo, we carried out standard ChIP assays to measure chromatin association of the Prp40p (a proxy for the U1 snRNP) with ACT1, an endogenous intron-containing gene. Formaldehyde-cross-linked chromatin was immunoprecipitated by anti-Prp40p antibody and DNA was analyzed by RT-qPCR using six sets of primers directed to different regions of the ACT1 gene (Figure 4B). In general, U1 snRNP levels peaked close to the end of the intron and then decreased substantially in the middle of exon 2, consistent with previously reported results (19,78,79). Importantly, U1 snRNP recruitment in GG and UU mutants was significantly reduced to ∼40% of the wild-type level (Figure 4B, primer pair 3). These in vivo biochemical phenotypes were not observed in the control ΔAU mutant, consistent again with the fitness, in vivo splicing, and CC formation data. Taken together, these data suggest that alteration of the 5′-end dinucleotide of the U1 snRNA impacts on CC formation and U1 snRNP recruitment during splicing.

The 5′-end dinucleotide of the U1 snRNA is genetically linked to CC components

To further explore the mechanism of the splicing defect in the UU mutant (Figure 3), we performed a genome-wide synthetic-lethal screen by crossing the UU mutant with ∼4600 yeast strains, each harboring a nonessential gene deletion, and examined the growth phenotypes of the resulting double mutants through a robotic Synthetic Genetic Array (SGA) approach (66) (see Materials and Methods). This
Figure 4. Alteration of U1 snRNA 5′-end dinucleotide impacts on U1 snRNP recruitment to pre-mRNA. (A) Native gel electrophoresis analysis of U1 snRNP and commitment complexes. (Left Panel) Alteration of U1 snRNA 5′-end dinucleotide does not appear to affect U1 snRNP mobility on the native gel. Splicing extracts made from wild-type, ΔAU, UU, GG and nam8Δ strains were electrophoresed on a native polyacrylamide (3%)–agarose (0.5%) gel. The RNAs were transferred to a membrane and probed by a digoxigenin-labeled U1 probe. No apparent differences were detected for the two major forms of the U1 snRNP except for nam8Δ. (Right Panel) Electrophoretic mobilities of CCs are altered in the presence of GG and UU mutations. CC1 and CC2 are two commitment complexes formed in the absence of U2 snRNP with [32P]-labeled RP51A transcript. (B) The GG and UU mutations severely reduce U1 snRNP's chromatin association. Six pairs of oligonucleotides were used to amplify different regions (short lines at the bottom of the top panel) of the ACT1 gene containing two exons (boxes, top panel) and an intron (connecting thin line, top panel). All data were normalized to the signal of the first oligonucleotide pair from experiments using the wild-type strain. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of six independent biological replicates.

A competing hypothesis would be that without functional CBC, which binds to the m7G cap of the nascent snRNAs in the nucleus, a simultaneous alteration of the 5′-end dinucleotide of the U1 snRNA may negatively impact the U1 snRNA and/or U1 snRNP biogenesis. Because the double mutants containing U1 snRNA mutants with either sto1Δ or cbc2Δ could not be analyzed due their severe growth phenotype, we exploited the aforementioned short-form U1 snRNA (U1s) system (Supplementary Figure S3A) to test this alternate possibility. We first kept the double mutants alive by introducing a plasmid expressing the full-length wild-type U1 snRNA and, under such condition, the 5′-end altered U1s snRNAs were examined by primer extension. The U1s snRNAs transcribed from the same start sites seen in the full-length context, and expressed at a level comparable to that of the wild-type U1s snRNA (Supplementary Figure S3A; lanes 4–11). However, we were, however, unable to characterize the property of the corresponding U1s snRNPs in the double mutants using the similar approach, because the presence of full-length U1 snRNP masked the potential gel-mobility changes. Regardless, our data are consistent with an interpretation that the U1 snRNA 5′-end dinucleotide plays a role in CC formation.
gcr2Δ, or rpn4Δ (Figure 5, the UU column). This is consistent with the finding that only the UU mutant exhibited a dramatic transcriptional defect that yielded high levels of 5′ end-truncated U1 snRNAs but very low levels of full length U1 snRNA (Figure 2). We speculated that, in the UU mutant, the already limited supply of the full-length U1 snRNA (Figure 2C) may be further reduced below a threshold for supporting cell viability in the absence of either Sub1p, Gcr2p or Rpn4p. If so, these three transcription factors may have a role in regulating transcription of SNR19 or other snRNA genes.

**Figure 5.** Genetic analysis identified genes functionally linked to the U1 snRNA 5′-end dinucleotide. Nine candidate genes (except for BBP allele msl5-S194P) marked on the right emerged first from an SGA screen using the UU mutation, among them six are related to splicing (light brown) and three to transcription (light purple). Genetic interaction of these nine candidate genes and msl5-S194P with all the U1 snRNA mutants was further manually analyzed in a pairwise manner. The severities of synthetic lethality, ranging from viable to lethal, are presented in a heat map (top half). Temperature-dependent synthetic lethality between tgs1Δ and all the U1 snRNA mutations is presented in the bottom half. See text for detailed discussion of the biological underpinning of Groups I, II and III.

**Altering the AU dinucleotide at the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA impacts CBC binding to U1 snRNA**

Another gene that emerged from our SGA screen was TGS1, which encodes trimethyl guanosine synthase, the previously described enzyme responsible for converting the m′G cap of snRNAs, snRNAs, and telomerase RNA into a TMG cap (36,38). Interestingly, tgs1Δ is only synthetic lethal with GA, GG, and UU mutations at 30°C (Figure 5; bottom panel), which correlates well with their low fitness scores (Figure 1B). To probe the underlying mechanism for this genetic observation, we took inspiration from a recent finding that, in the absence of Tgs1p, the 5′ cap of the U1 snRNA is locked in the m′G state and, as a result, is prone to remain bound by CBC (42). It was hypothesized (42,43) that such an interaction is thermodynamically strengthened by low temperature, thus leading to the observed cold-sensitive (cs) phenotype of the tgs1Δ strain, most likely reflecting a defect associated with usage of CBC-bound U1 snRNP in the spliceosome. Subsequent experiments (42,43) using a CBC2-Y24A allele to loosen the interactions between CBC and m′G cap indeed rescued the cs phenotype. Accordingly, we wondered whether the synthetic lethality of the GG and GA mutations with tgs1Δ could also be due to an unfavorable association of CBC with the corresponding U1 snRNA variants even at the permissive temperature (30°C). If this were the case, loosening the interaction between Cbp20p and the m′G cap in the tgs1Δ background should rescue the synthetic lethality of the GG and GA mutant. To test this hypothesis, we first constructed a haploid strain bearing the following features: (1) chromosomal deletions of TGS1, CBC2, and SNR19; (2) an SNR19/URA3 plasmid; and (3) a plasmid harboring either CBC2 or CBC2-Y24A. We then transformed a plasmid-borne U1-GG or U1-GA allele into the starting strains to allow 5-FOA counterselection of the SNR19/URA3 plasmid and then subjected the resulting strains to growth phenotype assessment at various temperatures. We found that CBC2-Y24A indeed rescued tgs1Δ cs phenotype (Figure 6A; top panels) as previously reported (42,43). Remarkably, CBC2-Y24A, but not CBC2, relieved the GG/tgs1Δ and GA/tgs1Δ synthetic lethality at 30°C (Figure 6A; right panels, and Supplementary Figure S4), consistent with our prediction.

Encouraged by the preceding findings, we then surveyed all U1 snRNA 5′-end dinucleotide mutations for their potential genetic interactions with tgs1Δ at low temperatures (Figure 5; bottom panels). We found that the 5′-end dinucleotide mutations can be classified into three groups based on their behavior in the tgs1Δ context. Members in the first group (Group I), which include GU, CU and CC, were synthetic lethal at all temperatures tested. We interpreted these genetic data as follows. First, the synthetic lethality between Group I mutations and tgs1Δ may result from a hyperstable interaction between CBC and m′G cap even at the 30°C, akin to the proposed mechanism of the cold-sensitive phenotype of tgs1Δ described above (42,43). Second, the lack of synthetic lethality between Group I mutations and tgs1Δ at 16°C would then be parallel to the obser-
Figure 6. Alteration of U1 snRNA 5’-end dinucleotide impacts on CBC binding to U1 snRNA. (A) Rescue of the GG/tgs1Δ synthetic lethality by CBC2-Y24A mutation at 30°C. Yeast strains bearing tgs1Δ in conjunction with various combinations of SNR19 and CBC2 alleles were examined for their growth phenotypes at different temperatures. The GU allele that can rescue tgs1Δ cs phenotype was included as a control. (B) The U1 snRNA 5’-end GG mutant provides an environment for hyperstable interaction between its m7G cap and CBC. U1 snRNP variants differing at the 5’-end dinucleotide of the U1 snRNA (GG, CU, and wild-type) were affinity purified and probed the abundance of Cbp80p using anti-FLAG M2 antibody. Anti-Prp40p and anti-Smd1p were respectively used to detect the Prp40p and Smd1p, which are integral to U1 snRNP. (C) The identity of the U1 snRNA 5’-end dinucleotide may impact on the TMG cap formation. More U1 snRNA from the CU and GU mutants (Group I) could be precipitated by anti-TMG antibody than that of the control strains (WT and ΔAU). In contrast, less U1 snRNA could be recovered from the GG and GA mutants (Group III). The precipitated U1 snRNA was quantitated by RT-qPCR and normalized against U2 snRNA in the same RNA sample. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of at least three independent biological replicates.

A

| U1 snRNA | CBC2 | Temperature |
|----------|------|-------------|
| WT       | WT   | 18°C        |
| Y24A     |      | 22°C        |
| GG       | WT   | 30°C        |
| Y24A     |      |             |
| GU       | WT   |             |
| Y24A     |      |             |

B

| WT | GG | CU |
|----|----|----|
| Cbp80p-FLAG | Prp40p | Smd1p |

C

| Group | TMG-capped U1 snRNA |
|-------|---------------------|
| WT    | 1                   |
| ΔAU   |                     |
| GG    | 1.5                 |
| GA    | 1.5                 |
| CU    | 3                   |
| GU    | 2.5                 |

Our working model thus predicts that the Group III snRNA variants, which are severely synthetic lethal with tgs1Δ, promote hyperstable interaction between their m7G cap and CBC, in contrast to the wild-type snRNA and the Group I snRNA variants. If this were the case, one would expect that the m7G-to-TMG conversion during U1-snRNP biogenesis would be less efficient for the Group III U1 snRNA variants, because CBC and Tgs1p compete for the same substrate, i.e. the m7G cap. We tested this hypothesis by quantitatively measuring the immunoprecipitable amount of TMG-capped U1 snRNA using anti-TMG antibody in the total RNAs of wild-type, ΔAU, Group I (CU and GU), and Group III (GG and GA) strains in the TGS1 genetic background (see Materials and Methods). Less U1 snRNA was recovered from Group III mutants (GG [49%] and GA [65%] versus wild-type value) in comparison to the controls (wild-type and ΔAU) (Figure 6C). In a striking reversal, over 1.2- and 2.4-fold more U1 snRNA was recov-
Altering the basepairing between the 5′-AU and the 5′ss sequence is unlikely to play a key role in fitness reduction of U1 snRNA mutants

There remains, however, a lingering question as to whether potential basepairing of this AU dinucleotide to intron sequence is critical for splicing in the native, rather than in the artificial, context. If so, altering the identity of this dinucleotide may either abolish a pre-existing or establish a new basepairing event, thereby impacting splicing in vivo. To analyze this possibility, we computationally compiled a list of potential basepairing events between mutant U1 transcripts, whose 5′-ss sequences were experimentally determined (Figure 2A), and all known introns in yeast (Supplementary Table S2). We first focused on the case of the GG mutant, which exhibited the second most severe growth phenotype (Figure 1B). The U1 transcript from the GG mutant, by computational prediction, establishes new basepairing with only two introns, both of which are located in nonessential genes (Supplementary Table S2, bottom row). As a result, it seems unlikely to explain the severe growth phenotype of the GG mutant. We then looked at the other side of the coin and found that the pre-existing basepairing events within 15 essential-gene introns are to be abolished when the AU dinucleotide is altered into all possible permutations (Figure 2B). Among these 15 introns (Supplementary Table S2, first row), five were chosen for measuring their splicing efficiency in two different contexts. The first is to examine splicing in the ΔAU mutant, in which the basepairing is predicted to be abolished. The second is to alter the sequence in introns predicted to base pair with AU and then examine the splicing outcome. No significant reduction of splicing, except in the case of RPL30 (see below), was detected (Supplementary Figure S5A and SB). Surprisingly, dramatic splicing defect was observed when positions 7 and 8 in the RPL30 intron were altered (AU-to-UA) (Supplementary Figure S5B). It has been well established that the 5′ exon and the first few nucleotides of the RPL30 intron forms a structure that blocks the 5′ss and inhibits splicing (80–82). It is therefore tempting to speculate that the AU-to-UA change within the RPL30 intron might have further stabilized the known structure per se, thereby strongly inhibits splicing. Computer prediction of the secondary structure appears to be in support of this conjecture (Supplementary Figure S5C). In short, although we cannot completely rule out the possibility of the proposed mismatched and noncanonical basepairing (52–54), our assessment nonetheless suggests that altering the basepairing between the 5′-AU and the 5′ss sequence is unlikely to play a key role in reducing the fitness of the U1 snRNA mutants. Rather, the intrinsic biochemical functions of the AU dinucleotide account for most, if not all, of the fitness reduction.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we sought to address a long-standing puzzle as to why the AU dinucleotide is highly conserved at the 5′ end of the U1 snRNA, in spite of a lack of an apparent role in basepairing with the 5′-ss consensus sequence. While several studies (50,51) employing artificially constructed introns have raised the possibility that the AU dinucleotide may form basepairing interaction with intron sequences and influence splicing efficiency in vitro (50,51), here we provide the first experimental demonstration that this AU dinucleotide in the native context does bear significant consequence with respect to retention of CBC on the U1 snRNP, which in turn influences the methylation state of the U1 snRNA and the utilization of the U1 snRNP.

A critical observation from this study is that the identity of the 5′-end AU, when placed in conjunction with tgs1Δ, gave rise to a specific growth pattern (Figure 5). Detailed biochemical exploration (Figure 6) showed that this genetic linkage can be explained by a competition between Tgs1p and CBC for the m′G cap of the U1 snRNA, thereby influencing the methylation status of the cap structure (Figure 6). Previous structural analysis of human CBC with the cap analog m′GpppG revealed that the m′G moiety is stacked with two tyrosine residues, Y43 and Y20, and the following guanine base also stacks with Y138 (24,25,71) (Figure 7A). Experimentally altering Y138 into alanine (Y138A) was found to exact a cost of Gibbs free energy (ΔGo) by 0.8 kcal/mol and reduce the equilibrium association constant (Kass) by 1.65-fold (71) (Supplementary Table S3). Intrigued by these data, we computationally altered Y138’s stacking partner from G to either A or pyrimidine (either C or U). Changing G to A yielded a relatively minor ΔGo cost (0.1 kcal/mol), whereas changing G to either C or U led to a more pronounced expenditure (0.7 kcal/mol) comparable to that of Y138A mutation. Because Y138 is situated in a flexible loop (24,25,71), it is tempting to speculate that it may also interact with the second base (e.g., the N within m′GpppGpGpN), which is unfortunately not available in the structure. Should that be the case, a logical prediction of the order of CBC-binding affinity would be that of m′Gppp(GpA)p(GpA) > m′Gppp(GpA)p(CpU) > m′Gppp(CpU)p(CpU), which appears to be strikingly consistent with the observed growth pattern from our genetic analysis (Figure 7B). First, the growth defects of the Group III mutants (yielding m′GpppGpG, m′GpppGpA, m′GpppApG, or m′GpppApA end) are far more severe than those of the Group II (yielding m′GpppGpC or m′GpppApC end). Second, the growth phenotypes of the Group II mutants are comparable to that of the wild-type strain, which produces the m′GpppApU end. Third, among the three Group I mutants, CC and CU, which produce m′GpppCpC and m′GpppCpU ends, grow even better than the wild-type strain. The sole inconsistency is the GU mutant, which yields an m′GpppGpU end and is therefore predicted to be a member of the Group II. We note that the severe growth phenotype of the UU mutant is due to a substantially suboptimal level of full-length U1 snRNA in...
Figure 7. *In silico* analysis of stacking between m’GpppG and Y138 residue in CBP20. (A) The G base immediately following the m’Gppp moiety (shown in yellow) was replaced by either U, C or A via molecular docking and the corresponding ΔΔG’ values were computed (see Materials and Methods) and are shown at the lower right corner within each rectangular boxes. The resulting ΔΔG’ values in reference to the wild-type state are indicated within or by the empty arrows. The previously experimentally tested Y138A mutation in relationship to m’GpppG is included as a control (box, lower left). (B) Striking correlation of the genetic data with the computationally predicted ΔΔG’ (see text for detailed description). The precise 5’ ends of U1 transcripts (U1 5’ end) produced in all U1 snRNA mutants (i.e. U1 allele) are shown for their respective first (1st) and second (2nd) nucleotides in each row (see also Figure 2). Note that the (C/U)-(C/U), (G/A)-(C/U), and (G/A)-(G/A) combinations are predicted to cause weaker, wild-type-like, and excessive binding, respectively. The latter are shown at the lower right corner within each rectangular boxes. The following combinations are predicted to cause weaker, wild-type-like, and excessive binding, respectively. The latter are shown at the lower right corner within each rectangular boxes. (I) Y138A (U1 allele), (II) Y138A (U1 5’ end), (III) Y138A (U1 allele), and (IV) Y138A (U1 5’ end). Notably, among the three transcription factors, Sub1p was shown to be the major determinant for the fitness reduction of the UU mutant, whereas multiple transcriptional start sites are typically found for other pol II-transcribed genes (88). Perhaps the three transcription factors uncovered from the UU mutant, still preserve the requirements for a canonical interaction between U1 snRNA and the 5’ss. Thus, these TSS shifts are unlikely to principally account for the observed fitness reduction. In contrast, in the specific case of the UU mutant, the majority of U1 transcripts produced are shortened to the extent that the region for forming canonical basepairing with 5’ss is lost and are therefore predicted to be nonfunctional, which is indeed the case (Supplementary Figure S3). This finding suggests that the severe growth phenotype of the UU mutant is most likely due to the transcriptional defect that adversely reduces the steady-state level of full-length U1 snRNA. That is, the primary defect in the UU mutant is most likely at the transcriptional level. This conclusion is further supported by the finding that, in the SGA screen using the UU mutant, three genes encoding for known transcription factors were uncovered (Figure 5), which was not the case for other mutants. Notably, among the three transcription factors, Sub1p was recently reported to regulate TSS selection (87). It has been a mystery as to why and how transcription of snRNA genes by pol II tends to start at a single site with exquisite precision, whereas multiple transcriptional start sites are typically found for other pol II-transcribed genes (88). Perhaps the three transcription factors uncovered from the UU mu-
tant screen may have a role in governing the precise start-site selection for the pol II-transcribed snRNA genes. Future research aiming to test this hypothesis should help to clarify this issue.

When considering the sequences of the U1 snRNAs from sixteen divergent organisms (Figure 1A), the sequence in S. pombe is noticeably peculiar in that the conserved AU dinucleotide is completely missing (55) and extending the S. pombe U1 snRNA with the AU dinucleotide at its 5′ end does not appear to affect cell growth (89). These observations therefore seemingly argue against the evolutionary significance of the AU dinucleotide. Yet, our data showed that a complete loss of the AU dinucleotide of the U1 snRNA transcript (ΔAU and ΔAA, Figure 2B) results in a moderate yet significant growth defect in synthetic media (Supplementary Figure S6) and synthetic lethality with an msl5 mutant allele (Figure 5, row 3), supporting that the dinucleotide is critical for maintaining cellular fitness. To explain this apparent conundrum, we aligned the U1 snRNA sequences found in various species of Schizosaccharomyces (Supplementary Figure S1). Remarkably, the 5′ ends of these U1 snRNA transcripts either are missing the AU dinucleotide or have the AU dinucleotide replaced by either UU or UC. It is known that pol II strongly prefers to start transcription from a purine (83,84), usually an A, so it is likely that the reported 5′ ends in the databases, which have yet to be experimentally validated, might have been incorrectly assigned. If indeed so, it is highly plausible that the Schizosaccharomyces U1 snRNA might have undertaken a distinct evolutionary route, which results in the absence of the 5′-end AU dinucleotide.

In this study, we showed that, in the budding yeast, the 5′-end AU dinucleotide of U1 snRNA does not appear to participate in basepairing with the 5′ss region (Supplementary Figure S5 and Supplementary Table S2), consistent with a lack of sequence conservation of positions 7–8 at the 5′ss region (44,45). Intriguingly, experimentally introducing extra base pairs between the AU dinucleotide and the 5′ss impairs splicing in yeast (50), but improves splicing in humans (51). This latter finding thus suggests that the AU dinucleotide may contribute to the binding of U1 snRNP to the 5′ss in humans. Subsequent studies indeed showed that the AU dinucleotide may engage in a variety of noncanonical basepairing with the 5′ss region in vivo (53,54). Such a finding may be rationalized by the fact that the conserved cis-information at the 5′ss region in humans is restricted to GU, thus necessitates using the 5′-end AU dinucleotide to maximize the strength of the RNA duplex formed between U1 snRNA and the 5′ss to improve splicing-site utility. Alternatively, the difference may simply reflect an evolutionary adaptation to the optimal growth conditions, yeast at 30°C and humans at 37°C. In this view, hyperstabilization by extra basepairs using the AU dinucleotide, may disallows efficient departure of U1 snRNP from the 5′ss for yeast at 30°C. Consistent with this thermodynamic hypothesis, the splicing defect caused by hyperstabilization can be relieved by temperature shift in both yeast and human (50,51).

Finally, our data showed that the 5′-AU dinucleotide of the U1 snRNA is critical to the formation of CCs (Figures 4 and 5). This finding is consistent with the evidence for the existence of a complicated web of genetic and physical interactions among many intrinsic components of CCs, which include CBC, Mud2p and BBP, as well as the subsequently joined U2 snRNP (22), which leads to the formation of pre-spliceosome. We note that CBC is not only involved in U1 snRNP maturation as discussed, but also in CC formation (Figure 5) (27–30). While the detailed mechanism regarding how CBC and the 5′-AU dinucleotide may jointly influence CC formation remains unknown, recent advances in solving several spliceosome structures at different stages of the splicing pathway (90–92) hold great promise in this regard. In this light, structural resolution of early splicing complexes is expected to reveal the mechanistic underpinning on the functional interactions of the 5′-AU dinucleotide with CBC and Mud2p.
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