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We formulate a mathematical model for the cointeraction of schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS in order to assess their synergistic relationship in the presence of therapeutic measures. Comprehensive mathematical techniques are used to analyze the model steady states. The disease-free equilibrium is shown to be locally asymptotically stable when the associated disease threshold parameter known as the basic reproduction number for the model is less than unity. Centre manifold theory is used to show that the schistosomiasis-only and HIV/AIDS-only endemic equilibria are locally asymptotically stable when the associated reproduction numbers are greater than unity. The impact of schistosomiasis and its treatment on the dynamics of HIV/AIDS is also investigated. To illustrate the analytical results, numerical simulations using a set of reasonable parameter values are provided, and the results suggest that schistosomiasis treatment will always have a positive impact on the control of HIV/AIDS.

1. Introduction

Schistosomiasis, also known as bilharzia after Theodor Bilharz who first identified the parasite in Egypt in 1851, is a disease caused by blood flukes [1]. It affects millions of people worldwide, especially in South America, the Middle East, and Southeast Asia where it remains a public health problem and poses a threat to 600 million people in more than 76 countries [1]. The disease is often associated with water resource development projects, such as dams and irrigation schemes, where the snail intermediate hosts of the parasite breed [2]. Human schistosomiasis (which has a relatively low mortality rate, but a high morbidity rate) is a family of diseases primarily caused by three species of the genus Schistosoma or flat worms. The adult worms inhabit the blood vessels lining either the intestine or bladder, depending on the species of the worm [3]. The highest number of human schistosomiasis infections is caused by S. haematobium, which has a predilection for the blood vessels around the bladder and causes urinary disease [4]. Schistosomiasis is the second most prevalent neglected tropical diseases after hookworm (192 million cases), accounting for 93% of the world’s number of cases and possibly associated with increased horizontal transmission of HIV/AIDS [5].

On the other hand, the number of people living with HIV worldwide continued to grow in 2008, reaching an estimated 33.4 million, which is more than 20% higher than the number in 2000, and the prevalence was roughly threefold higher than in 1990 [6]. The HIV virus, by holding the immune system hostage, has opened many gates for pathological interactions with other diseases [7]. Schistosomiasis and HIV infections have major effects on the host immune response, and coinfection (of the two diseases which may increase the complexity of treatment for people living with HIV and may contribute to poorer medical outcomes) is widespread [8]. While schistosomiasis infections are caused by diverse species from three phyla, HIV is essentially a single entity. There is some evidence that schistosomiasis infection provides some benefit in some instances like the atopic disease [9, 10], and the inflammatory pathology of autoimmune disease [11–13]. For bacterial and viral infections, impaired control of replication and elimination may lead to a detrimental outcome [14–17]. That HIV infection is detrimental to the immune response to many pathogens is quite clear and poor regulation of immune system in advanced HIV infection is illustrated by an increased incidence of hypersensitive drug reactions [18, 19]. Studies that examine the codynamics of HIV and schistosomiasis infections have shown a significant
association between HIV and the presence of *S. haematobium* eggs in the genital samples, supporting the argument that schistosomiasis infection enhances HIV susceptibility when genital lesions are present [20]. Host-parasite interactions such as schistosomiasis, where inflammatory responses have persisted through evolution, perhaps due to selective advantage for parasite egg excretion, may be more detrimental with regard to HIV infection [21].

Although the negative impact of the synergetic interactions between HIV and schistosomiasis has shown to be a public health burden, only few statistical or mathematical models have been used to explore the consequences of their joint dynamics at the population level. There are plenty of single disease dynamic models. A significant number focus on HIV/AIDS [22–26] or on the transmission dynamics of schistosomiasis [27–37]. Schistosomiasis model (24) considered in this study differs from those found in the literature in that we consider *Schistosoma mansoni* a human blood fluke which causes schistosomiasis and is the most widespread and the fresh water snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* serves as the main intermediate host, while the HIV/AIDS model (7) is an extension of the model by Murray [38] by including HIV therapy while neglecting the issue of seropositivity considered in [38]. Mathematical modeling assessing the impact of schistosomiasis on the transmission dynamics of HIV/AIDS is rare [39].

Quantifying by how much treatment of schistosomiasis affects HIV/AIDS dynamics will require an extensive sensitivity analysis with parameter values estimated from real and recent coinfection data. Nevertheless, this theoretical study provides a framework for the potential benefit of schistosomiasis treatment on the dynamics of HIV and highlights the fact that global public health challenges require comprehensive and multipronged approaches to dealing with coinfections [7], and current intervention efforts that focus on a single infection at a time may be losing substantial rewards of dealing synergistically and concurrently with multiple infectious diseases in one host. To the best of our knowledge, except for the study in [39] where the co-interaction of schistosomiasis and HIV without any form of treatment is investigated, this work is possibly the first to give a theoretical mathematical account of the impact of schistosomiasis on HIV dynamics in the presence of both schistosomiasis treatment and antiretroviral therapy at the population level.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In the next section, we present the schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS coinfection model. In Section 3 we determine sufficient conditions for local stability of the disease-free and endemic equilibria and analyze the reproduction number for the two diseases separately while Section 4 provides a comprehensive analysis of the full model. Section 5 provides numerical results while Section 6 concludes the paper.

### 2. Model Description

The proposed model is an extension of an earlier study [39], which did not account for any intervention strategy. The schistosomiasis and HIV models will be coupled via the force of infection, and in the absence of any of the diseases (hence no coinfection), the two basic disease submodels can be decoupled from the general model (see Sections 3.1.4 and 3.3.1). The population of interest is divided into several compartments dictated by the epidemiological stages (disease status), namely, susceptibles $S_H(t)$, who are not yet infected by either HIV or schistosomiasis, schistosomiasis-infected individuals $I_g(t)$, HIV-infected individuals not yet displaying symptoms of AIDS $I_H(t)$, individuals infected with HIV showing symptoms of AIDS $A_H(t)$, individuals dually infected with schistosomiasis and HIV displaying symptoms of schistosomiasis only $I_{Hs}(t)$, individuals dually infected with schistosomiasis and HIV displaying symptoms of schistosomiasis and AIDS $A_{Hs}(t)$, treated individuals infected with HIV only, showing symptoms of AIDS $A_{HT}(t)$, and treated individuals dually infected with schistosomiasis and HIV displaying symptoms of schistosomiasis and AIDS $A_{HsT}(t)$. Other important populations to consider in this model are the susceptible snails $S_s(t)$, infected snails $I_s(t)$, miracidia population $M(t)$, and the cercariae population $P(t)$. Individuals move from one class to the next as the disease progresses and/or through dual infection. We further make the following assumptions for the model.

(i) There is no vertical transmission of both infections in humans.

(ii) Infected snails do not reproduce due to castration by miracidia.

(iii) Seasonal and weather variations do not affect snail populations and contact patterns.

(iv) Susceptible humans become infected with schistosomiasis only through contact with free-living pathogen in infested waters.

At any time, new recruits enter the human and snail populations through birth/migration at constant rates $\Lambda_H$ and $\Lambda_S$, respectively. There is a constant natural death rate $\mu_H$ in each human subclass. The force of infection associated with HIV infection, denoted by $\lambda_H$, is given by

$$
\lambda_H(t) = \frac{\beta_H c [I_H + I_{Hs} + \eta (A_H + A_{Hs}) + \kappa (A_{HT} + A_{HsT})]}{N_H},
$$

with $\beta_H$ being the probability of HIV transmission per sexual contact, $c$ is the effective contact rate for HIV infection to occur, and $\eta > 1$ models the fact that individuals in the AIDS stage and not on antiretroviral therapy are more infectious since the viral load is correlated with infectiousness [42]. It is assumed that individuals on antiretroviral therapy transmit infection at the smallest rate $\kappa$ (with $0 < \kappa < 1$) because of the fact that these individuals have very small viral load. It has been estimated by an analysis of longitudinal cohort data that antiretroviral therapy reduces per-partnership infectivity by as much as 60% (so that $\kappa = 0.4$) [41]. Thus, the total human
population $N_H(t)$ is given by 

$$N_H(t) = S_H(t) + I_H(t) + A_H(t) + A_{H_{is}}(t) + I_B(t) + I_{H_b}(t) + A_{H_{is}}(t) + A_{H_{ib}}(t).$$

Susceptible individuals acquire schistosomiasis following infection at a rate $\lambda_p$, where

$$\lambda_p = \frac{\beta_p P(t)}{P_0 + \epsilon P(t)}, \quad (3)$$

with $\beta_p$ being the maximum rate of exposure, $\epsilon$ is the limitation of the growth velocity of cercariae with the increase of cases, and $P_0$ is the half saturation constant. In the absence of the parasite, the functional response of individuals susceptible to the pathogen (schistosomiasis) is given by $(\lambda_p/\beta_p) \{(S_H(t) + I_H(t) + A_H(t) + A_{H_{is}}(t))$, a modified Holling’s type-II functional response (also known as the Michaelis-Menten function when $\epsilon = 1$), the response refers to the change in the density of susceptibles per unit time per pathogen as the schistosomiasis susceptible population density changes. From the functional response, we note that at low parasite density, contacts are directly proportional to host density, but a maximum rate of contact is reached at very high densities (saturation incidence). Individuals infected with schistosomiasis have an additional disease-induced death rate $d_B$. Similarly, susceptible and infected snails have a natural death rate $\mu_S$, and the infected snails have an additional disease-induced death rate $d_S$. The total snail population is given by $N_S(t) = S_S(t) + I_S(t)$.

Considering a schistosomiasis-infected individual, a number (portion) $N_E$ of eggs leave the body through excretion (faeces and urine) and find their way into the fresh water supply where they hatch into free swimming ciliated miracidium at a rate $\gamma$ for individuals without AIDS. Given the weakened immune system of AIDS individuals, they tend to excrete more often, thus releasing more eggs which will hatch into miracidia at a rate $\sigma \gamma$, a $> 1$. If the miracidium reaches a fresh water with snails of a suitable species, it penetrates at a rate $\lambda_M$, where

$$\lambda_M = \frac{\beta_M M(t)}{M_0 + \epsilon M(t)}, \quad (4)$$

and transforms into a sporocyst otherwise, the miracidia die naturally at a rate $\mu_M$. The infected snails release a second form of free swimming larva called a cercaria which is capable of infecting humans at rate $\theta$. Some cercariae also die naturally at a rate $\mu_P$. Individuals infected with schistosomiasis are infected with HIV at a rate $\delta \lambda_H$ with $\delta > 1$ since infection by schistosomiasis creates wounds within the urethra as eggs are being released, which increases the likelihood of HIV infection per sexual contact. Individuals with HIV progress to the AIDS stage at a rate $\rho$. Individuals in the AIDS stage have an additional disease-induced death rate $d_A$. We assume that antiretroviral therapy is given to AIDS individuals who are ill and have experienced AIDS-defining symptoms, or whose CD4+ T cell count is below 200/μL, which is the recommended AIDS defining stage [42]. Thus, AIDS patients are assumed to get antiretroviral therapy at a constant rate $\alpha$. Treated AIDS patients eventually succumb to AIDS-induced mortality at a reduced rate modeled by the parameter $\tau$ ($0 < \tau < 1$). Individuals treated for schistosomiasis are assumed to recover at a constant rate $\omega$, and $\varphi_1$ denotes AIDS patients who have recovered from schistosomiasis but are on antiretroviral therapy since the latter is a life treatment. The model flowchart for the interaction of the two diseases is shown in Figure 1 and parameters described will assume values in Table 1.

From the aforementioned model description and assumptions, we establish the following deterministic system of nonlinear differential equations

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_H}{dt} &= \Lambda_H + \omega I_B - (\lambda_H + \lambda_p) S_H - \mu_H S_H, \\
\frac{dI_B}{dt} &= \lambda_p S_H - \delta \lambda_H I_B - (\mu_H + \omega + d_B) I_B, \\
\frac{dI_H}{dt} &= \lambda_H S_H + \omega I_{H_b} - \lambda_p I_H - (\mu_H + \rho) I_H, \\
\frac{dA_H}{dt} &= \rho I_H + \omega I_{H_b} - \lambda_p A_H - (\mu_H + \alpha + d_A) A_H, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{is}}}{dt} &= \alpha A_H + \omega A_{H_{is}} - \lambda_p A_{H_{is}} - (\mu_H + \rho + d_A) A_{H_{is}}, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{ib}}}{dt} &= \delta \lambda_H I_B + \lambda_p I_H - (\rho + \omega + \mu_H + d_B) I_{H_b}, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{ib}}}{dt} &= \lambda_p A_H + \rho I_{H_b} - (\mu_H + \omega + d_A + d_B) A_{H_{ib}}, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{is}}}{dt} &= \lambda_p A_{H_{is}} + \alpha A_{H_{is}} - (\mu_H + \omega + \tau d_A + d_B) A_{H_{is}}, \\
\frac{dM}{dt} &= N_E \gamma (I_B + I_{H_b} + \sigma A_{H_{is}} + \sigma A_{H_{ib}}) - \mu_M M, \\
\frac{dS_S}{dt} &= \Lambda_S - \lambda_M S_S - \mu_S S_S, \\
\frac{dI_S}{dt} &= \lambda_M S_S - (\mu_S + d_S) I_S, \\
\frac{dP}{dt} &= \theta I_S - \mu_P P.
\end{align*}$$

Model system

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_H}{dt} &= \Lambda_H + \omega I_B - (\lambda_H + \lambda_p) S_H - \mu_H S_H, \\
\frac{dI_B}{dt} &= \lambda_p S_H - \delta \lambda_H I_B - (\mu_H + \omega + d_B) I_B, \\
\frac{dI_H}{dt} &= \lambda_H S_H + \omega I_{H_b} - \lambda_p I_H - (\mu_H + \rho) I_H, \\
\frac{dA_H}{dt} &= \rho I_H + \omega I_{H_b} - \lambda_p A_H - (\mu_H + \alpha + d_A) A_H, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{is}}}{dt} &= \alpha A_H + \omega A_{H_{is}} - \lambda_p A_{H_{is}} - (\mu_H + \rho + d_A) A_{H_{is}}, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{ib}}}{dt} &= \delta \lambda_H I_B + \lambda_p I_H - (\rho + \omega + \mu_H + d_B) I_{H_b}, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{ib}}}{dt} &= \lambda_p A_H + \rho I_{H_b} - (\mu_H + \omega + d_A + d_B) A_{H_{ib}}, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{is}}}{dt} &= \lambda_p A_{H_{is}} + \alpha A_{H_{is}} - (\mu_H + \omega + \tau d_A + d_B) A_{H_{is}}, \\
\frac{dM}{dt} &= N_E \gamma (I_B + I_{H_b} + \sigma A_{H_{is}} + \sigma A_{H_{ib}}) - \mu_M M, \\
\frac{dS_S}{dt} &= \Lambda_S - \lambda_M S_S - \mu_S S_S, \\
\frac{dI_S}{dt} &= \lambda_M S_S - (\mu_S + d_S) I_S, \\
\frac{dP}{dt} &= \theta I_S - \mu_P P.
\end{align*}$$

(5)

2.1. Model Basic Properties. In this section, we study the basic properties of the solutions of model system (5), which are essential in the proofs of stability.

Lemma 1. The equations preserve positivity of solutions.

Proof. Considering the human population only, the vector field given by the right-hand side of (5) points inward on the boundary of $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \{0\}$. For example, if $A_H = 0$, then, $A'_H = \rho I_H + \omega A_{H_{is}} \geq 0$. In an analogous manner, the same result can be shown for the other model components (variables). We shall use the human population to illustrate the boundedness of solutions for model system (5).
The region

\[
\Phi = \begin{cases}
(S_H, I_H, A_H, A_{H_{1a}}, I_{H_{1a}}, A_{H_{1a}}, A_{H_{1a}}) \\
\in \mathbb{R}_+^8 : N_H \leq \frac{\Lambda_H}{\mu_H},
\end{cases}
\]

\[
M \in \mathbb{R}_+ : M \leq \frac{\gamma \Lambda_H N_F (1 + \sigma)}{\mu_A \mu_H},
\]

\[
(S_S, I_S) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : N_S \leq \frac{\Lambda_S}{\mu_S},
\]

\[
P \in \mathbb{R}_+ : P \leq \frac{\theta \Lambda_S}{\mu_P \mu_S}
\]

is invariant and attracting for system (5).

**Theorem 1.** For every nonzero, nonnegative initial value, solutions of model system (5) exist for all time \( t > 0 \).

**Proof.** Local existence of solutions follows from standard arguments since the right-hand side of (5) is locally Lipschitz. Global existence follows from the a priori bounds. \( \square \)

### 3. Analysis of the Submodels

Before analyzing the full model system (5), it is essential to gain insights into the dynamics of the models for HIV only and schistosomiasis only.

#### 3.1. HIV-Only Model

We now consider a model for HIV/AIDS only, obtained by setting \( I_B = I_{H_B} = A_{H_B} = M = S_S = I_S = P = 0 \), so that system in (5) reduces to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_H}{dt} &= \Lambda_H - (\lambda_H + \mu_H)S_H, \\
\frac{dI_H}{dt} &= \lambda_H S_H - (\rho + \mu_H)I_H, \\
\frac{dA_H}{dt} &= \rho I_H - (\alpha + d_A + \mu_H)A_H, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{1a}}}{dt} &= \alpha A_H - (\tau d_A + \mu_H)A_{H_{1a}}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

with, \( \lambda_H = \frac{\beta_H c (I_H + \eta A_H + \kappa A_{H_{1a}})}{N_H} \).

For system (7), it can be shown that the region

\[
\Phi_H = \left\{ (S_H, I_H, A_H, A_{H_{1a}}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4 : N_H \leq \frac{\Lambda_H}{\mu_H} \right\}
\]

is invariant and attracting. Thus, the dynamics of the HIV-only model will be considered in \( \Phi_H \).

#### 3.1.1. Disease-Free Equilibrium and Stability Analysis

Model system (7) has an evident disease-free given by

\[
\Phi_{H_{1a}} = \left\{ (S_H^0, I_H^0, A_H^0, A_{H_{1a}}^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4 : \frac{\Lambda_H}{\mu_H} \right\}
\]

Following the next generation approach and the notation defined therein [43], matrices \( F \) and \( V \) for new infection terms and the remaining transfer terms are, respectively, given by

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
\beta_H c & \beta_H c \eta & \beta_H c \kappa \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
V = \begin{bmatrix}
\mu_H & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mu_H + \rho & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mu_H + \tau d_A
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_H}{dt} &= \Lambda_H - (\lambda_H + \mu_H)S_H, \\
\frac{dI_H}{dt} &= \lambda_H S_H - (\rho + \mu_H)I_H, \\
\frac{dA_H}{dt} &= \rho I_H - (\alpha + d_A + \mu_H)A_H, \\
\frac{dA_{H_{1a}}}{dt} &= \alpha A_H - (\tau d_A + \mu_H)A_{H_{1a}}, \\
\end{align*}
\]
### Table 1: Model parameters and their interpretations.

| Parameter | Symbol | Value | Source |
|-----------|--------|-------|--------|
| Recruitment rate for humans | Λ_H | 100,000 yr\(^{-1}\) | [40] |
| Natural mortality rate for humans | μ_H | 0.02 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39, 40] |
| Natural rate of progression to AIDS | ρ | 0.125 yr\(^{-1}\) | [40] |
| AIDS-related death rate | d_A | 0.333 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39] |
| Schistosomiasis-related death rate | d_B | 0.00201 yr\(^{-1}\) | Assume |
| Product of effective contact rate for HIV infection and probability of HIV transmission per contact | β_Hc | 0.011–0.95 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39, 40] |
| Enhancement factor of schistosomiasis to HIV infection | δ | 1.001 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39] |
| Modification parameter | σ | 1.001 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39] |
| Treatment rate | α | 0.33 yr\(^{-1}\) | Assume |
| Natural mortality rate from snails | μ_S | 0.072 yr\(^{-1}\) | Assume |
| Recruitment rate for snails | Λ_S | 10 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39] |
| Limitation of the growth velocity | ϵ | 100 | [39] |
| Number of eggs excreted by humans | N_E | 500 | [39] |
| Mortality rate for cercariae | μ_P | 0.504 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39] |
| Mortality rate for miracidia | μ_M | 0.65 yr\(^{-1}\) | Assume |
| Snail disease induced death rate | d_S | 0.08 yr\(^{-1}\) | Assume |
| Rate at which eggs successfully become miracidia | γ | 0.835 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39] |
| Rate at which sporocysts successfully become cercariae | θ | 0.9 yr\(^{-1}\) | [39] |
| Modification parameter | κ | 0.4 | [41] |
| Modification parameter | η | 1.25 | Assume |
| Modification parameter | τ | 0.001 | Assume |
| Rate of recovery from schistosomiasis | ω, ω_1 | 0.56 | Assume |

It follows from (10) that the reproduction number of the system (7) is given by

\[
R_A = \frac{β_Hc\left[p\kappa + (μ_H + τd_A)(ηρ + α + μ_H + d_A)\right]}{(μ_H + ρ)(μ_H + d_A)(μ_H + α + d_A)}. \tag{11}
\]

The threshold quantity \(R_A\) measures the average number of new secondary cases generated by a single individual in a population where there are no HIV intervention strategies. Using Theorem 2 in [43], the following result is established.

**Lemma 3.** The disease-free equilibrium \(U_{0H}\) of system (7) is locally asymptotically stable (LAS) if \(R_A < 1\) and unstable if \(R_A > 1\).

#### 3.1.2. Sensitivity Analysis of HIV-Only-Induced Reproductive Number

To avoid repetition we refer the reader to a detailed analysis of the reproductive number for model system (7), in the work of Bhunu et al. [44].

#### 3.1.3. Global Stability of HIV/AIDS Model

We claim the following result.

**Lemma 4.** The disease-free equilibrium \((U_{0H})\) of model system (7) is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) if \(R_A < 1\) and unstable if \(R_A > 1\).
Proof. The proof is based on a comparison theorem [45]. Note that the equations of the infected components in system (7) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dI_H}{dt} &= [F - V] \begin{bmatrix} I_H \\ A_H \\ A_{Hx} \end{bmatrix}, \\
\frac{dA_H}{dt} &= [F - V] \begin{bmatrix} I_H \\ A_H \\ A_{Hx} \end{bmatrix}, \\
\frac{dA_{Hx}}{dt} &= [F - V] \begin{bmatrix} I_H \\ A_H \\ A_{Hx} \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
$$

(13)

where $F$ and $V$, are as defined earlier in (10). Since $S_H \leq N_H$, (for all $t \geq 0$) in $\Phi_H$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dI_H}{dt} &\leq [F - V] \begin{bmatrix} I_H \\ A_H \\ A_{Hx} \end{bmatrix}, \\
\frac{dA_H}{dt} &\leq [F - V] \begin{bmatrix} I_H \\ A_H \\ A_{Hx} \end{bmatrix}, \\
\frac{dA_{Hx}}{dt} &\leq [F - V] \begin{bmatrix} I_H \\ A_H \\ A_{Hx} \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
$$

(14)

Using the fact that the eigenvalues of the matrix $F - V$ all have negative real parts, it follows that the linearized differential inequality system (14) is stable whenever $R_A < 1$. Consequently, $(I_H, A_H, A_{Hx}) \to (0,0,0)$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus, by a comparison theorem [45] $(I_H, A_H, A_{Hx}) \to (0,0,0)$ as $t \to \infty$, and evaluating system (7) at $I_H = A_H = A_{Hx} = 0$ gives $S_H \to S^*_H$ for $R_A < 1$. Hence, the DFE ($U_{0H}$) is GAS for $R_A < 1$. $\square$

3.1.4. HIV-Only Equilibrium. Expressed in terms of the equilibrium value of the force of infection $\lambda^*_H$, this equilibrium is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S^*_H &= \frac{A_H}{\mu_H + \lambda^*_H}, \\
I^*_H &= \frac{\lambda^*_H A_H}{(\mu_H + \lambda^*_H)(\mu_H + \rho)}, \\
A^*_H &= \frac{\rho \lambda^*_H A_H}{(\mu_H + \lambda^*_H)(\mu_H + \rho)}(\mu_H + \alpha + d_A), \\
A^*_{Hx} &= \frac{\mu_H A_H}{(\mu_H + \lambda^*_H)(\mu_H + d_A)}(\mu_H + \rho)(\mu_H + \alpha + d_A).
\end{align*}
$$

(15)

The local bifurcation analysis is based on the centre manifold approach [46] as described by Theorem 4.1 in [47], stated in the appendix for convenience (also see [43] for more details). To apply the said Theorem 10 in order to establish the local asymptotic stability of the endemic equilibrium, it is convenient to make the following change of variables: $S_H = x_1, I_H = x_2, A_H = x_3, A_{Hx} = x_4$, so that $N_H = \sum_{n=1}^4 x_n$.

We now use the vector notation $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T$. Then, model system (7) can be written in the form $dX/dt = F = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)^T$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_1(t) &= f_1 = \Lambda_H - \frac{\beta_H c (x_2 + \eta x_3 + \kappa x_4)}{\sum_{n=1}^4 x_n} x_1 - \mu_H x_1, \\
f_2(t) &= f_2 = \frac{\beta_H c (x_2 + \eta x_3 + \kappa x_4)}{\sum_{n=1}^4 x_n} x_1 - (\mu_H + \rho) x_2, \\
f_3(t) &= f_3 = \rho x_2 - (\mu_H + \alpha + d_A) x_3, \\
f_4(t) &= f_4 = \alpha x_3 - (\mu_H + \tau d_A) x_4.
\end{align*}
$$

(16)

The Jacobian matrix of system (16) at $U_0$ is given by

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
-\mu_H & -\beta_H c & -\eta \beta_H c & -\kappa \beta_H c \\
0 & \beta_H c - (\mu_H + \rho) & \eta \beta_H c & \kappa \beta_H c \\
0 & \rho & - (\mu_H + \alpha + d_A) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha & - (\mu_H + \tau d_A)
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(17)

from which it can be shown that the HIV/AIDS-induced reproduction number is

$$
R_A = \frac{\beta_H c [\kappa \rho \alpha + (\mu_H + \tau d_A)(\eta \rho + \alpha + \mu_H + d_A)]}{(\mu_H + \rho)(\mu_H + d_A)(\mu_H + \alpha + d_A)}.
$$

(18)

If $\beta_H$ is taken as a bifurcation parameter and by solving for $\beta_H$ when $R_A = 1$, we obtain

$$
\beta_H = \frac{\beta_H^*}{c} = \frac{(\mu_H + \rho)(\mu_H + d_A)(\mu_H + \alpha + d_A)}{c[\kappa \rho \alpha + (\mu_H + \tau d_A)(\eta \rho + \alpha + \mu_H + d_A)]}.
$$

(19)

Note that the linearized system of the transformed model (16) with $\beta_H = \beta_H^*$ has a simple zero eigenvalue, which allows the use of Castillo-Chavez and Song result [47] to analyze the dynamics of (16) near $\beta_H = \beta_H^*$. It can be shown that the Jacobian of (16) at $\beta_H = \beta_H^*$ has a right eigenvector associated with the zero eigenvalue given by $u = [u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4]^T$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
u_1 &= -\frac{\beta_H c (u_2 + \eta u_3 + \kappa u_4)}{\mu_H}, \quad u_2 > 0, \\
u_3 &= \frac{\rho u_2}{\alpha + d_A + \mu_H}, \quad u_4 = \frac{au_3}{\mu_H + \tau d_A}.
\end{align*}
$$

(20)

The left eigenvector of $J(U_{0H})$ associated with the zero eigenvalue at $\beta_H = \beta_H^*$ is given by $v = [v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]^T$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
v_1 &= 0, \quad v_2 = \frac{\rho v_3}{\mu_H - \beta_H c}, \quad v_3 > 0, \quad v_4 = \frac{\kappa \beta_H c v_3}{\mu_H + \tau d_A}.
\end{align*}
$$

(21)
Computation of the Bifurcation Parameters $a$ and $b$. The application of Theorem 10 (see the appendix) entails the computation of two parameters $a$ and $b$, say. After some little algebraic manipulations and rearrangements, it can be shown that

$$a = -\frac{2\beta_H^*\mu_H v_2}{\Lambda_H} (u_2 + u_3 + u_4)(u_2 + \eta u_3 + \kappa u_4) < 0. \quad (22)$$

Furthermore,

$$b = c(u_2 + \eta u_3 + \kappa u_4)v_2 > 0. \quad (23)$$

This sign of $b$ may be expected in general for epidemic models because, in essence, using $\beta$ as a bifurcation parameter often ensures $b > 0$ [43]. Since $a < 0$ (which excludes any possibility of multiple equilibria and hence backward bifurcation), model system (16) has a forward (or transcritical) bifurcation at $R_A = 1$, and consequently, the local stability implies global stability. This result is summarized below.

**Theorem 2.** The endemic equilibrium $U_1^*$ is locally asymptotically stable for $R_A > 1$.

3.2. Schistosomiasis-Only Model. In the absence of HIV/AIDS in the community (obtained by setting HIV/AIDS-related parameters to zero from system (5)) schistosomiasis-only model is given by

$$\frac{dS_H}{dt} = \Lambda_H + \omega I_B - (\lambda_P + \mu_H) S_H,$$

$$\frac{dI_B}{dt} = \lambda_H I_B - (\mu_H + \omega + \delta_B) I_B,$$

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \eta E \gamma I_B - \mu_M M,$$

$$\frac{dS_S}{dt} = \Lambda_S - \lambda_M S_S - \mu_S S_S,$$

$$\frac{dI_S}{dt} = \lambda_M S_S - (\mu_S + \delta_S) I_S,$$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \beta_M P(t) - \mu_P P,$$

with,

$$\lambda_P = \frac{\beta_P P(t)}{P_0 + \epsilon P(t)},$$

$$\lambda_M = \frac{\beta_M M(t)}{M_0 + \epsilon M(t)}.$$

For system (24), it can be shown that the region

$$\Phi_B = \left\{(S_H, I_B) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : \frac{\Lambda_H}{\mu_H}, M \in \mathbb{R}_+ : M \leq \frac{\gamma \Lambda_H \eta E (1 + \sigma)}{\mu_M \mu_H} \right\},$$

$$\left\{(S_S, I_S) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : N_S \leq \frac{\Lambda_S}{\mu_S} \right\},$$

$$P \in \mathbb{R}_+ : P \leq \frac{\theta \Lambda_S}{\mu_P \mu_S}.$$  

is invariant and attracting. Thus, the dynamics of schistosomiasis-only model will be considered in $\Phi_B$.

3.2.1. Disease-Free Equilibrium and Stability Analysis. Model system (24) has an evident disease-free given by

$$U_{0B} = (S_H^0, I_B^0, M^0, S_S^0, I_S^0, P^0) = \left(\frac{\Lambda_H}{\mu_H}, 0, 0, \frac{\Lambda_S}{\mu_S}, 0, 0\right). \quad (26)$$

Following van den Driessche and Watmough [43], the reproduction number of the model system (24) is given by

$$R_B = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\beta_P N_E \gamma \Lambda_H}{\mu_M \mu_H P_0 (\mu_H + \omega + dB)}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_M \theta \Lambda_S}{\mu_P \mu_S M_0 (\mu_S + d_S)}\right)} \quad (27)$$

where $R_B = \beta_p N_E \gamma \Lambda_H / \mu_M \mu_H P_0 (\mu_H + \omega + dB)$ represents the snail-man initial disease transmission and $R_S = \beta_M \theta \Lambda_S / \mu_P \mu_S M_0 (\mu_S + d_S)$ is the man-snail initial disease transmission.

The threshold quantity $R_B$ measures the average number of new secondary cases generated by a single individual in a population where there is schistosomiasis treatment. An associated epidemiological threshold, $R_{0H}$, obtained using a similar technique of the next generation by considering model system (24) in the absence of schistosomiasis treatment is given by

$$R_{0H} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\beta_P N_E \gamma \Lambda_H}{\mu_M \mu_H P_0 (\mu_H + dB)}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_M \theta \Lambda_S}{\mu_P \mu_S M_0 (\mu_S + d_S)}\right)} \quad (28)$$

where $R_{0H} = \beta_p N_E \gamma \Lambda_H / \mu_M \mu_H P_0 (\mu_H + dB)$ represents the snail-man initial disease transmission and $R_{0S} = \beta_M \theta \Lambda_S / \mu_P \mu_S M_0 (\mu_S + d_S)$ is the man-snail initial disease transmission. Using Theorem 2 in [43], the following result is established.

**Theorem 3.** The disease-free equilibrium $U_{0B}$ is locally asymptotically stable whenever $R_B < 1$ and unstable otherwise.

Impact of Schistosomiasis Treatment in the Community. Here, the reproductive number $R_B$ is analyzed to determine whether or not treatment of schistosomiasis patients (mod- eled by the rate $\omega$) can lead to the effective control of schistosomiasis in the community. It follows from (27) that the elasticity [48] of $R_B$ with respect to $\omega$ can be computed using the approach in [49] as follows:

$$\frac{\omega}{R_B} \frac{\partial R_B}{\partial \omega} = -\frac{\omega}{2(\mu_H + \omega + dB)} < 0. \quad (29)$$
The sensitivity index of the reproduction number is used to assess the impact on the relevant parameters to disease transmission. That is, the elasticity measures the effect a change in $\omega$, say, has as a proportional change in $R_B$, and from (29), we note that an increase in $\omega$ will lead to a decrease in $R_B$, thus (29) suggests that an increase in treatment of schistosomiasis patients does have a positive impact in controlling schistosomiasis in the community (assuming full compliance to the therapy, no treatment failure, and no development of resistance).

3.3. Global Stability of the Disease-Free Equilibrium. We shall use the following theorem of Castillo-Chavez et al. [50] in the sequel.

**Theorem 4** (see [50]). If system (5) can be written in the form

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = F(x, Z),$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = G(X, Z), \quad G(x, 0) = 0,$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denotes (its components) the number of uninfected individuals, $Z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes (its components) the number of infected individuals including latent and infectious, and $U_0 = (x^*, 0)$ denotes the disease-free equilibrium of the system. Assume that (i) for $dX/dt = F(X, 0)$, $X^*$ is globally asymptotically stable, (ii) $G(X, Z) = AZ - \hat{G}(X, Z)$, $\hat{G}(X, Z) \geq 0$ for $(X, Z) \in \mathcal{D}$, where $A = D_Z G(X^*, 0)$ is an $M$-matrix (the off-diagonal elements of $A$ are nonnegative) and $\mathcal{D}$ is the region where the model makes biological sense. Then the fixed point $U_0 = (x^*, 0)$ is a globally asymptotic stable equilibrium of model system (5) provided $R_B < 1$.

Applying Theorem 4 to model system (5) yields

$$\hat{G}(X, Y) = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{G}_1(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_2(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_3(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_4(X, Y)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\beta_P P (\Lambda_H \mu_H - S_H / P_0 + \epsilon P) \\
\beta_M (\Lambda_S \mu_S - S_S / M_0 + \epsilon M) \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $S_H = (\Lambda_H / \mu_H)(1/P_0) \geq S_H/(P_0 + \epsilon P)$ and $S_S = (\Lambda_S / \mu_S)(1/M_0) \geq S_S/(M_0 + \epsilon M)$, it follows that $\hat{G}(X, Y) \geq 0$. We summarise the result in Theorem 5.

**Theorem 5.** The disease-free equilibrium ($U_{0\mathbb{B}}$) of model system (24) is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) if $R_B < 1$ and unstable if $R_B > 1$.

3.3.1. Schistosomiasis-Only Equilibrium. Model system (24) has an endemic equilibrium denoted by $U_{*\mathbb{B}}$, where

$$S_H^* = \frac{\Lambda_H}{\mu_H + \omega + \lambda_p^*},$$

$$I_B^* = \frac{\Lambda_H \lambda_p^*}{(\mu_H + \omega + \lambda_B^*)(\mu_H + \omega + d_B)},$$

$$M^* = \frac{N_E \gamma \Lambda_H \lambda_p^*}{\mu_M (\mu_H + \omega + \lambda_B^*) (\mu_H + \omega + d_B)},$$

$$S_S^* = \frac{\Lambda_S}{\mu_S + \lambda_S^*},$$

$$I_S^* = \frac{\Lambda_S \lambda_M^*}{(\mu_S + \lambda_M^*)(\mu_S + d_S)},$$

$$P^* = \frac{\mu_P (\mu_S + \lambda_S^*) (\mu_S + d_S)}{\mu_P},$$

with $\lambda_p^* = \frac{\beta_P P^*}{P_0 + \epsilon P^*}$, $\lambda_M^* = \frac{\beta_M M^*}{M_0 + \epsilon M^*}$.

The local asymptotic stability of the endemic equilibrium $U_{*\mathbb{B}}$ can also be analyzed using the centre manifold theory. In this case, the Jacobian matrix of the system at $U_{0\mathbb{B}}$ is given by

$$J(U_{0\mathbb{B}}) = \begin{bmatrix}
-\mu_H & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta_P \Lambda_H / P_0 \\
0 & - (\mu_H + d_B) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_P \Lambda_H / P_0 \\
0 & N_E \gamma & -\mu_M & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta_M \Lambda_S / M_0 \mu_S & -\mu_S & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta_M \Lambda_S / M_0 \mu_S & 0 & - (\mu_S + d_S) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta & -\mu_P
\end{bmatrix}.$$

If $\beta_P$ is taken as a bifurcation parameter, and solving for $\beta_P$ when $R_B = 1$, we obtain

$$\beta_P = \beta_P^* = \frac{\mu_M P_0 (\mu_H + \omega + d_B)}{N_E \gamma \Lambda_H \beta_S}.$$

The linearized system of the model with $\beta_P = \beta_P^*$ has a simple zero eigenvalue. Therefore, it can be shown that the
above Jacobian has a right eigenvector given by \( w = [w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6]^T \), where

\[
\begin{align*}
    w_1 &= -\frac{\beta_p \Lambda_H \mathcal{R}_0 w_3}{P_0 \mu H}, \\
    w_2 &= \frac{\mu \beta_p \Lambda_H w_3}{\partial (\mu_H + \omega + d_B)}, \\
    w_3 &= w_3, \\
    w_4 &= -\frac{\beta_M \Lambda H w_3}{M_0 \mu S}, \\
    w_5 &= \frac{\beta_H A \Lambda H w_3}{(\mu_S + d_S) M_0 \mu S}, \\
    w_6 &= \mathcal{R}_0 w_3.
\end{align*}
\]  

(35)

The left eigenvector of \( J(\mathcal{U}_0) \) associated with the zero eigenvalue at \( \beta_p = \beta_p^* \) is given by \( z = [z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6]^T \), where

\[
\begin{align*}
    z_1 &= 0 = z_4, \\
    z_3 &= 0, \\
    z_2 &= \frac{N_{E} y z_3}{\mu H + \omega + d_B}, \\
    z_5 &= \frac{\mu M \mu S z_3}{\beta_M \Lambda S}, \\
    z_6 &= \frac{\beta^* \Lambda H N_{EY} z_3}{P_0 \mu H \mu P (\mu_H + \omega + d_B)}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(36)

Computation of the bifurcation coefficients \( a \) and \( b \) yields

\[
a = -2z_3 w_3^2 \left( \frac{N_{EY} \mathcal{R}_0 \beta_p \Lambda_H (\beta_p^* + \epsilon_{\mu H})}{(\mu_H + \omega + d_B) P_0 \mu H} \right. \\
\]  

\[
\left. + \frac{N_{EY} \beta_p^* \Lambda_H \beta_M \Lambda S (\beta_H + \epsilon_{\mu S})}{(\mu_H + \omega + d_B) (\mu_S + d_S) P_0 \mu H M_0 \mu S} \right) < 0,
\]

(37)

\[
b = \frac{N_{EY} \mathcal{R}_0 \Lambda S z_3 w_3}{(\mu_H + \omega + d_B) P_0 \mu S} > 0.
\]

Thus, the following result is established.

**Theorem 6.** The unique endemic equilibrium \( \mathcal{U}_2^* \) is locally asymptotically stable for \( \mathcal{R}_B > 1 \).

Since \( a < 0 \), local stability of \( \mathcal{U}_2^* \) implies its global stability.

4. HIV/AIDS and Schistosomiasis Model

Model system (5) has evident disease-free (DFE) given by

\[
\mathcal{U}_0 = \left( S_{H_0}^0, I_{H_0}^0, I_{H_2}^0, A_{H_2}^0, I_{H_1}^0, I_H^0, A_H^0, A_{H_1}^0, M_0, S_0, \mu, P_0, \mu^0, \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\Lambda_H}{\mu_H}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\Lambda_S}{\mu_S}, 0, 0 \right).
\]

(38)

Following van den Driessche and Watmough [43], the reproduction number of the model is

\[
\mathcal{R}_{H_0} = \max \{ \mathcal{R}_A, \mathcal{R}_B \}
\]

(39)

with \( \mathcal{R}_A \) and \( \mathcal{R}_B \) defined as earlier in Section 3 above. Using Theorem 2 in [43], the following result is established.

**Theorem 7.** The disease-free equilibrium \( \mathcal{U}_0 \) is locally asymptotically stable whenever \( \mathcal{R}_{H_0} < 1 \) and unstable otherwise.

4.1. Sensitivity Analysis. In this section we investigate the effects of HIV/AIDS on schistosomiasis and vice versa, in the presence and absence of the aforementioned intervention strategies.

**Impact of Schistosomiasis on HIV/AIDS in the Absence of Control Measures.** To analyze the effects of schistosomiasis on HIV/AIDS and vice versa in the absence of control measures for either HIV/AIDS or schistosomiasis, we begin by introducing the following notation; in the absence of antiretroviral therapy (\( \alpha = 0 \)) the reproductive number is denoted by \( \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \) and also in the absence of schistosomiasis treatment (\( \omega = 0 \), \( \mathcal{R}_B = \mathcal{R}_0 \)). Thus, to express \( \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \) in terms of \( \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \), we solve for \( \mu_H \) and obtain

\[
\mu_H = -\left( \phi_1 \mathcal{R}_{0_a} + \phi_2 \right) + \sqrt{\phi_1 \mathcal{R}_{0_a}^2 + \phi_4 \mathcal{R}_{0_a} + \phi_5},
\]

(40)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
    \phi_1 &= \rho + d_A, \\
    \phi_2 &= -\beta_H c, \\
    \phi_3 &= (\rho - d_A)^2, \\
    \phi_4 &= 2\beta_H (d_A + \rho (2\rho - 1)), \\
    \phi_5 &= (\beta_H c)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(41)

Let \( \sqrt{\phi_3 \mathcal{R}_{0_a}^2 + \phi_4 \mathcal{R}_{0_a} + \phi_5} = \phi_6 \mathcal{R}_{0_a} + \phi_7 \), then, (40) becomes

\[
\mu_H = \frac{\phi_6 - \phi_5}{\phi_6 - \phi_1} \mathcal{R}_{0_a} + \frac{\phi_7 - \phi_2}{2 \mathcal{R}_{0_a}}.
\]

(42)

Substituting (42) into the expression for \( \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \), we have

\[
\mathcal{R}_{0_a}^2 = \frac{4 \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \beta_p N_{EY} \Lambda_H}{\mu_M P_0} \left[ (\phi_6 - \phi_1) \mathcal{R}_{0_a} + (\phi_7 - \phi_2) \right]^2 + 2d_A \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \left[ (\phi_6 - \phi_1) \mathcal{R}_{0_a} + (\phi_7 - \phi_2) \right].
\]

(43)

Differentiating \( \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \) partially with respect to \( \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \) yields

\[
\frac{d \mathcal{R}_{0_a}}{d \mathcal{R}_{0_a}} = \frac{4 \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \beta_p N_{EY} \Lambda_H \left( \mathcal{R}_{0_a} (\phi_7 - \phi_2) (\phi_6 - \phi_1 - d_A) + (\phi_7 - \phi_2)^2 \right)^2}{\mu_M P_0 \mathcal{R}_{0_a} \left[ (\phi_6 - \phi_1) \mathcal{R}_{0_a} + (\phi_7 - \phi_2) \right]^2}.
\]

(44)
Now, whenever (44) is greater than zero, an increase in HIV/AIDS cases results in an increase of schistosomiasis cases in the community. If (44) is equal to zero, this implies that HIV/AIDS cases have no effect on the transmission dynamics of schistosomiasis. Setting $R_{0b} = 1$ and expressing $\mu_H$ as the subject of formula, we have

$$\mu_H = \frac{-d_B\theta_1 R_{0b} + \sqrt{(\theta_1 d_B R_{0b})^2 + 4\theta_2}}{2\theta_1 R_{0b}},$$

where $\theta_1 = \mu_M P_0$ and $\theta_2 = \mu_M P_0 \beta_H N_E / \Lambda_H R_{0b}$. Consider $\sqrt{(\theta_1 d_B R_{0b})^2 + 4\theta_2} = \theta_3 R_{0a} + \theta_4$ such that $(\theta_3 - d_B \theta_1) R_{0a} + \theta_4 > 0$. Then, $R_{0a}$ expressed in terms of $R_{0b}$ reads

$$R_{0a} = \frac{2\theta_1 \beta_H c (\mu R_{0b}^2 + \theta_4 R_{0b})}{h_1 R_{0b}^2 + h_2 R_{0b} + h_3},$$

where

$$h_1 = (\theta_3 - d_B \theta_1)^2 + 4\rho d_A \theta_2 + 2\theta_1 (\theta_3 - d_B \theta_1) (\rho + d_A) > 0,$$

$$h_2 = 2\theta_1 \theta_3 - d_B \theta_1 + 2\theta_1 \theta_4 (\rho + d_A) > 0,$$

$$h_3 = \theta_4^2 > 0,$$

$$k_1 = \theta_3 + \theta_1 (2\eta \rho + 2d_A - d_B) > 0.$$  

(47)

Partially differentiating $R_{0a}$ with respect to $R_{0b}$ yields

$$R_{0a} = \frac{2\beta_{1H} c \theta_1 \beta_H [\frac{1}{(\mu_H + \omega + d_B)^2 h_1 R_{0b} + (\mu_H + d_B)} (\mu_H + \omega + d_B) h_2 R_{0b} + (\mu_H + d_B)^2 h_3]}{h_1 R_{0b}^2 + h_2 R_{0b} + h_3},$$

(50)

Partially differentiating $R_{0a}$ with respect to $\omega$, we have

$$\frac{\partial R_{0a}}{\partial \omega} = -\frac{2\beta_{1H} c \theta_1 k_4}{k_4} [\Theta - 1],$$

(51)

where $\Theta = k_1 k_2 / k_3 k_4$, with

$$k_1 = \beta_H \beta_H [\frac{1}{(\mu_H + d_B) h_2 + 2\zeta h_3}],$$

$$k_2 = \theta_1 \beta_H [\frac{1}{(\mu_H + d_B) \theta_4 + k_4 \beta_H}],$$

$$k_3 = \beta_H \beta_H [\frac{1}{(\mu_H + d_B) \theta_4 + k_4 \beta_H}],$$

$$k_4 = \beta_H \beta_H \beta_H \beta_H [h_1 R_{0a} + (\mu_H + d_B) h_2] + h_3,$$

$$\zeta = (\mu_H + \omega + d_B).$$

Since $R_{0a}$ is a decreasing function of $\omega$, schistosomiasis treatment will have a positive impact on the dynamics of HIV/AIDS if $\Theta > 1$, no impact if $\Theta = 1$, and a negative impact if $\Theta < 1$. We summarize the result in lemma 5.

**Lemma 5.** Schistosomiasis (bilharzia) treatment for model system (5) only, will have

(i) a positive impact on schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS coinfection control if $\Theta > 1$,

(ii) no impact on schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS coinfection control if $\Theta = 1$,

(iii) a negative impact on schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS coinfection control if $\Theta < 1$.

The synergy between HIV and other diseases such as schistosomiasis provides more opportunities to combat HIV/AIDS by treating its coinfections with these other diseases.

4.2. Global Stability of the Disease-Free Equilibrium ($U_0$). We shall use the following theorem of Castillo-Chavez et al. [50] in the sequel.
Theorem 8 (see [50]). If system (5) can be written in the form
\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = F(x, Z), \\
\frac{dZ}{dt} = G(X, Z), \quad G(x, 0) = 0,
\]
where \( X \in \mathbb{R}^m \) denotes (its components) the number of uninfected individuals, \( Z \in \mathbb{R}^n \) denotes (its components) the number of infected individuals including latent, infectious, and so forth, \( U_0 = (x^*, 0) \) denotes the disease-free equilibrium of the system. Assume that (i) for \( \frac{dX}{dt} = F(X, 0), X^* \) is globally asymptotically stable, (ii) \( G(X, Z) = AZ - G(X, Z) \), \( \hat{G}(X, Z) \geq 0 \) for \((X, Z) \in \mathcal{D} \), where \( A = D_2 \hat{G}(X^*, 0) \) is an \( M \)-matrix (the off-diagonal elements of \( A \) are nonnegative) and \( \mathcal{D} \) is the region where the model makes biological sense. Then the fixed point \( U_0 = (x^*, 0) \) is a globally asymptotic stable equilibrium of model system (5) provided \( R_{Hb} < 1 \).

Applying Theorem 8 to model system (5) yields
\[
\hat{G}(X, Y)
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{G}_1(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_2(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_3(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_4(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_5(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_6(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_7(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_8(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_9(X, Y) \\
\hat{G}_{10}(X, Y)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \lambda_H I_B + \beta_P \left( \frac{P(t)A_H}{T_0 + P(t)} - \frac{P(t)S_I(t)}{P_0 + \epsilon P(t)} \right) \\
\lambda_P I_H + \frac{N_H}{S_H} - \frac{S_H}{N_H} \\
\lambda_P A_H \\
\lambda_P A_{HT} \\
-\lambda_P I_H - \delta \lambda_H I_B \\
-\lambda_P A_H \\
-\lambda_P A_{HT} \\
0 \\
\beta_M \left( \frac{M_A}{M_I + M_S} - \frac{M_S}{M_I + \epsilon} \right) \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
(54)
\]

The fact that \( \hat{G}_4(X, Y) < 0, \hat{G}_5(X, Y) < 0, \) and \( \hat{G}_{10}(X, Y) < 0 \) implies that \( \hat{G}(X, Y) \) may not be greater or equal to zero. Consequently, \( U_0 \) may not be globally asymptotically stable for \( R_{Hb} < 1 \). This suggests the possible existence of multiple equilibria.

4.3. Endemic Equilibria and Its Stability. For model system (5), there are three possible endemic equilibria: the case where there is HIV only, the case where there is schistosomiasis only (which have been discussed in Section 3), and the case when both schistosomiasis and HIV coexist.

4.3.1. Interior Endemic Equilibrium. This occurs when both infections coexist in the community. The interior equilibrium is given by
\[
U^*_s = \left( S^*_H, I^*_B, I^*_H, A^*_H, A^*_HT, A^*_Hb, A^*_HI, A^*_HbI, A^*_HIb, A^*_HbIb, \right),
\]
\[
A^*_H^bM^*, S^*_S^*, I^*_S^*, P^* \right).
\]

The local asymptotic stability of this endemic equilibrium can be analyzed using the centre manifold theory similar to the analysis of \( U^*_1 \) and \( U^*_2 \), but it is not done here to avoid repetition. Thus, we claim the following result for the stability of \( U^*_1 \) and \( U^*_2 \).

Theorem 9. If \( R_{Hb} > 1 \) with \( R_B > 1 \) and \( R_A > 1 \), then, the endemic equilibrium point \( U^*_s \) is locally asymptotically stable whenever \( R_{Hb} > 1 \).

5. Numerical Simulations

In order to illustrate the results of the foregoing analysis, numerical simulations of the full HIV-schistosomiasis model are carried out, using parameter values given in Table 1. The scarcity of data on HIV schistosomiasis codynamics limits our ability to calibrate, but, for the purpose of illustration, other parameter values are assumed. These parsimonious assumptions reflect the lack of information currently available on the coinfection of the two diseases.
Figure 3 depicts the effects of schistosomiasis on the dynamics of HIV in the community. The time series plots in Figure 3 suggest that the presence of schistosomiasis in the community might increase the prevalence of HIV/AIDS. These numerical results are in agreement with our analytical results. We note that $I_H$ and $A_H$ are not reflecting the disease-free equilibrium, and the convergence is simply due to scale.

6. Summary and Conclusion

While schistosomiasis is the second most prevalent neglected tropical disease after hookworm infection (192 million cases worldwide) [5], HIV on the other hand which has killed more than 25 million people since first recognized in 1981 currently affects 33.4 million people, with deaths due to HIV/AIDS-related illnesses standing at about 2 million in 2008 [6]. A mathematical model for investigating the coinfection of schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS is derived. Comprehensive and qualitative mathematical techniques were used to analyze steady states of the model. The disease-free equilibrium is shown to be locally asymptotically stable when the associated epidemic threshold known as the basic reproduction number for the model is less than unity. Center manifold theory is used to show that the schistosomiasis-only and HIV/AIDS-only endemic equilibria are locally asymptotically stable when the associated reproduction numbers are greater than unity. The impact of schistosomiasis and its treatment on the dynamics of HIV/AIDS is also investigated. Numerical results are provided to illustrate some of analytical results.

In this study, the impact of schistosomiasis and its treatment on the transmission dynamics of HIV/AIDS in the community is investigated by formulating a mathematical model that incorporates both key epidemiological parameters of both schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS. Mathematical and numerical analysis of the model suggests that schistosomiasis may increase the prevalence of HIV/AIDS in the community. Analysis of the impact of schistosomiasis treatment has shown that the impact of this form of treatment depends on the sign of a certain threshold parameter $\Theta$, and for $\Theta > 1$, schistosomiasis treatment will have a positive impact, for $\Theta = 1$, no impact, and for $\Theta < 1$, a negative impact on controlling the co-interaction of the two diseases. We, however, note that from schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS epidemiology, realistic parameter values always yield $1 < \Theta$. Consequently, schistosomiasis treatment will always have a positive impact on the control of both schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS codynamics. Thus, schistosomiasis treatment can reduce the burden of schistosomiasis and HIV/AIDS coinfection in areas of extreme poverty, especially among the rural poor and some disadvantaged urban populations since it is less expensive and usually available in government clinics and hospitals. This outcome highlights the fact that global public health challenges require comprehensive and multipronged approaches to dealing with them. Current efforts that focus on a single infection at a time may be losing substantial rewards of dealing synergistically and concurrently with multiple infectious diseases [7].

Appendix

In order to establish the conditions for the existence of a bifurcation, we use Theorem 10 proven in [47].
\textbf{Theorem 10.} Consider the following general system of ordinary differential equations with a parameter $\phi$:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, \phi), \quad f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}),$$

(A.1)

where 0 is an equilibrium of the system that is $f(0, \phi) = 0$ for all $\phi$, and assume that

(A1) $A = D_x f(0, 0) = ((\partial f_i/\partial x_j)(0, 0))$ is linearization of system (A.1) around the equilibrium 0 with $\phi$ evaluated at 0. Zero is a simple eigenvalue of $A$, and other eigenvalues of $A$ have negative real parts,

(A2) matrix $A$ has a right eigenvector $u$ and a left eigenvector $v$ corresponding to the zero eigenvalue.

Let $f_k$ be the $k$th component of $f$ and

$$a = \sum_{k,j=1}^{n} v_{k} u_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{j}}(0, 0),$$

(A.2)

$$b = \sum_{k,j=1}^{n} v_{k} u_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}}{\partial x_{j} \partial \phi}(0, 0).$$

The local dynamics of (A.1) around 0 are totally governed by $a$ and $b$.

(i) $a > 0, b > 0$. When $\phi < 0$ with $|\phi| \ll 1, 0$ is locally asymptotically stable, and there exists a positive unstable equilibrium; when $0 < \phi \ll 1, 0$ is unstable and there exists a negative and locally asymptotically stable equilibrium.

(ii) $a < 0, b < 0$. When $\phi < 0$ with $|\phi| \ll 1, 0$ is unstable and when $0 < \phi \ll 1$, asymptotically stable, and there exists a positive unstable equilibrium.

(iii) $a > 0, b < 0$. When $\phi < 0$ with $|\phi| \ll 1$ is unstable, and there exists a locally asymptotically stable negative equilibrium; when $0 < \phi \ll 1$, 0 is stable, and a positive unstable equilibrium appears.

(iv) $a < 0, b > 0$. When $\phi$ changes from negative to positive, 0 changes its stability from stable to unstable. Correspondingly, a negative equilibrium becomes positive and locally asymptotically stable.

Computations of $a$ and $b$. For system (16), the associated nonzero partial derivatives of $F$ associated with $a$ at the disease-free equilibrium is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3} = \frac{\beta_f c (1 + \eta) \mu_H}{\Lambda_H},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = \frac{2 \beta^*_H c \mu_H}{\Lambda_H},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_4} = \frac{2 \beta^*_H c \eta \mu_H}{\Lambda_H},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2} = \frac{\beta_f c (1 + \kappa) \mu_H}{\Lambda_H},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_3 \partial x_4} = \frac{2 \beta^*_H c \kappa \mu_H}{\Lambda_H}.$$  

(A.3)

From (A.3), it follows that

$$a = \frac{-2 \beta^*_H c \mu_H v_2}{\Lambda_H} (u_2 + u_3 + u_4) (u_2 + \eta u_3 + \kappa u_4) < 0.$$  

(A.4)

For the sign of $b$, it is associated with the following nonvanishing partial derivatives of $F$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_3 \partial \beta^*_H} = c, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_4 \partial \beta^*_H} = c \eta, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_3 \partial \beta^*_H} = c \kappa,$$  

(A.5)

from which it follows that

$$b = c (u_2 + \eta u_3 + \kappa u_4) v_2 > 0.$$  

(A.6)

Thus, $a < 0$ and $b > 0$, and from Theorem 10 item (iv), the result follows.
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