Knee Medial Collateral Ligament and Posteromedial Corner Anatomic Repair With Internal Bracing
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Abstract: An internal brace is a ligament repair bridging concept using braided ultrahigh—molecular-weight polyethylene/polyester suture tape and knotless bone anchors to reinforce ligament strength as a secondary stabilizer after repair and return to sports, which may help resist injury recurrence. An internal brace may provide augmentation during knee medial and posteromedial corner anatomic repair. In patients with combined, chronic, symptomatic anterior cruciate ligament (ACL)—posteromedial corner laxity, combined ACL reconstruction with posteromedial corner reconstruction is indicated. Our ACL technique was previously published with video illustration in Arthroscopy and Arthroscopy Techniques. The purpose of this article is to describe, with video illustration, knee posteromedial corner reconstruction using anatomic repair with internal brace augmentation.

Symptomatic, combined knee ligament injuries require surgical stabilization.1,2 The relevant anatomic structures that contribute to posteromedial knee stability include the superficial and deep medial collateral ligaments (MCLs), the posterior oblique ligament (POL), and the semimembranosus. In cases of symptomatic laxity of the medial side of the knee, posteromedial corner anatomic reconstruction of the superficial MCL with or without reconstruction of the POL using soft-tissue grafts, bone sockets, and interference screw fixation has shown good biomechanical results.3,4 However, autografts have morbidity, allografts have risks,2 and bone tunnels with interference screws sacrifice bone stock, which may be of particular concern for multiligament reconstructive cases.

Alternatively, classic technique for treating the medial side of the knee laxity is posteromedial corner repair.5,6 In 2014, we propose that such a repair may be beneficially augmented with an internal brace. An internal brace is a tissue repair bridging concept using braided ultrahigh—molecular-weight polyethylene/polyester suture tape and knotless bone anchors to reinforce tissue strength.

An internal brace may provide augmentation during knee medial and posteromedial corner anatomic repair. Therefore we describe posteromedial corner repair in concert with augmentation ties. Video 1 demonstrates our technique for knee posteromedial corner repair with augmentation, described in this report, in a patient with chronic, severe knee posteromedial corner laxity combined with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) insufficiency and anteromedial rotary instability. Our ACL technique has been previously published with video illustration.7,8 In this technical note, Video 1 focuses on the posteromedial reconstruction.

**Surgical Technique**

In this article, we illustrate knee posteromedial femoral-sided repair plus internal brace construction because femoral pathology is most common (Figs 1-3). (For tibial laxity, we recommend tibial-sided coronary [meniscotibial] ligament repair in combination with internal brace augmentation. The tibial technique is similar to the femoral technique described herein,
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except that placement of the primary anchor and the repair are performed at the tibial anatomic insertions and the internal brace is tensioned to a second anchor at the femoral anatomic insertion of the MCL.

For knee posteromedial femoral-sided repair plus internal brace reconstruction, the skin incision should be straight when the knee is straight and should extend from anterior to the medial femoral epicondyle to a point 6 cm distal to the joint line, which represents the tibial attachment of the superficial MCL. When the knee is flexed, the incision will curve like a hockey stick, and because the skin flap is posterior, care is taken to avoid too posterior of an incision.

Deep surgical dissection is then required to identify the MCL and the POL throughout their full extent.

Digital palpation can be helpful in identifying the femoral origin of the MCL because it lies just proximal and posterior to the medial femoral epicondyle. The POL courses from proximal and posterior to the MCL insertion in a posteroinferior direction. Relevant tibial anatomic landmarks include the tibial insertion of the semimembranosus, the pes anserinus, and the distal insertion of the superficial MCL, 6 cm distal to the joint line, just under the sartorius fascia of the pes.

Radiographic landmarks for the femoral MCL are of great value, but careful dissection also clearly delineates the MCL femoral insertion without fluoroscopy, even after femoral-sided injury. If there is doubt as to anatomy or isometry, a suture can be wrapped around guide pins and serve as an isometer during knee range of motion to ensure correct anatomic position.

After careful exposure of the femoral origin, MCL repair is performed with suture anchors (SwiveLock 4.75-mm-diameter PEEK [polyether ether ketone] anchors; Arthrex, Naples, FL) loaded with high-strength suture (FiberWire; Arthrex). In larger patients, 2 anchors are used, 1 for the MCL and 1 for the POL. The MCL and POL are advanced proximally to achieve maximal tissue tension and are repaired anatomically, after decortication of the medial femur. To complete the posteromedial repair and to achieve POL plication, it is vital to sew the anterior border of the POL to the posterior border of the MCL, advancing the POL from distal to proximal and from posterior to anterior.

The femoral anchor is placed in cancellous bone, so we simply punch, then tap, and then screw in the anchor. The tibial anchor is placed in cortical bone, so insertion requires placement of a 4.5-mm unicortical drill hole, then tapping to 4.75 mm, and then screwing.
in the 4.75 mm PEEK SwiveLock anchor. Tensioning of the MCL (and tensioning of the MCL internal brace) is performed at 30° of knee flexion with the knee held in varus to prevent gapping of the joint medially. Tensioning of the POL is performed with the knee in full extension with the knee held in varus.

To construct the internal brace, a high-strength suture structural tie (FiberTape; Arthrex) is loaded on the femoral anchor before anchor insertion, before completion of the femoral-sided repair. After the femoral-sided repair is complete, internal brace augmentation is performed by tensioning the FiberTape while inserting the tibial suture anchor at the MCL tibial footprint. The exact insertion point should be within the posterior fibers of the tibial superficial MCL, 6 cm distal to the joint line. Finally, to reconstruct the deep MCL, high-strength suture (FiberWire) is used to attach the MCL internal brace to the periosteum at the deep tibial MCL insertion, just distal to the joint line. Care is taken to minimize damage to the FiberTape internal brace during deep MCL suturing. (In larger patients, independent repair and internal brace reconstruction may be performed separately for the POL, using a second pair of anchors at the anatomic attachment points on the femur and tibia. An option in smaller patients is to use the second limb of femoral FiberTape to augment the POL.)

In cases of severe, chronic, combined ACL-MCL laxity with associated anteromedial rotary instability, the dynamic stabilizer of the posteromedial corner—the semimembranosus musculotendinous unit—may also become lax. This is important because the semimembranosus, a knee flexor, is a dynamic, secondary stabilizer against knee anterior laxity (and anteromedial rotary instability), which is protective of the reconstructed ACL graft. The tendon may be identified at the posterior aspect of the tibia just distal to the joint line. The semimembranosus tendon may be advanced using a high-strength modified Bunnell suture (No. 0 FiberWire) to the tibial periosteal insertion of the tendon.

Discussion

The bridging concept using braided ultrahigh—molecular-weight polyethylene/polyester tape and knotless bone anchors has been shown to result in positive results with a low rate of complications for tendon repair in the shoulder rotator cuff and ankle tendons. These results may justify broadening of clinical indications to internal bracing of a ligament repair or reconstruction, with a goal of protecting a repaired ligament from noncompliant patient activity, secondary injury, or construct elongation and failure during early rehabilitation or as a secondary stabilizer after return to sport, particularly when patient compliance with external orthotics may be poor. However, future research is required to determine the results of ligament internal brace augmentation of a ligament repair or ligament autograft or allograft tissue reconstruction.
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