Fabrication of Adsorbed Fe(III) and Structurally Doped Fe(III) in Montmorillonite/TiO₂ Composite for Photocatalytic Degradation of Phenol
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Abstract: The Fe(III)-doped montmorillonite (Mt)/TiO₂ composites were fabricated by adding Fe(III) during or after the aging of TiO₂/Ti(OH)₄ sol–gel in Mt, named as xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO₂ and Fe/Mt/TiO₂, respectively. In the xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO₂, Fe(III) cations were expected to be located in the structure of TiO₂, in the Mt, and in the interface between them, while Fe(III) ions are physically adsorbed on the surfaces of the composites in the Fe/Mt/TiO₂. The narrower energy bandgap (Eg) lower photo-luminescence intensity were observed for the composites compared with TiO₂. Better photocatalytic performance for phenol degradation was observed in the Fe/Mt/TiO₂. The 94.6% phenol degradation was due to greater charge generation and migration capacity, which was confirmed by photocurrent measurements and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The results of the energy-resolved distribution of electron traps (ERDT) suggested that the Fe/Mt/TiO₂ possessed a larger amorphous rutile phase content in direct contact with crystal anatase than that of the xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO₂. This component is the fraction that is mainly responsible for the photocatalytic phenol degradation by the composites. As for the xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO₂, the active rutile phase was followed by isolated amorphous phases which had larger (Eg) and which did not act as a photocatalyst. Thus, the physically adsorbed Fe(III) enhanced light adsorption and avoided charge recombination, resulting in improved photocatalytic performance. The mechanism of the photocatalytic reaction with the Fe(III)-doped Mt/TiO₂ composite was proposed.
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1. Introduction

Mt and Fe(III) are abundant in nature, while Ti is one of the most abundant elements (the ninth most abundant element (0.63% by mass)), and the seventh most abundant metal in Earth’s crust. Ti can be found in almost all living things, as well as in bodies of water, rocks, and soils. The most common compound is titanium dioxide (TiO₂), a popular photocatalyst that has long been studied and applied to scientific research and industry. TiO₂ is highly photocatalytic-active, non-toxic, chemically stable in most conditions, and has a low cost. However, the relatively large energy bandgap (Eg) and the recombination of electron (e⁻) and hole (h⁺) reduce the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂. In addition, the nanoparticles of TiO₂ tend to aggregate, making it difficult to separate from water. To overcome these difficulties, numerous strategies have been applied, such as morphology modification [1,2], surface sensitization [3,4], metal nanoparticle deposition [5,6], element doping [7,8], and the preparation of composite materials with other materials [9,10].

Montmorillonite (Mt) is a typical supporting material to make composites with TiO₂ to avoid aggregations of TiO₂ particles, because the TiO₂ particle sizes were reduced and had a better distribution in the Mt layers. Moreover, the significantly reduced fine particle sizes can improve the photocatalytic performance of TiO₂ [11–13]. Mt is a 2:1 clay mineral,
with a layered structure and exchangeable interlayer cations [14–16], which is sufficiently distributed in nature [16,17]. Consequently, Mt has excellent adsorption abilities, especially for positively charged substances with large relative surface areas. Besides this, Mt is relatively stable in a wide range of pHs. All of these properties make Mt a good supporting material to prepare composites [18–20]. However, it does not have any photocatalytic properties due to a large bandgap [21]. Iron is the fourth most abundant element in that layer. Moreover, iron species are one of the least toxic and least expensive substances that are photocatalytic in the visible region of the spectrum. However, the trace amounts of iron in natural minerals have been ignored. Many studies have suggested that iron doping in materials can give or facilitate the photocatalytic property of materials. In the layered double hydroxide (LDH) (or other clay) containing Fe(III)/Fe(II), a non-negligible promoting effect has been achieved in photocatalytic reactions [22–24]. Using density functional theory (DFT) calculation, a middle-gap electron trap state has been generated in the case of iron doping, thus improving the photocatalytic efficiency of the ZnTi-LDH/clay samples [25]. However, these studies did not discuss whether the Fe(III) was in the form of cation, metal oxide, metal hydroxide, or other forms. Moreover, the location of the Fe(III) in the composite was obscure.

Numerous studies have been applied on the Fe(III) doping of TiO$_2$ since the Fe(III) ion in TiO$_2$ can introduce a Fermi level as an electron donor or acceptor, narrowing the $E_g$ of the TiO$_2$ and red shifting the irradiation adsorption of TiO$_2$ [26–28]. It was also vigorously proposed that the metal undergoing transition with TiO$_2$ generates oxygen vacancies, which act as the charge trapping sites promoting the generation of active radicals [29,30].

To better understand the role of clay and iron in such types of composites, two types of Mt-TiO$_2$ composites with Fe(III) doping materials were synthesized and applied to the phenol degradation. Environmental pollution and destruction on a global scale is a growing concern today [31–33]. Refractory organics with aromatic structures are one of the most threatening contaminants that are toxic to human beings, microorganisms, animals, plants, and ecosystems [34,35]. There is an urgent requirement for the remediation of organic pollutants. Phenol contains one aromatic ring and one oxhydryl group. Phenolic compounds are ubiquitous and toxic in low concentrations [36]. More importantly, with phenol kept neutrally charged under pH 10 [37], the adsorption or repulsion from Mt can be prevented. Therefore, detecting the photocatalytic degradation of the materials in phenol can give clues about their photocatalytic capacity towards other organics.

In this paper, the distribution of Fe(III) on the Mt/TiO$_2$ composites was controlled by changing the timing of when Fe(III) was added to the composites. Thus, the role of Fe(III) can be revealed based on its location in the composites. The whole synthesizing procedure was strictly controlled under pH 2 to inhibit any form of iron oxides or iron hydroxides. The Fe(III) should be always in the form of the cation. We have described the procedure for the preparation of Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, where Fe(III) was adsorbed in the surface of the composites, and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$, where Fe(III) was doped in the structure of the TiO$_2$ in the composites. These two Fe(III)-doped Mt/TiO$_2$ composites were applied for photocatalytic degradation of phenol. The photocatalytic mechanism for phenol degradation using the composites was proposed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Na-Mt (Kunipia-F) with a cation exchange capacity (CEC) of 1.114 mmol/g (Bergaya and Vayer, 1997) was bought from Kunimine Industries Co. Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Through an elemental analysis (method of ME-ICP61, ALS Global Ltd., North Vancouver, Canada), the chemical formula of Kunipia-F is given by $(Na_{0.97}Ca_{0.08})^{+1.13}[Si_7.68Al_0.32](Al_{2.94}Fe_{ii0.03}Fe_{III0.25}Mg_{0.78})O_{20}(OH)_{4·1.13}nH_2O$. Iron(III) nitrate enneahydrate (Fe(NO$_3$)$_3·9H_2O$, 99%), iron(III) chloride (FeCl$_3$, 95%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 97.0+%), hydrochloric acid (HCl, 1.17), and nitric acid (HNO$_3$, 1.38) were acquired from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). Titanium(IV) chloride (TiCl$_4$, 99%)
and Millipore water with pH 6.31 were purchased from Kishida Chemical Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan) and Synergy UV (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), respectively.

2.2. Preparation of Iron-Modified Mt and Clay/TiO₂ Composites

To synthesize protonated Mt (H-Mt) and Fe(III)-doped Mt (Fe-Mt), Mt was added to HNO₃ or Fe(III) (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, 99%) solution which has a pH of 2 and was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 1 h (S/L = 10 g/L). Next, the H-Mt and Fe-Mt were centrifuged, rinsed, collected, lyophilized, ground, and sieved (<149 µm). Leveraging the sol–gel technique, Ti(OH)₄/TiO₂ nanoparticles were generated in aqueous media, following which TiCl₄ and HCl were then added to Milli-Q water. The resultant solution contained 0.83 M TiCl₄ and 1.0 M HCl, which was further stirred for 1 h and left to stand still for 6 h. Through hydrolysis, a nearly transparent, stable Ti(OH)₄/TiO₂ sol–gel was attained.

Before heterocoagulation, the acidity of Ti(OH)₄/TiO₂ sol was altered to pH = 2 with the addition of a NaOH solution since it has an original pH value of around 0. Next, the Sol–gel was added to 1% (g clay/100 mL of water) H-Mt suspension. Meanwhile, the Fe(III) eqv. to 0.274 mmol/g Mt was added for xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO₂ samples exclusively. Both kinds of samples were vigorously stirred for 30 min. The theoretical concentration of TiO₂ would be 30% (m/m). Heterocoagulated Fe/Mt/Ti(OH)₄-TiO₂ (Fe/Mt/TiO₂) or xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-Ti(OH)₄-TiO₂ (xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO₂) precipitated after 20 h of sedimentation at 70 °C, 80 °C, and 90 °C. Fe(III) was added for Fe/Mt/TiO₂ samples immediately after sedimentation. All the suspensions were stirred for another 1 h, followed by centrifugation at 8300 rpm for 30 min. The obtained solid substance was rinsed with Milli-Q water, freeze-dried for 24 h, ground, and sieved (<149 µm). Residual Fe(III) in the supernatant was detected by the inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer 8500, Waltham, MA, USA). The samples synthesized at 70 °C were the main focus for comparison because the synthesis temperature did not influence the photocatalytic performance of the Fe/Mt/TiO₂ composites (Figure S1).

2.3. Solid Characterization

The resultant composites were inspected with X-ray fluorescence (XRF, Shimadzu-EDX800, Kyoto, Japan). Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of materials were recorded with an Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku, Akishima, Japan) by Cu Kα radiation produced at 40 kV and 40 mA, a divergence slit of 1.0 mm, an anti-scatter slit of 10 mm, and a receiving slit of 0.15 mm over a 2θ of 2.0–10°, with a step size of 0.02° and a scanning speed of 2°/min. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out on an ESCA 5800 system (Ulvac-PHI, Kanagawa, Japan) with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source at 200 W. A survey scan was carried out from 0 to 1000 eV with passing energy of 187.85 eV, narrow scans of N 1 s, as well as C 1 s orbitals, which were acquired using passing energies of 23.5 and 58.7 eV. Peak separation was performed with Casa XPS software (Version 2.3.16 PR 1.6) following the subtraction of a Shirley baseline. Binding energies (EB) of peaks were calibrated using EB [C 1s] = 284.6 eV. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) investigations were performed on a VE-9800 scanning electron microscope (Keyence, Osaka, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and EDX were applied using a JEM-2100H CKM, JEOL (Akishima, Japan). Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) was conducted with a range of 200–800 nm on a UV-2450 spectrophotometer which has a diffuse–reflectance attachment with BaSO₄ as a reference. Solid-state photoluminescence spectroscopy (PL) was conducted with a JASCO F-6600 spectrofluorometer. Electrochemical properties, such as photocurrent response and the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of composites, were examined by the 1280c AMETEK advanced measurement technology (Berkshire, United Kingdom). Later, 200 mg of Fe/Mt/TiO₂ and xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO₂ composites were included in a periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) cell which contained an electret condenser microphone and a quartz window on top under N₂ flow saturated with methanol vapor.
for a minimum of 30 min. The light beam from a Xe lamp with a grating monochromator modulated at 80 Hz using a light chopper was emitted in the range of 650 nm–350 nm through the cell window to identify the PAS signal with a digital lock-in amplifier. The energy-resolved distribution of electron traps (ERDT) was obtained by figuring out the amount of photo absorption change for the accumulated electrons.

2.4. Photocatalytic Activity Test

The photocatalytic activities of synthesized products were tested for phenol degradation under the irradiation of a 300 W xenon lamp. Before the irradiation, 20 mg of the photocatalyst was suspended in 100 mL of 10 mg/L phenol aqueous solution in a photoreactor with a cooling water jacket outside. The suspensions were stirred at 500 rpm in darkness for 5 min to arrive at an adsorption–desorption equilibrium. In the irradiation process, approximately 1 mL of suspension was collected from the reaction cell at proper intervals and was subsequently filtered by a 0.45-µm cellulose acetate membrane filter for removal of the photocatalyst. Afterward, the solutions were examined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [38]. The concentrations of phenol, together with its degradation products, were estimated by evaluating the absorption of these substances at a wavelength of 270 nm. Scavenger tests and the reusability test were carried out following the aforementioned procedures. For the scavenger test, 50 mmol of ethanol, isopropanol, and para-benzoquinone was added before the addition of photocatalytic materials.

3. Results

3.1. Characterizations

After the filtration of the synthesized Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$, the remaining Fe(III) was detected by ICP-OES. The Fe(III) concentration was below the detection limit of the ICP, suggesting that almost all the added Fe(III) was doped in the composites. Therefore, the doped amount of Fe(III) in all the composites were the same and should not be the impact factor influencing the photocatalytic character of the samples. XRF was applied to calculate the weight percentage of the TiO$_2$ phase in the whole composite (Table 1). When comparing the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$, the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ always kept a slightly higher amount of TiO$_2$ than $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe−TiO$_2$, but the difference was not significant. The highest TiO$_2$ content was obtained when the product was synthesized at 80°C. When compared with clay/TiO$_2$ composites synthesis without Fe(III) in previous research [39–41], the optimal temperature for the highest TiO$_2$ yield was at 70°C. The presence of Fe(III) in composites required a higher synthetic temperature for the highest TiO$_2$ yield.

Table 1. Elemental composition of Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ with different synthesis temperatures (70 °C, 80 °C, and 90 °C) in wt%.

| T (°C) | Sample               | Na (%) | Mg (%) | Al (%) | Si (%) | Ca (%) | Ti (%) | Fe (%) | TiO$_2$ (%) |
|-------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|
| 90    | Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$        | N.D.   | 1.84   | 11.78  | 31.08  | 0.05   | 50.07  | 5.19   | 27.63       |
|       | $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ | N.D.   | 1.97   | 12.28  | 32.28  | 0.05   | 47.49  | 5.92   | 26.74       |
| 80    | Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$        | N.D.   | 1.86   | 11.65  | 30.74  | 0.04   | 49.62  | 6.10   | 27.65       |
|       | $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ | N.D.   | 1.86   | 11.80  | 30.54  | 0.07   | 48.80  | 6.94   | 27.50       |
| 70    | Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$        | N.D.   | 1.88   | 12.29  | 32.29  | 0.03   | 48.23  | 5.28   | 26.91       |
|       | $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ | N.D.   | 1.88   | 12.04  | 32.14  | 0.08   | 47.19  | 6.66   | 26.77       |

The XRD patterns of the original Mt, Fe(III) cation-doped Mt (Fe-Mt, synthesized at ambient temperature), TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ synthesized at 70°C were analyzed, and the XRD pattern of these samples was shown in Figure 1. The 001 peaks from Mt included the interlayer distance and the thickness of one layer (0.96 nm) [42]. The interlayer distances in the Fe-Mt, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ were almost the same value (0.42/0.43 nm) when compared with the original Mt (0.25 nm), which suggested
that only ion exchange of Fe(III) with the interlayer cations in Mt occurred during the synthesis of the composites. Additionally, the TiO$_2$ should only be distributed on the surface of Mt. The hydrated ionic sizes of Fe(III) are 0.45 nm [42]. The smaller interlayer distances of the Mt in the composites, rather than the ionic sizes, may be distributed from the Van der Waals force among the aluminosilicate layers extruding from the hydrated shell of Fe(III), which are imperfectly shaped [42].

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** XRD patterns of original Mt, Fe-Mt synthesized at RT, TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1−$x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ synthesized at 70 °C.

The only single anatase phase of TiO$_2$ was presented for the pristine TiO$_2$. For both Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1−$x$)Fe-TiO$_2$, the 101 and 004 peaks at 25.4 and 37.9° (JCPDS No. 21–1272) of anatase phase were detected. Additionally, the 110 peak of rutile was formed at 28.3°. The representative 001, 003, and 101 reflection peaks of Mt in the composites were detected at 6.0–6.5, 19.8, and 35.2°, respectively [43]. The formation of TiO$_2$ in the composites by different synthetic temperatures was elucidated, and their XRD patterns were presented in Figure S2. Peak separation was utilized to further analyze the XRD patterns in more detail in Figure 2. The typical 101 diffraction of anatase at 25.4° and the 110 diffraction of rutile between 28.2–28.4° were well fitted. The parameters after peak fitting were listed in Table S1. The large full width at half-maximum (FWHM) values for anatase and rutile related to the broad peaks of all the samples suggested a low crystallinity of the TiO$_2$ phase on the Mt layers. The TiO$_2$ content in $x$Fe-Mt/(1−$x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ at three synthesized temperatures was higher than in Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and the Fe(III) in TiO$_2$ can improve the crystallization of the anatase phase. Increasing the temperature can improve the crystallization of anatase in Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ but depress it in $x$Fe-Mt/(1−$x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ from 80 °C to 90 °C. As proven by ICP analysis, only the location of Fe(III) can dominate the growth of TiO$_2$ phases on the aluminosilicate layers of Mt. For the following characterizations, the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1−$x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ synthesized at 70 °C were applied to compare with previous studies.
Figure 2. Peak fitting of the XRD patterns (20–35° in 2θ) of Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ with different synthesis temperatures (70, 80, and 90 °C). Peaks in blue were from anatase and peaks in yellow were from rutile.

The morphology of the TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ composites in μm scale was investigated by the SEM observation (Figure 3). The TiO$_2$ particle sizes were significantly reduced in the Mt layer in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ composites; furthermore, the Mt stacking layers in Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ were even smaller than in the pure TiO$_2$. From the SEM-EDX for both the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ (Figure 3d–i) and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ (Figure 3j–o), the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles intensively covered the surface of the Mt particles. The TiO$_2$ covering of the Mt layers in Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ in the nanometer scale was further observed through TEM-EDX, as illustrated in Figure 4. A higher intensity of Al distribution was observed in the Ti-empty/-lacking regions, which indicated the overlapping of TiO$_2$ particles in the Mt layers. The low-intensity distribution of Al in the Ti-concentrated region should be attributed to the occluding of TiO$_2$. The even distribution of Fe elements suggested the relative homogeneous distribution of Fe(III) on all of the Mt phase, TiO$_2$ phase, and in the interface between the Mt and TiO$_2$.

The electronic level and chemical composition of Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ were investigated by XPS and depicted in Figures S3 and S4. In the wide-scan spectrum for the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ (Figures S3a and S4a), the dominant peaks attributed to the Ti 2p orbitals were raised from TiO$_2$, and the dominant peaks attributed to the O 1s, Si 2p, and Al 2p orbitals were raised from Mt. The deconvolution peaks from the Ti 2p orbitals represented two peaks for both the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ in Figures S3b and S4b. The peaks at 464.3–464.4 and 458.7 eV were attributed to the Ti (IV) 2p$_{1/2}$ and 2p$_{3/2}$ orbitals [44]. The deconvoluted spectra of Fe 2p (Figures S3c and S4c) for both composites showed two peaks that are assigned to Fe(III) 2p$_{1/2}$ and 2p$_{3/2}$ at 723.9–725.5 and 713.2–713.4 eV, respectively [45]. In the C 1s region of the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and the xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ (Figures S3d and S4d), the peaks at 288.6–289.0, 286.3–287.0, and 284.6 eV are from C = O, C — O, and C — C, respectively [46]. In the O 1s region of the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 – x)Fe-TiO$_2$ (Figures S3e and S4e), the peaks at 533.0–534.3, 531.7–531.9, and 530.1–531.0 eV are from absorbed H$_2$O on the surface, hydroxide bonds, and oxide bonds, respectively [47–49]. The peak raised at 102.5 in both composites, as is shown in Figures S3f and S4f, are both assigned to tetrahedral
Si—O [50,51]. The valence band (VB) on top of the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ was estimated by drawing the straight tangent line to the VB spectrum of the samples in Figure 5 [52,53], and the values are 1.72 and 1.95 eV, respectively.

Figure 3. SEM images of: (a) TiO$_2$; (b) Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$; and (c) $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$. SEM-EDX Kα maps of: (d) titanium; (e) iron; (f) silica; (g) aluminum; (h) magnesium; and (i) oxygen for Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$. SEM-EDX Kα maps of: (j) titanium; (k) iron; (l) silica; (m) aluminum; (n) magnesium; and (o) oxygen for $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$. Scale bars were adjusted to be the same and indicate 50.00 µm.

Figure 4. Images of: (a) TEM-EDX, (b) TEM images, and Kα maps of: (c) titanium; (d) aluminum; and (e) iron for Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$. 
3.2. Investigation of Optical and Charge Separation Properties

As indicated by the UV-DRS spectra of the TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ (Figure 6a), it can be determined that both composites exhibited higher absorbance in the visible light region compared to pure TiO$_2$ due to the additional Mt and Fe(III) in the composites. Compared to Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, the $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ composite showed a slight red shift in the absorption edge, and such an extension in the absorption band influenced the optical properties of samples significantly. By using the Tauc plotting Kubelka–Munk function versus energy, the optical bandgap energy ($E_g$) of Fe-Mt can be evaluated, according to Equation (1) (Figure 6b) [54–56]:

$$a\nu = k(\nu - E_g)^{n/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $a$, $\nu$, $k$, and $E_g$ denote the absorption coefficient, Planck constant, light frequency, proportionality constant, and bandgap energy, respectively. The value of $n$ is dependent on the type of optical transition of the measured semiconductor (for direct transition, $n = 1$; for indirect transition, $n = 4$ [57]). For TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$, the calculated bandgap values of samples were estimated to be approximately 3.24, 3.24, and 3.19 eV, respectively (Figure 6b). The lower $E_g$ of the $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ may be due to the generation of a new electronic level of Fe(III) in the structure of the TiO$_2$ [58,59].
The recombination rate of $e^-\cdot h^+$ pairs was measured using PL (Figure 7). The higher PL intensity of TiO$_2$ compared to the other two composites suggested that the better charge separation efficiency on the composite was mainly due to the effects of Mt. In comparison with the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO$_2$ showed a decreased luminous intensity, which may be because the doped Fe(III) in the structure of the TiO$_2$ generated the middle-gap state to avoid the recombination of the $e^-\cdot h^+$ pairs in the TiO$_2$.

Furthermore, with the transient photocurrent response measurements and EIS to relate with the photocatalytic phenol degradation activity, the transfer and production of electrons in the TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and xFe-Mt/(1−x)Fe-TiO$_2$ were investigated. As exhibited in Figure 8a,
the photocurrent density was ranked as Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ > xFe-Mt/(1 − x) Fe-TiO$_2$ > TiO$_2$. Moreover, the EIS spectra of the TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ were measured, as shown in Figure 8b. According to the results, a smaller radius of the EIS Nyquist diagram was discovered in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, which suggested a lower charge transfer resistance to improve the photocatalytic activity.

![Figure 8](image.png)

**Figure 8.** (a) Photocurrent density versus time measurements of Mt, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ synthesized at 70 °C under 0 V versus Ag/AgCl bias; (b) Nyquist plots of the EIS spectra of Mt, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ synthesized at 70 °C.

### 3.3. Photocatalytic Activity

Figure 9a presents the photocatalytic removal of phenol as a function of reaction time using the TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$. In the dark conditions, a slight adsorption of phenol was observed for all the samples. On the other hand, the adsorption reached equilibrium within the first 5 min, and it predominantly occurred on the surface of the samples via physical adsorption. In comparison, the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ showed the highest photocatalytic performance of 94.6% on phenol degradation for 3 h. The adsorbed Fe(III) on the surface of the composite and the interlayer of Mt can improve the photocatalytic activity. Photocatalytic reduction kinetics of phenol fitted well to pseudo-first-order kinetics (Equation (2)) (Figure 9b) [60]:

$$-\ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = kt$$

(2)

where $C$ and $C_0$ (mmol/g) refer to the residual and initial concentrations of phenol and parameter $k$ (min$^{-1}$) marks the rate constant of the pseudo-first-order model. The parameters for the pseudo-first-order were listed in Table S1. The correlation coefficient ($R^2$) for the pseudo-first-order is more than 0.980 for all three samples, and the chi-square for all the samples is of $-4$ order of magnitude. Therefore, the pseudo-first-order model can predict kinetics. The rate constants are 0.015, 0.022, and 0.037 min$^{-1}$ for the TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$, respectively. The Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ showed superb efficiency. Most importantly, the xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ had a higher capacity than the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, which is consistent with the photocurrent, but the impedes the results and goes against the DRS and PL results.
Figure 9. (a) Photocatalytic degradation and (b) kinetics of phenol by TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1−$x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ composites synthesized at 70 °C.

The reusability test was applied (Figure 10) to investigate the chemical stability of the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, which possessed the better photocatalytic performance during the photocatalytic oxidation of phenol. High photocatalytic degradation of phenol in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ was maintained over 93.1% for three cycles. The slight reduction of the photocatalytic performance after the first cycle should be due to the mass loss of the samples during washing.

Figure 10. Reusability test of Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ synthesized at 70 °C for phenol degradation.
3.4. ERDT Patterns of TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$

Reversed double-beam photoacoustic spectroscopy (RDB-PAS) was utilized to investigate the surface electronic properties of Fe-Mt, TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$. The obtained ERDT could indicate the surface photocatalytic properties of the measured samples [61]. Figure 11 shows the ERDT patterns for Fe-Mt, TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$, and the parameters are listed in Table 2. This technique can be applied only to n-type semiconductors; thus, Fe-Mt had no electron trap (ETs) on ERDT because Fe(III) generated a Fermi level as an electron donor which is a p-type characteristic of semiconductor. The energy-reversed distribution of electron traps emerged at energy ranges of 2.20–4.00 eV for the TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$. This suggested that there were TiO$_2$ phases covering the surfaces of the composites. The electron trapping patterns of TiO$_2$ were fitting using peak separation. Three peaks at 2.96, 3.22, and 3.44 eV were assigned as crystal rutile, amorphous rutile, and amorphous rutile covered with crystal anatase, respectively. The dominance of the amorphous phase was consistent with the low crystallinity of the TiO$_2$ which was suggested in XRD results (Figure 2 and Table S1). The ERDT patterns of the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ were also separated. The peak at 3.44 eV represents the amorphous rutile covered in crystal anatase which also emerged in the pattern of the TiO$_2$. Crystal TiO$_2$ should be the dominant fraction for photocatalytic reaction, and the amorphous phase may gain the photocatalytic activity the presence of crystal phase in the TiO$_2$ [62–64]. The crystal anatase in both composites was covered by amorphous TiO$_2$. Only the amorphous TiO$_2$ directly in contact with the crystal phase should be responsible for photocatalytic activity. The intensity of this kind of rutile peak in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ (85.4%) was twice of that in the $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ (43.5%). Therefore, this result can explain why the $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ showed lower photocatalytic activity for the degradation of phenol than the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$. Both electron accumulation peaks at 3.62 and 3.76 eV were attributed to isolated amorphous TiO$_2$ that will be not in contact with the crystal phase of the TiO$_2$. This fraction of the TiO$_2$ on the composite was expected to have negligible photocatalytic activity. Besides, the total intensity of the isolated TiO$_2$ phase on the $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ was much higher than on the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and may overlap with the photocatalytic active amorphous rutile, depressing the charge generating and transferring.

Table 2. Parameters of ERDT peak fitting of Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$. c-R, a-R, a-R on c-A, and iso-TiO$_2$ are the abbreviation of crystal rutile, amorphous rutile, amorphous rutile covered on crystal anatase, and isolated amorphous TiO$_2$, respectively.

| Sample                  | Peak Assignment | Peak Position (eV) | Relative Intensity (%) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| TiO$_2$                 | c-R 2.96        | 31.1%              |
|                         | a-R 3.22        | 42.0%              |
|                         | a-R on c-A 3.44 | 27.0%              |
|                         | iso-TiO$_2$     | N.D.               |
|                         | iso-TiO$_2$     | N.D.               |
| Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$           | c-R 2.96        | N.D.               |
|                         | a-R 3.22        | N.D.               |
|                         | a-R on c-A 3.44 | 43.5%              |
|                         | iso-TiO$_2$     | 52.2%              |
|                         | iso-TiO$_2$     | 4.3%               |
| $x$Fe-Mt/(1 $-$ $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ | c-R 2.96 | N.D.               |
|                         | a-R 3.22        | N.D.               |
|                         | a-R on c-A 3.44 | 85.4%              |
|                         | iso-TiO$_2$     | N.D.               |
|                         | iso-TiO$_2$     | 14.6%              |
3.5. Radical Scavenger Tests

During the progress of the light irradiation, the radicals generated are responsible for the photocatalytic reaction. To detect which radical can be predominantly generated throughout the photocatalytic reaction, radical scavengers were added during the photocatalytic degradation of phenol in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, which is the best photocatalyst (Figure 12). Ethanol is the $h^+$ scavenger, and isopropanol is the $\cdot$OH$^-$ scavenger. The addition of both ethanol and isopropanol had a slight impact on the photocatalytic performance of the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, with a rate constant of 0.014 for both. It means that the $h^+$ and $\cdot$OH$^-$ should not be the main radical sources for the photocatalytic reaction. A significant depression for the photocatalytic degradation of phenol with the rate constant of 0.010 was observed with the addition of para-benzoquinone, which is the $\cdot$O$_2^-$ radical scavenger. Therefore, $\cdot$O$_2^-$ is the dominant radical for the photocatalytic degradation of phenol. This phenomenon is reasonable because the VB calculated from XPS results was higher than the reduction potential of the $\cdot$OH$^-$ production. Thus, the $\cdot$OH$^-$ radical should not be directly generated by the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$.

3.6. Photocatalytic Mechanisms

Based on the above results, the mechanisms of both the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ could be proposed (Scheme 1). In both composites, the crystal anatase will not be directly exposed on the surface but in contact with the amorphous rutile, which is performed as the main photocatalyst. The amount of amorphous rutile covered in crystal anatase in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ was approximately twice that in the $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$. On the contrary, the amount of isolated amorphous anatase and rutile overlapping with the photocatalytic active sites in $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ was much higher. These two factors resulted in a higher photocatalytic activity for the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ than the $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$. Based on DRS and $E_g$ results, the TiO$_2$ phase in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ has an $E_g$ of 3.24 eV, with the 1.75 eV energy level of VB and the 1.49 eV energy level of CB. Additionally, the TiO$_2$ phase in the $x$Fe-Mt/(1 − $x$)Fe-TiO$_2$ has an $E_g$ of 3.19 eV, with the 1.95 eV energy level of VB and -1.24 eV energy level of CB. The VBT of both the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and the
xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ are lower than the reduction potential of ·OH$^-$ production [25]. So, the h$^+$ and OH$^-$ should have little influence on the photocatalytic degradation of phenol. In addition, the radical scavenger test for the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ also proved that the O$_2^-$ should be the predominant radical during the photocatalytic reaction. The Fe(III) located on the interlayer and surface of the Mt in both composites may generate a new Fermi level [25] and establish heterojunctions with the bulk TiO$_2$ in the composites to reduce the charge recombination and enhance electron transfer.

**Figure 12.** Effects of radical scavengers (ethanol, isopropanol, and para-benzoquinone) on the photocatalytic degradation of phenol in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ composite synthesized at 70 °C. Isopropanol is the ·OH$^-$ scavenger, ethanol is the h$^+$ scavenger, and para-benzoquinone is the O$_2^-$ scavenger.

**Scheme 1.** The band edge positions of Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ with respect to standard hydrogen electrode (SHE), where the E (vs. SHE) = − (E (vs. vacuum)−4.44) V.
4. Conclusions

The Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ was prepared by introducing Fe(III) cations into the Mt/TiO$_2$ composite after the formation of the Mt/TiO$_2$ composite, while the xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ was obtained by the doping of Fe(III) cations during the formation of the Mt/TiO$_2$ composite. The locations of the Fe(III) cations in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ were expected to be on the surface of the composite and in the interlayers of the Mt, while the Fe(III) cations in the xFe-Mt/(1 − x) Fe-TiO$_2$ may exist in the structures of TiO$_2$ and Mt. The different locations of the doped Fe(III) in the composite directly interfere with the formation of the TiO$_2$ content in the composite. The wt% of the TiO$_2$ in the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ always kept higher (26.91–27.63%) than in the xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ (26.77–27.50%), but the anatase to rutile ratio was always lower (0.92–1.45 for Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and 1.53–1.91 for xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$). Both composites’ optical and electrochemical properties and photocatalytic phenol degradation activity were better than that of pristine TiO$_2$, due to the formation of the heterojunction between TiO$_2$ and Mt, and the introduction of Fe(III) into the composites. Compared between two composite samples, the xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ composite showed better light absorption abilities ($E_g$: 3.19 eV) than the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ composite ($E_g$: 3.24 eV), confirmed by DRS results because the doped Fe(III) in the structure of the TiO$_2$ generates a new electronic level to reduce the $E_g$. However, the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ exhibited a better charge generation ability, which was proven by the higher peak intensity in the photocurrent and a smaller radius in the EIS. The photocatalytic degradation of phenol was 94.6% for the Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$, which was higher than that of the xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ at 85.1%. These are due to the higher active fractions of amorphous rutile covered in crystal anatase on the surface of the composite (two-times more than the xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$) confirmed by the ERDT results. Moreover, the -O$_2^-$ radical played an important role in the photocatalytic degradation of phenol. Thus, the modification of Mt/TiO$_2$ composites by Fe(III) can be an alternative material for the photocatalytic treatment of water pollutants.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/min11121381/s1, Figure S1: Photocatalytic degradation and kinetics of phenol by Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ synthesized at 70, 80 and 90 °C; Figure S2: XRD patterns of TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$ synthesized at 70, 80 and 90 °C; Figure S3: XPS (a) survey, narrow scans of (b) Ti 2p, (c) Fe 2p, (d) C 1s (e) O 1s, and (f) Si 2p orbitals for Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$; Figure S4: XPS (a) survey, narrow scans of (b) Ti 2p, (c) Fe 2p, (d) C 1s (e) O 1s, and (f) Si 2p orbitals for xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$; Table S1: Parameters of XRD peak fitting of Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$; Table S2: Parameters of pseudo-first-order kinetics for the photocatalytic degradation of phenol on TiO$_2$, Fe/Mt/TiO$_2$ and xFe-Mt/(1 − x)Fe-TiO$_2$.

Author Contributions: L.Z.: conceptualization, investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft, review, and editing; C.C.: formal analysis; V.B.: formal analysis; B.O.: investigation; K.S.: conception, interpretation, and editing of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), KAKENHI (A) [No. JSPS JP19F19393]; Cooperative Research Programs at Hokkaido University Institute for Catalysis [Nos. 18A1001, 19B1002, 20A1001, and 21A1001]; and Kyushu University (Progress 100) to KS. This work was supported by the nanotech platform at Kyushu University with proposal no. S-20-KU-0001.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the Nanotech Center, Kyushu University for XPS measurements.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Cerrato, G.; Marchese, L.; Morterra, C. Structural and morphological modifications of sintering microcrystalline TiO$_2$: An XRD, HRTEM and FTIR study. Appl. Surf. Sci. 1993, 70–71, 200–205. [CrossRef]
2. Zhaobin, W.; Qin, X.; Xiexian, G.; Sham, E.; Grange, P.; Delmon, B. Titania-modified hydodesulphurization catalysts: I. Effect of preparation techniques on morphology and properties of TiO$_2$—Al$_2$O$_3$ carrier. Appl. Catal. 1990, 63, 305–317. [CrossRef]
3. Wen, J.; Li, X.; Liu, W.; Fang, Y.; Xie, J.; Xu, Y. Photocatalysis fundamentals and surface modification of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanomaterials. *Chin. J. Catal.* 2015, 36, 2049–2070. [CrossRef]

4. Wang, J.; Lin, Z. Dye-Sensitized TiO\textsubscript{2} Nanotube Solar Cells with Markedly Enhanced Performance via Rational Surface Engineering. *Chem. Mater.* 2010, 22, 579–584. [CrossRef]

5. Bian, Z.; Tachikawa, T.; Kim, W.; Choi, W.; Majima, T. Superior Electron Transport and Photocatalytic Abilities of Metal-Nanoparticle-Loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} Superstructures. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012, 116, 25444–25453. [CrossRef]

6. Cozzoli, P.D.; Fanizza, E.; Comparelli, R.; Curri, M.L.; Agostiano, A.; Laub, D. Role of metal nanoparticles in TiO\textsubscript{2}/Ag nanocomposite-based microheterogeneous photocatalysis. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2004, 108, 9623–9630. [CrossRef]

7. Dozzi, A.D.; Doped-TiO\textsubscript{2}: A review. *Recent Pat. Eng.* 2008, 2, 157–164. [CrossRef]

8. Fukahori, S.; Ichiura, H.; Kitaoka, T.; Tanaka, H. Capturing of bisphenol A photodecomposition intermediates by composite TiO\textsubscript{2}–zeolite sheets. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2003, 46, 453–462. [CrossRef]

9. DeWitt, J.; Chirico, P.; Alessi, M.; Boston, K. Remote Sensing Inventory and Geospatial Analysis of Brick Kilns and Clay Quarrying in Kabul, Afghanistan. *Minerals* 2021, 11, 296. [CrossRef]

10. Li, C.; Li, Z.; Wu, T.; Luo, Y.; Zhao, J.; Li, X.; Yang, W.; Chen, X. Metallogenic Characteristics and Formation Mechanism of Naomugeng Clay-Type Lithium Deposit in Central Inner Mongolia, China. *Minerals* 2021, 11, 238. [CrossRef]

11. Mohammad, A.; Khan, M.E.; Cho, M.H.; Yoon, T. Fabrication of binary SnO\textsubscript{2}/TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites under a sonication-assisted approach: Tuning of band-gap and band depollution applications under visible light irradiation. *Ceram. Int.* 2021, 47, 15073–15081. [CrossRef]

12. Mohammad, A.; Khan, M.E.; Cho, M.H.; Yoon, T. Adsorption promoted visible-light-induced photocatalytic degradation of antibiotic tetracycline by tin oxide/cerium oxide nanocomposite. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2021, 565, 150337. [CrossRef]

13. Khan, M.M.; Min, B.-K.; Cho, M.H. Microbial fuel cell assisted band gap narrowed TiO\textsubscript{2} for visible light-induced photocatalytic activities and power generation. *Sci. Rep.* 2014, 8, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Damian, G.; Damian, F.; Szakács, Z.; Iepure, G.; Aștefănei, D. Mineralogical and Physico-Chemical Characterization of the Orașa-Nou (Romania) Bentonite Resources. *Minerals* 2021, 11, 938. [CrossRef]

15. Papoulis, D.; Komarneni, S.; Panagiotaras, D.; Stathatos, E.; Toli, D.; Christoforidis, K.C.; Fernández-Garcia, M.; Li, H.; Yin, S.; Sato, T.; et al. Halloysite–TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites: Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic activity. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2013, 132–133, 416–422. [CrossRef]

16. Liu, J.; Zhang, G. Recent advances in synthesis and applications of clay-based photocatalysts: A review. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, 16, 8178–8192. [CrossRef]

17. Man-Chao, H.; Zhi-Jie, F.; Ping, Z. Atomic and electronic structures of montmorillonite in soft rock. *Chin. Phys. B* 2009, 18, 2933–2937. [CrossRef]

18. Jack, R.S.; Ayoko, G.A.; Adeabajo, M.O.; Frost, R.L. A review of iron species for visible-light photocatalytic water purification. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2015, 22, 7439–7449. [CrossRef]

19. Parida, K.; Mohapatra, L. Carbonate intercalated Zn/Fe layered double hydroxide: A novel photocatalyst for the enhanced photo degradation of azo dyes. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2012, 179, 131–139. [CrossRef]

20. Kim, S.J.; Lee, Y.; Lee, D.K.; Lee, J.W.; Kang, J.K. Efficient Co–Fe layered double hydroxide photocatalysts for water oxidation under visible light. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, 2, 4136–4139. [CrossRef]

21. Chuaicham, C.; Xiong, Y.; Sekar, K.; Chen, W.; Zhang, L.; Ohtani, B.; Dabo, I.; Sasaki, K. A promising Zn-Ti layered double hydroxide/Fe-bearing montmorillonite composite as an efficient photocatalyst for Cr(VI) reduction: Insight into the role of Fe impurity in montmorillonite. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2021, 546, 148835. [CrossRef]

22. Zheng, X.; Li, Y.; You, W.; Lei, G.; Cao, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Jiang, L. Construction of Fe-doped TiO\textsubscript{2}–x ultrathin nanosheets with rich oxygen vacancies for highly efficient oxidation of H\textsubscript{2}S. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, 430, 132917. [CrossRef]

23. Kanjana, N.; Maiaguerre, W.; Poolcharuansin, P.; Laokul, P. Synthesis and characterization of Fe-doped TiO\textsubscript{2} hollow spheres for dye-sensitized solar cell applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* 2021, 271, 115311. [CrossRef]

24. Mancuso, A.; Sacco, O.; Vaiano, V.; Sannino, D.; Pragliola, S.; Venditto, V.; Morante, N. Visible light active Fe-Pr co-doped TiO\textsubscript{2} for water pollutants degradation. *Catal. Today* 2021, 380, 93–104. [CrossRef]

25. Kara, F.; Kurban, M.; Coşkun, B. Evaluation of electronic transport and optical response of two-dimensional Fe-doped TiO\textsubscript{2} thin films for photodetector applications. *Optik* 2020, 210, 164605. [CrossRef]

26. Pezeshkian, W.; Marrink, S.J. Simulating realistic membrane shapes. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 2021, 71, 103–111. [CrossRef]
31. Lapworth, D.J.; Baran, N.; Stuart, M.E.; Ward, R.S. Emerging organic contaminants in groundwater: A review of sources, fate and occurrence. *Environ. Pollut.* 2012, 163, 287–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Daly, G.L.; Wan, F. Organic Contaminants in Mountains. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2005, 39, 385–398. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Allkorta, I.; Garbus, C. Phytoremediation of organic contaminants in soils. *Bioreour. Technol.* 2001, 79, 273–276. [CrossRef]

34. Kim, J.; Du, P.; Liu, W.; Luo, C.; Zhao, H.; Huang, C.-H. Cobalt/Peracetic Acid: Advanced Oxidation of Aromatic Organic Compounds by Acetylperoxy Radicals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, 54, 5268–5278. [CrossRef]

35. Hodges, B.C.; Cates, E.L.; Kim, J.-H. Challenges and prospects of advanced oxidation water treatment processes using catalytic nanomaterials. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2018, 13, 642–650. [CrossRef]

36. Mohammadi, S.; Kargari, A.; Sanaeepur, H.; Abbassian, K.; Najafi, A.; Mofarah, E. Phenol removal from industrial wastewaters: A short review. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 2015, 53, 2215–2234. [CrossRef]

37. Jover, J.; Bosque, R.; Sales, J. Neural Network Based QSQR Study for Predicting pKa of Phenols in Different Solvents. *QSAR Comb. Sci.* 2007, 26, 385–397. [CrossRef]

38. Srikhaow, A.; Smith, S.M.; Uraisin, K.; Suttiponparnit, K.; Kongmark, C.; Chuaicham, C. Catalytic remediation of phenol contaminated wastewater using Cu–Zn hydroxide nitrate. *RSC Adv.* 2016, 6, 36766–36774. [CrossRef]

39. Zhang, Y.; Gan, H.; Zhang, G. A novel mixed-phase TiO2/kaolinite composites and their photocatalytic activity for degradation of organic contaminants. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2011, 172, 936–943. [CrossRef]

40. Zhang, Y.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, G.; Gao, Y. Stable TiO2/rectorite: Preparation, characterization and photocatalytic activity. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 2011, 51, 335–340. [CrossRef]

41. Wang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Deng, K.; Chen, X.; Zou, Z. Low Temperature Synthesis and Photocatalytic Activity of Rutile TiO2 Nanorod Superstructures. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2007, 111, 2709–2714. [CrossRef]

42. Tansel, B. Significance of thermodynamic and physical characteristics on permeation of ions during membrane separation: Hydrated radius, hydration free energy and viscous effects. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2012, 86, 119–126. [CrossRef]

43. Liu, H.; Chaudhary, D.; Yusa, S.-I.; Tad, M.O. Glycerol/starch/Na+montmorillonite nanocomposites: A XRD, FTIR, DSC and 1H NMR study. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2011, 83, 1591–1597. [CrossRef]

44. Bharti, B.; Kumar, S.; Lee, H.-N.; Kumar, R. Formation of oxygen vacancies and Ti4+ state in TiO2 thin film and enhanced optical properties by air plasma treatment. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 097210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Lv, H.; Zhao, H.; Cao, T.; Qian, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, G. Efficient degradation of high concentration azo-dye wastewater by heterogeneous Fenton process with iron-based metal-organic framework. *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* 2015, 400, 81–89. [CrossRef]

46. Xiao, S.; Xu, P.; Peng, Q.; Chen, J.; Huang, J.; Wang, F.; Noor, N. Layer-by-Layer Assembly of Polyelectrolyte Multilayer onto PET Fabric for Highly Tunable Dyeing with Water Soluble Dyestuffs. *Polymers* 2017, 9, 735. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Jichao, W. On the cultivation of science college students’ innovative and entrepreneurial ability. *J. China Univ. Pet. Ed. Soc. Sci.* 2016, 5, 106–109.

48. Wu, L.Q.; Li, Y.C.; Li, S.Q.; Li, Z.Z.; Tang, G.D.; Qi, W.H.; Xue, L.C.; Ge, X.S.; Ding, L.L. Method for estimating ionicties of oxides using O1s photoelectron spectra. *APL Adv.* 2015, 5, 097210. [CrossRef]

49. Chuaicham, C.; Kharthikeyan, S.; Pawar, R.R.; Xiong, Y.; Dabo, I.; Ohtani, B.; Kim, Y.; Song, J.T.; Ishihara, T.; Sasaki, K. Energy-resolved distribution of electron traps for O/S-doped carbon nitrides by reversed double-beam photoacoustic spectroscopy and the photocatalytic reduction of Cr(vi). *Chem. Commun.* 2020, 56, 3793–3796. [CrossRef]

50. Ferré, F.G.; Mairov, A.; Iadicicco, D.; Vanazzi, M.; Bassini, S.; Utili, M.; Tarantino, M.; Bragaglia, M.; Lamastra, F.; Nanni, F.; et al. Corrosion and radiation resistant nanoceramic coatings for lead fast reactors. *Corros. Sci.* 2017, 124, 80–92. [CrossRef]

51. Nguyen, T.P.; Lefrant, S. XPS study of SiO thin films and SiO-metal interfaces. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 1989, 1, 5197–5204. [CrossRef]

52. Dong, F.; Zhao, Z.; Xiong, T.; Ni, Z.; Zhang, W.; Sun, Y.; Ho, W.K. In Situ Construction of g-C3N4/g-C3N4 Metal-Free Heterojunction for Enhanced Visible-Light Photocatalysis. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2013, 5, 11392–11401. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Su, X.; Yang, J.; Yu, X.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, Y. In Situ grown hierarchical 50%BiOCl/BiOI hollow flowerlike microspheres on reduced graphene oxide nanosheets for enhanced visible-light photocatalytic degradation of rhodamine B. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2018, 433, 502–512. [CrossRef]

54. Yamada, Y.; Nakamura, T.; Endo, M.; Wakamiya, A.; Kanemitsu, Y. Near-band-edge optical responses of solution-processed organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite CH3NH3PbI3on mesoporous TiO2 electrodes. *Appl. Phys. Express* 2014, 7, 32302. [CrossRef]

55. Sekar, K.; Chuaicham, C.; Bajilapalli, U.; Li, W.; Wilson, K.; Lee, A.F.; Sasaki, K. Surfactant- and template-free hydrothermal assembly of Cu2O visible light photocatalysts for trimethoprim degradation. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2021, 284, 119741. [CrossRef]

56. Sekar, K.; Chuaicham, C.; Vellaichamy, B.; Li, W.; Zhuang, W.; Lu, X.; Ohtani, B.; Sasaki, K. Cubic Cu2O nanoparticles decorated on TiO2 nanofiber heterostructure as an excellent synergistic photocatalyst for H2 production and sulfamethoxazole degradation. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2021, 294, 120221. [CrossRef]

57. Chuaicham, C.; Kharthikeyan, S.; Song, J.T.; Ishihara, T.; Ohtani, B.; Sasaki, K. Importance of ZnTiO2 phase in ZnTi-mixed metal oxide photocatalysts derived from layered double hydroxide. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2020, 12, 9169–9180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Sood, S.; Umar, A.; Mehta, S.K.; Kansal, S.K. Highly effective Fe-doped TiO2 nanoparticles photocatalysts for visible-light driven photocatalytic degradation of toxic organic compounds. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2015, 450, 213–223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
59. Ali, T.; Tripathi, P.; Azam, A.; Raza, W.; Ahmed, A.S.; Ahmed, A.; Muneer, M. Photocatalytic performance of Fe-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles under visible-light irradiation. *Mater. Res. Express* **2017**, *4*, 015022. [CrossRef]

60. Benotti, M.J.; Stanford, B.D.; Wert, E.C.; Snyder, S. Evaluation of a photocatalytic reactor membrane pilot system for the removal of pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting compounds from water. *Water Res.* **2009**, *43*, 1513–1522. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Nitta, A.; Takashima, M.; Murakami, N.; Takase, M.; Ohtani, B. Reversed double-beam photoacoustic spectroscopy of metal-oxide powders for estimation of their energy-resolved distribution of electron traps and electronic-band structure. *Electrochim. Acta* **2018**, *264*, 83–90. [CrossRef]

62. Su, D.; Dou, S.; Wang, G. Anatase TiO₂: Better Anode Material than Amorphous and Rutile Phases of TiO₂ for Na-Ion Batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 6022–6029. [CrossRef]

63. Viana, M.; Mohallem, N.; Miquita, D.R.; Balzuweit, K.; Silva-Pinto, E. Preparation of amorphous and crystalline Ag/TiO₂ nanocomposite thin films. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2013**, *265*, 130–136. [CrossRef]

64. Lottiaux, M.; Boulesteix, C.; Nihoul, G.; Varnier, F.; Flory, F.; Galindo, R.; Pelletier, E. Morphology and structure of TiO₂ thin layers vs. thickness and substrate temperature. *Thin Solid Films* **1989**, *170*, 107–126. [CrossRef]