Microstructural evolution and mechanical properties of TiB$_2$/2195 composites fabricated by ultrasonic-assisted in-situ casting
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A B S T R A C T

The distribution and size of particles in particle-reinforced aluminum matrix composites are crucial to the mechanical properties of the composites. In this paper, 2 wt.% TiB$_2$/2195 composites were prepared by ultrasonic-assisted in-situ casting technology, and the samples' phase composition, microstructure, and mechanical properties were tested. The results showed that compared with the remelted matrix, the stomatal defects in the composites disappeared, and the grains were refined, but the second phase structure and TiB$_2$ particles agglomerated significantly when no ultrasonic treatment (UT) was applied. The UT made the grains further refined, the area fraction of the coarse second phase network decreased, the concentrations of Ti and Cu elements increased with the increased mass fraction of reactant. The agglomerated particles will form voids or porosities between adjacent particles, resulting in an uncompacted microstructure, and may also become crack initiation sites.

In response to this problem, researchers have tried various methods, among which ultrasonic-assisted casting has proved effective in agglomerating dispersed particles and refining solidified structures. Huang et al. [12] prepared TiB$_2$/2A14 in situ composites with a volume fraction of 3% by combining mixed salt reaction and high-energy ultrasound. The results showed that ultrasonic vibration could effectively improve the distribution of particles. High-pressure shock waves scattered the large-volume agglomerated particles into small-volume

1. Introduction

Aluminum matrix composites (AMCs) have an excellent specific strength, specific stiffness, high-temperature resistance, and corrosion resistance, so they have a wide range of application prospects in the fields including automobile, chemical industry, biomeedicine, and aerospace [1–4]. Particle-reinforced aluminum matrix composites (PRAMCs) is a composite material that combines the excellent toughness and plasticity of the metal with the high hardness and high modulus of the reinforcing particles by combining the reinforcing phase of the ceramic particles with the aluminum alloy matrix. Two methods can obtain the particles in PRAMCs: external addition and in-situ synthesis. Compared with the external addition method, the in-situ PRAMCs have the advantages of a clean interface and good interface bonding [5–7], but the reinforced particles prepared by the in-situ method are prone to agglomeration. Wang et al. [8] successfully synthesized in situ TiB$_2$/A356 composites through the salt-metal reaction route, and TiB$_2$ clusters were randomly distributed on the grain boundaries. Zhang et al. [9] prepared in-situ (TiB$_2$ + ZrB$_2$)/AlSi9Cu3 composites using the Al-K$_2$TiF$_6$-K$_2$ZrF$_6$-KBF$_4$ system as raw materials. They found that the particles agglomerated into many “reinforcing groups” distributed along the matrix grain boundaries, and the number of particle clusters increased with the increased mass fraction of reactant. The agglomerated particles will form voids or porosities between adjacent particles, resulting in an uncompacted microstructure [10], and may also become crack initiation sites [11].

In response to this problem, researchers have tried various methods, among which ultrasonic-assisted casting has proved effective in agglomerating dispersed particles and refining solidified structures. Huang et al. [12] prepared TiB$_2$/2A14 in situ composites with a volume fraction of 3% by combining mixed salt reaction and high-energy ultrasound. The results showed that ultrasonic vibration could effectively improve the distribution of particles. High-pressure shock waves scattered the large-volume agglomerated particles into small-volume
aggregated or dispersed particles and diffused them uniformly into the melt by acoustic streaming. After ultrasonic vibration treatment for 120 s, the agglomerated particles were basically eliminated. Liu et al. [13] applied ultrasound-assisted solidification (UAS) to the fabrication of nano-sized TiB$_2$/Al-4.5Cu composites, and the results showed that UAS could significantly refine the microstructure of the Al-4.5Cu matrix and induce more TiB$_2$ particles distributed in a-Al grains. Yang et al. [14] used the A356-K$_2$TiF$_6$ system as raw material to prepare 5 wt.% Al$_2$Ti/Al-356 in-situ composites with high strength and good plasticity by ultrasonic-assisted in-situ casting at 800 °C. The particles were uniformly distributed in the matrix, most of which were located inside the a-Al crystals.

In the current studies on PRAMCs, relatively few have used Al-Li alloys as matrix materials. Lithium (Li) is the lightest metal element in nature. Compared with commonly used 2XXX and 7XXX alloys, Al-Li alloys have higher specific strength and specific stiffness [15]. The AMC with higher specific strength and specific stiffness can be obtained by selecting them as the matrix of the composite. In addition, according to the Orowan strengthening theory, the existing research also proves that only the dispersed and fine ceramic particles entering the a-Al grains will effectively strengthen the matrix. However, there are few studies on quantitatively analyzing the distribution of reinforcement particles in the grains caused by ultrasonic-assisted casting and their effects on mechanical properties.

In this paper, TiB$_2$ particle-reinforced aluminum matrix composites were prepared by in-situ synthesis using 2195 Al-Li alloy as the matrix. The mass fraction of TiB$_2$ particles was preset to 2 % to ensure the strengthening effect without affecting the material density too much. The effects of UT and in-situ TiB$_2$ particles on the microstructure and properties of composites were analyzed, and the mechanism of the synergistic effect of ultrasonic and TiB$_2$ to improve mechanical properties was discussed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The composition of the 2195 Al-Li alloy matrix used in this experiment is shown in Table 1, which was detected by an Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometer (ICP-AES, SPECTRO BLUE, Germany). The raw materials used for the in-situ reaction to generate TiB$_2$ were K$_2$TiF$_6$, KBF$_4$, and Na$_2$AlF$_6$ (analytical grade) provided by Tianjin Kemiu Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.

2.2. Composite preparation

The experimental system is shown in Fig. 1, which mainly includes a resistance furnace, a graphite silicon carbide crucible, an inert gas filling resistance furnace. The ultrasonic vibration system comprises an ultrasonic generator with an ultrasonic sonotrode, respectively, causing the tip surface of the ultrasonic waves, which propagate along the axial and radial directions of the ultrasonic sonotrode, respectively, causing the tip surface of the ultrasonic waves to generate vibrations with an amplitude of 11.3–12.6 μm [17], which are finally transmitted to the melt. The UT time was set to 0 s, 60 s, 120 s, 180 s, and 240 s, respectively. The alloy melt was poured into a high-purity graphite mold with an inner diameter of $\varnothing$55 × 110 mm after UT and air-cooled to room temperature to obtain a composite round ingot. In addition, the remelted 2195 matrix was prepared as a control sample under the same experimental parameters but without UT (i.e., UT 0 s). The ultrasonic sonotrode was also put into the melt in the experiments without UT, but the ultrasonic power was not turned on to ensure consistent experimental conditions.

2.3. Microstructural analysis and tensile properties test

As shown in Fig. 2 [10,18], samples were taken from the ingots to characterize the microstructure and mechanical properties of the material. The phase composition of the samples was determined using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Bruker D8 advance, Germany). The microstructure of samples was observed with a scanning electron microscope (SEM, TESCAN MIRA3, Czech Republic) equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS), and the inner regions of 3 different grains were randomly selected in each sample for EDS element mapping analysis to measure the concentrations of elements such as Ti and Cu inside the grains. Image analysis software (Image-Pro Plus, IPP) was used to quantitatively analyze the zone fraction of the second phase and the particle size of TiB$_2$ particles. The metallographic structure of the samples etched with Keller’s reagent (95 % H$_2$O, 2.5 % HNO$_3$, 1.5 % HCl, and 1 % HF) was observed by optical microscopy (OM, OLYMPUS DSX500, Japan). The SEM (Thermofisher Helios SCX, USA) equipped with an electron backscatter diffraction meter (EBSD, EDAX HIKARI Super, USA) was used to perform EBSD scanning of the samples, and the EBSD data were post-processed on TSL-OIM software to obtain the grain size and TiB$_2$ particle distribution. The line interception method calculated the grain size (ASTM 112–10) [19]. The samples tested above were mechanically ground and polished according to standard metallographic techniques before testing, and the EBSD samples were additionally polished with a 0.5 μm particle size SiO$_2$ polishing solution for 3 h. It should be noted that the XRD data, SEM images, and OM images were all from the samples at the core of the ingot, and the top and bottom samples were only used to quantitatively analyze the concentrations of Cu and Ti elements inside the grains. The ground tensile samples were subjected to a room temperature (25 °C) tensile test on an electronic universal testing machine (CMT5105GL, China) at a tensile speed of 2 mm/min to obtain ultimate tensile strength (UTS), yield strength (YS), and elongation of each group of samples, and elastic modulus of the matrix. All tensile tests were repeated three times to ensure the reliability of the results. The SEM (TESCAN MIRA3, Czech Republic) was used to observe the fracture surface.

Table 1

| Cu   | Li  | Mg  | Ag  | Zr  | Al |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| 3.99 | 1.19| 0.69| 0.37| 0.12| Bal.|

Meanwhile, the alloy was placed in a silicon carbide graphite crucible with a bottom inner diameter of 70 mm, a top inner diameter of 100 mm, and an internal height of 130 mm, and heated to 780 °C in an argon-filled resistance furnace.

When the matrix was melted entirely, the mixed salt wrapped in aluminum foil was pressed into the melt with a bell jar, and the melt was stirred at a speed of 200 r/min for 1 min by a high-purity graphite impeller stirrer driven by an electric mixer. Ten minutes later, the scum on the melt surface was removed, and the melt temperature was lowered to 720 °C. Then put the preheated ultrasonic sonotrode into the melt, and let the tip surface of the ultrasonic sonotrode be about 20 mm away from the melt surface. Then turn on the ultrasonic power, set the frequency to 20 kHz ± 100 Hz, and set the output power to 400 ± 10 W according to the volume of the melt to ensure that the ultrasonic vibration system works in a stable state. The transducer converts electrical energy into ultrasonic waves containing longitudinal and transverse waves, which propagate along the axial and radial directions of the ultrasonic sonotrode, respectively, causing the tip surface of the ultrasonic sonotrode to generate vibrations with an amplitude of 11.3–12.6 μm [17], which are finally transmitted to the melt. The UT time was set to 0 s, 60 s, 120 s, 180 s, and 240 s, respectively. The alloy melt was poured into a high-purity graphite mold with an inner diameter of $\varnothing$55 × 110 mm after UT and air-cooled to room temperature to obtain a composite round ingot. In addition, the remelted 2195 matrix was prepared as a control sample under the same experimental parameters but without UT (i.e., UT 0 s). The ultrasonic sonotrode was also put into the melt in the experiments without UT, but the ultrasonic power was not turned on to ensure consistent experimental conditions.
3. Results

3.1. Phase analysis

The XRD patterns of the samples are shown in Fig. 3a, and the diffraction peaks of α-Al, θ'-Al₂Cu, δ-AlLi, and T2-Al₂CuLi₃ phases appear simultaneously in all samples. However, T1-Al₂CuLi phase diffraction peaks are pronounced in composites but not in the matrix, and UT has improved the intensity of diffraction peaks. In addition, TiB₂ diffraction peaks also appeared in the composite material, indicating that the in-situ reaction generated TiB₂ particles.

3.2. Microstructural evolution

3.2.1. EBSD microstructures

The EBSD analysis results of each sample are shown in Fig. 4, and the black spots in the figures are TiB₂ particles. The grains in the remelted matrix are coarse, and the grain size is discretely distributed between 75 and 550 μm. After the in-situ reaction generated the TiB₂ particles, the grain size was significantly reduced, from 288 μm of the matrix to 159 μm, but the TiB₂ particles agglomerated more seriously at the grain boundaries. After applying UT, the grain size was further reduced, and the TiB₂ agglomeration phenomenon also began to improve. When the UT time was 180 s, the grains were mostly equiaxed, the size was mainly distributed around 70 μm, and about 95% were smaller than 120 μm. The average grain size was 72 μm, with refinement efficiencies of 75.0% and 54.7% compared to the remelted matrix and the composite without UT, respectively. In addition, the large-scale agglomeration at grain boundaries was significantly reduced, and more TiB₂ particles were dispersed inside the grains. When the UT time increased to 240 s, the TiB₂ agglomerates started agglomerating at the grain boundaries again, and the grain size increased to 92 μm simultaneously.
3.2.2. SEM microstructures

Previously distributed along the corresponding sample. In the remelted 2195 matrix (Fig. 6a), the white phases and OM images, where each inset is the OM image of the corresponding sample. The grain size distribution and average grain size are shown in Fig. 5. The grain size distribution and average grain size are shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 6 shows the microstructure of the samples, including SEM images and OM images, where each inset is the OM image of the corresponding sample. In the remelted 2195 matrix (Fig. 6a), the white phase has a smooth structure and clear outline and is continuously distributed along the α-Al grain boundary in a network [20]. The agglomeration at the grain boundary connection is accentuated, and a small amount of spherical second phase particles are dispersed in the α-Al grains. In addition, porosities of different sizes can be observed in the OM image, some exceeding 400 μm, and the micropore is also found in the SEM image. With the formation of TiB2 particles in the in situ reaction, the second phase profile becomes relatively blurred and indistinct, and there are no apparent porosities (Figs. 6b-f). In the solidified structure without UT, the agglomeration phenomenon is more serious, and the maximum diameter of the agglomerate exceeds 100 μm, as shown in Fig. 6b. The boxed area in the figure is analyzed by EDS element mapping, and the result is shown in Fig. 6g, indicating that the second phase structure of the agglomeration is mainly TiB2 particles and Cu-containing phases such as Al2Cu and Al2CuLi.

With the application of UT, the large agglomerates were broken up, and the maximum diameter of the agglomerates began to decrease. When UT was applied for 60 s, the maximum diameter of the agglomerates decreased to about 70 μm. The increase in UT time further reduced the maximum diameter of aggregates, and when the UT time was 120 s, its value was about 40 μm. When the UT time continued to increase to 180 s, its value decreased to about 30 μm, and more fine particles were dispersed into the Al matrix. Fig. 6h is a partially enlarged view of the inner grain of the composite material (the area selected in Fig. 6a) with UT for 180 s. The EDS elemental mapping analysis shows that there are many TiB2 particles dispersed in the α-Al matrix (as indicated by the arrow). However, when the UT time continued to increase to 240 s, the maximum diameter of the aggregates increased to about 50 μm, which was consistent with the changing trend of the EBSD analysis results. The change in grain size shown in the OM images is also compatible with the EBSD results.

Fig. 7 shows each sample’s area fraction of the second phase. In the remelted matrix, the area fraction of the entire second phase structure is 4.28 %, and the coarse second phase network (with an area greater than 20 µm²) [21] is 4.14 %, while in the composites without UT, their values increased to 5.23 % and 4.50 %, respectively, but the ratio of the coarse second phase network to the entire second phase structure decreased from 96.70 % to 86.10 %. After adding UT, all the values began to decline, and when the UT time was 180 s, they were 4.12 %, 3.06 %, and 74.37 %, respectively. Fig. 7b shows the number density (number per mm²) of precipitated particles (with an area less than 20 µm²) [18] inside the grains. In the composite material without UT, the value is 1830.0, which is significantly higher than that of the remelted matrix of 771.5, the UT improved it further, and the number density was the largest at 2912.4 when UT was performed for 180 s.

Fig. 7c shows the concentrations of Ti and Cu elements in the α-Al grains of the remelted matrix and composites. In the remelted matrix, the Cu concentration in the grains is 1.62 wt.%, and the Ti concentration is close to 0. After the in-situ reaction generated TiB2 particles, the Ti concentration increased to 0.10 wt.%, while the Cu concentration decreased to 1.09 wt.%. After applying UT, the concentrations of Ti and Cu elements in the grains began to increase. When the UT time was 180 s, the concentrations of Ti and Cu increased to 0.20 wt.% and 2.24 wt.%, respectively, which were 100.0 % and 105.5 % higher than without UT.

To further study the morphology of TiB2 particles and their distribution in the composites, the agglomerates were observed with higher magnification, and the results are shown in Fig. 8. When UT was not applied (Fig. 8a), most TiB2 particles interlaced with the Cu-containing phase to form cloud-like or ice-like agglomerates. The identifiable TiB2 particles were mainly polygonal with a maximum diameter of more than 2 μm. When UT was applied for 60 s (Fig. 8b), the agglomeration phenomenon was significantly improved, and TiB2 particles with regular shape, clear outline, the polygonal or nearly circular form could be observed, and the diameter was mainly about 0.5 μm. However, the TiB2 particles were still relatively dense. When the UT time increased to 120 s (Fig. 8c), many TiB2 particles began to disperse, and the particle size also became smaller. When the UT time increased to 180 s (Fig. 8d), the overlapping and aggregation of TiB2 particles were further improved, and many particles were dispersed inside the grains. Most particles were less than 0.3 μm, and many were about 100 nm or even less than 100 nm. EDS analysis was performed on the white particle indicated by the arrow in Fig. 8d, and the results are shown in Fig. 8e, and it was determined that they were TiB2 particles. It indicates that UT can also change the morphology and size of TiB2 particles while preventing agglomeration.

3.3. Mechanical properties

The room temperature tensile test results of the samples are shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen from Fig. 9b that the average values of the UTS, YS, and elongation of the remelted matrix are 90.7 MPa, 57.8 MPa, and 1.72 %, respectively. For the composite material without UT, its values increased to 149.2 MPa, 71.0 MPa, and 4.56 %, respectively. After UT was applied to the composite, the strength and elongation were further improved. When the UT time was 180 s, the UTS, YS, and elongation increased to 195.3 MPa, 86.5 MPa, and 7.62 %, respectively. The improvements were 115.4 %, 49.8 %, and 342.9 %, respectively, compared with the remelted matrix, and 30.9 %, 21.8 %, and 67.2 %, respectively, compared with the composite material without UT. However, when the
UT time continued to increase to 240 s, the composite’s UTS, YS, and elongation began to decrease.

The fracture surface of the remelted matrix shown in Fig. 10a has apparent cracks, pores, and a large number of coarse grape-like dendritic structures [22], which are the main reasons for its poor mechanical properties. On the fracture surface of the composite without UT shown in Fig. 10b, the dendritic structure disappeared, mainly including cleavage planes, cleavage steps, and coarse tear ridges, and there were few dimples around the tear ridges. Upon further observation, many small cracks are on the fracture surface, as shown in Fig. 10g. The EDS mapping analysis shows that the periphery of the cracks is agglomerates composed of TiB$_2$ and Cu-containing phases. The matrix around these agglomerates has minimal slip space, and its local deformation is more significant than the near-planar interface [23], which can be a source of cracks. Figs. 10c-f are the fracture morphologies of the ultrasonically treated composites, all showing a mixed fracture mechanism. The coarse

---

Fig. 4. EBSD orientation map of remelted matrix (a) and composites: (b) without UT, (c) UT for 60 s, (d) UT for 120 s, (e) UT for 180 s, (f) UT for 240 s.
tear ridges and cleavage planes on the fracture surface decrease, and the cleavage planes and dimples in small areas increase. When the UT time was 180 s, many small tearing ridges and dimples were distributed on the fracture surface. Dispersed and well-defined nano or submicron particles were found at the bottom of the dimples, as shown in Fig. 10, which were confirmed to be TiB$_2$ particles by EDS analysis (as shown in the inset). It shows that some TiB$_2$ particles enter the matrix and combine well with the matrix.

4. Discussion

4.1. The effect of TiB$_2$ particles on the evolution of microstructures

TiB$_2$ particles have a good crystal orientation relationship with Al, and the lattice mismatch is less than 5% [24], which can act as the nucleus for Al solidification and inhibit grain growth [25]. The relationship between the number of nucleation sites and grain size can be described as [18,26]:

$$D_{gs} = \frac{D\Delta T_n}{vQ} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_p}}$$

(1)

where $D_{gs}$ is the grain size, $D$ is the diffusion coefficient of solute atoms, $\Delta T_n$ is the additional undercooling required for nucleation, $v$ is the growth rate of $\alpha$-Al grains, $Q$ is the confinement factor of the grains, $f$ is the percentage of heterogeneous particles participating in the nucleation process, and $\rho$ is the number density of heterogeneous particles in the aluminum melt. This formula shows that when other conditions remain unchanged, the increase in the number of heterogeneous nucleation will promote grain refinement. The TiB$_2$ particles generated in the in situ reaction provide many heterogeneous nucleation sites for the melt, which leads to grain refinement.

However, not all TiB$_2$ particles will become $\alpha$-Al nuclei since there is a critical velocity at the solidification front below which particles will be pushed to the advancing front and agglomerate at the grain boundaries [27]. Although the TiB$_2$ particles at the grain boundaries can play the role of Zener pinning particles, which can prevent the migration of grain boundaries and further inhibit the growth of $\alpha$-Al grains [24], the TiB$_2$ clusters will hinder the flow of solute atoms such as Cu. In addition, the Al$_2$Cu phase and TiB$_2$ particles have good wettability. During the solidification process, the Al$_2$Cu phase and TiB$_2$ clusters will be entangled, forming a continuous and coarse second phase network at the grain boundary. Therefore, after the formation of TiB$_2$ particles, the content of Cu element in the grains decreases, and the area fraction of the second phase increases.

Fig. 5. Grain size distribution of remelted matrix (a) and composites: (b) without UT, (c) UT for 180 s. (d) The average grain size of the remelted matrix and composites with different UT times.
Fig. 6. SEM and OM images (inset) of remelted matrix (a) and composites: (b) without UT, (c) UT for 60 s, (d) UT for 120 s, (e) UT for 180 s, (f) UT for 240 s. (g) and (h) are the magnified SEM images and EDS elemental mapping analysis of the boxed areas A and B in (b) and (e), respectively.
Eq. (3) shows that the crystallite size $d$ and the microstrain $e$ can be calculated from the intercept and slope of the straight line fitted by the $4\sin \theta$ and $\beta \cos \theta$ values shown in Fig. 3b. Then, the dislocation densities of the remelted matrix, the composite without UT and with UT for 180 s can be calculated by Eq. (2) to be $5.157 \times 10^{13}$, $8.159 \times 10^{13}$, and $1.102 \times 10^{14}$ m$^{-2}$, respectively. It shows that the dislocation densities in the composites are significantly higher than that in the matrix, and the dislocation density of the composite after UT for 180 s is more than twice that of the matrix.

Existing studies have demonstrated that the T1 phase usually nucleates on dislocations [31,32]. The high density of dislocations in the composite provides additional heterogeneous nucleation sites for the T1 phase. It can notably reduce the critical energy for nucleation, promote the precipitation process of the T1 phase, and significantly increase the number density of the T1 phase [11]. Therefore, the diffraction peak of the T1 phase appears in the composite but is not evident in the matrix.

4.2. The effect of UT on the evolution of microstructures

It can be seen from the previous results that UT can not only refine grains but also change the microstructure of the second phase, refine particles and prevent particle agglomeration. It is generally considered that this is the synergistic effect of acoustic streaming and ultrasonic cavitation [21,33–35].

The possible mechanism of UT regulating the microstructure of composites is shown in Fig. 11. When ultrasonic vibration is introduced into the melt, due to the alternation of positive and negative acoustic pressures of ultrasonic waves, numerous tiny cavitation bubbles (bubble clouds) will be generated near the sound source [36]. At the same time, the acoustic pressure gradient formed when the acoustic wave propagates in the melt will cause eddy currents inside the melt to create an acoustic streaming effect, as shown by the curve with arrows in Fig. 11. On the one hand, the acoustic streaming can promote the melt flow, stir the melt, prevent the formation of coarse dendrites and particle clusters, and promote the diffusion of solute elements. On the other hand, acoustic streaming pushes the cavitation bubbles to move, and the cavitation bubbles merge or devour each other and grow continuously during the movement. In addition, the periodic variation of acoustic pressure also promotes the spontaneous growth of cavitation bubbles. Cavitation bubbles will collapse when their internal pressure reaches a specific value, and at the instant of collapse, a high-energy shock wave exceeding 1000 MPa and a micro-jet with a speed of about 100 m/s will be generated [37,38]. Shock waves and microjets will break up the growing dendrites and TiB$_2$ particle agglomerates and disperse the solute atoms that have aggregated at the grain boundaries. The detached branches will be transported several times by the recirculating acoustic streaming to the cavitation zone, where they will experience further fragmentation by imploding bubbles, producing small dendrite fragments that act as nuclei of the intermetallic phase in the subsequent solidification [35]. Dendrites fragmentation to non-dendrites will significantly reduce eutectic structure at grain boundaries during solidification, thereby refining the second phase structure [38]. At the same time, the shock wave and microjet will also wash the surface of the particles, strip the impurities on their surface, improve their wettability with the melt and involve them in the solidification process [39], further increasing heterogeneous nucleation.

With the increase of UT time, the number of dendrites and agglomerates that were broken and dispersed gradually increased, the number density of TiB$_2$ particles increased, the distribution of TiB$_2$ particles and solute atoms became more uniform, and the number of nucleated cores progressively increased so that the grains were steadily refined.

Fig. 7. (a) The area fraction of the second phase. (b) The number density of precipitated particles and (c) concentrations of Ti and Cu inside the grains.
Fig. 8. Morphology of TiB₂ particles in composites with different UT times: (a) 0 s, (b) 60 s, (c) 120 s, (d) 180 s, (e) 240 s. (f) EDS analysis of the particle indicated by the arrows in (d).
tiny TiB$_2$ particles and solute elements enter the interior of the α-Al grains. At the same time, the refinement and equiaxed distribution of grains will increase the grain boundary area, which provides more precipitation sites for the precipitation of Cu-containing phases, which is also beneficial in preventing the formation of coarse second-phase networks [21,40]. In addition, the dispersed second phase can also provide heterogeneous nucleation for the Al melt. Thus, the refinement of grains and the refinement of the second phase structure will promote each other. Therefore, with the increase of UT time, the area fraction of the coarse second phase network and its proportion in the entire second phase structure gradually decreased. In contrast, the number density of precipitated particles inside the grains increased, and the mass fraction of solute elements inside the grains increased accordingly. Moreover, the increased number density and uniform distribution of TiB$_2$ particles benefit the growth and uniform distribution of dislocations. Therefore, the Ti1 phase diffraction peak intensity rose in the composites with UT.

However, the effect of grain refinement and dispersion agglomeration is not entirely positively correlated with the UT time. When the UT exceeds a particular time, the melt and particles near the acoustic source will absorb too much heat, resulting in the energy of the dispersed second phase and TiB$_2$ particles rising and spontaneous agglomeration. At the same time, the ultrasonic acoustic streaming will force the particles to move to the acoustic pressure node. When the time is too long, the particles will gather at the low acoustic pressure position, thus weakening the effect of UT. In this study, the effect of UT became more significant with the increase of the range of time from 0 to 180 s, and it began to weaken after more than 180 s, so the optimal UT time was 180 s. The variation trend of grain size with UT time is consistent with the findings of Huang et al. [12].

### 4.3. The mechanism of UT and TiB$_2$ particles synergistic to improve mechanical properties

#### 4.3.1. Strength

The increase in YS of PRAMCs is usually attributed to the following aspects [11,22,41]: grain refinement ($\sigma_{\text{Refinement}}$), Orowan strengthening ($\sigma_{\text{Orowan}}$), CTE mismatch strengthening ($\sigma_{\text{CTE}}$), and load-bearing strengthening ($\sigma_{\text{Load}}$). The mechanical properties of the ultrasonically treated composites are further improved because UT not only refines the grains but also disperses the agglomerated TiB$_2$ particles and refines the size of the TiB$_2$ particles, which promotes the above four types of strengthening. The TiB$_2$ particles shown in Fig. 10h were at the bottom of the dimples and did not fall off, indicating that the TiB$_2$ particles entered the interior of the grains and were well combined with the matrix. When subjected to external loads, these dispersed TiB$_2$ particles will improve the ability of the matrix to resist deformation, thereby improving the material’s mechanical properties. Therefore, the improvement of mechanical properties results from the synergistic effect of UT and TiB$_2$ particles, and the possible mechanism is shown in Fig. 12.

The following formula can calculate the theoretical improvement of YS by various strengthening effects [42]:

$$\Delta \sigma = \Delta \sigma_{\text{Refinement}} + \Delta \sigma_{\text{Load}} + \left( \left( \Delta \sigma_{\text{Orowan}} \right)^2 + \left( \Delta \sigma_{\text{CTE}} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$  (4)

Then the predicted YS ($\sigma_{\text{predicted}}$) can be calculated by:

$$\sigma_{\text{predicted}} = \Delta \sigma + \sigma_n$$  (5)

where $\sigma_n = 57.8$ MPa is the YS of the matrix, which can be obtained from Fig. 9b.

The contribution of these strengthening mechanisms to the YS of the composite with UT for 180 s is analyzed below.

Both UT and the formation of TiB$_2$ particles will reduce the grain size. The Hall-Petch strengthening relationship shows that grain refinement can improve the YS of the material, and the relationship is as follows [43,44]:

$$\Delta \sigma_{\text{Refinement}} = k_{\text{DP}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_c}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_m}} \right)$$  (6)

where $k_{\text{DP}}$ is the corresponding strengthening coefficient of the material (68 MPa μm$^{1/2}$ for Al [45]), $d_c = 72μm$ is the grain size of the composite, and $d_m = 288μm$ is the grain size of the matrix, which can be obtained from Fig. 5, respectively.

The different CTEs between the matrix and reinforcing particles will lead to many dislocations at their interface during the composite’s solidification, as discussed in section 4.1. The increase in dislocation density will improve the YS, and the following formula can calculate its value [46,47]:

$$\Delta \sigma_{\text{CTE}} = \eta G_m \frac{12\Delta \alpha \Delta T V_p}{bd_p (1 - V_f)}$$  (7)

where $\eta$ is the strengthening coefficient (1.25 for Al [48,49]), $\Delta \alpha$ is the difference between the CTEs of the matrix and the reinforced particles, and the CTE values of the Al matrix and TiB$_2$ particle are $25.2 \times 10^{-6}$/K [46] and $7.8 \times 10^{-6}$/K [50], respectively, so $\Delta \alpha = 17.4 \times 10^{-6}$/K; $\Delta T$ is the difference between the pouring temperature and the test temperature [51], the pouring temperature is 993 K, and the test temperature is 298 K, so $\Delta T = 695$ K; $b$ is the Burger vector; $d_p$ is the average size of the reinforcing particles, about 200 nm in the composite with UT for 180 s. $V_p$ is the volume fraction of the reinforcing particles, and the mass fraction of 2% is converted into a volume fraction of 1.2%. $G_m$ is the shear modulus of the matrix, which can be calculated from the following formula [52]:

$$G_m = \frac{E_m}{2(1 + v)}$$  (8)

where $E_m = 32.21$ GPa is the elastic modulus of the matrix,
Fig. 10. Tensile fracture surface morphologies of remelted matrix (a) and composites: (b) without UT, (c) UT for 60 s, (d) UT for 120 s, (e) UT for 180 s, (f) UT for 240 s. (g) High magnification SEM image and EDS mapping analysis of composite without UT. (h) Magnified image of the boxed area A in (e).
obtained from tensile tests; $\nu = 0.33$ is the Poisson’s ratio of the matrix [50, 51]. Therefore, $G_m = 12.1$ GPa can be calculated.

Orowan strengthening comes from the dislocation motion and the interaction between reinforcing particles [52]. When the dislocation interacts with the hard TiB$_2$ particle, it is difficult for the dislocation to pass through the particle and can only bend around it, thus forming the Orowan bend [49]. When it encounters other hard particles, it will continue to bend, eventually forming a dislocation loop (Orowan loop) around the particle, as shown in Fig. 13. The TiB$_2$ particles thus form pinning points in the matrix, making it difficult for the surrounding dislocations to move, thereby increasing the strength [53].

In general, the Orowan strengthening mechanism is effective only when the size of the reinforcing particles is less than 1 µm [51]. As shown in Fig. 8d, the size of TiB$_2$ particles in the composite with UT for 180 s is mostly less than 1 µm, so Orowan strengthening should be considered when predicting YS. In addition, the strengthening effect of the Ti phase can also be described by this mechanism [11]. The contribution of Orowan strengthening to YS can be estimated by the Orowan-Ashby equation [52], as shown below:

$$\Delta \sigma_{\text{Orowan}} = 0.13G_m b \frac{d_p}{\ln \left( \frac{d_p}{a_p} \right)}$$

where $G_m$ is the shear modulus of the matrix, $b$ is the Burger vector, $d_p$ is the average size of the reinforcing particles, and $V_f$ is the volume fraction of the reinforcing particles.

Due to the excellent bonding and clean interface between the matrix and the in situ generated reinforcing particles, the load can be effectively transferred from the soft matrix to the harder TiB$_2$ particles when subjected to external loads, which is beneficial to the increase of YS [14]. The strength contribution of the load transfer effect can be predicted by the following expression [54, 55]:

$$\Delta \sigma_{\text{Load}} = 0.5V_f \sigma_{\text{YS}} S$$

where $\sigma_{\text{YS}}$ is the YS of the matrix, and $S$ (close to 1) is the aspect ratio of TiB$_2$ particles.

The above analysis has given the main parameters in the calculation Eqs. (4)-(10), and the contributions of various strengthening mechanisms to YS in the composite with UT for 180 s calculated based on these parameters are shown in Table 2, and the predicted YS value is close to the measured value ($\sigma_{\text{measured}}$). The CTE mismatch strengthening played a dominant role in these strengthening mechanisms, while other

---

**Fig. 11.** Schematic diagram of the possible mechanism of ultrasonic-assisted casting to improve the microstructure of composite materials.

**Fig. 12.** Schematic diagram of the synergistic strengthening mechanism of UT and TiB$_2$ particles.

**Fig. 13.** Schematic diagram of dislocation loop formation.
reinforcement mechanisms produced a minor contribution.

4.3.2. Ductility

The increase in strength and toughness of alloys are contradictory to a certain extent, and the rise in strength often accompanies the decrease in toughness. However, in this study, the composite material has better strength and ductility than the remelted matrix. On the one hand, defects such as porosities in the matrix may be formed by the precipitation of liquid hydrogen dissolved in the Al-Li alloy during solidification [56]. However, no apparent pores were found in the composite material, which may be related to the in-situ reaction and ultrasonic degassing. The in-situ reaction and ultrasonic cavitation will produce a large number of bubbles in the melt, and the hydrogen dissolved in the melt will diffuse into these cavitation bubbles under positive pressure and then form large bubbles and move upward, and finally float to the surface of the melt and escape [57,58], that is, the generation, growth, and flotation of bubbles will constantly remove hydrogen from the melt [59]. On the other hand, grain refinement can disperse the stress in more grains, which is beneficial to the uniformity of deformation [14]. The relationship between strain rate (ε) and grain size can be described by the following equation [51]:

\[ ε = \frac{m}{D_p} \left( \frac{F}{E} \right) \times 10^8 \]  

(11)

Where \( m \) is the effective diffusion coefficient, \( D_p \) is the grain size, \( F \) is the external force, and \( E \) is the elastic modulus. This formula shows that the strain rate is inversely proportional to the square of the grain size. Therefore, the strain rate increases with the decrease in grain size, and the deformation also increases accordingly, thus increasing the elongation. In addition, the increase in dislocation density in the composites promotes the precipitation of the T1 phase. In the Al-Cu-Li system, the T1 phase is considered an essential strengthening phase, which can simultaneously improve the strength and ductility of the material [60,61], and the precipitation of the T1 phase will be uniform with the uniform distribution of TiB₂ particles. The composite with UT for 180 s has the best ductility due to the smallest grain size and the most uniform distribution of TiB₂ particles. Therefore, UT improves the elongation of the composites, and the elongation is the best when the UT time is 180 s. The strength and elongation of TiB₂/2195 composites prepared by ultrasonic-assisted in-situ casting have significantly improved simultaneously, and they will have certain application prospects in aerospace applications requiring light weight and high strength.

5. Conclusions

2 wt.% TiB₂/2195 composites were prepared by mixed salt reaction under the argon atmosphere using ultrasonic-assisted in-situ casting technology. Based on the results and analysis, the main conclusions are as follows:

(1) The TiB₂ particles generated in situ provided a large amount of heterogeneous nucleation for the Al matrix, which refined the grains. The average grain size was reduced from 288 μm in the remelted matrix to 159 μm, and the refinement rate was 44.9 %. At the same time, TiB₂ particles significantly increased the dislocation density in the composite and promoted the precipitation of the T1 phase. However, the TiB₂ particles were seriously agglomerated with the second phase structure.

(2) The UT further refined the grains and improved the agglomeration of the second phase structure, the TiB₂ particles became fine and dispersed, and more TiB₂ particles and Cu-containing phases were dispersed inside the grains. Compared with the composite without UT, the grain size refinement rate was 54.7 %, the reduction rate of the coarse second phase network area fraction was 32.0 %, and the mass fraction of intragranular TiB₂ particles increased by 100.0 % when ultrasonically treated for 180 s.

(3) The synergistic effect of UT and TiB₂ particles significantly improved the mechanical properties of composites. The UTS, YS, and elongation of the composite with UT for 180 s were 195.3 MPa, 86.5 MPa, and 7.62 %, respectively, which were improved by 115.4 %, 49.8 %, and 342.9 %, respectively, compared with the remelted matrix. The main contribution to the increase in YS is the TiB₂ particles that strengthen the melt, and the improvement in ductility may come from precipitation of the T1 phase and grain refinement.
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