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Abstract

Energy system modelling can be used to assess the implications of different scenarios and support improved policymaking. However, access to data is often a barrier to energy system modelling, causing delays. Therefore, this article provides data that can be used to create a simple zero order energy system model for Philippines, which can act as a starting point for further model development and scenario analysis. The data are collected entirely from publicly available and accessible sources, including the websites and databases of international organizations, journal articles, and existing modelling studies. This means that the dataset can be easily updated based on the latest available information or more detailed and accurate local data. These data were also used to calibrate a simple energy system model using the Open Source Energy Modelling System (OSeMOSYS) and three stylized scenarios (Fossil Future, Least Cost and Net Zero by 2050) for 2020–2050. The assumptions used and results of these scenarios are presented in the appendix as an illustrative example of what can be done with these data. This simple model can be adapted and further developed by in-country analysts and academics, providing a platform for future work.

Specifications Table

| Subject                      | Energy                        |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Specific subject area        | Energy System Modelling       |
| Type of data                 | Tables, Graphs, Charts        |
| How data were acquired       | Description of modelling assumptions |
| Data format                  | Raw and Analysed              |
| Parameters for data collection | Data collected based on inputs required to create an energy system model for Philippines |
| Description of data collection | Data were collected from the websites, annual reports and databases of international organisations, as well as from academic articles and existing modelling databases. |
| Data source location         | Not applicable                |
| Data accessibility           | With the article and in a repository. Repository name: Zenodo. Data identification number: v1.0.0. Direct URL to data: [http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5139543](http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5139543) |

Value Of The Data

- These data can be used to develop national energy system models to inform national energy investment outlooks and policy plans, as well as provide insights on the evolution of the electricity supply system under different trajectories.
- The data are useful for country analysts, policy makers and the broader scientific community, as a zero-order starting point for model development.
- These data could be used to examine a range of possible energy system pathways, in addition to the examples given in this study, to provide further insights on the evolution of the country’s power system.
- The data can be used both for conducting an analysis of the power system but also for capacity building activities. Also, the methodology of translating the input data into modelling assumptions for a cost-optimization tool is presented here which is useful for developing a zero order Tier 2 national energy model [1]. This is consistent with U4RIA energy planning goals [2].

Data Description

The data provided in this paper can be used as input data to develop an energy system model for Philippines. As an illustration, these data were used to develop an energy system model using the cost-optimization tool OSeMOSYS for the period 2015-2050. For reference, that model is described in Appendix A and its datafiles are available as Supplementary Materials. Figure 1 shows a zero-
order model of the production of electricity by technology over the period 2020 to 2050 for a least cost energy future. This is purely illustrative. Using the data described in this article, the analyst can reproduce this, as well as many other scenarios, such as net-zero by 2050, in a variety of energy planning toolkits.

The data provided were collected from publicly available sources, including the reports of international organizations, journal articles and existing model databases. The dataset includes the techno-economic parameters of supply-side technologies, installed capacities, emissions factors and final electricity demands. Below shows the different items and their description, in order of appearance, presented in this article.

1.1 Existing Electricity Supply System

The total power generation capacity in Philippines is estimated at 24516.95 MW in 2018 [3,4,5,6]. The estimated existing power generation capacity is detailed in Table 1 below [3,4,5,6]. The methods used to calculate these estimates are described in more detail in Section 2.1.

Table 1: Installed Power Plants Capacity in Philippines [3,4,5,6]

| Electricity Generation Technology         | Estimated Installed Capacity (MW) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                          | 2015    | 2016    | 2017    | 2018    |
| Biomass Power Plant                      | 189.7   | 189.7   | 189.7   | 189.7   |
| Coal Power Plant                         | 10698.72| 10698.72| 10698.72| 10698.72|
| Geothermal Power Plant                   | 1918.8  | 1918.8  | 1918.8  | 1918.8  |
| Light Fuel Oil Power Plant               | 2709.47 | 2709.47 | 2709.47 | 2709.47 |
| Gas Power Plant (CCGT)                   | 4237.51 | 4237.51 | 4237.51 | 4237.51 |
| Gas Power Plant (SCGT)                   | 100.0   | 100.0   | 100.0   | 100.0   |
| Solar PV (Utility)                       | 818.0   | 818.0   | 818.0   | 818.0   |
| Large Hydropower Plant (Dam) (>100MW)    | 3393.9  | 3393.9  | 3393.9  | 3393.9  |
| Medium Hydropower Plant (10-100MW)      | 208.0   | 208.0   | 208.0   | 208.0   |
| Small Hydropower Plant (<10MW)           | 1.0     | 1.0     | 1.0     | 1.0     |
| Onshore Wind                             | 220.1   | 220.1   | 220.1   | 220.1   |
| Off-grid Solar PV                        | 0.47    | 0.86    | 0.88    | 1.16    |
| Off-grid Hydropower                      | 12.6    | 12.6    | 12.6    | 20.59   |
| Total Capacity                           | 24508.27| 24508.66| 24508.68| 24516.95|

1.2 Techno-economic Data for Electricity Generation Technologies

The techno-economic parameters of electricity generation technologies are presented in Table 2, including costs, operational lives, efficiencies and average capacity factors. Cost (capital and fixed), operational life and efficiency data are based on reports by the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) and the ASEAN Centre for Clean Energy (ACE) [7,8] and are applicable to South East Asia. Projected cost reductions for renewable energy technologies were estimated by applying the cost reduction trends from a 2021 IRENA report focussing on Africa [9] to these Asia-specific current cost estimates. These projections are presented in Table 3. The cost and performance of parameters of fossil electricity generation technologies are assumed constant over the modelling period. Country-specific capacity factors for solar PV, wind and hydropower technologies in Philippines were sourced from Renewables Ninja and the PLEXOS-World 2015 Model Dataset [3,10,11], as well as an NREL dataset [12]. Capacity factors for other technologies were sourced from IRENA and ACE [7] and are applicable to Asia. Average capacity factors were calculated for each technology and presented in the
The table below, with daytime (6am - 6pm) averages presented for solar PV technologies. For more information on the capacity factor data, refer to Section 2.1.

Table 2: Techno-economic parameters of electricity generation technologies [3,7,8,9,10,11,12]

| Technology                              | Capital Cost ($/kW in 2020) | Fixed Cost ($/kW/yr in 2020) | Operational Life (years) | Efficiency | Average Capacity Factor |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Biomass Power Plant                    | 2750.0                      | 69.0                          | 25                       | 0.38       | 0.7                    |
| Coal Power Plant                       | 1300.0                      | 52.0                          | 60                       | 0.3        | 0.75                   |
| Geothermal Power Plant                 | 2500.0                      | 100.0                         | 50                       | 0.1        | 0.7                    |
| Light Fuel Oil Power Plant             | 1200.0                      | 18.0                          | 50                       | 0.4        | 0.25                   |
| Oil Fired Gas Turbine (SCGT)           | 1344.0                      | 18.0                          | 50                       | 0.4        | 0.25                   |
| Gas Power Plant (CCGT)                 | 1000.0                      | 40.0                          | 30                       | 0.55       | 0.55                   |
| Gas Power Plant (SCGT)                 | 784.0                       | 23.0                          | 30                       | 0.35       | 0.55                   |
| Solar PV (Utility)                     | 1160.0                      | 15.08                         | 30                       | 1.0        | 0.19                   |
| CSP with Storage                       | 4965.31                     | 120.0                         | 35                       | 0.33       | 0.3                    |
| Large Hydropower Plant (Dam) (>100MW) | 1539.0                      | 46.17                         | 40                       | 1.0        | 0.26                   |
| Medium Hydropower Plant (10-100MW)    | 1592.86                     | 47.79                         | 40                       | 1.0        | 0.26                   |
| Small Hydropower Plant (<10MW)        | 2162.0                      | 64.86                         | 40                       | 1.0        | 0.26                   |
| Onshore Wind                           | 2220.09                     | 88.8                          | 30                       | 1.0        | 0.2                   |
| Offshore Wind                          | 2876.21                     | 115.05                        | 30                       | 1.0        | 0.23                   |
| Nuclear Power Plant                    | 5500.0                      | 138.0                         | 60                       | 0.33       | 0.83                   |
| Light Fuel Oil Standalone Generator (1kW) | 1500.0                      | 38.0                          | 20                       | 0.42       | 0.4                    |
| Solar PV (Distributed with Storage)    | 2130.8                      | 42.62                         | 24                       | 1.0        | 0.19                   |

Table 3: Projected costs of renewable energy technologies for selected years to 2050. [7,8,9]
1.3 Techno-economic Data for Power Transmission and Distribution

The combined losses in electricity transmission and distribution in Philippines are estimated based on an International Energy Agency (IEA) dataset presented by Index Mundi [13], which gives estimated combined losses in 2014. It was then assumed that combined losses would be reduced to 5% by 2050, falling in a linear fashion. Combined transmission and distribution efficiency in Philippines is therefore assumed to reach 93.0% and 95.0% in 2030 and 2050 respectively. The combined costs of power transmission and distribution are estimated based on a report by the Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA) [14], which gives cost estimates for several real-life projects in ASEAN. For more detail, see section 2. In the following table, the techno-economic parameters associated with the transmission and distribution network are presented.

| Technology                          | Capital Cost ($/kW) | Capital Cost ($/kW/yr, 2020) | Operational Life (years) | Combined Efficiency (2020) | Combined Efficiency (2030) | Combined Efficiency (2050) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Electricity Transmission and Distribution | 306.39              | 6.13                          | 50                       | 0.91                      | 0.93                     | 0.95                     |

1.4 Techno-economic Data for Refineries

Philippines has an estimated 292kb/d domestic refinery capacity [15]. In the OSeMOSYS model, two oil refinery technologies were made available for investment in the future, each with different output activity ratios for Heavy Fuel Oil (HFO) and Light Fuel Oil (LFO). The technoeconomic data for these technologies are shown in Table 5.

| Technology                   | Capital Cost ($/kW in 2020) | Variable Cost ($/GJ in 2020) | Operational Life (years) | Output Ratio        |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Crude Oil Refinery Option 1  | 24.1                         | 0.71775                      | 35                       | 0.9 LFO : 0.1 HFO   |
| Crude Oil Refinery Option 2  | 24.1                         | 0.71775                      | 35                       | 0.8 LFO : 0.2 HFO   |

1.5 Fuel Prices
Assumed costs are provided for both imported and domestically-extracted fuels. The fuel price projections until 2050 are presented below. These are estimates based on Asia-specific cost estimates produced by the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) and ERIA [17,18], with an international average biomass price in 2020 assumed for imported biomass [19]. More detail is provided in Section 2.2.

Table 6: Fuel price projections to 2050 [17,18,19]

| Commodity                  | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Crude Oil Imports          | 6.27 | 13.95| 15.12| 16.29| 19.84| 21.33|
| Crude Oil Extraction       | 5.7  | 12.68| 13.75| 14.81| 18.03| 19.39|
| Biomass Imports            | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 |
| Biomass Extraction         | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.34 |
| Coal Imports               | 2.38 | 3.03 | 3.09 | 3.15 | 3.53 | 3.61 |
| Coal Extraction            | 2.16 | 2.72 | 2.77 | 2.82 | 3.18 | 3.25 |
| Light Fuel Oil Imports     | 6.83 | 15.21| 16.49| 17.77| 21.64| 23.26|
| Heavy Fuel Oil Imports     | 5.99 | 13.3 | 14.43| 15.55| 18.94| 20.35|
| Natural Gas Imports        | 5.71 | 9.98 | 10.17| 10.37| 10.72| 10.75|
| Natural Gas Extraction     | 5.16 | 8.98 | 9.16 | 9.34 | 9.65 | 9.67 |

1.6 Emission Factors

Fossil fuel technologies emit several greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxides throughout their operational lifetime. In this analysis, only carbon dioxide emissions are considered. These are accounted for using carbon dioxide emission factors assigned to each fuel, rather than each power generation technology. The assumed emission factors are presented in Table 7.

Table 7: Fuel-specific CO2 Emission Factors [20]

| Fuel             | CO2 Emission Factor (kg CO2/GJ) |
|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Crude Oil        | 73.3                            |
| Biomass          | 100                             |
| Coal             | 94.6                            |
| Light Fuel Oil   | 69.3                            |
| Heavy Fuel Oil   | 77.4                            |
| Natural Gas      | 56.1                            |

1.7 Renewable and Fossil Fuel Reserves

Tables 8 and 9 show estimated domestic renewable energy potentials and fossil fuel reserves respectively in Philippines.

Table 8: Estimated Renewable Energy Potentials [12,21,22,23]
| Unit                      | Estimated Renewable Energy Potential |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Solar PV (LCOE <$150/MWh) | TWh/yr 2695                          |
| Onshore Wind (LCOE <$150/MWh) | TWh/yr 442.8                         |
| Offshore Wind            | TWh/yr 1097.03                        |
| Medium & Large Hydropower | MW 10500                              |
| Small Hydropower (<10 MW) | MW 2021                               |
| Geothermal               | MW 4000                               |

Table 9: Estimated Fossil Fuel Reserves [17]

| Proven Reserves                  |
|----------------------------------|
| Coal (million tonnes)            | 491.0                               |
| Crude Oil (billion barrels)      | 0.04                                |
| Natural Gas (trillion cubic metres) | 3.4                               |

1.8 Electricity Demand Projection

Final electricity demand in Philippines was estimated at 266.85 PJ in 2016 and is forecasted to reach 442.84 PJ by 2030 and 841.56 PJ by 2050 in a Business as Usual (BAU) scenario according to the APEC Energy Supply and Demand Outlook 7th Edition [17]. Figure 4 below shows the final electricity demand projection.

Experimental Design, Materials, And Methods

Data were primarily collected from the reports and websites of international organizations, including the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC), the Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA), the International Energy Agency (IEA), and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The data sources used are detailed in this section.

2.1 Electricity Supply System Data

Data on Philippines’s existing on-grid power generation capacity, presented in Table 1, were extracted from the PLEXOS World dataset [3,4,5] using scripts from OSeMOSYS global model generator [24]. PLEXOS World provides estimated capacities and commissioning dates by power plant, based on the World Resources Institute Global Power Plant database [5]. These data were used to estimate installed capacity in future years based on the operational life data in Table 2. Data on Philippines’s off-grid renewable energy capacity were sourced from yearly capacity statistics produced by IRENA [6]. Cost, efficiency and operational life data in Table 2 were collected from reports by IRENA and ACE [7,8], which provide estimates for these parameters by technology in ASEAN and other Asian countries. The costs of renewable energy technologies are expected to fall in the future. In order to calculate estimated cost reductions in the region, technology-specific cost reduction trends from a very recent IRENA report focussing on Africa [9] were applied to the current Asia-specific cost estimates [7,8]. For offshore wind, the cost reduction trend was instead taken from a technology-specific IRENA report on the future of wind [25] since it is not featured in [9]. The resulting cost projections are presented in Table 3 and Figure 2. It is assumed that costs fall linearly between the data points provided by IRENA and that costs remain constant beyond 2040 when the IRENA forecasts end (except for offshore wind, where the IRENA forecast continues to 2050). Fixed costs for renewable energy technologies in each year were estimated by calculating a certain percentage (ranging from 1-4% depending on the technology) of the capital cost in that year, as done by IRENA [9].

Country-specific capacity factors for solar PV, onshore wind and hydropower were sourced from Renewables Ninja and the PLEXOS-World 2015 Model Dataset [3,10,11]. These sources provide hourly capacity factors for 2015 for solar PV and wind, and 15-year average monthly capacity factors for hydropower, the average values of which are presented in Table 2. Country-specific capacity
factors for offshore wind were estimated based on an NREL source that gives estimates of the potential wind power capacity by capacity factor range in each country [22], from which a capacity-weighted average was calculated. The capacity factor data were also used to estimate capacity factors for 8 time slices used in the OSeMOSYS model (see detail in Annex 1). Capacity factors for other technologies were sourced from a report by IRENA [7], which provides estimated capacity factors for ASEAN. The combined capital costs of power transmission and distribution are estimated based on an ERIA report which gives estimated capital costs for 9 projects in ASEAN [14], with an average value used. The fixed operational cost is assumed to be 2% of the estimated capital cost, as done by ERIA [14]. The combined losses of transmission and distribution in 2014 were sourced from IEA data [13], and it was then assumed that combined losses would fall to 5% by 2050 in a linear fashion from 2014. Techno-economic data for refineries were sourced from the IEA Energy Technology Systems Analysis Programme (ETSAP) [16], which provides generic estimates of costs and performance parameters, while the refinery options modelled are based on the methods used in The Electricity Model Base for Africa [26].

2.2 Fuel Data
Fuel prices for crude oil, diesel, fuel oil, natural gas and coal were taken from the APEC Energy Outlook 7th Edition [17], which provides cost estimates by fuel from 2016 to 2050. APEC provide different natural gas and coal prices for net importers, exporters, and neutral countries, with the relevant prices used for the country. The domestic biomass price was estimated from an ERIA report that gives a local average in Thailand [18], since this was the most region-specific cost estimate that could be sourced. The imported biomass price is an international average taken from a 2021 biomass markets report by Argus Media [19].

2.3 Emissions Factors and Domestic Reserves
Emissions factors were collected from the IPCC Emission Factor Database [20], which provides carbon emissions factors by fuel. The domestic solar PV and onshore wind potentials were collected from an NREL report which provides estimated potential yearly generation with an LCOE under $150/MWh [21], while the offshore wind potential was sourced from an NREL dataset which provides estimates of potential yearly generation by country [12]. Other renewable energy potentials were sourced from a regional report [22] and the World Small Hydropower Development Report [23], which provide estimated potentials by country. Estimated domestic fossil fuel reserves were sourced from the APEC Energy Outlook 7th Edition [17], which provides estimates of reserves by country.

2.4 Electricity Demand Data
The final electricity demand projection is based on the BAU projection from the APEC Energy Outlook 7th Edition [17], which provides total demand estimates for every five years from 2015 to 2050, with demand assumed to change linearly between these data points.
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