Is economic environment associated with the physical activity levels and obesity in Chinese adults? A cross-sectional study of 30 regions in China
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Abstract

Background: Based on the 2014 survey of physical activity and physical fitness data of 20 – 69 year old Chinese, this study aims to investigate the relationship between economic development and people's physical activity in China.

Methods: A total of 43,389 adults from 30 different regions in mainland China were recruited. The GDP per capita of the 30 regions were determined based on the 2013 annual statistical report released by the national bureau of statistics of China and provincial level statistics bureaus. A questionnaire was used to determine the participants' exercise frequency, duration, and intensity.

Results: For the 30 regions surveyed, the correlation coefficients between GDP per capita and weekly activity were 0.23 for men and 0.15 for women. The correlation coefficients between GDP per capita and obesity rates were 0.52 for men and 0.39 for women.

Conclusions: Although people in economically advanced regions in China currently engage in more physical activities than those in less economically developed regions, overweight and obesity persist as serious problems.
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Background

Regular physical activity helps maintain general health and aids in preventing chronic diseases such as obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease [1, 2]. However, the average weekly physical activity levels of Chinese adults decreased by 32% from 1991 to 2006. By 2006, only 13.2% and 8.4% male and female adults, respectively, regularly engage in physical activities [3]. Research has shown that in 2014, 14.7% adults above 20 years old exercise regularly (i.e., at least thrice per week for at least 30 min per session at moderate intensity). Thus, the manner in which the physical activity levels of Chinese adults can be increased is imperative to prevent chronic diseases and improve overall quality of life.

Researchers have long focused on the factors that affect people's physical activity levels. Early studies have explored individual factors such as gender, age, educational level, smoking status, socio-economic status, and self-efficiency [4, 5]. However, recent research has shifted focus from the interrelationships among multiple factors to the broad examination of environment, society, and policy. Environmental factors include physical, economic, political, and cultural environments. These factors can be studied at the micro- (family and school), meso- (community), and macro-levels (city and state) [6]. However, most studies have adapted the micro-level perspective, whereas comparatively few have addressed this issue on a macro-level [7, 8].

The economic environment is one of the most significant macro-level factors. Traditional economic theory identifies the economic status of a country or city through singular and broad-brush indicators such as GDP, GDP per capita, and household income, as well as...
Engel and Kyril coefficients. Economic progress provides citizens with the conditions for enhanced exercise opportunities, but it does not necessarily increase people’s physical fitness levels.

Studies have identified the relationships between GDP and physical activity levels. Data from Europe have indicated the positive correlation between GDP and physical leisure activity levels of 27 EU countries [9]. Another study of 76 countries found that more economically advanced and urbanized countries have a greater population of citizens with insufficient exercise [10]. A further study of 38 countries also indicated that GDP is negatively correlated with the population’s physical activity levels [11]. These varying results suggest the absence of a simple negative or positive correlation between economic environment and physical activity levels. This finding is attributed to the different types of physical activities (leisure physical activity versus overall physical activity) and countries studied (developing and developed countries).

Some research examined correlations of macro-level factors (such as GDP and unemployment rates) with body mass index (BMI) and obesity. Different regions and different economic statuses vary this correlation [12]. For example, one study showed that in countries with a GDP per capita of below USD 3000, GDP and BMI have a positive correlation ($r = 0.567$). If the GDP per capita is over USD 3000, GDP and BMI showed no significant correlations [13].

China is a large country with immense variations between eastern and western regions and between urban and rural areas. Neither economic progress and status nor physical activity levels are uniform across the country. Research has shown that 28.9% of adults in rural areas participate in leisure exercise activities, whereas it is only 7.9% in urban areas [14]. The obesity rates across all age groups and regions in China increase at different rates [15]. Research that addresses the following questions: are regional variations between physical activity levels and obesity rates correlated with varying economic environments, and is economic progress associated with the people’s physical activity levels, remain scant.

Based on the 2014 survey of physical activity and physical fitness data of 20−69 year old Chinese, this study analyzed the relationship between these data and regional economic status to provide nationally representative findings and to aid policy makers with evidence-based recommendations.

**Methods**

**Participants**

China, a country with a vast territory, has great geographic and economical differences among the eastern, central, and western regions and between the urban and rural areas. A complex, stratified, multistage probability cluster sampling design was applied to recruit participants. In the current study, three provinces were randomly chosen from the eastern, central, and western regions of China. Beijing was also included in the survey because it is the capital. In summary, 10 provinces (autonomous region or municipality directly under the central government) were included in the study (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Three regions were extracted as (Categories I, II, and III) in each province according to economic development. Similar sampling methods were applied in some national physical activity and fitness survey in China, which were introduced in detail in a previous study [16]. In the 2010 national survey, Category I regions have a total population of 60.85 million, Category II has 42.85 million, and Category III has 22.93 million people. In 2013, the GDP per capita of Categories I, II, and III regions are CNY 94,812, 48,949, and 41,098, respectively. Great differences between the three category regions are evident. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the test. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of China Institute of Sport Science.

The participants were divided into three groups based on their age (20−39, 40−59, and 60−69 years old). A total of 43,389 adults were recruited, of which 15,464 (51.45% male and 48.55% female) were from Category I regions, 13,363 (51.17% male and 48.83% female) from Category II, and 14,562 (51.11% male and 48.80% female) from Category III (Table 2). Table 2 shows the sample distribution.

**Measurements**

The National Bureau of Statistics of China and provincial level statistics bureaus released the 2013 annual statistical report, which contains the GDP per capita of the 30 regions. This data was used to indicate the economic development status of individual regions. A modified Godin-Shephard leisure-time physical activity questionnaire in Chinese was used to measure physical activity [17]. Validity and reliability tests were conducted before the survey, for which 52 Chinese adults were recruited. A total of 52 Chinese adults were invited to complete the questionnaire twice with 2 weeks apart to determine the 2-week test-retest reliability. In addition, 52 participants were invited to wear Actigraph accelerometer for 7 days. The 2-week test-retest reliability and correlation coefficient between counts measured by accelerometer and weekly leisure-time activity scores obtained by the questionnaire were 0.87 and 0.46. The participants’ results were assessed against American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) standards to determine whether they were getting sufficient exercise (i.e., 150 min moderate exercise per week) [18]. Following the manual of the Godin-Shephard leisure-time physical activity questionnaire, the weekly physical activity score was calculated.
### Table 1 The 30 regions studied in the current study

| Provinces and cities | Category I | Category II | Category III |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Beijing (BJ)         | Dongcheng (DF) | Changping (CP) | Fangshan (FS) |
| Guangdong (GF)       | Guangzhou (GZ)  | Shantou (ST) | Shaoguan (SG) |
| Zhejiang (ZJ)        | Hangzhou (HZ)  | Ningbo (NB) | Quzhou (QZ) |
| Hubei (HB)           | Wuhan (WH)    | Jinzhou (JZ) | Enshi (ES) |
| Shandong (SD)        | Jinan (JN)    | Qingdao (QD) | Jinin (JN) |
| Jilin (JL)           | Changchun (CC)| Jinin (JL) | Yanbian (YB) |
| Chongqing (CQ)       | Yubei (YB)    | Yongchuan (YC) | Fengdu (FD) |
| Yunnan (YN)          | Kunming (KM)  | Pu'er (PE)  | Diqing (DQ) |
| Inner Mongolia (IM)  | Hohhot (HHHT) | Hulunbeier (HLBE) | Bayannaoer (BYNE) |
| Gansu (GS)           | Lanzhou (LZ)  | Tianshui (TS) | Wuwei (WW) |

Fig. 1 The 30 regions studied in the current study
as (9× weekly high-intensity exercise time) + (5× weekly moderate-intensity exercise time) + (3× weekly low-intensity exercise time) [17].

Each participant’s body mass and height were measured by trained testers to calculate BMI, through dividing body mass (kg) by height in meters squared (m$^2$). A BMI higher than 24 kg/m$^2$ was regarded overweight, whereas a BMI higher than 28 kg/m$^2$ was defined as obese [19].

Descriptive data were presented as mean and standard deviation. Chi-squared test was applied to compare the categorical variables. Logistic regression was used to calculate odd ratios of being physically inactive and obese in Categories I, II, and III regions. All statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS 20.0 software program.

**Results**

The findings showed that the majority of participants surveyed failed to sufficiently exercise. The number of participants who reached the adequate amount of 150 min of moderate physical activity per week was 19.2% for males and 21.0% for females. The comparison results of the BMI and physical activity scores showed that participants from Category I regions have increased physical activity levels and weights than their counterparts in Categories II and III regions (Table 3). The findings presented great regional variations in physical activity levels. Regardless of gender and age groups, Category I regions showed a higher ratio of participants who engaged in adequate amounts of physical activity compared to Categories II and III regions. In most age groups, Category II participants have increased ratios of adequate physical activity levels than Category III participants. Within the 20 – 39 age group, men have a higher ratio of adequate physical activity compared with women, whereas women performed better than men in the 40 – 59 and the 60 – 69 age groups.

The enthusiasm of Chinese citizens toward physical activity increased with age. Only 16.2% of male participants aged 20 – 39 reached the adequate activity levels, with females at 13.2%. In the 40 – 59 age group, males and females achieved 20.2% and 26.1%, respectively. Participants from the 60 – 69 age group obtained 29.2% and 35.2% for males and females, respectively (Table 4).

Within the 30 regions surveyed, the correlation between GDP per capita and weekly activity levels in males was $r = 0.23$ and $r = 0.15$ in females (Fig. 1). Compared with Categories II and III, the participants in Category I have higher tendencies to not reach adequate activity levels (Category II was 55% more likely than Category I, and Category III was 71% more likely). Category III participants were 11% more likely to not reach adequate activity levels compared with Category II (Fig. 2 and Table 5).

Table 6 shows the status of the overweight participants in the survey. Over half of the males and more than 40% of the females were considered overweight. Across all age groups, Category I participants indicates

| Table 2 Basic information of the participants |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Male                                        |
| 20-39 age group | 40-59 age group | 60-69 age group | Total |
| Category I  | 3851 (48.4%)  | 3328 (41.8%)  | 778 (9.8%)  | 7957 (100%) |
| Category II | 3273 (47.9%)  | 2876 (42.1%)  | 690 (10.1%) | 6839 (100%) |
| Category III | 3532 (47.5%) | 3142 (42.2%)  | 768 (10.3%) | 7442 (100%) |
| Female                                           |
| 20-39 age group | 40-59 age group | 60-69 age group | Total |
| Category I  | 3664 (48.8%)  | 3082 (41.0%)  | 762 (10.1%) | 7508 (100%) |
| Category II | 3044 (46.7%)  | 2788 (42.7%)  | 693 (10.6%) | 6525 (100%) |
| Category III | 3340 (46.9%) | 3037 (42.7%)  | 743 (10.4%) | 7120 (100%) |

| Table 3 Comparison of basic information of the participants (mean ± SD) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Category I | Category II | Category III | Sig. |
| GDP per capital (CNY) | 94,811.7 ± 38,694.3 | 48,948.6 ± 28,553.5 | 41,098.2 ± 24,704.3 | P < 0.01 |
| Men | Age (y) | 40.8 ± 13.1 | 40.9 ± 13.1 | 41.1 ± 13.1 | P > 0.05 |
| | BMI (kg/m$^2$) | 24.7 ± 3.5 | 24.2 ± 3.4 | 24.2 ± 3.4 | P < 0.01 |
| | PA score | 62.8 ± 43.8 | 60.3 ± 43.8 | 55.2 ± 43.6 | P < 0.01 |
| Women | Age (y) | 40.9 ± 13.2 | 41.4 ± 13.1 | 41.1 ± 13.0 | P > 0.05 |
| | BMI (kg/m$^2$) | 23.7 ± 3.5 | 23.3 ± 3.5 | 23.3 ± 3.5 | P < 0.01 |
| | PA score | 60.8 ± 41.3 | 58.4 ± 41.3 | 53.2 ± 41.8 | P < 0.01 |

Note: BMI body mass index, PA physical activity
a higher ratio of overweight participants compared with Categories II and III. This trend showed statistical significance in every measurement group except in 60−69 females, where the differences were insignificant.

Table 7 presents the status of obesity in the participants surveyed, in which 14.8% of males and 10.5% of females were considered obese. Among the males, the 20−39 and 40−59 age groups reported higher ratios of obesity than the 60−69 age group. In females, the 20−39 age group showed significantly less obesity ratios compared with older age groups. Category I obesity rates were significantly higher than those of Categories II and Category III. In the 60−69 age group, no significant difference was found among the three Categories.

Figure 3 shows the correlation between GPD per capita and obesity rates \( (r = 0.52 \text{ for males and } r = 0.39 \text{ for females}) \). Using logistic regression, Categories II and III regions exhibited lower risks of obesity (0.78 and 0.73, respectively) compared with Category I regions. No significant difference was found in risk of obesity between Categories II and III regions (Fig. 3 and Table 8).

**Discussion**

This study is one of the few nationwide, evidence-based research studies on the macro-level correlation among economic environment and physical activity and obesity/overweight levels of Chinese adults. Despite the Chinese government’s encouragement for people to engage in increased physical activities through the 1995 “National Fitness Plan”, the current physical activity levels among the population 20 years later remain inadequate. This study showed that only approximately 20% of Chinese adults obtain the adequate amount of physical activity per week (150 min at moderate intensity). Younger Chinese adults are far less active than older adults (with the share of active adults in the 20−39 age group at 16.2% for males and 13.2% for females compared with the share of active adults in the 60−69 age group at 29.2% for males and 35.2% for females). This disparity can be explained by the fact that elderly Chinese have more leisure time to participate in physical activity after retirement. Nevertheless, this finding calls for urgent and radical action to promote and increase future physical activity levels in the country.

The current study found that the ratio of people achieving adequate physical activity levels is higher in Category I regions than in Categories II or III regions. Furthermore, a weak positive correlation between GDP per capita and total amount of physical activity was found. This result is in accordance with European findings, in which data were collected from 27 European member states, and leisure time physical activity was found to be significantly associated with GDP and real income.

**Table 4** The number and percentages of participants who exercise more than 150 min per week

|            | Category I | Category II | Category III | Sum   | P       |
|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| Male       |            |             |              |       |         |
| 20−39 age group | 711 (18.5%) | 463 (14.2%) | 539 (15.4%)  | 1713 (16.2%) | P < 0.01 |
| 40−59 age group | 859 (25.8%) | 546 (19.1%) | 480 (15.3%)  | 1885 (20.2%) | P < 0.01 |
| 60−69 age group | 309 (38.8%) | 190 (26.4%) | 178 (22.3%)  | 677 (29.2%)  | P < 0.01 |
| Sum        | 1879 (23.6%) | 1199 (17.5%) | 1197 (16.1%) | 4275 (19.2%) | P < 0.01 |
| Female     |            |             |              |       |         |
| 20−39 age group | 608 (16.7%) | 309 (10.2%) | 402 (12.1%)  | 1319 (13.2%) | P < 0.01 |
| 40−59 age group | 1031 (33.4%) | 666 (23.9%) | 620 (20.6%)  | 2317 (26.1%) | P < 0.01 |
| 60−69 age group | 361 (46.1%) | 247 (34.1%) | 199 (25.3%)  | 807 (35.2%)  | P < 0.01 |
| Sum        | 2000 (26.6%) | 1222 (18.7%) | 1221 (17.2%) | 4443 (21.0%) | P < 0.01 |

Note: GDP per capita: Category I > Category II > Category III

**Table 5** The odds ratio of being physical inactive in different regions compared with reference regions

| Reference regions | Targeted regions | OR       |
|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| Category I        | Category II      | 1.55 (95%CI:1.46-1.64) |
| Category I        | Category III     | 1.71 (95%CI:1.62-1.81) |
| Category II       | Category III     | 1.11 (95%CI:1.05-1.18) |

Note: OR Odds Ratio; 95%CI:95% Confidence Interval
Age and gender were adjusted in the analysis
Note: GDP per capita: Category I > Category II > Category III
GDP [9]. Thus, we can conclude from this finding that residents are more likely to engage in physical activities in better economic environments. However, some studies have reported a negative correlation between GDP and physical activity levels. Economic progress does not necessarily increase physical activity levels [10, 11]. This finding may be attributed to the development status of the countries studied. In developing countries experiencing slight economic progress and scientific and technological advancements, mechanized industrialization decrease the amount of occupational physical activity in the population. At this point, total physical activity is reduced, yet the population still fails to afford leisure physical activities. With further economic progress resulting in less working hours and more disposable income, people can increase their leisure physical activities. After 30 years of economic reform, China’s overall economic status dramatically increased. In the more economically advanced big regions and the Eastern region, people have more opportunities and financial capabilities to engage in leisure physical activities compared with those in less economically developed regions and rural regions. China’s current economic status supports the results of this study on the weak positive correlation between GPD per capita and physical activity levels.

Obesity and overweight has become serious public health issues in China. This study found that over half of the male population is overweight (among them 15% are considered obese) and over 40% of the females are overweight (among them 10% are considered obese). This study showed that although Category I participants spent more time on physical activities, the obesity and overweight ratio remained higher than that of Categories II and III regions. Previous research has found that when GDP per capita is over USD 3000, no significant correlation is observed between GDP and obesity rates. Most of the regions investigated in the present study have a GDP per capita of over USD 3000. Despite this condition, the current study observed a positive correlation between GDP and BMI and obesity rates. This finding suggests that as a country experiences economic growth, its obesity rates also increases. This result can be attributed to the higher caloric intake of people living in economically advanced regions than their poorer, rural counterparts.

The relation between economic progress and obesity rate has attracted much interest in recent years. People believe that obesity and overweight are diseases of affluence [20]. Higher income leads to greater food supply and caloric intake, as well as lowered physical activity. This trend is noted in countries with poor economic

| Table 6 | The number and percentages of overweight participants |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|         | Category I | Category II | Category III | Total | P          |
| Male    |            |             |              |       |            |
| 20-39 age group | 1707 (53.9%) | 1221 (47.2%) | 1293 (46.3%) | 4221 (49.4%) | P < 0.01 |
| 40-59 age group | 1636 (61.5%) | 1257 (55.9%) | 1310 (56.7%) | 4203 (58.2%) | P < 0.01 |
| 60-69 age group | 379 (53.5%)  | 275 (47.8%)  | 291 (45.4%)  | 945 (48.8%)  | P < 0.05 |
| Sum     | 3722 (56.8%) | 2753 (50.9%) | 2894 (50.4%) | 9369 (52.9%) | P < 0.01 |
| Female  |            |             |              |       |            |
| 20-39 age group | 889 (30.1%)  | 579 (24.0%)  | 665 (26.6%)  | 2133 (27.1%) | P < 0.01 |
| 40-59 age group | 1281 (54.5%) | 1085 (50.4%) | 1131 (52.1%) | 3497 (52.4%) | P < 0.05 |
| 60-69 age group | 400 (59.3%)  | 320 (57.7%)  | 305 (55.2%)  | 1025 (57.5%) | P > 0.05 |
| Sum     | 2570 (43.0%) | 1985 (38.7%) | 2101 (40.2%) | 6656 (40.8%) | P < 0.01 |

Note: BMI $\geq$ 24 kg/m$^2$ was defined as overweight
GDP per capita: Category I > Category II > Category III

| Table 7 | The number and percentages of obese participants |
|---------|------------------------------------------------|
|         | Category I | Category II | Category III | Total | P          |
| Male    |            |             |              |       |            |
| 20-39 age group | 584 (18.4%)  | 374 (14.5%)  | 362 (13.0%)  | 1320 (15.4%) | 0.000 |
| 40-59 age group | 477 (17.9%)  | 297 (13.2%)  | 311 (13.5%)  | 1085 (15.0%) | 0.000 |
| 60-69 age group | 85 (11.8%)   | 61 (10.6%)   | 64 (10.0%)   | 210 (10.8%)  | 0.565 |
| Sum     | 1146 (17.5%) | 732 (13.5%)  | 736 (12.8%)  | 2614 (14.8%) | 0.000 |
| Female  |            |             |              |       |            |
| 20-39 age group | 232 (7.9%)   | 144 (6.0%)   | 130 (5.2%)   | 506 (6.4%)   | 0.000 |
| 40-59 age group | 356 (15.1%)  | 282 (13.1%)  | 284 (13.1%)  | 922 (13.8%)  | 0.068 |
| 60-69 age group | 118 (17.5%)  | 92 (16.6%)   | 82 (14.8%)   | 292 (16.4%)  | 0.443 |
| Sum     | 706 (11.8%)  | 518 (10.1%)  | 496 (9.5%)   | 1720 (10.5%) | 0.000 |

Note: BMI $\geq$ 28 kg/m$^2$ was defined as obesity
GDP per capita: Category I > Category II > Category III
environments. In more economically developed countries, the BMI of females in high socio-economic groups are actually lower than females in low income groups [21]. This finding can be attributed to low income families opting for cheap processed foods with high sugar and energy content as opposed to more expensive fresh food such as fruits and vegetables. Hence, people in lower socio-economic groups are more at risk of obesity and overweight due to increased caloric intake [22]. Cross-sectional studies have shown that in low-income countries, obesity rates increase with economic progress, whereas in medium-income countries, obesity rates and economic progress are slightly negatively correlated; furthermore, a negative correlation between income and body weight (especially among women) is noted in high-income developed countries [20]. Economically, China is currently moving from a low-to a medium-income developing country. This movement can explain the higher rates of obesity in more economically advanced Category I regions as opposed to Categories II and III regions.

However, some recent longitudinal studies have exhibited inconsistent results. Early studies have shown that during periods of economic decline and financial depression, the people's mental stress levels increase, families break down, and people take on unhealthy habits, which increased mental illness. Consequently, deaths due to cardiovascular disease and suicide increase. By contrast, during periods of accelerated economic progress, stress and intensity from work increased, and working hours. These conditions take time and attention away from physical exercise, there by taking a toll on health [23]. In recent years, studies that have focused on periods of economic decline showed that the overall BMI of populations also decrease. This trend is observed in various income demographics. These results are inconsistent with the trends mentioned before [24]. Hence, increased research is necessary to elucidate the relationships among economic environment, obesity rates, and physical activity levels.

The advantage of the current study is its relatively large sample size and it can be representative of China’s overall situation. The results reflect China's current inadequacies in terms of economic progress, obesity rates, and physical activity levels, and more importantly, the relationships among these three factors. This study is limited by its cross-sectional nature; thus, it failed to make conclusions about cause and effect over time and to conduct in-depth investigations into the mechanisms of these interrelationships.

**Conclusion**

At present, although people in economically advanced regions in China engage in more physical activities than those in less economically developed regions, the problems of overweight and obesity persist as serious issues requiring immediate action.
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**Table 8** The odds ratio being obese in adults in different regions compared with reference regions

| Reference regions | Targeted regions | OR      |
|-------------------|------------------|---------|
| Category I        | Category II      | 0.78 (95%CI: 0.72-0.84) |
| Category I        | Category III     | 0.73 (95%CI: 0.68-0.79) |
| Category II       | Category III     | 0.94 (95%CI: 0.87-1.02) |

Note: OR: Odds Ratio; 95%CI:95% Confidence Interval
Age and gender were adjusted in the analysis
GDP per capita: Category I > Category II > Category III

---

**Fig. 3** Scatter chart of GDP per capita and prevalence of obesity in the 30 regions. Note: obesity was defined as BMI higher than 28 kg/m²
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