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Abstract

Nanostructures of transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDC) have raised scientific interest in the last few decades. Tungsten disulfide (WS²) nanotubes and nanoparticles are among the most extensively studied members in this group, and are used for, e.g., polymer reinforcement, lubrication and electronic devices. Their biocompatibility and low toxicity make them suitable for medical and biological applications. One potential application is photothermal therapy (PTT), a method for the targeted treatment of cancer, in which a light-responsive material is irradiated with a laser in the near-infrared range. In the current article we present WS² nanotubes functionalized with previously reported ceric ammonium nitrate–maghemite (CAN-mag) nanoparticles, used for PTT. Functionalization of the nanotubes with CAN-mag nanoparticles resulted in a magnetic nanocomposite. When tested in vitro with two types of cancer cells, the functionalized nanotubes showed a better PTT activity compared to non-functionalized nanotubes, as well as reduced aggregation and the ability to add a second-step functionality. This ability is demonstrated here with two polymers grafted onto the nanocomposite surface, and other functionalities could be additional cancer therapy agents for achieving increased therapeutic activity.

Introduction

In 1992, Prof. Reshef Tenne reported the synthesis of cylindrical and polyhedral nanostructures of tungsten disulfide (WS²) [1]. These nanostructures are composed of triple-layer units, where a hexagonal layer of tungsten atoms is sandwiched between two hexagonal sulfur layers. WS² belongs to a family of compounds called transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), with a general formula of MX₂ (M = W, Mo and X = S, Se, Te) and a similar structure based on triple-layers.
Good mechanical properties of WS\(_2\) inorganic nanotubes (INTs; up to 15 µm length, 100 nm diameter) and inorganic fullerene-like nanoparticles (IFs) were reported in multiple literature sources [2-8], making them an excellent alternative to carbon nanotubes as additives for the mechanical enforcement of polymeric matrices [9-17].

An important advantage of WS\(_2\) (and of other TMDCs) nanostructures over their carbon equivalents is the low toxicity and biocompatibility, enabling their use for medical applications. Preliminary studies on rats with WS\(_2\) INTs and IFs showed no apparent toxic reaction after oral administration [18], inhalation [19], or dermal application [20]. More recent studies conducted on rhenium-doped MoS\(_2\) nanoparticles showed no acute toxic risk, neither by oral administration nor by dermal application [21,22]. A few years ago, Teo et al. compared the cytotoxicity of exfoliated MoS\(_2\), WS\(_2\), and WSe\(_2\) to that of their carbon equivalent and found the toxicity of the former to be lower [23]. Wu et al. produced biocompatible MoS\(_2\) nanoparticles by a pulsed laser ablation technique [24]. Examples of medical applications with TMDC nanostructures are their addition as reinforcing agents to polymers for bone-tissue engineering, and their incorporation in dental devices [25-32]. Another important medical application for nanostructures in general, and for TMDC nanostructures in particular, is targeted cancer treatment through photothermal therapy (PTT). In this method, light-responsive materials accumulate on the tumor area, absorb light, and release it as heat, killing the cancerous cells. The light is generated by a laser, in a near-infrared (NIR) wavelength range (750–1000 nm). NIR irradiation has low off-target interaction and a high penetration depth of ca. 1–2 cm in the human body. PTT mediated by nanomaterials is less invasive than laser irradiation alone, requires lower radiation intensity, and its selectivity towards the tumor can be adjusted by carefully engineering the light-responsive nanostructure. In general, nanomaterials in the size range of 100–200 nm should give the maximum accumulation effect, but parameters such as nanostructure shape and surface charge are extremely important [33].

A wide range of nanomaterials has been studied for cancer PTT to now, from organic conjugated polymers [34,35], through carbon-based nanomaterials [36,37], to inorganic nanostructures. Within the latter group, nanostructures of metals [38], metal oxides (including iron oxides) [39], and metal chalcogenides [40,41] were studied. Tungsten oxides [42] and molybdenum oxides [43] were studied, as well as their disulfides. The disulfides were tested mainly in the forms of nanosheets [44], nanoflakes [45], nanodots [40] and hollow spheres [46]. Recently, WS\(_2\) nanotubes functionalized with C-dots showed promising results for PTT and cell imaging [47]. We selected nanotubes for their mechanical properties and the possibility of coordinate bonds with sulfur atoms, which enables bonding with CAN-mag, thereby offering the possibility of bonding of additional biologically active agents. The properties of the CAN-mag also enabled magnetism-based targeting.

In order to maximize the benefit from TMDC nanostructures in different applications, their surface functionalization is important. The relative chemical inertness of the outer chalcogen layer makes TMDC nanostructures very hard to disperse in many solvents, especially in water. This is a significant limitation when attempting to use these nanostructures for biological applications. Functionalization, especially the attachment of organic moieties to the walls of TMDC nanostructures is a challenging task. Coordinative chemistry is one approach used to face this challenge.

The Tremel group has been successfully developing coordination-chemistry-based strategies for the functionalization of TMDC nanostructures for more than a decade. In 2006, they reported a method based on a nickel–nitrotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) complex as an anchoring group for different chemical functionalities [48-50]. Another study of the Tremel group involves terpyridine (TerPy) ligands [51]. NTA and TerPy are multidentate ligands, forming complexes with chalcophylic metal ions (nickel, iron, ruthenium) and leaving parts of the ion coordination sites free for docking to the chalcogen layer. The molecular structure of both NTA and TerPy enables performing versatile chemistry on the ligand.

Cerium is a metal of the lanthanide series with versatile coordinative chemistry, thanks to an available valence electron in its 4f orbital. In our group, cerium was utilized in the complex form of ceric ammonium nitrate \([\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Ce(IV)(NO}_3)_6 \text{ or CAN}\). In CAN the cerium ion is coordinated with six nitrate ligands through their oxygen atoms. CAN is a strong oxidizer, turning magnetite nanoparticles into γ-maghemite (mag) nanoparticles. The cerium ion attaches to the nanoparticle, producing surface defects (an Fe–O–[CeL\(_n\)]) bond is formed). The cerium-doped maghemite nanoparticles are more stable than the non-doped ones, which tend to aggregate. In addition to the stabilization effect, other ligands on the cerium ion can be replaced by different polymers and linkers. The resulting nanocomposites can be used for biomedical applications, such as gene silencing [52], magnetic imaging, and drug delivery.

Here we present a new and simple-to-fabricate WS\(_2\)-NT-CAN-mag (WS\(_2\)-NT-CM) nanocomposite. The composite is magnetic and forms a stable dispersion in water. It was characterized to verify the CAN-mag attachment to the nanotubes, and tested for PTT. Preliminary in-vitro PTT tests show that the WS\(_2\)-CAN-
mag nanocomposite successfully eliminated two types of cancerous cells: HeLa cells (cervical cancer) and MCF7 cells (breast cancer), in higher percentages compared to non-functionalized nanotubes. In addition, WS₂-CAN-mag nanocomposites with two types of organic polymers were successfully prepared and characterized. Indeed, functionalization with CAN-mag gives WS₂ nanotubes the added values of reduced aggregation, which leads to better targeting, and the possibility for attachments of additional cancer therapy agents.

**Experimental**

A schematic description of the experimental pathway leading to CAN-mag functionalized WS₂ nanotubes is given in Figure 1, followed by fully detailed preparation procedures.

**Preparation of CAN-mag nanoparticles**

A solution of FeCl₃·H₂O (240.0 mg, 0.9 mmol) in degassed, ddH₂O water (4.5 mL) was mixed with an aqueous solution of FeCl₂·4H₂O (97.5 mg, 0.45 mmol, 4.5 mL H₂O). The mixture was kept under nitrogen and ultra-sonicated for 1 min at room temperature. Then, a concentrated (24 wt %) NH₄OH solution (750 µL) was added, resulting in the immediate formation of a black precipitate of magnetite (Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles. Sonication was continued for an additional 10 min. The liquid was decanted with the help of magnetic separation, using a 0.5 T magnet. The nanoparticles were washed with three portions of ddH₂O (40 mL each) to neutrality. Then, ddH₂O (30 mL) was added, and the maghemite NPs suspension was set aside for a minimum of 1.5 h at ambient temperature for aging, before any further use.

A solution of CAN (500.0 mg, 0.912 mmol) in acetone (6.0 mL) was added to the decanted magnetite NPs, followed by the addition of degassed purified water (18 mL). The resulting mixture was ultrasonicated for 30 min under nitrogen using a high-power sonicator, then transferred into 50 mL Amicon® Ultra-15 centrifugal filter tubes (100KD, Millipore, Cork, Ireland). The contents were washed with three portions of ddH₂O (10 mL each), and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min at 18 °C each time. The washed nanocomposite was dispersed in
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**Figure 1**: Schematic description for WS₂-NT-CM nanocomposite preparation.
ddH$_2$O (25 mL). The iron concentration in the dispersion was determined by the inductively coupled plasma (ICP) method to be 2.7 mg/mL.

**Preparation of WS$_2$-NT-CM nanocomposite**

WS$_2$-NTs (15 mg, NanoMaterials Ltd., Yavne, Israel; Lot number: TWPO-MA018) were dispersed in ddH$_2$O (15 mL) using an ultrasonic probe (set to reach 3.7 KJ, with 20% amplitude) for 7 min at room temperature. Then, the aqueous CAN-magnet dispersion (550 µL) was added (this volume gives a 1:10 weight ratio between the iron and the WS$_2$-INTs). The mixture was shaken for 24 h at ambient temperature. WS$_2$-NT-CM was separated from the solution using a 0.5 T magnet, washed with three portions of ddH$_2$O (20 mL each, no centrifugation), and dried by using a lyophilizer.

**Preparation of polymer-functionalized WS$_2$-NT-CM nanocomposites**

WS$_2$-NT-CM (20 mg) was dispersed in ddH$_2$O (75 mL) using an ultrasonic bath. Then, polyethyleneimine (11.5 mg, branched PEI, $M_\text{w}$ ≈ 25000, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, US) dissolved in ddH$_2$O (1 mL) was added. The mixture was shaken at 15 °C for 48 h (220 RPM). The WS$_2$-NT-CM-PEI was washed with 3 portions ddH$_2$O (50 mL each, 5000 RPM, 5 °C, 10 min) and dried by using a lyophilizer. Alternatively, polyacrylic acid (25 mg, PAA, sodium salt, $M_\text{w}$ ≈ 8000, 45% aq. Sol., Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, US) dissolved in ddH$_2$O (1 mL) was added, and the mixture was shaken at 10 °C for 72 h.

**Characterizations**

ATR-FTIR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet iS5 FT-IR spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, US) equipped with an iD5 ATR accessory featuring a laminated diamond crystal. Samples were analyzed without further preparation. The data processing was performed using OMNIC 9 spectra software (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, US).

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed by employing a TGA/DSC1 analyzer (Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland). All thermograms were recorded in a nitrogen environment at a heating rate of 10 °C·min$^{-1}$ over the temperature range of 30–800 °C. Weight change and heat flow were measured simultaneously during the analysis. The results were processed using STAR$\alpha$ evaluation software (Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were acquired by a Tecnai Spirit Bio-Twin microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, US) equipped with a 1k × 1k CCD camera (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA, US). Samples for TEM analysis were dispersed in water. A drop of the dispersion was placed on a formvar/carbon film on a 400-mesh copper TEM grid (FCF400-Cu, Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, US) and then dried at ambient temperature for 24 h.

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were acquired using a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (JEM 2100, JEOL Inc., Peabody, MA, US) equipped with a 4k × 4k CCD camera (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA, US). Samples were prepared using the same procedure as for TEM analysis.

High-resolution scanning electron microscopy (HRSEM) images were acquired using a Magellan 400L high-resolution scanning electron microscope (FEI). Samples for HRSEM were prepared by placing a few drops of the aqueous dispersion of the dried sample on a square piece of a clean silicon wafer and drying overnight at ambient temperature. Zeta potential measurements were performed using a Zetasizer Nano-ZS device (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Worcestershire, UK). Samples for zeta potential measurements were dispersed in water (ca. 0.5 mg/mL).

Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) was used to determine the concentration of cerium and iron (Ultima-2 instrument, Horiba [Jobin-Yvon division], Kyoto, Japan). For cerium analysis, lyophilized nanocomposite sample (2–5 mg) was dissolved in concentrated hydrochloric acid (350 µL, DaeJung, Busan, Korea), diluted to 10 mL with dd water, and set aside overnight for decomposition. The solution was then filtered through a 0.22 µm PTFE syringe filter (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). For iron analysis, 1 mL of the filtered solution was diluted to 10 mL with dd water.

Superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) measurements were performed (MPMS-5XL magnetometer, Quantum Design, San Diego, CA, US). For analysis, dried samples were placed in a plastic capsule. The measurements were run at a temperature of 100 K.

**Photothermal therapy activity**

For photothermal therapy experiments, we tested two different human cancer cells – HeLa (cervical cancer) and MCF7 (breast cancer). The cells were cultured on 24-well plates. When the cells reached 80% confluence, freshly prepared aqueous dispersions of WS$_2$-NT or WS$_2$-NT-CM (45 µL, 1 mg/mL) were added to two of the plates, and a third plate, with no additives, was used for control. After 10 min of incubation, the cells were washed three times with PBS buffer and a fresh DMEM medium was added. For each condition, four representative frames were imaged under a Zeiss LSM7 inverted two-photon micro-
scope at 10× magnification in phase-contrast. Next, a square region of 157 µm × 157 µm in the middle of each frame was irradiated with a 700 nm laser (Chameleon Vision II) at 123 mW for 1 min. The same frames were then imaged again. A dye exclusion test of cell viability was performed, using Trypan Blue for staining. A mixture of 0.5 wt % trypan blue solution and PBS (1:1 v/v) was added to all wells after the laser irradiation. After 5 min, the cells were washed with PBS buffer and the same frames were imaged.

Results and Discussion
This section will include all the chemical and biological results. The preparation procedures of the composites included sonication steps that might cause massive breakage or exfoliation of the nanotubes. For this reason, we aimed for preparation conditions that would allow composite formation without damaging the nanotubes. Electron microscopy images (Figure 2) show that WS₂ nanotubes maintained their general shape after conjugation of CAN-mag nanoparticles, and later on, of the polymers. At the same time, attachment of CAN-mag to the nanotubes is clearly visible (Figure 2d–f). Rather than conformally coating the nanotubes, CAN-mag nanoparticles seem to attach to the surface of the nanotubes in small clusters, appearing dark in TEM, and bright in SEM. A possible reason for this is that CAN-mag composite has a strong positive surface charge (see zeta potential results below in Figure 7), causing electrostatic

Figure 2: TEM, HRTEM, and SEM images of (a–c) WS₂-NT; (d–f) WS₂-NT-CM; (g,h) WS₂-NT-CM-PEI and (i) WS₂-NT-CM-PAA.
repulsion forces that prevent a denser coverage. Another point that the electron microscope images show (see Figure 2a and Figure 2c; cf. Figure 2d and Figure 2f), is that WS₂-NM-CM is significantly less aggregated in aqueous dispersion compared to WS₂-NM. Here, too, the electrostatic repulsion provided by CAN/mag is probably the reason. In the HRTEM image of WS₂-NM-CM (Figure 2e), the crystalline nanoparticles of maghemite are easily observed, including visible lattice fringes (marked in yellow). TEM images of WS₂-NM-CM-PEI (Figure 2g) and WS₂-NM-CM-PAA (Figure 2i) show that the dark CAN/mag composite is surrounded by a lighter substance, namely the organic polymer (PEI or PAA). A closer look by HRTEM into WS₂-NM-CM-PEI (Figure 2h) shows that the wavy-looking matrix surrounding the crystalline maghemite is amorphous.

Table 1 shows the results of ICP analysis of CAN/mag nanoparticles and WS₂-NM-CM composite. The ratios between iron and cerium are very close when comparing the nanoparticles and the composites. This means that there was almost no detachment of CAN during the composite preparation, which is a possibility when using probe sonication. The numbers show a small quantity of cerium in CAN/mag, which is even smaller within the composite, yet the presence of cerium still allows coordinative attachment of polymers to the composite.

Figure 3 shows the FTIR absorbance spectra of WS₂-NM and its composites. The absorption of the WS₂-NM was so weak that we are not sure that anything can be learned from such absorption. In the spectrum of WS₂-NM-CM (and of its two composites), the strong peak at 570 cm⁻¹ is characteristic of iron oxides, and represents the stretching vibration of Fe–O bond [53,54]. The peaks at 1640 cm⁻¹ and 3400 cm⁻¹ originate from interlayer water: the former is assigned H–O–H bending vibrations [53,54], the latter to O–H stretching vibrations [53,54]. The peak at around 820 cm⁻¹ might be assigned to Ce–O stretching vibrations [55]. In the spectrum of WS₂-NM-CM-PEI, the peaks at 1050 cm⁻¹ and 1640 cm⁻¹ are a bit more accentuated compared to the WS₂-NM-CM spectrum. These bands may be assigned to the C–N stretching vibrations and N–H stretching vibrations, respectively, of the PEI chains. The peak at 2350 cm⁻¹ is typical to CO₂, most likely captured by PEI [56].

In the spectrum of WS₂-NM-CM-PAA, the peaks originating from the polyacrylic acid are dominant. The peak at 800 cm⁻¹ is assigned to C–H bending vibrations in the PAA chain. The peaks in the range of 1000–1260 cm⁻¹ may be assigned to C–O stretching vibrations. The peaks at around 1400 cm⁻¹ and 1540 cm⁻¹ are assigned to symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of carboxylate [O–C–O]⁻ ions. The positions of the peaks indicate attachment of the polymer ligand to the surface of the maghemite nanoparticles through carboxylate groups. In the species –COO–Fe, these are shifted to slightly higher energies compared to non-attached carboxylates [57,58]. The peaks at 2850 cm⁻¹, 2920 cm⁻¹, and 2960 cm⁻¹ are assigned to C–H stretching vibrations in the PAA chain. The broad peak at 3400 cm⁻¹ is stronger compared to the other spectra. PAA is a very hygroscopic polymer, and the absorbed water contributes to the intensity of the OH band.

Figure 4 shows the thermogravimetric analysis (conducted under nitrogen) results for WS₂-NM and its composites. WS₂-NTs (blue line) show almost no weight loss, as expected under these conditions. The nanotubes with CAN/mag functionalization (red line) show a small and gradual weight loss, at a relatively low temperature range, assigned to the organic ammonium and nitrate components of CAN (cerium and iron oxide are not expected to be affected under nitrogen). WS₂-NM-CM-PAA (yellow line) starts with a relatively steep weight loss step, most probably due to adsorbed water molecules (as mentioned, PAA is highly hygroscopic). A more significant weight loss reaches its plateau around 500 °C, typical for PAA [59,60]. For WS₂-NM-CM-PEI (green line), there seem to be two sequential weight-loss steps overlapping at approximately 400 °C. The first and major one is typical to PEI [61,62], and the second one, at higher temperatures, originates from a mixed PEI–organic matter polyCOOH/[Ce³/⁴⁺La⁺] complex adlayer phase [63].

Figure 5 and Figure 6 demonstrate the application of WS₂-NM-CM composite as a photothermal therapy agent. Figure 5 shows optical microscope images taken from a cell viability test of HeLa cells incubated for 10 min with WS₂-NMs (d–f), with WS₂-NM-CM (g–i), and without any addition (a–c) for reference. Figure 6 shows the percentage of alive, dead, and de-

---

**Table 1:** ICP results for CAN/maghemite nanoparticles before and after conjugation to WS₂ nanotubes. CAN/maghemite molar ratio calculation is based on two moles of iron in each mole of maghemite.

| element in composite [wt %] | Fe/Ce weight ratio | mag/CAN molar ratio |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| iron                        | cerium            |
| CAN-mag NPs                | 1.84 ± 0.01       | 39                  |
| WS₂-NM-CM                  | 0.21 ± 0.01       | 41                  |
|                            |                   | 39                  |
|                            |                   | 41                  |
Figure 3: FTIR absorbance spectra of WS₂-NT and its nanocomposite samples.

Notice that the entire area in the images was stained, but only the squared area was irradiated. Only dead cells are dyed by trypan blue, and in the images they appear gray and blurry due to the collapse of the cell membrane and the penetration of the dye. The images show cell death only in the squared area, for only the cells incubated with the nanomaterials. This means that the cell death was not caused by irradiation alone or by the addition of the nanomaterials alone, but by the combination of both, proving a photothermal activity. Looking at Figure 5f and...
Figure 4: TGA analysis data of WS$_2$-NTs and its nanocomposites. The analysis was conducted under nitrogen. Weight loss percentages appear near each thermogram.

Figure 5: Phase-contrast microscopy images of HeLa cells. The white squares indicate 157 µm × 157 µm areas irradiated with an NIR (700 nm) laser. Left column: cells prior to NIR irradiation; middle column: after irradiation for 1 minute; right column: after irradiation for 1 minute and application of trypan blue assay: (a–c) control (untreated) cells; (d–f) cells pre-incubated with WS$_2$-NT; (g–i) cells pre-incubated with WS$_2$-NT-CM.
cells, the cell viability results are less conclusive compared to HeLa cell results (see Supporting Information File 1). While a comparison between Figure S2f and Figure S2i shows more dead cells in the latter, half of the cells tested with WS$_2$-NTs were detached during the viability test. Those cells were most likely dead as well, meaning that the advantage of WS$_2$-NT-CM over WS$_2$-NT in the elimination of MCF7 cells is not distinct.

There is another advantage of the composites over their components alone. WS$_2$-NTs tend to aggregate, and the addition of CAN-mag reduces aggregation. Aqueous dispersions of the functionalized nanotubes stay stable for hours, while bare nanotubes in water sink almost instantly. Also, when comparing the images in the bottom and middle rows for both cell types, we see that the WS$_2$-NT-CM composite tends to preferably accumulate in and near the cells, while the bare WS$_2$-NTs are distributed all around in the imaged area, at times in large aggregates. For targeted PTT purposes, the use of bare nanotubes is not practical, because they will aggregate on the walls of the blood vessels and not reach the tumor area. The use of CAN-mag alone, on the other hand, is not good either, as it will undergo filtration by the liver [64]. So overall, there is a double advantage of WS$_2$-NTs functionalized with CAN-Mag, namely increased cancerous-cell death and better targeting.

Figure 7 shows zeta potential averages and distribution curves for WS$_2$-NTs, CAN-mag, and their composites. The values for WS$_2$-NT and CAN-mag are consistent with previous works [52,65,66]. For each composite, the zeta values reflect the contributions of the components. The presence of the positively charged CAN-mag on the surface of WS$_2$-NTs shifts their value from $-21.4$ mV to $-9.90$ mV. The fact that the zeta potential...
value of WS$_2$-NT-CM is not positive is another indication of the inhomogeneity of the coating, as seen in the TEM and SEM images (Figure 2). The addition of polymers to WS$_2$-NT-CM has a stronger influence on the zeta potential of the resulting composites. The value shifts more towards the zeta potential of the polymer, because the polymer constitutes the surface. PEI is highly positively charged in water because of the many protonated amine groups, and the WS$_2$-NT-CM-PEI composite becomes positively charged. PAA is negatively charged (carboxylate groups), shifting the composite from $-9.90$ mV to $-21.4$ mV.

Figure 8 shows the magnetization spectra of WS$_2$-NT, CAN-mag, and WS$_2$-NT-CM nanocomposites, all taken at a temperature of 10 K. For WS$_2$-NTs (red spectrum, inset image), the external magnetic field induces a very weak magnetic field in the opposite direction. This means that WS$_2$-NTs are diamagnetic. The CAN-mag curve (green) demonstrates superparamagnetic behavior, where the magnetization increases with the strength of the magnetic field until it approaches saturation, and there is no hysteresis loop. Superparamagnetism is typical for iron-oxide nanoparticles [67]. The nanocomposite WS$_2$-NT-CM (blue curve) maintains superparamagnetism, with a saturation value of about $\pm 13$ emu/g, which is a sixth of the saturation value for CAN-mag alone. The latter reaches a saturation value of $\pm 78$ emu/g, which is consistent with a previous publication [52]. These results are understandable when evaluating the part of CAN-mag in the WS$_2$-NT-CM composite. A calculation based on the weight percentages of iron and cerium in the nanocomposite from ICP (Table 1), and the molecular weights of CAN and maghemite, results in 13.2% weight of CAN-mag of the whole composite weight. Taking into account this percentage and the fact that diamagnetism is a weak effect that is always dominated by ferromagnetism (hence, by superparamagnetism), the curve shape and saturation values for WS$_2$-NT-CM are to be expected.

When bringing dispersions of CAN-mag nanoparticles and WS$_2$-NT-CM close to a magnet, however, it is only the latter that is visibly attracted. The video (Supporting Information File 2) shows the liquid of a WS$_2$-NT-CM dispersion gradually clearing up when brought near a magnet, and the composite particles moving towards the magnet. Despite the fact that the magnetization intensity of CAN-mag nanoparticles is higher compared to WS$_2$-NT-CM, only the latter is drawn to the magnet, and very slowly, over the course of days. The reason is that CAN-mag nanoparticles are more stable in water than WS$_2$-NT-CM (see also the zeta potential results), meaning there are strong electrostatic interactions successfully competing with the magnetic force.

**Conclusion**

To summarize, we prepared a nanocomposite of WS$_2$-NTs functionalized with CAN-maghemite nanoparticles. The preparation procedures are facile and make use of readily available reagents and equipment. Electron microscopy, FTIR, zeta
Potential, TGA, and ICP analyses demonstrated the attachment of CAN-mag nanoparticles to the nanotubes. CAN-mag attachment around the nanotubes was not conformal, and in small percentages. Yet, the composite maintained the magnetic character of the nanoparticles. Moreover, the functionalized nanotubes proved to have a higher activity as PTT agents compared to bare WS$_2$-NTs in in vitro tests done with HeLa and MCF7 cancer cells.

In addition, functionalization with CAN-mag enabled the attachment of PEI and PAA onto the nanocomposite, as shown by TEM, FTIR, TGA, and zeta potential. The ability for further attachment of polymers and other molecules can be utilized to enhance the therapeutic activity. One way is the attachment of a second PTT agent, such as a poly(pyrrrole), and irradiation in two wavelengths. Another way is the attachment of a photodynamic therapy (PDT) agent. In this preliminary work, we used WS$_2$-NTs with a wide size distribution range. A very important step for future research, required prior to in vivo trials, is to use nanotubes in a narrower size range, suitable for targeted PTT.
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