Promotion effect of urchin-like MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ hollow core–shell structure catalysts for the low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH$_3$
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A MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst with a hollow urchin-like core–shell structure was prepared using a sacrificial templating method and was used for the low-temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH$_3$. The structural properties of the catalyst were characterized by FE-SEM, TEM, XRD, BET, XPS, H$_2$-TPR and NH$_3$-TPD analyses, and the performance of the low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR was also tested. The results show that the catalyst with a molar ratio of Pr/Mn = 0.3 exhibited the highest NO conversion at nearly 99% at 120 °C and NO conversion greater than 90% over the temperature range of 100–240 °C. Also, the MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst presented desirable SO$_2$ and H$_2$O resistance in 100 ppm SO$_2$ and 10 vol% H$_2$O at the space velocity of 40 000 h$^{-1}$ and a testing time of 3 h test at 160 °C. The excellent low-temperature catalytic activity of the catalyst could ultimately be attributed to high concentrations of Mn$^{4+}$ and adsorbed oxygen species on the catalyst surface, suitable Lewis acidic surface properties, and good reducing ability. Additionally, the enhanced SO$_2$ and H$_2$O resistance of the catalyst was primarily ascribed to its unique core–shell structure which prevented the MnO$_x$ core from being sulfated.

1 Introduction

The selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH$_3$ (NH$_3$-SCR) is considered to be the most effective and widely applied technology for eliminating NO$_x$ from stationary sources.$^{1-3}$ Low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR, which can be placed downstream of a desulfurization tower and electrostatic precipitation in a power generation system, has gained increasing attention in recent years due to improved SCR economics.$^4$ Abundant investigations have been carried out, and have made great progress in low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR catalysts. Many developments have been made on low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR catalysts. Among the various catalyst systems, Cu-based,$^{5,6}$ Ce-based,$^7$ Fe-based,$^8$ Zr-based,$^9$ Mn-contained catalysts have stimulated great interest because of their oxidation–reduction properties, environmentally friendly features and excellent low-temperature activities, however a disadvantage is easy deactivation in the presence of SO$_2$ in the exhaust gas.

Many strategies have been proposed to overcome this problem. One strategy includes adding other metal elements modification and is considered as one of the most promising and effective methods. Wang et al.$^{11}$ reported that the co-doping with Fe and Co can effectively improve the SO$_2$ resistance of a Mn–Ce/TiO$_2$ catalyst because the introduction of Fe and Co can prevent SO$_2$ diffusion to the inner layer of the catalyst. Chang et al.$^{12}$ proposed that the SO$_2$ resistance of MnO$_x$–CeO$_2$ could be enhanced by Sn doping since it creates more Lewis acid sites on the surface for the SO$_2$-containing SCR reaction. Xie et al.$^{13}$ demonstrated that the addition of Cr reduced the SO$_2$ adsorption strength on the surface of a MnCrO$_3$/sepiolite catalyst, thereby increasing the anti-SO$_2$ poisoning of the catalyst.

Among the potential additives, rare earth oxides (REOs) have been proven to possess high catalytic activity originating from their oxygen vacancies and strong surface acidities.$^{14-19}$ Yan et al.$^{18}$ synthesized RE-doped Mn/ASC for the low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR of NO and found that the addition of RE enhanced the catalytic activity. In addition, DRIFTS result confirm that Ce doping provides more active Brønsted acid sites. Yu et al.$^{19}$ studied the de-NO$_x$ catalytic activity and SO$_2$ resistance of Ce/Pr-MnO$_x$/SAPO-34 and proposed that compared with Ce, the Pr doping on the MnO$_x$/SAPO-34 contained more oxygen vacancies, exhibited a superior low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR activity, and inhibited the formation of ammonia sulfate on the catalyst surface, which protected the active Mn sites.
Some researchers contend that the morphology and structure of the composite oxide catalysts had an impact on their resistance to SO2 poisoning in the low-temperature SCR process. Generally, compared with irregular particles, catalysts with a well-designed structure typically exhibit higher functionality due to the size, shape, and interfacial effects. Therefore, considerable research has been focused on preparing SCR catalysts with a specific structure, such as hierarchical porous structures, hollow structures or a core–shell structure. In particular, core–shell structured catalysts have attracted much attention due to their unique mechanical and structural properties for the low-temperature NH3-SCR. For instance, a MnOx@TiO2 core–shell nanorod catalyst was prepared by a novel two-step method, which exhibited outstanding SCR performance due to possessed abundant mesopores and a core–shell structure. These features protect the catalytic active sites from SO2 and H2O poisoning. Han et al. designed a meso-TiO2@Fe2O3@Al2O3 core–shell material using experimental and density functional theory methods and found that the strong SO2 tolerance was attributed to the mesoporous-TiO2 shell, and it effectively prevented the deposition of FeSO4 and NH4HSO4. Ma et al. synthesized a hollow structured CeO2–MnOx hybrid materials with a multi-shelled structure by using carbon spheres as the hard template. This hybrid material displayed superior activity and stability over traditional CeO2–MnOx particles, most likely attributed to the special multi-shelled structure and abundant surface active species. Hence, the controlled fabrication of well-structured composites is of great significance for low-temperature NH3-SCR catalysts, and the core shape, elements and shell thicknesses are key factors. The hollow, core–shell composite structure catalyst, with special isolated chambers and controllable shell structures, would be prepared according to the structural characteristics of the hollow structure and core–shell structure. To the best of our knowledge, there are a limited number of reports about the hollow, core–shell structured Mn–Pr binary oxides as catalysts for low-temperature NH3-SCR.

In this paper, a hollow MnOx@PrOy core–shell structure catalyst for the low-temperature NH3-SCR reaction was prepared using carbon spheres both as hard template and as reducing agent. The obtained catalysts were then characterized by SEM, TEM, XRD, XPS, NH3-TPD and H2-TPR analysis, and the NH3-SCR reaction performance was tested to determine the physicochemical properties and structure–performance relationships.

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Catalyst preparation

Carbon spheres were prepared according to a typical hydrothermal method. Typically, a 60 mL solution containing 0.5 g glucose was hydrothermally treated at 180 °C for 24 h and then cooled to room temperature naturally. After suction, filtering and washing with deionized water and absolute ethanol, the dark brown sample was dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h, and the templates were obtained.

The chemical process to synthesize the hollow MnOx@PrOy was carried out in two steps. The CSs@MnOx was first synthesized with the following procedure. A desired amount of CSs was placed into deionized water, and then KMnO4 was added with unceasingly stirring for 30 min. Thereafter, the mixture solution was transferred into an autoclave and maintained at 160 °C for 12 h. Until cooling to room temperature naturally, the obtained suspension was centrifuged and washed with water and absolute ethanol repeatedly. Finally, the CSs@MnOx was obtained by drying at 80 °C for 12 h.

Second, the hollow MnOx@PrOy was synthesized by a chemical precipitation method. Certain amounts of CSs@MnOx, Pr(NO3)3·6H2O, and NaOH were respectively dissolved in deionized water with the molar ratios of Pr/Mn of 0.3 and Pr(NO3)3·6H2O/NaOH of 0.5. Thereafter, the Pr(NO3)3·6H2O and NaOH solutions were added dropwise into above solution simultaneously and heated to 85 °C under stirring for 3 h. Finally, the hollow MnOx@PrOy was collected, washed to neutral, dried at 80 °C for 12 h and calcined at 400 °C in air for 3 h. The hollow MnOx could be obtained by the roasting treatment of CSs@MnOx. The hollow MnOx–PrOy catalyst without a core–shell structure was prepared through a similar method for hollow MnOx, and the only difference was that KMnO4 and Pr(NO3)3·6H2O were added together.

### 2.2 NH3-SCR activity test

The NH3-SCR activity of the solid catalyst was carried out in a fixed-bed quartz continuous flow reactor. The feed gas was composed of 0.08% NO, 0.08% NH3, 100 ppm SO2 (when added), 10 vol% H2O (when added), 5.0 vol% O2, and the balanced gas was Ar. The total flow rate was 600 mL min⁻¹, corresponding to a gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 40 000 h⁻¹. The NO, NO2 and NOx concentrations were measured using an NO–NO2–NOx analyzer (Thermal Scientific, model 42i-HL), and the concentration of N2 was monitored using a gas chromatograph (GC9560, Shanghai Huai). The NO removal efficiency and the N2 selectivity were obtained by the following equations:

\[ \text{NO conversion(%) = } \frac{C_{\text{in}} - C_{\text{out}}}{C_{\text{in}}} \times 100 \]  \hfill (1)

\[ \text{N2 selectivity(%) = } \frac{C_{\text{in}}^{\text{N2}} + C_{\text{in}}^{\text{NH3}} - C_{\text{out}}^{\text{N2}} - C_{\text{out}}^{\text{NH3}} - 2C_{\text{out}}^{\text{NO}}}{C_{\text{in}}^{\text{NO}} + C_{\text{in}}^{\text{NH3}} - C_{\text{out}}^{\text{NO}} - C_{\text{out}}^{\text{NH3}}} \times 100 \]  \hfill (2)

### 2.3 Catalyst characterization

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) with an energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was used to analyze the surface morphology and elemental composition of the catalysts using a ZEISS Merlin instrument. The micro-structural characterization by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) were carried out on JEM-2100 HT. Elemental mapping was conducted using an Inca Energy 200 TEM system. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was determined using a D8...
Advance (Bruker) X-ray diffraction instrument with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å), X-ray photo-electron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were carried out on an ESCALAB 250 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) equipped with Al Kα X-ray radiation (1486.7 eV). H₂-TPR (10% H₂/Ar gas flow: 30 mL min⁻¹ and a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹) and NH₃-TPD (N₂ flow: 30 mL min⁻¹ and a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹) analysis were performed using a Micromeritics Auto ChemII 2920 instrument.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Catalytic performance for the low-temperature NH₃-SCR

The NH₃-SCR catalytic performance of the hollow PrOₓ, hollow MnOₓ, hollow MnOₓ@PrOₓ and hollow MnOₓ–PrOₓ were shown in Fig. 1a. It could be seen from Fig. 1a that the NO conversion of the MnOₓ-containing samples increased with increasing reaction temperature and then decreased, exhibiting different activated temperature windows. Comparatively, the hollow PrOₓ...
catalyst basically had no catalytic activity at low temperature, when the reaction temperature greater than 160 °C, and the NO conversion rate began to gradually increase and reached the maximum conversion rate about 45% at 220 °C. Comparing the four catalysts, the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst exhibited superior low-temperature catalytic activity, achieving 97.1% of NO conversion at 100 °C, while the hollow PrO$_x$, hollow MnO$_x$ and hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ were only 21.3%, 59.5%, and 72.4%, respectively. In addition, the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst displayed more than 90% NO conversion over a wider temperature range of 100–240 °C, while the other catalysts had narrow temperature windows. N$_2$ selectivity tests (Fig. 2a) and stability tests (Fig. 2b) were also performed and the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ consistently exhibited excellent catalytic activity, high N$_2$ selectivity and high stability. In the whole reaction temperature range of 80–240 °C, N$_2$ selectivity was above 95%, and NO conversion was maintained at 97% over the 24 h stability test at 160 °C. It could be deduced that the core–shell structure promotes the synergistic effect between the MnO$_x$ core and PrO$_x$ shell, and enhanced catalytic activity.

The effects of SO$_2$ and H$_2$O on the low-temperature SCR activity of catalysts are shown in Fig. 1(b–d). Fig. 1b shows the NO conversion for the hollow MnO$_x$, hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$, and hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ catalysts when 100 ppm SO$_2$ was added at 160 °C. NO conversion decreased upon the addition of SO$_2$. The hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ exhibited superior resistance to SO$_2$ poisoning, and the NO conversion was maintained at over 83.4% after a 3 h test, while the NO conversion of hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ decreased from 92.3% to 75.5%. And the hollow MnO$_x$ catalyst exhibited a more obvious poisoning and the NO conversion decreased from 90.7% to 46.3%. Furthermore, when SO$_2$ steam was cut off, the NO conversion for the catalysts could be partly restored to 86.6%, 78.7% and 49.7%, respectively. As displayed in Fig. 1c, the H$_2$O resistance of the catalysts was tested using 10 vol% H$_2$O at 160 °C. A decrease in NO conversion from 90.6% to less than 60% for the hollow MnO$_x$ catalyst was detected. In addition, the NO conversions of hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ and hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ declined as well but still remained at around 84% and 73%, respectively, for the next three hours. Additionally, it is worth noting that the NO conversion with the catalysts almost recovered to its original level when H$_2$O was completely removed, indicating that the inhibitory effect of H$_2$O are reversible. According to the results shown in Fig. 1d, when 100 ppm SO$_2$ and 10 vol% H$_2$O were both introduced into the reaction system, the NO conversions of the catalysts were lower than in the presence of either SO$_2$ or H$_2$O alone. This was especially seen using the hollow MnO$_x$ catalyst, which exhibited relatively faster deactivation with NO conversion decreasing sharply from 90.5% to 39.4% within one hour. By comparison, the coexistence of SO$_2$ and H$_2$O only resulted in a 20% decrease in NO conversion for the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ and a 32% decrease for hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$, indicating that the introduction of Pr element could not only significantly increase the low-temperature activity of the catalysts but also enhance the SO$_2$ and H$_2$O resistance of the catalysts. The excellent poisoning resistance of the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst could be attributed to the protective effect of the PrO$_x$ shell, which could first react with SO$_2$ and restrain the formation of manganese sulfate. Compared with other catalytic systems, the poisoning resistance of the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst was relatively better than the other tested catalysts.

3.2 Structural characterization of catalysts

3.2.1 FE-SEM and TEM analysis. The morphology of the synthesized catalysts was characterized by FE-SEM (Fig. 3). Fig. 3a shows the uniform and smooth carbon spheres (CSs),
which served as both the sacrificial templates and as reducing agents. After the oxidation–reduction reaction between the KMnO₄ solution and CSs, MnOₓ particles were grown in situ on the CSs surface (Fig. 3b), and maintained their spherical structure. As shown in Fig. 3c, the hollow MnOₓ@PrOₓ catalyst was comprised of uniform spheres that were fully coated by the 1D nanosheets, and exhibited an urchin-like structure. The morphology of the hollow MnOₓ–PrOₓ was wrinkled when accompanied with combustion of CSs during calcination but almost retained its original morphology (Fig. 3d).

The structural information of the catalysts were further investigated by TEM and HRTEM (Fig. 4). Fig. 4a and d revealed that the hollow MnOₓ@PrOₓ catalyst exhibited an apparent interface between the hollow core and shell, indicating the typical features of a hollow core–shell architecture. The hollow core was about 40 nm in thickness with a 400 nm cavity and the nanosheet shell layer was about 60 nm in thickness. In addition, the TEM images of the hollow MnOₓ (Fig. 4c and f) and hollow MnOₓ–PrOₓ (Fig. 4g and h) displayed visibly hollow structures with shell layers of about 40 nm and 100 nm in thickness, respectively. The TEM images were in agreement with the FE-SEM images, and the d-spacing value was determined from the HR-TEM images and identified in the corresponding crystals. As shown in Fig. 4b and e, the highly crystalline lattice fringes with an inter-fringe spacing of 0.69 nm and 0.27 nm corresponded to the (110) plane of α-MnO₂ (ref. 31) and the (222) plane of Mn₂O₃.²² Lattice fringes with a spacing of 0.28 nm, 0.38 nm and 0.31 nm were indexed to the Pr₂O₃ (402), (202) planes, and the PrO₂ (111) plane, indicating that the hollow MnOₓ nanospheres were coated by a shell of PrOₓ nanosheets. However, a hollow mixed oxide was observed in the hollow MnOₓ–PrOₓ (Fig. 4i). Ma et al.²⁶ suggested that the homogeneous elemental distribution was favorable to the synergistic effects between elements, indicating that there existed intimate interactions between MnOₓ and PrOₓ.

The composition and distribution of the corresponding elements in the hollow MnOₓ@PrOₓ were evaluated by EDS and elemental mapping. EDS analysis in Fig. 5a shows that the hollow MnOₓ@PrOₓ was composed of Mn, Pr and O. In

Fig. 4 TEM and FE-TEM images with different magnifications of hollow MnOₓ@PrOₓ (a, b, d and e) and hollow MnOₓ (c and f) and hollow MnOₓ–PrOₓ (g–i).
addition, the elemental map in Fig. 5b further revealed that each type of atom was uniformly distributed within the entire structure. The Pr species were located in the external layer of the hollow urchin-shaped structure, and the Mn species were observed in the interior layer. Also, the distribution range of the Pr was found to be the same as Mn, demonstrating that the PrO$_2$ uniformly covered the MnO$_x$ core. Based on the results above, it is reasonable to deduce that the core–shell structure catalyst could not only provide a relatively enclosed micro-environment to expose abundant active sites and enhance mass transfer, but the PrO$_2$ shell also protected the MnO$_x$ core from being poisoned. This contributed to an excellent SO$_2$/H$_2$O-tolerance of the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst.

### 3.2.2 XRD and BET analysis

XRD tests were carried out to determine the component and crystal phase structures of the catalysts. The crystalline structure of the active component plays an important role in its catalytic performance. Fig. 6 exhibits the typical XRD pattern of the catalysts including CSs, hollow MnO$_x$, and hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$. For the CSs templates, only a distinct diffraction peak at 2$\theta$ = 24$^\circ$ was detected, which was associated with the carbon material. Interestingly, no characteristic peaks of CSs were observed in the hollow MnO$_x$, hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$, or hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$, suggesting that the sacrificial template of CSs was completely eliminated by heating at 400 $^\circ$C. With regard to the hollow MnO$_x$, the peaks at 2$\theta$ = 12.8$^\circ$, 18.1$^\circ$, 28.8$^\circ$, 37.5$^\circ$, 41.9$^\circ$, 49.8$^\circ$, 56.4$^\circ$, 60.3$^\circ$, and 69.7$^\circ$ were attributed to MnO$_2$, while the peaks at 2$\theta$ = 32.9$^\circ$, 55.2$^\circ$, and 65.8$^\circ$ were assigned to Mn$_2$O$_3$. This phenomenon demonstrated the coexistence of different Mn species in the catalyst and that MnO$_x$ was the main phase. After adding the Pr species, characteristic peaks at 2$\theta$ = 28.6$^\circ$, 47.6$^\circ$, and 22.8$^\circ$, 31.1$^\circ$, 45.6$^\circ$ were observed for the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ and hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$, which were related to the (110), (220) planes of PrO$_2$ and the (202), (402), (503) planes of Pr$_2$O$_5$, respectively. The XRD results were also in agreement with the HRTEM images. Also, the diffraction peaks of both catalysts shifted slightly to higher angles, which was attributed to that the manganese ions (Mn$^{4+}$ = 53 Å, Mn$^{3+}$ = 64.5 Å, Mn$^{2+}$ = 83 Å) being partly diffused into the PrO$_2$ (Pr$^{4+}$ = 85 Å, Pr$^{3+}$ = 99 Å) lattice during the heating process, which led to lattice shrinkage.

Additionally, as compared with the hollow MnO$_x$, the characteristic XRD peaks of the MnO$_2$ and Mn$_2$O$_3$ were weaker and wider, which revealed that smaller mixed oxide particles with a lower degree of crystallization were formed. According to some reports, the decrease in crystallization degree led to increase of surface adsorbed oxygen, thus contributing to an improved SCR performance. The BET-specific surface areas of the catalysts were measured and are summarized in Table 1. It was reported that with a larger specific surface area and pore volume of the catalyst, the exposure of active sites increased and more reactants were absorbed on the surfaces. As can be seen from Table 1, after introducing Pr, the surface area and pore volume increased as follows: hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ (195.31 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, 0.36 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) > hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ (185.39 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, 0.31 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) > hollow MnO$_x$ (136.70 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, 0.24 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$). This indicated that more reactants were adsorbed on the core–shell structure hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst leading to an increase in the SCR reactions.

### 3.2.3 XPS analysis

The surface properties of the catalysts also play an important role in the NH$_3$-SCR reaction. Hence, the surface atom concentrations and states of the catalysts were determined by XPS (Fig. 7 and Table 1). The XPS spectrum of
Mn 2p$_{3/2}$ is shown in Fig. 7a and could be divided into three peaks: Mn$^{3+}$ (640.2–640.8 eV), Mn$^{3+}$ (641.2–641.5 eV) and Mn$^{4+}$ (642.5–643.0 eV). The spectra of the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ and the hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ were slightly shifted to lower binding energies. Similar results have been obtained by Ma et al. and Chang et al., demonstrating that the chemical environment of Mn was different from the hollow MnO$_x$, suggesting that there was an interaction between the MnO$_x$ species and the PrO$_x$ species given in the following reactions:

$$2\text{MnO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + O^*; \quad (1)$$
$$\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{PrO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{MnO}_2 + \text{Pr}_2\text{O}_3; \quad (2)$$
$$\text{Pr}_2\text{O}_3 + 1/2O_2 \rightarrow 2\text{PrO}_2. \quad (3)$$

As listed in Table 1, among the three catalysts, the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ possessed the highest surface molar concentration of Mn$^{4+}$ (58.3%), indicating that the PrO$_x$ shell might have enriched the surface concentration of the Mn$^{4+}$ species. It was confirmed that the Mn$^{4+}$ species and their oxidation–reduction processes were in favor of the low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR reaction. As a result, with more Mn$^{4+}$ species, the low-temperature catalytic activity of the catalyst was enhanced. Furthermore, it was worth noting that the concentrations of Mn significantly decreased after the coating with a PrO$_x$ shell, which suggested that most of the Mn species were covered by PrO$_x$. In other words, PrO$_x$ mainly deposited on the surface of catalyst, and the results were consistent with TEM.

The XPS spectrum of the Pr 3d reported in Fig. 7b shows two sets of spin–orbit multiplets that were observed at binding energies of ca. 953.5 and 933.9 eV, which represented the 3d$_{3/2}$ and 3d$_{5/2}$ electrons of Pr, respectively. According to He et al., the signals at ca. 928.6, 933.2, 946.3, and 951.1 eV were assigned to Pr$^{3+}$, and the signals at ca. 930.5, 933.9, 949.2, 953.7, and 956.8 eV to Pr$^{4+}$. The characteristic features of Pr$^{4+}$ at 966–968 eV could not be resolved in the figure. As reported in other studies, this unique peak of Pr$^{4+}$ usually appears after oxidation treatment (O$_3$) of PrO$_2$ within the XPS reactor chamber. The Pr$^{4+}$ ions were unstable and lose electrons easily, which facilitated the process of oxygen storage and release between Pr$^{4+}$ and Pr$^{3+}$ ($2\text{PrO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Pr}_2\text{O}_3 + O^*$; $\text{Pr}_2\text{O}_3 + 1/2O_2 \rightarrow 2\text{PrO}_2$). That is, the existence of Pr$^{3+}$ in the PrO$_x$ implied the formation of an oxygen vacancy and the ratios of Pr$^{3+}$/Pr$^{4+}$ (39.6%) in the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ were higher than in the hollow MnO$_x$.

### Table 1  BET surface area and surface atomic composition of the catalysts

| Sample                  | Surface atomic concentration (%) | $X_{\text{Mn}}$/% | $X_{\text{O}}$/% | $X_{\text{Pr}}$/% | BET (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) | Pore volume (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Hollow MnO$_x$          | 39.47 60.53 —                   | 49.01 37.02 13.97 | 83.05 16.95      | —                 | 136.70              | 0.24                          |
| Hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$  | 12.62 54.19 33.19               | 58.27 33.24 8.49  | 29.7 70.3        | 60.4 39.6         | 195.31              | 0.36                          |
| Hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$  | 34.58 50.19 15.23               | 51.1 37.3 11.6    | 57.36 42.64      | 66.4 33.6         | 185.39              | 0.31                          |
hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ (33.6%). Therefore, it could be inferred that more oxygen vacancies were present in the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ than in the hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$.

The O 1s XPS spectrum for the catalysts were also shown in Fig. 7c. The sub-band at 531.3–532.2 eV was attributed to the surface-adsorbed oxygen species (O$_b$), and the sub-band at 529.2–530.0 eV was attributed to the lattice oxygen species (O$_a$). It is well known that O$_b$ is more active than O$_a$, therefore the higher mobility of the O$_b$ led to better performance in the oxidation reaction. An increase in the O$_b$ content promoted the oxidation of NO to NO$_2$ and further enhanced the low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR reaction through a fast pathway ($4\text{NH}_3 + 2\text{NO} + 2\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow 4\text{N}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$).

Moreover, the positions of the desorption peaks were related to the acid strength and the areas of desorption peaks were related to the amount of acid sites. As shown in Fig. 8, all catalysts exhibited less Bronsted acid sites but much more Lewis acid sites. In addition, in the higher temperature range, the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst possessed the largest desorption area and the lowest desorption temperature at the Lewis acid sites, indicating that there was a sufficient number of Lewis acid sites with suitable acid strength on its surface. Studies show that the appropriate acid strength and number of sites are conducive to the adsorption-activation of NH$_3$ on the catalyst surface. Moreover, the total number of acid sites for the catalysts were roughly calculated from the NH$_3$-TPD, which was listed in Table 2. The acid strength sequence of the catalysts was: hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ > hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ > hollow MnO$_x$. Based on the results, it was concluded that the hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ catalyst contained a highly effective specific surface area due to its architecture, more acid sites could be exposed, and the catalyst exhibited enhanced adsorption ability of NH$_3$, giving the same results as the BET analysis.

### Table 2  The surface acidic properties of the catalysts

| Sample             | Peak temperature (°C) | Acid amount (mmol g$^{-1}$) | Total acid amount (mmol g$^{-1}$) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                    | $T_1$ | $T_2$ | $S_1$ | $S_2$ |                                |
| Hollow MnO$_x$@PrO$_x$ | 173  | 448  | 0.141 | 1.345 | 1.486                            |
| Hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ | 175  | 508  | 0.13  | 1.047 | 1.177                            |
| Hollow MnO$_x$       | 185  | 530  | 0.123 | 0.726 | 0.849                            |

Fig. 8  NH$_3$-TPD profiles of the catalysts.

Fig. 9  H$_2$-TPR profiles of the catalysts.
observed for the hollow MnO$_2$–PrO$_x$ and hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ with the two peaks at higher temperatures coming from the conversion of Pr$^{4+}$ to Pr$^{3+}$, or the reduction reaction between Mn$^{4+}$/Mn$^{3+}$ and Pr$^{4+}$/Pr$^{3+}$. Specifically, the first two peaks were notably shifted to lower temperatures for both catalysts, indicating that the reduction capacity of the catalysts were improved and easier to reduce at low temperatures. In addition, the total consumption of H$_2$ for the hollow MnO$_2$–PrO$_x$ remarkably increased over the hollow MnO$_x$, as illustrated in Table 3. This implied that the addition of PrO$_x$ generated more reducing agents on the catalyst surface, which is consistent with the XPS results showing a higher reducing ability. The increased reducibility of the hollow MnO$_2$–PrO$_x$ over the hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$ catalysts further proved that the special core–shell structure of the catalyst was the main reason for the excellent reducibility.

4 Conclusion

In summary, we have successfully fabricated a hollow MnO$_2$–PrO$_x$ core–shell nanohybrid as a high-performance low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR de-NO$_x$ catalyst by using a facile two-step method. The hollow MnO$_2$–PrO$_x$ nanohybrid displayed excellent low-temperature NH$_3$-SCR activity with a maximum NO conversion of 99% at 120 °C with a space velocity of 40 000 h$^{-1}$. It also maintained a NO conversion above 90% within the broad temperature window of 100–240 °C. This favorable catalytic behavior was mainly due to the abundant content of Mn$^{4+}$ and O$_h$ species, uniform distribution of Mn and Pr species, and intimate interaction between MnO$_2$ and PrO$_x$ which brought about plentiful Lewis acid sites and excellent reducibility. Besides the excellent catalytic activity, the hollow MnO$_2$–PrO$_x$ catalyst consistently exhibited superior SO$_2$ and H$_2$O tolerance, which could be attributed to the special core–shell structure of the catalyst. The protection from the PrO$_x$ shell minimized the exposure of the hollow MnO$_2$ core surface active sites to SO$_2$ or H$_2$O, resulting in high stability and an improved anti-poisoning performance.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The project is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC-51478191).

Table 3 The reducibility of the catalysts

| Sample                  | $T_1$  | $T_{ii}$ | $T_{iii}$ | $S_1$  | $S_{ii}$ | $S_{iii}$ | Total H$_2$ consumption (mmol g$^{-1}$) |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|----------------------------------------|
| Hollow MnO$_2$–PrO$_x$  | 305    | 430      | 589       | 0.875  | 0.375    | 0.0838   | 1.3338                                 |
| Hollow MnO$_x$–PrO$_x$  | 323    | 445      | 621       | 0.6788 | 0.211    | 0.086    | 0.9758                                 |
| Hollow MnO$_x$          | 356    | 488      |           | 0.6298 | 0.202    |          | 0.831                                  |
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