A cute gastrointestinal illness is common. An estimated 1.3 episodes per person occur each year in Canada, which translates to more than 40 million incidents at an estimated cost of $3.7 billion annually. Acute diarrhea is the second most common reason travellers returning from developing countries seek medical attention. In most instances, infectious diarrhea is self-limiting and treatment does not depend on identification of the responsible pathogen. The challenge for front-line clinicians is to recognize who requires testing and treatment.

The diagnostic yield of stool cultures is relatively low, estimated to range from 1.5% to 5.6%, which for example translates to a cost of US$952 to US$1200 per positive sample in the United States. Therefore, selective testing has been used to improve the cost-effectiveness of testing. When deciding whether testing is warranted, clinicians need to consider two questions: Will identification of the pathogen influence patient management, such as treatment or infection-control measures? Is identification of the causative agent important from a public health perspective? This primer is a summary of information obtained from recent reviews, clinical trials and guidelines.

What factors are important on history and examination?

Ingestion of many different bacteria, viruses, parasites and bacterial toxins can all lead to acute presentation of vomiting and diarrhea. Infectious diarrhea can be classified in several ways. One simple approach is to categorize patients into two groups: those who present with bloody diarrhea and those who present with nonbloody diarrhea (Table 1).

The initial assessment of anyone presenting with infectious diarrhea should focus on severity, need for hydration and historical clues as to the cause in the patient. The decision to test for a specific pathogen, notify public health or start empirical treatment can be influenced by information acquired from thorough history-taking and physical examination.

Clinical features that help direct management include characteristics of the stool (e.g., bloody, watery), associated symptoms (e.g., fever, tenesmus) and, in some instances, the intensity of the vomiting. A detailed description of the clinical features associated with specific pathogens can be found in recent reviews.

Additional information that may help in deciding whether testing is necessary and what testing is required includes underlying comorbidities, travel history, ingestion of unfiltered water, recent use of antibiotics, recent contact with a sick individual, dietary history focusing on foods that are at high risk for transmitting diarrheal illness (raw or undercooked meat, shellfish, eggs or milk), employment (daycare worker, food handler, health care worker) and residence in a closed facility (e.g., long-term care facility).

Evidence of volume depletion is important to assess the severity of the illness. Reduced skin turgor and dry mucous membranes may reflect mild volume depletion. Tachycardia, an orthostatic drop in blood pressure, hypotension, changes in mental status, listlessness in children and weight loss in infants are all suggestive of severe dehydration. Examination of the abdomen may reveal focal or diffuse tenderness. Rebound tenderness suggestive of peritoneal inflammation should warrant further assessment to rule out severe colitis or perforation.

Key points

- Acute infectious diarrhea is a common, usually self-limiting condition that is underreported to public health authorities.
- Testing should be considered when patients present with diarrhea of more than one day's duration associated with bloody stools, fever, symptoms of sepsis or evidence of dehydration, recent antibiotic use or underlying immunocompromised state, or when identification of the causative agent is important from a public health perspective.
- Although antibiotics can reduce the duration of symptoms in nonbloody travellers' diarrhea, use of antibiotics to treat this otherwise self-limited illness may not justify the risk of antimicrobial resistance in the community.
Who requires testing?

Because most instances of acute diarrhea are self-limiting and because the diagnostic yield of stool cultures is relatively low, testing everyone who presents with infectious diarrhea is not necessary. However, guidelines from the Infectious Disease Society of America suggest that stool cultures are important in certain settings because the identification of a pathogen can reduce unnecessary tests or treatments, allow for appropriate treatment where there is documented benefit and prevent inappropriate treatment that could be harmful. In addition, diagnostic testing is a critical part of ongoing surveillance, is essential to identify outbreaks, can provide public health officials with potential clues as to origin and can be useful when implementing public health measures, if appropriate (e.g., a Shigella infection in a person employed as a food handler).

In general, testing should be considered when patients present with diarrhea of more than one day’s duration that is associated with bloody stools, fever, symptoms of sepsis or evidence of dehydration, recent antibiotic use or underlying immunocompromised state. Testing should also be considered when the diarrhea has important public health implications (e.g., the patient is employed as a food handler or daycare worker, or the investigation of clusters of a suspected outbreak is underway). Figure 1 outlines an approach to deciding who to test and what to test for.

Table 1: Possible causes of acute infectious diarrhea

| Agent      | Nonbloody diarrhea | Bloody diarrhea |
|------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Bacterium  |                    |                |
| Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (traveller’s diarrhea) |                   | Aeromonas spp |
| Vibrio paraahaemolyticus |                   | Campylobacter spp |
| Shigella spp |                   | E. coli producing Shiga-like toxin (e.g., E. coli O157:H7 and other strains) |
| Salmonella spp |             | Shigella spp |
| Yersinia spp |                   | Salmonella spp |
|             |                   | Yersinia spp |
| Virus      |                    |                |
| Norovirus  |                   |                |
| Rotavirus  |                   |                |
| Adenovirus |                   |                |
| Astrovirus |                   |                |
| Parasite   |                    |                |
| Giardia lambia |               | Entamoeba histolytica |
| Cryptosporidium |               |                |
| Isospora or Cyclospora spp |             |                |
| Toxin      |                    |                |
| Clostridium difficile |             |                |
| Staphylococcus aureus |              |                |
| Bacillus cereus |               |                |
| Clostridium perfringens |            |                |

What treatment should be offered?

Treatment of infectious diarrhea can be divided into supportive treatment and pathogen-directed treatment.

Supportive treatment

The mainstay of treatment is oral hydration. The World Health Organization (WHO) has laid out clear guidelines regarding the composition of effective oral rehydration solutions. Commercial solutions are available for treating dehydration. When commercially available preparations are unavailable, homemade oral rehydration solutions can be prepared by mixing one teaspoon (5 mL) of salt and eight teaspoons (40 mL) of sugar in 1 L of water. Alternatively, a combination of water, fruit juices and salted crackers or soup may help replace fluids and electrolytes in patients with mild dehydration. In contrast, most sweat-replacement sports drinks do not meet the WHO standards, because they contain too many carbohydrates and do not have enough replacement electrolytes.

Although many suggest a diet that contains easily digested foods such as bananas, rice, applesauce and toast (the BRAT diet), data from a pilot study show that dietary restriction does not enhance recovery. Over-the-counter medications such as bismuth subsalicylate (525 mg four times daily) and loperamide (4 mg initially, followed by 2 mg after each loose stool; maximum 16 mg/d) are available to treat acute diarrhea; however, an open-label comparison between these two medications published in 1990 found that loperamide was more effective. Loperamide is generally not recommended for use in patients with diarrhea associated with fever or bloody stools and should not be used in children less than three years old. Bismuth subsalicylate is not routinely recommended for use in children, because the salicylate component could predispose them to Reye syndrome.

Pathogen-directed treatment

There are many considerations in the decision to use antibiotics. Infectious diarrhea is a classic example of weighing the risks to the patient versus the benefits of the treatment.

Because results of stool culture can take 48 hours, the treating physician needs to decide whether empirical treatment is required before confirming the diagnosis. When clinicians are considering the use of empirical therapy, it is helpful to categorize patients into the following groups: travellers with nonbloody diarrhea, non-
The most common causes of community-acquired, infectious diarrhea are viral and short-lived. Conservative management with hydration, with or without loperamide, is the most appropriate approach in most instances. Although guidelines suggest that antibiotic treatment can be considered for patients with fever and moderate or severe disease, the benefits need to be weighed against the potential disadvantages of antibiotic use. A meta-analysis of the combined data from six trials showed that diarrhea in patients with travel-associated, nonbloody diarrhea resolved one to two days earlier on average with antibiotic use; however, the incidence of side effects was greater among these patients than among those who were not given antibiotics. Despite these data, in an era of increasing rates of antimicrobial resistance, the small improvement in recovery from an otherwise self-limited illness may not justify the risk of antimicrobial resistance in the community. However, if symptoms are severe or
worsen, empirical therapy can be considered (Figure 2).

Empirical treatment should be avoided in patients presenting with bloody stools, because potential causes include *Escherichia coli* O157 or other strains producing Shiga-like toxin. Although the data analyzed in a recent systemic review of antibiotic use and risk of hemolytic uremic syndrome in patients with a toxin-producing strain of *E. coli* O157 were conflicting, most clinicians recommend against antibiotic treatment.9,17,18 (Box 1 outlines the clinical features suggestive of infection with *E. coli* O157.19,20) In addition, *Shigella* infections are rarely life-threatening; thus, waiting for the results of the stool culture in a patient with bloody stools is reasonable before starting therapy.20

In patients with a positive culture result, the decision to treat depends on the pathogen, the length of time from symptom onset, and comorbidities. Documented bacteremia requires antibiotic treatment. In *Shigella* and *Campylobacter* infections, antibiotic treatment is associated with reduced severity and bacterial shedding.4 However, in *Campylobacter* infections, a study published in 1982 showed that antibiotics must be given within four days after symptom onset,21 and a recent meta-analysis showed that antibiotic treatment shortened the duration of symptoms by only 1.3 days.22

Although treatment of *Salmonella* carries with it an increased risk of chronic carriage, bacteremia can occur in 2%-4% of patients.4 Therefore, treatment should be considered in individuals with a positive culture result and who are at

---

**Figure 2: Algorithm for deciding whether empirical treatment of infectious diarrhea is required.**

For nonbloody diarrhea with a history of travel, antibiotic use only reduces symptoms by 1–2 days and is associated with side effects; such treatment may not be justified in an era of antimicrobial resistance. 11000 mg of azithromycin can cause nausea. Note: *C. difficile* = *Clostridium difficile*, *E. coli* = *Escherichia coli*. 
risk of serious infection or complications of bacteremia (Box 2).3,5

Patients with a positive test result for *Clostridium difficile* cytotoxocity should receive treatment with either metronidazole, if the infection is mild, or oral vancomycin, if the infection is severe.23 As mentioned previously, antibiotic treatment in patients with documented infection with *E. coli* O157:H7 or another strain producing Shiga-like toxin is not recommended because of the potential increased risk of hemolytic uremic syndrome.19

How can transmission be prevented?

Although infectious diarrhea is often associated with food-borne illness, many pathogens such as norovirus or *Shigella* can be readily transmitted person to person. Patients who have acute gastrointestinal illness should be reminded of the importance of hand hygiene as a way to prevent transmission to others. People at high risk of transmitting disease (e.g., those employed as food handlers, daycare workers or health care workers) should stay off work until symptoms resolve. However, even in healthy people, many pathogens, including norovirus, can shed for weeks following infection, which reinforces the need for attention to hand hygiene.24

Patients with underlying comorbidities, such as immunosuppression or chronic liver disease, are at increased risk of severe infections and should use safe food-preparation practices and avoid eating high-risk foods (e.g., raw shellfish and raw or undercooked meat). Contaminated swimming pools are well recognized as the source of infectious diarrhea in some outbreaks; therefore, patients with a *Cryptosporidium* infection should avoid swimming while symptomatic and for two weeks after the diarrhea stops, because of the potential for persistent shedding of oocytes.6

When should public health officials be notified?

Acute gastrointestinal illness is underreported to public health officials. Although laboratories are obligated to report isolates of many of the pathogens, the true incidence of disease is underrepresented. Recent data from the National Studies on Acute Gastrointestinal Illness suggest that, for every patient with verotoxigenic *E. coli*, *Salmonella* or *Campylobacter* infection detected by the national surveillance system in Canada, up to 49 people with such infections are missed in the community.1 In addition, the identification of clusters of parasitic infections can be important indicators of food- or water-borne outbreaks, such as the *Cryptosporidium* outbreak in North Battleford, Saskatchewan, in 2001.25

Because primary care physicians are often the first individuals to recognize clusters of cases, it is particularly important for them to report cases involving patients who would be at risk of transmitting infection or who may be indicators of potential outbreaks. This would include employees who are food handlers, daycare workers, health care workers and residents in closed facilities. The reporting requirements may differ from province to province; clinicians should check with local public health officials regarding reporting obligations.

When should follow-up testing be done?

Although follow-up testing is not routinely recommended, there may be situations where confirmation is needed to show that a patient is no longer shedding the pathogen. Follow-up cultures may be recommended for food handlers or health care workers before they are allowed to

Box 2: Risk factors where treatment of diarrhea associated with nontyphoidal *Salmonella* infection is indicated

- Age < 6 months
- Age > 65 years
- Immunosuppression
- Corticosteroid use
- Inflammatory bowel disease
- Prosthetic joint or vascular material
- Hemoglobinopathy (e.g., sickle cell disease)
- Hemodialysis

Box 1: Clinical features suggestive of infection with *Escherichia coli* O15719,20

- Bloody diarrhea preceded by one to three days of nonbloody diarrhea
- Five stools in 24 hours
- Abdominal tenderness
- Worsening pain on defecation
- No fever on presentation
- No granulocytic reaction seen in differential count of leukocytes
return to work. Recommendations regarding which pathogens and patients should prompt follow-up testing can vary among provinces. As such, clinicians should consult their local public health officials for further guidance.
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