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Introduction
Arabic language is considered the most widely spoken language among the Semitic languages [1, 2]. It is also one of the popular languages in the world. As the statistical studies in 2019 mentioned [3], Arabic language is spoken by nearly 319 million people and is ranked the fifth
between the world’s languages after Chinese, Spanish, English, and Urdu/Indian. Arabic native
speakers are distributed throughout the Arab World as well as many other nearby areas. Also,
Arabic has around 30 modern varieties or dialects; one of them is the standard form MSA
(Modern Standard Arabic) [4]. In 2012, the United Nations Economic and Social Commission
for West Asia (ESCWA) mentioned that Arabic language has achieved the highest growth rate
on the Internet compared to other languages. Therefore, recently digital Arabic content on the
internet became fairly large. However, this does not deny the reality that Arabic is considered a
highly ambiguous language, especially when trying to analyze, classify and process Arabic data
automatically.

Recently, many efforts have investigated the Arabic language whether to analyze the text [5, 6,
7], parse statements [8, 9], analyze sentiment [10, 11, 12, 13], recognize speech [14, 15],
translate statements [16, 17], or detect depression [18]; all these applications require the
existence of comprehensive Arabic datasets. Building a dataset is not an easy task, as it requires
tremendous effort, time and cost. Also, the recent application of machine learning and deep
learning requires huge datasets which contain billions of records. For example, training a
sentiment classifier using deep learning methods requires huge data properly labelled with
polarity information. Therefore, an automatic expansion for Arabic datasets is very favorable,
especially when knowing that manually collecting and annotating data are troublesome [19].

Sentiment analysis is the task of processing data, mainly textual, in order to determine its
polarity, i.e., positive, negative, or neutral [20, 21]. This task has several real-world applications
with great impact on important domains such as business [22], politics [23, 24], tourism [25] and
marketing [26]. In general, sentiment analysis could be treated as an unsupervised learning task
[27], supervised learning task [28], or a hybrid of both. Unsupervised learning for sentiment
analysis relies on sentiment lexicons. By comparison, supervised learning requires the existence
of annotated or labelled data to train the classifiers. Ideally, data labeling for sentiment analysis
mandates that each instance must be assigned a label from: positive, negative, or neutral. This
task of labelling is usually human-based and thus it is costly. Corpora for sentiment analysis are
usually gathered from social media; and due to the multilingual nature of such media, several
researchers directed their efforts towards multilingual sentiment analysis [29, 30, 31].

Deep learning has received unprecedented attention in recent years and provided state-of-the-art
results in many fields including sentiment analysis [32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. However, deep neural
networks need large amounts of data to train and tune their parameters. Data augmentation is a
technique for expanding the datasets, and it was paired with deep learning applications. It has
been used successfully with vision data and recently has received attention with textual data.
Augmenting data that was initially labelled for sentiment analysis involves generating new
sentences relying on the existing ones. The simplest form is to use the synonyms of the words to
create new sentences with the same labels as the original ones. In this work, a framework for
extending the size of datasets that were originally labeled for sentiment analysis is presented.
Specifically, in focus on the Arabic syntax, grammar and morphology to create new sentences with the same labels or opposite labels as explained in Section 4.

The syntax of Arabic language is complex [37, 38] - as several matching cases are possible between words in the same sentence, while in addition, each word has several synonyms. Therefore, it is possible to generate tens of variants for an Arabic sentence while preserving its meaning. This task can be automated if the system is able to parse the statement and link it to lexical resources. Parsing is the process where each word in the text is labeled with its part of the speech tag (Verb, Object, Subject, etc.). However, parsing is not a simple process especially for Arabic language where the structure and order of the words are not specified. The Natural Language Processing Group at Stanford University has built an open-source parser [39]. Stanford Parser provides a set of natural language processing functions. Mainly, it was built for English, later on, many developers have carried out extensive work to improve the code and the grammatical rules to make it more comprehensive. As a result, this parser has been extended to include languages other than English, such as Chinese, German, Italian and Arabic. The parsing tool takes a text file as input and generates the base forms of words, normalizes and interprets dates, times, and numeric quantities. Finally, it analyzes the grammatical structure of the sentences. The output of the parsing process can be presented in several forms, such as phrase structures, trees, or dependencies.

For building the framework, initially the Stanford Arabic Parser was used to generate the parse trees of Arabic sentences. Afterwards, the augmentation rules generated were used on these trees, to generate several equivalent parse trees for the original sentences utilizing Arabic morphology, syntax, synonyms, and negation particles. These augmentation rules can be broadly divided into:

1. rules which alter or swap branches of the parse trees as per Arabic syntax and thus generate new sentences with the same labels
2. rules which generate new parse trees by utilizing the synonyms of words in these sentences, and also generate new sentences with the same original labels
3. rules which insert negation particles into the sentences and thus generate new sentences with opposite labels. It is worth mentioning here that the work in this paper addresses text augmentation for sentiment analysis. This means that the labels of the investigated sentences are either neutral, positive or negative. Applying the sets of rules described in (1) or (2) above will generate new sentences with the same labels as the input sentences. By comparison, applying the set of rules described in (3) as aforementioned, generates new sentences with opposite labels to the input sentences. Experiments proved the viability and effectiveness of the augmentation framework by running three experiments using three datasets. The size of the original datasets substantially increased and the generated sentences were of high quality.

The rest of this article is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly describes the related literature works. Section 3 explains the properties of Arabic language. Section 4 explains the design of the transformation rules which are the core of the augmentation framework. Section 5 describes the
implementation of the framework. Section 6 demonstrates the experiments which were carried out to assess the effectiveness of the proposed work. And finally section 7 summarizes the conclusion of this work.

**Related Work**

This section describes related studies which have utilized Arabic WordNet as a component of frameworks. It also describes the related work which addresses data augmentation.

**Arabic WordNet**

WordNet [40] is a large linguistic database, or hierarchical dictionary, which was initially developed for the English language. It has been very useful for the fields of computational linguistics and Natural Language Processing [41]. Because of its structure, the WordNet differs from other standard dictionaries, where it groups words based on their meanings. The English WordNet lexicon [42] is divided into syntactic categories that contain (nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs). It should be noted here that function words are deleted. However, WordNet grouped synonyms using the meaning (thesaurus) rather than the form (dictionaries). It also represents words redundantly - where a given word may appear in noun, verb and adverb syntactic categories. The WordNet consists of four parts [40]: (1) lexicographers source files; (2) the tool to convert these files into the lexical database; (3) the lexical database; (4) software tools that are used to access the database.

WordNet has been very useful as it was used to build many Natural Language Processing applications, Information Retrieval, term expansion and document representations [43]. For example, Varelas et al. [44] compared the performance of using single ontology and different ontologies for the semantic similarity methods. Single ontology experiments were performed using the WordNet and it showed better performance in the results.

However, many efforts have been reported to adapt WordNet for other languages, such as WordNets for European languages [45], and French and Slavonian WordNets [46]. By comparison, Arabic WordNet [47] used the same development approach for word representation of Princeton WordNet to keep it compatible with other Word-Nets’ structures. Arabic WordNet is a lexical database for Modern Standard Arabic, with two main linguistic categories (verbs and nouns). First, the important concepts that represent the core WordNet were extracted, then specific concepts for the Arabic language were developed along with other concepts that were manually translated to the most convenient synset from other languages. It was developed using MySQL and XML [47]. Finally, the Arabic WordNet ended up with 11,270 synsets (2538 verbs, 7961 nominal, 110 adverbs, and 661 adjectives) with 23,496 Arabic expressions. Table 1 presents detailed information about the statistical properties of Arabic WordNet.

Several researchers have targeted extending Arabic WordNet. For example, in the work reported in [48, 49], the authors automatically extracted named entities from Arabic Wikipedia. Subsequently, they attached these entities as instances to the synsets of Arabic WordNet and
finally created a link to their counterparts in English WordNet. Moreover, Badaro et. al [50] introduced an automatic method for expanding Arabic WordNet – where they formulated the problem as a link prediction problem.

Shoaib et al. [51] used the relationships in Arabic WordNet in order to build a model for semantic search in the Holy Quran. The proposed model improved searching and retrieving of the related verses from the Holy Quran without mentioning a specific keyword in the query. The model works in two stages. Namely, it identifies one sense of the query word using Word Sense Disambiguation, then it extracts out all the synonyms of the identified sense of the word.

AlMaayah et al. [52] have also worked on the Holy Quran, where the researchers have built a model that extracts the synonyms and builds the Quranic Arabic WordNet. This net was built based on the Boundary Annotated Quran Corpus, lexicon resources, and traditional Arabic dictionaries. The final model was able to link the Holy Quran words that have the same meaning and generate sets of synsets using the vector space model. The Quranic Arabic WordNet has 6918 synsets from 8400 unique word senses. In other studies, the researches have tried to extract semantic relationships between words, and provide models to represent ontological relations for the Arabic content on the internet. These representations are useful to facilitate the analyses and processing of Arabic text. Al Zamil and Al-Radaideh [53] have used the semantic features that were extracted from the text along with syntactic patterns of relationships to provide models that are able to automate the process of ontological relations extraction. The extracted features are used to construct generalized rules which were used to build a classifier. The classifier presents each concept with its designated relationship label.

**Data Augmentation**

Data augmentation is a technique that is used to increase the size of datasets and preserve the labels at the same time. It became popular with deep learning networks as they require training on huge datasets to secure high accuracies [54, 55, 56, 57]. Extending the size (number of samples) in a dataset, especially for under-represented classes, is mainly depended on generating perturbed replicas of the class samples. This technique has proved its success in image classification such as the work reported in [54, 58, 59]; 3D pose estimation as reported in [60]; speaker language identification as described in [61]; recognition of audio-visual effect [62]; and the classification of the environmental sound [63].

On the other hand, data augmentation is limited when dealing with textual data. This is due to the very difficult definition and standardization of specific rules or transformations that preserve the meaning of the produced textual data [19]. Basically, the main approach that works to increase the size of textual data, and preserves text meaning, is to use the synonyms of words, relying on lexical resources such as WordNet. The works reported in [64, 65] have used a synonyms-based approach for augmenting textual data. As the synonyms are very limited, the proposed sentences are not very different and
numerous from the original texts. Therefore, Kobayashi [19] has proposed the contextual augmentation method, which is a state-of-the-art method to augment words, and produce more varied sentences. The author used words predicted by the bidirectional language model (LM) instead of using synonyms. The proposed approach was able to present a wide range of substitute words and it has been tested with two classifiers using recurrent or convolutional neural networks where it improves the overall performance. Georgios Rizos et al. [66] targeted extending a text used for hate speech detection relying on synonyms lists, wrapping the word token around the padded sequence, and finally applying class-based conditional recurrent neural language generation. The authors state that they achieved a 5.7% increase on Macro-F1 and a 30% in recall when extending the datasets using their three text extensions methods.

The work reported in [67] has described a framework for augmenting tweets based on ConceptNet and Wikidata. The authors suggested two methods for improving the quality of tweets by first appending terms extracted from ConceptNet and Wikidata to the existing tweets but not increasing their numbers. Secondly, they generated new tweets by replacing words or terms in the original tweets with terms extracted from ConceptNet and Wikidata. This approach is close to the approaches which utilize synonyms. In a similar study, Kolomiyets et al. [68] replaced the headwords with a substitute word predicted from the Latent Words in the language model. The authors only used the top k score words as a substitute. Mueller and Thyagarajan [69] substituted random words in sentences with their synonyms to generate new sentences. Subsequently, they trained a siamese recurrent network to compute the similarity between sentences. Wang and Yang [65] employed word embedding to increase the size of the training data. Specifically, they replaced a given word with its nearest neighbor word vector.

As it can be seen from the above literature, most of the existing augmentation techniques address image or audio data and less work addresses text augmentation. In this regard, it should be mentioned that no work addresses Arabic text augmentation. The current proposed framework is substantially different from text augmentation which relies on the replacement of words by their synonyms. On the other hand, it utilizes the rich syntax and grammar of the Arabic language in order to generate transformation rules, that are subsequently used to generate new sentences based on seed sentences.

**Arabic Language Properties**

Arabic language is one of the Semitic languages. It consists of twenty-eight basic letters. Several Arabic letters change their shapes based on their location in the word. For example, the letter (س) has the shape (ـس) when it is located at the beginning of the word, the shape (ـس) when it is located at the middle of the word, (س) when it is located at the end of the word but connected to the previous letter, and (س) when it comes at the end of the word but disconnected from the previous letter. Arabic is an inflectional language that is written from right to left. The following three subsections provide background about Arabic language.
Arabic Morphology

Morphology is the structure of words. The morphology of Arabic language is complex but systematic - where there are two ways to build a word in Arabic: derivation and agglutination. The derivation is a way of generating stems from a list of roots; based on three basic letters (ﻑ، ل، ع) for trilateral roots. For example, by using the root word “درس” that rhymes with “فعل” one can generate the following stems:

- Study “دارسة” دَرَﺱَndoname
- Scholar “دアップ” دَأَرَﺱ
daros
- Lesson “دارس” طَأَرَﺱ
- Teacher “مدارس” مَﺪَأَرَﺱ
- Schools “مدارس” مَﺪَأَرَﺱ
- School “مدراس” مَﺪَرَﺱَ
- Study “مدراس” مَﺪَرَﺱَ

The second way to build words in Arabic language is agglutination. In this way, the words are built by adding affixes to the word. These affixes could be prefixes at the beginning of words such as (ء، ك، تم، ان)، infixes in the middle of the word (such as ( recounts ), or suffixes at the end of the word such as (ء، او، ان).

Arabic Syntax

In Arabic scripts, the sentence has two types or categories (nominal and verbal). Each type has its own grammar and rules. The nominal sentence, in Arabic, consists of a subject (مبتدا) and predicate (خبر). The normal order is that the subject is followed by the predicate but in certain cases, it is allowed to swap them (e.g. the sentence (أنت مبتدة) which means “You are diligent” could be (أنت يجبت “مبتدة”). The subject in the nominal sentence can be Noun, Pronoun or Number while the predicate can be Singular Noun, Adverb, Preposition, Nominal sentence, or Verbal Sentence.

The verbal sentence in Arabic, like in many other languages, consists of Verb (V), Subject (S), and Object (O) without a specific order, which means that the order of verbal sentences could be: VSO, VOS, SVO or VOS. Additionally, in Arabic language diacritics, prefixes and suffixes are used to represent gender. Therefore, the absence of diacritics can create ambiguity and might change the meaning.

Diacritics

One of the Arabic language features is the diacritics that are written above or underneath its letters. Diacritics are small vowel marks that represent three short vowels (أ، إ، ع). They are used to regulate and control the letters and pronunciation. Therefore, diacritics have a huge effect on the text and its meaning, removing them may lead to morphological-lexical and morphological-syntactical ambiguities. For example, the word (نعم) (نعم) has the meaning 'Yes' if it was written
Transformation rules definition

As a first step, clear definitions of Arabic grammar rules were specified. These rules include specifications for nominal sentences, verbal sentences, questions, verbs, adjectives, pronouns, prepositions, conjunctions, and numbers. These defined grammar-based rules were represented using the Stanford Arabic parser tagset. Table 2 lists these tags in full details.

Table 3, on the other hand, summarizes the core concepts of this research – it depicts, in the second column, grammar rules for valid sentences in Arabic. The third column of Table 3 lists equivalent grammar rules which were derived from the original rules listed in the second column. The importance of these rules is that sentences that respect the grammar rules listed in the second column could be mapped to new sentences which fulfill the grammar rules listed in column 3, and still have the same label for the classifiers. The following statements show example sentences from Arabic which respect grammar rules in Table 3 and show how these sentences are transformed into new sentences, $\rightarrow$ means that the RHS of the rules are equivalent to the LHS:

**RULE 1:** DTNN + ADJ $\rightarrow$ ADJ + DTNN

*Example:* Alrjl mHbwb (منحوب الرجل) $\rightarrow$ mHbwb Alrjl (الرجل منحوب)

*Parse:* (ROOT (S (NP (DTNN الرجل) (ADJP (JJ منحوب))))) $\rightarrow$ (ROOT (ADJP (JJ منحوب) (NP DTNN الرجل)))

**RULE 2:** NN + ADJ $\rightarrow$ ADJ + NN

*Example:* mAlk rA}E (مالك رأى) $\rightarrow$ rA}E mAlk (مالك)

*Parse:* (ROOT (S (NP (NNP مالك) (ADJP (JJ رأى))))) $\rightarrow$ (ROOT (FRAG (NP (JJ رأى)) (NP (NNP مالك))))

**RULE 3:** DTNN + NN $\rightarrow$ NN + DTNN

*Example:* Alrjl $\$jAE (شجاع الرجل) $\rightarrow$ $\$jAE Alrjl (الرجل شجاع)

*Parse:* (ROOT (S (NP (DTNN الرجل) (NP (NNP شجاع)))))) $\rightarrow$ (ROOT (ADJP (JJ شجاع) (NP (DTNN الرجل))))

**RULE 4:** NN + NN $\rightarrow$ NN + NN(swap)

*Example:* AHmd Swth rA}E (حمد صوته رأى) $\rightarrow$ rA}E AHmd Swth (حمد)

*Parse:* (ROOT (S (NP (NNP حمد) (NP (NNP صوته رأى)))))) $\rightarrow$ (ROOT (FRAG (NP (JJ رأى) (راو)) (NP (NNP ضحك))))

**RULE 5:** NN + DTNN $\rightarrow$ NN + DTNN
Example: Ebd AlrHmn xlwq (خلوق عبد الرحمن) → xlwq Ebd AlrHmn (عبد الرحمان خلوق)

Parse: (ROOT (FRAG (NP (NNP (الرحمان)) (NP (DTNNP (الرحمان))))) → (ROOT (NP (NNP (الرحمان)))))

RULE 6: DTNN + DTNN → DTNN + DTNN (swap)
Example: Ebd AlrHmn AlrHym (عبد الرحمن الرحمان) → AlrHym Ebd AlrHmn (الرحمان عبد الرحمن)
Parse: (ROOT (FRAG (NP (NNP (الرحمان)) (NP (DTNNP (الرحمان))))) → (ROOT (NP (DTNNP (الرحمان)))))

RULE 7: ADJ + ADJ → DTNN + DTNN (sawap)
Example: AlftAp Aljmylp mjthdp (الفتاة المجثدة المجليلة) → AlftAp mjthdp Aljmylp (الفتاة المجثدة المجليلة)
Parse: (ROOT (NP (DTNN (الفتاة المجثدة المجليلة)))) → (ROOT (NP (DTNN (الفتاة المجثدة المجليلة))))

RULE 8: PP + (NN + DTNN) → place them in the beginning and reverse the sentence
Example: Ebr AlmSr Em Skr AlfSI (عن (الآمر من الشرفاء)) → En Skr AlfSI Ebr AlmSr (عن)

RULE 9: PP + DTNN → place them in the beginning and reverse the sentences
Example: bAsm yqdm $y\{A mn AlfkAhAt bAsm yqdm $y\{A (يا باسم يقدم شيئا من الفكاهات)) → mn AlfkAhAt bAsm yqdm (يا)

Parse: (ROOT (S (VP (VBD (NP (DTNN (من الجزء المجليلة))))) (NP (NN (من الفكاهات (من الفكاهات))))) (VP (VPB (يدوم (من الجزء المجليلة))))) → (ROOT (S (PP (IN (من الجزء المجليلة))))) (VP (VPB (يدوم (من الجزء المجليلة)))))

RULE 10: PP + (Special character VB | NN) → place them in the beginning and reverse the sentence
Example: tSaq mE Al*\{Ab EIY >n ykw $sk mstEdA (التجاذب مع الذنب على أن يكون فاسك مستعدا) → Al*\{Ab EIY >n ykw tSaq mE Al*\{Ab $sk mstEdA (التجاذب مع الذنب على أن يكون فاسك مستعدا)

Parse: (ROOT (S (VP (VBD (NP (NN (من الجزء المجليلة))))) (NP (NN (من الجزء المجليلة))))) (VP (VPB (يدوم (من الجزء المجليلة))))) → (ROOT (S (VP (VBD (NP (NN (من الجزء المجليلة))))) (VP (VPB (يدوم (من الجزء المجليلة)))))

RULE 11: Wh-prounoun at the end of the sentences → Move it to the beginning
Example: njH AI*y *hb AIY Almdrsp (الذيذهب إلى المدرسة) → AI*y *hb AIY Almdrsp njH (الذيذهب إلى المدرسة)

Parse: (ROOT (NP (VP (VBD (NP (NN (من الجزء المجليلة))))) (VP (VPB (يدوم (من الجزء المجليلة))))) (VP (VPB (يدوم (من الجزء المجليلة))))) → (ROOT (S (VP (VBD (NP (NN (من الجزء المجليلة))))) (VP (VPB (يدوم (من الجزء المجليلة)))))

RULE 12: Special adverb + (NN | VB | (special character VB | NN)) → (NN | VB | (special character VB | NN)) + Special adverb
Example: AlEfw End Almqdrp

Parse: (ROOT (NP (NP (DTNN (العفو عند المقدرة)) (NP (NN (العفو عند المقدرة))))) \rightarrow (ROOT (NP (NN (العفو عند المقدرة)))))

Example: frH Alwld bxbvr AlhrLp qbl > n y*hb frH

Parse: (ROOT (S (NP (NN (العفو عند المقدرة)) (NP (NN (العفو عند المقدرة))))))) \rightarrow (ROOT (S (NP (NN (العفو عند المقدرة))))))

Example: RULE 15: Pronoun + (NN | VB | ADJ) \rightarrow (NN | VB | ADJ) + Pronoun

Example: RULE 16: VB+(NN|DTNN) \rightarrow (NN+DTNN) + VB
RULE 17: VB+(Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) → Special-character+(NN|DTNN) +VB
Example: Elmt >n AlwfA' Sfp EZym (<أن أعلم أن الوفاء صفة عظيمة (>n AlwfA' Elmt Sfp EZym)
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Extensive experiments, showed that the Arabic Stanford parsing is not very accurate especially for the adverbs and negation words. This will adversely affect the system by generating wrong synonyms for the sentences. Therefore, there existed the need for declaring our own list of some adverbs, negation and special words because Stanford Parser does not assign the proper labels, as expected. These lists are presented in Table 4.

**Description of Framework**

The general framework is illustrated in Figure 1. In the first step, a sentence with its label is passed to the system and it is converted to its canonical form (i.e. its parse tree). Secondly, multiple equivalent sentences (parse trees) are generated from the input sentence by replacing words with their synonyms. The synonyms are generated using Arabic WordNet. Thirdly, multiple variants of the sentences (parse trees), which were generated in step 2, are produced based on the transformation grammatical rules described in Table 3. The sentences generated in step 2 and step 3 all have the same label as the original input sentence. The Negation module is optionally called if we want to infuse negation particle into the generated sentences, and thus substantially increasing the number of generated sentences. The generated sentences from the Negation module have opposite labels to the input sentence and its variants. The following subsections describe, in detail, each developed module.

**Generate synonyms using Arabic WordNet**

The Arabic WordNet browser is free and publicly available. It uses a locally-stored database of Arabic data in XML format - where words of the same meaning are linked through pre-defined lexical relations. Furthermore, the interface is modeled on the European language WordNet interface; hence, it contains the basic components with additional Arabic components. However, the performance of the Arabic WordNet is not satisfactory when compared with other WordNets. For example, the Arabic WordNet contains only 9.7% of the Arabic lexicon, while the English WordNet covers 67.5% of the English lexicon. Also, the Arabic WordNet synsets are linked only through hyponymy, synonymy, and equivalence; correspondingly seven semantic relations are used in the English WordNet. However, since the main goal is generating the synonyms of the words, the limitation of the Arabic WordNet did not substantially affect the work. Also, to avoid the noise caused by diacritics, only the first top five synsets in each synonyms list were considered. Table 5 shows the first eight synsets for the Arabic word “**مَن**” (man). As it can be seen from Table 5, the further we go deeper in generating synonyms, the higher the chance of generating wrong synonyms. The last two entries in Table 5 correspond to “**لَفْتُوّم**” and not “**مَن**”.
Apply transformation rules to generate equivalent sentences

Employing the synonyms and the transformation rules, enables us to generate a huge number of sentences that are equivalent, in meaning and label, to the original input sentence. Every extracted synonym, using Arabic WordNet, creates a new sentence from the input sentence. Subsequently, these sentences are processed by the transformation module which selectively applies the proper transformation rules and generates even more sentences with the same meaning and label to the original sentence. Meaning, here, is defined in the loose sense of being suitable for sentiment analysis and is not from a linguistics perspective. From a linguistic perspective, synonymous sentences represent close meanings but not exactly the same. As an example, one can generate 47 sentences from the simple verbal sentence "ﺍﻟﺘﻔﺎﺣﺔ ﺍﻟﻮﻟﺪ ﻛﻞ (The boy ate the apple)" using only the synonyms and transformation rules (i.e. without using the negation module which would generate even more sentences). Table 6 shows a sample of 9 sentences generated from the example sentence (The boy ate the apple).

Generate Parse Trees

This module is responsible for generating parse trees for the original input sentence; and the generated sentences using Stanford Arabic parser tagset. With parse trees, it becomes easier to apply the suitable transformation rules to a given sentence, and it also facilitates the infusion of negation particles into sentences as described in the next section. Part (A) of Figure 2 depicts the parse tree of the sentence (ﺍﻟﺘﻔﺎﺣﺔ ﺍﻟﻮﻟﺪ ﻛﻞ (ate the boy the apple), while part (B) of Figure 2 shows the parse tree of the sentence (ﺍﻟﻮﻟﺪ ﺛﺤﺎرة ﺛﺤﺎرة (the boy ate the apple). These two parse trees are equivalent.

Negation

Negating a sentence in Arabic means inserting one of the negation particles used in Arabic into an affirmative sentence. Every negation particle, in Arabic, has its own rules in terms of the type of verbs or nouns it affects and in terms of the position in the sentence in which it is inserted. Negating a sentence will result in a new sentence that has an opposite meaning to the original input sentence. The label of the input sentence is also flipped from positive to negative. In addressing the negation problem, we adopted the Negation-aware Framework presented by Duwairi and Alshboul [70], where the authors explore the effects of Arabic morphology on sentiment analysis. The study focused on five negations particles (لم، لن، لا، ما، ليس) that have been grouped into two categories based on their effect on the word as shown in Table 7.

After defining the negation rules, the system is able to negate a set of sentences and generate all possible variations of these sentences as a result of inserting negation particles regardless if the sentences are nominal or verbal sentences. Table 8 shows an example of the output generated after applying negation to the positive verbal sentence (أعجب ﺍﻟﻮﻟﺪ ﺛﺤﺎرة) which means (The boy likes the food). As it can be seen from Table 8, this one sentence generates 10 sentences with...
opposite labels (i.e. the input sentence shows positive sentiment towards food while the 10 generated sentences convey negative sentiments towards food).

**Evaluation**

The following subsections describe, thoroughly, three experiments that were designed to test the accuracy of the proposed augmentation framework. Firstly, an assessment for the impact of the proposed framework on sentiment analysis was made. Secondly, we tested the correctness of each transformation rule. Finally, the accuracy of the Negation module was tested and formulated.

**Experiment 1: classification of sentiment towards products**

The aim of this experiment is to classify product reviews into positive, negative or neutral reviews. The focus of this experiment is not the classifier, but to assess the resulting accuracy of using the proposed framework when enlarging the size of the dataset. To perform the first experiment, we used a subset of a public dataset of product reviews [71] which contains 300 reviews written in Arabic collected from souq.com. The data was annotated with three labels (1: positive 0: Neutral, -1: negative). In this experiment, and before performing any changes on the original data, the data was tested using several supervised classifiers (Naive Bayes, K-nearest neighbor, and Support vector machine). The data was divided into 70% for training and 30% for testing. All the classifiers used word embedding that is generated using AraVec with a dimension equals to 300 [72]. After the training process for each classifier, the testing phase for each classifier’s performance and ability to classify the testing data was performed. Accuracy was used to assess the performance of each classifier. Accuracy is calculated by dividing the number of correctly classified reviews by the number of all reviews. The reported accuracy was equal to 54.18% using the SVM classifier, 49.99% using the Naive Bayes classifier, and 52.17% using the K-nearest neighbor classifier. Next, the data was fed into the augmentation tool where the size of the data was increased by almost 10 times. The generated dataset was tested using the same classifiers. In comparison with the previous results, the accuracy was increased by 42% on average. In details, the accuracy rates obtained by each classifier, using the augmented dataset, were 97% using the SVM, 87% using the NB and 91.66% using the K-nearest neighbor as illustrated in Figure 3. This improvement was expected - as increasing the dataset size will subsequently improve the training process which leads to improving the overall performance of the classifier.

**Experiment 2: Testing the efficiency of each transformation rule**

The aim of this experiment was to test the accuracy of each transformation rule independently. To achieve this goal, it was preferable to design a small artificial dataset, which consists of 40 statements with positive sentiment, 32 statements with negative sentiment, and 27 neutral statements. A total of 99 sentences were carefully designed to align with the 23 transformation
rules. Each sentence was processed by the augmentation tool, and thus several sentences were generated for each input sentence. The generated sentences were manually inspected to test their validity. Rule accuracy is a measure that evaluates the ability of a given rule to generate correct and meaningful sentences. Rule accuracy is calculated by dividing the number of correct sentences generated by a given rule by the number of all sentences generated by that rule. "A correct sentence" means a grammatically correct and meaningful sentence. Table 9 shows the accuracy that was obtained for each rule. As can be seen from the table, all of the rules secured high accuracies. This means that the rules are capable of generating correct sentences. When examining the sources of error, we discovered that it was caused by improper synonymous words generated by the Arabic WordNet. It is important to note here that Arabic WordNet covers only 9.7% of the Arabic lexicon or vocabulary.

Experiment 3: The efficiency of negation rules

The goal of the third experiment is to assess the capability of the Negation module in order to generate correct sentences. A small artificial dataset which consists of 26 positive sentences and 24 negative sentences was created for this purpose. It should be mentioned here that the Negation module is responsible for inserting proper negation particles into the input sentences. Negation flips the polarity of the input sentence. This means that positive sentences will become negative and vice versa. All the resulted sentences from the Negation module are correct with their respective labels properly flipped.

Conclusion

In this study, a novel data augmentation framework for Arabic textual datasets for sentiment analysis was presented. In total, 23 transformation rules were designed to generate new sentences from the input ones. These rules were designed after carefully inspecting Arabic morphology and syntax. To increase the number of generated sentences for every rule, Arabic WordNet was used to swap the words with their respective synonyms. These rules preserve the labels of the input sentences. This means that if the input sentence has a positive label then the generated sentences also have positive labels. By the same token, if the label of the input sentence is negative, the labels of the generated sentences are also negative. The same is true for the neutral label. A Negation module was also designed to insert negation particles into Arabic sentences. This module inverts or flips the labels of the generated sentences, as this is the effect of negation particles on the polarity of statements. Experimentally, we tested the proposed framework by conducting three experiments. The first experiment has demonstrated the effect of increasing the dataset size, using the augmentation tool, on classification. As expected, the accuracy improved in all the classifiers. This indicates that the quality of the generated sentences was high. The second experiment was designed to test the accuracy of each transformation rule. An artificial dataset was designed for this purpose. All rules scored extremely high accuracies. The third and last experiment used an artificial dataset to assess the quality of the generated sentences from the...
Negation module. The experiment reveals that all generated sentences were correct with proper associated labels.
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Table 1 (on next page)

Statistical properties of Arabic WordNet.

Statistical properties of Arabic WordNet.
|                  | Unique strings | Synsets |
|------------------|----------------|---------|
| Noun             | 13,330         | 7961    |
| Verb             | 5595           | 2536    |
| Named entities   | 1426           | 1155    |
| Broken plurals   | 405            | 126     |
| **Total**        | **20,756**     | **11,778** |

**Table 1.** Statistical properties of Arabic WordNet.
Table 2 (on next page)

Stanford Arabic parser tageset.

Stanford Arabic parser tageset.
| Tag    | Description                     | Tag    | Description            |
|--------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| ADJ    | Adj                            | NNS    | Noun, plural           |
| CC     | Coordinating conjunction       | NOUN   | Noun                   |
| CD     | Cardinal number                | PRP    | Personal pronoun       |
| DT     | Determiner                     | PRP$   | Possessive pronoun     |
| DTJJ   | Adjective with the determiner “Al” (ﺍﻝ) | PUNC   | Punctuation            |
| DTJJR  | Adjective, comparative with the determiner “Al” (ﺍﻝ) | RB     | Adverb                 |
| DTNN   | Noun, singular or mass with the determiner “Al” (ﺍﻝ) | RP     | Particle               |
| DTNNP  | Proper noun, singular with the determiner “Al” (ﺍﻝ) | UH     | Interjection           |
| DTNNPS | Proper noun, plural with the determiner “Al” (ﺍﻝ) | VB     | Verb, base form        |
| DTNNS  | Noun, plural with the determiner “Al” (ﺍﻝ) | VBD    | Verb, past tense       |
| IN     | Preposition or subordinating conjunction | VBG    | Verb, gerund or present participle |
| JJ     | Adjective                      | VBN    | Verb, past participle  |
| JJR    | Adjective, comparative         | VBP    | Verb, non-3rd person singular present |
| NN     | Noun, singular or mass          | VN     | Verb, past participle  |
| NNP    | Proper noun, singular           | WP     | Wh-pronoun             |
| NNPS   | Proper noun, plural             | WRB    | Wh-adverb              |

Table 2. Stanford Arabic parser tageset.
Table 3 (on next page)

Transformation rules based on Arabic grammar.

Transformation rules based on Arabic grammar.
| ID | Original rules          | Equivalent Rules                      |
|----|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1  | DTNN +ADJ               | ADJ+DTNN                              |
| 2  | NN+ADJ                  | ADJ+NN                                |
| 3  | DTNN+NN                 | NN+DTNN                               |
| 4  | NN+NN                   | NN + NN (swap)                        |
| 5  | NN+DTNN                 | could not be changed                  |
| 6  | DTNN+DTNN               | DTNN+DTNN (swap)                      |
| 7  | ADJ+ADJ                 | ADJ +ADJ (swap)                       |
| 8  | PP+ (NN+DTNN)           | Place at the beginning and reverse the sentence. |
| 9  | PP+ (DTNN)              | Place at the beginning and reverse the sentence. |
| 10 | PP+ (special character VB | NN) | Place at the beginning and reverse the sentence. |
| 11 | Wh-prounoun+ end of the sentences | Place at the beginning of sentences |
| 12 | Special adverb + (NN | VB | (special character VB | NN)) | (NN | VB | (special character VB | NN)) + Special adverb |
| 13 | Pronoun+ (NN | VB | ADJ) | (NN | VB | ADJ) + Pronoun |
| 14 | (NN|DTNN) +VB | VB+(NN|DTNN) |
| 15 | NN+(Special-character +VB) | (special-character) +NN |
| 16 | VB+(NN|DTNN) | (NN+DTNN) +VB |
| 17 | VB+(Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) | (Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) +VB |
| 18 | (Special-character +VB) + (Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) | (Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) + (Special-character +VB) |
| 19 | (Special-character +VB) + (NN|DTNN) | (NN|DTNN) + (Special-character +VB) |
| 20 | Special-character+(NN|DTNN) +VB | VB+(Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) |
| 21 | (Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) + (Special-character +VB) | (Special-character +VB) + (Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) |
| 22 | CD+(NN|DTNN|VB) | could not be changed |
| 23 | WH-Adverb + (NN|VB|DTNN)[(Special-character+(NN|DTNN)) | (Special-character +VB)] | (NN|VB|DTNN)[(Special-character + (NN|DTNN)) | (Special-character + VB)] + WH-Adverb |

**Table 3.** Transformation rules based on Arabic grammar.
List of special adverbs, negation and special words

List of special adverbs, negation and special words
| Word    | Transliteration | Meaning  | Word    | Transliteration | Meaning |
|---------|-----------------|----------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| ﻗﺒﻞ    | qbl             | Before   | ﺍﻥ      | Ena             | that    |
| ﻱﺩﺩ     | bEd             | After    | ﺍﻥ      | Ana             | that    |
| ﻗﻮﻕ    | fwq             | Above    | ﻓﺎﻥ    | fA’n            | Then    |
| ﻧﺤﺖ    | tHt             | Under    | ﻝﻮ      | lw              | If      |
| ﺍﺱﻔﻞ   | Asfl            | Down     | ﻘﻴ ﺧ   | ky              | So that |
| ﺍﻣﺎﻡ    | A mAm           | In front of | ﻟﻨﻜﻴ    | lky             | in order to |
| ﻭﺭﺎء    | wrA’            | behind   | ﻗﺪ      | qd              | may     |
| ﺍﻋﻠﯽ    | AEiY            | Top      | ﻞﻜﻦ    | lkn             | But     |
| ﻭﻀﻂ   | wsT             | Center   | ﻝﻤ    | lm              | did not |
| ﻋﺪد    | End             | At       | ﻣﺎ      | mA              | What    |
| ﺧﻠﻒ    | xlf             | behind   | ﺑﻌﺾ    | bED             | Some    |
| ﺶمال    | $mAl            | north    | ﻓِﻖَﻂ  | fqT             | Just    |
| ﺟﻨﻮﺏ   | jnwb            | South    | ﻝﻴﺖ    | lyt             | wish    |
| ﺷﺮﻕ    | $rq             | East     | ﻝﻴلد    | lEl             | Might   |
| ﻏﺮﺏ    | grb             | West     | ﻟِدْی    | *y              | The     |
| ﻳﻤﻴﻦ   | ymyn            | right    | ﻟﻴﺲ    | lys             | Not     |
| ﺱﻴﺭ    | ysAr            | left     | ﻓِﺈَﻥ    | E *A            | if      |
| ﻦﺒﻞ    | KL              | Each     |         |                 |         |

Table 4. List of special adverbs, negation and special words
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Examples of synsets for “Man - رجل” using Arabic WordNet.

Examples of synsets for “Man - رجل” using Arabic WordNet.
| Arabic      | Transliteration | Meaning in English |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| ذكر        | *kr             | Male               |
| عاشق       | EA$q            | Lover              |
| حبيب       | Hbyb            | Lover              |
| قرين       | qryn            | consort            |
| محوبب      | mHbwb           | Lover              |
| زوج        | zwj             | Husband            |
| قدم        | qadam           | Foot               |
| ساق        | saAq            | Leg                |

Table 5. Examples of synsets for “Man – ﺑﺮﺟل” using Arabic WordNet.
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Examples of some equivalent sentences generated from the statement (أكل الولد التفاحة)

Examples of some equivalent sentences generated from the statement (أكل الولد التفاحة)
| WordNet Synonymous | Rules Possibilities |
|------------------|---------------------|
| Subject: الولد | 1 اكل الولد التفاحة |
|                  | 2 الولد اكل التفاحة |
|                  | 3 اكل التفاحة الولد |
| Subject: الشاب | 4 اكل الشاب التفاحة |
|                  | 5 الشاب اكل التفاحة |
|                  | 6 اكل التفاحة الشاب |
| Subject: الفتي | 7 اكل الفتي التفاحة |
|                  | 8 الفتي اكل التفاحة |
|                  | 9 اكل التفاحة الفتي |
Table 7 (on next page)

Negation particles and their effects in Arabic [48]

Negation particles and their effects in Arabic [48]
| Negation Particle | BuckWalter Transliteration | Category | Effect |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------|--------|
| ﻟﻢ | lam | Group A | Affects the verb after the particle |
| ﻟﻦ | Lan | Group A | Affects the verb after the particle |
| ﻟﺎ | lA | Group A | Affects the verb after the particle |
| ﻣﺎ | mA | Group A | Affects the verb after the particle |
| ﻟﻴﺲ | laysa | Group B | Affects the following two nouns or affects the following verb. |

**Table 7.** Negation particles and their effects in Arabic [48]
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Examples of negated Arabic verbal sentences.

Examples of negated Arabic verbal sentences.
| Negation Particles | Generated Sentences          |
|-------------------|------------------------------|
| مَا                 | مَا أَعْجِبَ الْوَلْدُ الطَّعَامُ |
| الْمَ كِرَ      | الْطَّعَامُ لَمْ يَعْجِبَ الْوَلْدُ |
| لَنِ     | لَنِ يَعْجِبَ الْطَّعَامُ الْوَلْدَ |
| لَا     | لَا يَعْجِبَ الْطَّعَامُ الْوَلْدَ |
| لَيْسَ     | لَيْسَ يَعْجِبَ الْوَلْدُ الطَّعَامَ |

**Table 8.** Examples of negated Arabic verbal sentences.
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Accuracy rate per transformation rule

Accuracy rate per transformation rule
| Rule   | Rule 2 | Rule 3 | Rule 4 | Rule 5 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 77.5   | 89.3   | 90.07  | 94.28  | 97.53  |
| 91.50  | 96.36  | 94.4   | 100    | 100    |
| 98.79  | 96.96  | 100    | 100    | 100    |
| 100    | 100    | 97.5   | 100    | 100    |
| 100    | 100    |        |        |        |

Table 9: Accuracy rate per transformation rule
Figure 1

Framework for the proposed text augmentation tool

1. Input sentence with its label
2. Parse tree of Input Sentence
3. Generate equivalent sentences of the Input sentence using Arabic WordNet synonyms
4. Generate multiple equivalent parse trees using our Transformation Rules
5. Generate new sentences by inserting negation particles

Output Sentences from steps: 3, 4 and 5
Figure 2

Examples of equivalent parsing trees.
Figure 3

Accuracy rates using the original dataset and the augmented dataset

Accuracy rates using the original dataset and the augmented dataset.