Acclimatization of manihot esculenta crantz seedlings inoculated in vitro with plant growth-promoting bacteria

Abstract

Micropropagation offers important advantages for the vegetative propagation of species such as cassava because it allows the elimination of pathogens in infested areas, rejuvenates the planting material, regains vigor and productivity and offers a large number of seedlings within a short period. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of the in vitro inoculation of cassava seedlings with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPBs) during the acclimatization phase. The experiment was conducted under greenhouse conditions, and the studied cultivars were “BRA Pretinha III” and “BRS Poti Branca”. The PGPBs were Azospirillum amazonense (BR 11140), Herbaspirillum seropedicae (BR 11175), Paenibacillus brasilienensis (24), Paenibacillus graminis (MC 0421), Paenibacillus durus (V 2232), Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus (BR 11284), and Streptomyces sp.(S 30). Electron microscopy analyses revealed satisfactory colonization of the roots, with the exception of plants that were inoculated with bacteria of the genus Paenibacillus, which exhibited a low level of colonization. Although the strains used were not homogenous, the plant height, stem diameter, dry mass of shoots, dry mass of roots and accumulated nitrogen were optimized, and these features can provide greater tolerance to abiotic stresses that are promoted by the transfer of the plant from an in vitro to an ex vitro environment. The cultivar “BRS Poti Branca” showed a greater interaction with the strains Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus. The cultivar “BRA Pretinha III” showed a greater interaction with the strains G. diazotrophicus, Streptomyces sp., H. seropedicae and Paenibacillus brasilienensis. The PGPBs provided better performance in the cultivar “BRA Pretinha III” in relation to the cultivar “BRS Poti Branca”.
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Introduction

Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) is one of the most exploited crops in agriculture worldwide, occupying approximately 20 million hectares with a production of approximately 276 million tons of tuberous roots that are shared almost entirely by the African (57%), Asian (31%) and American (10%) continents. The reason for its widespread diffusion is due mainly to the ability of cassava to adapt to different climate and soil conditions, as well as its ease of cultivation and, mainly, its higher biological efficiency, which allows for the conversion of greater amounts of solar energy into carbohydrates per unit area (250,101 kcal/ha/day) compared with other crops such as corn, rice, sorghum and wheat. Thus, cassava is one of the basic foods used by millions of people, not only as an important reserve against hunger for poor people but also for the creation of jobs and income. Nonetheless, although it is recognized in the global socio-economic scenario, its yield (131/ha) is below its productive potential, which, ideally can reach 80/ha/year of roots El-Sharkawy. Among the various factors attributed to this poor performance, the physiological aging caused by the repeated propagation of the maniva seed has contributed to a decrease in the sprouting and vigor of the plant. In addition, the long cycle of cassava increases its susceptibility to many pests and diseases that can be transmitted from one culture cycle to another Iglesias et al., contributing to a significant reduction in yield Martin et al.

The micropropagation technique offers advantages for the vegetative propagation of species such as cassava because, in addition to the ability of this technique to promote recovery, it enables, in a short time, the development of a large number of seedlings that are identical to the mother plant and free of pests and diseases throughout the year Pasqual et al. However, micro propagated seedlings have demonstrated poor performance when transferred from in vitro to ex vitro conditions Mello et al., hinder the use of this biotechnology in commercial agricultural practices Kapoor et al. This phenomenon is due to autoptic cultivation, which eliminates both phytopathogenic microorganisms and microorganisms that may be advantageous for the growth and development of plants Panicker et al., as some of these microorganisms produce or induce the production of primary and secondary metabolites that can confer several benefits to the host plants, such as increased tolerance to abiotic stresses Bogni et al.

To circumvent this challenge, a technology developed in recent years that has been positively used to improve many growth and productivity parameters in plants is the inoculation of microorganisms that are capable of colonizing the root environment, competing with the soil biota and providing benefits that promote plant growth. Among these microorganisms, plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPBs) can be isolated from different environments Chanway et al. and have the capacity to colonize the surface of roots, the rhizosphere and the phyllosphere, as well as internal plant tissues, modulating the metabolism and stimulating plant growth through nitrogen fixation Hoffman et al., the solubilization of inorganic phosphates and zinc Richardson et al., Sarathambal et al., phosphorus uptake, sulfite oxidation El-Tarabily et al., and
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siderophore synthesis. They also participate in the iron chelating of biopesticides agents, thus reducing the intensity of the inoculum or the activities responsible for phyto-disease Malfanova et al. These activities occur mainly through the synthesis of growth regulators Kurepin et al. that promote alterations in the root system, including increases in the number and length of lateral roots Bashan et al. Cassán et al., thus contributing to increases in plant resistance to water and nutritional stress Figueiredo et al.; Carvalhais et al. Based on the knowledge that seedlings, when established ex vitro, have reduced survival rates and marginal growth and that the use of microorganisms is a relatively unexamined application in cassava seedlings, we hypothesized that the inoculation of cassava with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPBs) would optimize the growth, vigor and sanity of the plant, with increases in survival rates. This hypothesis was tested in micropropagation plants of cassava during the acclimatization stage. Different PGPBs inoculated alone and with various biological and physiological parameters were evaluated in this study.

Materials and methods

Multiplication and preparation of the inoculants

The PGPB strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. To obtain inoculants, the samples were grown in Erlenmeyer flasks containing specific culture media. Strains BR 11140, BR 11175 and BR 11284 were grown in DYGS (Dextrose Yeast Glucose Sucrose) culture medium for 48 hours, whereas strains MC 04.21, 24, and V 22.32 were grown in TSB (trypsic soy broth) culture medium for 24 or 48 hours according to the bacterial strain. Strain S 30 was grown in AYA (arginine, yeast and agar) culture for 120 hours. (All strains were subjected to a constant agitation of 200 rpm at 29°C).

### Table 1: Origin and mechanisms of growth promoting of the plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) inoculated in Manihot esculenta Crantz

| Organism                     | Code   | Origin     | Promoting growth                                      | Reference                      |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* | BR 11284 | CNPAB      | Indole-3- acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellins          | Sevilla et al.                |
|                              |        |            | Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and zinc               | Bastian et al.                 |
| *Streptomyces sp.*           | S 30   | UFPE-DA    | Siderophores                                          | Tokala et al.                  |
|                              |        |            | Biocontrol fungal pathogens                           | Gopalakrishnan et al.          |
|                              |        |            | Phosphate solubilizing bacteria                        | Banik et al.                   |
| *Herbaspirillum seropedicae*  | BR 11175 | CNPAB      | BNF                                                   | Baldani et al.                 |
|                              |        |            | Indole-3- acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellins          | Bastián et al.                 |
| *Azospirillum amazonense*    | BR 11140 | CNPAB      | Indole-3- acetic acid (IAA), gibberellins and cytokinins | Dobbelaere et al.              |
| *Paenibacillus durus*        | V 2232  | UFRJ-IM    | BNF                                                   | Seldin                        |
| *Paenibacillus graminis*     | MC 0421 | UFRJ-IM    | BNF                                                   | Seldin                        |
| *Paenibacillus brasiliensis* | 24     | UFRJ-IM    | BNF                                                   | Seldin                        |
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Selection and disinfection of manivas

The manivas of the cultivars “BRS Poti Branca” and “BRA Pretinha III” were disinfected according to Araujo et al. The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse, and the manivas were planted in trays for germination that contained a mixture of substrate + washed sand (1:1) that had been autoclaved at 120°C; 101kPa, for 1 hour; the pH was adjusted to 6.0 and maintained at field capacity until budding.

Isolation of sprouts, establishment of shoot tips and propagation of cassava plants

The sprouts were harvested 15 days after planting (DAP) and disinfected in a laminar flow hood according to the method described by Souza et al. The apices were isolated and established in MS Murashige et al., medium supplemented with thiamine-HCl (1mg/L), inositol (100mg/L), naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) (0.02mg/L), benzylationopurine (BAP) (0.04mg/L), gibberellic acid (GA3) (0.05mg/L), sucrose (20g/L) Roca et al., and agar (8g/L). Thirty days after establishment of the apices, calluses and roots were removed and propagated according to Souza et al. The plants were then maintained for 90 days in a growth chamber at 26±1°C supplied with artificial light (1948 lux) under a photoperiod of 16 hours.

Inoculation of PGPBs

When the seedlings presented an abundance of roots and leaves in vitro and reached a height of 10cm, they were inoculated following the methodology of Reis. Each plant received a 2-mL suspension of bacteria grown in a specific medium with a bacterial density of ~10⁶ cells ml⁻¹. In the control treatment (CT), the bacterial suspension was not increased, and none of the plants received nitrogen fertilizer. The plants were then maintained in a growth chamber for 10 days at 26±1°C under artificial lighting (1948 lux) with a photoperiod of 16 hours. To evaluate the colonization ability of the bacteria, roots fragments (∼1-2 cm in length) of the plants were collected 10 days after the inoculation, washed in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4, and fixed in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Sigma Aldrich). The post-fixation procedure was performed with 1% osmium tetroxide (Sigma Aldrich). The root fragments were then rinsed in 0.1M cacodylate buffer, dehydrated with ethanol and, after the material was dry, covered with a thin layer of gold for visualization of the bacterial isolates by scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Acclimatization

To evaluate the effect of the PGPB inoculation on cassava seedlings during the acclimatization stage, an experiment was conducted in a greenhouse at the Headquarters of the Agronomic Institute of Pernambuco - IPA. The soil used was classified as duric H. The substrate had a pH in water of 5.0±0.5. The temperature and humidity ranged between 30-32°C and 50-55%.

Inoculation of PGPBs and development of cassava seedlings

The bacterial strains differed significantly by the Tukey test (p<0.05) in terms of their ability to promote a higher percentage of survival (SR) in cassava seedlings after the acclimatization period. In cv. “BRS Poti Branca” and “BRA Pretinha III”, the control treatment (CT) favored a survival rate of 100% and 75%, respectively. Furthermore, the CT for these strains did not differ from those obtained for G. diazotrophicus, H. seropedicae and Streptomyces sp., but it did differ from the results obtained for Paenibacillus graminis and P. durus, which promoted a mortality rate of 92% and 83% in “BRS Poti Branca” and of 100% in “BRA Pretinha III” (Table 2). It is common for seedlings transferred to ex vitro conditions to present a high mortality rate due to non-functional stomata, an undeveloped root system and small thick leaves with little or no cuticular wax. It is also noteworthy that despite the low survival rate promoted by the genus Paenibacillus, the P. durus strain interacted more with the cv. “BRS Poti Branca” (17%) than with the cv. “BRA Pretinha III” (0%) at a 5% probability by the Tukey test. The observation that the cassava seedlings of both cultivars did not display an increased resistance to the supposed biotic and abiotic stresses relative to the acclimatization period following their inoculation with PGPBs can be explained by the paucity of plant-bacteria interactions because this process is complex and can be influenced by various biotic and abiotic factors, such as the inoculum density, host species, cultivar, temperature Pillay et al., seasonal variations, types of plant tissue Kuklinsky-Sobral et al., soil type Fromim et al., and interactions.
with other microorganisms Figueiredo et al.26 The variable root length (RL) at 42days after planting Table 2 in response to PGPB inoculation did not differ between the seedlings of the cultivars by the Tukey test (p<0.05). Work conducted by Mathur et al.,27 showed that micro propagated plants often have small root systems. Regarding the stem diameter (SD), there were significant differences (p<0.05) in the effects of the PGPBs in each cultivar. In cv. “BRA Pretinha III”, all of the PGPBs promoted a significant increase in the stem diameter thickness compared with the absolute control (AC). However, in cv. “BRS Poti Branca”, strains P. durus, P. graminis, P. brasilensis and A. amazonense induced a significant decrease compared with the control. Thicker stems were observed when the seedlings of the two cultivars were inoculated with strain *G. diazotrophicus* (Table 2), demonstrating increments of 53% and 25% for cv. “BRA Pretinha II” and “BRS Poti Branca”, respectively. Thus, it is anticipated that seedlings with thicker diameters will give rise to plants with a more vigorous root system, contributing to an increase in water absorption and favoring greater survival in the field and, consequently, increased production Santos et al.28

With the exception of strain *P. graminis*, all of the PGPBs significantly stimulated (p<0.05) the seedling height (SH) in relation to the CT in cultivar “BRA Pretinha III”. However, in the cultivar “BRS Poti Branca”, *P. graminis* and *P. brasilensis* induced a significant decrease in SH when compared with CT. Strains *H. seropedicae* and *G. diazotrophicus* promoted an increase of 67% and 19.7% in the SH of “BRA Pretinha III” and “BRS Poti Branca”, respectively, when compared with CT (Table 2). Although the beneficial effects of the inoculation of plants and seeds with bacteria include improvements in nutrition and increasing productivity Naik et al.,29 deleterious effects have also been observed by Probanza et al.,30 who reported a reduction in the length of shoots and roots and in the biomass of pine plants (*Pinus taeda L.*) following inoculation with *B. subtilis* (BS1 and BS2). These results support the notion that the ability of PGPBs to produce metabolites is not necessarily a prerequisite for an increase in the growth and yield of the plants because the beneficial effect depends on its concentration Saharan et al.31

Regarding the interactions between plants and bacteria, the cultivars showed significant differences in plant height variability at a 5% probability by the Tukey test following inoculation with the *Paenibacillus* genus. These results demonstrated that inoculation with *P. graminis* and *P. durus* in the cultivar “BRS Poti Branca” performed better in SH, whereas *P. brasilensis* displayed a greater interaction with the cultivar “BRA Pretinha III” (Table 2). This difference may be related to the different photo assimilates produced by each cultivar, which provide specific carbon sources that may favor the attraction, retention or inhibition of a microorganism in the rhizospheric region Valé et al.32 The results presented for the accumulation of the dry mass of the shoot (DMS) (Table 3) indicate significant differences (p<0.05) in the effects of PGPBs in each cultivar. For the cultivar “BRA Pretinha III”, strains *Streptomyces* sp, *H. seropedicae* and *G. diazotrophicus* promoted a significant increase in the DMS when compared with the absolute control (AC), and *P. graminis* did not differ from AC. However, *G. diazotrophicus* promoted an increase in DMS in cultivar “BRS Poti Branca” in relation to CT, while *P. durus*, *P. graminis* and *P. brasilensis* did not differ from CT. The strains *Streptomyces* sp and *G. diazotrophicus* promoted increments of 200% and 131% in DMS accumulation in the cultivars “BRA Pretinha III” and “BRS Poti Branca”, respectively (Table 3).

The plant-bacteria interactions (Table 3) exhibited significant differences (p<0.05) in the cassava cultivars in relation to the PGPBs following inoculation with *Paenibacillus graminis*, *P. brasilensis* and *G. diazotrophicus*. *P. graminis* and *G. diazotrophicus* strains have been shown to provide greater benefits in DMS (46% and 32%) when inoculated in cv. “BRA Poti Branca” in relation to “BRA Pretinha III”, while the reverse has been observed for the strain *P. brasilensis*, which provided an increase of 44% compared with the DMS of “BRS Poti Branca” (Table 3). These results corroborate those reported by Araújo,33 who found that PGPBs influence plants to produce a greater shoot biomass, and this response varies according to the plant species and/or strains used.

With regard to the dry mass of the root (DMR), the results showed a significant difference (p<0.05) in terms of the effects of PGPBs in each cultivar. The *P. brasilensis* strain promoted an increase in the DMR when inoculated into seedlings of the cultivar “BRA Pretinha III” compared with CT, while *P. graminis* did not differ significantly from CT. However, for the cultivar “Poti Branca”, a significant increase was identified (p<0.05) in the production of DMR following inoculation with *G. diazotrophicus*; however, no significant differences were detected between *P. durus* and CT. The strains *P. brasilensis* and *G. diazotrophicus* promoted an increase of 400% and 200% in DMR accumulation in the cultivars “BRA Pretinha III” and “BRA Poti Branca”, respectively, in relation to CT (Table 3). No significant differences were observed (p>0.05%) among the PGPBs and the cultivar “Poti Branca” regarding the relationship of the dry mass of the shoot/dry mass of the root (DMS/DMR), suggesting that the strains did not influence this relationship at this stage of plant development. However, the seedlings of the cultivar “BRA Pretinha III” inoculated with the strain *P. graminis* (4.93 g/plant) displayed no significant differences by the Tukey test (p<0.05) compared with the other bacteria, excluding the seedlings that were inoculated with *Streptomyces* sp. (2.47 g/plant) (Table 3).

In this study, a higher accumulation of DMS was observed in two cassava cultivars compared with those observed in the DMR. According to Alves,44 the period of maximum rates of total dry mass accumulation depends on the genotype and growth conditions used for the plant. During the growth of cassava, the carbohydrates produced by photosynthesis must be distributed to ensure the good development of both shoots and roots; notwithstanding, after 75 DAP, the photo assimilates begin to be translocated to the roots. This statement corroborates the present results given that the seedlings demonstrated a reduced accumulation of DMR, resulting in higher values for DMS/DMR. The positive effects on the accumulation of DMS and DMR for cassava may be associated with the ability of strains *Glucanacetobacter diazotrophicus*, *Herbaspirillum seropedicae*, *Paenibacillus brasilensis* and *Streptomyces* sp to fixate atmospheric nitrogen (BNF) and synthesize growth hormones or even a synergistic effect of these two factors Canuto et al.45 Among phytohormones, Indole acetic acid (IAA) causes changes in the morphology of roots, influencing the uptake of nutrients and water and hence promoting plant growth Aguilar-Piedras et al.46 Among the other bacteria, excluding the seeds that were inoculated with *Streptomyces* sp, *Mello et al.*,47 observed an increase in DMS and DMR in micro propagated pineapple seedlings that were inoculated with *Bacillus sp*. Despite the well-described ability of some strains of *Paenibacillus* to promote plant growth Rodrigues et al.,48 in the present study, inoculation of the strains *P. durus*, *P. graminis* and *P. brasilensis* into the cultivar “Poti Branca” did not promote an increase in these variables. Thus, a reduced effect indicative of the plant-bacteria interactions is suggested, compromising the mobilization of nutrients and, consequently, the development of the plant.
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Figure 1A Control treatment (CT).

Figure 1B Paenibacillus brasiliensis (24).

Figure 1C Paenibacillus durus (V 2232).

Figure 1D Paenibacillus graminis (MC 0421).

Figure 1E Azospirillum amazonense (BR 11140).

Figure 1F Herbaspirillum seropedicae (BR 11175).

Figure 1G Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus (BR 11284).

Figure 1H Streptomyces sp. (S 30) obtained by scanning electron microscopy.

Figures 1A-1H Images of the root fragments of cassava seedlings of “BRA Pretinha III” cultivar inoculated.
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Figure 2A Control treatment (CT).

Figure 2B Paenibacillus brasiliensis (24).

Figure 2C Paenibacillus durus (V 2232).

Figure 2D Paenibacillus graminis (MC 0421).

Figure 2E Azospirillum amazonense (BR 11140).

Figure 2F Herbaspirillum seropedicae (BR 11175).

Figure 2G Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus (BR 11284).

Figures 2A-2G Images of the root fragments of cassava seedlings of “BRA Poti Branca” cultivar inoculated.
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Table 3 shows the results for the accumulation of nitrogen in the dry mass of the shoot (Nac DSM), effects of PGPBs in each cultivar. The highest accumulation of Nac DSM in cv. “BRA Pretinha III” was induced by strains H. seropedicae and G. diazotrophicus, with values (12.25mg N/plant) that exceeded three times the amount of accumulated nitrogen on AC (3.88mgN/plant). However, strain G. diazotrophicus promoted the highest values (13.42mgN/plant) compared with CT (6.26mgN/plant), while strains P. brasiliensis and P. durus showed no differences compared with CT. Regarding the interaction between PGPBs x the cultivars, a significant difference (p<0.05) was identified when cassava was inoculated with the strains P. graminis, A. amazonense and P. brasiliensis. The first two strains promoted an increase in Nac MSPA in “BRS Poti Branca”, while the inoculation with P. brasiliensis resulted in a higher accumulation of Nac. in the cultivar “BRA Pretinha III”.

The Nac contribution was 114% and 210% in the cultivars “BRS Poti Branca” and “BRA Pretinha III”, respectively. These same strains, as previously noted, provided a greater accumulation of DMS and DMR (Table 3). Plant growth is related to the accumulation of nitrogen in the shoot, as demonstrated by the highly significant linear relationships of the weight of the dry mass of the shoot in cultivars “BRA Pretinha III” (y=0.0244X–0.0054 (R²=0.949)) and “BRS Poti Branca” (y=0.0289X-0.0502 (R²=0.886)). For the cv. “BRA Pretinha III”, the greatest increase in DMS (0.30g) occurred with the accumulation of Nac (11.01mgN/plant), while the greatest increase in DMS (0.37 g) in “BRS Poti Branca” occurred with an increase in the accumulation of Nac (13.42mgN/plant). The interactions among cassava x P. durus and P. graminis resulted in the lowest contributions of N (20% and 55%), respectively. According to Bashan et al., moderate increases of approximately 20% in response to inoculation with endophytic diazotrophic bacteria would be considered commercially significant in modern agriculture. Therefore, the present results are promising because knowledge regarding the effects of the inoculated strains in the greenhouse and in the field is needed to better understand plant-bacteria-soil interactions.

Table 2: Survival rate (SR), stem diameter (DS), seedling height (SH) and root length (LR) of cassava plantlets (Manihot esculenta Crantz) cv. (“BRS Poti Branca” and “BRA Pretinha III”) assessed for inoculation of the plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB).

| Cultivars | Treatment | Poti Branca | Pretinha III | Poti Branca | Pretinha III | Poti Branca | Pretinha III | Poti Branca | Pretinha III | Poti Branca | Pretinha III |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
|           | SR (%)    |             | DS (mm)      |             | SH (cm)      |             | LR (cm)      |             |              |             |              |
| 1G. diazotrophicus | 83.33±     | 16.67±      | 2.14±0.06aA | 1.99±       | 13.17±       | 11.83±       | 14.50±       | 15.83±      |              |             |
| Streptomyces sp | 91.67±     | 75.00±      | 1.78±       | 1.68±       | 12.33±       | 11.78±       | 14.72±       | 12.72±      |              |             |
| 1H. seropedicae | 66.67±     | 8.33±       | 0.15abA     | 0.12abA     | 0.83abA      | 0.49abA      | 0.69aA       | 1.67aA      |              |             |
| 1A. amazonense | 91.67±     | 8.33±       | 0.11abA     | 0.13abA     | 0.59abA      | 0.70aA       | 0.77aA       | 0.70aA      |              |             |
| 4P. durus | 8.33±      | 25.00±      | 0.12bA      | 0.06bA      | 0.66abA      | 0.49abA      | 0.99aA       | 0.84aA      |              |             |
| P. graminis | 16.67±     | 8.33±       | 0.00bB      | 0.07bA      | 0.07bA       | 0.44abA      | 0.78bcb      | 1.07aA      | 0.72aA      |              |
| 1P. brasiliensis | 33.33±     | 22.04±     | 0.15bA      | 0.11abA     | 0.47b        | 0.96bA       | 1.31aA       | 0.87aA      |              |             |
| CT         | 100.00±    | 14.43±      | 0.22abA     | 0.11bB      | 0.52abA      | 0.60bC       | 0.58aA       | 1.32aA      |              |             |
| Means      | 56.77      | 21.54       | 16           | 10.55       | 14.45        | 14.69        |              |             |              |             |
| %CV        | 23.96      | 21.54       |              |             |              |              |              |             |              |             |

Means followed by the same lowercase letter among treatments within the same column, and capital letters among varieties within the same line for each parameter do not differ by Tukey test (p>0.05). (Gluconacetobacter- BR 112B6); (Herbaspirillum- BR 11175); (Azospirillum- BR 11140); (Paenibacillus durus-V 2232, graminis-MC 0421, brasiliensis - 24); Str epironyces 30 and CT (control treatment). For statistical analysis the data on SR were transformed into root of (x + 1).

Means from 3 replications.
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Table 3 Shoot dry matter (SDM), root dry matter (RDM), SDM/RDM ratio and nitrogen accumulated in the shoot dry matter (N\textsubscript{s} SDM) of cassava plantlets (Manihot esculenta Crantz) cv. (BRS Poti Branca e BRA Pretinha III) assessed for inoculation with of the plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB)

| Treatment               | Poti Branca | Pretinha III | Poti Branca | Pretinha III | Poti Branca | Pretinha III | Poti Branca | Pretinha III |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
|                         | SDM         | RDM          | SDM/RDM     | N\textsubscript{s} SDM |
|                         | g           | g g\textsuperscript{-1} | mg N planta\textsuperscript{-1} |              |
| *G. diazotrophicus*     | 0.37±       | 0.28±        | 0.15±       | 0.12±        | 2.56±       | 2.70±        | 13.42±      | 12.05±       |
|                         | 0.03aA      | 0.03 aB      | 0.01aA      | 0.02abcA     | 0.38aA      | 0.24abA      | 0.33aA      | 1.63aA       |
| *Streptomyces sp*       | 0.30±       | 0.30±        | 0.11±       | 0.13±        | 2.85±       | 2.41±        | 11.57±      | 11.01±       |
|                         | 0.02abA     | 0.02aA       | 0.01abA     | 0.01abA      | 0.11aA      | 0.23bA       | 1.10abA     | 0.67abA      |
| *2H. seropedicae*       | 0.24±       | 0.29±        | 0.09±       | 0.10±        | 2.73±       | 2.84±        | 10.6±       | 12.2±        |
|                         | 0.02bcA     | 0.03aA       | 0.02abA     | 0.01abA      | 0.13aA      | 0.20bA       | 0.38abA     | 1.24aA       |
| *3A. amazonensis*       | 0.25±       | 0.20±        | 0.08±       | 0.06±        | 3.37±       | 3.63±        | 11.4±       | 8.2±         |
|                         | 0.02bcA     | 0.02bcA      | 0.01abA     | 0.01abA      | 0.22aA      | 0.20bA       | 1.24abA     | 0.88abcB     |
| *4P. durus*             | 0.17±       | 0.16±        | 0.05±       | 0.05±        | 3.68±       | 4.23±        | 7.52±       | 7.36±        |
|                         | 0.02C       | 0.02bcA      | 0.01bA      | 0.01bcA      | 0.22A       | 0.93bA       | 0.78bcA     | 0.68bcdA     |
| *P. graminis*           | 0.19±       | 0.13±        | 0.07±       | 0.03±        | 3.54±       | 4.93±        | 9.29±       | 6.03±        |
|                         | 0.02C       | 0.01 cB      | 0.01aA      | 0.01cA       | 0.52aA      | 0.98bA       | 0.16abcA    | 0.57 cdB     |
| *P. brasiliensis*       | 0.18±       | 0.26±        | 0.10±       | 0.15±        | 2.83±       | 4.18±        | 8.26±       | 11.5±        |
|                         | 0.03 cB     | 0.02abA      | 0.01abA     | 0.08aA       | 0.53aA      | 1.38abA      | 0.72bcA     | 0.49abA      |
| CT                      | 0.16±       | 0.10±        | 0.05±       | 0.03±        | 3.73±       | 3.46±        | 6.26±       | 3.88±        |
|                         | 0.02C       | 0.01 cA      | 0.01abA     | 0.00cA       | 0.46A       | 0.11 abA     | 0.86 cA     | 0.22 dA      |
| Means                   | 0.24        | 0.21         | 0.87        | 0.85         | 3.16        | 3.55         | 9.8         | 9.04         |
| %CV                     | 31.43       | 2.89         | 16.52       | 16.65        |

Means followed by the same lowercase letter between treatments within the same column and capital letter between varieties within the same row for each parameter do not differ at the Tukey test (p<0.05). *(Gluconacetobacter-BR 11284); (Herbaspirillum-BR 11175); (Azospirillum-BR 11140); (Paenibacillus: durus - V 2232, graminis-MC 04.21, brasiliensis - 24); Streptomyces S 30 and CT (control treatment). SDM (shoot dry matter); RDM (root dry matter). For statistical analysis the data on RDM and SDM/RDM were transformed into root of (x+1). Means of 3 repetitions.

Conclusion
The scanning electron microscope analysis revealed satisfactory colonization of the roots of the plants, excluding the plants that were inoculated with bacteria of the genus Paenibacillus, which showed a very low level of colonization. The strains of plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPBs) that were used, although not homologous, optimized the plant height, stem diameter, dry mass of the shoot, dry mass of the root and accumulated nitrogen, which could result in the greater tolerance of plants to abiotic stresses caused by their transfer from an in vitro to an ex vitro environment. The cultivar “BRS Poti Branca” showed greater interactions with strain Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus, while the cultivar “BRA Pretinha III” had greater interactions with strains G. diazotrophicus, Streptomyces sp., H. seropedicae and Paenibacillus brasiliensis. The PGPBs resulted in the better performance of the cultivar “BRA Pretinha III” in relation to “BRS Poti Branca”.
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