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ABSTRACT
We consider a large number of Bosons with interaction potential $v_N(x) = N^{3\beta} v(N^{\beta} x)$. In our earlier papers (Grillakis et al. in Comm. Math. Phys. (2010) and in Adv. Math. (2011), as well as Grillakis and Machedon in Comm. Math. Phys., (2013)) we considered a set of equations for the condensate $\phi$ and pair excitation function $k$ and proved that they provide a Fock space approximation to the exact evolution of a coherent state for $\beta < \frac{1}{3}$. In Grillakis and Machedon, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., (2013), in the hope of treating higher values of $\beta < 1$, we introduced a coupled refinement of our original equations. In that paper, we showed the coupled equations conserve the number of particles and energy. In the current paper, we prove that the coupled equations do indeed provide a Fock space approximation for $\beta < \frac{2}{3}$, at least locally in time. In order to do that, we reformulate the coupled equations in a way reminiscent of BBGKY and apply harmonic analysis techniques in the spirit of those used by Chen and Holmer in J. Euro. Math. Soc. (2016) to prove the necessary estimates. In turn, these estimates provide bounds for the pair excitation function $k$. While our earlier papers provide background material, the methods of this paper paper are mostly new, and the presentation is self-contained.

1. Introduction

The problem considered in this paper (as well as our earlier papers [21–24]) is the $N$-body linear Schrödinger equation

$$
\left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_j} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i<j} v_N(x_i - x_j) \right) \psi_N(t, \cdot) = 0
$$

$$
\psi_N(0, x_1, \cdots, x_N) \sim \phi_0(x_1) \phi_0(x_2) \cdots \phi_0(x_N)
$$

$$
\| \psi_N(t, \cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} = 1
$$

where $v_N(x) := N^{3\beta} v(N^{\beta} x)$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $v \in \mathcal{S}$, and $v \geq 0$. The meaning of $\sim$ in (2) will be made precise.

The goal is to find a rigorous, simple approximation (in a suitable norm) to $\psi_N$ which is consistent with

$$
\psi_{\text{approx}}(t, x_1, \cdots, x_N) \sim e^{iX(t)} \phi(t, x_1) \phi(t, x_2) \cdots \phi(t, x_N)
$$
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as \( N \to \infty \), where \( \phi \) (which represents the Bose–Einstein condensate) satisfies a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The problem becomes more difficult, interesting, and requires new ideas as \( \beta \) approaches 1, and this explains why several authors (including us) devoted several papers to their programs.

We refer to [37] for extensive background on (static) Bose–Einstein condensation. See also [36].

During recent years, in a series of papers by Erdös and Yau [18] and Erdös, Schlein, and Yau [13–15], it was proved

\[
\gamma^N_1(t,x,x') \to \overline{\phi}(t,x)\phi(t,x')
\]

in trace norm as \( N \to \infty \), and similarly for the higher order marginal density matrices \( \gamma^N_k \), where \( k \) is fixed. Recent simplifications and generalizations were given in [7, 9, 11, 12, 28, 30]. See also [19, 31] as well as [32, 33] for different approaches.

We also mention the approach based on the quantum de Finetti theorem in [6] as well as results in the case of negative interaction potentials in [10] and the different approach of Knowles and Pickl [31].

Another approach to this problem is based on Fock space techniques and the second quantization. In physics, it was pioneered in the papers by Bogoliubov [5], Lee, Huang, and Yang [34] in the static case, and Wu [44] in the time-dependent case. See also the more recent papers [38, 39].

In the rigorous mathematical literature devoted to the time evolution problem, it originates in the work of Hepp [25], Ginibre and Velo [20] and, after lying dormant for about 30 years, Rodnianski and Schlein [41], followed by [23]. Currently, it is an active field.

Our project, initiated in collaboration with Margetis in [23], is to study a PDE describing additional second-order corrections (given by a Bogoliubov transformation \( e^B \)) to the right-hand side of the approximation (3). Mathematically, Bogoliubov transformations are representations of a group isomorphic to a real symplectic group, corresponding to the Segal–Shale–Weil representation in infinite dimensions, due to Shale [42]. Interestingly, the theories seem to have evolved independently in physics and pure mathematics.

Several important recent papers also use coherent states and Bogoliubov transformations. These include [3] and [1]. In fact, Theorem 2.2 in [1] proves that the unitary operators of the type used in [25] and [20] can be obtained, abstractly, as Bogoliubov transformations. We mention in passing that our concrete\(^1\) in \( e^B \) (to be discussed below) agrees with that Bogoliubov transformation (up to a phase), but only when applied to the vacuum. In general, our \( e^B \) is not the operator corresponding to the evolution of the quadratic Bogoliubov Hamiltonian, but rather diagonalizes it.

In the current paper, we initiate the analysis of solutions to a coupled system of PDEs for the condensate \( \phi \) and pair excitation \( k \), see (23), (24a), and (24b) below. It would be very interesting to obtain estimates up to the case \( \beta < 1 \) as well as large time estimates for the solutions of these equations which are uniform in \( N \), similar to those obtained in earlier works for the uncoupled equations ((15a)–(15c) below) which describe the case \( \beta < 1/3 \). We hope to address these question in future work. In this paper, we only consider the case \( 1/3 < \beta < 2/3 \), locally in time. As pointed out by one of our referees, it is unlikely that this type of construction, based on coherent states and Bogoliubov transformations, will work in

\(^1\)We mean that \( B = B(k) \) where \( k \) satisfies a PDE in 6+1 dimensions and is, in principle, computable numerically.
the case $\beta = 1$. This is because the construction is not sufficient to capture the ground state energy for the many body (static) case. The references for this phenomenon are [17, 45].

See also the paper by Lewin, Nam, and Schlein [35] for a Fock space-type approach to an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ estimate based on corrections to a pure tensor product (Hartree state) rather than a coherent state. That approach has been generalized very recently to the case $\beta < 1/3$ by Nam and Napiorkowski [40], and their work uses the linear equations (15b), (15c) introduced in [22] as well as some of the estimates from that paper.

Very recently, after completing this work, we have also learned of the related paper [4]. A brief comparison of the results of that paper with ours is included at the end of next section.

Also very recently we learned that, independently and in a different framework, Bach, Breteaux, Chen, Fröhlich, and Sigal derived equations closely related to the equations of [21] and Section (4) of our current paper in their recent work [2]. Those equations become equivalent to ours in the case of pure states.

2. Background and statement of the main result

We start with a very brief review of symmetric Fock space. This is included for the convenience of the reader and follows closely the exposition from our earlier papers. We refer the reader to [21] for more detail and comments. The elements of $\mathcal{F}$ are vectors of the form

$$\psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1(x_1), \psi_2(x_1, x_2), \ldots)$$

where $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\psi_k$ are symmetric $L^2$ functions. The inner product is

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \bar{\phi}_0 \psi_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \bar{\phi}_n \psi_n.$$

Thus we use physicists’ convention of an inner product linear in the second variable. The creation and annihilation distribution valued operators denoted by $a^*_x$ and $a_x$, respectively, act on vectors of the form $(0, \ldots, \psi_{n-1}, 0, \ldots)$ and $(0, \ldots, \psi_{n+1}, 0, \ldots)$ by

$$a^*_x(\psi_{n-1}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta(x - x_j) \psi_{n-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_j, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_n)$$

$$a_x(\psi_{n+1}) := \sqrt{n + 1} \psi_{n+1}(\lfloor x \rfloor, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

with $\lfloor x \rfloor$ indicating that the variable $x$ is frozen. The vacuum state is defined as follows:

$$\Omega := (1, 0, 0 \ldots)$$

One can easily check the canonical relations $[a_x, a^*_y] = \delta(x - y)$, and since the creation and annihilation operators are distribution valued, we can form operators that act on $\mathcal{F}$ by introducing a field, say $\phi(x)$, and form

$$a(\phi) := \int dx \{ \bar{\phi}(x)a_x \} \quad \text{and} \quad a^*(\phi) := \int dx \{ \phi(x)a^*_x \}$$

where by convention, we associate $a$ with $\bar{\phi}$ and $a^*$ with $\phi$. Also define the skew-Hermitian operator

$$A(\phi) := \int dx \{ \bar{\phi}(x)a_x - \phi(x)a^*_x \} \quad \text{(5)}$$
and coherent states
\[ \psi := e^{-\sqrt{N}A(\phi)} \Omega. \] (6)

It is easy to check that
\[ e^{-\sqrt{N}A(\phi)} \Omega = \left( \ldots c_n \prod_{j=1}^{n} \phi(x_j) \ldots \right) \quad \text{with} \quad c_n = \left( e^{-N \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2} N^n / n! \right)^{1/2}. \]

We also consider
\[ B(k) := \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \left\{ \bar{k}(t, x, y) a_x a_y - k(t, x, y) a_x^* a_y^* \right\}. \] (7)

This particular construction and the corresponding unitary operator \( \mathcal{M} := e^{-\sqrt{N}A} e^{-B} \) were introduced (at least in the mathematics literature related to the problem under consideration) in [23]. The construction is in the spirit of Bogoliubov theory in physics, and the Segal–Shale–Weil representation in mathematics.

The Fock Hamiltonian is
\[ H := H_1 - \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{V} \] (8a)
\[ H_1 := \int \! dx \, dy \left\{ \Delta_x \delta(x - y) a_x^* a_y \right\} \quad \text{and} \]
\[ \mathcal{V} := \frac{1}{2} \int \! dx \, dy \left\{ v_N(x - y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x a_y \right\}, \] (8b)

where \( v_N(x) = N^{3\beta} v(N^{\beta} x) \). It is a diagonal operator on Fock space, and it acts as a differential operator in \( n \) variable
\[ H_{n, PDE} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta_{x_j} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i<j} N^{3\beta} v(N^{\beta} (x_j - x_k)) \]
on the \( n \)th component of \( \mathcal{F} \). Note that this is the same as (1), except that the dimension \( n \) is decoupled from the parameter \( N \).

Our goal is to study the evolution of (possibly modified) coherent initial conditions of the form
\[ \psi_{\text{exact}} = e^{iH} e^{-\sqrt{N}A(\phi_0)} e^{-B(k_0)} \Omega \] (9)

In our earlier papers [21–24], we considered an approximation of the form
\[ \psi_{\text{appr}} := e^{-\sqrt{N}A(\phi(t))} e^{-B(k(t))} \Omega \] (10)

and derived suitable Schrödinger-type equations for \( \phi(t, x), k(t, x, y) \) so that \( \psi_{\text{exact}}(t) \approx e^{iN\chi(t)} \psi_{\text{appr}}(t) \), with \( \chi(t) \) a real phase factor, to find precise estimates in Fock space, see Theorem (2.1) below. Our strategy is to consider
\[ \psi_{\text{red}} = e^{B(t)} e^{\sqrt{N}A(t)} e^{iH} e^{-\sqrt{N}A(0)} e^{-B(0)} \Omega \]
and then compute a “reduced Hamiltonian”
\[ H_{\text{red}} = \frac{1}{i} (\partial_t \mathcal{M}^*) \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^* \partial_t \mathcal{M} \] (11)
so that
\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t \psi_{\text{red}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} \psi_{\text{red}}. 
\]  
(12)

To state the results of [22–24], we define the operator kernel
\[
g_N(t, x, y) := -\Delta_x \delta(x - y) + (v_N * |\phi|^2)(t, x) \delta(x - y) + v_N(x - y) \overline{\phi}(t, x) \phi(t, y) 
\]  
(13)

and
\[
S_{\text{old}}(s) := \frac{1}{i} s_t + g_N^T \circ s + s \circ g_N \quad \text{and} 
\]
\[
W_{\text{old}}(p) := \frac{1}{i} p_t + [g_N^T, p] 
\]  
(14)

The main result of [22] can be summarized as follows:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( \phi \) and \( k \) satisfy
\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t \phi - \Delta \phi + (v_N * |\phi|^2) \phi = 0  
\]  
(15a)

\[
S_{\text{old}}(\text{sh}(2k)) = m_N \circ \text{ch}(2k) + \overline{\text{ch}(2k)} \circ m_N  
\]  
(15b)

\[
W_{\text{old}}(\overline{\text{ch}(2k)}) = m_N \circ \text{sh}(2k) - \text{sh}(2k) \circ m_N .  
\]  
(15c)

with prescribed initial conditions \( \phi(0, \cdot) = \phi_0, k(0, \cdot, \cdot) = 0 \). If \( \phi, k \) satisfy the above equations, then there exists a real phase function \( \chi \) such that
\[
\| \psi_{\text{exact}}(t) - e^{i N \chi(t)} \psi_{\text{appr}}(t) \|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{C(1 + t) \log^4(1 + t)}{N^{(1 - 3\beta)/2}} . 
\]  
(16)

provided \( 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3} \).

See [22] for the reasons behind these equations. We also mention a very recent simple derivation of these equations in [40]. This result was extended to the case \( \beta < \frac{1}{2} \) in [32], where it was also argued informally that the equations of [22] do not provide an approximation for \( \beta > \frac{1}{2} \).

In the hope of obtaining an approximation for higher \( \beta \), in [21] we introduced a coupled refinement of the system (15a)–(15c).

The coupled equations of [21] were introduced by the following way: Since \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} \) is a fourth-order polynomial in \( a \) and \( a^* \),
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} \Omega = (X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, 0, \cdots) . 
\]  
(17)

The new, coupled equations for \( \phi \) and \( k \) that we introduce in [21] can be written abstractly as
\[
X_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 = 0 .  
\]  
(18)

It was shown there that they are Euler–Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian density \( X_0 \), and that their solutions preserve the number of particles and the energy [2].
Remark 2.2. The static terms of $X_0(t)$ (not involving time derivatives) also appear in the recent paper [3], but do not serve as a Lagrangian there.

To write down the Eqs. (18) explicitly in terms of $\phi$ and $k$, we introduce

**Definition 2.3.** Define
\[
\Lambda(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2N} \text{sh}(2k)(t, x_1, x_2) + \phi(t, x_1)\phi(t, x_2)
\] (19)
and the new operator kernel
\[
\tilde{g}_N(t, x, y) := -\Delta_x \delta(x - y) + v_N(x - y)(tr \Gamma)(t, x)\delta(x - y)
\]
\[+ v_N(x - y)\Gamma(t, x, y)
\] (21)
where $tr$ denotes trace density, and define
\[
\tilde{S}(s) := \frac{1}{i} s_t + \tilde{g}_N^* s + s \circ \tilde{g}_N \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{W}(p) := \frac{1}{i} p_t + [\tilde{g}_N^T, p]
\] (22)
In this notation, the following is proved in [21]

**Theorem 2.4.** The equation $X_1 = 0$ is equivalent to
\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t \phi(t, x) - \Delta \phi + \int v_N(x - y)\Lambda(t, x, y)\tilde{\phi}(t, y)dy
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{N} (v_N \ast Tr(\text{sh}(k) \circ \text{sh}(k)))(t, x)\phi(t, x)
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{N} \int v_N(x - y)(\text{sh}(k) \circ \text{sh}(k))(t, x, y)\phi(t, y)dy = 0
\] (23)
Here $Tr(\text{sh}(k) \circ \text{sh}(k))(t, x) = (\text{sh}(k) \circ \text{sh}(k))(t, x, x)$ denotes the trace density.

The equation $X_2 = 0$ is equivalent to
\[
\tilde{S}(\text{sh}(2k)) + (v_N \Lambda) \circ \text{ch}(2k) + \text{ch}(2k) \circ (v_N \Lambda) = 0
\] (24a)
\[
\tilde{W}(\text{ch}(2k)) + (v_N \Lambda) \circ \text{sh}(2k) - \text{sh}(2k) \circ (v_N \Lambda) = 0
\] (24b)
Notice the similarity with (15a)–(15c).

Since it is difficult to prove estimates for these equations directly, we will write them down in a different, equivalent form. The derivation will be self-contained, and in fact most of the rest of this paper is independent of our previous work [21–24].

We now state the main result of our current paper.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $\frac{1}{3} < \beta < \frac{2}{3}$, and let the interaction potential $v \in S$ satisfies $v \geq 0$ and $|\tilde{v}| \leq \hat{w}$ for some $w \in S$. Let $\phi, k$ be solutions to (23), (24a), (24b) with smooth initial conditions $\phi(0, \cdot), k(0, \cdot)$ satisfying the following regularity uniformly in $N$ (expressed in terms of $\phi, \Lambda, and
Γ defined above as well as \( sh(k) \): For some \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) and all \( 0 \leq i \leq 1, 0 \leq j \leq 2 \)

\[
\| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^i \nabla_x^j \phi(t,x) \|_{L^2(dx)} \leq C
\]

\( \tag{25} \)

\[
\| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0 < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^i \nabla_y^j \Gamma(t,x,y) \|_{L^2(dx)} \leq C
\]

\( \tag{26} \)

\[
\| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0 < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^i \nabla_y^j \Lambda(t,x,y) \|_{L^2(dx)} \leq C
\]

\( \tag{27} \)

\[
\| \nabla_{x+y}^j \phi(h(0,x,y)) \|_{L^2(dx)} \leq C
\]

\( \tag{28} \)

Then there exists a real function \( \chi(t) = \chi_N(t) \) and a (small) \( T_0 > 0 \) and \( C = C(T_0, \epsilon_0, \beta) \) such that

\[
\| \psi_{exact} - \psi_{appr} \|_F := \| e^{it\hat{H}} e^{-\sqrt{N} A(\phi_0)} e^{-B(k(0)) \Omega} - e^{it\chi(t)} e^{-\sqrt{N} A(\phi(t))} e^{-B(k(t)) \Omega} \|_F
\]

\[
\leq \frac{C}{N^\beta}
\]

for \( 0 \leq t \leq T_0 \).

Several remarks are in order.

**Remark 2.6.** First, we comment on the initial conditions for our equations. The kernel considered in [3] (for \( \beta = 1 \) ) is of the form \( k(t,x,y) = -N \phi(t,x) \phi(t,y) w(N(x-y)) \) where \( \phi \) solves the Gross–Pitaevskii NLS and \( 1 - w \) is the solution to the zero energy scattering solution. The function \( w(x) \) is smooth near 0 and behaves like \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \) at infinity. This is close to the expected form of the ground state and corresponds, in our setup, to

\[
\Lambda(0,x,y) = \phi(x) \phi(y)(1 - N^{\beta-1} w(N^\beta (x - y))
\]

We can prescribe \( \Lambda(0,x,y) \) arbitrarily, but the time derivative is determined by the Eq. (33b) (see Section (4)) which has a singular term \( \frac{1}{N} \nabla_N \) and

\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t \Lambda(t,x,y) = - \left( -\Delta_x - \Delta_y + \frac{1}{N} \nabla_N (x-y) \right) \Lambda(t,x,y) + \text{smoother terms}
\]

Our initial conditions (27) with one-time derivative are compatible with such initial condition provided

\[
< \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0 < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0 \left( -\Delta_{x,y} + \frac{1}{N} \nabla_N (x-y) \right) \phi(x) \phi(y)(1 - N^{\beta-1} w(N^\beta (x - y))
\]

\[
\in L^2(dx dy)
\]

which is true if, for instance,

\[
\left( -\Delta_{x,y} + \frac{1}{N} \nabla_N (x-y) \right) (1 - N^{\beta-1} w(N^\beta (x - y)) = 0
\]

but are incompatible with the choice \( k = 0 \), \( \Lambda(0,x,y) = \phi(x) \phi(y) \). This is in contrast to our earlier results [22–24] on the uncoupled equations. It also raises the interesting question of describing explicitly the Nth component of \( \psi_{appr} \).
Remark 2.7. The value $\beta < 2/3$ is the highest value for which the potential $N^{3\beta-1} V(N^\beta(x-y))$ can be treated as a perturbation using Strichartz estimates in various places in the paper (see the next section). We hope it will be possible to develop a more refined analysis which does not treat the potential as a perturbation and extend the range of $\beta$.

Remark 2.8. Our theorem is stated and proved only locally in (small) time. The proof is based on Theorem (6.1) which is, essentially, a local existence theorem with bounds uniform in $N$ for initial conditions with

$$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \Lambda(0,\cdot) \|_{L^2} \leq C$$

$$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \Gamma(0,\cdot) \|_{L^2} \leq C$$

$$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \phi(0,\cdot) \|_{L^2} \leq C.$$ 

However, one can show, based on the conservation laws proved in [21], for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small,

$$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \Lambda(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2} \leq C(t)$$

$$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \Gamma(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2} \leq C$$

$$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \phi(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2} \leq C$$

uniformly in $N$. This makes it likely that our main result Theorem (2.5) extends globally in time with some constant $C = C(t)$ depending on $t$. We do not yet know how $C(t)$ will depend on $t$ as $t \to \infty$.

Remark 2.9. In the very recent and important paper [4], Bocatto, Cenatiempo, and Schlein prove a result closely related to ours in the full range $\beta < 1$, and the estimate is global in time; however, there are substantial differences between their work and ours. The techniques used in [4] are quite different than ours, and the approximation in [4] is given (translating to our notation) by $e^{i\chi(t)} e^{-\sqrt{N} A(\phi(t))} e^{-B(k(t))} U_{2,N}(t) \Omega$ where $k(t) = k(t,x,y)$ is explicit (and related but different from our $k(t)$) and $U_{2,N}(t)$ is an evolution in Fock space with a quadratic generator (see the page preceding Theorem 1.1 in [4]). Given the complexity, the evolution equation defining of $U_{2,N}(t)$, we believe there is still sufficient interest in having an approximation given by just $e^{i\chi(t)} e^{-\sqrt{N} A(\phi(t))} e^{-B(k(t))} \Omega$ where $k$ satisfies a classical PDE in 6 + 1 variables.

3. Guide to the proof

Before going into the details of the complete proof of the Main Theorem (2.5), we will explain the main ideas. To control the error terms in Section (9), we need estimates for $\| \text{sh}(2k) \|_{L^2(dx,dy)}$, uniformly in $N$. This is accomplished in Section (7), but here is a miniature simplified sketch. ($S$ denotes $\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta$ in 3 + 1 or 6 + 1 dimensions.)

Replace Eq. (24a) by the simplified version

$$Su = -\nu_N(x-y) \Lambda(t,x,y) \sim -\delta(x-y) \Lambda(t,x,x)$$
(\(u\) denotes \(\text{sh}(2k)\)). The right-hand side is too singular to apply energy estimates or Strichartz estimates, so we proceed by writing Duhamel’s formula and integrating by parts:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(t, x, y) &= u(0, x, y) + \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_{x,y}} \delta(x - y) \Lambda(s, x, x) ds \\
  &= \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_{x,y}} \Delta^{-1}_{x,y} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\delta(x - y) \Lambda(s, x, x)) ds \\
  &\quad + \text{boundary terms} \quad (29)
\end{align*}
\]

Now \(\Delta^{-1}_{x,y}\) smoothes out the singularity of \(\delta(x - y)\), but we need good estimates for 

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Lambda(s, x, x). \quad (30)
\]

Therefore, we need to assume good estimates for \(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Lambda(s, x, x)\). Continuing, we derive coupled equations for \(\phi\), \(\Lambda\), and \(\Gamma\), see Theorem (4.1). For this discussion, just look at the simplified model:

\[
\begin{align*}
  S\phi(t, x) &= -\int dz v_N(x - z) \Lambda(t, x, x) \bar{\phi}(t, x) \\
  \left( S + \frac{1}{N} v_N \right) \Lambda(t, x, y) &= -\int dz v_N(x - z) \Lambda(t, x, z) \bar{\phi}(t, z) \phi(t, y) \\
  &\quad - \int dz v_N(y - z) \Lambda(t, y, z) \bar{\phi}(t, z) \phi(t, x)
\end{align*}
\]

or, replacing \(v_N\) by \(\delta\),

\[
\begin{align*}
  S\phi(t, x) &= -\Lambda(t, x, x) \bar{\phi}(t, x) \quad (31) \\
  \left( S + \frac{1}{N} v_N(x - y) \right) \Lambda(t, x, y) &= -\Lambda(t, x, x) \bar{\phi}(t, x) \phi(t, y) \\
  &\quad - \Lambda(t, y, y) \bar{\phi}(t, y) \phi(t, x) \quad (32)
\end{align*}
\]

It is well known that NLS is well-posed in \(H^{1/2}\) in \(3 + 1\) dimensions, so it is natural to prove a well-posedness result with \(\nabla^{1/2} \phi(0, x) \in L^2\) and \(\nabla^{1/2}_{x} \nabla^{1/2}_{y} \Lambda(0, x, y) \in L^2\). To get things started, we need the space-time collapsing estimate (53) of Lemma (5.1). This holds for solutions of the homogeneous Schrödinger equations, and, automatically, in \(X^{1/2+}\) spaces\(^2\) (see Section (5) for the definition and properties of these spaces). Ignoring the potential for a moment, it is very easy to treat the Eq. (32).

\[
S \left( \nabla^{1/2}_{x} \nabla^{1/2}_{y} \Lambda(t, x, y) \right) = -\nabla^{1/2}_{x} \left( \Lambda(t, x, x) \bar{\phi}(t, x) \right) \nabla^{1/2}_{y} \phi(t, y) \\
- \nabla^{1/2}_{y} \left( \Lambda(t, y, y) \bar{\phi}(t, y) \right) \nabla^{1/2}_{x} \phi(t, x)
\]

If \(\nabla^{1/2} \phi \in X^{1/2+}\) and \(\nabla^{1/2}_{x} \nabla^{1/2}_{y} \Lambda \in X^{1/2+}\) then, locally in time,

\(^2\)\(1/2+\) is a number slightly bigger than \(1/2\).
\( \nabla^{1/2} \phi \in L^\infty(dt)L^2(dx) \) and \( \nabla_x^{1/2} \Lambda(t, x, x) \in L^2(dt)L^2(dx) \) by (53), and thus
\[
\nabla_x^{1/2} \left( \Lambda(t, x, x) \tilde{\phi}(t, x) \right) \nabla_y^{1/2} \phi(t, y) \in L^2(dt)L^{6/5}(dx)L^2(dy)
\]
\( \subset X^{-1/2-} \)
and similarly for the second term, which puts \( \nabla_x^{1/2} \nabla_y^{1/2} \Lambda(t, x, y) \) back in \( X^{1/2+} \) (once we resolve the technical discrepancy between \( 1/2+ \) and \( 1/2- \) by introducing some epsilons), closing the loop in \( X \) spaces. The argument for Eq. (31) is similar.

When applying these estimates to (32), we also have to differentiate the potential term, and \( \nabla_x^{1/2} \nabla_y^{1/2} \frac{1}{N} v_N \in L^{6/5} \) uniformly in \( N \) if \( \beta \leq 2/3 \). This is the lowest exponent for which the term can be treated as a perturbation using \( L^2(dt)L^{6/5}(d(x - y))L^2(dx) \) Strichartz estimates. The precise statement is Proposition (5.7).

The spaces \( X^{1/2+} \) come with a fixed cutoff function, and we need to vary the size of the time interval at will to obtain a contraction out of the argument outlined above, so, for this technical reason, the nonlinear result Theorem (6.1) is proved in the spaces (71)–(73). Once this result, we can differentiate the equations with respect to \( t \) and get control over \( N_T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Lambda \) which in turn controls (30). Finally, to control Fock space error terms such as
\[
\left( S + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} v_N(x_i - x_j) \right) E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \phi(x_1)v_N(x_1 - x_2)sh(k)(x_2, x_3)
\]
\( E(0, \cdot) = 0 \)
(see (91)), we refrain from using time derivatives of \( sh(k) \) which would require higher derivatives of \( \Lambda \) (see (29)). Instead we use Strichartz estimates. The fact that \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \| v_N \|_{L^{6/5}} \leq \frac{C}{N^\beta} \) (for \( \beta \leq 2/3 \)) explains the exponent in the statement of the theorem. The complete proof has to include several iterates of this type of argument together with energy estimates in Fock space to handle off diagonal terms in our reduced Hamiltonian.

4. The equations for \( \Lambda \) and \( \Gamma \) (self-contained derivation)

As already mentioned, it seems difficult to obtain estimates (uniformly in \( N \)) for \( \phi \) and \( k \) directly from Eqs. (24a) to (24b). The equations seem linear, but the “coefficients” \( v_N \Lambda, v_N \Gamma \) depend on \( sh(2k), ch(2k) \). We will proceed indirectly by deriving and studying equations for \( \Lambda \) and \( \Gamma \).

**Theorem 4.1.** The equations of Theorem (2.4) are equivalent to
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{x_i} \right\} \phi(x_1) &= - \int dy \left\{ v_N(x_1 - y) \Gamma(y, y) \right\} \phi(x_1) \\
&\quad - \int dy \left\{ v_N(x_1 - y)\phi(y)(\Gamma(y, x_1) - \bar{\phi}(y)\phi(x_1)) \right\} + v_N(x_1 - y)\bar{\phi}(y)(\Lambda(x_1, y) - \phi(x_1)\phi(y)) \right\} \\
\end{align*}
\] (33a)
\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t - \Delta x_1 - \Delta x_2 + \frac{1}{N} v_N(x_1 - x_2) \right\} \Lambda(x_1, x_2)
\]
\[
= - \int dy \left\{ v_N(x_1 - y) \Gamma(y, y) + v_N(x_2 - y) \Gamma(y, y) \right\} \Lambda(x_1, x_2)
\]
\[
- \int dy \left\{ \left( v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y) \right) \times \left( \Lambda(x_1, y) \Gamma(y, x_2) + \Gamma(x_1, y) \Lambda(y, x_2) \right) \right\}
\]
\[
+ 2 \int dy \left\{ \left( v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y) \right) |\phi(y)|^2 \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) \right\}
\]
(33b)
\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t - \Delta x_1 + \Delta x_2 \right\} \Gamma(x_1, x_2)
\]
\[
= - \int dy \left\{ \left( v_N(x_1 - y) - v_N(x_2 - y) \right) \Lambda(x_1, y) \bar{\Lambda}(y, x_2) \right\} +
\]
\[
- \int dy \left\{ \left( v_N(x_1 - y) - v_N(x_2 - y) \right) \times \left( \Gamma(x_1, y) \Gamma(y, x_2) + \Gamma(y, y) \Gamma(x_1, x_2) \right) \right\}
\]
\[
+ 2 \int dy \left\{ \left( v_N(x_1 - y) - v_N(x_2 - y) \right) |\phi(y)|^2 \phi(x_1) \bar{\phi}(x_2) \right\}
\]
(33c)

See (40a)–(40c) for the conceptual meaning of these equations in terms of the density matrices \( L \) defined below. Also note that \( \phi, \Lambda, \) and \( \Gamma \) depend on \( t \), but this dependence has been suppressed in the above formulas.

While it is easy to prove this by direct calculation, we proceed with a derivation which is independent of Theorem (2.4) of our previous paper [21].

As in our previous papers [21–24], we consider
\[
\mathcal{M} := e^{-\sqrt{N} A} e^{-B}
\]
and we have the evolution
(34)
\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H} \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}
\]
(35)
\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t \mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{M}^* \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} \mathcal{M}^*.
\]
(36)

The evolution equation for \( \mathcal{M} \) above is obvious from (11).

Take a monomial of the form: (Wick ordered)
\[
\mathcal{P}_{m,n} = a_{y_1}^* a_{y_2}^* \cdots a_{y_m}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_2} \cdots a_{x_n}
\]
and define the \( \mathcal{L} \) matrices as follows,
\[
\mathcal{L}_{m,n}(t, y_1, \ldots, y_m; x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \frac{1}{N^{(m+n)/2}} \{ a_{y_1} \cdots a_{y_m} \mathcal{M} \Omega, a_{x_1} \cdots a_{x_n} \mathcal{M} \Omega \}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{N^{(m+n)/2}} \{ \Omega, \mathcal{M}^* \mathcal{P}_{m,n} \mathcal{M} \Omega \}
The notation is chosen, so that the second set of variables are un-barred. We will often skip the $t$ dependence, since it is passive in the calculations that we have in mind.

Fortunately we will only need $L_{0,1}$, $L_{1,1}$, and $L_{0,2}$ (which turn out to be $\phi$, $\Gamma$, and $\Lambda$), but the computation is quite general.

To get started, we observe that from the evolution of the operator $M$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t \left( M^* PM \right) = [ H_{\text{red}}, M^* PM ] + M^* [ P, H ] M
\]
\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t L = \frac{1}{N^{(n+m)/2}} \left( \langle \Omega, [ H_{\text{red}}, M^* PM ] \Omega \rangle + \langle \Omega, M^* [ P, H ] M \Omega \rangle \right).
\] (37)

At this point, we record the following lemma

**Lemma 4.2.** If $H_{\text{red}} \Omega = (\mu, 0, 0, X_3, X_4, 0 \ldots)$ and
\[
P := a \quad \text{or} \quad P := aa \quad \text{or} \quad P := a^* a
\]
then
\[
\langle \Omega, [ H_{\text{red}}, M^* PM ] \Omega \rangle = 0,
\] (38)
leaving only the second term in (37)

**Proof.** We use the following notation:
\[
c = \text{ch}(k), \quad u = \text{sh}(k)
\]
\[
a_x(c) := \int dy \left\{ a_x c(y, x) \right\},
\]
\[
a_x^*(u) := \int dy \left\{ a_x^* u(y, x) \right\}
\]
\[
a_x^*(\bar{c}) := \int dy \left\{ a_x^* \bar{c}(y, x) \right\} = \int dy \left\{ c(x, y)a_y^* \right\} \quad \text{by symmetry}
\]
\[
a_x(\bar{u}) := \int dy \left\{ a_x \bar{u}(y, x) \right\} = \int dy \left\{ \bar{u}(x, y)a_y \right\} \quad \text{by symmetry}
\]

We have the conjugation formulas (see also (86))
\[
M^* a_x M = a_x(c) + a_x^*(u) + \sqrt{N}\phi(x) := b_x + \sqrt{N}\phi(x) \quad \text{(39a)}
\]
\[
M^* a_x^* M = a_x^*(\bar{c}) + a_x(\bar{u}) + \sqrt{N}\phi(x) := b_x^* + \sqrt{N}\phi(x) \quad \text{(39b)}
\]

which implies the transformation of the monomial,
\[
M^* P(a^*, a) M = P(b^* + \sqrt{N}\phi, b + \sqrt{N}\phi).
\]

Now if $P = a$ then, using (18),
\[
\langle \Omega, H_{\text{red}}(b_x + \sqrt{N}\phi(x)) \Omega \rangle - \langle \Omega, (b_x + \sqrt{N}\phi(x)) H_{\text{red}} \Omega \rangle = 0.
\]
The argument is similar if $P = aa$ or $P = a^* a$ since only the entries in the zeroth slot survive.

Based on this, we easily prove the following proposition.
Proposition 4.3. Under the assumptions of Lemma (4.2), the following equations hold

\[
\left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{x_1} \right) L_{0,1}(t, x_1) = - \int v_N(x_1 - x_2) L_{1,2}(t, x_2; x_1, x_2) dx_2 \tag{40a}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{y_1} \right) L_{1,1}(t, x_1; y_1) = \int v_N(x_1 - x_2) L_{2,2}(t, x_2; x_1, y_1, x_2) dx_2
\]

\[
- \int v_N(y_1 - y_2) L_{2,2}(t, x_1, y_2; y_1, y_2) dy_2 \tag{40b}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2} + \frac{1}{N} v_N(x_1 - x_2) \right) L_{0,2}(t, x_1, x_2)
\]

\[
= - \int v_N(x_1 - y) L_{1,3}(t, y; x_1, x_2, y) dy
\]

\[
- \int v_N(x_2 - y) L_{1,3}(t, y; x_1, x_2, y) dy \tag{40c}
\]

The Eq. (40b) is one of the BBGKY equations, but in our case, \( L_{2,2} \) can be expressed in terms of the earlier matrices, see Lemma (4.4).

Proof. With \( P \) any monomial of degree one or two, we know from Lemma (4.2) that

\[
[\Omega, [\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}, \mathcal{M}^* P \mathcal{M}] \Omega] = 0
\]

and for any of the corresponding matrices \( L \) we arrive at the equation,

\[
\frac{1}{i} \partial_t L = \frac{1}{N^\alpha} \{ \Omega, \mathcal{M}^*[P, \mathcal{H}] \mathcal{M} \Omega \}, \quad \alpha = 1/2, 1.
\]

We need to compute \([P, \mathcal{H}]\) and for this purpose recall our original Hamiltonian,

\[
\mathcal{H} = \int dx dy \left\{ \Delta x \delta(x-y) a_x^* a_y \right\} - \frac{1}{2N} \int dx dy \left\{ v_N(x-y) a_x^* a_y a_x a_x \right\}
\]

Below we list the commutators with each monomial:

\[
[a_{x_1}, \mathcal{H}] = \Delta_{x_1} a_{x_1} - \frac{1}{N} \int dy \left\{ v_N(x_1 - y) a_y^* a_y \right\} a_{x_1}
\]

\[
[a_{x_1} a_{x_2}, \mathcal{H}] = \Delta_{x_1} a_{x_1} a_{x_2} + \Delta_{x_2} a_{x_2} a_{x_1} - \frac{1}{N} v_N(x_1 - x_2) a_{x_1} a_{x_2}
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{N} \int dz \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - z) + v(x_2 - z)) a_z^* a_z a_{x_1} a_{x_2} \right\}
\]

\[
[a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}, \mathcal{H}] = a_{x_1}^* \Delta_{x_2} a_{x_2} - (\Delta_{x_1} a_{x_1})^* a_{x_2}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{N} \int dz \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - z) - v_N(x_2 - z)) a_{x_1}^* a_z^* a_z a_{x_2} \right\}
\]

from which we can derive the corresponding evolution equations for the \( L \) matrices:

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t - \Delta_{x_1} \right\} L_{0,1}(t, x_1) = - \frac{1}{N^{3/2}} \int dy v_N(x_1 - y) \left\{ [\Omega, \mathcal{M}^* a_y^* a_y a_{x_1} \mathcal{M} \Omega] \right\} \tag{41}
\]
\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t - \Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2} + \frac{1}{N} v_N(x_1 - x_2) \right\} \mathcal{L}_{0,2}(t, x_1, x_2)
= -\frac{1}{N^2} \int dz \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - z) + v_N(x_2 - z)) \mathcal{M}^* a^*_z a_z a_{x_1} a_{x_2} \mathcal{M} \Omega \right\}
\]
and finally
\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t + \Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2} \right\} \mathcal{L}_{1,1}(t, x_1, x_2)
= +\frac{1}{N^2} \int dz \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - z) - v_N(x_2 - z)) \mathcal{M}^* a^*_z a_z a_{x_1} a_{x_2} \mathcal{M} \Omega \right\}
\]
which implies the statement of the proposition.

The proof of Theorem (4.1) is finished by computing the necessary matrices $\mathcal{L}$. We need the following (writing throughout this proof $[x]$ indicates freezing the variable $x$):

$\mathcal{M}^* a_{x_1} \mathcal{M} \Omega$

\[
( b_{x_1} + \sqrt{N} \phi(x_1) ) \Omega = \left( \sqrt{N} \phi([x_1]), u(y, [x_1]), 0, 0 \ldots \right)
\]

Similarly,

$\mathcal{M}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_2} \mathcal{M} \Omega$

\[
( b_{x_1} + \sqrt{N} \phi(x_1) ) ( b_{x_2} + \sqrt{N} \phi(x_2) ) \Omega = ( f_0, f_1, f_2, 0, 0 \ldots )
\]
where the entries are:

\[
f_0([x_1], [x_2]) = N\phi([x_1])\phi([x_2]) + (u \circ c)([x_1], [x_2]) = N\Lambda([x_1], [x_2])
\]

\[
f_1(y, [x_1], [x_2]) = \sqrt{N} \left( \phi([x_1])u(y, [x_2]) + \phi([x_2])u(y, [x_1]) \right)
\]

\[
f_2(y_1, y_2, [x_1], [x_2]) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ u(y_1, [x_1])u(y_2, [x_2]) + u(y_2, [x_1])u(y_1, [x_2]) \right]
\]

and similarly,

$\mathcal{M}^* a^*_z a_{x_2} \mathcal{M} \Omega$

\[
( b^*_{x_1} + \sqrt{N} \phi(x_1) ) ( b_{x_2} + \sqrt{N} \phi(x_2) ) \Omega = ( g_0, g_1, g_2, 0, 0 \ldots )
\]
where the entries are:

\[
g_0([x_1], [x_2]) = N\bar{\phi}([x_1])\phi([x_2]) + (\bar{u} \circ c)([x_1], [x_2]) = N\Gamma([x_1], [x_2])
\]

\[
g_1(y, [x_1], [x_2]) = \sqrt{N} \left( \bar{\phi}([x_1])u(y, [x_2]) + \bar{c}(y, [x_1])\phi([x_2]) \right)
\]

\[
g_2(y_1, y_2, [x_1], [x_2]) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \bar{c}(y_1, [x_1])u(y_2, [x_2]) + \bar{c}(y_2, [x_1])u(y_1, [x_2]) \right]
\]

Based on this, we easily compute

**Lemma 4.4.** The $\mathcal{L}$ matrices are given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{0,1}(t, x_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left( \Omega, ( b_{x_1} + \sqrt{N} \phi(x_1) ) \Omega \right) = \phi(x_1)
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{0,2}(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{N} \left( \Omega, ( b_{x_1} + \sqrt{N} \phi(x_1) ) ( b_{x_2} + \sqrt{N} \phi(x_2) ) \Omega \right)
\]
where the integrals in the last two formulas can be trivially expressed in terms of \( \psi \) and \( \omega \), which in turn can be expressed in terms of \( \phi \), \( \Lambda \), and \( \Gamma \).

**Proof.** All calculations are straightforward. For instance,

\[
\mathcal{L}_{1,2}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{N^{3/2}} \{ \Omega, \mathcal{M}^* a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2} a_{x_3} \mathcal{M} \Omega \} = \frac{1}{N^{3/2}} \{ \Omega, \mathcal{M}^* a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2} \mathcal{M}^* a_{x_3} \mathcal{M} \Omega \}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{N^{3/2}} \{ \mathcal{M}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_1} \mathcal{M} \Omega, \mathcal{M}^* a_{x_3} \mathcal{M} \Omega \}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{N} \bar{g}_0(x_2, x_1) \phi(x_3) + \int \bar{g}_1(y, x_2, x_1) u(y, x_3) dy
\]

\[
= \Gamma(x_1, x_2) \phi(x_3) + \frac{1}{N} u \circ c(x_3, x_2) \bar{\phi}(x_1) + \frac{1}{N} \bar{u} \circ u(x_1, x_3) \phi(x_2)
\]

\[
= \Gamma(x_1, x_2) \phi(x_3) + \frac{1}{N} \sh(2k)(x_3, x_2) \bar{\phi}(x_1) + \frac{1}{N} \bar{u} \circ u(x_1, x_3) \phi(x_2)
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{2,2}(y_1, y_2; x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{N^2} \{ \mathcal{M}^* a_{y_1} a_{y_2} \mathcal{M} \Omega, \mathcal{M}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_2} \mathcal{M} \Omega \}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{N^2} f_0(y_1, y_2) f_0(x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{N^2} \int f_1(y, y_1, y_2) f_1(y, x_1, x_2) dy
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{N^2} \int f_2(y, z, y_1, y_2) f_2(y, z, x_1, x_2) dy dz
\]

\( \square \)
With these ingredients at hand, we proceed to write down the evolution of $L_1 = \phi$:

$$
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t - \Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2} + \frac{1}{N} \psi(x_1 - x_2) + \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y)) \Gamma(y, y) \right\} \right\} \phi(x_1)
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{2N} \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y)) \phi(y) \left( \omega(y, x_1) \phi(x_2) + \omega(y, x_2) \phi(x_1) \right) \right\}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2N} \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y)) \overline{\phi}(y) \left( \psi(x_1, y) \phi(x_2) + \psi(x_2, y) \phi(x_1) \right) \right\}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{4N^2} \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y)) \left( \psi(x_1, y) \omega(y, x_2) + \omega(y, x_1) \psi(x_2, y) \right) \right\}
$$

and we can eliminate $\psi$ and $\omega$ by the substitution,

$$
\omega = 2N(\Gamma - \overline{\phi} \otimes \phi)
$$

$$
\psi = 2N(\Lambda - \phi \otimes \phi)
$$

so that we have a system involving only $\Lambda$, $\Gamma$, and $\phi$ matrices.

The evolution of $\Lambda$ is given by the expression below:

$$
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t - \Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2} + \frac{1}{N} \psi(x_1 - x_2) + \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y)) \Gamma(y, y) \right\} \right\} \Lambda
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{2N} \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y)) \phi(y) \left( \omega(y, x_1) \phi(x_2) + \omega(y, x_2) \phi(x_1) \right) \right\}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2N} \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y)) \overline{\phi}(y) \left( \psi(x_1, y) \phi(x_2) + \psi(x_2, y) \phi(x_1) \right) \right\}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{4N^2} \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) + v_N(x_2 - y)) \left( \psi(x_1, y) \omega(y, x_2) + \omega(y, x_1) \psi(x_2, y) \right) \right\}
$$

Finally the evolution of $\Gamma$ is given by:

$$
\left\{ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t + \Delta_{x_1} - \Delta_{x_2} \right\} \Gamma
$$

$$
= \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) - v_N(x_2 - y)) \overline{\Lambda}(x_1, y) \Lambda(y, x_2) \right\}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2N} \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) - v_N(x_2 - y)) \left( \phi(y) \omega(y, x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_1) + \overline{\phi}(y) \omega(x_1, y) \phi(x_2) \right) \right\}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2N} \int dy \left\{ (v_N(x_1 - y) - v_N(x_2 - y)) \left( |\phi(y)|^2 \omega(x_1, x_2) + \omega(y, y) \overline{\phi}(x_1) \phi(x_2) \right) \right\}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{4N^2} \int dy \left\{ (v(x_1 - y) - v(x_2 - y)) \left( \omega(x_1, y) \omega(y, x_2) + \omega(y, y) \omega(x_1, x_2) \right) \right\}
$$

If we substitute $\psi = 2N(\Lambda - \phi \otimes \phi)$ and $\omega = 2N(\Gamma - \overline{\phi} \otimes \phi)$ we obtain the equations of Theorem (4.1).

**Remark 4.5.** Using the ideas in this section, one can easily show that the expected number of particles for our approximation, $\langle \mathcal{M} \Omega, \mathcal{N} \mathcal{M} \Omega \rangle$ (where $\mathcal{N} = \int a_s^\ast a_s dx$), as well as the energy $\langle \mathcal{M} \Omega, \mathcal{H} \mathcal{M} \Omega \rangle$ are constant in time. This provides an easier proof of some of the results of Section 8 of [21].
5. Estimates

In this paper, \( S \) and \( S_{\pm} \) stand for the pure differential operators, so that the operators of Theorem (2.4) are \( \hat{S} = S + \text{potential terms} \), \( \hat{W} = S_{\pm} + \text{potential terms} \)

\[
S = \frac{1}{i} \partial_{t} - \Delta \quad \text{(in 6 + 1 or 3 + 1 dimensions, as will be clear from the context)}
\]

\[
S_{\pm} = \frac{1}{i} \partial_{t} - \Delta_{x} + \Delta_{y}
\]

and potential terms are given by composition with \( v_{N}(x - y) \Gamma(x, y) \) and multiplication by \( v_{N} \ast \text{Tr} \Gamma \).

The symbol of \( S \) is \( \tau + |\xi|^{2} \) or \( \tau + |\xi|^{2} + |\eta|^{2} \), depending on dimensions, and the symbol of \( S_{\pm} \) is \( \tau + |\xi|^{2} - |\eta|^{2} \). We will use the following norms:

\[
\| f \|_{X_{\delta}^{0}} = \| (1 + |\tau + |\xi|^{2}|)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \hat{f}(\tau, \xi) \|_{L^{2}}
\]

\[
\| f \|_{X_{\delta}^{S}} = \| (1 + |\tau + |\xi|^{2}| + |\eta|^{2}|)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \hat{f}(\tau, \xi, \eta) \|_{L^{2}}
\]

\[
\| f \|_{X_{\delta}^{W}} = \| (1 + |\tau + |\xi|^{2}| - |\eta|^{2}|)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \hat{f}(\tau, \xi, \eta) \|_{L^{2}}
\]

and refer to [43], Section 2.6 for their history and properties. Of special importance is the following result: (Proposition 2.12 in [43]): if \( Su = f, \delta > 0 \) and \( \chi(t) \) is a fixed \( C_{0}^{\infty} \), cutoff function, then

\[
\| \chi(t) u \|_{X_{\delta}^{1/2}} \lesssim \| u(0, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}} + \| f \|_{X_{\delta}^{-1/2}} \tag{51}
\]

For the rest of the paper, we will use the standard notation \( A \lesssim_{X, \delta} B \) to mean “there exists a constant \( C \) depending on \( \chi \) and \( \delta \) such that \( A \leq CB \).”

We will also use freely the general principle that if an \( L^{p} \) estimate holds for solutions to the homogeneous equation, it also holds in \( X^{1/2+\delta} \) spaces, see Lemma 2.9 in [43].

To get started, fix \( w \in S \) such that \( |\hat{w}| \leq \hat{w} \) and fix \( \epsilon > 0 \) depending on \( \beta < 2/3 \) so that \( \nabla_{x} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_{y} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \frac{1}{N} |w_{N}(x - y)\), which is a function of \( x - y \), satisfies

\[
\| < \nabla_{x} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \| < \nabla_{y} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \| \frac{1}{N} w_{N} \|_{L^{6/5}(dx dy)} \leq \frac{C}{N^{\text{small power}}}
\]

The basic space-time collapsing estimates, in the spirit of [30], are:

**Lemma 5.1.** If \( SA = 0 \), then

\[
\| A(t, x, x) \|_{L^{2}(dt dx)} \lesssim \| \nabla_{x}^{1/2} A_{0}(x, y) \|_{L^{2}(dx dy)} \tag{52}
\]

\[
\| \nabla_{x}^{1/2} A(t, x, x) \|_{L^{2}(dt dx)} \lesssim \| \nabla_{x}^{1/2} \nabla_{y}^{1/2} A_{0}(x, y) \|_{L^{2}(dx dy)} \tag{53}
\]

As a consequence, if \( SA = F \) and \( \delta > 0 \), then

\[
\sup_{z} \| < \nabla_{x} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \chi(t) A(t, x, x + z) \|_{L^{2}(dt dx)} \lesssim_{\delta} \| < \nabla_{x} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \chi(t) \|_{X_{\delta}^{1/2+\delta}}
\]

\[
\lesssim_{\delta} \| < \nabla_{x} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_{y} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \chi(t) \|_{X_{\delta}^{1/2+\delta}}
\]

\[
\lesssim_{\delta} \| < \nabla_{x} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_{y} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon A_{0}(x, y) \|_{L^{2}(dx dy)} + \| < \nabla_{x} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_{y} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon F \|_{X_{\delta}^{1/2+\delta}} \tag{54}
\]
If $S_{\pm} \Gamma = 0$, then
\[
\| |\nabla_x|^{1/2 + \epsilon} \Gamma(t, x, x) \|_{L^2(dt dx)} + \| |\nabla_x|^{1/2} \Gamma(t, x, x) \|_{L^2(dt dx)}
\lesssim_{\epsilon} \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \| L^2(dx dy) \tag{55}
\]

As a consequence, if $S_{\pm} \Gamma = F$, then
\[
\sup_z \| |\nabla_x|^{1/2 + \epsilon} \chi(t) \Gamma(t, x, x + z) \|_{L^2(dt dx)} + \sup_z \| |\nabla_x|^{1/2} \chi(t) \Gamma(t, x, x) \|_{L^2(dt dx)}
\lesssim_{\epsilon, \delta} \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \| L^2(dx dy)
\lesssim_{\epsilon, \delta} \| \nabla > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \| \chi_x^{1/2 + \delta} \tag{56}
\]

Remark 5.2. Notice that the estimates for $\Lambda$ are different from those for $\Gamma$ at low frequencies. We cannot estimate $\| \Gamma(t, x, x) \|_{L^2(dt dx)}$. The “lowest” derivative we can estimate in (55) is $\| |\nabla_x|^{1/2} \Gamma(t, x, x) \|_{L^2(dt dx)}$.

Proof. The same method, inspired by [29], works for both. Let $\Lambda$ denote the space-time Fourier transform of $\Lambda$. For (52)
\[
|\Lambda(t, x, x)(\tau, \xi)|^2
\lesssim \int \delta(\tau - |\xi - \eta|^2 - |\xi + \eta|^2) \frac{1}{|\eta|} d\eta
\approx \int \delta(\tau - |\xi - \eta|^2 - |\xi + \eta|^2) \Lambda_0(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta)^2 d\eta
\]
To prove the estimate, we must show
\[
\sup_{\tau, \xi} \int \delta(\tau - |\xi|^2 - |\eta|^2) \frac{1}{|\eta|} d\eta \lesssim 1
\]
which is obvious. For (53)
\[
|\nabla_x^{1/2} \Lambda(t, x, x)(\tau, \xi)|^2
\lesssim \int \delta(\tau - |\xi - \eta|^2 - |\xi + \eta|^2) \frac{|\xi|}{|\xi - \eta| |\xi + \eta|} d\eta
\approx \int \delta(\tau - |\xi - \eta|^2 - |\xi + \eta|^2) \Lambda_0(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta)^2 d\eta
\]
To prove the estimate, we must show
\[
\sup_{\tau, \xi} \int \delta(\tau - |\xi|^2 - |\eta|^2) \frac{|\xi|}{|\xi - \eta| |\xi + \eta|} d\eta \lesssim 1
\]
Without loss of generality, consider the region \(|\xi - \eta| \leq |\xi + \eta|\). If \(|\xi - \eta| \sim |\xi + \eta|\), \(|\xi - \eta| \lesssim \frac{1}{|\eta|}\), then the integral can be evaluated in polar coordinates. If \(|\xi - \eta| \ll |\xi + \eta|\), then \(|\xi| \sim |\eta|\) writing \(|\xi| \lesssim \frac{1}{|\xi - \eta|}\lesssim \frac{1}{|\xi + \eta|}\) \(\lesssim \frac{1}{|\eta|\sqrt{1 - \cos(\theta)}}\) where \(\theta\) is the angle between \(\xi\) and \(\eta\), we estimate
\[
\sup_{\tau} \int_0^{\pi} \int \delta(\tau - \rho^2) \frac{1}{\rho \sqrt{1 - \cos(\theta)}} \rho^2 d\rho \sin(\theta) d\theta \lesssim 1
\]

For (55)
\[
||\nabla_x \frac{1}{2\pi} \Gamma(t, x, \xi)(\tau, \xi)||
\leq c \left| \int \delta(\tau - |\xi - \eta|^2 + |\xi + \eta|^2) |\xi| \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{\Gamma}_0(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) d\eta \right|
\lesssim \int \delta(\tau - |\xi - \eta|^2 + |\xi + \eta|^2) \left( |\xi - \eta|^2 + |\xi + \eta|^2 \right) |\xi| \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{\Gamma}_0(\xi - \eta, \xi + \eta) d\eta
\]

Here we use the straightforward estimate
\[
I = \sup_{\tau, \xi} \int \delta(\tau - \xi \cdot \eta) \frac{|\xi| |\xi + \eta| e}{\xi - \eta > 1+\epsilon \leq \xi + \eta > 1+\epsilon} d\eta \lesssim 1
\]
To prove this, take \(\xi = (|\xi|, 0, 0)\). Then
\[
\int \delta(\tau - \xi \cdot \eta) \frac{|\xi| |\xi - \eta|^e}{\xi - \eta > 1+\epsilon \leq \xi + \eta > 1+\epsilon} d\eta = \int \delta(\tau - |\xi| \eta_1) \frac{|\xi|}{\xi - \eta > 1+\epsilon} d\eta
\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\eta_{2,3} > 2+\epsilon} d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \lesssim 1
\]

Next, we record some Strichartz-type estimates

**Lemma 5.3.** The following estimate holds
\[
\|e^{it(\Delta_x \pm \Delta_y)} f\|_{L^2(dt; L^6(dx)L^2(dy))} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{57}
\]
\[
\|e^{it(\Delta_x \pm \Delta_y)} f\|_{L^2(dt; L^\infty(dx)L^2(dy))} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{58}
\]
and, as a consequence,
\[
\|F\|_{L^2(dt; L^6(dx)L^2(dy))} \lesssim \|F\|_{X^{1/2+s}}. \tag{59}
\]
where \(X\) can be either \(X_5\) or \(X_W\).

**Proof.** We argue as follows:
\[
\|e^{it(\Delta_x \pm \Delta_y)} f\|_{L^2(dy)}\|L^2(dt) L^6(dx)} = \|e^{it\Delta_x f}\|_{L^2(dy)}\|L^2(dt) L^6(dx)}
\lesssim \|e^{it\Delta_x f}\|_{L^2(dt) L^6(dx)}\|L^2(dy)}
\lesssim \|e^{it\Delta_x f}\|_{L^2(dt) L^6(dx)}\|L^2(dy)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(dx, dy)} 3 + 1 \text{ end-point Strichartz} \ [27]
The proof of (57) is similar, using Sobolev. This type of estimate has first appeared, we believe, in [8]. Versions of it were used in [11, 12, 32].

We will need the following refinements. Notice that for \( S \) we can choose \( x, y \) coordinates or \( x + y, x - y \) coordinates, but this is not possible for \( S_{\pm} \).

**Lemma 5.4.** For each \( 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \), there exists \( \frac{6}{5} + \delta \) a number which can be chosen arbitrarily close to \( \frac{6}{5} \) if \( \delta \) is small such that the following estimate holds

\[
\| \Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \lesssim \| \Lambda \|_{L^2} \quad (60)
\]

**Proof.** This is proved by interpolating the estimate dual to (59)

\[
\| \Lambda \|_{X^{-\frac{1}{2} - \delta}} \lesssim \| \Lambda \|_{L^2} \quad (61)
\]

with

\[
\| \Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}} = \| \Lambda \|_{L^2} \quad (62)
\]

Also we will need the closely related

**Lemma 5.5.** For each \( 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \) there exist numbers \( 2-, 6/5+ \) arbitrarily close to \( 2, 6/5 \) if \( \delta \) is close to 0, so that

\[
\| F \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \lesssim \| F \|_{L^2} \quad (63)
\]

**Proof.** We start with

\[
\| F \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \lesssim \| F \|_{L^2} \quad (64)
\]

and interpolate with the trivial estimate

\[
\| F \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}} = \| F \|_{L^2} \quad (65)
\]

we get (63).

Finally, we have one more estimate along the same lines

**Lemma 5.6.** If \( S\Lambda = 0 \) then

\[
\| \Lambda \|_{L^2} \quad (66)
\]

and, as a consequence, if \( S\Lambda = F \) then

\[
\| \chi(t) \Lambda \|_{L^2} \quad (67)
\]
Proof. Writing (58) in $x+y, x-y$ coordinates
\[ \| e^{\mathcal{L}(\Delta + \Delta_g)} \Lambda_0 \|_{L^2(dt)\mathcal{L}^\infty(d(x-y)))L^2(d(x+y))} \]
\[ \lesssim \| < \nabla_{x-y} > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Lambda_0 \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]
\[ \lesssim \| < \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Lambda_0 \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]

Note that here we are forced to use $< \nabla >$ rather than $\nabla$.
With the help of Lemma (5.6) we can estimate solutions to

\[ \begin{aligned} \mathbf{S} + \frac{1}{N} v_N (x-y) \Lambda &= F \\
\Lambda(0, \cdot) &= \Lambda_0(\cdot) \end{aligned} \]  

(64)

The next proposition is the key estimate of our paper.

**Proposition 5.7.** If $\Lambda$ satisfies (64), $\beta < 2/3$, and $\chi(t)$ is a smooth cut-off function which is 1 on $[0,1]$, $\delta$ is sufficiently small, and $N \geq N_0$ is sufficiently large, then

\[ \| < \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \chi(t) \Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \]
\[ \lesssim \| < \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Lambda_0(x,y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]
\[ + \| < \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon F \|_{X_{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \]  

(65)

and thus, by Lemma (5.1),

\[ \sup_z \| < \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \chi(t) \Lambda(t,x+z,x) \|_{L^2(dx dz dx)} \]
\[ \lesssim \| < \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Lambda_0(x,y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]
\[ + \| < \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon F \|_{X_{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \]  

(66)

Similar estimates hold for $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^j \nabla_{x+y} (\chi(t) \Lambda)$ because these derivatives commute with the potential.

Proof. Recall we introduced a potential $w \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\hat{w} \geq |\hat{v}|$. For (65), we use another cutoff function $\chi_1(t)$ which is identically 1 on the support of $\chi$ and notice that the solution of (64) agrees with that of

\[ \mathbf{S} \Lambda + \frac{1}{N} v_N \chi_1(t) \Lambda = F \]  

(67)

\[ \Lambda(0, \cdot) = \Lambda_0(\cdot) \]
on the support of $\chi$. So we work with the solution of (67). Also, for technical reasons, we define $|\chi_1(t) \Lambda|$ to be the inverse Fourier transform of the absolute value of the (space-time)
Fourier transform of $\chi_1(t)\Lambda$. Then

$$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

(68)

$$\lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{N} \nu_N \chi_1(t)\Lambda \right) \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

+ $$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \Lambda_0(x,y) \|_{L^2(dx\,dy)}$$

+ $$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} F \|_{X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

(69)

We plan to absorb (69) in the LHS of (68). The reason we introduced $w$ and $[\chi_1(t)\Lambda]$, which have non-negative Fourier transforms, is to have the following cheap substitute for the Leibnitz rule:

$$\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{N} \chi_1(t)\nu_N \Lambda \right) \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

(70a)

$$\lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta} \frac{1}{N} w_N \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

(70b)

$$+ \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \frac{1}{N} w_N < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

(70c)

$$+ \| \frac{1}{N} w_N < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

(70d)

For the most singular term, (70a), $< \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \frac{1}{N} w_N (x - y)$ is a function of $x - y$ and we recall $\epsilon$ was chosen, so that $\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \frac{1}{N} w_N \|_{L^{6/5}} \leq \frac{C}{N^{small\ power}}$. At this stage, we insist $\delta$ is so small that the corresponding number $6/5 + \delta$ also satisfies $\| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \frac{1}{N} w_N \|_{L^{6/5 + \delta}} \leq \frac{C}{N^{small\ power}}$. We estimate, using (60) and Lemma (5.6)

$$\| \left( \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \frac{1}{N} w_N \right) \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

$$\lesssim \| \left( \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \frac{1}{N} w_N \right) \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{L^2(dt) L^{6/5 + (d(x-y))} L^2(d(x+y))}$$

$$\lesssim \| \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \frac{1}{N} w_N \|_{L^{6/5 + (d(x-y))}} \| \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{L^2(dt) L^{\infty}(d(x-y)) L^2(d(x+y))}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{small\ power}} \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^{small\ power}} \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \chi_1(t)\Lambda \|_{X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$$

This can be absorbed in the LHS of (68). The other terms are easier. □
While we will use the $X$ spaces as tools in our proofs, the actual norms in which we prove well-posedness are $L^p$ norms defined for some $0 < T \leq 1$.

$$
N_T(\Lambda) = \sup_z \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Lambda(t, x + z, x) \|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
+ \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Lambda(t, x, y) \|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^6))}
$$

(71)

$$
\dot{N}_T(\Gamma) = \sup_z \| |\nabla_x|^{1/2} \Gamma(t, x + z, x) \|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
+ \sup_z \| |\nabla_x|^{1/2} \Gamma(t, x, y) \|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^6))}
$$

(72)

$$
N_T(\phi) = \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \phi \|_{L^\infty([0, T])L^2} + \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \phi \|_{L^2([0, T])L^6}
$$

(73)

Based on energy and Strichartz estimates, the collapsing estimates of Lemma (5.1) and general properties of $X$ spaces which allow one to transfer estimates for solutions to the homogeneous equation to estimates in $X^{1/2+\delta}$ spaces we have

$$
N_T(\Lambda) \lesssim \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Lambda \|_{X^{1/2+\delta}}
$$

$$
\dot{N}_T(\Gamma) \lesssim \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Gamma \|_{X^{1/2+\delta}}
$$

$$
N_T(\phi \otimes \phi) + \dot{N}_T(\phi \otimes \overline{\phi}) \lesssim \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \phi \|_{X^{1/2+\delta}}^2
$$

Our basic estimates for the inhomogeneous linear equations are

**Proposition 5.8.** If $\Gamma$ and $\phi$ satisfy

$$
S_{\pm} \Gamma = F \\
S \phi = G
$$

$0 \leq T \leq 1$, and $2_0 < 2, \frac{6}{5} > \frac{6}{5}$ are fixed numbers which can be chosen close to 2 and $\delta$, then

$$
\dot{N}_T(\Gamma) \lesssim \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Gamma(0, x, y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \\
+ \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon F \|_{L^2([0, T]L^{6/5}(dx)L^2(dy)}
$$

$$
N_T(\phi) \lesssim \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \phi(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2(dx)} + \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon G \|_{L^2([0, T]L^{6/5}(dx)}
$$

$$
N_T(\phi \otimes \phi) + \dot{N}_T(\phi \otimes \overline{\phi}) \lesssim N_T(\phi)^2
$$

Similar estimates hold when $x$ and $y$ are reversed.

**Proof.** We will prove this for the equation for $\Gamma$, the other one for $\phi$ being easier. The standard energy estimate and the collapsing estimate of Lemma (5.1) prove that if $S_{\pm} \Gamma = 0$, then

$$
\dot{N}_T(\Gamma) \lesssim \| \nabla_x > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \nabla_y > \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \Gamma(0, x, y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)}
$$
From here and general properties of $X^{1/2+\delta}$ spaces, we get, for any $\delta > 0$, 
\[ \dot{N}_T(\Gamma) \lesssim_\delta \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} \Gamma \|_{X^{1/2+\delta}_W} \]
uniformly in $T$.

Finally, to prove the stated estimate, let $F_T = F$ in $[0, T]$, and 0 otherwise. Let $\Gamma_T$ be the solution to
\[ S_{\pm} \Gamma_T = F_T \]
\[ \Gamma_T(0, \cdot) = \Gamma(0, \cdot) \]
Then $\Gamma = \Gamma_T$ in $[0, T]$ and recalling $0 < T \leq 1$ and $\chi = 1$ on $[0, 1]$,
\[ \dot{N}_T(\Gamma) = \dot{N}_T(\chi(t)\Gamma_T) \]
\[ \lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} \chi(t)\Gamma_T \|_{X^{1/2+\delta}_S} \]
\[ \lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} \Gamma_0(x, y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]
\[ + \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} F_T \|_{X^{1/2+\delta}_S} \] (by (51))
\[ \lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} \Gamma_0(x, y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]
\[ + \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} F_T \|_{L^2([0, T])L^{5/5+}(dx)L^2(dy)} \] (by (63))
\[ = \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} \Gamma_0(x, y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]
\[ + \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} F_T \|_{L^2([0, T])L^{5/5+}(dx)L^2(dy)} \]
\[ \square \]

The same type of result holds for the equation for $\Lambda$.

**Proposition 5.9.** There exist numbers $2^{-} < 2$, $6/5+ > 6/5$, which can be chosen arbitrarily close to 2 and 6/5 such that, if $\Lambda$ satisfies
\[ \left( S + \frac{1}{N} v_N(x - y) \right) \Lambda = F \]
\[ \Lambda(0, \cdot) = \Lambda_0(\cdot) \] (74)
$\beta < 2/3$, and $0 \leq T \leq 1$, then
\[ N_T(\Lambda) \lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} \Lambda_0(x, y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]
\[ + \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} F_T \|_{L^2([0, T])L^{5/5+}(dx)L^2(dy)} \] (75)

Similar estimates hold for $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^j \nabla_{x+y}^i \Lambda:
\[ N_T \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \nabla_{x+y}^i \Lambda \right) \lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \nabla_{x+y}^i \Lambda(t, x, y) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \]
\[ + \| < \nabla_x >^{1/2+\epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{1/2+\epsilon} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \nabla_{x+y}^i F_T \|_{L^2([0, T])L^{5/5+}(dx)L^2(dy)} \] (76)
Proof. The proof is the same as the one of Propositions (5.8), except that, because of the potential $v_N$, we do not get the estimate (51) from general principles but use Proposition (5.7). To prove (76), first differentiate the equation, noting that these derivatives commute with the potential, then apply the previous result.

\[ \square \]

6. The nonlinear equations

Now we come to our main PDE result

Theorem 6.1. Let $\Lambda$, $\Gamma$, and $\phi$ be solutions of (33b), (33c), (33a) with initial conditions $\phi_0, k_0 \in S$. There exists $N_0$ such that for all $N \geq N_0$, the following estimates hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
N_T(\Lambda) &\lesssim \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} <\nabla_y >^{1+\varepsilon} \Lambda(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + T^{\text{small power}} \left( N_T(\Lambda) \dot{N}_T(\Gamma) + N_T^4(\phi) \right) \\
\dot{N}_T(\Gamma) &\lesssim \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} <\nabla_y >^{1+\varepsilon} \Gamma(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + T^{\text{small power}} \left( N_T^2(\Lambda) + \dot{N}_T^2(\Gamma) + N_T^4(\phi) \right) \\
N_T(\phi) &\lesssim \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + T^{\text{small power}} \left( N_T(\Lambda) + \dot{N}_T(\Gamma) + N_T^2(\phi) \right) N_T(\phi)
\end{align*}
\]

So there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that, if $T \leq T_0$,

\[
\begin{align*}
N_T(\Lambda) + \dot{N}_T(\Gamma) + N_T(\phi) &\lesssim \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} <\nabla_y >^{1+\varepsilon} \Lambda(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} <\nabla_y >^{1+\varepsilon} \Gamma(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}
\end{align*}
\]

Also, similar estimates hold for the derivatives which commute with the potential:

\[
N_T \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_{x+y}^j \Lambda \right) + \dot{N}_T \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_{x+y}^j \Gamma \right) + N_T \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_x^j \phi \right)
\]

\[
\lesssim \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} <\nabla_y >^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_{x+y}^j \Lambda \bigg|_{t=0} \|_{L^2} + \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} <\nabla_y >^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_{x+y}^j \Gamma \bigg|_{t=0} \|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \| <\nabla_x >^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla_x^j \phi \bigg|_{t=0} \|_{L^2}
\]

\[(77)\]
The time interval $T_0$ and the implicit constants in the above inequalities depend only on
\[
\| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \| < \nabla_y >^\frac{1}{2} \Lambda(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + \\
\| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \| < \nabla_y >^\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + \\
\| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \phi(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}
\]

**Proof.** For Eq. (33a), which can be abbreviated as
\[
\frac{1}{t} \partial_t \phi - \Delta \phi = - (\nu_N \Lambda) \circ \bar{\phi} - (\nu_N \Gamma) \circ \phi - (\nu_N \ast \text{Tr} \Gamma) \cdot \phi + 2(\nu_N \ast |\phi|^2)\phi \\
:= \text{RHS}(33a)
\]
we first apply Proposition (5.8):
\[
N_T(\phi) \lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \phi(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + \| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \text{RHS}(33a) \|_{L^2-([0,T])L^{5/5^+}(dx)}
\lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \phi(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + T^{\text{small power}} \| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \text{RHS}(33a) \|_{L^2([0,T])L^{5/5^+}(dx)}
\lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \phi(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} + T^{\text{small power}} \left( N_T(\Lambda) + \bar{N}_T(\Gamma) + N_{\Lambda}^2(\phi) \right) N_T(\phi)
\]
The last line follows from the classical fractional Leibnitz rule in $L^p$ spaces due to Kato and Ponce [26]. We only present a typical term in RHS(33a):
\[
\| < \nabla_x >^\frac{1}{2} \left( (\nu_N \ast \text{Tr} \Gamma) \cdot \phi \right) \|_{L^2([0,T])L^{5/5^+}(dx)}
\lesssim \| (\nu_N \ast \text{Tr} \Gamma) \cdot \phi \|_{L^2([0,T])L^{5/5^+}(dx)} + \| \nabla_x |^\frac{1}{2} \left( (\nu_N \ast \text{Tr} \Gamma) \cdot \phi \right) \|_{L^2([0,T])L^{5/5^+}(dx)}
\lesssim \| \text{Tr} \Gamma \|_{L^2([0,T])L^3(dx)} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty L^2^+}
\leq \| \nabla_x |^\frac{1}{2} \| \text{Tr} \Gamma \|_{L^2([0,T])L^2(dx)} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty L^3^+} + \| \text{Tr} \Gamma \|_{L^2([0,T])L^3^+(dx)} \| \nabla_x |^\frac{1}{2} \| \|_{L^\infty L^2}
\lesssim \bar{N}_T(\Gamma) N_T(\phi)
\]
Now we deal with the Eq. (33b), which can be abbreviated as
\[
\left( S + \frac{1}{N} \nu_N \right) \Lambda
\]
\[
= - (\nu_N \Lambda) \circ \Gamma - \bar{\Gamma} \circ (\nu_N \Lambda)
\leq \left( (\nu_N \ast \text{Tr} \Gamma)(x) + (\nu_N \ast \text{Tr} \Gamma)(y) \right) \Lambda(x,y)
\leq \left( (\nu_N \Gamma) \right) \circ \Lambda - \Lambda \circ (\nu_N \Gamma)
\leq 2(\nu_N \ast |\phi|^2)(x)\phi(x)\phi(y) + 2(\nu_N \ast |\phi|^2)(y)\phi(y)\phi(x)
:= \text{RHS}(33b)
\]
Applying Proposition (5.9) to the Eq. (33b)

\[ N_T(\Lambda) \lesssim \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \Lambda(0, \cdot) \|_{L^2} \]

\[ + \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} > RHS(33b) \|_{L^2 - [0, T]} L^{5/5 + \epsilon} (dx) L^2 (dy) \]

We would like to estimate \( < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} > RHS(33b) \) in \( L^2 L^{5/5 + L^2} \) to gain a small power of \( T \) from Cauchy–Schwarz in time.

Typical term in RHS (33b):

\[
< \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} > \left( (v_N \Lambda) \circ \Gamma(x,y) \right) = \int v_N(z) < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} > \left( \Lambda(x, x - z) \Gamma(x - z, y) \right) dz
\]

Applying the fractional Leibniz rule in \( L^p \) spaces,

\[
\int v_N(z) \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} > \left( \Lambda(x, x - z) \Gamma(x - z, y) \right) \|_{L^2 ([0, T]) L^{5/5 + \epsilon} (dx) L^2 (dy)} dz
\]

\[
\lesssim \int v_N(z) \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \Lambda(x, x - z) \|_{L^2 ([0, T]) L^2 (dx)}
\]

\[
\times \| < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} > \Gamma(x - z, y) \|_{L^\infty ([0, T]) L^{3/3 + \epsilon} (dx) L^2 (dy)} dz
\]

\[
+ \int v_N(z) \| \Lambda(x, x - z) \|_{L^2 ([0, T]) L^{3/3 + \epsilon} (dx)}
\]

\[
\times \| < \nabla_x >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} < \nabla_y >^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} > \Gamma(x - z, y) \|_{L^\infty ([0, T]) L^2 (dx) L^2 (dy)} dz
\]

\[
\lesssim N_T(\Lambda) \tilde{N}_T(\Gamma)
\]

All other terms are treated in a similar manner. In fact, all terms on the RHS(33b) and RHS(33c) are of the form \((v_N F) \circ G\) or \((v_N \ast \mathcal{T} F) G\) where \( F, G \) can be \( \Lambda, \Gamma, \phi \otimes \phi \) or \( \phi \otimes \bar{\phi}\) (or their complex conjugates) and \( N_T(F), N_T(G) \) are estimated as above by Propositions (5.8) or (5.9).

\[\square\]

### 7. Estimates for \( sh(2k) \)

Recall the Eq. (24a), which can be written explicitly as

\[ S(\text{sh}(2k)) = -2v_N \Lambda \]

\[-(v_N \Lambda) \circ p_2 - \bar{p}_2 \circ (v_N \Lambda) \]

\[-(v_N \ast \mathcal{T} \Gamma)(x) + (v_N \ast \mathcal{T} \Gamma)(y) \] \(\text{sh}(2k)(x, y) - (v_N \Gamma) \circ \text{sh}(2k) - \text{sh}(2k) \circ (v_N \Gamma) \]

\[:= RHS(78)\]

Now that we control the quantities (77) we use proofs similar to those of Section 4 of [22], or Section 3 of [32] with \(-v_N \Lambda\) playing the role of \( m \) and \( \tilde{S} \) playing the role of \( S \), at least locally.
in time. The crucial ingredient is that
\[
\sup_z \| \Lambda(t, x + z, x) \|_{L^2(dx)} + \sup_z \left\| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^i \Lambda(t, x + z, x) \right\|_{L^2(dx)}
\]
\[+ \| \Lambda \|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^i \Lambda \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1 \quad (79)
\]
for \( i = 0, 1 \). The \( \nabla_x + y \) derivatives have been used to control
\[
\| \nabla_x + y \Lambda(t, x + z, x) \|_{L^\infty(dx)} \leq C \sum_{j \leq 2} \| \left( \nabla_{x+y}^j \Lambda \right)(t, x + z, x) \|_{L^2(dx)}.
\]

The reader is warned, with apologies, that \( S \) in [22] is not the \( S \) of the current paper (which is a purely differential operator), but what was called \( S_{\text{old}} \) in the introduction.

In this section, we will prove

**Theorem 7.1.** Let \( sh(2k), ch(2k) \) satisfy the Eqs. (24a), (24b) with initial conditions as in Theorem (2.5). Then, for \( T_0 \) as in Theorem (6.1) and \( 0 \leq j \leq 2 \),
\[
\| \nabla^j_{x+y} sh(2k)(t, \cdot, \cdot) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \lesssim 1 \quad (80)
\]
\[
\sup_x \| sh(2k)(t, x, \cdot) \|_{L^2(dy)} \lesssim 1 \quad (0 \leq t \leq T_0) \quad (81)
\]

Define \( ch(k) = \delta(x - y) + p \). The following is immediate, as in the proof of Corollary 4.2 in [22]:

**Corollary 7.2.** The following estimates hold uniformly in \( 0 \leq t \leq T_0 \)
\[
\| sh(k)(t, \cdot, \cdot) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \lesssim 1
\]
\[
\| p(t, \cdot, \cdot) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \lesssim 1
\]
\[
\sup_x \| sh(k)(t, x, \cdot) \|_{L^2(dy)} \lesssim 1
\]
\[
\sup_x \| p(t, x, \cdot) \|_{L^2(dy)} \lesssim 1
\]

We start with some preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 7.3 (replacing Lemma 4.4 in [22]).** Let \( s^0_a \) be the solution to
\[
S^0_a = -2v_N(x - y) \Lambda
\]
\[
sh^0_a(0, x, y) = sh(2k)(0, x, y)
\]
Then
\[
\| s^0_a(t, \cdot, \cdot) \|_{L^2(dx dy)} \lesssim 1
\]
\[(0 \leq t \leq T_0)\]
with similar estimates for \( \nabla^j_{x+y} s^0_a \).
This lemma is a particular case (with $F = v_N(x - y)\Lambda(t, x, y)$) of a more general result:

**Lemma 7.4.** Let $F$ be a function of $6 + 1$ variables $(k \geq 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in [0, 1])$ and let

$$E(x, y, t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_{xy}}F(s)ds$$

Then

$$\|E(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left( \|F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(d(x+y))L^1(d(x-y))} + \|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(d(x+y))L^1(d(x-y))} \right)$$

**Proof.** Change variables, so we work in $x, y$ rather than $x - y, x + y$ coordinates. In these coordinates, integrating by parts,

$$E(t, \cdot) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_{xy}}F(s, \cdot)ds$$

(83)

$$= \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_{xy}}\Delta^{-1}_{xy}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}F(s, \cdot)ds + e^{it\Delta_{xy}}\Delta^{-1}_{xy}F(0, \cdot) - \Delta^{-1}F(t, \cdot)$$

(84)

We are going to project in frequencies dual to $x$ only. For the low frequency case, we use (83):

$$\|P_{|\xi| \leq 1}E(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_{xy}}P_{|\xi| \leq 1}F(s, \cdot)ds\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|P_{|\xi| \leq 1}F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(dxdy)} \lesssim \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(dy)L^1(dx)}$$

where we have used the fact that the (physical space) kernel corresponding to $P_{|\xi| \leq 1}$ is in $L^2(dx)$. For the high frequency case, we use (84). We only write down one term, the boundary terms being similar:

$$\|P_{|\xi| \geq 1}E(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta_{xy}}P_{|\xi| \geq 1}\Delta^{-1}_{xy}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}F(s, \cdot)ds\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|P_{|\xi| \geq 1}\Delta^{-1}_{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}F(s, \cdot)ds\|_{L^2(dxdy)}$$

$$\lesssim \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(dy)L^1(dx)}$$

where we have used that the kernel of $P_{|\xi| \geq 1}\Delta^{-1}_{x}$ is in $L^2(dx)$. \qed

Next, we include the potential terms:

**Lemma 7.5.** Let $s_a$ be the solution to

$$\tilde{S}s_a = -2v_N\Lambda$$

$$s_a(0, x, y) = sh(2k)(0, x, y)$$

(85)

$$\|s_a^0(t, \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^2(dx, dy)} \lesssim 1 \quad (0 \leq t \leq T_0)$$

with similar estimates for $\nabla_{x+y}^j s_a$. 
Proof. Let $V$ be the “potential” part of $\tilde{S}$:

$$V(u) = \left((v_N \ast \text{Tr}\Gamma)(x) + (v_N \ast \text{Tr}\Gamma)(y)\right)u + (v_N\Gamma) \circ u + u \circ (v_N\Gamma)$$

Form the estimates (77) for $\Gamma$, we see that $\left|\nabla^j_{x+y}\Gamma\right| \lesssim 1$, thus $V$ and $[V, \nabla^j_{x+y}]$ have bounded operator norm (on $L^2$). Write $s_a = s_a^0 + s_a^1$ (as in the previous lemma), so that $s_a^1$ satisfies the equation

$$\tilde{S}s_a^1 = -V(s_a^0)$$

$$s_a^1(0, x, y) = 0$$

Using energy estimates and the previous lemma, we see

$$\|[\nabla^j_{x+y} s_a^1]\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1$$

The result follows from the previous lemma. \hfill \qed

Finally, we can prove Theorem (7.1).

Proof of Theorem (7.1). Write $sh(2k) := s_2 = s_a + s_e$ where $s_a$ satisfies (85) and $ch(2k) = \delta(x - y) + p_2$. In analogy with (64a), (64b) of [22], they satisfy

$$\tilde{S}(s_e) = -(v_N \Lambda) \circ p_2 - \tilde{p}_2 \circ (v_N \Lambda)$$

$$\tilde{W}(\tilde{p}_2) = -(v_N \Lambda) \circ \tilde{s}_a + s_a \circ (v_N \Lambda)$$

$$-(v_N \Lambda) \circ \tilde{s}_e + s_e \circ (v_N \Lambda)$$

$$:= M - (v_N \Lambda) \circ \tilde{s}_e + s_e \circ (v_N \Lambda)$$

Using the result of Lemma (7.5) as well as estimates (77) for $\Lambda$, we see that

$$\|[\nabla^j_{x+y} M]\|_{L^2(dx \, dy)} \lesssim 1 \quad (0 \leq t \leq T_0)$$

and the result follows by energy estimates.

Finally, to prove (81), we will use the $L^2$ estimate (80):

$$\left\|sh(2k)(x, z)\right\|_{L^2(dx \, dz)} \left\|_{L^\infty(dx)} = \left\|sh(2k)(x, x + z)\right\|_{L^2(dx)} \left\|_{L^\infty(dx)} \leq \left\|sh(2k)(x, x + z)\right\|_{L^\infty(dx)} \left\|_{L^2(dx)} \right\|_{L^2(dx)} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^2 \left\|\nabla^j_x (sh(2k)(x, x + z))\right\|_{L^2(dx)} \left\|_{L^2(dx)}$$

$$= C \sum_{j=0}^2 \left\| \left(\nabla^j_{x+y} sh(2k)\right)(x, x + z)\right\|_{L^2(dx)} \left\|_{L^2(dx)}$$

$$= C \sum_{j=0}^2 \left\| \left(\nabla^j_{x+y} sh(2k)\right)(x, y)\right\|_{L^2(dx \, dy)} \leq C$$

\hfill \qed
8. The reduced Hamiltonian

Recall (11) for the definition of the reduced Hamiltonian. $\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}$ was computed, for instance, in Section 5 of [22]. We will write it in a different way, using Wick’s theorem. Recall the conjugation formulas

\begin{align*}
e^{B} a_{x} e^{-B} &= \int \left( ch(k)(y,x) a_{y} + sh (k)(y,x) a_{y}^{*} \right) dy \\
&= a(\overline{ch(k)(y, \cdot)}) + a^{*}(sh (k)(y, \cdot)) := b_{x} \\
e^{B} a_{x}^{*} e^{-B} &= \int \left( \overline{sh(k)(y,x)} a_{y} + \overline{ch(k)(y,x)} a_{y}^{*} \right) dy \\
&= a(\overline{sh(k)(y, \cdot)}) + a^{*}(ch(k)(y, \cdot)) := b_{x}^{*}.
\end{align*}

The reduced Hamiltonian is

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} &= N \mu_{0}(t) \\
&+ N^{1/2} \int dx \left\{ h(\phi(t,x)) b_{x}^{*} + \tilde{h}(\phi(t,x)) b_{x} \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{B(k(t))} \right) e^{-B(k(t))} + \int dx b_{x}^{*} \Delta b_{x} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy v_{N}(x - y) \\
&\times \left( \overline{\phi}(x) \overline{\phi}(y) b_{x} b_{y} + \phi(x) \phi(y) b_{x}^{*} b_{y}^{*} + 2 \phi(x) \overline{\phi}(y) b_{x}^{*} b_{y} \right) \\
&- \int dx (v_{N} * |\phi|^{2}) b_{x}^{*} b_{x} \\
&- \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_{1} dx_{2} \left\{ v_{N}(x_{1} - x_{2}) \left( \overline{\phi}(x_{2}) b_{x_{1}}^{*} b_{x_{1}} b_{x_{2}} + \phi(x_{2}) b_{x_{1}} b_{x_{2}}^{*} b_{x_{1}} \right) \right\} \\
&- \frac{1}{2N} \int dx_{1} dx_{2} \left\{ v_{N}(x_{1} - x_{2}) b_{x_{1}}^{*} b_{x_{2}}^{*} b_{x_{1}} b_{x_{2}} \right\}.
\end{align*}

The functions $\mu_{0}(t)$ and $h(\phi(t,x))$ appearing in (87a),(87b) are given below,

\begin{align*}
\mu_{0} &:= \int dx \left\{ \frac{1}{2i} (\phi \bar{\phi}_{t} - \bar{\phi} \phi_{t}) - |\nabla \phi|^{2} \right\} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \left\{ v_{N}(x - y)|\phi(x)|^{2} |\phi(y)|^{2} \right\} \\
h(\phi(t,x)) &:= -\frac{1}{i} \partial_{t} \phi + \Delta \phi - (v_{N} * |\phi|^{2}) \phi.
\end{align*}

We rearrange the terms in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}$ using Wick’s theorem. Define the contraction of $A(f) := a(f_{1}) + a^{*}(f_{2})$ and $A(g) := a(g_{1}) + a^{*}(g_{2})$ to be $C(A(f), A(g)) = [a(f_{1}), a^{*}(g_{2})] = \int f_{1} g_{2}$, and define the normal order $\text{Nor}(A(f) A(g) A(h) A(k))$ to be $A(f) A(g) A(h) A(k)$ expanded and rearranged, so all starred terms have been moved to the left, as if they commuted with unstarred terms.
terms. Wick's theorem, which can easily be proved by induction, says, in particular, that
\[
A(g)A(h)A(k) = \text{Nor}(A(g)A(h)A(k)) + C(A(g), A(h))A(k) + C(A(g), A(k))A(h) + C(A(h), A(k))A(g)
\]
\[
A(f)A(g)A(h)A(k) = \text{Nor}\left(A(f)A(g)A(h)A(k)\right) + C(A(f), A(g))A(h)A(k) + C(A(f), A(h))A(g)A(k) + \cdots
\]
(6 terms)
\[
= \text{Nor}\left(A(f)A(g)A(h)A(k)\right) + C(A(f), A(g))A(h)A(k) + C(A(f), A(h))A(g)A(k) + \cdots
\]
(6-ordered terms with 1 contraction)
\[
+ C(A(f), A(g))C(A(h), A(k)) + C(A(f), A(h))C(A(g), A(k)) + \cdots
\]
(6-ordered terms with 2 contractions)

Applying Wick's theorem to \(H_{\text{red}}\) (putting the quartic and cubic terms in normal order, but not the quadratics), we get
\[
H_{\text{red}} = N \mu_0(t) + N^{1/2} \int dx \left\{ \tilde{h}(\phi(t, x)) b_x^* + \tilde{h}(\phi(t, x)) b_x \right\}
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e^{B(k(t))} \right) e^{-B(k(t))} + \int dx b_x^* \Delta b_x
\]
(88a)
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy v_N(x-y) \left( \Lambda(x, y) b_x b_y + \Lambda(x, y) b_x^* b_y^* + 2 \Gamma(x, y) b_x^* b_y \right)
\]
(88b)
\[
- \int dx (v_N * \text{Tr} \Gamma) b_x^* b_x
\]
(88c)
\[
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 \text{Nor} \left\{ v_N(x_1 - x_2) \left( \tilde{\phi}(x_2) b_{x_1}^* b_{x_2} + \phi(x_2) b_{x_1}^* b_{x_2}^* b_{x_3} \right) \right\}
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2N} \int dx_1 dx_2 \text{Nor} \left\{ v_N(x_1 - x_2) b_{x_1}^* b_{x_2}^* b_{x_3} b_{x_3} \right\}
\]

where \(\tilde{h}\) is the modified Hartree operator (23). Let us remark that, in complete analogy to (67c) in [22], the quadratic terms (88a) + (88b) + (88c) can be written concisely and explicitly
as
\[
(88a) + (88b) + (88c) = \mathcal{H}_\tilde{g} - \mathcal{I}\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{w}^T & \tilde{f} \\
-\tilde{f} & -\tilde{w}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where
\[
\tilde{f} := \left(\tilde{S}(\text{sh}(k)) + \text{ch}(k) \circ (\nu_N \Lambda)\right) \circ \text{ch}(k) - \left(\tilde{W}(\text{ch}(k)) - \text{sh}(k) \circ (\nu_N \Lambda)\right) \circ \text{sh}(k)
\]
\[
\tilde{w} := \left(\tilde{W}(\text{ch}(k)) - \text{sh}(k) \circ (\nu_N \Lambda)\right) \circ \text{ch}(k) - \left(\tilde{S}(\text{sh}(k)) + \text{ch}(k) \circ (\nu_N \Lambda)\right) \circ \text{sh}(k)
\]
\[
\mathcal{H}_\tilde{g} = \int \tilde{g}(x,y) a_x^* a_y dx dy
\]

Also, \(\mathcal{I}\) is the Lie algebra isomorphism used in our previous papers [21–24] (see, for instance, formula (27) in [22]), which is
\[
\mathcal{I}\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{w}^T & \tilde{f} \\
-\tilde{f} & -\tilde{w}
\end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \left\{ \tilde{w}(y,x) a_x a_y^* + \tilde{w}(x,y) a_x^* a_y - \tilde{f}(x,y) a_x^* a_y^* - \tilde{f}(x,y) a_x a_y \right\}
\]

In this notation, \(\tilde{X}_2\) is a multiple of \(\tilde{f}\), thus \(\tilde{f} = 0\), if our equations are satisfied.

If we also put the quadratics in normal order, the above formula becomes
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} = X_0(t) + N^{1/2} \int dx \left\{ \tilde{h}(\phi(t,x)) b_x^* + \tilde{h}(\phi(t,x)) b_x \right\}
\]
\[
+ \mathcal{H}_\tilde{g} + \text{Nor} \left( \mathcal{I}\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{w}^T & \tilde{f} \\
-\tilde{f} & -\tilde{w}
\end{pmatrix} \right)
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 \left\{ v_N(x_1 - x_2) \left( \tilde{\phi}(x_2) b_x^* b_x b_{x_1} b_{x_2} + \phi(x_2) b_x^* b_{x_1} b_{x_2} \right) \right\}
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2N} \int dx_1 dx_2 \left\{ v_N(x_1 - x_2) b_x^* b_{x_1} b_{x_2} \right\}
\]

where \(X_0\) is written down explicitly in Section 6 on [21]. In conclusion, if \(\phi\) and \(k\) satisfy our equation \(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0\), then \(\tilde{h}(\phi(t,x)) = 0, \tilde{f} = 0\) and
\[
\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} - \mathcal{H} - X_0
\]
\[
= \mathcal{H}_\tilde{g} - \mathcal{H}_1 + \text{Nor} \left( \mathcal{I}\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{w}^T & 0 \\
0 & -\tilde{w}
\end{pmatrix} \right)
\]
\[
+ \text{Nor} \left( -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 \left\{ v_N(x_1 - x_2) \left( \tilde{\phi}(x_2) b_x^* b_x b_{x_1} b_{x_2} + \phi(x_2) b_x^* b_{x_1} b_{x_2} \right) \right\} \right.
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2N} \int dx_1 dx_2 \left\{ v_N(x_1 - x_2) b_x^* b_{x_1} b_{x_2} \right\}
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2N} \int dx_1 dx_2 \left\{ v_N(x_1 - x_2) a_x^* a_x^* a_{x_1} a_{x_2} \right\}.
\]
Remark that the third and fourth terms are \(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \text{Nor}(e^{B[A,V]}e^{-B}) \) and \(-\frac{1}{N} \text{Nor}(e^{B}Ve^{-B})\) (see Section 5 of [22]).

9. Estimates for the error term

In this section, we apply the estimates of Corollary (7.2) to estimate the error.

We proceed as in our previous papers [22–24], using the identity

\[
\|\psi_{\text{exact}}(t) - e^{i \int X_0(t) dt} \psi_{\text{appr}}(t)\|_F = \|e^{-i \int X_0(t) dt} \psi_{\text{red}} - \Omega\|_F
\]

and estimate the right-hand side term using the equation

\[
\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} + X_0\right) e^{-i \int X_0(t) dt} \psi_{\text{red}} - \Omega = (0, 0, 0, X_3, X_4, 0, \cdots) := \tilde{X}
\]

Recall \(X_0\) is the zeroth-order term in \(\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}\).

Denote \(E = e^{-i \int X_0(t) dt} \psi_{\text{red}} - \Omega\), so that

\[
\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} + X_0\right) E = (0, 0, 0, X_3, X_4, 0, \cdots) := \tilde{X}
\]

\[E(0, \cdot) = 0\]

In the proof that follows, we will write

\[
S_F := \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} + X_0
\]

\[:= S_D - \mathcal{P}\]

where

\[
S_D = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{H}
\]

\[
\mathcal{H} = \int a_+^\ast \Delta a_+ - \frac{1}{2N} V
\]

Thus \(\mathcal{H}\) is the original (unconjugated) Fock space Hamiltonian (8a)–(8c), and \(\mathcal{P}\) accounts for the rest of the terms:

\[
\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} - \mathcal{H} - X_0
\]

Recall \(\mathcal{H}\) acts on Fock space as

\[
\Delta - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j<k} N^3 \beta \nu(N^\beta (x_j - x_k))
\]

interpreted as 0 on the zeroth slot and \(\Delta\) on the first one.

The terms \(X_0, X_3,\) and \(X_4\) were computed in Section 5 of [22], see formulas (74c) and (72c).

We only need \(X_3\) and \(X_4\) here, and they are (modulo symmetrization and normalization)

\[
X_3(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int \overline{c_h}(y_1, x_1) c_h(x_2, y_2) \nu_N(x_1 - x_2) \phi(x_2) \text{sh}(y_3, x_1) dx_1 dx_2
\]

\[= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (\nu_N(y_1 - y_2) \text{sh}(y_3, y_1) \phi(y_2) + \text{LOT})\]
De/f_ine the following norms for $0$ where the lower order terms LOT come from the $p$ component of $\text{ch}(k) = \delta(x - y) + p$.

We will use the following Strichartz norms $S$ and dual Strichartz norms $S'$ for the equation $Su = f$, where $u = u(t, x_1, \ldots x_n)$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in [0, T_0]$.

**Definition 9.1.** Define the following norms for $0 \leq t \leq T_0$:

$$\|u\|_S = \max\{\|u\|_{L^\infty(dt)L^2(dx_1 \cdots dx_n)}, \|u\|_{L^2(dt)L^6(dx_1 \cdots dx_n)}\}$$

and all other permutations

and

$$\|u\|_{S'} = \min\{\|u\|_{L^1(dt)L^2(dx_1 \cdots dx_n)}, \|u\|_{L^2(dt)L^{6/5}(dx_1 \cdots dx_n)}\}$$

and all other permutations

Also, if $X$ is an element of Fock space with finitely many non-zero components $X_0, \ldots, X_k$, we denote

$$\|X\|_S = \max\{|X_0|, \|X_1\|_S, \ldots, \|X_k\|_S\},$$

and similarly

$$\|X\|_{S'} = \max\{|X_0|, \|X_1\|_{S'}, \ldots, \|X_k\|_{S'}\}$$

As it is well known, using the $T - T^*$ argument and the Christ–Kiselev lemma as well as estimates for the homogeneous equation such as (57) if $Su = f$, $u(t = 0) = 0$, then $\|u\|_S \lesssim \|f\|_{S'}$.

Furthermore, if $\beta < 1$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \|\nu_N(x_1 - x_2)u\|_{S'} \leq \frac{1}{N} \|\nu_N(x_1 - x_2)u\|_{L^2(dt)L^{6/5}(dx_1 - x_2)}\|L^2(dx_1 + x_2)\cdots dx_n)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N} \|\nu_N\|_{L^{3/2}} \|u\|_{L^2(dt)L^6(dx_1 - x_2)}\|L^2(dx_1 + x_2)\cdots dx_n),$$

$$\leq CN^{-\text{power}}\|u\|_S$$

so we can treat the potential as a perturbation and conclude the following:

**Lemma 9.2.** Let $f$ be a Fock vector with zero entries past the $k$th slot ($k = 21$ in the application that follows). Assume

$$S_Du = f$$

$$u(t = 0) = 0$$

then

$$\|u\|_S \lesssim \|f\|_{S'}$$

and notice that $\sup_t \|u\|_F \lesssim \|u\|_S$. 

The main result of this section, which completes the proof of Theorem (2.5), is

**Theorem 9.3.** Let $\beta < 2/3$ and let $E$ satisfy (90). Assume $\Lambda, \phi, \text{ and } \Gamma$ satisfy the estimates of Theorem (6.1) as well as Corollary (7.2). Then

$$
\|E\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_0$.

**Proof.** Recall the splitting

$$
S_F := \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} + X_0
$$

where $S_D$ is a diagonal Schrödinger operator. Also, $\mathcal{P}$ was computed at the end of Section (8).

We observe $\|X_4\|_{L^2} = cN^{\frac{16}{15} - 1} << 1$, but $\|X_5\|_{L^2} = cN^{\frac{16}{15} - 2} >> 1$, so we cannot use energy estimates and are forced to use the Strichartz estimates of Lemma (9.2). We proceed to solve

$$
(S_D - \mathcal{P})E = \tilde{X} = (0, 0, 0, X_3, X_4, \ldots)
$$

$$
E(0) = 0
$$

by iteration. We will iterate 4 times, and by the end, the vector on the right-hand side will have at most $5 + 4 \times 4$ nonzero entries. ($\mathcal{P}$ is a fourth-order operator in $a$ and $a^*$).

Since $\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}v_N\|_{L^{6/5}} = cN^{\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta}} \leq cN^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$, we have $\|X\|_{S'} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$ and therefore the first iterate defined by

$$
S_D E_1 = \tilde{X} = (0, 0, 0, X_3, X_4, \ldots)
$$

$$
E_1(0) = 0
$$

satisfies $\|E_1\|_S \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$. If $\mathcal{P}$ were bounded on the first few slots of Fock space, we would be done. Unfortunately, this is not the case, the norm of $\mathcal{P}$ on the first few slots of Fock space is $
leq CN^{\frac{3\beta - 1}{\beta^2}} \leq CN^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In Section (10) below, we prove that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2$ where $\mathcal{P}_2$ is bounded on (the first 21 slots of) Fock space, while $\|\mathcal{P}_1\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}}\|E\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ but also $\|\mathcal{P}_1\|_S \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}\|E\|_{S'}$. The reasons behind these bounds are $\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}v_N\|_{L^{6/5}} \leq cN^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$, $\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}v_N\|_{L^2} = cN^{\frac{16}{15} - 1} \leq cN^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Consider the iteration

$$
(S_D - \mathcal{P}) \left( E_1 + S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1 E_1 + (S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1)^2 E_1 + (S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1)^3 E_1 \right)
$$

$$
= \tilde{X} - \mathcal{P}_2 \left( E_1 + S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1 E_1 + (S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1)^2 E_1 \right) - \mathcal{P} \left( S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1 \right)^3 E_1
$$

Assuming the estimates of Propositions (10.1), (10.3), (10.4), we have the following fixed time estimates for $0 \leq t \leq T_0$:

$$
\|\mathcal{P}_2 \left( E_1 + S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1 E_1 + (S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1)^2 E_1 \right) \|_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

$$
\lesssim \| \left( E_1 + S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1 E_1 + (S_D^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1)^2 E_1 \right) \|_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

$$
\lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}
$$
and
\[ \|P (S_D^{-1} P_1)^3 E_1\|_F \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-\frac{4}{5}} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{5}}. \]

Now we can use energy estimates to compare the above fourth iterate with the exact solution to (90) as in our previous papers [22–24] and conclude the proof of the theorem. \(\square\)

All we have to do now is estimate the norm \(P\), restricted to the first 21 slots of Fock space. To do that, we need some precise information on the terms in \(P\), which was developed in Section (8).

10. Estimates for the norm of \(P\) restricted to the first 21 slots of Fock space

In this section, we will use repeatedly the fact that, if \(\beta \leq 2/3\), \(\frac{1}{N} \|v_N\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1\), \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \|v_N\|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}}\), \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \|v_N\|_{L^{\infty}_x} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\). Recall formula (89) defining \(P\).

We start by defining the term \(P_1\) and proving its properties.

**Proposition 10.1.** Let \(P_1\) is a linear combination of the following terms coming from
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \text{Nor} \left( e^{\mathcal{B}} [A, \mathcal{V}] e^{-\mathcal{B}} \right)
\]

\[ T_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 v_N(x_1 - x_2) \bar{\phi}(x_2) a(\bar{\phi}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(ch(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(ch(k)(x_2, \cdot)) \]

\[ T_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 v_N(x_1 - x_2) \phi(x_2) a^*(\bar{\phi}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^*(ch(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^*(ch(k)(x_2, \cdot)) \]

\[ T_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 v_N(x_1 - x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_2) a^*(\phi(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(ch(\overline{k})(x_1, \cdot)) a(ch(k)(x_2, \cdot)) \]

\[ T_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 v_N(x_1 - x_2) \phi(x_2) a^*(ch(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^*(\phi(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\overline{ch(k)}(x_1, \cdot)) a(\overline{ch(k)}(x_2, \cdot)) \]

If \(X\) is a Fock space vector which has nonzero entries only in the first \(k (k = 21)\) slots and \(T\) is one of the above \(T_i\), then
\[ \|TX\|_F \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}} \|X\|_F \quad (92) \]
\[ \|TX\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|X\|_S \quad (93) \]

**Remark 10.2.** It is easy to see the meaning of these terms. \(T_1^* = T_2, T_2 \Omega = X_3\) while \(T_3\) and \(T_4\) with \(ch(k)\) replaced by \(\delta(x - y)\) correspond to the unconjugated \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} [A, \mathcal{V}]\).

**Proof.** In treating the above terms, recall \(ch(k)(t, x, y) = \delta(x-y) + p(t, x, y)\). The worst terms are always obtained from the \(\delta\) term (because composition with \(p\) is bounded on \(L^2\)), so we will only discuss these. Also, recall \(\phi\) is known to be bounded, and \(L^2\). Replacing \(ch(k)(t, x, y)\)
by $\delta(x - y)$, $T_1$ gets replaced by
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 v_N(x_1 - x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_2) a(\overline{\sh(k)}(x_1, \cdot)) a_{x_1} a_{x_2}
\]
This acts on a Fock space vector of the form $(0, \cdots, F(x_1, \cdots x_n, 0, \cdots)$ as
\[
\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} v_N(x_1 - x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_2) \sh(k)(x_1, z)) F(x_1, x_2, z, \cdots) dx_1 dx_2 dz
\]
Now we use
\[
\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} v_N(x_1 - x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_2) \sh(k)(x_1, z)) \|_{L^2(dx_1 dx_2 dz)} 
\leq \sup_{x_1} \| \sh(k)(x_1, z) \|_{L^2(dz)} \| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} v_N \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
which implies (92), and also
\[
\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} v_N(x_1 - x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_2) \sh(k)(x_1, z)) \|_{L^{6/5}(d(x_1 - x_2)) L^2((dx_1 + x_2) dz)} 
\leq \sup_{x_1} \| \sh(k)(x_1, z) \|_{L^2(dz)} \| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} v_N \|_{L^{6/5}} \| \phi \|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{5}}
\]
which implies the fixed time estimate
\[
\| T_1(F)(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{5}} \| F \|_{L^6(d(x_1 - x_2)) L^2(d(x_1 + x_2) dx_3 \cdots)}
\]
Now take $L^1$ in time, and dominate that by $L^2$ in time on the right hand side, since $t \in [0, 1]$. This proves
\[
\| T_1(F)(t) \|_{S'} \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{5}} \| F \|_{S}
\]
The estimate for $T_2$ is the dual of this argument. The term $T_3$ is (after replacing $\ch(k)$ by $\delta$)
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 v_N(x_1 - x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_2) a^*_{x_1} a_{x_2}
\]
This acts on $F$ by
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int v_N(x_1 - x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_2) F(x_1, x_2, \cdots) dx_2.
\]
The variables $x_j, j \geq 3$ are passive, so, without loss of generality, we take $F = F(x_1, x_2)$ The $L^2$ bound is immediate, and for the $S, S'$ bound write $F(x_1, x_2) = G(x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2)$ and
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \| \int v_N(x_1 - x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_2) G(x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2) dx_2 \|_{L^2(dx_1)}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \| \int v_N(x_2) \overline{\phi}(x_1 - x_2) G(x_2, 2x_1 - x_2) dx_2 \|_{L^2(dx_1)}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty} \| v_N \|_{L^{6/5}} \| G \|_{L^5 L^2} = cN^{-\frac{1}{5}} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty} \| F \|_{L^5(d(x-y)) L^2(d(x+y))}
\]
The bounds for $T_4$ are easy, and left to the reader. \qed
**Proposition 10.3.** The terms of \( \mathcal{P} \) other than \( T_1, \ldots, T_4 \) coming from

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \text{Nor}\left( e^{\mathcal{B}} [A, V] e^{-\mathcal{B}} \right)
\]

have bounded operator norm on the first 21 slots of Fock space.

**Proof.** To prove the proposition, we have to estimate the terms in

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \text{Nor}\left( \int dx_1 dx_2 \left\{ \psi_N(x_1 - x_2) \left( \bar{\phi}(x_2) b_{x_1}^* b_{x_1} b_{x_2} + \phi(x_2) b_{x_1}^* b_{x_2}^* b_{x_1} \right) \right\} \right)
\]

The two terms are dual to each other, so will just estimate the first one. In principle, there are 2³ terms to estimate, following the pattern

\[
\begin{align*}
& a_1 a_1 a_2 \quad \text{(94a)} \\
& a_1^* a_1 a_2 \quad \text{(94b)} \\
& a_1 a_1^* a_2 \quad \text{(94c)} \\
& \ldots \\
& a_1^* a_1 a_2^* \quad \text{(94d)} \\
& \ldots \\
& \ldots \quad \text{(94e)}
\end{align*}
\]

The two terms, (94a) and (94b), are \( T_1 \) and \( T_3 \), which have been discussed in the previous lemma. During the proof, we will comment on where some of the contraction terms go, but point out we do not need to estimate them.

The term (94c) stands for

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 \psi_N(x_1 - x_2) \bar{\phi}(x_2) a(\overline{sh(k)}(x_1, \cdot)) a^* (sh (k) (x_1, \cdot)) a(\overline{ch(k)}(x_2, \cdot))
\]

Here the contraction \([a(\overline{sh(k)}(x_1, \cdot)), a^*(sh (k) (x_1, \cdot))] = (\overline{sh(k)} \circ sh (k))(x_1, x_1)\), pairs up with \(|\phi|^2\) to form \( Tr \Gamma \) in the formula for \( \bar{h} \), and we do not have to estimate it. The ordered term

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 \psi_N(x_1 - x_2) \bar{\phi}(x_2) a^* (sh (k) (x_1, \cdot) a(\overline{sh(k)}(x_1, \cdot)) a(\overline{ch(k)}(x_2, \cdot))
\]

acts on \( F \) as

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int \psi_N(x_1 - x_2) \bar{\phi}(x_2) sh (k)(x_1, x_3) \overline{sh(k)}(x_1, z) F(x_2, z, \ldots) dx_1 dx_2 dz
\]

and has operator norm \( \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \).

The term (94d) stands for

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int dx_1 dx_2 \bar{\phi}(x_2) a^* (\overline{ch(k)}(x_1, \cdot)) a(\overline{ch(k)}(x_1, \cdot)) a^* (sh (k) (x_2, \cdot))
\]

Here the estimate is not true for the term involving the contraction \([a(\overline{ch(k)}(x_1, \cdot)), a^*(sh (k) (x_2, \cdot))] = \frac{1}{2} sh(2k)(x_1, x_2)\). This term gets paired up with \( \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) \) to form \( \Lambda \) and becomes part of \( \bar{h}(\phi) \). The remaining ordered term has norm \( \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \).

All remaining terms have operator norms \( \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \), as can be easily checked. \( \square \)
Proposition 10.4. The terms \( \mathcal{P} \) coming from
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \text{Nor}\left( e^B \mathcal{V} e^{-B} \right) - \frac{1}{2N} \mathcal{V}
\]
have bounded operator norm on the first 21 slots of Fock space.

Proof. We have to estimate the \( 2^4 - 1 \) terms in
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \text{Nor}\left( \int v_N(x_1 - x_2) b_{x_1}^* b_{x_2}^* b_{x_1} b_{x_2} dx_1 dx_2 - \frac{1}{2N} \int v_N(x_1 - x_2) a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2} a_{x_1} a_{x_2} dx_1 dx_2 \right),
\]
During the proof, we will comment on where some of the contraction terms go, but point out we do not need to estimate them. Recall the formula (86).

The operators \( b_x - a_x \) and \( b_x^* - a_x^* \) are linear combinations of \( a(f(x, \cdot)), a^*(f(x, \cdot)) \) where \( f \) is one of \( \text{sh}(k), p \), or their complex conjugates, and satisfies the estimates of Lemma (7.2).

We look at all possible terms in \( b_{x_1}^* b_{x_2}^* b_{x_1} b_{x_2} \). Schematically,
\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 & \quad (95a) \\
a_1^* a_2 a_1 a_2 & \quad (95b) \\
a_1 a_2^* a_1 a_2 & \quad (95c) \\
a_1^* a_2^* a_1 a_2 & \quad (95d) \\
\vdots & \quad \vdots \\
a_1^* a_2^* a_1^* a_2 & \quad (95e) \\
a_1^* a_2^* a_1 a_2^* & \quad (95f) \\
\vdots & \quad \vdots \\
a_1^* a_2^* a_1^* a_2^* & \quad (95g)
\end{align*}
\]
and estimate some typical ones.

The term (95a) means
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N(x_1 - x_2) a(\text{sh}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{sh}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]
and this is dual to (95g)
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N(x_1 - x_2) a^*(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^*(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a^*(\text{sh}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^*(\text{sh}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]

The first one acts by integration against, and the second one acts as a (normalized, symmetrized) tensor product with
\[
X_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = \frac{1}{N} \int \text{ch}(y_1, x_1) \text{ch}(x_2, y_2) v_N(x_1 - x_2) \text{sh}(y_3, x_1) \text{sh}(x_2, y_4) dx_1 dx_2
\]
Treating \( \text{ch}(k)(x_1, x_2) = \delta(x_1 - x_2) + p(k) \), we will only include \( \delta \) in our calculation since this is always the worst case. With this simplification, the norm of the above operators is dominated
by
\[
\| \frac{1}{2N} v_N (y_1 - y_2) \text{sh} (k)(y_3, y_1) \text{sh} (k)(y_2, y_4) \|_{L^2(dy_1, dy_2, dy_3, dy_4)} \\
\leq \| \frac{1}{2N} v_N \|_{L^2} \sup_x \| \text{sh} (k)(x, y) \|_{L^2(dy)} \| \text{sh} (k)(x, y) \|_{L^2(dx, dy)} \lesssim 1
\]

Next we consider (95b) and the dual (95e). It suffices to treat just one, say (95e). This term stands for
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N (x_1 - x_2) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a^* (\text{sh} (k)(x_1, \cdot) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]

For simplicity, replacing \( \text{ch}(k)(x_1, x_2) \) by \( \delta(x_1 - x_2) \), the above term acts on \( F(\cdots) \) (actually, the vector \( (0, \cdots, F, 0, \cdots) \) producing
\[
G(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots) = \frac{1}{N} v_N (x_1 - x_2) \text{sh} (k)(x_1, x_3) F(x_2, \cdots)
\]
which is easily seen to have \( L^2 \) norm \( \lesssim \| F \|_{L^2} \). (first do \( L^2(dx_3) \), then \( L^2(dx_1) \), leaving \( L^2(dx_2 d(\cdots)) \) last). Next we consider (95c) and the dual (95f), written explicitly as
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N (x_1 - x_2) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N (x_1 - x_2) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a^* (\text{sh} (k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]

The estimate would not be true for these terms as they stand, but becomes true after putting them in normal order. For the first one, the contraction
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \left[ a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)), a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) \right] = \frac{1}{4N} \text{sh}(2k)(x_1, x_2)
\]
gets paired with \( \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) \) from the quadratic term (87d) to become \( \text{ch}(x_1, x_2) \). We are left with estimating
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N (x_1 - x_2) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]

With the usual simplification \( \text{ch}(k) = \delta + \cdots \) this acts on \( F \) as
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N (x_1 - x_2) \text{sh}(k)(x_1, z)) \text{h}(x_1, x_2, z \cdots) dx_1 dz
\]
which is easily seen to have norm \( \lesssim \| F \|_{L^2} \).

For (95d), we estimate the difference
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N (x_1 - x_2) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2N} \int v_N (x_1 - x_2) a^* (p(x_1, \cdot)) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]

For instance,
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N (x_1 - x_2) a^* (p(x_1, \cdot)) a^* (\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_1, \cdot)) a(\text{ch}(k)(x_2, \cdot)) dx_1 dx_2
\]
replacing the \( \text{ch}(k) \) with \( \delta \) acts by
\[
\frac{1}{2N} \int v_N(x_1 - x_2)p(x_1, z)F(x_1, x_2)dx_1
\]
which has \( L^2(dx_2dz) \) norm \( \lesssim \| 1/N v_N \|_{L^2} \| p \|_{L^\infty L^2} \| F \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| F \|_{L^2} \).
All other terms are similar.

**Lemma 10.5.** Terms of \( \mathcal{P} \) coming from
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{g}} - \mathcal{H}_1 + \text{Nor}\left( \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\omega}^T & 0 \\ 0 & -\tilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]
are bounded from the first 21 slots of Fock space to Fock space uniformly in \( N \).

**Proof.** Since \( \Gamma \) is known to be bounded uniformly in \( N \), this is clear for \( \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{g}} - \mathcal{H}_1 \) which equals
\[
\int (v_N * (\text{Tr}\Gamma)(t, x)\delta(x - y) + v_N(x - y)\Gamma(t, x, y)) a_x^* a_y dx dy.
\]
Also, recall
\[
\tilde{\omega} := (\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\text{ch}(k)) - \text{sh}(k) \circ (v_N\Lambda)) \circ \text{ch}(k) - (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\text{sh}(k)) + \overline{\text{ch}(k)} \circ (v_N\Lambda)) \circ \overline{\text{sh}(k)}
\]
The equation \( \tilde{f} = 0 \) implies the identity (see Section 5 of [22] for a similar calculation), we get
\[
\tilde{\omega}(y, x) = \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\text{ch}(k)) \circ (\text{ch}(k))^{-1} - \text{sh}(k) \circ (v_N\Lambda) \circ (\text{ch}(k))^{-1}
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \left( (\text{ch}(k))^{-1} \circ (v_N\Lambda) \circ \overline{\text{sh}(k)} - \text{sh}(k) \circ (v_N\Lambda) \circ (\text{ch}(k))^{-1} \right)
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\text{ch}(k)), (\text{ch}(k))^{-1} \right]. \tag{96}
\]
The Eq. (24b) together with (80) shows that \( \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\text{ch}(2k)) \) is in \( L^2 \) uniformly in \( N \). Finally, the spectral theorem (as in (30) of [24]) is used to express \( \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\text{ch}(k)) \) in terms of \( \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\text{ch}(2k)) \), we see that \( \tilde{\omega} \) is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator uniformly in \( N \). Its trace (96) is part of \( X_0 \), and
\[
\text{Nor}\left( \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\omega}^T & 0 \\ 0 & -\tilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]
is bounded on the first five slots of Fock space uniformly in \( N \).
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