Aflatoxin B$_1$-Induced Developmental and DNA Damage in *Caenorhabditis elegans*
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Abstract: Aflatoxin B$_1$ (AFB$_1$) is a ubiquitous mycotoxin produced by toxicogenic *Aspergillus* species. AFB$_1$ has been reported to cause serious adverse health effects, such as cancers and abnormal development and reproduction, in animals and humans. AFB$_1$ is also a potent genotoxic mutagen that causes DNA damage in vitro and in vivo. However, the link between DNA damage and abnormal development and reproduction is unclear. To address this issue, we examined the DNA damage, germline apoptosis, growth, and reproductive toxicity following exposure to AFB$_1$, using *Caenorhabditis elegans* as a study model. Results found that AFB$_1$ induced DNA damage and germline apoptosis, and significantly inhibited growth and reproduction of the nematodes in a concentration-dependent manner. Exposure to AFB$_1$ inhibited growth or reproduction more potently in the DNA repair-deficient *xpa-1* nematodes than the wild-type N2 strain. According to the relative expression level of pathway-related genes measured by real-time PCR, the DNA damage response (DDR) pathway was found to be associated with AFB$_1$-induced germline apoptosis, which further played an essential role in the dysfunction of growth and reproduction in *C. elegans*.
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1. Introduction

Aflatoxins are the most studied group of mycotoxins produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus*, which naturally present in a variety of animal feeds and human foods, particularly in cereal grains, nuts, and feedstuffs [1]. Aflatoxin B$_1$ (AFB$_1$) is the most toxic and hepatocarcinogenic among the known mycotoxins [2]. Although the liver is clearly the principal target organ for AFB$_1$, considerable evidence suggests that the toxin also induces lung and colorectal cancers [3,4].

AFB$_1$ is mainly metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes into the genotoxic metabolite, 8,9-epoxide-AFB$_1$ (AFBO). AFBO can bind to DNA and cause the formation of AFB$_1$-DNA adducts [5]. AFB$_1$-DNA adducts may block normal replication and transcription, thereby causing lesions in the double-stranded DNA. Normally, the DNA lesions can be repaired or removed by the nucleotide excision repair system (NER) [6]. If the lesion persists in the genome DNA, it can lead to mutagenesis and carcinogenesis.

Apart from mutagenic and carcinogenic effects, AFB$_1$ can also produce other serious toxic effects, such as growth retardation, immune suppression, and reproductive malfunction in humans and domestic animals [7–9]. The mechanism of growth retardation or reproductive malfunction caused by AFB$_1$ has not been fully investigated to date.
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Caenorhabditis elegans has been found to be an excellent model organism for both fundamental assessments and mechanistic studies in the fields of environmental and mechanistic toxicology [10], owing to its easy maintenance, cellular simplicity, genetic manipulability, and evolutionarily-conserved biology. In C. elegans, although somatic cells are almost invariant, the germline keeps proliferating through the majority of its life. The C. elegans germline is known to undergo apoptosis initiated either by DNA damage agents or non-genotoxic mechanism. Generally, genotoxic exposure activates the DNA damage response (DDR) signaling pathway (also called checkpoint pathway) to induce germline apoptosis, in which checkpoint gene hus-1 and tumor suppressor gene p53 (called cep-1 in C. elegans) are essential components [11]. Leung et al. found that AFB1 can induce DNA damage in C. elegans [10,12]; however, to the best of our knowledge, the germline apoptosis induced by AFB1 has not been reported.

Building on the previous findings, the present study investigated the toxic effects of AFB1 on growth and reproduction of C. elegans. We also assessed the DNA damage and DNA damage-dependent germline apoptosis caused by AFB1, and attempted to explore the underlying mechanisms of AFB1-induced growth inhibition and reproduction malfunction.

2. Results

2.1. AFB1 Effects on the Growth of C. elegans

Synchronized L1 wild-type (N2) and NER-deficient xpa-1 strains were exposed to 3, 10, 30, and 90 μM AFB1 for three days and body size was measured every 24 h. All controls and 3-μM exposed worms reached adulthood by day 3, while higher-exposed (10, 30, and 90 μM) nematodes remained in the larval stage on day 3, as indicated by morphology and the inhibition of egg-laying. AFB1 showed significant growth inhibition effects on N2 or xpa-1 strains in a concentration-dependent manner (p < 0.001) as shown in Figure 1. Maximal growth inhibition rates were observed at day 2 for the N2 strain and day 3 for the xpa-1 strain. Additionally, as indicated by Figure 1, exposure to AFB1 resulted in greater growth inhibition in the xpa-1 strain as compared to the N2 strain. This point could also be corroborated by the EC50 values of the two strains (showed in Table 1), and statistical analysis indicated that the EC50 values of the xpa-1 strain was significantly lower than those of the N2 strain (p < 0.05).

![Figure 1](image_url)

Figure 1. (A) Inhibitory effects on growth of C. elegans exposed to AFB1 with four concentrations (3, 10, 30, and 90 μM) at 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h; and (B) morphological changes of C. elegans following 72 h exposure to AFB1 (scale is 100 μm for all photographs).
Table 1. The median effective concentrations (EC$_{50}$, µM) of AFB$_1$ exposure on growth inhibition.

| Time (h) | N2 (95% CI)     | xpa-1 (95% CI) |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|
| 24       | 7.87 (6.93–8.93)| 5.02 (4.38–5.76) |
| 48       | 6.36 (5.91–6.86)| 4.25 (3.92–4.62) |
| 72       | 7.40 (6.79–8.01)| 3.54 (3.37–3.71) |

2.2. AFB$_1$ Effects on the Brood Size of C. elegans

To determine whether AFB$_1$ exposure affected the fertility of C. elegans, we measured the brood size of the N2 and xpa-1 (RB69) young adult hermaphrodites which were exposed individually to graded concentrations of AFB$_1$. All of the adults survived for the entire exposure time. Brood size was determined by counting the total number of hatched eggs and, therefore, it was an indicator of both reproduction and embryonic development. In the exposure groups of 10, 30, and 90 µM, both N2 and xpa-1 strain worms exhibited significant decreases in brood sizes ($p < 0.001$), which were approximately 30% (10 µM), 40% (30 µM), and 80% (90 µM) lower than that of the control group in the N2 strain, while, in the xpa-1 strain, the percentage decreases were 30% (10 µM), 85% (30 µM), and 95% (90 µM), respectively (Figure 2). In lower-exposure groups (3 and 10 µM), there were no apparent differences in inhibition effects between xpa-1 and N2 strains. In contrast, in the higher-exposure groups in the xpa-1 strain, reproduction was significantly more affected by the AFB$_1$ exposure ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Effects of AFB$_1$ on reproduction (brood size) of N2 and RB (xpa-1) C. elegans after 72 h exposure to AFB$_1$. All values are presented as the means ± SD; *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$, significantly different from the control nematodes.

2.3. DNA Damage Assay

L4 glp-1 (JK1107) and xpa-1 (RB69) strains were exposed to different concentrations of AFB$_1$ for 48 h, and DNA damages were measured. The glp-1 strain (JK1107) is a temperature-sensitive mutant strain, which, when maintained at 25 °C, will block its germline proliferation and, therefore, stops cell division. This eliminates the “diluting effect” of the new DNA produced by dividing germ cells, avoiding interferences when measuring DNA damage [13]. The lesion frequency increased significantly (Figure 3) in the nuclear genomes of the xpa-1 or glp-1 strains with the increased concentration of AFB$_1$ ($p < 0.001$). The xpa-1 strain was also found to be more susceptible to AFB$_1$ exposure than that of the glp-1 strain for all treated groups, with DNA lesion frequency in the xpa-1 strain about two times higher than that of the glp-1 strain ($p < 0.01$) at higher concentrations. This increased the susceptibility of the DNA repair-deficient xpa-1 strain, as well as the concentration response in toxicity elicited by AFB$_1$, which were consistent with what was found in growth and brood size assays.
There were significant concentration-related increases in apoptosis (xpa-1 prone to induce germline apoptosis in the young adult hermaphrodites were exposed to different concentrations of AFB1. In young adults while no difference was found between the treated group and the control group for N2 strain, while significant differences were found for xpa-1 strain (p > 0.05). At higher concentrations, however, there was a significant increase in apoptosis cells when treatment concentrations were increased to 30 µM (p < 0.01) or 90 µM (p < 0.001), especially in xpa-1 strain (Figure 4). Statistical analysis also found that AFB1 was more prone to induce germline apoptosis in the xpa-1 strain than in the N2 strain (p < 0.05) in the 10 and 30 µM exposure groups.

2.4. AFB1-Induced Germ Line Apoptosis

To determine whether AFB1 exposure induced germline cell apoptosis of C. elegans, synchronized young adult hermaphrodites were exposed to different concentrations of AFB1 solutions. The Acridine Orange(AO)-stained nuclei per gonad arm were counted after 48 h of exposure, as shown in Figure 4. There were significant concentration-related increases in apoptosis (p < 0.001). At 10 µM, no difference was found between the treated group and the control group for N2 strain, while significant differences were found for xpa-1 strain (p > 0.05). At higher concentrations, however, there was a significant increase in apoptosis cells when treatment concentrations were increased to 30 µM (p < 0.01) or 90 µM (p < 0.001), especially in xpa-1 strain (Figure 4). Statistical analysis also found that AFB1 was more prone to induce germline apoptosis in the xpa-1 strain than in the N2 strain (p < 0.05) in the 10 and 30 µM exposure groups.

Figure 3. DNA damage in young adult xpa-1 (RB) and glp-1 (JK) nematodes following AFB1 exposure for 48 h. All values are presented as the means ± SD, *** p < 0.001; * p < 0.05, significantly different from the control nematodes. Δ p < 0.01, significantly different between the nematode strains treated with the same level of AFB1.

Figure 4. AFB1 induced germline apoptosis in C. elegans. Synchronized N2 and RB (xpa-1) young adults were treated with indicated concentrations of AFB1 for 48 h, and germ cell corpses per gonad arm were determined. All values were represented as the mean ± SD. *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01, significantly different from the control nematodes. Δ p < 0.05, significantly different between the nematode strains treated with the same level of AFB1.
2.5. AFB1-Induced Germline Apoptosis via the Checkpoint Pathway, Not ERK Pathway

The real-time PCR results showed that the expression of genes for checkpoint proteins, egl-1, hus-1, clk-2, and cep-1 were significantly increased in AFB1-exposed N2 and xpa-1 strains when compared to the untreated nematodes (p < 0.05). Apart from egl-1, the increase in the expression of these genes also showed a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5). The degree of gene inductions in the xpa-1 strain was about five times higher than that of the N2 strain. The activation of the ERK pathway has been found to promote proliferation, differentiation, survival, and cell apoptosis. To determine the roles of ERK signalings in AFB1-induced apoptosis, the expression level of the genes involved in ERK pathway, lin-45 (MAPKKK), mek-2 (MAPKK), and ksr-1 (ku68) were measured. For both the xpa-1 and N2 strains exposed to AFB1, there were no significant differences in the expression levels of mek-2, ksr-1 and lin-45 as compared to the untreated nematodes (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Expression levels of checkpoint pathway and ERK pathway genes after AFB1 treatment in L4 wild-type N2 and RB (xpa-1) strains following 48 h exposure. The DNA damage checkpoint was activated following AFB1 exposure in C. elegans; all values were represented as the mean ± SD. *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05, significantly different from the control nematodes.

3. Discussion

AFB1, a potent chemical carcinogen, is mainly metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes into a genotoxic metabolic intermediate, AFBO, which can bind to DNA and cause the formation of AFB1-DNA adducts. AFB1-DNA adducts can be removed or repaired by nucleotide excision repair (NER) in the nuclear genome. In addition to adduct formation, AFBO may induce other lesions in DNA, such as specific base damage and/or accelerate oxidative DNA damage [14,15]. The toxic potentials of AFB1 were commonly evaluated in organs (liver, spleen, etc.), in vivo, or in respective cell models in vitro. Considering the similarities in molecular and cellular pathways to higher eukaryotes, as well as the ease of genetic manipulation [16], C. elegans could be a good alternative to in vivo animal models for evaluating genotoxic effects and relevant molecular pathway caused by exposure to AFB1 [17–19]. In view of above points, in this study, we used C. elegans as an in vivo model, and focused on the toxic effects of AFB1 impacting on growth and reproduction, as well as DNA damage and germline apoptosis.

Some commonly used sensitive indicators, growth and reproduction, were used as endpoints for AFB1-induced toxicity in C. elegans. Our results showed that the body size and egg number were significantly decreased upon the exposure to AFB1, especially in the context of NER deficiency (xpa-1 strain), and these effects seemed to be concentration-dependent. Previously, Yang et al. investigated the toxic effects of AFB1 on growth and reproduction of N2 nematodes, and their results indicated that exposure to AFB1 would result in significant growth and reproduction inhibition [20]. This is
consistent with the current results, which further confirmed that growth and reproduction are sensitive endpoints in evaluating AFB<sub>1</sub> toxicity in *C. elegans*. Similarly, Leung et al. also found that, even at the concentration of 3 µM, exposure of AFB<sub>1</sub> could lead to an about 40% size reduction in N2 worms as compared with controls, and the same exposure level of AFB<sub>1</sub> resulted in a greater growth inhibition (about 60%) in *xpa-1* as compared with N2 [12]. Additionally, Dhanapal et al. found AFB<sub>1</sub> wielded its toxic effects on hatching and development of embryos of zebrafish in both a time- and concentration-dependent manner [21]. These previous studies suggested that AFB<sub>1</sub> has a definite toxicity on growth and reproduction in vivo, which was consistent with our findings. Compared to other model organisms, the LC<sub>50</sub> of AFB<sub>1</sub> in *C. elegans* was 20.47 mg/L (equivalent to 65.6 µM) [20], considerably higher than mosquito fish (2 µM) and HepG2 cell (1 µM) [22]. In this respect, the *C. elegans* model is less sensitive to the lethality of AFB<sub>1</sub> than other models.

For the DNA damage assay, a concentration-dependent increase in *C. elegans* nuclear genome lesions was detected following exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub>. It can be noticed that NER-deficient *xpa-1* strain was more susceptible to AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced damage than germ line efficient *glp-1* strain, especially in the lower exposure group (10 µM), with the induced damage rate in *xpa-1* strain being about four times greater than those in *glp-1* strain. This result was consistent with Leung et al., which used a similar approach to measure DNA damage and found detectable lesions after exposures to 30 and 100 µM AFB<sub>1</sub> in a concentration-dependent manner [12]. It has been well characterized that AFB<sub>1</sub> can induce DNA damage and lead to carcinogenesis in experimental animals or in human populations. The major AFB<sub>1</sub>-DNA adduct can cause DNA helix-distorting lesions that interfere with base pairing, thereby obstructing transcription and normal replication, in addition to forming apurinic sites via hydrolysis, which have a great potential of developing into mutations if located in transcriptionally-active DNA regions. To maintain genetic integrity, evolution has molded an intricate network of highly conserved DNA repair, one of these systems is nucleotide excision repair (NER). NER can deal with the aforementioned helix-distorting lesions and prevent the formation of AP sites [23]. There are several hypotheses as to why DNA damage could be associated with growth inhibition in *C. elegans*. Previous studies have also demonstrated that *C. elegans* DNA repair processes could hinder development, which may keep worms in an early stage with more active DNA repair; one evidence was that available energy would meet the NER demand prior to growth with the presence of DNA damage [13]. The lack of growth also could be due to decreased feeding resulting from a loss in transcriptional competence [24]. The positive correlation between DNA damage and growth inhibition would explain why NER-deficient *xpa-1* show more growth inhibition compared to N2 [24]. The results presented in this study strongly support that the NER system is in charge of repairing the DNA damages caused by AFB<sub>1</sub>. These findings were consistent with previous research conducted in animals or human [25,26].

In *C. elegans*, although the adult somatic cells are invariant, the germ cells are malleable via apoptosis under environmental stress [25]. Upon environmental stresses, germ cell apoptosis can be induced sensitively through the signaling pathways that are genetically distinct from physiological apoptosis. Pioneering research had demonstrated that DNA damage can induce germline apoptosis in *C. elegans* [26–28]. Additionally, much evidence demonstrates that AFB<sub>1</sub> can induce apoptosis in a variety of cells, such as human liver cells [29], lung cells, bone marrow cells [30], and human lymphocytes [28,31], etc. Considering the known pathway, we decided to investigate whether exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> could result in germline apoptosis. By exposing *C. elegans* to graded concentrations of AFB<sub>1</sub>, we found that AFB<sub>1</sub> induced apoptosis in the germline, especially in higher exposure groups (30 µM and 90 µM). Abnormal germline development, such as induction of germline apoptosis, would definitely lead to reduced egg production. This would explain why exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> resulted in the decreased brood size, suggesting that brood size might serve as a sensitive indicator of germline apoptosis.

In response to genotoxic stress, cells activate DNA damage checkpoint pathways that induce DNA repair, cell cycle arrest, or programmed cell death (apoptosis) in order to remove genetically-damaged cells that may harm the organism. The checkpoint signaling cascade is highly conserved among...
species, and previous study has confirmed that the DNA damage-triggered checkpoint pathway remains effective in *C. elegans* [26]. Apart from checkpoint pathway, recently, some evidence has emerged supporting that the ERK pathway is involved in apoptosis promotion in several cell types under genotoxic or nongenotoxic stresses [32]. The *C. elegans* gene, *egl-1*, encoding the BH3-domain protein, is required for apoptosis under genotoxic stress, whereas *p53/cep-1* induced germ cell death by activating the transcription of the target genes *egl-1* and *ced-1*. In *C. elegans*, *hus-1* and *clk-2* are the checkpoint genes that are required for DNA-damage-induced apoptosis. To address the underlying pathways involved in the germline apoptosis induced by AFB$_1$, we used real-time PCR to quantify the expression of the gene involved in checkpoint pathway and ERK pathway. Our results revealed that the expression of checkpoint genes *hus-1* and *clk-2*, the tumor suppressor gene *p53/cep-1*, and the BH3-only domain homolog *egl-1*, were apparently up-regulated upon AFB$_1$ exposure when compared to the unexposed control nematodes, while the members of the ERK signaling pathway (*ksr-1*, *lin45*, and *mek-2*) were not. These data, therefore, suggested that AFB$_1$-induced germline apoptosis was mainly associated with the conserved checkpoint pathway and not the ERK pathway.

The concentrations used in this study were selected based on preliminary lethality tests that generated LC$_{50}$ values using the N2 wild-type strain. Recognizing that the absorption, distribution, metabolism, elimination patterns, and mechanisms for chemical toxicants can vary across species despite similar metabolic activation mechanism, *C. elegans* do not metabolize AFB$_1$ identically to humans. In humans, AFB$_1$ is metabolized primarily by the CYP1A2 in liver, while *C. elegans* lacks a CYP1 gene and, instead, is metabolizes AFB$_1$ primarily by CYP2 and 3 with different metabolic efficiencies. Additionally, it has been observed that *C. elegans* is considerably less sensitive to lethality of AFB$_1$ compared to other model organisms, consequently requiring higher concentration levels for mechanistic exploration. In general toxicology, however, exposure concentrations based on LC$_{50}$ values should reflect the human exposure scenario, given the wide range of the exposure spectra, as well as the known health outcomes in human populations. Regarding relevance to human exposure, if considering the modifying factors (worms to mammals to humans), the concentration-range used in the current study is relevant to moderate and higher levels of human exposure [8,20].

4. Conclusions

Our results indicate that exposing *C. elegans* to AFB$_1$ caused concentration-dependent growth and reproduction inhibition, nuclear DNA damage, and germline apoptosis. These toxic effects have an underlying interrelationship with each other. Among these effects, we regarded AFB$_1$-induced DNA damage as the central hub, as it can lead to growth inhibition and germline apoptosis via checkpoint signaling pathway, and the latter could futher result in inhibition of reproduction. So far, no study has reported DNA damage-induced germline apoptosis and the underlying mechanism of growth or reproduction inhibition cause by exposure of AFB$_1$ in *C. elegans*. Our research, therefore, sheds some light onto this field. These results also represent a preliminary stage in developing this genetically tractable organism as a model for assessing AFB$_1$ toxicity.

5. Materials and Methods

5.1. *C. elegans* Culture

The nematodes used were wild-type N2 strain, and transgenic strains of *glp-1* (germline deficient JK1107) and *xpa-1* (NER-deficient strain RB864), originally obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center. N2 strain or *xpa-1* mutants were maintained on nematode growth medium (NGM) plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* OP50 at 20 $^\circ$C, while *glp-1* mutant was typically cultured at 15 $^\circ$C to maintain normal differentiation [33,34]. By using bleach-sodium hydroxide isolation of eggs, synchronized L1 growth-arrested larvae were obtained by hatching eggs in M9 medium overnight with shaking [35]. These L1 staged nematodes were grown for one day on a NGM plates with OP50 lawn to obtain synchronized L3 staged worm (40 h was needed for *glp-1* mutant). For chemical exposures, L3 staged
nematodes were washed off from the agar plate using K medium and centrifuged at 2000×g for 10 min [36].

5.2. Chemicals Exposures

AFB$_1$ (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) to prepare stock solutions. Four different concentrations of AFB$_1$ (3, 10, 30, and 90 µM) were prepared using serial dilution with DMSO from stock solutions. A preliminary lethality assay (data not shown) has been conducted to determine the exposure concentrations, such that the selected exposure levels would be nonlethal, but could result in reduced movement and growth, which would reflect real-life exposure scenarios in the human population. Synchronized L1 C. elegans were treated with the solutions in 12-well sterile tissue culture plates(Corning Inc., Lowell, MA, USA). Each well contained 100 µL of E. coli OP50 (optical density of 2.0 at 570 nm), 5 µL of L1 staged worms in M9 buffer (30–40 worms total), 5 µL of AFB$_1$ with different concentration or control solution (DMSO), 1 µL of streptomycin (50 mg/mL; Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA), and 890 µL of S medium to bring total volume to 1 mL [37]. AFB$_1$ solutions were added to treatment wells at a maximum amount of 0.5% (v/v) in S medium [35]. DMSO (0.5%) was found not affect nematodes in all tests (data not shown).

5.3. Growth Assay

A growth assay was carried out to investigate toxic effects of AFB$_1$ on N2 or xpa-1 nematodes. Synchronized N2 and xpa-1 L1 larvae were added to 12-well sterile tissue culture plates, separately. The composition of the cocktail in each well was detailed in the above section (Chemical Exposure). Four wells containing one control were prepared for each toxin concentration. C. elegans cohorts were incubated at 20 °C. Each day, for each toxin concentration (including control), 20 worms were taken from one well of the plate. Treated and control C. elegans were imaged using a microscope every day for three consecutive days. Using Image J software (Version 1.33, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA), the body sizes were obtained by measuring flat surface area of nematodes [37]. The growth assay was independently replicated three times.

5.4. Brood Size Assay

Synchronized L1 worms (N2 and xpa-1 strains) were transferred to NGM plates with OP50 as a food source and incubated at 20 °C for 44 h when the worms reached at the L4 stage. The assay was set up in a 96-well plate in S medium with each well containing a single L4 worm, 0.6 µL of serial dilution AFB$_1$ and 10 µL of bacterial culture (OP50) in a total volume of 120 µL [37]. Each AFB$_1$ concentration was tested in five wells. When all worms were transferred, the multi-well plates were placed in a constant temperature and humidity incubator, and incubated at 20 °C for three days. After that, the number of progeny in each well was counted. The results for this assay included three independent replicates.

5.5. DNA Damage Assay

To avoid the interference of division of germ line to the measurement of DNA damage, synchronized glp-1 L3 staged worms were sterilized for 18 h at 25 °C [34]. After that, synchronized glp-1 and xpa-1 L3 staged worms were exposed to AFB$_1$ at different concentrations (10, 30, and 90 µM) for 24 h and incubated at 20 °C. After 24 h, worms were picked at a 1 worm per 15 µL lysis buffer (1:15 ratio) as described previously [38]. Six worms were pooled for each biological replicate and five replicates were taken per treatment. DNA damage was determined using a QPCR-based method [39]. The amount of long PCR product (9316 nt) provides a measurement of lesion frequency, whereas the amount of short PCR product (225 nt) provides normalization to the DNA template amount. Lesion calculations were performed as described previously [39]. One major reason to use glp-1 as a
substitute for the N2 strain was that germline proliferation and, therefore, cell division was blocked in glp-1 while maintained at 25 °C.

5.6. Real-Time PCR

Synchronized N2 and xpa-1 L3 staged nematodes were grown at 20 °C and exposed to 10, 30, and 90 µM AFB₁ for 48 h. Worms were then collected by washing with M9 buffer and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was prepared by TRizol® total RNA Isolation Kit (Life Technology, Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s standard protocol. RNA concentrations were determined by the absorbance at 260 nm. The quality of total RNA was estimated based on the ratio of the optical densities from RNA samples measured at 260 and 280 nm. cDNAs were synthesized with random hexamers by using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technology, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The real-time PCR primer sequences for the target genes are summarized in Supporting Information Table S1. act-1 was used as the internal control, and the RNA levels of the genes of interest were normalized to the act-1 level for comparison. The PCR reaction was initiated at 95 °C for 15 min for denaturation followed by a 40-cycle protocol consisting of 10 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C. mRNA expression was assessed by quantitative real-time PCR on an ABI 7500 FAST Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using lightcycle® resolight dye (Roche, Pleasanton, CA, USA) in a 96-well plate. The gene expression data were analyzed using the comparative $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [40]. Triplicates for each sample were included for one single reaction.

5.7. Apoptosis Assay

Apoptotic germ cells were measured by AO staining using a procedure as described previously [41]. Briefly, late-stage L4 nematodes were exposed to different concentrations of AFB₁ for 48 h, and then were picked from test wells into 24-well tissue culture plates containing 1 mL K-medium with 25 µg/mL AO (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and incubated for 1 h at 20 °C. To facilitate dye uptake, 30 µL of OP50 broth (optical density of 2.0 at 570 nm) was added to the buffer. Animals were allowed to recover for 1 h on bacterial lawns and then mounted onto agar pads on microscope slides with a drop of 60 µg/mL levamisole in M9 buffer. Apoptotic cells were examined under a fluorescent microscopy (Olympus America Inc. Center Valley, PA, USA). At least 20 worms were measured for each treatment. The apoptotic cells appeared yellow or yellow-orange, which represented increased DNA fragmentation, whereas intact cells were uniformly green [42]. In most conditions, only the posterior arm of the gonad could be scored because the autofluorescence of the intestine always shaded the gonad arm near the pharynx.

5.8. Statistical Analysis

All data were presented as means of at least three independent experiments (triplicated within independent samples) with standard deviation (SD) or standard error (SEM). The median effective concentration (EC₅₀, concentration producing a 50% reduction in body size or offsprings compared to control) with 95% confidence intervals (CI), were calculated using logistic regression. Response variables that were not normally distributed were transformed logarithmically to improve normality. Williams’ test was carried out to test the concentration-related trend and significance [43]. Dunnett’s test was used to assess the significance of pairwise comparisons between exposed and control groups. Data analysis were performed using the R package nparcomp (R Core Team, version 3.3.2, Vienna, Austria, www.r-project.org), and a p-value of 0.05 or less was considered to be statistically significant.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2072-6651/9/1/9/s1, Table S1: Summary of the real-time PCR primers of the target genes in this study.
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