Site-Specific, Stoichiometric-Controlled, PEGylated Conjugates of Fibroblast Growth Factor 2 (FGF2) with Hydrophilic Auristatin Y for Highly Selective Killing of Cancer Cells Overproducing Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 1 (FGFR1)
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ABSTRACT: In spite of significant progress in the field of targeted anticancer therapy, the FDA has approved only five ADC-based drugs. Hence the search for new targeted anticancer agents is an unfulfilled necessity. Here, we present novel types of protein–drug conjugates (PDCs) that exhibit superior anticancer activities. Instead of a monoclonal antibody, we used fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) as a targeting molecule. FGF2 is a natural ligand of fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR1), a transmembrane receptor overproduced in various types of cancers. We synthesized site-specific and stoichiometric-controlled conjugates of FGF2 with a highly potent, hydrophilic derivative of auristatin called auristatin Y. To increase the hydrophilicity and hydrodynamic radius of conjugates, we employed PEG4 and PEG27 molecules as a spacer between the targeting molecule and the cytotoxic payload. All conjugates were selective to FGFR1-positive cell lines, effectively internalized via the FGFR1-dependent pathway, and exhibited a highly cytotoxic effect only on FGFR1-positive cancer cell lines.
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INTRODUCTION

Conventional low molecular weight anticancer drugs do not effectively accumulate at the tumor site, leading to the high toxicity of the healthy tissues and, in consequence, exhibiting a narrow therapeutic window.1,2 On the other hand, antibody–drug conjugates (ADCs), thanks to the monoclonal antibody as a targeting molecule, ensure the specific delivery of drugs to cancer cells. However, their large size limits the ability to penetrate solid tumors.3 Therefore, small-format drug conjugates (SFDCs)4 or small molecule–drug conjugates (SMDCs)5 have been recently proposed as alternatives to ADCs. These conjugates utilize specific targeting molecules, usually much smaller than the full-length antibody, such as antibody fragments,6–9 designed ankyrin repeat proteins (DARPins),10–11 knottins12,13 affibodies,14,15 bicyclic peptides,16,17 or small organic ligands.5,18 SFDCs or SMDCs retain the ability of ADCs to localize selectively in cancerous tissues, exhibiting at the same time favorable pharmacokinetics and accessibility.5,6 Thus, many of them are currently entering clinical trials.19 However, for effective delivery of the drug not only the size of the conjugate matters.20–23 An import issue is the hydrophobicity of the cytotoxic payload and of the linker that connects the payload to the targeting molecule. Reduced hydrophobic properties of the toxic cargo increase a therapeutic window due to the better exposure and solubility,20,24,25 but it has to be kept in mind that the potency of the conjugate increases with the higher drug loading (an increased drug-to-targeting-protein ratio, DPR (called DAR for ADCs)), leading to enhanced hydrophobicity and in consequence an unfavorable pharmacokinetic profile. Thus, there is a strong need in the ADC field for the reduction of off-target cytotoxicity, and the optimization of linkers and payloads.21,26,27 The most common approach used to improve the hydrophilicity of cargo is the attachment of polyethylene glycol moieties (PEGylation).28–32 Other strategies utilize glucuronidation of the cytotoxic agent or preparation of its hydrophilic derivatives.20,22,33,34
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One of the most exploited cytotoxins in ADCs, currently in clinics and in many clinical trials, is hydrophobic monomethyl auristatin E (MMAE). Recently, we showed that human fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2), in a similar manner as an antibody, can be used as a targeting protein to deliver MMAE to cancer cells overproducing FGF2 receptor 1 (FGFR1). FGF2 loaded with three MMAE molecules effectively killed U2OS stably transfected with FGFFR1 (U2OS-R1) cells compared with nontransfected cells. Unfortunately, FGF2 loaded with three MMAE drugs showed a tendency to aggregate after overnight storage at 4 °C. To increase the hydrodynamic size of AY-based conjugates, we decided to use a hydrophilic derivative of auristatin (auristatin Y, AY). To increase the hydrodynamic size of AY-based conjugates and overcome the kidney filtration problem, we applied different PEGs of increasing size.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

### Materials

**Reagents.** All chemical reagents were from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Reagents used for the solid-phase peptide synthesis were as follows: amino acids Fmoc-t-Ser(tBu)—OH, Fmoc-o-t-Arg(Pbf)—OH, Fmoc-o-Cys(StBu)—OH; PEGs mal-dPEG(4)-NH2, mal-PEG(27)-NHS; COMU (1-[1-(cyano-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylideneamino)-dimethylamino-morpholino]-urodinium hexafluorophosphate), piperidine, TIS (tris(2-hydroxyethyl)amine), DIPEA (N,N-diisopropylethylamine), DMF (N,N-dimethylformamide), DMAC (N,N-dimethylacetamide), and TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) were purchased from Iris Biotech GmbH (Wolfen, Germany). The cytotoxic agents, auristatin Y (AY) and maleimidocaproyl-threonine-valine-auristatin Y (tvAY) were from custom synthesis carried out by ChiroBlock GmbH (Wolfen, Germany).

The following dyes were used: CellTracker Red CMTPX, DyLight 488 NHS Ester, DyLight 550 NHS Ester, DAPI, CellLight Early Endosomes-GFP BacMam 2.0, CellLight Lysosomes-GFP BacMam 2.0, and alamarBlue were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). The chromatographic column HiTrap Desalting with Sephadex G-25 resin, Superdex 75 10/300 GL, HiTrap CM Sepharose FF, and HiTrap Heparin HP were from GE Healthcare (U.K.). Zebra Spin Desalting columns were from Thermo Fisher Scientific, and the Synergy 4 μm Fusion-RP 80 Å 250 × 10 mm LC column was from Phenomenex Inc. (Torrance, CA). All other reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO) or BioShop Canada Inc. (Burlington, ON).

**Cells.** DMS114 (human small cell lung cancer, ATCC CRL-2066) cells were cultured in Waymouth’s MB 752/1 from Gibco (Waltham, MA). U2OS (human osteosarcoma, HTB-96) and U2OS stably transfected with FGF1R1 (U2OS-R1) were cultured in DMEM High Glucose with stable glutamine and sodium pyruvate from BioWest (France). NCI-H520 (human non-small cell lung cancer, ATCC HTB-96) and U2OS stably transfected with FGFR1 (U2OS-R1) were a kind gift from Dr. Ellen M. Haugsten from The Norwegian Radium Hospital. The E. coli expression strain Rosetta 2(DE3)pLysS was from Novagen-EMD Biosciences (Madison, WI).

### METHODS

**Synthesis of PEGylated tvAY Mieties.**

**Step 1:** Synthesis and Purification of i-Cys-tvAY. i-Cysteine (184 mg, 1.52 mmol, 20 equiv), maleimidocaproyl-threonine-valine-auristatin Y (100 mg, 0.08 mmol), and DIPEA (26.5 μL, 0.16 mmol, 2 equiv) were added to 1 mL of DMAC. The reaction was conducted at 30 °C for 12 h. Next, the solvent was removed under a vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in 500 μL of 30% acetonitrile/water with 0.1% TFA, then the NH2–Cys-tvAY was separated from an excess of Cys by RP-HPLC, and the solvent was removed by lyophilization. The identity of the product was confirmed by MALDI-MS.

**Step 2a:** Synthesis and Purification of Maleimide-PEG4-tvAY. mal-dPEG(4)-NH2 (89.9 mg, 0.175 mmol, 5 equiv) and DIPEA (12.4 μL, 0.075 mmol, 2 equiv) were added to a solution of NH2–Cys-tvAY (50 mg, 0.035 mmol) in 500 μL of DMAC. The reaction mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 12 h. The crude product was dissolved in 500 μL of 30% acetonitrile/water with 0.1% TFA, and then the desired product was separated by reverse-phase HPLC, lyophilized, and evaluated by MALDI-MS.

**Step 2b:** Synthesis and Purification of Maleimide-PEG27-tvAY. mal-PEG(27)-NH2 (275 mg, 0.175 mmol, 5 equiv) and DIPEA (12.4 μL, 0.075 mmol, 2 equiv) were added to a solution of NH2–Cys-tvAY (50 mg, 0.035 mmol) in 500 μL of DMAC. The reaction mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 12 h. The crude product was dissolved in 500 μL of 30% acetonitrile/water with 0.1% TFA, and then the desired product was separated by RP-HPLC and lyophilized. The identity of the product was confirmed by MALDI-MS.

**Synthesis of Maleimide-PEG27-(tvAY)3.** In the first step, the all-i-Fmoc-(SinBu)R(Pbf)C(StBu)R(Pbf)C(StBu)R(Pbf)C(StBu) peptide scaffold was synthesized on the solid support (TentaGel S RAM resin; 0.1 g, capacity 0.21 mmol/g) from custom synthesis carried out by ChiroBlock GmbH (Wolfen, Germany). The following dyes were used: CellTracker Red CMTPX, DyLight 488 NHS Ester, DyLight 550 NHS Ester, DAPI, CellLight Early Endosomes-GFP BacMam 2.0, CellLight Lysosomes-GFP BacMam 2.0, and alamarBlue were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). The chromographic column HiTrap Desalting with Sephadex G-25 resin, Superdex 75 10/300 GL, HiTrap CM Sepharose FF, and HiTrap Heparin HP were from GE Healthcare (U.K.). Zebra Spin Desalting columns were from Thermo Fisher Scientific, and the Synergy 4 μm Fusion-RP 80 Å 250 × 10 mm LC column was from Phenomenex Inc. (Torrance, CA). All other reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO) or BioShop Canada Inc. (Burlington, ON).

**Cells.** DMS114 (human small cell lung cancer, ATCC CRL-2066) cells were cultured in Waymouth’s MB 752/1 from Gibco (Waltham, MA). U2OS (human osteosarcoma, HTB-96) and U2OS stably transfected with FGF1R1 (U2OS-R1) were cultured in DMEM High Glucose with stable glutamine and sodium pyruvate from BioWest (France). NCI-H520 (human non-small cell lung cancer, ATCC HTB-96) and U2OS stably transfected with FGFR1 (U2OS-R1) were a kind gift from Dr. Ellen M. Haugsten from The Norwegian Radium Hospital. The E. coli expression strain Rosetta 2(DE3)pLysS was from Novagen-EMD Biosciences (Madison, WI).

**Protein Production and Purification.** The plasmids encoding fibroblast growth factor 2 with the N-terminal KCKSGG linker and FGF2 with the N-terminal KCKSGG linker and the two-point mutations C78S and C96S were
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PEG27-tvAY, maleimide-PEG4-tvAY containing a maleimide moiety to the sulfhydryl group of protein was performed in the reaction buffer (25 mM monosodium phosphate, 10 mM NaCl, 10 mM methionine, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.0) at a 0.5 mg/mL protein concentration and 5-fold molar excess of payload per sulfhydryl group. The reaction was incubated at 20 °C for 1 h. Next, the reaction mixture was loaded onto the HiTrap CM Sepharose column, the unreacted payload was washed out using 25 mM monosodium phosphate pH 7.0 with 10 mM Na₂SO₄, and finally, the conjugate was eluted with the same buffer containing 0.5 M NaCl.

Stability in Human Serum. FGF2 and FGF2 conjugates (1 µg/mL) were incubated at 37 °C for different time periods (0, 48, 72, 96, and 120 h) in human serum (H4522, Sigma-Aldrich) in the absence of heparin. Then samples were analyzed by immunoblotting with mouse anti-FGF2 (sc-74412) and donkey antioimmune IgG-HRP (sc-2318) antibodies from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX).

Fluorescence Labeling of Proteins and Conjugates. FGF2 and the conjugates were labeled with DyLight 488 or 550 coupled with NHS ester.

The 10 mM stock solutions of the fluorescence probes were prepared in N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAc). Then, 100 µL of the protein samples at a concentration of 1 mg/mL in 25 mM HEPES pH 8.0 with 10 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, was mixed with 5 µL of fluorescence probes. The reactions were conducted at room temperature for 1 h in the dark. Labeled proteins or conjugates were purified using Zeba Spin Desalting columns using PBS supplemented with 10 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄ as an elution buffer.

Mass Spectrometry (MS). Molecular masses were determined by MALDI-TOF MS (Applied Biosystems AB 4800+) using α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid as a matrix.

Spectrofluorimetry. To validate the folded state of protein and conjugates, spectrofluorimetry measurements were performed. The fluorescence spectra were acquired using an FP-8500 spectrofluorimeter (Jasco, Japan) with excitation at 280 nm and emission in the 300–450 nm range, at 20 °C using a 4 × 10⁻⁶ M sample concentration in PBS.

Thermal Unfolding and Aggregation. The thermal denaturation and aggregation were analyzed using nanodSFF and light back-reflection, respectively. The measurements were conducted using the NanoTemper Technologies instrument Prometheus NT.48 fitted with back-reflection optics at the concentration of the proteins of 3 µM in PBS. The samples were loaded into Prometheus NT.48 Series nanodSFF grade high sensitivity capillaries and then heated at a defined, constant ramp, 1 °C/min, over a defined temperature range from 20 to 95 °C. Fluorescence was excited at 280 nm, and emission was recorded at 350 nm. The aggregation was measured in parallel, as light intensity loss due to scattering. Data recorded by back-reflection optics were expressed on the chart in milli-attenuation units.

Size-Exclusion Chromatography (SEC). Size-exclusion chromatography runs were performed to estimate the hydrodynamic-radius-based molecular mass of FGF2 and conjugates. The analysis was performed at 20 °C using an AKTA explorer FPLC System with a Superdex 75 HR 10/30 column. Then, 2.5 mg/mL samples were loaded onto the column by a full 50 µL loop injection. The mobile phase (25 mM monosodium phosphate pH = 7.4, 10 mM Na₂SO₄) was pumped at a flow rate of 1 mL/min, and absorption at 280 nm was recorded. Molecular weight standards containing BPTI, cytochrome C, carbonic anhydrases, human serum albumin, α-lactoglobulin, chymotrypsinogen A, ovalbumin, and albumin were used to generate a standard curve from which the effective size of the PEGalylated conjugates was estimated.

Biolayer Interferometry (BLI). The kinetic rate constants were measured on ForteBio Octet K2 (Pall ForteBio, CA) and high-precision streptavidin biosensors (SAX) (Pall ForteBio, CA) were used. Biotinylated extracellular domains of FGFR1c fused to the Fc fragment were immobilized, and then binding of FGF2 or the conjugates was performed similarly as we reported previously. Association of the samples at a concentration of 50 nM was conducted for 400 s, and then the dissociation was monitored for 400 s. Kinetic parameters were calculated using a 1:1 model with Octet Data Analysis software 11.0.

Confocal Microscopy. Specific Internalization of FGF2 and Conjugates into Cells Expressing FGFR1. U2OS cells were stained with CellTracker Red CMTX, according to the manufacturer’s protocol, then seeded on coverslips with an equal number of nonstained U2OS-R1 cells, and grown together to 70% confluence. Next, the cells were starved in a serum-free medium for 2 h. Subsequently, the cells were incubated with 500 ng/mL of FGF2 or conjugates labeled with DyLight 488 in serum-free medium supplemented with 1% BSA and 10 U/mL heparin at 4 °C for 40 min. Then, the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 35 min. Next, the cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS, and blocked with 1% BSA, and 0.1 M glycine in PBS, and DNA was stained with DAPI. The coverslips were mounted with the ProLong Gold antifade mountant and viewed under a ZEISS LSM 880 microscope using a Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.4 Oil DIC M27 objective and GaAsP PMT combined with 2 multialkali PMT spectral detectors (Zeiss, Germany). Images were processed with Zeiss ZEN 2.6 software (Zeiss, Germany).

Endocytosis of FGF2 and Conjugates. U2OS-R1 cells were seeded on coverslips and grown to 70% confluence.
Subsequently, CellLight Early Endosomes-GFP or CellLight Lysosomes-GFP were added to the cultures in a final concentration of 30 particles per cell. After 16 h, the medium was removed; then cells were washed with PBS and starved in a serum-free medium for 2 h. Next, the cells were incubated with 500 ng/mL of FGF2 or conjugates labeled with DyLight 550 in serum-free medium supplemented with 1% BSA and 10 U/mL heparin at 4°C for 40 min. Then, the cells were incubated at 37°C for 35 min in the case of transfection with CellLight Early Endosomes-GFP and for 50 min in the case of transfection with CellLight Lysosomes-GFP. Subsequently, the cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS, blocked with 1% BSA, and 0.1 M glycine in PBS, and stained with DAPI. The coverslips were mounted with the ProLong Gold antifade mountant, and images were collected as described above. Raw data images were analyzed using Zeiss ZEN 2.6 software (Zeiss, Germany).

**Flow Cytometry Analysis of Internalization.** U2OS and U2OS-R1 cells were seeded onto 12-well plates (0.15 × 10^6 cells per well) in full medium and left to attach overnight. Then the medium was removed, and the cells were washed with PBS and starved with serum-free medium for 2 h. Next, plates were cooled on ice, and FGF2 or conjugates (500 ng/mL) labeled with DyLight 488 were added to the cells. After 40 min of incubation on ice, the cells were moved to 37°C for 35 min. Then the medium was removed; the cells were washed with PBS (three times, 5 min) and subsequently detached by 10 mM EDTA in PBS, pH = 8.0. The cells were harvested by
centrifugation, resuspended in PBS with 1% BSA, and analyzed by flow cytometry using a NovoCyte 2060R Flow Cytometer and NovoExpress software (ACEA Biosciences, San Diego, CA).

**Cell Viability Assay.** The cells in the appropriate full medium were seeded on 96-well plates and left overnight to attach. Then, the medium was removed, replaced with a fresh one, supplemented with 10 U/mL heparin, and cells were treated with six different concentrations of FGF2, conjugates, or free AY for 96 h. Next, the medium was removed, and the cells were incubated for 4 h in fresh, full medium with 10% alamarBlue. The fluorescence signal (excitation at 560 nm and emission at 590 nm) was measured by a TECAN Infinite M1000 Pro microplate reader (TECAN Group Ltd., Switzerland). The data were fitted to the Hill equation to calculate EC_{50} values using OriginPro 8 software (Northampton, MA). Statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot software for three independent experiments using the t-test. The statistical significance of differences in cytotoxicity between the FGF2_{1×C}-PEG27-{tvAY}_{3} conjugate and other conjugates was assessed.

**RESULTS**

**Design Schemes of Novel FGF2 Conjugates.** We applied a hydrophilic derivative of auristatin, auristatin Y (AY), as a cytotoxic agent. This compound contains a dimethylamine group at the N-terminus and l-norleucine at the C- terminus. The data were fitted to the Hill equation to calculate EC_{50} values using OriginPro 8 software (Northampton, MA). Statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot software for three independent experiments using the t-test. The statistical significance of differences in cytotoxicity between the FGF2_{1×C}-PEG27-{tvAY}_{3} conjugate and other conjugates was assessed.

---

Figure 2. Conjugation of FGF2 variants to auristatin Y. (A) SDS-PAGE analysis of purified products of the conjugation reaction performed at 25 °C for 1 h. (B) Mass spectra of FGF2 variants and their conjugates.
the C-terminus of the auristatin backbone (Figure 1A). The linker, which allows the conjugation of FGF2 to the C-terminus of auristatin Y contains hydrolyzable L-Thr-D-Val dipeptide (tv) and a maleimide moiety (Figure 1A).20,39 In order to further increase the hydrophilicity of the cytotoxic payload and to elevate the hydrodynamic radius of conjugates, we used a PEG molecule (either 4 or 27 mer) as a spacer between tvAY and FGF2. We applied two different schemes of FGF2-based conjugate synthesis. In both schemes, always three tvAY molecules were attached to a single FGF2 molecule to enable direct comparisons.

We used two previously described FGF2 variants with one or three cysteines exposed to a solvent.36 The first one, FGF23xC, contained three exposed Cys residues, two naturally occurring (C78, C96) and one introduced at the N-terminus (in the KCK extension) to facilitate the thiol-maleimide reaction. Previously we reported that cysteine surrounded by basic residues Lys or Arg, as in the KCK sequence, shows significantly higher reactivity.40 The second FGF2 variant (FGF21xC) contained a single solvent-exposed Cys residue, located in the N-terminal KCK extension, and C78 and C96 were mutated to serines (C78S/C96S) (Figure 1C).

In the first conjugation scheme, tvAYs were attached to three Cys in FGF23xC. tvAYs were attached either directly or via PEG4 or PEG27 linkers. We coupled maleimide-tvAY to the sulfhydryl group of free Cys, and then we used heterobifunctional PEG moieties (NHS-PEG4-mal or NHS-PEG27-mal) to obtain two PEGylated tvAY derivatives, maleimide-PEG4-tvAY and maleimide-PEG27-tvAY, respectively (Figure 1B). Three molecules of maleimide-tvAY, maleimide-PEG4-tvAY, or maleimide-PEG27-tvAY were conjugated via thiol-maleimide chemistry to three cysteine residues of FGF23xC (Figure 1C), providing three conjugates with cytotoxic payloads spread on the protein surface.

In the second scheme, three tvAY molecules were first attached to a short synthetic peptide scaffold (SRCRCRC),...
again containing highly reactive cysteines, and the peptide conjugate was attached to the N-terminal KCK extension of FGF21xC via PEG27. First, we synthesized a peptide scaffold comprising three Cys residues (H2N−SR−C−CONH2) and attached three tvAY molecules to peptide sulfhydryl groups. Next, we coupled the NHS-PEG27-maleimide to the α-amine group of the peptide scaffold via an NHS-amine reaction. We obtained a PEGylated, trityl substituted with tvAY peptide scaffold (maleimide-PEG27-(tvAY)₃) ready for conjugation with cysteine residues of proteins. (Figure 1B). Finally, we coupled one molecule of the peptide scaffold (maleimide-PEG27-(tvAY)₃) with one cysteine residue of FGF21xC (Figure 1C).

As a consequence, in both schemes, the drug-to-protein ratio (DPR) was three, but the payloads were located differently on the FGF2 molecule. Unless otherwise stated, in all further experiments, we compared obtained conjugates with the wild-type FGF2 denoted as FGF2. Basic properties of FGF21xC and FGF21xC were examined previously and were similar to FGF2.

**Conjugation of tvAY and Their PEGylated Derivatives to FGF2.** We attached maleimide-tvAY, maleimide-PEG4-tvAY, or maleimide-PEG27-tvAY to the cysteine residues of the FGF21xC and maleimide-PEG27-(tvAY)₃ to FGF21xC via maleimide-thiol reaction, as described previously. The homogeneity and purity of the products were verified by SDS-PAGE (Figure 2A, lanes 2, 3, 4, 5), and the identity of the conjugates was confirmed by MALDI-MS (Figure 2B).

**Biophysical Properties of Proteins and Conjugates.**

**The Native State of the FGF2 Proteins and Their Conjugates.**

The conformation of FGF21xC and FGF21xC before and after conjugation was evaluated by the fluorescence measurement of Trp123 emission. In the native state, the fluorescence signal of single Trp (at 353 nm) is quenched, and the spectrum is dominated by the emission of several Tyr residues (at 303 nm). Upon unfolding, the Trp residue recovers strong emission at 353 nm. The fluorescence spectra of all tested samples showed the highest emission at 303 nm and a very low signal at 353 nm (Figure 3A), in clear contrast to the spectrum of FGF2 chemically unfolded in

Six M GdmCl (Figure 3A dashes line). These results confirmed the native conformation of FGF2 variants before and after conjugation.

**Stability and Susceptibility to the Aggregation of the Conjugates.**

The thermal stability of FGF2 and all tvAY conjugates was studied by the nanoDSF technique. In all cases, protein samples showed cooperative unfolding determined by the change of the intrinsic fluorescence emission at 350 nm in the temperature range from 20 to 95 °C (Figure 3B). Denaturation temperature of all conjugates differed only slightly from the Tₘₙ of FGF2 wild type, indicating that cytotoxic payloads do not substantially influence the stability of FGF2 (Figure 3B, Table 1). The most stable conjugate was FGF21xC-(PEG4-tvAY)₃, with a Tₘₙ of 54.5 °C, which is higher than that observed for the wild type (Tₘₙ of FGF2 = 54.5 °C). FGF21xC with three tvAY molecules located at the peptide scaffold linked by the PEG27 molecule (FGF21xC-PEG27-(tvAY)₃) exhibited the lowest Tₘₙ, value, equal to 50.5 °C.

To verify how cytotoxic payloads affect FGF2 aggregation, we analyzed the change of light back-reflection during the thermal unfolding of conjugates. None of the tested samples aggregated under the applied experimental conditions (Figure 3C).

| Preparation | MW [kDa] | HMWα [kDa] | Tₘₙ [°C] |
|-------------|---------|------------|---------|
| FGF2        | 17.2    | 17.4       | 54.5    |
| FGF21xC-(vcMMAE)₃ | 21.8 | 16.6       |         |
| FGF21xC-(tvAY)₃ | 21.5 | 26.5       | 50.9    |
| FGF21xC-(PEG4-tvAY)₃ | 23.1 | 30.6       | 57.5    |
| FGF21xC-(PEG27-tvAY)₃ | 26.2 | 58.6       | 54.1    |
| FGF21xC-PEG27-(tvAY)₃ | 23.8 | 33.3       | 50.5    |

*αEquivalent protein molecular weights determined by SEC.*

Since it is well-known that PEGylation increases the hydrodynamic radius of a protein in a nonlinear manner, we performed a size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis to determine the size of FGF2 conjugates (Figure 3D) and to estimate their equivalent molecular weights (Table 1). In contrast to the hydrophobic auristatin derivative (MMAE), triple substitutions of FGF2 with hydrophilic tvAY increased the hydrodynamic size of FGF2 protein (Figure 3D, Table 1). The addition of PEG molecules further enlarged the hydrodynamic radius of conjugates up to the equivalent protein molecular weight of 58.6 kDa, in the case of FGF21xC-(PEG27-tvAY)₃. Additionally, we analyzed the stability of FGF2 and FGF2 conjugates over time in human serum in the absence of heparin (Figure 2E). We observed that all conjugates were intact after 120-h incubation in human serum.

**Affinity of FGF2 Conjugates to FGFR1.** PEGylation is a useful method to reduce nonspecific interactions of biomolecules. However, in some cases, PEGylated proteins exhibit lower affinity to their molecular targets.

To examine the impact of introduced payloads on the interaction of FGF2-based conjugates with the extracellular domain of FGFR1, we performed biolayer interferometry (BLI) measurements.

The coupling of three tvAY molecules to FGF21xC (FGF21xC-(tvAY)₃) slightly decreased the affinity to FGFR1, as compared to FGF2 (Figure 4). However, PEGylated conjugates (FGF21xC-(PEG4-tvAY)₃, FGF21xC-PEG27-(tvAY)₃, and FGF21xC-(PEG27-tvAY)₃) showed a very similar FGFR1 binding profile to FGF2 (Figure 4), indicating that PEGylated tvAY does not affect the interaction of FGF2 with FGFR1. Estimated dissociation constants are in the nanomolar range. We are aware that, due to complex interaction (i.e., poorly defined oligomeric state of FGFR1), the data should be interpreted only qualitatively.

**Biological Activities of Proteins and Conjugates. Selective Internalization of Conjugates.**

To be able to kill the cancer cells, cytotoxic conjugates should be effectively internalized from the cell surface. Therefore, we checked whether FGF2 conjugates can be taken up by the FGFR1-α-IIIc-expressing cells. DyLight488-labeled FGF2 or conjugates (green) were incubated with coculture of U2OS cells (FGFR1-negative) stained with CellTracker RED CMTPX (red) and nonstained U2OS cells stably transfected with FGFR1-α-IIIc (U2OS-R1) (FGFR1-positive). After 35 min at 37 °C, the cells were fixed and analyzed with confocal microscopy. As shown in Figure 5A, all conjugates were internalized only by U2OS-R1 cells, indicating that conjugates’ endocytosis occurs via an FGFR1-dependent pathway. Additionally, we performed a quantified analysis of the uptake of FGF2 and conjugates by U2OS-R1 and U2OS cells using flow cytometry (Figure 5B,C). The internalization of all tested samples by U2OS-R1 cells occurred at a very high level, in contrast to U2OS cells, where
Figure 4. Binding of FGF2 and FGF2 conjugates to a recombinant extracellular fragment of FGFR1-α-IIIc fused to Fc determined by biolayer interferometry. The biotinylated FGFR1c was immobilized on high-precision streptavidin biosensors (SAX), and then association and dissociation of FGF2 or FGF2 conjugates were monitored for 400 s. The red lines represent fitting curves according to a 1:1 model.

Figure 5. Internalization of fluorescence-labeled FGF2 and FGF2 conjugates into U2OS cells expressing FGFR1. (A) Fluorescence microscopy analysis of the uptake of labeled FGF2 and FGF2 conjugates. Equal numbers of U2OS cells prestained with CellTracker Red CMTPX (red) and U2OS-R1 (nonstained) were seeded and then incubated with 500 ng/mL of FGF2 or the conjugates labeled with DyLight488 (green) for 40 min on ice, and then moved to 37 °C for 35 min to allow for internalization. Next, the cells were fixed, stained with DAPI (blue), and analyzed by confocal microscopy. The scale bars correspond to 10 μm. (B) Flow cytometry analysis of internalization efficiency into U2OS-R1 (FGFR1-positive) and U2OS (FGFR1-negative) cell lines. The cells were incubated on ice with 500 ng/mL of FGF2 and FGF2 conjugates labeled with DyLight488 for 40 min. Then cells were moved to 37 °C for 35 min and subsequently analyzed by flow cytometry. (C) Quantitative analysis of internalization. The data shown are mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) from three independent experiments ± SD. Statistical significance: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.
the uptake was at least 10-fold lower. Interestingly, in U2OS-R1 cells, we observed a slightly higher uptake of FGF2_{xH}-(PEG27-tvAY)_x as compared to FGF2_{xH}-(PEG4-tvAY)_x. Differences between other preparations were statistically insignificant (Figure 5C).

**Intracellular Tracking of FGF2 and Conjugates.** After binding of FGF2 to FGFR1, dimerized receptors together with the ligands undergo internalization from the cell membrane, and then the complexes are sorted into endosomes and directed to lysosomes. Endosomal and lysosomal proteases hydrolyze peptide bonds of internalized cargo, facilitating, in the case of protein−drug conjugates, the release of the active form of the cytotoxic agent.

To verify whether FGF2 conjugates reach the acidic compartment within the cell, we studied their intracellular localization in U2OS-R1 cells using confocal microscopy. We labeled early endosomes using a green fluorescent protein (GFP) fused to Rab5 (Rab5-GFP) and lysosomes with GFP fusions of lysosomal membrane-associated protein 1 (LAMP1-GFP). Next, the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 35 or 50 min with FGF2 or conjugates labeled with DyLight550. In the case of FGF2 wild type as well as FGF2 conjugates, the red fluorescent signal colocalized with green fluorescence of Rab5-GFP or LAMP1-GFP after 35 or 50 min of incubation, respectively (Figure 6). These experiments show that FGF2 and its conjugates are efficiently internalized into U2OS-R1 cells and traffic via endosomes to lysosomes.

**Cytotoxic Effect of the Conjugates.** To evaluate in vitro selectivity and cytotoxicity of the conjugates, we used a panel of five human cancer cell lines differing in expression level and splicing isoform of FGFR1. We used two FGFR1-negative cell lines (U2OS and HCC15) and three FGFR1-positive cell lines (U2OS-R1 expressing FGFR1-α-IIIc, DMS114 expressing FGFR1-β-IIIc, and NCI-H520 expressing FGFR1-α-IIIb). The cells were treated with FGF2, the conjugates and free AY in the concentration range from 0.003 nM to 273 nM for 96 h. Then, cell viability was assessed with the alamarBlue assay. The EC_{50} values were calculated from the Hill equation.
All of the FGF2 conjugates showed a strong cytotoxic effect against FGFR1-positive cell lines (U2OS-R1, DMS114, NCI-H520) and were nontoxic to control cell lines (U2OS, HCC15), exhibiting an almost nondetectable level of FGFR1 (Figure 7, Table 2). In the case of the U2OS-R1 cell line, the conjugates exhibited EC50 in the subnanomolar range. FGF23xC-(PEG27-tvAY)3 demonstrated an 8.6 and 5.3 times higher EC50 value, as compared with FGF23xC-(PEG4-tvAY)3 and FGF23xC-(PEG27-tvAY)3, respectively. FGF2 conjugates showed the largest cytotoxic potency in DMS114 cells, presenting the highest natural level of FGFR1 among tested cell lines, with EC50 in the low subnanomolar range. The NCI-H520 cell line, expressing relatively low amounts of FGFR1, was less sensitive to conjugates with EC50 values more than 100 times higher than that observed in U2OS-R1 cells. Notably, in this case, the FGF21xC-PEG27-(tvAY)3 conjugate exhibited 3.1 and 5.7 times higher EC50 values than FGF23xC-(PEG4-tvAY)3 and FGF23xC-(PEG27-tvAY)3 conjugates, respectively.

Interestingly, free auristatin Y (AY) was nontoxic to all tested cell lines. We found that the cytotoxicity of FGF2 conjugates is determined by the FGFR1 expression level on the cell surface, and confirmed that auristatin Y needs to be specifically delivered into the cell interior in order to evoke its toxic effect.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite continuous progress in the field of targeted cancer therapy, only five ADC-based drugs have been approved by the FDA. Immunogenicity of mAb, drug resistance (especially P-glycoprotein-mediated efflux of hydrophobic drugs), nonoptimal bioavailability, and off-target toxicity are among major limitations of ADCs. Thus, the development of novel targeted approaches suitable for cancer treatment remains a vital need.

There are numerous protein scaffolds that could potentially replace monoclonal antibodies as the targeting molecules, including antibody fragments, DARPin, knottins, and affibodies. Previously, we demonstrated that affibody, diafibody, scFv-Fc, FGF1, and FGF2 are effective proteins in terms of specific delivery of the cytotoxic drug vcMMAE to tumor cells.
One of the problems in applying vcMMAE, especially in conjugates with high drug loading, is its hydropobicity, a factor greatly influencing tissue penetration and specificity of cellular internalization.\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^8\) We previously found that an FGF2 conjugate containing three vcMMAE molecules (FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(vcMMAE))\(_{3}\) at a concentration higher than 125 nM exhibits nonspecific cytotoxicity toward the FGFRI-negative U2OS cell line.\(^3\)\(^9\) However, there are numerous chemical derivatives of auristatin, i.e., MMAE, MAE, MMAU, and AY, which differ in hydrophobicity, charge, and cytotoxicity.\(^2\)\(^0\)\(^2\)\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^6\)\(^9\) Taking into account the plan to load FGF2 with three auristatin molecules, we decided to use more hydrophilic AY. Unlike MMAE,\(^3\)\(^9\) AY did not show toxicity as a free drug (Figure 7, Table 2). In general, increased hydrophilicity of cytotoxic payloads affects their efflux from the cell interior, which reduces multiple drug resistance (MDR).\(^7\)\(^0\) Moreover, the cytotoxic agents coupled with polar amino acids (such as tvAY, Figure 1A) cannot be a substrate of the ABCB1 transporter, which further overcomes MDR.\(^7\)\(^1\)\(^\text{--}\)\(^7\)\(^4\)

Concomitantly, cytotoxic payloads with lower hydrophobicity exhibit lower bystander killing,\(^7\)\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^6\)\(^7\) which can be considered as a possible drawback of AY manifesting in reduced potency against FGFR-negative cells in the tumor environment.

Replacement of hydrophobic vcMMAE with hydrophilic vtAY increased polarity of FGF2 conjugates, which manifested in shorter retention time observed in SEC experiments (Figure 3D, Table 1). Importantly, the FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(tvAY) conjugate was nontoxic to FGFR1-negative cell lines (Figure 7, Table 2). Finally, in agreement with ADC data,\(^2\)\(^0\)\(^2\)\(^2\)\(^2\)\(^6\)\(^7\) the therapeutic index was increased due to the hydrophilic nature of the (tvAY)\(_3\) payload.

To further increase the hydrophilicity of cytotoxic cargo and to increase the hydrodynamic radius of conjugates, we attached PEG linkers in a series of FGF2 conjugates. Both properties play a key role in pharmacokinetics and in vivo potency of conjugates.\(^2\)\(^0\)\(^2\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^7\)\(^8\) The short PEG4 linker introduced between FGF2 and vtAY (FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG4-tvAY)) increased hydrodynamic molecular weight, in agreement with the PEG-hydration model.\(^4\)\(^1\) Further, a large increase in the hydrodynamic radius corresponding to a globular protein of 58 kDa was observed when PEG27 was applied (FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG27-tvAY)). Using PEG4 and PEG27 Burke et al. and Simmons et al. observed comparable hydrodynamic radius of classical ADCs;\(^3\)\(^7\)\(^6\)\(^7\) thus, it is likely that the size of FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG27-tvAY) exceeds the limit of renal filtration.\(^8\)\(^0\)\(^8\)\(^1\) However, a further pharmacokinetic study should be performed to confirm this.

FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG27-tvAY) showed cytotoxic effects comparable to non-PEGylated FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(tvAY) or a conjugate containing a short PEG4 linker (FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG4-tvAY)). We decided to study whether conjugation via a single cysteine present in the KCK extension at the N-terminus with tvAY, attached to the PEG27-SCRCRC scaffold peptide would show comparable cytotoxicity as observed in FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG27-tvAY). This might be beneficial for loading proteins that contain a single exposed cysteine in their sequence with three (or even more) payloads.

The biophysical properties [FL spectra, denaturation temperature, tendency to the aggregation of FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG27-tvAY) and FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG27-tvAY)\(_3\)] were comparable. Nevertheless, the cytotoxicity of (FGF2\(_{3\text{ac}}\)(PEG27-tvAY))\(_3\) toward U2OS-R1 and NCI-H520 cell lines (Table 2) was about four and six times higher, respectively.

All studied FGF2-based conjugates showed several desirable characteristics, such as homogeneity and defined DPR (Figure 2A), stability in human serum (Figure 3E), resistance to aggregation (Figure 3C), selective affinity to FGFR1-positive cells (Figure 5), specific internalization via FGFR-mediated endocytosis, and trafficking from endosomes to lysosomes (Figure 6). All conjugates were highly efficient in killing FGFR-expressing cancer cells and nontoxic for FGFR-negative cell lines (Figure 7, Table 2). Since free AY cannot easily diffuse across cell membranes, it has to be actively delivered into the cell (Figure 7, Table 2).

So far, the FDA has approved 15 PEGylated protein drugs. Most of them contain heterogenic PEG moieties bigger than 5 kDa, and only four are generated by site-specific PEGylation. The latter show a significant advantage since they are easier to purify and are homogenic.\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^8\)\(^2\)\(^3\) In the ADC field, PEG molecules are used mainly for increasing the hydrophilicity of the payload and the bioavailability of the conjugate. Unfortunately, PEG molecules bring several disadvantages, including the possible loss of biological activity and the decrease in affinity to the molecular target.\(^4\)\(^1\)\(^\text{--}\)\(^4\)\(^2\)

FGFRs are abundant in normal adult tissues (e.g., skin, cornea, lung, heart, placenta, kidney, ureter, and retina).\(^8\)\(^4\)\(^8\)\(^5\) Nonetheless, the level of FGFRs in many cancer cells is significantly elevated.\(^8\)\(^6\)\(^--\)\(^8\)\(^8\) Therefore, the development of drugs targeting FGFRs is a well-justified anticancer strategy. However, so far, only one ADC targeting the FGF receptor (Aprotumab Ixadotin, BAY 1187982) has been evaluated in a phase 1 clinical study.\(^8\)\(^6\) BAY 1187982, targeted at FGFR2-IIIb and FGFR2-IIIc, contained a derivative of auristatin W as a cytotoxic drug, not specifically conjugated to lysine residues via a noncleavable linker.\(^8\)\(^9\) The trial was terminated because BAY 1187982 was poorly tolerated. The study suggests that observed side effects were associated with the toxicity of the payload.\(^9\)\(^\text{Thus, novel approaches to modify the cytotoxic drugs in therapeutic conjugates are of high importance.}

Another important issue is the systemic elimination half-life of conjugates. A significant impact on prolongation of ADCs’ half-life is exerted by the Fc region that binds to the neonatal Fc receptor\(^9\)\(^\text{I}\) expressed in various tissues, including the endothelial cells, interstitial macrophages, Kupffer cells, alveolar macrophages, enterocytes, and choroid plexus epithelium.\(^9\) FGFR-conjugates lack the Fc fragment, but FGF2 protein has a high affinity to heparins occurring on the surface of many types of cells and in body fluids. Interaction with sugar moieties highly stabilizes FGF2 and protects the FGF2-conjugates from degradation. We have shown that FGF2-tvAY conjugates are stable in human plasma for at least 5 days (Figure 3E); thus, coadministration of heparin along with FGF2 conjugates should result in an increased half-life in comparison to other targeting scaffolds that do not have systemic stabilizing/recycling partners. Nevertheless, this issue needs to be clarified in a further detailed pharmacokinetic study.

Here, we used small-molecular-weight, homogeneous PEG molecules with a defined chain length to couple auristatin Y to the FGF2 molecule in a site-specific manner. The analysis of the tertiary structure of FGF2 conjugates (Figure 3A), the receptor affinity measurements (Figure 4), cell internalization experiments (Figure 5) and the analysis of the intracellular trafficking (Figure 6) clearly showed that payloads introduced via PEG4 or PEG27 linkers do not alter the biophysical and biological properties of FGF2.
All conjugates were characterized by the defined drug-to-protein ratio (DAR = 3), due to a site-specific attachment of the defined PEGylated derivative of vtAY. They exhibited a highly toxic effect toward FGFR1-positive cell lines, especially those expressing isoform IIIc of FGFR1 specifically for FGF2. The highest cytotoxicity was observed in the case of DMS114 cells, which expressed isoform IIIc of FGFR1, which is correlated with reduced survival of a patient. The most potent conjugate, FGF23xC-(PEG27-tvAY)3, with highly desirable hydrodynamic properties, possesses very high potential for a further in vivo study in order to validate its feasibility for anticancer targeted therapy.
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