Phase diagram of nickelate superconductors calculated by dynamical vertex approximation
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ABSTRACT

We review the electronic structure of nickelate superconductors with and without effects of electronic correlations. As a minimal model we identify the one-band Hubbard model for the Ni 3d_{x^2-y^2} orbital plus a pocket around the A-momentum. The latter however merely acts as a decoupled electron reservoir. This reservoir makes a careful translation from nominal Sr-doping to the doping of the one-band Hubbard model mandatory. Our dynamical mean-field theory calculations, in part already supported by experiment, indicate that the \Gamma\textsubscript{p} pocket, Nd 4f orbitals, oxygen 2p and the other Ni 3d orbitals are not relevant in the superconducting doping regime. The physics is completely different if topotactic hydrogen is present or the oxygen reduction is incomplete. Then, a two-band physics hosted by the Ni 3d_{x^2-y^2} and 3d_{3z^2-r^2} orbitals emerges. Based on our minimal modeling we calculated the superconducting T\textsubscript{c} vs. Sr-doping x phase diagram prior to experiment using the dynamical vertex approximation. For such a notoriously difficult to determine quantity as T\textsubscript{c}, the agreement with experiment is astonishingly good. The prediction that T\textsubscript{c} is enhanced with pressure or compressive strain, has been confirmed experimentally as well. This supports that the one-band Hubbard model plus an electron reservoir is the appropriate minimal model.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Twenty years ago, Anisimov, Bukhvalov, and Rice 2 suggested high-temperature (T\textsubscript{c}) superconductivity in nickelates based on material calculations that showed apparent similarities to cuprates. Subsequent
Nickelate superconductors calculated by DFT

Figure 1. Crystal lattice and most important orbitals for (A) cuprates and (B) nickelates. (C) For cuprates the arguably simplest model is the Emery model with a half-filled copper $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ band and holes in the oxygen $2p$ orbitals that can hop to other oxygen ($t_{pp}$) and copper sites ($t_{dp}$) where double occupations are suppressed by the interaction $U$. (D) For nickelates we have a Ni-$3d_{x^2-y^2}$-band Hubbard model which however only accommodates part of the holes induced by Sr-doping. The others go to the $A$ pocket stemming from the Nd $5d_{xy}$ band and acting as a decoupled reservoir.

calculations [9, 24, 23] demonstrated the potential to further engineer the nickelate Fermi surface through heterostructuring. Two years ago Li, Hwang and coworkers [51] discovered superconductivity in Sr-doped NdNiO$_2$ films grown on a SrTiO$_3$ substrate and protected by a SrTiO$_3$ capping layer. These novel Sr$_x$Nd$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$ films are isostructural and formally isoelectric to the arguably simplest, but certainly not best superconducting cuprate: infinite layer CaCuO$_2$ [75, 5, 61, 13]. However, the devil is in the details, and here cuprates and nickelates differ. For revealing such material-specific differences, band-structure calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) are the method of choice. They serve as a starting point for understanding the electronic structure and subsequently the phase diagram of nickelate superconductors. Following the experimental discovery of nickelate superconductivity, and even before that, numerous DFT studies have been published [64, 50, 8, 69, 29, 30, 84, 60, 89, 34, 82]. Based on these DFT calculations, various models for the low-energy electronic structure for nickelates and the observed superconductivity have been proposed. Besides the cuprate-like Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ band, DFT shows an $A$ and a $\Gamma$ pocket which originate from Nd $5d_{xy}$ and $5d_{3z^2-r^2}$ bands, but with major Ni $3d$ admixture in the region of the pocket. The importance of the Ni $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital has been suggested in some studies [47, 48, 66, 1] and that of the Nd-$4f$ orbitals in others [89, 6]. Further there is the question regarding the relevance of the oxygen $2p$ orbitals. For cuprates these are, besides the Cu $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals, the most relevant. Indeed, cuprates are generally believed to be charge-transfer insulators [85]. This leads to the three-orbital Emery model [14] visualized in Fig. 1A,C as the minimal model for cuprates. The much more frequently investigated Hubbard model [31, 36, 21] may, in the case of cuprates, only be considered as an effective Hamiltonian mimicking the physics of the Zhang-Rice singlet [88].

At first glance, nickelates appear to be much more complicated with more relevant orbitals than in the case of the cuprates. In this paper, we review the electronic structure of nickelates in comparison to that of cuprates, and the arguments for a simpler description of nickelate superconductors: namely a Hubbard model for the Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ band plus a largely decoupled reservoir corresponding to the $A$ pocket. This $A$ pocket is part of the Nd $5d_{xy}$ band which has however a major admixture of Ni $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ and O $2p_z$ states around the momentum $A$. This leaves us with Fig. 1B,D as the arguably simplest model for
nickelates [37, 42]. This (our) perspective is still controversially discussed in the literature. However, as we will point out below, a number of experimental observations already support this perspective against some of the early suggestions that other orbitals are relevant.

Certainly, other perspectives will be taken in other articles of this series on “Advances in Superconducting Infinite-Layer and Related Nickelates”. The simple picture of a one-band Hubbard model, whose doping needs to be carefully calculated since part of the holes in Sr-doped Sr$_x$Nd$_{1-x}$NdO$_2$ go to the $A$ pocket, allowed us [42] to calculate $T_c$, see Fig. 5 below, at a time when only the $T_c$ for a single doping $x = 20\%$ was experimentally available. To this end, state-of-the-art dynamical vertex approximation (DΓA) [78, 38, 67], a Feynman diagrammatic extension of dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [58, 33, 18, 16] has been used. For such a notoriously difficult to calculate physical quantity as $T_c$, the agreement of the single-orbital Hubbard model calculation with subsequent experiments [52, 86] is astonishingly good. This further supports the modelling by a single-orbital Hubbard model which thus should serve at the very least as a good approximation or a starting point.

The outline of this article is as follows: In Section 2 we first compare the electronic structure of nickelates to that of cuprates, starting from DFT but also discussing effects of electronic correlations as described, e.g., by DMFT. Subsequently, we argue in Section 3 orbital-by-orbital, that the other orbitals besides the Ni $3d_{x^2−y^2}$ and the $A$ pocket are, from our perspective, not relevant. This leaves us with the one-$3d_{x^2−y^2}$-band Hubbard model plus an electron reservoir representing the $A$ pocket of Fig. 1B,D, which is discussed in Section 4 including the translation of Sr-doping to the filling in the Hubbard model and the reservoir. In Section 5 we discuss the effect of non-local correlations as described in DΓA and the calculated superconducting phase diagram. Section 6 shows that topotactic hydrogen, which is difficult to detect in experiment, completely overhauls the electronic structure and the prevalence of superconductivity. Finally, Section 7 summarizes the article.

2 ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE: NICKELATES VS. CUPRATES

Let us start by looking into the electronic structure in more detail and start with the DFT results. On a technical note, the calculations presented have been done using the WIEN2K [7, 73], VASP [45], and FPLO [43] program packages, with the PBE [65] version of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). For further details see the original work [42]. Fig. 2 compares the bandstructure of the two simple materials: CaCuO$_2$ and NdNiO$_2$. Here, we restrict ourselves to only the Brillouin zone path along the most relevant momenta for these compounds: $\Gamma$ (0,0,0), $X$ ($\pi$,0,0), and $A$ ($\pi,\pi,\pi$). In DFT both the cuprate and nickelate parent compounds are metals with a prominent Cu or Ni $3d_{x^2−y^2}$ band crossing the Fermi energy. In other aspects both materials differ (for a review cf. [59]): In the case of cuprates, the oxygen bands are much closer to the Fermi level ($E_F$) and receive the holes that are induced by doping. The Cu $3d_{x^2−y^2}$ lower Hubbard band is below these oxygen orbitals, and the Cu $3d_{x^2−y^2}$ upper Hubbard band is above the Fermi level. Let us note that we here refer to oxygen $2p$ orbitals and Cu $3d_{x^2−y^2}$ orbitals even though the hybridization between both is very strong. Indeed, the two sets of orbitals strongly mix in the resulting effective DFT bands of Fig. 2.

Because cuprates are charge-transfer insulators, the one-band Hubbard model can only be considered as an effective Hamiltonian mimicking the Zhang-Rice singlet [88]. As already pointed out in the Introduction, more appropriate is the Emery model of Fig. 1. The correlation-induced splitting into the Hubbard bands [18] as well as the Zhang-Rice singlet [22] can be described already by DMFT [58, 18, 16].
Figure 2. Electronic structures of CaCuO$_2$ (A) and NdNiO$_2$ (B), exemplifying superconducting cuprates and nickelates. Top: The bars indicate the center of energy for the most important DFT bands. The dashed arrows indicate the correlation-induced splitting of the Cu or Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ band into Hubbard bands, leading to the (schematic) spectral function at the side. Cuprates are charge-transfer insulators with a gap between the O 2$p$ and the upper Cu 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ Hubbard band, as the lower Cu 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ Hubbard band is below the O 2$p$ band. For nickelates, bands with a large Nd(La) 5$d$ contribution cross the Fermi energy at $\Gamma$ and $A$, self-doping the Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ band away from half-filling. C and D: Corresponding DFT Fermi surface. For cuprates DFT shows a single Cu 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ hole-like Fermi surface; for nickelates there is a similar, slightly more warped Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ Fermi surface and additional small pockets around the $\Gamma$ and $A$ momentum.

Two-dimensional spin-fluctuations and superconductivity, however, cannot. For describing such physics, non-local correlations beyond DMFT are needed.

For the nickelates, the oxygen bands are at a much lower energy. Hence, as indicated in the right side panel of Fig. 2, the lower Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ Hubbard band can be expected to be closer to the Fermi energy than the oxygen $p$ orbitals \cite{37,42}. Consequently, undoped nickelates would be Mott-Hubbard insulators if it was not for two additional bands that cross $E_F$ around the $\Gamma$- and $A$-momentum. These form electron pockets as visualized in Fig. 2 (bottom right) and self-dope the Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ band away from half-filling. As the 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ is doped, it develops, even when the Coulomb interaction is large, a quasiparticle peak at the Fermi energy as displayed in the right side panel of Fig. 2.

3 IRRELEVANCE OF VARIOUS ORBITALS

Next, we turn to various orbitals that may appear relevant at first glance but turn out to be irrelevant for the low energy physics when taking electronic correlations properly into account. To account for the latter, we use DFT+DMFT \cite{3,55,26,44,25} which is the state of the art for calculating correlated materials.

Oxygen orbitals.

For nickelates the oxygen 2$p$ orbitals are approximately 3 eV lower in energy than in cuprates within DFT. Hence, some DFT+DMFT calculations did not include these from the beginning \cite{37,42}, and those that did \cite{47} also found the oxygen 2$p$ orbitals at a lower energy than the lower Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ Hubbard band. Hence, while there is still some hybridization and mixing between the O 2$p$ states and the Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ states, a projection onto a low-energy set of orbitals without oxygen appears possible.

Ni 3$d_{3z^2−r^2}$ and $t_{2g}$ orbitals.

Instead of the oxygen 2$p$ orbitals, the DFT calculation in Fig. 2 and elsewhere \cite{8,69,29,59} show other Ni 3$d$ orbitals closely below the Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ band. In fact, these other 3$d$ orbitals are somewhat closer to
Figure 3. DMFT $k$-integrated (A-C) and $k$-resolved (D-E) spectral functions $A(\omega)$ and $A(k, \omega)$ of undoped LaNiO$_2$ (A), 20% Sr-doped LaNiO$_2$ (Sr$_{0.2}$La$_{0.8}$NiO$_2$) (B), and 25% Sr-doped LaNiO$_2$ (Sr$_{0.25}$La$_{0.75}$NiO$_2$) (C). The $k$-resolved spectral function $A(k, \omega)$ of La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$NiO$_2$ is shown in (D); (E) is a zoom-in of (D). Data partially from [74, 42].

the Fermi level than in the case of cuprates. Electronic correlations can strongly modify the DFT band structure. In particular, the Hund’s exchange $J$ tends to drive the system toward a more equal occupation of different orbitals, especially if there is more than one hole (more than one unpaired electron) in the Ni 3$d$ orbitals. This is not only because a larger local spin is made possible, but also because the inter-orbital Coulomb interaction $U'$ between two electrons in two different orbitals is smaller than the intra-orbital Coulomb interaction $U = U' + 2J$ for two electrons in the same orbital. This tendency is countered by the crystal field splitting (local DFT potentials) which puts the 3$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital above the Ni 3$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital and the other (t$_{2g}$) Ni 3$d$ orbitals because of the absence of apical O atoms in NiO$_4$ squares.

Fig. 3 shows the DFT+DMFT spectral function for Sr$_x$La$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$ from 0% to 25% Sr-doping. In these calculations [42] all Ni 3$d$ and all La 5$d$ orbitals have been taken into account in a WANNIER [46] projection supplemented by interactions calculated within the constrained random phase approximation (cRPA) [74] to be $U' = 3.10$ eV (2.00 eV) and Hund’s exchange $J = 0.65$ eV (0.25 eV) for Ni (La). On a technical note, the DMFT self-consistency equations [17] have been solved here at room temperature (300 K) by continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo simulations in the hybridization expansions [20] using the WIEN2DYNAMICS implementation [63, 80] and the maximum entropy code of ANA.Cont [40] for analytic continuation.

Clearly, Fig. 3 indicates that for up to 20% Sr-doping the other Ni 3$d$ orbitals besides the 3$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital are not relevant for the low-energy physics of Sr$_x$La$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$: they are fully occupied below the Fermi energy. With doping, these other Ni 3$d$ orbitals however shift more and more upwards in energy. At around 25% Sr-doping they touch the Fermi energy and hence a multi-orbital Ni description becomes necessary at larger dopings. That is, between 20% and 30% Sr-doping the physics of Sr$_x$La$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$ turns from single- to multi-orbital. In the case of Sr$_x$Nd$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$, this turning point is at slightly larger
doping \[42\]. Later, in Section \[6\] we will see that for the Ni $3d^8$ configuration, which in Section \[6\] is induced by topotactic hydrogen and here would be obtained for 100% Sr doping, the two holes in the Ni $3d$ orbitals form a spin-1 and occupy two orbitals: $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$. In Fig. \[3\] we see at 30% doping the first steps into this direction. Importantly, within the superconducting doping regime which noteworthy is below 24% Sr-doping for Sr$_x$Nd$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$ \[52, 86\] and 21% for Sr$_x$La$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$ \[62\], a single $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ Ni-orbital is sufficient for the low-energy modelling. A one-band Hubbard model description based on DMFT calculations was also concluded in \[37\] for the undoped parent compound.

DFT+DMFT calculations by Lechermann \[47, 48\] stress, on the other hand, the relevance of the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital. Let us note that also in \[47\] the number of holes in the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital is considerably less than in the $3d_{x^2-y^2}$. However, for low Sr-doping also a small quasiparticle peak develops for the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ band \[47, 48\]. An important difference to \[74, 42\] is that the 5$d$ Coulomb interaction has been taken into account in \[74, 42\] and that the Coulomb interaction of \[47\] is substantially larger. The 5$d$ Coulomb interaction pushes the $\Gamma$ pocket above the Fermi energy (see next paragraph). As much of the holes in the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital stem from the admixture of this orbital to the $\Gamma$ pocket, this difference is very crucial for the occupation of the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital in some calculations \[48, 1\]. On the other hand, in GW+extended DMFT calculations by Petocchi \[66\], the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital is pushed to the Fermi energy for large $k_z$ instead, i.e., around the $R$, $Z$ and $A$ point. Except for this large $k_z$ deviation, the Fermi surface, the effective mass of the Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital etc. of \[66\] are similar to our calculation \[42, 83\].

First experimental hints on the (ir)relevance of the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ can be obtained from resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) experiments \[27, 56\]. Higashi et al. \[28\] analyzed these RIXS data by comparison with DFT+DMFT and obtained good agreement with experiment. They conclude that NdNiO$_2$ is slightly doped away from $3d^0$ because of a small self-doping from the Nd 5$d$ band, that only the $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ Ni orbital (not the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital) is partially filled, and that the Ni-O hybridization plays a less important role than for the cuprates.

**$\Gamma$ pocket.**

A feature clearly present in DFT calculations for the nickelate parent compounds LaNiO$_2$ and NdNiO$_2$ is the $\Gamma$ pocket, see Fig. \[2D\]). However, when the Coulomb interaction on the La or Nd sites is included, it is shifted upwards in energy. Furthermore, Sr-doping depopulates the Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital as well as the A and $\Gamma$ pocket, and thus also helps pushing the $\Gamma$ pocket above the Fermi energy. Clearly in the DFT+DMFT $k$-resolved spectrum of Fig. \[3\] the $\Gamma$ pocket is above the Fermi energy. To some extent the presence or absence of the $\Gamma$ pocket also depends on the rare-earth cation. For NdNiO$_2$ we obtain a $\Gamma$ pocket for the undoped compound \[42\] which only shifts above the Fermi energy with Sr-doping in the superconducting region, whereas for LaNiO$_2$ it is already above the Fermi level without Sr-doping. We can hence conclude that while there might be a $\Gamma$ pocket without Sr-doping, DFT+DMFT results suggest that it is absent in the superconducting doping regime.

Briefly after the discovery of superconductivity in nickelates, it has also been suggested that the Nd 5$d$ orbitals of the pockets couple to the Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ spin, giving rise to a Kondo effect \[89, 19\]. However, Table \[1\] shows that the hybridization between the relevant Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ and the most important La or Nd 5$d_{xy}$ and 5$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ vanishes by symmetry. Also the full 5 Ni and 5 Nd band DMFT calculation in Fig. \[3\] does not show a hybridization (gap) between $A$ pocket and Ni bands. This suggests that the $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma$ pocket are decoupled from the $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals. There is no hybridization and hence no Kondo effect.

**Nd 4$f$ orbitals.**

Finally, the importance of the Nd 4$f$ orbitals has been suggested in the literature. Treating these 4$f$ orbitals in DFT is not trivial, because DFT puts them in the vicinity of the Fermi level. This neglects that
Table 1. Hybridization (hopping amplitude in eV) between the partially occupied Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and the La/Nd 5$d$ orbitals \[42\]. Here, the results are obtained from Wannier projections onto 17-bands (La/Nd-4\(f\)+La/Nd-5\(d\)+Ni-3\(d\)) and 10-bands (La/Nd-5\(d\)+Ni-3\(d\)).

| LaNiO$_2$ (GGA) | $f_{xz}^{2}$ | $f_{yz}^{2}$ | $f_{z}^{3}$ | $f_{x(x^2-3y^2)}$ | $f_{y(3x^2-y^2)}$ | $f_{z(x^2-y^2)}$ | $f_{xyz}$ |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ | -0.0300       | 0.0300        | 0.0000    | -0.0851          | -0.0851         | -0.0203       | -0.0000   |

| NdNiO$_2$ (GGA) | $f_{xz}^{2}$ | $f_{yz}^{2}$ | $f_{z}^{3}$ | $f_{x(x^2-3y^2)}$ | $f_{y(3x^2-y^2)}$ | $f_{z(x^2-y^2)}$ | $f_{xyz}$ |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ | -0.0215       | 0.0215        | 0.0000    | -0.0612          | -0.0612         | 0.0160        | -0.0000   |

Table 2. Hybridization (hopping amplitude in eV) between the Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and the Nd(La) 4\(f\) orbitals, as obtained from Wannier projections onto 17-bands (La/Nd-4\(f\)+La/Nd-5\(d\)+Ni-3\(d\)) including the 4\(f\) as valence states in DFT(GGA) \[42\].

Electronic correlations split the Nd 4\(f\) into upper and lower Hubbard bands, as they form a local spin. This effect is beyond DFT. One way to circumvent this difficulty is to put the Nd 4\(f\) orbitals in the core instead of having them as valence states close to the Fermi energy. This is denoted as “GGA open core” instead of standard “GGA” in Table 1. The localized Nd 4\(f\) spins might in principle be screened through a Kondo effect. However, the hybridization of the Nd 4\(f\) with the Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital at the Fermi energy is extremely small, see Table 2 and \[35\]. Hence, the Kondo temperature is zero for all practical purposes. In spin-polarized DFT+U there is instead a local exchange interaction between the Nd 4\(f\) and the predominantly Nd 5\(d\) \(\Gamma\) pocket \[11\]. However, as pointed out in the previous paragraph, the \(\Gamma\) pocket is shifted above the Fermi level in the superconducting Sr-doping regime. Hence in \[42\], we ruled out that the Nd 4\(f\) are relevant for superconductivity. This has been spectacularly confirmed experimentally by the discovery of superconductivity in nickelates without \(f\) electrons: Ca$_x$La$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$ \[87\] and Sr$_x$La$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$ \[62\] have a similar $T_c$.

4 ONE-BAND HUBBARD MODEL PLUS RESERVOIR

Altogether this leaves us with Fig. 1B,D as the arguably simplest model for nickelate superconductors, consisting of a strongly correlated Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ band and an $A$ pocket. This $A$ pocket is derived from the Nd 5\(d_{xy}\) band which however crosses the Ni 3\(d\) orbitals and hybridizes strongly with the Ni $t_{2g}$ orbitals so that at the bottom of the $A$ pocket, i.e., at the momentum $A$, it is made up primarily from Ni $t_{2g}$ whereas the Nd 5\(d_{xy}\) contribution is here at a lower energy. This makes the $A$ pocket much more resistive to shifting up in energy than the $\Gamma$ pocket.

On the other hand the $A$ pocket does not interact with the Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ band; i.e., does not hybridize in Table 1. Hence, we can consider the $A$ pocket as a mere hole reservoir which accommodates part of the holes induced by Sr doping, whereas the other part goes into the correlated Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ band which is
the orbital contribution to the bands, should not be taken into account in the one-band

weaker dependence on the Sr-doping, since here the \( \Gamma \) pocket is still active, taking away electrons from Ni but also first absorbing some of the holes from the Sr-doping until it is completely depopulated (shifted above the Fermi energy) before superconductivity sets in.

In the subsequent one-band calculation, presented in the next paragraph, we employ the occupation from the Ni \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) orbital as calculated in this full DMFT calculation with 5 Ni and 5 Nd orbitals. This accounts for the electron pocket in the DMFT calculation but also for minor hybridization effects between the Ni \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) and \( 3d_{3z^2-r^2} \) orbital, e.g., along the \( \Gamma-X \) direction. In principle, this hybridization effect, which intermixes the orbital contribution to the bands, should not be taken into account in the one-band Hubbard model. This aims at modelling the effective \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) band which is crossing the Fermi level and which is predominantly Ni \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) (but also has admixtures from the other orbitals because of the hybridization). In the case of the Ni \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) orbital this hybridization is very weak \[42\] (Supplemental Material) and can be neglected as a first approximation \[83\] (Supplemental Material). For other Ni \( 3d \) orbitals this hybridization has a sizable effect on their respective occupation. For example the Ni \( 3d_{3z^2-r^2} \) orbital which is strongly hybridizing with the Nd \( 5d_{3z^2-r^2} \) orbital only has an occupation of 1.85 electrons per site in our multi-orbital calculation \[83\] (Supplemental material), whereas the effective Ni \( 3d_{3z^2-r^2} \) orbital including contributions from the hybridization is fully occupied with 2 electrons per site, as it is completely below the Fermi level.

The hopping parameters for the Ni-\( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) model from a one-band Wannier projection are shown in Table 5 and compared to that of the same orbital in a 10-band and 17-band Wannier projection. Here \( t_{R_x,R_y,R_z} \) denotes the hopping by \( R_i \) unit cells in the \( i \) direction. That is, \( t_{000} \) is the on-site potential, \( t = -t_{100}, t' = -t_{110} \) and \( t'' = -t_{200} \) are the nearest, next-nearest and next-next-nearest neighbor hopping; \( t_z = -t_{001} \) is the hopping in the \( z \)-direction perpendicular to the NiO\(_2\) planes. The hopping parameters are strikingly similar for LaNiO\(_2\) and NdNiO\(_2\) and the different Wannier projections.

Besides the doping from Fig. 4 and the hopping for the one-band Wannier projection from Table 3, we only need the interaction parameter for doing realistic one-band Hubbard model calculations for nickelates. In cRPA for a single \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) orbital one obtains \( U = 2.6 \text{ eV} \) \[60\] \[69\] at zero frequency. But the cRPA interaction has a strong frequency dependence because of the screening of all the other Ni and Nd(La)
Table 3. Major hopping elements (in units of eV) of the Ni-3\(d_{x^2−y^2}\) orbital from 1-band (Ni-3\(d_{x^2−y^2}\)), 10-bands (La/Nd-\(d^{+}\)Ni-\(d\)) and 17-bands (La-\(f^{+}\)+La-\(d^{+}\)+Ni-\(d\)) Wannier projections. The DFT-relaxed lattice parameters are: LaNiO\(_2\) \((a = b = 3.88\ \text{Å}, c = 3.35\ \text{Å})\), NdNiO\(_2\) \((a = b = 3.86\ \text{Å}, c = 3.24\ \text{Å})\) [42].

|                  | \(t_{000}\) | \(t_{100}\) | \(t_{001}\) | \(t_{110}\) | \(t_{200}\) | \(t_{210}\) |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| LaNiO\(_2\) (GGA) | 0.2689      | -0.3945     | -0.0336     | 0.0977      | -0.0465     | -0.0037     |
| 1-band (Ni-\(d_{x^2−y^2}\)) | 0.2955      | -0.3975     | -0.0458     | 0.0985      | -0.0491     | 0.0000      |
| 10-bands (La-\(d^{+}\)+Ni-\(d\)) | 0.3514      | -0.3943     | -0.0239     | 0.0792      | -0.0422     | -0.0008     |
| 17-bands (La-\(f^{+}\)+La-\(d^{+}\)+Ni-\(d\)) | 0.3058      | -0.3945     | -0.0336     | 0.0953      | -0.0471     | -0.0031     |
| NdNiO\(_2\) (GGA open core) | 0.3368      | -0.3976     | -0.0389     | 0.0949      | -0.0480     | -0.0008     |

orbits close by. To mimic this frequency dependence, the static \(U\) parameter needs to be slightly increased. Expertise from many DFT+DMFT calculations for transition metal oxides shows that it typically needs to be about 0.5 eV larger, so that \(U = 3.2\ \text{eV} = 8t\) is reasonable. Altogether, this defines a one-band Hubbard model for nickelates at various dopings. For the conductivity and other transport properties, the \(A\) pocket may be relevant as well, but superconductivity should arise from the correlated \(3d_{x^2−y^2}\) band that is hardly coupled to the \(A\) pocket.

5 NON-LOCAL CORRELATIONS AND SUPERCONDUCTING PHASE DIAGRAM

DFT provides a first picture of the relevant orbitals, and DMFT adds to this effects of strong local correlations such as the splitting into Hubbard bands, the formation of a quasiparticle peak and correlation-induced orbital shifts such as the upshift of the \(\Gamma\) pocket. However, at low temperatures non-local correlations give rise to additional effects. Relevant are here: the emergence of strong spin fluctuations and their impact on the spectral function and superconductivity.

For including such non-local correlations, diagrammatic extensions of DMFT such as the dynamical vertex approximation (D\(\Gamma\)A) [78, 38, 15, 67] have been proven extremely powerful. Such calculations are possible down to the temperatures of the superconducting phase transition, in the correlated regime and for very large lattices so that the long-range correlations close to a phase transition can be properly described. Even (quantum) critical exponents can be calculated [68, 4, 70, 71]. D\(\Gamma\)A has proven reliable compared with numerically exact calculations where these are possible [72], and in particular provide for a more accurate determination of \(T_c\) [41] since the full local frequency dependence of the two-particle vertex is included. Such local frequency dependence can affect even the non-local pairing through spin fluctuations. In, e.g., RPA this frequency dependence and the suppression of the pairing vertex for small frequencies can only be improperly mimicked by (quite arbitrarily) adjusting the static \(U\).

This simple one-band Hubbard model in D\(\Gamma\)A has been the basis for calculating the phase diagram \(T_c\) vs. Sr-doping in Fig. 5 [42]. At the time of the calculation only a single experimental \(T_c\) at 20\% Sr-doping was available [51]. The physical origin of the superconductivity in these calculations are strong spin fluctuations which form the pairing glue for high-temperature superconductivity. Charge fluctuations are much weaker; the electron-phonon coupling has not been considered and is also too weak for transition metal oxides to yield high-temperature superconductivity. The theoretical \(T_c\) in Fig. 5 at 20\% doping was from the very beginning slightly larger than in experiment. Most likely this is because in the ladder D\(\Gamma\)A [38, 67] calculation of \(T_c\) the spin fluctuations are first calculated and then enter the superconducting particle-particle channel [41]. This neglects the feedback effect of these particle-particle fluctuations on the self-energy and the spin fluctuations, which may in turn suppress the tendency towards superconductivity.
somewhat. Such effects would be only included in a more complete parquet DΓA calculation \cite{79,54,53}. Also, the ignored weak three-dimensional dispersion will suppress \( T_c \). Let us note that antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations have recently been observed experimentally \cite{56,12}.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 5.** Superconducting phase diagram \( T_c \) vs. \( x \) for \( \text{Sr}_x\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2 \) as predicted by DΓA \cite{42} and experimentally confirmed \textit{a posteriori} in \cite{52} and \cite{86}. \textit{A priori}, i.e., at the time of the calculation only one experimental data point \cite{51} was available. For such a difficult to determine quantity as the superconducting \( T_c \) and without adjusting parameters, the accuracy is astonishing. The bottom \( x \)-axis shows the Sr-doping and the top \( x \)-axis the calculated hole doping of the 3\( d_{x^2-y^2} \) band according to Fig. 4. Adjusted from \cite{42}.

Given the slight overestimation of \( T_c \) from the very beginning, the agreement with the subsequently obtained experimental \( T_c \) vs. Sr-doping \( x \) phase diagram \cite{52,86} in Fig. 5 is astonishingly good. We further see that the superconducting doping regime also concurs with the doping regime where a one-band Hubbard model description is possible for \( \text{Sr}_x\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2 \), as concluded from a full DFT+DMFT calculation for 5 Ni plus 5 Nd bands. This regime is marked dark blue in Fig. 5 and, as already noted, extends to somewhat larger dopings \cite{42} than for \( \text{Sr}_x\text{La}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2 \) shown in Fig. 5. Concomitant with this is the fact that the experimental superconducting doping range for \( \text{Sr}_x\text{La}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2 \) extends to a larger \( x \) than for \( \text{Sr}_x\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2 \). For dopings larger than the dark blue regime in Fig. 5 two Ni 3\( d \) bands need to be included. As we will show in the next Section, this completely changes the physics and is not favorable for superconductivity. For dopings smaller than the dark blue regime in Fig. 5 on the other hand, the \( \Gamma \) pocket may become relevant for \( \text{Sr}_x\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2 \), as well as its exchange coupling to the 4\( f \) moments.

Our theoretical calculations also reveal ways to enhance \( T_c \). Particularly promising is to enhance the hopping parameter \( t \). This enhances \( T_c \) because (i) \( t \) sets the energy scale of the problem and a larger \( t \) means a larger \( T_c \) if \( U/t, t'/t, t''/t \) and doping are kept fixed. Further the ratio \( U/t = 8 \) for nickelates is not yet optimal. Indeed, (ii) a somewhat smaller ratio \( U/t \) would imply a larger \( T_c \) at fixed \( t \). Since the interaction \( U \) is local it typically varies much more slowly when, e.g., applying compressive strain or pressure and can be assumed to be constant as a first approximation (for secondary effects, see \cite{42,77}). Thus compressive strain or pressure enhance (i) \( t \) and reduce (ii) \( U/t \). Both effects enhance \( T_c \). This prediction made in \cite{42} has been confirmed experimentally: applying pressure of 12 GPa increases \( T_c \) from 18 K to 31 K in \( \text{Sr}_{0.18}\text{Pr}_{0.82}\text{NiO}_2 \) \cite{81}. This is so far the record \( T_c \) for nickelates, and there are yet no signs for a saturation or maximum, indicating even higher \( T_c \)’s are possible at higher pressures.

Alternatives to enhance \( t \) are (1) to substitute the SrTiO\(_3\) substrate by a substrate with smaller in-plane lattice constants since the nickelate film in-plane axis parameters will be locked to that of the substrate. Further, one can (2) replace 3\( d \) Ni by 4\( d \) Pd, i.e. try to synthesize Nd(La)PdO\(_2\) \cite{29}. Since the Pd 4\( d \) orbitals are more extended than the 3\( d \) Ni orbitals this should enhance \( t \) as well.

Next, we turn to the DΓA spectra, more precisely Fermi surfaces, in Fig. 6. Here, beyond quasiparticle renormalizations of DMFT, non-local spin fluctuations can further impact the spectrum. Shown is only the spectral function of the Hubbard model, describing the 3\( d_{x^2-y^2} \) band. Please keep in mind, that on top of the Fermi surface in Fig. 6 there is also a weakly correlated \( \Lambda \) pocket. As one can see in Fig. 6 antiferromagnetic spin-fluctuations lead to a pseudogap at the antinodal momenta \((\pm \pi, 0) (0, \pm \pi)\)
if the filling of the 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ band is close to half-filling. Indeed $n_{3d_{x^2−y^2}} = 0.95$ is the filling for the undoped parent compound NdNiO$_2$ where the $A$- and $Γ$ pocket have taken 5% of the electrons away from the Ni 3$d_{x^2−y^2}$ band. A Sr-doping of 20% is in-between $n_{3d_{x^2−y^2}} = 0.85$ and $n_{3d_{x^2−y^2}} = 0.8$, see Fig. 4. Comparing these theoretical predictions with the experimental Fermi surface, even the $k$-integrated spectrum, is very much sought after. However, here we face the difficulty that the superconducting samples require a SrTiO$_3$ capping layer or otherwise may oxidize out of vacuum. This hinders photoemission spectroscopy (PES) experiments as these are extremely surface sensitive. Hitherto PES is only available without capping layer for Sr$_x$Pr$_{1−x}$NiO$_2$ [10]. These show a surprisingly low spectral density at the Fermi energy despite the metallic behavior of the doped system, raising the question of how similar these films are to the superconducting films.

6 TOPOTACTIC HYDROGEN: TURNING THE ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE UPSIDE DOWN

The fact that it took 20 years from the theoretical prediction of superconductivity in rare earth nickelates to the experimental realization already suggests that the synthesis is far from trivial. This is because nickel has to be in the unusually low oxidation state Ni$^{+1}$. The recipe of success for nickelate superconductors is a two step process [49]: First doped perovskite films Sr(Ca)$_x$Nd(La,Pr)$_{1−x}$NiO$_3$ films are deposited on a SrTiO$_3$ substrate by pulsed laser deposition. Already this first step is far from trivial, not least because the doped material has to be deposited with homogeneous Sr(Ca) concentration. Second, Sr(Ca)$_x$Nd(La,Pr)$_{1−x}$NiO$_3$ needs to be reduced to Sr(Ca)$_x$Nd(La,Pr)$_{1−x}$NiO$_2$. To this end, the reducing agent CaH$_2$ is employed. Here, the problem is that this reduction might be incomplete with excess oxygen remaining or that hydrogen from CaH$_2$ is topotactically intercalated in the Sr(Ca)$_x$Nd(La,Pr)$_{1−x}$NiO$_2$ structure. A particular difficulty is that the light hydrogen is experimentally hard to detect, e.g., evades conventional x-ray structural detection.

In [74], we studied the possibility to intercalate hydrogen, i.e., to synthesize unintendedly Sr$_x$Nd(La)$_{1−x}$NiO$_2$H instead of Sr$_x$Nd(La)$_{1−x}$NiO$_2$. For the reduction of, e.g., SrVO$_3$ with CaH$_2$ it is well established that SrVO$_2$H may be obtained as the end product [39]. Both possible end products are visualized in Fig. 7. The extra H, takes away one more electron from the Ni sites. Hence, we have two holes on the Ni sites which in a local picture are distributed to two orbitals and form a spin-1, due to Hund’s exchange.
Figure 7. The reduction of \( \text{Sr}_x\text{Nd(La)}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_3 \) with \( \text{CaH}_2 \) may result not only in the pursued end product \( \text{Sr}_x\text{Nd(La)}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2 \) but also in \( \text{Sr}_x\text{Nd(La)}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_2\text{H} \), where \( \text{H} \) atoms occupy the vacant \( \text{O} \) sites between the layers. This has dramatic consequences for the electronic structure. In a first, purely local picture, visualized on the right side, we have instead of \( \text{Ni}^{3d^0} \) with one-hole in the \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) orbital two holes in the \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) and \( 3d_{3z^2-r^2} \) orbital forming a local spin-1.

The first question is how susceptible the material is to bind topotactic \( \text{H} \). To answer this question, one can calculate the binding energy \( E(AB\text{NiO}_2) + \frac{1}{2} E(\text{H}_2) - E(AB\text{NiO}_2\text{H}) \) in DFT \([74, 57]\). The result is shown in Fig. 8, which clearly shows that early transition metal oxides are prone to intercalate hydrogen, whereas for cuprates the infinite layer compound without \( \text{H} \) is more stable. Nickelates are in-between. For the undoped compounds \( \text{NdNiO}_2 \), and even a bit more for \( \text{LaNiO}_2 \), it is favorable to intercalate \( \text{H} \). However for the \( \text{Sr} \)-doped nickelates the energy balance is inverted. Here, it is unfavorable to bind hydrogen.

Let us emphasize that this is only the enthalpy balance. In the actual synthesis also the reaction kinetics matter, and the entropy which is large for the \( \text{H}_2 \) gas. Nonetheless, this shows that undoped nickelates are very susceptible to topotactic \( \text{H} \). This possibly means that, experimentally, not a complete \( \text{H} \)-coverage as in \( AB\text{NiO}_2\text{H} \) of Fig. 8 is realized, but some hydrogen may remain in the nickelates because of an incomplete reduction with \( \text{CaH}_2 \). Indeed hydrogen remainders have later been detected experimentally by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, and they have even been employed to analyze the antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations \([12]\).

Now that we have established that remainders of hydrogen can be expected for nickelates at low doping, the question is how this affects the electronic structure. The local picture of Fig. 7 already suggested a very different electronic configuration. This is further corroborated by DFT+DMFT calculations for \( \text{LaNiO}_2\text{H} \) presented in Fig. 9. Here, the DFT band structure shows a metallic behavior with two orbitals, \( \text{Ni}^{3d^8} \) and \( 3d_{3z^2-r^2} \), crossing the Fermi level. There are no rare-earth electron pockets any longer. Thus we have an undoped \( \text{Ni}^{3d^8} \) configuration without \( \text{Sr} \)-doping. If electronic correlations are included in DMFT, the DFT bands split into two sets of Hubbard bands. Above the Fermi level one can identify the upper \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) and \( 3d_{3z^2-r^2} \) Hubbard band below the flat \( f \) bands in Fig. 9 with quite some broadening because of
the electronic correlations. The lower Hubbard bands intertwine with the Ni $t_{2g}$ orbitals below the Fermi energy.

Even if we dope LaNiO$_2$H this electronic structure is not particularly promising for superconductivity. First, it is not two-dimensional because of the $3d_{z^2-r^2}$ orbitals, which make the system more three-dimensional. More specifically, there is a considerable hopping process from Ni $3d_{z^2-r^2}$ via H to the Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ on the vertically adjacent layer, as evidenced in Fig. 9 by the DFT dispersion of this band in the $\Gamma$-Z direction, the other $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ band is (as expected) flat in this direction. Second, the tendency to form local magnetic moments of spin-1 counteracts the formation of Cooper pairs from two spin-1/2’s. Hence, altogether, we expect topotactic H to prevent high-temperature superconductivity.

7 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have discussed the physics of nickelate superconductors from the perspective of a one-band Hubbard model for the Ni $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ band plus an $A$ pocket. Because of symmetry, this $A$ pocket does not hybridize with the $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ band and merely acts as a decoupled electron reservoir. Hence, once the filling of the $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ band is calculated as a function of Sr or Ca doping in Sr(Ca)$_x$Nd(La,Pr)$_{1-x}$NiO$_2$, we can, for many aspects, concentrate on the physics of the thus doped Hubbard model. This includes antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations and the onset of superconductivity. Other physical properties such as transport and the Hall conductivity depend as a matter of course also on the $A$ pocket. This is in stark

Figure 8. Binding energy for topotactical H as calculated by non-spin-polarized DFT and spin-polarized DFT+U for various transition metals $B$ (x-axis). Positive binding energies indicate $AB$NiO$_2$H is energetically favored; for negative binding energies $AB$NiO$_2$ is more stable. The data for non-spin-polarized DFT calculations are from [74].

Figure 9. DFT (white lines) and DMFT (color bar) $k$-resolved spectral function for LaNiO$_2$H. Here, a model with La-d+La-f+Ni-d was employed, which is beyond the Ni-d only and La-d+Ni-d models shown in [74].
contrast to the cuprates, where the oxygen $p$ orbitals are much closer to the Fermi level so that we have a charge-transfer insulator that needs to be modeled by the more complex Emery model.

The one-band Hubbard model picture for nickelates was put forward early on for nickelates [84, 29, 37, 42] and its proper doping including correlation effects has been calculated in [42]. This picture has been confirmed by many experimental observations so far. The Nd $4f$ states are from the theoretical perspective irrelevant because they form a local spin and barely hybridize with the $3d_{x^2−y^2}$ band. This has been confirmed experimentally by the observation of superconductivity in Sr(Ca)$_x$La$_{1−x}$NiO$_2$. The minor importance of the other Ni $3d$ orbitals, in particular the $3d_{x^2−r^2}$ orbital, is indicated through the careful analysis [28] of RIXS data [27, 56]. Not confirmed experimentally is hitherto the prediction that the $\Gamma$ pocket is shifted above the Fermi level in the superconducting doping regime.

Strong evidence for the one-band Hubbard model picture is the prediction of the superconducting phase diagram [42], confirmed experimentally in [52] and [86]. A further prediction was the increase of $T_c$ with pressure or compressive strain [42] which was subsequently found in experiment with a record $T_c = 31$ K for nickelates under pressure [81]. The strength of antiferromagnetic spin-fluctuations as obtained in RIXS [56] also roughly agrees with that of the calculation [42]. Altogether, this gives us quite some confidence in the one-band Hubbard model scenario, which even allowed for a rough calculation of $T_c$. Notwithstanding, further theoretical calculations, in particular including non-local correlations also in a realistic multi-orbital setting [67, 15, 76], are eligible. On the experimental side more detailed, e.g., k-resolved information is desirable as are further close comparisons between experiment and theory.

A good analysis of the quality of the samples is also mandatory, especially against the background that superconducting nickelates have been extremely difficult to synthesize. Incomplete oxygen reduction and topotactic hydrogen [74, 57] are theoretically expected to be present because this is energetically favored, at least for low Sr-doping. This leads to two holes in two orbitals forming a high-spin state and a three dimensional electronic structure, thus obstructing the intrinsic physics of superconducting nickelates.
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