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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Postoperative pain is the most common complaint in patients who underwent orthopedic surgery. Regarding with the severity of pain, orthopedic patients suffered more than non-orthopedic patients in the immediate post-operative period. Therefore, pain management is crucial for better patient outcome. Lumbar plexus (LB) and three-in-one (3IN1) nerve blocks have been routinely practiced as pain management techniques in the study area but the analgesic efficacy was not studied yet. Thus, this study was aimed to compare the analgesic efficacy of the LBP versus 3IN1B as postoperative pain management after thigh orthopedic surgery under spinal anesthesia.

Method: An institutional-based prospective cohort study was conducted from October 10, 2020 to March 30, 2021 at the University comprehensive specialized hospital. Non-probability convenient sampling was used to select participants in both groups. The time to first analgesic request, severity of pain and total analgesia consumption within the first postoperative 24 h were measured.

Result: The mean and standard deviation to seek the first analgesia request time was 11.55 ± 2.82 hr and 13.35 ± 2.58 hr (p = 0.07) in patients who received LPB and 3IN1B respectively. Pain severity at rest and on movement was also comparable. The total tramadol consumption was 67.65 ± 27.20 mg and 70.59 ± 37.19 mg (p = 0.71), while total Diclofenac consumption was 63.23 ± 45.74 mg and 44.88 ± 34.72 mg (p = 0.07) in LPB and 3IN1B groups respectively.

Conclusion: The study showed that there was no significant difference in the time to first analgesia request, postoperative pain, both at rest and movement and total analgesic consumption, between the LPB and 3IN1B.

1. Introduction

Regarding the severity of pain, orthopedic patients suffered more than non-orthopedic patients in the immediate post-operative period [1]. Therefore, Pain management is crucial after orthopedic surgery [2]. Furthermore, Postoperative pain is a common complaint in patients who underwent lower limb orthopedic surgery [3,4]. Suboptimal postoperative pain management has also been associated with the development of chronic pain, economic burden, delay recovery, immobilization leading to deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, myocardial ischemia and stroke which can further delay in hospital stay [5].

There are many options of lower limb pain management, specifically the thigh orthopedic pain management, including epidural, posterior and anterior lumbar plexus block and multimodal analgesia [6–8]. But optimal pain management is still controversial. However, many scholars suggested that psosas compartment nerve blocks provide effective analgesic options as multimodal approaches by decreasing opioid and others analgesic consumption and related side effects [9,10].

The LPB and 3IN1B were first introduced by Winnie [11]. The LPB (from 1st lumbar nerve to 4th lumbar nerve) is used to block the iliohypogastric nerve, ilioinguinal nerve, genitofemoral nerve, femoral nerve, obturator nerve, and lateral cutaneous nerve of the thigh [12]. Whereas, The 3IN1B is also the technique to block the femoral nerve, the lateral cutaneous nerve of the thigh, and obturator nerves of the medial thigh in a single needle insertion point [13].

There are still different controversies comparing the efficacy of single shot LPB and 3IN1B for lower limb orthopedic surgery [14–18]. Furthermore, those blocks have routinely practiced pain management...
techniques for most of lower limb orthopedic surgery such as open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF), wiring of knee fractures, intra-medullary nailing, Sequestrectomy, screw as well as plating femoral fractures [13,19]. Due to the availability of a peripheral nerve stimulator and insulated needle, those Single regional blocks are also practiced in the study area but the efficacy was not investigated.

In addition, Practice of regional anesthesia and analgesia is relatively low in Ethiopia [20,21]. Studies were also insufficient showing the efficacy of LPB versus 3IN1B in the setup as well as in Ethiopia, Therefore, this study mainly aimed to compare the analgesic efficacy of single shot LPB versus 3IN1B for postoperative pain control of thigh orthopedic surgery at the University comprehensive specialized hospital.

2. Methodology

2.1. Study design, period and area

Institutional based prospective cohort study was conducted from October 10, 2020 to March 30, 2021 at the University comprehensive specialized hospital. Ethical issue was approved and obtained from college of medicine and health science ethical review committee. The article has been registered with unique identifying number (UIN) of researchregistry7288. The study has been reported in line with the STROCSS criteria [22]. Informed consent was taken from each study clients after disclosing the purpose of their involvement and not harmed by their participation.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Adult Patients with elective thigh orthopedic surgery operated under spinal anesthesia, post-operative LPB or 3IN1B, age between 18 and 60 years, ASA I and ASA II were included. While patients with loss of consciousness, psychiatric patients, unable to communicate and understand, patients who were sedated and given further analgesia in the intraoperative time, spinal anesthesia other than bupivacaine, multiple trauma, patients with chronic pain or chronic pain analgesia usage and hospital discharge before 24hr were also excluded in this study.

2.3. Sample size determination

In a study done regarding, LPB vs. 3IN1B with bupivacaine, the mean duration of analgesia (mean ± SD) was 20.6 ± 5.7 and 15.8 ± 6.4 respectively [23]. Assuming 1:1 ratio in the two groups with the power of 90% and level of significance $\alpha = 0.05$, the sample size was calculated by the formula of;

$$N = \frac{(\alpha + p)^2(\text{sd}1^2 + \text{sd}2^2)}{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2}$$

$$N = 1.96 + 1.28^2(5.72^2 + 6.42^2)/(20.6^2 - 15.8^2) = 33.47 \approx 34$$

Assuming none response rate as 15%, final sample size for each 39.1 = 40.

Therefore, the total sample size was 80.

2.4. Sampling technique

Non-probability convenient sampling was conducted to select participants in both groups. Odd or even numbering method was used to allocate the study participants in either group. We took a proportional number of participants in LPB and 3IN1B (40 in each group) until the required calculated sample size of 80 was fulfilled.

2.5. Study variables

Time to first analgesia request, the severity of postoperative pain with and without movement and total analgesia consumption were the outcome variables of the study. Whereas, sociodemographic variables (age, sex, weight, height, BMI), ASA status, type of surgery, duration of surgery, duration of sensory recovery after spinal anesthesia, post-operative analgesia, and volume of spinal anesthesia were independent variables in this study.

2.6. Data collection procedure

Before spinal anesthesia, standard monitoring such as pulse oximetry, electrocardiography (ECG) and noninvasive blood pressure (NIBP) were attached and spinal anesthesia was given with aseptic technique. After the operation, either LPB or 3in1B was done by the responsible anesthetist.

Regarding the technique of blocks, the clients were in the lateral position with the side to be blocked up and lumbar spine flexed during LPB. Landmarks was the point of intersection between a line joining the upper border of the iliac crest and posterior superior iliac spine (PSIS). At this point the non-insulated needle was inserted perpendicularly to the skin. If bone is contacted (transverse process) withdraw and redirected caudally with maximum of 20 mm until sustained contraction of the femoral quadriceps muscle at 0.5 mA. After gaining this contraction, single shot 30 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine was administered. While, the 3IN1B was also performed using the land mark of 1.5 cm below the inguinal ligament and 1.5 cm lateral to the femoral artery after putting the patient supine position. The needle was inserted perpendicularly to the skin and after obtaining sustained contraction of femoral quadriceps muscle at 0.5 mA, single shot 30 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine was given.

The clients were informed to ask pain killer while they started to feel pain at any time, so that the assigned nurses could manage. This time was recorded as the first request of analgesia. Intravenous tramadol and intramuscular Diclofenac were administered in both groups of patients feeling moderate to severe post-operative pain.

The time to first analgesia request in the first 24hr was the primary outcome while, the postoperative pain severity and the total analgesic consumption were the secondary outcomes. Severity of pain was assessed with numerical rating scale in which patients were asked to rate their pain on a scale of 0–10, in line with no pain, mild pain, moderate pain, and worst pain experienced.

The Pain was assessed by data collectors at postoperative 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 12 h, and 24 h in post-anesthesia care unit and orthopedic ward or any ward where they were admitted to.

The data were collected by two trained anesthetists with the questioner prepared in English version and translated into Amharic language (local as well as official language). The investigators of the study couldn’t decide about the type of block given to the patient, but the responsible anesthetist can choose the type of nerve block according to her/his preference. The investigators stayed inside the operating room and observed the type of nerve block done by the responsible anesthetist and put specific codes on the patient chart. The type of nerve block was recorded as the first request of analgesia. Intravenous tramadol and intramuscular Diclofenac were administered in both groups of patients feeling moderate to severe post-operative pain.

2.7. Data processing and analysis

After completion of the data collection, entered into Epi-data software for checking and cleaning of errors. Then, transferred into SPSS (Statistical package of social science).
Analysis was done by using SPSS version 20 statistical package. Shapiro–Wilk normality test was used to check the normality of the data. In this study, normally distributed data were analyzed using Student’s independent t-test, and then the result was presented as mean ± SD (standard deviation). Whereas, non-normally distributed variables were analyzed by Mann–Whitney U test and the result was expressed as median with (interquartile range). The comparisons of categorical parameters were analyzed using the chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test as required and expressed in numbers and percentage. Finally, P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Result

3.1. Socio demographic characteristics

A total of 80 participants were enrolled in this study. Of those, 40 patients were receiving LPB while the other 40 patients were given 3IN1B. The mean age of the patients was (mean ± SD) 27. 40 ± 9. 45 years (age range 18–55). The demographic characteristics of the participants (weight, height, BMI, age, dose of spinal and ASA physical status) and surgical duration were comparable between the two groups. In this study, 77% of the 3IN1B group and 82% of the LPB were males (Table 1). In addition, 35% of the 3IN1B and 38% of the LPB groups were ASA I as well as 65% of the 3IN1B and 62% of the LPB groups were ASA II patients. The mean duration of sensory recovery of spinal anesthesia after the end of the procedure when assessing the contralateral leg was comparable between the groups. Regarding the hemodynamic status, there was a statistically significant difference in mean arterial pressure (MAP) at 2hr, 4hr, and 6hr (Table 2), but pulse rate (PR) was comparable between the two study groups (Table 3).

Type of operation and type of block is seen in (Table 4).

In this prospective cohort study, both single shot LPB and 3IN1B were comparable in the mean time to 1st request of analgesia, postoperative pain score both at movement and at rest and total analgesic consumption for the first 24 hr after thigh orthopedic surgery. The result of this study was comparable with different studies done previously [14, 15,24]. This study was also supported by another study of continuous catheter-based LPB and 3IN1B. However, our finding was different with another study concluding that LPB is more effective than 3IN1B, regarding total analgesic consumption, it was higher in the 3IN1B group than LPB, but similar the mean time to the 1st request of analgesia [18]. The possible explanation might be the previous study combined sciatic block in both groups for intra-operation anesthesia and postoperative

| Character                  | LPB(n = 40) | 3IN1B(n = 40) | p-value |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Age(years)                 | 27.27 ± 8.64 | 25.85 ± 7.55 | 0.41   |
| Sex (Male/Female)          | 33.7       | 31.9        | 0.55   |
| Height (cm)                | 172.88 ± 6.98 | 171.71 ± 5.9 | 0.45   |
| Weight (kg)                | 64.64 ± 7.18 | 62.29 ± 9.53 | 0.25   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                | 21.54 ± 1.94 | 21.02 ± 3.05 | 0.4    |
| Spinal dose/mL             | 3.17 ± 0.30 | 3.19 ± 0.32 | 0.82   |
| Duration of surgery(hr)    | 2.76 ± 0.70 | 2.8 ± 0.88  | 0.84   |
| Duration of SR of SA after operation | 1.6 ± 0.8 | 1.75 ± 0.5 | 0.3    |

Abbreviation: LPB, lumbar plexus block; 3IN1B, three -in-one block; kg, kilogram; m² meter square; cm, centimeter; milliliter; hr, hour; SR, sensory recovery.

| Post-operative mean arterial pressure (mmHg) in the two groups after regional block, (N = 80). |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Post-operative time | LPB(n = 40) | 3IN1B(n = 40) | P-value |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| 2 h                 | 72.20 ± 13.17 | 80.56 ± 11.38 | 0.007  |
| 4 h                 | 74.44 ± 10.30 | 80.46 ± 9.5  | 0.015  |
| 6 h                 | 76.70 ± 8.84 | 83.41 ± 9.90 | 0.004  |
| 8 h                 | 77.70 ± 7.34 | 83.12 ± 9.23 | 0.01   |
| 12 h                | 80.17 ± 8.35 | 83.20 ± 6.2  | 0.17   |
| 24 h                | 81.47 ± 7.88 | 81.06 ± 8.86 | 0.84   |

Abbreviation: LPB, lumbar plexus block; 3IN1B, three -in-one block.

| Post-operative pulse rate (beats per minute) in the two groups after regional block (N = 80). |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Post-operative time | LPB(n = 40) | 3IN1B(n = 40) | P-value |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| 2 h                 | 79.73 ± 11.45 | 80.53 ± 10.29 | 0.76   |
| 4 h                 | 80.56 ± 11.22 | 81.47 ± 10.18 | 0.73   |
| 6 h                 | 83.41 ± 9.65 | 83.26 ± 8.70 | 0.95   |
| 8 h                 | 83.38 ± 6.7  | 84.18 ± 8.9  | 0.71   |
| 12 h                | 82 ± 9.52   | 85 ± 9.23   | 0.17   |
| 24 h                | 82.79 ± 9.42 | 85.23 ± 9.07 | 0.28   |

Abbreviation: LPB, lumbar plexus block; 3IN1B, three -in-one block.

| Showing the relationship between the type of operation and type of nerve block, (N = 80). |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Type of operation | LPB(n = 40) | 3IN 1B(n = 40) |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| Anterograde IMN   | 12         | 10          |
| Retrograde BM     | 9          | 10          |
| Plating           | 5          | 3           |
| IMN correction    | 1          | 1           |
| Femoral neck fixation | 3 | 4          |
| ORIF              | 8          | 10          |
| Sequestrectomy    | 1          | 3           |

Abbreviation: ORIF, open reduction and internal fixation; MN, intramedullary nailing; LPB, lumbar plexus block; 3 IN 1B, three in one block.

| Time to 1st request of analgesia and postoperative total analgesia consumption (N = 80). |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Character | LPB(n = 40) | 3IN1B(n = 40) | p-value |
|-----------|------------|-------------|--------|
| 1st analgesic request time(hr)             | 11.55 ± 2.82 | 13.35 ± 2.60 | 0.07   |
| Total tramadol consumption (mg)             | 67.65 ± 27.20 | 70.59 ± 37.19 | 0.71   |
| Total diclofenac consumption (mg)           | 63.23 ± 45.74 | 44.88 ± 34.72 | 0.07   |

Abbreviation: hr, hour; LPB, lumbar plexus block; 3IN1B, three -in-one block.

| Postoperative NRS at rest over the first postoperative 24 hr, (N = 80). |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Post-operative time | LPB(n = 40) | 3 IN 1B(n = 40) | P-value |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| 2 h                 | 0(0–0)     | 0(0–0)     | 0.89   |
| 4 h                 | 0(0–0)     | 0(0–1)     | 0.48   |
| 6 h                 | 0(0–1)     | 0(0–1.25)  | 0.27   |
| 8 h                 | 1(0–2)     | 1(0–2)     | 0.52   |
| 12 h                | 1(1–2.5)   | 1.5(1–3)   | 0.62   |
| 24 h                | 2(2–3)     | 2(1.75–3)  | 0.09   |

Abbreviation: LPB, lumbar plexus block; 3 IN 1B, three in one block; NRS, numerical rating scale.

Table 2

Table 3

Table 4

Table 5

Table 6
Table 7
Postoperative NRS on movement (physiotherapy or active movement of the foot) over the first postoperative 24 hr, (N = 80).

| Post-operative time | LPB(n = 40) | 3IN1B(n = 40) | P-value |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|--------|
| 2 h                 | (0.0–0.0)   | (0.0–0.25)    | 0.52   |
| 4 h                 | (0.0–2.0)   | (0.0–1.25)    | 0.90   |
| 6 h                 | (0.0–3.0)   | (0.0–3.0)     | 0.42   |
| 8 h                 | (1.5–3.0)   | (2.0–3.0)     | 0.63   |
| 12 h                | (3.0–4.0)   | (3.0–4.25)    | 0.31   |
| 24 h                | (3.275–4.0) | (3.3–4.0)     | 0.57   |

Abbreviation: LPB, lumbar plexus block; 3IN1B, three -in-one block; NRS, numerical rating scale.

This finding was also different from the previous study concluding that femoral nerve block has no effect compared with LPB after total hip arthroplasty [25]. The reason might be the hip is innervated by the lumbosacral trunk and branches of the lumbar plexus before forming the femoral to form the femoral, lateral femoral, and obturator nerves. However, comparison of 3IN1B vs. IV morphine for pain management of fractured hip showed that significantly decreased total analgesia consumption and NRS in the 3IN1B group [26].

Another study conducted by Mohammed Abid Ziyauddin Chauhan regarding LPB versus 3IN1B for post orthopedic surgery, lower limb pain management stated that both blocks were similar analgesic efficacy. The mean 1st analgesia request time was 9.10 (±1.52) in 3IN1B and 9.90 (±1.21) in LPB [27], which was similar to this study finding.

In contrast to our findings, the study done by Imbelloni and his colleagues comparing psoas compartment block with inguinal paravascular block found that psoas compartment block was more effective regarding time to 1st analgesia request, total analgesia consumption and pain reduction quality [23]. The mean duration of the analgesia was 20.6 ± 5.7 h in the psoas compartment block and 15.8 ± 6.4 h in inguinal paravascular. This difference to our results might be that the previous investigators used 40 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine for both groups.

The study showed that there was no statistically significant difference between LPB and 3IN1B in the time to 1st request of analgesia, postoperative pain score at each time point both at rest and movement and total analgesic consumption.

Therefore, according to the current study, we recommended to use either LPB or 3IN1B for effective pain control after thigh orthopedic surgery. We also suggest further studies with longer postoperative follow-up involving the above-mentioned limitations.

5. Limitations of the study

The study is observational, Patients were not randomly allocated even though there were homogenous comparable groups. Not assessed regarding, Pre-operative pain, pre-operation analgesic medication, small sample size, complications related to blocks and shorter duration of postoperative follow-up. There was also covid 19 during data collection period which might affect the quality of information provided by participants.

6. Conclusions and recommendations

The study showed that there was no statistically significant difference between LPB and 3IN1B in the time to 1st request of analgesia, postoperative pain score at each time point both at rest and movement and total analgesic consumption.

Therefore, according to the current study, we recommended to use either LPB or 3IN1B for effective pain control after thigh orthopedic surgery. We also suggest further studies with longer postoperative follow-up involving the above-mentioned limitations.
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