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ABSTRACT

Context. Most of the modelling of the interstellar dust infrared emission spectrum is done by assuming some variations around a single-temperature grey-body approximation. For example, the foreground modelling of Planck mission maps involves a single dust temperature, $T$, along a given line-of-sight with a single emissivity index, $\beta$. The two parameters are then fitted and therefore variable from one line-of-sight to the other.

Aims. Our aim is to go beyond that modelling in an economical way.

Methods. We model the dust spectrum with a temperature distribution around the mean value and show that only the second temperature moment matters. We advocate the use of the temperature logarithm as the proper variable.

Results. If the interstellar medium is not too heterogeneous, there is a universal analytical spectrum, which is derived here, that goes beyond the grey-body assumption. We show how the cosmic microwave background radiatively interacts with the dust spectrum (a non-negligible corrective term at millimetre wavelengths). Finally, we construct a universal ladder of discrete temperatures, which gives a minimal and fast description of dust emission spectra as measured by photometric mapping instruments that lends itself to an almost linear fitting. This data modelling can include contributions from the cosmic infrared background fluctuations.
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1. Introduction

When modelling the interstellar dust emission it is usually assumed that its spectrum is close to that produced by a grey body. This assumption has been used over the last 50 years. Usually, the model has been sufficient to explain the dust spectral energy distribution (SED) along a given line-of-sight, be it in the diffuse interstellar medium (ISM; e.g. Hensley & Draine 2021), in molecular clouds, in star-forming regions, or in galaxies (Galli\-n\-ao et al. 2018). This SED is determined by a few broadband photometric measurements with rather large uncertainties (statistical but also systematic), so to the first order a single temperature distribution (SED) along a given line-of-sight, be it in the diffuse interstellar radiation field (ISRF), as seen by a single slab of attenuating dust placed in front of the ISRF will produce grains at decreasing temperature $T_d$ as we enter the slab with opacity $\tau$. The mass of grains at a given temperature is thus $\frac{dm}{dT} = \frac{4\pi \rho \alpha}{kT \pi^2}$, an inverse power law of the temperature between the standard value, $T_0$, and the value at the centre of the slab (hence a linear law for the temperature logarithm).

In order to have a more physically motivated modelling of dust emission, we can assume that the main dust component has different temperatures along the line-of-sight. Studies have shown that the interstellar radiation field (ISRF), as seen by a grain of dust, can be fluctuating due to shadowing or screening effects. That grain integrates an absorption of the ISRF over $4\pi$ steradians; therefore, the average temperature should be quite stable, though a fluctuation along that average is unavoidable.

For example, a single slab of attenuating dust placed in front of the ISRF will produce grains at decreasing temperature $T_d = T_0e^{-(\beta+1)\tau}$ as we enter the slab with opacity $\tau$. The mass of grains at a given temperature is thus $\frac{dm}{dT} = \frac{4\pi \rho \alpha}{kT \pi^2}$, an inverse power law of the temperature between the standard value, $T_0$, and the value at the centre of the slab (hence a linear law for the temperature logarithm).

Moreover, grains of different sizes will have slightly different temperatures. While moving forward in complexity, we must not forget that the photometric data for one pixel on the sky are scarce (e.g. nine values for observations by the Planck mission in intensity). Therefore, we must find a flexible modelling without too many parameters. It is also apparent that in many cases a single-temperature and emissivity index model leads to false anti-correlations between these parameters (Shetty et al. 2009).

In this paper we investigate how to cope with the variability in the dust temperature along the line-of-sight using Planck function derivatives. Then we show how to take this effect through a photometric mapping instrument. Considerations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) and the cosmic infrared background (CIB) are made in this study.
2. The model

The simplest way to deal with a small temperature range is to assume the dust temperature is distributed around a central value, $T_{m}$. For reasons discussed below, we prefer to deal with the logarithm variable $t \equiv \ln T$ (the normalisation is irrelevant in practice as $t$ will only be used in a differential way). We can think of the probability distribution as a normalised Gaussian function around a mean value, $t_{m} = \ln T_{m}$, with a dispersion, $\sigma$, for a given line-of-sight:

$$p(t)dt = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{(t - t_{m})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right) dt.$$  \hfill (3)

A single dimensionless parameter, $\sigma$, encapsulates the logarithm temperature spread, and we assume $\sigma \ll 1$. The Gaussian assumption maximises the lack of information on the source of the spread, but it will be shown that what matters is $\sigma$ as the second-order moment of the distribution. The question becomes about the emission spectrum of such a superposition of modified blackbodies. In the following subsections, we develop the computation of such a spectrum using the derivatives of the Planck function.

2.1. Planck derivatives

In order to simplify the computation, we define the dimensionless reduced frequency as

$$x \equiv \frac{\nu}{kT} = \frac{\nu}{\hbar}$$

and the composite constant as $P \equiv \frac{2h}{k}$. As such, one can reformulate Eq. 2 as

$$B_{\nu}(T) = P\nu^{3}f(x),$$ \hfill (4)

with

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{e^{x} - 1},$$ \hfill (5)

which is known as the photon occupation number.

One can then compute the first and second derivatives of the Planck function with the temperature logarithm as

$$\frac{dB_{\nu}}{dt} = P\nu^{3}g(x),$$ \hfill (6)

with

$$g(x) = -\frac{df}{d\ln x} = \frac{x e^{x}}{(e^{x} - 1)^{2}},$$ \hfill (7)

and

$$\frac{d^{2}B_{\nu}}{dt^{2}} = P\nu^{3}g(x)(h(x) - 1),$$ \hfill (8)

with

$$\frac{d^{2}f}{d\ln x^{2}} = g(x)(h(x) - 1),$$ \hfill (9)

and

$$h(x) = x \coth \frac{x}{2} = x e^{x} + 1 e^{x} - 1.$$ \hfill (10)

The Planck derivative functions are displayed in Appendix 1.

2.2. Emission spectrum from a Gaussian temperature distribution

We now proceed to combine the temperature spread, as written in Eq. 3, with the Planck function to get the dust emission spectrum:

$$I_{\nu} = \tau_{\nu} \int dt p(t)B_{\nu}(T),$$ \hfill (11)

where $\tau_{\nu}$ is the opacity along the line-of-sight. We now make a Taylor expansion of the Planck function around a reference logarithm temperature, $t_{0} = \ln T_{0}$:

$$I_{\nu} = \tau_{\nu}B_{\nu}(t_{0}) + \int dt p(t)(t - t_{0}) \frac{dB_{\nu}}{dt}(T_{0}) + \frac{1}{2} \int dt p(t)(t - t_{0})^{2} \frac{d^{2}B_{\nu}}{dt^{2}}(T_{0}).$$ \hfill (12)

We distinguish the reference temperature, $T_{0}$, from the Gaussian distribution mean temperature as defined by $\ln T_{m} \equiv < \ln T >$ in order to be as general as possible. The integral over the temperature can readily be separated from the frequency dependence such that we obtain, by defining $\Delta t \equiv t_{m} - t_{0}$,

$$I_{\nu} = \tau_{\nu}B_{\nu}(t_{0}) + \Delta t \frac{dB_{\nu}}{dt}(T_{0}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta t^{2} + \sigma^{2}\right) \frac{d^{2}B_{\nu}}{dt^{2}}(T_{0}),$$ \hfill (13)

which, if $T_{0} = T_{m}$, simplifies into

$$I_{\nu} = \tau_{\nu}B_{\nu}(T_{0}) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \frac{d^{2}B_{\nu}}{dt^{2}}(T_{0}).$$ \hfill (14)

This last expression shows how the dispersion in logarithmic temperature translates into the addition of a new spectrum. This corresponds to the second derivative of the Planck spectrum (Eq. 8) times half the square of the dispersion (and times the emissivity).
The first-order derivative function disappears in the case of any symmetrical temperature distributions like the Gaussian one. The three spectral components of Eq. 13 are displayed in the example of Fig. 1. While the component of index 1 can be of both signs, the component of index 2 is always positive for all frequencies and mostly influences the Wien part of the spectrum. The three components have the same Rayleigh-Jeans spectral behaviour in \( \propto v^2 T_0 \). The analogy to the Sunyaev-Zel’dovich (SZ) effect, a CMB spectral distortion in clusters of galaxies, is given in Appendix 2. The complete formalism of the interaction of a temperature distribution with the Planck function was developed by Stebbins (2007). He shows that logarithm temperature moments are the key quantities to know, irrespective of the exact distribution, here \( p(t) \), as can be seen in our Eq. 12. Pitrou & Stebbins (2014) have argued for \( t \equiv \ln T \) as the proper variable to use in the context of the CMB distortions (including relativity Lorentz frame invariance). We think that there is an additional reason for that use that is linked to the way photometric data are spread in frequency (see below).

2.3. Finding the underlying distribution of dust temperature from photometry

We now see the interest in the decomposition of the dust emission spectrum into the Planck function and its two derivatives (Eq. 13). With only a few parameters, we can describe quite different situations. Photometric measurements are used to deduce dust properties, abundance, and luminosity. They are obtained from broadband detectors: photoconductors, bolometers, and kinetic inductance detectors (KIDs). The wide of the band from broadband detectors: photoconductors, bolometers, and kinetic inductance detectors (KIDs) effect, a CMB spectral distortion in clusters of galaxies, is given in Appendix 2. The complete formalism of the interaction of a temperature distribution with the Planck function was developed by Stebbins (2007). He shows that logarithm temperature moments are the key quantities to know, irrespective of the exact distribution, here \( p(t) \), as can be seen in our Eq. 12. Pitrou & Stebbins (2014) have argued for \( t \equiv \ln T \) as the proper variable to use in the context of the CMB distortions (including relativity Lorentz frame invariance). We think that there is an additional reason for that use that is linked to the way photometric data are spread in frequency (see below).

We now want to take into account the dominant background, its temperature distribution, which is solely characterised by two parameters: the logarithm mean temperature shift, \( \Delta T_l = T_l^0 - T_l \), and the dispersion, \( \sigma_l T_l \). In order to compare the model with observations, we must take into account the passage of light through an instrument, by combining Eq. 18 and Eq. 16. For that purpose, we normalise the opacity \( \tau_l \) to a reference opacity, \( \tau_l \) (say, at a given frequency). We can then define three series of coefficients, which describe the coupling between the dust temperature grid and the set of photometric bands:

\[
K'_{0,n,l} \equiv \left( G_n^0, \left[ P_v^3 \frac{\Delta T_l}{\tau_l} g(x_l) \right] \right),
\]

\[
K_{1,n,l} \equiv \left( G_n^1, \left[ P_v^3 \frac{\Delta T_l}{\tau_l} g(x_l) h(x_l) - 1 \right] \right),
\]

\[
K_{2,n,l} \equiv \left( G_n^2, \left[ P_v^3 \frac{\Delta T_l}{\tau_l} g(x_l) h(x_l) - 1 \right] \right).
\]

and we obtain the photometric expectation in band \( n \):

\[
I_n = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \tau_l [K'_{0,n,l} + \Delta T_l K_{1,n,l} + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta T_l^2 + \sigma_l^2)] K_{2,n,l}.
\]

We now need a reglission with respect to the first temperature bin at \( T_{CMB} \), which is going to modify \( K' \).

2.4. The radiative transfer of the CMB through dust

We now want to take into account the dominant background, which goes through interstellar dust before reaching the instruments. It happens that dust, although a very thin layer with opacities much smaller than 1, has a non-negligible impact on the CMB and vice versa. The radiative transfer equation is solved for a single-temperature dust to get

\[
I_c = B_\nu(T_{CMB}) + (1 - e^{-\tau_c}) \left( B_\nu(T) - B_\nu(T_{CMB}) \right),
\]

which can be rewritten, for the optically thin case, as the real modified blackbody (RMBB) law:

\[
I_{rd} = \tau_c \left( B_\nu(T_d) - B_\nu(T_{CMB}) \right),
\]

This study was reported to the Planck mission consortium in 2014 but was never published.
where we have suppressed the CMB monopole term, which is
cancelled out in the measurement by the current differential ex-
periments, except for the Far Infrared Absolute Spectrophotom-
eter (FIRAS) on the Cosmic Background Explorer (COBE).
The RMBB law is important in the millimetre domain. For example,
at 100 GHz the correction amounts to a 7% downward effect for
18 K dust, irrespective of the emissivity law. The RMBB can be
compared with the occultation of the CMB by planets, which is
taken into account in the calibration of cold planets. Equation 21
is equivalent to saying that in Eq. 20 the first term, $\chi^2_{0,l}$, is spe-
cial and has to be modified into $K_{0,l,n} \equiv K_{0,l,n} - K_{0,l,0}$ so that very
cold dust (at the CMB temperature) becomes invisible (a con-
sequence of Kirchhoff’s law). The influence of the CMB on the
submillimetre spectrum of high-redshift galaxies has also been
noted by da Cunha et al. (2013). Here we show that, indepen-
dent of redshift, the CMB has to be explicitly included in the
dust SED.

We can now rewrite Eq. 20 as

$$I_n = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \tau_l [K_{0,l,n} + \Delta l K_{1,l,n} + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^2 + \sigma^2_l) K_{2,l,n}] .$$

(22)

Although $K_{0,l,0} = 0$, very cold dust can still manifest itself with
the other two CMB terms: $K_{1,l,0}$ and $K_{2,l,0}$. We note that it is
more likely coming from the CIB than from interstellar dust.
Elfgren & Désert (2004) have made a case for part of the CIB
being in the spectral form encapsulated by the coefficients $K_{1,n,0}$.

3. Discussion

We think that the result described in Eq. 22 is general enough
to encompass the description of many dust environments. It in-
cludes the modified blackbody (MBB) case if there is only one l
component, without dispersion ($\sigma_l = 0$). The data at hand in pho-
tometric bands $I_n^{\text{meas}}$ (with the associated error bar $\sigma_n^{\text{meas}}$) can be
easily compared with this model. If we assume a dust emissivity
law (identical or not for different l components), the coefficients $K$
can be pre-computed once and for all, thus making the fitting
process very fast for many lines of sight:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_n \left( I_n^{\text{meas}} - \sum_{l=0}^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{2} c_{i,l} K_{i,l,n} \right)^2 / \sigma_n^{\text{meas}}^2 .$$

(23)

If we keep only the first two coefficients, $K_{0,l,n}$ and $K_{1,l,n}$, the fit is
strictly linear, with the condition that $c_{0,l}$ must be positive. The
number of components, as described by the number of useful l
components, must be tuned in the process in order to keep $\Delta l \equiv $
$\Delta l^0 - l_j \leq \frac{l_{2^j}}{l_{2^j}}$ small. In that sense, the process is parsimonious:
finding one component that is best at minimising the $\chi^2$
and testing to see if a second one is really needed, then iterating.
If we add the temperature distribution with $K_{2,l,n}$ and $\sigma_l^2$, and
compare Eq. 22 with Eq. 23, we can recover a linear fit if we
include Lagrange coefficients to satisfy the condition $c_{2,l} \geq \frac{\sigma_l^2}{\Delta l^2}$.

The true non-linear part of the fit is in the emissivity law,
which is hidden in the $K$ coefficients. What is also hidden is the
absolute calibration coefficients of the instrument. This second
set of values is degenerate with $K$. Another complication arises
with the emissivity law, which is, in principle, different for dif-
f erent $l$. One can assume the same emissivity for a starter and
refine that hypothesis if it happens to be really necessary. From
Fig. 1 we see that a temperature spread always produces a pos-
tive distortion at all frequencies. The effect is that an MBB fit
will tend to overestimate the dust temperature and to undervalue
the emissivity index. So not only do we have statistically anti-
correlated error bars between these two quantities (Shetty et al.
2009), but the (genuine) temperature spread along the line-of-
sight can cause an apparent $T-\beta$ anti-correlation too.

One could find that this model has ‘too many’ parameters.
But we would argue that for the case $s = \frac{1}{2}$, this corresponds
to only five discrete temperatures between 8 and 30 K, namely
9.1, 12.2, 16.5, 22.3, and 30 K. Secondly, the fitting procedure
can cull the components as the parameters in Eq. 23 have to con-
form to positivity constraints set by Eq. 22. With the case shown
in Fig. 1, a single-temperature logarithmic change by $\frac{1}{2}$
yields a maximum residual of 0.6% relative to the peak emission
for three components fitted with Eq. 23 (3.5% if only two compo-
nents are used).

Another constraint will show up when one finds that the tem-
perature spread is larger than the bin size, $s$. In that case, the
parameter $\sigma_l$ should saturate to $\frac{\Delta l}{2 s}$ (the equivalent of uniform noise
in a digital binning process), and hence $\sigma_l^2 \sim 0.009 (\frac{\Delta l}{s})^2$.

The bolometric luminosity of dust can be directly com-
puted from a linear combination of integrals pre-computed from
Eq. 18. Polarisation photometry follows the same rules as inten-
sity. We can expect the degree of dust polarisation to be strong
when the temperature distribution is not too spread out (i.e. for
small $\sigma_l$).

The CIB fluctuations (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014b)
may be revisited in light of this model because of the lineari-
sation procedure, as it can lend itself to the powerful statistical
tools used for CMB studies, including covariances and model
testing with likelihood functions, via the statistics of the $c_{i,l}$
coefficients.

This work is complementary to the approach by Chluba et al.
(2017). Both studies put the emphasis on Planck derivatives and
temperature moments. Chluba et al. (2017) argues for $\frac{1}{2}$
as the proper expansion variable. We prefer $\ln T$ because it will fare
better, in terms of the number of required steps in the tempera-
ture grid, for broad temperature distributions (including the CIB
and the example in Sect. 1), where more than one l component
is necessary. In that case, high-frequency convergence problems,
signalled by Chluba et al. (2017), are also alleviated. Moreover,
for broadband experiments, it is impossible to go beyond the sec-
ond Planck derivative as the fitting system becomes too under-
determined for limited signal-to-noise ratio measurements.

4. Conclusions

We have shown an analytical development around the Planck
function. We have emphasised the role of its second derivative
with respect to the logarithm of the dust temperature. This is im-
portant in order to include a very likely distribution of tempera-
tures along the line-of-sight around the main dust tem-
perature. We have also devised an economical way of account-
ning for a wider temperature range by discretising the temperature
ladder in constant logarithm steps, which naturally starts at the
CMB temperature. By explicitly including the instrumental mea-
surement process, we have devised a model that can be fit in an
almost linear way, from photometry to dust temperature distribu-
tions, thus accelerating the computation of the inverse problem.
Further work will have to be done to implement these findings
for the analysis of dust in the Planck and Herschel missions,
in particular the optimal gridding of dust temperatures versus
the experimental photometric frequency sampling. This work is
complementary to investigations of the possible variations in the
emissivity index in some galactic regions (Mangilli et al. 2021; Rigby et al. 2018; Bracco et al. 2017; Tang et al. 2021; Planck Collaboration et al. 2014a). Applications to the CIB statistics and dust as the major foreground for CMB studies should be sought too.
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Appendix A: Planck derivative functions

The third Planck derivative is useful for assessing the errors made by using only two derivatives in the Taylor expansion. For completeness, it is given here:

$$\frac{d^3 B_\nu}{d\nu^3} = P\nu^3 g(x) \left[ (h(x) - 1)^2 - h(x) + 2x g(x) \right].$$  (A.1)

It is worth showing the graph of Planck derivative functions. As they all diverge at low frequencies as $\frac{1}{x}$, we can show instead $x$ times the functions. In Fig. A.1 we show the Planck function and its three derivatives (with the index going from 0 to 3).

Appendix B: The Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effect

The second derivative of the Planck function is linked to the SZ effect, a spectral distortion of the CMB through clusters of galaxies. The SZ effect is quantified by the Compton parameter, $y$, which is proportional to the integrated thermal electron pressure along the line-of-sight. Indeed, if we specifically perform the substitution $\Delta t = -3y$ and $\sigma^2 = 2y$ in Eq. 13, where $T_0 = T_{CMB}$ is the present CMB temperature (Stebbins 2007), we recover the SZ non-relativistic thermal distortion:

$$t_\nu^{SZ} = y P\nu^3 g(x) (h(x) - 4).$$  (B.1)

This gives a direct interpretation of the SZ distortion: the Compton effect (while maintaining the number of photons constant) shifts the CMB average temperature along the cluster line-of-sight by a relative factor of $-3y$, and the Maxwellian electron velocity distribution interacts with the CMB photons as if they produced a Gaussian temperature distribution with a dispersion $\sigma$. This explains why the quadratic equivalent temperature dispersion in logarithm is proportional to the quadratic Maxwellian velocity distribution (i.e. the electron temperature, $T_e$): $\sigma^2 = \frac{2\Delta T}{m_e c^2}$, where $m_e$ is the electron mass and $\tau$ is the line-of-sight opacity to the Compton effect.

One of the differences between the SZ spectral distortion (in $h - 4$) and the Planck second derivative (in $h - 1$) is that the SZ effect has a negative relative distortion in the Rayleigh-Jeans part of the spectrum, the so-called SZ decrement: $\frac{\Delta T}{T} \approx -2y$.

Fig. A.1. Four Planck functions (times $x$) as a function of the dimensionless frequency parameter $x$. The Planck function has the 0 index, and the three indices label the three consecutive Planck function derivatives. Hence, we have plotted $x f(x)$, $x g(x)$, $x g(x) (h(x) - 1)$, and $x g(x) \left[ (h(x) - 1)^2 - h(x) + 2x g(x) \right]$, where the functions $f$, $g$, and $h$ are defined in Eqs. 5, 7, and 10.