Histopathological Findings of Oral and Maxillofacial Biopsies from a Sample of Yemeni Patients
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Abstract

Background: Epidemiologic studies of Oral and Maxillofacial lesions (OMLs) are rare compared with the studies of caries and periodontal diseases. It is fundamental to promote early diagnosis and provide appropriate health programs. This study was to investigate the prevalence and distribution of histologically confirmed OMLs in Sana’a.

Methodology: The documented records of all patients with OMLs were recovered from the biggest histopathology laboratories that provide biopsy analysis in Sana’a Yemen, for a period of six years (2013 to 2018). This multicenter retrospective study was conducted on 1376 oral and maxillofacial biopsied lesions. The clinico-pathologic data were extracted from the histopathology reports which included age, gender, nature of specimen, site of lesion, clinical appearance and histopathological diagnosis. Descriptive analysis, Chi squared test, and binary logistic regression were performed.

Results: Regarding malignant lesions, the most prevalent lesions among males and females was squamous cell carcinoma; OSCC (226; 33.0% and 214; 30.9%; respectively). Followed by undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma which were five cases (0.7%) for males and 6 cases (0.9%) for females. Most of the cases (43.8%) diagnosed as OSCC were in the age group older than 40 years old. At this age group, the second most prevalent lesions were Adenocarcinoma and Adenoid cystic carcinoma (0.7% and 0.6%; respectively). The results of the regression analysis show that the site of the lesion (OR; 0.6, CI; 0.51-0.81; P= 0.00) and age of the patient (OR; 4.17, CI; 5.22-5.41; P=0.00) were the independent factors of malignancy of oral lesions.
Conclusion: The present study showed high prevalence of malignant and premalignant oral mucosal lesions. The data from this study highlighted the need for dental public health intervention programs for early detection of oral cancer among Yemeni population by dental practitioners. As well as the importance of education of the general population in regards to risk factors of OMLs.

Introduction

The oral and maxillofacial region shows predilection of diverse types of alterations and lesions. Albeit an extent of oral and maxillofacial lesions (OMLs) are benign and require no dynamic treatment, some may present with significant pathology. Of specific importance are oral potentially malignant disorders (OPMDs) which may demonstrate oral epithelial dysplasia with expanding degrees of severity, tissue atrophy and/or hyperplasia [1]. Considering the high rate of malignant transformation (MT) of OPMDs, attention ought to be paid to early diagnosis [2]. Histopathologic investigation of biopsied examples is the best method to accomplish a definitive diagnosis of OMLs [3]. Moreover, studies dependent on histopathologic conclusion are more reliable[4].

The description of various oral and maxillofacial pathologies has prompted to significant differences in the nomenclature and grouping of these lesions [5]. Epidemiologic studies of OMLs are rare compared with the studies of caries and periodontal diseases [6]. Just couple of epidemiological investigations on the occurrence of histologically affirmed oral lesions have been directed, principally in the USA, India, some Asian countries, and Europe [7]. Surveying the distribution of OMLs in specific population is essential to understand its prevalence and characteristics, yet it is also fundamental to promote early diagnosis and provide
appropriate health programs, as suggested by the World Health Organization (WHO). In Yemen, epidemiological data directed on oral lesions are limited, and the vast majority of these data were about the relationship between khat chewing and white lesions; none of those studies had performed based on histopathological diagnosis of OMLs. Therefore, this study was to investigate the prevalence and distribution of histologically confirmed OMLs in Sana’a, Yemen and to evaluate their association with age and gender.

**Methodology:**

The documented records of all patients with OMLs were recovered from the biggest histopathology laboratories that provide biopsy analysis in Sana’a Yemen, for a period of 6 years (2013 to 2018). This multicenter retrospective study was conducted on 1376 oral and maxillofacial biopsied lesions after excluding all reports that were inconclusive and required immunohistochemistry for definitive diagnosis as well as those that were unsigned or without a final accommodation.

The clinico-pathological data were extracted from the histopathology reports, which included age, gender, nature of specimen, site of lesion, clinical appearance and histopathological diagnosis. The data were coded and entered as reported in the biopsy reports with some standardization using current terminologies. Lesions were grouped into either benign neoplastic lesions and malignant neoplastic lesions and further categorized based on the histopathological diagnosis into 7 broad diagnostic groups as follows: Developmental, inflammatory, reactive, cystic, premalignant, autoimmune and miscellaneous lesions.

The association of the clinical and histological factors with the gender and age categories were analyzed by chi-square test, while the factors associated with the malignancy of the lesions were analyzed using binary logistic regression. All
analyses were performed at 0.05 significance value using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 21.

Results

The total number of the analyzed biopsy reports were 1376; out of them 684 (49.7%) males and 692 (50.3%) females. Mean age of the study sample was 43.6 years and their age, ranging from 1 to 100 years. Regarding malignant lesions, the most prevalent lesion among males and females was OSCC (226; 33.0% and 214; 30.9%; respectively). Followed by undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma which was 5 cases (0.7%) for males and 6 cases (0.9%) for females. Adenoid cystic carcinoma and Basal cell carcinoma were higher among females compared to male counterparts with prevalence of (1.2%) and (0.4%) and frequency of 8 cases for both lesions among females compared to 3 cases among males. Most of the cases (43.8%) diagnosed as a OSCC were in the age group older than 40 years old. At this age group, the second most prevalent lesions were Adenocarcinoma and Adenoid cystic carcinoma (0.7% and 0.6%; respectively). Table 1 shows the distribution of malignant neoplastic lesions among the study sample according to age and gender. Table 2 shows the distribution of benign neoplastic lesions according to the age group and gender of the patients in which fibroma, lipoma, pyogenic fibroma, capillary hemangioma and squamous papilloma were the most common lesions among males.

As OSCC was the most prevalent malignant lesion; further analysis were performed regarding tumor grade, tumor sub types, and recurrence status of the lesions. “Well differentiated” tumors, “invasive SCC” subtype, and “none recurrence” were the most among males and females, this distribution were non significantly associated
with the age of the patient, (Table 3).

Distribution of the lesions was categorized into relevant groups as presented in table 4; the most common category among males and females was the malignant neoplastic lesions. Followed by benign neoplastic lesions. Whereas the least prevalence were the developmental and miscellaneous lesions, (Table 4).

The results of the regression analysis show that the site of the lesions (OR; 0.6, CI; 0.51–0.81; P = 0.00) and age of the patients (OR; 4.17, CI; 5.22–5.41; P = 0.00) were the independent factors of malignancy of oral lesions, (Table 5).

The site of the lesions in the tongue was significantly associated with their malignancy, as shown in table 6 at the malignant tongue lesions were the more prevalent at the anterior and lateral tongue, (69.2% and 60.9%; respectively). The association between site of the tongue lesions and malignancy was significant.

**Figure 1 presents the distribution of the lesions according to the site of biopsy as reported in the histopathological reports.**

**Discussion**

Understanding the distribution, prevalence and risk factors of oral and maxillofacial lesions is essential to promote primary prevention, early diagnosis, promote treatment and the provision of appropriate health services.[1]

Biopsies are considered the golden standard for the definitive diagnosis of oral and perioral lesions, and are one of the diagnostic methods that routinely performed in the medical laboratories. By the histopathological reports we can assess the prevalence of OMLs in a specific population and determine the rate of utilization of biopsy diagnostic procedures and their challenges.[8]
Performing incisional and excisional biopsies is one of the responsibilities of general practitioners and specialists whenever indicated. The aim of the present study was to provide an important baseline data on the profile of OMLs in the capital of the republic, which will help the healthcare authorities in health planning, providing dental services, risk management, and prevention.

This study included 1376 biopsy reports from referral laboratories in the capital of the country; this increases the representativeness of the sample and generalizability of the results. Female patients predominance in this study were higher (50.3%) compared to their male counterparts. This also the finding of many other studies.[7, 9, 10] However, other studies reported higher prevalence rate among males.[11, 12]

The mean age of the patients with OMLs was 43.6; this in accordance with that of other study among neighbor Saudi population. [5] Most of the reports were of patients their age from 4th–6th decade of age. This finding is in consistent with previous prevalence studies.[7, 13, 14] This age group is labile to be affected by other health problems leading them to seek the health care while which the oral lesions might accidently found by health care providers.

The rate of malignant neoplastic lesions in this study (36.8%) was much higher than previously reported prevalence among other populations that represented 5.4%, 6.5%, 1.9%, 2.4% and 5.8% respectively. [7, 15–17] Surprisingly, studies from south western region of Saudi Arabia reported a higher incidence of malignant lesions (38.8%) and smokeless tobacco specifically shamma was held accountable for increased incidence of malignant lesions. [5, 18] This higher prevalence of oral cancers might be due to the commonly practiced habits among Yemeni population such as Qat chewing, smoking, and Shamma using, [19] which can contribute to oral
cancers, and also could explains the relatively equal reported prevalence of malignant lesions among the presents study sample and that of Saudi study.

When compared to premalignant lesions, malignant neoplastic lesions was much higher (n = 90; 6.5% and 36.8%; respectively). This is an agreement with other authors, [5, 7, 13] and this suggests failure of early detection of suspicious oral lesions by oral health care providers, delay of case referral from general or specialized dental practitioners to biopsy the lesions or patients being unaware of oral malignant lesions and not seeking treatment in absence of the pain.

OSCC was the most predominant malignant (86.7%), this finding is nearly similar to that of the neighboring Saudi population study which reported prevalence of 93.1%.

[5] Other studies in Arab region reported percentages in the same range such as Jordon (84%), [20] and UAE (77%). [21] OSSC also more prevalent among older age group of the present study sample; this is in the line with other previous studies. [11, 22] Higher incidence of OSCC in the present study might be due to that most of the patients came to the doctors at late stage of oral lesions when most of the premalignant lesions has undergone malignancy transformation. Furthermore, common bad habits mentioned before play an important role. On the other hand; studies from other populations reported much lower incidence of OSCC; Spain, 1.4%; [23] Brazil, 2.5%;[24] and Nigeria, 10.8%. [25]

Men to women ratio of OSCC patients in the current study was 1:1.5; comparable result was reported in previous study [5]which stated a ratio of 1:1.9. The ratio of benign to malignant lesions in this study was relatively equal 0.8: 1; this finding is greatly differ from that of other Saudi study which reported a ratio of 1:4.5, and British study that reported a value of 1: 5. This might be explained by the difference in the level of awareness among the patients in the different countries as well as
the differences in the health care facilities and utilization of these services by the population.

Cystic lesions were the fifth most common oral and maxillofacial lesions in this study. Also, lesions related to immune-mediated diseases were observed in 13 specimens (1.9%) and more common in male patients (1.2%). These results are varied from that a recent study stated that cystic lesions were the second most common and lesions related to immune-mediated diseases were observed in 60 specimens (4.9%) with more predilection in female patients. [7] This might be owing to the different populations and consequently different social sociodemographic characteristics.

From the benign neoplastic lesions, fibroma was the most prevalent lesion in the present study sample (n = 98; 7.1%). This finding is in concordant with other studies. [7, 26] However, another study reported a lower percentage among its population 4.5%. [27] Fibromas are mostly non-painful lesions that caused by many etiologies, and since it is an exophytic lesions that commonly cause discomfort in the oral cavity for which the patients seek a treatment.

This study shows that squamous papilloma represented 3.2% of oral and maxillofacial lesions. This finding is higher than that of previous studies. [15, 28] Pyogenic granuloma was the second most common benign neoplastic lesion (n = 73, 5.3%) with higher prevalence among the younger age group of 40 years or less. This result is in agreement with other studies. [29, 30] Nevertheless, the relatively high prevalence of pyogenic granuloma suggests that difficulty in maintaining oral hygiene in children and adolescents is the main etiological factor involved in the development of pyogenic granuloma.

Among salivary gland lesions, adenoid cystic carcinoma was the most common
lesion. Many other studies were reported the same finding. [7, 13, 31] However, another study was reported that mucocele was the most common lesion of salivary glands. [32] This difference might be related to varying in the study populations and their social habits.

In a recent study which performed among a sample of 409 Yemeni patients in Sana’a city, authors found premalignant lesions were not common in their study. [33] Contrarily, premalignant lesions represented the fourth most common category of oral and maxillofacial lesions in the present study (n = 90; 6.5%). This disagreement of the results in the same population is questionable and it might be due to differences in data collection methods and sampling technique. Moreover, the previous study sample was from the dental polyclinics of faculty of dentistry to which the patients came seeking specific dental treatment for usually milder compliances. Whereas the present study sample was more representative, including the main histopathological laboratories throughout the capital of the country.

In the current study, the tongue was the most affected site of oral neoplastic lesions (38.4%). A similar results had been reported in Yemen [19, 34] and most of the developed and developing countries. [21, 35, 36] So it is considered as one of the high risk area for development of oral neoplastic lesions. This also agreed that reported I the main textbooks of oral medicine.

The results of the present study show that most of OSCC were diagnosed at advanced stages. The same finding was reported in an earlier study in Yemen, [34] this finding might be related to lower oral health knowledge and behaviors among Yemeni patients. Moreover, other authors among different populations reported similar results. [37, 38] On the other hand, high proportion of OSCC cases in the developed countries were diagnosed at early stages. [39, 40]
In this study, well and moderately differentiated OSCC accounted for 86.1% of the reported cases. Comparable results were reported in other studies.[34, 35]

Contrarily, Effiom et al reported a much higher proportion of poorly differentiated OSCC (47%) in Nigeria.[41] This difference may be due to the different prevalence of the risk factors worldwide and intensity of exposure to these factors or both. The role of genetic factors was suggested too.[42]

Tumor staging and histopathological grading systems are good predictors of the prognosis and survival. OSCC in Yemeni young patients might be a different sort of cancer with worse prognosis as tumor staging and histopathological grading are slightly worse compared to OSCC in Yemeni old patients, this finding supports that of Halboub’s study. Contrarily, previous studies reported that there are no specific clinical or histopathological features of OSCC in young adults.[38, 39]

Although the increased number of dental faculties and graduated dentists, there is a limited access and utilization of oral health services in Yemen, particularly in rural areas. Clearly, governorates with well-facilitated healthcare centers, acceptable socioeconomic status, highly educated community increases the patients access to health services.[43] These aspects apparently generate inequalities that modify the oral health profiles of rural populations. In addition, general medical and dental practitioners need better training in the diagnosis of oral conditions. The lack of skills in oral mucosal lesions detection and oral diagnostic process probably contributes to the underestimation of lesions prevalence. The data from this study highlight the importance of education in oral health promotion for health professionals and the general population. These activities should be included in oral premalignant and malignant lesions screening campaigns to improve their effectiveness.
Conclusion

Conclusion: The present study showed high prevalence of malignant and premalignant oral mucosal lesions. This finding emphasize the necessity of intensive oral public health program in this region, for early detection and effective prevention. Furthermore, risk factors and social habits associated with malignant lesions among Yemeni population in particular should be assessed.
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Tables

Table 1. Malignant neoplastic lesions of the study samples according to age and gender.
## Diagnosis of Neoplastic Lesions

| Diagnosis                              | Sex of patient | Age group |        |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------|
|                                        | Male (n=684)   | Female (n=692) | 40 years or less (n=532) | > 40 years old (n=8) |
|                                        | F | % | F | % | F | % | F | % |
| Adenocarcinoma                         | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 |
| Adenoid cystic carcinoma               | 3 | 0.4 | 8 | 1.2 | 6 | 1.1 | 5 |
| Basal cell adenocarcinoma              | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Basal cell carcinoma                   | 3 | 0.4 | 8 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 10 |
| Hodgkin's lymphoma                     | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Liposarcoma                            | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| Juvenile hemangioma                    | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Juvenile ossifying fibroma             | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Kaposi sarcoma                         | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Mucoepidermoid carcinoma               | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma                 | 8 | 1.2 | 7 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.6 | 12 |
| Osteosarcoma                           | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma                       | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 |
| SCC                                    | 226 | 33.0 | 214 | 30.9 | 70 | 13.2 | 370 |
| Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma   | 5 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.9 | 8 | 1.5 | 3 |

### Table 2

**Benign neoplastic lesions of the study samples according to age and gender.**

| Diagnosis                              | Sex of patient | Age group |        |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------|
|                                        | Male (n=684)   | Female (n=692) | 40 years or less (n=532) | > 40 years old (n=8) |
|                                        | F | % | F | % | F | % | F | % |
| Ameloblastic fibroma                   | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 |        |
| Ameloblastoma                          | 4 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.4 |        |
| Angiolipoma                            | 2 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.6 |        |
| Benign dermal melanocytic nevus       | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.6 |        |
| Capillary hemangioma                   | 25 | 3.7 | 22 | 3.2 | 31 | 5.8 |        |
| Diagnosis                                      | Count | %   | Count | %   | Count | %   |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| Cartilaginous choristoma                      | 0     | 0.0 | 2     | 0.3 | 0     | 0.0 |
| Caseating granuloma c/w tuberculosis          | 1     | 0.1 | 6     | 6   | 1.1   | 0.9 |
| Cavernous hemangioma                          | 2     | 0.3 | 14    | 2.0 | 12    | 2.3 |
| Cemento ossifying fibroma                     | 0     | 0.0 | 1     | 0.1 | 1     | 0.2 |
| Fibrolipoma                                   | 3     | 0.4 | 3     | 0.4 | 0     | 0.0 |
| Fibroma                                       | 52    | 7.6 | 46    | 6.6 | 49    | 9.2 |
| Giant cell granuloma                          | 9     | 1.3 | 9     | 1.3 | 10    | 1.9 |
| Keratoacanthoma                               | 3     | 0.4 | 1     | 0.1 | 0     | 0.0 |
| Lipoma                                        | 20    | 2.9 | 26    | 3.8 | 18    | 3.4 |
| Lymphangioma                                  | 7     | 1.0 | 4     | 0.6 | 3     | 0.6 |
| Melanocytic nevi                              | 1     | 0.1 | 1     | 0.1 | 0     | 0.0 |
| Mesenchymal hamartoma                         | 2     | 0.3 | 0     | 0.0 | 2     | 0.4 |
| Neurofibroma                                  | 0     | 0.0 | 3     | 0.4 | 2     | 0.4 |
| Ossifying fibroma                             | 3     | 0.4 | 7     | 1.0 | 3     | 0.6 |
| Osteochondroma                                | 1     | 0.1 | 0     | 0.0 | 1     | 0.2 |
| Periapical granuloma/abscess                  | 0     | 0.0 | 1     | 0.1 | 1     | 0.2 |
| Plasma cell granuloma                         | 12    | 1.8 | 9     | 1.3 | 14    | 2.6 |
| Pleomorphic adenoma                           | 1     | 0.1 | 5     | 0.7 | 4     | 0.8 |
| Pyogenic granuloma                            | 39    | 5.7 | 34    | 4.9 | 46    | 8.6 |
| Schwannoma                                    | 3     | 0.4 | 2     | 0.3 | 5     | 0.9 |
| Squamous papilloma                            | 23    | 3.4 | 21    | 3.0 | 22    | 4.1 |
| Traumatic neuroma                              | 0     | 0.0 | 1     | 0.1 | 0     | 0.0 |

**Table 3.** Association between SCC; grade, subtype, and recurrence status with the age of the patients.
| Tumor grade                  | Age of the patient |         |         |         |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                             | 40 years or less   | More than 40 years |
|                             | F   | %    | F   | %    |
| Poor differentiated         | 1   | 1.4  | 17  | 4.6  |
| Moderate differentiated     | 24  | 34.3 | 114 | 30.8 |
| Well differentiated          | 38  | 54.3 | 203 | 54.9 |
| Undifferentiated            | 2   | 2.9  | 1   | 0.3  |
| NM                          | 5   | 7.1  | 35  | 9.5  |

| Tumor subtype               | Age of the patient |         |         |         |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                             | 40 years or less   | More than 40 years |
|                             | F   | %    | F   | %    |
| SCC                         | 16  | 22.9 | 87  | 23.5 |
| Non keratinizing SCC        | 2   | 2.9  | 2   | 0.5  |
| Keratinizing SCC            | 5   | 7.1  | 33  | 8.9  |
| Invasive SCC                | 24  | 34.3 | 132 | 35.7 |
| Invasive keratinizing SCC   | 14  | 20.0 | 79  | 21.4 |
| Metastatic SCC              | 4   | 5.7  | 15  | 4.1  |
| Verrucous SCC               | 5   | 7.1  | 22  | 5.9  |

| Recurrence                  | Age of the patient |         |         |         |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                             | 40 years or less   | More than 40 years |
|                             | F   | %    | F   | %    |
| No history of recurrence has been mentioned | 59  | 84.3 | 283 | 76.5 |
| Recurrent from the same site | 1   | 1.4  | 2   | 0.5  |
| Recurrent from other oral site | 10  | 14.3 | 85  | 23.0 |

**Table 4.** Classification of the studied biopsies and their distribution according to gender.
| Oral and maxillofacial classification | Sex of patient | F | % | F |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---|---|---|
| Oral and maxillofacial classification | Male           | 214 | 31.3 | 233 |
| Gender                              | Male           | 430 | 49.5 | 254 |
| %                                   |                | 49.9 | 50.1 | 475 |
| Female                              |                | 439 | 50.5 | 253 |
| %                                   |                | 50.5 | 49.9 | |
| Site                                | Tongue         | 296 | 34.1 | 233 |
| %                                   |                | 34.1 | 46.0 | 0.000 |
| Other sites                         |                | 573 | 65.9 | 274 |
| %                                   |                | 65.9 | 54.0 | |
| Age                                 | 40 yrs. or less| 435 | 50.1 | 97 |
| %                                   |                | 50.1 | 19.1 | 0.000 |
| More than 40 years old              |                | 434 | 49.9 | 410 |
| %                                   |                | 49.9 | 80.9 | |

**Table 5.** Binary logistic regression analysis of the factors associated with the malignancy of the lesions.
Table 6. Description and association between the site of the tongue lesions and their malignancy.

| Site of the lesion of in the tongue | Classification     | Benign | Malignant | P - value |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Tip                                 | Benign             | 21     | 11        | 65.6%     | 34.4%     | 0.000     |
| Anterior                            | Malignant          | 4      | 9         | 30.8%     | 69.2%     |           |
| Lateral                             | Benign             | 59     | 92        | 39.1%     | 60.9%     |           |
| Base                                | Malignant          | 12     | 6         | 66.7%     | 33.3%     |           |
| Ventral                             | Benign             | 31     | 8         | 79.5%     | 20.5%     |           |
| Site not specified                  | Malignant          | 262    | 140       | 65.2%     | 34.8%     |           |
| Total                               |                     | 389    | 266       | 59.4%     | 40.6%     |           |

Figures

**Figure 1.** Distribution of the study sample according to the site of biopsy.

Figure 1

Presents the distribution of the lesions according to the site of biopsy as reported
