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ABSTRACT

Leishmania parasites are unicellular pathogens that are transmitted to humans through the bite of infected sandflies. Most of the regulation of their gene expression occurs post-transcriptionally, and the different patterns of gene expression required throughout the parasites’ life cycle are regulated at the level of translation. Here, we report the X-ray crystal structure of the Leishmania cap-binding isoform 1, LeishIF4E-1, bound to a protein fragment of previously unknown function, Leish4E-IP1, that binds tightly to LeishIF4E-1. The molecular structure, coupled to NMR spectroscopy experiments and in vitro cap-binding assays, reveals that Leish4E-IP1 allosterically destabilizes the binding of LeishIF4E-1 to the 5′ mRNA cap. We propose mechanisms through which Leish4E-IP1-mediated LeishIF4E-1 inhibition could regulate translation initiation in the human parasite.

INTRODUCTION

Leishmania parasites are protozoan parasites that have a digenetic life cycle (1–3). They reside as flagellated promastigotes in sandflies. They are then transmitted to humans during blood meals and taken up by macrophages and dermal dendritic cells. Within these cells, the higher temperature and lower pH trigger a gene expression program essential to their differentiation from promastigotes into amastigotes (4–6). Amastigotes are obligatory intracellular and non-motile forms of the parasite (7). In the absence of conserved mechanisms for transcriptional activation, post-transcriptional mechanisms drive the developmental program of gene expression (8) and translation regulation plays a central role.

In higher eukaryotes, the translational initiation complex assembles at the m’GTP cap of messenger RNAs (mRNAs) (9) through the eukaryotic initiation factor 4F complex (eIF4F) (10). eIF4F comprises the cap-binding protein eIF4E, the DEAD-box RNA helicase eIF4A, and the scaffold protein eIF4G (11,12). eIF4G recruits the small ribosomal subunit indirectly by binding eIF3. eIF4G also interacts with eIF3, partly through a consensus binding motif, Y(X)₄LΦ (where Χ is any amino acid, and Φ is a hydrophobic residue) (13). The 4E binding proteins (4E-BPs), which also contain a Y(X)₄LΦ motif, regulate the eIF4E/eIF4G interaction by competing with eIF4G for binding to eIF4E. 4E-BPs are phosphorylation-dependent regulators of translation initiation, and only non-phosphorylated or minimally phosphorylated 4E-BPs bind to eIF4E. Phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 by the serine/threonine kinase mammalian mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex 1 (mTORC1) induces its dissociation from eIF4E, allowing eIF4E to interact with eIF4F and enabling translation initiation (14–18).

Leishmania parasites are ancient eukaryotes, and their protein-coding genes are organized as large chromosomal units that are mostly transcribed polycistrionically. Transcripts are further trans-spliced and polyadenylated, yielding mature monocistronic mRNAs (19). During trans-splicing, a conserved spliced leader RNA (SL RNA) is added to the 5′ end of all mRNAs, providing a unique cap structure that is hypermethylated over the first four nucleotides (cap-4: m’Gpppm₅,₅,₂,₇ Apm₂ Apm₇ Cpm₃,₂,₂ U) (20,21). In promastigotes, translation initiation requires a canonical ‘Leishmania IF4F’ complex (LeishIF4F) comprising LeishIF4E-4, LeishIF4G-3 and LeishIF4A-1 (22–25). In amastigotes, the human infectious stage, the components of an equivalent LeishIF4F complex remain elusive. Trypanosomatids encode several isoforms of the same
initiation factor; there are six highly diverged paralogs of the human eIF4E cap-binding protein (LeishIF4E-1 to LeishIF4E-6). These have a small degree of conservation among themselves (40–60%) and also differ significantly from their counterparts in higher eukaryotes (30–40% sequence identity) (Supplementary Figure S1A) (24,26,27). Of the six paralogs, LeishIF4E-1 is highly expressed in axenic amastigotes and binds the m’GTP at 37°C, suggesting its involvement in translation initiation in amastigotes (23).

LeishIF4E-1 binds the m’GTP cap and cap-4 with comparable affinities and pulls down other Leishmania translation initiation factors when purified over a m’GTP cap-binding affinity column (24). However, a ‘LeishIF4G’-like scaffold protein has yet to be identified as a LeishIF4E-1 binding partner. In our search for such a factor, we previously identified an 83 kDa LeishIF4E-1 interacting protein (Leish4E-IP1) that contains a Y(X)4L near its N terminus (23). Secondary structure prediction algorithms [PSIPRED, (28)] suggest that Leish4E-IP1 is mostly unstructured, except for a segment near its N terminus (Supplementary Figure S1B). Leish4E-IP1 does not have any homologs in higher eukaryotes and does not contain the typical HEAT/MIF domains usually found in mammalian IF4Gs.

We determined the crystal structure of LeishIF4E-1 (residues 13–210) bound to a 40-residue N-terminal fragment of Leish4E-IP1 (residues 4–43). This fragment contains the α-helical Y(X)4LΦ consensus-binding motif, followed by a flexible linker and a second α-helix. Analysis of the crystal structure coupled with NMR spectroscopy experiments reveal that the binding of Leish4E-IP1 to LeishIF4E-1 alters the conformation of the tryptophan residues within its cap-binding pocket. Cap-binding affinity purification experiments, using parasite lysates, show that Leish4E-IP1 causes LeishIF4E-1 to dissociate from the cap. Taken together, our results suggest that Leish4E-IP1 is a repressor of the cap-binding protein LeishIF4E-1 in amastigotes, the human infective stage of Leishmania parasites, and uncover a fundamental mechanism of cap-binding regulation that is likely also used in other organisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Constructs and protein purification

We obtained the plasmids encoding full-length LeishIF4E-1 (Accession number: LmjF27.1620) and Leish4E-IP1 (Accession number: LmjF35.3980) (23). We expressed LeishIF4E-1 as a fusion protein with a hexahistidine (H6) tag followed by a Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease cleavage site at its N terminus (pHis-TEV-LeishIF4E-1 construct). We expressed fragments of Leish4E-IP1 (residues 1–100, 1–52) as fusion proteins with a H6 tag, followed by the domain B1 of a protein G (GB1) solubility enhancement tag (29) and a TEV cleavage site at their N terminus, generating pHis-GB1-TEV-Leish4E-IP1 constructs. We also subcloned the above-described constructs of Leish4E-IP1 into a pcDNA3.1-eGFP (eGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein) mammalian expression vector using HindIII-XhoI restriction sites (Addgene plasmid 13031). These constructs allow the expression of the Leish4E-IP1 fragments as fusion proteins with eGFP at their C terminus (pCDNA3.1-eGFP/Leish4E-IP1 constructs).

We transformed Escherichia coli (BL21) cells with the appropriate plasmids and grew the cells in Luria-Bertani Broth (LB). We used 1 mM of isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to induce His-TEV-LeishIF4E-1 or His-GB1-TEV-Leish4E-IP1 protein expression and allowed the cells to grow at 20°C for 16 h. We harvested and re-suspended the cells in a Leishmania purification binding buffer (LPBB) containing 50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 5 mM 2-β-mercaptoethanol, benzamide and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche). We lysed the cells by sonication at 4°C and centrifuged the cells at 38 000 × g for 40 min. We loaded the supernatant on a nickel-affinity column (Ni-NTA; Qiagen) previously equilibrated with a protease inhibitor-free LPBB. We rinsed the Ni-NTA resin bound to proteins with LPBB supplemented with 20 mM imidazole. We eluted the proteins from the resin with LPBB supplemented with 100 mM imidazole and 100 mM EDTA. When required, we digested overnight the eluted proteins with TEV protease to cleave the His or the His-GB1 tags. We concentrated the eluted proteins by ultrafiltration using a 10 kDa-cutoff centrifuge filter. We further purified the proteins using a Superdex 75 HiLoad 16/60 preparative size exclusion column (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences) equilibrated with a buffer containing 50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT (FPLC buffer). For Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1) complex preparation, we grew cells expressing the individual proteins of interest and harvested cells as described above. However, before sonication, we mixed both lysates and purified His-TEV-LeishIF4E-1/His-GB1-TEV-Leish4E-IP1 complex using a nickel-affinity column followed by a Superdex 75 HiLoad 16/60 preparative size exclusion column.

We expressed mouse IF4E (mIF4E) (residues 27–217) or full-length human 4E-BP1 (h4E-BP1) in E. coli as fusion proteins, containing respectively, a GB1-TEV tag and a His-GB1-TEV at their N terminus. We harvested the cells and purified GB1-TEV-mIF4E over an adipic-agarose-m’GDP column (30), and we purified His-GB1-TEV-h4E-BP1 over a nickel-affinity column as previously described (31). We further purified un-cleaved or cleaved proteins by TEV protease using a Superdex 75 HiLoad 16/60 preparative size exclusion column.

We performed isothermal calorimetry measurements at 25°C using a MicroCal ITC200 (Malvern, Center for Macromolecular Interactions, Harvard Medical School). The protein samples were dissolved in buffer containing 20 mM HEPES pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM TCEP. At intervals of 5 min, 5 μl of approximately 80 μM Leish4E-IP1 fragments were injected into the 1.4 ml sample cell containing approximately 5 μM IF4E-1. After the baseline correction, the data were analyzed using software provided by the manufacturer (MicroCal). The first data point was excluded from the analysis. For each interaction, two independent titration experiments were performed.

Structural studies

NMR experiments. We grew E. coli strain BL21 (DE3) cells expressing either LeishIF4E-1 or Leish4E-IP1 frag-
ments in M9 minimal media containing 95% 2H2O supplemented with $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl and $^{13}$C$_6$-D-glucose, when required, as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources, respectively. We purified individual proteins or LeishIF4E-1/4E-IP1 complex as described in the Constructs and Protein Purification methods section. After size exclusion purification, we exchanged the FPLC buffer to an NMR buffer (50 mM Na$_2$PO$_4$/Na$_3$HPO$_4$, pH 6.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 5% D$_2$O, concentrated to 0.1–0.4 mM) using a desalting PD-10 column (GE Healthcare). NMR spectroscopy experiments were recorded on 298 K either on Bruker or Varian spectrometers, operating at high field strengths of 600, 750 and 900 MHz, all equipped with a cryogenically cooled probe.

The backbone assignments of Leish4E-IP1$_{1-52}$ in the complex Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1$_{1-52}$), where Leish4E-IP1$_{1-52}$ is $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C labeled, and LeishIF4E-1 is unlabelled, were done using TROSY version of the traditional backbone triple resonance experiments, namely HNCA, HNCOCA, HNCO, HNCACB and HNCOCAB. Non-Uniform Sampling (NUS) in the two indirect dimensions was used to collect triple resonance data, in which 12–15% of the indirect grid was sampled using Poisson Gap Sampling (32). The data were reconstructed and processed using the hmsIST program (33).

Relaxation times T1, T2 and heteronuclear NOE were measured using a Leish(IF4E-1/13C-$^{15}$N-4E-IP1$_{1-52}$ sample. We used TROSY based experiments to acquire T1, T2 and Heteronuclear NOE measurements. We used the following inversion recovery delays for T1 experiments: 10, 50, 75, 100, 150, 300, 600, 900, 1000 and 1800 ms. For T2 experiments, the relaxation delays were 16.32, 32.64, 48.96, 65.28, 81.6, 97.92, 114.24, 130.56 ms. A compensating Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) block was applied to all the T2 experiments to ensure that the heating of all the individual measurements was equivalent to that of the 130.56 ms experiment. We performed heteronuclear NOE experiments with a saturation time of 2.5 s while the off-saturated time was 0. After data processing using NMRPipe (34), peak intensities were extracted using Sparky (35), fitted to exponential decays, and transverse relaxation rates (R1, R2 and Net NOE) were plotted in function of the residue number of Leish4E-IP1$_{1-52}$.

**Crystallization, data collection, and structure determination.** We expressed and purified His-TEV-LeishIF4E-1/His-GBl-TEV-Leish4E-IP1$_{1-52}$ complex as described in the Constructs and Protein Purification section. We cleaved the tags overnight using TEV protease and separated the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1$_{1-52}$) complex from cleavage products by loading the protein preparation over a Superdex 75 HiLoad 16/60 preparative column previously equilibrated with a buffer containing 5 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl and 2 mM DTT (Crystallization Buffer, CB). We screened crystallization conditions with the Leishmania protocol complex (10 mg/ml) using the hanging drop method. Crystals grew at room temperature in reservoir buffer containing 0.1 M Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 3% polyethylene glycol 400 and 2.125–2.175 M ammonium sulfate. Crystals were flash frozen in reservoir buffer containing 15% (v/v) glycerol for data collection. We collected X-ray diffraction data at wavelength of 0.999 and temperature of 100 K at BCSB beamline ID-8.2.2 at the Advance Light Source (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory). The Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1$_{1-52}$) complex crystallized in the $P_6_2_2$ space group with unit cell dimensions of $a = 68.22$ Å, $b = 68.22$ Å, $c = 220.20$ Å (Supplementary Table S1). We processed diffraction data using XDS (36,37). Crystals diffracted up to 2.2 Å, but ice rings present between 2.7 and 2.2 Å compromised data quality. The data were truncated to 2.7 Å using XDS. We determined the structure by molecular replacement with PHASER (38) using as a search model a modified eIF4E/4E-BP1 complex (PDB ID: 1WKW). We observed one copy of the complex within the asymmetric unit, with a solvent content of 54.22%. We performed iterative model building with COOT (39), and refined with PHENIX (40). The final model converged to a $R_{work}$ of 23.96% and to a $R_{free}$ of 28.16%. 97.97% of all residues were in the most favored region of the Ramachandran space, with the additional 2.03% falling in the allowed regions as defined by MolProbity. We used PyMol to generate figures (41). We deposited the coordinates of the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1$_{1-52}$) complex in the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 5WB5).

**Biological assays**

*Leishmania amazonensis* cells ($\sim$2 × 10$^8$ cells) episomally expressing an SBP-tagged version of LeishIF4E-1 were harvested and lysed in 4 ml of *Leishmania* binding buffer (LBB: 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1% Triton X100). After clarifying the lysate by centrifugation, we added 1 ml of the lysate to 30 µl of packed mGTP agarose resin (Jena Bioscience, Germany) pre-equilibrated in LBB. We purified the recombinant His-GBl-TEV-Leish4E-IP1$_{1-52}$, as described in the Constructs and Protein Purification section, and added this protein separately to the SBP-LeishIF4E-1 lysate bound to the mGTP agarose resin (from 0 to 3 µg). After an incubation of 2 h at 4°C on a rocking platform, we washed the resin three times with 1 ml of LPBB for 2 min. We then resuspended the resin in SDS loading buffer and boiled it for 5 min. We determined the amount of SBP-LeishIF4E-1 bound to the mGTP agarose resin in the absence or presence of His-GBl-TEV-Leish4E-IP1$_{1-52}$ by western blot using an anti-SBP mouse monoclonal antibody. We performed a densitometry analysis of the blot using ImageJ software (42). This allowed us to calculate the ratio of the total SBP-LeishIF4E-1 protein initially loaded on the resin versus the total of SBP-LeishIF4E-1 protein bound to the resin after being incubated with His-GBl-TEV-Leish4E-IP1$_{1-52}$ and three washes.

**RESULTS**

**Crystallization of a Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1$_{1-52}$) complex**

We expressed and purified LeishIF4E-1 from *E. coli* as a soluble protein (Supplementary Figure S1C). The transverse relaxation-optimized spectroscopy (TROSY)-heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) spectrum of LeishIF4E-1 is well-dispersed in the $^1$H dimension,
confirming that the recombinant protein is folded (Supplementary Figure S2A). LeishIF4E-1 interacts with Leish4E-IP1, an 83 kDa protein of unknown function that has orthologs in various trypanosomatids (23). We could express and purify from E. coli constructs of Leish4E-IP1 that comprised the first 100 or 52 residues (Leish4E-IP11–100 and Leish4E-IP11–52) (Supplementary Figure S1D). Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments indicated that Leish4E-IP11–100 and Leish4E-IP11–52 bind to LeishIF4E-1 with similar affinity (kD of about 90 and 10 nM, respectively, Table 1), suggesting that the minimal binding site for LeishIF4E-1 is retained in the shorter construct. The addition of unlabeled Leish4E-IP11–52 to a 15N labeled sample of LeishIF4E-1 resulted in a number of chemical shift perturbations in the 15N TROSY-HSQC spectrum of LeishIF4E-1, confirming their interaction (Supplementary Figure S2B). We also used NMR-based relaxation experiments to assess the dynamic properties of Leish4E-IP1 when bound to LeishIF4E-1 (Figure 1A–C). Within the Leish4E-IP11–52 construct, we observed that the N-terminal residues 1–5 and the C-terminal residues 39–52 are flexible when bound to LeishIF4E-1, with T2 values in the 100 ms range, suggesting that these regions are not part of the binding interface. We detected two stable stretches of residues (residues 5–20 and 27–38), with T2 values in the 30 ms range, indicating that these residues are stabilized in the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1) complex. Indeed, the first segment of Leish4E-IP1 (residues 5–20) contains the consensus LeishIF4E-binding motif 8Y(X)4L14, which is expected to interact with LeishIF4E-1. For structural studies, we thus prepared an Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) complex purified initially by nickel-affinity followed by size exclusion chromatography (Supplementary Figure S1E). As we originally sought to crystallize a cap-bound complex, we added five molar equivalents of m7GTP (the authentic cap is not available commercially and difficult to synthesize). The Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) complex crystallized in the P63222 space group.

Structure of the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1) complex

We determined the structure of the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) complex by molecular replacement using as a search model a truncated version of eIF4E/m7GTP/4E-BP1 complex [PDB ID: 1WKW, (43)] in which we removed the atoms corresponding to eIF4E loops and kept only the 4E-BP1 residues corresponding to the consensus binding motif. We then performed iterative model building and refinement (Supplementary Table S1). The final model contains one copy of the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) complex (PDB ID: 5WB5) in the asymmetric unit, with residues 13 to 210 of LeishIF4E-1 and residues 4 to 43 of Leish4E-IP11–52 (Figure 1D and E). LeishIF4E-1 adopts a similar fold to the IF4E proteins from higher eukaryotes (a ‘cupped hand’ structure), including that of Drosophila, yeast, and of the human orthologs (44–47). The fold comprises a curved β-sheet (with strands β-I through β-VII) with three α-helices (helices α-I through α-III) on its dorsal side. LeishIF4E-1 lacks the final C-terminal β-strand found in human eIF4E. LeishIF4E-1 has a 17 residue insertion in Loop 1 (L1, 104–120) and a 7 residue insertion in Loop 2 (L2, 151–157) (Supplementary Figure S1A), which are disordered in our crystal structure (residues 101–121, residues 149–155). Moreover, we did not observe any densities for residues 198–201 (Loop 3). The N-terminal segment of Leish4E-IP1 binds the dorsal side of LeishIF4E-1 and comprises two α-helices (α-I and α-II) separated by a short linker.

Comparison of Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1) complex to the structures of other IF4E complexes

There are no known homologs of Leish4E-IP1. To obtain insight into its function, we compared the structure of Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) to complexes of eIF4E bound to associated proteins known to modulate the function of eIF4E. Comparison of the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) structure to a complex of eIF4E bound to 4E-BP1 (eIF4E/4E-BP1) (31,48) (Figure 2A) reveals similarities and significant differences. Leish4E-IP1 binds to LeishIF4E-1 using the consensus binding motif 8Y(X)4L14 (Figure 2B), where Y8 and L13 are crucial for the interaction (Supplementary Figure S3A). Leish4E-IP1 residues Y8 and L13 overlap perfectly with the corresponding residues in 4E-BP1 (Y54 and L59). However, covariation evolution is evident over the Φ position of the motif: in Leishmania major, a leucine found in Leish4E-IP1 (L14) interacts with a methionine in LeishIF4E-1 (M138), while in the human proteins, 4E-BP1 contributes a methionine (M60) and eIF4E provides a leucine (L135). These sequence differences could explain why Leish4E-IP1 has a 10-fold lower binding affinity for human eIF4E (data not shown). Indeed, consistent with a weakened interaction, using a bicistronic translation reporter system in human cells (HEK293T), we found that Leish4E-IP11–52 can only modestly repress translation initiation (15%), when compared to 4E-BP1 in the same assay (75% repression) (Supplementary Figure S3B).

There are also several differences in the Leish4E-IP1 (residues 22–27) and 4E-BP1 (residues 64–73) linkers (Figure 2C). In the structure of eIF4E/4E-BP1, the α-I helix, which contains the consensus binding motif in 4E-BP1, ends with a salt bridge formed between 4E-BP1 R63 and

Table 1. Thermodynamic analysis of Leish4E-IP1 binding to LeishIF4E-1 as determined by isothermal titration calorimetry

| Titrants | Leish4E-IP11–100 | Leish4E-IP11–52 |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| K (cal/mol) | 1.148 × 10^6 ± 6.645 × 10^6 | 1.03 × 10^6 ± 4.54 × 10^7 |
| ΔH (cal/mole) | −3108 ± 80.36 | −1.55 × 10^4 ± 281.8 |
| ΔT (cal/mol/°) | −21.9 | −15.3 |
| ΔG (kcal/mole) | −2.56 | −15.2 |
| K_D (nM) | 87 | 9.7 |

Enthalpy changes (ΔH), entropy changes (ΔS), free energy changes (ΔG) and dissociation constants (K_D) derived from ITC measurements at 25°C.
Figure 1. NMR spectroscopy and crystal structure of LeishIF4E-1 bound to a fragment of Leish4E-IP1. (A) R1, (B) R2, (C) Heteronuclear NOE data are shown for a sample of ∼0.2 mM 15N13C-Leish4E-IP11–52 bound to LeishIF4E-1 in 95:5 H2O/D2O at pH 6.5. Data points corresponding to unassigned residues or to residues for which measurements could not be obtained are shown in black and were given an arbitrary negative value. Dashed lines indicate two-stable stretches of residues in solution compared to other regions in the Leish4E-IP11–52 fragment (See also Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). (D) Cartoon representation of the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–43) complex (PDB ID: 5WB5), where residues 13 to 210 of LeishIF4E-1 and residues 4–43 of Leish4E-IP11–52 are shown (See also Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). LeishIF4E-1 and Leish4E-IP1 are shown in cyan and purple, respectively. The N and C termini of each protein and secondary structure elements are indicated. Disordered segments in loops (L1 and L2) from LeishIF4E-1 are shown in dashed lines. LeishIF4E-1 UniProt accession number is E9ADE1 and Leish4E-IP1 accession number is E9AFM3. (E) Dorsal view of the complex described in (D). Disordered segments in loops (L2 and L3) from LeishIF4E-1 are shown in dashed lines.
Figure 2. Comparison of key interaction motifs between Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1) complex and human eIF4E/4E-BP1 or Drosophila eIF4E/Cup complexes. (A) Cartoon representation of the structural alignment of the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) and the mammalian eIF4E/m7GTP/4E-BP1 complex [PDB ID: 5BXV, (31)]. The Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) complex is represented and colored as described in Figure 1. eIF4E and 4E-BP1 are shown in orange and yellow, respectively. The m7GTP cap in the eIF4E/4E-BP1 complex is omitted for clarity. (B) As described in (A) but showing key interactions centered on the consensus binding motifs \( Y(X)_4 L_\Phi \) of Leish4E-IP1. Key residues are indicated. (C) As described in (A) but showing interactions centered on the linker region of Leish4E-IP1 (See also Figure 1A–C). (D) As described in (A) but showing interactions over the C-terminal tail of Leish4E-IP1. (E) Structural alignment of Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11–52) and the eIF4E/Cup complex from Drosophila melanogaster [PDB ID: 4AXG, (46)]. eIF4E and Cup are shown in pink and green, respectively. The linker segment of Cup is disordered in the complex and is shown as dashed lines (See also Supplementary Figure S1B and S4).
eIF4E N77. This salt bridge in 4E-BP1 is followed by a small 3_{10} segment induced by a proline-turn (P66), which exposes two critical phosphorylation sites (S65 and T70) on each side of the short helical segment (31,48). In the *Leishmania* complex, the Leish4E-IP1 α-I helix is extended by an additional turn. The shorter linker in Leish4E-IP1 does not contain a proline turn or any potential phosphorylation site. While several interactions anchor the 4E-BP-1 linker to eIF4E, the main interaction holding the linker in place in the Leish4E-IP1/4E-IP1 complex is a salt bridge between Leish4E-IP1 R58 and Leish4E-IP1 D24. As expected, unlike the linker region in 4E-BP1, the linker portion of Leish4E-IP1 is more flexible than its neighbouring segments (the α-I and α-II helices), which is supported by the NMR relaxation experiments shown in Figure 1. Finally, there is a network of hydrophobic interactions between Leish4E-IP1 and Leish4E-IP1 over the α-II segment (Figure 2D), where residues from Leish4E-IP1 (V29, L30, F33, V35 and I36) are in close vicinity from either the β-II strand of Leish4E-1 (M45, I44, and V42) or the α-I of Leish4E-1 (Y59).

Among the several available structures of cap-binding proteins in complex with their regulators, the Leish4E-IP1 α-II helix resembles the most to the α-II helix of the *Drosophila melanogaster* Cup protein bound to its corresponding eIF4E (46) (Figure 2E, See also Supplementary Figure S1B). In both *Drosophila* and *Leishmania* complexes, the residues within this region form an α-helical structure as opposed to the unstructured C-terminal tail observed in the eIF4E/4E-BP1 complex. Cup represses translation initiation of developmentally essential mRNAs in *Drosophila* (49,50). It is recruited to the 3′ UTR of mRNAs through adaptor proteins that bind specifically to sequence/structure elements in the mRNA. Cup then competes with eIF4G to bind with eIF4E, impairing the formation of the eIF4F complex.

### The cap-binding pocket of LeishIF4E-1

Cup binding increases the affinity of eIF4E to its m^7GTP cap-ligand (46). The structural similarities between Leish4E-IP1 to Cup and the observation that Cup modifies eIF4E’s cap binding activity led us to closely examine the cap-binding residues in our complex. We did not observe density within the cap-binding pocket of LeishIF4E-1 for m^7GTP despite the addition of a molar excess of m^7GTP in the crystallization drops. However, in the Leish4E-IP1/4E-IP1 complex we crystallized, two Leish4E-1 tryptophans (W37 and W83) expected to sandwich the m^7GTP cap, are in a flipped out conformation (Figure 3A). This conformation has been observed in other crystallographic structures in which the m^7GTP cap was not present in the complex [apo-eIF4E (47), eIF4E/4EB-P1 complex (51)]. It is possible that the m^7GTP did not co-crystallize with the complex because the affinity of the LeishIF4E-1 for m^7GTP is weak (K_{d} 0.16 μM^{-1}) (24). It is also possible that the binding of Leish4E-IP1 to LeishIF4E-1 induces conformational changes in the Leish4E-IP1 cap-binding pocket that interfere with its ability to bind the cap. To look for evidence of conformational changes induced by Leish4E-IP1 binding to LeishIF4E-1, we recorded TROSY-HSQC spectra of LeishIF4E-1 unbound or bound to unlabeled Leish4E-IP1. In the presence of Leish4E-IP1, we observed chemical shift perturbations of five tryptophan side chains (LeishIF4E-1 has a total of seven tryptophan residues). Based on the crystal structure of Leish4E-IP1 complex (Figure 1), four tryptophans are located within the cap-binding pocket, and none are expected to interact directly with Leish4E-1. Although the backbone assignments for these tryptophans residues are unknown, the chemical shift perturbations of the five tryptophan residue side chains in LeishIF4E-1 support a conformational change within the cap-binding pocket upon the addition of Leish4E-IP1 (Figure 3B, see also Supplementary Figure S2).

To further study the relevance of the conformational changes observed within the cap-binding pocket of Leish4E-1 in the presence of Leish4E-IP1, we assessed Leish4E-1’s ability to bind the cap in the presence or absence of Leish4E-IP1 (Figure 3C). We purified a Streptavidin-Binding Peptide (SBP)-tagged version of Leish4E-1 (SBP-Leish4E-1) from *L. amazonensis* parasites. After loading the parasite lysate on an adpic-agarose-m^7GTP affinity column, we added increasing amount of Leish4E-IP1. The addition of Leish4E-IP1 to Leish4E-1 leads to its dissociation from the m^7GTP cap (densitometry analysis showed a reduction of 75%). We observed no effect upon the addition of a GB1 polypeptide (negative control). Our results show that Leish4E-IP1 is a functional repressor of the cap-binding activity of LeishIF4E-1.

### DISCUSSION

Despite the availability of high resolution structures of the *Leishmania* large ribosomal subunit (52) and of the *Trypanosoma* ribosome (53), which highlight irregular rRNA modifications in *Leishmania*, there are no structures yet available for factors involved in the critical step of translation initiation. The uniqueness of translation initiation in *Leishmania* parasites affords unexplored opportunities to identify specific drug targets (54,55), but this goal first entails broadening our understanding of the translation pathways in these organisms. Towards this aim, we determined the crystal structure of the LeishIF4E-1 isoform from *Leishmania major* in complex with an N-terminal fragment of a 4E-interacting protein, Leish4E-IP1 (Figure 1). Although the sequence of Leish4E-IP1 could not be used to deduce its function, the structure allowed us to come to a number of conclusions. First, Leish4E-IP1’s interface extends beyond the consensus motif, involving a short linker and a second alpha-helical segment that engages the dorsal surface of LeishIF4E-1. In 4E-BP1, two important phosphorylation sites (S65 and T70) are found within the linker, and phosphorylation of these sites is critical to promote the dissociation of 4E-BP1 from eIF4E. Leish4E-IP1 does not seem to contain phosphorylation sites in its linker portion (Figure 2C). Hence, it is unclear how the interaction between Leish4E-1 and Leish4E-IP1 is regulated. We observed a nine-fold difference between the binding affinity of Leish4E-IP1 to LeishIF4E-1 (Table 1). The extra Leish4E-IP1 residues...
Figure 3. Effect of Leish4E-IP1 on the m^7GTP cap-binding affinity of LeishIF4E-1. (A) Structural alignment of the Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP11-52) and the mammalian eIF4E/m^7GTP/4E-BP1 complex [PDB ID: 5BXX, (31)] centered on the cap-binding pocket. LeishIF4E-1 and eIF4E are colored in cyan and orange, respectively. For clarity, Leish4E-IP11–52 and 4E-BP1 are omitted. The side chains of W56 and W102 from eIF4E, when bound to 4E-BP1, are in a ‘flipped-in’ conformation, while the side chains of W37 and W83 from LeishIF4E-1, when bound to Leish4E-IP1, are in a ‘flipped-out’ conformation. (B) 15N-1H-TROSY-HSQC spectra overlay between 15N13C-LeishIF4E-1 (cyan) acquired on 600 MHz spectrometer and 15N13C-LeishIF4E-1 bound to unlabeled Leish4E-IP11–52 in an equimolar ratio (purple). An enlarged portion of the spectra shows the tryptophan side chain area (See also Supplementary Figure S2). (C) Upper panel: Pull-down experiments with Streptavidin-binding peptide (SBP)-tagged LeishIF4E-1 (SBP-LeishIF4E-1) from L. amazonensis. Cell extracts were affinity purified over an adipic-agarose m^7GDP resin in the absence or presence of an increasing amount of recombinant His-GB1-TEV-Leish4E-IP11–52 or His-GB1 protein. Proteins that remained bound to the resin after several washes were separated on SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blot using an anti-SBP antibody, detecting LeishIF4E-1. Lower panel: Densitometry analysis of the blot shown in the upper panel. The effect of His-GB1-TEV-Leish4E-IP11–52 or His-GB1 on the binding of LeishIF4E-1 to the m^7GDP resin is presented as a percentage value when compared to SBP-LeishIF4E-1 in the absence of Leish4E-IP11–52 (SBP-LeishIF4E-1, 0 µg, set as 100% signal). The data are represented as the mean of at least three independent experiments. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.
Figure 4. Alternative models of Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1) function. (A) LeishIF4E-1 (IF4E-1, cyan) is a functional cap-binding protein and activates translation initiation. The binding of Leish4E-IP1 (4E-IP1, purple) inhibits translation initiation by preventing the binding of LeishIF4E-1 to the cap. (B) LeishIF4E-1 maintains mRNAs in a cap-dependent translational inactive state. Upon binding of Leish4E-IP1, LeishIF4E-1 dissociates from the cap, which frees the mRNAs and allows them to interact with a functional cap-binding protein (yellow) and to be actively translated. For clarity of the figure, proteins are not represented to scale.

LeishIF4E-1 is a functional cap-binding protein and mRNAs are actively translated.

Upon Leish4E-IP1 binding, LeishIF4E-1 dissociates from the cap, and cap-dependent translation initiation is inhibited.

LeishIF4E-1 maintains mRNAs in a cap-dependent translational inactive state.

Upon Leish4E-IP1 binding, LeishIF4E-1 dissociates from the cap, and a functional cap-binding protein promotes translation initiation.

(amino acids 53–100), while not included in the structure, could be involved in modulating the interaction with LeishIF4E-1 (either directly or allosterically). Additionally, binding of Leish4E-IP1 could be regulated by phosphorylation on sites located outside the N-terminal fragment used in this study, or LeishIF4E-1 itself could get phosphorylated to modulate its interaction with Leish4E-IP1. The Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1) interaction could also be regulated through post-translational modifications other than phosphorylation. Another possibility is that there is no need for active regulation of the interaction between the two proteins and that the dynamics of the interaction are controlled through ligand availability and concentration. Second, we observed that the binding of Leish4E-IP1 to LeishIF4E-1 leads to conformational changes in the side chains of tryptophans in LeishIF4E-1, which are most likely located in the cap-binding pocket. This is consistent with our observation that the addition of Leish4E-IP1 isolated from *Leishmania* parasites leads to the dissociation of LeishIF4E-1 from the m7GTP cap (Figure 3).

Our results suggest that Leish4E-IP1 is a cap-binding repressor of LeishIF4E-1. The molecular effect of Leish4E-IP1 on LeishIF4E-1 is thus different from the effect of 4E-BPs/eIF4Gs/Cup on eIF4E, which all have been shown to increase the affinity of eIF4E for the cap (56, 57) and share a similar footprint on eIF4E (Supplementary Figure S4). Leish4E-IP1 may be more similar to Really Interesting New Gene (RING) domain containing proteins, including the tumor suppressor promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML) and the arenavirus Z protein, which both reduce the affinity of eIF4E for m7GTP (58, 59). Residues of eIF4E involved with Z interaction have been described (60); however, no structure of an eIF4E/Z or eIF4E/PML complex is available for direct comparison. Therefore, the co-crystal structure of the *Leishmania* complex presented here, in combination with the NMR data, most clearly define a mechanism for cap-binding dysregulation. The identification of such a mode of regulation in human cells (whether it be by PML or by the viral Z protein), and now in a lower eukaryote (as presented here), further suggests that this mechanism is found in highly divergent life forms.

Despite LeishIF4E-1’s cap binding activity during prolonged exposure of parasites at increased temperatures and in acidic pH, an environment that would be encountered in the human host during infection, it is not known whether LeishIF4E-1 promotes translation initiation or keeps mRNAs in an inactive state by binding to their 5’ end of mRNAs and preventing cap-dependent translation. In light of our data, we propose two models to explain the role of Leish(IF4E-1/4E-IP1) complex formation during protein...
synthesis (Figure 4). In one model, LeishIF4E-1 is a functional cap-binding translation initiation factor. Upon binding of Leish4E-IP1, LeishIF4E-1 dissociates from the cap, which inhibits cap-dependent translation initiation mediated by LeishIF4E-1 (Figure 4A). An alternative model is that binding of LeishIF4E-1 to the cap maintains mRNAs in a cap-dependent translation inactive state (Figure 4B). Upon binding of Leish4E-IP1 to LeishIF4E-1, LeishIF4E-1 dissociates from the cap allowing a functional, yet unidentified, cap-binding protein to initiate translation. Additional studies would be required to support either model.
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