Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with chronic Chagas cardiomyopathy: long-term follow up

Abstract

Introduction: Chagas disease is a major cause of cardiomyopathy and sudden death in our country. It has a high mortality when their patients develop New York Heart Association (NYHA) class IV.

Objective: The objective of this study is to analyze the clinical outcome of patients with Chagas’ cardiomyopathy with congestive heart failure with optimized pharmacological therapy, undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy.

Methods: Between January 2004 and February 2009, 72 patients with Chagas’ cardiomyopathy in NYHA class III and IV underwent cardiac resynchronization therapy and were monitored to assess their clinical evolution. We used the t test or the Wilcoxon test to compare the same variable in two different times. A $P$ value $<$ 0.05 was established as statistically significant.

Results: The average clinical follow-up was 46.6 months (range 4-79 months). At the end of the evaluation, 87.4% of patients were in NYHA class I or II ($P$$<$0.001). There was response to therapy in 65.3% of patients ($P$$<$0.001), with an overall mortality of 34.7%.

Conclusion: In patients with chronic Chagas cardiomyopathy undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy, we found the following statistically significant changes: improvement in NYHA class and increase of left ventricle ejection fraction, a decrease of the systolic final diameter and systolic final left ventricle volume and improvement of patient survival.

Descriptors: Chagas disease. Chagas cardiomyopathy. Myocardiitis. Cardiomyopathy, dilated. Death, sudden, cardiac.
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INTRODUCTION

Chagas disease is a neglected disease in the world, and in Latin America there are nearly 10 million patients infected with Trypanosoma cruzi [1]. In 2005, it was estimated that, in Brazil, there were approximately 2 million infected [2].

Approximately 30% of these individuals will develop Chagas cardiomyopathy in a period between 10 and 30 years of disease [3].

Chronic Chagas cardiomyopathy is caused by the invasion of Trypanosoma cruzi in the muscular structures and electrical conduction of the heart tissue, leading to destruction of it and replacement by fibrous tissue [3].

It is estimated approximately 3,000 deaths occur each year related to Chagas disease in Brazil [2]. It is the most common cardiomyopathy in Central and South America and, in endemic areas, the leading cause of cardiovascular death in patients aged between 30 and 50 years old.

The fundamental determinant in the evolution of patients infected by Trypanosoma cruzi is cardiac involvement, due to the occurrence of arrhythmias, cardiac insufficiency in its varying degrees, and thromboembolic phenomena.

Currently, heart failure is the main cause of deaths related to Chagas disease in Brazil [4]. Serious ventricular arrhythmias, especially when associated with severe impairment of ventricular function, are important risk factors for sudden death [4].

In Chagas cardiomyopathy, NYHA functional class (the New York Heart Association - NYHA) and left ventricular systolic dysfunction assessed by ejection fraction (LVEF) are predictors of mortality, and patients with optimal medical treatment in functional class IV (NYHA) and LVEF less than 35% have only 16% survival at 36 months [5].

In a systematic review of observational studies, Rassi et al. [5] claim that chagasic patients with impaired ventricular function, cardiomegaly, functional class III and IV (NYHA) and episodes of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia have a poor prognosis in 12 months.

The potential hemodynamic benefit of biventricular pacing in humans was first demonstrated in 1983, but its clinical application occurred only in 1994, when Cazeau et al. [6] reported the case of a 54 year old patient with congestive heart failure functional class IV (NYHA), with electrocardiogram showing left bundle branch block with a QRS interval of 200 ms. The authors believe that the ventricular dyssynchrony was treated, and it was caused by the delay of the electrical impulse in left bundle branch block, and that the stimulation of the four chambers promotes a sequence of ventricular activation close to normal.

In 2000, Cazeau et al. [7], in an editorial of the journal Heart, assessed the concept of cardiac dyssynchrony, defined as a heterogeneous spread of electrical activity of the heart that occurs as a consequence of myocardial progressive focal or global degradation. Such a change in heart electrical propagation provides levels of atrioventricular asynchrony, interventricular and intraventricular [7,8].

Also in 2000, Leclercq et al. [9] present a pilot experience with biventricular pacemaker to treat advanced heart failure, mentioning the indications for the procedure: delayed dilated cardiomyopathy, NYHA functional class III or IV (NYHA) intraventricular conduction delay of the electrical stimulus.
From these original studies by Cazeau and Leclercq, several randomized clinical trials on cardiac resynchronization therapy [10-15] emerged. Since then, studies have shown benefit in morbidity and mortality of non-chagasic patients with chronic systolic heart failure undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy. However, few studies related to cardiac resynchronization therapy were performed in patients with chronic systolic heart failure due to Chagas’ cardiomyopathy. These studies led the Brazilian Society of Cardiology to publish, in 2007, together with the Department of Cardiac Pacing and Brazilian Society of Cardiac Arrhythmias, the Brazilian Guidelines for Cardiac Implantable Electronic Devices, normalizing the recommendations for cardiac resynchronization therapy.

In the literature, it is observed that these studies that supported the creation of the Brazilian guideline were performed in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy of ischemic or idiopathic etiology. Against the scenario of the large number of patients with Chagas cardiomyopathy and advanced heart failure in our country and the excellent results obtained mainly in Europe in the treatment of terminal dilated cardiomyopathy by cardiac resynchronization therapy, the Department of Cardiovascular Surgery and Electrophysiology Service of Santa Casa de São Paulo, together with the Department of Cardiology of Salinas, Minas Gerais, resolved in 2003, to initiate the study of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with Chagas’ disease.

The aim of this study is to assess the clinical long-term outcome of patients with Chagas cardiomyopathy with advanced heart failure undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy by assessing the functional class and echocardiographic parameters in 5 years.

METHODS

This study was approved by its Research Ethics Committee in Human Beings of the Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia de São Paulo, protocol No. 026/2011, on 28/01/2011. In the period between January 2004 and February 2009, were selected by the same cardiologist in Salinas, Minas Gerais, 72 patients with positive serology for Chagas cardiomyopathy and advanced heart failure, receiving optimal dose of the following drugs, according to the characteristics of their disease and specific indications: furosemide, spironolactone, hydrochlorothiazide, captopril, losartan, carvedilol, digoxin, warfarin, aspirin and amiodarone.

The inclusion criteria for the study were patients with the following characteristics: age over 18 years, positive serology for Chagas’ disease, severe heart failure despite optimal medical therapy, electrocardiogram with QRS interval greater than 120 ms, LVEF less than 35% and left ventricle end-diastolic diameter greater than 55 mm assessed by Doppler echocardiography. Patients with the following conditions were excluded: atrial arrhythmias, neoplastic disease, acquired valvular heart disease other than mitral regurgitation secondary to Chagas cardiomyopathy, thoracic aortic aneurysm and cerebral vascular disease.

Once filled the criteria, patients who agreed to participate in this study signed a written informed consent. Patients were referred to the Irmandade da Santa Casa de São Paulo, at the Cardiovascular Surgery Unit and, after surveying the clinical history, laboratory tests, medications, and complementary tests (blood count, thrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, serum sodium, potassium, urea and creatinine) underwent implantation of cardiac resynchronization device, placing an electrode in the right atrium and an electrode in the right ventricle (both intravascular), and an electrode in the lateral side of the left ventricle through a left anterior mini-thoracotomy in the 4th intercostal space, with placement of an epicardial lead. When possible, the electrode placement of the left ventricle was performed through the coronary venous sinus, moving the endocardial electrode on the left side of the left ventricular coronary vein.

Preoperative clinical parameters were:

Functional class: 60 (83.8%) patients were in functional class III and 12 (16.2%), functional class IV;
Medication used: 59 (81.9%) patients used amiodarone, 39 (54.1%), captopril, 72 (100%), carvedilol, 16 (22.2%), digoxin, 71 (98.6%), spironolactone, 68 (94.4%), furosemide and 11 (15.2%), losartan;
Electrocardiographic parameters: 34 (47.2%) patients had left bundle branch block, 11 (15.3%), pacemaker-induced left bundle branch block, 26 (36.2%), right bundle branch block + left anterior hemiblock and 1 (1.3%), complete atrioventricular block. The average width of the QRS interval was 148.1 ± 17.5 ms;

Doppler echocardiographic parameters: mean LVEF calculated by Teicholz method was 27.3 ± 7.7%, the average left ventricular end systolic diameter was 57.5 ± 7.2 mm, the end left ventricular diastolic diameter was 66.2 ± 7.6 mm, the mean left ventricular end-systolic volume was 167.8 ± 50.6ml and end-diastolic volume of the left ventricle average was 230±63.3ml;

Average dose of carvedilol 20±16.2mg;
Mean QRS interval before cardiac resynchronization: 140±38.2ms.

From January 2004 to November 2010, when the study was completed, patients underwent clinical and quarterly electrocardiographic control and echocardiographical control; also, assessment of cardiac resynchronization semianually was performed, observing the command analysis and sensitivity and statistical biventricular command.

In clinical management, in addition to the adequacy of...
medication treatment, we assessed the functional class according to the NYHA classification.

The electrocardiogram assessed the rhythm and biventricular command.

Doppler echocardiography assessed: LVEF, left ventricular end-systolic diameter, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, left ventricular end-systolic volume and left ventricular end-diastolic volume.

Performance assessment of resynchronization was performed at the Irmandade da Santa Casa de São Paulo, and the other exams in Salinas, Minas Gerais.

The criteria used for patients considered to be responders to cardiac resynchronization therapy were: being in functional class I or II (NYHA) or alive at the end of follow-up.

In statistical analysis and the construction of the graphs we used the GraphPad Prism software version 6.00 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA, www.graphpad.com), to make graphical comparisons of samples used in the study.

For analysis of the same variable of the same individual at two different times, we used the t test for paired samples (variable of parametric distribution) and Wilcoxon test (non-parametric variable distribution). In all tests, we used a significance level of 5% ($ P<0.05$).

RESULTS

The follow-up after implantation of resynchronization device occurred until November 2010, ranging from 4 to 79 months (mean follow-up of 46.6 months). The implantation of resynchronization was performed through mini-thoracotomy in 48 (66.7%) patients and via venous sinus in 24 (33.3%).

At the final follow-up, 45.8% of patients were in functional class I, 41.6% in functional class II, 7% in functional class III and 5.6% in functional class IV (NYHA). Regarding the response to cardiac resynchronization therapy, it was observed that 47 (65.3%) patients responded to cardiac resynchronization therapy and 24 (33.3%) did not respond with loss to follow-up of 1 (1.4%) patient. The overall mortality was 34.7% (25 patients), and the causes of death were worsening heart failure in 15 (60%) cases, ischemic stroke in 1 (4%), sudden death in 2 (8%), endocarditis in 1 (4%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in 1 (4%), pneumonia in 1 (4%) and 4 (16%) patients had unknown cause of death.

LVEF ranged from 27.3% to 44.2%, on average, after implantation of the cardiac resynchronization device ($ P<0.0001$) (Figure 1).

The left ventricular end-systolic volume decreased from 167.8 ml to 130.9 ml on average after implantation of the cardiac resynchronization device ($ P<0.0001$) (Figure 3).

The left ventricular end-diastolic volume decreased from 230.0 ml to 224.5 ml on average after implantation of cardiac resynchronization device ($ P=0.206$).
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DISCUSSION

Dilated cardiomyopathy combines primary abnormalities in the heart muscle, with alterations in filling its chambers, neurohormonal activation and molecular adaptations triggered by increasing stress and the endocardial hypertrophy. In conjunction with these changes, affecting the myocardium diffusely, changes in electrical conduction can change the range of atrioventricular conduction or delay in the portions of the left ventricle relative to each other, generating a contractile dyssynchrony. This dyssynchrony is frequently observed in patients with a widened QRS complex and left bundle branch block - the standard of intraventricular conduction disturbance.

In the present study, performed in an uncontrolled way and not randomized, we observed that 87.4% of patients were in functional class I or II at the end of follow-up, and we found only 12.6% in functional class III or IV. We found 33% of patients who did not respond to cardiac resynchronization therapy, given that this is slightly higher than that found in patients with heart failure of ischemic or idiopathic etiology.

The observed increase in LVEF was 61.9 % on average between the beginning and end of follow-up, with a percentage reduction of 11.4% in left ventricular end-systolic diameter and 21.9% in left ventricular end-systolic volume.

A number of randomized studies have demonstrated that cardiac resynchronization therapy leads to reduced dimensions and internal volume of the left ventricle and increased LVEF when compared to drug therapy. Although most of the remodeling occurs between 3 and 9 months after cardiac resynchronization therapy, remodeling still occurs up to 18 months [16]. A decrease of the end-systolic left ventricular volume of more than 10% after cardiac resynchronization therapy was associated with lower mortality in an observational study [17].

At the molecular level, there is a reduction in interstitial fibrosis in the proinflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor-alpha and decreased cellular apoptosis [18]. The improvement in ventricular function after cardiac resynchronization therapy is also associated with favorable changes in genes that regulate the contractile apparatus and pathological myocardial hypertrophy [19].

CONCLUSION

In patients with chronic Chagas cardiomyopathy undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy, we found the following statistically significant changes: the functional class; improvement in LVEF, decrease in left end-systolic diameter and end-systolic volume on Doppler echocardiography.
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