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ABSTRACT

Among the worldwide existing long-term aerosol monitoring sites, the Jungfraujoch (JFJ) belongs to the category where both free tropospheric (FT) conditions and influence from planetary boundary layer (PBL) injections can be observed. Thus, it is possible to characterize free tropospheric aerosol as well as the effects of vertical transport of more polluted air from the PBL. This paper summarizes the current knowledge of the key properties for the JFJ aerosol, gained from the large number of in-situ studies from more than 20 years of aerosol measurements at the site. This includes physical, chemical and optical aerosol properties as well as aerosol-cloud interactions and cloud characteristics. It is illustrated that the aerosol size distribution and the aerosol chemical composition are fairly constant in time due to the long distance from aerosol sources, and that many climate relevant aerosol properties can be derived due to this behavior.
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INTRODUCTION: HISTORY OF AEROSOL MEASUREMENTS AT THE JUNGFRAUJOCH

The High Altitude Research Station Jungfraujoch (3580 m asl, 46°32′N 7°59′E), hereafter abbreviated as JFJ (see list of abbreviations at the end of this review), was founded in 1930 (http://www.hfsjg.ch) and is located on an exposed anticline in the Swiss Alps (see Fig. 1(a)). First sporadic aerosol research activities took place at the JFJ in the early 1970s to investigate the chemical composition of the aerosol at the JFJ (Dams and de Jonge, 1976; Adams et al., 1980). At the same time (1973), semi-continuous measurements of the total mass concentration (total suspended particles, TSP) and particulate sulfate started in support of international research programs focusing on long-range transport and acid rain issues. In 1978, these measurements became part of the Swiss National Air Pollution Monitoring Network (Gehrig et al., 1986). First continuous aerosol measurements with 30-min time resolution were initiated in 1986 using an epiphanometer (Gäggeler et al., 1989; Baltensperger et al., 1991). In 1995, MeteoSwiss established a Swiss contribution to the Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) program of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO). This program included an aerosol component, with a wide variety of variables being measured since then, as listed in Table 1. To provide an overview of the available long-term data, Fig. 1 shows the temporal evolution of various aerosol parameters continuously measured for at least 20 years. These continuous measurements have been highly useful for the detection of recurring and singular aerosol transport events. At the JFJ this is exemplified by the detection of Saharan dust events (Schwikowski et al., 1998; Collaud Coen et al., 2004), by the observation of the remainders of a forest fire in the US (Petzold et al., 2007) or by the characterization of aerosol properties within the volcanic aerosol plume.
Fig. 1. Panel a: View of the station at Jungfraujoch (JFJ). Panels b–e: Temporal evolution of the continuously measured aerosol parameters at the Jungfraujoch. For TSP and PM$_{10}$ monthly average values are shown, for the rest of the parameter the 30-day running average of the daily average values. The dashed vertical lines (Panels c–e) indicate that in January 1998, the entire aerosol laboratory was moved from the old JFJ research station (3454 m asl) to the JFJ Sphinx research station (3580 m asl) and a new inlet was employed (Weingartner et al., 1999; Collaud Coen et al., 2007). Gravimetric TSP and PM$_{10}$ is sampled separately (see Table 1).

from the eruption of the Eijfjallajökull volcano in 2010 (Bukowiecki et al., 2010). The operational measurements also provide a vital basis for intermittent intensive measurement campaigns with international participation. The Cloud and Aerosol Characterization Experiments (CLACE), initiated in 2000, still focus on in-depth aerosol characterization, cloud microphysics, and aerosol-cloud interactions.

Among the worldwide existing long-term aerosol monitoring sites, the JFJ belongs to the category where both free tropospheric (FT) conditions and influences from planetary boundary layer (PBL) injections can be observed. Thus, it is possible to characterize free tropospheric aerosol as well as the effects of vertical transport of more polluted air from the PBL. This review summarizes the current
Table 1. List of continuously measured aerosol parameters and instruments at the Jungfraujoch (JFJ). Additional short-term measurements are mentioned and referenced in the text.

| Parameter | Employed method or instrument | Long-term measuring period | Technical description of instrument use at the JFJ |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Mass concentration: | | | |
| PM<sub>10</sub> | Betagauge (Eberline Inc.) | 2.2004–6.2014 | |
| TSP | Betagauge (Eberline Inc.) | 6.2004–12.2006 | |
| PM<sub>10</sub> | Betagauge (Eberline Inc.) | 1.2007–ongoing | |
| TSP | HiVol, Gravimetry (every 2<sup>nd</sup> day) | 4.1973–12.2005 | |
| PM<sub>10</sub> | HiVol, Gravimetry (daily) | 1.2006–ongoing | |
| Major chemical components in two size fractions*<sup>1</sup> (PM<sub>10</sub> and TSP) | Inorganic fraction: | 7.1999–ongoing | Henning et al. (2002), Cozic et al. (2008a) |
| | Ion chromatography | | |
| | (sampling every 6th day) | | |
| Light absorption coefficients and equivalent black carbon at*<sup>1</sup> | - a wavelength of 880 ± 50 nm | Aethalometer (AE10, Magee Inc.) | 7.1995–3.2001 | Weingartner et al. (2003), Collaud Coen et al. (2010) |
| | - 7 defined wavelengths | Aethalometer (AE31, Magee Inc.) | 3.2001–ongoing | |
| | - 637 nm | MAAP (Carusso) | 3.2003–ongoing | |
| | - 7 defined wavelengths | Aethalometer (AE33, Magee Inc.) | 10.2014–ongoing | |
| Light scattering and backscattering coefficient at 3 defined wavelengths*<sup>1</sup> | Nephelometer | TSI 3563 (TSI Inc.) | 7.1995–ongoing | |
| | | Aurora 3000 (Ecotech Inc.) | 11.2013–ongoing | |
| Number concentration*<sup>1</sup> | Condensation particle counter | | |
| | N > 3 nm | CPC 3025 (TSI Inc.) | 7.1995–3.1997 | |
| | N > 10 nm | CPC 3010 (TSI Inc.) | 3.1997–3.2009 | |
| | N > 10 nm | CPC 3772 (TSI Inc.) | 6.2008–ongoing | |
| Aerosol size distribution*<sup>1</sup> | | | |
| D<sub>mobility</sub> = 18–750 nm | Scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) | 1997/98 | Weingartner et al. (1999) |
| D<sub>mobility</sub> = 20–600 nm | Scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) | 2008–ongoing | Jurányi et al. (2011) |
| D<sub>optical</sub> = 0.3–20 µm | Optical particle size spectrometer | (Dust monitor 1.108, Grimm Inc.) | 1.2008–ongoing | Bukowiecki et al. (2011) |
| CCN number concentration*<sup>1</sup> (at various supersaturations) | Cloud condensation nuclei counter | | Jurányi et al. (2011) |
| | (CCNC, DMT Inc.) | | |

*<sup>1</sup> Measured at RH ~10% (fulfilling the recommendations by WMO/GAW, 2003) and laboratory temperature behind a heated inlet (see Weingartner et al., 1999).<br/>

*<sup>2</sup> Only technical references with a detailed discussion of JFJ specific instrument handling and data treatment are shown.

Knowledge of the key properties of the JFJ aerosol, gained from the large number of in-situ studies (more than 100 peer-reviewed publications at the time of appearance of this article). Columnar optical aerosol properties (AOD), gas phase measurements as well as remote sensing studies performed at the JFJ (for the investigation of the troposphere further above the site) are discussed in the context of the in-situ measurements but are not in the focus of this overview.

THE ORIGIN OF THE AEROSOL MEASURED AT THE JFJ

Henne et al. (2010) recently classified the JFJ as being mostly remote. Due to its remote location there are only a few local sources influencing the aerosol at the JFJ. Instead, aerosol variability is for the most part dominated by meteorology-driven transport, namely by the degree of vertical mixing and cloud presence along the air mass trajectory (see next section). Long-range transports such as the special but still frequent cases of Saharan dust events (SDE) are also important regarding various aerosol properties. SDE occur quite frequently at the JFJ, in extreme cases resulting in PM<sub>10</sub> mass concentration (mass concentration of particles with an aerodynamic diameter < 10 µm) of up to ~100 µg m<sup>-3</sup> and reddish dust deposits on the glaciers. These events can be reliably detected via the aerosol optical properties, as described later in this article (Section “Aerosol Optical Properties”).

As a result, a large part of the aerosol studies related to the JFJ divide their data into three main categories, i.e., free tropospheric conditions, PBL-influenced, and SDE, although a clear separation between these categories is not always straightforward. Further divisions are often done by horizontal wind direction or source area as well as effects of clouds and wet removal. Accordingly, these classifications will also be used in this overview.

In addition to the above, new particle formation events near or at the Jungfraujoch are regularly observed (Nyeki et al., 1999; Weingartner et al., 1999; Boulon et al., 2001; Nessler et al., 2003; Boulon et al., 2010; Manninen et al.,
2010; Herrmann et al., 2015). They are estimated to occur approximately 15% of the time (Herrmann et al., 2015), very similar to the frequency within the European PBL (Manninen et al., 2010). Due to their small diameter (< ~20 nm), the nucleation mode particles hardly influence bulk aerosol properties except for the particle number concentration. Boulon et al. (2010) showed that newly formed particles are correlated with UV but not with calculated H$_2$SO$_4$ and concluded that organics are likely to be involved in the initial stages of new particle formation. The same study also found an increased concentration of precursor clusters in air masses arriving from Eastern Europe.

Apart from the PBL and long-range transported aerosols, emissions from local activities influence the observed aerosol properties at times. These emissions are either directly caused by the tourists visiting the JFJ, e.g., tobacco smoke, or they are associated with the tourist infrastructure, e.g., helicopter flights, cooking, snowcat driving as well as occasional rock drilling. Snowcat driving and other local combustion sources are clearly seen in black carbon measurements, while helicopter emissions are primarily seen in the particle number concentration (Baltensperger et al., 1997). Furthermore the presence of lead in the JFJ aerosol has been attributed to leaded fuel used by helicopters and small planes (Worringen et al., 2014), although Cziczo et al. (2009) hypothesized that these lead-containing particles rather originate from the free-tropospheric background. The most regular local pollution source, however, is tobacco smoke (first discussed by Morrical et al., 2002, recently also described by Fröhlich et al., 2015). While frequently seen, the local emissions usually have a limited influence on mean values of most aerosol properties. Nevertheless, filtering and flagging are main components of the quality control procedures before delivery to data centers.

FREE TROPOSPHERIC VS. PLANETARY BOUNDARY LAYER INFLUENCED AEROSOL

The presence of PBL-influenced air at the JFJ is the result of manifold meteorological processes taking place over complex terrain both on very local but also larger scale spatial levels. Uplifting of PBL air to the JFJ is mainly driven by convective PBL growth processes and mountain venting (Nyeki et al., 2000; Henne et al., 2005; Collaud Coen et al., 2011; Ketterer et al., 2014), but elevated aerosol layers are also transported to the site by advection (Nyeki et al., 2002; Collaud Coen et al., 2011). More specifically, the PBL over the Swiss plateau (a region of lower altitude north of JFJ surrounded by the Alps to the south and by the Jura mountains to the north-west) is advected to the Jungfraujoch with north-westerly winds. During this process, the PBL air over the Swiss plateau is transported upwards by slope winds to the JFJ and is mixed with free tropospheric air (Collaud Coen et al., 2011). For cloud-free cases, this has been found to occur if the PBL over the Swiss plateau is higher than 2,800 m a.s.l. (Ketterer et al., 2014). In contrast, the JFJ is influenced by a PBL which is formed over the inner Alpine area and advected to the site during conditions with south-easterly winds (Lugauer et al., 1998).

The discrimination between FT background and PBL influenced conditions is central for understanding of the observed properties of the JFJ aerosol. Dams and De Jonge (1976) found a clear seasonal cycle in TSP concentrations, which was attributed to wind-blown dust from the local mountains, while the snow cover was thought to suppress this in winter. This clear seasonal cycle was subsequently confirmed by the continuous TSP observations (e.g., Gehrig, 1986), but it was only a decade later that it was recognized that vertical transport of PBL air masses to the JFJ is responsible for this seasonality (Baltensperger et al., 1991; 1997). This could be confirmed with airborne lidar measurements when transects over the JFJ massive were flown on a summer day (Nyeki et al., 2000; 2002). The distinct seasonality due to the vertical transport is observed for all of the in-situ aerosol parameters (see Fig. 1) and also for the aerosol optical depth (Ingold et al., 2001).

Baltensperger et al. (1997) suggested separating FT and PBL influences by selecting certain time periods, i.e., night and early morning from 03:00 to 09:00 (local standard time), to represent FT conditions. This simple classification based on time of day, was used by Nyeki et al. (1998b) and Weingartner et al. (1999), but it was recognized that this approach was quite coarse due to the complex topography of the Alps. Lugauer et al. (1998) showed how the amount of PBL influence at the JFJ relates to the synoptic conditions using the routinely available alpine weather statistics (AWS; Schiepp, 1979), a synoptic weather classification system. The link between these weather classes and vertical transport and the effects on diurnal and seasonal cycles of aerosol properties was addressed in further studies (Lugauer et al., 2000; Zellweger et al., 2000; Kammermann et al., 2010; Collaud Coen et al., 2011). However, the AWS classification is purely qualitative and only provides the likelihood of PBL influence.

Using tracers for transport promises a better time resolution and more quantitative determination of PBL influence at the JFJ. Zellweger et al. (2003) found that NO$_2$/CO, a common tracer for the photochemical age of an air mass, is a suitable parameter to distinguish between free tropospheric conditions and PBL influence. More recently, Griffiths et al. (2014) used $^{222}$Rn concentrations to quantify the PBL influence. During the last decade, Lagrangian trajectory models such as LAGRANTO and Lagrangian dispersion models such as FLEXPART have been proven to be useful tools to identify the PBL influence and source regions of air pollutants (e.g., Balzani Lööv et al., 2008; Sturm et al., 2013). Most recently, Herrmann et al. (2015) compared the ability of NO$_2$/CO, $^{222}$Rn concentrations, and FLEXPART simulation results to determine PBL influence. As expected, none of them works perfectly well on a single case basis, but on average they all provide consistent results. FT background conditions prevail about 39% of the time, with a maximum over 60% in winter and a minimum of about 20% in summer. PBL contact along the back trajectory most likely happens either within ~24 hours before arriving at the JFJ or then longer than 5 days ago, as vertical transport is much more efficient over the Alps than over flat terrain. Also, Collaud Coen et al. (2011) and Ketterer et al. (2014)
explained the PBL influence during the night by the presence of a residual layer. Herrmann et al. (2015) found that the number concentration of accumulation mode particles under FT background conditions is very constant throughout the year, with $N_{>90nm}$ (the number concentration of particles with diameters $>90$ nm) ranging between 35 and 50 cm$^{-3}$. With PBL influence, $N_{>90nm}$ strongly increases. Thus, the number concentration of accumulation mode particles is also a suitable proxy for FT background conditions. Remote sensing with wind profilers or lidars, very common tools for probing vertical mixing and aerosol layering, have only been applied in one study so far in the vicinity of the JFJ (Ketterer et al., 2014).

### Number Concentration, Size Distribution and Bulk Chemical Composition

The physical and chemical aerosol properties that are primarily relevant for aerosol-climate interactions are the particle number concentration, the aerosol size distribution and the chemical composition, as they determine the aerosol optical properties and the aerosol-cloud interactions of the bulk aerosol. This section presents findings on these three key properties under FT and PBL influenced conditions.

#### Particle Number Concentration Climatology

Routine total particle number concentration measurements started in 1995; the lower size cut of the condensation particle counters (CPC) was changed from 3 to 10 nm in 1997 (see Table 1). The total particle number concentration exhibits a distinct seasonal cycle (Fig. 1(e)) due to the seasonality of vertical transport as already shown by Nyeki et al. (1998b).

In summertime, when the typical PBL injections reach the site, the monthly average total number concentrations range between 800 and 1400 cm$^{-3}$ (see Fig. 1 and Table 2). In wintertime when FT conditions dominate, monthly mean number concentrations vary between 200 and 600 cm$^{-3}$. The total particle number concentration shows considerable temporal variability as it is also influenced by nucleation events. Nucleation mode particles can dominate the total particle number concentration at times; during strong nucleation events concentrations of $N_{>16nm}$ up to 17'000 cm$^{-3}$ are reached, the vast majority of those being below 25 nm (Herrmann et al., 2015).

Collaud Coen et al. (2007) performed a first trend analysis with 10.5 years of available data. They found a marginal increase in total particle number concentration during winter months, tentatively due to a general temperature increase and thus more PBL lifting also in winter, or due to long-range transport related effects. However, no statistically significant trend was found for the entire 1997–2010 period (13 years) by Asmi et al. (2013). A significantly decreasing number concentration was only found for June (~2.5% per year), in addition to a slight, insignificant increase (but in line with Collaud Coen et al., 2007) in January and February (~2.5% per year). A possible change in nucleation event frequencies at the JFJ has not been addressed in trend analysis studies so far.

#### Number Size Distribution

First aerosol size distribution measurements at the JFJ were performed for a whole year in 1996/1997 with an optical particle size spectrometer (OPSS, Nyeki et al., 1998a, b), followed by 14 months in 1997/1998 (Weingartner et al., 1999) with a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and continuous SMPS/OPSS measurements since 2008 (see Table 1 for instrument details and references). These studies consistently showed that the number size distribution of the JFJ aerosol most of the time consists of distinct Aitken and accumulation modes (Herrmann et al., 2015). Additionally, there is a nucleation mode during new particle formation events, however, a substantial portion of that one falls below the lower detection limit of the SMPS applied in the monitoring program. Fig. 2 shows the mean seasonal pattern of the Aitken and accumulation mode properties (multi-modal lognormal fits). The Aitken mode and accumulation mode diameters shown in Panel (a) only exhibit a very weak seasonality. For the 6-year measurement period from 2008–2014, the modal diameters of Aitken and accumulation mode were found to be 45 ± 11 nm (mean ± 1 standard deviation) and 135 ± 26 nm, respectively (Herrmann et al., 2015). This is in good agreement with those observed by Weingartner et al. (1999) during the measurements in 1997/98.

Similar to the total number concentration in Fig. 1(e), the seasonal pattern of the integrated SMPS number concentration (Fig. 2(b)) is due to the seasonality of the PBL influence (except for somewhat lower values due to higher cut-off diameter of the SMPS compared to the total number concentration measured by the CPC). Furthermore, Herrmann et al. (2015) also pointed out a clear Hoppel minimum around 80–90 nm in the number size distributions (Hoppel et al., 1986), indicating that the aerosol arriving at the JFJ typically experienced in-cloud processing during their travel, where particles above this diameter were activated and gained aerosol mass through aqueous phase processes. The observed Hoppel minimum agrees well with the mean activation diameter found for the JFJ aerosol (see Section “Aerosol-cloud interactions”).

#### Bulk Chemical Composition

The size segregated chemical bulk composition (TSP and PM$_{1}$, size cut in accordance with WMO/GAW, 2003 and verified by Streit et al., 2000) has been measured semi-continuously (every 6th day) since 1999 with offline ion chromatography on filter samples (ionic species, see Table 1 for details). The routine filter analyses have been complemented by campaign-wise aerosol mass spectrometer measurements (AMS, Aerodyne Inc.), which provide highly time-resolved composition of non-refractory PM$_{1}$ components. This section puts a focus on the PM$_{1}$ mass balance and coarse mode composition at the JFJ, while a separate discussion of carbonaceous matter is provided in the next section due to changing terminologies in the last two decades.

The chemical composition of PM$_{1}$ at the JFJ has been addressed in several studies (long-term: Henning et al., 2003; Cozic et al., 2008a; short-term: Krivacsy et al., 2001;
Table 2. “The Jungfraujoch (JFJ) aerosol in a nutshell”. Selected key parameters of the JFJ aerosol.

| Parameter | Value | References |
|-----------|-------|------------|
| **Aerosol relevant air mass characteristics** | | |
| FT conditions | Annual: 37%, summer: 20% (minimum), winter: 60% (maximum) | Herrmann et al. (2015) and references therein. |
| Cloud presence | 40% throughout the year | Baltensperger et al. (1998), Herrmann et al. (2015) |
| **Number concentration** | | |
| $N_{>10nm}$ | | |
| FT conditions | Condensation particle counter (TSI CPC 3010/3772, $N_{>10nm}$) data 1997–2015, statistics from monthly averages (see Fig. 1 of this review). |
| Nucleation events | | |
| **Size distribution** | | |
| Aitken mode | Modal diameter: 45 ± 11 nm (mean ± 1 standard deviation) | Herrmann et al. (2015) |
| Accumulation mode | Modal diameter: 135 ± 26 nm | |
| **Chemical composition** | | |
| PM$_1$ | Organic matter (~51%), sulfate (~23%), ammonium (~14%), nitrate (~8%) and eBC (~4%) | Fig. 2 of this review and references therein. |
| Coarse mode | Dominated by mineral dust (Saharan dust and rocks) | Section “Bulk chemical composition” of this review. |
| **Equivalent black carbon (eBC) ($\lambda = 880$ nm)** | | |
| ng m$^{-3}$ | Aethalometer (AE31) data 2001–2015, daily averages (see Fig. 1 of this review) |
| | | |
| **Optical properties** | | |
| **Climatologies** | | |
| Scattering coefficient ($\lambda = 550$ nm) | Annual | 4.4 | 1.9 | 12.1 |
| | Summer* | 8.2 | 3.1 | 26.9 |
| | Winter | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.9 |
| Absorption coefficient ($\lambda = 550$ nm) | Annual | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| | Summer* | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| | Winter | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Single scattering albedo ($\lambda = 550$ nm) | Annual | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.94 |
| | Summer* | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.94 |
| | Winter | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.94 |
| Scattering Angstrom coefficient | Annual | 1.59 | 0.68 | 2.28 |
| | Summer* | 1.93 | 1.22 | 2.32 |
| | Winter | 1.29 | 0.23 | 2.31 |
| Backscattered fraction ($\lambda = 550$ nm) | Annual | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.19 |
| | Summer* | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.16 |
| | Winter | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.22 |
| Saharan Dust Events (SDE) | Average without SDE | 3.98 | 15.95 |
| Scattering coef. [Mm$^{-1}$] ($\lambda = 450$ nm) | Average with SDE | |
| Absorption coef. [Mm$^{-1}$] ($\lambda = 470$ nm) | | |
| | | |
| N [cm$^{-3}$] | 552 | 590 |
| SSA | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Scattering exponent $\delta_s$ | 1.97 | 0.5 |
| Absorption exponent $\delta_a$ | 1.1 | 1.48 |
| SSA exponent $\delta_{SSA}$ | 0.11 | -0.07 |

* increased PBL influence in summer.
** dry sampling conditions (values not corrected for scattering enhancement by water uptake).
### Table 2. (continued).

#### Optical properties (continued)

| Parameter                  | Value                          | References                                                                 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Scattering enhancement $f(RH)$ B: 450 nm; G: 550 nm; R: 700 nm |                                 | Section "The effect of water uptake on the aerosol optical properties" of this review. |
| No SDE influence           | $\gamma$                       | B: 0.46, 0.5, 0.53, G: 0.47, 0.51, 0.55, R: 0.10, 0.11, 0.13                |
|                           | $\alpha$                       | B: 0.82, 0.8, 0.8, G: 0.81, 0.79, 0.8, R: 0.06, 0.06, 0.07                 |
|                           | $f(RH = 85\%)$                  | B: 1.96, 2.06, 2.19, G: 1.99, 2.09, 2.24, R: 0.07, 0.07, 0.09             |
| SDE events                | $\gamma$                       | B: 0.11, 0.09, 0.08, G: 0.11, 0.08, 0.07, R: 0.06, 0.05, 0.05            |
|                           | $f(RH = 85\%)$                  | B: 1.99, 2.09, 2.24, G: 1.99, 2.09, 2.24, R: 0.07, 0.07, 0.09             |

#### Aerosol hygroscopicity and CCN properties

| Parameter                  | Value                          | References                                                                 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mean growth factors at 90\% RH | Average | Median | 0.25 perc. | 0.75 perc. | Kammermann et al. (2010) |
| Dry particle diameter 50 nm | Annual  | FT     | 1.34       | 1.35       | 1.22       | 1.47                     |
|                            | SDE    |         | 1.35       | 1.36       | 1.19       | 1.51                     |
| Dry particle diameter 110 nm | Annual  | FT     | 1.43       | 1.46       | 1.33       | 1.56                     |
|                            | SDE    |         | 1.45       | 1.48       | 1.35       | 1.58                     |
| Dry particle diameter 265 nm | Annual  | FT     | 1.46       | 1.51       | 1.35       | 1.6                      |
|                            | SDE    |         | 1.47       | 1.52       | 1.37       | 1.61                     |

| Hygroscopicity parameter $\kappa$ | 0.20–0.25 for particles larger than 80 nm | Kammermann et al. (2010), Jurányi et al. (2011), Fig. 6 of this review. |

#### CCN Climatology: CCN Number concentration $N_{CCN}$

| Supersaturation [%] | Annual median | Summer median | Winter median | Kammermann et al. (2010) |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|
|                     | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.59 | 0.71 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 1.07 | 1.18 | Jurányi et al. (2011) |
|                     | 43  | 95  | 129 | 151 | 169 | 184 | 200 | 215 | 227 | 257 |                     |
|                     | 99  | 205 | 280 | 330 | 366 | 411 | 447 | 473 | 471 | 527 |                     |
|                     | 17  | 40  | 51  | 61  | 68  | 74  | 82  | 88  | 94  | 114 |                     |

#### CCN Climatology: Activated Fraction $N_{CCN/N_{>10nm}}$

| Supersaturation [%] | Annual median | Summer median | Winter median | Kammermann et al. (2010) and Fig. 7 of this review. |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                     | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.59 | 0.71 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 1.07 | 1.18 | Jurányi et al. (2011) |
|                     | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.54 |                     |
|                     | 0.12 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.70 |                     |
|                     | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.26 |                     |

#### Aerosol-cloud interactions

| In-cloud aerosol characteristics | Average | Median | 0.25 perc. | 0.75 perc. | Hammer et al. (2014a) |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Peak supersaturation [%]: clouds arriving from NW | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0.73 | | |
| Peak supersaturation [%]: clouds arriving from SE | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.45 | | |
| Peak supersaturation [%]: all clouds | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.61 | |
| Activation threshold diameter [nm]: all clouds | 98 | 87 | 65 | 113 | |

Ice nucleating particles and ice residual particles: $< 3 \text{ L}^{-1}$ up to $14 \text{ L}^{-1}$

Section "Mixed-phase and glaciated clouds" of this review and references therein.

Choularton et al., 2008; Jimenez et al., 2009; Lanz et al., 2010). The mass concentration of individual species or compound classes largely follows the strong seasonal cycle common to most aerosol variables. The comparison of the results for multiple years, certain seasons or campaigns (Fig. 3(a)) shows that the observed mass fractions of individual species/compound classes are essentially similar for all studies. This means that the averaged mass fractions vary only a little with season, although some temporal variability is of course observed (e.g., Sjogren et al., 2008; Juranyi et al., 2010). In Fig. 3(b), the results from the studies included in Fig. 3(a) are aggregated to a representative average of the PM$_1$ composition. Organic matter dominates with ~51\% mass fraction, followed by sulfate (~23\%),

*increased PBL influence in summer.*
ammonium (~14%), nitrate (~8%) and equivalent black carbon (eBC, ~4%, terminology see next section). The low black carbon mass fraction is typical for aged aerosol and the inorganic to organic ratio falls within the typical range of values observed around the globe (Jimenez et al., 2009). Cozic et al. (2008a) investigated the ion balance for sulfate, nitrate and ammonium for the filter samples and AMS measurements and concluded that generally the sulfate and nitrate were neutralized by ammonia. Nevertheless, the nitrate to sulfate ratio is much lower than in the lower-altitude PBL, due to the strong vertical gradient of ammonia in the troposphere (Lanz et al., 2010). Occasionally, especially at low concentrations with a usually higher FT influence, the ammonia concentration is not even sufficient to fully neutralize the sulfuric acid (Cozic et al., 2008a). The same is also noticed in the hygroscopicity measurements (see below, e.g., Sjogren et al., 2008). Among the inorganic species, Henning et al. (2003) and Cozic et al. (2008a) found no significant temporal evolution other than the dominating seasonal cycle caused by the PBL influence.

PM, contributes about 63% to TSP on average, while the remaining 37% are contributed by the coarse mode (measurement period 2004–2007, Cozic et al., 2008a). The composition of the coarse mode is dominated by mineral components due to Saharan dust and dust from the rocks around the JFJ (e.g., Streit et al., 2000). Calcium and nitrate are the only species with a significant contribution to the coarse mode among those covered by the long-term ion measurements (Henning et al., 2003; Cozic et al., 2008a).

The studies hypothesize that nitrate is linked with calcium in the coarse mode by the reaction of mineral dust particles with nitric acid to form Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$. Other coarse mode compounds relevant for a mass balance have not been regularly measured (e.g., silica).

During SDE events, the coarse mode gives the dominant contribution to TSP and its composition is dominated by that of aged Saharan dust. Collaud Coen et al. (2004) showed through elemental analysis of filter and ice core samples from JFJ that the concentrations of magnesium, calcium and potassium were significantly enhanced during SDE.
All these components are actually constituents of illite and montmorillonite. Additionally, Grobéty et al. (2011) found that most of the SDE particles are clay minerals, which contain attachments or inclusions of hematite (Fe₂O₃) and rutile (TiO₂) with diameters between 40–200 nm.

The volatility of the bulk aerosol at the JFJ has only been addressed in a few studies so far. Burtscher et al. (2001) applied a thermodesorber downstream of an SMPS during a nucleation burst. About 90% of nucleation mode particles in the diameter range 19–25 nm evaporated at \( T < 125^\circ \text{C} \). This is in contrast to larger particles, which are characterized by a much lower volatility: 40, 60 and 88% of the SMPS volume measured at 30°C volatilized after exposure to 125, 175 and 275°C, respectively. In another study, Nessler et al. (2003) found that 28% of particles < 100 nm evaporated when comparing ambient (ambient temperature and relative humidity) to dry (laboratory temperature and relative humidity) SMPS size distributions. At the same time, dry number size distributions were shifted to slightly lower diameters, mostly due to the loss of water.

**Carbonaceous Matter**

Carbonaceous aerosol comprises organic matter and black carbon. The black carbon mass (BC) concentration has continuously been measured at the JFJ since 1995 by aethalometers (AE10 and AE31) and since 2003 also by a multi-angle absorption photometer (MAAP, see Table 1). Both methods only provide “equivalent black carbon” (eBC) mass concentrations (see Petzold et al., 2013 for BC related terminology), as the BC mass is inferred from the light absorption measurements using a mass absorption cross section (MAC). Cozic et al. (2007) determined the site specific MAC value by relating the absorption coefficient measured by the MAAP with the mass concentration of elemental carbon (EC) determined with a thermal optical method (Sunset ECOC analyzer; EUSAAR-2 protocol, Cavalli et al., 2010). For winter and summer they found MAC values of 7.6 m² g⁻¹ and 11 m² g⁻¹ (for eBC at \( \lambda = 637 \text{ nm} \)), respectively. Weingartner et al. (2003) and Collaud Coen et al. (2010) used aethalometer and MAAP data sets to develop new data analysis algorithms to correctly infer the
absorption coefficient from aethalometer raw data. This also ensures that older BC data derived from the AE31 can be tied to the MAAP time series in a homogeneous manner. For the AE10, the instrument and site-specific conversion factor was determined by Lavanchy et al. (1999).

Liu et al. (2010) characterized BC at the JFJ using a Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2). By comparison against the MAAP they determined a MAC value of ~10.2 m² g⁻¹ (at λ = 637 nm), which is consistent with above results. They also showed that ~40% of the BC particles are internally mixed with large amounts of other aerosol components. However, this fraction is a lower limit for the degree of internal mixing as it did not include BC particles with moderate coatings.

Most studies investigating the organic matter at the JFJ applied thermal optical methods or an AMS. Krivacsy et al. (2001) showed with thermal optical methods that for a summer case about half of the organic species (within PM₂.₅) were water soluble. Furthermore, the comparison of thermal-optical methods to an AMS for the wintertime aerosol provided an organic matter to organic carbon ratio of OM/OC = 1.8 (Cozie et al., 2008a), which is consistent with the O:C (oxygen to carbon) ratio of 0.5 determined by Jimenez et al. (2009) from the mass spectrum. These values are typical for a highly oxidized and photochemically aged aerosol as already shown in a qualitative manner by Alfarra et al. (2006). Lanz et al. (2010) also found a low volatility for the oxygenized fraction of the organic aerosol at the JFJ. In 2012/2013 14 months of ToF-ACSM (time-of-flight aerosol chemical speciation monitor; Fröhlich et al., 2013) measurements were performed. This allowed for a more advanced analysis of the sources of the organic aerosol. The organic aerosol was during all seasons dominated by oxygenated organic aerosol (71–88%), but also showed contributions from local tourism-related activities (e.g., tobacco smoke, 7–12%) and hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol related to regional vertical transport (3–9%).

AEROSOL OPTICAL PROPERTIES

Climatology of Aerosol Optical Properties

The aerosol scattering coefficient and all derived optical aerosol properties strongly depend on the aerosol size distribution, because the scattering cross section is approximately proportional to the aerosol surface area concentration. At the JFJ the accumulation mode particles dominate the aerosol surface distribution (mode diameter between 200 and 250 nm, Weingartner et al., 1999). Therefore the aerosol scattering coefficient measured by the nephelometer (Table 1) is sensitive to changes in the accumulation mode and comparably insensitive to ultrafine and coarse mode particles (except for SDE episodes, see Zieger et al., 2012). Furthermore, the scattering coefficient is also wavelength dependent. The scattering Ångström exponent, αₐ, is a useful quantity since it is a qualitative indicator of the particle size distribution. Aerosols dominated by the coarse mode (d > 1 μm) have small exponents (αₐ < 1), whereas aerosols dominated by the fine mode (d < 1 μm) have large values (αₐ >2).

In contrast, the aerosol absorption coefficient is approximately proportional to the amount of light absorbing species. Despite being a minor species (~4% of PM₂.₅, see Section “Bulk chemical composition”), the dominant contribution to light absorption comes from BC, which is a very strong light absorber. Saharan dust is a much weaker light absorber; however, it can give a substantial contribution to light absorption during SDE events (see Table 2), when it is dominating the mass of the aerosol (Collaud Coen et al., 2004).

Both the absorption and the scattering coefficients again show a climatology similar to the particle number concentration, with low values in winter and high values in summer (see above). Collaud Coen et al. (2013) performed a trend analysis for the aerosol scattering coefficient (1995–2010) and the aerosol absorption coefficient (2001–2010). In analogy to the total number concentration, no annual statistically significant trend was found for these two parameters either, with the exception of a statistically significant negative trend for the scattering coefficient in August. This is also in-line with a trend analysis for the aerosol optical depth (AOD) above the JFJ for 1995–2010 which found no significant trend either (Nyeki et al., 2012).

Andrews et al. (2011) performed a climatology for aerosol optical properties at mountain top observatories. Fig. 4 shows the seasonal variation at the JFJ of absorption, scattering, extinction, net radiative forcing as well as for single scattering albedo and the scattering Ångström coefficient, for all available data until 2007. Related to the rather constant composition of the JFJ aerosol, the single scattering albedo and the scattering Ångström exponent show only a minor seasonal variation compared to the other (mainly PBL influenced) parameters. The study also indicated that the JFJ aerosol has a negative radiative forcing efficiency. The results presented by Andrews et al. (2011) represent measurements under dry conditions for better comparability to other stations (as recommended by WMO/GAW, 2003). For a comparison with satellite data and for net radiative forcing estimates, the scattering coefficient and all derived parameters need to be corrected for the scattering enhancement due to water uptake at ambient relative humidity (see section “The effect of water uptake on the aerosol optical properties”).

Detection of Saharan Dust

Since 2001 both the absorption and the scattering coefficients have been measured at several wavelengths, which allows studying the single scattering albedo (SSA) wavelength dependence (expressed by the Ångström exponent of the SSA, α_{SSA}). In the presence of Saharan mineral dust particles, an inversion of the SSA wavelength dependence is observed (Collaud Coen et al., 2004). This can be attributed to two peculiar properties of Saharan dust: large particle sizes extending into the coarse mode (resulting in a lower than normal Ångström scattering exponent) and light absorption in the visible wavelength range due to hematite inclusions in the dust particles (resulting in a higher than normal Ångström absorption exponent). SDE are therefore automatically detected at the
Fig. 4. Seasonal variation of dry optical aerosol properties at the Jungfraujoch from 1995–2007 (not corrected for scattering enhancement by water uptake), adapted from Andrews et al. (2011). The thick lines show the monthly median, and the thin lines the monthly 0.25 and 0.75 percentiles.

JFJ by the occurrence of negative å\textsubscript{SSA} that last during more than 4 hours, and an alert sent by e-mails is currently available. Collaud Coen et al. (2004) validated this SDE detection method by either filter coloration, back-trajectory analysis, satellite measurements or a combination of these methods. It is now an accepted method which is corroborated by lidar and ceilometers, AOD, size distribution and chemical measurements. The analysis of a peculiar SDE during the summer CLACE 2010 campaign (Zieger et al., 2012) has however shown that the presence of coarse mode particles in the size distribution measurements (SMPS and OPSS) is more sensitive for the detection of SDE than å\textsubscript{SSA}, because with the latter method periods with SDE influence can be missed due to an enlarged fine mode during PBL influence. This phenomenon is probably more important in summer, when the PBL influence is the greatest.

The 2001-2014 SDE climatology (Fig. 5) shows the highest SDE probability in the late spring (May, June) and autumn (mainly October). Based on trajectory analyses, the traveling time of the dust plumes was on average about 100 hours, with most of the SDE being transported from southerly directions to the JFJ in 2 days. Extremely long transport times up to 13 days arriving from Northeast are however also observed (Collaud Coen et al., 2004; Thévenon et al., 2012). The most important potential source countries were situated in the north-western and north-central parts of the Sahara desert (Algeria, but also Morocco, Libya, Tunisia, and Mali).

AEROSOL HYGROSCOPICITY AND CCN ACTIVITY

Hygroscopic Particle Growth

The hygroscopicity describes the ability of atmospheric aerosol particles to absorb water at elevated relative humidity (RH). The associated growth of the particles influences how strongly many aerosol parameters vary with variations of ambient RH, and how readily a particle acts as a cloud condensation nucleus. Aerosol hygroscopicity at subsaturated RH was studied at the JFJ with a hygroscopicity tandem...
Fig. 5. Mean number of hours with Saharan dust events (SDE) for the 2001–2014 period. In 2010 and 2011 construction activities at the JFJ impeded the SDE detection during most of these years.

differential mobility analyzer (HTDMA). This instrument determines the growth factor (GF), which is defined as the ratio of the particle diameter at a given relative humidity to the dry particle diameter. HTDMA measurements in different studies at the JFJ were either performed approximately at ambient temperature (−10°C in winter and 0.5°C in summer) in order to avoid potential evaporation losses of semi-volatile aerosol components (Weingartner et al., 2002; Sjogren et al., 2008), or at room temperature (~25°C; Sjogren et al., 2008; Kammermann et al., 2010). However, no significant differences in aerosol hygroscopic properties were observed between the ambient and room temperature measurements (Sjogren et al., 2008; Kammermann et al., 2010). This is in line with the fact that no major fraction of highly volatile material is present in the particle diameter range above 50 nm (by Burtscher et al., 2001; Nessler et al., 2003).

Growth factors for different particle dry diameters from the individual studies are shown in Table 2. Kammermann et al. (2010) did not observe a distinct seasonal pattern, which again is consistent with the absence of a clear seasonal pattern in the bulk chemical composition. The annual mean growth factors at RH = 90% are 1.35, 1.46 and 1.51 at the dry diameters of 50, 110 and 265 nm, respectively, where the size dependence is mainly caused by the decreasing influence of the Kelvin effect for increasing size rather than by distinct changes in the chemical composition (see next section). These long-term measurements largely confirmed results from the earlier short-term studies by Weingartner et al. (2002) and Sjogren et al. (2008).

The hygroscopic growth factor of a particle depends on the RH and, for substances that show hysteresis behavior, also on the RH history. Measurements of hydration and dehydration cycles revealed that no hysteresis with distinct deliquescence or efflorescence transitions occurred for the JFJ aerosol (Weingartner et al., 2002; Sjogren et al., 2008). This is in contrast to the behavior of many pure inorganic salts and can possibly be explained by the fact that the particles are internal mixtures of multiple salts and organic matter.

HTDMA measurements also provide insights into the mixing state of the aerosol. Sjogren et al. (2008) showed that the JFJ aerosol is often largely internally mixed, which is not surprising for aged aerosols. Special cases are Saharan dust events, when externally mixed non-hygroscopic particles can be observed at the larger sizes (Sjogren et al., 2008). However, the long-term data set from Kammermann et al. (2010), which is included in Table 2, reveal that the growth factors in the Aitken and accumulation mode range are largely unaffected by the presence of Saharan dust, with the exception of a slightly increased fraction of non-hygroscopic particles and a slightly decreased 10th percentile level of the mean GF for the 265 nm particles compared to the non-Saharan-dust periods (not shown here). This confirms that the Saharan dust events detected at the JFJ do not have a significant influence on aerosol fine mode particle number concentration in most cases, despite their high dust mass concentrations. However, this does not apply for the RH-dependence of optical properties, as will be shown further below.

The Hygroscopicity Parameter κ and Closure Studies

The hygroscopic growth of aerosol particles and their CCN activation behavior is described by Köhler theory (e.g., McFiggans et al., 2006), which accounts for the Raoult and Kelvin effects. Petters and Kreidenweis (2007) introduced the simplified κ-Köhler theory, in which the Raoult term is captured with a single hygroscopicity parameter κ. The κ-value is widely used, on the one hand for comparing
Hygroscopicity measurements made with different methods at different RH, or closure studies, and on the other hand, whenever a simple description of the aerosol hygroscopic and CCN activation behavior is required such as e.g., box models for cloud microphysics.

The $\kappa$ parameter of the JFJ aerosol was retrieved via the $\kappa$-Köhler theory both from HTDMA measurements (Kammermann et al., 2010, see two sections above) and combined CCNC/SMPS measurements (Jurányi et al., 2011, see next section), see Fig. 6. For particles larger than 80 nm in diameter, the $\kappa$ values for the two different methods and all classifications (by season, free troposphere and Saharan dust events) fall into a narrow range of $\kappa = 0.20–0.25$. The small variability of $\kappa$ makes it possible to describe the aerosol hygroscopicity of the accumulation mode aerosol in very good approximation with a single constant number. A consequence of this is that, as shown in the next section, the CCN number concentrations at the JFJ can be estimated with high accuracy based on the measured size distribution with simply using the above averaged $\kappa$ value of 0.22 (Juranyi et al., 2011).

A row of further hygroscopicity closure studies have been performed for the Jungfraujoch aerosol. The close agreement between long-term average $\kappa$ values derived from HTDMA and CCNC (Fig. 6) was also confirmed for time-resolved data by Juranyi et al. (2010). Juranyi et al. (2010) showed that on a day-to-day scale, PBL related variations in chemical composition are responsible for observed variations of CCN-derived $\kappa$ values. Good agreement between composition and growth factor derived $\kappa$ values for data sets from three CLACE campaigns was shown by Sjogren et al. (2008), when assuming a $\kappa$ value of 0.128 for the organic aerosol components, which is a reasonable assumption when considering the highly-oxidized nature of the organic aerosol components at JFJ (see Section “Carbonaceous matter”). The $\kappa$ value of the organic aerosol fraction is known to depend on its degree of oxidation. Indeed, Jimenez et al. (2009) and Duplissy et al. (2011) showed, by comparing HTDMA-derived $\kappa$ values of the organic aerosol component from smog chamber measurements with ambient data from several sites including JFJ, that the $\kappa$ value strongly depends on the O:C ratio. Furthermore, they developed parametrizations to infer the $\kappa$ value of the organic aerosol from its O:C ratio, which is often available from AMS measurements.

CCN Measurements and Climatology

To investigate the ability of the JFJ aerosol to become activated to cloud droplets, a cloud condensation particle counter (CCNC) has been operational since 2008 (see Table 1 for further reference). The CCN concentration is influenced by the number, the diameter and the chemical composition of the particles. Due to the low total aerosol number concentrations at the JFJ (see Table 2) the number of cloud droplets formed is mainly limited by the number of available CCNs (Hoyle et al., 2015). Fig. 7 shows that at water supersaturations above 1%, more than 40% of the aerosol particles at the JFJ are able to act as CCN. In contrast, only 20% of the aerosol particles may act as CCNs at a.

![Fig. 6. Range of the hygroscopicity parameter $\kappa$ found for the Jungfraujoch aerosol. $\kappa$ derived from GF at RH = 90% (Kammermann et al., 2010) are shown as annual mean values as well as separated by seasons and air mass types. The median and interquartile range (25th to 75th percentage) are shown for CCN-derived $\kappa$ from Juranyi et al. (2011).]
supersaturation of 0.2%. The lower CCN fraction in winter is caused by a higher contribution of Aitken mode particles to the total particle number concentration during this season, as illustrated in Figs. 2(d) and 2(e). The CCN active fraction for the JFJ is much lower compared to many other European sites (Paramonov et al., 2015). This can be explained with the comparably high number fraction of Aitken mode particles at the JFJ.

Analyzing 17 months of CCNC and SMPS data, Jurányi et al. (2011) found a very small temporal variability and no seasonal pattern of the critical dry activation diameter at a certain supersaturation. This can be attributed to the small variability of the chemical composition (see Section "Bulk chemical composition"). As a consequence, it is possible to predict the CCN number concentration with high accuracy solely from the measured number size distribution and using a fixed and size-independent hygroscopicity parameter of 0.22 (80% of all predicted CCN number concentrations of the 17 month period fall within ±25% of the measured value). While it is possible to treat the composition/hygroscopicity in a simplified manner for CCN predictions, the variability of size distribution needs to be taken into account (see Jurányi et al., 2010 and 2011, for detailed sensitivity analyses). The CCN number concentration is approximately 5–12 times higher in summer. The major part of this variation is explained by the seasonal amplitude of total particle number concentration (amplitude factor 4.5; Fig. 1), further amplified (factor 1.1–2.6) by the increased accumulation mode fraction in summer (Fig. 2), which causes a higher activated fraction (Fig. 6).

**The Effect of Water Uptake on Aerosol Optical Properties**

The water uptake ability of aerosol particles does not only influence their activation properties, but also their optical properties. At the JFJ all in-situ properties are measured at dry conditions (sampling at RH < 20%). A low RH is recommended by WMO/GAW (2003) to determine aerosol properties at well-defined conditions that are comparable for all stations around the globe. However, this also implies that the dry measured values differ from the ambient and thus climate relevant ones. The scattering enhancement factor \( f(RH) \) is the key parameter to describe the RH dependence of the particle light scattering coefficient \( \sigma_{sp} \) and is defined as the ratio of the ambient \( \sigma_{sp}(RH_{amb}) \) divided by its dry value \( \sigma_{sp}(RH_{dp}) \). Knowledge of this RH effect is of crucial importance for climate forcing calculations but is also needed for the validation or comparison of remote sensing with in-situ measurements.

The \( f(RH) \) value depends on the composition, hygroscopicity and size of the particles (as well as on the wavelength). As the variability of composition and hygroscopicity is well defined and rather limited for the JFJ aerosol (see above), the variability of \( f(RH) \) is mainly driven by the variability of the size distribution. Thus, Nessler et al. (2005a) developed an algorithm that adapts the dry nephelometer measurements of \( \sigma_{sp} \) at the JFJ to ambient conditions. They predicted \( f(RH) \) using the measured Ångström exponent of \( \sigma_{sp} \), which is a crude measure for size and aerosol type (e.g., mineral dust during the SDE) at the JFJ. Later, \( f(RH) \) was directly measured at the JFJ for two observation periods in 2008 (CLACE 2008; Fierz-Schmidhauser et al., 2010b) and 2010 (CLACE 2010; Zieger et al., 2012), using a humidified nephelometer system (WetNeph, Fierz-Schmidhauser et al., 2010a). The typical FT and PBL influenced aerosol (Fig. 8(a)) exhibits a gradual rise of \( f(RH) \) as a function of RH, without distinct deliquescent or efflorescence transitions during hydration and dehydration, respectively, nor any discernible hysteresis. This is in agreement with the behavior of hygroscopic growth factors discussed above. At RH = 80% and \( \lambda = 550 \) nm \( f(RH) \) typically reaches a value of 2. Exceptions are the periodically observed Saharan dust episodes, when \( f(RH) \)
Fig. 8. The scattering enhancement factor $f(RH)$ measured at JFJ during CLACE2010. (a) Average humidogram (at $\lambda = 550$ nm) for non-Saharan dust influenced periods. The error bars denote the standard deviation and the black line represents a fit using the $f(RH)$-parameterization of Eq. (2). (b) Same as panel (a) but for Saharan dust periods only. Panel (c) and (d) show the probability density functions of the $f(RH)$-fit parameters for the entire campaign for all nephelometer wavelengths and for two different thresholds of the particle scattering coefficient (see legend). The bimodal structure shows the two predominant cases for $f(RH)$ at the JFJ: The background and PBL influenced aerosol (second local maxima in panel c, vice versa in panel d) and the Saharan dust episodes (first local maxima in panel c, vice versa in panel d).

consistently remains small to moderate, reaching only a value of $\sim 1.2$ at 80% RH (see Fig. 8(b)). This is a contrast to the hygroscopic growth factors discussed above, on which SDE usually only have very limited effects. It can be explained by the fact that the dust particles give a substantial to dominant contribution to light scattering, while they only give a negligible to minor contribution to particle number in the diameter range below 265 nm covered by the hygroscopic growth factor measurements.

The RH dependence of $f(RH)$ can be parameterized in a good approximation by using the following empirical parameterization

$$f(RH) = a(1 - RH)^{-\gamma}$$

where $\gamma$ describes the magnitude of the enhancement and $a$ the intercept at RH = 0%. The intercept improves the empirical parameterization of the $f(RH)$-humidograms, however, it should be noted that values of $f(RH)$ below 1 are defined to be 1. The distributions of the fit coefficients $\gamma$ and $a$ are shown in Figs. 8(c) and 8(d) and are listed in Table 2 for all measured humidograms of the CLACE2010 campaign and beyond (Zieger et al., 2012). The distinct bimodal feature of both distributions can be explained by the occurrence of two distinct aerosol types: in most cases, in the absence of Saharan dust influence, a hygroscopic aerosol with $\gamma$ between around 0.3 and 0.7 or, less frequently, Saharan dust dominated aerosol with low hygroscopicity, i.e., with $\gamma$ between around 0.0 and 0.2. This clear discrimination between two aerosol types only makes a prediction of $f(RH)$ at the JFJ rather simple, as SDE events can be identified from the measured dry aerosol optical properties alone (see above). This “simple” behavior of the FT aerosol is in contrast to that at more polluted sites with more variable aerosol properties, where further information on the particle size distribution and the main chemical composition of fine and coarse mode is needed to infer the $f(RH)$ in good approximation (Zieger et al., 2013).

Nessler et al. (2005b) also performed a theoretical sensitivity analysis to investigate potential light absorption enhancement at elevated RH. Absorption enhancement effects due to absorption of water on coated BC particles are expected to be very small due to compensating effects of increasing coating thickness and decreasing index of refraction of the coating. A maximal influence of 0.2% on the SSA due to absorption enhancement was found, because at the JFJ the scattering enhancement is by far larger than the absorption enhancement and the aerosol extinction is dominated by the particle light scattering.

Recalculation of dry aerosol optical properties to ambient RH, using the $f(RH)$ values from above, is needed for correct assessment of the aerosol radiation interactions, but also when comparing remote sensing of aerosol optical properties to dry in-situ measurements. The latter has been done during the CLACE2010 campaign, when an aerosol lidar (light detection and ranging) was operated below the Jungfraujoch at Kleine Scheidegg with the tilted laser beam pointing towards the station. Zieger et al. (2012) found good agreement between lidar and RH-corrected in-situ particle light extinction coefficients. However, the quality of the agreement was influenced by orographically produced cloud patches surrounding the JFJ.

AEROSOL CLOUD INTERACTIONS

Cloud Presence at the JFJ

Due to its altitude and location the JFJ is regularly engulfed in clouds. In summer, convective clouds are regularly formed in the afternoon along the steep mountain faces northwest of the site (see Fig. 1(a)) and are then transported towards the site and the surrounding summits.
The formation of these clouds is usually characterized by a high updraft velocity of the air mass. In contrast, a relatively stationary and spatially well-defined cloud cap engulfing the JFJ is regularly observed during strong Foehn wind conditions from the Southeast (see e.g., Zieger et al., 2012). Due to the flatter terrain South of the JFJ formed by the Great Aletsch Glacier (Fig. 1(a)), the formation of this cloud cap is associated with lower air mass updraft velocities. Additionally, the site is directly reached by advective clouds during low pressure situations all year round.

On average, the site has been found to be in clouds 40% of the time. This was first estimated by Baltensperger et al. (1998) and was recently verified by Herrmann et al. (2015), who estimated the cloud presence at the site for a multiannual period from the sky temperature, from the relative humidity as well as from webcam pictures.

**Formation of Cloud Droplets and Ice Crystals**

While liquid clouds dominate the summer months, mixed-phase and glaciated clouds are present during the rest of the year. With a combination of two different aerosol inlets (a heated *total* inlet sampling all aerosol particles plus an *interstitial* inlet removing the cloud droplets) the interstitial aerosol and the total aerosol can be separated to derive the fraction that was activated to cloud droplets. This experimental setup has also been shown to discriminate between different cloud phases and has been applied and described in a long list of studies (e.g., Henning et al., 2002; Cozic et al., 2007; Verheggen et al., 2007; Choularton et al., 2008; Hammer et al., 2014). From size distribution measurements behind these inlets the scavenging ratio as a function of particle diameter (i.e., an activation curve) can be determined. Fig. 9 shows example activation curves for different cloud types. For fully liquid clouds, the scavenging ratio reaches 1, indicating that above the activation diameter (which may vary for aerosols with different chemical composition) all aerosol particles are scavenged into cloud droplets. In case of entrainment of dry air into the cloud (as often during Foehn wind conditions at the JFJ), cloud droplets again evaporate and are released to the interstitial aerosol phase. This results in a lower plateau value in the activation curve. Finally, activation curves for mixed phase and fully glaciated clouds are characterized by very low plateau values or simply a zero line. This is due to the Wegener-Bergeron-Findeisen process, which causes an efficient water transfer from the liquid to the ice phase and thus releases the CCNs to the interstitial phase (Baltensperger, 2010, and references therein).

A more quantitative parameter to describe aerosol partitioning into the cloud phase is the activated fraction of particles larger than 100 nm in diameter, $AF_{>100}$. This parameter can be taken as a simplified proxy for the number fraction of liquid cloud droplets in mixed-phase clouds. Henning et al. (2004) and Verheggen et al. (2007) showed that already an ice mass fraction of 0.1 is sufficient to decrease $AF_{>100}$ down to 0.1, illustrating the effectiveness of the Wegener-Bergeron-Findeisen process. The studies also show that at the JFJ, the activated fraction is 0.5 or lower for ambient temperatures lower than –5°C.

**Characterization of Liquid Clouds**

Liquid clouds form at the JFJ in the temperature range above ~5°C (Verheggen et al., 2007). The liquid water content (LWC), along with the vertical depth of the cloud,
can be taken as a measure for the cloud optical thickness. The in-situ measurements performed in liquid clouds at the JFJ (Baltsengperger et al., 1998; Henning et al., 2002; Hammer et al., 2014) yielded LWC values in the range 0.15 to 0.6 \( \text{g m}^{-3} \) (for stable cloud conditions only). At a given LWC, the optical thickness also depends on the cloud droplet number concentration. Henning et al. (2002) showed for persistent cloud conditions that the threshold dry diameter, separating the smaller particles that remained interstitial from larger particles that were activated to cloud droplets, was largely independent of LWC. Thus, the droplet size for a given LWC is anticorrelated with the available CCN number concentration. Campaign-wise measurements using optical spectrometers reported an average liquid cloud droplet diameter around 10 \( \mu \text{m} \) (Henning et al., 2002; Spiegel et al., 2012; Henneberger et al., 2013; Hammer et al., 2014).

Whether or not a particle forms a cloud droplet depends on its CCN properties, i.e., dry diameter and hygroscopicity (see above), but also on the peak supersaturation in the cloud, which in turn depends on updraft and aerosol feedbacks. Hammer et al. (2014) analyzed in-situ aerosol-cloud interaction measurements from 5 summer campaigns between 2000 and 2011 in order to determine the threshold diameter for droplet activation. They found a rather constant dry activation threshold diameter with an overall median of 87 nm (Table 2). As the CCN properties of the aerosol are well-characterized (see above), it is possible to infer the effective peak supersaturation in the cloud from the observed activation threshold diameter. Using this approach, Hammer et al. (2014) retrieved a median peak supersaturation of 0.35% for the liquid clouds encountered at JFJ (Table 2). The observed activation threshold diameters and consequently also the inferred cloud peak supersaturations were systematically different for local wind from the northwestern sector (peak supersaturation = 0.41%) compared to the southeastern sector (peak supersaturation = 0.22%). This could be attributed to orographic differences and to the dominating influence of the air mass updraft velocity (Hammer et al., 2014, 2015). The slopes on the southeastern side are shallower than those on the northwestern side, thus resulting in lower updraft, lower peak supersaturation and higher activation threshold dry diameter.

Secondary aerosol formation through heterogeneous oxidation of precursor gases in cloud droplets, such as e.g., sulfur dioxide to sulfate conversion, is a well-established process. Also for the JFJ, Herrmann et al. (2015) showed a clear Hoppel minimum around 80–90 nm in the number size distributions (see Section “Number size distributions”), corresponding well with the observed mean activation diameter. Chemical analysis of cloud water and CCNs at the JFJ indeed confirmed an increased sulfate concentration compared to the background aerosol due to in-cloud processing of sulfur dioxide (Baltsengperger et al., 1998; Kamphus et al., 2010). Cloud processing also results in an internal mixture of organics, sulfate and nitrate (Choularton et al., 2008; Targino et al., 2009).

**Characterization of Mixed Phase and Glaciated Clouds**

Similar to cloud droplets, ice crystals in mixed phase and glaciated clouds at the JFJ are only formed heterogeneously (for temperatures above –38°C). Here, aerosol particles act as ice nucleating particles (INP) by coming into contact with supercooled cloud droplets (contact freezing), or by initiating freezing from within a cloud droplet by immersion or condensation freezing, or by acting as deposition nuclei. Contact freezing is usually the most efficient process at slight supercooling, while at lower temperatures immersion freezing can be more prevalent (e.g., Lohmann and Diehl, 2006).

The fact that different modes of ice nucleation exist makes the characterization of INP in the field difficult. Also, compared to CCN, particles that are able to act as ice nuclei are present at much lower concentrations. As a result, the field characterization of INP and ice residual particles (IRP) needs an entirely different approach of investigation compared to liquid cloud studies, both with respect to ice crystal separation and INP characterization.

At the JFJ, ice nucleating particles and ice residual particles have been investigated in a row of intensive measurement campaigns since 2000. Ice crystal sampling and separation has been achieved based on a counterflow virtual impactor (Mertes et al., 2007) and recently based on a droplet evaporation tube that makes use of the Wegener-Bergeron-Findeisen process (Kupiszewski et al., 2015). The ice residual particles were subsequently analyzed by a long list of both bulk analysis and single particle analysis techniques, as discussed hereafter. Ice crystals were also directly sampled at ambient conditions and analyzed by imaging techniques (Henneberger et al., 2013). The ice nucleating particle concentrations measured during the performed studies ranged from < 3 L\(^{-3}\) (Bundke et al., 2011) up to 14 L\(^{-3}\) (Chou et al., 2011).

Also for ice nucleating particles, their size has a relevant influence on their ice forming behavior. Ehrman et al. (2001) observed an enrichment of coarse mode ice nucleating particles in small ice crystals sampled at the JFJ. Mertes et al. (2007) found that the ice nucleation efficiency increases with particle size. However, since the number concentration of aerosol particles drops steeply with increasing size, the observed ice residual particles are generally dominated by submicron particles. This behavior has been verified in a recent JFJ study (Worringen et al., 2014), finding a maximum in the ice residual particle number size distribution at around 400 nm, plus a second maximum at > 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) in a few cases. Whether the small ice residuals can be interpreted as atmospheric ice nuclei is an ongoing debate.

The available data on the chemical composition of ice residual particles collected at the JFJ point towards a composition that is dominated by mineral components, carbonaceous material (both black carbon and organics) as well as sulfate (Cozic et al., 2008b, Targino et al., 2008; Kamphus et al., 2010; Ebert et al., 2011; Worringen et al., 2014). Cozic et al. (2008b) found an enrichment of black carbon mass in the ice residual particles (bulk aerosol: 3% BC, ice residuals: 27% BC). Saharan dust particles become dominant ice nucleating particles during respective events at the JFJ (Kamphus et al., 2010). Ebert (2011) hypothesized that most ice nucleating particles at the JFJ are internal
In mixtures containing anthropogenic components and that an admixture of anthropogenic components (like soot) enhances the ice nucleating efficiency.

To investigate the ability of the JFJ aerosol to act as ice nuclei, Chou et al. (2011) exposed the total aerosol to deposition nucleation freezing and found ice nucleation particle number concentrations between 8 L\(^{-1}\) in March and 14 L\(^{-1}\) in June. The results from a longer term study using the same technique (Kanji et al., 2014) show a range of 0.1 to 1 L\(^{-1}\) for winter and 10–100 L\(^{-1}\) in summer, plus around 500 L\(^{-1}\) during strong Saharan dust influence. Conen et al. (2014) performed an analogous climatology for the immersion freezing potential (at –8°C) of the JFJ aerosol. They found respective ice nucleation particle concentrations between 1 and 10 L\(^{-1}\) in winter and up to more than 1000 L\(^{-1}\) in summer and speculate that these very abundant ice nuclei at the site during summer originate rather from fertile regions in Europe and not from Saharan dust plumes or their remains.

**THE RELEVANCE AND ROLE OF THE JFJ AEROSOL PROPERTIES IN INTERCOMPARISON AND MODEL STUDIES**

The aerosol data measured at the JFJ has been used in numerous intercomparisons and model studies. In comparison to other sites, the JFJ size distribution has clearly been characterized to be a typical sink size distribution (van Dingenen et al., 2004; Beddows et al., 2014). Interestingly, the climatology of new particle formation at the JFJ is not exclusively linked to its altitude, as indicated by Manninen et al. (2010) who grouped the new particle formation characteristics at the JFJ together with remote but low altitude stations in Hyttilä (Finland), Vavihill (Sweden) and Cabauw (Netherlands).

Also the aerosol optical properties are in line with other remote locations at elevated altitude (Andrews et al., 2011). Compared to other aerosol types, the water uptake related scattering enhancement at JFJ is in the intermediate range: located in between very low values observed for highly polluted, mineral dust or organic dominated boreal aerosol and elevated values for maritime and Arctic aerosol (Ziegler et al., 2010; 2013).

Due to these characteristics, the JFJ aerosol data have been used as input for a row of modeling studies. The bulk chemical composition (Cocci et al., 2008a) was used as input in a model intercomparison of aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions in stratiform orographic mixed-phase clouds (Mühlbauer et al., 2010). Spracklen et al. (2010) and Reddington et al. (2011) used size-resolved particle number concentration data (harmonized by Asmi et al., 2011) to model particle number concentrations on a European scale and on a worldwide level. The same data were also used in an intensive intercomparison of available aerosol size distribution models (Mann et al., 2014). This model intercomparison found that the number concentration at the JFJ can be well predicted by the available models. In a further model study (Hooge et al., 2008) the measured relationship between the number of ice crystals, number of aerosols and the ice mass fraction (Verheggen et al., 2007) was used to model the aerosol processing in mixed-phase clouds. A further example is the study by Kristiansen et al. (2012) where the coarse mode size distribution at the JFJ after the Eyjafjallajökull eruption in 2010 was used to validate a plume transport model. The diurnal development of the PBL as determined by a numerical weather predication model (COSMO-2) was compared to the in-situ measurements and accompanying remote sensing measurements from Kleine Scheidegg (below at 2060 m asl and in direct vicinity of JFJ) in Ketterer et al. (2014) and a clear underestimation of the PBL height for most of the cases was found.

**CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES**

Despite the profound level of knowledge gained throughout the last decades, there are still important open questions with respect to the overall goal of the aerosol research performed at the JFJ, i.e., a better understanding of aerosols on climate.

On the one hand, the previous decades showed that long-term monitoring involves large efforts on all ends (from finances to manpower) to guarantee a data set that qualifies as a reliable input to statistics and models. As an example, the increased local emissions associated with the touristic activities at the JFJ have triggered further monitoring activities on the Jungfrau East Ridge (3700 m asl), a currently publicly inaccessible ridge 1.2 km distant from the JFJ site but with similar site characteristics. These additional measurements, using identical instruments at both sites, have started in 2014 and will be used to quantitatively assess the influence of the touristic activities.

A further example is the increasing number of break points in the data series with increasing length of the time series, as the monitoring technology advances and new instrumentation is introduced to replace older instrument types. The proper handling of such break points is and will be increasingly demanding (see e.g., previous trend analyses by Collaud Coen et al., 2013 and Asmi et al., 2013).

Compared to gas phase parameters performed at this and other sites, the two decades of extended aerosol measurements appear still rather short. To get the understanding of aerosol background trends onto a similar level of understanding as for the gas phase, it is necessary to continue the aerosol monitoring on a long-term timescale. Only on a multi-decadal level the time series will be optimally beneficial for climate prediction.

Regarding the process mechanisms involved in aerosol-cloud interactions, much more research and fieldwork will be needed especially to investigate the exact role of the JFJ aerosol in the formation of mixed-phase clouds. Also for aerosol-cloud interactions, the real benefit for climate prediction will only pay off if the findings from real measurements have reached a statistically significant level. It is, therefore, important that the numerous advanced ice nuclei characterization techniques that have emerged in the last years will be applied for further investigations at the JFJ. A further important step forward would be to link measurements of cloud microphysical properties to radiation...
measurements in the cloud, preferably at several altitudes. However, due to the complex topography in the JFJ region this is not a simple task.
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