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Abstract

The project presented here is a part of a long term research program aiming at a full lexicon grammar for Polish (SyntLex). The main concern of this project is computer-assisted acquisition and morpho-syntactic description of verb-noun collocations in Polish. We present methodology and resources obtained in three main project phases which are: dictionary-based acquisition of collocation lexicon, feasibility study for corpus-based lexicon enlargement phase, corpus-based lexicon enlargement and collocation description. In this paper we focus on the results of the third phase. The presented here corpus-based approach permitted us to triple the size the verb-noun collocation dictionary for Polish. In the paper we describe the SyntLex Dictionary of Collocations and announce some future research intended to be a separate project continuation.

1. Introduction

The project presented here is a part of a long term research program aiming at development of a full lexicon-grammar for Polish (SyntLex). By lexicon-grammar we mean, after Maurice Gross (1975), the theory of syntax based on elementary sentences of natural language. The major principle is that the meaning of the word (predicative noun or verb) is defined by elementary sentences in which this word occupy the predicative position. It follows that dictionary descriptions of a (predicative) word should (explicitly or implicitly) contain generative information about the kind of sentences that may be formed around this word. Dictionaries respecting this principle have proved their utility for designing natural language parsers. For example, organization of grammatical information in the form of lexicon-grammar permitted us to implement an efficient heuristic parser of Polish sentences being a part of the POLINT system (Vetulani 1997).

This paper focuses at acquisition and morpho-syntactic description of verb-noun collocations in Polish. We present methodology and resources obtained in three main project phases. In particular, we will describe the SyntLex Dictionary of Collocations and announce some future research (a separate on-going project).

The three main phases of the project were:
1) Dictionary-based acquisition of collocation lexicon (called Basic Resource, BR, cf. below)
2) Feasibility study for corpus-based enlargement of the BR
3) Corpus-based lexicon enlargement and collocation description

The first two phases were already presented in (Vetulani et al. 2006, 2007), therefore, we will limit ourselves to short overview of the main aspects.

2. The first phase: paper dictionary based acquisition of collocations

The dictionary-based phase consisted in extracting collocations from the existing, traditional dictionaries by a linguist-lexicographer (Vetulani, G. 2000). For this purpose, the lexicographer inspected available dictionaries of Polish. This part of the work was based first of all on “Dictionary of Polish” (Szymczak, 1978) which is the main reference dictionary with over 100,000 entries. The inspection of 40,000 nouns permitted to classify 7500 predicative nouns. Five subclasses have been identified, the first of them amounting to 2862 lexemes representing names for various kinds of activities and behavior (e.g. names of actions, procedures, ...) contains nouns forming collocations with a large number of support verbs in a quite irregular way.

The remaining four classes (abstract properties, names of diseases, names of professions, nouns supported by so called occurrence verbs) are much more regular and their facility to form collocations with various kinds of verbs are much more limited. These predicate nouns often share the support verbs with a large number of other class members. We therefore focused our attention on the first class, the most collocation-productive.

The set of entries corresponding for this class is called Basic Resource (BR). An entry of the BR presents collocations which may be formed for the given predicative noun using different support verbs (simple or compound). The annotation provides substantial morpho-syntactic information constituting the valency scheme of the collocation. It provides also formal properties of components (case, obligatory prepositions if any). Here follows an example of an entry (a fragment of).
Example 1.
rozmowa, f / nawiązać (Acc)/N1 z (Instr), odbyć (Acc)/N1 z (Instr), ...

As one may see in the Example 1, as well in the Example 2 below, one entry typically contain more than one collocation and morpho-syntactic features may be different depending on the support verb. Also, meaning may change depending on the support verb. In the Example 2 przeprowadzić amputację, dokonać amputacji both mean to perform amputation, whereas poddać się amputacji, ulec amputacji means be amputated (of sth).

Example 2. BR items.
...
aluzja, f /
allusion

czynić (Acc)/N1do(Gen),
to make an ~ to sth
robić (Acc)/N1do (Gen),
to make ~s to sth
pozwałać sobie na(Acc,pl)/N1do(Gen)
to dare to make ~s to sth

ambicja, f /
ambition

mieć(Acc)/N1z(Instr)
to have an ~ with sb

amnestia, f /
amnesty

ogłosić(Acc),
to declare ~
uchwalić(Acc),
to vote ~
ustanowić(Acc)
to declare ~

amok, m /
amok

być w(Loc),
to be in ~
dostać(Gen),
to get ~

1 rozmowa = conversation, nawiązać rozmowę = enter into conversation, z = with, odbyć = to take place, odbyć rozmowę = to have conversation, l-feminine, Acc-accusative, Instr-instrumental, N1 = argument position opened by the predicate noun, 'N1 z (Instr)' = the argument at the position N1 is composed of the preposition 'ż' and a nominal group in instrumental case.

2 Which means that in many cases support verbs are not semantically void (this issue has been discussed by Vetulani, G. (2000)).

3 One of the main cost-generating factors is the time necessary to read the corpus, especially if we were constrained to inspect it

wpaść w(Acc)
to fall into ~

amory, m/pl /
amours

wdać się w(Acc)/N1z(Instr)
to enter into ~ with sb

amputacja, f /
amputation

przeprowadzić(Acc)/N1(Gen),
to carry an ~ of sth
dokonać(Gen)/N1(Gen),
to perform an ~ of sth
poddać(Dat)/N1(Acc),
to subject sth to an ~
poddać się(Dat)/N1 (Gen),
to undergo an ~ of sth
ulec(Dat)
to undergo an ~ of sth

anabioza, f /
anabiosis

poddać się(Dat),
to undergo an ~
ulec(Dat)
to undergo an ~

In the examples above, the symbol MOD indicates the obligatory occurrence of an adjectival modifier of the predicate noun.

3. The second phase: feasibility study

The second phase consisted in:
• proposition of an algorithm for computer-assisted corpus based acquisition of new collocations (Vetulani et al., 2006), where by “new” collocations mean those attested in a corpus, but absent in the BR,
• feasibility study (Vetulani et al., 2007).

One of important drawbacks of the BR consisted in lack of many collocations of practical importance. This was due to the absence in traditional dictionaries of many commonly used collocations (typical for human addressed dictionaries). Therefore we proposed computer-assisted enlargement method (Vetulani et al. 2006, 2007) in the form of a processing algorithm permitting a substantial reduction of manual search in the corpus. We also effected 5% feasibility study using the IPI PAN Corpus (Przepiórkowski, 2004). This study consisted in application of the algorithms to a random selected 5% sample of the BR list of predicate nouns. We concluded (Vetulani et al. 2007) that procedures when applied to the whole BR would most probably result with a substantial number of “discoveries” (collocations found in the corpus but absent in the BR) for a very reasonable amount of effort evaluated to 5-10 man-month³. On the basis of the experiment we estimated

³ One of the main cost-generating factors is the time necessary to read the corpus, especially if we were constrained to inspect it
that the final set of collocations would be at least twice as large as the initial one.

The following example shows the result obtained for the predicative noun “ambicja” (ambition).

Example 3. Predicate noun “ambicja” (ambition):

A. The entry “ambicja” in the Basic Resource

\[\text{ambicja}, f/\text{ambition}\]

\[\text{mieć}(\text{Acc})/N1(\text{Gen}),\]
\[\text{to have an} \sim \text{of sth}\]
\[\text{mieć}(\text{Acc},\text{pl})/\text{MOD},\]
\[\text{to have MOD} \sim s\]

B. The entry “ambicja” including collocations retrieved in the corpus (in italics)

\[\text{ambicja}, f/\text{ambition}\]

\[\text{mieć}(\text{Acc})/N1(\text{D}),\]
\[\text{to have an} \sim \text{of sth}\]
\[\text{mieć}(\text{Acc},\text{pl})/\text{MOD},\]
\[\text{to have MOD} \sim s\]
\[\text{posiadać}(\text{Acc},\text{pl})/\text{MOD},\]
\[\text{to own MOD} \sim s\]
\[\text{ujawniać}(\text{Acc},\text{pl})/\text{MOD},\]
\[\text{to show MOD} \sim s\]
\[\text{zaspokoić}(\text{Acc})/N1(\text{Gen}),\]
\[\text{to fulfill one's} \sim \text{of sth}\]
\[\text{zaspokoić}(\text{Acc},\text{pl})/\text{MOD},\]
\[\text{to fulfill MOD} \sim s\]
\[\text{zaspakajać}(\text{Acc})/N1(\text{Gen}),\]
\[\text{to fulfill one's} \sim \text{of sth}\]
\[\text{zaspakajać}(\text{Acc},\text{pl})/\text{MOD},\]
\[\text{to fulfill MOD} \sim s\]

It is interesting to see that among “discoveries” it is possible to find very common collocations like \text{posiadać} (to own) ambicje, or \text{ujawniać} (to show) ambicje.

4. The third phase: corpus based dictionary enlargement

The solution we proposed for the BR enlargement phase consists in semi-automatic (machine-assisted) transformation of the corpus in order to reduce human processing effort (time). The resources (data and tools) we used were:

- a large size corpus (a part of the IPI PAN Corpus) (Przepiórkowski 2004)
- tools in the form of processing software (lemmatizer, concordancer,...) (We used our own toolkit described in (Obrębski&Stolarski 2006)).

The processing was structured into a sequence of five consecutive steps.

Step 1. (automatic)

Application of the corpus filtering procedures to the input data (corpus, basic resource). (Cf. (Vetulani et al. 2006, 2007) for the detailed description of the corpus filtering procedure.) The objective of this step was to extract corpus fragments likely to contain occurrences of predicative constructions. From this data a list of support verb candidates for each noun was created.

Step 2. (manual)

The lists of support verb candidates for each noun were analysed by lexicographers. The main objective of this task was to shorten the lists obtained in Step 1 by eliminating the verbs for which the negative decision could be made without context inspection.

Step 3. (automatic)

For all noun-verb pairs retained in the output of Step 2 the whole expressions matching the general pattern of predicative constructions, together with fragments of their left and right contexts were extracted from the corpus in the form of concordance tables.

Step 4. (manual)

The noun-verb pairs were processed manually by lexicographers. Their task consisted in:

a) checking whether the corpus samples confirm the predicative use of the noun-verb pair,

b) providing morpho-syntactic descriptions of the noun-verb pairs observed in the corpus (schemata of the predicative constructions in the format used in BR (cf. Example 1 and 2, above)),

c) choosing a corpus sample(s) best illustrating the use of the predicative construction(s) based on the noun-verb pair.

Step 5. (manual)

Verification and correction by senior experts or/and lexicographers and final formatting tables.

Steps 1-5 constitute, basically, application of the algorithm described in (Vetulani et al., 2006, 2007). The most important modification was the elimination of the intermediate step consisting in examining noun-verb pairs first in a very restricted context in order to reduce the size of the data processed in Step 4. We realized that the gain due to the data size reduction would not compensate the introduction of additional tasks (the overhead related to problems of organizational and logistic nature were not identified while working with a small sample).
5. Conclusions

The implementation of the algorithm for the total of BR appeared successful. To support this claim we propose to consider the following: size/quality increase, costs, positive side effects.

a. Size/quality increase
The number of 5404 collocations of the BR (i.e. for 2862 entries) grew up to ca 16,000. The new collocations retrieved from the corpus may reasonably be qualified as “important”.

b. Effort
The cost of the project enlargement measured in effort appeared to confirm the evaluation made on the basis of the 5% feasibility test. This cost amounted to ca 8 man-months (6 man-month - extraction, 2 man-month - verification and final formatting of the results).

c. Additional effects
An important side-effect of the applied method was the possibility to provide usage examples extracted from the corpus, as well as to make interesting quantitative observations (which are being currently the object of separate studies). Limitations of the applied method have also been observed: 675 (out of 2862) of predicative nouns from the BR list were not found in the IPI PAN corpus at all or at least in the context matching the predicative construction pattern. This automatically raises the question of the quality of the applied corpus. For the remaining 2187 nouns over 1700 collocations listed in BR were not attested in the corpus.

6. Future research

The completion of this BR enlargement project permitted us to start the next project aiming at integration of the SymLex collocations into the PolNet. We intend to use the SentLex as well as the PolNet based ontology in the Polish language understanding module of the POLINT-112-SMS system (Vetulani 2007), an application to communicate in emergency situations via text messages.
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