Introduction. Adipose-derived stromal cells (ASCs) are a promising resource for wound healing and tissue regeneration because of their multipotent properties and cytokine secretion. ASCs are typically isolated from the subcutaneous fat compartment, but can also be obtained from visceral adipose tissue. The data on their equivalence diverges. The present study analyzes the cell-specific gene expression profiles and functional differences of ASCs derived from the subcutaneous (S-ASCs) and the visceral (V-ASCs) compartment.

Material and Methods. Subcutaneous and visceral ASCs were obtained from mouse inguinal fat and omentum. The transcriptional profiles of the ASCs were compared on single-cell level. S-ASCs and V-ASCs were then compared in a murine wound healing model to evaluate their regenerative functionality.

Results. On a single-cell level, S-ASCs and V-ASCs displayed distinct transcriptional profiles. Specifically, significant differences were detected in genes associated with neoangiogenesis and tissue remodeling (for example, Ccl2, Hif1α, Fgf7, and Igf). In addition, a different subpopulation ecology could be identified employing a cluster model. Nevertheless, both S-ASCs and V-ASCs induced accelerated healing rates and neoangiogenesis in a mouse wound healing model.

Conclusion. With similar therapeutic potential in vivo, the significantly different gene expression patterns of ASCs from the subcutaneous and visceral compartments suggest different signaling pathways underlying their efficacy. This study clearly demonstrates that review of transcriptional results in vivo is advisable to confirm the tentative effect of cell therapies.
transplantation, it should be noted that the dose of the introduced cells has a significant influence on the survival of the transplant [11]. In addition, it has been shown that cellular heterogeneity has an effect on the healing potential of stem cell therapies [12]. The age, sex, and preexisting conditions of the patients also influence the extent of the regenerative potential [13]. The same is true for the extraction technique [14, 15] and the type of cell application. The source of the therapeutically used cells is discussed from various perspectives [16]. As a general rule, ASCs are obtained from the subcutaneous fat compartment, but it is also possible to isolate these cells from visceral tissue. Previous research has suggested that S-ASCs and V-ASCs have different properties [17]. Previous literature is inconsistent with respect to the therapeutic potential of stem cell populations from the subcutaneous and visceral compartments as well as differences in donor dependence [18]. With regard to the chondrogenic regenerative potential, for example, better functionality was shown when using subcutaneous tissue as a source for ASCs [9].

It is necessary to clarify the applicability of different stem cell populations as therapeutics for different indications. Therefore, the present work analyzes the gene expression profiles of murine ASCs from the subcutaneous (S-ASCs) and visceral (V-ASCs) compartments at single-cell level and compares these cells with regard to their therapeutic potential in an established wound healing model of the mouse [19].

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Funding. This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the Technical University of Munich (TUM) in the framework of the Open Access Publishing Program.

2.2. Single-Cell Gene Expression Analysis. The S-ASCs and V-ASCs were obtained from the inguinal fat and omentum of 6 mice (C57BL/6, 3 months old, male) as previously described [6], all experiments being performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. S-ASCs and V-ASCs were isolated from SVF (stromal vascular fraction) using the surface marker profile CD34+/CD73+/CD90+/CD105+ and CD45−/CD31− (to exclude hematopoietic and endothelial cell contamination). The cells were sorted as single cells using a Becton Dickinson FACSaria Flow Cytometer into a 96-well plate (one cell per well) with 6 μl lysis buffer in each well. Reverse transcription and low cycle preamplification were performed using CellsDirect (Invitrogen) with TaqMan primer sets (Applied Biosystems) as prescribed by the manufacturer. cDNA was loaded on 96.96 Dynamic Arrays (Fluidigm, South San Francisco, CA) for qPCR amplification using Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) with a specific TaqMan assay primer set [20].

2.3. In Vivo Wound Model. 12-week-old male C57Bl/6 mice were randomized into three treatment groups: with S-ASCs and V-ASCs seeded hydrogels (2 × 105 ASCs) and one unabsorbed hydrogel control (n = 5 per group). As previously described [21], two 6 mm sores were placed on the back of each mouse, with each of the wounds being kept open by suturing on silicone rings to prevent wound contractions. All wounds were occlusively dressed (Tegaderm, 3M, St. Paul, MN). Digital photographs were taken on days 0, 3, 5, 7, 11, and 14. The wound area was measured using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

2.4. Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Histological samples of the wounds were obtained after wound closure and immediately embedded in OCT (Sakura Finetek USA Inc.). To evaluate neoangiogenesis, seven-micron thin sections were immunohistochemically stained for CD31 (1∶1∶100 Rb αCD31, Ab28364, Abcam; 2∶1∶1∶400 AF547 Gt α Rb, Life Technologies). Nuclei were stained with DAPI, and ImageJ Software (NIH, Bethesda, MD) was used to binarize the images. Intensity hurdles were used to quantify CD31 staining based on pixel positive areas per field.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. The results are presented as mean ± standard error (SEM). The data analysis was performed by Student’s t-test. The results were considered significant from p < 0.05. For the single-cell expression analysis, a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test was used to compare the empirical distribution: a strict cutoff at p < 0.01 according to Bonferroni correction for several samples took place. Transcriptionally defined subpopulations were selected using an adaptive fuzzy c-means clustering algorithm and standard Euclidean distance metric as described previously [20]. Each cell was assigned a partiality to each cluster based on similarities in its expression profile, with optimally partitioned clusters averaged out and filtered by hierarchical clustering to simplify the visualization of data patterns within and across clusters. Canonical pathway calculations and network analyses were performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Ingenuity Systems, Redwood City, CA) based on significantly overexpressed genes in the respective cellular subpopulation.

3. Results

3.1. Single-Cell Gene Expression Analysis. A gene expression analysis established in previous studies was used [22] to evaluate the transcriptional profile of single cells simultaneously for 96 genes in association with cell migration, proliferation, and tissue regeneration [17] (Table S1). At the single-cell level, S-ASCs and V-ASCs showed different transcriptional fingerprints. In particular, this is illustrated by significant differences in genes related to neoangiogenesis and tissue remodeling (such as Ccl2, Hif1α, Fgf7, and Igf) (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)).

Single cells can be reliably grouped by a clustering algorithm according to their gene expression profile [23]. To further investigate the differences in the expression profiles of S-ASCs and V-ASCs, an algorithm for identifying specific cellular subgroups was used [22]. As a result, four distinct subpopulation clusters were discovered (Figure 1(c)). While S-ASCs are mainly found in clusters 1 and 2, V-ASCs concentrated in clusters 3 and 4 (Figure 1(d)). The cluster classification further sheds light on how the origin of the subcutaneous or visceral compartment influences certain key signaling pathways at the cellular level. Using highly overexpressed cluster genes as a source,
Figure 1: Continued.
canonical signaling pathways were queried by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, QIAGEN, Redwood City, CA, http://www.qiagen.com/ingenuity). Clusters 1 and 2 are characterized by significant overexpression of genes responsible for extracellular matrix remodeling and cell recruitment (for example, matrix metalloproteinase 3 (Mmp3; \( p < 10^{-7} \)), collagen 1a2 (Col1a2; \( p < 10^{-4} \)), and stromal cell factor 1 (Cxcl12/Sdf1; \( p < 10^{-7} \)) (Figure 2(a)).
Figure 2: Analysis of ASC subpopulation. (a–d), above) Significantly increased expressed genes of the individual clusters. ((a–d), below) Top Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) transcriptome networks based on the significantly overexpressed genes of clusters. These significant genes are shown in red.
as well T cell and complement activation (dipeptyl-peptide4 (Dpp4/Cd26; \( p < 10^{-7} \)) and Cd55; \( p < 10^{-6} \)) (Figure 2(b)). In contrast, cluster 3 is characterized by significantly increased expression of other trophic factors, such as insulin-like growth factor 1 (Igf1; \( p < 10^{-4} \)) (Figure 2(c)). Cluster 4 is rather inexplicitly defined by Mmp3, \( p < 10^{-1} \) (Figure 2(d)).

3.2. In Vivo Wound Healing Model. Having demonstrated that S-ASCs and V-ASCs represent heterogeneous cell populations and that profound differences in the distribution of stem cell subpopulations exist between these tissue sources, we next investigated to what extent the origin of ASCs affects tissue repair in vivo. Despite marked differences in gene expression profiles, the use of S-ASCs and V-ASCs alike resulted in an accelerated healing rate in an established murine wound healing model compared to the control group (10.4 days to wound closure compared to 11.9 days; \( p < 0.05 \)) without significant differences between treatment groups (Figure 3). The
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histological evaluation of the neoangiogenesis induction in the healed wounds also showed comparable results for both cell types and a significantly increased neoangiogenesis compared to the control group without cells (Figure 4).

4. Discussion

Stem cell therapy for the catalysis of healing processes has been extensively studied and described as a pathway into the future [24, 25]. In particular, the use of fat tissue-based stromal cells to accelerate wound healing has been extensively examined [26, 27]. With regard to the chondrogenic potential, the literature shows a better functionality when using subcutaneous adipose tissue [9]. Differences in terms of proliferation and colony-forming capacity are described with regard to cardiac muscle function after infarction and subsequent treatment with subcutaneous and visceral ASCs, but a positive effect on myocardial contractility without significant difference was found for both treatment groups [5].

V-ASCs showed an increased expression of gene clusters with respect to lipid biosynthesis and metabolism. These differences were thus dependent on the provenance of the cells. In contrast to the present study, the V-ASCs and S-ASCs were previously often examined in bulk by means of microarray or PCR, which, however, is inferior to the granularity of the single-cell method described herein [17].

Neovascularization as an important mechanism for the promotion of wound healing by ASCs has been scientifically described. The paracrine secretion of numerous addition, an increase in both recruitment and functionality of progenitor cells from the blood to the wound, could be demonstrated by ASC therapy [6]. The model of the mouse wound for the evaluation of cell therapeutical effects has long been established [28], and the positive effect of ASCs on wound healing has already been demonstrated several times. The ASC therapy was able to positively influence wound healing in the murine model even in the context of diabetes mellitus type II and obesity [29]. To date, however, there is still a lack of studies...
with a specific focus on the possible influence of the cell harvesting site on the treatment success [30].

The data presented here show that both subcutaneous and visceral ASCs have a positive influence on wound healing. Despite comparable therapeutic potential, gene expression of V-ASCs and S-ASCs is quite different. Through a cluster model, different cell subpopulations could be detected, which differed by their genetic fingerprint. Cluster 2 in particular comprises a selection of highly potent, regenerative genes and, with its surface marker profile (CD26/CD55), is comparable to a particularly functional cell population already described [12]. This cluster is mainly associated with S-ASCs. Interestingly, the visceral reservoir for wound healing also offers a relevant alternative. This suggests that more than the 96 genes we studied might influence wound healing. Our results also show that no clear indication of the functionality of cells can be derived from the genetic fingerprint alone. To correlate gene expression results with in vivo data is essential to verify the functionality and utility of the respective cells.

Further studies are needed to explore in detail the impact of gene expression on wound healing in terms of interacting proteins. Further investigations must analyze the specific cytokines and their effect on the local wound environment in detail. While there have been demonstrated large differences in chondrogenic healing [9], we are unable to show differences in wound healing comparing S-ASCs and V-ASCs. This further suggests that specific cell therapeutic indications require independent preclinical evaluations for cell therapy effectiveness.

5. Conclusion

The present study shows a high degree of heterogeneity in the gene expression profile of ASCs from the subcutaneous compartment compared to the visceral compartment. With similar therapeutic potential in the wound healing model, the significantly different gene expression patterns of ASCs suggest different mechanistic signaling pathways. This study clearly demonstrates that review of transcriptional results in vivo is advisable to functionally confirm potential effects of cell therapies.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

Dominik Pförringer has no conflict of interest. Matthias M. Aitzetmüller has no conflict of interest. Elizabeth A. Brett has no conflict of interest. Khosrow S. Houshayan has no conflict of interest. Richard Schäfer has no conflict of interest. Martijn van Griensven has no conflict of interest. Dominik Duscher has no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Materials

Table S1: gene names and assay IDs for microfluidic single-cell gene expression analysis. (Supplementary Materials)

References

[1] L. Bacakova, J. Zarubova, M. Travnickova et al., “Stem cells: their source, potency and use in regenerative therapies with focus on adipose-derived stem cells - a review,” Biotechnology advances, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1111–1126, 2018.

[2] J. F. Lopez, J. R. Sarkanen, O. Huttala, I. S. Kaartinen, H. O. Kuokkanen, and T. Ylikomi, “Adipose tissue extract shows potential for wound healing: in vitro proliferation and migration of cell types contributing to wound healing in the presence of adipose tissue preparation and platelet rich plasma,” Cytotechnology, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 1193–1204, 2018.

[3] M. Oberringer, M. Bübel, M. Jennnewein et al., “The role of adipose-derived stem cells in a self-organizing 3D model with regard to human soft tissue healing,” Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, vol. 445, no. 1-2, pp. 195–210, 2018.

[4] M. Bahrami, A. Valiani, N. Amirpour, M. Z. Ra Rani, and B. Hashemiben, “Cartilage tissue engineering via icarin and adipose-derived stem cells in fibrin scaffold,” Advanced biomedical research, vol. 7, p. 36, 2018.

[5] C. Chi, F. Wang, B. Xiang et al., “Adipose-derived stem cells from both visceral and subcutaneous fat deposits significantly improve contractile function of infarcted rat hearts,” Cell transplantation, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 2337–2351, 2015.

[6] R. Kosaraju, R. C. Rennert, Z. N. Maan et al., “Adipose-derived stem cell-seeded hydrogels increase endogenous progenitor cell recruitment and neovascularization in wounds,” Tissue engineering Part A, vol. 22, no. 3-4, pp. 295–305, 2016.

[7] L. J. Wang, L. P. Liu, X. L. Gu, M. Wang, and L. M. Liu, “Implantation of adipose-derived stem cells cures the optic nerve injury on rats through inhibiting the expression of inflammation factors in the TLR4 signaling pathway,” European review for medical and pharmacological sciences, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1196–1202, 2018.

[8] Y. Zhang, M. Ge, Q. Hao, and B. Dong, “Induced pluripotent stem cells in rat models of Parkinson’s disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” Biomedical reports, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 289–296, 2018.

[9] Y. Tang, Z. Y. Pan, Y. Zou et al., “A comparative assessment of adipose-derived stem cells from subcutaneous and visceral fat as a potential cell source for knee osteoarthritis treatment,” Journal of cellular and molecular medicine, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 2153–2162, 2017.

[10] A. Luan, D. Duscher, A. J. Whittam et al., “Cell-assisted lipotransfer improves volume retention in irradiated recipient sites and rescues radiation-induced skin changes,” Stem cells, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 668–673, 2016.

[11] K. J. Paik, E. R. Zielins, D. A. Atashroo et al., “Studies in fat grafting: part V. Cell-assisted lipotransfer to enhance fat graft retention is dose dependent,” Plastic and reconstructive surgery, vol. 136, no. 1, pp. 67–75, 2015.

[12] R. C. Rennert, M. Januszyk, M. Sorkin et al., “Microfluidic single-cell transcriptional analysis rationally identifies novel surface marker profiles to enhance cell-based therapies,” Nature Communications, vol. 7, article 11945, 2016.

[13] R. C. Rennert, M. Sorkin, M. Januszyk et al., “Diabetes impairs the angiogenic potential of adipose-derived stem cells by
selectively depleting cellular subpopulations,” *Stem cell research & therapy*, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 79, 2014.

[14] D. Duscher, D. Atashroo, Z. N. Maan et al., “Ultrasound-assisted liposuction does not compromise the regenerative potential of adipose-derived stem cells,” *Stem cells translational medicine*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 248–257, 2016.

[15] D. Duscher, A. Luan, R. C. Rennert et al., “Suction assisted liopsuction does not impair the regenerative potential of adipose derived stem cells,” *Journal of Translational Medicine*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 126, 2016.

[16] M. Wu, R. Zhang, Q. Zou et al., “Comparison of the biological characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells derived from the human placenta and umbilical cord,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 5014, 2018.

[17] G. G. Walmsley, D. A. Atashroo, Z. N. Maan et al., “High-throughput screening of surface marker expression on undifferentiated and differentiated human adipose-derived stromal cells,” *Tissue engineering Part A*, vol. 21, no. 15-16, pp. 2281–2291, 2015.

[18] G. G. Walmsley, K. Senarath-Yapa, T. L. Wearda et al., “Surveillance of stem cell fate and function: a system for assessing cell survival and collagen expression in situ,” *Tissue engineering Part A*, vol. 22, no. 1-2, pp. 31–40, 2016.

[19] B. Levi, D. C. Wan, J. P. Glotzbach et al., “CD105 protein depletion enhances human adipose-derived stromal cell osteogenesis through reduction of transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-β1) signaling,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 286, no. 45, pp. 39497–39509, 2011.

[20] R. D. Galiano, J. Michaels, M. Dobryansky, J. P. Levine, and G. C. Gurtner, “Quantitative and reproducible murine model of excisional wound healing,” *Wound repair and regeneration*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 485–492, 2004.

[21] J. P. Glotzbach, M. Januszky, I. N. Vial et al., “An information theoretic, microfluidic-based single cell analysis permits identification of subpopulations among putatively homogeneous stem cells,” *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 6, article e21211, 2011.

[22] R. Sandberg, “Entering the era of single-cell transcriptomics in biology and medicine,” *Nature methods*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 22–94, 2014.

[23] D. Duscher, J. Barrera, V. W. Wong et al., “Stem cells in wound healing: the future of regenerative medicine? A mini-review,” *Gerontology*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 216–225, 2016.

[24] M. S. Hu, T. Leavitt, S. Malhotra et al., “Stem cell-based therapeutics to improve wound healing,” *Plastic surgery international*, vol. 2015, Article ID 383581, 7 pages, 2015.

[25] W. U. Hassan, U. Greiser, and W. Wang, “Role of adipose-derived stem cells in wound healing,” *Wound repair and regeneration*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 313–325, 2014.

[26] M. Cherubino, J. P. Rubin, N. Miljkovic, A. Kelmendi-Doko, and K. G. Marra, “Adipose-derived stem cells for wound healing applications,” *Annals of plastic surgery*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 210–215, 2011.

[27] M. S. Hu, J. Cheng, M. R. Borrelli et al., “An improved humanized mouse model for excisional wound healing using double transgenic mice,” *Advances in wound care*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 11–17, 2018.