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Abstract

By a combination of semi-analytical Boltzmann transport and first-principles calculations, we systematically investigate thermoelectric properties of semiconducting (gapped) materials by varying the degrees of polynomials in their energy dispersion relations, in which either the valence or conduction energy dispersion depends on the wave vector raised to the power of two, four, and six. Within the relaxation time approximation, we consider various effects such as band gaps, dimensionality, and dispersion powers to understand the conditions that can give the optimal thermoelectric efficiency or figure of merit ($ZT$). Our calculations show that the so-called pudding-mold band structure produces larger electrical and thermal conductivities than the parabolic band, but no significant difference is found in the Seebeck coefficients of the pudding-mold and parabolic bands. Tuning the band gap of the material to an optimum value simultaneously with breaking the band symmetry, the largest $ZT$ is found in a combination of two-contrasting polynomial powers in the dispersion relations of valence and conduction bands. This band asymmetry also shifts the charge neutrality away from the undoped level and allows optimal $ZT$ to be located at a smaller chemical potential. We expect this work to trigger high-throughput calculations for screening of potential thermoelectric materials combining various polynomial powers in the energy dispersion relations of semiconductors. We give preliminary screening results for bulk PtS and FeAs compared with Si, where we indicate that the former two have better thermoelectric performance than the latter.
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1. Introduction

Thermoelectric materials can convert a temperature gradient into electricity and vice versa, hence allowing them to have a wide array of potential applications from power generation to refrigeration [1]. A good thermoelectric material or device should produce a high potential difference from a small temperature gradient, with low heat dissipation from ohmic effects, while also thermally insulating to maintain the temperature gradient required for the operation of the device. In other words, the thermoelectric material ideally should possess a high Seebeck coefficient ($S$) and a high electrical conductivity ($\sigma$) so that the power factor $PF = S^2\sigma$ is high enough. At the same time, the ideal thermoelectric material should also have a low thermal conductivity ($\kappa$). Therefore, to maximize the efficiency of a thermoelectric material, the dimensionless figure of merit ($ZT$), which is defined as [1]

$$ZT = \frac{S^2\sigma}{\kappa}T,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

should be as large as possible (at least, usually, $ZT > 1$).

The requirements outlined above pose a well-known thermoelectric trade-off problem [1,2]. The material with a large Seebeck coefficient tends to have a low carrier concentration, leading to a lower conductivity [2,3]. Therefore, one should properly tune the chemical potential of the thermoelectric material (e.g., by doping) to maximize its power factor [2]. Meanwhile, the ratio of the electrical conductivity $\sigma$ to the electronic thermal conductivity $\kappa_e$ is usually a constant due to the Wiedemann-Franz law, leading to extensive efforts in obtaining a material with high $\sigma$ but low lattice thermal conductivity $\kappa_{ph}$ [5,6] (noting that $\kappa = \kappa_e + \kappa_{ph}$).

It has often been proposed that materials with non-parabolic band dispersion might overcome these problems [7,8], for example, the quartic “Mexican-hat” band [8,9], the “pudding-mold” band with flat band edge [10,11], “camel-back” band with multiple valleys [12], octic ring-shaped bands with a moat [13], or bands with an anisotropic dispersion which are studied generally in [14]. The increase in TE performance caused by these non-parabolic band shapes is usually attributed to the effects of the dispersion on the density of states. The presence of a ring-shaped band as in Refs. [8] and [13] is associated with a singular and discontinuous density of states near the band edge, which was expected to provide a high Seebeck coefficient due to the dependence of the Seebeck coefficient on the...
derivative of the density of states through the Mott formula. The non-parabolic bands also generally increase the density of states compared to regular bands, thus increasing the conductivity without significantly affecting the Seebeck coefficient, as in the anisotropic band studied in Ref. [7], or the pudding mold band [10, 11, 15].

The “pudding-mold” band, i.e., a band with the electronic energy proportional to the fourth power or higher order of the electronic wave vector, as studied by Usui and Kuroki in Ref. [15], is a particularly simple non-parabolic band that shows some of these features, i.e., a larger density of states compared to the parabolic band and the presence of a singularity in the band edge. This simplicity allows us to calculate and compare the various physical parameters of the band to better understand the physical mechanism of any possible thermoelectric performance enhancement, in the hope of finding a way to optimize the TE performance of the material by manipulating its band structure. As shown in Ref. [10], the combination of a flat portion and a dispersive portion in pudding-mold bands can lead to a high Seebeck coefficient when the chemical potential is near to the flat portion due to a large difference in electron velocity (and density of states) above and below the chemical potential. Furthermore, the large electron velocity and large Fermi surface also leads to a higher electron conductivity, which can improve the power factor and the figure of merit. Several other experimental and first-principles studies on materials with the pudding-mold band structures have confirmed their potential uses in thermoelectric applications [16–17].

One intriguing direction that remains unexplored for pudding-mold bands is the behavior of a pudding-mold material with two bands, in the narrow band gap regime such that the bipolar effect on transport is present. Prior works [18, 19, 20] have shown that there is often an optimal value of band gap which will optimize the value of the power factor or the figure of merit. As such, we think it is worthwhile to expand the previous work concerning this pudding mold type band into twoband systems to determine the band gap dependence of its TE properties and to determine the optimum value of the band gap if any. Furthermore, as the main disadvantage of using narrow gap materials is the presence of bipolar effect from minority carriers to the Seebeck coefficient, [21], we also consider a two-band model in which the dispersion relations of the conduction and the valence bands are of different forms to reduce the bipolar effect through the creation of an imbalance in each carrier transport function.

In this study, we calculate the thermoelectric properties of a semiconducting material within the two-band model, having a valence band and a conduction band. We investigate the effects of various band shapes and band gaps on its thermoelectric properties. As recent advances in thermoelectrics have shown that low-dimensional materials exhibit good thermoelectric properties due to the quantum confinement effect [22–23, 24, 25], we also calculate the corresponding thermoelectric properties for three-dimensional (3D), two-dimensional (2D), and one-dimensional (1D) cases and compare the results. The calculations are performed using the Boltzmann transport theory along with the relaxation time approximation (RTA). Furthermore, by first-principles methods, we simulate a couple of materials with the pudding-mold like band structures, such as PtS₂ and FeAs₂, compared with commonly used semiconductors, such as Si, to show their potential for thermoelectric applications. For this simulation, the band structure calculations are performed using the Quantum ESPRESSO package [26], while the thermoelectric properties are calculated using the BoltzTraP package [27, 28].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we show the model of the electronic band structures considered in this study, from parabolic, quartic, to sextic energy dispersion, characterized by the degrees of polynomials in the dispersion relations. We also show the corresponding density of states (DOS) in various dimensions. We then describe the semianalytical methods for calculating the thermoelectric transport coefficients within the RTA. In Sec. 3, we show the calculated results of the thermoelectric properties for several combinations of band shapes, such as symmetric bands (valence and conduction bands having the same degree of polynomials in their energy dispersion) and asymmetric bands (valence and conduction bands having different degrees of polynomials in their energy dispersion). We also discuss and compare these semianalytical results with the realistic material simulation. We finally give the conclusions and perspective in Sec. 4. Note that to support open science, we provide Python codes in a Github repository [29] for the readers to reproduce our results.

2. Model and methods

For the semianalytical calculations of the thermoelectric transport coefficients (S, σ, and κ), we model dispersion relations for the conduction and valence bands by

\[ E_c(k) = (A_m|k|^m + \Delta), \]

(2)

and

\[ E_v(k) = -(A_n|k|^n + \Delta), \]

(3)

respectively, where m and n are even integers (2, 4, 6), \( A_m \) (\( A_n \)) is the normalization constant that depends on m (n), set such that the band width is unchanged for different values of m or n, \( \Delta \) is half of the band gap \( E_g \), and k is the electronic wave vector. Following Usui and Kuroki [15], we take the normalization constant \( A_n \) to be

\[ A_n = \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \right)^n E_0. \]

(4)

With this normalization, for a d-dimensional cubic unit cell with lattice constant a, at the edge of the first Brillouin zone for any value of n, the bands will converge at the same band width \( E_0 \). In our calculations, we set the band edge to a reasonable value of 2 eV. We show in Appendix A that the results are also actually quite insensitive to different values of \( E_0 \). Using dispersion relations of Eqs. (2) and (3), we can calculate the DOS, denoted by \( g(\epsilon) \), as follows:

\[ g(\epsilon) = C_0 a^{d-1} \int \delta(\epsilon - E(k)) k^{d-1} dk, \]

(5)
where $\epsilon$ is the electronic energy and $C_d$ is a constant that depends on dimension: $C_1 = 1/\pi$, $C_2 = 1/2\pi$, and $C_3 = 1/2\pi^2$. For the conduction band we have

$$g(\epsilon) = \frac{C_d}{m_A a^{3-d}} \left( \epsilon - \Delta \right)^{(d-m)/m},$$

while for the valence band we have

$$g(\epsilon) = \frac{C_d}{n_A a^{3-d}} \left( -\epsilon - \Delta \right)^{(d-n)/n}.$$  

Schematic plots of the energy dispersion and its corresponding DOS for different dimensions of the material in the case of $m = n$ can be seen in Figs. 1(a)–(c). We see that for the pudding-mold band, the DOS diverges near the band edge, which is more similar to the density of states of a one-dimensional parabolic band as shown in Fig. 1(1) than that of the usual 2D or 3D parabolic band. Indeed, from Eq. (6) we see that the density of states of the two-dimensional quartic band and the three-dimensional sextic band has the same form as a 1D parabolic band. However, the effect of the pudding mold band is more than a simple dimensional reduction, as from Eq. (6) it is clear that other values of $d$ and $n$ do not correspond to a lower-dimensional band, and this is disregarding the effect of density of states on the electron velocity. Assuming the materials to be isotropic in energy, we can also obtain the longitudinal velocity $v$ of electrons in a certain direction, $v^2 = \frac{v^2 G}{\tau}$, where $v_G$ is the group velocity. Then, since $v_G = \hbar^{-1} dE/d\epsilon$, we obtain

$$v = \pm \frac{n A}{\hbar} k_l^{i-1}.$$  

Using Boltzmann transport theory with the relaxation time approximation, the Seebeck coefficient, the electrical conductivity, and the electronic thermal conductivity can be expressed as

$$S = \frac{1}{T} \frac{L_1}{L_0},$$

$$\sigma = e^2 L_0,$$

and

$$\kappa_e = \frac{1}{T} \left( L_2 - \frac{L_1^2}{L_0} \right),$$

respectively, where $T$ is the absolute temperature, and $L_i$ is the “thermoelectric integral”:

$$L_i = \int \tau v^2 g(\epsilon) \left( -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \epsilon} \right) (\epsilon - \mu)^i d\epsilon,$$

where $\tau$ is the relaxation time.

Since our model materials have two separate bands, we can write the total thermoelectric integral as a sum of conduction and valence band components given by

$$L_{c,d} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau v^2 g(\epsilon) \left( -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \epsilon} \right) (\epsilon - \mu)^i d\epsilon,$$  

and

$$L_{v,j} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau v^2 g(\epsilon) \left( -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \epsilon} \right) (\epsilon - \mu)^j d\epsilon,$$

respectively, so that the thermoelectric properties of the materials can be expressed as a combination of the contribution from each band:

$$S = \frac{S_c \sigma_c + S_v \sigma_v}{\sigma_c + \sigma_v},$$

$$\sigma = e^2 (L_{c,0} + L_{v,0}) = \sigma_c + \sigma_v,$$

and

$$\kappa_e = \frac{\sigma_c \sigma_v}{\sigma_c + \sigma_v} (S_c - S_v)^2 + (\kappa_{c,c} + \kappa_{c,v}).$$

By substituting $v$ and $g(\epsilon)$ to the thermoelectric integrals $L_{c,d}, L_{v,j}$, we have for the conduction band,

$$L_{c,d} = \frac{C_d m_A (2-d)/m}{\hbar^{3-d} m^2} \times \int \tau (\epsilon - \Delta)^{(d-2)/m} (\epsilon - \mu)^i \left( -\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) d\epsilon,$$  

and

$$L_{v,j} = \frac{C_d m_A (2-d)/m}{\hbar^{3-d} m^2} \times \int \tau (\epsilon - \Delta)^{(d-2)/m} (\epsilon - \mu)^j \left( -\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right) d\epsilon.$$
and similarly for the valence band,

\[ L_{v,i} = \frac{C_{a}nA_{n}^{(2-d)/n}}{d(3-d)\hbar^{2}} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \tau(-\epsilon - \Delta)^{1+(d-2)/n}(\epsilon - \mu) \left( \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \epsilon} \right) d\epsilon. \]  \hfill (19)

To proceed with our calculation, we need to determine the dependence of \( \tau \) on \( \epsilon \). This dependence is determined by the scattering mechanism of the electron. Generally, in TE transport the dominant scattering is caused by electron-impurity scattering or electron-phonon interaction, which results in either a constant relaxation time in the case of electron-impurity scattering, or a \( \tau \) that is inversely proportional to DOS in case of electron-phonon interaction [31, 32]. The constant relaxation time approximation (CRA) can adequately explain experimental results for some materials [32, 33], including the case of pudding-mold band structures [11]. Therefore, we decide to adopt the CRA in this work. To check the validity of our results with this approximation we perform similar calculations for \( \tau = 1/\text{DOS} \), or the DOS dependent relaxation time approximation (DRTA), as given in Appendix B.

Let \((\epsilon - \mu)/k_{B}T = x, \lambda = \Delta/k_{B}T, \) and \( \eta = \mu/k_{B}T \). We can rewrite the thermoelectric integrals for the conduction band:

\[ L_{c,i} = \frac{C_{d}e\tau(k_{B}T)^{2}/n}{a^{3-d}\hbar^{2}} \int_{-\Delta - \eta}^{\Delta} \frac{x^{(x + \eta - \Delta)}}{(x + 1)^{2}} dx, \]  \hfill (20)

and for the valence band:

\[ L_{v,i} = \frac{C_{d}e\tau(k_{B}T)^{2}/n}{a^{3-d}\hbar^{2}} \int_{-\Delta - \eta}^{\Delta} \frac{(-x - \eta - \Delta)}{(x + 1)^{2}} dx. \]  \hfill (21)

Now we can express the thermoelectric transport coefficients in terms of \( G_{c,i}, G_{v,i} \) as follows:

\[ S_{c} = S_{0} \frac{G_{c,1}(\eta, \Delta)}{G_{c,0}(\eta, \Delta)}, \]  \hfill (24)

\[ \sigma_{c} = \frac{C_{a}nA_{n}^{(2-d)/n}}{d} \frac{G_{c,0}(\eta, \Delta)}{k_{B}T} \sigma_{0} G_{c,0}(\eta, \Delta), \]  \hfill (25)

and

\[ \kappa_{c} = \frac{C_{a}nA_{n}^{(2-d)/n}}{d} \frac{G_{c,0}(\eta, \Delta)}{k_{B}T} \kappa_{0} \times \left( G_{c,1}(\eta, \Delta) - \frac{G_{c,0}(\eta, \Delta)}{G_{c,0}(\eta, \Delta)} \right). \]  \hfill (26)

The normalization constants \( S_{0}, \sigma_{0}, \) and \( \kappa_{0} \) are given by

\[ S_{0} = \frac{k_{B}}{e} = 86.17 \mu \text{V/K}, \]  \hfill (27)

\[ \sigma_{0} = \frac{e^{2}k_{B}T}{\hbar^{2}}, \]  \hfill (28)

and

\[ \kappa_{0} = \frac{\tau k_{B}^{2} T^{2}}{\hbar^{2}}. \]  \hfill (29)

As an estimate for the value of \( \sigma_{0} \) and \( \kappa_{0} \), if we take \( \tau = 10^{-14} \) s, \( T = 350 \) K, and \( a = 10^{-10} \) m, we obtain \( \sigma_{0} = 1.12 \times 10^{6} \) S/m and \( \kappa_{0} = 2.89 \) W/mK. Similar relations for the valence band are obtained by swapping \( G_{c,i}(\eta, \Delta) \) to \( G_{v,i}(\eta, \Delta) \) in the previous equations. We will use these normalization constants in all calculated results in Secs. 3.1–3.3.

3. Results and Discussion

Now we can calculate the thermoelectric properties of the model material using Eqs. (24)–(26), where the integrals \( G_{c,i}, G_{v,i} \) are calculated numerically using the SciPy package in Python [34]. We start with 3D systems in Secs. 3.1 and 3.2. Then, we explore the cases of lower dimensions (2D and 1D systems) in Sec. 3.3. To get insight into the real systems as thermoelectric materials, we give the preliminary screening of a couple of semiconductors in Secs. 3.4.

3.1. Symmetric two-band systems

First, we will show the thermoelectric properties for symmetric conduction and valence bands, i.e., \( m = n \). In all the calculations below, we take a reference temperature \( T_{0} = 300 \) K such that \( k_{B}T_{0} \approx 0.026 \) eV and the band width \( E_{0} = 2 \) eV, corresponding the reduced variable of thermal energy \( k_{B}T/E_{0} = 0.013 \). We plot the Seebeck coefficient, electrical conductivity, and electronic thermal conductivity versus the chemical potential for the parabolic band \( (n = 2) \) and pudding-mold bands \( (n = 4 \) and \( n = 6) \) in Figs. 2(a)–(i) using \( \Delta = 3k_{B}T \) \((E_{0} = 6k_{B}T)\), in which we express the thermoelectric properties in terms of the normalization constants \( S_{0}, \sigma_{0}, \) and \( \kappa_{0} \).

From Figs. 2(b)–(c), 2(e)–(f), and 2(h)–(i) we see that the values of conductivities at the same chemical potential are highly dependent on the band shapes \( (n) \) values, while the Seebeck coefficients are almost unaffected by the different band shapes. The dependence of electrical conductivity on the band shape comes from the density of states and the mean electron velocity due to the presence of the \( g(\epsilon)\nu^{2}(\epsilon) \) factor in the thermoelectric integral [Eq. (12)]. When the energy \( \epsilon \) is low, the velocity in pudding-mold band is lower than the velocity for parabolic band, but the density of states for pudding-mold band is higher (and diverges as \( \epsilon \) nears the band edge). The interplay of these factors causes higher total conductivity for pudding-mold band. When the energy is high, the density of states for pudding-mold band is lower than the parabolic band, while the velocity for pudding mold band is higher than the parabolic band. However, since it is the square of electron speed that shows up in
the integral, the combined result is that the factor $g(\varepsilon)\nu^2(\varepsilon)$ is always higher for a puddling mold band in the 3D case. Note that for the 1D case, as will be shown later in Sec. 3.3, the conductivity for the parabolic band is higher than for the puddling mold bands when the chemical potential is near the band gap since the density of states for the parabolic band also diverges near the band gap, unlike the 2D and 3D case.

We can interpret the thermoelectric integral $\mathcal{L}_0$ as a quantity characterizing the transport strength due to drift velocity caused by an external electric field, while $\mathcal{L}_1$ is related to electron diffusion due to the thermal effects. A large Seebeck coefficient can be achieved if the effects of electron drift are not large enough to neutralize the potential difference caused by the thermal diffusion. However, both the electron drift and electron diffusion have a similar dependence on the $g(\varepsilon)\nu^2(\varepsilon)$ factor. Therefore, changing the band shape has a negligible effect on the Seebeck coefficient as shown in Figs. 2(a), 2(d), and 2(g). We can also justify this behavior from the Seebeck coefficient formula,

$$S = \frac{S_0 \sigma_c + S_v \sigma_v}{S_c + S_v} = S_0 \frac{(G_{c1}(\eta, \tilde{\Delta}) + G_{v1}(\eta, \tilde{\Delta}))}{G_{c0}(\eta, \tilde{\Delta}) + G_{v0}(\eta, \tilde{\Delta})},$$

(30)

Figure 2: Seebeck coefficient ($S$), electrical conductivity ($\sigma$), and electronic thermal conductivity ($\kappa_c$) considering symmetric ($m = n$) conduction and valence bands with $n = 2$ in (a)–(c), $n = 4$ in (d)–(f), and $n = 6$ in (g)–(i). The results for $S$, $\sigma$, and $\kappa_c$ are expressed in the units of $S_0$, $\sigma_0$, and $\kappa_0$ respectively. The band gap is set to be $E_g = 6k_B T$, which corresponds to $\Delta = 3k_B T$.

Figure 3: Power factor (PF) and electronic figure of merit ($ZT_e$) considering symmetric conduction and valence bands with $n = 2, 4$, and 6. Panels (a–c) show the results for PF, while panels (d–f) for $ZT_e$. The band gaps are varied corresponding to $\Delta = 3k_B T (E_g = 6k_B T)$ in (a) and (d), $\Delta = 5k_B T (E_g = 10k_B T)$ in (b) and (e), and $\Delta = 7k_B T (E_g = 14k_B T)$ in (c) and (f).
The phonon thermal conductivity \( \kappa \) as a function of band gap for several cases of symmetric bands with two different parameters of phonon thermal conductivity: \( \kappa_{ph} = \kappa_0/3 \) and \( \kappa_{ph} = 10\kappa_0/3 \). Dash-dotted boxes serve as a guide for eyes to distinguish the calculated curves obtained from the different \( \kappa_{ph} \) parameters.

In which from Eqs. (21) and (23) we see that integrals \( G \) only depend slightly on the band shape through \((x+\eta-\Delta)^{-1/\nu}\). As a result, the Seebeck coefficient is almost independent of the dispersion power \( n \), while from equations 25, 26 we see that the electrical and thermal conductivities of electrons depend almost linearly on \( n \). These results are in agreement with the previous work from Usui and Kuroki [14], in which they considered only the one-band model without band-gap dependence.

Since the power factor of a material is given by \( PF = S^2/\sigma \), we see that a higher dispersion power \( n \) will also lead to a higher PF due to the increased conductivity, as can be seen in Figs. 3(a)–(c). On the other hand, since the figure of merit is proportional to \( \sigma/\kappa \) and both conductivities are linear to \( n \) when \( \kappa_{ph} = 0 \), its dependence on band shape is only caused by the slightly different Seebeck coefficient. As a result, increasing the band shape slightly decreases the figure of merit in the most ideal case due to the decreased Seebeck coefficient. The most ideal figure of merit, which correspond to the so-called electronic figure of merit \( ZT_{el} \), shown in Figs. 3(d)–(f), only holds when the phonon thermal conductivity is zero. We will consider the non-ideal case with nonzero \( \kappa_{ph} \) shortly.

One possible way of increasing the Seebeck coefficient is by increasing the band gap. With a narrow band gap, there will be more bipolar conduction such that the Seebeck coefficient from the conduction band and the valence band will cancel each other. However, a large band gap will also lower the electron conductivity of a material since the number of available charge carriers is lower. This condition might not give a significant improvement of the power factor of the material. To clearly see the effects of different band gaps, we calculate the power factors and electronic figures of merit for \( \Delta = 3k_BT \) [Figs. 3(a) and 3(d)], \( \Delta = 5k_BT \) [Figs. 3(b) and 3(e)], and \( \Delta = 7k_BT \) [Figs. 3(c) and 3(f)].

We see that the maximum possible value of the power factor is not affected by the increase in the band gap. Apparently, the increase in the Seebeck coefficient is perfectly counteracted by the decrease in electrical conductivity. This is because as the band gap increases we can suppress the bipolar effect on the Seebeck coefficient by increasing the doping of our material to optimize this maximum power factor. As a result, after passing a critical point where the effects of the opposing band become small enough, increasing the band gap no longer affects the power factor since we are effectively dealing with only a single band.

On the other hand, for the ideal figure of merit the decrease in electrical conductivity and thermal conductivity cancels each other. As such, the peak for the figure of merit when \( \kappa_{ph} = 0 \) is identical to the peak of the Seebeck coefficient, which is located near the middle of the band gap, where the effects of both band on the Seebeck coefficient is never negligible. This means increasing the band gap will still increase the figure of merit provided that \( \sigma/\kappa \) remains constant. When \( \kappa_{ph} \neq 0 \), as we will see later, \( \sigma/\kappa \) will no longer be constant and similarly to the power factor, past a certain point increasing the band gap will have no effect on the figure of merit.

In a real material, the phonon thermal conductivity is not zero and generally comparable to or larger than the electron thermal conductivity. As an estimate for the behaviour of figure of merit when the phonon thermal conductivity is not zero, we calculate the figure of merit with the phonon thermal conductivity as a constant multiple of \( \kappa_0 \), \( \kappa_{ph} = \alpha\kappa_0 \). References show that the values of \( \kappa_{ph} \) for materials with paving-mold band structures such as BaPdS\(_2\) are in the range of 1–10 W/m.K [16, 17], or, since \( \kappa_0 \approx 3 \) W/m.K, the values of \( \kappa_{ph} \) are around 1/3 to 10/3 times \( \kappa_0 \). Using this consideration, in Fig. 7 we plot the peak value of figure of merit \( ZT_{max} \) at an optimized chemical potential \( \mu \) (for a certain band shape and band gap) as a function of band gap.

From Fig. 4 we see that the introduction of a nonzero \( \kappa_{ph} \) creates a significant difference in the figure of merit of different band shapes, unlike for the ideal case. We can see this from the definition of figure of merit,\[ ZT = \frac{S^2/\sigma}{\kappa + \kappa_{ph}} T = \frac{\sigma^2}{\kappa_0 + \kappa_{ph}/n} T . \] (31)

Thus, increasing \( n \) brings the value of \( \sigma/\kappa \) closer to the ideal value. This effect is more noticeable for larger band gap because as the band gap is increased, \( \kappa_e \) becomes small and \( \kappa_{ph} \) is the dominant contributor to the total thermal conductivity. We also see that in accordance to the previous result, increasing the band gap will increase the figure of merit, but, when \( \kappa_{ph} \) is nonzero, there will be an asymptotic limit to the value of figure of merit from increasing the band gap only. This is because when the band gap is increased, \( \kappa_{ph} \) become much larger compared to \( \kappa_e \) such that the figure of merit is effectively proportional to \( \sigma \), i.e., \( ZT \approx S^2/\kappa_{ph} \). Similar to the power factor, the optimal chemical potential at which \( ZT_{max} \) is pushed nearer to the band edge, where the effects from the opposing band is negligible, and thus any further increase in the band gap will not affect the figure of merit. The value of \( \Delta \) for which the limit is achieved is dependent on \( \kappa_{ph} \). When \( \kappa_{ph} = \kappa_0/3 \), we see
that the limit is achieved when $\Delta$ is about $5k_BT$ ($E_g \approx 10k_BT$), while for larger $\kappa_{ph}$ it is achieved earlier at about $3k_BT$ for $\kappa_{ph} = 10k_BT$ ($E_g = 6k_BT$). The values of the so-called “optimal band gap” here are consistent with prior theoretical studies, such as Refs. [19] and [20], in which the optimal band gaps are indicated to exist within a range of 6–18 $k_BT$.

By comparing the figure of merit from the two different values of $\kappa_{ph}$, we see that other than reducing the maximum $ZT$ value, a higher $\kappa_{ph}$ will also shift the band gap where the optimal $ZT$ is achieved. With higher $\kappa_{ph}$, the ratio $\sigma/e\kappa$ becomes closer to $\sigma/\kappa_{ph}$, thus the chemical potential for maximum $ZT$ is pushed nearer to the band edge. The higher $\kappa_{ph}$ significantly decrease the $ZT$, but we note that $\kappa_{ph}$ falls off with temperature, while from eq. (26) the electron thermal conductivity should increase with temperature considering that the electron lifetime falls of by $T^{-3/2}$ at most. As such, at a higher temperature, the contribution of lattice thermal conductivity is then reduced and the thermoelectric performance of our material should be improved as the temperature is increased.

### 3.2. Asymmetric two-band systems

If previously in our model the conduction band and the valence band have the same shape, now we would like to see the effects of different conduction band and valence band shapes, i.e. when $m \neq n$. Plotting the Seebeck coefficient and conductivities for $m = 2$ and $n = 6$, we obtain Fig. 5.

Since $\sigma \propto S_{e,c} \sigma_r$, and $\sigma_r$ is smaller than $\sigma_c$, while $S_{e,c}$, $S_r$ have opposite signs, we obtain a higher total Seebeck coefficient in the case of asymmetric bands [e.g. Figs. 2(a) for $\Delta = 3k_BT$ with $m = 2$ and $n = 6$] than that in the case of symmetric bands [e.g. Figs. 2(a), 2(d), and 2(g) for $\Delta = 3k_BT$ with $m = n = 2$, $m = n = 4$, and $m = n = 6$, respectively]. The greatest increase is obtained when $m = 2$ with a peak in the Seebeck coefficient when chemical potential is well inside the band gap. On the other hand, since the values of conductivities at the same chemical potential and at a particular value of band gap are highly dependent on the $(m,n)$ set, and for $m < n$ the conductivities of the conduction band is lower than that of the valence band, our calculated results for the asymmetric bands show that the total conductivities [e.g. Figs. 5(b-c) for $\Delta = 3k_BT$ with $m = 2$ and $n = 6$] are lowered compared to the previous case when the bands are symmetric [e.g. Figs. 2(b-c) for $\Delta = 3k_BT$ with $m = n = 6$]. The effects of increasing band gap on the Seebeck coefficient and conductivities are shown in Figs. 5(d–f), consistent with the statements in the previous subsection.

While the increased Seebeck coefficient is beneficial, the reduced conductivity can also reduce the power factor of the material. We plot the power factors and figures of merit for the cases of $m < n$ and $m = n$ in Fig. 6 (note that as before, we take $\kappa_{ph} = 0$). However, we see that using $m < n$ (asymmetric) combination band does not increase the maximum possible value of the power factor [Figs. 6(a) and 6(c)] because the peak of the Seebeck coefficient is obtained when the chemical potential is still far from the band edge (inside the band gap) while the electrical conductivity is still very low. On the other hand, the figure of merit can be significantly improved by the asymmetric band, provided that $\kappa_e \gg \kappa_{ph}$, as can be seen from Figs. 6(b) and 6(d) which depict the ideal value for figure of merit when $\kappa_{ph} = 0$. As both electrical conductivity and electron thermal conductivity proportionally reduces for $m < n$, for $\kappa_e \gg \kappa_{ph}$ the ratio $\sigma/e\kappa$ is relatively unchanged. This combination of unchanged $\sigma/e\kappa$ and increasing $S$ leads to a potentially significant increase in the figure of merit.

If we consider the effects of the band gap, we see in both Figs. 5 and 6 that the qualitative behaviors of the thermoelectric properties are similar to the symmetric case, with the maximum power factor unaffected by either the asymmetric bands or the increase in band gap. On the other hand, the Seebeck coefficient and figure of merit are significantly increased by both the asymmetric band and the band gap. We should note that for a real material the increase in figure of merit will be much less dramatic, for in our model, the decrease in electrical conductivity due to the smaller band shape is always counteracted by a
proportional decrease in thermal conductivity.

In a real material with nonzero $\kappa_{ph}$, the thermal conductivity will no longer be proportional to the electric conductivity. As a result, when the electron thermal conductivity is low enough (for example due to high band gap) the improvement obtained by the asymmetric bands in the figure of merit will begin to suffer. To see the possible effects for non-zero phonon thermal conductivity, as in the previous subsection we calculate the figure of merit with $\kappa_{ph} = 3k_B T$ and $\kappa_{ph} = 10k_B T$. We plot the dependence of maximum figure of merit on band gap in Fig. 7. Similar to our results from the previous subsection, we see that as the band gap increases, $\kappa_e$ becomes smaller than $\kappa_{ph}$ and $ZT \approx (S^2 + \sigma/\kappa_{ph}) \propto PF$, where $\kappa_{ph}$ is assumed to be independent of band gap. When this point is achieved, the peak of $ZT$ is located at the region near a band edge where the effect of the opposing band is negligible. Therefore, increasing the band gap will no longer increase the figure of merit, and at this point, there will be no appreciable difference between the symmetric and asymmetric bands because when the band gap is large enough the two-band model effectively becomes a one band model where the effect of the opposing band is negligible, whatever its shape is. That being said, for narrow-gap materials the presence of asymmetric bands can achieve higher $ZT$ values than the symmetric bands. These maximum figures of merit are obtained at a lower doping level, thus this kind of material might have an advantage in ease of manufacturing.

### 3.3. Effects of dimensionality

We have not discussed yet the effects of the material’s dimensionality on the figure of merit. The most important effect of the dimension on the thermoelectric properties of a semiconductor is the different $\kappa_{ph}$, the thermal conductivity will no longer be proportional to the electric conductivity. As a result, when the electron thermal conductivity is low enough (for example due to high band gap) the improvement obtained by the asymmetric bands in the figure of merit will begin to suffer. To see the possible effects for non-zero phonon thermal conductivity, as in the previous subsection we calculate the figure of merit with $\kappa_{ph} = 3k_B T$ and $\kappa_{ph} = 10k_B T$. We plot the dependence of maximum figure of merit on band gap in Fig. 7. Similar to our results from the previous subsection, we see that as the band gap increases, $\kappa_e$ becomes smaller than $\kappa_{ph}$ and $ZT \approx (S^2 + \sigma/\kappa_{ph}) \propto PF$, where $\kappa_{ph}$ is assumed to be independent of band gap. When this point is achieved, the peak of $ZT$ is located at the region near a band edge where the effect of the opposing band is negligible. Therefore, increasing the band gap will no longer increase the figure of merit, and at this point, there will be no appreciable difference between the symmetric and asymmetric bands because when the band gap is large enough the two-band model effectively becomes a one band model where the effect of the opposing band is negligible, whatever its shape is. That being said, for narrow-gap materials the presence of asymmetric bands can achieve higher $ZT$ values than the symmetric bands. These maximum figures of merit are obtained at a lower doping level, thus this kind of material might have an advantage in ease of manufacturing.

![Figure 6: Power factors and electronic figures of merit considering asymmetric conduction bands with $m = 2, 4, 6$ and valence bands with $n = 6$. Panels (a) and (b) are for $\Delta = 3k_B T$ and $\Delta = 5k_B T$, respectively.](image1)

![Figure 7: Maximum figure of merit $ZT_{\text{max}}$ (at the optimum chemical potential) as a function of band gap for several cases of asymmetric bands with two different parameters of phonon thermal conductivity: $\kappa_{ph} = 3k_B T$ and $\kappa_{ph} = 10k_B T$. Dash-dotted boxes serve as a guide for eyes to distinguish the calculated curves obtained from the different $\kappa_{ph}$ parameters.](image2)
DOS of the \( n = 2 \) band diverges.

We show the plots of \( ZT_{\text{max}} \) versus band gap for the 2D and 1D systems in Fig. 9 with \( \kappa_{\text{ph}} = \kappa_0/3 \) and 10\( \kappa_0/3 \). We also consider the cases of \( m = n \) [Figs. 9(a) and 9(c)] and \( m \neq n \) [Figs. 9(b) and 9(d)]. For the 2D systems [Figs. 9(a) and 9(b)], the behavior of the figure of merit is essentially similar to the 3D case, in which there is an increase in \( ZT_{\text{max}} \) as the band gap is increased up to a certain limit. As depicted in Fig. 9(a), the pudding-mold bands give a better \( ZT \) than the parabolic band when \( m = n \). On the other hand, in Fig. 9(b), we see that the asymmetric (\( m \neq n \)) bands give a higher \( ZT \) than the symmetric band at lower band gaps. The overall \( ZT \) for the 2D case is slightly larger than that for the 3D case due to the presence of a larger dimension-dependent factor (\( C_d/d \)) for \( \sigma \) and \( \kappa_e \).

For the 1D systems [Figs. 9(c) and 9(d)], with nonzero \( \kappa_{\text{ph}} \) we surprisingly find that \( ZT_{\text{max}} \) values at certain band gaps for the cases containing parabolic bands are actually higher than those with pudding-mold band. This behavior is due to the higher electrical and electron thermal conductivities for regions near the band gap in the parabolic band. This higher conductivities lead to a higher \( \sigma/k \). The presence of the dimension-dependent factor \( C_d/d \) also causes the figure of merit for the 1D case [Figs. 9(c) and 9(d)] to be higher than the figure of merit for the 2D cases [Figs. 9(a) and 9(b)].

3.4. Insight into real materials

To get the insight into real materials from our model so far, we calculate the Seebeck coefficient, electrical conductivity, power factor, and figure of merit of some semiconductors which are expected to contain pudding-mold bands as well as parabolic bands. At least, we want to see more realistic effects when we have different band gaps and band shapes (dispersion powers \( n \)), so that we can suggest a better direction for finding potential thermoelectric materials from the simple model described before.

Here we consider platinum sulfide (PtS\(_2\)) as a representative of large-gap semiconductors, iron arsenide (FeAs\(_2\)) as a representative of narrow-gap semiconductors, and silicon (Si) as a commonly-used semiconductor in daily life. We take FeAs\(_2\) and PtS\(_2\) to represent pudding-mold materials since FeAs\(_2\) has previously been noted to exhibit a quasi one dimensional pudding-mold band [11]. Meanwhile, another platinum-chalcogen compound, PtSe\(_2\), has been noted as a good thermo-electric material with a pudding-mold band [43], thus we expect PtS\(_2\) to have similar properties. We calculate the band structure of these materials using the Quantum ESPRESSO package [25] in their 3D forms. Then, with the information about the band structure, we calculate their Seebeck coefficients, electrical conductivities, and electron thermal conductivities using the BoltzTraP package [27, 28]. We take the crystal structure data of these materials and the suggested parameters for Quantum ESPRESSO calculations from the AFLOWLIB repository [35, 36]. For simplicity, we do not calculate the phonon thermal conductivity, but use an estimated value corresponding to \( \kappa_{\text{ph}} = \kappa_0/3 \), which is quite realistic for these materials. Note that there is importance of using a two-band model to explain TE properties of narrow gap materials such as FeAs\(_2\). For many materials, one may obtain the optimal TE properties when the Fermi energy is near the band edge, i.e., when the doping is relatively small [37, 38, 39]. As a result, when calculating the TE properties of narrow-gap materials, we cannot neglect the contribution of bipolar effects. Indeed, as shown through our calculation, the two-band model can capture the effects of asymmetric valence-conduction band structures on the reduction of bipolar effects. This result suggests that for narrow-gap materials the optimal TE performance can be achieved without having a high doping level.

The band structures of PtS\(_2\), FeAs\(_2\), and Si along certain high-symmetry points are shown in Figs. 10(a), 10(b), and 10(c). See also Appendix C for more details of the band structures. Note that the indirect gap features in the band structures do not affect the thermoelectric properties considered in our model because the contributions from each band, subband, or valley to the thermoelectric transports can be independently separated and summed up. For PtS\(_2\) at the \( K-\Gamma \) path, as shown in Fig. 10(a), we see that the conduction and valence band can be fitted with a quartic band \( m = n = 4 \). Next, in Fig. 10(b), we see that FeAs\(_2\) has a wide pudding-mold conduction band along the \( \Gamma-Z \) points that which we fit with a sextic band \( m = 6 \) while we fit the rounded edge of the valence band nearby with a parabolic band. We note that FeAs\(_2\) also has a
very wide, almost flat band around the R-T-Z and Y-T direction, but following the argument in Ref. [11], we can treat this anisotropy as causing the pudding-mold band to be effectively one-dimensional in the dispersive direction. In the case of Si, we simply fit the conduction and valence bands by parabolic

\( m = (n = 2), \phi_r = \phi_0/3 \) forms.

We show the Seebeck coefficient, electrical conductivity, power factor, and figure of merit in Fig. 11. Comparing the band gap of the three different materials, we see that since the band gap of FeAs\(_2\) is much lower than PtS\(_2\) and Si, the Seebeck coefficient of FeAs\(_2\) is significantly lower [Fig. 11(a)], but the electrical conductivity around \( \mu = 0 \) is much higher than the other two materials which are almost zero [Fig. 11(b)]. We also observe that PtS\(_2\) has a higher Seebeck coefficient compared to Si due to its slightly larger band gap. From the results of electrical conductivity [Fig. 11(b)], we observe that PtS\(_2\) and Si have comparable conductivities near the band edges although the band gap of PtS\(_2\) is larger than the band gap of Si. We can attribute this behavior to the presence of pudding-mold band for PtS\(_2\) in the valence behavior with \( n = 4 \) since there exists a sharp increase in the conductivity of PtS\(_2\) when \( \mu = 0.6-0.7 \) eV.

Next, for the power factor, we see that both PtS\(_2\) and FeAs\(_2\) achieve higher peaks [Fig. 11(c)] than Si, with the best peak of FeAs\(_2\) slightly higher than PtS\(_2\). Since from Figs. 3(a–c) we know that the maximum power factor should be relatively unaffected by band gap and we see that FeAs\(_2\) has a comparable power factor to PtS\(_2\) despite its much lower band gap, we argue that the higher power factors (compared to Si) obtained in FeAs\(_2\) and PtS\(_2\) are caused by the improvement in the electrical conductivity due to the presence of pudding-mold bands compared to a material without pudding-mold bands.

Consistent with results of power factor, as shown in Fig. 11(d), the ZT values for PtS\(_2\) and FeAs\(_2\) are better than those for Si in a wide range of \( \mu \). It is interesting that the ZT and power factor for FeAs\(_2\) is not significantly smaller than that of PtS\(_2\), even though the low band gap of FeAs\(_2\) implies the presence of a significant bipolar effect. Considering the band structure of FeAs\(_2\) from Fig. 11(b), it is reasonable to conclude that the asymmetrical nature of the band structure, along with the presence of \( m = 6 \) conduction band, are enough to counteract the reduction of \( PF \) and \( ZT \) due to bipolar effects. The lower \( PF \) and \( ZT \) for lower doping level is also consistent with this hypothesis. However, we note that the ZT values for PtS\(_2\) and FeAs\(_2\), even when using \( \kappa_{ph} = \kappa_0/3 \) (not \( \kappa_{ph} = 10\kappa_0/3 \), are still less than 1, indicating that further search or screening of real materials with the pudding-mold band structures are desirable for obtaining better ZT values because as explained in Secs. 3.1–3.3 consideration of the pudding-mold band structures hold promise for \( ZT > 1 \). We expect that near future works on the high-throughput calculations for the materials search, in all possible dimensions, can be triggered by this present work.
Figure 10: Fitting the conduction and valence bands of (a) PtS$_2$, (b) FeAs$_2$, and (c) Si. Depending on shapes of the bands, we may fit them to pudding-mold ($E \propto k^4$ and $E \propto k^6$) or parabolic ($E \propto k^2$) energy dispersion.

Figure 11: Calculated thermoelectric properties of PtS$_2$, FeAs$_2$, and Si represented by solid, dashed, and dotted lines, respectively. (a) Seebeck coefficient, (b) electrical conductivity, (c) power factor, and (d) dimensionless figure of merit.

4. Conclusions

We find that pudding-mold type (quartic and sextic) bands provide both higher power factors and figures of merit compared to parabolic bands in 2D and 3D materials due to the increased conductivities, while in 1D material the parabolic bands may have larger figures of merit than the pudding-mold bands at a certain range of optimal band gaps. Using two bands with different shapes in the conduction and valence bands can also achieve an improvement in figure of merit at a lower band gap and chemical potential. Comparing the results for 3D, 2D, and 1D materials, we see that decreasing the dimension does generally increase the figure of merit, but it should be noted again that for a 1D material there is no significant improvement in using the pudding-mold bands over the parabolic bands due to the similarity in the DOS of each band.
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Appendix A. Some notes on the bandwidth parameter

For all of our calculations, the coefficient $A_n$ in the band dispersion relation is given by

$$A_n = \left( \frac{a}{N_{\text{atoms}}} \right)^n E_0, \quad (A.1)$$

where we take $E_0$ to be the same in all cases, $E_0 = 2eV$. By choosing this form of $A_n$ we ensure that for all values of $n$ the
bands will converge in the edge of the (cubic) first Brillouin zone, at \( E = E_0 \). Here we examine the dependence of the TE properties on the value of \( E_0 \). By increasing \( E_0 \), the dispersion curve will become steeper, which will increase the electron velocity at the cost of reducing the density of states. From Eqs. (25)–(26) we see that the band width affects the electrical and electron thermal conductivity as a multiplicative factor which also depends on the dimension and \( n \). For a 3D material, the reduction in density of states exceeds the increase in band velocity and we found that \( \sigma, \kappa \sim (k_B T / E_0)^{1/n} \), while the Seebeck coefficient is independent of \( E_0 \). This implies that a larger \( E_0 \) will lead to a smaller conductivity, which means an increase in \( E_0 \) will likely decrease the power factor and figure of merit, and vice versa. Due to the \( 1/n \) exponent, this change in conductivity will be more pronounced in parabolic bands compared to pudding-mold bands. We plot the resulting figure of merit in 1D materials for two different values of \( E_0 \) in Fig. A.12. We see that while this change in \( E_0 \) slightly increases or decreases the figure of merit as expected, the general trend and the relation between band shape and figure of merit is similar to that of the main result.

From Eqs. (25)–(26) it is apparent that the conductivities for 2D materials are not affected by the bandwidth. Meanwhile, for 1D materials, the increase in velocity obtained by increasing \( E_0 \) is larger then the decrease in density of states; we see that \( \sigma, \kappa \sim (E_0 / k_B T)^{1/n} \) which means an increase in \( E_0 \) will increase the power factor and figure of merit instead. We plot the figure of merit in 1D materials for two different values of \( E_0 \) (similar to the previous plot) in Fig. A.13. We see that increasing (decreasing) \( E_0 \) improves (decreases) the figure of merit, with a larger change observed for smaller \( n \). Notably, for a low enough \( E_0 \) the figure of merit of the pudding-mold bands beat the figure of merit of the parabolic band, unlike the result in the main text, while for bigger \( E_0 \) the improvement in performance for the parabolic band compared to the pudding mold bands becomes more significant.

### Appendix B. Calculation with DOS-dependent relaxation time approximation (DRTA)

Using similar methods as described in Sec. 2 we calculate the TE properties of our pudding-mold band model by taking the relaxation time to be

\[
\tau = C / g(\epsilon) \tag{B.1}
\]

Substituting this value of \( \tau \) to Eqs. (18) and (19), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{el}} = \frac{C^2 (k_B T)^{n+2}}{d^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2} \frac{1}{(k_B T / E_0)^{2/n}} n^2 \tilde{G}_{\varepsilon, \Delta}^{1/DOS}(\eta, \tilde{\Delta}), \tag{B.2}
\]

where

\[
\tilde{G}_{\varepsilon, \Delta}^{1/DOS}(\eta, \tilde{\Delta}) = \int_{\tilde{\Delta}-\eta}^{\infty} (x + \eta - \tilde{\Delta})^{2-2/n} \frac{e^x}{(e^{x/2} + 1)^2} (x^2) dx. \tag{B.3}
\]

A similar relation for the valence band in analogy with \( \tilde{G} \) can also be obtained. We then plot the results for the Seebeck coefficient, electrical conductivity, electron thermal conductivity, power factor, and ideal figure of merit in Figs. B.14. Here the electrical conductivity and electron thermal conductivity are expressed in the constants:

\[
\sigma_0^{1/DOS} = \frac{C e^2 \hbar^2 k_B T}{\hbar^2}, \tag{B.4}
\]

\[
\kappa_0^{1/DOS} = \frac{C \hbar^2 k_B^2 T^3}{\hbar^2}. \tag{B.5}
\]

In Figs. B.15(a)–(b), we also plot the optimum figure of merit \( ZT_{\text{max}} \) for each value of band gap, with two different possible phonon thermal conductivity. As in the main text, we assume the phonon thermal conductivity to be constants in the realistic range of 1–10W/mK. We note that the dimension dependence of \( \mathcal{L}_s \) is only in the form of a uniform \( 1/d^2 \) scaling factor, as such we will only plot the results for the 3D material.

While overall the results in Fig. B.14 seem similar to the results from the main text, there is one important difference that can be clearly seen from Fig. B.15. The dependence of the band gap on the figure of merit looks the same, but unlike our previous result, we see a similar value of \( ZT \) for \( n = 2 \) and \( n = 4 \). This relative increase in the \( n = 2 \) figure of merit can be explained if we take a closer look at the electrical conductivity. Because for the DRTA approximation the DOS factor in the thermoelectric integrals (18), (19) vanishes, the conductivity only depends on the velocity. Near the band edge, the pudding mold bands have a flat shape, thus for low chemical potential, the conductivity of the parabolic band is higher than that of the \( n = 4 \) band. On the other hand, in the CRTA approximation, this nearly zero velocity is partially counteracted by the singular DOS at the band edge. This lower conductivity for the \( m = 4 \) band compared to the \( m = 2 \) also means that for the asymmetrical band configuration, the \( m = 4, n = 6 \) case provides a slightly better result than the \( m = 2, n = 6 \) shape.

### Appendix C. Band structure calculations

In Figs. C.16(a)–(c), we show the complete band structures of FeAs\(_2\), PtS\(_2\), and Si that we obtain from first principles Quantum ESPRESSO calculations. In our calculations we use projected augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials taken from PSlibrary [41, 42]. To ensure a reasonable computation time, we set the kinetic energy cutoff to be 60 Ry for FeAs\(_2\) and Si, and 100 Ry for PtS\(_2\). The convergence threshold is set to \( 10^{-8} \) Ry.
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