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Abstract

Objective: Sulfur mustard (SM) is one of the major potent chemical warfare and attractive weapons for terrorists. It has caused deaths to hundreds of thousands of victims in World War I and more recently during the Iran-Iraq war (1980–1988). It has ability to develop severe acute and chronic damage to the respiratory tract, eyes and skin. Understanding the acute and chronic biologic consequences of SM exposure may be quite essential for developing efficient prophylactic/therapeutic measures. One of the systems majorly affected by SM is the respiratory tract that numerous clinical studies have detailed processes of injury, diagnosis and treatments of lung. The low mortality rate has been contributed to high prevalence of victims and high lifetime morbidity burden. However, there are no curative modalities available in such patients. In this review, we collected and discussed the related articles on the preventive and therapeutic approaches to SM-induced respiratory injury and summarized what is currently known about the management and therapeutic strategies of acute and long-term consequences of SM lung injuries.

Method: This review was done by reviewing all papers found by searching following key words sulfur mustard; lung; chronic; acute; COPD; treatment.

Results: Mustard lung has an ongoing pathological process and is active disorder even years after exposure to SM. Different drug classes have been studied, nevertheless there are no curative modalities for mustard lung.

Conclusion: Complementary studies on one hand regarding pharmacokinetic of drugs and molecular investigations are mandatory to obtain more effective treatments.
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Background

A biofunctional mustard agent i.e., sulfur mustard, bis(2-chloroethyl) sulfide (SM), was used in several conflicts since the first World War and has caused more than 80% of all documented chemical war gas casualties [1-3].

It is well known that chemical agents have been used against military personnel during conventional warfare, however, due to the increasing threat of terrorist activities, the focus has now broadened to encompass the threat posed to civilians. It maintains to be a major threat for use in battlefields and terrorist activities against either military and civilian targets [4]. It has been estimated that more than 100,000 Iranians were exposed to chemical warfare agents during the 8-year Iraq-Iran war, and around 50,000 mustard gas-affected individuals are suffering from of chronic respiratory, eye and/or dermatological complications [5]. Most victims (approximately 30,000) have been manifested different degree of long-term lung injuries [6]. Ever since, SM has been remained as a potent military and civilian threat [7], thus efficient prophylactic/therapeutic measures of acute and chronic pulmonary complications may be the most important challenge and intriguing goal in these setting. Most of acute lung injury survivors are confined to the upper respiratory tract (pharyngeal, palatal, tracheal lesions) and/or lower respiratory tract that had caused to fatal consequences due to airways complications [8]. Over many years, several surveys on long-term effects of SM, were published on lower respiratory tract system that revealed most frequent long-term respiratory effects
were including bronchiolitis obliterans (BO), chronic bronchitis, bronchiectasis and hyper reactive airway disease [5,9].

Initially, medical groups supposed that they were encountered to a simple and known respiratory disease, so diagnostic and treatment lines were followed by the existing methods for well known respiratory illnesses like as asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). After a while, owing to failure of the conventional remedies, less of improving illnesses and resumption of crippling symptoms and signs gradually have revealed different aspects of these disorders. For example, it is has been established that smoking is the most important factor causing COPD and the adverse effects are either dependent to dose and duration of exposure. Fortunately, smoking cessation improves the accelerated decline in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) in COPD [10]. In other words, even in severe COPD smoking cessation slows the accelerated rate of lung function decline and improves survival compared with continued smoking [11]. But featuring different scenarios, mustard lung injury was developed after single exposure and was independent of dosage and has progressive course. Because of the nature of SM-induced lung injuries, term of “Disorder” has been used instead of “Disease”. Ghanei and colleagues named this disorder as “mustard lung” for the first time to emphasis on specific character of this entity [12].

More previous studies showed that BO has been known to be the main pathological features of lung lesions in mustard lung on the basis of the radiological, histological, spirometric and laboratory findings [13]. Despite initial assumption, comprehensive investigations confirmed that these patients do from experience either pulmonary fibrosis or pulmonary emphysema [14-16].

According to the indicated differences in the pathogenesis of COPD and mustard lung, different therapeutic approaches have been considered for these patients [17]. Considering this fact whereas there is no cure for mustard lung injury, the main strategy of treatment is to prevent injuries by an effective protection and if occurred, prevention of disease progression rather than curing them or treating their symptoms. Consequently, we reviewed all modalities by considering preventive approaches; primary prevention (methods to avoid occurrence of disease), secondary prevention (methods to diagnose and treat extant disease in early stages before it causes significant morbidity) and tertiary prevention (methods to reduce negative impact of extant disease by restoring function and reducing disease-related complications) like as pulmonary rehabilitation.

This review could assist the clinicians and researchers to efficiently target their studies in the field of therapeutic approaches to SM-induced pulmonary injury.

Methods

All published studies concerning treatment of respiratory effects of SM were included until 2012 February. Publications will be identified from the following electronic databases: Medline/Pubmed, Scopus, Google Scholar, Embase, ISI Web of Knowledge, Biological Abstracts and Chemical Abstracts. To include all of studies in electronic databases, keywords such as sulfur mustard; lung; chronic; acute; COPD; treatment were used. Subsequently, the therapeutic approaches to SM-induced pulmonary injury have been analyzed. In this study, efficient prophylactic/therapeutic measures are classified as acute and long-term SM-induced pulmonary effects and in three categories of primary, secondary and tertiary prevention.

Acute SM-induced pulmonary effects

After minutes to several hours of exposure to SM, casualties experience tracheobronchitis with hoarseness, hacking cough, dyspnea, chest pressure, sore throat due to edema and erythema of the pharynx and bronchial tree [18,19]. During the next 12 hours, the main objective clinical findings develop with increasing cough, tachypnea, sinus pain [20,21]. Bronchiolar obstruction vs. bronchospasm can be anticipated due to pseudomembrane formation within the airways, sloughing of epithelium, and lower airway obstruction. After 24 to 48 hours, severe exposures cause to hemorrhagic pulmonary edema, secondary pneumonia, and respiratory failure due to necrosis with high mortality [20]. In spirometric findings, more obstructive patterns than restriction have been shown (53% vs. 2%) [19]. Generally, abnormal pulmonary function tests and particularly restrictive patterns tend to worsen over time [21].

Medical management of acute toxic effects of SM

Primary prevention

Primary prevention is the prevention of the disease in susceptible individuals through promotion of healthcare and specific protection. The goal of primary prevention is to decrease the number of new cases (incidence) of a disorder. The ability to rapidly detect, identify and monitor chemical warfare agents is imperative for the efficient use of both military and civilian defence resources. This knowledge allows the severity and extent of a hazard to be assessed so that areas that are clean or contaminated can be identified. Furthermore, the information acquired by these systems provides advice to military commanders and first responders, regarding the donning of individual protective equipment, sampling, handling, analysis procedures and medical countermeasures. At this stage, early diagnosis of attack or exposure and decontamination play a major role [22]. After exposure to SM vapor, first-aid measures triage and medical
treatment required as soon as possible. It must be ordered antidotal treatment with 1–500 mg sodium thiosulphate per kilogram body weight immediately [23-25].

In general treatment, control of pain and sedation of the severely intoxicated patients may be required. Also, supportive care of the patients is very important in their management. Willems and his colleague have reported that the application of extracorporeal detoxification procedures, such as haemoperfusion and haemodialysis, have no definitely therapeutic or clinical effect, because active mustard has not been identified in blood taken from victims. Also, these procedures are not safe and may facilitate coagulation disorders and systemic infections in such immunocompromised patients [23,26].

At acute phase, radiological evidence could be normal despite clinical signs e.g. bronchial rale, wheezing and crackels but it is the best time to start therapeutic interventions. Patients with acute SM lung injuries often receive bronchodilators, corticosteroids, immunosuppressive agents, antibiotics, mucolytics, oxygen therapy, and physiotherapy according to the involved complication(s) [22,27,28]. Combination a number of other drugs such as cysteine, sodium citrate, promethazine, heparin may be effective for the raising their protective activity against SM lung injuries. Also, Vitamin E could be used in combination with corticosteroids for protection against chemical-induced lung injury. [27-30]. But these different treatments still have no optimal effectiveness and also have known adverse effects in this stage of disease.

L-NAME & L-TC: L-nitroarginine methyl ester (L-NAME) and L-thiocitrullline (L-TC) are applied as two effective and protective drugs against the acute toxicity of SM. Maximal protective effects of L-NAME are delayed up to 3 h post-SM exposure that were dependent on the continued presence of L-NAME in the medium and were persistent up to 48 h after SM exposure [31,32]. Conversely, the protection conferred by L-TC against SM was persistent, and did not require the continued presence of L-TC after the initial SM lesion was expressed [33-35]. Some studies have been carried out for treatment of lung effects of SM-exposed patients in vivo and in vitro are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

**Long-term SM-induced pulmonary adverse effects**

After acute phases, respiratory problems are the greatest cause of long-term disability among people with combat exposure to mustard gas [17]. Long-term mustard lung injuries include chronic bronchitis (59%), tracheobronchial stenosis (24%) (ranging from diffuse involvement to isolated glottic or subglottic stenosis), asthma (11%), bronchiectasis (9%), airway narrowing due to scarring (10%), rarely honeycomb lung pathology as like fibrosis, and slightly increased incidence of lung cancer after a latency of 20 years and more [6,57].

Previous cohort studies have shown underlying pulmonary diseases such as resistant asthma and cigarette smoking can change the structure of the small airways and cause irreversible airflow obstruction. Thus they may be interfered with diagnostic and therapeutic processes of mustard lung by causing similar clinical features [23]. Conversely, comorbidity of resistant asthma and/or cigarette smoking with mustard lung may delay common therapeutic responses of mustard lung.

Several investigations have been evaluated long-term markers of SM toxicity 20 years after exposure. Pourfarzam and colleagues well assigned the association of the serum levels of IL-8, IL-6, C-reactive protein (CRP) and RF with long-term pulmonary involvement. It was concluded that serum levels of these inflammatory mediators probably do not play a major role in pathogenesis and persistence of pulmonary complications and could not reflect the degree of severity of pulmonary involvement following SM exposure [14,58]. Also, numerous studies have documented the theory of considering the oxidative–antioxidative imbalance and dependent markers of pulmonary inflammation in the pathophysioloogy of SM-induced pulmonary lesion. For example, Shohrati et al. showed Patients with moderate-to-severe SM-induced lung injuries had a tendency to show decreased level of glutathione and increased level of malondialdehyde (MDA) rather than those with mild injuries [59].

Latest data also show that mutations in the tumor suppressor gene, p53 may increase incidence of early-onset lung cancer in SM-exposed injury patients [57]. On the other hand, recently various studies have been described associated pathologic sequela of SM poisoning. Tracheobronchomalacia (TBM) and air trapping caused by affecting small and large airways are often observed in high-resolution computerized tomography (HRCT) scans of the chest of mustard gas exposed patients proving significant airway disease including constrictive (obliterative) bronchiolitis. However, the process is different from BO resulted from lung transplantation [14,60].

There are some data which support the role of oxidative stress and apoptosis as two prominent mechanisms that are involved in pathogenesis of mustard lung [13,61].

**Secondary prevention**

**Treatment of long-term toxic effects** Secondary prevention focuses on identifying and treating people with established disease. In secondary prevention, the goal is to lower the rate of established cases of the disorder in the population (prevalence). It is relevant that the therapeutic response of long-term pulmonary complications
due to exposure to SM is supposed to be different from one person to another due to various internal factors (i.e., healthy status, underlying disease, and genetic tendency) and external factors (i.e., toxicities, duration and frequency of exposure, co-exposure, emergent and follow-up medical care, smoking, and synergistic effect of occupational exposure).

Corticosteroids  Inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) are widely used in the treatment of patients with COPD but still their effectiveness in COPD remains controversial \[20,62,63\]. Inflammatory cells accumulation in the respiratory tract and production of inflammatory mediators can be correlated directly with altered lung function in SM-induced lung injury suggests that steroids depend on the extent of lung injury play a key role in treatment \[64\]. Furthermore, corticosteroids are extensively used to resolve exacerbation of respiratory symptoms in mustard lung. It should be considered that since mustard lung disorder is contributed to higher morbidities rather than mortalities, maintenance prescription of oral corticosteroids just may increases the related complications and has no effect on patients’ survival. Therefore, although ICS are significantly helpful in this setting, long term oral corticosteroids consumption should be warranted considered for severe cases \[65\]. However, this point is important with regard to different etiologies that lack active inflammation in these patients. The serum levels of these inflammatory mediators probably do not have any major role in pathogenesis and persistence of pulmonary complications and cannot reflect the degree of severity of pulmonary involvement following SM exposure \[14\]. Also, ineffectiveness of corticosteroids in airway reversibility in more than 50% of mustard injured cases \[20\] may imply the absence of active eosinophilic inflammation in these patients.

Table 1 Treatment of lung effects of SM-exposed patients *in vitro*

| Reference | Authors, Year, Country | Design of study/Intervention(s) | Participants | Result/s |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| [27]      | Wigenstam et al. 2009, Sweden | Dexamethasone liposome-encapsuled vitamin E | Mouse | Effective |
| [30]      | Hoesel et al. 2008,USA | Alpha/gamma-tocopherol NAC + alpha/gamma-tocopherol | Rat | Effective |
| [35]      | Sawyer et al. 1998, Canada | L-thiocitrulline | Chick embryo | Effective |
| [31]      | Sawyer 1998, Canada | L-NAME | Chick embryo | Effective |
| [36]      | Paromov et al. 2008,USA | NAC | Intercellular macrophages | Effective |
| [37]      | Atkins et al. 2000,USA | NAC | Endothelial cells | Effective |
| [38]      | Hultén et al. 1998, Sweden | BHT NAC | Alveolar macrophages | Effective |
| [39]      | Anderson et al. 2000,USA | NIA NAC | Rat | Ineffective |
| [40]      | Gao et al. 2010,USA | Azithromycin, clarithromycin, erythromycin, roxithromycin Alveolar macrophages | Alveolar macrophages | Effective |
| [41]      | Guignabert et al. 2005, USA | Doxycycline | Guinea pigs | Effective |
| [42]      | Van Helden et al. 2004, Netherlands | surfactant curosurf salbutamol | Guinea pigs | Effective |
| [43]      | Raza et al. 2006, USA | Doxycycline | Human lung epithelial cells | Effective |
| [44]      | Anderson et al. 2009 | Aprotinin | Rat | Effective |
| [45]      | Yourick et al. 1992 | NIA | Guinea pigs | Ineffective |
| [46]      | Wilde and Upshall. 1991 | Esters of cysteine | Rat | Effective |
| [47]      | Zboril et al. 2012 | zero-valent iron nanoparticles ferrate(VI)/(III) composite | Invitro | Effective |
| [48]      | Boskabady et al. 2011 | Nigella sativa | Guinea pigs | Effective |
| [49]      | O'Neill et al. 2010 | AEOL 10150 Nigella sativa + dexamethasone | Rat | Effective |

*Niacinamide (NIA), N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), L-nitroarginine methyl ester (L-NAME), Aeolus(AEOL-10150) a small-molecule antioxidant analogous to the catalytic site of superoxide dismutase, Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT).*
N acetyl-cysteine (NAC) N acetyl-cysteine can be used as a protective agent that enhance glutathione-S-transferase (GSH) synthesis, as well as prevent oxidative activation of NF-κB inducing endothelial cell death and generate a local inflammatory reaction associated with the release of endothelial-derived cytokines [50,66,67]. Stav et al. have expressed a beneficial effect of NAC on physical performance probably due to a reduction in air trapping in stable, moderate-to-severe COPD [68].

To date it is identified that SM or its analogs reacts with nucleic acids, proteins, lipids and small molecular weight metabolites such as glutathione and lead to oxidative stress. It can increase oxidative products include reactive oxygen species (ROS), lipid peroxidation products and oxidized proteins, and inhibits antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, catalase, GSH, and thioredoxin reductase. This inequity by SM can damage pulmonary matrix cells and disrupt cellular redox homeostasis [29,36,37]. Mehrani et al. was also showed that S100 calcium-binding protein A8 and Apo A1 both increased in BAL fluid in endotoxin-challenged in patients with high severity of SM exposure [69]. Numerous investigations have suggested an anti-oxidant effect for these two proteins [70,71], which confirm the oxidative–antioxidative imbalance in the pathophysiology of SM-induced pulmonary lesion.

As a result, successful therapy for SM toxicity may depend on the development of new antioxidants effective against SM-induced ROS and their improved delivery to target tissues. Also, a previous study showed that SM causes a transient decrease in inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) protein syntheses rather than a direct inhibition of iNOS activity due to covalent modifications by SM [72]. In such setting where oxidative stress is an ongoing and long term process, using antioxidative modalities should be started soon in early stage of disease to maintain oxidant-antioxidant balance and continued as long as the underlying pathology is persisting.

The NAC would prevent GSH depletion and restore the loss of iNOS activity in stimulated macrophages and in rat model it could protect bronchial epithelial cells against SM in vitro [36,37]. In addition, NAC can reduce the level of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα) in lung transplanted persons [38,73]. It also reduces the secretion of many inflammatory modulators and could prevent thickening of respiratory airways and bronchial smooth muscle hypertrophy. Therefore NAC not only can be used in treating bronchitis and bronchiolitis, but also prevent mustard induced pulmonary lesions due to

Table 2 Treatment of lung effects of SM-exposed patients in vivo

| Reference | Authors, Year, Country | Design of study/Intervention(s) | Participants | Result/s |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| [20]      | Ghanei et al. 2005, Iran| Group 1: Oral prednisolone      | 65 mustard gas-exposed chronic bronchitis patients (Group 1:26 & Group 2:39) | Effective |
| [50]      | Ghanei et al. 2004, Iran| Clarithromycin + Acetylcysteine | mustard gas-exposed patients17                           | Effective |
| [51]      | Ghanei et al. 2008, Iran| Group 1: NAC                    | 144 mustard gas-exposed patients with normal pulmonary function test (Group 1:72 & Group 2:72) | Effective |
| [52]      | Shohrati et al. 2008, Iran| Group 1: Placebo               | 144 mustard gas-exposed patients with impaired pulmonary function test (Group 1:72 & Group 2:72) | Ineffective |
| [53]      | Boskabady et al. 2008, Iran| Group 1: PC(20) salbutamol    | 22 exposed to chemical warfare (Group 1:11 & Group 2:15)  | Effective |
| [54]      | Ghanei et al. 2007, Iran| Group 1: Fluticasone, Propionate and Salmetrol | 105 participants warfare (Group 1:52 & Group 2:53) | More effective |
| [55]      | Panahi et al. 2006, Iran| Group 1: Interferon Gamma-1b + Prednisolone | 36 exposed to chemical warfare (Group 1:18 & Group 2:18) | More effective |
| [56]      | Ghanei et al. 2010, Iran| Air: Oxygen, Helium: Oxygen     | 24 mustard gas-exposed patients                           | Less effective |

*N-acetyl cysteine (NAC).*
oxidative stress. An in vivo study has been showed NAC vs. niacinamide (NIA) has more effective against SM-induced lung injury that determined by biomedical and cytological analysis of lavage fluid [39]. In a double-blind clinical trial study on 144 SM-induced BO patients with normal PFT improved dyspnea, wake-up dyspnea and cough after 4 months of NAC administration compared with the placebo group. NAC reduced sputum from 76.9% of cases before the trial to 9.6% of cases after the trial. Spirometric components were significantly improved in NAC group compared with the placebo group [51]. Also, in another double-blind clinical trial study on mustard gas-exposed patients with impaired pulmonary function test, clinical-conditions and spirometric findings in these patients can improve after fourth months administration of NAC [52].

Some different studies have been carried out based on antioxidant properties for treatment of respiratory adverse effects of SM-exposed patients in vivo and in vitro.

**Bronchodilators** Bronchodilators can be applied in patients with increased airway hyper-reactivity and especially for moderate to severe cases with SM exposed lung injuries [72]. Combination of β-agonist (e.g., salbutamol) and an anticholinergic (e.g., ipratropium bromide) has been found to be more effective than any of other bronchodilators used alone in these patients [53]. A study has shown combination therapy with long-acting beta 2-agonists and inhaled corticosteroids for 12 weeks. In addition, short-term intravenous pulse or oral corticosteroid therapy can improve FEV1, FVC and PEF of patients with mustard gas-induced chronic bronchitis in the case of exacerbation, and this effect is synergistic with inhaled β -2 agonist bronchodilators [54].

**Macrolides** It has been proven that macrolide antibiotics may serve as potential vesicant respiratory therapeutics. Macrolides are effective in reducing SM-induced overproduction of proinflammatory cytokines and mediators, as well as improving the degenerated chemotactic and phagocytic functions of monocytes following SM exposure. Also, macrolide antibiotics may lead to clearance improvement of apoptotic material in the airway and ultimately cause to reduce airway inflammation due to SM inhalation [40,74]. Specifically, mustard lung patients are observed to have severe and persistent respiratory symptoms in the absence of eosinophilic inflammation. In other word non-eosinophilic (neutrophil mediated) inflammation is relatively common. This would have major consequences for the treatment and prevention since most previous treatment and prevention strategies were almost entirely focused on allergic and eosinophilic measures. In such a non-eosinophilic inflammation the macrolides are one of best candidate to play their anti-inflammatory role.

We should emphasize that previous studies showed to excess neutrophil in BAL studies [15], to decrease the circulating levels of IL-6, IL-8, CPR, RF in SM exposed patients with various degrees of late pulmonary complications [13,14] and to increase expression of markers of inflammation including cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), TNFa, iNOS, matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and a significant increase in total protein, IL-1α and IL-13 that each of which has been implicated in pulmonary toxicity on SM exposed model [16,25]. But, other surveys have been noted that there is no active inflammation in these patients because corticosteroids are ineffectiveness in airway reversibility in more than 50% of mustard injured cases [20]. In other words, the efficacy of inflammation mechanisms is really unobvious in pathogenesis pulmonary complications and does not reflect the degree of severity of pulmonary involvement following SM exposure [14].

**Evaluation and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD)**

Studies of patients with chronic upper airway symptoms describe a potential relationship between GERD and upper airway conditions. The hypothetical mechanisms linking upper respiratory symptoms with GERD include heightened bronchial reactivity, microaspiration, and a vagally mediated reflex mechanism [75]. However, in the case of GERD-related respiratory symptoms the typical digestive syndrome is frequently absent, the situation corresponding to the so-called ‘silent GERD’ [76]. The relationship of upper respiratory symptoms and GERD is based upon investigations of subjects with persistent upper respiratory symptoms. In the majority of these cases, GERD was asymptomatic or the symptoms were not sufficiently severe to warrant an evaluation of GERD before the evaluation of upper respiratory symptoms [77]. Treatment of GERD could result in marked improvement or even disappearance of symptoms in patients with chronic respiratory symptoms. In addition in the specific situation of GERD-related respiratory problems, empirical therapy with proton pump inhibitors (PPI) is frequently proposed in these cases [76]. Some studies addressed the correlation between respiratory symptoms in mustard lung disorder and GERD symptoms [77]. In a study by evaluating of chronic cough in mustard lung patients GERD was accounted for 44% of chronic cough of the patients [78]. Evaluation of GERD and treatment or even empirical therapy with a PPI especially in symptomatic and uncontrolled respiratory symptoms is highly recommended in mustard lung to relief of respiratory symptoms associated with GERD.
Protease inhibitors There is some evidence for the role for proteases such as serine, cysteine, and MMP in the pathogenesis of SM-induced damage, even in the SM exposed model [21,44]. SM inhalation may cause cell death of airway macrophages by themselves, as well as degenerated chemotactic and phagocytic functions of the surviving cells. As a result, as hallmarks of SM inhalation exposure, these consequences may affect incompetent clearance of dead cells, and accumulation of apoptotic and necrotic fragments promoting the ongoing inflammation and losing of structural integrity in the airway. These processes were caused to damage normal pulmonary parenchyma and regard to emphysema. Absence of emphysema in nonsmoker SM-induced lung injury is against over activity proteolytic molecules in these patients.

Previous studies reported treatment with aprotinin and to a lesser extent ilomastat, as well as doxycycline reduce some of the direct inflammatory response and damage associated with SM-induced lung injury [79]. Aprotinin irreversibly binds to proteases that contain a serine amino acid residue in their catalytic site inhibiting the actions of trypsin, plasmin, kallikrien, elastase, urokinase, and thrombin in an increasing dose-dependent manner, respectively [80].

Doxycycline also exhibits non-specific MMP inhibitory activity such as MMP-2, MMP-9 and cellularity and protein levels. In addition, doxycycline and related tetracyclines can be influence on iNOS expression and nitric oxide production, to reduce inflammatory cytokine release, and to scavenge reactive oxygen species [41,43,65].

α1-antitrypsin activity (AAT) Previous studies showed that AAT due to oxidative stress has been diminished after exposure to SM. But significant difference in PI phenotype and the effect of SM on the mutation of the AAT gene is unlikely. Additionally, it could be concluded that just a single exposure to SM has no effect on changing the phenotype of AAT [81]. AAT deficiency has no cure. Thus, people who have AAT deficiency and moderate to severe impairment of pulmonary function due to long time exposure to SM could be continued medical care and lifestyle changes that help to manage their health. Medical care may included inhaled bronchodilators and inhaled steroids, protection with Flu and pneumococcus vaccines, pulmonary rehabilitation, and if needed, extra oxygen therapy.

Interferon gamma-1b (INF γ-1b) It has been recently demonstrated that transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-b1) target protein and plays a fundamental role in BO pathogenesis and is substantially increased in BAL aspirates and target tissues of SM exposed patients, compared with non-exposed individuals. Also, TGF-β especially TGF-β1 increase in SM exposed patients. This factor has been described to play an important role in the pathogenesis of progressive inflammatory and fibrotic diseases such as IPF and BO [17]. Ghanei et al., reported that honey combing that is typical for pulmonary fibrosis is not found in lung mustard and BO is main complication of these patients [7]. In addition, current treatments of BO in post-lung transplant patients i.e., immunosuppression and corticosteroid therapy, are not effective in SM exposed patients [18,19]. The IFN-γ1b is a bioengineered form of interferon gamma, a protein that acts as a biologic response modifier through stimulation of the human immune system. We presume that the response to treatment in our patients can be attributed to the down regulating effects of IFN-γ1b on TGF-b1 [17,55,82]. Recently, it was shown that six-month treatment with IFN-γ1b plus a low-dose prednisolone could improve pulmonary function of SM-exposed patients with severe delayed lung complications [55,82].

Surfactant therapy Recent studies on animal model have also proposed surfactants in combination with bronchodilators or anti-inflammatory agents. This medication may be useful in SM induced lung injury [16,42].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) genotype ACE genotype and the Renin Angiotensin system influence the severity of the late respiratory complications of mustard gas exposure with the D allele being associated higher FEV 1% predicted. It is evidence that ACE influences may open the way to new therapeutic options [83].

Treatment during exacerbation In a recent report has suggested that the use of helium oxygen mixtures with non-invasive ventilation can be decreased airway resistance and work of breathing in subjects with chronic dyspnea following SM exposure. Therefore, helium oxygen mixtures usage may improve impairment of function during exacerbation and also pulmonary in moderate to severe chronic lung effects [56].

Other therapies during exacerbation are short courses of systemic corticosteroids or inhaled corticosteroids, antibiotics, morphine, oxygen supplement therapy, mucolytics and chest physiotherapy. However, the optimal choice of antibiotic and length of oxygen therapy is still unclear.

Tertiary prevention and rehabilitation Tertiary prevention commonly includes the prevention of the disease progression after it is clinically noticeable and the diagnosis has been established. In fact it seeks to stabilize or decrease the amount of disability associated
with an existing disorder. It was shown that after long term follow up that mortality is usually low in patients with injuries of SM [84]. It leads to high prevalence of victims and frequent end stage morbidities. In such cases exercise rehabilitation shows significant results. Also long Long-term supplemental oxygen therapy and nasal intermittent positive pressure ventilation have been prescribed.

It is of note that lung transplantation because they have a long term survival. In addition there is no evidence regarding possible effect(s) of underlying lung pathology on post transplant lung tissue.

**New therapeutic approach** First, it is very important to note that regarding to the pathogenesis of the disorder (oxidant-antioxidant imbalance) in patients with injuries of SM, we expect to patients to respond to the treatment with anti oxidative agents [59]. Hence, every therapeutic agent that can enhance cellular anti-oxidant supply may be effective in such these patients. In our studies that not published yet, we have been assessing new therapeutic approach as Curcumin, Hypertonic saline and Mannitol in SM injured patients.

**Curcumin** There are so many evidences which confirmed the efficacy of Curcumin as a herbal medication on inflammation, antioxidant supply, neoplasms and on the apoptotic pathway (such as NF-KB) [85,86]. Curcumin can affect the TGF-beta/Smads signaling pathway and also can regulate inter-cellular adhering molecule (such as Laminin and cathepsin that was presented as one of the main mechanisms of SM injury [87-89]. There is some evidence that is confirmed the efficacy of Curcumin on the response of airway epithelial cell to toxic agents [90]. Therefore, it seems that it can be effective on control of severity of SM injury disorder although the main problem in using this drug was the low systemic availability because of the efficient first-pass metabolism and some degree of intestinal metabolism when administered via the oral [91]. If the efficient Liposomal formula of Curcumin is produced can impressively use as inhaled, oral or intra venous in SM injured.

**Hypertonic saline (HTS)** It is a sodium chloride solution with hyper osmolarity which used for septic shock, bronchiolitis and sputum induction [92]. Nebulization with HTS can regulate sodium transport across airway lining [93]. Inhalation of HTS improve cilliary function of epithelial cell in which can be useful in these patients [94]. Nowadays, the effect of HTS on the inflammatory cytokines such as IL-8 was demonstrated [12,95]. Nebulization of HTS 5% was safely used [96] and can be superior to current therapy for early treatment of SM injured patient with Bronchiolitis obliterans.

**Mannitol** Inhaled Mannitol have several effect on lung such as regulating water flows into the airway lumen via osmotic gradient modification and diluting airways mucus and improving muco-ciliary function and therefore could have a role in treating chronic supplicative lung disease and resolution of airway inflammation such as SM injured lung [97,98]. On the other hand, its formulation as inhaler or nebulizer makes it easy to use but headache as the most common adverse effect limited the interest to use it.

**Conclusion** Mustard lung has an ongoing pathological process and is an active disorder even years after exposure to SM. Although the previous researches were effectively used biological or non-biological medications for SM injured lung [45-49], there are no curative modalities for mustard lung. Therefore the main goal is primary prevention and if injury had been occurred, secondary prevention for victim should be considered. In disabled and end stage victims some tertiary preventive method are available. Complementary studies on one hand regarding pharmacokinetic of drugs and molecular investigations to understand more about underlying physiopathology of such a disorder, and on the other hand performing more survey and controlled clinical trials are mandatory to obtain more effective treatments.
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