Taxono-genomics description of ‘Lactobacillus raoultii’ sp. nov., strain Marseille-P4006\(^T\), a new Lactobacillus species isolated from the female genital tract of a patient with bacterial vaginosis
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**Abstract**

Strain Marseille-P4006\(^T\), a Gram-stain-positive, rod-shaped, non-sporulating, facultatively anaerobic bacterium, was isolated from the vaginal swab of a 45-year-old woman with recurrent bacterial vaginosis. We studied its phenotypic characteristics and sequenced its whole genome. The major fatty acids were C\(_{16:0}\) (48%), C\(_{19:1}\)n9 (14%) and C\(_{18:0}\) (11%). The 3,070,142-bp-long genome contains 2,855 protein-coding genes and 68 RNAs. Strain Marseille-P4006\(^T\) exhibited 98.1% 16S rRNA similarity with *Lactobacillus farraginis*, the closest species phylogenetically. Thus, strain Marseille-P4006 is distinct enough to represent a new species for which we propose the name *Lactobacillus raoultii* sp. nov. The type strain is Marseille-P4006\(^T\).
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**Introduction**

The vaginal microbiota is a dynamic ecosystem containing various types of microorganisms. Doderlein (1892) and Beijerinck (1901) were the first to describe its composition, asserting that the healthy vaginal flora is dominated by species of *Lactobacillus*, mainly *L. crispatus*, *L. iners*, *L. jensenii* and *L. gasseri* [1,2]. Other bacteria—such as *Bacteroides*, *Corinebacterium*, and *Peptostreptococcus* spp.—are also present in the vaginal microbiota [3]. This healthy ecosystem protects the vaginal environment by producing antimicrobial compounds such as lactic acid, hydrogen peroxide and bacteriocins that block or inhibit the growth of pathogens [1,4].

Bacterial vaginosis involves a disturbance of this vaginal ecosystem with a decrease in *Lactobacillus* spp. and an increase in anaerobic bacteria such as *Atopobium* vaginae and species of *Bacteroides*, *Anaerococcus*, *Prevotella*, *Peptoniphilus*, and *Mobiluncus* [5–7]. Bacterial vaginosis is a common cause of vaginal discharge in women of childbearing age and can be responsible for preterm deliveries [8–10]. The vaginal microbiota has been studied by conventional culture methods that are limited because 80% of the bacterial microbiota is considered fastidious or not cultivable [11]. Understanding of the human vaginal microbiota and its components has been enhanced by molecular techniques such as sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene. Thanks to these techniques, some fastidious and uncultured bacteria—named bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria types 1, 2 and 3 (BVAB1, BVAB2, and BVAB3)—can be detected [12].

In a study on the diversity of vaginal microbiota in patients with bacterial vaginosis using the concept of culturomics [13], we isolated a previously unknown bacterium, closely related to members of the genus *Lactobacillus* in the family Lactobacillaceae, designated Marseille-P4006. The family Lactobacillaceae, created in 1917 by Winslow, contains 240 species grouped into
three genera (www.bacterio.net/lactobaccilaceae.html), of which the genus Lactobacillus alone includes 224 species (www.bacterio.net/lactobacillus.html).

Herein, we report the taxono-genomic description [14,15] of Lactobacillus raoultii, strain Marseille-P4006T (= CSUR P4006T = CCGU 71848T), a new species of the genus Lactobacillus isolated in the vaginal flora of a 45-year-old woman with recurrent bacterial vaginosis, including its complete annotated genome.

**Material and methods**

**Sample collection**

In February 2017 a vaginal sample from a 45-year-old French woman was collected at the Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire Méditerranée Infection (France). The woman was suffering from recurrent bacterial vaginosis, which was diagnosed as previously reported [16]. Since 2015 she had been treated with secnidazole, metronidazole, dalacin, and azithromycin associated with different probiotics, but at the time of sample collection she had not been treated with any antibiotics for more than a month. She gave her written consent. This study was authorized by the local IFR48 ethics committee (Marseille, France) under number 09-022. The sample was collected and transported using a Sigma Transwab (Medical Wire, Corsham, France) under number 09-022. The sample was collected and transported using a Sigma Transwab (Medical Wire, Corsham, United Kingdom) and processed within an hour.

**Strain identification by matrix-assisted laser-desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry**

After collection, the vaginal swab was preincubated in a customized medium under anaerobic conditions at 37°C. The customized medium comprised pancreatic digest of casein (10 g/L), yeast extract (5 g/L), peptone (5 g/L), meat extract (3 g/L), L-cysteine HCl (0.1 g/L), dextrose (2.5 g/L), NaCl (5 g/L), MgSO₄ (0.1 g/L), FeSO₄ (0.02 g/L), K₂HPO₄ (0.83 g/L), tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (3.69 g/L) and 10% horse blood, pH 5. The supernatant was then inoculated on 5% sheep-blood-enriched Columbia agar (bioMérieux. Marcy l’Etoile, France) and the agar plate was incubated for 2 days at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. Isolated colonies were deposited in duplicate on an MTP 96 MALDI-TOF target plate (Bruker Daltonics, Leipzig, Germany) [17]. Briefly, a 1.5-μL sample of matrix solution—containing a solution of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnaic acid diluted in 500 μL acetonitrile, 250 μL HPLC water and 250 μL 10% trifluoroacetic acid—was deposited on each spot for crystallization and ionization. Spectra obtained were compared with those in the MALDI-TOF database. If the score was ≥2.0, the strain was considered identified. Otherwise, identification failed.

**Strain identification by 16S rRNA sequencing**

In the case of bacteria unidentified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (MS), we achieved identification using 16S rRNA sequencing. When the 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity value was <98.7%, the strain was defined as a new species [18].

**Morphological observation and growth conditions**

Optimal growth of the strain was tested at different temperatures (25, 28, 37, 45, and 56°C) in aerobic, anaerobic and microaerobic atmospheres using GENbag Anaer and GENbag microaer systems (bioMérieux), respectively. To view cell morphology, electron microscopy was performed as previously described [19]. Standard procedures were used to determine Gram-stain reaction, motility, sporulation, and oxidase and catalase production [20].

**Biochemical analysis and antibiotic susceptibility tests**

Cellular fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis was performed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Two samples were prepared with approximately 2 mg of bacterial biomass per tube harvested from several culture plates. FAMEs were prepared as described by Sasser [21]. GC/MS analyses were carried out as described previously [22]. Briefly, FAMEs were separated using an Elite 5-MS column and monitored by mass spectrometry (Clarus 500 SQ 8S, Perkin Elmer, Courtaboeuf, France). Spectral database search was performed using MS Search 2.0 operated with the Standard Reference Database 1A (NIST, Gaithersburg, USA) and the FAMEs mass spectral database (Wiley, Chichester, UK).

In order to test biochemical characteristics, we used API ZYM, API 20A and API 50CH strips (bioMérieux) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Strips were incubated under anaerobic conditions for 4, 24 and 72 h. We performed the E-test gradient strips method (bioMérieux) to test antibiotic susceptibility and determined the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of each antibiotic tested (benzylpenicillin, amoxicillin, ceftriaxone, imipenem, rifampicin, and vancomycin). A bacterial inoculum of turbidity 0.5 McFarland was prepared by suspending the culture in sterile saline (0.85% NaCl) using Marseille-P4006T previously grown on Columbia agar (bioMérieux). Antibiotic susceptibility was performed conforming to EUCAST recommendations [23,24]. Elliptical zones of inhibition were formed around the strip, and the intersection with the strip indicated the MIC [23]. We interpreted MICs according to the EUCAST recommendations [25].
DNA extraction and genome sequencing
Genomic DNA (gDNA) of strain Marseille-P4006T was extracted in two steps. A mechanical treatment was first performed with acid-washed glass beads (G4649-500g Sigma) using a FastPrep BIO 101 instrument (Qbiogene, Strasbourg, France) at maximum speed for 90 s. Then, after 2 h of lysozyme incubation at 37°C, DNA was extracted on the EZ1 biorobot (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with an EZ1 DNA tissues kit. The elution volume was 50 μL. Genomic DNA was quantified by a Qubit assay with the high-sensitivity kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to 25.6 ng/μL, and sequenced using the MiSeq Technology (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) with the mate-pair strategy. The gDNA was barcoded in order to be mixed with 11 other projects with the Nextera Mate Pair sample prep kit (Illumina Inc.). The mate-pair library was prepared with 1.2 μg gDNA using the Nextera mate-pair Illumina guide. The gDNA sample was simultaneously fragmented and tagged with a mate-pair junction adapter. The pattern of the fragmentation was validated on an Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) with a DNA 7500 LabChip. The DNA fragments ranged in size from 1.5 kb to 11 kb with an optimal size of 5.96 kb. No size selection was performed, and 600 ng of fragmented fragments were circularized. The circularized DNA was mechanically sheared into small fragments with an optimal at 703 bp on the Covaris device S2 in T6 tubes (Covaris, Woburn, MA, USA). The library profile was visualized on a High-Sensitivity Bioanalyzer LabChip (Agilent Technologies Inc.) and the final concentration library was measured at 37.45 nmol/L. The libraries were normalized at 2 nM and pooled. After a denaturation step and dilution at 15 pM, the pool of libraries was loaded onto the reagent cartridge and then onto the instrument along with the flow cell. Automated cluster generation and sequencing run were performed in a single 39-h run in a 2 × 151 bp. Total information of 3.07 Gb was obtained from a 479 K/mm² cluster density with a cluster passing quality control filters of 97.2% (9 282 000 passing filters paired reads). Within this run, the index representation for Lactobacillus raoultii was determined at 10.26%. The 952 663 paired reads were trimmed and then assembled.

FIG. 1. Reference mass spectrum from Lactobacillus raoultii strain Marseille-P4006T.
**Genome annotation**

Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted using Prodigal [26] with default parameters. However, the predicted ORFs were excluded if they spanned a sequencing gap region. The predicted bacterial protein sequences were searched against the GenBank [27] and clusters of orthologous groups (COGs) databases using BLASTP. DNA G+C content was identified by the RAST server [28], and the tRNAs and rRNAs were predicted using the tRNAscan-SE [29] and RNAmmer tools [30], respectively. Signal peptides and numbers of transmembrane helices were predicted using SignalP [31] and TMHMM [32], respectively; PHAge Search Tool (PHAST) was used to find prophage sequences within bacterial genomes [33]. ORFans were identified if their BLASTP E-value was <1e−03 for alignment length >80 amino acids. If alignment lengths were <80 amino acids, we used an E-value of 1e−05. Such parameter thresholds have already been used in previous work to define ORFans. Artemis [34] and DNA Plotter [35] were used for data management and visualization of genomic features, respectively. To estimate the mean level of nucleotide sequence similarity at the genome level between strain Marseille-P4006 and its closest related species, we used the Average Genomic Identity Of Gene Sequences (AGIOS) inhouse software [36]. Briefly, this software uses the Proteinortho software [37] for the pairwise detection of orthologous proteins between genomes, then retrieves the corresponding genes and determines the mean percentage of nucleotide sequence identity among orthologous ORFs using the Needleman–Wunsch global alignment algorithm. In addition, we calculated between all genomes compared the digital DNA–DNA hybridization (dDDH) using Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC) software, as previously described [38].

**Results**

**Strain characterization**

Strain identification by MALDI-TOF. Strain Marseille-P4006T was first isolated in February 2017 after 3 days of preincubation in a blood-culture bottle containing home-made culture medium (see Materials and methods) enriched with 10% horse blood under anaerobic conditions, and subcultured on a Columbia
agar plate (bioMérieux) at 37°C also under anaerobic conditions. MALDI-TOF MS analysis gave a low score (<1.6), suggesting that our isolate was not in the database and could be a previously unknown species. The reference mass spectrum represented in Fig. 1 was added in the URMS database (http://www.mediterranee-infection.com/article.php?laref=256&titre=urms-database).

Strain identification by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The 16S rRNA gene was sequenced, and the sequence obtained (accession number LT854294) exhibited a 98.1% sequence similarity with that of Lactobacillus farraginis strain JCM8627 (GenBank accession AB690214.1), the phylogenetically closest bacterial species with standing in nomenclature (Fig. 2). As this value was <98.7%, the threshold defined by Stackebrandt and Ebers for defining a new species, we classified strain Marseille-P4006^T as a new species of the genus Lactobacillus, named Lactobacillus raoultii sp. nov. (Table 1).

Phenotypic characteristics. Strain Marseille-P4006^T grew under anaerobic and microaerobic conditions. Growth was observed at temperatures ranging from 25 to 45°C, with optimal growth at 37°C under anaerobic conditions after 48 h of incubation. The bacterium needed an NaCl concentration <0.5%, and the pH for growth ranged from 5 to 7.5. On Columbia agar, colonies were opaque white with a diameter of 1–1.2 mm. Gram staining showed a rod-shaped Gram-positive bacterium. Using electron microscopy, individual cells appeared with a mean diameter of 0.7 μm and a mean length of 1.6 μm (Fig. 3). Strain Marseille-P4006^T is non-motile and non-spore-forming.

The major fatty acids found for Marseille-P4006^T were C_{16:0} (48%), C_{19:1\text{n}9} (14%), and C_{18:0} (11%). Minor amounts of unsaturated branched and other saturated fatty acids were also detected. This strain presented an unusual pair of unsaturated C_{19} structures (19:1\text{n}7 and 19:1\text{n}9) (Table 2).

Strain Marseille-P4006^T exhibited neither catalase nor oxidase activities. Using API ZYM strip, positive reactions were detected for leucine arylamidase, α-galactosidase, β-galactosidase, and β-glucuronidase, and weakly positive reactions were detected for esterase, esterase lipase, valine arylamidase, cysteine arylamidase, and naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase. Negative reactions were observed for alkaline phosphatase, lipase, trypsin, α-chymotrypsin, acid phosphatase, N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase, α-mannosidase, and α-fucosidase. An API 50 CHL strip revealed that strain Marseille-P4006^T metabolized D-galactose, D-fructose, melibiose, 5-ketogluconate, D-xylose, L-arabinose, D-ribose, D-glucose, maltose and potassium gluconate. The same strip exhibited negative reactions for glyceral, erythritol, D-arabinose, L-xyllose, D-adenitol, methyl-β-D-xlyopyranoside, D-mannose, L-sorbose, L-rhamnose, dulcitol, inositol, D-mannitol, D-sorbitol, methyl-α-D-mannopyranoside, methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside, N-acetylglucosamine, amygdaline, arbutin, salicilin, D-cellobiose, D-lactose, D-saccharose, D-trehalose, inulin, D-melezitose, D-raffinose, glycerogen, xylitol, gentiobiose, D-turanose, D-lyxose, D-tartarose, starch, D-fucose, arabitol (D and L) and potassium 2-ketogluconate. On API 20A and API 20NE strips, nitrate was not reduced and indole formation was negative. API 20A also revealed that aesculin was hydrolysed and gelatine was not.

![FIG. 3. Transmission electron microscopy of Lactobacillus raoultii strain Marseille-P4006^T using a Tecnai G20 transmission electron microscope (FEI Company). The scale bar represents 500 nm.](image)

### Table 1. Classification and general features of Lactobacillus raoultii strain Marseille-P4006^T

| Properties                                      | Terms                                      |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| **Taxonomy**                                    |                                            |
| Kingdom: Bacteria                               |                                            |
| Phylum: Firmicutes                              |                                            |
| Class: Bacilli                                  |                                            |
| Order: Lactobacillales                          |                                            |
| Family: Lactobacillace                          |                                            |
| Genus: Lactobacillus                           |                                            |
| Species: Lactobacillus raoultii sp. nov.        |                                            |
| Type strain                                     |                                            |
| Isolation site                                  |                                            |
| Isolation country                              |                                            |
| Gram stain                                     |                                            |
| Cell shape                                      |                                            |
| Motility                                        |                                            |
| Oxygen requirements                            |                                            |
| Optimal temperature                            |                                            |
| Temperature range                               |                                            |
| Habitat                                         |                                            |
| Biotic relationship                            |                                            |
| Host name                                       |                                            |
| Sporulation                                     |                                            |
| **Properties Terms**                            |                                            |
| **Isolation site**                              |                                            |
| **Isolation country**                           |                                            |
| **Gram stain**                                  |                                            |
| **Cell shape**                                  |                                            |
| **Motility**                                    |                                            |
| **Oxygen requirements**                         |                                            |
| **Optimal temperature**                         |                                            |
| **Temperature range**                           |                                            |
| **Habitat**                                     |                                            |
| **Biotic relationship**                         |                                            |
| **Host name**                                   |                                            |
| **Sporulation**                                 |                                            |
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Differential characteristics of Lactobacillus hilgardii 14421, Lactobacillus farraginis strain DSM 18382, the 2924 predicted genes, 2855 were protein-coding genes and a genome size of 3,070,142 bp with a 41.4% G+C content. Of those of closely related species are summarized in Table 3.

Phenotypic characteristics of strain Marseille-P4006T compared with those of closely related species are summarized in Table 3.

Strain Marseille-P4006T was susceptible to imipenem (MIC 0.012 μg/L), rifampicin (MIC 0.032 μg/L), amoxicillin (MIC 0.38 μg/L), benzylpenicillin (MIC 1.5 μg/L) and ceftriaxone (MIC 16 μg/L) but resistant to metronidazole and vancomycin.

**Genome properties**

The final assembly of strain Marseille-P4006T genome identified a genome size of 3,070,142 bp with a 41.4% G+C content. Of the 2924 predicted genes, 2855 were protein-coding genes and 68 were RNAs (five 5S rRNA, one 16S rRNA, one 23S rRNA, one tmRNA, and 60 tRNA genes) (Fig. 4, Table 4). In total 2047 genes (70%) were assigned a putative function: 606 genes were identified as ORFans and one prophage region of 5.5 kb with a total of six proteins and five CRISPRs were found. The properties and statistics of the genome are summarized in Table 4. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 5.

**Genome comparison**

We compared the genome of strain Marseille-P4006T with the genomes of L. hilgardii, L. diolivorans, L. curieae, L. senioris, L. farraginis and L. parafarraginis (Table 6). The genome of strain Marseille-P4006T (3.2 mega) is larger than those of L. farraginis, L. hilgardii, L. curieae and L. senioris, but smaller than those of L. diolivorans and L. parafarraginis. The GC content of strain Marseille-P4006T (41.1%) is larger than in L. diolivorans, L. hilgardii and L. senioris, but smaller than in L. parafarraginis and L. farraginis. For gene content, strain Marseille-P4006T coding genes (2855) are greater than those of L. hilgardii (2599), L. senioris (1960) and L. curieae (1539), but smaller than those of L. parafarraginis (3053), L. diolivorans (2962) and L. farraginis (3079). However, the distribution of genes into COG categories was similar in the seven genomes compared (Fig. 5), with the exception of the category X (mobilome), which was

---

**TABLE 2.** Cellular fatty acid composition (% ± standard deviation) of Lactobacillus raoultii strain Marseille-P4006T

| Fatty acids | Name                        | Mean relative %± |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 16:0        | Hexadecanoic acid           | 47.7 ± 1.2       |
| 16:1ω7c     | 9c-Octadecenoic acid        | 13.5 ± 0.5       |
| 16:0        | Octadecanoic acid           | 11.2 ± 3.3       |
| 18:1ω7c     | 9c-Octadecenoic acid        | 9.1 ± 0.8        |
| 18:1ω7c     | 9ω-Octadecenoic acid        | 8.3 ± 0.5        |
| 18:2ω7c     | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid   | 3.5 ± 0.4        |
| 18:2ω7c     | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid   | 2.2 ± 0.2        |
| 15:0        | Pentadecanoic acid          | 1.6 ± 0.1        |
| 14:0        | Tetradecanoic acid          | 1.0 ± 0.2        |
| 17.0        | Heptadecanoic acid          | TR               |
| 16:1ω7c     | 7ω-Octadecenoic acid        | TR               |
| 16:1ω7c     | 9ω-Octadecenoic acid        | TR               |
| 17.0 antaio | 14ω-Methyl-Hexadecanoic acid| TR               |

TR, trace amounts (<1%).

*Mean peak area percentage.

---

**TABLE 3.** Differential characteristics of Lactobacillus raoultii strain Marseille-P4006T, Lactobacillus senioris strain DSM 24302, Lactobacillus farraginis strain DSM 18382, Lactobacillus parafarraginis strain DSM 18390, Lactobacillus diolivorans strain DSM 14421, Lactobacillus hilgardii Bergey's manual, Lactobacillus curieae strain JCM 18524 [39–43]

| Properties               | L. raoultii | L. senioris | L. farraginis | L. parafarraginis | L. diolivorans | L. hilgardii | L. curieae |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| Cell diameter (μm)       | 0.7 ± 1.6   | 0.7 ± 1.0–1.0 | 0.8 ± 1–3     | 0.8 ± 2–4        | 1 ± 2         | 0.5–0.8 ± 2–4 | 0.6 ± 1.2  |
| Major fatty acid         | C16:0 (48%) | C16:0 (57%) | na            | na               | na            | C18:1 (61%)  | C16:0 (46.36%) |
| DNA G+C content (mol%)   | 41.4        | 39.1        | 42.1          | 45.2             | 40            | 39.6        | 39.8       |
| Production of            |             |             |               |                  |               |             |            |
| Catalase                 | —           | —           | —             | —                | —             | —           | —          |
| Oxidase                  | —           | —           | na            | na               | na            | —           | —          |
| Acid production from     |             |             |               |                  |               |             |            |
| D-Galactose              | +           | +           | +             | y                | +             | +           | +          |
| D-Fructose               | +           | +           | +             | +                | +             | +           | +          |
| Malic acid               | +           | +           | +             | +                | —             | +           | +          |
| S-Keto-glucuronate       | +           | —           | na            | na               | w             | —           | —          |
| D-Xylose                 | +           | +           | +             | +                | +             | +           | +          |
| L-Arabinose              | +           | +           | +             | +                | +             | +           | +          |
| D-Ribose                 | +           | +           | +             | +                | +             | +           | +          |
| D-Glucose                | +           | +           | +             | +                | —             | +           | +          |
| Ascorbic acid            | +           | —           | na            | na               | —             | —           | —          |
| Malate                   | +           | —           | na            | na               | na            | —           | —          |
| Gluconate                | +           | +           | +             | +                | +             | +           | +          |
| Malonate                 | +           | +           | +             | +                | —             | —           | —          |
| D-Mannose                | +           | +           | +             | +                | —             | +           | +          |
| Methyl α-D-glucopyranoside| —           | na          | na            | na               | w             | na          | +          |
| Lactose                  | —           | na          | —             | na               | na            | na          | na         |
| Sucrose                  | —           | na          | —             | na               | —             | na          | na         |
| Malate                   | —           | —           | —             | —                | —             | na          | na         |
| Raffinose                | +           | —           | —             | —                | —             | na          | na         |
| Turunose                 | —           | na          | na            | —                | —             | na          | na         |
| Methyl α-D-glucopyranoside| —           | na          | na            | na               | na            | —           | —          |
| N-acetylglucosamine      | —           | na          | na            | —                | —             | —           | —          |
| Habitat                  | Human vagina | Human | Shochu residue | Shochu residue | Maize silage | Wine | Shoki tofu brine |

+, positive reaction; —, negative reaction; y, variable; w, weakly positive; na, no available data.

Data are from the literature except for DNA G+C content which was calculated by RAST online software.
overrepresented in \textit{L. parafarraginis}, underrepresented in \textit{L. senioris}, and absent in \textit{L. curieae}.

Strain Marseille-P4006\textsuperscript{T} shared 1118, 1658, 1476, 1794, 1743, and 1550 orthologous genes with \textit{L. senioris}, \textit{L. farraginis}, \textit{L. curieae}, \textit{L. diolivorans}, \textit{L. hilgardii}, and \textit{L. parafarraginis}, respectively. Among the genomes compared, with the exception of \textit{L. curieae} \cite{14,15}, based on the combination of phenotypic and genomic analyses, was used to characterize strain Marseille-P4006\textsuperscript{T}. Strain Marseille-P4006\textsuperscript{T} was considered a new bacterial species of the genus \textit{Lactobacillus} based on its unique MALDI-TOF MS spectrum, genome comparison, and its low 16S rRNA similarity level. The latter value was 98.1\% with \textit{Lactobacillus farraginis}, which was lower than the 98.7\% threshold for defining a new species \cite{18}. Strain Marseille-P4006\textsuperscript{T} is a member of the family \textit{Lactobacillaceae} belonging to the phylum \textit{Firmicutes}. This family comprises 240 species grouped in three validated genera (www.bacterio.net/lactobacillus.html). Most members of the \textit{Lactobacillaceae} are Gram-positive and non-spore-forming rods. Species of the \textit{Lactobacillus} genus have been detected in diverse habitats such as milk products, vegetation, food products

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Nucleotide content and gene count levels of the genome of \textit{Lactobacillus raoultii} strain Marseille-P4006\textsuperscript{T}}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Attribute & Value & \% of total \\
\hline
Size (bp) & 3,070,142 & 100\% \\
G+C content (bp) & 1,271,038 & 41.4\% \\
Coding region (bp) & 2,627,322 & 85.57\% \\
Total genes & 2,924 & 100\% \\
RNA genes & 68 & 2.35\% \\
Protein-coding genes & 985 & 79.67\% \\
Genes with function prediction & 2047 & 70.00\% \\
Genes assigned to COGs & 2195 & 75.06\% \\
Genes with peptide signals & 210 & 7.18\% \\
Genes with transmembrane helices & 828 & 28.31\% \\
Protein associated with ORFans & 466 & 20.72\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Number of genes associated with 25 general COG functional categories}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Code & Value & \% of total \textsuperscript{*} \\
\hline
J & 141 & 4.82 \text{ Translation} \\
A & 0 & 0 \text{ RNA processing and modification} \\
K & 178 & 6.09 \text{ Transcription} \\
L & 119 & 4.07 \text{ Replication, recombination and repair} \\
B & 0 & 0 \text{ Chromatin structure and dynamics} \\
D & 22 & 0.75 \text{ Cell cycle control, mitosis and meiosis} \\
Y & 0 & 0 \text{ Nuclear structure} \\
V & 60 & 2.05 \text{ Defence mechanisms} \\
T & 61 & 2.09 \text{ Signal transduction mechanisms} \\
M & 112 & 3.83 \text{ Cell wall/membrane biogenesis} \\
N & 8 & 0.27 \text{ Cell motility} \\
Z & 0 & 0 \text{ Cytoskeleton} \\
W & 0 & 0 \text{ Extracellular structures} \\
U & 15 & 0.51 \text{ Intracellular trafficking and secretion} \\
O & 74 & 2.53 \text{ Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones} \\
X & 4 & 0.14 \text{ General function prediction only} \\
C & 109 & 3.73 \text{ Energy production and conversion} \\
G & 200 & 6.84 \text{ Carbohydrate transport and metabolism} \\
E & 250 & 8.55 \text{ Amino acid transport and metabolism} \\
F & 87 & 2.98 \text{ Nucleotide transport and metabolism} \\
H & 107 & 3.66 \text{ Coenzyme transport and metabolism} \\
I & 65 & 2.22 \text{ Lipid transport and metabolism} \\
P & 117 & 4.00 \text{ Inorganic ion transport and metabolism} \\
Q & 25 & 0.85 \text{ Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism} \\
R & 239 & 8.17 \text{ General function prediction only} \\
S & 202 & 6.91 \text{ Function unknown} \\
\hline
\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Not in COGs} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

*The total is based on the total number of protein-coding genes in the annotated genome.

\textbf{Discussion}

Strain Marseille-P4006\textsuperscript{T} was isolated as part of a ‘culturomics’ study of the vaginal flora with the aim of isolating all bacterial species within the vagina in a physiological situation and in bacterial vaginosis. A polyphasic taxono-genomics strategy \cite{14,15}, based on the combination of phenotypic and genomic
especially fermented ones) and humans (genital tract, intestinal tract etc.) [39–42] (www.bacterio.net/lactobacillus.html). None of the phylogenetically most closely related species of Lactobacillus have pathogenic capabilities. Phenotypically, strain Marseille-P4006T differs from the other closest bacterial species studied in their ability to ferment carbohydrate. Most of the most closely related species of Lactobacillus studied (L. curieae, L. diolivorans, L. hilgardii, L. farraginis, L. parafarraginis, L. senioris) ferment fructose, glucose, ribose and gluconate, while most do not metabolize 5-ketogluconate and aesculin ferric citrate.

The 16S rRNA gene nucleotide similarity below the threshold set to define new species (98.1%, >98.7%), the difference in G+C content (between 39.6 and 45.2%), and the values of AGIOS and dDDH values under the 70% threshold established to separate distinct species, confirmed that the strain Marseille-P4006T is different from other Lactobacillus species.

### TABLE 6. Genomic comparison between Lactobacillus raoultii and closely related Lactobacillus species

| Species                  | Strain        | Genome accession number | Genome size (Mb) | GC content (%) | Gene content |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Lactobacillus raoultii   | Marseille-P4006 | OVSN000000000            | 3.07             | 41.4           | 2855         |
| Lactobacillus parafarraginis | DSM 18390  | NZ_BBAK0000000000       | 3.10             | 42.2           | 3063         |
| Lactobacillus farraginis | DSM 18382    | NZ_BAK0000000000       | 2.84             | 42.1           | 3079         |
| Lactobacillus diolivorans | DSM 14421  | NZ_AZEY0000000000       | 3.26             | 40             | 2962         |
| Lactobacillus hilgardii  | DSM 20176    | NZ_ACGP0000000000       | 2.61             | 39.6           | 2999         |
| Lactobacillus curieae    | JCM 18524    | NZ_CP018906              | 2.09             | 39.8           | 1960         |
| Lactobacillus senioris   | DSM 24302    | NZ_AYZK0000000000       | 1.56             | 39.1           | 1539         |

### FIG. 5. Distribution of predicted genes into COG categories among other Lactobacillus species. LP, L. parafarraginis; LH, L. hilgardii; LD, L. diolivorans; LR, L. raoultii; LS, L. senioris; LF, L. farraginis; LC, L. curieae.

### TABLE 7. Genomic comparisons of L. raoultii with closely related Lactobacillus species

| Strains                  | L. raoultii | L. senioris | L. farraginis | L. curieae | L. diolivorans | L. hilgardii | L. parafarraginis |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Lactobacillus raoultii   | 2855        | 1118        | 1658          | 1476       | 1794           | 1743         | 155                |
| Lactobacillus senioris   | 68.79%      | 1569        | 974           | 1080       | 1112           | 1094         | 930                |
| Lactobacillus farraginis | 76.87%      | 68.00%      | 3170          | 1298       | 1572           | 1593         | 1385               |
| Lactobacillus curieae    | 69.56%      | 69.20%      | 68.80%        | 1992       | 1470           | 1426         | 1199               |
| Lactobacillus diolivorans| 73.46%      | 69.16%      | 73.00%        | 70.03%     | 3053           | 1706         | 1482               |
| Lactobacillus hilgardii  | 77.75%      | 68.82%      | 78.17%        | 69.85%     | 74.26%         | 2592         | 1461               |
| Lactobacillus parafarraginis | 73.50%    | 67.07%      | 74.05%        | 68.38%     | 71.84%         | 74.40%       | 3629               |

*Numbers of orthologous proteins shared between genomes (above diagonal), AGIOS (Average Genomic Identity Of Gene Sequences) values (below diagonal), and numbers of proteins per genome (bold numbers).
**TABLE 8. Digital DNA–DNA hybridization (dDDH) values obtained by comparing all genomes studied using the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC), Formula 2**

| Strain                        | L. raoultii | L. senioris | L. farraginis | L. curieae | L. diolivorans | L. hilgardii | L. parafarraginis |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Lactobacillus raoultii        | 100% ± 00   | 21.3% ± 2.30| 20.4% ± 2.30  | 19.8% ± 2.30| 20.8% ± 2.30  | 19.7% ± 2.30 | 100% ± 00       |
| Lactobacillus senioris        | 100% ± 00   | 20.0% ± 2.20| 18.7% ± 2.20  | 18.8% ± 2.25| 19.6% ± 2.30  | 20.0% ± 2.30 | 20.0% ± 2.30    |
| Lactobacillus farraginis      | 19.4% ± 2.30| 20.5% ± 2.35| 19.0% ± 2.30  | 21.5% ± 2.35| 21.1% ± 2.35  | 21.2% ± 2.35 | 21.3% ± 2.35    |
| Lactobacillus curieae         | 19.9% ± 2.30| 21.5% ± 2.35| 21.5% ± 2.35  | 21.5% ± 2.35| 21.5% ± 2.35  | 21.5% ± 2.35 | 21.5% ± 2.35    |
| Lactobacillus diolivorans     | 100% ± 00   | 20.0% ± 2.35| 20.5% ± 2.35  | 21.5% ± 2.35| 21.5% ± 2.35  | 21.5% ± 2.35 | 21.5% ± 2.35    |
| Lactobacillus hilgardii       | 100% ± 00   | 20.0% ± 2.35| 20.5% ± 2.35  | 21.5% ± 2.35| 21.5% ± 2.35  | 21.5% ± 2.35 | 21.5% ± 2.35    |
| Lactobacillus parafarraginis  | 100% ± 00   | 20.0% ± 2.35| 20.5% ± 2.35  | 21.5% ± 2.35| 21.5% ± 2.35  | 21.5% ± 2.35 | 21.5% ± 2.35    |

**Conclusion**

Based on taxono-genomics results (phenotypic analysis as well as phylogenetic and genomic results), strain Marseille-P4006T can be considered as a new species within the Lactobacillus genus. The name *Lactobacillus raoultii* sp. nov. is proposed for this new bacterium isolated from the vaginal microbiota.

**Taxonomic and nomenclature proposal**

**Description of Lactobacillus raoultii* sp. nov**

The name *Lactobacillus raoultii* (raoult’ii. N. L. masc. gen. n. raoultii) was chosen to honour Professor Didier Raoult for his outstanding contribution in the field of medical microbiology.

*L. raoultii* is a facultatively anaerobic, mesophilic, non-motile and non-sporulating Gram-stain-positive rod. Its optimal growth occurs at 37°C. On Columbia agar, colonies are opaque white with a diameter of approximately 0.5 mm. The cells are rod-shaped with a mean width of 0.7 μm and a mean length of 1.6 μm. The species exhibits neither catalase nor oxidase activities; nitrate is not reduced. Positive reactions are observed for D-galactose, D-fructose, melibiose, D-xylose, L-arabinose, D-ribose, D-glucose, maltose, potassium gluconate, leucine arylamidase, α-galactosidase, β-galactosidase, β-glucuronidase, α-glucosidase and β-glucosidase and weakly positive reactions were detected for esterase, esterase lipase, valine arylamidase, cystine arylamidase and naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase. Its habitat is the human vaginal microbiota. The major fatty acids are C16:0 (48%), C19:1n9 (14%), and C18:0 (11%). It is susceptible to imipenem, rifampicin, amoxicillin, benzylpenicillin and ceftriaxone but is resistant to metronidazole and vancomycin.

The *L. raoultii* genome measures 3 070 142 bp long and exhibits 41.4% DNA G+C content. Its 16S rRNA gene and whole genome sequences are both deposited in GenBank under accession numbers LT854294 and OVSN00000000, respectively. The type strain, Marseille-P4006T (= CSUR P4006T = CCUG 71848T), was isolated from the vaginal flora of a patient with recurrent bacterial vaginosis.
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