Tissue-specific Calibration of Real-time PCR Facilitates Absolute Quantification of Plasmid DNA in Biodistribution Studies
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Analysis of the tissue distribution of plasmid DNA after administration of nonviral gene delivery systems is best accomplished using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), although published strategies do not allow determination of the absolute mass of plasmid delivered to different tissues. Generally, data is expressed as the mass of plasmid relative to the mass of genomic DNA (gDNA) in the sample. This strategy is adequate for comparisons of efficiency of delivery to a single site but it does not allow direct comparison of delivery to multiple tissues, as the mass of gDNA extracted per unit mass of each tissue is different. We show here that by constructing qPCR standard curves for each tissue it is possible to determine the dose of intact plasmid remaining in each tissue, which is a more useful parameter when comparing the fates of different formulations of DNA.

We exemplify the use of this tissue-specific qPCR method by comparing the delivery of naked DNA, cationic DNA complexes, and neutral PEGylated DNA complexes after intramuscular injection. Generally, larger masses of intact plasmid were present 24 hours after injection of DNA complexes, and neutral complexes resulted in delivery of a larger mass of intact plasmid to the spleen. Molecular Therapy—Nucleic Acids (2016) 5, e371; doi:10.1038/mtna.2016.79; published online 4 October 2016
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Introduction

Determining the tissue distribution profile of DNA plasmid after parenteral administration is an important tool in designing DNA-based therapies, as it can assist with assessing the likely sites of gene expression, the duration of action, and the degree to which these factors are influenced by the design of the DNA delivery system. Indeed, it has been well established that the composition, size, and surface charge of a complex can govern its distribution to different tissues in vivo.¹-⁴ More subtle differences, such as the chain length of the DNA-condensing polymer, can also influence the distribution of the complex to different organs in mice, and in turn, affect transfection efficiency.⁵,⁶ Accurate determination of the absolute mass of plasmid distributed to different tissue sites is critical to studies of the mechanisms of action of gene delivery systems and understanding the influence of the physicochemical properties of DNA complexes on their biological activity.

Although many studies have used fluorescent or radiolabeling methods to track DNA after administration in vivo, these methods do not determine how much of the labeling moiety has been cleaved and separated from the DNA, and therefore cannot differentiate between DNA that is intact and DNA that has been partially degraded.¹ Thus, studies using such labels cannot establish the distribution profile of active DNA complex to different tissues in vivo, but may instead reflect the accumulation or clearance pathway of the degraded products in those given tissues. This limits the value of such pharmacokinetic studies as the true effect of size or surface modifications of DNA complexes on biodistribution cannot be determined. In contrast, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) is a sensitive technique than can be used to detect low levels of DNA in tissues.⁵,⁷-¹⁰ A major advantage of this technique over labeling methods is that qPCR can be targeted such that degraded DNA is not amplified, allowing quantitative determination of intact DNA encoding the gene or expression cassette of interest.

Published qPCR strategies do not allow comparison of the absolute mass of plasmid DNA delivered to different tissues. The amount of target plasmid detected is usually expressed as the concentration of plasmid relative to the amount of genomic DNA (gDNA) in the sample.⁸,¹¹ Although this strategy is adequate for comparing the amount of plasmid accumulated at the same tissue site after administration of different gene-based formulations, it does not allow direct comparison of the amount of plasmid accumulated at different tissue sites. For example, if 10 ng of plasmid per ng of gDNA is detected in the muscle, and 1 ng of target plasmid per ng of gDNA is detected in the lymph node, it is not possible to conclude that the concentration of DNA in the muscle is 10 times higher than in the lymph node. This is because the efficiency of gDNA extraction from each tissue is unknown. In this study, we present qPCR assay methods that allow the absolute amount of plasmid DNA distributed to different tissues to be determined, and we exemplify the method by studying the difference in biodistribution of naked DNA, cationic and PEGylated complexes.

The use of amphiphilic peptides or lipopeptides (LP) to deliver DNA to cells has become a more common approach in recent years due to the opportunity to include amino acid residues that may assist in overcoming DNA delivery
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hurdles (e.g., use of histidine residues to enhance endosomal escape).
In this study, we explored the effect of the cationic LP “stearoylCH2K3” as a DNA carrier in the distribution of the plasmid to different tissues in vivo. The design of the LP is based on previous in vitro work carried out by our group. Lysine residues are included to accomplish the condensation and cell uptake of DNA; histidines, to assist in the endosomal escape; and cysteine, to assist in the stabilization of the DNA complex by formation of disulphide bonds. Furthermore, as cationic complexes have been shown to have restricted movement from the site of injection in vivo, a second formulation in which the surface charge of the lipopeptide/DNA (LP/DNA) complex is shielded with a hydrophilic polyethylene glycol (PEG) layer was also assessed. The PEG mantle was provided by incorporation of distearoyl-phosphoethanolamine-(polyethylene glycol 2000) (DSPE-PEG2000) which had the added advantage of neutralizing excess cationic charge.

Results
Characterization of DNA complexes
The LP/DNA complexes had a Z-average particle size of 112.5 ± 17.0 nm, a polydispersity index (PI) of 0.19 ± 0.02 and a zeta potential (ZP) of +34.2 ± 1.2 mV. The DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA complexes had a Z-average of 178.6 ± 49.5 nm, PI of 0.23 ± 0.05 and a ZP of +0.76 ± 0.2 mV.

Specificity, reproducibility, and validation of the qPCR assay
We used a ~9.9 kb luciferase expression plasmid (pCMV-luc) to develop the assay methods. qPCR reactions were designed to amplify a 114 bp fragment including part of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter and part of the luciferase cDNA. The specificity of the reaction was confirmed by the presence of a single peak at ~82.5 °C in the melting curve plots for each sample (Figure 1), and a single amplicon product identified by agarose gel electrophoresis (data not shown). The qPCR amplification profile was initially studied by spiking excised tissues with the pCMV-luc plasmid. This revealed consistent quantification cycle (Cq) values for each replicate (Figure 1). A low coefficient of variation (CoVar) of the qPCR assay was observed for all tissues spiked with 100 ng of pCMV-luc. CoVars were 0.6% for the calf muscle, 5.2% for the draining lymph node, 3.3% for the spleen, and 3.5% for the liver. This data indicated high reproducibility of the assay with each of the tissue tested. Standard plots of Cq versus log mass of DNA had similar slopes for each tissue, ranging from −4.4 to −4.8, indicating similar amplification efficiencies (62–70%) (Figure 2). Linear regression of the plots generated an $R^2$ value of 0.97 for the draining lymph node and ≥ 0.98 for the other tissues, indicating linearity over the range investigated.

Comparison of qPCR methods
Analysis of the mass of target DNA detected at each tissue site using our tissue-specific qPCR method and the conventional qPCR method (see “Application of qPCR assay to investigate the biodistribution of plasmid DNA” Methods section) were carried out using mouse tissues 30 minutes after injection of naked plasmid DNA into the calf muscle. Using the tissue-specific qPCR method, the intact target DNA could be detected in all the tissues assayed at this time point (Figure 3a). The masses detected in the tissues ranged across three orders of magnitude (ng to µg of plasmid DNA). After 30 minutes, the largest mass of the original dose was found in the calf muscle (5.04%; $n = 4$, $P < 0.05$ when compared to the spleen, $P < 0.01$ compared to other tissues). The spleen contained the second highest mass of intact plasmid with a mean of 0.83% of the dose detected ($n = 4$). The draining popliteal lymph node and liver contained 0.06 and 0.58% of the administered dose, respectively. The differences in the amounts of plasmid detected in spleen, lymph node and liver were not statistically significant. Analysis of the same samples using the conventional qPCR method, suggested that at 30 minutes postinjection, the calf muscle contained a higher amount of the plasmid, per ng of total DNA extracted, than the other tissues (0.40 ng; $n = 4–6$, $P < 0.01$). This method suggested that the mass of plasmid detected per ng of total DNA was higher in the draining lymph node than both the spleen and liver 30 minutes after injection—as all samples of the spleen and liver lay below the reliable quantification limits of the pCMV-luc standard plot (< 1 pg of plasmid per ng of total DNA in all assayed tissues; data not shown).

Analysis of the fate of DNA complexes
Analysis of the effect of the formulation on the extent of accumulation of intact plasmid DNA at various tissue sites revealed that the administration of neutral DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA complexes resulted in significantly higher accumulation of the intact plasmid at the spleen (2.09% of injected dose) than administration of the cationic LP/DNA complexes (1.47%) ($n = 6$, $P < 0.05$, Two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test)
at 24 hours (Table 1). Furthermore, the administration of both DNA complexes resulted in significantly higher levels of the intact plasmid detected at the spleen in comparison to the administration of unformulated naked plasmid (0.1%) (n = 5–6, P < 0.0001). A small percentage of the dose (~0.59%) was detected in the liver of mice injected with naked DNA, whereas, the target plasmid detected in the livers of the mice injected with LP/DNA or DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA (n = 6 in each case) was less than the reliable quantification limits of the assay. No significant differences were observed between the amounts detected at the other tissue sites after administration of either of the DNA complexes. The administration of naked DNA resulted in a residue of intact plasmid detected at all assayed tissues with the liver accumulating the highest levels of the plasmid (≤ 0.59% of injected dose at each tissue site). However, the mass of intact plasmid detected in the liver was only significantly higher than that of the draining popliteal lymph node (P < 0.05, n = 6), with the mass detected in the other assayed tissues not significantly different to one another.

**Discussion**

In order to determine the absolute mass of DNA in tissue using qPCR, a standard curve constructed from an appropriate reference standard is required. Current qPCR strategies for quantifying plasmid DNA use standard curves determined from serial dilutions of known amounts of the purified target plasmid plotted against the PCR Cq value. Such an approach does not allow adequate estimation of the mass of plasmid in the entire tissue sample as it is not known how much total DNA can be extracted from the unit mass of each tissue. To overcome this limitation, we added known amounts of reference plasmid to pre-weighed samples of excised tissues of interest, extracted the total DNA from each sample and then carried out qPCR. This allowed us to determine a standard curve for each tissue, allowing the mass of DNA detected to be expressed as a function of mass of each tissue.

Another advantage of the method developed in this study is that the variability associated with the tissue weighing, DNA extraction or amplification of the plasmid in each tissue is common to all experimental samples in that tissue, whether test samples or standard samples. This is of
particular importance as it is well known that the presence of the genomic DNA matrix can lower the amplification efficiency in qPCR. Indeed, Fu and colleagues\(^1\) reported that > 100 ng of gDNA in the reaction can hinder the amplification of the target template. These authors suggested that using purified plasmid DNA as a reference standard would be inappropriate when comparing it to plasmid DNA that has been extracted from tissue along with tissue gDNA. The assay used in this study ensured that both the standard reference and test samples undergo the same extraction and amplification process, and thus any inhibitory effect of gDNA is common to each qPCR reaction.

Results of the assay validation indicated high specificity and reproducibility of the qPCR method with all tissues investigated. The amplification efficiency was generally lower than would be expected for pure samples, with an amplification factor between 1.6 and 1.7 for each cycle instead of the ideal factor of 2. This suggests either non-optimal qPCR conditions or trace amounts of reaction inhibitors were present in the qPCR. Given that the amplification efficiency of purified pCMV-luc standards was higher (~ 81%, amplification factor of ~ 1.8; data not shown), this suggests that the reaction did contain inhibiting components, most likely contaminant(s) from the DNAzol homogenising solution used in the DNA extraction process. Although the use of DNAzol in conjunction with a commercial silica-based column for total DNA extraction from tissue was adapted from Jeong and colleagues,\(^5\) we found that using this method yielded DNA samples that had low 260/230 absorbance ratios (< 2.0)—which suggested the presence of contaminants such as phenol or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) in the samples. This cannot be confirmed as, being a propriety product, the composition of DNAzol\(^\text{®}\) is not disclosed. We considered using a second DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the DNA purification procedure, such as ethanol precipitation (with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol extraction to remove trace amounts of phenol). We did not adopt this approach because it is likely to increase the variability in the overall DNA extraction procedure and thus, reduce the overall reproducibility of the assay. We used the DNA in unmodified form for the assay.
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Table 1 The mean mass of target DNA, copy number and percentage of total dose detected in each tissue 24 hours after injection determined using the tissue-specific qPCR method

| Tissue       | Meana target DNA detected at site (ng) | Copy number | Percentage of total dose |
|--------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Calf muscle  |                                        |             |                          |
| Naked DNA    | 11.66 ± 4.32 (6)                       | 1.09 × 106  | < 0.05%                  |
| LP/DNA       | 271.73 ± 144.65 (6)                    | 2.54 × 1010 | 0.54%                    |
| DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA | 68.85 ± 30.56 (5)       | 6.43 × 106  | 0.14%                    |
| DLNc         |                                        |             |                          |
| Naked DNA    | 1.99 ± 0.45 (6)                       | 1.86 × 106  | < 0.05%                  |
| LP/DNA       | 19.46 ± 16.22 (6)                     | 1.82 × 106  | < 0.05%                  |
| DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA | 0.27% (6)                        | 2.52 × 107  | < 0.05%                  |
| Spleen       |                                        |             |                          |
| Naked DNA    | 50.09% (6)                            | 4.68 × 106  | 0.1%                     |
| LP/DNA       | 736.83 ± 115.68 (5)                   | 6.88 × 1010 | 1.47%                    |
| DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA | 1,045.40 ± 184.50 (5)     | 9.76 × 1010 | 2.09%                    |
| Liver        |                                        |             |                          |
| Naked DNA    | 295.90 ± 110.66 (6)                   | 2.76 × 1010 | 0.59%                    |
| LP/DNA       | – (6)                                 | –           | –                        |
| DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA | – (6)                               | –           | –                        |

Hyphen (-) indicates mass of target plasmid detected was lower than reliable quantification limit of qPCR assay.

aIntramuscular administration of a 50 µg DNA dose to calf muscle. Data is presented as mean ± SEM. bDLN = draining lymph node. cNo SEM provided as < 3 tissues contained the mass of target plasmid above the reliable quantification limit of the qPCR assay.

the draining lymph node 30 minutes after intramuscular injection of naked DNA (though these values were not statistically different), whilst the conventional qPCR method suggested that lower amounts (< quantification limit of the method) of the plasmid were present per ng of total DNA extracted from the spleen and liver. These results provide evidence that the mass of target plasmid detected per ng of total DNA extracted from the tissue does not give an adequate impression of the mass of target plasmid deposited in the whole tissue. Other plasmid distribution studies using these conventional qPCR methods, such as Liu and colleagues or Ruizila and colleagues, have drawn conclusions on the distribution patterns of their injected plasmid that may not be accurate, and our studies suggest that data needs to be interpreted with caution, particularly when large organs or tissues are under consideration. We suggest that the qPCR method adopted in our study is a better approach, as misleading in vivo distribution data is likely to result in inadequate understanding of the structure-distribution-activity relationships of nonviral gene delivery systems.

Overall, the assay illustrated that the two DNA complexes had similar tissue distribution patterns—different to that of naked plasmid. For tissues other than muscle, higher masses of plasmid were detected in the spleen of mice injected with the DNA complexes than other tissues. The significance of this increased splenic accumulation is not known at this stage, as it is not known if the plasmid passively distributed to this site or was taken up from blood by cells of the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS). Another possibility is that the particles could have been taken up by immune cells, macrophages or dendritic cells, either from muscle or the draining lymph node, and were then delivered to the spleen by cell migration. Further fluorescence-activated cell sorting experiments in which fluorescently labeled plasmid DNA is administered may assist in elucidating whether the plasmid is intracellularly or extracellularly located.

Although not significant, results of the tissue-calibrated qPCR assay indicated that mice injected with the cationic LP/DNA complexes retained a larger mass of plasmid in the calf muscle than the PEGylated complexes or naked plasmid at 24 hours post-injection. This is congruent with current findings illustrating that cationic DNA complexes are restricted in movement from the site of injection upon administration in vivo—hypothesized to be due to the presence of abundant anionic species (e.g. proteoglycans) in the extracellular matrix that may strongly interact with these complexes and hinder their mobility in the tissue. Whether or not this property is desired is dependent on the context of the DNA delivery system—as it has been suggested that a depot formation may be advantageous in inducing sustained expression of antigens in non-viral DNA vaccines, while others have showed that reducing the cationic surface charge can improve the distribution, and thereby promote transfection, to cells other than those found at the injection site (i.e., transfection of both APCs and local dermal cells).²

It was also noted that PEGylation of the cationic lipopeptide DNA complex did not result in higher masses of plasmid in the popliteal lymph node after 24 hours. This was inconsistent to what had been reported by Zhuang et al. and Carstens et al., who found that the introduction of a PEG coating on cationic liposomes, using DSPE-PEG2000, resulted in enhanced lymphatic draining of particles from the site of injection. The differences in findings may be due to the fact that the latter studies carried out subcutaneous administration, whereas in this study we injected intramuscularly. Another possibility is that the 24-hour time point the PEGylated particles had already drained through the lymph nodes into blood. Calculation of the total dose accumulated in the blood would be useful as it could help to establish whether the majority of the dose is distributed systemically in an intact form or present in muscle in a degraded form at specific time points. However, the qPCR assay used here focused on estimating the total mass of plasmid in each tissue. In practice, this is achievable because each tissue can be easily excised and weighed. To evaluate the total dose accumulated in the blood, on the other hand, would first require a method to completely and consistently isolate total blood from mice and then to set up a separate blood-calibrated qPCR assay, which was not attempted in this study.

As PEGylated particles typically exhibit stealth properties, it was originally hypothesized that the DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA complexes would show reduced hepatic accumulation as the liver is one of the major sites whereby opsonized nanoparticles from the bloodstream are sequestered. However, our findings suggest that formulation of the plasmid with either nonviral DNA carriers reduced the accumulation of intact plasmid in the liver, in comparison to the plasmid levels detected after administration of the naked plasmid. Published studies using intravenous
injection of radiolabeled DNA reported that approximately 30–40% of injected radioactivity could be detected in the liver of mice 24 hour after injection of PEGylated particles, suggesting that the fate of DNA after intramuscular injection is quite different. A possible reason for the differences observed in the intravenous studies and this study is that the DNA delivered in our complexes may have already been degraded in the liver 24 hours postinjection. Indeed as mentioned previously, qPCR is designed to reflect levels of intact plasmid, whilst radiolabelling assays used in the aforementioned studies do not. The data suggest that the previously published studies may have been observing the accumulation of a cleaved signal (i.e., degraded product) at the 24-hour time point.

Although the qPCR method used here is useful in determining the absolute amount of intact plasmid distributed to different organs and tissues, it is more labor-intensive and less high-throughput than the quantification of the plasmid in vivo using conventional qPCR methods. The determination of the optimal and valid assay range for each tissue can be a slow and laborious process, particularly for studies that wish to explore the biodistribution of the plasmid to a large number of organs in vivo. Thus, if the purpose of a study is simply to compare the effect of different formulations on the amount of plasmid accumulated at a single tissue site, then the utilization of the conventional qPCR method is recommended. However, if the aim of a study is to compare the extent of the accumulation of intact plasmid to different tissues in vivo, then the tissue-specific qPCR method is recommended as it is more likely to provide an accurate representation of the plasmid distribution pattern.

In this paper, we have established a tissue-specific assay using real-time qPCR for determining the absolute mass of intact plasmid present in different tissues. The novel aspect of the approach used in this study was to account for the differences in the total genomic DNA extracted from various tissue types by constructing standard curves based on excised tissue with standard plasmid amounts added. This assay is recommended in DNA vaccine or gene therapy studies to investigate the effect of different formulations or routes of administration on plasmid distribution to a range of tissues in vivo.

Materials and methods

Plasmid DNA. The DNA administered in the qPCR experiments, referred to as pCMV-luc, was constructed by ligating the firefly luciferase cDNA from pGL2 basic (Promega, Madison, WI) into the multiple cloning site of pcDNA3 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Plasmid isolation was carried out using the EndoFree Plasmid Giga Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). Plasmid concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Purity was assessed using the 260/280 nm ratio, which was always within the range 1.7–2.0, and agarose gel electrophoresis.

Materials for particle formation. The lipoprotein used in this study was stearyl-CH$_3$K$_3$ custom manufactured by G.L. Biochem (Shanghai, China), where C, H, and K represent cysteine, histidine, and lysine, respectively. This is a water-soluble transfection reagent, with similar derivatives described elsewhere. 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000) (DSPE-PEG$_{2000}$) was obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). All materials were prepared in 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)-glucose buffer (15 mmol/l HEPES and 5% w/v glucose, pH = 7.4).

Mice. Mice used in this study were C57BL/6J male mice aged between 10–12 weeks. All intramuscular injections (31 gauge needle) were introduced into the left calf muscle using a 50 µL injection volume. All mice were anaesthetised with 1–4% isoflurane gas prior to intramuscular injection. Euthanasia was carried out using gaseous CO$_2$. Tissues harvested in this study (injected calf muscle, draining popliteal lymph node, spleen and liver) were snap frozen on dry ice and then stored at −80°C until further use. All animal procedures were carried out under approval of ethics application MIPS.2013.16 and MIPS.2011.20, granted by the Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences Animal Ethics Committee.

Preparation of DNA complexes

Calculation of molar charge ratios. In the context of the LP/DNA complexes, the charge ratio refers to the number of positively charged amine groups (NH$_3^+$) at pH 7.4, provided by the lysine residues of the cationic LP per negatively charged phosphate group (PO$_4^{2-}$) of DNA (where 1 phosphate group = 1 nucleotide). For example, to obtain a theoretical charge ratio of 1:1 (LP:DNA) using stearylCH$_3$K$_3$ (1045.45 g/mol, 3 NH$_3^+/molecule), 1 µg of plasmid DNA (3 nmol) was mixed...
with 1.06 µg of stearoylCH₂K₃ (1 nmol). An average mass of 330 g/mol per nucleotide of DNA was used for the calculations. In the context of the DSPE-PEG₂₀₀₀/LP/DNA complexes, the charge ratio refers to the number of negatively charged phosphate group provided by the DSPE-PEG₂₀₀₀ per positively charged amine of the lysine residues of the lipid-peptide per phosphate group of the DNA, respectively. For example, to obtain a theoretical charge ratio of 1:1:1 (DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA complexes for DSPE-PEG2000/LP/DNA were then incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes to allow for particle formation. To concentrate the DNA complexes down to a 50 µl volume for injection, ultrafiltration was carried out using a 3kDa Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal unit (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA) to retain the complexes. The DNA complexes were subjected to ultrafiltration at 5,000 g for ~5.5 hours or until the solution retained had reached desired volume. The percentage of recovery of the DNA complexes were determined by measuring the fluorescence of samples containing fluorescently labeled plasmid DNA post-ultrafiltration and calculating the resultant concentration based on a standard plot constructed by measuring the fluorescence of known concentrations of the labeled DNA in the LP or PEGylated complexes. The fluorophore used to label the plasmid was ChromaTide Alexa Fluor 594-5-dUTP (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and was covalently labelled to the linearized plasmid using the Klendex fragment (GeneWorks, Thebarton, Australia) enzyme. Fluorescent measurements were carried out using the Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) at the excitation/emission wavelengths of 594/614 nm.

**Particle sizing and zeta potential measurements.** The mean particle size (Z-average), polydispersity index (PI) and zeta potential (ZP) of each complex was measured using the Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, United Kingdom). All samples were prepared in triplicate and measurements were carried out at 25 °C. To ensure that the instrument was calibrated, a 60±2.7 nm size standard (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) and a ~68±6.8 mV zeta potential standard (Malvern Instruments) were measured and assessed prior to experimentation.

**Quantitative PCR assay**

**Overview.** An outline of the tissue-specific qPCR strategy for the quantitation of absolute plasmid levels in tissue is provided in Figure 4. Briefly, the method involved the construction of standard curves for each tissue assayed, by adding serially diluted plasmid standards to homogenates of each pre-weighed, excised tissue of interest. This approach allowed us to relate the amount of plasmid detected to the amount of genomic DNA extracted from each tissue, and thus, enabled the determination of the absolute amount of plasmid found at each particular site.

**Total DNA extraction.** The DNA extraction method used was adapted from Jeong and colleagues. Tissues were harvested, weighed and homogenized in DNAzol reagent (Life Technologies) using a handheld TissueTearor homogenizer (Biospec Products, Bartlesville, OK). The lymph nodes were homogenized with a Pellet Pestles motor grinder (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). Homogenates were incubated overnight at room temperature with the addition of proteinase K (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) to a final concentration of 100 µg/ml. For both the calf muscle and lymph node, the whole tissue was used and homogenized in 1.88 and 0.4 ml of DNAzol, respectively. For the spleen and liver, 5 and 9 mg of tissue, respectively, were weighed and homogenized in 1 ml of DNAzol. The spleen extract was then further diluted by adding 0.1 ml of the homogenate to 0.9 ml of DNAzol. The tissue extracts were then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 10,000 g at 4 °C. Total DNA was extracted using a Wizard DNA Prep Spin column (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) using the manufacturer's instructions.

**Quantitative PCR.** Real-time quantitative PCR was carried out using the CFX96™ Real Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Forword (5’ CCTCATAAAAGGCAA GAAGG 3’) and reverse (5’ ACACCGGCTTATTCCAG 3’) primers were used to amplify a 114 bp fragment of the pCMV-luc. Each PCR reaction contained 5 µl of the iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), 200 nmol/l of each primer, 1 ng of template DNA and water to a total reaction volume of 10 µl. Reactions were carried out with an initial incubation at 95 °C for 3 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 seconds, annealing at 47 °C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72 °C for 30 seconds. All reactions were carried out in triplicate and 'no template' controls, containing water instead of template DNA, were included in every PCR run.

**Specificity of the qPCR assay.** Melting curve analysis (55 °C to 95 °C, 0.5 °C increments per 5 seconds) was carried out with the CFX96 Real Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) after each qPCR experiment to confirm product specificity. Gel electrophoresis was used in addition to verify primer specificity and amplicon size using 3% agarose.

**Reproducibility of qPCR assay.** To assess the reproducibility of the qPCR assay, the coefficient of variation (CoVar) was calculated from the Cq mean and standard deviation (SD) for each tissue (n = 3) spiked with 100 ng of plasmid, using the following equation.

\[
\text{CoVar} = \left( \frac{SD}{\text{mean Cq}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

**Construction of plasmid standard plot.** Tissues from each mouse were excised, weighed, homogenized and then total DNA was extracted following the procedure described above. Standard masses of pCMV-luc (1 ng, 10 ng, 100 ng, 1 µg) were
prepared and added to the homogenate prior to centrifugation. Standard plots were generated for each tissue by plotting the mass of plasmid standard introduced into the tissue sample against the mean Cq value. To assess assay validity, linear regression was used to calculate the goodness of fit (R²) of each standard plot.

Application of qPCR assay to investigate the biodistribution of plasmid DNA. Mice were injected intramuscularly with 50 µg of pCMV-luc plasmid (naked DNA) or formulated DNA complexes (LP/DNA or DSPE-PEG₂₀₀₀/LP/DNA) and euthanized at 30 minutes or 24 hours postinjection. Total DNA was then extracted from each excised tissue, and real-time qPCR was carried out as outlined above. As a control, mice were injected with 50 µl of the HEPELS-glucose vehicle. Using the standard plots constructed for each tissue, the amount of plasmid present in the tissue was then calculated. For comparison, analysis via the conventional qPCR method was also carried out by determining the amount of plasmid (ng) per ng of total DNA, calculated from a standard plot of varying concentrations of the purified pCMV-luc (1 ng to 1 pg, 10-fold dilutions) versus the generated Cq values.

Statistical analysis. Data in graphs are represented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) with each data point representing n = 4–6 samples, unless otherwise stated. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test was used to assess significant differences in the levels of plasmid after injection of naked DNA after analysis via the tissue-specific qPCR method or the conventional qPCR method. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test was used to assess the significant differences in the levels of plasmid at each site after administration of formulated DNA complexes, with the two factors being the DNA formulation and tissue type. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Supplementary material

Figure S1. Estimation of the total mass of plasmid recovered from the calf muscle spiked with naked DNA or LP/DNA formulations.
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