Effects of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic on Outcomes among Patients with Polytrauma at a Single Regional Trauma Center in South Korea
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Purpose: The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has necessitated a redistribution of resources to meet hospitals’ service needs. This study investigated the impact of COVID-19 on a regional trauma center in South Korea.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed cases of polytrauma at a single regional trauma center in South Korea between January 20 and September 30, 2020 (the COVID-19 period) and compared them to cases reported during the same time frame (January 20 to September 30) between 2016 and 2019 (the pre-COVID-19 period). The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality, and secondary outcomes included the number of daily admissions, hospital length of stay (LOS), and intensive care unit (ICU) LOS.

Results: The mean number of daily admissions decreased by 15% during the COVID-19 period (4.0±2.0 vs. 4.7±2.2, p=0.010). There was no difference in mechanisms of injury between the two periods. For patients admitted during the COVID-19 period, the hospital LOS was significantly shorter (10 days [interquartile range (IQR) 4–19 days] vs. 16 days [IQR 8–28 days], p<0.001); however, no significant differences in ICU LOS and mortality were found.

Conclusions: The observations at Regional Trauma Center, Pusan National University Hospital corroborate anecdotal reports that there has been a decline in the number of patients admitted to hospitals during the COVID-19 period. In addition, patients admitted during the COVID-19 pandemic had a significantly shorter hospital LOS than those admitted before the COVID-19 pandemic. These preliminary data warrant validation in larger, multi-center studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has generated serious global public health concerns [1-3]. The COVID-19 outbreak began in Wuhan, China in December 2019, and then rapidly spread worldwide; hence, the World Health Organization declared it a pandemic on March 11, 2020 [4]. In South Korea, the first case was diagnosed on January 20, 2020 [5]. The disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic have necessitated a redistribution of resources to meet hospitals’ service needs.

The COVID-19 outbreak has posed significant challenges to hospital staff, especially trauma surgeons, who must offer optimal and timely care despite the circumstances [6]. It has been predicted that public adherence to social distancing recommendations made by public health and government officials and avoidance of healthcare institutions and clinics will likely lead to shifts in the epidemiology of severe trauma and other medical conditions [7,8].

This study examined the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on outcomes of patients with trauma admitted to a single trauma center in South Korea. We sought to assess differences in hospital length of stay (LOS) for injuries before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Further, we assessed differences in intensive care unit (ICU) LOS, and mortality before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS

Study setting

The regional trauma center at Pusan National University Hospital is the largest trauma center in South Korea, and is a designated level I trauma center. Annually, it receives more than 2,500 trauma-related admissions, including 900–1,000 patients who present with major trauma. The center is equipped with a trauma bay, a 42-bed dedicated trauma ICU, and a trauma angiography suite. Three interventional radiologists and the equipment required for transarterial embolization are available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of patients admitted to this trauma center from January 20 to September 30 between 2016 and 2020. Using the hospital inpatient enquiry system, a total of 7,830 patients with traumatic injuries admitted to Regional Trauma Center, Pusan National University Hospital were selected. This research project received approval from the Research Ethics Board of the Pusan National University Hospital (Approved No. H-2010-015-095) and was therefore performed in accordance with the ethical standards set forth in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: patients aged <16 years, those declared dead on arrival, those discharged/...
transferred from the emergency room, or those with unclear medical records. The final study population included 6,134 patients with traumatic injuries (Fig. 1). Patient demographics, mechanism of injury, and details of injuries were recorded. The available data included age, sex, mechanism of injury, vital signs on arrival, Abbrevi-

Table 1. Demographics of patients with trauma admitted before and during the COVID-19 pandemic

|                              | Pre-COVID-19 (2016–2019) (n=5,153) | COVID-19 (2020) (n=981) | Total (n=6,134) | p-value |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Daily trauma admission       | 4.7±2.2                            | 4.0±2.0                 | 4.5±2.2        | 0.010   |
| Daily trauma admission       | 4 (3–6)                            | 3 (3–6)                 | 4 (3–6)        | 0.014   |
| Age (years)                  | 58 (45–70)                         | 60 (46–71)              | 58 (45–70)     | 0.037   |
| Male                         | 3,630 (70.4)                       | 704 (71.8)              | 4,334 (70.7)   | 0.406   |
| Origin of admission          |                                    |                         |                | <0.001  |
| Scene                        | 2,560 (49.7)                       | 574 (58.5)              | 3,134 (51.1)   |         |
| Transfer                      | 2,593 (50.3)                       | 407 (41.5)              | 3,000 (48.9)   |         |
| Transfer time (min)          |                                    |                         |                | <0.001  |
| Primary                      | 46 (34–70)                         | 52 (47–88)              | 47 (34–73)     |         |
| Secondary                    | 274 (169–666)                      | 272 (182–569)           | 373 (171–641)  | 0.552   |
| Injury mechanism             |                                    |                         |                | 0.120   |
| Traffic accident             | 1,992 (38.7)                       | 354 (36.1)              | 2,346 (38.2)   |         |
| Fall                         | 1,220 (23.7)                       | 264 (26.9)              | 1,484 (24.2)   |         |
| Slip down                    | 949 (18.4)                         | 169 (17.2)              | 1,118 (18.2)   |         |
| Bump or entrapment           | 421 (8.2)                          | 73 (7.4)                | 394 (8.0)      |         |
| Others                       | 571 (11.1)                         | 121 (12.3)              | 692 (11.3)     |         |
| Physiology at admission      |                                    |                         |                |         |
| SBP (mmHg)                   | 120 (100–140)                      | 120 (100–140)           | 120 (100–140)  | 0.062   |
| Heart rate (BPM)             | 86 (75–99)                         | 86 (75–100)             | 86 (75–100)    | 0.859   |
| Shock index                  | 0.7 (0.5–0.9)                      | 0.7 (0.6–0.9)           | 0.7 (0.6–0.9)  | 0.042   |
| Hemodynamic instability      | 442 (8.6)                          | 127 (13.0)              | 569 (9.3)      | <0.001  |
| ISS                          | 14 (9–22)                          | 14 (9–22)               | 14 (9–22)      | 0.704   |
| ≥16                          | 2,346 (45.5)                       | 352 (46.1)              | 2,798 (45.6)   | 0.752   |
| ≥25                          | 1,176 (22.8)                       | 199 (20.3)              | 1,375 (22.4)   | 0.081   |
| GCS                          | 15 (14–15)                         | 15 (14–15)              | 15 (14–15)     | 0.324   |
| RTS                          | 784 (711–784)                      | 784 (690–784)           | 784 (637–784)  | 0.258   |
| Emergency operation and/or radiologic intervention | 1,555 (30.2) | 336 (34.2) | 1,891 (30.8) | 0.011 |
| Outcome                      |                                    |                         |                |         |
| Hospital stay (days)         | 16 (8–28)                          | 10 (4–19)               | 15 (7–26)      | <0.001  |
| ICU LOS (days)               | 1 (0–5)                            | 1 (0–4)                 | 1 (0–5)        | 0.721   |
| Overall mortality            | 397 (77)                           | 93 (9.5)                | 490 (8.0)      | 0.060   |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation, number (%), or median (interquartile range). Study period: 20 January to 30 September. Hemodynamic instability is defined as SBP <90 mmHg and shock index ≥1.0 on arrival.
COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019, IQR: interquartile range, SBP: systolic blood pressure, BPM: beats per minute, ISS: Injury Severity Score, GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale, RTS: Revised Trauma Score, ED: emergency department, ICU: intensive care unit, LOS: length of stay.
ated Injury Scale (AIS) score, Injury Severity Score (ISS), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, Revised Trauma Score (RTS), shock index, length of hospital stay, ICU LOS, and survival status. Patients were compared between the pre-COVID-19 period, which included patients admitted from January 20 to September 30 between 2016 and 2019, and the COVID-19 period, which included patients admitted between January 20, 2020 and September 30, 2020. The first case of COVID-19 was serologically confirmed in South Korea on January 20, 2020; hence, it was considered the start date of the COVID-19 period [9]. The primary transfer time was defined as times from the scene of the injury to the trauma center [10]. Hemodynamic instability was defined as a systolic blood pressure of <90 mmHg and a shock index ≥1.0 on arrival [11].

Outcome measures

The objective of this exploratory study was to report the demographic characteristics and clinical outcomes of trauma patients admitted in the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods. The primary outcome was mortality. The secondary outcomes were the number of daily admissions, hospital LOS, and ICU LOS.

Statistical analyses

Summary statistics are reported as the median and interquartile range (IQR) or means with standard deviation (SD) where appropriate. Categorical variables are expressed as number and percentage. The Wilcoxon-rank sum test and unpaired t-test were used to compare the median values of continuous variables and for normally distributed continuous data, respectively. The chi-square test was used to compare frequencies of categorical variables between groups. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and Stata version 14.2 (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA) were used to analyze the data.

Table 2. Characteristics of injury sites and most severe injury sites before and during COVID-19

| Injury site                      | Before COVID-19 pandemic (2016–2019) (n=5,153) | During COVID-19 pandemic (2020) (n=981) | Total (n=6,134) | p-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| AIS for head & neck ≥3         | 1,760 (34.1)                                 | 319 (32.5)                             | 2,079 (33.9)   | 0.321   |
| AIS for chest ≥3               | 1,586 (30.8)                                 | 313 (31.9)                             | 1,899 (31.0)   | 0.484   |
| AIS for lung ≥3                | 518 (10.0)                                   | 69 (7.0)                               | 587 (9.6)      | 0.003   |
| AIS for rib ≥3                 | 1,269 (24.6)                                 | 245 (25.0)                             | 1,514 (24.7)   | 0.817   |
| AIS for abdomen ≥3             | 786 (15.2)                                   | 137 (14.0)                             | 923 (15.0)     | 0.301   |
| AIS for liver ≥3               | 191 (3.7)                                    | 41 (4.2)                               | 232 (3.8)      | 0.477   |
| AIS for spleen ≥3              | 49 (0.9)                                     | 9 (0.9)                                | 58 (0.9)       | 0.921   |
| AIS for pancreas AIS ≥3        | 10 (0.2)                                     | 3 (0.3)                                | 13 (0.2)       | 0.485   |
| AIS for kidney ≥3              | 85 (1.6)                                     | 5 (0.5)                                | 90 (1.5)       | 0.007   |
| AIS for extremity ≥3           | 1,102 (21.4)                                 | 241 (24.6)                             | 1,343 (21.9)   | 0.027   |
| AIS for pelvic ring ≥3         | 268 (5.2)                                    | 49 (5.0)                               | 317 (5.2)      | 0.789   |
| Maximum injury site            |                                              |                                        |                | 0.322   |
| Head & neck                    | 1,991 (38.6)                                 | 368 (37.5)                             | 2,359 (38.5)   |         |
| Chest                          | 1,116 (21.7)                                 | 220 (22.4)                             | 1,336 (21.8)   |         |
| Abdomen                        | 652 (12.6)                                   | 125 (12.7)                             | 777 (12.7)     |         |
| Extremity                      | 1,188 (23.0)                                 | 241 (24.6)                             | 1,429 (23.3)   |         |
| Others                         | 206 (4.0)                                    | 27 (2.7)                               | 233 (3.8)      |         |

Values are presente as number (%). Study period: 20 January to 30 September. COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019, AIS: Abbreviated Injury Scale.
RESULTS

Comparison of demographic characteristics and clinical features between patients admitted during the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods

Of the 7,830 trauma patients admitted during the 5-year study period, 1,696 patients were excluded from the final study population based on the aforementioned criteria. Of the 6,134 patients included, 5,153 were admitted during the pre-COVID-19 period (between 2016 and 2019) and 981 patients were admitted during the COVID-19 period (between January 20, 2020 and September 30, 2020). Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of patients admitted during the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods. The daily number of patients admitted to the trauma center was lower during the COVID-19 period than during the pre-COVID-19 period (median 3 days [IQR 3–6 days] vs. 4 days [IQR 3–6 days], p=0.014), representing a mean decrease of 15% during the COVID-19 period (mean 4.0 days [SD 2.2 days] vs. 4.7 days [SD 2.2 days], p=0.010). A comparison of the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods revealed that patients admitted during the COVID-19 period had a higher proportion of admissions from the scene (58.5% vs. 49.7%, p<0.001), a higher proportion of hemodynamic instability (13.0% vs. 8.6%, p<0.001), and a higher proportion of emergency operations and/or radiologic interventions (34.2% vs. 30.2%, p=0.011). In addition, patients admitted during the COVID-19 period had a longer primary transfer time (52 minutes [IQR 47–88 minutes] vs. 46 minutes [IQR 34–70 minutes], p<0.001), and shorter hospital LOS (10 days [IQR 4–19 days] vs. 16 days [IQR 8–28 days], p<0.001). However, sex, mechanism of injury, heart rate on arrival, shock index, ISS, GCS score, and RTS were not significantly different among patients admitted during the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods. There was no difference in mortality between the two groups. There have been no cases of nosocomial onset or spread of COVID-19 at Pusan National University Hospital to date.

Comparison of injury sites between the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods

Table 2 shows distribution of injury sites and the most severe injury site during the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods. Patients admitted during the COVID-19 period had a higher proportion of AIS for the extremities ≥3 than those admitted during the pre-COVID-19 period. In contrast, differences of the proportion of severe head and neck injuries, chest injuries, and abdominal injuries were not statistically significant. Patients admitted during the COVID-19 period had a lower proportion of lung AIS ≥3 (p=0.003) and a lower proportion of kidney AIS ≥3 (p=0.007) than those admitted during the pre-COVID-19 period. There were no significant differences in the distribution of rib fractures, liver injuries, spleen injuries, pancreas injuries, pelvic ring injuries, or most severe injury sites between the two groups.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to evaluate the epidemiology and clinical outcomes of patients with trauma before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in South Korea. The main finding of our retrospective observational study is that overall mortality was not significantly different between patients admitted during the COVID-19 period and those admitted during the pre-COVID-19 period. We think that this is because patients who were admitted during the COVID-19 had injuries of comparable severity to those of patients admitted before COVID-19. Furthermore, patients with polytrauma could achieve positive health outcomes with appropriate monitoring and treatment, even during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nonetheless, a larger group of patients and further studies are necessary to draw any definitive conclusions.

During the COVID-19 period, a trend was found for fewer daily trauma admissions and a shorter hospital LOS (Table 1). Several factors might explain this important observation. First, rigorous public health measures such as social distancing, self-isolation, and quarantining may unintentionally affect established integrated care systems [12-15]. Fear of contracting COVID-19 has also decreased admission rates for common emergencies such as strokes and heart attacks [8,16]. Moreover, the strict instructions to stay at home and fears of infection may have further prevented people from being exposed to the risk of accidents [17]. Stay-at-home orders and suspension of
activities have reduced the number of trauma incidents, including traffic collisions [10,15]. COVID-19 quarantines have reduced the volume of trauma patients who are transported by emergency medical services (EMS). For these reasons, the daily number of trauma admissions may have been reduced during the COVID-19 pandemic in our study. In addition, patients with milder symptoms may intentionally avoid staying in the hospital, preferring to be discharged early because of fears of infection within a medical facility.

The COVID-19 pandemic represents a novel challenge for EMS, and it affects the practice of prehospital and hospital trauma resuscitation [6,12,15,18]. The COVID-19 outbreak has posed significant challenges to paramedics and hospital staff, who must offer optimal and timely care despite the circumstances [6]. Strict safety protocols must be adhered to while providing emergency care for patients with trauma [6,19]. However, personal protective equipment such as face shields, goggles, and gloves can reduce the visual, auditory, and tactile senses of healthcare staff, thus reducing the precision of emergency care [19,20].

Our study showed that the proportion of patients who received emergency operations and/or radiologic interventions was higher during the COVID-19 period than in the pre-COVID-19 period (p=0.011). We think that this was likely due to an increase in referrals of patients requiring emergency operations and/or radiologic interventions during the COVID-19 period.

**Limitations**

Our study is an initial analysis of the volume of patient admissions for trauma during a short period of time, and its scope is limited. There are several other limitations to this study. For example, the study was confined to patients at a single center and from a specific region; it also analyzed a population of patients who required the services of a regional trauma center. The severity and frequency of injuries seen at Regional Trauma Center, Pusan National University Hospital likely differ significantly from those seen at community emergency departments.

**CONCLUSION**

This study assessed the epidemiology of trauma patients at a single institution during the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods. There was a decline in the number of patients admitted to the regional trauma center during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to that before the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, patients admitted during the COVID-19 pandemic had a significantly shorter hospital LOS than those admitted before the COVID-19 pandemic. With appropriate monitoring and treatment, patients with polytrauma can achieve comparable outcomes, even during the COVID-19 pandemic. These preliminary data warrant validation in larger, multi-center studies, and more studies are needed to evaluate and compare long-term outcomes in patients with polytrauma before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.
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