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ABSTRACT

In this paper the influence of copper addition on the formation of the amorphous phase and the nanocrystallization process of Fe$_{79.8-x}$Co$_x$Mo$_{0.2}$Si$_4$B$_{14}$ (x=0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2) ribbons was described. The formation of crystalline phases was described using differential scanning calorimetry, X-ray diffractometry, Mössbauer spectroscopy and transmission electron microscopy. It was confirmed that the addition of copper decreases the glass forming ability, while facilitating the process of nanocrystallization. The analysis of the Avrami exponent allowed to state, that for fully amorphous alloys the crystallization of the α-Fe phase is associated with diffusion-controlled growth with decreasing nucleation rate and the Fe$_2$B phase with interface controlled growth with increasing nucleation rate. Additionally, with increasing copper addition onset temperature of crystallization of α-Fe phase shifts to lower values, whereas for second, Fe$_2$B phase, these changes are not so visible. Optimization of the annealing process of toroidal cores made from amorphous ribbons with different copper content allowed to obtain nanocrystalline, soft magnetic materials characterized by low coercivity ~9 A/m and high saturation induction of about 1.6 T. Analysis of transmission electron microscope images and electron diffraction confirmed that high magnetic parameters are related to the coexistence of the amorphous and nanocrystalline phases, which was confirmed also by Mössbauer spectroscopy.

1. Introduction

The Fe-based amorphous and nanocrystalline alloys can be used in the various forms as magnetic cores and sensors, which is related to their high magnetic permeability ($\mu$), low coercivity ($H_c$) and low power losses ($P_L$) [1–3]. The nanocrystallization process of amorphous alloys allows obtaining materials with lower coercivity and higher saturation magnetization than amorphous precursors [4]. These magnetic parameters can be easily modified by changing the heat treatment parameters such as temperatures, time and heating rate [5,6]. The optimal parameters of annealing of amorphous alloys can be determined on the basis of differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) curves through determination of onset temperatures of the first peak crystallization. Generally, this peak is associated with crystallization of α-Fe phase, whereas second peak with crystallization of for example Fe$_2$B phase.

Therefore to avoid the precipitation of the second phase the increase of temperature difference between these peaks should be maximized. The presence and crystallization of Fe$_7$, Fe$_2$B and FeP phases is associated with addition of metalloids, which improve glass forming ability (GFA) of Fe-based alloys. However, the addition of these elements has negative impact on the preparing of nanocrystalline soft magnetic alloys with high saturation induction [1,7,8]. Therefore other chemical elements such as Cu are necessary to avoid precipitation of these phases, which is related to the shift of the first peak to lower temperatures. Interestingly, also addition other chemical elements such as Al and Nb can inhibit the precipitation of the second phase, which was discussed previously [9–11]. The Cu addition causes the phase segregation and formation of its clusters in amorphous matrix. These clusters are responsible for heterogenous crystallization of α-Fe phase [12]. Cu clusters grow diffuse and their positive impact on the formation of soft
magnetic phase was confirmed for many different alloys such as Fe-Cu-
Ni-Si-B and Fe-Zr-B-Cu alloys [13–16]. The Cu clusters have a short-
range ordering with fcc structure and are formed on the early stage of
annealing. Under continuous annealing, Fe atoms form nucleus and
then crystallize into α-Fe phase on the Cu clusters-amorphous phase
boundary [17].

According to the Random Anisotropy Model (RAM), the decrease of
the grain size, below exchange length, can improve the magnetic
properties of alloys. Generally, for Fe-based alloys minimum value of
the exchange length is equal to 20–40 nm, therefore the nanocrystalline
alloys with 5–20 nm grains are magnetically soft [18]. Additionally, not
only grain size, but also their distribution affects on the magnetic
properties. For example, nonhomogeneous and large grains increase
the coercivity. Therefore to control growth of the grains many different
alloying additives are used. It means, that the chemical composition of
alloys determine not only glass forming ability but also parameters of
heat treatment and consequently magnetic properties. Lashgari et al.
described the influence of addition of different chemical elements on
the formation of amorphous and nanocrystalline phases in Fe-based
alloys [19]. They concluded, that the silicon and boron improve GFA,
however addition of B above 10% at. has a negative impact on the
properties of alloys. Generally, for Fe-based alloys minimum value of
the grain size, below exchange length, can improve the magnetic
boundary [17].

Theaimofthisstudywastodescribethenanocrystallizationprocess
of Fe79.8−xCo2Cu2xMo0.2Si4B14 amorphous alloys in form of ribbons
with different Cu content. The Cu clusters have a short-range order
withelementsof D/teX Ultra. The crystallization process was described on the
basis of DSC curves recorded using thermal analyzer Netzsch STA
449F3. To determine structure changes around the Fe atoms causes by
heat the 57Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded at room temperature
with a constant acceleration spectrometer equipped with 57Co:Rh
source (activity ~10 mCi), multichannel analyzer (1024 channels) and
linear 57Co source arrangement, absorber and detector. A metallic iron
foil (α-Fe) absorber was used for velocity and isomer shift calibration of
the Mössbauer spectrometer. Additionally, high resolution transmission
electron microscopy (HRTEM) images and selected area electron dif-
fraction (SAED) patterns were recorded for amorphous and annealed
samples using S/TEM TITAN 80-300 electron microscope. The obtained
images and diffraction patterns were then analyzed using CrystBox
software (version 1.10 (build 0066), Institute of Physics of the Czech
Academy of Sciences [20,21]). The magnetic measurements at ambient
temperature were performed using Remacom-C-1200 measuring
system for samples in form of cores (weight about 20 g) produced from
amorphous ribbons with width equal to 10 mm. The hysteresis loops
recorded for frequency equal to 50 Hz and for applied field up to
1000 A/m.

2. Materials and methods

The amorphous Fe79.8−xCo2Cu2xMo0.2Si4B14 (x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2) alloys in form of ribbons were prepared in double stage
process. The samples were marked as Cu0, Cu0.25, Cu0.5, Cu0.75, Cu1,
Cu1.5 and Cu2, respectively. Firstly, crystalline precursors were produced from pure chemical elements (Fe, Si, Mo, Cu and Co) and FeB18
alloy by induction furnace VIM-LAB 50-60. Afterwards, the crystalline precursors were remelted and melt-spun from liquid state
(1473.15 K) at a copper wheel surface speed of 33 m/s. The verification of amorphous structure and its changes induced by isochronal and
isothermal annealing were performed using X-ray diffractometer
MiniFlex 600 with copper tube (Cu Kα, λ = 1.5406 Å) and strip de-
tector D/teX Ultra. The crystallization process was described on the

![Fig. 1. a) XRD patterns of as-spun ribbons with different Cu content; b) thermodynamic parameters: \(\Delta H^{\text{mix}}\), \(\Delta S^{\text{config}}\) and \(\Delta G^{\text{mix}}\) in function of Cu content with marked region of fully amorphous and flexible ribbons state.](image)

Table 1

| Alloy   | \(\Delta H^{\text{mix}}\) [kJ/mol] | \(\Delta S^{\text{config}}\) [J/molK] | \(\Delta G^{\text{mix}}\) [kJ/mol] |
|---------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cu0     | –20.03                           | 5.61                             | –28.29                           |
| Cu0.25  | –19.88                           | 5.75                             | –28.35                           |
| Cu0.5   | –19.73                           | 5.86                             | –28.37                           |
| Cu0.75  | –19.59                           | 5.96                             | –28.37                           |
| Cu1     | –19.44                           | 6.05                             | –28.36                           |
| Cu1.5   | –19.15                           | 6.23                             | –28.32                           |
| Cu2     | –18.86                           | 6.39                             | –28.27                           |
\[
\Delta H_{\text{mix}}^{\text{conf}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta H_{ij}^{\text{mix}} c_i c_j \\
\Delta G_{\text{mix}}^{\text{conf}} = -R \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \ln c_i \\
\Delta G_{\text{mix}} = \Delta H_{\text{mix}}^{\text{conf}} - T \Delta S_{\text{mix}}^{\text{conf}}
\]

where: \(\Delta H_{\text{mix}}^{\text{conf}}\) is the mixing enthalpy for equiatomic composition in a binary system, \(c_i\) and \(c_j\) are the compositions of \(i\) and \(j\) elements, \(R\) is the gas constant and \(T\) analyzed temperature (in this case 1473.15 K).

It can be noticed, that the addition of copper results in the increase of configurational entropy and mixing enthalpy. The increasing value of mixing enthalpy is undesirable in the case of amorphization. Additionally, the mixing enthalpy of Cu with Fe is positive (13 kJ/mol), therefore, the phase separation should occurs in these alloys. This results with formation of crystalline phases in Cu2.0 alloy (see Fig. 1a). These phases were identified as \(\alpha\)-Fe and Fe\(_2\)Si. To form stable amorphous phase mixing enthalpy between chemical elements should be negative and entropy as high as possible. To determine influence of these two parameters on the thermodynamics of molten alloys with different Cu content the \(\Delta G_{\text{mix}}\) was calculated. It can be seen, that the changes in the value of this parameter are not linear. The lowest value of \(\Delta G_{\text{mix}}\) was observed for Cu0.5 and Cu0.75 alloys and this can be associated with balance between positive influence of entropy and negative influence of mixing enthalpy from Cu addition.

To determine thermal stability and crystallization kinetics in amorphous ribbons with different Cu content DSC curves were recorded and presented in Fig. S1. It can be noticed that all alloys crystallize in two phases: \(\alpha\)-Fe and Fe\(_2\)B. Abnormal crystallization of the first phase was observed for fragile Cu1.5 alloy. This can be associated with presence of atomic clusters in the structure, which decreases activation energy of crystallization of the first phase. To determine influence of copper content on the crystallization of second, Fe\(_2\)B phase in the Fig. 2a compared DSC curves recorded for constant heating rate equal to 10 K/min and XRD patterns (Fig. 2b) for alloys isothermal annealed at 773 K by 1 h. It can be noticed, that the shape of the first crystallization peak changes, its asymmetry increases. Additionally, with increasing copper content onset temperature of crystallization and maximum of the peak associated with crystallization of first and second phase moves towards lower values, however changes for second peak are not as visible as for first one (Table 2). Therefore, with increasing copper content increases difference \(\Delta T\) between \(T_{x1}\) and \(T_{x2}\), which is presented in Fig. 3c. These changes are associated with formation of additional Cu clusters during heating. The Cu clusters are nucleation sites of \(\alpha\)-Fe phase, whereas boron is rejected in this process and stabilizes amorphous phase [22]. Interestingly, the changes in \(\Delta T\) can be correlated with \(\Delta G_{\text{mix}}\). As can be seen in Fig. 3c, major changes of the \(\Delta G_{\text{mix}}\) value cause major changes of the \(\Delta T\) value, therefore the highest changes can be observed for Cu0.5 alloy, whereas with increasing addition of Cu only slightly changes of \(\Delta T\) and \(\Delta G_{\text{mix}}\) can be observed. These changes are important to optimize nanocrystallization process of amorphous ribbons. The lower value of \(T_{x1}\) indicates lower value of the heat treatment temperature. Additionally the higher value of \(\Delta T\) indicate higher temperature range of this process. However, the negative impact of Cu content on the crystallization process can be also observed. First of all, this is important to prevent crystallization of magnetic hard Fe\(_2\)B phases, therefore \(\Delta T\) should be as high as possible. However, as can be seen in Fig. 2b at the same temperature 773 K the crystallization of Fe\(_2\)B phase is different for tested alloys. For Cu0 and Cu0.25 amorphous alloy at this temperature crystallization of \(\alpha\)-Fe still occurs (see in DSC curves) and absence of Fe\(_2\)B phase can be confirmed in XRD patterns. For the other alloys at this temperature crystallization of \(\alpha\)-Fe stopped and the crystallization of Fe\(_2\)B phase should not started (based on the DSC curves), however this phase can be observed in the XRD patterns. This is associated with difference between isochronal and isothermal annealing. In the case of isothermal annealing, the crystallization depends not only on the time but also on the temperature. Therefore for further optimization of the crystallization temperatures of \(\alpha\)-Fe phase is very important to use temperatures in which crystallization of \(\alpha\)-Fe did not stop to prevent formation of the Fe\(_2\)B phase.

The crystallization kinetics were determined for fully amorphous samples. For this purpose activation energies were calculated from Kissinger Eq. (4) for the temperature of the crystallization onset and
maximum of the first and second peak, P1 and P2 respectively. The Kissinger plots were presented in Fig. 3a–c and the values of activation energies in Table 3.

\[
\ln \left( \frac{\beta}{T^2} \right) = -\frac{E_a}{RT} + C_1
\]

where \( \beta \) is the heating rate, \( E_a \) is the activation energy, \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) is the temperature (temperature of crystallization onset and temperatures of different peaks) and \( C_1 \) is the constant.

It was previously reported, that the \( E_a \) calculated from the \( T_{\text{onset}} \) is associated with nucleation, whereas calculated from \( T_x \) with growth of crystalline phase. It can be noticed, that only for Cu0.25 \( E_a(\text{onset}) \) is similar to \( E_a(P1) \), which can be associated with presence of crystallites on the surface of ribbons. For the other alloys \( E_a(P1) \) is higher than \( E_a(\text{onset}) \), therefore the nucleation process is more easier than the growth of \( \alpha \)-Fe phase. Moreover, the \( E_a(\text{onset}) \) increase up to 262.4 kJ/mol for 0.75% at. Cu and then decrease to 239.3 kJ/mol for 1% at. Cu. This can be related to the increasing of entropy in these alloys, which is caused by introduction of copper. For the alloy containing 1% at. Cu the observed decreasing of the \( E_a(\text{onset}) \) and \( E_a(P1) \) can be associated with numerous of Cu clusters, which can be formed in amorphous matrix under continuous heating of sample.

To better understand the crystallization process the further analysis of the local activation energy was performed. According to the Ozawa-Flynn-Wall formula for isochronal crystallization, this local activation energy \( (E_\alpha) \) can be calculated using Eq. (5):

\[
\ln\left( \frac{\beta}{T_\alpha^2} \right) = -\frac{E_\alpha}{RT} + C_2
\]

where \( T_\alpha \) is a temperature corresponding to the certain crystallized fraction \( (\alpha) \) and \( C_2 \) is the constant. The volume of the crystallized fraction can be determined as the ratio of the part area before \( T_\alpha \) and total area of DSC peak. The \( \alpha \) in the function of temperature for the different heating rates determined for two analyzed DSC peaks are presented in the Figs. S2 and S3. The all curves have sigmoidal shape, which is associated with nucleation and growth of the crystalline phases. Moreover, the shape of curves changes with increasing of copper addition. This is related to fast nucleation process at lower temperatures and slow growth of grains. The same tendency can be observed for the second peak. According to the Eq. (5) local activation energy was calculated for different crystallized fraction in the range of 0.1–0.9 and presented in Fig. 3d and e. It can be noticed, that the obtained values of \( E_\alpha \) are different than these ones determined based on the Kissinger plots. The changes in the \( E_\alpha \) are related to the nucleation and growth of crystalline phases. Generally, the local activation energy increases at the start of the crystallization process and decreases with progressive crystallization. When the \( E_\alpha \) increases with increasing \( \alpha \) it can be related to the existence of many tiny areas of short-range ordered atoms, such as Cu clusters. Therefore formation of nucleus is much easier than their diffusion controlled growth. The typical behavior, in which local activation energy increases at the start of the crystallization process and decreases with progressive crystallization was observed for Cu0.25, Cu0.5 and Cu0.75 alloys. For the Cu0 and Cu1

| Alloy | \( T_{\text{onset}} \) [K] | \( T_x1 \) [K] | \( T_x2 \) [K] |
|-------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cu0   | 728.85         | 744.85       | 803.05       |
| Cu0.25| 711.65         | 740.85       | 803.05       |
| Cu0.75| 677.45         | 705.35       | 798.15       |
| Cu1   | 668.05         | 691.35       | 794.15       |
| Cu1.5 | n.a.           | n.a.         | 788.15       |

| Alloy | \( E_a(\text{onset}) \) [kJ/mol] | \( E_a(P1) \) [kJ/mol] | \( E_a(P2) \) [kJ/mol] |
|-------|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Cu0   | 151.6                           | 224.2                  | 398.8                  |
| Cu0.25| 210.1                           | 210.3                  | 419.7                  |
| Cu0.5 | 256.7                           | 265.6                  | 388.6                  |
| Cu0.75| 262.4                           | 272.0                  | 367.8                  |
| Cu1   | 239.3                           | 243.8                  | 336.6                  |
| Cu1.5 | n.a.                            | n.a.                   | 338.7                  |

Fig. 3. a)–c) Kissinger plots for all alloys determined for crystallization onset temperatures (a) and peak temperatures (b and c); d) local activation energy of crystallization of first phase and e) local activation energy of crystallization of second phase at different crystallization fraction (\( \alpha \)).
alloys increasing tendency can be observed. This can be related to absence of Cu clusters (for Cu0) or with very fast nucleation process under annealing (for Cu1). Slight addition of copper decreases local activation energy of crystallization process of Fe2B phase, especially in the nucleation stage. Moreover, with increasing Cu addition this energy decreases, which can be associated with reject of boron into amorphous matrix under crystallization of α-Fe and high $\Delta T$, in which reordering of this boron-rich matrix should occurs.

To describe the crystallization of α-Fe and Fe2B phases in isochronal annealing analysis of Avrami exponent ($n$) was performed. For the analyzed alloys average Avrami exponent was calculated according to the Augis and Bennett formula [23]:

\[
\text{Augis and Bennett formula:}
\]

| Alloy | $n_{P1}$ | $n_{P2}$ |
|-------|----------|----------|
| Cu0   | 2.4      | 5.7      |
| Cu0.25| 4.8      | 5.6      |
| Cu0.5 | 2.5      | 5.6      |
| Cu0.75| 2.2      | 3.8      |
| Cu1   | 1.9      | 6.1      |
| Cu1.5 | n.a.     | 3.7      |

**Table 4**
The Avrami exponent calculated for 10 K/min heating rate for first (P1) and second (P2) crystallization peaks.

| Alloy | $n_{P1}$ | $n_{P2}$ |
|-------|----------|----------|
| Cu0   | 2.4      | 5.7      |
| Cu0.25| 4.8      | 5.6      |
| Cu0.5 | 2.5      | 5.6      |
| Cu0.75| 2.2      | 3.8      |
| Cu1   | 1.9      | 6.1      |
| Cu1.5 | n.a.     | 3.7      |

**Table 5**
The values of coercivity ($H_c$), remanence ($B_r$), magnetic losses ($P_s$) and saturation magnetization ($B_{sat}$) at 1000 A/m determined for toroidal cores from alloys annealed in optimal condition.

| Alloy | Annealing temperature [K] | $H_c$ [A/m] | $B_{sat}$ [T] | $B_r$ [T] | $P_s$ [W/kg] |
|-------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| Cu0   | 658                       | 8.87        | 1.59          | 1.02      | 0.45         |
| Cu0.25| 638                       | 9.43        | 1.59          | 0.96      | 0.45         |
| Cu0.5 | 638                       | 9.48        | 1.59          | 0.95      | 0.45         |
| Cu0.75| 638                       | 9.1         | 1.54          | 0.75      | 0.43         |
| Cu1   | 638                       | 10.9        | 1.56          | 0.73      | 0.55         |
| Cu1.5 | n.a.                      |             |               |           |              |

**Fig. 4.** Influence of annealing of cores performed from amorphous ribbons on their magnetic properties and structure: hysteresis loops (a, c, e, g, i) and corresponding XRD patterns (b, d, f, h, j) recorded for Cu0 (a, b), Cu0.25 (c, d), Cu0.5 (e, f), Cu0.75 (g, h) and Cu1 (i, j) alloys.
where FWHM is the full width at half maximum of crystallization peak, \( T_x \) is the temperature of the maximum of crystallization peak and \( E_a \) is the activation energy determined based on the Kissinger equation. The calculated values of \( n \) for the heating rate equal to 10 K/min are listed in Table 4. It can be noticed, that only for Cu0.25 alloy crystallization of the first phase can be described by interface controlled growth with increasing nucleation rate, whereas for the other alloys by diffusion controlled growth with decreasing nucleation rate. The crystallization of the second phase can be described by interface controlled growth with increasing nucleation rate for all alloys. The results are consistent with the calculation of activation energy. The low and similar values of \( E_a(\text{onset}) \) and \( E_a(P1) \) for Cu0.25 are associated with existence of crystallites of α-Fe phase on the surface of ribbons, therefore the growth of other grains occurs on their surface. For the other alloys with copper at the first step of the crystallization many nucleus are formed on the Cu cluster and afterwards growth of the α-Fe phase occurs. For the Cu0 alloy the reordering of amorphous phase occurs and then nucleus are formed in amorphous matrix, therefore crystallization of this phase starts in higher temperatures. The crystallization of the Fe2B phase is more difficult, because the existence of α-Fe phase grains blocks the diffusion process and the growth of this phase is possible only on the α-Fe phase grain boundaries [24]. Therefore, the local activation energy of formation of new nucleus increases with increasing crystallization fraction up to \( \alpha = 0.4 \) and then decrease, which is associated with growth of this phase. Only for Cu0 alloy this energy decreases with increasing crystallization fraction and is very high at the start of crystallization process. It is very difficult to form nucleus of Fe2B phase, whereas their growth is much easier. This can easily explain why on the XRD patterns of annealed at 773 K alloys the Fe2B phase occurs for alloys with higher addition of Cu, whereas its absence was observed for Cu0 alloy.

To prepare soft magnetic materials isothermal annealing of amorphous, toroidal cores was performed in vacuum in different temperatures by 20 min. On the basis of analysis of hysteresis loops and XRD patterns the optimum parameters of heat treatment were chosen for all alloys with different Cu addition. The obtained results are presented in Fig. 4. It can be noticed, that the different temperatures for alloys with different Cu content must be used to obtain cores with low coercivity (\( H_c \)) and high saturation magnetization (\( B_{\text{max}} \)). The values of magnetic parameters such as coercivity, remanence (\( B_r \)), power core losses (\( P_f \)) and saturation magnetization at 1000 A/m for all cores are presented in
magnetic properties analysis of HRTEM images, SAED patterns, crystallites and the influence of heat treatment temperature on the required and growth of α-Fe cannot be easily controlled. Which is associated with growth of α-Fe crystallites (Fig. 5b, d, f, h and j). Interestingly, alloy without Cu is characterized also by good magnetic parameters, however high temperature of heat treatment is required and growth of α-Fe cannot be easily controlled.

For better understanding the formation process of the α-Fe nanocrystallites and the influence of heat treatment temperature on the magnetic properties analysis of HRTEM images, SAED patterns, Mössbauer spectra and XRD patterns of Cu0.5 alloy was performed. Obtained results are presented in Figs. 5 and 6. Presented in Fig. 6a XRD patterns confirm, that the annealing of amorphous alloy results in formation of local ordered regions in amorphous matrix for low heat treatment temperature. The usage of higher temperature (658 K) results in formation of much bigger crystallites. On the basis of performed analysis the schematic representation of this process was proposed (Fig. 5a–d). The HRTEM image (Fig. 5e) as well as SAED pattern (Fig. 5i) and Mössbauer spectrum (Fig. 5m) confirm, that the melt spun Cu0.5 alloy is fully amorphous. The disordered structure can be observed on the HRTEM image and broad diffraction rings on SAED pattern. Moreover, Mössbauer spectrum shows six broadened lines, characteristic for amorphous ferromagnetic alloys [25]. After annealing at 618 K by 20 min in amorphous matrix nanocrystallites are formed (Fig. 5f). These crystallites are associated with reorganization of amorphous structure and formation of α-Fe phase, which was confirmed by analysis of SAED pattern (Fig. 5j) and analysis of the crystallites structure (Fig. 6b and c). The distance between (1 1 0) lattice planes in α-Fe phase was identified and marked in Fig. 6b. The Mössbauer spectra of Cu0.5 alloy annealed at 618 K and 638 K confirm the reorganization of amorphous structure, which is associated with α-Fe phase crystallization. The values of B_HF presented in Table S1 are connected with Fe atoms surrounded mainly by boron and silicon atoms. The values of B_HF close to 24 T and 18 T are connected with iron which has about two and four, respectively, boron atoms as the nearest neighbors. It can be noticed, that the B_HF = 15.1 T disappears and others increase, which can be associated with crystallization of iron and silicon into α-Fe phase (Fig. 5n and o, Table S1). A small increase in the values of hyperfine magnetic field for these samples can be related to enhanced magnetic interaction caused by nanocrystallization process. Moreover, the broad lines confirms the segregation process. These results are confirmed by SAED pattern (Fig. 5k) and by analysis of HRTEM images (Figs. 5g and 6d, e) recorded for Cu0.5 alloy annealed at 638 K. The observed structure is responsible for good soft magnetic property of alloy. Figs. 5g and 6e presents, that the nanocrystallites of α-Fe phase are embedded in amorphous matrix. This composite structure results in the highest soft magnetic properties, which is associated with the obtained balance between positive and negative magnetostriiction [22]. The usage of higher temperature (658 K) results in the growth of nanocrystallites and formation of polycrystalline alloy with high coercivity (231 A/m) and high power core losses (9.6 W/kg). The Mössbauer spectrum of this alloy (Fig. 5p) confirms phase segregation. The observed lines are narrower, which is associated with formation of locally ordered atomic structure in the alloy. The formation of new phases, such as Fe-Co, Fe-B results in formation of areas rich in other chemical elements and hence lower B_HF (Table S1).

Table S1, whereas in Table 5 presented the same parameters for the materials with the best soft magnetic properties. As can be seen, with increasing Cu addition the lower annealing temperature is needed to obtain alloys with low coercivity. With increasing temperature of annealing the growth of α-Fe crystallites occurs and power core losses and coercivity increase. The best magnetic parameters can be obtained for materials in which coexistence of amorphous phase and nanocrystallites was confirmed (see XRD patterns in Fig. 4.). In the highest temperatures coercivity and power core losses increase significantly, which is associated with growth of α-Fe crystallites (Fig. 5b, d, f, h and j). Interestingly, alloy without Cu is characterized also by good magnetic parameters, however high temperature of heat treatment is required and growth of α-Fe cannot be easily controlled.

The crystallization process of Fe_{79.8-x}Co_xCu_Mo_0.2Si_4B_14 (x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2) amorphous alloys was described. It was confirmed, that the amorphous ribbons can be prepared from alloys with Cu addition below 2 at. % and flexible ribbons from alloys with Cu addition below 1 at.%. Therefore, it was possible to prepare toroidal cores from 5 alloys with Cu addition in the range of 0–1 at. %. The double stage crystallization of amorphous samples studied by DSC technique and X-ray diffraction method is associated with diffusion controlled growth with increasing nucleation rate of α-Fe phase and interface controlled growth with increasing nucleation rate of Fe2B phase. The crystallization of second phase is more difficult. This is associated with grains of nanocrystalline α-Fe phase, which block the diffusion process. Therefore Fe2B phase crystallize on the grain boundaries of α-Fe phase. It can be concluded, that the higher value of ΔT indicate the easier formation of Fe2B phase nucleus than their growth, which in turn can be related to the time needed for reorganization of remaining boron rich amorphous phase. The controlled nucleation and α-Fe phase growth allow to prepare soft magnetic
material with high saturation magnetization about 1.6 T, low coercivity (~9 A/m) and very low power core losses (about 0.45 W/kg). This is associated with formation of composite structure, in which nanometric α-Fe crystallites are distributed in boron rich amorphous matrix. However, the determination of the optimal parameters of heat treatment is very important to avoid uncontrolled growth, which manifests in increase of coercivity even to 288 A/m. On the other hand, too low temperature of heat treatment results only in the reorganization of amorphous matrix, formation of Cu clusters and precipitation of low amount of α-Fe nanocrystallites.
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