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Development of Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) Assays for Rapid Detection of *Ehrlichia ruminantium*

Ryo Nakao¹, Ellen Y Stromdahl², Joseph W Magona¹,³, Bonto Faburay⁴,¹¹, Boniface Namangala⁵, Imna Malele⁶, Noboru Inoue⁷, Dirk Geysen⁸, Kiichi Kajino¹, Frans Jongejan⁹,¹⁰, Chihiro Sugimoto¹*

Abstract

**Background:** The rickettsial bacterium *Ehrlichia ruminantium* is the causative agent of heartwater, a potential zoonotic disease of ruminants transmitted by ticks of the genus *Amblyomma*. The disease is distributed in nearly all of sub-Saharan Africa and some islands of the Caribbean, from where it threatens the American mainland. This report describes the development of two different loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays for sensitive and specific detection of *E. ruminantium*.

**Results:** Two sets of LAMP primers were designed from the pCS20 and *sodB* genes. The detection limits for each assay were 10 copies for pCS20 and 5 copies for *sodB*, which is at least 10 times higher than that of the conventional pCS20 PCR assay. DNA amplification was completed within 60 min. The assays detected 16 different isolates of *E. ruminantium* from geographically distinct countries as well as two attenuated vaccine isolates. No cross-reaction was observed with genetically related Rickettsiales, including zoonotic *Ehrlichia* species from the USA. LAMP detected more positive samples than conventional PCR but less than real-time PCR, when tested with field samples collected in sub-Saharan countries.

**Conclusions:** Due to its simplicity and specificity, LAMP has the potential for use in resource-poor settings and also for active screening of *E. ruminantium* in both heartwater-endemic areas and regions that are at risk of contracting the disease.

Background

The rickettsial bacterium *Ehrlichia ruminantium* is a causative agent of heartwater, the disease of ruminants transmitted by ticks of the genus *Amblyomma* [1]. Heartwater is not only responsible for high economic losses in endemic countries [2], but is also suggested to be a potential emerging zoonosis since the PCR and sequence detection of the pathogen’s presence in three fatal human cases although the cytological examination and bacterial isolation were not achieved [3,4]. The disease is established in nearly all countries of sub-Saharan Africa and some islands of the Caribbean, from where it threatens the American mainland [5]. In the USA, three *Ehrlichia* species, namely *E. canis*, *E. chaffeensis*, and *E. ewingii*, are known to exist [6-11]. Recently, Panola Mountain (PM) *Ehrlichia*, which is closely related to *E. ruminantium*, was discovered as a novel zoonotic *Ehrlichia* in the state of Georgia [12,13]. Active surveillance using a reliable method which can discriminate *E. ruminantium* from these other *Ehrlichia* species is an asset in preventing introduction of heartwater into the USA.

In heartwater endemic countries, conventional diagnosis is based upon clinical signs and microscopic examination of post-mortem brain smears. As a more reliable and sensitive diagnostic method, several PCR-based assays have been developed for the detection of *E. ruminantium*, including conventional PCR [14-16], nested PCR [17,18], and real-time PCR [19,20]. Among them, the pCS20 real-time PCR TaqMan probe assay provides the best sensitivity with a detection limit of one gene copy per reaction, which is 100 times higher than that...
of conventional pCS20 PCR [20]. However, this assay was reported to cross-react with both E. chaffeensis and E. canis [20]. Moreover, although this assay performs well in the sensitive detection and quantification of E. ruminantium, it is not readily transferable to low-technology settings where there is limited access to expensive fluorescence detector based thermocyclers.

Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay is a rapid DNA amplification method originally developed by Notomi et al. [21], and it has been applied for the detection of viral [22,23], bacterial [24,25], fungal [26], and parasitic agents [27,28], but it has never previously been applied to rickettsial agents. The method requires a specially designed primer set that recognizes at least six independent regions of the target gene, which increases the specificity as well as the rapidity of the reaction. LAMP results are visualized by turbidity that can be seen by the naked eye [29], and optionally by agarose gel electrophoresis or by addition of fluorescent dyes visualized under UV light [30,31]. Since the Bst DNA polymerase used in LAMP allows strand displacement-DNA synthesis, LAMP reactions are performed under isothermal conditions using a simple incubator, such as a water bath or heating block. Furthermore, LAMP reagents are relatively stable for a month, even when stored at 37°C, which is a warmer temperature than recommended by the manufacturer [32]. With these advantages, LAMP has the potential to be used even in clinical laboratories often poorly equipped, facing problems of constant electricity supply in tropical and sub-tropical countries where heartwater is endemic.

The purpose of the present study was to develop LAMP assays for the detection of E. ruminantium and to evaluate the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of these assays using a panel of bacterial DNA samples, quantitated plasmid standards, and field samples derived from both animal blood and ticks. The newly developed LAMP assays successfully detected E. ruminantium with rapidity, specificity, and high sensitivity.

Results
Optimization of LAMP
The reactions for both pCS20 and sodB LAMP were performed under isothermal conditions at a range of 58 to 66°C using plasmid DNA (10^6 copies per reaction) for 120 min, with monitoring of the turbidity. Although amplifications with the LAMP assays were observed at all temperatures tested, the reactions reached the threshold value (0.1) with the shortest incubation times at 61°C for pCS20 and 63°C for sodB (data not shown). No nonspecific amplification was detected for the negative cell culture until after at least 120 min incubation. Thus, subsequent LAMP reactions were conducted at these temperatures for 60 min.

Sensitivity of LAMP assays
The sensitivities of pCS20 and sodB LAMP assays are shown in Figure 1A, and 1B, respectively. A plot of the threshold time versus the log of the initial template copy number showed a linear regression, with statistically significant regression coefficients (R^2 = 0.9725 for pCS20 and 0.9473 for sodB LAMP). The detection limits for these assays, using a positive turbidity signal as the indicator, were 10 copies for pCS20 and 5 copies for sodB LAMP. Alternative detection methods included agarose gel electrophoresis of the LAMP products, which displayed the typical ladder-like pattern (Figure 1C and 1D, upper panels), as well as the detection of double stranded LAMP products using Gel-Red (Figure 1C and 1D, lower panels). With smaller amounts of DNA in triplicate assays, 5 copies of pCS20 was amplified once, with a threshold time of 48.3 min, and 1 copy of sodB was amplified twice with threshold times of 45.7 and 49.4 min.

Specificity of LAMP assays
The specificity of pCS20 and sodB LAMP assays was evaluated by using the genomic DNA of 18 known E. ruminantium isolates and five closely related species of Anaplasmataceae: E. canis, E. chaffeensis, Anaplasma centrale, A. marginale, and A. phagocytophilum. All isolates of E. ruminantium were positive in both LAMP assays, the pCS20 real-time PCR and the pCS20 PCR; whereas the pCS20 PCR was cross-reactive with both E. canis and E. chaffeensis (Table 1).

Inhibitory effect of DNA preparation purified from bovine blood or ticks
In order to access inhibitory effects of components present in field samples, mixtures of standard plasmid DNA and DNA extracts from bovine blood and Amblyomma variegatum were tested by the LAMP assays. When DNA extracts from bovine blood were added to the templates, both pCS20 and sodB LAMP could not detect 10 copies in two samples, which is in accordance with real-time PCR (Table 2). When DNA extracts from A. variegatum were added to the templates, both pCS20 and sodB LAMP failed in detecting 10 copies in all five samples, while real-time PCR could detect in four. The pCS20 PCR using the KAPA Blood PCR kit detected more positives than the pCS20 PCR using the AmpliTaq Gold PCR kit in the templates with 10^2 and 10^3 copies (Table 2).

Detection of E. ruminantium DNA in field samples
A total of 140 A. variegatum ticks were collected in 7 sites in Uganda and individually analyzed for the presence of E. ruminantium DNA. Out of 140 ticks, including 96 males and 44 females, 12 ticks (11 male
and 1 female) were found positive with both pCS20 LAMP and sodB LAMP. The pCS20 real-time PCR detected 13 positives, including the 12 LAMP-positive ticks and an additional tick from Dokolo, while pCS20 PCR could detect only 8 positives (Table 3). All the samples found positive with PCR were also positive with LAMP. The percent positive with LAMP (8.57%) was higher than with PCR (5.71%) but slightly lower than with real-time PCR (9.29%). Of the 150 bovine, 35 goat, and 19 lamb blood samples analyzed, two lamb samples were positive using PCR, real-time PCR, and LAMP (Table 3).

Cross-reactivity of LAMP with zoonotic *Ehrlichia* in the USA

LAMP assays were conducted with 17 *Amblyomma americanum* DNA samples from the USA that had previously tested positive for *E. chaffeensis*, *E. ewingii*, or PM *Ehrlichia* (Table 4). Both of the genetic clades of PM *Ehrlichia* that have been described were represented...
among these samples. All 17 samples tested negative using both LAMP assays (data not shown).

**Discussion**

This report describes the development of two *E. ruminantium*-specific LAMP assays based on the pCS20 and *sodB* genes. The pCS20 region was the first target used for the genetic detection of *E. ruminantium* [33]. Subsequently, Peter et al. developed a PCR assay targeting pCS20 region with primers AB128 and AB129 for sensitive and specific detection of *E. ruminantium* [14]. This assay was further evaluated for its reliability by the same authors [15] and has been widely used by many researchers [12,17,18,34]. Because primers AB128/129 could not amplify the divergent isolate of Kümm2, van Heerden et al. designed primers HH1F and HH2R in a

| Rickettsial bacteria     | Isolate     | Origin        | pCS20 PCR | pCS20 real-time PCR | pCS20 LAMP | sodB LAMP |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| *Ehrlichia ruminantium*  | Ball 3      | South Africa  | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Burkina Faso| Burkina Faso  | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Crystal Spr | Zimbabwe      | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Gardel      | Guadelope, Caribbean | + | + | + | + |
|                         | attenuated Gardel | Guadelope, Caribbean | + | + | + | + |
|                         | Ifé Nigeria | Nigeria       | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Kerr Seringe| Gambia        | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Kiswani     | Kenya         | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Kwanyanga   | South Africa  | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Lutale      | Zambia        | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Pokoase 471 | Ghana         | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Sankat 430  | Ghana         | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Sáo Tomé    | São Tomé and Principe | + | + | + | + |
|                         | Senegal     | Senegal       | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | attenuated Senegal | Senegal | + | + | + | + |
|                         | Umbanein    | Sudan         | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Welgevonden | South Africa  | +         | +                   | +          | +         |
|                         | Zeerust     | South Africa  | +         | +                   | +          | +         |

**Table 1 Specificities of pCS20 PCR, pCS20 real-time PCR, pCS20 LAMP, and sodB LAMP assays**

| Sample type                | No. of plasmid copies per reaction | Tested | pCS20 PCR positive | pCS20 real-time PCR positive | pCS20 LAMP positive | sodB LAMP positive |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| DNA extracts from bovine blood | 1                                  | 5      | 0 (0)†            | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 |
|                               | 10                                 | 5      | 0 (0)†            | 3                           | 3                   | 3                 |
|                               | 10²                                | 5      | 2 (0)†            | 5                           | 4                   | 5                 |
|                               | 10³                                | 5      | 5 (0)             | 5                           | 5                   | 5                 |
|                               | 10⁴                                | 5      | 5 (5)             | 5                           | 5                   | 5                 |
| DNA extracts from *Amblyomma variegatum* | 1                                  | 5      | 0 (0)             | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 |
|                               | 10                                 | 5      | 0 (0)             | 4                           | 0                   | 0                 |
|                               | 10²                                | 5      | 5 (0)             | 5                           | 5                   | 5                 |
|                               | 10³                                | 5      | 5 (3)             | 5                           | 5                   | 5                 |
|                               | 10⁴                                | 5      | 5 (5)             | 5                           | 5                   | 5                 |

†Total no. of samples positive by using the KAPA Blood PCR kit [Total no. of samples positive by using the AmpliTaq Gold PCR kit].
Table 3 Comparison of pCS20 PCR, pCS20 real-time PCR, pCS20 LAMP, and sodB LAMP for the detection of *E. ruminantium* in various field samples

| Sample type | Origin (Site/Country)   | Tested | pCS20 PCR\(^a\) positive | pCS20 real-time PCR positive | pCS20 LAMP positive | sodB LAMP positive |
|-------------|-------------------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Bovine blood | Butaleja/Uganda          | 50     | 0                         | ND\(^b\)                    | 0                   | 0                 |
|             | Petauke/Zambia           | 50     | 0                         | ND                          | 0                   | 0                 |
|             | Serengeti/Tanzania       | 50     | 0                         | ND                          | 0                   | 0                 |
| Goat blood  | Chama/Zambia             | 35     | 0                         | ND                          | 0                   | 0                 |
| Lamb's blood| Kerr Seringe/The Gambia  | 19     | 2                         | 2                           | 2                   | 2                 |
| Sheep blood | NA\(^d\)                | 4      | 4                         | 4                           | 4                   | 4                 |
| Tick; *Amblyomma variegatum* | Amuria/Uganda | 20 (15/5)\(^e\) | 2 (2/0) | 4 (4/0) | 4 (4/0) | 4 (4/0) |
|             | Butaleja/Uganda          | 20 (18/2) | 0 | 1 (1/0) | 1 (1/0) | 1 (1/0) |
|             | Dokolo/Uganda            | 20 (12/8) | 1 (1/0) | 2 (2/0) | 1 (1/0) | 1 (1/0) |
|             | Kaberamaido/Uganda       | 20 (14/6) | 0 | 1 (0/1) | 1 (0/1) | 1 (0/1) |
|             | Pallisa/Uganda           | 20 (10/10) | 2 (2/0) | 2 (2/0) | 2 (2/0) | 2 (2/0) |
|             | Sorotti/Uganda           | 20 (17/3) | 2 (2/0) | 2 (2/0) | 2 (2/0) | 2 (2/0) |
|             | Tororo/Uganda            | 20 (10/10) | 1 (1/0) | 1 (1/0) | 1 (1/0) | 1 (1/0) |
| Subtotal for tick samples |                    | 140 (96/44) | 8 (8/0) | 13 (12/1) | 12 (11/1) | 12 (11/1) |

\(^a\)PCR was performed using KAPA Blood PCR kit.
\(^b\)ND, not done.
\(^c\)Blood samples from sheep experimentally infected with *E. ruminantium* were used as positive controls.
\(^d\)NA, not applicable.
\(^e\)Total no. of ticks (No. of male ticks/No. of female ticks).

Table 4 Collection details for 17 *A. americanum* from the USA harboring DNA from *Ehrlichia* species

| Ehrlichia detected\(^a\) | MAP1 types\(^b\) | Co-infection with other *Ehrlichia* | Patient | Tick isolation site |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Panola Mountain *Ehrlichia* | Clade 2           |                                    | 22-year-old female | Kentucky      |
|                          | B180/PMtn         |                                    | 52-year-old male   | Maryland      |
|                          | B180/PMtn         |                                    | 25-year-old male   | Maryland      |
| Unknown                  | **Ehrlichia ewingii** |                                    | 50-year-old male   | Maryland      |
| Clade 2                  | **Ehrlichia chaffeensis** |                                    | 41-year-old male   | New Jersey    |
| PME + Clade 2            |                  |                                    | 46-year-old male   | New Jersey    |
| B180/PMtn                |                  |                                    | 41-year-old male   | New Jersey    |
| B180/PMtn                |                  |                                    | 31-year-old male   | New Jersey    |
| B180/PMtn                |                  |                                    | 46-year-old male   | New Jersey    |
| B180/PMtn                |                  |                                    | NR\(^c\)           | Oklahoma      |
| Unknown                  |                  |                                    | 25-year-old male   | Virginia      |
| **Ehrlichia chaffeensis** |                  |                                    | 29-year-old male   | Virginia      |
|                          |                  |                                    | 18-year-old female | South Carolina|
| **Ehrlichia ewingii**    |                  |                                    | Male\(^d\)         | Virginia      |
|                          |                  |                                    | Male               | Virginia      |
|                          |                  |                                    | 36-year-old male   | Virginia      |
|                          |                  |                                    | 34-year-old male   | Virginia      |

\(^a\)Ehrlichia species were detected by previously described assays [42,45].
\(^b\)MAP1 types: B180, Clade 2, PME, and PMtn, represents the phylogenetic clade based on the sequence of Major Antigenic Protein 1 (MAP1) gene [42].
\(^c\)NR, not recorded.
\(^d\)Age was not recorded.
highly conserved region of pCS20 [16]. However, the major drawback of latter assay was cross-reactivity with closely related bacteria such as E. canis and E. chaffeensis, which were not detected by former assay [14,15]. Although pCS20 real-time PCR was also reported to be cross-reactive with E. canis and E. chaffeensis [20], our study did not give the same results (Table 1). This inconsistency may be explained by the differences of sequence in pCS20 region between isolates as observed in E. ruminantium [16]. Thus, in this study, we have developed LAMP assays based on not only pCS20 but also sodB because of its high degree of conservation among isolates.

The pairwise sequence identities calculated for pCS20 showed that the lowest pairwise identity for pCS20 sequences was 83.95% (between Kümm1 and Kümm2 isolates), whereas that the lowest pairwise identity for the more conserved sodB gene was 99.00% (between Senegal and Kümm2 isolates) [35]. This implies that sodB might be a more suitable target than pCS20 for the genetic detection of this species. Compared to the sequence of Welgevonden isolate, the Kümm2 differs by 24 out of 187 bp in the region targeted by the pCS20 LAMP, while there is no sequence difference in the region targeted by sodB LAMP (Figure 2). Although both pCS20 and sodB LAMP detected all the E. ruminantium isolates tested in the present study, sodB LAMP is more likely to detect previously unknown, divergent isolates of E. ruminantium. Thus, we concluded that sodB LAMP is more suitable for detecting E. ruminantium and the diagnosis will be made more reliable in combination with pCS20 LAMP.

The detection limits of the pCS20 and sodB LAMP assays were 10 and 5 copies per reaction, respectively, which are at least 10-times more sensitive than that of conventional pCS20 PCR but slightly less sensitive than pCS20 real-time PCR [20]. According to the instructions for LAMP primer design software, the stability of primer end, especially 5’ end of F1c/B1c and 3’ end of F2/B2 as well as F3/B3, is one of the crucial factors for designing proper LAMP primers http://loopamp.eiken.co.jp/e/lamp/primer.html. When LAMP primers were designed for conserved pCS20 regions within isolates, only limited number of primer candidates were obtained initially (data not shown). Therefore, we had to change the optimal values of parameters in the software for further designing pCS20 LAMP primers. In fact, an index for stability of primer, the dG value of the 5’ end of the pCS20 B1c region (-3.69 kcal/mol), is above the value recommended by manufactures (< -4.00 kcal/mol), which may explain lower sensitivities of pCS20 LAMP than sodB LAMP.

As is documented in several reports [24,36], LAMP showed relative tolerance to PCR inhibitors in blood, which was comparable to pCS20 real-time PCR (Table 2). However, LAMP was clearly inhibited when DNA extracts from A. variegatum were included in the reaction (Table 2). It is known that Amblyomma tick tissue contains PCR-inhibitory elements which cannot be always removed during DNA purification [14,15]. Thus, LAMP is slightly less sensitive in the presence of such inhibitors in ticks compared to real-time PCR. However, considering that real-time PCR is time-consuming and requires a thermal cycler with real-time monitoring and data analysis systems, which is expensive and can be relatively complicated to use, LAMP has clear advantages over real-time PCR in terms of a practical system in a standard diagnostic laboratory, especially those in developing countries where the disease is prevalent.

In the present study, two sheep blood samples from a heartwater-endemic area tested positive by LAMP (Table 3). Domestic ruminants are known to occasionally harbor E. ruminantium without any clinical signs and to serve as reservoirs of the disease after recovery [37]. Previous reports demonstrated that PCR assays could detect the pathogen in the peripheral blood of clinically healthy animals in heartwater endemic areas [20,38], indicating that a DNA-based technique is useful even for the diagnosis of latent infection. Hence, LAMP is a powerful tool not only for the epidemiological study of heartwater but also for the rapid and sensitive diagnosis of infected animals in the disease-endemic areas.

The simplest way of detecting LAMP products is to inspect the white turbidity that results from magnesium pyrophosphate accumulation, as a by-product of the reaction, by naked eye [29]. However, a small amount of this white precipitate is not always distinguishable from other white precipitates, such as proteins or carbohydrates, derived from the templates. As an alternative method, this study employed a closed system, coupled with a double-stranded DNA (dsDNA)-binding dye, for low-cost detection of amplified DNA (Figure 1C and 1D, lower panels). The results obtained by this system were consistent with those obtained by gel electrophoresis (Figure 1C and 1D, upper panels). Since the detection can be accomplished in a closed system, without opening the reaction tubes, the risk of contamination is much lower than in gel electrophoresis or by adding dye at the end of the reaction. Theoretically, it should be possible to replace the Gel-Red TM dye we used with other dyes such as SYBR Green I [22,25,39], ethidium bromide, EvaGreen [30], and PicoGreen [40], which are reported to be useful for the detection of LAMP products.

As well documented by Burridge et al., heartwater may easily be introduced into the American mainland through the introduction of infected ticks or animals from heartwater endemic areas [5]. Once imported, it is
Figure 2 Nucleotide sequence alignment of the target regions of pCS20 (A) and sodB (B) genes. The locations of the primer recognition sites are indicated by arrows, together with the primer names. The blue, green and red arrows represent primers for the LAMP, conventional PCR, and real-time PCR, respectively.
likely that the disease could become established because of the presence of local potential tick vectors [5,41]. In order to prevent this pathogen from spreading into the USA, a screening test with high sensitivity and specificity is needed prior to animal importation. In this respect, the 17 DNA samples from *A. americanum* harboring DNA from *Ehrlichia* species that are enzootic to the USA were found to be negative in LAMP. Considering that the detection limits of the PCR assay used for the detection of *Ehrlichia* species in *A. americanum* were 10 copies per reaction [42], which is comparable to those of LAMP assays, these samples were LAMP-negative not because the DNA concentrations were below the detection levels but probably because there were no cross reactions due to sequence mismatches or deletions in the targeted regions.

**Conclusions**

The LAMP assays developed in this study allow rapid, sensitive, and specific detection of *E. ruminantium*. Although LAMP reactions were inhibited in the presence of extracts from blood and ticks, the diagnostic sensitivity of LAMP was higher than that of conventional PCR, when tested with field-collected ticks. Since LAMP requires minimal time and equipment to perform, this technique can potentially be used in resource-poor settings where heartwater is endemic. The lack of cross-reactivity with closely related *Ehrlichia* species enhances its utility for active screening in areas under threat of the introduction of the disease.

**Methods**

**Rickettsial bacteria**

*E. ruminantium* isolates used in this study were: Ball 3, Burkina Faso, Crystal Springs, Gardel, attenuated Gardel, Ifé Nigeria, Kerr Seringe, Kiswa, Kwanyanga, Lutale, Pokoase 471, Sankat 430, São Tomé, Senegal, attenuated Senegal, Um Banein, Welgevonden, and Zeerust. Attenuated isolates of Gardel and Senegal were obtained by serial passages in mammalian cells as previously described [43]. All were cultured in bovine aorta endothelial (BAE) cells as described previously [44] and subjected to DNA extraction. Cultures of closely related rickettsia, including *E. canis*, *E. chaffeensis*, *A. centrale*, *A. marginale*, and *A. phagocytophilum*, were also used for LAMP specificity testing.

**Field samples**

From July 2008 to January 2009, adult *A. variegatum* ticks were collected from indigenous cattle in seven districts in Uganda: Amuria, Butaleja, Dokolo, Kaberamaido, Pallisa, Soroti, and Tororo. Ticks were pooled and stored in sealed plastic bags containing silica gel until DNA extraction. Twenty ticks from each site were randomly selected, and a total of 140 (96 males and 44 females) samples were used in the present study. From July 2008 to May 2009, blood samples were collected from clinically healthy cattle or goats in four different sites in sub-Saharan countries. Bovine blood samples were collected in Butaleja district in Uganda, Serengeti district in Tanzania, and Petauke district in Zambia. Goat blood samples were obtained from Chama district in Zambia. The former two sites are endemic for East Coast fever caused by *Theileria parva*, and the latter are endemic for trypanosomiosis. These areas are habitats for *Amblyomma* ticks and lacked adequate tick control programs. In total, 150 bovine blood samples, 50 from each site, and 35 goat blood samples were used in the present study. In addition, this study employed DNA samples extracted from the blood of lambs at Kerr Seringe in the Gambia, where heartwater is endemic. Nineteen samples were randomly selected from those used in the previous study, some of which were positive by pCS20 nested PCR [17]. As positive controls, four blood samples obtained from two sheep experimentally infected with *E. ruminantium* Senegal isolate were used. Blood was collected from each sheep on days 14 and 16 post infections when the animals showed high fever. Research on samples from animals was conducted adhering to guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Utrecht University.

**DNA extraction**

DNAs from rickettsia-infected cell cultures were extracted using Nucleospin Tissue kits (Macherey-Nagel, Duren, Germany). *A. variegatum* ticks were washed with 70% ethanol and rinsed twice with distilled water. Tick samples were then homogenized by Micro Smash MS-100R (TOMY, Tokyo, Japan) for 2 min at 2,500 rpm, followed by DNA extraction with DNAzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). DNAs from blood were extracted using either the GenTLE kit (Takara, Shiga, Japan) or a DNA isolation kit for mammalian blood (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). All procedures were carried out as described by the manufacturers.

**LAMP primers**

Two sets of LAMP primers were designed for the pCS20 and *sodB* genes of *E. ruminantium*. The nucleotide sequence of the Welgevonden isolate of *E. ruminantium* was retrieved from GenBank [GenBank:CR767821] and aligned with the available sequences of other isolates to identify conserved regions, using CLUSTALW software version 1.83 (DNA Data Bank of Japan; http://clustalw.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/top-e.html). A potential target region was selected from the aligned sequences, and four primers, comprising two outer (F3 and B3) and two inner (FIP
and BIP) primers, were designed using LAMP primer software PrimerExplorer V4 (http://primerexplorer.jp/elamp4.0.0/index.html; Eiken Chemical Co., Japan). Loop primers (LF and LB) were designed manually. The designed primer sequences are shown in Table 5.

**LAMP reactions**

LAMP was carried out in a 25-μl volume reaction, consisting of 2.5-μl of 10× reaction buffer [200 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.8), 100 mM KCl, 100 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 1% Tween 20], 3.5-μl of 10 mM dNTPs, 4.0-μl 5 M betaine (Sigma, St Louis, MI), 1.5-μl 100 mM MgSO₄, 2.0-μl primer mixture (20 μM each of FIP, BIP, LF, and LB primers, and 2.5 μM each of F3 and B3 primers for the pCS20 LAMP; or 20 μM each of FIP, BIP, and LF primers, and 35 μM each of LB primers, and 2.5 μM each of F3 and B3 primers for the sodB LAMP), 9.5-μl DDW, 1.0-μl (8 U) Bst DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), and 1.0-μl template DNA. To find the optimal reaction temperatures for the two LAMP assays, the reaction mixtures were incubated for 120 min at 58 to 66°C in a Loopamp real-time turbidimeter (LA-200; Teramecs, Kyoto, Japan).

For the field samples, LAMP reactions were conducted in a heating block.

**Preparation of plasmid standard**

The pCS20 and sodB genes of *E. ruminantium* were amplified by PCR using the F3 and B3 primers of each LAMP primer set. PCR was carried out using high-fidelity KOD plus DNA polymerase (Toyobo, Tokyo, Japan) in 25-μl reaction mixture containing 1.0 μM each of primer, 200 μM dNTPs, 1.0 unit of KOD plus DNA polymerase, and genomic DNA from *E. ruminantium*, isolate Welgevonden. Amplification was performed for 25 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 55°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 2 min. The PCR products were poly-A tailed and then cloned into a pGEM-T vector (Promega, Madison, WI). Each plasmid clone was sequenced on an ABI Prism 3130 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with BigDye Terminator version 1.1 (Applied Biosystems), to confirm identity, and was used as the standard plasmid for determining the specificity of the respective LAMP assay. The concentrations of plasmid DNA were measured with a Quant-iT dsDNA BR and Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and the corresponding copy numbers were calculated.

**Assessment of LAMP inhibitors in DNA prepared from blood or ticks**

Five bovine blood samples and five individual *A. variegatum* ticks were obtained from heartwater free areas and verified negative for *E. ruminantium* by LAMP. Total DNA was extracted as described above. The concentrations of DNA were 0.40-16.56 ng/μl and 1.97-4.20 ng/μl for those extracted from bovine blood and *A. variegatum*, respectively. The standard plasmid was diluted with DNA solution prepared from bovine blood or *A. variegatum* to give final concentrations of 1, 10, 10², 10³, 10⁴ copies of plasmid DNA per microliter.

**LAMP sensitivity and specificity**

The sensitivity of each LAMP assay was assessed using each standard plasmid (10⁴, 10³, 10², 10, 5, and 1 copies/reaction) in a Loopamp real-time turbidimeter (Model & Maker). Readings were analyzed by LA-200 version 0.18 software (Teramecs, Kyoto, Japan), and positive real-time reactions were determined by taking into account the time taken for the turbidity value to increase above a predetermined threshold value of 0.1 [29]. To confirm that each LAMP amplified the correct target, the product was electrophoresed in a 2% agarose gel stained with Gel-Red TM (Biotium, Hayward, CA) or visualized under UV light, as described below.

**Table 5 Primer sets used for LAMP assays in the present study**

| Target gene | Primer type | Sequence (5’ to 3’) | Length | Amplicon size with F3+B3 |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| pCS20       | F3          | CTTGATGGAGGATTTAAAAC  | 21     | 161                     |
|             | B3          | GTAAGTTCATCAGTGAATGTC  | 24     |                         |
|             | FIP         | TTGCCCCATCTTGAAGTCAGTT- | 48     |                         |
|             | BIP         | TAAGGGATTCTCGACCAAGTT-ACCTCTACAGTAGAAACAAAAGGATTG  | 47     |                         |
|             | LF          | TGCATCCTGGGTGTTACCTCCA  | 23     |                         |
|             | LB          | AATAAACAAATCTGGCCCGATCA  | 24     |                         |
| sodB        | F3          | GCCCCCATTATTGAGTGTCAA  | 20     | 180                     |
|             | B3          | CGTAAACACACCATTTTTTG  | 21     |                         |
|             | FIP         | ACAGAAATCATGCTCTGCAAACATTGGAATTACATCTATGAAACGC  | 46     |                         |
|             | BIP         | ACGGCCTGTTAAAGCTACACATATGATTCCATACTTGAATTGACCG  | 46     |                         |
|             | LF          | AAGCATTATCCATAACCTTGATGAT  | 24     |                         |
|             | LB          | ATATGTTTAGCAAATGATCA  | 24     |                         |
LAMP specificity assays were conducted using 18 different isolates of *E. ruminantium*, isolates of 5 closely related rickettsial bacteria, and tick DNA samples positive for 3 different species of USA ehrlichiae (described below).

**Detection of LAMP products**

In addition to monitoring turbidity and gel electrophoresis, we used a common dsDNA-binding dye for the detection of LAMP products. One microliter of the dsDNA-dye mixture, consisting of 25% (v/v) glycerol and Gel-Red TM (1:50 dilution of a 10,000× stock solution), was put inside the lid of LAMP reaction tubes. To prevent dye mixture from dripping with vapor, the reaction mixture was overlaid with one drop of mineral oil. After the reaction terminated, the tubes were inverted several times, and LAMP products were visualized under UV light.

**pc250 PCR and pc250 real-time PCR assays**

To compare the specificity and sensitivity of the LAMP, conventional PCR and real-time PCR to amplify the pc250 gene was conducted using primers HH1F and HH2R [16], and CowF, CowR and Cow™ probe [20], respectively (Figure 2). PCR was performed with either the KAPA Blood PCR kit (Kapabiosystems, Boston, MA) or the AmpliTaq Gold PCR kit (Applied Biosystem). In order to reduce the effect of PCR inhibitors in the templates, the KAPA Blood PCR kit was used for the analysis of field samples. PCR products were electrophoresed in a 1.2% agarose gel stained with Gel-Red TM. The real-time PCR was performed with THUNDERBIRD qPCR Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) and analyzed on Stratagene Mx3000 QPCR System (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA).

**A. americanum** samples harbouring DNA from *Ehrlichia* species

This study employed 17 DNA samples from *A. americanum* ticks recovered from people in the USA between 2004 and 2006, in which zoonotic *Ehrlichia* (*E. ewingii*, *E. chaffeensis*, or PM *Ehrlichia*) were detected by conventional PCR for the P28 antigen gene (*E. ewingii*) or nested PCR based on the 16S rRNA gene (*E. chaffeensis*) or citrate synthase gene (PM *Ehrlichia*), as described elsewhere [42,45]. Collection details are shown in Table 4.
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