Clear cell odontogenic carcinoma of mandible: An unclarified entity
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INTRODUCTION

Occurrence of clear cell entities in the head-and-neck region is a rarity and among which clear cell odontogenic carcinoma (CCOC) can be considered an enigmatic odontogenic malignancy as there is little evidence on its pathogenesis and behavioral pattern. It is a malignant odontogenic tumor, histologically comprised of predominant clear cell morphology. In spite of having plethora of information from case studies, uncertainty remains with regard to its immunoprofile posing diagnostic dilemmas [Table 1]. Since its first description from 1985 till now, CCOC takes a challenging curve in the process of evolution.

CASE REPORT

A 72-year-old female patient presented with a chief complaint of pus discharge from the back region of the lower jaw since a month. Associated pain in the left side of the mandible and lower lip for 3 months was noted. It was insidious in onset, continuous, tingling in nature and radiating to the ear. The patient was a denture wearer for 30 years and gave a history of betel nut chewing habit occasionally. Medical history revealed that the patient was a diabetic and hypertensive and on medication for 10 years.

Intraoral examination revealed pus discharge from the posterior buccal mucosa [Figure 1]. Edentulous alveolar ridges and other mucosal surfaces appeared normal on inspection and palpation. No regional lymphadenopathy was observed, and routine blood investigations were within normal limits.

Cone-beam computed tomography revealed an ill-defined radiolucency measuring around 18.4 mm × 28.2 mm in...
the posterior mandible, extending up to coronoid process [Figure 2]. Bone destruction was seen along with the involvement of the inferior alveolar nerve.

Based on the history, clinical presentation and imaging findings, a provisional diagnosis of primary intraosseous squamous cell carcinoma was considered. Malignant odontogenic tumor, malignant salivary gland tumor and metastatic tumors were in the list of differentials.

Pathological evaluation of the incisional biopsy specimen showed predominant clear cell morphology along with islands, cords, pseudo-alveolar pattern and lobules of epithelial cells separated by dense hyalinised bands. Lobules containing uniform cells with clear cytoplasm and few cells with moderate eosinophilic cytoplasm with distinct cellular outline and hyperchromatic nuclei were seen [Figures 3 and 4]. Mild cellular pleomorphism with rare mitotic activity was noted. Intervening stroma was fibrous and hypercellular with focal giant-cell response.

Periodic acid–Schiff stain demonstrated focal positivity for glycogen and mucicarmine and Alcian blue stains were negative for intracellular mucin expression.

The diagnosis was suggestive of clear cell tumor, probably of odontogenic origin.

The patient underwent lower border sparing marginal resection. Osteotomy cuts were placed from sigmoid notch to the mandible body region, including the lesion. Marginal resection with coronoidectomy was done, inferior alveolar nerve was ligated and cut at the region of mandibular foramen and removed along with the lesion up till mental nerve.

Postoperative excisional specimen was looked for architectural pattern, cellular morphology, atypia, stromal features and invasion [Figures 5 and 6]. Abundant hyalinization around islands was observed. Focal islands demonstrating columnar differentiation with palisading

| Table 1: Clarified and unclarified facts in clear cell odontogenic carcinoma |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Clarified facts             | Unclarified facts           |
| Demographic data            | Pathogenesis                |
| Localization                | Behavior                    |
| Clear cell morphology       | Immunoprofile               |
| Malignant odontogenic tumor | Diagnosis by exclusion      |

Figure 1: Pus discharge from the posterior buccal mucosa

Figure 2: Ill-defined radiolucency in the posterior mandible

Figure 3: Lobules of clear cells separated by hyalinized stroma (H and E, ×10)

Figure 4: Clear cells with distinct cellular outline and hyperchromatic nuclei (H and E, ×40)
nuclei were noted, providing a clue toward odontogenic origin [Figure 7].

Immunohistochemical staining demonstrated immune reactivity for cytokeratin (CK-19) with the score 3+ in lesional cells and was nonimmunoreactive for calretinin with score 0 in lesional cells.

Final diagnosis of CCOC of mandible was established. The patient is free of recurrence after 1.5 years of follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

**Historical footnote**
It was first described by Hansen et al. in 1985.[1] It was included in the World Health Organization (WHO-1992) classification as a benign odontogenic tumor. Reichart and Philipsen in 2003 considered it as a carcinoma. In WHO-2005 classification, it was denoted as malignant tumor due to its high potential for regional spread and distant metastases.[2] Less than 100 cases are reported so far in the English literature.[3]

**Demography**
CCOC is a tumor of elderly with female predilection and mandibular localization. It is common in 5th–6th decade of life and with a female to male ratio of 2:1. It is three times more frequent in the mandible than maxilla[3] and 75% of the cases are reported in mandible.[4]

CCOC may not present with specific clinical signs and symptoms. It is a slow-growing tumor associated with pain, ulceration and loosening of teeth. In our case, the patient was edentulous and presented with pus discharge and pain in the lower lip which is a varied clinical presentation. Imaging studies reveal poorly defined radiolucency often with root resorption and soft-tissue invasion.[3]

Three basic histological patterns are elucidated: monophasic/biphasic/ameloblastomatous. Biphasic pattern is the most common pattern comprising clear cells admixed with cells containing eosinophilic cytoplasm. The monophasic pattern is comprised of only clear cells, while the ameloblastomatous pattern is least common and resembles the cellular morphology of ameloblastoma.[5]

Three main mechanisms explain the clear cell change in cells:[2,6]
1. Sparsity/loss of cell organelles  
   - Rough endoplasmic reticulum is reduced, its cisternae show vacuolization  
   - Secretory granules are decreased  
   - Predominance of immature granules with limited optical density  
   - Mitochondria are swollen, show vacuolar transformation.
2. Accumulation of intracytoplasmic substances (glycogen, mucin, lipids, tonofilaments and zymogen granules)  
3. Artifacts due to fixation and histologic techniques.

**Clear cell mimics**
In a tumor with clear cell morphology, it is very essential to broadly investigate the origin; whether it is odontogenic,
salivary origin, or metastatic in nature. The overlapping histopathological features seen in odontogenic and salivary origin clear cell tumors result in dilemmas in the diagnosis. Ellis and Elizabeth et al. favored location as a criterion and suggested that the central osseous destruction seen with CCOC is supportive of odontogenic origin.

**Immunoprotort**
 Although nonspecific, markers such as AE1/AE3, EMA (epithelial membrane antigen) and CK 8, 14, 18 and 19 expressions have been narrated in the study of CCOC.

**Treatment of CCOC** includes resection with a wide margin primarily. Other treatment modalities include curettage, enucleation, surgical resection with or without lymph node dissection and postoperative chemotherapy.

**Behavior and prognosis**
 Due to limited number of reported cases, definite assessment of prognostic factors in CCOC is precluded. However, lymph node metastasis, size, location and extent of the lesion may add on to the behavior and prognosis of the tumor.

**CONCLUSION**
 CCOC is a rare malignant odontogenic tumor with benign-looking histology. Considerable overlap may occur at microscopic and immunohistochemical levels between odontogenic and salivary clear cell entities. This is a first case of CCOC reported in a completely edentulous patient. CCOC stands out for two reasons: one, the diagnosis is by exclusion and second is its rarity. Although <100 cases are reported in the English literature, pathogenesis remains unclear. Diagnosis of the lesion is salient as it is a potentially aggressive tumor and is also capable of frequent recurrences and metastasis.
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