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ABSTRACT

We use hydrodynamic simulations to study the interaction of realistic active galactic nucleus (AGN) feedback mechanisms (accretion-disk winds & Compton heating) with a multi-phase interstellar medium (ISM). Our ISM model includes radiative cooling and explicit stellar feedback from multiple processes. We simulate radii $\sim 0.1-100$ pc around an isolated (non-merging) black hole. These are the scales where the accretion rate onto the black hole is determined and where AGN-powered winds and radiation couple to the ISM. Our primary results include: (1) The black hole accretion rate on these scales is determined by exchange of angular momentum between gas and stars in gravitational instabilities. This produces accretion rates of $\sim 0.03 - 1M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, sufficient to power a luminous AGN. (2) The disk in the galactic nucleus undergoes an initial burst of star formation followed by several Myrs where stellar feedback suppresses the star formation rate per dynamical time. (3) AGN winds injected at small radii with momentum fluxes $\sim L_{AGN}/c$ couple efficiently to the ISM and have a dramatic effect on the ISM properties in the central $\sim 100$ pc. AGN winds suppress the nuclear star formation rate by a factor of $\sim 10-30$ and the black hole accretion rate by a factor of $\sim 3-30$. They increase the total outflow rate from the galactic nucleus by a factor of $\sim 10$. The latter is broadly consistent with observational evidence for galaxy-scale atomic and molecular outflows driven by AGN rather than star formation. (4) In simulations that include AGN feedback, the predicted column density distribution towards the black hole is reasonably consistent with observations, whereas absent AGN feedback, the black hole is isotorpically obscured and there are not enough optically-thin sight lines to explain observed Type I AGN. A 'torus-like' geometry arises self-consistently because AGN feedback evacuates the gas in the polar regions.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The masses of super-massive black holes (BHs) correlate with various host galaxy bulge properties (Magorrian et al. 1998; Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Hopkins et al. 2007; A11); Aller & Richstone 2007; Teoli & Mancini 2009; Kormendy et al. 2011). The small scatter in these correlations (relative to other galaxy properties; Hopkins et al. 2009a), together with constraints indicating that most BH mass is assembled in an optically bright quasar phase (Soltan 1982; Salucci et al. 1999; Yu & Tremaine 2002; Hopkins et al. 2006b), has led to the development of models where large-scale effects of feedback from accretion self-regulate BH growth at a critical mass (Silk & Rees 1998; King 2003; Di Matteo et al. 2005; Murray et al. 2005). Gas inflows triggered by some process fuel rapid BH growth, until feedback begins to expel nearby gas and dust. This “blowout” results in a short-lived, bright optical quasar that, having expelled its fuel supply, fades and leaves a remnant on the observed BH-host correlations (Hopkins et al. 2005b,c). This general scenario has been able to explain many quasar observables, including luminosity functions, lifetimes, and BH mass functions (Hopkins et al. 2005b, 2006c, 2008b). Volonteri et al. 2006; Menci et al. 2005; Somerville et al. 2008; Lapi et al. 2006; Tortora et al. 2009). It has also been speculated that this feedback might ultimately have a large impact throughout the AGN host galaxy, expelling or heating gas and explaining the rapid quenching of star formation in massive galaxies (Granato et al. 2004; Scannapieco & Oh 2004; Croton et al. 2006; Hopkins et al. 2008a; Antonuccio-Delogu & Silk 2008).

High-velocity outflows can be driven from the BH accretion disk by a variety of physical processes including, e.g., radiation pressure on lines and dust, magnetic processes, or Compton heating (see e.g., Blandford & Payne 1982; Begelman 1985; Chang et al. 1987; Sanders et al. 1988; Konigl & Kartje 1994; Murray et al. 1995; Elvis 2000; Proga 2000; Proga & Silk 2005; Murray et al. 2005; Batcheldor et al. 2007; Tortora et al. 2009). These manifest themselves observationally as the broad emission line regions and broad absorption line quasars (e.g. Weymann et al. 1981; de Kool et al. 2001; Gabel et al. 2006; Ganguly et al. 2007), more moderate velocity outflows ($v \sim 10^2 - 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$) associated with the narrow line region and the “warm absorber” (Laor et al. 1997; Crenshaw et al. 2000; Steenbrugge et al. 2005; Krongold et al. 2007), as well as quasar absorption and occultation systems (e.g. McKernan & Yaqoob 1998; Turner et al. 2008; Miller et al. 2008). Ob-
servations on galaxy scales have also provided strong evidence for powerful molecular, atomic, and ionized outflows with velocities \( \sim 1 - 5 \times 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), outflow rates up to \( \sim 100 - 1000 \) times the BH accretion rate, and spatial extents of \( \sim 0.1 - 10 \) kpc (Tremonti et al. 2007; Prochaska & Hennessy 2009; Moe et al. 2009; Wild et al. 2009; Pichler et al. 2010; Humphrey et al. 2010; Dunn et al. 2010; Bautista et al. 2010; Perugio et al. 2010; Sturm et al. 2011; Rupke & Veilleux 2011; Coil et al. 2011; Greene et al. 2011, 2012; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2012; Borguet et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2010; Bautista et al. 2010; Feruglio et al. 2010; Sturm et al. 2011; Prochaska & Hennawi 2009; Moe et al. 2009; Wild et al. 2009; Pichler et al. 2010; Humphrey et al. 2010; Dunn et al. 2010; Bautista et al. 2010; Perugio et al. 2010; Sturm et al. 2011; Rupke & Veilleux 2011; Coil et al. 2011; Greene et al. 2011, 2012; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2012; Borguet et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2014). In some cases, however, it remains unclear to what extent these outflows are driven by AGN activity vs. star formation.

The physics of how AGN-powered outflows interact with the ISM and affect the fueling of the AGN itself – how inflow and outflow are governed on scales between the small-scale viscous accretion disk (\( \lesssim 0.1 \) pc) and the galaxy proper (\( \gtrsim 0.1 \) kpc) – remains highly uncertain. We believe that to model this interaction with some fidelity, it is critical to include both a realistic description of the physics of the ISM, star formation, and stellar feedback, as well as a plausible description of AGN feedback mechanisms.

Towards this end, in this paper we use a suite of numerical simulations to study the interaction of quasar-driven winds and a multi-phase ISM. In a series of papers (Hopkins et al. 2011, 2012c) (hereafter Papers I & II, respectively), we have developed a new set of numerical methods to explicitly model some of the key processes that shape the multi-phase ISM: the simulations include physically motivated, but still subgrid, treatments of stellar radiation pressure, HII photoionization and photoelectric heating, and the heating, momentum, and mass deposition by supernovae (SNe) and stellar winds. The feedback is tied to the young stars with energetics and time-dependence taken directly from stellar evolution models – this is particularly important in galactic nuclei, since the dynamical times become shorter than stellar evolution timescales. In a series of papers (Hopkins et al. 2014; Muratov et al. 2015; Olorbe et al. 2015; van de Voort et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2015b; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2015), we showed that, on galactic scales, these models produce a quasi-steady ISM in which molecular clouds form and disperse rapidly, with phase structure, turbulent velocity dispersions, and disk and GMC properties in reasonable agreement with observations. Here, we combine these models with models for AGN accretion and feedback via both Compton heating and high-velocity winds from the AGN accretion disk, and examine how various forms of AGN feedback affect black hole accretion, AGN obscuration, and the generation of galaxy-scale outflows. We focus on scales of \( \sim 0.1 - 100 \) pc, where the accretion rate onto the black hole is determined and where AGN-powered winds and radiation couple to the ISM. This is the first in a new series of papers so we highlight a few of the key results but leave more detailed studies for future work.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. \( \S2 \) summarizes our galaxy models and our treatment of radiative cooling, star formation and BH growth, and stellar and AGN feedback. \( \S3 \) summarizes the results of simulations with stellar feedback only, while \( \S4 \) compares these results to simulations that include AGN feedback. \( \S5 \) summarizes and discusses our key results. A series of Appendices contain key technical results. Appendix A describes our implementation of BH feedback. Appendix B summarizes the effects of including short timescale variability in the assumed BH accretion rate. Appendix C describes convergence tests and the effects of using alternate numerical methods. Appendix D shows that in-shock cooling does not compromise our results.

### 2 THE SIMULATIONS

The simulations were performed using the GIZMO code (Hopkins 2014) run in “P-SPH” mode. This is a heavily modified version of the parallel TreeSPH code GADGET-3 (Springel 2005), in a fully conservative formulation (Springel & Hernquist 2002), which is also density-independent in a manner that allows contact discontinuities and improved fluid mixing (Hopkins 2013) see Appendix C. The artificial viscosity, adaptive timestepping, and smoothing kernel are updated following Hopkins (2013). The galaxy models and the treatment of star formation and stellar feedback are described in detail in Paper I (Sec. 2 & Tables 1-3) and Paper II (Sec. 2). We briefly summarize the salient properties here.

#### 2.1 Initial Conditions

The initial conditions are a gas-rich nuclear disk in a massive galaxy, drawn from the large parameter survey of Hopkins & Quataert (2010a). We consider a BH (initial \( M_{\text{BH}} = 3 \times 10^8 M_{\odot} \)) in a Hernquist (1990) stellar bulge \( (M_{\text{bulge}} = 10^{10} M_{\odot}, \text{isotropic orbits and scale-length } a = 1.7 \text{kpc}) \) and halo \( (M_{\text{halo}} = 2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}, \text{with virial radius, concentration, and velocity appropriate at } z = 0) \). The BH is surrounded by an exponential nuclear disk of gas and stars (scale-lengths \( h_g = 25 \) pc and \( h_s = 10 \) pc, \( M_g = 8 \times 10^4 M_{\odot} \), and \( M_s = 2.6 \times 10^5 M_{\odot} \), respectively; stellar disk with vertical sech\(^2\) profile and dispersions such that \( Q = 1 \), gas disk initially thermally supported with \( h/R = 0.2 \)). The initial surface densities of the gas and stellar disk are thus \( \sim 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2} \approx 10 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \).

The initial gas disk contains \( \sim 0.6 \times 10^7 \) particles; the initial gas particle mass is \( \approx 20 M_{\odot} \). We consider a limited resolution comparison in Appendix C. The force softening for the BH, gas, and star particles is set to \( \epsilon = 0.02 \) pc, with minimum SPH smoothing length \( = 0.1 \) times this. We note that all simulations employ the more sophisticated formulation of artificial viscosity described in Morris & Monaghan (1997), which greatly reduces numerical dissipation away from shocks relative to earlier implementations (see e.g. Rosswog et al. 2000; Price 2008).

#### 2.2 Cooling, Star Formation, & Stellar Feedback

Gas follows an atomic cooling curve with additional fine-structure cooling to 10 K. Metal-line cooling is followed species-by-species.
for 11 tracked species as in [Wiersma et al. 2009a]. The enrichment for each species is followed with the time dependent metal flux directly attached to the mass, momentum and energy flux from stellar winds and SNe Types Ia & II (see [Hopkins et al. 2012b, 2013a]).

Star formation is allowed only in dense, molecular, self-gravitating regions above $n > 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$. We follow [Krumholz & Gnedin 2011] to calculate the molecular fraction $f_{\text{H}_2}$ in dense gas as a function of local column density and metallicity, and allow SF only from molecular gas. Gas which is locally self-gravitating, i.e. has $\alpha \equiv \delta v^2 \delta r / G m_{\text{gas}}(<\delta r) < 1$ on the smallest available scale ($\delta r$ being our force softening or smoothing length) forms stars at a rate $\dot{\rho}_* = \rho_{\text{crit}}/t_\star$ (i.e. 100% efficiency per free-fall time); non-self gravitating material does not form stars. As shown in [Hopkins et al. 2013a], this is especially important for small scales around black holes, where any simple constant-density threshold for star formation fails to account for the radially-dependent tidal forces.

When stars form, stellar feedback is included from a variety of mechanisms, as described in detail in [Hopkins et al. 2011, 2012b]. The end result of this stellar feedback is a multiphase ISM with a broad range of densities and temperatures.

### 2.3 Black Hole Growth & Feedback

The simulations all include super-massive BHs. The BH is much more massive than the stellar/gas particles, so we do not need to artificially “force” the BH particle to stay in the center of the potential, but let it move freely. We cannot, however, directly resolve the viscous accretion disk of the BH on scales $< 0.1$ pc. We therefore simply assume that the BH immediately accretes any gas particle gravitationally bound to it, with apocentric radius $< 2.8 \epsilon$ (the minimum Keplerian distance). The rate of particle accretion is capped at the Eddington limit.

The BH radiates at a luminosity $L = \epsilon_c \dot{M}_{\text{BH}} c^2$ ($\epsilon_c = 0.1$ is assumed). The explicit details of the BH feedback implementation are given in Appendix A; we briefly summarize them here. Since quasars are believed to have high-velocity, near-planar winds driven off the accretion disk (e.g., Murray et al. 1995), we assume that a fraction of the photon momentum drives a wind launched at the resolution scale around the BH from accreted gas. Specifically a fraction of any gas accreted is blown out as a wind with velocity $v_{\text{wind}}$, planar with the inflow (by launching particles directly at the accretion radius with this velocity). Two parameters define the wind, the mass-loading and velocity; this is equivalent to specifying the momentum-loading ($p_{\text{wind}} = \eta_p L/c$) and energy-loading ($E_{\text{wind}} = \eta_e L$) of the wind. Values for the simulation parameters are in Table 1.

---

1 We also describe in Appendix B a model which imposes a spectrum of sub-grid time variability in the accretion rates; however this has no significant effects on the time-averaged results here.

---

Figure 1. Morphology of the gas in a standard simulation, in face-on ($x,y$; left), side-on ($x,z$; middle), and cylindrical ($R,z$; right) projections. The time ($\approx 3$ Myr since the beginning of the simulation) is $\approx 150$ (8) orbital periods at 1 pc (10 pc). Brightness encodes projected gas density (increasing with density: logarithmically scaled with a $\approx 6$ dex stretch); color encodes gas temperature with blue material being $T \lesssim 1000 K$ molecular gas, pink $\sim 10^4 - 10^5 K$ warm ionized gas, and yellow $\gtrsim 10^6 K$ hot gas. Top: Simulation with stellar, but no AGN feedback (no_BAL in Table 1). A multiphase disk forms; it is mostly molecular inside the central $\sim 200$ pc, with heating by HI regions very localized to small ionized "bubbles" and heating by SNe restricted to low-density regions where it can vent vertically. The central $\sim 10$ pc develops a stellar+gas accretion disk dominated by $v = 1$ modes. Bottom: Same, with broad absorption line winds (v5000). The winds blow out a polar cavity and generate an expanding shell in-plane, with occasional dense clumps sinking through to the center. Feedback eventually evacuates the entire nuclear region.
We also include Compton heating & cooling from the radiation field. Following Sazonov et al. (2004), this can be approximated with a nearly obscuration-independent Compton temperature of $T_{\text{Compton}} \approx 2 \times 10^7 \text{K}$. We add the appropriate Compton rates to the standard cooling function (with a limiter following Faucher-Giguere & Quataert 2012) to account for rate-limiting by Coulomb collisions at the high temperatures that can obtain in strong shocks.

3 RESULTS WITH STELLAR FEEDBACK, BUT NO BLACK HOLE FEEDBACK

Fig. 1 (top row) shows the morphology of the high-resolution no AGN feedback run at a typical time after a few orbital periods, when the system has reached an approximate statistical steady state. Figure 2 shows the star formation rate as a function of time for simulations with and without AGN feedback (top panel) and two versions of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation describing the star formation law for these nuclear-scale simulations (bottom two panels). Note that in the simulation with only stellar feedback, there is an initial burst of star formation but after a few Myr, the star formation rate settles into an approximate steady state at $\dot{M}_\star \sim 1 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ within $\sim 1 \text{kpc}$. The image in Fig. 1 is shown in the latter phase. Within $<10 \text{pc}$, stellar feedback alone does clear most of the gas after a few Myr; this is recycled in a small-scale fountain on a similar timescale. The dynamics of these small-scale burst-quench cycles will be explored in more detail in future work (Torrey et al., in prep).

3.1 Black Hole Accretion

Fig. 1 shows that the gas disk exhibits strong non-linear $m = 1$ spiral wave and eccentric/topsized disk modes, which are visible in spite of the inhomogeneous structure of the ISM. Using simulations on similar spatial scales but with a much less realistic model of the ISM, Hopkins & Quataert (2010a) showed that non-linear $m = 1$ modes generated by stellar-gas interactions dominate the angular momentum transport in galactic nuclei at and inside the BH sphere of influence. We confirm their result here with a much more realistic ISM model.

Fig. 3 (top panel) plots the $m = 1$ mode amplitude versus radius for the simulations with and without AGN feedback. For the simulation without AGN feedback, the $m = 1$ mode amplitude found here is similar to that found in Hopkins & Quataert (2010a)’s simulations with gas fractions $\gtrsim 0.5$. This suggests that the mode excitation and saturation physics is at least broadly similar in spite of the more dynamic multi-phase ISM present in our simulations.

Fig. 4 shows the black hole accretion rate, the outflow rate from the galactic nucleus, and the total momentum flux in the outflow as a function of time. The simulations clearly find large inflows up to $\sim M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ to the central $<0.05 \text{pc}$. (Hopkins &)

---

Mode amplitudes are measured in the gas surface density as

$$|a_m(R, t)| = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \Sigma(R, \phi) \exp(i m \phi) \, d\phi}{\int_0^{2\pi} \Sigma(R, \phi) \, d\phi}$$  

(1)

---

The net inflow rate at radius $R$ is given by $M = \Delta R^{-1} \int \Delta M_{\text{gas}, \phi} \, d\phi$ in an annulus. The outflow rate is the same integral, but only over $dM_{\text{gas}}$ where $v_R > 0$. The rates are time-averaged in each annulus (which also removes the spurious radial velocity contribution from e.g. stationary modes). Because of finite bin-widths the inflow rate can change sign discretely from bin-to-bin.

---
Quataert (2011) derive an analytic approximation for the inflow rate through each annulus for inflows driven by strong gravitational torques and resonant angular momentum exchange between gas and stars. For modes with complex potential \( \Phi_0(R) \) and pattern speed \( \omega = \Omega_p + i \gamma \), this is:

\[
\dot{M} = \sum_{m=1}^\infty R^2 \frac{\Phi_0}{V^2} \left| \frac{m S(\omega, \Phi_0)}{1 + \partial \ln V / \partial \ln R} \right| F(\zeta)
\]

with \( S(\omega, \Phi_0) \) a phase function and \( F \) an order-unity amplitude correction derived in Hopkins & Quataert (2011), which can be measured directly in the simulations. For an \( m = 1 \) mode in a quasi-Keplerian potential, this is approximately \( \dot{M} \sim -|a| \Sigma_{\text{gas}} R^2 \Omega \) (with \( |a| \) the mode amplitude).

Fig. 5 compares equation 2 to the simulation inflow/outflow rates; the agreement is reasonable, particularly given that the analytic result was derived under the assumption of smooth (non-turbulent) gas flows. Fig. 5 also compares the inflow rate in our simulations to four alternative proposed accretion rate estimators, none of which does as good a job of reproducing the simulation results. (1) Bondi: \( M_{\text{Bondi}} \approx 4 \pi G^2 M_\odot^2 R \rho_{\text{gas}} c_s^{-1} \). This over-predicts the accretion rate by an enormous factor \( \sim 10^6 \) as most of the gas is cold and molecular, supported not by pressure but by angular momentum. (2) Modified Bondi-Hoyle: \( M_{\text{BH}} \approx 4 \pi G^2 M_\odot^2 \langle V_{\text{gas}}^2 - \langle V_{\text{gas}}^2 \rangle_{\text{th}} \rangle R^2 \rho_{\text{gas}} (c_s^2 + \langle V_{\text{gas}}^2 \rangle_{\text{th}})^{-3/2} \) (the rate used in Springel et al. 2005)

\[
\dot{M} = \frac{4 \pi G^2 M_\odot^2}{c_s^2 + \langle V_{\text{gas}}^2 \rangle_{\text{th}}}
\]

Hopkins et al. 2006a, 2005a; Hopkins & Hernquist 2009; Di Matteo et al. 2008; Croft et al. 2009. This allows for the potential beyond the BH as well as supersonic gas motion (so is dimensionally better than [1]), but given the low \( c_s \), this amounts to assuming all gas is in free-fall (neglects angular momentum) and over-predicts \( M \) by factors \( \sim 1000 \). (3) Ballistic Accretion: \( M_{\text{ball}} \approx 2 \pi \Sigma_{\text{gas}} R^2 \Omega \langle V / \sigma \rangle \exp \left( -9 V^2 / 16 \sigma^2 \right) \) (this corresponds to accretion of the randomly-populated low-angular momentum “tail” of highly turbulent flows from Hobbs et al. 2011; we generalize their formulae for accretion through each annulus). This disagrees with

\[
\dot{M} = \frac{4 \pi G^2 M_\odot^2}{c_s^2 + \langle V_{\text{gas}}^2 \rangle_{\text{th}}}
\]

\[
\dot{M} = \frac{4 \pi G^2 M_\odot^2}{c_s^2 + \langle V_{\text{gas}}^2 \rangle_{\text{th}}}
\]

\[
\dot{M} = \frac{4 \pi G^2 M_\odot^2}{c_s^2 + \langle V_{\text{gas}}^2 \rangle_{\text{th}}}
\]
the simulations as well; dimensionally it gives $M \propto M_\text{gas}(R)\Omega(R)$ but with a “reduction factor” $\approx (h/R)^{-1}\exp(-0.56[h/R]^{-2})$, which for $h/R \sim 0.1 - 0.3$ found here is very small, so that there is very little ballistic accretion. (4) Gravito-turbulent viscosity: $M_\text{vis} \approx 3\pi \alpha \sigma_\text{gas}^2 \Sigma_\text{gas} \Omega^{-1}$ where $\alpha \sim 0.005 - 0.05$ is the (cooling function-dependent) effective turbulent viscosity for a $Q = 1$ disk (Gammie 2001; Thompson et al. 2005; Debhi et al. 2010, 2011). This is dimensionally similar to the gravitational torques scaling but with free-fall slowed by a term $\propto (h/R)^2$ instead of $|v|$; over some radii the two are comparable but the former decreases rapidly inside the BH radius of influence (implying accretion would be “throttled”) while $|v|$ can remain order-unity all the way to the true accretion disk (see Tremaine 1995; Bacon et al. 2001; Hopkins & Quataert 2011, 2010b; Hopkins 2010).

The comparisons in this section are based on simulations without AGN feedback. In the presence of feedback, the net accretion rate onto the BH is determined by a competition between the inflow rate from large scales set by gravitational torques and the efficiency of AGN feedback at suppressing this inflow in the galactic nucleus. Our simulations explicitly resolve this competition and produce accretion rates a factor of $\sim 10$ lower than in simulations without AGN feedback (Fig. 3). For lower resolution galaxy-scale or cosmological simulations it is unclear what the best time averaged accretion rate estimator is to capture this competition between inflow by gravitational torques and AGN feedback; this merits further study in future work.

3.2 Star Formation and Vertical Disk Structure

Fig. 3(top panel) shows the vertical scale height of the gas disk as a function of radius. The disk is in vertical equilibrium but the dispersions are turbulent (much larger than thermal). As shown in Paper II on larger scales, stars form roughly until feedback can maintain Toomre $Q \approx 1$ ($h/R \sim M_\text{gas}(R)/M_\text{gas}(<R)$) and offset further collapse. At large radii this gives $h/R \sim 0.2 - 0.3$; at $r \sim 3 - 10$ pc this is $h/R \sim 0.1$ (dispersions $\sim 20 - 70\text{km s}^{-1}$). The cylindrical image in Figure 4 highlights the modest thickening of the disk at larger radii that is qualitatively analogous to that required in AGN “torus” obscuration models. As we describe in this effect is much more dramatic in simulations with AGN feedback because feedback efficiently evacuates the polar region of gas.

The bottom panels of Figure 3 shows our simulations in two common versions of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation. The star formation rate surface density and gas surface densities are averages within 10 pc and the rotation rate in the bottom panel is also measured at 10 pc. The observations in Figure 2 are best fits from Narayanan et al. (2012) based on a variable $\alpha$ factor. They are shown to provide a point of comparison, but include a range of galaxies, not just galactic nuclei. The time averaged star formation efficiency in Figure 3 is broadly consistent with observations. The efficiencies evolve significantly with time, however, with a relatively high star formation efficiency in the burst of star formation at early times followed by a more prolonged period of lower star formation efficiency. Perhaps most striking is that the star formation efficiency per dynamical time decreases by nearly a factor of $\sim 10$ during the course of the simulations without AGN feedback. Thus the decline in the star formation rate is not simply due to gas depletion but is also due to the decreasing star formation efficiency. Note that the duration of the simulation is comparable to the lifetime of massive stars. Thus the stellar feedback that is effective for most of the duration of the simulation is that due to stellar radiation and stellar winds, since supernovae only start after $\sim 3$ Myr and have not had significant time to operate. In addition, because the local dynamical time is short compared to the lifetimes of massive stars, the efficiency of stellar feedback depends primarily on the surface density of young stars, rather than the star formation rate. We explore the consequences of this for the “burstiness” of nuclear star formation and origins of the nuclear-scale Kennicutt-Schmidt relation in a companion paper (Torrey et al., in prep).
4 RESULTS WITH BLACK HOLE FEEDBACK

We now consider the results of simulations with AGN feedback, focusing on the fiducial v5000 run in which the AGN wind at small radii is injected with $\dot{p} = L/c$ and $\dot{E} = 0.008L$. Fig. 1 (bottom) shows the gas morphology at a few Myr in this simulation; there is a clear dramatic impact on the gas, with the central $\sim 30$ pc relative evacuated of gas by the 3 Myr time of these images.

The v5000 outflows are launched in the dense disk mid-plane. This drives an expanding shell in the disk plane, with gas piled up in a narrow ring/shell at the outer (radiative) shock where the winds are encountering the ISM. This is similar to Faucher-Giguere & Quataert (2012)’s models for galaxy-scale winds driven by AGN, though it is not clear if those models quantitatively apply because the hot shocked gas created by the AGN wind is not well-confined. Indeed, out of the midplane, the entrained mass is modest so outflows coast or are accelerated by hot gas pressure filling the growing central cavity in the disk.

The large impact of the AGN wind on the ambient gas has three closely related effects. First, it strongly suppresses the star formation in the galactic nucleus, by a factor of $\sim 10 - 30$ (Fig. 2). Secondly, it increases the net outflow rate from the galactic nucleus by a factor of $\sim 10 - 30$, to $\dot{M}_c \sim 10^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). Finally, on longer timescales the BH feedback roughly regulates the BH accretion rate.

Specifically, the feedback momentum flux scales as $\dot{p} = \eta_p L/c$; balancing infall with feedback therefore implies a critical value of $\dot{p}$, so in equilibrium ($L \sim \eta_p^{-1}$). This scaling provides a reasonable approximation over a sufficient time average (Fig. 4), but the evacuation of the central regions clearly leads to very large-amplitude variability on $\sim 10^{-5}$ yr timescales.

It is useful to directly compare the momentum flux in the galaxy scale winds (Fig. 5) with those injected at small radii in the AGN wind. These need not be the same if AGN feedback produces a bubble of hot gas that does work on the surrounding material, increasing the momentum flux in the wind (e.g., Faucher-Giguere & Quataert 2012). For low input wind velocities the momentum fluxes in Fig. 5 are comparable to that injected in the AGN wind at small radii, while for higher input wind velocities (in particular, the v30000 simulation), there is a factor of few boost in the AGN wind momentum flux. The modest boosts found here are because gas shocked heated by the AGN wind is able to escape relatively easily along the polar direction. In a more self-consistent calculation, it is possible that the existence of large warps between the disk axis on small scales and that on large scales (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2012a) might act to better confine the outflow.

Fig. 6 plots the column density distribution (both averaged over time and in various time intervals) for each of our simulations, both with and without AGN feedback. The model without AGN feedback predicts virtually no systems with column densities below $10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, in stark contrast to observations. Even though the ISM is highly inhomogeneous on larger scales, a small, dense thick-disk or “halo” component surrounding the BH in the central $\sim 0.1$ pc is sufficient to produce these extremely high column densities even in the polar direction. The BAL winds have, however, an enormous impact on the column density distribution. This is not surprising given their impact on the nuclear gas morphology. The polar regions are completely evacuated, giving a large fraction of sightlines that are fully un-obscured. The remaining sightlines follow a broad column density distribution, driven in part by the fragmentation and asymmetries seen in the expanding equatorial shells. The evacuation of the central regions out to some radius where $h/R \sim 0.1 - 0.3$ gives a canonical “torus-like” global morphology. This is particularly clear in the cylindrical image shown in the lower right panel of Figure 1.

5 DISCUSSION

We have used simulations with $< 0.1$ pc resolution to study BH accretion and feedback in gas-rich nuclear disks around massive BHs accreting at quasar-like luminosities. Our calculations include an explicit treatment of star formation and stellar feedback, which produce a self-consistently inhomogeneous ISM. We model AGN feedback via Compton heating/cooling and high-speed accretion disk winds injected at small radii.

5.1 The Role of Stellar Feedback

Absent AGN feedback the properties of the gas disk inside $\sim 100$ pc are as follows. Gas cools efficiently and collapses in a mini-starburst until sufficient young stars are formed to maintain $Q \sim 1$ (mostlly via radiation pressure-driven turbulence), leading to dispersions $\sim 20 - 100$ km s$^{-1}$ in a cold nuclear molecular disk. As in previous simulations which adopt highly simplified sub-grid models of the ISM (Hopkins & Quataert 2010a), the disk develops large-amplitude $m = 1$ modes in gas and stars, and resonant angular momentum transfer between gas and stellar disks drives rapid inflow of gas, with accretion rates of $\sim 0.1 - 1 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ at $< 0.1$ pc. This agrees well with the analytic predictions (Hopkins & Quataert 2011) for “gravitational torque”-driven accretion. In contrast, the Bondi-Hoyle, viscous, or ballistic accretion rate estimators fail to capture the simulation results and are not appropriate for the regimes simulated here, in which much of the gas resides in a rotationally supported (albeit geometrically quite thick) disk (Fig. 3).

Stellar feedback does operate somewhat differently in galactic nuclei, as opposed to larger galactic radii, because the local dynamical time $\Omega^{-1}$ is $\lesssim$ Myr. Young massive stars are sheared into an un-clustered mass distribution (e.g. executing hundreds of orbits at $\sim 1$ pc) before they explode. Rather than local, Jeans-scale clouds evolving independently, we should think of the disk as a coherently evolving, disky “star cluster” (see e.g. Thompson et al. 2005). On longer timescales, this may lead to episodic “burst-quench” cycles on small scales, which we will study in future work (Torrey et al., in prep).

5.2 The Role of AGN Feedback

Our calculations demonstrate that high-velocity winds from the central $\lesssim 0.1$ pc with momentum fluxes $\sim L/c$ suggested by observations (e.g., Ciccone et al. 2014) have a dramatic effect on the circum-BH ISM. In particular, such winds can evacuate gas from the circum-BH disk (see Fig. 1). This suppresses the star formation rate and black hole accretion rate in the galactic nucleus by a factor of $\sim 10$ and enhances the gas outflow rate at $\sim 100$ pc by a comparable factor (Figs. 2 & 4). Our simulations thus provide support for models in which luminous AGN significantly disrupt the ISM of their host galaxies, at least on scales $\lesssim 1$00 pc. Our simulations also specifically support the hypothesis that luminous AGN may play a key role in driving galaxy-scale outflows from gas-rich galactic nuclei.

In the plane of the circum-BH disk, the AGN winds decelerate as material is entrained into expanding rings/shells. In the polar direction, however, the galaxy-scale outflows powered by the AGN retain high velocities ($\sim 5 - 30 \times 10^5$ km s$^{-1}$) as they reach kpc scales; although not isotropic, the opening angle for the high-velocity outflow is large ($\gtrsim 2/3$ of the sky). This is dictated largely by the geometry of the surrounding ISM, rather than the AGN wind at small radii. In particular, simulations with isotropically directed
AGN winds on small scales give similar results to our default calculations that utilize primarily planar winds (this highlights that once the AGN wind shocks the gas follows the “path of least resistance” in the polar direction independent of exactly how the wind is initially directed). Understanding whether the outflows we find will be confined or halted by the galactic ISM or will continue to escape out of the galaxy will require galaxy-scale simulations. It is important to stress that the present calculations are not well-suited for addressing this question because our idealized initial conditions do not have, e.g., a gaseous halo or the nuclear warps/mis-alignments seen in both simulations and observations of galactic nuclei.

In our calculations, AGN-driven outflows also have a dramatic impact on obscuration of the AGN itself. AGN winds evacuate the polar region to obtain a fully un-obscured view of the BH. AGN winds thus self-consistently produce a torus-like morphology (see, in particular, the lower right panel of Fig 1). Quantitatively, we find a broad column density distribution from ~ $10^{22} - 10^{26}$ cm$^{-2}$, in reasonable agreement with observations (e.g. Malizia et al. 2009, Treister et al. 2009, Risaliti et al. 1999, Burlon et al. 2011, and references therein). The inhomogeneous nature of the ISM also inevitably introduces large (~ 1 dex) variation in obscuring columns even at roughly fixed polar angle – similar to observational suggestions of “clumpy” torii (Risaliti et al. 2002, Mason et al. 2006, Sánchez et al. 2006, Nenkova et al. 2008, Ramos Almeida et al. 2009, Hönig & Kishimoto 2010, Deo et al. 2011).

### 5.3 Future Work: Other Scales & Forms of Feedback

These simulations are a first exploration of the interaction between AGN and stellar feedback on scales between the BH accretion disk and the galaxy ISM as a whole. We focused on these scales because they are relatively unexplored and yet critical for understanding BH growth and the impact of AGN winds and radiation on the ISM. It is, however, also clearly important to extend our models to cover a broader range of spatial scales. On smaller scales, understanding the origin of AGN winds and radiation and their “escape” from the accretion disk is critical for setting the magnitude and geometry of AGN feedback on pc scales. On galactic scales, we need to understand how the outflows found here interact with the galaxy ISM on long timescales: in particular how this changes galaxy star formation histories and regulates future episodes of BH inflow. This is necessary to determine the effects of feedback on BH-host galaxy correlations. Also, many observations of galaxy-scale, AGN-driven winds suggest large momentum-loading in the winds, with $\rho v > 10 L/c$ (see Sturm et al. 2011, Faucher-Giguere & Quataert 2012, Cicone et al. 2014). It will be particularly interesting to see whether these observations are consistent with a model in which this large momentum flux is generated on accretion disk scales (our $v5000$ _hiP_ model), e.g., by super-Eddington accretion, or whether they require additional large-scale effects such as confinement (and buildup of a pressure-driven bubble) of radiation or hot shocked gas in the galaxies ISM. This could, e.g., be produced by misalignment between the nuclear scale disk and the galaxy ISM as a whole.

The two AGN feedback mechanisms we have studied here (fast AGN winds and Compton heating), are by no means exhaustive. In future work, we will extend this to include radiation pressure on both narrow lines and dust, photo-heating, and the effects of relativistic jets, all of which can act directly on gas both on the scales we model here but also on much larger scales up to $\gtrsim 100$ kpc. We will also study the effects of different initial conditions (e.g. gas fraction and disk-to-BH mass ratio).
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APPENDIX A: BLACK HOLE FEEDBACK IMPLEMENTATION

A1 Broad Absorption Line Quasar Winds

Bright quasars often have BAL winds with velocities of $\sim 1000 - 30000 \text{km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. We model these in the most direct manner possible: when a gas particle is accreted, a fraction $f_{\text{acc}}$ is actually assumed to accrete on the BH while the remaining $1 - f_{\text{acc}}$ is blown out as a BAL wind with velocity $v_{\text{BAL}}$. There is both observational (Schmidt & Hines 1999; Ogle et al. 1999) and theoretical (Murray et al. 1995) evidence that BAL winds are approximately planar (in or slightly out of the accretion disk plane). Assuming that the angular momentum vector of the small-scale accretion disk is correlated with that of the sub-pc accreting material, then this corresponds to directing $v_{\text{BAL}}$ along the radial vector $\mathbf{R} \equiv \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{\text{BH}}$ from the BH.

On an accretion event we therefore take (for the accreted gas particle) $m_{\text{acc}} \rightarrow (1 - f_{\text{acc}}) m_{\text{acc}}$, apply the “kick” $v_{\text{kick}} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{BAL}} R$, and hold $u_{\text{r}}$ (internal energy per unit mass) constant. In model $\text{v5000 iso}$ we instead assign the wind direction randomly. Previous work has shown that randomly directed winds yield results similar to planar winds, but require somewhat larger wind momentum fluxes to achieve the same feedback on the ambient gas (Debuhr et al. 2012).

Two parameters must be chosen: the initial outflow mass loading $\beta \equiv m_{\text{BAL}} / m_{\text{BH}} = (1 - f_{\text{acc}}) / f_{\text{acc}}$ and velocity $v_{\text{BAL}}$; observations and theoretical models suggest values of order $\beta \sim 1$, $v_{\text{BAL}} \sim 10^3 \text{km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$.

Equivalently, we can translate these parameters into the wind momentum and energy-loading. Since BAL winds are believed to be driven by line radiation pressure in the accretion disk, the available momentum flux is $\dot{P} = L / c$, where $L = c \epsilon L c^2$ is the luminosity (with $\epsilon_c \approx 0.1$ the radiative efficiency). The “initial” wind momentum and energy are $L_{\text{BAL, initial}}$ and $0.5 L_{\text{BAL, initial}}$, respectively; thus the energy and momentum-loading are

$$\eta_p \equiv \frac{P_{\text{BAL}}}{L} = \beta \left( \frac{v_{\text{BAL}}}{c} \right) \approx \beta \left( \frac{v_{\text{BAL}}}{30,000 \text{km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}} \right) \quad (A1)$$

$$\eta_e \equiv \frac{E_{\text{BAL}}}{L} = \frac{\epsilon_c \eta_p}{2} \approx 0.05 \beta \left( \frac{v_{\text{BAL}}}{30,000 \text{km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \quad (A2)$$

Note for $\eta_p = \beta = 1$, we recover the canonical $\eta_p \approx 0.05$ adopted in previous simulations with purely thermal AGN feedback (e.g. Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins et al. 2005).

A2 Compton Heating/Cooling

The radiation field of the BH will also Compton heat/cool gas in its vicinity. As discussed in Sazonov et al. (2004, 2005), this effect is nearly independent of obscuration: Compton heating is entirely dominated by photons with energies $\gg 10 \text{keV}$ (for which we can usually safely ignore obscuration) and Compton cooling by the bolometric luminosity in lower-energy photons (re-distributed, but not, in integral, altered by obscuration). As such even Compton-thick columns result in factor $2$ changes in the heating/cooling rates. We therefore neglect obscuration and assume the radiation field is isotropic, so that the X-ray/bolometric flux from the AGN on all particles is given by $F_X \approx L_X / 4\pi R_{\text{BH}}^2$, with Compton temperature $\approx 2 \times 10^7 \text{K}$ as calculated in Sazonov et al. (2004) for a broad range of observed QSO SED shapes. In the cooling function, we

$$\eta_{\text{compton}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{cool}}}{\eta_{\text{heat}}}$$
add the appropriate Compton heating and cooling terms. Although Compton cooling depends explicitly on the free electron fraction, for the photon energies dominating heating (much greater than the ionization energy of hydrogen), we can safely approximate Compton heating of bound electrons as identical to free electrons (see e.g. Basko et al. 1974; Sunyaev & Churazov 1996).

Finally, as shown in Faucher-Giguère & Quataert (2012), some care is needed at the highest temperatures: if the timescale for Coulomb collisions to transfer energy from ions to electrons is longer than the Compton or free-free cooling time of the electrons, this is the rate-limiting process and a two-temperature plasma develops. We therefore do not allow the Compton+free-free cooling rate to exceed the Coulomb energy transfer rate between ions and electrons calculated for an ion temperature $T$ in the limit where the electrons are efficiently cooling $T_e \ll T$ (see Spitzer 1962; Narayan & Yi 1995). It is important to note that AGN wind-shocked electrons are generally non-relativistic: either immediately post-shock (where most energy is in protons, with electron temperature $T_e \sim T_p(m_e/m_p)^{1/2} \sim 1.3 \times 10^6 K (v_{\text{shock}}/30,000 \text{km s}^{-1})^2$), or in later stages when competition between Compton cooling and Coulomb heating regulates the temperature.

**APPENDIX B: VARIABILITY ON UN-RESOLVED TIMESCALES**

We are able in these simulations to follow inflows to sub-pc scales. However, there are still several orders of magnitude between these scales and the BH event horizon, spanned by the Shakura-Sunyaev accretion ($\alpha$) disk. Empirically, AGN exhibit variability on all observed timescales, corresponding to these unresolved spatial scales. Although we cannot resolve these scales, we can make a crude estimate of the effects of this variability by including a sub-grid power-spectrum of luminosity fluctuations and integrating over this to obtain the (modified) momentum flux in every resolved simulation timestep. We quantitatively implement this following the prescription in Hopkins & Quataert (2011), integrating over a power spectrum with equal logarithmic power per logarithmic time interval, from the minimum resolved timestep down to the orbital time at the innermost stable circular orbit for a non-rotating BH. Performing such an experiment, we find almost no effect on our conclusions. Given the resolved dynamic range in the simulations, this additional variability occurs on extremely small timescales compared to the dynamical times of the outflow – the timescale over which feedback determines the equilibrium accretion rate. As such, other than adding the chosen random variance to the lightcurve on small timescales, this introduces (relatively) little dynamical effect.

**APPENDIX C: NUMERICAL TESTS**

We now consider some tests of the robustness of the numerical methods used here. Figs. B1-B2 repeat Figs. 3-4, but with varied numerical prescriptions. Our default simulations use the “pressure-entropy” SPH formulation described in Hopkins (2013), which is shown there to give dramatically improved results on in situations with fluid mixing around contact discontinuities (e.g. the Kelvin-Helmholtz and Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities) while retaining excellent conservation properties, and includes a number of additional improvements to the treatment of artificial viscosity (see Cullen & Dehnen 2010), SPH smoothing kernel accuracy (Dehnen & Aly 2012), and timestep communication relevant for treating extremely high Mach-number shocks (Saitoh & Makino 2009; Durier & Dalla Vecchia 2012).

To test whether these subtleties may be strongly influencing our results, we re-run our standard v5000 simulation instead using a “density-entropy” SPH formulation, as in Springel & Hernquist (2002) (the “standard” GADGET formulation of the SPH equations-of-motion). This produces a “surface tension” term at contact discontinuities that suppresses some fluid mixing instabilities, which has been the subject of much discussion in the literature (see e.g. Agerz et al. 2007; Read & Hayfield 2012 and references therein). We also re-run the simulations with the pressure-entropy formulation, but adopting the much simpler and more numerically dissipative constant form of artificial viscosity from Gingold & Monaghan (1983) (which can significantly alter the behavior in sub-sonic turbulence; see Price 2012), and a greatly reduced-accuracy SPH smoothing kernel (a 32-neighbor cubic spline, as opposed to our standard $v_5$)

To ensure the simulations are otherwise exactly identical, we have had to re-run the v5000 simulation in the “pressure-entropy” case with a number of small modifications to the algorithm, and on an identical node configuration with pre-set values for certain random number calls. This is done for all tests in this section. For convenience we run the test cases at 1/8 the particle number in the text.

---

6 To ensure the simulations are otherwise exactly identical, we have had to re-run the v5000 simulation in the “pressure-entropy” case with a number of small modifications to the algorithm, and on an identical node configuration with pre-set values for certain random number calls. This is done for all tests in this section. For convenience we run the test cases at 1/8 the particle number in the text.
128-neighbor quintic spline). Together these variations produce the range of numerical effects which span the major SPH-grid code differences often discussed in the literature (see references above and Price & Federrath 2010; Bauer & Springel 2012; Sijacki et al. 2012). We also run a standard resolution test, increasing the number of particles by a factor of 10.

We see very little difference in the results in Figs. B1, B2. Likewise there is relatively little difference in the column density distributions and gas morphologies. There are some slight differences in the phase diagrams, which correspond to the degree of fluid mixing along phase boundaries (the quantity most affected by these differences), but it is mostly at low temperatures where it does not have significant dynamical effects. This probably relates to the fact that in the numerical comparison studies discussed above, it is generally well-established that different methods agree well in the regimes of super-sonic turbulence and/or systems with dominant external forces. Moreover all of these changes preserve good energy and linear and angular momentum conservation, so to the extent that the outflow is primarily a simple momentum or energy-conserving “piston,” and the steady-state stellar feedback is the result of momentum input balancing runaway collapse (see Hopkins et al. 2011, 2012c), our conclusions should be robust.

APPENDIX D: RESOLVING IN-SHOCK COOLING: NUMERICAL & RESOLUTION REQUIREMENTS

The SPH hydro solver employed in this work captures the jump conditions associated with shocks over a finite width of order the kernel softening length. Gas particles passing through a numerically broadened shock can radiate away energy through traditional cooling channels. The post-shock gas temperature can therefore be numerically reduced via this “in-shock cooling” effect when shocks are broadened (e.g., Hutchings & Thomas 2000; Creasey et al. 2011). In-shock cooling can become significant when the cooling timescale for gas moving through the shock is comparable to the resolution-dependent shock crossing timescale. For the case of BAL winds with input velocities of $\sim 10^4$ km s$^{-1}$, the post-shock gas is expected to be heated to of order $T \sim 10^9$ K where it will cool inefficiently owing to two-temperature plasma effects (Faucher-Giguere & Quataert 2012). In the absence of efficient cooling channels for the post-shock gas, the outflows will remain energy conserving, efficiently driving outflows via PdV work on the ambient ISM. As a result, any significant amount of in-shock cooling can impact the post-shock gas temperature, and thus numerically modulate the quasar feedback efficiency studied in this paper. In this section, we investigate the magnitude of in-shock cooling effects via idealized numerical experiments and find that in-shock cooling should be minimal for the appropriate physical conditions and resolutions used throughout this paper.

In the full feedback simulations presented in this paper, BAL winds are implemented by imparting kicks of $5,000$ km s$^{-1}$ to particles near the central black hole based on the accretion rate. The wind particles shock when they reach the ambient static ISM, thermalizing their kinetic energy, and giving rise to a physical situation similar to that shown in Figure 1 of Faucher-Giguere & Quataert (2012). To properly capture the full impact of BAL wind injection on quasar outflows, it is important that the thermalization of the BAL wind kinetic energy at the reverse shock does not suffer substantial from in-shock cooling. Gas densities, temperatures, and velocities for the reverse shock are set by the pre-shock BAL wind material, which is assumed to be free streaming. The non-homogenous density structure of the ISM and variability of the AGN radiation field make identifying the impact of in-shock cooling difficult in the full simulations directly. We instead construct idealized shock tube tests to recreate these conditions in a setting where resolution-dependent in-shock cooling can be directly identified.

We use a three-dimensional shock tube to explore the reverse shock density and temperature profile as a function of physical conditions (i.e. pre-shock density) and numerical resolution. The idealized initial conditions for the reverse shock include a fast moving medium (imitating the BAL wind material) moving into a static medium (imitating the ambient ISM). The BAL wind material is given an initial temperature of $T_{\text{BAL}} = 10^5$ K, however this can change rapidly at the onset of the simulation due to Compton heat.
Figure C1. Shock tube tests designed to verify that numerical in-shock cooling does not significantly affect our results at our typical simulation resolution. See Appendix D for details. From left to right, three idealized shock tube problems are shown which represent a BAL wind encountering a cold, dense ISM at radii $R = \{1, 10, 100\}$ pc, respectively, and evolved to $t = 50$ kyr. Different colors correspond to the particle masses (as labeled); the highest-resolution case is comparable to our simulations in the main text. At lower resolutions there is noticeable numerical shock-broadening, however the post-shock temperatures are still well-converged (i.e. they are not affected by in-shock cooling, which would systematically change the post-shock temperatures at different resolutions).

The BAL material is given a velocity of $v_{\text{BAL}} = 5,000$ km s$^{-1}$. The ambient ISM material is given an initial temperature of $T_{\text{ISM}} = 10^2$ K and is initially static. The initial density for the BAL wind, initial density for the ambient ISM, and incident flux of AGN radiation are dependent on the location of the shock. We approximate the density of the pre-shock free-streaming BAL wind material to be given by

$$\rho_{\text{BAL}} \approx 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \left( \frac{\dot{M}}{1 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{R}{1 \text{ pc}} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{v}{5000 \text{ km sec}^{-1}} \right)$$

the density of the ambient ISM as

$$\rho \approx 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \left( \frac{R}{1 \text{ pc}} \right)^{-2}$$

and the incident AGN radiation flux as

$$F_{\text{AGN}} \approx 10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \left( \frac{L}{10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{R}{1 \text{ pc}} \right)^{-2}.$$ 

We assume fiducial values of $\dot{M} = 1 M_\odot / \text{yr}$, $v = 5000$ km/sec, and $L = 10^{46}$ erg/sec and run tests for $R = \{1, 10, 100\}$ pc. The shock tube uses periodic boundary conditions in a rectangular prism of dimension $1 \times R/(800 \text{ pc}) \times R/(800 \text{ pc})$ kpc.

Figure C1 shows the gas density and temperature profiles across the idealized shock at $t = 50$ kyr. The three panels show different values for the ambient gas density and AGN radiation flux (corresponding to $R = \{1, 10, 100\}$ pc, as described in the previous paragraph) tests with the legend indicating the gas particle mass resolution in each test. We find that the lowest resolution test (black line) blurs the location of the reverse shock substantially in the $R = 10$ and $R = 100$ pc tests. The two higher resolution tests present with less blurring of the reverse shock, however there is still an offset present in the location of the reverse shock owing to the low particle number in the pre shock low density BAL wind material. In terms of in-shock cooling, we find that the post shock gas forms a stable and nearly flat temperature profile which shows little variation as we change the mass/particle resolution for our highest two resolution tests. Although some shock broadening is present, the post-shock gas temperatures are not strongly (if at all) impacted by the increasing resolution. If in-shock cooling were present, we would instead expect the post-shock gas temperature to decrease with lower mass resolution. Since the high resolution tests explored here ($m = 10 M_\odot$) have resolution comparable to that used in the simulations presented in this paper and show little indication in-shock cooling, we conclude that in-shock cooling should not significantly impact the post-shock gas temperatures, and therefore should not have a significant impact on the results presented in this paper.
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