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Abstract

We give an elementary derivation of the de Rham cohomology of $SO(n)$ in terms of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics. Our analysis is based on Witten’s Morse theory. We show reflection symmetries of the theory are useful to select true vacuums. The number of the selected vacuums will agree with the de Rham cohomology of $SO(n)$.

1 Introduction

In his pioneering work in 1982[1], Witten proposed a physical interpretation of de Rham cohomology. He considered supersymmetric quantum mechanics on a manifold with a potential derived from a Morse function $h$. His idea is to identify the theory with de Rham theory. For each critical point of $h$ one classical vacuum exists. We can select true vacuums by examining instanton effects between adjacent classical vacuums. The number of classical vacuums is not smaller than that of true vacuums, which explains the Morse inequalities.

To our knowledge his program has been carried out only for few examples. The group manifold $SO(n)$ is interesting, because it has many couples of adjacent critical points. Some years ago, Yasui et al.[2] investigated $SO(3)$. Recently, the author
has tackled SO(4)[3]. Owing to reflection symmetries of the theory a pair of instanton effects cancel each other in some processes. The purpose of this letter is to give a generalization to SO(n). We give an elementary derivation of the de Rham cohomology of SO(n) based on an instanton picture.

In Section 2 we introduce supersymmetric quantum mechanics on SO(n). In Section 3 we exhibit classical vacuums of the theory. In section 4 we explain reflection symmetries for SO(n). In Section 5 we state how to select true vacuums. In the last section we treat SO(5) as an example.

2 Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics on SO(n)

The supersymmetric hamiltonian on a manifold is given by

\[ \hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}(d_h d_h^\dagger + d_h^\dagger d_h), \]  

(1)

where \( d_h = e^{-h} d e^h, d_h^\dagger = e^h d^\dagger e^{-h}, \) \( d \) is the exterior derivative and \( d^\dagger \) is its adjoint operator. The exterior multiplication \( e_{dx^\mu} \) and the interior multiplication \( i_{\partial x^\mu} \) can be identified with the fermion creation operator \( \hat{\psi}^\mu \) and the annihilation operator \( \hat{\psi}_\mu \) and we have

\[ d = \hat{\psi}^\mu \nabla_\mu, \quad d^\dagger = g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_\mu g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} \hat{\psi}_\nu, \]  

(2)

where \( \nabla_\mu \) is the covariant derivative

\[ \nabla_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - \Gamma^\lambda_\mu_\nu \hat{\psi}^\nu \hat{\psi}_\lambda. \]  

(3)

The hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) (1) takes the form:

\[ 2\hat{H} = -g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_\mu g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu + R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \hat{\psi}^\sigma \hat{\psi}^\tau \hat{\psi}^{*\nu} \hat{\psi}^{*\mu} + g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^\nu} + H_{\mu\nu}[\hat{\psi}^{*\mu}, \hat{\psi}^\nu], \]  

(4)

where \( g_{\mu\nu} \) and \( R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \) are the Riemann metric and tensor, and \( H_{\mu\nu} \) is the Hessian matrix

\[ H_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu - \Gamma^\lambda_\mu_\nu \partial_\lambda)h. \]  

(5)
Corresponding Lagrangian is
\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{dt} \frac{dx^\nu}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^\nu} + \psi^* \mu (\frac{d}{dt} \psi_\mu - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \psi_\lambda \frac{dx^\nu}{dt}) 
+ H_{\mu\nu} \psi^* \psi^\mu \psi^\nu + \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \psi^\mu \psi^\nu \psi^\sigma \psi^\tau. \]  \tag{6} 

The gradient flow equation of (6) is
\[ \frac{dx^\mu}{dt} = \pm g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^\nu}. \] \tag{7} 

An instanton solution satisfies (7) and connects critical points.

Let \( A = (a_{ij}) \) be a group element of \( SO(n) \). We introduce the generalized Euler angles \( x^\mu \) as \[ A = e^{\pi(n-1)} E_{12} e^{\pi} E_{12} e^{\pi} E_{23} e^{\pi} E_{34} e^{\pi} E_{12} e^{\pi} E_{23} e^{\pi} E_{12}, \] \( \tag{8} \)
where \( E_{ij} \) represents a fundamental generator of a rotation in the \( (i, j) \) plane. The \( SO(n) \) invariant metric is given by
\[ g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \frac{\partial A^t}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x^\nu}. \] \tag{9} 

3 Classical vacuums

For \( SO(n) \), the following is a Morse function \[ h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i a_{ii}, \quad (c_i > 2c_{i+1} > 0), \] \( \tag{10} \)
and critical points \( P(l) \) are
\[ P(l) = \text{diag}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n), \quad (\epsilon_i = \pm 1, \prod \epsilon_i = 1). \] \( \tag{11} \)

Around the critical points, \( h \) is expanded as
\[ h = \sum_i \epsilon_i c_i + \sum_{i<j} (\lambda_{ij} \xi_{ij}^2 + \mu_{ij} \eta_{ij}^2), \] \( \tag{12} \)
where
\[ \lambda_{ij} = -\frac{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_i}{4} (c_j - c_i), \quad \xi_{ij} = a_{ij} + a_{ji}, \] \( \tag{13} \)
\[ \mu_{ij} = -\frac{\epsilon_j + \epsilon_i}{4}(c_j + c_i), \quad \eta_{ij} = a_{ij} - a_{ji}. \] (14)

Approximate vacuums are identified from negative eigenvalues of the Hessian matrices. From (12) we find

\[ |l> = \prod_{i<j} \prod_{\epsilon_i = \epsilon_j = 1} \hat{\psi}_{\epsilon_{ij}}^* \prod_{\epsilon_i > \epsilon_j} \hat{\psi}_{\epsilon_{ij}}^* |0>, \] (15)

where \( l \) represents the number of the excited fermions. This state corresponds to an \( l \)-form.

We study quantum effects between adjacent classical vacuums to select true vacuums. According to Witten [1] the following is valid

\[ <l + 1|d_h|l> = \sum_{\gamma} n_\gamma e^{-(h(P^{l+1}) - h(P^l))}, \] (16)

where \( n_\gamma \) is an integer assigned for each instanton path \( \gamma \). If a state \( |l> \) does not couple with any adjacent classical vacuums, that is if \( d_h|l> = <l|d_h = 0 \), \( |l> \) is a true vacuum.

### 4 Reflection Symmetries

For \( SO(n) \) the Morse function \( h (10) \) is given by combinations of the trigonometric functions of the Euler angles \( x^\mu \). Under some combinations of the reflection transformation \( x^\mu \rightarrow -x^\mu \), \( h \) is invariant. These transformations are generated by \( (n - 1) \) transformations. The transformation that changes the signs of the off diagonal matrix elements with an index \( i \) is one of such transformations;

\[ a_{ij}, a_{ji} \rightarrow -a_{ij}, -a_{ji} \quad (i : \text{fixed}, j \neq i, i = 2 \sim n). \] (17)

We denote a supersymmetric extension of this transformation as \([i]\):

\[ [i] : \{x^\mu, \hat{\psi}^s, \hat{\psi}_\mu\}_i \rightarrow \{-x^\mu, -\hat{\psi}^s, -\hat{\psi}_\mu\}_i, \] (18)

where \( \{ \}_i \) means suitable indices \( \mu \) should be chosen to realize (17).
Under the transformation (17), \( \text{tr} A^t A \) is invariant and \( g_{\mu\nu} \) and \( g^{\mu\nu} \) reverse the signs as \( x^\mu x^\nu \). Subsequently, \( \nabla_\mu \) has the same transformation properties as \( \partial_\mu \). Thus, \( d = \hat{\psi}^* \mu \nabla_\mu \) and \( d^\dagger = g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_\mu g^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} \hat{\psi}_\nu \) are invariant under (18). Accordingly, \( d_h, d^\dagger_h \) and \( \hat{H} \) are also invariant.

By the transposition of the matrix \( A \), we obtain one more invariant transformation. This transformation is represented by exchanges between some pairs of the Euler angles. We call its supersymmetric extension \([t]\):

\[
[t] : \{ x^\mu, \hat{\psi}^* \mu, \hat{\psi}_\mu \} \leftrightarrow \{ x^\nu, \hat{\psi}^* \nu, \hat{\psi}_\nu \}. 
\]  

(19)

To be precise some combinations of the transformations \([i]\) may be added to (19) to represent \( A \rightarrow A^t \). Under the transformation \([t]\), the metric (9) transforms as

\[
g_{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow g_{\nu\mu}, \ g_{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow g_{\nu\mu}, \ g_{\mu\lambda} \leftrightarrow g_{\lambda\nu} \text{ for a pair of indices } \mu \text{ and } \nu \text{ in } \{ \} \text{ and } \lambda \text{ that does not belong to } \{ \}; \text{ as for the covariant derivatives we see } \nabla_\mu \leftrightarrow \nabla_\nu \text{ and } \nabla_\lambda \rightarrow \nabla_\lambda. \]  

Thus \( d_h, d^\dagger_h \) and \( \hat{H} \) are invariant under \([t]\).

The above \( n \) transformations generate \( 2^n - 1 \) symmetry transformations. Under the transformation \([i](18), \hat{\psi}_m^* \) and \( \hat{\psi}_{\xi m}^* \) reverse the signs if \( l = i \) or \( m = i \). Under \([t], \hat{\psi}_m^* \) reverse the signs. Thus the classical vacuums have definite parities under the symmetry transformations. If the parities of the classical vacuums \(|l>\) and \(|l+1>\) are different for one of the symmetry transformations the matrix element \(<l+1|d_h|l>\) vanishes.

### 5 Instanton Effects and True Vacuums

For a couple of adjacent classical vacuums there are a pair of instanton paths:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_1 \\
\vdots \\
\epsilon_{i-1} \\
\cos \theta & \mp \sin \theta \\
\pm \epsilon \sin \theta & \epsilon \cos \theta \\
\epsilon_{i+2} \\
\vdots \\
\epsilon_n
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(20)
where \( \epsilon = \pm 1 \). These two paths are invariant or exchanged each other by the
symmetry transformations. These two paths will give a pair of instanton solutions
with the Euler angles except for \( \theta \) are constants. For the paths (20), \( g_{\theta\theta} = g^{\theta\theta} = 1 \),
and the gradient flow equation (7) for \( \theta \) will be
\[
\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -(c_i \pm c_{i+1}) \sin \theta.
\] (21)
Equation (21) has the general instanton-type solution
\[
\cos \theta = \tanh((c_i \pm c_{i+1})t + \alpha),
\] (22)
with \( \alpha \) a constant.

An instanton solution causes a non-zero instanton effect between the correspond-
ing couple of classical vacuums. However, a pair of instanton effects can cancel each
other. It is crucial to determine whether a couple of instanton effects cancel each
other or not. If the matrix element \( < l + 1|d_k|l > \) vanishes by the symmetries,
we see the two instanton effects cancel each other. If the matrix element does not
vanishes by the symmetries, it will be plausible to say the two instanton effects add
up. Thus we can select true vacuums by the reflection symmetries. The number of
selected vacuums will be in agreement with the de Rham cohomology of \( SO(n) \). In
the next section we discuss \( SO(5) \) as an example. We see our selection rule works
well.

6 An Example: \( SO(5) \)

There are 16 classical vacuums
\[
|0>, (-1, -1, -1, -1, 1) ; |1>, (-1, -1, -1, 1, -1) ; |2>, (-1, -1, 1, -1, -1); \\
|3A>, (-1, -1, 1, 1, 1) ; |3B>, (-1, 1, -1, -1, 1); |4A>, (-1, 1, -1, 1, 1); \\
|4B>, (1, -1, 1, -1, -1) ; |5A>, (-1, 1, 1, -1, -1) ; |5B>, (1, -1, -1, 1, 1); \\
|6A>, (-1, 1, 1, 1, -1) ; |6B>, (1, -1, 1, -1, 1) ; |7A>, (1, -1, 1, 1, -1); \\
\]
\[ |7B \rangle, \ (1,1,-1,-1,1) \ ; \ |8 \rangle, (1,1,-1,1,-1) \ ; \ |9 \rangle, (1,1,1,-1,-1); \]
\[ |10 \rangle, \ (1,1,1,1,1), \quad (23) \]

where for example 4A $\sim$ $\hat{\psi}^*_{\xi_{23}} \hat{\psi}^*_{\eta_{24}} \hat{\psi}^*_{\eta_{45}} |0 \rangle$. In Table 1. we denote their parities. From the selection rule we see $|0 \rangle, |3A \rangle, |7B \rangle$ and $|10 \rangle$ are true vacuums. The other classical vacuums will cease to be vacuums by quantum effects. This result is in agreement with $H^*(SO(5)) \cong \wedge(x_3,x_7)$.

|    | 0  | 1   | 2   | 3A  | 3B  | 4A  | 4B  | 5A  | 5B  | 6A  | 6B  | 7A  | 7B  | 8   | 9   | 10  |
|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| [2]| e  | e   | e   | e   | e   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | e   | e   | e   | e   | e   |
| [3]| e  | e   | e   | e   | e   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | e   | e   | e   | e   | e   |
| [4]| e  | o   | o   | e   | e   | o   | e   | e   | e   | o   | o   | e   | o   | o   | e   | e   |
| [5]| e  | o   | o   | e   | e   | o   | e   | e   | e   | o   | e   | o   | e   | o   | o   | o   |
| [t]| e  | e   | e   | o   | e   | e   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   | o   |

Table.1.
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