Risk factors for wheezing in infants born in Cuba
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Summary

Background: Cuba is a unique country, and despite limited economic development, has an excellent health system. However, the prevalence of asthma symptoms in children in Havana, Cuba, is unusually high.

Aim: As early life exposures are critical to the aetiology of asthma, we have studied environmental influences on the risk of wheezing in Cuban infants.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Methods: A random sample of 2032 children aged 12–15 months living in Havana was selected for inclusion in the cohort. Data were collected using questionnaires administered by researchers.

Results: Of 2032 infants invited to participate, 1956 (96%) infants provided data. The prevalence of any wheeze was 45%, severe wheeze requiring use of emergency services was 30% and recurrent wheeze on three or more occasions was 20%. The largest adjusted risk factors for any wheeze were presence of eczema [odds ratio (OR) 2.09; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.48–2.94], family history of asthma (OR 2.05; 95% CI 1.60–2.62), poor ventilation in the house (OR 1.99; 95% CI 1.48–2.67), attendance at nursery (OR 1.78; 95% CI 1.24–2.57), male sex (OR1.52; 95% CI 1.19–1.96) and the number of smokers in the house (P<0.03 for trend), OR 1.64 (95% CI 1.17–2.31) for three or more smokers in the house compared to no smokers in the household.

Conclusion: We have identified several risk factors for any wheeze in young infants living in modern day Cuba. As the prevalence of smoking in the house is high (51%), intervention studies are required to determine effective strategies to improve infant health.

Introduction

Asthma is a disease that often presents during the first decade of life, with an estimated global prevalence of wheezing in 6- to 7-year-old children of ~12%.1 The prevalence of asthma and associated symptoms has increased substantially in the past five decades,2 often in parallel with economic development and urbanization.3-5 and increases in prevalence appear to be
continuing in Africa, Latin America and parts of Asia\(^1\) where the rate of economic development is greatest. Therefore, environmental exposures are likely to be key components of the aetiology of asthma and epidemiological studies from differing societies and cultures have the potential to increase understanding of which environmental exposures consistently result in asthma globally, and which ones may be important within certain localized populations.

Cuba is a large island in the Caribbean with a unique cultural and historical experience, that has a system of universal health coverage,\(^6\) but in recent decades has experienced an economic blockade by the USA that has limited its economic development.\(^7,8\) There is concern that asthma prevalence in children may be particularly high in Cuba, with reported prevalences of wheezing in the past 12 months of 32% in boys aged 6–7 years living in Havana.\(^9\) As many of the risk factors for asthma are considered to occur in early life,\(^10\) a cohort of infants were recruited to attempt to identify environmental exposures that may be amenable to intervention in this population.

**Methods**

**Study population**

All children aged between 12 and 15 months who were living in Havana, Cuba between March 2010 and March 2011 and who attended one of the randomly selected 17 polyclinics, nested in four municipalities in Havana, Cuba, were eligible to be selected to participate in the study (Arroyo Naranjo, Cerro, Havana del Este, La Lisa). Individuals with a pre-existing diagnosis of neuropathy, myopathy, heart disease, genetic disease, such as cystic fibrosis, severe somatic malformations and those with limited life expectancy were excluded from the study. In total, 2195 infants were randomly selected to participate in the study proportionate numbers to each municipality population and after consent was obtained from the parents/guardians, the child was enrolled in the study. About 163 individuals were initially enrolled but subsequently found not to be eligible for inclusion in the study as they lived outside the polyclinic or municipality catchment area. The study protocol was approved by National Institute of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Microbiology, the local Havana Scientific Committee in Cuba and also by the University of Nottingham Medical School ethics committee in the UK.

**Data collection**

The baseline data collection consisted of an interviewer-administered questionnaire that collated the responses from the parent/caretaker about prenatal and postnatal exposures of the child, their living environment and the medical history of the family. Specific questions focussed on paracetamol exposure and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. Data on the height and weight at the time of the interview were also collected. The primary outcome was wheeze and used the Spanish translation of the ISAAC questionnaire ‘¿Has tenido su bebé sibilancias o silbido, jipidos o ruidos en el pecho durante el primer año de vida?’ after piloting in the local community. Recurrent wheezing was characterized as wheeze on three or more occasions in the infant’s life, whereas the use of the emergency services to obtain treatment for wheezing was used to categorize severe wheeze. Where possible, a stool sample was also taken and analysed for parasite infection using direct method with eosin and Lugol solutions and concentration technique of Willis–Malloy using protocols from the Pedro Kouri Institute of Tropical Medicine, Havana.

**Data analysis**

The data were entered into an electronic database, cleaned and checked for obvious errors or implausible values. All statistical analyses were carried out in Stata v12 (StataCorp, TX, USA) using the survey commands to allow for the clustered survey design. Univariate analyses were initially performed using logistic regression and crude odds ratios (ORs) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed for each exposure variable. Variables that were statistically significant in univariate analysis (\(P \leq 0.05\)) were then entered into a mutually adjusted multivariable model and a step-wise modelling procedure followed to obtain a final model of only statistically significant (\(P \leq 0.05\)) variables. Sex and number of smokers in the household were considered as a priori confounding factors. As many measures of smoke exposure, such as maternal, paternal and grandparental smoking were associated with increased wheeze in the univariate analysis; a composite measure of environmental tobacco exposure was computed as the total number of smokers in the household was included in all final models. No further post hoc modelling was performed on the dataset to maintain transparency and simplicity.
Results

The recruitment of individuals to the cohort is described in Figure 1. In summary, of 2032 individuals who were enrolled and eligible to enter the study, 1956 (96%) participated and provided the baseline data. The characteristics of this population are given in Table 1. Prevalence of any wheeze was 45%, severe wheeze requiring use of emergency services was 30% and recurrent wheezing on three or more occasions was 20%.

After mutual adjustment for confounding, the independent risk factors for any wheeze in the first year of life are presented in Table 2. The largest risk factors for any wheeze were self-reported eczema (OR 2.09; 95% CI 1.48–2.94), a positive family history of asthma (OR 2.05; 95% CI 1.60–2.62), poor ventilation (OR 1.99; 95% CI 1.48–2.67), nursery attendance (OR 1.78; 95% CI 1.24–2.57) and male sex (OR 1.52; 95% CI 1.19–1.96) and the number of smokers in the house (P<0.03 for trend), OR 1.64 (95% CI 1.17–2.31) for three or more smokers in the house compared to no smokers in the household. The Habana del Este municipality has a consistently lower risk of any wheeze, recurrent wheezing and severe wheeze than the other municipalities which was not explained by any of the variables adjusted for in the analysis. There was no association between paracetamol administration to the infant in the first year of life (76% prevalence) and risk of wheezing (OR 1.20; 95% CI 0.83–1.74 in the univariate analysis).

Discussion

This is the first population-based study to investigate exposures that are associated with increased risk of wheeze in Havana, Cuba, a relatively unique environment that is considered to possibly have a high prevalence of wheezing in children. Our initial cross-sectional analysis of the baseline data at the age of 12–15 months has identified a number of risk factors for any wheeze in these individuals. The exposures that are associated with an increased risk of any wheeze include family history of asthma, self-reported eczema, poor ventilation, male gender, smaller height at birth, the presence of other siblings, attendance at daycare facilities, living with smokers in the household and living in either Cerro, Arroyo Naranjo or La Lisa municipalities of Havana City.

The strengths of these dataset include the recruitment of four areas in Havana that are considered to be representative of the population of Havana, and the high response rate of 96% of those who were eligible and invited to participate, giving confidence that the results are unlikely to be susceptible to response bias. The data were collected by staff at the local participating polyclinics who ensured that all questions were answered and hence there is little missing data. The questions on exposures that were considered to be potentially important with regard to the aetiology of asthma in this population were devised by Cuban epidemiologists familiar with the environment, and we were thus able to test hypotheses that had been formulated locally. As emergency medical treatment is easily accessible in Havana and mothers are encouraged to have a low threshold for accessing this care, the latter category of severe wheeze may not be generalizable to other populations.

As we were trying to identify important risk factors for wheezing in a relatively unstudied population, we necessarily have tested many exposures leading to the risk that some of our apparently statistically significant associations are artefacts of multiple hypothesis testing. The cross-sectional nature of the study will not permit causality to be identified, simply associations and in particular, is unable to exclude the possibility of reverse causality or residual confounding by unmeasured exposures. As the phenotype of asthma takes a while to develop, it is important to clarify that this is a study of risk factors for wheezing in young children, rather than simply asthma. This is particularly important in this population of infants aged 12–15 months, as much wheeze is likely to be viral in nature, which would explain the increased risk of wheeze in those who are attending daycare facilities or have other
siblings—these individuals being more likely to encounter other children and hence acquire viral infections which manifest themselves as wheeze and other respiratory symptoms. Another limitation is the inability to collect data on all potential factors that may modify risk of wheezing and associated allergic disease in young children, such as faecal microbiota and allergen exposure, which were beyond the scope of the resources available to us. We were, however, able to collect data on faecal parasite infection in a subgroup of 712 individuals, but this was very low in prevalence (4%) and hence not surprisingly, was not an important determinant of wheezing in our population in this age group. Finally, in a survey such as this, differential measurement error may result in significant associations for exposures with little measurement error such as age, compared to those with more measurement error, e.g. presence of air pollution near the house which consequently have a higher variance.

### Table 1  Characteristics of study participants

| Variable | Definition of category | Number (%) unless stated otherwise | Prevalence of any wheeze (%) | Univariate OR (95% CI) for any wheeze using survey commands |
|----------|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Mean age in months (SD) | | 13.1 (1.1) n/a | 1.09 (1.01–1.19) per month |
| Skin colour | White | 916 (47) | 378 (41) | 1 |
| | Mixed | 798 (41) | 384 (48) | 1.32 (0.82–2.13) |
| | Black | 242 (12) | 110 (45) | 1.19 (0.68–2.05) |
| Gender | Female | 939 (48) | 370 (39) | 1 |
| | Male | 1017 (52) | 502 (49) | 1.50 (1.12–2.01) |
| Municipality | Habana del Este | 642 (33) | 224 (35) | 1 |
| | Cerro | 374 (19) | 162 (43) | 1.43 (1.14–1.79) |
| | La Lisa | 282 (14) | 149 (53) | 2.09 (1.65–2.66) |
| | Arroyo Naranjo | 658 (34) | 337 (51) | 1.96 (1.54–2.50) |
| Highest educational status of mother | Primary | 17 (1) | 9 (53) | 1.42 (0.76–2.65) |
| | Secondary | 431 (22) | 206 (48) | 1.16 (0.84–1.59) |
| | Pre-university | 1157 (59) | 511 (44) | 1 |
| | University | 351 (18) | 146 (42) | 0.90 (0.62–1.30) |
| Mother with paid work | No | 780 (40) | 370 (47) | 1 |
| | Yes | 1176 (60) | 502 (43) | 0.83 (0.74–0.92) |
| Household income (pesos) | >3000 | 35 (2) | 14 (40) | 0.91 (0.37–2.24) |
| | 2000–3000 | 48 (2) | 25 (52) | 1.48 (0.31–7.01) |
| | 1001–1999 | 207 (11) | 97 (47) | 1.20 (0.81–1.80) |
| | 500–1000 | 955 (49) | 404 (42) | 1 |
| | <500 | 711 (36) | 332 (47) | 1.19 (0.83–1.72) |
| Any siblings | No | 818 (42) | 342 (42) | 1 |
| | Yes | 1138 (58) | 530 (47) | 1.21 (0.89–1.65) |
| Any older siblings | No | 850 (43) | 356 (42) | 1 |
| | Yes | 1106 (57) | 516 (47) | 1.21 (0.95–1.54) |
| Number of older siblings | 0 | 850 (43) | 356 (42) | 1 |
| | 1 | 661 (34) | 315 (48) | 1.26 (0.88–1.82) |
| | 2 | 289 (15) | 129 (45) | 1.12 (0.92–1.35) |
| | 3 | 104 (5) | 44 (42) | 1.02 (0.58–1.78) |
| | 4+ | 52 (3) | 28 (54) | 1.62 (0.72–3.66) |
| Mean age of mother at birth in years (SD) | | 26.7 (6.2) n/a | 0.98 (0.95–1.01) per year |
| Any wheeze in lifetime? | Yes | 872 (45) | – | – |
| | No | 1084 (55) | – | – |
| Severe wheeze requiring emergency services in lifetime? | Yes | 590 (30) | – | – |
| | No | 1366 (70) | – | – |
| Recurrent wheeze (three or more episodes) | Yes | 386 (20) | – | – |
| | No | 1570 (80) | – | – |
Although we were not surprised to identify that consistent with the literature, family history of asthma\textsuperscript{15}, male sex\textsuperscript{16}, concurrent eczema and environmental tobacco smoke\textsuperscript{17} were risk factors for any wheeze in young children, our data did generate some new observations that warrant critical consideration. The persistent observation of insect skin allergy being associated with increased risk of any wheeze, suggests a potential cause of cutaneous sensitization associated with respiratory symptoms in young children that is worthy of future study.

One limitation of our data is that we are not aware which insects in particular may drive this association and this will need further consideration in future studies as this may provide a reversible exposure of wheeze and asthma if a causal association was demonstrated.

It is unclear why living in Habana del Este should be associated with a substantially lower risk of wheezing than other areas of Havana. Habana del Este is situated in a coastal location with relatively less heavy industry and a lower density of motor traffic than the other municipalities that contributed to the study population, suggesting that urbanization and pollution may contribute to the geographical differences in risk of wheezing. Future studies of the risk of wheezing in Havana would benefit from objective measures of air pollution to test the hypothesis that this may contribute to the disease burden of asthma in this setting.

In conclusion, we report data from a cohort of infants aged 12–15 months living in Havana which has a high frequency of any wheeze, with 45% having any wheeze reported since birth. The location of residence is an important risk factor for wheezing, as are others such as exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, the presence of poor ventilation in the house, the presence of siblings and

### Table 2 Multivariate analysis of exposures and risk of any wheeze

| Variable                                | Definition of category    | Number (N) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) for any wheeze |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| **Municipality (%)**                    |                           |            |                                     |
| Habana del Este                         |                           | 642 (33)   | 1                                   |
| Cerro                                   |                           | 374 (19)   | 1.73 (1.31–2.29)                    |
| La Lisa                                 |                           | 282 (14)   | 2.11 (1.64–2.72)                    |
| Arroyo Naranjo                          |                           | 658 (34)   | 2.02 (1.56–2.63)                    |
| **Self-reported eczema**                |                           |            |                                     |
| No                                      |                           | 1284 (66)  | 1                                   |
| Yes                                     |                           | 672 (34)   | 2.09 (1.48–2.94)                    |
| **Family history of asthma (%)**        |                           |            |                                     |
| No                                      |                           | 917 (47)   | 1                                   |
| Yes                                     |                           | 1039 (53)  | 2.05 (1.60–2.62)                    |
| **Ventilation of house (%)**            |                           |            |                                     |
| Good                                    |                           | 1535 (78)  | 1                                   |
| Regular                                 |                           | 307 (16)   | 1.02 (0.56–1.86)                    |
| Poor                                    |                           | 114 (6)    | 1.99 (1.48–2.67)                    |
| **Child attended daycare/nursery (%)**  |                           |            |                                     |
| No                                      |                           | 1685 (86)  | 1                                   |
| Yes                                     |                           | 271 (14)   | 1.78 (1.24–2.57)                    |
| **Number of smokers in house (%)**      |                           |            |                                     |
| 0                                       |                           | 952 (49)   | 1                                   |
| 1                                       |                           | 494 (25)   | 1.11 (0.88–1.39)                    |
| 2                                       |                           | 340 (17)   | 1.17 (0.62–2.18)                    |
| ≥3                                      |                           | 170 (9)    | 1.64 (1.17–2.31)                    |
| **Sex (%)**                             |                           |            |                                     |
| Female                                  |                           | 939 (48)   | 1                                   |
| Male                                    |                           | 1017 (52)  | 1.52 (1.19–1.96)                    |
| **Insect sting allergy (%)**            |                           |            |                                     |
| No                                      |                           | 933 (48)   | 1                                   |
| Yes                                     |                           | 1022 (52)  | 1.45 (1.07–1.97)                    |
| **Infant's room walls painted after birth** |                      |            |                                     |
| No                                      |                           | 1713 (88)  | 1                                   |
| Yes                                     |                           | 243 (12)   | 1.40 (1.04–1.89)                    |
| **Any siblings (%)**                    |                           |            |                                     |
| No                                      |                           | 1138 (58)  | 1                                   |
| Yes                                     |                           | 818 (42)   | 1.17 (1.02–1.33)                    |
| **Mean age in months (range)**          |                           | 13.1 (12–15)| 1.13 (1.01–1.28) per month         |
| **Infant's mean height at birth per centimetre (SD)** | 50.28 (2.38) | 10.52 (1.56) | 0.95 (0.92–0.98) |
| **Mother with paid work (%)**           |                           |            |                                     |
| No                                      |                           | 780 (40)   | 1                                   |
| Yes                                     |                           | 1176 (60)  | 0.86 (0.75–0.99)                    |

1950 individuals provided complete data for analysis
smaller mean height at birth. Interventions to reduce environmental tobacco exposure in this population are required.
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