Abstract. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs) are unusual and aggressive malignant soft-tissue tumors that comprise 5-10% of all soft-tissue sarcomas. Approximately 50% of MPNST cases are associated with neurofibromatosis type-1 (NF-1). As a rare MPNST subset, the epithelioid variant of MPNST (eMPNST) is histologically characterized by the predominant presence of epithelioid tumor cells, and accounts for ≤5% of all MPNSTs. In addition, eMPNST is rarely associated with NF-1 when compared with conventional MPNST. Although extensive clinicopathological studies have been conducted on eMPNST, clinicians face difficulty when attempting to make an accurate diagnosis. Subsequently, the biological consequences, including recurrence, metastasis and mortality rate in patients with eMPNST remain unclear. The current study presents the case of a 71-year-old woman with eMPNST and a family history of NF-1 in whom tumors had recurred twice on the lower back. A literature search for eMPNSTs was conducted by browsing PubMed and MEDLINE for English-language articles, as well as references from review articles, and revealed 129 published cases. Only 5 cases of eMPNST were associated with NF-1. The studies were retrospectively reviewed and the clinicopathological data of the patients, including tumor site, treatment, follow-up, prognosis, and immunohistochemical positivity were collected.

Introduction

Neurofibromatosis type-1 (NF-1) is a common, autosomal dominant neurocutaneous disorder with an incidence of 1:3,000 worldwide, which primarily involves the skin, bone and nervous system (1). The standard clinical diagnostic criteria for NF-1 have existed since 1987, with ≥2 criteria required for a positive diagnosis. The criteria are summarized as follows: ≥6 ‘café-au-lait’ spots, size >5 mm in prepubertal children or >15 mm in post pubertal individuals, axillary or inguinal freckling, ≥2 cutaneous neurofibromas, ≥1 plexiform neurofibroma, ≥2 Lisch nodules, a characteristic bone lesion (sphenoid wing dysplasia, dysplasia of the long bones), optic nerve glioma and a first degree relative with NF-1 (1,2). Patients with NF-1 have an 8-13% risk of developing malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs) (1,2). MPNSTs are unusual and aggressive malignant soft-tissue tumors comprising 5-10% of all soft-tissue sarcomas, and ~50% of all MPNST cases are associated with NF-1 (3). In addition, MPNST can also develop sporadically or be associated with exposure to radiation (3,4). It has been reported that there is no sex or ethnicity predilection for MPNST (4). MPNST usually develops in the deep soft tissues and tends to appear in the extremities, trunk and the head or neck region; however, it can also rarely occur in superficial locations, including the skin or subcutaneous tissues (5,6). Histologically, MPNSTs are categorized into the most common conventional type plus three specific subtypes, including rhabdomyoblastic, glandular and epithelioid tumors (7). As a subset of MPNSTs, the epithelioid variant of MPNST (eMPNST) is histologically characterized by the predominance (≥50%) of epithelioid tumor cells and diffuse positivity for S-100 proteins (8). As a subset of MPNSTs, the epithelioid variant of MPNST (eMPNST) is histologically characterized by the predominance (≥50%) of epithelioid tumor cells and diffuse positivity for S-100 proteins (8). As a subset of MPNSTs, the epithelioid variant of MPNST (eMPNST) is histologically characterized by the predominance (≥50%) of epithelioid tumor cells and diffuse positivity for S-100 proteins (8). As a subset of MPNSTs, the epithelioid variant of MPNST (eMPNST) is histologically characterized by the predominance (≥50%) of epithelioid tumor cells and diffuse positivity for S-100 proteins (8). As a subset of MPNSTs, the epithelioid variant of MPNST (eMPNST) is histologically characterized by the predominance (≥50%) of epithelioid tumor cells and diffuse positivity for S-100 proteins (8).
addition, the uncommon association of eMPNST with NF-1 increases the difficulty to obtain a differential diagnosis. However, eMPNST displays consistent, uniform, strong and diffuse positive staining for S-100 (10). Staining for second-line melanoma markers (including HMB-45, melan A, tyrosinase, and MITF) and vascular markers is usually negative (8). Therefore, immunohistochemical examination is required to diagnose eMPNST and rule out other possibilities (8). Ki-67 has a well characterized expression pattern and is known to be associated with cell proliferation (11). The classifications of neuroendocrine tumors include the Ki-67 index, high proliferation rates indicate poor prognosis and rapid tumor growth (11). MPNST has a high probability rate of local recurrence and distant metastasis, with a poor prognosis. A local recurrence rate of 40-65% and a 5-year survival rate of 23-69% have been reported (3,12). However, biological complications, including recurrence, metastasis or mortality rate in patients with eMPNST remain unclear due to the small group of patients involved, the lack of large-scale clinical research and the variation in survival rates. In addition, the majority of the published literature primarily focuses on the clinicopathological characteristics of patients (13-15). The present study describes the case of a 71-year-old woman with a family history of NF-1 who was diagnosed with eMPNST and suffered twice from recurrence, with tumors located on the lower back. The present study also includes a review of the literature focusing on the clinicopathological differential diagnosis and prognosis of eMPNST.

Case report

In November 2016, a 71-year-old woman was admitted to the Department of General Surgery of The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University (Nanchang, China) with an enlarged and painful mass on the lower back, which had been surgical excised twice in October 2014 and September 2015 at the Jiangxi Provincial People’s Hospital (Nanchang, China). The slides and details for the specimens from October 2014 and September 2015 were available and confirmed the diagnosis of an MPNST. The mass recurred at the same site following each excision within a follow-up period of 6 months. The patient noticed the third mass at 5 months after the second operation and noted that it was gradually enlarging. Furthermore, the patient was from a family with a history of NF-1, and the records indicated that 12 people over three generations, including the patient's grandmother and mother, had suffered from NF-1. Among the family members, 4 suffered from NF-1 that developed during adolescence. The patients' older brother died at the age of 68 from other diseases, whereas the other members of the family remained alive. The diagnosis of all patients was confirmed by medical examinations (Fig. 1). There was no familial history of other major diseases. Physical examinations of the present patient revealed multiple nodules of different sizes throughout the entire body combined with numerous ‘café-au-lait’ spots. There was also a hard, slightly erythematous, irregular, highly swollen mass, with local surface ulceration and suppuration on the lower back, which measured 20x13x8 cm in size (Fig. 2). Computed tomography (CT) of the chest, abdomen and pelvis revealed a mass with a maximum diameter of 18 cm, characterized as a heterogeneously dense soft-tissue mass with an irregularity border on the lower back. According to the contrast-enhanced CT scans, there was mild to moderate non-homogeneous enhancement, and no sign of distant metastases was observed (Fig. 3A). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed that the mass had low signal intensity on T1-weighted images (Fig. 3B) and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images (Fig. 3C). Additional laboratory investigations did not reveal any other abnormalities, other than a low level of hemoglobin at 91 g/l (normal range, 115-150 g/l). Comprehensive analysis of the imaging examination and the medical history of the patient were indicative of the presence of a recurrent malignant tumor on the back. During surgery, the physicians decided to perform an en bloc resection of the tumor with a negative surgical margin. Gross examination of the mass surface revealed a gray-white, fleshy tumor weighing 1,470 g and containing solid and cystic regions. Histologically, tumor tissue was fixed with 10% neutral formalin for 24 h at room temperature and embedded in paraffin. After being cut into slices (4 µm thick) using a microtome (BQ-318D; Bona Medical Technology, Hubei, China). The paraffin sections were dewaxed by washing with xylene three times, 5 min each time, and hydrated in 100, 95, 85 and 75% ethanol solutions for 3 min in each. These slices were incubated in hematoxylin solution for 5 min at room temperature and rinsed with running water. Subsequently, the slices were immersed in eosin solution for 3 min at room temperature and washed again with running water. After dehydration, the slices were mounted with neutral resin and viewed under a light microscope (CX22; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) for pathological analysis (magnification, x100 and x400). The tumor was primarily composed of cells with an epithelioid morphology arranged in a nesting and diffuse growth pattern, containing a small proportion of interspersed spindle cell components (Fig. 4A). The polygonal or round-shaped epithelioid cells had large, round, vesicular nuclei with basophilic nucleoli and abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm, and 10 mitotic figures per 10 high-power fields (HPF) (Fig. 4B). The morphology of the cells from these sections was similar to that observed in the cells from the previous surgical excision at the Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital. The edge of the resection margin of the tumor was negative. Immunohistochemical analysis was performed on the 4-µm-thick formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sections. Tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene, and hydrated in graded ethanol solutions. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating the sections in 3% hydrogen peroxide at room temperature for 10 min. Antigen retrieval was performed using boiling sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in a microwave for 15 min. Nonspecific binding was blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 60 min at room temperature. The primary antibodies used in the lesions were, anti-S100 (cat. no. Z0311; 1:600), vimentin (cat. no. V9; 1:400), cluster of differentiation (CD) 34 (cat. no. Qbend10; 1:50), cytokeratin (cat. no. MNF116; 1:100), smooth muscle actin (SMA) (cat. no. 1A4; 1:400), melan-A (cat. no. A103; 1:50), HMB45 (cat. no. HMB-45; 1:100), melanocyte inducing transcription factor (MITF) (cat. no. D5; 1:100), myogenin (cat. no. F5D; 1:50), epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) (cat. no. E29; 1:100), neurofilament (cat. no. 2F11; 1:100), desmin (cat. no. D33; 1:100) and Ki-67 (cat. no. MIB-1; 1:100) all from Dako; Agilent Technologies GmbH (Waldbornn, Germany). The
samples were incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at 4°C in bovine serum albumin. Subsequently the samples were incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G secondary antibody (cat. no. K5007; 1:1,000; Dako; Agileant Technologies, GmbH) for 30 min at 37°C. The 3,3′-diaminobenzidine chromogenic liquid was added to the sections and incubated for 10 min at room temperature followed by stopping with water. After restaining with hematoxylin, the sections were dehydrated using a series of ethanol solutions (75, 85, 95, 100 and 100% for 3 min in each), and treated with xylene. The sections were sealed with neutral gum and observed using a light microscope (magnification, x200). The fraction of proliferating cells (positive for Ki-67) was calculated based on a count of at least 500 tumor cells in the relatively dense concentration of positive cancer nuclei (hot spot). The percentage of positive cells were calculated and used as the Ki-67 index. Immunohistochemical staining revealed that tumor cells exhibited strong and diffuse positive staining for S-100 (Fig. 4C) and vimentin (Fig. 4D), whereas CD34, cytokeratin, SMA, melan-A, HMB45, MITF, myogenin, EMA, neurofilament and desmin were negative. The Ki-67 labeling index was 40% (Fig. 5). The final pathological diagnosis was eMPNST arising in the peripheral nerves. The patient recovered uneventfully following the operation and was subsequently referred to the Department of Oncology for further treatment due to the multiple recurrences and highly invasive nature of the tumor. A course of adjuvant radiotherapy, with a dose of 60 Gray in 30 fractions, was administered over a period of 6 weeks. At the end of all treatments, examinations for potential recurrence or metastases were performed, including CT of the chest and abdomen, a whole-body bone scan and a brain MRI, and the results were normal. Postoperative follow-up at 2 years revealed no evidence of recurrence and metastatic disease, or residual side effects from therapy. Despite the repeated relapses, metastasis...
has not been detected in the patient in the 4 years since the initial operation in 2014. However, the recovery of the patient continues to be evaluated.

Discussion

The eMPNST is a distinct subtype of MPNST that accounts for <5% of all cases. MPNST commonly affects patients aged between 40 and 70 years old, with no sex predilection (16). eMPNSTs primarily involve the trunk and lower extremities of the body, and are more superficial than MPNSTs (8). The association between eMPNST and NF-1 is rare and occurs in <2% of all MPNSTs (9). To the best of our knowledge, only 5 cases in the English literature describing eMPNST associated with NF-1 have been reported (6,13,15,17,18). A characteristic histological feature of eMPNST is the predominance (≥50%) or prevalence of epithelioid tumor cells arranged in multinodular growth pattern, with myxoid and/or fibrous stroma, and usually admixed with a diverse proportion of spindle cell components (8,13,14). Tumor cells surrounded by hyalinized or myxoid stroma frequently grow in cords, strands, nests or sheets (8). Polygonal epithelioid cells have characteristic round or oval-shaped nuclei, vesicular chromatin, variably prominent basophilic nucleoli and an abundant
positive S-100 staining is rare (8,13,20). The SMARCB1 (INI1/BAF47/SNF5) gene is located on the chromosome 22 for S-100 proteins and vimentin, whereas focal and patchy positive S-100 staining is rare (8,13,20). The SMARCB1 (INI1/BAF47/SNF5) gene is located on the chromosome 22 (22q11.2) and functions as a tumor suppressor gene, deletions and/or mutations of SMARCB1 gene resulting in the loss of nuclear integrase interactor 1 (INI1) protein expression have been detected in eMPNST (14). A previous study reported that eMPNSTs exhibit a loss of expression of INI1 ranging from 50-67% (14). Negative staining for second-line melanoma markers, including HMB45, melan A and MITF, is crucial for distinguishing eMPNSTs from melanoma. Notably, focal positive staining for melan A and HMB45, and negative staining for S-100 in eMPNST case have also been reported (13,19). In addition, eMPNST is rarely associated with NF-1, and occurs in a variety of anatomical locations. Subsequently, a precise diagnosis of eMPNST can be difficult. The main differential diagnosis of eMPNST comprises other skin tumors with an epithelioid morphology, including malignant melanoma, metastatic poorly differentiated carcinoma, epithelioid sarcoma and myoepithelial carcinoma (8). In addition, distinction from other differentiations of MPNST, including glandular MPNST and rhabdomyoblastic MPNST, is also critical. Malignant melanoma or metastatic melanoma may be characterized histologically by an epithelioid phenotype, and often exhibits diffuse positivity for S-100 protein, which makes it harder to distinguish from eMPNST (13,14). However, melanoma-associated markers, including melan A, HMB45, tyrosinase and MITF, are generally absent in eMPNST, in contrast with melanoma of epithelioid morphology (21). In particular, MITF and S-100 positive staining, which, to the best of our knowledge, has not yet been detected in eMPNSTs, is therefore considered to be highly specific for melanoma (21). In addition, malignant melanoma commonly exhibits expression of INI1, whereas the loss of INI1 nuclear expression is observed in 50-67% of eMPNST cases (20). Observation of the tumor ultrastructure by electron microscope can provide a solution to the difficulties associated with differential diagnosis, since the presence of atypical junctional components or melanin pigmentation can be indicative of melanoma (13). Careful examination to exclude the history of melanoma or the clinical presence of melanoma is also crucial in order to differentiate eMPNST from malignant melanoma in the majority of cases. Epithelioid sarcoma can be differentiated from eMPNST through immunohistochemical analysis. For example, epithelioid sarcoma is positive for cytokeratin and negative for S-100, and exhibits a loss in INI1 expression (8). In addition, CD34 is positive in ~50% of epithelioid sarcomas (8,13). Negative staining results for cytokeratins can serve in distinguishing eMPNSTs from metastatic poorly differentiated carcinoma, with a lack of staining or focal positivity in the former, and positivity observed in the latter (20). Myoepithelial carcinoma shares similar morphological characteristics with eMPNST, and is predominantly comprised of epithelioid cells. However, unlike eMPNST, myoepithelial carcinoma is positive for multiple cytokeratins, including CK-AE1/AE3, CAM 5.2 and/or EMA (18). Notably, myoepithelial carcinoma exhibits unusually strong and multifocal S-100 positivity and a loss of INI1 expression of up to 40% (8). Malignant triton tumor (MTT) is a variant of MPNST with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation (22,23). The immunohistochemical characteristics of MTT overlap with those of eMPNST; however, it can be distinguished from eMPNST by the desmin, myo-D1 and myogenin positivity of rhabdomyoblasts in MMT, and consistent negativity in eMPNST (23). Glandular MPNST is an MPNST subset with glandular differentiation. Histologically, the majority of glandular PNSTs are composed of a malignant spindle cell component and a benign glandular component (24). Unlike eMPNST, glands stain positive for epithelial markers, including keratins and epithelial membrane antigen, and neuroendocrine markers, including somatostatin, chromogranin, calcitonin and Leu-7 (23,24). Immunohistochemistry is therefore a useful technique to differentiate eMPNSTs from other differential diagnoses of MPNST. The useful immunohistochemical features of differential diagnosis are summarized in Tables I and II. The patient from the present study exhibited clinical characteristics of NF-1, and the histology and immunophenotype were consistent with an eMPNST diagnosis. 

MPNSTs are commonly considered to be aggressive malignant soft-tissue tumors. The primary treatment for MPNST is a complete surgical resection with negative margins, and the surgical margin status is a crucial prognostic factor for MPNST (3). Wong et al (25) reported that the 5-year survival rates of patients with MPNST who received surgical treatment with and without negative margins were 67 and 22%, respectively. However, complete resection with negative margins cannot always be achieved due to unacceptable complications, including severe loss of vascular function. Gachiani et al (26) reported that amongst 34 patients with MPNST who received surgical tumor resection over a period of 40 years, successful complete excision only occurred in 16 patients (47%). Previous studies have recommended the use of postoperative radiation therapy to treat MPNST in order to decrease the incidence of local recurrences, especially in tumors that exhibit the following characteristics: Lesions >5 cm in size, high tumor grade, unresectable lesions and positive margins (25,27). Basso-Ricci (27) reported that among 25 patients with MPNST who were followed up for >3 years, 14 were free of disease following combined radiological-surgical treatment, representing a rate that was higher than that found in the other patient cohort who underwent surgery only. Wong et al (25) reported that patients who received irradiation compared with patients who did not had 5-year overall survival rates of 72 and 50%, respectively. However, the risk-benefit profile of adjuvant radiation therapy must be carefully discussed with all patients, as it increases the risk of radiation-induced sarcomas (4). MPNSTs are typically considered to be insensitive to chemotherapy. The role of chemotherapy in MPNSTs is commonly limited to the management of metastatic MPNST in patients with tumors that cannot be resected (28). The outcome of MPNST treatment remains poor, with a reported local recurrence rate between 40-65% and an overall 5-year survival rate <50% (3,12). As a subset of MPNSTs; however, the biological features and prognosis in patients with eMPNST remain unclear due to the small patient cohorts, the lack of large-scale clinical research and the
### Table III. Summary of the clinicopathological features of eMPNST in previous clinical studies and case reports.

| First author/s | Year | Cases, n | Location (n/total, %) | NF1 (n/total) | Treatment (n/total, %) | Follow-up (n/months) | Prognosis (n) | IHC positivity (n/total, %) (Refs.) |
|----------------|------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Jo and Fletcher | 2015 | 63       | Lower extremity (30/63, 48); upper extremity (6/63, 10); neck (4/63, 6); trunk (16/63, 25); lip (2/63, 3) visceral (5/63, 8) | Yes (1/63) | Surgery (50/63, 79); RT/CT (13/63, 21) | Available follow-up data (n=31); median, 36 months | A/NED (n=22); Recur (n=9); Meta (n=5); DOD (n=4) | S-100+ (63/63, 100); S-100 (+strong, diffuse; 55/63, 87); GFAP+ (24/40, 60); EMA+ (4/29, 14); MARCB1/INI1 lost (35/52, 67) |
| Laskin et al    | 1991 | 26       | Leg/inguinal region (11/26, 42); arm (9/26, 35); hip/shoulder (2/26, 8); hand/foot (3/26, 12); trunk (1/26, 4) | No | Surgery (26/26, 100) | Available follow-up data (n=24); Median, 36 months | A/NED (n=18); Recur (n=3); Meta (n=4); DOD (n=3) | S100+ (20/25, 80); S-100 (+strong, diffuse; 14/20, 70); NSE+ (11/17, 65) |
| Rekhi et al     | 2017 | 11      | Axilla (3/11, 27); leg (4/11, 36); elbow (1/11, 9); subscapular (1/11, 9); mediastinum (1/11, 9); popliteal fossa (1/11, 9) | Yes (1/11) | Surgery (10/10, 100); RT (1/10, 10%) | Available follow-up data (n=8); median, 23 months | A/NED (n=2); Recur (n=4); Meta (n=4); DOD (n=2) | S-100+ (11/11, 100); S-100 (+strong, diffuse; 9/11, 82); EMA+; (3/7, 43); CK (AE1/AE3)+ (2/7, 29); HMB45+ (1/11, 9); SMARCB1/INI1 lost (3/11, 27) |
| Luzar et al     | 2015 | 11      | Arm (1/11, 9); leg (5/11, 45); trunk (5/11, 45) | No | Surgery (11/11, 100) | Available follow-up data (n=9); median, 53 months | A/NED (n=4); Recur (n=2); Meta (n=3); DOD (n=3) | S-100 (+strong, diffuse; 11/11, 100); GFAP (1/11, 9); Melan A (1/11, 9); SMARCB1/INI1 lost (3/6, 50) |
| Dodd et al      | 1997 | 2       | Abdominal wall (1/2, 50); foot (1/2, 50) | No | Surgery (2/2, 100); RT/CT (1/2, 50) | Available follow-up data (n=1); 14 months | Meta (n=1); DOD (n=1) | S-100+ (2/2, 100) |
| Gupta et al     | 2017 | 1       | Abdominal wall | Yes (1/1) | FNAC | 1 month | Meta; DOD | S-100 (+diffuse); vimentin (+diffuse) |
| Jiwani et al    | 2016 | 1       | Back | No | FNAC | NA | NA | S-100 (+strong, diffuse); vimentin (+strong, diffuse); D2-40 (+strong, diffuse); CD57 (+strong, diffuse) |
| First author/s                  | Year  | Cases, n | Location (n/total, %) | NF1 (n/total) | Treatment (n/total, %) | Follow-up (n/months) | Prognosis (n) | IHC positivity (n/total, %) | (Refs.) |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Linos and Warren              | 2015  | 1        | Back                  | No            | Surgery                | 45 months           | A/NED        | S-100 (+strong, diffuse)    | (35)    |
| Kusumoto et al                | 2015  | 1        | Axilla                | No            | Incision biopsy        | 2.5 months          | Meta; DOD    | S-100 (+strong, diffuse); vimentin (+strong, diffuse); NSE (+strong, diffuse) | (9)     |
| Kuzmik et al                  | 2013  | 1        | Vestibular nerve      | No            | Surgery; RT            | 2 months            | Meta; DOD    | S-100+; vimentin+            | (36)    |
| Natasha et al                 | 2011  | 1        | Uterine corpus        | No            | Surgery; RT; CT        | 6 months            | Meta         | S-100 (+strong, diffuse); vimentin (+strong, diffuse) | (37)    |
| Minagawa et al                | 2011  | 1        | Foot                  | No            | Surgery; CT            | 12 months           | Meta; A/NED  | S-100+                     | (38)    |
| Crystal et al                 | 2009  | 1        | Back                  | Yes (1/1)     | Incision biopsy        | NA                  | NA           | S-100+                     | (18)    |
| Allison et al                 | 2005  | 1        | Wrist                 | Yes (1/1)     | Surgery                | 36 months           | A/NED        | S-100 (+strong, diffuse); vimentin+; NSE+; GFAP+; MBP+ | (6)     |
| Matsuda et al                 | 2005  | 1        | Thigh                 | No            | Incision biopsy        | NA                  | Meta         | S-100+; vimentin+; NSE+; GFAP+; EMA+ | (39)    |
| Izycka-Swieszewska et al       | 2005  | 1        | Maxillary sinus       | No            | Incision biopsy        | 6 months            | DOD          | S-100+; vimentin+; Cam 5.2+; NSE+ | (7)     |
| Tsuchiya et al                | 2004  | 1        | Face                  | No            | Surgery                | 13 months           | Meta; DOD    | S-100+; vimentin+; NGFR+     | (31)    |
| Reis-Filho et al              | 2002  | 1        | Presacral area        | No            | FNAC                   | 2 months            | Meta; DOD    | S-100+; vimentin+; GFAP+; EMA+ | (40)    |
| Eltoum et al                  | 1999  | 1        | Urinary bladder       | No            | Surgery; RT            | 2 months            | Recur; Meta  | S-100 (+strong, diffuse); vimentin (+strong, diffuse); NSE+ | (41)    |
| Lee et al                     | 1997  | 1        | Vulva                 | No            | Surgery                | 16 months           | A/NED        | S-100+; vimentin+; NSE+     | (42)    |
| Misago et al                  | 1996  | 1        | Back                  | No            | Surgery                | 96 months           | Recur; A/NED | S-100+; vimentin+           | (29)    |

+, positivity; A/NED, alive, no evidence of disease; CK, cytokeratin; DOD, died of disease; EMA, epithelial membrane antigen; FNAC, fine-needle aspiration cytology; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; HMB45, melanoma-associated antigen; MBP, myelin basic protein; Meta, metastases; n, number; NA, not available; NF1, neurofibromatosis type 1; NGFR, nerve growth factor receptor; NSE, neuron-specific enolase; Recur, recurrence; RT, radiotherapy; CT, chemotherapy.
variation in survival rates. The clinicopathological characteristics of eMPNST from the aforementioned clinical studies and case reports are summarized in Table III. The largest study, including 63 cases, was presented by Jo and Fletcher (13). The study reported that follow-up data were available for 31 cases over a median duration of 26 months, and that 9 patients developed local recurrence and 4 patients succumbed to metastatic disease. It was concluded that there is a lower risk for recurrence, metastasis and disease-associated mortality in patients with eMPNST compared with that in patients with conventional MPNST. The study by Laskin et al (19) analyzed 26 patients with eMPNSTs, including 16 patients (61.5%) with tumors in superficial locations and 10 patients (38.5%) with tumors in deep-seated locations. The results revealed that eMPNSTs in superficial locations are less aggressive than the deep-seated tumors. The patient included in the present study experienced two recurrences, one at 6 months after the initial surgery, and the other one at 5 months after the second surgery. Postoperative follow-up at 2 years revealed no evidence of recurrent disease following the final surgery, which may be due to the use of a wide resection combined with radiotherapy at a dose of 60 Gy. Furthermore, metastasis has not occurred in the patient in the 4-year period following initial surgery. In the present study, the behavior of the superficial eMPNST was favorable, despite repeated recurrences. Similarly, Misago et al (29) reported that in a patient with superficial eMPNST located on the back, who underwent three operations and had relapses following two previous surgeries, no evidence of recurrence was observed at ~2 years following final surgery. Despite repeated recurrences, the patient did not exhibit any signs of metastasis for ~8 years following the first operation. Superficial eMPNST is generally considered to have benign clinical behavior (13), which may be due to its early clinical manifestation and detection of recurrence, the superficial location and the fact that it can be clinically treated at an early stage by radical excision with free margins. In addition, other sarcomas, including superficial/cutaneous leiomyosarcoma, have a more favorable prognosis than their deep-seated counterparts (30). However, previous studies and case reports on eMPNSTs suggested that superficial eMPNSTs may not have such favorable clinical progression. For example, in the study by Rekhi et al (15), data from the follow-up performed on 8 patients with superficial eMPNST over a median duration of 26 months revealed that tumors exhibit the same potentially aggressive biological behaviors regardless of tumor depth. Although most patients in the study had undergone surgical resection, >50% of surgical margin statuses were either positive or unclear, which could affect the accuracy of the conclusion. Tsuchiya et al (31) reported that a patient with superficial eMPNST on the face, who had undergone three surgical excisions and three metastases during a period of 12 months, succumbed to multiple metastases to the lung, liver and brain 13 months after the initial surgery. In the present study, lesions were present on the cheek near the corner of the eye, and the difficulty in completely excising the tumor, and the skin defects which remained following surgical removal of the tumor required a skin graft from other areas of the body each time. These reasons may have resulted in the tumor not being removed completely with an adequate surgical margin. The primary treatment for MPNST consisted of urgent radical excision with a free margin. However, it is technically challenging to obtain a free margin resection, due to the large size of the tumor or the difficulty in accessing the anatomical location of the tumor, including the head and neck area. This may explain the poor prognosis of MPNSTs of the head and neck (32,33). Although superficial eMPNSTs may carry a good prognosis, they have the potential for recurrence and metastasis, and long-term follow-up for every patient is therefore essential. In conclusion, eMPNST is histologically characterized by the predominance of epithelioid tumor cells, with diffuse positivity for S-100 protein, and is rarely associated with NF-1. eMPNST is a diagnostically challenging neoplasm with an extensive differential diagnosis. Appropriate clinical and histological evaluation with a suitable immunohistochemical panel is crucial to determine the correct diagnosis of this rare tumor. The principal treatment for eMPNST consists of a complete surgical resection with negative margins; however, subsequent radiotherapy may be crucial to reduce the risk of local recurrences. eMPNSTs are frequently identified in superficial locations and this location confers a good prognosis. However, eMPNSTs may have the potential for recurrence and metastasis. Long-term patient follow-up is therefore crucial.
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