A Case of an Increase in Prothrombin Time-International Normalized Ratio by Interaction Between Warfarin and Baloxavir Marboxil in a Patient on Implantable Ventricular Assist Device
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ABSTRACT – Background: Baloxavir marboxil (BM) is a novel drug with a cap-dependent endonuclease inhibitory action for influenza A or B; it is highly safe and requires just a single oral dose. Patients with severe heart failure use implantable ventricular assist device (iVAD) until transplantation, but they have an increased risk of thrombosis development. Their warfarin is administered based on point-of-care testing (POCT) with a strict control of prothrombin time-international normalized ratio (PT-INR). Case report: Here, we report a case of a patient with iVAD whose PT-INR was significantly increased from the target range after BM administration. The patient was a 45-year-old man and transplanted with iVAD; warfarin treatment was started when his PT-INR target range was 3.0–3.5. At home, he frequently self-measured PT-INR by POCT and precisely controlled the warfarin dose. He had a fever, was diagnosed with influenza A and was administered BM 40 mg. Thereafter, his PT-INR continued to increase, reaching 4.8 on day 12 of BM administration, exceeding his target range; warfarin was skipped for 1 day. In this case, based on the history of BM administration and clinical course, the increase in PT-INR could be due to BM. Considering the interaction between warfarin and BM, we suspected a possibility of competition for protein-binding sites. Increased PT-INR in the patient was detected early by POCT and thus severe bleeding was avoided. Conclusion: Strict monitoring of PT-INR when using BM in patients taking warfarin is of clinical importance.

INTRODUCTION

Baloxavir marboxil (BM) is a novel drug with a cap-dependent endonuclease inhibitory action for influenza A or B [1-3]. The clinical advantages of BM are it is highly safe and requires just a single oral dose [1-3]. In a recent trial, BM was shown to be effective and safe for patients at a high risk of developing influenza-related complications such as asthma and chronic lung disease, endocrine disorders, and heart diseases [3]. Therefore, in the future, BM will be more frequently used in high-risk patients.

In Japan, patients suffering severe heart failure and requiring transplants have a limited number of organ donors; moreover, the waiting time before a heart transplant is long. Therefore, an implantable ventricular assist device (iVAD) is used as a bridge to transplant [4]. Patients with iVAD require postoperative anticoagulant therapy and use warfarin. Their prothrombin time-international normalized ratio (PT-INR), an indicator of warfarin efficacy, is precisely controlled [5]. Warfarin poses a clinical problem because the PT-INR fluctuates due to the drug’s interactions with other drugs and vitamin K intake [6-9]. Here, we report a case of a patient with iVAD whose PT-INR was significantly increased from the target range with the intake of BM.

CASE REPORT

The patient was a 45-year-old man (height 171.9 cm and weight 71.2 kg). He was transplanted with iVAD for severe heart failure and administered warfarin when his PT-INR target range was 3.0–3.5. At home, patients with iVAD must frequently self-measure PT-INR by point-of-care testing (POCT) and precisely control their warfarin dose, and so did our patient. He had a fever of 38°C; he was examined by a physician. He was tested negative for influenza, was prescribed dextromethorphan hydrobromide hydrate and acetaminophen tablets. On the subsequent day, he visited the doctor again because
his fever rose to 39.4°C. He was diagnosed with influenza A and was administered a single dose of BM 40 mg. The changes in the PT-INR and warfarin dose before and after administering BM are shown in Figure 1. His PT-INR was 3.3 at 7 days before administering BM and warfarin was continued at the dose of 4 mg/day. On the day of BM administration, his PT-INR was 2.4, which was below the target range; his warfarin dose was increased to 4.25 mg/day. On day 3 of BM administration, his fever was resolved, but his PT-INR was further reduced to 2.2 and therefore, his warfarin dose was increased to 5 mg/day. On day 5 of BM administration, his PT-INR reached the target range of 3.0 and his warfarin dose was reduced to 4.75 mg/day. On day 8 of BM administration, his PT-INR was increased to 4.2 and his warfarin dose was reduced to 4 mg/day. On day 12 of BM administration, his PT-INR significantly exceeded the target range of 4.8 and warfarin was discontinued. Warfarin was discontinued for 1 day, then warfarin was resumed at 2 mg/day, and its dose was adjusted while monitoring his PT-INR.

Figure 1. Changes in warfarin dose (A) and prothrombin time-international normalized ratio (PT-INR) (B) before and after taking baloxavir marboxil (BM). A patient on implantable ventricular assist device (iVAD) taking warfarin was diagnosed with influenza and was administered BM. The PT-INR was increased.

He showed good drug compliance and did not take foods or supplements rich in vitamin K that would affect the effects of warfarin. His other concomitant medications were clopidogrel sulfate, vonoprazan fumarate, eplerenone, carvedilol, enalapril maleate, furosemide, tolvaptan, amiodarone hydrochloride, sodium ferrous citrate, Clostridium butyricum MIYAIRI, magnesium oxide, quetiapine fumarate, pregabalin, olopatadine hydrochloride, and suvorexant. The changes in his laboratory tests (mean ± standard deviation) before and after taking BM were as follows: PT-INR, 3.2 ± 1.0; Hb, 15.5 ± 1.1 g/dL; total bilirubin, 1.2 ± 0.3 mg/dL; gamma-glutamyltransferase, 69.0 ± 9.9 U/L; AST, 20.5 ± 2.1 U/L; ALT, 13.5 ± 2.1 U/L; albumin, 4.1 ± 0.1 g/dL; serum creatinine, 0.8 ± 0.1 mg/dL; and estimated glomerular filtration rate, 78.5 ± 6.4 mL/min/1.73 m².

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case report to show that the anti-influenza drug BM increased PT-INR in a patient on iVAD taking warfarin. In this case, based on the history of BM administration and clinical course, the increase in PT-INR could be due to BM. Warfarin interacts with various drugs and foods and inhibits vitamin K-
dependent coagulation factors. It is metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP450) isozymes; furthermore, it has a high protein-binding rate [6-9]. BM is immediately hydrolyzed to its active form, S-033447 [1], which has a high protein-binding rate (93%–94%). S-033447 is mainly metabolized by uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase 1A3 to a glucuronide conjugate, and then by CYP3A to sulfoxide, which is excreted in the bile. Its half-life of is estimated to be 96 h [1]. In addition, BM and S-033447 are substrates for P-glycoprotein (P-gp). BM weakly inhibits P-gp, CY2B6, CYP2C8, and CYP3A, and S-033447 inhibits P-gp and breast cancer receptor protein, but they have no major clinical effect [1]. Therefore, we suspected that the BM-induced increase in PT-INR in our patient involved competition for protein-binding sites as reported for antimicrobials [6-8]. Another possible reason could be that the symptoms of influenza had reduced the intake of diets containing vitamin K. Oseltamivir, an oral anti-influenza drug with neuraminidase inhibitory effect, was initially reported to have no effect on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of warfarin [10]. Recently, it has been reported that oseltamivir increased the PT-INR in patients receiving warfarin [11-14]. For reasons that have not been clarified, it is recommended to closely monitor the PT-INR when using oseltamivir in patients taking warfarin [11-14].

Another important point in this case was the early detection of his elevated PT-INR by POCT. It is crucial to control PT-INR by POCT in patients taking warfarin [15], and its usefulness in patients on iVAD has been confirmed [16]. It is used by patients on iVAD in Japan. When the risk of blood clot is high and the target range of PT-INR is high as in this case, the risk of bleeding should be considered. Therefore, interaction with warfarin is a serious problem and frequent PT-INR measurements by POCT are essential.

CONCLUSION

Strict monitoring of PT-INR is necessary when BM is administered to patients taking warfarin. More caution is needed especially when treating high-risk patients with a high PT-INR target range.
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