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Abstract
Bioactive compounds derived from plants and microbial sources are required for the survival of the human race and groundbreaking research must continue in this line. Plants and microbes are the major sources of naturally occurring bioactive compounds for numerous biotechnological applications. Recent progress in the fields of bioactive compounds and soil chemistry in agriculture has since given man a lead to the discovery of potent drugs that combat both human and plant diseases. The soil provides the medium for the growth of medicinal plants, but its contamination greatly affects the quality of drugs, food crops, and other essential elements present in the plants which give strength to the body. This area has attracted the attention of scientists and the drug industry toward developing more potent drugs from medicinal plants grown in different soil. The studies of the effect of various parameters and the properties of soil such as; effect of heavy metals, pH, soil organic matter, and phytoremediation process have given a measure of some quality dependence of the soil producing secondary metabolites and soil containing microbes. The information provided will be useful in determine the action of microbes and their interaction with the soil and all true plants producing drugs. Some active compounds in plants and microbes, their properties, and applications have been described in this review. The soil microbes, activities and their interactions, effects of soil particle size, dispersibility and stability of microbes in the soil, and the future outlook for the development of novel active compounds have been reported.
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1 Introduction

Chemistry in agriculture is a growing area of interest in modern technology particularly in the areas of drug development and food quality for many human purposes. Plants grown in the soil form the major potential sources of medicine of high therapeutic value in the form of secondary metabolites that are used in the treatment of various diseases [1–4]. The studies of the different part of plant (leaves, roots, barks, fruits and seeds) have led to the discovery of numerous biologically active drugs. A great deal of attention has been paid to the resistive nature and causes of the decrease in the mechanism of action of the phytoconstituents present in plants. Soil contamination tends to affect medicinal plants and other agricultural products grown in the soil. The growing resistance of pathogens against the already known drugs has called for a research to find out the effects responsible for the lessening of the chemical activity of drugs isolated from these medicinal plants [5]. The need for new and more active antibiotics to fight multidrug-resistant microbial pathogens is therefore necessary [6]. To begin with, the discovery of a well-known anti-malarial drug, quinine, is a particularly good example (Fig. 1) which in recent times have been found to possess a decrease in its chemical activity. Among numerous other reasons why some microorganisms and bacteria developed resistance against drugs in this century is the presence of toxic heavy metals in the soil such as cadmium, mercury, lead, chromium, arsenic, nickel, copper and zinc [7].

Heavy metals at high concentration causes soil toxicity and affects soil performance by reducing the availability of important mineral elements present in the soil. Some of the active components in plants are affected this way, enzyme
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activity is weaken, and the soil microorganisms are significantly reduced [8, 9]. A large deposit of heavy metals in the soil may come due to the various human activities including waste disposal, industrial sewage release, weapon testing by the military, application of fertilizers, smelting and metalurgy, painting, tanning process, mining and oil exploration activities. Although, soil microbes may use heavy metals in the soil for their metabolic action and growth, through good utilization of the metals via electron transfer process. But when at high concentration, the metals greatly affect their life cycle. Reports have shown anaerobic bacteria to be capable of removing contaminants from farm lands affected by crude-oil spillage [10]. Also, the soil microorganisms aid the recycling of the soil organic matter (SOM), reworking and mineralizing the organic residues into useful substances; sulphur, nitrogen, phosphorus, carbon dioxide and water, and other nutrients needed by the plants to improve its overall quality [11].

Most soils especially in Africa, contain low percentage of organic matter. The SOM consists of important chemical compounds like the alkyl aromatics, phenols, carbohydrates, peptides, hydrocarbons, lipids, sterols, lignins, bound and free fatty acids, nitriles, and suberin [12]. SOM also help in the physical protection of the soil, root development, microbial activity and for the prevention of erosion [13]. Soil health and quality are assessed using some prime indicators which include the effect of pH, and nanoparticles (NPs) dispersed in the soil depending on specific use of the land. Addition of fertilizers in various agricultural fields affects both the plants and soil pH. Soil pH then affects the availability of plant nutrients, medicinal value, quality of food crops, and the overall activity of microorganisms. Most plants will require a pH range of 6–7.5 for optimal growth, less toxicity with the high expectation of medicinal value. NPs nowadays are used for plant protection and their release into the agricultural soil affects the performance of soil microorganisms [14, 15]. For instance, TiO<sub>2</sub> and CuO NPs, are applied in the soil to cause decay in bacterial growth, and to reduce multiplicity of soil microorganisms [16, 17]. Again, the microorganisms in soil respond to different type of NPs due to some other factors, which include the quantity, time, inherent toxicity, experimental conditions, treated microbiological species, and other soil properties (pH, SOM, water content, iron strength) [18].

Large numbers of opportunities have opened up recently in the discovery of novel compounds isolated from microbial and plant sources, including the new chemical approach of manufacturing bioactive metabolites from bacteria which are developed into drugs. The bioactive compounds of plants and microorganisms are

![Fig. 1 a Quinine and some derivatives, b 3-D sticks and ball model structures of quinine. Reprinted with permission from Reference [20, 21]](image-url)
continually explored for the discovery of new drugs worldwide. Man will not rest in search of natural products because some of these microorganisms live together with the plants in the different parts under natural environments thereby creating a strong relationship. This relationship between microorganisms and plants has grown and many bioactive compounds are now used as medicines. The growth of knowledge in this area is increasing at an accelerating pace and a large number of naturally derived drugs are known and isolated every day. It is worthy to note that, this field has become a rewarding scientific effort in investigating bioactive molecules from microbes that are used in finding new specialized metabolites [19]. The soil characteristics and microbial activity could lead to the determination of soil quality, enzyme activity and biological situation used as indicators for measuring the activity of isolated compounds. A new dimension of research to achieving this should involve the application of NPs, computational and optimization of molecules, their molecular docking studies, dynamic simulation, and drug design. This area is particularly interesting because it makes work easier for scientists in studying the properties of compounds, calculating the energy and other thermodynamic parameters and this altogether is believed to be the promise of future drugs. It should be noted however, that isolation of natural products has dramatically changed due to the advent of computational chemistry. This review covers the summary of the recent progress of some bioactive compounds, properties, influence and their various applications. It also analyzes soil microbial activities, their interactions, effects of soil particle size, dispersibility and stability of soil microbes and the future perspective for the development of bioactive chemical compounds.

2 Properties of Active Compounds and Applications

Natural products derived from plants and microbial sources are known over the years, and their unending applications in drug discovery and development remained very promising. The different parts of diverse plant species have demonstrated the essential sources of novel drugs in the treatment of malaria, cancer, heart diseases, fungal, and bacterial infections. The phytochemical studies of plants over the years have indicated the presence of flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins, saponins, and glycosides in medicinal plants. They constitute most of the active ingredients present in the plants for the overall benefits of man and plants as shown in Table 1. These phytochemicals, especially in high concentrations, can protect the plants from free radical damage and hyperaccumulation. This will also enable the plant to produce a variety of diverse bioactive compounds for use in the treatment of harmful diseases. Plants containing positive phytochemicals form the rich source of antioxidants which increase value to the total health and well-being of humankind [22]. Phytochemicals also perform voluminous biological and physiological functions and are widely distributed in diverse plant species. Reports have shown the extracts of most plant species to be active against different pathogenic microorganisms; such as *Candida albicans*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Bacillus subtilis* [23]. Some of these microorganisms are more susceptible and give the highest zone of inhibition than others based on their classification as either Gram-negative or Gram-positive species [24]. Table 2 shows the zone of inhibition for the growth of plant extracts against some tests organisms namely; *S. aureus*, *S. typhi*, *E. coli*, *C. albicans*, and *C. tropicalis* to study the interaction between the various plants extracts with

| Study Plants | Phytochemicals |
|--------------|----------------|
|              | Alkaloid | Flavanoids | Saponins | Tannins | Glycosides |
| [28] Talinum triangulare | + | + | + | + | - |
| [29] Vernonia ambigua | + | + | + | + | + |
| [30] Indigofera conferta | + | + | + | + | - |
| [25] Lantana camara | - | + | + | + | + |
| [31] Coula edulis | - | + | + | + | + |
| Pseudospondias longifolia | - | + | + | + | + |
| Carapa klaineana | - | + | + | + | + |
| [32] Lophira procera | + | + | + | + | + |
| [33] Tulbaghia species | - | + | + | + | + |
| [34] Distemonanthus benthamianus | + | + | + | + | + |
| [35] Mangroves plants | - | + | + | + | + |

Key = + (present), − (Absent)
the microorganisms. The zone of inhibition gives a measure of determining if a particular plant extract is active or not against a specific microorganism but the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) gives the correct measure of the potency of the extracts at a stated concentration (in absolute standard unit) and is usually compare with a standard drug. To find out if the extracts can destroy the microorganism, a test of minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) is usually carried out.

Some antibiotics produced from medicinal plants come with a series of unwanted side effects that limits their applications. Most of the microorganisms causing diarrhea, cutaneous and urinary infections, and other respiratory diseases are currently developing resistance. This is mostly due to the indiscriminate use of antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of ailments. The need to understand the mechanisms of action of microorganisms toward developing new antimicrobial agents that are active with reduced side effects is necessary [25]. Chemical compounds isolated from the extract of plant species also find application in the treatment of cancerous cells, chickenpox, measles, asthma, ulcers, swellings, eczema, tumors, and high blood pressure. They are also used to cure fevers, tetanus, rheumatism, and malaria. The different plant extracts of medicinal values also possess insecticidal, and nematicidal activity in addition to verbascoside that has a combination of both antimicrobial, and antitumor activities [26, 27]. Some factors such as the effect of nanoparticles, heavy metals, soil organic matter, and pH affecting medicinal plants, microbial activities in the soil, their cultural practices, and their various influences on microbial biomass are briefly discussed.

2.1 Effect of Nanoparticles

Nanoparticles greatly affect the structure and function of microorganisms in the soil but little information has been reported so far [37]. NPs have a potential impact on the toxicity of microorganisms thus hugely affected by their size, shape, and concentration [38]. For instance, silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) released into agricultural land may impact soil microbial activity and diversity, also their toxicological effects may be specific to microbial species [39, 40]. Soil microorganisms are the key players in nutrient cycling, fixing nitrogen to the plants and are indispensable to the soil ecosystem [41]. Wastewater treated by NPs, are used in agricultural farmlands to enhance the nutritional value of medicinal plants [42]. Reports have shown that soil microorganisms influenced by the building-up of AgNPs in the soil are used to decrease the bacterial abundance [43, 44]. In another development, soil properties and structure, as well as bacterial phyla may change due to the varieties of NPs [45]. Some NPs even harm the microbial community composition of activated sludge, especially on the abundance of nitrifying bacteria which also negatively changed the metabolic activity of soil microbes [46]. In recent technology, however, NPs synthesized by plants can be used to remove metal toxicity through the bioaccumulation process.

2.2 Effect of Heavy Metals

Soil contamination with toxic heavy metals by various human activities is a critical environmental problem in both developing and developed countries. Heavy metals introduced into medicinal plants through contaminated soil, water, and other elements may lead to serious problems for the plant. The growing of medicinal plants in heavy metal polluted environments may eventually affect the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, causing significant changes in the quantity and quality of the bioactive compounds presents [47]. Heavy metals are capable of reducing the enzyme activities in the soil as a result of soil pollution. Several studies have reported the relevance of microorganisms in soil and the effect of heavy metals concerning the contamination processes which disrupt their ecosystem [48]. This is due to the complex interaction between the chemical pollutants and the microbial biomass that exist among the different types of soil [49]. The effect of heavy metals on medicinal plants producing drugs is monitored by the action of The amount of heavy metals may influence the soil properties such as the soil type, soil pH and salinity which significantly affect the soil microbial biomass and their activities [50, 51]. Bacteria, fungi, and Protista are the type of microorganisms that

| Study          | Plants extracts                  | Test organism | S. aureus | S. typhi | E. coli | C. albicans | C. tropicalis |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| [36]           | Anisopus mannii                  |               | 24        | 06      | 06      | 22          | 20           |
| [30]           | Indigofera conferta              |               | 30        | –       | 18      | 16          | Nd           |
| [31]           | Coula edulis                     |               | 13        | 8       | 12      | 10          | Nd           |
| Pseudospondias longifolia |                   |               | 9         | 8       | 7       | 10          | Nd           |
| Carapa klaineana      |                   |               | 7         | Nd      | 8       | 8           | Nd           |
| [31]           | Distemonanthus benthamianus      |               | 9         | 11      | 9       | 7           | Nd           |

Nd—not determined
can degrade or remove heavy metal compounds effectively and transform the end-product into part of their metabolism for use by the plants with the aid of specialized enzymes. Microorganisms and secondary metabolites in plants have been reported to stabilize and reduce metal ions into NPs [52]. The NPs with the active compounds can form a stable complex which if harnessed has the potential for drug discovery and in the harvesting of other precious molecules.

2.3 Soil Organic Matter

From the environmental point of view, the application of organic matter into the soil helps to increase the microbial biomass and the plant nutrients. The physical and chemical properties of soil and the availability of nutrients for the plant and microbial growth is hugely influenced by the SOM. The decrease in the SOM content, however, directly affects the microbial biodiversity and this has some advantage in the sustainability of the plant over the use of chemical fertilizers. The organic matter composed of the animal dung and feces, their compost and crop residues to soil usually increase the soil biomass and their activities. The relationship between the quantity of organic matter and soil microbial biomass applied in the soils is amended with the various organic materials. The use of inorganic fertilizer may not be as good as the effect of application of organic material in the soil for the plant growth and its medicinal value. Soil microbial populations tend to increase by the addition of animal manures or by synthetic fertilizers where crop residue production and soil organic matter levels are simultaneously increased. SOM can either be active or passive, fast in recycling or based on humic fraction which can remain in the soil for years [13].

2.4 Effect of pH

Soil pH is an important variable in producing medicinal plants of high value from which active drugs are isolated. It can be used to determine the effect of the microbial community in soil, enzyme activity and microbial distribution. For instance, Khamna et al. [53] reported the effect of pH on enzyme system, stability and solubility of many important substances that aid bacterial growth on soils. Contaminants and other pollutants in the soil can also be removed by the phytoremediation process, a technology of emerging interest in the field of environmental remediation [48].

2.5 Phytoremediation

Different plant species that accumulate heavy metals have been found very useful in the decontamination of metal-polluted soils through the phytoremediation process. Phytoremediation is a cheap technology of removing pollutants from plants to enhance its quality and medicinal value by the conversion of sunlight energy into chemical energy [54]. The high concentration of heavy metal in the soil that deeply affects the soil microbes and their activities, play an important role in the phytoremediation of heavy metals [55]. Again, the soil microorganisms are used for the remediation of heavy metals in our environments. They are able to achieve this because of the physiological mechanisms they display which allow them to tolerate and utilize heavy metals in their systems for metabolic action [48].

3 Bioactive Compounds from Medicinal Plants

Bioactive compounds derived from different plant species of medicinal value are active against microorganisms and parasitic infections [56]. Bioactive compounds are present in over 400,000 different plant species worldwide but only a little fraction of these huge numbers have been explored in the scientific community [57]. They are screened and isolated via rigorous scientific analyses and characterizations to investigate and determine their therapeutic properties [58]. Good numbers of the chemical compounds derived from plants and microorganisms are made available as drugs for clinical uses during the process of drug discovery as presented in Fig. 2. Extensive studies of these natural products and their derivatives have given a lead to drug discovery of high therapeutic values. Bioactive compounds can also be synthesized in different pathways, but their mechanism of action differ significantly from those derived from plant materials. In its entirety therefore, the bioactive compounds have revolutionized the medicinal world.

The antitumoral, antifungal, anticancer, antimalarial and antibacterial drugs have been known and discovered from medicinal plants in the past decades [59–61]. However, the fungal pathogens have grown resistance overtime against the antifungal drugs during the last 10–15 years. Due to this reason, the importance to harness the benefits of other medicinal plants has increased because of their potential activities [62]. In another development, various important drugs such as morphine, cocaine, quinine, and codeine isolated from medicinal plants are still in use as painkiller, cough remedies and so on [58]. The discovery of sesquiterpene artemisinin is a breakthrough for the development of antimalarial drugs which can even kill multidrug-resistant strains of Plasmodium falciparum [63]. Table 3 shows the isolated bioactive compound from useful medicinal plants and their applications.

3.1 Bioactive Compounds from Soil Microbes

Living organism such as bacteria and fungi produce important biologically active compounds that find wide spectrum
of applications as antibiotics to cure diseases [89]. To date and beyond the perception of man, numerous antibiotics isolated from microbial world have been shown to inhibit the growth of other organisms [90]. Certain isolated compounds such as the statins are used in controlling heart diseases whereas cyclosporin and fujimycin are useful as immunosuppressive agents. The Streptomyces in the soil gives more than 70% of the important antibiotics and agro-antibiotic chemical compounds used in curing diseases [91]. Bioactive compounds such as dibutyl phthalate and eicosane produced by Streptomyces are used for antifungal activity against Rhizoctonia solani [92]. In recent times, the isolation and production of bioactive compounds of microbial origin are on the increasing application in health care. Some bioactive compounds of microbial sources and their applications are reported in Table 4. Most of the isolated compounds have antidiabetic, anticancer, antibacterial, and antifungal activities. A huge number of microbial metabolites have been isolated and screened for the treatment of human diseases.

In another development, bioactive compounds synthesized by microorganisms in association with plants are recently in very high demand for drug manufacture due to their health benefits to both man and plants. Singh et al. [103] reported the production of an array of compounds by microbes inside of plant (endophytes), they produced promising resources of biotechnologically important active compounds and secondary metabolites. The endophytic Streptomyces are also antimicrobial agents that produced novel antibiotics that are effective against multi-drug-resistant bacteria, they are toxic to pathogens and do not harm humans and are very sustainable. Besides their high pharmaceutical applications, they are also used in promoting the growth of plants. Extracellular enzymes like the Hydrolases produced by endophytic bacteria, help the plants to establish systemic resistance against pathogens invasion. Again, the actinomycetes present in the soil are capable of producing bioactive compounds against several phytopathogens [104, 105].

### 3.2 The Chemistry of Soil Microbes and Their Interaction

The relationship between plant and soil microorganisms can be beneficial or harmful to plants. Understanding the interaction of the medicinal plant with microorganisms in respect of their physicochemical environment may provide insights into the microbial ecology of the plant-associated endophytes [106]. These microorganisms live in different parts of the plants forming distinct microbial communities. The endophytic bacteria help in supplying nutrients to the plants such as nitrogen, potassium, iron, and indole acetic acid while the plant provides the endophytes with a stable environment [107, 108]. Some bacteria, fungi, and viruses are pathogenic microbes that cause diseases to the plant. Rhizobium is an important microorganism that helps the plant resist biochemical stress and promote the nutrient and growth of the plant. Duca et al. [109] reported the interaction and relationship between microbes and the active compounds in the soil. Their studies have shown that endophytic bacteria produce plant growth promoters. Nitrogen gas in the air are reduced into nitrogenous compounds and other products in a symbiotic relationship between Rhizobium and Frankia. Also, the absorption capacity of the root and water availability significantly improved the mycorrhizal fungi. The bioactive compounds produced from microbes are...
| S/No. | Research | Plant species       | English name | Therapeutic Activity                              | Active agents/uses                                                                 |
|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | [64, 65] | Punica granatum     | Pomegranate  | Antibacterial, antifungal, antimicrobial          | Flavonoids, tannins, steroids, glycosides /Bronchitis, diarrhea, man power, etc       |
| 2     | [64]     | Lavandula multifidi | Lavender     | Antibacterial, antimicrobial                      | Phenolic compounds, coumarins, terpenes/ analgesic and sedative uses                 |
| 3     | [66, 67] | Azadirachta indica | Neem         | Antibacterial, antifungal                         | Terpenoids, saladin, valasin, melacin, nimbinic, gedulin, etc./teeth decay, ulcers, malaria, liver, diarrhea |
| 4     | [68]     | Curcuma longa       | Turmeric     | Anticancer, anti-inflammatory                     | Flavonoids, alkaloids, saponins /menstrual disorder, pain, tonsilitis, tonic          |
| 5     | [69, 70] | Terminalia chebula | Black myrobans | Anti-oxidant, antimicrobial, antibacterial, anti-diabetic | Tannins, shikimic acid compounds, triterpenoids /asthma, sore throat, vomiting, hiccough, dysentery, bleeding piles, gout, heart and bladder diseases, etc |
| 6     | [71]     | Ricinus communis    | Castor bean  | Anti-oxidant, antimicrobial, antifungal, anti-tumorous | Alkaloid (ricinine), lectin, tannins /liver, tumors, wounds, ulcer, etc              |
| 7     | [72]     | Dennettia tripetala | Pepper fruit | Antibacterial, antimicrobial, antifungal          | Alkaloids, flavonoids/cough, fever, toothache, diabetes, and nausea                  |
| 8     | [65]     | Cuminum cymmin      | Cumin        | Antibacterial, antifungal, antimicrobial          | Limonoids, and terpenoids/diarrhea, dyspepsia, flatulence, morning sickness, colic, headache and bloating |
| 9     | [73, 74] | Phyllanthus emblica | Indian gooseberry | Antiviral, antimicrobial, anticancer, anti-diabetic | Emblicanin A&B, Punigluconin, and pedunculagin/cough, anemia, asthma, leprosy, jaundice, etc |
| 10    | [75]     | Artemisia absinthium | Wormwood    | Antiviral, antimicrobial, antimalarial             | Chamazulene, Thujone, artemisinin, /malaria, hepatic and digestive disorders, stomach ache, wounds, etc |
| 11    | [76]     | Aloe vera           | Aloe vera    | Antiviral and antitumor activity antidiabetic, anti-septic effect | Aloin, flavonoids, emodin, tannin, sterols /ashes and skin disorders, burns, wound, etc |
| 12    | [77]     | Periploca laevigata | Silkvine     | Antibacterial, antioxidant, antimicrobial         | Phenolic compounds, flavonoids/ digestive disorders, hypertension                  |
| 13    | [78]     | Psidium guajava     | Guava        | Antibacterial antimicrobial, antioxidant          | Phenolic, flavonoid, carotenoid, terpenoid and triterpene /enteritis, diarrhea and diabetes |
| 14    | [65]     | Thymus vulgaris     | Thyme        | Antibacterial, antimicrobial, antifungal          | Flavonoids, steroids and saponins, /bronchial and urinary infections, laryngitis, liver, etc |
| 15    | [79]     | Lantana camara      | Lantana      | Antibacterial, antifungal, antimicrobial, antioxidant | Alkaloids, tannins, coumarins, saponins /Asthma, ulcer, tumors, eczema, etc           |
| 16    | [80, 81] | Calotropis procera  | Sodom apple  | Antibacterial, antifungal, anticaner, antimicrobial, antiviral | Alkaloids, steroids, tannins, glycosides /Fever, indigestion, colds, and diarrhea       |
| 17    | [82, 83] | Moringa olifera     | Moringa      | Antibacterial, antifungal, antidiabetic, antipyretic, antimicrobial | Vicenmin-2, Multiflorin-B, Pterygospermin, 6-sitosterol, Niaziminic, Alkaloid Moringine /heart diseases, hyperlipidemia, ulcer, blood sugar regulation, etc |
| 18    | [84, 85] | Ziziphus abyssinica | Catch thorn  | Antibacterial, antifungal, antioxidant, antimicrobial | Alkaloids, steroids, flavonoids, tannins, saponins /Pain-killer, pneumonia, snake bite, migraines, burns, etc |
| 19    | [86]     | Guiera senegalensis | Sabara       | Antimalarial, antifungal, antiplasmodium, antimicrobial | Harman, tetrahydroharm alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, /Rheumatism, gastrointestinal disorders, malaria, etc |
widely used to stimulate plant growth in the form of bio-pesticides, phyto-stimulators, and bio-fertilizers to increase crop yield and to control plant in defense against pathogens. A very important phyto-hormone, Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), which is produced by diverse species of bacteria, is found beneficial and venomous but has the capacity to control the growth and development of plants. Figure 3 shows the plant and microbial biosynthetic pathways of IAA. The phytopathogens and plant growth promoters synthesized IAA in three basic pathways. They are the indole-3-pyruvic acid, indole-3-acetamide, and indole-3-acetonitrile pathways.

3.3 Computational Methods to Drug Leads

The knowledge of computational chemistry has simplified and improved the design of drugs, especially to the pharmaceutical industries through the correct study of the relationship between chemical structure and the bioactivity of molecules [111]. Computational methods of drug improvement are currently taking lead because it minimizes the risk associated with the discovery of potent drugs, shortens the period of screening and searching of bioactive compounds. The knowledge derived from the computational studies is used to support the design of new drugs with high potency. The study employed the use of computational tools for the analysis and modeling of the chemical compounds. Reports have shown that computational tools used in the development of drugs may reduce up to 50% of the cost [112] and recently some studies in this line have been carried out on quinolone derivatives as anti-tubercular agents against Mycobacterium tuberculosis and the findings suggested the in vitro and in vivo studies be carried out to validate the computational/in silico results [113, 114]. Moreover, it provides theoretical frameworks for researchers in the pharmaceutical field of studies toward synthesizing a modified structure with a better activity [115].

4 Soil Microbes, Activities and Applications

Soil microbes also known as soil microorganisms are the living components of the soil ecosystems that comprises of organisms of various sizes which are either large enough to be visible with naked eyes, or too tiny to be only visible with the aid of a microscope. Soil microbes exist in various levels of organization ranging from the cellular level up to the systematic level of organization [116]. The soil organisms are generally classified into major target groups as macrofauna, mesofauna, microflora and microfauna [48]. Macrofauna are those soil organisms that have a diameter greater than 2 mm and are 1 cm long, examples; centipedes, millipedes, termites, earthworms, etc. Mesofauna are those soil organisms that are between 0.2 and 2 mm in size, examples include;
Table 4  Bioactive compounds from soil microbes and their applications

| Soil type                          | Microorganisms                        | Bioactive compound(s)                                                                                     | Applications                                                                                     | References |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Mangrove soil                     | *Nocardiopsis alba*                   | (Z)-1-((1-hydroxypenta-2,4-dien1-yl) oxy) anthracene-9,10-dione                                          | Inhibit growth of many bacteria                                                                   | [93]       |
| All soil types containing actinomycetes | *Streptomyces albolongus*           | K-252-C-Aglycone, indolocarbazole alkaloid, decoycin, cycloheximide                                     | Not yet explored                                                                                 | [94]       |
| All soil types containing actinomycetes | *Streptomyces enissocaesilis*      | Daunorubicin, hygromycin B, agecorynin F, indinavir-N-glucuronide and minocycline                        | Not yet explored                                                                                 | [94]       |
| Mountain forest                   | *Streptomyces sp. HW-003*             | AMRSA1                                                                                                   | Anti-MRSA (Methillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*)                                         | [95]       |
| Desert soil                       | *Streptomyces sp. C34*               | Chaxamycins                                                                                               | Anti-MRSA (Methillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*)                                         | [96]       |
| Mangrove forest soil              | *Microbacterium mangrovi MUSC 115*   | Methyllaurate, 2,4-di-tert-butyl phenol,(3R,8aS)-3-methyl-1,2,3,4,6,7,8,8a-octahydropyrolo[1,2- a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, Pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro-, 1,4-diaza-2,5-dioxy-3-isobutyl bicyclo[4.3.0]nonane, and 3-benzyl-1,4-diaza-2,5-dioxobicyclo[4.3.0]nonane | Antibacterial, anticancer and neuroprotective activities                                             | [97]       |
| Mangrove forest soil              | *Sinomonas humi MUSC 117*            | Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-, Butanoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2,4-di-tert-butyl phenol,(3R,8aS)-3-Methyl-1,2,3,4,6,7,8,8a-octahydropyrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, Pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro-, Methyl n-pentadecanoate, 1,4-diaza-2,5-dioxy-3-isobutyl bicyclo[4.3.0]nonane, 5,10-Diethoxy-2,3,7,8-tetrahydro-1H,6H-dipyrrrolo[1,2-a:10,20-a]pyrazine, Methyl 14-methylhexadecanoate, and pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro-3-(phenylmethyl)- | Antibacterial, anticancer and neuroprotective activities                                             | [97]       |
| Mangrove forest soil              | *Monashia flava MUSC 78*             | 2-Methylpyrazine; Pyrrole, 2-methyl-; Pyrazine, 2,5-dimethyl-; 2,3,4-Trithiapentane; Pyrazine, 2-ethyl-6-methyl-; Pyrazine, 2-ethyl-5-methyl-; Pyrazine, trimethyl-; Pyrazine, 3-ethyl-2,5-dimethyl-; 4H-Pyrrole-4-one, 3-hydroxy-2-methyl-; 1H-Indole, 2,4-di-tert-butyl phenol; 1H-Pyrrole, 2-phenyl-1-Naphthalenamine, N-ethyl-; 3,4-Dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-pyrrole; (3R,8aS)-3-Methyl-1,2,3,4,6,7,8,8a-octahydropyrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro-3-methyltetradecanoate; Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester; Pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro-3(2-methylpropyl)-3-benzyl-1,4-diaza-2,5-dioxobicyclo[4.3.0]nonane | Antibacterial, anticancer and neuroprotective activities                                             | [97]       |
| Soil type          | Microorganisms          | Bioactive compound(s)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Applications                                      | References |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Deep sea           | *Nesterenkonia flava* MCCC 1K00610 | Macrolactin A; macrolactin F; cyclo(D)-Pro-(D)-Leu; cyclo-(Leu-Thr); thymidine; 2′-O-methyluridine; indol-3-carbaldehyde; 2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethan-1-ol; 2-hydroxyphenyl acetic acid; 4-(hydroxymethyl)phenol; 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-ethanol; and 2,3-butanediol | Anti-allergic activities                           | [98]       |
| Different soil types | *Streptomyces thermolilacinus* | Not yet explored                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Antagonistic activities against MDR pathogens     | [99]       |
| Rhizosphere soil   | *Streptomyces lilacinus* NRRL B-1968 T | 2,4-bis (1,1-dimethyl)phenol; 1-hexadecene; tetradecanoic acid; (E)-9-eicosene; pentadecanoic acid; i-propyl 12-methyleneoctadecanoate; N-hexadecanoic acid; (10Z)-4,9,13-triacetoxy-3,6,6,10,14-pentamethyl-2-oxo-16-oxatetracyclo[10.3.1.01,12.05,7] hexadec-10-en-8-yl nicotinate; 2-(3-acetoxy-4,4,14-trimethylpentadec-8-en-17-yl)-propanoic acid; heptadecanoic acid; 3-hydroxy-2-tetradecyl-octadecanoic acid methyl ester; oleic acid; octadecanoic acid; octadecanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-1,3-propanediyl ester; hexahydro-3-(phenylmethyl)pyrrol[1,2-a][pyrazine]-1,4-dione; heptadecanoic acid, 2,3-dihydroxypropyl ester; bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate; trans-11-eicosenamide; (Z)-13-docosenamide; oleic-13 (18) ene; and pentacyclo[9.3.1.1(3,7).1(9,13).1(15,19)] octacosa-1(25),3,5,7(28),9,11,13(27),15,17,19(26),21,23-dodecaene-25,26,27,28-tetrol, 5, 11,17,23-tetrahexa-1(1,1-dimethyl) | Antimicrobial and other biological activities       | [100]      |
| Forest soils       | *Brevibacterium* sp      | Antibiotic and cytotoxic compounds                                                                                                                                                                                | Antibiotic resistance and chromium tolerance abilities | [101]      |
| Rhizosphere soil   | *Streptomyces triticiradicis* sp | 3-Acetylamino-N-2-thiethyl-propanamide; 2,3-dihydroxybutanone; 8-adenosine and 2-minaline                                                                                                                                 | Antifungal activity                               | [102]      |
pauroda, proturan, collemboIan etc. While microflora and microfauna are those that are less than 0.2 mm in size.

The physical and biochemical activities taking place in the soil is mostly carried out by the soil microbes. The organism’s activity is largely dependent on the size of the organism as well as the diversity of the organisms within the soil habitat [48]. Swift et al. [117] classified soil microbes based on their activities into functional groups as predators, ecosystem engineers, soil-borne pests and diseases, micro-regulators, decomposers, litter transformers, and prokaryotic transformers. Macrofauna are the major ecosystem engineers, playing a major role in the structural formation of soil. Macrofauna are involved in burrowing activities that provide mechanical mixing and soil disturbances leading to more stable soil aggregates, influencing water and air movement in the soil [118]. Apart from being ecosystem engineers, macrofauna also acts as soil predators and litter decomposers transforming and distributing organic materials in the soil. Mesofauna are majorly involved in the soil activities as predators and decomposers. Their predation activities involve the ingestion of microscopic bacteria, fungi and organic matter, which result in alteration of microbial population, hence affecting the overall performance of the soil ecosystem [119]. They also play a significant role in finely structured soil development [120]. Microfauna and microflora also known as soil microbial biomass, are the tiniest soil organisms playing an active role in microbial processes that enhance soil fertility. They were involved in organic matter transformation, nitrogen fixation, and mineralization of organic nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur and carbon [121]. Soil microbes have been reported to having wide spectrum of application including crop improvement, remediation of contaminated soils and increased nutrients availability briefly summarized in Table 5.

Soil microbes play an important role in ensuring food quality [122]. Agricultural practices including medicinal crop production largely depends on chemical inputs. This results in the contamination of soils and the farm produce, which ultimately reduce the efficiency of medicinal plants. With the discoveries of important soil microbes such as free-living and symbiotic microbes that help the plants in different capacities, this menace has been reduced drastically. Now soil microbes are widely used as biofertilizers, biopesticides, bioherbicides and biocontrol agents [122]. This has helped in ensuring the quality of drugs produce in the different soils.

5 Effect of Soil Particle Size on Soil Microbes

Weathering of primary minerals occurs over a long period giving rise to soil that contains minerals of different composition and sizes [132]. These differences in the particle size distribution in the soil create a heterogeneous living environment in soil because of the varying levels of nutrients, water contents and oxygen concentrations [121], hence determine activities and types of microorganisms dominated in soil fractions. Assemblages of soil particles during weathering resulted in soils with different pore sizes and shapes. The pore sizes and shapes in the soil determine the amount and configuration of soil water, which in turn determines the diversity and distribution of microorganisms in the soil [133]. Diversity of soil microorganisms increase with a decrease in soil moisture contents [134], this is because, a decrease in soil moisture content results in an increase in a larger number of microhabitats, thereby creating more habitats for microorganisms to colonize [135].

In addition, different stages of weathering produce different soils with different particle sizes. Coarse textured soil compared to fine-textured soil at the same water content and porosity will contain less water filled pores, this will make it have more isolated microhabitat. Therefore, coarse textured soil will have more microbial diversity as more isolated microhabitats will harbour species that might end up competing with each other in the absence of more habitat. Furthermore, a community of soil microbes differs by mineral types and across minerals [136, 137], because most of the soil microbes are adsorbed to soil surfaces than being suspended in soil water. More bacterial community were observed in clay and silt fractions while coarse sand fractions contain higher fungi [138, 139]. It was also observed that the highest microbial diversity occurred in the < 2 µm (sand) particle size fractions [140]. This shows that microbial species richness significantly increased with the coarseness of soil particle sizes [141]. In specific terms, different species of bacteria also have preferences to the surfaces they get adsorbed to base on the soil particle sizes. Hemkemeyer et al. [142] observed that Bacteroides and Alphaproteobacteria prefer to be adsorbed to sand fractions with particulate matter, Gemmatimonadetes prefer to be adsorbed to coarse silt, Actinobacteria and Nitrosospira prefer to be adsorbed to fine silt, and Planctomycetes prefer to be adsorbed to clay.

In general terms, apart from the effect of soil particle sizes on soil microbes, topsoil ecosystems consist of more organic materials at a different level of decomposition, these make it to contain more bacteria and fungi. The bacteria and fungi present in the topsoil constitute more than 90% of the total soil microbial biomass [143], and through their nuclage formation, contributed to the formation of soil aggregates [144]. Therefore, in studying the bioactive compounds produce by soil microbes care must be taken into the type of particle sizes dominated in such soil, as different soil particle produces different population of soil microbes.
6 Dispersibility and Stability of Soil Microbes

The movements, distribution and stability of microorganisms, as well as soil microbes, have been greatly affected by wastewater disposal, tourism and global transport [145]. Before the massive anthropogenic activities that changed our environments today, microorganisms are basically moved by the forces of air and water current. These make them have a biogeographic patterns in the world similar to those of plants and animals [146]. These changes result in substantial alteration in the distribution of microorganisms and has a potential of changing ecosystem services and soil biogeochemistry, which in turn have a significant influence in terms of bioactive compounds obtained from soils and medicinal plants.

More than 359,000 km$^2$ of farmlands were irrigated with wastewater globally, and 80% of these wastewater undergoes little or no treatments [147]. This gives rise to the introduction of high densities of microorganisms into the croplands, leading to contamination of soil, vegetables, fruits and farm animals. The contaminated materials then served as the dispersal agents that moved different microorganisms to a different part of the world [148]. Unprecedented movements of humans and animals around the world is another factor that leads to the dispersal of microorganisms to a different part of the world. More than 1.2 billion tourists were involved in international tourism per year [149], and millions of animals were involved in long-distance animal migration [150]. These mass movements lead to an increase in both the abundance and distribution of specific gut microorganisms, resulting in the rapid spread of bacterial clones between different continents [151].

Apart from specific gut microorganisms of humans and animals, mass movement of materials and soil destabilization through farming also greatly contribute to the dispersal of microbial cells around the world. Through soil tillage, many soil microbes are exposed to the soil surface which are washed by water or taken away by air to different places. It is estimated that 75 Gt soil is been eroded annually by agriculture compared to 21 Gt eroded by
natural fluvial erosion [152]. These eroded soil dispersed
large number of soil microbes, as the soil can contain bil-
lions of microbial cells per gram [145].

The stability of soil microbes is largely affected by
two factors i.e. increase microbial communities and soil
disturbances [153]. Organic materials application to soil
has been proved to raise the level of soil microorgan-
isms’ community in the soil [154, 155]. An increase in
soil microbial communities resulted in different types of
positive and negative associations, which subsequently
resulted in the changes of the soil microbial communities
[141, 154, 155]. Soil disturbances, on the other hand, are
cased as a result of different farming practices, which
result in different degrees of soil disturbances. These make
farming practices to effectively change the soil microbial
communities [156]. Therefore, these two factors result in
the increase or decrease of soil microbes, hence destabil-
ing the communities of the soil microbes. Hence, for
efficient utilization of soil microbes as good sources of
bioactive compounds, the aforementioned factors must be
given satisfactory attention so as to preserve the sources of
the bioactive compounds presents for medicinal purposes.

7 Future Outlook

The discovery of new drugs through isolation from medici-
nal plants, including the ability to determine and improve the
quality of bioactive compounds from the microbial sources,
should remain an active field of research in the modern
scientific world. With the rapid spread of diseases such as
novel coronavirus (COVID-19) with no known cure yet, the
opportunity to discover new compounds from plants and
microbial sources, including a new group of compounds to
combat diseases, is therefore necessary. In most, if not all
cases, new drugs are designed with potency against various
ailments. The hope of the world would in the future rely
on the understanding of the role of active compounds and
the discovery of new specialized metabolites. The quanti-
tative study, molecular docking, and dynamic simulation
studies should be performed on the already known active
compounds and the optimization of these compounds would
be a scientifically rewarding activity. The theoretical inves-
tigations should take lead in determining the interaction of
active compounds with microorganisms to produce drugs
with better activity. Also, there is an increasing interest in
the studies of the rates and mechanisms of individual factors
combined with new techniques of achieving optimum prod-
uct quality of new drugs derived from plants. The develop-
ment and application of computational designs and models
in predicting the behavior of these bioactive constituents is
given a lead to a groundbreaking research. Optimization of
the various active compounds for maximum product quality
is also necessary through which continued advancement in
science can be achieved. Also, the ability to provide imme-
diate access to the enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of
specialized metabolites will give newer opportunities to
develop better derivatives of bioactive compounds. Again,
the strategies to be used for improving the role of bioactive
compounds in curing diseases will in the future lies with
the application of NPs for the benefits of man and plants
against microbial pathogens. We hope soon, the various
pollutants in the soil if well treated will provide abundant
products of novel bioactive compounds from both plant and
microbial sources. Finally, people should be educated on the
dangers of polluting active products of medicinal plants as
well as that of soil microbes, and the overall effects it has
on our world. Despite the modernization and urbanization of

| Table 5 Soil microbes and their agricultural functions |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Microbes**                                         |
| **Application purposes**                             |
| **Function**                                         |
| **References**                                       |
| *Gluconacetobacter* sp                              | Crop improvement | Increase shoot Fe and Zn and shoot dry weight | [123] |
| *Anabaena* sp.                                      | Soil improvement | Increase microbial biomass carbon | [124] |
| *Azospirillum brasilense*                            | Crop improvement | Increase shoot Fe and Zn and shoot dry weight | [123] |
| *Bacillus* sp.                                      | Heavy metals remediation | Removal of Zn from contaminated soil | [125] |
| *Variovorax paradoxus*                              | Crop improvement | Increase shoot Fe and Zn and shoot dry weight | [123] |
| Fungal community                                    | Crop improvement | Improve growth response of crop | [126] |
| *Penicillium bilaiae*                                | Crop improvement | Increase shoot Fe and Zn and shoot dry weight | [123] |
| *Rhizobium*                                         | Crop improvement | Improve nodulation and nitrogen fixation of crops | [127–130] |
| *Azotobacter* sp.                                   | Crop improvement | Increase root weight and yield | [124] |
| *Flavobacterium* sp.                                | Crop improvement | Nutrient availability | Influence bioavailability of water-soluble Zn in soil | [125] |
| *Calothrix* sp.                                     | Crop improvement | Increase crop Zn concentration | [124] |
| Bacterial community                                 | Soil improvement | Improve growth response of crop | [126] |
| *Providencia* sp.                                   | Soil improvement | Increase microbial biomass carbon | [124] |
| *Providencia* sp.                                   | Crop improvement | Improve growth response of crop | [126] |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*                            | Heavy metals remediation | Removal of Zn and Se from contaminated soil | [125, 131] |
countries in the twenty-first century, the government and the relevant authorities should encourage the people to practice safe disposal of refuse, replantation of medicinal plants not just in the remote areas but even in the urban centers.
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