Deep transfer learning-based automated detection of COVID-19 from lung CT scan slices
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Abstract—Lung abnormality is one of the common diseases in humans of all age group and this disease may arise due to various reasons. Recently, the lung infection due to SARS-CoV-2 has affected a larger human community globally and due to its rapidity, the World-Health-Organisation (WHO) declared it as pandemic disease. The COVID-19 disease has adverse effects on the respiratory system, and the infection severity can be detected using a chosen imaging modality. In the proposed research work; the COVID-19 is detected using transfer learning from CT scan images decomposed to three-level using stationary wavelet. A three-phase detection model is proposed to improve the detection accuracy and the procedures are as follows; Phase1- data augmentation using stationary wavelets, Phase2- COVID-19 detection using pre-trained CNN model and Phase3- abnormality localization in CT scan images. This work has considered the well known pre-trained architectures, such as ResNet18, ResNet50, ResNet101, and SqueezeNet for the experimental evaluation. In this work, 70% of images are considered to train the network and 30% images are considered to validate the network. The performance of the considered architectures is evaluated by computing the common performance measures. The result of the experimental evaluation confirms that the ResNet18 pre-trained transfer learning-based model offered better classification accuracy (training=99.82%, validation=97.32%, and testing=99.4%) on the considered image dataset compared with the alternatives.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Worldwide lungs related disease is one of the most prevalent medical conditions in humans. The diseases in the lung can be categorized as, a) airway diseases, b) circulation diseases, and c) tissue disease [1]-[3]. The airway diseases cause interruption of the oxygen and other gases air supply through tubes, e.g. of the disease are asthma, cystic fibrosis, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), Tuberculosis (TB), bronchitis, etc. The circulation diseases have an adverse effect on the flow of blood in the lungs due to the clotting inside blood vessels, e.g., pulmonary embolism and pulmonary hypertension come under this category. Lung tissue diseases are caused due to inflammation of the tissue that affects the lung expansion ability, e.g. sarcoidosis and pulmonary fibrosis. The other diseases that affect lungs are lung cancer, pneumothorax, pneumonia, and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) [4].

A novel kind of lung infection recently discovered known as coronavirus disease (COVID-19). In December 2019, the coronavirus is firstly detected in Wuhan, China. On February 11, 2020, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) announced the new name of the virus as “severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)” and WHO declared the name “COVID-19” [5], [6]. The symptoms of COVID-19 ranges from dry cough, tiredness, mild to moderate respiratory illness, loss of taste sensation to fever [7]. The disease spreads from a person infected with COVID-19 to another person through the transmission of micron size droplets from the nose and/or mouth, that is expelled when a person with COVID-19 sneezes, coughs and even speaks. People having medical problems like diabetes, chronic respiratory disease, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer are more likely to develop serious illness. It has been shown that old populations with other medical complications are more prone to infections compared to the young age group. Till May 13, 2020, total COVID-19 cases reported are USA 14,08,636, Spain 2,69,520, Russia 3,23,243, UK 2,26,463, and Italy 2,21,216 [6]. The death cases due to COVID-19 in these countries are USA 83,425 in the USA, 26,920 in Spain, 2,116 in Russia, 32,692 in the UK, and Italy 30,911. Although in India total cases reported as on May 13, 2020, are 74,480, and deaths reported are 2,415. All across the world, researchers are working on numerous areas related to COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment, e.g., medical equipment to detect COVID-19 and vaccines for the treatment.

The COVID-19 pandemic testing kits are divided into two categories: a) antigen tests- detect currently infected patients and b) antibody tests- detect the antibodies in the blood of a person previously infected with the virus. The majority of antigen tests being produced to detect the COVID-19 disease are termed as PCR tests because they use a polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The steps involved in the PCR test are the collection of a clinical specimen with a nasopharyngeal swab, storage of the swab, sending the samples to the laboratories for extraction of RNA, and quantitative reverse transcription PCR [8]. The major challenges in the COVID-19 rapid detection are as follows: a) conventional PCR test kits take longer duration for diagnosis of disease, b) longer time in the production of probes, primers, and physical equipment (swab, containers, etc.). Globally, the governments are not able to reduce the COVID-19 spread in the population due to the following reasons: a) insufficient kit for COVID-19 detection/million
population; b) vaccines and drug treatments are not available until date [9]. Total tests conducted for COVID-19 in various countries are as follows: 9,935,720 in the USA; 2,467,761 in Spain; 5,805,404 in Russia; 2,007,146 in the UK; 2,673,655 in Italy [6]. The statistical data of total tests per million population in these countries are USA-30,017, Spain-52,781, Russia-39,781, UK-29,566, and Italy-44,221. Whereas in India total tests conducted are 1,854,250 and total tests per million population are 1.344. Thus, due to the shortage of COVID-19 testing kits, a smaller number of tests are conducted per million population.

COVID-19 pandemic has a very severe impact on the respiratory as well as other systems of the human body. Thus, medical imaging features of chest radiography is found to be useful for rapid COVID-19 detection. The imaging features of the chest can be obtained through medical imaging modalities like CT (Computed Tomography) scan and X-rays. The advantages of CT scan over x-ray include a) 3-D view formation of organs in CT scan, b) convenient examination of disease, and its location. Whereas with X-rays, a 2-D view of an organ is obtained that can help in the examination of dense tissues only. Also, the machinery to acquire these CT scan images is already available in an optimum amount in every country. Therefore, for COVID-19 detection, a CT scan of the chest is drawing the researchers’ attention [10], [11]. Expert radiologist guidance is required for accurate and rapid COVID-19 detection using a CT scan of the chest. Timely and accurate treatment of COVID-19 disease is a challenging task for the healthcare givers. But the limited availability of conventional COVID-19 detection kits is a major issue. Thus, an automatic diagnosis model is required for COVID-19 detection using imaging modality to reduce the manual involvement in disease detection using a CT scan of chest images.

The proposed work aims to automatically detect and localize COVID-19 using a CT scan of the chest. For this, a novel three-phase COVID-19 detection model is proposed: a) Phase 1-data augmentation using wavelets, b) Phase 2-disease detection, and c) Phase 3-abnormality localization. However, there are a limited number of CT scans of COVID-19 disease available online for the research community. To overcome the need for large databases for training purposes and overfitting issues, the pre-processed images are decomposed to three levels using stationary wavelets. Then shear, rotation, and translational operation are applied to all these images. In phase 2, CT scans are classified into binary classes, i.e., COVID and Non-COVID using transfer learning-based techniques. Further, four deeper convolution layer pre-trained transfer learning models are used, namely, ResNet18, ResNet101, ResNet50, and SqueezeNet. Then, the best training model is obtained based on the evaluation of common performance parameters of transfer learning models. In Phase 3, abnormality in chest CT-scan images of COVID-19 positive cases is localized using the feature map and activation layers of best performing pre-trained transfer learning model.

The paper is summarized as follows: Section II illustrates the literature review; Section III discusses the proposed methodology. Section IV gives details about the transfer learning-based pre-trained CNN model. Section V put forth the experimentation and discussion and Section VI provides the conclusion of the proposed work.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW
The state-of-the-art put forth in Table I claims that AI along-with radiological imaging of COVID-19 positive patients can be helpful for timely and accurate diagnosis of disease [12].

| Sr. No. | Technique | Modality | Database | Performance evaluation |
|--------|-----------|----------|----------|------------------------|
| 1      | Inception-Net [13] | X-ray | D1: 73 COVID-19 positives and 340 healthy images from the Shenzhen, China collections. | The Inception Net model achieved an accuracy of 99.96% and AUC of 1 in classifying COVID-19 positive cases from combined pneumonia and normal patients. |
|        |           |         | D2: 73 COVID-19 positive and 80 healthy cases from Montgomery County, USA. | An accuracy of 99.92% and AUC of 0.99 in classifying COVID-19 positive cases from combined pneumonia, tuberculosis, and normal X-ray. |
|        |           |         | D3: 73 COVID-19 positives and 1583 healthy cases from the Pneumonia collections are considered [14]-[16]. | Achieved an accuracy of 98.08% for binary classification of COVID vs. Non-COVID. |
| 2      | Darknet [17] | X-ray | 127 COVID-19 positive cases [18]. | For multi-class classification (COVID, pneumonia, and no findings) accuracy of 87.02% is achieved. |
| 3      | Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) and transfer learning-based models namely, Alex Net, Google Net, Res Net 18 and squeeze Net [19] | X-ray | 5863 images divided into two classes: normal and pneumonia [20], [21]. | ResNet18 achieved precision, recall, and F1 score of 98.97%. |
| 4      | Deep learning techniques (Resnet50 and VGG16) [22] | X-ray | 102 both positive COVID-19 cases [18], [24], [25] and pneumonia cases [26]. | An accuracy of 89.2% and an AUC of 0.95 is obtained. |
| No. | Method Description                                                                 | Modality | Dataset Description                                                                 | Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 5   | Convolution Neural Networks (ResNet18, ResNet50, SqueezeNet, and DenseNet-121)    | X-ray    | COVID-Xray-5k dataset [18], [27], and ChexPert dataset [28].                        | Obtained sensitivity of 97.5% and specificity of 90%. |
| 6   | Transfer learning-based model [29]                                                | X-ray    | Two types of datasets are used: a) 224 images of COVID-19 disease, 700 images of common bacterial pneumonia and 504 images of normal patients, b) 224 images of COVID-19 disease, 714 images of bacterial pneumonia and 504 images of normal patients [18], [30]. | An accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of 96.78%, 98.66%, and 96.46% respectively is obtained. |
| 7   | Deep learning-based model (composed of three components: a) backbone network, b) classification head, and c) anomaly detection head [31] | X-ray    | 100 chest images of COVID-19 positive cases [18] and 1431 images of pneumonia [32]. | The sensitivity of 96.00% and specificity of 70.65% is achieved. |
| 8   | ResNet50, InceptionV3 and Inception-ResNetV2 [33]                                  | X-ray    | 50 images of each COVID-19 positive cases [18] and normal cases [34].                | An accuracy of 98% obtained with ResNet50, 97% accuracy with InceptionV3, and Inception-ResNetV2 gives 87% accuracy. |
| 9   | Otsu based method [35]                                                            | CT       | 90 slices of coronal-view and 20 slices of axial-view of lungs [36].                | COVID-19 pneumonia infection and its rate are detected in CT scan images of both coronal and axial views. |
| 10  | Transfer learning based DenseNet model [37]                                       | CT       | 275 COVID positive and 195 Non-COVID CT scan [32], [38]                            | Obtained an accuracy of 84.7% and F1 score 85.3% on the Binary classification of data into classes: COVID CT scan and Non-COVID CT scan. |
| 11  | 5 different CNN models namely, AlexNet, VGG16, VGG19, GoogleNet, and ResNet50 [39] | CT       | 349 COVID positive and 397 Non-COVID CT scan [37], [40]-[42].                      | ResNet50 is the best performing model and achieved 82.91% testing accuracy. |
| 12  | 2D and 3D deep learning models [43]                                               | CT       | Datasets of Chinese control and infected patients.                                  | Creation of heat map or a 3D volume display of COVID-19 cases along-with Corona score. The sensitivity of 98.2%, the specificity of 92.2%, and AUC of 0.996, achieved for binary classification of the COVID vs Non-COVID dataset. |
| 13  | Deep learning-based Multi-Objective Differential Evolution (MODE) and (CNN) [44]  | CT       | The binary classification of a person into COVID-19 affected or not.               | The proposed model outperforms from other CNN models in terms of F-measure, sensitivity, specificity. Kappa statistics, accuracy by 2.09%, 1.82%, 1.68%, 1.92%, and 1.97%, respectively. |
| 14  | Joint Classification and Segmentation (JCS) [45]                                  | CT       | Collected large scale COVID-19 dataset with 144,167 images of 400 COVID-19 patients and 350 Non-COVID cases. JCS system provided an average sensitivity of 95% and 93% specificity for the classification, and dice score of 78.3% on the segmentation test set. | ground-glass opacity [44]. Recent studies found that the sensitivity of CT for COVID-19 infection is 98% compared to RT-PCR sensitivity of 71% [46]. However, CT scan is more useful in COVID-19 detection because it can provide a complete 3-D view of organ and thus nature of abnormality can be better diagnosed in comparison to X-ray images [47]-[50]. But the availability of CT scan chest images of COVID-19 positive cases is limited. Thus, transfer learning along-with data augmentation is proved to be a useful method for the detection of abnormality from a small dataset of chest radiography of COVID-19 patients. Apart from COVID-19 detection, certain efforts have been made to forecast the COVID-19 disease spread. Composite Monte Carlo (CMC) simulation method along-with deep learning network and fuzzy rule induction is used to forecast the COVID-19 pandemic spread [51]. Further, Polynomial Neural Network with corrective feedback (PNN+cf) is used to forecast COVID-19 pandemic spread with relatively lower prediction error [52]. |

From the brief review of research carried on COVID-19 diagnosis, it can be concluded that a limited number of chest radiographic data is available for COVID-19 detection and deep learning is beneficial in COVID-19 detection using chest CT radiography. The conventional method of COVID-19 detection, i.e., PCR kits and reverse transmission polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) are having certain drawbacks like less production of the kits, time consumption in getting the results, pooled sensitivity of RT-PCR is very less, i.e., 89% etc [7]. The real-time clinical problem in COVID-19 detection using conventional RT-PCR test kit is false negatives. Thus, to resolve the issues caused due to PCR kits, researchers are looking into chest radiography as an alternative to COVID-19 detection.

Researchers have mainly analyzed chest X-ray Imaging and chest CT scan modality of patients with COVID-19 positive cases. The CT scans of chest display bilateral
III. METHODOLOGY

In the proposed work, the immense need for a large number of COVID-19 positive lung CT scan image dataset is resolved using stationary wavelet-based data augmentation techniques. The pre-trained model extracts features from trained augmented images and incorporates multi-scale discriminant features to detect binary class labels (COVID-19 and Non-COVID). The hierarchical representation of the proposed methodology for three-phase COVID-19 detection using lung CT scan slices are shown in Fig. 1.

A. Phase-1 (Data Augmentation)

In the present study, there is a need to make CT scan images compatible with the pre-trained transfer learning-based model. For this, pre-processing steps: change in input image data type, resizing of the input images, and normalization of input images. However, a limited number of CT scan data of COVID-19 positive cases are available. To resolve this, the pre-trained transfer learning-based CNN model with data augmentation is performed.

The proposed methodology is implemented on a database of CT scan images of lungs of COVID-19 positive patients and normal patients. The database contains 349 CT COVID-19 positive CT images from 216 patients and 397 CT images of Non-COVID patients [37], [40-42]. The input CT images are available in different sizes and numerous image formats (jpeg, png). Fig. 2 presents the sample CT scan images of COVID-19 and normal patients from the database used.

| Category          | Training data | Validation data | Testing data |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                   | Without augm. | With augmentation |             |
| COVID (349)       | 178           | 1602            | 76           | 95           |
| Non-COVID (397)   | 228           | 2052            | 97           | 72           |
The input data of CT scan images used in the proposed work are put forth in Table II. Initially, the input images are converted into a .jpg image format and resized to 256x256x3. Then resized CT scan images are normalized within the confined range [0 1] using equation (1).

\[
I_{\text{norm}} = \frac{(In - \text{min}(In))}{(\text{max}(In) - \text{min}(In))}
\]  

(1)

Here, ‘In’ represents the input CT scan images from binary classes (COVID and non-COVID). Let us assume the generalized size of ‘In’ is having a size p x q x 3, and the normalized image is represented by ‘I_norm’. Overfitting is a major challenge with a transfer-learning based model trained on a limited set of datasets. Thus data augmentation technique is applied to the training dataset and this step resolves the overfitting problem. In the proposed methodology, data augmentation is done as follows: a) decompose the training images up to 3 levels using stationary wavelets, b) shear operation within the range [-30, 30], c) random rotation of training data between range [-90, 90], and d) random translation from pixel range [-10, 10].

The wavelet transforms are used to extract useful information from data. Wavelets such as Daubechies, Harr, and Coiflets, etc., through certain modifications in parameters, contain useful properties such as compact-support symmetry, regularity, and smoothness. This makes wavelets compatible with image processing applications. The input image I_norm is fed to stationary wavelet decomposition up-to k levels. The dimension of output decomposed image depends on dimensions of I_norm and level (k). Suppose, I_norm is a 2-D matrix and level k>1, the outputs are 3-D arrays with following stationary wavelet coefficients:

\[
\text{SWC}_{2D} = [H(:,:,1:k);V(:,:,1:k);D(:,:,1:k);A(:,:,k)]
\]  

(2)

Here, ‘A’ symbolizes approximation, ‘D’ diagonal, ‘V’: vertical, and ‘H’: Horizontal. For 1 ≤ n ≤ k in eq. [2], the output matrix, i.e., SWC_{2D} contains approximation coefficient A(:,:,n) of level i; H(:,:,n), V(:,:,n), and D(:,:,n) contain the coefficients details of level n (horizontal, vertical, and diagonal). Let us consider I_norm is a 3-D matrix of dimension p x q x 3, and k >1, then the coefficients of the output are 4-D arrays of dimension p x q x 3 x k with following output matrix and coefficients:

\[
\text{SWC}_{3D} = [H(:,:,1:3,1:k);V(:,:,1:3,1:k);D(:,:,1:3,1:k);A(:,:,1:3,1:k)]
\]  

(3)

In eq. [3], for 1 ≤ n ≤ k and t= 1, 2, 3, the output matrix H(:,:,1:t,n), V(:,:,1:t,n) and D(:,:,1:t,n) contain the coefficients details of level n (horizontal, vertical, and diagonal) and A(:,:,1:t,n) is coefficients of approximation of level n. [53-54].

![Fig. 3. Generalized block diagram of the data augmentation using wavelet decomposition up to 3 levels.](image)

In the proposed work, stationary wavelet transform performs 3 levels of the wavelet decomposition. The 2-D stationary wavelet is orthogonal (Haar; Daubechies: db1, db2, ..., db10, etc.), and a biorthogonal wavelet (bior1.1, bior1.3, and bior1.5, etc.). In the proposed work, a 2-D stationary wavelet is decomposed using a db2 orthogonal filter. In 2-D stationary wavelet decomposition, the normalized input image I_norm passes through a set of the Low Pass Filters (LPF) and High Pass Filters (HPF). In level 1 decomposition using wavelets, I_norm is downsampled by a factor of 2 and output obtained is: level 1 approximate coefficient (A1) of low frequency and detail coefficients (H1, V1, and D1) of high frequency. In second level decomposition, the output coefficient is level 2 approximate coefficient (A2) and detail coefficients of level 2 (H2, V2, and D2). Similarly, output coefficients from level 3 are approximation coefficient A3, and detailed coefficients V3, H3, and D3. The detailed hierarchy of normalized input CT scan images decomposed up to three levels is shown in Fig. 3. The A1, A2, and A3 images obtained from wavelet decomposition up to 3 levels contain the most useful information and the size of the image remains unaltered. Thus, to enhance the training data, these wavelet decomposed images further underwent through random rotation, shear, and translation operation under a specific range as shown in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4. Augmented training data after rotation, shear, and translation operations.](image)
B. Phase-2 (Transfer Learning Models)

The pre-trained transfer learning-based COVID-19 detection model classifies a CT scan of lungs into binary classes: a) COVID-19 and, b) Non-COVID. Different models used for the binary classification are: ResNet18, ResNet50, ResNet101, and SqueezeNet. The size of the training augmented images is adjusted based upon the compatibility with numerous pre-trained CNN models. The size requirement for transfer learning models are: ResNet18 (224x224x3), ResNet50 (224x224x3), ResNet101 (224x224x3) and Squeeze Net (227x227x3).

The pre-trained models can classify CT scan images based upon class labels assigned to the training dataset, i.e., COVID-19 and Non-COVID. To classify new images, retrain a pre-trained model by updating fully connected layers according to the input augmented dataset. The training parameters opted for the transfer learning-based CNN model are: a) ‘sgdm’ optimizer is used, b) mini-batch size is 64, b) the training is performed up to 50 epochs, c) validation frequency is set to value 3, and d) pre-specified initial learning rate for the training is 3e-4. The performance of different pre-trained networks is examined based on the following parameters: accuracy, precision, Negative Predictive Value (NPV), sensitivity, AUC, F1-score, and specificity. Further, the deeper layer of the best performing model is used for abnormality detection in CT scan images of COVID-19 positive cases. abnormality detection in CT scan images of COVID-19 positive cases.

C. Phase-3 (Abnormality Localization using deeper layer)

In phase-3 of the proposed methodology, the activations of layers and different channels of the pre-trained network are examined. Each layer of a pre-trained CNN network contains channels (consists of many 2-D arrays). The output activations of the first convolution layer (conv1) and deeper layer are used to localize abnormality in the COVID-19 positive CT scan image through the best-trained transfer-learning model. The features obtained from the network are evaluated by comparing the areas of activation with the COVID-19 CT scan image as input. The activations are obtained in a 3-D array having the third dimension indexing the channel on the layer to be examined. Ideally, color and edges like features are detected in the conv1 and more complex features in deeper layers.

IV. TRANSFER LEARNING MODEL

The transfer learning-based CNN models has the following advantages a) limit pre-processing of the dataset is required, b) faster-learning process, c) time complexity can be adjusted by decreasing the numerous parameters, and d) works well on the limited dataset, thus suitable for the medical image classification task. In the proposed work, transfer learning is used for binary classification of chest CT scan images into COVID-19 and Non-COVID. For this, 4 different pre-trained transfer learning-based CNN models are used, namely, ResNet18, ResNet50, ResNet101, and SqueezeNet. The CNN models are trained to classify into 1000 object categories from the image net database [55]-[56]. The pre-trained transfer learning models are retrained for the binary classification data of a chest CT scan into 2 classes.

SqueezeNet is used to investigate the performance of the binary classification of chest CT images on smaller deep learning neural networks. The squeeze net is 68 layers model and requires a 227x227x3 size input image [57]. Although time complexity is resolved with squeezeNet but performance is not satisfactory on the proposed model. The pre-trained ResNet18 model used for binary classification of CT scan images is 71 layers deep and requires an input image size of 224x224x3 [58]. The “sgdm” optimizer is used for the training. The ResNet50 model used in the proposed work is 177 layers deep and required a 224x224x3 size input image. Further, the ResNet101 is 347-layer and thus it is more complex than the other two residual models used. The network requires an image input size of 224x224x3. The initial learning rate used is 3e-4 in all the pre-trained models. The residual model is chosen because of its ability of easy optimization, converges faster, and enhancement in the accuracy with increased depth [59]. However, time consumption is increased with an increase in layers of each residual network.

The ResNet18 model is the best performing model thus its architecture is explained in detail. Fig. 5 put forth the descriptive block diagram of ResNet18 architecture. The input images are pre-processed to make it compatible with a pre-trained residual network, i.e., an image of size 256x256x3 converted into 224x224x3. The convolutional layers (conv1, conv2_x, conv3_x, etc.) apply a filter that scans the whole pre-processed CT scan image. Then the convolution layer creates a feature map to predict the class probabilities for each feature map obtained. The role of the first convolution layer, i.e., conv1 is to provide low-level features like color, edges, and gradient operation, etc. The deeper convolution layer provides high-level features like in the proposed work abnormality in CT scan images is localized in the deeper layer. The spatial size of convolution features is reduced by the pooling layer. The pooling layer is of the following types: a) max-pooling, b) average-pooling, and c) global-pooling. The dominant features like positional invariant and rotational ones are obtained in the pooling layer. The fully connected layer (Fc) receives the flatten output from the pooling layer and act as feedforward networks. The softmax layer limits the output within the range [0, 1]. Thus the softmax layer predicts the possibility of the input vector in a learned particular class.

For transfer learning using pre-trained ResNet18, the “Fc” layer is replaced by a new layer. The number of outputs is equal to the binary classes of the CT scan dataset on which the model is trained. In the proposed work, the number of outputs will be 2: a) COVID-19, b) Non-COVID. In the case of SqueezeNet, the last learnable layer is the convolutional layer (1x1) instead of “Fc”. Thus, in SqueezeNet, the last convolutional layer is replaced with a new convolutional layer and the number of filters equal to the number of classes (2 classes: COVID-19, and Non-COVID).
ResNets introduce skip connection to add the output from a previous layer to an upcoming layer, thus learning doesn’t degrade from the first layer to a deeper layer. Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) is a type of activation function used in CNN models. The CNN based ResNet models are implemented with skipping of the double layer or triple layer with nonlinearities (ReLU) and additional weight matrix are used to learn the skip weights. This helps to resolve the vanishing gradient issue in deep learning networks. As the residual network learns the feature space, it gradually restores the skipped layers as shown in Fig. 6.

### V. EXPERIMENTATION AND DISCUSSION

The proposed methodology is implemented on MATLAB 2019b software with the NVIDIA GeForce MX130 GPU system. The system requirements are the Intel Core i7 processor, 2 GB graphic card, 64-bit operating system at 1.80 GHz, and 8 GB RAM.

#### A. The pre-trained transfer learning model

In the proposed research, the pre-trained transfer learning model is trained and validated for binary classification of input CT scan images into COVID-19 and Non-COVID. For the binary classification task, 4 different transfer learning models (ResNet18, ResNet50, ResNet101, and SqueezeNet) are examined. The highest classification accuracy, i.e., 99.82% of training accuracy, and validation accuracy of 97.32% is obtained with the ResNet18 model on the used CT scan dataset. Fig. 7 shows the convergence graph of training and validation accuracy of the ResNet18 model along with loss function up to 50 epochs (7:3 training and validation data).

Classification performance of the pre-trained transfer learning model on testing data is evaluated based on following parameters: a) sensitivity defines patients with a COVID-19 disease, b) specificity defines correct detection of normal patients based on CT scan images, c) accuracy is the ratio of correct predictions to total predictions of the COVID-19 disease, d) AUC value defines the ability of pre-trained transfer learning model to differentiate between binary classes, i.e., COVID and Non-COVID, and e) F1 score is a measure of the harmonic mean of sensitivity and precision. The ResNet18 pre-trained transfer learning model obtained testing accuracy of 99.4% and major outcomes are: a) COVID and Non-COVID images are classified with 98.6% specificity and 100% sensitivity, (c) AUC of 0.9965. The performance parameters are summarized in Table III (TP- True Positive, FP- False Positive, TN-True Negative, and FN- False Negative). The performance comparison of pre-trained models used in the proposed work (ResNet18, ResNet50, ResNet101, and SqueezeNet) is shown in Fig. 9.
TABLE III. PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS OF TRANSFER LEARNING MODELS ON TESTING DATA

| Sr. No. | TP  | TN  | FP  | FN  | AUC | Precision (TP/TP+FP) | NPV (TN/TN+FN) | Sensitivity (TP/TP+FN) | Specificity (TN/TN+FP) | F1 Score (2TP/(2TP+FP+FN)) | Accuracy (TP+TN)/(TP+TN+FP+FN) |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ResNet18| 95  | 71  | 1   | 0   | 0.9965 | 99.0%                 | 100%           | 100%                   | 98.6%                  | 99.5%                       | 99.4%                          |
| ResNet50| 95  | 70  | 2   | 0   | 0.9928 | 97.9%                 | 100%           | 100%                   | 97.2%                  | 98.9%                       | 98.8%                          |
| ResNet101| 93  | 69  | 3   | 2   | 0.9939 | 96.9%                 | 97.2%          | 97.9%                  | 95.8%                  | 97.4%                       | 97.0%                          |
| SqueezeNet| 91  | 68  | 4   | 4   | 0.9952 | 95.8%                 | 94.4%          | 95.8%                  | 94.4%                  | 95.7%                       | 95.2%                          |

B. Localization of abnormality using feature maps

The first convolutional layer (conv1) and the deeper layer from the pre-trained transfer learning model ‘ResNet18’ are used to obtain the features map. The low-level features; namely, texture, color, and edges are generally evaluated using the first convolutional layer (conv_1). The output activation is obtained by passing the testing image (COVID-19 positive CT scan image) through the best performing ResNet18 pre-trained network. Further, all the activations are scaled to a range [0 1]; here ‘0’ symbolizes minimum activation and ‘1’ symbolizes maximum activation. The details of the abnormality (location, and severity) in medical data can be obtained from a more complex feature of the deeper layers of the CNN model. In the proposed pre-trained ResNet18 model the deeper layers used are conv5_x and pooling layer. In these
layers, feature maps symbolize the features learned by the pre-trained model on the CT scan datasets used. Further, the features useful for abnormality localization in COVID-19 positive CT scans are obtained through the strongest activation channel. Table IV presents the brief details of the performance comparison of the proposed methodology for COVID-19 detection with the techniques available in the literature using chest radiography.

| Techniques | Modality | No. of Images (Training+Validation/Testing) | Performance |
|------------|----------|------------------------------------------|-------------|
| GAN, Google Net, ResNet 18, AlexNet [19] | X-ray | 276/36 | 2 class: Accuracy 100% 3 class: Maximum accuracy 85.19% (AlexNet) 4 class: Maximum accuracy 80.56% (GoogleNet) |
| DenseNet [37] | CT scan | 401/69 | Accuracy 84.7% AUC 82.4 F1 score of 0.85 |
| 5 different CNN models namely, AlexNet, VGGNet16, VGGNet19, GoogleNet, and ResNet50 [39] | CT scan | 349 COVID CT scan and 397 Non-COVID CT scan | ResNet50 is the best performing model and achieved 82.91% testing accuracy |
| JCS [45] | CT scan | 400 COVID-19 cases and 350 Non-COVID cases | 95.0% sensitivity and 93.0% specificity |
| 3-D CNN model (Residual networks) [60] | | 528/90 | AUC is 0.996, 98.2% sensitivity and 92.2% specificity |
| Proposed methodology | | COVID-CT: 349 CT scan and Normal: 397 CT scan | 2 class: Best performing model is ResNet18 Training accuracy 99.82%, validation accuracy 97.32% and testing accuracy 99.4%. Also, NPV is 100%, sensitivity of 100%, the specificity of 98.6% and F1-score of 99.5% |

### VI. CONCLUSION

In the present study, the three-phase COVID-19 disease vs. normal patients (Non-COVID) binary classification model is implemented on CT scan images with data augmentation. In phase-1, data augmentation is done via CT scan images decomposed to 3 levels using stationary wavelets, and then the random rotation, translation, and shear operations are applied. In phase-2, binary classification of CT scan dataset is implemented on four different transfer learning-based models, i.e., ResNet18, ResNet50, ResNet101, and SqueezeNet. The highest classification accuracy (training 99.82%, and validation 97.32%) is achieved with the ResNet18 transfer learning model. The testing data yields accuracy of 99.4%, the sensitivity of 100%, the specificity of 98.6%, and AUC is 0.9965. In phase-3, the best performing model is used for abnormality localization in the chest CT scan of COVID-19 positive cases. Our proposed model will surely help in the rapid and accurate detection of COVID-19 signature from lungs radiographs. In the future, we plan to implement our model on a real-time basis.
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