Fault Location Scheme for Abnormal Grounding Point in Isolated Ground Wire of Large-Scale Clean Energy Transmission
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There is a large number of grounding switches along the isolated ground wire for the de-icing in the large-scale wind farm. If any of these grounding switches are left open by accident, this can create an unexpected abnormal grounding point in the isolated ground wire. Based on the analysis of the output power of de-icing devices, the output power of different poles can be applied for the abnormal grounding point fault location. Since the rise of output current to the rated current of de-icing devices requires a long time to build up, the protective relay may lock the de-icing device before the output current reaches the rated current. Thus, the ratio of output energy of two poles can be selected to locate the abnormal grounding point. This study verified this location method by conducting a large number of simulations.
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INTRODUCTION

The wind farms of the northeast area of Yunnan province are a significantly important renewable energy base in China’s Southern Power Grid (Miao et al., 2013). However, the cold rain in winter on the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau freezes the ground wire of the transmission lines of the wind farms in this area (Juanjuan et al., 2012). To reduce the unfavorable harm induced by the freeze, a de-icing device that melts the ice in the ground wires, by injecting the direct current (DC) to ground wires to heat them (Sun et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2019a), is widely applied in the China Southern Power Grid. Although the DC de-icing device can eliminate the ice in ground wires, studies on the fault location for ground wires are urgently needed. In addition, the ground wire, which connects the earth with the tower, needs to be isolated from the tower for the propagation of the DC current. Thus, any grounding points in the tower can block the de-icing for the whole line (Hao et al., 2015; Jiazheng et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2019a; Zhu et al., 2019b; Guo et al., 2019; Haleem and Rajapakse, 2019; De Oliveira Neto et al., 2021). To maintain the lightning protection of ground wires, grounding switches are distributed along transmission lines. Normally, grounding switches are closed to connect ground wires to the earth, so ground wire keeps the potential with the earth. If the DC de-icing device is required to melt the ice, all grounding switches are open to propagate the current (Feng et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2020; Zasypkin and Shchurov, 2020; Chen et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2021).

The fault location for ground wires can be divided into two kinds: the traveling wave based method and the impedance based method (Zhi and Fangzong, 2011; Shukr et al., 2012; Kai et al., 2013; Lei et al., 2015; Yuansheng et al., 2015; Wangsheng et al., 2016; Yunke et al., 2017; Bin and Lin, 2020; Guang et al., 2020). Literature (Yunke et al., 2017) analyzes the fault characteristics of abnormal grounding points, then a fault location method based on the Bergeron model is proposed for DC de-
icing devices. The traveling wave in the ground wire, a fault location based on the different distributed traveling waves, has also been presented in past studies (Lei et al., 2015; Wangsheng et al., 2016). The DC filter is usually the boundary of DC transmission lines, and the time-domain equation of the fault induced initial wave has been discussed in other studies (Yuansheng et al., 2015; Bin and Lin, 2020) for the accurate detection of traveling waves. In other works (Zhi and Fangzong, 2011; Shukr et al., 2012), transient impedance is calculated by the transient voltage and transient current to extract the capacitance for the fault location. To reduce the difficulty of the identification of the traveling wave, the spectrum of fault induced transients has also been analyzed (Kai et al., 2013) to obtain the natural frequency, which represents the distance between the fault point to the substation. In other literature (Guang et al., 2020), the time difference of the initial wave and the reflected wave was utilized to locate the fault point in transmission lines, which is a kind of single-ended fault location method.

OPERATION CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DC DE-ICING DEVICE

Structure of DC De-Icing Device

The schematic diagram of a classic DC de-icing device installed at the substation for the large-scale wind farm is demonstrated in Figure 1.

The top half of the schematic diagram shows the high voltage transmission of the large-scale wind farm. $T_4$ and $T_5$ are the transformers part of the high voltage transmission. The second part includes the DC de-icing device and the station power load of $T_2$, such as the cooling pump of the converter valve. The power for the de-icing device is supplied by the wind turbine and step-down transformer $T_3$. An alter current filter (ACF) and the transformer $T_1$ for the station load is connected to the bus which supplies power to the de-icing device. $T_1$ is the three-winding converter transformer of the DC de-icing device, which follows a twelve-pulse converter and smoothing reactors $L_1$ and $L_2$.

Current Rising Process of the DC De-Icing Device

Comparing with the substation load, the DC de-icing device has an enormous capacity load, which may even exceed the total power consumption of other loads at the substation. To reduce the adverse impact of starting the DC de-icing device, the DC de-icing device with large capacity has a current rising process time $t$ after starting. This duration is related to the size of the rated current and the increasing speed of the control system which ranges from a few seconds to a few minutes. As shown in Figure 2, the current reaches the rated value $i_0$ and enters the steady-state operation process after a certain time $t_2$. If there is an abnormal grounding point on the insulated overhead ground wire, the transverse differential protection or overcurrent protection may detect the fault and block the converter valve during the power rising of the DC de-icing device.
To maintain the lightning protection of grounding wires for the isolated grounding wires, there is a large number of grounding switches on the towers of the transmission lines that need de-icing. If grounding wires require de-icing, all grounding switches are open, breaking the connection between the earth and ground wires. Grounding switches are closed if no de-icing is required, to equal the potential of ground wires to the earth. The abnormal grounding point may appear in the isolated ground wire if any grounding switches are not open. Furthermore, the abnormal grounding points of the isolated ground wires of EHV transmission lines during the de-icing can be divided into:

1) an abnormal grounding point in the single insulated ground wire.
2) Abnormal grounding points in both insulated ground wires on the same tower.
3) Abnormal grounding points in both insulated ground wires on the different towers.

Although the fault characteristics induced by abnormal grounding points are varied in different cases, all abnormal grounding points can cause de-icing devices to fail to connect to a part of ground wires, meaning the de-icing device is not able to melt the whole ground wire. The current of the DC de-icing device is under the control of the constant current control of the de-icing device, so the magnitude of the output current of both poles is very similar. The voltage magnitude of both poles may be different if the equivalent resistance of poles is various. Furthermore, the output power of each pole is proportional to the resistance of ground wires, which is the load of each pole. In other words, the abnormal grounding points on the isolated ground line may cause varied output power in different poles. Therefore, the distance from abnormal grounding points to the rectifier can be calculated by the different output energy by poles.

Unlike faults in normal transmission lines, the abnormal grounding point in the ground wires usually occurs before the operation of the DC de-icing device. Thus, fault characteristics can be detected during the rising of the output current.
An Abnormal Grounding Point in the Single Insulated Ground Wire

An abnormal grounding point occurs in the isolated ground wire which is connected to the positive pole as shown in Figure 3. The equivalent resistance of the grounding switch is quite small, so the current of the positive pole transmits to the earth without propagating to the terminal of the grounding wire. There is only one abnormal grounding point in the positive isolated ground wire before the de-icing device works, hence the bipolar resistance can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
R_+ &= \rho x \\
R_- &= \rho l
\end{align*}
\]

where \(R_+\) and \(R_-\) are the resistance of positive and negative poles; \(l\) stands for the length of the ground wire; \(x\) denotes the distance from the abnormal grounding point to the rectifier; \(\rho\) refers to the resistivity of the ice melting line.

The power of the de-icing device poles can be calculated by

\[
\begin{align*}
p_+ &= \frac{1}{2} i_+^2 R_x \\
p_- &= \frac{1}{2} i_-^2 R_0
\end{align*}
\]

where \(p_+\) and \(p_-\) represents the output power of positive and negative poles, respectively; \(i_+\) and \(i_-\) mean the direct current of the positive and negative poles, respectively.

Due to the influence of the current control of the DC de-icing device, the current of positive and negative poles keeps the same absolute value even though the loads of these two poles are different. As a result, the positive pole output voltage is less than the negative pole output voltage in Figure 3. The transverse differential protection can be triggered by detecting the voltage imbalance between two poles before the output power reaches a steady state.

The distance from the abnormal ground point in a single line to the de-icing device can be expressed by

\[
W_j = \int_{t_0}^{t_2} p_j dt
\]

\[
x = \frac{W_j}{W_0}
\]

where \(W_j\) represents the energy consumption of one pole \((j = \text{positive pole or negative pole})\); \(t_0\) means the time of the de-icing device starting; \(t_2\) means the time of transverse differential protection triggered; \(p_j\) denotes the outpower of the faulty pole; \(W_0\) represents the rated power consumption. Due to the fixed length of the line, the current rising rate is also basically constant when the line is thawed. \(W_0\) can be calculated according to the protection action time and starting time of the de-icing device.

Abnormal Grounding Points in Both Insulated Ground Wires on the Same Position

The schematic diagram of the fault circuit is shown in Figure 4, which indicates the situation of the abnormal grounding points of both poles in the same position.

| Power (MW) | Fault distance (km) | Energy ratio | Fault location distance (km) |
|------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 164        | 50                  | 0.2522       | 50.44                       |
|           | 60                  | 0.4019       | 80.38                       |
|           | 100                 | 0.5023       | 100.46                      |
|           | 150                 | 0.7542       | 150.84                      |
|           | 200                 | 0.8968       | 179.36                      |
| 95         | 50                  | 0.2513       | 50.65                       |
|           | 60                  | 0.4032       | 80.64                       |
|           | 100                 | 0.5044       | 100.88                      |
|           | 150                 | 0.7530       | 150.60                      |
|           | 200                 | 0.9022       | 180.44                      |
| 24         | 50                  | 0.2537       | 50.74                       |
|           | 60                  | 0.4028       | 80.56                       |
|           | 100                 | 0.5021       | 100.42                      |
|           | 150                 | 0.7492       | 149.84                      |
|           | 200                 | 0.8962       | 179.24                      |
As shown in Figure 4, the voltage amplitude and output power of the positive and negative poles are similar when the abnormal grounding points of both poles occur in the same position. The protection of the DC de-icing device cannot determine whether it has an abnormal grounding point on the ground wire since the output voltage of both poles is identical.

The power of the two poles is the same in this case, so the transverse differential protection will not operate from the start of the DC de-icing device until the current reaches the set value. To identify whether abnormal grounding points occur, the output power of one pole can be selected and compared with the rated energy consumption of startup under normal conditions. The distance between the substation and abnormal grounding points can be calculated as

\[ W_j = \int_{t_0}^{t_2} p_j dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

\[ x = \frac{W_j}{W_0} l \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( W_j \) means start-up power consumption of any bipolar pole; \( t_2 \) denotes the time that the de-icing device reaches the steady state.

**Abnormal Grounding Points in Both Insulated Ground Wires on the Different Position**

The schematic diagram of abnormal grounding points in two insulated overhead ground wires with different positions is shown in Figure 5.

In Figure 5, although the output current amplitudes of the bipolar are the same, the voltage amplitudes and output power of the positive poles are both smaller than the negative pole, due to the smaller effective load of the positive pole than that of the negative pole. Furthermore, the output power of both poles is less than the normal state. The instantaneous output power of the DC de-icing device can be expressed as Eq. 9.

\[ \begin{cases} p_+ = i_+^2 R_s \\ p_- = i_-^2 R_s \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The distance between abnormal grounding points is calculated by utilizing the ratio of the rated output power from the starting to the protection action and the actual output power.

\[ W_{j\beta} = \int_{t_n}^{t_\beta} p_j dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[ x_j = \frac{W_{j\beta}}{W_0} l \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where \( W_{j\beta} \) represents the start-up power consumption of the ground fault pole; \( x_j \) stands for the distance between the abnormal grounding point of the positive and negative poles and the outlet of the rectifier.

**SIMULATION ANALYSIS**

**Simulation Modeling**

The simulation model is built in PSCAD/EMTDC according to the structure diagram shown in Figure 1. The parameters are taken from the DC de-icing device of the converter station, as shown in Table 1.
An Abnormal Grounding Point in the Single Insulated Ground Wire

An abnormal grounding point is placed in the insulated overhead ground wire and connected, 150 km from the DC de-icing device. The output power of the positive and negative poles after the DC de-icing device is started, are shown in Figure 6.

Under the control of de-icing devices, the output current of both poles keeps rising with a constant speed, which also induces an improvement in the output power. Meanwhile, the equivalent resistance of the positive pole is smaller than that of the negative pole. The waveform in Figure 6 presents the different rising speeds of the output power of both poles with an abnormal grounding point in the single insulated overhead ground wire.

Due to the imbalance of the output power of the two poles, the transverse differential protection of de-icing devices can be triggered to lock the converter and switch off the current. The distance between the abnormal grounding point and the deicing device is 150.84 km.

Table 2 was obtained by simulating abnormal grounding points in different positions to verify the accuracy of the proposed location method. It can be concluded that the location method is feasible for the abnormal grounding point in the single insulated ground wire since the location error is really small.

### Abnormal Grounding Points in Both Insulated Ground Wires on the Same Tower

Both insulated ground wires are assumed to be abnormally grounded on the same tower, which is 150 km from the DC de-icing device. After the DC de-icing device is started, the power of the positive and negative poles is shown in Figure 7A,B. Combining Eq 7 and Eq 8, can calculate the location of the abnormal grounding point, which is 149.74 km. Two abnormal grounding points on the same tower are not able to cause different output power of the poles of the DC de-icing device. Thus, the output power of the two poles is approximately the same after a long period of power rising, which cannot trigger the transverse differential protection.

Therefore, after reaching the constant power state, the comparison of the rated power should be applied to estimate the actual length of the two poles to prevent the incomplete de-icing of the ground wires due to abnormal grounding.

A large number of simulations were carried out to test the robustness of the proposed location method. Due to the page limit, some of them are illustrated in Table 3. The location method is suitable for the abnormal grounding point in the insulated ground wire due to the small number of errors of the location method.

### Table 3 | Bipolar same position abnormal grounding distance measurement.

| Power (MW) | Fault distance (km) | Energy ratio | Fault location distance (km) |
|------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 164        | 50                  | 0.2531       | 50.62                       |
| 95         | 50                  | 0.2536       | 50.72                       |
| 24         | 50                  | 0.2536       | 50.72                       |
| 80         | 0.4019              | 80.38        |
| 100        | 0.5027              | 100.54       |
| 150        | 0.7535              | 150.70       |
| 180        | 0.9013              | 180.26       |

### Figure 8 | Instantaneous power of abnormal grounding at different positions of bipolar.
Abnormal Grounding Points in Both Insulated Ground Wires on the Different Tower

Both insulated ground wires are assumed to be abnormally grounded on the different towers, which are 100 and 180 km away from the DC de-icing device, respectively. The power of the positive and negative poles after the DC de-icing device is started, is shown in Figure 8.

Two abnormal grounding points on various towers cause different equivalent resistance of the poles of the DC de-icing device, which further leads to the imbalance output power of poles. Therefore, the output power of the two poles is significantly different while the power is rising. The location of abnormal grounding points acquired by Eq. 10 and Eq. 11 are 120.38 and 179.60 km, respectively.

Table 4 is acquired by simulating abnormal grounding points in the different positions of two poles to verify the accuracy of the proposed location method. This location method is feasible for the abnormal grounding points in both insulated ground wires on the different towers. In Table 4, x1.

**CONCLUSION**

1) There is a long period of current rising for the reduction of the adverse impact of the DC de-icing device with large capacity, hence the abnormal grounding point may trigger the transverse protection of the de-icing device.

2) The resistance of transmission lines is fairly distributed, so the energy consumption of the positive and negative poles during the current rising can be applied to locate the abnormal grounding point.
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**TABLE 4 | Abnormal ranging at different bipolar positions.**

| Power (MW) | Fault distance (km) | Energy ratio | Fault location distance (km) | Energy ratio | Fault location distance (km) |
|------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 164        | (20,50)             | 0.1049       | 20.98                       | 0.2546       | 50.92                       |
|            | (50,80)             | 0.2538       | 50.78                       | 0.4045       | 80.90                       |
|            | (80,120)            | 0.4029       | 80.56                       | 0.6029       | 120.40                      |
|            | (100,150)           | 0.5026       | 100.50                      | 0.7485       | 149.70                      |
|            | (120,180)           | 0.6019       | 120.38                      | 0.8980       | 179.60                      |
| 95         | (20,50)             | 0.1031       | 20.62                       | 0.2524       | 20.48                       |
|            | (50,80)             | 0.2523       | 50.46                       | 0.4027       | 80.54                       |
|            | (80,120)            | 0.4019       | 80.38                       | 0.6026       | 120.52                      |
|            | (100,150)           | 0.5032       | 100.64                      | 0.7538       | 150.76                      |
|            | (120,180)           | 0.6017       | 120.34                      | 0.8996       | 179.92                      |
| 24         | (20,50)             | 0.1024       | 20.48                       | 0.2537       | 20.74                       |
|            | (50,80)             | 0.2537       | 20.74                       | 0.4007       | 80.14                       |
|            | (80,120)            | 0.4025       | 80.50                       | 0.5989       | 119.78                      |
|            | (100,150)           | 0.5019       | 100.38                      | 0.7488       | 149.76                      |
|            | (120,180)           | 0.6012       | 120.24                      | 0.8986       | 179.72                      |
