Endocrine and the brain: corticotropin-releasing hormone signaling
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Abstract

Corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) is a key player of basal and stress-activated responses in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) and in extrahypothalamic circuits, where it functions as a neuromodulator to orchestrate humoral and behavioral adaptive responses to stress. This review describes molecular components and cellular mechanisms involved in CRH signaling downstream of its G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) CRHR1 and CRHR2 and summarizes recent findings that challenge the classical view of GPCR signaling and impact on our understanding of CRHRs function. Special emphasis is placed on recent studies of CRH signaling that revealed new mechanistic aspects of cAMP generation and ERK1/2 activation in physiologically relevant contexts of the neurohormone action. In addition, we present an overview of the pathophysiological role of the CRH system, which highlights the need for a precise definition of CRHRs signaling at molecular level to identify novel targets for pharmacological intervention in neuroendocrine tissues and specific brain areas involved in CRH-related disorders.

Introduction

The neuropeptide corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH, also named CRF) plays a key role in the integration of neuroendocrine, autonomic and behavioral responses to stress (1). Hypothalamic paraventricular CRH-secreting neurons are essential to the stress response driving both basal and stress-induced hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) activation. Besides the hypothalamus, CRH is widely distributed in extrahypothalamic circuits of the brain where it functions as a neuromodulator to establish and integrate a complex humoral and behavioral system that regulates multiple aspects of the stress response (1, 2, 3, 4). Furthermore, a role for CRH as a regulator of neuronal structure is being recently appreciated in areas of the developing and mature brain (5). CRH system dysregulation is critically involved in stress-related disorders: psychiatric conditions (i.e. depression, anxiety, addictions), neuroendocrinological alterations and inflammation and has been reported to influence the onset and development of neurodegenerative diseases (6, 7).

CRH is a 41 amino acid peptide first characterized from ovine hypothalamus (8). The other members of the CRH family (9) in mammals are urocortin 1 (UCN1), urocortin 2 (UCN2) and urocortin 3 (UCN3) (Fig. 1). These peptide hormones and its receptors are ubiquitously present throughout mammalian tissues playing key roles in stress-mediated central and local effects. In the periphery CRH has an immunomodulatory role, being proinflammatory (10).
The CRH system also includes the corticotropin-releasing hormone-binding protein (CRH-BP) expressed in peripheral tissues and the brain. Initially thought to bind free CRH and CRH-related ligands attenuating CRH receptors activation, its physiological role seems more complex and is still being investigated.

CRH and the CRH-related peptides operate through CRHR1 and CRHR2, which belong to the class B family of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). A wealth of research has demonstrated that CRH and UCNs can signal through various intracellular pathways that depend on cellular context (11). Considering the promiscuous G protein coupling of CRHRs, it is not surprising that a plethora of molecular components has been implicated in CRHR functions, including ERK1/2 and p38 MAPKs, PKA, Akt, NFKB, GSK-3b/Wnt, RhoA as well as other important signaling molecules such as cAMP, calcium, IP3 and nitric oxide/cGMP (10).

Here, we review the principal characteristics of the CRH system and describe recent advances on the structural and functional features of CRHRs and ligands. Recent findings on CRHR1 signaling mechanisms are introduced in the context of the emerging model of GPCR function, which involves sustained signaling from intracellular compartments. We present a brief overview of important aspects of the CRH pathophysiology for which the identification of novel molecular components and mechanisms of CRHRs signaling will impact on development of therapies for CRH-related disorders.

**The CRH system: CRH, the urocortins and their receptors**

**CRH and the activation of the Hypothalamic–Pituitary–Adrenal axis**

Hypothalamic CRH neurons drive both basal and stress-induced HPA activation, the main neuroendocrine system involved in stress responses. Stimuli that challenge homeostasis originated from visceral input or
from external environmental changes are communicated to the CNS by neurochemical pathways and integrated at the hypothalamic level where they reach paraventricular (PVN) neurons controlling CRH secretion to the hypophysial portal vessels that access the anterior pituitary gland (Fig. 2A). The binding of CRH to its receptors activates ACTH release from pituitary corticotrophs (1). ACTH stimulates the synthesis and release of glucocorticoids from the zona fasciculata of the adrenal cortex, cortisol in primates and corticosterone in rodents. The biological effects of glucocorticoids support the adaptation to stress-induced demands by controlling energy metabolism (12, 13). The adaptive function of the HPA depends on the negative feedback mechanisms that bring the HPA to baseline levels. Corticosteroids are released in a pulsatile ultradian pattern that may vary in amplitude and frequency under stressful conditions (14).

**Extrahypothalamic CRH: organization and integration of the stress response**

Intracerebroventricular CRH administration lead to anxiety-like behavioral and autonomic effects besides increasing ACTH release (15, 16, 17, 18). Behavioral aspects of the response seem independent of endocrine HPA axis–driven responses, since anxiety-related outcomes persisted in hypophysectomized rats (19). The differential expression of CRHRs and its ligands throughout the brain reflects the different actions that CRH exerts at the CNS level. A detailed anatomical map of expression of the CRH system components (ligands and receptors) in the CNS has been achieved integrating data obtained with a variety of technical approaches (see a detailed review in (20)).

Different than classic neurotransmitters, it is now accepted that CRH and its related peptides act as neuroregulators: without affecting synaptic efficacy or strength, they activate cell signaling processes that facilitate or depress neurotransmitters effect within specific neuronal circuits (21). The CRH system is anatomically and functionally connected with monoaminergic circuits that act in concert to convey stress signals to different brain areas to elicit a variety of responses to stress (21). The family of CRH and peptides and monoamines act through GPCRs, which rapidly modulate downstream effectors translating increases of ligands into altered functioning of neurons that express these receptors. CRH modulation...
of norepinephrine release, mainly at the locus coeruleus (LC), is considered to underlie the emotional bases of the stress response (22).

The negative feedback exerted by glucocorticoids inhibits ACTH and CRH release at the pituitary and hypothalamus, respectively. This critical feature of the HPA axis is lost in ACTH-secreting adenomas (23) and in some depressed patients (24), creating a hypercortisolemic state. However, in extrahypothalamic circuits, as in the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA), glucocorticoids action stimulates rather than inhibit CRH synthesis (see (25) for references).

CRH and UCNs are known to regulate neuronal excitability by modulating ionic currents. CRH-activated CRHR1 was found to increase neuronal excitability of hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons through a mechanism dependent on L- and T-type calcium channels and voltage-gated potassium channels but independent on intracellular calcium rise (26). CRH excitatory action was also described in the LC, where cAMP/PKA-dependent phosphorylation of potassium channels led to a decrease in potassium conductance (27). Regarding CRH-like peptides, UCN2 reduced intracellular calcium levels by inhibiting L-type channels in undifferentiated PC12 cells (28). In MN9D dopaminergic cells, UCN1 activates CRHR1 and modulates T-type calcium channels in a PKC-dependent manner (29), whereas in HEK293 cells, both UCNs and CRH acting via CRHR1 selectively inhibit CaV3.2 T-type calcium currents (30).

As expected from the intricate neuronal circuits that are interconnected to generate different outputs depending on the brain area and neurotransmitter-specific neurons involved, a variety of effects of CRH are observed, although most of the mechanistic aspects of these interactions in the brain are not defined yet (31). On the other hand, evidence obtained at molecular level in cell biology approaches, which may be meaningful to understand the outcome of combined effects, are not correlated by neurochemical studies or behavioral analysis. Integrated molecular cell biology studies and neurobiological approaches in animal models will be required to unravel the complex physiology of spatially convergent systems of the brain.

**Structural and functional features of CRH and urotornstins and their receptors**

Phylogenetic studies showed that the origin of CRH and its related peptides predates the evolution of tetrapods and teleosts, strongly suggesting their essential role to maintain homeostasis (32). Two additional CRH-related peptides, urotenin I and sauvagine, have been isolated from fish and amphibians, respectively (33).

Although CRH was initially described for its function as a HPA regulator, CRH is widely expressed in central circuits (Fig. 2A) and also in peripheral tissues including heart, blood vessels, skin, lung, spleen, pancreas, kidney, liver, adipose tissue, digestive tract, testes, ovaries and placenta (9). The expression of urocortins (UCNs) in the brain is more restricted than that of CRH, being also found in pituitary, heart and vasculature, skeletal muscle, kidney, adipose tissue, digestive tract and gonads (34).

CRH and UCNs act through two receptors, CRHR1 and CRHR2, which belong to the class B family of GPCRs (Fig. 1C). CRHR1 and CRHR2 are encoded by different genes but present a 70% identity at the amino acid level, with the major divergence found in their amino-terminal domains involved in ligand binding, and therefore, responsible for their agonist selectivity (33). The amino acid sequences of the intracellular and transmembrane domains of CRHRs present 80–85% identity.

Several splice variants of CRHR1 have been identified but only one, CRHR1α, presents biological activity and is predominantly expressed. In humans, CRHR2 exists in three splice variants CRHR2α, β and γ (in mice only two isoforms are found) that differ in the amino-terminal domain and their tissue distribution (2, 9, 33). CRHR2α is the most abundant splice variant in the brain; CRHR2β is found almost exclusively in peripheral tissues such as retina, ovaries, testes, digestive tract, heart, skeletal muscle, lung and skin, whereas CRHR2γ is found in septum, hippocampus, amygdala, midbrain, frontal cortex and limbic regions of the human brain, but its function is still unknown (9, 10). The expression and distribution of CRHRs, CRH and UCNs in the different brain regions have been extensively reviewed recently (20).

Recent studies demonstrated that different ligands acting on the same receptor are determinants of specific cellular responses. Counteracting effects of CRH and UCN2 on stress effect in physiologically relevant responses have been described (35). At the molecular level, a novel mechanism by which the endosomal peptidase ECE-1, differentially regulates signaling of CRH and UCN1 has been recently reported (36).

CRH-binding protein (CRH-BP) is a 37-kDa secreted glycoprotein, structurally unrelated to the CRHRs, that binds CRH and UCN1 with high affinity (37). CRH-BP is found in the liver, placenta and in circulation and...
co-localized with CRH in some areas of the brain, including cerebral cortex and subcortical limbic regions (2, 38). Slightly higher plasma levels of CRH-BP have been reported in females (39). The role of CRH-BP is still not fully understood, and different functions have been proposed: to act as a decoy sequestering CRH and UCN1 to modulate CRHRs activation, but also to act independently of CRH (38) or to function as an escort protein for CRHR2α localization (40).

**Structural characterization of CRH receptors**

GPCRs are the largest family of membrane proteins in the human proteome, mediate a myriad of cellular responses through interactions with a huge variety of extracellular molecules and are major targets for drug development. GPCRs share a common transmembrane topology and are classified into four major families: A (rhodopsin), B (secretin), C (metabotropic glutamate) and frizzled (41). Class B is the second largest class and consists of 15 GPCRs that bind physiologically relevant peptides. Structurally, class B GPCRs are characterized by a large extracellular amino-terminal domain (ECD) with a similar tertiary structure composed of three-layered α-β-α fold (‘sushi domain’), stabilized by three conserved disulfide bridges, which are part of the binding site for ligand recognition (42) (Fig. 1C).

The ‘two-domain’ model is the accepted mechanism of ligand interaction with class B GPCRs: the carboxyl end of the peptide agonist binds primarily to the ECD and the amino portion interacts with the juxtamembrane domain, stabilizing the active conformation state of the receptor (42). The high-resolution structure of the ECD has been solved for CRHR1, CRHR2α and CRHR2β bound to ligands (43, 44, 45, 46) providing information on ligand recognition and selectivity. The ECDs of the three receptors present a similar fold, being the amino-terminal α-helix shorter in CRHR1 with respect to others. In addition, the mature CRHR2α contains a non-cleavable pseudo-signal peptide that forms a hydrophobic α-helix in the amino-terminus (46, 47). CRHR2α was the first GPCR described with a pseudo-signal peptide (47), which generates specific signal transduction mechanisms, trafficking and internalization processes (48, 49) (Fig. 1C).

A mechanism of compatibility in the amino portion of receptors is sufficient for ligand binding recognition and discrimination among the family of CRH peptides (44, 46). Mutagenesis studies of the extracellular loops of CRHR1 showed that the juxtamembrane domain is also involved in ligand binding and receptor activation (50).

CRHR1 was the first class B GPCR reported to be able to form homo-oligomers at the plasma membrane and in intracellular compartments (51). CRHR2β also forms oligomers, but CRHR2α behaves as a monomer as a consequence of the pseudo-signal peptide presence in the mature receptor, which is also believed to cause higher intracellular CRHR2α localization and reduced levels in the cell surface (51). Moreover, it was proposed that the monomer/dimer state influences G protein selectivity, whereas CRHR1 exhibited a bell-shaped concentration–response curve for cAMP, suggesting coupling to Gs and Gi; CRHR2α or monomeric CRHR1 showed a monophasic concentration–response cAMP curve (48). Analyses of functional consequences of CRHRs homo-oligomers in physiological contexts await to be addressed.

The formation of heteromers of CRHRs with other GPCRs have been proposed to govern the integration of different signal transduction systems in complex biological responses, such as HPA activation, anxiogenic behavior and drug abuse. CRH effect at the pituitary level is amplified by arginine vasopressin (AVP), which is produced in the supraoptic nucleus and PVN, co-expressed and co-secreted from hypothalamic CRH neurons (52, 53). Both CRH and AVP neurohormones trigger different signaling pathways in pituitary corticotrophs, but the cellular mechanism underlying the synergistic effect of CRH and AVP on ACTH release is not completely understood. In mammals, the physiological actions of AVP are mediated by three class A GPCRs – V1a, V1b and V2 (54). V1bR is coupled mainly to the phospholipase C (PLC), which increases intracellular calcium and protein kinase C (PKC) activity in corticotrophs. A crosstalk between AVP and CRH at the level of diacylglycerol and IP3 production by the V1bR and the accumulation of cAMP by the CRHR1 receptor could account, at least in part, for the synergism (55). In avian species, the homologous nonapeptide of mammalian AVP is arginine vasotocin. Four vasotocin receptors – VT1, VT2, VT3 and VT4 – have been identified. Of the four receptors, the VT2R appears to be involved in the stress response of birds (56). Recently, a direct allosteric interaction between vasopressin/vasotocin receptors and CRHR1 was proposed, based on FRET and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) experiments with co-expressed receptors in different cellular systems. Although these studies involve expression of fluorescently tagged receptors in vitro, involvement of hetero-dimerization as a mechanism to explain CRH potentiation by AVP is attractive (57, 58, 59).

CRH modulatory effect in dopamine circuits in the ventral tegmental area, which are linked to addiction
behavior, has been found to be dependent on CRHR1 activation of the PLC, PKC and PKA signaling pathways (60, 61). The ability of CRHR2α and dopamine receptor 1 (D1R) to physically interact in HEK293T cells may be a molecular evidence of a concerted physiological action of CRH and dopamine in neurons (62). Oligomerization of CRHR1 and orexin1 receptor may provide support for pharmacologically significant interactions between CRH and orexin-A on dopamine release modulation (63).

CRHRs differ in their carboxyl termini, being a PDZ-binding motif (class I, STAV) present in CRHR1 but not in CRHR2 (64), although the cassette TAAV on CRHR2 carboxyl terminus was found to regulate CRHR2 internalization in HEK293 cells (65) (Fig. 1C). Membrane-associated guanylate kinases (MAGUKs) are a family of synaptic PDZ proteins that have been reported to interact with CRHR1 and regulate receptor trafficking (66, 67). The PDZ domain of CRHR1 allowed crosstalk with other receptors, such as 5HT2A serotonin receptor (64), suggesting that this motif also plays a role in the regulation of the biological response. Evidence on CRHR1 and serotonin -5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT)-system interactions have been obtained in terms of both molecular and behavioral effects. Enhanced serotonin signaling by CRH was shown to require CRH-stimulated CRHR1 endocytosis and rapid recycling from endosomes, which resulted in increased cell surface expression of serotonin receptor (5-HT2R), in both HEK293 cells and mouse cortical neurons (64). Using pharmacological and optogenetic tools, a recent study described a circuit controlling fear and anxiety which was dependent on 5-HT from the dorsal raphe nucleus acting on CRHR1-positive neurons of the extended amygdala (68).

The report of the first two class B GPCR crystal structures, CRHR1 (69) and glucagon receptor (GCGR) (70), represented a major advance in GPCR biology considering the therapeutic potential of this class of receptors. A thermostabilized transmembrane region of CRHR1 was crystallized in complex with a small-molecule antagonist that binds deep into the structure. Unlike class A GPCRs, CRHR1 and GCGR use distinct determinants for conformational control and their transmembrane domains adopt a pronounced V-shape, presenting a wider and deeper extracellular cavity that presumably forms the peptide-binding site (Fig. 1C). The openness of the receptor core somehow explains the previous difficulties in identifying small-molecule ligands. The solved structures showed distinct subpockets that could represent important sites for structure-based drug design and the possibility to generate biased agonism to regulate the physiological responses (71). The recent structure of the full-length class B calcitonin receptor (CTR) in complex with peptide ligand and heterotrimeric Gs protein was a huge breakthrough, not only for the additional knowledge provided but also because it is the first GPCR resolved by phase-plate cryo-electron microscopy (72). Important questions about the dynamics of the activation mechanism of class B GPCRs still remain largely unexplored, but these recent studies may open new avenues for rational design of novel ligands with therapeutic potential for this class of receptors.

**Signaling pathways engaged by CRHRs: more complex than initially thought**

The canonical GPCRs signaling mechanism is the coupling of ligand binding, which stabilizes an active conformation of the receptor, to the activation of the cytoplasmatic G protein heterotrimer through the exchange of GDP for GTP and the functional dissociation into Gα-GTP and Gβγ subunits (73, 74). The separated Gα-GTP and Gβγ subunits regulate the activity of different downstream effector proteins. The activated GPCRs are substrate of kinase phosphorylation and binding to β-arrestins, events that inhibit interaction with G proteins and promote endocytosis of receptors via clathrin-coated pits (CCPs). The Gs heterotrimer reassembles following the hydrolysis of GTP to GDP in the α subunit. Thus, acute GPCR signaling was assumed to be restricted to the plasma membrane. However, this traditional view has been challenged by more recent observations and is being replaced by a much more complex signaling model for GPCRs and in particular for CRHRs (75, 76, 77). In the following sections, we review advances in the understanding of the molecular signaling cascades engaged by the activated CRHRs.

**G protein activation**

The physiological functions of CRHRs in the CNS and the periphery have been mainly associated to an increase in intracellular cAMP levels. This is consistent with a predominant coupling to Gs, the canonical signaling pathway for class B GPCRs (42). However, as observed for other members of this class, CRHRs are highly promiscuous and capable of activating multiple Go types (71). Studies in tissues with endogenous CRHRs or in recombinant cell lines (78, 79, 80) have shown different G protein coupling including Gs, Go, Gq/11, Gi1/2 and Gz.
The characterization of the GPCR/G protein complex structure has been achieved for two class A GPCRs, rhodopsin and β2 adrenergic receptor (β2AR) (81, 82, 83), and recently for class B CTR (72). Activation mechanisms for most class B GPCRs have been explored by mutagenesis approaches to identify critical residues and motifs involved in the recognition and coupling to G proteins. For CRHR1, alanine-scanning studies determined that the cationic α-helices near TM5 and 6 are crucial for this function and that the intracellular loop 3 (ICL3), the juxtamembrane regions as well as basic amino acids also contributed as recognition sites for Gs, Gi and Gq protein (84). It is remarkable that the ICL3 is 100% conserved in all the CRHRs discovered to date (9), highlighting its functional importance. Determinants of the carboxyl terminus also seem important for G protein coupling (72, 78). Finally, the selectivity of G proteins also depends on accessory proteins (49). Further exploration of G protein activation mechanisms by CRHRs is needed for a better understanding of the system.

### The cAMP response

Most biological functions of CRH and UCNs, both in the CNS and the periphery, have been related to CRHRs ability to trigger cAMP production. Earl Sutherland and Ted Rall discovered adenosine 3', 5'-monophosphate (commonly referred as cyclic AMP or cAMP) in 1958 as a mediator of the function of the hormone adrenaline (85). It was rapidly recognized that cAMP mediated the action of several hormones and the concept of second messenger was introduced. In the words of Sutherland during the 1971 Nobel Prize winner speech for this revolutionary concept developed in the field of endocrinology ‘cyclic AMP as a second messenger in hormone action, with the hormones themselves acting as first messengers’ (86). The wide-ranging effects and variety of cell processes regulated by cAMP helped to generate great excitement around second messenger function. How is it possible that a single molecule mediates so many and often opposed physiological processes simultaneously? The existence of cAMP microdomains where cAMP is discretely and selectively regulated at the subcellular level to ensure the fine and precise actions of cAMP was proposed more than 30 years ago (87). Experimental support for this model required the development of live-cell sensors for cAMP that allowed spatial and temporal resolution with respect to traditional methods. The use of these techniques is changing our understanding of cAMP-dependent regulation, and in particular, of cAMP generation downstream GPCR activation.

Several studies demonstrated that CRHR1 and CRHR2 activation leads to a rapid accumulation of cAMP (48, 88, 89). Using a cAMP biosensor based on Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), the CRH elicited cAMP response in different physiologically relevant scenarios was recently explored (Fig. 2B). In the corticotroph-derived cell line AtT20, CRH led to a transient increase in cAMP levels (89). On the other hand, the cAMP response elicited by CRH in hippocampal and cortical primary cell cultures was sustained for at least 10 min after stimulation (90). Notably, the hippocampal neuronal cell line HT22 stably expressing CRHR1 (HT22-CRHR1) also showed a prolonged cAMP response, which stayed elevated for 40 min (89). CRH also triggered a sustained cAMP response in the fibroblast-derived 3T3L1-CRHR1 cells (89), further suggesting that the dynamics of cAMP depend on the cellular context. No differences were observed in the CRHR1-regulated cAMP response when CRH or UCN1 were used as ligands in a hippocampal context (90).

The question about the diversity and specificity of cAMP-regulated processes can be at least in part answered by the existence of different adenylyl cyclases (ACs), with different expression profiles and regulatory properties. Accumulating evidence suggests that the ACs not only generate cAMP, but also orchestrate their own microenvironment by recruiting signaling components and scaffolding molecules, therefore, contributing to the temporal and spatial resolution of the signals (91). There are nine G protein–regulated transmembrane ACs (tmACs), each with additional regulatory properties (92). A second type of AC is the soluble adenylyl cyclase (sAC), an evolutionarily conserved enzyme insensitive to G protein regulation but directly activated by bicarbonate (93) and calcium ions (94, 95). sAC is localized throughout the cell, enabling the production of cAMP in different intracellular locations close to specific CAMP targets (96).

Perhaps the best-characterized role of sAC is during sperm capacitation in testis, but growing evidence indicates sAC is ubiquitously expressed and might be responsible for cAMP-mediated functions previously attributed to tmACs (96, 97, 98, 99). Although GPCR-mediated cAMP production was thought only dependent on tmACs, the involvement of sAC in GPCR signaling has recently emerged (97, 100). The study of cAMP response elicited by CRH-activated CRHR1 demonstrated that both tmACs and sAC are involved in two physiologically relevant scenarios, hippocampal HT22-CRHR1 and neuroendocrine AtT20 cells (89). Moreover, different cAMP sources were found to be involved in different signaling mechanisms: tmACs and sAC were required...
for acute ERK1/2 activation but only sAC was critical for sustained phospho-ERK1/2 (89) or CRH-mediated neuritogenic effect in HT22-CRHR1 cells (90).

Notably, the participation of sAC depended on the GPCR identity (sAC was not engaged downstream βARs or PAC1 receptor) and the cellular context (sAC did not participate in CRHR1 signaling in fibroblast-derived 3T3L1-CRHR1 cells) suggesting that sAC is not a general mediator ofGPCRs (89). Given that sAC activation depended on CRHR1-mediated calcium response (89), it will be important to further investigate the function of sAC considering it might be a key element integrating two essential second messengers, cAMP and calcium, especially in neurons (101, 102).

The existence of different cAMP effectors also contributes to cAMP-mediated response diversification. Many of the cellular functions regulated by CRH or UCNs have been attributed to protein kinase A activation (PKA) (89, 90, 103, 104, 105). Phosphorylation of the transcription factor cAMP response element–binding protein (CREB) is essential for neuronal transcriptional programs in response to multiple external signals. Interestingly, CRH activates CREB in an ERK1/2-independent, sAC- and tmACs-dependent mechanism in HT22-CRHR1 cells (90). After the identification of EPACs (exchange protein activated by cAMP), their activity has also been reported to mediate cAMP-dependent CRHR1 (89, 106) and CRHR2 (104) cellular responses. A plethora of signaling mechanisms is modulated by cAMP, but MAPK activation has received special attention in the context of CRH and UCNs function.

The development of biosensors capable of monitoring cAMP and cAMP effectors activity in live cells became valuable tools to understand the signaling mechanisms engaged by CRHRs that may contribute to the general comprehension of GPCRs function. Remarkably, these imaging techniques allow the real-time measurement of cAMP in live cells and in more physiological systems, such as brain slices. They can be combined with electrophysiological recordings to decipher the reports in the neurobiology of CRH with simultaneous molecular mechanism exploration.

ERK1/2 activation

The MAPKs cascades consist of a module of three kinases (MAPKKK-MAPKK-MAPK), activated by a great variety of signals. A major role of the MAPK ERK1/2 pathway has been recognized in CRHRs biological action. ERK1/2 is widely distributed in the brain and is considered a central regulator of the molecular processes involved in learning, memory, neuronal plasticity and also, during the response to stress (see (107) for references).

In AtT20 cells, the cAMP-dependent activation of ERK1/2 was critical for the expression of POMC, the ACTH precursor (103, 106). In addition, the in vivo intracerebroventricular CRH administration activated ERK1/2 in specific brain regions functionally involved in information processing and behavioral aspects of the stress response, such as hippocampus and basolateral amygdala (BLA, (108)). The molecular mechanisms underlying ERK1/2 activation in a hippocampal neuronal context have been investigated, using HT22-CRHR1 cells as a cellular model. The temporal pattern of ERK1/2 phosphorylation in response to CRH was biphasic, with a first peak 3–6 min after stimulation and a second phase that remained activated for at least 60 min after CRH addition (105). Importantly, in this hippocampal context, ERK1/2 activation was dependent on cAMP levels in response to CRH (89, 105) (Fig. 2B).

Considering that the MAPK effector B-Raf, main activator of the ERK1/2 pathway, also acts as a scaffold for assembling signaling complexes (109, 110), a proteomic analysis of B-Raf interactome was performed to unravel the cellular components potentially relevant for ERK1/2 pathway regulation in neurons (76). The role of a subset of B-Raf-interacting proteins mediating CRHR1 activation was evaluated (105). Using this approach, it was shown that at least two distinct mechanisms mediate ERK1/2 activation, one dependent on G protein activation and a second regulated by CRHR1 internalization and β-arrestin2 (105). The observation of this biphasic ERK1/2 activation for several GPCRs has helped to establish a new paradigm in GPCR signaling, where signals are not exclusively mediated by G protein activation but also by G protein-independent pathways (111), as discussed in the next section.

In contrast to the stimulatory effect of cAMP on ERK1/2 pathway in neuroendocrine (103, 106) or neuronal systems (89, 105, 112), there are contexts where an increase in cAMP is not linked or even inhibits ERK1/2 activation (113). In fibroblastic-derived cell lines, HEK293-expressing CRHRs (65, 104, 114) and 3T3L1-CRHR1 (89), myometrium and breast cancer (114, 115) ERK1/2 is activated in response to CRH and UCNs without cAMP involvement. In addition, even in cellular systems where cAMP regulates ERK1/2 phosphorylation, there are signaling cascades and CRH functions regulated by...
cAMP that are independent of ERK1/2 (90), revealing the complexity of the signaling networks.

**Small G proteins**

Small G proteins are a superfamily of monomeric 20–30kDa GTP-binding proteins that act as molecular switches, cycling between an active GTP-bound state and an inactive GDP-bound state. Its regulation relies on the balance between the activity of guanosine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) that facilitate the exchange of GDP for GTP and GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs), which increase the intrinsic rate of GTP hydrolysis. This superfamily can be classified into five subfamilies according to structure similarity and function: Ras, Rho, Arf, Rab and Ran family GTPases (116).

CRH-stimulated CRHR1 leads to the activation of two members of the Ras family (Ras and Rap1) and both proteins are part of the B-Raf complex responsible for ERK1/2 activation in a hippocampal neuronal context (105). Taking this into account, it would not be surprising to find other members of this superfamily or even modulators as binding partners of the MAPKKK B-Raf.

Among small GTPases, Rho family is involved in cytoskeletal organization and dynamics regulation, being a common effector of signaling mechanisms associated with cytoskeletal rearrangements and cell motility. Recent studies have shown that CRH1 activation plays a role in neuronal architecture, but the exact nature of such modulation is still not clear due to controversial evidence. In the LC, this effect seems ligand dependent: CRH stimulates growth and arborization of LC neuronal processes, whereas UCN2 has an inhibitory effect (117). These opposing outcomes might be explained by the fact that each ligand triggers distinct signaling pathway downstream CRHR1. CRH action requires PKA, ERK1/2 and Rac1, whereas UCN2 effect is mediated by PKC and RhoA (117). CRH/CRHR1-mediated promotion of neurite outgrowth through PKA- and ERK1/2-dependent mechanisms has also been reported in the noradrenergic LC-like CATH.a cell line and Purkinje cells in cerebellar slices (112, 118). Reduced dendritic arborization of neurons of the developing hippocampus requires the same signaling cascade (119). The cell model used in each case may account for the variety of effects and actors involved in CRHR1-mediated cytoskeletal changes.

Stress impairs learning and memory via mechanisms that disrupt the integrity of hippocampal dendritic spines. Although CRH action on spine density and distribution seems to depend on the brain region analyzed, the regulation of RhoA activity is consistently involved as its consequent remodeling of actin cytoskeleton. These alterations in neuronal architecture generate changes in both the number and strength of synapses that could account for cognitive impairment associated with stress-related pathologies (120). Future studies regarding Rho GTPases involvement in CRH signaling need to be carried out at a molecular level to define CRH-triggered mechanisms regulating neuronal morphological changes.

**Gβγ-mediated signaling**

It was originally thought that the Gβγ dimer was only necessary for the inactivation of Ga subunits given that it prevented spontaneous Ga activation in the absence of receptor stimulation and allowed the interaction with the receptor for new cycles of signaling. Later on, reconstitution studies showed that Gβγ is required for GPCR-mediated nucleotide exchange (121). Gβγ binding to Ga was either required to target Ga to the membrane (122) or to organize Ga subunit structure so that it could become a substrate for receptor interactions (121). However, it is now well established that Gβγ dimers can activate their own signaling pathways downstream of GPCRs. As they lack a catalytic site, Gβγ dimers are considered modulators of protein activity through the interaction with their effectors in sites known as hot spots (121).

Remarkably, Gβγ-mediated MAPK activation has already been described in various systems. Using COS-7 cells as model, it was shown that Gβγ complex is involved in muscarinic receptor 1- and -2 mediated ERK1/2 and JNK activation as well as ERK1/2 activation downstream of β2AR (123). The use of dominant negative mutant forms of several Rho GTPases abolished Gβγ stimulatory action, suggesting that these proteins mediate the effects of Gβγ complex observed (124, 125). Little is known about Gβγ role in CRHR signaling. In HEK293 cells, Gβγ stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation in response to CRHR1 activation by UCN1 (126). There is also evidence of a stimulatory effect of Gβγ regarding CRH/CRHR1-mediated CREB activation in primary cultures of striatal neurons and pyramidal neurons of the hippocampus (127, 128).

For GPCRs such as parathyroid hormone receptor (PTH1R) or β2-adrenergic receptor (β2AR) the involvement of Gβγ complex on signaling has been studied in detail (129, 130), leading to the discovery of non-canonical pathways regarding receptor transactivation and...
endosomal signaling/cAMP production. This opens a whole new scenario for GPCR signaling that is definitely worth addressing for the CRH system.

**CRHRs endocytosis: desensitization and signaling**

In the classical paradigm, agonist-activated G protein-mediated signaling is terminated at the plasma membrane by a mechanism that involves receptor internalization. The phosphorylation of Ser/Thr residues of the cytoplasmic loops and tail of the GPCRs promotes the recruitment of β-arrestins, which destabilize the interactions with G proteins and bind AP-2 and clathrin, causing the whole complex to be internalized. Thus, in this model, there is a temporal correlation between receptor internalization and the attenuation of the GPCR signaling (111). Although there is no doubt about the role of endocytosis mediating long-term signal extinction, numerous studies have helped building a new picture in which endocytosis modulates signaling, enabling cells to regulate the spatial and temporal control of the signal (76, 131).

Following agonist-induced activation, CRHRs undergo rapid endocytosis, reducing the number of receptors present on the cell surface and diminishing the cellular responsiveness to the extracellular ligand. Due to the lack of reliable antibodies to detect endogenous expression of CRHRs (132), kinetics of receptor endocytosis have been mainly studied in cell models transfected with tagged forms of the receptors. In HT22-CRHR1 cells, a significant fraction of CRH-activated CRHR1 was internalized after 5 min of stimulation and remained constant for at least 30 min (89). Similar results were observed in HEK293-CRHR1 cells and cortical neurons after 10 min of CRH addition. Internalized CRHR1 was found to be accumulated in intracellular clusters (89, 105, 133). Regulated endocytosis of CRHR2α (134) and CRHR2β (65) has also been reported.

After receptor activation, desensitization mechanisms have been extensively reported in line with the physiological notion that high ligand levels promote the attenuation of the response. This process has been described in cell lines overexpressing recombinant CRHRs, human myometrial cells, rat pituitary, human retinoblastoma and neuroblastoma cell lines Y79 and IMR-32 (see (9) for references). Desensitization was also found dependent on specific ligands activating CRHRs (135). CRH and UCN1 differential regulation of CRHR1 recycling and resensitization has been recently demonstrated to be dependent on the endosomal peptidase ECE-1 (36).

The mechanism of desensitization has received special attention considering that the failure of this signal regulation during recurrent exposure to stress may be causally related to pathological conditions (20) or the sex differences observed in the receptor rate of internalization could explain the increased vulnerability of females to stress-related disorders (136). Sex-dependent mechanisms have also been described in CRHR2 and UCN1-mediated stress-mediated inflammation responses (137, 138).

Phosphorylation of the cytoplasmic loops and tail of the activated GPCRs is catalyzed predominantly by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) (139, 140). CRHR1 phosphorylation involved in desensitization was reported to be mediated by GRK3 and GRK6 in HEK293 (88) and GRK3 in Y79 neuroblastoma cells (141), while in AtT20 cell line GRK2 was the main kinase involved (142). Notably, the kinetics of signal desensitization and recovery depended on the cell system studied. CRHR1 endogenously expressed in AtT20 corticotrophs (143) or recombinantly overexpressed in HEK293 cells resensitize within 1–2 h after CRH stimulation (88). However, a full recovery of the response was achieved only after 24 h post CRH addition in neuroblastoma IMR-32 or retinoblastoma Y79 cells (144, 145). Although trafficking and degradation rates of CRHRs may depend on the analyzed systems, these differences might also be explained by a differential expression of GRKs and arrestins in different cell types (146). Therefore, relative expression of modulators of GPCR internalization may influence CRHRs activity in physiological contexts of CRH action.

**β-arrestins: GPCR internalization and organizers of signaling complexes**

Arrestins were originally recognized for their ability to bind the activated GPCR and ‘arrest’ signaling via G proteins. However, over the years, it has been appreciated that arrestins not only prevent G protein activation but also have the capacity to function as multifunctional adaptor proteins that modulate endocytosis and transduce signals to multiple effector pathways (147, 148). Arrestins critically regulate GPCR desensitization: they are recruited to phosphorylated GPCRs and initiate internalization by linking the receptor to the adaptor protein subunits of CCPs. Remarkably, arrestins also serve as scaffolds of a diverse group of signaling mediators, such as MAPKs, nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase.
Molecular mechanisms of CRH signaling

By inhibiting β-arrestin function, it was shown that CRHR1 activates ERK1/2 in a mechanism dependent on β-arrestin2 in HT22-CRHR1 cells (105). Interestingly, the β-arrestin-dependent ERK1/2 phosphorylation had different temporal characteristics with respect to the early ERK1/2 activation mediated by G proteins. The sustained β-arrestin-mediated phospho-ERK1/2 is observed after 20 min of ligand addition. Moreover, the late ERK1/2 activation phase was also dependent on CRHR1 internalization, suggesting that β-arrestin functioning as a signaling scaffold is linked to its role in regulating receptor internalization (105).

The activation of the MAPK cascade was the first pathway to be described dependent on β-arrestin function downstream of an activated GPCR (149, 153). It is proposed that β-arrestins function as scaffolds allowing the organization of signaling complexes. β-arrestin-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation is likely to be physiologically relevant as this ERK1/2 pool seems to have different consequences compared to the pool activated by G proteins (154). It has been reported to play a role in chemotaxis and cytoskeletal rearrangements (155, 156) as well as in cardiac function (157).

The mechanistic basis of β-arrestin-mediated signaling has remained unclear for a long time. Recently, several studies have made important progress in the understanding of this process. It is now recognized that β-arrestins exist in a large array of conformations, are very dynamic and can interact with more partners that previously thought (158). Moreover, GPCRs can impose conformational signatures on β-arrestins and β-arrestins remain active following receptor dissociation (159). These findings addressed the previous contradictory observations of β-arrestin-mediated ERK1/2 activation stimulated by GPCRs that interact transiently with β-arrestins and do not co-localize in endosomes, such as CRHR1 and CRHR2, and even GPCRs that are poorly phosphorylated and weakly internalized, such as β1-adrenergic receptors (160).

In addition to previously mentioned G proteins, GRKs and β-arrestins, GPCRs interact with numerous proteins, including other GPCRs, GPCR accessory proteins and intracellular proteins, which modulate signaling, expression and pharmacological profiles of GPCRs. GPCR biosynthesis, trafficking to the cell surface, compartmentalization to specific membrane microdomains and endocytosis are processes regulated by accessory or escort proteins (161). Co-expression of receptor-activity-modifying protein RAMP2 and CRHR1 increased the presence of both proteins at the plasma membrane and this favors receptor internalization (65, 88, 133, 146, 151). The relative contribution of β-arrestin-1 and β-arrestin-2 in CRHR1 internalization has been investigated. Ligand-activated CRHR1 binds to both β-arrestins (146) but preferentially to β-arrestin-2 in HEK293, CHO-K1 cells and in primary cortical neurons transfected with CRHR1 (133, 143, 146). It has also been shown that CRHR2α (134) and CRHR2β (65) preferentially recruit β-arrestin-2 once activated.

In line with β-arrestin dependence of agonist-induced CRHR1 endocytosis, inhibition of β-arrestin function diminished (88), whereas β-arrestin overexpression augmented (146) CRHR1 internalization. Although both GRKs and β-arrestins play important roles regulating CRHR1 desensitization, β-arrestin binding seem to be mediated by both phosphorylation-dependent and -independent motifs (146, 151) suggesting the existence of complex regulatory mechanisms of receptor internalization. Sex differences in β-arrestin-2 recruitment to CRHR1 have been observed (152). In LC neurons, the level of association between β-arrestin-2 and CRHR1 under unstressed conditions was comparable in males and females, but stress exposure only induced β-arrestin-2 recruitment and subsequent CRHR1 internalization in males. In addition, there was an enhanced coupling to Gs of CRHR1 in female neurons suggesting a model of sex-biased signaling. The sex differences in receptor association with G proteins and β-arrestin-2 do not depend on circulating gonadal hormones but may arise from posttranslational modifications. These gender differences in CRHR1 signaling and trafficking suggest a deficient adaptation to excessive exposure to CRH in females, which may contribute to the increased incidence of stress-related psychiatric diseases in females (136).

The finding of non-canonical GPCR signaling pathways mediated by β-arrestins has represented a true paradigm shift. Moreover, the biochemical data suggesting that cascades activated by β-arrestins may have distinct physiological outcomes from those activated by G proteins have led to great pharmacological efforts in developing biased agonists, which selectively activate specific signaling pathways given their therapeutic potential (111).
membrane and changed the G protein coupling profile, enhancing Gi, Gq and G12/13 binding (71). Many other accessory proteins have been reported to interact with and modulate GPCRs, including regulators of G protein signalling (RGS), calpain and 14-3-3 proteins (162). Understanding these additional layers of GPCR regulation may represent opportunities for drug development.

**Prolonged signaling**

**CRHR1 continues to signal after internalization**

It was assumed for a long time that the internalized GPCRs were unable to signal. This premise was built upon technical limitations as well as experimental evidences, i.e. the observation that β-arrestins sterically blocked G protein binding to GPCRs. However, results accumulated over the past several years support the alternative hypothesis that signaling can be initiated from endosomes. The first evidence of GPCR signaling from endosomal compartments was in yeast, where the activation of the Ga protein subunit GPA1 in intracellular membranes promoted a late signaling phase of the GPCR Ste2p (163). Prolonged GPCR signaling in mammalian cells was suggested in three independent reports investigating the mechanisms activated by different receptors. It was shown that the activation of GPCRs for parathyroid hormone (PTH, (164) and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH, (165) produced a sustained cAMP response, poorly reversed after ligand removal and temporally overlapped with receptor endocytosis. The observation of the prolonged effect of the sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor (S1P1R) agonist, extended this model to the Gi-linked signaling (166). This prolonged signaling engaged by GPCRs was referred as non-canonical, considering that acute G protein activation restricted to the plasma membrane was the classic signaling pathway. However, this persistent signaling has been subsequently reported for other GPCRs, including peptide hormone receptors, such as glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor (GLP1R) (167), pituitary adenylate cyclase activating polypeptide (PACAP) type 1 receptor (168), vasopressin receptor (V2R) (169) and monoamine receptors such as dopamine receptors (170) and β2AR (171, 172). These recent evidences strongly suggest that this would be a general mechanism instead of an exception, and led to reevaluate the previous assumptions. A much more complex signaling mechanism engaged by GPCRs is emerging, where endosomes act as signaling platforms that allow spatial and temporal control of the signals originated at the plasma membrane and the fine tuning of the biological response (131).

The use of FRET-based biosensors to study CRHR1 signaling revealed that the duration of the cAMP response elicited by CRH depends on the cellular model of study (Fig. 2B). Although in AtT20 cells the cAMP response is transient (89), primary cortical and hippocampal neurons as well in HT22-CRHR1 cells show a more prolonged response (89, 90). The fact that in a hippocampal context, the time course of CRHR1 internalization overlapped that of cAMP production (89) and that endocytic blockade reduced the activation of cAMP-dependent signaling cascades, such as ERK1/2 (105), suggested that CRHR1 also continued to signal once internalized. By monitoring the cAMP response to CRH in live cells, it was shown that endocytosis blockade by inhibiting dynamin reduced cAMP levels (89). Interestingly, endocytosis inhibition did not affect the initial rise in cAMP levels, but the differences were significant at later time points, when receptor endocytosis was evident. Also, inhibition of dynamin did not alter cAMP production elicited by receptor-independent activation of ACs with forskolin, showing that receptor activation was necessary for this mechanism (89). Although CRH-activated CRHR1 stimulates a nearly simultaneous rise in intracellular calcium levels in addition to the cAMP increase, endocytosis did not regulate the calcium response (89).

**Mechanisms of sustained GPCR signaling**

The findings of prolonged GPCR signaling support the existence of mechanisms that enable pathway activation from endosomal stations, different from those occurring at the plasma membrane. Using conformational biosensors based on single-chain antibodies or ‘nanobodies’, it has been demonstrated for β2AR that endosome-localized receptors are active and can engage new cycles of Gs activation (171).

Because β-arrestins binding to the transmembrane core of the receptor overlaps the binding site of G proteins (173, 174, 175) it was assumed that signaling pathways dependent on G protein activation ended when the GPCR-β-arrestin interaction was formed. The mechanisms by which G protein activation from endosomes occurs are only starting to be understood. For GPCRs that form transient complexes with β-arrestins two phases of G protein activation were observed, the first at the plasma membrane and the second following receptor
internalization after the endocytic vesicle uncoating from β-arrestins and CCP-associated components (171).

These observations were more difficult to reconcile for those GPCRs that form stable complexes with arrestins and that internalize together into endocytic vesicles. Recently, it has been observed that β-arrestins also assume a ‘tail’ conformation, in which they are only bound to the carboxyl-terminal tail of the receptor (173) allowing the GPCR to interact simultaneously with both Gs and β-arrestins (176). These results have provided a molecular basis for sustained G protein signaling from endosomes.

Studies on GPCRs for which β-arrestins promote rather than attenuate cAMP production, such as PTHR1 and V2R, have also contributed to our current understanding of the molecular events involved in regulating GPCR signaling after receptor internalization. A particular receptor conformation is needed to maintain Gs signaling from endocytic vesicles. This active conformation is achieved when PTH is the ligand, but there is a different active conformation stabilized by PTHrP that mediate more transient responses (75, 164). It has been proposed that cAMP generation by PTH-activated PTHR1 is maintained in early endosomes by a positive feedback mechanism, where arrestin-dependent activation of ERK1/2 inhibits phosphodiesterases (PDEs) and favors the cAMP response (177). In addition, the formation of PTHR1-β-arrestin-Gβγ complexes might promote new cycles of Gs activation and prolong cAMP signaling (129). β-arrestins also promoted a sustained cAMP generation in response to vasopressin-activated V2R, a class A GPCR (169). Again, these results challenge the classical role of β-arrestins in desensitization of GPCRs, showing that they might promote specific signaling mechanisms.

For CRHR1, the study of the molecular mechanisms engaged by different cAMP sources led to the characterization of an alternative mechanism for endosome-based GPCR signaling (Fig. 3). In response to CRH, the endocytosis-dependent cAMP generation and ERK1/2 activation critically depend on sAC activity. cAMP produced by both tmACs and sAC is required for the early phase of ERK1/2 phosphorylation triggered by CRH-stimulated CRHR1, but only sAC activity is essential for the sustained internalization-dependent phase in HT22-CRHR1 cells (89). Thus, the existence of different ACs, with specific regulatory properties and subcellular distribution create a new layer of complexity. These results show that, at least in the case of CRHR1, the spatial resolution of the signals is achieved by the involvement of an alternative source of cAMP instead of just by the formation of specific signaling complexes along the endocytic pathway (178).

The fact that the endocytosis-regulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation also depends on β-arrestin2 (105) raises the question of whether β-arrestins are also involved in cAMP generation from endosomes in response to CRH, and if sAC and β-arrestin are functionally connected. It is interesting that the endocytosis-dependent component of the cAMP production in response to CRH was not affected by ERK1/2 pathway inhibition (89), different to what was observed for PTHR1 (177). This suggests that the activation of signaling pathways from endosomes might occur through a variety of mechanisms that coexist or that are differentially involved depending on the GPCR or the cellular context.

**Future questions: significance of endosomal signaling**

The recent results presented here reveal new aspects of CRHRs signaling mechanisms that are only beginning to be explored. In the light of an emerging view of GPCR function many important questions await to be addressed.

If the CRHR1 continues to signal once internalized, which mechanisms are involved in signal termination? Recent work suggests that mechanisms that regulate the post-endocytic fate of GPCRs are crucial (76, 77, 179). Once endocytosed, receptors enter a dynamic and elaborated tubulovesicular endosomal network where protein processing and sorting occurs. In general, following signal termination the inactive receptor can be directed to the lysosome for degradation or to recycling systems either directly to the plasma membrane or via the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (131).

The superfAMILY of Rab GTPases regulate various steps of membrane trafficking, being involved in targeting, tethering and docking of endosomal vesicles. Rab proteins have been demonstrated to modulate intracellular trafficking of GPCRs (see (180) for references). The endosome-based cAMP generation by PTHR1 has been localized in Rab5 early endosomes (164). Moreover, the signal turn off coincides with the release of β-arrestins and the engagement of the cargo-sorting retromer complex, which regulates protein sorting from early endosomes to the TGN (177). A negative feedback mechanism that includes PKA-regulated v-ATPase-mediated endosomal acidification is critical for shifting active PTHR1-arrestin to inactive PTHR1-retromer complexes (181). A key role of the retromer complex was also described for the
A new mechanism of CRH-activated CRHR1 signaling. In the classical model, CRHR1 activation by CRH is followed by a rise in cAMP mediated by G protein–dependent tmACs. Binding of β-arrestin2 to agonist-activated CRHR1 triggers receptor internalization leading to termination of agonist-activated G protein-mediated signaling. In the emerging model discussed in this work, an atypical pool of cyclic AMP is generated by soluble adenylyl cyclase (sAC) in response to CRHR1 activation in addition to cAMP generated by tmACs. In hippocampal neuronal cells cAMP was found to activate specific signaling pathways that are dependent on the source (tmACs or sAC) and cellular location (cell surface or endosomes) of the cAMP generation. In particular, sAC was critical for endosome-generated cAMP in response to CRH.

In the case of β2AR, it was recently shown that signaling from endosomes occurs exclusively in recycling tubules, pointing out again to the existence of mechanisms that allow spatial control of the signal (179). Internalization of activated CRHR1 in Rab5-positive early endosomes in HEK293 cells and in cortical neurons has been reported (133, 182), but simultaneous presence of CRHR1 and β-arrestins in endocytic compartments depended on the cell type (133). The nature of the intracellular domains that activated CRHR1 transits once internalized, with or without signaling proteins, needs to be determined in neuroendocrine and hippocampal neuronal contexts to define the mechanisms of both acute and sustained CRH signaling. The involvement of negative feedback mechanisms and/or molecular components of the retromer in signal termination need to be assessed for CRHR1.

How sAC is integrated in signaling complexes that allow the spatial information to be decoded is an important issue not explored yet. For example, whether sAC forms a physical complex with CRHR1 or an ‘activation at a distance’ mechanism is involved, as recently described for β-arrestins and β1AR (160), is still an open question. Recently, sAC was found associated with the A-kinase anchoring protein ezrin (183) and involved in specific functions in different subcellular localizations (96). There is a growing appreciation that ACs play a critical role not only as producers of cAMP but as true organizers of signaling complexes, mediating specific cellular functions as a core of highly organized microdomains (91).

Using a combination of BRET assay and single particle electron microscopy analysis, the formation of endosomal ‘megaplexes’ composed of β2AR, β-arrestin, and heterotrimeric G protein has been shown (176). New BRET biosensors targeted either to plasma membrane or endosomes have been recently designed (184). The use of the latest high-resolution technologies will certainly have a strong impact on the study of GPCR signaling complexes at structural level.

Which is the functional significance of the endosome-based CRHR1 signaling? Are endosome-based signals functionally distinct from those initiated from the plasma membrane or do endosome-based events represent a spatial and/or temporal extension of a signal that is otherwise identical to that initiated at the cell surface? Recent evidences obtained for GPCRs suggest two main effects of the signals initiated in endosomes. First, it has been observed that the activation from endosomes extends the duration of the responses. It has been shown that the timing of the signal regulates physiological processes (164, 165, 185) but the
specific roles of this pathway remain to be studied. Second, the endosome-based activation seems to provide a spatial specificity to downstream signaling mechanisms activated. For example, the location of the cAMP production controls the repertoire of target genes transcriptionally activated in response to β2AR activation (172).

Future studies should also address whether the two mechanisms of the biphasic ERK1/2 activation can account for different cellular processes. Despite the enthusiasm regarding the existence of mechanisms that may lead to different outcomes from activated GPCRs, whether they have any biological significance in native cell types or tissues is still an open question.

### Dysregulation of the CRH system

Centrally released CRH plays a critical role in behavioral state of anxiety, independently of its effects on the pituitary (24). Overexpression of CRH induces stress and an anxiogenic-like phenotype in rodents (186), whereas suppressing CRH expression has anxiolytic effects in both basal and stress-induced anxiety (187). Genetic and pharmacological approaches have attributed CRH anxiogenic effects to the activation of CRHR1 (188, 189). In terms of abundance and distribution, CRHR1 is the main CRH receptor in the brain, whereas CRHR2 expression is more restricted (20). The role of CRHR2 activation mediating anxiety and depression has been less clear. Crhr2-knockout mice showed an anxiogenic phenotype, increased endocrine response, and impaired recovery after stress (190, 191) supporting a role of CRHR2 mediating stress recovery and the restoration of homeostasis. Similarly, deleting all three Ucn1-3 genes induced a susceptibility to the effects of stress exposure (192). A recent analysis in CRHR2 and UCN3 defective mice suggests that both are essential components of the CRH system to successfully cope with complex social challenges (193). It is to note that recent work using new neurobiological tools, such as conditional mutagenesis, viral manipulations and optogenetics, has allowed site-specific manipulation of CRHR1 and CRHR2 revealing a more complicated pattern of CRHRs responses in specific circuits of the brain, suggesting that both CRHR1 and CRHR2 modulate anxiety-like behavior in rodents in a brain region-dependent manner. Contrasting findings of effects of CRHR subtype activation are observed within single brain regions, implicating a highly complex mechanism of region dependency and circuit specificity, cell type and ligand involvement (20).

In particular, the involvement of the limbic system (hippocampus and extended amygdala) has been the focus of many studies, given its central role in stress-related behaviors, sensory processing of multimodal stimuli, learning and memory formation. The effects of stress on hippocampal structure and function are complex, and exhibit a bidirectional pattern depending on duration and intensity of the stimulus (31, 194).

In line with cell- and region-dependent effects, mice lacking CRHR1 in glutamatergic neurons show reduced anxiety and impaired neurotransmission in the hippocampus and BLA, whereas mice lacking CRHR1 in dopaminergic neurons show increased anxiety-like behavior and reduced dopamine release in the prefrontal cortex. This differential role for CRHR1 was suggested to indicate that, under physiological conditions, CRHR1-controlled glutamatergic and dopaminergic systems might function in an antagonistic manner to maintain adaptive responses to stressful situations in balance (132, Fig. 2A). In that line, CRH effect on dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens was abolished in mice exposed to severe stress, which was accompanied by a depression-like phenotype evidenced as a shift of the CRH response from appetitive to aversive (195).

Clinical studies also support that stress-induced CRH actions are mediated through CRHR1 activation (196). Recent studies in humans revealed genetic and epigenetic variations in the CRH system that may be predictors of high vulnerability to neuropsychiatric stress-related disorders (197). Collectively, these evidences strengthen the notion of a critical function of the CRH system in stress-related pathologies, and highlight the need of a clear definition of molecular components and mechanisms involved in CRH signaling for designing new therapies.

### Perspectives: CRH signaling as a basis for discovery of drug targets

The effects of stress on brain functions ranges from behavioral adaptation to enhance survival to increasing the risk to develop stress-related psychiatric disorders. A dysfunctional CRH system is associated with the occurrence of major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, addiction behavior, and anxiety disorders (7, 198, 199).
Alteration of the CRH system and chronically elevated CRH levels are implicated in human stress-related affective disorders (196, 200). Augmented concentrations of CRH were found in cerebrospinal fluid from depressed (201) and post-traumatic stress disease (202) patients, and in some cases were normalized after antidepressant treatment (203, 204). Depressed suicide victims showed decreased levels of CRHR1 in specific brain areas, suggesting desensitization mechanisms due to excessive CRH (201, 205).

A number of psychiatric disorders, neurodegenerative diseases, behavioral and physiological manifestations of alcohol withdrawal, relapse to drug in addictions or compulsive eating in obesity -conditions that involve genetic predisposition and environmental stressors- have been linked to the activity of extrahypothalamic brain CRH systems (198). It has been suggested that high chronic stress and alterations in the CRH system are connected to the onset and development of neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer disease (9, 206). Therefore, a search for brain barrier penetrant small-molecule compounds to target CRHRs without causing metabolic and other side effects by HPA axis suppression is an area of active investigation (7). In numerous studies in animals and a few studies in humans, CRHR1 has been validated as a target modulated for antidepressants (6). However, clinical studies with CRHR1 antagonists in depressed subjects showed mixed results (52) which might be reflecting a need of a deeper understanding of CRHRs function to identify molecular targets with therapeutic potential.

As presented here, efforts to understand how CRHR1 exerts these important functions at molecular level have revealed new mechanisms of signaling that may be relevant in pathophysiological contexts (Fig. 3). A precise definition of CRH signaling mechanisms with spatial and temporal resolution will enable identification of novel targets for pharmacological intervention in neuroendocrine tissues and specific brain areas involved in CRH-related disorders.
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