Heparan sulfate (HS) is a component of cell surface and extracellular matrix proteoglycans that regulates numerous signaling pathways by binding and activating multiple growth factors and chemokines. The amount and pattern of HS sulfation are key determinants for the assembly of the trimolecular, HS-growth factor-receptor, signaling complex. Here we demonstrate that HS 6-O-sulfotransferases 1 and 2 (HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2), which perform sulfation at 6-O position in glucosamine in HS, impact ovarian cancer angiogenesis through the HS-dependent HB-EGF/EGFR axis that subsequently modulates the expression of multiple angiogenic cytokines. Down-regulation of HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 in human ovarian cancer cell lines results in 30–50% reduction in glucosamine 6-O-sulfate levels in HS, impairing HB-EGF-dependent EGFR signaling and diminishing FGF2, IL-6, and IL-8 mRNA and protein levels in cancer cells. These cancer cell-related changes reduce endothelial cell signaling and tubule formation in vitro. In vivo, the development of subcutaneous tumor nodules with reduced 6-O-sulfation is significantly delayed at the initial stages of tumor establishment with further reduction in angiogenesis occurring throughout tumor growth. Our results show that in addition to the critical role that 6-O-sulfate moieties play in angiogenic cytokine activation, HS 6-O-sulfation level, determined by the expression of HS6ST isoforms in ovarian cancer cells, is a major regulator of angiogenic program in ovarian cancer cells impacting HB-EGF signaling and subsequent expression of angiogenic cytokines by cancer cells.
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Background: Numerous angiogenic growth factors depend on heparan sulfate for their activity.

Results: Heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferases induce angiogenesis through HB-EGF/EGFR signaling and angiogenic cytokine expression in ovarian cancer cells.

Conclusion: Ovarian cancer cell 6-O-sulfation levels influence angiogenic responses.

Significance: HS6ST inhibitors and HS mimetics should be explored in the development of new anti-angiogenic agents.

Ovarian cancer is the deadliest of all the gynecological malignancies. The majority of patients present with advanced disease, and although response to standard cytotoxic therapy is usually observed, chemotherapy-resistant disease develops in most patients leading to the patient’s death. Angiogenesis, as a target for the treatment of ovarian cancer, has been validated in randomized trials, which have shown that VEGF pathway inhibitors, when added to conventional chemotherapy, prolong progression-free survival (1–3). However, despite positive data for VEGF inhibitors in ovarian cancer, resistance to this therapy eventually occurs. One mechanism of resistance is through the redundancy of angiogenic cytokines in ovarian cancer. Therefore, new angiogenesis-associated molecular targets are required to inhibit angiogenesis in ovarian cancer.

A number of angiogenic growth factors, including FGF1 (4), FGF2 (5–7), VEGF165 (8), IL-8 (9, 10), SDF-1α (11, 12), and IL-6 (13), depend on HS for binding and signaling through their cognate receptor. The key structural determinants of HS interaction with cytokines and induction of signaling are the amount and pattern of HS sulfation, which includes sulfation at the 2-O-position of idurionate and 6-O-, 3-O-, and N-positions in glucosamine and clustering of sulfated disaccharides along the HS chain, which creates highly sulfated domains interspersed with regions of low sulfation (14, 15). Some growth factors, for example VEGF165 and IL-8, require longer HS sequences organized into domains for their activity (8, 16), whereas others, such as FGF2, require shorter activating sequences with critical 6-O-sulfation moieties along the HS chain (5–7). Conversely, sulfation at 2-O- and N-positions are essential for effective inhibition of FGF2 signaling by HS oligosaccharides that compete with cellular HS for binding to FGF2 (17, 18).
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The level and distribution of 6-O-sulfation depends on three isozymes of 6-O-sulfotransferases (HS6ST-1, -2, and -3), which catalyze 6-O-sulfation on N-sulfated or N-acetylated glucosamine, and two isozymes of extracellular 6-O-endosulfatases (Sulfs) that desulfate glucosamine 6S (19–21). Diminished expression of Sulf-1 has been reported in several tumor types, including ovarian and metastatic breast carcinomas (22, 23). Re-expression of exogenous human Sulf-1 was associated with reduced breast tumor xenograft growth and lower microvesSEL density (24) and reduction of ovarian cancer cell proliferation \textit{in vitro} (22). This suggests that elevated 6-O-sulfation potentiates signaling through a number of receptors and is a tumor growth promoting factor in breast and ovarian cancer. In contrast, human glioblastoma cell lines with reduced levels of Sulf-2 grew inefficiently (25), suggesting that appropriate HS 6-O-sulfation level is required to prevent tumor growth. These data demonstrate a context-dependent role for Sulfs in regulating tumor growth through distinct cellular mechanisms. For example, glioblastoma cells with down-regulated Sulf-2 expression showed significantly reduced signaling through PDGF receptor α, a major signaling pathway in glioblastoma (25).

In contrast to the studies described above, the role of HS6STs in cancer cells remains largely unknown. Our previous study demonstrated that ovarian high grade serous carcinomas have high levels of 6-O-sulfation in tumor endothelium when compared with the vasculature of normal ovarian tissue (26). We also found through down-regulation of HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 in endothelial cells that sulfation at the glucosamine 6-O position was critical for endothelial cell functions \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} (26).

In this study, we explored the role of HS6STs in ovarian cancer cells. We hypothesized that HS may regulate tumor growth through an autocrine and a paracrine mode of action where cancer cell-released HS modulates signaling in tumor cells and in endothelium by binding angiogenic cytokines and supporting their signaling capacity. We discovered that HS6STs in ovarian cancer cells regulate HB-EGF-dependent EGFR signaling, which induces FGFR2, IL-6, and IL-8 expression in cancer cells, thereby impacting endothelial cell functions \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Lines and Cell Culture**—Ovarian cancer cell lines CAOV3, ES2, OVCAR-3, OVCAR-5, and SKOV3 (American Type Culture Collection) and OAW42, OV-90, and TOV21G (European Collection of Cell Cultures) were cultured in RPMI (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS (Biosera). Immortalized ovarian surface epithelial cells (IOSE4 and IOSE11; provided by Dr. K. Lawrenson and Prof. S. Gayther) were cultured in a 1:1 mixture of medium 199:MCDB 105 (Sigma-Aldrich) containing insulin (5 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), hydrocortisone (0.5 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), BPE (34 μg protein/ml; Invitrogen), EGF (10 ng/ml; Invitrogen), and 15% FBS. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC; Lonza) were cultured in EBMM-2 medium supplemented with SingleQuot growth supplements (Lonza) up to passage 7. Pulmonary artery smooth muscle cells (PaSMC; Lonza) were maintained in SmBM medium supplemented with SmGM-2 SingleQuot kit supplements (Lonza) up to passage 15. Normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDF; Lonza) were cultured in DMEM containing F12 nutrient mixture supplemented with l-glutamine (Invitrogen) and 10% FBS up to passage 15. HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with l-glutamine and pyruvate (both from Invitrogen) and 10% FBS.

**RT-PCR**—Extraction of RNA and generation of cDNA were described in the supplemental data of our previous study (26). Expression of HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 in a panel of ovarian cancer cell lines, IOSE cell lines, and TissueScan quantitative PCR array plates (HORT501; Amsbio) containing cDNA from 40 ovarian cancers and 8 normal ovaries was tested using TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Invitrogen), specific probes (Roche), and the following primers: HS6ST-1 forward, 5′-atgacgctgtaaactggc; HS6ST-1 reverse, 5′-ttgccgcgttgcctga; HS6ST-2 forward, 5′-tgcatctctttgacagtttt; and HS6ST-2 reverse, 5′-cagatcagcctagttagaag. GAPDH and β-actin served as internal control genes. Real time PCRs were performed on the ABI Prism 7900 HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems).

FGF2, IL-6, and IL-8 mRNA levels were tested with RT2 quantitative PCR primer assays (SABiosciences). The reactions contained 5 ng of cDNA (1 ng/µl), 5.5 µl of RT2 SYBR Green quantitative PCR Master Mix (Qiagen), and 0.5 µl of forward and reverse primers for FGF2, IL-6, or IL-8, respectively, or 0.25 µl of each primer for 18 S, GAPDH, and tubulin housekeeping genes. The amplification procedures were performed as per primer assay instructions but with the addition of a 2-min step at 50 °C at the beginning of the cycle. Real time PCRs were performed on the ABI Prism 7900 HT sequence detection system.

**Generation of Conditioned Medium (CM) and Cell Lysates**—Ovarian cancer cells (5 × 10⁶) were plated in 10-cm dishes. Cells were cultured for 24 h in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS. Media were collected, filtered, and stored at −80 °C. Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, and 500 µl of cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling) containing protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:100, phosphatase inhibitor cocktails I and III (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:100, sodium fluoride (10 mM), and sodium orthovanadate (1 mM) were added to the cells. After incubation on ice, the cells were scraped, the lysates were centrifuged, and supernatants were stored at −80 °C.

**Down-regulation of HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 in Ovarian Cancer Cells**—Nonoverlapping oligonucleotide sequences for generation of shRNAs targeting HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 in pSUPER. retro.puro plasmid (Origene) were described in the supplemental data of our previously published study (26). Two rounds of 24-h infection were performed as described (26), after which cells were cultured in the media containing 2 μg/ml puromycin.
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**Sulforhodamine B Cell Proliferation Assay**—Ovarian cancer cells were plated at 2500 cells/well in 24-well plates in 250 μl of RPMI medium containing 10% FBS. The following day, the cell culture medium was changed to RPMI containing 1% FBS. After 5 days, the cells were fixed with 5% TCA for 1 h at +4 °C, washed with water, and allowed to dry. The cells were stained with 4% sulforhodamine B in 1% acetic acid for 30 min, excess stain was removed by washing in 1% acetic acid, and wells were allowed to dry. Stain was solubilized in 200 μl of 10 mM Tris, pH 8.8, and transferred to 96-well plate for reading on a microplate reader at 540 nm.

**In Vitro Endothelial Cell Assays**—CM from ovarian cancer cells were used in HUVEC migration and three-dimensional tube formation assays as described before (18, 26). The aortic ring assay was performed as previously described (18). For coculture experiments, HUVECs (5 × 10^3 cells/well) were admixed with ovarian cancer cells (2.5 × 10^3 cells/well) and PaSMC (1.5 × 10^4 cells/well) in RPMI medium containing 10% FBS and plated in 96-well plates. Alternatively, HUVEC (0.4 × 10^4 cells/well) were plated on confluent monolayers of NHDF in RPMI media containing 10% FBS in a 96-well plate in a final volume of 50 μl. Two hundred microliters of CM were added to each well. When required, neutralizing antibodies against FGF2 (5 μg/ml; mouse monoclonal IgG1; Millipore), IL-6, and IL-8 (both at 0.5 μg/ml; polyclonal goat IgG; R&D) were added to the media. Mouse IgG1 (Dako) and normal goat serum (Dako) were used at corresponding concentrations as negative controls. An endothelial network was allowed to form for 5 days, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with TBS containing 0.25% Triton X-100, blocked with 5% FBS in TBS, and incubated with anti-human CD31 antibody (1:100; Dako). Cells were washed and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse (1:1000; Invitrogen). After washing, samples were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy using as ×10 magnification lens (Solent Scientific). The endothelial network area was evaluated using ImageJ software.

**HS Disaccharide Analysis**—Ovarian cancer cells were cultured in the medium containing 10 μCi/ml of [3H]glucosamine hydrochloride for 36 h. HS extracts from cells and conditioned media were pooled, and HS disaccharide analysis was performed as described (26).

**HB-EGF Binding to Immobilized HS**—96-well heparin-binding plates (Iduron) were coated with HS extracted from OVCAR-3 cells expressing nonspecific shRNAs for 24 h, washed three times with standard assay buffer (SAB; 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 7.3, 150 mM sodium chloride, and 0.2% Tween 20), and incubated with 20 mg/ml BSA in SAB for 2 h. HB-EGF (100 ng/ml; R&D Systems) was mixed with increasing concentrations (0.1–100 ng/ml) of either unmodified or chemically de-6-O-sulfated porcine intestinal mucosal HS (Iduron) for 15 min prior to plating on immobilized HS for 2 h. After washing with SAB, biotin-tagged primary antibody against HB-EGF (R&D Systems) diluted 1:80 in SAB containing 10 mg/ml BSA was added and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. After washing with SAB, streptavidin-HRP (R&D Systems) diluted 1:300 in SAB supplemented with 10 mg/ml BSA was added for 45 min at room temperature. Wells were washed three times with SAB and then incubated for 20 min in TMB (Sigma-Aldrich). The reaction was stopped with 2 m sulfuric acid, and optical density was measured at 450 nm.

**Enzyme-linked Immunoassays for FGF2, IL-6, and IL-8**—FGF2, IL-6, and IL-8 concentrations in ovarian cancer cell lysates and CM were determined using FGF2-, IL-6-, and IL-8-specific ELISA kits (R&D Systems) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The protein concentration of the lysates was determined using the BCA assay (Pierce), and the values are expressed as pg/mg of total protein within that lysate.

**Cytokine Antibody Array**—OVCAR-3 and OVCAR-5 cells expressing nonspecific shRNAs or shRNAs targeting HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 (5 × 10^6) were plated in 10-cm dishes and cultured for 24 h in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS. Media was collected and filtered, and 1 ml of medium was applied onto the membranes containing specific cytokine antibodies (RayBioc® human cytokine antibody array 3; RayBiotech) following the instructions provided by the manufacturer. The arrays were imaged using Image Reader LAS-1000 (FujiFilm).

**Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Phosphorylation Antibody Array**—Cell lysates (500 μg/ml) were prepared from OVCAR-3 cells expressing nonspecific shRNAs or shRNAs targeting HS6ST-1 or -2. The phosphorylation status of receptor tyrosine kinases in cell lysates was determined using the RayBio® human RTK phosphorylation antibody array 1 (RayBiotech) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The arrays were imaged using Image Reader LAS-1000 (FujiFilm). Densitometric evaluation of images was performed using the Aida Image analyzer.

**Western Blotting**—HUVECs were plated in 6-well plates at 1 × 10^5 cell/well in EBM-2 medium lacking SingleQuots growth supplements and containing 0.1% FBS. After 24 h, cells were dosed with CM generated by ovarian cancer cells. After 10 min of exposure to CM, cell lysates were prepared as described above. Ten micrograms of protein were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoreses and blotted to PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked with 10% nonfat dried milk in PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST) for 1 h, followed by incubation with the primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The following antibodies were used: anti-phospho-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204; clone E10; 1:1000), anti-phospho-FRS2α (Tyr196; 1:500), anti-phospho-AKT (Ser473; clone 193H12; 1:1000) from Cell Signaling and anti-phospho-MEK1 (Ser218/Ser222; clone E237; 1:1000), anti-phospho-p38 (Thr180; 1:1000) and anti-GAPDH (1:2000) from Abcam. The membranes were washed with PBST and incubated in horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:2000 in 5% nonfat dried milk in PBST. Bound secondary antibody was detected by chemiluminescence (PerkinElmer Life Sciences).

**In Vivo Tumor Studies**—Female NOD (non-obese diabetic) scid gamma (NSG) mice (Cancer Research UK Manchester Institute) were housed in an individually ventilated caging system on a 12-h light/dark environment maintained at constant temperature and humidity. The mice were fed a standard diet of irradiated feed (Harlan-Teklad) and allowed water ad libitum. All procedures were carried out in accordance with UKCCCR guidelines 1999 by approved protocol (Home Office Project license no. 40/3609).
OVCAR-3 parental cells and OVCAR-3 cells expressing non-specific or HS6ST-2 targeting shRNAs (10^{7} cells/mouse) were grown as subcutaneous xenografts in groups of five female NSG mice. Tumor volume, defined as $(\text{height/width}^2)/2$, was measured twice a week, and the mice were sacrificed before the tumors reached 1,250 mm$^3$. Tumors were excised at day 110, snap frozen at $-70$ °C, and embedded in OCT.

**CD31 Staining of Tumor Sections**—Frozen tumor sections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in TBS for 15 min, washed, blocked in 10% goat serum, and incubated with rat anti-mouse CD31 antibody (BD Pharmingen) diluted 1:100 overnight at 4 °C. Tumor sections were washed and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-rat IgG antibody (1:800; Invitrogen), Cy-3-labeled anti-α-SMA antibody (1:500; Sigma-Aldrich), and Hoechst 33342 (1:1000; Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing, tumor sections were mounted using ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen). Images were acquired with a 3DHistech Mirax scanner, viewed

**FIGURE 1. HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 expression in ovarian cancer.** A and B, real time PCR analysis of HS6ST-1 (A) and HS6ST-2 (B) gene expression in 40 ovarian tumor samples of serous (32 samples), mucinous (1 sample), endometrioid (5 samples), clear cell (1 sample), and unknown histological type (1 sample) adenocarcinomas of disease stage I–IV and 8 normal (N) ovarian tissue samples. Gene expression in 8 normal ovarian tissue samples is shown as the mean, which is expressed as 1 (± S.E.). HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 mRNA levels in each tumor sample is shown as a value relative to the mean of mRNA levels in normal ovaries (n = 8). C and D, mRNA levels of HS6ST-1 (C) and HS6ST-2 (D) in ovarian cancer cell lines and IOSE cells were analyzed by real time PCR. Expression of HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 is shown as a ratio between the levels in each cancer cell line and an average expression value in both IOSE cell lines, which is expressed as 1. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of samples. E, expression of Sulf-1 and Sulf-2 in ovarian cancer cell lines. mRNA levels of Sulf-1 and Sulf-2 were evaluated by RT-PCR. ES2 cell line shows no expression of Sulfs, OVCAR-5 and OVCAR-3 cell lines express both Sulf isoforms, and OAW42 cells express one Sulf isoform (Sulf-2). F and G, expression of HS6ST-1 in ES2 cells (F) and OVCAR-3 cells (G) transduced with nonspecific shRNA (NS) and shRNAs targeting HS6ST-1 (sh6ST1-1 and sh6ST1-2). β-Actin was used as RT-PCR control. H and I, down-regulation of HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 in OVCAR-3 (H) and OAW42 (I) cells was tested by RT-PCR. Two retroviral shRNA plasmids were used to down-regulate either HS6ST-1 (sh6ST1-1 and sh6ST1-2) or HS6ST-2 (sh6ST2-1 and sh6ST2-2). β-Actin was used as RT-PCR control.
with Pannoramic Viewer software (3DHistech), and analyzed by ImageJ software to determine the number of vessels that are expressed as a number of fluorescent objects per 10,000 pixels of non-necrotic tissue area as determined by MetaMorph image analysis software (Molecular Devices).

Statistical Analysis—The data are expressed as the means ± S.D. or ± S.E. For comparison of groups, the Student’s t test was used. A level of p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Expression of HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 in Ovarian Cancer—
Our previous work had shown that cancer cells in ovarian tumors express HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2, whereas endothelium displays only HS6ST-1 expression when tested by in situ hybridization (27). Here we further characterized expression levels of HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 in ovarian tumor cDNA panel generated from 12 serous, 20 papillary serous, 5 endometrioid, 1 mucinous, 1 clear cell and 1 unknown histology adenocarcinomas, and 8 normal ovaries. The expression levels of HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 in normal ovaries and ovarian cancer tissue showed that HS6ST-1 expression was elevated by ~2-fold in 14 of 40 tumors (35%), whereas HS6ST-2 expression was reduced in the majority of the tumors (Fig. 1, A and B). There was no correlation between disease stage or histological subtype and expression of HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 (Fig. 1, A and B). Because expression of genes analyzed in the whole tissue does not reflect expression in specific cell types, we compared expression of HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 in eight ovarian cancer cell lines and two immortalized cell lines (IOSE4 and IOSE11) derived from normal ovarian surface epithelium, which is one of the sites of origin for serous ovarian carcinoma (28). In keeping with the results from the cDNA array, we observed elevated expression of HS6ST-1 in all ovarian cancer cell lines when compared with IOSE cells (Fig. 1C). Although both IOSE cell lines showed undetectable levels of HS6ST-2, it was present in four of eight ovarian cancer cell lines (Fig. 1D), thus suggesting variability in expression of HS6ST-2 in distinct cell lineages in normal ovary and ovarian tumors.
Reduced HS 6-O-Sulfation in Ovarian Cancer Cells Impacts Endothelial Cell Migration and Tubule Formation—Because our previous studies reported no HS staining on cancer cells (26, 27), suggesting that HS fragments may be released from ovarian cancer cell surface by heparanase, we examined the effect of CM generated by control NS cells and cells with down-regulated HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 on endothelial cell functions. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Change in the number of tubes per bead when stimulated with CM from OVCAR-3 cells expressing sh6ST1-1, sh6ST1-2, sh6ST2-1, and sh6ST2-2 is shown as a percentage of the control. Three independent experiments were performed. The values are expressed as means ± S.E. (n = 3). *p < 0.005. F, bright field images of mouse aortic rings embedded in fibrin gels and maintained in ES2 NS and sh6ST1-1/sh6ST1-2 cell CM (upper images) or OAW42 NS, sh6ST1-1/sh6ST1-2, and sh6ST2-1/sh6ST2-2 cell CM (lower images) for 6 days. Scale bars represent 100 μm. E, quantification of the outgrowth of sprouts from the aortic rings was performed using MetaMorph software. Sprouting area when stimulated with ES2 NS or OAW42 NS CM is expressed as 100% (control). The change in the sprouting area when stimulated with CM generated by cells with down-regulated HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 is expressed as a percentage of the control area. The means ± S.D. (n = 2) are shown. *p < 0.005. G, endothelial tubule area that was visualized by anti-CD31 staining of HUVEC co-cultures with ES2 NS or sh6ST1-1 cells and PaSMC as shown in F was evaluated using ImageJ software. Control, which is expressed as 100%, represents the tube area formed in the presence of ES2 NS cells. Two independent experiments were performed, and the data are expressed as means ± S.D. *p < 0.005.
cancer cells with reduced expression of HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 was significantly less effective (40–80%) in stimulating HUVEC migration than CM generated by control cells (Fig. 2B). This could not be attributed to reduction of HS concentration in CM, because HS levels were similar in control and HS6ST down-regulated cell lines (Table 3), suggesting the significance of 6-O-sulfation.

We next examined the effect of CM on endothelial tubule formation in fibrin gels. Control OVCAR-3 cells, but not ES2, OVCAR-5, and OAW42 cells, produced CM capable of inducing HUVEC tubule formation in fibrin gels (data not shown). Reduction in 6-O-sulfate content, particularly through the knockdown of HS6ST-1, in OVCAR-3 cells inhibited tubule formation (Fig. 2C). We next discovered that ES2 and OAW42 cell CM induced the outgrowth of endothelial sprouts from mice aortas embedded in fibrin gels (Fig. 2D). CM from cells with down-regulated 6-O-sulfation reduced endothelial cell outgrowth by 70–80% (Fig. 2, D and E).

To determine how cancer cells with reduced 6-O-sulfation affect tubule formation when in close contact with endothelial cells, we used an angiogenesis assay. We incubated HUVEC with conditioned medium (CM) from ovarian cancer cell lines that expressed nonspecific shRNA (NS) or shRNAs targeting HS6ST-1 (sh6ST1-1/sh6ST1-2) or HS6ST-2 (sh6ST2-1) and monitored tubule formation. As shown in Figure 3A, CM from control cells induced tubule formation, while CM from cells with reduced 6-O-sulfation inhibited tubule formation.

**FIGURE 3.** Down-regulation of HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 reduces activation of FGF receptor and downstream signaling pathways in endothelial cells. A, serum-starved HUVEC were treated for 10 min with CM generated by ovarian cancer cells expressing NS shRNA or shRNAs targeting HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2. Phosphorylated FRS2, ERK1/2, AKT, MEK1, and p38 were detected by Western blotting using specific antibodies. Equal protein loading was monitored by probing with the anti-GAPDH antibody. The images shown are representative of three independent experiments. B, CM derived from ES2 cells with down-regulated HS6ST-1 (sh6ST1-2) maintained in the presence of 10 μg/ml of HS for 24 hr restored the activation of ERK1/2 in serum-starved HUVEC. C–F, FGF2 levels as determined by ELISA in the cell lysates of ovarian cancer cell lines expressing nonspecific shRNA (NS) or shRNAs targeting HS6ST-1 (sh6ST1-1/sh6ST1-2) or HS6ST-2 (sh6ST2-1). The data represent the means ± S.D. derived from two independent experiments. *, p < 0.0025; ‡, p < 0.05. G, FGF2 protein levels in conditioned media (CM) of ovarian cancer cell lines with down-regulated HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2. The data are expressed as percentages of FGF2 levels in CM of NS control cells (100%) and represent the means ± S.D. derived from two independent experiments. ‡, p < 0.05.

**TABLE 3**

| Cell lines | [3H]glucosamine concentrationa | cpm/ml/10⁶ cells |
|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| OVCAR-3 NS | 23,300                        |                 |
| OVCAR-3 sh6ST1-1 | 20,000                    |         |
| OVCAR-3 sh6ST2-1 | 20,000                    |         |
| ES2 NS     | 30,000                        |                 |
| ES2 sh6ST1-1 | 28,300                      |                 |

a [3H]Glucosamine concentration in DEAE-Sepharose chromatography HS peak was normalized to 1 × 10⁶ cells.
cells, we admixed cancer cells with HUVEC and PaSMC before placing in culture. After 5 days, ES2 and OVCAR-3 cells induced endothelial tube formation (Fig. 2F). Knockdown of HS6ST-1 in ES2 cells led to a 40% reduction in the area of endothelial tubes (Fig. 2F, G), whereas knockdown of HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 in OVCAR-3 cells caused a complete inhibition of tubule formation (Fig. 2F). Together these data demonstrate that the level of HS 6-O-sulfation has a major impact on diverse endothelial cell functions.

Down-regulation of HS6STs in Ovarian Cancer Cells Diminishes Activation of FGFR Signaling Pathway in Endothelial Cells—Our data demonstrate that CM generated by cancer cells with down-regulated HS6ST-1 or -2 is less efficient in stimulating angiogenesis in vitro. We therefore hypothesized that reduced 6-O-sulfation in cancer cells would impact endothelial cell signaling. We stimulated endothelial cells with ovarian cancer cell CM collected from the control NS cells or cells with down-regulated HS6ST-1 or -2 and focused on FGFR signaling because it is dependent on HS 6-O-sulfation (5–7). When 6-O-sulfation was reduced, there was a decrease in the phosphorylation of FRS2, ERK1/2, AKT, and MEK1, but not p38 (Fig. 3A), suggesting that the specific signaling pathways downstream of FGFR are affected. Moreover, ES2 cell CM, which was generated in the presence of porcine intestinal mucosal HS, restored ERK1/2 activation (Fig. 3B).

Having established that HUVEC FGFR signaling is reduced by cancer cell CM containing HS with down-regulated 6-O-sulfation, we determined whether FGF2 protein levels in CM remained unaffected. FGF2 concentration in cell lysates varied in each unmodified cell line (Fig. 3C–F). Unexpectedly, we found that all cell lines with down-regulated HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 had lower FGF2 protein concentrations in cell lysates (Fig. 3C–F) and CM (Fig. 3G), except CM of ES2 cells with down-regulated HS6ST-1 where FGF2 concentration was upregulated compared with NS cells (Fig. 3G). Together these data indicate that HS6STs in cancer cells inhibit FGFR signaling in endothelial cells through reduction of secreted FGF2 and through inhibitory HS fragments with diminished 6-O-sulfation (Fig. 3B–G).

HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 Regulate Expression of Angiogenic Cytokines in Ovarian Cancer Cells—To evaluate the levels of a broader spectrum of cytokines, we screened CM from ovarian cancer cells using a cytokine antibody array. There was a significant reduction in the expression of IL-6 protein in OVCAR-3 and OVCAR-5 cell CM and IL-8 protein in OVCAR-5 cell CM (Fig. 4A). We next confirmed that IL-6 and IL-8 protein levels are down-regulated in cell lysates and CM of OVCAR-3 and OVCAR-5 cells (Fig. 4B and C). Moreover, we detected a reduction in FGF2, IL-6, and IL-8 mRNA in OVCAR-3 cells (Fig. 4D). IL-6 and IL-8 concentrations were unaffected in ES2
and OAW42 cell lines with down-regulated HS6ST expression (data not shown). Expression levels of VEGF165 were unaffected by down-regulation of HS6STs in all cell lines (data not shown).

Next we confirmed the involvement of FGF2, IL-8, and IL-6 in endothelial tube formation in vitro where HUVEC tubule area when cultured on NHDF monolayer in the presence of control OVCAR-3 CM with or without neutralizing antibodies against IL-6 and anti-IL-8 and purified mouse IgG1 as a control for the antibody against FGF2. Scale bars, 100 μm. B, quantification of the tubule area in A. The data are expressed as percentages of the area of anti-CD31 staining per field in NS CM (100%) treatment and represent the means ± S.E. (n = 3). *, p < 0.0025; ‡, p < 0.05.

FIGURE 5. Neutralization of FGF2, IL-6, and IL-8 causes a reduction in HUVEC tubule formation in co-culture with NHDF. A, HUVEC endothelial tube formation as visualized by staining with the antibody recognizing human CD31. The experiment was performed using CM generated by OVCAR-3 nonspecific (NS) cells containing neutralizing antibodies against FGF2 (5 μg/ml), IL-6 (0.5 μg/ml), and IL-8 (0.5 μg/ml) and CM from OVCAR-3 sh6ST1-1 or sh6ST2-1 cells. Normal goat serum was used as a control for antibodies against IL-6 and anti-IL-8 and purified mouse IgG1 as a control for the antibody against FGF2. Scale bars, 100 μm. B, quantification of the tubule area in A. The data are expressed as percentages of the area of anti-CD31 staining per field in NS CM (100%) treatment and represent the means ± S.E. (n = 3). *, p < 0.0025; ‡, p < 0.05.
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FIGURE 6. Inhibition of HB-EGF/EGFR signaling in ovarian cancer cells reduces IL-8 and IL-6 expression and inhibits endothelial tubule formation. A, levels of phosphorylated EGFR (pEGFR) in OVCAR-3 NS, sh6ST1-1/sh6ST1-2, and sh6ST2-1/sh6ST2-2 cell lysates as demonstrated by receptor tyrosine kinase phosphorylation antibody arrays. Densitometric examination showed a reduction in phosphorylated EGFR in OVCAR-3 cells with down-regulated HS6ST-1 and HS6ST-2 by 26 and 32%, respectively. B, HB-EGF levels in CM generated by OVCAR-3 NS cells, OVCAR-3 NS cells treated with AG1478 (1 μM), OVCAR-3 NS cells treated with neutralizing anti-HB-EGF antibody (1 μg/ml), and OVCAR-3 sh6ST2-1 cells were analyzed by ELISA. HB-EGF levels are expressed as pg/ml and represent the means ± S.D. derived from two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate. *, p < 0.03. C, pEGFR levels were analyzed by immunoprecipitating (IP) EGFR from untreated OVCAR-3 NS cells, OVCAR-3 NS cells treated with EGFR inhibitor AG1478 (1 μM) or neutralizing anti-HB-EGF antibody (1 μg/ml) and OVCAR-3 cells with down-regulated HS6ST-2 (sh6ST2-1). Western blotting was performed using the antibody against phosphorylated EGFR (Y1173). D, IL-8 concentrations in CM generated by OVCAR-3 and OVCAR-5 NS cells treated as in B and C. The data represent the means ± S.D. (n = 2). *, p < 0.006; †, p < 0.02. E, IL-6 concentrations in CM generated by OVCAR-3 and OVCAR-5 NS cells treated as in B and C. Each of the two independent experiments was performed in duplicate. The data represent the means ± S.D. (n = 2). †, p < 0.05. F, staining for human CD31 shows HUVEC tubule formation in a co-culture assay with NHDF in the presence of CM generated by OVCAR-3 and OVCAR-5 NS cells treated as in B and C. The data show the means (± S.E.) derived from three independent experiments, each performed in duplicate. *, p < 0.006. H, HB-EGF concentrations in CM generated by ovarian cancer cell lines were determined by ELISA. Two independent experiments were performed, each in duplicate. The data are shown as the means ± S.D. (n = 2). I, competition of the binding for HB-EGF to OVCAR-3 NS cell HS with unmodified or de-6-O-sulfated porcine intestinal mucosal HS. The control, which is expressed as 100%, represents HB-EGF binding to OVCAR-3 NS HS in the absence of competitors. The data show the percentages of HB-EGF bound to OVCAR-3 NS in the presence of increasing concentrations of the competitors and represent the means (± S.D.) derived from two independent experiments.
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E). In addition, the CM collected from OVCAR-3 NS cells treated with AG1478 or HB-EGF neutralizing antibody caused 53 and 46% reduction in the area of HUVEC tubules, respectively, which was comparable with that seen when the assay was performed with OVCAR-3 HS6ST-2 knockdown cell CM (Fig. 6, F and G). In contrast, ES2 and OAW42 cells were independent of HB-EGF/EGFR signaling which, when inhibited, did not affect expression of FGF2, IL-6, and IL-8 in these cells (data not shown). Interestingly, OVCAR-3 and OVCAR-5 cell lines, where HB-EGF/EGFR regulates angiogenic cytokine expression, secreted 2–3-fold higher amounts of HB-EGF (Fig. 6H), suggesting the significance of HB-EGF/EGFR signaling axis in these cells.

Next we investigated whether reduced 6-O-sulfation affects binding of HB-EGF to OVCAR-3 NS cell HS. As shown in Fig. 6l, competition of HB-EGF binding to OVCAR-3 cell HS was ~2.5-fold stronger with porcine intestinal mucosa HS than with its de-6-O-sulfated variant, suggesting that 6-O-sulfation is required for optimal binding of HB-EGF. Together our data demonstrate that 6-O-sulfation levels that are determined by the expression of HS6STs are critical for HB-EGF-dependent EGFR activation, which induces expression of angiogenic cytokines in a subset of ovarian cancer cell lines.

**Ovarian Cancer Cell HS 6-O-Sulfation Levels Regulate Tumor Growth—**Because reduction in expression of HS6STs and 6-O-sulfation levels in ovarian cancer cells inhibited endothelial cell functions in a paracrine manner in vitro, we investigated the growth of OVCAR-3 subcutaneous implants expressing unmodified and modified HS. An approximate 21-day delay in the appearance of tumor nodules was observed when HS6ST-2 was down-regulated (Fig. 7A). Moreover, HS6ST-2 knockdown tumors of initial volume 50 mm3 were 42% smaller after 20 days (Fig. 7A). HS6ST-2 knockdown caused a 54% reduction in tumor microvessel density (Fig. 7, B and C) and a 70% reduction in the number of mature vessels positive for staining against α-SMA (Fig. 7, D and E). In this model, up to 20% of vessels lacked mural cell coverage in tumor xenografts where HS6ST-2 expression was down-regulated (Fig. 7, D and E, arrows). IL-6, IL-8, and FGF2 protein concentrations in tumor lysates were reduced by 58, 17, and 40%, respectively (Fig. 7, F–H), showing that the effects on angiogenic cytokine profiles are maintained in vivo. These data show that 6-O-sulfation exerted by HS6STs in a subset of ovarian cancer cell lines is a powerful regulator of angiogenesis through HB-EGF/EGFR signaling, which regulates the levels and activity of angiogenic cytokines.

**DISCUSSION**

Multiple angiogenic cytokines require HS to enable effective signaling through their cognate receptors. We identified a novel mechanism for governing angiogenesis effected by ovarian cancer cells. This involves HS 6-O-sulfation-dependent HB-EGF-induced signaling through EGFR, which impacts the concentration of angiogenic cytokines. We show that reduced HS 6-O-sulfation impacts FGF2, IL-6, and IL-8 expression in ovarian cancer cells with consequent effects on endothelial signaling and tubule formation in vitro and reduced tumor growth in vivo. Together these findings identify a new paracrine mechanism for tumor cell HS-dependent regulation of angiogenesis (Fig. 8).

Our previous study demonstrated that down-regulation of HS6ST-1 or HS6ST-2 in endothelial cells led to a significant impairment in FGF2- and VEGF165-dependent angiogenic functions by cell released inhibitory HS fragments (26), therefore highlighting the significance of a paracrine and an autocrine mechanism through which HS can regulate tumor angiogenesis (Fig. 8). Because in both our studies the reduction of 6-O-sulfates in endothelial and ovarian cancer cells was ~30–50%, the potential for HS6STs to act as a target for novel antiangiogenic agents appears significant. The relevance of endothelial cell HS sulfation in tumor angiogenesis has been highlighted in another study where deletion of endothelial N-acetylgalactosamine N-deacetylase/N-sulfotransferase 1 (Ndst1) resulted in decreased glucosamine N- and 6-O-sulfation and reduced xenograft tumor growth through defective tumor angiogenesis (29). Because Sulf-1 is diminished or lost in ~75% of ovarian cancers (22), the increase in 6-O-sulfation is advantageous for the growth of ovarian tumors. Therefore, targeting HS6STs in ovarian cancer would be a good strategy to inhibit tumor-associated angiogenesis.

We found that cell lines expressing a single isoform of HS6ST (ES2 and OVCAR-5) displayed half the level of 6-O-sulfation than that observed in cell lines with both HS6ST isoforms (OVCAR-3 and OAW42). Moreover, 6-O-sulfation levels did not depend on expression of Sulfs, suggesting that HS6ST-1 and -2 act in a synergistic nonredundant manner. This also suggests a complex relationship between the rates of 6-O-sulfation by HS6STs and de-6-O-sulfation by Sulfs and activities of these enzymes in cells. Although expression of Sulfs has not impacted the overall 6-O-sulfate levels in cell lines expressing a single isoform of HS6ST, for example ES2 and OVCAR-5 cells, it is possible that HS domain structure in these cell lines is very different because of expression of Sulfs in OVCAR-5, but not ES2, cells.

Our data demonstrate that the level of 6-O-sulfation is an important determinant for HB-EGF signaling through EGFR. We show that HS 6-O-sulfation level regulates HB-EGF activity through two lines of evidence: 1) 6-O-sulfates are required for the effectiveness of HB-EGF binding to HS and 2) reduction of 6-O-sulfate content in cancer cells affects EGFR phosphorylation in the absence of EGF. The structural complexity defined by differential sulfation patterns and domain structure within HS enables growth factors, such as FGF2 and HB-EGF, to bind to a large number of nonspecific sites in HS with low affinity, but more significantly, to a small number of nonoverlapping specific sites with high affinity (30). Although HB-EGF can use diverse HS sequences with variable affinity and selectivity, our data suggest that a level of 6-O-sulfation plays a major role in regulating HB-EGF activity.

EGFR-dependent expression of FGF2 and IL-6 has been reported in other cell lines, suggesting a more universal mechanism for EGFR-dependent regulation of the angiogenic program. For example, HB-EGF induced FGF2 transcription and protein production in aortic smooth muscle cells (31), whereas EGFR signaling was linked to production and release of IL-6.
through transcriptional up-regulation in human lung adenocarcinomas (32). Recent study has reported an IL-6-correlated gene signature in epithelial ovarian cancers that among other genes included IL-8 and HB-EGF, thus highlighting the relationship between these cytokines in ovarian cancer (33). IL-6 and IL-8 are also important contributors to ovarian cancer angiogenesis, as demonstrated in preclinical models (34–36). A few preclinical studies demonstrated the efficacy of different HB-EGF inhibitors in the reduction of ovarian tumor growth when administered alone or in combination with paclitaxel (37, 38). Thus modulation of expression of multiple angiogenic cytokines through HB-EGF represents an attractive opportunity to target ovarian tumor angiogenesis.

Despite the significance of HB-EGF/EGFR pathway, other pathways may also impact FGF2, IL-8, and IL-6 expression. The ability of HS to regulate the expression and function of HB-EGF, FGF2, IL-8, and IL-6 presents an opportunity to target these cytokines with HS mimetics. We have developed an efficient chemical synthesis that generates synthetic oligosaccharides with specific sulfation patterns (39–41) and characterized structure-inhibition relationships for FGF2 and VEGF165 (18). Our work has demonstrated a feasibility of designing structurally distinct synthetic HS mimetics that target multiple angiogenic cytokines. This presents a unique opportunity to design HS sequences targeting HB-EGF, FGF2, IL-8, IL-6, and VEGF165. Such a strategy may result in more efficient inhibition

FIGURE 7. Down-regulation of HS6ST-2 affects tumor growth through impaired angiogenesis. A, OVCAR-3 parental cells, OVCAR-3 cells expressing NS shRNA (NS), and OVCAR-3 cells with down-regulated HS6ST-2 (sh6ST2-1) were grown as xenografts in NSG mice, and tumor volume was measured for 110 days. The data are expressed as the means ± S.E. (n = 5 xenografts). B, OVCAR-3 xenograft sections were stained for mouse CD31 (green) to visualize the infiltration of host vasculature and Hoechst 33342 (blue) to detect nuclei. Scale bars show 100 μm. C, evaluation of blood vessel density was performed with ImageJ program where the images were analyzed for CD31 staining. The number of vessels per normalized area was calculated and expressed as the means ± S.E. (n = 5 xenografts). *, p < 0.01. D, xenograft sections were stained for mouse α-SMA (red) and CD31 (green) to visualize blood vessel coverage with mural cells. Nuclei were visualized with Hoechst 33342 (blue). White arrows show CD31-positive blood vessels that lack α-SMA staining. Scale bars represent 100 μm. E, average number of vessels positive for mouse α-SMA staining was evaluated using the ImageJ program. The means ± S.E. (n = 5) are shown. *, p < 0.05. F–H, IL-6 (F), IL-8 (G), and FGF2 (H) protein levels in OVCAR-3, OVCAR-3 NS, and OVCAR-3 sh6ST2-1 xenograft lysates as determined by ELISA. Data show pg of cytokine normalized to 1 mg of total protein and represent the means ± S.E. (n = 5). *, p < 0.02.
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