Ultra-sensitive digital quantification of proteins and mRNA in single cells
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Simultaneous measurement of proteins and mRNA in single cells enables quantitative understanding and modeling of cellular functions. Here, we present an automated microfluidic system for multi-parameter and ultra-sensitive protein/mRNA measurements in single cells. Our technology improves the sensitivity of digital proximity ligation assay by up to 55-fold, with a detection limit of 2277 proteins per cell and with detection efficiency of as few as 29 protein molecules. Our measurements using this system reveal higher mRNA/protein correlation in single mammalian cells than previous estimates. Furthermore, time-lapse imaging of herpes simplex virus 1 infected epithelial cells enabled by our device shows that expression of ICP4 - a major transcription factor regulating hundreds of viral genes- is only partially correlated with viral protein counts, suggesting that many cells go through abortive infection. These results highlight the importance of high-sensitivity protein/mRNA quantification for understanding fundamental molecular mechanisms in individual cells.
Cells exhibit high degree of variability in their molecular contents. Quantitative understanding and modeling of cellular functions require sensitive measurement of various molecular species such as messenger RNA (mRNA) and proteins. Traditionally used population-averaged techniques like Western blots and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) do not capture the important differences between individual cells. Single-cell analysis is therefore necessary for an accurate understanding of cellular functions and states. As proteins directly perform many cellular functions, and mRNA levels can be poor surrogates for protein abundance in individual cells, single-cell measurements are lagging behind. Current techniques such as mass spectrometry (MS) generally lack the sensitivity to detect the small amounts of proteins present in individual cells; however, recent developments in MS are promising in detecting proteins in single cells. Flow cytometry and mass cytometry (e.g., CyTOF) can detect proteins in single cells, but developing sample standards for quantification is challenging. Protein immunoassays such as ELISA or enzyme-linked immunospot assay can detect proteins from single cells, but lack the sensitivity for accurate quantification. CITE-seq (cellular indexing of transcriptomes and epitopes by sequencing) and REAP-seq (RNA expression and protein sequencing) are microfluidic- and sequencing-based technologies that quantify single-cell transcriptome and epitope simultaneously in a high-throughput manner; however, they are not compatible with intracellular proteins. These methods also have limited resolution due to the high background from non-specific binding of antibodies.

To overcome those limitations, digital proximity ligation assay (dPLA) was recently introduced. dPLA provides the ability of direct and digital measurements of protein and mRNA copy numbers in single mammalian cells. In dPLA, digital PCR (dPCR) is used to quantify proteins detected with a pair of oligonucleotide-tagged antibodies called PLA probes. Previously published PLA (or its close relative PEA) methods enable multiplexed simultaneous protein and mRNA measurement from single cells. Those methods claim to multiplex ~96 protein targets in a single cell; however, their quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) readout limits the sensitivity of the measurements. The use of the dPCR readout provides significantly improved resolution and limit of detection (LOD). This allows direct quantification of protein copy numbers in individual mammalian cells. This advance, along with the use of dPCR for mRNA quantification, enables mathematical models of gene expression and protein translation in single mammalian cells. However, currently dPLA is not able to quantify rare protein targets because of the large dilution factor introduced by sorting and lysing individual cells in micro-well plates. Further, the current dPLA method is not compatible with single-cell imaging, tracking, and chemical stimulation. These limitations hinder many applications of regular PLA and dPLA for single-cell analysis. Gentshaft et al. has presented a similar method to simultaneously profile protein (multiplexed ~27 targets) and mRNA in single cells on commercial microfluidic platforms. However, this method relies on qPCR readout and requires preamplification for both single-cell RNA and protein quantification, which can often create bias in the results and is not compatible with single-cell imaging, tracking, and chemical stimulation.

Here, we present an automated microfluidic device for dPLA measurements (μ-dPLA) that also combines live-cell imaging and chemical stimulation in the same platform. Most importantly, our system improves the detection limit and sensitivity of single-cell digital protein quantification by up to 55-fold, above an absolute limit 2277 copies of proteins per cell, and with detection efficiency, which enables studying protein dynamics in living cells and linking it to end-point protein and mRNA measurements. Furthermore, our approach significantly reduces reagent cost and labor, and improves overall reproducibility of single-cell measurements via automated cell and reagent handling.

We applied our technology to study the correlation between protein and mRNA copy numbers in individual mammalian cells and found higher degrees of mRNA–protein correlation compared to previous estimates. We showed that the ability of accurately measuring protein abundance in lowly expressing cells—which were not accounted for in previous measurements due to limited sensitivity—allow accurate characterization of mRNA-protein correlation. Finally, we used combined live-cell imaging and digital protein quantification in single cells, a new capability provided by our technology, and studied virus infection dynamics of lung epithelial cells. Live-cell imaging of herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1)-infected lung epithelial cells show that the expression of ICP4—a major transcription factor that regulates hundreds of viral genes—is only partially correlated with all viral protein counts in single cells, suggesting that not all the cells infected by HSV-1 are able to go past the point of immediate-early protein synthesis and go through abortive infection. Our results highlight the importance of high-sensitivity digital measurements in understanding the relationship between gene expression and protein production in single cells in different contexts.

**Results**

**Development of μ-dPLA system.** In regular dPLA, cells are sorted and lysed in regular micro-wells, and then they are combined with PLA probes that bind to the target proteins. PLA probes consisting of oligonucleotide-functionalized antibodies recognize the target protein at two different epitopes, and oligonucleotides are then ligated with the help of a short piece of connector due to extreme proximity on the target protein. Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) is used to quantify the hybridized oligonucleotides, allowing direct counting of protein copy numbers in the sample. While regular dPLA can achieve LOD at the sub-femtomolar range, the large dilution of the single-cell contents into the micro-wells results in reduced sensitivity for practical applications. Therefore, dPLA is unable to quantify protein abundances below ~30,000 copies per cell, and rarely expressed proteins are missed altogether.

To improve the sensitivity of dPLA for profiling rare proteins in single cells, our approach was to sort and confine cells in nanoliter fluidic chambers at every step of the dPLA protocol. We developed an automated microfluidic device that captures and sorts individual cells into 7-nl chambers. This allowed us to dramatically reduce the dilution factor introduced from single-cell sorting and lysis steps. Furthermore, we avoided sample loss by reducing the reaction volume, so that 100% of the single-cell sample was used in the ddPCR readout. In contrast, only 9% of the sample was used in regular dPLA, which results in 91% loss of signal. Furthermore, the microfluidic system performs all assay steps automatically and performs many repeated fluidic
manipulations with nanoliter precision. While the typical sampling error is at ~10% when manual pipetting is used, our automated systems reduce these pipetting errors to <0.1%, resulting in dramatically improved technical reproducibility.

The integrated microfluidic device designed for µ-dPLA is capable of performing 144 parallel single-cell dPLA assays in an automated fashion (Fig. 1). The device traps and lyases single cells in a 7-nl chamber (Fig. 1a, chamber III). To further minimize dilution factor throughout the dPLA process, a series of microfluidic chambers were designed to precisely execute each assay step without sample loss. To avoid contamination between steps and enable automation, each chamber was separated by a set of microfluidic valves21, which opens specific chambers at the programmed time. Chip automation was performed by controlling valve operation using a Matlab program19. Measuring of precise reagents volumes was achieved by delivering reagents to empty chambers with predefined volumes, a process called dead-end filling. Wash channels were designed to prevent contamination of reagents between steps. The use of automated nanoliter fluid manipulation has the additional advantage of extremely high sensitivity,

**Fig. 1** Integrated microfluidic device for performing ultrasensitive single-cell protein/messenger RNA (mRNA) measurements. a, Top: The schematic of one unit of assay chambers is shown; chamber sizes are not to scale. The single cell is trapped in chamber II. The stepwise assay protocol (I–V) results in a digital PCR (dPCR) readout, where counting of positive droplets allows direct quantitation of proteins or mRNA in the sample. Bottom left: The chip image with food dye loaded in different channels, the scale bar is 2 cm. Bottom right: The microscope image of a single human embryonic kidney cell trapped in chamber II, the scale bar is 50 μm. b, Step-by-step workflow of microfluidic-digital proximity ligation assay (µ-dPLA). More information on the chip design and fabrication can be found in the Methods section.
reproducible pipetting, which reduces technical errors and variability, resulting in increased precision and sensitivity in protein and mRNA measurements.

**Ultrasensitive digital protein measurements in single cells.** To characterize the performance of our µ-dPLA device for protein quantification, we generated calibration curves with pure protein standards (Fig. 2a, d and Supplementary Fig. 2c). These curves show the measured number of double-stranded DNA amplicons per microliter (ddPCR readout) against the total protein molecules in chamber III (Fig. 1). Table 1 shows the LOD and detection efficiency for endogenous human proteins CD147, TNFR1, and CSTB for both on- and off-chip (regular) dPLA. We found that µ-dPLA has up to 55 times better LOD and 25 times better detection efficiency than regular dPLA. This extremely high sensitivity enables quantification of very rare protein targets: we were able to quantify 2277, 2795, and 18,824 molecules of TNFR1, CD147, and CSTB protein individual cells, respectively. Our device was able to achieve detection efficiency as low as 33, 29, and 304 copies of TNFR1, CD147, and CSTB protein molecules, respectively. Moreover, our system achieved a linear dynamic range of 3–4 orders of magnitude for these proteins. To
To show general applicability of our technology, we performed single-cell absolute quantification of two protein targets (CD147 and TNFR1) in three different cell types: human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293T), two human lung epithelial cells (H1299 and A549) (Fig. 2). A calibration curve was created along with each single-cell experiment to convert double-stranded DNA readouts to absolute protein copy numbers. Single cells were trapped and isolated on chip with an average occupancy of 90%. For measurement of the protein CD147, all of the cells captured by our device were above the LOD. Single-cell CD147 counts had an average of 197,785 proteins in HEK293T cells (N = 266), with a range of 3289 ± 1099 to 872,925 ± 13,393 proteins (Fig. 2b, c; defined as: average ± standard deviation, where standard deviation is calculated as in Eq. (2) in the Methods section, the standard deviation for single-cell readings is Poisson errors from ddPCR). The results generally agreed with previous reports for these cells. Single-cell CD147 counts for human lung epithelial cells H1299 (N = 96) and A549 (N = 98) had averages of 327,609 and 179,513 proteins, and ranges of 67,133 ± 2476 to 704,446 ± 7428 and 7417 ± 1737 to 517,949 ± 6717 proteins, respectively (Fig. 2b, c).

About 86% of the single cells (149 out of 174) were above LOD for tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR1) protein measurements in our device. TNFR1 is a membrane protein and is the canonical activator of the nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) pathway, and has been already demonstrated to be a difficult target to quantify due to its low copy number and membrane-bound nature. We found that single-cell TNFR1 counts had an average of 14,807 proteins in H1299 cells (N = 88), with a range of 6310 ± 1197 to 33,541 ± 1643 proteins (Fig. 2e, f). Single-cell TNFR1 counts in A549 cell lines (N = 84) had an average of 13,017 proteins, with a range of 2408 ± 927 to 37,099 ± 1382 (Fig. 2e, f; all single-cell protein raw data are provided in Supplementary Data 1). We note that single-cell TNFR1 counts were all below the LOD of dPLA (66,789 proteins, Table 1). To our knowledge, single-cell TNFR1 protein counts have not been measured before our study. This highlights the advantage of our microfluidic platform for quantification of lowly abundant proteins. Single-cell TNFR1 measurements in HEK293T cells were all below LOD of our device. Together with the finding from a live-cell imaging experiment, where HEK293T cells stimulated with high dose of TNF-α did not result in activation of the NF-κB pathway (Fig. 2g, h and Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Movie 1), the results indicate TNFR1 is either not expressed in HEK293T cells or the protein level of TNFR1 in HEK293T cells is too low to respond to TNF-α stimulation.

**Table 1 Comparison of regular (off-chip) and microfluidic (on-chip) dPLA performance**

|                  | dPLA LOD—off-chip (molecules) | dPLA LOD—on-chip (molecules) | dPLA detection efficiency—off-chip (proteins/DNA) | dPLA detection efficiency—on-chip (proteins/DNA) |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| TNFR1            | 66,789a                       | 2277                         | 741a                                        | 33                                         |
| CD147            | 55,385a                       | 2795                         | 70a                                         | 29                                         |
| CSTB             | 1,035,991a                    | 18,824a                      | 5024a                                       | 304a                                       |

*Calibration curves are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. Calculation of detection efficiency is explained in the Methods section.

Single-step joint protein/mRNA measurements in single cells.

To study and model mRNA/protein expression in single cells, simultaneous measurement of protein and mRNA levels from the same single cell is needed. To achieve such joint measurements in our device, we have combined single-cell mRNA and protein quantification by integrating reverse transcription-ddPCR (RT-ddPCR) with μ-dPLA. Instead of splitting the cell lysates for protein and mRNA measurement separately, we integrated the ddPCR readout for μ-dPLA with one-step RT-ddPCR as a duplex reaction in the same reaction (Fig. 3a). This integrated protocol facilitates mRNA readout without sample bias and interference from protein measurement. TaqMan probe with FAM fluorophore was used for dPLA readout, and VIC fluorophore was used for PCR mRNA readout. To verify whether the duplex ddPCR reaction creates crosstalk between channels, a series of control experiments were performed, which showed that VIC and FAM had negligible crosstalk (Supplementary Fig. 5a, b). Simultaneous TNFR1 protein (Fig. 3b) and mRNA (Fig. 3c) data from the same single cells (H1299) measured with the duplex reaction is shown in Fig. 3d (the calibration curve for TNFR1 with the duplex protocol is shown in Supplementary Fig. 5c). In short, we achieved simultaneous detection of proteins and mRNA...
in the same digital PCR droplets, which significantly simplifies the protocols for joint detection of these molecules in single cells.

**μ-dPLA shows increased single-cell mRNA–protein correlation.** mRNA readouts are often used as proxy for protein abundance, but whether transcript levels accurately reflect protein levels is an open question. Our high-sensitivity joint measurements reveal that the single-cell protein and mRNA correlation has a Spearman’s coefficient of 0.45 in single mammalian cells for TNFR1 expression (Fig. 3d), which is significantly higher than many previous reports. We hypothesized that previous reports on low mRNA–protein correlation could be due to limited sensitivity of previous protein measurement techniques, and that sensitive quantification of lowly expressing single cells could increase the mRNA–protein correlation in the population. To test this, we excluded single cells with low protein copy numbers in our measurements and compared the changes in Spearman’s correlation coefficient (Fig. 3e). We found that the mRNA–protein correlation indeed increased as we include more cells with low protein copy numbers. This result shows the importance of high-sensitivity, multi-parameter techniques for accurate understanding of transcription and translation in single cells.

**μ-dPLA measures single-cell viral infection heterogeneity.** An advantage of our μ-dPLA system is the capability of integrating live-cell microscopy for high-content single-cell profiling. To demonstrate this capability, we studied human lung epithelial cells (A549) infected with HSV-1 that expresses a yellow fluorescent protein reporter (ICP4-YFP). We infected the cells and monitored the expression of ICP4 protein in single cells by time-lapse measurements in our device. Successfully infected cells showed a nuclear YFP signal, while the un-infected cells (i.e., the cells that received the virus but infection was not successful) and control cells (the cells that received no virus) did not show any YFP expression (Fig. 4a, b). After loading the cells on chip and taking fluorescence images, all cells were lysed at the same time and processed with the μ-dPLA protocol described. We measured HSV-1 proteins with PLA probes using a polyclonal antibody that binds to ~80 viral protein species encoded by the HSV-1 genome.
This allowed us to measure the abundance of ICP4 target proteins in individual cells in a single measurement. We observed a significant difference in µ-dPLA protein readout between HSV-1-infected cells \((N = 27)\) and control cells \((N = 19)\) (Fig. 4c, \(p\) value of 5.356e-11 calculated from two-sided Welch’s \(t\) test).

ICP4 is a major viral transcription factor, which is expressed immediately upon the entry of the viral genome to the host cell nucleus and prior to viral genome replication \(^{25,26}\). Thus, all YFP-positive cells in our experiments were cells in which the infection proceeded at least to the point of nuclear entry. In these cells, we measured the total viral protein content, composed of all immediate-early, early, and late proteins with µ-dPLA. Our results surprisingly showed that YFP fluorescence (ICP4 expression) is only partially correlated with the total viral protein count (Fig. 4d, Spearman’s correlation \(= 0.48\)). This suggests that not all the cells infected by HSV-1 were able to go past the point of immediate-early protein synthesis, and some of these likely represent abortively infected cells \(^{27}\) (stability of ICP4-YFP...
reporter was validated and shown in Supplementary Movie 2).

Our method opens the door to a more in-depth analysis of this intriguing sub-population. Furthermore, our single-cell measurements of the viral proteins showed a wide distribution, with the highest expressing cells showing ~5-fold higher viral protein concentration than the lowest expressing cells. This wide distribution is in agreement with previous reports studying viral progeny release from single cells, showing substantial single-cell heterogeneity in virus infection.26,29

**Discussion**

Here, we described an automated microfluidic system and associated protocols for ultrasensitive quantification of proteins and mRNA in individual mammalian cells. Our technique, which we called µ-dPLA, significantly improves the sensitivity for single-cell protein profiling: This method enables direct quantification of lowly abundant protein species at a limit of 2,277 protein molecules per cell, within 3 to 4 orders of dynamic range, and detection efficiency of as low as 29 protein molecules. Additional advantages of µ-dPLA compared to existing techniques include rapid processing and more efficient use of materials and samples. A major advantage of our approach is the combined ability of cell sorting, trapping, and live-cell imaging in time-lapse experiments. With single cells trapped in isolated microfluidic chambers, µ-dPLA spares the use of fluorescence-activated cell sorting, which is bulky and expensive, and is not compatible with the study of rare cells. Additionally, µ-dPLA consumes hundreds of times less reagents and consumables compared to traditional well-plate-based assays, which substantially lowers the assay cost.

Population-averaged estimates show that the cellular proteome consists of thousands of very lowly expressed proteins, with copy numbers below 10,000 molecules per cell. These proteins like important regulatory transcription factors constitute an essential part of cellular proteome and hold the key to thorough understanding of cellular functions. However, existing proteomic techniques are limited in their detection limit and sensitivities, which obscures single-cell information and overlooks possible sub-populations. Our method improves the sensitivity of single-cell protein measurements dramatically, allowing the study of many important proteins that are missed with current methods.

The extremely high sensitivity provided by µ-dPLA enabled us to accurately measure the abundance of mRNA and protein molecules in a range of single cells. While earlier reports showed a poor correlation between single-cell mRNA and protein levels (Spearman’s coefficient = 0.1), we observed a much higher correlation between protein and mRNA levels in single mammalian cells (Spearman’s coefficient = 0.45). We have shown that high-sensitivity protein quantification plays a key role in accurately estimating protein–mRNA correlation. As more cells with lowly expressed proteins were included in the calculation, the Spearman’s coefficient increased significantly.

Most single-cell analysis techniques provide only single-modality readout, in which researchers need to choose what aspect of the single cells they want to study, such as mRNAs, proteins, or dynamics, while the rest of the cellular information is lost. In contrast, µ-dPLA confers advantages of providing multi-parametric measurement, with integration of duplex one-step RT-ddPCR reaction, live-cell microscopy, and dynamic single-cell stimulation. The integration with live-cell microscopy provides additional information on cell morphology, the expression, and translocation of fluorescent protein reporters, which is useful to decipher the relationships between different protein species or cellular pathways. We took advantage of our combined single-cell proteomic measurements with live-cell imaging and showed that HSV-1 virus infection of lung epithelial cells is highly variable. Our results surprisingly showed that the expression of ICP4, a major transcription factor that regulates hundreds of viral genes, is only partially correlated with the total viral protein counts (Spearman’s correlation = 0.48), suggesting that not all the cells infected by HSV-1 were able to go past the point of immediate-early protein synthesis and go through abortive infection. Our combined results highlight the importance of high-sensitivity protein measurements in molecular mechanisms relevant to the study of single cells.

**Methods**

**Microfluidic chip fabrication.** The molds were designed in AutoCAD (Autodesk, USA) and then printed on photopattern with Heidelberg MLA 150 Direct Write Lithographer (Heidelberg Instruments Mikrotechnik GmbH, Germany). The multi-layer photoresists consists of 18 µm AZ40XT (MicroChem, USA) spun at 1976 × g, 22 µm SU-8 with SU-8-3025 spun at 1372 × g, and 70 µm two-layer SU-8 with SU-8-3025: first layer spun at 1372 × g and second layer spun at 448 × g. The AZ layers went through an overnight reflow process to obtain a rounded shape. The two-layer polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) device used in this paper is a push-up design, which means the fluidic layer (where reagents are loaded) that is a thick layer bonds on top of the control layer (open/close valves) that is a thin layer. The molds were firstly treated with chlorotrifluoroethylene (Cat. No. 92360, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 15 min in a fume hood. This ensured that molds were non-sticky to PDMS, and thus preserved the chip features when peeling PDMS off the molds. To cast the thick layer, 72 g of PDMS was prepared by mixing base and curing agent at 10:1 ratio. The PDMS was mixed and degassed (RTV-615, Momentive Specialty Chemicals, USA) and degassed again after poured onto the molds. To cast the top layer, 11 g of PDMS was prepared by mixing base and curing agent at 10:1 ratio. Then, the PDMS was spun on the mold at 448 × g for 1 min. Both layers were then baked at 80 °C for at least 45 min. The thick layers were peeled, patterned, aligned, and bonded on top of the thin layer with oxygen plasma (input pressure 860 mTorr) for 18 s (Harrick Plasma, USA). After baking overnight at 80 °C, the fluidic-control-bonded PDMS slab was peeled and punched. The retrieval ports were punched with 1930 µm inner diameter biopsy punch (CR9095076S1N3R4, Syneoce, USA), and the rest of the holes were punched with 710 µm inner diameter biopsy punch (CR0350255N208R4, Syneoce, USA). Finally, the device was bonded to a glass slide (127.76 mm × 85.48 mm × 1 mm) with air plasma for 45 s (turn on the plasma for 45 s) before baking at 80 °C overnight, before it was ready to use.

**Microfluidic chip operation.** The schematic of one assay unit is shown in Fig. 1. In order to block non-specific binding of reagents to PDMS surface, chips were coated with 10% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Cat. No. 37525, Thermo Fisher, USA) for 1 h. Chips were then washed with water and ventilated by compressed air before use. The workflow of dPLA for single cells on chip is: (1) Single cells were trapped and isolated in column II. (2) Lysis buffer was introduced from column I to push and lyse the single cell trapped in column II into chamber III; lysis was completed within 10 min on ice. (3) Columns I and II were washed with PLA probe before they were introduced to mix with the single-layers in chamber III, the mix occupies chamber III and IV. The PLA probe was then placed at 37 °C for 1 h, then washed with the valve between chamber III and IV open, which allows mixing by diffusion. (4) Column I and II were washed with the ligation mix, before it was injected to push the ligation–probe mix (in chamber III and IV) to chamber V. The chip was placed on ice for 5 min for mixing by diffusion, and then placed at 37 °C for 10-min ligation and 65 °C for 5 min to heat inactivate the ligase. (5) The PLA products were washed out by water and collected for ddPCR readout (Fig. 1b).

**Calibration curve with µ-dPLA.** For all targets, a serial dilution of pure protein standards was prepared off-chip, diluted with lysis buffer (0.3× TM buffer). Lysis buffer was prepared by diluting TM buffer and protease inhibitor (Cat. No. K301010, BioChain, USA) in cell resuspension buffer (Cat. No. 4405443, Thermo Fisher, USA) for 0.3× and 1× concentration, respectively. Protein standards were loaded from the lowest to the highest concentration, with 8-min washing between samples through channel I (Fig. 1). Then, PLA probes were prepared at a concentration of 500 pmol and loaded on the chip, before opening chamber IV (Fig. 1): channel I was flushed with probes for 2 min, and then channel II was flushed with 37 °C for 1 min. The same flushing protocol also applies to the ligation mix. The rest of the protocol has been described above. After retrieving 9 µl of the final PLA products out of the chip for every unit, they were mixed with 10 µl of 2× ddPCR Supermix for Probes (Cat. No. 1863024, Bio-Rad, USA) and 1 µl of 20× Universal PCR Assay (Cat. No. 4405301, Applied Biosystems, USA). The total 20 µl of sample mix was loaded to D8 cartridge (Cat. No. 1864008, Bio-Rad, USA) together with 65 µl of Droplet Generation Oil for Probes (Cat. No. 1863005, Bio-Rad, USA). A gasket (Cat. No. 1864007, Bio-Rad, USA) was placed on top of the cartridge holder, and then the cartridge holder was loaded into droplet generator (QX200, Bio-Rad, USA). After that, we transferred the ~40 µl droplets that were generated into a 96-well PCR plate for PCR, which was loaded with an algal bead. The PCR program was: 1°, 95 °C for 10 min; 40×, 94 °C for 30 s, followed by 60 °C for 1 min; 1°, 98 °C for 10 min; with the ramp speed as 1.5 °C s−1. The PLA probes for CD147
were conjugated with streptavidin–biotin chemistry, with commercially available oligo kit for PLA (Cat. No. 4448549, Applied Biosystems, USA) and bisinylated antibodies (CD147: RAE28, TNFR1: R&B, R&D Systems, USA). The PLA probe conjugation protocol is provided in the kit (Cat. No. 4448549, Applied Biosystems, USA). The CSTB PLA probes were commercially available (Cat. No. 4405465, Applied Biosystems, USA).

LOD is defined as the lowest detectable number of protein molecules (calculated as 3 standard deviations of the background reading above either the background reading or the y-intercept of the fitted linear curve, whichever is higher). The detection efficiency is defined as the number of protein molecules converted into one DNA molecule in PLA, and it can be calculated based on the calibration curves as:

\[
\text{Detection efficiency} = \frac{\text{Absolute protein copy numbers}}{\text{[Amplicons]} \times 20}
\]

where the dpPCR readout ([Amplicon], i.e., amplicons per µl) multiplied by 20 gives the total number of amplicons in the reaction.

Error bars indicate ± SD (standard deviation), and SD of the DNA concentration is calculated as:

\[
SD = \frac{C_l - C_m}{2} \times \sqrt{N}
\]

Values of \(C_{\text{min}}\) and \(C_{\text{max}}\) can be retrieved from QuantSoft result sheet. They are given as TotalConfMax and TotalConfMin for replicate readings, and PoissonConfMax and PoissonConfMin for single readings (such as for single-cell readings). \(N\) is the number of replicates. We note that the detection limit calculations shown here are based on calibration curves established using purified protein standards as is common practice, and the actual detection limit of cellular protein levels might be different.

**Single-cell protein quantification with multiplex PLA**. HEK293T and A549 (Cat. No. 86012804, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) cells were culture with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) media (Cat. No. 11965-026, Life Technologies, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells cultured in RPMI-1640 media (Cat. No. 11835-030, Life Technologies, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells cultured in flasks were dissociated with TrypLE (Cat. No. 12605028, Life Technologies, USA) for 5 min at 37 °C, and then spun down. Cells were then resuspended in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then filtered with a 40-µm cell strainer (Cat. No. 352340, Corning, USA). The cells were then centrifuged and redispersed to a concentration of 2 × 10^6 per ml for on-chip single-cell loading, with an input pressure of 5 psi. After verifying on the microscope stage that cell loading was complete, the chip was immediately moved on top of ice. Single cells were lysed with 0.3× TM buffer. Lysis was complete within 10 min on ice, and was confirmed visualized under a microscope. The rest of the protocol was the same as for calibration curve on chip.

**Duplex protein–mRNA digital quantification in single cells**. To simultaneously quantify protein and mRNA from the same single cell, the digital PLA part of the protocol was the same, except that we added RNaseOUT (Cat. No. 10777019, Invitrogen, USA) to every reaction mix (the RNaseOUT was diluted 20 times in the final reaction mix). After retrieving 9 µl of PLA products together with mRNA from the chip, the product was mixed with 5 µl of one-step RT-ddPCR supermix, 2 µl of reverse transcriptase, 1 µl of 300 mM dithiothreitol (Cat. No. B69, Thermo Scientific, USA) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) to a concentration of 3 mM. To a microcentrifuge tube was added 9 µl anti-HSV-1 conjugated antibody (Cat. No. ab9533, Abcam, USA) and 1 µl of 50 mM DBCO-NHS, dissolved thoroughly, and incubated on ice for 60 min. Free DBCO-NHS was purified through a buffer exchange procedure to PBS (Life Technologies, USA) using a 50 µl, 7 K MWCO Zeba Column (Thermo Fisher, USA). Conjugation was verified by measuring absorption at 280 and 309 nm using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer.

Probes were made by reacting the DBCO moiety on DBCO-conjugated antibodies with a terminal azide on PLA oligomers. All PLA oligomers were purchased from IDT (PLA oligo A: 5’A5Izen/CNGCATTTGACTGTCGTTGCGGCTCGGGHHAHHH

HACHHHCAGACATGGTCGTTGCAATAGGGACCATGGTACAAAAAAGAAAAAAALAAACNGTCTGCGTCTGCTGCTGTGGAGGCTGC

were 

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability.** The main datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are provided in the manuscript and Supplementary information. The ddPCR raw data, HSV-1 infection images, and microfluidic chip design are available on figshare with https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.8299028, https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.8289728, https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.8285975, and https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.8289282.
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