Performance of novel tissue harmonic echo imaging using endoscopic ultrasound for pancreatic diseases

Authors
Kazuyuki Matsumoto1,*, Akio Katanuma1,*, Hiroyuki Maguchi1, Kuniyuki Takahashi1, Manabu Osanai1, Kei Yane1, Yoshifumi Kin1, Ryo Takaki1, Tomoaki Matsumori1, Katsushige Gon1, Akiko Tomonari1, Masanori Nojima2

Institutions
1 Center for Gastroenterology, Teine-Keijinkai Hospital, Hokkaido, Japan
2 Center for Translational Research, The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Background and study aims: Recently, tissue harmonic echo (THE) imaging has advanced with the development of a new endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) monitor/processing unit. With this new technology, penetration (THE-P) and resolution (THE-R) images can be obtained. The aim of this study was to investigate the performance of this novel THE imaging using a new processing unit for pancreatic diseases.

Patients and methods: Fifty patients with pancreatic lesions (38 cystic, 12 solid) were retrospectively analyzed. At each examination, 3 EUS images of the same pancreatic lesion were obtained using B-mode, THE-P mode, and THE-R mode imaging. Each set of EUS images was randomly arranged and evaluated independently by 4 physicians blinded to the imaging technique. Images were compared using a Likert scale 5-point grading system for each parameter.

Results: For cystic lesions, THE-P mode images were significantly superior to conventional B-mode images for visualizing the boundary, septum, nodules, and total image quality (P<0.05). THE-R mode images were significantly superior to conventional B-mode images for visualizing the boundary, septum, and total image quality (P<0.05). However, for solid lesions, there was no significant difference in all the evaluation points between THE-P and conventional B-mode images. THE-R mode images were inferior to conventional B-mode images for visualizing the boundary, internal structure, and total image quality (P<0.05).

Conclusions: For pancreatic cystic lesions, THE mode images provided better lesion characterization than conventional B-mode images. Further research is required to determine if this improvement will result in improved EUS diagnostics.

Introduction

Tissue harmonic echo (THE) imaging is a sonographic technique that can potentially provide higher-quality images than conventional B-mode imaging. On THE imaging, higher harmonic frequencies generated by ultrasound beam propagation through tissue are used to produce the sonogram. Its potential advantages include enhanced resolution, improved signal-to-noise ratio, and reduced artifacts [1–4]. THE imaging has been used clinically during transabdominal ultrasonography (US), and its usefulness for diagnosing several organ diseases has been reported [5,6]. However, the diagnostic utility of transabdominal US for pancreatic lesions is limited by the anatomic location of the pancreas. To address this, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) is used for closer examination of pancreatic lesions. EUS is thus indispensable for the investigation and management of pancreatic diseases [7–9].

Recently, THE imaging has advanced with the development of a new EUS monitor/processing unit (Fig. 1). With this new technology, penetration (THE-P) and resolution (THE-R) images can be obtained. THE-P mode imaging may be suitable for middle-range observation because it receives a harmonic signal with a frequency of 7.5 MHz. THE-R mode imaging may be suitable for close-distance observation because it receives a harmonic signal with a frequency ranging from 10 to 12 MHz. We previously reported the usefulness of novel THE imaging techniques for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) with mural nodules [10]. To date, only a few studies have evaluated pancreatic diseases by THE imaging using EUS [4,11]. We evaluated the performance of the novel THE imaging for pancreatic diseases.
Patients and methods

Between September 1, 2013 and March 1, 2014, 50 patients with pancreatic solid or cystic lesions who underwent EUS with a newly developed monitor/processing unit at our hospital were retrospectively analyzed. Of these patients, 38 had cystic lesions and 12 had solid lesions.

Patients with cystic lesions were followed up by computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, or EUS for at least 6 months. The clinical course and radiologic findings were compatible for each disease. Patients with solid lesions were confirmed by surgical resection or endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our hospital.

Image acquisition

EUS was performed using 2 types of endoscope, radial scanning (EU-260; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) or curved linear array scanning (UCT-260; Olympus), and a newly developed monitor/processing unit (EU-ME2 PREMIER PLUS; Olympus) equipped with THE technology. With this imaging system, it is possible to change between B-mode and THE mode in real time. In each examination, 3 EUS images of the same pancreatic lesion were obtained using B-mode, THE-P mode, and THE-R mode imaging. The imaging parameters were identical for each patient, except image gain which was optimized for each image. EUS examinations were performed by well-experienced endoscopists and images were stored digitally.

Image analysis

EUS images acquired by THE-P mode, THE-R mode, and B-mode imaging were evaluated independently by 4 physicians with extensive EUS experience (reviewer 1: 4000 procedures; 2: 3000 procedures; 3: 1500 procedures; 4: 1200 procedures) and who had not performed the actual EUS examinations. Each set of EUS images obtained using 3 sonographic techniques was randomly arranged with no indication of which technique was used. The reviewers viewed the images on a computer monitor screen and evaluated their ability to visualize features in each set as follows: in patients with cystic lesions, boundary (n = 38), septum (n = 32), nodules (n = 8), and total image quality (n = 38); in patients with solid lesions, boundary (n = 12), internal structures (n = 12), and total image quality (n = 12). For the boundary, septum, and internal structures, the reviewers evaluated their ability to visualize the proximal and distal sides of each lesion. The proximal side image was defined as the area from the EUS probe to the lesion center. The distal side image indicated the area from the lesion center to the far side. The average distances from the probe to the lesion center were 14.1 ± 6.1 mm (cystic lesion) and 15.5 ± 7.6 mm (solid lesion).

Finally, we compared the total image quality of each mode in lesions whose distance from the EUS probe to the lesion center was within 1 cm, less than 2 cm, more than 1 cm, and more than 2 cm to evaluate the characteristics of each mode. In lesions within 1-cm distance, the average distance was 7.6 ± 1.6 mm in the cystic lesion and 8.0 ± 2.1 mm in the solid lesion. In lesions less than 2 cm but more than 1-cm distance, the average distance was 13.4 ± 2.6 mm in the cystic lesion and 12.4 ± 1.8 mm in the solid lesion. In lesions with more than 2-cm distance, the average distance was 24.0 ± 5.7 mm in the cystic lesion and 24.5 ± 6.3 mm in the solid lesion. We also analyzed the correlation between each mode grade for total image quality and distance from the EUS probe to the lesion center. THE-P mode, THE-R mode, and B-mode images were compared using a Likert scale 5-point grading system as follows: grade 1, “very poor image”; grade 2, “poor image”; grade 3, “moderate image”; grade 4, “good image”; grade 5, “excellent image” for each parameter.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 11.0.1J (SPSS, Chicago, IL) was used for the statistical analysis. The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to evaluate the scores for the evaluation criteria. P-values <0.05 were considered to indicate a significant difference. Bonferroni’s correction was used to adjust for multiple testing within each evaluation. The inter-rater reliability between the 4 reviewers for grading each parameter was assessed using the coefficient of variation (CV). The CV was calculated from the average value of each parameter obtained by each reviewer. Percent CV values were considered to represent a close agreement defined as less than 20.

Results

Patient characteristics

The clinical characteristics of the 50 patients with pancreatic solid or cystic lesions who underwent EUS are shown in Table 1. Of these patients, 38 had cystic lesions and 12 had solid lesions. Their median age was 68 years (range, 40 – 86), and there were 32 female patients. As for lesion location, 23 lesions were located in the pancreatic head, 22 in the body, and 5 in the tail. The median size of the pancreatic lesions was 27 mm (range, 10 – 60). The patients with cystic lesions comprised 34 cases of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN), 3 cases of serous cystic neoplasm, and 1 case of lymphoepithelial cyst. The median follow-up period of the patients with the cystic lesions was 28 months (range, 6 – 108).
The patients with solid lesions comprised 11 cases of pancreatic cancer and 1 metastatic mass from renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Of these 11 cases, 2 were confirmed by surgical resection and the remaining 9 by EUS-FNA. The metastatic mass from RCC was confirmed by EUS-FNA.

Evaluation for pancreatic cystic lesions

Boundary
Thirty-eight cystic lesions comprising 152 assessments were evaluated. In all the evaluation points of boundary, both THE-P mode and THE-R mode images were significantly superior to B-mode images ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2, Table 3).

Septum
Thirty-two lesions comprising 128 assessments were evaluated. On whole and proximal images, both THE-P and THE-R modes were significantly superior to B-mode ($P < 0.05$). On distal images, THE-P mode was significantly superior to B-mode ($P < 0.05$); the difference between THE-R mode and B-mode imaging was not significant.

Nodules
Seven lesions comprising 28 assessments were evaluated. On nodule images, THE-P mode was significantly superior to B-mode ($P < 0.05$); the difference between THE-R mode and B-mode imaging was not significant.

---

**Table 1** Clinical characteristics of the patients with pancreatic cystic or solid lesions

| Outcome measures | Overall | Cystic lesion | Solid lesion |
|------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| No. of patients  | 50      | 38           | 12          |
| Age, median (range), y | 68 (40–86) | 68 (40–86) | 71 (50–83) |
| Sex, female (%)  | 32 (64) | 23 (60.5)    | 9 (75)      |
| Location, no (%) |         |              |             |
| Head             | 23      |              |             |
| Body             | 22      |              |             |
| Tail             | 5       |              |             |
| Size, median (range), mm | 27 (10–60) | 29 (10–60) | 25 (12–60) |
| Etiology, no (%) |         |              |             |
| IPMN             | 34 (89.5) |              |             |
| IPMN with nodule | 7       |              |             |
| SCN              | 3 (7.9) |              |             |
| LEC              | 1 (2.6) |              |             |
| Pancreatic cancer| –       |              |             |
| Metastatic (RCC) | –       |              | 1 (8.3)     |

IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm; SCN, serous cystic neoplasm; LEC, lymphoepithelial cyst; RCC, renal cell carcinoma

**Table 2** Average grading by 4 reviewers for boundary, septum, nodule, and total image quality of pancreatic cystic lesions

| Outcome measures | Sonographic mode | B-mode | THE-P mode | THE-R mode |
|------------------|------------------|--------|------------|------------|
| Boundary (38 patients, 152 assessments) | Whole image | 2.97 ± 1.06 | 4.05 ± 0.71 | 3.61 ± 0.97 |
|                  | Proximal         | 2.95 ± 0.94 | 4.04 ± 0.75 | 3.74 ± 0.94 |
|                  | Distal           | 3.02 ± 1.04 | 3.99 ± 0.75 | 3.35 ± 1.01 |
| Septum (32 patients, 128 assessments) | Whole image | 2.82 ± 0.97 | 3.96 ± 0.77 | 3.18 ± 0.98 |
|                  | Proximal         | 2.88 ± 0.98 | 3.95 ± 0.75 | 3.25 ± 1.03 |
|                  | Distal           | 2.89 ± 1.02 | 3.74 ± 0.80 | 3.04 ± 1.06 |
| Nodule (7 patients, 28 assessments) | Whole image | 2.89 ± 0.98 | 4.32 ± 0.66 | 3.46 ± 0.94 |
|                  | Distal           | 2.89 ± 0.98 | 4.17 ± 0.71 | 3.45 ± 0.93 |

**Table 3** Comparative statistical analysis of images obtained using 3 techniques in pancreatic cystic lesions

| Outcome measures | Better sonographic technique |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Boundary (38 patients, 152 assessments) | Whole image: THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |
|                  | Proximal: THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |
|                  | Distal: THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |
| Septum (32 patients, 128 assessments) | Whole image: THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |
|                  | Proximal: THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |
|                  | Distal: THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |
| Nodule (7 patients, 28 assessments) | Whole image: THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |
|                  | Distal: THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |
| Total image quality (38 patients, 152 assessments) | THE-P mode > THE-R mode > B-mode |

Data were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni's correction.

A > B: $P < 0.05$

A = B: No statistically significant difference between A and B
Total image quality
Thirty-eight lesions comprising 152 assessments were evaluated. In total image quality, both THE-P and THE-R modes were significantly superior to B-mode (P<0.05). Still and video images show a cystic lesion evaluated using the 3 techniques (Fig.2, Fig.3, Fig.4, Video 1).

Evaluation for pancreatic solid lesions
Boundary
Twelve lesions comprising 48 assessments were evaluated (Table 4, Table 5). On whole and distal images, B-mode imaging was significantly superior to THE-R mode imaging (P<0.05); the difference between B-mode and THE-P mode imaging was not significant. On proximal images, B-mode was significantly superior to both THE-P and THE-R modes (P<0.05).

Internal structure
Twelve lesions comprising 48 assessments were evaluated. In all the evaluation points of internal structure, B-mode images were significantly superior to THE-R mode images (P<0.05). The differences between B-mode and THE-P mode images were not significant.

Total image quality
Twelve lesions comprising 48 assessments were evaluated. For total image quality, B-mode was significantly superior to THE-R mode (P<0.05). The difference between B-mode and THE-P mode imaging was not significant (Fig.5).

Evaluation for total image quality of each distance from EUS probe
Pancreatic cystic lesions
Lesions within 1-cm distance
Of the 38 cystic lesions, 8 had a distance of less than 1 cm from the EUS probe to the lesion center. The order of the average grade for total image quality from highest to lowest was THE-P mode (4.13±0.70), THE-R mode (3.56±0.80), and B-mode (2.84±0.88). Both THE-P and THE-R modes were significantly superior to B-mode (p<0.05).

Lesions with less than 2-cm but more than 1-cm distance
Of the 38 cystic lesions, 23 had a distance of less than 2 cm but more than 1 cm from the EUS probe to the lesion center. The order of the average grade for total image quality from highest to lowest was THE-P mode (4.16±0.73), THE-R mode (3.60±0.95), and B-mode (2.92±0.99). Both THE-P and THE-R modes were significantly superior to B-mode (P<0.05).
Lesions with more than 2-cm distance  Of the 38 cystic lesions, 7 had a distance of more than 2 cm from the EUS probe to the lesion center. The order of the average grade for total image quality from highest to lowest was THE-P mode (4.25 ±0.65), B-mode (3.25 ±1.11), and THE-R mode (2.86 ± 0.80). THE-P mode was significantly superior to B-mode (P< 0.05); there was no significant difference between THE-R mode and B-mode imaging.

Correlation between mode grade and distance (Fig.6) The grade for total image quality of B-mode was not significantly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the lesion center (r= 0.047, P=0.76). The grade of THE-P mode was also not significantly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the lesion center (r= 0.027, P=0.86). The grade of THE-R mode was inversely and weakly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the lesion center (r= –0.047, P=0.76).

Inter-rater reliability  The inter-rater reliability between the 4 reviewers for grading each parameter is summarized in Table 6. For cystic lesions, the % CV values were 5.1%-7.7 %. For solid lesions, the % CV values were 13.0%-17.3 %. For solid lesions, the % CV values were slightly higher; however, the total average % CV was 10.4 %, which was considered to represent a close agreement.
Fig. 5 An 83-year-old woman with cancer of the pancreatic head. a Conventional B-mode imaging clearly revealed the boundary of the solid lesion (arrows). The pancreatic cancer caused an obstruction in the main pancreatic duct (MPD) and invaded the superior mesenteric vein (SMV) (arrowhead). b THE-P mode imaging clearly demonstrated the boundary and internal structure, similarly to B-mode imaging. c THE-R mode imaging was not superior to the other imaging techniques, particularly because the distal image produced was poor.

Table 4 Average grading by 4 reviewers for boundary, internal structure, and total image quality of pancreatic solid lesions

| Outcome measures | Sonographic mode | B-mode | THE-P mode | THE-R mode |
|------------------|------------------|--------|------------|------------|
| Boundary (12 patients, 48 assessments) | Whole image | 3.77 ± 0.80 | 3.58 ± 0.98 | 2.96 ± 0.87 |
|                  | Proximal         | 3.79 ± 0.79 | 3.33 ± 0.96 | 3.38 ± 0.95 |
|                  | Distal           | 3.58 ± 0.86 | 3.63 ± 0.95 | 2.54 ± 0.96 |
| Internal structure (12 patients, 48 assessments) | Whole image | 3.56 ± 0.89 | 3.83 ± 0.94 | 2.67 ± 0.75 |
|                  | Proximal         | 3.58 ± 0.89 | 3.69 ± 0.92 | 2.90 ± 0.74 |
|                  | Distal           | 3.38 ± 1.01 | 3.65 ± 0.97 | 2.48 ± 0.89 |
| Total image quality (12 patients, 48 assessments) | | 3.69 ± 0.87 | 3.60 ± 0.93 | 2.85 ± 0.87 |

Table 5 Comparative statistical analysis of images obtained using 3 techniques in pancreatic solid lesions

| Outcome measures | Better sonographic technique |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Boundary (12 patients, 48 assessments) | Whole image | B-mode = THE-P mode > THE-R mode |
|                  | Proximal         | B-mode > THE-R mode = THE-P mode |
|                  | Distal           | THE-P mode = B-mode > THE-R mode |
| Internal structure (12 patients, 48 assessments) | Whole image | THE-P mode = B-mode > THE-R mode |
|                  | Proximal         | THE-P mode = B-mode > THE-R mode |
|                  | Distal           | THE-P mode = B-mode > THE-R mode |
| Total image quality (12 patients, 48 assessments) | | B-mode = THE-P mode > THE-R mode |

Data were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni’s correction.
A > B: A is better than B with statistical significance (P<0.05)
A = B: No statistically significant difference between A and B
A < B: No statistically significant difference between A and B

Fig. 6 Correlation between mode grade and distance in pancreatic cystic lesions The grade for the total image quality of B-mode was not significantly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the center of the lesion (r = 0.011, P = 0.17). The grade of THE-P mode was also not significantly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the center of the lesion (r = 0.062, P = 0.45). The grade of THE-R mode was inversely and weakly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the center of the lesion (r = –0.22, P = 0.0058).
Discussion

Since its introduction, EUS has had a significant impact on the diagnosis of pancreatic diseases. High-resolution imaging of the pancreas is achievable owing to the close proximity between the luminal structures and the pancreas. Moreover, EUS findings are not affected by the presence of gas in the bowel loops. Thus, EUS is essential for diagnosing pancreatic lesions and is an accurate method for diagnosing pancreatic diseases [7–9].

THE imaging is a means of visualizing the harmonic components in the form of an image. In the harmonic components, a large amount of the main beam is generated. The harmonic components make it possible to view the beam advancing in the forward direction, thereby minimizing artifacts [1–4]. These THE imaging characteristics significantly improve image quality. The current results showed that in pancreatic cystic lesions, THE mode imaging improved the visualization of the boundary, septum, nodule, and total image quality compared with conventional B-mode imaging. THE mode imaging has been reported to be more advantageous for visualizing cystic lesions [4] as the anechoic area is clearly recognized. In solid lesions, THE mode imaging showed no improvement in the evaluated parameters compared with conventional B-mode imaging. The effectiveness of THE mode imaging for visualization of the boundary and internal structure has also been reported for pancreatic solid lesions [4]. Our study included a rather small number of pancreatic solid lesions, and most cases were pancreatic cancer. Notably, the difference between THE mode and B-mode imaging was not significant. THE-R mode is suitable for observation at a close distance from the EUS probe because it receives harmonic signals with frequencies of 10 to 12 MHz. THE-P mode is suitable for observation at a middle-range distance from the EUS probe because it receives harmonic signals with frequencies of approximately 7.5 MHz. In our evaluation of the distal images of the cystic lesion septum, THE-P mode was significantly superior to B-mode, but the difference between THE-R mode and B-mode was not significant. The evaluation of the total image quality of THE-R mode showed an inverse correlation between the distance from the EUS probe and the grade. However, the grades of both B-mode and THE-P mode images were not correlated with the distance. In cystic lesions whose centers were more than 2 cm from the EUS probe, the average grade of THE-R mode was decreased from 3.45 (overall) to 2.86. The average grade of B-mode was increased from 2.97 (overall) to 3.25. Although THE-R mode was significantly superior to B-mode in the evaluation of overall lesions, there was no significant difference between THE-R mode and B-mode imaging.

Fig. 7 A 72-year-old man with cancer of the pancreatic head. The distance from the EUS probe to the lesion center was 3.5 cm. a Conventional B-mode imaging could visualize the distal side of the boundary of the lesion (arrows). b THE-P mode imaging could visualize the distal side of the boundary of the lesion, similar to B-mode imaging (arrows). c THE-R mode imaging could not clearly visualize the distal side of the boundary of the lesion (arrows).

Fig. 8 Correlation between mode grade and distance in pancreatic solid lesions

The grade of the total image quality of B-mode was not significantly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the center of the lesion ($r = -0.047$, $P = 0.76$). The grade of THE-P mode was also not significantly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the center of the lesion ($r = 0.027$, $P = 0.86$). On the other hand, the grade of THE-R mode was inversely and weakly correlated with the distance from the EUS probe to the center of the lesion ($r = -0.37$, $P = 0.0092$).
This study had some limitations. For obtaining a definitive EUS diagnosis, panoramic imaging may be useful compared with the conventional B-mode imaging in the evaluation of lesions with a distance within 1 cm. Thus, THE mode images may be suitable for cystic lesion evaluation. We also evaluated the inter-reviewer differences among four reviewers. In both cystic and solid lesions, the total scores of reviewer 1 and 2 were higher than those for reviewers 3 and 4. Reviewers 1 and 2 had more experience than did reviewers 3 and 4. As for possible reasons, there may be a difference in experience. Multicenter prospective studies should be performed using a more objective evaluation method with good inter-rater reliability.

In conclusion, this study showed that EUS images obtained using a newly developed monitor/processing unit for THE imaging can provide better lesion characterization than conventional B-mode images for pancreatic cystic lesions. Further research is required to determine whether this improvement will result in improved EUS diagnostics.
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### Table 6
Average grading by 4 reviewers for each outcome measure and % CV between 4 reviewers

| Outcome measures                  | Reviewer | % CV |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------|
|                                   | 1        | 2    |
| Cystic lesion Boundary (38 patients, 114 assessments) | 3.77 ± 0.98 | 3.68 ± 1.00 |
|                                   | 3.80 ± 0.97 | 3.75 ± 0.97 |
|                                   | 3.59 ± 1.07 | 3.67 ± 1.01 |
| Proximal                          | 3.50 ± 1.20 | 3.44 ± 1.15 |
|                                   | 3.55 ± 1.07 | 3.46 ± 1.14 |
|                                   | 3.31 ± 1.05 | 3.39 ± 1.13 |
| Distal                            | 3.90 ± 0.97 | 3.48 ± 1.10 |
|                                   | 3.83 ± 0.88 | 3.68 ± 0.95 |
| Septum (32 patients, 96 assessments) | 3.21 ± 0.87 | 3.15 ± 1.01 |
|                                   | 3.28 ± 0.91 | 3.14 ± 0.93 |
|                                   | 3.16 ± 0.93 | 3.04 ± 0.99 |
| Nodule (7 patients, 21 assessments) | 3.24 ± 1.11 | 3.62 ± 0.90 |
| Total image quality (38 patients, 114 assessments) | 3.25 ± 1.00 | 3.36 ± 1.10 |
| Whole image                       | 3.83 ± 0.94 | 3.69 ± 0.99 |
|                                   | 3.83 ± 0.90 | 3.72 ± 0.99 |
|                                   | 3.58 ± 1.11 | 3.69 ± 1.02 |
| Internal structure (12 patients, 36 assessments) | 3.50 ± 0.65 | 2.75 ± 0.79 |
| Whole image                       | 3.61 ± 0.76 | 2.83 ± 0.68 |
|                                   | 3.08 ± 0.76 | 2.64 ± 0.92 |
| Proximal                          | 3.14 ± 0.85 | 2.63 ± 0.71 |
|                                   | 3.31 ± 0.62 | 2.69 ± 0.61 |
| Distal                            | 3.56 ± 1.07 | 2.97 ± 0.90 |
| Total image quality (12 patients, 36 assessments) | 3.17 ± 0.80 | 2.78 ± 0.79 |
| Whole image                       | 3.81 ± 0.88 | 3.81 ± 0.88 |
|                                   | 3.78 ± 0.97 | 3.17 ± 0.80 |
| CV: coefficient of variation      | Total score of each reviewer for cystic lesion: 1 = 2 > 3 = 4 |

2 > 3: 2 is better than 3 with statistical significance (P<0.05)
1 Data were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni’s correction.
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