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Curcumin, the active ingredient in Curcuma longa, has been in medicinal use since ancient times. However, the therapeutic targets and signaling cascades modulated by curcumin have been enigmatic despite extensive research. Here we identify dual-specificity tyrosine-regulated kinase 2 (DYRK2), a positive regulator of the 26S proteasome, as a direct target of curcumin. Curcumin occupies the ATP-binding pocket of DYRK2 in the cocrystal structure, and it potently and specifically inhibits DYRK2 over 139 other kinases tested in vitro. As a result, curcumin diminishes DYRK2-mediated 26S proteasome phosphorylation in cells, leading to reduced proteasome activity and impaired cell proliferation. Interestingly, curcumin synergizes with the therapeutic proteasome inhibitor carfilzomib to induce apoptosis in a variety of proteasome-addicted cancer cells, while this drug combination exhibits modest to no cytotoxicity to noncancerous cells. In a breast cancer xenograft model, curcumin treatment significantly reduces tumor burden in immunocompromised mice, showing a similar antitumor effect as CRISPR/Cas9-mediated DYRK2 depletion. These results reveal an unexpected role of curcumin in DYRK2-proteasome inhibition and provide a proof-of-concept that pharmacological manipulation of proteasome regulators may offer new opportunities for anticancer treatment.

Significance
Curcumin is an ancient drug derived from turmeric and has been found to exhibit potent anticancer properties albeit through controversial mechanisms of action. Using a biochemical model, mouse cancer model, and cellular models, we show that curcumin is a highly potent and selective inhibitor of dual-specificity tyrosine-regulated kinase 2 (DYRK2), a positive regulator of the 26S proteasome. Curcumin perturbs 26S proteasome activity via DYRK2 inhibition in various cancer cells and in the mouse cancer model leading to impairment of cell proliferation and reduction of cancer burden in mice. This novel mechanism of action of curcumin opens up new avenues for potential preventative or therapeutic strategies in proteasome-addicted cancers like triple-negative breast cancer and multiple myeloma.
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“Achilles’ heel” of the aggressive basal-like triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) (30) and the devastating plasma cell malignancy, multiple myeloma (31). Therapeutic proteasome inhibitors bortezomib (Velcade) (31, 32), carfilzomib (Kyprolis) (33), and ixazomib (Ninlaro) (34) are Food and Drug Administration-approved with proven clinical benefit in treating early stage and refractory multiple myeloma.

Given the proteasome’s biological complexity coupled with the normal cell toxicity of proteasome inhibitor drugs, recent work has focused on inhibiting the proteasome indirectly by identifying and targeting proteasome regulators (35, 36). Recently, our laboratory reported a proteasome regulator, dual-specificity tyrosine-regulated kinase 2 (DYRK2) that directly phosphorylates the conserved Thr25 of the ATPase RPT3 subunit of the proteasome (37). In that study we demonstrated that DYRK2 depletion impairs proteasome activity and results in accumulation of numerous proteins involved in diverse cellular processes (37). These DYRK2-depleted cells exhibited a slower proliferation rate and significantly reduced tumor burden in a mouse xenograft model (37). Taken together, we established that DYRK2 is a molecular target with promising anticancer potential not only for chemosensitive but also for proteasome inhibitor-resistant/adapted cancers.

In the current study, we provide evidence that curcumin is a specific and potent inhibitor of DYRK2 and regulates the proteasome activity via DYRK2 inhibition. Co-crystal structure of curcumin with DYRK2 reveals that curcumin binds potently to the active site of DYRK2 via hydrophobic and hydrogen bonds. Furthermore, curcumin was found to not effect the proteasome activity of cells with DYRK2 deletion. Notably, curcumin treatment significantly reduced tumor volume in a TNBC mouse xenograft model, and the tumor volume was comparable to DYRK2-depleted tumors. The results establish that the inhibition of the DYRK2–proteasome axis is the primary mode of action of curcumin with expanded therapeutic utility in proteasome inhibitor-resistant cancer burdens.

Results
Curcumin Is a Potent and Selective Inhibitor of DYRK2. The structure of curcumin is shown in Fig. 1A. Curcumin inhibits DYRK2 with an IC₅₀ of 5 nM (Fig. 1B) in vitro. To evaluate whether curcumin could suppress cellular DYRK2 activity, we treated HEK293T cells with stable DYRK2-FLAG overexpression with increasing concentrations of curcumin and assessed curcumin-mediated changes of RPT3 phosphorylation at Thr25, the major site of DYRK2 phosphorylation on the proteasome. We observed that curcumin treatment suppressed pT25 RPT3 phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner, with maximal effects observed at inhibitor concentrations of 3–10 μM (Fig. 1D). To evaluate the specificity of curcumin, we also examined the activity of 140 protein kinases, including CMGC kinase family members that are closely related to DYRKs (Fig. 1C and SI Appendix, Table S1). Curcumin targeted DYRK2 specifically; curcumin treatment was found to also inhibit DYRK1A, DYRK3, PIM, MLK, and PHK kinases albeit to a lesser extent compared with DYRK2. Curcumin had off-target effects on DYRK1A with an IC₅₀ of 190 nM (Fig. 1E) and on DYRK3 with an IC₅₀ of 20 nM (Fig. 1F). Contrary to previous literature, curcumin did not exhibit potent inhibition of purified IKKβ (IC₅₀ > 10 μM) (Fig. 1G) or purified GSK3β (IC₅₀ > 3 μM) (Fig. 1H) in vitro. The results reveal that curcumin is a highly potent inhibitor of DYRK2 with off-target effects on related DYRK isoforms.

Structure of DYRK2 in Complex with Curcumin. To elucidate how curcumin specifically inhibits DYRK2, we crystallized DYRK2 in the presence of curcumin and determined the structure at 2.5 Å (SI Appendix, Table S2) [Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID code: 5ZTN]. Strong electron densities are present for curcumin, which is bound to the catalytic site of DYRK2 and consistent with potent inhibition of the enzyme. The results establish that curcumin is a specific and potent inhibitor of DYRK2 and regulates the proteasome activity via DYRK2 inhibition.

Fig. 1. Curcumin is a potent and selective inhibitor of DYRK2. (A) Chemical structure of curcumin. (B) DYRK2 was assayed using 300 μM of Woodtide in the presence of 50 μM [γ-³²P]ATP with the indicated concentrations of curcumin. The IC₅₀ graph was plotted using GraphPad Prism software. The results are presented as the percentage of kinase activity relative to the DMSO-treated control. Results are means ± SD for triplicate reactions with similar results obtained in at least one other experiment. (C) HEK293T cells stably expressing FLAG-DYRK2 were treated with the indicated concentrations of curcumin over 0.5 h. Cells were lysed and immunoblotting was carried out with the indicated antibodies. (D) Kinase profiling of curcumin at 1 μM was carried out against the panel of 140 kinases at the The International Centre for Protein Kinase Profiling (www.kinase-screen.mrc.ac.uk). DYRK family kinases are indicated with an asterisk. (E) DYRK1A was assayed using the indicated concentrations of curcumin. The IC₅₀ graph was plotted using GraphPad Prism software. (F) DYRK3 was assayed as in E. (G) IKKβ was assayed as in E. (H) GSK3β was assayed as in E.
Curcumin perturbs proteasome activity. (a) The Fo–Fc difference electron density map (2.5 o) calculated before curcumin was modeled is shown as a green mesh, revealing the presence of curcumin. (b) Curcumin occupies the ATP-binding pocket of DYRK2. Curcumin atoms are shown as yellow and red spheres. DYRK2 is shown in a surface representation. (c) Detailed interactions between DYRK2 and curcumin. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

Curcumin Inhibits 26S Proteasome Activity. We reasoned that curcumin, by inhibiting DYRK2, may reduce proteasome activity in cells. Indeed, curcumin treatment of MDA-MB-231 and HaCaT cells caused a 25–40% decrease of proteasome activity toward the fluorogenic peptide substrate Suc-LLVY-AMC without altering proteasome abundance as indicated by Western blotting (Fig. 3A and B). Notably, the same degree of proteasome inhibition was observed with CRISPR/Cas9-mediated DYRK2 knockout (37), and yet the DYRK2-null MDA-MB-231 (Fig. 3A) or HaCaT (Fig. 3B) cells showed no further decrease of proteasome activity with curcumin treatment. These results strongly support that curcumin down-regulates proteasome activity via DYRK2 inhibition. Moreover, in 293T cells, curcumin treatment reduced all three types of proteasome peptidase activities (Fig. 3C) and also stabilized UBL-YFP-PEST (37), a short-lived reporter protein that undergoes rapid ubiquitination and proteasome degradation (Fig. 3D). Remarkable accumulation and stabilization of two other well-established proteasome substrates, p21Cip1 and IκBα, was also evident in curcumin-treated cells compared with control (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of curcumin on proteasome activity was observed across a panel of TNBC and multiple myeloma cell lines (Fig. 3F). Importantly, cotreatment of the TNBC MDA-MB-231 cell line with curcumin and carfilzomib resulted in a much stronger proteasome inhibition than either compound alone (Fig. 3E). Together, these results demonstrate that the antiproteasome activity of curcumin, due to DYRK2 inhibition, is similar in different cancer cell types and exhibits synergistic inhibition with carfilzomib.

Curcumin Impedes Cell Proliferation and Invasion and Induces Apoptosis. Next, we wanted to determine if curcumin–carfilzomib-mediated synergistic impairment of proteasome activity could have an effect on cancer cell viability. Interestingly, a marked synergistic cytotoxicity was observed across four different multiple myeloma cell lines with a combination index (CI) of <1 (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Table S3). However, noncancerous myeloid cell AHH1 exhibited modest cytotoxicity toward the combination (Fig. 4G). Similar data were observed in four different triple-negative breast cancer cell lines with a curcumin-carfilzomib CI <1 for cytotoxicity in all cancer cells with modest to no effect in noncancerous mammary cell line MCF10A (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Table S3). We next used bortezomib-resistant cell lines that were generated by adaptation to continuous proteasome inhibition (38). RPMI8226.BR and MM.1S.BR cells were treated with 10 μM curcumin in parallel with their bortezomib-sensitive WT counterparts. RPMI8226.BR and MM.1S.BR cells exhibited comparable cytotoxicity to 10 μM of curcumin although these cells were 20- to 100-fold more resistant to bortezomib than their respective bortezomib-sensitive cells (Fig. 4C). Treatment with curcumin induced apoptotic cell death with a significant increase in caspase3/7 activity in multiple myeloma cell lysates (Fig. 4D). DYRK2-mediated pT25-RPT3 phosphorylation is essential for proteasomal degradation of cell-cycle regulators and timely progression of S-phase (37). Curcumin treatment clearly perturbs cell proliferation that is consistent with DYRK2 inhibition. MDA-MB-231
Curcumin Reduces Tumor Burden at a Rate Consistent with DYRK2 Inhibition. MDA-MB-231 is a basal-like TNBC cell line shown to be resistant to proteasome inhibition-mediated cytotoxicity (30). Our results on cell viability and biochemistry made us wonder whether curcumin could inhibit the tumorigenic growth of these cells in vivo. It was documented previously that curcumin reduces tumor burden in various cancer models at 200 mg/kg–1 gm/kg body weight (12–15). We have previously reported that tumors derived from DYRK2 KO cells grow at a significantly lower rate than those formed by the parental cells (37). To compare the effect of curcumin on tumor growth to DYRK2-depleted tumor growth, parental and DYRK2 KO MDA-MB-231 cells were injected s.c. into NOD scid gamma (NSG) immunocompromised mice to induce tumor formation. Parental MDA-MB-231 bearing mice with palpable tumors were randomized into two groups of n = 5 each, and vehicle control or 300 mg/kg curcumin was injected intraperitoneally every alternate day. Indeed, curcumin treatment significantly reduced tumor burden after 2 wk of treatment (Fig. 5A), and curcumin-treated tumor weights (Fig. 5B) were comparable with tumors derived from MDA-MB-231 DYRK2 KO cells. Histological examination of the xenograft tumors also showed greatly attenuated Ki-67 staining (a cellular marker for proliferation) in both curcumin-treated and DYRK2 KO tumors (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, the total proteasome activity forms (Fig. 1E and F) higher concentrations of AHH1 cells compared with vehicle-treated tumor lysates (Fig. 5D), thus suggesting the direct contribution of DYRK2 inhibition in tumor regression. These data strongly support the role of curcumin-mediated inhibition of the DYRK2–proteasome axis in regulating cell proliferation in vivo and suggest that targeting proteasome regulators (such as DYRK2) in combination with proteasome inhibitors may be a promising approach of anticancer therapy.

Discussion

This current study is a comprehensive report of a major mechanism of action of curcumin in alleviating proteasome-addicted tumor burden. Results show that curcumin potently binds and inhibits DYRK2 (Figs. 1 and 2), resulting in reduced 26S proteasome activity, (Fig. 3) which leads to impaired cell proliferation with induction of apoptotic cell death, and (Fig. 4) culminating in reduced tumor growth (Fig. 5). We also report a comprehensive kinase specificity profile of curcumin (Fig. 1D and SI Appendix, Table S1), which clearly shows that curcumin is a highly specific and potent inhibitor of DYRK2 with a >10-fold higher potency for DYRK2 compared with other DYRK isoforms (Fig. 1 E and F). Higher concentrations of AHH1 cells had a modest effect on the IC_{50} of curcumin for DYRK2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). This suggests that binding of curcumin to DYRK2 is potentially irreversible. Over the past three decades many groups have reported that curcumin exhibits therapeutic efficacy in mouse models for various cancers (12–15) and have shown curcumin to exhibit anti-inflammatory activity via either IKK inhibition and down-regulation of NFκB (8, 9) or inhibition of GSK3β (10). However, in our research, curcumin exhibited an intracellular IC_{50} of >10 μM for IKKβ (Fig. 1G) and >2 μM for GSK3β, which consequently requires >30-μM concentrations of curcumin in cells to see activity. One possibility is that the down-regulation of NFκB observed by the various groups is brought about by the accumulation of IkBα in cells due to impairment of the proteasome activity by curcumin (Fig. 3C). Moreover, DYRK isoforms have been known to play a general role in priming phosphorylation of GSK3 substrates (39), which is possibly why other researchers have observed changes in GSK3 substrate phosphorylation upon curcumin treatment (10). Various other kinases have been reported as potential targets of curcumin; however, in the absence of proper biochemical kinetics, kinase specificity, or target engagement analyses, the credibility of the reports is dramatically reduced (6). Fig. 1D shows that 1 μM of curcumin has modest to no effect on JNK isoforms, HER isoforms, and VEGFR kinases, which were previously reported to be potential targets (7). In fact, curcumin...
Curcumin reduces tumor burden to a similar extent as DYRK2 depletion. (A) Tumor xenograft studies were carried out with or without 300 mg/kg of curcumin treatment. MDA-MB-231 parental or genome-edited (DYRK2 KO) cells were injected s.c. into Nsg mice. MDA-MB-231 parental cells bearing mice with palpable tumors (16 d postinjection marked by a *“#”*) were treated with vehicle control or curcumin two to three times a week by i.p. injection, and tumor volume was measured twice a week (n = 5 per condition). (B) Forty-two days postinjection, tumors were resected and tumor weight was measured. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 (compared with vehicle-treated, ordinary one-way ANOVA, mean ± SD from n = 5 mice each). (C) Histological examination of consecutive sections of the tumors with K-67 and hematoxylin/ eosin staining. (Scale bar, 100 μm.) (D) Proteasome activity in whole-tumor lysates from vehicle or curcumin-treated tumor-bearing mice was measured with Suc-LLVY-AMC. Immunoblotting of the whole-tumor lysates was carried out with indicated antibodies. **p < 0.01 (compared with control treated, two-tailed paired Student’s t test, mean ± SD from n = 3 different tumors for each treatment).

does not inhibit 139 other kinases tested in vitro to the same extent as DYRK2 (Fig. 1D). Furthermore, curcumin inhibits DYRK2 in cells at 1–10 μM (Fig. 1C), which is much lower than 30–100 μM as reported previously for other targets. It has also been convincingly shown that using curcumin at >20 μM leads to aggregation and rapid degradation of curcumin in standard cell culture and biochemical assay conditions (6).

To clear all doubts regarding the mechanism of target engagement, we solved the co-crystal structure of DYRK2 with curcumin bound at 2.5 Å (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Table S2) (PDB ID code: 5ZTN). This co-crystal structure of DYRK2 with a kinase target reveals that curcumin binds the ATP-binding pocket of DYRK2 via hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 2). To explain the selectivity of curcumin for DYRK2, we identified that the essential curcumin-interacting residues in DYRK2, Ile285 and Ile367, are replaced by valines in DYRK1A and DYRK1B. We hypothesize that this Ile-Val replacement would result in a slightly larger pocket in the DYRK1s and reduce the shape complementarity to curcumin, explaining the selectivity of curcumin for DYRK2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Alignment with representative members of distant and closely related CMGC protein kinases (SI Appendix, Fig. S3) echoes this conclusion. Substitutions of polar residues, which alter the hydrophobicity of the pocket (e.g., see SI Appendix, Fig. S3B, F301Q), or less bulky hydrophobic residues, which result in a more open pocket (e.g., see SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, F301L), are indicative of the resistance of a given kinase to curcumin. Substitutions of the residues interacting via hydrogen bonding (Lys251, Gln266, and D368) was not observed in these alignments, but their conservation in even highly resistant kinases (e.g., GSK3β) suggests that they are not major contributors to curcumin specificity. Efforts to generate a curcumin-resistant mutant of DYRK2 have failed, as the binding of curcumin to DYRK2 was quite strong and most single mutations either did not confer drug resistance or dramatically inhibited the kinase activity of DYRK2. Collectively, these analyses demonstrate that the majority of curcumin’s specificity toward DYRK2 is garnered by tight hydrophobic interactions made uniquely possible at the DYRK2 ATP-binding pocket.

We recently reported that DYRK2 directly phosphorylates the 26S proteasome on the Thr25 of the RPT3 subunit that impedes the 20S proteasome activity (37). The Thr25 site on RPT3 is thought to enhance substrate translocation into the 20S proteasome core, leading to protein degradation (37). Various groups have previously reported curcumin-mediated impairment of proteasome in cells (16–21); however, the exact mechanism of curcumin activity has not been reported. Curcumin treatment inhibits all three peptidase activities of the proteasome by 10–30% (Fig. 3A). This effect, however, is not observed in DYRK2-depleted cells (Fig. 3B), clearly suggesting that curcumin targets the proteasome via inhibition of DYRK2. Interestingly, curcumin at 10 μM had no significant effect in vitro on the activity of affinity-purified proteasome to degrade BODIPY-casein substrate (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Loss of DYRK2 delays the cell cycle by possible accumulation of proteasome substrates in the cell cycle (37). Consistent with DYRK2 depletion phenotypes, curcumin treatment impedes cell cycle progress reaching a significant level (Figs. 4E and 5C) and invasion (Fig. 4F) at concentrations <10 μM and reduces tumor burden on a TNBC mouse xenograft model at 300 mg/kg body weight dose (Fig. 5A and B).

Accumulation of proteins with short half-lives (UBL-YFP, PEST, IκBα, p27kip1, p21cip1) (Figs. 3C and 5D) indicates that curcumin treatment leads to a global reduction of proteasome activity and that this activity is synergistic with the proteasome inhibitor carfilzomib (Fig. 3D). The synergism between curcumin and carfilzomib was observed not only at a biochemical level, but also in apoptotic cell death in TNBC and multiple myeloma cell lines (Fig. 4A, B, and D). Recently, Allegra et al. (38) reported a synergism between carfilzomib and curcumin toward induction of cytotoxicity on U266 myeloma cells. Consistent with their work, we report that eight different “proteasome-adapted” cell lines exhibit strong synergistic cytotoxicity upon treatment with carfilzomib and curcumin combination (Fig. 4A and B and SI Appendix, Table S3). However, noncancerous cells did not exhibit the similar levels of cytotoxicity (Fig. 4A and B), thus conveying the fact that cancer cells with acquired proteasome resistance could be selectively targeted by the carfilzomib combination. An important point to note is that higher concentrations of curcumin are equally fatal for all cells cancerous or noncancerous (HaCaT and MCF10A) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Bortezomib-resistant myeloma cells did not exhibit any significant resistance to curcumin (Fig. 4C). This was expected since curcumin inhibits the upstream proteasome regulator DYRK2 and does not dock into the 20S proteasome peptidase active site that is often mutated in these cell lines (40). Consistent with our cell-based data, we saw a major reduction in the cell proliferation marker Ki67 in the sections of the curcumin-treated tumors (Fig. 5C). Tumor reduction upon curcumin treatment was observed comparable to the volume and weight of DYRK2-depleted tumors (Fig. 5A and B). Furthermore, proteasome activity in the curcumin-treated mouse tumors was reduced by 30% along with accumulation of p21cip1 and p27kip1, suggesting that curcumin-mediated DYRK2 inhibition successfully perturbed proteasome activity in the mouse xenograft model (Fig. 5D). The proteolytic similarity between curcumin treatment and DYRK2 depletion reinforces our hypothesis that curcumin-mediated effects on cell viability, proliferation, invasion, and tumor development are all a direct consequence of DYRK2 inhibition and impairment of the proteasome activity.

Curcumin has been one of the most controversial yet extensively studied natural products over the past three decades, having been implicated in a wide range of diseases, often with contradictory results reported by various groups worldwide (6).
Considering the poor pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) of curcumin, there is an unresolved debate on curcumin treatment as a viable therapeutic option (6). Our current work establishes curcumin not only as an excellent research tool for DYRK2 inhibition, but also as a potential therapeutic possibility. Derivatives of curcumin with improved PK/PD could be viable treatment options especially for proteasome-dependent highly refractory TNBC or multiple myeloma in the future. With respect to the vast body of literature on potential targets of curcumin, we do not claim inhibition of the DYRK2–proteasome axis as the only possible mechanism of action of curcumin; nevertheless, we have conclusively established that impairment of proteasome activity by DYRK2 inhibition is a major mechanism of action for curcumin in the context of the alleviation of proteasome-dependent neoplastic malignancies.

Materials and Methods

Details on the general methods, antibodies, reagents, curcumin preparation and treatment, IC50 determination, protein kinase inhibitor specificity screen, cell lines, transfection, lysis, genome editing, protein purification, crystallography, alignment studies, genome editing, proteasome assays, cell proliferation, viability, invasion, and tumor xenograft studies are presented in SI Appendix.
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