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Coupled-channel effects are taken into account for the study of scalar form factors in semi-leptonic $D \to \pi\ell\nu$ and $D \to \bar{K}\ell\nu$ decays, by solving the Muskhelishvili-Omnès integral equations. As inputs, we employ the unitarized amplitudes taken from chiral effective theory for the region not far away from thresholds, while, at higher energies of the Goldstone bosons, proper asymptotic conditions are employed. Within Muskhelishvili-Omnès formalism, the scalar form factors are represented in terms of Omnès matrix multiplied by a vector of polynomials. We reduce the number of subtraction constants by matching to the scalar form factors derived in chiral perturbation theory up to next-to-leading order. The recent lattice QCD data by ETM collaboration for $D \to \pi$ and $D \to \bar{K}$ scalar form factors are simultaneously well described. The scalar form factors for $D \to \eta$, $D_s \to \bar{K}$ and $D_s \to \eta$ transitions are predicted in their whole kinematical regions. Using our fitting parameters, we also extract the following Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa elements: $|V_{cd}| = 0.243^{+0.11}_{-0.12} \text{ sta. (3)} \text{ sys. (3)} \text{ exp.}$ and $|V_{cs}| = 0.950^{+0.39}_{-0.40} \text{ sta. (1)} \text{ sys. (7)} \text{ exp.}$.

The approach used in this work can be straightforwardly extended to the semileptonic $B$ decays.
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1. Introduction

Semileptonic decays play a particularly important role in the precise determination of the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa (CKM) elements, see Refs. [1, 2] for a review. For a semileptonic decay of the type $P(p) \rightarrow \phi(p') \ell(p) \nu(\nu_F)$, the invariant amplitude reads

$$a^f = \frac{G_F V_{qc}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \bar{u}(p) \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) v(p_F) \right\} \{ \langle \phi(p') | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) q | P(p) \rangle \},$$

(1.1)

where $G_F$ is the Fermi constant; $V_{qc}$ is the element of CKM matrix; $P \in \{D, D_s\}$ and $\phi \in \{\pi, K, \bar{K}, \eta\}$. We will study the decays induced by the $c \rightarrow d$ and $c \rightarrow s$ flavour-changing transitions, depicted in Fig. 1. The term in the first bracket is the weak matrix element, while the one in the second bracket corresponds to the hadronic part, which can be parametrized as

$$\langle \phi(p') | \bar{q} \gamma^\mu c | P(p) \rangle = f_+(q^2) \left\{ \Sigma^\mu - \frac{m_p^2 - m_\phi^2}{q^2} q^\mu \right\} + f_0(q^2) \left\{ \frac{m_p^2 - m_\phi^2}{q^2} q^\mu \right\},$$

(1.2)

with $\Sigma^\mu = (p + p')^\mu$ and $q^\mu = p'^\mu - p^\mu$. The axial-vector part vanishes in accordance with parity conservation. Here $f_+(q^2)$ and $f_0(q^2)$ are called vector and scalar form factors, respectively, fulfilling the kinematical constraint $f_+(0) = f_0(0)$ at $q^2 = 0$. In isospin basis, two multiplets of scalar form factors with definite strangeness and isospin, labeled by $(S,I)$, can be constructed:

$$\mathcal{\tilde{F}}(0,\frac{1}{2})(s) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow \pi^-}(s) \\ -f_{0}^{D^+ \rightarrow \eta}(s) \\ -f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow K^0}(s) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{\tilde{F}}(1,0)(s) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2} f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow K^-}(s) \\ f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow \eta}(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

(1.3)

with $s \equiv q^2$. Hereafter, for simplicity we will use the following notations: $f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow \pi^{-}} = f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow \pi^{-}}$, $f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow \eta} = f_{0}^{D^+ \rightarrow \eta}$, $f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow K^0} = f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow K^0}$, $f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow \eta} = f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow K^-}$ and $f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow \eta} = f_{0}^{D^0 \rightarrow \eta}$. In the single channel case, dispersive analyses of the heavy-to-light scalar form factors were made in Refs. [3, 4, 5, 6]. Here we intend to extend the study to the coupled-channel case by using the Muskhelishvili-Omnès (MO) formalism [7, 8]. The MO formalism has been widely applied to the scalar $\pi\pi$, $\pi K$ and $\pi \eta$ form factors, e.g., Refs [9, 10, 11, 12].

2. Muskhelishvili-Omnès representation

The discontinuity of the scalar form factor along the unitary cut reads (indices suppressed)

$$\text{Im} \mathcal{\tilde{F}}(s) = T^r(s) \Sigma(s) \mathcal{\tilde{F}}(s),$$

(2.1)

where $T$ is the $n$-coupled channel $P\phi$ amplitude in $S$-wave and $\Sigma(s) = \text{diag} \{ \sigma_{P,\phi_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{P,\phi_n} \}$ with $\sigma_{P,\phi} = \sqrt{s - (m_P + M_\phi)^2} |s - (m_P - M_\phi)^2|/s$. It has an algebraic solution [7, 8]:

$$\mathcal{\tilde{F}}(s) = \Omega(s) \cdot \mathcal{\tilde{F}}(s),$$

(2.2)

with $\Omega(s)$ the MO matrix and $\mathcal{\tilde{F}}(s)$ a vector of polynomial components with real coefficients. The MO matrix satisfies an un-subtracted dispersion relation,

$$\Omega(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{th}}^{\infty} T^r(s') \Sigma(s') \Omega(s) \frac{ds'}{s' - s - i\epsilon}, \quad (s_{th} : \text{lowest threshold})$$

(2.3)
The above integral equation can be solved numerically using $T$ as input. To that end, we take $T = -T^U/(16\pi^2)$ up to a energy point $s_m$, below which the unitarized amplitude $T^U$ is valid. $T^U$ is built from relativistic chiral potentials given, e.g., in Refs. [13, 14, 15]. All the relevant low-energy constants (LECs) and subtraction parameters were fixed by fitting to lattice QCD data of $S$-wave scattering lengths [16, 13, 17, 18]. Here we employ the next-to-leading order (NLO) potentials given in Ref. [13] because the needed LECs are better determined than those that appear at one-loop level as discussed in Ref. [19]. Furthermore, as shown in Ref. [20], the energy levels in the $(S,I) = (0,1/2)$ sector calculated based on the NLO potentials are in a good agreement with the lattice results of Ref. [21]. Above $s_m$, phase shifts and inelasticities are extrapolated to the following values at infinity:

$$
\delta_i(\infty) = n\pi \delta_{i1}, \quad \eta_i(\infty) = 1, \quad (i = 1, \cdots, n),
$$

(2.4)

by using the interpolating functions [22] $x_i(s) = x_i(\infty) + \frac{2|x_i'(s_m)-x_i(\infty)|}{1+(s/s_m)^{1/2}}$, $x \in \{\delta, \eta\}$. The asymptotic values in Eq. (2.4) ensure that

$$
\lim_{s \to \infty} |T_{ij}| = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i(s) = n\pi,
$$

(2.5)

which further guarantee that the MO equation (2.3) has a unique solution, albeit a global normalization. For $n$-coupled channels with $n \leq 3$, $T$-matrix can be readily constructed from $\delta_i$ and $\eta_i$, as demonstrated in Refs. [23, 24]. It should be stressed that the determinant of the MO matrix also satisfies an Omnès-type dispersion relation [7, 8]

$$
\det \Omega(s) = \exp \left[ \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_m}^{s_{\text{c}}} \frac{\psi(s')}{s'(s' - s - i\epsilon)} ds' \right],
$$

(2.6)

where $\psi$ is determined from $\exp(2i\psi(s)) = \det(1 + 2i\Gamma(s)\Sigma(s))$. It provides a way to check the numerical solution of the MO integral equation. In Fig. 2, the determinants of the MO solutions are compared with their corresponding analytical results and excellent agreements are observed.

The polynomials in Eq. (2.2) can be constrained by matching the MO representation to the chiral results of the form factors at low Goldstone-boson energies. Up to $\mathcal{O}(s^2)$, one has

$$
\mathcal{F}(s) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k(s_{m}) + \left\{ (s-s_{m}^2) \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k(s_{m}^2) - \Omega_k(s_{m}^2) \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k(s_{m}^2) \right] \right\} + \mathcal{O}(s^2),
$$

(2.7)
where the MO matrix is chosen to be normalized to one at the chiral matching point $\sqrt{s_0}$, i.e., $\Omega(s_0^2) = 1$. The components of the chiral amplitude $\mathcal{F}_\chi$ are given by

$$(S,I) = (0, \frac{1}{2}) : \quad \mathcal{F}_\chi^1(s) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} f_{\pi}^D(s), \quad \mathcal{F}_\chi^2(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} f_{\eta}^D(s), \quad \mathcal{F}_\chi^3(s) = f_{K}^D(s);$$

$$(S,I) = (1, 0) : \quad \mathcal{F}_\chi^1(s) = \sqrt{2} f_{\eta}^{DK}(s), \quad \mathcal{F}_\chi^2(s) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} f_{\eta}^{DK}(s). \quad (2.8)$$

Above, the common function $f_{\chi}^{P\phi}(s)$ takes the explicit form [25, 26]

$$f_{\chi}^{P\phi}(s) = -\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}F_0} \left( \left( \frac{\tilde{g} m_p}{m_\pi^2} \right) \left( m_\pi^2 - m_P^2 - s \right) \left( m_P^2 - m_\phi^2 + s \right) \right),$$

where $f_p$ and $\tilde{m}$ are the decay constant and mass of the charmed mesons in the chiral limit, respectively. Furthermore, $F_0$ is the Goldstone-boson decay constant in the chiral limit, and $m_\pi$ is the mass of the exchanged $P^*$ meson. $\tilde{g}$ is the coupling constant for the $P^*\phi$ vertex.

**Table 1**: Pole parameters of $D_{0}^{*}(2317)$

| Pole Parameters of $D_{0}^{*}(2317)$ | $g_{DK}$ [GeV] | $g_{D,\eta}$ [GeV] |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| $\sqrt{s_p}$ [MeV] | $|\text{Residue}|^{1/2}$ $(DK)$ | $|\text{Residue}|^{1/2}$ $(D,\eta)$ |
| 2315.2 $^{+18.4}_{-28.2}$ | $9.5^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$ | $7.5^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ |

To finish this section, it should be emphasized that the MO representation only accounts for the contribution corresponding to the unitary cut due to the rescattering of the $P\phi$ system. In the $(1, 0)$ sector, in order to incorporate the contribution from the $D_{0}^{*}(2317)$ state [27, 28] below the unitary cut, the following substitution should be employed,

$$\Omega^{(1,0)} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{(1,0)}(s) \rightarrow \Omega^{(1,0)} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\beta_0 \tilde{\Gamma}}{s - s_p} + \mathcal{F}^{(1,0)}(s) \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\beta_0$ is a free parameter and $\tilde{\Gamma} = (g_{DK}, g_{D,\eta})^T$. The pole parameters $s_p$, $g_{DK}$ and $g_{D,\eta}$ can be determined from the unitarized amplitude $T^U$ [13, 29] and are compiled in Table 1.
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3. Numerical results

Lattice QCD (LQCD) simulations for the $D \to \pi$ and $D \to \bar{K}$ scalar form factors were performed by the HPQCD collaboration [30, 31] and very recently by the ETM collaboration [32]. Due to the inclusion of hypercubic discretization effects [33], the ETM results for the $D \to \pi$ form factor in the continuum limit are significantly different from the HPQCD ones in the region close to $q^2_{\text{max}} = (m_D - M_\pi)^2$, whereas the changes for the $D \to \bar{K}$ form factor are less important. Therefore, we prefer to fit to the most recent ETM data only.  

The relevant parameters in the MO representation appear in the chiral representation of the form factor in Eq. (2.9). In our fitting procedure, we set $\bar{g} = (1.133 \pm 0.147)$ GeV [14], $\bar{m} = (m_D + m_{D_s})/2$, $m_s = m_{D_s}$ for $(0, 1/2)$ case and $m_s = m_{D_s}$ for $(1, 0)$ case. The values for the physical masses are taken from PDG [35]. For the decay constants in the chiral limit, we take

$$f_P/F_0 \to (1 + \delta_\chi)f_D/F_\pi,$$

where the undetermined $\delta_\chi$ parameter accounts for higher-order chiral corrections. Nonetheless, in the terms with $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$, the $F_0$ is directly set to $F_\pi$. Furthermore, here we use $F_\pi = 92.4$ MeV [35] and $f_D = 208.7$ MeV [36]. Finally, there is a total of four unknown parameters: $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, $\beta_0$ and $\delta_\chi$, which we fit to LQCD data.

Best-fit parameters are compiled in Table 2, and the resulting scalar form factors are shown in Fig. 3. The inner bands (statistical error) are obtained by varying the parameters within their

![Figure 3: Scalar form factors in the $P \to \phi \bar{\ell} \nu_\ell$ decays.](image)

1The covariance matrix, provided by ETM collaboration [32] via private communication, is highly singular, hence we follow the approach of diagonal approximation, see, e.g., Ref. [34] for more details.
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1. Introduction

The scalar form factors in the semileptonic heavy $D$ meson decays $D \rightarrow \pi \ell\nu_l$ and $D \rightarrow \bar{K} \ell\nu_l$ have been studied using the MO formalism. Coupled-channel effects, due to rescattering of the $P\phi$ system, are taken into account by solving coupled integral MO equations. The coefficients in the polynomials are constrained by light-quark chiral SU(3) symmetry. We fit the unknown parameters to the latest LQCD data by ETM collaboration. The LQCD data are well described and the scalar form factors which are in the same multiplets as $D \rightarrow \pi/\bar{K}$ form factors are predicted in their kinematical regions. The CKM elements $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ are extracted as well. The extension to bottom sector is ongoing in a follow-up work [38].
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The scalar form factors in the semileptonic heavy $D$ meson decays $D \rightarrow \pi \ell\nu_l$ and $D \rightarrow \bar{K} \ell\nu_l$ have been studied using the MO formalism. Coupled-channel effects, due to rescattering of the $P\phi$ system, are taken into account by solving coupled integral MO equations. The coefficients in the polynomials are constrained by light-quark chiral SU(3) symmetry. We fit the unknown parameters to the latest LQCD data by ETM collaboration. The LQCD data are well described and the scalar form factors which are in the same multiplets as $D \rightarrow \pi/\bar{K}$ form factors are predicted in their kinematical regions. The CKM elements $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ are extracted as well. The extension to bottom sector is ongoing in a follow-up work [38].
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