Background and aim of the work

Traumatic meniscal tears are raising in the pediatric population due to increasing participation in sport activities and to improvement of diagnostic methods (1-5). Bucket Handle Meniscal Tears (BHMTs) are a peculiar type of meniscal lesion, occurring approximately in 9% to 49% of all meniscal tears according to different studies (6-8). BHMT is a vertical longitudinal meniscal tear that leads to fragment displacement toward the intercondylar notch region (Figure 1). Although this type of lesion is rare in children, it must be identified and when possible repaired to obtain good long-term outcome and to prevent early arthritis (9,10). Aim of the present paper is to review the literature about epidemiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis and treatment of BHMTs in children under the age of 10.

Methods

Two reviewers (MG and IF) independently identified studies by a systematic search of Embase, Medline and Pubmed from inception of the database to 31 December 2020, using various combinations of the terms “meniscal tears”, “meniscal lesions”, “bucket-handle”, “knee”, “pediatric”, “skeletally immature”, “children”. Aim of the present review is to report a summary of literature evidence about epidemiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis, treatment and outcome of BHMTs in children under the age of 10. The two reviewers screened the titles and abstracts of the citations identified independently and in duplicate, and acquired the full text of any article that either judged potentially eligible. Epidemiology, diagnostic features, clinical management and outcome were all reviewed. Disagreements were resolved by discussion.
Results

The authors found 21 cases of BMHT in children under 10 years in the present review, of which 8 described as case reports (Table 1) (8,11-16). In all cases there were no associated lesions reported. The remaining 13 cases were retrieved in a large case-series of 280 BHMTs treated arthroscopically in patients aged 19 years or younger (17). Unfortunately, complete clinical information was reported only for the four youngest patients (aged 2 to 6 years). Hence, a detailed analysis of 12 cases of BHMT is reported in the following sections.

Discussion

Epidemiology

Meniscal lesions are considered to be the most common injuries in pediatric athletes together with ACL lesions (1,2). Although the rate of meniscus tears in pediatric population is reported to be increasing over time, the exact prevalence and incidence in children is still unknown. In the past these lesions were considered extremely rare, in particular under the age of 10 years, unless in cases of discoid meniscus (18). A Danish study showed an incidence of meniscus injury in patients up to 9 years old of 1 per 100,000 patients (19), while its incidence in US high school athletes have been reported to be 40.7 per 100,000 in males and 22.3 per 100,000 in females (20). As far as BHMTs are concerned, in pediatric and adolescent population their frequency among meniscus injury varies

Table 1. Summary of data reported in the case report papers considered in the review. Eight cases of BMHTs in children under 10 years are described

| Reference | Age- sex | Meniscus | Time to treat | Mechanism of injury | Techniques | Results follow up |
|-----------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------------|------------|------------------|
| VOLK      | 5 M      | Medial   | NA            | Twisting of the knee during physical activities | Total meniscectomy | Return to normal activities at 27 months with residual pain on kneeling |
| SADDAWI   | 4 F      | Medial   | NA            | Direct trauma on the knee | Total meniscectomy | Return to normal activities |
| BLOOME    | 4 M      | Medial   | 6 Weeks       | Direct trauma on the knee | Arthroscopy suture | Return to normal activities at 17 months |
| BLOOME    | 4 F      | Medial   | 1 year        | Direct trauma on the knee | Arthroscopy suture | Return to normal activities at 12 months |
| YALCIN    | 6 F      | Lateral  | NA            | Spontaneous rupture     | Subtotal meniscectomy | Return to normal activities at 36 months |
| SHEA      | 5 M      | Medial   | NA            | Twisting of the knee during physical activities | Arthroscopy suture | Return to normal activities at 12 months |
| NOOH      | 9 F      | Medial   | 1 week        | Twisting of the knee during physical activities | Arthroscopy suture | Return to normal activities at 7 months |
| FINK      | 2 F      | Medial   | Several weeks later | Direct trauma on the knee | Arthroscopy suture | Return to normal activities at 7 months |
from 14% to 24% of cases (21, 22). Overall, medial meniscus is more often involved (88% of cases) (23).

In the present review, complete epidemiologic data were retrieved for 12 patients. The lesion occurred in the medial meniscus in 8 cases (66.7%), while in 4 cases (33.3%) the injury occurred on a lateral discoid meniscus. The mean reported age is 4.6 years (range 2 years - 9 years). Excluding the lesions described by Kramer in which the sex of the patients is not reported, there is a prevalence of female sex (62.5%).

**Clinical presentation and diagnosis**

As in the adult population, the main reported cause of BHMT in skeletally immature patients is a twisting injury of the knee occurring during physical activity. This trauma mechanism was responsible for 3 cases in the present review (8,11,12). A direct trauma was reported to be the cause in 5 cases (13,15-17), while in the case described by Bloome the young girl fell down while she was bouncing on trampoline, without further details on trauma mechanism (15). Just one case was reported to be nontraumatic in a 6 years old female children with history of spontaneous rupture on a discoid meniscus (14). For the three youngest patients in Kramer’s series, trauma mechanism leading to discoid lateral meniscus rupture was not reported (17). Traumatic meniscal lesions may cause similar symptoms in children and adults, such as significant knee pain in acute injury, loss of knee function and swelling. In comparison with other meniscal tears, BHMT is more likely to cause knee pain, gait instability and especially mechanical symptoms such as locking. The latter occurs typically when the fragment is displaced in the intercondylar notch (10). However, symptoms in children are reported to be highly nonspecific, both for meniscal lesions in general and for BHMTs. Moreover, the lack of cooperation of the child can make assessment very difficult. Therefore, the parents could refer the child to the physician several days, weeks or even months after trauma.

Kramer et al. again did not describe each case, reporting just mechanical symptoms such as block to extension, difficulty with ambulation, and knee locking. For these reasons, these patients are not considered in the following description.

For the remainder, we found knee pain as the principal symptom, present in 100% of the cases. Another relevant clinical presentation is knee locking, which was reported in 5 out of 8 cases (62.5%) (8,11,14, 15). Effusion of the knee was reported in the children treated by Yalcin et al and Saddawi et al (25%) (13,14). Saddawi et al reported also limping (25%), which was also described by Fink in the youngest reported case of BHMT (13,16).

The time lapse between injury and diagnosis was different for every patient. In detail, Bloome et al reported the time lapse for the diagnosis to be 1 month for the 4 years-old boy, and 1 year for the 4-years-old girl (15). Nooh et al and Fink et al reported a time lapse of weeks (11,16), while the remaining authors did not record the period occurred between knee injury and diagnosis.

To avoid late diagnosis, it is fundamental to consider meniscal lesions in the differential diagnosis of a child presenting with knee pain and effusion, especially in case of twisting knee trauma and when mechanical symptoms are present.

After excluding fractures and dislocations with plain x-rays, clinical suspicion should guide the physician to undertake MRI to detect the intra-articular conditions which might cause knee pain and mechanical symptoms in children. Other than meniscal lesions, discoid meniscus even in the absence of a tear or instability, osteochondral fracture, ACL tears or loose body might be found (24,25). As in adults, MRI is the gold standard for the diagnosis of meniscal tears. However, some peculiarities in images interpretation must be taken into account. In skeletally immature patients, identification of a meniscus tear is not always simple as other sources of high signal intensity in the menisci might be present (26). Takeda et al. reported that in children the prevalence of high signal intensity within the menisci was not related to meniscal tears in a significantly higher proportion than in adults (27).

The most common sign of BHMT on MRI is displacement of meniscus fragment. As in adults, the “intercondylar notch sign” and the “Double PCL Sign” are described to be useful signs in BHMTs in children (28,29). The first consists in a simple dislocation of the main fragment in the intercondylar notch,
seen in the coronal MRI views. The second is due to the displacement of the fragment in the intercondylar region anterior to the PCL. This situation creates a false image that simulate a double PCL on sagittal MRI views (Figure 2), with a reported specificity of 100% for BHMT lesion (30). It is visible in medial meniscus tears, because in lateral meniscus lesions the presence of an intact ACL does not permit its dislocation. Conversely, the double ACL sign is typically correlated with a lateral BHMT. This sign is due to the medial migration of the fragment across the intercondylar notch, appearing as a curvilinear low parallel signal band behind the ACL on sagittal views. This sign has a value especially when occurs in patient without precedent knee surgery or previous injury of ACL (31). Other signs of BHMT, as the “double anterior flipped meniscus sign” and the “absent bow tie” sign have also been described (10,32).

In the present review, except for the oldest studies dating back to a period before the application of MRI, every injury that we considered was diagnosed through MRI. Both Nooh and Shea found the double PCL and the intercondylar notch sign in their cases, Bloome described a medial meniscus tear displaced into the notch in one of the two cases, while in the other cases details about MRI findings were not reported (8,11,15).

Treatment and results

Given the lack of a specific treatment algorithm for pediatric BHMTs in the literature, management strategies are usually derived from the adult setting (33). Nowadays, the aim of BHMTs treatment is to preserve the meniscus whenever possible. It is well known how partial meniscectomy could accelerate the incidence of osteoarthritis. Although this could be accepted in more advanced age groups, it cannot be tolerated in children. Meniscectomy, even if partial, may have disastrous consequences in younger patients. Their basic activity level and daily ambulatory function can be adversely affected (34). Although partial meniscectomy must be taken into consideration in case of irreparable tears and in case of lesions occurring on discoid meniscus (24), arthroscopic meniscal repair should be the goal to obtain a favorable prognosis and functional outcome (11). This treatment strategy is all the more reason justified in children, given the vascularity and high healing potential of the meniscus in this age group. As reported by Krich et al, clinical success rate of BHMT repair is similar to simple tears in adolescent patients (6). Meniscal repair can be carried out with all-inside, inside-out or outside-in techniques with sutures. Given the lack of dedicated devices for pediatric meniscal suture, literature reports describe the use of the same techniques used in adults (35). In literature, there are no studies available that compare the results of these techniques used in adults. In the present review, treatment modality was reported in all 21 cases, although surgical technique details are not always reported. Analyzing the 19 patients younger than 10 years old treated in recent years, 14 were treated with arthroscopic meniscal repair. Regarding the technical aspects, different techniques have been used for arthroscopic repair, with good functional outcome at different follow-ups (8-15). In detail, Shea et al placed four vertical mattress sutures to restore the meniscus integrity using an inside-out suture repair technique and an additional vertical mattress suture using an all-inside technique (8). In the injury described by Nooh et al arthroscopy was performed one week after injury, but the exact suture technique is not reported. Both patients resumed their normal activities at 12 and 7 months follow up respectively (11). In the two cases described by Bloome, two different surgeons...
opted for different techniques. Outside-in technique was used for a small knee of a 4-year-old patient treated 6 weeks after injury. In the second case, a combination of all-inside and inside-out sutures was used in another medial meniscus tear occurred in a child of 4-year-old treated 1 year after knee injury. The all-inside technique was chosen for the extreme posterior portion of the meniscus, while the inside-out technique was used to repair the more medial posterior horn portion and the midbody section. Both cases showed good functional outcomes, each patient resumed his normal activities at follow up of respectively 17 month and 1 year (15). Fink described the youngest case of BHMT, occurring on the medial meniscus in a 2 years old girl. In this case, two vertical mattress sutures were placed with all-side technique several weeks after injury. After 8 weeks the patient recovered a full range of motion of the knee and at 6 months of follow-up she resumed her normal activities (16).

In the two oldest cases referring to the pre-arthroscopic era, open medial meniscectomy was carried out. Volk et all and Saddawi et all reported good functional outcomes with this technique at short term follow up, with minimal residual pain on kneeling in the child with the injury described by Volk. (12,13).

The remaining 5 cases underwent arthroscopic meniscectomy, four of which lack details about age, side and type of lesion. Yalcin et all. performed an arthroscopic subtotal meniscectomy of a lateral BHMT occurring on a discoid lateral meniscus in a 6-year-old girl, reporting full range of motion without any symptoms at three years (14).

Conclusions

BHMT is an uncommon lesion in skeletally immature patients and becomes rare in children under 10 years old, with only 21 cases reported in the literature. BHMTs must be suspected also in this age group when pain, effusion and mechanical symptoms are present, especially after a twisting injury during physical activity. Meniscal arthroscopic repair seems to be the technique of choice unless the lesion occurs on a discoid meniscus.
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