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**Abstract:** The increasing metal release into the environment warrants investigating their impact on plants, which are cornerstones of ecosystems. Here, *Lactuca sativa* L. (lettuce) seedlings were exposed hydroponically to different concentrations of silver ions and nanoparticles (Ag NPs) for 25 days to evaluate their impact on plant growth. Seedlings taking Ag + ions showed an increment of 18% in total phenolic content and 12% in total flavonoid content, whereas under Ag NPs, 7% free radical scavenging activity, 12% total phenolic contents (TPC), and 10% total reducing power are increased. An increase in 31% shoot length, 25% chlorophyll, 11% carbohydrate, and 16% protein content of the lettuce plant is observed in response to Ag NPs, while silver nitrate (AgNO 3) has a reduced 40% growth. The lettuce plant was most susceptible to toxic effects of Ag+ ions at a lower concentration, i.e., 0.01 mg/L, while Ag NPs showed less toxicity, only when higher concentrations >100 mg/L were applied. Further, biomolecules other than antioxidant enzymes showed higher phytotoxicity for Ag+ ions, followed by Ag NPs with the concentration of 25, 50, and 100 mg/L compared to the control. Thus, moderate concentrations of Ag NPs have a stimulatory effect on seedling growth, while higher concentrations induced inhibitory effects due to the release of Ag+ ions. These results suggest that optimum metallic contents are desirable for the healthier growth of plants in a controlled way.
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**1. Introduction**

The large-scale production of nanomaterials and their use for various agronomic applications, such as nano pesticides and nano fertilizers, as well as controlling the pathogens can also impact the ecosystem. In addition, their interaction with plants needs to be investigated [1–3]. Moreover, tons of waste from the industry dealing with the synthesis of a variety of nanomaterials is added to the aquatic, terrestrial, and atmospheric environments that directly and indirectly impact the plants [4,5]. Plants are the primary producer...
of the ecosystem and play a vital role in cycling the food chain for all living organisms. Therefore, understanding the impact of nanoparticles on plant growth and development is crucial for the evaluation of potential environmental risks on food safety and human health imposed by NPs [6]. Recently, few researchers have explained the process of transformation of NPs in the soil-plant system or hydroponic culture to plants that have an effect on plant metabolism [7,8]. The detailed process of uptake, translocation, and accumulation of Ag NPs and iron in micro and nano size in plants, as well as their phytotoxicity on plants at morphological, physiological, cellular, and molecular levels is still poorly explained. Previously, the effects of NPs have been studied in rice and zero to low toxicity was found at germination stages [9]. However, the influence of these NPs in higher plants is not fully explored yet and a controlled testimonial study needs to be done under different parameters such as the concentration/size of particles, age of plant, and experimental conditions such as the method and duration of exposure. It is found that Ag NPs have a positive role in the growth of few plants such as *Brassica juncea* L. Czern. [10], *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. [11], and *Zea mays* L. [12], while a negative effect on the root growth in various plants (*Linum usitatissimum* L. and *Hordeum vulgare* L.) upon higher accumulation [13,14]. The toxicity of Ag NPs is due to the bioaccumulation of silver ions (Ag⁺ ions) that lower the production of chlorophyll, ultimately reducing the photosynthesis and impacting the cell growth [15,16]. Moreover, there are studies which offer evidence that NPs contribute more to their toxicity than the Ag⁺ dissociation [17,18]. To date, many efforts have been put forward to explore the impact of metallic NPs on plant growth and life, especially metals such as silver, since the production of Ag NPs will reach 1216 tons by 2020 and 614.4 mg/kg will be deposited in the sediments [19,20]. With their impact on the biological system at a molecular level not fully understood, it is important to strengthen the knowledge at a molecular level and explore the mechanisms that mediate the effect of Ag NPs in plants. The lettuce plant can be a good experimental plant as it is commonly used as a model organism in phytotoxicity studies [21,22]. In addition, it has a fast growth rate which makes it easy to see the effects. There are many reports on physical and chemical methods of nanoparticles synthesis [23,24] but phytosynthesized nanoparticles and their impact on plants are rarely studied [25–28].

The present study aims to assess the effect of phytosynthesized Ag NPs and Ag⁺ ion (AgNO₃) at the seedling stage of lettuce, regardless of previous studies where the NPs impact were mainly investigated at the seed germination stage. We have evaluated the influence of Ag NPs and ions on the growth of lettuce plants in hydroponics. Representative parameters such as root-shoot length, fresh weight, and biochemical parameters such as chlorophyll content, sugar, nitrate protein content, and antioxidant activities were investigated to understand the plant’s defence and response to abiotic stress caused by Ag NPs and AgNO₃.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Equipment

The morphology and size were determined using transmission electron microscope (TEM) images by the JEM 2100 equipment (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). The UV-vis spectrophotometric analysis was used to determine the synthesis of nanoparticles and the nitrate content was determined by measuring the absorbance of synthesized Ag NPs, as well as the total amount of nitrate in the respective solution at room temperature. A simple compound microscope was used to analyze the anatomical parameters of the root, stem, and leaf by taking freshly cut thin slices of each part, followed by staining and monitoring under a microscope at 1500 X at room temperature. A digital camera captured and recorded the anatomical changes. Transmission electron microscopy (JEM-2100 TEM) was performed to determine the morphology and size of synthesized Ag NPs. Calorimetry was performed to evaluate the sugar content at room temperature in the extracted solution.
2.2. Biosynthesis of Silver Nanoparticles

The Ag NPs were synthesized using the *Fagonia cretica* L. plant extract based on our previous work [18]. Initially, a 0.1 M aqueous solution of AgNO₃ was prepared and mixed with the plant extract at a 5:1 ratio, respectively. The mixture was stirred with a magnetic stirrer (150 rpm) at 50 °C for 15–120 min. The colour change in the reaction mixture was recorded through a visual observation. The bio reduction of Ag ions in the aqueous solution was periodically monitored by UV-vis spectroscopy (Bahawalpur, Pakistan). On completion of the reaction, the product was collected using a centrifuge (20 min at 6000 rpm). The supernatant was saved in separate falcon tubes and kept at 4 °C for high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Bahawalpur, Pakistan). Note: Various concentrations of the AgNO₃ aqueous solution (0.5, 1, and 2 mM) and plant extract (10, 20, and 40 mL) were used to determine their impact on the growth of Ag NPs. The morphology and size were determined using transmission electron microscope (TEM) images by JEOL JEM 2100. The stable suspensions of different concentrations of Ag NPs were prepared in water for further use at all stages.

2.3. Application of Silver Nanoparticles and Silver Nitrate

The seeds were hand sown in a seedling tray containing the coconut peat medium, a porous organic peat mainly consisting of holocellulose and lignin content. Therefore, this establishes strong and healthy root systems, as well as increases the absorption of water and oxygen required for healthy plant growth. An adequate amount of water was sprinkled over the organic medium, as shown in Figure S2. The seeds started germination after a week. To ensure maximum germination, the organic medium must not run dry and should be sprinkled with water every day according to the requirements (Figure S3). Carefully without tugging, the individual seedlings were taken from their cells to the net pots, while the net pots were placed in containers containing hydroponic nutrients and water (Figures S4 and S5). Six uniform seedlings were transferred to net pots. Each net pot was carefully placed in a separate plastic container which acts as a nutrient reservoir. Plastic containers were filled with 1000 mL of Hoagland’s solution. Lettuce seedlings were labelled as five test groups, i.e., the control group, 100, 50, 25 mg/L Ag NPs and AgNO₃. After transferring the net pots to nutrient reservoirs, five tests groups were labelled. Each group was performed in triplets and supplied daily to test the plantlets with 12 mL of the Ag NPs solution in different concentrations. The AgNPs solutions were employed continuously for 25 days along with the control and an AgNO₃ concentration of 0.01 M. All the plants were kept in an open atmosphere and maintained on 14 h light/10 h dark cycle at ~22 °C. Afterwards, the properly labelled containers were continuously kept under stress until harvesting (Figures S6 and S7).

2.4. Extract Preparation and Nitrate Content Determination

The plant nitrate contents were determined by the sulfosalicylic acid method, using potassium nitrate (KNO₃) as a standard. Fresh lettuce leaves (0.3 g) were added in the test tube, sealed with a plastic wrap, and then placed in boiling water for 30 min. Finally, the lettuce leaf extract was filtered and the filtrate was used for further experiments. The nitrate content was measured using a UV-vis spectrophotometer at 410 nm.

2.5. Sugar Content Determination

Initially, the lettuce extract was dissolved in acetone and 80% ethanol to extract the interfering pigments and the soluble sugar content from the sample, respectively by spinning at 7000× g. The homogenate was centrifuged at 7000× g for 10 min and the supernatant was analysed by Anthrone calorimetry for the soluble sugar method [29].
2.6. Protein Content Determination

One gram of dried tissue powder was suspended in 0.8 mL ice-cold sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) phenol buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 30% sucrose, 2% SDS, 2% dithiothreitol (DTT) with an equal volume of Tris-buffered phenol with a pH 8.0). The mixture was then shaken and centrifuged at 10,500×g for 5 min at 4 °C. The obtained phenolic phase containing proteins was precipitated overnight at −20 °C by adding 5% of 0.1 M ammonium acetate in methanol (v/v) and then centrifuged at 7000×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The obtained pallet was washed thrice with 80% acetone. Finally, the dried sample was dissolved in a buffer containing 7 M urea and 2 M thiourea. The protein concentration was measured with the Bradford assay using bovine γ-globulin as a standard [30].

2.7. Statistical Analysis

The collected data was analysed using Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS). The mean values obtained from the control and treatment groups were compared using One-way ANOVA in order to test the statistical significance across the group means at 0.001%, 0.01%, 1%, and 5% significance level. This was followed by the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test to look for specific differences between pairs of groups. Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation and were observed for statistically significant differences at *: p< 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001; ****: p < 0.0001.

3. Results

3.1. Synthesis and Characterization of Ag NPs

The overall synthesis process of Ag NPs and their impact on the chlorophyll content, phenolic content, and 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) in the lettuce plant are shown schematically in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the green synthesis of Ag NPs, as well as morphological and biochemical characteristics of lettuce in response to metallic vs. ionic silver. The symbol of **, *** , **** show that datasets are significantly different from one another.](image)

Initially, the Ag NPs were examined by UV-vis, the appearance of an obvious peak at 440 nm due to the surface plasmonic effect of Ag NPs confirms their presence which was absent in the pure plant extract (Figure 2a). Therefore, TEM studies were conducted...
to observe the morphology and size of Ag NPs which suggested that particles are grown in a spherical shape having an average size of 12 nm (Figure 2b, the inset shows a histogram presenting the size distribution). However, the current results of the nanoparticles synthesis match the previous reports regarding the phytosynthesis of nanoparticles [31,32]. It is well known that particles less than 15 nm can easily diffuse into the cell and then their impact on cell metabolism can be observed [33,34].

Figure 2. (a) Ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectra of Ag NPs (red) and AgNO₃ solution without the plant extract (black). (b) TEM image of Ag NPs (the insets show a histogram representing the Ag NPs size distribution.

After confirming the size of Ag NPs, these Ag NPs along with Ag⁺ ions (AgNO₃) were given to the plants, as described in the Supporting Information (Figures S1–S7). The TEM analysis of Ag NPs in suspension is also carried out after a month (Figure S8), which shows the same results as the synthesis, confirming a high stability of Ag NPs suspension in water.

3.2. Application of Silver Nanoparticles and Silver Nitrate on Lettuce

Validating the presence of nanoparticles in different segments was performed using anatomical microscopic studies. These microscopic studies revealed the absorption and retention of the silver NP. The presence of the Ag NPs in the root, stem, and leaves were marked by yellow arrows (Figure 3a–c). The NPs had induced cell death at 100 ppm of the concentration, while 25 and 50 ppm were found to be safe and applicable on the lettuce as the apoptosis was observed by the anatomy results. Significant changes in the anatomy of the plant were observed in response to the Ag NPs compared to the control, which show the insertion of Ag NPs into the cells as particles not ions, as presented by the yellow arrows in Figure 3a,b.
Figure 3. Anatomy of (a) root, (b) leaves, and (c) stem cells under different concentrations of Ag NPs. Arrows are pointing out the Ag particles. Images are recorded using a light compound microscope (Nikon 104, Japan) at a resolution of 1500 X with the help of a 20 mega pixel digital camera.

The present work gives confirmation of previous reports by Harshiny et al. [35] that NPs remain mainly adhered to the roots (Figure 3a–c). However, it was more interesting to observe the physiological effects of all three concentrations of NPs on the root, shoot length, and leaves surface area. The effect of Ag NPs and Ag$^+$ ions on both the root and shoot length is shown in Figure 4a–c. A significant reduction in the root length of the lettuce plant is observed in response to Ag NPs and ions compared to the control. A large reduction up to 36% in root length in response to Ag$^+$ ions was observed, while only 21%, 17%, and 19% reduction is observed for Ag NPs corresponding to its concentrations of 25, 50, and 100 ppm, respectively in regards to the control, as shown in Figure 4b. Overall, the least reduction in root length was observed at 50 ppm (Figure 4b). These results are in accordance with earlier reported results on wheat growth regulation affected by different concentrations of Ag NPs [36].

Similarly, the impact of Ag NPs and Ag$^+$ ions on the growth of lettuce shoot is also observed, as shown in Figure 4c. A significant increase in 47% and 35% of the shoot length was observed in response to 25 and 50 ppm, respectively. However, upon treating with 100 ppm, the growth of the shoot is badly impacted and a significant reduction of 33% was observed in regards to the control. While, the Ag$^+$ ions show a 45% reduction in the shoot length, which show that the oxidative stress of Ag$^+$ ions can be observed with regards to the control in shoots and adversely impact their growth. Thus, 25 ppm is the best concentration that at maximum stimulates the growth of the shoot, since a further increase in Ag NPs is less effective and even causes reduction as we reach 100 ppm, compared to the control. However, the mean concentration, i.e., 50 ppm provided a considerably optimized growth for both the root and shoot. Conclusively, 50 ppm was considered a better concentration for both the root and shoot (Figure 4c).
The effects of Ag NPs and ions on the fresh and dry weight of lettuce are also observed, as shown in Figure 5a–c. Figure 5a shows the dense canopy and increased leaf surface area at a 25 and 50 ppm concentration of Ag NPs, whereas the reduced area can be observed at 100 ppm with regards to the control. An increase in the Ag NPs concentration up to 50 ppm results in the fresh and dry weight increase, however, the further increase in the Ag NPs concentration to 100 ppm results in the decrease in the fresh weight, as shown in Figure 5b. The Ag NPs with the concentrations of 25 and 50 ppm significantly increased the plant fresh weight by 9% and 14%, while the dry weight increased by 15% and 21%, respectively. Similar to the shoot and root growth, the higher concentration of 100 ppm caused a reduction in fresh and dry weights by 34% and 21%, respectively. The worse effects are found for Ag+ ions which cause the reduction up to 59% and 47% in fresh and dry weights compared to the control (Figure 5b). Therefore, Ag NPs concentrations above 50 ppm are proved detrimental for the seedling growth.
3.3. Impact of Silver Nanoparticles and Silver nitrate on the Biochemistry of Lettuce

Moreover, a positive impact is observed for Ag NPs on the chlorophyll content (chlorophyll a and b, total chlorophyll) of the lettuce plant compared to the control, while Ag⁺ ions brought negative effects, as shown in Figure 5c. Upon giving the dose of 25 and 50 ppm of Ag NPs, chlorophyll a and b increased by 21% and 28%, respectively, compared to the control (Figure 5c). No significant difference was observed between 25 and 50 ppm. However, at a higher concentration of 100 ppm, a 32% decrease in chlorophyll a and 21% increase in chlorophyll b is observed. While, in the case of AgNO₃ (Ag⁺ ions), the chlorophyll b content increased by 10% and chlorophyll a decreased by 54% compared to the control. In fact, when the plants are under stress, the production of chlorophyll a is generally inhibited, which is compensated by producing more chlorophyll [39]. A similar trend was seen in the total chlorophyll content where 25 and 50 ppm results in an increase of 22% and 26%, respectively, while 100 ppm and Ag⁺ ions showed a decrease of 18% and 40% compared to the control. The increase in total chlorophyll contents due to 25 and 50 ppm is a result of the increase in chlorophyll a and b contents compared to the control, culminating in no net damage to chloroplasts by Ag NPs at these concentrations. The increase in the chlorophyll content clearly depicts that the chloroplasts efficiency has significantly increased by increasing the chlorophyll content with the Ag NPs treatment, a clear evidence that the chloroplast was not damaged, but rather had shown greater efficiency [40,41].

Figure 5. (a) Morphological and physiological study of different concentrations of Ag NPs and 1 M AgNO₃ on the lettuce plant. (b) Fresh and dry weight of lettuce upon exposure to different concentrations of Ag NPs and 1 M AgNO₃. (c) Chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll of lettuce subjected to 25, 50, 100 ppm Ag NPs and 1 M AgNO₃. The symbol of **, ***, **** show that datasets are significantly different from one another.
The effects of Ag NPs and Ag+ ions on protein contents were illustrated in Figure 6a. The protein content was increased at the Ag NPs concentration of 25 and 50 ppm. A maximum increase in the protein content (~21%) was observed in the case of 50 ppm concentration compared to the control. While increasing the concentration to 100 ppm, a significant decrease (~37%) was recorded compared to the control. However, upon feeding with Ag+ ions a maximum reduction (~70%) up to 70% is observed compared to the control. The decrease in protein content beyond this concentration suggests the toxic effect of Ag NPs, which leached out Ag+ ions with the passage of time and consequently behaved similar to the Ag+ ions [42,43].

The effect of Ag NPs on the sugar content was illustrated in Figure 6b, where an 11% and 20% increase is observed in the case of 25 and 50 ppm Ag NPs dose over the control. While, a further increase in the concentration up to 100 ppm caused a 15% reduction in the sugar content and similarly a decreasing trend is also observed for Ag+ ions by a 31% reduction. The reduction in the carbohydrate content at higher doses may be attributed to the toxic level of NPs causing a decline in growth due to the higher release of Ag+ ions similar to that of AgNO3 as already reported [43,44].

The effect of Ag+ ions and Ag NPs on the nitrate content is illustrated in Figure 6c. Although, nitrate itself is relatively non-toxic, its metabolites may produce a number of negative effects in plants as in the other living bodies using them [39]. Our results showed that Ag NPs did not cause any significant change in the nitrate content of lettuce plant at 25 and 50 ppm compared to the control. However, at 100 ppm, there was a significant decline of 37% in the nitrate content with regards to the control. Similarly, a sharp decline of 50% was observed with the Ag+ ions treatment compared to the control. Nitrogen is an essential plant element and is related to the cell division and growth, and a treatment with metals could influence their uptake as reported by a previous researcher [45].

The measured variations in protein, sugar, and nitrate contents in response to different concentrations of Ag NPs and their comparison with Ag+ ions and control lettuce confirmed that an optimum dose of metallic ions is required to enhance the growth of plants. As an optimized dose, 50 ppm of Ag NPs had shown significantly increased protein contents by 33%, soluble sugar contents by 69%, and 1% increase in nitrate contents with regards to the control. However, the excessive infiltration of metallic species to plant bodies release dissolvable ions that disturbed the metabolic systems and denaturized the molecular structure of cellular organelles, ultimately causing disturbance in their proper functionalities [46,47].

**Figure 6.** Effect of different concentrations of Ag NPs and AgNO3 (a) protein content, (b) soluble sugar content, and (c) nitrate content of lettuce plant. The symbol of **, *** , **** show that datasets are significantly different from one another.
To further explore the impact of external metallic contents on plant growth, we analysed the effect of Ag NPs on the total phenolic contents (TPC), scavenging activity, total flavonoid contents (TFC), and total reducing power (TRP), as shown in Figure 7. The scavenging activity was significantly increased in the roots by 10% and 7% in response to silver nitrate and 100 ppm Ag NPs as compared to the control. However, no significant change in the scavenging activity AgNO₃ treatment was observed in response to all the concentrations, clearly indicating that Ag NPs at a higher concentration induced the toxicity in plants leaf, as shown in Figure 7a. The TPC contents in the root were increased by 18% and 11%, while in leaves it increased up to 8% and 12% in response to silver nitrate and 100 ppm Ag NPs compared to the control. While, the level of TPC was increased at 25 and 50 ppm concentration but was not very significant (Figure 7b). In the case of 25 and 50 ppm concentration of NPs, there was no significant difference in the decreased or increased TPC as compared to the control. A 19% increase in the TRP of roots was observed for silver nitrate and 14% was observed in the case of 100 ppm of Ag NPs compared to the control. However, the 25 and 50 ppm concentration did not show a significant impact compared to AgNO₃ and 100 ppm Ag NPs, as shown in Figure 7c. The TRP of leaves reduced by 18% and 20% upon feeding silver nitrate and 25 ppm of Ag NPs, however, no significant difference is observed for a higher concentration of Ag NPs (Figure 7c). Moreover, TFC results were significant in both roots and leaves in response to silver nitrate and 25, 50, and 100 ppm of Ag NPs with regards to the control, as shown in Figure 7d.

Based on the above results, a possible toxicological mechanism of Ag NPs on L. sativa can be inferred. The Ag NPs at a high concentration could accumulate in L. sativa, then cause a decrease in the nitrate uptake and chlorophyll content, and inhibit the ability to photosynthesize. This inhibition may cause a lack of energy (carbohydrate) and slow down the biochemical reactions, as well as the cell division rate. Hence, the growth of L. sativa would be influenced significantly, which highlights the impact of nanomaterials taken by the plants.

For instance, the toxicological effects of CuO NPs on B. nigra seedlings were investigated and the TPC, TAC, and TFC were found to increase up to a certain concentration and then decreased with the increasing NPs concentration [47]. A minor change was observed in TRP, whereas the DPPH-based free radical scavenging activity continuously increased with the increasing NPs concentration. Similarly, it has been observed that ZnO NPs produce stress that act as ethylene production signalling leading towards the biochemical changes in the model plant known as Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh leaves [48]. Although the mechanism of toxic effects of Ag NPs need more evidence, the toxicity of Ag NPs on cells and organisms is partly driven by a release of Ag⁺ ions [49]. This might be the reason for the delayed oxidative stress effects of Ag NPs at higher concentrations as compared to AgNO₃ due to the slower release of Ag⁺ ions [50]. In lettuce, the growth and biomass increased on exposure to phytosynthesized ZnO nanoparticles [51]. Engineered ZnO nanoparticles increased the fresh/dry weight of leaves and roots in coffee plant [52]. Engineered ZnO nanoparticles increased the germination and root growth of maize and cabbage [53]. In wheat, engineered ZnO NPs increased the growth and reduced the uptake of cadmium [54]. Phytosynthesized ZnO nanoparticles significantly enhanced germination and shoot/root growth in wheat seedlings [55].
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Figure 7. Effect of different concentrations of Ag NPs and AgNO₃ (1 M) on (a) free radical scavenging activity, (b) total reducing power, (c) total phenolic content, and (d) total flavonoid content levels in roots and leaves of lettuce plant. The symbol of **, ***, **** show that datasets are significantly different from one another.

Addressing the matter of the optimized Ag NPs 50 ppm dosage on lettuce had provided a significantly enhanced level of proteins, carbohydrates, and chlorophyll contents, where the protein content has been increased up to 16%, causing an elevation in the chlorophyll content to 25% which had essentially triggered and boosted the production of Rubisco. The pumped-up rubisco not only initiated the rubisco generated cascade but also provides reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation for photo-protection, photorespiration, photo-tactics, and defensive mechanisms, as shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8. Proposed mechanism of Ag NPs and their effects on the lettuce growth and physiological indicator.
Rubisco dependent photosynthesis, CO₂ fixation, and stomatal conductions were also raised, which is clearly depicted by the increase in the chlorophyll content. The carbohydrates content was increased by 11% whereas sugar, flavonoid, and phenolic contents increased by 12%, 12%, and 16%, respectively. On the other hand, a 7% decrease in the DPPH, 10% in protein content, and 12% in TPC were also observed. Ultimately resulting in increased net photosynthesis, osmotic adjustment, enzymatic reaction, signalling, and Rubisco activity by raising the antioxidant activity.

4. Conclusions

The present study investigated the phytotoxic effects of Ag NPs and ions (AgNO₃) on seedling growth parameters and biochemical properties. The results demonstrated that the interaction of Ag NPs with cells exerted a slight stress condition on the physiological and biochemical properties, as well as the antioxidant activity of the lettuce plant. Among the various concentrations of Ag NPs, the 25 and 50 ppm positively contribute to the production of the protein, soluble sugar, and chlorophyll content compared to the control and AgNO₃. While a comparative lower level of total flavonoids, phenolic, DPPH, total antioxidant capacity, and total reducing potential was observed at 100 ppm of Ag NPs. It reflects the better plant growth and reduced toxicity compared to the AgNO₃ treated plants. Not surprisingly, the AgNO₃ treated plant showed a minimum level of protein, soluble sugar, and chlorophyll content and elevated levels of non-enzymatic antioxidant molecules than the control and Ag NPs treated plant, indicating the increased toxicity of Ag⁺ ions. We believe this mild stress may be beneficial to plants in protecting them against pathogen attacks and disease control and mandates further study in the future.
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