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Current status and progress of concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer prior to the approval of durvalumab
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Abstract

Background: The standard treatment for patients with unresectable locally advanced (LA) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is chemoradiotherapy (CRT). Consolidation therapy with durvalumab after CRT demonstrated survival benefits and was approved in Japan in July 2018. The use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) is entering routine oncological practice, and here we investigate the feasibility of concurrent CRT for LA-NSCLC patients based on the PACIFIC criteria.

Methods: We performed a retrospective study to evaluate the feasibility and efficacy of concurrent CRT prior to the approval of durvalumab. We assessed consecutive patients with LA-NSCLC treated with CRT between January 2012 and June 2018.

Results: We analyzed a total of 108 consecutive patients who received radical thoracic radiotherapy and concurrent platinum-based chemotherapy. Of those patients, 105 (97%) completed the planned radiotherapy. Radiation pneumonitis was observed in 93 patients (85%), with a median of 130 days (range: 41–317 days) from the initiation of radiation to the onset of the complication. Among the patients, 74 (69%) were considered eligible for consolidation therapy with durvalumab. The overall response rate was 64%, and the two-year survival rate was 63%. Patients who received an ICI after relapse were associated with significantly better survival than those who did not receive an ICI (two-year survival rate: 87% vs. 41%, respectively; P = 0.001).

Conclusions: Prior to the approval of durvalumab, the clinical application of ICIs improved the outcome of patients with relapsed NSCLC after CRT for LA-NSCLC. The management of radiation pneumonitis remains a challenge following the approval of durvalumab.

Introduction

The current standard treatment for unresectable locally advanced (LA) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is definitive concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CRT). The efficacy of thoracic radiotherapy (TRT) for LA-NSCLC was evaluated in 1968 when 800 patients with LA-NSCLC were treated with 40–50 Gy of TRT. The overall survival (OS) was significantly improved in the TRT group...
In 1990, a combination of TRT with cisplatin plus vinblastine significantly prolonged survival compared with TRT alone (OS: 13.7 vs. 9.6 months, respectively; \( P = 0.0066 \)), and CRT has been positioned as the standard of care for patients with LA-NSCLC.\(^5\) In recent years, a clinical trial compared cisplatin plus metronomic cetuximab with cisplatin plus etoposide for TRT 60–66 Gy as a combination chemotherapy regimen. However, the results did not show a significant improvement in OS (OS: 26.8 vs. 25.0 months, respectively; hazard ratio [HR]: 0.98; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.79–1.20; \( P = 0.831 \)).\(^6\)

In the past 20 years, there have been no improvements in outcome (two-year survival rate: 40%–60%).\(^3\)\(^–\)\(^5\) However, in the PACIFIC Trial, concurrent CRT followed by consolidation therapy with durvalumab resulted in a significant prolongation of progression-free survival (PFS) compared with placebo (PFS: 17.2 vs. 5.6 months, respectively; stratified HR, 0.51; 95% CI: 0.41–0.63) and the OS rate at 24 months (66.3% vs. 55.6%, respectively; stratified HR: 0.68; 99.73% CI: 0.47–0.997).\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\) Based on the results of this study, durvalumab was approved in Japan in July 2018 as consolidation therapy after CRT. The main inclusion criteria in the PACIFIC Trial were: (i) patients with stage III, unresectable NSCLC; (ii) patients who had received two or more cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy concurrently with TRT (54–66 Gy), in which the mean lung dose was <20 Gy, the V20 (the volume of lung parenchyma that received ≥20 Gy) was <35%, or both; (iii) absence of disease progression after CRT; (iv) age ≥18 years; (v) a World Health Organization performance status (PS) of 0–1; (vi) an estimated life expectancy ≥12 weeks; and (vii) completion of the last radiation dose within 1–42 days prior to randomization of consolidation therapy with durvalumab. Key exclusion criteria were active or previous autoimmune disease (within the previous two years) or a history of primary immunodeficiency; evidence of uncontrolled, concurrent illness, or ongoing or active infections; unresolved toxic effects of grade ≥2 (according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events [CTCAE]); and grade ≥2 pneumonitis from previous CRT.\(^9\) It is thought that the patients meeting the criteria of the PACIFIC Trial who receive consolidation therapy with durvalumab is limited in clinical practice. In addition, new challenges in the management of side effects, such as radiation pneumonitis, have arisen.

Checkpoint immunotherapy has demonstrated high efficacy in numerous types of cancer,\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\) including NSCLC. Prior to the approval of durvalumab, nivolumab\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\) (December 2015), pembrolizumab\(^5\) (December 2015), pembrolizumab\(^1\)\(^) (January 2016), and atezolizumab\(^6\) (January 2016) were approved in Japan as the second or subsequent line of therapy against advanced or recurrent NSCLC. Moreover, pembrolizumab monotherapy\(^7\) became available as the initial chemotherapy for programmed death ligand-1-positive advanced NSCLC in December 2016. Furthermore, in December 2018, the use of pembrolizumab\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\) or atezolizumab\(^10\) plus chemotherapy was expanded to the first-line treatment of metastatic NSCLC. The use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) showed durable clinical benefit and long-term remission in some patients,\(^11\)\(^–\)\(^13\) and has altered the standard of care for patients with metastatic NSCLC.

Now that the clinical issues related to the use of ICI for LA-NSCLC patients in clinical practice are expected, it is considered important to evaluate patients who are effective in CRT. In this study, we investigated the feasibility of concurrent CRT for LA-NSCLC patients based on the PACIFIC criteria. Furthermore, while ICIs have been used widely for the treatment of advanced-stage disease, we retrospectively assessed consecutive patients with LA-NSCLC treated with concurrent CRT (prior to the approval of durvalumab) in clinical practice to investigate the feasibility of this regimen.

Methods

Study patients and collection of clinical data

This retrospective study included 108 consecutive patients with LA-NSCLC treated with CRT at the Kitasato University Hospital (Kanagawa, Japan) between January 2012 and June 2018. All patients underwent computed tomography (CT) for three-dimensional conformal TRT and received concurrent CRT in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy. We examined the clinical records (e.g., date of diagnosis, sex, smoking status, tumor–node–metastasis staging, histology, mutational status, PS, course of treatment, adverse events [AEs] including radiation pneumonitis, and survival time) of each patient.

Thoracic radiotherapy

All patients were treated using three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy. A free breathing computed tomography was performed for the treatment planning. The gross tumor volume (GTV) encompassed the primary tumor and involved the lymph nodes. The clinical target volume (CTV) boost was defined as the GTV plus a 5–10 mm margin. The CTV boost merged with elective nodal areas created the CTV total. The planning target volume (PTV) boost was defined as the CTV boost plus a 5–10 mm margin. The PTV total was defined as the CTV total plus a 5–10 mm margin. The majority of patients were treated using anteroposterior/posteroanterior opposing fields of up to 40 Gy to PTV total. Additionally, patients were treated
using the off-cord oblique field of up to 20 Gy to PTV boost. A V20 (the percent volume of the normal lung receiving 20 Gy or greater) was calculated on a dose-volume histogram and should not exceed 35%.

**Evaluation of response and survival**

Tumor response was classified in accordance with the Response Evaluation Criteria for Solid Tumors (version 1.1), based on the results of a complete medical history, physical examination, chest X-ray examination, CT of the chest and abdomen, and other procedures (i.e., magnetic resonance imaging of the brain, positron emission tomography-CT, and bone scintigraphy). Survival was defined as the time from the initiation of TRT to the date of documentation of treatment failure (death or disease progression) or the date of censoring at the final follow-up examination (data cutoff: 30 June 2018; follow-up time: median 20.5 months; range: 0.9–79.5 months). Patients with LA-NSCLC were classified according to the initiation of TRT from January 2012 to June 2015 (2012–2015 cohort) and from July 2015 to June 2018 (2015–2018 cohort) to compare the short-term survival between two recent cohorts.

**Statistical analysis**

All survival analyses were performed using the Kaplan-Meier method. Survival rates between subgroups were compared using the log-rank test. To assess the interaction between the groups, interaction terms were included in the Cox proportional hazards model. The interaction was considered significant if \( P < 0.10 \). All analyses were performed using the SPSS software program, version 25.0 (SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

This study was approved by the Kitasato University Medical Ethics Organization (B18-216), which waived the requirement for patient informed consent due to the retrospective nature of the study.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

In total, 108 patients were treated with concurrent CRT combined with platinum-based chemotherapy. Of these, 52 patients were treated between January 2012 and June 2015 and 56 patients were treated between July 2015 and June 2018 (Fig S1a). The characteristics of the patients included in this study are shown in Table 1. The median age was 65 years (range: 36–76 years), 81 of the patients (75%) were male, and only 11 patients (10%) were nonsmokers. Notably, 46 patients (43%) had adenocarcinoma, including two patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations, four patients with anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) rearrangements, and one patient with ROS1 mutation. In addition, 38 patients (35%) had squamous cell carcinoma.

We confirmed that patients were eligible to receive consolidation therapy with durvalumab based on the criteria of the PACIFIC trial. In our cohort, 74 patients (69%) who received concurrent CRT met these criteria (Fig S1b, Table S1). Reasons for ineligibility were AEs of grade ≥ 2 after CRT (\( n = 9 \)), disease progression (\( n = 8 \)), grade 2 radiation pneumonitis (\( n = 6 \)), receiving only one cycle of chemotherapy (\( n = 5 \)), interstitial pneumonia combined (\( n = 3 \)), and other complications (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis, colon cancer, and angina) (\( n = 3 \)).

**Chemoradiotherapy for patients with LA-NSCLC in clinical practice**

All patients received radical TRT using a threedimensional planning system with a median 60 Gy dose (range: 10–66 Gy) and concurrent platinum-based chemotherapy. The chemotherapy regimens used most commonly were cisplatin plus vinorelbine in 83 patients (77%) and weekly carboplatin plus paclitaxel in 19 patients (18%). Notably, 79 patients (73%) received one or two cycles of consolidation chemotherapy with the same regimen. Of the 82 patients who relapsed after CRT, 43 patients (52%) received cytotoxic drugs, 18 patients (22%) received treatment with an ICI, and seven patients (9%) received targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) in the course of treatment. Treatment details are shown in Table 2.

**Safety of chemoradiotherapy**

A total of 105 patients (97%) completed the planned radiotherapy. The reasons for discontinuing radiotherapy were hemoptysis at 10 Gy, esophageal tracheal fistula at 32 Gy, and paralysis due to spinal cord invasion of the primary lesion at 50 Gy. Radiation pneumonitis was observed in 93 patients (85%), with a median of 130 days (range: 41–317 days) from the initiation of radiation to the onset of the complication. Among these, 63 patients (58%) developed grade 1 radiation pneumonitis, while 30 patients (28%) developed grade 2–3 radiation pneumonitis, including 27 patients (25%) who required the administration of steroids (Table 3). High levels of V20 (%), V5 (%), and mean lung dose (Gy) for TRT were related to grade 2–3 pneumonitis. However, the pretreatment level of KL-6, a marker of interstitial pneumonia, was not associated with the severity of radiation pneumonitis (Fig. S2). Nine patients experienced nonhematological toxic effects of CTCAE grade ≥ 2 from previous CRT, which included...
anorexia \( (n = 4) \), febrile neutropenia \( (n = 1) \), numbness \( (n = 1) \), tracheoesophageal fistula \( (n = 1) \), pyothorax \( (n = 1) \), and sepsis \( (n = 1) \).

**Treatment outcomes in patients with LA-NSCLC**

The overall response rate to CRT was 64% (Table 3) and the PFS was 10.3 months (95% CI: 8.4–12.2) (Fig. 1a). The OS was 41.8 months (95% CI: 20.1–63.5), with two- and five-year survival rates of 63% and 41%, respectively (Fig. 1b). Although the PFS was not significantly different between the two groups (Fig. 1c), the OS was significantly better in the 2015–2018 cohort than in the 2012–2015 cohort, with a two-year survival rate of 75% vs. 54%, respectively \( (P = 0.042) \) (Fig. 1d). During the period of this study, the TRT planning method employed in our hospital was not changed. Therefore, we analyzed subsequent chemotherapy for patients with recurrent disease after CRT to assess the difference in OS. Of the 82 patients who relapsed after CRT, 18 patients received treatment with an ICI (i.e., nivolumab \( [n = 14] \), pembrolizumab \( [n = 3] \), and atezolizumab \( [n = 1] \)) (Table 2). Among the LA-NSCLC patients who relapsed after CRT, the OS of those treated with ICIs was superior to that of patients not treated with an ICI. However, it was not superior to that of patients who received targeted treatment with a TKI (Fig. 2, Table S2).

The univariate analyses of the clinical characteristics revealed that treatment period (2015–2018 vs. 2012–2015; \( P = 0.046 \)), administration of ICIs after recurrence (received vs. not received; \( P = 0.003 \)), the PACIFIC criteria (eligible vs. ineligible; \( P = 0.028 \)), and radiation pneumonitis (grade 1–3 vs. grade 0; \( P = 0.037 \)) were significantly associated with OS. The multivariate analyses, adjusted for these cofactors, revealed that treatment with an ICI after the patients relapsed after CRT (HR: 0.23; 95% CI: 0.07–0.57; \( P = 0.003 \)) and treatment period (HR 0.47; 95% CI: 0.22–0.996) were favorable prognostic factors (Table 4).

**Discussion**

This retrospective study evaluated the outcome of concurrent CRT with platinum-based chemotherapy. The results summarize the current status with regard to the efficacy...
and safety for the treatment of patients with LA-NSCLC in clinical practice prior to the approval of durvalumab. Based on clinical trials involving patients with unresectable LA-NSCLC, the treatment outcome has not improved for more than a decade, although several treatment strategies have been evaluated in clinical trials.\(^3\)--\(^7\),\(^24\)--\(^27\) The efficacy of consolidation therapy with cytotoxic drugs after CRT also failed to demonstrate an improved prognosis.\(^8\),\(^28\) The PACIFIC Trial evaluated consolidation therapy with pembrolizumab (KEYNOTE-001), the three-year survival rate was 26.4% (95% CI: 14.3--40.1) for treatment-naive patients and 19.0% (95% CI: 15.0--23.4) for previously treated patients.\(^30\) In a subgroup analysis of the KEYNOTE-001 Trial, the efficacy of ICIs after accumulate additional data regarding the identification of patients who can receive consolidation therapy with durvalumab in the clinical setting. In our retrospective study, concurrent CRT for the treatment of unresectable LA-NSCLC was effective in clinical practice, with acceptable toxicity, prior to the approval of durvalumab. The median OS was 41.8 months (95% CI: 20.1--65.5), two-year survival was 63%, and five-year survival was 41%.

Prior to their use in the treatment of LA-NSCLC, ICIs were approved for metastatic or advanced NSCLC,\(^15\)--\(^18\) and have been widely used in daily practice owing to their safety and effectiveness. In previously treated patients with advanced NSCLC, the three-year survival rate with nivolumab versus docetaxel was 17% (95% CI: 14--21) versus 8% (95% CI: 6--11), respectively.\(^23\) In a pooled population of relapsed patients with NSCLC (CHECKMATE 017 and 057), the four-year updated survival rate with nivolumab was 14% (95% CI: 11--17).\(^29\) In a phase I study of pembrolizumab (KEYNOTE-001), the three-year survival rate was 26.4% (95% CI: 14.3--40.1) for treatment-naive patients and 19.0% (95% CI: 15.0--23.4) for previously treated patients.\(^30\) In a subgroup analysis of the KEYNOTE-001 Trial, the efficacy of ICIs after

| Table 2 Treatment of patients with locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (n = 108) |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Duration of radiation, days, median (range) | 43 (4--68) |
| Dose of radiation, Gy, median (range) | 60 (10--66) |
| V20, %, median (range) | 22 (3--37) |
| V5, %, median (range) | 33 (5--54) |
| Mean lung dose, Gy, median (range) | 12 (2--19) |
| Regimen of chemotherapy | CDDP+VNR | 83 (77) |
| | wCBDCA+PTX | 19 (17) |
| | CDDP+DOC | 3 (3) |
| | CDDP+S-1 | 3 (3) |
| Cycles of chemotherapy | 1 / 2 | 16 / 13 (15 / 12) |
| | 3 / 4 | 16 / 63 (15 / 58) |
| Chemotherapy after recurrence | Cytoxic / ICI / TKI | 43 / 18 / 7 (40 / 17 / 6) |
| | Radiation (brain) / OPE / BSC | 30 / 20 / 3 (28 / 19 / 19) |
| | Number of regimens after recurrence | 0 / 1 / 2 / ≥3 | 27 / 32 / 14 / (25 / 30 / 13) |
| | Site of recurrence, n = 78† | |
| | Primary | 25 (23) |
| | Brain | 16 (15) |
| | Lymph node / pleura / subcutaneous radiation pneumonitis | 3 (3) |
| | Multiple | 21 (19) |
| | Gr0 / Gr1 / Gr2 / Gr3 / NE (required steroid treatment) | 12 / 63 / 28 / 1 / 4 (11 / 58 / 26 / 1 / 4) |

†Excluding four patients (two with disease progression, one with tracheoesophageal fistula, and one with atelectasis). BSC, best supportive care; CDDP, cisplatin; DOC, docetaxel; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; OPE, operation; PTX, paclitaxel; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; VNR, vinorelbine; wCBDCA, weekly carboplatin.

| Table 3 Efficacy and safety of chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (n = 108) |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Radical CRT | Completed | 105 (97) |
| | Canceled | 3 (3) |
| (AE / exacerbation) | 2 / 1 (2 / 1) |
| Chemotherapy ≥ 2 cycles | Completed | 92 (85) |
| | Canceled | 16 (15) |
| (AE / exacerbation) | 15 / 1 (14 / 1) |
| Response of CRT | CR / PR / SD / PD / NE | 1 / 68 / 18 / 8 (1 / 63 / 17 / 7) |
| | | / 13 / 12 |
| Site of recurrence, n = 78† | |
| | Primary | 25 (23) |
| | Brain | 16 (15) |
| | Lymph node / pleura / subcutaneous radiation pneumonitis | 3 (3) |
| | Multiple | 21 (19) |
| | Gr0 / Gr1 / Gr2 / Gr3 / NE (required steroid treatment) | 12 / 63 / 28 / 1 / 4 (11 / 58 / 26 / 1 / 4) |

†Patients died without evaluation of recurrent sites (n = 4). AE, adverse event; CR, complete response; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; Gr, grade; NE, not evaluated; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.
Radiotherapy was investigated in 38 of 97 patients with metastatic NSCLC who received extracranial radiotherapy prior to pembrolizumab. The results showed that treatment with an ICI was associated with significantly longer PFS (median: 6.3 [95% CI: 2.1–10.4] vs. 2.0 months [95% CI: 1.8–2.1], respectively; HR: 0.50 [95% CI: 0.30–0.84]) and OS (median: 11.6 [95% CI: 6.5–20.5] vs. 5.3 months [95% CI: 3.0–8.5], respectively; HR: 0.59 [95% CI: 0.36–0.96]) compared with those reported in patients who did not receive prior extracranial radiotherapy.31 In this study, patients with LA-NSCLC who relapsed after CRT and were subsequently treated with ICIs were associated with better prognosis than those who did not (two-year survival rate: 87% vs. 41%, respectively; P = 0.001). Reanalysis of survival from recurrence after CRT to death also showed that patients who used ICI had a significantly better survival compared to those who did not (HR: 0.19 [95% CI: 0.068–0.55], P = 0.002). There is an increasing body of preclinical and clinical data regarding the radiotherapy-induced immunomodulatory effects in the local tumor microenvironment, supporting the combination strategy.32–34 Radiotherapy modifies the tumor microenvironment, including enhanced antigen presentation, and the upregulation of tumor programmed death ligand-1 and major histocompatibility complex class I expression.32,35–38 In addition to the local effects of irradiation at the tumor site, radiotherapy can also mediate an abscopal effect, which is linked to an immune-mediated mechanism.39–41 The combination of radiotherapy and immunotherapy is considered an effective treatment strategy. In our study, the use of ICI for recurrence after CRT was a favorite prognostic factor for the patients with LA-NSCLC.

In the PACIFIC Trial, the eligibility criteria were stringent compared with those in routine clinical practice.
Thus, 74 of the 108 patients (69%) who received concurrent CRT with platinum-based chemotherapy in our study met the PACIFIC criteria in the clinical setting. Regarding the schedule of the PACIFIC trial, the patients with LA-NSCLC were randomized for consolidation therapy with durvalumab within 42 days after the completion of RT based on the concept of immunomodulation through radiotherapy. Furthermore, in the subgroup analysis, the
therapeutic effects of early initiation (i.e., within 14 days) were high, and the early use of an ICI was expected. On the other hand, even in relapsed patients with advanced or metastatic NSCLC, previous radiotherapy may increase the effect of treatment with an ICI, although the mechanism involved in this process is currently unclear. The optimal treatment method, namely the timing and duration of immunotherapy combined with radiotherapy, should be verified. Moreover, appropriate patient selection should be performed using reliable and predictive biomarkers, cytotoxic T cell infiltration and functionality, status of major histocompatibility complex expression, neoantigen burden, metabolic status, and general immune status factors (e.g., neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio).

Radiation pneumonitis occurs frequently after TRT, and is characterized by clinically significant toxicity. In our study, radiation pneumonitis was found in approximately 90% of patients. However, its severity was mild/moderate in the majority of cases (CTCAE grade 1: 58%; grade 2: 26%; and grade 3: 1%), and the complication was manageable according to the appropriate radiotherapy plan. In the PACIFIC Trial, the incidence of AEs of any cause was similar in the durvalumab group versus the placebo group for AEs of any grade (97% vs. 95%, respectively), grade 3–4 AEs (30% vs. 26%, respectively), and serious AEs (29% vs. 23%, respectively). The most common grade 3–4 AEs were pneumonia (4% vs. 4%, respectively) and pneumonitis (3% vs. 3%, respectively). In several retrospective analyses, patients with advanced NSCLC who had received TRT and nivolumab exhibited a higher incidence of drug-induced interstitial lung disease than those without TRT. In the future, real-world data will also be important in selecting the appropriate patients and establishing optimal treatment strategies (e.g., radiation dose, fractionation, field volume, schedule of treatment with ICIs, and treatment period) to combine radiotherapy with ICIs. Furthermore, elucidation of the effects of immune activation by ICIs on radiation pneumonitis is also required.

This study had certain limitations. First, this was a retrospective study conducted at a single institution with a small sample size; hence, the results cannot be considered definitive. Second, the current study included patients with LA-SCLC who could receive TRT concurrent with platinum-based chemotherapy; thus, it does not represent the overall status of stage III LA-NSCLC in clinical practice. Third, several biases such as patient selection and treatment course should be considered between patients who could receive ICIs after relapse and those who could not. ICIs are considered an important treatment option for patients with LA-NSCLC in whom TRT is indicated. Nevertheless, this study did not provide clear data regarding the treatment methods, such as timing and duration of use.

In conclusion, this retrospective study demonstrated the prognostic improvement in patients with LA-NSCLC treated with concurrent CRT, followed by treatment with ICIs in the clinical setting even before the approval of durvalumab. The occurrence of radiation pneumonitis and drug-induced interstitial lung disease poses a challenge to this treatment strategy. Therefore, appropriate patient selection, based on clinical factors and predictive markers, should be considered for the development of optimal treatment strategies.
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**Figure S1** Patients with locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (LA-NSCLC) treated with chemoradiotherapy in this study (*n* = 108). (a) Distribution of patients with LA-NSCLC based on the treatment periods. (b) Pie chart showing the rates (%) of eligible (black) and ineligible (gray) patients for durvalumab consolidation in clinical practice based on the criteria of the PACIFIC Trial.

**Figure S2** Development of radiation pneumonitis after chemoradiotherapy. Box plots showing the association between the grade of radiation pneumonitis and (a) V20 (%), (b) V5 (%), (c) mean lung dose (Gy) for thoracic radiation, or (d) levels of KL-6 in the serum. *P*-values were determined using the Mann-Whitney U test.

**Table S1** Characteristics of all patients based on the criteria of the PACIFIC Trial (*n* = 108).

**Table S2** Characteristics of the relapsed patients after CRT based on subsequent treatment with or without an immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) (*n* = 82).