Dynamic testing of asphalt mixes
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Abstract. In the presented research, conventional cyclic tension–compression complex modulus tests and dynamic tests were performed on an asphalt mix (AM) specimen. For the tension–compression tests, the complex modulus was calculated from the measurements of the axial strain and axial stress. For the dynamic tests, an automated impact hammer equipped with a load cell and an accelerometer were used to determine the frequency response functions (FRFs) at five different temperatures. A back-analysis using finite element method (FEM) calculations and a very simple modelling of the material behaviour was proposed to determine the complex modulus of the specimen at each tested temperature. Complex modulus results from dynamic and cyclic tests were compared and are in good agreement. The norm of the complex modulus obtained from dynamic test is slightly higher and no significant difference is seen for the phase angle. Part of the differences observed may be explained by the nonlinearity of AM (strain amplitude is about 500 times smaller for dynamic tests).

1 Introduction

Asphalt mixes (AM) have a linear viscoelastic (LVE) behaviour in the small strain domain [1]. Their properties are strongly dependent on frequency and temperature and the maximum ratio of modulus values can be up to one thousand. Therefore, traditional elastic analyses are not pertinent with AM. Cyclic tension–compression complex modulus tests are traditionally used to determine the LVE properties of AM but these tests require expensive experimental devices such as hydraulic presses and are not applicable in situ. Non-destructive dynamic tests are an economic alternative. They are simple to perform and possibly adaptable for measurements on pavement structures. Impulse techniques using impact loadings [2,3] are known to provide accurate characterization of material properties in the case of elastic materials [4,5]. In the case of LVE materials, dynamic tests could be a great alternative to conventional cyclic tension–compression tests. Recently, measurement of frequency response functions (FRFs) have been performed on AM [6–11]. Gudmarsson et al. [7, 8] and Carret et al. [9, 10] showed that using FRFs measurements to obtain the LVE properties of AM is a very promising approach. In this paper, the possibility of using a simple approach using finite element calculations to obtain the complex modulus of the material at each temperature from FRFs measurements is studied. The accuracy of the proposed approach is evaluated by comparing the results obtained from dynamic tests with results obtained from more conventional cyclic tension-compression tests. First, the material tested in this study is presented. Then, the LVE behaviour characterization with cyclic tension-compression tests is introduced. Next, dynamic tests are introduced and the proposed approach to back-analyse the dynamic measurements is explained. Finally, complex modulus data from tension–compression tests are compared with complex modulus results obtained with the proposed back-analysis of the dynamic tests.

2 Materials

The material tested in this study is a laboratory designed mix that was used in the IMPROVMURE project [12] from the French national research agency. It was fabricated using bitumen foam and it has a reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) content of 70%. More details about the specimen considered in this study are listed in Table 1.

| Mass (g) | Height (mm) | Diameter (mm) | Density (kg/m³) | Void Ratio (%) |
|---------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1330    | 123         | 75            | 2449            | 3.8           |

Table 1. Details of the material used in this study.

3 Linear viscoelastic (LVE) behaviour characterization: cyclic tension-compression tests

The linear viscoelastic (LVE) behaviour is commonly characterized using cyclic tension-compression complex modulus tests. They consist in applying cyclic sinusoidal axial loadings at various temperatures (from -25°C to 55°C) and frequencies (from 0.003 Hz to 10 Hz) using a hydraulic press in strain-controlled mode. The applied strain amplitude is around 50 μm/m and the axial strain εz
is measured with three extensometers placed at 120° from each other. The resulting axial stress $\sigma_z$ is measured with a load cell. The radial strain $\varepsilon_r$ can be derived from the measurements of two non-contact sensors but it was not considered in this study. The procedure developed at ENTPE/University of Lyon laboratory is detailed in other publications [13–16] and the test set-up is illustrated in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Tension-compression test set-up (ENTPE laboratory, University of Lyon).](image)

The complex notation of the axial stress and the axial strain are given in equation (1):

$$
\sigma_z^* = \sigma_{0z} e^{j\omega t}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_z^* = \varepsilon_{0z} e^{j(\omega t + \varphi_{\varepsilon z})}
$$

where $\omega$ is the pulsation ($\omega = 2\pi f$, where $f$ is the frequency), $\sigma_{0z}$ is the norm of the complex axial stress and $\varepsilon_{0z}$ and $\varphi_{\varepsilon z}$ are the norm and phase angle of the complex axial strain. An example of the experimental data of the axial strain and axial stress is given in Fig. 2 for two loading cycles at 15°C and 1 Hz.

![Fig. 2. Tension-compression experimental data (axial strain, axial stress and radial strain) for two loading cycles at 15°C and 1 Hz.](image)

The complex modulus is defined as the ratio between the axial stress and the axial strain (in complex notation) and it is calculated at each frequency and temperature according to equation (2):

$$
E^* = \frac{\sigma_z^*}{\varepsilon_z^*} = \frac{\sigma_{0z}}{\varepsilon_{0z}} e^{-j\varphi_{Ez}} = |E^*| e^{j\varphi_E}
$$

where $E^*$ is the norm of the complex modulus and $\varphi_E$ is the phase angle of the complex modulus. For most of the bituminous materials, the time temperature superposition principle (TTSP) is verified in the linear and nonlinear domains [17–19]. Therefore, it is possible to generate master curves at one reference temperature ($T_{ref}$) by shifting experimental data on the frequency axis. The shifted frequencies are called reduced frequencies ($f_{red}$), which are the frequencies of the other temperatures ($f_T$) multiplied by shift factors ($a_T$) that depend on the temperature $T$:

$$
f_{red} = a_T f_T
$$

The variation of the shift factors with temperature can be described with the Williams–Landel–Ferry (WLF) equation [20]:

$$
\log(a_T) = \frac{C_1(T - T_{ref})}{C_2 + T - T_{ref}}
$$

where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are the two constants of the WLF equation and $T_{ref}$ is the reference temperature.

### 4 Dynamic tests

Dynamic tests are economic tests and simple to perform in comparison with cyclic tension-compression tests. In this section the experimental set-up of the dynamic tests is first introduced. Then, the methodology to obtain the LVE properties from experimental measurements is explained.

#### 4.1 Experimental measurement of the Frequency Response Functions (FRFs)

First, the upper and lower metallic caps glued for the tension-compression tests were sawed before performing the dynamic measurements on the same specimen. An impact hammer equipped with a load cell (PCB model 086E80) was used to hit the cylindrical specimen in the middle of one short side (see Fig. 3). The impact hammer was automated to improve the repeatability of the test and to allow measurements directly inside the thermal chamber. The order of magnitude of the maximum strain induced in the specimen by the impact is about 0.1 μm/m [8-10]. The response of the material was recorded with an accelerometer (PCB model 353B15) glued in the middle of the opposite short side of the specimen (see Fig. 3). To achieve free boundary conditions, soft foam was placed under the specimen during the test. The test set-up is illustrated in Fig. 3.
Fig. 3. Test set up for the dynamic impact tests (ENTPE laboratory, University of Lyon).

The impact hammer and the accelerometer were connected to a signal conditioner (PCB model 482C15) itself connected to a data acquisition device (NI USB-6356) connected to a computer. The applied force and the acceleration were recorded with a sampling frequency of 1 MHz by using a MATLAB application which was specifically developed for this test. The test was repeated at five temperatures (−20, 0, 15, 35 and 50 °C) and five impacts were applied at each temperature. Fig. 4 shows an example of the time domain signals for the five hits at 15°C.

Fig. 4. Experimental data for the dynamic testing (5 hits). (a) Force in time domain; (b) Acceleration in time domain.

The experimental data in time domain were then converted in frequency domain with a 1 Hz resolution using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). The FRFs were calculated from the frequency domain signals as follow:

\[ H(f) = \frac{Y(f)X^*(f)}{X(f)X^*(f)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( H \) is the FRF, \( Y \) is the FFT of the measured acceleration, \( X \) is the FFT of the applied force, \( X^* \) is the complex conjugate of the FFT of the applied force and the bar above corresponds to the arithmetic average from the five impacts. The amplitude of the FRFs calculated at the five tested temperatures according to equation (5) are plotted in Fig. 5. It is observed in Fig. 5 that the resonance frequency and the amplitude at the resonance decrease when temperature increases, which indicate changes of material properties because of the viscoelastic behaviour of the specimen.

The LVE behaviour of the material was simply modelled with a constant complex modulus independent of the frequency and temperature and a Poisson’s ratio fixed at 0.3:

\[ E^* = E e^{i\phi} \]
\[ \nu = 0.3 \]

(6)

where \( E \) and \( \phi \) are the norm and phase angle of the complex modulus. Finally, numerical FRFs were calculated at the desired frequencies by resolving the following three-dimensional equation of motion in frequency domain:

\[ -\rho \omega^2 u - \nabla \sigma = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where \( \rho \) is the bulk density of the material, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( u \) is the displacement vector, \( \nabla \) is the gradient tensor operator and \( \sigma \) is the Cauchy stress tensor. Note that in the numerical simulations, the force applied in experimental tests at the position of the impact is replaced by a unity load. Consequently, the calculated FRFs directly correspond to the calculated accelerations in direction Z (direction of vibration of the accelerometer in physical tests).
4.3 Complex modulus from the first resonance peak only

Carret et al. [10] showed that the value of the Poisson’s ratio has a very small influence on the FRFs calculation and that the norm and phase angle of the complex modulus can be determined from FRFs measurement at each temperature with a simple process described in Fig. 7. First, the measured fundamental resonance frequency (f1) is used to back-calculate by dichotomy the norm of the complex modulus so that the fundamental resonance frequency of the calculated FRFs matches f1. Then, the phase angle of the complex modulus is determined similarly, so that the measured amplitude at the fundamental resonance frequency (A1) and the calculated one matches. With this approach, one value of the complex modulus is back-calculated from dynamic tests at the first resonance frequency for each tested temperature.

![Fig. 7. Principle of the determination of the LVE properties E and ϕ at each temperature (example at 15°C).](image)

5 Results and analysis

The values of the norm and phase angle of the complex modulus determined from dynamic tests at the five temperatures of measurement are listed in Table 2. The norm (resp. the phase angle) of the complex modulus decreases (resp. increases) as temperature increases. This is an expected result due to the viscoelastic behaviour of the material. When temperature increases, the damping in the specimen increases and the viscous component of the behaviour is more important. As a result, the phase angle of the complex modulus increases and the norm of the complex modulus decreases.

![Table 2. LVE properties E and ϕ obtained from the dynamic tests at the five tested temperatures.](table)

| Temperature (°C) | -21 | -0.3 | 15 | 34.2 | 48.7 |
|------------------|-----|------|----|------|------|
| E (MPa)          | 37217 | 32793 | 26567 | 17153 | 9498 |
| ϕ (°)            | 0.61 | 1.82 | 4.79 | 11.82 | 22.50 |

The results obtained from dynamic tests were compared with the results of the cyclic tension-compression tests. The master curves at 15°C of the norm and phase angle of the complex modulus determined from the two tests are plotted in Fig. 8. The constants of the WLF equation determined from the tension-compression tests (C1=24.9 and C2=166.6 at 15°C) were also used to shift the data from dynamic tests. A good proximity between the results from dynamic and cyclic tests is seen in Fig. 8, both for the norm and phase angle of the complex modulus. The norm of the complex modulus determined from dynamic tests is slightly higher and the difference tends to increase with temperature (or when reduced frequencies decrease). However, the difference remains less than about 15% on the whole considered reduced frequency range. For the phase angle, the results from both tests are almost overlaid and no significant difference can be seen.

![Fig.8. Master curves of the norm and phase of the complex modulus for the tension-compression and the dynamic tests.](image)

As a reminder, the applied strain amplitude is approximately 500 times lower in dynamic tests than in tension-compression tests. Due to the nonlinear behaviour of AM with the strain amplitude [21-23], the norm of the complex modulus obtained from dynamic tests is expected to be higher than the norm of the complex modulus obtained from tension-compression tests. Therefore, nonlinearities may explain at least part of the differences observed between dynamic and cyclic tests results. The previous observations demonstrate that dynamic tests are a promising approach to accurately characterize the LVE behaviour of AM over a wide reduced frequency range.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, conventional cyclic tension–compression tests and dynamic tests were performed to characterize the LVE behaviour of an AM specimen. The experimental measurements from dynamic tests were back-analysed with a simple procedure to determine one value of the complex modulus at the first resonance frequency for each tested temperature. The complex modulus values determined from dynamic tests were then compared to the complex modulus data from cyclic tension–compression tests, assuming the same shift factors are valid for both tests. The norm of the complex modulus obtained from dynamic tests is slightly higher than the one determined...
with the conventional approach using cyclic tests. The differences observed between the two tests tend to increase with temperature but remain less than about 15% over the whole reduced frequency range. For the phase angle, results from both tests are in very good agreement. Since the strain level is approximately 500 times lower in the dynamic tests, the nonlinearity of AM with the level of strain amplitude may explain a part of the differences. The presented research shows that dynamic tests, which have the great advantage of being economic and simple to perform, can be back-analysed with a very simple model and can provide the complex modulus of AM on a wide range of frequencies and temperatures with a good accuracy.
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