Resource scarcity but not maternal separation provokes unpredictable maternal care sequences in mice and both upregulate Crh-associated gene expression in the amygdala
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1. Introduction

Early postnatal life represents a sensitive period during which brain maturation is highly influenced by sensory signals from the environment (Khazipov et al., 2004; Hensch 2005; Barkat et al. 2011; Espinosa and Stryker 2012; Short and Baram 2019). A great deal is known about the...
impact of the early environment on sensory and motor development. However, despite compelling evidence linking early life adversity (ELA) with long-term disruption in the activity and structure of stress circuits in both humans (Tottenham et al., 2010; Dylan G. Gee et al., 2014; Godoy et al., 2018) and rodents (Bolton et al., 2018; Manzano Nieves et al., 2020; Goodwill et al., 2018; Demaestri et al., 2020; Bath et al. 2016; Kangas et al., 2022; Levis et al., 2021; Honeycutt et al., 2020), much less information is available with regard to environmental effects on the development of neuronal populations and circuits that process signals related to stress (Birnir and Baram 2022). Improving our understanding of the nature, type, and timing of the signals that influence neurodevelopmental trajectories of these circuits will inform the development of individualized treatments and interventions.

In humans (Nelson et al., 2007), primates (Pyrcz et al., 2005; Sánchez et al., 1998), and rodent models (Levine 1957; Demaestri et al., 2020; Chen and Baram 2016), exposure to physical and psychosocial stress early in life has a profound impact on neural and behavioral development. In prior work, ELA has been shown to increase the risk for adverse outcomes and these effects appear to derive more from the ‘psychosocial’ rather than physical aspects of the adversity (Bolton et al. 2019; Herzog and Schmahl 2018). The primary caregiver during early postnatal development is often the most potent source of social and physiological signals in the early, proximate environment. Thus, an extensive amount of work has focused on the elements of care that might constitute ELA. Indeed, the quantity and quality of postnatal care influences neurodevelopmental outcomes, especially with regard to the programming of stress circuits (Norman et al., 2012; Glod and Teicher 1996; Herzog and Schmahl 2018; Nelson et al., 2020; Short et al., 2021).

To date, the majority of work has centered on the valence of the care signals from the caregiver to human infant or to the rodent pup such as the quality and quantity of behaviors. In recent years, the focus has begun to shift to the patterns of caregiver behaviors, with findings from recent reports identifying a strong impact of alterations in the pattern of care on structural and functional brain circuit development across species (Davis et al., 2017, 2019; Molet et al., 2016a,b; Manzano Nieves et al., 2020; Gallo et al., 2019; Bath et al. 2016; Demaestri et al., 2020; Granger et al., 2021; Glynn and Baram 2019; Birnir and Baram 2022). Unpredictable sequences of caregiving behaviors, regardless of the quality or quantity of those behaviors, were predictive of significant shifts in the timing of cognitive and emotional development, as well as with enduring consequences on behavioral outcomes. Specifically, models that induce fragmented patterns of care have been causally linked with effects on brain maturation (Ivy et al., 2008; Molet et al., 2016a; Molet et al., 2016b; Walker et al., 2017; Gallo et al., 2019; Bath et al. 2016).

The type of adversity experienced, as well as the sex of the individual experiencing the adversity, can also significantly influence neurodevelopmental outcomes (Demaestri et al., 2020; Norman et al., 2012; Herzog and Schmahl 2018; Nelson et al., 2020; Baram et al., 2012; Luby et al., 2020). In humans, the effects of the type, timing and duration of adversities experienced have been difficult to isolate and directly test due to significant heterogeneity and overlap in these variables (Luby et al., 2020; Malter Cohen et al., 2013; Tottenham and Sheridan 2010; VanTieghem and Tottenham, 2018) and sex differences in the patterns of adversity experienced (Haahr-Pedersen et al., 2020). Experimental models have allowed for the direct manipulation of the type of adversity, as well as tight control over its timing, severity and duration, and measurements of specific outcomes on a uniform genetic background in males and females. Two widely used models of ELA in rodents are the limited bedding and nesting (LBN) and the maternal separation (MS) paradigms which were designed to simulate adversity associated with loss of resources and absence of parental care, respectively. The type of adversity experienced leads to unique consequences on developmental processes and outcomes (Demaestri et al., 2020; Peña et al., 2019; Granata et al., 2021). However, questions remain regarding the impact of each on maternal care and whether these changes are associated with differential outcomes in males and females.

The mechanisms supporting enduring consequences of a transiently experienced form of ELA are not fully understood. One identified candidate mechanism involves long-lasting molecular changes, which alter the level and timing of expression of crucial genes supporting neurodevelopment (Fiore and Turecki, 2016; Nestler 2012; Vaiserman and Koliada 2017; Peña et al. 2019; Bolton et al., 2020). Indeed, significant effects of ELA on the transcriptome have been established (Short et al., 2021; Bolton et al., 2020). One key molecular target that has been identified to be impacted by maternal signals is corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH). The expression of the Crh family of genes and receptors in the neonate amygdala reflects the initiation of threat learning (Moreau et al., 2006, 2009) and are particularly sensitive to changes in the early environment (Bolton et al., 2018, 2019; Dubé et al., 2015). CRH release in the amygdala acts through its two receptors, CRH receptor 1 (CRH1) and CRH2, and is thought to be regulated by CRH binding protein (CRHBP) (Kalin 2018). While the unique developmental roles of CRH1 and CRH2 are still being established, evidence supports an involvement of both receptors in the development of stress-related emotional dysfunction (Reul and Holboer 2002; Eghbal-Ahmad et al., 1997).

As maternal-derived sensory signals to the pups may drive enduring changes in gene expression and brain circuit development, the current study sought to address two related questions: First, how do two widely used models of ELA, limited bedding and nesting (LBN) and maternal separation (MS), influence patterns of maternal care. Second, what is the influence of such changes on gene expression in a key brain region supporting future responses to stress, the amygdala, considering the important role of sex in modulating the impact of ELA. We tested the hypothesis that different forms of ELA distinctly alter the predictability of maternal care and promote elevated expression of CRH-related genes in the amygdala, modulated by the type of ELA and sex.

2. Methods

2.1. Mice and housing

C57BL/6N mice were bred in house and maintained on a 12 h:12 h light cycle (lights on at 7AM) with ad libitum access to food and water. Mice were housed in 31 × 12 × 14 cm cages with cob bedding and 4 × 4 cm cotton nestlet. Litters were composed of both male and female pups and ranged from 4 to 8 pups per litter. A total of 16 litters were used for the maternal behavior recordings (control:6, LBN:5, MS:5) and a total of 23 separate litters were used for brain extraction at either PD8, PD16 or PD21 (control:8, LBN: 7, MS, 8). Virgin dams were not used for the current studies. Pups were weaned and sex segregated at postnatal day (PD) 21. All animal procedures were approved by the Brown University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and consistent with the guide for the care and use of animals in research.

2.2. Maternal separation paradigm

A breeder female and male were housed in a standard home cage with cob bedding and a 4 × 4 cm cotton nestlet. All mice were bred in house and checked daily for the birth of pups (PD0). On PD4, prior to the start of MS, the male was permanently separated from dam and pups. From PD4 to PD11, the pups were removed daily from their home cage and dam for 3 h per day between the hours of ~9 a.m. and ~12 p.m. (Fig. 1A). During this separation, the pups were each individually placed in separate cups that contained soiled home cage bedding and that were secured in cup holders in a circulating water bath maintained at 35–37 °C to support pup thermoregulation. On PD11, the pups were returned to their standard housing conditions after the 3-h separation where they remained with the dam until weaning at PD21.
2.3. Limited bedding and nesting paradigm

A breeder female and male were pair-housed in a standard home cage with bedding and a 4 × 4 cm cotton nestlet for nest building. All mice were bred in house and checked daily for the birth of pups. At PD4, the dam and pups were transferred from their standard home cage, to a cage with a wire mesh floor, no bedding, and approximately 3 × 4 cm cotton nestlet (Fig. 1A). Mice remained in the LBN housing conditions for seven days. On PD11, the dam and pups were returned to their standard housing conditions with nesting and bedding material where they remained until weaning at PD21.

2.4. Home cage video monitoring

Mice in LBN, MS or control conditions were maintained in a specialized housing room in the vivarium, housed in 31 × 31 × 14 cm cages with a clear plexiglass cage topper with ventilation holes. Mice were transferred to the recording room in the vivarium when pups were PD6, allowing two days for habituation to the recording room prior to PD8 recording. Mice remained in this room for 4 consecutive days (PD6–PD10). Throughout the home-cage video monitoring, LBN and control cages were unhandled and continuously recorded with the aid of an overhead camera. MS dams were handled for daily pup separations at ~9:00AM and return at ~12:00PM. Groups were completed in cohorts of 2–3 dams per recording and MS recording did not overlap with LBN or control recordings. Using Ethovision, maternal behaviors were manually scored on PD8 from the overhead video. To sample maternal behaviors throughout PD8, three 1-h-long epochs were analyzed: 8:00 a.m.–9:00 a.m. (prior to MS separation), 12:00 p.m.–1:00 p.m. (immediately after MS pup return), 11:00 p.m. – 12:00 a.m. (dark-phase). The 11:00PM time point was chosen so as to assess maternal behavior during the dark cycle that had stabilized post lights-off. The remainder of videos were cataloged and can be made available upon request for further evaluation. On PD10, cages were returned to the vivarium, where they continued either one additional day of ELA rearing or control conditions.

2.5. Maternal behavior

On PD8, home-cage recordings were analyzed during three 1-h time points starting at 8AM, 12PM, and 11PM. The following behaviors were manually scored based on overhead recordings: frequency of nest sorties, on-nest duration, nest fluffing, licking and grooming of pups, nursing of pups, self-grooming (dam), and eating and drinking. The frequency of nest sorties was calculated by quantifying the frequency of

Fig. 1. Experimental Timeline and Entropy Score. A) From postnatal day (PD)4-PD11, pups and dams were exposed to either control rearing, limited bedding and nesting (LBN) rearing or 3hr/day maternal separation (MS). Maternal behavior was analyzed from three, 1 h-long sessions starting at 8AM, 12PM, and 11PM on PD8 and brain tissue was collected at PD8, PD16 and PD21. B) Entropy score averaged across three timepoints. LBN rearing increased dam entropy score compared to control. C) Entropy score at 8AM, 12PM, and 11PM on PD8. LBN increased entropy score relative to controls at 12PM. Pup reunion to MS dams at 12PM did not change entropy score. n/group = 5–6. Plots depict mean ± SEM; circles represent individual dams; “a” represents LBN different to control at that time point. (p* <0.05, **<0.01).
2.6. Entropy score

The entropy score is a summary measure of randomness or unpredictability of a probability distribution. The entropy rate can be used to measure the unpredictability of a sequence of observed behaviors, in this case the 7 maternal behaviors described above. The dam’s sequence of behaviors was characterized using the empirical transition matrix \( p_{ij} \) of conditional probabilities of moving from one behavior (behavior \( i \)) to another (behavior \( j \)). The entropy rate of each dam was calculated from the transition matrix as previously described (Molet et al., 2016a,b; Vegetabile et al., 2019) and as follows:

\[
E = - \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \log(p_{ij})
\]

where \( p_{ij} \) is the conditional probability that maternal behavior \( j \) is observed next after a mother is observed performing behavior \( i \), \( xi \) is the frequency with which behavior \( i \) is observed, and \( M (= 7) \) is the number of different behaviors. Entropy was calculated using R (version 4.0.3; code available at https://github.com/bvegetable/entropyrate).

2.7. Brain tissue extraction, RNA purification, and cDNA synthesis

In separate cohorts of mice that did not undergo maternal behavior recordings, brain punches were collected from the amygdala in order to measure relative abundance of gene transcripts that could serve as markers of the levels of Crh-related gene expression in response to ELA. At predefined timepoints (PD8, PD16, PD21), mice were euthanized between 8:30AM and 9:30AM by rapid decapitation and the full brain was immediately extracted and hand-dissected using Allen Brain Atlas as a guide (Franklin and Paxinos, 2019). To eliminate potential effects due to a reduction in litter size, litters were maintained at \( \approx 70\% \) of its total size such that either a maximum of two pups were taken in litters that would continue to develop to later time points or whereby all pups were euthanized at that timepoint, with two pups per sex (4 total) being included in these analyses, to eliminate potential cohort effects. This led to a total of 23 litters (control: 8, LBN: 7, MS, 8) for collection of 112 pups for an \( n = 6-7 \) per group. Amygdala punches were collected and homogenized in RNAlater (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH) and stored at \( -80 \) °C until further processing. Single stranded cDNA was synthesized using random priming (N7 primers) with the aid of New England Biolabs MmULV protocols (NEB, Ipswitch, MA). cDNA was solubilized in 25 μl of 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. 1 mM EDTA. Due to inadequate RNA or cDNA extractions, a total of 16 samples were excluded from the analysis, leading to a total of 96 pups composed of \( n = 5-7 \) per group, with the exception of LBN male PD8 and LBN male PD21 groups which included \( n = 4 \).

2.8. Realtime qPCR

Predesigned and pre-validated Taqman assays from Applied Biosystem (Life Technologies, Norwalk, CT) were run in triplicates with the housekeeping gene 18s rRNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, CAT: 43109893E). Relative gene expression was calculated for Crh (Mm01293920_S1), CrhBP (Mm01283832_m1), CrhR1 (Mm00432670_m1), and CrhR2 (Mm00438308_m1) at PD8, PD16 and PD21 using the fold change method (\( 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} \)). The triplicate cycle threshold (\( Ct \)) values were averaged for the gene of interest and 18s for each sample to calculate the \( \Delta\Delta Ct \) between the gene of interest and 18s and then \( \Delta\Delta Ct \) from the control group. Female controls at each time point were used as the control group, to test for effects of rearing and rearing x sex interactions. All gene expression profiling was completed using CFX384 RT-qPCR system (Biorad, Hercules, CA).

2.9. Statistical analysis

We first screened for outliers, removing values that were more than 3 standard deviations away from the mean. We then performed one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)s to test for effects of rearing group on maternal behaviors. Maternal behaviors were assessed as a cumulative score on PD8 where three 1-h blocks of data were sampled across the 24hr period (8:00AM, 12:00PM, 11:00PM). For this cumulative data point, entropy, nest bout length, duration spent on nest, fluffing, nursing, self-grooming, and eating and drinking were averaged across the three timepoints and frequency of nest sorts and pup licking were summed across three time points. To test for changes specific to the time of day, a repeated measures ANOVA was used to test for rearing x time interactions for each behavior. Significant main effects (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)) were followed up with post-hoc tests using Tukey’s correction for multiple comparisons. In addition, due to a-priori hypotheses regarding changes associated with pup reunions in MS groups and prior results from our lab indicating circadian effects of LBN on maternal behavior (Gallo et al., 2019), post-hoc tests included comparisons at each of the 3 h-long timepoints. Two-way ANOVAs were used to test for changes as a result of rearing, sex and a sex x rearing interaction on the expression of Crh, CrhBP, CrhR1, and CrhR2 at PD8, PD16 and PD21. Effect sizes were estimated by calculating the value of Partial \( \eta^2 \) (SSeffect + SSError/S-Sseffect) and power analysis were calculated using G*Power 3.1 tool.

3. Results

3.1. LBN rearing increased dam entropy score, indexing unpredictable sequences of dam behavior

The type of rearing experienced during early life altered the predictability of maternal care (\( F_{2,13} = 7.581, p = 0.006, \text{r}_{\text{partial}} = 0.538, 1 - \beta = 0.938 \)) on PD8, as indexed by an elevation in the entropy score in the LBN manipulation (Fig. 1B). The average entropy score at PD8 of dams in LBN cages was higher compared to controls (\( p = 0.005 \)). The entropy score in LBN dams was also higher than MS, but this difference did not reach statistical significance (\( p = 0.070 \)). To determine if either the positive effect of treatment (or lack of effect) on entropy score were driven by a particular time point, a rearing x time interaction was tested for, using entropy scores calculated at 8:00AM, 12:00PM, and 11:00PM. The effect of treatment on entropy did not interact with the time of day.
3.2. LBN and MS increased the frequency of dam sorties from the nest and decreased the length of time on nest

The type of rearing condition significantly influenced all nest-directed behaviors measured at PD8: frequency of nest sorties (F_{2,13} = 6.162, p = 0.013, η²_{partial} = 0.486, 1-β = 0.880; Fig. 2A), the length of on-nest bouts (F_{2,13} = 6.199, p = 0.012, η²_{partial} = 0.488, 1-β = 0.882; Fig. 2C), the time that the dam spent on nest (F_{2,13} = 4.811, p = 0.027, η²_{partial} = 0.425, 1-β = 0.785; Fig. 2E), and nest fluffing (F_{2,13} = 5.394, p = 0.019, η²_{partial} = 0.453, 1-β = 0.832; Fig. 2G). LBN dams newborn behavior frequency was increased (p = 0.029) and resulted in shorter length of bouts on the nest (p = 0.018), a well-documented consequence of LBN (Rice et al., 2008; Gallo et al., 2019; Heun-Johnson and Levitt, 2016). LBN dams also spent more time on the nest compared to controls (p = 0.026). MS dams also led to an increase frequency of nest sorties (p = 0.029) and decreased on-nest bout length (p = 0.036) but did not alter the time spent on nest compared to control dams (p = 0.580). Nest fluffing was the only nest-directed behavior that was altered by MS, but not by LBN, with MS dams spending greater time fluffing the nest compared to both control (p = 0.049) and LBN (p = 0.023) dams.

Due to diurnal fluctuations of behavior of mice, the levels of maternal behavior have the potential to vary across the time points measured (Butler-Struben et al. 2022; Gallo et al. 2019). Further, MS pups were returned to the dam just prior to the 12:00PM time point, which could influence behaviors measured at this time point. To test for effects of rearing condition on maternal behaviors across time, we tested for interaction between rearing condition x time of day. The effect of rearing on nest sorts were not dependent on time of day (rearing x time: F_{4,26} = 2.434, p = 0.065, η²_{partial} = 0.416, 1-β = 0.605). Post-hoc follow-up tests were conducted to directly assess the contribution of pup return to MS dams on nest sorts which revealed that MS dams did show increased nest sorts compared to controls immediately following being reunited with pups (p = 0.002; Fig. 2B). At this same time point we also observed higher levels of nest sorts in LBN dams (p = 0.050; Fig. 2B). The effect of rearing on nest bout length was dependent on the time of day the measure was collected (rearing x time: F_{4,26} = 4.634, p = 0.005, η²_{partial} = 0.416, 1-β = 0.605). Both LBN and MS dams exhibited a shorter length of nest bouts compared to controls (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.001, respectively) at 12:00PM, but not at either 8:00AM or 11:00PM timepoints (p > 0.05; Fig. 2D). A rearing x time interaction (F_{4,26} = 6.729, p < 0.001, η²_{partial} = 0.508, 1-β = 0.785) was also observed for the duration of time spent on nest, with LBN dams spending significantly more time on the nest compared to both control (p < 0.0001) and MS (p = 0.018) at 11:00PM timepoint (Fig. 2F). Further, while a rearing x time interaction was not observed for the duration of nest fluffing (F_{4,26} = 1.444, p < 0.247, η²_{partial} = 0.181, 1-β = 0.208), post-hoc analysis at 12:00PM was conducted to directly assess the contribution of pup return to MS dams on nest sorts. Nest fluffing was higher in MS compared to controls following pup reunion when MS dams spent longer fluffing their nest than controls (p = 0.007) and LBN (p = 0.021) dams (Fig. 2H).

3.3. LBN and MS increased the frequency of licking pups and differentially altered diurnal pattern of nursing behavior

Rearing condition differentially altered pup-directed behaviors of the dam. On PD8, the frequency of licking pups (F_{2,13} = 13.890, p = 0.0006, η²_{partial} = 0.682, 1-β = 0.997; Fig. 3A), but not duration of nursing (F_{2,13} = 2.254, p = 0.144, η²_{partial} = 0.257, 1-β = 0.451; Fig. 3C) differed across conditions. Both MS (p = 0.008) and LBN (p = 0.012) dams spent more time licking pups compared to controls. To determine if the effects were driven by a particular timepoint, interaction between rearing condition and time on pup directed behaviors were tested for. For frequency of licking pups, the interaction between rearing condition and time was not significant (rearing x time: F_{4,26} = 2.95, p = 0.878, η²_{partial} = 0.043, 1-β = 0.077; Fig. 3B). Due to the manipulation returning pups to the dam in the MS condition at 12PM, planned post-hoc tests were carried out for this time point and revealed that MS dams showed increased frequency of licking pups compared to controls at 12:00PM (p = 0.011), and that this effect was also present at the 11:00PM timepoint (p = 0.026; Fig. 3B). A significant interaction between rearing and time of day was found for time spent nursing (rearing x time: F_{4,26} = 6.583, p = 0.0008, η²_{partial} = 0.502, 1-β = 0.773; Fig. 3D). MS dams nursed less compared to controls at the 12:00PM timepoint (p = 0.006), and a similar trend was observed for the LBN dams (p = 0.051; Fig. 3D). At 11:00PM, no differences between MS dams and controls were found for duration of nursing (p = 0.825). In contrast, LBN spent more time nursing compared to controls (p = 0.001) suggesting that, although the time spent nursing may have occurred at different times of day, their overall nursing time over the day was comparable to that of controls (Mole et al., 2016a,b).

3.4. Rearing condition differentially changed quantity of dam self-directed behaviors

Dam self-directed behaviors were also altered as a result of rearing condition. There was a significant main effect of rearing on overall time spent self-grooming (F_{2,13} = 3.968, p = 0.045, η²_{partial} = 0.379, 1-β = 0.701; Fig. 4A) and eating and drinking (F_{2,13} = 4.484, p = 0.030, η²_{partial} = 0.408, 1-β = 0.755; Fig. 4C) on PD8. LBN dams trended towards spending more time self-grooming than MS dams (p = 0.055) and decreased time eating and drinking compared to controls (p = 0.033). To test if these changes were driven by a particular time of day, we tested for interactions between time of day and the measures of self-grooming, eating, and drinking. We found that the effects of rearing on self-grooming were not dependent on time of day (rearing x time: F_{4,26} = 2.207, p = 0.096, η²_{partial} = 0.253, 1-β = 0.308; Fig. 4B). A significant interaction was observed between time of day and time spent eating and drinking (rearing x time: F_{4,26} = 7.610, p = 0.0003, η²_{partial} = 0.539, 1-β = 0.837; Fig. 3D). Post-hoc tests were conducted for both self-grooming and eating and drinking to directly test for changes associated with pup reunion to MS dams and circadian cycle, which we have observed previously (Gallo et al., 2019). MS rearing did not change the duration of self-directed behaviors upon pup reunion (p > 0.05). LBN dams spent more time self-grooming compared to controls at 11:00PM, during the dark cycle (p = 0.009), at which point both LBN and MS dams spent less time eating and drinking compared to controls (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.014, respectively).

3.5. Expression of Crh-related genes in the amygdala in male and female mice are differentially influenced by LBN and MS rearing

The expression, and potentially function, of Crh and its receptors are influenced by early-life experiences (Eghbal-Ahmadi et al., 1997; Bolton et al. 2020; Short et al., 2021). Therefore, we tested for effects of rearing condition on the expression of Crh-related genes in the amygdala. Specifically, the expression of Crh, CrhδP, CrhR1 and CrhR2 mRNA was quantitated at three developmental time points. This included PD8, four days following the start of differential rearing, PD16, five days following the end of adversity rearing, and PD21, prior to weaning. Sex was considered an important parameter that could moderate the effects of ELAs on developmental gene expression. Thus, we tested for main effects of rearing condition in addition to main effects of sex and sex x rearing interactions.
Fig. 2. Nest Associated Behaviors: Nest associated behaviors were sampled across three 1-h timepoints on PD8. Behaviors were analyzed cumulatively and also at each time point to assess for changes associated with time of day and MS pup reunion. A) LBN and MS increased the frequency of nest sorties. B) The observed increase in nest sorties in LBN and MS dams occurred at 12PM. C) LBN and MS dams spent shorter periods of time on nest relative to controls. D) The nest bout lengths in LBN and MS dams were shorter relative to controls at 12PM. E) LBN dams spent more time on the nest compared to controls. F) The observed LBN increase in on-nest duration occurred at 11PM and was greater compared to controls and MS. G) MS dams spent more time fluffing their nest. H) MS dams increased their nest fluffing compared to controls and LBN at 12PM, when pups are returned from 3hr separation. n/group = 5-6. Plots depict mean ± SEM; circles represent individual dams; “a” represents LBN different to control at that time point. “b” represents MS different to control at that time point. “c” represents LBN and MS different to each other at that time point. (p* < 0.05, ** < 0.01, **** < 0.0001).
No significant main effect of rearing was found for the expression of Crh at PD8, PD16 or PD21 (Fig. 5A-C). However, a significant sex x rearing interaction was found for the levels of Crh at PD16 ($F_{2,27} = 6.138, p = 0.006$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.312, 1-\beta = 0.915$; Fig. 5D). Higher expression of Crh was found in males who experienced MS compared to both control ($p = 0.002$) and LBN males ($p = 0.008$). The expression of CrhBP was also found to be altered at PD16, leading to both a main effect of rearing ($F_{2,20} = 4.375, p = 0.021$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.225, 1-\beta = 0.791$) and a sex x rearing interaction ($F_{2,20} = 5.313, p = 0.011$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.261, 1-\beta = 0.868$; Fig. 5E). The type of ELA experienced increased CrhBP expression levels, with effects being sex-dependent. LBN led to higher levels of CrhBP in females ($p = 0.028$), but not males ($p = 0.991$) and MS increased CrhBP levels in males ($p = 0.011$), but not females ($p = 0.261$). By PD21, CrhBP expression levels were equivalent across all rearing groups in females. However, for males, levels of CrhBP in MS reared mice remained elevated compared to both control ($p = 0.007$) and LBN males ($p = 0.006$), evidenced by a sex-rearing interaction ($F_{2,28} = 6.194, p = 0.005$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.306, 1-\beta = 0.933$, Fig. 5F). Rearing condition also led increased Crhr1 expression, with effects emerging as early as PD8 ($F_{2,28} = 5.449, p = 0.009$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.254, 1-\beta = 0.876$; Fig. 5G), persisting through PD16 ($F_{2,28} = 6.021, p = 0.006$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.301, 1-\beta = 0.909$; Fig. 5H) and lasting into PD21 ($F_{2,27} = 3.708, p = 0.037$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.215, 1-\beta = 0.721$; Fig. 5I). At PD8, MS reared mice had elevated CrhR1 expression levels compared to controls ($p = 0.008$, Fig. 5G). At PD16 a sex x rearing interaction ($F_{2,28} = 3.77, p = 0.035$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.212, 1-\beta = 0.713$; Fig. 5H) revealed increased CrhR1 expression in LBN females compared to controls females ($p = 0.028$) and higher CrhR1 levels in MS males compared to both control ($p = 0.010$) and LBN males ($p = 0.026$, Fig. 5I). The expression of CrhR2 was also altered by rearing. At PD8, for CrhR2 we observed both a main effect of rearing condition ($F_{2,31} = 3.435, p = 0.044$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.182, 1-\beta = 0.685$) and sex x rearing interaction ($F_{2,31} = 4.125, p = 0.025$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.201, 1-\beta = 0.741$), with MS males having elevated CrhR2 expression compared to control males ($p = 0.007$, Fig. 5J). The effect of rearing on CrhR2 expression at PD16 and PD21 appeared altered but did not reach statistical significance (PD16: $F_{2,27} = 3.256, p = 0.054$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.194, 1-\beta = 0.682$; PD21: $F_{2,26} = 3.019, p = 0.055$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.199, 1-\beta = 0.676$, Fig. 5K-L). In summary, the type of adversity experienced led to changes in the expression of Crh-related genes that were often dependent on the sex of the pup.

We also tested for a main effect of sex on gene expression, as these differences could provide insight into differential responses to adversity in males and females. For females, Crh gene expression was elevated relative to males at PD8 ($F_{1,26} = 3.871, p = 0.059$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.129, 1-\beta = 0.788$, Fig. 5A) and levels in males were significantly higher than females by PD21 ($F_{1,26} = 9.417, p = 0.005$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.265, 1-\beta = 0.904$, Fig. 5C). CrhBP expression also differed based on the sex of the pup. At PD16 we observed a main effect of sex ($F_{1,30} = 6.522, p = 0.005$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.227, 1-\beta = 0.882$) with LBN females having higher expression of CrhBP compared to LBN males ($p = 0.004$, Fig. 5E). CrhR1 was not altered by sex at any developmental timepoint (Fig. 5G-I). CrhR2 expression was higher in males at PD8 compared to females ($F_{1,31} = 12.41, p = 0.017$, $\eta_{partial}^2 = 0.168, 1-\beta = 0.754$, Fig. 5J), but not other time points measured. That effect at PD8 appeared to be driven
primarily by male MS mice having higher expression than female MS mice ($p = 0.002$).

4. Discussion

In the current report, the effects of the LBN and MS models of ELA on maternal care behaviors were compared, with a particular focus on entropy score as a quantitative measure of the predictability of dam behavior. Both models of ELA altered maternal behavior compared to control dams. A key distinguishing feature of the two paradigms was the predictability of dam behavior, with LBN dams engaging in more unpredictable sequences of behavior compared to controls. Testing for changes in gene expression in the amygdala, a region particularly vulnerable to postnatal adversity (VanTieghem and Tottenham, 2018; Donnici et al., 2021; D. G. Gee et al., 2013), the type of ELA experienced led to altered expression patterns of $Crh$-related genes. LBN and MS led to distinct effects on expression profiles of $Crh$-related genes that emerged in a sex-dependent manner, an indication that alterations in maternal signals resulting from the two different forms of ELA can have disparate effects on male and female brain development.

4.1. LBN provokes unpredictable dam behavior

Higher entropy scores (unpredictable maternal care) were apparent in the LBN but not MS model of ELA. Consistent with prior findings in rats (Molet et al., 2016a,b), higher entropy scores were observed in LBN dams compared to control reared dams, with more robust effects observed during the light phase. The current findings add to the existing literature identifying predictability of care, in addition to fragmentation of care, as a consistent feature of LBN rearing (Gallo et al., 2019; Rice et al., 2008; Baram et al., 2012; Molet et al., 2016a,b). A conflicting report failed to find a similar heightened entropy score using the LBN model in rats (Granata et al., 2021). The single time point, and shorter bout of observation used in that report may not have provided sufficient sampling to observe alterations in entropy. In humans, unpredictable maternal care is associated with poor regulation of the stress response in infants (Noroña-Zhou et al., 2020), with cognitive dysfunction later in life (Davis et al., 2017, 2019; Luby et al., 2020) and with poorer effortful control, a key predictor of emotional health (Davis et al., 2019). Given the cross-species influence of unpredictable maternal care on emotional dysfunction, the LBN model may provide a useful translational model to understand the mechanistic effects of early life unpredictability on human pathology.

4.2. LBN and MS lead to fragmented dam behavior

Both LBN and MS rearing led to increased nest sorties and a decrease in the duration of on-nest bouts. It has been well established that LBN leads to fragmented maternal care as indexed by increased nest sorties and decreased nest bout length (Gallo et al., 2019; Rice et al., 2008; Baram et al., 2012; Orso et al., 2019; Heun-Johnson and Levitt 2016). Our results confirm this feature of the LBN manipulation and add to the literature identifying a fragmentation in maternal care provided during the MS ELA manipulations in mice. The fragmentation in care resulting
Fig. 5. Gene expression of Crh-related genes in the amygdala. Separate cohorts of male and female mouse pups who experienced either control, LBN, or MS rearing were sacrificed at postnatal day (PD)8, PD16, and PD21 for RTqPCR. Relative gene expression was quantified for corticotropin releasing hormone (Crh), Crh binding protein (CrhBP), Crh receptor 1 (CrhR1), and CrhR2 using the ΔΔCt method. Ct values for each sample were used to calculate CTΔ from the control gene (18s) and Δ from the control group (female controls). A-B) MS in males increased Crh relative to control and LBN males at PD16. D-F) At PD16, LBN in females increased CrhBP compared to control females and LBN males. MS in males increased CrhBP at PD16 compared to LBN males and at PD21 relative to control and LBN males. G-I) MS in males increased CrhR1 at PD8 relative to control males and at PD16 relative to control and LBN males. LBN in females increased CrhR1 relative to control females at PD16. J-L) MS in males increased CrhR2 at PD8 relative to control males and MS females. Plots depict mean ± SEM; circles represent individual pups. (p* < 0.05, **p < 0.01).
from MS was primarily observed immediately following the return of pups to the dam, with behavior largely normalized to the levels observed in controls across the other timepoints. Thus, while both LBN and MS do disrupt continuity of care, these effects are more persistent throughout the manipulation in the LBN paradigm and are more restricted and time sensitive (and possibly predictable) in the MS manipulation. We also observed that LBN led to increased quantity of care but these patterns of care were more erratic (shorter bouts and higher entropy score).

To determine if LBN and MS changed pup-directed and self-directed care and if these differences were dependent on time of day or MS pup reunion, several behaviors were quantified across three, 1 h-long time points. Consistent with prior work in our lab, LBN rearing influenced normative circadian patterns of dam behavior (Gallo et al., 2019; Ivy et al., 2008). Notably, while the overall duration that the LBN dams spent nursing pups was not different from control reared dams, the pattern of nursing exhibited during the 12PM and 11PM time points were shifted. Developing offspring rely on maternal signals for circadian entrainment (Agorastos et al., 2019; Thomas et al., 2014). A disrupted circadian pattern of care during a sensitive developmental window can lead to lasting consequences such as disrupted circadian patterns as adults that are indicative of depressive-like pathology and avoidance behaviors indicative of anxiety-like pathology (Smarr et al., 2017; Goodwill et al., 2018). Changes observed in MS dams were primarily pup and nest directed at 12PM when pups were reunited with the dam, with the exception of licking and grooming which lasted into the 11PM timepoint. The current observation of increased pup-directed behaviors following 3hrs of maternal separation are consistent with others who have reported increased maternal care on reunion (Owen and Patel 2013; Singh-Taylor et al., 2018; Fenoglio et al., 2005). While evidence has shown that licking and grooming is a critical programmer of neurodevelopment and can be protective against later stressors (Caldji et al., 1998; Liu et al., 1997; D. L. Champagne et al., 2008; Meaney and Szyf, 2005; de Medeiros et al., 2009; F. Champagne et al., 2001), it is still unclear if ELA-associated increases in licking and grooming portends similar effects (Berman et al. 2014). Conflicting reports as to the resiliency of MS-induced increased care upon reunion may be due to individual differences in care received by pups. For example, dam licking post-MS has been shown to be biased towards male pups and the types of alarm calls produced by pups are both sex and experience-dependent (Granata et al., 2021). Individual differences in maternal care, such as licking and grooming and nursing, contribute to alterations in the expression of Crh-associated genes in the amygdala (Caldji et al., 1998; Francis et al., 1999a; Francis et al., 1999b; Dubé et al., 2015). Continued work addressing factors such as sex, and environment, and analysis of maternal care on individual pups will be critical in understanding how these individual differences confer differential long-term consequences.

4.3. ELA interacts with sex and rearing type to drive differential effects on Crh-related gene expression

Crh-expressing neurons in the amygdala play a critical role in integrating sensory signals that provide stress-related information and for processing the predictability of external threats. Crh expression is first observed in the neonate amygdala during the first postnatal week and peaks in the second postnatal week, making its developmental trajectory sensitive to maternal signals and changes in the environment. Here, changes in Crh-related gene expression in the amygdala were found following ELA, but differed based on the type of ELA manipulation, sex, or developmental timepoint measured. For example, LBN in females, but not males, increased the expression of CrhBP and CrhR1 at PD16, four days following the end of the LBN manipulation. While the unique developmental roles of the receptors and CrhBP are still being established, evidence supports that heightened expression in the neonate amygdala is indicative of a transition from a hypo-functional to a hyper-functional amygdala and supports accelerated aversive learning (Morceau and Sullivan 2004, 2006; Moriceau et al., 2004, 2006; Vazquez et al., 2006; Korosi and Baram 2008). Further, it has been postulated that CrhR1 and CrhR2 play opposing roles whereby the former is anxiogenic and the latter is anxiolytic, mediating stress recovery (Reul and Holsboer 2002), and both receptors are altered by a variety of early-life experiences (Vazquez et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011; Eggbal-Ahmad et al., 1997). The observed changes in CrhR1 but not in CrhR2 as a result of LBN in females may reflect their opposing roles. While the current experimental design did not allow us to assess the direct relationship between gene expression and entropy score, we can speculate that these maternal signals were critical in influencing offspring gene expression profiles, indicating that female LBN mice may be more responsive to early life unpredictability than male LBN mice. In fact, the current results fit well with prior work showing that unpredictable foot shock early in life leads to female-specific changes in the expression Crh-related genes in the adult amygdala (Prusator and Greenwood-Van Meerveld, 2017). A possibility is that unpredictable sensory-input, both maternally-derived and/or environmentally-derived (i.e. unpredictable foot shock), influences the maturation of systems supporting the processing and regulation of emotions. Continued work pursuing (un) predictability as a potential mediator of normative Crh-related expression in both sexes will be a strong target for interventions that are specific to the sex of the individual.

In contrast, MS led to male-specific increased expression of Crh, CrhBP, and CrhR1 at PD16, four days following the termination of ELA exposure, with the effects on CrhR1 emerging as early as four days after the onset of the MS treatment. These results are consistent with some prior reports showing an enhancement of Crh expression with daily 15 min maternal separation in the male amygdala (Fenoglio et al., 2004) that is proposed to primarily occur due to augmented maternal sensory input, such as licking and grooming (Sánchez et al., 2001). Further, some reports show male-specific consequences on cognitive functioning and anxiety-like behaviors, as a result of this form of ELA (Xu et al., 2018; Demaestri et al., 2020), which may result from Crh-related changes in males associated with MS rearing. One may also speculate that ELA interacts with sex bidirectionally via a change in the way that the dam interacts with pups and in the way that pups interact with the dam. Specifically, pup vocalizations play an important role in facilitating dam maternal care (Muller et al. 2010). A recent study revealed sex dependent effects of type of ELA on the developmental trajectory of pup vocalization, where altered patterns of vocalizations were observed in MS males, but not females, and in LBN females, but not males (Granata et al., 2021).

The observed sex x rearing interaction in gene expression of Crh-related genes in the neonate amygdala provides evidence for sexually dimorphic responsivity to different early life conditions and highlights the complex nature of the effects of adversity in driving outcomes. Additional work exploring the functional role of these genes in mediating early brain maturation will inform how each may be differentially regulated by different types of experiences and will be critical to understanding sex-specific vulnerability to pathology.

4.4. Limitations

The current results should be interpreted in the context of some limitations. (1) In order to limit cannibalism associated with cage disturbance of newly born pups, the litter size and sex distribution of the litters were not directly manipulated. However, rearing groups were assigned randomly and gestational housing conditions were maintained constant across groups, such that factors that could influence litter size, such as the size and age of the dam and nutrition (R. J. Berry 1970; C.A. Finn 1963; Holt et al. 2004), would be due to chance. Furthermore, while some evidence indicates that dams rearing all male litters show increased licking and grooming compared to all female litters (Alleva et al. 1989), others fail to observe maternal behavior changes associated with litter sex composition (Namikas and Wehner 1978; Musi et al. 1993). These mixed reports may partially result from experimental
manipulation of culling litters, cross-fostering, and comparing litters composed fully of one sex to the other. Therefore, additional work carefully evaluating maternal care directed to either males or females within mixed litters is needed to assess its impact on maternal behavior. (2) The maternal behavior and gene expression studies were not completed in the same groups of mice and, thus, we were unable to directly test for a relationship between maternal behaviors and the expression of Crh-related genes in pups. Future studies assessing the relationship between maternal behavior received by individual pups and gene expression changes will uncover whether individual differences in gene expression profiles are influenced by specific patterns of maternal care.

4.5. Conclusion

The current work details the impact of two different forms of ELA (MS and LBN) on maternal behaviors predictability and quantity and tested the impact of these forms of ELA on Crh-gene expression in the amygdala. LBN rearing led to unpredictable and fragmented care that altered the Crh expression profiles in the amygdala in female pups. MS rearing led to fragmented maternal care, altered pup-directed care driven primarily when pups and dam were reunited and led to male-specific changes of Crh-related genes in the amygdala. Continued work investigating the interactions between early experience and sex as a result of environmental manipulations will be crucial to understand individual differences in risk for negative mental health outcomes and informing ways to improve the timing and type of treatments and interventions.
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