Expression and localization of Aquaporin 1a in the sea-bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) during ontogeny

Ivone Giffard-Mena1,*, Viviane Boulo2, Charline Abed2, Gordon Cramb3 and Guy Charmantier2

1 Molecular Ecology Laboratory, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Ensenada, Baja California, Mexico
2 Adaptation Ecophysiologique et Ontogénèse Team, UMR5119, CNRS, IFREMER Ecosyst, Université Montpellier 2, Montpellier, France
3 School of Biology, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife, UK

*Correspondence:
Ivone Giffard-Mena, Molecular Ecology Laboratory, Facultad de Ciencias Marinas, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Carretera Tijuana-Ensenada Km 103, Ensenada, Baja California 22800, Mexico.
E-mail: giffard@uabc.edu.mx

The successful establishment of a species in a given habitat depends on the ability of each of its developing stages to adapt to the environment. In order to understand this process we have studied the adaptation of a euryhaline fish, the sea-bass Dicentrarchus labrax, to various salinities during its ontogeny. The expression and localization of Aquaporin 1a (AQP1a) mRNA and protein were determined in different osmoregulatory tissues. In larvae, the sites of AQP1a expression are variable and they shift according to age, implying functional changes. In juveniles after metamorphosis (D32–D48 post-hatch, 15–25 mm) and in pre-adults, an increase in AQP1a transcript abundance was noted in the digestive tract, and the AQP1a location was observed in the intestine. In juveniles (D87–D100 post-hatch, 38–48 mm), the transcript levels of AQP1a in the digestive tract and in the kidney were higher in sea water (SW) than at lower salinity. These observations, in agreement with existing models, suggest that in SW-acclimated fish, the imbibed water is absorbed via AQP1a through the digestive tract, particularly the intestine and the rectum. In addition, AQP1a may play a role in water reabsorption in the kidney. These mechanisms compensate dehydration in SW, and they contribute to the adaptation of juveniles to salinity changes during sea-lagoon migrations. These results contribute to the interpretation of the adaptation of populations to habitats where salinity varies.
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INTRODUCTION

Aquaporin 1 (AQP1) was the first water channel to be identified following its cloning and isolation from a human bone marrow library (Preston and Agre, 1991; Agre, 1997). Since then, a number of AQP homologs with wide tissue distributions (Takata et al., 2004) have been found in all living organisms (Hohmann et al., 2004). The AQPAs have been divided into two groups according to their transport selectivity, the aquaporins (e.g., AQPAs Z, 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8) and aquaglyceroporins (AQPAs 3, 7, 9, 10, and GIPaF; Kozono et al., 2002). A third group, named the subcellular aquaporins, has been proposed for other related AQP-like proteins called AQP 11 and AQP 12 (Ishibashi, 2006). The distribution, function, structure, and molecular characteristics of AQP1 have been extensively reviewed (Jung et al., 1994; Walz et al., 1997; Verkman and Mitra, 2000; Stahlberg et al., 2001; Kozono et al., 2002; Agre, 2006; Cerda and Finn, 2010).

Homologs of AQP1 have been identified in several species of teleost fish including the European eel (Anguilla anguilla; AQP1 and AQP1dup; Cutler and Cramb, 2006; Martinez et al., 2005c), the Japanese eel (Anguilla japonica; S-AQP1, L-AQP1, AQP3, AQP8, and AQP10; Aoki et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2010), the gilthead sea-bream (Sparus aurata; AQP1, AQP1-like SaAQP1a, SaAQP1b, AQP1a; Fabra et al., 2006; Raldúa et al., 2008; Cerda and Finn, 2010), the sole (Solea senegalensis; AQP1), the zebrafish (Danio rerio; AQP1), the black sea-bass (Centropristis striata; AQP1; Fabra et al., 2005), the silver sea-bream (Sparus sarba; AQP3; Deane and Wuo, 2006), the European sea-bass (Dicentrarchus labrax; AQP1; Giffard-Mena et al., 2007), the black porgy (Acanthopagrus schlegeli; AQP1; An et al., 2008), the kililfish (Fimbulius heterochilus; FhAQP0, FhAQP1a, and FhAQP3; Tingaud-Sequeira et al., 2009), the rainbow wrasse (Coris julis; AQP1 and AQP3; Brunelli et al., 2010), and recently in the catfish (Heteropneustes fossilis; AQP1b; Chaube et al., 2011). As in other euryhaline teleosts, the European sea-bass larvae are confronted with salinity variations as they drift to coastal waters (Sabriye et al., 1988; Beyst et al., 2001) and subsequently when they enter lagoons and estuaries (Jennings and Pawson, 1992; Brehmer et al., 2006). This migration is accomplished after the metamorphic transition from larva to juvenile that occurs between D40 and D72 (18–25 mm; Varsamos et al., 2001, 2002, 2004; Saillant et al., 2003b). Metamorphic changes include morphological and physiological changes, one of them being an increase in the capacity to osmoregulate (Balon, 1999; Varsamos et al., 2001; Falk-Petersen, 2005). In this species, the osmoregulatory abilities tend to increase during ontogenesis with a particular increase of low-salinity tolerance.
following the metamorphic larva/juvenile transition (Lasserre, 1971; Jensen et al., 1998; Varsamos et al., 2001). The organs involved in osmoregulation in the sea-bass have been studied (Nebel et al., 2005a,b; Varsamos et al., 2005; Giffard-Mena et al., 2006). In other teleosts, the sea-bass hyper-osmoregulate at salinities lower than 10–11 ppt, and they hypo-osmoregulate at salinities higher than these iso-osmotic values. In fresh water the fish gains water and loses ions; in order to compensate for these movements, ions are absorbed mainly by the gills, and the kidneys produce and excrete relatively large volumes of hypotonic urine. In sea water (SW), where the opposite situation occurs, the fish gains ions and loses water. Under these conditions, the fish drinks SW which is then desalinated in the esophagus and stomach, before the water and remaining salts are absorbed through the intestine following an osmotic ion gradient established in epithelial cells by the activity of Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\) ATPase (Marshall and Bryson, 1998; Marshall and Grosell, 2005). Excess plasma ions are excreted by the gills, and the kidney produces low volumes of isotonic urine (Marshall and Grosell, 2005; Nebel et al., 2005a; Giffard-Mena et al., 2006).

Several studies in the eel have shown that AQP1 is expressed more strongly in the intestine of SW-acclimated fish than in those fish acclimated to fresh water (FW; Martínez et al., 2005a,c). These results are correlated with an increase in drinking rate and with the absorption of water by the intestine (Aoki et al., 2003). Similar studies have shown that AQP1 transcript abundance is higher in the intestine of SW- compared to FW-acclimated sea-bass (Giffard-Mena et al., 2007), gilthead sea-bream (Raldúa et al., 2008), and Atlantic salmon (Tipsmark et al., 2010). However, a study conducted with the black porgy reported higher levels of AQP1 mRNA levels during FW acclimation (An et al., 2008). The functional water transport capacity of AQP1 in fish has been shown in the gilthead sea-bream. In this species the SaAQP1a and SaAQP1b are both water selective channels being true human orthologs: as in humans, the eel AQP1, as well as killifish AQP1, transports water but not urea or glycerol (Hill et al., 2007; MacIver and Pawson, 1994; Varsamos et al., 2001; Saillant et al., 2003a) and two different salinity strengths: SW (1029 mOsm kg\(^{-1}\) ~ 35 ppt) and DSW (147 mOsm kg\(^{-1}\) ~ 5 ppt), obtained by addition of dechlorinated fresh tap water. All larval stages were kept at each salinity for 48 h. Juveniles were kept for 48 h (D80), or for 10 (D87 and D96) and 15 (D100) days at each salinity (D, days post-hatch). Pre-adults (133 ± 14 mm, 28 ± 7 g) were maintained for 2 years in SW or in FW at 0.3 ppt (9 mOsm kg\(^{-1}\)). Temperature and photoperiod were set at 18 ± 0.5°C and at 12 h light/12 h dark. The osmotic pressure of the media was measured with a

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**ANIMALS AND EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS**

European sea-bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* were provided by a local hatchery (“Les Poissons du Soleil,” Balaruc) located in the southwest of France. In the present study five different developmental stages were investigated: three larval stages (3.5–25 mm in total length), one stage following the metamorphic transition to the juvenile phase (38–48 mm), and 2-year-old pre-adults (133 mm long; Table 1). The choice of these developmental stages was based on specific associated physiological events well described previously (Barnabé et al., 1976; Barnabé, 1989; Chatain, 1994; Pickett and Pawson, 1994; Varsamos et al., 2001; Saillant et al., 2003a) and on the fact that they cover all the main post-embryonic phases including the larval, juvenile and pre-adult phases.

Hatching was carried out in the fish farm from naturally spawned eggs maintained in SW at 34 ppt and 15°C. Larvae at different ages were transported to culture facilities of the University of Montpellier 2. Each group of larvae was divided in two 20 l dechlorinated fresh tap water. All larval stages were kept at each salinity for 48 h. Juveniles were kept for 48 h (D80), or for 10 (D87 and D96) and 15 (D100) days at each salinity (D, days post-hatch). Pre-adults (133 ± 14 mm, 28 ± 7 g) were maintained for 2 years in SW or in FW at 0.3 ppt (9 mOsm kg\(^{-1}\)). Temperature and photoperiod were set at 18 ± 0.5°C and at 12 h light/12 h dark. The osmotic pressure of the media was measured with a

| Stage | Length (mm) | Immuno fluorescence | Q-PCR | Western blot |
|-------|-------------|---------------------|-------|-------------|
| Larvae | 3.5–5       | D1, D3              | D0, D2| –           |
| Preflexion larvae | 5–75     | D10                 | D6    | –           |
| Postflexion larvae | 15–25   | D33, D42            | D32, D48| –          |
| Juveniles | 38–48   | D96                | D80, D87, D100| –       |
| Pre-adults | 133 ± 14 | Two-year-old        | –     | Two-year-old|

Size (total length range, n = 10).

D, days after hatch; –, not evaluated in this work.
micro-osmometer Model 3300 (Advanced Instruments, Needham Heights, MA, USA) and their salinity was evaluated from the relation 100 mOsm·kg⁻¹ ~ 3.4 ppt.

Following mouth opening (D5), the individuals received Artemia nauplii and fine particle artificial fish food (Gemma/Nutreco Aquaculture, Vervins, Picardie, France; particle diameters according to fish length: 50–250 μm from 10 mm, 180–400 μm from 20 mm, and 315–500 μm from 25 mm). Juveniles and pre-adults were fed with Aphymar granulates (Aphytec, Mèze, France) to apparent satiation once a day. The fish were unfed 24 h before sampling and they were anesthetized using phenoxy-2-ethanol (150 μg·l⁻¹). Samples from different stages were processed for immunohistological studies, quantitative (real-time) PCR or Western blot analyses (Table 1). All procedures were carried out according to the French law concerning animal scientific experimentation.

**RNA EXTRACTION AND REVERSE TRANSCRIPTION**

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol™ Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) from whole animal sub-pools of 30 larvae (D0), 10 larvae (D2), 10 preflexion larvae (D6), 5 postflexion larvae (D32, D48), with three pools for each stage. In juveniles (D80, D87), extracts were made from six whole animals. In juveniles at D100, the gut (from esophagus to rectum), kidney, and gill (filament and lamella removed from four gill arches on the right side of the body) were dissected separately from three individual fish. The animal and tissue quantities were calculated in order to have approximately 0.1 g to maintain a constant value for extraction according to manufacturer.

A treatment with DNase I (Invitrogen) was applied to the total RNA to prevent genomic DNA contamination. Total RNA concentration was determined by OD₂₆₀ measurements in a NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer V3.3 (NanoDrop Technologies Inc., Wilmington, USA), and its purity was verified using the 260/280 absorbance ratio. The integrity and relative quantity of total RNA purification by a commercial company (Genosphere Biotechnologies, Paris, France). Since extensive work has been done recently on piscine (Cerdà and Finn, 2010) and teleost (Raldúa et al., 2008; Tingaud-Sequeira et al., 2008, 2010) aquaporins, we presented only the alignment of sequences that have been used to develop antibodies for fish AQP1a for cellular location.

**QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME PCR**

In order to quantify AQP1a transcript abundance throughout development and across salinities, the relative abundance of AQP1a transcripts (DQ924529), in each sample, was normalized to the amount of an endogenous reference, the gene encoding the sea-bass elongation factor gene (EF1α, AJ866727). This kind of normalization also takes into account the efficacy of the reverse transcription reaction. The EF1α expression levels did not change between salinities (data not shown) and it has been previously validated in other species and in sea-bass as a housekeeping gene (Nebel et al., 2005b). The AQP1a primers were designed using the Primer 3 software v 0.4.0 (National Human Genome Research Institute, USA; AQP1F, 5′-CAA-GGC-AGT-CAT-GTA-TAT-TG-3′ and AQP1R, 5′-AGA-GAG-TTG-AGC-CCC-AGT-3′). Quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) analyses were performed with a LightCycler™ system version 3.5 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) using 1× of the Lightcycler-FastStart DNA Master SYBR-Green I™ Mix (Roche Applied Science), 0.5 μM of each forward (F) and reverse (R) primers and 0.5 μl of transcribed cDNA. Q-PCR reactions were achieved for 40 cycles in 10 μl volume. Melting curve analysis was performed with continuous fluorescence acquisition (65–95°C) at a temperature transition rate of 0.05°C/s to determine the amplification specificity. The relative transcript level of each gene at each stage and salinity was calculated for 100 copies of the housekeeping gene (EF1α) using the following formula: 

\[ \frac{N_{E_{\text{F1}}}}{N_{AQP1}} = \left( \frac{C_{\text{E_{F1}}}}{C_{AQP1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{100}} \]

where N, means the copy numbers and Ct is the cycle threshold (defined as the number of cycles required for the fluorescent signal to cross the threshold, i.e., exceeds background level). Ct levels are inversely proportional to the amount of target nucleic acid in the sample.

**PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS AND ANTIBODY PRODUCTION**

BLAST alignment was made from public GenBank for sea-bass AQP1 (DQ924529) (Giffard-Mena et al., 2007; AQP1a according to phylogeny nomenclature analysis as stated before). Neighbor joining (NJ) methods were used for comparisons of paralogs trees rendered with Geneious v5.3 (Drummond et al., 2010). Final trees were annotated with species names using Adobe Photoshop. Phosphorylation sites were searched with NetPhos 2.0 Server (Technical University of Denmark). A polyclonal antibody was raised in rabbit against a synthetic peptide corresponding to part of the carboxyl terminus region of the sea-bass Dicentrarchus labrax AQP1 molecule (amino acid residues 248–261 in sea-bass. The antigen C*NGGNDATTVEMTSK was conjugated with keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH*). The antiserum was obtained after three booster injections, and the IgG fraction was obtained after affinity purification by a commercial company (Genosphere Biotechnologies, Paris, France). Since extensive work has been done recently on piscine (Cerdà and Finn, 2010) and teleost (Raldúa et al., 2008; Tingaud-Sequeira et al., 2008, 2010) aquaporins, we presented only the alignment of sequences that have been used to develop antibodies for fish AQP1a for cellular location.

**WESTERN BLOTTING**

The gills, the kidney, and the digestive tract (specifically rectum) were used to verify the AQP1a antibody specificity. These tissues were dissected from SW sea-bass pre-adults. Their epithelia were scraped off with a sterile blade and homogenized with a 5-ml syringe and sterile needle (gage 22) in a lysis buffer consisting of SEI buffer (0.5 M sucrose, 0.01 M Na₂ EDTA, 0.05 M imidazole) pH 7.4, containing 20% of enzymatic protease inhibitors (Complete™ MINI EDTA-free, Roche, Mannheim, Germany) for inhibition of serine and cysteine proteases and therefore for stabilizing and protecting isolated proteins. The lysate was centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was frozen at −20°C for later Western blotting. The protein concentration was quantified by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976). Samples (30 μg protein) were solubilized and denatured by addition of 0.33 volumes of 4× loading buffer (0.25 M Tris, 8.33% SDS, 40% glycerol, 2.8 M β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.02% bromophenol blue) and incubated at 100°C for 15 min. The proteins were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (15% polyacrylamide).
After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (0.45 μm; Schleicher and Schuell, Saint Marcel, France). After blocking with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.3) containing 5% skimmed milk for 3 h at room temperature, the membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with the anti-sea-bass AQP1a polyclonal antibody at 5 μg·ml⁻¹ (1:1780) in a PBS-containing 0.5% skimmed milk and 0.05% Tween 20. After washing two times for 5 min in PBS containing 0.5% Tween 20 and one time for 10 min in PBS, the membrane was incubated for 1 h at room temperature with the peroxidase-conjugate anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma) at 3.7 μg·ml⁻¹ (1:2000) in PBS, 0.5% skimmed milk, and 0.05% Tween 20 solution. For negative controls, membranes were incubated with a solution lacking the primary antibody but supplemented with the same dilution of rabbit pre-immune serum. Membranes were washed and developed by chemiluminescence with luminol (0.25 M luminol diluted in DMSO, 0.09 M coumaric acid diluted in DMSO, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.5, and 0.0035% H₂O₂) for 1 min to visualize the immunoreactive bands with a UV-transilluminator (Roche) and Lumimage software (Boehringer, Mannheim).

INDIRECT IMMUNOFLOUORESCENCE

Tissues extracted from fish at different developmental stages (Table 1) were fixed in Bouin solution over 48 h, then rinsed with 30% ethanol until elimination of the strong yellow color, and then replaced with 50 and 70% ethanol. The fixed material was dehydrated, embedded in Paraplast medium (Sigma), and sectioned as described previously (Giffard-Mena et al., 2006). Sections were incubated for 2 h at room temperature with the sea-bass specific anti-AQP1a primary antibody diluted 1:30. For negative controls, sections were incubated with a solution lacking the primary antibody but with equivalent dilutions of pre-immune serum. Membranes were washed and developed by chemiluminescence with luminol (0.25 M luminol diluted in DMSO, 0.09 M coumaric acid diluted in DMSO, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.5, and 0.0035% H₂O₂) for 1 min to visualize the immunoreactive bands with a UV-transilluminator (Roche) and Lumimage software (Boehringer, Mannheim).

MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS

Intestinal sections from the anterior intestine, posterior intestine, and rectum stained as described above were examined using the Leitz Diaplan fluorescence-equipped microscope (450–490 nm filters; Leica Microsystems, Rueil-Malmaison, France) in order to localize the cells immunoreactive to AQP1a (called thereafter AQP1a-cells).

STATISTICS

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and non-parametric Student’s t-test were used for statistical comparisons of the mean values (p < 0.05) for three replicates of larvae and post-larvae pools (D0, D2, D6, D32, and D48) or six individual fish at juvenile stage (D80 and D87) in each salinity condition (SW and DSW).

RESULTS

DIFFERENTIAL AQP1a ABUNDANCE DURING ONTOGENY ACCORDING TO SALINITY

The abundance of AQP1a was followed by Q-PCR at different developmental stages in sea-bass acclimated to SW and DSW for 48 h (D2, D6, D32, D48, and D80), 10 days (D87), and 15 days (D100). Transcript levels of the housekeeping gene EF1α did not change when two salinities where compared (not shown).

The abundance of AQP1a transcripts was similar between stages and salinities from D0 SW larvae until D48 (Figure 1). At D80, the AQP1a transcript levels showed significant increases (0.4-fold) in DSW- compared to SW-acclimated fish. Although there was a steady and progressive increase in AQP1a transcript abundance in SW-acclimated fish through developmental stages D32–D87, a similar progressive increase was only maintained until D80 in DSW-acclimated fish, but then it was followed by a significant fall in mRNA abundance at juvenile D87 stage. As a consequence, at D87, the AQP1a transcript level was significantly higher (0.8-fold) in SW-acclimated fish compared to DSW-acclimated fish (Figure 1).

In juveniles (D100; Figure 2), the AQP1a transcript level in the gut was significantly higher (by 2.6-fold) in SW-acclimated fish than in DSW-acclimated fish. In the kidney, which exhibited the highest levels of expression, the AQP1a level was also significantly higher (by 2.2-fold) in SW- than in DSW-acclimated fish. In the gill, the AQP1a transcript level was lower than in intestine or kidney and no significant difference was observed following salinity transfer.

![Figure 1](Image)  
**Figure 1 | Dicentrarchus labrax.** AQP1a transcript abundance evaluated by quantitative PCR, during sea-bass ontogeny, following a 48-h acclimation period in SW and DSW; only D87 was acclimated for 10 days. D0 larvae (hatching time) were only exposed to SW. Each value is the mean ± standard error of larvae pools from three samples (D0, D2, and D6, with 30, 10, and 10 fish respectively) or post-larvae pools (D32 and D48, with five fish in each pool) or juvenile individual animals (D80 and D87, six individual fish for each stage). Asterisk (*) indicates differences between SW and DSW samples (p < 0.05). D, days post-hatch. SW, sea water (1029 mOsm kg⁻¹ ~ 35 ppt); DSW, diluted sea water (147 mOsm kg⁻¹ ~ 5 ppt).
ALIGNMENT AND WESTERN BLOT DETECTION OF AQP1A

The molecular phylogeny of five teleost AQP1a to sea-bass brings out differences on specific amino acids (positions 252, 255–257 of alignment; Figure 3A). AQP1a sea-bass protein sequence has several high score phosphorylation sites (Ser6,38,188,224,239, Thr255,259, and Tyr245), the residues S8,224 with 0.99 score. Figure 3A shows only Y245, T255, and T259 (0.82, 0.63, and 0.71). The phylogenetic tree indicates a closer genetic relation with gilthead sea-bream than eels and greater distance with zebrafish (Figure 3B). The immunoreactive bands found in the three tissues (gill, kidney, and gut) with molecular masses of 28 kDa correspond to the calculated molecular mass of the AQP1a channel (Figure 3C). A smaller band at 25 kDa was also detected in all tissues. These specific bands were absent in control blots (the rectum negative lane is shown).

AQP1a IMMUNOLOCALIZATION AND MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS DURING ONTOGENY ACCORDING TO SALINITY

The cellular localization of AQP1a was detected by immunofluorescence during the ontogeny of the sea-bass acclimated during 48 h, from SW to DSW (D1, D3, D10, D33, and D42),
FIGURE 4 | *Dicentrarchus labrax*. AQP1α immunolocalization in SW-(A,B,C,F) and DSW-acclimated (D,E) animals. (A) Posterior intestine section of a larva at D1 displaying no fluorescence. (B) Larva at D3 with autofluorescence in eyes and yolk, showing immunopositive reaction to AQP1α in crystalline, muscle, liver, and in tegumentary cells (the presence of AQP1α is indicated by arrows). (D) Crystalline detail in a D3 larva. (E) Liver detail at D10. No fluorescence at D3 (F) in gills and (C) in digestive tract. C, crystalline; GA, gill arch; L, lumen; Li, liver; M, muscle; PI, posterior intestine; R, rectum; T, tegument; TI, tegumentary cell; Y, yolk; SW, sea water; DSW, diluted sea water.

10 days (D96), and 2-year-old. Positive immunoreactive cells are subsequently designated AQP1α-cells. Negative control sections at each developmental stage in the absence of AQP1 primary antibody exhibited no fluorescence. Control negatives display a lemon pale coloration very different from the strong green fluorescence of labeled protein (gills and gut are showed in Figure 3C respectively). However, autofluorescence was noted in the eyes, the yolk (in larvae; Figures 4B,D), muscle (Figure 6D), and in blood cells, particularly visible in the gills and in blood vessels of the intestine (Figures 6A,B and 7A,F).

In larvae at D1 sampled about 8 h after hatch in SW, AQP1α-cells were not detected in any organ (as an example, see the posterior intestine, Figure 4A). In SW-acclimated larvae at D3 (Figure 4B) and in both SW- and DSW-acclimated larvae at D10 (Figure 4E), AQP1α immunofluorescence was noted in the crystalline lens epithelium of the eye and in the liver. However, specific immunofluorescence was not detected in the digestive tract (Figure 4C) nor the gills (Figure 4F) at any salinity (only shown for SW). At D3, a few AQP1α-positive tegumentary cells were also present in SW-fish (Figure 4C). At D33, AQP1α-cells were observed at the same location as at D10 but only in SW-acclimated fish (not illustrated).

In postflexion larvae at D42, AQP1α-cells were detected in the epithelium of the anterior intestine (Figures 5A,B), posterior intestine (Figures 5C,D), and in the rectum (not illustrated) at both salinities. Moreover, AQP1α immunoreactivity in the brush border was detected in the anterior intestine and rectum in SW (Figures 5A and 7F,G). This signal was verified several times in different sections and individuals in order to rule out an artifact. Some AQP1α-cells were detected in the liver of SW- and DSW-acclimated fish (not illustrated).

In juveniles at D96 (Figure 6), AQP1α-cells were observed in the gills at both salinities (Figures 6A,B) and also in the submucosa and epithelial cells of the esophagus (Figures 6C,D). AQP1α-cells were present in the anterior intestine submucosa of SW-acclimated fish, but no signal was observed in the posterior intestine and rectum (not illustrated). At this developmental stage, no AQP1α immunoreactivity was observed in the crystalline epithelium of the eye, nor in the liver at any salinity (not illustrated).

In pre-adult fish (2-year-old fish exposed from 2 months of age to either SW or FW), AQP1α-cells were observed in the gills. They were mainly located in the filaments, but some AQP1α-cells were also present along the lamellae in both SW- and FW-acclimated fish (Figures 6E,F). AQP1α-cells were observed in the anterior
in the rectum and the smallest in the posterior intestine at both salinities. In the three sections of the digestive tract, the cell size was significantly higher (+16%) in SW than in FW (Figure 8B).

**DISCUSSION**

AQP1a is an important water channel involved in whole body osmoregulation in teleosts. This study shows the expression and localization of AQP1a at different osmoregulatory sites during the ontogeny of the sea-bass acclimated to different environmental salinity changes. One of the main results of this study indicates that a significant increase in AQP1a mRNA levels is detected around the time of metamorphosis of larvae into juveniles (D48–D80 in this work). Also, the number and size of AQP1a-cells is significantly higher in the gut sections of SW-acclimated fish compared to DSW/FW-acclimated fish, strongly suggesting an important role of AQP1a for water absorption in SW-acclimated fish gut. In early larvae, the protein is also expressed in tegument, eyes, and liver and from D96 in gills and esophagus.

Western blot analyses suggest that *Dicentrarchus labrax* gill, kidney, and rectal tissues contain an AQP1a of around 28 kDa. This value is similar to those reported in mammals (Preston and Agre, 1991; Verkman and Mitra, 2000) and fish (Aoki et al., 2003; Martinez et al., 2005a). The 25 kDa band may correspond to a non-phosphorylated form of the protein (Verkman and Mitra, 2000; King and Agre, 2001; Fabra et al., 2006). Similar two-band profiles have been observed in gilthead sea-bream SaAQP1o (Fabra et al., 2006). Although further functional analysis of SaAQP1o (AQP1b; Tingaud-Sequeira et al., 2008) and discovery of AQP1a in eggs of this species, revealed that only AQP1b is able to be phosphorylated, several potential sites are present in AQP1a from the sea-bass, particularly S88 and S224 (0.99) indicating that sea-bass AQP1a has a strong probability of being a regulated form by phosphorylation. Another explanation is that aquaporins contains a number of glycosylation sites which may account for different molecular sizes as reported for AQP3 (Lignot et al., 2002a; Deane and Woo, 2006).

The profiles of AQP1a expression during the ontogeny of sea-bass show that transcripts are already expressed at hatch and during the larval and juvenile stages. The level of transcripts does not vary significantly from D0 and after mouth opening between D6, and is lower during the larval period than in juveniles (D80–D87). The protein has been detected at most observed stages in the crystalline epithelia of the eye and in the liver. The potential roles of mammalian AQP1 in the crystalline epithelium include the regulation of tear volume, the ionic composition, intraocular pressure and size of the sub-retinal compartment, and the hydration and transparency of the cornea (Levin and Verkman, 2006). In the rat, AQP1 expression was observed in the liver in the endothelial capillary cells, where the protein has been proposed to be involved in the transcellular movement of water, and in the formation of bile (Matsuzaki et al., 2004). The corresponding functions of AQP1a in the eyes and liver of fish are unknown. Although AQP1a is not expressed in these organs in the sea-bass after D33, the water transporting functions in these tissues might be taken over by another aquaporin.

At D3, immunofluorescence has revealed the presence of AQP1a in some tegumentary cells, but only in SW-acclimated larvae. At this larval stage, tegumentary ionocytes, that are active in
ion transport, as indicated by the abundance of Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\) ATPase, represent the main site of osmoregulation (reviewed by Varsamos et al., 2005). The precise osmoregulatory role for AQP1a in these cells at this stage is difficult to determine, especially since water would tend to flow out of the cell when larvae are in the marine environment. The reverse process, necessary to maintain the water content of these hypo-osmoregulating larvae submitted to dehydration (Varsamos et al., 2001), would necessitate the establishment of a strong ionic gradient against full SW at the basal side of tegumentary cells, which is unlikely. If sea-bass AQP1a sea-bass is also able to transport urea and or glycerol (as zebrafish does; Tingaud-Sequeira et al., 2010), this protein could also function to transport osmolytes to maintain osmotic pressure and therefore regulate cell volume. A functional analysis is required in order to understand this point.

Since AQP1a was not detected in the digestive tract or the gills in early larval stages, and as at stages D3–D48, there was no difference between fish kept at differing salinities, AQP1a does not appear to have a major functional role before metamorphosis. However, the maintenance of water balance remains necessary as the larvae are then completing their passive marine drift toward the coasts and are exposed to salinity fluctuations. The mechanism of water absorption, if carried out by the developing digestive tract as in later stages (Aoki et al., 2003; Giffard-Mena et al., 2007; Raldúa et al., 2008; Brunelli et al., 2010; Cerdà and Finn, 2010; Kim et al., 2010), could be based on the presence of an aquaporin isoform different from AQP1a, as described in the sea-bream Sparus aurata whose SaAQP1o (AQP1b) may facilitate hydration in oocytes (Fabra et al., 2005, 2006; Tingaud-Sequeira et al., 2010). Another candidate would be AQP3, detected in several tissues of...
Sparus sarba larvae and particularly in FW gills, which could act in the regulation of the cell volume (Deane and Woo, 2006). A similar function had been postulated for AQP3 in Dicentrarchus labrax (Giffard-Mena et al., 2007).

When Dicentrarchus labrax reach the juvenile stage (environ 25 mm, D80), a low but significant increase in AQP1a transcript abundance corresponded to the first occurrence of AQP1a protein in the gut (anterior intestine, posterior intestine, and rectum). The increase of AQP1a mRNA, detected in larvae at D48 and D80 after 48 h of acclimation to DSW is probably not associated with water uptake, since additional water absorption would impose an additional osmoregulatory burden to the fish. This fact strengthens the hypothesis of a specific function for this paralog at low salinities and at a developmental stage that corresponds to the metamorphic transition (Giffard-Mena et al., 2006). As whole fish have been used for this quantification, further measures on separate organs should
AQP3 which seems to have a key role in adult sea-bass, particularly AQP1a has a limited osmoregulatory role in this organ, unlike AQP1a mRNAs abundance is found in SW-acclimated fish, which ble responses in expression (Varsamos et al., 2004; Giffard-Mena et al., 2007). 48 h acclimation trials are long enough to detect changes and stability of the epithelium (Lignot et al., 2002b), passive transcellular fluxes from or to the luminal fluid imbibed from either FW (Giffard-Mena et al., 2007). In sea-bass, the anterior intestine appears as the first section involved in osmoregulation. A previous study of its ontogeny has shown that it develops faster than other gut sections (Giffard-Mena et al., 2006). The AQP1a C-terminus is the most divergent region of sequence and it is known to play a role in AQPs intracellular trafficking (Raldúa et al., 2008). The differences in the teleost peptides (see consensus sequence, Figure 3) seems to be determining on tissue AQP1a distribution, mainly in gut sections.

In the digestive tract of 2-year-old pre-adult sea-bass, the number and size of AQP1a-cells were markedly higher in SW than in DSW, with highest values in the anterior intestine and rectum, respectively. Higher levels of this protein in SW were also found in other fishes (Aoki et al., 2003; Martínez et al., 2005a; Raldúa et al., 2008; Tipsmark et al., 2010), but its localization in some of them was restricted to the apical epithelia. In the sea-bass, the immunoreactive cells constitute a continuous cellular layer at the base of folds, in the conjunctive tissue (submucosa), the blood vessels and also on the apical brush border of the epithelium, especially in the anterior intestine and rectum. Apical localizations of AQP1a have previously been reported in the European eel (Martínez et al., 2005a), Japanese eel (Aoki et al., 2003), and in the gilthead sea-bream (Raldúa et al., 2008; Cerda and Finn, 2010). The apical localization of AQP1a in the epithelial cells of the intestine points to a water flux through these cells. Another type of AQP is most probably present at the basolateral side of these cells, allowing a flux of water through the conjunctive tissue (Aoki et al., 2003; Tipsmark et al., 2010). The strong cellular density of AQP1a-cells in the submucosa of the sea-bass gut in SW is notable. Although these conjunctive cells do not form a continuous layer, several of them are very close at certain locations: they may increase the permeability of the submucosa, allowing a water flux from the intestinal lumen to the blood as suggested for salmon AQP1a (Tipsmark et al., 2010) and eel (Martínez et al., 2005a). Water would follow an osmotic gradient established by ionocytes located in the intestinal epithelium, with a basolateral localization of Na$^\div$/K$^\div$ ATPase (Giffard-Mena et al., 2006, 2007). Conversely, the presence of AQP1a in these cells may indicate that they regulate their own cellular volume or that they are involved in accelerating cell migration, particularly during the build-up of new blood vessels (Papadopoulos et al., 2008).

In sea-bass, AQP1a has been observed in esophageal cells from juveniles (D96) in SW and DSW. This is the first report of AQP1a in this organ of that species. The esophagus water permeability is low in marine fish: this organ would mainly participate in the desalinization of imbibed SW (Venturini et al., 1992; Ando et al., 2003). However the earlier discovery of aquaporins in this organ (Lignot et al., 2002b) has led to suggestions of other physiological roles for this water transporter in this gut segment, including cell volume homeostasis (Martínez et al., 2005b), water trafficking associated with mucus secretion or maintenance of wetness of the epithelium (Lignot et al., 2002b), passive transcellular water fluxes from or to the luminal fluid imbibed from either FW

**AQP1a ontogeny of *Dicentrarchus labrax***

**FIGURE 8 | Dicentrarchus labrax.** Morphometric analysis of AQP1a-cells in selected organs of juvenile (D96) and 2-year-old pre-adult sea-bass acclimated to SW and DSW or FW. The number of AQP1a-cells (A) and their surface area (B) are shown. Values are mean ± standard error. Different letters indicate significant differences between values (p < 0.05, n = 6); AI, anterior intestine; PI, posterior intestine; R, rectum; SW, sea water; DSW, diluted sea water; FW, fresh water.

be conducted. Longer acclimation periods for larval stages are not possible since this would change the developmental stage; also, it has been shown for other proteins such as Na$^+/K^+$-ATPase that 48 h acclimation trials are long enough to detect changes and stable responses in expression (Varsamos et al., 2004; Giffard-Mena et al., 2007).

In juveniles at D96–D100, when salinity acclimation was extended to 10–15 days, the expression of AQP1a in the digestive tract was consistently significantly higher in SW than in DSW, with highest values in the anterior intestine and rectum. In contrast to AQP1a, a previous study of AQP3 has shown that its expression or localization according to salinity suggests that AQP3 has a limited osmoregulatory role in this organ, unlike AQP1a which seems to have a key role in adult sea-bass, particularly in FW (Giffard-Mena et al., 2007).

Within the digestive tract of juvenile sea-bass (D87), the highest AQP1a mRNAs abundance is found in SW-acclimated fish, which is in accordance with a high level of AQP1a protein expression in the anterior intestine. This is in consistent with the model of water absorption within the gut of adult fish, and which compensate for the dehydration effects of the environment (Aoki et al., 2003; Marshall and Grosell, 2005). It confirms the specific localization of AQP1a protein for this species. In sea-bass, the anterior intestine appears as the first section involved in osmoregulation. A previous study of its ontogeny has shown that it develops faster than other gut sections (Giffard-Mena et al., 2006). The AQP1a C-terminus is the most divergent region of sequence and it is known to play a role in AQPs intracellular trafficking (Raldúa et al., 2008). The differences in the teleost peptides (see consensus sequence, Figure 3) seem to be determining on tissue AQP1a distribution, mainly in gut sections.
or SW, or protection of epithelial cells from the effects of swelling or shrinkage (Martínez et al., 2005b). Similar roles could also exist in the sea-bass.

In the present study, AQP1α expression was detected in pre-adult kidney of sea-bass by Western blot analysis and by Q-PCR. However, due to the high levels of autofluorescence within this tissue, the specific signals were not strong enough to determine a cellular localization of AQP1α. Although, this protein was been apical located within the renal tubules of eel and sea-bream (Martínez et al., 2005a; Raldúa et al., 2008). The high levels of AQP1α transcripts in the sea-bass kidney in SW may be related to required increases in water reabsorption from the renal tubules into the blood, contributing to a decrease in water loss via the urine (Marshall and Groessl, 2005). The presence of AQP1α in the kidney of both the adult eel (Martínez et al., 2005c) and pre-adult sea-bass (Giffard-Mena et al., 2007) is probably related to the reabsorption of water from the tubular fluids, a process of particular importance to prevent dehydration in SW-acclimated fish. This hypothesis is supported by lower urinary excretion rates found in sea-bass acclimated to SW rather than DSW (Nebel et al., 2005a). In FW fish, urinary excretion is important and allows to eliminate the excess of water, while reabsorption of ions by the kidney and bladder ionocytes limits ion loss (Nebel et al., 2005a). Results concerning the detection of AQPI and AQP1 dup in the kidney of eels acclimated in SW are contradictory; the increased abundance of AQP1 transcripts found in FW-acclimated yellow eels is difficult to link with the need for eliminating water excess (Martínez et al., 2005c). Interestingly, increases in expression of AQP1 transcripts were not observed in migratory silver eels when still in FW, indicating that expression of AQP1 within the kidney is regulated more by developmental changes than environmental salinity variations (Martínez et al., 2005c). Therefore AQP1 might have a more important role in the control of cellular volume within the kidney rather than the bulk transepithelial movement of water (Martínez et al., 2005c). Conversely, AQP1 may also be involved in the absorption or secretion of water in the renal proximal tubules (Cutler et al., 2006). A recent study (Cerdà and Finn, 2010) has shown that these were actually paralogs including AQP1α, AQP1β, and AQP10β.

CONCLUSION

The regulation of AQP1α in the euryhaline sea-bass begins at the metamorphic transition. Elevated AQP1α mRNA levels in juvenile gut and kidney in SW-acclimated sea-bass suggest a key role in water absorption. The expression of AQP1α protein in the gut starts at metamorphosis (D42, 21 mm) and increases in juveniles (from D96, 43 mm) indicating an approximate time for water regulation through AQP1α-cells in developing fish. The importance of other aquaporin family members still remains to be elucidated. These results contribute to the interpretation of the adaptation of the sea bas to their habitats and their variability, as metamorphosis occurs just prior to the migration from the sea to estuaries and lagoons, i.e., to areas where salinity fluctuates.
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