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ABSTRACT

Acute myocardial ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury is a significant, unsolved clinical puzzle. In the disease context of acute myocardial infarction, reperfusion remains the only effective strategy to salvage ischemic myocardium, but it also causes additional damage. Myocardial I/R injury is composed of four types of damage, and these events attenuate the benefits of reperfusion therapy. Thus, inventing new strategies to conquer I/R injury is an unmet clinical need. A variety of pathological processes and mediators, including changes in the pH, generation of reactive oxygen radicals, and intracellular calcium overload, are proposed to be crucial in I/R-related cell injury. Among the intracellular events that occur during I/R, we stress the importance of protein phosphorylation signaling and elaborate its regulation. A variety of protein kinase pathways could be activated in I/R, including reperfusion injury salvage kinase and survivor-activating factor enhancement pathways, which are critical to cardiomyocyte survival. In addition to serine/threonine phosphorylation signaling, protein tyrosine phosphorylation is also critical in multiple cell functions and survival. However, the roles of protein kinases and phosphatases in I/R have not been extensively studied yet. By better understanding the mechanisms of I/R injury, we may have a better chance to develop new strategies for I/R injury and apply them in the clinical patient care.
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BACKGROUND OF MYOCARDIAL ISCHEMIA/REPERFUSION INJURY

Despite recent improvements in medical knowledge and management, acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and its consequences are still significant health problems worldwide. The myocardium is considered to have negligible regenerative capacity, and scar formation occurs when a significant amount of cardiac muscle is lost [1]. Timely restoration of blood flow to the ischemic myocardium is the standard treatment to prevent the death of threatened cardiomyocytes. Reperfusion is the only established strategy in the current clinical practice to limit the infarct size and decrease mortality and morbidity. In line with the increment of successful reperfusion, the in-hospital mortality rate for AMI declined from 20% in 1979 to <10% in 2008 in a study in Japan [2]. However, much evidence has shown that reperfusion itself causes additional injury to the ischemic myocardium [3]. These insults significantly diminish the benefits of reperfusion therapy. Over the past decades, great effort has been put into developing strategies to attenuate ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury. However, in spite of progress in the comprehension of I/R injury and promising results of some strategies in animal models, the outcomes of clinical studies for translating these findings into patient care have been largely disappointing.

Myocardial I/R injury, first reported by Jennings et al. in 1960 [4], is characterized by cell swelling, contracture of myofibrils, and disruption of the sarcolemma in myocardium, which has been subjected to ischemia followed by reperfusion. After the first report of I/R injury, evidence in the scientific literature accumulated over the past few decades. In this review article, we summarize the clinical manifestations and various basic mechanisms of myocardial I/R injury. After getting a better understanding of the mediators and mechanisms of I/R injury, we could develop more suitable strategies to minimize myocardial damage.
CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS OF ISCHEMIA/REPERFUSION INJURY

I/R can result in four types of injury, including myocardial stunning, the no-reflow phenomenon, reperfusion arrhythmia, and lethal reperfusion injury [3].

Myocardial stunning
Myocardial stunning is a condition of persistent mechanical dysfunction after opening the occluded blood vessel, regardless of the absence of irreversible damage [5]. It is fully reversible. This functional abnormality in contractility can last for days, with eventual recovery. Several studies of AMI patients demonstrated that the systolic and diastolic function of the posts ischemic myocardium may not recover immediately after reperfusion. However, most improvement occurs within the first 1 week after the indicated infarction episode [6]. Factors that determine the severity of stunning are the degree and duration of blood flow deprivation, myocardial temperature, and loading conditions of the heart [5]. The mechanisms involve calcium overload, decreasing calcium responsiveness of the myofilaments, degradation of troponin I, and excitation-contraction uncoupling [7,8]. In the clinical setting, myocardial stunning can be diagnosed using various image modalities such as dobutamine echocardiography, myocardial contrast echocardiography [9], and gated Tc-99m single-photon emission computed tomography [10].

No-reflow phenomenon
The no-reflow phenomenon is incomplete and uneven reperfusion at the microvascular level even though the proximal artery has been re-opened after a period of ischemia [11]. This phenomenon occurs in 0.6%–3.2% of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) cases in the current practice [12]. Its development is associated with a significantly increased risk of death [13,14]. Hyperglycemia has been proposed and demonstrated as a factor that associates with the no-reflow phenomenon [15]. This phenomenon is considered to result from endothelial damage, leukocyte plugging, and mechanical compression [16]. The endothelial damage which occurs during ischemia results in an increasing infarct size and augmentation of microvascular hyperperfusion at the time of reperfusion. There are a variety of mechanisms which contribute to the endothelial injury, including acute inflammatory response, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, and intracellular calcium overload. It is confined to the necrotic zone, mainly located in the subendocardium, but also progresses toward the subepicardium after a long period of ischemia. In addition to endothelial damage, myocyte swelling as well as tissue edema can also occlude the microvasculature by external compression. Furthermore, the downstream embolization of thrombi and leukocytes also accounts for the no-reflow phenomenon. This finally leads to compromised tissue perfusion in spite of successful restoration of blood flow to the epicardial blood vessel.

Reperfusion arrhythmia
Reperfusion of the ischemic heart may also lead to arrhythmias, including the most life-threatening, ventricular tachycardia (VT) and ventricular fibrillation (VF) [17]. It is not unusual as up to 80% of AMI patients have at least one reperfusion arrhythmia in the first 48 hours [18]. The most common arrhythmias in AMI patients during and immediately after primary PCI are accelerated idioventricular rhythm, sinus bradycardia, nonsustained VT, and sinus tachycardia [19]. Some reperfusion arrhythmias are well tolerated, and no specific treatment is required. However, VT/VF is associated with a high risk of mortality [19]. The electrophysiological consequences of I/R depend on the duration of ischemia, and most reperfusion arrhythmias occur after a short ischemic duration. It is proposed that oxygen-derived-free radicals play a critical role in the generation of reperfusion arrhythmia [20,21]. Inhomogeneous changes in the intracellular and extracellular calcium, potassium, and sodium levels during I/R also lead to the dispersion of cardiomyocyte refractoriness, which potentiates the formation of re-entry. In addition to the re-entry mechanism, the triggered activity caused by early and delayed afterdepolarizations also accounts for reperfusion arrhythmias [22]. Accelerated idioventricular rhythm is common in the clinical practice and may result from increasing automaticity or triggered activity [23]. Several factors increase the susceptibility of ischemic myocardium to reperfusion arrhythmia, including acidosis, α-adrenergic stimulation, and angiotensin II release [24].

Lethal reperfusion injury
Lethal reperfusion injury is defined as myocardial injury caused by the restoration of coronary blood flow after an ischemic episode [25]. It can cause immediate cardiomyocyte death at the beginning of reperfusion. It is the most serious consequence of I/R injury and is the major cause which prevents recovery of the ischemic myocardium after reperfusion therapy. However, it is difficult to identify in the clinical practice, and thus, the incidence in humans is not clear [26]. According to the previous studies, myocardial damage caused by lethal reperfusion injury may account for half of the final infarct size [3]. During the process of ischemia and reperfusion, various programs of cell death are activated, including apoptosis, necrosis, necroptosis, and autophagy-associated cell death [27]. Apoptosis, a process of programmed cell death characterized by typical deoxyribonucleic acid strand breaks, causes distinctive cell morphology changes including cell blebbing and shrinkage [28]. Necrosis is characterized by cell and organelle swelling followed by the rupture of surface membranes and the spilling of their intracellular contents [28]. Notably, necrotic cells are potent stimulators of the immune system and often lead to massive inflammatory cell infiltration and cytokine production in the injured area [29]. This process may further potentiate the severity of myocardial I/R injury. Necroptosis, sharing some features with necrosis and apoptosis, is regarded as one type of regulated cell death [30]. Autophagy, which is regarded as an adaptive response to sublethal stress, is a process of lysosomal degradation and characterized by the presence of double-membrane vesicles and increased expression of autophagy-related genes [28]. Although all these types of cell death account for lethal reperfusion injury, cardiomyocyte death during the myocardial I/R process is mainly mediated by necrosis [31].
MECHANISMS AND MEDIATORS IN MYOCARDIAL ISCHEMIA/REPERFUSION INJURY

After the presence and importance of myocardial I/R injury were identified, accumulating studies addressed the mechanisms and mediators that cause cardiac injury during I/R. Various pathological processes and mediators are proposed to be crucial in ischemia-related and reperfusion-related cell injury. These mediators contribute to particular pathological effects in each stage of I/R. These pathological processes relate to each other and work together to result in cell damage [Figure 1]. In the following section, we review some important pathological processes during cardiac I/R.

pH paradox

During ischemia, accumulation of intracellular sodium, hydrogen, and calcium ions results in increasing tissue acidosis. Once the blood flow is restored, acidosis is corrected rapidly by the activation of the Na⁺-H⁺ exchanger as well as the Na⁺-HCO₃⁻ symporter [32]. Evidence has suggested that the rapid correction of pH during reperfusion can lead to enhanced cytotoxicity [33] because this rapid pH shift permits opening of mitochondrial permeability transition pores (MPTPs) [34], further resulting in cardiomyocyte death.

Calcium overload

Intracellular and mitochondrial calcium overload begins at the onset of ischemia [35] and is exacerbated during reperfusion [36]. During reperfusion, the Na⁺-H⁺ exchanger and the Na⁺-HCO₃⁻ transporter are activated, leading to intracellular sodium accumulation. A high sodium concentration, in turn, drives the increment of cytosolic calcium through reverse Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchange [37]. Due to lack of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) during the I/R process, further cytosolic calcium accumulation occurs through enhancing calcium entry via sarcolemmal L-type Ca²⁺ channels and the deficient import of cytosolic calcium into the sarcoplasmic reticulum by sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺-ATPase [38]. Calcium overload could result in myofibrillar hypercontractility [39], mitochondrial damage [40], and myocardial stunning [41].

![Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the mediators and consequences of ischemia/reperfusion injury](image)

Reactive oxygen species

ROS are critical mediators in myocardial I/R injury as evidenced by (1) interventions that enhance ROS scavenging protect against reperfusion injury, (2) artificial generation of ROS reproduces the I/R injury response, and (3) enhanced ROS production and their characteristic products can be detected in posts ischemic tissues [42]. In the first few minutes of myocardial reperfusion, there is a burst of ROS [43] which could be from a variety of sources. Among all potential ROS sources, xanthine oxidase, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase, mitochondria, and uncoupled nitric oxide synthase are the most likely contributors to reperfusion-induced oxidative stress [42]. These oxygen species are highly reactive and overwhelm the cell’s endogenous-free radical scavenging system quickly. This harmful oxidative stress contributes to myocardial injury and cardiomyocyte death through a variety of mechanisms. They can not only cause direct damage to membranes and proteins but also induce indirect damage by opening of the MPTP and the following activation of pro-apoptotic pathways.

Mitochondria dysfunction

Cardiomyocytes consume lots of energy, and thus, the density of mitochondria inside the cells is relatively high. The function of mitochondria is regulated by several factors, including Ca²⁺ [44]. At a normal physiological status, mitochondrial Ca²⁺ is a positive effector that can trigger the activation of mitochondrial metabolic machinery to generate higher ATP output [45]. However, during the I/R process, the cytosolic calcium overload mentioned above results in subsequent mitochondrial calcium overload. Ca²⁺ cycling across the mitochondrial membrane can lead to amplification of mitochondrial Ca²⁺ overload [46] and cause mitochondrial dysfunction. Mitochondrial Ca²⁺ overload acts to dissipate the electrical membrane potential [38], facilitates the opening of MPTP, and further results in impairment of ATP synthesis. MPTP, a non-selective channel of the inner mitochondrial membrane, has been considered a critical participant in I/R injury [47]. The inner mitochondrial membrane, normally impermeable to ions and proteins, is responsible for maintaining the mitochondrial transmembrane potential [48]. Formation of the pores creates a nonselective channel and results in dissipation of the electrical potential across this membrane. In the setting of acute I/R, the MPTPs remain closed during ischemia and open at reperfusion in response to mitochondrial calcium and phosphate overload, oxidative stress, and rapid pH correction [48,49]. This opening results in loss of electrochemical gradient, generation of ROS, release of cytochrome c, and subsequent activation of downstream pro-apoptosis pathway, which eventually lead to cell death [50].

Inflammation

Inflammation is also proposed to be an another important player in I/R injury [51] although the I/R process typically occurs in a sterile environment. This sterile inflammation which is also characterized by the accumulation of inflammatory cells involves in the recruitment and activation of innate and adaptive immune responses and contributes to myocardial injury [52]. The consequences of I/R share many phenotypic features with activation of the host immune response toward...
invading microorganisms [53]. The innate immune system is activated by attaching of ligands, termed “damage-associated molecular patterns,” to pattern-recognition molecules such as Toll-like receptors [54,55]. The I/R process also elicits a drastic adaptive immune response involving lymphocytes activated by antigen-specific and antigen-independent mechanisms [56]. Recruitment and activation of immune cells of the innate and adaptive immune system cause pernicious effects to the injured myocardium during the I/R process.

**Regulation of protein phosphorylation during ischemia/reperfusion**

The status of protein phosphorylation can be very important in myocardial I/R injury since it involves in regulating a variety of cell functions including the onset and mode of cell death. There are several kinases and phosphatases which have been studied in the I/R process. In the following section, we examine the regulation of protein phosphorylation during myocardial I/R.

**Current evidence on protein kinases**

A variety of protein kinase pathways can be activated during myocardial ischemia and the reperfusion process. This activation potentially relates to the severity of myocardial injury, and thus, it is worthwhile to get a better understanding of the regulations of these signaling pathways. The protein kinase pathways activated during I/R process include serine/threonine kinases such as protein kinase C (PKC), pro-survival kinases (the mitogen-activated protein kinase [extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) 1/2], PI-3 kinase/Akt), and tyrosine kinases [57]. Among them, the serine/threonine kinases have been most extensively studied.

**Protein kinase C**

The PKC family of enzymes is composed of isoenzymes that are classified into three groups based on their structure and cofactor regulation. The best characterized group is the conventional PKCs. The other two less understood groups are novel PKCs and atypical PKCs [58]. This was the first protein kinase which was examined in detail in I/R and ischemic preconditioning. Oxidative stress causes the translocation and activation of PKC [59]. This activation of PKC plays a role in myocardial I/R injury as evidence shows that inhibition of PKC activity could protect against I/R injury [60]. In ischemic preconditioning, however, blocking PKC activity by pharmacological agents abolishes the cardioprotective effect [61,62], indicating the contribution of PKC to preconditioning. The discrepancy in these results may come from the different effects of PKC isoenzymes. Which isoenzymes are the most critical mediators remains controversial. In one animal study using rabbit heart, selective εPKC and ηPKC translocation occurred in the ischemic preconditioned myocardium [63]. In another study using isolated rat heart, however, PKC-δ and PKC-ε translocation was noted after brief ischemic stimulation [64]. The studies show that activation of PKC-ε protects against myocardial I/R injury [65] and ablation of PKC-ε abolishes the protective effect of ischemic preconditioning [66]. Based on this evidence, PKC-ε is considered cardioprotective. In contrast, the studies of PKC-δ are more controversial. Some have demonstrated detrimental effects in activating PKC-δ in I/R, but others have suggested a protective role of PKC-δ in ischemic preconditioning [67].

**The reperfusion injury salvage kinase pathway**

During the I/R process, pro-survival kinase signaling cascades, including the PI-3K/Akt and ERK 1/2 pathways, are activated [68]. This has been termed the reperfusion injury salvage kinase (RISK) pathway, and it promotes cellular survival in part by phosphorylating and inactivating a variety of proteins which are involved in cell death. For example, the phosphorylation and inactivation of downstream effectors such as Bel-2-associated death promoter, glycogen synthase kinase-3, Bax, Bim, and caspases have been reported [68]. Because the activation of the RISK pathway exerts a significant cardioprotective effect, great effort has been put into exploring strategies that can activate this pathway. Both ischemic preconditioning and postconditioning are documented mechanical strategies which activate the RISK pathway [69,70]. As for pharmacological intervention, an increasing number of agents show a cardioprotective potential which is linked to the recruitment of the RISK pathway. These agents include growth factors, natriuretic peptides, estrogen, volatile anesthetics, and statins [70].

**The survivor-activating factor enhancement pathway**

In addition to the RISK pathway, an alternative pathway called the survivor-activating factor enhancement (SAFE) pathway involving the activation of Janus kinase (JAK) and signal transducer and activator of transcription-3 (STAT-3) is also activated during I/R [71]. The activation of STAT-3 following AMI was observed after ligation of the left coronary artery of rats [72]. Administration of the JAK/STAT-3 pathway inhibitor suppresses the phosphorylation of STAT-3 and results in an increased number of apoptotic cells [73], therefore suggesting a protective role of STAT-3 in I/R. Through inhibition of MPTP opening, the SAFE pathway promotes cardiomyocyte survival [74]. Cross-talk between the RISK and SAFE pathways has already been addressed based on the finding that inhibiting critical mediators in either pathway abolishes the cardioprotective effect raised from the other [74]. It has been demonstrated that tumor necrosis factor alpha can conquer I/R injury through activation of the SAFE pathway [75]. Some agents, including apolipoprotein A-I [76] and ethanolamine [77], are also considered activators of this pathway.

**Protein tyrosine phosphorylation**

Protein tyrosine phosphorylation is dynamically regulated by protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs) and protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs). The dynamic regulation of PTKs and PTPs is crucial for maintaining the homeostasis of tyrosine phosphorylation, and it is involved in critical cellular functions such as cell survival [78]. Imbalance between PTKs and PTPs can lead to pathological consequences. It has been shown that treatment with orthovanadate protects the heart from I/R injury by inhibiting PTPs with subsequent increasing intracellular tyrosine phosphorylation levels [79]. Ischemic preconditioning is proposed as a powerful strategy to diminish myocardial I/R injury, and one of its protective mechanisms is increasing tyrosine phosphorylation by activating tyrosine kinases [80]. All this evidence suggests that the status of protein tyrosine
phosphorylation plays a critical role in myocardial I/R injury. Although evidence suggests that the status of protein tyrosine phosphorylation is crucial, the role of each specific tyrosine kinase and phosphatase in myocardial I/R injury has not yet been extensively elucidated.

Tyrosine kinases

Among the family of tyrosine kinases, the role of Src is particularly mentioned. The activity of Src is decreased by the I/R process [81], and a significant increment of Src activity is observed in the heart subjected to ischemic preconditioning [80,82]. After exposing to oxidative stress, Src family tyrosine kinases can activate ERKs, which further promote cardiomyocyte survival [83]. Src activation, as well as the beneficial effects of ischemic preconditioning, is abrogated by tyrosine kinase inhibitors [80]. Other strategies in addition to ischemic preconditioning may activate Src. Uroctin is one of the various compounds that are proposed to be beneficial in I/R conditions. It achieves its protective effect through recruitment of the Src-ERK pathway [84]. Ischemic preconditioning also activates Lck which suggests that Lck may also participate in the I/R process [80].

Tyrosine phosphatases

PTPs, encoded by the largest family of phosphatase genes, are the predominant enzymes that mediate the removal of the phosphate moiety from tyrosine residues [85]. There is limited evidence suggesting the participating of PTPs in myocardial I/R injury. According to a series of studies, treating I/R mice with pan-PTP inhibitors exerts a significant cardioprotective effect [79,86,87]. Genetic deletion of PTP1B, a classic nonreceptor type PTP, showed benefit in protecting the heart against MI-induced injury [88]. Similarly, ablation of PTP1B with RNA interference resulted in enhanced cardiac survival when cultured cardiomyocytes were exposed to hypoxia and reoxygenation [89]. Phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10 (PTEN) has also been studied under the setting of myocardial I/R. PTEN, which was first identified as a tumor suppressor gene, is an essential regulator of cell proliferation, differentiation, growth, and apoptosis [90]. PTEN is the main phosphatase which negatively regulates the PISK/Akt pathway [91]; thus, its activity is inversely related to cell survival. In hearts undergoing I/R, PTEN activity is downregulated during the ischemia phase and restored gradually after reperfusion [92]. Ischemic preconditioning also reduces PTEN activity, thus promoting cardiomyocyte survival [92].

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Early and successful restoration of blood flow to the infarct area in AMI is the most effective strategy to save the ischemic myocardium and decrease mortality and morbidity. However, reperfusion can paradoxically cause tissue injury, thereby reducing the benefits of therapy. There has been great progress in understanding the mechanisms of cardiac I/R injury over the past few decades. According to the existing evidence, cellular signaling transduction is critical to cell survival and is tightly regulated. The setting of ischemia followed by reperfusion alters this carefully orchestrated homeostasis. The events that result from the I/R processes, including rapid pH shifts, calcium overload, mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, and imbalance of protein phosphorylation, work together to cause the detrimental effects in injured cardiomyocytes. Translation of cardioprotective strategies from successful experiments to clinical practice has been largely disappointing. There is a need for additional insight into the molecular events triggered by the I/R process. Through investigating the mechanisms of I/R and better understanding the underlying signaling pathway, we would have a better chance to develop treatments to protect against I/R injury. This is an active area of research. We look forward to developing new therapies to diminish myocardial I/R injury and integrate them into clinical practice.
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