Use of Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation to Rescue Patients With Refractory Ventricular Arrhythmia in Acute Myocardial Infarction
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Abstract: Refractory ventricular arrhythmia is a serious problem in acute myocardial infarction (AMI), with an extremely high mortality rate and limited effective treatment. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is useful to rescue patients with cardiopulmonary collapse. However, little is known about whether ECMO is a potential rescue technique for patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia in AMI.

We retrospectively analyzed prospectively collected data on patients with AMI and refractory ventricular arrhythmia who underwent ECMO as rescue therapy and the bridge to revascularization from February 2001 to January 2013. Primary endpoint was mortality on index admission, and secondary endpoint was mortality on index admission or advanced brain damage at discharge.

A total of 69 (62 men) patients were enrolled in this study. During the index admission, 39 patients (56.5%) met primary endpoint, and 45 patients (65.2%) met secondary endpoint, respectively. In multivariate Cox regression analysis, both the presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy and acute renal failure requiring dialysis were significant predictive factors for both primary and secondary endpoints.

ECMO is a feasible rescue therapy and bridge to revascularization in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia in acute myocardial infarction. The presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy and acute renal failure requiring dialysis were significant prognostic factors.

(Medicine 94(30):e1241)

Abbreviations: AMI = acute myocardial infarction, ARF = acute renal failure, CABG = coronary artery bypass graft, CK = creatine kinase, ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, E-CPR = ECMO-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, STEMI = ST elevation myocardial infarction.

INTRODUCTION

Mortality and complications associated with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) have gradually decreased in the era of reperfusion therapy. However, the outcomes are still poor in patients with ventricular arrhythmia in AMI who need resuscitation. Refractory ventricular arrhythmia is even more challenging with an extremely high mortality rate. Current guidelines focus on medical and defibrillation therapy when facing ventricular arrhythmia in patients with AMI. However, the available treatment modalities for patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia are still limited. Intraaortic balloon pump support is a possible solution in such circumstances, although the results have been reported to be unacceptable due to extremely high mortality rate.

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) provides cardiopulmonary support and is used to rescue patients with cardiopulmonary collapse. In patients with AMI, ECMO is suggested for temporary support in those with acute heart failure with the potential for functional recovery following revascularization. In recent studies, ECMO has been reported to improve outcomes in patients with AMI with cardiogenic shock, and that early ECMO initiation yields better outcomes. Moreover, a previous study demonstrated a significant increase in survival using ECMO in patients with cardiogenic shock compared with intraaortic balloon pump support. The previous studies suggest that ECMO is a potential solution for patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia in AMI. However, little is known about the efficacy of such treatment in these patients, and it has not been mentioned in current guidelines. Therefore, we assessed the efficacy of ECMO as rescue therapy and as a bridge to revascularization in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia in AMI.

METHODS

Setting and Population

The present study was conducted at National Taiwan University Hospital, a university-affiliated 2200-bed hospital in northern Taiwan. This hospital is also an ECMO referral center and tertiary medical center. We established a computerized case record form prospectively and collected the demographic data, clinical features, and outcomes of patients undergoing ECMO. Adult patients who required ECMO for AMI-induced refractory ventricular arrhythmia between February 2001 and January 2013 were included. The inclusion criteria were an age of 18 years or older, and those who received venoarterial ECMO for circulatory collapse despite...
conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation and medical treatment, and a clinical diagnosis of AMI-induced refractory ventricular arrhythmia before ECMO. The exclusion criteria were those who did not receive coronary catheterization during this hospitalization, and who receive ECMO implantation during or after revascularization therapy. Primary endpoint was mortality on index admission. Secondary endpoint was mortality on index admission or advanced brain damage at discharge.

The institutional review board of National Taiwan University hospital approved the study and waived for the need of informed consent (Ref: 201409044IRIN).

Definitions

The diagnosis of AMI was made by electrocardiography, medical history, and the presence of cardiac necrosis markers in serum. The definition of ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) was new ST elevation at the J point in at least 2 contiguous leads of ≥2 mm (0.2 mV) in men or ≥1.5 mm (0.15 mV) in women in leads V2–V3, and/or of ≥1 mm (0.1 mV) in other contiguous chest leads or the limb leads. New or presumably new left bundle branch block was considered to be equivalent to STEMI. Refractory ventricular arrhythmia was defined as persistent ventricular arrhythmia even with the use of antiarrhythmia medications, cardioversion, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Venoarterial ECMO was delivered to the appropriate candidates when refractory ventricular arrhythmia occurred. ECMO-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation (E-CPR) was defined as the rescue process, while ECMO was used to obtain return of spontaneous circulation in the patients with cardiac arrest who were unresponsive to conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation. E-CPR will be performed only in patients who underwent witnessed arrest of cardiac origin and CPR duration (defined as the interval from beginning CPR to return of spontaneous circulation or death) for more than 10 minutes. The duration of CPR was defined as the duration from the witnessed cardiac arrest to return of spontaneous circulation with ECMO. Advanced vessel disease was defined as left main disease or triple-vessel disease of the coronary artery. Dialysis-dependent acute renal failure (ARF) was defined as de novo renal failure found on ECMO with necessity and indication for dialysis. Profound anoxic encephalopathy was defined as a comatose or vegetative status after the hemodynamic insult with abnormal brainstem reflexes and organic brain lesions identified by brain computed tomography. The functional status of the survivors after discharge was analyzed according to Glasgow–Pittsburgh cerebral-performance categories scores, with advanced brain damage at discharge defined as a score of 3–4.

Clinical Management

Diagnostic coronary catheterization with ad hoc percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was the first intervention strategy given to the patients on ECMO to confirm and resolve culprit coronary lesions. The patients who experienced an unsatisfactory PCI (TIMI flow ≤2 after intervention) and those who had a coronary anatomy or clinical condition unsuitable for PCI received coronary artery bypass grafts (CABG). After the revascularization therapy, multidisciplinary therapies were delivered to support the injured organs. The consciousness level was evaluated every 12 hours after CPR, and neurological assessments with brain imaging studies were performed to check the cause of prolonged unconsciousness (>72 hours after ECMO initiation) or significant deterioration of the coma scale after stopping sedatives. Weaning off ECMO was attempted in the patients who showed myocardial improvements in periodical evaluations by echocardiography and other hemodynamic studies. Suitable candidates without myocardial recovery were listed for heart transplantation, and a short-term ventricular assisted device was considered if there was a long-waiting time to transplantation.

The preprocedural demographics, characteristics of myocardial infarction, coronary lesions, E-CPR requirement and duration, type of coronary revascularization, common complications of ECMO, ECMO duration, and clinical outcomes were collected as previously reported.

Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square or Fisher exact test, whereas continuous variables were compared using the Student t-test. Univariate Cox regression analysis was used to examine the possible factors affecting the primary or secondary endpoint. Determinants with a P value < 0.1 in univariate Cox regression analysis were then tested in multivariate Cox regression analysis with forward stepwise subset selection to identify independent factors predicting primary or secondary endpoint. Linear analysis was used for detecting the trend of difference among patients divided by peak creatine kinase (CK)-MB levels. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (Version 12.0, SPSS, Inc., IL). A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

A total of 69 patients (62 men) were enrolled in this study, with a mean age of 57.8 years. The average total ECMO time was 92 hours and the average hospitalization duration was 20.8 days. The weaning rate of ECMO was 59.4% (n = 41). The primary endpoint was met in 39 patients (56.5%), and 30 patients (43.5%) survived to hospital discharge, of whom 6 had advanced brain damage at discharge. Forty five patients (65.2%) met secondary endpoint.

The clinical data are summarized in Table 1. Forty two patients (60.9%) had STEMI. The patients who received CABG had a higher percentage of advanced vessel disease and lower percentage of STEMI compared with those who received PCI. The other parameters were comparable between the patients who received PCI or CABG.

Primary Outcome Analysis: Mortality on Index Admission

In univariate Cox regression analysis, age (P = 0.017), peak CK-MB level (P = 0.034), and the presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy (P < 0.001), and dialysis-dependent ARF (P < 0.001) were the significant factors associated with mortality (Table 2).

In multivariate Cox regression analysis, the presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy (hazard ratio [HR] = 3.474, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.720–7.015, P = 0.001) and dialysis-dependent ARF (HR = 3.543, 95% CI: 1.450–8.656, P = 0.006) were the independent factors significantly associated with mortality (Table 3).
ECMO for Refractory Ventricular Arrhythmia in AMI

TABLE 1. Demographic Data and Clinical Condition of Patients Undergoing Different Revascularization Strategy

| Demographic data                      | PCI (N = 49) | CABG (N = 20) | P Value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------|
| Age, years                            | 57.6         | 58.8          | 0.736   |
| Sex, male                             | 44 (90%)     | 18 (90%)      | 0.98    |
| Diabetes mellitus                     | 16 (33%)     | 9 (45%)       | 0.333   |
| Hypertension                          | 28 (57%)     | 7 (35%)       | 0.095   |
| End-stage renal disease requiring dialysis | 1 (2%)     | 0 (0%)        | 0.52    |
| Previous CAD                          | 12 (24%)     | 8 (40%)       | 0.198   |
| Previous MI                           | 3 (6%)       | 3 (15%)       | 0.235   |
| Previous stroke                       | 4 (8%)       | 1 (5%)        | 0.646   |
| Clinical condition                    |              |               |         |
| Advanced vessel disease on coronary angiogram | 26 (53%)  | 16 (80%)      | 0.013*  |
| STEMI                                 | 35 (71%)     | 7 (35%)       | 0.015*  |
| Pre-ECMO CPR                          | 21 (43%)     | 13 (65%)      | 0.095   |
| ECOMO-support CPR,                    | 42 (86%)     | 16 (80%)      | 0.556   |
| CPR duration, min                     | 50.8         | 48.3          | 0.757   |
| Peak CK-MB level, U/L                 | 639.4        | 601.1         | 0.804   |
| Duration on ECOMO, hours              | 88.4         | 101           | 0.547   |
| Presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy | 24 (49%)  | 8 (40%)       | 0.497   |
| Presence of vascular complication on ECOMO | 22 (45%)  | 10 (50%)      | 0.700   |
| Presence of dialysis-dependent ARF    | 31 (63%)     | 12 (60%)      | 0.800   |
| Mortality on the index admission      | 27 (55%)     | 12 (60%)      | 0.710   |
| Discharge with advanced brain damage  | 6 (12%)      | 0 (0%)        | 0.171   |
| Mortality on index admission or advanced brain damage at discharge | 33 (67%) | 12 (60%) | 0.561 |

ARF = acute renal failure, CABG = coronary artery bypass graft, CAD = coronary artery disease, CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation, ECOMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, MI = myocardial infarction, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, STEMI = ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

Secondary Endpoint Analysis: Mortality on Index Admission or Advanced Brain Damage at Discharge

In univariate Cox regression analysis, age (P = 0.047), and the presence of anoxic encephalopathy (P < 0.001) and dialysis-dependent ARF (P = 0.021) were the significant factors associated with secondary endpoint (Table 4). In multivariate Cox regression analysis, the presence of anoxic encephalopathy (HR = 3.838, 95% CI: 1.999–7.368, P < 0.001) and dialysis-dependent ARF (HR = 2.233, 95% CI: 1.118–4.461, P = 0.023) were the independent factors significantly associated with secondary endpoint (Table 5).

Comparison of Early and Late Phase of Study

For the evaluation of possible influence by the improvement of ECOMO techniques and patients care during these years, we divided patients into 2 groups (enrolled in early 6 years vs late 6 years). In baseline characteristics, these 2 groups were similar except more patients with hypertension (P < 0.001) in the late group. Patients enrolled in late 6 years had a significantly lower mortality (P < 0.001) and a better outcome in secondary endpoint (P = 0.003) (Table 6).

Comparison of Groups Divided by Peak CK-MB

We divided patients into 3 groups according to the peak CK-MB concentrations. There was an insignificant trend in patients from low to high peak CK-MB levels for clinical outcomes (P of trend = 0.100 in primary endpoint, and P of trend = 0.178, in secondary endpoint, respectively) (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

The major findings of this study are: ECOMO is feasible as a bridging tool for revascularization in patients with AMI and refractory ventricular arrhythmia; the crucial factors associated with clinical outcomes in this group of patients include the presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy and dialysis-dependent ARF.

Primary ventricular arrhythmia affects 2.3% to 8.0% of patients with AMI.6,19 AMI-induced refractory ventricular arrhythmia, which is resistant to traditional antiarrhythmic medications and cardiac defibrillation, may involve a large area of infarction or multivessel disease with a high ischemic burden and is associated with a very poor prognosis.20 The available treatment modalities for these patients are still limited. Intraaortic balloon pump support is a possible solution, however, the results have been reported to be unacceptable due to the extremely high mortality rate.21,22 ECOMO provides cardio-pulmonary support and is frequently used to rescue patients with cardiopulmonary collapse.21 Therefore, it should also be a reasonable treatment of choice for patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia. A previous case report showed that early ECOMO installation may be helpful in terminating refractory ventricular arrhythmia.22 In AMI-induced ventricular arrhythmia, ECOMO installation has been reported to significantly improve the 30-day (61%) and 1-year (53.85%) survival rate.4 However, a definitive conclusion cannot be made due to the small number of patients. Despite the increasing use of ECOMO, it is not currently mentioned as a possible solution or bridging tool for refractory ventricular arrhythmia in the guidelines.5,6,23 In our hospital with a high volume of ECOMO use, we
demonstrated a similar survival rate (43%) using ECMO as a bridging tool for revascularization in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia because of AMI. This study is the first to focus on the role of ECMO in patients with AMI and refractory ventricular arrhythmia. According to our findings, ECMO seems to be a feasible tool to terminate refractory ventricular arrhythmia and as a bridge to revascularization.

The mechanisms of improvement of refractory ventricular arrhythmia after ECMO implantation are complex. In patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia, the failure of conversion to a normal rhythm causes further poor perfusion of the myocardium, elevated ventricular end-diastolic pressures, profound ventricular dysfunction, and circulatory collapse. These conditions make the heart resistant to medical therapy and further electrical conversion.24 Venoarterial-ECMO can decompress the heart, maintain coronary circulation, increase cardiac output, preserve systemic organ perfusion, and improve the condition of acidosis/hypoxia.24,25 It provides better cardiac and general conditions, which make successful cardiac conversion possible.

ECMO has also been reported to have good results for the treatment of similar conditions such as AMI-related cardiogenic shock. According to the SHOCK trial, successful early revascularization improved the long-term survival of patients with AMI-related cardiogenic shock.26 It has also been reported that early ECMO-assisted primary PCI improved 30-day clinical outcomes in patients with STEMI complicated with profound cardiogenic shock.27 Therefore, ECMO seems to be a reasonable choice to act as a bridge to revascularization in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia.

In the presented study, our patients enrolled in late 6 years had better clinical outcome. The reasons for this result probably are the learning process in the initial stage of ECMO setup in such emergent and critical condition, better devices of ECMO launched in the market in modern era. Among patients enrolled in late 6 years, the survival rate was 71% and more than half patients (58%) discharged without advanced brain damage. According to these positive results, it would emphasize our conclusion in modern era that “ECMO” is a reasonable and feasible rescue tool and a bridge to revascularization in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia in AMI.

The factors affecting survival in patients with ECMO have been studied for a long time, and recent data have focused on patients with AMI-related cardiogenic shock.27–31 Pre-ECMO

### TABLE 2. Univariate Cox Regression Analysis for the Prediction of Mortality in ECMO Recipients (n = 69)

| Factors                                      | β (95% CI) | P Value |
|----------------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Male                                         | 1.299 (0.506, 3.334) | 0.587   |
| Age, years                                   | 1.032 (1.006, 1.058) | 0.017   |
| Diabetes mellitus                            | 1.339 (0.707, 2.534) | 0.370   |
| Hypertension                                 | 0.810 (0.428, 1.532) | 0.517   |
| Baseline end-stage renal disease requiring dialysis | 4.105 (0.542, 31.087) | 0.172   |
| Previous CAD                                 | 1.195 (0.611, 2.339) | 0.602   |
| Previous MI                                  | 1.766 (0.723, 4.313) | 0.212   |
| Previous stroke                              | 1.908 (0.671, 5.420) | 0.225   |
| Advanced vessel disease on coronary angiogram | 1.417 (0.717, 2.801) | 0.316   |
| STEMI                                        | 0.823 (0.431, 1.571) | 0.555   |
| Pre-ECMO CPR                                 | 1.258 (0.663, 2.388) | 0.483   |
| ECMO-assisted CPR                            | 1.623 (0.628, 4.193) | 0.318   |
| CRP duration, min                            | 1.012 (0.988, 1.036) | 0.327   |
| Duration on ECMO, hours                      | 0.998 (0.994, 1.003) | 0.418   |
| Pre-ECMO lactate, mg/dL                      | 0.961 (0.860, 1.074) | 0.488   |
| Pre-ECMO white cell count, K/μL              | 1.000 (1.000,1.000)  | 0.213   |
| Pre-ECMO hematocrit, %                       | 1.014 (0.974, 1.055) | 0.506   |
| Pre-ECMO platelet number, K/μL               | 0.997 (0.993, 1.002) | 0.240   |
| Pre-ECMO creatinine, mg/dL                   | 1.004 (0.867, 1.163) | 0.955   |
| Peak CK-MB level, U/L                        | 1.000 (1.00, 1.001)  | 0.034   |
| Revascularization modality                   |             |         |
| PCI versus CABG                              | 1.047 (0.528, 2.076) | 0.895   |
| Presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy   | 3.254 (1.662, 6.372) | 0.001   |
| Presence of vascular complication of ECMO    | 1.494 (0.792, 2.819) | 0.215   |
| Presence of dialysis-dependent ARF           | 4.146 (1.728, 9.946) | 0.001   |

ARF = acute renal failure, CABG = coronary artery bypass graft, CAD = coronary artery disease, CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation, ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, MI = myocardial infarction, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, STEMI = ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

### TABLE 3. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for the Prediction of Mortality in ECMO Recipients (n = 69)

| Factors                                      | β (95% CI) | P Value |
|----------------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy   | 3.474 (1.720, 7.015) | 0.001   |
| Presence of dialysis-dependent ARF           | 3.543 (1.450, 8.656) | 0.006   |

Excluded parameters: age and peak CK-MB level. ARF = acute renal failure, CI = confidence interval.
serum lactate level, CPR duration, door-to-balloon time, requirement for E-CPR, profound anoxic encephalopathy, and age >60 years have been reported to be risk factors.4,11,30 When limiting the strategy of myocardial revascularization to ECMO in early PCI with CABG as a complementary reperfusion method, a high pre-ECMO serum lactate level, unsuccessful angioplasty, asystole or pulseless electrical activity before ECMO introduction, ECMO-related complications, age >60 years, and profound anoxic encephalopathy have been reported to be the proposed factors affecting survival.11,30,31 However, no study has focused on survival in patients with AMI-related refractory ventricular arrhythmia who undergo ECMO. We found that the presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy and dialysis-dependent ARF were the factors affecting survival, which is consistent with previous studies.11

Peak CK-MB levels are useful in estimating infarct size and predicting clinical outcomes in patients with AMI.32 In the presented study, peak CK-MB levels were significantly associated with mortality in univariate Cox regression analysis (Table 2), but not in multivariate Cox regression analysis (Table 3). Furthermore, there was only an insignificant trend in patients from low to high peak CK-MB levels for the primary and secondary endpoints. There were several possible reasons for the difference of our study from the previous one. First, the number of patients was small which might weaken the power of analysis. Second, the rise of CK-MB levels might not only due to myocardial infarction itself. Cardiac resuscitation might also contribute. Third, multiple factors were associated with prognosis of patients receiving ECMO including underlying cardiac condition, CPR duration, and CPR quality. These factors might also influence the predictive power of CM-MB levels on prognosis. Further studies with a larger patient number are needed to investigate the relations between peak CK-MB levels and clinical outcomes in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia in AMI.

Neurological complication is the most serious morbidity and often causes a heavy economical burden on the patient’s family. Therefore, we set secondary endpoint as the composite of mortality and advanced brain damage. We found that the presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy and dialysis-dependent ARF were the factors associated with secondary endpoint. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study

### TABLE 4. Univariate Cox Regression Analysis for the Prediction of Mortality or Advanced Brain Damage at Discharge in the ECMO Recipients (n = 69)

| Factors                                    | β (95% CI)          | P Value |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Male                                       | 3.538 (0.400, 31.265) | 0.256   |
| Age                                        | 1.024 (1.000, 1.048)  | 0.047   |
| Diabetes mellitus                          | 1.250 (0.683, 2.287)  | 0.469   |
| Hypertension                               | 0.926 (0.510, 1.682)  | 0.802   |
| Baseline end-stage renal disease requiring dialysis | 4.105 (0.542, 31.087)  | 0.172   |
| Previous CAD                               | 1.118 (0.597, 2.092)  | 0.728   |
| Previous MI                                | 1.433 (0.592, 3.468)  | 0.424   |
| Previous stroke                            | 1.875 (0.661, 5.319)  | 0.238   |
| Advanced vessel disease on coronary angiogram | 0.927 (0.795, 1.081)  | 0.334   |
| STEMI                                      | 0.818 (0.447, 1.498)  | 0.515   |
| Pre-ECMO CPR                               | 1.061 (0.586, 1.921)  | 0.844   |
| ECMO-assisted CPR                          | 1.517 (0.636, 3.620)  | 0.347   |
| CPR duration, minutes                      | 1.015 (0.989, 1.042)  | 0.272   |
| Duration on ECMO, hours                    | 0.997 (0.993, 1.002)  | 0.260   |
| Pre-ECMO lactate, mg/dL                    | 0.956 (0.865, 1.057)  | 0.380   |
| Pre-ECMO white cell count, K/μL            | 1.000 (1.000, 1.000)  | 0.110   |
| Pre-ECMO hematocrit, %                     | 1.025 (0.987, 1.064)  | 0.195   |
| Pre-ECMO platelet number, K/μL             | 0.998 (0.994, 1.001)  | 0.244   |
| Pre-ECMO creatinine, mg/dL                 | 0.968 (0.834, 1.123)  | 0.668   |
| Peak CK-MB level, U/L                      | 1.000 (1.000, 1.001)  | 0.119   |
| Revascularization modality                 |                     |         |
| PCI versus CABG                            |                     |         |
| Presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy | 3.860 (2.012, 7.403)  | <0.001  |
| Presence of vascular complication of ECMO  | 1.407 (0.778, 2.545)  | 0.259   |
| Presence of dialysis-dependent ARF         | 2.254 (1.133, 4.483)  | 0.021   |

ARF = acute renal failure, CABG = coronary artery bypass graft, CAD = coronary artery disease, CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation, ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, MI = myocardial infarction, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, STEMI = ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

### TABLE 5. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for the Prediction of Mortality or Advanced Brain Damage in the ECMO Recipients (n = 69)

| Factors                                    | β (95% CI)          | P Value |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy | 3.838 (1.999, 7.368) | <0.001  |
| Presence of dialysis-dependent ARF         | 2.233 (1.118, 4.461) | 0.023   |

Excluded parameters: age. ARF = acute renal failure, CI = confidence interval, ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.
focusing on AMI with ECMO support has included neurological sequelae. Hence, the results of this study may be a reference for clinicians to predict more realistic clinical outcomes, not only focusing on survival.

**Limitations**

First, this is a retrospective study, and the data source of this study is only from a single medical center with a high volume of ECMO cases. Second, there is no control group (such as similar patients receiving intraaortic balloon pump) in this study. Further prospective case–control or randomized study is needed for evaluation the effect of ECMO in these patients. Third, only 3 patients receive therapeutic hypothermia in this study (data not shown), and it is hard to evaluate the impact of hypothermia to clinical outcomes.

**CONCLUSION**

ECMO is a reasonable and feasible rescue tool and a bridge to revascularization in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmia in AMI. The presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy and ARF requiring dialysis were significant prognostic factors.
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**TABLE 6.** Comparison of Early and Late Phase of Study

| Demographic data | Early 6 years (N = 24) | Late 6 years (N = 45) | P Value |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age, years       | 59.0                   | 57.4                 | 0.618   |
| Sex, male        | 21 (88%)               | 41 (91%)             | 0.636   |
| Diabetes mellitus| 5 (21%)                | 20 (44%)             | 0.052   |
| Hypertension     | 4 (17%)                | 31 (69%)             | <0.001  |
| End-stage renal disease requiring dialysis | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 0.462 |
| Previous CAD     | 3 (13%)                | 17 (38%)             | 0.028   |
| Previous MI      | 2 (8%)                 | 4 (9%)               | 0.938   |
| Previous stroke  | 2 (8%)                 | 3 (7%)               | 0.799   |
| Presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy | 13 (54%) | 19 (42%) | 0.343 |
| Presence of vascular complication on ECMO | 10 (42%) | 22 (49%) | 0.567 |
| Presence of dialysis-dependent ARF | 18 (75%) | 25 (56%) | 0.112 |
| Mortality on the index admission | 19 (79%) | 13 (29%) | <0.001 |
| Discharge with advanced brain damage | 0 (0%) | 6 (13%) | 0.085 |
| Mortality on index admission or advanced brain damage at discharge | 19 (79%) | 19 (42%) | 0.003 |

ARF = acute renal failure, CAD = coronary artery disease, ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, MI = myocardial infarction.

**TABLE 7.** Comparison of Groups Divided by Peak CK-MB

| Demographic data | First Tertile (N = 23) | Second Tertile (N = 23) | Third Tertile (N = 23) | P Value |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age, years       | 60.3                   | 57.7                   | 55.9                  | 0.477   |
| Sex, male        | 19 (83%)               | 21 (91%)               | 22 (96%)              | 0.329   |
| Diabetes mellitus| 14 (61%)               | 8 (35%)                | 3 (13%)               | 0.003   |
| Hypertension     | 16 (70%)               | 10 (43%)               | 9 (39%)               | 0.083   |
| End-stage renal disease requiring dialysis | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0.363 |
| Previous CAD     | 9 (39%)                | 4 (17%)                | 7 (30%)               | 0.262   |
| Previous MI      | 3 (13%)                | 1 (4%)                 | 2 (9%)                | 0.578   |
| Previous stroke  | 3 (13%)                | 1 (4%)                 | 1 (4%)                | 0.422   |
| Presence of profound anoxic encephalopathy | 9 (39%) | 11 (48%) | 12 (52%) | 0.665 |
| Presence of vascular complication on ECMO | 11 (48%) | 10 (43%) | 11 (48%) | 0.943 |
| Presence of dialysis-dependent ARF | 12 (52%) | 13 (57%) | 18 (78%) | 0.148 |
| Mortality on the index admission | 10 (43%) | 12 (52%) | 17 (74%) | 0.100 |
| Discharge with advanced brain damage | 2 (9%) | 3 (13%) | 1 (4%) | 0.578 |
| Mortality on index admission or advanced brain damage at discharge | 12 (52%) | 15 (65%) | 18 (78%) | 0.178 |

ARF = acute renal failure, CAD = coronary artery disease, CK = creatine kinase, ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, MI = myocardial infarction.
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