Nonobstructive azoospermia, when we can find spermatozoa, is FSH as a marker of success?
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SUMMARY

Azoospermia is the absence of spermatozoa in ejaculate even after semen centrifugation at least two times. Azoospermia due to spermatogenic failure – non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA) observed in 1% of population and in 10–15% of infertile men. Predictive factors for the presence of spermatozoa in testis are still under debate. The development of ICSI revolutionized management of azoospermia. In our practice we advised TESA as a first step and FSH can predict the success. According serum FSH levels we divided our men in three groups: FSH < 10 mU/ml, 10–15 mU/ml and > 15 mU/ml. We tried to evaluated SRR in accordance serum FSH level and find significant difference. In 117 men with FSH < 10 mU/ml SRR was 66% (in 77 cases), in 89 men which FSH was 10–15 mU/ml SRR was 27% and finally SRR was 35% when FSH was > 15 mU/ml (45 cases from 131). At the same time, we make embryologist personal assessment (EPA) and try to show embryologist crucial role in tissue assessment after TESA. Another crucial point of discussion – histomorphology within the testis in NOA and indications for re – TESA after 3–6 months.

INTRODUCTION

Azoospermia is the absence of spermatozoa in ejaculate even after semen centrifugation at least two times. Azoospermia due to spermatogenic failure – non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA) observed in 1% of population and in 10–15% of infertile men. Testicular sperm extraction (TESE) and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) was devised by Palermo et al. in 1992 and first pregnancy was reported in 1993 (1). This approach revolutionized treatment in this cohort of infertile men. Testicular spermatozoa can be retrieved in most men with NOA because of the existence of isolated foci of active spermatogenesis. Predictive factors for the presence of spermatozoa in testis are still under debate. These factors are: follicle – stimulating hormone (FSH), inhibin B, testicular volume, testicular histopathology, protamine PCR ratio, Y chromosome deletions and others (2). FSH play an important role in regulating spermatogenesis. FSH increases spermatogonial number and maturation of spermatocytes, including meiosis, but it is unable to complete spermatogenesis. In cases of azoospermia due to severely damaged germ cells, serum FSH levels are usually elevated. So, serum FSH levels reflect the state of seminiferous epithelium (3).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We performed retrospective review of 664 patients with azoospermia and 429 cases of TESA were done...
from September 2014. In study analysis we included only nonobstructive azoospermic (NOA) cases. All cases, suspected as obstructive azoospermia, were excluded from our study. In NOA 371 men (mean age 31.8 years) FSH level was detected in 368 cases. Mean serum FSH was 17,27 mU/ml (range 0,2–102,51 mU/ml). In 17 cases Klinefelter syndrome was detected, with mean serum FSH level 48 mU/ml (range 20,6–102,51 mU/ml).

Finally, 337 men with NOA and normal karyotype (no Klinefelter syndrome and no Y chromosome deletions) after testicular sperm extraction were included in our study. The main method of testicular sperm extraction was percutaneous testicular sperm aspiration (TESA) with 19 G “butterfly” needle and vacuum applied with 10 ml medium in syringe. Procedure was done under general sedation. Multiple passes were performed in random way throughout the testis (uni or bilaterally) until tissue was visible in needle tube. The specimen is split and send in media for live sperm analysis by embryologist (Fig. 1) and in the same way was sent to standard histology in Bouins solution. First time in Georgia histological evaluation of testicular tissue samples in NOA was done (2014 year).

In operated group of men mean FSH level was 16,33 mU/ml (range 0,2–42,14 mU/ml).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sperm retrieval rate (SRR) was 43.3 %, in 148 cases from 337. In men where sperm was found mean serum FSH was 9,7 mU/ml (range 0,2–40,1 mU/ml). At the same time in cases where sperm wasn’t found mean serum FSH was 16,4 mU/ml (range 6,0–42,3 mU/ml) (Fig. 2).

According serum FSH levels we divided our men in three groups: FSH < 10 mU/ml, 10–15 mU/ml and > 15 mU/ml. We tried to evaluated SRR in accordance serum FSH level and find significant difference. In 117 men with FSH < 10 mU/ml SRR was 66% (in 77 cases), in 89 men which FSH was 10–15 mU/ml SRR was 27 % and finally SRR was 35% when FSH was > 15 mU/ml (45 cases from 131) (Fig. 3).

In our cohort of cases with random percutaneous testicular sperm aspiration (TESA) SRR rate was 43.3%. However SRR less than 50% were observed in larger series. Ramasamy et al. in 460 cases find SRR by conventional TESE 32% (4). TESA has been known for decades as a simple, minimally invasive approach for sperm retrieval. Of course today “gold” standard for NOA is a micro-TESE, which was proposed by Peter N. Schlegel, but SRR as we can see again from Ramasamy study was 57%. In our modification TESA with multiple random samples taken from different sites of the testis have higher SRR than men with a single biopsy sample taken. At the same time conventional TESE — open biopsy with removal of large samples of testicular tissue could lead to testicular atrophy. However Christian et al. find in men after
failed TESA a limited chance of SRR using micro-TESE (5). In our experience after failed TESA conventional open – TESE was also unsuccessful (data not included in this study).

But what is the main predictive factor for sperm retrieval success? Surgical technique or embryologist experience? It is very debate question and still today there is no complete answer. May be very expensive laboratory evaluation before biopsy, most of them only experimental and far away from routine clinical practice. The chance of Klinefelter syndrome is 10–15% in cases of NOA and severe oligospermia. This investigation can be done in Georgia. But Y-chromosomal microdeletions PCR detection, which can be find in 4–12% of men with azoosperma, is not possible to do in our country. In our practice we sent blood samples to special centers abroad to exclude AZFa, AZFb and AZFc deletions. For men with complete AZFa or AZFb deletions testicular biopsy is not recommended (0% of SRR), only in AZFc men we can find spermatozoon and this genetic disorder will be obligatorily transmitted to the son (6). In all our cases we excluded Y-chromosomal microdeletions. And what about FSH? It is easy to done, it is very important player in spermatogenesis. According to our results the best FSH level for SRR is < 10 mU/ml – SRR 66%, the worst 10–15 mU/ml with SRR 27%. On the other hand even in cases of FSH 40 mU/ml sperm retrieval is possible (6). We try to explain this phenomenon. Our important finding is in agreement with Peter N. Schlegel team study. In their study men with FSH 10–15 mU/ml and testicular volume > 15 cc had SRR 6,7% (7). Explanation of this phenomenon is histological status within the testis. Sertoli cell-only syndrome men, even with normal or moderately elevation (up to 15 mU/ml) of FSH level may have a larger number of Sertoli cells with normal testicular volume. This combination provides feedback to the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis (HPG) which suppress FSH secretion. As the result we didn’t find sperm in such cases. This situation is very closed to maturation arrest (Fig. 4).

Those men with higher FSH may represent increased heterogeneity in spermatogenesis and a better possibility to find spermatozoon. On the other hand, some men with lower FSH levels (< 10 mU/ml) may have more feedback to the HPG axis as a result of complete spermatogenesis. So, at this moment we come to crucial point of discussion – histomorphology within the testis in NOA. For us this is a main feedback for sperm retrieval and in each case of positive or negative results we try to find explanation in morphological situation within testis. We have preliminary results and study in this way in progress.

At the same time, we make embryologist personal assessment (EPA). In our pilot EPA we cover only one selected month – 2019 May, during this month 11 TESA for NOA were done – SRR was 37%. We compare this data with SRR results when tissue assessment was done by one embryologist (EMGT) – SRR was 76%. This data is quite comparable to micro – TESE results (data not published). Micro – TESE is proposed as a “gold standard” for successful sperm retrieval rate, sure in some difficult case it’s best option. But in our pilot EPA study we try to show embryologist crucial role in tissue assessment after TESA.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The development of ICSI revolutionized management of azoosperma. In our practice we advised TESA as a first step and FSH can predict the success. TESA it is not only a diagnostic procedure to define the level of spermatogenesis, but also a therapeutic technique to retrieve sperm for ICSI. Also, in cases of negative results after TESA and hypospermatogenesis by histopathology (Fig. 5) we advised re – TESA after 3–6 months. Also, we advocate embryologist crucial role in tissue assessment after TESA.
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спермы (SRR) становила 27% и, нарешті, SRR становив 35%, коли ФСГ був > 15 МО/мл. (45 випадків з 131). У той же час ми проводимо особисту оцінку ембріолога (ЕРА) і намагаємося показати вирішальну роль ембріолога в оцінці тканин після TESA. Ще один важливий момент для обговорення — гістоморфологія ячек при NOA і показання для повторного проведення TESA через 3–6 місяців.
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