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Abstract: The plethora of cyanobacterial toxins are an enormous threat to whole ecosystems and humans. Due to eutrophication and increases in lake temperatures from global warming, changes in the distribution of cyanobacterial toxins and selection of few highly toxic species/strains are likely. Globally, one of the most important grazers that controls cyanobacterial blooms is Daphnia, a freshwater model organism in ecology and (eco)toxicology. Daphnia–cyanobacteria interactions have been studied extensively, often focusing on the interference of filamentous cyanobacteria with Daphnia’s filtering apparatus, or on different nutritional constraints (the lack of essential amino acids or lipids) and grazer toxicity. For a long time, this toxicity only referred to microcystins. Currently, the focus shifts toward other deleterious cyanotoxins. Still, less than 10% of the total scientific output deals with cyanotoxins that are not microcystins; although these other cyanotoxins can occur just as frequently and at similar concentrations as microcystins in surface water. This review discusses the effects of different cyanobacterial toxins (hepatotoxins, digestive inhibitors, neurotoxins, and cytotoxins) on Daphnia and provides an elaborate and up-to-date overview of specific responses and adaptations of Daphnia. Furthermore, scenarios of what we can expect for the future of Daphnia–cyanobacteria interactions are described by comprising anthropogenic threats that might further increase toxin stress in Daphnia.
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Key Contribution: Different cyanotoxins affect Daphnia differently, but Daphnia show physiological and evolutionary adaptations in response.

1. Introduction

“We must go deeper into greater pain; for it is not permitted that we stay”

from “The Divine Comedy” by Dante Alighieri

Both in terrestrial (e.g., [1]) and aquatic ecosystems (e.g., [2]), herbivore–plant interactions play an important role in the trophic transfer of energy (in the form of carbon) from primary producers to consumers. In lakes, Cladocera—especially the aquatic keystone genus Daphnia—are the most important consumers of planktonic algae. Daphnia cannot select food particles based on their dietary quality [3], and therefore ingest all particles that are in the range of the filter-feeding appendices of their thoracopods [4,5]. Cyanobacteria are an especially poor food source for Daphnia [6]. They negatively affect somatic growth rates [7,8] and food uptake [9,10]. Furthermore, declines in the biomass of Daphnia that are caused by cyanobacteria have been observed in several field studies [11–14].

A variety of characteristics of cyanobacteria are responsible for the depression of Daphnia: mechanical interference of filamentous forms with the filtering apparatuses of Daphnia [15], the production of feeding deterents [16], the lack of certain amino acids [17,18], polyunsaturated fatty acids and sterols [19–21] and, last but not least, the production of toxins causing the death of Daphnia (e.g., [22]).
Cyanobacteria produce an extraordinarily high number of very diverse toxins [23,24]. According to their mode of action, these cyanobacterial toxins have been divided into hepatotoxins, cytotoxins, digestive inhibitors, neurotoxins, dermatotoxins and irritants (e.g., [25]). Cyanobacteria can occur in very high densities. Such cyanobacterial blooms are seldom monoclonal but often consist of several strains, species and/or genera simultaneously (e.g., [26,27]), and a succession of cyanobacterial species over a season or subsequent years had been observed [28–32]. Furthermore, the separate species co-occurring in a bloom often produce multiple classes of toxins [33–36], so cyanobacterial blooms often contain a mix of different toxin types (e.g., [26,27]).

Cyanobacterial blooms have massively increased in frequency because of global warming and the eutrophication of freshwater systems [37] and are an increasing threat to livestock, pets and human health [38]. Furthermore, a recent study by Mantzouki et al. [39] found that more than eutrophication, the direct and indirect effects of temperature were the main drivers of the spatial distribution of different cyanotoxins (e.g., microcystins, anatoxin and cylindrospermopsin) and their concentrations in European freshwater systems. This study also found that high water stability and high temperatures reduced the variability of toxin types in lakes and showed that temperature-related mechanisms led to the selective development of well-adapted cyanobacterial strains, which potentially promotes the dominance of a few highly toxic strains in the future.

Different types of cyanotoxins affect *Daphnia* in different ways and target different enzymes and/or receptors. However, *Daphnia* are no helpless victims to cyanotoxins and show different general and also many specific responses in order to substitute or increase inhibited molecules and to export and detoxify cyanotoxins (Table 1). Furthermore, *Daphnia* populations that have come into contact with cyanotoxins over long periods are often locally adapted [40,41]. Below are the effects of the cytotoxin cylindrospermopsin, digestive inhibitors (i.e., protease and carboxypeptidase inhibitors), the hepatotoxic microcystins and the neurotoxin anatoxin-a on *Daphnia* and the respective responses and adaptations of *Daphnia*. 
Table 1. Effects of the five different toxin types on Daphnia and physiological and (micro)evolutionary adaptations (with numbers of citations in brackets) of Daphnia in response to the different toxins. Empty fields represent lack of evidence or missing data. Different enzymes can both be affected by cyanotoxins or be involved in adaptation. UBQ = ubiquitin conjugating enzyme; PPI and IIa = protein phosphatases I and IIa; CXP = carboxypeptidase; GST = glutathione-S-transferase; NAR = nicotine-acetylcholine receptors.

| Toxin Effects | Adaptations of Daphnia |
|---------------|------------------------|
|               | Mortality | Growth | Fecundity | Ingestion | Oxygen Consumption | Heart Rate | Behaviour | Uptake in Tissues | Enzymes | Microbiome | Genes | Maternal Effects | Local Adaptation |
| Cylindrospermopsin | x | x | | | | | x | x | (changes in GST activity (51)) |
| Carboxypeptidase inhibitors | | | | | | | | | (Inhibition of CXP) |
| Protease inhibitors | x | x | x | x | x | (inhibition of proteases) | x | | (change in protease activity & band patterns (60, 77); protease isoforms with higher IC<sub>50</sub> values (8)) |
| Microcystins | x | x | x | x | x | (inhibition of PPI and IIa) | x | (124) | (effect on GST (124) and malate dehydrogenase (124)) |
| Anatoxin-a | x | x | x | x | x | x | (change in NAR gene expression (99)) | x | (99) | (expression changes in molecular pathways (79, 80, 120–122); epigenetic effects (126) change in GST (123), UBQ (127), oxidative stress (79) and transporter gene expression (65, 80, 125)) | x (40, 119) | x (112–114) | x (40, 119)
2. Cylindrospermopsin (CYN)

The cytotoxin CYN occurs globally and is produced by different cyanobacterial species [42]. Although CYN was detected less frequently than other toxin types in Europe [39], it has been shown to be present over a very long time in aquatic systems due to the succession of different CYN-producing bloom species [29]. Therefore, Mantzouki et al. [39] suggested that this indicates that CYN producers can exclude cyanobacteria that produce other toxin types. In vertebrates, CYN affects different metabolic pathways [43,44], suppresses the immune system [45] and leads to oxidative stress [46], genotoxicity [47,48] and abnormal function of hepatocytes [49].

Not much is known about the effects on and the responses of *Daphnia* to this cytotoxin. The dissociation of gut epithelial cells of *D. magna* could not clearly be attributed to CYN [50]. However, Nogueira et al. [51] showed that *D. magna* incorporates CYN in its tissue, and feeding a CYN-producing *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* led to higher mortality and less growth compared to feeding a *C. raciborskii* strain without CYN. Furthermore, when *D. magna* was exposed to CYN for 24 h, a tendency for increased glutathione-S-transferase (GST) enzyme activities was observed.

Since CYN has been associated with the killing of humans in the past [42] and will probably occur more frequently with increasing temperatures, the responses of *Daphnia*—which might have the potential to control CYN-producing blooms—need to be investigated more thoroughly in the future.

3. Inhibitors of Protein Cleaving Enzymes

3.1. Protein Digestion of *Daphnia*

The first description of *Daphnia*’s digestive enzymes was reported by Hasler in 1935 [52]. Since then, the activity of *Daphnia*’s protein-cleaving digestive enzymes, e.g., proteases and carboxypeptidases, has been investigated in only a few other studies [53–55]. Protein digestion takes place in *Daphnia*’s midgut, and the respective enzymes for protein digestion are released from the midgut gland. Those enzymes include protein phosphatases, carboxypeptidases and serine proteases. The production of protein-cleaving enzymes is probably controlled by *Daphnia*’s circadian clock since they show a rhythmic gene expression pattern over 24 h [56]. Interestingly, the enzyme activity is at a constant level over 24 h and does not follow its gene expression peak.

In *Daphnia*, serine proteases represent the most important digestive enzymes [57]. The two types of serine proteases that have been identified in *Daphnia*’s gut are trypsins and chymotrypsins [54]. These two types of serine proteases are differentially affected by temperature. At high temperatures, the gene expression of trypsins is increased, which is the case for chymotrypsins at low temperatures [58]. Trypsins and chymotrypsins show a huge gene family expansion in *D. pulex* (> 250 protease genes [59]) indicating that *Daphnia* is capable of modulating the digestive enzymes depending on the available food items. Accordingly, it has been shown that within 24 h, *D. magna* can shift to another protease isoform pattern when food quality is low [60]. Furthermore, *D. pulex* increases protease and phosphatase gene expression when grown on a nontoxic cyanobacterium [55]). This cost-effective overall increase of gene expression and activity probably adds to the frequently observed low biomass and energy transfer efficiency from cyanobacteria to *Daphnia* [20].

3.2. Carboxypeptidase (CXP) Inhibitors: Anabaenopeptins

Anabaenopeptins are a group of harmful secondary metabolites produced by different cyanobacterial species (e.g., [61]) that can act as CXP inhibitors [62]. Anabaenopeptins occur frequently in surface waters as microcystins (reviewed in [39,63]) and occur in a multitude of structural isoforms [64].

Methanolic extracts from different *Planktothrix* strains that produce anabaenopeptins [35] have been shown to inhibit *D. magna*’s CXP activity [65]. Furthermore, it has recently been shown that *D. magna* respond to the presence of CXP inhibitors in their food by increasing CXP gene expression [65]. It is not known whether this increased gene expression is an adaptive
response to CXP inhibitors, but a deeper investigation is urgently needed as anabaenopeptin-producing cyanobacteria increase in frequency worldwide [63].

3.3. Protease Inhibitors (PIs)

PIs belong to the best-studied cyanotoxins regarding *Daphnia*-cyanobacteria interactions. Over the recent decades, potent serine PIs that mostly belong to Ahp-cyclodepsipeptides [66] have frequently been detected in (nearly every) cyanobacterial bloom (e.g., [30,39,67–71]). Different PIs are produced by different cyanobacterial genera [72–74], and single strains of the same cyanobacterial species often produce more than one type of PI [33,34,36,65,75,76]. *Daphnia* are strongly affected by PIs; e.g., when ingesting food particles that contain PIs, *Daphnia* show feeding inhibition and reduced somatic growth rates [8,77]. Furthermore, PIs have been linked to an observed mass mortality of *Daphnia* in a Swiss lake during a *Planktoliatrix* bloom [14]. On a molecular level, PIs have been shown to inhibit digestive serine proteases of *Daphnia* either in vitro or in situ [54,60,65,70,77]. The production of cyanobacterial PIs is dependent on nutrient availability. Schwarzenberger et al. [34] has shown that three types of PIs were up-regulated when a *Microcystis* strain was grown on a phosphate-deplete medium and down-regulated when nitrogen was limited. These findings were later corroborated for another *Microcystis* strain [76]. Furthermore, a higher PI content because of P-limitation led to lower IC$_{50}$ values of *Daphnia*’s inhibited proteases and vice versa if *Microcystis* was grown on an N-deplete medium; a lower PI content also led to higher somatic growth rates [34].

*Daphnia* show species-specific protease band patterns of gut extracts in activity-stained SDS-PAGE: In *D. pulex*, the band pattern consists of eight different bands that revealed either predominantly trypsin or chymotrypsin activity [58], whereas *D. magna* has a pattern with eight bands (five trypsins, four chymotrypsins; [77]). Those patterns differ between *D. magna* populations in height but not in the number of bands [78]. If *Daphnia*’s diet contains trypsin inhibitors, the trypsin bands become weaker; if *Daphnia*’s diet contains chymotrypsin inhibitors, it has been shown that within 24 h, the chymotrypsin band pattern shifts, with the lowest band dividing into two separate bands probably representing two different isoforms [60]. This shift in band pattern can similarly be provoked when pure PIs are ingested via liposomes, i.e., this shift into different chymotrypsin isoforms is probably due to PIs and not caused by any other cyanobacterial factors [77]. Furthermore, chymotrypsin activity increased and trypsin activity decreased when *D. magna* clones were fed with trypsin inhibitors; furthermore, the residual trypsin activities and IC$_{50}$ values were positively correlated to the difference in tolerance of the different *D. magna* clones to the dietary trypsin inhibitors [8]. This demonstrates intraspecific differences in tolerance to PIs.

In addition, on the genetic level, *Daphnia* show target-specific responses to PIs. In transcriptome studies, it has been observed that the genes of digestive proteases were regulated depending on whether different *Daphnia* species had or had not ingested PI-producing cyanobacteria [79,80]. In *D. magna*, six different protease genes (three trypsins and three chymotrypsins) have clearly been identified to underlie the protease band pattern of active proteases in *D. magna*’s gut [60]. Those genes were up-regulated when *D. magna* was grown on PI-producing cyanobacteria [8,60,65]. This increase in gene expression proved to be adaptive: When *D. magna* mothers were fed with a trypsin-inhibitor-producing cyanobacterium, the increased protease gene expression was maternally transferred to their untreated offspring. In turn, the offspring showed a higher somatic growth rate on dietary trypsin inhibitors in comparison to the offspring from naïve mothers [81].

It has been shown that *Daphnia* populations can be locally adapted to PIs [82–85], which is due to the presence of PI-producing cyanobacteria in the lakes or ponds of origin in the past. Interestingly, two populations that have been shown to be tolerant to a cyanobacterium that produces different chymotrypsin inhibitors of the class of Ahp-cyclodepsipeptides are similarly tolerant to another cyano-bacterium that produces different chymotrypsin inhibitors of the same class [85]. Although those populations altogether were tolerant to both cyanobacteria, some of the single clones were tolerant to one but not the other strain.
Interestingly, one of the tolerant populations consisted of several clones that showed a trade-off between somatic growth rate and egg number, demonstrating that different clones followed different strategies to cope with dietary chymotrypsin inhibitors. Furthermore, the molecular basis underlying local adaptation to PIs has been thoroughly investigated: Population tolerance has been linked to the production of different protease isoforms with higher IC\textsubscript{50} values and positive selection with a subsequent reduction in the copy numbers of protease genes [83,84].

It has been shown that both sensitive and locally adapted populations show intrapopulation differences by containing both tolerant and sensitive clones [85]. Therefore, if a freshwater ecosystem becomes increasingly eutrophic due to sewage drain or over-fertilization, a sensitive \textit{Daphnia} population has the potential to adapt to PI-producing cyanobacterial blooms by genotype frequency shifts.

4. \textbf{Anatoxin-a (ATX)}

The neurotoxic alkaloid ATX, also known as the Very Fast Death Factor [86], is an exceptionally dangerous toxin for humans, livestock and ecosystems [87] because it acts very quickly, and an antidote is unknown. It has already been detected in dietary supplements containing cyanobacteria [88]. In vertebrates, ATX binds irreversibly to nicotine-acetylcholine receptors with a higher affinity than acetylcholine and is not hydrolysed by acetylcholinesterase [89]. In humans, irreversible binding of ATX to nicotine-acetylcholine receptors can result in permanent stimulation of muscles, which in turn leads to paralysis and death due to respiratory arrest [90].

ATX occurs globally in natural lake blooms [26,27,39,87,91–94]. Interestingly, ATX can occur in increasing volumes as a single toxin in blooms and not only in mixtures with other cyanotoxins [39]. For example, in Lake Garda, \textit{Planktotrix rubescens}, which is known to produce a variety of cyanotoxins (e.g., [35,65]), is currently substituted by the ATX-producer \textit{Tychonema bourellyi} [39]. Besides \textit{Tychonema} [94], different cyanobacterial species are known to produce ATX, e.g., \textit{Anabaena} [26,95,96], \textit{Pseudoanabaena}, \textit{Planktothrix} [26] and \textit{Aphanizomenon} [97]. The cyanobacteria are ingested by zooplankton; ATX is thus transferred through the food web and affects both fish and zooplankton [98].

In different \textit{Daphnia} species, it has been shown that ATX negatively affects somatic and population growth rates, fecundity and survival [96,99,100]. Furthermore, Bownik and Pawlik-Skowrońska [101] observed sub-lethal effects of ATX: time- and concentration-dependent inhibition of \textit{Daphnia}'s heart rate, alteration of oxygen consumption and induction of behavioural changes by this neurotoxin, i.e., abnormal circular swimming, altered swimming speed and reduced thoracic limb activity. Interestingly, ATX not only has a negative but also a positive effect on \textit{D. dentifera} by preventing infection by the fungal parasite \textit{Metschnikowia} [95]. Furthermore, \textit{Daphnia} have been demonstrated to respond to ATX by up-regulating several nicotine-acetylcholine receptor genes; this response is adaptive since it is maternally transferred and results in higher growth rates of unexposed offspring [99]. It is unknown but worth investigating whether \textit{Daphnia} can also respond to ATX by increasing the activity of detoxifying enzymes, such as peroxidases and glutathione S-transferases, as has been shown for aquatic plants [102].

5. \textbf{Microcystins (MCY)}

MCYs are the most studied toxins in \textit{Daphnia}–cyanobacteria interactions. Many publications that describe toxic effects on \textit{Daphnia} actually refer to MCY-producing cyanobacteria. In light of the plethora of cyanotoxins and their manifold effects on \textit{Daphnia}, I propose to cease using the term “toxic cyanobacterium” when referring to MCY-producing cyanobacteria in future studies but to actually name the examined cyanotoxin.

MCYs belong to the most abundant cyanotoxins in European lakes and have been detected in greater frequency than CYN or ATX [39]. Although an exceptionally high number of MCYs are known, cyanobacterial blooms are mostly dominated by MCY-RR, -LR and -YR [103]. Those MCY-variants have been shown to negatively affect \textit{Daphnia}'s food uptake,
somatic and population growth rate, mortality, longevity and fecundity [9,65,104–107]. Furthermore, MCY-LR also reduced the beat rates of the thoracic legs, mandibles and second antennae as well as the activity of the foregut and stimulated the midgut muscles [108]. MCY-LR also affected *Daphnia*'s behaviour by inhibiting swimming speed and hopping frequency [109]; MCY-LF had the same effect. On a molecular level, MCY-LR has been shown to inhibit protein phosphatases I and IIa of *Daphnia* in vitro [110,111]. Despite these negative effects, it has been demonstrated that if *Daphnia* ingest MCY-producing cyanobacteria, their offspring show an increase in fitness in comparison to offspring from MCY-naïve mothers [112–114]. This means that the mothers must possess adaptive mechanisms that are then transferred to their offspring. Furthermore, not all individual *Daphnia* clones are susceptible to MCY [115], and intraspecific differences in tolerance have been observed both in experimental and natural *Daphnia* populations [116–118]. Furthermore, Lemaire et al. [115] found that cyanobacterial toxicity effects on *Daphnia* were dependent on both the MCY-producing cyanobacterial strain and the *Daphnia* clone. Interestingly, when *Daphnia* clones and cyanobacterial strains originated from the same pond, fewer toxic effects on *Daphnia* were observed [115], hinting at local adaptation.

Rapid microevolution of a *Daphnia* population to become locally adapted to MCY-LR has been observed by Hairston et al. [40]. In this study, *Daphnia* clones were resurrected from ephippia from different layers of lake sediment cores. Those different layers represented different periods in which the lake was either oligotrophic or became increasingly eutrophic. This eutrophication was accompanied by the frequent occurrence of (MCY-producing) cyanobacteria. Hairston et al. [40] could show that the *Daphnia* from eutrophic times were less affected when fed with an MCY-LR-producing *Microcystis* strain than the *Daphnia* from times when the lake had still been oligotrophic. Interestingly, the same *Daphnia* population has recently been shown to undergo reversed evolution [119]: The re-oligotrophication of the lake due to reduced nutrient input led to a decrease in (MCY-producing) cyanobacteria. Subsequently, the locally adapted *Daphnia* population returned to a state where the population’s clones were again highly sensitive to an MCY-producing *Microcystis* strain.

The underlying molecular basis of tolerance or sensitivity to MCY is still not finally clarified, and the major molecular response mechanism has not been identified. Several mechanisms probably underlie the tolerance or sensitivity of certain *Daphnia* clones to MCY. This is underpinned by the finding that in both transcriptome/microarray [79,80,120] and proteome studies [121,122], several different (metabolic) pathways were affected when *Daphnia* were fed with MCY(-LR)-producing cyanobacteria. Pflugmacher et al. [110] proposed that, as the first step in the detoxification of MCY-LR in *Daphnia*, the detoxifying enzyme glutathione S-transferase (GST) forms a glutathione-microcystin conjugate. However, none or only a few GST genes were found to be up-regulated in response to dietary MCY-LR in transcriptome and microarray studies of two different *Daphnia* species [79,80]. Short-term exposure to an MCY-producing cyanobacterium increased GST gene expression, whereas long-term exposure decreased expression of the same gene [123]. Similarly, GST activity decreased during long-term exposure to a high dosage of MCY-LR [111]. In contrast, a higher GST and malate dehydrogenase activity was observed in response to MCY in *D. carinata*, which was maternally transferred to the offspring generation [124]. Such a maternal transfer is cost-intensive and should therefore be adaptive. However, the role of GST has been called into question by Sadler and Von Elert [125], because in their study neither glutathione-conjugates of MCY-LR nor a decrease in unconjugated MCY-LR was detected in *D. magna*. It might be that the GST in *D. magna* is involved in the oxidative stress response rather than in the specific detoxification of MCYs.

Further general molecular responses have been demonstrated to be involved in developing the tolerance to dietary MCY(-LR) in *Daphnia*: e.g., the regulation of certain transporters probably used to export microcystins from the cells or to prevent MCY import [65,80,125], up-regulation of different oxidative stress genes [79] and the involvement of epigenetics (i.e., differential methylation of serine and threonine amino acids [126]).
Furthermore, Drugă et al. [116] and Schwarzenberger et al. [127] observed that a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (UBQ) gene was up-regulated in the presence of MCY(-LR)-producing cyanobacteria, which points towards the possibility that MCY might be degraded by UBQ.

But *Daphnia*’s own genetic fixture nor its ability to phenotypically respond is not solely responsible for the tolerance to MCY: The gut microbiomes of susceptible and tolerant *Daphnia* clones differ markedly, and Macke et al. [128] could show that the microbiome of a tolerant clone transplanted into a germ-free recipient clone resulted in increased tolerance to an MCY-producing cyanobacterium.

Since Macke et al. [129] found that there is also a strong interaction between the host genotype, its microbiome and its surrounding free-living microbial community, it is likely that a shift in the cyanobacterial composition of a freshwater system can lead to a shift in *Daphnia*’s microbiome composition. Therefore, it is highly likely that the microbiome also plays a major role in tolerance to other cyanotoxins besides MCY.

### 6. Control of Toxic Cyanobacterial Blooms by *Daphnia*?

This review summarizes that *Daphnia* are not helpless victims of dietary cyanotoxins but have the ability to respond and adapt to different cyanotoxins. Some underlying mechanisms can generally be observed in response to different cyanotoxins (e.g., GST and transporters (cf. [65])), while others are highly specific (e.g., serine proteases and nicotine acetylcholine receptors). However, the more cyanotoxins are present in a single food alga or in a food source that consists of a mixture of cyanobacteria that produces several cyanotoxins, the effect on *Daphnia* should be graver or even fatal. For example, the MCY-producing, wild-type of *Microcystis aeruginosa* PCC7806 that also produces PIs [75] affects survival, age at reproduction and neonate size of different *Daphnia* species more strongly than its MCY-free, knock-out mutant [104,107,130]. Furthermore, different *Planktothrix* strains differ in their impact on *Daphnia* putatively because of their different cyanotoxin composition [65].

For a long time, *Daphnia*’s capability to down-regulate cyanobacterial blooms is controversially discussed, and it is not finally clarified which are the decisive factors leading to the down-regulation of a bloom or the death of *Daphnia* populations (cf. [11,12,131–133]). Besides geographic and hydrologic factors [134], the presence of a specific cyanotoxin [14] and initial conditions before bloom formation [132,135,136], also the cyanotoxin composition of the bloom-forming cyanobacteria might be a pivotal factor.

### 7. Outlook: *Daphnia*–Cyanobacteria Interactions in the Anthropocene

The Anthropocene keeps ready a plethora of new and fast-increasing threats that will most likely further affect *Daphnia*–cyanotoxin interaction (Figure 1).
As and Cd, road salts (NaCl) and light pollution). Pollution might be problematic for the control of cyanobacterial blooms: A mesocosm study by Lürling and Tolman [138] showed that ultrasound strongly suppressed protease inhibitor-producing cyanobacterium [56]. Furthermore, increasing sound pollution might be an additional effect on Daphnia ingesting cyanobacteria and their toxins (e.g., heavy metals such as Pb, As and Cd, road salts (NaCl) and light pollution).

7.2. Anthropogenic Light and Sound Pollution

Daphnia’s fitness and gut microbiome was reduced when treated with microcystin-producing cyanobacteria. Interestingly, northern European (and probably colder) areas exhibited higher toxin diversity than Mediterranean areas [39]. Furthermore, a heat wave in 2015 also led to a higher cyanobacterial biomass to the north of selected (heat-tolerant) genotypes [39]. Higher toxicity coupled with a higher biomass of cyanobacteria on a permanent level might render it impossible for Daphnia to control cyanobacterial blooms in the future.

7.3. Anthropogenic CO₂ Increase

The burning of fossil feedstock leads to a heavy rise in atmospheric CO₂. In the ocean, the alga Karlodinium veneficum produced higher levels of karlotoxin due to increasing CO₂. In the ocean, the alga Karlodinium veneficum produced higher levels of karlotoxin due to increasing CO₂. In the ocean, the alga Karlodinium veneficum produced higher levels of karlotoxin due to increasing CO₂. In the ocean, the alga Karlodinium veneficum produced higher levels of karlotoxin due to increasing CO₂.
CO₂ (especially in P-limited cultures; [139]). The authors concluded that over the coming decades, rising CO₂ levels could substantially increase karlotoxin damage to food webs in the often P-limited estuaries in which Karlodinium blooms occur. Such a scenario under increasing CO₂ levels is also imaginable for freshwater ecosystems in which Daphnia occur. In addition, in cyanobacteria, P-limitation results in a higher production of protease inhibitors, which is accompanied by lower IC₅₀ values for D. magna gut proteases [34]. Furthermore, rising CO₂ and global warming favour cyanobacterial blooms by stimulating their N₂-fixation activity [140].

7.4. Anthropogenic Pollutants

The increasing production and especially the degradation of plastics in the environment might pose another threat to freshwater organisms. Several studies dealt with the effects of both microplastics (e.g., [141–143]) and temperature on Daphnia [144,145]. It might well be that a potentially deleterious effect of microplastic also disrupts the interaction of this grazer with cyanobacteria, especially in light of climate change: This might lead to a higher susceptibility of Daphnia to cyanotoxins.

Besides microplastics, road salts are also washed into freshwater ecosystems, and it has been shown that increasing chloride concentrations lead to a decline in the abundance, biomass, and richness of zooplankton [146]. The addition of fatty acids and sterols both influence Daphnia’s tolerance to salinization [147]. Since cyanobacteria lack both types of those fats, a salinization effect on Daphnia-cyanobacteria can be expected. Furthermore, road salts also disrupt Daphnia’s circadian clock gene expression [148], which might influence Daphnia’s ability to cope with cyanobacterial protease inhibitors since the gene expression of gut proteases is regulated by the circadian clock [56].

Anthropogenic chemicals (i.e., pharmaceuticals, herbicides, insecticides, heavy metals, etc.) are continuously discharged into the environment leading to a chronic exposure of organisms to single or combined toxic compounds. It has been shown that Daphnia that were exposed to one or more stressors in multigenerational approaches, either in combination or successively, showed lower reproduction [149], lower resistance to an additional toxin (i.e., glyphosate) and starvation [150]. Since glyphosate and cyanobacterial anatoxin-a both attack nicotine acetylcholine receptors of arthropods [151], a combined exposure with anthropogenic chemicals and natural toxins might further reduce Daphnia’s ability to cope with cyanobacterial blooms.

7.5. Combined (Natural and/or Anthropogenic) Stressors

Initial studies dealt with the effect of combined stressors (natural and/or anthropogenic) on Daphnia, e.g., salt and the antiozonant 6PPD [152], microplastic and temperature [144], lithium and light stress [153], microcystin and temperature [154] and a Daphnia transcriptome study across 12 different environmental stressors [155]. However, most studies deal with the effect of combined stressors on a single organism and not on species interaction. Since Daphnia genotype-dependent responses to single or mixed stressors have been found [156], clonal differences need to be taken into account in future studies on stressor/cyanotoxin effects on Daphnia–cyanobacteria interactions. Furthermore, the combination of only two stressors was mostly investigated in former studies, which unfortunately does not reflect the current situation of an increasing number of anthropogenic stressors in freshwater ecosystems.

8. Conclusions

It is mandatory that in future risk assessments of cyanotoxins, several anthropogenic stressors need to be taken into account. Since cyanobacteria are or will be used in diverse applications (e.g., biofuel and dietary supplements) it is important to monitor which influences suppress or increase the production of cyanotoxins. This means not only dealing with the well-investigated microcystins, but also considering all kinds of cyanotoxins that
affect human health and the environment (cf. [157]). Furthermore, screening for different cyanotoxins is necessary when monitoring cyanobacterial blooms.

Right now, the future of clean (i.e., cyanotoxin-free) drinking water is endangered by a multitude of anthropogenic stressors. Therefore, fast action is needed for reducing the number and concentration of pollutants, i.e., by banning certain anthropogenic chemicals, establishing smart light concepts, reducing sound pollution, establishing working recycling systems to prevent plastic degradation in the environment, lowering CO\textsubscript{2} production/investing in technologies for direct CO\textsubscript{2} capture, etc. Otherwise, the control of harmful cyanobacterial blooms due to physiological adaptations of *Daphnia* genotypes to cyanotoxins and the occurrence of locally adapted *Daphnia* populations might become impossible.

**Funding:** This review was partly financed by two Young Scholar Funds for Excellent Postdocs by the University of Konstanz, Germany.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** I would like to say thank you to Alexander Wacker for helpful comments on the manuscript. I would also like to thank Lutz Becks for providing me with the infrastructure needed for writing this review paper. Last but not least, I would like to thank my parents for letting me use their office and garden for writing during the first lock-down of the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Conflicts of Interest:** I declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Mello, M.O.; Silva-Filho, M.C. Plant-insect interactions: An evolutionary arms race between two distinct defense mechanisms. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.* **2002**, *14*, 71–81. [CrossRef]
2. Liess, A.; Hillebrand, H. Invited review: Direct and indirect effects in herbivore-periphyton interactions. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* **2004**, *159*, 433–453. [CrossRef]
3. Kerfoot, W.C.; Levitan, C.; DeMott, W.R. *Daphnia*-phytoplankton interactions: Density-dependent shifts in resource quality. *Ecology* **1988**, *69*, 1806–1825. [CrossRef]
4. Peter, H.; Lampert, W. The effect of *Daphnia* body size on filtering rate inhibition in the presence of a filamentous cyanobacterium. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **1989**, *34*, 1084–1089. [CrossRef]
5. Bednarska, A.; Dawidowicz, P. Change in filter-screen morphology and depth selection: Uncoupled responses of *Daphnia* to the presence of filamentous cyanobacteria. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **2007**, *52*, 2358–2363. [CrossRef]
6. Arnold, D.E. Ingestion, assimilation, survival, and reproduction by *Daphnia pulce* fed seven species of blue-green algae. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **1971**, *16*, 906–920. [CrossRef]
7. DeMott, W.R. Foraging strategies and growth inhibition in five daphnids feeding on mixtures of a toxic cyanobacterium and a green alga. *Freshw. Biol.* **1999**, *42*, 263–274. [CrossRef]
8. Schwarzenberger, A.; Kuster, C.J.; Von Elert, E. Molecular mechanisms of tolerance to cyanobacterial protease inhibitors revealed by clonal differences in *Daphnia magna*. *Mol. Ecol.* **2012**, *21*, 4898–4911. [CrossRef]
9. Lürling, M. *Daphnia* growth on microcystin-producing and microcystin-free *Microcystis aeruginosa* in different mixtures with the green alga *Scenedesmus obticus*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **2003**, *48*, 2214–2220. [CrossRef]
10. Lukas, M.; Wacker, A. Constraints by oxygen and food quality on carbon pathway regulation: A colimitation study with an aquatic key herbivore. *Ecology* **2014**, *95*, 3068–3079. [CrossRef]
11. Threlkeld, S.T. The midsummer dynamics of two *Daphnia* species in Wintergreen Lake, Michigan. *Ecology* **1979**, *60*, 165–179. [CrossRef]
12. Ghadouani, A.; Pinel-Alloul, B.; Prepas, E.E. Effects of experimentally induced cyanobacterial blooms on crustacean zooplankton communities. *Freshw. Biol.* **2003**, *48*, 363–381. [CrossRef]
13. Hansson, L.-A.; Gustafsson, S.; Renegfors, K.; Bomark, L. Cyanobacterial chemical warfare affects zooplankton community composition. *Freshw. Biol.* **2007**, *52*, 1290–1301. [CrossRef]
14. Baumann, H.I.; Jüttner, F. Inter-annual stability of oligopeptide patterns of *Planktothrix rubescens* blooms and mass mortality of *Daphnia* in Lake Hallwilersee. *Limnologica* **2008**, *38*, 350–359. [CrossRef]
15. Porter, K.G.; McDonough, R. The energetic cost of response to blue-green algal filaments by cladocerans. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **1984**, *29*, 365–369. [CrossRef]
16. Haney, J.F.; Forsyth, D.J.; James, M.R. Inhibition of zooplankton filtering rates by dissolved inhibitors produced by naturally occurring cyanobacteria. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 1994, 132, 1–13. [CrossRef]

17. Koch, U.; Martin-Creuzburg, D.; Grossart, H.-P.; Straile, D. Single dietary amino acids control resting egg production and affect population growth of a key freshwater herbivore. *Oecologia* 2011, 167, 981–989. [CrossRef]

18. Fink, P.; Pflütsch, C.; Marin, K. Dietary essential amino acids affect the reproduction of the keystone herbivore *Daphnia pulex*. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e28498. [CrossRef]

19. Von Elert, E.; Wolffram, T. Supplementation of cyanobacterial food with polysaturated fatty acids does not improve growth of *Daphnia*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2001, 46, 1552–1558. [CrossRef]

20. Von Elert, E.; Martin-Creuzburg, D.; Le Coz, J.R. Absence of sterols constrains carbon transfer between cyanobacteria and a freshwater herbivore (*Daphnia galeata*). *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2003, 270, 1209–1214. [CrossRef]

21. Martin-Creuzburg, D.; Von Elert, E. Impact of 10 dietary sterols on growth and reproduction of *Daphnia galeata*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 2004, 30, 483–500. [CrossRef]

22. DeMott, W.R.; Zhang, Q.-X.; Carmichael, W.W. Effects of toxic cyanobacteria and purified toxins on the survival and feeding of a copepod and three species of *Daphnia*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 1991, 36, 1346–1357. [CrossRef]

23. Carmichael, W.W. The toxins of cyanobacteria. *Sci. Am.* 1994, 270, 64–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Gademann, K.; Portmann, C. Secondary metabolites from cyanobacteria: Complex structures and powerful bioactivities. *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2008, 12, 326–341. [CrossRef]

25. Graham, J.L.; Loftin, K.A.; Meyer, M.T.; Ziegler, A.C. Cyanotoxin mixtures and taste-and-odor compounds in cyanobacterial blooms from the Midwestern United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, 7361–7368. [CrossRef]

26. Wiegand, C.; Pflugmacher, S. Ecotoxicological effects of selected cyanobacterial secondary metabolites a short review. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2005, 203, 201–218. [CrossRef]

27. Graham, J.L.; Loftin, K.A.; Meyer, M.T.; Ziegler, A.C. Cyanotoxin mixtures and taste-and-odor compounds in cyanobacterial blooms from the Midwestern United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, 7361–7368. [CrossRef]

28. Pawlik-Skowronska, B.; Kalinowska, R.; Skowronski, T. Cyanotoxin diversity and food web bioaccumulation in a reservoir with decreasing phosphorus concentrations and perennial cyanobacterial blooms. *Harmful Algae* 2013, 28, 118–125. [CrossRef]

29. Park, H.K.; Jheong, W.H.; Kwon, O.S.; Ryu, J.K. Seasonal succession of toxic cyanobacteria and microcystins concentration in Paldang reservoir. *Algae* 2000, 15, 29–35.

30. Sadler, T.; Kuster, C.; von Elert, E. Seasonal dynamics of chemotypes in a freshwater phytoplankton community—A metabolomic approach. *Harmful Algae* 2014, 39, 102–111. [CrossRef]

31. Sidelev, S.I.; Korneva, L.; Solovyeva, V.V.; Zubishina, A.A.; Pligin, D.N. Molecular genetic identification and seasonal succession of toxigenic cyanobacteria in phytoplankton of the Rybinsk Reservoir (Russia). *Inland Water Biol.* 2016, 9, 368–374. [CrossRef]

32. Woodhouse, J.N.; Kinsela, A.S.; Collins, R.; Bowling, L.C.; Honeyman, G.L.; Holloway, J.K.; Neilan, B.A. Microbial communities reflect temporal changes in cyanobacterial composition in a shallow ephemeral freshwater lake. *ISME J.* 2015, 10, 1337–1351. [CrossRef]

33. Messineo, V.; Melchiorre, S.; Di Corcia, A.; Gallo, P.; Bruno, M. Seasonal succession of *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* and *Aphanizomenon ovalisporum* blooms with cylindrospermopsin occurrence in the volcanic Lake Albano, Central Italy. *Environ. Toxicol.* 2009, 25, 18–27. [CrossRef]

34. Schwarzenberger, A.; Sadler, T.; Von Elert, E. Effect of nutrient limitation of cyanobacteria on protease inhibitor production and fitness of *Daphnia magna*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2013, 216, 3649–3655. [CrossRef]

35. Kohler, E.; Grundler, V.; Häussinger, D.; Kurmayer, R.; Gademann, K.; Pernthaler, J.; Blom, J.F. The toxicity and enzyme activity of a chlorine and sulfate containing aeruginosin isolated from a non-microcystin-producing strain. *Harmful Algae* 2014, 39, 154–160. [CrossRef]

36. Entfellner, E.; Frei, M.; Christiansen, G.; Deng, L.; Blom, J.; Kurmayer, R. Evolution of anabaenopeptin peptide structural variability in the cyanobacterium *Planktothrix*. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, 8, 219. [CrossRef]

37. Pärli, H.W.; Huisman, J. Blooms like it hot. *Science* 2008, 320, 57–58. [CrossRef]

38. Smith, V.H.; Schindler, D.W. Eutrophication science: Where do we go from here? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 2009, 24, 201–207. [CrossRef]

39. Mantzouki, E.; Lürling, M.; Fastner, J.; De Senerpont Domis, L.; Wilk-Woźniak, E.; Koreivienė, J.; Seelen, L.; Teurlincx, S.; Verstijnen, Y.; Krzton, W.; et al. Temperature effects explain continental scale distribution of cyanobacterial toxins. *Toxins* 2018, 10, 156. [CrossRef]

40. Hairston, N.G.; Lampert, W.; Cáceres, C.E.; Holtmeier, C.L.; Weider, L.J.; Gaedke, U.; Fischer, J.M.; Fox, J.A.; Post, D.M. Rapid evolution revealed by dormant eggs. *Nature* 1999, 401, 446. [CrossRef]

41. Sarnelle, O.; Wilson, A.E. Local adaptation of *Daphnia pulicaria* to toxic cyanobacteria. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2005, 50, 1565–1570. [CrossRef]

42. Rzymski, P.; Poniedziałek, B. In search of environmental role of cylindrospermopsin: A review on global distribution and ecology of its producers. *Water Res.* 2014, 66, 320–337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Runnegar, M.T.; Kong, S.-M.; Zhong, Y.-Z.; Lu, S.C. Inhibition of reduced glutathione synthesis by cyanobacterial alkaloid cylindrospermopsin in cultured rat hepatocytes. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1995, 49, 219–225. [CrossRef]

44. Froscio, S.M.; Humpage, A.R.; Burcham, P.C.; Falconer, I.R. Cylindrospermopsin-induced protein synthesis inhibition and its dissociation from acute toxicity in mouse hepatocytes. *Environ. Toxicol.* 2003, 18, 243–251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Poniedziałek, B.; Rzymski, P.; Wiktorowicz, K. Experimental immunology First report of cylindrospermopsin effect on human peripheral blood lymphocytes proliferation in vitro. *Cent. Eur. J. Immunol.* 2012, 4, 314–317. [CrossRef]

46. Gutiérrez-Praena, D.; Puerto, M.; Prieto, A.I.; Jos, A.; Pichardo, S.; Vasconcelos, V.; Cameán, A.M. Protective role of dietary N-acetylcysteine on the oxidative stress induced by cylindrospermopsin in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 2012, 31, 1548–1555. [CrossRef]

47. Humphage, A.R.; Fontaine, F.; Frosco, S.; Burcham, P.; Falconer, I. Cylindrospermopsin genotoxicity and cytotoxicity: Role of Cytochrome P-450 and oxidative Stress. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A* 2005, 68, 739–753. [CrossRef]

48. Zegura, B.; Straser, A.; Filipič, M. Genotoxicity and potential carcinogenicity of cyanobacterial toxins—A review. *Mutat. Res.* 2011, 727, 16–41. [CrossRef]

49. Chong, M.; Wong, B.; Lam, P.; Shaw, G.; Seawright, A. Toxicity and uptake mechanism of cylindrospermopsin and lophyrotomin in primary rat hepatocytes. *Toxicon* 2001, 40, 205–211. [CrossRef]

50. Schwarzenberger, A.; Kurmayer, R.; Martin-Creuzburg, D. Toward disentangling the multiple nutritional constraints imposed by cyanobacterial chymotrypsin inhibitors. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 2016, 4, 218–23. [CrossRef]

51. Cremer, R.; Wacker, A.; Schwarzenberger, A. More light please: *Daphnia* benefit from light pollution by increased tolerance toward cyanobacterial chymotrypsin inhibitors. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 2020, 8, 834422. [CrossRef]

52. De Coen, W.M.; Janssen, C.R. The use of biomarkers in *Daphnia magna* toxicity testing II. Digestive enzyme activity in *Daphnia magna* exposed to sublethal concentrations of cadmium, chromium and mercury. *Chemosphere* 1997, 35, 1053–1067. [CrossRef]

53. Agrawal, M.K.; Zitt, A.; Bagchi, D.; Weckesser, J.; Bagchi, S.N.; von Elert, E. Characterization of proteases in guts of *Daphnia magna* and their inhibition by *Microcystis aeruginosa* PCC 7806. *Environ. Toxicol.* 2005, 20, 314–322. [CrossRef]

54. Schwarzenberger, A.; Fink, P. Gene expression and activity of digestive enzymes of *Daphnia pulex* in response to food quality differences. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B* 2018, 218, 23–29. [CrossRef]

55. Schwarzenberger, A.; Fink, P. Gene expression and activity of digestive enzymes of *Daphnia pulex* in response to food quality differences. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B* 2018, 218, 23–29. [CrossRef]

56. Dölling, R.; Becker, D.; Hawat, S.; Koch, M.; Schwarzenberger, A.; Zitt, A. Protease activity in gut of *Daphnia magna* exposed to sublethal concentrations of cadmium, chromium and mercury. *Chemosphere* 2017, 199, 1053–1067. [CrossRef]

57. Von Elert, E.; Agrawal, M.K.; Gebauer, C.; Jaensch, H.; Bauer, U.; Zitt, A. Protease activity in gut of *Daphnia magna* exposed to sublethal concentrations of cadmium, chromium and mercury. *Chemosphere* 2017, 199, 1053–1067. [CrossRef]

58. Janssen, E.M.-L. Cyanobacterial peptides beyond microcystins—A review on co-occurrence, toxicity, and challenges for risk assessment. *Water Res.* 2019, 151, 488–499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Welker, M.; Von Döhren, H. Cyanobacterial peptides—Nature’s own combinatorial biosynthesis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2006, 30, 530–563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Schwarzenberger, A.; Zitt, A.; Kroth, P.; Mueller, S.; Von Elert, E. Gene expression and activity of digestive proteases in *Daphnia*: Effects of cyanobacterial protease inhibitors. *BMC Physiol.* 2010, 10, 6. [CrossRef]

61. Itou, Y.; Suzuki, S.; Ishida, K.; Murakami, M. Anabaenopeptins G and H, Potent Carboxypeptidase A inhibitors from the cyanobacterium *Oscillatoria agardhii* (NIES-995). *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 1999, 9, 1243–1246. [CrossRef]

62. Murakami, M.; Suzuki, S.; Itou, Y.; Kodani, S.; Ishida, K. New Anabaenopeptins, Potent Carboxypeptidase—A Inhibitors from the Cyanobacterium *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* f. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004, 14, 1280–1282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Schwarzenberger, A.; Fink, P. Gene expression and activity of digestive enzymes of *Daphnia pulex* in response to food quality differences. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B* 2018, 218, 23–29. [CrossRef]

64. Cremer, R.; Wacker, A.; Schwarzenberger, A. More light please: *Daphnia* benefit from light pollution by increased tolerance toward cyanobacterial chymotrypsin inhibitors. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 2020, 8, 834422. [CrossRef]

65. Von Elert, E.; Agrawal, M.K.; Gebauer, C.; Jaensch, H.; Bauer, U.; Zitt, A. Protease activity in gut of *Daphnia magna*: Evidence for trypsin and chymotrypsin enzymes. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B* 2004, 137, 287–296. [CrossRef]

66. Dölling, R.; Becker, D.; Hawat, S.; Koch, M.; Schwarzenberger, A.; Zeis, B. Adjustments of serine proteases of *Daphnia pulex* in response to temperature changes. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B* 2016, 194, 1–10. [CrossRef]

67. Schwirin, S.; Zeis, B.; Lamkemeyer, T.; Paul, R.J.; Koch, M.; Madlunger, J.; Fladerer, C.; Pirow, R. Acclimatory responses of the *Daphnia pulex* proteome to environmental changes. II. Chronic exposure to different temperatures (10 and 20 °C) mainly affects protein metabolism. *BMC Physiol.* 2009, 9, 8. [CrossRef]

68. Schwarzenberger, A.; Zitt, A.; Kroth, P.; Mueller, S.; Von Elert, E. Gene expression and activity of digestive proteases in *Daphnia*: Effects of cyanobacterial protease inhibitors. *BMC Physiol.* 2010, 10, 6. [CrossRef]

69. Itou, Y.; Suzuki, S.; Ishida, K.; Murakami, M. Anabaenopeptins G and H, Potent Carboxypeptidase A inhibitors from the cyanobacterium *Oscillatoria agardhii* (NIES-995). *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 1999, 9, 1243–1246. [CrossRef]

70. Murakami, M.; Suzuki, S.; Itou, Y.; Kodani, S.; Ishida, K. New Anabaenopeptins, Potent Carboxypeptidase—A Inhibitors from the Cyanobacterium *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2000, 63, 1280–1282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Janssen, E.M.-L. Cyanobacterial peptides beyond microcystins—A review on co-occurrence, toxicity, and challenges for risk assessment. *Water Res.* 2019, 151, 488–499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Welker, M.; Von Döhren, H. Cyanobacterial peptides—Nature’s own combinatorial biosynthesis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2000, 230, 530–563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
73. Martin, C.; Oberer, L.; Ino, T.; König, W.A.; Busch, M.; Weckesser, J. Cyanopeptolins, new depsipeptides from the cyanobacterium *Microcystis* sp. pcc 7806. *J. Antibiob.* 1993, 46, 1550–1556. [CrossRef]

74. Rohrlack, T.; Christoffersen, K.; Friberg-Jensen, U. Frequency of inhibitors of daphniph trypsin in the widely distributed cyanobacterial genus *Planktothrix*. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2005, 7, 1667–1669. [CrossRef]

75. Dittmann, E.; Neilan, B.A.; Erhard, M.; Von Döhren, H.; Börner, T. Insertional mutagenesis of a peptide synthetase gene that is responsible for hepatotoxin production in the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* PCC 7806. *Mol. Microbiol.* 1997, 26, 779–787. [CrossRef]

76. Burburg, C.; Ilić, M.; Pethzoldt, T.; von Elert, E. Nitrate determines growth and protease inhibitor content of the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 2018, 31, 1697–1707. [CrossRef]

77. Von Elert, E.; Zitt, A.; Schwarzenberger, A. Inducible tolerance to dietary protease inhibitors in *Daphnia magna*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2012, 215, 2051–2059. [CrossRef]

78. Schwarzenberger, A.; D’Hondt, S.; Vyverman, W.; von Elert, E. Seasonal succession of cyanobacterial protease inhibitors and *Daphnia magna* genotypes in a eutrophic Swedish lake. *Aquat. Sci.* 2013, 75, 433–445. [CrossRef]

79. Asselman, J.; De Coninck, D.I.M.; Glaholt, S.; Colbourne, J.K.; Janssen, C.R.; Shaw, J.R.; De Schamphelaere, K.A.C. Identification of Pathways, gene networks, and paralogous gene families in *Daphnia pulex* responding to exposure to the toxic cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2012, 46, 8448–8457. [CrossRef]

80. Schwarzenberger, A.; Sadler, T.; Motameny, S.; Ben-Khalifa, K.; Frommolt, P.; Altmüller, J.; Konrad, K.; Von Elert, E. Deciphering the genetic basis of microcystin tolerance. *BMC Genom.* 2014, 15, 776. [CrossRef]

81. Schwarzenberger, A.; Von Elert, E. Cyanobacterial protease inhibitors lead to maternal transfer of increased protease gene expression in *Daphnia*. *Oecologia* 2012, 172, 11–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Blom, J.F.; Baumann, H.I.; Codd, G.A.; Jüttner, F. Sensitivity and adaptation of aquatic organisms to oscillapeptin J and [D-Asp3(E)-Dhb7]microcystin-RR. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 2006, 167, 547–559. [CrossRef]

83. Schwarzenberger, A.; Hasselmann, M.; Elert, E. Positive selection of digestive proteases in *Daphnia*: A mechanism for local adaptation to cyanobacterial protease inhibitors. *Mol. Ecol.* 2020, 29, 912–919. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Schwarzenberger, A.; Keith, N.R.; Jackson, C.E.; Von Elert, E. Copy number variation of a protease gene of *Microcystis aeruginosa* responsible for hepatotoxin production in the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* PCC 7806. *Mol. Microbiol.* 1997, 26, 779–787. [CrossRef]

85. Martin, C.; Oberer, L.; Ino, T.; König, W.A.; Busch, M.; Weckesser, J. Cyanopeptolins, new depsipeptides from the cyanobacterium *Microcystis* sp. pcc 7806. *J. Antibiob.* 1993, 46, 1550–1556. [CrossRef]

86. Gorham, P.; McLachlan, J.; Hammer, U.T.; Kim, W. Isolation and culture of toxic strains of *Tychonema* in European waterbodies. *Water Res.* 2009, 43, 473–478. [CrossRef]

87. Christensen, V.G.; Khan, E. Freshwater neurotoxins and concerns for human, animal, and ecosystem health: A review of anatoxin-a and saxitoxin. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2020, 736, 139515. [CrossRef]

88. Rellaín, S.; Osswald, J.; Saker, M.; Gago-Martinez, A.; Vasconcelos, V. First detection of anatoxin-a in human and animal dietary supplements containing cyanobacteria. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2009, 47, 2189–2195. [CrossRef]

89. Monserrat, J.M.; Yunes, J.S.; Bianchini, A. Effects of *Anabaena spiroides* (cyanobacteria) aqueous extracts on the acetylcholinesterase activity of aquatic species. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 2001, 20, 1228–1235. [CrossRef]

90. schwarzenberger, a.; ilić, m.; von elert, e. daphnia populations are similar but not identical in tolerance to different protease inhibitors. *Harmful Algae* 2021, 106, 102602. [CrossRef]

91. Schwarzenberger, A.; Von Elert, E. Cyanobacterial protease inhibitors lead to maternal transfer of increased protease gene expression in *Daphnia*. *Oecologia* 2012, 172, 11–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

92. Blom, J.F.; Baumann, H.I.; Codd, G.A.; Jüttner, F. Sensitivity and adaptation of aquatic organisms to oscillapeptin J and [D-Asp3(E)-Dhb7]microcystin-RR. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 2006, 167, 547–559. [CrossRef]

93. Schwarzenberger, A.; Hasselmann, M.; Elert, E. Positive selection of digestive proteases in *Daphnia*: A mechanism for local adaptation to cyanobacterial protease inhibitors. *Mol. Ecol.* 2020, 29, 912–919. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Shams, S.; Capelli, C.; Cerasino, L.; Ballot, A.; Dietrich, D.; Sivonen, K.; Salmaso, N. Anatoxin-a producing *Planktothrix* (cyanobacteria) in European waterbodies. *Water Res.* 2015, 69, 68–79. [CrossRef]

95. Sánchez, K.F.; Huntley, N.; Duffy, M.A.; Hunter, M.D. Toxins or medicines? Phytoplankton diets mediate host and parasite fitness in a freshwater system. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2019, 286, 20182231. [CrossRef]

96. Claska, M.E.; Gilbert, J.J. The effect of temperature on the response of *Daphnia* to toxic cyanobacteria. *Freshw. Biol.* 1998, 39, 221–232. [CrossRef]

97. Ballot, A.; Fastner, J.; Lentz, M.; Wiedner, C. First report of anatoxin-a-producing cyanobacterium *Aphanizomenon issatschenkoi* in northeastern Germany. *Toxicon* 2010, 56, 964–971. [CrossRef]

98. oswald, j.; azavedo, j.; vasconcelos, v.; guilhermino, l. Experimental determination of the bioconcentration factors for anatoxin-a in juvenile rain-bow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Proc. Int. Acad. Ecol. Environ. Sci.* 2011, 1, 77–86.

99. schwarzenberger, a.; martin-creuzburg, d. *Daphnia*’s adaptive molecular responses to the cyanobacterial neurotoxin anatoxin-a are maternally transferred. *Toxins* 2021, 13, 326. [CrossRef]

100. De Abreu, F.Q.; Ferrão-Filho, A.D.S. Effects of an anatoxin-a(s)-producing strain of *Anabaena spiroides* (cyanobacteria) on the survivorship and somatic growth of two *Daphnia similis* clones. *J. Environ. Prot.* 2013, 4, 12–18. [CrossRef]
101. Bownik, A.; Pawlik-Skworonska, B. Early indicators of behavioral and physiological disturbances in Daphnia magna (Cladocera) induced by cyanobacterial neurotoxin anatoxin-a. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 695, 133913. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

102. Mitrovic, S.M.; Pflugmacher, S.; James, K.J.; Furey, A. Anatoxin-a elicits an increase in peroxidase and glutathione S-transferase activity in aquatic plants. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 2004, 68, 185–192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Chorus, I.; Welker, M. *Toxic Cyanobacteria in Water: A Guide to Their Public Health Consequences, Monitoring and Management*; Taylor & Francis: Abingdon, UK, 2021. [CrossRef]

104. Rohrlack, T.; Dittmann, E.; Henning, M.; Börner, T.; Kohl, J.-G. Role of microcystins in poisoning and food ingestion inhibition of *Daphnia galeata* caused by the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1999, 65, 737–739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Ghadouani, A.; Pinel-Alloul, B.; Plath, K.; Codd, G.A.; Lampert, W. Effects of *Microcystis aeruginosa* and purified microcystin-LR on the feeding behavior of *Daphnia pulicaria*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2004, 49, 666–679. [CrossRef]

106. Hietala, J.; Reinikainen, M.; Walls, M. Variation in life history responses of *Daphnia* to toxic *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *J. Plankton Res.* 1995, 17, 2307–2318. [CrossRef]

107. Semyalo, R.; Rohrlack, T.; Larsson, P. Growth and survival responses of a tropical *Daphnia* (*Daphnia lumholtzi*) to cell-bound microcystins. *J. Plankton Res.* 2009, 31, 827–835. [CrossRef]

108. Rohrlack, T.; Christoffersen, K.; Dittmann, E.; Nogueira, I.; Vasconcelos, V.; Börner, T. Ingestion of microcystins by *Daphnia*: Intestinal uptake and toxic effects. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2005, 50, 440–448. [CrossRef]

109. Pawlik-Skworonska, B.; Bownik, A. Cyanobacterial anabaenopeptin-B, microcystins and their mixture cause toxic effects on the behavior of the freshwater crustacean *Daphnia magna* (Cladocera). *Toxicon* 2021, 198, 1–11. [CrossRef]

110. Pflugmacher, S.; Wiegand, C.; Oberemm, A.; Beattie, K.A.; Krause, E.; Codd, G.A.; Steinberg, C.E. Identification of an enzymatically formed glutathione conjugate of the cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin-LR: The first step of detoxication. *Biochem. et Biophys. Acta (BBA) Gen. Subj.* 1998, 1425, 527–533. [CrossRef]

111. Chen, W.; Song, L.; Ou, D.; Gan, N. Chronic toxicity and responses of several important enzymes in *Daphnia magna* exposure to sublethal microcystin-LR. *Environ. Toxicol.* 2005, 20, 323–330. [CrossRef]

112. Gustafsson, S.; Rengefors, K.; Hansson, L.-A. Increased consumer fitness following transfer of toxin tolerance to offspring via maternal effects. *Ecology* 2005, 86, 2561–2567. [CrossRef]

113. Radersma, R.; Hegg, A.; Noble, D.W.A.; Uller, T. Timing of maternal exposure to toxic cyanobacteria and offspring fitness in *Daphnia magna*: Implications for the evolution of anticipatory maternal effects. *Ecol. Evol.* 2018, 8, 12727–12736. [CrossRef]

114. Li, Q.; Jiang, X. Offspring tolerance to toxic *Microcystis aeruginosa* in *Daphnia pulex* shaped by maternal food availability and age. *Fundam. Appl. Limnol.* 2014, 185, 315–319. [CrossRef]

115. Lemaire, V.; Brusciotti, S.; van Grembergh, I.; Vyverman, W.; Vanoverbeke, J.; De Meester, L. Genotype × genotype interactions between the toxic cyanobacterium *Microcystis* and its grazer, the waterflea *Daphnia*. *Evol. Appl.* 2011, 5, 168–182. [CrossRef]

116. Druga, B.; Turko, P.; Spaak, P.; Pomati, F. Cyanobacteria affect fitness and genetic structure of experimental *Daphnia* populations. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 50, 3416–3424. [CrossRef]

117. Jiang, X.; Zhang, L.; Liang, H.; Li, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Chen, L.; Yang, W. Resistance variation within a *Daphnia pulex* population against toxic cyanobacteria. *J. Plankton Res.* 2013, 35, 1177–1181. [CrossRef]

118. Wilson, A.E.; Sarnelle, O.; Tillmanns, A.R. Effects of cyanobacterial toxicity and morphology on the population growth of freshwater zooplankton: Meta-analyses of laboratory experiments. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2006, 51, 1915–1924. [CrossRef]

119. Isanta-Navarro, J.; Hairston, N.G.; Beninde, J.; Meyer, A.; Straile, D.; Möst, M.; Martin-Creuzburg, D. Toxic *cyanobacteria* shape the evolutionary trajectory of the cladoceran *Daphnia galeata* exposed to microcystin-producing and microcystin-free *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Toxins* 2016, 51, 4798–4807. [CrossRef]

120. Shahmohamadloo, R.S.; Simmons, D.B.; Sibley, P.K. Shotgun proteomics analysis reveals sub-lethal effects in *Daphnia magna* exposed to cell-bound microcystins produced by *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genom. Proteom.* 2020, 33, 100656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

121. Li, Q.; Jiang, X. Offspring tolerance to toxic *Microcystis aeruginosa* in *Daphnia pulex* shaped by maternal food availability and age. *Fundam. Appl. Limnol.* 2014, 185, 315–319. [CrossRef]

122. Luo, K.; Gu, L.; Wang, H.; Zhu, X.; Zhang, L.; Sun, Y.; Huang, Y.; Yang, Z. Transcriptomic analysis dissects the mechanistic insight into the *Daphnia* clonal variation in tolerance to toxic *Microcystis*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2018, 64, 272–283. [CrossRef]

123. Lyu, K.; Meng, Q.; Zhu, X.; Dai, D.; Zhang, L.; Huang, Y.; Yang, Z. Changes in iTRAQ-based proteomic profiling of the cladoceran *Daphnia magna* exposed to microcystin-producing and microcystin-free *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 50, 4798–4807. [CrossRef]

124. Ortiz-Rodriguez, R.; Díaz, B.; Zanetti, E.; Orsini, L.; Pfrender, M.E.; Decaestecker, E.; De Schamphelaere, K.A. Bisulfite sequencing with *Daphnia* highlights a role for epigenetics in regulating stress response to *Microcystis* through preferential differential methylation of serine and threonine amino acids. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 51, 924–931. [CrossRef]
127. Schwarzenberger, A.; Courts, C.; Von Elert, E. Target gene approaches: Gene expression in Daphnia magna exposed to predat-
borne kairomones or to microcystin-producing and microcystin-free Microcystis aeruginosa. BMC Genom. 2009, 10, 527. [CrossRef]
128. Macke, E.; Callens, M.; De Meester, L.; Decaestecker, E. Host-genotype dependent gut microbiota drives zooplankton tolerance to
toxic cyanobacteria. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 1608. [CrossRef]
129. Macke, E.; Callens, M.; Massol, F.; Vanoverbergh, I.; De Meester, L.; Decaestecker, E. Diet and genotype of an aquatic invertebrate
affect the composition of free-living microbial communities. Front. Microbiol. 2020, 11, 380. [CrossRef]
130. Sarnelle, O.; Gustafsson, S.; Hansson, L.-A. Effects of cyanobacteria on fitness components of the herbivore Daphnia. J. Plankton Res. 2010, 32, 471–477. [CrossRef]
131. Chislock, M.F.; Sarnelle, O.; Olsen, B.K.; Doster, E.; Wilson, A.E. Large effects of consumer offense on ecosystem structure and
function. Ecology 2013, 94, 2375–2380. [CrossRef]
132. Paterson, M.J.; Findlay, D.L.; Salki, A.G.; Hendzel, L.L.; Hesslein, R.H. The effects of Daphnia on nutrient stoichiometry and
filamentous cyanobacteria: A mesocosm experiment in a eutrophic lake. Freshw. Biol. 2002, 47, 1217–1233. [CrossRef]
133. Vanni, P.M.; Tente, J. Seasonal patterns of grazing and nutrient limitation of phytoplankton in a eutrophic lake. Limnol. Oceanogr. 1990, 35, 697–709. [CrossRef]
134. Gagala, I.; Izydorczyk, K.; Jurczak, T.; Pawelczyk, J.; Dziadek, J.; Wojtal-Frankiewicz, A.; Jóźwik, A.; Jaskulska, A.; Mankiewicz-
Boczek, J. Role of environmental factors and toxic genotypes in the regulation of microcystins-producing cyanobacterial blooms. Microb. Ecol. 2013, 67, 465–479. [CrossRef]
135. Sarnelle, O. Initial conditions mediate the interaction between Daphnia and bloom-forming cyanobacteria. Limnol. Oceanogr. 2007, 52, 2120–2127. [CrossRef]
136. Christoffersen, K.; Riemann, B.; Klysner, A.; Søndergaard, M. Potential role of fish predation and natural populations of
zooplankton in structuring a plankton community in eutrophic lake water. Limnol. Oceanogr. 1993, 38, 561–573. [CrossRef]
137. Akbar, S.; Huang, J.; Zhou, Q.; Gu, L.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, L.; Lyu, K.; Yang, Z. Elevated temperature and toxic Microcystis reduce
Daphnia fitness and modulate gut microbiota. Environ. Pollut. 2020, 271, 116409. [CrossRef]
138. Lürling, M.; Tolman, Y. Effects of commercially available ultrasound on the zooplankton grazer Daphnia and consequent water
greening in laboratory experiments. Water 2014, 6, 3247–3263. [CrossRef]
139. Fu, F.; Place, A.; Garcia, N.; Hutchins, D. CO₂ and phosphate availability control the toxicity of the harmful bloom dinoflagellate
Karldiniom veneficum. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 2010, 59, 55–65. [CrossRef]
140. Visser, P.M.; Verspagen, J.M.; Sandrini, G.; Stal, L.J.; Matthis, H.C.; Davis, T.W.; Paelr, H.W.; Huisman, J. How rising CO₂ and
global warming may stimulate harmful cyanobacterial blooms. Harmful Algae 2016, 54, 145–159. [CrossRef]
141. Bosker, T.; Oltzho, G.; Vijver, M.G.; Baas, J.; Barmentlo, S.H. Significant decline of Daphnia magna population biomass due to
microplastic exposure. Environ. Pollut. 2019, 250, 669–675. [CrossRef]
142. Trotter, B.; Wilde, M.V.; Brehm, J.; Dafni, E.; Aliu, A.; Arnold, G.J.; Fröhlich, T.; Laforsch, C. Long-term exposure of Daphnia magna
to polystyrene microplastic (PS-MP) leads to alterations of the proteome, morphology and life-history. Sci. Total Environ. 2021,
795, 148822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
143. Schwarzer, M.; Brehm, J.; Vollmer, M.; Jasinski, J.; Xu, C.; Zainuddin, S.; Fröhlich, T.; Schott, M.; Greiner, A.; Scheibl, T.; et al.
Shape, size, and polymer dependent effects of microplastics on Daphnia magna. J. Hazard. Mater. 2022, 426, 128136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
144. Hoffschröer, N.; Grassl, N.; Steinmetz, A.; Szegoleit, L.; Koch, M.; Zeis, B. Microplastic burden in Daphnia is aggravated by
elevated temperatures. Zoology 2020, 100, 125881. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
145. Sadler, D.E.; Brunner, E.; Plaistow, S.J. Temperature and clone-dependent effects of microplastics on immunity and life history in
Daphnia magna. Environ. Pollut. 2019, 255, 113178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
146. Greco, D.A.; Arnott, S.E.; Fournier, I.B.; Schamp, B.S. Effects of chloride and nutrients on freshwater plankton communities.
Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett. 2021. Early View. [CrossRef]
147. Isanta-Navarro, J.; Arnott, S.E.; Klauschies, T.; Martin-Creuzburg, D. Dietary lipid quality mediates salt tolerance of a freshwater
keystone herbivore. Sci. Total Environ. 2021, 769, 144657. [CrossRef]
148. Coldsnow, K.D.; Relyea, R.A.; Hurley, J.M. Evolution to environmental contamination ablates the circadian clock of an aquatic
sentinel species. Ecol. Evol. 2017, 7, 10339–10349. [CrossRef]
149. Dietrich, S.; Ploessl, F.; Bracher, F.; Laforsch, C. Single and combined toxicity of pharmaceuticals at environmentally relevant
concentrations in Daphnia magna—A multigenerational study. Chemosphere 2010, 79, 60–66. [CrossRef]
150. López-Valcarcel, M.E.; Parra, G.; del Arco, A. Environmental disturbance history undermines population responses to cope with
anthropogenic and environmental stressors. Chemosphere 2020, 262, 128373. [CrossRef]
151. Perry, T.; Heckel, D.G.; McKenzie, J.A.; Batterham, P. Mutations in Dα1 or Dβ2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits can
confer resistance to neonicotinoids in Drosophila melanogaster. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2008, 38, 520–528. [CrossRef]
152. Klauschies, T.; Isanta-Navarro, J. The joint effects of salt and 6PDP contamination on a freshwater herbivore. Sci. Total Environ.
2022, 829, 154675. [CrossRef]
153. Martins, A.; da Silva, D.D.; Silva, R.; Carvalho, F.; Guilhermino, L. Warmer water, high light intensity, lithium and microplastics:
Dangerous environmental combinations to zooplankton and Global Health? Sci. Total Environ. 2022, 854, 158649. [CrossRef]
154. Fernandez-Figueroa, E.G.; Wilson, A.E. Local adaptation mediates direct and indirect effects of multiple stressors on consumer
fitness. Oecologia 2022, 198, 483–492. [CrossRef]
155. Orsini, L.; Gilbert, D.; Podicheti, R.; Jansen, M.; Brown, J.B.; Solari, O.S.; Spanier, K.I.; Colbourne, J.; Rusch, D.B.; Decaestecker, E.; et al. *Daphnia magna* transcriptome by RNA-Seq across 12 environmental stressors. *Sci. Data* **2016**, *3*, 160030. [CrossRef]

156. De Coninck, D.I.M.; Asselman, J.; Glaholt, S.; Janssen, C.R.; Colbourne, J.K.; Shaw, J.R.; De Schamphelaere, K.A.C. Genome-Wide Transcription Profiles Reveal Genotype-Dependent Responses of Biological Pathways and Gene-Families in *Daphnia* Exposed to Single and Mixed Stressors. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 3513–3522. [CrossRef]

157. Chen, L.; Giesy, J.P.; Adamovsky, O.; Svirčev, Z.; Meriluoto, J.; Codd, G.A.; Mijovic, B.; Shi, T.; Tuo, X.; Li, S.; et al. Challenges of using blooms of *Microcystis* spp. in animal feeds: A comprehensive review of nutritional, toxicological and microbial health evaluation. *Sci. Total. Environ.* **2021**, *764*, 142319. [CrossRef]