Ground-glass opacity (GGO): a review of the differential diagnosis in the era of COVID-19
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Abstract
Thoracic imaging is fundamental in the diagnostic route of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) especially in patients admitted to hospitals. In particular, chest computed tomography (CT) has a key role in identifying the typical features of the infection. Ground-glass opacities (GGO) are one of the main CT findings, but their presence is not specific for this viral pneumonia. In fact, GGO is a radiological sign of different pathologies with both acute and subacute/chronic clinical manifestations. In the evaluation of a subject with focal or diffuse GGO, the radiologist has to know the patient’s medical history to obtain a valid diagnostic hypothesis. The authors describe the various CT appearance of GGO, related to the onset of symptoms, focusing also on the ancillary signs that can help radiologist to obtain a correct and prompt diagnosis.
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Introduction
Ground-glass opacity (GGO) is a non-specific term defined by the Fleischner society as the presence on high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of a hazy increase in lung density, not associated with obscuration of the underlying vessels or bronchial walls; if vessels are obscured, the term “consolidation” is preferred [1]. GGO reflects the presence of a various number of lung diseases, such as alveolar collapse, interstitial thickening, or air-space disease: in fact, it is caused by the filling of the alveolar spaces (by cells or fluid) or the thickening of the alveolar walls or interstitium. GGO tends to be difficult to be identified radiographically especially in mild cases: this is because the differential diagnosis of GGO is mainly based on HRCT [2, 3]. The traditional perception of GGO as a non-specific finding has been superseded by a clinical–radiological evaluation necessary to achieve a valid diagnostic hypothesis [2]. A better approach is to identify the key features of the real underlying disease (such as the onset of symptoms, smoking history, presence/absence of fibrosis, or the distribution in the lung parenchyma) [4, 5]. An organized approach to GGO differential diagnosis is based on the presence of acute versus chronic onset of symptoms (Fig. 1).

In this historical period, especially in the emergency department, the differential diagnosis of GGO is based on the exclusion of the new viral lung infection caused by a novel virus named SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus 2) [6–10]. This new coronavirus causes a highly infectious disease, commonly called Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [11–17]. The COVID-19 pneumonia is defined as one of the “greatest radiological mimickers” because of the various diseases it may be confused with [18–23]. Typical HRCT pattern in the acute phase of COVID-19 is characterized by the presence of peripheral, subpleural, bilateral, and basal GGOs and consolidations, in some cases also with a super-imposed interlobular/intralobular septal thickening (the so-called “crazy-paving” pattern) (Fig. 2) [24–28]. Additional findings are vascular enlargement, air bronchograms, and halo or reversed halo signs. Usually, pleural and pericardial effusion, together with lymphadenopathy and cavitations, are rarely observed [29] (Table 1).

The typical GGO appearance in the acute phase of COVID-19 is defined as “rounded-GGO”, even if it could
be extensively present as subpleural and bilateral alteration [24–30]. Sometimes, GGO may be the only radiological manifestation of the early lung involvement in the 1–5 days after the onset of symptoms or also in asymptomatic subjects [31–33]. The progressive stage (5–8 days) is characterized by the increase of GGO and crazy-paving appearance until the peak stage (9–13 days), where the alterations tend to be more consolidated. The late stage (more than 14 days) is characterized by a slow decrease of consolidation and GGO, but signs of fibrosis (such as

---

**Fig. 1** Algorithmic approach to ground-glass opacities. It is important to exclude causes of false GGO (such as in expiratory scan or in case of mosaic perfusion). GGO ground-glass opacity, DAD diffuse alveolar damage, HP hypersensitivity pneumonitis, NSIP non-specific interstitial pneumonia, UIP usual interstitial pneumonia, DIP desquamative interstitial pneumonia, LIP lymphocytic interstitial pneumonitis, OP organizing pneumonia, CMV cytomegalovirus, PJP pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia, ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome.

**Fig. 2** COVID-19 pneumonia. Four cases of GGO in COVID-19 lung involvement in the acute—subacute phase: alterations are mostly peripheral, bilateral, and basal (a–c). In d, there are halo signs of GGO around the consolidations. COVID-19 coronavirus disease 2019.
subpleural bands, traction bronchiectasis, and architectural distortion) may manifest [34, 35]. The RSNA has proposed a four categories classification for COVID-19 pneumonia (typical, indeterminate, atypical appearance, or negative for pneumonia) to help radiologists in recognizing the most common findings, decreasing reporting variability, and improving communication with clinicians [36]. Differentiation of COVID-19 pneumonia from other lung diseases is challenging and sometimes very hard [37–40]. The aim of this review is to describe the main differential diagnosis of GGO in the era of COVID-19, based on a clinical–radiological evaluation of various findings (such as the onset of symptoms and the presence of ancillary HRCT signs) that could help radiologists in proposing valid and useful diagnostic hypotheses for an effective multidisciplinary patient’s evaluation.

### Patients with acute respiratory symptoms

Pulmonary diseases present acutely within a period of 7–10 days typically. Few pulmonary diseases present in a period ranging from 10 to 30 days and are defined subacute disorders. The most common diseases that present in this time frame and manifest themselves with GGO are similar to the processes associated with water, blood, or pus. Common infection can cause GGO in the acute phase, but also hemorrhage, pulmonary edema, or exacerbations of pre-existing interstitial lung diseases (ILD). In this pandemic period, the HRCT has given fundamental help in the management of patients with acute respiratory symptoms, in particular at the first access to the emergency department. Patients with fever, dyspnea, and non-specific laboratory changes are often evaluated with chest X-ray and HRCT: it is necessary to know the differential diagnoses of GGO, which is one of the most common radiological manifestations of pneumonia from COVID-19.

### Infections

Differentiation of COVID-19 pneumonia from other pulmonary infections could be really challenging, especially from other viral or atypical pneumonia. Viral pneumonia commonly manifests as an interstitial lung involvement [22]. The Influenza virus manifestations were mainly represented by GGOs with consolidation, bilateral patchy consolidation, and ill-defined small nodules [41, 42]. Instead, the H1N1 and H5N1 forms of influenza, unlike common flu, presented as rapidly progressive pneumonia that very often led to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). CT imaging features are multifocal consolidations and diffuse areas of GGO, with lymphadenopathy, cavitations, and pleural effusion that are also observed [43, 44]. The respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) more common in children and elderly presents with an airway-centric distribution, with areas of tree-in-bud opacity and bronchial wall thickening, with or without consolidation [45, 46]. Herpes simplex/zoster virus (HSV/VZV) and cytomegalovirus (CMV) pneumonia cause frequently lung diseases in immunocompromised patients: the most frequent radiological findings on CT examination are interstitial and alveolar infiltrates as bilateral and asymmetric GGO areas, in association with areas of parenchymal consolidation; instead, pleural effusion is more frequent in HSV-related disease [47, 48]. Differential diagnosis between CMV pneumonia and Pneumocystis Jirovecii pneumonia (PJP) is difficult, especially in the early stages, as the patterns are largely overlapping. Since these pulmonary infections are very common in immunocompromised patients, and the most frequent among infectious complications in patients with AIDS, their differentiation and diagnosis are very important. It should be noted that Pneumocystis Jirovecii can be encountered in mildly immunocompromised patients, such as those with diabetes or with corticosteroid therapies. On CT, the most typical appearance of PJP is bilateral patchy GGO with or without perihilar distribution. CMV shows diffuse micronodules with both centrilobular and random distributions, whereas an apical-perihilar distribution and the presence of mosaic patterns with crazy-paving appearance are more suggestive of PJP [49]. HRCT has a high sensitivity (around 97–98%) in assessing the presence of abnormalities that may represent pulmonary involvement, although it is not specific in identifying typical viral features (Fig. 3) [50]. Patient’s evaluation from a clinical-laboratory point of view is necessary (immunocompromised or not, lymphocyte count, onset symptoms, comorbidities), and sometimes, a bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) or sputum analysis could be required. In some cases, there might be overlapping between viral and bacterial pneumonia, but, in the latter, there is a higher prevalence of lobar/segmental pneumonia foci or focal/

### Table 1 Typical and atypical HRCT features of COVID-19 infection

| HRCT findings in COVID-19 pneumonia | Typical features | Atypical features |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Typical features                     |                 |                 |
| Ground-glass opacity                | Centrilobular/nodular opacity |
| Rounded morphology                  | Cavitation      |
| Crazy-paving pattern                | Lymphadenopathy |
| Consolidation                       | Pleural effusion |
| Peripheral distribution             | Central distribution |
| Lower distribution                  | Apical distribution |

HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography. COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019.
multifocal consolidations [46]. The presence of atypical findings, such as pleural effusion, lymphadenopathies, consolidations, or tree-in-bud appearance in COVID-19 patients, should raise a concern about a co-infection or a super-infection. In fact, a recent meta-analysis reported a viral co-infection rate of 7% and 3% for a bacterial one [51].

Hemorrhage

GGO and consolidations, together with crazy-paving patterns, are typical HRCT manifestations of pulmonary hemorrhage [5]. Lung alterations could be bilateral, focal, or with a patchy distribution, and it depends on the cause of the bleeding: pulmonary contusion or iatrogenic lesion manifest usually as a focal and unilateral GGO, instead hemorrhage caused by vasculitis (Goodpasture syndrome, granulomatosis with polyangiitis, or eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis), anticoagulation therapy, or cocaine-induced injury manifest as a diffuse lung involvement (Fig. 4) [52–54]. Ancillary signs may be associated, such as halo sign or reversed halo sign, nodules (with or without cavitation) or centrilobular nodules [55]. A targeted medical history is required also in these cases, and serological and clinical findings are essential for differential diagnosis (for example, signs or serological finding of renal impairment could raise the hypothesis of vasculitis).

Pulmonary edema

Hydrostatic pulmonary edema is the most common cause of widespread GGO [2]. Differently from COVID-19, in this case, the presence of central and perihilar predominance of GGO, together with ancillary signs such as pleural effusion, smooth interlobular septal thickening, apical vascular redistribution, cardiomegaly, and peri-bronchial cuffing can help in the differential diagnosis between viral pneumonia and cardiogenic edema (Fig. 5) [56]. GGO is the earliest lung manifestation of cardiogenic pulmonary edema. Instead, non-cardiogenic edema is caused by changes in capillary endothelium, allowing the leakage of fluid in normal hydrostatic pressures (such as in case of aspiration, sepsis, trauma, fat emboli, and illicit drug abuse). In these cases, GGO tends to be more confluent and consolidative, without gravitational distribution, and septal thickening is not a common feature. Consequent diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) reflects acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and has a specific HRCT pattern: GGO is the most typical finding in DAD; instead, consolidations, fibrosis, and traction bronchiectasis are common features in ARDS [57]. Usually, lung involvement in these cases predominates in the bases, which receive the largest blood volume [5]. Instead, in neurogenic edema (usually seen in patients with brain injury), parenchymal opacities are located in the apices [58].
Acute interstitial pneumonitis (AIP) and acute exacerbation of ILD

Acute interstitial pneumonitis (AIP—also known as Hamman–Rich syndrome) is a progressive interstitial lung disease (ILD) of unknown etiology that rapidly evolves in DAD/ARDS requiring mechanical ventilation within 7–10 days after the onset of symptoms. AIP has imaging findings similar to ARDS, with extensive areas of symmetrical and bilateral GGO and consolidations (with a predilection towards the lower lobes), with traction bronchiectasis and parenchymal architectural distortion [5, 59]. The mortality rate is very high within 6 months after presentation [60].

Acute exacerbation of ILD is an acute clinical deterioration in patient with a known (or not) ILD, without a clear reason demonstrable at HRCT such as pulmonary embolism, edema, infection, or left heart failure [61–63]. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and other ILD can cause these HRCT patterns characterized by diffuse GGO in both lungs on a background of pre-existing areas of pulmonary fibrosis (Fig. 6). Sometimes, an acute exacerbation coexists with super-infection, and it is often associated with poor prognosis and higher mortality rate (between 50 and 90% in patients admitted to intensive-care units) [64, 65]. Also, COVID-19 infection can cause DAD/ARDS, especially in patients with risk factors such as elder age, chronic cardiac-pulmonary diseases, diabetes, and obesity [8, 16]. There are no unique data on how often COVID-19 lung damage develops into ARDS, but, if it does, it greatly increases the risk of in-hospital mortality [19, 23, 30]. Usually, ARDS in COVID-19 lung disease develop rapidly within the first 10 days of infection and CT imaging is similar to ARDS from intrapulmonary causes described above. Differential diagnosis could be tricky.
and, if clinical history and laboratory analysis are not sufficient, BAL or lung biopsy may be required.

**Patients with subtle symptoms or asymptomatic**

Several diseases result in GGO in the setting of chronic clinical symptoms (from weeks to months). The spectrum of pathologies is wide and radiological evaluation is based also on the presence of typical parenchymal signs of chronic disease (such as fibrosis and architectural distortion). Moreover, radiologists have to know the duration of symptoms, the smoking history, and other distinguishing clinical features. HRCT gives an advantage in identifying signs of fibrosis and honeycombing, which reveals a chronic process within the lung. The most frequently seen of these pathologies are fibrosing diseases, such as usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP), hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP), and respiratory bronchiolitis ILD (RB-ILD). Moreover, other entities have to be remembered, especially organizing pneumonia (OP), lung involvement in drug toxicities, alveolar proteinosis, and aspiration pneumonia. Neoplastic pathology and pulmonary involvement in sarcoidosis should also not be forgotten.

**Interstitial lung diseases**

HRCT findings in ILD may overlap with COVID-19 pneumonia, especially in its subacute-chronic phase. One of the most frequently ILD with diffuse GGO is non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP): together with desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP), they both have peripheral GGO in the lower lobes and lack of honeycombing [66, 67]. DIP is included in the group of smoking-related ILD, together with respiratory bronchiolitis-related ILD (RB-ILD), and may manifest also with mild cystic changes and irregular linear opacities [68]. NSIP occurs more commonly in association with connective-tissue disorders and other HRCT findings are traction bronchiectasis and fine basal reticulation with a typical subpleural sparing (differently from COVID-19 pneumonia) [22, 69]. Instead, a distinctive characteristic of usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) pattern is the absence of GGO, except in case of an acute exacerbation, as mentioned before (Fig. 7).

Other entities that may present with GGO are lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia (LIP) and interstitial lung abnormalities (ILA). In the first case, there are typical GGOs with diffuse distribution, together with cystic airspaces, lymphadenopathy, and centrilobular nodules [70]. ILA are nowadays increasingly recognized and defined as non-specific interstitial abnormalities involving more than 5% of any lung zone [71]. Several CT patterns have been described as ILA, including GGO with or without interstitial reticulation, centrilobular nodularity, and traction bronchiectasis: it is important to recognize early fibrosing lung abnormalities, which are more likely to progress and to be associated with mortality [71].

One of the most common subacute-chronic lung diseases is hypersensitive pneumonitis (HP): especially in the non-fibrotic form, it could be mistaken with COVID-19 pneumonia because of its GGO distribution, but the presence of air-trapping and centrilobular GGO nodules both in the upper-middle lung zones are typical for non-fibrotic HP [72]. Air-trapping has a high specificity and sensitivity for HP, but it can be difficult to distinguish the normal increased lung attenuation in the expiration scan, with the diffuse increased attenuation due to GGO (tracheal evaluation has a crucial role in recognizing expiratory CT scan). In acute HP, HRCT may be normal or shows diffuse GGO; instead, traction bronchiectasis is typical for chronic HP [2]. Differently from the classification based on the time of symptoms’ onset, another more recently proposed classification system is based on pathology and distinguishes between fibrotic and non-fibrotic HP, specifying that the latter can itself evolve into a fibrotic form (Fig. 8) [73, 74].

Moreover, acute eosinophilic pneumonia is also characterized with bilateral GGO and consolidations with
interlobular septal thickening and peripheral distribution: unless in COVID-19, the predominance is in the upper–middle lobes and pleural effusion is commonly reported. Diagnosis of eosinophilic pneumonia is tricky, but a characteristic laboratory finding is alveolar and blood eosinophilia [75].

Drug toxicity and OP

Drug toxicities involving the lung are quite common and often misdiagnosed; clinical manifestations can be variable from an acute form to mild-symptomatic lung disease. Radiologic features and symptoms differ according to the specific
histopathologic pattern of affection [69, 76]. In particular, two manifestations that could imitate COVID-19 pneumonia are DAD (in the acute form), HP pattern, and organizing pneumonia (OP) pattern. Some drugs can manifest their toxicity with interstitial pneumonia and differential diagnosis with viral infection could be tricky. OP could be cryptogenic or caused also by infective or other non-infective diseases (post-radiotherapy, vasculitis, collagen, and interstitial lung diseases) [77]. On HRCT, OP shows multifocal GGO and consolidations, usually with a broncho-vascular distribution: reversed halo sign (called also “atoll sign”) is typical, even if it is present in only 20% of cases (Fig. 9) [22, 76]. In COVID-19 pneumonia, reversed halo sign is not a typical feature and it may occur later in disease progression.

Alveolar proteinosis

Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) has some HRCT findings very similar to COVID-19 pneumonia, especially the presence of diffuse and bilateral GGO, crazy-paving appearance, and patchy consolidations. In PAP, one-third of patients are asymptomatic and in 90% of cases is an idiopathic form [78, 79]. Of course, increasing opacities and acute respiratory symptoms reveal an infectious disease in progression. Since PAP is caused by an abnormal intra-alveolar accumulation of surfactant lipo-proteinaceous material, BAL has both diagnostic and therapeutic role. Moreover, serum anti-GM-CSF (granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor) antibody is useful for diagnosis of autoimmune PAP.

Lipoid pneumonia and aspiration diseases

Both lipoid and aspiration pneumonia mostly involve lower posterior lobes and typically manifest with GGO and consolidations; sometimes, there is a super-imposed crazy-paving pattern and consolidations with adipose density (< − 40 HU), especially in case of lipidic accumulation (Fig. 10) [80–82]. In fact, exogenous lipoid pneumonia is caused by the aspiration/inhalation of animal fat or mineral oils (for examples in food, radiographic contrast media, or oil-based medications such as oils for constipation) over an extended period. Symptoms are usually non-specific (dyspnea or cough), and sometimes, lung alterations are detected incidentally [22, 83]. The knowledge of patients’ clinical conditions, pre-existing swallowing disorders or dementia, is fundamental in the differential diagnosis.

Fig. 9 Drug toxicity and organizing pneumonia. a shows OP pattern after radiation therapy in breast cancer. b is another OP caused by immunotherapy (Nivolumab) in patient with renal cancer. c is a case of COP, with diffuse reversed halo signs. d is a case of interstitial pneumonia after immunotherapy (Pembrolizumab) for right lung cancer and e is another case of OP with atoll sign. OP organizing pneumonia, COP cryptogenetic organizing pneumonia
Cancer

In COVID-19, pneumonia is described a typical appearance of GGO called “rounded” and, especially if it is a single lesion, neoplastic process should also be considered [22, 84, 85]. Various neoplastic lesions have variable imaging features: in fact, both pre-invasive lesions (for example adenocarcinoma in situ), early- and multifocal adenocarcinoma could manifest as pure GGO nodule, GGO with consolidation, or a solid nodule surrounded by GGO (CT halo sign) [86]. Also, primary pulmonary lymphoma or secondary lesions from adenocarcinoma may manifest as GGO nodules or halo sign (Fig. 11) [87]. CT halo sign could be a manifestation of lepidic tumor cell growth along the alveolar wall in adenocarcinoma, peritumoral hemorrhage, or lymphangitic spread (if associated with interlobular septal thickening). Ancillary signs usually coexist, such as pleural effusion or hilar-mediastinal lymphadenopathies.

Sarcoidosis

COVID-19 pneumonia may mimic pulmonary sarcoidosis with atypical HRCT features. In fact, extensive areas of GGO are not common in lung sarcoidosis, but they may be the expression of diffuse coalescent granulomatous micronodules (Fig. 12) [88, 89]. Rarely sarcoidosis may appear as multiple large GGO alterations [4]. The presence of peri-lymphatic nodules with upper lobe predominance and/or mediastinal lymphadenomegaly is suggestive of sarcoidosis and are not typical for COVID-19 pneumonia.

Fig. 10 Aspiration pneumonia. The two cases in a, b show similar GGO areas in lower left lobe, with a super-imposed crazy-paving pattern: the first case a is a chronic aspiration pneumonia; instead, b is an example of exogenous lipoid pneumonia in a young patient with chronic inhalation of oily drugs for constipation (confirmed with lung biopsy). The third case in c is another example of lipoid pneumonia in elderly, with a rounded consolidation in the right upper lobe and diffuse GGO with crazy-paving in the lower posterior lobes (please note also the dilated esophagus).

Fig. 11 Cancer. a A lung cancer in the upper right lobe surrounded by GGO halo sign. b An adenocarcinoma with lepidic growth that manifest as a pure GGO nodule. c An example of diffuse bronchial MALT with bilateral and broncho-vascular involvement. MALT mucose-associated lymphoid tissue.
Conclusions

HRCT is an important diagnostic tool in the management of COVID-19-related pneumonia, with high sensitivity but low specificity. In fact, a broad spectrum of pulmonary parenchymal diseases presents with GGO and may mimic COVID-19 pneumonia. However, ancillary imaging findings and a clinical assessment of the patient, as well as the evaluation of symptom onset, are essential in early diagnosis. Especially, in this pandemic period, a multidisciplinary assessment of the patient is extremely effective in avoiding unnecessary hospitalization or reducing the risk of infection and quarantine, even among health care workers.
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