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Co-Solvent Controllable Engineering of MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ Lead–Tin Mixed Perovskites for Inverted Perovskite Solar Cells with Improved Stability
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Abstract: Use of a lead–tin mixed perovskite is generally considered an effective method to broaden the absorption wavelength of perovskite thin films. However, the preparation of lead–tin mixed perovskites is a major challenge due to the multivalent state of tin and stability in the atmosphere. This study attempted to replace the organic cation and metal elements of perovskites with a relatively thermal stable formamidinium (FA$^+$) and a more environmentally friendly tin element. MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ lead–tin mixed perovskite thin films were prepared with the one-step spin-coating method. By adjusting the dimethylformamide (DMF):dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) concentration ratio of the lead–tin mixed perovskite precursor solution, the surface morphologies, crystallinity, and light-absorbing properties of the films were changed during synthesis to optimize the lead–tin mixed perovskite films as a light-absorbing layer of the inverted perovskite solar cells. The quality of the prepared lead–tin mixed perovskite film was the highest when the ratio of DMF:DMSO = 1:4. The power-conversion efficiency of the perovskite solar cell prepared with the film was 8.05%.
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1. Introduction

Hybrid organic–inorganic perovskites ABX$_3$ (A = MA$^+$ (CH$_3$NH$_3$), FA$^+$ (CH(NH)$_2$)$_2$; B = Pb, Sn; X = I, Br, Cl) have attracted considerable attention as promising semiconductor materials due to their excellent photovoltaic properties [1–3]. High optical absorption coefficients, long carrier diffusion lengths, easy preparation, low cost, and high efficiency are the advantages of hybrid organic–inorganic perovskite materials. In order to achieve high power-conversion efficiency (PCE) in perovskite solar cells, scholars from various circles have made considerable efforts to improve the device structure and optimize the perovskite layer [4–6]. In the last few years, the power-conversion efficiency of organic–inorganic hybrid perovskites has increased from 3.81% to 25.2% [7–10]. However, to realize the industrial application of perovskite solar cells, there are still many problems, such as the research and development of non-toxic materials. The metal Pb element in current high-efficiency perovskite solar-cell light-absorbing materials is one of the chemicals that seriously endanger human life and the natural environment. Pb-based perovskite solar cells have poor thermal stability and chemical stability, and metal Pb in the film is easily released, polluting the environment [11–13]. Therefore, another feature of perovskite material is that it can easily regulate the constituent elements of the material, which is very conducive to using non-toxic or low-toxic elements to replace metal Pb for environmentally friendly purposes.
An appropriate optical band gap and absorption wavelength are important for perovskite light-absorbing materials, which not only restrict the photon-utilization efficiency of perovskite materials but also determine whether a material can be applied to solar cells. The adjustment of perovskite composition gives the material more variability and wide applications. Ion hybrid systems have become an important principle in the structural design of perovskite solar cells. In order to obtain high-efficiency lead-free or low-lead perovskite solar cells, in addition to controlling the band gap of the perovskite material by adjusting the proportion of halogen atoms, the band gap can also be effectively controlled by adjusting the proportion of metal ions. Research on lead-free perovskite solar cells has increased in recent years, and many studies have found alternative elements to lead, such as tin (Sn), germanium (Ge), and copper (Cu). Sn has been proven to completely or partially replace metal Pb in perovskite solar cells [14–17]. The absorption spectrum of Sn-based perovskite materials has a significant red shift compared to Pb-based perovskite materials. The absorption cut-off wavelength was extended from 800 to about 950 nm, and the optical band gap was reduced from 1.45 to about 1.21 eV. The reason for this phenomenon is that the size of the optical band gap mainly depends on the lattice constant of the material. Due to the different atomic radii of Sn\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\), lattice parameters differ greatly, and the optical band gap also changes accordingly, causing the absorption spectrum to be a red-shift phenomenon [18]. When Pb is replaced by Sn, the triplet of Sn-based perovskite is reduced by spin-orbit coupling under the excitation of sunlight, which is beneficial to reduce the recombination of electron-hole pairs, thereby increasing the photocurrent in perovskite solar cells [19]. In addition to the lattice parameters, process parameters also have a great impact on the absorption properties of perovskite materials. Excessive heat-treatment temperature leads to the decomposition of the perovskite material and ultimately affects its light-absorption performance [20].

At present, the maximal PCE of Sn-based perovskite devices has reached 9% [14], far ahead of other lead-free materials (Ge, Bi, Sb, Cu, etc.), but at the same time, far behind Pb-based analogs that have a 25.2% PCE [10]. The main problem of replacing Pb with Sn is that it is unstable in terms of a chemical low-valence state (Sn\(^{4+}\) is more stable than Sn\(^{2+}\)), and doping metal Pb to inhibit the oxidation of Sn\(^{2+}\) to Sn\(^{4+}\) can effectively improve stability [21] and change the band gap of hybrid perovskite materials [15]. Zhu et al. [15] optimized the structure of the device by simply adjusting the proportion of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in the mixed solvent, increasing the PCE of the MAPb\(_{0.75}\)Sn\(_{0.25}\)I\(_3\) perovskite solar cell to 15.2%. When Cs was incorporated into the perovskite film [22], the PCE of the prepared FA\(_{0.8}\)Cs\(_{0.2}\)Pb\(_{0.75}\)Sn\(_{0.25}\)I\(_3\) and MA\(_{0.9}\)Cs\(_{0.1}\)Pb\(_{0.75}\)Sn\(_{0.25}\)I\(_3\) cells could be improved to 14.5–14.6%. However, there was a problem because the reaction between SnI\(_2\) and MAI (CH\(_3\)NH\(_3\)I) is faster than with PbI\(_2\), which makes the morphology of the material more difficult to control [23]. Moreover, it has a high solubility in polar solvents such as isopropanol, which makes the preparation of the two-step solution method more difficult [24]. Since the perovskite precursor uses different solvents to have a great influence on the morphology and crystallinity of the film formed, the morphology and crystallinity of the perovskite film is the key to restrict the separation and transmission of photo-generated carriers in perovskite materials.

In order to improve the photovoltaic performance of the device, this study introduces a controlled ratio of a mixed dimethylformamide (DMF)/DMSO solvent, and an FA\(^+\) cation was used to replace part of the MA\(^+\) cation to prepare MA\(_{0.5}\)FA\(_{0.5}\)Pb\(_{0.8}\)Sn\(_{0.2}\)I\(_3\) lead–tin mixed perovskites, combined with the corresponding characterization to further study the effect of solvent on the film formation, crystallinity, and microstructure control of lead–tin mixed perovskites. In this work, we also employed different starting materials, FAI and SnF\(_2\), except for MAI, PbI\(_2\), DMF, DMSO, and toluene. The SnF\(_2\) is used to suppress the oxidation of Sn.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

MAI (99.999%) and FAI ((CH(NH)2)2)I (99.999%) were purchased from Lumtec Co. PbI2 (99.999%) and SnI2 (99.999%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. DMF, DMSO, and toluene (99.99%) were purchased from Echo Chemical. Tin(II) fluoride (SnF2) (99%), PEDOT:PSS (Heraeus® Clevios™ P VP Al 4083), C60 (Fullerene) (99.9%), and 2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline (BCP) (96%) were purchased from Uni-Onward. Indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass (substrate, sheet resistance 7 Ω sq⁻¹) was purchased from RuiLong.

2.2. Fabrication of MA0.5FA0.5Pb0.8Sn0.2I3 Lead–Tin Mixed Perovskite Films

To prepare the MA0.5FA0.5Pb0.8Sn0.2I3 lead–tin mixed perovskite precursor solutions, MAI (0.7 M), FAI (0.7 M), PbI2 (1.12 M), SnI2 (0.28 M), and 10 mol % of SnF2 were dissolved in 1 mL of DMF/DMSO mixed solvent. Among them, the use of SnF2 relieves the Sn oxidation, improving the material stability and film formation quality [25,26]. Excessive SnF2 will cause phase separation on the surface of the perovskite film, thereby reducing device performance [27]. We maintained the same concentration of substances, merely changing the solvent ratio of DMF and DMSO. The DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios in this study were 4:1, 3:2, 2:3, 1:4, and 0:5, respectively. Finally, the precursor solution was stirred at 80 °C for more than 1 h in a glove box to obtain a perovskite precursor solution with a concentration of 1.4 molar. The 70 μL MA0.5FA0.5Pb0.8Sn0.2I3 lead–tin mixed perovskite active layer was deposited by two-step continuous spin coating at 1000 and 5000 rpm for 10 and 40 s, respectively. Then, 300 μL of toluene was slowly dripped in situ onto the substrate when the second stage had 20 s remaining, and then annealed at 100 °C for 10 min.

Figure 1 shows the photographs of lead–tin mixed perovskite films with different DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios. During film formation, as the amount of DMSO in the mixed solvent increased, the MA0.5FA0.5Pb0.8Sn0.2I3 lead–tin mixed perovskite film gradually changed from black to brown. By reducing the amount of DMSO in the mixed solvent, the reaction from the precursors to the perovskites was faster due to the weaker bonding capacity and greater volatility of DMF. Results showed that, in the case of DMF/DMSO = 4:1, the film became black. DMSO could, therefore, be used to control the crystallization of MA0.5FA0.5Pb0.8Sn0.2I3 perovskites to determine film formation.

![Figure 1. Photographs of lead–tin mixed perovskite films containing different dimethylformamide (DMF)/dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) mixed solvent ratios.](image_url)

2.3. Fabrication of Perovskite Solar Cells

The ITO-coated glass substrates were washed ultrasonically in acetone, ethanol, and isopropanol for 20 min each, sequentially, with ultraviolet–ozone treatment for 15 min to improve the hydrophilicity of the ITO-coated glass substrates. The PEDOT:PSS solution was spin-coated on the cleaned ITO-coated glass as the hole transport layer at 5000 rpm for 30 s, and then annealed at 120 °C for 10 min under ambient conditions. Afterward, the perovskite precursor solutions of MA0.5FA0.5Pb0.8Sn0.2I3 with different DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios (4:1, 3:2, 2:3, 1:4, and 0:5) were deposited on the PEDOT:PSS layer-coated ITO substrates, respectively. All of these preparations were done in a glove box. A 20-nm-thick C60 electron-transporter layer and 8-nm-thick BCP were sequentially deposited by thermal evaporation. Finally, a 100 nm-thick Ag electrode was deposited at a rate of 0.2 to 0.3
nm/s under a vacuum pressure of $4.8 \times 10^{-5}$ torr. The BCP was used as a buffer layer for the Ag contact. Afterward, all devices were encapsulated in an N$_2$-filled glove box by sealing the active area to another piece of glass. The MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ lead–tin mixed perovskite solar-cell configurations and cross-sectional scanning-electron-microscopy (SEM) image could then be completed, as shown in Figure 2. It shows a dense compact perovskite film with a thickness of about 450 nm, which is advantageous for achieving high-performance perovskite solar cells.

![Figure 2. MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ lead–tin mixed perovskite solar cell (a) configurations and (b) cross-sectional SEM image.](image)

2.4. Characterization

The surface morphologies were observed by field-emission SEM (FESEM, ZEISS Sigma, ZEISS, Munich, Germany). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded using an X-ray diffractometer with CuK$_\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) radiation operated at 40 kV and 25 mA (X'Pert PRO MRD, PANalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands). The absorption spectra were measured using a UV/VIS/NIR spectrophotometer (UH-4150, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Photovoltaic current density (J)–voltage (V) measurements under one-sun air mass (AM) 1.5G simulation (1000 mW/cm$^2$ at 25 °C) were used to confirm the contribution of the spectral conversion layers. The solar simulator (XES-151S, San-Ei Electric Co., Ltd., Japan) was calibrated using a crystalline silicon reference cell (PVM-894, PV Measurements Inc., Boulder, CO, USA) certified by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) prior to the measurement of lead–tin mixed perovskite solar cell devices. External quantum efficiencies (EQE) were measured using a spectral response measurement system (QE-R3015, Enli Technology Co. Ltd., Taiwan), and a calibrated Si photodiode with a known spectral response was used as a reference.

3. Results and Discussion

The top-view SEM images in Figure 3a–e show the morphology differences of the MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ lead–tin mixed perovskite films based on different DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios. All samples showed perovskite crystals with different sizes and coverage areas. Therefore, the amount of DMSO in the solvent determined the quality of the perovskite film. When the amount of DMSO was low, the reaction rate of the precursor solution was fast, which caused the perovskite film to show pores and not be conducive to the superposition of subsequent electron-transport layers (Figure 3a). As the amount of DMSO increased, the reaction rate of the precursor solution was suppressed, and the quality of the perovskite film was improved. Perovskite film quality was best when the ratio of DMF:DMSO = 1:4 (Figure 3d). However, when the amount of DMSO was increased, the reaction of the precursor solution was too slow, which was not conducive for the formation of a dense perovskite film (Figure 3e). Therefore, when the ratio of the solvent DMF:DMSO = 1:4, compared with other morphologies of perovskite films, the grains in the perovskite film were smaller and had
fewer grain boundaries. This is because excess DMSO in the solvent dissolved the PbI$_2$ and SnI$_2$ molecular groups in the precursor to a smaller size, resulting in smaller crystal grains during film formation [15,28].

![Figure 3. SEM images of lead–tin mixed perovskite films with different DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios.](image)

Figure 4 shows the XRD patterns of MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ lead–tin mixed perovskite films with different DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios. Diffraction peaks at 14.01°, 19.84°, 24.37°, 28.23°, 31.62°, 40.35°, and 42.88° were assigned to (110), (112), (202), (220), (114), (224), and (314) of the MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskites, respectively. These peaks indicated that these films had a similar perovskite structure. When the ratio of the mixed solvent was DMF:DMSO = 1:4, the XRD peaks of the perovskite films on the (110) and (220) crystal planes were stronger and sharper than those of other solvents. This result was consistent with the SEM investigation, which proves that the crystallinity of the perovskite film is better when the solvent ratio is DMF:DMSO = 1:4. On the other hand, when the ratio of the mixed solvent was DMF:DMSO = 0:5, this showed that pure DMSO made the PbI$_2$ reaction incomplete [29], resulting in perovskite crystallinity reduction. In Figure 4, the XRD patterns show that the peak (114) is significantly diminished compared to other peaks when the concentration of DMF increases. The main role of DMSO in a DMF/DMSO mixed solvent is to interact with the precursor to form an intermediate of MAI/FAI-PbI$_2$/SnI$_2$-DMSO, and then to remove the DMSO by thermal annealing to form a perovskite crystal film. The formation of this intermediate can alleviate the reaction rate between PbI$_2$/SnI$_2$ and MAI/FAI and is more conducive to the formation of a dense and uniform perovskite film [30]. MAI/FAI will also replace the position of DMSO and react with SnI$_2$ and PbI$_2$. The DMSO-capped cluster can provide a large number of reaction sites between MAI/FAI and SnI$_2$/PbI$_2$. The relatively slower reaction rate leads to poor perovskite crystallinity and random orientation. Therefore, adding an appropriate amount of DMSO to the precursor solution not only reduces the film-forming reaction rate but also effectively improves the crystallinity of the lead–tin mixed perovskite.
In order to study the energy band in detail, the optical band gap of the lead–tin mixed perovskite film was estimated from the Tauc relation.

\[(ahv)^2 = A(hv - E_g),\]  
\[a = \frac{\ln(1/T)}{d},\]

where \(a\) is the absorption coefficient, \(E_g\) is the energy band gap, \(hv\) is the photon energy, \(A\) is a constant, \(d\) is the film thickness, and \(T\) is the transmittance. Figure 5 describes the variation between the \((ahv)^2\) and photon energy (eV) of MA\(_{0.5}\)FA\(_{0.5}\)Pb\(_{0.8}\)Sn\(_{0.2}\)I\(_3\) lead–tin mixed perovskite films with different DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios. As the DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratio changes from 4:1 to 0:5, it can be observed that the optical band gap of the perovskite films was significantly red-shifted; the optical band gap decreased from 1.39 eV to 1.37 eV, which was about 0.21–0.23 eV lower than the energy gap of MAPbI\(_3\) (~1.6 eV) [31,32]. This result shows that the optical band gap value of this MA\(_{0.5}\)FA\(_{0.5}\)Pb\(_{0.8}\)Sn\(_{0.2}\)I\(_3\) lead–tin mixed perovskite film was similar to that of a previous report [33]. We confirmed that the lead–tin mixed perovskite film does have the characteristic of reducing the energy gap and widening the absorption wavelength.

The current density–voltage (J–V) characteristics of MA\(_{0.5}\)FA\(_{0.5}\)Pb\(_{0.8}\)Sn\(_{0.2}\)I\(_3\) lead–tin mixed perovskite solar cells prepared by adjusting the mixed solvent ratio of DMF:DMSO = 4:1, 3:2, 2:3, 1:4, and 0:5 are shown in Figure 6a, and solar-cell parameters are summarized in Table 1. The short-circuit current density \((J_{sc})\), open circuit voltage \((V_{oc})\), fill factor (FF), and PCE of all perovskite solar cells, respectively, first increased with increasing DMSO in the mixed solvent, and then decreased with a further increase in the DMF:DMSO mixed solvent ratio, which reached the maximum at DMF:DMSO = 1:4 mixed solvent. The optimal mixed solvent ratio of DMF:DMSO was 1:4, and the device exhibited a PCE of 8.05% with a \(V_{oc}\) of 0.76 V, a \(J_{sc}\) of 20.18 mA cm\(^{-2}\), and an FF of 52.5%. As the DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratio changes from 4:1 to 1:4, except for the case of DMF:DMSO = 0:5, \(V_{oc}\) can be observed to increase significantly, especially under the condition of DMF:DMSO = 1:4; the largest \(V_{oc}\) was about 0.76 V, which can be attributed to the better quality and fewer grain boundaries of the lead–tin mixed perovskite film, as substantiated by the previously shown XRD and SEM results. Figure 6b shows the EQE spectra for the MA\(_{0.5}\)FA\(_{0.5}\)Pb\(_{0.8}\)Sn\(_{0.2}\)I\(_3\) lead–tin mixed perovskite solar cells, where the data show a high EQE value above 50% across a broad wavelength range from 450 to 850 nm.
with the photon spectral response extending to around 850 nm. The photocurrent densities integrated from EQE spectra match well with the $J_{sc}$ obtained in $J-V$ curves measured under a solar simulator, and the variation is within 3%.

![Graph showing optical band gaps calculated from UV-vis spectroscopy transmission data for lead–tin mixed perovskite films with different DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios.](image)

**Figure 5.** Optical band gaps calculated from UV-vis spectroscopy transmission data for lead–tin mixed perovskite films with different DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios.

**Table 1.** Performance parameters of perovskite solar cells based on DMF/DMSO mixed solvents with different ratios.

| Sample             | $V_{oc}$ (V) | $J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$) | FF (%) | PCE (%) |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|
| DMF:DMSO = 4:1     | 0.69         | 17.89                    | 44.1   | 5.45    |
| DMF:DMSO = 3:2     | 0.71         | 18.96                    | 49.0   | 6.59    |
| DMF:DMSO = 2:3     | 0.72         | 19.80                    | 50.3   | 7.21    |
| DMF:DMSO = 1:4     | 0.76         | 20.18                    | 52.5   | 8.05    |
| DMF:DMSO = 0:5     | 0.71         | 15.65                    | 43.9   | 4.85    |

UV–Vis absorption spectra of the $\text{MA}_{0.5}\text{FA}_{0.5}\text{Pb}_{0.8}\text{Sn}_{0.2}\text{I}_{3}$ lead–tin mixed perovskite films based on DMF/DMSO mixed solvent ratios are shown in Figure 6c. The absorption ranges of all samples fell close to 900 nm, which was about hundreds of nanometers wider compared to the absorption range of MAPbI$_3$ perovskite films in our previous research [34]. As the proportion of DMSO increased, film absorptance decreased to the lowest value in a wavelength range from 400 to 1000 nm, and then rose again. In the infrared-light wavelength range from 800 to 1000 nm, absorptance significantly improved. When the mixed solvent ratio was DMF:DMSO = 1:4, there was better absorptance in this wavelength range. It should be noted that the perovskite film prepared by using DMF:DMSO = 1:4 has more than 10% absorbance at the wavelength over 900 nm. It may be attributed to the localized exciton effect resulting from exciton impurity interaction and usually ascribed to the scattering of incident light due to rough perovskite morphology. To sum up, the experimental result of the material properties of the perovskite film with DMF:DMSO = 1:4 was the best, indicating the optimal ratio of the DMF/DMSO mixed solvents, and perovskite solar cells with DMF:DMSO = 1:4 displayed the best photovoltaic performance.
improved. When the mixed solvent ratio was DMF:DMSO = 1:4, there was better absorptance in this wavelength range. It should be noted that the perovskite film prepared by using DMF:DMSO = 1:4 has more than 10% absorbance at the wavelength over 900 nm. It may be attributed to the localized exciton effect resulting from exciton impurity interaction and usually ascribed to the scattering of incident light due to rough perovskite morphology.

To sum up, the experimental result of the material properties of the perovskite film with DMF:DMSO = 1:4 was the best, indicating the optimal ratio of the DMF/DMSO mixed solvents, and perovskite solar cells with DMF:DMSO = 1:4 displayed the best photovoltaic performance.

Figure 6. (a) Current density–voltage curves and (b) EQE spectra of MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskite solar cells; (c) UV–Vis absorption spectra of the MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskite films based on DMF/DMSO mixed solvents with different ratios compared to solar spectrum AM1.5G.

Figure 7 shows a comparison of the normalized efficiency of MAPb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ and MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskite solar cells as a function of storage time under air and nitrogen-filled glove box (H$_2$O and O$_2$ were both controlled to less than 1 ppm) environments. Under the air environment, the efficiency of the MAPb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskite solar cell decreased rapidly before the fifth day and remained at
about 10% of initial efficiency after the fifth day. In addition, the MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskite solar cell could maintain more than 60% of its original efficiency before the 10th day, and it began to decline after 15 days and approached the efficiency of the MAPb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskite solar cell. On the other hand, under a nitrogen environment, the efficiency of the MAPb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskite solar cell continued to decrease with time, and it had dropped below 40% of the initial efficiency on the 24th day. In particular, the MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ perovskite solar cell still maintained an initial efficiency of more than 80%. We concluded that the lead–tin mixed perovskite solar cells partially replaced by FA$^+$ organic cations were superior to all MA$^+$ organic cation solar cells in maintaining efficiency, which confirmed that FA organic cations have a stable effect on maintaining the efficiency of lead–tin mixed perovskite solar cells [35]. Another factor may also be that under water-free and oxygen-free conditions, no obvious degradation would occur for the MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ device. For comparison, state-of-the-art research studies of FA$_{1-y}$MA$_y$Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$I$_3$ perovskites solar cell parameters are summarized in Table 2 [36–40]. These works are focused on electron transport layer (ETL) or hole transport layer (HTL) engineering. However, in this work, we focused on the active layer to improve the reliability of devices by using SnF$_2$ and DMF/DMSO as starting materials.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Device performance as a function of storage time in ambient air and nitrogen environments.

**Table 2.** Performance summary for inverted solar cells based on FA$_{1-y}$MA$_y$Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$I$_3$ perovskites.

| Perovskite | Bandgap | Voc (V) | Jsc (mA cm$^{-2}$) | FF (%) | PCE (%) | Ref. | Remark        |
|------------|---------|---------|-------------------|--------|---------|------|---------------|
| FA$_{0.5}$MA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ | 1.25  | 0.75   | 30.56             | 76     | 17.07   | [36] | Spike structure ETL |
| FA$_{0.5}$MA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ | 1.26  | 0.78   | 23.8              | 72.8   | 13.4    | [37] | PTAA HTL        |
| FA$_{0.5}$MA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ | 1.25  | 0.85   | 27.9              | 74.0   | 17.55   | [38] | Ultrathin BHJ structure HTL |
| FA$_{0.5}$MA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ | 1.25  | 0.78   | 27.22             | 74.4   | 15.85   | [39] | PF-PEDOT:PSS HTL |
| FA$_{0.5}$MA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ | 1.33  | 0.74   | 27.07             | 73.4   | 14.65   | [40] | HQ-PEDOT:PSS HTL |
| FA$_{0.5}$MA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ | 1.37  | 0.76   | 20.2              | 52.5   | 8.05    | This work | Active layer |

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, FAI and SnF$_2$ were employed as starting materials, except for MAI, PbI$_2$, DMF, DMSO, and toluene. We successfully prepared MA$_{0.5}$FA$_{0.5}$Pb$_{0.8}$Sn$_{0.2}$I$_3$ lead–tin mixed perovskite films with different surface morphologies and material characteristics by using different ratios of DMF/DMSO mixed solvents. It was proven that when the DMF:DMSO mixed solvent ratio was 1:4, the quality and crystallinity of the perovskite film and the optical properties of the perovskite active layer were the best. In addition, the stability of device efficiency showed that the addition of an FA$^+$
organic cation could improve the efficiency of lead–tin mixed perovskite solar cells in an air or nitrogen environment. The maximal PCE of the best-performing perovskite solar cell based on a DMF:DMSO = 1:4 mixed solvent ratio in this study was 8.05%.
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