Analysis of long-term anatomic results of radical mastoidectomy
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Abstract. A long-term, retrospective, non-controlled study was performed on the drainage results of mastoidectomy (both radical and modified radical) and the relevant statistical factors that could influence the anatomic outcome were defined. The present study took into consideration the same cohort of 200 patients we have communicated with before in our previous studies concerning the long-term functional results of mastoidectomy and long-term results of ossicular replacement with biovitroceramic prosthesis. The patients were clinically followed for the same period of 8.12 years. The drainage (anatomic) results, similar to previously published functional results, were defined by analytical function of the severity and the period of evolution of disease. The main goal was to define the situations and factors (presence of complications, type of disease, type of tympanic perforation or status of ossicular chain) that influenced the drainage results that could provide us with some type of anatomical prognosis. The follow-up started at the moment of complete epithelization for each cavity as time represents the main study comparison criteria. Drainage failure was assessed by the number of otorrhea episodes. It was concluded that practically and ideally, a maximum of 84% of the mastoid and petrous cells can be cleaned out. The results of 78% drainage success are congruent to this theory. The remaining 16% of cells may contain irreversible lesions.

Introduction

Radical mastoidectomy has been performed as early as the 16th century and its main purpose is to eradicate chronic otitis media or cholesteatoma and create anatomical conditions to prevent reoccurrence (1). By anatomic (drainage) results, it is understood that removing lesions, maintaining unobstructed drainage and reducing complications both intra and extracranial is required to obtain a reliable, safe and disease-free middle-ear (2,3). The usual surgical approach is represented by canal wall-up (CWU) and the canal wall-down (CWD) mastoidectomies. Intact canal wall procedures, such as CWU, are known to be associated with high risk of recurrence and residual inflammatory lesions (4), but at the same time they preserve much of the anatomy, while radical and radical modified mastoidectomy techniques, such as CWD, allow for good visualization of structures and consequently proportional good chances of complete removal of lesions (5). It is however certain that while pursuing anatomic results (complete drainage and cavity cleanliness), the functional results are occasionally poor (hearing is destroyed or at least gravely impaired) as we have stated in our previous two studies involving the same cohort of patients (6,7). We found that recreating the continuity of the ossicular chain (OC) is paramount both for functional and anatomical results in middle ear surgery (8,9), but useless without a well-performed mastoidectomy. These aspects should not be taken lightly since hearing loss represents one of the most serious afflictions worldwide (World Health Organization estimates that between 65 and 330 million individuals suffer from some form of middle ear suppuration and 50% of them suffer from hearing impairment) (10). Other environmental and clinical risk factors cannot be ruled out and the clinician must always bear in mind that a situation where genetic predisposition is augmented by environmental factors is common and even more complicated (10).

Thus, the aim of the present study was to determine to what extent can a completely and permanently ‘dry ear’ be expected and identify the potential parameters that most influence the drainage results.
Patients and methods

Patient selection. The same cohort of patients used in our previous studies on the long-term functional results of mastoidectomy and long-term results of ossicular replacement with biovitroceramic prosthesis (6,7) were used in the present study. We find it important to be able to compare functional, anatomical and reconstructive results for the same patients, using the same surgical techniques and communicate long-term data. This retrospective non-controlled study took into consideration the same random selection of 200 long-term patients with both radical CWD and modified radical mastoidectomy (MRM), performed over a 3-year period. The techniques for both types of surgery are well-known and described in literature (5,11,12). The initial cohort was comprised of 209 patients, of which nine died (eight of causes non-related to middle-ear disease, one directly related to middle-ear disease after otogenic brain abscess). The basic statistical criteria for the selection were post-operative time span. Data analysis began in 2004, giving a post-operative follow-up period of 8.12 years for the entire cohort from the moment of surgery, and 7.86 years from the time of complete epithelization of cavity, which allows us to consider it as long-term evaluation. All patients were clinically evaluated (microscope otoscopy), both before the surgery and after the surgery as follows: i) Monthly for the first 6 months post-operative; ii) every 2 months for the next 6 months (up to 1 year, corresponding to the moment of complete epithelization of cavity for >80% of cases); iii) every 6 months in the 2nd year; iv) every 8 months for the 3rd and 4th year post-op; and v) every 12-16 months for the 5th year post-op and also at any other occurrent otorhea episode. The follow-up of drainage results started from the moment of complete epithelization of cavity for each patient (Figs. 1 and 2).

It is also noteworthy to point out the shift in the age group distribution of patients that follows the long-term study of a cohort (Fig. 3). Age was defined at the time of surgery as: Physical time, duration of evolution, aging (progressive degradation of function at different rates), an unstoppable increase in damaged cells proportion, increase of degree or intensity of disease, rise of hearing thresholds—all of these being included in a formalized model (physical-mathematical model).

The distribution of sex and environment is presented in absolute frequencies in Fig. 4.

Paraclinical diagnosis. Radiological examination was also performed for all patients (bilateral X-ray in Schuller's view) with high degree of sensitivity and specificity for mastoid diagnosis. A total of 55% (n=31) of the cholesteatoma cases and 61% (n=28) of previously operated ears could be correctly diagnosed (Fig. 5).

The main goal of the present study was to define the situations and factors that influence the drainage results of mastoidectomy that could provide us with some type of anatomical prognosis. Based on the selection criteria of the studied group and by performing statistical analysis of the correlation of anatomic results and statistically significant variables, pertinent conclusions could be formulated regarding the drainage success rate of mastoidectomy.

Statistical analysis. Although largely clinical, this study also included some statistical analysis, including creating Microsoft Excel databases and attributing codes to facilitate data analysis using Excel (Microsoft Corporation) and SPSS v15 (SPSS, Inc.). Factors such as age of patient, presence of cholesteatoma, stage of disease etc., were associated with the functional results of mastoidectomy. Data were synthesized as percentage, mean values and standard deviation. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Parametric (unpaired Student's t-test) or non-parametric (Mann-Whitney) tests were also applied.

Studied variables

Pre-surgery variables. Pre-surgery variables were as follows: i) Age group, 0-10 years (n=1), 11-20 years (n=4), 21-30 years (n=56), 31-40 years (n=45), 41-50 years (n=49), 51-60 years (n=27), 61-70 years (n=15) or 71-80 years (n=3); ii) clinical stage of disease, complicated (n=45) or not complicated (n=155); iii) type of disease, cholesteatoma (n=56) or non-cholesteatoma (n=144); and iv) type of tympanic membrane perforation, marginal (n=75) or central (n=125).

Intraoperative data. Intraoperative data variables were as follows: i) Type of mastoidectomy, modified radical (n=125)
or radical (n=75); ii) OC status, absent (n=13), complete and mobile (n=27), complete and fixed (n=25), or interrupted (n=135); and iii) total lesion score, 0‑19 (n=170), 20‑39 (n=13), 40‑59 (n=5), 60‑79 (n=5), 80‑99 (n=4), 100‑119 (n=2) or 140‑159 (n=1).

Follow‑up data. Follow‑up data variables were as follows: i) Period for complete epithelization of cavity, 2 months, 1 year, 2 years, 3‑4 years or 8 years; ii) cavity self‑cleansing, present or absent; and iii) mastoidectomy result (drainage effect), failure or success.

Results and Discussion

Successful mastoidectomy was defined in the present study as the total absence of otorrhea episodes after total epithelization
Table I. Absolute and relative frequencies of complications.

| Type of complication                                      | Number of patients\(^a\) | Relative frequency, % |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| MCF internal cortical dehiscence                          | 39                        | 20.0                  |
| Vertigo\(^b\)                                             | 37                        | 18.5                  |
| Fallopian canal dehiscence                                | 24                        | 12.0                  |
| Deafness\(^b\)                                           | 22                        | 11.0                  |
| Macroscopic labyrinth lesion                              | 17                        | 8.5                   |
| Sigmoid cortical dehiscence                               | 15                        | 7.5                   |
| PCF internal cortical dehiscence                           | 14                        | 7.0                   |
| Fungal pachymeningitis                                    | 14                        | 7.0                   |
| Facial paralysis\(^b\)                                    | 11                        | 5.5                   |
| Exteriorization of suppurative process\(^b\)              | 11                        | 5.5                   |
| Lateral semicircular canal fistula                         | 11                        | 5.5                   |
| Sudden hearing loss\(^b\)                                 | 8                         | 4.0                   |
| Macrosopic facial nerve lesion                             | 7                         | 3.5                   |
| Sigmoid sinus phlebitis                                   | 6                         | 3.0                   |
| Labyrinthine fistula                                      | 6                         | 3.0                   |
| Posterior semicircular canal fistula                       | 5                         | 2.5                   |
| Extradural abscess PCF                                    | 3                         | 1.5                   |
| Extradural abscess MCF                                     | 2                         | 1.0                   |
| Acute meningitis                                           | 1                         | 0.5                   |
| Mandibular fossa abscess (extra-articular)                 | 1                         | 0.5                   |
| Cerebellum abscess                                         | 1                         | 0.5                   |

\(^a\)Absolute frequency; \(^b\)Clinical diagnosis. MCF, medial cranial fossa; PCF, posterior cranial fossa.

Table II. Lesion scores for complications.

| Intra-operative lesion                                      | Attributed score | Ordinal of scores |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Cerebellum abscess                                        | 20               | 1                 |
| Cerebral abscess                                          | 20               | 1                 |
| Sigmoid sinus thrombophlebitis                            | 15               | 2                 |
| Extradural abscess MCF                                     | 10               | 3                 |
| Extradural abscess PCF                                     | 10               | 3                 |
| Acute meningitis                                           | 10               | 3                 |
| Sigmoid sinus periphlebitis                               | 10               | 3                 |
| Total lysis of stapes footplate                            | 9                | 4                 |
| Lateral semicircular canal fistula                         | 9                | 4                 |
| Posterior semicircular canal fistula                       | 9                | 4                 |
| Labyrinthine fistula                                      | 9                | 4                 |
| Macroscopic labyrinth lesion                               | 9                | 4                 |
| Fungal pachymeningitis                                    | 9                | 4                 |
| Mandibular fossa abscess (extra-articular)                 | 8                | 5                 |
| MCF internal cortical dehiscence                           | 8                | 5                 |
| PCF internal cortical dehiscence                           | 8                | 5                 |
| Sigmoid cortical dehiscence                                | 8                | 5                 |
| Exteriorization of suppurative process\(^a\)               | 8                | 5                 |
| Fallopian canal dehiscence                                 | 7                | 6                 |
| Macroscopic facial nerve lesion                             | 7                | 6                 |
| Facial paralysis\(^a\)                                     | 7                | 6                 |

\(^a\)Clinical diagnosis. MCF, medial cranial fossa; PCF, posterior cranial fossa.
of cavity and by failure of the presence of at least one such episode. According to this definition the global drainage results of mastoidectomy could be appreciated in this study. What remained to be determined was to what extent could a completely and permanently ‘dry ear’ be expected, and to identify the potential parameters that most influenced the outcome.

Fig. 6 shows the distribution of patients associated with the type of disease and presence of complications. A total of 23% of patients (n=45) presented with complications, but it was observed that cholesteatoma cases had a 43% rate of complications, whilst non-cholesteatoma cases had only 16%.

Complications of disease have predictive clinical significance, which can be hierarchized (Table I). For obtaining an exact quantitative lesion profile (including all other lesions except those of the middle-ear mucosa, protympanum, tympanic cavity, aditus to mastoid antrum, mastoid bone and petrous apex), points were assigned (directly proportional to presumed gravity) for each type of lesion (Table II). Vertigo for instance was 3.36 times more significant than facial paralysis and exteriorization and 4.56 times less significant than sudden hearing loss (Tables I and II). It was considered most surprising that the proportion of middle cranial fossa dehiscence was the largest, and equally surprising was the proportion of Fallopian canal dehiscence and its ratio to clinically documented facial paralysis. This meant that patients could be categorized by this lesion score, as seen in Fig. 7.

Another important parameter studied was the type of exteriorization of the suppurative mastoid disease. The relative frequency of these exteriorizations is presented in Fig. 8 and yielded noteworthy results. Gellé's fistula (collapse of the posterosuperior meatal wall) had the highest baring of 63% (n=7), followed by retroauricular fistula 27% (n=3), Bezold's abscess 9% (n=1).

It is of note that the lesions of middle-ear mucosa followed the frequency internal distribution law. By internal, it is meant that the structure of the distribution is identical to the topographic structure of middle-ear cavities. If these cavities are considered as a co-axial suite, it could be observed that the structure of absolute frequencies acted similarly to a non-linear orderly series with an inflexion corresponding to the barrier between the anterior and posterior half of the tympanic cavity (Fig. 9).

Distribution of patients according to the type and rank of surgery is presented in Fig. 10. The rank of surgery became significant when it was considered that the main study comparison criteria was time.

Fig. 11 presents the distribution of patients according to type of disease and type of tympanic membrane (TM).
perforation. The relative frequency of marginal perforation was 37.5% and that of cholesteatoma 28%. Fig. 12 shows the frequency distribution of marginal perforations with the highest frequency for posterosuperior quadrant and posterior part of Shrapnell’s membrane and the lowest for anterosuperior localization. Fig. 13 addresses non-marginal perforations with the highest frequency for subtotal perforations. The specific weights of posterior localization for both types of perforations were as follows: 76% for marginal and 88% for non-marginal. Fig. 14 represents an explanation for the localization codes from Figs. 12 and 13. The letter ‘m’ stands for marginal perforation, whilst ‘non’ stands for non-marginal. The numbers refer to the area covered by the perforation and define the specific quadrants of the pars tensa of the TM as well as the halves of the pars flaccida (Shrapnell’s membrane). As depicted, some large perforations cover several neighbouring areas.

The state of the OC, as documented intra-op is presented in Fig. 15. The specific behaviour for each component of the OC in rapport to the inflammatory status of the adjacent area was noted. Thus, the stapes reacts by partial lysis and fixation to the oval window, the malleus by total lysis, whilst the incus by osteolysis. The most resistant towards total osteolysis was the stapes, followed by the malleus. The incus was the most susceptible to this process. This type of differentiated behaviour means that the total absence of the OC (including stapes footplate) is relatively rare (7%), fixation of a complete OC in almost double the cases (13%), preserved complete and mobile OC in 14% and interrupted OC with the highest frequency of 68%. This last situation represents the characteristic response of the OC to chronic inflammatory disease of the middle-ear (Figs. 16-20).
As far as success goes, the objective of the surgery is to drain the inflammatory process and to create a new self-cleaning external ear, a cavity with uniform walls that does not allow cerumen deposits to form. Fig. 21 shows the global drainage results of mastoidectomy in absolute frequencies with a success rate of 77.5%. Since completely self-cleaning ears are rather rare even in healthy individuals, this objective was considered to be optional. It would however instore quality of life (QoL) in the sense that the patient could become independent from periodic visits to clinical settings for cerumen removal. If the functional status of the ear is also satisfactory it would bring total independence for the patient, which is the purpose of surgery. Paradoxically, self-cleaning was present in 11% of mastoidectomy failures (Fig. 22) where it would be expected to be completely absent. In the successful cases, there was an 86% self-cleaning rate, which was lower than expected. Overall, the global rate was 70%, which is probably the limit of our future expectations on the subject. It can therefore be stated that self-cleansing of a cavity is an intrinsic property of the cavity and is governed by unclear internal laws, such as normal keratosis and type of desquamation.

Failure of drainage requires further discussion since it was defined by the appearance of one otorrhea episode in 7.86 years (one every 94 months), but the appearance of 36 episodes (one every 3 months) also represented failure. In principle, both situations are failures, but the specific situation is different for each case, especially from the patient’s point of view. This meant that we had to find a way to differentiate failure and for this purpose an arbitrary scale was created to

### Marginal perforation localization code

| Marginal perforation code | Depiction of perforation types | Number of patients | Relative frequency | Order of relative frequencies |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| m-45                      | ![Image](image1.png)           | 20                 | 27%               | 1                           |
| m-2345                    | ![Image](image2.png)           | 11                 | 15%               | 2                           |
| m-345                     | ![Image](image3.png)           | 11                 | 15%               | 2                           |
| m-34                      | ![Image](image4.png)           | 8                  | 11%               | 3                           |
| m-4                       | ![Image](image5.png)           | 6                  | 8%                | 4                           |
| m-123456                  | ![Image](image6.png)           | 5                  | 7%                | 5                           |
| m-5                       | ![Image](image7.png)           | 4                  | 5%                | 6                           |
| m-1234                    | ![Image](image8.png)           | 3                  | 4%                | 7                           |
| m-12345                   | ![Image](image9.png)           | 2                  | 3%                | 8                           |
| m-14                      | ![Image](image10.png)          | 1                  | 1%                | 9                           |
| m-16                      | ![Image](image11.png)          | 1                  | 1%                | 9                           |
| m-23456                   | ![Image](image12.png)          | 1                  | 1%                | 9                           |
| m-3456                    | ![Image](image13.png)          | 1                  | 1%                | 9                           |
| m-456                     | ![Image](image14.png)          | 1                  | 1%                | 9                           |
| **Total**                 | ![Image](image15.png)          | **92**             | **100%**          |                             |

![Image](image16.png)

Figure 12. Absolute and relative frequencies of marginal tympanic membrane perforations.

### Non-marginal perforation localization code

| Non-marginal perforation localization code | Depiction of perforation types | Number of patients | Relative frequency | Order of relative frequencies |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| non-1234                                  | ![Image](image17.png)         | 38                 | 30%               | 1                           |
| non-23                                    | ![Image](image18.png)         | 35                 | 28%               | 2                           |
| non-34                                    | ![Image](image19.png)         | 25                 | 20%               | 3                           |
| non-4                                     | ![Image](image20.png)         | 12                 | 10%               | 4                           |
| non-23                                    | ![Image](image21.png)         | 5                  | 4%                | 5                           |
| non-2                                     | ![Image](image22.png)         | 4                  | 3%                | 6                           |
| non-1                                     | ![Image](image23.png)         | 2                  | 2%                | 7                           |
| non-12                                    | ![Image](image24.png)         | 2                  | 2%                | 7                           |
| non-123                                   | ![Image](image25.png)         | 1                  | 1%                | 8                           |
| non-3                                     | ![Image](image26.png)         | 1                  | 1%                | 8                           |
| **Total**                                  | ![Image](image27.png)         | **125**            | **100%**          |                             |

![Image](image28.png)

Figure 13. Absolute and relative frequencies of non-marginal tympanic membrane perforations.
quantify comfort/discomfort of patient related to the number of otorrhea episodes. Maximum discomfort (100% drainage failure) was considered as monthly otorrhea episodes for the entire 7.86 years (94 months = 94 episodes). This meant that the most notable failure, 36 episodes in 7.86 years (one episode every 3 months) corresponded to a 38% discomfort rate and the smallest failure of two episodes in 7.86 years (one every 48 months) to a 2% discomfort rate. Fig. 23 shows the success of drainage corresponding to each comfort/discomfort group in absolute frequencies. Out of 45 patients with drainage failure two had the highest discomfort score of 38% and most of them (n=15) had the lowest score of 2%. Fig. 24 presents the same scores for failure patients; it was found that 81% of them had a discomfort score of up to 10%.

Although in our previous articles concerning functional results of mastoidectomy for the same cohort of patients (11,12), we encountered several factors that influenced results, in the present study only three seemed to have the expected influence on drainage results, including type of disease, type of
perforation and type of surgery. Presence of cholesteatoma increased the rate of drainage success by 11%, marginal perforation by 7% and MRM by 4% (Figs. 25-27). By comparing the global rates of success and/or failure to variables proven to modify as expected the said rates, an influence of maximum 8% of cholesteatoma presence was defined. Statistically the value was significant, but a definitive conclusion cannot be stated in the present study. Some of the variables, such as presence of complications, clinical stage and total lesion score, yielded paradoxical results and did not allow us to draw an applicable conclusion concerning the involvement of these factors in the evolution of long-term drainage results (Figs. 28-30).

The most useful conclusion came from the distribution of middle-ear mucosa lesions (Fig. 9). If the series of data are concatenated from the chart, we obtain 10% for lesions localized anterior and 90% posterior to a frontal conventionally drawn geometric plan running through the middle of the tympanic cavity, dividing the axial and coaxial spaces of the ME (by ME we understand the sum of protympanum and the cells around it, tympanic cavity with corresponding cells, aditus and antrum with peri-adital cells, mastoid antrum with peri-antral cells) into two segments-anterior and posterior to the defined geometric plan. The concatenation involved calculating the mean value and adjusting it to 100% of the newly obtained structure. By comparing these empirical series to the theoretic series of endo-temporal cavity distribution with variable geometry of the ME similar structures were obtained: i) Peri-protympanum, 7%; ii) peri-tympanic cavity, 18%; iii) peri-aditus, 10%; and iv) peri-antrum, 65%.

Considering this series, in accordance with the geometrical plane defined above, the following distribution was obtained: i) Peri-protympanum, 7%; ii) peri-tympanic cavity-anterior of plane, 9%; conventional frontal plane; iii) peri-tympanic cavity-posterior of plane, 9%; iv) peri-aditus, 10%; and v) peri-antrum, 65%.

This in turn led to the new theoretical series: i) Protympanum 7%; ii) peri-tympanic cavity-anterior of plane, 9=16%; conventional frontal plane; iii) peri-tympanic cavity-posterior of plane 9% + peri-aditus 10% + peri-antrum 65 = 84%.

The empirical series in turn (Fig. 9) became: peri-protympanum + peri-tympanic cavity = 10%; conventional frontal plane; peri-tympanic cavity-posterior of plane + peri-aditus + peri-antrum = 90%.

The two series (theoretical and experimental) obviously had similar structures. They were compared with the drainage results of 78% success and 22% failure. To do so it was stated that, theoretically, radical mastoidectomy completely cleaned the peri-antrum cells (65% of total), almost all of the peri-aditus cells (10% of total), less than half of the peri-tympanic cavity cells (18%) and none of the peri-protympanum cells (7%). Practically the surgery cleaned much less than
the theoretically predicted ratio: \( \text{Peri-antrum} = 0.65x \) (\( x \to 1 \)), \( \text{peri-aditus} = 0.1y \) (\( y \to 1 \)), \( \text{peri-tympanic cavity} = 0.18z \) (\( z \to 0.5 \)) and \( \text{peri-protympanum} = 0.07w \) (\( w \to 0 \)). The maximum obtainable value for the sum \( 0.65x + 0.1y + 0.18z + 0.07w \)
was 84%. This meant that practically and ideally, a maximum of 84% could be cleaned out of the mastoid and petrous cells. The remaining 16% may contain irreversible lesions. The results of almost 78% were congruent to this theory.

From a practical point of view, no surgeon should expect to drain the entire endo-temporal structure since this type of exercise is rarely realized in experiments or dissections. This means that we must admit that some of the pneumatic cavities cannot be surgically approached, dividing the mastoid bone into surgical cavities and non-surgical cavities. The rate of success of mastoidectomy is entirely dependent on the chance that all lesions will be in a surgical area and this chance is a function of the proportion of pneumatic cells for each of the mentioned areas. Simplifying the process, it was stated in the present study that the proportion of surgical cavities was 75% (antrum, aditus and adjacent cells) and non-surgical cavities was 25% (typanic cavity, protympanum and adjacent cells). Failure should, in turn, be discussed as medical research can occasionally require a high degree of abstraction (13) and failure itself is not an unequivocal clinical fact and it requires divisions and nuances as we have previously stated (Figs. 23 and 24). The cause of failure is obvious whilst the cause of its division is the normal law of probability distribution that guides the division of remnant lesions in the non-surgical cells. In other words, the more remnant lesions in non-surgical cells, the higher the failure rate and intensity (higher number of otorrhea episodes, lower patient comfort).

In comparison, Mukherjee et al (2) proposed a study on 133 patients with MRM and published long-term functional and anatomical results. Their criteria included the notion of waterproof ears (no otorrhea after exposure to water) and the study reported 95% (n=126) as such (2). The anatomical status of the stapes and the degree of ventilation within the middle-ear are also considered proof of a successful operation, in accordance with the conclusions of the present study and those of other authors (5,14). Comparing results of different studies is however complicated by case-mix variation as some centres may have a larger number of complicated cases with higher probability for poor post-operative results (2). These concerns can be addressed by using scores such as the SPITE score described by Black (15) (points for various factors, such as presence of infection and previous surgery) or our own previously presented complication score (7) (Tables I and II).

A smaller study by Lucidi et al (16) published the results of 31 patients with both CWU and CWD mastoidectomies and followed the QoL over an average of 12 months post-op. They concluded that after 12 months, epithelization is complete, and the patient can provide reliable self-assessment concerning QoL. However, the authors noted that such a short follow-up may not be sufficient to consider these results as conclusive. The recurrence rate for CWU was 20-30% and 5-9% for CWD, in accordance with the conclusions of the present study and those of other published studies (16,17).

A more extensive study by Kos et al (1) analysed 259 cases for both functional and anatomical results for a period of 1 to 24 years (mean period of 7 years, very similar to the present study). Recurring infections of the cavity were reported in 11.9% (n=31) of cases within 6 years post-op and in 6.5% (n=17) of cases >6 years post-op. Overall, a dry and self-cleaning cavity was obtained in 95% of cases. Complications included polyps, recurrent cholesteatoma, TM perforation and meatal stenosis (1). These results were considered congruent with those reported by a significant number of other researchers (18-21). In comparison, a study by Kuo et al (22) reported a total of 4.9% ear discharge with residual cholesteatoma mainly affecting the attic and retro-tympanum, which was similar to other previously published studies (22-24). Nadol (25) defined the sigmoid sinus and tegmen air cells as the most common recurrence site, while Veldman and Braunius (20) considered the facial nerve and sigmoid sinus as most frequent (20). A previous study by Prasanna Kumar et al (26) defined the mastoid cells as the most common recurrence site, followed by sinodural angle, sinus tympani and tegmen antri region.

A similar study was performed by Pareschi et al (27) reported long-term anatomical and functional results (10 years follow-up) for a total of 895 patients with a total recidivism rate of 7.7% (n=69), of which 6.7% (n=60) showed persistence of disease and 1% (n=9) showed recurrence. Of the 60 cases, the largest rate of persistence was in the posterior mesotympanum (n=52), followed by the anterior mesotympanum (n=5) and hypotympanum (n=3), which validates our previously
stated conclusions on lesion distribution (7). Pareschi et al (27) and Vartiainen (28) also stated that prognostic factors for recidivism include the status of the TM and middle-ear mucosa, age of the patient and length of follow-up, since cholesteatoma recurrence can develop even after 12 years, thus confirming the conclusions of the present study.

Blanco et al (29) also reported on 45 cases of CWD surgery with a success rate of 93.3 and 6.6% recurrence, which was less than other similar studies such as Lin and Oghala (30). The main limitation of the previous study by Blaco et al was the short follow-up period of only 28 months (29).

To conclude, successful mastoidectomy was defined in the current study as the total absence of otorrhea episodes after total epithelization of cavity and failure of the presence of at least one such episode. A successful drainage (anatomical results) ensured long-term stability of hearing (functional results). The two types of results of mastoidectomy were expressions of the same phenomenon defined as a conversion of a static population by binary division in respect to the spatial distribution of its constituting units. Drainage results were found to be linked by an analytical function to factors with clinical predictive significance, such as complications of disease, exteriorization of suppurative disease, lesions of the ME mucosa and TM, rank of surgery, status of the OC, age of patient and length of follow-up. The global drainage success rate was 77.5%, and the best self-cleaning rate was

---

**Figure 29.** Absolute frequencies of total lesion scores distribution.

**Figure 30.** Relative frequencies of total lesion scores distribution.
86% with a global self-cleaning rate of 70%. From a practical point of view, no surgeon should expect to drain the entire endo-temporal structure since this type of exercise is rarely realized in experiments or dissections. This means that we must admit that some of the pneumatic cavities cannot be surgically approached, dividing the mastoid bone into surgical cavities and non-surgical cavities. Practically and ideally, we can clean out a maximum of 84% of the mastoid and petrous cells. Our results of almost 78% are congruent to this theory. The remaining 16% may contain irreversible lesions. The rate of success of mastoidectomy is entirely dependent on the chance that all lesions be in a surgical area and this chance is a function of the proportion of pneumatic cells for each of the mentioned areas. Most of the non-surgical cavities are located in the tympanic cavity, protympanum and adjacent cells. The more remnant lesions in non-surgical cells, the higher the failure rate and intensity (higher number of otorrhea episodes, lower patient comfort).
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