Selective Coke Combustion by Oxygen Pulsing During Mo/ZSM-5-Catalyzed Methane Dehydroaromatization
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Abstract: Non-oxidative methane dehydroaromatization is a promising reaction to directly convert natural gas into aromatic hydrocarbons and hydrogen. Commercialization of this technology is hampered by rapid catalyst deactivation because of coke formation. A novel approach is presented involving selective oxidation of coke during methane dehydroaromatization at 700°C. Periodic pulsing of oxygen into the methane feed results in substantially higher cumulative product yield with synthesis gas; a H2/CO ratio close to two is the main side-product of coke combustion. Using 13C isotope labeling of methane it is demonstrated that oxygen predominantly reacts with molybdenum carbide species. The resulting molybdenum oxides catalyze coke oxidation. Less than one-fifth of the available oxygen reacts with gaseous methane. Combined with periodic regeneration at 550°C, this strategy is a significant step forward, towards a process for converting methane into liquid hydrocarbons.

Natural gas is the cleanest of fossil energy resources in terms of CO2 emissions per unit energy generated. Yet, it is of little value unless it can be brought from the well to the customer, who is usually very far away from the source. The low volumetric energy density of natural gas makes it difficult to transport. As a result of the high cost of transport (for example, pipelines and liquefaction), a significant fraction of natural gas reserves is considered “stranded”. Gas associated with oil is usually the source of natural gas available for monetization, which is currently mostly flared.[1] Aside from oxidative methane coupling, dehydroaromatization into benzene has received widespread attention as a promising technology for the upgrading of methane—the main component of natural gas—into transportable liquids.[2] There are two major drawbacks to this process, which were first described by Bragin et al. in the late 1980s.[3] First, non-oxidative conversion of methane is met by unfavorable thermodynamics. Reasonable methane conversion is achieved only above 650°C. At a typical temperature of 700°C, the equilibrium conversion for non-oxidative methane conversion to benzene is about 12%, while graphitic/polyaromatic carbon (coke) is the thermodynamically favored product in a wide temperature range.[4] Placing Mo-carbides, the most suitable catalyst for activating methane, inside the shape-selective pores of 10-membered ring zeolites such as MFI (Mo/ZSM-5) and MWW (Mo/MCM-22) is a prerequisite for obtaining reasonable benzene selectivity (50–80%).[5,6] The resulting Mo/zeolite composite is assumed to operate in a bifunctional manner: molybdenum species activate methane and couple the resulting CHx fragments to form ethylene, which is subsequently oligomerized and aromatized to benzene and other aromatics on the zeolite acid sites.[7] Furthermore, the low thermal stability of zeolites constrains the upper reaction temperature to 800–850°C.

Second, even in the confined micropores of HZSM-5 zeolite polyaromatic species are formed, eventually clogging the micropores and blocking the external surface.[8] In fact, rapid catalyst deactivation is the main obstacle to overcome in commercializing this technology. Regeneration of the catalyst by burning off the coke is certainly possible, but a challenge here is that, in doing so at typical reaction temperatures, Mo-carbide species are reoxidized into mobile Mo-oxide species that react with aluminum framework atoms to form aluminum molybdate. This partially destroys the zeolite framework, resulting in irreversible deactivation.[9] Thus, the few reported attempts made to regenerate Mo/HZSM-5 by oxygen have employed lower regeneration temperatures (500–550°C) to retain the crystalline structure of the MoOy/ZSM-5 catalyst.[10] Temperature cycling in fixed-bed reactors is regarded as inefficient and, accordingly, it is no surprise that separating reaction and regeneration in different reactors has also been considered.[11]

Herein, we demonstrate that supplying short pulses of oxygen to a continuous methane feed over a fixed bed containing Mo/HZSM-5 allows substantial stabilization of methane dehydroaromatization at a reaction temperature of 700°C. By optimizing the O2 pulsing frequency, chosen such that it is comparable to the assumed coke formation rate, the cumulative benzene yield was more than two times higher in comparison with a reference test with a methane-only feed.

The strategy is based on the presumption that oxygen can combust coke as long as it is still relatively young. Using
\[ \text{Mo}_2\text{C} + 4\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{MoO}_3 + \text{CO}_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ \text{Mo}_2\text{C} + 3.5\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{MoO}_3 + \text{CO} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ \text{CH}_4 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ \text{CH}_4 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ \text{C} + \text{CO}_2 = 2\text{CO} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Initially, we investigated the chemical reactions occurring during \( \text{O}_2 \) pulses in the CH\(_4\) feed under dehydroaromatization conditions. For this purpose, the composition of the reactor effluent was analyzed by a mass spectrometer (MS). Figure 2a shows typical concentration profiles during a 2 mL \( \text{O}_2 \) pulse given during a catalytic reaction at 700°C. The dashed red profile corresponds to an \( \text{O}_2 \) pulse given to the same catalytic bed at room temperature, where no reaction takes place. It is characterized by an approximately 1 min long pulse (see high-resolution quantification by GC in the Supporting Information, Figure S1). At 700°C, the oxygen pulse is completely consumed and several products are observed over a period of several minutes. The main products are CO (m/z 28) and H\(_2\) (m/z 2) with minor amounts of CO\(_2\) (m/z 44) and H\(_2\)O (m/z 18). Production of CO is clearly associated with simultaneous consumption of O\(_2\). The CO diffuses through the bed nearly unperturbed compared to the room-temperature \( \text{O}_2 \) pulse because of its negligible interaction with the surface at 700°C.\(^7\) Molecular oxygen is involved in the oxidation of Mo\(_2\)C to MoO\(_3\) and combustion of coke/hydrocarbon species, as described by the following Equations 1–5:

The experiment does not allow distinguishing between CO and CO\(_2\) as the primary product. If CO\(_2\) is formed, then it can be converted by gasification of deposited coke into CO by reforming and Boudouard chemistry.\(^{10}\) Such secondary reactions of CO\(_2\) explain the slight tailing of the peak compared to the \( \text{O}_2 \) pulse. The first H\(_2\) peak stems from reforming of coke species by H\(_2\)O originating from coke combustion and it is delayed compared to the CO peak because of the stronger interaction of H\(_2\)O with the catalyst. Negative CH\(_4\) and C\(_2\)H\(_4\) peaks result from dilution of the methane feed when the \( \text{O}_2 \) pulse travels through the bed. These peaks are also slightly delayed compared to the first CO peak, which shows that CH\(_4\) interacts with Mo-carbide through dissociative adsorption and recombinative desorption in its nearly equilibrated reaction to benzene and other products. After \( \text{O}_2 \) has been depleted molybdenum is recarburized (Equation 6), which explains the second CO and H\(_2\) production and CH\(_4\) consumption features after about 6 min.\(^{11}\)
Production of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} also decreases during this period because CH\textsubscript{4} is consumed by the carburization process and considerable amounts of hydrogen evolved, thereby shifting the equilibrium. CH\textsubscript{4}, H\textsubscript{2}, and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} features are delayed compared to that of CO because CH\textsubscript{4} interacts with the catalyst surface, whereas CO does not. The observation that CH\textsubscript{4} production proceeds after the O\textsubscript{2} pulse and before carburization occurs shows that not all Mo-carbide species have been oxidized. We had to limit the O/Mo ratio to 0.7 to avoid rapid deactivation of the catalyst.\textsuperscript{[12]} Ex situ XPS experiments show that the initial Mo\textsuperscript{VI} precursor in the fresh catalyst (Figure 3a) is converted to Mo\textsuperscript{IV} in a methane flow (Figure 3b). A sample taken out of the reactor during the regeneration reaction procedure with oxygen pulses contained a mixture of Mo\textsuperscript{VI} and Mo\textsuperscript{IV} oxides, as well as Mo\textsubscript{2}C (Figure 3c).

Isotopic labeling of methane was employed to distinguish the relative contributions of the solid and gas phases to conversion of O\textsubscript{2}. For this purpose, we pulsed 2 mL of O\textsubscript{2} to the catalyst bed every 12 min, alternating with a flow mixture of \textsuperscript{13}CH\textsubscript{4}/N\textsubscript{2} (95:5; N\textsubscript{2} was used as an internal standard) and pure \textsuperscript{13}CH\textsubscript{4} (Figure 2c), while monitoring m/z 28 (\textsuperscript{12}CO and N\textsubscript{2}) and m/z 29 (\textsuperscript{13}CO) MS signals. The experiment was first carburized in \textsuperscript{13}CH\textsubscript{4}/N\textsubscript{2} at 700°C for 0.5 h. The first two O\textsubscript{2} pulses each lead to two consecutive \textsuperscript{13}CO peaks similar to the experiment described in Figure 2a. The size of the \textsuperscript{13}CO peak observed in this experiment is consistent with the natural abundance of \textsuperscript{13}C. After switching the feed to \textsuperscript{13}CH\textsubscript{4} and complete removal of \textsuperscript{13}CH\textsubscript{4} from the reactor (as evidenced by absence of the m/z 28 N\textsubscript{2} signal), another O\textsubscript{2} pulse was given.

In this case, the first CO combustion peak appears mainly in the form of \textsuperscript{13}CO together with a relatively small \textsuperscript{12}CO signal. This implies that O\textsubscript{2} was mainly used to oxidize \textsuperscript{13}C present on the catalyst, while the contribution of oxidation of the gas-phase \textsuperscript{13}CH\textsubscript{4} feed, or \textsuperscript{13}C-containing reaction intermediates and products, was minor. On the other hand, the second CO peak was pure \textsuperscript{12}CO, which shows that \textsuperscript{13}CH\textsubscript{4} was used to carburize Mo\textsubscript{2}C back to the carbide form. Switching to \textsuperscript{13}CH\textsubscript{4} and providing another O\textsubscript{2} pulse to the bed led to similar events, with \textsuperscript{12}CO dominating the combustion and \textsuperscript{13}CO the carburization. MS data quantification allowed estimation of the relative contributions of Mo\textsubscript{2}C oxidation (ca. 21%), coke oxidation (ca. 62%), and oxidation of gas-phase hydrocarbons (ca. 18%) to the consumption of O\textsubscript{2}.

To prove the effectiveness of O\textsubscript{2} pulsing in coke removal, we used thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) to determine the coke content with increasing time-on-stream: for a conventional reaction experiment and one in which 2 mL oxygen were delivered every 12 min. Figure 3d shows that the rate of coke formation is substantially lower in the pulsed case. Leveling off of coke content after prolonged reaction is due to catalyst deactivation. The oxygen balance shows that the atomic ratio of C\textsubscript{coked-combusted}/O\textsubscript{pulsed} is approximately 0.55, in good agreement with the value obtained by isotopic labeling (0.62).

To optimize the amount of O\textsubscript{2} delivered to the catalyst bed, we varied the pulsing frequency from 1/12 min\textsuperscript{-1} to 1/2 min\textsuperscript{-1} (denoted: 2/12, 2 mL every 12 min; 2/6, 2 mL every 6 min; and so forth). The results are collected in Figure 4 and highlight increased catalyst stability compared to the O\textsubscript{2}-free reference experiment for the 2/12, 2/6, and 2/3 experiments. In the 2/12 experiment, the cumulative benzene yield (integrated over 16 h on stream) is about 50% higher than in the reference experiment. When the frequency is increased to 2/6 and 2/3, the catalytic performance at early reaction times is lower because of the frequent oxidation of the Mo-carbide phase, but this comes with greatly improved stability during prolonged operation. Overall, the cumulative benzene yield is two times higher than that of the reference. For the 2/2 experiment, the benzene yield is decreased and CO became the main product because CH\textsubscript{4} was mainly used to re-carburize the oxidized catalyst. At the optimum (between 2/6 and 2/3), the O\textsubscript{2} amount fed to the catalyst is high enough to remove a substantial amount of coke, yet low enough to avoid over-oxidization and destabilization of the catalyst.

Experiments involving continuous addition of 2 vol% O\textsubscript{2} to the feed or intermittent calcination at 700°C led to rapid deactivation (Supporting Information, Figure S8), showing the promise of O\textsubscript{2} pulsing for in situ catalyst regeneration. The CO and H\textsubscript{2} side-products come in a proportion close to 1:2 (Figure 4f) and can be used to produce chemicals or transportation fuels.\textsuperscript{[13]} In the 2/3 experiment, the catalyst retained some activity even after 65 h on stream (Supporting Information, Figures S11–S13). Nevertheless, deactivation by coking cannot be completely suppressed, which is likely a
consequence of the ageing of the coke and the integral reactor operation resulting in non-uniform regeneration. Indeed, it is known that with increasing time-on-stream more recalcitrant “hard” coke is formed.\cite{16}

By TGA, we established that Mo$_2$Ci is the most reactive component towards oxidation in the working Mo/HZSM-5 catalyst (Supporting Information, Figure S6). These data show that MoO$_3$ catalyzes the oxidation of coke (Supporting Information, Figure S7), implying that “soft” coke located in close proximity to molybdenum species can be removed more easily than “hard” coke, which is formed in the vicinity of Brønsted acid sites.\cite{15} The catalytic activity of Mo/HZSM-5 was fully restored after 16 h on stream in the 2/6 experiment by air calcination at 550°C for 2 h (Figure 5). Elemental analysis confirmed that no molybdenum was lost as volatile MoO$_3$ during the O$_2$ pulsing, while XRD confirms that the intermittent regeneration of MoO$_3$ did not degrade the zeolite framework as it does during calcination in air at 700°C (Supporting Information, Figures S9 and S10).

In summary, this work presents a novel method to improve the efficiency of methane dehydroaromatization at relevant reaction conditions. Periodic supply of short pulses of oxygen into the methane feed at optimized frequency leads to doubling of the benzene yield, while the only non-hydrocarbon side-product is syngas with a H$_2$/CO ratio of close to two. Selective reaction of oxygen with the surface species (coke, carbide, and so on) lies at the origin of this online in situ regeneration approach. Less than one-fifth of supplied oxygen reacts with the gas-phase reactant and products. As a result, the rate of coke formation is decreased four times as compared to oxygen-free conditions. Oxidation of Mo-carbide to Mo-oxide is key to the selective combustion of coke species. Rapid cycling between the oxidic and carbidic forms of molybdenum does not affect the catalyst in a negative manner (no framework damage, no loss of molybdenum) and this is likely due to the stabilization of molybdenum-oxo complexes on cation-exchange sites of the zeolite.\cite{7b} Thus, it is possible to combine the online pulsing operation of methane
dehydroaromatization with periodic regeneration by air calcination at 550°C.[7a] The next step is to explore suitable reactor configurations to realize this novel concept.
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