COVID-19 in a kidney transplant recipient treated with eculizumab for atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: a case report
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Abbreviations

aHUS Atypical Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome
COVID-19 Coronavirus Disease 2019
CT Computed Tomography
KT Kidney Transplantation
MMF Mycophenolate Mofetil
SARS-CoV-2 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2

The case

This report regards a woman who was taken in charge since 2002 (when she was aged 46 years) for severe acute kidney failure. Kidney biopsy revealed thrombotic microangiopathy and intravascular hemolysis. She was diagnosed with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS) caused by a heterozygous mutation (Gly324Glu, exon 9) in the gene encoding complement factor I, located on chromosome 4q25. Despite treatment with plasmapheresis and methylprednisolone, hemodialysis was performed in 2007 because of progressive renal function deterioration, and she underwent a kidney transplantation (KT) in 2014. Her immunosuppressive regimen consisted of thymoglobulin, tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), and steroids. Eculizumab was prescribed to prevent aHUS recurrences. The initial maintenance dose was 1200 mg every two weeks. Graft function was stable (serum creatinine: 130 µmol/L, eGFR: 37 mL/min/1.73 m²). There was no sign of intravascular hemolysis on laboratory investigations and thrombotic microangiopathy was absent on allograft biopsies. Complement blockade was effectively achieved and residual eculizumab concentrations remained constantly > 400 µg/mL.

On April 1st, 2020, the patient was hospitalized because of diarrhea, nausea, and flu-like symptoms that lasted for 24 h, and was subsequently diagnosed with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). RT-PCR was conducted using a nasopharyngeal swab specimen and revealed that the patient was infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) with a high viral load (7.57 \log_{10} copies/reaction; Fig. 1). Chest computed tomography (CT) revealed bilateral ground-glass opacities with peripheral subpleural distribution, compatible with COVID-19 pneumonia with minimal parenchymal involvement (< 10%; Fig. 2a). Eculizumab administration, which was initially scheduled for April 1st, was delayed and immunosuppression was reduced. MMF was discontinued on April 1st, whereas tacrolimus and steroids were maintained. On April 9th, the patient showed severe hypoxemia (required supplemental oxygen through a face mask: 15 L/min) requiring admission to the intensive care unit. A chest CT performed on April 11th revealed extension of pneumonia affecting approximately 50% of the lung parenchyma with alveolar condensation in the right lower lobes (Fig. 2b). Treatment was attempted with azithromycin (250 mg/day) and hydroxychloroquine (200 mg twice per day) but was rapidly discontinued because of electrocardiogram changes. Tacrolimus and prednisone were discontinued on April 8th. Dexamethasone (20 mg/day) was introduced on April 8th and subsequently tapered off (Fig. 1). Renal function remained stable (serum creatinine on April 4th: 128 µmol/L [reference range: 49 – 90 µmol/L]). There was evidence of a mild inflammatory syndrome (C-reactive protein: 30 mg/L [reference range: < 4 mg/L]) but signs of thrombotic microangiopathy were absent (Fig. 3). Eculizumab was finally administered at a dose of 1200 mg on April 9th (residual eculizumab plasma concentration: 220 µg/mL). The patient showed a favorable clinical course with a progressive reduction in inflammatory markers paralleled by a decline in oxygen need. She was successfully discharged on April 23 and a further dose of eculizumab (900 mg) was administered.
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Lessons for the clinical nephrologist

The clinical manifestations of COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2 may, at least in part, originate from dysregulation of innate and/or adaptive immune responses. Remarkably, excess stimulation of a host response during the course of COVID-19 can lead to an overwhelming...
release of proinflammatory cytokines—a phenomenon known as “cytokine storm”—leading to a dramatic deterioration of respiratory function and dismal outcomes [1]. Complement is involved in a large number of immune effector mechanisms during inflammatory processes, including the “cytokine storm.”

Remarkably, complement activation plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of COVID-19, including endothelial cell damage [2]. In addition, in vitro studies have shown that SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins are capable of activating the complement alternative pathway [3]. Recent research revealed the presence of thrombotic microangiopathy and endothelial injury in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2, suggesting a functional role for complement activation in the pathogenesis of COVID-19 [4]. These findings provide a rationale for blocking complement activation in this clinical entity.

Eculizumab—a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody that binds the C5 complement component and prevents its activation by cleavage—has been approved for treatment of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and aHUS. The antibody is used in the KT setting for preventing aHUS recurrences [5]. The pathogenesis of aHUS is related to an uncontrolled complement activation in the alternative pathway. Complement-mediated aHUS is clinically characterized by the presence of microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and acute renal failure. Acquired autoantibodies to complement regulators or genetic defects in complement-related factors have been repeatedly reported in patients with aHUS. Eculizumab is effective in preventing aHUS recurrences by inhibiting the production of the terminal complement component C5a and the membrane attack complex C5b-9.

The case reported here describes a KT recipient diagnosed with COVID-19 who was treated with eculizumab to prevent recurrence of aHUS after her transplant. The first symptoms of COVID-19 occurred 12/13 days after the last eculizumab administration and, after much deliberation, the initially scheduled injection was postponed. Under the hypothesis that both complement activation and rapid eculizumab consumption (because of increased C5b-9 formation) should have occurred, a decision was made to administer eculizumab at a higher dose (1200 mg). While drug-drug interactions have been shown to complicate COVID-19 treatment—and while there are contrasting reports on whether specific drugs worsen recovery—eculizumab did not hinder our patient’s recovery from COVID-19 [6]. Instead, the outcome was similar to that observed in most of our KT recipients with COVID-19 (i.e., non-severe disease followed by recovery). Notably, Annane et al. have recently provided evidence that eculizumab may improve survival in patients with severe COVID-19 [7].

Nonetheless, the published literature on the clinical course of COVID-19 in patients treated with eculizumab remains limited. Trimarchi et al. have reported a case of a KT recipient with aHUS on chronic eculizumab therapy that developed severe COVID-19 despite eculizumab administration early during the course of the disease [8]. The outcome was favorable, and the patient recovered following dexamethasone and convalescent plasma administration. Diurno et al. have described four cases of severe disease treated with up to four weekly infusions of eculizumab (900 mg each) [9]. All these patients were immuno-competent, but one was aged 82 years. Concomitant treatments consisted of antiviral drugs, hydroxychloroquine, and ceftriaxone. Remarkably, treatment-related adverse effects were not reported. Outcomes were favorable with concomitant reduction in inflammatory biomarkers [9]. We cannot exclude that dexamethasone—which was used when clinical deterioration occurred—might have played a role in improving the patient clinical conditions through its anti-inflammatory effects.

Additionally, Ville et al. published a case of aHUS relapse in the context of COVID-19. These data show how COVID-19 can, on the one hand, be a trigger of aHUS relapse and, on the other hand, drive complement activation and increase C5b-9 formation (ultimately leading to eculizumab consumption) [10]. Thus, in accordance with previous literature, eculizumab did not hinder our patient’s recovery from COVID-19—who did not have evident signs of recurrent thrombotic microangiopathy. Pulmonary and kidney injury in patients with COVID-19 may, at least partially, be mediated by complement activation. Terminal pathway inhibition by the anti-C5 antibody eculizumab can be included in the therapeutic armamentarium against COVID-19, and this treatment should not be discontinued unless strictly necessary due to adverse effects or alternative health complications.
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