Nutritional evaluation of differently processed plant protein sources on the performance of broiler chickens
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ABSTRACT: An eight-week feeding trial was conducted to assess four differently protein sources on performance of broiler chickens. Two hundred and fifty (250) day old unsex Anak 2000 broiler chicks were randomly allotted to four differently processed plant protein sources i.e. cooked soybean, salt treated African locust bean and sprouted Bambara nut with groundnut cake (GNC) based diet as control, the treatments were replicated five times (12 birds per replicate) in a Completely Randomized Block Design (CRBD). Results showed that daily feed intake, daily weight gain and feed conversion ratio were affected by different protein sources (<0.05) at starter, finisher and overall phase. The carcass characteristics showed that live weight (1988-2160 g), slaughter weight (1610-1965 g) and plucked weight (1600-1814 g) were affected by different protein sources (p<0.05). The abdominal fat (0.61-0.64%), gizzard (1.61-1.77%), small intestine (40.80-43.20 cm) and large intestine (141.80-201.60 cm) were affected by the different protein sources (p<0.05). All the prime cuts were significantly (p<0.05) affected by the dietary protein sources. Total feed cost is highest in soya bean based diet (₦ 379.30). The highest body weight (4.53 kg) is in soya bean-based diet. The feed cost per kg gain is highest in GNC based diet (₦ 158.34) and lowest in soya bean based diet (₦ 128.43). All the protein sources enhanced the growth performance of broiler chickens; however, soybean was more effective in enhancing the performance of broiler chickens.
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INTRODUCTION

The high cost of feed is blamed on the competition between man and his livestock for the available grains which accounts for 70-85% cost of poultry production (Sanni and Ogundipe, 2005), this trend has account for low protein intake as a result of high cost of feeds. Food and agricultural organization of the united nation (FAO, 1993) recommended 35 g as the daily animal protein requirement for human being, but an average Nigerian consumes 3.24 g animal protein per day. It is obvious therefore that any effort targeted at reducing the cost of feeding will be one of the possible remedies, therefore there is need to find alternative protein sources in livestock feeding. Poultry production has been identified as one major means of solving problem of low protein intake in Nigeria (Maidala and Istifanus, 2012). The Nigerian poultry industry comprises about 180 million birds and is the second largest chicken population in Africa after South Africa (Sahel, 2015). The Nigerian poultry industry produced 650,000 tons of eggs and 300,000 tons of meat (FAOSTAT, 2018). However, feeding poultry presents a great challenge to farmers and nutritionists in Nigeria (Etuk et al., 2012) and several tropical countries. Protein is one of the classes of food that is needed by both man and animals to promote healthy growth at all stages of life. Farmers and feed millers more frequently used the conventional protein ingredients (like fullfat soybean and groundnut cake) in producing their feeds. Unfortunately, these feed ingredients have become scarce and oftentimes unavailable. This cost can be reduced by accommodating unconventional feed ingredients that have
comparative nutrient potentials like the conventional ingredients such as Bambara nut (Maidala et al., 2011; Ani et al., 2012), African locust bean (Obun, 2007; Ari et al., 2012) and some of this unconventional protein sources proved promising in replacing conventional ones. Several researches were conducted in legumes to ascertain the effects of local processing on antinutritional factors and bioavailability of nutrients to broilers chicken. For instance, Maidala et al. (2013) and Maidala et al. (2017) conducted research on effects of local processing methods of differently processed soybean bean i.e., raw, cooked, sprouted, salt treated and sprouted soybean and concluded that cooked soybean was best for broiler production. Similarly, an experiment was conducted using differently processed African locust bean i.e., raw, cooked, sprouted, salt treated and sprouted African locust bean and concluded that salt treated African locust bean was best for broiler production (Maidala et al., 2018). Also, different processed Bambara nut was fed to broiler chickens i.e. raw, cooked, sprouted, salt treated and sprouted Bambara nut and concluded that sprouted Bambara nut was the best for broiler chicken’s production (Maidala et al., 2015). It is against this background this study was design to test the performance of broiler chickens fed the differently processed plant proteins sources, to ascertain the best protein sources i.e. soybean (cooked), African locust bean (salt treated), Bambara nut (sprouted) with groundnut-based diet as control.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the School of Undergraduate, College of Education Azare, Bauchi State, Nigeria. Azare is located between latitude 11°15' and 11°30’N and longitude 10°10'E and 10°30’E. The area is characterized by five (5) months of rainy season (April-September) and seven (7) months of dry season (October-March) (Bura, 2000). Mixed farming system is practiced by most of the farmers and low animal protein intake has been reported (Maidala et al., 2021). Two hundred and fifty-day-old Anak 2000 unsex broiler chicks were randomly allotted to four dietary treatments i.e., cooked soybean, salt treated African locust bean and sprouted Bambara nut with groundnut cake-based diet as control, the treatments were replicated five times (12 birds per replicate) in a Completely Randomized Block Design (CRBD).

Experimental diets

Four experimental diets were formulated to include cooked soybean, salt-treated African locust bean, sprouted Bambara nut and groundnut cake diet serving as control. The experimental diets were isocaloric and isonitrogenous. The experimental diets were analyzed for proximate composition (AOAC, 1990) at National Veterinary Research Institute Jos, Plateau State. Birds were provided with water and feed ad libitum. The birds were brooded for one week before assigned to experimental cages in deep litter system of management. The experiment lasted for eight weeks and adequate light were provided throughout the experiment. The parameters determined were daily feed intake and daily weight gain while feed conversion ratio and feed efficiency ratio were computed from the weight gain and feed intake values.

Carcass evaluation and organ measurements

At the end of the experiment, ten (10) birds from each treatment that is 2 birds per replicate were randomly selected for carcass analysis. The birds were fasted overnight and slaughtered according to halal method (Maidala et al., 2020). Internal organs were removed and measured in grams and length of small intestine and large intestine were measured in centimetre. Individual organ was placed on an electric sensitive balance (Acculab) and the weight recorded. The length of the intestine and caeca were taken using metal meter rule. The weights of the various organs measured with the sensitive scale and expressed as a percentage of live weight.

Data analyses

The data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) in a completely randomized block design (CRBD) (Steel and Torrie, 1980). Significant differences were separated using Duncan’s multiple range tests (Duncan’s 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proximate composition of different processed protein is shown in Table 1. The percentage compositions of the experimental diets are shown in Tables 2 and 3 for starter and finisher phases respectively. The crude protein, metabolizable energy and other nutrients met the requirements of broiler chickens in the tropics (Maidala, 2015). The diets were formulated in consonance with the established recommendation for dietary energy and protein in broiler chicken raised in warm wet climates (Oluyemi and Roberts, 2000) and met the crude protein and energy requirement of broilers in the tropics. The proximate composition showed that crude protein ranged between 19.27% in sprouted Bambara nut to 41.98% in cooked soybean. Similarly, the crude fat was highest in cooked soybean while the nitrogen free extract was highest in sprouted Bambara nut (57.79%). At the starter phase, daily feed intake of different processed protein sources (67.60-70.40 g) is higher compared to the ground-
Table 1. Chemical composition (%) of different protein sources fed to broiler chickens at the starter phase

| Parameters       | T1 [GNC] | T2 [Soya bean (Cooked)] | T3 [ALBS (Salt-treated)] | T4 [Bambara nut (Sprouted)] |
|------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Dry matter       | 89.21    | 94.00                   | 95.20                    | 93.69                       |
| Crude protein    | 40.12    | 41.98                   | 24.57                    | 19.27                       |
| Crude fibre      | 5.21     | 22.34                   | 20.34                    | 8.79                        |
| Crude fat        | 6.82     | 17.92                   | 15.95                    | 5.60                        |
| Calcium          | 4.23     | 2.95                    | 5.40                     | 0.18                        |
| Phosphorus       | 3.54     | 10.00                   | 0.13                     | 0.14                        |
| Nitrogen free extract | 34.21 | 0.65                    | 28.94                    | 57.79                       |

Table 2. Ingredients and nutrient compositions (%) of different protein sources fed to broiler chickens at the starter phase (1-5 weeks).

| Parameters               | T1 [GNC] | T2 [Soya bean (Cooked)] | T3 [ALBS (Salt-treated)] | T4 [Bambara nut (Sprouted)] |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Maize        | 52.05    | 45.06                   | 37.52                    | 46.32                       |
| Soybean      | -        | 36.04                   | 17.43                    | 6.95                        |
| Bambaranut   | -        | -                       | -                        | 27.82                       |
| Locust bean  | -        | -                       | 26.15                    | -                           |
| Groundnut cake | 29.05   | -                       | -                        | -                           |
| Wheat offal  | 10.00    | 10.00                   | 10.00                    | 10.00                       |
| Fishmeal     | 5.00     | 5.00                    | 5.00                     | 5.00                        |
| Limestone    | 1.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 1.00                        |
| Bone meal    | 2.00     | 2.00                    | 2.00                     | 2.00                        |
| Sodium chloride | 0.25 | 0.25                   | 0.25                     | 0.25                        |
| Lysine       | 0.20     | 0.20                    | 0.20                     | 0.20                        |
| Methionine   | 0.20     | 0.20                    | 0.20                     | 0.20                        |
| Vitamin/mineral premix * | 0.25 | 0.25                   | 0.25                     | 0.25                        |
| Total        | 100.00   | 100.00                  | 100.00                   | 100.00                      |

Nutrient composition (calculated)

|                  | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|
| Crude protein (%)| 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Metabolisable energy (kcal/kg) | 2800 | 2800 | 2800 | 2800 |
| Ether extract (%) | 6.80 | 10.84 | 7.19 | 5.66 |
| Crude fibre (%)   | 3.57 | 4.08 | 5.25 | 5.07 |
| Calcium (%)       | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Available phosphorus % | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

Note: * Each kilogram contains: vit. A, 10,000,000 IU, vit. D, 2,000,000 IU, vit. E 23,000 mg, vit. K, 2,000 mg, vit. B1, 1,800 mg, Panthothenic Acid 7,500 mg, vit. B6, 3,000 mg, vit. B12, 15mg, Folic acid 750 mg, Hydrochloric acid 11260 mg, Choline Chloride 300,000 mg, Cobalt 200 mg, Copper 3,000mg, Iodine 1,000 mg, Iron 20,000 mg, Manganese 40,000mg, Selenium 200mg, Zinc 30,000 mg, Antioxidant 1,250 mg.

nut cake (GNC) diet (53.40 g) (Table 4). This could be attributed to adequate processing of different protein sources as adequate processing improves feed intake and utilization of feeds (Medugu et al., 2012; Akure et al., 2021). The daily weight gain was higher in the soybean-based diet compared to other different processed protein sources and GNC based diet and this support the earlier report of Shaahu et al. (2011). The feed conversion ratio is better in the GNC based diet (1.82 g) followed by soybean-based diet (1.89). This is attributed by direct relationship of feeds intake and body weight gain, since feed is known to promote growth, helps in cell formation and repairs (Adeniyi, 2008). This finding is in harmony with the findings of Aguihe et al. (2011). The feed efficiency ratio was more
Table 3. Ingredients and nutrient compositions (%) of broiler finisher (21% CP) diets containing different protein sources.

| Parameters                  | T1 [GNC] | T2 [Soya bean (Cooked)] | T3 [ALBS (Salt-treated)] | T4 [Bambara nut (Sprouted)] |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Maize                       | 54.06    | 45.06                    | 50.00                     | 44.00                       |
| Soybean                     | -        | 31.04                    | 6.75                      | 6.28                        |
| Bambaranut                  | -        | -                        | -                         | 25.82                       |
| Locust bean                 | -        | -                        | 20.25                     | -                           |
| Groundnut                   | 23.03    | 20.25                    | 20.25                     | 20.25                       |
| Wheat offal                 | 15.00    | 15.00                    | 15.00                     | 15.00                       |
| Fishmeal                    | 5.00     | 5.00                     | 5.00                      | 5.00                        |
| Limestone                   | 1.00     | 1.00                     | 1.00                      | 1.00                        |
| Bone meal                   | 2.00     | 2.00                     | 2.00                      | 2.00                        |
| Sodium chloride             | 0.25     | 0.25                     | 0.25                      | 0.25                        |
| Lysine                      | 0.20     | 0.20                     | 0.20                      | 0.20                        |
| Methionine                  | 0.20     | 0.20                     | 0.20                      | 0.20                        |
| Vitamin/mineral premix *    | 0.25     | 0.25                     | 0.25                      | 0.25                        |
| Total                       | 100.00   | 100.00                   | 100.00                    | 100.00                      |

Nutrient composition (calculated)

| Crude protein (%)          | 21       | 21                       | 21                        | 21                          |
| Metabolisable energy (kcal/kg) | 3000    | 3000                     | 3000                      | 3000                        |
| Ether extract (%)          | 5.65     | 8.44                     | 8.71                      | 5.95                        |
| Crude fibre (%)            | 5.06     | 5.49                     | 6.2                       | 6.10                        |
| Calcium (%)                | 1.32     | 1.32                     | 1.40                      | 1.40                        |
| Available phosphorus %     | 1.2      | 1.2                      | 1.2                       | 1.2                         |

Note: Each kilogram contains Vit A 3600, 000 IU. Vit. D3 600.000 IU. Vit E 4.000.000 mg. Vit B1-B6 640, 1600, 600, 4.00 mg. Panthothenic acid 2000 mg, Biotin 300 mg. Manganese 16000 mg. Manganese 16000 mg. Selenium 80 mg. Vit. K3 600 mg. Cobalt 80 mg. Copper1200 mg. Zinc 12,000mg. Folic acid 200 mg. Choline chloride700000 mg. Antioxidant 500 mg.

Table 4. Growth performance of broiler chickens fed diets containing different protein sources (1-5 weeks of age).

| Parameters                  | T1 [GNC] | T2 [Soya bean (Cooked)] | T3 [ALBS (Salt-treated)] | T4 [Bambara nut (Sprouted)] |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Daily feed intake (g)       | 53.40    | 70.40                    | 69.00                     | 67.60                       |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 26.76    | 35.14                    | 32.11                     | 30.24                       |
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.82     | 1.89                     | 2.04                      | 2.55                        |
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.50     | 0.50                     | 0.52                      | 0.40                        |
| Survivability (%)           | 99.60    | 99.80                    | 99.80                     | 100                         |

enhanced in the birds fed African locust bean (ALBS) (0.52). The survivability of the birds is more effective in Bambara nut based diet (100%) and lower in GNC based diet but the values are statistically similar (p>0.05). At the finisher phase birds fed soybean-based diet had higher feed intake compared to other protein sources (p<0.05). The daily weight gain was highest in the soybean diet (73.35 g) (p<0.05) (Table 5) and is a reflection of high feed intake (p<0.05). The feed conversion ratio was better in the soybean based diet (p<0.05) and is a reflection of high feed intake and high body weight gain (p<0.05). This finding is in line with the findings of Aguihe et al. (2011) on the superiority of soybean on other plant protein sources. Adeniyi (2008) asserted that feed intake and body weight gain are determinants of quality feeds. The feed efficiency ratio was better in the soybean and Bambara nut based diets (0.87; p<0.05). At the overall performance, daily feed intake (70.20-80.95g), daily weight gain (34.48-53.60g), feed conversion ratio (1.51-1.68) and feed efficiency ratio (0.60-0.66) are affected by the dietary protein source (p<0.05) with soybean based having enhanced performance (Table 6).
Table 5. Growth performance of broiler chickens fed diets containing different protein sources (5-8 weeks of age).

| Parameters                  | Diets                         |SEM |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
|                             | T1 [GNC]                      |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 77.51                         |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 83.98                         |     |
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.43                          |     |
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.70                          |     |
| Survivability (%)           | 99.80                         |     |
|                             | T2 [Soya bean (Cooked)]       |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 80.27                         |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 73.35                         |     |
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.15                          |     |
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.87                          |     |
| Survivability (%)           | 100                           |     |
|                             | T3 [ALBS (Salt-treated)]      |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 81.51                         |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 69.94                         |     |
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.17                          |     |
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.86                          |     |
| Survivability (%)           | 99.60                         |     |
|                             | T4 [Bambara nut (Sprouted)]   |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 79.22                         | 6.47*|
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 69.05                         | 13.08*|
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.15                          | 0.28*|
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.87                          | 0.17*|
| Survivability (%)           | 100                           | NS  |

Table 6. Pooled performance of broiler chickens fed diets containing different protein sources (1-8 weeks of age).

| Parameters                  | Diets                         |SEM |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
|                             | T1 [GNC]                      |     |
| Daily feed intake (g)       | 70.20a                        |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 80.95a                        |     |
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.51b                         |     |
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.66a                         |     |
| Survivability (%)           | 100                           |     |
|                             | T2 [Soya bean (Cooked)]       |     |
| Daily feed intake (g)       | 80.95a                        |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 53.60a                        |     |
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.68a                         |     |
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.60a                         |     |
| Survivability (%)           | 100                           |     |
|                             | T3 [ALBS (Salt-treated)]      |     |
| Daily feed intake (g)       | 80.41a                        |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 47.96a                        |     |
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.68a                         |     |
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.63b                         |     |
| Survivability (%)           | 99.80                         |     |
|                             | T4 [Bambara nut (Sprouted)]   |     |
| Daily feed intake (g)       | 78.80a                        |     |
| Daily weight gain (g)       | 50.03a                        |     |
| Feed conversion ratio       | 1.58ab                        |     |
| Feed efficiency ratio       | 0.63b                         |     |
| Survivability (%)           | 100                           |     |

Means bearing superscripts within the same row are statistically different (p<0.05).

Table 7. Carcass yield, organs weight and gut characteristics (% live weight) of broiler chickens fed diets containing different protein sources.

| Parameters                  | Diets                         |SEM |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
|                             | T1 [GNC]                      |     |
| Live weight (g)             | 1988.00b                      |     |
| Slaughter weight (g)        | 1610.00c                      |     |
| Plucked weight (g)          | 1600.00c                      |     |
| Dressing percentage (%)     | 81.31                         |     |
| Abdominal fat (%)           | 0.64a                         |     |
| Gizzard (%)                 | 1.77                          |     |
| Heart (%)                   | 0.24                          |     |
| Liver (%)                   | 1.21                          |     |
| Lungs (%)                   | 0.30                          |     |
| Pancreas (%)                | 0.24                          |     |
| Small intestine (%)         | 1.22                          |     |
| Large intestine (%)         | 3.30                          |     |
| Caeca (%)                   | 1.14                          |     |
| Small intestine (cm)        | 40.80b                        |     |
| Large intestine (cm)        | 201.60b                       |     |
|                             | T2 [Soya bean (Cooked)]       |     |
| Live weight (g)             | 2160.00a                      |     |
| Slaughter weight (g)        | 1965.00a                      |     |
| Plucked weight (g)          | 1814.00                       |     |
| Dressing percentage (%)     | 85.46                         |     |
| Abdominal fat (%)           | 0.60d                         |     |
| Gizzard (%)                 | 1.61                          |     |
| Heart (%)                   | 0.22                          |     |
| Liver (%)                   | 1.21                          |     |
| Lungs (%)                   | 0.32                          |     |
| Pancreas (%)                | 0.22                          |     |
| Small intestine (%)         | 0.40                          |     |
| Large intestine (%)         | 2.66                          |     |
| Caeca (%)                   | 1.16                          |     |
| Small intestine (cm)        | 43.20a                        |     |
| Large intestine (cm)        | 144.20                        |     |
|                             | T3 [ALBS (Salt-treated)]      |     |
| Live weight (g)             | 1920.00b                      |     |
| Slaughter weight (g)        | 1747.00b                      |     |
| Plucked weight (g)          | 1651.00                       |     |
| Dressing percentage (%)     | 85.46                         |     |
| Abdominal fat (%)           | 0.61c                         |     |
| Gizzard (%)                 | 1.64                          |     |
| Heart (%)                   | 0.23                          |     |
| Liver (%)                   | 1.22                          |     |
| Lungs (%)                   | 0.32                          |     |
| Pancreas (%)                | 0.23                          |     |
| Small intestine (%)         | 0.40                          |     |
| Large intestine (%)         | 3.22                          |     |
| Caeca (%)                   | 1.18                          |     |
| Small intestine (cm)        | 40.80b                        |     |
| Large intestine (cm)        | 141.80                        |     |

Means bearing superscripts within the same row are statistically different (p<0.05). NS=not significant.

The carcass yield and gut characteristics is shown in Table 7 while the cut of parts is shown in Table 8. Results showed that live weight (1988-2160 g), slaughter weight (1610-1965 g) and plucked weight (1600-1814 g) (Table 7) were significantly (p<0.05) affected by different plant protein sources. The higher live weight, slaughter weight and pluck weight of broilers fed full fat soybean based diet can be attributed to high quality and nutritional attributes.
of full fat soybean (Olomu, 1995, Iwe, 2005). The dressing percentage (83.31-86.25%) are not affected by different protein sources (p>0.05). Similarly, the heart (0.22-0.24%), liver 1.21-1.22%, lungs (0.30-0.32%), pancreas (0.22-0.24%), small intestine (0.40-1.22%), large intestine (2.66-3.30%) and caeca (1.14-1.18%) followed the same trend being similar (p>0.05). The abdominal fat (0.61-0.64%), gizzard (1.61-1.77%), small intestine (40.80-43.20 cm) and large intestine (141.80-201.60 cm) are affected by the different protein sources (p<0.05) (Table 7). The percentage of cut up parts affected include neck (3.37-2.90%), wings (7.17-8.09%), back (8.32-9.06 %), thigh (18.14-19.30%) and breast (19.32-20.34%) (Table 8). The dressing percentage did not affect the different protein sources (p>0.05). Higher abdominal fat and gizzard (p<0.05) reported in GNC based diet suggest a poor carcass quality (Medugu et al., 2010). Most of the internal organs (liver, lungs, small intestine and large intestine) were not affected by the different protein sources (p>0.05). All the prime cuts were significantly (p<0.05) affected by the dietary protein sources, the neck, wings, back and thigh were lower in GNC based diet (p<0.05) and were higher in soybean based diet. The reduced weight of these organs can be attributed to proper utilization of soybean to build these organs, coupled by the fact that soybean has high biological value compared to other protein sources.

The economics of production revealed that the feed cost per kg gain is highest in GNC based diet (₦ 158.34) and lowest in soya bean-based diet (₦ 126.43) diet (Table 9). The reduced feed cost in the GNS diet can be attributed to lack of additional cost of processing. The total feed cost was highest in soybean-based diet. The feed cost per kg gain is highest in the GNS diet (158.34) and lowest in soybean-based diet (126.43). The soybean-based diet is the least cost diet having the lowest cost of gain.

**Conclusion**

The growth response of broiler chickens fed different protein sources are satisfactory, however broilers fed soybean-based diet proved to be more efficient in enhancing the performance parameters with concomitant reduction in price.
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