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ABSTRACT

We report the detection of the $^{12}$CO $J = 1-0$ emission line in [H89]1821+643, one of the most optically luminous quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) in the local universe, and a template ULIRG-to-QSO transition object, located in a rich, cool-core cluster at $z = 0.297$. The CO emission is likely to be extended, highly asymmetric with respect to the center of the host elliptical where the QSO resides, and correspond with a molecular gas mass of $\sim 8.0 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The dynamical mass enclosed by the CO emission-line region could amount to $\sim 1.7 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$ (80% of the total mass of the elliptical host). The bulk of the CO emission is located at $\sim 9$ kpc southeast from the nuclei position, close to a faint optical structure, suggesting that the CO emission could either represent a gas-rich companion galaxy merging with the elliptical host or a tail-like structure reminiscent of a previous interaction. We argue that the first scenario is more likely given the large masses implied by the CO source, which would imply a highly asymmetric elliptical host. The close alignment between the CO emission’s major axis and the radio plume suggests a possible role in the excitation of the ambient gas reservoir by the latter. The stacking technique was used to search for CO emission and 3-mm continuum emission from galaxies in the surrounding cluster. However, no detection was found toward individual galaxies or the stacked ensemble of galaxies, with a $3\sigma$ limit of $< 1.1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ for the molecular gas.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Generally, quasi-stellar object (QSO) and stellar spheroid formation occurs when two gas-rich disk galaxies merge and the large amount of gas, compressed and shocked by the interaction, triggers intense starburst activity in the system, which is seen as a powerful infrared (IR) luminous galaxy (the so-called ultra luminous IR galaxies—ULIRGs; Sanders & Mirabel 1996). As the system evolves, the gas flows into its central region, likely feeding an active galactic nucleus (AGN). When the black hole has grown enough in size and luminosity, feedback and gas consumption from star formation and AGN activity eventually dissipate the dust and an optically luminous QSO emerges (Di Matteo et al. 2005). Once AGN activity subsides, the galaxy evolves into a massive spheroid, hosting a quiescent supermassive black hole (SMBH) at its center. In this scenario, optically and IR luminous QSOs with warm IR colors ($S_{25 \mu m}/S_{60 \mu m} > 0.2$) represent the rare ULIRG-to-QSO transition objects (Sanders et al. 1988a, 1988b).

Observations of CO emission lines found large amounts of molecular gas associated with these ULIRG-to-QSO systems, supporting an evolutionary scenario (e.g., Sanders et al. 1989; Young & Scoville 1991; Sanders & Mirabel 1996). However, due to the necessarily low resolution of single-dish telescopes, poor sensitivity of the few interferometric CO maps available, and lack of high-resolution optical images (e.g., with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST)) of most of these objects, few studies were able to determine the location of the molecular gas reservoir with respect to the powerful QSO. Scoville et al. (2003) imaged the CO emission line from a number of the most optically luminous bright, optically-selected Palomar–Green QSOs, which are thought to be the end products of the starburst-to-QSO transition. Interestingly, large amounts of gas, similar to that found in ULIRGs, were found in these objects. The conclusion drawn from these observations was that, assuming that the CO emission and starburst activity are well centered around the nuclei, the QSO host galaxies should be late-type spirals (Scoville et al. 2003). However, this contradicts the fact that most of these objects are typically found to have giant elliptical host galaxies in the optical.

Recently, sensitive high-resolution interferometric CO observations of a template ULIRG-to-QSO transition system, HE0450-2958 at $z \sim 0.3$, along with HST imaging, found a merger between a gas-rich spiral and a gas-poor elliptical instead of an optically luminous QSO hosted by a late-type gas-rich spiral in this system (Papadopoulos et al. 2008a). Here, the optically luminous QSO lies outside the molecular gas reservoir, which is identified by a massive but obscured companion galaxy (Papadopoulos et al. 2008a; Elbaz et al. 2009). High-resolution IR observations of this object support this assertion and also suggest a more complex scenario, in which the QSO radio jet alters the state of the interstellar medium (ISM) and possibly induces a starburst in the gas-rich member of the interaction (Klamer et al. 2004; Feain et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2009). Gas-poor/gas-rich galaxy interactions “igniting” powerful QSOs and starbursts are expected to be common under the hierarchical framework of structure formation (Springel et al. 2005). Moreover, an important consequence of unrelaxed dynamical merger configurations...
between a gas-rich system and a large QSO-hosting elliptical is the serious underestimation of the dynamical mass of the latter, an effect already noted in more orderly (but still unrelaxed) gas-rich spirals at high redshifts (Daddi et al. 2010). This can have a direct impact on CO-based estimates of QSO host galaxy masses at high redshifts (Wang et al. 2010) if they involve such mergers. Thus, such reported ULIRG-to-QSO transition objects must be revisited with high-resolution CO and optical imaging to reveal the detailed dynamical configurations of the molecular gas reservoirs with respect to the AGN, and to investigate the possible role of the latter in the formation of galaxies. For local, powerful QSOs, typically hosted by well-characterized large ellipticals with known masses (Floyd et al. 2004), high-resolution CO observations can serve as excellent test beds of the accuracy of dynamical mass estimates using CO lines.

One of the most prominent local templates of candidate ULIRG-to-QSO transition objects is the IR/optically luminous QSO [HB89]1821+643 system (hereafter H1821+643) at \( z = 0.297 \). In this paper, we report CO emission-line observations of this system using the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA). These observations directly trace the bulk of molecular gas, which is the fuel for star formation. We use a concordance ΛCDM cosmology throughout, with \( H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}, \Omega_M = 0.27, \) and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73 \) (Spergel et al. 2007).

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. H1821+643

With an optical absolute magnitude \( M_V = -27.1 \) at a redshift of 0.297, H1821+643 is one of the most luminous QSOs in the local universe (Hutchings & Neff 1991; Schneider et al. 1992). H1821+643 has been the subject of a number of studies aimed at determining the morphology of its host galaxy. This bright QSO is hosted by a giant elliptical galaxy with a half-light radius of \( \sim 14 \text{ kpc} \) in extent, as revealed by modeling of the point-spread function (PSF)-subtracted HST images (McLeod & McLeod 2001; Floyd et al. 2004). The luminous nuclear component outshines the underlying host with a luminosity ratio of \( \sim 11 \) in the HST/I band (Floyd et al. 2004). H1821+643 lies in a massive galaxy cluster with optical richness class 2 (Lacy et al. 1992). X-ray observations show that this corresponds to a strong cool-core cluster with a cooling time of 1 Gyr, a decrease in temperature in the cluster center from 9.0 \( \pm \) 1.5 to 1.3 \( \pm \) 0.2 keV, and a mass accretion rate from the central engine of \( 40 \text{ } M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (Russell et al. 2010).

Radio continuum imaging of H1821+643 indicates that this is a radio-quiet QSO, with a radio lobe extending to 250 kpc from the bright core in the southwest direction (Papadopoulos et al. 1995; Blundell & Lacy 1995; Blundell et al. 1996; Blundell & Rawlings 2001). The radio lobe appears to bend by \( \sim 80^\circ \) in projection on sub-arcsec scales, suggesting that the radio axis is precessing, which implies an SMBH binary system at its nuclei (Blundell & Rawlings 2001) that has possibly already merged (Robinson et al. 2010). Interestingly, this object has radio luminosities at the transition to separate radio-quiet and radio-loud QSOs, and also at the transition between Fanaroff–Riley type I (FR I) and type II (FR II) structures (Blundell & Rawlings 2001).

2.2. CO Observations

Observations of the \(^{12}\text{CO} \ J = 1–0 \) emission line (rest frequency: 115.271 GHz) were performed using CARMA in the C-configuration, with two overlapping target fields. The first field was observed during 2008 October to November and centered at \( \alpha_{2000} = 18^h 21^m 57.2^s, \delta_{2000} = 64^\circ 20' 36.''23 \) (six tracks centered at the position of H1821+643). Observations of the second field were obtained during 2010 March from two tracks centered at \( \alpha = 18^h 22^m 02.''8, \delta = 64^\circ 20' 05.''3, \sim 47^\circ 7 \) southeast of the first pointing. We offset the second pointing to allow us to simultaneously observe H1821+643 and another source of interest in the field. This object will be studied in a separate paper. The observations were taken under good millimeter weather conditions, with \( T_{30\text{GHz}} < 0.5 \).

We used 3-mm receivers tuned to 88.8751 GHz (lower sideband). Three bands (or spectral windows) of 15 channels each and 31.25 MHz per channel were used. These bands were put together to roughly cover \( \sim 1.3 \text{ GHz} \) in frequency. For the 2010 observational runs, the three bands were overlapped by two channels to improve the bandpass calibration, and the edge channels were flagged. In the 2008 runs, however, the bands were not overlapped, and thus no flagging of the edge channels could be performed because it would translate to spectral gaps. This resulted in a loss of sensitivity in channels with velocities of \( \sim \pm 780 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). After combining all data sets, the effective bandwidth was \( \sim 1.281 \text{ GHz} \). The large bandwidth allowed us to search for emission not only from H1821+643 but also from galaxies in its dense environment. The upper sideband was tuned to \( \sim 93.1 \text{ GHz} \) to obtain an independent measurement of the continuum emission.

We observed the nearby source J1849+670 (distance to target: \( \sim 3\text{-}9 \) ) every 15 minutes for amplitude and phase calibration. The strong calibrators J1927+739, 3C273, and 3C454.3 were used for bandpass calibration. Neptune and MWC349 were used for absolute flux calibration. Pointing was checked every hour using the optical and radio methods (Corder et al. 2010). The data were edited and calibrated using a combination of MIRIAD and CASA tasks. The first and last edge channels where the bandpass deteriorated were flagged accordingly. The large primary beam of CARMA at the observing frequency (1') allowed us to partially overlap the two pointings, resulting in a 20% increase in sensitivity. We subtracted the continuum emission from our data in the uv-plane by fitting the continuum with a polynomial of order one, using line-free channels to estimate the continuum level. This is particularly important for the H1821+643 emission line, for which a strong continuum from the jet is expected (see below).

The naturally weighted visibilities were imaged and deconvolved using CLEAN to a residual of approximately 1σ, where σ is the noise level, in a box centered in H1821+643. The final images reach a sensitivity of 1σ \( \approx 0.7 \text{ mJy} \) per 120 km s\(^{-1}\) channel in each field, with a clean beam size of 2.5′ × 2.1′ and a position angle of 95°.

3. RESULTS

3.1. CO and Continuum Emission in H1821+643

Figure 1 shows the CO velocity-integrated emission map and the corresponding spectrum averaged over the emitting region toward H1821+643. A Gaussian fit to the spectrum indicates an emission line with an FWHM of 580 \( \pm \) 140 km s\(^{-1}\) and centered at 120 \( \pm \) 60 km s\(^{-1}\) from the optical redshift \( z = 0.297 \) (Schneider et al. 1992). By fitting an elliptical Gaussian profile to the integrated CO map, we find that the emission appears to be extended along the major axis, with a scale of 4.0 \( \pm \) 1.2 or 18 \( \pm \) 5 kpc; however, it is unresolved along the minor axis.
Figure 1. Left: The HST $I$-band image is shown in the background, with green and red contours overlaid showing the 3-mm continuum and the CO 1–0 map integrated over 540 km s$^{-1}$, respectively, toward H1821+643. Green contours are shown in steps of 8σ, with σ = 0.2 mJy beam$^{-1}$, starting at ±8σ. Red contour levels are shown in steps of 1σ = 0.5 mJy beam$^{-1}$, starting at ±2σ. The white “$+$” and “$-$” symbols represent the position of the bright radio nuclei and the southwest radio component identified by Blundell et al. (1996). The dashed orange line roughly represents the direction of the jet (from Blundell et al. 1996; Blundell & Rawlings 2001). The red ellipse at the bottom left shows the beam’s shape and size. Right: CO 1–0 spectrum of H1821+643 averaged over a region that encloses the CO emission shown in the left panel. The solid line represents a Gaussian fit to the data.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 1

| Source    | $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ (J2000) | $\delta_{\text{CO}}$ (J2000) | $z$ | $S_{\text{CO}}$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | $S_{\text{CO} \Delta v}$ (Jy km s$^{-1}$) | $M_{\text{gas}}$ ($\times 10^8$ $M_\odot$) | $S_{\text{rot}}$ (mJy) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| H1821+643 | 18 21 57.42                 | $+64 20 34.75$              | 0.2970 | <2.1                            | <1.0                             | ...                             | 10.3 ± 0.5       |
| Companion | 18 21 57.42                 | $+64 20 34.75$              | 0.2974 | 2.1 ± 0.5                       | 2.3 ± 0.4                       | (8.0 ± 1.7)                    | <1.5             |

Notes.

a Redshift for H1821+643 nuclei taken from Schneider et al. (1992).
b Peak emission measured in a CO image averaged over the line FWHM.
c Velocity-and area-integrated CO emission.
d Gas mass computed from the measured CO-integrated flux and using an $X_{\text{CO}}$ prescription, as explained in the text.
e Continuum flux density at 93 GHz.
f CO-emitting source.

c - 3.2. Previous Reported CO Observations of H1821+643

Previous searches for CO in this object by the IRAM 30-m telescope failed to detect any emission (Alloin et al. 1992; Combes et al. 2011). Combes et al. (2011) reported a 3σ limit of 1.7 Jy km s$^{-1}$ in a 300 km s$^{-1}$ channel. To directly compare this upper limit with our observations, we deconvolved the visibilities to a coarser velocity resolution of 300 km s$^{-1}$, matching the one used by Combes et al. (2011), around the central velocity of the line profile. Integrating spatially over the emission area and the velocity range covered, we find an integrated flux density of $\sim$0.72 Jy km s$^{-1}$ over a 300 km s$^{-1}$ channel, which is about 2.3 times deeper than what Combes et al. (2011) observed. Hence, this explains why the CO emission line was previously undetected.

3.3. Gas Off the Nuclei: A Gas-rich/Gas-poor Galaxy Merger or Tail-like Structure?

The CO emission line from H1821+643 is located $\sim$2′0 (about one synthesized beam; $\sim$8.8 kpc) southeast of the radio-core position, and 2′8 ($\sim$12.3 kpc) southeast of the optical center (host galaxy). Based on the analysis of PSF-subtracted HST optical images, Floyd et al. (2004) found a nebulous optical structure. The authors, however, pointed out that such an optical feature may correspond to an artifact of the PSF-subtraction procedure.

The CO position coincides with the location of this optical structure, supporting the reality of such a feature. Indeed, the
bulk of the molecular gas is located at only 0.52, well within the uncertainty in the position, from an optically faint peak to its northeast (Figure 1). Thus, this suggests that the CO emission is possibly associated with either an optically faint but gas-rich companion galaxy that is merging with the giant elliptical host or an asymmetric tail-like structure within the host galaxy reminiscent of a previous merger event. Note, however, that the powerful AGN is hosted by a giant elliptical galaxy, and large amounts of molecular gas ($M_{\text{H}_2} > 5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$) such as that observed here (see below) are rare in early-type galaxies (Crocker et al. 2011). Only 3 of 56 early-type galaxies in the Virgo cluster have molecular gas masses $> 10^9 M_{\odot}$ (Young et al. 2011), which makes it statistically unlikely that the molecular gas observed in H1821+643 is related to the host galaxy. Although it is still plausible that the gas could be related to a tail, the relic of a past merger, the substantial amounts of molecular gas, its offset location with respect to the elliptical host, and the existence of a starburst component in the optical-to-radio spectral energy distribution (SED; see below) suggest that the CO emission actually comes from a different galaxy. If the molecular gas is indeed related to the host galaxy, it would imply a highly asymmetric gas distribution, possibly associated with a previous interaction or spiral arm, in an unusually gas-rich elliptical galaxy, likely related to starburst activity. Deep, high-resolution IR observations, transparent to obscuration, are necessary to determine which possibility is correct.

The CO emission is also well aligned with the radio axis to the southeast, suggesting a physical association among the radio-plume emission, the diffuse optical source, and large amounts of molecular gas. According to Blundell & Rawlings (2001), the radio jet appears to have been pointed within $7''$ within the last 0.3 Gyr. Thus, the probability that the CO emission is by chance located within $7''$ is $\sim 2 \times 7/360$, or $3.9\%$, where the factor two accounts for both radio lobes (north and south). This probability is similar to the one found from the alignment between the radio-axis and the CO position angle.

### 3.4. ISM Properties

The CO luminosity (in units of K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$) can be derived from the line intensity, following Solomon et al. (1997), as

$$L'_{\text{CO}} = 3.25 \times 10^7 v_{\text{obs}}^{-2}(1+z)^{-3} D_L^{-2} \int S_{\text{CO}} dv$$

where $\int S_{\text{CO}} dv$ is the velocity-integrated CO flux density in units of Jy km s$^{-1}$, $v_{\text{obs}}$ is the observing frequency in GHz, and $D_L$ is the luminosity distance in Mpc at the source redshift $z$. From our integrated CO flux, we derive $L'_{\text{CO}} = (1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10}$ (K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$). The luminosity of the CO 1–0 emission line is commonly used to estimate the total molecular gas mass, $M_{\text{H}_2}$, by using the relation $M_{\text{H}_2} = X_{\text{CO}} L_{\text{CO}}$. $X_{\text{CO}}$ is the CO-luminosity-to-gas-mass conversion factor, which we assume to be $0.8 M_{\odot}$ (K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$)$^{-1}$ similar to the typical value found for local IR luminous galaxies (Downes & Solomon 1998). We thus estimate a molecular gas mass of $(8.0 \pm 1.7) \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ for the CO-emitting source (Table 1). This molecular gas mass is at the high end of the range found for local ULIRGs, and is typical of luminous submillimeter galaxies and massive disk galaxies at high redshifts (Greve et al. 2005; Solomon & Vanden Bout 2005; Daddi et al. 2010).

### 3.5. Dynamical Mass of the CO-bright Source

Due to the relatively low significance of the CO detection, it is not possible to determine the actual geometry of the CO-emitting source. We estimate the dynamical mass based on the CO profile using disk geometry, which is commonly utilized for CO sources. However, we remark that our adoption of disk geometry is not well established for our CO source, and we use it only as a guide and for the purpose of comparison with other CO studies. In this case, the dynamical mass is given approximately by $M_{\text{dyn}} \approx (R/G)(v_{\text{rot}}/\sin(i))^2$. The source radius can be expressed in terms of its major axis, as $R \sim a_{\text{major}}/2 = 9$ kpc, and the rotational velocity is given by the CO line width, $\sim 580$ km s$^{-1}$. The CO emission source has a major axis at the redshift of the source of $\sim 18 \pm 5$ kpc (at the 3$\sigma$ level) and a minor axis limited to the beam size ($\lesssim 10$ kpc). This allows us to put a limit on the inclination angle given by $i = \cos^{-1}(a_{\text{minor}}/a_{\text{major}}) > 40^\circ$. This takes into account the uncertainty in the major axis; the value of the inclination angle would only increase with a smaller minor axis. With these values, we find a dynamical mass of $< 1.7 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$.

The observed CO distribution is highly asymmetric with respect to the QSO position, and actually barely includes it (see Figure 1). Based on the accurate optical photometry of H1821+643 from $HST$ images, Floyd et al. (2004) estimate the total mass of the elliptical host galaxy to be $2.1 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$. Given the asymmetric distribution of CO-emitting gas close to the QSO host galaxy, it is indeed surprising that the dynamical mass range estimated for the CO source amounts to $\lesssim 80\%$ of the mass of the elliptical host ($\sim 2.1 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$). As explained above, such large amounts of molecular gas are rare (but possible) in old giant ellipticals (Crocker et al. 2011; Young et al. 2011), and thus the CO emission potentially could arise from an obscured, merging starburst galaxy, which in this case would have an important stellar component. On the other hand, it is interesting to note that if the CO profile had been used to estimate the QSO host galaxy dynamical mass, we would have underestimated such a value by at least 20%. This is consistent with results from high-redshift disk galaxies, for which the CO-based dynamical mass was found to be underestimated by $\sim 30\%$ (Daddi et al. 2010).

The potential discrepancy between $M_{\text{dyn}}$ (CO) and $M_{\text{QSO-host}}$ in massive mergers offers a general cautionary tale when it comes to CO-deduced dynamical mass estimates of QSO host galaxies at higher redshifts (e.g., Wang et al. 2010).

### 3.6. Spectral Energy Distribution

Using radiative transfer models of starburst and AGN emission, Farrah et al. (2002) studied the SED of H1821+643. These models give a good fit to the far-IR data points, although they fail to describe the emission from old stars at wavelengths shorter than 4 $\mu m$. Their best-fit model to the IR emission of H1821+643 indicates a composite object, with a warm AGN component that provides about 60% of the total luminosity and a starburst component with a cold dust temperature of $\sim 30$ K and dust mass of $\sim 3 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$. Their model, however, does not include radio emission, which can contribute a significant amount to the emission at submillimeter wavelengths.

The spatial coincidence between the radio core (Blundell et al. 1996) and the peak emission in our 93-GHz continuum images of H1821+643 implies that most of this emission comes from the nuclei and that it is non-thermal. As shown in Figure 2, the flux density at $\sim 93$ GHz is compatible with the trend followed
by the radio emission at lower frequencies, up to 350 GHz, suggesting a non-thermal nature of such emission.

To account for the radio continuum emission, we use a power-law parameterization of the form $S_\nu \propto (\nu/\nu_0)^\alpha$, while simultaneously modeling the far-IR emission with a multi-component gray-body spectrum. Each dust component can be described by a model of the form $S_\nu \propto B_\nu(T_d)(1 - e^{-\tau_\nu})$, where $B_\nu(T_d)$ is the Planck function and $T_d$ is the dust temperature. The dust optical depth, $\tau_\nu$, is proportional to the dust mass, $M_d$, and to the dust absorption coefficient $\kappa(\nu) = (\nu/\nu_0)^\beta$, which we adopt to be equal to 0.04 cm$^2$ gr$^{-1}$ at 250 GHz (Kreugel & Siebenmorgen 1994) with $\beta = 2.0$ (Priddey & McMahon 2001).

The best-fit model is shown in Figure 2. A cold dust component is found, with $T_d = 50$ K and a dust mass of $1.4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. A second, warmer dust component is obtained with a dust temperature of 130 K and a dust mass of $\sim 1.0 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. Both components alone do not allow us to fit the shorter-wavelength data points, $\lambda = 6 - 10 \mu$m; thus, we add a third, hot component with a dust temperature of 430 K, which we expect to be biased by the unknown amount of emission from stars at these wavelengths.

The radio emission is well described by a power law with a slope of $\alpha \sim -0.05$ and a flux density of 16 mJy at 1.0 GHz. The dust model obtained is consistent with that found by Farrah et al. (2002); however, the inclusion of radio emission caused a change in the resulting cold gas temperature from 30 K to 50 K.

The cold-dust component emission is identified by vigorous starburst activity, possibly related to the CO-emitting source, and the warm/hot-dust component emission is identified by AGN activity. We find the total IR luminosity $L_{\text{IR}} = 1.0 \times 10^{13} L_\odot$, with the AGN contributing $5.3 \times 10^{12} L_\odot$ and the starburst contributing $4.7 \times 10^{12} L_\odot$. Note that, although the flat-spectrum radio emission contributes about 1% of the total IR luminosity, it amounts to $\sim 70$% of the emission at 350 GHz. On the other hand, the starburst emission is negligible at 90 GHz, $\sim 25 \mu$Jy, which is consistent with our observations.

Finally, note that the dust mass of the cold dust component and our CO measurements imply a gas-to-dust-mass ratio of $\sim 60$, comparable to the values found for a sample of local far-IR-selected galaxies (Dunne et al. 2000; Seaquist et al. 2004) and distant submillimeter galaxies (Greve et al. 2005; Kovács et al. 2006; Michałowski et al. 2010), but somewhat low compared to values found for ULIRGs (Wilson et al. 2008).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Another Possible Example of Jet-induced Galaxy Formation

Simulations predict that galaxies receive a large fraction of their gas from cold flows of intergalactic gas. Such neutral gas is found in filaments and cools effectively in massive galaxy clusters onto giant elliptical galaxies at their centers (Fabian 1994). Feedback from the central radio source may provide a mechanism for reheating the gas. It has been proposed that the cooled gas in the inner regions of the cluster may converge toward the central black hole or collapse to form molecular gas clouds and stars (Pizzolato & Soker 2005; Russell et al. 2010). CO observations of the central massive galaxies in clusters indicate that the deposition of gas toward the central regions is indeed produced in short timescales (Edge 2001; Salomé & Combes 2003; Edge & Frayer 2003).

It is well known that H1821+643 lies at the center of a massive cluster of galaxies (Lacy et al. 1992), and therefore the cold accretion scenario in this case is possible. The gas funneled to the center would feed the massive black hole, and an accretion disk instability would ignite the jet emission (Russell et al. 2010). However, to start the outburst, a galaxy-merger scenario is more plausible because it would explain the precessing jet axis, which is likely produced by a binary black hole system (Russell et al. 2010). Our observations suggest that the actual answer is more likely a combination of both hypotheses. The CO emission is offset from the QSO nuclei and coincident with the position of a faint optical feature to the southeast, which is well-aligned (position and position angle) with the southern jet axis.

X-ray observations indicate that the cluster around H1821+643 has a cooling rate of $300 \pm 100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, whereas the QSO accretion rate, $40 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, is at half the Eddington limit (Russell et al. 2010). This implies that $\sim 3 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ has cooled in the timescale (1 Gyr) of this cluster and is potentially available for molecular gas formation and material accretion onto the central black hole. At this accretion rate, the QSO would only keep growing for a fairly short period of time, $\lesssim 0.1$ Gyr, because in this time it would grow larger than the upper limit that has been observed in the local universe (Russell et al. 2010). This puts a limit on the period in which the QSO is active and might have formed jets, and is consistent with the timescale of 0.3 Gyr in which the QSO jet appears to have remained within a $7^o$ cone (Blundell & Rawlings 2001). This implies that the jet axis has been pointed in roughly the same direction during most of its existence.

The significant alignment among the jet axis and the companion galaxy, and the compatibility with the jet lifetime (the time in which the jet has been impacting the merging galaxy) suggest that the jet could have a role in boosting star formation within the CO-emitting source (Klammer et al. 2004; Feain et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2009). Strong jet–ISM interactions inducing highly excited CO lines over large molecular gas reservoirs recently have been noted in 3C 293, a powerful FR II radio galaxy (Papadopoulos et al. 2008b), though the effect on the star
formation rate (very low in 3C 293) remains far from clear (Papadopoulos et al. 2010). Examples of radio-loud QSOs where the jet aligns with the location of the bulk of molecular gas have been found at high redshifts (Klamer et al. 2004; Elbaz et al. 2009).

4.2. Searching for Cold Flows of Gas as the Origin of CO Abundance

To test how gas is cooling onto the massive galaxies in this galaxy cluster, we mapped the distribution of galaxies, which should roughly trace the filamentary structure and path of intergalactic gas into the cluster core. We selected galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) with photometric redshifts between 0.25 and 0.35 and brighter than $i' = 23.0$ in an area of $\sim 5.2' \times 5.2'$, centered in H1821+643. A density map of the selected galaxies was constructed by creating a grid of cells and computing the number density as the 10th-nearest neighbor criterion, only six galaxies were selected, most of them lying on the outskirts of the image, where sensitivity decreases, as shown in Figure 4. We extracted 400 km s$^{-1}$ single-channel maps centered at the position of each galaxy and with a central frequency corresponding to the galaxies' redshift (e.g., $\nu_{CO}/(1 + z)$). We deconvolved the visibilities, and the resulting dirty maps were thus stacked to compute a noise-weighted average map. We did not detect individual sources or their combined emission (Figure 5). We put a $3\sigma$ limit on their average emission of 0.81 mJy per 400 km s$^{-1}$, which constrains the average gas mass of these galaxies to $<1.1 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$. This is consistent with the molecular gas masses found in elliptical and lenticular galaxies in the local volume, which range between $2 \times 10^{10}$ and $5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (Crocker et al. 2011), and excludes the possibility that gas-rich spirals, which typically have molecular gas masses of $>1 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, are in the inner regions of the cluster.

To check whether any possible bright continuum source could be contributing to the bright emission seen in far-IR images we took advantage of the comparatively high resolution of the 3.3-mm images to search for continuum emission from the galaxies in this cluster field by using stacking. To obtain better statistics, we increased our sample of galaxies in the cluster by selecting sources from the SDSS photometric catalog with redshifts in the range $z = 0.25–0.35$, optical emission $i'_{AB} < 23$, and located within $30''$ from the central position of each target field. This resulted in a sample of 23 galaxies. We combined all line-free bands and obtained a total bandwidth of 4.125 GHz at $\sim$91 GHz. Similar to the CO maps, we extracted continuum maps, deconvolved the visibilities, and combined the dirty maps, which were stacked in a noise-weighted fashion. Again, no significant detection was found in the average image down to a $3\sigma$ limit of 175 $\mu$Jy (Figure 5). This implies that the only strong continuum emitter in the field is H1821+643.
5. CONCLUSIONS

We presented the detection of the $^{12}$CO $J = 1-0$ emission line toward the template ULIRG-to-QSO transition object H1821+643. The extended CO emission was not associated with the QSO or host galaxies but was offset about 8.8 kpc to the southeast. The molecular gas reservoir was estimated to be $\sim 8 \times 10^9$ $M_\odot$. The CO emission coincided with the position of an optical feature suggested by HST optical imaging. We argue that H1821+643 could either represent a merger between a gas-poor, giant elliptical and a gas-rich companion galaxy or that the CO emission could arise from a tail-like, gas-rich structure within the giant elliptical galaxy.

Based on the optical emission, H1821+643 was found to have a total mass of $\sim 2.1 \times 10^{12}$ $M_\odot$. However, we found that the dynamical mass obtained for the CO source (offset from the elliptical host), based on its line profile, can amount to up to 80% of the mass of the elliptical host. On the one hand, this suggests that the CO source should be a different galaxy from the elliptical one, because otherwise its large mass would imply a highly asymmetric stellar distribution for the elliptical host. On the other hand, this result suggests that if we had measured the elliptical dynamical mass based on the CO profile, assuming it is well centered in the elliptical, we would have underestimated its value.

We revised the dual nature of the SED of H1821+643 (starburst and QSO), and thus associated a cold-dust ($\sim 50$ K), massive-starburst component with the CO-emitting source, and a warm-dust ($\sim 130$ K), AGN component with the nuclei and host galaxy. We found that the inclusion of a flat-spectrum radio component, important even up to $\sim 350$ GHz, implies a higher temperature for the cold-dust component with respect to previous estimates.

Based on the projected density map of galaxies in the surrounding cluster field, we found that the field shows an overdensity peak to the southeast of the H1821+643 system, suggesting cold flows of gas toward the inner regions of the cluster along this path. This hypothesis needs to be confirmed with sensitive CO and H I observations to reveal the nature of the CO-emitting source and directly measure the total amount of neutral gas deposited in the central regions of the cluster and QSO.

The stacking technique was used to estimate the combined CO emission from galaxies in the field with spectroscopic redshifts. This allowed us to put an upper limit on the molecular gas mass of $1.1 \times 10^9$ $M_\odot$, comparable to that of typical elliptical galaxies. Additionally, stacking analysis was used to search for 93-GHz continuum emission from a sample of photometrically selected sources with redshifts in the range between 0.25 and 0.35. We found no strong continuum emitters down to a 3$\sigma$ limit of 175 $\mu$Jy. The lack of significant amounts of gas in cluster-field galaxies hints at the importance of interactions in the central object that can trigger gas condensation and star formation.

The results revealed above, in which the bulk of the molecular gas is well set off from the central QSO, exemplify the importance of performing a revision of the local ULIRG-to-QSO transition objects with high-spatial-resolution observations. Many of these objects may have been misclassified as post-merger systems; perhaps more importantly, they are ideal targets to investigate unrelaxed-gas–star configurations in which dynamical masses may have been underestimated.
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