Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of triple antibiotic paste, calcium hydroxide, and a proton pump inhibitor against resistant root canal pathogens
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INTRODUCTION

Bacterial microflora of primary endodontic infections differs vastly from secondary or persistent periapical lesions. The most frequent survivors present in a very high proportion of root canal failure cases are Enterococcus faecalis, Gram-positive, and facultative anaerobe with the prevalence of 24%–77%.[1,2] It has an inherent ability to invade deep inside dentinal tubules, survive periods of starvation, and withstand extremes of pH of medicaments such

ABSTRACT
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as calcium hydroxide (CH).\textsuperscript{[13,14]} Interestingly, this resistance to withstand the alkaline stress induced by CH is also shared with Candida albicans; another resistant pathogen in teeth with periradicular lesions with the prevalence of 6\%–18\%.\textsuperscript{[5,6]}

Both bacteria and fungi express a proton pump in their plasma membrane for energy metabolism and maintenance of constant cytoplasmic pH\textsuperscript{[7,8]} that enables E. faecalis and C. albicans to maintain the homeostasis of the cytoplasm and survive the high alkaline pH of CH.\textsuperscript{[9,10]} Moreover, dentin neutralizes the high pH of CH by exerting a buffering effect.\textsuperscript{[11]}

Triple antibiotic paste (TAP) comprising ciprofloxacin, metronidazole, and minocycline has the potential to eradicate microbes residing deep inside the dentinal tubules.\textsuperscript{[12]} However, its use TAP can cause discoloration of teeth due to minocycline present in it.\textsuperscript{[13]} Another primary concern is resistance of bacteria to antibiotics and cytotoxic effects of TAP to stem cells and periradicular tissues when used at higher concentrations.\textsuperscript{[14,15]}

Recently, proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) were proposed as an adjuvant to intracanal medicaments. These cause an irreversible inhibition of the H+/K+ ATPase in the parietal cells of the stomach leading to reduced gastric acid secretion, and thus are a drug of choice for gastric/duodenal ulcers and gastroesophageal reflux diseases. They increase the efficacy of antibiotics by decreasing the intragastric acidity.\textsuperscript{[16]} PPIs have also been found to exert antioxidant properties by directly affecting the neutrophils, monocytes, endothelial, and epithelial cells.\textsuperscript{[17]}

Although the role of proton pump in the survival of resistant endodontic pathogens is known, a limited research is carried out to evaluate the antibacterial efficacy of PPIs. The aim of the present study was thus to evaluate the effect of the addition of omeprazole on antimicrobial efficacy of CH against E. faecalis and C. albicans in comparison to that of TAP.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and media**

E. faecalis, American type culture collection (ATCC 29212) and C. albicans (ATCC 90028) were subcultured on sheep blood agar and Sabouraud dextrose agar plates, respectively, and incubated aerobically at 37\°C overnight.

**Preparation of medicament working strength solutions**

Ciprofloxacin, metronidazole, and minocycline for TAP, CH, and omeprazole were obtained in powder form (Sigma-Aldrich; Mumbai, Maharashtra, India). CH was dissolved in distilled water at room temperature to prepare a saturated solution, centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min, and the aqueous supernatant layer was filter sterilized using a sterile 25-mm syringe filter. Working strength solution of each medicament group was prepared by diluting them with distilled water and final concentration tested for antibacterial efficacy was as follows:

- **Group 1**: (TAP)-25 $\mu$g/ml
- **Group 2**: (CH)-16 mg/ml
- **Group 3a**: (CH 16 mg + omeprazole 2 mg)/ml
- **Group 3b**: (CH 16 mg/ml + omeprazole 4 mg)/ml

**Preparation of 0.5 McFarland**

The density of each strain was adjusted equal to that of 0.5 McFarland standard (1.5 × 10\(^8\)) CFU/ml after inoculating in BHI-YE broth supplemented with 5 g yeast extract/L and 5% v/v Vitamin K+ hemin. McFarland was used as a reference to adjust the turbidity of microbial suspension.

Two-fold microdilution assay was performed on 96-well sterile uncoated microtiter plates (tarsons) as per The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines.\textsuperscript{[18]} Strains were treated with 1:10, 1:20, 1:40, 1:80, 1:160, 1:320, 1:640, 1:1280, and 1:2560 dilutions of each medicament group for 24, 48, and 72 h. Bacterial growth appeared as turbidity or as a deposit of cells at the bottom of a well. The turbidities of the bacterial cultures of each well were measured using a microplate reader device (ELx 808 Biotek Inc., USA) set at 630 nm. All the readings were taken in triplicate, and the minimum mean concentration of the drug which produced least optical density for that group was recorded. Eleventh well was negative control, in which only broth was added without bacterial inoculums and 12\textsuperscript{th} well as a positive control, free of antibiotics.

**Statistical analysis**

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons tests was used to compare the optical density among the groups at different concentrations at 24, 48, and 72 h. The effect of time on the optical density of various groups was tested using two-way ANOVA and pairwise comparisons. The significance level was set at 0.05. All the analysis was carried out using SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
RESULTS

Mean concentration (in μg/ml) and dilution at which minimum optical density was recorded for each drug tested at various time intervals is depicted in Table 1.

ANOVA revealed a significant difference in the *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* optical density at 24, 48, and 72 h among all the groups in different concentrations. When evaluated irrespective of time, mean minimum optical density for TAP was obtained at 1.25 μg/ml (1:20 dilution) and 25 μg/ml (0 dilution) against *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans*. Least optical density for CH plus PPI group was achieved 1.6 μg/ml (1:10 dilution) and 16 μg/ml (0 dilution) for *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* [Table 2 and Figures 1, 2]. The pairwise comparison tests revealed that optical density for both strains was significantly (*P* = 0.0001) lower in Group 1 (TAP) than Group 2 (CH), Group 3a (CH + omeprazole 2 mg), and Group 3b (CH + omeprazole 4 mg) at all the concentrations but significantly higher (*P* = 0.0001) in Group 2(CH) compared to Group 3a(CH + omeprazole 2 mg and Group 3b (CH + omeprazole 4 mg) at all the concentrations. There was no significant (*P* > 0.05) difference in the optical density for both strains between Group 3a (CH + omeprazole 2 mg) and Group 3b (CH + omeprazole 4 mg) at all the concentrations. The two-way ANOVA revealed that there was a significant effect of time (*P* < 0.01) at all the concentrations in the optical density for both the strains. The interaction of Group X Time was also found to be statistically significant (*P* < 0.05) at all the concentrations in the optical density.

Table 1: Mean concentration (µg/ml) and dilution at which minimum optical density was recorded for each drug tested at various time intervals

| Microbial strains | Drug tested | TAP | CH | CH + omeprazole 2 mg | CH + omeprazole 4 mg |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|----|---------------------|---------------------|
| *E. faecalis*     | 24 h        | 1.25 μg/ml (1:20 dilution) | 1.6 μg/ml (0 dilution) | 1.6 μg/ml (1:10 dilution) | 1.6 μg/ml (1:10 dilution) |
|                   | 48 h        | 1.25 μg/ml (1:20 dilution) | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  |
|                   | 72 h        | 1.25 μg/ml (1:20 dilution) | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  |
| *C. albicans*     | 24 h        | 25 μg/ml (0 dilution)      | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  |
|                   | 48 h        | 25 μg/ml (0 dilution)      | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  |
|                   | 72 h        | 25 μg/ml (0 dilution)      | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  |

Table 2: Overall mean concentration (µg/ml) and dilution at which minimum optical density was recorded for each drug tested irrespective of time

| Microbial strains | TAP          | CH            | CH + omeprazole 2 mg | CH + omeprazole 4 mg |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| *E. faecalis*     | 1.25 μg/ml (1:20 dilution) | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution) | 1.6 μg/ml (1:10 dilution) | 1.6 μg/ml (1:10 dilution) |
| *C. albicans*     | 25 μg/ml (0 dilution)      | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  | 16 μg/ml (0 dilution)  |

*E. faecalis*: Enterococcus faecalis, *C. albicans*: Candida albicans, TAP: Triple antibiotic paste, CH: Calcium hydroxide
DISCUSSION

Microtiter plate method was adopted considering the usual contamination and difficulty in obtaining bacterial samples from the inherent complexities of root canal system. In addition, it is a quantitative and reproducible method that simulates the contact of the test microorganism with endodontic medicaments inside the root canal. Furthermore, the accuracy and feasibility of this approach substantially reduce the waiting time involved in standard laboratory antibacterial sensitivity assays.

The results suggest that CH alone was not as effective against the tested strains compared to other groups. Visible bacterial growth was observed in all the wells at 24, 48, and 72 h and minimum optical density was obtained only at full concentration. CH owes its antimicrobial property mainly to its high pH (>11) but it is practically difficult to achieve this high pH throughout the length of the canal because of the buffering capacity of dentin, especially in the dentinal tubules. Furthermore, the presence of proton pump in its cell membrane *E. faecalis* sustains the alkaline effect of CH dressing by allowing pumping of the protons into the cytoplasm and hence lowering the pH. Tang et al. listed the ability of microorganisms to survive in dentinal tubule ramifications, fall in pH, and microleakage of the temporary filling as some of the limitations of CH as an intracanal medicament.

TAP delivered statistically significant inhibition of *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* at 24, 48, and 72 h. TAP achieved least optical density at 1:20 dilution (1.25 μg/ml) against *E. faecalis* at all time intervals; however, for Candida, maximum inhibition of growth was seen only at highest concentration, i.e., 25 μg/ml at 24 h, 1:10 dilution (2.5 μg/ml) at 48 h, and 1:20 dilution (1.25 μg/ml) at 72 h. Hoshino et al. concluded that a combination of ciprofloxacin, metronidazole, and minocycline at a concentration of 25 μg/ml each per milliliter of paste was able to sterilize infected root dentin in vitro. Sato et al. reported 50 μg/ml of each antibiotic per milliliter was sufficient to sterilize infected root dentin in situ. In this study, TAP was found to be effective even at high dilutions, indicating that low concentrations of antibiotics might be sufficient to obtain the required antibacterial effect.

Th addition of omeprazole to CH showed synergism and achieved least optical density at 1:10 dilution (1.6 μg/ml) against *E. faecalis*; however, for *Candida*, it showed antifungal property only at maximum concentration and variation in the concentration; whether 2 mg or 4 mg of omeprazole did not affect the result. This synergism may be due to the irreversible inhibition of proton pump in the cell membrane of the *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans*.

Evans et al. demonstrated that survival of *E. faecalis* in CH is unrelated to stress-induced protein synthesis, but more the result of a proton pump that pumps protons into the cell to acidify the cytoplasm. The present data are in accordance with a previous in an in vivo study which has revealed that, the combination of a PPI, omeprazole with CH when used as an intracanal medicament exhibited increased antimicrobial efficacy against *E. faecalis* and superior radiographic healing of periapical lesions with increase in reparative bone areas in male Wistar rats compared CH. Apart from antibacterial properties, PPIs exert anti-inflammatory and pro-reparative effects which enhance the healing of the periapical area.

Although the results of this study using PPIs as an adjuvant to intracanal medicament were promising, an in vitro agar diffusion assay demonstrated that the addition of PPI (Pantoprazole) to CH did not enhance the antimicrobial efficacy of CH when compared to chlorhexidine. The variation in results may be due to the difference in the tested drugs and methodology used. The present experiment suggested that the PPIs were not very effective against *C. albicans* at the concentrations employed in the study. Omeprazole exhibits an “eagle” or “paradoxical effect,” i.e., its antifungal action is seen at a particular concentration but disappears at a higher or lower range. One of the limitations of the present study was not using a biofilm model for testing *E. faecalis* which would have more closely simulated the clinical situation as it occurs mostly as extra- or intra-radicular plaque.

CONCLUSIONS

Although in the present study, the best antibacterial property among the study groups was exhibited by TAP, followed by CH plus PPIs, the results also demonstrate the synergistic antimicrobial efficacy of CH and PPIs against *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans*. In addition, the results suggest that the TAP can be used at a lower concentration than it is being used to avoid the deleterious effects associated with higher concentrations.

However, in real-life situations, dentin exerts a buffering effect on endodontic disinfectants. Hence,
the antibacterial activity of PPIs along with CH as an intracanal medicament needs to be tested in the clinical scenario to establish it as a potential chemical adjunct to endodontic therapy.
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