Biomimetic piezoelectric quartz sensor for folic acid based on a molecular imprinting technology
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ABSTRACT

A novel molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP)-modified quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) sensor with high selectivity has been developed for the determination of folic acid via activator generated-atom transfer radical polymerization (AGET-ATRP) technique. It requires an alkyl halide (R-X) as an initiator, a transition metal complex as a catalyst, and an amine as reducing agent. Herein, chlorosilane was used as initiator which was grafted onto the self assembled monolayer modified quartz surface followed by the addition of pre-polymer mixture which latter underwent thermal cross-linking resulting in MIP-modified QCM sensor. The linear working range (quantification) was found to be 0.6-26.0 μg L⁻¹, with the detection limit as low as 0.08 μg L⁻¹ (S/N=3). Copyright © 2011 VBRI press.
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Introduction

Quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) is a simple, low-cost, high-resolution mass sensing technique [1], however, there is no specific selectivity. As a result, various chemicals and biomaterials have been used to modify (physically or chemically) the QCM surface in an effort to obtain selectivity. In recent decades, pre-coated (QCM)-based piezoelectric immuno-sensors have received widespread applications in the analysis of clinical targets [2], the monitoring of environmental contaminants, such as pathogen and bacteria [3] and the detection of biomolecular interaction [4]. This ensured attractive performance, such as high specificity, low cost, ease of use, and rapidness of detection. The most attractive one is molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) to obtain a selective polymer layer on the surface of QCM [5]. Molecular imprinting is a method for making selective binding sites in synthetic polymers using molecular template. Target molecules can be used as template for imprinting the cross-linked polymer. After the
removal of the template, the remaining polymer is selective for template. However, to the best of our knowledge, only a few applications of MIPs in QCM sensor have been reported and moreover most of them are only confined to biomolecules recognition [6]. However, there is hitherto none MIP-QCM reported for folic acid (FA) sensing. FA is a water-soluble vitamin and its deficiency can cause several chronic diseases such as, chrohn’s disease, epilepsy, anemia, dementia, spina bifida [7]. There are generally two different approaches to combine MIPs with a signal transduction unit (transducer): one is to immobilize a ready-made MIP on a transducer using physical entrapment or chemical coupling [8], and the other to assemble an MIP layer in-situ on transducer surface [9]. The in situ assembly approach can be carried out on a small scale, and is often preferred when expensive chemicals (templates) are required. However, in most of the published procedures, the in situ polymerization has been reportedly found to be difficult to control and consequently, MIP film grafted on the transducer surface has large batch-to-batch variations. Although thick films, as obtained using different coating methods, contain plenty of binding sites, it is often difficult for the underlying transducer to detect binding events that take place beyond certain distance. In this study, we investigate a possible route to prepare ultra-thin MIP [poly (1,3,5-trisacrylamide-2,4,6-triazine-ethylene glycol dimethacrylate)] films using surface initiated AGET-ATRP. Polymer films are directly formed on the gold-coated quartz crystal resonator, which facilitates an easy monitoring of the polymer growth.

**Experimental**

**Reagents**

3-(mercaptopropyl) trimethoxysilane (MTS), (3-chloropropyl)-trimethoxysilane (TMS), cupric chloride, 2,2’bi-pyridyl, triethyl amine (TEA), chloroform, ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA), and other solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemie (Steinheim, Germany). The stock solution of FA (500 mg L$^{-1}$) was prepared in triple-distilled water.

**Apparatus**

Microgravimetric measurements were performed by using 5MHz (AT-cut quartz crystals in a teflon holder and a quartz crystal analyzer) model. The following equation (Sauerbrey’s equation) [10] has been established for an AT-cut shear mode QCM:

$$\Delta F = -2F_0^2 \left( \frac{\rho_A \mu_A}{A} \right)^{-1/2} \Delta m$$

where $\Delta F$ is the measured frequency shift due to the added mass in hertz, $F_0$ is the fundamental oscillation frequency of the dry crystal, $\Delta m$ is the surface mass loading in grams, $\rho_A$ is the density of quartz (2.65 g cm$^{-3}$), $\mu_A$ is the shear modulus (2.95 x 10$^{11}$ dyn cm$^{-2}$), and A is the electrode area (1.37 cm$^2$). For the 5MHz quartz crystals used in this work, Eq. (1) predicted that a frequency change of 1Hz corresponds to a mass increase of 24.5 ng on the electrode.

**Evaluation of sensor response**

The FA imprinted crystal was firstly stabilized in 600 µL triple-distilled water at room temperature and a steady resonant frequency ($F_0$) was obtained. Then, the standard solution of FA in water (600 µL) was dropped on the QCM chips and interacted for 20 min. The frequency of the sensor was monitored until it became stable ($F_1$). The frequency shift for each concentration of FA was calculated using the equation: $\Delta F = F_0 - F_1$ and the evaluation was performed in triplicate. After each assay, FA was removed.

Morphological images were recorded using scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL, JSM, Model 840A, Netherlands).

**Preparation of the FA- imprinted QCM sensors**

Crystal was cleaned in a piranha solution (1:3, 30% H$_2$O$_2$/concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$) for 2 min before coating. The cleaned crystal was immersed into MTS (0.30 mM in ethanol) for 4 h, in order to introduce thiol groups on the gold surface of quartz crystal. The electrode was then washed with ethanol and deionized water for 10 min to remove the excess of thiol. Thus, a stable self-assembled monolayer of thiol was formed on the gold surface (Fig. 1). For the attachment of initiator to the SAM-modified gold surface, the SAM-modified crystal was again dipped in TMS (0.5 mmol in ethanol) for 6h and then washed with ethanol and deionized water. For the polymerization, bpy (0.02 mmol) and CuCl$_2$ (0.02 mmol) were dissolved in 2 mL DMSO to obtain a solution of Cu (II)-complex. Subsequently, this complex was mixed with monomer (1,3,5 trisacrylamide-2,4,6 triazine, TAT, 0.2 mmol) [7], template (FA, 0.1 mmol) and EGDMA (4 mmol), in the presence of a reducing agent (TEA, 2 mmol, 280 µL). Then, the reaction mixture was degassed and squeezed on initiator modified QCM sensor and finally the crystal was cured for 6 h at 65 °C. The crystal was finally washed with 0.6 mL mixture of acetonitrile-triethylamine (1:4, v/v), for the template extraction from MIP-FA adduct coating. (The QCM sensor coated with non-imprinted polymer [NIP, poly (1,3,5-trisacrylamide-2,4,6-triazine-ethylene glycol dimethacrylate)], having same polymer motif as that of MIP, was also prepared in the similar manner but without template).

**Fig. 1.** Fabrication of MIP-modified QCM sensor using a surface grafted initiator.
from the coating by extracting with a mixture of acetonitrile-triethylamine (1:4, v/v). This washing process was repeated until the frequency of the sensor recovered to the $F_0$ value.

Results and discussion

Spectral characterization

$1^H$-NMR spectra of the monomer (TAT), template (FA), MIP-adduct and MIP were compared to study the binding interactions between the template and MIP. The peak due to MIP (amide protons) 6.8 ppm shifted to 7.5 ppm, and the peaks due to template ($\text{COOH}$, 9.5; $\text{OH}$ 10.8 and 5.2 ppm) were shifted to 10.0, 11.2, and 5.8 ppm, respectively after FA rebinding with MIP. Interestingly, these shifted peaks resumed their original positions after template extraction.

Morphological characterization

Fig. 2 shows the SEM images of MIP- and NIP-modified quartz crystal surfaces. It is clearly visible from Fig. 2b that NIP surface is very smooth and compact in comparison to the MIP surface (Fig. 2a). The MIP surface is very porous (due to presence of several cavities), which afforded an uninterrupted access to analyte rebinding.

Sensor response

The oscillator circuit in the instrumentation induced the quartz crystal to oscillate at its characteristic resonant frequency of 5 MHz. When the crystal was coated with the MIP, its oscillation frequency decreased, indicating an increase in the mass on the surface of the crystal. When the MIP-coated crystal was placed in a solution of FA, there was a further decrease in the oscillation frequency of the crystal. This decrease in frequency suggests an intake of FA by the polymer coating. This change in the oscillation frequency took place slowly, requiring about 20 min to reach a steady-state value. This behavior can be attributed to the characteristics of the kinetics involved in the diffusion and subsequent binding of the FA molecules in the cavities of the MIP coating. The frequency shift was reproducible and exhibited a relative standard deviation of 1.7% for $n=3$ replicates. When the reference (i.e., NIP-coated) crystal was immersed in a solution of FA, a decrease in oscillation, very smaller than that exhibited by the MIP-coated crystal, was observed (Fig. 3a). This lowering of oscillation frequency could be attributed to some changes in the polymer coating (e.g., swelling). The frequency shift observed with the MIP-coated crystal was very sensitive to the concentration of the template solution. Fig. 3a, shows the calibration curve that relates the sensor response with the concentration of FA on MIP-modified crystal. Very good linearity ($r = 0.999$) was shown in the concentration range of 0.6 to 26.0 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ $[\Delta F (\text{Hz}) = (1.826\pm1.129) + (40.527\pm0.330) \Delta m (\mu\text{g})]$ (Fig. 3b). The detection limit, defined as the concentration of analyte giving frequency shift equivalent to three standard deviation of the blank plus the net blank frequency shift, was 0.08 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$. The NIP-coated sensor exhibited an erratic behavior with the different standard solutions of FA.

Reusability and stability of sensor

The regeneration of the coated QCM is of critical importance for application as a sensor. Reproducibility of the same MIP-QCM sensor was evaluated by measuring the response signal seven times in continuous manner which exhibited a better reproducibility, and the response signal of the sensor was about 1.6% loss at the 7th cycle. In addition, our experiments indicated that the MIP-QCM sensor was quite stable which has proven its stability for more than three months with a slight loss of sensitivity (1.1%). Any single sensor could be used for as many as 50 times with quantitative recoveries indicating reusability of the proposed sensor. Whereas, three sensors prepared in three different batches showed similar response corroborating the method reproducibility of sensor QCM modification. Interestingly, the NIP-modified QCM sensors also entailed similar magnitude of fabrication.
reproducibility and measurement precision as that shown by MIP-QCM sensors. This reflects the significance of molecular imprinting technique as demonstrated in this work. It can thus be concluded that the MIP-QCM sensor can be used many times without significant decrease of response signal.

**Conclusion**

By using living radical polymerization for the synthesis of a FA-imprinted film as antibody-mimic recognition material, a novel QCM sensor with good reproducibility, short response time, wide linear range, low detection limit, and high selectivity has been developed for the determination of FA at ultratrace level.
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