Comparison of basic fibroblast growth factor levels in clone A human colon cancer cells in vitro with levels in xenografted tumours
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Summary We measured levels of basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2) in human colon cancer cells (clone A) in vitro and in xenografted solid tumours using a commercial enzyme-linked immunosassay. In Vitro, levels in uninfed plateau phase or exponentially growing cells were low, averaging respectively about 2 and 8 pg 10^-4 cells. However, when solid tumours (average volumes 787 mm^3) were cut into halves and either enzymatically disaggregated to obtain a cellular fraction or extracted in toto, levels were much higher. In the cellular fraction, values averaged 110 pg 10^-4 cells, while in extracted tumour tissue. These results indicate that growth factor levels in solid neoplasms may differ markedly from those predicted from in vitro measurements. We hypothesise that the apparent increase in FGF-2 levels in vivo results primarily from the presence of a significant fraction of host cells (in particular, macrophages, which may contain high levels of FGF-2) within xenografted clone A neoplasms.
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Angiogenic peptides such as basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2) appear to have substantial roles in the regulation of tumour growth (Soutter et al., 1993; Nguyen et al., 1994) and therefore may be considered targets for anti-angiogenesis cancer treatments, possibly in conjunction with chemo- or radiotherapy (Jenks, 1994). Such combined therapies, however, may present subtle problems. For example, we have shown that treatment of xenografted human tumours with exogenous FGF-2 increases growth rates and decreases hypoxia levels (Leith et al., 1992; Leith and Mitchellson, 1993). Conversely, administration of suramin, a growth factor receptor-blocking agent, slows tumour growth while increasing steady-state levels of hypoxia (Leith et al., 1992). Because hypoxic cells are radiation resistant, strategies that rely upon blocking neovascularisation could produce an unfavourable radiotherapeutic situation. With this caveat in mind, we investigated some aspects of tumour biology related to the feasibility of a radiotherapeutic/anti-angiogenesis approach.

We have previously determined steady-state levels of intratumour hypoxia in a large number of xenografted solid human colon cancers in nude mice, and demonstrated that at similar volumes percentages of hypoxia varied from less than 1% to over 80% (Leith et al., 1991a). These widely divergent results led to the hypothesis that variability in hypoxia expression might be inversely related to intraneoplastic levels of angiogenic factors such as FGF-2. According to this logic, cells that produce high levels of FGF-2 would generate wellvascularised solid tumours. Then, as a consequence of this putative well-vascularised situation, such neoplasms should in turn express low steady-state levels of hypoxia. Therefore, we determined levels of FGF-2 both in vitro and in vivo for the human colon tumour cell line, clone A, chosen because hypoxia levels in these neoplasms are low (≈3%) (Leith et al., 1991a). Based on our hypothesis, we a priori predicted that high cellular levels of FGF-2 would be present. Unexpectedly, however, we found that FGF-2 levels in vitro on a per cell basis were not only very low, but were also several orders of magnitude less than in vivo levels.

Materials and methods

Cell line

The clone A cell line was established in 1978, at the Roger Williams Cancer Center, Providence, RI, USA, from a biopsy specimen from a male patient with a poorly to moderately differentiated primary colonic adenocarcinoma. The primary tumour was found to be heterogeneous, and two cell lines (clones A and D) which differ significantly in morphology and chromosomal number were established. Details on subpopulation isolation have been published (Calabresi et al., 1979; Dexter et al., 1981). For these experiments, stock cells stored in liquid nitrogen were grown in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% sodium bicarbonate, 1% anti-PPLO reagent, 1% 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine-ethanesulphonic acid buffer and 0.5% gentamicin (all reagents from Grand Island Biological, Grand Island, NY, USA).

Mice and production of xenograft tumours

Young adult male nude mice were obtained from the Charles River Breeding Laboratories, North Wilmington, MA, USA. Mice were housed, ten per large cage, with dust covers, in a dedicated room in a laminar flow hood (Thoren Industries, King of Prussia, PA, USA). Mice were quarantined for 1 week and were ear tagged for identification. To produce tumours (one per animal), clone A cells were trypsinised (0.05% trypsin, 0.54 mM EDTA) from exponentially growing cultures, and resuspended as single cells in Hanks' basic salt solution (HBSS) at a concentration of 5 x 10^6 cells ml^-1. A 0.2 ml volume of the cell suspension was injected into the right flank region of each mouse.

Determination of in vitro and in vivo levels of FGF-2

In vitro, clone A cells were enzymatically removed from either exponentially growing, uninfed or fed plateau cultures (cell densities respectively 3 x 10^6, 5 x 10^5 and 2.5 x 10^4 cells cm^-2), using either trypsin (0.05% trypsin, 0.54 mM EDTA) or pronase (0.25% nuclease-free neutral protease (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA). Cultures were initiated at a density of 10^4 cells cm^-2, and were respectively assayed for FGF-2 levels at 3, 8 and 11 days post-seeding. For the fed plateau cultures, the medium (50 ml 175 cm^-2 flask) was changed daily. The two different enzymes were compared to
see if the specific protease used affected FGF-2 levels. Additionally, exponentially growing cells were removed from flasks by mechanical scraping as a control to determine if enzymatic removal in general affected FGF-2 levels. Cells were centrifuged, resuspended and washed twice in ice-cold Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS). Cell lysates were prepared by resuspension of cells (final concentration 2–5 × 10^6 cells mL^-1) in an extraction buffer (4°C) containing 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH7.0), 2 mM sodium chloride, 0.02% (3-(3-cholamidopropyl)-dimethylammonio)-1-propanesulphonate (CHAPS, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and protease inhibitors [2 μg mL^-1 bestatin, pepstatin, and elastatinal, 400 μM leupeptin (Sigma) and 2 μg mL^-1 phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals, Indianapoli, IN, USA)]. The lysate was sonicated (4–15 s bursts on ice, 70–80% power), Heat Systems sonicator, model 225-R, Farmingdale, NY, USA), and centrifuged (4°C, 26 900 g, 2 h, Beckman J2-21 centrifuge, Beckman Instruments, Palo Alto, CA, USA) to produce the cell extract supernatant for assay.

In vivo, each tumour was stereily removed and cut into approximately equal halves. Both halves were then weighed and minced using opposed scalpels blades into fragments of less than 1 mm^3. One of the halves was disaggregated into single cells in a stirred (40 min, 37°C) enzyme cocktail consisting of 0.2% RNase-free DNase (Sigma), 0.25% collagenase (Boehringer Mannheim), 0.25% nuclease-free neutral protease (Calbiochem) in RPMI-1640. This disaggregate was then sieved through an 80 μm rectangular steel mesh, centrifuged (1000 r.p.m., 10 min, 4°C), resuspended and counted by haemocytometer. The other tumour half was not enzymatically disaggregated. Instead, the minced fragments were extracted in toto in complete lysis buffer. Therefore, assays of the two halves of each neoplasm represent directly comparable levels of FGF-2 in the tumour parenchyma (P compartment, enzyme dis aggregate) and in the parenchymal plus non-parenchymal compartments (P + NP, in toto extraction).

FGF-levels were determined in replicate from each in vitro or in vivo sample supernatant using an enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Means and s.e.m.s were determined from 3 logs of individual determinations. Protein levels in samples were determined using the Bradford assay (BioRad, Richmond CA, USA).

**Determination of K_d and B_max for FGF-basic high-affinity binding sites**

Our assay was adapted from previously described protocols (Moscatelli, 1986; Murthy et al., 1989). Cells from stock cultures were washed once with DPBS, tryspinized, counted by haemocytometer and seeded in 24 well culture plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA, USA) at a density of 6 × 10^4 cells per well. Forty-eight hours after seeding and 2 h before the experiment, cells were washed twice with 0.5 mL of ice-cold DPBS and incubated at 37°C in 0.5 mL of Ex-Cell (JR Biosciences, Lenexa, KS, USA) with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Calbiochem) and 25 μM Hepes, pH 7.5. Cells were washed twice with 0.5 mL of ice-cold DPBS, and an appropriate concentration of 125I-labelled recombinant human FGF-2 (specific activity 124 μCi μg^-1) (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA, USA) in Ex-Cell (0.1% BSA, 25 μM Hepes, pH 7.5) was added to each well (each concentration was assayed in duplicate) in a final volume of 400 μL. After addition of labelled FGF-2, cells were incubated for 2 h at 4°C on an orbital shaker to permit saturation of binding sites. Following incubation, cells were washed twice with 0.5 mL of ice-cold DPBS and cell-bound 125I-labelled FGF-2 was analysed by solubilising cells with 1 mL per well 0.5% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M sodium phosphate, pH 8.1 (20 min, room temperature) on an orbital shaker. Cell-bound 125I-labelled FGF-2 (c.p.m.) was determined on a Beckman model 121A gamma scintillation counter (Beckman Instruments), which has a counting efficiency for 125I of 0.77 c.p.m./d.p.m. Non-specific binding was determined using a 250–300 molar excess of recombinant human FGF-2 (R&D Systems) in addition to appropriate concentrations of 125I-labelled FGF-2. K_d and B_max were determined using a non-linear regression fit of total binding data from three independent experiments to the model [X(Βmax) Κ_d + X (NSB)] where X is the concentration of non-specific bound ligand and NSB the non-specific binding. In each experiment representative wells were tryspinised and counted by haemocytometer to allow calculation of the number of binding sites per cell.

**Cell proliferation studies**

Mitogenic responses to exogenously administered FGF-2 were measured using an MTT colorimetric assay. For the MTT assay, cells were seeded into 24 well plates (Costar) at a density of 1.3 × 10^5 cells per well in 2 mL of complete RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS and incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Cells were then washed once with DPBS preheated to 37°C and incubated in 1 mL of Ex-Cell 300, chemically defined medium at 37°C for 24 h. Following the incubation in defined medium, varying concentrations of FGF-2 or vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) were added to each well in a volume of 100 μL of sterile defined medium with 0.02% CHAPS (Sigma) detergent. After the addition of FGF-2, cells (with appropriate negative controls) were incubated at 37°C for an additional 48 h. MTT reagent was then added to each well at a final concentration of 0.5 mg mL^-1, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Next, cells were solubilised overnight using 1 mL of 10% SDS in 0.5 M hydrochloric acid. Aliquots from each well were transferred to a 96 well ELISA plate (Costar) and read at 590 nm with a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Woonsocket, VT, USA). Samples were assayed in triplicate.

**Ribonuclease protection assay**

Poly(A)^+ RNA was prepared using the Fast Track mRNA isolation system (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-specific primers were designed from sequences obtained from GenBank and were subjected to prehybrization analysis for dimerisation and specificity with the aid of Amplify v1.2 (Dr Bill Engels, University of Wisconsin). Primers were synthesised by Oligos, Etc. (Wilsonville, OR, USA). DNA templates incorporating promoters for phage polymerases are necessary for production of an antisense-labelled probe for use in ribonuclease protection assay. Synthesis of the required template by PCR was accomplished using a reverse primer that incorporated a T7 phage promoter sequence upstream of the primer binding region. FGF-2 template DNA was made from a plasmid, pHF11-7, containing an 800 bp fragment of the cDNA for FGF-2 (courtesy Dr Judith Abraham, Scios Nova, Mountain View, CA, USA). PCR was performed directly on the plasmid. Sequences of FGF-2 primers used in template synthesis were as follows:

5'-CAAGCAGAAGAGAGAGAGAGA-3' (forward)
5'-AATTCTTATGCCTAGCTATAGGGAGGCTTCCTAGCAACATTTGAGG-3' (reverse)

In vitro transcription was performed using MAXIscript (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). Template DNA was incubated at ambient temperature for 60 min with 0.5 mM ATP, CTP and GTP, 10 mM DTT. 0.5 mM o[gamma-32P]UTP at 800 Ci mmol^-1, 12.5 U of human placental ribonuclease inhibitor and 1 U of T7 RNA polymerase. A lower specific activity human β-actin control template was synthesised with 0.1 mCi of [α-32P]UTP and 0.1 mM UTP. Transcription was terminated by the addition of 2 U of DNAse I followed by a 15 min incubation at 37°C. Radiolabelled probe was purified by electrophoresis on a 5% acrylamide, 8 M urea polyacrylamide gel. The probe hu-FGF-2 was synthesised at approximately 1.3 × 10^6 c.p.m. μg^-1, and the probe for human β-actin was synthesised at approximately 1.6 × 10^6 c.p.m. μg^-1.

Numbers of transcripts for FGF-2 in vitro and in vivo were
determined using RPA II according to the indicated protocol (Ambion). Total RNA or poly(A)$^+$ and radiolabelled probe were co-precipitated in 0.5 M ammonium oxalate, 2.5 volumes of ethanol and hybridised for 18 h at 42–45°C. Samples were then digested at 37°C with a mixture of 0.5 U of RNase A and 2 U of RNase T1. Following subsequent RNase inactivation and precipitation, protected fragments were visualised by electrophoresis on a 5% acrylamide, 8 M urea polyacrylamide gel. Gels were exposed for 16–20 h. Following exposure, bands were removed from the gel and solubilised in 5% Soluene (Packard Instruments, Meriden, CT, USA), 95% scintillation cocktail. The radioactivity of each excised band was quantified with a scintillation counter (Packard Instruments).

Results

Table I summarises findings for FGF-2 levels for cells from either exponentially growing or unfed plateau conditions in vitro, together with in vivo values for clone A cells obtained from either enzymatically disaggregated xenografted solid tumours or the entire (non-disaggregated) tumour. Additionally, FGF-2 levels in cells in vitro after removal from flasks by mechanical scraping were assayed in order to determine if the enzymatic treatments affected FGF-2 levels. For the in vivo data, care was taken to examine FGF-2 levels in neoplasms of the same average volume (~750 mm$^3$) as that at which determinations of hypoxia have been previously made (Leith et al., 1991a). Average tumour volumes (n = 9) were 787 mm$^3$(s.e.m. 700–887 mm$^3$). Geometric mean weights (mg) and s.e.m.s for the halves of the tumours used respectively in the extraction only and the enzymatic disaggregation plus extraction assays were 318.1 (270.0–374.7) and 306.0 (248.6–376.6). Geometric mean colony-forming efficiencies and s.e.m.s were respectively 17.6% (15.0–20.8) in vitro and 57.0% (49.4–65.8) in vitro (Leith et al., 1991b). Cell yields from enzymatically disaggregated clone A neoplasms (n = 9) were 5.58 ± 1.86 × 10$^4$ cells mg$^{-1}$ (s.e.m.) and median cell volumes were 1700 μm$^3$.

A number of points may be made from the results shown in Tables I and II. First, on a per cell basis, both fed and unfed plateau phase cells show decreased levels of FGF-2 (1.4 and 2.1 pg 10$^{-4}$ cells respectively) as compared with exponentially growing cells (7.7 pg 10$^{-4}$ cells), indicating that growth status and/or cell cycle position affects FGF-2 levels. Second, exposure of exponentially growing cells (about 5 × 10$^4$ cells cm$^{-2}$) to a hypoxic environment for 16 h yielded FGF-2 results similar in magnitude to levels in unfed plateau cells. Measurements of FGF-2 in the medium overlying exponentially growing or plateau phase cells consistently showed no measurable levels of FGF-2.

Third, the FGF-2 levels in cells detached from flasks by two different enzymatic treatments (trypsin/EDTA or pronase) were not different from results from cells removed from flasks by mechanical scraping, indicating that the enzymatic treatments did not affect subsequent determinations of intracellular FGF-2 levels.

Fourth, FGF-2 levels in clone A cells established as short-term (two passages) tissue cultures directly from tumour disaggregates were similar to levels in established cultures. It is therefore unlikely that the in vivo results reflect selection for cells that express high FGF-2 levels.

The binding site studies showed that clone A cells possess FGF-2 high-affinity binding sites. The mean $K_D$ was 48.8 pm with an asymptotic standard error of 23.3 pm. Determinations of the mitogenic response of clone A cells to exogenous FGF-2 indicated that the FGF-2 concentration needed to produce a half-maximal stimulatory response (ED$_{50}$) was 4.8 pm.

Excision of the labelled FGF-2 bands from the ribonuclease protection assays with subsequent scintillation counting showed that the c.p.m. values normalised to a human β-actin internal standard in each experiment were respectively 0.91 (s.e.m. 0.12) and 1.02 (s.e.m. 0.08) for the in vitro and in vivo conditions. That is, there was no difference in mRNA levels for human FGF-2 in clone A cells taken from exponentially growing cultures and whole xenografted clone A tumours. Because the ribonuclease protection assay degrades probe–target duplexes containing even a single base pair mismatch, the assay was specific for human FGF-2 transcripts.

Table I Levels of FGF-2 in human colon cancer cells (clone A) in vitro and in vivo

| Growth state          | Number of experiments | FGF-2 (pg 10$^{-4}$ cells) | FGF-2 (pg mg$^{-1}$ cells) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| In vitro              |                       |                             |                            |
| Established cultures  | 6                     | 6.6(5.3–8.2)$^b$            | –                          |
| Exponential (trypsin/EDTA)$^*$ | 4 | 8.0(5.8–11.0)              | –                          |
| Exponential (pronase)$^*$    | 2                     | 7.3(7.0–7.7)                | –                          |
| Mechanical scraping    | 3                     | 2.1(1.9–2.3)                | –                          |
| Unfused plateau        | 3                     | 1.4(0.6–2.9)                | –                          |
| Fed plateau            | 3                     | 2.8(2.5–3.3)                | –                          |
| Nitrogen gassed$^c$    | 3                     | 7.0(4.4–9.6)                | –                          |
| Short-term cultures$^d$| 2                     |                             |                            |
| In vivo               | 9                     | 110.2(75.9–159.9)           | –                          |
| Tumour disassociate    | 9                     | 24.2(18.2–33.6)             | –                          |
| Tumour extract         | 9                     |                             |                            |

$^a$In vitro experiments done on cells detached from flasks by different enzymatic treatments (trypsin/EDTA or pronase). $^b$Values are means and s.e.m.s. $^c$Determination of FGF-2 levels in cells after exposure to a 95% nitrogen/5% carbon dioxide gas environment for 16 h. $^d$Values determined in clone A cultures after re-establishment of cells in vitro directly from enzymatically disaggregated solid tumours.

Discussion

The most significant finding of these experiments is that the levels of FGF-2 within clone A cells in vitro are low while levels in xenografted clone A neoplasms are much higher than would be predicted based simply on the results of in vitro assays. Based on in vitro data alone, one would instead predict that clone A neoplasms should express high rather than low levels of hypoxia. This prediction however also fails, as the hypoxic percentage in clone A neoplasms at this volume is about 3%, a value which is quite low as compared with other xenografted human colon tumours at similar volumes which express higher hypoxia levels and concomitantly higher in vitro levels of FGF-2 (Leith et al., 1991a). The resolution to this paradox is, however, indicated...
by the result that average FGF-2 values in cells from enzymatically disaggregated tumours were 110 pg 10^{-4} cells – approximately a 50-fold increase over levels in unfed plateau cells.

There are therefore two interrelated issues which need to be addressed. The first issue involves consideration of the processes that might lead to such results, and the second involves the potential significance of these results vis-à-vis autocrine and paracrine effects of FGF-2.

The most straightforward explanation of why high levels of FGF-2 are found in vivo is increased production of FGF-2 in clone A cells on a per cell basis, suggesting that growth in vivo up-regulates FGF-2 levels. However, while Higgins et al. (1991) have shown that hypoxia, for example, appears to increase significantly mRNA levels for FGF-2 in Y-79 retinoblastoma cells, no effects of hypoxia on FGF-2 mRNA levels have been seen in C6 glioblastoma cells (Shweiki et al., 1992). Several results from our experiments suggest that clone A cells did not increase their steady-state levels of FGF-2 in vivo.

First, no effects of long-duration hypoxic exposure (16 h) on FGF-2 levels in clone A cells were seen (Table I).

Second, levels of FGF-2 in unfed plateau phase cultures were low as compared with exponentially growing cultures. This might indicate a cell cycle block induced by the hypoxia in G_{1} (Rice et al., 1985). While, to our knowledge, there have been no studies on levels of FGF-2 per cell as an explicit function of cell cycle phase, Botha and Hjelmeeland (1993) showed that levels of a major 7.0 kb FGF-2 transcript in retinal epithelium decreased by a factor of about 15 in exponential vs confluent cultures. Additionally, not only does the transcript level decrease, the half-life of the transcript decreases from about 24 h to about 17 h. Such changes are probably allied to the decreased FGF-2 protein levels we find in fed and unfed plateau phase clone A cells. With regard to these two points, cell cycle distributions (G_{1}, S, G_{2}+M) in exponentially growing and unfed plateau phase cultures of clone A cells are respectively about 53, 24 and 22%, and 78, 14 and 8% (Bliven et al., 1987). The simplest initial assumption would be that the concentration of FGF-2 per cell (i.e. fg pm^{-2}) does not change throughout the cell cycle, and that measured levels change only as the change in relative cell volume as cells progress from G_{1} to G_{2}+M (Bliven et al., 1987). However, normalisation of cellular FGF-2 contents using such a volumetric approach based on the distribution of cells through the cell cycle does not account for the approximately 70% decrease in average cellular FGF-2 levels (Table I). Indeed, FGF-2 levels would only decrease by about 15% using this approach. Therefore, it appears that decreased growth rates in the plateau phase are associated with an absolute decrease in cellular FGF-2 levels. In this regard, it is important to note that our determinations of FGF-2 levels in fed and unfed plateau phase cultures were done under conditions (e.g. use of 175 cm^{2} flasks containing respectively about 2.5 \times 10^{4} and 5 \times 10^{4} cells cm^{-2} with a medium depth of 0.286 cm) that might be associated with respiration-induced hypoxia (Koch, 1979). Although respiratory rates of plateau phase cells are often lower than that in exponential growth, we do not know respiratory rates (mol s^{-1} per cell) of either exponential or plateau phase clone A cells. This caveat could also apply to the work of Bost and Hjelmeeland (1993) cited above.

Third, assay of FGF-2 levels in clone A cultures in vivo shortly after establishment from disaggregated neoplasms were not different from levels seen in established cultures (Table I). Moreover, FGF-2 levels in clone A cells (e.g. \approx 2 pg 10^{-4} cells in in vivo unfed plateau cultures) are among the lowest in 14 different human colon cancer cell lines studied in vitro to date (J Leith, unpublished data).

Fourth, because the ribonuclease protection assay degrades probe–target duplexes containing even a single base pair mismatch, the assay was specific for human FGF-2 transcripts and showed no differences in FGF-2 mRNA levels as normalised to human b-actin mRNA levels between preparations from clone A cells in vitro and whole tumours. This strongly implies that the increased levels of FGF-2 seen in protein assays are derived from the host. We therefore do not view the increased levels of FGF-2 seen in vivo as resulting from biochemical/molecular changes in clone A cells per se.

Another possibility as to why FGF-2 levels are unpredictably high in vivo is storage of FGF-2 by heparan sulphate proteoglycans (HSPGs) in either cell-attached or extracellular compartments (Vlodavsky et al., 1991). Our measurements of FGF-2 levels per mg of tumour do not provide insight into the microscopic distribution of FGF-2, and we do not know levels of FGF-2-binding HSPGs in clone A neoplasms. However, significant levels of HSPGs have been shown in other tumour systems (Esko et al., 1988), and there is a priori no reason to assume otherwise for clone A tumours. FGF-2 released from cells could be bound to HSPGs for a sufficient amount of time to account for the increased levels seen in vivo. Indeed, FGF-2 binding to ECM HSPGs has been described as ‘highly stable’ (Vlodavsky et al., 1991), although it will be a function of factors such as specific tumour heparanase levels, which vary significantly (Nakajima et al., 1990; Vlodavsky et al., 1991).

Increased FGF-2 levels seen in vivo may result from host cells. Host cells in disaggregated clone A adenocarcinomas at this volume, measured from Giemsa-stained cytospin slides, constitute 40% of the total cells counted by haemocytometer (\approx 27% macrophages, 10% small lymphocyte-type cells and 2–3% neutrophils; Leith and Michelson, 1994). The significant host cell fraction partly accounts for the fact that the average tumour cell colony-forming efficiencies (CFEs) from disaggregated neoplasms are less than in vitro CFEs. Another factor that contributes to the decreased CFE is that clone A cells in early G_{1} have a CFE that is about 50% of that of clone A cells at other positions in the cell cycle (Bliven et al., 1987).

Cell yields from enzymatically disaggregated clone A neo-
lasms were 5.58 × 10⁴ cells mg⁻¹ and median cell volumes were 1700 μm³. Assuming that this median cell volume represents tumour cells, and using an inverse tumour density of 1 cm⁻³, which experiments correlating tumour volume to weight in clone A tumours indicate is a statistically valid assumption (Leith and Michelson, 1994), we estimate that average size and 95% confidence limits of the parenchymal compartment (P) is 9.5% (± 7.8%) of the total tumour, with the remaining 90.5% therefore being non-tumour tissues (NP compartment). We calculate the fractional volume (FV) of the P compartment as follows:

\[
FV_{P} = \frac{5.58 \times 10^4 \text{ tumour cells per mg of tumour}}{(1.7 \times 10^3 \text{ μm}^3 \text{ per tumour cell})} = 9.49 \times 10^3 \text{μm}^3 \text{ of tumour cells mg}^{-1}
\]

and with 10⁴ μm³ mg⁻¹ tumour, the FV (mg of tumour cells per mg of tumour) = 0.095. With regard to the potential significance of this calculation of the fractional volume of tumour tissue occupied by tumour cells per se, it is informative to examine the correlation between FGF-2 levels in cells (pg 10⁻⁶ cells) and levels in whole tumours (pg mg⁻¹ tumour). The least-squares linear regression equation of the best fit to the respective (log) data pairs from the same tumours was log FGF-2 (pg mg⁻¹ tumour) = 0.002 + 0.681 log FGF-2 (pg 10⁻⁶ cells). The correlation coefficient of 0.827 is statistically significant (r = 0.89, P < 0.05; Goldstein, 1964), and indicates that FGF-2 levels in P and (P+NP) compartments are well correlated, as we also note that if the increase in FGF-2 levels in whole tumours was a reflection of increases in levels in parenchymal tumour cells only (that is if levels in NP were constant), the slope of this curve in such a situation would be predicted to be about 0.22. The 95% confidence limits on the slope of the regression are ± 0.413. This value is less than that for the lower limit on the slope given by the 95% confidence limits cited above (i.e. 0.27), which suggests an additional FGF-2 source. Related to this, absolute levels of FGF-2 vary widely from tumour to tumour. Why there should be such inter-tumour variation in steady-state levels of FGF-2 for neoplasms of the same average size requires discussion. Neither the levels of FGF-2 in parenchymal tumour cells nor the levels in whole tumour extracts showed a significant correlation with tumour volume over the limited range of volumes studied herein (respective correlation coefficients were —0.22 and 0.22). However, as noted above, there is a large variation in the fractional volume of the tumour occupied by parenchymal cells (95% confidence limits approximately 1.8—17.3%). Additionally, because at this average tumour size, of the order of 27% ± 7% (95% confidence limits) of the P compartment may be macrophages (Leith and Michelson, 1994), this indicates that the relative percentage of macrophages in any given neoplasms may vary in the extreme from possibly as low as 0.4% to as high as 6% of total cells in the P compartment, i.e. a difference of about 15-fold. On this point, the range of FGF-2 values expressed among different tumours as either pg 10⁻⁶ cells or pg mg⁻¹ tumour was roughly of the same order of magnitude. These data imply that the high levels of FGF-2 seen in vivo as well as the variability from tumour to tumour could be the result of host cells. We note also that, although there was no data of FGF-2 levels on tumour volume, there was a strong inverse correlation of (log) FGF-2 levels (pg 10⁻⁶ cells) in the disaggregate to the (log) of the absolute cellularity of the disaggregate as defined by the cell yield. The best fit linear regression equation was: log FGF-2 (pg 10⁻⁶ cells) = 3.832 — 0.2378 log cells mg⁻¹ (× 10³). The correlation coefficient was —0.885, P < 0.05; Goldstein, 1964). The interpretation of this inverse relationship may relate either to different levels of larger vs smaller cells in the disaggregate (e.g. macrophages, large and small tumour cells) and/or to different steady-state levels of hypoxia in different tumours, a factor which has been associated with a larger fraction of smaller cells in solid tumours (Pallavicini et al., 1979). Related to this issue the inverse correlation between
tumour cellularity and FGF-2 levels per cell seen in vivo is the fact that macrophages contain FGF-2 (Frautschy et al., 1991; Motoo et al., 1991; Logan et al., 1992; Greisler et al., 1993; Hughes et al., 1993). Indeed, Bird et al. (1985) indicated that FGF-2 levels in activated peritoneal macrophages were approximately 5 ng 10⁻⁶ cells, a level high enough to be consistent with a macrophage—endothelial cell mitogenic paracrine loop. In this regard, a review of the literature indicates that typical macrophage percentages in cell suspensions from disaggregated sarcomas and carcinomas (geometric means and 95% confidence limits) are respectively about 30% (19—48%), and 34% (20—57%) (Siemann et al., 1981; Milas et al., 1987; West et al., 1987). Therefore, the high levels of FGF-2 found in clone A tumours may be coming from the intermixed host cells. Indeed, calculation of a rough weighted average of FGF-2 concentrations as measured in the disaggregate assuming 90% parenchymal tumour cells and 10% macrophages using the FGF-2 values for unfed plateau phase clone A cells (Table I) and the macrophage results from Baird et al. (1985) indicates that the presence of a significant fraction of macrophages in the disaggregate could easily lead to the values listed in Table I of approximately 100 pg 10⁻⁵ cells. We note that the typical volume of macrophages (≈ 1500 μm³; Hauptmann et al., 1993) is similar to the mean volume obtained in Coulter counter size distribution data from disaggregated clone A tumours (1700 μm³). Centrifugal elutriation studies on exponentially growing clone A cells indicate that a fraction of cells with this average volume would consist of approximately 72%, 25% and 3% of cells in G₁, S and G₂+M respectively (Bliven et al., 1987). Instantaneous determinations of S-phase [³H]thymidine labelling indices in clone A tumours of the size examined in these studies are in good agreement with the flow cytometry data obtained from centrifugal elutriation upon unfed plateau cultures (Bliven et al., 1987; Leith and Michelson, 1994). These proportions of the cell cycle therefore probably reasonable representations of cell conditions in vivo. The similarities in average cell volumes between unfed plateau clone A cells in vitro, macrophages and cellular disaggregates of solid clone A tumours illustrate a problem with simple descriptions of total cell yields after haemocytometer estimation, and indicate that additional care must be taken with regard to adequate definition of tumour composition for proper interpretation of growth factor conditions of solid neoplasms.

Average FGF-2 levels in clone A neoplasms are approximately 24 pg of FGF-2 per mg of tumour (24 ng ml⁻¹, assuming unit density) (Table I). This calculation neglects any correction for the necrotic component of clone A neoplasms, which is approximately 10% at a volume of 750 mm³ (Leith and Michelson, 1994). Using a vital dye staining method for differentiating between viable and necrotic regions of solid tumours described by Porschen et al. (1983), we have determined that low levels (about 20% of levels in viable tissue on a per mg basis) of FGF-2 exist in necrotic tissue (JT Leith, unpublished data, 1994). While this value may represent contamination of necrotic tissue by viable tissue carried over during tumour micro-dissection, other growth factor assays indicate that levels of platelet-derived growth factor are unaltered in viable and necrotic regions of solid tumours described by Porschen et al. (1983), we have determined that low levels (about 20% of levels in viable tissue on a per mg basis) of FGF-2 exist in necrotic tissue (JT Leith, unpublished data, 1994). It may be necessary to investigate whether significant levels of other growth factors are also present in necrotic regions.

To our knowledge, little attention has been given to the partitioning of growth factors between viable and necrotic portions of neoplasms. In justification, we note that the necrotic portion of solid tumours has been postulated as a site for production of growth-inhibitory proteins (Freyer, 1991) and may relate to the autocrine and paracrine effects of clone A neoplasms, levels of FGF-2 in vitro (i.e. 2—8 pg 10⁻⁶ cells, Table I) are orders of magnitude lower than levels reported for human or bovine endothelial cells in vitro (2—13 ng 10⁻⁶ cells) (Hannan et al., 1988). The in vitro results therefore suggest that FGF-2 released from clone A tumour cells via
processes such as mitogenic or apoptotic cell death would not constitute an effective paracrine loop between tumour cells and capillary endothelium in vivo. In contrast, FGF-2 binding studies on clone A cells show that they possess high-affinity binding sites for FGF-2 (Kd approximately 49 ± 20 pm). Moscatelli et al. (1986) found Kd values ranging from 7.3 to 47.0 pm on different human cell lines, and Gross et al. (1993) found a value of 46 pm for C6 rat glioma cells. Also, clone A cells respond mitogenically to exogenous FGF-2 (ED50 4.8 pm) suggesting that an autocrine loop may exist in vivo. A similar ED50 has been determined for C6 rat glioma cells by Gross et al. (1993). The number of binding sites per cell calculated from Bmax and the specific activity at saturation (molar weight of FGF-2 of 17200 Da was 3.95 × 106. The number of FGF-2 binding sites reported for other cell lines range from about 1001 to as high as 6 × 106 (Moscatelli et al., 1986; Murono et al., 1992; Gross et al., 1993). It should be noted that clone A cells are exceptionally large cells with average volumes in exponential growth of approximately 2200 μm3 (Blinv et al., 1987). This would correspond to a surface area of about 820 μm2, yielding a binding site density of about 21 sites μm-2. In vivo, overall FGF-2 levels in clone A neoplasms are high, approximately 28 pg mg-1 (29 ng ml-1, assuming unit density). With regard to the potential physiological significance of these FGF-2 levels, D’Amore and Smith (1993) have shown that proliferation of bovine aortic or capillary endothelial cells was maximally stimulated by FGF-2 levels of about 2.5–4 ng ml-1, and that high-maximal stimulation occurred at levels of about 0.6–1 ng ml-1. There therefore appears to be, as viewed on the gross level, an adequate concentration of FGF-2 in clone A tumour tissue to satisfy requirements for neoangiogenesis (D’Amore & Smith, 1993; Schwartz, 1993). This finding is consistent with the low steady-state levels of intratumour hypoxia observed in clone A neoplasms (Leith et al., 1991a).

In summary, although these results still support the hypothesis that levels of angiogenic growth factors such as FGF-2 in vivo may be related to hypoxia, the complex biological processes involved in these results require further study. As noted above, because these factors may be derived from host cells rather than parenchymal tumour cells, the variability in steady-state levels of intra-tumour hypoxia among various tumour models could result from host cell-related paracrine effects. This speculation is supported by the fact that levels of FGF-2 in clone A cells appear insufficient to create an effective paracrine loop. However, long-term binding of FGF-2 to HSPGs could provide a functional link between apparently low instantaneous cellular levels and high steady-state tumour levels. Separation of host from tumour cells by centrifugal elutriation (West et al., 1987) with ELISA analysis of subpopulation growth factor levels to address these possibilities is therefore an important future goal. Additionally, there are numerous dynamic interconnections among growth factors/cytokines etc. (Michelson and Leith, 1993, 1994) whose functional significance in vivo is poorly determined at present. Because potent synergistic interactions have been shown to occur between growth factors such as FGF-2 and VEGF (Pepper et al., 1992; Goto et al., 1993), the levels of other stimulatory angiogenic factors (e.g. VEGF) need to be defined to determine which growth factor(s) is(are) of importance in determination of steady-state levels of intranuclear hypoxia. Lastly, these results indicate that in vitro studies alone may be inadvertently misleading with regard to the accuracy of models of solid tumour physiology, illustrating the necessity of appropriate in vivo measurements (Soutter et al., 1993).
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