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The ongoing pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2, the causal agent of the acute respiratory distress syndrome COVID-19, is placing unprecedented stress on healthcare systems and societies as a whole. The rapid spread of the virus in the absence of targeted therapies or a vaccine, is forcing countries to respond with strong preventative measures ranging from mitigation to containment. In extreme cases, quarantines are being imposed, limiting mobility to varying degrees.

While quarantines are an effective measure of infection control, they can lead to significant social, economic and psychological consequences. Social distancing fosters isolation; exposes personal and collective vulnerabilities while limiting accessible and familiar support options. The inability to work has immediate economic repercussions and deprives many individuals of essential livelihoods and health care benefits. Psychological consequences may range from stress, frustration and anger to severe depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). A recent review drawing on lessons from past pandemics shows the length of quarantine increases the risk for serious psychological consequences [1]. A relevant, yet frequently ignored risk during a pandemic and its socially disrupting response, is the potential increase of intimate partner violence (IPV) [2].

IPV is defined as physical, sexual, psychological, or economic violence that occurs between former or current intimate partners. While
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Increase public awareness and understanding for the increased risk of IPV during quarantine and how to safely access support services.

Although limited by quarantine, individuals will maintain contacts with their families, friends, coworkers and acquaintances. Non-healthcare-related contacts represent the primary and most capillary detection system for IPV. Public media needs to raise awareness for the topic to sensitize the general population and share best practices. These include bystander approaches, offering supportive statements, and, accessing help on the behalf of a survivor, if consented to do so. Media should provide links to IPV services including hotlines and online/SMS channels for those who cannot speak safely by phone, especially while at home with abusers. Social networks, both formal and informal, can help decrease isolation and provide support in case of IPV [8]. Social media can aid in upholding a buddy system and emergency contacts. In times of social distancing, internet-based help platforms can effectively replace some conventional in-person support. All should have safety mechanisms to quickly exit the page and clear browsing history, as abusers may monitor phone and internet use.

- Increase funding and service availability for protection needs during quarantine, including social protection, shelters and trauma-centered support for family members.

Social and economic insecurity represent crucial barriers for vulnerable individuals when seeking help. Lack of social safety nets, due to e.g., school closures may increase the exposure of children to IPV, with harmful outcomes in the immediate term and later adulthood. Maintenance of social safety nets (e.g., paid sick leave, access to healthcare insurance) is of utmost importance in guaranteeing the independence needed to leave an abusive relationship. Support structures such as organizations supporting survivors, as well as shelters, need to remain available while quarantines are in place, and need to be prepared to respond more fully after containment measures end [9].

Quarantine, isolation and associated social, emotional and economic stressors increase the risk of IPV. Partner violence is a taboo topic, often considered a ‘private’ matter, with low political priority in many societies, even in times of relative stability. If we do not campaign aggressively to raise awareness and take swift action for IPV and other forms of interpersonal violence, detrimental effects on individuals, families and society will reverberate for decades.
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