Histopathological Prognostic Factors for Colic Adenocarcinoma
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ABSTRACT: The majority of colorectal carcinomas are adenocarcinomas derived from the colic mucosae cell, more frequently moderately differentiated. The purpose of this study was to determine de incidence of CRC and the relationship between histopathological risk factors in patients with colic adenocarcinomas. The study included 144 cases of CRC diagnosed within the Pathology Laboratory of the Clinical County Hospital of Craiova in the year 2017. The biological material consisted in samples from colectomies and hemicolectomies provided from patients admitted within the surgical clinics of the same hospital, then fixed with 10% buffered formalin and afterwards processed using the classic histopathological technique of paraffin inclusion and staining with hematoxylin and eosin. We observed certain histopathological parameters such as: pattern, grading, stage, vascular invasion and neural invasion. The mean age of diagnostic was 68.6±1.2, and it was predominantly male patients (64.6%). Most cases presented with mucinous pattern (31.9%) and cribriform comedocarcinoma type (29.9%). The majority were classified as stage III B (34%), being moderately differentiated (64.6%) and associated with vascular invasion (47.2%) and perineural invasion (25.7%). Statistical analysis indicated significant relationships between tumor stage and differentiation grade (p<0.01, X² test), as well as between tumor stage and vascular invasion (p<0.05, X² test), without including perineural invasion (p<0.05, X² test).
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Introduction

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) originated from the colorectal mucosae, being the third most diagnosed type of cancer and the fourth cause of death worldwide [1]. The mean age of diagnosis is between the ages of 60 to 80, less then 20% of cases are in patients under the age of 50 and even rarer in patients under the age of 40, with the exception of those who present genetic predisposition [2]. In practice, most CRC cases are adenocarcinomas (90%), most frequent being moderately differentiated (70%) [3]. Several studies have demonstrated that the negative prognostic factors are: advanced tumoral stage, positive resection margins, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, other organ invasion, operation type, major morbidity, Dukes’ classification, local recurrence, high serum CEA and CA 19-9 levels [4,5]. We observed histopathological parameters as prognostic factors which must be taken into consideration to assess the aggressiveness of CRC.

Objective

Statistical analysis of histopathological parameters of CRC.

Material and methods

The study included 144 cases provided by patients admitted in the Surgical Departments of the Clinical County Hospital of Craiova and diagnosed within the Pathology Department in the year 2017. Biological material consisted of samples of colectomy and hemicolectomy, which were beforehand processed with 10% buffered formalin and processed with the classic histopathological technique of paraffin inclusion and staining with hematoxylin-eosin. Classification by grading and tumor stage was done in concordance with WHO (2016) recommendations [6]. Histopathological data (grading, pattern, tumoral stage, vascular and neural invasion) was analyzed using the IMB SPSS 20 program, after which statistical data was correlated with the help of Chi-square (X²), values lower then 0.005 being considered relevant. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (no. 42/27.03.2018).

Results

For the analyzed CRC, the mean age of diagnosis was of 68.6±11.2.
The age varied between 34 and 87 years old, of which the majority were within the interval of 71-80 years old (35.4%), and most of the patients were of male gender (64.6%) (Table 1).

On the studied lot, from the histopathological analysis of patterns of the 144 cases resulted the fact that most cases present mucinous pattern (31.9%) and cribriform comedo-carcinoma type (29.9%), the following being represented in a lower percentage: signet ring pattern (13.2%), serrated (9.7%), micropapillary (5.6%), and the spindle cell pattern was put in last place along with medullar type with a representation of 4.9% each (Table 1).

The majority of cases were classified as stage III B (34%) (Table 1), being moderately differentiated tumors (G2) (64.6%), (Table 1) (Fig. 1). The association with vascular invasion (47.2%) (Table 1) (Fig. 1) and neural (25.7%) (Table 1) was representative.

| Characteristic     | Parameters                          | Cases (nr.) | Cases % |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age group          | 31 - 40                             | 3           | 2.1%    |
|                    | 41 - 50                             | 9           | 6.2%    |
|                    | 51 - 60                             | 8           | 5.6%    |
|                    | 61 - 70                             | 48          | 33.3%   |
|                    | 71 - 80                             | 51          | 35.4%   |
|                    | 80+                                 | 25          | 17.4%   |
| Sex                | F                                   | 51          | 35.4%   |
|                    | M                                   | 93          | 64.6%   |
| Pattern            | cribriform comedo-carcinoma type    | 43          | 29.9%   |
|                    | spindle cell                        | 7           | 4.9%    |
|                    | signet ring                         | 8           | 5.6%    |
|                    | medullar                            | 46          | 31.9%   |
|                    | micropapillary                      | 14          | 9.7%    |
| Tumor Stage        | I                                   | 13          | 9.0%    |
|                    | II A                                | 46          | 31.9%   |
|                    | II B                                | 8           | 5.6%    |
|                    | II C                                | 4           | 2.8%    |
|                    | III A                               | 2           | 1.4%    |
|                    | III B                               | 49          | 34.0%   |
|                    | III C                               | 7           | 4.9%    |
|                    | IV                                  | 15          | 10.4%   |
| Grading            | 1                                   | 13          | 9.0%    |
|                    | 2                                   | 93          | 64.6%   |
|                    | 3                                   | 38          | 26.4%   |
| Vascular invasion  | yes                                 | 68          | 47.2%   |
|                    | no                                  | 76          | 52.8%   |
| Perineural invasion| yes                                 | 37          | 25.7%   |
|                    | no                                  | 107         | 74.3%   |

Fig. 1. Histopathological aspects of colic adenocarcinomas. A. Well differentiated colic adenocarcinoma (G1), HE-ob.40x; B. Moderately differentiated colic adenocarcinoma (G2), HE-ob.40x; C. Low differentiated colic adenocarcinoma (G3), HE-ob.40x; D. Vascular invasion-tumoral embolus, HE-ob.10x
We observed significant statistical aspects between tumoral stage and differentiation grade (p<0.01, X² test), as well between tumoral stage and vascular and perineural invasion (p<0.05, X² test). Also, significant statistical aspects were also found between grading and vascular invasion (p<0.05, X² test), but insignificant in comparison with perineural invasion (p>0.05, X² test) (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Association between the analysed parameters

Discussions

CRC is a primary malignant tumor which arises from the colorectal mucosae, being one of the most common types of cancer. Histopathological analysis of the biopsies or surgical resection samples is crucial in the management of the patient as well as his prognostic.

From the age of diagnosis of the studied group, arises the facts that a majority of patients were included within the interval of 71-80 years (35.4%), of which 64.6% were of males. Date described in literature, report that the mean age of diagnosis is with 67-68 years, the majority being males diagnosed between 65 and 84 years [7,8,9].

Histopathological analysis of the 144 cases showed that most presented with a mucinous type pattern (31.9%), stage III B (34%), being moderately differentiated (G2) (64.4%), with the presence of vascular invasion in 47.2% of cases and perineural invasion in 25.7%.

Niteča U et al. have reported similar data on the frequency for mucinous adenocarcinoma and signet ring cell, resulting statistically significant aspects between tumoral stage and grade of differentiation (p<0.01, X² test) [10]. Similar data was found in a retrospective study on large number of cases in which tumor grading represents an important prognostic factor, correlating significantly with tumor stage (p<0.01). Most cases were classified as stage III and moderately differentiated (G2) [11]. The same statistically significant data was demonstrated between tumor stage and grade of differentiation in several other articles present in literature [12,13].

Tumor stage, vascular and perineural invasion, show a significant statistical aspect (p<0.05, X² test), which indicates an aggressive mechanism of evolution. A study published in 2014 by Fuji et al. which included numerous patients with CRC, shows that the presence of vascular invasion is statistically significant and is an independent prognostic factor in a multivariate analysis [14].
Grade of differentiation, more specifically G2, the most frequent in the present study is also cited in many articles, being statistically significant with vascular invasion (p<0.05, X2test), which shows an unfavorable prognostic independent of tumor stage [15,16].

On the other hand in our study, we could not observe this association with perineural invasion, the statistical aspect being insignificant. In comparison, other studies demonstrate the contrary, resulting in a positive correlation [17,18].

**Conclusions**

The results of this study underline the importance of histopathological parameters as prognostic factors in colic adenocarcinoma, being useful for stratification of patients in regards of tumor aggressivity of CRC.
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