Association between Mitofusin 2 Gene Polymorphisms and Late-Onset Alzheimer’s Disease in the Korean Population
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Objective Mitochondrial dysfunction is a prominent and early feature of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The morphologic changes observed in the AD brain could be caused by a failure of mitochondrial fusion mechanisms. The aim of this study was to investigate whether genetic polymorphisms of two genes involved in mitochondrial fusion mechanisms, optic atrophy 1 (OPA1) and mitofusin 2 (MFN2), were associated with AD in the Korean population by analyzing genotypes and allele frequencies.

Methods One coding single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the MFN2, rs1042837, and two coding SNPs in the OPA1, rs7624750 and rs9851685, were compared between 165 patients with AD (83 men and 82 women, mean age 72.3 ± 4.41) and 186 healthy control subjects (82 men and 104 women, mean age 76.5 ± 5.98).

Results Among these three SNPs, rs1042837 showed statistically significant differences in allele frequency, and genotype frequency in the co-dominant 1 model and in the dominant model.

Conclusion These results suggest that the rs1042837 polymorphism in MFN2 may be involved in the pathogenesis of AD.
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INTRODUCTION

Many studies indicate that mitochondrial dysfunction is a prominent and early feature of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Mitochondrial dysfunction occurs early in AD pathogenesis and precedes the development of plaque formation. Impaired mitochondrial function leads to increased oxidative stress that enhances Aβ production. Mitochondria are dynamic organelles that can divide and fuse with each other. Damaged mitochondria undergo fusion and recover their function. Impaired mitochondrial fusion ability makes cells more sensitive to apoptotic stimuli, therefore mitochondrial fusion is considered to be a protective mechanism. In AD brains, the mitochondria were smaller, rounder, and had elongated disruptions of the cristae in contrast to normal controls. These ultrastructural alternations could be caused by a failure of the mitochondrial fusion mechanism. Mitochondrial fusion occurs in both the inner and outer membranes.

Mitochondrial fusion is mediated by three GTPase dynamin-like proteins, mitofusin 1 (MFN1), mitofusin 2 (MFN2), and optic atrophy 1 (OPA1). MFN1 and MFN2 mediate mitochondrial outer membrane fusion, whereas OPA1 is responsible for inner membrane fusion. Human autopsy studies have reported reduced expression of these fusion proteins in AD hippocampal tissues. The protein involved in mitochondrial membrane fusion is an essential component of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) homeostasis. Decreased OPA1 or MFN 1/2 causes mtDNA depletion, the perinuclear accumulation of mito-
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tochondria, and oxidative stress. Furthermore, in recent study using N2a cells, Mfn2 overexpression led to the inhibition of Aβ oligomers, which mediated cell death.

Therefore, we hypothesized that the genes involved in mitochondrial fusion could be good candidate genes for involvement in AD pathogenesis. Additionally, the MFN2 is located on chromosome 1p36, which was suggested as one of AD-associated chromosomal loci. The aim of this study was to investigate whether genetic polymorphisms of the OPA1, MFN1, and MFN2 were associated with AD in the Korean population by analyzing their genotype and allele frequencies. Additionally, we analyzed the relationship between genetic polymorphisms of these genes and the severity of the behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) based on clinical assessment.

METHODS

Subjects

The studied sample consisted of 165 AD patients (83 men and 82 women; mean age 72.3±4.4 years old; range 65–81) and 186 healthy controls (82 men and 104 women; mean age 76.5±5.9 years old; range 67–92). There was no significant difference of gender ratio between two groups (p=0.253), however the mean ages of two groups were different (p<0.001). Therefore, statistical data in this study were analyzed following adjustment for age and gender as covariates. All AD patients and healthy controls were recruited from five university hospitals and two geriatric hospitals which had participated in Dementia database project in Korea. The diagnosis of AD was based on the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association “probable AD” criteria. All controls were cognitively intact and free of significant illness, following medical history and clinical interview. AD patients were assessed using the Korean version of the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease-Neuropsychological Assessment Battery (CERAD-NP), The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI), and the Short Form Geriatric Depression Scale-Korean Version (S-GDS-K). Peripheral blood samples from each subject were drawn and 10 mL of blood was used for DNA extraction. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in the study or their legal guardians. The study was approved by the institutional review board of each participating hospital.

Single nucleotide polymorphism selection and genotyping

We searched the coding SNPs of the MFN1, MFN2, and OPA1 using the SNP database of the National Center for Bio-

technology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP). SNPs with heterozygosity below 0.1, minor allele frequency (MAF) below 0.05, or unknown genotype frequency in Asian populations were excluded. Of the SNPs of the MFN1, all coding SNPs had a MAF below 0.05 or heterozygosity below 0.1. rs1042837 (Ser523Ser) of the MFN2 and rs7624750 (Ser158Asn) and rs9851685 (Ala703Ala) of the OPA1 fulfilled our criteria. Thus, these three SNPs were selected and genotyped. A map of the positions of the three SNPs under investigation is shown in Figure 1. The genotypes of two OPA1 SNPs were determined by Sequenom MassARRAY technology (Sequenom iPLEX assay, San Diego, CA, USA). Primers were designed using the Assay Designer 3.1 (Sequenom) and DNA samples were amplified by multiplex PCR reactions. Genotyping and data analysis were performed using the Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX platform and the MassARRAY Typer 4.0, respectively, rs1042837 of the MFN2 was not amenable to genotyping by the Sequenom MassARRAY technology, thus it was directly sequenced. Primers for rs1042837 were sense, 5’-ACT TGA CTG GGG TGT GGT TC-3’ and antisense, 5’-TCT GGA GCC AGG GTA CAG AC-3’. DNA sequencing and data analysis were performed using the ABI PRISM 3730XL analyzer (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and SeqManII software (DNAStar Inc., Madison, WI, USA).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of the genotype frequencies and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was performed using SNPStats (http://bioinfo.iconcologia.net/snpstats/start.htm) and SPSS version 18.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Multiple logistic regression models were built to analyze genetic data: co-dominant 1 (major allele homozygotes vs. heterozygotes and minor allele homozygotes), dominant (major allele homozygotes vs. heterozygotes and minor allele homozygotes), and recessive (major allele homozygotes and heterozygotes vs. minor allele homozygotes). The scores

Figure 1. Map of three polymorphisms under investigation.
(frequency x severity) of twelve NPI items in the AD patients were compared with the genetic polymorphisms using Kruskal-Wallis tests (for the co-dominant model) and Mann-Whitney U tests (for the dominant and recessive model) using SPSS version 18.0. Bonferroni correction was applied by lowering the significance level of p values to 0.016 (=0.05/3) to avoid chance findings due to multiple testing.

RESULTS

The genotype distributions of the SNPs in both AD patients and the controls were in HWE (p>0.05; data not shown). Table 1 shows the genotype and allele frequencies of the three examined SNPs. In the present study, rs1042837 of the MFN2 was associated with the risk of AD, but no significant differences were found for the two SNPs of the OPA1 between AD patients and controls. The genotype frequency of rs1042837 was significantly different between the two groups (p=0.015, odds ratio (OR)=0.45, 95% confidence interval (CI)=0.23−0.86 in the co-dominant model 1, and p=0.0075, OR=0.43, 95% CI=0.23−0.81 in the dominant model). The allele frequency of rs1042837 was also significantly different between the AD group and the control group (p=0.0059). In the haplotype analysis using Haploview 4.2 program, a linkage disequilibrium block was made between two SNPs of OPA1 (D'=1, r^2=0.88), however, haplotype frequencies showed no significant difference between AD and controls (data not shown). The analysis of the relationship between genotype and BPSD severity as measured by NPI revealed no statistically significant findings (data not shown). Although the symptom of 'disinhibition' was associated with rs1042837 (p=0.033) in the dominant model and the symptom of 'irritability' was associated with rs7624750 (p=0.032) in the recessive model, these results did not meet the p value criteria of this study.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found a significant association be-

| SNP        | Type | Control N | Control % | AD N  | AD %  | Model     | OR (95% CI)     | p-value   |
|------------|------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| rs1042837  |      |           |           |       |       |           |                 |           |
| (MFN2)     | C/C  | 144       | 77.4      | 146   | 88.5  | Co-dominant 1 | 0.45 (0.23-0.86) | 0.015*    |
|            | C/T  | 39        | 21.0      | 18    | 10.9  | Co-dominant 2 | 0.27 (0.03-2.70) | 0.264     |
|            | T/T  | 3         | 1.6       | 1     | 0.6   | Dominant    | 0.43 (0.23-0.81) | 0.0075*   |
| Allele     |      |           |           |       |       | Recessive  | 0.31 (0.03-3.07) | 0.28      |
|            | C    | 327       | 87.9      | 310   | 93.9  |           |                 |           |
|            | T    | 45        | 12.1      | 20    | 6.1   |           |                 |           |
| rs7624750  |      |           |           |       |       |           |                 |           |
| (OPA1)     | G/G  | 82        | 44.1      | 73    | 44.2  | Co-dominant 1 | 1.21 (0.75-1.97) | 0.44      |
|            | G/A  | 75        | 40.3      | 76    | 46.1  | Co-dominant 2 | 0.65 (0.31-1.35) | 0.249     |
|            | A/A  | 29        | 15.6      | 16    | 9.7   | Dominant    | 1.05 (0.67-1.66) | 0.83      |
| Allele     |      |           |           |       |       | Recessive  | 0.59 (0.30-1.19) | 0.13      |
|            | G    | 239       | 64.2      | 222   | 67.3  |           |                 |           |
|            | A    | 133       | 35.8      | 108   | 32.7  |           |                 | 0.3995    |
| rs9851685  |      |           |           |       |       |           |                 |           |
| (OPA1)     | T/T  | 88        | 47.3      | 78    | 47.3  | Co-dominant 1 | 1.21 (0.75-1.95) | 0.446     |
|            | C/T  | 75        | 40.3      | 73    | 44.2  | Co-dominant 2 | 0.75 (0.34-1.63) | 0.465     |
|            | C/C  | 23        | 12.4      | 14    | 8.5   | Dominant    | 1.10 (0.70-1.72) | 0.69      |
| Allele     |      |           |           |       |       | Recessive  | 0.69 (0.32-1.45) | 0.32      |
|            | T    | 251       | 67.5      | 229   | 67.0  |           |                 |           |
|            | C    | 121       | 32.5      | 101   | 33.0  |           |                 | 0.5849    |

*statistically significant values (p<0.016). SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism, AD: Alzheimer's disease, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval
between the rs1042837 SNP in the MFN2 and AD risk in Korean patients. Particularly, T allele frequency in the controls was significantly more than that in AD patients. This finding suggests that the T allele of rs1042837 might be a protective factor for risk of AD.

Mutations in the MFN2 cause an autosomal dominant disease known as Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease subtype 2A (CMT2A), which is characterized by motor and sensory peripheral neuropathy. Although CMT2A mainly affects the peripheral nervous system, recent reports indicate that CMT2A might cause brain pathologies. In one study using brain MRI, 7 of 18 early-onset CMT patients with MFN2 mutations showed brain abnormalities in gray matter and/or white matter. In another study, three family members who carried MFN2 missense mutations showed signs of cognitive impairment such as delayed language development and low scores on the Wechsler Intelligence Scale. These reports support the possibility that the MFN2 mutation affects central nervous system as well as peripheral nervous system.

Many findings that suggest mitochondrial dysfunction, such as increased free radical production, activated apoptosis process, defective glucose metabolism, abnormal mitochondrial morphology, and altered mtDNA are observed in AD. Proposed the AD mitochondrial cascade hypothesis. This suggests that as mitochondrial function declines with aging, the failure of neuronal compensation leads to bioenergetics hyper-metabolism and thus the increased production and accumulation of Aβ. Tau phosphorylation is also facilitated by mitochondrial dysfunction. Gan et al. experimented with cybrid cells made of mtDNA-depleted cells fused with the platelet cytoplasm of AD patients or control subjects. The mitochondria of the AD cybrids were bleb-like in shape, shorter in length than controls, and Mfn2 was significantly decreased in the mitochondrial fraction of the AD cybrids. In the histologic findings of the six MFN2 mutation cases, small and spherical mitochondria were observed and these morphologic changes were similar to those observed in AD brain tissue. In this respect, the MFN2 might be a promising candidate for further investigation in the pathogenesis of AD.

The present study had some limitations. The major limitation was the small sample size in present study; therefore, some type I errors may have existed. Our findings should be considered only preliminary, requiring further validations in larger samples, and possibly in other ethnic group. Secondly, we analyzed only coding SNPs, which may not fully tag all the genetic variants in the OPA1 and MFN2 genes. An additional analysis of variants in another region in these genes could reveal the role of the OPA1 and MFN2 gene polymorphisms to susceptibility to AD. Despite these limitations, to our knowledge, this is the first report showing that polymorphisms in MFN2 were associated with AD.

In conclusion, our study revealed a significant association between the rs1042837 SNP of the MFN2 and AD in the Korean population. These findings suggest that genetic polymorphisms of mitochondrial fusion genes might be involved in the pathogenesis of AD.
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