Occurrence and characterization of coagulase positive and negative Staphylococci isolated from Japanese quails and broiler chickens at Qena Governorate, Egypt
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Abstract

Staphylococcal infections in poultry are taking an increasing significance and both coagulase positive Staphylococci (CoPS) and coagulase negative Staphylococci (CoNS) can cause infections in poultry. On the other hand, methicillin resistance Staphylococci (MRS) is a global problem currently and MRS are often has multidrug resistance (MDR) against variety of the other antibiotics. This study was performed to investigate incidence of CoPS and CoNS and their characteristics among Japanese quails and broiler chickens in some farms at Qena Governorate. Therefore, 80 Japanese quail samples of 3-4 weeks old and 70 broiler chicken samples of 21-25 days old were collected from different localities in Qena Governorate during the period from October 2020 to March 2021 for analysis. Bacterial isolates were identified as Staphylococci through phenotypic characteristics and PCR assay target 16s rRNA gene of Staphylococci, additionally CoPS were divided into Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) and other coagulase positive Staphylococci (OCoPS) by PCR assay target nuc gene of S. aureus. Bacteriologically, 11 Staphylococcus isolates were isolated from Japanese quail samples with percentage of (13.75%) and they identified as S. aureus (n=2), OCoPS (n=2) and CoNS (n=7) while 5 CoNS isolates were isolated and identified from broiler chicken samples with percentage of (7.14%). Examination of Staphylococcus isolates for their ability to form biofilm by Microtiter plate (MTP) and Congo Red Agar (CRA) tests revealed that all isolates were biofilm producer but with varied grades of biofilm production and the correlation rate between MTP and CRA tests for detection of biofilm-producing Staphylococci were 93.75%. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Staphylococcus isolates revealed that all the isolates were resistant to ampicillin, oxacillin, cefazolin, cefotaxime, vancomycin, erythromycin and clindamycin and they had antibiotic sensitivity to ciprofloxacin (87.5%) followed by gentamicin (75.0%), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (62.5%), oxytetracycline (25%) and chloramphenicol (12.5%). On the other hand, screening Staphylococcus isolates by PCR for presence of mecA, blaz and vanA resistance genes revealed that (81.25%), (56.25%) and (31.25%) of the isolates harbor these genes respectively and each MRS harbor one gene of mecA and blaz at least. The present study demonstrates that Japanese quails and chickens harbor multidrug-resistant bacteria that could be transmitted to human.
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Introduction

Poultry industry is considered one of the main sources of the national income in Egypt. Furthermore, it contributes to providing the population's needs of animal protein and achieving food security (Abdel Rahman and Abdel Motalib, 2018). Emergence and re-emergence of the diseases still to be the main challenges to the current situation and the strategic future of poultry industry (Hafez and Attia, 2020).

Staphylococci are one of the most common causes of infections in human, animals (Casey et al., 2007) and birds worldwide (Aarestrup et al., 2000), although, they are ubiquitous bacteria and are of the normal flora of skin, hair, nose and throat of human, animals (Anacarso et al., 2013) and poultry (Casey et al., 2007). Currently, genus Staphylococcus includes more than 70 species with presence of subspecies within some of these species (Götz et al., 2006). Based on their ability to clot plasma, Staphylococci are divided into two groups; CoNS and CoPS that include S. aureus (Anacarso et al., 2013).

S. aureus is the main pathogen within genus Staphylococcus, and it is one of the major pathogens associated with clinical infections and food poisoning in human as well as in animals all over the world (Mamza et al., 2019). Furthermore, CoNS have been responsible for multiple infections in both human and animals (Vuong and Otto, 2002). In poultry, most Staphylococcal infections are caused by CoPS especially S. aureus, however, CoNS have also been reported as pathogens in poultry infections (Stepień-Pyśniak et al., 2017).

Staphylococci are associated with many clinical infections in avian species worldwide (Onaolapo et al., 2017). These infections vary with site and route of infection in hatchery and poultry farms and include dermatitis, osteomyelitis, arthritis, synovitis, tenosynovitis, endocarditis, septicemia, omphalitis, femoral head necrosis and bumble foot which will in-turn causes large economic losses resulting from decreased weight gain, decreased egg production, lameness, mortality, and condemnation at slaughter of the birds (Lowder et al., 2009, Onaolapo et al., 2017 and Bakheet et al., 2018).

Antimicrobial resistance is an issue of increasing global concern and it is associated mainly with the uncontrolled usage of antimicrobials (Barber et al., 2003). In the recent years, Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) has been growingly reported as emerging problem in the veterinary field especially in small animals and poultry (Broens et al., 2011). In addition, MRSA are often having MDR against a variety of the other antibiotics (Bakeet and Darwish, 2014). Moreover, there is a global resistance problem against vancomycin which is the last resort for the highly resistant S. aureus where vancomycin-resistant S. aureus (VRSA) has been isolated from different countries presently (Ammar et al., 2016). On the other hand, CoNS strains appear to be more resistant to antibiotics than S. aureus and MDR strains are observed with increasing frequency among this group (Marek et al., 2016). Development of multidrug resistant (MDR) pathogens makes prevention and control of the bacterial diseases of great difficulty affecting not only poultry but also human through transmission of such MDR pathogens and antibiotic resistance genes via consumption of the contaminated products (Darwish et al., 2013).

Biofilm formation is an important virulence and antibiotic resistance determinant. Biofilm producing S. aureus are widely distributed in poultry and poultry abattoirs in Egypt (Erfan and Marouf, 2015). Ability of CoNS to form biofilm structures on the damaged tissues surfaces is the main virulence factor in this bacterial group (de Silva et al., 2002).
At present, there are limited researches on Staphylococcal infections in poultry and their antibiotic sensitivity in Egypt especially in quails and most these researches usually pertain to S. aureus although role of the other CoPS and CoNS in poultry infections as indicated in some literature data. On the other hand, as surge of pathogenic MRS, VRS and MDR Staphylococci continues in human, animals and poultry, there is imperative need for the continuous periodic monitoring of antibiotic susceptibility of Staphylococcus isolates for the effective treatment of Staphylococci infections. Therefore, this study was carried out to investigate occurrence of CoPS and CoNS among Japanese quails and broiler chickens in some farms at Qena Governorate and to determine their antimicrobial susceptibility profile including prevalence of MR, VR and MDR Staphylococci by both phenotypic and genotypic methods. Additionally, the current study aimed to determine ability of the isolates to form biofilm.

Material and Methods

1- Sampling and clinical examination:

During the period from October 2020 to March 2021, 80 Japanese quail samples of 3-4 weeks old and 70 broiler chicken samples of 21-25 days old were collected from different farms at different localities in Qena Governorate. They were subjected to clinical and post-mortem examinations at Poultry Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, South Valley University, Egypt and samples were collected from liver, spleen, kidney and synovial fluid under aseptic conditions for bacteriological examination.

2- Bacterial isolation and biochemical identification:

Samples were inoculated into tryptone soya broth (TSB) (Oxoid, England) containing 70mg/ml NaCl and incubated at 37 °C under aerobic condition for 24 hrs. A loopful of the inoculated broth was subcultured on mannitol salt agar (Oxoid, England) and incubated at 37°C under aerobic condition for 24 hrs. The pink and yellow colonies of suspected isolates were preserved in TSB (Oxoid, England) containing 15% glycerol at -20°C till further identification. Suspected isolates were tentatively identified according to the morphological characteristics, Gram-staining, coagulase, oxidase and catalase tests using the methods and criteria described by Quinn et al. (2004).

3- Phenotypic characterization of biofilm formation:

3.1- Microtiter plate test:

It was carried out as described by Melo et al. (2013) with slight modification. Briefly, the tested isolates were grown overnight in TSB (Oxoid, England) at 37°C. Cultures were diluted by 1:200 with TSB (Oxoid, England) containing 1% glucose (Oxford, India) then 200µl from each of these suspensions were placed in the wells of a 96-well polystyrene plate (Costar, USA), each isolate was tested in triplicate and three wells were used as a negative control containing 200 µl of TSB and 1% glucose only. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. The bacterial suspensions were removed and the wells were washed three times by phosphate buffer saline, dried at 60 °C for 1 hr., stained with 0.5% crystal violet (Fluka, India) for 1 min., washed three times by distilled water after removal of dye and finally dried at room temperature. Absorbance of the adherent biofilm (OD) was measured at 620 nm using microplate reader (BioTek ELX800, USA) and the results were interpreted according to Stepanović et al. (2007).

3.2- Congo Red Agar test:

It was carried out as described by Melo et al. (2013) where the tested isolates were grown on Brain heart infusion agar (Oxoid, UK) containing 0.08% Congo red (Oxford,
and as *S. aureus* by PCR assays targeting 16S rRNA gene of *Staphylococci* and *nuc* gene of *S. aureus* respectively. Furthermore, PCR assays were performed for detection of some antibiotic resistance genes in the isolates including *mecA* (a determinant of methicillin resistance), *blaZ* (a determinant of β-lactamase production) and *vanA* (a determinant of vancomycin resistance). The used oligonucleotide primers are illustrated in Table 1 and they were obtained from Metabion (Germany).

5.1-DNA extraction:

After growth of the tested isolates overnight in TSB (Oxoid, England) at 37°C, bacterial DNA was extracted by QI Amp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen GmbH, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions. Thereafter, extracted DNA concentrations were estimated by NanoDropTM Lite spectrometer (Thermo scientific, Germany) then it was preserved at -20°C till be used.

5.2-PCR amplification:

DNA amplification was performed in Applied biosystem 2720 thermocycler (USA) using Emerald Amp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan) under PCR conditions illustrated in Table 1 for each target gene. According to master mix manufacturer's instructions, reaction mixture was performed in 25 μl comprising Master Mix (12.5 μl), forward and reverse primers (1 μl from each), extracted DNA (5 μl) and nuclease-free water (5.5 μl).

5.3-Analysis of PCR products:

PCR products were electrophoresed on 1% agarose gel (Applichem GmbH, Germany) in 1x TBE buffer. gene ruler 100 base pair (bp) DNA ladder (Thermo scientific, Germany) was used for fragments size determination. Thereafter, the gel was photographed by a gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech, Biometra).
Table 1. Target genes in the study, primers sequences and PCR conditions used.

| Target gene | Primers sequences (5’-3’) | Size (bp) | Primary Den. | PCR conditions (35 cycles) | Final extension | Ref. |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|------|
| **16S rRNA** | CCATAAGACCTGG GATAACCTCCTGG | 791 | 94°C 3 min. | 94°C 90 sec. | 55°C 1 min. | 72°C 1 min. | 72°C 10 min. | Mason et al., 2001 |
| nuc | ATATGTATGCCAA TCGTTTTCAAT GTAAATGCACTTG CTTCAACG | 395 | 95°C 5 min. | 95°C 30 sec. | 55°C 30 sec. | 72°C 30 sec. | 72°C 5 min. | Gao et al., 2011 |
| mecA | GTAGAAATGACTG AAGTCCGATAA CCAATTCACATT GTTCGGTCG | 310 | 94°C 5 min. | 94°C 30 sec. | 50°C 30 sec. | 72°C 30 sec. | 72°C 7 min. | McClure et al., 2006 |
| blaZ | TACAACGTATAA TCCGAGGG CATTACACCTTG GCGGGTTC | 833 | 94°C 5 min. | 94°C 30 sec. | 50°C 40 sec. | 72°C 50 sec. | 72°C 10 min. | Bagcigil et al., 2012 |
| vanA | CATGACGTACCGG TAAAATC AACGGGCAAGRTA TGAC | 885 | 95°C 10 min. | 94°C 1 min. | 56°C 1 min. | 74°C 1 min. | 74°C 10 min. | Patel et al., 1997 |

Results

1-Results of clinical and post-mortem examination:

In chickens, clinically, the infected broiler chickens showed lethargy, reluctance to move, lameness with swelling in the joints (Fig. 1A), dropping wing, some birds exhibited brownish watery diarrhea. Internally, liver appeared enlarged, friable with white to dull necrotic foci and some liver lobes had sharp demarcated areas consistent with an infarct (Fig.1B), spleen was also enlarged. Femoral head necrosis where the proximal growth plate separated from its articular cartridge.

On the other hand, the diseased Japanese quails showed clinically whitish to brownish diarrhea with lameness, bumble foot, foot bad dermatitis (Fig. 1C) and high mortality. Most observed post-mortem lesions were enlarged greenish liver with white necrotic patch (Fig. 1D). On the side, it must be mention that Salmonella serotypes and other Enterobacteriaceae were isolated from some cases at the same time from Japanese quails and chickens’ samples (unpublished data).

Fig. 1. Clinical and pathologic features of Staphylococi infection. A. Infected broiler chickens sits on the ground with their legs extended and unable to stand. B. Enlarged liver with white to dull necrotic foci and area of infarction (yellow arrow) in infected broiler chicken. C. Infected Japanese quail with bumble foot (pododermatitis). D. Enlarged greenish liver with white necrotic patch (yellow arrow) in infected Japanese quail.

2- Results of bacterial isolation as well as phenotypic and genotypic identification of the isolates:

Based on the morphological and biochemical characteristics of the isolates and their genotypic identification by PCR assays as illustrated in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3,
incidence of Staphylococci, S. aureus, OCoPS and CoNS among the examined birds were summarized in Table 2.

All *Staphylococcus* isolates produced yellow colonies on mannitol salt agar except three isolates produced pink colonies (S2, S12 and S13). They were oxidase negative, catalase positive and coagulase negative except four isolates were coagulase positive (S1-S4).

**Table 2. Incidence of Staphylococci among the examined birds.**

| Examined birds | Examined samples (No.) | *Staphylococcus* isolates (total) | CoPS isolates | OCoPS isolates | CoNS isolates |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
|                |                        | No. (%)                          | S. aureus     | OCoPS          | CoNS          |
| Quails         | 80                     | 11 (13.75%)                      | 2 (2.5%)      | 2 (2.5%)       | 7 (8.75%)     |
| Chickens       | 70                     | 5 (7.14%)                        | 0 (0.0%)      | 0 (0.0%)       | 5 (7.14%)     |
| Total          | -----                  | 16 (100.0%)                      | 2 (12.5%)     | 2 (12.5%)      | 12 (75.0%)    |

3- Results of biofilm formation:

Results of biofilm formation of *Staphylococcus* isolates were summarized in Table 3. It was found that all the isolates were biofilm producer but with varied grades of biofilm production and the correlation rate between MTP and CRA tests for detection of biofilm-producing Staphylococci were 93.75% (15/16).

**Table 3. Results of biofilm formation by MTP and CRA tests.**

| Test  | Biofilm production grade | *Staphylococci* isolates (total) | S. aureus isolates | OCoPS isolates | CoNS isolates |
|-------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
|       |                          | No. | %    | No. | %    | No. | %    | No. | %    |
| MTP   | Weak producer            | 13  | 81.25| 2   | 100.0| 2   | 100.0| 9   | 75.0 |
|       | Moderate producer        | 1   | 6.25 | 0   | 0.0  | 0   | 0.0  | 1   | 8.33 |
|       | Strong producer          | 2   | 12.5 | 0   | 0.0  | 0   | 0.0  | 2   | 16.67|
| CRA   | Biofilm producer         | 3   | 18.75| 1   | 50.0 | 0   | 0.0  | 2   | 16.67|
|       | Intermediate             | 12  | 75.0 | 1   | 50.0 | 2   | 100.0| 9   | 75.0 |
|       | Non-biofilm              | 1   | 6.25 | 0   | 0.0  | 0   | 0.0  | 1   | 8.33 |
4- Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:

Results of evaluation antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus* isolates to the tested antibiotics in this study were illustrated in Table 4. The results revealed that all *Staphylococcus* isolates were resistant to seven of the tested antibiotics namely ampicillin, oxacillin, cefazolin, cefotaxime, vancomycin, erythromycin and clindamycin. Concerning the other five antibiotics tested, the isolates had antibiotic sensitivity to ciprofloxacin (87.5%) followed by gentamicin (75.0%), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (62.5%), oxytetracycline (25%) and chloramphenicol (12.5%).

Table 4. Results of antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus* isolates.

| Isolate No. | Tested antibiotic  |
|-------------|--------------------|
|             | AMP | AMC | OX | CZ | CTX | V | E | CN | CIP | T | C | DA | MDR |
| **S. aureus isolates (isolates from Japanese quails)** |     |     |    |    |     |   |   |    |     |   |   |    |      |
| S2          | R   | I   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | I  | R   | R | R | R  | 10   |
| S3          | R   | R   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | S  | R   | R | R | R  | 10   |
| **Other CoPS isolates (isolated from Japanese quails)** |     |     |    |    |     |   |   |    |     |   |   |    |      |
| S1          | R   | R   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | I  | S   | R | R | R  | 10   |
| S4          | R   | S   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | I  | S   | R | R | R  | 8    |
| **CoNS isolates (isolated from Japanese quails)** |     |     |    |    |     |   |   |    |     |   |   |    |      |
| S5          | R   | R   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | I  | R   | R | R | R  | 11   |
| S6          | R   | R   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | S  | R   | R | R | R  | 11   |
| S7          | R   | I   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | S  | I   | I | R | R  | 8    |
| S8          | R   | I   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | S  | I   | R | R | R  | 9    |
| S9          | R   | I   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | S  | R   | R | R | R  | 10   |
| S10         | R   | I   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | S  | I   | R | R | R  | 9    |
| S11         | R   | S   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | I  | S   | R | R | R  | 9    |
| **CoNS isolates (isolated from broiler chickens)** |     |     |    |    |     |   |   |    |     |   |   |    |      |
| S12         | R   | I   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | S  | S   | I | R | R  | 8    |
| S13         | R   | R   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | I  | S   | R | S | R  | 9    |
| S14         | R   | S   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | I  | I   | I | S | S  | 7    |
| S15         | R   | S   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | S  | R   | R | R | R  | 10   |
| S16         | R   | R   | R  | R  | R   | R | R | R  | S   | R | R | R  | 11   |
| Sensitive isolates | 0  | 10  | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0 | 0  | 12  | 14 | 4 | 2  | 0    |
| %           | 0   | 62.5| 0  | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0 | 0  | 75.0| 87.5| 25.0| 12.5| 0   |

R= resistant, S = sensitive and I= intermediate.

5- Isolates investigation for some antibiotic resistance genes by PCR:

Results of screening of *Staphylococcus* isolates by conventional PCR for presence of meca, blaZ and vanA genes were summarized in Table 5 and illustrated in Figs. 4., Fig. 5, and Fig. 6 respectively. Relationship between phenotypic MR and presence of meca and blaZ genes in the isolates were summarized in Table 6.
respectively) showing positive bands at 310-bp in all the isolates except S1, S7 and S14.

Fig. 5. Agar gel electrophoresis of the PCR products using specific primers targeting blaZ gene in Staphylococcus isolates. Lane L: 100 bp DNA ladder, lane P: positive control, lane N: negative control and lanes 1-16: PCR products from Staphylococcus isolates (S1:S16 respectively) showing positive bands at 833-bp in all the isolates except S3, S4, S6, S9, S10, S11 and S15.

Table 5. Prevalence of mecA, blaZ and vanA genes among Staphylococcus isolates by PCR.

| Gene | Result of gene detection in the isolate | Staphylococcus isolates (total) | S. aureus isolates | OCoPS isolates | CoNS isolates |
|------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
|      | No. | %  | No. | %  | No. | %  | No. | %  |
| mecA | Positive | 13 | 81.25 | 2 | 100 | 1 | 50.0 | 10 | 83.3 |
|      | Negative | 3 | 18.75 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 2 | 16.7 |
| blaZ | Positive | 9 | 56.25 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 7 | 58.3 |
|      | Negative | 7 | 43.75 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 5 | 41.7 |
| vanA | Positive | 5 | 31.25 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 33.3 |
|      | Negative | 11 | 68.75 | 1 | 50.0 | 2 | 100 | 8 | 66.7 |

Table 6. Presence of mecA and/or blaZ genes among MR Staphylococcus isolates.

| meca gene | blaZ gene | Both mecA and blaZ gene |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Isolates No. | S3, S4, S6, S9, S10, S11 and S15 | S1, S7 and S14 | S2, S5, S8, S12, S13 and S16 |
| Positive isolates No. | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| % | 43.75% | 18.75% | 37.5% |

Discussion

Staphylococcal infections in poultry are taking increasing significance. Although, S. aureus is the most frequently isolated species from poultry, the literature data indicate that other CoPS and CoNS can also cause infections in poultry and there is increase in role of CoNS in poultry infections which suggests that the safety risk associated with their occurrence in the clinical environment and food may be higher than previously thought (Marek et al., 2016). In this study and as illustrated in Table 2, it was found that incidence of Staphylococci (total), S. aureus, OCoPS and CoNS among the examined quails was (13.75%), (2.5%), (2.5%) and (8.75%) respectively while their incidence among the examined chickens was (7.14%), (0.0%), (0.0%) and (7.14%) respectively. Our results came in accordance with findings of El-Jakee et al. (2008) who reported that incidence of Staphylococci among chickens was (12%) while disagreed with those of Bakeet and Darwish (2014) and Salah et al. (2020) who reported that incidence of Staphylococci among chickens...
was (72.5%) and (35.0%) respectively and Shokry et al. (2018) who reported that *Staphylococcus* were isolated from diseased chickens by 69.23% and 38.95% from apparently healthy flocks. Our results came also in accordance with findings of Otalu et al. (2011) and Otalu et al. (2015) who reported that incidence of *S. aureus* among live and slaughtered chickens was (3.25%) and (0.93%) respectively while disagreed with those of Mamza et al. (2019) and Salah et al. (2020) who reported that incidence of *S. aureus* among chickens was (47.5%) and (14.2%) respectively. Furthermore, in contrast to our results, very higher incidence of CoPS (42.5%) were recorded among chickens by Bakeet and Darwish (2014) and higher incidence of CoNS (19.33%) and (25.7%) were recorded among chickens by El-Nagar et al. (2017) and Kawano et al. (1996). Non-hemolytic, coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* was isolated from Culture of eyelid skin of Japanese quail (Raidal, 1995) and MRSA were isolated by 29% from One hundred swab samples from quails at the slaughterhouse Vanessa et al. (2021). These discrepancies in incidence of *Staphylococcus* spp. may be attributed to the differences in geographical location, management practices, numbers of samples, sampling methods and examination methods (Mamza et al., 2019 and Salah et al., 2020). Isolation of high percentage of CoNS (75%) and OCoPS (12.5%) from quails and chickens in this study confirm that CoNS and OCoPS are considered important poultry pathogens especially where they carry antimicrobial resistance genes as it was found also in our study and thus poultry can serve as a potential source or reservoirs of these pathogens transfer to humans and animals.

The clinical signs and post-mortem lesions observed in the infected broiler chickens and Japanese quails in this study agreed with those of Amen et al. (2019) who reported that chickens, turkeys and ducks infected with *Staphylococci* exhibited depression, wings dropping, dullness, inability to stand, lameness with enlargement of liver and spleen. Also, it agreed with those of Awan and Matsumoto (1998) who reported that *Staphylococcal* infection causes diarrhea, osteomyelitis, synovitis and/or bumble foot in the infected birds. On the other hand, our results disagreed with those of Popy et al. (2011) who reported clinical signs and post-mortem lesions in the *Staphylococcal* infected birds weren't observed in this study and which included oculo-nasal discharge, conjunctivitis, facial oedema, respiratory rales, catarrhal tracheitis and rhinitis.

MTP and CRA tests are commonly used for phenotypic identification of biofilm-producing bacteria (Erfan and Marouf, 2015). In this study, it was found that all the isolates were biofilm producer but with varied grades of biofilm production indicating their virulence. On the other hand, it was found that the correlation rate between MTP and CRA tests for detection of biofilm-producing *Staphylococci* were 93.75%. This result agreed with that of Erfan and Marouf (2015) who reported that this correlation rate was 94.02% while contradicts that of Nasr et al. (2012) who reported that this correlation rate was 20%.

In the recent years, use of antibiotics in poultry production has increased extensively (Pyzik et al., 2019) and some bacteria have demonstrated full or partial resistance to the different antibiotics (Palanisamy and Bamaiyi, 2015). As shown in Table 4, antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Staphylococcus* isolates in the present study revealed surprisingly high resistance where all the isolates were resistant to seven of the tested antibiotics (MDR) namely ampicillin, oxacillin, cefazolin, cefotaxime, vancomycin, erythromycin and clindamycin and they had antibiotic sensitivity to ciprofloxacin (87.5%) followed by gentamicin (75.0%), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (62.5%), oxytetracycline (25.0%) and chloramphenicol (12.5%). Otalu et al.
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Marek et al. (2016), Onaolapo et al. (2017), Mamza et al. (2019), Mohamed et al. (2020) and Salah et al. (2020) reported that MDR Staphylococcus strains from chickens but with comparatively different patterns of resistance and sensitivity to the different antibiotics and which could be attributed to numerous differences including geographical location, susceptibility testing methodologies, antimicrobial usage, management practices (White et al., 2003) and time of examination where antimicrobial resistance percentage is increasing over time (Ali et al., 2017).

The high MDR observed in this study could be attributed to the abuse of these antibiotics in poultry farms in area of the study from a long time (Onaolapo et al., 2017) where there is no drug control or legislations concerning sales of antibiotics. On the other hand, the relatively low parentage of resistance against amoxicillin/clavulanic acid observed in this study could be attributed to action of beta lactamase inhibitor; clavulanic acid where these isolates were found to be resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics. Also, parenteral administration of gentamicin which involve specialized hand for injection may have curtailed their abused in area of the study and subsequently the isolates showed high sensitivity to it (Onaolapo et al., 2017). From a clinical perspective, presence of such MDR bacteria will result in difficulty of Staphylococcal infections treatment in poultry due to the limited therapeutic options especially from the antibiotics commonly used for their treatment. This in addition to their epidemiological and public health implications represented in transfer of these MDR bacteria and their resistance genes to human, poultry and animals.

Currently, MRS is a global problem (Pyzik et al., 2019) and its percentage is increasing in an alarming rate (Ali et al., 2017). There are two mechanisms for methicillin resistance in Staphylococci, the first is associated with presence of mecA gene on the bacterial chromosome which encodes altered penicillin-binding proteins 2a (PBP2a) has a very low affinity to beta-lactam antibiotics (Bakheet et al., 2018). As illustrated in Table 5 and Fig. 4, screening Staphylococcus isolates by PCR for presence of mecA gene revealed its presence in Staphylococi, S. aureus, OCoPS isolates and CoNS isolates with percentage (81.25%), (100.0%), (50.0%) and (83.3%) respectively. Our results agreed with those of Bakeet and Darwish (2014) and Salah et al. (2020) who found that all S. aureus isolates and (80%) of CoNS isolates harbor mecA gene respectively. Bakeet and Darwish (2014) and Bakheet et al. (2018) detected mecA gene in OCoPS isolates with higher prevalence, (62.5%) and (72.7%) respectively while Pyzik et al. (2019) detected mecA gene in CoNS isolates with very lower prevalence (27.6%). Prevalence of mecA gene with high percentage in our isolates could explain the MDR observed in such isolates where mecA gene complex allows the cross resistance to the other antibiotics because it carries insertion sites for mobile genetic elements that facilitate acquisition of resistance determinants to the other antibiotics (Bakheet et al., 2018 and Mohamed et al., 2020).

The second mechanism for MR in Staphylococci is production of beta-lactam enzymes inactivate the antibiotic by hydrolysis of its beta-lactam ring, these enzymes are encoded by blaZ gene located on the bacterial chromosome or plasmids (Bakheet et al., 2018). As illustrated in Table 5 and Fig. 5, screening Staphylococcus isolates by PCR for presence of blaZ gene revealed its presence in Staphylococci, S. aureus, OCoPS isolates and CoNS isolates with percentage (56.25%), (50.0%), (50.0%) and (58.3%) respectively. Our results agreed with those of Bakeet and Darwish (2014) and Pyzik et al. (2019) who found that (45.45%) of OCoPS isolates and (58.3%) of CoNS isolates harbor blaZ gene respectively while disagreed with those of Ferreira et al.
(2017) and Salah et al. (2020) who found that (82%) of *Staphylococci* isolates and all *S. aureus* isolates harbor *blaZ* gene respectively. In this study, it was found that prevalence of MR among *Staphylococcus* isolates was 100% and on comparing results of phenotypic prediction of *mecA* and *blaZ* genes and those of PCR assays, it was found that each MR isolate harbor one gene of *mecA* and *blaZ* genes at least where it was found that (37.5%), (43.75%) and (18.75%) of the isolates harbor both *mecA* and *blaZ*, *mecA* only and *blaZ* only respectively as illustrated in Table 6.

Presently, VRSA has been isolated from different countries (Ammar et al., 2016) where it causes a wide range of the infections in different hosts (Grundmann et al., 2010). As illustrated in Table 5 and Fig. 6, screening *Staphylococcus* isolates by PCR for presence of *vanA* gene responsible for depressing the cell wall affinity for vancomycin revealed its presence in Staphylococci, *S. aureus*, OCoPS isolates and CoNS isolates with percentage (31.25%), (50.0%), (0.0%) and (33.3%) respectively. Our results disagreed with those of Salah et al. (2020) who found that prevalence of *vanA* gene among *Staphylococci*, *S. aureus* and CoNS isolates was (60.0%), (50.0%) and (0.0%) respectively. In this study, it was found that all the isolates were resistant to vancomycin although only (31.25%) of the isolates harbor *vanA* gene, this may be attributed to that vancomycin resistance may by expressed phenotypically through another resistance genes aren't tested in this study. From a clinical perspective, resistance of all *Staphylococci* isolates to vancomycin observed in this study gives us an alarm about the dangerous situation of *Staphylococci* resistance where vancomycin is the drug of last resort for highly drug resistant *S. aureus* (Ammar et al., 2016).

**Conclusion**

This study provided a foresight about incidence of Staphylococci among Japanese quails and broiler chickens in Qena Governorate, Egypt and their antibiotics susceptibility. It revealed that both CoPS and CoNS are important poultry pathogens and there is increase in role of CoPS in poultry infections. It also revealed an alarming level of Staphylococci resistance to many of the antibiotics commonly used for treatment of Staphylococcal infections in human and poultry and the high prevalence of *mecA*, *blaZ* and *vanA* resistance genes among *Staphylococci* isolates. Therefore, the immediate restriction for the indiscriminate use of antibiotics in poultry farms is very crucial with application of the sanitary hygienic measures in poultry production. Furthermore, there is a need for performing similar studies in the other areas of Egypt to obtain more comprehensive data and for monitoring susceptibility of the clinical isolates for the antibiotics periodically.
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