New type of degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind
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Abstract
Recently, Kim and Kim (Russ. J. Math. Phys. 27(2):227–235, 2020) have studied new type degenerate Bernoulli numbers and polynomials by making use of degenerate logarithm. Motivated by (Kim and Kim in Russ. J. Math. Phys. 27(2):227–235, 2020), we consider a special class of polynomials, which we call a new type of degenerate Daehee numbers and polynomials of the second kind. By using their generating function, we derive some new relations including the degenerate Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds. Moreover, we introduce a new type of higher-order degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind. We also derive some new identities and properties of this type of polynomials.
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1 Introduction and preliminaries
Special polynomials have their origin in the solutions of differential equations under some conditions. Special polynomials can be described in various ways such as by generating functions, by \( p \)-adic integrals, by recurrence relations, by degenerate versions, and so on.

The degenerate versions of some special numbers and polynomials have been studied by many researchers. The notion of degeneracy provides a powerful tool in defining special numbers and polynomials of their degenerate versions. The most important applications of these polynomials are in the theory of finite differences, analytic number theory, and applications in the classical analysis and statistics. Despite the applicability of special functions in classical analysis and statistics, they also arise in communications systems, quantum mechanics, nonlinear wave propagation, electric circuit theory, electromagnetic theory, and so on.

Recent investigations involving degenerate Daehee polynomials and numbers of the third kind [3], degenerate \( \lambda-q \)-Daehee polynomials [5], degenerate polyexponential functions and degenerate Bell polynomials [14], degenerate binomial coefficients and degenerate hypergeometric functions [15], new type degenerate Bernoulli numbers [8], degenerate Stirling polynomials of the second kind [19], degenerate poly-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials [18], degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind [9], new type de-
generate Dahee numbers and polynomials [17], some results on degenerate Dahee and
Bernoulli numbers and polynomials [20], degenerate Laplace transform and degenerate
gamma function [11], some identities on type 2 degenerate Bernoulli polynomials of the
second kind [10], some identities for degenerate complete and incomplete \( r \)-Bell polynomials [21] have been investigated in detail.

We are now in a position to state some special numbers and polynomials, which will be
used in the paper.

Let \( B_n^{(r)}(x) \) be the generalized Bernoulli polynomials of order \( r \) given by the following
generating function (see [1, 6, 12]):

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^r e^{xt} \quad (r \in \mathbb{C}; 1' := 1; |t| < 2\pi).
\] (1)

In the case where \( x = 0 \), \( B_n^{(r)} := B_n^{(r)}(0) \) are called the generalized Bernoulli numbers of or-
der \( r \).

The notion of \textit{degenerate} of the exponential function

\[
e^x = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (1 + \lambda x)^{1/\lambda}
\]

is considered without the limit case. That is, the degenerate of the exponential function \( e^x \)
is equal to \( (1 + \lambda x)^{1/\lambda} \). It follows that the degenerate of the parameter \( x \) is \( \log^{(1/\lambda)}(1 + \lambda x) \). This idea
was first considered for Bernoulli polynomials by Carlitz [1] as follows:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{t}{1 + \lambda t} \right)^{1/\lambda} (1 + \lambda t)^{1/\lambda} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}).
\] (2)

At the point \( x = 0 \) in (2), \( \beta_{n,\lambda} := \beta_n^{(r)}(0) \) are called the degenerate Bernoulli numbers.

Let \( (x)_n \) be the falling factorial sequence given by

\[
(x)_n = x(x - 1) \cdots (x - n + 1) \quad (n \geq 1)
\] (3)

with the assumption \( (x)_0 := 1 \).

As is well known, the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials of higher order were considered
by Carlitz [1] as follows:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{t}{1 + \lambda t} \right)^{1/\lambda} (1 + \lambda t)^{1/\lambda}. \] (4)

Obviously,

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \beta_n^{(r)}(x) = B_n^{(r)}(x),
\]

which represents the Bernoulli polynomials of higher order.

For \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), Kim and Kim [8] defined the degenerate version of the logarithm function,
denoted by \( \log_{\lambda}(1 + t) \), as follows:

\[
\log_{\lambda}(1 + t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} (1)_{n,1/\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!},
\] (5)
which is the inverse of the degenerate version of the exponential function $e_x(t)$ as

$$e_x(\log_x(t)) = \log_x(e_x(t)) = t.$$  

Note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \log_x(1 + t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n!} t^n = \log(1 + t).$$

The degenerate polyexponential function (see [7, 14]) is defined by

$$e_x(x, \delta | k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_{n,\lambda}}{n!(n+\delta)} x^n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } \delta \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } \Re(\delta) > 0).$$  

The degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind (see [9]) are defined by

$$\frac{1}{k!} (\log_x(1 + t))^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (k \geq 0).$$  

Note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} S_{1,\lambda}(n, k) = S_1(n, k),$$

which stands for the Stirling numbers of the first kind given by (see [20])

$$\frac{1}{k!} (\log(1 + t))^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_1(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$  

The degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind (see [16, 19]) are given by

$$\frac{1}{k!} (e_x(1) - 1)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (k \geq 0).$$  

It is clear that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) = S_2(n, k),$$

which are called the Stirling numbers of the second kind given by means of the following generating function:

$$\frac{1}{k!} (e^t - 1)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_2(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (k \geq 0).$$  

Roman [24] defined the Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind given by the generating function

$$\frac{t}{\log(1 + t)} (1 + t)^t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$  

(9)
It is worth noting that (cf. [9])

\[ b_n(x) = B_n(x + 1) \quad (n \geq 0). \]

The degenerate version of Eq. (9) is given by Kim and Kim [14] as follows:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{t}{\log_x(1 + t)}(1 + t)^x, \tag{10}
\]

satisfying

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} b_{n,\lambda}(x) = b_n(x).
\]

The Dahee polynomials are defined by

\[ \log(1 + t) (1 + t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{11} \]

In the case where \( x = 0 \) in (11), \( D_n =: D_n(0) \) are called the Dahee numbers (see [2–4, 8, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 25]).

Very recently, Kim et al. [17] introduced a new class of degenerate Dahee polynomials via the following generating function:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{\log_x(1 + t)}{t}(1 + t)^x. \tag{12}
\]

Putting \( x = 0 \) in (12) yields \( d_n =: d_n(0) \), the degenerate Dahee numbers.

By (2) and (12) we get

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_{m,\lambda}(x) \frac{1}{m!} (e_x(t) - 1)^m
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_{m,\lambda}(x) \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n, m) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} S_{2,\lambda}(n, m) d_{m,\lambda}(x) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{13}
\]

By comparing the coefficients on both sides of (13) we have the following summation formula for the products \( S_{2,\lambda}(n, m) \) and \( d_{m,\lambda}(x) \):

\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} S_{2,\lambda}(n, m) d_{m,\lambda}(x) \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{14}
\]

In 2017, Kim and Kim [9] considered the degenerate Dahee polynomials of the second kind defined by

\[
\frac{\log(1 + t)}{(1 + \lambda \log(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} (1 + \lambda \log(1 + t))^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{15}
\]
When \( x = 0 \) in (15), \( D_{n,\lambda} = D_{n,\lambda}(0) \) stand for the degenerate Daehee numbers of the second kind.

Motivated by the works of Kim and Kim [8, 17], we first define a new type of degenerate Daehee numbers and polynomials of the second kind. We investigate some new properties of these numbers and polynomials and derive some new identities and relations between the new type of degenerate Daehee numbers and polynomials and Carlitz’s degenerate Bernoulli polynomials.

2 New type degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind

In this section, we begin with the following definition.

**Definition 2.1** Let \( \lambda \) be a real number. The new type degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind are given by means of the following generating function:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{\log_\lambda(1 + t)}{(1 + \log_\lambda(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} (1 + \lambda \log_\lambda(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}. \tag{16}
\]

In the case where \( x = 0, \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda} =: \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(0) \) are called the new type degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind.

It follows from (16) that

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(x) = D_n(x), \quad \text{cf.} \ [2, 4, 13, 22, 23].
\]

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( n \) be a nonnegative number. Then

\[
\tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \beta_{m,\lambda}(x) S_{1,\lambda}(n, m).
\]

**Proof** By using (2) and (16) we get

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{\log_\lambda(1 + t)}{(1 + \log_\lambda(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} (1 + \lambda \log_\lambda(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,\lambda}(x) \frac{1}{m!} (\log_\lambda(1 + t))^m
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,\lambda}(x) \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n, m) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \beta_{m,\lambda}(x) S_{1,\lambda}(n, m) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{18}
\]

Therefore by (17) and (18) we complete the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.2** Let \( n \) be nonnegative number. Then we have the identity

\[
\tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{n^k}{k!} (x)_{l,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(k, l) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
Proof. Recall from (16) that
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{\log_{\lambda}(1 + t)}{(1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} (1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.
\]
By the binomial theorem
\[
(1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)^l \frac{1}{l!} (\log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^l
\]
we have
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{\log_{\lambda}(1 + t)}{(1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)^l \frac{1}{l!} (\log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^l (1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.
\]

By comparing the coefficients of the same powers of $t^n$ of (19) and (20), we arrive at the desired result. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 2.3** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then
\[
\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}(x) S_{2,\lambda}(n, m).
\]

Proof. By changing $t$ to $e_{\lambda}(t) - 1$ in (16) we see that
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}(x) \frac{1}{m!} (e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)^m = \frac{t}{(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
In addition to expression (21), we have
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}(x) \frac{1}{m!} (e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}(x) \sum_{m-n}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n, m) t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}(x) S_{2,\lambda}(n, m) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
Equating (21) and (22) proves the theorem. \hfill \Box
Theorem 2.4  The new type degenerate Daehee numbers of the second kind are computed by the recurrence relation

\[ \tilde{D}_{n, \lambda}(1) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\
\lambda^{n-1}(1)_{n, 1/\lambda} & \text{if } n \geq 1.
\end{cases} \]

Proof  We first consider

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1}(1)_{n, 1/\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!} = \log_x (1 + t) \]  

(23)

\[ = \left( (1 + \lambda \log_x (1 + t))^{1/\lambda} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n, \lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!} \]

\[ = \frac{\log_x (1 + t)}{(1 + \lambda \log_x (1 + t))^{1/\lambda} - 1} \left( 1 + \lambda \log_x (1 + t) \right)^{1/\lambda} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n, \lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{D}_{n, \lambda}(1) - \tilde{D}_{n, \lambda}(1) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \]  

(24)

By comparing the coefficients at \( \frac{t^n}{n!} \) we complete the proof.

We now state the distribution formula.

Theorem 2.5  For \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( n \geq 0 \), we have

\[ \tilde{D}_{n, \lambda}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} d^{m-1} S_{1, \lambda} (n, m) \sum_{a=0}^{d-1} \beta_{m, d} \left( \frac{a + x}{d} \right) \]

Proof  Using (16), we have

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n, \lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{\log_x (1 + t)}{(1 + \lambda \log_x (1 + t))^{1/\lambda} - 1} \sum_{a=0}^{d-1} \left( 1 + \lambda \log_x (1 + t) \right)^{a/\lambda} \]

(25)

\[ = \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{d \log_x (1 + t)}{(1 + \lambda \log_x (1 + t))^{1/\lambda} - 1} \right) \sum_{a=0}^{d-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{d} \log_x (1 + t) \right)^{a/\lambda} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{a=0}^{d-1} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m, d} \left( \frac{a + x}{d} \right) m! \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \]

(26)

where \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( n \geq 0 \). By (25) and (26) we arrive at the desired result.
Theorem 2.6 For \( n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{m+1}(\tilde{D}_{m+1,\lambda}(n) - \tilde{D}_{m+1,\lambda}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \binom{m}{j} (l)_{k,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(j,k) D_{m-j,\lambda}.
\]

Proof. We first consider the following expression:

\[
\log_{\lambda}(1 + t) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left( (1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{l}} \right) = \log_{\lambda}(1 + t) \frac{(1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{l}} - 1}{(1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{l}} - 1}
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}(n) - \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda} \right) \frac{t^m}{m!}
\]

\[
= t \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{D}_{m+1,\lambda}(n) - \tilde{D}_{m+1,\lambda} \right) \frac{t^m}{m!}.
\]

Now we proceed (27) with different perspective as follows:

\[
= t \left( \frac{\log_{\lambda}(1 + t)}{t} \right) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left( 1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t) \right)^{\frac{1}{l}}
\]

\[
= t \left( \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} d_{p,\lambda} \frac{t^p}{p!} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} (l)_{k,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(j,k) \right) \frac{t^j}{j!} \right)
\]

\[
= t \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \binom{m}{j} (l)_{k,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(j,k) d_{m-j,\lambda} \right) \frac{t^m}{m!}.
\]

By comparing the coefficients at \( \frac{t^m}{m!} \) of (27) and (29) we complete the proof. \( \square \)

We now consider a new type of higher-order degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind.

Definition 2.2 Let \( r \) be a positive integer. New type higher-order degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind are defined by the following generating function:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{\log_{\lambda}(1 + t)}{1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{l}} - 1 \right) \left( 1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{l}} \right).
\]

When \( x = 0 \), \( D_{n,\lambda}^{(r)} =: D_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(0) \) are called new type higher-order degenerate Daehee polynomials of the second kind.

It is worth noting that

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) = D_{n}^{(r)}(x),
\]

representing the Daehee polynomials of higher order.
Theorem 2.7 Let \( n \) be a nonnegative integer. Then we have the following summation formula:

\[
\tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}(r)(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \beta_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) S_{1,\lambda}(n, m).
\]  

(31)

Proof Using (30), we have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{\log_{\lambda} (1 + t)}{(1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda} (1 + t))^\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1} \right)^r \left( 1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda} (1 + t) \right)^\frac{1}{\lambda} \\
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \frac{1}{m!} (\log_{\lambda} (1 + t))^m \\
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n, m) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \beta_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) S_{1,\lambda}(n, m) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]  

(32)

where we have used the following series manipulation:

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m b_n.
\]

Since (32) = (33), we arrive at the desired result. \(\square\)

Theorem 2.8 Let \( n \) be a natural number. Then the inversion formula of (31) is given by the following relation:

\[
\beta_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}^{(r)} S_{2,\lambda}(n, m).
\]

Proof By replacing \( t \) by \( e_\lambda(t) - 1 \) in (30) we get

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \frac{1}{m!} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^m = \left( \frac{t}{(1 + \lambda t)^\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1} \right)^r (1 + \lambda t)^\frac{1}{\lambda} \\
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]  

(34)

On the other hand, we see that

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \frac{1}{m!} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n, m) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \tilde{D}_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) S_{2,\lambda}(n, m) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]  

(35)

Matching (34) and (35) proves the theorem. \(\square\)
Theorem 2.9 Let the variables $r$ and $k$ be natural numbers with $r > k$. Then we have the following summation formula:

\[
\widetilde{D}_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \widetilde{D}_{l,\lambda}^{(r-k)} \widetilde{D}_{n-l,\lambda}^{(k)} (n \geq 0).
\]

Proof Since

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log_x(1+t)}{(1+\lambda \log_x(1+t))^{n+1}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \frac{t^m}{m!} \left(1+\lambda \log_x(1+t)\right)^l = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \frac{t^m}{m!} (1+\lambda \log_x(1+t))^{l-r} \to \infty
\]

we have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log_x(1+t)}{(1+\lambda \log_x(1+t))^{n+1}} \left(1+\lambda \log_x(1+t)\right)^{r-k} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} \to \infty.
\]

Equating (36) and (37) proves the theorem.

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \frac{t^m}{m!} (1+\lambda \log_x(1+t))^{l-r} \to \infty
\]

It is well known from [17] that

\[
\left(\frac{t}{\log_x(1+t)}\right)^{k-1} (1+t)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{(n-k+1)}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}),
\]

where $B^{(n)}_{n,\lambda}(x)$ are called $\lambda$-analogue higher-order Bernoulli polynomials, which are given by the generating function

\[
\left(\frac{t}{\lambda e^t - 1}\right)^{\alpha} e^t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{(n)}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

Theorem 2.10 Let $n$ be a natural number. Then we have the following explicit summation formula:

\[
\widetilde{D}_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} B^{(m-k+1)}_{m,\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda} + 1\right) S(n,m).
\]

Proof Changing the parameter $t$ to $\log_x(1+t)$ and $x$ to $\frac{x}{\lambda} + 1$ in (38) gives

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} \frac{(\log_x(1+t))^m}{(1+\lambda \log_x(1+t))^{l-r}} = \left(\frac{\log_x(1+t)}{(1+\lambda \log_x(1+t))^{l-r} - 1}\right)^r (1+\lambda \log_x(1+t))^l
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{40}
\]

By (39) we have
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B^{(m-k+1)}_{m,\lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)^m \frac{S_{1,\lambda}(m, n) t^n}{n!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B^{(m-k+1)}_{m,\lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right) ^m \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n, m) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} B^{(m-k+1)}_{m,\lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} + 1 \right) S_{1,\lambda}(n, m) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{41}
\]

Matching the coefficients at \( \frac{t^n}{n!} \) in Eqs. (40) and (41), we obtain
\[
\tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} B^{(m-k+1)}_{m,\lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} + 1 \right) S_{1,\lambda}(n, m).
\]

This completes the proof of the theorem.

In the case where \( x = 0 \) in Theorem 2.10, we have
\[
\tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} B^{(m-k+1)}_{m,\lambda} (1) S_{1,\lambda}(n, m).
\]

**Theorem 2.11** Let \( n \) be a natural number. We have the following summation formula:
\[
\tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} (x)_{m,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(k, m) \tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n-k,\lambda}.
\]

**Proof** Using (30), we have
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{\log_{\lambda}(1 + t)}{(1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} - 1 \right) \left( 1 + \lambda \log_{\lambda}(1 + t) \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}
\]
\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (x)_{m,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(k, m) \tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n-k,\lambda} \frac{t^k}{k!}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} (x)_{m,\lambda} S_{1,\lambda}(k, m) \tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n-k,\lambda} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.12** Let \( n \) be a natural number. Then the following addition property for \( D^{(r)}_{n,\lambda}(x) \) holds:
\[
\tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n,\lambda}(x + y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} \tilde{D}^{(r)}_{n-k,\lambda}(x) S_{1,\lambda}(k, m) (y)_{m,\lambda}.
\]
Proof. Observe that
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(x+y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{\log_\lambda(1+t)}{(1 + \lambda \log_\lambda(1+t))^\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1} \right)^r \frac{t^n}{n!} (1 + \lambda \log_\lambda(1+t))^{\frac{x+y}{\lambda}}
\]
(42)
\[
= \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{l,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^l}{l!} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{l} \binom{l}{m} S_{1,\lambda}(k,m) \right) \frac{t^k}{k!} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} \tilde{D}_{n-k,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) S_{1,\lambda}(k,m) \frac{t^m}{m!} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
By equating (42) and (43) we complete the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 2.13 Let \( n \) be a natural number. Then we have following formula:
\[
\tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}^{(r)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{r}{k} S_{2,\lambda}(l+r) S_{1,\lambda}(k+r,l+r) B_{n-k,\lambda}^{(n-k-r+1)}(1).
\]

Proof. Using (38), we have
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{D}_{n,\lambda}^{(r)} \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left( \frac{1 + \lambda \log_\lambda(1+t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1}{\log_\lambda(1+t)} \right)^r
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{t}{\log_\lambda(1+t)} \right) \frac{r!}{t^r} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda \log_\lambda(1+t))^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1}{\log_\lambda(1+t)} \right)^r
\]
\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m,\lambda}^{(m-r+1)}(1) \frac{t^m}{m!} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(l+r) \frac{1}{l!} (\log_\lambda(1+t))^{l+1} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m,\lambda}^{(m-r+1)}(1) \frac{t^m}{m!} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{k-r}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{k-r} S_{2,\lambda}(l+r) S_{1,\lambda}(k,l+r) \right) \frac{1}{l!} (\log_\lambda(1+t))^{l+1}
\]
\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m,\lambda}^{(m-r+1)}(1) \frac{t^m}{m!} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k-r} \frac{k-r}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{k-r} S_{2,\lambda}(l+r) S_{1,\lambda}(k,l+r) \right) \frac{1}{l!} (\log_\lambda(1+t))^{l+1}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} S_{2,\lambda}(l+r) S_{1,\lambda}(k+r,l+r) B_{n-k,\lambda}^{(n-k-r+1)}(1) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3 Conclusions
The idea of degenerate traces back to Carlitz [1]. The aim of degenerate version is to introduce new special functions, polynomials, and numbers. This is one of ways of introducing new type special functions, polynomials, and numbers. Another way of introducing new special functions, polynomials, and numbers is studying \( q \)-analogs of special polynomials. As has been seen in the references, Kim and his research team ([2-17, 19-21]) have
been intensively studying degenerate versions of new special functions, polynomials, and numbers. In this paper, motivated by the works of Kim and his research team, we have studied new type degenerate versions of Daehee numbers and polynomials of the second kind. In this paper, we have derived their explicit, closed, and summation formulae using their generating functions, series manipulation, and analytical means. It seems that these types of polynomials will be continued to be studied due to their interesting reflections in the fields of mathematics, statistics, and sciences.
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