First Occurrence and Re-description of *Aculus epiphyllus* (Nalepa) (Acariformes: Eriophyoidea) from *Fraxinus pennsylvanica* in Europe
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*Aculus epiphyllus* (Nalepa, 1892) is reported from green ash in Europe for the first time. Ash rust mite has never been found on green ash, and we therefore re-describe and illustrate the female and male, and describe the nymph. The species was collected from the underside of the leaflets of the introduced ash species, *Fraxinus pennsylvanica* Marshall (Oleaceae) in Hungary.
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Eight eriophyoid mite species are known from species of ash (*Fraxinus* spp.), viz. *Aceria fraxini* (Garman), *Aceria fraxinicola* (Nalepa), *Aceria fraxiniflora* (Felt), *Aceria fraxinivora* (Nalepa), *Aculus epiphyllus* (Nalepa), *Aculus fraxini* (Nalepa), *Tegolophus califraxini* (Keifer) and *Tegonotus collaris* Nalepa (Amrine and Stasny, 1994). Five of these species cause galls, erineum, leaf deformation, bronzing or rust exclusively on leaves. For example, *Aceria fraxini* causes elongate bead-galls on several species of North American *Fraxinus*, and *A. fraxinicola* causes nail-galls on the leaves of European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*). Two species, *A. fraxiniflora* and *A. fraxinivora*, are known to cause galls on inflorescences (Amrine and Stasny, 1994; Davis et al., 1982). The latter species is well-known causing cauliflower-like deformation of the inflorescence and fruits on *Fraxinus excelsior*, *F. ornus* and *F. angustifolia* (Ripka, 2007).

From European ash (*F. excelsior*) Nalepa (1892, 1894, 1911) described two leaf-inhabiting *Aculus* species, viz. *Aculus epiphyllus* (Nalepa, 1892), which causes erineum and rust, and *Aculus fraxini* (Nalepa, 1894), which causes leaf edge rolling.

*Corresponding author; e-mail: RipkaG@nebih.gov.hu*
In Hungary 7 species have been known from Fraxinus spp., viz. Aceria fraxinicola, Aceria fraxiniflora, Aceria fraxinivora, Aculus epiphyllus, Aculus fraxini, Tegolophus califraxini and Tegonotus collaris.

Herein we report on our discovery of a species of the genus Aculus Keifer, 1959 found on the under surface of the leaves of Fraxinus pennsylvanica, which is an introduced species of ash from North America. Prior to this paper, no eriophyoid species have been recorded from the foliage of green ash (Amrine and Stasny, 1994; Davis et al., 1982). In Hungary, Korda et al. (2019) have recently described Aceria fraxiniflora from the globular sponge-like galls on the inflorescences and fruits of F. pennsylvanica. Because the description of Aculus epiphyllus given by Nalepa (1894) is incomplete we re-described the female and male and described the nymph, and provide morphometric data for the differences between our examined specimens and the data available from literature.

Materials and Methods

The eriophyoid mite fauna of green ash, Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marshall, was studied from plant samples collected in Balf, Győr-Moson-Sopron county (Western Hungary), in May 2019. The plant material was collected in paper and plastic bags, then returned to the laboratory and examined under a stereo dissecting microscope (Zeiss Stemi 2000-C). After clearing the eriophyoid mites in lactic acid they were mounted in Keifer’s F-medium with sorbitol on microscope slides (Keifer, 1975). Specimens were examined with the aid of a research phase contrast compound microscope (Nikon Eclipse E600) equipped with a drawing tube (Nikon Y-IDT). A Zeiss Axio Imager A2 microscope was used for making digital microscopic images on slide mounted specimens. The morphology of Aculus epiphyllus was also investigated with the aid of scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Zeiss EVO 40 XVP) at the Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest. Live mites were collected individually with a fine entomological needle from fresh plant parts under a stereomicroscope and placed on the SEM holder without fixation, dehydration and sputter-coating.

The generic classification was made according to Amrine et al. (2003) together with further updating. The terminology and setal notation used in the morphological descriptions follow Lindquist (1996). The number of measured specimens (n) is given in parentheses following the body length. All measurements of mites were made according to Amrine and Manson (1996) and are given in micrometers. Measurements and means are rounded off to the nearest integer. All measurements, unless specified otherwise, are lengths. For females the mean and the ranges, for males and nymphs the data of ten and five specimens are given, respectively.

The scientific name of host plant is used according to Király (2009), and The Plant List (2013).
TAXONOMY

Superfamily ERIOPHYOIDEA Nalepa
Family ERIOPHYIDAE Nalepa
Subfamily PHYLLOCOPTINAE Nalepa
Tribe ANTHOCOPTINI Amrine and Stasny
Genus Aculus Keifer

Aculus epiphyllus (Nalepa, 1892)  
(Figs 1–11)

Phyllocoptes epiphyllus Nalepa, 1892: 122.
Phyllocoptes epiphyllus Nalepa, 1894: 298-299.
Vasates epiphyllus — Farkas, 1965: 93.
Vasates epiphyllus — Farkas, 1966: 86.
Aculus epiphyllus — Davis et al. 1982: 103.
Aculus epiphyllus — Amrine and Stasny, 1994: 119.

Re-description. Female – Body dirty yellowish white, spindle form, 183 (157–208, n = 10), 73 (71–75) wide, 76 (72–80) thick. Gnathosoma 23 (20–26), projecting obliquely downwards; dorsal palp genual setae d5 (5–6), unbranched, pedipalp coxal setae ep 2 (2–3). Chelicerae 17 (16–23). Prodorsal shield 47 (42–50), 54 (48–60) wide, semicircular with frontal lobe 6 (5–7); shield pattern composed of lines of granules: two faint incomplete admedian lines, diverging posteriorly, more widely separate on rear ½, two faint incomplete submedian lines; faint incomplete median line on rear ½; median and admedian lines connected by a faint V-shape transverse line at rear ¼; admedian and submedian lines connected by a short transverse line on each side at anterior ½. All lines are ridges bearing rounded microtubercles. Small granules between submedian lines and shield margin. Ornamentation of prodorsal shield is considerably variable, e.g. size of median and admedian lines, and the shield design weakly expressed: a part of the population with obscure irregular lines of granules on prodorsal shield. Tubercles of scapular setae sc on rear shield margin, 37 (36–38) apart, diverging, scapular setae sc 21 (20–24), directed rearwards. Granules situated in lateral rows on epicoxal areas, i.e. laterally between shield margin and dorsal coxae of legs I and II (sensu Chetverikov and Craemer, 2015).

Legs with all usual segments and setae present. Leg I (foreleg) 36 (31–40), femur 10 (10–11), basiventral femoral seta bv 10 (9–10), genu 5 (5–6), antaxial genual seta l” 23 (22–24), tibia 8 (8–9), distally with a single spinule 2 (2–3), paraxial tibial seta l’ located at ½ from dorsal base, 4 (3–5), very thin, tarsus 7 (6–8), unguinal tarsal setae u’ 2 (2–3), solenidion ω 6 (5–7), distally knobbed, slightly curved, empodium simple, bilaterally symmetrical, 6 (5–6), 4-rayed. Leg II (rear leg) 33 (29–37), femur 10 (8–12), basiventral femoral seta bv 12 (12–13), genu 5 (4–5), antaxial genual seta l” 10 (9–11) very thin, tibia 6 (5–7), distally with a single spinule 2 (1–2), tarsus 7 (6–8), unguinal tarsal setae u’ 2 (1–3), solenidion ω 7 (5–8), distally knobbed, slightly curved, empodium simple, bilaterally symmetrical, 6 (5–7), 4-rayed.

Coxigenital area with 9 (8–10) microtuberculate semiannuli. Coxisternae I and II with dim lines of minute granules, and dense granules; anterior seta on coxisternum I, Ib 9 (8–11), tubercles setae Ib 10 (10–11) apart, proximal seta on coxisternum I, la 15
Fig. 1. SEM micrograph of *Aculus epiphyllus*, ventrolateral view of female. (Photo: Dr. László Szabó)

Fig. 2. SEM micrograph of *Aculus epiphyllus*, anterior region of female: gnathosoma, legs and coxigenital region, in ventrolateral view. (Photo: Dr. László Szabó)
Fig. 3. SEM micrograph of *Aculus epiphyllus*, dorsal view of female. (Photo: Dr. László Szabó)

Fig. 4. SEM micrograph of *Aculus epiphyllus*, ventral view of male. (Photo: Dr. László Szabó)
(15–16), tubercles setae 1a 10 (9–10) apart, proximal seta on coxisternum II, 2a 43 (42–43), tubercles setae 2a 26 (26–27) apart. Subcapitular plate rounded with tiny granules. Prosternal apodeme 8 (7–10).

Opisthosoma with 49 (38–57) microtuberculate dorsal, 69 (64–74) finely microtuberculate ventral semiannuli. Microtubercles thin and elongate, more sparse dorsally, smaller, more dense and pointed ventrally. Last 4–5 dorsal annuli with minute microtubercles on rear annular margin. Last 4–5 ventral annuli with linear microtubercles. Opisthosomal setae c2 32 (29–45), on annulus 13 (11–15), 54 (53–54) apart; opisthosomal setae

Fig. 5. SEM micrograph of *Aculus epiphyllus*, anterior region of male: gnathosoma, legs and coxigenital region in ventrolateral view. (Photo: Dr. László Szabó)

Fig. 6. Digital micrograph of *Aculus epiphyllus*, female in lateral view (Photo: Árpád Szabó)
d 63 (50–70), on annulus 27 (25–28), 36 (34–37) apart; opisthosomal setae e 21 (19–23), on annulus 46 (42–48), 19 (18–20) apart; opisthosomal setae f 30 (26–36), on annulus 65 (60–70), or 5 (4–5) from the rear, 24 (23–25) apart. Opisthosomal setae h2 104 (100–110), very thin at apex, 11 (10–12) apart; opisthosomal setae h1 2 (2–3), 7 (7–8) apart. Anal lobes normal, with longitudinal ridges.

Genital plate 17 (15–20), 24 (23–26) wide. Female genital cover flap with 11 (10–13) longitudinal ridges; coxisternal III setae 3a 16 (15–16) apart, 19 (14–27), very thin. (Figs 1–3) (Figs 6–9).

**MALE** – Similar to female, dirty yellowish white, 165–210 (n = 5), 65–70 wide, 59–65 thick. Gnathosoma 17–20, projecting obliquely downwards; dorsal palp genual setae d 3–4, unbranched; pedipalp coxal setae ep 1 (no range). Chelicerae 14–17. Prodorsal shield 42–46, 55–60 wide, semicircular, with frontal lobe 5–6, ornamentation similar to female. Tubercles of scapular setae sc on rear shield margin, 33–38 apart, diverging, scapular setae sc 20–23, directed to the rear. Legs with all usual segments and setae present. Leg I 31–35, femur 10 (no range), basiventral femoral setae bv 8–10, very fine, genu 5–6, antaxial genual setae l’ 16–19, tibia 7–8, distally with a single paraxial spine 2 (2–3), paraxial tibial setae l’ located at ½ from dorsal base, 4–5, very fine, tarsus 6–7, unguinal tarsal setae u’ 3–4, solenidion ω 6–7, slightly curved, distally knobbed, empodium simple, bilaterally symmetrical, 5–6, 4-rayed. Leg II 28–32, femur 10 (no range), basiventral femoral setae bv 10–11, very fine, genu 5 (no range), antaxial genual setae l” 7–9, very fine, tibia 6–7, distally with a single paraxial spine 2 (1–2), tarsus 6–7, unguinal.
Fig. 8. Digital micrograph of *Aculus epiphyllus*, coxigenital region of female (Photo: Árpád Szabó)

Fig. 9. Digital micrograph of *Aculus epiphyllus*, posterior part of female opisthosoma in ventrolateral view (Photo: Árpád Szabó)

*Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica Hungarica*
tarsal setae $u’$ 2–3, solenidion $\omega$ 7–8, slightly curved, distally with a minute knob, empodium simple, bilaterally symmetrical, 5–6, 4-rayed. Coxistermiae I and II with dim lines of minute granules, and dense granules; setae $Ib$ 8–10, 10–11 apart, setae $Ia$ 11–20, 9–10 apart, setae $2a$ 33–44, 22–24 apart, all very fine. Subcapitular plate anteriorly rounded, with minute granules. Prosternal apodeme 6–7. Coxigenital area with 7–9 microtuberculate semiannuli. Opisthosoma with 37–49 microtuberculate dorsal, 65–70 finely microtuberculate ventral annuli. Posterior 4 dorsal annuli with minute microtubercules. Thin and elongate microtubercles more sparse dorsally, smaller, more dense and pointed ventrally, except for 4–5 ventral annuli of anal lobes, which are elongate and linear. Caudal lobe normal in size and shape. Setae $c2$ 24–28, on ventral annulus 12–14, 50–60 apart; setae $d$ 35–52, on ventral annulus 24–26, 31–36 apart; setae $e$ 18–23, on ventral annulus 41–44, 18–22 apart; setae $f$ 25–30, on ventral annulus 61–66, or 5 from rear, 25–27 apart. Setae $h2$ 80–97, 9–10 apart; setae $h1$ 2–3, 5–6 apart. Genitalia 13–18, 20–23 wide, posterior $2/3$ with minute granules, setae $3a$ 14–20, 17–20 apart, in progenital chamber tiny eugenital setae present. (Figs 4–5) (Figs 10–11).

**NYMPH** – White, vermiform, 140–162 ($n = 5$), 57 wide, 50–60 thick. Gnathosoma 17–22; dorsal palp genual setae $d$ 1–2; chelicerae 14–17. Prodorsal shield 33–37, 40–50 wide. Setae $sc$ 10–12, pointing rear, 25–27 apart. Leg I 20–21, leg II 17–20. Setae $Ib$ 4–5, 8–10 apart, setae $Ia$ 7–11, 5–7 apart, setae $2a$ 13–15, 17–18 apart. Prosternal apodeme 3–5. Opisthosoma with 40–52 dorsal, 42–54 ventral annuli. Dorsal and ventral annuli with minute microtubercules, sparse dorsally, smaller and more dense ventrally. Setae $c2$ 9–11, on annulus 9–13; 39–41 apart; setae $d$ 15–19, on annulus 18–23, 27 apart; setae $e$
12–13, on annulus 28–35, 15–16 apart; setae $f_{14–17}$, on annulus 42–50, or 4–5 from rear, 17–20 apart. Setae $h1$; setae $3a$ 6–8, 10 apart.

**Host plant** – Green ash, *Fraxinus pennsylvanica* Marshall (fam. Oleaceae). Green ash is the most widespread of the North American ash species, native to eastern and central North America, and an invasive transformer tree species in Hungary living in different plant associations, especially along rivers (Csiszár and Bartha, 2004). Green ash came to Europe in 1780, and around 1802 to Hungary (Csiszár and Bartha, 2004; Korda, 2018). Green ash is a new host plant of *A. epiphyllus*, and until now the first non-European *Fraxinus* species, which has been recorded as a host.
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**Relationship to the host** – This mite caused no discernible symptoms on the host. The mite was found on the underside of the leaflets, on and close to the midrib and veins. It should be noted that 64% of the adult population was male.

**Hungarian locality** – Balf, Győr-Moson-Sopron county (Western Hungary), in a shrub and tree belt along a trail, 117 m elev., 47°39’16.82” N, 16°40’15.60” E.

**Material examined** – The re-described and illustrated female among 4 females and 11 males on slide # 1461a, 2 May 2019, coll. Mr. Márton Korda. Other specimens were collected by Mr. M. Korda in the same locality and time. The 3 slides (### 1461b, 1461c, 1461d with described males and nymphs) were prepared from this material containing 3 females, 5 males and 7 nymphs, and 8 females, 7 males and 7 nymphs, and 2 females, 9 males and 5 nymphs, resp. from the leaves of the same green ash tree. Slides are in the corresponding author’s collection and deposited in the National Food Chain Safety Office, Directorate of Plant Protection, Soil Conservation and Agri-environment, Budapest, Hungary.

**Discussion**

This is the first complete and detailed description of males and nymphs of *Aculus epiphyllus*. We found different characteristics and morphometric data between the examined specimens and the literature data (Table 1). Except for the length of prodorsal shield and width of female external genitalia, the measurements of the specimens collected from *F. pennsylvanica* were considerably larger than that of the holotype given by Nalepa (1894) and specimens studied by Farkas (1966). The other European ash leaf-dwelling

### Table 1

Some different characters of *Aculus epiphyllus* based on the literature and the examined specimens from green ash

| Character                          | *Aculus epiphyllus* holotype from European ash (Nalepa, 1894) | *Aculus epiphyllus* from European ash (Farkas, 1966) | *Aculus epiphyllus* from Green ash (present study) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Female body length                | 150                                                         | 150                                                   | 157–208                                          |
| Female body width                 | 46                                                          | 46                                                    | 71–75                                            |
| Male body length                  | 110                                                         | 110–130                                               | 165–210                                          |
| Male body width                   | 40                                                          | 44                                                    | 65–70                                            |
| Length of prodorsal shield        | n.d.                                                        | 48                                                    | 42–50                                            |
| Width of prodorsal shield         | n.d.                                                        | n.d.                                                  | 48–60                                            |
| Length of gnathosoma              | 17                                                          | n.d.                                                  | 20–26                                            |
| Number of dorsal annuli           | 26–36                                                       | 26–33                                                 | 38–57                                            |
| Distance between tubercles of setae sc | n.d.                                                  | 30                                                    | 36–38                                            |
| Length of seta sc                 | n.d.                                                        | 9                                                     | 20–24                                            |
| Width of female genitalia         | 22                                                          | 32                                                    | 23–26                                            |
| Length of seta c2                 | n.d.                                                        | 16                                                    | 29–45                                            |
| Length of seta d                  | n.d.                                                        | 26                                                    | 50–70                                            |
| Length of seta e                  | n.d.                                                        | 14                                                    | 19–23                                            |
| Length of seta f                  | n.d.                                                        | 20                                                    | 26–36                                            |
| Length of seta h2                 | n.d.                                                        | 70                                                    | 100–110                                          |
| Length of seta 3a                 | n.d.                                                        | 14                                                    | 14–27                                            |

n.d. = no data.
Aculus species, *Aculus fraxini* (Nalepa) has smooth prodorsal shield (*versus* shield pattern composed of lines of granules in the re-described Hungarian *A. epiphyllus* specimens), smooth dorsal annuli (apparently microtuberculate dorsal annuli in the Hungarian *A. epiphyllus* specimens), the scapular setae *sc* as long as prodorsal shield (whereas prodorsal shield two times longer than setae *sc* in the studied female and male population of *A. epiphyllus*), and causes leaf edge rolling (whereas no damage symptom was caused by *A. epiphyllus* on *F. pennsylvanica*) (Nalepa, 1894; Farkas, 1965).
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