Direct high-precision measurement of the magnetic moment of the proton
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One of the fundamental properties of the proton is its magnetic moment, $\mu_p$. So far $\mu_p$ has been measured only indirectly, by analysing the spectrum of an atomic hydrogen maser in a magnetic field\textsuperscript{1}. Here we report the direct high-precision measurement of the magnetic moment of a single proton using the double Penning-trap technique\textsuperscript{2}. We drive proton-spin quantum jumps by a magnetic radio-frequency field in a Penning trap with a homogeneous magnetic field. The induced spin transitions are detected in a second trap with a strong superimposed magnetic inhomogeneity\textsuperscript{3}. This enables the measurement of the spin-flip probability as a function of the drive frequency. In each measurement the proton's cyclotron frequency is used to determine the magnetic field of the trap. From the normalized resonance curve, we extract the particle's magnetic moment in the normalized magnetic field of the nuclear magneton: $\mu_p = 2.792847350(9)\mu_N$. This measurement outperforms previous Penning-trap measurements\textsuperscript{4,5} in terms of precision by a factor of about 760. It improves the precision of the forty-year-old indirect measurement, in which significant theoretical and experimental corrections at the level of about 18 parts per million into account\textsuperscript{6}. The challenge to measure the properties of the proton with great precision inspires very different branches of physics. As part of the intense search for baryon number violation so far, a lower limit of the proton's lifetime of $t_p > 2.1 \times 10^{32}$ years has been set\textsuperscript{7}. Employing Penning traps, the proton's atomic mass was measured with a fractional precision of 0.14 parts per billion (p.p.b.; ref. 10), and the proton-to-electron mass ratio was determined with a relative accuracy of 94 parts in 10\textsuperscript{12} (ref. 11). Both provide essential input parameters with which to test the theory of quantum electrodynamics and contribute to the search for physics beyond the Standard Model. Furthermore, exciting results obtained by spectroscopy of muonic hydrogen\textsuperscript{12} yielded a new value of the proton magnetic charge radius, and compared to previous measurements a 7σ deviation was observed, which has yet to be understood.

Another property of the proton is its spin magnetic moment $\mu_p = q_p h/(2m_p)$, where $q_p/m_p$ is the charge-to-mass ratio and $S$ is the particle's spin. The constant $g_p$ is a dimensionless measure of $\mu_p$ in units of the nuclear magneton $\mu_N = q_p h/(2m_p)$, where $h$ is the reduced Planck constant. The most precise value of $\mu_p$ (see Fig. 1, white bar) is extracted from spectroscopy of atomic hydrogen\textsuperscript{1}. In this experiment the bound proton-to-electron magnetic moment ratio $\mu_p/(\mu_e) = (\mu_p(H)/\mu_e)$ was measured with a fractional precision of 10 p.p.b., and $\mu_p$ was calculated by evaluating theoretical predictions at the level of about 18 parts per million into account\textsuperscript{13}.

A scheme for the direct measurement of magnetic moments of single particles in Penning traps has been applied with great success in measurements of the $g-2$ values (where $g$ is the dimensionless measure of the electron magnetic moment $\mu_e$ in units of the Bohr magneton $\mu_B = q_e h/(2m_e)$) of the electron and the positron\textsuperscript{14} and further improved for the electron in ref. 14, where fractional precisions at the level of 3.8 and 0.28 parts in 10\textsuperscript{12} were achieved, respectively. The application of this scheme to measure the magnetic moment of the proton is a considerable challenge, because $\mu_p$ is about 658 times smaller than the magnetic moment of the electron $\mu_e$. Thus, an apparatus with much higher sensitivity to the magnetic moment is needed.

In a Penning trap, the $g$-factor of the proton is determined by the measurement of a frequency ratio $g_p/2 = \nu_L/\nu_c$, where $\nu_e = q_e B_0/(2\pi m_p)$ is the cyclotron frequency, and $\nu_L = (g_p/2)c$ is the spin-precession frequency, also called the Larmor frequency. Both frequencies are measured in the same magnetic field $B_0$. The cyclotron frequency is obtained by the Brown–Gibson–invariance theorem, $\nu_L = \nu_+ + \nu_- + \nu_{\gamma}$, where $\nu_+$, $\nu_-$ and $\nu_{\gamma}$ are the characteristic oscillation frequencies of the trapped particle\textsuperscript{4}, the modified cyclotron frequency, the axial frequency and the magnetron frequency, respectively. The Larmor frequency can be measured by applying the so-called continuous Stern–Gerlach–effect\textsuperscript{15}.

In that scheme a magnetic field inhomogeneity $\Delta B/\nu_\gamma = B_{\gamma}^2/2\pi m_p c \nu_\gamma$ super-imposed on the trap, where $B_{\gamma}$ characterizes its strength and $z$ is the radial coordinate. This 'magnetic bottle' couples the spin magnetic moment to the axial oscillation frequency, thus reducing the determination of the spin state to a measurement of $\nu_\gamma$. A spin-flip shifts the axial frequency by $\Delta\nu_{\gamma,SE} = (\mu_p B_{\gamma})/(2\pi m_p^2 c \nu_\gamma)$. This enables the measurement of the spin transition rate as a function of an applied drive frequency $\nu_D$ and yields the Larmor frequency $\nu_L$ (ref. 16).

In our experiment, we use $B_{\gamma} = 2.97(10) \times 10^5$ T m\textsuperscript{-2} (ref. 4), which is 2,000 times stronger than in the electron/positron experiments\textsuperscript{13}. In the presence of such a strong magnetic inhomogeneity $\nu_\gamma$ axial frequency shift caused by a spin-transition is $\Delta \nu_{\gamma,SE} = 171$ mHz out of $\nu_\gamma$ = 740 kHz. Thus, the detection of proton spin quantum jumps requires an adequate axial frequency stability that is difficult to achieve in the strong magnetic bottle $B_2$ (ref. 17). However, dramatic progress in the manipulation of a single trapped proton allowed the first direct measurements of the proton magnetic moment $\mu_p$ (refs 4 and 5; see Fig. 1, grey bars). Those experiments were carried out solely in Penning traps with a superimposed $B_2$, which are usually called 'analysis traps'. The strong inhomogeneity broadens the width of the spin resonance, and ultimately limits the precision to the level of parts per million. An elegant solution to boost experimental precision is provided by the double Penning-trap technique\textsuperscript{2}. This method separates the analysis of the proton-to-electron mass ratio $\mu_p/(\mu_e)$ from the analysis trap, a precision trap is used, in which the magnetic field is more homogeneous by orders of magnitude. This narrows the width of the Larmor resonance dramatically, and thus improves the precision. Here we report the first direct measurement of the proton magnetic moment using this technique.

Figure 2a shows a schematic of our double Penning-trap setup located at the University of Mainz, Germany. It is mounted in the horizontal and southward-oriented bore of a superconducting magnet, with a magnetic field of $B_0 = 1.89$ T and a stability of $\Delta (B/B_0)/B_0 = 4.0(0.7) \times 10^{-10}$ h\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{18}
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than other direct single particle measurements. Times more precise than reported in ref. 1 and about 760 times more precise double Penning-trap technique with a single trapped proton. Our result is 3 result of the measurement described in this work was achieved by using the double Penning-trap technique with a single trapped proton. Our result is 3 times more precise than reported in ref. 1 and about 760 times more precise than other direct single particle measurements.

Each trap consists of five stacked cylindrical electrodes. The central ring electrode of the analysis trap is made out of ferromagnetic Co/Fe material, which generates the magnetic bottle. The other electrodes are made out of oxygen-free copper. To prevent oxidation all electrodes are gold-plated. The two trap centres are separated by 43.7 mm. Within this distance the magnetic-field inhomogeneity drops significantly. In the precision trap (PT) we have $B_{PT} = B_0 + B_{1,PT}z + B_{2,PT}z^2$, where $B_{1,PT} \approx 85 \text{ T m}^{-1}$ and $B_{2,PT} \approx 4 \text{ T m}^{-2}$, which is 75,000 times smaller than in the analysis trap. To shuttle the particle from one trap to the other, potential ramps are applied to transport electrodes located between the two traps. Radio-frequency drives applied to coils mounted close to each trap generate oscillating magnetic fields to drive proton spin transitions. The entire setup is placed in a hermetically sealed vacuum chamber cooled to 4 K. In this chamber pressures below $10^{-14} \text{ Pa}$ are achieved, providing single-proton storage times of at least one year.

Protons are produced with an in-trap electron impact ion source. Electrons from a field emission gun hit a polyethylene target. Sputtered atoms are ionized in the centre of the precision trap. From the loaded ion-cloud a single proton is prepared using well established techniques.

Once a single proton is prepared, the particle’s modified cyclotron mode is cooled resistively. This is achieved by tuning a cryogenic tank circuit, which acts on resonance as a resistor, to the cyclotron mode at $v_+ = 28.9 \text{ MHz}$ (ref. 20). Subsequently, the particle is shuttled to the analysis trap and the axial frequency is measured. To this end, $v_+ \propto \sqrt{V_0}$ is tuned to resonance with our superconducting axial detection system at 740 kHz by adjusting the trapping voltage $V_0$. Once the axial motion is cooled to thermal equilibrium, the particle shorts the thermal noise of the detector and appears as a dip in the fast Fourier transform of the detector signal. Such a fast Fourier transform spectrum is recorded in 60 s (shown as red points in Fig. 2b). By applying a fit to the data, the axial frequency $v_z$ is obtained. From this measurement, the quantum number of the cyclotron mode $n_z$ is determined. Low $n_z$ is crucial to achieve an axial frequency stability which is sufficient to resolve single spin transitions. Below a threshold cyclotron quantum number $n_{z, \text{th}} < 1,500$ we achieve single spin-flip resolution with a fidelity.
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**Figure 1** | **Relative precision achieved in measurements of the proton magnetic moment.** The value extracted indirectly from measurements with a hydrogen maser has a precision of 10 p.p.b. (ref. 1). Direct measurements with a single proton in a Penning trap with strong superimposed magnetic inhomogeneities were performed in 2012 by us and a group at Harvard. The result of the measurement described in this work was achieved by using the double Penning-trap technique with a single trapped proton. Our result is 3 times more precise than reported in ref. 1 and about 760 times more precise than other direct single particle measurements.
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**Figure 2** | **Experimental setup and measurement procedures.** a, Schematic of the double Penning-trap setup that is used for the direct measurement of the proton magnetic moment. It consists of two traps, an analysis trap and a precision trap, which are connected by transport electrodes. A strong magnetic field inhomogeneity is superimposed on the analysis trap, which is required to detect proton spin quantum transitions. In the precision trap, where the magnetic field is homogeneous, the precise frequency measurements are carried out. The solid curve in the plot below the trap system indicates the strength of the on-axis magnetic field. b, Fast Fourier transform spectrum of the axial detector’s output signal. The dip (red) is due to a single particle, which shorts the thermal noise of the detector. The double-dip signal (black) appears when a quadrupolar sideband drive at $v_z - v_+$ is applied. From such dip spectra $v_+, v_-$ and $v_z$ are determined and thus the cyclotron frequency. The axial frequency has been offset by 623,850 Hz. For further details see text. c, Axial frequency measurement as a function of time. Frequency jumps of about 170 mHz are observed, which are due to induced single-proton spin transitions. The axial frequency has been offset by 742,060 Hz.
F > 75%. This means that three out of four spin transitions are identified correctly. If $n_+ > n_{-\theta b}$ is obtained, the particle is shuttled back to the precision trap and the cyclotron mode is cooled again. This procedure is repeated until $n_+ < n_{-\theta b}$, which takes about two hours.

Once a particle with adequate $n_+$ is prepared, the actual g-factor measurement is conducted. First, the proton’s spin state is identified. To this end, the axial frequency is measured and a spin-transition is induced by applying a magnetic radio-frequency drive, followed by another measurement of $v_z$. As soon as a spin quantum jump is observed (see Fig. 2c), the proton’s spin state is known. Afterwards, the particle is transported to the precision trap, where its cyclotron frequency $v_c$ is determined. First, the modified cyclotron frequency is measured via sideband coupling. An electric field at $v_{\theta b}$, which is close to $v_c - v_{\theta PT}$, is applied. This transfers energy between the axial mode and the modified cyclotron mode and leads to a modulation of the axial oscillation amplitude. In the corresponding fast Fourier transform spectrum a so-called ‘double-dip’ with frequencies $v_{\theta b}$ and $v_{\theta PT}$ for the left and the right dip, respectively, is observed (shown as black data points in Fig. 2b).

Subsequently, the axial frequency is recorded, which is about $v_{c, PT} \approx 624$ kHz. We determine $v_+$ by applying the relation

$$v_+ = v_{A} + v_{I} + v_{right} - v_{\theta PT}$$

(2)

The magnetron frequency $v_{m} \approx 7$ kHz is measured in a similar way. Finally, $v_{c, 1}$ is obtained using the invariance theorem. Next, spin transitions are induced by applying a spin-flip drive at $v_{\theta PT}$ and subsequently the cyclotron frequency $v_{c, z}$ is measured again. Since the sideband drive leads to heating of the cyclotron mode, in a next step, by repeated cyclotron mode cooling in the precision trap and after transport to the analysis trap, a low $n_+ < n_{-\theta b}$ state is prepared and the spin state analysed again. From a comparison of the two measured spin states we conclude whether the spin in the precision trap was flipped. By repeating this scheme many times the spin-flip probability $P_{SF}$ as a function of $v_{\theta PT}$ is obtained. Normalizing each applied $v_{\theta PT, i}$ by the associated $v_{c, k}$ where $k$ is the measurement number, one obtains a so-called g-factor resonance, $P_{SF}(v_{\theta PT}/v_c)$, with a maximum at $h_{\theta PT}/h_c = g_{\theta}/2$. For the normalization we use the average $(v_{c, 1} + v_{c, 2})/2$ of the two cyclotron frequency measurements. This compensates for linear magnetic field drifts which potentially take place while spin transitions are driven.

The result of our g-factor measurement is shown in Fig. 3. Incoherences caused by the coupling of the particle’s axial motion to the axial detection circuit in the slightly inhomogeneous magnetic field of the precision trap prevent $P_{SF}$ from exceeding 50% (ref. 16). The linewidth of the measured resonance is 12.5 p.p.b., which is caused by saturation and thermal fluctuations of the modified cyclotron energy $E_+$. The latter causes fluctuations of the axial frequency, $v_{c, PT} \propto B_z E_+$, which lead to fluctuations of the measured cyclotron frequency via equation (2), thus contributing to the linewidth. The measured data set is analysed using the maximum-likelihood method based on a Gaussian distribution with the g-factor being the lineshape centre. The maximum-likelihood method is a statistical parameter estimation technique and avoids the need for arbitrary data binning, which may result in a biased estimate of the fitting parameters. From the data analysis we obtain

$$\mu_p = \frac{g_p}{2} \mu_N = 2.792 \times 10^7$$

where the number in parentheses is the statistical uncertainty of the fit, which corresponds to a relative precision of 2.6 p.p.b. Systematic shifts $\Delta g_p/2$ of the measured $(g_p/2)$ value are caused by time and energy dependencies of $v_1$ and $v_c$.

$$\Delta g_p/2 = \frac{\Delta v_1(E_+, E_-, E_+ - t) - \Delta v_1(E_+, E_-, E_+ - t)}{v_1}$$

The frequency shifts $\Delta v_1(E_+, E_-, E_+ - t)$ and $\Delta v_1(E_+, E_-, E_+ - t)$ are caused by trap imperfections such as a slightly inhomogeneous magnetic field at the centre of the precision trap or an anharmonic trapping potential. To first order, the shifts induced by the magnetic field inhomogeneities cancel in the frequency ratio, because they contribute the same relative amount to $v_c$ and $v_1$ (refs 4 and 15). A considerable systematic shift can be caused by an anharmonicity of the electrostatic potential that only affects $v_c$ while $v_1$ remains unchanged. Thus, the trapping potential was optimized by careful adjustment of the voltages applied to the compensation electrodes of the precision trap to obtain $\Delta v_c = 0$. The relative uncertainty in the resulting shift $\Delta v_c$ is 0.20 p.p.b. A second g-factor resonance was recorded where the electrostatic potential was deliberately de-tuned to shift the modified cyclotron frequency by –5 p.p.b. Within error, we obtained the same $(g_p/2)$ value, which confirms that systematic shifts due to electrostatic anharmonicities are understood and negligible at the present level of precision. In addition to these two leading-order shifts, relativistic frequency shifts and image-charge shifts contribute. However, because the frequency measurements are carried out in thermal equilibrium with the cryogenic detection system the relativistic shift contributes only at a level of 0.03 p.p.b. For our trap geometry the image-charge shift is $-0.088$ p.p.b. Thus, both shifts can be neglected. Time-dependent shifts are due to voltage and magnetic field drifts. The effect of voltage drift was characterized by performing a sequence of axial frequency measurements at constant $E_+$. The corresponding systematic shift in $v_c$ is $-0.07(0.35)$ p.p.b.

The dominant systematic uncertainty is caused by nonlinear drifts of the magnetic field. By comparing the cyclotron frequency measurements before and after application of the spin-flip drive we find an average shift $v_{c, 2} - v_{c, 1} = 4$ p.p.b. Such frequency shifts in the precision trap are observed only if the spin-flip drive in the analysis trap has been applied in advance. It is consistent with heating of the electrodes caused by the latter spin-flip drive. Owing to thermal expansion the trap centres are shifted, thereby changing the magnetic field in the precision trap. Once the drive is turned off, thermal relaxation causes the observed drift of the magnetic field. The last contribution considered is a shift of the axial frequency after the measurement of $v_+$. The sideband drive heats the particle to a cyclotron energy of $E_c / k_B T_\perp \approx 330$ K, where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. During the subsequent axial frequency measurement, the modified cyclotron mode is cooled resistively. This leads to an effective frequency shift of $v_c$ and contributes to a shift of the cyclotron frequency by $-0.51(0.08)$ p.p.b. Accordingly, the magnetic moment value is corrected and the final result is

$$\mu_p / \mu_N = \frac{g_p}{2} = 2.792 \times 10^7 \times 10^7$$

(4)

The first and second numbers in parentheses are the statistical uncertainty of the fit and the systematic uncertainty, respectively, see Table 1. The latter is dominated by the nonlinear drift of the magnetic field. The result has a relative precision of 3.3 p.p.b. and is in agreement with the
The measurement of the antiproton magnetic moment will be conducted at the BASE experiment at the Antiproton Decelerator of CERN.
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Table 1 | Error budget of the direct proton g-factor measurement

| Parameter                      | Relative shift of g_p/2 | Uncertainty |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Trapping potential            | 0                       | 0.2 × 10⁻⁹  |
| Relativistic shift            | 0.03 × 10⁻⁹             | -           |
| Image-charge shift            | -0.088 × 10⁻⁹          | -           |
| Voltage fluctuations          | -0.07 × 10⁻⁹           | 0.35 × 10⁻⁹ |
| Magnetic field relaxation     | 0                       | 2 × 10⁻⁹    |
| Cyclotron cooling             | -0.01 × 10⁻⁹           | 0.08 × 10⁻⁹ |
| Total systematic shift        | -0.064 × 10⁻⁹          | 2 × 10⁻⁹    |

This table lists the relative systematic shifts and their uncertainties, which were applied to the measured g_p value.

We expect it will be possible to achieve an improvement in precision by at least another factor of 10, by using an apparatus with reduced residual magnetic field inhomogeneity B_2 in the precision trap, a higher spin-state detection fidelity, as well as by applying phase-sensitive detection techniques. In addition, the so-called Standard Model extension describes diurnal frequency variations as a consequence of violation of the combined charge, parity and time symmetry and Lorentz violation. With faster measurement cycles, which become possible by application of advanced cyclotron cooling techniques, and an improved spin state detection fidelity a search for the predicted effects becomes feasible.

The double Penning-trap method can be applied to measure the antiproton magnetic moment with similar precision. A comparison of both values will provide a sensitive test of CPT invariance with baryons.
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