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Abstract

Seventeen genotypes of wheat were used in this study the results showed significant differences in respect of yield and other yield contributing characters. Highest phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variations were observed in plant height and lowest values were observed in spike length in both cases. Heritability estimated was found to be high in case of grain yield/plant and this was followed by 100 grain weight. Significant positive correlation was found in plant height with number of fertile tillers/plant and grain yield/plant, fertile tillers/plant with spike length, spike length with grain yield and grain yield with 100 grain weight. Negative correlation was found in plant height with 100 grain weight. Plant height, fertile tillers/plant, spike length and 100 grain weight appeared to be the effective criteria for improvement of yield of wheat.
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Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the most important grain and is being used as a staple food for more than one third of the world. Developing the varieties with high potential having desirable combination of characters is always the main objective of wheat breeding programme. The estimation of the genetic association and description of genetic variability between various genotypes are essential for breeders, because the artificial crosses between dissimilar parents permit a huge segregation and the grouping of various favorable alleles (Bered et al. 2002). Wheat is the second important staple food crop after rice in Bangladesh and the popularity of wheat is increasing day by day.

Wheat Research Centre (WRC) of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) had already been released a good number of wheat varieties but the yield potentialities of these varieties are low as compared to wheat growing in developed countries (Ashfaq et al. 2014, Rahman et al. 2014). It has been recognized as the source of principal food of man for centuries. Wheat is the second important cereal crop after rice in Bangladesh. Wheat is a source of nutrition for 35% of the world population and currently ranks first among cultivated plants in terms of cultivation area and production. Wheat is used for both human and animal nutrition and plays an important role in the nutrition of rapidly growing populations both in our country and the world. In improving the food security of the world, wheat has played a significant role by contributing about 20 percent of the dietary calories and proteins. On an average 50% of the wheat in the world is produced in developing regions including Central Asia and China (Polat et al. 2016). Wheat becomes very popular in Bangladesh after the liberation war of Bangladesh in 1971 when it was realized that the country's
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staple food rice alone was not sufficient to meet the food demand (Hossain et al. 2013). The annual mean growth rate was 24.93%. The cropping area rose from 0.126 million ha to 0.591 million hectares and production from 0.11 million tons to 1.07 million tons (Islam et al. 2016). At present about 429.61 thousand hectares of land in our country is covered by wheat with the annual production of 1302998 Mtons (BBS 2014). Yield is the function of many components which when modified has direct influence on the productivity (Mahboob et al. 2005). During the long association man has improved the yield and other agronomic characters of wheat plant by selection man have improved the yield and other agronomic characters of wheat plant by selection among the exacton genotypes. Modern plant breeding methods have accelerated the rate of improvement of wheat for yield and other agronomic characters. Grain yield in wheat is a complex phenomenon as it is polygenically controlled. For effective selection, information on nature and magnitude of variation in population, association of character with yield and among themselves and the extent of environmental influence on the expression of these characters are necessary.

In such situations, correlation and genetic variability analysis could be used as an important tool to bring information about appropriate cause and effects relationship between yield and some yield components. Correlation and genetic variability analysis leads us to a clear understanding of the genetic association of various plant traits and their contribution to yield.

Correlation analysis provides information about the correlated response plant characters to selection (Ahmad et al. 2003). The correlation co-efficient between yield and yield components generally demonstrate a compound sequence of interacting association. The objective of the present study was to estimate genetic variability, heritability and correlation of different characters in wheat on grain yield per plant which may be helpful to identify the genotype having potential for improving yield and its components.

Materials and Methods

The present investigations for correlation and genetic variability analysis studies of morphological traits of spring wheat were conducted in the experimental area of Plant Breeding and Genetic Engineering Lab., Department of Botany, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi in 2016-2017. The experimental material consists of seventeen varieties of spring wheat i.e. Kalyansona, Protiva, Akbar, Sonora-64, Pavon-76, Sonalika, Triticale, Gourab, Ananda, Aghrani, Shourav, Kheri, Barkat, Kanchan, Inia, Seri-82 and Balaka. These genotypes were planted in the field according to a randomized complete block design with three replications. There were three lines per genotype in each replication. Seeds were sown with the help of dibbler maintaining row to row distance of 20 cm, plant to plant distance of 15 cm and the replication to replication distance of 120 cm. All other agronomic practices were kept uniform. At maturity ten plants per genotype from each replication were selected for recording of the data on the following characters: plant height (cm), number of fertile tillers/plant, spike length (cm), grain/spike, 100 grain weight (g) and grain yield/plant (g).

Statistical analysis

To determine the significance of data, the variance analysis for all the characters was carried out according to the technique given by Steel et al. (1997). The variance was partitioned into phenotypic and genotypic components. The heritability determination in broad sense was estimated as ratio between genotypic and phenotypic variance (Burton and Devan 1953). Correlation analysis was performed according to Kown and Torrie (1964). Genetic advance was calculated by percentage of mean as described by Brim et al. (1959).

Results and Discussion

Mean performances of different characters of the genotypes are shown in Table 1. Significant differences were found among the genotypes in respect of different characters. Highest grain yield/plant was obtained
from genotype Ananda (11.16 g) which was followed by genotype Kheri (10.25 g). Lowest yield was obtained from genotype Pavon-76 (5.09 g). Ananda gave the highest yield but it was statistically identical with other genotypes except Akbar, Sonora-64, Pavon-76 and Sonalika. Per plant grain yield gave the idea of 4.09 t/ha production in case of Anando followed by 3.30 t/ha in Kheri. Pavon-76 gave the lowest yield of 1.72 t/ha. The number of fertile tillers/plant ranged from (2.55 - 6.99). Kheri produced the highest number of tillers/plant and lowest number was statistically identical with others except Balaka and Inia. Highest plant height was obtained from the genotype Kheri (147.32 cm) and it was found in genotype Triticale (120.29 cm) and statistically identical with the rest except Balaka and Sonora-64. Hundred grain weight was highest in case of genotype Anando and statistically identical with five other genotypes Kalyan, Protiva, Aghrani and Shorav but non identical with the rest.

Table1. Mean performances of different characters of seventeen genotype of wheat

| Genotypes   | Plant height (cm) | No. of fertile tillers/plant | Spike length (cm) | Number of grain/spike | 100 Grain weight (g) | Grain yield/plant (g) |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Kalyansona  | 89.35             | 4.22                          | 16.12             | 51.20                | 4.06                 | 6.00                 |
| Protiva     | 100.00            | 5.66                          | 15.66             | 47.50                | 4.15                 | 7.29                 |
| Akbar       | 98.98             | 4.55                          | 16.12             | 54.65                | 2.97                 | 5.17                 |
| Sonora 64   | 94.63             | 4.22                          | 15.00             | 51.25                | 3.02                 | 5.11                 |
| Pavon-76    | 100.04            | 4.66                          | 16.22             | 48.50                | 3.07                 | 5.09                 |
| Sonalika    | 101.80            | 4.22                          | 16.81             | 45.20                | 3.03                 | 5.60                 |
| Triticale   | 120.29            | 6.99                          | 18.60             | 57.50                | 3.78                 | 9.87                 |
| Gourab      | 86.96             | 4.22                          | 16.86             | 55.40                | 3.25                 | 8.02                 |
| Anando      | 105.06            | 5.00                          | 16.94             | 51.20                | 5.25                 | 11.16                |
| Aghrani     | 93.94             | 4.89                          | 16.64             | 55.60                | 4.82                 | 9.70                 |
| Shourav     | 99.10             | 4.44                          | 16.69             | 55.60                | 4.19                 | 8.67                 |
| Kheri       | 147.32            | 6.11                          | 16.88             | 54.30                | 2.83                 | 10.25                |
| Barkat      | 99.86             | 5.11                          | 17.15             | 55.70                | 3.89                 | 7.54                 |
| Kanchan     | 98.25             | 4.11                          | 16.67             | 53.20                | 4.29                 | 7.10                 |
| Inia        | 94.43             | 3.66                          | 16.59             | 49.60                | 3.26                 | 7.05                 |
| Seri-82     | 92.91             | 5.22                          | 15.90             | 54.35                | 3.61                 | 7.60                 |
| Balaka      | 93.11             | 2.55                          | 14.40             | 54.35                | 3.42                 | 7.09                 |

Highest value (191.82) for genotypic variance was observed by plant height followed by grain yield/plant while it remained low (0.36) for number of tillers/plant. High phenotypic variance was observed for plant height, grain yield/plant and number of fertile tillers respectively, while 100 grain weight showed lowest value (0.50) for this trait. The co-efficient of genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic variability (PCV) and heritability
(broad sense) were calculated by the method of components of variances followed by standard statistical method. Phenotypic and genotypic variance, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability (Broad sense) and genetic advance expressed as percent of mean for the characters under study are presented in Table 2. Highest genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation was observed in case of plant height followed by grain yield per plant. Lowest value was observed in case of spike length. Values of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were higher than genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the traits under consideration. The results are agreed with Aharizad et al. (2012) who reported the similar results in wheat. In the present study, plant height, 100 grain yield and grain yield/plant showed high heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance as percentage of mean suggesting these three traits were controlled by both additive and non-additive genes and influenced by environment to a certain extent. Degewione et al. (2013) reported that days to heading exhibited high heritability with moderate genetic advance. These findings also confirmed by Hossain and Joarder (2006). High heritability with low genetic advance was reported by Salem et al. (2003). High heritability with low genetic advance as observed in flag leaf area and spike length indicating the non-additive gene effect.

Small differences existed between the value of genotypic and phenotypic variance for the characters were less influenced by the environment. Highest heritability estimated were observed in case of 100 grain weight followed by plant height which also indicated that these characters were also less influenced by the environments. Moderately high heritability values were found in case of characters of grain yield/plant and spike length. So high heritability of grain weight with moderate heritability of yielding capacity, the genotypes might tend to have more high yielding capacity.

**Table 2.** Estimated genetic parameters and mean sum of squares for different characters of wheat

| Parameters          | Plant height (cm) | No. of fertile tillers/plant | Spike length (cm) | Number of grain/spike | 100 grain weight (g) | Grain yield/plant (g) |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| $\partial^2p$       | 206.45            | 2.36                         | 1.15              | 3.54                  | 0.50                 | 4.18                  |
| $\partial^2g$       | 191.82            | 0.36                         | 0.71              | 2.50                  | 0.47                 | 3.19                  |
| $\partial^2e$       | 14.63             | 2.01                         | 0.44              | 1.04                  | 0.03                 | 0.99                  |
| GCV                 | 190.03            | 7.56                         | 4.34              | 7.15                  | 12.81                | 42.37                 |
| PCV                 | 204.52            | 50.97                        | 7.01              | 9.20                  | 13.42                | 55.43                 |
| ECV                 | 14.5              | 43.41                        | 2.68              | 2.05                  | 0.61                 | 13.06                 |
| Heritability (h^b)  | 92.91             | 14.84                        | 61.82             | 61.00                 | 95.51                | 76.44                 |
| GA (%i mean)        | 27.25             | 10.13                        | 8.32              | 22.25                 | 37.50                | 42.70                 |
| MS (treatment)      | 590.09            | 3.07                         | 2.58              | 5.80                  | 1.44                 | 10.58                 |
| MS (Error)          | 14.63             | 2.01                         | 0.44              | 1.65                  | 0.02                 | 0.97                  |
Correlation coefficient of yield and yield component are furnished in Table 3. The relationship among plant height with number of fertile tillers/plant was observed significant and spike length observed positive but non-significant. Degewione et al. (2013) and Khan et al. (2013) reported negative association with grain yield but Aruna and Raghavaiah (1997), Das et al. (1992) reported significant positive correlation of days to maturity with grain yield. These findings are in good agreement with earlier results of Akbar et al. (1995), Nabi et al. (1998) and Chowdhry et al. (2000). On the other hand, negative correlation was observed between plant heights with 100 grain weight. Positive and highly significant correlation was observed between number of fertile tillers/plant and spike length. Number of fertile tillers/plant has showed positive but non-significant correlation with grain yield/plant and 100 grain weight. Spike length had positive and significant correlation with grain yield/plant and positive correlation but non-significant with 100 grain weight. A positive significant correlation was observed between grain yield/plant with 100-grain weight. These results are corroborated with the results of Gupta et al. (1999) and Singh and Singh (1999). It appeared from the study of correlation that plant height had maximum contribution to grain yield in wheat. Thus from the estimates of heritability, genotypic coefficient of variation and genetic advance in percentage of mean the plant height, number of fertile tillers/plant, spike length and 100 grain weight could be suggested as the effective criteria in breeding wheat for yield improvement.

Table 3. Correlation coefficients of yield components in wheat

| Characters | No. of fertile tillers/plant | Spike length | Number of grain/spike | 100 Grain weight | Grain yield/plant |
|------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Plant height | 0.67** | 0.43 | 0.49* | -0.19 | 0.49* |
| No. of fertile tillers/plant | 0.63** | 0.42 | 0.034 | 0.42 |
| Spike length | | 0.52* | 0.21 | 0.52* |
| Number of grain/spike | | 0.69* | 0.65* |
| 100 Grain weight | | | | 0.57* |

*, ** = Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively.
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