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It has become almost routine practice to incorporate balance exercises into training programs for athletes from different sports. However, the type of training that is most efficient remains unclear, as well as the frequency, intensity and duration of the exercise that would be most beneficial have not yet been determined. The following review is based on papers that were found through computerized searches of PubMed and SportDiscus from 2000 to 2016. Articles related to balance training, testing, and injury prevention in young healthy athletes were considered. Based on a Boolean search strategy the independent researchers performed a literature review. A total of 2395 articles were evaluated, yet only 50 studies met the inclusion criteria. In most of the reviewed articles, balance training has proven to be an effective tool for the improvement of postural control. It is difficult to establish one model of training that would be appropriate for each sport discipline, including its characteristics and demands. The main aim of this review was to identify a training protocol based on most commonly used interventions that led to improvements in balance. Our choice was specifically established on the assessment of the effects of balance training on postural control and injury prevention as well as balance training methods. The analyses including papers in which training protocols demonstrated positive effects on balance performance suggest that an efficient training protocol should last for 8 weeks, with a frequency of two training sessions per week, and a single training session of 45 min. This standard was established based on 36 reviewed studies.
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Introduction

It has become almost routine practice to incorporate balance exercises into training programs for athletes from different sports, fall prevention programs for the elderly and rehabilitation programs. The objectives and benefits seem obvious, e.g., performance improvement and injury prevention as commonly cited goals (Hrysomallis 2011; Kümmel et al., 2016; Lesinski et al., 2015). However, the type of training that is most efficient still remains unclear, and the frequency, intensity and duration of exercise that would be most beneficial have not yet been determined. The main goal of this review was to establish whether a gold standard of balance training exists in this field.

Posture and balance control are fundamental in daily life to safely accomplish any type of movement and motor task that involves displacement of body segments or the entire body. Balance is the process of maintaining the body’s center of gravity (CoG) vertically over the base of the support, and it relies on rapid and continuous feedback from visual, vestibular and somatosensory structures for the subsequent execution of smooth and coordinated neuromuscular actions (Winter, 1995; Zatsiorsky and Duarte, 1999). Efficient postural balance not only reduces the risk of body imbalance, fall, or subsequent injuries, but also contributes to the optimization of motor performance in a number of athletic disciplines (Hrysomallis, 2007; McGuine et al., 2000; Watson, 1999).
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Each sport involves specific motor skills that require the completion of particular postures and movements (Hrysomallis et al., 2006; Maurer et al., 2006; Paillard, 2017). Balance is an important factor in many athletic skills, but the relationship between sports competition results and balance is not yet fully understood (Adlerton et al., 2003; Hrysomallis, 2011). A lower level of balance is associated with injuries, such as sprains and, muscle, tendon and ligament strains among others (McGuine et al., 2000; Emery and Meeuwisse, 2010; Eils et al., 2010). Maintaining a standing posture on a stable surface is a major determinant of balance. A sway analysis in a simple task, such as quiet standing, is used as a variable of its description (Visser et al., 2008; Duarte and Freitas, 2010). However, controversy exists in the literature regarding the influence of balance training on athletes’ performance and balance improvement, as well as injury prevention.

**Literature search**

The following review is based on papers that were found through computerized searches of PubMed and SportDiscus from 2000 to 2016. There is no general consensus in the literature regarding what to call training programs and exercise, therefore, we searched for various terms of training programmes. Based on a Boolean search strategy, consistent with previous meta-analyses on the effects of balance training (Kümmel et al., 2016; Lesinski et al., 2015; Zemková, 2014), the following search terms were (individually or in various combinations) used: “balance training” OR “proprioceptive training” OR “core stability training” OR “injury prevention”, OR “postural control” AND “injury prevention” AND “sport” OR “athletes” OR “basketball” OR “baseball” OR “volleyball” OR “football” OR “soccer” OR “handball” OR “tennis” OR “ski” OR “runners” OR “judo” OR “taekwondo” OR “capoeira” OR “figure skating” OR “bicycling”. The search was limited to English language and full-text original articles.

**Study selection**

Only the studies that met the following criteria were included: (1) the participants of an intervention and a control group had to be healthy at the time of the study, (2) the study subjects were in an age range of 7-30 years old, (3) balance tests were performed before and after the intervention programs. Studies were excluded if (1) they did not meet the criteria for CTs (Control Trials), (2) the PEDro scale was lower than four (Table 3), or (3) balance training was not described in detail. We made an exception for the four papers (PEDro 3) because of the better quality description of training protocols. The reviewers conducted the literature review independently, based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. In total, 50 studies met the inclusion criteria for review (Figure 1).

**Balance training**

**Training methods**

No general agreement may be found in the literature regarding which terms should be used to summarize training programs that aim at the improvement of postural stability (Kümmel, Kramer, Giboin, Gruber, et al., 2016). Some authors (Verhagen et al., 2005; Cumps et al., 2007; Kachanathu et al., 2014; Hammami et al., 2016) described balance or core stability exercises in their training programs. Others (Benis et al., 2016; Hammami et al.; Malliou et al., 2004; 2016; Pau et al., 2012; Verhagen et al., 2002; Zech et al., 2010) described neuromuscular or proprioceptive training and included multi-intervention programs with a combination of balance, strength, plyometric, and sport-specific exercises. Some authors describe the implemented exercises as balance training (Verhagen et al., 2005; Gioftsidou et al., 2006), and others call it sensorimotor training (Heleno et al., 2016; Pau et al., 2011), neuromuscular training (Zech et al., 2014; Benis et al., 2016) or proprioceptive training (Eils et al., 2010; Malliou et al., 2004; Mandelbaum et al., 2005). However, the most common term used seems to be balance training. Therefore, as in other systematic reviews (Kümmel et al., 2016), we use the term “balance training” to describe any training program primarily directed at the improvement of postural stability, regardless of the term used in the studies. Each of the training program described above presents a large variety of exercises. The balance training interventions consisted of balance exercises on both a stable and unstable surface, with or without recurrent destabilization during performance (Cumps et al., 2007; Hübscher et al., 2010; McHugh et al., 2007; Soderman et al., 2000; Verhagen et al., 2002, 2005;
Zech et al., 2010). In some studies, training programs also included exercises with visual feedback (Malliou et al., 2004).

Frequently, studies that examined neuromuscular or proprioceptive training interventions similar to balance training included balance exercises on stable and unstable platforms with or without perturbations of postural control (Hübscher et al., 2010; Zech et al., 2010). Some authors also described neuromuscular training as multi-intervention programs with a combination of balance, weight, plyometric and sport-specific agility drills to address all aspects of neuromuscular control (Holm et al., 2004; Hübscher et al., 2010; Mandelbaum et al., 2005; Myer et al., 2009). In some papers, the authors implemented plyometric training alone to improve balance or combined it with balance exercises (Asadi et al., 2015; Manolopoulos et al., 2015; Myer et al., 2006; Pfile et al., 2013, 2016).

Balance assessment

To assess static and dynamic balance, some researchers used clinical and laboratory tests. Balance tests were performed before and after an intervention program. In some reports, also strength, aerobic endurance and specific performance were assessed (Hammami et al., 2016; Imai et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2013; Manolopoulos et al., 2015; Myer et al., 2006).

Static balance was evaluated using simple tests such as the stork test (Daneshjoo et al., 2012; Hammami et al., 2016) or the single leg stance (SLS) test (Dobrijević et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2013; Karami et al., 2014). These tests require the participants to keep their hands on the hips and maintain the foot of their non-tested leg at the knee level with their eyes open or closed. The participants attempted the task a few times, and the best scores were recorded for further analysis. More sophisticated procedures were performed on a force plate (FP) which can monitor the movement of the center of pressure (COP). Different variables derived from the path of the COP during the single leg stance test (Ahmadabadi et al., 2015; Malliou et al., 2004; Saunders et al., 2013), the quiet standing (QS) test (Cankaya et al., 2015; Fau et al., 2011; Steib et al., 2016) or the limit of stability (LOS) test (Mahieu et al., 2006; Romero-Franco et al., 2012) have been used as measures of balance. A balance assessment can also be conducted on an unstable surface. One example is a kinesthetic ability trainer (KAT) (Holm et al., 2004). The KAT consists of an electronic moveable platform that is supported by a small pivot at its central point. The stability of the platform is controlled by pressure that varies in a circular pneumatic bladder between the platform and the base of the unit. High pressure indicates an inflated platform (stable), while low pressure a deflated platform (unstable). An unstable surface makes the balance test more dynamic and possibly more applicable in a sports context.

Dynamic balance was assessed by the Balance Error Scoring System (BESS) (Imai et al., 2014; Mcleod et al., 2009), the Star Excursion Balance Test (SEBT) (Eisen et al., 2010; Filipa et al., 2012; Sato and Mokha, 2009) and the Y-Balance Test (YBT) (Trecroci et al., 2015; Benis et al., 2016; Hammami et al., 2016). The BESS consists of 6 separate 20 s balance tests that the subjects perform in different stances and on different surfaces. The test comprises 3 stance conditions (double-leg, single-leg, and tandem stance) and 2 surfaces (firm and foam). All trials are performed with the eyes closed (Finnoff et al., 2009). Errors are recorded as the quantitative measurement of postural stability under different testing conditions. Another test, originally described by Gray (Gray, 1995) as a rehabilitation tool, the SEBT, is a series of single-limb squats using the non-stance limb to perform maximal reach in order to touch a point along 1 of 8 designated lines on the ground. The lines are arranged in a grid that extends from a center point and are 45° from one another. The reach distances are normalized to leg length. The YBT, was inspired by clinical applications of the SEBT (Coughlan et al., 2012). The participants push the reach-indicator block with one foot in the anterior, posteromedial and posterolateral directions while standing on the other foot on a central footplate. Some researchers used the Modified Star Excursion Balance Test (MSEBT), where the subjects performed movements in the same directions as in the YBT (Zech et al., 2014; Heleno et al., 2016). Dynamic balance was also evaluated by a jumping test. For example, Heleno et al. (2016) conducted the Side Hop Test (SHT) with lateral jumps, and the Figure Eight Test (F8) using forward jumps with rotation. O’Malley et al. (2016) used the Landing Error Scoring System...
The LESS identifies poor jump-landing techniques, such as decreased knee and hip flexion motion, knee valgus, and hip internal rotation, which can cause greater joint loading. Zech et al. (Zech et al., 2014) assessed the time to stabilization (TTS) following single-leg jump landing.

To assess proprioception and the stability of the upper and lower limbs, the isokinetic dynamometer (ID) was used to evaluate joint position sense (Daneshjoo et al., 2012).

Equipment and exercises

We found that there were numerous balance exercises that effectively improve static and dynamic balance. Training methods included exercises on stable and unstable surfaces in anterior/posterior and mediolateral directions, with or without recurrent destabilization (e.g., ball throwing or catching, strengthening exercises, or external perturbation applied by a partner) (Cumps et al., 2007; DiStefano et al., 2009; Hübscher et al., 2010; McHugh et al., 2007; Paillard, 2017; Soderman et al., 2000; Verhagen et al., 2002, 2005; Zech et al., 2010). The balance training programs typically included progression of the exercises. In some studies, balance exercises were performed first with the eyes open and then with the eyes closed in order to increase the difficulty (Hammami et al., 2016; Heleno et al., 2016; McGuine and Keene, 2006; Verhagen et al., 2005). Additionally, the balance training programs included transitions from a double-leg stance to a single-leg stance (Gioftsidou et al., 2006; O’Malley et al., 2016; Pau et al., 2011) on a stable or unstable surface (Eisen et al., 2010; Manolopoulos et al., 2015; Steib et al., 2016).

Occasionally, the authors also used exercises with visual feedback, such as moving a cursor to a target by shifting the weight (Malliou et al., 2004) or maintaining a single-leg stance on a board (Gioftsidou et al., 2006). For these types of exercises, the Biodex Stability System was used. In the studies, wobble boards that allow for multiplanar movement (Eisen et al., 2010; Holm et al., 2004; Hrysomallis, 2007; Soderman et al., 2000), tilt boards permitting uniplanar movement (Dobrijević et al., 2016; Hrysomallis, 2007), BOSUs (Myer et al., 2006; Romero-Franco et al., 2012), foam mats (McHugh et al., 2007), inflated rubber discs (Saunders et al., 2013) and Swiss balls (Kang et al., 2013; Sato and Mokha, 2009) were frequently used. These devices were used for different movements such as tilting, rotating, squatting, hopping, jumping, throwing and catching a ball (Eisen et al., 2010; Daneshjoo et al., 2012; Myer et al., 2006; Soligard et al., 2008). These activities were also combined with resistance exercises while balancing (Filipa et al., 2012; Petersen et al., 2005; Romero-Franco et al., 2012).

In some papers, the authors implemented plyometric training alone to improve balance or combined plyometric training with balance exercises. These exercises emphasized jumping movements with feedback regarding technical performance and proper limb alignment (Asadi et al., 2015; Manolopoulos et al., 2015; Myer et al., 2006; Pfile et al., 2013, 2016). The plyometric exercises consisted of athletic positions, squat jumps, line jumps, bounding in place, and box drops, among others (Asadi et al., 2015; Myer et al., 2006). Core stability training was also used to improve balance. Some authors understood core exercises as bracing the abdominal muscles with low intensity limb movements (Kachanathu et al., 2014); however, most authors applied global training of larger superficial muscles around the abdominal and lumbar regions (Filipa et al., 2012; Iacono et al., 2014; Lust et al., 2009; Myer et al., 2006; Sato and Mokha, 2009). Core stability training included front planks, side planks, back bridges, quadruped exercises and exercises on a Swiss ball.

The influence of balance training on balance in various sport disciplines

The most widely studied disciplines were soccer (Cankaya et al., 2015; Daneshjoo et al., 2012; Gioftsidou et al., 2006; Imai et al., 2014), basketball (Asadi et al., 2015; Benis et al., 2016; Meleod et al., 2009; Pfile et al., 2016) and handball (Holm et al., 2004; Karadenizli, 2016a, 2016b; Steib et al., 2016). The majority of the studies revealed significant differences between the groups after the interventions (Asadi et al., 2015; Daneshjoo et al., 2012; Kachanathu et al., 2014; Meleod et al., 2009; O’Malley et al., 2016; Pfile et al., 2016; Steib et al., 2016). However, a few publications were found that did not show any significant influence of balance training on balance in various sport disciplines (Benis et al., 2016; Eisen et al., 2010; Sato and Mokha, 2009; Zech et al., 2014).
## Table 1

**Influence of balance training on balance in various sports disciplines**

| References          | Subjects | Discipline | Training Modality | Device + Procedure | Conclusions                                                                 |
|---------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lust et al. (2009)  | IG: open kinetic chain/closed kinetic chain (OKC/CKC): 12M, open kinetic chain/ closed kinetic chain/core stability (OKC/CKC/CS): 13M, CG: 15M, (basketball): 8 M | 20.00 ± 1.54 N | baseball          | CST NMT SEBT                                                                  | The OKC/CKC/CS group and the OKC/CKC group demonstrated significantly greater scores than the control group after training. |
| Asadi et al. (2015) | IG (PLT): 8 M, CG (Basketball): 8 M | IG (PLT): 20.1 ± 0.8, CG: 20.5 ± 0.3 | amateur basketball | 30 2 6 PLT SEBT                                                              | After a 6-week training period, the PLT + BT group showed significant improvements in all directions, whereas the basketball group did not show any significant changes. |
| Benis et al. (2016) | IG: 14 F, CG: 14 F | IG: 20 ± 2, CG: 20 ± 1 | national league players practicing 4 times a week for 2 hours | 30 2 8 NMT YBT                                                                 | Improvement over baseline scores was noted in the posteromedial and posterolateral reach directions and in the composite YBT scores of the experimental group. No differences in anterior reach were detected in either group. Differences were noted in postintervention scores for posteromedial reach and composite scores between the experimental and control groups. |
| McLeod et al. (2009) | IG: 37 F, CG: 25 F | IG: 15.6 ± 1.1, CG: 16.0 ± 1.3 | competitive basketball | 90 2 6 NMT BESS SEBT                                                        | Trained subjects scored significantly fewer BESS errors on the single-foam and tandem-foam conditions at the posttest than the control group and demonstrated improvements on the single-foam compared with their pretest, the authors found a significant decrease in total BESS errors in the IG at the posttest compared with their pretest and the CG. |
| Study                                                                 | Participants | Group 1 | Group 2 | Sport | Duration | Intervention | Measures                                                                 | Results                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|-------|----------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Imai et al. (2014)                                                   | IG: 10 M     | IG: 16.5 ± 0.5 | CG: 16.1 ± 0.6 | soccer | NR       | CST          | FP: SLS (EO, EC), SEBT                                                  | Significant differences in the posterolateral and posteromedial directions between the pre and post test. |
| Study (Year)          | Group 1 (Sex/Age) | Group 2 (Sex/Age) | Duration/Experience | Sport | Group 1 Score | Group 2 Score | Score Difference | Balance Test | Comments |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------|
| Malliou et al. (2004) | 30 (IG: 15 M/F, CG: 15 M/F) | 19.3 ± 9 | no experience | skiing | 20 | 4 | NR | BBS: SLS 20 s/3x (right, left leg) | No statistically significant differences between the groups were found. |
| Karadenizli et al. (2016) | IG: 14 F CG: 12 F | IG:15.64 ± 0.82 CG: 15.38 ± 0.92 | 3.5 years of sport experience | handball | NR | 2 | 10 | PLT | FP: SLS (right, left leg) – 30 s |
| Matin et al. (2014) | IG:12 M CG: 12 M | 11.34 ± 3.68 | fitness | 60 | 3 | 4 | NMT | SLS 3x | Dynamic test: (jumping) five scores were dedicated for covering the mark and five scores for holding the balance stance as static for 5 s |
| Eisen et al. (2013) | 36 F/M IG (dynamic): 12 | IG (rocker board): 12 CG: 12 | 18-22 | NR | soccer/basketball | NR | 3 | 4 | BT | SEBT |
| Zech et al. (2014) | IG: 15 M CG: 15 | IG: 15.7 ± 3.9 CG: 14.1 ± 1.4 | first regional youth divisions | hockey | 20 | 2 | 10 | NMT | PP: 3s jump-landing time to stabilization (TTS), SLS 30 s/3x (preferred leg) MSEBT BESS |
| Myer et al. (2006) | IG (PLT): 8 F IG2 (CST+BT): 11 F | IG 15.9±0.8 IG2 15.6±1.2 | not less than 4 years of experience | volleyball | 90 | 3 | 7 | IG: PLT IG2: CST+BT | The percentage change from the pretest to posttest in vertical ground reaction force was significantly different between the PLT and CST+BT groups considering the dominant side. |
| Romero-franco et al. (2012) | IG: 16 M CG: 17 M | IG: 22.5 ± 5.12 CG: 21.18 ± 4.47 | NR | running | 30 | 3 | 6 | PT | FP: Q5 (EO, EC) 2x5s BBS: EO 3x20 s, LOS in 8 different directions |
| Sato and Mokha (2009) | IG:12 F/M CG: 8 F/M | IG:37.75 ± 10.63 CG: 39.25 ± 10.81 | recreational and competitive | running | NR | 4 | 6 | CST | SEBT |
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| Authors                   | IG:             | CG:          | n    | Sport               | Methodology                                                                 | Results                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mahieu et al. (2006)     | IG: 6 F, 11 M   | CG: 8 F, 8 M | 9-15 | skiing              | VT SMART Balance Master: LOS 8 s/8x, rhythmic weight shift left/right, forward/backward | No significant differences except for directional control during the LOS and the left-right excursion of the rhythmic weight shift test were found. Performance of the athletes and sedentary group improved compared to the CG. |
| Camps et al. (2013)      | IG: athletes 25 M, sedentary: 25 M CG: 25 M | 11 | NR | soccer              | 8 BT FP: QS (FO, 1C), SLS – 30 s clockwise rounds 5 x 60 s | Both warm up programs improved proprioception in the dominant leg at 45° and 60° knee flexion. Dynamic balance assessed by the SEBT also showed improvement in both groups, with the HarmoKnee group showing significant difference when compared to the CG. |
| Darsesexplo et al. (2012) | CG: 12 M; IG (FIFA 11): 12 M, IG (HarmoKnee): 12 M | professional (five-year experience of playing soccer at professional level) | 20-25 | soccer              | 6 FIFA 11: BT + ST + PT Harmo Knee: BT + ST + CST ID: JPS SEBT Stork Stand Balance Test | Significant differences in the IG after training. |
| Iacono et al. (2014)     | IG: 10 M        | CG: 10 M     | IG: 18.7 ± 0.67 | CG: 19 ± 0.63 | competitive players          | 4 CST FP: SLS (FO, EC) - 3x20 s SEBT CST significantly improved static and dynamic balance | After a five-week training program, the intervention group obtained significant results in the F8, SHT and SEBT, as well as in the following variables: area of pressure of sway center (COP), mean velocity and mean frequency of COP COP (cm) in anteriorposterior and mediolateral axes decreased significantly after training. Significant differences of dynamic balance and core stability in the IG compared to the CG. Results indicated that BT provided significantly greater improvements in the YBT. |
| Giothidou et al. (2006)  | CG: 39 (13 IG - before appropriate training, 13 M, IG - after appropriate training: 13) | The young champions of the first Greek division | 16 ± 1 | soccer              | 12 BT BBS: SLS 20 s/x (right, left leg) | Significant differences in the IG after training. |
| Heleno et al. (2014)     | IG: 12 M        | CG: 10 M     | 14-16 | players with a minimum of 3 years of training experience; participation in state and national competitions; training 5 times a week | soccer | NR | 3 | 5 | SMT | SLS, Side Hop Test (SHT), Figure of Eight Test (F8) MSEBT | Significant differences of dynamic balance and core stability in the IG compared to the CG. |
| Trecroci et al. (2015)   | IG: 12 M        | CG: 12 M     | 11.3 ± 0.70 | sub-elite players | 2 BT | YBT | Significantly greater improvements in the YBT |
| Manoslopoulos et al. (2015) | IG: 20 (ST: 10 M SMT: 10 M) | amateur | 21.3 ± 1.3 | SMT: 22 ± 1.7 | soccer | NR | 2 | 8 | ST ST + SMT | FP: stork stance, raise the heel off the ground – 5 s | COP (cm) in anteriorposterior and mediolateral axes decreased significantly after training. Significant differences of dynamic balance and core stability in the IG compared to the CG. Results indicated that BT provided significantly greater improvements in the YBT. |
| Kachanathu et al. (2014) | IG: 23 M        | CG: 23 M     | 18 ± 2 | NR | soccer | 60 Phase-I: 4 Phase-II: 6 Phase-III: 3 | CST | Double Straight Limb Lowering test: x3 SEBT: 8 directions x3 | Significant differences of dynamic balance and core stability in the IG compared to the CG. Results indicated that BT provided significantly greater improvements in the YBT. |
| Granacher et al. (2016)  | IG: 12 M        | CG: 12 M     | 12-13 | first division Tunisian | soccer | NR | 2 | 8 | BT PLT | Standing Stork Test, YBT | |
| Study                                      | IG: n | CG: n | IG: Mean ± SD | CG: Mean ± SD | Activity | n/weeks | Training | Test | Outcome                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------|----------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gioftsidou et al. (2012)                  | 13    | 12    | 22.7 ± 3.5    | 22.7 ± 3.5    | soccer    | 20      | BT       | BBS  | Both training groups demonstrated significant improvements on Biodex stability tests. Similarly for the balance board, the results revealed significant improvements for both IGs. |
| Alyson et al. (2012)                      | 13 F  | 7 F   | 15.4 ± 1.5    | 14.7 ± 0.8    | competitive | 50      | 2/8      | NMT  | After NMT, subjects demonstrated a significant improvement in the SEBT score on the right and left limb. |
| O’Malley et al. (2016)                    | 41 M  | 37 M  | 18.6 (18.4-18.8) | 18.3 (18.1-18.5) | 2 teams: 1 football 1 hurling | 15      | 2/8      | YBT  LESS | There was a greater reduction in mean LESS score in favour of the IG post exercise training. Clinically and statistically significant improvements in dynamic balance and jump-landing technique occurred in collegiate level Gaelic football and hurling players. The IG exhibited smaller sway areas in EC conditions in the bipedal stance, while the other variables were unaffected. BT also reduced sway area and A-P COP displacements of the nondominant limb for SLS. |
| Pau et al. (2012)                         | 13 F  | 13 F  | 13.2 ± 0.2    | 13.0 ± 0.1    | volleyball | 20-30   | 6-9      | NMT  FF QS (EO,EC) – 20 s SLS | Significant changes were found in one-leg standing time with eyes closed in the IG. |
| Kang et al. (2013)                        | 36 M  | NR    | NR            | NR            | weightlifters | NR      | NR       | 8    | BT SLS | Significant changes were found in one-leg standing time with eyes closed in the IG. |

NR = non reported; IG = intervention group; CG = control group; F = females; M = males; n = group size; PT = proprioceptive training; BT = balance training; CST = core stability training; PLT = plyometric training; ST = strength training; SLS = single leg stance; NMT = neuromuscular training; D = training duration (min); F = frequency (n/week); T = duration of the intervention (week); FP = force plate; BBS = Biodex balance system; SEBT = star excursion balance test; ID = isokinetic dynamometry; EO = eyes open; EC = eyes close; QS = quiet standing; BESS = balance error scoring system; YBT = Y balance test; SLL = single leg landing; SMT = sensory motor training
### Table 2

| References                  | Subjects                                                                 | Discipline | Training Modality | Traning Type | Conclusions                                                                                                                                                   |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Eils et al. (2010)          | n = 198                                                                   | IG1 = 81   | performance level of the players | basketball   | 20 1 NR (all season) PT                                                                 | The risk of sustaining an ankle injury was significantly reduced in the IG by approximately 35%. The IG showed a significantly more stable SLS concerning the mediolateral direction. The degree of error for 10- dorsiflexion and 15- plantarflexion and the mean error were significantly reduced in the posttest in the IG, but not in the CG. These were a significantly lower risk of injuries overall, overuse injuries, and severe injuries in the IG. |
| Soligard et al. (2008)      | n = 1892 F                                                                | IG = 1055  | soccer             | Running exercises | 20 NR NR (9 months-all season) BT CST PLT | There was a significantly lower risk of injuries overall, overuse injuries, and severe injuries in the IG. |
| Kraemer and Kneebloch (2009)| IG = 24 F                                                                 | 21 ± 4     | soccer             | PT BT PLT   | During the intervention season, the number of muscle strain/tears was less (25% of total injuries) than the control season (52% of total injuries). |
| Owen et al. (2013)          | n = 67 M                                                                  | IG = 28.6 ± 3.75 | competitive players | soccer       | NR 2 NR (2 seasons: 2008-2010) BT ST CST PT | One year after training implementation, noncontact injuries decreased significantly by 65% (p < .001). Overall, the mean injury rate of all noncontact injuries during all intervention periods significantly decreased by 42% (p < .045) versus the control period. |
| Timothy et al. (2006)       | n = 765 F/M                                                               | IG = 16.4 ± 1.2 | high school students trained by certified coaches | basketball soccor | 10 3 NR (all season) BT | A reduced risk of an ankle sprain was observed after intervention. |
| Eils et al. (2010)          | n = 198                                                                   | IG1 = 81   | performance level of the players | basketball   | 20 1 NR (all season) PT                                                                 | The risk of sustaining an ankle injury was significantly reduced in the IG by approximately 35%. The IG showed a significantly more stable SLS concerning the mediolateral direction. The degree of error for 10- dorsiflexion and 15- plantarflexion and the mean error were significantly reduced in the posttest in the IG, but not in the CG. There was a significantly lower risk of injuries overall, overuse injuries, and severe injuries in the IG. |
| Soligard et al. (2008)      | n = 1892 F                                                                | IG = 1055  | soccer             | Running exercises | 20 NR NR (9 months-all season) BT CST PLT | The risk of sustaining an ankle injury was significantly reduced in the IG by approximately 35%. The IG showed a significantly more stable SLS concerning the mediolateral direction. The degree of error for 10- dorsiflexion and 15- plantarflexion and the mean error were significantly reduced in the posttest in the IG, but not in the CG. There was a significantly lower risk of injuries overall, overuse injuries, and severe injuries in the IG. |
| Study                          | Participants                                                                 | Methodology                                                                 | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kraemer and Kneeboch (2009)   | IG = 24 F, German premier league soccer, NR (3 years)                        | PT                                                                            | One year after training implementation, noncontact injuries decreased significantly by 65% (p = .021). Overall, the mean injury rate of all noncontact injuries during all intervention periods significantly decreased by 42% (p = .045) versus the control period. During the intervention season, the number of muscle strain/tears was less (25% of total injuries) than the control season (52% of total injuries). |
| Owen et al. (2013)            | n = 67 M, IG = 44, CG = 23, competitive players soccer NR (2 seasons: 2008-2010) | BT                                                                            | Overall, the mean injury rate of all noncontact injuries during all intervention periods significantly decreased by 42% (p = .045) versus the control period. During the intervention season, the number of muscle strain/tears was less (25% of total injuries) than the control season (52% of total injuries). |
| Timothy et al. (2006)         | n = 765 F/M, IG = 373, CG = 392, high school students basketball NR (all season) | ST                                                                            | The injury incidence for the players after the intervention was significantly lower than the combined injury incidence before the intervention (p < .01). Relative risks showed a significantly lower incidence of lateral ankle sprains in the IG compared to the CG. |
| Malachy et al. (2007)         | n = 175, IG = 175, 15-18 high school students football NR (2 seasons: 2008-2010) | CST                                                                           | During the intervention season, the number of muscle strain/tears was less (25% of total injuries) than the control season (52% of total injuries). |
| Cumps et al. (2007)           | n = 50 F/M, IG = 28.6 ± 3.75, CG = 27.4 ± 4.85, elite youth and young senior basketball players | BT                                                                            | Overall, the mean injury rate of all noncontact injuries during all intervention periods significantly decreased by 42% (p = .045) versus the control period. During the intervention season, the number of muscle strain/tears was less (25% of total injuries) than the control season (52% of total injuries). |
| Mandelbaum et al. (2005)      | IG1: 1041 F, CG1: 1905 F, IG2: 844 F, CG2: 1913 F, players of a southern California soccer league | ST, ST, PLT, Agility NMT                                                     | During the first period (IG; CG1), there was an 88% decrease in ACL injury in the IG subjects compared to the control group. In the second period (IG2; CG2) there was a 74% reduction in ACL tears in the IG compared to the age- and skill-matched controls. |
| Verhagen et al. (2005)        | IG = 641, CG = 406, the second and third Dutch volleyball divisions, players of the second and third Swedish divisions | BT                                                                            | The untrained group demonstrated an injury rate 3.6 times higher than the trained group and 4.8 times higher than the male control group. The trained group had a significantly lower rate of noncontact injuries than the untrained group (p = .01). |
| Soderman et al. (2000)        | n = 140 F, IG = 62, CG = 78, players of the second and third Swedish divisions | ST, ST, Agility Stretching                                                    | There was a 38% reduction in all injury in the IG compared with the CG and a 43% reduction in acute-onset injury. |
| Emery et al. (2012)           | n = 744 M/F, IG = 380, CG = 364, first and second Calgary youth division of indoor football | BT                                                                            | Significantly lower ankle sprains in the IG were found compared to the CG. A significant reduction in the ankle sprain risk was found only for players with a history of ankle sprains. The results showed no significant differences between the groups with respect either to the number, incidence, or type of traumatic injuries of the lower extremities. |
| Hewett et al. (1999)          | n = 1263 F/M, IG = 366, CG = 463 M, high school students female, males were not | PLT                                                                           | The untrained group demonstrated an injury rate 3.6 times higher than the trained group and 4.8 times higher than the male control group. The trained group had a significantly lower rate of noncontact injuries than the untrained group (p = .01). |
NR = non reported; IG = intervention group; CG = control group; F = females; M = males; n = group size; PT = prioprocptive training; BT = balance training; CST = core stability training; PLT = plyometric training; ST = strength training; SLS = single leg stance; NMT = neuromuscular training; D = training duration (min); F = frequency (n/week); T = training duration (week)

| NR | handball | PT | PLT |
|----|----------|----|-----|
| 2 of the teams were from the third highest league; 4 teams were of a superior amateur level; 4 teams were at a lower amateur level | 10 | 3 | 8 |

NR16-18 (17.1 ± 0.8) reported; IG = P
PT = priotoprocptive training; PLT = plyometric training;

**Figure 1**

A flowchart illustrating the different phases of the search and study selection.
Table 3

Physiotherapy evidence database (PEDro) scores of the reviewed studies.

| References                  | Eligibility criteria specified | Subjects randomly allocated to groups | Allocation concealed | Groups similar at baseline | Blinding of all subjects | Blinding of all therapists | Blinding of assessors | Drop-out <15% | Intention-to-treat method | Statistical comparison between group | Point measures and measures variability | Score |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------|
| Benis et al. (2016)         | +                              | -                                    | +                    | -                           | +                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | +                                    | +                                           | 7     |
| Gioftsidou et al. (2012)    | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Malliou et al. (2004)       | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | +                                           | 6     |
| Mahieu et al. (2006)        | +                              | -                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Zech et al. (2014)          | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | +                                           | 8     |
| Pau et al. (2012)           | +                              | -                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | -                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 5     |
| Daneshjoo et al. (2012)     | +                              | -                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | -                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 7     |
| Heleno et al. (2016)        | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 8     |
| Saunders et al. (2013)      | +                              | -                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Gioftsidou et al. (2006)    | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Sato and Mokha (2009)       | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | -                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 4     |
| Martin et al. (2014)        | -                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | +                         | +                         | -                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 5     |
| Romero-franco et al. (2012) | -                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Myer et al. (2006)          | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Lust et al. (2009)          | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 5     |
| Dobrevic et al. (2016)      | +                              | -                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Pille et al. (2016)         | +                              | -                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 4     |
| Hammami et al. (2016)       | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 5     |
| Emery and Meeuwisse (2012)  | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 7     |
| Verhagen et al. (2004)      | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 9     |
| Petersen et al. (2005)      | -                              | -                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | -                         | -                                    | -                                           | 3     |
| Imai et al. (2014)          | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| O’Malley et al. (2016)      | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 8     |
| Trecroci et al. (2015)      | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 7     |
| Steib et al. (2014)         | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | +                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Valovich et al. (2009)      | +                              | -                                    | -                    | -                           | +                         | -                         | -                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 5     |
| Eisen et al. (2010)         | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 5     |
| Holm et al. (2004)          | +                              | -                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 4     |
| Asadi et al. (2015)         | +                              | -                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 4     |
| Verhagen et al. (2005)      | -                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 7     |
| Kachanathu et al. (2014)    | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 5     |
| Cankaya et al. (2015)       | -                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | -                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 3     |
| Karadenizli (2016)          | +                              | -                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 3     |
| Ahmadabadi et al. (2015)    | +                              | +                                    | -                    | -                           | -                         | -                         | +                      | -            | -                         | -                                    | -                                           | 5     |
| Iacono et al. (2014)        | +                              | -                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
| Karadenizli (2016)          | +                              | +                                    | +                    | -                           | -                         | +                         | +                      | -            | +                         | -                                    | -                                           | 6     |
The influence of balance training on balance in various sport disciplines

The most widely studied disciplines were soccer (Cankaya et al., 2015; Daneshjoo et al., 2012; Gioftsidou et al., 2006; Imai et al., 2014), basketball (Asadi et al., 2015; Benis et al., 2016; Mcleod et al., 2009; Pfile et al., 2016) and handball (Holm et al., 2004; Karadenizli, 2016a, 2016b; Steib et al., 2016). The majority of the studies revealed significant differences between the groups after the interventions (Asadi et al., 2015; Daneshjoo et al., 2012; Kachanathu et al., 2014; Mcleod et al., 2009; O’Malley et al., 2016; Pfile et al., 2016; Steib et al., 2016). However, a few publications were found that did not show any significant influence of balance training on balance in various sport disciplines (Benis et al., 2016; Eisen et al., 2010; Sato and Mokha, 2009; Zech et al., 2014).

The majority of the study interventions used full training units (Dobrjević et al., 2016; Filipa et al., 2012; Hewett et al., 1999; Kachanathu et al., 2014; Myer et al., 2006), but several authors applied balance training only as a warm-up (Ahmadabadi et al., 2015; Holm et al., 2004; O’Malley et al., 2016; Trecroci et al., 2015). Among the various exercise types, balance training and neuromuscular training (Eisen et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2013; Verhagen et al., 2005; Matin et al., 2014) were most commonly incorporated, followed by plyometric exercises and core stability training (Asadi et al., 2015; Karadenizli, 2016a, 2016b; Lust et al., 2009; Sato and Mokha, 2009).

To assess static balance, the authors mostly applied the SLS test (n = 18) with 13 studies that used measurements conducted on a force plate. The most common procedure was the QS test (n = 16), and the LOS test was used twice. In the analyzed studies, the researchers mainly relied on the SEBT (n = 13), YBT (n = 4) and BESS (n = 2) to assess dynamic balance. Although the SEBT was the most popular in these studies, at least two difficulties accompanied this procedure. In many cases, the SEBT was assessed only in three directions that corresponded to the YBT (Filipa et al., 2012; Imai et al., 2014; Heleno et al., 2016). In addition, in several studies, the results were presented as composite reach scores (Daneshjoo et al., 2012; Eisen et al., 2010; Pfile et al., 2016). Therefore, even if the differences reached significance, it was not possible to ascertain the direction in which the improvement occurred. The detailed characteristics of the training protocols and tested tasks are shown in Table 1.

The influence of balance training on injury prevention

Balance control is a crucial factor in sports and an important component of common motor skills. Disturbances in balance control can increase the risk of injuries during high intensity activities (Burke-Doe et al., 2008; McGuine et al., 2000). The importance of balance control in the prevention of damage and musculoskeletal injuries during sports performance has been emphasized.

| Eils et al. (2010) | + | + | - | + | - | - | + | + | + | + | 7 |
| Soligard et al. (2008) | + | + | - | + | - | - | - | + | + | + | 7 |
| Kraemer and Knobloch (2009) | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | + | 3 |
| Owen et al. (2013) | - | + | - | + | - | - | + | + | + | - | 5 |
| McGuine and Keene (2006) | + | + | - | + | - | - | - | + | + | + | 7 |
| McHugh et al. (2007) | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - | + | 4 |
| Steffen et al. (2008) | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | + | + | + | 4 |
| Cumps et al. (2007) | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | + | + | 8 |
| Mandelbaum et al. (2005) | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | 4 |
| Soderman et al. (2000) | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | + | + | 5 |

“+” indicates a “YES” score; “-” indicates a “NO” score.
(Carolyn A Emery, 2005) and investigated in many studied cases (see review by Hrysomallis, 2007). Although the cause of injury is not always known, several risk factors for impairment in balance during training have been indicated (McKay et al., 2001). These factors include an insufficient warm-up (Woods et al., 2007), poor flexibility (Hartig and Henderson, 1999; Zakas et al., 2005), muscle imbalances (Parry and Drust, 2006; Croisier et al., 2008), muscle weakness (Croisier, 2004; Junge and Dvorak, 2004), neural tension (Turl and George, 1998), fatigue (Worrell, 1994), and previous injuries (Ekstrand et al., 2011; Parry and Drust, 2006).

The most common sports injuries (60%) are sprains, dislocations, and ligament ruptures that occur at the knees and ankles and at the hands, elbows, and shoulders (Conn et al., 2003; Hawkins and Fuller, 1999; Powell and Barber-Foss, 1999; Schneider et al., 2006). Improving balance in athletes by appropriate training has proven to engender positive effects on the reduction of the discussed injuries (Hrysomallis, 2007). Exercises may be included into a training program as part of an injury prevention strategy or with the primary goal of improving an athlete’s performance (Sannicandro et al., 2014). According to Hrysomalis (2007), Hübscher (2010) and other authors, we are able to distinguish different design concepts and components of preventive exercises for balance including plyometrics, strengthening, balancing, endurance and stability, with a different approach to preventive programs (Heidt et al., 2000; Myklebust et al., 2003; Soderman et al., 2000). The results of our analysis of the relationship between different balance prevention training protocols and injuries are shown in Table 2. It indicates the effectiveness of balance training in reducing the incidence of sports injuries among athletes. The analysis of the prevention programs contains the results for team sports (such as basketball, soccer, handball, and volleyball), mainly because of their specificity (high-risk of injuries), which may consequently cause long-term disabilities for the injured player (Lohmander et al., 2004; Myklebust and Bahr, 2005; von Porat et al., 2004).

Conclusions

In most of the reviewed articles, balance training has proven to be an effective tool for the improvement of postural control. However, a few articles stated that such effect did not occur (Eisen et al., 2010; Mahieu et al., 2006; Malleou et al., 2004; Sato and Mokha, 2009; Saunders et al., 2013; Verhagen et al., 2005), and a few studies, in which the effect was not reflected in all balance measures, suggested that balance training did not influence all of the dimensions of postural control (Benis et al., 2016; Holm et al., 2004; Pau et al., 2011; Zech et al., 2014). In some cases where the authors carried out both static and dynamic tests, significant results occurred in only one test type. Therefore, we would recommend the execution of both types of tests to decrease the risk of making inappropriate or global conclusions that training is ineffective in general.

Another issue is that the duration of training was heterogeneous. In most cases, it was approximately 40-50 min and was implemented as a full training session; however, in some articles, this time was rather short, i.e., only 10-20 min. In several studies, duration was not reported (Table 1). No gold standard is apparent in this field; therefore, it is difficult to make a global conclusion about the effectiveness of various types of balance training. Moreover, we are aware that it may be very difficult to establish one model of training that would be appropriate for each sport discipline, including its characteristics and demands. The main aim of this review was to identify a training protocol that is most commonly used and may lead to improvements in balance. Therefore, on the basis of analyses including papers in which training protocols resulted in positive effects on balance performance, it may be stated that an efficient training protocol should last for 8 weeks, with a frequency of two training sessions per week, and a single training session of 45 min.
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Having reviewed the literature, it is apparent that balance training programs have been widely implemented in various sports and have shown promising results in preventing injuries and enhancing performance. However, the effectiveness of these programs varies depending on the specific injury, sport, and training methods employed. Future research should focus on refining these programs to better suit the needs of athletes in different sports and environments.