information films. Nevertheless, the aim is similar: to inform the general public, so that their demand for health care is more focused and appropriate.

Lifetime health record
The fourth pillar is perhaps the most exciting of all, namely the building of a lifetime health record for each citizen. The envisaged European model includes the issuing of an electronic record to a foetus when the mother’s pregnancy is first recognised. This can then become a record of every health care event that the individual experiences over a lifetime. To this accumulating and dynamic dataset can be added genetic information, the individual’s changing socioeconomic status throughout life, environmental data such as ambient temperature and pollution levels, as well as information on lifestyles.

There are, of course, major obstacles to the implementation of the lifetime health record, notably the ethical implications of such centralisation of personal data. These will need to be fully debated and controversies regarding civil rights and privacy resolved.

The European future
The challenge ahead is enormous, but eventually, once the process has started to gather momentum, the benefits for the European patient community are likely to be massive. For example, the duplication of resources that currently exists, with each member state having a pyramidal structure of health care delivery, could be rationalised.

There is an urgent need to move the health care exchange point outside the existing infrastructures (i.e. hospitals and clinics) and, where possible, to make services available within the community. If consultation by electronic media, rather than face to face, were to be widely implemented, we could look forward to a time when diagnostic assessments are carried out in the retail environment or in people’s homes, where they spend most of their time, and not in hospitals or clinics.

If consultation by electronic media, rather than face to face, were to be widely implemented, we could look forward to a time when diagnostic assessments are carried out in the retail environment or in people’s homes, where they spend most of their time, and not in hospitals or clinics. For those vulnerable citizens who have chronic diseases, and especially those who are unable or unwilling to attend hospital for follow-up appointments, it will be possible to conduct many diagnostic tests in the home. With the increasing number of elderly citizens in our community, there will be a need for ‘sentinel’ devices in homes, which will support their independence and provide a safe environment for them. Such devices could be especially relevant to those with dementia illnesses. Some countries in Europe have already begun this process. In the UK, the national programme for health care reform is under way, with a massive increase in investment by the government in the National Health Service (NHS) over the next 8–10 years. Much of the early spending will be on strengthening the information technology component of the NHS, thus making the changes alluded to above possible.

Not least of the challenges will be the need to accommodate the very disparate health care provision and outcomes in those countries that will be joining the European Community over the next few years. The health care needs of their citizens must be taken into consideration, as, when these countries become full members of the Community, they will have the right to receive health care services matching those received by citizens of the other member states. The time for pilot studies in telemedicine and e-health is long past. What is necessary now is the large-scale and widespread implementation of e-health programmes that will enable European citizens to benefit from quality health care services with equity across the European Community as a whole.
of information to facilitate changes in health service delivery (Smith, 1997). The requisite technologies should have four main objectives:

- to empower consumers and clinicians in day-to-day health care delivery by improving access to evidence-based information at the point of care
- to facilitate the delivery of a wider range of services within primary and community care
- to provide accurate data to support research, clinical policy and governance arrangements
- to ensure that there is a sustainable, secure and reliable electronic environment, which, of course, must be underpinned by strong, policy-driven privacy protection.

All of the potential barriers to the adoption of eHealth are gradually being overcome. Prices have come down, technology has become more user-friendly, especially software, and doctors themselves have gradually started to become convinced of the usefulness of eHealth programmes. The single most important change, however, is the increasing availability of broadband access, which allows the development of sophisticated video-based applications, particularly on the doctor’s desk. The increase in the availability of broadband systems, whether these be satellite-based, cable, fibre or digital subscriber lines (DSL), means that, with their reduced prices, there is simply more opportunity to provide effective eHealth services.

Health care networks of the future

Whatever technological changes occur, the major challenge will be to make new technology available at the point of care with the patient. Here is where the use of broadband networks, such as internet2 (www.internet2.edu), is crucial. As health care is increasingly undertaken on the internet, some of the business models relevant to the distributed environment of the internet will become important in mental health. The traditional doctor–patient relationship is mirrored by the business-to-consumer (B2C) relationship. The B2C market will continue to amalgamate and expand, based on the core doctor–patient relationship but with fewer boundaries and more potentially difficult ethical contradictions and problems, especially for the doctors, including psychiatrists, involved.

The business-to-business (B2B), or doctor–doctor or health system–health system market, is probably even larger. At a clinical level, eMental health will allow psychiatrists to consult via the primary care doctor’s desktop, using video technology. This will allow primary care doctors to seek rapid referrals and assessments from specialists, yet to maintain their relationship with their patient and not duplicate tests that might otherwise be performed by both themselves and the specialist to whom a patient is referred. Increasingly, this liaison style of consultation, where the specialist both sees the patient and teaches the primary care provider, will become routine; in many instances the patient will not necessarily be present, as the primary care practitioner is essentially supervised by the specialist.

This approach could lead to major changes in how health care is organised and delivered. Psychiatrists in, for instance, Sydney might supervise practitioners and their patients in New York because the international exchange rates make that financially acceptable, whereas practitioners in Boston might consult to Saudi Arabia, perhaps because of a particular individual expertise that makes up for the added cost. It is likely that, in the near future, global health care portals using broadband internet-based systems such as that developed by HealthShare (www.healthshare.com.au) (see Fig. 1), an Australian company, will be common.

Global clinicians of the future

It is inevitable that, over time, we will move to global health care systems, with psychiatrists and patients interacting in electronically distributed environments around the world, supported by broadband technologies, either wired or wireless. These global delivery environments on the doctor’s desktop or in the patient’s home will incorporate a variety of features, including video technology to allow video consultations in real time, or video email for store-and-forward programmes, as well as electronic consumer-owned or provider-shared, voice-driven health records. On the doctor’s desktop there will be appropriate practice management and communications software that will be serviced from central servers or that may be kept on the doctor’s own local network to allow him/her to link seamlessly in a peer-to-peer relationship with colleagues. This same desktop will have a very strong educational focus, as psychiatrists and other health care professionals will be able to receive their continuing health education, for professional credits and re-accreditation needs, via their desktop. They could achieve this by taking part in interactive video-conferences and virtual conferences on the internet, given by experts in their field and relayed to, potentially, many thousands of different sites; in addition, they could achieve this in a large number of flexible, work-based teaching environments, using video, audio and text, which will allow interactive quizzes simultaneously to be taken and marked and to be recorded for long-term monitoring.

The roles of some psychiatrists will change: many, for instance, will increasingly focus on the teaching and supervision of other health professionals and of groups of
There is absolutely no reason why future university programmes will not head in the same direction as our current sports teams, and this will be supported by the eHealth environments of the future, which will allow such 'superstar' teachers to be fitted easily into prearranged courses and programmes, anytime, anywhere.

A future distributed eHealth care environment

All of this will require a focus on distributed or enterprise systems of information and communications technology, and countries around the world are now beginning to address the variety of technical issues involved.

The health system has to meet the challenges contained in the recent crucially important report from the Committee on Quality Healthcare in America, published by the Institute of Medicine (Ross et al, 2001). This influential report notes that 'information technology must play a central role in the redesign of the healthcare system' and suggests that the United States needs a renewed national commitment to build an information infrastructure to support health care delivery, and that 'commitment should lead to the elimination of most handwritten clinical data by the end of the decade.' For that to happen, the health system has to think seriously about its basic infrastructure requirements, and in the next century these will increasingly involve close collaboration with telecommunications providers.
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Videoconferencing has increased patient access to psychiatric care by linking specialists at academic or regional health centres with primary health care professionals in shortage areas (Hilty et al, 1999, 2002). Preliminary studies have demonstrated positive outcomes and user satisfaction (Hilty et al, 2002). Information is still being sought regarding costs because of a paucity of clinical outcome studies, cost data and randomised trials.