Contrasting Dependencies of Photosynthetic Capacity on Leaf Nitrogen in Early- and Late-Successional Tropical Montane Tree Species
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Differences in photosynthetic capacity among tree species and tree functional types are currently assumed to be largely driven by variation in leaf nutrient content, particularly nitrogen (N). However, recent studies indicate that leaf N content is often a poor predictor of variation in photosynthetic capacity in tropical trees. In this study, we explored the relative importance of area-based total leaf N content (N_{tot}) and within-leaf N allocation to photosynthetic capacity versus light-harvesting in controlling the variation in photosynthetic capacity (i.e. V_{\text{cmax}}, J_{\text{max}}) among mature trees of 12 species belonging to either early (ES) or late successional (LS) groups growing in a tropical montane rainforest in Rwanda, Central Africa. Photosynthetic capacity at a common leaf temperature of 25°C (i.e. maximum rates of Rubisco carboxylation, V_{\text{cmax25}} and of electron transport, J_{\text{max25}}) was higher in ES than in LS species (+ 58% and 68% for V_{\text{cmax25}} and J_{\text{max25}}, respectively). While N_{tot} did not significantly differ between successional groups, the photosynthetic dependency on N_{tot} was markedly different. In ES species, V_{\text{cmax25}} was strongly and positively related to N_{tot} but this was not the case in LS species. However, there was no significant trade-off between relative leaf N investments in compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity versus compounds maximizing light harvesting. Both leaf dark respiration at 25°C (+ 33%) and, more surprisingly, apparent photosynthetic quantum yield (+ 35%) was higher in ES than in LS species. Moreover, R_{d25} was positively related to N_{tot} for both ES and LS species. Our results imply that efforts to quantify carbon fluxes of tropical montane rainforests would be improved if they considered contrasting within-leaf N allocation and photosynthetic N_{tot} dependencies between species with different successional strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

Tropical forests play an important role in controlling the global carbon cycle and, thus, the rate of ongoing climate change (Lewis, 2006; Stocker et al., 2014). They store more than half of the carbon in the world’s forests (Pan et al., 2011), and provide roughly one-third of the global terrestrial primary production (Beer et al., 2010). Reliable quantification of the carbon uptake of tropical forests across time and space thus requires understanding of how carbon fluxes (carbon gain through photosynthesis and carbon loss through respiration) vary among environmental conditions and tree functional types. More specifically, Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs) and Earth System Models (ESMs) require accurate representation of the factors controlling variation in the maximum rates of photosynthetic carboxylation ($V_{cmax}$) and electron transport ($J_{max}$), as well as leaf respiration (Rogers, 2014; Walker et al., 2014). For tropical forests in general and African rainforests and tropical montane forests in particular, much remains to be explored regarding these controls.

Most DGVMs and ESMs employ the photosynthesis model by Farquhar et al. (1980), which represents the variation in $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ (at a reference temperature) as either fixed values for different plant functional types or as linear functions of area-based total leaf nitrogen content ($N_{tot}$; Kattge et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2009; Zaehle et al., 2010; Rogers, 2014; Walker et al., 2014). However, a recent global meta-analysis found that interspecific variation in $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ was much more closely related to photosynthetic N use efficiency than to $N_{tot}$ (Ali et al., 2015). Moreover, several studies in tropical rainforests have found that area-based leaf nutrient content (i.e. N, phosphorous) is often a poor predictor of the large interspecific variation in photosynthetic capacity (Coste et al., 2005; van de Weg et al., 2012; Houter and Pons, 2014; Dusenge et al., 2015; Bahar et al., 2016; Hasper et al., 2017). Some of these studies have indicated that the fractional investment of leaf N into compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity (i.e. $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$) is a considerably stronger determinant of interspecific variation in $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ than $N_{tot}$ (Coste et al., 2005; Dusenge et al., 2015; Hasper et al., 2017). Yet, more research is needed to confirm this pattern since these studies were conducted on seedlings in a greenhouse (Coste et al., 2005) or on a rather small number of rainforest tree species (six in Dusenge et al., 2015; five in Hasper et al., 2017). The strength of the relationship between photosynthesis and $N_{tot}$ may depend on leaf phosphorous (P) content (Reich et al., 2009). However, $V_{cmax}$ – N relationships were similarly weak at both high and lower altitude in Rwanda, in spite of leaf P content being twice as high at the higher site (Dusenge et al., 2015). Furthermore, leaf P content and photosynthetic N use efficiency ($V_{cmax}$ per unit leaf N) were not correlated in a large study of Andean and Amazonian rainforest species (Bahar et al., 2016).

Part of the reason for why interspecific variation in photosynthetic capacity is often poorly related to total leaf nutrient content may be that species with different successional strategies differ in within-leaf N allocation. Fast-growing and short-lived early-successional (ES) tree species usually make greater investments in N-rich molecules involved in photosynthesis and respiration than slow-growing and long-lived late-successional (LS) tree species, regenerating in low light under tree canopies (Raaimakers et al., 1995; Valladares and Niinemets, 2008; Xiao et al., 2018). In contrast, leaves of LS species are often more long-lived and make larger fractional investments in rather N-poor structural compounds and pigmentation. However, these patterns do not always hold true for tropical tree species. A study with seedlings of 14 rainforest species found that while leaf mass per unit leaf area (LMA) increased with species’ shade tolerance, photosynthetic capacity and $N_{tot}$ content did not systematically change (Coste et al., 2005). Another study on 17 rainforest tree species, reported that photosynthetic capacity decreased with increasing species’ shade tolerance while LMA and $N_{tot}$ did not change (Houter and Pons, 2014). These studies thus suggest that interspecific variation in photosynthetic capacity in tropical trees is often controlled by within-leaf N allocation, but more research is needed to explore the link between within-leaf N allocation strategies and other plant traits (e.g., other leaf traits, life history traits).

A recent study on six tropical montane rainforest tree species indicated that there may be a trade-off involved in within-leaf N allocation, such that ES species with high fractional N investments into compounds that maximize photosynthetic capacity (i.e. $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$) invest less N into compounds involved in light-harvesting (i.e., chlorophyll and photosystems), and vice versa for LS species (Dusenge et al., 2015). Such differences between ES and LS species are in line with the “carbon gain hypothesis” put forward to explain plant shade-tolerance. It states that shade-tolerant LS species have plant traits that maximize carbon gain under low light conditions (e.g., low respiration and LMA, high chlorophyll content and quantum yield of photosynthesis; Valladares and Niinemets, 2008). However, the study by Dusenge et al. (2015) found that LS species, in spite of indications of higher chlorophyll content, actually had significantly lower quantum yield than ES species. Clearly, more research is needed to better understand the roles played by different plant traits in controlling shade-tolerance in tropical forests (Valladares et al., 2016; Poorter et al., 2019).

Africa harbors 27% of all tropical forests (Scatena et al., 2010) and 13% of all tropical montane forests (elevation > 1000 m a.s.l.; Spracklen and Righelato, 2014). However with respect to ecological and biogeochemical understanding of carbon dynamics, the available data on African tropical forests is scarce, mainly due to the lack of an extensive long-term observation network (Lewis et al., 2009). This is particularly the case for mountainous ecosystems (Mountain Research Initiative EDW working group; Pepin et al., 2015). Here, we investigated physiological, chemical and structural properties of leaves in mature individuals belonging to 12 tree species—five ES and seven LS species—growing in one of Africa’s largest remaining tropical montane rainforests, Nyungwe forest in Rwanda. The overall aim of this study was to explore the controls of interspecific variation in photosynthetic capacity and other leaf gas exchange traits in tropical montane rainforest tree species. Based on previous research, the following predictions were tested:
1. ES species have higher photosynthetic capacity (higher \( V_{\text{c,max}} \) and \( J_{\text{max}} \)) than LS species;
2. Area-based total leaf N content is a poor predictor of photosynthetic capacity;
3. Successional groups differ in their within-leaf N allocation;
4. There is a trade-off in the allocation of leaf N between investments into compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity versus compounds maximizing light harvesting;
5. Key predictions of the “carbon-gain hypothesis” do not apply to montane rainforest tree species.

A previous study in Nyungwe forest showed that neither intra- nor interspecific variation in photosynthetic capacity was related to leaf P content (Dusenge et al., 2015), which was not investigated here.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Site and Plant Species**

Data were collected on mature trees (Table 1) in Nyungwe National Park (2°17′–2°49′ S, 29°03′–29°29′ E; elevation 1600–2950 m, investigated plots at 1950–2500 m). Nyungwe National Park (hereafter called “Nyungwe”) is located in the southwestern part of Rwanda, Central Africa, within the Albertine Rift ecoregion (Plumptre et al., 2007). Nyungwe covers 1013 km² and forms, together with the contiguous Kibira national park in Burundi, the largest block of tropical mi-elongation montane forest remaining in Africa, with large areas encompassing a mixture of primary and secondary forest due to its disturbance history (Plumptre et al., 2002).

At a meteorological station located at Uwinka (2° 28′3 S, 29° 12′00″ E, 2465 m altitude; Nsabimana, 2009; Nyirambangutse et al., 2017), the average day and night air temperatures during 2007–2015 were 15.8°C and 13.5°C, respectively, and the difference between the warmest and coldest month was 1.1°C. The mean relative humidity was 84% and annual rainfall was 1855 mm.

Nyungwe harbors more than 260 tree and shrub species, with 24 recorded as endemic to the Albertine rift (Plumptre et al., 2002). The 12 species investigated in this study were selected to represent common ES and LS species, according to data from 15 half ha monitoring plots recently established in the forest (Table 2 in Nyirambangutse et al., 2017). The most abundant ES and LS species in Nyungwe are Macaranga kilimandscharica and Syzygium guineense, respectively, each accounting for 18% of the total number of trees with a diameter at breast height ≥ 30 cm according to a forest-wide survey (Plumptre et al., 2002). The other ES species co-occurred with M. kilimandscharica, except H. abyssinica which was found at edges and gaps, while the five of the six other LS species clearly co-occurred with S. guineense. Ocotea kenensis occurred together with both M. kilimandscharica and S. guineense but has been described as a LS species in the literature (Tesfaye et al., 2002). It was present mostly as rather large trees in our plots, indicating that when co-occurring with M. kilimandscharica it might be a survivor of earlier disturbance events. The 12 studied species together account for 76% of the total basal area of all trees with diameter at breast height ≥5 cm in the 15 monitoring plots (Table 1).

**Leaf Gas Exchange Measurements**

Field measurements of leaf gas exchange in mature trees were conducted from late February to early August 2015 between 9:00 and 17:00 h, using two portable leaf gas exchange instruments (LI6400; LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) with the standard 2 cm × 3 cm leaf chamber and a light source (6400-02B LED Light Source). Fully expanded newly mature sun leaves without visible damage were selected and measured for responses of net photosynthetic rate (\( A_{\text{n}} \)) to eight CO₂ concentrations (range 60–2000 μmol mol⁻¹; so called \( A_{\text{C}-5} \) curves) and a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 1800 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Then, \( A_{\text{n}} \) was measured at five different levels of PPFD (0, 25, 50, 75, and 100 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹; so called light-response curves) at a CO₂ concentration of 400 μmol mol⁻¹ of air entering the leaf chamber. The relative air humidity was kept between 60% and 80% during the measurements to

**Table 1**

| Species               | Family          | Successional group | Diameter at breast height (cm) | %BA in plots | Tree height (m) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Hagenia abyssinica    | Rosaceae        | ES                 | 28 ± 14                       | 0.3          | 8 ± 5           |
| Harungana montana     | Cucurbitaceae   | ES                 | 41 ± 21                       | 2.3          | 20 ± 2          |
| Macaranga kilimandscharica | Euphorbiaceae   | ES                 | 22 ± 5                        | 24.8         | 15 ± 4          |
| Prunus africana       | Rosaceae        | ES                 | 35 ± 13                       | 0.6          | 19 ± 6          |
| Polyscias fulva       | Arecaceae       | ES                 | 52 ± 13                       | 3.4          | 20 ± 3          |
| Carapa grandiflora   | Meliaceae       | LS                 | 40 ± 22                       | 2.6          | 19 ± 5          |
| Cleistanthus polyphyllus | Euphorbiaceae   | LS                 | 31 ± 15                       | 2.8          | 18 ± 4          |
| Faurea Saligine     | Proteaceae      | LS                 | 53 ± 21                       | 6.1          | 25 ± 7          |
| Ficalhoa laurifolia  | Theaceae        | LS                 | 37 ± 12                       | 2.5          | 22 ± 4          |
| Ocotea kenensis      | Lauraceae       | LS                 | 40 ± 18                       | 3.0          | 22 ± 5          |
| Strombosis scheffleri | Olacaceae       | LS                 | 31 ± 10                       | 1.2          | 20 ± 6          |
| Syzygium guineense   | Myrtaceae       | Wild DC            | 50 ± 21                       | 26.6         | 20 ± 5          |

Means ± SE are represented for n = 5–7 species per successional group and 7–15 trees per species.

*The classification of the species into successional groups was based on information in the following publications in combination with our own observations of abundance in plots dominated by Macaranga kilimandscharica (main ES species) and Syzygium guineense (main LS species) trees: Bloesch et al., 2009; Fischer and Killmann; Bussmann, 2002; Tesfaye et al., 2002; Fashing, 2004; Fashing et al., 2004; Elu and Obua, 2005; Kindt et al., 2014; Rutten et al., 2015.
avoid stomatal closure. Measurements of the response of $A_n$ to $C_i$ were performed only if the starting value of stomatal conductance $(g_s)$ was above a minimal threshold of 0.03 mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Measurements of dark respiration $(R_d)$ were conducted on a neighboring leaf, which had been covered by tinfoil and acclimated to darkness for at least 30 min prior to the measurement, to avoid post-illumination CO$_2$ burst (Atkin et al., 1998). Most measurements were conducted at a leaf temperature of 20°C, but 12 measurements conducted under unusually warm conditions were made at 25°C.

Leaf gas exchange was measured on one leaf per tree in at least eight trees per species. The trees were selected from as many of the 15 forest plots as possible (some species were, however, present in only a few plots) to account for possible differences among plots (e.g., fertility). The total number of measured leaves was 116. Sun leaves were made accessible by cutting 1 to 2 m of branch excision caused a sufficient disruption of xylem water continuity to substantially affect gas exchange measurements, as it may occur in some tropical tree species (Santiago and Mulkey, 2003).

After the measurement campaign from late February to April, it was found that a leak had been present in one of the two instruments used. The conductance of the leak was quantified and used to recalculate $A_n$ and $C_i$ data on the assumption that the CO$_2$ concentration around the leaf chamber was 400 µmol mol$^{-1}$. Species-specific $V_{cmax}$ values determined for the adjusted data were very similar to the $V_{cmax}$ values determined for data from the instrument without a leak (on average 1% difference). However, we refrain from reporting $J_{max}$ data for the measurements affected by the leak since the leak correction was considerably larger at high compared to low CO$_2$ concentrations inside the leaf chamber (e.g., about five times as large at 2000 µmol mol$^{-1}$ than at 60 µmol mol$^{-1}$, at an ambient outside CO$_2$ concentration of 400 µmol mol$^{-1}$). As result, we present no $J_{max}$ data for two out of 12 species.

**Leaf Gas Exchange Data Analyses**

The photosynthesis model by Farquhar et al. (1980), with modifications of photosynthetic temperature dependencies by Bernacchi et al. (2001), was used to parameterize $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ from $A-C_i$ curve data by the least squares method. The rates of carboxylation-limited ($A_n$) and electron transport-limited net photosynthesis ($A_i$) were calculated as:

$$A_n = \frac{V_{cmax}(C_i - \Gamma^*)}{C_i + K_c \left(1 + \frac{C_i}{K_o}\right)} - R_l \quad (Eqn 1)$$

and

$$A_i = J - \frac{C_i - \Gamma^*}{4C_i + 8\Gamma} = R_l \quad (Eqn 2)$$

where $C_i$ is the leaf intercellular CO$_2$ concentration, $K_c$ and $K_o$ are Michaelis-Menten constants for CO$_2$ and O$_2$, respectively; $\Gamma^*$ is the CO$_2$ compensation point in the absence of mitochondrial respiration; $R_l$ is the non-photorespiratory CO$_2$ release in the light; and $J$ is the rate of electron transport. For $K_c$, $K_o$, and $\Gamma^*$, the values at 25°C as well as the temperature sensitivities were taken from Bernacchi et al. (2001). The internal leaf conductance for CO$_2$ was not estimated and therefore “apparent” $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ values are reported, based on $C_i$ rather than on the CO$_2$ concentration at the chloroplast. The parameterization of $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ were done based on partial pressure units (P$_a$) of CO$_2$ ($C_i$ and $\Gamma^*$) and O$_2$: not on mole-based units.

Values of $V_{cmax}$, $J$, and $R_l$ were determined simultaneously with the only a priori restriction made to the $A-C_i$ fitting that data points with $C_i$ below 100 µmol mol$^{-1}$ were forced to be $V_{cmax}$-limited. Values of $I_{max}$ were estimated from $J$ as in Medlyn et al. (2002). The uncertainty of the values of the curvature of the light-response (0.9) and quantum yield of electron transport (0.3 mol electrons mol$^{-1}$ photons) was used when calculating $J_{max}$ from $J$ has only a minor effect on the estimated value of $I_{max}$ (Medlyn et al., 2002). Values of $I_{max}$ were reported only if the $A_i$ limited part of the $A-C_i$ curve had at least two data points, or from one single data point if $C_i$ > 1000 µmol mol$^{-1}$ and/or $A_i$ was

---

**TABLE 2** Summary report with results of a two-factor mixed-effects ANOVA and a linear mixed-effects model (see Statistical Analysis section).

| Parameter | Factor | F-value | p-value |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| **Mixed-effects ANOVA** | | | |
| $V_{cmax}$ | Succ | 9.8 | 0.011 |
| $J_{max}$ | Succ | 11.6 | 0.009 |
| $R_{cmax}/V_{cmax}$ | Succ | 0.2 | 0.66 |
| $A_{bL}$ | Succ | 9.4 | 0.012 |
| $AQY$ | Succ | 10.7 | 0.008 |
| $R_{c25}$ | Succ | 6.9 | 0.025 |
| $N_{tot}$ | Succ | 0.6 | 0.54 |
| LMA | Succ | 1.4 | 0.26 |
| $Chl$ | Succ | 1.6 | 0.23 |

Bold numbers represent p < 0.05. Traits analyzed were: maximum rates of Rubisco carboxylation capacity ($V_{cmax}$, µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and electron transport ($J_{max}$, µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) at 25°C; photosynthetic rates at a constant intercellular (C$i$)CO$_2$ concentration of 280 ppm (R$i$, µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$); the ratio of $J_{max}$ to $V_{cmax}$ ($J_{max}/V_{cmax}$ ratio); leaf mass per unit leaf area (LMA, g m$^{-2}$) and area-based total leaf nitrogen content (N$_{tot}$, g m$^{-2}$); leaf dark respiration measured at 25°C; apparent quantum yield of photosynthesis (AQY); fractional investments of total leaf N content into compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity ($N_{tot}$); and compounds maximizing photosynthetic light-harvesting ($NLH$).
at least 10% lower than $A_c$ at the $C_t$ value of that data point. These criteria caused the exclusion of only two $J_{\text{max}}$ values. Light-saturated net photosynthesis at a common $C_t$ of 280 $\mu$mol mol$^{-1}$ ($A_{280}$; assuming the intercellular to ambient CO$_2$ concentration to be 0.7) was calculated based on the fitted photosynthesis model for each leaf. Values of $V_{\text{cmax}}$, $I_{\text{max}}$, and $A_{280}$ are reported for a reference leaf temperature of 25°C using temperature response equations from Bernacchi et al. (2001), although most measurements were conducted at 20°C. Reported values of $R_d$ were standardized to a leaf temperature of 25°C ($R_{d25}$) using a Q$_{10}$ value of 2.14, as suggested for tropical species (Atkin and Tjoelker, 2003).

The apparent (i.e. based on incident rather than absorbed radiation) quantum yield of photosynthesis was determined as the slope of the light-response curve in the PPFD range 25–50 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

**Leaf Structural and Chemical Traits**

After gas exchange measurements, leaves were collected and the dry mass of leaf discs of known area was recorded after drying at 70°C until constant weight in order to calculate leaf mass per unit leaf area (LMA, g m$^{-2}$). Discs were then ground to fine powder in a ball mill, which was weighed and analyzed for N concentration using an elemental analyzer (EA 1108; Fison Instruments, Rodano, Italy).

Leaves were also measured for SPAD values, a proxy of leaf chlorophyll content (Uddling et al., 2007) optically measured using a SPAD meter (SPAD model 502; Minolta corporation, Ltd., Osaka, Japan). Ten evenly distributed readings were made across each leaf, again avoiding major veins. Leaf chlorophyll content was estimated from SPAD measurements using an equation for tropical tree species provided by Coste et al. (2010).

**Within-Leaf N Allocation**

The leaf N investments were determined for the following components of the photosynthetic apparatus: Rubisco ($N_R$); bioenergetics, including coupling factors, electron carriers except for photosystems, and Calvin-Benson cycle enzymes except for Rubisco ($N_B$); and light-harvesting complexes and photosystems ($N_{\text{NLH}}$).

The $N_R$ was estimated using the equation and parameters provided by (Niinemets and Tenhunen, 1997):

$$N_R = 0.160 \frac{V_{\text{cmax}}}{V_C}$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eqn 3)

where $V_{\text{cmax}}$ is the maximum rate of carboxylation, 0.160 converts Rubisco to N [g N in Rubisco (g Rubisco)$^{-1}$] and $V_C$ the specific activity of Rubisco at 25°C [20.78 $\mu$mol CO$_2$ (g Rubisco)$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$].

The $N_B$ was estimated as:

$$N_B = \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{156 \times 8.06}$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eqn 4)

where it is assumed that N in bioenergetics is proportional to $I_{\text{max}}$, that 156 is the ratio of electron transport to cytochrome $f$ content in mol mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and that 8.06 is the amount of cytochrome $f$ per unit N in bioenergetics in $\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ (Niinemets and Tenhunen, 1997). The sum of $N_R$ and $N_B$ ($N_{R+B}$) was used as a measure of leaf N in compounds determining the maximum photosynthetic rate, i.e. photosynthetic capacity.

The $N_{\text{NLH}}$ was estimated according to Evans and Poorter (2001) as:

$$N_{\text{NLH}} = 41 \times 0.0155 \times \text{Chl}$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eqn 5)

where Chl is the area-based chlorophyll content (g m$^{-2}$), 41 is the N content per unit chlorophyll in light-harvesting complexes and photosystems in sun exposed leaves in mol mol$^{-1}$, and 0.0155 is the molar mass ratio of N to chlorophyll. We divided $N_{R+B}$ and $N_{\text{NLH}}$ by $N_{\text{tot}}$ to get the fractional investments (g g$^{-1}$) to compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity and light harvesting, respectively.

For leaves lacking $J_{\text{max}}$ data (see *Leaf Gas Exchange Measurements* section above), $N_R$ was estimated by assuming that these leaves had the same $I_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$ ratio as other leaves of the same species for which $I_{\text{max}}$ data were available. In two species lacking $I_{\text{max}}$ data altogether, the $I_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$ ratio was assumed to be the mean of all other species (which did not significantly differ among the other species or between ES and LS species). The fraction of the total leaf N was markedly smaller for $N_B$ (4%) than for $N_R$ (21%), causing small uncertainty in the estimation of $N_{R+B}$ introduced by this $N_B$ data gap filling.

**Statistical Analysis**

To analyze the effect of successional identity on photosynthetic capacity ($V_{\text{cmax25}}, I_{\text{max25}}$ and $I_{\text{max25}}/V_{\text{cmax25}}$ ratio), $R_{d25}$, AQY, LMA, chlorophyll content, and $N_{\text{tot}}$, we used a two-factor mixed-effects ANOVA, with successional identity as a main factor and species as a random factor nested within successional group. The relationship between $V_{\text{cmax25}}$ and $N_{\text{tot}}$ was analyzed with a linear mixed-effects model following Zuur et al. (2009) with $V_{\text{cmax25}}$ as response variable, successional identity as a categorial factor, $N_{\text{tot}}$ as a covariate, and species as a random factor with trees as replicates. We had five and seven species for early- and late-successional (Table 1), respectively, and for each species 7–15 trees were measured. Differences were considered statistically significant if $p < 0.05$. All analyses were performed in R (version 3.5.2), and the following packages were used: lme4 (for mixed-effects modelling), dplyr (for data manipulation), and ggplot2 and cowplot (for graphing).

**RESULTS**

Basal rates of photosynthetic capacity (i.e. $V_{\text{cmax25}}$ and $I_{\text{max25}}$) differed between ES and LS species. $V_{\text{cmax25}}$ was 58% higher in ES (71 ± 9 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) than in LS (45 ± 3 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) species (*Figure 1A; Table 2*). Similarly, $I_{\text{max25}}$ was 68% higher in ES (171 ± 21 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) than in LS (102 ± 6 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) species (*Figure 1B*). Values of $A_{280}$ were 58% higher in ES (14 ± 2 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) than LS (9 ± 1 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) species (*Figure 1C*). The $I_{\text{max25}}/V_{\text{cmax25}}$ ratio (2.4 across all species) was not statistically significant between ES and LS species, despite the relatively larger difference
in \( J_{\text{max}25} \) compared to \( V_{\text{cmax}25} \) between ES and LS species (Figure 1D). Leaf dark respiration at 25°C (\( R_{d25} \)) was 33% higher in ES (1.6 ± 0.1 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) compared to LS species (1.2 ± 0.1 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) (Figure 2A, Table 2). Similarly, apparent photosynthetic quantum yield (AQY) was 35% higher in ES (0.042 ± 0.003 mol mol\(^{-1}\) photon) than in LS (0.031 ± 0.003 mol mol\(^{-1}\) photon) species (Figure 2B). Additionally, neither total leaf nitrogen (\( N_{\text{tot}} \) 2.4 g m\(^{-2}\)) across all species), nor LMA (128 g m\(^{-2}\)), nor chlorophyll content (0.84 g m\(^{-2}\)) differed between ES and LS groups (Figure 3; Table 2; Supplementary Table 2).

![Figure 1](image1.png) | Photosynthetic traits at 25°C. Maximum rates of (A) Rubisco carboxylation capacity (\( V_{\text{cmax}25} \), \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) and (B) electron transport (\( J_{\text{max}25} \), \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)), (C) photosynthetic rates at a constant intercellular CO\(_2\) concentration (\( C_i \)) of 280 ppm (\( A_{280} \), \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)), and (D) the ratio of \( J_{\text{max}25} \) to \( V_{\text{cmax}25} \) (\( J_{\text{max}25}/V_{\text{cmax}25} \)) in early-successional (ES, white) versus late-successional (LS, gray) tree species in Nyungwe forest. The asterisks (in A–C) indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05). Error bars represent SE with n = 5–7 species per successional group and 7–15 trees per species.

![Figure 2](image2.png) | (A) Leaf dark respiration measured at 25°C (\( R_{d25} \), \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) and (B) apparent quantum yield of photosynthesis (AQY, mol mol\(^{-1}\) photon) for early-successional (ES, white) and late-successional (LS, gray) tree species in Nyungwe forest. The asterisks (in A, B) indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05). Error bars represent SE with n = 5–7 species per successional group and 7–15 trees per species.
The relationship between $V_{cmax25}$ and $N_{tot}$ differed between ES and LS species (Figure 4; Table 2). In ES species, $V_{cmax25}$ increased with $N_{tot}$ while in LS species there was no such dependency at all. At low $N_{tot}$ (~1 g m$^{-2}$), $V_{cmax25}$ was similar in both groups. At higher $N_{tot}$, however, ES species had considerably higher $V_{cmax25}$ than LS species and this difference increased progressively with the magnitude of $N_{tot}$. However, $R_{d25}$ was positively related with $N_{tot}$ for both ES and LS species, with similar slopes but different intercepts (Figure 5; Table 2).

There was a positive relationship between the fraction of total leaf N investments into compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity ($N_{R,B}$) versus compounds involved in light-harvesting ($N_{LH}$), with both ES and LS species displaying similar slopes (Figure 6, Table 2). For both ES and LS species, $N_{tot}$ was positively related to LMA (Supplementary Figure 1; Table 2). There was also a negative relationship between $N_{LH}$ and AQY for both groups, with similar slopes and a nearly significantly lower intercept ($p=0.052$) for LS species (Supplementary Figure 2; Table 2).
investigated physiological, morphological and chemical leaf traits in photosynthetic capacity in tropical montane rainforest trees, we represent about 60% of large trees (stem diameter at breast height ≥ 30 cm) in Nyungwe forest—Africa’s largest remaining mid-elevation montane rainforest block (Plumptre et al., 2002; Chao et al., 2011). The results highlight a marked difference in photosynthetic capacity strongly related to total area-based leaf N content (i.e. N_{tot}) in ES species but not in LS species. Photosynthetic capacity was markedly higher in ES compared to LS species (Figure 1). This difference was caused by strongly contrasting relative investments of leaf N to compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity, since N_{tot} was similar in the two successional groups (Figure 3). These results confirm our first and third predictions. The second prediction, of poor dependency of photosynthetic capacity on N_{tot}, was true for LS species but not for ES species (Figure 4). The lack of significant relationship in LS species is in line with other recent studies on tropical rainforest tree species (e.g. Coste et al., 2005; van de Weg et al., 2012; Houter and Pons, 2014; upland species: Bahar et al., 2016; Hasper et al., 2017; Gvozdevaite et al., 2018). However, it contrasts with a study in lowland forests of subtropical China showing a positive relationship between N_{tot} and photosynthetic capacity in LS species but not in ES species (Zhang et al., 2018).

Our results on LS species also differ from those of global meta-analyses demonstrating a significant positive relationship between N_{tot} and photosynthetic capacity in tropical trees (Kattge et al., 2009; Reich et al., 2009; Walker et al., 2014). There are several possible reasons for why meta-analyses report positive relationships between N_{tot} and photosynthetic capacity while specific field studies do not. First, as we show here, photosynthetic N dependency seems strongly linked to species successional strategy (Figure 4). A field study focusing primarily on LS species may therefore not detect any significant relationship between N_{tot} and photosynthetic capacity while a meta-analysis including both ES and LS species would do so. Second, the meta-analyses included several studies that examined the canopy vertical variation in N_{tot} and photosynthetic capacity (Porté and Loustau, 1998; Carswell et al., 2000; Kumagai et al., 2001; Meir et al., 2002; Sholtis et al., 2004; Galfapietra et al., 2005; Domingues et al., 2005; Tissue et al., 2005). Since both N_{tot} and photosynthetic capacity are typically higher in sun leaves than in shade leaves this contributes to the overall relationship between the two variables in these studies (e.g. Carswell et al., 2000). Third, meta-analyses included data from tropical areas with large variation in soil fertility (both N and P) while such variation is considerably lower in most specific field studies. This is well illustrated in a recent field study on 210 tree species from lowland Amazonian (lower soil fertility) and upland Andes (higher soil fertility) tropical rainforests, in which a significant relationship between photosynthetic capacity and leaf N_{tot} was found when data from both sites were pooled together (not necessarily a causal relationship since leaf N and P co-varied; Bahar et al., 2016). However, when trees from upland sites with high and fairly homogenous soil fertility were analyzed alone, no relationship between photosynthetic capacity and N_{tot} was found.

Our findings are in line with a recent global meta-analysis covering all types of plants and ecosystems which showed that within-leaf N allocation was a crucial determinant of variation in photosynthetic capacity (Ali et al., 2015). It further showed that about half of the variation in photosynthetic capacity could be attributed to environmental factors influencing photosynthetic N use efficiency (i.e. V_{cmax} or I_{max} divided by N content). Our study suggests that successional group is another factor, not included in the meta-analysis of Ali et al. (2015), which may explain a significant part of variation in photosynthetic capacity. Our results suggest ES and LS species allocate equal fractions of leaf N into compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity at low N_{tot}, but that at higher N_{tot} ES species gradually increase their absolute N investments to photosynthetic capacity while LS species do not (Figure 4). These results are in agreement with the general understanding of how shade-intolerant ES species and shade-tolerant LS species differ with respect to leaf physiological traits related to carbon assimilation, i.e. that ES species prioritize high photosynthesis and rapid growth (Raaimakers et al., 1995; Hikosaka, 2004; Valladares and Niinemets, 2008; Reich, 2014). They provide novel insight by showing that the typical assumption of N_{tot} as a key determinant of photosynthetic capacity seems to hold for ES species but not for LS species, at least in tropical montane forests.

In contrast to the different relationships between V_{cmax25} and N_{tot} in ES and LS species, R_{d25} was positively related to N_{tot} in both
groups (Figure 5). This may reflect that, as Ntot increases, LS species invest the additional N at high Ntot into maintenance and secondary metabolism (i.e. defense) rather than into increased photosynthetic capacity (which did not increase; Figure 4).

The fourth prediction tested—that there is a trade-off in the allocation of leaf N between investments into compounds maximizing photosynthetic capacity versus compounds maximizing light harvesting—was not supported by our results (Figure 6). This hypothesis, proposed by Dusenge et al. (2015) and corroborated by Hasper et al. (2017), was based on their observations of a negative relationship between photosynthetic capacity (i.e. \( V_{\text{cmax25}} \) and \( J_{\text{max25}} \)) and SPAD values (a proxy for area-based leaf chlorophyll content). In the current study, we further tested the hypothesis by explicitly investigating the possibility of a trade-off between fractional leaf N investments into Rubisco and bioenergetics (\( N_{\text{KrH}} \)) and light harvesting compounds (\( N_{\text{LH}} \)). Strikingly, we found the opposite trend, suggesting that the hypothesis of Dusenge et al. (2015) may not be a general trade-off explaining species successional strategy. It is likely that there are other within-leaf N allocation trade-offs involved which were not investigated here. A recent meta-analysis (Onoda et al., 2017) revealed that the trade-off between photosynthetic N and structural N in cell walls, the two major leaf N pools, underlies the “leaf economics spectrum” (Wright et al., 2004; Hikosaka, 2004). However, this type of structure-function trade-off in N allocation is unlikely to explain the differences in the \( V_{\text{cmax25}} - \text{Ntot} \) relationships between ES and LS species found in our study (Figure 4), since they did not differ in LMA or Ntot (Figure 3) and shared a common positive LMA-Ntot relationship (SI Figure 1).

The fifth prediction tested—that key predictions of the “carbon-gain hypothesis” do not apply to montane rainforest tree species—was corroborated by our study. While photosynthetic capacity and \( R_{\text{g25}} \) (as also seen in Baltzer and Thomas, 2007) differed in a way predicted by the carbon gain hypothesis (both lower in LS species), AQY, \( \text{Ntot} \) chlorophyll content, and LMA did not (Table 2). In complete contradiction with that hypothesis, AQY was even lower in LS compared to ES species, as also observed in a previous study on tropical montane trees species (Dusenge et al., 2015). Furthermore, our findings showed a negative relationship between AQY and \( N_{\text{LH}} \) for both groups, implying that increased allocation of leaf N to light harvesting compounds does not necessarily improve light use efficiency, but rather the opposite. The lack of difference in LMA between LS and ES species was not surprising as it agrees with several studies on both mature and young tropical rainforest trees (e.g. Coste et al., 2005; Houter and Pons, 2014; Dusenge et al., 2015; Mujawamariya et al., 2018; Ntawuhiganayo et al., 2020). Some caution should be taken when interpreting observations on sun-exposed leaves of mature trees with respect to species shade tolerance in the understory. However, since species ranking of leaf traits potentially linked to shade tolerance appears to be similar in sun and shade leaves of juvenile as well as mature trees (Rozendaal et al., 2006; Coste et al., 2009; Dusenge et al., 2015) our results likely have relevance for trees growing in the shade as well.

**Implications**

Most DGVMs and ESMs represent the variation in \( V_{\text{cmax}} \) and \( J_{\text{max}} \) (at a reference temperature) as either fixed values for different plant functional types or as linear functions of area-based leaf N, i.e. Ntot (Kattge et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2009; Zaehle et al., 2010; Rogers, 2014). Our finding of contrasting photosynthetic dependencies on Ntot in ES versus LS species suggests that both these approaches are problematic. Constant values for different plant functional types fail to account for factors that control variation in photosynthetic variation within each group, e.g. the variation in Ntot of ES species in the present study (Figure 4). The Ntot function concept, on the other hand, fails to recognize the lack of photosynthetic N dependency found for LS species. Our findings suggest that future model approaches would benefit from introducing a plant trait like within-leaf N allocation or photosynthetic N use efficiency. Such traits may be linked to environmental conditions, as reported earlier (Ali et al., 2015), and also to successional strategy, as found here. Our findings also provide important knowledge to improve the accuracy of smaller-scale process-based models developed to estimate gross and net primary production in tropical montane rainforests. Recent work has supplied these models with a better understanding of the climatic variables and functional traits driving forest productivity, but they still currently suffer from large and unaccounted between-species variation in photosynthetic capacity—leaf N relationships (van de Weg et al., 2014; Fyllas et al., 2017). Additionally, our results suggest that a better understanding of the controls of within-leaf nutrient allocation would contribute to a deeper understanding of plant strategies related to successional status and their position in the “fast-slow” plant economic spectrum (Reich, 2014).
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