Rotator cuff unloading versus loading exercise program in the conservative treatment of patients with rotator cuff tear: protocol of a randomised controlled trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Atraumatic and degenerative rotator cuff tears are common in individuals over 55 years of age. This condition can have a high impact on social life and is associated with chronic pain, weakness and dysfunction of the upper limb. There is evidence that conservative approaches should be the first treatment option. Conservative treatment usually addresses a variety of therapeutic behaviours without providing scientific arguments for the choice and progression of exercises.

Objective To compare the effects of two different exercise programmes based on the load of the rotator cuff on a population with shoulder pain and rotator cuff tears.

Methods and analysis This is a controlled, randomised, blinded clinical trial. Seventy-eight individuals with shoulder pain and presence of atraumatic and degenerative rotator cuff tear will participate and will be randomly distributed between two groups. The primary outcome will be quality of life (The Western Ontario Rotator Cuff Index), and secondary outcomes will include pain, function (Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand), fear avoidance beliefs (Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire-Brazil), kinesiophobia (Tampa Scale), Pain Catastrophizing Scale, muscle strength of abductors, external and internal rotators of the shoulder, range of motion of arm elevation and patient satisfaction. The treatment will be performed for 12 weeks (2 x/week) according to the selected group (Rotator Cuff Unloading x Rotator Cuff Loading Exercise Programme).

Ethics and dissemination The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board. The findings of the trial will be disseminated through peer-reviewed journals and scientific conferences.

Trial registration number NCT03962231.

INTRODUCTION

Rotator cuff disease is one of the most common musculoskeletal disorders in the adult population. Rotator cuff tears are highly prevalent, from 22% at the age of 65 years, to more than 62% in a population over 80 years old,1 and appear to be an age-related finding in diagnostic imaging. The supraspinatus tendon is the most commonly affected tendon due to its anatomical location, tensile and compressive overload,2 vascular changes and degeneration process associated with ageing.3 Although degenerative rotator cuff tears are not necessarily symptomatic,4–6 a significant proportion of these injuries cause pain and disability of the patient over time. This condition may have a high impact in social life and can be associated to chronic pain, weakness and dysfunction of the upper extremities.7

The healthcare system usually deals with increasing expenses for rotator cuff surgery.8–11 In addition, shoulder dysfunction is associated with high societal cost and patient burden. A recent systematic review with meta-analysis has supported that conservative approaches should be the first-line treatment for individuals with rotator cuff tears.12 The rationale for this is that both surgical and non-surgical approaches improve function and pain in patients with tears of the rotator cuff.
The current gap in literature consists of the lack of knowledge on which exercises are the best to perform in this population: either exercises to strengthen the remaining muscle fibres of the rotator cuff (loading the rotator cuff), or exercises focusing on strengthening the other shoulder muscles, while decreasing the tension on the rotator cuff muscles (unloading the rotator cuff).

At present, both exercise types are combined in most existing treatment programs, or rotator cuff tension is not taken into consideration during exercise selection.

The aim of this study will be to compare the effects of a rotator cuff unloading exercise program compared with a rotator cuff loading exercise program on quality of life, pain, function, fear avoidance beliefs, kinesiophobia, pain catastrophising, strength, range of motion and satisfaction with treatment in patients with shoulder pain and rotator cuff tear. We believe that the unloading rotator cuff exercise program will produce better outcomes in the follow-up as regeneration of the rotator cuff is very unlikely in degenerative rotator cuff tears.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

The study will be a two-arm, prospectively registered randomised controlled trial with a blinded assessor. The trial has been designed according to the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) and Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines.

Study setting

The study will be conducted at the Laboratory of Analysis and Intervention of the Shoulder Complex located at the Department of Physical Therapy, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, Brazil.

Eligibility criteria

A physical therapist with 7 years of clinical experience will determine whether or not patients will be eligible to participate in the study. Individuals of both sexes, older than 55 years, with shoulder pain and atraumatic supraspinatus tendon tear documented with Magnetic Resonance Imaging or ultrasound, at least 90° of active arm elevation will participate in the study.

The exclusion criteria will include individuals with history of trauma related to the onset of symptoms; history of clavicle, scapula and/or humerus fracture; pain related to the cervical spine; previous shoulder surgery; glenohumeral arthritis; inflammatory arthritis; adhesive capsulitis and cognitive disorders.

Individuals will be discontinued from the study if they present fractures, surgeries, musculoskeletal injuries or neurological diseases that prevent attendance at sessions, or get corticosteroid injection at the shoulder complex during the treatment or follow-up periods. Any additional event, as adverse effects, or use of ice and hot pack during the course of the study will be registered. Individuals who will be excluded, discontinued or who will complete study follow-up with remaining shoulder symptoms will receive written and verbal information about shoulder pain management and exercises.

Procedure

The participants will be recruited through flyers placed at the University, outpatient clinics and community. Advertisements in local radio, and online resources (e.g., university intranet and social media) will also be used for recruitment of patients. All eligible participants will receive information about the study and will sign an informed consent form before participation. The assessor will collect the baseline data prior to randomisation, after the 12-week intervention period and 1 month after the end of treatment (follow-up). Satisfaction will only be assessed after the 12-week intervention period and at follow-up. Figure 1 brings the flow diagram of the study.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome measure will be quality of life. The secondary outcomes will be pain, function, fear avoidance beliefs, kinesiophobia, pain catastrophising, strength, range of motion and satisfaction with treatment. All scales and questionnaires have been translated and cross-culturally adapted into Brazilian Portuguese.

Quality of life

The Western Ontario Rotator Cuff Index (WORC) assesses quality of life in individuals with rotator cuff disease. It has 21 questions in five domains: pain and physical symptoms (six questions), sports and recreation
(four questions), work (four questions), lifestyle (four questions) and emotional state (three questions). The total score ranges from 0 to 2100. Higher scores indicate worse quality of life. It is a reliable instrument.16

Pain
The 11-point numerical pain rating scale will be used to assess current level of shoulder pain at rest, during arm movement, and the greatest and lowest level of shoulder pain in the last week.17 The score ranges from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst possible pain). This scale is reliable and valid for individuals with shoulder pain.18

Function of the upper limbs
The Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand questionnaire will be used to assess upper limb function.19 It contains 30 questions that include items related to physical function, symptoms and social function. Each question has five possible answers ranging from ‘no difficulty’ to ‘unable’, and is scored on a 5-point rating scale. The maximum score is 100, which indicates the worst possible condition.20 This questionnaire has been shown to be reliable.19

Fear Avoidance Beliefs
The Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ-Brazil)21 will be used to measure fear avoidance. The FABQ-Brazil is a 6-item questionnaire that compromises two subscales physical activity and work activities. Each item is scored on a 7-point scale ranging from ‘strongly agree’ to ‘strongly disagree’. The final scores range from 0 to 42 for physical activity subscale and from 0 to 24 for work activities subscale.21 Higher scores indicate higher beliefs in fear and avoidance.22 It has been currently used in patients with shoulder pain.23

Kinesiophobia
The Tampa Kinesiophobia Scale assesses the individual’s fear of movement and the fear of pain recurrence.26 It has 17 questions that address pain and symptom intensity. The final score ranges from 17 to 68 points. Higher scores indicate higher kinesiophobia.26 It has been used in individuals with shoulder pain and has good reliability.23

Pain catastrophising
This scale contains 13 items divided into three domains: helplessness, magnification and rumination. Total scores ranges from 0 to 52. Higher values indicate higher degree of catastrophic thoughts.27 It has been currently used in patients with shoulder pain.28

Muscle strength
Strength of the shoulder abductors,30 external and internal rotators31 32 (figure 2) will be measured using a hand-held dynamometer (Lafayette Instrument Company, Lafayette, Indiana, USA). A submaximal repetition of each test will be performed for familiarisation. Next, three 5 s repetitions with a 1 min rest interval between repetitions will be performed. The order of the tests will be randomised.

Range of motion
Range of motion of active arm elevation in the sagittal and scapular planes as well as the painful arcs will be assessed using a digital inclinometer33 34 (AcumarTM Lafayette Instrument Company, IN, USA). Individuals will be asked to elevate their arm in the standing position.

Satisfaction
The Global Rating of Change Scale35 will be used to assess patient’s satisfaction with the treatment. It is a 15-point numeric scale ranging from −7 (vastly worse) to 0 (‘unchanged’) to +7 (‘vastly better’). Higher scores indicate better satisfaction. Participants will respond to the following question: ‘How satisfied are you with your shoulder?’ Nothing, a little, moderately or totally are the answer options to assess satisfaction.

Figure 2 Muscle strength of abductors (A), external rotators (B) and internal rotators (C) of the shoulder.
Random allocation
Patients will be randomly assigned to one of the two groups: Rotator Cuff Unloading Group or Rotator Cuff Loading Group. Randomisation will be computer based and carried out at a 1:1 ratio according to a random sequence generated by the website http://www.randomization.com, stratified by sex and age. An independent researcher, not involved in the treatment or assessment, will perform the randomisation process and prepare the sealed opaque envelopes with group allocation consecutively numbered. The envelopes will be securely stored and will be opened in sequence to reveal group allocation prior to the first treatment session by the researcher responsible for the treatments.

Blinding
The assessor will be blinded to treatment group assignment and the patients will be treated individually and blinded to the study hypothesis.

Interventions
Each intervention program will consist of 24 supervised sessions, which will be executed two times per week for 12 weeks. Both groups will receive advice with respect to pain control, posture and range of motion. Adherence to treatment and assessment sessions will be encouraged at each session.

The exercise programme for both groups will be based on a systematic review, providing a continuum of exercises, from low rotator cuff to high rotator cuff load. The selected exercises for the Rotator Cuff Unloading Group will be based on a muscle activation equal to or less than 20% of the supraspinatus maximal activity. The selected exercises for the Rotator Cuff Loading Group will be based on a muscle activation equal to or greater than 40% of the supraspinatus maximal activity. All exercises will be performed in 3 sets of 10 repetitions with a 1 min interval between repetitions.

Rotator cuff unloading exercise program
Patients in this group will perform four exercises: semi-closed kinetic chain elevation, deltoid reeducation, assisted arm elevation and an exercise for scapular control. The exercises and their progressions are described in online supplemental appendix.

Rotator cuff loading exercise program
Patients in this group will perform conventional exercises focusing on external rotation, internal rotation and arm elevation. The exercises and their progressions are described in online supplemental appendix.

Participant timeline
The flow chart summarising procedures and patients is shown in figure 1.

Sample size
The sample size calculation was based on the smallest significant difference of 282.6 points from the WORC with an standard deviation of 400 points, a power of 80%, and a significance level of 5%. WORC was selected because it evaluates the quality of life of individuals with rotator cuff disease. Accounting for a 15% drop-out, 78 subjects will be included in the study, randomly allocated to two treatment groups: Rotator Cuff Unloading Exercise Programme (n=39) and Rotator Cuff Loading Exercise Programme (n=39).

Data management, monitoring and sharing
All data from recruitment, characteristics of the individuals who will complete or drop-out the study will be entered into an electronic form by the researchers, and the integrity and validity of the data will be verified. Identification of possible patients will be done only by the researchers. The research team is trained to address the eligibility criteria during the contact about survey made by email, phone calls and messages. Also, the team is trained about how and when to contact them for follow-up and data collection.

The results of this article will be shared (text, tables, figures, appendices) immediately after publication. No interim analyses have been planned. To request data you will need to sign a data access agreement and the request can be made by email. The changes made to the protocol will be communicated to ethics committee and also be included in the clinical trial register.

Patient and public involvement
The patients were not involved in the design, will not be involved in the conduction and dissemination of the research. The results will be sent by email or telephone in an unscientific language so that all patients understand the study's conclusions and know how to maintain self-care.

Statistical analysis
For data analysis, the statistical program Statistical Package for the Social version 23 will be used. Continuous data will be presented as mean, SD and mean difference between groups with 95% confidence interval, and categorical by frequency and percentage. Data normality will be verified by Kolmogorov Smirnov test and observation of histograms for each variable in each group. Statistical analysis will follow the principles of intention-to-treat analysis. Linear mixed models will be used to calculate differences between groups using the terms of group interaction (Rotator Cuff Unloading Group vs Rotator Cuff Loading Group) vs time (pre-treatment, 12 weeks at the end of treatment and a month after the end of treatment) for each variable.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
Ethical aspects
This study was approved by the Human research Ethics Committee of the University (CAAE:
Dissemination
The study will be disseminated through publication in peer-reviewed international journals, as well as presentations at national and international conferences.

DISCUSSION
Potential impact and significance of the study
Degenerative tears in the rotator cuff is a common finding in imaging studies due to the natural ageing process. There is evidence that therapeutic exercises should be the first treatment option for these patients because the surgical approach is not clinically superior than the non-surgical approach in this population. Several exercise protocols have been proposed for this population. However, there is no consensus on which exercises or exercise programs are the most effective. This fact challenges the physical therapist in the clinical decision making. Thus, the results of this study will likely contribute to the physical therapy’s decision making on exercise prescriptions to individuals with rotator cuff tears.

Strengths and weaknesses of the study
The strength of the current study is that it is a randomised controlled trial that has been prospectively registered. Furthermore, the study includes concealed allocation and an intention-to-treat approach. The sample size has been calculated to provide appropriate statistical power to detect differences between the two treatment programs.

The assessor responsible for collecting outcome data will be blinded to treatment group assignment. Physical therapists responsible for treatment have similar clinical experience and have been trained by the main author of the study. However, the study has some limitations. Participants and therapists cannot be blinded. Both exercise programs include home guidelines, which depend on each participant’s motivation. It is not possible to predict the amount of home guidelines and cryotherapy that will be performed by each group.

Contribution to the physical therapy profession and to patients
The results of this study will provide scientific basis to support physical therapists in the treatment of individuals with rotator cuff tears, helping in the choice of exercises and their progression. In addition, the findings may also help healthcare providers and patients with rotator cuff tears to reduce health costs, avoiding the need for surgery and the use of analgesic drugs. Participants will be taught how to modify their daily activities by modifying the movements and postures that appear to increase shoulder symptoms. The participants also receive a series of exercises to be performed at home in the follow-up. It is expected that patients will become independent and more empowered with good quality of life and function of the upper limbs.

Finally, the findings of the current study may contribute to a better understanding of the efficacy of exercise program for individuals with rotator cuff tears.
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