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Abstract This study aimed to evaluate the experience of distance university education using the zoom application from the viewpoint of Amman Arab University students. The study sample consisted of (326) male and female students. To achieve the aim of the study, the researchers prepared a measure to verify the degree of student satisfaction with the distance university education experience and its constraints from their viewpoint. After verifying its validity and reliability, the measure was applied to the study sample. The results showed that there was a moderate degree of satisfaction among students about the distance university education experience, and there were many obstacles faced by students during this experience; the most prominent of which were frequent internet outages during distance learning and students' failure to take notes. The finding also showed that there were statistically significant differences in the degree of satisfaction with the distance education experience attributable to the faculty and in favor of the human faculties, and the presence of statistically significant differences in the level of obstacles faced by students of human faculties during the distance education experience compared to scientific faculties, and the absence of relevant differences Statistical significance attributed to gender or academic program.
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1. Introduction

The world witnessed a great development in technology and modern means of communication, which was reflected in the diversity of learning forms and methods. The uses of distance education have also increased significantly, as higher education institutions have become dependent on this pattern more in line with the technological and technical development in the world in all aspects of life, to meet the social and economic changes and requirements in society, which contributes to achieve the educational goals of the educational system and the development of society.

The technological revolution has led to the emergence of many styles and methods of teaching and learning, which necessitated the provision of a multi-source electronically rich environment that facilitated the learner the possibility of research and self-development. E-learning has become the main engine for all sciences and keeping pace with its developments. To achieve this, it was necessary to have proper planning and good preparation for computerized programs, applications and educational materials, in order to improve the educational outcomes of students and to enable the teachers to achieve all educational outcomes [5].

Distance education is one of the practical applications on e-learning that aims to liberate education from restrictions, and calls for the principle of equal opportunities and
expansion in education, and the provision of education to
the individual regardless of age. This type of education
concerned with the use of technical resources to make the
learning process more flexible from the boundaries of
space, time, content, testing and attendance. Thus, the
opportunity of education is provided for people, who
cannot access the traditional education system because of
the economic, geographical, social or vocational situation.
The success of this type of education depends on the
readiness of university, the readiness of faculty members,
the extent to which they have the necessary competencies
to implement distance education, the readiness of learners
themselves and their possession of the necessary
competencies that enable them to deal with technology [12].
Also, the availability of the state’s infrastructure with the
necessary equipment in cooperation with various
telecommunications companies to operate at full
operational capacity, especially in emergency conditions
such as the Corona pandemic (COVID-19) that the world is
exposed to this period is an important factor for the success
of the distance learning experience in order to be the best
and alternative option for regular education on campus.

With reference to the literature review and according to
what the researchers pointed out, we find that there is no
comprehensive definition of distance education. Among
the definitions of distance education is the definition of
Sadeghi [15] since he defined it as “an educational system
based on the delivery of educational material to the learner
through various technical means, so that the learner is far
and separated from the teacher, and the union of the
physical place is not required during the learning process.”

The definition of UNESCO [16] as distance education
focuses on open access to education and the provision of
training, freeing learners from the constraints of time and
space, and providing flexible learning opportunities for
individuals and groups of learners using two-way
communication.

It should be noted that distance education with all its
advantages does not negate the presence of such obstacles
facing the teacher and the learner alike, among these
obstacles are the lack of expertise and competencies in the
field of e-learning management, the inability to provide
rapid maintenance of the devices in some remote places,
the difficulty of accepting the change of the idea of
traditional education and moving to distance e-learning, the
Poor availability of the necessary financial capabilities and
infrastructure to start work in e-learning, and the large
number of students in the classroom reduces the teacher’s
ability to communicate with all students, and this is
reflected negatively on educational outcomes [13,14].

There are other challenges facing education, such as
financial and administrative challenges, professional
challenges, and challenges related to the management,

design and evaluation of e-learning. Also, the weakness of
social awareness about distance education is a factor that
contributes to impeding implementation, as some faculty
members are reluctant to adopt this type of education and
their desire to continue in traditional education, because
dealing with the distance education system requires them
effort, planning and good preparation in advance [2].

Study Questions and Research Problems

The Corona Virus pandemic has cast a shadow over the
public and higher education sectors; all educational
institutions were forced to close their doors to limit the
chances of the virus spreading, and this decision raised
great concern among workers and students about their
work, study and future if this closure continues for a long
time.

It is possible that the decision to activate distance
education and rely on it in teaching with educational
institutions worldwide can be taken to ensure the continuity
of the educational process. But with the existence of
simultaneous global conditions, the demand for distance
education has increased for all students in universities and
schools and that required good preparation by the
university and provided the necessary capabilities for the
successful implementation of distance education for all
students without the occurrence of technical problems or
external obstacles, and this is what required the necessity of
not relying on Learning Management Systems only as part
of its educational system, but rather to search for various
electronic educational applications or platforms, such as:
(ZOOM, MICROSOFT TEEMS) to implement the
learning process and assess students.

This has created a major challenge for students and the
faculty in dealing with distance education technology as
the only option to complete the educational process. This
study came to stand on the experience of distance
education through the application (zoom cloud meeting)
that was adopted at Amman Arab University, and to reveal
the degree of satisfaction of university students with this
experience and the obstacles they faced during that
experience.

This study aimed to answer three research questions:

What is the degree of student satisfaction with the
distance education university experience using the
zoom application?

What are the obstacles that students faced during the
distance education university experience using the
Zoom application from their point of view?

Are there statistically significant differences in the
degree of student satisfaction with the distance
education university experience, and the obstacles
that they encountered attributable to the variables of
(college, program, and gender)?

Research Significance

The importance of this study is represented in that it
revealed the experience of university education remotely,
the degree of student satisfaction with it, and the challenges
they faced during its implementation. Thus, it provides feedback to decision makers in universities in general and Amman Arab University in particular to reveal the strengths and weaknesses of the distance education experience and the obstacles to its implementation from the students’ point of view, especially in light of its application in emergency circumstances, and this leads to improving the quality of services provided to students through the education platform, and reduce obstacles to using it to achieve a high degree of satisfaction with this type of education by the targeted.

Study Terms and Definitions

University distance education: It is the educational process that is implemented simultaneously from a distance using the Zoom application while communicating with university students to deliver educational content to them in different geographical locations in an interactive way using multiple educational media.

Distance education obstacles: These are all problems and difficulties that a university student faces during his/her learning through the distance education system, and these barriers are determined by the degree of student response in the field of distance education barriers that include a measure of distance learning experience prepared by researchers.

2. Limitations of the Study

This study was limited to Amman Arab University students in the bachelor’s and master’s degrees for the second semester of the academic year (2019/2020), and the results of this study were determined by the reliability and validity of the study tool that was prepared to achieve this study and the sample that will be applied to it.

3. Literature Review

Bray, Aoki, & Dlugosh [7] conducted a study aimed at revealing the opinions of students enrolled in a distance-university degree program at a Japanese university accredited this program. The study sample consisted of (424) male and female students. To achieve the goal of the study, the researchers conducted a questionnaire to explore students’ satisfaction with distance learning and their preferences. The results showed that students were generally satisfied with their distance learning and learning satisfaction was higher for students who could persevere in facing the challenges of distance learning, followed by students who found computers easy to use, then students who found it easy to interact with teachers, and in the last rank came students who did not prefer social interaction with others when learning.

Awad and Hills [3] conducted a study aimed at identifying the trend of graduate students in Palestinian universities towards distance learning technology. The sample of the study consisted of (91) male and female students studying postgraduate studies in the colleges of education in the Palestinian universities. To achieve its goals, a measure developed towards distance learning technology. The results showed that the attitudes of graduate students in Palestinian universities towards distance learning technology were positive. The results also showed that there were statistically significant differences in the responses of graduate students towards distance learning technology attributed to the university variable and in favor of the Islamic University. Moreover, the results showed that there were no statistically significant differences in their responses attributed to gender, educational level, and general assessment at the level of the tool as a whole.

Kuo, Walker, Bellard & Schroder [10] conducted a study aimed at predicting student satisfaction about online learning at Western University. The study sample consisted of (291) male and female students; they were all undergraduate and graduate students in the College of Education at Western University. To achieve the goal of the study, an online survey is conducted for these students. The results showed that there was a good degree of satisfaction for students, and the strongest indicator was the index of the learner’s interaction with the learning content, and this confirms the importance of interaction in online learning, while interactions between students and self-organized learning did not contribute to achieving student satisfaction. The results also showed a significant impact of gender and the level of the class on the interaction of the learner - the learner, and the effect of the time spent each week on the Internet was largely influencing the Internet self-efficacy and self-regulation.

There are other studies that aimed to reveal the obstacles of distance learning among university students, including the study of Hamid [8], which aimed to know the problems that hinder the process of distance education in Sudanese universities. The study followed the descriptive approach. The study sample consisted of (1000) students chosen from Sudanese universities. To collect data, the researcher used a questionnaire. The results showed that the most problems that hinder the course of distance education in Sudanese universities were the ones related to the academic decisions, followed by the problems related to the administrative and financial laws and regulations of the Sudanese universities, then the problems related to admission and registration procedures, followed by the problems related to activating multimedia, while the problems related to the local community view and directions came in the last rank.

BaniYassin and Melhem [4] conducted as study aimed to reveal the obstacles facing the use of e-learning faced by school teachers for the first district of Irbid, and the impact of both gender, educational qualification and practical experience on that. The sample of the study consisted of
(186) male and female teachers. After analyzing the results of the study, they indicated that all the items of the questionnaire formed obstacles to e-learning, and that there were statistically significant differences in the obstacles of e-learning attributed to the gender variable and in favor of males, and this indicates the ability of females to practice distance education more than males. The results also showed that there were no statistically significant differences attributable to the variables of the educational qualification and the number of years of experience.

Based on the foregoing, the results of the following studies Awad and Hilles [3]; Kuo.Y, et al [10]; Bray, Aoki, & Dlugosh [7] showed that there were positive attitudes and a good level of satisfaction with the distance learning experience of foreign and Arab university students. While the results of the study of Berry, Aoki and Dlugosh [7] showed that there were challenges facing the distance learning experience in universities, most notably the nature of the courses, followed by problems related to laws and administrative regulations. This study comes uniquely to reveal the degree of satisfaction of graduate students at Amman Arab University in Jordan about the experience of distance teaching, which has become mandatory in light of the Corona pandemic (COVID-19), for the purposes of improving and developing the process of distance teaching at this university and come up with recommendations related to this experience that can be circulated to other universities.

4. Research Methodology

This study used the analytical descriptive approach because of its suitability for the purposes of study.

Study Population

The study population consisted of all students of Amman Arab University who were enrolled in master's and bachelor's programs in all colleges at the Amman Arab University and they were (2130) male and female students for the academic year (2019/2020).

Sample of The Study

The study sample consisted of (326) male and female students with (15%) from the graduate student community in Amman Arab University, and the sample was chosen in an accessible way, and a Google Drive link of the electronic scale was sent to the university faculty members to send it to their students. Table (1) shows the distribution of the sample population according to the college and gender variable:

| The variable          | Humanity | Scientific |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|
| the college           | 200      | 126        |
| Total                 | 326      |            |
| The variable          | Male     | Female     |
| Gender                | 129      | 197        |
| Total                 | 326      |            |
| The variable          | Postgraduate | Bachelor   |
| the program           | 225      | 101        |
| Total                 | 326      |            |

Study Tools

To achieve the objectives of this study, the scale of distance university education experience was developed.

The scale of distance university education experience

This scale was developed by referring to theoretical literature and previous studies, such as Allen, Bourhis, & Mabry[1] and Beketova, Leontyeva, Ubanova [6]. The scaled reached (40) items, and the scale consisted of two fields: (Satisfaction with distance education and obstacles of distance education.) The researchers used a quintet Likert scale to answer it.

Verifying the Validity of the scale

To verify the validity of the scale content, the scale was presented to a group of nine referee with expertise in the Arabic language, measurement and evaluation, curricula and methods of teaching science. To ensure the items' validity and clarity, the referees' opinions and suggestions were collected and some of the items were amended. The scale in its final form yielded (33) items.

Indicators of the Validity of structure

To verify the validity of structure, they were verified by finding the parameters of the items correlation with the total score, and the results showed that the values of correlation coefficients were higher than (0.30), which indicates that the test has the validity of a suitable structure.

Verifying the Reliability of the scale:

To verify the consistency of scale, two ways were used. The first one was stabilization by repetition; through applying the study tool to a survey sample consisting of (25) male and female students from outside the main study sample. After two weeks have passed, the application has re-applied to the sample members themselves and the correlation coefficient between the two applications calculated. The second way was the internal consistency by the Cronbach alpha method. Table (2) shows these values.
Table 2. The values of reliability coefficients using the test-retest method and the internal consistency by the Cronbach alpha method

| Features                        | Stability (repeat method) | Cronbach Alpha |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Satisfaction with distance education | 0.82                      | 0.79           |
| Distance education obstacles    | 0.77                      | 0.80           |

Table (2) shows that the value of the reliability and internal consistency factors were all suitable for the purposes of the current study.

5. Results and Discussion

The results of answering and discussing the first study question, which states: What is the degree of student satisfaction with the experience of university education after using the Zoom application?

Arithmetic averages and standard deviations were extracted to the degree of student satisfaction with the distance university education experience as shown in Table (3).

Table 3. The Arithmetic averages and standard deviations for the degree of student satisfaction with the distance education university experience are in the descending order

| Number | Item                                                                 | Mean   | Standard deviation | Rank | Degree |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------|--------|
| 1      | I can easily access the educational material                         | 3.79   | 1.24               | 1    | High   |
| 12     | Distance learning saves time and effort                              | 3.76   | 1.31               | 2    | High   |
| 19     | I can easily communicate with my teacher                            | 3.76   | 1.26               | 3    | High   |
| 17     | I feel easily integrated lecture                                     | 3.69   | 1.20               | 4    | High   |
| 20     | I can send and receive educational materials without hindrance       | 3.66   | 1.33               | 5    | Moderate|
| 22     | Distance education accompanies and employs electronic programs and applications while implementing content | 3.60   | 1.24               | 6    | Moderate|
| 4      | Sufficient information has been provided for the use of the educational material website | 3.58   | 1.30               | 7    | Moderate|
| 18     | The teacher provides us with a registration of the educational material | 3.57   | 1.32               | 8    | Moderate|
| 10     | There are exercises and assignments that help me learn               | 3.53   | 1.28               | 9    | Moderate|
| 6      | Distance education helps the learners to develop themselves          | 3.50   | 1.34               | 10   | Moderate|
| 2      | Distance learning gives me the courage to participate in the lecture | 3.44   | 1.32               | 11   | Moderate|
| 15     | Distance learning gives me the courage to participate in the lecture | 3.44   | 1.25               | 12   | Moderate|
| 25     | Distance education has improved my thinking skills                    | 3.44   | 1.22               | 13   | Moderate|
| 23     | I can attend the lecture without interruption                        | 3.40   | 1.28               | 14   | Moderate|
| 5      | Evaluation takes place in different ways                             | 3.39   | 1.24               | 15   | Moderate|
| 14     | Distance learning enables me to interact with the lecturer           | 3.36   | 1.24               | 16   | Moderate|
| 24     | My evaluation is done continuously during the education process      | 3.35   | 1.29               | 17   | Moderate|
| 7      | There are those who help me in the case of any problems concerning entering the distance learning | 3.34   | 1.31               | 18   | Moderate|
| 16     | The used assessment methods are appropriate                          | 3.34   | 1.40               | 19   | Moderate|
| 3      | The available electronically material is sufficient                  | 3.27   | 1.39               | 20   | Moderate|
| 8      | I feel comfortable with the distance learning experience             | 3.23   | 1.35               | 21   | Moderate|
| 26     | The displayed content is interesting and not boring                  | 3.22   | 1.39               | 22   | Moderate|
| 13     | Distance learning helps me to understand the educational material    | 3.13   | 1.35               | 23   | Moderate|
| 11     | Distance learning takes into account individual differences          | 3.01   | 1.31               | 24   | Moderate|
| 21     | I can focus more on distance learning than class lecture             | 2.90   | 1.43               | 25   | Moderate|
| 9      | E-learning is not different from class lecture                       | 2.58   | 1.50               | 26   | Moderate|

Total degree 3.24 1.04 Moderate
It is noted from the results of Table (3) that the degree of satisfaction of Amman Arab University students with distance education in general was medium, with an arithmetic average of (3.24) and a standard deviation of (1.04); item (1) ranked first which states "I can easily access the educational material" with an arithmetic average of (3.79) and with a standard deviation of (1.24), followed by items 12 and 19 that they stated "distance learning saves time and effort", "I can easily communicate with my teacher" with an equal arithmetic average of (3.76), while item (9) came at the last rank, which stated that "e-learning is not different from education in the classroom" with an arithmetic average of (2.58) and a standard deviation (1.50) and with a moderate degree. The researchers attribute this result to the effectiveness of distance education, especially in the case that there are emergency conditions in communicating the educational content of students and enabling them to obtain this content at any time compared to the traditional education that depends on the presence of learners in the classroom. Moreover, distance education saves both the time and effort of the faculty members the students to display the content electronically at any time and places. This result is consistent with the results of the study of Awad and Hilles [3]; Kuo, Y., et al [10]; Bray & et al [7] whose results showed the extent of students' satisfaction with the distance education university experience and its importance in achieving interaction between the parties of the educational process during Learning.

The results of answering and discussing the second study question, which states: What are the obstacles that students faced during the distance education university experience using the Zoom application from their point of view?

To answer this question, arithmetic averages and standard deviations were extracted for students' responses to the field of distance university education as shown in table (4).

It is noted from the results of Table (4) that the obstacles of distance university education which students faced during their learning came in general with a moderate degree, where the total arithmetic average of the obstacles reached (3.41) and with a standard deviation of (0.98). The obstacle mentioned in item (28) came first, which stated that "there is often an interruption with the Internet" with an arithmetic average of (3.94) and with a high degree, followed by item (32) in the second rank which states "I cannot take any notes during the class time" with an arithmetic average of (3.72) and with a high degree. Whereas, item (34) came to the last rank, which stated, “do not think that the remote tests assess my achievement well “with an arithmetic average of (2.97) and with a moderate degree. The researchers attribute these results to the fact that the distance education experience is a new experience in our universities that has been resorted to and relied on completely without prior preparation by all universities, including the Arab Amman University, to face the emergency conditions that coincided with the spread of the pandemic Corona in the world, which led to a huge pressure on the telecommunications sector, which resulted in many technical problems related to the provision of the service and its quality in many regions. In addition to that, they attributed them to the Poor efficiency and readiness of faculty and students to implement distance education. This result is in line with the results of previous studies such as the studies of Hamid [8]; BaniYassin and Melhem[4] that indicated that there are several obstacles facing distance education in universities and schools, since teachers and students need to be prepared in advance to implement distance learning and education effectively.

Table 4. Arithmetic Averages and Standard Deviations of Student Responses to the Field of Obstacles to Distance Education Experience in Descending Order

| Number | Item                                                                 | Mean  | Standard deviation | Rank | Degree   |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|------|----------|
| 28     | Internet outages often occur                                         | 3.94  | 1.18               | 1    | High     |
| 32     | I cannot take any notes during the classroom time                    | 3.72  | 1.14               | 2    | High     |
| 33     | The lecturer cannot answer all students' questions                   | 3.65  | 1.27               | 3    | Moderate |
| 31     | The teacher starts the lecture late                                  | 3.58  | 1.23               | 4    | Moderate |
| 30     | I don't feel that I have an educational atmosphere during distance learning | 3.48  | 1.34               | 5    | Moderate |
| 27     | I hardly follow up distance learning lectures because of the confusion around me | 3.41  | 1.37               | 6    | Moderate |
| 29     | I trouble hearing the voice of the lecturer                          | 3.24  | 1.31               | 7    | Moderate |
| 35     | I cannot make better use of my time with distance learning           | 3.24  | 1.46               | 8    | Moderate |
| 34     | I do not think that the remote tests assess my achievement well      | 2.97  | 1.30               | 9    | Moderate |
|        | Total degree                                                         | 3.41  | 0.98               |      | Moderate |
The results of answering the third study question, which states: Are there statistically significant differences in the degree of student satisfaction with the distance university education experience, and the obstacles that they encountered during it are attributed to the variables of (College, Program and Gender)?

To answer this question, arithmetic averages and standard deviations were calculated for the degree of satisfaction with distance learning and the obstacles that students faced according to the college, program and gender. Tables (5, 6, 7) show that

| Dependent variable | Mean  | Standard deviation |
|--------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Satisfaction with distance learning | Humanity 3.48 | 0.98 |
|                       | Scientific 2.99 | 0.86 |
| The Obstacles of distance learning | Humanity 3.49 | 0.83 |
|                       | Scientific 3.33 | 0.96 |

| Field                       | Program | Mean  | Standard deviation |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| Satisfaction with distance learning | Bachelor 3.40 | 0.87 |
| The Obstacles of distance learning | Bachelor 3.47 | 0.79 |

| Field                       | Program | Mean  | Standard deviation |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| Satisfaction with distance learning | Male 3.29 | 0.78 |
| The Obstacles of distance learning | Male 3.46 | 0.98 |

The results of the tables (5,6,7) show that there are apparent differences between the arithmetic averages at the total degree according to each of the program, (Bachelor, Master), the college (human, scientific) and gender (male, female). To find out whether these differences are statistically significant, multiple variance analysis was extracted and Table (8) shows that.

| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Squares Average | f | Significance level |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|
| Gender              | Satisfaction with distance education | .927 | 1 | .927 | 1.038 | .309 |
|                      | Obstacles of distance education | 1.100 | 1 | 1.100 | 2.171 | .142 |
|                      | Total degree | .970 | 1 | .970 | 1.340 | .248 |
| The college         | Satisfaction with distance education | 15.897 | 2 | 7.949 | 8.900 | .000 |
|                      | Obstacles of distance education | 4.861 | 2 | 2.430 | 4.797 | .009 |
|                      | Total degree | .212 | 1 | .212 | .237 | .626 |
| The program         | Satisfaction with distance education | .010 | 1 | .010 | .019 | .890 |
| Error               | Obstacles of distance education | 286.697 | 321 | .893 | | |
|                      | Total degree | 162.618 | 321 | .507 | | |
| Total               | Satisfaction with distance education | 4077.231 | 326 | | | |
|                      | Obstacles of distance education | 4046.432 | 326 | | | |
The results of Table (8) show that there are no differences attributed to gender and the academic program on the total degree and fields, while the results show that there are statistically significant differences attributed to the college at the total degree and the fields in favor of the human colleges. The researchers attribute this result to the nature of learning and the specificity of the courses in human colleges, which do not require laboratories or practical application compared to the scientific colleges, and therefore their satisfaction with this experience was higher. At the same time, the difficulties that they faced were more than other colleges, especially the obstacles arising from the difficulty of dealing with software and computers or accessing the web, due to the nature of their human specialties that do not need to deal with computers. In addition to that, the weakness of these students in dealing with technology compared to students of the scientific colleges. These results were consistent with what was indicated by BaniYassin and Melhem study [4], which showed that there were no statistically significant differences attributed to gender or experience in using e-learning as well as the results of the Awad and Hilles study[3], which revealed that there were no statistically significant differences in dealing with distance learning technology attributed to gender, educational qualification and experience.

**Recommendations**

In light of the results of the study, the researchers recommend the following:

- Encouraging the faculty members in universities and training them to implement distance education in teaching their courses, and urging them to spread the culture of distance learning among students.
- Raising the readiness of local communication companies and expanding the scope of internet service to all regions with the highest quality.
- Conducting more studies on the effectiveness of distance education compared to traditional education on other variables.
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