Role of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in advanced carcinoma of the hypopharynx and larynx

Poonam Joshi, Amit Joshi, Vanita Norohna, Pankaj Chaturvedi, Vijay Patil, Jai Prakash Agarwal, Shashikant Juvekar, Kumar Prabhash

Abstract

Background: To assess the response rate and impact of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) in advanced carcinoma of the hypopharynx and larynx. Materials and Methods: This is a retrospective case series of 80 patients with locally advanced laryngopharynx carcinoma who received NACT from April 2010 to October 2011 at our tertiary care center. The patients received NACT either for achieving resectability or for organ preservation. Results: Majority of the patients (60%) had T4 disease. Grade 3 and 4 neutropenia was seen in 18%, febrile neutropenia in 4%, mucositis in 4%, diarrhea in 5%, and vomiting in 3% patients. Resectability could be achieved in 34%, and larynx was preserved in 51% patients at a mean follow-up of 13 months. Conclusions: NACT was safe with acceptable toxicity. Majority of the patients who achieved resectability had oropharyngeal involvement. NACT followed by concurrent chemoradiotherapy could provide a high rate of organ preservation.
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Introduction

Concurrent chemoradiotherapy is the treatment of choice for locally advanced laryngeal-hypopharyngeal cancers for organ preservation.\(^1\) Surgery remains the choice of treatment in locally advanced laryngeal-hypopharyngeal malignancies when organ preservation is not feasible. However, treatment guidelines fail to define borderline resectable T4 lesions which may not be amenable for surgical excision. These include advanced laryngeal or hypopharyngeal tumors with either of the below mention criteria:

1. Exolaryngeal spread either via the laryngeal membranes without cartilage erosion or through cricothyroid space
2. Extension to oropharynx or with the involvement of prevertebral fascia or parapharyngeal space.

Surgery in such situations often leads to positive margins and the morbidity of extensive surgery is unacceptably high. In cases of bulky N3 nodes treated with concurrent chemoradiotherapy, response rates are poor.\(^5\) Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) is also one of the modality of treatment recommended by NCCN for advanced laryngeal-hypopharyngeal cancers. The hypothesis of the present study is that NACT may be useful in selecting patients for appropriate definitive treatment depending upon the response to chemotherapy.

Materials and Methods

This is a retrospective analysis of 80 patients with locally advanced carcinoma of the hypopharynx and larynx, who received NACT from April 2010 to October 2011 at our tertiary care center. The medical details of these patients were retrieved from medical records of the hospital. Cases were selected based on the following eligibility criteria: (1) Biopsy confirmed squamous cell carcinoma of the hypopharynx and larynx (2) all these patients were treatment Naïve at presentation, (3) patients with bulky T3 disease or with inner cartilage erosion/T4 disease with extensive soft tissue involvement, and (4) patients with N3 nodes or nodes with restricted mobility or skin involvement.

Of the total 99 patients who were treated, 80 patients were eligible for analysis as complete clinical data was available in these 80 patients. Twelve patients started chemotherapy at our hospital but did not complete treatment due to logistic reasons and took treatment at their local place, details of which are not available. Rest 7 patients, did not come for a single follow-up postdefinitive treatment, and no further details about them were available. Hence, they were excluded from the study.

A complete medical history of the patients was obtained. Complete preoperative evaluation was done in all patients including a direct laryngoscopy, imaging with contrast enhanced computed tomography (CT) scans, magnetic resonance imaging, or positron emission tomography scans whenever indicated.

NACT was given either as two-drug (platinum + taxane) or three-drug combination (platinum + taxane + 5 fluorouracil [5FU]). These patients were not suitable for definitive treatment at presentation due to advanced disease.

These patients were divided into two groups depending upon the intent of giving NACT: (a) Group 1 (35/80) - The intent of giving NACT was to achieve resectability. Patients with extensive soft tissue/skin involvement, Oro-pharyngeal involvement, cartilage erosion with extensive soft tissue disease were included. These patients had gross cartilage erosion, exolaryngeal disease and nonfunctional larynx (tracheostomized). Hence, these patients were considered for surgery. Patients with T4 disease and nonfunctional larynx undergo standard surgery at our center. (b) Group 2 (45/80) - The intent of giving NACT was to achieve Organ preservation. Patients with bulky disease, inner cartilage erosion, exolaryngeal disease without cartilage erosion, N3 nodes or nodes with restricted mobility/skin involvement were included.

The primary objectives of the study were resectability and organ preservation.

Secondary objectives were response rate of tumor to NACT, side effects, progression-free survival, overall survival. Resectability was defined as tumors in which adequate margins...
could be achieved post-NACT. Organ preservation was defined as the treatment with chemoradiotherapy with preservation of larynx.

NACT was given as two or three drug as 3 weekly regimen with cisplatin and docetaxel as 75 mg/m² each on day 1 and 5-fluorouracil as 750 mg/m² as 24 h intravenous (IV) infusion for 5 days for three cycles. Patients receiving three-drug regimens were given granulocyte-colony stimulating factor prophylactically from day 6 to 12. Tablet levofloxacin 500 mg once daily was given for same duration as a primary prophylaxis for bacterial infections. Carboplatin as AUC 6 was given to older individuals or those with compromised glomerulo-filtration rate of <60 ml/min.

All patients received standard prophylactic 5-HT₄ antagonists (ondansetron/granisetron). Patients receiving cisplatin, in addition, received neurokinin 1 receptor antagonist aprepitant for delayed emesis. All patients received premedication for taxanes in the form of H₂ blockers (ranitidine), antihistaminics (phenargan), and dexamethasone. Patients who completed two cycles of NACT were included in the study.

In majority of patients, two drugs were used due to logistic reasons and economic constraints. Poor performance status and low creatinine clearance were other reasons. Cisplatin/carboplatin + docetaxel/paclitaxel were used in two drug regimen, and 5FU was used in addition in three-drug regimen.

Clinical response and toxicities were assessed on day 8 and 20 of the cycle. Post 2 cycles of NACT, patients were assessed for further management in multidisciplinary joint clinic. Response assessment was done with RECIST criteria 1.1. All CT scans were evaluated by a senior radiologist pre- and post-NACT. Side effects were assessed with common toxicity criteria version 4. Dose reduction was done for Grade 3 or 4 toxicities. Patients with sufficient tumor shrinkage underwent surgery in the first group. In the other group, where the intent was organ preservation, patients were considered for concurrent chemoradiotherapy/radiotherapy.

Those patients who had progressive disease (PD) were treated with palliative radiotherapy/chemotherapy or best supportive care. All patients were followed up till progression, recurrence or death whichever occurred first. Censoring of data was done on March 2013, and data were analyzed after updating the records through electronic medical records.

Tumor responses were assessed by clinical evaluation and imaging studies done 12 weeks after the completion of chemoradiotherapy. Patients were monitored every 3 monthly for recurrence for first 2 years by clinical examination or imaging if required. In our study, the follow-up varied from 2 months to 27 months.

Statistical analysis was done using the software SPSS 20.0 (IBM, NY, USA).

Calculation of values was done in percentages. Survival was calculated with Kaplan–Meier analysis [Figures 1–4].

**Results**

The mean age of the population was 54 years (range - 22–80 years). Pyriform sinus was involved in 61% and supraglottic larynx in 19% patients. Sixty percent of the tumors were T4a, and 6% were T4b. The tumor, nodal status and stage of the disease and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scale are described in Table 1. The median hemoglobin of the population was 12.35 g/dl (8.9–17.2 g/dl), and median albumin was 4 mg/dl (2.7–4.7 mg/dl).

Patients were given NACT due to various reasons as shown in Table 2. Sixty-seven patients received two cycles of chemotherapy, and 13 patients received three cycles. Sixty patients received two drug regimen and 20 received three-drug regimen. Either docetaxel or paclitaxel was used [Table 3].

Response to NACT and suitability for resectability or organ preservation were assessed at the completion of two cycles of NACT in the two groups. The overall response rate (complete response [CR] + partial response [PR]) was 58% with 5% CR and 53% PR rate. Thirty-seven patients had stable disease, and 5% had PD. The response assessment when done separately for primary and nodes was 71% and 59%, respectively.

The Grade 3 and 4 toxicities of NACT were neutropenia in 18%, febrile neutropenia in 4%, mucositis in 4%, diarrhea

| Table 1: Demographic details (n=80) |
|------------------------------------|
| **Age Variables** | **Age in years** | **Number of patients** | **Percentage** |
| **Age (years)** |  |  |  |
| Mean | 54 | 90 |
| Median | 54 | 10 |
| Range | 22-80 |  |
| **Sex** |  |  |
| Male | 72 | 90 |
| Female | 08 | 10 |
| **Site of the disease** |  |  |
| Pyriform sinus | 49 | 61 |
| Postcricoid | 07 | 09 |
| Posterior pharyngeal wall | 03 | 04 |
| Supraglottis | 15 | 19 |
| Glottis | 05 | 06 |
| Subglottis | 01 | 01 |
| **Tumor status** |  |  |
| T1 | 01 | 01 |
| T2 | 04 | 05 |
| T3 | 22 | 28 |
| T4a | 48 | 60 |
| T4b | 05 | 06 |
| **Nodal status** |  |  |
| N0 | 23 | 29 |
| N1 | 10 | 13 |
| N2a | 10 | 12 |
| N2b | 15 | 19 |
| N2c | 09 | 11 |
| N3 | 13 | 16 |
| **Staging** |  |  |
| Stage III | 06 | 07 |
| Stage IVa | 56 | 70 |
| Stage IVb | 18 | 23 |
| **ECOG performance status** |  |  |
| 0 | 06 | 07 |
| 1 | 68 | 86 |
| 2 | 06 | 07 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 |

ECOG=European Cooperative Oncology Group
in 5%, and thrombocytopenia in 1% patients. Other side effects included nausea, anorexia, hiccough, renal dysfunction, hypokalemia, and hyponatremia. The toxicities are elaborately described in Table 4.

Post-NACT, 12 patients (12/35) underwent radical treatment in the form of total laryngectomy or total laryngectomy with partial/total pharyngectomy in the first group. All patients had R0 resection. The margins were wide in 10 patients, close in 2 patients. Four patients achieved complete pathological response with NACT.

All 12 patients received adjuvant postoperative chemoradiation or radiotherapy. Weekly cisplatin at a dose of 30 mg/m² was given as an IV infusion for 1 h period for 6–7 weekly doses during the course of radiotherapy. The definitive curative radiation dose administered to the primary tumor was between 66 and 70 Gy, administered as fractions of 2 Gy/day 5 days/week.

Four patients received palliative radiotherapy and 1 patient symptomatic care in view of progressive, unresectable disease. Remaining 18 patients were discussed in joint clinic and were given chemoradiotherapy or radiotherapy with mean dose of 60–70 Gy.

Following NACT, resectability was achieved in (12/35) 34% patients. The reasons for achieving resectability were shrinkage in tumor size, disappearance of diffuse edema/inflammation of the overlying skin or soft tissues thus enabling resection with free margins. Majority of these patients had disease extending to oropharynx (50%, 6/12). Two patients developed nonsalvageable recurrences, one locally and the other regionally extending to oropharynx (50%, 6/12). Two patients developed distant metastasis. Eighty-three percent (10/12) patients in nonsalvageable recurrences, one locally and the other regionally extending to oropharynx were disease free at a mean follow-up of 12 months.

In the other group, where the intent was organ preservation, 29 patients received radical chemoradiotherapy and 10 patients received radical radiotherapy. One patient received only 40 Gy dose of radiotherapy as he developed trachea-esophageal fistula. One received palliative radiation therapy due to the progression of nodal disease, and encasement of carotid artery and one patient was considered for symptomatic care due to the progression and fungation of nodal disease. One patient died due to septicemia following febrile neutropenia post-NACT. Two patients denied further treatment although, both had achieved PR.

Salvage total laryngectomy was done for one patient who had resectable residual disease at the primary site and neck dissection in 1 patient, postradiotherapy.

The larynx preservation rate was calculated for those patients only where the initial intent was organ preservation. Hence, larynxes were preserved in 51% (23/45) patients and were disease-free after a mean follow-up of 13 months.

The pattern of failure was mostly regional followed by local recurrence. The patterns of failure, shown in Table 5, show the site of first failures. Four patients who did not receive definitive treatment post-NACT were excluded from this analysis.

The median progression-free survival (PFS) in the first group where the intent of NACT was resectability was 20 months and in those where the intent was organ preservation was 19 months respectively. The mean overall survival was 22 months, and median was not reached in both the groups.

### Discussion

In view of the advanced stage at the time of presentation, hypopharyngeal cancers carry a poor prognosis. NACT has been used advanced head and neck cancers with the aim of organ preservation and for the achievement of resectability. Majority of international trials have used three-drug TPF regimen for induction. However, we have used a two-drug regimen of taxane and platinum in majority patients. The two drug regimen was found to be cheaper, easy to administer, less toxic, and has reasonably good response. The response to NACT was in accordance with the RECIST criteria 1.1. We observed that taxanes have similar efficacy but lesser toxicity as compared to conventional regimens of platin with FU. In our series, the overall response rate was 58%, including 5% CR and 53% PR rate. TAX 324 reported 72% and 64% response rates with TPF and PF, respectively. Toxicities were much less in our series with Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia in 18% and thrombocytopenia in 1% patients, whereas in TAX 324 trial, the Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia was 83% in TPF and 56% in PF. The Grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia was 11% and 4%, respectively. This could be attributed to use of two drugs and two cycles of NACT in majority of the patients in our study.

Although all patients had advanced stage disease, the two groups were different. The first group had cartilage erosion,
regimen in majority of the patients and retrospective nature of the study. As data are sparse in literature about the management of moderately and very advanced laryngopharyngeal cancers, this data will be a good guide for the clinicians in making decisions.

**Conclusion**

NACT is a safe and feasible method with acceptable toxicity. It can be used to achieve resectability in advanced cancers of the larynx and hypopharynx with oropharyngeal involvement. NACT followed by concurrent chemoradiotherapy could provide a high rate of organ preservation in advanced cancers of larynx and hypopharynx.
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Advanced techniques and advanced skills are mutually reinforcing, most likely to be effective for which patients in which settings.

Earlier studies showed that the prognosis of patients with metastatic primary breast-cancer. The tumor was, therefore, confirmed as having metastasized from the primary breast-cancer and it expressed strong hormonal-receptor-positivity (ER and PR). The pancreatic metastases were found similar to the primary breast-cancer and it expressed immunohistochemistry, which showed that pancreatic-metastases to surgical resection without significant morbidity.

To differentiate between pancreatic primary versus secondary is a metastatic processes is confined to the pancreas and amenable to surgical resection. However, surgical procedures demands expertise and there are associated with significant morbidity and mortality risk; hence, these should be mainly reserved for the case of metastatic processes limited to the pancreas and amenable to surgical resection without significant morbidity.
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