Lipoprotein(a): Evidence for Role as a Causal Risk Factor in Cardiovascular Disease and Emerging Therapies
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Abstract: Lipoprotein(a) (Lp(a)) is an established risk factor for multiple cardiovascular diseases. Several lines of evidence including mechanistic, epidemiologic, and genetic studies support the role of Lp(a) as a causal risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and aortic stenosis/calcific aortic valve disease (AS/CAVD). Limited therapies currently exist for the management of risk associated with elevated Lp(a), but several targeted therapies are currently in various stages of clinical development. In this review, we detail evidence supporting Lp(a) as a causal risk factor for ASCVD and AS/CAVD, and discuss approaches to managing Lp(a)-associated risk.
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1. Introduction

Lipoprotein(a) (Lp(a)) is a lipid-carrying particle composed of a low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-like particle containing apolipoproteinB-100 (apoB) linked by a disulfide bond to apolipoprotein(a) (apo(a)). Apo(a) contains varying numbers of three-dimensional structures called kringles [1]. Lp(a) is primarily genetically determined through the LPA gene, and variants in the LPA gene are associated with cardiovascular disease [2,3]. Elevated Lp(a) is highly prevalent, occurring at levels >30 mg/dL in an estimated 35% of individuals and at levels >50 mg/dL in 24% of individuals [4]. Smaller isoforms of apo(a) are associated with higher Lp(a) levels. Importantly, the apo(a) isoform size and Lp(a) levels vary by ethnicity [5].

The normal physiological function of Lp(a) is unknown [1]. However, Lp(a) is associated with increased risk for several cardiovascular diseases (CVD), including coronary artery disease (CAD)/atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) [2], aortic stenosis/calcific aortic valve disease (AS/CAVD) [6], ischemic stroke [7,8], heart failure [9], atrial fibrillation [10], and peripheral arterial disease [11]. Lp(a) is associated with risk for CAD through multiple mechanisms (Figure 1) including atherogenesis mediated by apoB [12], vascular inflammation mediated by its carriage of oxidized phospholipids (OxPL) [13–16], and anti-fibrinolytic effects that may be related to the homology of apo(a) with plasminogen [17]. Lp(a) is associated with risk for AS/CAVD through the pro-inflammatory and pro-calcification effects of OxPL that are likely able to enter the aortic valve through binding by apo(a). Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2) and autotaxin, enzymes present on Lp(a), are also likely involved in the pathogenesis of AS/CAVD [18]. This review will summarize the evidence for Lp(a) as a causal risk factor for ASCVD, as well as current and emerging therapies for elevated Lp(a).

International society guidelines differ in their recommendations for Lp(a) testing; however, multiple international societies recommend testing in all individuals. The 2019 American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) Primary Prevention Guideline [19] and the 2018 Multi-Society Cholesterol Guideline [20] both recommend using Lp(a) as a risk enhancer to guide therapy among borderline and intermediate risk individuals, particularly among individuals with a family history of premature
CVD. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) dyslipidemia guideline recommends measurement of Lp(a) once in every adult’s lifetime [21]. Similarly, the Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) dyslipidemia guideline recommends testing once for all individuals and use of Lp(a) as a risk modifier [22]. There is a need for standardization of Lp(a) measurement as there are multiple different assays and methods available [23]. Lp(a) measurement using an isoform-insensitive assay that is reported in nanomoles per liter (nmol/L) is recommended [24]. A recent study described a novel method for directly measuring Lp(a) cholesterol (Lp(a)-C) which also enables correction of LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) as standard methods for measuring LDL-C also include Lp(a)-C [25].

Figure 1. Mechanisms of cardiovascular risk related to Lp(a). Lipoprotein(a) and its individual components are associated with cardiovascular disease through multiple overlapping mechanisms. Lp(a) is composed of apolipoproteinB100 (apoB100) and apolipoprotein(a) (apo(a)), both of which contain oxidized phospholipids (OxPL). The apoB100 contributes to atherogenesis through similar mechanisms as low-density lipoprotein (LDL), including vessel wall binding, smooth muscle cell proliferation, foam cell formation and necrotic core formation. OxPL contribute to vascular inflammation through increased transmigration and cytokine production by monocytes as well as upregulation of inflammatory genes. Lp(a) contributes to aortic valve calcification as apo(a) binds to fibrin on injured aortic endothelium, and OxPL promote calcification and bone formation via vascular interstitial cells and upregulation of reactive oxygen species and proinflammatory cytokines in macrophages. Finally, apo(a) contributes to thrombosis by inhibiting fibrinolysis through competitive inhibition of tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) activation of plasminogen to plasmin and plasminogen binding to fibrin as well as promoting increased platelet activity.
2. Lipoprotein(a) and Risk for Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease

Lp(a) is well established as a likely causal risk factor for ASCVD based on epidemiologic and genetic studies (Table 1).

| Meta-Analyses Author | Year | Key Findings |
|----------------------|------|--------------|
| The Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration [26] | 2009 | Lp(a) associated with CHD (RR per SD 1.13, 95% CI 1.09–1.18) Ischemic stroke (RR per SD 1.10, 95% CI 1.02–1.18) |
| O’donoghue, et al. [27] | 2014 | Lp(a) associated with MACE in population with CAD: Highest quintile: OR 1.40, 95% CI 1.15–1.71 |
| Willeit, et al. [28] | 2018 | Lp(a) associated linearly with CVD at baseline and on statin therapy in statin outcomes trials On statins: Lp(a) 15–30 mg/dL: HR 0.95 (95% CI 0.82–1.11) Lp(a) 30–50 mg/dL: HR 1.08 (95% CI 0.95–1.23) Lp(a) ≥50 mg/dL: HR 1.42 (95% CI 1.16–1.74) |

| Epidemiologic Studies Author | Year | Key Findings |
|-----------------------------|------|--------------|
| Bennet, et al. [29] | 2008 | Top tertile of Lp(a) associated with CHD with OR 1.60 (95% CI 1.38–1.85) |
| Kamstrup, et al. [30] | 2008 | Lp(a) associated with risk for MI in men and women: Women > 95th percentile: HR 3.6 (95% CI 1.7–7.7) Men > 95th percentile: HR 3.7 (1.7–8.0) |
| Virani, et al. [31] | 2012 | Highest quintile of Lp(a) associated with incident CVD risk in: Black individuals (HR 1.35, 95% CI 1.06–1.74) White individuals (HR 1.27, 95% CI 1.10–1.47) |
| Paré, et al. [32] | 2019 | Lp(a) > 50 mg/dL associated with increased risk of MI (OR 1.48, 95% CI 1.32–1.67) overall and in all ethnic groups studied except African and Arab individuals |
| Jin, et al. [33] | 2019 | Lp(a) ≥50 mg/dL associated with increased risk of CVD in: Pre-diabetes (HR 2.67, 95% CI 1.38–5.42) Diabetes (HR 3.47, 95% CI 1.80–6.69) |
| Patel, et al. [34] | 2021 | Lp(a) associated with increased ASCVD risk with HR 1.11 (95% CI 1.10–1.12) per 50 nmol/L increment Consistent results seen in White, South Asian, and Black individuals |

| Genetic Studies Author | Year | Key Findings |
|------------------------|------|--------------|
| Clarke, et al. [2] | 2009 | LPA locus had strongest association with coronary disease in large study of candidate SNPs LPA SNP rs10455872 OR 1.70 (95% CI 1.49–1.95) for coronary disease LPA SNP rs3798220 OR 1.92 (95% CI 1.48–2.49) for coronary disease |
| Kamstrup, et al. [35] | 2009 | Higher levels of Lp(a) and lower number of kringle IV repeats associated with greater MI risk: >95th percentile of Lp(a): HR 2.6 (95% CI 1.6–4.1) 1st quartile of KIV-2 repeats: HR 1.5 (95% CI 1.2–1.9) |
| CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortium [3] | 2013 | LPA SNP rs3798220 associated with CAD: OR 1.28 (p < 0.001) |
| Kamstrup, et al. [36] | 2013 | Addition of Lp(a) levels, KIV-2 repeats and carrier status for LPA SNP rs10455872 to traditional risk factors all improved risk prediction for MI and CHD |
| Kyriakou, et al. [37] | 2014 | A null allele (LPA SNP rs41272114) was associated with decreased Lp(a) levels and decreased CAD risk |
| Lim, et al. [38] | 2014 | Splice variants of Lp(a) associated with reduced Lp(a) levels and protection against CVD (OR 0.84, p < 0.001) |
| Lee, et al. [5] | 2016 | Lp(a) levels and SNPs vary by ethnicity. The addition of SNPs to Lp(a) levels did not appear to be clinically meaningful. |
| Salaheen, et al. [39] | 2017 | OR per 1-SD increment of Lp(a) for MI 1.10 (95% CI 1.05–1.14) |

CAD = coronary artery disease, CHD = coronary heart disease, CVD = cardiovascular disease, KIV = kringle 4, MACE = major adverse cardiovascular events, MI = myocardial infarction, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism.
2.1. Epidemiologic Studies of Lp(a) and Risk for ASCVD

Multiple prospective and epidemiologic studies including different populations have demonstrated an association between Lp(a) and various ASCVD outcomes. In a study of individuals with myocardial infarction (MI)/coronary heart disease (CHD) and controls from the Reykjavik Study, the top tertile of Lp(a) was independently associated with CHD risk (OR 1.60, 95% CI 1.38–1.85) [29]. In a large meta-analysis published in 2009, the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration evaluated over 30 prospective studies including over 120,000 participants and demonstrated an association between higher Lp(a) levels and CHD and ischemic stroke [26]. A meta-analysis of 11 studies of individuals with CVD demonstrated an association between Lp(a) and CVD risk (highest quintile of Lp(a) OR 1.40, 95% CI 1.15–1.71) [27].

The association between Lp(a) and ASCVD risk has been shown across several different populations. In the Copenhagen City Heart Study (CCHS), Lp(a) was associated with MI in both men and women in a stepwise manner. In women, the 95th percentile of Lp(a) was associated with an HR of 3.6 (95% CI 1.7–7.7); in men, it was associated with an HR of 3.7 (95% CI 1.7–8.0) [30]. In Black and White participants from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study, Lp(a) levels were positively associated with CVD (coronary heart disease and ischemic stroke) events in a graded manner [31]. In a more recent study of seven ethnic groups, Lp(a) >50 mg/dL was associated with risk for myocardial infarction (overall OR 1.48, 95% CI 1.32–1.67). Again, a graded association between Lp(a) levels and outcomes was seen. Elevated Lp(a) was associated with increased risk in Chinese, European, Latin American, South Asian, and Southeast Asian individuals, but not in African or Arab individuals. The greatest population-attributable risk was noted in those of South Asian and Latin American descent [32]. In a very large recent study, however, the association between Lp(a) and CVD events was similar in White, South Asian and Black individuals, despite marked differences in median levels within these ethnic groups [34].

Lp(a) ≥ 50 mg/dL is also associated with increased risk for MI, stroke, and cardiovascular mortality in those with diabetes mellitus as well as pre-diabetes, with a graded association noted from Lp(a) 30–50 mg/dL and Lp(a) ≥50 mg/dL [33]. In a meta-analysis of statin outcome trials using individual patient level data from over 29,000 participants, Lp(a) was associated linearly with risk for CVD at baseline and on statin therapy [28]. Key features of these epidemiologic studies are the graded association between Lp(a) and events, suggestive of a true biological phenomenon, and the relative consistency across groups, including diverse racial/ethnic groups.

2.2. Genetic Studies of Lp(a) and Risk for ASCVD

Genetic studies have been critical in establishing Lp(a) as a likely causal risk factor for ASCVD with a robust evidence base. In a large genetic study of over 48,000 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from 2100 genes in over 3000 participants with coronary disease and over 3000 controls, the region of the LPA gene had the strongest association with coronary disease. In particular, the rs10455872 and rs3798220 SNPs were identified and both were associated with increased Lp(a) levels and with positive odds ratios for CAD of 1.70 (95% CI 1.49–1.95) and 1.92 (95% CI 1.48–2.49), respectively [2]. In another study, both plasma Lp(a) levels and Lp(a) kringle IV type 2 (KIV-2) size polymorphism genotype were associated with risk for MI [35]. In a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of CAD in >60,000 CAD cases and >130,000 controls, the LPA SNP rs3798220 was again associated with CAD with an OR of 1.28 (p < 0.001) [3]. A prospective study of >8000 Danish individuals demonstrated that the addition of Lp(a) levels ≥80th percentile, number of KIV-2 repeats, and carrier status for the LPA SNP rs10455872 improved MI and coronary heart disease (CHD) risk prediction in addition to traditional risk factors [36]. In a case-control study of individuals with CAD, an LPA null allele (rs41272114) was evaluated. The null allele was associated with decreased Lp(a) levels, as well as decreased CAD risk, compared to noncarriers [37]. Another study demonstrated that splice variants in LPA, associated with reduced Lp(a) levels, were protective against cardiovascular disease [38]. LPA SNPs...
have also been shown to vary by ethnicity [5]. A recent Mendelian randomization study observed an OR per 1-SD increment in Lp(a) of 1.10 (95% CI 1.05–1.14) for MI [39].

The risk associated with Lp(a) has also been evaluated recently in the context of other risk factors. Lp(a) is independently associated with CVD even when accounting for family history of CHD [40]. The use of apolipoproteinB100 (apoB) as a risk marker has been a source of considerable interest recently. In one study, the risk associated with Lp(a) persisted when adjusting for apoB, while the risk associated with LDL-C was attenuated. These results suggest that apoB does not sufficiently encompass Lp(a)-associated risk [41]. Finally, one study evaluated CVD risk associated with Lp(a) stratified by high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), given the inflammatory risk associated with Lp(a). In this study, the independent risk associated with Lp(a) was only present with elevated hsCRP levels; however, this requires further study [42].

Lp(a) has been identified as a risk factor for ASCVD in many epidemiologic studies, often in a dose-dependent fashion, suggesting a pathophysiologic mechanism. Genetic studies have strengthened the evidence for Lp(a) as a causal risk factor, particularly Mendelian randomization studies that reduce confounding.

3. Lipoprotein(a) and Risk for Aortic Stenosis/Calcific Aortic Valve Disease

The other disease with which Lp(a) is most often associated is aortic stenosis (AS), or calcific aortic valve disease (CAVD). A number of epidemiologic and genetic studies support the association between Lp(a) and aortic valve calcification, AS, and progression of AS (Table 2).

Table 2. Key studies of Lp(a) as a risk factor for calcific aortic valve disease.

| Author            | Year | Key Findings                                                                 |
|-------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Lp(a) and AV Sclerosis** |      |                                                                             |
| Gotob, et al. [43]| 1995 | Greater prevalence of aortic valve sclerosis in individuals with Lp(a) ≥ 30 mg/dL (36.1%) compared with <30 mg/dL (12.7%, p < 0.001) |
| Stewart, et al. [44]| 1997 | Lp(a) associated with increased risk for aortic valve stenosis or sclerosis (OR 1.23, 95% CI 1.14, 1.32) |
| Torzewski, et al. [45]| 2017 | Lp(a) and associated molecules including OxPL detected in AV leaflets of individuals with calcific AS |
| **Lp(a) and AV Calcification** |      |                                                                             |
| Bozbas, et al. [46]| 2007 | Lp(a) independently associated with AVC (OR 1.01, 95% CI 1.00–1.03)           |
| Vongpromek, et al. [47]| 2015 | OR per 10 mg/dL increase in Lp(a) 1.11 (95% CI 1.01–1.20) for AVC by CT         |
| Bouchareb, et al. [48]| 2015 | Lp(a) transports autotaxin to the AV which contributes to inflammation and calcification of the valve |
| Despres, et al. [49]| 2019 | In individuals without clinical AS, elevated Lp(a) associated with AV microcalcification by PET/CT |
| Zheng, et al. [50]| 2019 | Higher Lp(a) and OxPL levels associated with greater aortic valve calcification activity by PET/CT. Lp(a) induces osteogenic differentiation of vascular cells, mediated by OxPL |
| **Lp(a) and AS** |      |                                                                             |
| Glader, et al. [51]| 2003 | Lp(a) ≥ 48 mg/dL associated with increased risk for AS (OR 3.4, 95% CI 1.1–11.2) |
| Kamstrup, et al. [52]| 2014 | Lp(a) associated with AS in a graded fashion: >95th percentile of Lp(a) (>90 mg/dL): OR 2.9 (95% CI 1.8–4.9) |
| Arsenault, et al. [53]| 2014 | Top tertile of Lp(a) associated with increased risk for AS: HR 1.57, 95% CI 1.02–2.42 |
| Langsted, et al. [54]| 2015 | Each 1-SD increase in Lp(a) associated with HR 1.23 (95% CI 1.06–1.41) for AS |
Table 2. Cont.

| Author                     | Year | Key Findings                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| OxPL-apoB and AS           |      |                                                                                                                                            |
| Kamstrup, et al. [55]       | 2017 | Dose-dependent association between OxPL-apoB and CAVD For ≥95th percentile of levels, OR 3.4 (95% CI 2.1–5.5)                                   |
| Que, et al. [56]            | 2018 | Inactivation of OxPL reduces development of AV calcification and AV gradient in mice                                                      |
| Lp(a), OxPL-apoB and AS Progression |      |                                                                                                                                            |
| Capoulade, et al. [57]      | 2015 | Top tertile of Lp(a) (OR 2.6, 95% CI 1.4–5.0) and top tertile of OxPL-apoB (OR 2.4, 95% CI 1.2–4.6) associated with rapid AS progression       |
| Capoulade, et al. [58]      | 2018 | Lp(a) (OR 1.10, 95% CI 1.03–1.19 per 10 mg/dL increase) and OxPL-apoB (OR 1.06, 95% CI 1.01–1.12 per 1 nM increase) levels linearly associated with faster AS progression, especially in younger participants. |
| Zheng, et al. [50]          | 2019 | Higher Lp(a) and OxPL levels associated with faster progression of AV calcium score by CT and hemodynamic progression by echocardiography    |
| Genetic Associations       |      |                                                                                                                                            |
| Thanassoulis, et al. [6]    | 2013 | rs10455872 associated with AVC in GWAS (OR per allele 2.05, p < 0.001) LpA genotype associated with incident AS (HR per allele 1.68, 95% CI 1.32–2.15) and AV replacement (HR 1.54, 95% CI 1.05–2.27) |
| Kamstrup, et al. [52]       | 2014 | Genotypes corresponding with Lp(a) levels associated with increased risk of AS (HR 1.6, 95% CI 1.2–2.1 per 10-fold Lp(a) increase)             |
| Arsenault, et al. [53]      | 2014 | Carriers of rs10455872 SNP have increased risk of AS: Heterozygous: HR 1.78, 95% CI 1.11–2.87 Homozygous: HR 4.83, 95% CI 1.77–13.20          |
| Langsted, et al. [54]       | 2015 | Causal risk ratio for AS based on LpA SNPs (rs3798220, rs10455872): 1.38 (95% CI 1.23–1.55) Causal risk ratio for AS based on LpA KIV-2 genotype: 1.21 (95% CI 1.06–1.40) |

AS = aortic stenosis, AV = aortic valve, AVC = aortic valve calcification, CT = computed tomography, GWAS = genome-wide association study, KIV = kringle 4, OxPL = oxidized phospholipids, OxPL-apoB = oxidized phospholipids on apolipoprotein B, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism.

3.1. Epidemiologic, Imaging, and Mechanistic Studies of Lp(a) and Calcific Aortic Valve Disease

Lp(a) has been associated with aortic valve sclerosis for many years, raising suspicion for Lp(a) as a cause of AS. In 1995, the prevalence of aortic valve sclerosis was observed to increase in association with Lp(a) levels [43]. In the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS), Lp(a) was also associated with increased risk for aortic valve sclerosis or stenosis [44]. Importantly, Lp(a) and Lp(a)-associated molecules (e.g., OxPL) have been detected in the AV leaflets of individuals with calcific AS [45].

Lp(a) has also consistently been associated with aortic valve calcification (AVC) through imaging and basic studies, which may link Lp(a) and AS pathophysiologically. In an echocardiographic study, Lp(a) levels were independently associated with AVC [46]. In asymptomatic individuals with familial hypercholesterolemia, Lp(a) was significantly associated with AVC by computed tomography (CT) [47]. In another study utilizing 18F-sodium fluoride positron emission tomography (PET)/CT, elevated Lp(a) was associated with AV microcalcification even before the development of clinical AS [49]. Another PET/CT study similarly demonstrated that higher Lp(a) and OxPL levels were associated with increased AV calcification activity [50]. Autotaxin, transported by Lp(a) to the aortic valve, also promotes inflammation and calcification of the aortic valve [48].

In 2003, a study of individuals with severe AS and age-matched controls observed an association between elevated Lp(a) (≥48 mg/dL) and risk for AS [51]. In a very large study of two prospective cohort studies, Lp(a) was significantly associated with AS in a dose-dependent fashion [52]. In the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC)-Norfolk Study, the top tertile of Lp(a) levels was associated with increased risk for
AS [53]. In another large study, each standard deviation increase in Lp(a) was associated with an HR of 1.23 (95% CI 1.06–1.41) for AS [54].

Oxidized phospholipids, which are carried by Lp(a), are also implicated in AS, likely due to their role in inflammation and calcification [57]. In addition to Lp(a), OxPL are detected in the AV leaflets of individuals with calcific AS [45]. In a mouse model, inactivation of OxPL resulted in decreased AV calcification and reduced the development of AV gradients [56]. In humans, Lp(a) induces osteogenic differentiation of vascular cells, which is mediated by OxPL and inhibited by inactivating OxPL, again providing a possible mechanism for the link between Lp(a) and AS [50]. OxPL-apoB levels are also associated with risk for calcific aortic valve disease in a dose-dependent manner [55].

Lp(a) is also associated with faster progression of AS, which may be particularly meaningful clinically. In a study of individuals with mild-to-moderate AS in the ASTRONOMER trial, individuals in the top tertile of Lp(a) levels and OxPL-apoB levels had greater risk for rapid progression [57], and Lp(a) and OxPL-apoB levels were linearly associated with faster progression [58]. Higher Lp(a) and OxPL levels are also associated with increased progression of aortic valvular calcium score by CT and faster hemodynamic progression by echocardiography, as well as greater risk for aortic valve replacement and death [50].

3.2. Genetic Studies of Lp(a) and Calcific Aortic Valve Disease

Genetic studies have again been critical for establishing Lp(a) as a likely causal risk factor for AS and the only monogenic risk factor for AS. In a GWAS for AV calcification by CT, the \( \text{LP A} \) SNP rs10455872 was identified as the only SNP to meet genomewide significance. The \( \text{LP A} \) genotype was also associated with incident AS and the need for AV replacement [6]. In a Mendelian randomization study, the rs10455872 variant was also strongly associated with increased risk for AS with greater risk among homozygous carriers than heterozygous [53]. In a study incorporating multiple SNPs, genotypes corresponding with Lp(a) levels were associated with an increased risk for AS [52]. In another Mendelian randomization study, the \( \text{LP A} \) SNPs rs3798220 and rs10455872 and the \( \text{LP A} \) KIV-2 genotype were associated with AS [54].

In conclusion, a large body of evidence has established Lp(a) as a likely causal risk factor for calcific aortic valve disease and AS. Lp(a) and OxPL are associated with aortic valve calcification, even before the development of clinical AS, and are found in calcified aortic valve leaflets. \( \text{LP A} \) gene variants are similarly associated with calcification. Clinically, Lp(a), OxPL, and \( \text{LP A} \) variants are associated with the incidence of AS, in a dose-dependent manner, as well as the risk for progression of AS.

4. Current Therapies and Lipoprotein(a)

While there are no medications specifically approved in the United States for risk associated with elevated Lp(a), a number of currently available therapies have been evaluated (Table 3). Statins are a cornerstone of therapy for prevention of cardiovascular disease. However, statin therapy does not lower Lp(a) and may even increase it [59]. Of particular importance is that CVD risk associated with elevated Lp(a) persists in statin-treated patients with an HR of 1.43 (95% CI 1.15–1.76) for Lp(a) ≥ 50 mg/dL in statin-treated patients compared with an HR of 1.31 (1.08–1.58) prior to statin initiation in statin clinical trials [28]. Additionally, statins have not shown a benefit for reducing the progression of AS [60], and this may be partially explained by their lack of effect on Lp(a). Thus, while statins are an important therapy for primary and secondary prevention of CVD, they do not address Lp(a) levels and Lp(a)-mediated risk. Ezetimibe has also been evaluated, resulting in a 3% decrease in Lp(a) at 12 weeks [61] in one study and a 29% reduction at 12 weeks in another [62]. However, these data are limited, particularly in regard to their impact on outcomes.
### Table 3. Current and emerging therapies for Lp(a).

| Drug | Target | Mechanism | Effect on Lp(a) | CVD Outcomes |
|------|--------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|
| **Lipid Lowering Therapy** | | | | |
| Statins | HMG-CoA reductase | Inhibit cholesterol production | Do not lower Lp(a) levels, may increase Lp(a) [59] | Reduced ASCVD risk, but Lp(a) associated risk persists in statin treated individuals [28] |
| Ezetimibe | Nieman Pick C1-like1 protein | Reduces absorption of cholesterol in the small intestine | Limited data (possible 3–29% decrease in Lp(a)) [61,62] | No known effect on Lp(a)-associated risk |
| Niacin | Multifactorial | Downregulates LPA gene promotor and reduces apoB and triglycerides, increases HDL [63] | AIM-HIGH: 21% reduction in Lp(a), low absolute reduction [64]; HPS2-THRIVE: low absolute reduction [65] | AIM-HIGH trial: no effect on CVD events [64]. HPS2-THRIVE: no overall effect of niacin on major vascular events [65] |
| Mipomersen | apoB | Anti-sense inhibitor of apoB synthesis | Reduces Lp(a) by median 26% [66] | Unclear effect on CV outcomes. Risk of liver toxicity |
| Lomitapide | Microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTP) | Inhibition of MTP inhibits transfer of lipids onto apoB | Reduces Lp(a) by 17% [61] | Unclear effect on CV outcomes. Risk of liver toxicity |
| PCSK9i (alirocumab, evolocumab, inclisiran) | PCSK9 | Inhibit degradation of LDL-receptor | Reduce Lp(a) by 19–27% [67–69] | Limited data, however, reduction in Lp(a) associated with a reduction in CVD events (15% per 25 nmol/L in FOURIER, 0.6% per 1 mg/dL in ODYSSEY OUTCOMES) [67,68], but may not address inflammatory risk associated with OxPL [70] |
| Lipoprotein apheresis | apoB-containing lipoproteins | Removal of apoB-containing lipoproteins from plasma | Immediate reduction in Lp(a) levels up to 75%, with 30–35% time-averaged reduction when performed every 1–2 weeks [71] | Reduction in Lp(a) and LDL-C translates into significant reduction in MACE events in observational studies [72,73]. MultiSELECT is an ongoing multicenter prospective study [74] |
| **Anti-platelet therapy** | | | | |
| Aspirin | COX (cyclooxygenase) [75] | Reduces platelet aggregation through irreversible inhibition of thromboxane A₂ | – | In White women carriers of LPA rs3798220 SNP, aspirin associated with significant reduction in CVD risk (HR 0.44, 95% CI 0.20–0.94) in the Women’s Health Study [75]. Similar results in the ASPREE trial with the same SNP or high genetic risk score [76]. |
| Dual anti-platelet therapy (DAPT) | Multifactorial | Multifactorial | – | In CAD patients with Lp(a) >30 mg/dL who underwent PCI, DAPT >1 year resulted in a significant reduction in CVD events (HR 0.54, 95% CI 0.31–0.92) compared with DAPT ≤1 year [77]. |
Table 3. Cont.

| Current Therapies | Other | Possible Mechanism | Reduction in Lp(a) | Effect on CHD events |
|-------------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Hormone replacement therapy (estrogen) | – | Possibly through increased Lp(a) uptake by LDL receptor or decreased Lp(a) production [78] | Reduction in Lp(a) of 7.9 nmol/L [79] | No impact on CHD events |
| L-carnitine | – | Possibly related to fatty acid oxidation | Reduction in Lp(a) of 8.8 mg/dL [80] | Unclear effect on CV outcomes |

L-carnitine associated with increased CVD risk [81]

Emerging Therapies

| Drug | Target | Mechanism | Effect on Lp(a) | Current stage in development |
|------|--------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Pelacarsen | apo(a) mRNA | Antisense oligonucleotide (ASO), binds apo(a) mRNA, targets for degradation | Phase I: Dose-dependent, up to –77.8% [82,83]. Ligand-conjugated form: dose-dependent, up to –92% [83] | Phase III/cardiovascular outcomes trial underway (80 mg monthly subcutaneous injection vs. placebo) (NCT04023552). |
| Olpasiran | apo(a) mRNA | Small interfering RNA (siRNA), binds apo(a) mRNA, targets for degradation | Phase I: Maximum mean percent change in Lp(a) from baseline: –71% to –97% [85] | Phase II underway (NCT04270760) |
| SLN360 | apo(a) mRNA | siRNA, binds apo(a) mRNA and targets for degradation | Phase I: Maximal median percent reduction in Lp(a), dose-dependent, up to –98% [86] | Phase II planned for 2022 [87] |

apoB = apolipoprotein B, ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, CAD = coronary artery disease, CHD = coronary heart disease, CVD = cardiovascular disease, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, mRNA = messenger ribonucleic acid, PCSK9 = proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9.

Niacin has been shown to lower Lp(a) levels but without a clear impact on cardiovascular outcomes. In the AIM-HIGH (Atherothrombosis Intervention in Metabolic Syndrome with Low HDL/High Triglyceride and Impact on Global Health Outcomes) trial, participants with low baseline HDL-C and CVD were randomized to simvastatin plus placebo or simvastatin plus niacin with ezetimibe if needed. Niacin reduced Lp(a) by 21% at 1 year, but Lp(a)-associated risk remained with an on-study HR of 1.18 ($p = 0.03$) compared with a baseline HR of 1.25 ($p = 0.001$) [64]. In the HPS2-THRIVE study (Heart Protection Study 2-Treatment of HDL to Reduce Incidence of Vascular Events), individuals with vascular disease were randomized for extended release niacin and laropiprant (to reduce the side effects of niacin) or placebo. There was no overall effect on vascular disease, but there was a modest absolute reduction in Lp(a) [65]. It should be noted that in both studies, the absolute reduction in Lp(a) was low, and the trials were not designed to assess the impact of niacin on CVD risk in elevated Lp(a). Additionally, these trials highlight the potential risks for significant side effects from niacin.

Lipoprotein apheresis, through multiple available techniques, is very effective at lowering Lp(a) levels, with an acute reduction up to 75% and a reduction in mean concent-
trations between sessions of up to 40% [71]. A retrospective study in the U.S. of 14 patients with CVD and elevated Lp(a) (mean 138 mg/dL) with normal LDL-C (mean 80 mg/dL) observed a reduction of 63% in Lp(a) and 64% in LDL-C with lipoprotein apheresis, translating into a 95% reduction in MACE over 48 months [72]. In Germany, a prospective study of 170 patients with CVD and mean LDL-C 99 mg/dL and Lp(a) of 108 mg/dL observed a reduction in Lp(a) of 68% with a single treatment, and a reduction in the MACE annual event rate from 0.58 to 0.11 with regular lipoprotein apheresis [73]. These studies demonstrate that lipoprotein apheresis is very effective in reducing Lp(a) levels, which may translate into a reduction in CVD events, but data are limited. Lipoprotein apheresis is currently the only FDA-approved therapy for elevated Lp(a), but further study is needed. MultiSELECt (A European Multicenter Study on the Effect of Lipoprotein(a) Elimination by Lipoprotein Apheresis on Cardiovascular outcomes) is an ongoing prospective cohort study to evaluate the effect of lipoprotein apheresis on events in individuals with elevated Lp(a) [74].

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitors (PCSK9i) are one of the most promising therapies currently available for addressing Lp(a)-associated risk. In an analysis from the FOURIER (Further Cardiovascular Outcomes Research with PCSK9 Inhibition in Subjects with Elevated Risk) trial, evolocumab reduced Lp(a) levels by a median of 27%. In those with Lp(a) above the median, there was a more potent reduction in events with an absolute risk reduction of 2.5% compared to 1.0%. There was an estimated 15% lower risk per 25 nmol/L reduction in Lp(a) with adjustment for the change in LDL-C [67]. In an analysis of the ODYSSEY Outcomes (Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes After an Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment with Alirocumab) trial, alirocumab reduced Lp(a) by 23%, and a 1 mg/dL reduction in Lp(a) was associated with an HR of 0.994 (p = 0.008) [68]. Additionally, baseline Lp(a) levels predicted the risk reduction with alirocumab with a greater reduction in risk with increasing quartile of Lp(a) [88]. PCSK9i also significantly lower Lp(a) levels in addition to background niacin therapy [89]. Taken together, these studies suggest that PCSK9i reduce Lp(a) levels modestly, and the reduction in Lp(a) potentially translates into risk reduction independent of LDL-C reduction. A newer PCSK9i siRNA, inclisiran, was also shown to reduce Lp(a) by 19–22% in the ORION-10 and ORION-11 trials, but these trials were not designed to evaluate the effects of inclisiran on MACE [69]. Despite modest Lp(a) lowering, however, individuals treated with PCSK9i have evidence of residual vascular inflammation [90]. A recent study demonstrated that PCSK9i did not lower OxPL, despite Lp(a)-lowering, which may partially explain this residual inflammatory risk [70]. Recent society guidelines have incorporated the use of PCSK9i into recommendations for management of individuals with elevated Lp(a). The European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) dyslipidemia guidelines recommend consideration of PCSK9i in individuals with familial hypercholesterolemia and high Lp(a) (class IIa) [21], while the Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) guidelines recommend consideration of PCSK9i for secondary prevention in individuals with Lp(a) ≥60 mg/dL [22].

Multiple other therapies have been evaluated with regard to Lp(a), again with unclear impact on outcomes. Mipomersen, an anti-sense oligonucleotide (ASO) apoB synthesis inhibitor, resulted in a reduction in Lp(a) by a median of 26% in four phase 3 trials of individuals with various hypercholesterolemic conditions [66]. However, in transgenic mice, free apo(a) levels were unaffected with mipomersen [91], and the impact of these findings on outcomes is unclear. Lomitapide, an inhibitor of microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTP) that transfers lipids to apoB, resulted in a 16% reduction in Lp(a) in one trial, but the impact on clinical outcomes was not evaluated [61]. Mipomersen and lomitapide are approved for individuals with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia [92], but their use is limited by potential liver toxicity.

Finally, non-lipid therapies have also been studied for addressing Lp(a)-associated risk. Anti-platelet therapies have been evaluated for both primary and secondary prevention given Lp(a)’s association with coagulation and platelet aggregation pathways. For primary
prevention, aspirin was studied in a secondary analysis of the Women’s Health Study, which randomized healthy women to aspirin 100 mg every other day vs. a placebo. In over 25,000 White women who were genotyped, aspirin was associated with a dramatic reduction in CVD events among carriers of the \( LPA \) rs3798220 SNP (which was associated with 2-fold increased CVD risk in the placebo group) with an HR of 0.44 (95% CI 0.20–0.94). Aspirin use was not associated with a reduction in risk among non-carriers [75]. However, this SNP was only present in 3.7% of individuals, and the results are only generalizable to White women. A recent analysis of White participants in the ASPREE (Aspirin in Reducing Events in the Elderly) trial of aspirin for the primary prevention of CVD in healthy elderly individuals demonstrated similar findings. Carriers of the rs3798220-C variant or individuals with high genetic risk based on a genetic risk score had significantly increased risk of MACE in the placebo group, but not in the aspirin group, again suggesting that aspirin may benefit individuals with increased genetic risk associated with \( Lp(a) \) [76]. Further study is needed to evaluate the use of aspirin in association with plasma \( Lp(a) \) levels and in a broader population with modern background therapy. In terms of secondary prevention, a study of patients with CAD after PCI demonstrated that prolonged dual anti-platelet therapy (DAPT) >1 year resulted in a significant reduction in CVD events (HR 0.54, 95% CI 0.31–0.92) compared with DAPT \( \leq 1 \) year [77], again suggesting that there is a role for specific considerations related to anti-platelet therapy in this population. Hormone replacement therapy (HRT) has been a source of historical interest as it has previously been shown to lower \( Lp(a) \) levels. However, in a recent study of post-menopausal women, HRT resulted in a small reduction in \( Lp(a) \) levels, but did not result in a reduction in CHD events [79]. L-carnitine has also been associated with a reduction in \( Lp(a) \) levels. In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, L-carnitine was associated with a mean reduction in \( Lp(a) \) levels of 8.8 mg/dL (95% CI –10.1, –7.6 mg/dL), particularly with the oral formulation. However, the impact of this modest reduction on clinical events was not evaluated [80]. In addition, L-carnitine is associated with accelerated atherosclerosis and increased CVD risk [81].

Lifestyle interventions, while integral to general cardiovascular health, have not been shown to have a significant impact on \( Lp(a) \). A thorough review of non-genetic influences on \( Lp(a) \) levels was recently published [93]. In one study, intensive multifactorial lifestyle intervention including diet, exercise, and smoking cessation did not result in a change in \( Lp(a) \) levels. [94]. Several studies have observed changes in \( Lp(a) \) levels with various diets; however, the changes are almost universally modest. Diet has been shown to modestly influence \( Lp(a) \) levels. In general, \( Lp(a) \) levels do not vary significantly whether in a fasting or nonfasting state [95]. The composition of macronutrients in diet does appear to influence \( Lp(a) \) levels. A low carbohydrate diet resulted in a nearly 15% reduction in \( Lp(a) \) in one study [96]. Low and moderate fat diets have also been shown to result in modest reductions in \( Lp(a) \) [97]. Carbohydrate intake may have a greater influence on \( Lp(a) \) levels than fat intake, as a low-fat, high-carbohydrate diet increased \( Lp(a) \) levels compared to a high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet [98]. High-carbohydrate and high-protein diets increase \( Lp(a) \) more than high unsaturated-fat diets, but all the absolute increases are small [99]. The type of dietary fat also appears to be relevant. Reducing dietary saturated fat results in a small increase in \( Lp(a) \) levels [100], and replacement of saturated fat with monounsaturated fat intake results in an increase in \( Lp(a) \) levels (11%), but less so than replacement with carbohydrates (20%) [101]. The data are not entirely consistent, as a Mediterranean-style diet with increased monounsaturated fatty acids decreased trans-fat, increased protein, and decreased carbohydrate intake from baseline resulted in a significant decrease in \( Lp(a) \) levels; however, mean levels were not elevated to begin with [102]. In addition, alcohol consumption does not appear to be associated with \( Lp(a) \) levels [103]. Finally, physical activity does not appear to have a significant impact on \( Lp(a) \) levels [93].
5. Emerging Therapies to Lower Lipoprotein(a)

Targeted therapies to lower lipoprotein(a) are currently under development, with safety and efficacy being tested across phase I-III clinical trials (Table 3). Apo(a), as a key component of Lp(a), is the target of RNA-based therapeutics currently in phase II-III trials. The inhibition of apo(a) synthesis at the RNA level is a highly effective means of potently lowering circulating levels of Lp(a). Two methods of inhibiting the apo(a) mRNA have been Id: anti-sense oligonucleotides (ASO) and small-interfering RNA (siRNA). While different in their mechanism of targeting the apo(a) mRNA and promoting its degradation, both ASO and siRNA molecules are active in hepatocytes where their activity inhibits production of the downstream apo(a) protein and thus assembly of Lp(a) particles. A comprehensive review of the development and mechanism of these RNA-based therapeutics for Lp(a) was recently published elsewhere [92]. Here, we will summarize the key findings with regard to safety and efficacy of these emerging therapies.

Pelacarsen is an ASO targeting apo(a) administered by subcutaneous injection. The molecule has changed over time, for example, with the addition of ligand conjugation with N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNac), improving hepatocyte uptake, and allowing for lower doses of the drug to be administered. In a phase I study of a shorter-acting (second-generation) apo(a) ASO, 47 healthy volunteers with Lp(a) of at least 25 nmol/L were treated with either single dose or multiple (six) doses of the study drug vs. placebo. A single dose did not significantly lower Lp(a) at day 30, but a dose-response effect was observed after multiple doses at 36 days, up to a 77.8% reduction in Lp(a) from baseline (\( p = 0.001 \)). Mild injection site reactions occurred [82]. This second-generation ASO was subsequently studied in a phase II trial of participants with elevated Lp(a), while the next generation ASO (ligand conjugated) entered phase I. The ligand-conjugated form demonstrated significant dose-dependent reductions in Lp(a), at day 30 up to 92% (\( p = 0.0007 \) vs. placebo) [83]. The safety and efficacy of this ligand-conjugated form of the apo(a) ASO led to its further development and testing in a phase II trial. In phase II, this hepatocyte-directed form of the apo(a) ASO was studied in participants with a history of ASCVD and baseline Lp(a) of at least 150 nmol/L. At 6 months, a dose-dependent reduction in Lp(a) was observed with up to a 80% reduction for 20 mg administered weekly. Injection site reactions were the most common adverse events [84]. The equivalent to this 20 mg/week formulation was chosen for the phase III cardiovascular outcomes trial with pelacarsen, Lp(a) HORIZON, which is currently underway and studying the impact of 80 mg/month of pelacarsen vs. placebo on rates of recurrent ASCVD events in a secondary prevention population with baseline elevated Lp(a) (NCT04023552).

Olpasiran is a GalNac-conjugated siRNA targeting apo(a) administered by subcutaneous injection. In a phase I, single-ascending-dose study of olpasiran vs. placebo in participants with Lp(a) either \( \geq 70 \) and \( \leq 199 \) nmol/L (\( n = 40 \)) or \( \geq 200 \) nmol/L (\( n = 24 \)), the maximum mean percent change in Lp(a) from baseline ranged from \(-71\%\) to \(-97\%\). Of note, the maximum reduction in Lp(a) was observed between days 43 and 71. While Lp(a) levels gradually increased, they remained lower compared to the placebo group out to 225 days. Olpasiran was well-tolerated [85]. A phase II clinical trial with olpasiran (OCEAN(a)-DOSE) is currently underway involving participants with Lp(a) > 150 nmol/L and history of ASCVD (NCT04270760). Additional siRNA therapies targeting apo(a) mRNA are under development. In a phase I clinical trial of SLN360 (an siRNA targeting apo(a) mRNA) vs. placebo, participants with Lp(a) \( \geq 150 \) nmol/L were treated with single-ascending doses administered by subcutaneous injection. The maximal median percent reduction in Lp(a) was dose-dependent, up to \(-98\%\). The drug was generally well-tolerated; however, injection site reactions were reported [86].

Other targeted therapies for Lp(a) are in the early stages of development, including another siRNA, LY3819469, administered subcutaneously (NCT04914546). A phase I study of LY3473329, an oral medication targeting Lp(a), is also underway (NCT04472676). Thus, there is great interest in the continued development of compounds that can safely and potently lower Lp(a).
6. Conclusions and Future Directions

Lp(a) is now well-established as a risk factor for ASCVD and calcific aortic valve disease. However, optimal management of individuals with elevated Lp(a) is not well-established. Several currently available therapies have been evaluated for use in individuals with elevated Lp(a). However, improvement in clinical outcomes has only been shown in post hoc analyses from PCSK9i cardiovascular outcomes trials and in uncontrolled studies involving lipoprotein apheresis. There may also be an expanded role for anti-platelet therapy in both primary and secondary prevention in individuals with elevated Lp(a), but more research is needed. Multiple promising therapies that produce potent Lp(a) lowering are currently under investigation. Representative patient case scenarios are presented (Figure 2) to summarize an approach to management based on currently available evidence and guidelines. There are several areas in which future research is needed.

**CASE 1** Primary CVD Prevention with Elevated Lp(a)

**Description**
A 45Y South Asian man with a family history of premature CVD, Lp(a) of 100 mg/dL (250 mmol/L), and no clinical ASCVD

**Risk Assessment**
- ASCVD risk assessment using ACC/AHA Pooled Cohort Equations, noting limitations in South Asian individuals. Include Lp(a)≥50 mg/dL as “risk enhancer” in considering ASCVD risk
- **Physical exam** for evidence of atherosclerosis (e.g. carotid bruit) and aortic stenosis (e.g. systolic murmur), noting limitations of physical exam for detection of disease
- **Consider coronary artery calcium (CAC) score for further risk stratification**
- **Echocardiography** if aortic valve disease suspected
- **Recommend cascade Lp(a) testing of family members**

**Therapeutic Options**
- **Encourage heart-healthy diet and regular physical activity** per current primary prevention guidelines
- Aggressive management of modifiable risk factors other than Lp(a) (e.g. LDL-C, non-HDL-C, blood pressure, glucose, obesity, tobacco use)
- Consider moderate to high-intensity statin therapy
- **Limited data currently to guide decision-making around low-dose daily aspirin**
- **Limited data currently for Lp(a) lowering is indicated despite statin therapy (+/- ezetimibe), or if evidence of severe CAC (e.g. total > 300 Agatston units)
- Lp(a)-targeted therapies (e.g. ASO, siRNA) may be available in the future

**CASE 2** Secondary CVD Prevention with Elevated Lp(a)

**Description**
A 65Y Black woman with history of hypertension and coronary artery disease with a non-ST elevation myocardial infarction treated with a drug eluting stent to the proximal left anterior descending coronary artery 6 months ago. Current LDL-C is 80 mg/dL, Lp(a) is 100 mg/dL (250 mmol/L)

**Risk Assessment**
- **Very high risk** by current guidelines
- **Physical exam** for evidence of atherosclerosis (e.g. carotid bruit) and aortic stenosis (e.g. systolic murmur), noting limitations of physical exam for detection of disease
- **Echocardiography** if aortic valve disease suspected
- **Recommend cascade Lp(a) testing of family members**

**Therapeutic Options**
- **Encourage heart-healthy diet patterns and physical activity** per current primary prevention guidelines
- Aggressive management of modifiable risk factors other than Lp(a) (e.g. LDL-C, non-HDL-C, blood pressure, glucose, obesity, tobacco use)
- High-intensity statin therapy (+/- ezetimibe, bempedoic acid, PCSK9i) for optimal LDL-C lowering, with preference for PCSK9i
- Lipoprotein apheresis may also be considered in the setting of FH but data are limited
- Lp(a)-targeted therapies (e.g. ASO, siRNA) may be available in the future, particularly for secondary prevention

**Figure 2.** Patient cases: risk assessment and therapeutic options in the setting of elevated Lp(a). Two patient case scenarios are presented above, one for the primary prevention and one for secondary prevention in the setting of elevated Lp(a), with discussion of risk assessment and therapeutic options. For case 1 (primary prevention), the patient evaluation starts with risk assessment using the ACC/AHA Pooled Cohort Equations [19]. Lp(a)≥50 mg/dL is considered a risk enhancer and would be an indication for more aggressive management of risk factors in an individual at borderline or intermediate 10-year risk. A physical exam is important to evaluate for evidence of atherosclerosis or aortic stenosis. If aortic valve disease is suspected, echocardiography would be indicated. CAC scoring can be considered to further risk stratify [20,22]. Cascade Lp(a) testing may be recommended for family members [104,105]. With regards to therapy, a healthy lifestyle should be recommended to all patients [19,106]. In the setting of elevated Lp(a), other modifiable risk factors should be addressed,
and moderate to high-intensity statin therapy should be considered. Low dose daily aspirin may be a consideration, but there is currently limited data to guide this decision. Similarly, there is limited date for PCSK9i, but they may considered, particularly if additional LDL-C lowering is needed despite statin therapy, or there is evidence of severe CAC. Lp(a)-targeted therapies may be available as an option in the future. For case 2 (secondary prevention), the patient is considered very high risk by current guidelines [20]. Again, physical exam is important, and echocardiography is indicated if there is suspicion for aortic valve disease. Cascade Lp(a) testing may also be recommended for family members. Regarding therapy, a healthy lifestyle and management of other risk factors are again recommended. High intensity statin therapy should be prescribed, with consideration of PCSK9i if further LDL-C lowering needed [20,22]. Lipoprotein apheresis may be considered in the setting of FH [107]. Lp(a)-targeted therapies may also be an option in the near future, particularly for secondary prevention.

For secondary prevention of CVD, the biggest area of controversy is whether Lp(a)-lowering translates to reduced risk of ASCVD events, and what degree of Lp(a)-lowering is necessary to achieve this effect. Lp(a)HORIZON (NCT04023552) is currently underway and will evaluate the effect of potent Lp(a)-lowering with pelacarsen in the setting of secondary prevention. Another important question is whether prolonged dual anti-platelet therapy after revascularization improves outcomes in individuals with high Lp(a).

For primary prevention, a number of open questions remain. If Lp(a)HORIZON produces positive results, the natural extension may be a large, primary prevention trial to again evaluate if Lp(a)-lowering, and to what degree, will prevent CVD in primary prevention. The use of aspirin for primary prevention, again suggested to have benefit in the Women’s Health Study and ASPREE analyses, needs further evaluation. Another open area of investigation is the performance of current risk stratification tools in the context of elevated Lp(a), and whether Lp(a) should be incorporated into these tools.

Another potential area for investigation will be aortic stenosis and whether Lp(a) lowering will prevent or halt the progression of aortic stenosis/CAVD. Finally, Lp(a) may partially explain residual inflammatory ASCVD risk. Further studies may evaluate whether targeting Lp(a)/OxPL reduces this inflammatory risk.
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