Secretory carcinoma of the salivary gland (SC) is a newly described salivary gland tumor that shares histological, immunohistochemical, and genetic characteristics with secretory carcinoma of the breast.1 Histologically, secretory carcinomas of both the salivary gland and breast are composed of uniform cells with characteristic eosinophilic granular or vacuolated cytoplasm arranged in microcystic, solid, tubular, and follicular growth patterns. Akin to that in a secretory breast cancer, SC expresses S100 protein, mammaglobin, and vimentin, and has a t(12;15) (p13;q25) translocation, which leads to the formation of the ETV6-NTRK3 fusion product.2

SC is usually a painless, slow-growing mass that ranges in size from 1 to 4 cm.3 SC usually involves the parotid gland (about 70%),3 followed by the submandibular gland (about 25%).2 It rarely affects other sites, including the minor salivary glands of the oral cavity.3 The mean age of SC patients is 46 ± 3 years (age range, 10 to 86 years).4 SC shows indolent behavior, but local recurrence was reported in 25% of cases, lymph node metastasis was reported in 20% of cases, and metastasis was reported in 5% of cases.5,6 The rate of lymph node metastasis was slightly greater than that of ACC.8,9 SC with high-grade transformation has been described in the literature.10-12

Fine needle aspiration (FNA) cytology is a widely used efficient first-line diagnostic tool for salivary gland lesions. The reports of cytologic findings of SC are limited and describe a wide range of cytomorphic characteristics. In this study, we report the cytopathologic findings of two cases of SC confirmed in surgical specimens by histological and genetic analysis.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Catholic University of Daegu Medical Center (IRB No. CR-18-155), and informed consent was waived.

CASE REPORT

Case 1

A 64-year-old female without any medical history incidentally found an asymptomatic mass on her left mandible angle. On physical examination, an approximately 0.5 x 0.5-cm-sized movable firm mass was detected. Ultrasonography revealed an approximately 1.0 x 0.7-cm-sized hypoechoic mass at the anterior portion of the parotid gland.
FNA cytology revealed a cellular aspirate composed of papillary nests or follicular architecture with individually dispersed cells (Fig. 1A). The background showed abundant mucinous material with a small population of scattered lymphocytes (Fig. 1B). The tumor cells showed large, relatively uniform round nuclei with smooth nuclear contours, fine chromatin, and distinct eosinophilic nucleoli (Fig. 1C). The cytoplasm of individual tumor cells was granular, pale, or vacuolated. Cytoplasmic vacuoles showed variable sizes and were usually small, although some cells showed macrovacuoles reminiscent of signet ring cells (Fig. 1D, E). Individual cells with binucleated and eccentric nuclei were easily identified (Fig. 1F). FNA cytology was diagnosed as acinic cell carcinoma (ACC).

After FNA, left parotidectomy was performed. On gross examination of the surgical specimen, a well-demarcated tan to yellowish mass was identified. Microscopically, a well-encapsulated mass with a focally infiltrative margin was noted. The tumor was composed of microcystic, follicular, and focal papillary architecture with homogeneous eosinophilic colloid-like luminal secretions (Fig. 1G). The tumor cells had granular or vacuolated cytoplasm, and vacuolated tumor cells were frequently identified at the papillary growing area. There was no necrosis, mitotic figures, lymphovascular invasion, or neural invasion. Immunohistochemical stains showed diffuse strong positive reactivity for S100 protein (Fig. 1H), mammaglobin, gross cystic disease fluid protein 15 (GCDFP-15), cytokeratin 7 (CK7), epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), and focal weak positive reactivity for DOG1. No reactivity was noted for smooth muscle actin (SMA) and...
p63. ETV6 gene translocation was confirmed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using a dual-color break-apart ETV6 probe (Abbott Molecular, Des Plaines, IL, USA) (Fig. 1I). The patient showed no evidence of recurrence or metastasis at 1-year follow up.

Case 2
A 56-year-old male without any past history found an asymptomatic mass on the left parotid area. On physical examination, an approximately 2.5 × 2.0-cm-sized hard movable mass was detected. Ultrasonography revealed a 2.6 × 1.8-cm-sized well demarcated isoechoic mass in the left parotid gland.

On FNA cytology, cellularity was relatively low (Fig. 2A). The aspirate material consisted of cohesive epithelial cells and loose trabecular nests with hemosiderin-laden macrophages (Fig. 2B). Tumor cell nuclei had minimal anisonucleosis and were centrally located (Fig. 2C). The tumor cells showed a moderate amount of eosinophilic fine granular or clear cytoplasm (Fig. 2D). Vacuolated cells were relatively rare (Fig. 2E, F). The aspirate was diagnosed as benign because it had low cellularity and the vacuolated cells were recognized as macrophages.

The patient underwent a partial left parotidectomy. On gross examination, a well-circumscribed cystic mass with an intracystic solid portion was identified, measuring 2.5 × 1.8 cm in dimension. Microscopically, the tumor was lobulated by fibrous septa and exhibited cyst formation. The centrally located solid area was composed of microcystic and follicular architecture (Fig. 2G). The tumor cells showed eosinophilic granular and occasionally vacuolated cytoplasm. On immunohistochemistry, the tumor cells were reactive for S100 protein, mammaglobin (Fig.

Fig. 2. Cytopathologic features of case 2. (A) The specimen has low cellularity compared with case 1. (B) Loose trabecular nests of tumor cells are noted. (C) Hemosiderin laden macrophages are found. (D) Tumor cells have uniform, centrally located nuclei. (E) Tumor cells show eosinophilic and fine granular cytoplasm. (F) Sometimes, vacuolated cells (arrows) are encountered. (G) Tumor cells show microcystic, follicular architecture with eosinophilic secretions. (H) Strong immunoreactivity to mammaglobin is noted. (I) ETV6 fluorescence in situ hybridization showing one fused (arrowheads) and one split (red and green) signal indicative of ETV6 translocation.
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2H), CK7, and EMA, and no reactivity was noted for GCD-FP-15, SMA, and p63. As in case 1, weak and focal positive immunoreaction for DOG1 stain was identified. Fusion of ETV6 and NTRK gene was revealed by FISH (Fig. 2I). At first, the mass was diagnosed as papillary cystic variant ACC. In the retrospective review of previous cases of SC, the present case was confirmed as SC. No local recurrence or distant metastasis has been noted in the patient for the past 9 years.

DISCUSSION

The cytologic findings on FNA of SC have been reported as cellular smears composed of cohesive cell groups of papillary, solid, or discohesive architecture. Tumor cells have round nuclei with vacuolated or granular cytoplasm. The most easily recognized cytologic finding of SC is cells with cytoplasmic vacuoles. However, vacuolated tumor cells can be found in ACC or in mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) as well as in SC. The tumor cells of classic ACC have a variable amount of cytoplasmic zymogen granules, which are periodic acid-Schiff–positive and diastase resistant. Zymogen granule poor ACC shows considerable morphologic overlap with SC. However, ACC demonstrates cytologic and structural diversity, whereas SC is structurally homogeneous and uniformly composed of microcystic and glandular spaces with luminal secretory material. The tumor cells of SC exhibit small nuclei and smooth nuclear membrane contours on FNA compared to cells of ACC. SC frequently shows vacuolated cytoplasm and a singly scattered pattern. MEC is also a major differential diagnosis. FNA of MEC seldom shows isolated cells, whereas SC usually presents with abundant isolated single cells.

We initially reported the FNA of case 2 as a benign lesion because vacuolated tumor cells were recognized as macrophages with cystic change. However, in a retrospective review, the nuclei of the vacuolated tumor cells were relatively uniform in size with smooth nuclear contours. The tumor cells formed small clusters, whereas macrophages tend to be singly dispersed. FNA specimens of low-grade tumors with cystic change usually have low cellularity, and macrophages are frequently identified. Therefore, it is difficult to distinguish vacuolated tumor cells from macrophages.

In summary, the cytologic characteristics of SC included papillary or other cellular nests and individual tumor cells with abundant and granular to vacuolated cytoplasm. The tumor cells showed uniform and round nuclei with distinct nucleoli. The background mucinous material is helpful for diagnosis. However, the cytologic features overlap with those of other salivary gland neoplasms, such as ACC and MEC, and benign cystic lesions. A definite diagnosis of SC by FNA is difficult, but cytopathologists should suggest the possibility of SC when presented with characteristic cytologic findings on FNA. Immunohistochemistry and verification of ETV6-NTRK3 fusion gene are useful to differentiate SC from other salivary gland tumors.
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