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Reconstitution of the tubular endoplasmic reticulum network with purified components
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Organelles display characteristic morphologies that are intimately tied to their cellular function, but how organelles are shaped is poorly understood. The endoplasmic reticulum is particularly intriguing, as it comprises morphologically distinct domains, including a dynamic network of interconnected membrane tubules. Several membrane proteins have been implicated in network formation1–5, but how exactly they mediate network formation and whether they are all required are unclear. Here we reconstitute a dynamic tubular membrane network with purified endoplasmic reticulum proteins. Proteoliposomes containing the membrane-fusing GTPase Sey1p (refs 6, 7) and the curvature-stabilizing protein Yop1p (refs 8, 9) from Saccharomyces cerevisiae form a tubular network upon addition of GTP. The tubules rapidly fragment when GTP hydrolysis of Sey1p is inhibited, indicating that network maintenance requires continuous membrane fusion and that Yop1p favours the generation of highly curved membrane structures. Sey1p also forms networks with other curvature-stabilizing proteins, including reticulon4 and receptor expression-enhancing proteins (REEPs)10 from different species. Atlastin, the vertebrate orthologue of Sey1p11, forms a GTP-hydrolysis-dependent network on its own, serving as both a fusion and curvature-stabilizing protein. Our results show that organelle shape can be generated by a surprisingly small set of proteins and represents an energy-dependent steady state between formation and disassembly.

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) tubules have high membrane curvature in cross-section, which is generated by two families of conserved integral membrane proteins, the reticulons (Rtn) and REEPs (Yop1p in yeast)8. These proteins are required to maintain a tubular network in cells8,9 and, upon reconstitution into liposomes, convert vesicles into tubules8. These proteins contain two sets of closely spaced transmembrane domains and a carboxy (C)-terminal amphipathic helix that may be required to induce membrane curvature6,12. Members of the Rtn and REEP/Yop1p families exist in all eukaryotic cells and have redundant functions in curvature stabilization. Connecting tubules into a polygonal network depends on membrane fusion, a process mediated by membrane-bound GTPases, the atlastins (ATL) in metazoans and Sey1p in yeast16–21. Proteoliposomes containing purified ATL or Sey1p undergo GTP hydrolysis-dependent fusion in vitro7,11,13–15. These dynamin-like proteins initially tether opposing membranes and then use GTP hydrolysis to cause their fusion16. In addition to curvature-stabilizing and fusion proteins, other factors have been implicated in ER network formation, including the lunapark protein17–19, the TMEM33 protein (Tts1 in Schizosaccharomyces pombe)20,21, the cytoskeleton, and molecular motors21. Here we identify a minimal set of components needed for the formation of a tubular ER network.

We first tested whether a membrane network can be generated with the GTPase Sey1p and a single curvature-stabilizing protein, Yop1p, both derived from S. cerevisiae. Purified Sey1p and Yop1p (Extended Data Fig. 1) were incorporated into liposomes by ‘directed insertion’11,13. The proteins were oriented with their cytosolic domains on the outside (Extended Data Fig. 2) and used at a molar ratio that approximates their relative abundance in cells (Sey1p:Yop1p ≈ 1:10–1:20) (ref. 22). A fraction of the vesicles floated in a Nycodenz gradient (Extended Data Fig. 3). For visualization by fluorescence microscopy, the liposomes also contained rhodamine-labelled phosphatidyl-ethanolamine (rhodamine-PE). The reconstituted proteoliposomes were fusion competent, as demonstrated with a lipid-mixing assay4,11 (Extended Data Fig. 4). In fact, fusion was more efficient with proteoliposomes containing both Sey1p and Yop1p than with those containing only Sey1p.

Next, we visualized the proteoliposomes by confocal fluorescence microscopy. In the absence of GTP the sample consisted of small vesicles, appearing as bright dots (Fig. 1a). However, when the proteoliposomes were incubated with GTP, an extensive network of interconnected tubules was observed (Fig. 1a). Although the network displayed variable density (Extended Data Fig. 5), in most areas it looked strikingly similar to ER networks generated with extracts from Xenopus laevis eggs (Fig. 1b). In addition, as in extracts or intact cells21,23, the reconstituted ER network exhibited dynamics, including the sliding of junctions along tubules and ring closure, that is, the merging of two junctions into one (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Video 1). The network did not form with GTP-λ-S, indicating that GTP hydrolysis by Sey1p is required (Extended Data Fig. 6a). Network formation was also dependent on both Sey1p and Yop1p. With Sey1p alone, the addition of GTP resulted in larger vesicles, but not tubules (Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 6b). With Yop1p alone, only small vesicles or perhaps short tubules were observed in the absence or presence of GTP (Fig. 1e and Extended Data Fig. 6c), in agreement with previous results where tubules were observed by electron microscopy7. Network formation was seen with different ratios of Sey1p to Yop1p, as well as of protein to lipid (Extended Data Fig. 7). The lipid composition of the proteoliposomes did not seem to be of major importance, as networks could be generated with Escherichia coli or S. cerevisiae polar lipid extracts (Extended Data Fig. 8).

To test whether the reconstituted network contains both Sey1p and Yop1p, we generated fluorescently labelled versions of these proteins. Sey1p was tagged at the amino (N) terminus with green fluorescent protein (GFP), and Yop1p was labelled at lysine residues with Alexa Fluor 647 (hereafter Alexa 647) dye. The labelled proteins were co-reconstituted into liposomes that also contained rhodamine-PE. Upon GTP addition, a network was formed that contained all three proteins (Extended Data Fig. 9). Both Sey1p and Yop1p distributed throughout the network. An even distribution of Yop1p is expected, but Sey1p is typically enriched at three-way junctions in vivo6,13. The difference might be due to a higher Sey1p concentration in our in vitro system or to the absence of unknown factors that localize to junctions in cells. However, the Sey1p orthologue ATL1 is uniformly distributed throughout tubules in vivo19, indicating that the fusion proteins need not be enriched at junctions.
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The addition of GTP-γS to a preformed network resulted in its rapid fragmentation (Fig. 2a). Within a few minutes, the network converted into small vesicles, although some larger structures were also observed. Intermediates of the fragmentation process were difficult to visualize, but we observed several cases in which long tubules broke into smaller ones (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Video 2). In addition, tubules probably shorten by shedding vesicles from their tips. These results show that network formation requires continuous membrane fusion by Sey1p to counterbalance fragmentation into small vesicles. This behaviour is similar to that of ER networks generated in S. cerevisiae egg extracts and tissue culture cells19, which disassemble when ATL is inhibited.

To examine the reconstituted ER network in more detail, Sey1p and Yop1p were directly incorporated into unlabelled liposomes and the samples were analysed by negative-stain electron microscopy. When the proteoliposomes were incubated with GTP, small areas of a tubular network were seen (Fig. 3a, b and Extended Data Fig. 9a). No network was observed in the absence of GTP or when one of the two proteins was omitted (Fig. 3a–d). The areas of the network were much less extensive than those seen under the light microscope, probably because they only partly survived the harsh negative-staining protocol. The two bilayers and the lumen of the reconstituted tubules were clearly visible, confirming that three-way junctions indeed consist of fused, rather than simply tethered, membrane tubules. Most of the reconstituted tubules had a diameter of ~16 nm, similar to those formed with Yop1p alone6. They were significantly narrower than the tubules in normal cells, probably because the concentration of Yop1p is higher in the proteoliposomes than in vivo, an assumption supported by the observation that overexpression of curvature-stabilizing proteins in cells constricts ER tubules8. In the reconstituted tubules, Sey1p molecules were visible as small, globular objects that extended approximately 18 nm from the bilayer and were connected to the membrane via a thin stalk (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 9b, c), features and dimensions that are in agreement with the crystal structure of Sey1p (ref. 15). Sey1p was distributed throughout the entire network, consistent with its localization in light microscopy.

Next, we tested whether Sey1p could form networks with other curvature-stabilizing proteins of the Rtn and REEP/Yop1p families. Indeed, networks were observed when Sey1p was combined with Rtn1p from S. cerevisiae (Fig. 4a), Rtn1 from Drosophila melanogaster (Fig. 4b), a REEP5 homologue from D. melanogaster (CG8331; Fig. 4c), and REEP4 or REEP5 from X. laevis (Fig. 4d, e). In all these cases, network formation was only seen in the presence of GTP (Extended Data Fig. 10). Small, GTP-dependent networks were also seen when proteoliposomes containing Sey1p and D. melanogaster Rtn1 were analysed by negative-stain electron microscopy (Extended Data Fig. 9d). Taken together, these results indicate that proteins of the Rtn and REEP/Yop1p families are functionally equivalent in shaping the ER network. Furthermore, given that these proteins are derived from evolutionarily distant organisms, network formation probably does not require a specific physical interaction between Sey1p and curvature-stabilizing proteins.

Finally, we tested whether ATL, the metazoan orthologue of Sey1p, can also mediate network formation. Surprisingly, proteoliposomes containing purified Drosophila ATL alone formed an elaborate network upon GTP addition (Fig. 4f and Extended Data Fig. 10f). Network formation was not observed in a previous study, probably because the sample was too dilute16. As before, network maintenance required continuous membrane fusion; when GTP-γS was added to a preformed network, it rapidly disassembled (Fig. 4g). Lowering the ATL concentration reduced network formation, but did not make it dependent on curvature-stabilizing proteins (data not shown). These results suggest that ATL not only mediates fusion, but also stabilizes high membrane curvature. To test this idea, we used a fusion-defective ATL mutant ATL(K51A)11 that on its own no longer formed a network (Fig. 4h).
Our results show that a tubular ER network can be reconstituted with a surprisingly small set of membrane proteins. The network corresponds to a steady state of continuous membrane fusion and fragmentation. Fusion is mediated by the membrane-bound GTPases ATL or Sey1p, whereas fragmentation is probably caused by the curvature-stabilizing proteins of the Rtn and REEP/Yop1p families, which seem to prefer the higher membrane curvature of small vesicles to that of tubules. In a steady-state network, fusion by the GTPases appears to be faster than the breakage of tubules or the shedding of small vesicles by the curvature-stabilizing proteins, explaining why tube fission is a rare event in vivo. Our in vitro results are in agreement with recent experiments in mammalian cells, which demonstrate that ATL inactivation or overexpression of Rtn4 results in ER fragmentation.19 Our results also show that, as in intact cells, the reconstituted network is dynamic, consisting of sliding and fusing three-way junctions. In vivo, these movements are caused by the attachment of the ER to the cytoskeleton or molecular motors, whereas in vitro, they may be due to thermal convection in conjunction with focal attachment of the network to the cover slip. We speculate that both the continuous formation and disassembly of the ER network and the dynamics of tubular junctions may allow the rapid adaptation of ER shape to different conditions. For example, it may contribute to the conversion of tubules to sheets during the cell cycle and may explain changes of ER morphology during cell differentiation. We propose that other organelles are shaped by similar principles as the ER, representing a set of proteins.
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Figure 3 | Visualization of reconstituted networks with negative-stain electron microscopy. a, S. cerevisiae (Sc) Sey1p and Yop1p were co-reconstituted into liposomes at protein:lipid ratios of 1:1,000 and 1:200, respectively. The samples were incubated with or without 1 mM GTP and visualized by electron microscopy after uranyl acetate staining. The figure shows a magnification of the network in Extended Data Fig. 9. b, As in a, but with Sey1p and Yop1p at protein:lipid ratios of 1:7,500 and 1:200, respectively. Black arrowheads indicate Sey1p molecules. c, As in a, but with Sey1p alone at a protein:lipid ratio of 1:500. d, As in a, but with Yop1p alone at protein:lipid ratios of 1:35 and 1:200 in the absence of GTP. e, As in a, but with D. melanogaster (Dm) ATL alone at a protein:lipid ratio of 1:1,000. f, As in a, but with ATL and Yop1p co-reconstituted into liposomes at protein:lipid ratios of 1:6,000 and 1:50, respectively. g, As in a, but with ATL and Yop1p at protein:lipid ratios of 1:6,000 and 1:200, respectively. Scale bars, 100 nm.

However, when co-reconstituted with Sey1p, ATL(K51A) supported GTP-dependent network formation, indicating that it retained its curvature-stabilizing activity (Fig. 4i). In vivo, ATL probably only mediates fusion, while the more abundant Rtn and REEP/Yop1p proteins stabilize curvature. Because Sey1p cannot form networks on its own, it might have a lower curvature-stabilizing activity than ATL. However, it is also possible that it does not reach sufficiently high concentrations in our reconstituted vesicles, as flotation experiments show it is less efficiently incorporated into liposomes (Extended Data Fig. 3m, n). Interestingly, the network formed with ATL alone could not be visualized by electron microscopy, probably because the tubules did not survive the harsh negative-staining protocol (Fig. 3e). In contrast, networks were visible when the proteoliposomes contained both D. melanogaster ATL and S. cerevisiae Yop1p (Fig. 3f, g) or D. melanogaster ATL and Rtn1 (Extended Data Fig. 9e). Thus, the presence of high concentrations of curvature-stabilizing proteins makes the tubules more mechanically robust.

Our results show that a tubular ER network can be reconstituted with a surprisingly small set of membrane proteins. The network corresponds to a steady state of continuous membrane fusion and fragmentation. Fusion is mediated by the membrane-bound GTPases ATL or Sey1p, whereas fragmentation is probably caused by the curvature-stabilizing proteins of the Rtn and REEP/Yop1p families, which seem to prefer the higher membrane curvature of small vesicles to that of tubules. In a steady-state network, fusion by the GTPases appears to be faster than the breakage of tubules or the shedding of small vesicles by the curvature-stabilizing proteins, explaining why tube fission is a rare event in vivo. Our in vitro results are in agreement with recent experiments in mammalian cells, which demonstrate that ATL inactivation or overexpression of Rtn4 results in ER fragmentation.19 Our results also show that, as in intact cells, the reconstituted network is dynamic, consisting of sliding and fusing three-way junctions. In vivo, these movements are caused by the attachment of the ER to the cytoskeleton or molecular motors, whereas in vitro, they may be due to thermal convection in conjunction with focal attachment of the network to the cover slip. We speculate that both the continuous formation and disassembly of the ER network and the dynamics of tubular junctions may allow the rapid adaptation of ER shape to different conditions. For example, it may contribute to the conversion of tubules to sheets during the cell cycle and may explain changes of ER morphology during cell differentiation. We propose that other organelles are shaped by similar principles as the ER, representing a steady state between formation and disassembly mediated by a small set of proteins.
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METHODS

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized. The investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment.

Plasmids. Codon-optimized DmATL (NM_001300577.1) and ScSey1 (NM_001183584.1) were cloned into pGEX-6P-1 and pGEX-4T-3 as described previously11,13. Site-directed mutagenesis was used to generate the Drosophila mutant ATL(K51A). To generate a GFP–Sey1 fusion protein, Sey1 was cloned into the pET28b vector engineered with an N-terminal streptavidin binding protein (SBP) tag followed by a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease cleavage site and super-folder GFP. ScYop1 (NM_001184125.1) and ScRtn1 (NM_001180541.3) were both cloned into the pRS426 vector with a Gal1 promoter and a CYC1 terminator. The vector also contains a N-terminal His14 tag and a TEV protease cleavage site. Both genes are tagged with a C-terminal sortase sequence.

D. melanogaster reticulon-like1 (Rtnl1; NM_001169405), X. laevis REEP4 (codon optimized for E. coli; NM_001093429), and X. laevis REEP5 (NM_001096221.1) were cloned into the NdeI and Xhol restriction sites of a modified pET21b vector that included a C-terminal 3C protease site followed by a His6 tag. The D. melanogaster REEP homologue CG3331 (AY069293.1) was cloned into the pFastBac1 vector with a TEV protease site and an SBP tag at the C-terminus.

Protein expression and purification. ScYop1 and ScRtn1 were expressed and purified as C-terminal sortase fusion proteins in S. cerevisiae. Cells were first grown in synthetic complete media selecting for the appropriate plasmid to the stationary phase to deplete glucose at 30 °C. Galactose was then added to 2% to induce the expression of the proteins at room temperature for 16 h. Cells were collected, washed once in water and resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 20 mM imidazole, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and protease inhibitors). Cells were lysed in a bead beater for 30 min at 4 °C. The homogenate was centrifuged at low speed to clear cellular debris and unbroken cells and then at 100,000 g for 1 h to sediment the membranes. Membranes were washed twice with lysis buffer and then solubilized in lysis buffer containing 1% n-dodecyl-β-maltoside (DDM) for 1 h at 4 °C. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 100,000 g for 1 h and the resulting supernatant was incubated with Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen) for 1–2 h. Proteins were eluted using lysis buffer containing 250 mM imidazole and 0.03% DDM and incubated with TEV protease overnight at 4 °C to remove the His6 tag. Proteins were further purified by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex200 column (GE Healthcare) and concentrated by ultrafiltration (Amicon Ultra, EMD Millipore). Absorbance at 280 nm was used to determine concentrations of the proteins purified using DDM.

D. melanogaster ATL, S. cerevisiae Sey1p, GFP–Sey1p, D. melanogaster Rtn11, X. laevis REEP4, and X. laevis REEP5 were expressed in E. coli BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIPL (Agilent). Expression was induced at A600 nm = 0.6–1.0 with 250 μM isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 16 °C for 16–18 h. The lysis buffer used for GFP–Sey1p did not contain glycerol, which interferes with the binding of SBP tag to the streptavidin agarose resin. Cells were lysed either by sonication or through high-pressure homogenization in an M-110P microfluidizer (Microfluidics). The subsequent purification steps were similar to what was described for ScYop1 and ScRtn1 purification with some minor differences. Membranes were washed once with buffer and then solubilized in 1 mM EDTA with 250 mM imidazole and 0.03% DDM and incubated with TEV protease overnight at 4 °C to remove the His6 tag. Proteins were further purified by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex200 column (GE Healthcare) and concentrated by ultrafiltration (Amicon Ultra, EMD Millipore). Absorbance at 280 nm was used to determine concentrations of the proteins purified using DDM.

Preparation of liposomes. Donor and acceptor liposomes were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids. For fusion assays, donor and acceptor liposomes had a lipid composition as described previously11,13,15,22. Briefly, liposomes and protein were incubated together at the desired protein/lipid ratio in A100 buffer. This mixture was supplemented with DDM such that the final estimated detergent concentration was ~0.1%. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The detergent was then removed by four successive additions Bio-Beads SM-2 Resin (Bio-Rad) over the course of 4 h. Insoluble aggregates were removed by centrifugation. To examine the reconstituting efficiency, an aliquot was floated in a 0–40% w/v Nycodenz step-gradient and fractions were collected and analysed by SDS–PAGE. To determine the orientation of proteins inserted into liposomes, equal volumes (5 μl) of protein-containing liposomes were incubated with decreasing amounts of trypsin (0.2, 0.04, 0.008 and 0.0016 μg) in the absence or presence of 0.2% Triton X-100 for 30 min at room temperature. Reactions were stopped by PMSF and SDS-containing protein loading dye, and analysed by SDS–PAGE.

Lipid-mixing fusion assay. Fusion assays were performed as previously described11,13,15,22 with the modifications of the lipid compositions of donor and acceptor liposomes described above. Briefly, Sey1p and Yop1p were reconstituted at the indicated protein/lipid ratios into donor and acceptor liposomes in the presence of A100 buffer containing 0.1% DDM at room temperature. Donor vesicles contained NBD–PE and rhodamine–PE. After detergent removal by Bio-Beads SM-2 Resin (Bio-Rad), samples were spun once to remove insoluble material. Lipid concentration was determined on the basis of rhodamine or dansyl fluorescence. Donor and acceptor liposomes were mixed in a 1:3 ratio in the presence of 0.2% Triton X-100. Data were collected every minute using a Flexstation III (Molecular Devices) at 37 °C. Pre-fusion data were collected during the first 10 min. The average of the pre-fusion data was set as the baseline fluorescence value. Buffer or 1 mM GTP was added to the reactions and the dequenching of NBD fluorescence data caused by the fusion of donor and acceptor vesicles was followed for 40 min. Triton X-100 was then added to a final concentration of 2.5% and the reactions further incubated for 10 min to determine maximum fluorescence. The difference between the average of the maximum fluorescence data and the baseline value is defined as ‘total fluorescence’. The difference between the fusion data and the baseline value was then expressed as the percentage change of the total fluorescence.

Imaging of reconstituted networks by confocal fluorescence microscopy. To test for network formation, a proteoliposome solution was supplemented with 5 mM MgCl2 before addition of any nucleotide. Then, 2 mM GTP, 2 mM GTP–S, or 200 μM GTP–S was added to the proteoliposome solution and the reaction was incubated for 1–2 min at room temperature. Three microlitres of sample were placed between...
two PEG-passivated No. 1.5 coverslips, which were mounted onto a metal slide and sealed with VALAP (1:1:1 mixture of vaseline, lanolin, and paraffin). The coverslips were passivated with 5,000 molecular mass polyethylene glycol (PEG) as previously described21. After mounting, the samples were incubated at room temperature for 10–20 min before imaging. All fluorescence microscopy samples were visualized using a spinning disk confocal head (CSU-X1; Yokogawa Corporation of America) with Borealis modification (Spectral Applied Research) and a quad bandpass 405/491/561/642 dichroic mirror (Semrock). The confocal was mounted on a Ti motorized inverted microscope (Nikon) equipped with a 60× Plan Apo NA 1.4 oil immersion objective or a 40× Plan Fluor NA 1.3 oil immersion objective and the Perfect Focus System for continuous maintenance of focus (Nikon). Green fluorescence images were collected using a 488-nm solid-state laser, controlled with an AOTF (Spectral Applied Research) and ET525/50 emission filter (Chroma Technology). Red fluorescence images were collected using a 561-nm solid-state laser controlled with an AOTF and ET620/60 emission filter. Far-red fluorescence images were collected using a 642-nm solid-state laser controlled with an AOTF and ET700/75 emission filter. The laser lines are combined in an LMM5 laser merge module (Spectral). All images were acquired with a cooled CCD camera (ORCA R2; Hamamatsu Photonics) controlled with MetaMorph software (version 7.0; Molecular Devices) and archived using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). In some cases, linear adjustments were applied to enhance the contrast of images. For network disassembly, reconstituted networks were first formed using GTP and spotted onto a passivated coverslip. GTP–γ–S at the desired concentration was added to the solution on the coverslip immediately before sandwich-sealing the sample with VALAP and imaging. The time between GTP–γ–S addition and taking the first image was about 1.5 min. Imaging of reconstituted networks by negative-stain electron microscopy. For negative-stain electron microscopy, samples were prepared as previously described37. Briefly, 5 μl of proteoliposome solution was mixed with 7.5 μl of buffer A100 supplemented with 5 mM MgCl₂. Buffer or 1 mM GTP was then added and the reactions incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, 0.5 μl of sample was mixed with 4.5 μl of buffer A100 and added to a glow-discharged carbon-coated copper grid (Pelco, Ted Pella) for 1 min. Excess sample was then blotted off with filter paper, the grids washed twice with deionized water, and then stained twice with freshly prepared 1% uranyl acetate. Images were collected at room temperature using a conventional transmission electron microscope (JEOL 1200EX) equipped with a tungsten filament and operated at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV. All images were acquired using an AMT 2kCCD camera.

ER network formation with Xenopus egg extracts. The interphase ER network was formed using the crude Xenopus egg extracts as described previously22. The network was stained with DiOC₃(6) and visualized by a spinning-disk confocal microscope. Representative images. Images shown are representative of all images collected. For Fig. 1, images are representative of (a) 98 (left) and 108 (right), (b) 15, (c) 108, (d) 30, (e) 10, and (f) 30 total images captured. For Fig. 2, images are representative of (a) 18 (left) and 30 (right) and (b) total 36 images captured. For Fig. 3, images are representative of (a) 21 (left) and 25 (right), (b) 7 (left) and 17 (right), (c) 12 (left) and 15 (right), (d) 78 (left) and 52 (right), (e) 10 (left) and 10 (right), (f) 9 (left) and 23 (right), and (g) 5 (left) and 20 (right) total images captured. For Fig. 4, images are representative of (a) 20, (b) 23, (c) 21, (d) 27, (e) 15, (f) 20, (g) 20, (h) 18, and (i) 32 total images captured. For Extended Data Fig. 5, images are representative of 108 total images captured. For Extended Data Fig. 6, images are representative of (a) 11 (left) and 10 (right), (b) 15, and (c) 10 total images captured. For Extended Data Fig. 7, images are representative of (a) 15 (left) and 25 (right) and (b) 13 (left) and 20 (right) total images captured. For Extended Data Fig. 8, images are representative of (a) 10 (left) and 25 (right) and (b) 12 (left) and 34 (right) total images captured. For Extended Data Fig. 9, images are representative of (a) 25, (b) 17, (c) 17, (d) 6 (left) and 12 (right), and (e) 5 (left) and 6 (right) total images captured. For extended Data Fig. 10, images are representative of (a) 18, (b) 10, (c) 14, (d) 17, (e) 13, (f) 11, (g) 12, and (h) 10 total images captured. Data availability. Source gels for Extended Data Figs 2 and 3 are provided with the paper as Supplementary Fig. 1. The authors declare that all the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its supplementary information files with the exception of the low ATL concentration experiments, which are available upon request.
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Extended Data Figure 1 | Purity of ER-shaping proteins used in reconstitution experiments. The indicated proteins were purified and subjected to SDS–PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.
Extended Data Figure 2 | Orientation of proteins after reconstitution into liposomes. 

**a**, *D. melanogaster* ATL was reconstituted into rhodamine-PE-labelled liposomes at a protein:lipid ratio of 1:1,000. The vesicles were incubated with decreasing concentrations of trypsin in the absence (left) or presence (right) of 0.2% Triton X-100 for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were analysed by SDS–PAGE and Coomassie blue staining. 

**b**, As in **a**, but with *S. cerevisiae* Sey1p at a protein:lipid ratio of 1:500. 

**c**, As in **a**, but with *S. cerevisiae* Yop1p at a protein:lipid ratio of 1:100. 

**d**, As in **a**, but with Sey1p and Yop1p at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:100, respectively. For gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 1.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Flotation of proteoliposomes generated with Sey1p and curvature-stabilizing proteins. a, *S. cerevisiae* Sey1p and Yop1p were co-reconstituted into rhodamine-PE-labelled liposomes at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:35, respectively. The samples were centrifuged in a Nycodenz gradient, and fractions (F1–F6) were collected from the top and analysed by SDS–PAGE and Coomassie blue staining. b, As in a, but with proteins only in the presence of 0.03% DDM. c, As in a, but with proteoliposomes containing *S. cerevisiae* Sey1p and Rtn1p at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:50, respectively. d, As in c, but with proteins only in the presence of 0.03% DDM. e, As in a, but with proteoliposomes containing *S. cerevisiae* Sey1p and *D. melanogaster* Rtn1p at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:50, respectively. f, As in e, but with proteins only in the presence of 0.03% DDM. g, As in a, but with proteoliposomes containing Sey1p and *D. melanogaster* CG8331 at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:50, respectively. h, As in g, but with proteins only in the presence of 0.03% DDM. i, As in a, but with proteoliposomes containing Sey1p and *X. laevis* REEP5 at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:200, respectively. j, As in i, but with proteins only in the presence of 0.03% DDM. k, As in a, but with proteoliposomes containing Sey1p and *D. melanogaster* ATL(K51A) at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:100, respectively. l, As in k, but with proteins only in the presence of 0.03% DDM. m, As in a, but with proteoliposomes containing *D. melanogaster* ATL at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 or 1:1,000. n, As in a, but with proteoliposomes containing *S. cerevisiae* Sey1p at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 or 1:1,000. For gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 1.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Fusion activity of Sey1p-containing proteoliposomes. a, Proteoliposomes were generated with either \textit{S. cerevisiae} Sey1p alone (protein:lipid ratio of 1:500) or with Sey1p and \textit{S. cerevisiae} Yop1p (protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:100, respectively). Donor vesicles contained NBD-PE and rhodamine-PE. After addition of 1 mM GTP, fusion with unlabelled acceptor vesicles was measured by dequenching of the NBD fluorescence. Controls were performed in the absence of GTP. b, As in a, but with Sey1p and Yop1p at protein:lipid ratios of 1:1,000 and 1:200, respectively. Each curve corresponds to the mean of three biological replicates.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Reconstituted networks display heterogeneity. *S. cerevisiae* Sey1p and Yop1p were incorporated into rhodamine-PE-labelled liposomes at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:35, respectively. The proteoliposomes were incubated with 2 mM GTP, spotted on a coverslip, and imaged with a fluorescence microscope. Shown are different areas from the same coverslip. Note that the networks differ with respect to the density of three-way junctions and length of tubules. Scale bars, 20 μm.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Control experiments for network formation. 

a, S. cerevisiae Sey1p and Yop1p were co-reconstituted into rhodamine-PE-labelled liposomes at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:35, respectively. The proteoliposomes were incubated with either 2 mM GTP or GTPγS and visualized by fluorescence microscopy. b, Proteoliposomes containing only S. cerevisiae Sey1p at a protein:lipid ratio of 1:500 were incubated in the absence of GTP. The same sample is shown incubated with GTP in Fig. 1d. c, As in b, but with proteoliposomes containing only S. cerevisiae Yop1p at a protein:lipid ratio of 1:35. The same sample is shown incubated with GTP in Fig. 1e. Scale bars, 20 μm.
Extended Data Figure 7 | Network formation with different concentrations of Yop1p and Sey1p. a, S. cerevisiae Sey1p was co-reconstituted with S. cerevisiae Yop1p into rhodamine-PE-labelled liposomes at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:200, respectively (instead of the usual 1:500 and 1:35 ratios). The proteoliposomes were incubated with or without 2 mM GTP and visualized by fluorescence microscopy. b, As in a, but with protein:lipid ratios of 1:1,000 and 1:200, respectively. Scale bars, 20 μm.
Extended Data Figure 8 | Tubular network formation with different lipid compositions. a. *S. cerevisiae* Sey1p and Yop1p were co-reconstituted into rhodamine-PE-labelled liposomes at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:35, respectively. The liposomes were generated with a polar lipid extract from *E. coli*. The proteoliposomes were incubated with or without 2 mM GTP and visualized with a fluorescence microscope. b. As in a, but with liposomes generated with a polar lipid extract from *S. cerevisiae*. Scale bars, 20 μm.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Sey1p-containing networks visualized with negative-stain electron microscopy. a, *S. cerevisiae* Sey1p and Yop1p were co-reconstituted into liposomes at protein:lipid ratios of 1:1,000 and 1:200, respectively. The samples were incubated with 1 mM GTP and visualized by electron microscopy after staining with uranyl acetate. The boxed area of this network is shown enlarged in Fig. 3a. b, As in a, but with Sey1p and Yop1p at protein:lipid ratios of 1:7,500 and 1:200, respectively. Black arrowheads indicate Sey1p molecules. c, As in b, showing another area (left). Boxed area is shown enlarged (right) with black arrowheads indicating Sey1p molecules and the dotted black line traces approximate plane of the lipid bilayer. d, As in a, but with Sey1p and *D. melanogaster* Rtnl1 at protein:lipid ratios of 1:500 and 1:50, respectively, in the absence or presence of 1 mM GTP. e, As in a, but with *D. melanogaster* ATL and *D. melanogaster* Rtnl1 at protein:lipid ratios of 1:2,500 and 1:200, respectively, in the absence or presence of 1 mM GTP. Scare bars, 100 nm.
Extended Data Figure 10 | Network formation with different membrane-fusing and curvature-stabilizing proteins requires GTP hydrolysis. The samples shown in Fig. 4 were incubated without GTP. Scale bars, 20 μm.