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Abstract

**Background** Delivery is a critical moment for pregnant women and babies, and careful monitoring is essential throughout the delivery process. The partograph is a useful tool for monitoring and assessing labour progress as well as maternal and foetal conditions; however, it is often used inaccurately or inappropriately. A gap between practices and evidence-based guidelines has been reported in Cambodia, perhaps due to a lack of evidence-based knowledge in maternity care. This study aims to address: i) to what extent skilled birth attendants in the first-line health services in Cambodia have knowledge on the management of normal delivery, and ii) what factors are associated with their level of knowledge.

**Methods** Midwives and nurses were recruited working in maternity in first-line public health facilities in Phnom Penh municipality, Kampong Cham and Svay Rieng provinces. Two self-administered questionnaires were applied. The first consisted of three sections with questions on monitoring aspects of the partograph: progress of labour, foetal, and maternal conditions. The second consisted of questions on diagnostic criteria, normal ranges, and standard intervals of monitoring during labour. A multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify relationships between characteristics of the participants and the questionnaire scores.

**Results** Of 542 eligible midwives and nurses, 523 (96%) participated. The overall mean score was 58%. Only 3% got scores of more than 90%. Multivariate analysis revealed that 'Kampong Cham province', 'younger age', and 'higher qualification' were significantly associated with higher scores. Previous training experience was not associated with the score. Substantial proportions of misclassification of monitoring items during labour were found; for example, 61% answered uterine contraction as a foetal condition, and 44% answered foetal head descent and 26% answered foetal heart rate as a maternal condition.

**Conclusion** This study found that knowledge was low on delivery management among skilled birth attendants. Previous training experience did not influence the knowledge level. A lack of understanding of physiology and anatomy was implied. Further experimental approaches should be attempted to improve the knowledge and quality of maternity services in Cambodia.

**Introduction**

The process of delivery and childbirth is characterised by dynamic changes in anatomy and physiology for mother and foetus. As delivery progresses, uterine contractions become intense and the foetus in utero is exposed to extremely low levels of oxygen [1]. Asphyxia is the most common cause of neonatal deaths in south Asia and sub-Saharan Africa [2]. Delivery is also a critical moment for pregnant women, and it has been estimated that 28% of maternal deaths in the world occur during the intrapartum or immediate postpartum period [3]. Another estimate is that 40 - 45% of maternal deaths, stillbirths, and neonatal deaths occur during or within 24 hours after delivery in south Asia and sub-Saharan Africa [2]. Therefore, to ensure a normal birth process careful monitoring is essential throughout the delivery procedure so that abnormal signs are detected early.
The partograph is a useful tool for monitoring and assessing labour progress as well as maternal and foetal conditions [4]. It defines the key items to be monitored, their normal ranges, and the standard monitoring intervals. Although the latest recommendations from WHO emphasise to not follow the cervical dilatation rate threshold of 1 cm per hour during the active phase in the first stage of labour [5], the usefulness of the partograph is not hampered. It is still necessary to plot cervical dilatation versus time on the cervicograph to confirm the progress of labour. Therefore, the utilisation of the partograph helps to identify labour dystocia and risks for adverse birth outcomes [6]. However, it has been shown that the partograph is often used inaccurately or inappropriately, particularly in low- and middle-income countries [7-11].

Cambodia successfully decreased maternal mortality to the target set in the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) [12]. The latest Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey in 2014 has shown that the maternal mortality ratio and the proportion of deliveries attended by skilled health professionals were 170 per 100,000 live births and 89%, respectively [13]. The Cambodian Ministry of Health has built on the MDGs success and has placed maternal mortality reduction at the top of its third Strategic Health Plan 2016–2020 [14]. Improving the quality of health services is a key strategy for reduction and evidence-based practice is a major element of quality of care. This initiative corresponds with the desire to increase the competency of skilled birth attendants (SBA), which was recently redefined jointly by WHO, UNFPA, UNICEF, ICM, ICN, FIGO, and IPA. It includes: (i) to provide and promote evidence-based, human-rights-based, quality, socio-culturally sensitive, and dignified care to women and newborns; (ii) to facilitate physiological processes during labour and delivery to ensure a clean and positive childbirth experience; and (iii) to identify and manage or refer women and/or newborns with complications [15]. Competency is measured by performance, which is based on the knowledge, skills, and behaviours required as a professional.

Studies in Cambodia revealed that there was a gap between practices and evidence-based guidelines, although substantial technical support in obstetric and midwifery training courses had been provided for two decades [16-20]. One possible reason behind this gap is a lack of evidence-based knowledge in maternity care [16, 20]. However, there has been no systematic assessment of knowledge on intrapartum care practices among skilled birth attendants in Cambodia.

Methods

Study Aims

This study aimed to address two questions: i) to what extent skilled birth attendants in the first-line health services in Cambodia have sufficient knowledge on management of intrapartum care; and ii) what factors are associated with the level of knowledge, especially previous training experiences among the health care providers.

Study design
This study employed a cross-sectional design.

**Study setting**

This study was jointly organised by a research project on the impact of ‘evidence-based midwifery care’ on maternal and neonatal outcomes, and a bilateral technical cooperation project between the Cambodian and Japanese governments. The former was conducted in selected first-line public health facilities in the capital city Phnom Penh. The latter is implemented by the National Maternal and Child Health Centre (NMCHC) in Cambodia and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), called ‘Project for Improving Continuum of Care with focus on Intrapartum and Neonatal Care in Cambodia’ (IINeoC). Its target areas are Kampong Cham and Svay Rieng provinces, which are in the central lowlands of the Mekong river and in southeastern Cambodia next to Vietnam, respectively. This study was conducted as a baseline survey in the two projects.

**Target facility and population**

Midwives and nurses were recruited working in maternity in the first-line public health facilities in Kampong Cham and Svay Rieng provinces, and Phnom Penh municipality. Health facilities which had 20 deliveries or more per month were selected for Phnom Penh, whereas all facilities were involved in Kampong Cham and Svay Rieng provinces. A list of health care personnel assisting deliveries was prepared prior to the survey. All participants were invited to the municipal or provincial health departments. The questionnaires were applied to those who agreed to participate. Data collection was conducted between 11 and 15 June 2018 in Svay Rieng, 9 and 12 July 2018 in Kampong Cham, and 16 and 17 July 2018 in Phnom Penh.

**Measurement of knowledge**

Two sets of self-administered questionnaires were prepared to measure knowledge on intrapartum care. The first questionnaire consisted of three sections with questions on monitoring items for three aspects of the partograph: progress of labour, foetal condition, and maternal condition. Every question was open-ended; therefore, the participants were asked to conduct free listing of the items. The answers were scored, and one point was given for each correct item specified by a participant. There are ten correct items in the first questionnaire, and therefore the total score ranges between zero and ten. After completion of the first questionnaire, the second one was distributed. It has sixteen questions which consist of nine items on knowledge of criteria of diagnosis or the normal range of diagnostic parameters, and seven questions on standard interval of monitoring during labour. Each question in the second questionnaire had a single correct answer except for questions on diagnosis of hypertension. The questions on hypertension displayed 42 different results of blood pressure and the participant was asked to distinguish instances of hypertension. A score of 0.024 was given for each of 42 correct answers. One point was given to each correct answer in the other questions. All twenty-six components of the questionnaire with the expected answers are shown in Table 1.
The most recent national protocol was referred, Safe Motherhood Clinical Management Protocols for Health Centers (2016) [21]. A draft of the questionnaire was prepared by the researchers (MM, YS, YM, and AI), and to make a final version it was consulted in a meeting involving obstetrics and maternity care experts from the Cambodian Society of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, the Cambodian Midwives Association, and NMCHC. The questionnaires were made in the Cambodian language (Khmer), which was confirmed by backtranslation into English. All technical terms were taken from the protocol.

Table 1. Contents of the questionnaires to measure knowledge on intrapartum care

I. Knowledge on monitoring items (10 items)

| Category               | Expected answer               |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Progress of labour     | Cervical dilatation           |
|                        | Uterine contraction           |
|                        | Foetal head descent           |
| Foetal condition       | Foetal heart rate (FHR)       |
|                        | Amniotic fluid                |
|                        | Moulding of foetal head       |
| Maternal condition     | Pulse                         |
|                        | Blood pressure                |
|                        | Body temperature              |
|                        | Urine volume                  |

II-1. Knowledge on criteria for diagnosis or normal range of the items (9 items)

| Category               | Question                                      | Expected answer                                      |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Progress of labour     | Beginning of active phase in the 1st stage    | From 3 cm of dilatation of uterine cervix            |
|                        | End of 1\(^{st}\) stage; or beginning of 2\(^{nd}\) stage | At full dilatation of uterine cervix                 |
|                        | End of 2\(^{nd}\) stage; or beginning of 3\(^{rd}\) stage | At expulsion of baby                                  |
|                        | End 3\(^{rd}\) stage; or beginning of 4\(^{th}\) stage | At expulsion of placenta                              |
|                        | End of 4\(^{th}\) stage                     | 2 hours after delivery                                |
| Foetal condition       | Upper normal limit of FHR                    | 160 beats per minutes                                 |
|                        | Lower normal limit of FHR                    | 120 beats per minutes                                 |
| Maternal condition     | Diagnosis of hypertension                    | Systolic blood pressure 140 mm Hg or more; or Diastolic blood pressure 90 mm Hg or more |
|                        | Abnormal amount of PPH                      | 500 ml or more                                        |

II-2. Knowledge on recommended interval of monitoring (7 items)

| Category               | Question                                      | Expected answer                                      |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Progress of labour     | Cervical dilatation, 1st stage                | Every 4 hours                                         |
|                        | Uterine contraction, 1st stage                | Every 30 minutes                                       |
| Foetal condition       | FHR, 1st stage                                 | Every 30 minutes                                       |
|                        | FHR, 2nd stage                                 | Every 5 minutes                                        |
| Maternal condition     | Pulse                                         | Every 1 hour                                          |
|                        | Blood pressure                                | Every 1 hour                                          |
**Information on study participants**

Information was collected on the participants regarding age, qualification, number of deliveries assisted in the prior month, and previous training experience. We selected three training courses as potential contributing factors on knowledge improvement, because these include a topic of monitoring and evaluation during labour. The titles of the courses are ‘Health centre midwifery course in NMCHC (HC-MW)’, ‘Partograph’, and ‘Basic Emergency Obstetric and Neonatal Care (BEmONC).’ The details of the training are described in Annex 1 (as an additional file 1).

**Data processing and analysis**

The sum points for each participant were calculated. Univariate analyses by Student’s t-test or analysis of variance, and a multiple linear regression analysis were performed to identify the relationships between the characteristics of the participants and their received score, using Stata version 15 (Stata Corp, USA).

**Results**

**Participants and their characteristics**

Of 542 eligible health care personnel in the three regions, 523 (96%) from 157 health facilities participated. Characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 2 [see page 29 of this manuscript]. Distribution of age was bimodal with modes in the twenties and forties. Midwife was dominant as a qualification. The median number of deliveries assisted was higher in Phnom Penh than in the two provinces. Previous experiences of attending obstetrics and midwifery training courses differed by region.
Knowledge on intrapartum care

Table 3a [see page 30 of this manuscript] shows that the overall mean score was 58% (SD 19%). Only 3% of the participants scored more than 90%. Table 3b [see page 31 of this manuscript] shows knowledge on monitoring items using the partograph. The lowest correct answer rates were for moulding (18%), amniotic uid (44%), maternal body temperature (41%), and maternal pulse (44%). For knowledge on criteria for diagnosis, only 62% and 49% of the participants correctly answered the questions on ‘commencement of active phase of the first stage of labour’ and ‘diagnosis criteria for post-partum haemorrhage’, respectively. For the knowledge on recommended intervals of monitoring, the correct
answer rates were between 33% and 57%. This indicates that more than half of the participants do not know the standard methods of monitoring during labour.

Table 3a. Knowledge on intrapartum care - Overall score in 26 items

| Province | Phnom Penh | Kampong Cham | Svay Rieng | Total |
|----------|------------|--------------|------------|-------|
| 0-10%    | 1          | 0            | 0          | 1     |
| 11-20%   | 4          | 1            | 10         | 15    |
| 21-30%   | 7          | 7            | 12         | 26    |
| 31-40%   | 13         | 33           | 26         | 53    |
| 41-50%   | 17         | 33           | 26         | 58    |
| 51-60%   | 17         | 37           | 30         | 84    |
| 61-70%   | 18         | 58           | 36         | 112   |
| 71-80%   | 16         | 59           | 19         | 94    |
| 81-90%   | 5          | 30           | 7          | 42    |
| 91-100%  | 3          | 11           | 1          | 15    |
| mean (SD)| 54.1% (19.3%) | 63.2% (17.1%) | 51.5% (18.5%) | 57.7% (18.7%) |

Table 3b. Knowledge on intrapartum care - Scores by each item

| Province | Phnom Penh | Kampong Cham | Svay Rieng | Total |
|----------|------------|--------------|------------|-------|
| I. Knowledge on monitoring items
| Progress of labour | Cervical dilatation | 69 | 68% | 186 | 77% | 106 | 63% | 371 | 71% |
| | Uterine contraction | 74 | 73% | 267 | 81% | 130 | 78% | 411 | 79% |
| | Foetal head descent | 58 | 57% | 154 | 60% | 77 | 46% | 239 | 59% |
| | Foetal heart rate | 97 | 96% | 260 | 98% | 149 | 88% | 496 | 95% |
| | Amniotic fluid | 50 | 50% | 130 | 51% | 49 | 28% | 229 | 44% |
| | Moulding of foetal head | 20 | 20% | 68 | 27% | 4 | 2% | 92 | 18% |
| Maternal conditions | Pulse | 59 | 60% | 148 | 59% | 89 | 53% | 306 | 59% |
| | Blood pressure | 69 | 69% | 148 | 59% | 89 | 53% | 306 | 59% |
| | Body temperature | 41 | 41% | 114 | 45% | 57 | 34% | 212 | 41% |
| | Urine volume | 42 | 42% | 173 | 69% | 62 | 37% | 277 | 53% |
| II. Knowledge on criteria for diagnosis or normal range of the items
| Progress of labour | Commencement of active phase | 63 | 62% | 150 | 59% | 110 | 66% | 323 | 62% |
| | End of the 1st stage | 71 | 70% | 234 | 92% | 116 | 68% | 421 | 80% |
| | End of the 2nd stage | 77 | 76% | 230 | 90% | 119 | 71% | 426 | 81% |
| | End of the 3rd stage | 71 | 70% | 199 | 78% | 116 | 69% | 366 | 74% |
| | End of the 4th stage | 48 | 48% | 164 | 64% | 87 | 52% | 299 | 57% |
| Foetal conditions | Upper normal limit of FHR | 72 | 71% | 197 | 77% | 129 | 77% | 398 | 76% |
| | Lower normal limit of FHR | 67 | 66% | 193 | 76% | 119 | 71% | 379 | 72% |
| Maternal conditions | Diagnosis of hypertension (correct answer rate) | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% |
| | 0-20% | 5 | 5% | 12 | 5% | 10 | 6% | 27 | 5% |
| | 21-40% | 4 | 4% | 9 | 4% | 8 | 5% | 21 | 4% |
| | 41-60% | 15 | 15% | 41 | 16% | 18 | 11% | 74 | 14% |
| | 61-80% | 27 | 27% | 168 | 42% | 71 | 43% | 266 | 59% |
| | 81-100% | 50 | 50% | 85 | 33% | 60 | 36% | 195 | 37% |
| median (IQR) | 44 | 44% | 149 | 59% | 62 | 37% | 255 | 49% |
| III. Knowledge on recommended interval of monitoring
| Progress of labour | Cervical dilatation, 1st stage | 43 | 43% | 137 | 54% | 90 | 54% | 270 | 52% |
| | Uterine contraction, 1st stage | 26 | 26% | 87 | 34% | 57 | 34% | 170 | 33% |
| | Foetal conditions | FHR, 1st stage | 48 | 48% | 169 | 65% | 79 | 47% | 296 | 57% |
| | | FHR, 2nd stage | 23 | 23% | 132 | 52% | 39 | 23% | 194 | 37% |
| Maternal conditions | Pulse rate | 35 | 35% | 166 | 62% | 55 | 33% | 196 | 37% |
| | Blood pressure | 43 | 43% | 113 | 44% | 51 | 31% | 207 | 40% |
| | Body temperature | 33 | 33% | 118 | 45% | 53 | 32% | 201 | 38% |

Correlating factors with knowledge on process of delivery
Tables 4 and 5 show the results of univariate and multivariate analyses between the characteristics of the participants and their score on the knowledge test, respectively. Univariate analyses revealed that province, age, qualification, and several previous training experiences had statistically significant associations with the score. However, those who had attended health centre midwife and partograph training courses significantly scored less than those who have not received the trainings. The number of deliveries assisted was not associated with the score.

Table 4. Relationship between characteristics of the participants and level of knowledge, univariate analysis

| Characteristics          | n  | Score (mean) | [SD] | p-value |
|--------------------------|----|--------------|------|---------|
| **Province**             |    |              |      |         |
| Phnom Penh               | 101| 54.1%        | 19.3%| <0.001  |
| Kampong Cham             | 255| 63.2%        | 17.1%|         |
| Svay Rieng               | 167| 51.5%        | 18.5%|         |
| **Age**                  |    |              |      |         |
| 21-30                    | 288| 62.4%        | 17.0%| <0.001  |
| 31-40                    | 79 | 59.8%        | 18.4%|         |
| 41-50                    | 108| 49.3%        | 17.8%|         |
| 51-60                    | 48 | 45.2%        | 19.7%|         |
| **Qualification**        |    |              |      |         |
| Midwife                  |    |              |      |         |
| bachelor                 | 35 | 65.6%        | 16.0%| <0.001  |
| secondary                | 309| 60.2%        | 17.7%|         |
| primary                  | 132| 49.7%        | 17.2%|         |
| Nurse                    |    |              |      |         |
| bachelor                 | 33 | 70.0%        | 17.2%|         |
| secondary                | 7  | 44.0%        | 25.3%|         |
| primary                  | 7  | 35.2%        | 17.5%|         |
| **Number of deliveries assisted (per month)** |    |              |      |         |
| 2 or less                | 182| 56.4%        | 19.7%| 0.16    |
| 3-5                      | 179| 59.9%        | 17.0%|         |
| 6 or more                | 162| 56.8%        | 19.4%|         |
| **Previous training experience** |    |              |      |         |
| Health center midwife    |    |              |      |         |
| yes                      | 103| 53.4%        | 19.2%| 0.009   |
| no                       | 420| 58.8%        | 18.5%|         |
| Partograph               |    |              |      |         |
| yes                      | 195| 54.0%        | 17.4%| <0.001  |
| no                       | 328| 59.9%        | 19.2%|         |
| EmONC                    |    |              |      |         |
| yes                      | 183| 58.2%        | 20.0%| 0.68    |
| no                       | 340| 57.5%        | 18.1%|         |
Multivariate linear regression analysis revealed that ‘Kampong Cham province’, ‘younger age’, and ‘higher qualification’ were significantly associated with a higher score. Previous experience in training courses was not associated with the score.

**Table 5. Relationship between characteristics of the participants and level of knowledge, multiple linear regression analysis**

| Factors                  | β-coefficient | (95% CI)    | p-value |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Province                 |               |             |         |
| Phnom Penh               | 2.4           | (-1.9, 6.6) | 0.27    |
| Kampong Cham             | 12.7          | (9.4, 16.0) | <0.001  |
| Svay Rieng               | ref           |             |         |
| Age                      |               |             |         |
| 21-30                    | 13.1          | (7.5, 18.6) | <0.001  |
| 31-40                    | 9.0           | (2.8, 15.1) | 0.004   |
| 41-50                    | 0.3           | (-5.3, 5.9) | 0.91    |
| 51-60                    | ref           |             |         |
| Qualification            |               |             |         |
| Bachelor MW / Ns         | 15.9          | (6.0, 25.8) | 0.002   |
| Secondary MW             | 11.2          | (2.2, 20.2) | 0.015   |
| Primary MW               | 3.4           | (-5.7, 12.5)| 0.46    |
| Secondary / Primary Ns   | ref           |             |         |
| Previous training experience |            |             |         |
| HC-MW                    | 1.45          | (-2.5, 5.4) | 0.47    |
| Partograph               | 0.05          | (-3.3, 3.4) | 0.98    |

Misclassification of monitoring items during delivery

The proportion of wrong answers in the three questions on items to be monitored during labour is shown in Table 6. Sixty-one percent of the participants answered ‘uterine contraction’ as an item of foetal condition. ‘Cervical dilatation’ and ‘foetal head descent’ were categorised as a maternal condition by 42% and 44% of the participants, respectively. ‘Amniotic fluid’ was categorised as progress of labour by 35%. Vital signs of a mother were categorised as progress of labour by between 20% and 29%.
Table 6. Misclassification of monitoring items during labour [n=523]

| Category           | Item                        | Progress of labour | Foetal condition | Maternal condition |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Progress of labour | Cervical dilatation         |                    | 12.2%            | 41.9%             |
|                    | Uterine contraction         |                    | 61.4%            | 26.4%             |
|                    | Foetal head descent         |                    | 16.6%            | 44.4%             |
| Foetal condition   | Foetal heart rate           |                    | 19.5%            | 26.2%             |
|                    | Amniotic fluid              |                    | 34.6%            | 18.8%             |
|                    | Moulding of foetal head     |                    | 2.1%             | 2.1%              |
| Maternal condition | Pulse                       |                    | 22.9%            | 7.1%              |
|                    | Blood pressure              |                    | 29.3%            | 5.7%              |
|                    | Temperature                 |                    | 19.9%            | 5.2%              |
|                    | Urine volume                |                    | 21.8%            | 12.2%             |

Discussion

This study shows that the level of knowledge necessary to monitor and evaluate the labour process is low among skilled birth attendants in the study area. Younger age and higher levels of qualification were significantly associated with higher knowledge. Those who work in Kampong Cham scored significantly higher than those in the other two regions. Previous training courses did not contribute to the current knowledge level.

The total numbers of deliveries in the study participant facilities in 2017 were 8950, 12860, and 8509 in Phnom Penh, Kampong Cham, and Svay Rieng, respectively, which accounted for 22%, 47%, and 61% of all births in each region. These figures indicate that a substantial proportion of deliveries were conducted by trained health staff without a higher level of knowledge on monitoring the progress of delivery. Knowledge ensures quality of care through correct gathering and appropriate interpretation of information from a pregnant woman and foetus as well as translation of knowledge into practice. Sharing information on clinical course and its management plan facilitates practicing team medicine. Referring to the framework for quality maternal and newborn care proposed by Renfrew et al. [22], a lack of basic knowledge to monitor and evaluate the labour course hampers the ‘assessment of progress of labour.’ Therefore, further practices will not be realised, including ‘promotion of normal processes and prevention of complications’ and ‘first-line management of complications.’ Maintaining a sufficient level of knowledge is crucial to providing quality care, which contributes to reduce unnecessary morbidity and mortality both in mothers and babies.
This study has shown that age and qualification affected the level of knowledge. Cambodia experienced genocides during the Pol-Pot regime between 1975 and 1979 following a decade of civil conflict. Severe shortage of health care professionals and schools to provide appropriate training was a main issue in health service provision in Cambodia since the early 1980s. One-year training for ‘primary midwife’ and ‘primary nurse’ was created in 1989 to rapidly increase the number of health personnel, although the quality of the courses was untested and questionable [23]. A systematic review on the determinants of quality midwifery care has suggested that short-term training of birth attendants is far from the international standard [24]. However, the primary midwife course in Cambodia was maintained until 2015. Durations of undergraduate training are three and four years for secondary and bachelor midwives, respectively [25, 26]. This history and duration of education could be contributing factors for higher knowledge levels among bachelor and secondary midwives than for nurses and primary midwives.

Undergraduate training was observed to be insufficient, and the competency of health care professionals may be improved by the provision of postgraduate training. However, in this study none of the selected training courses showed significant relationship with level of knowledge. Possible factors underlying this finding are either deficiency in the providers of the training or in the participants. For the provider side, it may be because the quality of the training was poor, or little effort such as supervision was made to maintain knowledge of the participants after the training. It may be also due to characteristics of the participants in the previous training; those who had less competency participated in the courses, therefore, they could not acquire knowledge from the training courses. Although it is difficult to retrospectively evaluate the quality of the previous training, we have confirmed that the selected courses contained appropriate modules for monitoring of labour and delivery. Trainers for the courses were experienced medical doctors or midwives from NMCHC; therefore, low quality of training was unlikely. Studies on training experience in neonatal resuscitation have shown that knowledge and skills deteriorate in the absence of active continuous education with mentoring [27, 28]. Studies on contributing factors of effective learning have shown that the learning environment in the workplace and supportive supervision are key issues [29, 30]. Knowledgeable and skilled preceptors are required who can facilitate other staff members. However, in our study area the average number of birth attendants is 3.5 per facility (542 eligible persons in 157 facilities), and the number of bachelor holders is limited. Because of the shift work nature of health facilities, there would be little opportunity for birth attendants to share information on and discuss findings of labour courses with their colleagues. It implies that it is difficult to expect to conduct self-learning activities in each facility.

The Midwifery Coordination Alliance Team (MCAT) meeting, which was initiated in 2007 in Cambodia, is a mechanism to provide a link between midwives in health centres and hospitals as well as district staff. Its principal components are supervision and feedback for problem solving for common health centre issues, discussion on referred and complicated cases, and updating knowledge to refresh clinical skills [31-33]. Although a national plan intends to scale up the MCAT meetings to all districts [34], to date activities have been organised only in areas where external financial and technical supports are available. Of the three provinces in this study, currently only Kampong Cham has regular MCAT activity [35]. This
may explain the higher knowledge level in Kampong Cham than in the other two provinces, although we have no supporting evidence since there was no comparable study using control groups.

Substantial proportions of misclassification of monitoring items during labour were found in this study: 61% answered uterine contraction as a foetal condition; 44% answered foetal head descent, and 26% answered foetal heart rate as maternal conditions; and 29% answered blood pressure as a progress of labour. The participants might have responded without due consideration and rather with an intention of increasing their score. If this is the case, it is a sign of lack of self-confidence, which is a part of professional wisdom of midwifery [36]. These findings also imply that their manner of comprehension was not well structured. As early as the year 1882, Lusk stated that midwifery practice should be based on physiological and pathological investigations, and as a natural outcome of scientific principles [37]. Science requires classification of a phenomenon to understand its background and nature. Important tools for classification in midwifery practice are basic medical sciences, namely physiology and anatomy. Therefore, the misclassifications of monitoring items during delivery imply a lack of understanding among the study participants of physiology and anatomy in pregnancy, labour, and childbirth.

Recall bias could influence the association between previous training experiences and the knowledge level. The study participants might not declare or even forget their previous attendance in training if it was more than several years prior. However, this potential bias would not affect our findings. Those who did not declare previous training experience would have less knowledge due to a decline in their memory. Therefore, the direction of this potential bias would be to strengthen the relationship between the training experience and the score. However, our findings show that the training has no significant relationship with the score.

Conclusion

Our study found that knowledge is insufficient on management of delivery among skilled birth attendants in Cambodia. Previous experience of a single training course did not influence knowledge levels, but MCAT activities might positively contribute. Case-based learning and clinical simulations with repetitive courses for in-service training are recommended to improve knowledge and skill [38, 39]. However, considering the potential deficit in knowledge of physiology and anatomy for midwifery care, the mere provision of clinical training will not change the situation substantially. Physiology and anatomy are foundations of clinical diagnosis, which aims to distinguish pathological states from normal conditions. Building skills and competencies for managing both normal and complicated deliveries is not realistic without a strong background in knowledge of anatomy and physiology. We speculate that the lack of knowledge in basic science abets unnecessary interventions and over-medicalisation in maternity care in Cambodia [40]. Restructuring both pre- and in-service training is required to overcome the constraint in knowledge. Training courses on delivery care should facilitate understanding the mechanism of labour progress as well as its foundation in physiology and anatomy. Studies have suggested that the introduction of conceptual models and core principles of basic science in clinical training would facilitate interplay of knowledge and experience, which enables the participants to explain ‘what she is doing and
why' [36, 41, 42]. Further intervention with its evaluation should be attempted to improve the knowledge of intrapartum care among birth attendants and to provide quality maternity services in Cambodia.
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