Synergistic Interaction of Clusters of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles and Reduced Graphene Oxide for High Supercapacitor Performance
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Abstract: Supercapacitors have been recognized as one of the more promising energy storage devices, with great potential use in portable electronics and hybrid vehicles. In this study, a composite made of clusters of iron oxide (FeO\textsubscript{4}–γFeO\textsubscript{3}) nanoparticles and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) has been developed through a simple one-step solvothermal synthesis method for a high-performance supercapacitor electrode. Electrochemical assessment via cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge–discharge experiments, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) revealed that the FeO\textsubscript{4}–γFeO\textsubscript{3}/rGO nanocomposite showed much higher specific capacitance than either rGO or bare clusters of FeO\textsubscript{4}–γFeO\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles. In particular, specific capacitance values of 100 F g\textsuperscript{-1}, 250 F g\textsuperscript{-1}, and 528 F g\textsuperscript{-1} were obtained for the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles, rGO, and the hybrid nanostructure, respectively. The enhancement of the electrochemical performance of the composite material may be attributed to the synergistic interaction between the layers of graphene oxide and the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles. The intimate contact between the two phases eliminates the interface, thus enabling facile electron transport, which is key to attaining high specific capacitance and, consequently, enhanced charge–discharge time. Performance evaluation in consecutive cycles has demonstrated that the composite material retains 110% of its initial capacitance after 3000 cycles, making it a promising candidate for supercapacitors.
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1. Introduction

Supercapacitors have attracted considerable attention as energy storage devices for various applications, such as portable electronics, pulse power technologies, and hybrid vehicles, owing to their unique properties in terms of high power density, fast charge–discharge capability, excellent cycling stability, reduced weight and size, easy operation, and higher energy efficiency over batteries and fuel cells [1–4]. Supercapacitors have been classified into two categories, i.e., electrical double-layer capacitors (EDLCs) and pseudocapacitors. The first class of electrochemical supercapacitors supply electrical energy via the accumulation of charge at the electrode/electrolyte interface, whilst the pseudocapacitors can supply energy through charge transfer redox reactions occurring at the electrode surface [5–7]. The latter class, in which the electrode is mostly based on transition metal oxides, exhibits better capacitive behavior [8]. Among the various metal oxides, iron-based oxides and hydroxides, e.g., single oxides (FeO\textsubscript{3}, FeO\textsubscript{4}, FeO\textsubscript{OH}, etc.) and binary metal oxides (MFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (M = Ni, Co, Sn, Mn, Cu), etc.) have attracted increasing attention due to the multiple oxidation states of iron, their rich redox chemistry, their low toxicity, and their abundance on Earth, which make them suitable for commercial applications [9–11]. In particular, FeO\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}– and FeO\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}–based nanostructured materials for supercapacitors have
been widely investigated [9]. The applicability of bare Fe$_2$O$_3$ is limited as its poor electrical conductivity ($10^{-14}$ S cm$^{-1}$) and insufficient ionic diffusion rate result in a specific capacitance still far below the high theoretical expected value [12,13]. To overcome these issues, several approaches, including the construction of Fe$_2$O$_3$ composite electrodes using conductive supports [14,15], oxygen vacancy-induced Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes [13,16], or the fabrication of Fe$_2$O$_3$-Fe$_3$O$_4$ hybrid metal oxide composites, have been reported [17,18]. The relatively good electrical conductivity of Fe$_3$O$_4$ ($10^2$–$10^3$ S cm$^{-1}$) was explored in combination with the poorly conductive Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase by Chen et al. [19], who reported a $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ heterostructured nanoparticle, and by Tang et al. [17], who fabricated hierarchical Fe$_2$O$_3$@Fe$_3$O$_4$ core–shell nanorod arrays, in which Fe$_3$O$_4$ was used as a conductive support. The synergistic effect between Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ phases results in an electrochemical performance superior to that exhibited by the individual components. However, despite their initial promising capacitive behavior, they generally undergo deactivation upon use in consecutive cycles [20,21]. This poor capacitive performance and bad cycling stability are mainly due to the agglomeration of Fe$_3$O$_4$ during the charging/discharging process, which results in a low surface area and structural pulverization.

An effective strategy to prevent the agglomeration of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ materials is to integrate them with highly conductive carbon-based materials. For instance, Fe$_3$O$_4$ electrodes incorporating activated carbon [22,23], acetylene black [9], graphene [24,25], graphite [26], and carbon nanotubes [11,27] have been reported as efficient materials for supercapacitors, in which the carbon-based component can work as a conductive channel for electron diffusion. In comparison to carbon nanotubes and activated carbon, graphene-based materials possess enhanced mechanical properties, higher electrical conductivity, and a larger surface area [9], which makes them suitable candidates for supercapacitor applications. Composites based on magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) nanoparticles in combination with rGO [28], N-doped graphene [29] or graphene sheets [30] have been extensively reported, but the number of studies approaching the introduction of clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles decorating the graphene sheets is relatively scarce [31].

In this study, we report the synthesis of a composite based on clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles and rGO through a simple one-step solvothermal synthesis, and its electrochemical behavior, using the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles and/or the rGO as reference materials. The synthesized samples have been fully characterized, and their performance has been evaluated by cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge–discharge experiments, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), and long-term cyclic stability.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (>99%), sodium acetate (>99%), poly(ethylene glycol) (MW 6000, PEG 6000), graphene oxide (GO) powder (flakes), ethanol (synthesis grade), 5 wt. % Nafion$^\text{TM}$ perfluorinated resin solution, potassium hydroxide (>85%), sodium acrylate (97%), diethylene glycol (DEG, >99%), and ethylene glycol (EG, >99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain), and were used as received.

2.2. Materials Synthesis

The synthesis of clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles was conducted via the solvothermal method using a previously reported synthetic protocol [32]. Typically, 2.5 mmol (0.678 g) of iron(III) chloride hexahydrate were dissolved in 20 mL of ethylene glycol, and mechanically stirred to the formation of a clear solution, followed by the addition of sodium acetate (1.8 g) and polyethylene glycol (PEG 6000) (8.5 wt. %). The mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 min and then sealed in a stainless steel autoclave (100 mL). The autoclave was heated up to 185 °C (heating rate = 5 °C min$^{-1}$) and kept at this temperature for 8 h under stirring (1500 rpm). Finally, the mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature and the black powder was collected using a magnet. The resulting nanostructure was washed several times with Milli-Q water, and dried at 60 °C overnight.
Reduced graphene oxide–iron oxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$-$\gamma$Fe$_2$O$_3$/rGO) hybrid nanocomposites were synthesized following a previously reported method with some modifications [33]. Briefly, 0.05 g of GO sheets were dispersed in 20 mL of an EG:DEG mixture (EG:DEG = 1:19 vol.%) under ultrasonication. Subsequently, 1 g of sodium acrylate, 0.678 g of iron chloride hexahydrate, and 1.8 g of sodium acetate were added into the suspension, followed by the addition of 1.7 g (8.5 wt. %) of PEG. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a homogeneous dispersion was obtained followed by the solvothermal treatment in a stainless steel autoclave using the same conditions as described for the preparation of clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles. In this case, sodium acrylate acts as a stabilizer preventing the aggregation and sodium acetate assists in the reduction of FeCl$_3$ to Fe$_3$O$_4$ by altering the alkalinity [33]. The as-prepared composite was collected using a magnet and washed several times with ethanol and water, and then dried at 60 °C overnight. A control sample (Fe$_3$O$_4$-$\gamma$Fe$_2$O$_3$/rGO-C) was prepared using 0.015 g of GO sheets and following the same experimental procedure. Similarly, pure rGO was synthesized and used as a reference.

2.3. Materials Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected using a Siemens D-5000 powder X-ray diffractometer operated in Bragg Brentano geometry using Ni-filtered Cu K$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda$ = 0.1541 nm). Data were recorded in the 2$\theta$ range 10–80° with an angular step size of 0.026° and a counting time of 1 s per step. The collected data were refined using the Le Bail method by means of the software Rietica [34,35]. Raman spectra were collected from powder samples onto a glass slide as substrate, with a Renishaw in Via Reflex Raman microscope (Renishaw, Gloucestershire, UK). Experiments were conducted at room temperature using 532 and 633 nm excitation wavelengths. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was conducted on a JEOL JSM-6700 F. Samples for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies were prepared by dropping a diluted suspension of the samples onto ultra-thin carbon-coated copper grids. Imaging was performed on a JEOL JEM 1010 instrument operated at 100 kV and equipped with a CCD camera (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

2.4. Electrochemical Tests

The electrochemical measurements were conducted in a standard three-electrode cell configuration using a PalmSens4® potentiostat. The electrochemical cell was filled with 25 mL of a 3 M KOH solution prepared with Milli-Q water. A Pt wire spiral and a Ag/AgCl (3.0 M KCl) were used as the counter and reference electrode, respectively. The working electrodes were prepared as described elsewhere [36]. In total, 0.3 mg of the sample was dispersed in 24 $\mu$L of ethanol and then 6 $\mu$L of the prepared solution was drop-cast onto a carbon gas diffusion layer (1 cm × 1 cm; Sigracet 39BB) four times followed by the addition of 3 $\mu$L of 5 wt. % perfluorinated Nafion™ resin solution, which acts as a binder. Cyclic voltammetries, galvanostatic charge–discharge scanning experiments at different scan rates, and cyclic stability tests at a 50 mV s$^{-1}$ scan rate (3000 cycles) were conducted in the −0.1 to +0.35 V potential window. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data were obtained at the respective open circuit potential, from 50 kHz down to 0.1 Hz, taking 10 frequencies per decade, with ±5 mV sinusoidal voltage excitation.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Sample Characterization

The clusters herein studied were obtained through a solvothermal method. The formation mechanism proceeds via a two-stage growth process, with nucleation of the primary nanocrystals followed by uniform aggregation into larger secondary structures [37], whose size is primarily determined by the polyethylene glycol concentration in the reaction medium. Figure 1 and Figure S1 (see Supplementary Materials) include the TEM and SEM images of these clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles and of the iron oxide/reduced
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3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Sample Characterization

The XRD results of the samples are compiled in Figure 2a. The diffractogram of rGO displays a broad peak at 2θ = 25° that corresponds to the (002) plane. Clusters of Fe3O4-γFe2O3 nanoparticles are composed of crystallites of small sizes whose crystalline structure corresponds to either magnetite (Fe3O4), maghemite (γ-Fe2O3), or a mixture of them, as both iron oxides present the same spinel structure (on which Fe3+ or Fe2+ cations or Fe3+ cations and vacancies are arranged, respectively). Similarly, these diffraction peaks along with the broad band between 20° and 30° associated with rGO are detected in the hybrid structure. Indeed, the intensity of the latter is quite low, which might be due to its highly disordered structure because of oxidation and the low content. In order to shed light on the nature of the iron oxide species in the samples, we completed the structural analysis using Raman spectroscopy (Figure 2b). This technique enables us to register the different vibrations of the crystalline lattice due to different cationic arrangements [38,39], and can therefore differentiate the two magnetic iron oxide phases. The Raman spectrum of the clusters of Fe3O4/γ-Fe2O3 nanoparticles displays four main bands, which can be associated to the A1g vibration mode of the magnetite (at 668 cm⁻¹) and three broad bands centered at 350 cm⁻¹, 500 cm⁻¹, and 700 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the T2g, E_g, and A1g modes of maghemite, respectively [40–42]. Other characteristic features of the synthesized material, such as the black coloration of the powders and their strong interaction with external magnetic fields, were also observed. In the case of the rGO-based hybrids, the Raman spectrum displays the two characteristic bands of rGO, i.e., D and G at 1348 cm⁻¹ and 1594 cm⁻¹, respectively, along with the characteristic intensity band ca. 680 cm⁻¹ associated with the A1g modes of the Fe3O4 (668 cm⁻¹) and γ-Fe2O3 (700 cm⁻¹) magnetic phases.

The XRD results of the samples are compiled in Figure 2a. The diffractogram of rGO displays a broad peak at 2θ = 25° that corresponds to the (002) plane. Clusters of Fe3O4-γFe2O3 nanoparticles are composed of crystallites of small sizes whose crystalline structure corresponds to either magnetite (Fe3O4), maghemite (γ-Fe2O3), or a mixture of them, as both iron oxides present the same spinel structure (on which Fe3+ or Fe2+ cations or Fe3+ cations and vacancies are arranged, respectively). Similarly, these diffraction peaks along with the broad band between 20° and 30° associated with rGO are detected in the hybrid structure. Indeed, the intensity of the latter is quite low, which might be due to its highly disordered structure because of oxidation and the low content. In order to shed light on the nature of the iron oxide species in the samples, we completed the structural analysis using Raman spectroscopy (Figure 2b). This technique enables us to register the different vibrations of the crystalline lattice due to different cationic arrangements [38,39], and can therefore differentiate the two magnetic iron oxide phases. The Raman spectrum of the clusters of Fe3O4/γ-Fe2O3 nanoparticles displays four main bands, which can be associated to the A1g vibration mode of the magnetite (at 668 cm⁻¹) and three broad bands centered at 350 cm⁻¹, 500 cm⁻¹, and 700 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the T2g, E_g, and A1g modes of maghemite, respectively [40–42]. Other characteristic features of the synthesized material, such as the black coloration of the powders and their strong interaction with external magnetic fields, were also observed. In the case of the rGO-based hybrids, the Raman spectrum displays the two characteristic bands of rGO, i.e., D and G at 1348 cm⁻¹ and 1594 cm⁻¹, respectively, along with the characteristic intensity band ca. 680 cm⁻¹ associated with the A1g modes of the Fe3O4 (668 cm⁻¹) and γ-Fe2O3 (700 cm⁻¹) magnetic phases.

The XRD results of the samples are compiled in Figure 2a. The diffractogram of rGO displays a broad peak at 2θ = 25° that corresponds to the (002) plane. Clusters of Fe3O4-γFe2O3 nanoparticles are composed of crystallites of small sizes whose crystalline structure corresponds to either magnetite (Fe3O4), maghemite (γ-Fe2O3), or a mixture of them, as both iron oxides present the same spinel structure (on which Fe3+ or Fe2+ cations or Fe3+ cations and vacancies are arranged, respectively). Similarly, these diffraction peaks along with the broad band between 20° and 30° associated with rGO are detected in the hybrid structure. Indeed, the intensity of the latter is quite low, which might be due to its highly disordered structure because of oxidation and the low content. In order to shed light on the nature of the iron oxide species in the samples, we completed the structural analysis using Raman spectroscopy (Figure 2b). This technique enables us to register the different vibrations of the crystalline lattice due to different cationic arrangements [38,39], and can therefore differentiate the two magnetic iron oxide phases. The Raman spectrum of the clusters of Fe3O4/γ-Fe2O3 nanoparticles displays four main bands, which can be associated to the A1g vibration mode of the magnetite (at 668 cm⁻¹) and three broad bands centered at 350 cm⁻¹, 500 cm⁻¹, and 700 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the T2g, E_g, and A1g modes of maghemite, respectively [40–42]. Other characteristic features of the synthesized material, such as the black coloration of the powders and their strong interaction with external magnetic fields, were also observed. In the case of the rGO-based hybrids, the Raman spectrum displays the two characteristic bands of rGO, i.e., D and G at 1348 cm⁻¹ and 1594 cm⁻¹, respectively, along with the characteristic intensity band ca. 680 cm⁻¹ associated with the A1g modes of the Fe3O4 (668 cm⁻¹) and γ-Fe2O3 (700 cm⁻¹) magnetic phases.
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3.2. Electrochemical Measurements

The electrochemical performance of the samples was investigated by cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge–discharge scanning experiments at different scan rates, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. Figure 3 shows the cyclic voltammetries obtained from \(-0.1 \, \text{V} \) to \(+0.35 \, \text{V} \) in \(3 \, \text{M KOH} \) at different scan rates ranging from \(2 \, \text{mV s}^{-1} \) to \(50 \, \text{mV s}^{-1} \). The specific capacitance \((C_s) \) (\(\text{F g}^{-1} \)) is calculated from the cyclic voltammetry curves using Equation (1) [36], in which \(\Delta I \) corresponds to the difference in the peak oxidation and reduction currents in amperes (A), \(m\) is the mass loading in grams (g), and \(v\) is the scan rate, in \(\text{V s}^{-1} \).

\[
C_s = \frac{\Delta I}{m \, v}
\]  

(1)

The values obtained for a scan rate of \(2 \, \text{mV s}^{-1} \) scan rate were 100 F g\(^{-1}\), 250 F g\(^{-1}\), and 528 F g\(^{-1}\) for the clusters of \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4-\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\) nanoparticles, the rGO sheets, and the \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3-\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/r\text{GO}\) hybrid structure, respectively (Figure 3). Chen et al. reported a specific capacitance of 262.1 F g\(^{-1}\) for \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/r\text{GO}\) composites at the same scan rate and using \(1 \, \text{M Na}_2\text{SO}_3\) as the electrolyte [43], and Qi et al. reported a value of 350.6 F g\(^{-1}\) for \(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/r\text{GO}\) composites at a scan rate of \(1 \, \text{mV s}^{-1} \) and using \(6 \, \text{M KOH}\) as the electrolyte [44]. On the other hand, Sheng et al. reported a graphene/\(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\) nanocomposite that exhibits a specific capacitance of 268 F g\(^{-1}\) at \(2 \, \text{mV s}^{-1} \) using \(1 \, \text{M Na}_2\text{SO}_4\) as the electrolyte [25], and the fabrication of magnetite (\(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\))-decorated carbon nanotubes with a specific capacitance of 145.4 F g\(^{-1}\) at \(2 \, \text{mV s}^{-1} \) using \(0.5 \, \text{M Na}_2\text{SO}_4\) as electrolyte was reported by Nawwar et al. [11]. Additional data are included in Table S1 for comparative purposes. The energy density is 14.85 W h kg\(^{-1}\) at a power density of 1116.5 W kg\(^{-1}\), which is in line with previously reported results [15,45]. The clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles show the lowest specific capacitance of the three materials under study, which could be ascribed to the low conductivity of the iron oxide phases and/or poor electrolyte access to the surface of the particles. These aspects are improved in the case of rGO sheets, as it can be seen from the comparison of this material with the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles. In the case of the hybrid structure, in which the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles are
interlayered in between the conductive rGO sheets, the specific surface area is expected to increase, thus increasing the electrolyte permeation through the rGO layers [31]. Figure 3d summarizes the specific capacitance values as a function of the scan rate. For a uniformly accessible surface, the capacitance should not depend on the scan rate, since the variations in the scan rates should result in equal magnitude variations of the difference in the peak oxidation and reduction currents given in Equation (1) [46]. However, the data presented in Figure 3d point to an unevenly accessible surface. The specific capacitance decreases exponentially with the increase in the scan rate, which points towards a decrease in the active surface. This can arise from the fact that, while at lower scan rates the electric field is able to penetrate the whole electrode structure, for higher scan rates only the outer part of the electrode material participates in the charging/discharging process [47].

To confirm this hypothesis, EIS measurements were carried out on three selected systems: bare clusters of Fe₃O₄-γ-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, the control sample Fe₃O₄-γFe₂O₃/rGO-C, and the Fe₃O₄-γFe₂O₃/rGO nanocomposite material. Figure 4 includes representative EIS Nyquist plots obtained for the systems tested, as well as the equivalent circuit employed for the data modeling. The Nyquist impedance plot of Fe₃O₄-γ-Fe₂O₃ clearly shows two domains in the low-frequency region, a capacitive arc section between 10 and 1 Hz, and a straight line with a 45° slope at the lowest frequencies. Moreover, the inset in Figure 4a, which corresponds to the high-frequency limit, clearly shows a depleted capacitive arc starting at about a 45° slope. This shape is characteristic of porous electrodes with de Levie type impedance behavior [48]. Figure 4b compiles the Nyquist impedance plot of the Fe₃O₄-γFe₂O₃/rGO hybrid material. Although the shape of the diagram is very different from that of the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles, the same conclusions can be extracted if overlapping in the time constants in the high and medium frequency ranges is considered. The depletion of the high capacitive arc remains close to 45°. The porous structure
for Fe₃O₄-based systems was described first for magnetite scales in heat exchangers [49], and later applied to other systems such as particulate zinc-rich coatings [50], conversion coatings for Li-ion batteries [51], or aged passive layers in concrete [52].

The low-frequency feature, i.e., the 45° tilt in the Nyquist plot of Figure 4a, is characteristic of a planar diffusion. The nanostructured electrodes behave in this way as flat electrodes due to the overlapping of the concentration profiles [53]. A relevant parameter for this research is the effect of rGO on the electrical conductivity of the nanostructured layer of particles. The EIS data can provide such information via a suitable electrical equivalent circuit that accounts for the physical phenomena above discussed.

Figure 4. Nyquist impedance plots for (a) the clusters of Fe₃O₄-γFe₂O₃ nanoparticles and the control sample Fe₃O₄-γFe₂O₃/rGO-C and (b) Fe₃O₄-γFe₂O₃/rGO hybrid material. (c) Electrical equivalent circuit employed to model the experimental EIS data.

The low-frequency feature, i.e., the 45° tilt in the Nyquist plot of Figure 4a, is characteristic of a planar diffusion. The nanostructured electrodes behave in this way as flat
electrodes due to the overlapping of the concentration profiles [53]. A relevant parameter for this research is the effect of rGO on the electrical conductivity of the nanostructured layer of particles. The EIS data can provide such information via a suitable electrical equivalent circuit that accounts for the physical phenomena above discussed.

Figure 4c includes a scheme of the electrical equivalent circuit employed to model the experimental EIS data. \( R_m \) accounts for the resistivity (electronic conduction) of the solid phase, i.e., the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles or the nanocomposite material, and \( R_s \) corresponds to the resistivity (ionic conduction) associated with the electrolyte filling the pore layers. \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) account for the interfacial impedances at the pore wall and bottom, respectively, and \( Z_d \) accounts for the impedance associated with ionic diffusion to and from the electrode in the conditions of the quiescent solution employed.

The impedance functions \( Z_1, Z_2, \) and \( Z_d \) are defined as follows:

\[
Z_1(\omega) = \frac{R_1}{1 + j\omega R_1 C_1} \quad \text{(applies to Fe}_3\text{O}_4-\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ and } \gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/rGO-C) (2)
\]

\[
Z_1(\omega) = R_1 \left( 1 + (j\omega R_1 C_1)^{-\alpha_1} \right) \quad \text{(applies to Fe}_3\text{O}_4-\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/rGO) (3)
\]

\[
Z_2(\omega) = \frac{R_2}{1 + (j\omega R_2 C_2)^{\alpha_2}} \quad \text{(4)}
\]

\[
Z_d(\omega) = R_d \frac{\sqrt{j\omega\tau_d}}{\tan h \sqrt{j\omega\tau_d}} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

in which \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) correspond to the charge transfer resistances at the pore wall and bottom, respectively; \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are the corresponding parallel double layer capacitances; \( R_d \) represents the diffusion resistance; \( \tau_d \) is the time constant; \( j = \sqrt{-1} \) and \( \omega = 2\pi f \), in which \( f \) represents the frequency. \( \alpha_2 \) accounts for the Cole–Cole-type dispersion of the \( R_2C_2 \) time constant, which is associated to heterogeneities at the pores bottom. The \( R_1C_1 \) time constant in Equation (3) requires \( \alpha_1 \) to improve the fitting.

Equations (2) and (3) illustrate the remarkable difference between the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles and the nanocomposite material. The former shows conductive behavior (Equation (2)), possibly ascribed to the redox transformation between \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) and \( \gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) (\( 2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 2\text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow 3\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \)) [54]. In contrast, the hybrid exhibits blocking interfacial behavior (Equation (3)). The diffusion impedance defined in Equation (5) probably relates to the flow of \( \text{OH}^- \) species involved in the redox process and is not present in the hybrid structure, which is consistent with the blocking character of the pore walls that hinder the ionic flow.

The selected electrical equivalent model is able to reproduce accurately the experimental EIS data. The parameters compiled in Table 1 show that the only relevant difference between the bare \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4-\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) nanostucture and \( \gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/rGO-C \) seems to be, as expected, the lower electronic conductivity of the materials, which increases by more than two orders of magnitude upon the introduction of rGO (\( R_m \) decreases from 11,200 to 23 \( \Omega \) cm). This increase is accompanied by minor changes in the porosity of the pore network, as \( R_s \) increased only from 16 to 24 \( k\Omega \) cm. The active surface increased by one order of magnitude, as indicated by the change in \( C_1 \) from 7.1 to 63.1 \( \mu \text{F cm}^{-2} \). Concerning the low-frequency part of the spectra, the diffusion process from the electrolyte to the solid material, or vice versa, seems to slow down in the presence of rGO (\( \tau_d \) increases from 0.23 to 17.7 s and \( R_d \) from 38.9 to 247.4 \( \Omega \) cm\(^2\)). This could be ascribed to the increased diffusion length due to the faster charge transfer associated with the higher active surface and higher conductivity of the \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4-\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/rGO-C \). Considering the thickness of the diffusion layer (\( \delta \)), as \( \delta = \sqrt{D\tau} \) and diffusivity \( D = 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \), this parameter increases from 15 to 130 \( \mu \text{m} \) due to the presence of rGO.
Table 1. Fitting parameter values obtained for the three systems investigated using the equivalent circuit depicted in Figure 4c. The thickness L of the nanostructured systems was fixed at 3.5 μm. R₀ corresponds to the resistance of the electrolyte outside the sample, i.e., between the reference electrode and the surface of the sample, which depends on the position of the reference electrode with respect to the sample.

|                          | Rₛ/Ω cm⁻¹ | Rₓ/kΩ cm | Rₓ/kΩ cm | Cₓ/mF cm⁻² | αₓ | Rₓ/kΩ cm | Cₓ/mF cm⁻² | αₓ | Rₓ/Ω cm² | τₚ/s |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----|-----------|-------------|----|-----------|-------|
| Fe₃O₄-Fe₃O₄              | 0.2        | 11.2      | 16        | 1.1         | 7.1| -         | 20.8        | 9.3| 0.639     | 38.9  |
| Fe₃O₄-Fe₂O₃/rGO-C        | 1.8        | 0.023     | 24        | 1.6         | 63.1| -         | 20.6        | 15.0| 0.657     | 247.4 |
| Fe₃O₄-Fe₂O₃/rGO         | 0.1        | 5.5       | 6.2       | 7 × 10⁻³    | 56 × 10⁻¹| 0.905     | 2 × 10⁻¹    | 0.846| -         | -     |

In the case of the composite material, although the Nyquist impedance plot is different from that of either the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles or the control sample Fe₃O₄-Fe₂O₃/rGO-C, the electrical equivalent model is very similar, indicating an analogous structure of the composite layer. The latter exhibits a higher C₁ value associated to its blocking interfacial behavior. It also displays lower Rₛ and higher Rₓ values. In addition, the pores bottom is well differentiated from the pores wall, as typical double layer capacitance values in conducting interfaces, i.e., in the μF cm⁻² range, are obtained for C₂. It is also noticeable that the impedance spectra do not change significantly after cycling (data not shown), especially for the Fe₃O₄-Fe₂O₃/rGO hybrid nanocomposite, which is consistent with the performance upon prolonged cycling, as we will discuss later. It is important to note that the model applied in this study to interpret the impedance data (a transmission line model compatible with the material’s porous nature) is, to the best of our knowledge, new in the field, and presents a number of advantages: (i) it allows for accessing the resistivity of the active material (via Rₓ) and its porosity (via Rₛ); (ii) the kinetic information comes from R₁ and R₂, differentiating between charge transfer at the pore walls and at the pore bottom, respectively; and (iii) Rₓ accounts for the ionic flux from or towards the solution.

The capacitive performance was also studied by galvanostatic charging–discharging at different current densities in the potential window from −0.1 to 0.35 V (Figure 5). As observed from Figure 5, the time for charging and discharging decreases as the current density increases. At higher current densities, the accessibility to the electrode porosity decreases because ions from the electrolyte do not have enough time to reach the pores bottom [55]. The charging–discharging time for the Fe₃O₄-Fe₂O₃/rGO nanocomposite material is much higher than that observed for both the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles and rGO electrodes, which is in line with the higher capacitance value of the hybrid structure. As discussed above, the intimate interaction between the rGO substrate and the clusters of Fe₃O₄-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles blocks the interface and enables facile electron transport, which is key to high specific capacitance and, consequently, a high charge–discharge time [28].

The long-term cycling stability of the Fe₃O₄-Fe₂O₃/rGO nanocomposite material was investigated for up to 3000 cycles on cyclic voltammetry at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ in the potential window from −0.1 V to +0.35 V. The current evolution and coulombic efficiency as a function of the cycle number are summarized in Figure 6. It can be seen that the current (and hence the capacitance) increases with cycling. That increase is better visualized in the capacitance retention plot, which reaches 110% after 3000 cycles (Figure 6b). The growth of the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles in between the rGO sheets avoids the aggregation of the oxidic phase, which, along with the improved dispersion of the clusters of Fe₃O₄-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles owing to their interaction with the rGO substrate, results in the observed performance enhancement. Moreover, the large contact area between the active material and the electrolyte increases the efficiency of charge transport, thus also contributing to the capacitance improvement [56,57]. Although additional studies are needed in order to confirm these hypotheses, the obtained results highlight the poten-
tial of the fabricated hybrid nanocomposite to be employed as an electrode material for supercapacitor applications.

![Graph](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** Galvanostatic charge–discharge curves of (a) the clusters of Fe$_3$O$_4$-$\gamma$Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles, (b) rGO, and (c) the Fe$_3$O$_4$-$\gamma$Fe$_2$O$_3$/rGO hybrid material at different current densities. Experimental conditions: 3 M KOH solution, room temperature.
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**Figure 6.** (a) Current and (b) capacitance retention of the Fe$_3$O$_4$-$\gamma$Fe$_2$O$_3$/rGO hybrid material as a function of the cycle number. Experimental conditions: 50 mV s$^{-1}$, 3 M KOH solution, room temperature.
4. Conclusions

In this work, a composite material containing clusters of Fe$_3$O$_4$-$\gamma$Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and reduced graphene oxide was developed through a simple one-step solvothermal synthesis method as a potential candidate for supercapacitors. The electrochemical study revealed that this hybrid structure, in which the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles are interlayered in between the conductive rGO sheets, possesses much higher specific capacitance than the individual elements, used as reference. Specifically, 100 F g$^{-1}$, 250 F g$^{-1}$, and 528 F g$^{-1}$ were obtained for the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles, the rGO itself, and the composite material, respectively, at a scan rate of 2 mV s$^{-1}$ using 3 M KOH as the electrolyte. In the case of the hybrid structure, the electrolyte permeation through the rGO layers increases, and consequently, so too does the capacitance. A state-of-the-art model was used to interpret the EIS measurements, enabling us to understand the reasons for the improved behavior, which was ascribed to the fact that the intimate interaction between the rGO substrate and the clusters of Fe$_3$O$_4$-$\gamma$Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles blocks the interface and enables facile electron transport. The evaluation of the performance of the nanocomposite material in subsequent cycles revealed that the current (and hence the capacitance) increases with the number of cycles, reaching 110% capacitance retention after 3000 cycles. The interaction of the clusters of iron oxide nanoparticles with the rGO substrate ensures a homogeneous dispersion of the oxidic phase embedded in between the rGO sheets, thus resulting in the observed capacitance enhancement. Although more detailed studies are needed in order to confirm these premises, the obtained insights pave the way towards the design of improved supercapacitors with superior performance.
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