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Abstract

There have been many terms used to describe the One Health concept, including movement, strategy, framework, agenda, approach, among others. However, the inter-relationships of the disciplines engaged in the One Health concept have not been well described. To identify and better elucidate the internal feedback mechanisms of One Health, we employed a system dynamics approach. First, a systematic literature review was conducted via searches in PubMed, Web of Knowledge, and ProQuest with the search terms: ‘One Health’ and (concept* or approach*). In addition, we used the HistCite® tool to add significant articles on One Health to the library. Then, of the 2368 articles identified, 19 were selected for evaluating the inter-relationships of disciplines engaged in One Health. Herein, we report a visually rich, theoretical model regarding interactions of various disciplines and complex problem descriptors engaged in One Health problem solving. This report provides a conceptual framework for future descriptions of the interdisciplinary engagements involved in One Health.

Introduction

The One Health concept is not new [1, 2] perhaps dating back to the late nineteenth century when medical observations were made that human and animal health were closely linked [3, 4]. Today the One Health concept has often been cited an effective approach to complex public health problems that involve multiple disciplines. Often human health, animal health and environmental health are examined as closely linked. Examples of such complex problems include emerging infectious diseases, food safety, and selection of antimicrobial resistant pathogens. In particular, emerging zoonotic disease problems are now remarkable common [5] and very complex. Controlling these complex problems often requires interdisciplinary approaches such as One Health [6, 7]. Despite the One Health concept’s growing popularity and acceptance by the professional community, the definition of the term remains imprecise. For example, One Health has been variously defined as an initiative [8], a movement [9], a strategy [10], a framework [11], an agenda [12, 13], an approach, a collaborative effort, among others. As a milestone in the history of One Health, the symbolic ‘umbrella’, developed by One Health
Sweden in cooperation with the One Health Initiative Autonomous Pro Bono Team, illustrates the broad One Health scope. According to the ‘umbrella’, the goal of One Health is to promote a worldwide strategy for the well-being in all aspects of health of people, animals and the environment [2, 14]. As this definition is broad, many disciplines might contribute One Health efforts [15]. Yet, the internal relationships of these disciplines remain a bit amorphous. To better understanding the One Health concept, we sought to review the literature and study key One Health publications with a system dynamics approach, providing a systematic representation of the numerous components and how they interact.

Materials and methods

System dynamics

System dynamics (SD) is a methodology first developed by J.W. Forrester [16] that uses visual and mathematical modeling to simulate and understand complex system problems. Systems thinking is the notion that the system must have structure and the structure determines the system’s patterns of behavior. As such, the patterns of behavior will lead to the system’s output. Therefore, an understanding of system structure helps to better deliver the desired results. Or alternatively stated, thoroughly understanding a complex problem involves discovering the system’s structure, which often involves feedback connections between interdependent components. Qualitative system dynamics is used to explain the system’s internal feedback loops to make its relationships easier to understand. This approach has also been successfully used to enhance the development of health policies and programs [17, 18], enable modeling of health care systems [19], and guide chronic disease prevention and interventions [20–22].

A system dynamics theoretical model can be constructed using the following series of steps:

Step 1. Problem Identification. Make clear the problem you want to study.

Step 2. Behavior Analysis. Carefully identify the elements or components of the system. Analyze the influence of the most crucial behavioral factors involved in the system, either positive or negative, and attempt to explain the cause of the identified problem.

Step 3. Model Hypothesis. Propose a hypothesis explaining the results of the second step, and illustrate the model hypothesis in the form of a causal loop, showing the clear mathematical feedback relationships.

Step 4. Model Evaluation. Evaluate the model and make sure that it reflects the behaviors and relationships present in the system. If it does not, revisit the steps above, else proceed to step 5.

Step 5. Conclusion and Discussion. Draw conclusions from the system dynamics model.

Systematic literature search for One Health articles

To identify scientific articles pertaining to the One Health concept, we performed searches in multiple abstract databases, including PubMed, Web of Science, and ProQuest, in February and March 2017. In PubMed the query string used was ‘("one health") AND (concept OR approach)’. In Web of Science, it was ‘TS = ("one health") AND TS = ((concept OR approach)’ with the language restriction—English-language only. In ProQuest, we used ‘all ("one health") AND all(concept OR approach)’ with the language restriction—English-language only and exclusion source types from newspapers and social media reports. All references were extracted into a reference manager—EndNote (version X7.4, Bld 8818) and
screened independently by two researchers (TX and WL). This systematic review conforms to the PRISMA statement (see S1 File). Articles were included if they were peer-reviewed and met four additional criteria: 1) addressed the concept of ‘One Health’, not one health care plan, one health center, or other unrelated topics described by the words “one health”; 2) focused on the concept of One Health overall, not just briefly mentioned the term or only covered a particular practice of One Health; 3) were in the form of an article or review; 4) were written in English. In full text screening, the exclusion criteria were: 1) One Health practice in a particular disease or district; 2) One Health concept or approach mentioned in limited sentences.

To ensure that we did not omit important literature using the aforementioned search strategy, we also used the HistCite tool to identify significant articles in One Health. HistCite is a science tool that visualizes the citation relationships between papers to identify key publications in the results returned by a Web of Science search. We used the query string ‘One Health’. Articles were ranked by each publication’s Local Citation Score (LCS), which describes how many times it has been cited by the other publications returned by the search. The results were analyzed with HistCite software version 12.3.17 (Thomson Reuters, New York City, NY, USA). The default setting for searched articles in the HistCite software is 30 but we increased this default number to 100 articles.

Results
The initial search identified 2368 articles: 750 from PubMed, 976 from Web of Science, 542 form ProQuest, and 100 from the HistCite analysis (Fig 1). One thousand one hundred thirty-five duplicate reports were manually excluded using reference management software. The abstracts of the remaining 1233 articles were reviewed. Of these, 550 articles were excluded for not addressing the concept of One Health, for originating from newspapers or social media platforms, or for being written in a language other than English. An additional 106 articles were excluded for being comments or letters or from books. The full texts of the remaining 577 articles were reviewed, after which 561 reports were excluded for lacking a primary focus on One Health or the One Health concept. Three additional reports were added during the full text review of articles. In total, 19 remaining publications were included in the subsequent analyses (Table 1).

Problem Identification (Step 1)
From the articles identified by the literature review, it was difficult to determine a generally accepted definition for the One Health concept, including the scope and breadth of its practice. This lack of consensus supported the value of our system dynamics theoretical model in developing a conceptual framework to better understand One Health. This concept of One Health served as the root or first level of the theoretical model.

Behavior Analysis (Step 2)
All 19 analyzed reports agreed that the One Health concept contained at least three primary domains—human, animal, and environment/ecosystem. These three domains were added as the second level of the theoretical model. However, the articles markedly differed regarding their description of the scope of One Health. This discrepancy is likely due to the ongoing rapid development of One Health and the addition of new disciplines that are embracing it as a way forward [23]. Table 1 summarizes the various and diverse disciplines or scopes mentioned in each of the 19 publications, which highlights the broad interpretation that could
result in defining the One Health concept. These disciplines and scopes were included as the third level of the theoretical model.

**Model Hypothesis (Step 3)**

In the theoretical model, the direction of influence is indicated by the polarity of a causal loop, represented by connecting arrows, which may be positive ("+") or negative ("-"). A positive causal link indicates that both the causative and the resultant factors increase or decrease in the same direction. A negative causal link indicates that the two linked factors change in opposite directions. Additionally, should two or more elements in the model have a reinforcing or non-reinforcing relationship, the model can be annotated such that these associations can be visualized. This was done by placing a "R" within a clockwise cycling arrow between elements that did have a reinforcing relationship, and a "B" for those elements that did not.

In the first level of our model, we demonstrate the connection between disease with health using an arrow that shows the direction of this relationship. We then added the human, animal, and ecosystem domains (second level) to the model. These terms are represented by the red squares which are used to denote stock elements. Next, the third level elements were added, denoted by squares with grey color, which were also derived from the reviewed articles. Then, variable elements associated with each of the three One Health domains were added,
Table 1. Nineteen key One Health articles selected for component analyses.

| Publications | Components |
|--------------|------------|
| Cardiff et al, 2008 | pathology, comparative pathology, genomic biology, food safety, emerging infectious disease |
| Frank, 2008 | veterinary medicine, food security, food safety, emerging infectious diseases, ecosystem protection, comparative medical, human physical/mental health, public health, human medicine, bio-engineering, animal science, environmental science, wildlife |
| Kahn et al, 2008 | veterinary medicine, food supply, intensive agricultural practices, exotic animals, global trade, consumption of “bush meat”, human population pressures, comparative medicine |
| Gibbs et al, 2009 | emerging disease, medicine and veterinary medicine, wildlife, public health, education, cross-species disease transmission, media publications |
| Monath et al, 2010 | zoonotic agents, veterinary medical, laboratory animal research, public health, environmental health, biomedical research, clinical medicine and surgery, biomedical research, ecosystem management, public health, food and agricultural systems safety, biosecurity |
| Coker et al, 2011 | public health, economic security, social stability, health service organisation, patterns and provision and access, fiscal systems, regulation and governance, information systems |
| Zinsstag et al, 2011 | veterinary medicine, public health, animals and wildlife |
| Rabozzi et al, 2012 | public health, clinicians, public health workers, veterinary medicine and veterinary |
| Zinsstag et al, 2012 | zoonotic infections, livestock and wildlife, global public health, livestock production and wildlife conservation |
| Calistri et al, 2013 | infectious diseases, globalization, animal products trade, wild animals, bush meat, zoonoses agents, public health policy, food-agriculture, veterinary medicine |
| Atlas, 2013 | infectious diseases, vaccination, epidemiology, medical education and clinical care, global travel and commerce, medical education and clinical care |
| Gibbs, 2013 | public health, biomedical research, global food safety and security, ecosystem health, caring for animals, public health, food safety, epidemiology, population medicine, foreign animal diseases |
| Bidaisee et al, 2014 | zoonoses, food safety, agriculture, infectious diseases, livestock into wildlife, scientific and policy challenges, the social, cultural, political norms, veterinary medicine, public health, clinical practice |
| Evans et al, 2014 | epidemiological globalisation, pathogen adaptation, changing human demographics, evolving animal production systems, climate change, water, pollution and environmental contaminants, food safety, food sufficiency and insecurity, the universal global condition of rapid environmental change, new drugs, biodiversity, epidemics, pests, food security, economic prosperity |
| Gibbs, 2014 | veterinary profession, medical profession, wildlife specialists, environmentalists, health policy analysts, social scientists, humanities scholars |
| Stephen et al, 2014 | infectious disease, veterinary, medical and environment sectors, wildlife, animal welfare, food safety and security, drugs and vaccines, public health, training and research |
| Lerner et al, 2015 | infection biology, contagious diseases, zoonotic infections, evolutionary medicine, comparative medicine, translational medicine, biology, human medicine, veterinary medicine, public health, environmental chemistry, health economy, zoonotic diseases, epidemics and toxicants, food-producing animals, pet ownership, education |
| Stadtlander, 2015 | medicine, veterinary medicine, microbiology, public health, biogeography, ecology, environmental, biology |
| Kingsley, 2016 | parasitologists, biosecurity, infection control risks, zoonotic infections, human and veterinary medicine, food security, healthy diets, climate change |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184430.t001
denoted by circular shapes. These variable elements are assigned as descriptive components of the stocks. Last, causal links as previously described, were drawn between the elements. This model (we have learned the “One Health Cosmos”, Fig 2) was constructed using AnyLogic® PLE software, version 7.3.4 (AnyLogic North America, LLC, Chicago, IL, USA).

Model Evaluation (Step 4)

Our analysis focused upon peer-reviewed articles specifically discussing the One Health concept, which were selected as described by the search criteria. Some articles were excluded because they were not focused on the overall concept of One Health, just briefly mentioned the concept, or only covered a particular practice of One Health (exclusion criteria). While these papers may have been excluded, it is important to note that many of the specific topics they discuss, such as zoonoses and food safety, in the context of One Health practice, are still accounted for in our model. Thus, the model likely benefits from the removal of those reports so that the conceptual framework is centered on more specific interpretations of the One Health concept.
A term that has been used synonymously to One Health is comparative/translational medicine, which in fact was used before the One Health term was introduced [2, 3, 6, 25]. However, comparative/translational medicine was not used as a search term in this model given that the One Health concept has instead been more readily accepted as the more common term to use. Furthermore, compared to comparative/translational medicine, One Health has a broader application in scope [15].

Discussion

From our analysis of the One Health literature and through the construction of the One Health Cosmos using a system dynamics theoretical model, we were able to derive multiple inferences.

Our results make it clear that One Health represents a wide-ranging synergistic field that is rapidly growing. This is reflected in the number of One Health articles that have been published in the past 25 years, which has seen an average publication increase of 14.6% per year during this time [23]. As a result, the interpretation of the One Health concept remains unclear because its internal relationships between this growing list of various components have not been systematically described.

Our model indicates that humans and animals are closely connected by zoonoses and the food supply chain [34, 35]. Some common zoonoses include avian influenza [36, 37], leishmaniasis [38], Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus prevention [39], rabies [40, 41] etc. Additionally, the rising demand for animal protein for human consumption, resulting in a shift towards larger, more complex animal production operations, has spurred the increased use of antimicrobials. In fact, experts estimate that from 2010 and 2030, the global consumption of antimicrobials will increase by 67% [42]. As such, investing more resources into the research and control of zoonotic diseases and complex food production systems could be an effective strategy for improving the overall health of humans and animals.

Our model also shows that zoonoses are closely associated with the economy, and can result in extensive economic losses when large zoonotic diseases outbreaks among humans and animals occur. For example, after the 2014 outbreak of Ebola virus, it was estimated that the affected countries of Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone alone lost $2.2 billion in gross domestic product (GDP) the following year [43]. Additionally, the 2014 highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N2 outbreak in the United States, resulted in an estimated economic loss of $3.3 billion [44]. Hence, given the strength of association between zoonoses and the economy as demonstrate by the model, investing in prevention measures could result in an overall cost savings as new potential outbreaks are avoided [45].

Also, the health of companion animals is a growing concern. Pet keeping is a traditional custom for Western countries and becoming a new trend for non-Western countries. Pets could also bring disease to pet owners or non-pet owners who lives in close with them [46], such as echinococcosis, toxocarosis [47–49]. The One Health concept is required for a good understanding of the parasites’ biology and epidemiology and the education of pet owners.

We also found that education plays a foundational role in the development of the One Health concept. One Health education can be divided into education of those already working in the relevant professional disciplines, and of students seeking professional qualifications to enter one of these disciplines [2]. As One Health continues to be accepted as a way forward, there will be an increasing need to integrate the One Health concept into related disciplines’ education [50, 51]. Our model currently links education to epidemiology, human medicine, veterinary medicine, ecology, microbiology, and biomedicine. However, the depth of current
education in One Health is unknown. Seems likely that education is not standardized across disciplines.

This report has a number of limitations. Despite our comprehensive approach we likely missed some important One Health publications in the literature review. Likewise, we may have failed to identify some important factors or components engaged in One Health activities.

To date, our analyses is the first to apply a system dynamics approach to understanding the One Health concept. Such an approach is useful in further elucidating the complex connections and causal factors that currently comprise One Health. By showing the inter-relationships between the various components of One Health, offering a visual example of the conceptual framework, the One Health Cosmos can help facilitate a more concise interpretation of the One Health concept for the development of future research and training programs.
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