alphaB-crystallin is a marker of aggressive breast cancer behavior but does not independently predict for patient outcome: a combined analysis of two randomized studies
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Abstract

**Background:** alphaB-crystallin is a small heat shock protein that has recently been characterized as an oncoprotein correlating with the basal core phenotype and with negative prognostic factors in breast carcinomas. The purpose of this study was to evaluate alphaB-crystallin with respect to clinicopathological parameters and the outcome of patients with operable high-risk breast cancer.

**Methods:** A total of 940 tumors were examined, derived from an equal number of patients who had participated in two randomized clinical trials (paclitaxel-containing regimen in 793 cases). Immunohistochemistry for ER, PgR, HER2, Ki67, CK5, CK14, CK17, EGFR, alphaB-crystallin, BRCA1 and p53 was performed. BRCA1 mutation data were available in 89 cases.

**Results:** alphaB-crystallin was expressed in 170 cases (18.1%) and more frequently in triple-negative breast carcinomas (TNBC) (45% vs. 14.5% non-TNBC, p < 0.001). alphaB-crystallin protein expression was significantly associated with high Ki67 (Pearson chi-square test, p < 0.001), p53 (p = 0.002) and basal cytokeratin protein expression (p < 0.001), BRCA1 mutations (p = 0.045) and negative ER (p < 0.001) and PgR (p < 0.001). Its overexpression, defined as >30% positive neoplastic cells, was associated with adverse overall survival (Wald’s p = 0.046). However, alphaB-crystallin was not an independent prognostic factor upon multivariate analysis. No interaction between taxane-based therapy and alphaB-crystallin expression was observed.

**Conclusions:** In operable high-risk breast cancer, alphaB-crystallin protein expression is associated with poor prognostic features indicating aggressive tumor behavior, but it does not seem to have an independent impact on patient survival or to interfere with taxane-based therapy.

**Trial registrations:** ACTRN12611000506998 (HE10/97 trial) and ACTRN12609001036202 (HE10/00 trial).
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Background
Small heat shock proteins (sHsps) are molecular chaperones and are expressed in response to a wide variety of unfavorable physiological and environmental conditions, playing a cytoprotective role. Their importance is reflected by the conservation of the a-crystallin structure from bacteria to humans [1]. alphaB-crystallin is a member of this sHsps family, found primarily in the lens of the eye in addition to various non-lenticular tissues [2-5]. This protein enhances survival in response to cellular stress by inhibiting protein aggregation, reducing intracellular reactive oxygen species levels [6] and inhibiting programmed cell death [7]. Inhibition of apoptosis is achieved by disrupting the proteolytic activation of caspase-3 [8,9] and by preventing translocation of Bcl-2 family members to the mitochondria [10]. Up to date, the underlying molecular mechanisms that engender alphaB-crystallin overexpression are poorly understood, although its prognostic value in cancer is now becoming more obvious [7,11].

Proteomic studies suggest that alphaB-crystallin may contribute in cancer development [12]. alphaB-crystallin has been found in malignant diseases, such as gliomas, prostate carcinomas, renal cell carcinomas and breast carcinomas [13-15], while its expression has been associated with poor clinical outcome in breast, hepatocellular and head and neck carcinomas [7,11,16,17]. Several studies have suggested that alphaB-crystallin expression is correlated with high histological grade, metastatic potential, poor clinical outcome and chemotherapy resistance in breast carcinomas [7,16,18]. Moreover, it is more commonly expressed in basal-like breast carcinomas (BLBC) and it is thought to contribute to their aggressive phenotype [19].

BLBC has emerged as a distinct breast cancer subtype by gene profiling studies [20,21] and is associated with short overall and disease-free survival. BLBC express proteins characteristic of basal epithelial cells, including basal cytokeratins (CK5/6 and/or CK14 and/or CK17) and commonly other markers such as p53, p-cadherin, alphaB-crystallin, vimentin and EGFR [21-27]. The expression of basal markers identifies a distinct subgroup of triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), representing almost 75% of cases [23]. Moreover, there are several studies that suggest a link between BLBC and BRCA1 mutational status [25,26,28].

The limited data on alphaB-crystallin in breast cancer suggest that there is a pathogenic link between alphaB-crystallin expression and BLBC [19,29]. In this study, the expression of alphaB-crystallin was evaluated in a large cohort of two randomized trials in order to evaluate possible associations with conventional clinicopathological characteristics, including established prognostic factors, such as histological grade, molecular subtypes and metastatic lymph node infiltration, and to investigate whether alphaB-crystallin is an independent prognostic/predictive marker.

Methods
Patients and tissues
The HE10/97 trial [30] was a randomized phase III trial (ACTRN12611000506998) in patients with high-risk node-negative or intermediate/high-risk node-positive operable breast cancer, comparing four cycles of epirubicin (E) followed by four cycles of intensified CMF (E-CMF) with three cycles of E, followed by three cycles of paclitaxel (T, Taxol®, Bristol Myers-Squibb, Princeton, NJ) followed by three cycles of intensified CMF (E-T-CMF). All cycles were given every two weeks with G-CSF support. Dose intensity of all drugs in both treatment arms was identical, but cumulative doses and duration of chemotherapy period differed. Totally, 595 eligible patients entered the study in a period of 3.5 years (1997–2000).

The HE10/00 trial [31,32] was a randomized phase III trial (ACTRN12609001036202) in which patients were treated with E-T-CMF (exactly as in the HE10/97 trial) or with four cycles of epirubicin/paclitaxel (ET) combination (given on the same day) every three weeks followed by three cycles of intensified CMF every two weeks (ET-CMF). By study design, the cumulative doses and the chemotherapy duration were identical in the two arms but dose intensity of epirubicin and paclitaxel was double in the E-T-CMF arm. A total of 1086 eligible patients with node-positive operable breast cancer were accrued in a period of 5 years (2000–2005).

Treatment schedules for the two studies, baseline characteristics and clinical outcomes of both trials have already been described in detail [30-33]. Clinical protocols were approved by local regulatory authorities, while the present translational research protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, School of Medicine, under the general title “Molecular investigation of the predictive and/or prognostic role of important signal transduction pathways in breast cancer” (A7150/18-3-2008). All patients signed a study-specific written informed consent before randomization, which in addition to providing consent for the trial allowed the use of their biological material for future research purposes.

In total, 271 patients from the HE10/97 trial and 669 from the HE10/00 trial were included in this study, based on tissue availability. Primary tumor diameter, axillary nodal status and tumor grade were obtained from the pathology report in each case.

TMA construction
Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue samples from patient tumors (paraffin blocks) were collected retrospectively in the first trial (HE10/97) and prospectively in
the second (HE10/00). The present study was carried out on tissue microarrays (TMAs). Representative hematoxylin-eosin stained sections from the tissue blocks were reviewed by two experienced in breast cancer pathologists and the most representative tumor areas were marked for the construction of TMA blocks with a manual arrayer (Model I, Beecher Instruments, San Prairie, WI). Each tumor was represented by 2 tissue cores, 1.5 mm in diameter, which were obtained from different and most representative areas of primary invasive or in some cases from synchronous axillary lymph node metastases and re-embedded in recipient paraffin blocks. TMAs also contained control cores from different tumors and non-neoplastic tissues [32]. In total, 51 TMAs were created. Cases not represented, damaged or inadequate on the TMA sections were re-cut from the original blocks, where tissue material was still available.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for breast cancer subtyping

IHC for ER (clone 6 F11, Leica Biosystems, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK), PgR (clone 1A6, Leica Biosystems), HER2 (pl, code A0485, Dako, Glostrup, DK), Ki67 (clone MIB1, Dako), CK5 (clone XM26, Leica Biosystems), CK14 (clone LL002, Leica Biosystems), CK17 (E31, Leica Biosystems) and EGFR (clone 31G7, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was performed on serial 2 micron thick sections, using the Bond Max and Bond III autostainers (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), as previously described [34]. IHC was performed centrally at the Laboratory of Molecular Oncology of the Hellenic Foundation for Cancer Research, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine. Vimentin (clone V9, Dako) and cytokeratin 8/18 (clone 5D3, Leica Biosystems) were used as immunoreactivity controls and for the identification of tumor cells. Tissue samples negative with the above two antibodies were excluded from the study. The evaluation of all IHC sections was done by experienced breast cancer pathologists.

Table 1 Criteria of immunohistochemical evaluation

| Protein          | Scoring system | Cut-off | Staining pattern |
|------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| ER               | H-Score        | ≥1%     | N                |
| PgR              | H-Score        | ≥1%     | N                |
| HER2             | 0-3            | >10%    | M                |
| p53              | SQ             | >10%    | N                |
| Ki67             | SQ             | ≥14%    | N                |
| EGFR             | 0-3            | >1%     | M                |
| CK5              | SQ             | any     | C                |
| CK14             | SQ             | any     | C                |
| CK17             | SQ             | any     | C                |
| CK8/18           | SQ             | any     | C                |
| Vimentin         | SQ             | any     | C                |
| alphAB-crystallin| Neg, W, S      | >30     | C                |
| BRCA1            | H-Score        | >100    | N                |

H-Score, histoscore; N, nuclear; M, membranous; C, cytoplasmic; SQ, semi-quantitative; Neg, negative; W, weakly positive; S, strongly positive.

Table 2 Selected patient and tumor characteristics

| Study population | N = 940 |
|------------------|---------|
| Randomization arm|         |
| E-T-CMF          | 454 (48.3) |
| E-CMF            | 147 (15.6) |
| ET-CMF           | 339 (36.1) |
| Age              |         |
| <50              | 381 (40.5) |
| ≥50              | 559 (59.5) |
| Menopausal status|         |
| Premenopausal    | 435 (46.3) |
| Postmenopausal   | 505 (53.7) |
| Type of surgery  |         |
| MRM              | 644 (68.5) |
| Breast conserving| 296 (31.5) |
| Tumor size (cm)  |         |
| ≤2               | 288 (30.6) |
| 2.1-5            | 530 (56.4) |
| >5               | 122 (13.0) |
| Histological type|         |
| Ductal           | 727 (77.3) |
| Lobular          | 97 (10.3)  |
| Mixed            | 72 (7.7)   |
| Other            | 44 (4.7)   |
| N of positive nodes|        |
| 0-3              | 363 (38.6) |
| ≥4               | 577 (61.4) |
| Histological grade|        |
| I-II             | 470 (50.0) |
| III-Undifferentiated | 470 (50.0) |
| Adjuvant HT      |         |
| No               | 178 (18.9) |
| Yes              | 739 (78.6) |
| Missing data     | 23 (2.4)   |
| Adjuvant RT      |         |
| No               | 198 (21.1) |
| Yes              | 711 (75.6) |
| Missing data     | 31 (3.3)   |

N, number; E, epirubicin; T, paclitaxel; C, cyclophosphamide; M, methotrexate; F, 5-FU; MRM, modified radical mastectomy; HT, hormonal therapy; RT, radiotherapy.
blinded to the patient clinical characteristics and survival data.

All tumors included in this study were classified based on ER, PgR, HER2, Ki67, CK5 and EGFR, as Luminal A (ER-positive and/or PgR-positive, HER2-negative and Ki67low), Luminal B (ER-positive and/or PgR-positive, HER2-negative and Ki67high), luminal-HER2 (ER-positive and/or PgR-positive and HER2-positive), HER2-enriched (ER-negative, PgR-negative, HER2-positive) and TNBC (ER-negative, PgR-negative, HER2-negative). Tumors were classified as Ki67high when ≥14% of neoplastic cells were positive [35]. TNBC of the basal core phenotype (BCP) were also distinguished as CK5-positive and/or EGFR-positive.

IHC for alphaB-crystallin, BRCA1 and p53 markers

The IHC method was performed using the Bond Max and Bond III autostainers (Leica Microsystems). The Mouse IgG1 monoclonal antibody, clone 1B6.1-3G4 (Stressgen Biotechnologies, San Diego, CA) was used for the detection of full-length alphaB-crystallin (1:200 dilution, 1 h incubation at room temperature). The MS110 antibody from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany) was used for BRCA1 detection (1:150 dilution, for 20 min), while p53 protein was detected with the DO-7 clone (Dako) at dilution 1:100, for 20 min. The antigen–antibody complex was visualized using DAB as a chromogen. Slides were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin for 10 min (Leica), washed in water, dehydrated and mounted.

IHC evaluation

ER, PgR, HER2, Ki67 and EGFR protein expression was evaluated according to the established or proposed criteria [35-38]. CK5, CK14 and CK17 expression was considered as negative (absence of staining) or positive (any cytoplasmic staining of tumor cells) [34]. For alphaB-crystallin the percentage of positive tumor cells and the intensity (mild, moderate, strong) were recorded in every case. The distribution of continuous positivity values revealed a natural cut-off at 30%. Tumors were considered negative, when no specific cytoplasmic staining was observed, weakly positive (<30% positive neoplastic cells) and strongly positive (≥30% positive neoplastic cells). In the latter category staining intensity was predominantly strong; therefore, intensity was not included in the statistical analysis. The above staining pattern was in accordance with Moyano’s previous report [7], who used a cutoff of ≥30% to evaluate low and high alphaB-crystallin expressing tumors. BRCA1 staining was evaluated by using the histological score (H-score) at a positivity cut-off of >100 [39]. For p53, ≥10% nuclear staining of invasive cancer cells was considered positive [40]. IHC positivity criteria for all antibodies are shown in Table 1.

![Figure 1 alphaB-crystallin immunohistochemical detection in cancerous and non-cancerous tissues.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6890/14/28) Strong cytoplasmic immunoreactivity of neoplastic cells is shown in A, whereas neoplastic cells are negative in B-D. In normal breast tissue only myoepithelial cells are positive (B). Nerve fibers and adipose tissues are also immunoreactive. (A: ×40, B-D: ×100).
HER2 status was also investigated in all cases with FISH using the ZytoLight SPEC HER2/TOP2A/CEN17 triple color probe (ZytoVision, Bremerhaven, Germany), as previously described [41].

**BRCA mutations**

DNA for BRCA screening was available for 127 of the 940 patients included in the present analysis. Seventy-nine patients were screened for *BRCA1* only, 3 patients were screened for *BRCA2* only and 7 patients were screened in both genes. Thirty-eight patients were not screened due to low quality of the DNA sample. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood lymphocytes following the salt extraction procedure [42]. The quantity and quality of the DNA samples were determined by UV absorbance using a Nanodrop™ 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA) and agarose gel electrophoresis. *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* were amplified using intronic primer pairs flanking each exon and three diagnostic PCR reactions

| Table 3 Distribution of the examined markers |
|------------------------------------------------|
| **ER (n = 938)** |
| Negative | 245 (26.1) |
| Positive | 693 (73.9) |
| **PgR (n = 940)** |
| Negative | 301 (32.0) |
| Positive | 639 (68.0) |
| **Ki67 (n = 934)** |
| Low | 299 (32.0) |
| High | 635 (68.0) |
| **alphaB-crystallin (n = 940)** |
| Negative | 770 (81.9) |
| Weakly positive | 99 (10.5) |
| Strongly positive | 71 (7.6) |
| **BRCA1 (n = 928)** |
| Negative | 863 (93.0) |
| Positive | 65 (7.0) |
| **BRCA1 (n = 86)** |
| WT | 78 (90.7) |
| Mutated | 8 (9.3) |
| **p53 (n = 918)** |
| Negative | 445 (48.5) |
| Positive | 473 (51.5) |
| **EGFR (n = 928)** |
| Negative | 777 (83.7) |
| Positive | 151 (16.3) |
| **CK5 (n = 923)** |
| Negative | 802 (86.9) |
| Positive | 121 (13.1) |
| **CK14 (n = 925)** |
| Negative | 894 (96.6) |
| Positive | 31 (3.4) |
| **CK17 (n = 909)** |
| Negative | 884 (97.2) |
| Positive | 25 (2.8) |

*WT, wild-type.*

**BRCA1 (n = 86)**

- WT: 78 (90.7)
- Mutated: 8 (9.3)

| **p53 (n = 918)** |
| Negative: 445 (48.5)
| Positive: 473 (51.5) |

**EGFR (n = 928)**

- Negative: 777 (83.7)
- Positive: 151 (16.3)

**CK5 (n = 923)**

- Negative: 802 (86.9)
- Positive: 121 (13.1)

**CK14 (n = 925)**

- Negative: 894 (96.6)
- Positive: 31 (3.4)

**CK17 (n = 909)**

- Negative: 884 (97.2)
- Positive: 25 (2.8)

---

**Table 4 Association of alphaB-crystallin with clinicopathological parameters**

| alphaB-crystallin | Negative | Weakly positive | Strongly positive | p-value (Pearson chi-square) |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Randomization arm  |          |                 |                  | 0.556                        |
| E-T-CMF           | 367 (47.7) | 55 (55.6) | 32 (45.1) |        |
| E-CMF             | 126 (16.4) | 8 (8.1)  | 13 (18.3) |        |
| ET-CMF            | 277 (36.0) | 36 (36.4) | 26 (36.6) |        |
| Age               |          |                 |                  | 0.058                        |
| <50               | 301 (39.1) | 47 (47.5) | 33 (46.5) |        |
| ≥50               | 469 (60.9) | 52 (52.5) | 38 (53.5) |        |
| Menopausal status |          |                 |                  | 0.402                        |
| Premenopausal     | 351 (45.6) | 1 (1.5)  | 33 (46.5) |        |
| Postmenopausal    | 419 (54.4) | 48 (48.5) | 38 (53.5) |        |
| Type of surgery   |          |                 |                  | <0.001                        |
| MRM               | 548 (71.2) | 53 (53.5) | 43 (60.6) |        |
| Breast conserving | 222 (28.8) | 46 (46.5) | 28 (39.4) |        |
| Tumor size (cm)   |          |                 |                  | 0.937                        |
| ≤2                | 232 (30.1) | 35 (35.4) | 21 (29.6) |        |
| 2.1-5             | 435 (56.5) | 55 (55.6) | 40 (56.3) |        |
| >5                |           |                 |                  |        |
| Histological type |          |                 |                  | 0.084                        |
| Ductal            | 586 (76.1) | 80 (80.8) | 61 (85.9) |        |
| Lobular           | 89 (11.6)  | 6 (6.1)   | 2 (2.8)   |        |
| Mixed             | 59 (7.7)   | 10 (10.1) | 3 (4.2)   |        |
| Other             | 36 (4.7)   | 3 (3.0)   | 5 (7.0)   |        |
| Number of positive nodes | 0.957 |        |
| 0-3               | 298 (38.7) | 36 (36.4) | 29 (40.8) |        |
| ≥4                | 472 (61.3) | 63 (63.6) | 42 (59.2) |        |
| Histological grade |        |                 |                  | <0.001                        |
| I-II              | 406 (52.7) | 40 (40.4) | 24 (33.8) |        |
| III-Undifferentiated | 364 (47.3) | 59 (59.6) | 47 (66.2) |        |

N, number; E, epirubicin; T, paxlitaxel; C, cyclophosphamide; M, methotrexate; F, 5-FU; MRM, modified radical mastectomy. Significant p-values are shown in bold.
were also performed in order to detect the Greek founder genomic rearrangements involving exons 20, 23 and 24 [43]. PCR amplifications were performed in a Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler and the PCR products were directly sequenced using the v.3.1 BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit on an 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (all three from Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. In some cases of high-risk families, genomic DNA was also examined by MLPA analysis (MRC-Holland). Sequence variations, except well-known polymorphisms, were confirmed in an independent blood sample by sequencing both forward and reverse directions. All nucleotide numbers refer to the wild-type genomic DNA sequence of \( BRCA1 \) NG_005905.2 and \( BRCA2 \) NG_012772.1, as reported in RefSeqGene records. Primer sequences and protocols are available upon request.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data are displayed as frequencies and corresponding percentages, while continuous data by median and range. Comparisons of categorical data between groups were performed by Fisher's exact or Pearson chi-square tests. For continuous data, the assumptions for performing parametric tests were not fulfilled (the data were not normally distributed); therefore the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used. For numerical ordinal data, the Jonckheere-Terpstra trend test (JT test) was performed. Disease-free survival (DFS) was measured from the date of randomization until tumor recurrence, secondary neoplasm or death from any cause. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the date of randomization until death from any cause. Surviving patients were censored at the date of last contact. Time-to-event distributions were presented using Kaplan-Meier curves and compared using the log-rank test.

Univariate Cox regression analyses were performed for OS and DFS, to assess the prognostic or predictive significance in paclitaxel treatment of the examined biomarkers. A backward selection procedure with a removal criterion of \( p > 0.10 \) was performed in the multivariate Cox regression analysis in order to identify significant factors among the following: randomization group (ET-CMF, E-CMF, vs. E-C-MF), involved axillary lymph nodes (\( \geq 4 \) vs. 0–3), tumor grade (III-Undifferentiated vs. I-II), tumor size (>5 cm, 2–5 cm vs. \( \leq 2 \) cm), type of surgery (breast conserving surgery vs. modified radical mastectomy, MRM), histological type (ductal vs. other) and adjuvant hormonal therapy (yes, missing vs. no). The examined markers were included in the final model using the categorization: alphaB-crystallin (strong positive, weak positive vs. negative), \( p53 \) (positive vs. negative) and \( BRCA1 \) (positive vs. negative).

Results of this study were presented according to reported recommendations for tumor marker prognostic studies [44]. The design of the study is prospective-retrospective, as previously described by Simon et al. [45]. All statistical tests were two sided and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. No adjustments for multiple tests are reported. The statistical analysis was conducted using the following statistical software: SPSS for Windows (version 15.0, IBM Corporation, NY) and SAS (version 9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

| Table 5 Distribution of examined markers according to subtypes |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | Luminal A N (%) | Luminal B N (%) | Luminal-HER2 N (%) | HER2-enriched N (%) | TNBC N (%) | BCP N (%) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|----------|
| alphaB-crystallin|                |                |                    |                    |            |          |
| Negative         | 213 (93.4)     | 299 (80.6)     | 109 (83.8)         | 88 (88.0)          | 61 (55.0)  | 41 (48.2) |
| Weakly positive  | 8 (3.5)        | 40 (10.8)      | 16 (12.3)          | 11 (11.0)          | 24 (21.6)  | 19 (22.4) |
| Strongly positive| 7 (3.1)        | 32 (8.6)       | 5 (3.8)            | 1 (1.0)            | 26 (23.4)  | 25 (29.4) |
| BRCA1            |                |                |                    |                    |            |          |
| Negative         | 206 (92.0)     | 334 (91.0)     | 125 (97.7)         | 95 (95.0)          | 103 (94.5) | 79 (92.9) |
| Positive         | 18 (8.0)       | 33 (9.0)       | 3 (2.3)            | 5 (5.0)            | 6 (5.5)    | 6 (7.1)  |
| BRCA1 WT         | 19 (90.5)      | 27 (100.0)     | 11 (100.0)         | 10 (100.0)         | 11 (64.7)  | 9 (60.0)  |
| Mutated          | 2 (9.5)        | 0              | 0                  | 0                  | 6 (35.3)   | 6 (40.0)  |
| BRCA1 Mutated    |                |                |                    |                    |            |          |
| Negative         | 148 (67.3)     | 154 (42.1)     | 56 (43.8)          | 41 (42.3)          | 46 (43.0)  | 32 (38.6) |
| Positive         | 72 (32.7)      | 212 (57.9)     | 72 (56.3)          | 56 (57.7)          | 61 (57.0)  | 51 (61.4) |

N, number; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; BCP, basal core phenotype; WT, wild-type.
Results
Clinicopathological characteristics of patients and tumor subtyping
A total of 940 patients with available FFPE tumor tissue blocks and successful assessment of alphaB-crystallin were included in the analysis. Selected patient and tumor characteristics are presented in Table 2. The majority of the patients were postmenopausal (54%) and underwent modified radical mastectomy (69%). The most common histological type was infiltrative ductal carcinoma, which accounted for 77.3% of the cases. Half of the tumors were of high histological grade and about 70% measured >2 cm. Almost two thirds of patients had 4 or more metastatic lymph nodes at the time of diagnosis. By using IHC for molecular subtyping [32], 24.3% of the tumors were classified as Luminal A, 39.5% as Luminal B, 13.8% as Luminal-HER2, 10.6% as HER2-enriched and 11.8% as TNBC.

alphaB-crystallin detection by IHC
The protein was generally localized in the cytoplasm (Figure 1A), whereas membranous positivity was observed sparsely and it was mainly focal and incomplete. Few cases (n = 46) exhibited nuclear positivity in <5% of the nuclei that was usually not accompanied by cytoplasmic positivity. The nuclear presence of alphaB-crystallin has been previously described [2,46], suggesting a possible role in splicing or in protection of the splicing machinery [46]. Subsequently, tumors were considered as alphaB-crystallin positive based on the cytoplasmic staining of malignant cells. Of note, variable alphaB-crystallin positivity scores were obtained from the examined cores for the same tumor, ranging from negative to strongly positive.

Regarding the non-cancerous breast tissue included in histospots, cytoplasmic alphaB-crystallin expression was observed only in myoepithelial cells (Figure 1B). Generally, expression of the protein was not detected in epithelial cells of lobular units or ductal structures. Stromal breast cells were globally negative. Wherever nerves, adipose tissue, vessels (Figure 1C and 1D) and muscle cells could be evaluated, these were consistently positive.

Association of alphaB-crystallin with clinicopathological features and other markers
The distribution of the examined markers is given in Table 3. The majority of cases were ER- and PgR-positive (74% and 68%, respectively) with high Ki67 (69%). alphaB-crystallin was expressed in 170 of the 940 breast carcinomas (18.1%). In detail, 770 tumors (81.9%) were negative, 99 (10.5%) were weakly positive and 71 (7.6%) were strongly positive (Table 3). Associations of alphaB-crystallin with clinicopathological parameters are presented in Table 4. High histological grade was more frequent among tumors expressing strong alphaB-crystallin than among tumors with weak or absent staining (p < 0.001). alphaB-crystallin protein expression was not associated with patients’ age, tumor size, histological type or lymph node involvement. The incidence for alphaB-crystallin positive cases (weak or strong expression in the tumors) was by far higher in TNBC (45%) than in non-TNBC (14.5%) patients, while most Luminal A tumors were negative for alphaB-crystallin expression (93.4%, Table 5). Out of the 85 BCP tumors, 44 expressed alphaB-crystallin (52%).

Table 6 Association of alphaB-crystallin protein expression with all examined markers

| Marker | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | p-value (Pearson chi-square) |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|
| ER (n = 938) |       |       |       | <0.001                      |
| Negative | 176 (71.8) | 38 (15.5) | 31 (12.7) |                           |
| Positive  | 592 (85.4) | 61 (8.8)  | 40 (5.8)  |                           |
| PgR (n = 940) |       |       |       | <0.001                      |
| Negative  | 227 (75.4) | 38 (12.6) | 36 (12.0) |                           |
| Positive  | 543 (85.0) | 61 (9.5)  | 35 (5.5)  |                           |
| Ki67 (n = 934) |       |       |       | <0.001                      |
| Low      | 267 (89.3) | 17 (5.7)  | 15 (5.0)  |                           |
| High     | 499 (78.6) | 81 (12.8) | 55 (8.6)  |                           |
| BRCA1 (n = 928) |       |       |       | 0.676                       |
| Negative  | 707 (81.9) | 91 (10.6) | 65 (7.5)  |                           |
| Positive  | 52 (80.0)  | 7 (10.8)  | 6 (9.2)   |                           |
| BRCA1 (n = 86) |       |       |       | 0.045                       |
| WT       | 61 (78.2)  | 11 (14.1) | 6 (7.7)   |                           |
| Mutated  | 4 (50.0)   | 1 (12.5)  | 3 (37.5)  |                           |
| p53 (n = 918) |       |       |       | 0.002                       |
| Negative  | 384 (86.3) | 32 (7.2)  | 29 (6.5)  |                           |
| Positive  | 370 (78.2) | 66 (14.0) | 37 (7.8)  |                           |
| EGFR (n = 928) |       |       |       | <0.001                      |
| Negative  | 671 (86.3) | 65 (8.4)  | 41 (5.3)  |                           |
| Positive  | 91 (60.3)  | 52 (21.2) | 28 (18.5) |                           |
| CK5 (n = 923) |       |       |       | <0.001                      |
| Negative  | 694 (86.5) | 67 (8.4)  | 41 (5.1)  |                           |
| Positive  | 63 (52.1)  | 31 (25.6) | 27 (22.3) |                           |
| CK14 (n = 925) |       |       |       | <0.001                      |
| Negative  | 750 (83.9) | 88 (9.8)  | 56 (6.3)  |                           |
| Positive  | 9 (29.0)   | 10 (32.3) | 12 (38.7) |                           |
| CK17 (n = 909) |       |       |       | <0.001                      |
| Negative  | 742 (83.9) | 87 (9.9)  | 55 (6.2)  |                           |
| Positive  | 5 (20.0)   | 9 (36.0)  | 11 (44)   |                           |

WT, wild-type. Significant p-values are shown in bold.
alphaB-crystallin expression was significantly more often detected in ER- and PgR-negative tumors, whereas there was a positive association with Ki67, p53, CK5, CK14 and CK17 (Table 6). A strong, positive association was noticed between alphaB-crystallin expression and Ki67, with 81.3% of the cases with positive expression of the former having high expression of the latter. In addition, BRCA1 mutations were more frequent (p = 0.045) in tumors with strong alphaB-crystallin protein expression compared to tumors with weak or negative expression (33.3% vs. 8.3% vs. 6.2%, respectively). BRCA1 mutation status was not related to BRCA protein expression (p = 0.40). However, it has to be kept in mind, that DNA for BRCA1 screening was available for only 86 of the 940 patients included in the present analysis and that this subgroup of patients showed significant differences compared to the overall cohort regarding age, menopausal status, type of surgery, involved axillary lymph nodes and randomization group.

Survival analysis
After a median follow-up of 105 months (0.1-166.7 months), 5 and 10-year DFS was 73.7% and 60.7%, respectively. Similarly 5 and 10-year OS was 86.4% and 70.8% respectively. In univariate Cox regression analysis (Table 7), BRCA1 mutation status and alphaB-crystallin, BRCA1 and p53 protein expression were examined regarding their prognostic and predictive value. alphaB-crystallin (3 scale categoric variable) was not associated with either DFS or OS (Table 7 and Figure 2), however, a statistically significant association with OS (but not with DFS) was revealed, when strongly positive tumors were compared against negative and weakly positive tumors in a binary mode (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.52, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.01-2.30, Wald's p = 0.046). Concerning BRCA1 protein expression and BRCA1 mutational status (Table 7), no significant associations were found with for DFS or OS (Wald's p-values >0.05 for all cases). Positive p53 tumors exhibited a trend for shorter DFS (HR = 1.21, 95% CI: 0.97-1.50, Wald's p = 0.088) and shorter OS (HR = 1.24, 95% CI: 0.98-1.65, Wald's p = 0.066) compared to negative p53 tumors. There were no significant interactions of alphaB-crystallin with paclitaxel treatment (Wald's p = 0.72 and p = 0.75 for DFS and OS, respectively). No significant interactions were found either for BRCA1 and p53 protein expression or BRCA1 mutational status with paclitaxel treatment (Wald test for interaction, all p-values >0.05) (Table 8).

In multivariate Cox regression analysis, alphaB-crystallin, BRCA1 and p53 protein expression were not prognostic for DFS and OS. Among clinical characteristics, tumor size of more than 5 cm and ≥4 positive axillary nodes were independent prognostic factors and were associated with poor DFS and OS (Figure 3).

### Table 7: Univariate Cox regression analysis for all examined markers in terms of DFS and OS

|                         | DFS                         | OS                          |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                         | HR 95% CI                  | Wald's p                    | HR 95% CI                  | Wald's p                    |
| Parameter               | Category vs. the reference  |                             | Category vs. the reference  |                             |
| **BRCA1 mutation status** | Mutated                    | 1.68 (0.59-4.80)            | 0.33                       | Mutated                    | 1.75 (0.52-5.86)            | 0.36                       |
| **BRCA1 protein expression** | Positive                    | 0.99 (0.65-1.51)            | 0.95                       | Positive                    | 0.95 (0.57-1.58)            | 0.86                       |
| alphaB-crystallin       | Weakly positive             | 1.02 (0.72-1.45)            | 0.91                       | Weakly positive             | 0.98 (0.65-1.49)            | 0.94                       |
|                         | Strongly positive           | 1.14 (0.77-1.69)            | 0.50                       | Strongly positive           | 1.52 (1.00-2.31)            | 0.050                      |
| alphaB-crystallin (strongly positive vs. weakly positive/negative) | Strongly positive | 1.14 (0.77-1.68) | 0.51 | Strongly positive | 1.52 (1.01-2.30) | 0.046 |
| p53 protein expression  | Positive                    | 1.21 (0.97-1.50)            | 0.088                      | Positive                    | 1.24 (0.98-1.65)            | 0.066                      |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.
Discussion
In this study, including a large cohort of breast cancer cases, it is demonstrated that alphaB-crystallin is expressed in a low percentage of breast carcinomas (18.1%), which drops to 7.6% when the Moyano-suggested cut off for strong positivity (>30% positive cells) is applied. These findings are within the reported range of alphaB-crystallin protein expression (10 and 17%) [7,18,19]. However, two studies reported high rates of alphaB-crystallin expression with the same antibody (64.6 and 88%) [11,16]. Differences in TMA construction and IHC evaluation may account for this discrepancy. In both studies, alphaB-crystallin was scored according to the highest intensity even in a few cells regardless of how many cells actually expressed this protein. In addition, 81 of the 82 cases [11] were TNBC, which often express alphaB-crystallin; a high percentage of TNBC can also be inferred from the ER/PgR/HER2 profiles of the tumors examined [16].

It seems that BCP express this protein more often. As described here, 45% (50/111) of TNBC and almost half of BCP were alphaB-crystallin positive. Sitterding et al. suggested that alphaB-crystallin is a sensitive and specific marker for BCP [29]. In addition, it is also

Table 8 Interaction of all examined markers with paclitaxel treatment in terms of DFS and OS

| Markers                  | DFS HR | 95% CI      | Wald’s p | OS HR | 95% CI      | Wald’s p |
|-------------------------|--------|-------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------|
| **alphaB-crystallin**   |        |             |          |       |             |          |
| * Treatment             | 0.72   | 0.75        |          |       |             |          |
| alphaB-crystallin neg vs. pos in non-paclitaxel treated | 1.21   | 0.48-3.01   | 0.88     | 0.35-2.23 |
| alphaB-crystallin neg vs. pos in paclitaxel treated  | 0.81   | 0.53-1.24   | 0.60     | 0.38-0.97 |
| Non-paclitaxel treated vs. paclitaxel treated in alphaB-crystallin neg | 1.23   | 0.92-1.63   | 1.35     | 0.97-1.86 |
| Non-paclitaxel treated vs. paclitaxel treated in alphaB-crystallin pos | 0.82   | 0.31-2.17   | 0.93     | 0.35-2.47 |
| **BRCA1**               |        |             |          |       |             |          |
| * Treatment             | 0.55   | 0.99        |          |       |             |          |
| BRCA1 neg vs. pos in non-paclitaxel treated | 1.43   | 0.34-5.91   | 1.01     | 0.24-4.20 |
| BRCA1 neg vs. pos in paclitaxel treated | 0.96   | 0.61-1.49   | 1.02     | 0.59-1.77 |
| Non-paclitaxel treated vs. paclitaxel treated in BRCA1 neg | 1.19   | 0.90-1.58   | 1.29     | 0.94-1.78 |
| Non-paclitaxel treated vs. paclitaxel treated in BRCA1 pos | 0.79   | 0.18-3.43   | 1.31     | 0.29-5.85 |
| **p53**                 |        |             |          |       |             |          |
| * Treatment             | 0.37   | 0.48        |          |       |             |          |
| p53 neg vs. pos in non-paclitaxel treated | 1.06   | 0.61-1.84   | 0.94     | 0.50-1.78 |
| p53 neg vs. pos in paclitaxel treated | 0.80   | 0.63-1.02   | 0.77     | 0.58-1.03 |
| Non-paclitaxel treated vs. paclitaxel treated in p53 neg | 1.36   | 0.82-2.23   | 1.37     | 0.76-2.47 |
| Non-paclitaxel treated vs. paclitaxel treated in p53 pos | 1.02   | 0.72-1.43   | 1.13     | 0.77-1.65 |

*: interaction; ↑: There were no patients with BRCA1 mutations in the non-paclitaxel treated group.
HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; pos, positive; neg, negative; mut, mutated; WT, wild-type.
mentioned that alphaB-crystallin expression is related to basal markers, such as CK5, CK14 and CK17. Considering that alphaB-crystallin is expressed in normal myoepithelial cells it can be viewed as a basal/myoepithelial marker or as the organizer of stratified cytokeratins through its ability to regulate the cytokeratin organization [47]. The fact that almost half of BCP, as shown in this study, express alphaB-crystallin raises the question why the remaining BCP cases fail to express this protein. This finding could be due to immunostain heterogeneity or BCP heterogeneity as they represent a heterogeneous group. The effort of identifying new molecular markers to subdivide BCP subtypes is still ongoing [22,48]. On the other hand, the heterogeneous pattern of alphaB-crystallin expression throughout the tumor area, as observed in our study, prompts for caution when using TMAs for the assessment of tumor immunoreactivity of this marker.

The oncogenic role of alphaB-crystallin has been demonstrated in two human mammary epithelial cell lines and in experimental mice models, where it results in the development of invasive mammary carcinomas [7]. Recently, it has been suggested that alphaB-crystallin promotes tumor progression by enhancing endothelial cell survival, resulting in efficient tumor vascularization [49,50]. There are several studies that introduce sHsps and especially Hsp27 and alphaB-crystallin as contributors to the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) process. Both proteins interact with the cytoskeleton and regulate its dynamic status by inducing mesenchymal-like spindle cells; thus, they may promote cancer cell invasion and metastasis [47,51]. Consequently, alphaB-crystallin may contribute to an aggressive behavior of tumors; this is in line with our observation that alphaB-crystallin is more commonly found in BCP and in those non-TNBC that have a high histological grade and proliferation rate. Of note, in the current study the majority of non-TNBC alphaB-crystallin positive cases were Luminal B tumors, which by definition have a high Ki67 labeling index. The expression of alphaB-crystallin in a subset of non-TNBC has been mentioned by other studies, as well [7,11,18].

BCP constitute a tumor subgroup associated with BRCA1 mutations. Tumors of patients with BRCA1 germline mutations usually display the basal core phenotype [52,53]. In this study we found that alphaB-crystallin is associated with BCP and BRCA1 mutational status but not with BRCA1 protein expression. Moreover, no significant association was found between mutational status and protein expression. Despite the small sample size (n = 8) of

![Figure 3 Multivariate Cox regression analysis for DFS and OS presented by forest plots.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6890/14/28)
**Conclusions**

alphaB-crystallin cannot be considered to be a marker for BCp but a protein expressed in carcinomas with aggressive biologic nature that are characterized by high labeling index (Ki67/mib1), triple-negative phenotype, basal protein expression, p53 overexpression and high histological grade. However, alphaB-crystallin does not seem to have an independent impact on patient prognosis. Evidently, since results on outcome are IHC cut-off sensitive, the applied cut-off needs further validation. Lastly, although alphaB-crystallin protein expression was not shown to be a predictive marker for taxane-based therapy, to our knowledge this is the first study to evaluate the association between alphaB-crystallin and taxane-based therapy in a large cohort of patients. Further studies are needed to evaluate this result in a balanced patient population.
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