Uterine rupture is a serious obstetric emergency, whereby the uterine wall is disrupted and loss its integrity either to some extent or totally during pregnancy, delivery, or immediately after birth [1, 2]. The disruption extends to the uterine serosa and occasionally involving the bladder or broad ligament in the case of complete uterine rupture [3].

Various direct and indirect factors have increased the risk of uterine rupture. In high-income countries, uterine rupture most commonly occurred in scarred uterus [4], while in resource-limited countries, uterine rupture mainly occurred in unscarred uterus. Obstructed labor, grand multiparty, tonsic drugs and delays (delay in reaching health facilities, delay in deciding for referral, and delay in seeking appropriate care) also leads to uterine rupture [5–10].

The incidence of uterine rupture varies in different parts of the world. It is a rare obstetric event in high-income countries and complicates around 0.03% pregnancies [4]. A large population-based studies in European countries showed that the incidence of uterine rupture was 0.036% in Belgium [4], 0.091% in Sweden [11], 0.019% in United Kingdom [10], and 0.059% in Netherland [12]. In low- and middle-income
countries, the prevalence of uterine rupture for women with previous caesarean section was 1%, whereas for women without previous caesarean section, the overall rate was below 1 per 1000 [13–15].

Globally, nearly 295,000 women died annually as a result of complications of pregnancy and childbirth. The majority of these happen in resource-limited countries mainly in sub-Saharan Africa, which covers more than 94% of the burden [16]. Uterine rupture accounts 8% of this maternal globally and 90% maternal deaths in sub-Saharan Africa countries [5, 17–19]. In Ethiopia, uterine rupture is the leading cause of obstetric hemorrhage and accounts up to 36% of maternal deaths [20, 21].

Uterine rupture also leads to grave maternal and fetal complications both in high income and resource-limited countries including Ethiopia [19, 22]. It leads to severe perinatal asphyxia, perinatal death, massive maternal bleeding necessitating massive transfusion, bladder injury, foot drop, psychological disorder, obstetric fistula, anemia, infertility, and hysterectomy [4, 23–25]. Globally, the perinatal mortality rate as a result of uterine rupture was nearly 11.2% [25].

Ethiopia has implemented different strategies to decrease maternal mortality and morbidity. Some of those approaches were increasing accessibility of emergency obstetrics care, improve ANC follow-up, established maternal waiting homes, improve institutional delivery, and use of partograph during labor. However, uterine rupture is still a common obstetric problem in the country [26, 27].

Even if there are many fragmented primary studies on the prevalence, predictors, and outcomes of Uterine rupture in Ethiopia, the overall prevalence and adverse outcomes are not yet known at the national level [1, 9, 17, 28–34]. Besides, the majority of the literature showed a difference in the magnitude of uterine rupture over time and across geographical areas that ranges from 0.9% [30] to 16.68% [28]. Likewise, a discrepancy was observed among those studies while reporting the major determinants of uterine rupture. Hence, this systematic review and meta-analysis intended to estimate the overall national prevalence, determinants, and adverse outcomes of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. The result of this study may help policy or decision-makers and clinicians at different levels of designing appropriate prevention and control strategies for uterine rupture.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design. A systematic review and meta-analysis was done to estimate the pooled prevalence, determinants, and adverse outcomes of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. The result of this meta-analysis was reported via systematic review and meta-analyses reporting PRISMA guidelines. Protocol registration was not done in this systematic review and meta-analysis.

2.2. Databases and Search Strategies. Studies were searched exhaustively by three authors (DA, MM, and WN) from international databases (PubMed/MEDLINE, HINARI, Cochrane library, Google Scholar, and web of science) by using comprehensive searching strategies. Initially, pretested search strategies were developed to retrieve all pertinent studies. First, articles were searched by examining the full titles (“incidence and determinants of uterine rupture in Ethiopia”) and then keywords (“prevalence,” “incidence,” “magnitude,” “determinants,” “determinant factors,” “associated factors,” “uterine rupture,” “ruptured uterus,” “uterine,” “rupture,” “uterine separation,” and “Ethiopia”). These keywords were used independently and in combination using Boolean operators “OR” or “AND.” Besides to this, studies were also searched from the reference lists of all included studies to find any other missed studies by our searching strategies. Furthermore, to find pertinent unpublished studies, Ethiopian universities’ digital libraries were searched (Addis Ababa University, University of Gondar, and Haramaya University). Search details for PubMed were (“epidemiology”[Subheading] OR “epidemiology”[All Fields] OR “incidence”[All fields] OR “incidence”[MeSH Terms] OR “magnitude”[All fields] or “magnitude”[MeSH Terms] OR “prevalence”[All Fields] OR “prevalence”[MeSH Terms]) AND (“associated factors”[All Fields] OR “associated factors”[MeSH Terms]) OR “determinant factors”[All Fields] OR “determinant factors”[MeSH Terms] AND (“uterine rupture”[MeSH Terms] OR (“uterine”[All Fields] AND “rupture”[All Fields]) OR “uterine rupture”[All Fields]) AND (“Ethiopia”[MeSH Terms] OR “Ethiopia”[All Fields]). The searching periods were from January 1, 2000 to June 8, 2020 G.C were included in this review (Table 1).

2.3. Eligibility Criteria. This systematic review and meta-analysis included studies that meet the following criteria: (1) studies done in Ethiopia and reporting the prevalence or incidence and/or outcomes and/or determinants of uterine rupture among deliveries; (2) studies published between January 1, 2000 and June 8, 2020 G.C; (3) unpublished studies done between January 1, 2000 and June 8, 2020 G.C; (4) all observational study designs (i.e., cross-sectional, cohort, and case-control study designs) that report the prevalence, outcomes, and associated factors of uterine rupture. Studies which were not fully accessible from the database or failed to get a response from the corresponding author within 2 weeks of contact by email were excluded. Inabilities of assessing the quality of studies without full content were the reason for exclusion.

2.4. Measurement of Outcome Variables. This systematic review and meta-analysis had three outcomes. The primary outcome was uterine rupture, which was defined as disruption and loss of uterine wall integrity either partially or completely during delivery or immediately after delivery [1]. Adverse outcomes and determinants of uterine rupture were the secondary and tertiary outcomes, respectively.

2.5. Study Selection and Quality Assessment. All retrieved articles were exported to Endnote 7 reference manager. Then, duplicated studies were removed. The remaining studies were screened for its relevancy, outcome of interest, and availability of full text by three reviewers (DA, MM, and AM). Studies with irrelevant titles and without full text were removed. Finally, studies that fulfilled the inclusion criteria...
were included. The quality of the studies was assessed by two reviewers (DA and MM) individually using Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment Scale (NOS) [35]. Disagreements during quality assessment were resolved by the third reviewer (AM). During disagreement, the third reviewer evaluated the articles and resolved inconsistencies through discussion and consensus. The following items were used to appraise cross-sectional studies: (1) representativeness of the sample; (2) nonrespondents; (3) ascertainment of the exposure (risk factor); (4) the subjects in different outcome groups are comparable, based on the study design or analysis; confounding factors are controlled; (5) assessment of the outcome; (6) statistical test.

The following items were used for quality assessment of cohort study: (1) representativeness of the exposed cohort; (2) selection of the nonexposed cohort; (3) ascertainment of exposure; (4) demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at the start of the study; (5) comparability of cohorts based on the design or analysis; (6) assessment of outcome; (7) was follow up long enough for outcomes to occur; (8) adequacy of follow-up of cohorts: complete follow-up or subjects lost to follow up unlikely. The following items were used for appraising case-control studies: (1) adequate case definition; (2) representativeness of the cases; (3) selection of controls; (4) definition of controls; (5) comparability of cases and controls based on the design or analysis; (6) ascertainment of exposure; (7) same method of ascertainment for cases and controls; (8) nonresponse rate.

Articles that scored ≥7 points out of 9 for cross-sectional, ≥9 points out of 10 for case-control, and ≥9 points out of 13 for cohort studies were considered to be high quality and included in this systematic review and meta-analysis.

### 2.6. Data Extraction

All necessary data were extracted from 15 primary studies by two reviewers (DA and MM) individually using prespecified data abstraction form. This form included the last name of the first author, year of publication, study area, study design, study region, study period, sample size, sampling technique, prevalence of uterine rupture with 95% CI, adjusted odds ratio (AOR) with 95% CI for identified risk factors, and adverse outcomes with its magnitude (i.e., anemia, blood transfusion, vesicovaginal fistula, wound infection, maternal death, relaparotomy, puerperal sepsis, and stillbirth). Disagreements during the data extraction were resolved by the third reviewer (AM). In the case of disagreement, the third reviewer evaluates the articles and resolved inconsistencies through discussion and consensus.

### 2.7. Data Processing and Analysis

All necessary data were extracted and analyzed by using Microsoft Excel and STATA version 11, respectively. The presence of heterogeneity across the studies was evaluated by using $I^2$ statistics [36]. The result of the $I^2$-squared test indicated the presence of marked heterogeneity between the studies ($I^2 = 97.4\%$ and $p < 0.001$). A random effects model was applied to determine the overall prevalence of uterine rupture [37, 38]. Subgroup analysis was done to minimize the random variations between the point estimates of primary studies by using different study characteristics (i.e., based on study region where the study was done, year of publication, and sample size). However, heterogeneity is still observed across the studies. Publication bias was evaluated subjectively by using a funnel plot and objectively by using Egger’s regression test [39]. The result of funnel plot and Egger’s regression test showed the presence of publication bias across the studies ($p$ value = 0.003). The
Duval and Tweedie nonparametric trim and fill analysis was done to correct publication bias among the studies. Adverse outcomes and determinants of uterine rupture were examined by using both random and fixed-effect models.

3. Result

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, a total of 313 articles were retrieved from the international databases and Ethiopian universities’ digital libraries. All identified studies were exported to Endnote 7 reference manager. Then, thirty-four duplicated studies were removed. Two hundred seventy-nine studies were screened for its relevancy, outcome of interest, and availability of full text. Two hundred fifty-eight articles were removed due to irrelevant titles, and two studies were excluded due to the inaccessibility of full text. Nineteen full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Four studies did not fulfill the inclusion criteria (mainly study period and year of publication). Finally, fifteen studies that fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included (Figure 1).

4. Characteristics of Included Studies

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, a total of 15 primary studies that report the prevalence/incidence/magnitude, determinants/associated factors, and adverse outcomes of uterine rupture were included to estimate the national prevalence, determinants, and adverse outcomes of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. All studies were published in different international journals between 2004 and 2020 G.C. Regarding study design, nine studies were cross-sectional [1, 17, 23, 28–30, 32–34], five studies were case-control studies [5, 9, 18, 40, 41], and one study was cohort study [31]. In this meta-analysis, a total of 92,394 study participants were involved. In the present meta-analysis, four regions in Ethiopia were represented. Seven studies were from Amhara region [1, 23, 28, 29, 32, 33, 41], three from Oromiya region [18, 34, 40], three from Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Region (SNNPR) [9, 17, 31], and two from Tigray region [5, 30] (Table 2).

5. The Pooled Prevalence of Uterine Rupture in Ethiopia

Eleven primary studies were used to estimate the pooled prevalence of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. Accordingly, the pooled prevalence of uterine rupture was 2.37% (1.83 and 2.91). High heterogeneity was observed across studies ($I^2 = 97.4\%$ and $p \leq 0.001$). Therefore, the overall pooled prevalence of uterine rupture was determined by using random effects model. The highest prevalence of uterine rupture
was reported at referral hospitals in Amhara region done by Getahun et al. (16.67%) [28] followed by Taddel et al. (8.73%) [32] while the lowest prevalence was reported by Berhe et al. [30] and Ahmed et al. [1] in Mekelle and Felege Hiwot referral hospitals, respectively (0.91%) (Figure 2).

### 6. Subgroup Analysis

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, marked heterogeneity was observed across the studies ($I^2 = 97.4\%$ and $p \leq 0.001$). Therefore, subgroup analysis was done based on publication year, study design, sample size, and the region where the study was conducted. However, heterogeneity is still observed across the studies. Based on region, the highest prevalence of uterine rupture was seen in Amhara (5.38%) followed by Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Region (SNNPR) (1.88%), Oromiya region (1.20), and then Tigray region (0.91%). Regarding to publication year, the highest prevalence was observed in those studies published after 2017 G.C (2.83%). According to sample size, the highest prevalence was observed in those studies which had sample size $\leq 6000$ (4.53%) (Table 3).

### 7. Publication Bias

In this meta-analysis, publication biases among the included studies were examined by using both funnel plots and Egger's regression test. The results of funnel plots showed an asymmetric shape, which indicates the presence of publication bias among those included studies (Figure 3). Objective assessments of publication bias by Egger's regression test also showed the presence of publication bias across studies ($p$ value = 0.003). Hence, the Duval and Tweedie nonparametric trim and fill analysis was done to correct publication bias among the studies. Accordingly, publication bias was corrected when five missed studies were filled in the funnel plot by trim and fill analysis (Figure 4).

### 8. Adverse Outcomes of Uterine Rupture

Uterine rupture leads to undesirable adverse maternal and fetal outcomes in Ethiopia. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes were examined by using nine studies that report outcomes of uterine rupture. Accordingly, anemia, blood transfusion, vesicovaginal fistula, wound infection, maternal death, relaparotomy, puerperal sepsis, and stillbirth were the major adverse outcomes of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. Stillbirth was the leading complications of uterine rupture with a magnitude of 95.01% (92.43 and 97.60) followed by anemia with a magnitude of 64.34% (33.06 and 95.61). Both random and fixed-effect models were used to assess the prevalence of each outcome (Table 4).

### 9. Determinants of Uterine Rupture in Ethiopia

#### 9.1. The Association between Obstructed Labor and Uterine Rupture

The effect of obstructed labor on uterine rupture was evaluated by using four studies that report the association of two variables [5, 28, 32, 41]. The results of this meta-analysis revealed that obstructed labor was significantly associated with uterine rupture. The likelihood of uterine rupture was 3.03 times higher in those laboring mothers who had obstructed labor as compared to those who did not have obstructed labor ($OR = 3.03; 95\% CI = 2.52, 3.63$). There was no heterogeneity between the studies ($I^2 = 0.0\%$ and $p = 0.468$). Hence, fixed-effect model was used to assess the association between uterine rupture and obstructed labor (Figure 5).
9.2. The Associations between Lack of ANC Follow-Up and Uterine Rupture.
In this meta-analysis, six primary studies [5, 9, 18, 31, 40, 41] that examined the effect of lack of ANC follow-up on uterine rupture were included to assess the association between two variables. The finding of this meta-analysis indicated that lack of ANC follow-up was significantly associated with uterine rupture. Laboring mothers who did not have ANC follow-up during pregnancy was 5.79 times more likely developed uterine rupture as compared to those who had ANC follow-up (OR = 5.79; 95%CI = 2.47, 13.61). Marked heterogeneity was observed between the studies (I² = 83.9% and p ≤ 0.001). Therefore, the association between uterine rupture and lack of ANC follow-up was determined by using random effects model (Figure 6).

9.3. The Association between Grand Multipara and Uterine Rupture.
The association between grand multipara and uterine rupture was examined by using four primary studies that evaluated their association previously [5, 18, 28, 40]. The finding of this meta-analysis showed that being grand multipara was significantly associated with the occurrence of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. Accordingly, the likelihood of uterine rupture occurrence was 10.79 times higher in grand multipara mothers as compared to those mothers who were para two to four (OR = 10.79; 95%CI = 4.77, 24.40). Moderate heterogeneity was seen between the included studies (I² = 32.8% and p = 0.216). Hence, the associations between the two variables were examined by using random effects model (Figure 7).

9.4. The Associations between Duration of Labor Greater than 24 Hours and Uterine Rupture.
In this systematic review, we assessed the effect of duration of labor greater than 24 hours and uterine rupture by using three studies [5, 28, 31]. The finding indicated that the duration of labor greater than 24 hours was significantly associated with uterine rupture in Ethiopia. Based on this finding, those mothers who had a duration of labor greater than 24 hours was 3.75 times more likely develop uterine rupture as compared to those who had a duration of labor less than 24 hours (OR = 3.75; 95%CI = 2.24, 6.29). There was no heterogeneity across the studies (I² = 0.0% and p = 0.790). Therefore, fixed-effect model was used to examine the association between these two variables (Figure 8).
9.5. The Association between Rural Residency and Uterine Rupture. The association between rural residency and uterine rupture was examined by using four primary studies [9, 18, 31, 41]. The result showed that being a rural residency was significantly associated with the occurrence of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. Accordingly, the likelihood of uterine rupture occurrence was 7.17 times higher in those mothers who lived in the rural area as compared to those who lived in urban areas (OR = 7.17; 95% CI = 3.90, 13.16). There was moderate heterogeneity across those included studies.
(I² = 54.7% and p = 0.085). As a result, random effects model was applied to evaluate the association between uterine rupture and rural residency (Figure 9).

10. Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis is aimed at estimating the pooled prevalence, determinants, and adverse outcomes of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. To the best of our knowledge, this meta-analysis is the first of its kind to estimate the pooled prevalence, determinants, and adverse outcomes of uterine rupture in Ethiopia. Estimating the pooled prevalence, determinants, and adverse outcomes of uterine rupture may enable policymakers and clinicians at different levels to design evidence-based prevention and intervention strategies.

This meta-analysis found that the pooled prevalence of uterine rupture in Ethiopia was 2.37% (1.83 and 2.91). This finding was higher than a nationwide population-based study done in Belgium (0.036%) [4], Sweden (0.091%) [11], United
Kingdom (0.019%) [10], Netherlands (0.059%) [12], and Norway (6.1 per 10,000 or 0.061%) [42]. The variation could be due to inaccessibility of district and referral hospitals, difficult transportation systems, and difference in management and emergency referral of cases in our country. Beside to this, socioeconomic variation between the two countries, delay in deciding for referral and delay in giving immediate care for high-risk pregnant/laboring mothers in the study setting might also contribute to this variation. Furthermore, delay in seeking health services in Ethiopia due to sociocultural and religious issues, home delivery habit, family influence, lack of awareness on danger sign of labor and childbirth, inadequate birth preparedness plan, and poor quality of health services may also contributed to this difference [43].

This finding was also higher than the result of a large sampled study done in Senegal and Mali (0.67%) [44]. This might be related to increasing tolerance to longer duration of labor in our country. Differences in maternal health service accessibility and resources disparities to manage obstetric emergency between the study settings might also contribute to this discrepancy. In addition, study design and sample size variation between the studies might also contribute to this difference. Moreover, the presence of sociocultural and religious issues, use of traditional options at homes, and inadequate birth preparedness plan in our setup might also contribute to this deviation [45].

The finding of subgroup analysis based on region indicated that the prevalence of uterine rupture was significantly variable across the regions. Accordingly, the highest prevalence of uterine rupture was observed in Amhara with a prevalence of 5.38% followed by Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Region (SNNPR) with a prevalence of 1.88%, Oromiya region with 1.20%, and then Tigray region with a prevalence of 0.91%. The variation might be related to increasing number of studies addressing uterine rupture in the Amhara region (seven studies) as compared to the Tigray region (only two studies). As the number of studies increased, the prevalence might be increased in the region as a result of an increased sample size. Moreover, EDHS 2016 and mini EDHS 2019 indicated that maternal health service coverage such as ANC follow-up and institutional delivery was relatively better in Tigray region. As a result, the prevalence of uterine rupture may decrease in this region [46, 47]. Beside to this, difference in health seeking behaviour between the regions as a result of variation in traditional belief, educational status, and home delivery habits may contribute to this variation. Moreover, there is better accessibility of infrastructures and transportation system (roads) in Tigray region; as a result, the prevalence of uterine rupture is low in Tigray region as compared to other regions.

In the present study, obstructed labor was significantly associated with uterine rupture. The likelihood of uterine rupture was 3.03 times higher in those laboring mothers who had obstructed labor as compared to those who did not have obstructed labor. This funding was supported by a study funding in Uganda [13], Senegal, and Mali [44]. This could be due to the presence of uncorrected mechanical obstruction that affects engagement and descent. In this

| Study                  | OR (95% CI)       |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Getahun et al. (2018)  | 3.44 (1.49, 7.93) |
| Eshete et al. (2018)   | 6.04 (1.41, 25.93)|
| Berek et al. (2017)    | 3.56 (1.71, 7.43) |
| Overall ($I^2 = 0.0\%$, $p = 0.790$) | 3.75 (2.24, 6.29) |

**Figure 8:** Forest plots showing pooled odds ratio of the association between duration of labor > 24 hours and uterine rupture.

| Study                  | OR (95% CI)       |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Abebe et al. (2018)    | 6.29 (3.39, 11.67)|
| Workie et al. (2018)   | 8.33 (1.36, 51.07)|
| Eshete et al. (2018)   | 14.60 (7.05, 30.24)|
| Dadi et al. (2017)     | 4.00 (2.01, 7.94) |
| Overall ($I^2 = 54.7\%$, $p = 0.085$) | 7.17 (3.90, 13.16) |

**Figure 9:** Forest plots showing the pooled odds ratio of the association between uterine rupture and rural residency.
condition, the uterus contract and retract for long periods and develop Bandl’s ring and uterine rupture if action is not taken timely.

Lack of ANC follow-up was also another determinant factor of uterine rupture. Mothers who did not have ANC follow-up during pregnancy were 5.79 times more likely to develop uterine rupture as compared to those mothers who had ANC follow-up. This funding was in agreement with a study funding in Uganda [13, 48], Senegal, and Mali [44]. If the woman did not have ANC follow-up, predisposing factors for uterine rupture and any abnormalities or risks may not be identified early. Beside to this, a pregnant woman may not get adequate information or advice on danger signs of pregnancy and birth preparedness and complication redness plan including the sign of labor and timely arrival to health institutions when labor starts. This condition may facilitate the occurrence of uterine rupture.

According to this study, being grand multipara was significantly associated with uterine rupture. The occurrence uterine rupture was 10.79 times higher in grand multipara mothers as compared to those mothers who were para II-IV. This evidence was supported by a study funding in Uganda [49]. The possible justification for this association could be due to the weakening of the uterus in grand multiparous women [44]. Besides to this, it might be related to malpresentation, which is more likely occur in grand multiparous due to the lax uterus and weak abdominal wall [49].

Furthermore, duration of labor > 24 hours was significantly associated with uterine rupture. Those laboring mothers who had a duration of labor > 24 hours were 3.75 times more likely to develop uterine rupture as compared to those who had a duration of labor ≤ 24 hours. This could be due to different obstetric interventions in case of prolonged labor. To shorten the duration of labor, different obstetric interventions might be applied (such as the use of oxytocin and operative delivery). This condition might lead to uterine rupture. Beside to this, the association between uterine rupture and prolonged labor may also be due to obstructed labor.

Finally, rural residency was significantly associated with the occurrence of uterine rupture. Accordingly, the likelihood of uterine rupture occurrence was 7.17 times higher in those mothers who lived in the rural areas as compared to those who lived in urban areas. This finding was supported by a study finding in Yemen [50], Senegal, and Mali [44]. The possible justification for this association might be due to delay in reaching health institutions because of the inaccessibility of nearby health facilities or distant health institutions and low levels of educational status in rural residency as compared to the urban areas. Moreover, there may be poor access to basic and compressive emergency obstetric care in the rural areas.

11. Limitation of the Study

This systematic review and meta-analysis has several limitations. All regions in Ethiopia were not represented in this systematic review and meta-analysis due to limited number of studies in the country (only four regions were represented in this study). As a result, the finding of this systematic review and meta-analysis may not exactly indicate the national prevalence of uterine rupture. Beside to this, almost all of the studies did not assess the relationship between previous caesarean scar and uterine rupture. Furthermore, the majority of the studies included in this systematic review and meta-analysis were cross-sectional in nature; as a result, the outcome variable might be affected by other confounding variables. Heterogeneity of the data obtained from the included studies was also another limitation for this study.

12. Conclusion

The overall prevalence of uterine rupture was high in Ethiopia. Obstructed labor, lack of ANC follow-up, duration of labor > 24 hours, being grand multipara, and rural residency were determinants of uterine rupture. This study implies the need to develop plans and policies to improve antenatal care follow-up and labor and delivery management at each level of health system. Emphasis should be given to those women at a rural residency and grand multipara mothers (para ≥ 5).
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