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Abstract
Background Advanced heart failure (HF) is associated with high morbidity and mortality; it represents a major burden for the health system. Episodes of acute decompensation requiring frequent and prolonged hospitalizations account for most HF-related expenditure. Inotropic drugs are frequently used during hospitalization, but rarely in outpatients. The LAICA clinical trial aims to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of monthly levosimendan infusion in patients with advanced HF to reduce the incidence of hospital admissions for acute HF decompensation.

Methods The LAICA study is a multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group trial. It aims to recruit 213 out-patients, randomized to receive either a 24-h infusion of levosimendan at 0.1 μg/kg/min dose, without a loading dose, every 30 days, or placebo.

Results The main objective is to assess the incidence of admission for acute HF worsening during 12 months. Secondarily, the trial will assess the effect of intermittent levosimendan on other variables, including the time in days from randomization to first admission for acute HF worsening, mortality and serious adverse events.

Conclusions The LAICA trial results could allow confirmation of the usefulness of intermittent levosimendan infusion in reducing the rate of hospitalization for HF worsening in advanced HF outpatients.
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Introduction

Advanced heart failure (HF) is a health problem of increasing magnitude [1–3] despite the significant advances of recent decades [4]. It is characterized by repeated episodes of decompensation that cause frequent and prolonged hospitalizations [5]. As a consequence, the quality of life of these patients is severely compromised and the economic burden of healthcare is high [6, 7]. Some patients may benefit from therapeutic measures with known impact on survival and quality of life, such as the implantation of circulatory assist devices and heart transplantation [8]. However, in many cases, such measures may be delayed due to shortage of donor organs, or contraindicated due to host factors such as advanced age and co-morbidity [9, 10].

In recent years a new drug, levosimendan, has emerged in the therapeutic management of HF. It is a calcium-sensitizing agent, which exerts a combined positive inotropic and vasodilator effect [11]. There is extensive scientific evidence on the effectiveness and utility of levosimendan in treating patients with acutely decompensated HF [12–15]. It is therefore commonly used in many hospitals around the world. However, there are no efficacy and safety data to support the use of intermittent levosimendan for the management of outpatients with advanced HF.

The LAICA study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00988806/Number EudraCT: 2009-011441-11) is an independent multicenter clinical trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of intermittent administration of levosimendan in continuous 24 h intravenous infusion as a treatment strategy to reduce the incidence of hospital admission for acutely decompensated HF, at 12 months follow-up, in patients with advanced HF.

Methods

Study Design, Duration, Levosimendan Administration and Treatments Allowed

The LAICA study is a multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group trial. Candidate participants are patients with advanced HF [5], of any aetiology, with at least one episode of acute decompensation requiring admission within the last 6 months, and clinically stable at the time of inclusion in the study (see Table 1 for inclusion and exclusion criteria). Study duration is 12 months of treatment and 12 months of follow up. All patients receive standard treatment for HF, at the discretion of the physician responsible in each case, in accordance with current recommendations for the management of chronic heart failure [4] and may be carriers of an implantable cardioverter defibrillator or cardiac resynchronization therapy device. Patients are randomly assigned, in double-blind manner, to receive an infusion of levosimendan at 0.1 μg/kg/min, without a loading dose (Group I), or placebo of the same colour, at the same dose (Group II), during 24 h, once every 30 days. The dose is established on the basis of previous studies [14, 15], researcher experience and the usual practice in many centres. The absence of a loading dose is for safety reasons (to avoid the risk of episodes of hypotension). The infusion rate may be reduced to 0.05 μg/kg/min or suspended, according to the patient’s response to the drug (hypotension or tachycardia),

| Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion criteria |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Age>18 years old | Severe impairment of functional capacity evidenced by one of the following: |
| Symptoms of heart failure such as dysnea or weakness on minimal physical activity or at rest (NYHA III-IV functional class) | - Six-minutes walking test in women and/or patients>75 years : < 300 m response |
| Congestive signs (jugular venous distension, liver distension, lung crepitations or rales, peripheral edema) o low-output signs at rest | - Oxygen consumption during cardiopulmonary treadmill test : < 12–14 mL/kg/min response |
| Evidence of severe heart dysfunction defined as one of the following: | History of more than 1 hospitalization episode in the 6 months before randomization |
| - Ejection fraction less than 30 % | Standard pharmacological treatment |
| - Diastolic dysfunction>grade III | Allergy or hypersensitivity to levosimendan or any of the components used to prepare the drug sample |
| - Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure>16 mmHg and/or central venous pressure>12 mmHg at pulmonary artery catheterization | Creatinine clearance<30 mL/min |
| - NTproBNP levels>3,000 ng/mL, when non-cardiac conditions are ruled out | Autoimmune disease |
| Severe impairment of functional capacity evidenced by one of the following: | Pregnancy |
| Women of childbearing age | Women of childbearing age |
| Cardiac conditions associated with cardiac filling or output obstruction | Heart rate>120 bpm or history of torsade de pointes |
| Systolic tension<90 mmHg | Treatment with vasoactive amines or phosphodiesterase inhibitors in the 2 weeks before randomization |
| Heart rate>120 bpm or history of torsade de pointes | Any associated disease with poor prognosis and/or reduced survival time |
| Treatment with vasoactive amines or phosphodiesterase inhibitors in the 2 weeks before randomization | Inability to give informed consent |
| Any associated disease with poor prognosis and/or reduced survival time | Concomitant participation in other studies |
in which case it will be recorded as a serious adverse event. Infusion of the drug will take place in a medical setting, under clinical supervision with electrocardiography and non-invasive hemodynamic monitoring. Clinical status of each participant will be evaluated at 15 days of infusion. If the patient is not found in a state of adequate compensation (defined as subjective improvement of HF symptoms and/or improvement by at least one NYHA functional class, and/or objective disappearance of signs of systemic venous congestion, pulmonary congestion and/or objective improvement in signs of peripheral perfusion) or if the clinical status worsens before the 30-day deadline for the next infusion, then the infusion timetable will be shortened to every 15 days with clinical assessments at 7 days. In cases of persistent decompensation after two consecutive infusions every 15 days, the randomization code will be disclosed and the patient receive the medical treatment deemed most appropriate by the attending physician, including heart transplantation when indicated. A complete summary of the protocol is depicted in Fig. 1.

Randomization, Infusion Masking Procedure and Ethical Considerations

Patients will be centrally randomized using a random number generator. Patient identification and drug/placebo numeric codes will be safeguarded in the Pharmacy Department, University Hospital of the Canary Islands. The infusion masking will only be removed in cases of a serious adverse event or when the primary endpoint of the trial is attained. The study drug and placebo will be presented as a concentrate in 5 ml vials of identical appearance.

The study has been approved by local clinical research ethics committees of each participating center and by the health authorities (Spanish Agency of Medicines and Health Products, Ministry of Health). It will be conducted in accordance with the standards of Good Clinical Practice for trials with medical products in the European Community (http://www.ec.europa.eu/health/documents/eudralex/vol-10/index_en.htm) and the Declaration of Helsinki concerning medical research in human subjects [16]. An independent ad hoc clinical events committee, blinded to the treatment received, will supervise the course and

Fig. 1 Summary of study design protocol
safety of the study. It will receive reports on all events relating to the primary objective, secondary objectives and adverse reactions that may occur during implementation. An interim analysis will be performed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the study when the first 100 patients are recruited.

Main and Secondary Objectives of the Study

The primary endpoint of the trial is to determine the incidence of combined overall mortality and admission for acute HF decompensation or worsening. Clinical worsening or acutely decompensated heart failure is defined as admission to the emergency department or a hospital ward during more than 12 h due to worsening of symptoms and signs of HF. The effect of study drug administration on other secondary end-points will also be evaluated [Table 2].

The end-points of the study will be evaluated at patient visits between each administration of the study drug, based on history, physical signs, laboratory tests (blood count, renal function, ions and NTproBNP), echocardiography, functional assessment by treadmill test with measurement of myocardial oxygen consumption or a 6-min walking test, and evaluation of quality of life using the validated Spanish version of the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire [17] at baseline, and at 3, 6 and 12 months of inclusion in the study.

Sub-Study on Renal Function Previous studies suggest that levosimendan treatment has a beneficial effect on renal function [14]. The objective of this sub-study is to confirm any such effect on renal function in patients with advanced HF. Glomerular filtration rate will be estimated using the MDRD formula [18] after randomization, at 30 days, 3, 6 and 12 months of inclusion in the study. Additionally, cystatin C levels will be measured at baseline, and at 6 and 12 months of inclusion in the study.

Table 2 Primary and secondary end-points

| Primary end-point | Combined overall mortality and admission for HF decompensation or worsening |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Secondary end-points |                                                                                   |
| Time in days from randomization to first hospitalization for ADHF | Overall mortality at 1,6 and 12 months |
| Cardiac and non-cardiac mortality at 1,6,12 months |                                                                                   |
| Cumulative incidence of mortality and hospitalization rate for ADHF |                                                                                   |
| Incidence of Major Adverse Cardiac Events (MACE) such as sudden death, myocardial ischemia, acute myocardial infarction |                                                                                   |
| Time in days from randomization to any MACE |                                                                                   |
| Changes in NYHA functional class from randomization to 1,6,12 months |                                                                                   |
| NTproBNP changes before and after treatment | Quality of Life assessment at 1,6,12 months using KCCQ |

Sub-Study on Cost-Effectiveness The objective of this sub-study is to assess the impact in terms of cost-efficacy of repeated doses of levosimendan in patients with advanced HF. As markers of cost-effectiveness we will use the number of hospitalizations, number of days spent in the intensive care unit and/or hospital ward, and the number of visits to primary care. The impact on quality of life and health status of the participants will be assessed using the KCCQ questionnaire at baseline and at each follow-up visit.

Sample Size Rationale, Data Collection and Statistical Analysis In calculating the study sample size we used data from a previous study by W. Mullens et al. [19]: in a cohort of 513 advanced HF patients on standard treatment, the 1-year incidence of hospital admission for HF worsening was 38 %.

Thus, for our study to have a power of 80 % and an alpha risk of 0.05 (one-tailed contrast) we need 213 patients (163 patients to receive levosimendan treatment and 50 patients placebo), assuming a final result of 18 % reduction in the incidence of the primary endpoint of the study.

All patient data are to be collected centrally on an electronic data spreadsheet using a telematic application with predefined ranges, controls to prevent double entry and warnings about erroneous data entry. Patient identity will be encrypted to protect the safety and confidentiality of their data. Patients who during the study period are eligible but not randomized for any reason will be included in a simplified register and the reason for their non-inclusion will be specified, but they will be subject to follow-up at 30 days and 12 months.

Statistical analysis will be performed according to the intention-to-treat (ITT) principle. There will be a descriptive analysis of all variables collected (i.e. variables related to efficacy and safety, and all variables that may be considered as related to them) for both treatment groups. Variables will be compared between groups according to original patient randomization to a group (in accord with the ITT principle). Statistical analysis will be performed by an independent group of experts in biostatistics and research methodology. For missing data (partial and complete), statistical imputation with “last observation carried forward” will be used.

Discussion

The management of HF accounts for 2 % of health expenditure in industrialized countries, and 70 % of that is due to frequent and prolonged hospitalizations for acutely decompensated HF [6]. Strategies to reduce such hospitalizations could help reduce the burden of HF care. The aim of the LAICA study is to determine whether the intermittent administration of levosimendan by intravenous infusion during 24 h once every 30 days is safe and effective in reducing...
the incidence of admission for acute decompensation in patients with advanced HF.

The administration of inotropic drugs, by continuous or intermittent infusion, is frequently used in hospitalized patients with advanced HF in order to improve quality of life and survival or as a bridge to transplantation [4, 20]. Although inotropes can immediately improve clinical status and hemodynamics, they may trigger and accelerate pathological mechanisms that lead to increased myocardial damage. Numerous studies have shown that this method of administration has short-to-long term negative effects, including increased myocardial oxygen requirements, cardiotoxicity and pro-arrhythmogenic effects which significantly limit their usefulness as therapeutic agents [21, 22].

Levosimendan, a calcium sensitizing agent, has inotropic and vasodilator effects exerted by a dual mechanism of action: 1) it increases the calcium sensitivity of troponin C, without increasing the release of calcium into the cytosol or modifying intracellular cyclic AMP levels, and 2) it activates K⁺-ATPase-dependent channels and blocks the release of endothelin-1. This dual mechanism of action leads to improved myocardial contractility without either significant changes in oxygen requirements or pro-arrhythmic effects [11].

Different studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of levosimendan in treating acute HF decompensation from the clinical, hemodynamic, and even prognostic standpoint, compared with other treatment arms [12–15]. However, others have subsequently questioned the benefits for long-term prognosis of these patients [23, 24]. This disparity of results has been attributed to methodological differences [25].

Preliminary studies, all with small sample sizes, have examined the effects of administering levosimendan in repeated doses for the treatment of HF. In 36 patients with advanced HF, Nanas et al. [26] studied the efficacy and safety of biweekly levosimendan associated with dobutamine compared with dobutamine alone. Patients receiving levosimendan survived longer.

Parisis et al. [27], in a randomized placebo-controlled study involving 25 patients with advanced HF, studied the effect of levosimendan infusion during 24 h every 3 weeks on cardiac function, geometry and levels of troponin T, NT-proBNP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein and interleukin-6. Repeated levosimendan infusions significantly improved geometry and cardiac function, and positively modulated neuro-hormonal activation and inflammatory response in patients with advanced HF without increasing myocardial damage.

Mavrogeni et al. [28], in 50 patients with advanced HF, prospectively evaluated the clinical effect of intermittent monthly infusion of levosimendan during 24 h, compared to controls receiving standard care, for 6 months. The results showed significant symptom improvement in those receiving intermittent levosimendan, together with an increase in left ventricular systolic function.

Parle et al. [29], in a prospective observational study, studied the effectiveness of repeated infusions of levosimendan in 45 patients with advanced HF. They showed that levosimendan can be administered repeatedly, was well tolerated, with improvements in functional class and BNP levels after drug infusions.

Two current multicenter studies are aimed at assessing the efficacy and safety of intermittent levosimendan administration in patients with advanced HF. Altenberger et al. [30] in a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group trial (the LevoRep study, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01065194) evaluated the efficacy and safety of levosimendan infusion every 2 weeks during 6 h in 120 outpatients with advanced HF. This study failed to show significant improvements in its primary objectives: to assess changes in functional capacity and quality of life at the end of the 24-week study period. However, the study meets its secondary end-points and showed that ambulatory levosimendan was safe and improves event-free survival by 50 % in the short term (8 weeks) and long term (24 weeks) from randomization, compared with placebo. These authors agree that studies with more patients and higher dosing or higher repetition frequencies of levosimendan in an outpatient setting could show positive results for the primary endpoints [31].

The currently ongoing LION-HEART study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01536132), using a levosimendan administration regimen similar to that of the LevoRep study, aims to determine its efficacy and safety in 70 outpatients with advanced HF, compared with placebo, where the main outcome variable is the change in BNP levels from baseline to the end of a 3-month treatment period. Secondary objectives include the assessment of hospitalizations and mortality at 12 months follow up.

The design of our study differs substantially from these two studies in terms of the form and duration of drug administration, and the primary objective. However, we share the same intention of evaluating the efficacy and safety of intermittent levosimendan in patients with advanced HF. Results, if positive, may lead to recommendations based on scientific evidence in support of the strategy of repeated intermittent administration of levosimendan as an additional therapeutic step in the treatment of patients with advanced HF.

Lastly, the presence of renal function impairment or worsening complicates the management of patients with advanced HF and is an independent predictor of adverse outcome [32]. In the LIDO study, a significant reduction in serum creatinine levels was observed in levosimendan-treated patients [14]. In addition, other clinical findings suggest that levosimendan treatment has a beneficial effect on renal function [32, 33]. The renal sub-study will allow us to detect this effect in patients with advanced HF.
Conclusions

The LAICA study aims to assess the efficacy and safety of intermittent levosimendan administration as an additional therapeutic measure in patients with advanced HF, and to test the hypothesis that its use in outpatients could reduce the rate of admissions for acutely decompensated HF. If this is confirmed, it could have important implications for quality of life and healthcare costs associated with managing patients with advanced HF.
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