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Abstract
Standard Illumina libraries are biased toward sequences of intermediate GC-content. This results in an underrepresentation of GC-rich regions in sequencing projects of genomes with heterogeneous base composition, such as mammals and birds. We developed a simple, cost-effective protocol to enrich sheared genomic DNA in its GC-rich fraction by subtracting AT-rich DNA. This was achieved by heating DNA up to 90°C before applying Illumina library preparation. We tested the new approach on chicken DNA and found that heated DNA increased average coverage in the GC-richest chromosomes by a factor up to six. Using a Taq polymerase supposedly appropriate for PCR amplification of GC-rich sequences had a much weaker effect. Our protocol should greatly facilitate sequencing and resequencing of the GC-richest regions of heterogeneous genomes, in combination with standard short-read and long-read technologies.
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Introduction
High-throughput sequencing technologies have decreased the cost of sequencing by several orders of magnitude over the last few decades (Reuter et al. 2015). Short-read technologies have increased the depth of coverage to values typically >60× for whole-genome sequencing and 15× for resequencing data (Sims et al. 2014). Unfortunately, depth of coverage is often far from evenly distributed across the sequenced genome. Biases in PCR amplification create uneven genomic representation in classical Illumina libraries (Dohm et al. 2008; Kozarewa et al. 2009; Aird et al. 2011), PCR being sensitive to extreme GC-content variation (Baskaran et al. 1996; Benita et al. 2003; Oyola et al. 2012). In consequence, the GC-rich regions of large, heterogeneous genomes are typically undercovered, therefore inefficiently assembled, when libraries are prepared following standard protocols (Hillier et al. 2004). A marked heterogeneity in GC-content has been identified in various genomes of relatively large size. In angiosperms, monocots and especially grasses (Poaceae) show a bimodal distribution of GC-content in protein-coding genes, with a class of very GC-rich genes (Yu et al. 2002; Serres-Giardi et al. 2012; Clément et al. 2014; Glémin et al. 2014). Most mammalian genomes, including the human genome, have a local GC-content that varies from 30% to >55% at the kilo-base scale (Lander et al. 2001; Cohen et al. 2005; Duret et al. 2006), and a similar pattern has been reported in honey bee (Apis mellifera) and several species of ants (The Honeybee Genome Sequencing Consortium et al. 2006; Smith et al. 2011).

The genomes of birds are arguably among the most heterogeneous with respect to GC-content, both within and among chromosomes. Birds show a particularly striking negative correlation between GC-content and chromosome size (Hillier et al. 2004): the bird karyotype includes a number of very small-sized chromosomes that are particularly GC-rich, underrepresented in short-read sequence data, and difficult to assemble. The original draft chicken genome assembly, for instance, only included 29 out of the 38 autosomes with the smallest chromosomes being missing (Hillier et al. 2004). Importantly, gene density is strongly correlated with GC-content in birds (fig. 1). The unassembled GC-rich regions actually contain a substantial portion—probably ~15%—of the bird gene complement, which is currently missing from genome annotation databases, as we recently demonstrated from transcriptome analyses (Botero-Castro et al. 2017, see also Hron et al. 2015).
There is, therefore, a clear need for DNA sequencing methods alleviating the GC bias. Single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing technologies that do not rely on PCR have recently contributed to significantly improve genome assembly in large genomes (Davey et al. 2016; Gordon et al. 2016; Bickhart et al. 2017; Korlach et al. 2017; Warren et al. 2017; Weissensteiner et al. 2017). In birds, the chicken, zebra finch (Taeniopygia guttata), Anna’s hummingbird (Calypte anna), and hooded crow (Corvus cornix) assemblies have been improved using PacBio technologies with a coverage from ~50 x to 96 x (Korlach et al. 2017; Warren et al. 2017; Weissensteiner et al. 2017). SMRT sequencing, however, remains relatively costly and error prone, and requires high quantity and quality of DNA, so that in many projects sequencing depth is mainly contributed by PCR-dependent technologies. Several attempts have been made to optimize PCR conditions, such as temperature ramp rate, denaturation time, chemical additives, and DNA polymerase, in order to reduce the GC bias during library preparation (Aird et al. 2011; Oyola et al. 2012). Aird et al. (2011), for instance, improved the homogeneity of coverage depth when applying optimized protocols to a mixture of bacterial DNA from three distinct species but they concluded that not a single protocol is appropriate in every situation. GC-rich and GC-poor DNA have distinct optimal PCR conditions, so that amplifying heterogeneous DNA is intrinsically a difficult problem.

Elaborating on this idea, we here suggest to isolate GC-rich DNA before sequencing it. We investigate a simple method aiming at enriching genomic DNA in its GC-rich fraction prior to library preparation. We show that a simple heat-denaturation and sizing of fragmented DNA before the blunt-end repair step results in a substantially increase in average GC-content of sequence reads. Applying this protocol to chicken DNA, we achieved a considerable increase in coverage depth of the GC-riciest regions of the genome. The new approach is cheap, does not require high quantity or quality of DNA, and is complementary to the shotgun, mate pair and/or SMRT approaches.

**Materials and Methods**

**DNA Extraction and Treatment Post-Illumina Library Preparation**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from chicken tissue using DNAeasy Blood and Tissue kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer instructions. About 3 µg of total genomic DNA were sheared for 20 min using an ultrasonic cleaning unit (Elmasonic One). Sheared DNA was separated in six tubes of 50 µl containing 500 ng of DNA each. We applied different temperatures to the sheared DNA in order to denature it. Two samples (CHK2-75 and CHK2-85) were heated 5 min to 75 °C and 85 °C, respectively. Three samples (CHK3-75, CHK3-85, and CHK90) were heated to 75 °C, 85 °C, and 90 °C, respectively, and submitted to a second step of shearing in an ultrasonic cleaning unit (Elmasonic One) during 5 min. One control sample (CHK1) was not heated. All samples were sized using AMPure (Agencourt) immediately after treatments (see table 1).

**Library Preparation and Sequencing**

Illumina library preparation followed the classical protocol involving blunt-end repair, adapter ligation, and adapter fill-in steps as developed by Meyer and Kircher (Meyer and Kircher 2010) with slight modifications as explained by Tilak et al. (2015). The full protocol has been deposited in protocols.io (dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.jxicpke). Libraries were quantified using a Nanodrop ND-8000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop technologies). About 5 ng of each library (except CHK-90) were PCR indexed using Taq Phusion (Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase Thermo Scientific) and KAPA HiFi (2× KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix KAPABIOSYSTEMS) polymerases because these amplification enzymes could have different GC biases (Quil et al. 2011). CHK-90 was only amplified with KAPA HiFi and 3% DMSO, so that 11 index libraries were generated—one for CHK-90 and two for each of the other five conditions. Indexed libraries were purified using AMPure (Agencourt) ratio 1.6, quantified with Nanodrop ND-800, and pooled in equimolar ratio. The pool of indexed libraries was single-read sequenced on one lane of Illumina HiSeq 2500 at GATC-Biotech (Konstanz, Germany).
Fusion Curves

We generated fusion curves in order to check the effect of pretreatments on the GC-content of the constructed libraries. About 5 ng of each indexed PCR was mixed with ResoLight ROCHE 20°C/2°C (fluorescent molecule) for a final volume of 10 μl. The libraries were heated from 65°C to 98°C with increasing ramp to 0.02°C per second and 25 acquisitions per degree using the High Resolution Melting program of ROCHE Light Cycler 480. The melting curves were obtained for all libraries and their negative first-derivative (−100 × df/dT) were calculated to estimate the corresponding melting temperatures (Tm).

Sequence Analyses

For a fair comparison between libraries, we generated 11 data sets of exactly eight millions of 101-bp reads each. This was achieved by randomly subsampling in fastq files prior to any quality control or filtering step (see command line supplementary material online). The quality and GC-content of the data obtained in this study were assessed using FastQC 0.11.4 (Andrews 2010. Available at: https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Reads were cleaned with Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014) using parameters: “LEADING: 3 TRAILING: 3 SLIDINGWINDOW: 4: 15 MINLEN: 50.” Cleaned reads were mapped onto the reference genome Gallus_gallus-5.0 using Bowtie2 with default parameters (Langmead and Salzberg 2012). The number of reads mapped to each chromosome and scaffold was computed using SAMS tools. We also computed the number of reads mapped to small contigs that are not associated to any chromosome or linkage group (LG) in the Gallus_gallus-5.0 assembly. The size of these contigs varied from 200 to 209,746 bp, with an average of 8,964 bp. These contigs represent the badly assembled regions of the chicken genome. To analyze the relationship between depth of coverage and GC-content, we sorted the contigs according to GC-content and divided them in 29 bins of 623 contigs. Contigs with the 5% highest coverage were excluded from the analysis.

Results

We first analyzed fusion curves in order to estimate the melting temperature (Tm), which is known to be positively correlated to GC-content (Marmur and Doty 1962). Tm was not notably different between CHK1, CHK2-75, and CHK3-75 regardless of the enzyme used for amplification. These results suggest that GC-content was nearly the same for these libraries. In contrast, the libraries constructed from DNA heated to 85°C and 90°C had a significantly increased Tm, compared with CHK1, suggesting a GC enrichment (fig. 2). There was no conspicuous difference in Tm between CHK2-85 and CHK3-85, suggesting that an additional 5-min DNA shearing after heating has no strong effect on GC-content.

GC-content was estimated for each library using FastQC (table 1). In agreement with the analysis of melting curves, GC-content was significantly increased when DNA was heated to a temperature of 85°C or higher (table 1): the average GC-content of reads was increased from 41% (unheated) to 52% (85°C) and up to 59% (90°C). In contrast, GC-content was similar between CHK1, CHK2-75, and CHK3-75. The choice of DNA polymerase (Taq Phusion or Kapa Hifi) only had a weak effect on GC-content in treatments CHK2-85 and CHK3-85, suggesting that an additional 5-min DNA shearing after heating has no strong effect on GC-content.

Eight million reads from each of the 11 libraries were mapped to the chicken genome Gallus_gallus-5.0. Average expected genome coverage is 0.67× per library. In agreement with the Tm and FastQC results, the number of reads that mapped onto reference genome was similar between libraries CHK1, CHK2-75, and CHK3-75, one on hand, and between CHK2-85 and CHK3-85, on the other hand. The results for libraries CHK1, CHK2-85, and CHK-90 are shown in table 2. The average GC-content of mapped reads was also considerably higher in the CHK2-85 and, particularly, CHK-90 libraries when compared with that of CHK1 and this was true of all the groups of chromosomes. This indicates that heating libraries has not only improved depth of coverage in small, GC-rich chromosomes but also for the GC-richest regions of large, GC-heterogeneous chromosomes. In addition, note that the

| Library | Heating (°C) | Shearing | Polymerase | DMSO (%) | Tm (°C) | GC Content (%) |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| CHK1    | No          | No       | Phusion    | 0        | 86       | 41             |
| CHK1    | No          | No       | Kapa       | 0        | 86       | 41             |
| CHK2-75 | 75          | No       | Phusion    | 0        | 86       | 41             |
| CHK2-75 | 75          | No       | Kapa       | 0        | 86       | 41             |
| CHK3-75 | 75          | 5 min    | Phusion    | 0        | 86       | 41             |
| CHK3-75 | 75          | 5 min    | Kapa       | 0        | 86       | 41             |
| CHK2-85 | 85          | No       | Phusion    | 0        | 89.5     | 52             |
| CHK2-85 | 85          | No       | Kapa       | 0        | 88       | 51             |
| CHK3-85 | 85          | 5 min    | Phusion    | 0        | 89.5     | 52             |
| CHK-90  | 90          | 5 min    | Kapa       | 3        | 86, 91, 94| 59             |
percentage of mapped reads was higher in heated than in unheated treatments for chromosomes having an average GC-content >42% (table 2). The proportion of reads mapped onto the different chromosomes clearly reflects the increased average GC-content, and more homogeneous coverage, of heated libraries (fig. 3). This result indicates that heating sheared DNA before library preparation makes it possible to sequence GC-rich genomic DNA fragments that are otherwise essentially out of reach when using the standard protocols.

Calculating the average depth of coverage per group of chromosomes, we found that heated libraries yielded a higher coverage than unheated one for chromosomes with average GC-content >43%, with up to a 6-fold increase in the GC-richest ones (table 2). Finally, we analyzed the coverage of small chicken contigs. These contigs represent the badly assembled regions of the chicken genome that are not assigned to any specific chromosome; some of them have a very high GC-content. Reads from CHK1 yielded a negative correlation between contig coverage and GC-content: depth of coverage dropped by a factor of 2.5 as GC increased from 33% to 65% (fig. 4). In contrast, with CHK2-85 contigs coverage increased with GC-content and reached a plateau ~55% of GC for library CHK2-85 (fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Illumina library construction protocols are generally recognized to be biased toward fragments of intermediate
GC-content, the GC-richest fraction of the target DNA being underrepresented (van Dijk et al. 2014). Here, we introduce a simple, cheap protocol that leads to a substantial decrease of this bias. Heating DNA to temperatures >85 °C prior to library preparation increased coverage in the GC-richest fraction of the chicken genome by a factor of up to 6. We speculate that this happens because 1) AT-rich regions are underrepresented as double-stranded DNA in heated solutions due to their lower melting temperature, and 2) adapter ligation and further steps of library construction specifically target double-stranded DNA.

Our GC-enrichment protocol will complement existing approaches for optimal sequencing of GC-heterogeneous genomes. We suggest that a promising strategy for, for example, bird genome sequencing would involve combining high-coverage, standard Illumina libraries, high-coverage, GC-enriched Illumina libraries, and medium-coverage SMRT reads. Illumina reads would here be used to correct for sequencing errors in SMRT reads (Salmela and Rivals 2014), and the GC-enriched library would ensure accurate correction across all regions of the genome. We expect this approach to substantially improve the efficiency of de novo genome sequencing in birds, but also in mammals, nonavian reptiles, hymenopterans, monocots, and presumably a number of additional taxa with GC-heterogeneous genomes. Our approach should also facilitate the optimization of PCR conditions (Baskaran et al. 1996; Aird et al. 2011; Oyola et al. 2012) by decreasing the heterogeneity of matrix GC-content.

Gene density is positively correlated to GC-content in birds (Hillier et al. 2004; Axelsson et al. 2005). The unassembled/
Environmental samples, which study, and the AT-rich fraction of the sampled DNA. Specific enrichment protocols targeting both the GC-rich, as in this study, and the AT-rich fraction of the sampled DNA.

Besides de novo sequencing, our protocol should also be quite helpful in resequencing projects. SNP and, particularly, SNV detection in birds is currently limited by the low depth of coverage typically achieved in GC-rich regions (International Chicken Polymorphism Map Consortium 2004; Rubin et al. 2010; Ellegren et al. 2012; Poelstra et al. 2014). Metagenomics is another potential field of application of this approach. Microbes, particularly bacteria, are characterized by a wide distribution of genome GC-content across species—some species reach a genome average >75% GC (Galtier and Lory 1997; Lassalle et al. 2015). Environmental samples, which contain a mixture of numerous bacterial species, are therefore typically heterogeneous with respect to genome-GC-content, so that libraries prepared with standard protocols provide a biased sample of the existing microbial communities (Choudhari and Grigoriev 2017). Correcting for this bias implies developing specific enrichment protocols targeting both the GC-rich, as in this study, and the AT-rich fraction of the sampled DNA.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.
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