Ectoparasitic Viral Zoonoses in the Southern African Context

Abstract
Climate changes can affect vector-borne diseases in the human population and can have an impact on viral pathogenicity in the host. There is an abundance of vector-borne diseases globally and a significant percentage is viral vector-borne diseases. Arboviruses are a group of viruses that are transmitted by mosquitoes, ticks or other arthropods. Some arboviral infections can present with clinical signs and symptoms of haemorrhagic fever and the classic example is Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever (CCHF). The epidemiological approach to a communicable disease involves the infectious agent, the mode of transmission and the host and CCHF fits this approach as it is transmitted by the Ixodid tick, *Hyalomma sp* and it can infect humans and other living animals. CCHF is the most important arboviral disease with haemorrhagic manifestations in Southern Africa. CCHF virus (CCHFV) has a negative sense single stranded genome with three segments, namely large (L), middle (M) and small (S). A recent study research in South Africa revealed high frequency reassortment in the M segment of CCHFV and further studies are indicated as to whether this is an advantage for increased transmissibility or enhanced pathogenicity. CCHF appears to be one of the most genetically diverse of the arbovirus grouping. There are no vaccine prophylactic and effective therapeutic interventions available for CCHF at this time and vector control is the mainstay intervention strategy available. CCHF is a notifiable disease in Southern Africa. Further research studies could improve intervention strategies for CCHF and possibly other arboviral diseases and could enhance outbreak control measures and surveillance strategies.
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Introduction
Climate change can affect vector-borne diseases in the human population and can have a significant impact on viral pathogens in their host. Climate changes require enhancement of current surveillance systems and the development of effective global and local strategies to prevent vector-borne morbidity and mortality [1]. In addition, there are many other factors that influence emergence or re-emergence of infectious diseases and where to look for these diseases is essential for designing and maintaining surveillance strategies. Two hot spot global regions have been identified, namely Southeast Asia where humans and animals coexist intimately and Sub-Saharan Africa that has a high disease burden and poor public-health infrastructure [2]. There is an abundance of vector-borne diseases globally and a significant percentage is viral vector-borne diseases [3]. These viral diseases are examples of communicable diseases [4]. Insect-borne diseases have adopted a specific mode of transmission that makes many of these insect-borne diseases dependent on vectors for transfer or carriage from the reservoir to the new host. The mosquito is an important vector, as it is globally abundant, lives in very close proximity to human and needs to feed on blood [5]. Mosquito-borne viral infections are of considerable public health interest especially due to the following concerns:

a) Potential epidemics.

b) Potentially fatal, untreatable diseases with significant morbidity and mortalities.

c) And risks to health care personnel of contracting diseases after exposure to these infected patients [6,7].

In contrast, ectoparasite zoonoses are a group of infections that are either vector-borne by ectoparasites, as zoonoses or as both. Humans become involved in the ectoparasitic cycle that feeds on blood. This is often accidental for the humans and may give rise to infection. A classic example of an ectoparasite zoonosis is plague which is maintained by flea vectors infecting rodents. Ticks are also ectoparasite vectors that can transmit diseases to humans. Ticks comprise two large families, the *Ixodidae*, or hard ticks, and the *Argasidae*, or soft ticks, hard ticks are responsible for the transmission of rickettsiae and arboviruses. Hard ticks can also be responsible for spreading arboviruses normally transmitted by mosquitoes, such as Japanese encephalitis, St Louis encephalitis, Eastern and Western equine encephalitis.
CCHF is the most important arboviral disease in Southern Africa and a small number of cases are diagnosed annually. A larger number of suspected cases are investigated as part of the differential clinical diagnosis [11]. The first indigenous case of CCHF was reported in 1981 but remains uncommon amongst humans although there is widespread evidence of it in animals throughout much of South Africa [12,13]. A recent research study from South Africa has shown promising data of a high frequency of reassortment in a selected genetic cluster of this viral member of the Bunyaviridae family that may relate particularly to its pathogenicity and transmissibility [14].

The purpose of this article is to review and highlight the following, namely:

a) To review the disease role of viral vector-borne infections and revise some terms frequently used in communicable disease epidemiology.

b) To provide a short background of arboviral diseases occurring in Southern Africa.

c) To describe ectoparasite zoonoses with tick-borne viral diseases as examples.

d) To discuss CCHF as the most important arboviral disease with haemorrhagic manifestations in Southern Africa.

e) To summarise ectoparasite vector control and intervention strategies.

Communicable Disease Epidemiology

To understand the role of viral vector-borne diseases and in particular the main example of CCHF in Southern Africa, the following terms need to be clarified:

a) Vector: An arthropod that transmits an infectious agent mechanically or biologically.

b) Zoonosis: an infection or infectious disease transmissible under natural conditions from vertebrate animals to man that may manifest clinically or sub-clinically.

c) Arbovirus: viruses transmitted mainly by arthropod bites that are grouped as arthropod-borne viruses.

d) Host: a living creature human or animal that hosts an infectious agent under natural conditions. This is opposed to artificial or experimental conditions.

e) Reservoir: any human, animal, arthropod or natural substance in which an infectious agent can survive, replicate and be transmitted to a susceptible host.

f) Communicable disease: a disease transmitted by an infectious agent to a susceptible host. This can occur directly or indirectly through an intermediate host, plant or animal.

g) Vector control: any method to limit or eradicate mammals, birds, insects or arthropods which transmit disease pathogens.

h) CCHF: A clinical disease caused by a tick-borne zoonotic virus ranging from a non-specific febrile viral illness to severe disease with haemorrhagic manifestations resulting in high morbidity and mortality consequences [6,15,16].

The key points to understanding the epidemiological approach to any communicable disease are highlighted by the following:

a) The infectious agent.

b) The mode of transmission.

c) The reservoir.

d) Possible intermediate host.

e) The main host.

f) Evolution of the infectious agent, where indicated.

g) Environment, including climate and climate changes [1,6,17].

As an example relevant to this review article, the infectious organism is the CCHF virus (CCHFV) which belongs to the family *Bunyaviridae*, genus *Nairovirus*. Arbovirus transmission occurs through the arthropod vector, the Ixodid tick *Hyalomma sp* [18]. The host is a human or another living animal that is susceptible to the infection or disease. The transmission cycle of the infectious agent takes place within an environment that will determine the success or severity of the disease and these factors can be diverse, including climate [1,6]. The epidemiological approach to communicable disease assists in the design and maintenance of vector control and possible intervention strategies [6,19].

Arboviral diseases in southern Africa

Many arthropod vectors can transmit viruses to human through bites, for example: mosquitoes, midges, ticks and flies, such as sand flies [5,8,9]. Table 1 lists selected arboviral diseases occurring in Southern Africa and also some of the common diseases seen in travellers returning home or visiting from other African countries [8]. Table 1 has classified the diseases into routine screening diagnostic assay tests known as the viral haemorrhagic fever (VHF) screen and arbovirus screen, tested at the National Institute of Communicable Diseases in Johannesburg.
**Table 1:** Screening tests performed at the National Institute of Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, South Africa [11].

| VHF Screen | Arbovirus Screen |
|------------|------------------|
| RVF        | RVF              |
| Ebola      | Sindbis          |
| Marburg    | Chikungunya      |
| CCHF       | West Nile        |
| Lassa      |                  |

VHF: Viral Haemorrhagic Fever; RVF: Rift Valley Fever; CCHF: Crimean-Congo Haemorrhagic Fever

Rift Valley fever, a mosquito-borne arbovirus, has caused numerous epidemics and outbreaks in South Africa over time and periodic epidemics have been reported since 1951 [20]. Although there are periodic epidemics in Southern Africa, the most severe appears to have occurred during the autumn of 1975 after unusually heavy rains in the central regions of Southern Africa. Many humans were affected and seriously ill patients were hospitalised [20]. Rift Valley fever has four clinical syndromes and can manifest with bleeding manifestations similar to CCHF [8]. Travellers returning or coming to South Africa can be infected with other regional arboviral infections and/or viral haemorrhagic fever viruses, as has been documented in the past and the literature (Rift Valley fever, Sindbis, Chikungunya and West Nile viruses are examples) [21].

**Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever – Virology and clinical presentation**

In 1967 a Soviet virologist registered a fatal case of Crimean haemorrhagic fever virus in the Catalogue of Arthropod-borne Viruses but four months earlier initial reports were published on another virus the authors named Congo virus [22,23]. The International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses proposed the name Congo-Crimean haemorrhagic virus but the Soviets insisted on another virus the authors named Congo virus [22,23]. The name was summarised as follows:

| Summaries | Details |
|-----------|---------|
| a)        | The bite of a viraemic tick. |
| b)        | Mechanical crushing of the viraemic tick with resultant exposure to the virus. |
| c)        | Contact with infected body parts and fluids of animals. |
| d)        | Contact with viraemic and infected humans or infected body fluids and excreta [27,28]. |

Thus, clinicians need to elicit a thorough clinical history about possible exposure to CCHFV [12]. After a short incubation period of about 3-6 days, typical flu-like symptoms appear. In a small percentage of patients (20-30%) this may resolve after one week. However the majority of patients deteriorate clinically and haemorrhagic manifestations start to present within 3-5 days after the onset of the illness [29]. Recovery can occur after 9-10 days from when the symptoms started but at least 30% of patients die within the second week after onset of the illness [29].

Clinical pathology during the first five days of illness can be summarised as follows:

| Summaries | Details |
|-----------|---------|
| a)        | Leucopaenia or leucocytosis. |
| b)        | Thrombocytopenia. |
| c)        | Abnormal blood clotting profile (PT/PTT values). |
| d)        | Abnormal or raised liver enzymes [30]. |

South African CCHF outbreaks have been described in the literature. One particular outbreak described at the Tygerberg Hospital involved the complicated screening of contacts, as at the same time there was an influenza epidemic. Both viral diseases have a similar early clinical presentation. Over four hundred listed contacts were identified and of these only 7 contracted the disease (1.5%). Two of the 7 contacts had reportedly no direct contact with the index patient and this caused great concern about the dissemination of the virus. Full protective measures were applied [28].

**Discussion**

**Ectoparasite vector control and intervention strategies**

There is no vaccine prophylaxis or effective therapeutic
interventions (Ribavirin might be effective according to some in vitro studies [9]) currently available for CCHF. Where tick infestation is common, agricultural regulations should be strictly followed, such as de-ticking slaughter animals before transportation and delivery for slaughter. Work personnel should use personal tick avoidance measures such as the following:

- a) Regular use of appropriate insect repellents.
- b) Adequate protective clothing.
- c) Daily body inspection for adherent ticks, including the examination of the body regions particularly sought by ticks (example the groin regions) [30].

Ectoparasites (fleas, lice, bedbugs, mites and ticks) live on the outside of the body and there are various control measures to be considered, namely:

- a) Personal hygiene.
- b) Reduction of interpersonal crowding and the sharing of clothes.
- c) Regular and effective washing of clothes and bedding.
- d) Repellents.
- e) Improved house construction (ectoparasites favour dark, dirty places).
- f) Insecticides [8].

Table 3 outlines the sequence of actions and intervention strategies to be followed with any communicable disease outbreak [6,28]. International Health Regulations require that certain diseases be notified. Notification is a form of passive surveillance but active surveillance can be done by testing the tick viral vectors and infected animals [27]. In South Africa, CCHF is a notifiable medical condition in terms of the National Health Act (Act 61 of 2003).

**Conclusion**

Understanding the epidemiology of arboviruses as communicable diseases gives an indication as to which vector control and intervention strategies to follow to combat the disease. Vector control remains the mainstay in the prevention of most arboviruses.

CCHF is the most important arboviral disease with haemorrhagic manifestations in South Africa. It is a tick-borne disease for which there is no vaccine prophylaxis or effective therapeutic interventions available at present.

CCHFV is a negative sense, single-stranded RNA virus with a three segmented genome that is classified in the *Bunyaviridae* family. Recent and numerous research studies have shown that members of the *Bunyaviridae* family are prone to genetic reassortment. It is likely that CCHFV genomes can give rise to possible genetic drift and shift in their arthropod vectors. Genetic analysis of Southern African CCHFV isolates confirms the high frequency of M segment reassortment and further studies are indicated to research the consequences of reassortment relating to CCHFV pathogenicity and transmissibility. Further research studies could improve intervention strategies for CCHF and other arboviral viruses and could enhance outbreak control measures and surveillance strategies.
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