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Abstract

The need for environmental education, which incorporates the life cycle concept into the learning program, will become increasingly greater all over the world. In the present study, an e-learning system, which is made up of 3 parts including text-based learning materials, quizzes to review the content of the learning materials and CO2 emission simulation, was designed and developed with the purpose of supporting environmental learning. Targeting a wide range of people, the operation period of this system was 1 month. Based on the results of questionnaire survey for users, it was evident that the quiz function and the simulation function of CO2 emission contributed to the efficiency in environmental learning, and the format of the e-learning system was effective and helpful for environmental learning. Additionally, with the users' awareness related to environmental conservation before and after using the system, significant changes in awareness were seen in areas such as behavioral intention, sense of urgency and sense of connection. Furthermore, as it was revealed that 62% of the total access numbers were from mobile devices, it was effective to prepare an interface optimized for mobile devices enabling users to use the system from their smartphones and tablet PCs.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, current social and economic systems involving mass production, mass consumption and mass disposal have been regarded as an issue due to the escalation of global environmental problems. The United Nations (UN) presented sustainable development goals (SDGs) in 2015, and various activities concerning
global environmental conservation have been implemented towards achieving 
the goals by 2030 (Institute of Life Cycle Assessment, Japan, 2013) [1]. It is also 
required of all of us, as consumers, to behave in an environmentally responsible 
manner towards the realization of a sustainable society. However, many of us 
who highly use opaque technologies and systems in this modern society are not 
fully aware of the close connection between our daily consumption and produc-
tion activities and the natural environment that supports such activities. Under 
these current circumstances, the need for environmental education to learn about 
the environment will become increasingly greater.

Additionally, according to Ilgin et al. (2010) [2] and United State Environ-
mental Protection Agency (EPA, 2012) [3], life cycle assessment (LCA, also 
known as life cycle analysis) is a methodology for assessing environmental im-
pacts associated with all the stages of the life cycle of a commercial product, 
process or service. For example, in the case of a manufactured product, envi-
ronmental impacts are assessed from raw material extraction and processing 
(cradle), through the product’s manufacture, distribution and use, to the recy-
cling or final disposal of the materials to compose it (grave). Studies on LCA in-
volve a thorough inventory of the energy and materials that are required across 
the industry value chain of the product, process or service, and calculate the 
corresponding emissions to the environment. Thus, LCA assesses cumulative 
potential environmental impacts, and it aims to document and improve the overall 
environmental profile of the product.

Regarding the environmental education scene, efforts to incorporate the life 
cycle concept into the learning program have been occasionally seen (Hondo, 
2008) [4]. The significance of incorporating the life cycle concept in environ-
mental learning is that, first, learners can more easily make specific connections 
between daily consumer activities and environmental problems, and recognize 
that their own behavior choices are deeply involved with global environmental 
problems, by learning about the life cycle concept. Second, learners can quanti-
tatively compare and determine the environmental impact, which tends to be 
qualitatively assessed, by learning about the direct assessment and analysis me-
thod of the environmental impact called the LCA.

Based on the above, the present study aims to develop an e-learning system to 
support environmental learning based on the life cycle concept. The purpose of 
this system is to improve the life cycle thinking (LCT) ability of users by means 
of comprehensive environmental learning about program starting from knowl-
dge acquisition to applied exercises which are designed and developed (Sec-
tions 3 and 4). Users of various age groups will use the system (Section 5); it will 
be assessed by means of a questionnaire survey for users and access analyses; is-
issues will be extracted; and solutions will be summarized (Section 6).

2. Related Work

The present study is related to 3 research fields including 1) the studies related to
the life cycle concept in environmental education/learning, 2) the studies related
to environmental education/learning support system, and 3) the studies related
to the outcome of environmental education/learning that introduced the life
cycle concept. For 1) the studies related to the life cycle concept in environmen-
tal education/learning, Hondo et al. (2008) [4] mentioned the importance of en-
vironmental education and the effectiveness of the life cycle concept in designing
environmental conservation. Saarinen et al. (2012) [5] proposed a food-related
communication tool for sustainable education in the upper levels of elementary
schools, focusing on the LCA in a range of lunches. Tsuchiya (2013) [6] intro-
duced the concept of sustainability and LCA in the first term of secondary edu-
cation in the United Kingdom (UK). Curran (2015) [7] published a handbook
which concisely and clearly presented the various aspects of LCA in order to
help students better understand the subject. Ramos et al. (2015) [8] addressed
the experiences from the implementation of sustainable development including
LCA in higher education institutions. Uchida et al. (2017) [9] compared life
cycle CO₂ between PET bottle and drink carton at a Japanese university. Kikuchi
et al. (2017) [10] applied quantitative assessment methods into the chemical risk
management at Japanese universities with actual data on site. Mori et al. (2018)
[11] developed and tested the standard (connection) for measuring learners’
awareness of how their “daily life and environmental problems are connected”
which was developed through environmental education based on the life cycle
concept. Goodall (2018) [12] developed environmental education adopting LCA
in the curriculums of elementary and junior high schools.

For 2) the studies related to environmental education/learning support sys-
tem, Poudelet et al. (2012) [13] addressed the issue by proposing a business process
reengineering (BPR) methodology that can be used to develop suitable decision-
support systems (DSS). Hirayama et al. (2015) [14] developed an e-learning
system for environmental studies that applied the life cycle concept. Santos et al.
(2014) [15] provided web-based educational systems with personalised support
for the e-learning life cycle. Uehara et al. (2017) [16] suggested an information
provision software with the subject of plastic bags and personal shopping bags as
a specific environmental information provision method to improve LCT abili-
ties.

For 3) the studies related to the outcome of environmental education/learning
that introduced the life cycle concept, Nakamura et al. (2008) [17] conducted a
quantitative analysis using the conjoint analysis concerning the effect of envi-
ronmental education materials that were based on the life cycle concept in Japan.
Baboulet et al. (2010) [18] applied hybrid LCA methods combining input-output
analysis and process analysis to the task of planning for a sustainable campus to
evaluate the environmental performance at an Australian university. Nakajima
et al. (2011) [19] implemented an environmental education program using the
LCA software, and verified the effects of changes in environmental awareness
and environment-conscious behavior of students as well as their mechanisms at
a Japanese high school. Imoto et al. (2012) [20] analyzed the consciousness alteration of students before and after practical work on a farm from the viewpoint of LCA at a Japanese university. Foo (2013) [21] revealed the role of environmental higher education contributing to the sustainable development including LCA at Malaysian universities. Seo (2015) [22] developed and verified a teaching materials by using LCT for home economics at elementary and junior high schools in Japan. Ueno et al. (2015) [23] analyzed the environmentally related content of textbooks in home economics of Japan, extracting words related to the environment and focusing on the LCA and LCT.

In this way, there are currently only a few support systems for environmental education/learning developed based on the life cycle concept. Among 2) the studies related to environmental education/learning support system, the purposes of Hirayama et al. (2015) [14] and Uehara et al. (2017) [16] are similar to the one of the present study. However, the system developed by Hirayama et al. (2015) [14] has issues such as the need to download a program file when using the system, the utilization of the program operating environment being limited to Windows OS, and the operation subjects of the system being limited to junior high and high school students. Therefore, as the subjects for Hirayama et al. (2015) [14] were limited, this system could not effectively and efficiently support environmental learning. Additionally, the subjects used in environmental learning were limited to “Inside the bags” for Hirayama et al. (2015) [14] and “Plastic bags and personal shopping bags” for Uehara et al. (2017) [16].

In comparison with the preceding studies listed above, the first point of originality in the present study is that an e-learning system that can be used for online environmental learning based on the life cycle concept is developed. The second point of originality is that system-related limitations are lessened by extending the operating environment to OSs other than Windows OS and developing a system that can be used from various devices. Third, the system can be used by a wide range of age groups, as the subject for environmental learning is “drinking water”, which is something familiar to everyone.

3. System Design
3.1. System Characteristics

As shown in Figure 1, the system in the present study is an e-learning system made up of 3 parts including text-based learning materials, quizzes to review the content of the learning materials, and CO₂ emission simulation. In addition to supporting environmental learning, the purpose of the system is to improve LCT ability and heighten the awareness of environmental conservation by having users learn about global warming, LCA and life cycle concept.

First, users will learn about global warming, LCA and life cycle concept by text-based learning materials provided by the system. Furthermore, they can effectively review what they learned by solving the problems in the quiz related to the content of the text-based learning materials. Additionally, the function that
estimates the amount of CO₂ emission in everyday life enables users to conduct practical exercises based on what they learned. Therefore, as the system has a framework that allows users to systematically learn about the life cycle concept and efficiently supports their environmental learning, they can effectively gain an understanding of the content provided by the system in the present study.

3.2. Target Devices

In recent years, smartphones and tablet PCs are widely used as mobile devices. As this is an e-learning system using the internet, it is expected to be accessed from mobile devices such as smartphones and tablet PCs in addition to PCs. Though the interface may differ according to the device used, the same functions can be used from any device.

3.3. Operation Environment of the System Developed in the Preset Study

The system developed in the preset study operates using 2 servers: the Web server and the database server. Heroku, which is a PaaS provided by Salesforce.com, inc., is used for both the Web server and the database server. The e-learning system developed in the present study is implemented using PHP, JavaScript and HTML.

3.4. Design of Each System

3.4.1. Learning Material

The necessity of environmental education is explained from the viewpoint of activities and trends concerning SDGs in recent years. Next, a detailed explanation for the specific process of LCA is provided, using plastic as an example, to help users fully understand the life cycle concept. The environmental impact of plastic bags is also used as an example in introducing analysis cases of CO₂ emission from the viewpoint of life cycle concept. Additionally, product analyses using LCA in the cases of NH Foods Ltd. [24] are provided as practical examples to introduce corporate activities that are closely related to the daily life of users.

3.4.2. Quiz

After learning about the life cycle concept, users will take a quiz (mini test) to
review what they learned. In the environmental learning programs proposed by Hirayama et al. (2015) [14] and Uehara et al. (2017) [16], the only exercise conducted after learning about the life cycle concept was the estimation of CO₂ emission. However, this system is designed to effectively assist users in their learning by adding quizzes as an exercise.

3.4.3. CO₂ Emission Simulation

As this system is expected to be widely used by the public, it is desirable for users to easily operate the system in a browser using the internet without having to install a special software. In the present study, the system itself is uploaded to an online server and developed as an e-learning system. By doing so, there are no limitations related to the system and the design enables the estimation of CO₂ emission to be easily conducted.

Additionally, the subject should be something familiar to many in order to improve users’ LCT ability and promote behavior change in their daily life. Therefore, with “drinking water” as the chosen subject for the present study, the amount of CO₂ emission according to the utilization form of drinking water for each user is estimated and the results are displayed in graph form, using the simulation function described in the next section.

4. System Development

4.1. Frontend of the System

The original functions for users mentioned in detail below are implemented in the present study.

4.1.1. Viewing Function of the Learning Material

Users can go to the page for the viewing function of learning materials by clicking the “What are SDGs?” and “learn” in the menu of the top page. By using this function, users can learn about global warming, LCA and life cycle concept. An example page for this function is shown in Figure 2.

4.1.2. Quiz Function

Users can go to the page for the quiz function by clicking on “quiz” in the menu of the top page. On this page, quizzes, which are related to the content users learned using the viewing function of learning materials, are prepared, and they can effectively use this function to review what they have learned. An example page for this function is shown in Figure 3. In Figure 3, the first quiz is asked to make correct/incorrect determination, and the second one is requested to rearrange the processes of LCA targeting plastics.

4.1.3. Simulation Function of CO₂ Emission

Users can go to the page for the simulation function of CO₂ emission by clicking on “simulation” in the menu of the top page. On this page, the amount of CO₂ emission is simulated based on the utilization form of drinking water for each user and displayed in graph form. This enables users to have a more practical
exercise in order to utilize the knowledge they learned and reviewed in their daily life, using the viewing function of learning materials and the quiz function. The page for this function is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 2. An example page for viewing function of learning materials.

Figure 3. An example page for quiz function.
4.2. Backend of the System

4.2.1. Processing Related to the Learning Materials
The process of storing the answers and scores of users obtained using the quiz function into the database, and returning the graded results back to them is conducted. By this process, users can understand their amount of knowledge and degrees of comprehension related to global warming, LCA and life cycle concept.

4.2.2. Processing Related to the Simulation of CO₂ Emission
The process of storing the values entered by users using the simulation function of CO₂ emission into the database, calculating the values to display them in graph form, and returning the results to them is conducted.

4.3. System Interface
A responsive design is selected as the interface of this system, and the screen is optimized according to the display size of the users’ device. While 2 types of interfaces for PCs and mobile devices are prepared, the same functions can be used from any device.

5. Operation

5.1. LCA Data
Specific data concerning LCA assessment is required to implement the simulation function of CO₂ emission of this system. As shown in Table 1, the present study used the estimated data of CO₂ emission for each utilization form of drinking water provided by Miki et al. (2010) [25]. According to Miki et al. (2010) [25], one characteristic of drinking water is that the change in the assessment value of LCA (amount of CO₂ emission) significantly depends on the utilization conditions of consumers.

Figure 4. Page for simulation function of CO₂ emission.
Table 1. Data used to estimate the amount of CO₂ emission according to the utilization conditions.

| Evaluation stages of drinking water | Choices                                      | Amount of CO₂ emission [g-CO₂e] (drinking water x consumed mL) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Production and distribution of drinking water | Tap water supply | 0.000288x |
|                                      | Domestic 500 mL plastic bottle                | 0.261x                                                        |
|                                      | Imported 500 mL plastic bottle                | 0.484x                                                        |
|                                      | Domestic 2000 mL plastic bottle               | 0.176x                                                        |
|                                      | Imported 1500 mL plastic bottle               | 0.410x                                                        |
| Water quality improvement treatment | No treatment                                  | 0                                                              |
|                                      | Boiled                                       | 0.128x                                                        |
|                                      | Filtered water                               | 0.000683x                                                     |
|                                      | Chilled water                                | 0.0154x                                                       |
| Sale of drinking water              | Sold at room temperature                     | 0.0435x                                                       |
|                                      | Sold in a refrigerator                        | 0.164x                                                        |
|                                      | Sold in a vending machine                     | 0.254x                                                        |
| Storage of drinking water           | Not stored in a refrigerator                  | 0                                                              |
|                                      | Stored in a refrigerator                      | 0.0350x                                                       |
|                                      | No container                                  | 0.000515x                                                     |
| Utilization of drinking containers  | Glass                                        | 4.08                                                           |
|                                      | Water flask                                   | 12.2                                                           |
|                                      | Plastic bottle                                | 0                                                              |
|                                      | No purchase or use                            | 0                                                              |
|                                      | Multiple-use                                  | 1.80                                                           |
| Disposal of plastic bottles         | Burnable waste disposal                       | Domestic 500 mL: 0.167x                                       |
|                                      |                                              | Imported 500 mL: 0.138x                                       |
|                                      |                                              | Domestic 2000 mL: 0.0865x                                     |
|                                      |                                              | Imported 1500 mL: 0.0632x                                     |
|                                      | Burnable waste disposal                       | Domestic 500 mL: −0.0376x                                     |
|                                      |                                              | Imported 500 mL: −0.0303x                                     |
|                                      | Burnable waste disposal                       | Domestic 2000 mL: −0.0177x                                    |
|                                      |                                              | Imported 1500 mL: −0.0120x                                    |
|                                      | Sorted disposal                               | Domestic 500 mL: 0.167x                                       |
|                                      |                                              | Imported 500 mL: 0.138x                                       |
|                                      |                                              | Domestic 2000 mL: 0.0865x                                     |
|                                      |                                              | Imported 1500 mL: 0.0632x                                     |
|                                      |                                              | Domestic 500 mL: −0.0376x                                     |
|                                      |                                              | Imported 500 mL: −0.0303x                                     |
|                                      |                                              | Domestic 2000 mL: −0.0177x                                    |
|                                      |                                              | Imported 1500 mL: −0.0120x                                    |

5.2. Operation

5.2.1. Operation Overview

The operation of this system was implemented for 1 month. Utilization was promoted through the website, Twitter and Facebook account of the authors’ lab. The system can be used simply by accessing the website and does not require users to register. Users will first learn about LCA using the viewing function of learning materials. Next, they will review what they have learned using the quiz function. Lastly, using the simulation function of CO₂ emission, users can practically learn about LCA in an exercise style by estimating the amount of CO₂ emission in their daily life with drinking water as an example.
5.2.2. Operation Results
It was revealed from the access log analysis results, which is described in detail in the next section, that there were 111 active users of this system. Among these users, the breakdown of users who responded to the questionnaire survey after using the system is shown in Table 2. There were a total of 58 users including 33 men and 25 women. Regarding age groups, the highest percentage for both men and women were those in their 20s, making up 43% of the total. The next highest percentages were 17% for those in their 40s and 12% for those in their 70s. In this way, it is evident that there are users from a wide range of age groups. Therefore, it can be said that it was appropriate to select "drinking water", which is a subject familiar to everyone, for the environmental learning in the present study.

6. Evaluation
After the operation, a questionnaire survey for users and an access log analysis were conducted to evaluate this system.

6.1. Evaluations Based on the Questionnaire Survey

6.1.1. Overview of the Questionnaire Survey
According to the purpose of the present study, a questionnaire survey was conducted concerning 3 points including 1) the evaluation related to the system utilization, 2) the evaluation related to each function and the system as a whole, and 3) the evaluation focused on changes in awareness before and after using the system. This survey was conducted on the website 1 week after the start of the operation.

6.1.2. Evaluation Related to the System Utilization
1) Evaluation of the compatibility with the internet utilization situation
Regarding the frequency of internet use, 95% answered “every day” or “a few times a week”. Therefore, it was effective to allow easy access for users by releasing this system as an online e-learning system, as most of them use the internet on a daily basis. Additionally, regarding the devices used to access the system, 28% answered PCs while 72% answered smartphones and tablet PCs. Therefore, it was effective to design the system with a screen exclusively for mobile devices.

2) Evaluation focused on the learning situation on LCA
Regarding the recognition of LCA, 40% answered “I knew” while 60% answered “I didn’t know”. This shows that over half of the users learned about LCA for the first time using the system. Additionally, regarding environmental learning experiences, 72% answered “I have experience” while 28% answered “I have no experience”. The former users mainly experienced it through school programs, and had little experience with voluntary learning using an e-learning system similar to that of the present study (13 users, 22%). Therefore, it was evident that many users had experience in environmental learning, and the ratio of those who had learning experience using an e-learning system was low.
Table 2. Breakdown of users (respondents to the questionnaire survey).

| The age group of users | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | Total |
|------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Number of users (people)| 4  | 25 | 4  | 10 | 5  | 3  | 7  | 58    |

6.1.3. Evaluation Related to Each Function and the System as a Whole

The evaluation results for each function and the system as a whole are shown in Figure 5. Among the 3 functions in this system, the evaluation focused on the quiz function and the simulation function of CO₂ emission used as an exercise on the users’ own initiative. Regarding the efficiency of these two functions, those who answered “I think so” or “I somewhat think so” were 92% for the former and 96% for the latter. Therefore, it was clear that these two functions efficiently supported the learning experience of users.

Next, the evaluation focused on the system as a whole. Regarding the usefulness of the system in voluntary environmental learning and school education, those who answered “I think so” and “I somewhat think so” were 95% for the former and 93% for the latter. However, those who answered “I think so” were 38% for voluntary environmental learning and 52% for school education. This shows that the usefulness of the system in school education rather than voluntary environmental learning is strongly anticipated.

Regarding the visibility of the system interface, 90% answered “I think so” or “I somewhat think so”, while 10% answered “I don’t think so”. This was due to the fact that 72% of users accessed the system from their smartphones or tablet PCs, causing some of them to feel that it was hard to see on a small screen.

6.1.4. Evaluation Focused on the Changes in Awareness before and after Using the System

The changes in awareness of environmental issues and environmental conservation before and after using the system were measured and evaluated. The results are shown in Table 3. These question items were set in reference to the experiment conducted by Uehara (2017) [16] with junior high and high school students and adults as subjects. There were 7 question items including behavioral intention and a sense of effectiveness, responsibility, burden and feasibility related to environmental conservation, and a sense of urgency related to environmental problems, as well as a sense of connection related to interests in environmental problems based on the life cycle concept.

The results of responses for each question item are summarized below.

1) Behavioral intention related to environmental conservation

For the 4 question items concerning behavioral intention, approximately half the respondents answered “I strongly agree now” to all the questions, and over approximately 80% answered either “I strongly agree now” or “I somewhat agree now”, indicating a significant difference in behavioral intention before and after using the system.

2) Sense of effectiveness related to environmental conservation
Figure 5. Evaluation results for each function and the system as a whole.

Table 3. Results in changes of awareness before and after using the system.

| Question items                                                                 | I strongly agree now | I somewhat agree now | No change | I somewhat disagree now | I disagree now |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 1) Behavioral intention related to environmental conservation                   |                      |                      |           |                         |                |
| We wish to recycle and separate wastes                                          | 48.3                 | 31.0                 | 19.0      | 1.7                     | 0.0            |
| We wish to use more environmentally friendly products                            | 43.1                 | 36.2                 | 19.0      | 1.7                     | 0.0            |
| We wish to carefully use what we still use over a long period as much as possible| 44.9                 | 37.9                 | 13.8      | 3.4                     | 0.0            |
| We don’t wish to buy and wish to refuse unnecessary items                       | 50.0                 | 29.3                 | 19.0      | 1.7                     | 0.0            |
| 2) Sense of effectiveness related to environmental conservation                  |                      |                      |           |                         |                |
| A single individual daily behavior is effective to prevent global warming        | 37.9                 | 41.4                 | 19.0      | 1.7                     | 0.0            |
| If we use daily necessaries over a long period as much as possible, we can reduce CO₂ emission | 46.6                 | 39.7                 | 12.1      | 1.6                     | 0.0            |
| If we all cooperate little by little, we can avoid global warming               | 17.2                 | 56.9                 | 19.0      | 6.9                     | 0.0            |
| We can reduce CO₂ emission with individual efforts                              | 22.4                 | 58.7                 | 15.5      | 3.4                     | 0.0            |
| 3) Sense of responsibility related to environmental conservation                 |                      |                      |           |                         |                |
| We have to amend our present convenient life                                   | 10.3                 | 62.1                 | 25.9      | 1.7                     | 0.0            |
| Huge amount of CO₂ is emitted due to our behavior                              | 20.7                 | 60.4                 | 3.4       | 15.5                    | 0.0            |
| We are also the cause of global warming                                         | 36.2                 | 41.4                 | 19.0      | 3.4                     | 0.0            |
| 4) Sense of burden related to environmental conservation                         |                      |                      |           |                         |                |
| It is not so difficult to reduce CO₂ emission in our daily life                 | 8.6                  | 36.2                 | 41.4      | 13.8                    | 0.0            |
| 5) Sense of feasibility related to environmental conservation                   |                      |                      |           |                         |                |
| We have many opportunities and methods to reduce CO₂ mission in our daily life  | 15.6                 | 53.4                 | 27.6      | 1.7                     | 1.7            |
| 6) Sense of urgency related to environmental problems                            |                      |                      |           |                         |                |
| We have to prevent global warming                                              | 51.8                 | 31.0                 | 13.8      | 3.4                     | 0.0            |
| Global warming is in a serious state                                            | 60.4                 | 24.1                 | 15.5      | 0.0                     | 0.0            |
| 7) Sense of connection related to interests in environmental problems based on the life cycle concept |                      |                      |           |                         |                |
| CO₂ emission from factories and power stations connects to familiar goods in our daily life | 46.6                 | 39.7                 | 13.7      | 0.0                     | 0.0            |
| Our behavior connects to various activities in society                          | 34.5                 | 51.7                 | 12.1      | 1.7                     | 0.0            |
| Familiar goods such as PET bottles go through various places before reaching us | 51.7                 | 32.8                 | 13.8      | 1.7                     | 0.0            |
| CO₂ is generated in various processes such as production and transportation of resources and manufacturing products due to our daily behavior | 44.8                 | 41.4                 | 12.1      | 1.7                     | 0.0            |
For the 4 question items concerning the sense of effectiveness, over 70% answered either “I strongly agree now” or “I somewhat agree now”. However, for the 2 items including “If we all cooperate little by little, we can avoid global warming” and “We can reduce CO₂ emission with individual efforts”, the percentage of “I somewhat agree now” was extremely higher than “I strongly agree now”. Therefore, though not as much as behavioral intention, there was a difference in the sense of effectiveness before and after using the system.

3) Sense of responsibility related to environmental conservation

For the 3 question items concerning the sense of responsibility, over 70% answered “I strongly agree now” and “I somewhat agree now”. However, for all 3 questions, the percentage of “I somewhat agree now” was higher than “I strongly agree now”. Therefore, the difference in the sense of responsibility was not so significant before and after using the system.

4) Sense of burden related to environmental conservation

For the question concerning the sense of burden, the highest percentage of answers with 41% was “no change”. Additionally, 14% answered “I somewhat disagree now”. Therefore, half of the respondents demonstrated changes in awareness, while the other half hardly demonstrated any changes on the sense of burden before and after using the system.

5) Sense of feasibility related to environmental conservation

For the question concerning the sense of feasibility, the highest percentage of answers with 53% was “I somewhat agree now” and 28% answered “no change”. Therefore, there was little difference in the sense of feasibility before and after using the system.

6) Sense of urgency related to environmental problems

For the 2 question items concerning the sense of urgency, the highest percentage of answers was “I strongly agree now”, and over 80% answered either “I strongly agree now” or “I somewhat agree now”. This shows that there was a great difference in the sense of urgency before and after using the system.

7) Sense of connection related to interests in environmental problems based on the life cycle concept

For the 4 question items concerning the sense of connection, over approximately 85% answered “I strongly agree now” or “I somewhat agree now”. Additionally, about half answered “I strongly agree now” for the 3 question items except for “Our behavior connects to various activities in society”. Therefore, there was a great difference in the sense of connection before and after using the system.

To summarize the above, the system was able to heighten the respondents' awareness in areas such as behavioral intention related to environmental conservation, the sense of urgency related to environmental problems, and the sense of connection related to interests in environmental problems based on the life cycle concept. On the other hand, the awareness in areas such as the sense of effectiveness, responsibility and feasibility related to environmental conservation were marginally heightened, and the difference in awareness for the sense of bur-
den related to environmental conservation was not desirable.

6.2. Evaluation Based on the Access Analysis

In the present study, an access log analysis was conducted using the log data of users during the operation period. The analysis is conducted using Google Analytics API which is a web access analysis service provided by Google. The access log can be obtained by writing the API into the program of each page of the website.

The total number of sessions in this system is 97. For the devices used as an access method, 38% were PCs, 58% were smartphones and 4% were tablet PCs. This shows that the same functions can be used regardless of the devices used as the access method, and the system design with 2 types of interfaces for both PCs and mobile devices depending on the size of the display was effective. Additionally, in contrast with the systems developed by Hirayama et al. (2015) [14] and Uehara et al. (2017) [16] that could not be accessed from mobile devices such as smartphones and tablet PCs, the system was effective in enabling access from smartphones and tablet PCs taking into consideration the increase in the use of mobile devices.

The top 10 pages with the highest number of visits are shown in Table 4. This table reveals that the pages for the viewing function of learning materials, the quiz function, and the simulation function of CO₂ emission are used the most. Therefore, it can be said that the system utilization is in line with the purpose of the present study which is to support the environmental learning of users. However, the number of visits beyond the 2nd page for the viewing function of learning materials is low compared to the ones of other 2 function. This may be due to users not accessing the 2nd page onward as they already had some knowledge of LCA before using the system, or because some of them moved onto the page for the quiz function without viewing all of the learning materials.

| Rank | Page name                                                                 | Page visits | Percentage (%) |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1    | Top page (for mobile devices)                                             | 60          | 21.3           |
| 2    | Page on SDGs                                                              | 34          | 12.1           |
| 3    | Page for viewing function of learning materials (Page 1)                  | 28          | 9.9            |
| 4    | Page for simulation function of CO₂ emission                              | 28          | 9.9            |
| 5    | Page for quiz function                                                    | 22          | 7.8            |
| 6    | Page for questionnaire survey                                             | 24          | 8.5            |
| 7    | Top page (for PCs)                                                        | 22          | 7.8            |
| 8    | Page for viewing function of learning materials (Page 2)                  | 16          | 5.7            |
| 9    | Top page (different link)                                                 | 13          | 4.6            |
| 10   | Page for viewing function of learning materials (Page 3)                  | 13          | 4.6            |

Table 4. Number of visits per page (Top 10).
6.3. Extraction of Improvement Measures

Measures for improvement related to the system extracted based on the results of the questionnaire survey and the access log analysis are summarized below.

1) Review of the content of learning materials

With the expectation that a wide range of age groups will use the system, the writing style should be simple and the main points should be concise, instead of including technical and detailed content. Additionally, the content should be easy to understand for users that have just started their environmental learning.

2) Implementation of the storing function of quiz results

A more effective manner of review can be anticipated, if the quiz results can be stored in the system, and users can review their previous results.

3) Implementation of the display function of comments according to the simulation results

The displaying function of comments to indicate whether the amount of CO₂ emission estimated by users is appropriate or not, and the process in which the amount of CO₂ emission was incorrect, if the estimation was too high should be implemented. This can encourage users to be conscientious on their specific behavior to reduce the amount of CO₂ emission.

7. Conclusions

In the present study, a system was designed and developed (Sections 3 and 4); operation was conducted (Section 5), and evaluations as well as the extraction of improvement measures were performed (Section 6). The present study can be summarized in the following 3 points.

1) An e-learning system, which is made up of 3 parts including text-based learning materials, quizzes to review the content of the learning materials, and CO₂ emission simulation, was designed and developed with the purpose of supporting environmental learning. Users that were expected to be from a wide range of age groups were recruited, and the system was operated and evaluated.

2) The operation period of this system was 1 month. Based on the results of questionnaire survey for users, it was evident that the quiz function and the simulation function of CO₂ emission contributed to the efficiency in environmental learning, and the format of the e-learning system was effective and helpful for environmental learning. Additionally, with the users’ awareness related to environmental conservation before and after using the system, significant changes in awareness were seen in areas such as behavioral intention, sense of urgency and sense of connection. On the other hand, there were no major changes in awareness concerning the sense of effectiveness, responsibility and feasibility, and there was also no desirable change for a sense of burden.

3) According to the access analysis of the log data during the operation period, it was revealed that 62% of the total access numbers were from mobile devices. Therefore, it was effective to prepare an interface optimized for mobile devices enabling users to use the system from their smartphones and tablet PCs.
As for future research projects, an improvement of the system according to the outcome of Section 6.3 as well as the enhancement of utilization significance by increasing the number of users can be mentioned.
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