Experimental Study on Configuration and Spacing of Screw Pile Group
Effect Subjected to Lateral Cyclic Loading

Azhar S. Ibrahim\textsuperscript{1,}\textsuperscript{a}, Hassan O. Abbas\textsuperscript{1,}\textsuperscript{b,}\textsuperscript{*} and Omar K. Ali\textsuperscript{2,}\textsuperscript{c}

\textsuperscript{1}Civil Engineering Department, University of Diyala, Baqubah, Diyala, Iraq.
\textsuperscript{2}Ministry of Education, Directorate of school buildings, Baqubah, Diyala, Iraq.
\textsuperscript{a}Azharsubhi19@gmail.com, \textsuperscript{b}temimi71@yahoo.com, \textsuperscript{c}omar.alzuhairy.oa@gmail.com

Abstract. Despite the great development in the manufacture of the helical pile and the development of their use, especially in transmission towers and wind turbines, there is little research on their lateral behavior. In this laboratory study investigate the behavior of screw piles group (2×1) and (1×2) with the spacing to the diameter of helix ratio (S/Dh =1.5, 3, and 4.5) having a diameter (10 mm) and embedded length to diameter ratio (L/D = 40) by using single and double helix embedded in soft clay and extend to stiff clay under a cyclic lateral load of frequency (0.2 Hz). The results showed that increasing the distance between the piles had a great effect on increasing the lateral resistance. the increase of pile spacing in the groups from (1.5 Dh) to (3 and 4.5 Dh) increases the lateral resistance about 34-38% and 50% respectively. Also, from result showed that the group (2×1) gave a lateral resistance more than the group (1×2) about 11% for single helix and about 6% for a double helix, and for the same spacing and configuration the screw pile with double helix gives an increase in lateral resistance about 5-10 % from the single helix.
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Introduction

Screw piles or helical piles are deep foundation elements used to resist forces exerted by tension, axial compression, and lateral loading [1]. Screw piles consist of one or more circular helical plates (or flanges) welded onto a solid steel shaft or hollow [2]. Screw piles are installing into the soil by applying a torque at the head of the shaft of the pile, which produce penetrate the helix or helices to the ground in circular motion [3]. During the past few years, great progress has been made in installing and increasing the axial capabilities of helical piles. Currently, using helical piles with axial capacities over 3MN, the availability of high torque rotary heads has made it easier to install screw piles of large diameter in competent soils such as very stiff clay and very dense sand. Helical piles of diameters more than 508 mm have been successfully installed into hard soils [4].

Recently helical piles are used in many applications in civil engineering such as bridges, commercial and residential buildings, foundations of wind turbines, machine foundations, transmission towers, marine anchors, and pier supports, these structure in addition to axial load subjected to lateral static and cyclic loading generated by a wave, current, and wind, etc. [5, 6]. So, the lateral static and cyclic resistances have become a large component of their overall capacity. Previously there are several studies on the lateral behavior of helical piles, including theoretical and laboratory studies [8-10], field studies [4, 11,12], and numerical studies [13]. There are also some local studies about screw piles in Iraqi soils [14-17] in addition to some studies about the effect of cyclic lateral loads on traditional piles in Iraqi soils [18-21].

However, the studies on the behavior of screw pile group under lateral cyclic load in clay were scarce. This study was conducted on soft clay and stiff clay multilayer because this soil covers large parts of central and southern Iraq [15]. Soft clay distinguished by low undrained shear strength 20 to 40kPa and high in compressive Cc 0.19 to 0.44 and stiff clay is distinguished by the range of undrained shear strength between 100 to 150 kPa [22]. The parameters of this study are the configuration of pile group, the effect of spacing between piles, the effect of helix number, the effect of cyclic load ratio, and the effect of several cyclic.
Material Used

Soil. The clay soil used in this study was taken from the city of Baquba, Al-Bawiyah region, near the brick factories, from depths of 2-4 m below the surface of the earth. All initial tests were carried out in the soil laboratory of the College of Engineering, Diyala University. The properties of clay soil are shown in Table 1.

| Item | Properties                  | Value  | Specification |
|------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------|
| 3    | Liquid limit (LL) %         | 37     | ASTM D-4318   |
| 4    | Plastic limit (PL) %        | 23     | ASTM D-4318   |
| 5    | Plasticity index (IP) %     | 14     | -             |
| 6    | Specific gravity (Gs)       | 2.85   | ASTM D-854    |
| 7    | Percent of clay             | 52.7   | ASTM D-422    |
| 8    | Percent of silt             | 43.3   | ASTM D-422    |
| 9    | Percent of sand             | 4      | ASTM D-422    |
| 10   | Unified soil classification system (USCS) | CL     | ASTM D-2487   |
| 11   | Optimum moisture content (O.M.C) % | 18    | ASTM D-698    |
| 12   | Maximum unit weight (kN/m³)  | 16.75  | ASTM D-698    |

Soil Container. Two cylindrical containers locally manufactured from steel with a thickness of 4 mm are used in this study have a diameter of 50 cm and height of 65 cm. The container was painted with two layers to resist corrosion. The base of the container consists of six wheels for free movement in different directions. The dimensions of the container are chosen to avoid the boundaries affecting the lateral behavior of the group during the test. To avoid the effect of boundaries, the ratio between the width of the container to the lateral dimension of the pile group must be greater than 3, and the ratio between the height of the container to the embedded depth of the piles in the soil greater than 1.625 [23].

Pile and Pile Cap. Screw pile with length 440 mm and diameter 10 mm is manufactured from solid steel. The pile consists of a single and double helix plate with constant spacing between helix 60 mm. The diameter of the helix 20 mm, thickness 2 mm, and the screw pitch (p) 7 mm. The shift tip was termination at 45% to aid in keying during installation. Two piles groups (1×2 and 2×1) were used in this study with three spacing between piles (1.5, 3, and 4.5) Dh where Dh is the diameter of the helix. The dimension of the pile groups is (14×5) cm, (11×5) cm, and (8×5) cm for spacing (4.5, 3, and 1.5) Dh respectively. The pile cap is manufactured from solid steel with a thickness of 6 mm as shown in Fig.1.

Testing Procedure

Preparation of Soil. The soil used in this study consists of soft clay and stiff clay in two layers put in a cylindrical container. Stiff clay with a thickness of 40 cm used in the bottom of the container which is compacted in eight layers has an undrained shear strength of 111 kN/m² and a water content of 22%. The upper layer consists of soft clay with a thickness of 20 cm and compacted with four layers, has an undrained shear strength of 38 kN/m² and a water content of 29%. The soil model was chosen for this study to represent the soil of southern Iraq relatively, especially Basra, whose soil is mostly composed of soft clay extending into stiff clay [16, 24].
Pile Installation Process. After completing the soil preparation process, the container is inserted into the installation device and the steel holder is installed over the upper edge of the container and fixed the pile into the circular plate an inner diameter of 11 and the outer diameter of 25mm to adjust the distances between piles. After that piles were screwed into the model of soil to the depth of 400 mm by using torque (T) applied by hydraulic torque motor with downward force (N) as shown in Fig. 2. The installation of the piles by using the torque affects the carrying capacity of the piles. To reduce the installation effect, the downward speed and the number of revolutions per minute are chosen depending on the pitch of the helix for the screw pile [25].
The screw pile should be installed so that the pile enters the earth in an amount equivalent to the pitch (p) for the helix for every full turn in the direction to lessen the earth disturbance [26]. Therefore, the penetration rate of (7 mm/min) and speed rotational of (2.5 rpm) is used in all tests. After the first pile complete install, turn off the device and separate the shaft from the pile and the container rotated to install the second pile in the group. In this way, we complete the installation of the pile group in a container.

**Piles Group Test.** After completing the install of the pile's group, the container was put into the test device and fixed from the side by four stabilizers to prevent movement during the test as shown in Fig. 3. The study included two stages:

1) The first stage included finding the maximum lateral capacity for each group. Based on Brom’s failure criteria the ultimate lateral capacity takes at the deflection equal to 20% [27]. In this study, the capacity was taken equal to the load corresponding to lateral deflection equal to 20% from the helix diameter for comparison between screw groups.

2) In the second stage of the test, the two ways symmetry cyclic load applies in the sides of a cap of piles group in the different cyclic load ratio (CLR) by using two load cells as shown in Fig. 3. The cyclic load ratio is taken as the ratio between the cyclic load magnitude to the ultimate static lateral capacity of the pile's group [28]. For this study, the ultimate static load for the group (1×2) of spacing 1.5Dh is chosen to find the cyclic load ratio because it gave the least value. Frequency (0.2 Hz) used in all tests, which is an example of the environmental frequency that range from 0-1 Hz [29], with different CLR (0.2, 0.5, and 0.8). The results are expressed in the load-deflection curve after a number of cyclic equal to 100. The test was performed without the influence of vertical load to understand the lateral cyclic capacity.

![Figure 3. The test device for a cyclic lateral load.](image)

**Results and Discussion**

**Effect of Spacing on Piles Group.** The load-deflection curve for screw piles group model (1×2) and (2×1) under cyclic lateral load with three spacing (1.5, 3, and 4.5) Dh at 100 number of cyclic are shown in Fig. 4 and 5. It is clear from these figures that the piles group with spacing 1.5Dh gave large deflection, while the group with spacing (3 and 4.5) Dh gave less deflection. The decrease in deflection with an increase in spacing between pile is due to the interaction effect (i.e. shadow effect) as a result of overlapping in stress zones. For small spacing in pile group under lateral cyclic load,
block failure mode occur due to large overlap in stress between piles causes disturbed and softens the soil between piles. Therefore, lateral resistance decreases in the group with a small distance between the piles. In this study, the average increment of the lateral capacity of piles group with spacing (3 and 4.5) Dh compared with spacing 1.5Dh for single and double helix pile after 100 cyclic is shown in the Table 2.

![Figure 4. Effect of spacing between pile at piles group model (1×2) after 100 number of cyclic. (a) Single helix, (b) Double helix.](image)

![Figure 5. Effect of spacing between pile at piles group model (2×1) after 100 number of cyclic. (a) Single helix, (b) Double helix.](image)

| Piles group model | S/DH | % Increasing in lateral resistance |
|-------------------|------|-----------------------------------|
|                   |      | Single helix | Double helix |
| 1×2               | 3    | 33% | 35% |
|                   | 4.5  | 32% | 36% |
| 2×1               | 3    | 39% | 40% |
|                   | 4.5  | 50% | 49% |

**Effect of Piles Group Configuration.** The pile arrangement in two configurations (1×2) and (2×1) are carried out in this study by using three spacing (1.5, 3, and 4.5) Dh with single and double helix as shown in Fig. 4 and 5. It is noticed from these figures that the arrangement of the pile at the same number in the group effect lateral response. The increase of pile number in the direction of load,
increase the lateral resistance in the group. The result in this study showed that the lateral resistance of the single helix group (2×1) is larger than (1×2) about 11% and for the double helix about 6%. This is maybe due to in a group (2×1) two-row of piles in the direction of lateral cyclic load and the factor affecting lateral bearing capacity is the interfering stresses between the front and back piles, and the soil between the two piles positively affects the increase in lateral resistance, while in the group (1×2) side to side pile, The influencing factor is the overlap of shear stresses between the two adjacent piles and that the soil between the two piles does not affect the increase in lateral resistance, which leads to that the group of (1×2) response as a single pile under lateral cyclic load. This result agrees with the work presented by [21, 30].

Effect of Number of Cycles and Cyclic Load Ratio on Screw Pile Group. Figure 6 shows the load-deflection of the pile head for a group (1×2) with S/Dh 1.5 single helix at a different number of cyclic. The figure shows the nonlinear behavior of load-pile head deflection curves at a different number of cyclic. The nonlinear behavior increases in the cyclic 100 from cyclic one, this behavior is related to degradation in stiffness of the soil due to increase pore pressure and increase the gap between the soil and pile in the surface of soil [31]. Fig.7 and 8 show the deflection of pile head with a number of cyclic of the group (1×2) and (2×1) for three spacing 1.5, 3, and 4.5 Dh at cyclic load ratio 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8. The average increase in head deflection between cycle 1 and cycle 100 For cyclic load ratio 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8 about 23, 26, and 33% respectively for all the group and this average increase in a group with spacing 1.5 Dh greater than spacing 3 and 4.5 Dh. The increase of head deflection is mainly due to the increase of the gap between the piles and soil which leads to a decrease of lateral resistance of the soil. through the results, we also note that at the cyclic load ratio of 20 and 50%, the lateral deflection increases gradually with the increase in the number of cyclic. As for the cyclic load ratio of 80%, there is a significant increase in the lateral deflection at the first cyclic. This large deviation is due to the decrease in the passive resistance of clay at high cyclic loads. The critical cyclic load was defined as the load that causes a dramatic increase in deflection [28]. In this study, the critical load at the cyclic load ratio 80%.

Effect the Number Helix of Pile. From the results shown in figures 5 and 6, the screw pile of the double helix gives an increase in lateral resistance about 5-10% from a pile of the single helix in all groups. Through the results, we notice that the effect of the number of helixes is variable, this variant may be due to disturbance in the soil during the pile's installation. This increase in lateral resistance increases with time due to improving the shear resistance of the soil around the screw pile after stabilization [32].

![Figure 6. Load-Deflection curve of the group (1×2) with S/Dh=1.5, single helix at a different number of cycles.](image-url)
The cyclic load was defined as the load that causes a dramatic increase in deflection. The deviation is due to the decrease in the passive resistance of clay at high cyclic loads. The critical cyclic load ratio of 80%, there is a significant increase in the lateral deflection at the lateral deflection increases gradually with the increase in the number of cyclic. As for the cyclic load resistance of the soil group with spacing 1.5, 0.2, and 0.8.

The nonlinear behavior increases with time due to improving the shear resistance of the soil around the screw pile after the first cyclic. This large increase in lateral resistance is about 23, which lead to the critical load at cyclic 100 from cyclic one, while at the cyclic load ratio of 20 and 50%, the effect of Number of Cycles and Cyclic Load Ratio agrees with that the group of (1×2) side to side pile, the influencing factor is the overlap of shear stresses between the two adjacent piles and that the soil between the two piles positively affects the increase in lateral resistance.

This result is maybe due to the shear stress increases in the group (1×2) two row of pile, while for three spacing 1.5, 2, and 3, the screw pile of pile's number of helixes is variable, the average increase in lateral resistance about 5, while the increase in head deflection is faster than spacing 3 and 4.5. The result in this study showed that the lateral resistance increases. In this study, we notice that the effect of Number of Cycles and Cyclic Load Ratio for allCLR 20% (S/DH=3), CLR 20% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 50% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 50% (S/DH=3), CLR 50% (S/DH=4.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=3), CLR 80% (S/DH=4.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=5.5).

The figure shows the deflection of the pile head for the cyclic load ratio 80%. Figure 7 and 8 show the deflection curves at a different number of cyclic for single helix group (1×1) and double helix group (1×2) with S/DH = 1.5, 3, and 4.5. The increase in lateral resistance in the group increases through the results, we also note that at the cyclic load ratio of 20 and 50%, the lateral deflection increases gradually with the increase in the number of cyclic. As for the cyclic load resistance of the soil group with spacing 1.5, 0.2, and 0.8.

The average increase in lateral resistance is about 23, which lead to the critical load at cyclic 100 from cyclic one, while at the cyclic load ratio of 20 and 50%, the effect of Number of Cycles and Cyclic Load Ratio agrees with that the group of (1×2) side to side pile, the influencing factor is the overlap of shear stresses between the two adjacent piles and that the soil between the two piles positively affects the increase in lateral resistance.

This result is maybe due to the shear stress increases in the group (1×2) two row of pile, while for three spacing 1.5, 2, and 3, the screw pile's number of helixes is variable, the average increase in lateral resistance about 5, while the increase in head deflection is faster than spacing 3 and 4.5. The result in this study showed that the lateral resistance increases. In this study, we notice that the effect of Number of Cycles and Cyclic Load Ratio for all CLR 20% (S/DH=3), CLR 20% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 50% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 50% (S/DH=3), CLR 50% (S/DH=4.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=3), CLR 80% (S/DH=4.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=5.5).

The figure shows the deflection of the pile head for the cyclic load ratio 80%. Figure 7 and 8 show the deflection curves at a different number of cyclic for single helix group (1×1) and double helix group (1×2) with S/DH = 1.5, 3, and 4.5. The increase in lateral resistance in the group increases through the results, we also note that at the cyclic load ratio of 20 and 50%, the lateral deflection increases gradually with the increase in the number of cyclic. As for the cyclic load resistance of the soil group with spacing 1.5, 0.2, and 0.8.

The average increase in lateral resistance is about 23, which lead to the critical load at cyclic 100 from cyclic one, while at the cyclic load ratio of 20 and 50%, the effect of Number of Cycles and Cyclic Load Ratio agrees with that the group of (1×2) side to side pile, the influencing factor is the overlap of shear stresses between the two adjacent piles and that the soil between the two piles positively affects the increase in lateral resistance.

This result is maybe due to the shear stress increases in the group (1×2) two row of pile, while for three spacing 1.5, 2, and 3, the screw pile's number of helixes is variable, the average increase in lateral resistance about 5, while the increase in head deflection is faster than spacing 3 and 4.5. The result in this study showed that the lateral resistance increases. In this study, we notice that the effect of Number of Cycles and Cyclic Load Ratio for all CLR 20% (S/DH=3), CLR 20% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 50% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 50% (S/DH=3), CLR 50% (S/DH=4.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=1.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=3), CLR 80% (S/DH=4.5), CLR 80% (S/DH=5.5).
Figure 8. Deflection with the number of cycles for piles group model (2×1) with different spacing.
(a) Single helix, (b) double helix.

Conclusions

Based on the results of the tests, the following conclusions can be drawn out:

- The spacing between screw piles have an important influence on the lateral resistance of piles group, the increase in spacing between pile reduces the lateral deflection in the same load.
- The arrangement of the same number of piles in the group affects the lateral resistance, the increase of pile in the direction of the cyclic load increases the lateral resistance more than the increase of pile number in the first row of the pile's group.
- The group of spacing 1.5 Dh greater affected in the increase of a number of cyclic from the group of spacing 3 and 4.5 D.
• The pile head deflection increases with an increased cyclic load ratio due to the gap between the pile and soil and increases the depth of the gap from the surface.
• The increase in the number of cyclic increases the pile head deflection because of the degradation in stiffness of the soil and reduced soil shear strength.
• The increase in the number of cyclic causes an increase in the porewater pressure in areas close to the pile and leads to a decrease in the shear strength of the soil.
• The lateral resistance increases with an increase in the number of helixes.
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