Exosomes Carrying MicroRNA-155 Target Forkhead Box O3 of Endothelial Cells and Promote Angiogenesis in Gastric Cancer
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INTRODUCTION

As one of the most prevalent cancers of the digestive system, gastric cancer (GC) is the fifth most common cancer and ranks as the second for cancer-related deaths worldwide.1 GC is even more rampant in China, where an estimated 200,000 people die of GC each year, which accounts for almost half of the world’s total GC deaths.2,3 There is a plethora of patients with GC at the unresectable advanced stages at the time of diagnosis due to the lack of effective early diagnostic methods.4 Considerable efforts have been made to advance therapeutic measures, for which new screening and treatments have mushroomed over the past few decades.5–7 However, the overall 5-year survival rate of GC patients who received tumor excision is still low, which signifies that clinical prognoses remain bleak.8 The vigorous angiogenic capacity of GC contributes significantly to the process of proliferation, invasion, and migration, which is one of the vital reasons for the high mortality rate of GC.9,10 Additionally, there are few anti-angiogenesis monoclonal antibody drugs against GC in clinical practice, and their efficacies have not been sufficient enough to meet the needs for the treatment of GC.10,11 Therefore, it is of great significance to identify the molecular mechanism of angiogenesis of GC and to discover novel targets of anti-angiogenesis, the latter of which could be used to both detect the progression of metastasis and function as an anti-angiogenic therapy to limit the development of GC in the future.

Initially considered to be cellular waste, exosomes are homogeneous vesicles that are secreted by cells and have diameters that are between 40 and 100 nm.12,13 Discovered in 1983, exosomes did not become a primary research focus until the early twenty-first century.14 Exosomes are distributed in multifarious bodily fluids, including blood, lymph, and urine.15 Exosomes have been shown to carry various small biological molecules, such as proteins, lipids, mRNAs, and microRNAs (miRNAs), to transport as cargo throughout the microenvironment, a process that has been shown to play a role in intercellular communication.16,17 By virtue of their stable properties and ability to evade immune responses in the human body, exosomes have been a favorable choice of carrier in many experiments.18 The function of exosomes depends on the type of cells from which they originate, and they can participate in many physiological and pathological processes, including antigen presentation, cell migration, and tumor invasion.19 For example, miR-34a-5p in cancer-associated fibroblast (CAF)-derived exosomes can directly bind to the downstream target AXL. Furthermore, the miRNA-34a-5p/AXL axis is able to facilitate oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) cell invasion.
and metastasis via the AKT/GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling pathway. Moreover, it has been reported that exosomes from tumor cells are involved in various signaling pathways and exchanging of genetic information, which leads to the generation of new blood vessels and accelerates tumor progression. Based on the above biological characteristics of exosomes, we hypothesized that GC-derived exosomes that package specific miRNAs may contribute to angiogenesis, thereby promoting the development of cancer.

The present study principally investigated the role of miR-155 and its downstream target gene, Forkhead box O3 (FOXO3a), in the angiogenesis of GC. The reason for choosing FOXO3a is that it has been reported that decreased FOXO3a expression is a sign of poor prognosis in advanced GC, which is closely associated with the invasion and migration of GC. Additionally, FOXO3a also has a role in inhibiting angiogenesis. Using bioinformatics tools, we found that miR-155 targets on FOXO3a. Then we ascertained the relationship between miR-155 and FOXO3a by detecting their expression levels in GC specimens and adjacent normal tissues. Through the delivery function of exosomes, we concluded that the miR-155/FOXO3a axis contributes crucially to the angiogenesis of GC, which may be of great significance for the development of exosome-based drugs and targeted treatment of GC in the future.

RESULTS

FOXO3a Protein Is Downregulated in Gastric Cancer

We first measured FOXO3a protein levels in tumor tissues, as well as adjacent normal tissues from patients with GC. FOXO3a protein was obviously decreased in cancer tissues, compared with that of normal tissues (Figure 1A). Then, we checked the mRNA levels of FOXO3a by qRT-PCR (Figure 1B), which showed little change between GC and adjacent normal tissues. These results demonstrate that FOXO3a regulation is associated with a post-transcriptional mechanism.

Verification of the Inhibitory Effect of FOXO3a in Angiogenesis

It has been reported that FOXO3a suppresses the growth of vascular smooth muscle to block angiogenesis. To test this phenomenon, we used FOXO3a small interfering RNA (siRNA) to transfet human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) in order to decrease the expression of FOXO3a (KD.FOXO3a group), and we adopted a FOXO3a lentivirus to infect HUVECs for enhancing the expression of FOXO3a (OE.FOXO3a group). For the two control groups, HUVECs were either transfected with normal control siRNA (knockdown [KD].NC) or were infected with a negative lentivirus (overexpression [OE].NC). Then, we analyzed the levels of FOXO3a protein and mRNA by western blotting and qRT-PCR, respectively. As shown in Figure 1C, it is clear that the expression of FOXO3a protein was downregulated in the KD.FOXO3a group and upregulated in the OE.FOXO3a group. In contrast, there was no significant difference in FOXO3a mRNA levels (Figure 1D). Additionally, we measured the proliferation, migration, and angiogenic abilities of HUVECs via EdU assays, wound healing assays, and ring formation assays, respectively. The KD.FOXO3a group showed that the proliferation, migration, and ring formation of HUVECs were all inhibited compared with those of the KD.NC groups, whereas HUVECs in the OE.FOXO3a group had enhanced functions of these parameters (Figures 1E–1H). The above results demonstrate the inhibitory function of FOXO3a in angiogenesis.

FOXO3a Is Negatively Correlated with miR-155

We made a prediction using bioinformatics tools to screen the upstream regulatory miRNA of FOXO3a. As shown in Figure 2A, the binding site of miR-155 was located in FOXO3a 3' UTR. Then, we measured the level of miR-155 in tumor tissues and adjacent normal tissues. We found that, compared with normal tissues, a higher level of miR-155 was present in tumor tissues (Figure 2B). Based on the different expression levels of FOXO3a protein between tumor tissues and normal tissues, as well as other references, we conclude that FOXO3a is a downstream target gene of miR-155; meanwhile, FOXO3a protein and miR-155 expressions are negatively correlated.

Exosomes Deliver miR-155 into HUVECs to Inhibit FOXO3a Expression

Exosomes, which are actively secreted by cancer cells, are an important part of the tumor microenvironment and can participate in tumor-related signaling pathways, which carry biological factors into the peripheral circulation or other fluids. In our present study, exosomes secreted by SGC7901 cells were isolated using differential centrifugation (Figure 2C), and the marker protein of exosomes was displayed in Figure 2D. After qRT-PCR detection, it was found that the content of miR-155 in SGC7901-derived exosomes was significantly higher than that of GES-1-derived exosomes, which is why we selected SGC7901 cells as the source of exosomes for subsequent experiments (Figure 2E). To verify the function of miR-155 in exosomes, HUVECs were directly cocultured with exosomes of SGC7901 cells. Here, these cells were either untreated, transfected with normal control inhibitors, treated with miR-155 inhibitors (miR-155 inhibitors group), or provided an equal volume of PBS (Figure 2F). As shown in Figure 2G, the levels of
miR-155 in exosomes were measured by qRT-PCR, and that of the miR-155 inhibitors group was markedly decreased compared with that of the other groups.

HUVECs were collected after coculturing for 24 h. It was shown in Figure 2H that miR-155 expression was markedly suppressed in the miR-155 inhibitors group compared with that of the untreated group. Then, the expressions of FOXO3a protein and mRNA were assessed by western blotting and qRT-PCR, respectively. HUVECs in the untreated group exhibited a lower expression of FOXO3a compared with that of the miR-155 inhibitors group (Figure 2I). However, there was no difference in the expression of FOXO3a mRNA between the groups (Figure 2J). This phenomenon indicates that the regulatory mechanism of miR-155 on FOXO3a occurs at the post-transcriptional level, through repressing protein expression rather than by degrading mRNA. The above results demonstrate that miR-155 in GC cell-derived exosomes inhibits the expression of FOXO3a protein in HUVECs.

Figure 2. Confirmation that FOXO3a Is Negatively Correlated with miR-155

(A) Predicted binding sites of miR-155 within the 3′ UTR of FOXO3a mRNA. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of miR-155 levels in tumor tissues and adjacent normal tissues (n = 8). (C) Electron microscope scanning of exosome-isolated SGC7901 cells. (D) Western blotting analysis of exosome-enriched proteins, TSG101 and CD31 (n = 3). (E) Levels of miR-155 in exosomes isolated from GES-1 cells and SGC7901 cells were determined by qRT-PCR analysis (n = 3). (F) Schematic description of the experimental design. (G) qRT-PCR assay of miR-155 in SGC7901 exosomes, SGC7901 exosomes transfected with normal control inhibitors, and SGC7901 exosomes transfected with miR-155 inhibitors (n = 3). (H) miR-155 levels in HUVECs pretreated with different exosomes were detected by qRT-PCR (n = 3). (I) FOXO3a expression in HUVECs treated with SGC7901 exosomes, normal control inhibitors exosomes, and miR-155 inhibitors exosomes, and the corresponding quantitative analysis (n = 3). (J) Relative levels of FOXO3a mRNA in HUVECs treated with different exosomes (n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
In Vitro Role of miR-155 within Exosomes in Regulating Angiogenesis

To verify the role of miR-155 carried by exosomes on angiogenesis, we measured the biological function of the above transfected HUVECs. In the present study, we used the EdU proliferation assay, endothelial tube formation assay, and cell wound healing assay to test the effect of HUVECs in proliferation, ring formation, and migration, respectively. HUVECs coincubated with SGC7901 exosomes enhanced proliferation (Figures 3A and 3B), ring formation (Figures 3C and 3D), and migration (Figures 3E and 3F) compared with those of the untreated group. On the contrary, compared with those of the normal control inhibitors group, the biological functions of HUVECs in the miR-155 inhibitors group were obviously suppressed (Figures 3A–3E). These results indicate that miR-155 encapsulated in GC cell-derived exosomes promotes angiogenesis in vitro.

Validation that miR-155 Directly Promotes Angiogenesis In Vitro

In the above experiments, we confirmed a suppressive effect of miR-155 from GC cell-derived exosomes on FOXO3a protein and its role in angiogenesis. Next, we investigated the direct effect of miR-155 on the biological function of HUVECs. Normal control mimics, miR-155 mimics, normal control inhibitors, and miR-155 inhibitors were directly transfected into HUVECs. Protein was extracted 48 h later, and western blotting was used to assess the expression of FOXO3a protein. As shown in Figure 4A, the FOXO3a protein level of HUVECs transfected with miR-155 mimics was distinctly overexpressed compared with that of the normal control mimics group, and the expression of FOXO3a protein was significantly suppressed in the miR-155 inhibitors group compared with that of the normal control inhibitors group. Also, there was no significant change in FOXO3a mRNA (Figure 4B). These results demonstrate that the miR-155 overexpression group visibly promoted the proliferation, migration, and ring formation of HUVECs, whereas these biological functions of HUVECs were remarkably suppressed by transfection with miR-155 inhibitors (Figures 4C–4H), which indicates that miR-155 contributes to facilitating angiogenesis in vitro.

To further confirm that the role of miR-155 in promoting generation of new vessels was achieved through FOXO3a, we transfected HUVECs with miR-155 inhibitors to construct a cell line that overexpressed FOXO3a. Then, we used siRNA to restore the expression level of FOXO3a. In addition to the untreated cells (normal control group), we established three groups (normal control group, In.miR-155 group, and In.miR-155/siFOXO3a group) for follow-up experiments (Figure 5A). Western blotting was used to verify the effect of transfection (Figure 5B). The rescue experiment demonstrated that the restoration of FOXO3a content enhanced the function of miR-155 in promoting the proliferation, migration, and ring formation of HUVECs, as shown in Figures 5C–5H. Based on the above results, miR-155 promotes GC angiogenesis by inhibiting the expression of FOXO3a.

The Role of miR-155 Carried by Exosomes in Tumors and Angiogenesis In Vivo

Finally, we investigated whether miR-155 derived from GC exosomes promotes angiogenesis in vivo. We pretreated SGC7901 cells with a miR-155 lentivirus, FOXO3a lentivirus, and normal control lentivirus; we also prepared three corresponding groups of mice, with five mice in each group (Figure 6A). Next, we subcutaneously injected these cells into BALB/c mice. After successful tumor implantation, the weight of mice and sizes of their tumors were recorded weekly. All of the mice were killed 4 weeks later, and all of the tumors were removed (Figure 6B). Tumors in the miR-155 group exhibited a faster growth trend. In contrast, the FOXO3a group had a lower tumor volume and size than that of the control group (Figures 6C and 6D). Similarly, the weight of xenografted tumors in three groups was shown in Figure 6E.
Figure 4. MiR-155 Directly Promotes Angiogenesis In Vitro
(A) Western blotting analysis of FOXO3a expression in HUVECs transfected with NC mimics, miR-155 mimics, NC inhibitors, and miR-155 inhibitors, as well as the corresponding quantitative analysis (n = 3). (B) qRT-PCR analysis of FOXO3a mRNA levels in the above transfected HUVECs (n = 3). (C) EdU assays showing that miR-155 enhanced the proliferation of HUVECs (n = 3). (D) Quantification of data in (C) (n = 3). (E) Wound healing assay showing that miR-155 promoted the migration of HUVECs (n = 3). (F) Quantitative analysis of data in (E) (n = 3). (G) Ring formation in HUVECs transfected with miR-155 mimics was enhanced (n = 3). (H) Quantification of data in (G) (n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
Figure 5. The Restoration Experiments

(A) Schematic description of the experimental design. (B) Western blotting analysis of FOXO3a expression in the rescue experiment and the corresponding quantitative analysis (n = 3). (C) EdU assays demonstrated that the restoration of FOXO3a content enhanced the function of miR-155 in promoting the proliferation (n = 3). (D) Quantification of data in (C) (n = 3). (E) Wound healing assay revealed that the restoration of FOXO3a content enhanced the function of miR-155 in promoting the migration (n = 3). (F) Quantitative analysis of data in (E) (n = 3). (G) The ability of ring formation in HUVECs with restoration of FOXO3a content was enhanced (n = 3). (H) Quantification of data in (G) (n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
We evaluated the levels of FOXO3a protein and miR-155 in tumor tissues of each group by western blotting and qRT-PCR. There was lower expression of FOXO3a protein and higher expression of miR-155 in the miR-155 group compared with those of the control group. On the contrary, the expression of FOXO3a protein was visibly increased, and the level of miR-155 was decreased in the FOXO3a group (Figures 6F and 6G). Additionally, the levels of miR-155 in exosomes isolated from the sera of mice in each group were detected. The results showed that the miR-155 group had a clearly higher level of miR-155 than that of the FOXO3a group (Figure 6H). In terms of histology, we assessed the angiogenesis of the tumors using immunohistochemistry (IHC) by CD31, which was primarily used to prove the existence of endothelial tissues and to evaluate tumor angiogenetic ability. The higher CD31 expression in tumor tissues indicated the stronger tumor angiogenesis. It was demonstrated that tumor angiogenesis was remarkably enhanced in the miR-155 group compared with that of the FOXO3a group (Figure 6I). Furthermore, we conducted survival analysis by using a tumor database (http://www.oncolnc.org/) and found that the survival of GC patients with high miR-155 expression was indeed worse than that of GC patients with low miR-155 expression, which was consistent with our results (Figure 6J). In conclusion, the above results indicate that miR-155 carried by GC-derived exosomes is an oncogenic factor, which may promote GC angiogenesis both in vitro and in vivo.

DISCUSSION

As one of the diseases that seriously endangers human health, GC has caused nearly 780,000 deaths worldwide in 2018, according to recent statistics. The majority of GC patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage and, consequently, have already lost their best chances of treatment. A vital reason for this phenomenon is that GC has a powerful capacity to generate new blood vessels, leading to continuous proliferation, metastasis, and rapid deterioration. Emerging drugs that have aimed at suppressing the angiogenesis of cancers have not been sufficiently efficacious for GC, despite being costly and increasing the financial burden of patients. Consequently, it has been urgent to explore the molecular mechanism of angiogenesis of GC in order to discover novel anti-angiogenesis targets.

In a recent study, FOXO3a, as a transcription factor, was reported to be associated with metastasis, progression, and cancer therapy resistance in a variety of tumors. Furthermore, the results indicated that GC patients with high expression of FOXO3a have more favorable prognoses compared with those with low-level FOXO3a, which indicates a prognostic value of FOXO3a for GC. The above facts led us to hypothesize that FOXO3a may be a potential therapeutic target for GC. Therefore, we focused on FOXO3a and its upstream regulator, miR-155, the overexpression of which has been shown to promote the proliferation and migration of GC. Additionally, miR-155 has been implicated in numerous physiological and pathological processes,

Figure 6. In Vivo miR-155 Carried by Exosomes in Tumors and Angiogenesis

(A) A flow chart demonstrating the in vivo experimental design and relevant morphology. (B) Tumor tissues excised from tumor-implanted mice in three groups (n = 5). (C–E) Quantitative analysis of xenograft tumor diameter (C), volume (D), and weight (E) (n = 5). (F) FOXO3a expression (left) in implanted tumors (n = 5) and the corresponding quantification (right). (G) qRT-PCR analysis of miR-155 in implanted tumors (n = 5). (H) The level of miR-155 in exosomes that were isolated from the sera of tumor-implanted mice (n = 5). (I) Immunohistochemical analysis of the paraffin-embedded tumor tissues using a CD31 antibody (n = 5; CD31 is widely used to demonstrate the existence of endothelial tissue and to assess tumor angiogenesis) and the corresponding quantification. (J) Survival analysis of GC patients with high or low miR-155 expression from the tumor database (http://www.oncolnc.org/). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
including the mesenchymal transition, oncogenesis, and chemotherapy resistance. Hence, signaling pathways mediated by miR-155 may have great potential as targets for cancer therapy.

Exosomes play a crucial role in many aspects of cellular biology, such as in intercellular communication and repair of tissue damage. Moreover, exosomes have been demonstrated to be important in the occurrence, invasion, and metastasis of tumors. Exosomes have been thoroughly studied for their characteristics in packaging sRNA. Subsequently, the negative regulatory relationship between miR-155 and FOXO3a was confirmed. Moreover, we affirmed that both miR-155 alone and that carried by GC-derived exosomes promoted angiogenesis in vitro through inhibiting FOXO3a expression. Finally, we demonstrated that miR-155 also had a function in vivo to facilitate the angiogenesis of GC. The miR-155/FOXO3a-angiogenesis axis that we discovered is of great significance in suppressing the development of GC. The miR-155 inhibitors enveloped by exosomes are targeted at GC tissues to reduce the expression of miR-155 and further promote the level of FOXO3a to play a role in inhibiting angiogenesis, thereby limiting the progression of GC.

The results of the present study have profound potential and significance for the development of novel anti-angiogenetic targets aimed at GC, which may be implemented for clinical therapy of GC in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

The human gastric adenocarcinoma cell line, SGC7901, and the human immortalized gastric epithelial cell line, GES-1, were purchased from the cell bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and were cultured in DMEM (GIBCO, USA). The human umbilical vein endothelial cell line, HUVEC, was purchased from Shanghai Gefan Biotechnology (Shanghai, China), and it was cultured in F12K medium (GIBCO, USA). All cell lines were authenticated and characterized by PCR-STR genotyping from Cobioler (Shanghai, China) in August 2018. All of the basal culture media above were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; GIBCO, USA) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Solarbio, China). Cells were incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

Isolation of Exosomes from Cell Culture Media and Plasma

Exosomes were isolated from cell culture media after 2–3 days of culture via sequential differential centrifugation. The cell culture was centrifuged at 300 × g and 3,000 × g for removing cells and other debris. Then, the supernatant was centrifuged at 10,000 × g to remove shedding vesicles and other vesicles with larger sizes. Finally, the supernatant was centrifuged at 110,000 × g for 70 min. All of the steps above were performed at 4°C. Additionally, exosomes were harvested from the pellet and were resuspended in PBS; serum exosomes were isolated by using an exosome isolation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Transmission Electron Microscopy

For transmission electron microscopy, exosomes were infiltrated with a droplet of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS (pH 7.2) overnight at 4°C. The samples were washed three times in PBS and were then fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide at room temperature (RT). The samples were then embedded in 10% gelatin, fixed in glutaraldehyde at 4°C, and cut into blocks (<1 mm³). The specimens were dehydrated with increasing concentrations (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 95%, and 100% × 3) of alcohol, and pure alcohol was replaced by propylene oxide. Subsequently, all of the specimens were embedded in pure Quetol-812 epoxy resin and were polymerized for 12 h at 35°C, 12 h at 45°C, and 24 h at 60°C. Ultrathin sections of 100 nm were cut using a Leica UC6 ultramicrotome, followed by staining with uranyl acetate for 10 min and lead citrate for 5 min at RT before observation under an FEI Tecnai T20 transmission electron microscope, which was operated at 120 kV.

Protein Extraction and Western Blotting

The expression of FOXO3a was assessed by western blotting analysis, and its expression in the samples was normalized to GAPDH expression. Moreover, CD63, TSG101, and Alix are usually used as markers and internal controls for exosomes because they are closely associated with the formation and transport of exosomes. Protein was isolated from cultured cells and tissues using SDS lysis buffer with a protease inhibitor freshly added. Subsequently, the lysates were separated via SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore). The immunoblots were blocked with 5% BSA at room temperature for 1 h, and they were incubated at 4°C overnight with anti-FOXO3a (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-GAPDH (1:3,000; Abcam), anti-CD63 (1:2,000; Abcam), anti-TSG101 (1:1,000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and anti-Alix (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies. Following incubation with the proper secondary antibodies, the membranes were visualized with an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

RNA Isolation and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA of the cultured cells, exosomes, and tissues was isolated with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The cDNA was obtained via avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa), which was conducted as follows: 16°C for 30 min, 42°C for 30 min, and 85°C for 5 min. Subsequently, quantitative real-time PCR was initiated by a 5-min hold at 95°C; then the cDNA was denatured at 95°C for 15 s followed by annealing/extension at 60°C for 1 min, which was performed for 40 cycles. TaqMan miRNA probes (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were used to
quantitate miRNA. After the reactions were completed, the cycle threshold (CT) data were determined using fixed threshold settings, and the mean CT values were determined from triplicate PCRs. The formula was adopted to calculate the relative quantities of target genes. U6 small nuclear RNA (snRNA) was used as an internal control for the miRNAs; GAPDH was used for normalization of the FOXO3a miRNA levels. The GAPDH and FOXO3a primers were designed as follows: 5′-AGAAGGCTGGGCTCATTTG-3′ (GAPDH, sense); 5′-AGGGGCAATCCACAGTCTTC-3′ (GAPDH, anti-sense); 5′-GAAG AACTCCATCCGGCACA-3′ (FOXO3a, sense); and 5′-GCTTTG CCAGTCCCTCAT-3′ (FOXO3a, anti-sense).

**Cell Transfection**

Using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and Opti-MEM (GIBCO), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, HUVECs were seeded into six-well plates and were transfected. Additionally, a 100-pmol dose of miR-155 mimics and inhibitors was adopted for miRNA up-regulation and downregulation. Also, NC was used for transfection. In addition, HUVECs were harvested 24 or 48 h after transfection to isolate total RNA or total cell lysate. SGC7901 cells were cultured in 100-mm dishes and transfected with miR-155 mimics, inhibitors, and NC. The culture medium was replaced with DMEM (GIBCO, USA) with exosome-free FBS (GIBCO, USA) for the isolation of exosomes.

**Cell Proliferation Assay**

The proliferative ability of HUVECs, which were transfected or cocultured with different exosomes, was determined by an EdU proliferation assay (RiboBio). After pretreatment as described above, HUVECs were incubated in 50 M EdU for 5 h, and they were then fixed, permeabilized, and stained following the appropriate instructions.

**Cell Migration Assay**

A wound healing assay was performed to determine the migratory capacity of HUVECs. For the wound healing test, cells were seeded in six-well plates. After 24 h, each well was scraped with a 10-mL pipette tip for creating two linear regions devoid of cells, and medium without FBS was then added. Next, at the time points of 0, 6, 12, 18, and 24 h, we observed the cells and took photographs.

**Vascular Ring Formation by HUVECs**

First, 100 mL of Matrigel (BD Biosciences) was added to each well of a 24-well plate and polymerized at 37°C for 30 min. Then, pretreated HUVECs were resuspended in FBS-free medium and transferred to each well at a concentration of 1 × 10⁶ cells/well. Six hours later, the cells were examined under a light microscope to assess the formation of capillary-like structures. The branch points of the formed tubes, which represent the degree of angiogenesis in vitro, were scanned and quantified in at least five low-power fields (×200).

**Establishment of Tumor Xenografts in Mice**

The lentiviral expression plasmids that were used to increase or decrease the expression of miR-155 were purchased from Shanghai Genechem. Puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used to successfully obtain stably infected cells. SGC7901 cells were infected with a control lentivirus. Then, these cells were subcutaneously injected into severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice (3 × 10⁶ cells in 0.2 mL PBS per mouse, five mice per group). Mice were killed 28 days after injection to remove the xenografted tumors, and the volumes and weights of the tumors were recorded. The tumor was divided into two parts: one part was used for protein and total RNA extraction, and the remaining tissue was used for immunohistochemical staining for CD31.

**Statistical Analyses**

All of the data were representative of at least three independent experiments and are expressed as the mean ± SE. A p value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant using Student’s t tests: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.
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