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Historical environmental change in Africa drives divergence and admixture of Aedes aegypti mosquitoes: a precursor to successful worldwide colonization?
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Abstract

Increasing globalization has promoted the spread of exotic species, including disease vectors. Understanding the evolutionary processes involved in such colonizations is both of intrinsic biological interest and important to predict and mitigate future disease risks. The Aedes aegypti mosquito is a major vector of dengue, chikungunya and Zika, the worldwide spread of which has been facilitated by Ae. aegypti’s adaption to human-modified environments. Understanding the evolutionary processes involved in this invasion requires characterization of the genetic make-up of the source population(s). The application of approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) to sequence data from four nuclear and one mitochondrial marker revealed that African populations of Ae. aegypti best fit a demographic model of lineage diversification, historical admixture and recent population structuring. As ancestral Ae. aegypti were dependent on forests, this population history is consistent with the effects of forest fragmentation and expansion driven by Pleistocene climatic change. Alternatively, or additionally, historical human movement across the continent may have facilitated their recent spread and mixing. ABC analysis and haplotype networks support earlier inferences of a single out-of-Africa colonization event, while a cline of decreasing genetic diversity indicates that Ae. aegypti moved first from Africa to the Americas and then to Asia. ABC analysis was unable to verify this colonization route, possibly because the genetic signal of admixture obscures the true colonization pathway. By increasing genetic diversity and forming novel allelic combinations, divergence and historical admixture within Africa could have provided the adaptive potential needed for the successful worldwide spread of Ae. aegypti.
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**Introduction**

Invasions of non-native species have caused widespread declines in biodiversity, impacted on fisheries, agriculture and forestry and had repercussions for public health (Clavero & García-Berthou 2005; Pejchar & Mooney 2009). The latter includes the introduction of exotic disease vectors such as fleas, lice, kissing bugs and mosquitoes which have resulted in devastating epidemics in areas previously free of disease (Lounibos 2002; Tatem et al. 2006). Insight into how these species have become successful invaders is needed to assess current and future disease risks and to develop mitigation strategies for vector invasion and disease spread (Lee 2002; Allendorf & Lundquist 2003; Wilson et al. 2009; Estoup & Guillemaud 2010). This can be achieved by identifying the key components of evolutionary history contributing to invasion success. For example, high levels of genetic diversity can be beneficial to invasion as this has the potential to mitigate founder effects and facilitate adaptation to new environments (Rius & Darling 2014). The degree of genetic variability will depend on several factors, for example how rapidly populations have expanded, the size of the introduced population and the number of colonization events (Suarez & Tsutsui 2008). Genetic admixture is a particularly effective means to increase genetic diversity and has been incriminated in a number of biogeographic invasions previously (Facon et al. 2006; Kolbe et al. 2008; Keller & Taylor 2010; Lombaert et al. 2010; Lucek et al. 2010; Abbott et al. 2013; Rius & Darling 2014). Here, we investigated whether admixture characterizes the population history of the invasive mosquito vector, *Aedes aegypti*, and if so, whether this occurred prior to, or during, its worldwide spread.

The *Aedes aegypti* mosquito originates from Africa but colonized the rest of the tropics via intensive shipping trade during the 17th to 20th century (Hlaing et al. 2009; Brown et al. 2011, 2014; Powell & Tabachnick 2013). As a major vector of arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses), the invasion of this mosquito coincided with the appearance of yellow fever in the Americas and dengue in the Americas and Asia (Smith 1956; Tabachnick 1991; Urdaneta-Marquez & Failloux 2011; Weaver & Lecuit 2015). Today, *Ae. aegypti* continues to contribute to the growing global threat from arboviral disease as evidenced by the recent pandemics of chikungunya and Zika spread by *Ae. aegypti* in Latin America (Musso et al. 2015; Weaver & Forrester 2015; Weaver & Lecuit 2015). The evolution of human commensalism in *Ae. aegypti* is likely to have contributed to this mosquito’s invasion success and its role as a major vector of arboviral disease.

The ancestral form of *Ae. aegypti* in Africa bred in tree holes and fed on nonhuman animals, as do sylvan populations of *Ae. aegypti* and many other members of the *Aedes* genus today (Mattingly 1957, 1967; Huang 2004; Powell & Tabachnick 2013). Presently, *Ae. aegypti* are also found breeding in domestic and peridomestic environments, where they feed primarily on humans (Gouck 1972; Harrington et al. 2001). Based on classical definitions by Mattingly (1957, 1967), a distinction is often made between the dark-coloured sylvan form, *Aedes aegypti formosus* and the light-coloured domestic form, *Aedes aegypti aegypti*, despite variation in these characteristics (McClelland 1974). While the sylvan form is commonly described within Africa, the domestic form predominates out of Africa (Mattingly 1957). This domestic form exhibits several adaptations to human habitats including: strong anthropophily that stems at least in part from changes to an odorant receptor; an ability to lay eggs and survive better in nutrient poor water; and a propensity to readily enter houses (McBride et al. 2014). At present, we do not know to what extent such adaptive traits were acquired in Africa prior to invasion, or during the transportation and colonization processes.

Microsatellite, SNP and nuclear sequence data have shown that populations within Africa form one genetic group that is genetically differentiated from a second group comprised of all pantropical populations outside Africa (Brown et al. 2011, 2014). The sole exception to this was in Rabai, coastal Kenya, which clustered with the pantropical populations and may represent a reinvasion of Africa (Brown et al. 2011, 2014). Based on their findings, Brown et al. (2014) have suggested that a single subspeciation event from the ancestral subspecies within Africa, referred to as *Ae. aegypti formosus*, gave rise to all pantropical domestic populations, which they refer to as *Ae. aegypti aegypti*.

That one colonization event is inferred indicates that domesticity in *Ae. aegypti aegypti* arose only once, and was integral to the formation and worldwide spread of this form (Powell & Tabachnick 2013); otherwise, we might expect to have seen evidence for multiple colonization events. As there are domestic populations of
Ae. aegypti in Africa that are genetically indistinguishable from the sylvatic populations (Paupy et al. 2008, 2010; Brown et al. 2011), it has been further inferred that there have been multiple independent adaptations to domestic habitats within Africa (Brown et al. 2011). Alternatively, these mosquitoes could be somewhat plastic in their use of larval habitats (Mattingly 1957; Chadee et al. 1998; Powell & Tabachnick 2013). Understanding when and where domestication has taken place in Ae. aegypti, cannot be fully understood without first comprehending the evolutionary history of ancestral Ae. aegypti within Africa.

Africa has a complex climatological past with repeated dry, cool glacial periods punctuated by warm and humid interglacial periods (deMenocal 1995, 2004). Climate-associated habitat change is reflected in pollen and sediment core analysis showing that forests were once more expansive, stretching as far as North Africa during wet and humid interglacial periods (Oлага 2001; Hewitt 2004a; Castaneda et al. 2009; Watrin et al. 2009). During dry glacial periods, rainfall cover was reduced to small habitat refugia in which populations could potentially diverge in allopatry (Diamond & Hamilton 1980; Hamilton 1981; Maley 1991). Genetically distinct populations would subsequently have had opportunities for secondary contact and admixture during intermittent wet interglacials. Phylogeographic studies have revealed that many African species carry signals of past demographic change relating to Pleistocene climate change (Hewitt 2004b). These signals include population or species divergence dating to the Plio-Pleistocene, genetic structure associated with hypothesized refugia and signals of population expansion. Genetic structure promoted by historic forest refugia within Africa during glacial periods has been demonstrated in mammals (Anthony et al. 2007 and refs therein; Hassanin et al. 2015; Huhndorf et al. 2007; Johnson et al. 2007; Nicolas et al. 2008 and refs therein; Tosi 2008), tropical trees and plants (Kebede et al. 2007; Koffi et al. 2011 and refs therein; Lowe et al. 2010; Plana et al. 2004), arboreal reptiles (Measey & Tolley 2011 and refs therein) and rainforest birds (Fjeldså & Bowie 2008; Smith et al. 2011). Conversely, the inference that grassland taxa, including insects (Sezonlin et al. 2006) and savannah mammals (Barnett et al. 2014; Hewitt 2004a; Lorenzen et al. 2006 and refs therein), diverged in savannah refugia is consistent with substantial forest expansion during interglacial periods.

Demographic history associated with past climate change is generally complex, involving multiple events which can obscure earlier histories (Guillemaud et al. 2009; Estoup & Guillemaud 2010). In addition, stochastic genetic drift and mutation rate variation complicates demographic inference (Nielsen & Beaumont 2009).

Approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) analysis has been developed which allows complex demographic scenarios to be specified which take into account the stochasticity of the coalescent and mutational processes (Nielsen & Beaumont 2009). The Bayesian nature of ABC allows the input of prior information for the comparison of model scenarios, for which data are simulated and represented using a selection of summary statistics. The selection of the best fitting model is based on comparison of posterior probabilities, and the data generated can be used to gain estimates of demographic parameter values. This approach has been successfully used in reconstructing complex colonization scenarios (Guillemaud et al. 2009; Fountain et al. 2014).

Using primarily an approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) approach, we use genetic information from four nuclear and one mitochondrial marker to analyse the evolutionary history of Ae. aegypti. We aim specifically to (i) determine the genetic structure of Ae. aegypti within Africa (Gorrochotegui-Escalante et al. 2000) (ii) infer whether past climate-associated habitat change influenced the genetic structure of African populations (iii) reconstruct the colonization route of Ae. aegypti out of Africa and (iv) determine whether any admixture that occurred was older and associated with habitat change or more recent and associated with colonization.

Methods

Sample collection

A total of 167 Aeodes aegypti individuals were collected from 19 localities over West, Central and East Africa (Fig. 1 and Table S1, Supporting information). In addition, 97 individuals were sampled from 17 pantropical populations across Asia and the Americas (Fig. 1 and Table S1, Supporting information). Mosquitoes were collected as eggs using oviposition traps or as larvae from breeding sites in forests and around human settlements. All but five individuals of Ae. aegypti originated from domestic habitats (Table S1, Supporting information). As we found the latter to be genetically indistinguishable from domestic individuals, mosquitoes were not separated by breeding site for any analyses.

Larvae were reared to adulthood for identification when possible using the approach detailed by the Walter Reed Biosystematics unit (www.wrbu.org/tech niqueshtml). Larvae within each individual sample site, that is domestic container, are likely to include siblings. Therefore, larvae from individual sample sites were reared as separate collections from which one individual was taken for genetic analysis. Adult mosquitoes were desiccated and stored with silica in BEEM capsules or pinned and larvae were stored in 100% ethanol.
to preserve sample DNA. Mosquitoes were identified using a morphological key (Huang 2004).

**Experimental procedures**

DNA was extracted from larvae or legs of the adult mosquito using the modified phenol–chloroform method in Surendran et al. (2013). For mosquitoes from the Americas, DNA was extracted from whole adults using the method of Livak (1984). PCR was performed using three newly developed exon-primed intron-crossing (EPIC) primer sets for amplification of a 685-bp region of the enolase gene (Enol-I: 5’-GTGGTCACTCAACAGAAGG-3’ and 5’-CTCTGGAACCGATCTTCTG-3’); a 775 bp region of the heat shock protein 70 gene (HSP70: 5’-TGAATGGCAAAACGGATAGC-3’ and 5’-CCAGCGAAATGGTTTTAACC-3’); and 775 bp of the isocitrate dehydrogenase gene (IDH2: 5’-CAAGCGTGCTTCCTTCC-3’ and 5’-CACTATCGATGCCCTTGTGG-3’). A fourth EPIC marker, the ribosomal protein 30 gene (RpL30b), developed by White et al. (2015) was also used to amplify a region of approximately 300 bp. PCR amplification was performed in a 25 µl volume with a final concentration of 1× NH4 reaction buffer, 2 mM MgCl2 solution, 0.8 mM dNTP, 0.5 µM of each forward and reverse primer with 1.25 units of BIOTAQ™ DNA Polymerase (Bioline, UK) and 1–10 ng of template DNA. Thermal cycling conditions for all EPIC primer sets were as follows: 95 °C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. Mitochondrial COI sequences were amplified with universal primers LCO1490 (5’-GGTCAACAAATCATTAATGG-3’) and HCO2198 (5’-TAAACTTAACTTGGCTTGTACCCCATCA-3’) (Folmer et al. 1994) and the protocol provided by the Consortium for the Barcode of Life (http://barcoding.si.edu/dnabarcoding.htm).

PCR products were purified using the GenElute PCR Clean-Up Kit (Sigma-Aldrich Co., LLC, UK) and sequenced on an Applied Biosystems 3730 automated sequencer in both directions using the BIGDYE TERMINATOR v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, UK). Sequences were generated by direct sequencing of PCR products using the amplification primers for COI and for the nuclear loci when possible. PCR products from nuclear loci where the direct sequences contained indels or with low haplotype phase probability were cloned using the pGEM-T vector system (Promega, UK). For this, PCR products were generated with a final concentration of 1× MyFi reaction buffer, 0.8 µM of each primer with 1 unit high fidelity MyFi™ DNA Polymerase (Bioline, UK) and 1–10 ng of template DNA. A 30% ramp speed was used to implement the following thermal cycle: 95 °C for 3 min followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 62 °C for 45 s and 72 °C for 45 s with no final extension. Product inserts were amplified with M13 primers (5’-TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT-3’ and 5’-CAAGAAGCTATGAC-3’) (Messing 1983) using BIOTAQ™ DNA Polymerase and purified and sequenced as above. For the highly variable marker HSP70, PCR products were cloned directly from 124 individuals without prior direct sequencing. Two clones per individual were sequenced in the forward direction initially and the individual accepted as being a heterozygote if a minimum of four base changes were apparent on comparison of ABI trace files. At least two further clones were sequenced from remaining individuals before accepting an individual with four congruent sequences as a homozygote. We estimate to have misscored no more
than one individual as a homozygote using this procedure.

**Data processing**

Sequence ABI trace files were aligned and edited in **GENEIOUS** V 5.4.7 (Kearse et al. 2012). To ensure homology, compound deletions and insertions affecting less than 5% of sequences were removed from the alignment. A total of 12 of 85 **COI** sequences were found to contain double peaks indicative of nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes (NUMTs) and were therefore removed from analysis. Additional mitochondrial **COI** sequences were obtained from GenBank as detailed in Table S2 (Supporting information). Haplotype reconstruction for the nuclear loci was achieved with PHASE (Stephens et al. 2001). Haplotypes with lower than 0.8 probability of being correct were confirmed through cloning of PCR products as described above or removed. Less than 2% of sequences were removed from analysis due to low probability phase and should therefore have a negligible effect on analysis (Garrick et al. 2010). Sequences are available on GenBank (KX444686-KX446469).

**Population genetic analysis**

**Recombination.** Sequence alignments were tested for recombination using the pairwise homoplasy index in **PhiPack** (Bruen et al. 2006) and Max X2, Chimaera and **GENECONV** in **RDP4** (Martin et al. 2010).

**Genetic diversity and population structure.** Nucleotide diversity (π), Watterson’s θ (θw), number of segregating sites (S) and number of haplotypes were computed in **DNASP v5** (Librado & Rozas 2009). Tests of a null model of neutrality and constant population size, Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs, and estimates of genetic differentiation (FST) were computed in **ARLEQUIN v3.5** (Excoffier & Lischer 2010). Isolation by distance was tested using a Mantel test to assess the correlation between genetic and geographic distance in **r v3.1.3** (Jombart CoreTeam 2015) using the packages **ADEGENET** (Jombart 2008) and **APE** (Paradis et al. 2004). Isolation by distance was first tested within Africa and secondly to include all worldwide data. As the **COI** data set includes sequences from GenBank, samples were used if coordinates or specific locality were known.

To estimate the genealogical relationships among haplotypes, we constructed median-joining networks using **NETWORK v4.6.1.3** (Fluxus Technology Ltd) and resolved any reticulation using the rules in Crandall & Templeton (1993). As missing data affects the accuracy of haplotype networks, the **COI** data set was trimmed to 493 bp to include more sequences as many were taken from GenBank and were shorter than the consensus. Otherwise, indels are annotated on networks.

**Approximate Bayesian computation.** The comparison of **ABC** scenarios was achieved in **DIYABC v2.0.4** (Cornuet et al. 2008) with combined analysis of all four nuclear sequence data sets and independent analysis of the mitochondrial **COI** sequence data because previous findings suggest this may have a different population history to that of nuclear markers (Moore et al. 2013; Brown et al. 2014). To test for concordance of results, each nuclear sequence data set was also analysed separately in **DIYABC v2.1.0**. In each case we simulated a total of 2 000 000 data sets for analyses of nuclear loci. A larger number of data sets (3 000 000) were simulated on analysis of the **IDH2** marker alone as several scenarios displayed high posterior probabilities. On analysis of mitochondrial **COI**, 6 000 000 data sets were simulated under the hypothesized ancestral population history scenarios, with 3 000 000 data sets were simulated under colonization and admixture scenarios with equal probability given to each. Trials were run under a range of prior values to assess the ability of simulations to produce summary statistics close to the observed data. The final prior definitions and distributions are provided in Tables S3–S4 (Supporting information). For nuclear and mitochondrial genes, the number of segregating sites (S) and variance of pairwise differences within populations were chosen for one sample summary statistics. In addition, the mean of pairwise differences between populations (W) and FST were chosen as two sample summary statistics to assess scenarios of ancestral divergence and colonization. A logistic regression approach (Cornuet et al. 2008) implemented within **DIYABC** was used to estimate the posterior probabilities of scenarios using 1% of data sets closest to the observed data. To evaluate confidence in the posterior probabilities of scenarios, a model checking computation was performed through generation of 1000 pseudo-observed data sets with parameters drawn from the posterior distribution. To avoid overestimating the fit of the scenario, we used different statistics during model checking to those used for **ABC** analysis (Cornuet et al. 2010). To assess model fit, the one sample summary statistics used were mean of pairwise differences within a population, Tajima’s D and the number of private segregating sites. The two sample summary statistics used were S and mean of pairwise differences between populations (B). Pseudo-observed data sets (n = 500) were also used to calculate the posterior-based error rate; that is, the proportion of times the most probable scenario is chosen incorrectly, using the scenario choice and parameter values of the nearest 500 simulated data sets to the observed data.
Hypotheses of ancestral population history in Africa. Based on previous findings that historical climate change was important in the evolution of African flora and fauna (see Introduction), we hypothesize that historical climatic oscillations have impacted the evolutionary history of *Ae. aegypti*. If so, we expect to detect signals of population structure consistent with allopatric divergence during glacial maxima and signals of secondary contact during hypothesized interglacial periods. We consider four alternative hypotheses involving divergence and admixture for the ABC analysis (scenarios 1–4, Fig. 2) that vary in the number of putative forest refugia and in the timing of divergence events, informed by available data as detailed below.

Two highly divergent mtDNA lineages (3%) are present in *Ae. aegypti* in Africa and around the world (Gorrochotegui-Escalante et al. 2000; Bosio et al. 2005; Bracco et al. 2007; Scarpassa et al. 2008; Paupy et al. 2012), from which we infer that *Ae. aegypti* potentially diverged in two independent forest refugia. Scenarios 1 and 2 therefore first consider that populations of *Ae. aegypti* diverged in two independent forest refugia. Alternatively, *Ae. aegypti* may have diverged in multiple habitat fragments as several forest refugia have been postulated across Africa based on pollen and sediment core analysis (Diamond & Hamilton 1980; Maley 1991). Up to five putative refugia have been identified throughout West and Central Africa including the western Albertine Rift with other possible forest refugia in East Africa in the Eastern Arc mountains of Tanzania and Kenya and the East African Rift mountains of Kenya (Diamond & Hamilton 1980; Maley 1991; Roy 1997; Ray & Adams 2001; Fjeldsa et al. 2007). In addition, the coastal forests of East Africa could have provided refugia given that sylvan *Ae. aegypti* are still found there alongside the domestic form (Tabachnick et al. 1979; Powell & Tabachnick 2013). Based on these data, in scenarios 3 and 4 we model five allopatric divergence events to capture a reasonable level of population divergence associated with multiple refugia.

We also consider two time periods for ancestral population divergence. Scenarios 1 and 3 simulate a period of divergence within the late Pleistocene. For these scenarios, we place the upper bound of ancestral population divergence (Ta) at 120 000 years ago to encompass the last two glacial periods which occurred in between estimated humid interglacials 10 000 and 45–50 000 years ago, and 45–50 000 and 110–120 000 years ago (Castaneda et al. 2009). Although there is the potential for populations of *Ae. aegypti* to be impacted by multiple divergence and admixture events throughout the Plio-Pleistocene, we only test for the most recent events because admixture can obscure previous demographic signal, making inference difficult (Qu et al. 2011, 2012; Sonsthagen et al. 2012).

In comparison, scenarios 2 and 4 simulate an older divergence event occurring in the mid-Pleistocene based on the observation of highly distinct mtDNA lineages in African *Ae. aegypti*. By applying divergence rates of 2.3% My⁻¹ (Papadopoulou et al. 2010) and 2.54% My⁻¹ (Brower 1994) we estimate these lineages diverged approximately 700 000 to 3 000 000 years ago. Based on this and preliminary runs to assess the ability of prior ranges to simulate data close to the observed data, we set our time prior (Ta2) at 700 000 to 3 000 000 years ago. All models designed to test hypotheses of

---

Fig. 2 Six demographic scenarios compared within DIYABC for African populations including West Africa (WAF), East Africa (Neafsey et al. 2015) and Central Africa (CAF). Scenarios 1 and 3 were the models with the highest posterior probabilities.
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historical climate change (scenarios 1–4) include a period of admixture placed at a maximum of 12 000 years ago (T1) to encompass the last interglacial period of forest expansion in Africa, estimated at 6000 to 10 000 years ago (Castaneda et al. 2009).

We also consider a model where Ae. aegypti represents a single panmictic population unaffected by historical population divergence. As in all scenarios, recent divergence (T3) in the last 10 to 1000 years is included to allow comparison with other hypothesized models, which require the same number of populations (scenario 5, Figs 2 and S1, Supporting information). Finally we test a null model of independent divergence without mixing of populations with the prior range for ancestral population divergence (Ta) set to the same as in scenarios 1 and 3, at 6 to 120 000 years ago (scenario 6, Figs 2 and S1, Supporting information).

We designate regional groups for analysis based on geographic proximity. Within the West African group we include Ivory Coast, Benin and Nigeria, the Central Africa group comprises Uganda and the East African group includes Kenya and Tanzania. In this last group we have excluded sequences originating from coastal Kenya and Tanzania because these locations may be subject to re-introduction from outside of Africa (Brown et al. 2011).

Split times for our models were translated into years using a generation time of 0.1 years based on estimates in Drosophila (Cutter 2008) and Ae. aegypti (Irvin et al. 2004; Sowilem et al. 2013). Minimum and maximum effective population size priors were set to cover a wide range and to encompass values approximated from \( 0 = 4N_e\mu \) using the diversity statistics reported for nuclear genes in Brown et al. (2014) and the substitution rate for Drosophila of \( 1.6 \times 10^{-8} \) per site per year (Moriyama & Gojobori 1992).

**Hypotheses of colonization routes out of Africa.** We test for the involvement of admixture in the invasion of worldwide Ae. aegypti in a hierarchical manner. We first test 12 scenarios to identify the most probable route of colonization without considering admixture (Fig. S2, Supporting information). Colonization from West Africa could have occurred with the intensive shipping trade in place between West Africa and ports throughout South and Central America during the late 15th century to early 19th century (Tabachnick 1991; Urdaneta-Marquez & Failloux 2011; Powell & Tabachnick 2013). Similarly migration could have occurred from East Africa via historic trading routes to India (Powell & Tabachnick 2013). In keeping with historical information, the colonization scenarios consider that migration out of Africa could have occurred from one or both of these regions between 1 and 700 years ago (T1 and T2).

Scenarios 1–6 consider independent colonizations of Asia and the Americas from either West or East Africa within the last 700 years. Scenarios 7 through 10, consider stepping stone introduction as a possibility. Scenario 7 is designed to specifically test the findings of Brown et al. (2014) that Ae. aegypti travelled from Africa westwards to the Americas and from there to Asia and the Pacific region based on a cline of decreasing genetic diversity indicative of successive founding events. In addition to the above hypotheses, it is possible that both American and Asian populations are independently derived from a particularly successful source within Africa, for example, if the acquisition of domestic adaption was involved in geographic spread. Scenarios 11 and 12 test this possibility.

We secondly test six models to determine the most probable admixture scenario in an independent analysis (Fig. S3, Supporting information). To do this, we consider that all populations outside Africa stem from an admixed population, which could include admixture between East and West Africa (S3, scenarios 1–3) or admixture between unsampled populations (S3, scenarios 4–6). As in the previous analysis, it is considered that colonization could have occurred in a stepping stone fashion (scenarios 1, 2, 4 and 5) or independently (scenarios 3 and 6) from the admixed population. We finally test the most probable scenarios from each of the two analyses together to determine whether the scenarios involving admixture are favoured as detailed in the Results (Fig. S4, Supporting information).

For all models, we provide an upper bound of 12 000 years for population divergence (Ta) to overlap with the period of ancestral population history that is pertinent to distinguishing the alternative colonization scenarios. The generation time used to convert divergence estimates into years and prior ranges for effective population sizes is as described previously. The lower bound of the prior for \( N_e \) for Asian and American populations was lowered to allow for a population bottleneck on colonization (Table S4, Supporting information). For DIYABC analysis East and West African populations were once again included as regional groups. Central Africa is not considered in colonization scenarios as this population is inland and unlikely to represent a source for global populations. The regional groups Asia and Americas include all populations sampled from these continents.

**Results**

**Genetic marker diversity**

Highest overall nucleotide diversity was provided by markers HSP70 \( (\theta_W = 0.078, \ \pi = 0.013) \), Enol-I
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(θ\(_W\) = 0.053, π = 0.016), and \(RpL30b\) (θ\(_W\) = 0.0455, π = 0.008) while \(IDH2\) had the lowest genetic diversity (θ\(_W\) = 0.020, π = 0.008). In comparison to the EPIC markers, overall genetic diversity values for mitochondrial COI were low (θ\(_W\) = 0.017, π = 0.007).

**Population genetic analysis**

**Recombination.** Tests for recombination including Phi, Max X2, Chimaera and GENECONV were not significant for all four nuclear genes indicating that the data sets are not affected by recombination.

**Genetic diversity and population structure.** Genetic diversity was higher in Africa than America or Asia for all genetic data sets. Measures of θ\(_W\) were higher for all Africa-to-out-of-Africa regional pairwise comparisons, while π was higher in 18 of 20 comparisons (Table 1). Exceptions are attributed to reduced π at nuclear \(IDH2\) and mitochondrial COI. In addition, there is a cline of decreasing genetic diversity moving from the Americas to Asia for 8 of 10 comparisons for θ\(_W\) and π for each locus.

Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs values for the combined African data set are significant and negative for all four nuclear data sets and are often significant for regional data sets including West, Central and East Africa (Table 1). No significant neutrality statistics were obtained from analysis of the mtDNA data for all regions including the Americas and Asia.

For all sequenced nuclear markers, \(F_{ST}\) values indicate that Africa is significantly differentiated from the Americas and Asia (Table 2). Conversely, three of four nuclear genes detect no differentiation between the Americas and Asia. In comparison, analysis of the COI data set produces significant \(F_{ST}\) differences between all geographic regions excepting the Americas and Central Africa. \(F_{ST}\) estimates for each of the four nuclear data sets and the COI gene reveal significant genetic differentiation between East and West Africa.

Table 1 | Genetic diversity values including number of sequences (No. seqs), number of haplotypes (No. haps), number of segregating sites (No. S), Watterson’s theta (θ\(_W\)) and nucleotide diversity (π) by region and continent. Significant values that deviate from the null hypothesis of neutral mutation for Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs (P < 0.05) are annotated (*)

| Gene   | Region        | No. seqs | No. haps | No. S | θ\(_W\) | π   | Tajima’s D | Fu’s Fs |
|--------|---------------|----------|----------|-------|---------|-----|------------|---------|
| Enol_I | Africa        | 232      | 121      | 90    | 0.053   | 0.020 | −1.870*    | −24.507* |
|        | West Africa   | 110      | 76       | 73    | 0.046   | 0.001 | −1.775*    | −25.104* |
|        | Central Africa| 26       | 17       | 32    | 0.027   | 0.020 | −0.890     | −3.887   |
|        | East Africa   | 96       | 49       | 38    | 0.025   | 0.018 | −1.015     | −23.141* |
|        | Asia          | 80       | 16       | 20    | 0.013   | 0.011 | −0.130     | −1.239   |
|        | Americas      | 114      | 16       | 21    | 0.014   | 0.009 | −0.874     | −2.625   |
|        | HSP70         | 208      | 151      | 159   | 0.081   | 0.018 | −2.313*    | −24.501* |
|        | West Africa   | 104      | 76       | 85    | 0.045   | 0.016 | −1.993*    | −24.940* |
|        | Central Africa| 32       | 28       | 42    | 0.028   | 0.019 | −0.880     | −14.506* |
|        | East Africa   | 72       | 61       | 107   | 0.057   | 0.020 | −2.181*    | −24.777* |
|        | Asia          | 66       | 15       | 19    | 0.010   | 0.008 | −0.445     | −0.565   |
|        | Americas      | 96       | 26       | 29    | 0.015   | 0.010 | −0.741     | −4.961   |
|        | IDH2          | 270      | 51       | 49    | 0.019   | 0.007 | −1.709*    | −25.797* |
|        | West Africa   | 102      | 23       | 25    | 0.011   | 0.007 | −0.997     | −7.608*  |
|        | Central Africa| 56       | 25       | 32    | 0.016   | 0.008 | −1.766*    | −12.936* |
|        | East Africa   | 112      | 26       | 31    | 0.014   | 0.008 | −1.293     | −9.167*  |
|        | Asia          | 48       | 10       | 11    | 0.006   | 0.008 | 1.032      | 0.033    |
|        | Americas      | 70       | 19       | 21    | 0.010   | 0.010 | 0.125      | −3.242   |
|        | RpL30b        | 330      | 74       | 67    | 0.045   | 0.010 | −2.130*    | −25.997* |
|        | West Africa   | 122      | 29       | 32    | 0.023   | 0.009 | −1.654*    | −10.659* |
|        | Central Africa| 82       | 45       | 37    | 0.030   | 0.012 | −1.729*    | −26.464* |
|        | East Africa   | 130      | 34       | 43    | 0.031   | 0.010 | −1.894*    | −18.437* |
|        | Asia          | 80       | 8        | 6     | 0.004   | 0.004 | −0.847     | −2.130   |
|        | Americas      | 112      | 11       | 11    | 0.008   | 0.004 | −0.320     | −0.435   |
|        | COI           | 169      | 9        | 10    | 0.006   | 0.004 | −0.867     | 1.096    |
|        | West Africa   | 34       | 9        | 10    | 0.006   | 0.004 | −0.867     | 1.096    |
|        | Central Africa| 39       | 14       | 13    | 0.010   | 0.007 | −0.519     | −1.529   |
|        | East Africa   | 96       | 20       | 24    | 0.011   | 0.010 | −0.195     | −1.493   |
|        | Asia          | 31       | 10       | 14    | 0.009   | 0.007 | −1.097     | 0.793    |
|        | Americas      | 30       | 11       | 17    | 0.009   | 0.013 | 1.991      | 2.436    |
Low, but significant isolation by distance was detected within Africa from the nuclear genes Enol-I ($r = 0.058$, $P = 0.01$) and HSP70 ($r = 0.050$, $P = 0.01$). There is some evidence for isolation by distance among worldwide populations with significant signals detected with nuclear IDH2 ($r = 0.112$, $P = 0.01$) and mitochondrial COI ($r = 0.153$, $P = 0.01$) genes.

The median-joining haplotype networks for nuclear and mitochondrial genes (Figs S5–S9, Supporting information) reveal that the genetic diversity of pantropical regions is composed of a few, common haplotypes sampled from the greater diversity within Africa. These haplotypes are generally shared between the Americas and Asia. There is some evidence for relatively recent, local geographic structuring; the strongest signal is seen in Enol-I, where at the end branches there are small clusters of closely related haplotypes specific to West Africa, East Africa and the Americas (Fig. S5, Supporting information). Consistent with the low genetic diversity of IDH2 no local structuring is apparent at this locus and a large proportion of African haplotypes are shared by pantropical populations compared to the other haplotype networks (Fig. S8, Supporting information). The network for the mitochondrial gene COI reveals a different genealogical history to the nuclear loci with the mtDNA network comprising two major haplogroups separated by 6–8 mutational steps (Fig. S9, Supporting information). Haplotypes from East Africa, Central Africa, the Americas and Asia are found in both lineages with local substructure apparent by the clustering of haplotypes specific to these geographic regions at the end branches for all but Central Africa. Haplotypes from West Africa are only found in haplogroup 2.

### Approximate Bayesian computation analysis

**Ancestral divergence in Africa.** Six scenarios were considered to determine whether ancestral populations of *Ae. aegypti* were affected by past climate-associated habitat change within Africa. Posterior probabilities of scenarios and confidence intervals for model choice are given in Table 3 and Fig. S1, Supporting information. Comparison of posterior probabilities using local linear regression provides the highest support for scenario 3 (see Table 3 and Fig. S1, Supporting information) and so should be interpreted with caution. However, model checking and posterior error rates suggest that scenario 5, inferring no ancestral divergence within populations, is the most probable scenario. It is possible that this results from positive selection acting on IDH2 as this gene has the lowest genetic diversity of the four data sets and an HKA test involving comparisons to sequences of *Ae. hansfordi* and the nuclear RpL30b gene just failed to be significant at the 5% level ($X^2 = 3.27, P = 0.07$). Posterior error rates (Table 3) and model checking revealed that the chosen scenarios were a good fit to data simulated from the posterior distribution with only 1 of the summary statistics used for model checking falling outside the 95% confidence interval on analysis of the nuclear gene data set. Significant statistics indicate that observed data are not perfectly predicted by the estimated parameters; this can be attributed to low information content in the data rather than bad choice of model or priors distributions. Our data have a limited power to obtain parameter values as these have large confidence intervals (Table S3, Supporting information) and so should be interpreted with caution. However, model checking and posterior error rates suggest that the model selection is robust.

**Colonization out of Africa.** To determine the colonization route of *Ae. aegypti* out of Africa, 12 divergence scenarios were considered to determine the most probable scenario in the absence of admixture. For the combined
nuclear data set and individual analysis of three nuclear genes, Enol-I, HSP70 and RpL30b, posterior probabilities identified scenarios 7 or 8, which model colonization from West Africa to either Asia or the Americas before stepping stone introduction, as the most probable colonization routes (Table 3 and Fig. S2, Supporting information). Conversely, individual analysis of the nuclear gene IDH2 and mitochondrial COI showed support for an East African origin by providing the highest support for scenarios 9 or 10, and 4 respectively (Table 3 and Fig. S2, Supporting information). In contrast to the other nuclear markers, ABC analysis has difficulty in choosing a high probability model for IDH2 and COI with the confidence intervals of posterior probabilities being either close or overlapping, indicating a lack of demographic signal in these markers.

For the ABC analysis of six evolutionary scenarios involving admixture, multiple scenarios had high posterior probabilities for nuclear and mitochondrial loci (Fig. S3, Supporting information). The most probable colonization scenarios with admixture (admixture scenarios 1, 2, 4 and 5) were tested against possible colonization scenarios without admixture (colonization scenarios 3, 4, 7, 8, 9 and 10) to determine whether recent population admixture was involved in the spread of Ae. aegypti. In all cases, excepting individual analysis of nuclear IDH2, the models previously chosen without admixture were preferred (Fig. S4, Supporting information). That many of the models tested share characteristics in common is reflected in a relatively high posterior error rate (Table 3) and high posterior probabilities for more than one colonization scenario; for example, scenarios 7 and 8 both include stepping stone introduction from West Africa, while scenarios 9 to 10 both model stepping stone introduction from East Africa (Fig. 3). The model checking algorithm generally indicated a good fit of models to the observed data. However, for the analysis of nuclear genes the statistic, private segregating sites, was significantly outside the 95% confidence interval, suggesting that the observed data is not perfectly predicted in this case. These significant values may result from simplification of the model or because of limited power in the data to resolve evolutionary history. Parameter estimates from analysis of the combined nuclear data set are given in Table S4 (Supporting information).

### Discussion

As in previous studies based on microsatellites, SNPs and nuclear markers (Hlaing et al. 2010; Brown et al. 2011, 2014), we find weak geographic structure within Africa revealed by low $F_{ST}$ between populations at four nuclear genes with genetic diversity shared among geographic regions, as can be seen in the haplotype networks for both mitochondrial and nuclear genes.
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### Table 3

Highest probability scenarios for DIYABC analysis with their posterior probabilities, 95% confidence intervals and posterior error rates

| Question                                                                 | Data set | Description of selection scenario                                                                 | Post. prob. | 95% CI     | Posterior error |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1. Were African populations impacted by past climate change?             | nDNA     | Scenario 3: Late Pleistocene divergence into two populations, Holocene admixture and recent divergence of East, West and Central Africa | 0.645       | 0.607–0.683 | 0.188           |
|                                                                          | MtDNA    | Scenario 1: Late Pleistocene divergence into two populations, Holocene admixture and recent divergence of East, West and Central Africa | 0.396       | 0.375–0.418 | 0.224           |
| 2. What is the most probable colonization route out of Africa?            | nDNA     | Colonization 8: Colonization out of West Africa to Asia and from Asia to the Americas              | 0.443       | 0.413–0.473 | 0.534           |
|                                                                          | MtDNA    | Colonization 4: Independent out of East Africa to Asia and to the Americas colonization              | 0.265       | 0.249–0.280 | 0.706           |
|                                                                          |          | Colonization 10: Colonization out of East Africa to Asia and from Asia to the Americas              | 0.226       | 0.210–0.249 |                 |
| 3. What is the most probable colonization route with admixture?           | nDNA     | Admixture 2: Admixture between East and West Africa, colonization of Asia and migration from Asia to the Americas | 0.322       | 0.306–0.338 | 0.494           |
|                                                                          | MtDNA    | Admixture 2: Admixture between East and West Africa, colonization of Asia and migration from Asia to the Americas | 0.393       | 0.370–0.415 | 0.584           |
| 4. Did colonization involve recent admixture?                            | nDNA     | Colonization 8: Colonization out of West Africa to Asia and from Asia to the Americas              | 0.267       | 0.244–0.289 | 0.634           |
|                                                                          | MtDNA    | Colonization 4: Independent colonization out of East Africa to Asia and to the Americas            | 0.251       | 0.238–0.263 | 0.672           |
Previously, because of the lack of genetic structure seen within African populations, it has been suggested that *Aedes aegypti* have remained panmictic over the course of history (Brown et al. 2014). However, ABC analysis for the combined nuclear markers and the mtDNA marker rejected a scenario of constant panmixia in favour of historical divergence, admixture and recent population differentiation. Admixture within ancestral populations obscures the colonization pathway leading to inference of six possible colonization scenarios inferred by different genetic markers. Nonetheless, it is apparent that all populations of *Ae. aegypti* stem from admixed populations of ancestral lineages, which may have played an important role in this mosquito’s adaption to human-modified environments and success out of Africa.

**Evolutionary history of Aedes aegypti within Africa**

The phylogenetic signal detected by our ABC analysis is consistent with our hypothesis that the population structure of African *Ae. aegypti* has been influenced by historical climate change. The best fit model of evolution for both nuclear and mtDNA genes suggests that populations diverged during recent glacial maxima occurring between 6000 and 120 000 years ago when persistent forest fragments were separated by large expanses of dry savannah (Maley 1991; Walsh et al. 1993; Sang & Dunster 2001; Wilcox & Ellis 2006; Castaneda et al. 2009; Vasilakis et al. 2011). At this time period, gene flow was presumably restricted between populations of *Ae. aegypti* which were subject to genetic drift and ancestral lineage sorting. Unfortunately ABC analysis was unable to distinguish whether *Ae. aegypti* diverged in two or more refugia. This may be because the reality is more complex than our models, for example repeated episodes of divergence and admixture associated with periodic glacial/interglacial cycles and/or persistence in multiple microrefugia, as inferred for African Begonia species (Plana et al. 2004), within two major refugial areas in East and West/Central Africa. However, we can infer that allopatric divergence of *Ae. aegypti* has occurred in forest refugia across Africa, although the number of these is as yet unknown.

The ABC analysis indicates that admixture occurred between divergent populations relatively recently during the Holocene 1000 to 12 000 years ago when forests expanded during a wet climate phase (Sang & Dunster 2001; Castaneda et al. 2009). This signal of admixture within Africa is also seen in the nuclear loci by low F<sup>ST</sup> values between geographic regions and by haplotype networks which reveal that populations of *Ae. aegypti* are composed of mixtures of the genetic diversity present across Africa. The mitochondrial haplotype network also supports admixture. Moore et al. (2013) reported two mitochondrial clades that broadly represent East and West Africa. Our mtDNA haplotype network broadly concurs with this but additionally shows that historical east–west divergence has been followed by the migration of individuals from West and Central Africa to East Africa because a cluster of East African haplotypes are derived from the latter. This apparently unidirectional movement of mtDNA from west to east presumably occurred due to migration from large populations of *Ae. aegypti* in the expansive Guineo-Congolian forest block to the smaller areas of forest in East Africa. The problem of NUMTs in *Ae. aegypti* will always cast doubt over the ability of mitochondrial markers to resolve genuine population history (Bensasson et al. 2001; Hlaing et al. 2009). However, our findings with mtDNA appear to reflect a sensible population history which is compatible with the demographic history revealed by nuclear markers that there has been historical admixture within Africa.

An alternative or additional explanation for the historical phylogeographic pattern seen is that populations were once isolated by a long-term geographic barrier to dispersal, for example the East African rift valley which restricts the dispersal of *Anopheles* mosquitoes (Lehmann et al. 1999, 2000, 2003; Braginet et al. 2003). Admixture in the Holocene may then have been promoted by human migration across the continent. Human migrations are known to have occurred during this time with...
favourable conditions facilitating migration across the continent, through the Sahara and out of Africa (Hewitt 1996; Castaneda et al. 2009). There is scarce archaeological data to identify the early migration routes of humans within Africa. However, one possibility for human-augmented mosquito dispersal is provided by the movement of Bantu farmers across the centre of Africa from Cameroon to Kenya beginning approximately five thousand years ago (Norris et al. 2010; Green et al. 2013). The Holocene era and migration of Bantu peoples is linked to the rise of agriculture which allowed permanent settlement and presumably water storage (Norris et al. 2010; Green et al. 2013; Kadu et al. 2013). Mosquitoes could have moved in parallel with human populations, transported as larvae in water containers. Alternatively, water storage and domestic habitats could have provided reliable water sources for breeding so that Ae. aegypti could expand into previously unsuitable habitat, connect isolated forest habitats and promote admixture. This dispersal could have occurred with or without adaptation to human habitats, although an interesting hypothesis is that the rise of permanent human settlements at this time triggered domestication in Ae. aegypti.

After admixture of populations in the early Holocene, recent population divergence between regional groups is indicated by the significant albeit low $F_{ST}$ values for all loci. This can be seen in the haplotype networks of nuclear genes which show localized geographic structuring at the end branches. More recently, drier climatic conditions have reduced the distribution of forests which have also been subject to human induced deforestation (Maley 1991; Couvreur et al. 2008; Wagner et al. 2008; Weaver 2014). While the forests of West and Central Africa remain to some extent connected, contact between West and East African forest habitats is limited by unsuitable habitat and the Eastern Rift and Eastern Arc mountain ranges. We also found some evidence for isolation by distance within African Ae. aegypti, detected by the nuclear loci with the highest genetic diversity, Enol-1 and HSP70. Therefore recent divergence is at least in part likely to be explained by restricted active dispersal, which is estimated to be <1 km in domestic Ae. aegypti (McDonald 1977; Tabachnick & Powell 1978; Tabachnick et al. 1979; Trpis & Hausermann 1986; Reiter et al. 1995).

Colonization of worldwide populations of Aedes aegypti

It is reasonable to surmise that introduction out of Africa occurred via the shipping trade of the 17th to early 20th century as dengue epidemics were rife, throughout the Americas from the 1600s and Asia after WW2, and Ae. aegypti was found outside Africa for the first time (Gubler 1998a, 2004; Urdaneta-Marquez & Failloux 2011; Brathwaite Dick et al. 2012). Significant $F_{ST}$ values confirm previous reports that populations within Africa are genetically differentiated from those outside Africa (Brown et al. 2011, 2014) while haplotype networks for all loci show this is the result of a founding effect. Pantropical populations share the same subset of haplotypes sampled from high African diversity which, in agreement with Brown et al. (2014), provides convincing evidence for a single out-of-Africa colonization event. Colonizing populations of pantropical Ae. aegypti may therefore stem from a particularly successful source population, known as the invasive bridgehead effect, which often characterizes invasion and represents the most evolutionary parsimonious explanation for geographic spread (Downie 2002; Kolbe et al. 2004; Miller et al. 2005; Floerl et al. 2009; Lombaert et al. 2010). If stepping stone colonization did occur between Asia and the Americas, this could have occurred through historical shipping lanes connecting the continents via Europe (Elitis & Richardson 1997; Elitis 2007). Alternatively, or in addition, a direct route for trade in spices and silver linked Manila in the Philippines with Acapulco in Mexico, Panama and Lima in Peru between 1565 and 1815 (Schurz 1917, 1918; Giraldez 2015).

As in Brown et al. (2014), our results find evidence for a decline in genetic diversity moving westwards from Africa to the Americas and then to Asia, which could reflect the colonization route of Ae. aegypti out of Africa involving successive founding events. However, caution should be taken in inferring this route of colonization because standard diversity measures can be biased by multiple introduction events (Marchette et al. 1969; Kolbe et al. 2004; Lavergne & Molofsky 2007), selective sweeps (Pastorino et al. 2004; Demanou et al. 2010) and founding events associated with vector control of pantropical Ae. aegypti populations (Hulsenbeck et al. 1996; Gubler 1998b; Diallo et al. 2005; Paduan & Ribolla 2008; Urdaneta-Marquez & Failloux 2011; Ngoaogouni et al. 2012). ABC analysis was unable to determine a definitive colonization route possibly because the historical admixture signal acts to obscure subsequent demographic signal; some nuclear loci could reveal a West African origin while others will favour an East African origin because of the stochastic nature of ancestral lineage sorting. A West African origin appears more likely given the high intensity of historical shipping traffic moving from West Africa to the Americas, compared to trade between East Africa and Asia (Cathey & Marr 2014). Further analyses are required to confirm this colonization route using more nuclear markers to increase demographic resolution. A challenge for demographic analysis is that of sampling; inclusion of data from North Africa, a potential source of worldwide colonization (Petersen 1977; Powell & Tabachnick 2013), and
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from Brazil, a major port involved in the historical slave trade (Elitis & Richardson 1997; Elitis 2007), could improve selection of the correct model.

We have observed an exceptionally high genetic diversity in African Ae. aegypti. As admixture acts to raise genetic diversity (Lavergne & Molofsky 2007; Kolbe et al. 2008), this demographic process may explain the high levels of genetic diversity we observe. The fact that we find a lack of evidence for recent admixture involved in the colonization out of Africa supports our finding that admixture was historical and occurred within Africa. Although it is also possible that no particular adaptation to domesticity was required, this admixture could have increased adaptive potential (Facon et al. 2006; Lavergne & Molofsky 2007; Kolbe et al. 2008; Rius & Darling 2014) and facilitated the ability of Ae. aegypti to exploit human environments; admixture may therefore have predisposed Ae. aegypti to successful worldwide invasion. Alternatively, or additionally, adaptation could have occurred during colonization as rare beneficial mutations can surfi to high frequencies in expanding populations and so cause a shift in the adaptive landscape (Demanou et al. 2010).

Conclusion

The population history of Aedes aegypti within Africa represents a complex situation of historical divergence, admixture and contemporary restricted gene flow, most likely in response to climate induced forest contraction and expansion. The relative importance of historical climate change and human movement in shaping the genetic diversity of Ae. aegypti could be resolved with a comparative phylogeographic approach using other forest-associated Aedes species. Historical admixture within Africa has generated high levels of genetic diversity in populations of Ae. aegypti throughout Africa. This high level of genetic diversity and the potential for novel allele combinations could have generated a high adaptive potential important for the success of expanding populations in novel environments. The colonization scenarios we explored in this study were simplified while the real demographic history is likely to have involved an array of events. Further analyses taking into account multiple introductions and founder events, while circumventing the difficulty of the pervading genetic signature of historical admixture, will be key to unravelling Ae. aegypti’s colonization past.
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