The impact of cancer prevention guideline adherence on overall mortality in a high-risk cohort of women from the New York site of the Breast Cancer Family Registry

Ann J. Cloud · Ashley Thai · Yuyan Liao · Mary Beth Terry

Received: 29 October 2014 / Accepted: 5 December 2014 / Published online: 21 January 2015
© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The American Cancer Society (ACS) recommends at least 150 min of moderate intensity physical activity per week, alcohol intake of ≤1 drink per day, and maintaining a body mass index (BMI) of <25 kg/m² for breast cancer prevention. Adherence to these guidelines has been linked to lower overall mortality in average-risk populations, it is not known if mortality reduction extends to women at higher risk given their family history of breast cancer. We followed 2,905 women from a high-risk Breast Cancer Family Registry in New York, of which 77 % were white non-Hispanic and 23 % were Hispanic. We collected information on BMI, physical activity, and alcohol intake at baseline and prospectively followed our cohort for outcomes based on questionnaires and National Death Index linkage. We used Cox regression to examine the relation between adherence to ACS guidelines and overall mortality and examined effect modification by race, age, and BRCA status. There were 312 deaths after an average of 9.2 ± 4.1 years of follow-up. Adherence to all three ACS recommendations was associated with 44–53 % lower mortality in women unaffected with breast cancer at baseline [Hazard Ratio (HR) 0.56, 95 % CI (0.33–0.93)] and in women affected with breast cancer at baseline [HR 0.47, 95 % CI (0.30–0.74)]. These associations remained after stratification by age, race, and BRCA status [e.g., BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 carriers [HR 0.39, 95 % CI (0.16–0.97)]]]. These results support that women at high risk, similar to women at average risk, may also have substantial benefits from maintaining the ACS guidelines.
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Introduction

The American Cancer Society (ACS) recommends health guidelines for breast cancer prevention that include lifestyle behaviors for regular physical activity, moderate alcohol consumption, and maintaining a healthy body mass index (BMI) [1]. The current recommendations for women are as follows: (1) “to be as lean as possible throughout life without being underweight”; (2) “to get at least 150 min of moderate intensity or 75 min of vigorous intensity activity
each week (or a combination of these) preferably spread throughout the week”; and (3) “to drink no more than one drink per day” [1].

Epidemiological studies have provided evidence that individuals who adhere to these ACS guidelines and other similar health guidelines on physical activity, alcohol consumption, and body size have better health outcomes including reduced risk of chronic disease, including diabetes, myocardial infarction, stroke, and cancer [2] as well as reduced mortality [3–5]. For example, recent results from a large European cohort consisting of nearly 400,000 participants from the World Cancer Research Fund/ American Institute for Cancer Research indicate that there is an 18 % reduction in incidence of total cancers [6] and a 34 % reduction in mortality when comparing those in the highest group of adherence to the lowest group [7]. Similarly, in the U.S. in an ACS cohort of 100,000 men and women from 21 different states with population-based cancer registries, those who adhered to ACS cancer prevention guidelines had a 42 % reduction in all-cause mortality and a 24 % reduction in cancer-specific mortality [8]. In addition to mortality reductions, adherence to ACS guidelines has also been associated with lower cancer incidence. For example, in a cohort of over 93,000 post-menopausal women enrolled from 40 U.S. clinical centers, adherence to ACS cancer prevention guidelines was associated with a 22 % reduction in the incidence of breast cancer, a 52 % reduction of colorectal cancer incidence, and a 27 % reduction in endometrial cancer incidence [9]. Women who adhered to cancer prevention guidelines had a 27 % reduction in all-cause mortality, a 61 % lower risk of colorectal cancer death, and a 33 % lower risk of death from breast cancer [9].

These cohorts suggest a large unrealized potential for cancer prevention in populations at average risk of cancer. Family history of cancer dramatically increases risk of most cancers including breast cancer, of which only a portion is explained by mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes [10] and other highly penetrant genes [11–13]. Despite the increased risk in many cancers from a family history of cancer, some studies suggest that family members may not adhere to cancer prevention guidelines. For example, the largest U.S.-based family cohort, the Sister Study [14], found that only 12 % of women with a sister affected with breast cancer adhere to all three guidelines [15]. Further, the Sister Study found that there was substantial heterogeneity in meeting these guidelines by women of different racial and ethnic groups [15]. Specifically, they found that only 12.7 % of white women and 4.5 % of black women met all three guidelines (4.5 %) [15].

Given that adherence to cancer prevention guidelines has been shown to substantially reduce mortality in average-risk cohorts (range of 27–42 % reduction in mortality), we investigated whether this reduction in mortality from meeting the ACS breast cancer guidelines holds in higher risk populations in ethnically diverse women from our New York Breast Cancer Family Registry. Specifically, we examined the effect of adhering to ACS Guidelines for breast cancer prevention in the United States, across white and Hispanic women with a family history or personal history of breast cancer. The recommendations include adherence to physical activity (≥150 min per week), alcohol intake (≤1 drink per day), and body mass index guidelines (<25 kg/m²). We evaluated levels of adherence with respect to all-cause mortality.

Materials and methods

The Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR), established in 1995, is a collaborative project with participants from six research sites in the USA, Canada, and Australia [16]. For this study, we included participants from the New York site of the BCFR [17–19], a clinic-based site that identified participants along with family members based on the following criteria: (1) a female with either breast or ovarian cancer age 45 or less at diagnosis; (2) a male with a history of breast cancer; (3) a female with a history of both breast and ovarian cancer; (4) a female with one first-degree relative or two second-degree relatives with a history of either breast or ovarian cancer; (5) a family with a known BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. We included women who completed a baseline questionnaire, identified themselves as either ‘White/Caucasian’ or ‘Hispanic/Latina’, and for whom height, weight, physical activity, and alcohol intake data were available. 1,000 women were affected with breast cancer at enrollment (815 white, 185 Hispanic) and 1,905 women were unaffected relatives of those with breast cancer (1,433 white, 472 Hispanic). For these analyses, we examined women in two separate cohorts, those who were affected with breast cancer at the time of baseline (n = 1,000) and those who were unaffected women from families with a history of breast cancer (n = 1,905). We included outcomes through December 31, 2012, the endpoint for the start of analyses. The Institutional Review Board of Columbia University Medical Center approved the study and all participants provided informed consent.

We calculated BMI based on self-reported height and weight at baseline [weight (kg)/height (m)²] and categorized BMI according to ACS guidelines (<25 or ≥25 kg/m²). We asked women to report their average hours per week of moderate physical activity (e.g., brisk walking, golf, volleyball, cycling on level streets, recreation tennis, or softball) and strenuous exercise (e.g., swimming laps, aerobics, calisthenics, running, jogging, basketball, cycling on hills, racquetball) from the previous three years. We
categorized physical activity (≥150 or <150 min of moderate or vigorous activity/week) to assess adherence to ACS guidelines. Alcohol consumption per week in the last 3 years was self-reported and included details regarding beer, wine or wine coolers, and hard liquor consumption. We categorized alcohol consumption according to ACS guidelines (≤1 or >1 serving/day). We evaluated confounding based on data from the baseline questionnaire including education (<high school, high school or vocational tech, some college or graduate), age (continuous), smoking status (never, former, current), history of mammogram (yes, no), and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) use (never, former, current) at baseline. We calculated the number of first- and second-degree family members with breast cancer from self and relative reported family history. We obtained vital status through self or relative report at follow-up and matching of participants to the National Death Index (NDI). In the analyses, we considered NDI-reported deaths if NDI assigned scores that met recommended criteria [20].

We tested the associations between adherence to ACS guidelines and all-cause mortality using Cox proportional hazards models and tested for confounding by age at baseline, education, smoking, number of relatives with breast cancer, mammogram history, and HRT use through a 10 % change in the beta coefficient for the categorical constructs representing adherence. In addition, we assessed interaction with race, age, and BRCA status through stratified analyses and statistical tests of multiplicative interaction. We used adherence to 1 ACS recommendation as the reference due to small samples in the 0 adherence group. We used SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) to conduct all statistical analyses.

Results

We included 2,905 women in this study, 2,248 white women and 657 Hispanic women, and followed them for an average of 9.17 ± 4.12 years during which time 312 deaths occurred. The mean age ± SD for white and Hispanic women was 50 ± 15.2 and 45 ± 15.2 years, respectively. Compared to Hispanics, white women were more likely to report some college degree or higher (86.0 vs. 38.1 %), were less likely to report being overweight or obese (37.7 vs. 62.1 %), and were less likely to report smoking or alcohol consumption (52.5 vs. 66.4 % and 45.8 vs. 72.7 %). White women were also more likely to be past or current users of hormone replacement therapy (22.1 vs. 12.9 %). Fewer women in the affected cohort reported never smoking compared to unaffected women (46.4 vs. 56.0 % in Whites and 62.2 vs. 68.0 % in Hispanics). As expected, almost all the women who were affected with breast cancer reported having had a mammogram, though within the unaffected cohort white women were more likely to have had a recent screening mammogram as compared to Hispanic women (81.3 vs. 63.1 %). On average, affected Hispanic women reported less exercise than affected white women (3.7 vs. 4.6 h/week) (Table 1).

Approximately, half the women who were unaffected with breast cancer at the time of enrollment adhered to the ACS guidelines for physical activity and BMI <25 kg/m². However, white women were more likely to exercise ≥150 min/week (66.8 vs. 55.8 %) and were more likely to have a BMI <25 kg/m² (64.5 vs. 34.9 %) than Hispanic women. The majority of unaffected women adhered with the alcohol consumption guideline of <1 drink per day, though Hispanic women were more adherent than white women (90.9 vs. 83.3 %). Overall, the majority of women in the unaffected cohort did not adhere to all three of the ACS guidelines, though white women were more likely to report adherence to all three (36.2 vs. 17.6 %; p < 0.001) (Table 2).

Women who were affected with breast cancer at the time of enrollment had moderate adherence to the ACS guidelines for physical activity and BMI <25 kg/m². However, white women were more likely to exercise ≥150 min/week (62.0 vs. 42.7 %) and were more likely to maintain a BMI <25 kg/m² (57.8 vs. 34.1 %). White women and Hispanic women who were affected with breast cancer were equally adherent with the alcohol consumption of <1 drink/day (86.0 and 86.0 %). Overall, women affected with breast cancer were not adherent with ACS guidelines. However, white women were more likely to report adherence to all three recommendations (31.8 vs. 12.4 %; p < 0.001) (Table 2).

After adjusting for age and education, we observed a 44–53 % reduction in rate of overall mortality in the unaffected (HR 0.56; 95 %CI (0.33–0.93)) and affected groups (HR 0.47; 95 %CI (0.30–0.74)) (Table 3). We did not observe confounding by the other factors considered (age at baseline, education, smoking, number of relatives with breast cancer, mammogram history, and HRT use). The inverse associations with adherence and mortality remained after stratification by age but the association was only statistically significant in unaffected women ≥50 years (HR 0.45; 95 % CI (0.24–0.84) and in affected women <50 years [HR 0.19; 95 %CI (0.08–0.47); Fig. 1]. Adherence to all three guidelines was associated with a 27 % reduced mortality 95 % CI (0.28–1.89) in unaffected women <50 years and 32 % reduced mortality 95 % CI (0.41–1.12) in affected women ≥50 years. The inverse association with adherence remained in all groups except for unaffected Hispanic women, after stratification by race and ethnicity (Table 3). Stratification by BRCA carrier status showed that adherence to all three recommendations was associated with a 61 % lower mortality [HR in...
### Table 1  Participant characteristics at baseline for women in the NY site of the BCFR

|                                      | Women without breast cancer at baseline | Women with breast cancer at baseline |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                      | White \((n = 1,433)\) | Hispanic \((n = 472)\) | \(p\) value | White \((n = 815)\) | Hispanic \((n = 185)\) | \(p\) value |
| Age (years)                          |                                      |                                    |              |                          |                          |              |
| 46.7 ± 15.4                          | 43.9 ± 16.1                           | 0.001                               | 55.9 ± 13.0  | 47.7 ± 12.4              | <0.001                  |
| ≤35                                  | 362 (25.3)                            | 149 (31.6)                          | 0.029        | 22 (2.7)                 | 20 (10.8)               | <0.001      |
| 36–45                                | 353 (24.6)                            | 122 (25.9)                          |              | 165 (20.3)               | 75 (40.5)               |              |
| 46–55                                | 331 (23.1)                            | 85 (18.0)                           |              | 244 (29.9)               | 53 (28.7)               |              |
| 56–65                                | 201 (14.0)                            | 64 (13.6)                           |              | 197 (24.2)               | 17 (9.2)                |              |
| >65                                  | 186 (13.0)                            | 52 (11.0)                           |              | 187 (22.9)               | 20 (10.8)               |              |
| Education                            |                                      |                                    |              |                          |                          |              |
| <High school                         | 16 (1.1)                              | 170 (36.0)                          | <0.001       | 10 (1.2)                 | 63 (34.1)               | <0.001      |
| High school or vocational/tech       | 164 (11.4)                            | 116 (24.6)                          |              | 121 (14.9)               | 55 (29.7)               |              |
| Some college or graduate             | 1,251 (87.3)                          | 183 (38.8)                          |              | 683 (83.8)               | 67 (36.2)               |              |
| Unknown                              | 2 (0.1)                               | 3 (0.6)                             |              | 1 (0.1)                  | –                       |              |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)              |                                      |                                    |              |                          |                          |              |
| <18.5                                | 50 (3.5)                              | 16 (3.4)                            | <0.001       | 19 (2.3)                 | 1 (0.5)                 | <0.001      |
| 18.5–24.9                            | 874 (61.0)                            | 169 (35.8)                          |              | 452 (55.5)               | 62 (33.5)               |              |
| 25.0–29.9                            | 336 (23.5)                            | 180 (38.1)                          |              | 234 (28.7)               | 75 (40.5)               |              |
| ≥30                                  | 167 (11.7)                            | 106 (22.5)                          |              | 109 (13.4)               | 47 (25.4)               |              |
| Unknown                              | 6 (0.4)                               | 1 (0.2)                             |              | 1 (0.1)                  | –                       |              |
| Smoking status                       |                                      |                                    |              |                          |                          |              |
| Never smoked                         | 801 (56.0)                            | 321 (68.0)                          | <0.001       | 378 (46.4)               | 115 (62.2)              | <0.001      |
| Past smoker                          | 519 (36.2)                            | 102 (21.6)                          |              | 402 (49.3)               | 55 (29.7)               |              |
| Current smoker                       | 111 (7.8)                             | 47 (10.0)                           |              | 34 (4.2)                 | 12 (6.5)                |              |
| Unknown                              | 2 (0.1)                               | 2 (0.4)                             |              | 1 (0.1)                  | 3 (1.6)                 |              |
| Alcohol consumption                  |                                      |                                    |              |                          |                          |              |
| Never drank                          | 649 (45.3)                            | 346 (73.3)                          | <0.001       | 380 (46.6)               | 127 (68.7)              | <0.001      |
| Past drinker                         | 245 (17.1)                            | 51 (10.8)                           |              | 153 (18.8)               | 37 (20.0)               |              |
| Current drinker                      | 528 (36.9)                            | 71 (15.0)                           |              | 278 (34.1)               | 19 (10.3)               |              |
| Unknown                              | 11 (0.8)                              | 4 (0.9)                             |              | 4 (0.5)                  | 2 (1.1)                 |              |
| Female family members with breast cancer |                                      |                                    |              |                          |                          |              |
| 0                                    | 148 (10.3)                            | 61 (13.0)                           | <0.001       | 171 (21.0)               | 93 (50.3)               | <0.001      |
| 1                                    | 458 (32.0)                            | 239 (50.6)                          |              | 301 (36.9)               | 46 (24.9)               |              |
| 2                                    | 492 (34.3)                            | 101 (21.4)                          |              | 184 (22.6)               | 28 (15.1)               |              |
| ≥3                                   | 335 (23.4)                            | 71 (15.0)                           |              | 159 (19.5)               | 18 (9.7)                |              |
| Ever had mammogram?                  |                                      |                                    |              |                          |                          |              |
| Yes                                  | 1,159 (81.0)                          | 298 (63.1)                          | <0.001       | 810 (99.4)               | 182 (98.4)              | 0.23**      |
| No                                   | 266 (18.6)                            | 168 (35.6)                          |              | 3 (0.4)                  | 2 (1.1)                 |              |
| Unknown                              | 8 (0.6)                               | 6 (1.3)                             |              | 2 (0.3)                  | 1 (0.5)                 |              |
| History of hormone replacement therapy |                                    |                                    |              |                          |                          |              |
| Never used                           | 1,087 (75.9)                          | 378 (80.1)                          | <0.001       | 639 (78.4)               | 164 (88.7)              | <0.001**    |
| Past use                             | 118 (8.2)                             | 45 (9.5)                            |              | 138 (16.9)               | 13 (7.0)                |              |
| Current use                          | 210 (14.7)                            | 25 (5.3)                            |              | 31 (3.8)                 | 2 (1.1)                 |              |
| Unknown                              | 18 (1.3)                              | 24 (5.1)                            |              | 7 (0.9)                  | 6 (3.2)                 |              |

**Mean ± SD (Q1, median, Q3)**
carriers = 0.39; 95 % CI (0.16–0.97)]; adherence to all three recommendations in non-carriers was associated with a 50 % lower mortality [HR in non-carriers = 0.50; 95 % CI (0.36–0.70)] (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Women in our cohort who reported adherence to all three ACS guidelines had a 44–53 % reduction in all-cause mortality as compared with women who were only adhered to one guideline. Only 3.0 % of our cohort reported not adhering to any of the three ACS guidelines, which limited separate analysis of this group. These results remained after examining confounding by age at baseline, education, smoking, number of relatives with breast cancer, mammogram history, and HRT use. These results are also consistent with other cohort studies with participants of average risk including the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) [3] where participants who adhered to zero out of four health recommendations had a four-fold increase in all-cause mortality, as well as the Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort [8] and the Women’s Health Initiative [9] which showed a 42 and 27 % reduction of all-cause mortality, respectively, when comparing those most adherent to American Cancer Society guidelines to those least adherent.

Women with a first-degree relative with breast cancer have a two-fold increased risk of developing breast cancer in their lifetime [11], which is substantially higher based on the ages of onset of the relatives. Although women with a genetic mutation at the \textit{BRCA1} or \textit{BRCA2} gene are at substantially higher lifetime risk, only 5–10 % of women with a family history are carriers [21–24]. Women in our cohort are at an overall higher risk than women in the general population. Ten-year breast cancer risks observed in this cohort were 7.03 % for women 35–49 years and 8.49 % for women 50 years and above; 3.1 times that of an average-risk population when comparing to age-standardized SEER rates [25]. Despite having a personal history of breast cancer, only 28.2 % were adherent to all three guidelines for physical activity, maintaining a healthy BMI, and alcohol consumption and only 31.6 % of unaffected women from families at high risk for breast cancer were adherent to all three guidelines. While adherence was low in our cohort, it should be noted that the majority of women reported adherence with the recommendation for one or less alcoholic beverage per day (85 %).

Table 1 continued

| Physical activity (hours/week) | White (n = 1,433) | Hispanic (n = 472) | p value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 5.0 ± 4.6 (1.5, 3.5, 7.0)     | 250 (55.8)       | 0.159 *           |
| 4.6 ± 5.0 (0.0, 3.0, 8.0)     | 185 (39.2)       |                    |

All values are n (%) or mean ± SD

* p value based on Chi-square
** p value based on Fisher’s exact test

Table 2 Women in the NY site of the BCFR without breast cancer at baseline and ACS adherence

| Unaffected with breast cancer at baseline | White (n = 1,433) | Hispanic (n = 472) | Chi-square p value |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Meets physical activity recommendation (≥150 min/week) | 922 (66.8) | 250 (55.8) | <.001 |
| Meets BMI (<25 kg/m²) recommendation | 924 (64.5) | 185 (39.2) | <.001 |
| Meets alcohol recommendation (≤1 serving/day) | 1,194 (83.3) | 429 (90.9) | <.001 |
| Meets all three ACS recommendations | 519 (36.2) | 83 (17.6) | <.001 |

| Affected with breast cancer at baseline | White (n = 815) | Hispanic (n = 185) | Chi-square p value |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Meets physical activity recommendation (≥150 min/week) | 485 (62.0) | 76 (42.7) | <.001 |
| Meets BMI (<25 kg/m²) recommendation | 471 (57.8) | 63 (34.1) | <.001 |
| Meets alcohol recommendation (≤1 serving/day) | 701 (86.0) | 159 (86.0) | 0.83 |
| Meets all three ACS recommendations | 259 (31.8) | 23 (12.4) | <.001 |
adherence were considerably lower for maintaining a healthy BMI (57 %) and participating in physical activity for at least 150 min each week (60 %). However, differences in reporting of these three constructs may affect some of the adherence as measured.

Adherence was low across all subgroups, although white women were more adherent with all three lifestyle behaviors combined as compared to Hispanic women (36.2 vs. 17.6 % in unaffected women; 31.8 vs. 12.4 % in affected women). This same trend held for each of the individual behaviors except for alcohol consumption. While white and Hispanic women were equally adherent in the affected cohort (86.0 vs. 86.0 %), white women were less adherent than Hispanic women (83.3 vs. 90.9 %) in the unaffected cohort. These results are consistent with other high-risk cohorts. Spector et al. [15] showed that white and black women who are sisters of women with breast cancer had low adherence to recommendations for physical activity (26.4 and 18.2 %, respectively) and maintaining a healthy BMI (42.5 and 16.7 %, respectively). However, black women were more likely to comply with ACS alcohol recommendations [15]. A prospective cohort study by

| Women without breast cancer at baseline | Women with breast cancer at baseline |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Age Adjusted HR 95 % CI | Adjusted* HR 95 % CI |
| Adherent on 1 recommendation | 1.00 (0.57, 1.29) |
| Adherent on 2 recommendations | 0.85 (0.56, 1.28) |
| Adherent on 3 recommendations | 0.56 (0.33, 0.93) |

| Women with breast cancer at baseline |
|-------------------------------------|
| Age Adjusted HR 95 % CI | Adjusted* HR 95 % CI |
| Adherent on 1 recommendation | 1.00 |
| Adherent on 2 recommendations | 0.82 (0.54, 1.13) |
| Adherent on 3 recommendations | 0.42 (0.27, 0.64) |

| Women without breast cancer at baseline |
|----------------------------------------|
| White HR 95 % CI | Hispanic HR 95 % CI |
| Adherent on 1 recommendation | 1.00 |
| Adherent on 2 recommendations | 0.67 (0.43, 1.06) |
| Adherent on 3 recommendations | 0.48 (0.28, 0.81) |

| Women with breast cancer at baseline |
|-------------------------------------|
| White HR 95 % CI | Hispanic HR 95 % CI |
| Adherent on 1 recommendation | 1.00 |
| Adherent on 2 recommendations | 0.85 (0.59, 1.25) |
| Adherent on 3 recommendations | 0.5 (0.32, 0.81) |

*Adjusted for age and education

**Table 3** Multivariable models examining ACS adherence at baseline and overall survival in white and Hispanic women at the NY site of the BCFR

**Fig. 1** Multivariable models examining ACS adherence at baseline and overall survival by age in women at the NY site of the BCFR
Thomson et al. showed that cancer incidence, all-cause, and cancer-specific mortality were reduced through adherence to a greater number of ACS guidelines which suggests that adherence to ACS recommendations can be beneficial across all subgroups [9]. Furthermore this same cohort [9] showed benefits from adherence in affected women and all-cause mortality across racial and ethnic subgroups; an important finding as many minority populations are often diagnosed at later stages of disease and experience worse outcomes [26–29]. Breast cancer incidence, particularly for cancer with distal involvement is increasing in young women (&lt;40 years) [30]. The increase is seen across all racial and ethnic subgroups but the rate of increase is higher in non-white women [31]. Both Hispanic and black women are more likely to present at a later stage at the time of diagnoses and have higher rates of breast cancer-specific mortality than non-Hispanic white women [32]. The Sister’s Study indicates differences in adherence with cancer prevention guidelines between white and black women [15], and our data indicate that there are similar differences between white and Hispanic women in adherence. Continuing to urge clinicians to advise all patients and their families to adhere to cancer prevention guidelines is an obvious first step. However, our study along with the existing evidence suggests that specific recognition and solutions need to be addressed so that interventions can be targeted to groups with the greatest need.

This large, prospective cohort is focused on women that are higher risk for breast cancer from their family history. Due to smaller numbers than some of the very large cohorts, we were not able to consider cancer-specific mortality. As we relied on self report, it is possible that women reported adherence to the guidelines when in actuality they would not have adhered. This would be non-differential misclassification, however, as all participants from this cohort reported their adherence at baseline without knowing their outcomes. Therefore, associations may be even stronger than we estimated if there are no large unmeasured confounders. Although we were able to consider empirical confounding by a number of factors, as our exposures were not randomized it is possible that the effects observed would be smaller if there are large, unmeasured, positive confounders. Because the data for physical activity, alcohol consumption, and body size were collected at baseline, we were also unable to address whether adherence to these guidelines later in life reduces mortality as it is possible that the women who complied with the guidelines have been engaging in healthy behaviors throughout their life.

For women not already meeting guidelines, clinical trials focused on changing behaviors later in life support the challenge of long-term maintenance of lifestyle changes [33–35]. As research may indicate that behavior changes later in life have a lower probability of being maintained, families at high risk of breast cancer should be informed at an earlier stage in life that making healthy behavioral changes with respect to alcohol consumption, physical activity, and maintaining a healthy weight have positive benefits associated with a reduction in overall mortality [36]. Breast cancer prevention, such as changes in these reported lifestyle behaviors, are most likely to have the greatest impact when initiated earlier in life and maintained over the lifecourse [37].

The magnitude of the associations we observed was as large as or larger than many other primary, secondary, and tertiary breast cancer prevention interventions. For
example, mammography screening is associated with a 19 % reduction in mortality with a greater reduction in mortality for screening over age 50 [38, 39]. Chemopreventive medications have also been linked with reduced risk in high-risk women as well as adjuvant treatment for women with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancers in the range of 31–67 % and a reduction in breast cancer mortality of 30 % [40]. Our findings suggest a large potential for reduced mortality from following these guidelines. However, adherence to these behaviors is currently low in the general population [6] as well as in high-risk cohorts [15]. Adherence across high-risk minority groups is even lower [15]. Adherence to chemoprevention and mammography screening, in contrast, is much higher in high-risk cohorts with regular mammography screening and five-year use of chemoprevention of tamoxifen with 40–83 % [41–44] and 41–72 % [45] adherence, respectively. Thus, substantial gains can be made in primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention in all women, and especially those at higher risk, from improving adherence with screening, chemoprevention, and alcohol, physical activity, and body size guidelines.

Conclusion

In summary, we found that among women with a family or personal history of breast cancer, adherence to all three ACS breast cancer prevention guidelines for physical activity, alcohol consumption, and maintaining a healthy weight was associated with a reduction in mortality compared to women who were only adherent to one guideline. Even in white and Hispanic women with a family history of breast cancer, adherence with the three cancer prevention guidelines remained low. Substantial gains can be made in prevention for women, especially those at high risk based on family history, by improving adherence to these lifestyle behaviors.
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