Relationship between biased mutagenesis and H3K4me1-targeted DNA repair in plants
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Abstract

Mutation is the ultimate source of genetic variation in natural populations and crops. To study mechanisms determining mutation rate variation within plant genomes, we analyzed 43,483 de novo germline single base substitutions in 1,504 fast neutron mutation lines of the model rice cultivar Kitaake (Or yza sativa ssp japonica) (from Li et al. 2017). We find that, like previously observed for de novo germline mutations in Arabidopsis thaliana, mutation rates are significantly lower in genomic regions marked by H3K4me1, a histone modification found in the gene bodies of actively expressed genes in plants. We also observed conservation in rice for PDS5C, a cohesion cofactor involved in the homology-directed repair pathway that in A. thaliana binds to H3K4me1 via its Tudor domain. By examining existing ChIP-seq data for PDS5C in A. thaliana, we find that it localizes to genome regions marked by H3K4me1: regions of low mutation rates, coding regions, essential genes, constitutively expressed genes, and genes under stronger purifying selection, mirroring mutation biases observed in rice as well. We searched the A. thaliana proteome for genes containing similar Tudor domains and found that they are significantly enriched for DNA repair functions (p<1x10^-11), including the mismatch repair MSH6 gene (in both rice and A. thaliana), suggesting the potential for multiple DNA repair pathways to specifically target gene bodies and essential genes through H3K4me1 reading. These findings inspire further research to characterize mechanisms that localize DNA repair via histone interactions, leading to hypomutation in functionally constrained regions and potentially tuning the evolutionary trajectories of plant genomes.

Introduction

Mutation occurs when DNA damage or replication error goes unrepaired. Mechanisms that localize DNA repair proteins to certain genome regions can therefore affect local rates of mutation. Interactions between DNA repair and histone modifications are predicted to evolve if they promote repair in regions prone to deleterious mutations, such as coding regions of essential genes (Lynch 2010; Martincorena and Luscombe 2013; Lynch et al. 2016). Associations between histone modifications and mutation rates have been observed across diverse organisms (Habig et al. 2021; de la Peña et al. 2022; Yang et al. 2021; Yan et al. 2021; Monroe et al. 2022; Makova and Hardison 2015; Schuster-Böckler and Lehner 2012).

That such mutation biases can be driven by the localization of DNA repair proteins has been established empirically, especially in studies of mutation rate variation within human genomes (Supek and Lehner 2015, 2019; Katju et al. 2022a; Foster et al. 2015). In vertebrates, H3K36me3 marks the gene bodies and exons of active genes in humans (F. Li et al. 2013; Huang, Gu, and Li 2018; Fang et al. 2021; Aymard et al. 2014; Sun et al. 2020), and reduced mutation rates in active genes, regions, and gene bodies, as a consequence, have been observed in humans and other animals (Moore et al. 2021; Akdemir et al. 2020; R. Li et al. 2021; Katju et al. 2022a; Supek and Lehner 2017). Reduced mutation rates in gene bodies and active genes have also been observed in studies of mutation rates in algae and land plants (Befield et al. 2021; Z. Lu et al. 2021; Zhu et al. 2021; Monroe et al. 2022; Befield et al. 2018; López-Cortegano et al. 2021; Yan et al. 2021; Krasovec et al. 2017). However, the precise mechanisms underlying these patterns in plants are unclear, as plants lack the enrichment of H3K36me3 in gene bodies that is characteristic of vertebrate genomes. Knockout lines of msh2, the mismatch repair gene that dimerizes with MSH6 to form MutSa, experience elevated relative mutation rates in gene bodies in A. thaliana, indicating that mismatch repair...
can preferentially target gene bodies, yet a specific mechanism of such targeting has been unknown (Belfield et al. 2018).

Unlike in humans, in plants, H3K4me1 marks the gene bodies of active genes. Recent work has demonstrated that this is mediated by a combination of transcription-coupled (ATXR7) and epigenome-encoded (ATX1, ATX2) methyltransferases (Oya et al. 2021). Once placed, H3K4me1 reading can then occur by proteins containing histone-reader domains such as Tudor “Royal family” domains, which bind methylated lysine residues on H3 histone tails (Kim et al. 2006; R. Lu and Wang 2013; Maurer-Stroh et al. 2003).

Recently, the Tudor domain of PDS5C(RDM15) was shown to specifically bind H3K4me1 in A. thaliana (Niu et al. 2021). This gene is a cohesion cofactor that facilitates homology-directed repair (Pradillo et al. 2015; Phipps and Dubrana 2022; Morales et al. 2020). Recent studies of CRISPR-mediated mutation efficiency show that H3K4me1 is associated with lower mutation efficacy (R = -0.64), supporting more efficient repair (Weiss et al. 2022; Schep et al. 2021; Zhu et al. 2021). These findings are consistent with analyses of mutation accumulation lines in A. thaliana which indicate H3K4me1 to be associated with lower mutation rates (Monroe et al. 2022).

Mutagenesis has been used extensively in the generation and study of mutation in plants. With single base substitutions (SBS) in fast-neutron mutation accumulation lines largely reflecting native mutational processes (Wyant et al. 2022; G. Li et al. 2017), analyses of the distribution of these de novo mutations could provide insights into mechanisms underlying intragenomic heterogeneity in mutation rate. Here we analyzed de novo mutations from whole-genome-sequenced fast neutron mutation accumulation lines in Kitaake rice (G. Li et al. 2017) and ask whether mutation rates are predicted by epigenomic features that could function as targets for DNA repair pathways.

### Results and Discussion

We analyzed de novo mutations in a population of 1,504 rice lines that accumulated mutations upon fast neutron radiation. These data were previously described and single base-pair substitutions (SBS) were validated with a >99% true positive rate (G. Li et al. 2017). In total, these data included 43,483 SBS, reflecting a combination of fast neutron related and “spontaneous” mutations (Fig. 1) This population was generated with minimal selection, evidenced by the existence of loss-of-function mutations detected in 28,419 genes. The ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous mutations in mutation accumulation lines was 2.33 (N/S=5,370/2,155), a 1.9X increase over this ratio (Pn/Ps = 1.21) observed in polymorphisms of 3,010 sequenced rice accessions (Wang et al. 2018) (X² = 670.63, p<2x10⁻¹⁶). The ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous de novo mutations was not higher in transposable elements (TE) (N/S=2.31) than in non-TE protein-coding genes (N/S=2.34) (X² = 0.035, p = 0.85) nor was it less in coding genes than neutral expectations (N/S=2.33) based on mutation spectra and nucleotide composition of coding regions in the rice genome (X² = 0.029, df = 1, p = 0.86) (Fig. S1). Thus, the effects of selection appear to have been minimized to the point of undetectability in the generation of these mutation accumulation lines. Nevertheless, some selection (e.g. on loss-of-function hemizygous lethal sterility mutations) could, in principle, have occurred so we attempted to account for any such cryptic selection by restricting analyses to genes in which loss-of-function was found in this population and therefore apparently tolerated by whatever, if any, level of selection did occur (i.e. the 28,419 genes where loss-of-function mutations were observed, N/S = 2.26 in these genes).

Compared with EMS-induced mutagenesis, the SBS spectra of fast neutron mutation lines more closely mirror spontaneous mutational patterns providing an opportunity to investigate the mechanisms governing
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intragenomic mutation rate heterogeneity (G. Li et al. 2017; Wyant et al. 2022). We compared SBS spectra in trinucleotide contexts from these lines with de novo germline mutations in A. thaliana (Weng et al. 2019; Monroe et al. 2022) and found that they are significantly correlated ($r=0.8$, $p<2\times10^{-16}$). We cannot know how much of the residual difference in SBS spectra is due to fast neutron mutagenesis versus inherent differences between rice and A. thaliana, as differences in the spectra of SBS have been reported between related species and even different genotypes of the same species (Sasani et al. 2022; Cagan et al. 2022). Future work will benefit from the evaluation of de novo germline mutations arising in different species under diverse conditions to gain a complete understanding of the environmental and genetic controls of mutational spectra in plants.

To test whether the genome-wide distribution of mutations in rice is associated with histone modifications, we used data from the riceENCODE epigenomic database which includes H3K4me1, H3K9me1, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H3K9me2, H3K27me3, H3K27ac, H3K4ac, H3K12ac, H3K9ac, and RNA polymerase II (PII) measured by chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) (Xie et al. 2021). We then tested whether mutation probabilities in 100bp windows in genic regions (the probability of observing a mutation) were predicted by epigenomic features and found a significant reduction in mutation probabilities in windows that overlapped with H3K4me1 peaks (Fig. 2). These data are consistent with observations in other plant species where lower mutation rates have been observed in regions marked by H3K4me1 (Monroe et al. 2022; Weiss et al. 2022). To account for the possibility that some selection occurred in the generation of this mutant population (ie. removing loss-of-function mutations in essential genes that would cause sterility or lethality), we restricted our analyses to only those genes in which loss-of-function mutations were found in the population and observed similar results (Fig. 2). We considered the possibility that mutation rate heterogeneity was caused by GC>AT mutations in transposable elements with elevated cytosine methylation in non-genic sequences rather than histone-mediated mutation reduction, and therefore restricted our analyses to exclude all such GC>AT mutations and observed similar results, though H3K9me2 associated hypermutation was no longer detected (Fig. 2). H3K4me1-associated hypomutation was also the same when analyses were restricted to only homozygous mutations (Fig. 2). We calculated mutation rates in genes and their neighboring sequences and observed a significant reduction in mutation rates in gene bodies (Fig. 2).

Mutation rates were lower both in and around H3K4me1 peaks, which could indicate the action of local recruitment and targeting of DNA repair to H3K4me1 marked sequences (Fig. 3). That mutation rates were also lower in sequences immediately neighboring H3K4me1 peaks could indicate a spatially distributed effect on mutation in relation to H3K4me1 positioning, or the effect of conservative peak calling. Only 8.9% of H3K4me1 peaks were found outside of non-TE protein-coding genes. Nevertheless, we could use these instances of non-genic H3K4me1 to test whether the reduction in mutation rates in H3K4me1 peaks was due simply to selection against coding region mutations having affected our results. When considering all H3K4me1 peaks, we observed a 20.1% reduction in mutation rates compared to regions within 2kb
outside of peaks ($X^2 = 124.38$, $p < 2.2e-16$). For non-genic H3K4me1 peaks, we observed the same reduction: -20.2% ($X^2 = 9.88$, $p = 0.00167$). Together, these results suggested a role of H3K4me1 in localized hypomutation which could explain the reduced gene body mutation rates observed since gene bodies are enriched for H3K4me1 (Fig. 2).

Mutation biases in rice were consistent with the expected effects of increased DNA repair in functionally constrained genes as well, which could be caused by H3K4me1-localized repair. H3K4me1 peaks were enriched in genes annotated as expressed compared with those not expressed ($X^2 = 2550961$, $p < 2x10^{-16}$). Mutation rates were, potentially as a consequence of enrichment for H3K4me1, 22% lower in expressed genes ($X^2 = 63.7$, $p = 1x10^{-15}$) while the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous mutations in the data was not different between expressed and non-expressed genes ($X^2 = 0.0007$, $p = 0.98$). Comparing genes that exhibit different degrees of selection in natural accessions of rice, those under elevated purifying selection with low $Pn/Ps$ (non-synonymous/synonymous polymorphisms), were enriched for H3K4me1 peaks ($X^2 = 8045711$, $p < 2x10^{-16}$), experienced 19% lower mutation rates ($X^2 = 388.5$, $p < 2x10^{-16}$), but did not have a lower ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous in de novo mutations ($X^2 = 0.22$, $p=0.63$) (Fig. 3). As such, we find no evidence that these patterns could be explained by selection in the mutation accumulation lines.

Our findings are consistent with reports of reduced mutation rates in gene bodies of expressed and constrained genes in *A. thaliana* and other species (Krasovec et al. 2017; Moore et al. 2021; Monroe et al. 2022). While in humans, this is known to be mediated by H3K36me3 targeting by DNA repair genes, our results suggest that H3K4me1 may be a target of DNA repair in plants. To examine this further, we considered genes with known H3K4me1 targeting, *PDSSC*, a gene belonging to a family of cohesion cofactors that facilitate homology-directed repair (HDR) and possibly interact with nucleotide excision DNA repair (NER) repair pathway, contains a Tudor domain that was recently discovered to bind H3K4me1 (Niu et al. 2021). Analyses of ChIP-seq data of PDSSC-Flag from *A. thaliana* show PDSSC is targeted to gene bodies (which are enriched for H3K4me1 in both rice and *A. thaliana*) (Fig. 4). Here we also find that PDSSC is enriched in regions of lower germline mutation rates in *A. thaliana*, consistent with its function in facilitating DNA repair (Fig. 4).

Evolutionary models predict that histone-mediated repair mechanisms should evolve if they facilitate lower mutation rates in sequences under purifying selection. As predicted by this theory, we find PDSSC targeting (ChIP-seq) is enriched in coding sequences, essential genes, and genes constitutively expressed across tissues, and genes under stronger purifying selection in natural populations of *A. thaliana* (Fig. 4).
Visualizing its structure generated by Alphafold2 (Jumper et al. 2021) reveals that the PDS5C active domain is separated from the Tudor domain by several hundred unstructured amino acids, suggesting that the Tudor domain operates as an anchor, localizing PDS5C to H3K4me1 and gene bodies of active genes. One interesting possibility is that the unstructured tether may contribute to lower mutation rates in regions adjoining PDS5C, such as in UTRs next to enriched coding regions and introns. PDS5C is a cohesion cofactor linked to multiple DNA repair pathways. In its role in cohesion between sister chromatids, it has been reported to promote HDR (Pradillo et al. 2015). This is consistent with the known contribution of cohesion and PDS orthologs in other species to the HDR pathway (Morales et al. 2020; Phipps and Dubrana 2022; Hill, Kim, and Waldman 2016; Ren et al. 2005). PDS5C has also been found recently to interact with MED17 in vivo, which may be involved in the NER pathway (Giustozzi et al. 2022). The observation that mutation rates are reduced at H3K4me1 peak regions (Fig. 3) supports the hypothesis that Tudor domain-mediated targeting in PDS5C or other repair-related
genes contributes to targeted hypomutation in the functionally important regions of the genome. Still, additional experiments are needed to quantify the precise local effect of PDS5C on mutation rate. We compared the PDS5C Tudor domain between A. thaliana and rice, and find that the critical amino acids constituting the aromatic cage where H3K4me1 binding specificity is determined, are conserved (Fig. 4), suggesting a potential role of PDS5C in the mutation biases observed here in rice (Fig. 2, Fig. 3).

The discovery of the PDS5C Tudor domain as an H3K4me1 targeting domain (Niu et al. 2021) provides an opportunity to identify other genes with potential for H3K4me1-mediated gene body recruitment. We used blastp to search the A. thaliana proteome for other genes containing Tudor domains similar to that of PDS5C (Fig. 5). These revealed 29 genes with amino acid sequence regions similar to the PDS5C Tudor domain. An analysis of gene ontologies indicated that this gene set is highly enriched for genes with DNA repair functions (9/29 genes, p=1x10^{-11}). Five of these were PDS5 orthologs. We also found that mismatch repair MSH6 contains a Tudor domain similar to that of PDS5C, which is an obvious candidate for further consideration. This suggests that multiple repair pathways could have evolved H3K4me1-targeting potential in plants, motivating additional experiments and investigations into the evolutionary origins of these mechanisms. The structure of MSH6 indicates that its Tudor domain may, like that in PDS5C, function as an anchor, tethering it to H3K4me1 leading to local increases in DNA repair (Fig. 5). Because MSH6 operates as a dimer with MSH2 to form the MutSa complex which recognizes and repairs small mismatches, its Tudor domain could explain the previous observation that MSH2 preferentially targets gene bodies to reduce mutation rates therein (Belfield et al. 2018). These findings are consistent with a body of work showing that mutation rates can be lower in gene bodies of active and conserved genes. Further experiments are needed - the reduced mutation rates in gene bodies in active genes in plants may be...
explained by multiple mechanisms collectively targeting H3K4me1 or other histone states via Tudor domains or other histone readers (Fig. 6).

We found evidence of mutation bias caused by H3K4me1-mediated DNA repair in rice, and examined potential mechanisms. Our findings here, derived from reanalyses of data generated by independent research groups (G. Li et al. 2017; Niu et al. 2021; Xie et al. 2021), are consistent with our previous observations of mutation biases in A. thaliana (Monroe et al. 2022). They are aligned with evolutionary models of evolved mutation bias and provide a higher resolution mechanistic model of gene body and essential genes hypomutation in plants, motivating experimental investigations into the several DNA repair pathways with potential to target active and constrained genes.
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Methods
Mutation dataset in rice
Germline de novo mutations in 1,504 fast neutron mutagenesis lines were downloaded from Kitbase at kitbase.ucdavis.edu. These were independently called and validated as previously described (G. Li et al. 2017). We focused specifically on single base substitutions (SBS) which were validated with a >99% accuracy by Li et al (2017). We annotated each SBS in coding regions as being a synonymous or non-synonymous mutation based on effect on amino acid sequence. We compared non-synonymous and synonymous ratios with values from genomes of 3,010 natural accessions (Wang et al. 2018) and neutral expectations based on mutation spectra, coding region nucleotide composition, and codon table with the Null_ns_s function from the polymorphology package in R.

Epigenomic data collection
Epigenome features were accessed from the RiceENCODE database (glab.hzau.edu.cn/RiceENCODE/) which has been previously described (Xie et al. 2021). In brief, peaks were called from ChIP-seq data with MACS2 (Zhang et al. 2008) narrow-peak calling settings. We analyzed peak distributions for H3K4me1, H3K9me1, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H3K9me2, H3K27me3, H3K27ac, H3K4ac, H3K12ac, H3K9ac, and RNA polymerase II (PII) measured in Nipponbare rice plant seedlings, which constituted the most complete set of histone modifications available. We repeated analyses but with H3K4me1 measurements derived from panicles and leaves (rather than seedlings) and found essentially the same results.

Estimation of the relationship between mutation rates and rice epigenomic features
We divided the genome into 100 bp windows surrounding genes (+- 3000 bp of genes). This allowed us to, in later steps, restrict our analyses to only genes known to have accumulated loss-of-function mutations, and thus be less likely to be affected by selection. We also divided the genome into 100bp windows and repeated analyses, to confirm that results were generally the same. We calculated the number of single base-pair substitutions and peaks for each epigenomic feature overlapping within each window. We then estimated the relationships between epigenomic features and mutation rates with a binomial generalized linear model where the response was a binary state defined as whether a substitution occurred in that window, predicted by all features, with predictors defined as whether that window overlapped with an epigenome peak. We also repeated the analyses with a linear regression model where the response was number of mutations in a window and found essentially the same results, so we show the binomial regression results. To test whether findings were driven simply by GC>AT mutations in transposable elements, we removed all GC>AT and repeated analyses. To further control for any residual selection in the mutation accumulation experiment, we also restricted our analyses to genes harboring loss-of-function mutations in the population and the repeated analyses. Finally, we restricted analyses to homozygous SBS and repeated the analyses. Mutation frequencies were plotted around genes in 100 bp windows. Since gene bodies are different lengths, the position of the window was converted into a percent of gene length. H3K4me1 peaks around gene bodies were plotted similarly. We also visualized mutation frequencies relative to H3K4me1 peaks in the same manner.

Analysis of ChIP-seq data of AtPDS5C
To study the distribution of PDS5C, we used ChIP-seq data as described by Niu et al. (2021). PDSS enrichment was calculated as described by Niu et al. (2021) among regions as log2([N_ChIP] / N_ChIP) – log2([N_Input] / N_Input), where N_ChIP and N_Input represent total depth of mapped ChIP and Input fragments in a region, and N_ChIP and
N. Input are the numbers total depths of mapped unique fragments. We calculated PDS5C enrichment in genic features (1000 bp upstream and downstream of genes, UTRs, introns, coding regions) and gene bodies (TSS to TTS) across the TAIR10 A. thaliana genome (arabidopsis.org).

Relationship between AtPDS5C and functional constraint
We analyzed the enrichment of the PDS5C ChIP-seq peaks in A. thaliana in genetic features and estimated the relationships between those regions and mutation rates, H4K4me1, Pn/Ps, tissue expression depth. Tissue expression data are from (Mergner et al. 2020). H3K4me1 in Arabidopsis is from the Plant Chromatin State Database (Liu et al. 2018). Synonymous (Ps) and non-synonymous polymorphism (Pn) data are from the 1001 Genomes project (1001 Genomes Consortium 2016). Essential genes were based on findings from (Lloyd and Meinke 2012). Germline mutation rates are from (Weng et al. 2019; Monroe et al. 2022).

Protein 3D structure and blastp
We used Alphafold2 predicted structures (alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/) to visualize PDS5C and MSH6 in A. thaliana (Jumper et al. 2021). We also generated predicted structures for rice PDS5C and MSH6 with Alphafold2’s Google Colab tool (AlphaFold.ipynb). We confirmed the structures from Alphafold’s own database for A. thaliana genes.
We used blastp on Phytozome (Goodstein et al. 2012) to search the rice proteome for PDSSC and MHS6 orthologs, and to search the A. thaliana proteome for genes containing Tudor domains similar to that of PDSSC, which was validated experimentally to bind H3K4me1 (Niu et al. 2021). We submitted the resulting list of 29 genes with putative Tudor domains to gene ontology analysis with ShinyGO (bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/) (Ge, Jung, and Yao 2019).

Code and data
Figures, code, and data are located on: https://github.com/greymonroe/rice_mutation_project.
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Figure S1. Non-synonymous to synonymous ratios.
The non-synonymous to synonymous ratio was significantly lower (p<2.10^-10) in natural populations compared to mutation accumulation lines and neutral expectation. The non-synonymous to synonymous ratio was similar in mutation accumulation lines to neutral expectation.