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**ABSTRACT.** *Babesia bovis* and *Babesia bigemina* are tick-borne hemoparasites causing babesiosis in cattle worldwide. This study was aimed at providing information about the occurrence and geographical distribution of *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* species in cattle from Gauteng province, South Africa. A total of 268 blood samples collected from apparently healthy animals in 14 different peri-urban localities were tested using previously established nested PCR assays for the detection of *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* species-specific genes encoding rhoptry-associated protein 1 (*RAP-1*) and Spel-Aval restriction fragment, respectively. Nested PCR assays revealed that the overall prevalence was 35.5% (95% confidence interval [CI]=± 5.73) and 76.1% (95% CI=± 5.11) for *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina*, respectively. PCR results were corroborated by sequencing amplicons of randomly selected samples. The neighbor-joining trees were constructed to study the phylogenetic relationship between *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* sequences of randomly selected isolates. Analysis of phylogram inferred with *B. bovis RAP-1* sequences indicated a close relationship between our isolates and GenBank strains. On the other hand, a tree constructed with *B. bigemina* gp45 sequences revealed a high degree of polymorphism among the *B. bigemina* isolates investigated in this study. Taken together, the results presented in this work indicate the high incidence of *Babesia* parasites in cattle from previously uncharacterised peri-urban areas of the Gauteng province. These findings suggest that effective preventative and control measures are essential to curtail the spread of *Babesia* infections among cattle populations in Gauteng.
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*Babesia bovis* and *B. bigemina* are among the major tick-borne intraerythrocytic protozoan parasites affecting cattle population globally. These parasites induce bovine babesiosis, which is among the most important diseases exerting a large economic impact on livestock production in tropical and subtropical regions [13, 17]. Unique amongst bovine babesias, *B. bovis* causes more severe disease due to its tendency to adhere to vascular epithelia. The main clinical signs of babesiosis commonly include fever, anemia and hemoglobinuria [16].

Acute *Babesia* infections are usually diagnosed by a microscopic examination of blood smears, and this method has always been considered a gold standard for diagnosing acute babesiosis [4]. However, microscopy is inherently marred by low sensitivity and difficulty in detecting parasites in blood smears in the case of low parasitaemia. This restricts the use of this technique in epidemiological studies, particularly those aimed at identifying carrier animals [2, 4]. Several serological assays have been alternatively developed for the epidemiological diagnosis of babesiosis, such as indirect immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). These serology-based diagnostic tests are aimed at detecting specific antibodies to *Babesia* parasites [12, 15, 24, 27]. In the South African context, serology-based techniques have also been exploited to diagnose *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* in bovines [5, 20, 27, 28].

Despite the advances in the development of serological diagnostic assays, PCR-based diagnostic tests with high specificity and sensitivity have been developed for application in the detection of *Babesia* parasites [1, 2, 6, 10, 16]. Amongst the developed DNA-based assays, nested PCRs based on *B. bovis RAP-1* gene and *B. bigemina Spel-Aval* restriction fragment have been extensively exploited for the diagnosis of babesiosis [1, 8, 22, 24].

The Gauteng region forms part of the nine provinces of South Africa whose livestock industry is threatened by the prevalence of *Babesia* parasites as evidenced in a recent study [27]. Being the smallest province of South Africa with an area of approximately 18,178 km², Gauteng remains the only province without a foreign border; it borders on North West, Limpopo, Mpumalanga and Free State provinces of South Africa. It is estimated that, in South Africa, the live-
stock production accounts for up to 49% of the agricultural output. As such, there have been no reports on the investigation of the molecular occurrence of Babesia parasites in cattle found in different peri-urban localities of the Gauteng province. Therefore, this study was conducted with the aim of genetically detecting B. bovis and B. bigemina pathogens in bovine blood samples originating from cattle occupying different geographical locations in Gauteng.

The experimental collection of bovine blood samples was based on the approval by the NZG Ethics and Scientific Committee (National Zoological Gardens of South Africa). Bovine blood samples (n=268) were collected aseptically from apparently healthy cattle in 14 localities found in the Gauteng province, South Africa. Sample collection took place between December 2009 and May 2010. The name of surveyed areas, sample collection dates and the number of animals bled in each area are indicated in Table 1. The nested PCR results of B. bovis and B. bigemina parasites detected in blood samples collected from Bronkhorstspruit area in Gauteng province were partially included in a recent study [19], but have also been replicated in the present work.

Blood samples were collected into EDTA-containing tubes and kept at −20°C for subsequent DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was isolated from whole-blood samples using ZR Genomic DNA™-Tissue MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research Corporation, Irvine, CA, U.S.A.) following the instructions of the manufacturer. DNA was eluted in 50 µl of the elution buffer.

### Table 1. Name of peri-urban areas, dates of blood sample collection and nested PCR results obtained with Group I primers

| Name of the area       | Date of collection | Total number of samples n | Babesia bovis n | Babesia bigemina n | Mixed infection n |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Hamanskraal            | December 2009      | 4                         | 1               | 25.0 (± 42.43)     | 0                 |
| Bronkhorstspruit       | December 2009      | 30                        | 19              | 63.3 (± 17.25)     | 25                |
| Sharpeville            | February 2010      | 21                        | 8               | 38.1 (± 20.77)     | 18                |
| Devon                  | February 2010      | 19                        | 5               | 26.3 (± 19.8)      | 15                |
| Eikenhof               | March 2010         | 19                        | 3               | 15.8 (± 16.4)      | 8                 |
| Zevenfontein            | March 2010         | 30                        | 11              | 36.7 (± 17.25)     | 24                |
| Rietvallei             | May 2010           | 17                        | 5               | 29.4 (± 21.66)     | 14                |
| Carltonville            | May 2010           | 13                        | 8               | 61.5 (± 26.4)      | 11                |
| Khutsong South         | May 2010           | 16                        | 6               | 37.5 (± 23.72)     | 15                |
| Libanon                | May 2010           | 20                        | 10              | 50.0 (± 21.91)     | 16                |
| Rietfontein            | May 2010           | 22                        | 5               | 22.7 (± 17.5)      | 14                |
| Wheatland              | May 2010           | 20                        | 10              | 50.0 (± 21.91)     | 12                |
| Rikasrus               | May 2010           | 19                        | 1               | 5.3 (± 10.07)      | 16                |
| Magaliesberg           | May 2010           | 18                        | 3               | 16.7 (± 17.23)     | 12                |
| Total                  |                    | 268                       | 95              | 35.5 (± 5.73)      | 204               |

a) Animals infected with both B. bovis and B. bigemina parasites. b) n= total number of samples positive to B. bovis and B. bigemina. c) 95% confidence intervals. d) Data were taken from a recent study [20].

### Table 2. Sequence of primers used for PCR amplifications

| Species    | Assay     | Primer sequence (5′ → 3′) | Annealing | Product size | Reference |
|------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| B. bigemina | PCR       | Fwd-CATCTAATTTCCTCCTCCTACCCCTCC | 55°C      | 278 bp       | [8]       |
|            |           | Rev-CCTCGGCTTCACCTCGATGCACAAG |           |              |           |
|            | nPCR      | Fwd-CGCAAGGCACAGGCACCCGCGCTGC | 55°C      | 170 bp       | [8]       |
|            |           | Rev-CGGACCTGGATAGGCTGTGTGAGT |           |              |           |
| B. bovis   | PCR       | Fwd-CACGAGGAAGGAACTACCGATGTTGA | 55°C      | 360 bp       | [8]       |
|            |           | Rev-CCAAGGAGCCTCAACGTACGAGGTTCA |           |              |           |
|            | nPCR      | Fwd-TCACAAAGGATCTACTATAGGTGCTAC | 55°C      | 298 bp       | [8]       |
|            |           | Rev-CTACCGAGCAAACTCTTCACCAT |           |              |           |
| B. bigemina | PCR       | Fwd-GTCGTGCTTATACGCAACACAC | 55°C      | 963 bp       | [19]      |
|            |           | Rev-AAAGTAGCCTTCTCGTGTGATG |           |              |           |
|            | nPCR      | Fwd-CGGACCTGGAACGTATGCTGCTG | 55°C      | 853 bp       | [19]      |
|            |           | Rev-GAGTTAACGCTGGAGATTTG |           |              |           |
| B. bovis   | PCR       | Fwd-TGAGATTGTCCAAAGAGGATGTCATCC | 55°C      | 1,280 bp     | [19]      |
|            |           | Rev-GTCTTCACCGTGGAAATGGTAGTGAGT |           |              |           |
|            | nPCR      | Fwd-CAGGAGGAAGGAACTACCGATGTTGA | 64°C      | 1,009 bp     | [8]       |
|            |           | Rev-CTTTTGATGGTTGGCAACAGTTTC |           |              |           |
was measured spectrophotometrically using a NanoDrop® ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies Inc., Wilmington, DE, U.S.A.).

PCR and nested PCR assays with Group I primers (Table 2) reported previously [8] were employed for the amplification of *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* DNA in field blood samples. Purified DNA samples of *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina*, kindly supplied by Dr Nicola Collins (Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, University of Pretoria, South Africa), were used as positive controls for PCR assays. Nuclease-free water and DNA samples of *Anaplasma centrale*, *Theileria parva* and *Ehrlichia ruminantium* were employed for the negative control reactions.

For PCR assays, 5 µl of the extracted genomic DNA were added to a 25-µl reaction mixture containing 0.6 µM of each primer, 12.5 µl of DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (Inqaba Biotechnical Industries, Pretoria, South Africa) and nuclease-free water to adjust the final reaction volume to 25 µl. The primary reactions were cycled in a BIO-RAD T100™ Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Johannesburg, South Africa) employing the following temperature profiles: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 55°C for 45 sec and extension at 72°C for 1 min. A final extension was performed at 72°C for 10 min. For nested PCR, 1 µl of the primary PCR products was added into a second PCR mixture containing the same reagent composition as described above, except that the nested PCR primers were used instead of the external primers. Reaction mixtures were thermally cycled as described above. PCR products were resolved in 2% (w/v) agarose gels containing GRGreen Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Inqaba Biotechnical Industries) and documented with ultraviolet transilluminator.

In order to study the phylogenetic relationship among *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* isolates, DNA of randomly selected positive samples (four samples for *B. bovis* and eight for *B. bigemina*) was subjected to nested PCR with Group II primers targeting a 1009-bp *RAP-1* (*B. bovis*) and 853-bp *gp45* (*B. bigemina*) fragments (with longer sequences). Nested PCR mixtures were prepared and cycled as described above (annealing temperatures in Table 2). The resulting PCR products were cleaned using ExoSAP Amplicon Purification protocol [29] and sequenced by Inqaba Biotechnical Industries using a BigDye® Terminator Kit (Applied Biosystems, Johannesburg, South Africa) with ABI 3130 XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The determined sequences were aligned with BioEdit software package [11], and the resulting contiguous sequences were used to search for homologous sequences in GenBank.

Phylogenetic trees were constructed based on the newly determined nucleotide sequences of *B. bovis RAP-1* and *B. bigemina gp45* fragments. These sequences were trimmed to equivalent lengths and used to infer phylogenies employing the neighbour-joining algorithm embedded in MEGA v4.1 software [14]. Kimura two-parameter method was used to compute the distance matrices for the aligned sequences. The reliability of the topologies was tested by bootstrapping with 1,000 replications [7].

The nucleotide gene sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank database under the following accession numbers: *B. bovis RAP-1* (Hammans B01: KC894405, Bronkhorstspruit B02: KC894406, Bronkhorstspruit B03: KC894407 and Devon B04: KC894408) and *B. bigemina gp45* (Hammans A01: KC894409, Bronkhorstspruit A02: KC894410, Devon A04: KC894415, Zeven M7: KC894412, Zeven T13: KC894411, Eikenhof A13: KC894416, Khutsong A14: KC894414 and Sharpe A17: KC894413).

Positive PCR amplifications with nested PCR primers (Group I) yielded single DNA bands of approximately 298 bp and 170 bp for *B. bovis* *RAP-1* genes and *B. bigemina Spel-Aval* restriction fragments, respectively. On the other hand, DNA stocks of *A. centrale*, *T. parva* and *E. ruminantium* gave negative amplifications, suggesting the specificity of the primers used. The results of PCR amplifications are shown in Table 1.

From the PCR detection results, it was noted that the cattle infected with *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* were distributed across all 14 peri-urban areas surveyed. By percentage of infection, *B. bigemina* outnumbered *B. bovis*. The overall prevalence of both parasites in blood samples tested was 35.5% (95% confidence interval [CI]=± 5.73) and 76.1% (95% CI=± 5.11) for *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina*, respectively. The highest occurrence of *B. bovis* was recorded in Bronkhorstspruit in which 63.3% (95% CI=± 17.25) of animals were infected. Rikasrus had the lowest number (1 out of 19) of cattle infected with *B. bovis*. Of the four samples collected from Hammanskraal, all of them were tested positive for *B. bigemina*. The infection with both *Babesia* species was recorded in 80 (29.9%) cattle with Bronkhorstspruit having the highest percentage (60%) of animals infected by *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina*.

Although the low sample numbers in each location may not allow a fair comparison of positive infection rates between locations, it was noted that Rikasrus had the lowest percentage (5.3%) of cattle infected by *B. bovis*, indicating a situation of minimal disease [5]. By percentage of infection, the average occurrence of *B. bovis* in cattle fell in the range of 1–20% (a minimal disease situation) and 21–60% (an epidemiologically unstable situation) [21]. Based on the percentages of cattle that were tested positive for *B. bigemina*, it appeared that the situation in the surveyed areas was either endemically stable (81–100%) or approaching stability (61–80%). Only Eikenhof had the lowest percentage (42.1%) of cattle infected by *B. bigemina*, thus indicating a potential for the generation of a state of endemic instability [21].

To corroborate if nested PCR fragments amplified with Group I primers corresponded to *B. bovis RAP-1* and *B. bigemina Spel-Aval* restriction fragments, two samples positive for each gene were randomly selected for sequencing. The determined nucleotide sequences were confirmed to correspond with *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* sequences published in GenBank. The BLASTN homology search in GenBank revealed that *B. bovis RAP-1* sequences determined in this study exhibited 99–100% identity with previously published sequences of *B. bovis* strains from cattle in Philippines (accession no. JX860283), Brazil (FJ588009 – FJ588013), Cuba
On the other hand, the highest identity of SpeI-AvaI sequences obtained in this study was recorded with two other GenBank sequences (S45366 and FJ939724). Quite recently, it was also discovered that SpeI-AvaI nested PCR assay for the specific detection of B. bigemina DNA also amplified Babesia ovata SpeI-AvaI-like fragment [25]. However, this may not be the case in our study, particularly given the fact that there are currently no reports on the existence of B. ovata species in South African cattle [30]. To date, B. ovata has been recorded in cattle from Japan, Mongolia, China, Korea and Thailand [3, 18, 26, 30].

The blood samples tested positive for B. bovis and B. bigemina infections were also subjected to nested PCR amplifications with Group II primers used for phylogenetic study (Table 2). This comprised a total of 57 samples representative of each locality surveyed. Of these, only 6 (10.5%) tested positive for B. bovis and 16 (28.1%) for B. bigemina. Among the positive samples, few were selected for sequencing in order to study the phylogenetic relationship between our sequences and those published in GenBank.

A phylogenetic tree inferred based on RAP-1 gene sequences of B. bovis determined in this study (in bold) and those retrieved from GenBank (accession numbers in parentheses). The numbers at the branching points are bootstrap values expressed as percentages of 1,000 replications. The horizontal scale bar gives an indication of the number of nucleotide substitutions per site. The origin of published sequences is indicated after the isolate name. Babesia orientalis (accession no. JX993940) was used as an outgroup. Isolate designations: Devon – Devon area, Bronkhors – Bronkhorstspruit area and Hammans – Hammanskraal area.

Fig. 1. Neighbor-joining tree created from RAP-1 nucleotide sequences of B. bovis determined in this study (in bold) and those retrieved from GenBank (accession numbers in parentheses). The neighbor-joining algorithm embedded in MEGA4 software was employed to construct the phylogenetic tree. The horizontal scale bar represents 0.05 divergence. The numbers at nodes are the proportions of 1,000 bootstrap replications supporting the topology shown. Isolate designations: Eikenhof – Eikenhof area, Khutsong – Khutsong South area, Devon – Devon area, Sharpe – Sharpeville area, Hammans – Hammanskraal area, Zeven – Zevenfontein area and Bronkhors – Bronkhorstspruit area.

With regard to the analysis of gp45 sequences (generated with Group II primers) from Gauteng B. bovis isolates displayed a high level of sequence identity when compared to other published B. bovis strains of countries other than South Africa. The RAP-1 nucleotide sequences of B. bovis obtained from four randomly selected samples (designated Hammans B01, Bronkhors B02, Bronkhors B03 and Devon B04) shared 100% identity when compared to one another. These sequences were also compared with other known sequences published in GenBank, thereby revealing 99% identities to B. bovis isolates from Brazil (AF030057 and FJ588009 – FJ588013), Uruguay (AF030060), Argentina (AF030053 and AF030055) and U.S.A. (AF030059 and AF030062).

In the phylogenetic analysis, Gauteng B. bovis isolates showed a close relationship with B. bovis strains originating from Brazil, Uruguay, U.S.A. and Argentina. The conservation observed among B. bovis RAP-1 sequences has also been observed elsewhere [23, 24].

With regard to the analysis of gp45 sequences (generated with Group II primers) from Gauteng B. bigemina field isolates, multiple sequence alignments revealed a high degree of polymorphism between our sequences and those of B. bigemina strains published in GenBank. The BLASTN search with gp45 nucleotide sequences of isolates designated Khutsong A14, Devon A04 and Eikenhof A13 showed the highest identities of between 98.8% and 99.6% when
compared with published *B. bigemina* sequences of isolates from Mexico and Argentina (AF298630, AF298632 and JNO049649 – JNO049655). On the other hand, five variants (Hammans A01, Bronkhorsts A02, Sharpe A17, Zeven M17 and Zeven T13) of *B. bigemina* isolates tested in this study were identified and exhibited percentage identities of below 90% when compared to other published *B. bigemina* sequences. The neighbor-joining tree (Fig. 2) inferred with the *gp45* sequences of *B. bigemina* yielded branches that were supported by high bootstrap values. However, the phylogeny displayed a clear division between the Gauteng *B. bigemina* field isolates and *B. bigemina* strains of other countries. Surprisingly, Gauteng *B. bigemina* isolates designated Eikenhof A13, Khutsong A14 and Devon A04 grouped with *B. bigemina* strains published in GenBank. The high polymorphism observed between the isolates tested in this study and those of GenBank origin permitted the discrimination among *B. bigemina* isolates. As such, it is noteworthy that the diversity among *B. bigemina* *gp45* gene sequences is not unusual. A previous study also reported on the high polymorphism of *gp45* B-cell epitopes amongst the American isolates of *B. bigemina* [9]. From our knowledge, no previous information was available on the polymorphism of *B. bigemina* *gp45* sequences of South African isolates, suggesting the need to collect more geographical *B. bigemina* isolates of South African origin for sequencing in order to test the level of diversity among *gp45* isolates of *B. bigemina* isolates.

In conclusion, the data presented in this paper have shed more light on the geographical distribution of *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* parasites in cattle from previously uncharacterized peri-urban areas in Gauteng province. From our knowledge, this is the first study to comparatively investigate and characterize *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* pathogens occurring in cattle from peri-urban areas surveyed. Although we have generated new gene sequences derived from *Babesia* isolates of South African origin, the extent of genetic diversity among geographical isolates of *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* remains to be further determined using genes encoding other functionally important surface proteins. It must also be acknowledged that the high conservation observed among the RAP-1 gene sequences could make this gene not suitable for phylogenetic studies. Similarly, the use of the *gp45* gene for phylogenetic analyses could be hampered by its differential mechanism for polymorphism; this gene may be completely absent in some *B. bigemina* isolates [9]. Taken together, these findings suggest that effective preventative and control measures are essential to curtail the spread of *Babesia* infections among cattle populations in Gauteng.
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