Conditional Spike Transmission Mediated by Electrical Coupling Ensures Millisecond Precision-Correlated Activity among Interneurons In Vivo

Highlights

- Double patch-clamp recordings from Golgi cells reveal millisecond synchrony in vivo
- Millisecond synchrony requires gap junctions and is enhanced by sensory stimuli
- Gap junctions drive synchrony via slow $V_m$ equalization and fast spikelet transmission
- Modeling shows these findings can be generalized to any electrically coupled neurons

Authors

Ingrid van Welie, Arnd Roth, Sara S.N. Ho, Shoji Komai, Michael Häusser

Correspondence

m.hausser@ucl.ac.uk

In Brief

van Welie et al. show using double patch-clamp recordings that cerebellar Golgi cells display millisecond precise correlated activity in vivo, which is enhanced during sensory processing. Gap junctions mediate precise correlated activity via slow membrane potential equalization and fast spikelet transmission.
Conditional Spike Transmission Mediated by Electrical Coupling Ensures Millisecond Precision-Correlated Activity among Interneurons In Vivo

Ingrid van Welie,1 Arnd Roth,1 Sara S.N. Ho,1 Shoji Komai,2 and Michael Häusser1,*

1Wolfson Institute for Biomedical Research and Department of Neuroscience, Physiology, and Pharmacology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK
2Nara Institute of Science and Technology, 8916-5 Takayama, Ikoma, Nara 630-0192, Japan
*Correspondence: m.haesser@ucl.ac.uk
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.04.013

SUMMARY

Many GABAergic interneurons are electrically coupled and in vitro can display correlated activity with millisecond precision. However, the mechanisms underlying correlated activity between interneurons in vivo are unknown. Using dual patch-clamp recordings in vivo, we reveal that in the presence of spontaneous background synaptic activity, electrically coupled cerebellar Golgi cells exhibit robust millisecond precision-correlated activity which is enhanced by sensory stimulation. This precisely correlated activity results from the cooperative action of two mechanisms. First, electrical coupling ensures slow subthreshold membrane potential correlations by equalizing membrane potential fluctuations, such that coupled neurons tend to approach action potential threshold together. Second, fast spike-triggered spikelets transmitted through gap junctions conditionally trigger postjunctional spikes, depending on both neurons being close to threshold. Electrical coupling therefore controls the temporal precision and degree of both spontaneous and sensory-evoked correlated activity between interneurons, by the cooperative effects of shared synaptic depolarization and spikelet transmission.

INTRODUCTION

The cerebellum is thought to play a central role in governing the timing of movements. To understand how the cerebellum mediates temporally precise motor control, we need to understand the mechanisms underlying temporal coding in the cerebellar circuitry (Heck et al., 2013; Person and Raman, 2012b). Various types of correlated activity have been reported at the output stage of the cerebellar cortex, in the form of Purkinje cell complex spike synchrony (Bell and Kawasaki, 1972; Sasaki et al., 1989) and Purkinje cell simple spike synchrony (Heck et al., 2007). It has also been suggested that Purkinje cell simple spike synchrony will lead to time-locked activity of downstream target neurons in the deep cerebellar nuclei (Person and Raman, 2012a). However, to understand temporal coding regimes at the output stage of the cerebellar cortex, we must first determine how temporal codes are formed and transmitted at earlier stages in the circuit, starting with the temporal integration of mossy fiber inputs in the sensory input layer.

The granule cell layer forms the input layer of the cerebellar cortex and receives mossy fiber inputs conveying sensory and motor information that are integrated by two cell types: the excitatory granule cells and inhibitory Golgi cells. Golgi cells locally inhibit the excitatory granule cells and each other (Hull and Regehr, 2012). They have large receptive fields (Holtzman et al., 2006b; Tahon et al., 2005; Volny-Luraghi et al., 2002; Vos et al., 1999b) and have been reported to display a combination of responses to mossy fiber inputs: short-latency excitation alone, long-latency inhibition alone, or a combination of both (Holtzman et al., 2006a, 2006b; Prsa et al., 2009; Tahon et al., 2005, 2011; Volny-Luraghi et al., 2002; Vos et al., 1999b). Golgi cell inhibition is traditionally thought to control the gain of granule cell excitation, thus ensuring sparse granule cell spiking (Marr, 1969), a hypothesis supported by the finding that, both in vitro and in vivo, tonic inhibition controls the gain of granule cell excitability (Chadderton et al., 2004; Duguid et al., 2012; Mitchell and Silver, 2003). However, Golgi-to-granule-cell connectivity patterns, which display a strong divergence of single Golgi cell axons onto many granule cells and the convergence of several Golgi cells onto individual granule cells, have prompted the hypothesis that Golgi cells also control the spatiotemporal patterning of granule cell activity (D’Angelo, 2008). This in turn can drive loose correlated activity of Golgi cells via the parallel fibers (Vos et al., 1999a). As such, it has been hypothesized that feedforward Golgi cell inhibition may provide a time-windowing function by limiting granule cell responsiveness to mossy fiber inputs (D’Angelo and De Zeeuw, 2009).

In common with many other interneuron types (Connors and Long, 2004; Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001) in the mammalian brain, Golgi cells are electrically coupled (Duqué et al., 2009; Vervaeke et al., 2010). The contribution of electrical coupling to precisely correlated activity between interneurons, while often assumed to be important, is controversial, with experimental and theoretical work suggesting that both synchronization (Duqué et al., 2009; Galarreta and Hestrin, 1999; Gibson et al., 1999; Landisman et al., 2002; Long et al., 2005; Mann-Metzer and Yarom, 1999) and desynchronization (Chow and Kopell,
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RESULTS
Neighboring Golgi Cells Display Correlated Activity with Millisecond Precision
To establish whether Golgi cells exhibit correlated activity in vivo, two-photon guided targeted loose cell-attached recordings (Figure 1A) were made from Golgi cell pairs in mice anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine. Golgi cells fired spontaneously at 2.1 ± 0.3 Hz (mean ± SEM, n = 50 cells) without obvious rhythmicity (C.V. = 1.2 ± 0.1). This spontaneous activity is driven in part by synaptic input, as voltage-clamp recordings from Golgi cells showed a high level of continuous background synaptic activity, with EPSCs and IPSCs occurring at rates of 73 ± 12 and 64 ± 11 Hz, respectively (see Figures S1A–S1D, available online). Normalized cross-correlations of spike times in Golgi cell pairs were computed
Activity with similar precision (n = 12, p < 0.0001). Gray areas in (B) and (C) indicate the confidence interval (z scores between spikes in WT mice are correlated within ±5 ms. Only 8% ± 2% of all spikes across all spike trains in pairs in the Cx36-KO/GlyT2-EGFP mice displayed correlated activity. Gray lines are cross-correlograms from individual pairs; the black trace is the mean over all 12 pairs. The inset (right) displays the individual (gray lines) and mean (black line) cross-correlograms (0.5 ms bins) from −5 to +5 ms for all pairs (n = 12).

(C) Summary of maximal z scores determined from cross-correlograms of spike trains between Golgi cells pairs recorded with <100 µm inter-somatic distance reveal strongly reduced degrees of correlated activity in Cx36-KO animals (mean = 5.0 ± 0.4, n = 12; red solid squares) compared to pairs in wild-type animals (mean = 33.1 ± 8.5, n = 12; black solid circles, p = 0.001).

(D) Computing the percentage of spikes within time lag windows between 0 and 5 ms across spike trains in all pairs reveals that 30% ± 3% of all spikes in WT mice are correlated within 5 ms. Only 8% ± 2% of all spikes across all spike trains in pairs in the Cx36-KO/GlyT2-EGFP mice displayed correlated activity with similar precision (n = 12, p < 0.0001). Gray areas in (B) and (C) indicate the confidence interval (z scores between −2 and +2).

by subtracting the mean cross-correlogram of 30 shuffled spike trains for each channel from the raw cross-correlogram and then dividing by the SD of the mean shuffled cross-correlogram. This normalization method controls for chance effects and normalizes for changes in firing rate between cells and pairs. The resulting normalized cross-correlograms exhibited prominent peaks around 0 ms time lag (Figures 1B and 1C). In most pairs, two discrete peaks were present—one at −1 ms and one at +1 ms (Figure 1C)—suggesting that spikes can either precede or follow a spike from a neighboring Golgi cell with millisecond precision. Histograms with 0.1 ms time bins showed that the majority of correlated activity occurred between 0 and ±2 ms (Figure 1D). To further quantify the precision of correlated activity, we determined the percentage of correlated spikes that occurred within window times ranging between 0 to 5 ms. This revealed that 30% ± 3% of all spikes in a spike train occurred within ±5 ms of a spike from its paired neuron (n = 12 pairs, Figure 1E). Precisely correlated activity was dependent on intersomatic distance, with the strongest correlated activity found at intersomatic distances of <50 µm (Figure 1F). There was no significant relationship between the degree of correlated activity and the plane of orientation of Golgi cell pairs (Figures S1E and S1F), indicating that proximity alone determines the degree of millisecond precision-correlated activity, rather than activation by shared parallel fiber inputs (Volny-Luraghi et al., 2002; Vos et al., 1999a).

**Electrical Coupling Is Essential for Precisely Correlated Activity**

To test the contribution of electrical coupling to precisely correlated Golgi cell activity we crossed connexin36 knockout (Cx36-KO) mice with GlyT2-EGFP mice (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures). Connexin36 is both necessary and sufficient for mediating electrical coupling between Golgi cells (Vervaeke et al., 2010). We verified that the connexin36 gene was disrupted in the crossed mouse line and confirmed the results with immunocytochemistry (Experimental Procedures; Figures S2A and S2B). Baseline firing rates and irregularity of spiking in the Cx36-KO/GlyT2-EGFP mice were similar to those in wild-type GlyT2 mice (2.7 ± 0.5 Hz; C.V. = 1.2 ± 0.2, n = 19; p = 0.06 and p = 0.13, Figure S2C). Strikingly, though, paired recordings (Figure 2A) revealed a lack of precisely correlated activity in the Cx36-KO/GlyT2-EGFP mice: cross-correlations of spike times lacked peaks around 0 ms time lag (Figure 2B), and the mean maximal z score in the ± 5 ms time lag window around zero (5.0 ± 0.4, n = 12 pairs) was significantly reduced compared to the mean maximal z score in wild-type GlyT2-mice (33.1 ± 8.5, n = 12 pairs, p = 0.0002, Figures 2B and 2C). In Cx36-KO mice, only 8% ± 2% of all spikes on a given spike train occurred within ±5 ms of spikes from its paired neighbor, significantly fewer than in wild-type mice (30% ± 3%, p < 0.0001, n = 12, Figure 2D). These results indicate that strong and precisely correlated activity requires functional electrical coupling via gap junctions between Golgi cells.

**Slow Subthreshold Membrane Potential Correlations Mediated by Electrical Coupling**

To determine the mechanisms by which electrical coupling controls precisely correlated activity in vivo, dual whole-cell recordings were made from neighboring Golgi cells (Figure 3A). Most neighboring Golgi cells exhibited direct bidirectional electrical...
coupling in vivo, with a coupling coefficient of 5% ± 2% (n = 8; four pairs with detectable coupling out of five pairs). Spontaneous membrane potential fluctuations in coupled cells (Figure 3B, upper traces) exhibited slow positive membrane potential correlations (mean peak of 0.6 ± 0.1 on a scale of −1 to +1, and mean width between the negative inflection points of the cross-correlation peak = 474 ± 71 ms, or ~2 Hz, n = 5, Figure 3C), which were absent in a noncoupled pair (Figure S3). In voltage clamp mode, spontaneous current fluctuations (Figure 3B, bottom traces) exhibited slow correlations on a similar timescale to the spontaneous voltage fluctuations (mean of 0.3 ± 0.1, n = 3). In voltage clamp, synaptic currents are largely isolated from the effects of electrical coupling between the recorded neurons, as no current will flow between gap junctions as a result of differences in membrane potential between the
two cells. The reduced correlation seen in voltage clamp therefore suggests that electrical coupling strongly contributes to the substantial cross-correlation of membrane voltage observed in current clamp. Given that gap junctions between Golgi cells act as low-pass filters with a cut-off frequency of \( \sim 10 \) Hz (Dugué et al., 2009) and that the degree of correlated activity is strongly reduced when isolating synaptic inputs from the effects of electrical coupling in voltage clamp mode, we conclude that electrical coupling plays a prominent role in mediating slow membrane potential correlations between Golgi cells.

The raw data in both current and voltage clamp (Figure 3B) show that the rate of EPSPs/EPSCs fluctuates and that some of these fluctuations occur at the same time in both neurons. To quantify this, we detected EPSCs in both cells (Figure 3B, bottom traces) and determined the cross-correlation of the rate of EPSCs by binning EPSC coincidences in 128 ms bins in pairs of Golgi cells. EPSCs showed a peak EPSC rate cross-correlation of 0.4 ± 0.1 (Figure 3E, \( n = 3 \)), suggesting that the EPSC rate is modulated between two coupled neurons over the timescale of \( \sim 100 \) ms. This slow modulation of EPSC rate is likely to contribute to the slow correlations of input current measured in voltage clamp shown in Figure 3D, and in turn to the slow membrane potential correlations observed during voltage recording (Figure 3C). The slow membrane potential correlations resulting from both membrane potential equalization via coupling and slow modulation of EPSC rates increase the probability that coupled neurons depolarize together toward action potential threshold.

We next computed cross-correlograms of individual EPSC event times and determined the percentage of precisely synchronous EPSCs between coupled neurons. Only a small fraction of the EPSCs (4% ± 1%, \( n = 3 \)) computed from cross-correlations were precisely synchronous (defined as occurring within ±0.5 ms), as reflected in the small peak (z score of 6 ± 1) in the normalized cross-correlogram at 0 ms (Figure 3F). These results indicate that precisely synchronous or common EPSCs are rare, with the slow tails in the EPSC cross-correlogram reflecting overall periods of EPSC rate correlation at a slower timescale (compare with Figure 3E). The fact that precisely synchronous EPSCs are rare suggests that they are unlikely to drive the majority of spikes that exhibit correlations with millisecond precision.

**Spikes Trigger Depolarizing Spikelets via Gap Junctions**

Slow subthreshold membrane potential correlations mediated by coupling may be permissive for correlated activity, but they do not explain the millisecond precision of the correlated activity we observed. We therefore set out to test whether transmission of a spike via gap junctions and the resulting “spikelet” currents can trigger millisecond precision correlated activity. First, we examined the amplitude of spikelet currents and potentials by computing spike-triggered averages in simultaneous whole-cell and cell-attached recordings (Figure 4A). This revealed that pre-junctional action potentials were associated with depolarizing junction potentials (0.4 ± 0.2 mV, \( n = 9 \); Figure 4B) and currents (8 ± 2 pA, \( n = 6 \); Figure 4C) in the postjunctional cells. These spikelet voltages and currents followed the spikes in the coupled cell with a mean peak latency of 1.1 ± 0.1 ms and 0.8 ± 0.1 ms, respectively. Importantly, spikelets were absent (mean depolarization = 0.05 ± 0.01 mV in first 1.5 ms following cell-attached spike versus 0.4 ± 0.2 mV, \( p = 0.02 \)) in pairs that did not appear to be electrically coupled given that they lacked significant precisely correlated activity (mean maximal z score = 5 ± 1, \( n = 3 \), Figure S4).

Spikelet potentials are small, and thus, to determine how spike-to-spike transmission across gap junctions may depend on the membrane potential in the postjunctinal cell, we analyzed the membrane potential 5 ms prior to a correlated spike triggered by a cell-attached spike in its coupled neighbor and compared it to the membrane potential on those occasions in which a correlated spike failed to be induced (Figure 4D). This revealed that correlated spikes were triggered when the absolute membrane potential was more depolarized and closer to threshold (pre-\( V_m \) = 41.8 ± 1.4 mV for correlated spikes and 43.9 ± 1.7 mV for noncorrelated spikes, \( n = 5 \), \( p = 0.01 \), Figures 4E and 4F). Furthermore, the membrane potential depolarized more in the 5 ms prior to a correlated spike compared to when spike-to-spike transmission failed (\( \Delta V_m \) = 1.5 ± 0.4 mV for correlated spikes and 0.5 ± 0.1 mV for noncorrelated spikes, \( n = 5 \), \( p = 0.02 \), Figures 4E and 4F). Finally, even in episodes where no spikes were induced, the membrane potentials were on a depolarizing trajectory (\( \Delta V_m \) was positive, Figures 4E and 4F), suggesting that both coupled neurons are depolarizing prior to the generation of a spike in one of the two cells, as predicted by the slow subthreshold membrane potential correlations (Figure 3). These data suggest that spike-to-spike transmission via gap junction-mediated spikelets in vivo occurs when the membrane potential in the postjunctional cell is on a depolarizing trajectory and close to threshold.

**Triggered Spikelets Can Drive Precisely Correlated Postjunctional Spikes**

To test directly whether spikelets can trigger postjunctional spikes, we triggered spikes by current injection in one cell of a coupled pair (recorded in whole-cell mode) while recording the spiking of the other cell (in cell-attached mode). A train of spikes in the prejunctional cell led to a robust increase in spiking of the postjunctional cell (166% ± 82% increase in firing rate, \( n = 6 \); Figure 5A, left and middle). Cross-correlograms of pre- and postjunctional spikes revealed that spikes occurred with millisecond (±1 ms) precision (Figure 5A, right) comparable to spontaneous correlated spiking (Figure 1). To separate the effects of prejunctional membrane depolarization and the triggered action potentials, we next examined spike-to-spike transmission across gap junctions by using brief current pulses to trigger single spikes in the prejunctional cells (Figure 5B, left). Single induced action potentials were able to trigger precisely timed spikes in the postjunctional cells on 5% of the trials (Figure 5B, middle). Postjunctional spikes were driven with millisecond precision by the prejunctional spike, with a single peak in the cross-correlograms of induced whole-cell spikes and spikes in its coupled neighbor at ±1 ms (Figure 5B, right).

Spike-to-spike transmission across the electrical junction was state dependent, however, as shown by the probability of spike transmission in different conditions. Spikes triggered by current injection in one prejunctional cell had a lower transmission probability than spontaneous spikes (Figure 5C, black bars), presumably because spikes were triggered at random times, and could
therefore occur when the postjunctional cells may be far from threshold. In contrast, precisely correlated cell-attached spikes as a percentage of all cell-attached spikes increased during spike-injection experiments compared to spontaneous spiking conditions (Figure 5C, red bars). Similarly, the symmetry in spike coupling switched from being roughly 50:50 under spontaneous spiking conditions, to a situation where the triggered spikes nearly always preceded the postjunctional spikes (Figure 5D, black and gray bars). Notably, spike-to-spike transmission was unsuccessful in 3 pairs that lacked spontaneous precisely correlated activity (mean maximal z score = 5 ± 1, n = 3, Figure S5) and spikelets (Figure S4), confirming that electrical coupling is required for reliable spike-to-spike transmission. Together, these findings suggest the following mechanism: only if a combination of EPSC rate correlation and equalization of membrane potential via electrical coupling brings two coupled cells close to threshold, then a spike in one cell will lead to a spike in the other cell with a millisecond delay via a transmitted spikelet.

Cooperative Effect of Subthreshold Membrane Potential Equalization and Spikelets Drives Precisely Correlated Activity

To develop a more quantitative understanding of how synaptic input, subthreshold membrane potential correlation, and
spikelets combine and interact to generate millisecond precision correlated activity, we constructed a generalized mathematical model of two electrically coupled neurons (Figures 6A and 6B). In this model, both neurons received a constant rate of in vivo-like EPSCs and IPSCs (Figure 6B; see also Supplemental Experimental Procedures) and the degree of precisely synchronous common input was set to 4%, as determined experimentally. Precisely synchronous common and independent inputs had equal amplitudes, which were set to be equivalent to those observed in the experimental data (Figure S1D; see also Supplemental Experimental Procedures). The model enabled us to turn off electrical coupling entirely, or to selectively turn off the subthreshold membrane potential correlation due to coupling and/or the spikelet. Furthermore, it allowed us to specifically turn off the depolarizing junction potential (DJP) or the hyperpolarizing junction potential (HJP) in each spikelet (Figure 6B). Under baseline conditions, spiking in these electrically coupled neurons displayed correlated activity with peaks in the cross-correlogram at ±1 ms (mean z score at ±1 ms = 36, z score at 0 ms = 3; Figures 6B and 6C, black trace), comparable to the experimentally observed correlated activity in Golgi cells in vivo. Turning off electrical coupling resulted in minimal correlated spiking (z score at 0 ms = 1; Figure 6C, red trace), qualitatively consistent with the experimental observations using the Cx36-KO mice (Figure 2B), and the idea that correlated spiking is not driven primarily by precisely synchronous EPSCs. Turning off the transmission of the spikelet while leaving subthreshold correlations due to coupling intact increased the degree of correlated activity somewhat (z score at 0 ms = 2, Figure 6D), but did not result in precisely correlated activity with peaks at ±1 ms. Turning off subthreshold correlations while turning on spikelet transmission, however, resulted in the emergence of ±1 ms peaks in the cross-correlogram, albeit with z scores lower compared to control (mean z score at ±1 ms = 24, z score at 0 ms = 3, Figure 6E). Together, these results suggest that subthreshold membrane potential correlations and spikelets cooperate to produce robust correlated activity with millisecond precision as seen experimentally.

Dissecting the effects of the DJP and HJP of the spikelets revealed that the DJP on its own causes the ±1 ms peaks (mean z score at ±1 ms = 17, z score at 0 ms = 1, Figure 6F), while the HJP on its own does not result in peaks at ±1 ms, but in a peak at 0 ms with z score = 2 (Figure 6G), a value which is comparable to the z score at 0 ms in the case of subthreshold coupling only. The HJP also suppresses correlated firing,
decreasing the cross-correlations beyond ±2 ms and rendering the z scores negative (Figure 6G). Next, we set out to determine how increasing levels of precisely synchronous common synaptic input affects correlated activity mediated by electrical coupling. Increasing the degree of precisely synchronous input enhances the peak at 0 ms, but only starts dominating spike timing over spikelet-induced peaks at ±1 ms when the degree of precisely synchronous input is >80% (Figures 6H and S6).
interneuron spikes. The degree of correlation as a function of differing degrees of precisely synchronous common input was qualitatively similar with both spike shapes (Figures S6A and S6B), indicating that millisecond precision correlated activity is reliably generated for a range of AHP amplitudes and durations. Finally, the model allowed us to study correlated spiking as a function of degree of precisely synchronous common input in the complete absence of gap junction coupling. This showed that for nonzero degrees of common input, correlated activity displays peaks at 0 ms, indicating perfect synchrony in spike timing due to synaptic inputs alone (Figure S6C). This result indicates that peaks at ±1 ms in spike time cross-correlations are caused by electrical coupling, not common synaptic inputs, and are thus a useful signature of electrical coupling in cells exhibiting correlated activity.

### Sensory Stimuli Induce Spikes via Integration of Bursts of EPSCs

Finally, we determined the degree and temporal characteristics of correlated activity in coupled Golgi cells in response to sensory stimulation. Since mossy fiber terminals conveying sensory stimuli terminate profusely in the granule cell layer and may simultaneously activate many coupled Golgi cells, precisely correlated activity between Golgi cells may be enhanced by a sensory stimulus. Alternatively, sensory stimuli might desynchronize or lessen the degree of correlated activity (Vervaeke et al., 2010). Furthermore, the temporal precision of correlated activity may broaden as a result of heterogeneous sensory-evoked responses in individual cells. We first characterized the synaptic inputs driven by sensory stimulation in single Golgi cells using whole-cell voltage clamp recordings. Sensory stimulation induced a burst of high-frequency excitatory inputs in Golgi cells, with a mean latency to the peak of the EPSC burst of 28 ± 7 ms (n = 8). In current-clamp recordings, this sensory-evoked synaptic input triggered single action potentials in most trials, with a mean latency of 35 ± 6 ms (n = 10, Figures 7A and 7B). The latencies of the peak of the EPSC burst and the resulting spikes in the same cells were highly correlated (Figure 7C), with the number of individual EPSCs leading to a spike ranging from 4 to 14 (Figure 7D). Thus, under these experimental conditions, Golgi cells need to integrate a minimum of 4–14 individual EPSCs before reaching action potential threshold.

### Enhanced Correlated Activity with Millisecond Precision during Sensory Stimulation

In simultaneous recordings from pairs of Golgi cells, sensory stimulation triggered spiking in both cells (latency of 32 ± 2 ms; n = 5 pairs, Figures 8A and 8B), resulting in correlated activity that was more pronounced than spontaneous correlated activity in the same pairs (mean z score 91 ± 20 versus 57 ± 15 prestimulus, p < 0.01, and 57 ± 17 poststimulus, p < 0.01, n = 5; Figures 8C and 8D). Accordingly, the percentage of correlated spikes (defined as within ±5 ms) of all spikes was higher during sensory stimulation (42% ± 6%, n = 5) compared to that during spontaneous correlated activity (27% ± 4% prestimulus, p < 0.001 and 29% ± 4% poststimulus, p < 0.01, respectively, n = 5; Figure 8E). The bidirectional symmetry of correlated spiking

---

**Figure 7. Sensory-Evoked Synaptic Integration and Spike Induction in Golgi Cells**

(A) Whole-cell patch clamp recording from a single Golgi cell showing that sensory stimulation of the peri-oral region and/or whisker pad evokes a burst of excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) (green area indicates duration of airpuff). Three consecutive trials and the peristimulus time histogram (PSTH, 10 ms bins) across trials are shown.

(B) Spikes evoked by the same stimulus in the same cell as in (A). Three consecutive trials are shown, and one sensory-evoked spike is seen per trial in this cell. The PSTH of all evoked spikes across trials (10 ms bins) is shown below the traces.

(C) Plotting the spike latency against the peak latency of the burst of EPSCs across cells reveals that Golgi cells spike after the burst of evoked EPSCs reaches its peak. Red square indicates mean ± SEM (n = 8 cells).

(D) Golgi cells on average produce one spike per sensory stimulation, in response to a ranging number of evoked EPSCs (eEPSCs) within a burst. Red square indicates mean ± SEM (n = 8 cells).
between coupled Golgi cells did not change during sensory evoked stimuli (p = 0.96 and p = 0.33 for both directions, Figure 8F). Given that inputs to only one cell would cause asymmetry due to the fact that electrical coupling is bidirectional, this suggests that both cells were driven approximately equally by the sensory stimulus.

The sensory-evoked cross-correlograms exhibited peaks at −1, 0, and +1 ms (Figures 8C and 8G), as in spontaneous spiking, suggesting that while the peak at 0 ms is larger during sensory stimuli, electrical coupling still strongly determines spike timing during sensory stimuli. However, we also observed a broader foot in the cross-correlogram of the sensory-evoked spikes, representing enhanced correlations at longer time lags (Figure S7A). To determine whether the variability of evoked spiking in individual cells underlies this increase in slower time correlations, we shuffled spike times across sensory trials which revealed broad peaks around zero (Figures S7B and S7D), similar to the autocorrelations of spike times across trials for each cell in a pair (Figure S7C). Across pairs, these shuffled cross-correlograms revealed broad correlations lacking sharp peaks around 0 ms, suggesting that the main effect of sensory stimuli is to enhance temporal correlations at longer time lags (Figure S7D). Together, these data suggest that sensory stimuli enhance the degree of correlated spiking between pairs of Golgi cells and enhance temporal correlations at longer time lags. Nevertheless, the temporal precision of the correlated activity that is ensured by electrical coupling still dominates the spike timing of coupled Golgi cells.

**DISCUSSION**

We report the first demonstration of correlated activity with millisecond precision in an identified electrically coupled interneuron network in vivo. Electrical coupling is essential for the temporal precision of this correlated activity, and acts in a dual, cooperative manner: by equalizing slow subthreshold
membrane potential depolarizations and by transmitting fast depolarizing spikelet currents. Sensory stimuli evoke bursts of synaptic inputs that evoke spikes with variable timing across trials in individual cells, but they enhance the degree of precisely correlated activity. Since many interneurons in the mammalian brain are electrically coupled (Connors and Long, 2004; Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001), our results provide a mechanistic understanding of how electrical coupling can orchestrate millisecond-scale correlated activity under conditions of spontaneous and sensory evoked synaptic activity.

**Electrical Coupling Employs Two Cooperative Mechanisms to Ensure Precisely Correlated Activity of Golgi Cell Firing In Vivo**

Correlated activity with millisecond precision has not previously been reported in the Golgi cell population in vivo because of the difficulty of recording unambiguously from neighboring Golgi cells in the intact brain. Previous findings of weaker, less precisely correlated activity (half-width of the cross-correlogram peak of \( \sim 29 \text{ ms} \)) among Golgi cells (Vos et al., 1999a), presumably mediated by common parallel fiber input, were made with electrode spacings 300–2,100 \( \mu \text{m} \) apart, well beyond the \( \sim 200 \mu \text{m} \) span of the Golgi cell dendritic tree that defines the spatial dimension of the precisely correlated activity that we have observed. Our dual whole-cell recordings between coupled Golgi cells demonstrate that gap junctional coupling enables precisely correlated activity via the cooperative effect of two mechanisms. First, electrical coupling helps to equalize subthreshold membrane potentials, effectively allowing the neurons to share synaptic input (Vervaeke et al., 2012) by transmitting slow membrane potential fluctuations across the junction. Second, when both cells are driven close to threshold, then a spike triggered in one cell is able to trigger a spike in a coupled cell by transmission of a spikelet across the gap junction. These two mechanisms work together to ensure precisely correlated activity: the efficacy of the spike-to-spike transmission via the spikelet is dependent on the membrane potentials of both cells depolarizing together so that both cells are near threshold at the same time.

Our simulations using a generalized mathematical model of dendritic electrical coupling reveal further insights into the biophysical mechanisms of correlated activity. While the spikelets are responsible for the millisecond precision of correlated spiking, subthreshold membrane potential equalization due to coupling acts cooperatively with spike-to-spike transmission to ensure the robust degrees of correlated spiking as observed experimentally. Moreover, they show that precisely synchronous synaptic inputs to Golgi cells are only likely to dominate millisecond correlated spiking if they represent more than 80% of all inputs. This emphasizes the dominant role of electrical coupling in determining precisely correlated activity of Golgi cells. Our simulations furthermore reveal that these effects are largely independent of spike shape. The reduced model used in the simulation exhibits passive dendrites, as has been experimentally observed for Golgi cell dendrites (Vervaeke et al., 2012, but see Rudolph et al., 2015), like those of other types of interneurons (Hu et al., 2010). However, in electrically coupled cell types with active dendrites, spikelets may further summate with dendritic spikes to drive spiking even more effectively (Trenholm et al., 2014).

Recent experimental work in cerebellar slices (Dugué et al., 2009) and theoretical work (Chow and Kopell, 2000; Ostojic et al., 2009) have suggested that the low-pass filter represented by the gap junction conductance and the capacitance of the postjunctional cell should favor transmission of the spike afterhyperpolarization over the faster depolarizing spikelet itself. This in turn can lead to hyperpolarization and desynchronization of coupled neurons (Vervaeke et al., 2010). However, under our in vivo conditions, the spikelet has a predominantly excitatory effect, with its afterhyperpolarization appearing to be less functionally relevant than under in vitro conditions. While a hyperpolarization follows the peak of the spikelet in vivo (Figure 4B), the membrane potential does not drop below its value just prior to a spike. The importance of the depolarizing junction potential of the spikelet may be partly explained by voltage-dependent boosting of the spikelet amplitude (Curti and Pereda, 2004; Dugué et al., 2009; Mann-Metzer and Yarom, 1999) by the more depolarized membrane potentials observed in vivo. More importantly, however, both the experimentally observed and the simulated spikelet occur on a slow depolarizing ramp, which we argue is due to correlations in the ongoing synaptic input rate in vivo and the subthreshold membrane potential equalization between coupled neurons. Superimposed on this ramp, the hyperpolarizing junction potential due to the afterhyperpolarization following prejunctional spikes does not lead to a strong hyperpolarization below pre-spike baseline. Electrical coupling is usually studied in isolation of synaptic activity, while in vivo, under ketamine/xylazine anesthesia, we report high frequencies of spontaneous excitatory and inhibitory activity (73 ± 12 and 64 ± 11 Hz for EPSCs and IPSCs, respectively). In the awake animal, synaptic activities may be even higher, particularly during locomotion (e.g., see Powell et al., 2015), and thus spikelet afterhyperpolarizations may be even more strongly affected by enhanced degrees of synaptic excitation.

**Comparison with Other Brain Areas**

The mechanism for millisecond precision correlated activity among electrically coupled interneurons we describe is simple and robust, and is likely to be widespread across the mammalian brain, given that electrically coupled interneurons can be found in many brain areas (Connors and Long, 2004; Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001) and have been shown to drive correlated activity in vitro (Galarreta and Hestrin, 1999; Gibson et al., 1999). Early single-unit recordings from unidentified interneurons in somatosensory cortex in vivo reported the occurrence of precisely correlated activity superimposed on a broader, slow correlated activity of tens of milliseconds (Swadlow et al., 1998), indicating that cortical interneurons can display both precisely and slow correlated activity. More recently, optogenetic identification of specific interneuron classes in combination with unit recordings in the prefrontal cortex (Kvitsiani et al., 2013) revealed that a subpopulation of pairs of parvalbumin-positive interneurons, but not somatostatin-positive units, could display millisecond precision correlated activity with each other and with some unidentified units. Dual patch-clamp recordings between pairs of interneurons in layer 2/3 of cerebral cortex revealed slow subthreshold...
membrane potential synchrony but correlated spike activity that was relatively imprecise (Gentet et al., 2010). This may be due to the fact that the recorded interneurons, which were not assigned to specific interneuron classes, may not have been electrically coupled, given that interneuron electrical coupling is specific to interneuron type (Connors and Long, 2004; Galarraga and Hestrin, 2001). Moreover, the slow subthreshold membrane potential synchrony was also observed between pairs of pyramidal cells and pairs of pyramidal cells and interneurons in the same preparation (Gentet et al., 2010; Lampi et al., 1999; Poulet and Petersen, 2008), suggesting that it arises from propagating waves of activity. More recent extracellular recordings of pairs of differing putative interneuron type in hippocampus revealed millisecond precision synchrony, arguing against a sole role of coupling and for a role of inhibition in mediating this synchrony (Diba et al., 2014). Thus, whether the mechanism we describe also drives correlated activity with millisecond precision among coupled cortical and hippocampal interneuron populations in vivo remains to be established.

Nevertheless, the importance of electrical coupling in cortical circuits has long been recognized at the level of network activity, where it contributes to and enhances oscillatory activity. For example, elimination of electrical coupling in Cx36-KO mice has been linked to slower theta oscillations in the hippocampus (Allen et al., 2011), as well as reduced power in gamma frequency and theta-phase modulation of gamma (Buhr et al., 2003). Interestingly, recent in vivo data showed that distinct interneuron subtypes mediate oscillations in theta and gamma frequency bands (Fukunaga et al., 2014). Together with these studies, our findings support the idea that electrical coupling in interneuron populations is important for orchestrating the temporal structure of network activity.

Functional Role of Precisely Correlated Activity in the Cerebellar Circuit

The subthreshold membrane potential correlations combined with spike-to-spike transmission between Golgi cells ensure strong and precisely correlated activity in the face of spontaneous synaptic background activity. Furthermore, strong sensory-evoked bursts of synaptic inputs that drive spiking in individual cells enhance this precisely correlated activity. This correlated activity effectively serves to distribute MF and/or parallel fiber inputs throughout the coupled Golgi cell network, resulting in enhanced inhibition of downstream granule cells. It is known that tonic inhibition strongly determines the excitability and sensory responses of granule cells (Chadderton et al., 2004; Duguid et al., 2012). Temporally precise phasic inhibition, however, likely controls the spike timing of granule cells, and thus may control the occurrence of oscillatory or other synchronized patterns of granule cell activity (Dugue et al., 2009; Simões de Souza and De Schutter, 2011). As Golgi cells are coupled in local networks, the correlated activity of each unit in an ensemble would summate and strongly inhibit postsynaptic granule cells that were preferentially contacted by coupled Golgi cells. It will therefore be crucial to determine the exact spatial anatomical divergence and convergence of Golgi cell axons to granule cell dendrites and the location of the granule cells that are contacted by coupled ensembles of Golgi cells.

Relevance of Golgi Cell-Correlated Activity to Motor Control

The ability to generate correlated inhibitory activity suggests that precisely timed feedforward inhibition (D'Angelo and De Zeeuw, 2009; Kanichay and Silver, 2008) and “time-windowing” of activity (D'Angelo, 2008; D’Angelo and De Zeeuw, 2009) play an important role in cerebellar computations. The exact relevance of precisely correlated activity between neighboring Golgi cells for cerebellar sensorimotor behavior remains to be determined, but some clues may be found in the phenotype of Cx36-KO mice, which have been shown to display impaired timing of locomotion, conditioned eye-blink responses, and motor learning (Frisch et al., 2005; Van Der Giessen et al., 2008; Zlomuzica et al., 2012). However, as Cx36 is also expressed in other cell types in the cerebellar circuit, including molecular layer interneurons, as well as in the inferior olive, which provides input to the cerebellum, a general Cx36-KO is not a good model to identify the behavioral relevance of Golgi cell-correlated activity. Nevertheless, specific ablation of Golgi cells in a transgenic mouse line expressing human interleukin-2 receptor subunit using an immunotoxin-mediated cell targeting technique resulted in severe acute ataxia and a chronic inability to perform compound movements (Watanabe et al., 1998), highlighting the importance of this cell type in regulating motor control.

Conclusion

Our data provide a mechanistic understanding of how electrical coupling causes correlated spikes in electrically coupled interneurons with millisecond precision in the context of the spontaneous and sensory-evoked synaptic drive in vivo. Importantly, the mechanism we describe should be relevant to most electrically coupled neurons in the brain, as it is generic and independent of spike shape. This suggests that electrically coupled interneurons will spike together with millisecond precision irrespective of the exact temporal structure of common synaptic input. Our results provide further support to the idea that electrical coupling is crucial for temporal computations performed in mammalian neural circuits.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Please see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for the full experimental procedures. Briefly, all animal procedures were performed under license from the UK Home Office in accordance with the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Male and female transgenic wild-type and connexin36 KO mice (P20-45) expressing EGFP under the GlyT2 promoter were used to identify and target Golgi cells. In vivo targeted patch-clamp recordings (Margrie et al., 2003) were performed using a custom two-photon microscope (MOM, Sutter) to visualize EGFP positive Golgi cells in Crus II under ketamine/xylazine anesthesia. Sensory stimulation was performed using an airpuff (100 ms, 30–40 psi) delivered to the perioral region and/or whisker pad.

Simulations were performed using NEURON 7.1 (Hines and Carnevale, 1997). Two reduced compartmental neuron models of Golgi cells, each consisting of a soma and a dendrite, were coupled via a gap junction (resistance, 3 GΩ) at a proximal dendritic location (Verhaeke et al., 2010). The gap junction was operated in different modes (Figure 6) in which it was switched on or off selectively during subthreshold signaling, the DJP, and/or the HJP. Ongoing synaptic inputs in vivo were simulated by Poisson spike trains triggering synaptic conductances that were distributed uniformly over the soma and dendrites of both neurons.
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes seven figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.04.013.
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