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Abstract: The aim of this manuscript is to understand the dynamics of products of nonnegative matrices. We extend a well known consequence of the Perron-Frobenius theorem on the periodic points of a nonnegative matrix to products of finitely many nonnegative matrices associated to a word and later to products of nonnegative matrices associated to a word, possibly of infinite length.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Given a family $\mathcal{F}$ of functions on a set $\Omega$, an element $w_0 \in \Omega$ is said to be a common fixed point for $\mathcal{F}$ if $f(w_0) = w_0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. The existence and computation of such a point has been a topic of interest among several mathematicians, for instance see [2, 11]. Of particular interest is when the collection is a multiplicative semigroup or a group $\mathcal{M}$ of matrices, where a more general question on the existence of common eigenvectors arises. A classic example of a multiplicative semigroup of matrices is the collection of matrices whose entries are nonnegative real numbers. In a recent work, Bernik et al. [3] determined certain conditions that ensures the existence of a common fixed point and more generally the existence of a common eigenvector for such a collection $\mathcal{M}$. The existence of common eigenvectors for a collection of matrices is in itself a nontrivial question and plays a major role in many problems in matrix analysis. For recent results on periods and periodic points of iterations of sub-homogeneous maps on a proper polyhedral cone, we refer the reader to [1] (for instance, see Theorem 4.2) and the references cited therein.
We work throughout with the field \( \mathbb{R} \) of real numbers. Let \( M_n(\mathbb{R}) \) denote the real vector space of \( n \times n \) matrices. The subset of \( M_n(\mathbb{R}) \) consisting of matrices whose entries are nonnegative real numbers (such a matrix is usually called a nonnegative matrix) is denoted by \( M_n(\mathbb{R}^+) \). For any matrix \( A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \), we denote and define the spectrum, the spectral radius and the norm of \( A \) respectively, as follows:

\[
\text{spec}(A) = \text{the set of all eigenvalues of } A, \text{ some of which may be complex numbers;}
\]

\[
\rho(A) = \max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{spec}(A) \};
\]

\[
\|A\| = \text{the operator norm of } A, \text{ induced by the Euclidean norm of } \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

For any \( N < \infty \), we fix a finite collection of matrices, \( \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_N : A_r \in M_n(\mathbb{R}^+)\} \) and define the following discrete dynamical system: for \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \), define

\[
x_{j+1} := A_{\omega_j}x_j, \quad \text{for } \omega_j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}. \tag{1.1}
\]

That is, from a point \( x_j \) at time \( t = j \), we arrive at the point \( x_{j+1} \) at time \( t = j + 1 \) in the iteration of any generic point in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), by randomly choosing one of the matrices from the above mentioned finite collection and the action by the chosen matrix. Observe that in order to achieve proper meaning to the above mentioned iterative scheme, one expects to understand nonhomogeneous products of matrices.

Recall that given a self map \( f \) on a topological space \( X \), an element \( x \in X \) is called a periodic point of \( f \) if there exists a positive integer \( q \) such that \( f^q(x) = x \). In such a case, the smallest such integer \( q \) that satisfies \( f^q(x) = x \) is called the period of the periodic point \( x \). The starting point of this work is the following consequence of the Perron-Frobenius theorem, as can be found in [8, Theorem B.4.7].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}^+) \) with \( \rho(A) \leq 1 \). Then, there exists a positive integer \( q \) such that for every \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( (\|A^kx\|)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) bounded, we have

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} A^{kq}x = \xi_x,
\]

where \( \xi_x \) is a periodic point of \( A \) whose period divides \( q \).

The spectral radius condition in Theorem 1.1 can be dispensed with, only at the cost of looking at the orbits of points in the positive cone \( \mathbb{R}^+_n \). An illustration to this effect, can be found in [2, page 321].
We are interested in a generalization of Theorem 1.1, when the matrix \( A \) in the above theorem is replaced by a product of the matrices \( A_r \)'s, possibly an infinite one, drawn from the finite collection of nonnegative matrices, \( \{ A_1, \ldots, A_N \} \). Besides generalizing Theorem 1.1 as described above, we also bring out the existence of common periodic points for the said collection of matrices.

This manuscript is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce basic notations, however only as much necessary to state the main results of this paper, namely Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3. In Section 3, we familiarise the readers with some results from the literature, on adequate conditions to impose on a collection of matrices that ensures the existence of common eigenvectors. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 2.1 and highlight a special case of the theorem as Corollary 1.2 when the collection of matrices satisfy an additional hypothesis. We follow this with Section 5 where we write a few examples, that illustrate the theorems. In Section 6, we focus on words of infinite length based on the finite collection of matrices that we have considered so far and write the proof of Theorem 2.3.

2. Main results

In this section, we introduce some notations, explain the underlying settings of the main results and state our main results of this paper. As explained in the introductory section, we fix a finite set of nonnegative matrices \( \{ A_1, \ldots, A_N \} \), \( N < \infty \). For any finite \( M \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( p \in \mathbb{N} \), we denote the set of all \( p \)-lettered words on the set of first \( M \) positive integers by

\[
\Sigma_p^M := \{ \omega = (\omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_p) : \omega_r \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \}.
\]

For any \( p \)-lettered word \( \omega := (\omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_p) \in \Sigma_p^M \), we define the (finite) matrix product

\[
A_\omega := A_{\omega_p} \times A_{\omega_{p-1}} \times \cdots \times A_{\omega_2} \times A_{\omega_1}.
\]  

A key hypothesis in our first theorem assumes the existence of a nontrivial set of common eigenvectors, say \( E = \{ v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d \} \) for the given collection of matrices, \( \{ A_1, \ldots, A_N \} \). These common eigenvectors may be vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) or \( \mathbb{C}^n \). A sufficient condition that ensures the existence of common eigenvectors for the given collection is to demand the collection to be partially commuting, quasi-commuting or a Laffey pair when \( N = 2 \), or the collection to be quasi-
commuting when \( N \geq 3 \). Each of these terms is explained in Section 3. Define

\[
\mathcal{LC}(E) = \{ \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d v_d : \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \text{ satisfying } \\
\alpha_{s_1} = \alpha_{s_2} \text{ for all } v_{s_1} = v_{s_2} \text{ and } \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R} \text{ otherwise} \}.
\] (2.2)

We now state our first result in this article.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_N\} \), \( N < \infty \), be a collection of \( n \times n \) matrices with nonnegative entries, each having spectral radius 1. Assume that the collection satisfies at least one of the following conditions, that ensures the existence of a nontrivial set of common eigenvectors.

1. If \( N = 2 \), then the collection is either partially commuting, quasi-commuting or a Laffey pair.
2. If \( N \geq 3 \), then the collection is quasi-commuting.

Let \( E \) denote the set of all common eigenvectors of the collection of matrices. For any finite \( p \), let \( \omega \in \Sigma_p^N \) and \( A_\omega \) be the matrix associated to the word \( \omega \). Then, for any vector \( x \in \mathcal{LC}(E) \), there exists an integer \( q_\omega \geq 1 \) such that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} A_\omega^{kq_\omega} x = \xi_{(x,\omega)},
\] (2.3)

where \( \xi_{(x,\omega)} \) is a periodic point of \( A_\omega \), whose period divides \( q_\omega \). Moreover, when \( p \geq N \) and \( \omega \) is such that for all \( 1 \leq r \leq N \), there exists \( 1 \leq j \leq p \) such that \( \omega_j = r \), the integer \( q_\omega \) and the limiting point \( \xi_{(x,\omega)} \in \mathbb{R}^n \) are independent of the choice of \( \omega \).

Careful readers may have already observed that, subject to the spectral radius condition as found in the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, we have \( \mathcal{LC}(E) \subseteq \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sup \|A_\omega^k x\| < \infty \} \). However, since the spectral radius of \( A_\omega \) cannot be determined in general, we are forced to only work with vectors in \( \mathcal{LC}(E) \). Nevertheless, when the collection of matrices satisfy the spectral radius condition and are simultaneously diagonalizable, the above two sets coincide and is equal to \( \mathbb{R}^n \). We will look at examples of this kind, later in Section 5. We now illustrate the case when the above set inclusion is proper.

Consider the following pair of non-commuting, diagonalizable nonnegative matrices both having spectral radius 1, with one common eigenvector, namely \( e_1 \).

\[
A_1 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Consider the two-lettered word \( \omega = 12 \in \Sigma_2^2 \). Then, the spectrum of \( A_\omega \), given by \( \left\{ \frac{2+\sqrt{3}}{3}, 1, \frac{2-\sqrt{3}}{3} \right\} \) has corresponding eigenvectors
\[
u = (0, 1 + \sqrt{3}, -1), \quad e_1, \quad v = (0, 2 - \sqrt{3}, -1)
\]
that form a basis for \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Since the largest eigenvalue is larger than unity, we observe that the sequence \( \| A_k \omega u \| \) is unbounded. Thus, \( \lim_{k \to \infty} A_k \omega u \) does not exist. Further, in this case, we note that
\[
\mathcal{LC}(E) = \{ \alpha_1 e_1 : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \} \subset \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \sup_k \| A_k^x \| < \infty \right\} = \{ \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 v : \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R} \}.
\]

We now denote the interior of the nonnegative orthant of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by \((\mathbb{R}_+^n)^o\), a convex cone and define the logarithm map and the exponential map, that appear frequently in nonlinear Perron-Frobenius theory as follows: \( \log : (\mathbb{R}_+^n)^o \to \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \exp : \mathbb{R}^n \to (\mathbb{R}_+^n)^o \) by
\[
\log(x) = (\log x_1, \ldots, \log x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(x) = (e^{x_1}, \ldots, e^{x_n}).
\]

As one may expect, these functions act as inverses of each other in the interior of \( \mathbb{R}_+^n \). More on these functions and their uses in nonlinear Perron-Frobenius theory can be found in the monograph \[8\]. A nonnegative matrix, when viewed as a linear map on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), preserves the partial order induced by \( \mathbb{R}_+^n \). A map \( f \) defined on a cone in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is said to be subhomogeneous if for every \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \), we have \( \lambda f(x) \leq f(\lambda x) \) for every \( x \) in the cone and homogeneous if \( f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x) \) for every nonnegative \( \lambda \) and every \( x \) in the cone. It is then easy to verify that the function \( f := \exp \circ A \circ \log \) is a well-defined subhomogeneous map on \((\mathbb{R}_+^n)^o\). We now state a corollary to Theorem 2.1 for an appropriate subhomogeneous map, \( f_\omega \).

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_N\}, N < \infty \) be a set of \( n \times n \) matrices satisfying all the hypotheses in Theorem 2.1. For any finite \( p \), let \( \omega \in \Sigma_N^p \) and \( A_\omega \) be the matrix associated with the word \( \omega \). Consider the function \( f_\omega : (\mathbb{R}_+^n)^o \to (\mathbb{R}_+^n)^o \) given by \( f_\omega = \exp \circ A_\omega \circ \log \). Then, for any \( y = e^x \in (\mathbb{R}_+^n)^o \) where \( x \in \mathcal{LC}(E) \), there exists an integer \( q \geq 1 \) such that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} f_\omega^{kq} y = \eta_y,
\]
where \( \eta_y \) is a periodic point of \( f_\omega \), whose period divides \( q \).
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Our final theorem in this paper concerns the orbit of some \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) under the action of some infinitely long word, whose letters belong to \( \{ A_1, \ldots, A_N \} \).

In order to make our lives simpler, we shall assume that the given collection of matrices are pairwise commuting, with each matrix being diagonalizable over \( \mathbb{C} \). This ensures the existence of \( n \) linearly independent (over \( \mathbb{C} \)) common eigenvectors \( E = \{ v_1, \ldots, v_n \} \) for the given collection. Let the first \( \kappa \) of these common eigenvectors correspond to eigenvalues of modulus 1 for every matrix \( A_r \), in the collection. Observe, in this case that \( LC(E) = \mathbb{R}^n \). Further, for any vector \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) given by \( x = \sum_{s=1}^n \alpha_s v_s \) obeying the conditions mentioned in Equation (2.2), we define the support of the vector \( x \) as

\[
I(x) = \text{supp}(x) = \{ 1 \leq s \leq n : \alpha_s \neq 0 \}.
\]

We now give a brief overview of the space of infinite-lettered words on finitely many letters. According the discrete metric on the set of letters \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) using the Kronecker delta function, one can topologize \( \Sigma_N^p \) with the appropriate product metric. When \( p = \infty \), notice that the basis for the topology on the space of infinite-lettered words on \( N \) symbols, namely \( \Sigma_N^\infty \), is given by the cylinder sets that fixes the set of initial finite coordinates, i.e.,

\[
[\omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_p] = \{ \tau \in \Sigma_N^\infty : \tau_j = \omega_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq p \}.
\]

For more details on the spaces \( \Sigma_N^p \) or \( \Sigma_N^\infty \), one may refer [6].

For any \( p \)-lettered word \( \omega \in \Sigma_N^p \), we denote by \( \overline{\omega} \), the infinite-lettered word obtained by concatenating \( \omega \) with itself, infinitely many times, i.e., \( \overline{\omega} = (\omega \omega \cdots) \). Under the topology defined on \( \Sigma_N^\infty \), one may observe that

\[
\Sigma_N^\infty = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} \{ \overline{\omega} : \omega \in \Sigma_N^p \}.
\]

We know, from Theorem 2.1, that upon satisfying the necessary technical conditions, \( \lim_{k \to \infty} A_k^{q} x = \xi_x \), whenever \( x \in LC(E) \). Thus, the following definition makes sense. Let

\[
\widetilde{A}_\omega := A_\omega = (A_\omega^q)^k \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.
\]

However, since Theorem 2.1 only asserts \( \xi_x \) to be a periodic point whose period divides \( q \), we shall consider the map \( \widetilde{A}_\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^q \). The precise action of \( \widetilde{A}_\omega \) on points in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is given by

\[
\widetilde{A}_\omega(x) = (\xi_x, A_\omega \xi_x, \ldots, A_\omega^{q-1} \xi_x).
\]

(2.5)
Let \( \tau = (\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \cdots) \in \Sigma_N^\infty \) be any arbitrary infinite lettered word that encounters all the \( N \) letters within a finite time, say \( m \). It is easy to observe that the sequence
\[
\left( (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_m), (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{m+1}), \cdots \right)
\]
converges to \( \tau \), in the topology on \( \Sigma_N^\infty \), as described above. For any \( p \geq m \), denote by \( \tau[p] \) the infinite-lettered word \( (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_p) \) that occurs in the sequence, written above that converges to any given \( \tau \in \Sigma_N^\infty \). Moreover, from the discussion above, we have that
\[
\tilde{A}_{\tau[p]}x = (\xi_x, A_{\tau[p]}\xi_x, \ldots, A^{q-1}_{\tau[p]}\xi_x).
\]
Notice that the first component of the vector in \( (\mathbb{R}^n)^q \) is always \( \xi_x \) for all \( p \geq m \). Further, we define for every \( r \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \),
\[
\Phi(\tau,r)(p) = \# \text{ of } A_r \text{ in } A_{\tau[p]}.
\]
We now state our final theorem in this paper.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_N\}, N < \infty \), be a collection of \( n \times n \) simultaneously diagonalizable nonnegative matrices each having spectral radius at most 1. Suppose \( \tau \in \Sigma_N^\infty \) encounters all the \( N \) letters within a finite time, say \( m \). Then, for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), there exists an increasing sequence \( \{p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \geq 1} \) (depending on \( x \)), of positive integers and a finite collection of positive integers \( \{\Lambda(r,s)\} \) for \( 1 \leq r \leq N \) and \( 1 \leq s \leq \kappa \) such that
\[
\sum_{r=1}^{N} \Lambda(r,s) \left[ \Phi(\tau,r)(p_{\gamma_k}) - \Phi(\tau,r)(p_{\gamma_{k'}}) \right] \equiv 0 \pmod{q},
\]
for all \( s \in I(x) \cap \{1, \ldots, \kappa\} \), where \( p_{\gamma_k} \) and \( p_{\gamma_{k'}} \) are any two integers from the sequence \( \{p_\gamma\} \).

The above result may appear to be explaining an arithmetic property in a paper that deals with random dynamical systems generated by finitely many matrices; however, the authors urge the readers to note the following. As explained earlier, we know that \( \tilde{A}_{\tau[p]} : \mathbb{R}^n \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^q \). Observe that \( \lim_{p \to \infty} \tilde{A}_{\tau[p]}x \) does not necessarily exist. However, from the proof of Theorem 2.3, we will obtain the following corollary:
Corollary 2.4. For each \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), there exists an increasing sequence \( \{p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \geq 1} \) (depending on \( x \)) such that \( \left\{ \tilde{A}_{\tau,p_\gamma} x \right\} \), is a constant sequence and therefore, \( \lim_{\gamma \to \infty} \tilde{A}_{\tau,p_\gamma} x \) exists.

3. Common eigenvectors for a collection of matrices

A key ingredient in our main results in this work is the existence of a nontrivial set of common eigenvectors for a given collection \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_N\} \) of matrices. It is a well known result that if every matrix in the collection is diagonalizable over \( \mathbb{C} \) with the collection commuting pairwise, there is a common similarity matrix that puts all the matrices in a diagonal form. A collection of non-commuting matrices may or may not have common eigenvectors. The question as to which collections of matrices possess common eigenvectors is extremely nontrivial. In what follows, we give a brief account of this question that is essential for this work. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A collection \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_N\} \) of matrices is said to be quasi-commuting if for each pair \((r, r')\) of indices, both \( A_r \) and \( A_{r'} \) commute with their (additive) commutator \( [A_r, A_{r'}] := A_r A_{r'} - A_{r'} A_r \).

A classical result of McCoy [5, Theorem 2.4.8.7] says the following:

Theorem 3.2. Let \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_N\} \) be a collection of \( n \times n \) matrices. The following statements are equivalent.

1. For every polynomial \( p(t_1, \ldots, t_N) \) in \( N \) non-commuting variables \( t_1, \ldots, t_N \) and every \( r, r' = 1, \ldots, N \), \( p(A_1, \ldots, A_N)[A_r, A_{r'}] \) is nilpotent.
2. There is a unitary matrix \( U \) such that \( U^* A_r U \) is upper triangular for every \( r = 1, \ldots, N \).
3. There is an ordering \( \lambda_1^{(r)}, \ldots, \lambda_n^{(r)} \) of the eigenvalues of each of the matrices \( A_r \), \( 1 \leq r \leq N \) such that for any polynomial \( p(t_1, \ldots, t_N) \) in \( N \) non-commuting variables, the eigenvalues of \( p(A_1, \ldots, A_N) \) are \( p \left( \lambda_1^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_n^{(N)} \right), s = 1, \ldots, n \).

If the matrices and the polynomials are over the real field, then all calculations may be carried out over \( \mathbb{R} \), provided all the matrices have eigenvalues in \( \mathbb{R} \). It turns out that a sufficient condition that guarantees any of the above three statements is when the collection of matrices is quasi-commutative (see
Drazin et al. [4]). Moreover, the first statement implies that the collection \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_N\} \) has common eigenvectors. There are also other classes of matrices which possess common eigenvectors.

A pair \((A_1, A_2)\) of matrices is said to partially commute if they have common eigenvectors. Moreover, two matrices \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) partially commute iff the Shemesh subspace \( \mathcal{N} = \bigcap_{k,l=1}^{n-1} \ker \left( \begin{bmatrix} A_k^1 & A_l^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \) is a nontrivial maximal invariant subspace of \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) over which both \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) commute (see Shemesh [10]). The number of linearly independent common eigenvectors of the pair cannot exceed the dimension of \(\mathcal{N}\). A pair \((A_1, A_2)\) of matrices is called a Laffey pair if rank \(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}\) = 1. It can be shown that such a pair of matrices partially commute, but do not commute.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.1, after stating a theorem due to Frobenius. Suppose \(A\) is an irreducible matrix in \(M_n(\mathbb{R}_+)\) such that there are exactly \(\kappa\) eigenvalues of modulus \(\rho(A)\). This integer \(\kappa\) is called the index of imprimitivity of \(A\). If \(\kappa = 1\), the matrix \(A\) is said to be primitive. If \(\kappa > 1\), the matrix is said to be imprimitive.

Theorem 4.1. ([12, Theorem 6.18]) Let \(A\) be an irreducible nonnegative matrix with its index of imprimitivity equal to \(\kappa\). If \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\kappa}\) are the eigenvalues of \(A\) of modulus \(\rho(A)\), then \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\kappa}\) are the distinct \(\kappa\)-th roots of \(\rho(A)\).

**Proof of Theorem 2.1** Recall that \(E = \{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}\) is a set of \(d\) common eigenvectors of the matrices \(A_1, \ldots, A_N\) that satisfies \(A_r v_s = \lambda_{(r,s)} v_s\), where \(\lambda_{(r,s)}\) is an eigenvalue of the matrix \(A_r\) corresponding to the eigenvector \(v_s\), \(1 \leq s \leq d\). Observe that for any \(p\)-lettered word \(\omega = (\omega_1 \cdots \omega_p)\), we have

\[
A_\omega v_s = \lambda_{(\omega_p,s)} \cdots \lambda_{(\omega_1,s)} v_s = \lambda_{(\omega,s)} v_s, \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_{(\omega,s)} = \lambda_{(\omega_p,s)} \cdots \lambda_{(\omega_1,s)}.
\]

We now rearrange the common eigenvectors \(\{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}\) as

\[
\{v_1, \ldots, v_\kappa, v_{\kappa+1}, \ldots, v_d\},
\]

where \(\kappa\) is defined as

\[
\kappa = \# \{v_s : A_r v_s = \lambda_{(r,s)} v_s \text{ with } |\lambda_{(r,s)}| = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq r \leq N\}. \quad (4.1)
\]
It is possible that \( \kappa = 0 \), in which case, the limiting vector is the zero vector (as you may observe by the end of this proof). Recall from Equation (2.2) that

\[
\mathcal{L}(E) = \left\{ \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d v_d : \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \text{ satisfying} \right. \\
\left. \alpha_{s_1} = \overline{\alpha_{s_2}} \text{ for all } v_{s_1} = \overline{v_{s_2}} \right. \\
\text{and } \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R} \text{ otherwise} \right\}.
\]

Owing to the hypotheses on the spectral radius in the statement of the theorem, we have that for every \( x \in \mathcal{L}(E) \), the sequence \( \{ \| A^k \omega x \| \}_{k \geq 1} \) is bounded. In fact,

\[
\| A^k \omega x \| = \| \alpha_1 A^k \omega v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d A^k \omega v_d \| \leq |\alpha_1| \| v_1 \| + \cdots + |\alpha_d| \| v_d \|.
\]

Let \( q_1, \ldots, q_N \) be positive integers that satisfies the outcome of Theorem 1.1 for the matrices \( A_1, \ldots, A_N \) respectively. For some \( p > N \), let \( \omega \) be a \( p \)-lettered word in \( \Sigma^p \) such that for all \( 1 \leq r \leq N \), there exists \( 1 \leq j \leq p \) such that \( \omega_j = r \). Define \( q \) to be the least common multiple of the numbers \( \{ q_1, \ldots, q_N \} \).

For every \( s \in \{ 1, \ldots, d \} \) and \( r \in \{ 1, \ldots, N \} \), we enumerate the following possibilities that can occur for the values of \( \lambda(r,s) \):

Case 1. \( (\lambda(r,s))^q = 1 \) for every \( r \) and for some \( s \) with \( \lambda(r,s) \in \mathbb{R} \). This implies that the corresponding eigenvector \( v_s \) lies in \( \mathcal{L}(E) \).

Case 2. \( (\lambda(r,s))^q = 1 \) for every \( r \) and for some \( s \) with \( \lambda(r,s) \in \mathbb{C} \). This implies that there exists eigenvectors \( v_s \) and \( \overline{v_s} \) with corresponding eigenvalues conjugate to each other such that \( \alpha_s v_s + \overline{\alpha_s v_s} \) lies in \( \mathcal{L}(E) \).

Case 3. \( |\lambda(r,s)| < 1 \) for some \( s \) and for some \( r \). In this case, the iterates of \( v_s \) under the map \( A_\omega \) goes to 0; that is, \( \lim_{k \to \infty} A^k \omega v_s = 0 \).

For any \( x \in \mathcal{L}(E) \) that can be written as \( x = \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d v_d \), we have

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} A^{kq} \omega x = \alpha_1 \lim_{k \to \infty} (\lambda(\omega,1))^{kq} v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d \lim_{k \to \infty} (\lambda(\omega,d))^{kq} v_d \\
= \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d v_d \\
=: \xi(x,\omega).
\]

Observe that \( \xi(x,\omega) \) and the \( q \) are independent of the length of the word and in fact, the word \( \omega \) itself. We denote \( \xi(x,\omega) = \xi_x \). Moreover, \( \xi_x \in \mathcal{L}(E) \).
Further, for $\xi_x = \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_\kappa v_\kappa$ and $1 \leq r \leq N$, we have

$$A_r^q \xi_x = \alpha_1 A_r^q v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_\kappa A_r^q v_\kappa$$

$$= \alpha_1 (\lambda_{(r,1)})^q v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_\kappa (\lambda_{(r,\kappa)})^q v_\kappa$$

$$= \xi_x.$$

Since $\xi_x$ is a periodic point of $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ with periods $q_1, \ldots, q_N$ respectively, we have $\xi_x$ to be a periodic point of $A_\omega$ with period $q$.

We now state a corollary to Theorem 2.1 where we include conditions in the hypotheses that ensures $\mathcal{LC}(E) = \mathbb{R}^n$. The corollary can be proved analogously to the above theorem. However, we present a simpler proof in this case.

**Corollary 4.2.** In addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 assume that the considered collection of matrices is pairwise commuting with each matrix being diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the same conclusion, as in Theorem 2.1 holds.

**Proof.** We first observe that the extra hypotheses in the statement of the corollary ensures that the matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ are simultaneously diagonalizable, i.e., there exists a nonsingular matrix $Q$ such that the matrix $A_r = Q^{-1} D_r Q$, for $D_r = \text{diag}(\lambda_{(r,1)}, \lambda_{(r,2)}, \ldots, \lambda_{(r,n)})$, where $\lambda_{(r,s)}$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_r$, arranged in non-increasing modulus.

Let $\omega \in \Sigma_N^p$ with corresponding matrix product $A_\omega$. Then, $A_\omega = Q^{-1} D_\omega Q$. By Theorem 4.1, we have $\lambda_r^q = 1$ for every eigenvalue of modulus 1. Hence, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} A_\omega^{kq} x = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left(Q^{-1} D_\omega^{kq} Q\right) x = \xi_x,$$

where $\xi_x$ is a periodic point of $A_r$ for every $1 \leq r \leq N$ and is given by $\xi_x = \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_\kappa v_\kappa$, the definition of $\kappa$, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1

We now show that the diagonalizability condition can not be weakened in the hypothesis of Corollary 4.2. However, since the matrices in the collection $\{A_1, \ldots, A_N\}$ commute pairwise, we still obtain a collection of common eigenvectors, $E = \{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$. For example, consider the following pair of non-diagonalizable, commuting matrices:

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
Observe that \( e_1 = (1, 0)^t \) is a common eigenvector for \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \), whereas \( e_2 = (0, 1)^t \) is a common generalized eigenvector for \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \). We further note that for any word \( \omega \) that contains both the letters, the orbit of \( e_2 \) under \( A_\omega \) is unbounded while that of \( e_1 \) is bounded.

**Remarks 4.3.** A few remarks are in order.

(a) If all the matrices \( A_1, \ldots, A_N \) are pairwise commuting nonnegative symmetric matrices, subject to the spectral radius assumption in Corollary 4.2, then the periods of all the periodic points corresponding to the eigenvalues 1 and \(-1\) for all \( A_r \)'s is at most 2. Hence, for a matrix product \( A_\omega \) corresponding to a word \( \omega \), we have \( q = 2 \).

(b) We have proved Theorem 2.1 for a special choice of \( \omega \) that contains all the \( N \) letters. Suppose \( \omega' \) is any arbitrary \( p \)-lettered word. Then we can take the appropriate subset of \( \{1, \ldots, N\} \), whose members have been used for the writing of the word \( \omega' \) and the same result as above follows for \( \omega' \).

Suppose the \( p \)-lettered word \( \omega = (r r \cdots r) \) for some \( 1 \leq r \leq N \). Then the above theorem reduces to a particular case, as one may find in [7, 9]. We now state the same as a corollary.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let \( A \) be an \( n \times n \) matrix with nonnegative entries that is diagonalizable over \( \mathbb{C} \) and of spectral radius 1. Then there exists an integer \( q \geq 1 \) such that for every \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we have \( \lim_{k \to \infty} A^{qk}x = \xi_x \), where \( \xi_x \) is a periodic point of \( A \) with its period dividing \( q \).

5. Examples

In this section, we provide several examples that illustrate the various results, that have been proved until now. We first fix a few notations. We denote the standard basis vectors of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \), while \( I_n \) denotes the identity matrix of order \( n \). We write the permutation matrices of order \( n \) in column partitioned form denoted by \( P_n \); for instance, we denote the \( 2 \times 2 \) permutation matrix \( [e_2 | e_1] \) by \( P_2 \). The matrix of 1's (of order \( n \)) is denoted by \( J_n \). The diagonal matrix of order \( n \) with diagonal entries \( d_1, \ldots, d_n \) is denoted by \( \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \). Our first example is a fairly simple one and illustrates the scenario in Corollary 4.4.

**Example 5.1.** Consider the diagonalizable matrix \( A = P_2 \) with spectral radius 1. If \( x = e_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), then observe that
In this example, we obtain \( q = 2 \).

The next two examples illustrate Corollary 4.2. The first one involves a pair of \( 6 \times 6 \) commuting nonnegative matrices.

**Example 5.2.** Consider

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} P_4 & 0 \\ 0 & A_1^{(22)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} e_4 & | & e_1 & | & e_2 & | & e_3 \end{bmatrix}
\]

and \( A_1^{(22)} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} 

A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_2^{(11)} & 0 \\ 0 & A_2^{(22)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad A_2^{(11)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

and \( A_2^{(22)} = \frac{1}{10} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & \sqrt{7} \\ \sqrt{7} & 3 \end{bmatrix} 

It can be easily seen that the matrices \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) commute and are diagonalizable over \( \mathbb{C} \) and therefore, are simultaneously diagonalizable. The following table gives the common eigenvectors of \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) and the corresponding eigenvalues of the matrices \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \).

| Eigenvectors | \( v_1 \) | \( v_2 \) | \( v_3 \) | \( v_4 \) | \( v_5 \) | \( v_6 \) |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Eigenvalues of \( A_1 \) | 1       | -1      | -1      | \( i \) | 1       | \(-1/3\) |
| Eigenvalues of \( A_2 \) | 1       | -1      | 0       | 0       | \( \lambda_1 \) | \( \lambda_2 \) |

where \( \lambda_1 = \frac{3 + \sqrt{7}}{10} \) and \( \lambda_2 = \frac{3 - \sqrt{7}}{10} \). The common eigenvectors are given by

\[
v_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_2 = (1, -1, 1, -1, 0, 0)^t,
v_3 = (1, i, -1, -i, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_4 = (1, -i, -1, i, 0, 0)^t,
v_5 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)^t, \quad v_6 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1)^t.
\]
Following the lines of the proof of Corollary 4.2, we consider the nonsingular matrix (written in column partitioned form) $Q = [v_1 | \cdots | v_6]$. Then, for $r = 1, 2$ we have $A_r = Q D_r Q^{-1}$, where $D_r$ is the diagonal matrix consisting of the eigenvalues of $A_r$. Looking at the table of eigenvalues, one can see that $q_1 = 4$ and $q_2 = 2$. Consider any $x \in \mathbb{R}^6$ given by $x = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \alpha_i v_i$ where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_5, \alpha_6 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha_3 = \overline{\alpha_4}$. For any word $A_\omega$ that contains both $A_1$ and $A_2$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} A_\omega^k x = \left( Q \lim_{k \to \infty} D_\omega^k Q^{-1} \right) x = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2,$$

a periodic point of $A_\omega$ with period at most 2, that divides the least common multiple of $q_1$ and $q_2$.

We now present another pair of commuting and diagonalizable matrices, this time in $\mathbb{R}^7$, where we exhibit a periodic point of $A_\omega$, whose period is equal to the least common multiple of the relevant $q_i$'s.

**Example 5.3.** Let

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $D_1 = \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \right)$;

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} P_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $D_2 = \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6} \right)$

and $P_3 = [e_3 | e_1 | e_2]$.

As earlier, we write a table with the common eigenvectors and the corresponding eigenvalues for the matrices $A_1$ and $A_2$.

| Eigenvectors | $v_1$ | $v_2$ | $v_3$ | $v_4$ | $v_5$ | $v_6$ | $v_7$ |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Eigenvalues of $A_1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-1/2$ | 1/3 |
| Eigenvalues of $A_2$ | 1 | $\omega$ | $\omega^2$ | 1 | 1 | 1/5 | 1/6 |

where $\omega$ is the cubic root of unity and the $v_i$'s are

$$v_1 = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_2 = (1, \omega, \omega^2, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t,$$
$$v_3 = (1, \omega^2, \omega, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)^t,$$
$$v_5 = (0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_6 = e_6, \quad v_7 = e_7.$$
In this example, we have \( q_1 = 2 \) and \( q_2 = 3 \). Consider \( x \in \mathbb{R}^7 \) given by 
\[
x = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \alpha_i v_i
\]
where \( \alpha_1, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7 \in \mathbb{R} \), \( \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \alpha_2 = \overline{\alpha_3} \) and \( \alpha_2, \alpha_5 \) being non-zero. Then, for the word \( A_\omega = A_{p_1} A_{p_2} \) with \( p_1 \neq 0 \) (mod 2) and \( p_2 \neq 0 \) (mod 3), we have \( \lim_{k \to \infty} A_\omega^k x = \xi_x \), a periodic point of \( A_\omega \) of period 6, the least common multiple of \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \). If \( p_1 \) violates the above condition, then the period of \( \xi_x \) is 3; if \( p_2 \) violates the above condition, then the period of \( \xi_x \) is 2 and if both \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) violate the above conditions, then the period of \( \xi_x \) is 1, all three numbers being factors of the least common multiple of \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \).

We now write two examples in the non-commuting set up that illustrates Theorem 2.1.

**Example 5.4.** Let
\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A'_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad A'_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/5 & 1/6 \\ 1/6 & 1/5 \end{bmatrix}
\]
and \( P_1 = [e_4 | e_1 | e_2 | e_4] \);  
\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} P_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A'_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad A'_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/7 & 1/8 \\ 1/7 & 1/8 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Observe that the matrices \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) do not commute, but partially commute giving rise to the existence of a set of common eigenvectors that are given by
\[
v_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_2 = (1, -1, 1, -1, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_3 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)^t.
\]

The corresponding eigenvalues of the respective matrices are given in the following table.

| Eigenvectors | \( v_1 \) | \( v_2 \) | \( v_3 \) |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Eigenvectors of \( A_1 \) | 1        | -1       | 1/5 + 1/6 |
| Eigenvectors of \( A_2 \) | 1        | -1       | 1/7 + 1/8 |

In this case, we obtain \( q_1 = 4 \) and \( q_2 = 2 \). Here,
\[
\mathcal{LC}(E) \subseteq \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^6 : \sup \|A_\omega^k x\| < \infty \right\},
\]
where \( A_\omega \) contains both \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \). Suppose \( x \in \mathcal{LC}(E) \) given by \( x = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha_3 v_3 \) for \( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \). Then, we have \( \lim_{k \to \infty} A_\omega^{4k} x = \xi_x \), a periodic point of \( A_\omega \), however with period at most 2, that divides the least common multiple of \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \).

As in the commuting case, we now present an example in the non-commuting setup and exhibit a periodic point for a particular choice of \( A_\omega \) whose period is equal to the least common multiple of the appropriate \( q_i \)'s.

Example 5.5. Let
\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} J_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} P_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2' \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad A_2' = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 1/4 \\ 1/3 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix}
\]
and \( P_3 \) is the permutation matrix as defined in Example 5.3.

In this example, \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) form a Laffey pair. They have the following six common eigenvectors:
\[
v_1 = (1, \omega, \omega^2, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_2 = (1, \omega^2, \omega, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t,
\]
\[
v_3 = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)^t,
\]
\[
v_5 = (0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0)^t, \quad v_6 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)^t.
\]

As earlier, we write the corresponding the eigenvalues of the matrices in the following table:

| Eigenvectors | \( v_1 \) | \( v_2 \) | \( v_3 \) | \( v_4 \) | \( v_5 \) | \( v_6 \) |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Eigenvalues of \( A_1 \) | 1       | 1       | 1       | -1      | 1       |
| Eigenvalues of \( A_2 \) | \( \omega \) | \( \omega^2 \) | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1/3 + 1/4 |

Here, \( q_1 = 2 \) and \( q_2 = 3 \). Let \( A_\omega \) be a matrix product such the matrix \( A_r \) occurs \( p_r \) times in \( A_\omega \) and satisfies \( p_1 \neq 0 \) (mod 2) and \( p_2 \neq 0 \) (mod 3). For any vector \( x = \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_6 v_6 \) with \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C} \) satisfying \( \alpha_1 = \overline{\alpha_2} \), \( \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \alpha_1, \alpha_5 \) being non-zero, we obtain \( \lim_{k \to \infty} A_\omega^{6k} x = \xi_x \), a periodic point of \( A_\omega \) of period 6, the least common multiple of \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \). If \( p_1 \) violates the above condition, then the period of \( \xi_x \) is 3; if \( p_2 \) violates the above condition, then the period of \( \xi_x \) is 2 and if both \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) violate the above conditions, then the period of \( \xi_x \) is 1, all three numbers being factors of the least common multiple of \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \).
At this juncture, we write one more example that showcases the dependence of the limiting periodic point on the word $\omega$, in the non-commuting set-up, even when the non-common eigenvectors have a bounded orbit.

**Example 5.6.** Let

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It can be easily seen that $A_1 A_2 \neq A_2 A_1$. The eigenvalues of $A_1$ and $A_2$ are $1, -\frac{1}{3}$ and $1, -\frac{1}{5}$ respectively. The vector $(1, 1)^t$ is a common eigenvector for $A_1$ and $A_2$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $1$. Moreover, the eigenvalues of $A_1 A_2$ are $1, 1^{15}$ (and so the same is true for $A_2 A_1$). It easily follows from this that any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ has a bounded orbit. The eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{3}$ for $A_1$ is $(1, -1)^t$ and the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{5}$ for $A_2$ is $(2, -1)^t$. Note that

$$(A_1 A_2)^k - (A_2 A_1)^k = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha(k) & \alpha(k) \\ -\alpha(k) & \alpha(k) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1,$$

and therefore the commutator has rank 1, making this a Laffey pair. It is now obvious that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (A_1 A_2)^k (1, 1)^t = \lim_{k \to \infty} (A_2 A_1)^k (1, 1)^t$$

since $((A_1 A_2)^k - (A_2 A_1)^k) (1, 1)^t = (0, 0)^t$. Nevertheless,

$$((A_1 A_2)^k - (A_2 A_1)^k) (2, -1)^t = -3\alpha(k)(1, 1)^t \quad \text{whereas} \quad ((A_1 A_2)^k - (A_2 A_1)^k) (1, -1)^t = -2\alpha(k)(1, 1)^t.$$

Therefore, if $x$ is one of the points $(2, -1)^t$ or $(1, -1)^t$, then, $\lim_{k \to \infty} (A_1 A_2)^k x \neq \lim_{k \to \infty} (A_2 A_1)^k x$, since $\lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha(k) \neq 0$.

It is possible to study these examples under the action of the appropriate non-homogeneous map, described in Corollary 2.2.

We conclude this section by describing another way of writing Theorem 2.1. Recall that $\Sigma_N^\infty$ denotes the set of all infinite-lettered words on the set of symbols $\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Considering the Cartesian product of the symbolic space $\Sigma_N^\infty$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$, one may describe the dynamical system discussed
in this paper thus: Given a collection \( \{ A_1, \ldots, A_N \} \) of \( n \times n \) matrices, let
\[
T : X = \Sigma_N^\infty \times \mathbb{R}^n \to X \text{ be defined by } T(\tau, x) = (\sigma \tau, A_\tau x) \text{ where } \tau = (\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \cdots) \text{ and } \sigma \text{ is the shift map defined on } \Sigma_N^\infty \text{ by } (\sigma \tau)_n = \tau_{n+1} \text{ for } n \geq 1.
\]
We equip \( X \) with the corresponding product topology and study \( T \) as a non-invertible map.

**Theorem 5.7.** Let \( \{ A_1, \ldots, A_N \}, N < \infty, \) be a collection of \( n \times n \) matrices that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. Suppose \( E \) denotes the set of all common eigenvectors of the collection of matrices. Let \( \tau \in \Sigma_N^\infty \) be any arbitrary infinite lettered word that encounters all the \( N \) letters within a finite time, say \( m \). Let \( \{ \tau^{[p]} \}_{p \geq m} \) be a sequence of infinite-lettered words that converges to \( \tau \). Let \( A^{[p]}_\tau \) be the matrix associated to the \( p \)-lettered word \( \tau^{[p]} \in \Sigma_N^p \). Then, for every \( p \geq m \) and any vector \( x \in \text{LC}(E) \), there exists an integer \( q \geq 1 \) such that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} T^{kpq}(\tau^{[p]}_\tau x) = (\tau^{[p]}_\tau \xi_x, A^{[p]}_\tau \xi_x, \ldots, A^{[p]}_\tau^{q-1} \xi_x),
\]
where \( (\tau^{[p]}_\tau \xi_x) \) is a periodic point of \( T \), whose period divides the least common multiple of \( p \) and \( q \).

6. Words of infinite length

We conclude this paper with this final section where we write the proof of Theorem 2.3. Recall that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 4.2 are one and the same.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3** Recall from Equation (2.5) that whenever \( x \in \text{LC}(E) = \mathbb{R}^n \) (in this case), we have
\[
\tilde{A}^{[p]}_\tau x = (\xi_x, A^{[p]}_\tau \xi_x, \ldots, A^{[p]}_\tau^{q-1} \xi_x), \quad \text{for } p \geq m,
\]
where \( m \) is the finite stage by when the word \( \tau^{[p]} \) encounters all the letters in \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \). In general, it is not necessary that \( A^{[m]}_\tau \xi_x = A^{[m+1]}_\tau \xi_x \). However, owing to \( \xi_x \) being a periodic point of \( A^{[p]}_\tau \) for \( p \geq m \), whose period divides \( q > 1 \), a simple application of the pigeonhole principle ensures \( A^{[m]}_\tau \xi_x = A^{[m]}_{\tau^{[m']}} \xi_x \), for some \( m' > m \). We choose \( m' \) that guarantees
\[
\tilde{A}^{[m]}_\tau x = \tilde{A}^{[m']} \tau^{[m]}_\tau x, \quad \text{as vectors in } (\mathbb{R}^n)^q.
\]
Proceeding along similar lines, one obtains an increasing sequence, say \( \{p_\gamma\} \) such that \( \{A_{\gamma |p_\gamma|}x\}_{\gamma \geq 1} \) is a constant sequence of vectors in \((\mathbb{R}^n)^q\) for every \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Thus, for any two integers \( p_\gamma k \) and \( p_\gamma k' \) from the sequence \( \{p_\gamma\} \), we have

\[
A_{\gamma \gamma_1}^j x = A_{\gamma \gamma_1}^j x' \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq j \leq q - 1.
\]

Since \( x = \sum_{a=1}^{\kappa} \alpha_a v_a \), we obtain

\[
\lambda_{\gamma |p_\gamma k|, s} = \lambda_{\gamma |p_\gamma k'|, s} \quad \text{for every } s \in I(x) \cap \{1, \ldots, \kappa\}.
\]

This implies that for every \( s \in I(x) \cap \{1, \ldots, \kappa\} \), we have

\[
\prod_{r=1}^{N} \lambda_{\gamma (r,s)} = \prod_{r=1}^{N} \lambda_{\gamma (r,s)} \iff \prod_{r=1}^{N} \lambda_{\gamma (r,s)} \Phi_{\gamma (r,s)}(p_\gamma k) - \Phi_{\gamma (r,s)}(p_\gamma k') = 1.
\]

Since the numbers \( \lambda_{\gamma (r,s)} \)'s are \( q \)-th roots of unity, we obtain positive integers \( \Lambda_{(r,s)} \) that satisfies

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{N} \Lambda_{(r,s)} \left[ \Phi_{\gamma (r,s)}(p_\gamma k) - \Phi_{\gamma (r,s)}(p_\gamma k') \right] \equiv 0 \pmod{q}
\]

for all \( s \in I(x) \cap \{1, \ldots, \kappa\} \).

As pointed out after the statement of Theorem 2.3 in Section 2 and as one may observe from the proof above, \( \{A_{\gamma \gamma_1} x\}_{\gamma \geq 1} \) is constructed to be a constant sequence, thus proving Corollary 2.4.
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