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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Unrepaired, or misrepaired, DNA damage can contribute to the pathogenesis of a number of conditions, or disease states; thus, DNA damage repair pathways, and the proteins within them, are required for the safeguarding of the genome. Human SNM1A is a 5′-to-3′ exonuclease that plays a role in multiple DNA damage repair processes. To date, most data suggest a role of SNM1A in primarily ICL repair: SNM1A deficient cells exhibit hypersensitivity to ICL-inducing agents (e.g. mitomycin C and cisplatin); and both \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} experiments demonstrate SNM1A and XPF-ERCC1 can function together in the ‘unhooking’ step of ICL repair. SNM1A further interacts with a number of other proteins that contribute to genome integrity outside canonical ICL repair (e.g. PCNA and CSB), and these may play a role in regulating SNM1A function, subcellular localisation, and post-translational modification state. These interactions also imply SNM1A may contribute to other DNA repair pathways to which SNM1A may contribute. This review aims to discuss all aspects of the exonuclease, SNM1A, and its contribution to DNA damage tolerance.

1. Introduction

DNA damage repair pathways and the proteins within them are essential for safeguarding of the genome. Unrepaired, or mis-repaired, DNA damage contributes to the pathogenesis of multiple disease states. Human SNM1A is a 5′-to-3′ exonuclease that plays a role in several DNA damage repair processes. To date, most studies highlight a role of SNM1A in ICL repair: SNM1A deficient cells exhibit hypersensitivity to ICL-inducing agents (e.g. mitomycin C and cisplatin) and both \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} experiments demonstrate SNM1A and XPF-ERCC1 function together in the ‘unhooking’ step of ICL repair. SNM1A interacts with other proteins that contribute to genome integrity outside canonical ICL repair, e.g. PCNA and CSB, and these may play a role in regulating SNM1A function, subcellular localisation, and post-translational modification. These interactions imply SNM1A may contribute to other DNA repair pathways. We summarise research on SNM1A and its contribution to DNA damage tolerance.

The first (and founding) member of the eukaryotic SNM1/PSO2 nuclease family was identified in the early 1980s when genetic screens utilising \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} revealed mutant strains sensitive to bifunctional alkylating agents, but not to monofunctional alkylating agents, ionising radiation, or UV light [1–4]. The loci mutated, \textit{psor}alen 2) and \textit{snm} (sensitive to nitrogen mustard 1), were later found to be allelic [5]. Subsequently, ten \textit{PSO} genes were identified (\textit{PSO1–10}), all of which have a role in DNA damage repair; however, only \textit{PSO2} was uniquely required for interstrand crosslink (ICL) tolerance [6]. Under normal conditions \textit{ypso2p} is poorly transcribed, however, following exposure to ICL-inducing agents, its expression is increased up to four-fold [7]. \textit{In vitro}, yeast \textit{Pso2p} has been shown to possess 5′-to-3′ exonuclease activity and, possibly, structure-specific endonuclease activity [8,9].

Three vertebrate orthologues of \textit{PSO2}/SNM1 have been identified; these proteins have been denoted SNM1A, SNM1B/Apollo, and SNM1C/Artemis [10–12], whilst their HGNC gene names are \textit{DCLRE1A} (SNM1A), \textit{DCLRE1B} (SNM1B), and \textit{DCLRE1C} (SNM1C) respectively. All are members of the MBL (metallo-β-lactamase) fold containing superfamily of enzymes, and can be further delineated into the self-defining $\beta$-CASP (CPSF, Artemis, SNM1, \textit{Pso2}) family of nucleic acid processing MBLs [11,13].

Of the three human orthologues, human SNM1A (hSNM1A) has the greatest degree of sequence similarity with \textit{ypso2p}; ectopic expression of \textit{hSNM1A} is uniquely able to partially restore the resistance of \textit{S.cerevisiae} bearing \textit{PSO2} mutants to ICL-inducing agents [14,15]. It was therefore hypothesised that hSNM1A is the functional human
homologues of yPso2p, and may play an analogous role in the maintenance of genomic integrity, particularly in ICL repair (keeping in mind differences in ICL repair mechanisms between higher and lower eukaryotes) [10,14].

Human SNM1B exhibits 33 % sequence identity with yPso2p in its N-terminal catalytic domain and, similarly to yPso2p and hSNM1A, possesses 5’-to-3’ exonuclease activity [16,17]. Under normal cellular conditions, SNM1B is localised to telomeres via its interaction with the shelterin protein TRF2 (telomeric repeat-binding factor 2), where its exonuclease activity is responsible for maintaining the 5’-overhang necessary for t-loop formation at newly replicated leading strand telomeres [17–20]. There is evidence that SNM1B plays a role in DNA damage repair, particularly in ICL repair: RNAi mediated depletion of SNM1B renders cells hypersensitive to DNA damaging agents (e.g. mitomycin C (MMC), cisplatin, and psoralen + UVA [16,21,22]); SNM1B co-localises with known DNA repair factors (e.g. MUS81, the MRN complex, and SLX4 [21,23]); loss of SNM1B is involved in defective checkpoint arrest after MMC treatment [21]; and SNM1B possesses the ability to digest DNA damage containing substrates in vitro [24].

Human SNM1C is a structure-specific endonuclease and is required for non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) double strand break (DSB) repair and V(D)J recombination. Accordingly, germline mutations in hSNM1C result in radiosensitive severe combined immunodeficiency (eukaryotes) [10,14].

mind differences in ICL repair mechanisms between higher and lower eukaryotes. These conserved domains are shown in Fig. 1.

2. Identification of the SNM1A/DCLRE1A gene

The hSNM1A/DCLRE1A gene (originally cloned and sequenced as Kazusa ORFeome cDNA KIAA0086), and its predicted protein product was observed to have a high degree of amino acid sequence similarity to yeast Pso2p in its C-terminal region [12]. Subsequently, analysis of the genomic organisation of the hSNM1A gene, and its putative protein product was performed [10]. The hSNM1A gene is located on chromosome 10q25.3, comprises an open reading frame of 3120 bp, consisting of nine exons, spanning from 119 bp (exon 4) to 1665 bp (exon 2). This encodes for a 1040 amino acid, 116.2 kDa protein product [10]. There have, to date, been no identified, physiologically present, hSNM1A splice variants. The region of sequence similarity between hSNM1A and Pso2p was mapped to the C-terminal 327 residues in hSNM1A, wherein 48 % of the amino acids were found to be identical and an additional 14 % were designated as similar [10]. This region corresponds with the 'catalytic' MBL and β-CASP domains of SNM1A.

Interestingly, in humans the SNM1A gene contains an unusually long 5’-untranslated region (UTR), containing an internal ribosome entry site (IRES), which generally suppresses translation throughout the cell cycle, the exception being, during mitosis when gene expression is upregulated. This led to the suggestion that the expression of hSNM1A may be temporally regulated and thus plays a role in the resolution of DNA damage that arises, is identified, or repaired during mitosis [35], although this has not been examined in detail.

3. Functional and structural analyses of SNM1A

As mentioned above, SNM1A is a member of the MBL structural superfamily. The ‘true’ MBLs are a subclass of the bacterial β-lactamases (BLs), responsible for antibacterial resistance by catalysing hydrolysis of all but one class (monobactams) of β-lactam antibiotics (e.g. penicillins and cephalosphorins). The MBL family is defined by structural conservation of the characteristic α/β/β/α MBL fold. This molecular scaffold coordinates the one or two metal ions necessary for substrate hydrolysis, and most MBLs appear to bind zinc (II) in the active site, although iron (II), cobalt (II), and manganese (II) have also been reported to support catalysis [36]. MBL-fold containing enzymes catalyse a range of reactions employing a broad array of substrates, including the hydrolysis of phosphodiester bonds and thioesters, as well as redox reactions [37,38]. On the basis of biological function, the MBL enzymes have been categorised into 16 sub-groups; and the DNA and RNA processing MBLs, into groups 6 and 7, respectively. To date, nine group 6 and 7 human MBLs have been identified: SNM1A/B/C, CPSF-73, CPSF-100, ELAC1, ELAC2, Int9, and Int11 [39,40].

The active site regions of many MBL family members (which collectively catalyse diverse reactions) contain five highly-conserved motifs: where motif 1 is an acidic residue; motif 2, the HxHxDH sequence; motif 3, a histidine residue; motif 4, an acidic or cysteine residue; and motif 5, another cysteine. While these motifs are very short, they are recognisable in the context of the secondary structure; they define important active site elements, by participating in metal ion coordination and catalysis, e.g. hydrolysis. A subset of the nucleic acid processing MBLs is delineated into the β-CASP subfamily, including Int11, CPSF-73, and SNM1A/B/C. These members have an appended MBL domain, lacking motif 5, and an inserted β-CASP domain [13]. These conserved domains are shown in Fig. 1.

4. Enzymatic studies of SNM1A

Given the high degree of sequence similarity with yPso2p at the amino acid level, it was hypothesised that the hSNM1A protein may have comparable 5’-to-3’ exonuclease activity and initial studies confirmed this [14,41]. Two studies of purified full-length human protein derived from either yeast or insect cell expression systems confirmed hSNM1A possesses intrinsic 5’-to-3’ exonuclease activity [14,41]. This exonuclease activity was enhanced on ssDNA over dsDNA, possessed a strict requirement for a free 5’-phosphate group, and was abolished by a D736A substitution (motif 2 in the MBL domain) [14,41].

A follow-up study by Sengerová and colleagues utilising Fig. 1. Conservation of catalytic domains between yPso2p and human orthologues. Linear representation of the amino acid sequences of yPso2p, hSNM1A, hSNM1B, hSNM1C showing the MBL and β-CASP domains with conserved motifs. The canonical MBL motifs are labelled 1–4, and β-CASP family motifs, A–C.
recombinant (insect cell-derived) truncated hSNM1A, containing the catalytic MBL and β-CASP domain cores, demonstrated that the addition of several divalent metal ions (CaCl₂, MnCl₂, or MgCl₂) stimulated enzymatic activity; these metal ions seem to be required in addition to the ‘intrinsic’ active site metal ions. ZnCl₂, NiCl₂, and CoCl₂ did not stimulate enzymatic activity and were inhibitory at concentrations greater than, or equal to, 0.1 mM. The addition of exogenous MgCl₂ had the greatest effect on stimulating nuclease activity, although the reasons for this remain unclear, as does the identity of the metal ions used by hSNM1A in vivo. Conversely, the addition of chelating agents (o-phenanthroline, EGTA, or EDTA) abrogated activity, as did mutations in putative metal ion-coordinating residues (D736A/H737A). hSNM1A was also shown to bind and digest an array of substrates and, importantly, was able to digest past a site-specific ICL [24]. This was an important observation as it reconciled the cellular phenotype (where loss of SNM1A is characterised by sensitivity to ICL inducing agents) with the in vitro biochemical activity. The pathways and interactions contributing to this process are discussed in detail below.

Whether hSNM1A functions as an endonuclease is a point of some contention. Two studies reported that when the availability of 5'-ends is blocked by the presence of a biotin molecule, or hydroxyl group, the activity of hSNM1A is abrogated, thus suggesting no intrinsic structure-specific endonucleolytic activity; this was observed on dsDNA, cross-linked, flap, and hairpin substrates [24,41]. Conversely, a third study identified endonucleolytic activity of hSNM1A on regions of single-stranded DNA in replication, or repair, intermediate structures; for example, flaps, overhangs, gaps, bubbles, and loops. In vitro nuclease assays showed that hSNM1A was able to make multiple endonucleolytic incisions on a fork-ICL substrate on the single stranded region 5' to the ICL, and then exonucleolytically process past the ICL [15]. Differences in enzyme and substrate concentrations may reconcile these apparently contradictory results. Sengerová et al. used 4 nM hSNM1A in radiolabelled nuclease assays with a crosslinked substrate, whereas, Buzon et al. used 180 nM, and each used 100 nM of substrate, for an incubation period of up to 120 min [15,34].

5. **Structural studies of SNM1A**

A structure of the MBL/β-CASP domain of hSNM1A (698–1040) has been solved, both in the apo form, to 2.19 Å (PDB: 5AHR [42]), and with inhibitors bound in the active site (PDB: 5NZW and 5NZY, to 2.7 and 1.5 Å, respectively). These structures encompass the catalytic core of the protein and reveal several distinctive features. The topology fold of the hSNM1A MBL domain is typical to other members of the superfAMILY and comprises a four-layered β-sandwich (α/β-α/β), with two mixed β-sheets, flanked by two α-helices on either side. The β-CASP domain consists of a four-stranded parallel β-sheet, flanked by three α-helices on one side, one on the other, and is inserted between strands 10 and 11 of the MBL domain [42]. The structure of hSNM1A is represented in Fig. 2.

The crystal structures provide insight into the hSNM1A active site and potential mode of DNA binding and suggest a mechanism, based on that for the true MBLs (Fig. 2) [24]. In the outlined hSNM1A mechanism, two metal ions are positioned within the active site, and the central water coordinated between them is proposed to be activated as a hydroxide ion, and can thus act as a nucleophile to attack the phosphodiester bond, resulting in exonucleolytic cleavage of the terminal nucleotide; one of the phosphate oxygens of the scissile phosphodiester may also coordinate to one of the active site metal ions. However, whether hSNM1A requires one or two metal ions for catalysis is incompletely understood. The crystal structure of the apo version of hSNM1A reveals one zinc ion (coordinated by the side chains of D815, H734, H732, H793) in the first metal ion-binding site (PDB: SAHR and [42]). These precise contacts, and the geometry of the active site are entirely conserved with the hSNM1B structure (PDB: 5AHO and [42]) and the recently solved hSNM1C structure (PDB: 6T75). In the case of hSNM1B the octahedral coordination of the first zinc ion is completed by the carboxyl and hydroxyl oxygens of a buffer-derived tartrate molecule. This is comparable to what has been observed in an analogous structure for hSNM1A, where the coordination of the first metal ion (in this structure Ni, though this is unlikely to be an in vivo metal ion) is completed by two carboxyl oxygens of a malonate molecule from the crystallisation buffer (PDB: 5Q2A, 1.5 Å resolution). Thus, it may be that for hSNM1A, when substrate binding occurs, the coordination network of the first metal ion is completed by the presence of the nucleophilic water molecule, and the phosphodiester backbone of the DNA substrate. However, this proposed mechanism remains speculative, and future structural studies, particularly with a DNA substrate(s), should elucidate this further.

The second potential metal ion-binding site in hSNM1A is unoccupied in all reported crystal structures; however, all residues that coordinate the second metal ion (also octahedrally) in both the hSNM1B and hSNM1C structures are conserved in hSNM1A (D335, D736, and H737) [42]. Structures of RNA-processing β-CASP MBLS; RNase J1 [43], RNase J [44,45], CPSF-73 and CPSF-100 [46] have all been solved with two Zn²⁺ ions coordinated in the active site. However, it is notable that the aforementioned RNA-processing β-CASP MBLS have one more histidine coordinating residue at the second metal ion-binding site, a feature that is not conserved in the DNA-processing β-CASP MBLS, and therefore it seems quite possible that hSNM1A binds a second metal less tightly. For a comparison of the active site architecture between the DNA and RNA processing β-CASP MBLS, see Fig. 3. Nevertheless, it seems plausible that the di-metal form of hSNM1A is more catalytically active, as this may optimally coordinate and activate the water molecule for phosphodiester hydrolysis; accordingly the D736A variant of SNM1A is catalytically inactive [24,42]. The identity of the catalytically relevant (or, indeed, inhibitory) metal ion(s) for hSNM1A, at this stage thus remains to be elucidated, although use of two Zn (II) ions would be consistent with proposals for other β-CASP MBL family members.

Perhaps the most striking feature of the hSNM1A crystal structure is the electrostatic surface charge distribution. From the perspective of the active site, hSNM1A is highly positively charged (Fig. 2); this is mostly due to the side-chains of a number of lysine and arginine residues located on both MBL and β-CASP domains [42]. Current hypotheses suggest that this charged face interacts with the negatively charged phosphodiester DNA backbone and enhances substrate binding, in addition to the specific contacts likely made in the active site, in a nonsequence-specific manner. This positively charged, putative ‘DNA binding groove’ is suggested to mediate the enhanced processivity that SNM1A manifests with higher molecular weight DNA, as well as facilitating binding to substrates that are bulky and which may not be well accommodated in the active site (i.e. those that contain DNA damage) [42]. Accordingly, mutating two adjacent residues at one end of the proposed DNA binding groove had no effect on the catalytic turnover or efficiency, though processivity on plasmid DNA substrates, and the capacity to digest past an ICL-containing dsDNA substrate was markedly reduced. However, it was noted that other mutations within this region had a much less pronounced effect [42].

6. **Mouse studies into the function of SNM1A**

To date there are three reports examining the effects of mSNM1A disruption in mice, with sometimes inconsistent results. The first, generated from the 120/0SvJ background, involved deletion of intron 3 and exon 4 of the mSNM1A gene, and subsequent loss of most of the catalytic motifs. These mice were viable, developed normally, exhibited no major defects, and were fertile. However, after treatment with MMC, survival rates indicated an enhanced sensitivity when compared with...
WT mice, but not to other DNA damaging agents, including 8-methoxyypsoralen + UVA, MMS, melphalan, UV254 nm, or cisplatin [47]. Similarly, in a 2008 study, mSNM1A knockout mice from the same genetic background (129/SvJ), wherein exon 2 was disrupted, exhibited normal development, fertility, and life expectancy [48]. Interestingly, when exons 2–7 were disrupted to generate mSNM1A null animals from a different genetic background (C57BL) a more complex phenotype was observed, characterised by decreased life expectancy and increased rates of tumourigenesis. When there was dual loss of p53 and mSNM1A, rates of tumourigenesis were enhanced, suggesting that in the absence of mSNM1A genome damage that is countered by the tumour-suppressing activities of p53 accumulates. Loss of mSNM1A in a FancD2−/− background also lead to semi perinatal lethality, suggesting that mSNM1A contributes to DNA repair, and particularly ICL repair, outside the canonical Fanconi Anaemia (FA) repair pathway. mSNM1A null mice exhibited hypersensitivity to the spindle poisons, nocodazole and Taxol, further suggesting a role for mSNM1A in maintaining genomic integrity. In male mSNM1A−/− mice an increase in bacterial infections was also reported, contributing to increased mortality, although the reason for this is currently unclear [49].

7. The cellular function of SNM1A

Most attempts to understand the role of SNM1A in higher eukaryotes have focussed on cellular studies, and whilst these have identified a strong contribution of SNM1A to DNA repair, fully elucidating its function has proved challenging. This is attributable, at least in part, to low levels of endogenous protein expression, and the cytotoxicity of SNM1A over-expression in mammalian cell systems [35,41,47,50]. Nevertheless, the current understanding of the cellular activities of SNM1A is summarised below.

mSNM1A contains a nuclear localisation sequence (NLS) and localises to the nucleus in mouse ES cells [47]. In MEFs, ES cells, or skin fibroblasts, loss of mSNM1A result in sensitivity to MMC when compared with isogenic cell lines, but not to other DNA damaging agents (including IR, 8-methoxyypsoralen + UVA, MMS, UV254 nm, and melphalan), in clonogenic survival assays [47–49]. After treatment with MMC, mSNM1A−/− MEFs also exhibited increased chromosomal instability, characterised by increased breaks and radials [48]. Similar to the organisinal phenotype, mSNM1A−/− MEFs were hypersensitive to treatment with the spindle poisons, nocodazole and Taxol [49,51]. After exposure to nocodazole and Taxol, SNM1A−/− MEFs also

---

**Fig. 2.** Structural features of hSNM1A. A: cartoon representation and topology map of hSNM1A, coloured from blue (N-terminus) to red (C-terminus). The MBL and β-CASP domains are as indicated, with the contributing α-helices and β-sheets as labelled. The active site metal ions are depicted as grey spheres, and the N- and C-termini as labelled. B: outline mechanism for SNM1 family enzymes; note metal ion use by hSNM1A in vivo is uncertain. C: the electrostatic surface potential of hSNM1A shown from two orientations, where red is more electronegative, and blue more electropositive. The active site is indicated.
exhibited failure to arrest at the prophase-to-metaphase transition checkpoint, resulting in increased micronuclei, polyploidy, and decreased cellular viability. This implicates mSNM1A in maintaining genomic integrity through checkpoint monitoring [51].

To probe the cellular networks in which mSNM1A participates, functional relationships between mSNM1A and other known DNA repair factors were examined, particularly with regards to ICL repair. The formation of RAD51 foci, after induction of DNA damage, is used to indicate the formation of synapsed intermediates, generated by recombination-mediated repair of DSBs, in downstream steps in ICL repair, and during the protection and remodelling of damaged replication forks [52,53]. Loss of mSNM1A in MMC-treated ES cells did not affect RAD51 foci formation, implying that mSNM1A either functions downstream of RAD51, functions in a parallel repair pathway, or that there is redundancy with another repair factor [47].

Similar to mouse data, loss of SNM1A in chicken DT40 cells resulted in sensitivity to MMC and cisplatin; this sensitivity could only be overcome by provision of a functionally intact MBL domain. Interestingly, the double disruption of chSNM1A and chSNM1B enhanced sensitivity when compared with the respective single-gene disruptants, suggesting functionally overlapping or parallel roles for these genes in ICL repair. Further investigation into the genetic relationship of chSNM1A with other repair factors in DT40 cells found that chSNM1A is non-epistatic with XRCC4 (an XLF-paralogue, involved in NHEJ), RAD18 (an E3 ubiquitin ligase that regulates TLS) and FANCC. This led to the conclusion that chSNM1A has, at least partially, distinct functions from the canonical HR, TLS, or FA DNA repair pathways, respectively [54].

Another study utilising chicken DT40 cells observed that chSNM1A−/− cells were resistant to high doses of etoposide, and that chSNM1A appears to play a role in mediating etoposide-induced apoptosis. A similar phenotype was observed for each of the chSNM1 nucleases (SNM1A, SNM1B, SNM1C), and they appeared to function at least partly in parallel, or have overlapping functionality from one another, as concomitant depletion led to an additive phenotype. The specific role that chSNM1A (or chSNM1B/SNM1C) plays in this pathway is unclear; however, the data suggest that its involvement is upstream of caspase activation, and that it may be involved in mediating the cleavage of DNA during the apoptotic process [55].

With regards to human cells, there have been a number of studies defining the subcellular localisation of hSNM1A, its interaction and functional relationships with other repair factors, its role in cell-cycle checkpoints, and the effects of post-translational modifications (PTMs). As observed with mouse and chicken DT40 cells, hSNM1A appears to be poorly expressed and localises to the nucleus. Overexpressed hSNM1A localises to either large, amorphous nuclear structures (approximately 2 μm in diameter), referred to as ‘SNM1 bodies,’ or to multiple smaller foci, and which of these predominates appears to be influenced both by cell cycle stage, and DNA repair status. For example, after treatment with 10 Gy IR in MCF7 cells, there was an increase in hSNM1A repair foci and a concomitant decrease in the ‘SNM1 bodies’ [50]. It is hypothesised that the presence of these ‘SNM1 bodies’ are simply a sequestration of insoluble hSNM1A protein, as overexpression was previously shown to be toxic in mammalian cells [35,41,47,50,54].

After treatment with IR, these hSNM1A containing foci were shown to co-localise with known DSB repair factors, MRE11, 53BP1, and BRCA1 (albeit more weakly), but not BRCA2 or RAD51 [50,56]. Both MRE11, as part of the MRN (MRE11-RAD50-NBS1) complex, and 53BP1 are rapidly recruited to DSBs, where they mediate downstream repair processes [57–60]. hSNM1A was shown to co-localise with 53BP1 both before and after DNA damage, and 53BP1 was shown to co-immunoprecipitate with hSNM1A in untreated cells, although 53BP1 was not necessary for the recruitment of hSNM1A to DNA damage repair foci [50]. Instead, the recruitment of hSNM1A to DNA damage repair foci in response to IR was found to be dependent on the activity of the ATM kinase. Following from this, hSNM1A was shown to be a...
target for ATM phosphorylation in vitro, and to be required for the G$_1$-checkpoint arrest following IR treatment [56]. The exact mechanism by which hSNM1A is recruited to subnuclear foci is unclear, although the data suggest that this is dependent on a functional MBL domain, as point mutations in this region (H994A, D838 N) largely abrogate foci formation [54]. Interestingly, these two residues are located proximal to the active site, and there may be a link between catalytic activity and foci formation (though it is possible these mutations alter the protein fold stability).

hSNM1A has also been shown to interact and colocalise with the SUMO E3 ligase, PIAS1 [54]. Similar to ubiquitination, SUMOylation can affect protein stability, subcellular localisation, and protein-protein interactions [61]. However, it is unclear whether hSNM1A is a substrate for PIAS, either in vitro or in vivo. It is notable that H994 and D838 were important for the interaction of PIAS1 with hSNM1A [54], raising the possibility of PTMs affecting subcellular localisation, or regulating enzymatic activity.

Another PTM associated with initiation and regulation of DNA repair pathways is PARylation, as catalysed by PARPs (poly(ADP-ribose)-polymerases) [62]. Pattern recognition and homology searches identified a putative PBZ (PAR binding zinc finger) motif within the hSNM1A, although this has not yet been validated experimentally, and the role of this in mediating the activity of hSNM1A in response to DNA damage remains to be elucidated [63].

Further to this, an in silico pattern recognition search identified a highly conserved putative PIP (PCNA interacting protein) box and a UBZ (ubiquitin binding zinc finger) within the primary amino acid sequence of SNM1A. These were confirmed experimentally in vitro, and within cells hSNM1A was shown to physically interact with PCNA via its PIP box. When PCNA was ubiquitinated in response to DNA damage, this interaction was enhanced, as the UBZ of hSNM1A was able to make an additional point of contact. This interaction of hSNM1A with PCNA (via the PIP box) was absolutely required for hSNM1A foci formation, both in unstressed and stressed conditions. However, DNA damage (treatment with MMC or UV) was required to activate the UBZ-dependent assembly of hSNM1A, and this, in turn, was dependent on the presence of RAD18 [64]. In response to replication fork stalling, RAD18 is an E3 ligase that is able to ubiquitinate PCNA, triggering downstream repair and damage tolerance pathways [65,66]. Therefore, a model was proposed whereby hSNM1A interacts with PCNA at replication forks via its PIP box, and, in cases of replication fork stalling at damage, RAD18-mediated ubiquitination of PCNA enhances the interaction between PCNA and hSNM1A, thus promoting the formation of repair foci and initiating downstream repair pathways [64].

More recent studies examining the replication fork proteome in both human cells and Xenopus egg extracts identified the presence of SNM1A at replication forks, both in unstressed conditions, and after treatment with DNA damaging agents. Two of these studies utilised iPOND (iso-lation of proteins on nascent DNA), coupled with mass spectrometry [67,68], and the third utilised chromatin mass spectrometry (CHROMASS) in Xenopus plasmid assays [69]. These found hSNM1A present at the replication fork in unstressed conditions, showed damage-specific enrichment after treatment with hydroxyurea [67], and also accumulated at sites of psoralen ICLs [69].

The role of hSNM1A has also been explored in the context of ICL repair and, as with chicken and mouse cells, human cells deficient in SNM1A exhibit sensitivity to MMC [48,54,70]. Again, similarly to chSNM1A and mSNM1A, hSNM1A appears to function in ICL repair outside the canonical FA repair pathway, or downstream of FA core complex recruitment and processing. Depletion of hSNM1A in FANCA deficient fibroblasts revealed a nonepistatic relationship after treatment with MMC, and depletion of hSNM1A alone did not affect FANCID2 monoubiquitination in response to ICL inducing agents [48]. Interestingly, survival assays after treatment with cross-linking agents, showed epistasis between hSNM1A and the structure-specific endonuclease, XPF-ERCC1 [70]. The functional relationship between hSNM1A and XPF-ERCC1 in collaborating to process ICLs will be discussed in more detail below.

The relationship between hSNM1A and other DNA repair nucleases is of interest. Human FAN1 (FANCID2 associated nuclease) has structure specific endonuclease activity on 5´-flap substrates and 5´-to-3´ exo-nuclease activity on ssDNA (albeit releasing 3 nucleotide reaction products). In cellular studies, hFAN1 is required for resistance to ICL-inducing agents [71], and, in vitro, hFAN1 can incise either side of an ICL embedded in dsDNA with a 5´ flap, thereby effectively unhooking it [72–74]. Interestingly, hFAN1 can function both within, and independently from, the canonical FA-pathway in ICL repair. Double disruption of mSNM1A and mFAN1 resulted in increased sensitivity to MMC treatment, when compared with either disruptant alone, suggesting that these two enzymes function (at least partially) independently to facilitate ICL unhooking [71]. A more recent study suggested that hSNM1A may act in the same pathway as the DNA repair exonuclease, SAN1, after treatment with MMC. A similar epistatic relationship was observed between SAN1 and FAN1, and it is possible these nucleases function together to resolve ICLs in an FA-independent manner [75].

There is also some evidence that hSNM1A plays a role in replication-independent ICL repair. In dividing cells, ICLs are repaired when one, or two replication forks collide with the ICL [76,77]. However, there is evidence of alternate repair pathways that occur outside S-phase in replicating cells, or in post-mitotic cells. The data suggest that replication-independent repair involve factors from either transcription-coupled (TC), or global-genome (GG) NER (nucleotide excision repair) pathways [78,79]. One such factor is CSB, a TC-NER protein, implicated in the repair of MMC, cisplatin, or psoralen/UV induced interstrand crosslinks in G$_0$/G$_1$ phases of the cell cycle [80–83]. Interestingly, a yeast two-hybrid screen and co-immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that CSB physically interacts with hSNM1A, and confocal microscopy showed they colocalise to trioxsalen + laser-induced ICLs in cells [83]. This, of course, raises the possibility that hSNM1A is able to contribute to ICL-processing outside of the S-phase dependent (FA mediated) ICL repair pathway.

Whilst the C-terminal region of hSNM1A contains the catalytic domains, the N-terminal region appears to be important for mediating protein-protein interactions, containing sites for PTMs, and directing foci formation. These regions and important features are summarised in Fig. 4.

---

**Fig. 4.** Linear representation of hSNM1A showing domain boundaries and interacting regions. UBZ = ubiquitin binding zinc finger, PIP box = PCNA interacting motif, both from [64]. PBZ = PAR binding zinc finger, from [63]. MBL and β-CASP from [42]. The PIAS1 and CSB interactions were not mapped specifically, but were within depicted regions, from [54] and [83] Iyama et al., respectively. Subnuclear foci formation, within this region, from Richie et al.
8. A mechanistic understanding of SNM1A in DNA damage repair

A number of in vitro biochemical reconstitution experiments have provided additional insight into the role of hSNM1A in ICL repair. The first of these was the observation that recombinant truncated hSNM1A (698–1040), as well as full-length hSNM1A, possess the ability to digest past a site-specific crosslink in vitro [24]. There have also been efforts to partially reconstitute ICL repair pathways in vitro, to elucidate the molecular steps to which hSNM1A may contribute.

There are presently three main models for replication-coupled ICL repair, which have been comprehensively reviewed elsewhere, and readers seeking a detailed account of these processes are directed to [84–88]. In summary, these are: collision of a single replication fork with an ICL [89]; dual collision of converging replication forks [77,90]; and replication fork reversal past the ICL mediated by FANCM’s translocase activity [91]. These models have largely been generated from experimental data utilising either mammalian cell biology methods or Xenopus egg extracts examining the repair of plasmids containing site-specific ICLs [77].

The steps and repair intermediates generated for each of these models are summarised in Fig. 5. Currently, the dual collision replication fork model predominates, whereby each nascent leading strand stalls ∼20–40 nt from the ICL, due to steric constraints by the CMG helicases [92]. One, or both, of the CMG helicases is then unloaded in a TRAIP-dependent manner [93], allowing one replication fork to proceed to the site of the ICL [94], where it may undergo replication fork reversal [95]. This repressed fork structure then becomes a substrate for nucleolytic incision and subsequent ICL-unhooking. A number of nucleases have been implicated in this incision and unhooking process: XPF-ERCC1, MUS81-EME1, SNM1A, SNM1B, FAN1, and SLX1; however, at this point the data suggest that XPF-ERCC1 is indispensable for this process [96].

Following from these models, biochemical results demonstrate that XPF-ERCC1 and hSNM1A can collaborate to very efficiently incise and unhook the ICL [70,97]. It was shown that on a structure mimicking a stalled replication fork at an ICL, XPF-ERCC1 is able to incise 6 nt 5’ to the ICL within the duplex region (ii), while hSNM1A is then able to load onto this nicked substrate and digest past the ICL, thus unhooking it (iv) [76,97]. The digested strand then becomes a substrate for TLS polymerases, replication fork restart, and HR-directed repair of the broken chromatid.

Importantly, in this system, the
collaborative activity of XPF-ERCC1-RPA and hSNM1A would be capable of acting on intermediates generated by both a single and dual/converging fork ICL collision [97]. The endonucleolytic activity of XPF-ERCC1 requires a short stretch of dsDNA, therefore the observation that fork regression has been observed following replication fork encountering the ICL is pertinent [95]. In cases of FANCM-mediated ICL traverse, the structure of the post-replicative ICL-containing DNA is not known; however, it is likely that this may also be a substrate for XPF-ERCC1-RPA and hSNM1A nucleolytic processing (Fig. 5). It is important to note that the experiments validating FANCM-mediated ICL-traverse were performed on psoralen lesions, which are also able to be unhooked through the glycosylase activity of NEIL3 [98,99], thus raising the possibility that ICL-traverse could be linked to that pathway, which may not be relevant to other forms of ICLs (e.g. platinum, nitrogen mustards, aldehydes).

In the context of replication-independent ICL repair, it was shown that the presence of CSB stimulates the nucleolytic activity of hSNM1A in vitro [83]. CSB is suggested to play a role in chromatin remodelling and is part of the SWI12/SNF2 family of DNA-dependent ATPases [101]. Interestingly, in the in vitro system used in this study, CSB stimulated the activity of hSNM1A on DNA substrates that it, itself is unable to bind, thus suggesting this stimulation arises due to protein-protein interactions [83].

Taken together, the contents of this review suggest an important role for hSNM1A in ICL processing and repair. The exact mechanism remains to be elucidated, and there are still many outstanding pieces of the puzzle to fit. For example: why is there sensitivity for some crosslinking agents (MMC or SJG-136), over others (cisplatin); and, to what extent is there redundancy or synergy with other repair nucleases (FAN1 or hSNM1B)? These questions provide important avenues for further research.

The potential role for hSNM1A is DSBR repair is less clear. hSNM1A depleted cells do not display overt sensitivity to IR, but hSNM1A foci do form in response to IR [56], and colocalise with known DSBR repair proteins [58]. hSNM1A is also required for DSBR-induced cell-cycle arrest [50]. Again, further delineating this is an area for future research.

9. SNM1A in disease

Cellular data show hSNM1A is important for the maintenance of genome integrity, and the phenotype of the SNM1A knockout mice led some to conclude that mSNM1A functions as a tumour suppressor [49]. A number of genetic studies have also associated SNPs in the hSNM1A gene as being associated with cancer risk. One SNP (rs3650898) resulting in the coding variant, H317D, was marginally associated with increased small cell lung carcinoma risk [102]. This same SNP was also significantly associated with the development of peripheral neuropathy after treatment with oxaliplatin-fluoropyrimidine chemotherapy, in an analysis of 2183 patients with advanced colorectal cancer [103]. Another SNP, (rs14292634) resulting in a nonsense substitution in exon 2, was associated with an elevated incidence of cancer in a BRCA1 and BRCA2 negative population with hereditary breast cancer risk [104].

Expression levels of hSNM1A also appear to be associated with both the process of tumourigenesis and survival outcomes. In human cells, SNM1A is ubiquitously expressed at very low levels, with higher levels of expression in the brain, testes, and thyroid [50,105,106]. Interestingly, in individuals with ovarian cancer hSNM1A was identified as one of two 7-gene functional groups, where elevated transcript expression was associated with decreased survival [107]. Furthermore, in analyses of 47 individuals with colorectal cancer, hSNM1A transcript expression was significantly elevated in tumour tissue compared with control mucosa [108]. Changes in transcript expression of hSNM1A in cases of colorectal cancer are a potential point of interest. Colibactin was recently identified as genotoxin directly capable of causing ICLs [109,110], and colibactin expressing bacteria are associated with the pathogenesis of colorectal cancer [111]. Whether increases in hSNM1A transcript expression is related to colibactin-exposure and/or subsequent ICL induction remains an intriguing question.

10. Targeting SNM1A as a therapeutic strategy

Additional research into the relationship between hSNM1A gene expression and tumourigenesis will provide greater insight as to whether increased protein expression is associated with tumourigenesis and disease progression. However, these preliminary insights, in addition to the therapeutic tractability of targeting DNA damage repair pathways [112,113], have led our lab, and others, to explore the development of small molecule inhibitors of hSNM1A. Many chemotherapeutic agents function by inducing cytotoxic DNA damage, however, over time tumour cells become resistant, in part by upregulation of DNA repair pathways [114]. In addition, many tumour cells have lost some DNA repair functionality and therefore rely solely on redundant or alternate pathways. Therefore, inhibition of DNA damage repair factors (such as hSNM1A) may be useful as adjunctive chemotherapeutic agents, or in tumour-specific cases of synthetic lethality. Interestingly, the cephalosporins, cefotaxime and ceftriaxone, have been shown to inhibit the nuclease activity of hSNM1A in vitro at low micromolar efficiency [115]. Structure of each of these compounds bound to hSNM1A have been solved to 1.5 Å and 2.7 Å respectively, and deposited on PDB (PDB ID: 5NZY and 5NZW). Ceftriaxone bound to the metal in the catalytic core of the enzyme and may function by precluding substrate binding and/or enzymatic activity. Conversely, cefotaxime bound in two distinct pockets away from the active site, so the mechanism of action remains unclear. A more recent study showed that nucleoside derivatives containing hydroxamic acids were again able to inhibit the nuclease activity of hSNM1A in vitro [116].

11. Conclusions and future directions

Twenty years after the identification of hSNM1A gene there has been much research into the protein’s structure, function, and cellular role. Although there remains much to be defined, research into the biochemical and structure of the C-terminal region of hSNM1A has provided insight into its catalytic mechanism and ability to process DNA damage. At the same time, research into specific protein-protein interactions, the repair networks within which SNM1A coordinates and functions, and the role and regulation of PTMs have only provided tantalising glimpses into its cellular role. At this stage, therefore, a clear understanding of hSNM1A’s contribution to genome integrity has not yet fully been realised. Further research into the pathways and mechanisms in which hSNM1A acts in DNA repair will undoubtedly elucidate this further.

Genetic data has provided valuable insight into the role of hSNM1A in the processes of tumourigenesis, treatment outcomes, and survival. Future research will undoubtedly provide insight into the genetic landscape of hSNM1A and may enable the development of inhibitors with therapeutic tractability.
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