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A B S T R A C T

Cotton production is labour intensive in almost all the developing countries where it is picked by hand. In recent times, due to acute labour shortage, mechanized harvesting is gaining momentum. Mechanized harvesting requires the application of defoliants, which reduces the cost of labour used for picking cotton and maximum cotton can be picked in a single pick. Farmers can go for next crop due to earliness in cotton and get benefit of two crops instead of one single long duration crop. Researchers have conducted various studies on defoliation in cotton using different chemicals and different defoliation methods. In this concern, defoliants and their time of application influence on growth, yield and defoliation percentage of cotton is provided in this overview.
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Introduction
Cotton, the King of Fibres, is an important commercial crop which occupies a prime position in agro-industrial economy in India. India remains the leading country in terms of area under cotton cultivation and raw cotton production in the world.

Normally cotton is picked by hand, however, due to acute labour shortage, mechanized harvesting is gaining momentum. Mechanized harvesting of cotton requires the application of harvest-facilitating defoliants, chemicals to shed the leaves before harvesting at an appropriate time and to ensure clean and smooth picking of the seed cotton. Chemical defoliants therefore, form an integral part of package for achieving clean pickings, early termination and quality cotton in developing countries.

These chemicals necessary to increase harvest efficiency, reduce lodging, reduce trash, lint staining and to synchronize the opening of bolls thus allowing the farmer to obtain maximum yield with harvesting once and to facilitate mechanical harvesting. Proper time of defoliation involves balancing the value of potential increases in yield with the value of changes in fiber quality; hence its exploration is of prime importance for cotton growers for
fetching maximum returns from their produce (Showler, 2009). Thus, time of application of harvest aid chemicals is very crucial which influence the yield of cotton. Defoliants application leads to earliness in cotton with synchronous maturity, vacating the field 10-15 days earlier than the normal cotton. It paves way for introduction of short duration pulses like greengram in sequence.

**Influence of defoliants in Cotton**

**Growth parameters**

Mrunalini et al., (2019) reported a reduction in plant height of non-Bt cotton variety, Suraj at 15 days after defoliant spray with Dropp Ultra 540 SC @ 200 ml ha$^{-1}$ (applied at 60% boll opening) when compared to urea @ 15% in clay soils at Bapatla in Andhra Pradesh.

Results of an experiment on effect of mepiquat chloride applied to cotton at early bloom and physiological cut out conducted at Carolina by Collins et al., (2017) revealed that application of mepiquat chloride @ 0.1 kg ha$^{-1}$ at early bloom stage reduced plant height (101.7 cm) over control (126.9 cm) whereas, no significant differences were observed when applied at physiological cut out. Application of mepiquat chloride @ 1750 ml ha$^{-1}$ recorded statistically least plant height over control as reported by Singh et al., 2017. Similar results were obtained in Kataria and Khanpara (2012).

A field experiment carried out by Meena et al., (2016) at Central Institute for Cotton Research, Sirsa, reported that there was significant reduction in plant height in two cotton genotypes i.e., variety F 1861 and BthrybidMRC7017, with even the lower concentrations of Thiodizuron @ 200 ml ha$^{-1}$ (120.3 cm) and Etherel @ 2000 ppm (121 cm) as compared to control (126.1 cm).

**Yield attributes and seed cotton yield**

Singh et al., (2017) reported that the highest seed cotton yield (2976 kg ha$^{-1}$) was recorded with application of mepiquat chloride @1500 ml ha$^{-1}$ due to improved boll weight (3.92 g) and bolls plant$^{-1}$ (53.2) over the other treatments at Punjab Agricultural University, Faridkot.

A study conducted on medium black soils at UAS, Dharwad with compact cotton genotypes stated that genotype, RAH 274 with application of chemical defoliant Etherel @ 2000 ppm recorded higher yield attributes like sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls per plant, per cent boll open and yield per plant which resulted in higher seed cotton yield (2241 kg ha$^{-1}$) over other combinations (Tuppad et al., 2017).

Meena et al., (2016) from Sirsa, reported that application of Thiodizuron 36% SC + Diuron 18% SC @ 225 ml ha$^{-1}$ gave significantly higher yield (23.79 q ha$^{-1}$), however it was found at par with 220 @ ml ha$^{-1}$ (23.48 q ha$^{-1}$) and superior over Ethrel @ 2000 ppm (20.78 q ha$^{-1}$) and control (19.73 q ha$^{-1}$).

Results of an experiment conducted by Fatullatieshaev and Khaitov (2015) on effect of defoliants and fertilizers on yield and quality of American cotton (Bukhara) at Central Experimental Station of Uzbekistan, revealed that the higher cotton yield (42.5 q ha$^{-1}$) was observed with application of defoliant Avguron extra 0.25 l ha$^{-1}$ when associated with mineral fertiliser N$_{250}$ D$_{175}$ E$_{125}$ kg ha$^{-1}$ than control (40.4 q ha$^{-1}$).

An experiment conducted by Kulvir and Pankaj (2015) on American cotton cultivars in sandy loam soils at Punjab Agricultural University, Faridkot revealed that Dropp ultra @ 200 ml ha$^{-1}$ recorded significantly the highest seed cotton yield (3111.6 kg ha$^{-1}$).
followed by Ethrel @ 2000 ppm (2948.3 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and control (2878.9 kg ha\(^{-1}\)).

**Percent defoliation in cotton**

Per cent defoliation was significantly higher with Dropp ultra @ 250 ml ha\(^{-1}\) (92.3 %) and it was on a par with Dropp ultra @ 200 ml ha\(^{-1}\) (90 %) and ethrel @ 3000 ppm (89 %) 7 days after application as reported by Raghavendra and Rama Reddy (2020) from Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh.

Wang Fang Yong et al., (2019) from China, reported that defoliation percentage 21 days after thidiazuron 180 ml ha\(^{-1}\)+ ethrel 1200 ml ha\(^{-1}\) spray on XLZ 45 and XLZ 62 cotton cultivars was 92.7 and 90.5 % under wide row high density planting and 89.3 and 91.1 % under wide row low density planting, respectively.

Mrunalini and Sree Rekha (2018) from their study at Bapatla on clay soil, found that there was significant increase in per cent defoliation with Dropp ultra @ 200 ml ha\(^{-1}\) (99.7) at 15 days after defoliant spray followed by Dropp ultra @150 ml ha\(^{-1}\) (93.1) and the lowest percent defoliation was observed in urea @15 %.

Wang et al., (2018) from a two-year study conducted at China asserted that defoliation and boll opening percentages were significantly enhanced by 45 to 57 % and by 35 to 41 % after the application of harvest aids thidiazuron 900 g a.i ha\(^{-1}\) +ethephon 3000 g a.i ha\(^{-1}\) over other doses tested.

In a field experiment conducted at Sirsa by Meena et al., (2016) it was reported that 15\(^{th}\) day after defoliant spray, leaves shedding was significantly higher in both concentrations of Thidiuron + Diuron @ 225 and 200 ml ha\(^{-1}\) (51.3 and 51.5 %) and ethrel @ 2000 ppm (45.6%) compared to control (26.1%).

**Effect of time of application of defoliants in cotton**

**Growth parameters**

Veeraputhiran and Gunasekaran (2020) from Srivilliputtur, Tamilnadu reported that significantly shorter plants were observed with application of mepiquat chloride thrice at square formation, flowering and boll development (77.4 cm) when compared to once at flowering (89.8 cm) or square formation (86.9 cm) or boll development (93.1 cm) and control (99.4 cm) under high density planting system of cotton.

Pooled results of a two year study from Punjab Agricultural University, Faridkot by Kulvir et al., (2015) revealed that application of defoliants at 140 DAS recorded significantly less plant height (162.1 cm) when compared to application of defoliants at 150 DAS (165.6 cm).

Karademir et al., (2007) reported that there were significant differences for plant height in second year of their study with application of defoliant, Thidiazuron + Diuron @ 600 ml ha\(^{-1}\) with cotton variety Maras 92. Shorter plant height was observed with defoliant spray at 60 % boll opening (93 cm), which was on a par with 50 % boll opening (93.94 cm) than 70 % boll opening (96.78 cm) and control (97.90 cm).

**Yield parameters and seed cotton yield**

Results of an experiment conducted by Gormus et al., (2017) at Turkey, revealed that yields of the early harvest at late defoliation timing (90% boll opening) and late harvest at mid defoliation timing (70 % boll opening) gave significantly higher yields than all other treatments from two years of field studies. In a study conducted for two years at Punjab Agricultural University, Faridkot in American
cotton, defoliants applied at 150 days after sowing (DAS) resulted in significantly higher yield (3017.7 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) as compared to early application at 140 DAS (2789.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) owing to significantly improved boll retention and better boll weight (Kulvir and Pankaj, 2015).

Buttar and Singh (2013) from Punjab Agriculture University, Bathinda, reported that higher seed cotton yields at all the levels of Etherel were obtained when it was applied at 145 DAS as compared to 130 DAS during their two years of study.

The results had shown that application of Etherel @ 2500 ppm 145 DAS in Bt cotton hybrid RCH 134 gave higher seed cotton yield coupled with timely vacation of the field.

Awan et al., (2012) reported that seed cotton yield was greater where defoliant was applied at 60 % open bolls and sulphur was applied @ 24 kg ha\(^{-1}\) when compared to 50 % open bolls in cotton cultivar CIM-473. Harvesting was done 25 days earlier than control and lowest seed cotton yield was recorded in control at Pakistan.

Rajni et al., (2011) studied about defoliants in Bt cotton and found that application of ethrel at 60 % boll opening produced numerically higher total seed cotton yield (2.27 t ha\(^{-1}\)) than 40 % boll opening (2.11 t ha\(^{-1}\)), but was statistically at par with each other.

**Percent defoliation in cotton**

A two-year study conducted on black cotton soil at Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh with American cotton under semi-arid conditions revealed that significantly higher defoliation percentage was observed with defoliant spray at 140 DAS (92.2 %) compared to defoliant application at 120 DAS (87.2%) (Raghavendra and Rama Reddy, 2020). Gormus et al., (2017) reported that application of defoliant (mixtures of Finish (ethephon+cyclanilide) @ 1.75 L ha\(^{-1}\) with Dropp Ultra (thidiazuron+diuron) @ 0.60 L ha\(^{-1}\) at 80% boll opening resulted in significantly lower number of green leaves (23.4) remaining on the plant at 28 days after defoliation over 40 % boll open (37.8) , 60 % boll open (29) and control (57.5) in cotton varieties.

Ratna Kumari et al., (2013) from their experiment on seed yield of Bunny BG I cotton at Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh stated that number of intact leaves on the plant were significantly reduced due to defoliant spray at 145 DAS compared to 130 DAS.

Osman et al., (2010) conducted an experiment at Turkey on clay soils with cotton variety cv. Stoneville-453, reported that number of leaves after application of dropp ultra at 60, 75 and 90 days after flowering were 3,7 and 25, respectively whereas with Glyphosate application at 60,75 and 90 days after flowering were 60, 55 and 46.

**Economics of cotton influenced by defoliants and time of application**

Mrunalini et al., (2019) from Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh reported that net returns (Rs. 61,223 ha\(^{-1}\) and B:C ratio (2.18) were markedly higher with Dropp Ultra 540 SC @ 200 ml ha\(^{-1}\) when it was applied at 60 per cent boll opening percentage in non- Bt cotton variety ‘Suraj’ due to less cost of cultivation and higher yield.

Results from an experiment conducted by Singh et al., (2017) in north western India revealed that the highest net returns were recorded with application of mepiquat chloride @1500 ml ha\(^{-1}\) over other treatments in Bt cotton hybrid NCS855 BGII.
Tuppad et al., (2017) reported that genotype RAH 274 at a spacing of 45 cm x 15 cm along with application of chemical defoliator Ethrel @ 2000 ppm recorded highest gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio over other treatments.

In a two-year study conducted at Punjab Agricultural University, Faridkot, loamy sand soils, Kulvir et al., (2015) reported that application of Dropp ultra 200 ml ha\(^{-1}\) significantly registered higher net returns (Rs. 85373 ha\(^{-1}\)) and B: C ratio (2.31) over other treatments. Application of defoliants at 150 DAS resulted in significantly improved net returns (Rs. 79288 ha\(^{-1}\)) as compared to earlier application at 140 DAS (Rs. 73632 ha\(^{-1}\)).

From the above reviews, it can be concluded that, application of defoliants at optimum time enhances the defoliation percentage, boll opening percentage and yield. It also resulted in higher net returns and benefit -cost ratio without compromising the cotton crop quality. Application of defoliants leads to earliness in cotton, which provide timely sowing of next crop, by vacating the field 10-15 days earlier.
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