One-Pot Synthesis of LiFePO$_4$/N-Doped C Composite Cathodes for Li-ion Batteries
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Abstract: LiFePO$_4$/N-doped C composites with core–shell structures were synthesized by a convenient solvothermal method. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) and glucose were used as nitrogen and carbon sources, respectively. The growth of LiFePO$_4$ nanocrystals was regulated by CTAB, resulting in an average particle size of 143 nm for the LiFePO$_4$/N-doped C. The N atoms existed in the carbon of LiFePO$_4$/N-doped C in the form of pyridinic N and graphitic N. The LiFePO$_4$/N-doped C composites delivered discharge specific capacities of 160.7 mAh·g$^{-1}$ (0.1 C), 128.4 mAh·g$^{-1}$ (5 C), and 115.8 mAh·g$^{-1}$ (10 C). Meanwhile, no capacity attenuation was found after 100 electrochemical cycles at 1 C. N-doping enhanced the capacity performance of the LiFePO$_4$/C cathode, while the core–shell structure enhanced the cycle performance of the cathode. The electrochemical test data showed a synergistic effect between N-doping and core–shell structure on the enhancement of the electrochemical performance of the LiFePO$_4$/C cathode.
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1. Introduction

The fossil energy that the modern economy depends on is gradually being exhausted, and the new energy industry has become a strategic platform for global economic development. The demand for new energy has promoted the vigorous development of energy storage devices. Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) [1,2], electrochemical capacitors [3,4], lithium-sulfur batteries [5–7] are being developed. LIBs have been commercialized due to their high energy density and long cycle life. Lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO$_4$), as one of the LIBs cathode materials, has good thermal stability, excellent environmental compatibility, and low cost. It is one of the most popular cathode materials used in fixed energy storage equipment and electric vehicles (EVs) [8–10]. However, the discontinuous FeO$_6$ co-edge octahedral network in LiFePO$_4$ crystals and the PO$_4$ tetrahedrons between them affect the electron transfer and the intercalation/deintercalation of Li$^+$ [11,12]. Moreover, the path of Li$^+$ diffusion is easily blocked by Fe-Li antisite defects, resulting in the diffusion coefficient of Li$^+$ being much lower than the theoretical value [13]. The intrinsically low Li$^+$ diffusion coefficient ($\sim$10$^{-14}$ cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$) and electronic conductivity ($\sim$10$^{-10}$ S·cm$^{-1}$) cause serious capacity attenuation in the LiFePO$_4$ battery in high-speed (≥5 C) charging and discharging [14,15].

Some methods have been proposed to improve the carrier conduction of cathodes, such as high-valence metal ion doping [16–18], high-conductivity coating [19], morphology optimization, and nanocrystallization [20,21]. High-valence metal ions usually occupy the Fe site in the LiFePO$_4$ lattice, forcing defects in the lattice to balance the charge. This lattice distortion reduces the bandgap and improves the electronic conductivity of LiFePO$_4$ in some cases [22]. The types and concentrations of metal ions have been widely studied. The coatings on LiFePO$_4$ particles with high-conductivity materials can significantly enhance the electronic conductivity in the cathode. The high-conductivity film can be made of...
Conductive carbon, metals, or some of their compounds (e.g., Cu [23], NiP [24], and AlF₃ [25]), as well as conductive polymers (e.g., PEDOT [26], PPY [27], and poly[Ni(CH₃-salen)] [28]). Conductive coatings not only enhance the conductivity of the cathode but also mechanically protect the active particles, inhibiting the dissolution of metal ions in the active material and improving the service life of the LIBs. As one of the most feasible coating materials, conductive carbon has been used in commercial LiFePO₄. However, the current rate and cycling performance of LiFePO₄ cathodes still cannot meet the requirements of future EVs and energy storage devices.

Recently, some researchers have reported that N, B, F, S, and other elements were doped into carbon film or graphene to improve their conductivity [29]. The doped atoms enhanced the electronic conductivity of carbon by providing carriers and enhanced the Li⁺ diffusivity in carbon by leading to defects in the graphite structure. Zhao et al. [30] proposed a pyrolysis method to prepare anode particles coated with N-doped carbon films. The conductivity and structural stability of the anode were greatly improved. Suo et al. [31] also found that N-doped carbon can improve the conductivity of the electrode and achieve a better rate performance. Ren et al. [32] introduced N, B, and F atoms into carbon film via pyrolysis of ionic liquid and observed excellent electrochemical performance on the LiFePO₄/C-N/B/F cathode.

However, it should be noted that the preparation of the active particles coated by N-doped carbon films is complex in the existing research reports, the processes including the separate preparation of doped carbon films and active particles and also combinations of them. Moreover, some expensive reactants were usually selected as N sources in the existing research reports. Therefore, with the aim of promoting the large-scale production of high-performance cathodes, it is necessary to simplify the preparation of the LiFePO₄@N-doped C composites and reduce the cost.

This work presents a convenient one-pot method for preparing LiFePO₄/N-doped C with core–shell structure composites. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) was used as a N source and surface modifier, attached to the LiFePO₄ particle and carbon microsphere surface during the solvothermal reaction and then pyrolyzed and doped into the carbon during calcination. The effects of N-doping on the chemical composition and structure of LiFePO₄/C composites were analyzed by XRD, SEM, inter alia. The electrochemical properties of these composites were measured by electrochemical tests. As expected, N-doping and the establishment of core–shell structures synergistically enhance the capacity and cycling performance of the LiFePO₄/N-doped C cathode.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Preparation of the LiFePO₄/N-Doped C Composites

Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram for preparing the LiFePO₄/N-doped C composites (LFP/C-N). The preparation method is based on reports from the literature [33]. Firstly, glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆·H₂O), as a carbon source, and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 3 mmol) were added to a mixture of deionized water and ethylene glycol (4:1, vol%). Then, the glucose solution, with a concentration of 0.3 mol·L⁻¹, was obtained after vigorous stirring. The LiOH·H₂O (18 mmol) was dissolved in the glucose solution (20 mL), and then the H₃PO₄ (0.2 mL, 85%) was added to form a white suspension (pH = 5.0). In addition, the FeSO₄·7H₂O (5.82 mmol) was dissolved in the above glucose solution (10 mL). After that, FeSO₄ solution was dropped into the white suspension, with intensive stirring. After obtaining the green suspension, the solution was stirred for another 15 min. Twelve milliliters of the resulting precursor was added into a Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor (with a volume of 25 mL) for solvothermal synthesis at 180 °C for 10 h. The obtained powder was washed three times with deionized water and then dried at 60 °C overnight. Finally, the powder was calcined successively at 350 °C for 6 h and 650 °C for 6 h, at a heating rate of 3 °C·min⁻¹ in a tube furnace with an H₂/Ar (5/95, vol) atmosphere. As a result, the LFP/C-N were obtained. The preparation of the LFP/C is the same as the LFP/C-N, but the CTAB was not used. For further comparison, the sample obtained when
neither glucose nor CTAB was added was named “LFP”, and the sample obtained when CTAB was added without glucose was named “LFP-N”, as shown in Table 1. Glucose (20 wt.%) was added to the LFP and the LFP-N before calcination to form a carbon film on the LiFePO₄ particles.

### Table 1. Synthesis conditions, carbon content, and electrochemical kinetic parameters of the LFP, the LFP-N, the LFP/C, and the LFP/C-N.

| Sample Name | Additives in Synthesis (mol L⁻¹) | Carbon Content (%) | Ohmic Resistance (Rₒ, Ω) | Charge Transfer Resistance (Rₓ, Ω) | Li⁺ Diffusion Coefficient (D, cm² s⁻¹) |
|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| LFP         | Glucose 0, CTAB 0               | 4.71               | 1.32                     | 269                              | 7.28 × 10⁻¹⁴                      |
| LFP-N       | Glucose 0, CTAB 0.1             | 6.71               | 1.29                     | 438                              | 5.95 × 10⁻¹⁴                      |
| LFP/C       | Glucose 0.3, CTAB 0             | 18.46              | 1.84                     | 137                              | 4.90 × 10⁻¹⁴                      |
| LFP/C-N     | Glucose 0.3, CTAB 0.1           | 16.85              | 2.09                     | 83.1                             | 6.35 × 10⁻¹⁴                      |

#### 2.2. Structure and Morphology Characterizations

The structures of the samples were detected by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker, D8 ADVANCE) with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm) in the 2θ range of 10°–70° at a scan speed of 12° min⁻¹. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements with an air atmosphere and a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹ were carried out using an integrated thermal analyzer (NETZSCH, STA 449C). The morphology was observed with a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, TESCAN, MALA3 LMH) and transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL, JEM-2100). The distribution of nitrogen in the sample particles was characterized by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed on a photoelectron spectrometer (THERMO FISHER, ESCALAB Xi⁺). Raman spectra were collected in the shift range of 200–3000 cm⁻¹ using a laser Raman spectrometer (HORIBA) with a 532 nm excitation laser.

#### 2.3. Electrochemical Characterization

All samples were made into CR2032 coin-type cells. The LiFePO₄/C powder was mixed with a conductive agent (acetylene black) and a binder (polyvinylidene fluoride, previously dissolved in N-methyl pyrrolidone) at a mass ratio of 8:1:1 [32]. The slurry obtained was coated on clean aluminum foil with a mass load of 1.9–2.1 mg·cm⁻² and then dried in an infrared oven at 100 °C for 12 h. The cathode piece obtained was cut into a circular sheet with a diameter of 12 mm. Lithium foil and Celgard 2400 (polypropylene) were used as counter-electrodes and separators, respectively. The coin cells were assembled inside a glove box with LiPF₆ (1 mol·L⁻¹) dissolved in a mixture of EC: EMC (1:1, vol%) as the electrolyte. Then, galvanostatic charge and discharge tests in a voltage range of 2.5–4.2 V were carried out in a battery testing system (LAND, CT2001A). In the calculation of discharge capacity, carbon components in the samples were deducted. Cyclic voltammetry
curves (CVs), in a voltage range of 2.5–4.2 V, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), at a frequency of from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz and voltage amplitude of 5 mV, were measured using an electrochemical workstation (CHI660E).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Analysis of Structure and Morphology

Figure 2a shows the XRD patterns of the LFP, the LFP-N, the LFP/C, and the LFP/C-N samples. All the sample matched well with the standard PDF card (JCPDS no. 40-1499) and no additional phases were found, indicating that there was no effect of CTAB on the reaction type of solvothermal crystallizing. As an anisotropic electrode material, the diffusion of Li\(^+\) in LiFePO\(_4\) is preferentially carried out in the direction perpendicular to (020). Therefore, the Li\(^+\) diffusion in the electrode and electrochemical kinetics are improved with the increase in the proportion of the (020) crystal plane occupying the surface of LiFePO\(_4\) particles [34,35]. The increase in the relative intensity of the (020) peak indicates that the (020) plane became relatively rich on the grain. The increase in the relative intensity of the (020) peak reflects the richer (020) plane on the grain. There is a strong (020) peak in the LFP-N and the LFP/C-N, indicating that the addition of CTAB is conducive to the emergence of the active surface.
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**Figure 2.** (a) XRD patterns and (b) their magnification in the range of 29.5°–30.2° of the LFP, the LFP-N, the LFP/C, and the LFP/C-N.

The amplification of the XRD patterns in the range of 29.5°–30.2° are shown in Figure 2b. The diffraction peaks of the LFP-N and the LFP/C-N are shifted to the right by 0.12° and 0.04°, respectively, while those of the LFP and the LFP/C are consistent, indicating that the addition of glucose did not change the spacing of each crystal plane of LiFePO\(_4\). The addition of CTAB shrank the spacing of each crystal plane of LiFePO\(_4\), which is not conducive to the Li-ion diffusion between crystal planes. However, the addition of glucose inhibited this shrinkage. Another important reason for the increase in crystal plane spacing is the formation of defects in the crystal. Therefore, without considering the doping of the LiFePO\(_4\) phase, a relatively smaller cell volume indicates a relatively higher crystallinity of LiFePO\(_4\), which helps to improve the cycle stability of the LIBs [36].

The micromorphology of the composites was characterized by SEM, as shown in Figure 3. The average particle sizes of the LFP and the LFP-N were 145 nm and 59 nm, respectively (Figure 3a–d). The more uniform particle shape and the narrower particle size distribution indicates that CTAB significantly regulated the growth of LiFePO\(_4\) particles. In the solvothermal process, glucose formed carbon microspheres through hydrothermal carbonization, and then the LiFePO\(_4\) particles were attached to it to form composites with a diameter of 2–5 μm, as shown in Figure 3e–h. The particle size distribution of LiFePO\(_4\)
primary particles in the LFP/C was uneven, and some “rice particles” with a length and width of 400–700 nm and 200–400 nm, respectively, were found. Figure 3f shows the particle size frequency distribution histogram of the LFP/C, and the average particle size is 288 nm. The larger LiFePO₄ particles were more difficult to attach to the carbon microspheres due to the smaller specific surface area, so there were more exposed carbon microspheres in the LFP/C, as shown in Figure 3f. With the same core–shell structure, the particle size of LiFePO₄ primary particles in the LFP/C-N decreased markedly. The average particle size of 143 nm (Figure 3h) and the particle size distribution close to a normal distribution indicated that the “aggregation growth” and “Ostwald ripening” of the LiFePO₄ nanocrystals were effectively inhibited in the growth process [37]. During the crystallization of LiFePO₄, CTAB (soft template) was combined with the specific crystal surface of nanocrystals, which affected the growth rate of different crystal planes in the growth process. As a result, change in the particle size and proportion of some crystal surfaces occurred [38–41], which is consistent with the XRD patterns.

Figure 3. SEM images and frequency distribution histogram of the LiFePO₄ primary particle sizes of the LFP (a,b), the LFP-N (c,d), the LFP/C (e,f), and the LFP/C-N (g,h).

In order to prove that N atoms were successfully doped into the carbon film and/or carbon microspheres, SEM images of bare carbon spheres in the LFP/C-N are shown in Figure 4a,b. The carbon microspheres (red dotted lines) are partially coated by LiFePO₄/C particle agglomerations (blue dashed lines). In the LFP/C-N, there is an apparent P element density difference between the two areas according to the EDS mapping images of the P element (Figure 4c), which proves the correctness of the above description. N elements were evenly found in LiFePO₄/C particle and carbon microsphere areas (Figure 4d), indicating synchronous N-doping in the carbon film on the surface of LiFePO₄ primary particles and carbon microspheres.

TEM images of the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N are shown in Figure 4e–h. The particle shape and size of all the samples in the low-magnification images (Figure 4e,g) are consistent with their SEM images. The carbon film on the LiFePO₄ primary particle surface can be found in the high-magnification image, as shown in Figure 4f,h. The carbon film can effectively improve the charge transfer kinetics between active particles. The thickness of the carbon film in the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N is about 2.6 nm and 4.2 nm, respectively. The uniformity of the carbon film was not affected by CTAB. The thicker carbon film in the LFP/C-N was due to the residual carbon formed by CTAB attached to the surface of LiFePO₄ primary particles.

The Raman spectra of the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N are shown in Figure 5, in which the response peaks at 1350 cm⁻¹ and 1590 cm⁻¹ are the characterizations of carbon. The peak at 1350 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the disordered state in sp³ hybrid carbon (D-band), i.e., amorphous carbon; the peak at 1590 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the G-band of relative motion
of two adjacent carbon atoms in sp$^2$ graphite. The relative intensity of these two peaks can reflect the degree of graphitization of carbon to a certain extent. The lower the peak intensity ratio $I_D/I_G$ (sp$^3$/sp$^2$), the higher the graphitization degree in carbon. The values of $I_D/I_G$ of the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N are 0.82 and 0.77, respectively, indicating that the carbon in the LFP/C-N possesses a higher degree of graphitization than that of the LFP/C. The electronic conductivity of carbon increases with the increase in graphitization degree in carbon, which is conducive to the rate performance of the LiFePO$_4$/C composite cathodes [42].

Figure 4. (a) SEM image of EDS area in the LFP/C-N and (b) schematic diagram of carbon microspheres and LiFePO$_4$ particles. EDS mapping images of P (c) and N (d) in the LFP/C-N. TEM image of the LFP/C (e,f) and the LFP/C-N (g,h).

Figure 5. Raman spectra of the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N.

The XPS spectra of the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N were measured to confirm the element composition and chemical state on the surface of samples. The peak areas in XPS reflect the atomic contents. Figure 6a,b shows the XPS spectra of the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N, in which the peak intensity of C 1s in the LFP/C-N is higher than that of the LFP/C. The LFP/C-N possesses a higher carbon content in the sample and is more completely wrapped by carbon, which is consistent with the TEM images. The overall conductivity
of the cathode and the ensuing rate performance can be benefited by the formation of completely carbon film-wrapped LiFePO₄ particles. The high-resolution XPS spectra in the region of C 1s for the LFP/C-N and the LFP/C are shown in Figure 6d,e. The C 1s peaks of the LFP/C-N and the LFP/C are mainly composed of sp² and sp³ peaks, corresponding to graphitic carbon and amorphous carbon, respectively. In addition, C=O and C–O are detected in the high-resolution XPS spectrum of the LFP/C-N. In the main peaks of C 1s, the sp² peak of the LFP/C-N occupies a larger area (94%) than that of the LFP/C (78%), indicating that there is higher sp² graphite content in the LFP/C-N, which is consistent with the Raman spectra of the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N. The peak of N 1s is detected near 400 eV in the XPS spectrum of the LFP/C-N, and the N atoms come from the pyrolysis of CTAB [43,44]. The high-resolution XPS spectrum in the region of N 1s for the LFP/C-N is shown in Figure 6c. The N 1s peaks consist of two sub-peaks, namely, the pyridinic N at 398.9 eV and the graphitic N at 401.3 eV [45]. In the XPS of N 1s, the contents of graphitic N and pyridinic N are 63.5 at.% and 36.5 at.%, respectively. A pyridinic N is connected by two C atoms with lone-pair electrons, which can be oxidized; a graphitic N is connected by three carbon atoms in the graphite structure, as shown in Figure 6f. N-doping in carbon coatings can broaden the energy bandgap, adjusting the electronic structure and enhancing the density of available carriers and the consequent electronic conductivity of carbon. In addition, pyridinic N can destroy the ordered structure of graphite and produce defects to improve Li⁺ diffusion in carbon materials [29].
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**Figure 6.** XPS spectra and the high-resolution spectra in the region of C 1s for the LFP/C (a,d) and the LFP/C-N (b,e), and the high-resolution XPS spectra in the region of N 1s for the LFP/C-N (c). The red line is the sum of the sub-peaks (blue, green, etc.). (f) Schematic structure of N-doped carbon.

### 3.2. Electrochemical Properties

The initial charge/discharge voltage profiles at 0.1 C of the LFP, the LFP-N, the LFP/C, and the LFP/C-N were measured. The carbon content in the samples was obtained by TGA (Table 1) and was deducted in the calculation of the charge/discharge capacity. Figure 7a shows the initial specific discharge capacities of 126.2 mAh g⁻¹ (LFP), 140.3 mAh g⁻¹ (LFP/C), 147.4 mAh g⁻¹ (LFP-N), and 160.7 mAh g⁻¹ (LFP/C-N), respectively. Both the core–shell structure and the N-doping enhanced the charge/discharge capacities of the cathodes, but the N-doping played a more significant role. The pyridinic N atoms in the
LFP/C-N destroyed the ordered structure of carbon, produced structural defects, and then improved the diffusion of Li-ion in the cathodes.

![Graph showing charge/discharge curves](image)

**Figure 7.** (a) Initial charge/discharge curves of the samples from the LFP to the LFP/C-N at 0.1 C. (b) Discharge capability of the samples from the LFP to the LFP/C-N at a different rate. (c) Charge/discharge curves of the LFP/C-N at a different rate. (d) Cycling performance curves of the samples at 1 C.

The specific discharge capacities of the samples at different rates are shown in Figure 7b. The LFP/C-N delivered a specific discharge capacity of 128.4 mA·h·g⁻¹ at 5 C with a capacity retention of 76.88%, and even 115.8 mA·h·g⁻¹ at 10 C, which is higher than that of the LiFePO₄/(N-doped C) described in similar research reports, as shown in Table 2. Furthermore, the preparation method of the LiFePO₄/(N-doped C) in this work is more convenient than those shown in Table 2. Figure 7c shows the charge and discharge voltage profiles of the LFP/C-N at different rates. A flat voltage platform is still found at the high rate of 5 C and 10 C. The satisfactory rate performance in the LFP/C-N was attributed to the effect of the N-doped core–shell structure on the overall electronic and Li-ion conductivity of the LiFePO₄ cathodes. The N-doping in carbon both enhanced the electronic conductivity and the Li-ion diffusivity in carbon and improved the charge transfer on the surface of active particles at high charge and discharge rates.

To investigate the cycling performances of LFP/C-N, the discharge specific capacities of these samples in 100 cycle charge/discharge at 1 C were measured, as shown in Figure 7d. The cycling capacity retentions were 94.01% (LFP), 99.55% (LFP/C), 95.43% (LFP-N), and 101.29% (LFP/C-N), respectively. The excellent cycling performance of the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N was mainly attributed to the core–shell structure with the carbon microspheres as the core. The carbon microspheres established a conductive medium between active particles and inhibited the mechanical degradation of the cathode materials during cycling [46]. The specific discharge capacity of LFP/C-N increased in the early stage of the cycling test. This is a common phenomenon in batteries, especially in those assembled in the laboratory.
As the cycle progresses, the wettability of the active material gradually increased, that is, the activation of the LiFePO₄ particles led to the gradual increase in the capacity of the coin cell. After the activation was completed in the first 30 charge/discharge cycles, the specific discharge capacity was also stable.

Table 2. The discharge capacity of LiFePO₄/(N-doped C) in similar research reports.

| Coating Materials | Preparation Method | N Source | Discharge Capacity (mAh g⁻¹) | Ref. |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------------|------|
| N-doped C         | High-temperature, solid-state method and subsequent calcination with addition of N and C sources | Ionic liquid1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium dicyanamide | 127.1 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C | [47] |
| N-doped 3D graphene | Hydrothermal synthesis based on prepared N-doped graphene Coating commercial LiFePO₄ with TiO₂ and C sources by wet chemical method | Melamine | 125 mAh g⁻¹ at 5 C | [48] |
| N-doped C and TiO₂ | Solvothermal synthesis of LiFePO₄ powder and subsequent calcination with addition of N and C sources | Polydopamine | 124 mAh g⁻¹ at 2 C | [49] |
| N-doped C         | One-pot solvothermal method | N-methyl-N-propylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethyl sulfonyl)imide | 102.8 mAh g⁻¹ at 5 C | [50] |
| N-doped C         | One-pot solvothermal method | CTAB | 128.4 mAh g⁻¹ at 5 C | This work |

Figure 8a shows the CV curves of the LFP, the LFP-N, the LFP/C, and the LFP/C-N that were measured at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. All the samples reflect a pair of Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ redox peaks near 3.3 V and 3.6 V, corresponding to the Li²⁺ intercalation and deintercalation reaction in LiFePO₄/FePO₄ [51]. The symmetry of the peaks of the CV curve reflects the reversibility of the electrochemical process. The CV curves of each sample show a good symmetry, that is, the cathode materials possess a good cycling performance, which is consistent with the results of the cycling test. The LFP/C and the LFP/C-N with core–shell structures show sharper redox peaks, indicating fast electrochemical kinetics and a charge transfer. The LFP/C-N delivered the lowest redox potential difference of 176 mV, indicating the smallest polarization degree and the highest cycling reversibility in the LFP/C-N.
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**Figure 8.** (a) Cyclic voltammetry curves of the samples from the LFP to the LFP/C-N between 2.5 and 4.6 V (vs. Li⁺/Li) at a rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. (b) Electrochemical impedance spectra of the samples from the LFP to the LFP/C-N, and equivalent circuit model for fitting the experimental data of EIS.

As shown in Figure 8b, the EIS of the samples was measured to investigate the kinetic characteristics of the electrochemical process in the cathodes. The EIS was composed of the charge transfer impedance corresponding to the semicircle in the high-frequency region, and the diffusion impedance corresponding to the oblique line in the low-frequency region.
In the equivalent circuit, $R_s$ is the ohmic resistance, which represents the resistance of the electrolyte and electrode material and corresponding to the intercept of the curve on the $Z'$ axis; $R_{ct}$ refers to the charge transfer resistance between the active material (LiFePO$_4$) and the electrolyte; CPE1 refers to the constant phase angle element; $W_o$ is the Warburg resistance, corresponding to the Li$^+$ diffusion in the active material. Table 1 shows the $R_s$ and $R_{ct}$ obtained by fitting EIS and the equivalent circuit. The $R_{ct}$ of LFP/C (137 Ω) and the LFP/C-N (83.1 Ω) with core–shell structure were lower than those of the LFP and the LFP-N, indicating a higher electronic conductivity at the electrode-electrolyte interface in the LFP/C and the LFP/C-N. The charge transfer on the surface of LFP/C-N particles was further promoted by the uniform N-doped carbon film coating. The $R_{ct}$ of the LFP-N was higher than that of the LFP, while the $R_{ct}$ of the LFP/C-N was lower than that of the LFP/C, indicating a synergistic effect on the reduction in charge transfer resistance between the core–shell structure and N-doping.

The Li$^+$ diffusion coefficient ($D$) in the active particles can be calculated using the following equation [52,53]:

$$D = \frac{R^2T^2}{2S^2nF^4C^2\sigma^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $D$ (cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$) is the Li$^+$ diffusion coefficient in the active particles, $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J·mol$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$), $T$ (K) is the temperature, $S$ (cm$^2$) is the surface area of the cathode (1.131 cm$^2$), $n$ is the number of electrons per molecule during oxidization, $F$ is the Faraday constant (96,486 C·mol$^{-1}$), $C$ is the concentration of the Li$^+$ ($7.69 \times 10^{-3}$ mol·mL$^{-1}$), and $\sigma$ is the Warburg factor, which is the slope between $Z'$ in the low-frequency region and the reciprocal square root of the frequency ($\omega^{-1/2}$), as expressed in the following equation:

$$Z' = R_s + R_{ct} + \sigma\omega^{-1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The electrochemical kinetic parameters of the samples are also shown in Table 1. There is little difference in the diffusion of Li-ion coefficient among samples, indicating that the addition of CTAB and/or glucose had no significant effect on the diffusion of Li-ion in the LiFePO$_4$ particles, but only changed the charge transfer on the LiFePO$_4$/C particles.

4. Conclusions

In this work, LiFePO$_4$/C with N-doped core–shell structure composite cathodes were synthesized by a convenient solvothermal method with the assistance of CTAB and glucose. The addition of CTAB improved the crystallinity of LiFePO$_4$ and reduced the size of LiFePO$_4$ primary particles from 288 nm to 143 nm. The N-doping in the carbon microspheres improved the degree of graphitization of the carbon in the LFP/C-N and existed in carbon in the form of pyridinic N and graphitic N. The electrochemical measurement results showed that the LFP/C-N had better electrochemical performance than the other samples. The LFP/C-N delivered a specific discharge capacity of 160.7 mAh·g$^{-1}$ and 128.4 mAh·g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 C and 5 C, respectively. There was no capacity attenuation in the LFP/C-N after the 100 cycles of charge/discharge at 1 C. The excellent capacity performance of the LFP/C-N is mainly attributed to the N-doping in carbon, while the excellent cycling performance is mainly attributed to the special core–shell structure. It is worth noting that there is a synergistic effect between N-doping and core–shell structure on the reduction in charge transfer impedance of the LiFePO$_4$/C cathode.

Author Contributions: Data curation, L.L.; investigation, B.Z. and L.L.; methodology, B.Z.; project administration, Y.L.; resources, S.W. and Y.L.; supervision, S.W. and J.Y.; validation, B.Z. and J.Y.; writing—original draft preparation, B.Z.; writing—review and editing, B.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Key Research and Development Program of Shaanxi (2021GXLH-Z-079), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52101096, 52176162, 22008190), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019TQ0248, 2019M663735).
Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.
Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.
Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author after obtaining permission from an authorized person.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Croguennec, L.; Palacin, M.R. Recent achievements on inorganic electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3140–3156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Liu, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Cui, Y. Challenges and opportunities towards fast-charging battery materials. Nat. Energy 2019, 4, 540–550. [CrossRef]
3. Simon, P.; Gogotsi, Y. Materials for electrochemical capacitors. Nat. Mater. 2008, 7, 845–854. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Augustyn, V.; Simon, P.; Dunn, B. Pseudocapacitive oxide materials for high-rate electrochemical energy storage. Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 1597–1614. [CrossRef]
5. Manthiram, A.; Fu, Y.; Chung, S.-H.; Zu, C.; Su, Y.-S. Rechargeable lithium-sulfur batteries. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 11751–11787. [CrossRef]
6. Seh, Z.W.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Cui, Y. Designing high-energy lithium-sulfur batteries. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 5605–5634. [CrossRef]
7. Pang, Q.; Liang, X.; Kwok, C.Y.; Nazar, L.F. Advances in lithium-sulfur batteries based on multifunctional cathodes and electrolytes. Nat. Energy 2016, 1, 1632. [CrossRef]
8. Padhi, A.K.; Nanjundaswamy, K.S.; Goodenough, J.B. Goodenough, Phospho-olivines as positive-electrode materials for rechargeable lithium batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1997, 144, 1188–1194. [CrossRef]
9. Yang, J.; Li, Z.; Gu, Y.-J.; Luo, G.-Y.; Chen, Z.-L.; Wu, F.-Z.; Dai, X.-Y.; Mai, Y.; Li, J.-Q. Ni-doped LiFePO₄ nanocrystals in pure water. Green Chem. 2018, 20, 5215–5223. [CrossRef]
10. Yuan, L.-X.; Wang, Z.-H.; Zhang, W.-X.; Hu, X.-L.; Chen, J.-T.; Huang, Y.-H.; Goodenough, J.B. Development and challenges of LiFePO₄ cathode material for lithium-ion batteries. Energy Environ. Sci. 2010, 4, 269–284. [CrossRef]
11. Liu, Y.; Gu, Y.-J.; Luo, G.-Y.; Chen, Z.-L.; Wu, F.-Z.; Dai, X.-Y.; Mai, Y.; Li, J.-Q. Ni-doped LiFePO₄/C as high-performance cathode composites for Li-ion batteries. Ceram. Int. 2020, 46, 14857–14863. [CrossRef]
12. Zeng, J.; Wang, Q.; Wu, H.; Wu, J.; Jing, P.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, H.; Wei, Y.; Liu, H.; Zhang, Y. LiFePO₄ carbon hybrids with fast Li-ion solid transfer capability obtained by adjusting the superheat temperature. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 803, 998–1004. [CrossRef]
13. Slawinski, W.A.; Playford, H.Y.; Hull, S.; Norberg, S.T.; Eriksson, S.G.; Gustafsson, T.; Edstrom, K.; Brant, W.R. Neutron Pair Distribution Function Study of FePO₄ and LiFePO₄. Chem. Mater. 2019, 31, 5024–5034. [CrossRef]
14. Wei, X.; Guan, Y.; Zheng, X.; Zhu, Q.; Shen, J.; Qiao, N.; Zhou, S.; Xu, B. Improvement on high rate performance of LiFePO₄ cathodes using graphene as a conductive agent. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2018, 440, 748–754. [CrossRef]
15. Abdelahi, A.; Akylidiz, O.; Malik, R.; Thornton, K.; Ceder, G. Particle-size and morphology dependence of the preferred interface orientation in LiFePO₄ nano-particles. J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 15437–15447. [CrossRef]
16. Liu, H.C.; Wang, Y.M.; Hsieh, C.C. Optimized synthesis of Cu-doped LiFePO₄/C cathode material by an ethylene glycol assisted co-precipitation method. Ceram. Int. 2017, 43, 5196–5201. [CrossRef]
17. Lv, Y.J.; Huang, B.; Tan, J.X.; Jiang, S.Q.; Zhang, S.F.; Wen, Y.X. Enhanced low temperature electrochemical performances of LiFePO₄/C by V³⁺ and F⁻ co-doping. Mater. Lett. 2018, 229, 349–352. [CrossRef]
18. Fan, C.L.; Lin, C.R.; Han, S.C.; Chen, J.; Li, L.F.; Bai, Y.M.; Zhang, K.H.; Zhang, X. Structure, conductive mechanism and electrochemical performances of LiFePO₄/C doped with Mg²⁺, Cr³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ by a carbothermal reduction method. N. J. Chem. 2014, 38, 795–801. [CrossRef]
19. Hongtong, R.; Thanwisai, P.; Yensano, R.; Nakh, J.; Srilomsak, S.; Meethong, N. Core-shell electrosprun and doped LiFePO₄/FeS/C composite fibers for Li-ion batteries. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 804, 339–347. [CrossRef]
20. Chang, Y.C.; Peng, C.T.; Hung, I.M. Effects of particle size and carbon coating on electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄/C prepared by hydrothermal method. J. Mater. Sci. 2014, 49, 6907–6916. [CrossRef]
21. Cogswell, D.A.; Bazant, M.Z. Size-dependent phase morphologies in LiFePO₄ battery particles. Electrochem. Commun. 2018, 95, 33–37. [CrossRef]
22. Ma, J.; Li, B.; Du, H.; Xu, C.; Kang, F. Effects of tin doping on physicochemical and electrochemical performances of LiFe₁ₓSnₓPO₄/C (0 <= x <= 0.07) composite cathode materials. Electrochem. Acta 2011, 56, 7385–7391. [CrossRef]
23. Zhu, M.Y.; Cheng, L.F.; Liu, Y.; Li, W.X.; Hu, P.F.; Jin, H.M.; Hu, Y.M.; Li, Y. LiFePO₄/(C+Cu) composite with excellent cycling stability as lithium ion battery cathodes synthesized via a modified carbothermal reduction method. Ceram. Int. 2018, 44, 12106–12111. [CrossRef]
24. Song, G.-M.; Wu, Y.; Xu, Q.; Liu, G. Enhanced electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄ cathode for Li-ion batteries with amorphous NiP coating. J. Power Sources 2010, 195, 3913–3917. [CrossRef]
25. Sun, Y.-K.; Han, J.-M.; Myung, S.-T.; Lee, S.-W.; Amine, K. Significant improvement of high voltage cycling behavior AlF3-coated LiCoO2 cathode. Electrochim. Commun. 2006, 8, 821–826. [CrossRef]

26. Dinh, H.-C.; Lim, H.; Park, K.D.; Yeo, I.-H.; Kang, Y.; Mho, S.-I. Long-term cycle stability at a high current for nanocrystalline LiFePO4 coated with a conductive polymer. Adv. Nat. Sci.-Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2013, 4, 5. [CrossRef]

27. Dinh, H.-C.; Mho, S.-I.; Yeo, I.-H. Electrochemical analysis of conductive polymer-coated LiFePO4 nanocrystalline cathodes with controlled morphology. Electroanalysis 2011, 23, 2079–2086. [CrossRef]

28. O’Meara, C.; Karushev, M.; Polozhentceva, I.A.; Dharmasena, S.; Cho, H.; Yurkovich, B.J.; Kogan, S.; Kim, J.-H. Nickel-salen-type polymer as conducting agent and binder for carbon-free cathodes in lithium-ion batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 525–533. [CrossRef]

29. Li, Y.J.; Zhang, M.L.; Qian, J.; Ma, Y.T.; Li, Y.; Li, W.L.; Wang, F.J.; Li, L.; Wu, F.; Chen, R.J. Freestanding N-doped carbon coated CuO array anode for lithium-ion and sodium-ion batteries. Energy Technol. 2019, 7, 6. [CrossRef]

30. Xue, H.; Yue, S.; Wang, J.; Zhao, Y.; Li, Q.; Yin, M.; Wang, S.; Feng, C.; Wu, Q.; Li, H.; et al. MoS2 microsphere@N-doped carbon composites as high performance anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2019, 840, 230–236. [CrossRef]

31. Suo, G.; Ahmed, S.M.; Cheng, Y.; Zhang, J.; Li, Z.; Hou, X.; Yang, Y.; Ye, X.; Feng, L.; Zhang, L.; et al. Heterostructured CoS2/CuCo2S4@N-doped carbon hollow sphere for potassium-ion batteries. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2022, 608, 273–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Meng, Y.; Li, Y.; Xia, J.; Hu, Q.; Ke, X.; Ren, G.; Zhu, F. F-doped LiFePO4@N/B/F-doped carbon as high performance cathode materials for Li-ion batteries. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2019, 476, 761–768. [CrossRef]

33. Zhang, B.F.; Xu, Y.L.; Wang, J.; Lin, J.; Wang, C.; Chen, Y.J. Lanthanum and cerium Co-doped LiFePO4: Morphology, electrochemical performance and kinetic study from –30–50 degrees C. Electrochem. Acta 2019, 322, 134686. [CrossRef]

34. Malik, R.; Burch, D.; Bazant, M.; Ceder, G. Particle size dependence of the ionic diffusivity. Nano Lett. 2010, 10, 4123–4127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Fisher, C.A.J.; Islam, M.S. Surface structures and crystal morphologies of LiFePO4: Relevance to electrochemical behaviour. J. Mater. Chem. 2008, 18, 1209–1215. [CrossRef]

36. Ye, N.; Yan, T.; Jiang, Z.; Wu, W.; Fang, T. A review: Conventional and supercritical hydro/solvothermal synthesis of ultrafine particles as cathode in lithium battery. Ceram. Int. 2018, 44, 4521–4537. [CrossRef]

37. Wang, F.; Richards, V.N.; Shields, S.P.; Buhro, W.E. Kinetics and mechanisms of aggregative nanocrystal growth. Chem. Mater. 2013, 26, 5–21. [CrossRef]

38. Shang, Z.; An, X.; Seta, F.T.; Ma, M.; Shen, M.; Dai, L.; Liu, H.; Ni, Y. Improving dispersion stability of hydrochloric acid hydrolyzed cellulose nano-crystals. Carbohydr. Polym. 2019, 222, 115037. [CrossRef]

39. El-Sheikh, S.; El-Sherbiny, S.; Barhoum, A.; Deng, Y. Effects of cationic surfactant during the precipitation of calcium carbonate nano-particles on their size, morphology, and other characteristics. Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 2013, 422, 44–49. [CrossRef]

40. Xin, X.; Zhang, H.; Xu, G.; Tan, Y.; Zhang, J.; Lv, X. Influence of CTAB and SDS on the properties of oil-in-water nano-emulsion with paraffin and span 20/Tween 20. Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 2013, 418, 60–67. [CrossRef]

41. Mao, Y.; Liao, J.; Wu, M.; Wen, J.; Xu, J.; Li, Y.; Xie, Y.; Ying, Q. Preparation of nano spherical bioglass by alkali-catalyzed mixed template. Mater. Res. Express 2020, 7, 105022. [CrossRef]

42. Gao, C.; Zhou, J.; Liu, G.Z.; Wang, L. Synthesis of F-doped LiFePO4/C cathode materials for high performance lithium-ion batteries using co-precipitation method with hydrofluoric acid source. J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 727, 501–513. [CrossRef]

43. Singh, I.; Kaur, G.; Bedi, R. CTAB assisted growth and characterization of nanocrystalline CuO films by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis technique. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2011, 257, 9546–9554. [CrossRef]

44. Zhang, Z.; Cheng, H.; Chen, K.; Lu, X.; Ouyang, P.; Fu, J. Enhancement in the aromatic yield from the catalytic fast pyrolysis of rice straw over hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide modified hierarchical HZSM-5. Bioresour. Technol. 2018, 256, 241–246. [CrossRef]

45. Sheng, Z.-H.; Shao, L.; Chen, J.-J.; Bao, W.-J.; Wang, F.-B.; Xua, X.-H. Catalyst-free synthesis of nitrogen-doped graphene via thermal annealing graphite oxide with melamine and its excellent electrocatalysis. ACS Nano 2011, 5, 4350–4358. [CrossRef]

46. Fu, J.; Wang, K.; Liu, D.; Zhang, Z.; Sui, M.; Yan, P. b-Axis phase boundary movement induced (020) plane cracking in LiFePO4. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 39245–39251. [CrossRef]

47. Meng, Y.; Han, W.; Zhang, Z.; Zhu, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, D. LiFePO4 particles coated with N-doped carbon membrane. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2017, 17, 2000–2005. [CrossRef]

48. Luo, G.-Y.; Gu, Y.-J.; Liu, Y.; Chen, Z.-L.; Huo, Y.-L.; Wu, F.-Z.; Mai, Y.; Dai, X.-Y.; Deng, Y. Electrochemical performance of in situ LiFePO4 modified by N-doped graphene for Li-ion batteries. Ceram. Int. 2021, 47, 11332–11339. [CrossRef]

49. Shi, J.Y.; Zhang, X.Q.; Zhang, X.K.; Xiang, Y. Titania and nitrogen-doped carbon co-modification: Their synergic effects on the electrochemical performance of LiFePO4. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2018, 750, 139–146. [CrossRef]

50. Lv, C.; Duan, X.; Deng, J.; Wang, T. LiFePO4 mesocrystals coated with N-doped carbon from an ionic liquid for Li-ion batteries. CrystEngComm 2017, 19, 1253–1257. [CrossRef]

51. Qiao, S.; Zhu, L.; Han, E.; Li, L.; Du, C.; He, Y. Synthesis and electrochemical properties of Na and Mg co-doped LiFe0.65Mn0.35PO4/C cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 2019, 14, 11616–11629. [CrossRef]
52. Li, L.; Tang, X.; Liu, H.; Qu, Y.; Lu, Z. Morphological solution for enhancement of electrochemical kinetic performance of LiFePO$_4$. *Electrochim. Acta* **2010**, *56*, 995–999. [CrossRef]

53. Tang, K.; Yu, X.; Sun, J.; Li, H.; Huang, X. Kinetic analysis on LiFePO$_4$ thin films by CV, GITT, and EIS. *Electrochim. Acta* **2011**, *56*, 4869–4875. [CrossRef]