Kinetics of the thermal inactivation and the refolding of bacterial luciferases in *Bacillus subtilis* and in *Escherichia coli* differ

Eugeny Gnuchikh1,2, Ancha Baranova1,3,4, Vera Schukina1, Gennady Zavilgelsky2, Ilya Manukhov1,2*

1 Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region, Russia, 2 National Research Center, Kurchatov Institute, GOSNIIGENETIKA, Moscow, Russia, 3 School of Systems Biology, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, United States of America, 4 Research Centre for Medical Genetics, Moscow, Russia

*manukhovi@mail.ru

Abstract

Here we present a study of the thermal inactivation and the refolding of the proteins in Gram positive *Bacillus subtilis*. To enable use of bacterial luciferases as the models for protein thermal inactivation and refolding in *B. subtilis* cells, we developed a variety of bright luminescent *B. subtilis* strains which express *luxAB* genes encoding luciferases of differing thermostability. The kinetics of the thermal inactivation and the refolding of luciferases from *Photorhabdus luminescens* and *Photobacterium leiognathi* were compared in Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria. In *B. subtilis* cells, these luciferases are substantially more thermostable than in *Escherichia coli*. Thermal inactivation of the thermostable luciferase *P. luminescens* in *B. subtilis* at 48.5˚C behaves as a first-order reaction. In *E. coli*, the first order rate constant (Kt) of the thermal inactivation of luciferase in *E. coli* exceeds that observed in *B. subtilis* cells 2.9 times. Incubation time dependence curves for the thermal inactivation of the thermolabile luciferase of *P. leiognathi* luciferase in the cells of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* may be described by first and third order kinetics, respectively. Here we shown that the levels and the rates of refolding of thermally inactivated luciferases in *B. subtilis* cells are substantially lower that that observed in *E. coli*. In dnaK-negative strains of *B. subtilis*, both the rates of thermal inactivation and the efficiency of refolding are similar to that observed in wild-type strains. These experiments point that the role that DnaKJE plays in thermostability of luciferases may be limited to bacterial species resembling *E. coli*.

Introduction

When exposed to mildly elevated temperatures, eukaryotic and prokaryotic thermolabile proteins transiently undergo partial or complete unfolding, resulting in a loss of their activity [1]. Persistence of the heat stress prevents the proteins from refolding to their native state, while favoring alternative, beta-sheet enriched conformations. To prevent misfolding, eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells employ a variety of molecular chaperones, the most abundant and best
studied of which being Hsp60-Hsp10 (GroEL-GroES), Hsp70-Hsp40-nucleotide exchange factor (DnaK-DnaJ-GrpE), Hsp100 (ClpA–ClpB–ClpX) and so-called small chaperones sHsp (IbpA-IbpB) [2–10].

In studies of the folding, misfolding and refolding conditions in *Escherichia coli*, bacterial and firefly derived luciferases often serve as model substrates [10–13]. Moreover, luminescent Gram positives bacteria have their use as biosensors suitable for clinical applications [14–18]. Despite enormous biotechnological importance of Gram positive cells in general, and of *B. subtilis*, a workhorse of industrial recombinant protein production in particular, the mechanisms of the folding and the refolding of the proteins in these bacterial cells remain enigmatic.

In present work we use the model bacterial luciferases differing in their thermostability to investigate the thermal inactivation and the refolding of the proteins in Gram positive *B. subtilis*. A variety of bright luminescent *B. subtilis* strains which express luxAB genes encoding luciferases from bacteria *P. luminescens* [14] and *P. leiognathi* [15], are utilized in the comparative study of the kinetics of the thermal inactivation and the refolding of the luciferases in Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria. In particular, we evaluated effects of dnaJ genes on luciferase thermostability in cellular environments of *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*.

**Materials and methods**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions**

Bacterial strains are presented in Table 1. Plasmids are presented in Table 2.

*E. coli* and *B. subtilis* was grown either in LB media, with constant aeration at 200 rpm at 37˚C unless indicated otherwise. Solid media plates were prepared using 1.5% of agar.

For selection, media we made with spectinomycin 150 μg/ml, ampicillin 100 μg/ml and chloramphenicol 10 μg/ml.

**Transformation**

*B. subtilis* cells were transformed according to the protocol of Spizizen [21]. *E. coli* cells were transformed using calcium chloride protocol [22].

**Enzymes and chemical substances**

The substrate for luciferase n-decanal was from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Enzymes for cloning were purchased in Promega (USA). Media were from Helicon (Moscow, Russia). Oligonucleotides were made by Syntol (Moscow, Russia).

**Constructing the plasmids**

Primers utilized for constructing the plasmids are described in Table 3. As a backbone for assembly of biosensors we selected shuttle plasmid pMWAL-1TPpur with two origins pMW118 (GenBank: AB005475) and pBS72 [23], which allows teta-type replication, as well as

---

**Table 1. Bacterial strains.**

| Strain          | Genotype                  | Source                           |
|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| *E. coli* K12   | lacI’ rrvB514 ΔlacZ1586 hsdR514 ΔaraBAD833 ΔrhaBAD178 | obtained from Keio Collection    |
| BW25113         |                           |                                  |
| *E. coli* K12   | Derivative of BW25113 ΔdnaK::kan | obtained from Keio Collection    |
| JW0013          |                           |                                  |
| *B. subtilis* 168 | trpC2                     | obtained from VKPM               |
| B. subtilis NBS 2001 | Derivative of 168, ΔdnaK-dnaJ::Spe’ | obtained from H. Yoshikawa. [19]. |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226576.t001
ampicillin and chloramphenicol resistance gens bla and cat for *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*, respectively. With an aid of P1/P2 primers, trimethoprim resistance gene *dhfr* from *B. cereus* ATCC14579 was introduced into the plasmid pMWAL-1TPpur under its *Ppur* promoter. With an aid of P3/P4 primers, *rrnB* terminator T1T2 was introduced to build a promoterless plasmid pMWAL-1Ppur_dhfr_t1t2_MCS. In turn, with an aid of primers P5/P6, this construct was modified by inserting constitutive promoter of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase gene (*PfbaA*) [24] into SacI recognition site, thus, resulting in plasmid pPfbaA_MCS. Later, plasmid pPfbaA_MCS was utilized for cloning of α and β subunits of luciferases from *P. luminescens* and *P. leiognathi*, which were amplified on the pXen5 [14] and pLF22ABleo [15] templates using P7/P8 and P9/P10 primers, respectively, to obtain pPfbaA_XenAB and pPfbaA_LeoAB plasmids, respectively. Both subunits of *P. luminescens* and *P. leiognathi* luciferases were cloned into pTZ57R vector to obtain constructs pTZ57R_xenAB (with pair of primers P7/P8) and pTZ57R_leoAB (with pair of primers P9/P10), respectively.

### Table 3. Primers utilized for constructing the plasmids.

| Primer | Sequence |
|--------|----------|
| P1     | 5'-GTTTCTACCCGGCTGCGTAATAAGAAGGGTTTACCGATGATTGTTTCATTTATGGTCGCTATG-3' |
| P2     | 5'-TCGGTACCCGGGGATCCTCGATTCTCCTCCTCTTTCTATATTAGT-3' |
| P3     | 5'-GTACTAATATAGAAAGAGGAGGAGAATCGAGCTGATGCAAAAACGAGGCTAGTTTAC-3' |
| P4     | 5'-CGCCGGCGCTCGAGGGGCCGCGCGCGCGATCCCATGCCGAACGCAATCGAAAGTGAA-3' |
| P5     | 5'-GCCGCGGTACCGAGCTTTTTCTCCATAACTAGGATACCAAC-3' |
| P6     | 5'-AAAGAAGAGCTTCTACCCGGCTGCGTAATAAGAAGGGTTTACCGATGATTGTTTCATTTATGGTCGCTATG-3' |
| P7     | 5'-ACCCGGCGCTCGAGGGGCCGCGCGCGCGATCCCATGCCGAACGCAATCGAAAGTGAA-3' |
| P8     | 5'-GCCGCGGTACCGAGCTTTTTCTCCATAACTAGGATACCAAC-3' |
| P9     | 5'-ATCCGGCGCGCTCGATCGAGGGTTTACCGATGATTGTTTCATTTATGGTCGCTATG-3' |
| P10    | 5'-TCGGGGCGCGGTACCGAGCGTTTACCGATGATTGTTTCATTTATGGTCGCTATG-3' |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226576.t003
Measurement of the intensity of bioluminescence

Cell were prepared by overnight cultivation at 30°C with aeration at 200 rpm in LB media with chloramphenicol or ampicillin, then diluted 1:100 in LB media with chloramphenicol, grown till reaching OD = 0.4–0.5, then incubated at a certain temperature. To eliminate de novo protein synthesis, incubation media was supplemented with following antibiotics: chloramphenicol (167 µg/ml) for E. coli and tetracycline (60 µg/ml) for B. subtilis.

To measure the intensity of bioluminescence the cells were sampled into the 200-µl test tubes like in [25]. Two µl of 0.1% n-decanal dissolved in ethanol were added to final concentration of 0.001%. Cells are placed in the luminometer without shaking at room temperature (~20°C), with direct measurements of total bioluminescence (in RLU, relative light units) for 0.001%. Cells are placed in the luminometer without shaking at room temperature (~20°C), with direct measurements of total bioluminescence (in RLU, relative light units) using “Biotox-7” (LLC EKON, Russia). In five seconds timeframe, luciferase substrate n-decanal enters the cells and participates in light producing reaction.

Results

Hybrid plasmid with P_{fbaA} or P_{lac} controlled luxAB-genes were introduced to B. subtilis and E. coli cells, respectively. The levels of resultant strain bioluminescence in cultures sampled at varying OD are shown in Table 4. As could be seen form Table 4, bioluminescence intensities observed for B. subtilis and for E. coli cultures are approximately the same.

To quantify relative thermostability of luciferases in vivo, the luxAB gene expressing cells of B. subtilis 168 and E. coli BW25113 were grown at 28°C and 37°C for cells with luciferase from P. leiognathi and P. luminescens, respectively, until OD = 0.4–0.6 was reached. Then the tetracycline or chloramphenicol was added, and incubation was continued at elevated temperatures. In this experiment, addition of antibiotics prevented protein synthesis inactivation of luciferase in cultures. In this experiment, addition of antibiotics prevented protein synthesis inactivation of luciferase in cultures. In this experiment, addition of antibiotics prevented protein synthesis inactivation of luciferase in cultures. In this experiment, addition of antibiotics prevented protein synthesis inactivation of luciferase in cultures. In this experiment, addition of antibiotics prevented protein synthesis.

Fig 1 shows the luminescence of B. subtilis 168 (with pFba-xenAB or pFba-leoAB) and E. coli BW25113 cells (with pTZ57R-xenAB or pTZ57R-leoAB) at various temperatures.

As could be seen at Fig 1, in B. subtilis the luciferases display higher thermostability than in E. coli. When expressed in B. subtilis, each luciferase reached inactivated state at the temperature of 3–5°C higher than in E. coli. In course of subsequent experimentation with thermal inactivation of luciferase in B. subtilis and E. coli cells in vivo, the differences in luciferase thermostabilities were taken into account.

According to data obtained in vitro [26] and in vivo in E. coli cells [11], P. leiognathi luciferase is significantly more thermostable than luciferase from P. luminescens. The data in Fig 1 show that the same difference persists in the cells of B. subtilis.

Kinetics of luciferase thermal inactivation in vivo in B. subtilis cells were compared to that observed in cells of E. coli. Fig 2 presents inactivation kinetics at 41°C or 48.5°C for luciferases from P. leiognathi (Fig 2A), and P. luminescens (Fig 2B), respectively.

| Strains’ | OD = 0.1 | OD = 0.2 | OD = 0.5 | OD = 1.0 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| B.s. pFba-leoAB | 4500±600** | 12000±1000 | 10000±15000 | 30000±50000 |
| B.s. pFba-xenAB | 3000±500 | 10000±1000 | 45000±6000 | 110000±15000 |
| E.c. pTZ57R-leoAB | 12000±2000 | 28000±3300 | 75000±7000 | 160000±45000 |
| E.c. pTZ57R-xenAB | 10000±1250 | 19000±2050 | 41000±5200 | 90000±17000 |

* B.s.—B. subtilis 168; E.c.—E. coli K12 BW25113
** Levels of luminescence are shown in RLU, relative light units, with background luminescence levels at 50 RLUs.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226576.t004
As could be seen from the data presented at Fig 2A, in all strains the bioluminescence intensity drops observed for *P. luminescens* luciferase are well described by a semi-logarithmic graph (lg A–time), and, therefore, thermal inactivation of this luciferase is a first-order reaction. Table 5 shows respective first order rate constants (Kt).

As could be seen from Table 5, for luciferase of *P. luminescens* the ratio of the rate constants for wild type strains of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* was 2.9, while for ΔdnaK mutant strains of same bacteria this ratio was 4.3.

As could be seen from the data presented at Fig 2B, for luciferase of *P. leiognathi* expressed in *E. coli* cells the bioluminescence intensity drops are also well described by a semi-logarithmic graph (lg A–time), while in *B. subtilis* cells respective kinetics are substantially more complex. To find out the order of this reaction, Rakovsky techniques was employed [27, §301 p. 466] by linearizing it in coordinates ln $t_{1/2}$ Vs ln $A_0$, where $A_0$ – initial activity, $t_{1/2}$ – time to semi-inactivation (Fig 3A).

As could be seen from Fig 3A, for both lines the slope is close to 2, indicating that the kinetics of this reaction is of a third-order. These data were linearized in coordinates $\frac{1}{2}A^{-2}$ Vs. $t$ and approximated by lines shown on Fig 3B. Table 6 shows rate constants for *P. leiognathi* thermal inactivation at 41˚C in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* cells.

---

**Fig 1.** A plot reflecting relative drops in the levels of bioluminescence during 15 minutes of incubation at various temperatures. BsLeo—*B. subtilis* 168 (pFba-leoAB), EcLeo—*E. coli* BW25113 (pTZ57R_leoAB), BsXen—*B. subtilis* 168 (pFba-xenAB), EcXen—*E. coli* BW25113 (pTZ57R_xenAB).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226576.g001
Fig 2. Plots of relative luminescence of *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* cells expressing luciferases *P. luminescens* (A) and *P. leiognathi* (B), and exposed to 48.5˚C and 41˚C, respectively. Приведены средние значения шести экспериментов.

| Strain                  | Rate constant, Kt, min⁻¹ | Correlation coefficient, R² |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| *B. subtilis*           | 0.0254                   | 0.957                      |
| *B. subtilis ΔdnaKJ*    | 0.031                    | 0.976                      |
| *E. coli*               | 0.074                    | 0.992                      |
| *E. coli ΔdnaK*         | 0.132                    | 0.993                      |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226576.t005

Fig 3. Dependence of initial bioluminescence and the time to semi-inactivation at 41˚C (A) and linearization of data describing inactivation in coordinates ½ *A*² Vs. *t* (B) for *B. subtilis* cells expressing luciferase *P. leiognathi*. Бс—*B. subtilis* 168; БсΔ—*B. subtilis* NBS2001 ΔdnaKJ::spc; Ec—*E. coli* BW25113; EcΔ—*E. coli* JW0013 ΔdnaK::kan.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226576.g003
Notably, the difference in the rates of \textit{P. leiognathi} luciferase thermal inactivation at 41˚C in the cells of \textit{E. coli} and \textit{B. subtilis}, which are described by first order and third order kinetics, respectively, leads to a prominent, orders-of-magnitude difference in the levels of cell bioluminescence at 20 minutes post thermal inactivation onset.

When the luciferase of \textit{P. leiognathi} undergoes thermal inactivation in \textit{E. coli}, similar kinetics are observed at lower temperatures, which is explained by the presence of active bichaperone system DnaKJE-ClpB, which actively aids refolding \cite{11}. However, as could be seen at Fig 2, in \textit{B. subtilis dnaK}+ and \textit{dnaK}−, kinetics of luciferase inactivation remain the same, while in \textit{E. coli} the ratio of rate constants was at 1.8. This observation indicates that DnaKJE chaperone does not properly function in \textit{B. subtilis}, as it is unable to support the refolding of luciferase.

Fig 4 depicts the data describing the refolding of luciferases from \textit{P. leiognathi} and \textit{P. luminescens} after thermal inactivation \textit{in vivo} in \textit{E. coli} or \textit{B. subtilis} cells. Bacterial cells were incubated at 47˚C or 51˚C for \textit{E. coli} or \textit{B. subtilis}, respectively. In both cases, the luminescence levels gradually decreased across approximately 2–3 orders of magnitude till reaching the

| Strain       | Order of the reaction | Rate constant (Kₜ)     | Correlation coefficient, R² |
|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| \textit{E. coli} | 1                     | 0.427 min⁻¹            | 0.986                       |
| \textit{E. coli} Δ\textit{dnaK} | 1                     | 0.735 min⁻¹            | 0.972                       |
| \textit{B. subtilis} | 3                     | 1.06•10⁻⁴ min⁻¹•A⁻²    | 0.983                       |
| \textit{B. subtilis} Δ\textit{dnaKJ} | 3                     | 1.07•10⁻⁴ min⁻¹•A⁻²    | 0.927                       |

* A – units of activity

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226576.t006

Fig 4. The kinetics of luminescence of \textit{E. coli} and \textit{B. subtilis} cells with thermal inactivated luciferases from \textit{P. leiognathi} (A) and \textit{P. luminescens} (B), which regained their activity after cell cultures were moved to room temperature. Luciferases were thermal inactivated \textit{in vivo} by exposure of carrier cells at either 47˚C (\textit{E. coli}) or 51˚C (\textit{B. subtilis}). Relative luminescence shown on vertical axis is proportional to percent of refolded luciferase molecules. The cells of \textit{B. subtilis} were pPibaA-LeoAB (luciferase \textit{P. leiognathi}) and pPibaA-XenAB (\textit{P. luminescens}), while the cells of \textit{E. coli} were transformed with plasmids pTZ57R-LeoAB (\textit{P. leiognathi}) and pTZ57R-XenAB (\textit{P. luminescens}). Bs—\textit{B. subtilis} 168. BsΔ—\textit{B. subtilis} NBS2001 Δ\textit{dnaKJ}. Ec—\textit{E. coli} BW25113. EcΔ—\textit{E. coli} JW0013 Δ\textit{dnaK}::kan.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226576.g004
background levels, at which point the translation inhibitors, chloramphenicol for *E. coli* and tetracycline for *B. subtilis*, were added to media followed by incubating bacterial cultures at room temperature under continuous monitoring of their luminescence. Thermal inactivation time was about 15–25 minutes.

As could be seen from the data presented on Fig 4A after thermal inactivation, the luminescence of *E. coli* cells expressing the *P. leiognathi* luciferase could be restored almost to its pre-inactivation levels. Evidently, this restoration is dependent on DnaK, as *E. coli ΔdnaK* cells are not able to restore their levels of luminescence after exposure to high temperatures.

In curves describing of the reactivation of thermally inactivated luciferases, both lag-period and inflection are unremarkable, thus, being indicative of possible multiples stages of reactivation reaction limited by some rate-limiting. Analysis of relatively fast refolding steps provides some difficulty due to rapid cooling down of the sample within the first few minutes after its return to the room temperature.

Analysis of slower steps of *P. leiognathi* luciferase refolding in *E. coli* cells, most parts of the kinetic curve are well approximated by exponential dependence of an accumulation of the product resulting from the first-order reaction with lag-period 

\[ A = A_{\text{max}} \times (1 - e^{-kt}) \]

where 

- \( A_{\text{max}} \) is the level of maximal degree of reactivation,
- \( k \)–first-order reaction rate constant and
- \( t_{\text{lag}} \)–the time required for completion of fast steps of the refolding (Fig 5).

Kinetics curve parameters \( A_{\text{max}}, k \) and \( t_{\text{lag}} \) were derived by non-linear approximation using SciDAVis software (\( A_{\text{max}} = 57.11 \pm 0.85\% \), \( k = 0.035 \pm 0.002 \text{ min}^{-1} \) and \( t_{\text{lag}} = 3.42 \pm 0.44 \text{ min} \)).

Refolding kinetics analysis of other strains showed that thermal inactivated cells of *B. subtilis* wild-type strain 168 are substantially less capable of luciferase refolding than *E. coli*, and
successfully refold just approximately one percent of available denatured enzymes. In *B. subtilis*, the success of the refolding does not require the presence of DnaK chaperone. As opposed to *E. coli*, the cells of *B. subtilis* refold luciferases of *P. leiognathi* and *P. luminescens* to about the same levels, and with similar kinetics.

**Discussion**

A comparison of thermal inactivation kinetics of luciferases in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* strains transformed with lux-biosensor plasmids demonstrated that the thermostability of these model proteins in Gram positive bacteria *B. subtilis* is higher than that in Gram negative *E. coli*, with the difference in tolerated temperatures reaching 4–5˚C (Figs 1 and 2).

Earlier works demonstrated that luciferase activity in *E. coli* cells depend on ability of particular luciferase to refold [10]. According to date presented above, in Gram positive bacteria *B. subtilis*, enhanced thermostability of bacterial luciferases is not because of better refolding. In fact, native *B. subtilis* cells do not support luciferase refolding well. After incubation of *B. subtilis* cells with luciferase-bearing constructs on elevated temperatures, bioluminescence drops 3–4 orders of magnitude; the transfer of these cells back to the room temperature results in restoring luciferase activity up to approximately 1% of its initial levels either in presence or in absence of DnaKJ chaperone. In conclusion, our experiments point that the role that DnaKJ plays in thermostability of luciferases in *E. coli* is limited to this models system. In fact, in *B. subtilis* cells this chaperone is not involved in improving the thermostability of luciferase.

Possibly, activity of thermal inactivated luciferases in *B. subtilis* may be rescued by other ATP-dependent chaperones, which are yet to be investigated. A set of biosensors plasmids incorporating luciferases of varying intrinsic thermostability, which we presented above, may facilitate further molecular and genetic dissection of the factors which govern the denaturing and the refolding of recombinant proteins in Gram positive cells.
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