Wheat blast: a new threat to food security
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Abstract

Wheat blast, caused by the *Magnaporthe oryzae Triticum* (MoT) lineage (synonym *Pyricularia oryzae Triticum* lineage), is a destructive disease in South America and Bangladesh. It is primarily a disease of wheat head, which can cause yield loss up to 100% under favorable disease conditions. The head infection results in complete or partial bleaching of the spike above the point of infection with either no grain or shriveled grain with low test weight. Due to low fungicide efficacy against the disease and lack of availability of resistant varieties, an integrated management program should be adopted to control this serious wheat disease. First of all, a convenient and specific diagnostic tool is needed for evaluating seed health and early detection in wheat field to initiate timely mitigation measures and thereby decreasing pathogen initial inoculum and dispersal. Second, we should have a better understanding of the epidemiology of the disease and develop a real-time disease monitoring and surveillance system to alert growers to apply management practices at an optimum time. Third, we need a better understanding of the infection biology of the fungus and its interaction with wheat plants at the tissue and molecular levels helpful for improving disease management. Fourth, breeding for resistance to wheat blast can be accelerated by using resistance genes such as 2NS translocation, Rmg8 and RmgGR119 or advanced genomic technology such as CRISPR-Cas. Fifth, integration of alternative disease management practices, such as biological control using antagonistic microorganisms or derivatives thereof to achieve sustainable approach for the management of wheat blast. Finally, a globally concerted effort is needed using open science and open data sharing approaches to prevent this seed- and air-borne plant disease's widespread devastation of wheat crop. This comprehensive review updates our knowledge on wheat blast disease and discusses the approaches for its sustainable management for ensuring food and nutritional security of the ever-increasing global population.
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Background

The ever-increasing human population compels us to keep pace with the increasing global demand for food. Wheat is the third largest cereal species produced in the world after corn and rice (Food and Agricultural Organization, http://faostat.fao.org). It is the leading source of plant proteins in food for human consumption, as well as an important source of proteins for animal feed. Fungal disease outbreaks have affected plant productivity with increased frequency and are a recurrent threat to global food security (Fisher et al. 2012).

One of the examples is wheat blast which is caused by the ascomycetous fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae Triticum* (MoT) lineage (synonym *Pyricularia oryzae Triticum* lineage) (Igarashi et al. 1986; Islam et al. 2016). It has been considered as a serious threat to 3 million ha of wheat cultivated area in South America since its first emergence in Paraná state in Brazil in 1985 (Igarashi et al. 1986; Goulart et al. 1992, 2007; Kohli et al. 2011). In February 2016, wheat blast for the first time was spotted in Bangladesh (South Asia), a country outside of South America, and devastated more than 15,000 ha of wheat in eight districts of south-western and southern parts of Bangladesh (Callaway 2016; Islam et al. 2016; Malaker et al. 2016). The first epidemic of wheat blast in South Asia dramatically reduced the yield of wheat in...
the affected field up to 100%. By the application of field pathogenomics and the open data sharing (www.wheatblast.net) approaches, the genetic identity and origin of the wheat blast pathogen were determined as a South American lineage of MoT (Callaway 2016; Islam et al. 2016). Later on, Ceresini et al. (2018) assumed that the wheat blast disease was introduced into Bangladesh through wheat grain trading from Brazil to Bangladesh.

Over the last 4 years, the fungus further spread to additional twelve new wheat-growing districts in Bangladesh, and thus it poses a new serious threat to future food and nutritional security of the country. Now, wheat blast is considered as a permanent disease of wheat in Bangladesh, though the major wheat-growing areas in the north-western part of the country is still free from this disease. This invasive fungus has raised a worldwide concern due to its potential spread to the neighboring wheat-growing regions in South Asia (Islam et al. 2019). About 124-million-ton wheat is produced in Indian sub-continent in 40.85 million ha. Climate analogue model suggested that 6.99 million ha wheat area is vulnerable to wheat blast and there may be a loss of worth 132 million USD considering 5% blast-inflicted loss of wheat (Mottaleb et al. 2018). Neighboring India and China are the world’s second and first largest wheat-producing countries, respectively, and the spread of this serious pathogen in those countries will be catastrophic.

The blast fungus has high levels of host-specificity and several lineages exist within this pathogen (Urashima et al. 1993; Kato et al. 2000; Gladieux et al. 2018). For example, the Oryza lineage (MoO) infects rice and MoT infects wheat and a few other members of Poaceae (Urashima et al. 1999; Tosa et al. 2006; Gladieux et al. 2018; Valent et al. 2019). The MoO isolates are genetically distinct from wheat-infecting ones and generally do not infect wheat (Prabhu et al. 1992; Urashima et al. 1993; Urashima et al. 1999; Farman 2002; Faivre-Rampant et al. 2008; Tufan et al. 2009; Maciel et al. 2014; Chiapello et al. 2015; Yoshida et al. 2016). But MoT can infect triticale (× Triticosecale), barley (Hordeum sativum) (Urashima et al. 2004), durum wheat, oat and some other weed species (Urashima et al. 1993). Typical symptoms of wheat blast on spikes are premature bleaching of spikelets and entire heads (Igarashi 1990; Urashima 2010). Severely affected wheat heads can be killed, resulting in severe yield losses (Igarashi 1990; Urashima 2010). The disease is generally spread by infected seeds and secondary infection occurs through air-borne spores, and the fungus can survive in infected crop residues and seeds (Urashima et al. 1999; Pizolotto et al. 2019).

Although some diagnostic protocols have been developed for the wheat blast, they are not highly convenient and unequivocally reliable (Pieck et al. 2017; Gupta et al. 2019). Therefore, a more convenient, rapid and cost-effective diagnostic tool needs to be developed for surveillance and monitoring of this wheat pathogen. Fungicide sprays seem unreliable to control head blast after the expression of disease symptoms (McGrath 2001; Fernández-Ortuño et al. 2006; Castroagudin et al. 2015). The classical breeding for developing resistant wheat is limited by the few effective wheat blast resistant genes identified so far, including 2NS translocation, Rmg8 and RmgGR119, of which the first has been gradually eroded by new MoT virulence groups (Cruz et al. 2016b; Anh et al. 2015, 2018; Ceresini et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018). In fact, this extreme virulence diversity explains why resistance has not been durable in Brazil. Introggression of the newly discovered Rmg8 and RmgGR119 genes, after confirming their effects in field experiments, to the commercial cultivar of wheat is a long term but could be a realistic plan for the development of blast resistant wheat in Bangladesh and South America. Although wheat blast has been a threat to successful wheat production in South America since 1985, the biology of the pathogen remains poorly understood. Therefore, novel molecular and plant breeding approaches are needed to address this emerging threat to food security.

During the last few decades, it has been apparent that there are genes in rice that are important for susceptibility to rice blast. When these genes are mutated, they lead to increased resistance to the disease (Zaidi et al. 2018; Kim et al. 2019). Therefore, identifying these orthologous genes in wheat and then generating their mutations by CRISPR-Cas technology may confer enhanced resistance to wheat blast (Zaidi et al. 2018; Kim et al. 2019). CRISPR-Cas is a revolutionary technique for genome editing which has already been used in disease resistance in several plants (Wang et al. 2016; Scheben and Edwards 2017; Haque et al. 2018; Islam 2019). Therefore, resistant wheat lines with edited S genes could be developed using the latest CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing technology. Alternatively, some plant-associated beneficial microorganisms are known to be effective to control rice blast disease (Park et al. 2005; Singh et al. 2012; Oliveira et al. 2015). These biocontrol agents may be worth testing for the management of wheat blast. Discovery of novel antagonistic plant probiotic (beneficial bacteria associated with plant) bacteria from the local environment including wheat and their metabolites could be an alternative option to less-effective fungicides for the management of the wheat blast (Surovy et al. 2017; Dutta et al. 2018; Chakraborty et al. 2020).

A good number of reviews have recently been published on various aspects of wheat blast disease (Cruz...
and Valent 2017; Ceresini et al. 2018, 2019; Vales et al. 2018; Islam et al. 2019). This comprehensive review updates our current knowledge and progresses of the mitigation of wheat blast using various novel approaches.

**Disease symptoms, and diagnostic tools for monitoring and surveillance of wheat blast in the field**

Accurate early detection of the pathogen in the field sample is the best strategy for the management of disease before it becomes epidemic. Diagnosis of wheat blast disease in the field at heading stage is difficult because it produces similar symptoms as those associated with Fusarium head blight (FHB) (Pieck et al. 2017). First visible symptom on the leaf is water-soaked and diamond-shaped lesions which turn into eye-shaped gray lesions with disease progression (Fig. 1). The eye-shaped lesions enlarge and coalesce with other lesions to kill the entire leaf. The most distinguishable symptom is observed in the head, which becomes partially or fully bleached. Complete or partial bleaching of the head above the point of infection with either no grain or shriveled grain with low weight and poor nutrients is commonly observed (Goulart et al. 2007; Islam et al. 2016; Surovy et al. 2020) (Fig. 1). Infection at early stage of flowering results in sterility, and empty grains (Goulart et al. 1990; Goulart and Paiva 1992; Urashima et al. 2009). Multiple points of infection in the rachis can be observed and typically spread upward from its node. Whereas, infection at the grain filling stage results in small, shriveled, light, and discolored (pale) grains (Islam et al. 2016) and the affected grains are unfit for human consumption (Urashima et al. 2009; Surovy et al. 2020). Bleached heads have traces of gray, indicative of fungal sporulation at the point of infection (Islam et al. 2016). The white patchy symptoms at the reproductive stage are visible from a reasonable distance from the field.

Due to its high sensitivity and excellent specificity, molecular diagnosis has shown great application potential for many plant diseases pertaining to control and prevention of these diseases. In the case of blast disease, the Pot2 transposable elements, MGR583 and MoTeR are useful for the detection of *M. oryzae*, but it cannot distinguish the lineages of *M. oryzae* (Farman 2002; Pieck et al. 2017). To specifically identify a *MoT* lineage, Pieck et al. (2017) used a genome-based approach to find unique molecular markers for the detection of *MoT* isolates. One of the markers, *MoT*3, which is derived from a retinol dehydrogenase gene was selected by analyzing 258 *M. oryzae* isolates from different hosts. This primer could amplify a 361-bp PCR product from all of the *MoT* isolates but not from other *M. oryzae* isolates. Based on Pot2 and MoT3, Yasuhara-Bell et al. (2018) developed loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays for the specific detection of *MoT* in lab and field.
samples. This method may be useful in detecting trapped MoT spores from the aerosol samples and for the prediction of epidemics along the year. However, Gupta et al. (2019) published a result contradictory to the previous result of Pieck et al. (2017) as they observed that under certain conditions, MoT3 primers also amplify both rice and wheat blast isolates. The assay is based on primers matching the WB12 sequence corresponding to a fragment of the M. oryzae MGG_02337 gene that is annotated as a short chain dehydrogenase and is present in both rice and wheat infecting M. oryzae isolates. Specifically, all eight rice blast isolates tested in this study produced the WB12 amplicon. In addition, comparative genomics of the WB12 nucleotide sequence revealed a complex underlying genetic structure with related sequences across M. oryzae strains and in both rice and wheat blast isolates. Recently, Thierry et al. (2020) identified unique polymorphisms in the Triticum lineage by analyzing 81 Magnaporthe genomes and developed C17, a real-time PCR-based detection method with high sensitivity. But it is still not clear whether this method is useful for detecting the pathogen in field samples and seed lot as no validation using real samples was done. Therefore, development of a convenient, cost-effective and rapid detection method of wheat blast disease in early stage of infection, in alternate hosts, and seed lot is urgently needed. With the support from the Coordinated Research Program of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), we aimed to develop an accurate and sensitive method to detect MoT in the field. From the genome sequences of two MoT isolates from Brazil, we identified two DNA fragments that are present in the MoT genome but not in the genome of MoO. Using PCR, we confirmed the specificity of the two markers in 53 MoT and MoO isolates from South America and Bangladesh. Furthermore, we combined target-dependent Cas12a ssDNase activation with recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) and nucleic acid lateral flow immunoassay (NALFIA) and developed a method that accurately, sensitively, and cost-effectively detects MoT-specific DNA sequences in infected wheat plants (Wang et al. unpublished). This novel technique should be easily adapted for rapid detection of wheat blast and other important plant diseases in the field.

Geographical distribution and epidemiology of MoT in different environments

MoT was first observed in the Paraná state of Brazil in 1985, then it gradually spread to other neighboring countries viz. Bolivia (1996), Paraguay (2002), and Argentina (2007). Now it is considered a potential threat to wheat production in about 3 million ha of wheat-growing areas in South America. Currently, the worst affected regions include central and southern Brazil, the lowland Santa Cruz region of Bolivia, southern and south-eastern Paraguay and north-eastern Argentina (Kohli et al. 2011). Yield losses incurred as a result of infection have been estimated to range from 10 to 100% (Goulart and Paiva 2000; Goulart et al. 2007; Urashima et al. 2009; Duveiller et al. 2011). Although the origin of Brazilian wheat blast is still a debating issue, Inoue et al. (2017) demonstrated that it moved to wheat likely from a native grass through ‘host jump’. In February of 2016, wheat blast was first reported in Bangladesh which was thought to be introduced from South America through grain trading (Islam et al. 2016; Ceresini et al. 2018). The genetic identity and origin of the isolates were determined by a large group of scientists from Asia, Europe, USA, Brazil and Australia using field pathogenomics and whole genome sequencing (Islam et al. 2016; Malaker et al. 2016). The field pathogenomics is a new method to analyze fungal disease from field samples and pinpoints the exact genotype in a faster way. Furthermore, this method is useful in sharing nucleic acid sequence data of the diseased materials (non-living) to the collaborating lab in other country in the case of a quarantined pest, such as wheat blast in Bangladesh. On the other hand, the successful application of open data sharing and open science which has been practiced in determining the origin of wheat blast in Bangladesh within weeks by the engagement of global scientific community seems a plausible smart strategy for tackling any plant health emergencies (Kamoun et al. 2019). Wheat blast-like symptoms in a single spike of wheat were also detected in an experimental field in Kentucky, USA in 2011 (Farman et al. 2017). In fact, the US wheat blast was likely caused by the ‘host jump’ of M. oryzae Lolium lineage from a native Lolium species (Inoue et al. 2017; Maekawa and Schulze-Lefert 2017).

In last 4 years, wheat blast spread to additional 12 new districts in Bangladesh aggravating the disease situation (Tables 1 and 2). Although no scientific report was published on the occurrence of the disease, the Indian government had banned wheat cultivation (‘wheat holiday’) in the areas adjacent to the border with Bangladesh where disease incidence was reported, (~2200 km) and restricted the movement of wheat grains from the vulnerable areas to the inland of India (https://www.hindustantimes.com/kolkata/tackling-wheat-blast-bengal-government-bans-wheat-cultivation-within-5-km-of-b-desh-border/story-QTVWssXeR6BYNSNKh8737Jl.html). It seems a timely strategy to decrease the inoculum build up and subsequently spread to a larger geographic region relevant to wheat cultivation. However, this strategy should also consider a concerted effort to find and destroy any alternative host where blast fungus may have established in a contaminated area. Several alternative/collateral hosts of this fungus have been reported. With the help of international collaborators,
government of Bangladesh promptly responded to the first epidemic of wheat blast by taking a series of measures that include discouraging wheat cultivation in blast-affected areas for consecutive 3 years, seed production in blast-free areas, stopping use of wheat seed from infected areas, stopping seed production of susceptible varieties, regional workshops and training for awareness building, development of short-term management technologies and fast-track release of a moderately resistant variety (BARI Gom 33). Combination of these measures were found effective in containing the spread of wheat blast. Due to the seed- and air-borne mode of spread of the fungus, there is a high potential of its further spread to major wheat-growing areas in Asia where conditions remain most favorable for its infection during the wheat growing season and beyond. Several lines of evidence suggest that weather conditions in the USA, in some Asian and African countries are very suitable for wheat blast epidemics (Duveiller et al. 2011; Cruz et al. 2016a). Therefore, there is a high risk related to inadvertent introduction of this disease into those areas and devastation to follow. In 2011, Duveiller and co-workers reported that there are wheat-producing regions in the world where the disease has not been reported so far, but their climatic conditions are very similar to regions in South America where the disease frequently occurs. Furthermore, there is a risk of ‘host shift’ of this fungus from Lolium as this lineage is distributed worldwide including Europe. Table 1 summarizes the origin and distribution of wheat blast disease worldwide.

### Table 1 Distribution of wheat blast disease across the world

| Continent/Country/Region | Distribution | Last reported | Origin | First reported | Invasive | Reference | Notes |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------|----------------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Bangladesh               | Present: widespread | 2020          | Introduced | 2016          | Yes      | Islam et al. (2016); Malaker et al. (2016) | First recorded in the district of Kushtia, Meherpur, Chuadanga, Pabna, Jessore, Jhenaidah, Bhola and Barisal |
| USA                      | Infection occurred in only one spike in an experimental farm in Kentucky, USA which was successfully contained. | – | Host shift from Lolium | 2011 | No | Farman et al. (2017) | A gray leaf spot on annual & perennial ryegrass caused by wheat blast pathogen was recorded in 1970 and 1992. |
| Brazil                   | Present: widespread | 2020 | Host shift from a local host | 1985 | Yes | Igarashi et al. (1986) | Paraná |
| Bolivia                  | Present: widespread | 2020 | Introduced | 1996 | Yes | Barea and Toledo (1996) | Santa Cruz |
| Paraguay                 | Present: widespread | 2020 | Introduced | 2002 | Yes | Viedma and Morel (2002) | Alto Parana, Itapua, Caaguazu, Cazuapa, Canindeyu and Guaira |
| Argentina                | Present: widespread | 2020 | Introduced | 2007 | Yes | Cabrera and Gutiérres (2007) | Chaco and Corrientes |

#### Conducive weather for wheat blast

Wheat blast is particularly a destructive disease as the disease progression is very rapid, leaving the farmer very little time to take preventive measures. Weather conditions are very critical factors for the development of wheat blast. Rainy and humid weather conditions during heading stage of wheat crop have been found to enhance the occurrence and development of wheat blast disease. Head blast severity greatly varies upon certain factors such as environmental conditions, cultivar susceptibility, and plant organ infected (Goulart et al. 2007; Urashima et al. 2009). The most vulnerable growth stage in terms of yield reduction is between anthesis and early grain development (Igarashi 1990). The combination of several factors such as higher temperature, rainfall during flowering stage, leaf/spike wetness has favoured the outbreak of the disease (Goulart et al. 2007; Islam et al. 2019). The most severe field infections occur in seasons when there are continuous rainfalls during the period of anthesis, with an average temperature of 18–25 °C, followed by a period of sunny, hot and humid weather (Kohli et al. 2011). In another study, Cardoso et al. (2008) reported that an optimum temperature ranging between 25 and 30 °C and spike under wetness for 25–40 h can lead to a severe outbreak of the disease. Some blast researchers and wheat growers have reported the presence of initial hotspots within wheat fields that may lead to blast epidemic in Bolivia and Bangladesh. In addition, MoT sporulation from a very low level of initial inoculum prior to spike initiation may supply enough
secondary inoculum, which ultimately results in head blast epidemics (Cruz et al. 2015). Several reports predicted a temperature rise in Bangladesh, especially during winter season (Hossain and da Silva 2013), which may increase the risk of wheat blast. Another disease conducive environmental factor might be heavy dew fall in winter in Bangladesh under which extra moisture retained for 16–17 h on wheat plant supporting fungal sporulation. In South America, severe epidemics occurred in the humid and warmer regions like Bolivia, Paraguay and northeastern Argentina (Kohli et al. 2011). Analysis of weather data collected from the Bangladesh Meteorological Department shows that the temperature rise occurred in all the regions in 2016 mainly due to a 1.8–6.5 °C increase in minimum temperature compared to 2011–2015 (Islam et al. 2019). Such warming up coupled with rainfall at the flowering time likely contributed to the development of epidemic in the wheat blast-affected districts in 2016 in Bangladesh. The comparative status of blast incidence in Bangladesh with weather situation and other information are presented in Table 2.

Infection biology of MoT and its interactions with wheat plants
The MoT isolates can infect all aboveground parts of wheat plant. However, the infection process of MoT fungus on wheat leaves and spikes is still not well understood. Some recent results obtained by using scanning electron microscopy, laser confocal and bright field microscopy helped us to understand the infection mechanism to some extent (Ha et al. 2012). The infection cycle of the Magnaporthe fungus starts when the three-celled conidia attach to the plant surface. After that, conidia germinate to form polarized germ tubes from either one or both ends after 6 h of inoculation. Recognition of environmental cues such as surface hydrophobicity and toughness induces swelling at the tip of the germ tube, which then differentiates into the specialized infection structure called appressorium (Hamer et al. 1988; Tufan et al. 2019). The appressorium generates enormous turgor pressure by accumulating high concentration of compatible solute such as glycerol with the help of melanized cell wall. This pressure is converted into mechanical force, which powers the penetration peg to penetrate the leaf epidermis or stalk cuticle followed by further invasive hyphal expansion to colonize plant tissues (Kankanala et al. 2007; Tufan et al. 2009; Wilson and Talbot 2009). Infection hyphae have cap-like structures known as the biotrophic interfacial complex involved in releasing effectors into the host plant cells (Kankanala et al. 2007; Mosquera et al. 2009; Giraldo et al. 2013). The MoO secrets some effector proteins such as Avr-Pita, Avr-Pii, Avr-Piz-t, Pwl1, ACE1, Bas1–4, Slp1 and Mc69 that suppress the host immunity through binding chitin oligosaccharides in the apoplast and by targeting multiple components of the host immunity (Mentlak et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014). It also secrets antibiotic biosynthesis monooxygenase and the mycotoxin tenuazonic acid, which helps the fungus to colonize in plant tissue for successful biotrophic growth of the fungus (Kankanala et al. 2007; Patkar et al. 2015; Yan and Talbot 2016). The MoO also produces and secretes a diverse array of phytoxic secondary metabolites to collapse host plants for successful infection (Nukina 1999). However, precise underlying molecular cross-talks between wheat plant and MoO fungus are poorly understood. Disease lesions usually become apparent after 72–96 h of infection by the conidia (El Refaei 1977; Islam et al. 2016). On the other hand, mycelial mass and spor production are observed after 120 h of inoculation on plant leaf surface (Ceresini et al. 2018). However, our understanding of the effector biology of MoO is very limited. The infection biology of MoO and its interaction with wheat plants have been elaborately reviewed by Ceresini et al. (2018).

Breeding of resistant wheat cultivars using conventional and advanced genome editing
Breeding of blast-resistant wheat varieties is an environment-friendly method to control the destructive

| Table 2 | Comparative status of blast incidence during 2016–2019 in Bangladesh (Data source: BWMRI) |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| Events | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Infection time | Mid-February | Mid-January | Early-February | Mid-January |
| Weather condition | Rain at flowering time (35 mm in Feb.) with warm temperature (min. 18–23 °C, and max. 21–28 °C) | High humidity due to fog at flowering and warm temperature (min. 16–18 °C and max. 24–26 °C) | Fluctuation of day-night temperature (min. 10–12 °C and max. 26–28 °C) with high humidity/fog | High humidity due to fog at flowering and warm temperature (min. 8–16 °C and max. 24–31 °C) |
| Area affected | 15,000 ha (DAE) | 22 ha (DAE) | 15 ha (DAE) | Not estimated |
| Yield losses | 25–30% | 5–10% | 1–5% | 1–5% |
| Affected districts | Meherpur, Jhenaidah, Chuadanga, Jashore, Pabna, Kushtia, Barisal & Bhola | Previous districts + some additional districts: Faridpur, Rajshahi & Magura | Previous districts + Rajbari, Natore, Tangail & Jamalpur | Previous districts + Naogaon, Mymensingh, Madaripur & Narail |

Data sources: BWMRI, Bangladesh Wheat and Maize Research Institute; DAE, Department of Agricultural Extension
MoT pathogen. Since the first emergence of wheat blast in 1985, an extensive study has been conducted to find out novel resistance sources in wheat germplasms. However, resistance genes or sources in the screened germplasms are still limited. To date, a total of 10 genes and a chromosomal segment have been identified as resistance sources to wheat blast fungus (Table 3). Among them, Rmg2 and Rmg3 were identified in a common wheat cultivar Thatchter (Zhan et al. 2008), but these genes are ineffective at high temperature or do not express at the heading stage of wheat. The Rmg7 gene was identified in an accession of Triticum dicoccon, which is expressed at the heading stage (Tagle et al. 2015). However, this gene did not show efficacy against MoT isolate Br48 at higher temperature (26 °C) (Anh et al. 2018). Another important gene is Rmg8 that was identified by Anh et al. (2015) in common wheat cultivar S-615. Interestingly, Rmg8 is expressed at the heading stage and is also effective even at higher temperature (26 °C) (Anh et al. 2018). Field deployment of Rmg8 in blast conducive environment have not yet been done. R gene–mediated immune responses are robust and often lead to host cell death after pathogen infection, limiting resources for pathogen growth (Pieterse et al. 2012; Wang and Valent 2017). Introggression of Rmg8 into local cultivars in Bangladesh and South America might be useful for the development of blast-resistant wheat. The 2NS translocation introduced from Aegilops ventricosa confers resistance to multiple diseases like rusts and powdery mildew, and Cruz et al. (2016b) discovered that it also confers resistance to wheat head blast. Recently, the resistance conferred by 2NS translocation was eroded by a highly aggressive new isolate, B-71, in some wheat genetic backgrounds. Wang et al. (2018) discovered another new resistance gene, RmgGR119, in the common winter wheat accession GR119. In seedling assay, the Rmg8 gene is resistant to Bangladeshi MoT isolate BTJP4–1 but susceptible to Brazilian MoT isolate N06047 (Jensen et al. 2019). These findings are consistent with the observation that AvrRmg8, which encodes the avirulence effector protein recognized by Rmg8, is present in Bangladeshi isolates of the wheat blast fungus (Wang et al. 2018). In a similar detached leaf assay, we also found that wheat line GR119 carrying RmgGR119 gene displayed very strong resistance to the MoT isolates in Bangladesh (Islam et al. unpublished). These results are encouraging news for breeding and deployment of resistant wheat varieties with Rmg8 and RmgGR119 in Bangladesh and other South Asian countries. As a preventive measure, Japan has already introduced these genes into their elite wheat varieties (Wang et al. 2018). However, the performances of these genes in protecting wheat from blast fungus in the field conditions have not been tested yet. Discovery of more resistance genes and breeding for resistance to wheat blast are urgent because of the likelihood that wheat blast will spread to other countries in Asia and elsewhere (Wang et al. 2018) in the near future. The biggest challenge in development of wheat blast-resistant cultivars through classical breeding is that it requires longer time (5–10 years) and availability of limited blast-resistant genetic resources. Another important and significant challenge is the high variability in the virulence of the pathogen (Ceresini et al. 2018), which might be considered during development of a resistant wheat variety. In fact, the mechanism of the host plant resistance to wheat blast pathogen is still elusive. Marker-assisted selection (MAS) and speed breeding can expedite the breeding process especially when introgressing major genes. On the other hand, genome editing using CRISPR-Cas technology would be useful for the development of blast-resistant wheat (Haque et al. 2018; Islam 2019). It could be done by either stacking of R

### Table 3: Resistant genes discovered in wheat and their roles against blast fungus

| Resistant gene | Wheat source | Blast fungal isolate | Reference |
|----------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|
| RmgTdt(1)      | Triticum dicoccum KU109 (Tat 4) | Not to the field isolate | Takabayashi et al. (2002) |
| Rmg1(Rwt4)     | Common wheat, Norin 4 (hexaploid) | Avena isolate Br58 | Takabayashi et al. (2002) |
| Rmg2           | Common wheat, Thatcher | Triticum isolate Br48 | Zhan et al. (2008) |
| Rmg3           | Common wheat, Thatcher | Triticum isolate Br48 | Zhan et al. (2008) |
| Rmg4           | Common wheat, Norin 4 | Digitaria isolate | Nga et al. (2009) |
| Rmg5           | Common wheat, Red Egyptian | Digitaria isolate | Nga et al. (2009) |
| Rmg6(Rwt3)     | Common wheat, Norin 4 | Lolium isolate TP2 | Vy et al. (2014) |
| Rmg7           | Triticum dicoccum (tetraploid wheat), KU112(St17), 120(St24), KU122(St25) | Triticum isolate Br48 | Tagle et al. (2015) |
| Rmg8           | Common wheat, S-615 | Triticum isolate Br48 | Anh et al. (2015); Anh et al. (2018) |
| RmgGR119       | Albanian wheat accession GR119 | Triticum isolate Br48 | Wang et al. (2018) |
| 2NS translocation | Chromosomal segment from Aegilops ventricosa | Triticum isolate Br48 but not B71 | Cruz et al. (2016b) |
genes or deletion or disruption of S genes or transcription factors in the genome of a commercial cultivar (Wang et al. 2016; Nekrasov et al. 2017; Peng et al. 2017; Kim et al. 2019). The latter approach would result in non-transgenic mutated wheat which could be readily released to the practical application without following strict biosafety guidelines (Haque et al. 2018; Langner et al. 2018). As S genes in wheat have not been well characterized, the characterized orthologous S genes in rice could be targeted for identification in wheat for genome editing (Kim et al. 2019). To ease the complication in transformation of wheat, a new targeted mutagenesis in wheat microspores using CRISPR-Cas9 has recently been established (Bhowmik et al. 2018).

As the only confirmed wheat blast-resistant source in field experiments across wide environments, 2NS translocation should also be actively utilized in wheat breeding, especially in countries with wheat blast epidemic. Actually, varieties with 2NS are utilized in many wheat blast-affected countries to cope with the wheat blast infection. Such varieties include CD116 in Brazil, Urubo, INIAF Okinawa and INIAF Tropical in Bolivia, Caninde#1 in Paraguay, and BARI Gom 33 in Bangladesh. Although 2NS resistance against a new MoT isolate has been eroded, it is still the backbone in wheat blast resistance breeding. New resistance sources, like Rmg8 and RmgGR119, when confirmed in field trials, could be combined with 2NS in breeding to achieve a better resistance. The stacking of 2NS, Rmg8 and RmgGR119 is being performed at IBGE of BSMRAU and CIMMYT. In addition to this, some non-2NS lines have been identified as having moderate level of wheat blast resistance under a wide range of environments (Singh PK, unpublished results; Cruppe et al. 2020), and these lines are being crossed with 2NS carriers to pyramid the resistance genes.

**Challenges associated with breeding for wheat blast resistance**

Frequency of 2NS translocation in the CIMMYT’s germplasm is also affecting breeding for blast resistance. The 2NS-chromosome fragment has already been utilized in cultivars especially in CIMMYT germplasm because of its usefulness against rust. Importantly, it is also reported that all the wheat genotypes having 2NS translocation are not equally effective against wheat blast (Cruz et al. 2016b). Utilization of this translocation should be followed with caution especially the parent selection. Recent international nurseries of CIMMYT evidenced a significant increase in the frequency of 2NS translocation, due to its favorable effects on rust resistance (Yr17) and yield potential (Juliana et al. 2019). Based on last 3 years’ research, major and stable QTLs were identified in 2AS/NS translocation region in a biparental population (He et al. 2020). Major effects of 2AS/NS translocation in detecting stable QTLs in Genome Wide Association Analysis (GWAS) was also reported (Juliana et al. 2019). In addition to 2NS translocation, a few non-2NS lines also had consistently low infection, which may indicate the presence of novel resistant gene(s)/QTL in those lines, or recombination happened between the markers for 2NS inference and the resistance gene in 2NS carrying lines.

Synthetic hexaploid wheat (SHW) is an artificially created hexaploid wheat that represents a wider genetic basis because of the introduction of additional genetic resources from tetraploid and diploid relatives of wheat. The SHW has been widely used as a bridge for transferring major disease resistance genes from tetraploid wheat and Aegilops spp. to common wheat. Alien germplasm is an important source of novel genes for wheat improvement. Aegilops umbellulata has been identified as a source of resistance to leaf rust, powdery mildew, Hessian fly, and greenbug (Gill et al. 1985). Synthetic wheat lines are the potential alternatives for non-2NS based resistance given the fact that 2NS has not been utilized in durum breeding. Another fact is that most durum lines tested so far for blast were susceptible; therefore, blast resistance is expected to be from Ae. tauschii. The main bottleneck in using SHW is the extreme difficulties to evaluate those in field condition.

**Biological control of the disease using antifungal microorganisms or derivatives**

Biocontrol of plant diseases by using antagonistic microorganisms is a biorational effective strategy against fungal and peronosporomycetal diseases (Islam et al. 2005, 2011; Surovy et al. 2017). Several lines of evidence suggest that some biocontrol agents viz. *Bacillus methylotrophicus*, *Chaetomium globosum* and *Trichoderma harzianum* significantly control rice blast disease (Park et al. 2005; Singh et al. 2012; Oliveira et al. 2015). These antagonistic microorganisms should be tested as agents for seed treatment of suspected contaminated seeds or spray application for wheat blast management. Recently, Dutta et al. (2018) demonstrated that some plant probiotic *Bacillus* spp. isolated from rice and wheat grains significantly controlled wheat blast disease in the greenhouse and field conditions in Bangladesh (Islam et al. unpublished). Genomic and laboratory analyses revealed that these bacteria suppressed wheat blast fungus through antibiosis and induced systemic resistance in the wheat plants. Recently, Chakraborty et al. (2020) demonstrated that some non-cytotoxic linear lipopeptide isolated from a marine *Bacillus subtilis* strain 109G0C20 inhibited asexual development (inhibited conidiogenesis and germination of conidia) of the MoT fungus both in vitro and in vivo. Among the 5
compounds identified, gageotetrin B displayed the highest mycelial growth inhibition of MoT followed by gageopeptide C, gageopeptide D, gageopeptide A and gageopeptide B with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of 1.5, 2.5, 2.5, 10.0 and 10.0 μg/disk, respectively. Application of these natural compounds have also completely blocked formation of conidia in the MoT fungal mycelia in the agar medium. Further bioassay revealed that these natural compounds inhibited the germination of MoT conidia, and even if conidia germinated, induced deformation of germ tube and/or abnormal appressoria occurred (Chakraborty et al. 2020). Application of these linear lipopeptides significantly suppressed wheat blast disease on detached wheat leaves. Further studies are needed to elucidate the mode of action and practical application of these natural agents in controlling head blast in the field conditions.

Globally concerted effort to control the seed- and airborne wheat blast
A concerted and well-coordinated global effort is needed to address this potentially catastrophic plant disease. To manage the problem of wheat blast disease, a concerted effort under following directions should be considered: (i) increase awareness of the risk of outbreak of wheat blast in wheat-growing countries, and improve understanding of factors related to potential emergence; (ii) strengthen quarantine and biosafety regulations in disease-free countries, and appropriate phytosanitary measures and certification should be followed to import seeds/grains from blast affected countries; (iii) develop a convenient diagnostic tool for early detection of seedborne inoculum, monitoring and surveillance of wheat blast; (iv) forecast and monitor infection periods based on weather conditions; (v) discover novel chemical and biocontrol alternatives for managing wheat blast; (vi) monitor MoT populations to track the evolution (vulnence/fungicide resistance) of the pathogen in South America and Bangladesh; (vii) increase the availability of innovative solutions (e.g. biocontrol products and integrated disease management strategies) to reduce yield losses due to wheat blast; (viii) develop durable blast-resistant wheat varieties using classical and frontier technologies such as CRISPR-Cas genome editing. It is advisable to take initiatives for lab capacity improvement, personnel training, and information sharing by the wheat-growing countries. Open science and open data sharing approaches are needed to rapidly tackle this destructive wheat killer through the engagement of global scientific, political and social communities as used by Open Wheat Blast community (http://openwheatblast.net).

Challenge and opportunity in wheat blast management
The most important challenge with MoT fungus is insufficient knowledge on its biology and host-pathogen interactions. Little is known on molecular cross-talks between MoT and its host wheat plant. The pathogen is fast evolving in the natural environment, and more than 200 genetic variants have been identified in South America (Ceresini et al. 2018). One of the major problems associated with wheat blast is that it infects the rachis, resulting in partial or total bleaching of the spikes. All the spikelets above the point of infection become bleached. Development of resistance to fungicides in MoT fungus is another important challenge although the efficacy of fungicides after the expression of the spike blast symptoms is very poor (Castroagudin et al. 2015). Most of the R genes (Rmg 1–7) are ineffective at higher temperature and/or in field deployment (Cruz and Valent 2017). Although 2NS translocation containing varieties show moderate to high resistance to most of the isolates of wheat blast fungus, a few highly virulent isolates (e.g. B71) eroded this resistance. Resistance sources (R-genes) useful for breeding of blast-resistant wheat variety are limited. However, a few non-2NS translocation have also been found in wheat breeding for blast resistance. Precision phenotyping platforms for wheat blast in Bangladesh, and Bolivia need to be strengthened to increase the efficiency of discovery of novel sources of resistance. Two newly discovered wheat blast resistance genes, Rmg8 and RmgGR119, have potentials for resisting the MoT fungus attack, however, they were not yet deployed in the hot spots of the wheat blast in Bangladesh (Meherpur) or South American countries. Breeding for development of blast-resistant wheat variety through backcrossing with germplasms carrying 2NS translocation, Rmg8 and RmgGR119 are needed. A good number of R genes of rice have been cloned for blast resistance that may also be screened for wheat blast resistance. However, transformation of wheat is complicated due to hexaploidy and thus development of novel convenient methods for wheat transformation need to be developed. The new technology, CRISPR-Cas genome editing seems more suitable for development of new blast-resistant wheat variety either by stacking R-genes or mutagenesis of the S genes. Integrated management strategies need to be employed for management of the fearsome wheat blast. A convenient, cost-effective, specific and rapid diagnostic tools need to be developed for monitoring and surveillance of the MoT pathogen. From the analyses of epidemiological factors, it appears that major wheat-growing countries in the world such as eastern and southern India, northern China, south-eastern USA and southern Europe are vulnerable to wheat blast. The recent and dramatic outbreak of wheat blast in Bangladesh exemplifies the risk
of introduction through seeds or grains. The second risk is a host jump from cultivated or wild *Lolium* to wheat.

**Future directions and perspectives**

Wheat blast is a destructive fungal disease, which poses a serious threat to wheat production in the affected countries in South America and Bangladesh. Its recent introduction to Bangladesh, and the seed- and air-borne nature of the fungus are major risk factors of its spread to the neighboring major wheat-growing countries in Asia. Development of a convenient diagnostic tool is needed for monitoring and the surveillance of this invasive fungus. It is advisable to include this intervention in the global efforts including lab capacity improvement, personnel training, and information sharing. Another important target is the development of durable blast resistant varieties using classical or modern breeding technology such as CRISPR-Cas gene editing technology. To address other challenges mentioned in earlier sections, some strategic efforts and research on public awareness, plant and pathogen perspectives, and biological and chemical efforts are needed on an urgent basis (please see details in Table 4). Furthermore, a global concerted research effort is needed to mitigate the wheat blast with practicing of open science and open data sharing (Kamoun et al. 2019).

**Conclusions**

The destructive wheat blast disease, caused by the fungus *M. oryzae Triticum* lineage, is a threat to wheat production in South America and Bangladesh. This review updated our understanding about the wheat blast disease and discussed potential approaches for its mitigation. Major world’s wheat-growing countries of the world are free from wheat blast disease. As this fungal pathogen is invasive, highly evolving and potentially catastrophic in various agro-ecological zones, a globally concerted effort is needed to prevent its inadvertent introduction and spread. First, basic research is needed to understand the biology of the fungus and its molecular cross-talks with host plant. Second, a rapid, convenient and specific diagnostic tool is needed for early detection of seed-borne inoculum, monitoring and surveillance of the wheat blast. Third, globally available wheat germplasms are needed to be screened in the hotspots of wheat blast in Bangladesh and South America to discover novel resistance sources. Fourth, development of durable and locally adaptable blast-resistant varieties is needed by the deployment of newly discovered *R*-genes using MAS, speed breeding and CRISPR-Cas genome editing technology.

**Table 4** Future directions towards the management of wheat blast disease

| Strategy            | Proposed action                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Public awareness    | a) A concerted global effort to increase awareness of the plight of the challenge in feeding the increasing global population.  
                      b) More effort to enhance awareness of the need for international cooperation and philanthropy to fund strategies that boost wheat production and protect the crop from worrisome blast disease.  
                      c) Strictly follow the rules and regulations of quarantine during global trading of wheat seeds/grains. |
| Plant perspective   | a) More research is needed to find out new resistance sources and discover novel durable broad-spectrum resistant genes from wheat genetic resources.  
                      b) More research is needed to elucidate molecular cross-talks between wheat plant and blast fungus for the development of a management strategy against the disease.  
                      c) An international cooperative research program is needed to systematically pyramid resistance genes in local elite cultivars.  
                      d) Develop convenient methods for transformation of wheat varieties.  
                      e) Breeding program for the deployment of available *R*-genes and mutagenesis of *S*-genes by using CRISPR-Cas technology. |
| Pathogen perspective| a) Effort to start an integrated international research to monitor the genetic composition and virulence diversity of blast populations in Bangladesh and South America over time and space.  
                      b) Develop convenient diagnostic tools for monitoring and surveillance of the pathogen.  
                      c) Develop infection assays that identify all blast avirulence genes across an extended and universally applicable repertoire of differential wheat cultivars. |
| Biological and chemical control | a) Develop elite strains of biocontrol agents from the native environments through extensive screening.  
                      b) Disease control to be assessed in field trials and not in laboratory or in restricted glasshouse tests.  
                      c) Evaluation and continuous monitoring of the virulence status of introduced biocontrol agents.  
                      d) Assessment of biocontrol agent efficacy under different environments.  
                      e) Extensive research effort to find new broad-spectrum, low-dose-rate and low-ecological-impact fungicides via the rational design of target-site-specific antifungals.  
                      f) Discovery of new plant activator chemistries but with appropriate evaluation of their effect on wheat growth and crop yield.  
                      g) Genome-wide screening for wheat genes encoding antifungal proteins.  
                      h) Field evaluation of transgenics in disease control and durability. |
Fifth, discover and develop innovative solutions (e.g. biocontrol products and integrated disease management strategies) to reduce yield losses due to wheat blast. To accelerate the speed of research, open science and open data sharing approaches should be practiced in addressing the potential impact of this destructive wheat killer.
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