Chemical Composition and Nutritional Evaluation of Pasteurized Egg Mélange
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Egg is a basic foodstuff in many cultures and has a special place, also represent a rich source of nutrients such as, high quality proteins and a large variety of minerals and vitamins. The main derived products which are processed nowadays are dehydrated products (egg powder) and the liquid ones (refrigerated or frozen) as well as pasteurized egg mélange which was the studied food in the current research. A number of 20 samples of egg mélange were gathered from two different processing units. Samples were subjected to chemical analysis being determined content in water, dry matter, proteins, lipids, minerals, non-nitrogenous extractive substances, fatty acids and amino acids. Based on the obtained values was realised a nutritional evaluation for the analysed product by calculating the chemical indexes of essential amino acids (CI), essential amino acids index (EAAI), biological value (BV) and nutritional index (NI) for three categories of persons (children, young people and adults). The results of the current study showed the fact that chemically speaking, the analysed pasteurized egg mélange presented values which were into the limits from literature; the analysed samples contented 75.59% water, 24.41% dry matter, 12.22% protein, 9.71% lipids, 0.99% total minerals, 1.48% non-nitrogenous extractive substances, 12.34 g/100 g content in amino acids (5.5 g/100 g essential amino acids) and 22.86 g/100 g lipids for polyunsaturated fatty acids. After nutritional evaluation of proteins from the studied food we observed that those ones had a very close value (EAAI=50.77 g/16 g N) to the one of hen egg albumen (51.2 g/16 g N), value which is considered etaloon. Calculus of some foresight indicators for nutritional value of proteins from studied egg mélange showed the fact that this one could represent a good source of proteins and implicitly of essential amino acids for humans even if in children's case the values were a little bit lower and two amino acids (tryptophan and leucine) were slightly limiting.
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Healthy diet plays an important role in improving of human life quality. Among different food products with delivery of essential nutritive substances for organism, egg is a basic foodstuff in many cultures and has a special place [1, 2].

Eggs are a rich source of nutrients such as, high quality proteins (which contain the ideal rate of amino acids for a normal functioning of human organism), a great variety of minerals and vitamins [3-5].

Eggs provide to human diet all those nine essential amino acids (EAA), making them an excellent source of proteins with a high biological value (BV). Also, eggs are frequently used as standard in comparing of proteins’ quality from other foodstuffs [6, 7].

Being a very valuable foodstuff for humans and an indispensable raw material for food industry, at a rational consumption egg represents a real stimulant for organisms’ metabolic functions, determining its resistance increasing at diseases and helping at fortifying of nervous system [8, 9].

In order to increase eggs’ shelf lifetime and for a superior capitalization of them (in correlations with the preferences of the consume market and with an increasing demand of industries which use eggs as raw/auxiliary materials), were introduced in industrial processing of the so called eggs derivates or ovoproducts; foodstuffs which greatly keep the quality of natural product, but have a much better preservation than those [10].

In according with EU regulations, ovoproduct is any product obtained from whole egg, from its constituents or from a mix of its constituents, after removal of shell and egg membranes. Egg products could be partially completed with other foodstuffs or additives [11-13].

The main derivates from eggs which are processed nowadays are dehydrated products (egg powder) and the liquid ones (refrigerated and frozen) [14].

Despite the evolution of eggs’ preservation or processing techniques, these ones must respect the most strictly food safety conditions, at the same time with keeping of eggs’ native nutritive qualities [15, 16].

Ovoproducts are often utilised in food industry and represent an opportunity for egg producers to capitalize them in other ways then in shell eggs [17, 18].

Egg products are frequently utilised as raw material in different branches of foodstuff industry and public food service, because provides a rich source of high-quality proteins, vitamins and oligo-elements [10, 19, 20]. Those ones are preferred because are easy to manipulate and to store, but because are microbiologically sensitive, must be subjected to pasteurization for obtaining of safety products [11-13]. Pasteurization of mélange is usually realised to decrease at minimum bacterial flora and to prolong the shelf lifetime, but must be accompanied by an aseptic wrapping. Being an ingredient of products consumed by all people categories, mélange must be microbiologically analysed in order to avoid food poisoning [21-23].

Even if nourishment habits of mankind evolved much during time, the demands of human organism remains practically the same, them satisfy being assured by consumption of various healthy and agreeable foodstuffs, which must be chosen so to assure its quantitative and qualitative demands [11-13, 24].

Eggs could add many positive attributes to foodstuff products, due to their functional properties such as: emulsifying capacity, foaming capacity, coagulating power and aroma, to name only some of them. Due to those facts,
Amino acids are determined after samples’ derivation with detachment from protein molecule by acid hydrolysis. Chromatography which supposed the amino acids by difference using the following formula: according with AOAC no. 900.02 method [27]. Carbonization of samples followed by their calcinations in in total mineral substances was determined by method in according with AOAC no. 925.32 [27], content with AOAC no. 925.31 [14, 27, 30]. Nitrogen was realised through Kjeldahl method in according with the formula: Water (%) = 100% – DM (%).

Water content resulted by difference in according with the following formula: W

Non-nitrogenous extractive substances were calculated by difference using the following formula:

\[ \text{NES} = 100 - (\text{Water} + \text{Ash} + \text{Protein} + \text{Lipids}) \]

Amino acids determination was realised through liquid chromatography which supposed the amino acids detachment from protein molecule by acid hydrolysis. Amino acids are determined after samples’ derivation with ortophthalaldehyde and detection at 338 nm. Method was effectuated in according with standard SR EN ISO 13903:2005 [31, 32], concentration calculus being made by reporting of drops area to calibration curve.

Determination of fatty acids from analysed samples was made by chromatographic method and supposed the transformation of fatty acids into methyl esters and after that separation in chromatographic column and comparison of results with standard chromatogram, as well as the percentage quantification of those. Preparation of methyl esters was realised in according with standard ISO 5508:2002 [33-36]. Results being expressed in percent of fatty acids methyl esters.

The analysis’ results were statistically processed being calculated the position and variation estimators (arithmetic mean; mean’s standard deviation S and variation coefficient V%) [37-39].

To determine the nutritive value of pasteurized egg mélange was used the method described by Oser [44, 52], using the following formula:

\[ \text{BV} = 1.09 (\text{EAAI}) - 11.7. \]



\[ \text{NI} (\%) = \frac{\text{EAAI} \times \% \text{protein}}{100}. \]

As standard protein usually is utilised protein from egg or etalon protein FAO/WHO/UNU. In the current paper nutritional value of proteins was calculated function of standard demands for amino acids of young people (standard 1) (Tryptophan -1, Threonine -4, Leucine -7, Lysine -3.5, Methionine + Cysteine -3.5; Phenylalanine + Tyrosine -6, Valine -5; EAA = 36 g/16 g N) [44, 46], adult persons (standard 2) (Tryptophan -0.6, Threonine -2.6, Leucine -3, Lysine -3.1, Methionine + Cysteine -2.7, Phenylalanine + Tyrosine -3.3, Valine -2.3; EAA = 22 g/16 g N) [47-49] and for children (standard 3) (Tryptophan -1.7, Threonine -4.3, Isoleucine -4.6, Leucine -9.3, Lysine -6.6, Methionine + Cysteine -4.2, Phenylalanine + Tyrosine -7.2, Valine -5.5; EAA = 37.9 g/16 g N) [50].

After calculating of chemical indexes for those 8 essential amino acids, was calculated Oser index or EAAI (Essential Amino Acid Index) [43, 46, 49, 51]:

\[ \text{EAAI} = \sum_{i=1}^{8} \frac{\text{content in amino acid A of studied protein}}{\text{content in amino acid A of etalon protein}} \times 100. \]

Biological value (BV) was calculated in according with the method described by Oser [44, 52], using the following formula:

\[ \text{BV} = 1.09 (\text{EAAI}) - 11.7. \]

Nutritional index (NI) of pasteurized egg mélange was calculated using the formula described by Crisan and Sands, 1978 [44, 53]:
chemical composition of several ovoproduit types highlight the fact that pasteurized mélange contain 8.11%-10.38% lipids.

For pasteurized egg mélange, the obtained mean value regarding total mineral substances content was 0.99±0.01%, with a variability coefficient of 0.51% fact which shown a very good homogeneity for the analysed indicator.

Research realised by Souci et al., 1994 [58] and Lamas et al., 2016 [55] highlight the fact that in fresh mélange rate of ash content is 0.99-1.35%.

Non-nitrogenous extractive substances content recorded a mean value of 1.48±0.04%. Homogeneity of feature was a medium one, variability coefficient value being 10.09%.

Energetic value of pasteurized egg mélange was 168.16 kcal/100 g product or 702.91 MJ/100 g products. Those values are very close to energetic value of whole egg but around 2.2 times lower than yolk and around 3.3 times lower than integral egg powder. Also, we observed that energetic value of pasteurized egg mélange is with around 2.9 times higher than albumen [59-61].

Nutritive value of proteins from egg was strongly researched, being the result of ideal balance of indispensable amino acids [60, 62].

Results of amino acids analysis from pasteurized egg mélange are presented in correlation with variation limits founded in literature both for pasteurized egg mélange, as well as for raw mélange. So, was observed that sum of amino acids is 12.34 g/100 g in analysed product and authors like Kassis et al., 2010 [50] and Darvish et al., 2012 [56] presented variation limits for amino acids from pasteurized egg mélange between 12.37 and 13.60 g/100 g.

Analysis of essential amino acids indicates a total value of 5.5 g/100 g. The main constituent was leucine with a mean value of 1.09±0.01 g/100 g and the lowest value being recorded for tryptophan which was 0.19±0.01 g/100 g (table 2).

Variation limits founded in literature [50, 63] for total essential amino acids were between 5.09 and 6.04 g/100 g for pasteurized melange.

Total of determined non-essential amino acids was 6.13 g/100 g, preponderant in their case being glutamic acid with a mean content of 1.34±0.01g/100 g, and the lowest rated belonging to glycine at which the mean value was 0.31±0.01 g/100 g.

Concluding, the obtained data regarding amino acids content from pasteurized egg mélange indicate a total value of 12.34 g/100 g, from which 5.5 g/100 g were essential amino acids, 0.71 g/100 g were semi-essential amino acids and 6.13 g/100 g non-essential amino acids; those values reinforced the idea that eggs or fresh and pasteurized ovoproducts represent basic foods with major beneficial on organism’s grown and development but also on maintaining of a good health state of it.

After obtaining the results regarding amino acids content was calculate the content in essential amino acids related to 100 g protein (in g/16 g N, equivalent to g/100 g protein) and after that was obtained the nutritional value of pasteurized egg mélange by calculating chemical indexes, EAAI, BV and nutritional index (table 3).

### Table 1

| Specification               | Statistical estimators |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Water                      | 75.59±0.04             |
| Dry matter                 | 24.41±0.04             |
| Proteins                   | 12.22±0.04             |
| Lipids                     | 9.71±0.03              |
| Total mineral substances   | 0.99±0.01              |
| Non-nitrogenous extractive substances | 1.48±0.04 |

### Table 2

| Amino acids       | Statistical estimators |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| Tryptophan        | 0.19±0.01              |
| Threonine         | 0.95±0.01              |
| Isoleucine        | 0.06±0.01              |
| Leucine           | 1.09±0.01              |
| Lysine            | 1.03±0.01              |
| Methionine        | 0.33±0.01              |
| Phenylalanine     | 0.65±0.02              |
| Valine            | 0.81±0.01              |
| Histidine         | 0.24±0.02              |
| Alanine           | 0.75±0.01              |
| Arginine          | 0.97±0.01              |
| Aspartic acid     | 0.07±0.01              |
| Glutamine         | 0.31±0.01              |
| Glutamic acid     | 1.14±0.01              |
| Tryptophan        | 0.19±0.01              |
| Threonine         | 0.95±0.01              |
| Isoleucine        | 0.06±0.01              |
| Leucine           | 1.09±0.01              |
| Lysine            | 1.03±0.01              |
| Methionine        | 0.33±0.01              |
| Phenylalanine     | 0.65±0.02              |
| Valine            | 0.81±0.01              |
| Histidine         | 0.24±0.02              |
| Alanine           | 0.75±0.01              |
| Arginine          | 0.97±0.01              |
| Aspartic acid     | 0.07±0.01              |
| Glutamine         | 0.31±0.01              |
| Glutamic acid     | 1.14±0.01              |

| Amino acids       | (g/16 g N) | Chemical indexes |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|
|                   | Standard 1 | Standard 2       | Standard 3       |
| Tryptophan        | 1.55       | 155.00           | 258.33           | 91.18 |
| Threonine         | 3.40       | 133.00           | 207.59           | 123.38 |
| Isoleucine        | 5.64       | 141.00           | 188.00           | 122.61 |
| Leucine           | 8.91       | 127.28           | 202.50           | 95.86 |
| Lysine            | 8.42       | 133.69           | 271.61           | 127.57 |
| Methionine + Cysteine | 4.82   | 137.71           | 178.52           | 104.76 |
| Phenylalanine + Tyrosine | 9.41  | 156.83           | 285.15           | 130.99 |
| Valine            | 0.62       | 132.40           | 287.82           | 120.36 |
| EAA              | 30.17      | -                | -                |
| EAAI (%)          | -          | 141.90           | 231.07           | 115.18 |
| P-BV              | -          | 112.97           | 240.17           | 113.35 |
| Nutritional index (%) | -     | 17.34            | 28.23            | 14.87 |

**Table 3**

| Amino acids       | (g/16 g N) | Chemical indexes |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|
| Tryptophan        | 1.55       | 155.00           | 258.33           | 91.18 |
| Threonine         | 3.40       | 133.00           | 207.59           | 123.38 |
| Isoleucine        | 5.64       | 141.00           | 188.00           | 122.61 |
| Leucine           | 8.91       | 127.28           | 202.50           | 95.86 |
| Lysine            | 8.42       | 133.69           | 271.61           | 127.57 |
| Methionine + Cysteine | 4.82   | 137.71           | 178.52           | 104.76 |
| Phenylalanine + Tyrosine | 9.41  | 156.83           | 285.15           | 130.99 |
| Valine            | 0.62       | 132.40           | 287.82           | 120.36 |

**P-BV - Predicted-Biological Value**
Differences between chemical indexes values (CI) for pasteurized egg mélange depend of utilised nutritional standards: standard 1 - content in essential amino acids of ideal protein for young people, standard 2 - for mature adults and standard 3 - for children. Having in view the above-mentioned situations, only in children’s case were observed limiting amino acids (tryptophan and leucine), reason to affirm that for young people and adults pasteurized egg mélange represent a very good source for essential amino acids.

Proteins from pasteurized egg mélange are characterised by a high nutritional value. This thing was confirmed, in the current study, by the content in exogenous amino acids (EAA) which was 50.77 g/16 g N, very close to value of hen egg albumen (51.2 g/16 g N) utilised in nutritional evaluations as etalon protein for essential amino acids content [44].

Predicted nutritional values for proteins from pasteurized egg mélange (EAAI, BV and NI) were calculated on the basis of those three standards -1, 2 and 3. Comparing the obtained results for those three categories of persons was remarked that the lowest values were obtained for children. So, EAAI (%) and nutritional index NI (%) had values reduced with 23.2-100.6% and P-BV was lower with 25.6-110.9%.

Having in view the above-mentioned things we can affirm that pasteurized egg mélange could represent a good protein source and implicit of essential amino acids for humans even if in children’s case the values were a little bit lower and two amino acids were slightly limiting. Combining pasteurized egg mélange with other foods such as cheeses will lead to obtain of some protein mixes with a high biological value even for children.

In parallel with dosage of lipids from the analysed product by us were determined the fatty acids.

**Composition of fatty acids**

Lipids are formed by 30-35% saturated fatty acids, 40-45% monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and 20-25% polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) [64].

Around 20% from total lipids are represented by fatty acids, from which 90% are omega 6 PUFA and 10% omega 3 PUFA.

Rate ω-6/ω-3 is 9:1, so to improve this rate are utilised some supplements rich in ω-3, because was demonstrated the fact that a rate of 5:1 could decrease the risk for thrombosis, inflammation of vascular wall and prevent the myocardium arrhythmia at humans [65, 66].

Data obtained regarding saturated fatty acids show that the main constituent was palmitic acid with a mean value of 25.03±0.09 g/100 g lipids, and constituent with the lowest rate was represented by heptadecanoic acid (0.13±0.01 g/100 g lipids). Total of saturated fatty acids from the studied mélange was 34.57 g/100 g fat (table 4).

Results regarding monounsaturated fatty acids revealed a total value of 42.33 g/100 g lipids, the lowest mean value being recorded for pentadecanoic acid, 0.05±0.01 g/100 g lipids, opposite being oleic acid with 38.37±0.08 g/100 g lipids.

Regarding polyunsaturated fatty acids, the recorded total value was 22.86 g/100 g lipids, the highest rate belonging to linoleic acid with a mean of 17.57±0.11 g/100 g lipids, while docosapentaenoic acid recorded the lowest rate 0.04±0.01 g/100 g lipids.

In contrast to fatty acids from raw mélange, the results obtained for analysed pasteurized egg mélange recorded values, into the limits from literature, being between 31.30 and 35.07% for total saturated fatty acids as well as for monounsaturated fatty acids (39.07-45.8%) and polyunsaturated ones (16.3-19.59%) [55, 67-69].

---

| Fatty acids                  | V%     | Max.  |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|
| **Saturated fatty acids**    |        |       |
| Myristic C14:0               | 10.00  | 0.29  |
| Palmitic C16:0               | 17.81  | 0.05  |
| Stearic C18:0                | 1.80   | 3.84  |
| **Monounsaturated fatty acids** |       |       |
| Palmitoleic C16:1            | 1.82   | 3.41  |
| Oleic C18:1                  | 0.51   | 38.17 |
| **Polyunsaturated fatty acids** |       |       |
| Linoleic C18:2               | 31.26  | 0.05  |
| **Total fatty acids**        | 100.00 |       |

---

**Table 4**

FATTY ACIDS CONTENT OF PASTEURIZED EGG MELANGE

---
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Conclusions

The results of the current study highlight the fact the chemically speaking the analysed pasteurized egg mélange presented values which were into the limits from consulted literature; analysed samples contained 75.59% water; 24.41% dry matter, 12.22% protein, 9.71% lipids, 0.99% total minerals and 1.48% non-nitrogenous extractive substances.

Speaking about amino acids content, in the studied pasteurized egg melange, was founded a total of 12.34 g/100 g from 5.5 g/100 g which were essential amino acids, 0.71 g/100 g were semi-essential amino acids and 6.13 g/100 g non-essential amino acids; these values reinforced the idea that eggs or fresh and pasteurized ovoproducts represent basic foods with major beneficial on organism’s grown and development but also on maintaining of a good health state of it.

After nutritional evaluation of proteins for pasteurized egg mélange we noticed that those ones had a very close value (EAA=50.77 g/16 g N), value which is considered etalon. Calculus of some prediction indicators for nutritional value of proteins from studied egg mélange (EAAI, BV and NI) for three categories of persons (children, young people and adults) indicated the fact that studied food could represent basic foods with major beneficial on organism’s health state of it.

Having in view the above-mentioned things we recommend the consumption of pasteurized egg mélange because presents normal chemical characteristics, in according with the legislation and due to the fact, that have a very good nutritional value for adults and good enough for children.
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