Functional versus functional and anatomical criteria-guided ranibizumab treatment in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration – results from the randomized, phase IIIb OCTAVE study
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Abstract

Background: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of two individualized ranibizumab retreatment schemes in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Methods: Patients (N=671) were randomized (1:1) to receive three initial monthly ranibizumab 0.5 mg injections, then retreatment guided by either best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) loss (Group I) or BCVA loss and/or signs of disease activity on optical coherence tomography (OCT; Group II). The study was terminated prematurely, as OCT-guided treatment became standard of care during the study period. Post-hoc efficacy analyses were performed on patients who completed 12 months of the originally planned 24-month study. Safety analyses are presented for all safety analyzable patients. Results: Of 671 randomized patients, 305 completed 12 months. At baseline, the mean (standard deviation) BCVA and reading-center evaluated central subfield thickness (CSFT) were 60.9 (13.10) letters and 517.7 (201.79) µm, respectively in Group I and 60.2 (12.21) letters and 515.3 (198.37) µm in Group II. The change from baseline at Month 12 in BCVA was 6.7 (13.48) letters in Group I and 8.3 (13.53) letters in Group II and the change in CSFT was −161.3 (163.48) µm and −175.3 (170.45) µm, respectively. The mean number of injections was 8.2 in Group I and 8.4 in Group II. Conclusion: Ranibizumab treatment resulted in visual and anatomic gains at 12 months for both retreatment strategies, with a trend in favor of OCT-guided vs BCVA loss guided retreatment. No new safety signals were seen.

Background

Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) agents are the standard of care for the treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD).[1, 2] Ranibizumab, an anti-VEGF antibody fragment specifically designed for ophthalmic use,[3] is approved for the treatment of nAMD in the United States,[4] Europe[5] and many other countries
worldwide.

With the increasing use of optical coherence tomography (OCT), evidence emerged that anatomical changes may precede loss in VA and could be used as an early indicator for retreatment decisions.[6, 7, 8, 9, 10] In the CATT and HARBOR studies, predominantly OCT-based retreatment decisions resulted in patient outcomes comparable to those seen with monthly dosing.[6, 7, 9] The PrONTO study demonstrated the usefulness of OCT for guiding retreatment with intravitreal ranibizumab in nAMD.[8, 10] A post-hoc analysis of the EXCITE study demonstrated that patients with intraretinal cystoid fluid may require more injections than others to maintain vision.[11]

The OCT And Vision Evaluation (OCTAVE) study (NCT01780935)[12] was designed to assess the efficacy and safety of two ranibizumab 0.5 mg treatment regimens, with retreatment decisions guided by functional (VA) versus functional and/or anatomical (VA and/or OCT-guided) criteria, in patients with nAMD. Prior to this study, no prospective study had compared patient outcomes using a retreatment strategy based on VA loss alone with one that permitted retreatment if anatomical signs of disease activity were also observed. However, after the OCTAVE study was initiated, the use of OCT in retreatment decisions was accepted by ophthalmologists and health authorities worldwide, and the 2014 European Society of Retina Specialists (EURETINA) guidelines recommended use of OCT for monitoring disease activity on a monthly basis.[13] After a careful and thorough review of the study objectives, the decision to terminate OCTAVE early was taken. This was considered to be in the best interest of patients in the study for whom the retreatment strategy was based on VA loss alone. Nevertheless, the data collected provide valuable additional information on ranibizumab retreatment criteria in patients with nAMD.

Here, we describe results from a post-hoc efficacy and imaging analysis performed on patients who completed 12 months of the OCTAVE study. Safety analyses are presented
for all safety-analyzable patients.

Methods

Study design

OCTAVE was an open-label, phase IIIb, randomized, double-masked (with regard to the retreatment criteria) study that was originally planned to have a 24-month duration (Fig. 1) between June 2013 and July 2015, but was terminated in October 2014. The study was conducted at 92 sites in 24 countries.

OCTAVE was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the study protocol was reviewed by the Independent Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Board for each study center (see Table, Additional file 1, which lists all the IECs/IRBs). Patients provided written informed consent. The manuscript reporting adheres to the CONSORT guidelines for the reporting of randomized trials.

Randomization and treatment

Eligible patients were randomized (1:1) via Interactive Response Technology to either of the two treatment groups, using a validated system that automated the random assignment of patient numbers to randomization numbers: Group I (VA-guided): three initial monthly ranibizumab 0.5 mg injections with retreatment thereafter at the investigator’s discretion based on best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) loss due to nAMD; Group II (VA and/or OCT-guided): three initial monthly ranibizumab 0.5 mg injections with retreatment thereafter at the investigator’s discretion based on BCVA loss due to nAMD and/or signs of nAMD disease activity on OCT. Both groups had the same monthly assessments, including OCT. The VA assessor was masked to the retreatment strategy assignment and performed only the BCVA assessment. The evaluating and/or treating investigator (who could be the same person) was not masked to the retreatment strategy and performed all other study related activities.
During the study, no rescue medication was permitted for the treatment of nAMD.

Patients

Patients included were ≥50 years of age with visual impairment due to nAMD; with active, newly diagnosed, angiographically documented choroidal neovascularization (CNV) secondary to AMD in a previously untreated eye; and with CNV or its sequelae (i.e., pigment epithelium detachment, subretinal or subretinal pigment epithelial hemorrhage, blocked fluorescence, macular edema, or subretinal, subretinal pigment epithelial or intraretinal fluid [IRF]) involving the center of the fovea. An additional inclusion criterion was a BCVA score at both screening and baseline between 78 and 23 letters inclusive (approximate Snellen equivalent of 20/32 and 20/320). If both eyes were eligible for the study, a single study eye was selected at screening using investigator discretion and the decision was confirmed at the baseline visit.

Key exclusion criteria applied at screening or baseline were active periocular or ocular infection or inflammation; uncontrolled glaucoma (intraocular pressure [IOP] ≥30 mm Hg on medication or according to the investigator’s judgment); neovascularization of the iris or neovascular glaucoma; cataract (if causing significant visual impairment), aphakia, vitreous hemorrhage, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, proliferative retinopathy or CNV of any other cause than nAMD; irreversible structural damage within 0.5 disc diameter of the center of the macula (e.g., vitreomacular traction, epiretinal membrane, scar, laser burn, macular hole) that in the investigator’s opinion could substantially impact visual function improvement with treatment; atrophy or fibrosis involving the center of the fovea; and total area of fibrosis comprising more than 50% of the lesion area (see Additional file 2 for detailed exclusion criteria).

Assessments

The following efficacy variables were assessed: mean change from baseline at Month 12 in
BCVA and central subfield thickness (CSFT); mean change from baseline at Month 12 in BCVA and CSFT categorized by presence at baseline of extrafoveal lesions, subretinal fluid (SRF) or cysts, and by thickness of cysts in the central millimeter subfield; proportion of patients with a BCVA improvement of ≥5, ≥10, and ≥15 letters from baseline at Month 12; proportion of patients with BCVA ≥73 letters (20/40 Snellen equivalent) at Month 12; and treatment exposure.

BCVA was assessed in the study eye at all visits in a sitting position using Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS)-like VA testing charts at an initial testing distance of 4 meters or at 1 meter (if it was not possible to assess at 4 meters). OCT images were taken using different spectral-domain OCT devices certified for multicenter study use by the Vienna Reading Center (VRC). Each patient was assessed using the same machine throughout the study. The investigator used the information collected to assess the status of disease activity. The images taken monthly from screening to end-of-study visit were sent to the VRC for further evaluation. The captured images contained quantitative parameters (CSFT and the presence or absence of qualitative parameters (intraretinal cysts (further referred to as cysts; defined as round, minimally reflective spaces within the neurosensory retina [14]) and SRF (identified as a non-reflective space between the posterior boundary of the neurosensory retina and the retinal pigment epithelium/choriocapillaris reflection [14]). The CSFT represented the average retinal thickness between the Bruch’s membrane and the inner limiting membrane of the circular area within 1 mm diameter around the foveal center. Fluorescein angiography (FA) was performed in conjunction with color fundus photography, and the investigator used the images to confirm their decision for treatment. The investigator also sent these images to the VRC. Safety assessments included the type, frequency, relationship, and severity of ocular and non-ocular adverse events (AEs) over 12 months. Safety assessments were
performed in the safety set which consisted of all patients who received at least one application of study treatment and had at least one post-baseline safety assessment. Efficacy data are summarized descriptively, except for the explorative BCVA analysis investigating the impact of the presence of baseline cysts. A linear regression model for change in BCVA from baseline to Month 12 was fitted, including treatment, presence of baseline cysts as factors, baseline BCVA as covariate and presence of baseline cysts/treatment as interaction term. Safety data for all safety-analyzable patients are summarized descriptively.

Results

As noted earlier, the study was discontinued early prior to any patient completing the original two year study period. Enrollment of the originally intended, entire study population (N=671) was complete; however, at the time of termination, patients had participated for variable periods of time within the study, including 6% of the patients who discontinued before the study was terminated, 1% each due to adverse events, death, loss to follow-up and 3% due to withdrawal of consent. Of the 671 enrolled patients (Group I [n=335], Group II [n=336]), 305 completed at least 12 months within the study (Group I [n=151] and Group II [n=154], Fig. 1). All enrolled patients were included in the safety set, except for one patient in Group I for whom neither study drug was administered nor safety assessments performed.

Here, we present baseline and safety data for the entire population, but post-hoc analyses of efficacy data only for patients who completed at least one year within the study, so as to compare groups with a comparable time period of exposure to treatment.

The mean age of enrolled patients was 74.6 years, and most were female (60.7%) and Caucasian (96.1%). Mean (standard deviation [SD]) IOP at baseline was 15.1 (2.8) mmHg. Baseline demographic and ocular characteristics were comparable between the treatment
groups in the randomized set and between treatment groups in patients who completed one year of treatment (Table 1). The most common lesion type was occult with no classic component and CNV location was extrafoveal in approximately one-third of patients. Extrafoveal lesions were defined by the VRC as lesions where the CNV border was more than 200 µm away from the foveal centerpoint. Baseline imaging data (OCT, FA) were also comparable between the randomized set and those who completed 12 months of treatment (Table 1).

**Efficacy outcomes**

Mean (SD) BCVA increased from baseline to Month 12 (Fig. 2). At Month 12, mean (SD) gain was numerically lower in Group I compared with Group II (6.7 [13.48] vs 8.3 [13.53] letters; Fig. 2). BCVA gain of ≥10 or ≥15 letters at Month 12 was observed in both groups, but there was a trend towards more patients in Group II versus Group I achieving these gains (Fig. 3). Similarly, a numerically higher proportion of patients in Group II achieved BCVA ≥73 letters at Month 12 (54% vs 49%) than Group I. The mean (SD) CSFT decreased from baseline over time (Fig. 4) and at Month 12 was −161.3 (163.48) µm in Group I and −175.3 (170.45) µm in Group II.

**Effect of extrafoveal CNV lesions, SRF, cysts, and thickness of cysts at baseline on BCVA and CSFT outcomes**

In both groups, there were differences in baseline BCVA and CSFT between patients with extrafoveal CNV lesions versus those with foveal (centerpoint is occupied by CNV) and juxtafoveal (border of CNV is 1–200 µm away from centerpoint) CNV lesions. There was a trend towards higher mean (SD) BCVA gains in patients with foveal and juxtafoveal lesions than those with extrafoveal lesions in both groups; the gains were numerically higher in Group II than in Group I (see Fig, Additional file 3). Mean (SD) decrease in CSFT from baseline at Month 12 was observed irrespective of the presence or absence of extrafoveal
lesions at baseline; the reduction was numerically higher in Group II in those with extrafoveal lesions (see Fig, Additional file 3). In both groups, the mean change in BCVA or CSFT from baseline at Month 12 did not differ based on the presence or absence of SRF at baseline; the change was numerically higher in Group II irrespective of SRF (see Fig, Additional file 4).

Patients with cysts had a lower BCVA at baseline, and BCVA stayed lower over time compared with that in patients without cysts at baseline, exploratory p< 0.05 for the difference in BCVA gain between presence/absence of cysts in both groups (linear regression, see Table, Additional file 5). The presence of cysts at baseline was associated with slightly lower BCVA gain from baseline at Month 12 in Group I versus Group II, whereas in those without baseline cysts, the BCVA gains from baseline at Month 12 were similar between both groups (see Fig, Additional file 4). The difference in mean BCVA change from baseline to Month 12 by presence or absence of cysts at baseline was higher in Group I compared with Group II (7.64 vs 4.80 letters), as estimated by linear regression. The mean CSFT decrease from baseline to Month 12 was higher in both groups in patients with cysts at baseline than those without. The absolute reduction in CSFT was comparable between groups in those with baseline cysts and was numerically higher in Group II in those without baseline cysts (see Fig, Additional file 4). The BCVA gain from baseline at Month 12 and reduction in mean CSFT from baseline at Month 12 were higher in both groups in those with cysts larger than 400µm at baseline (see Fig, Additional file 4).

Treatment exposure

The mean (SD) number of injections was 8.2 (2.46) and 8.4 (2.87) in Groups I and II, respectively; the median number of injections was 9.0 in both groups. The frequencies of ranibizumab injections administered over 12 months were generally comparable in both treatment groups (Fig. 5).
Safety

Ocular serious AEs (SAEs) were reported in a small but comparable proportion of patients in both groups (Table 2). Non-ocular SAEs were reported in 8.1% of patients in Group I and in 12.8% of patients in Group II (Table 2). Seven patients died during the study; one patient in Group I (due to cardiopulmonary failure) and six patients in Group II (due to myocardial infarction, cardiovascular insufficiency, pneumonia, squamous cell carcinoma, congestive cardiac failure, and unknown causes [n=1 each]). The death due to unknown causes in Group II was suspected to have a causal relationship with the study drug.

Overall, ocular and non-ocular AEs were reported in a comparable proportion of patients in both groups (Table 3). The ocular AE with the highest incidence in Group I was conjunctival hemorrhage (5.7%) and IOP increase in Group II (6.3%, Table 3). There were no cases of endophthalmitis.

Ocular and non-ocular AEs suspected to be related to the study drug and/or ocular injection were also reported in a comparable proportion of patients in both groups.

Conjunctival hemorrhage in Group I and IOP in Group II were the ocular AEs that resulted in most discontinuations (see Table, Additional file 6). Six patients were discontinued from the study because of AEs, two from Group I (asthma and retinal detachment [n=1 each]) and four from Group II (presyncope, migraine, cholestasis, chronic myeloid leukemia [n=1 each]); none of these AEs were suspected to have a causal relationship with the study drug.

Discussion

The OCTAVE study demonstrates that a ranibizumab retreatment strategy based on VA alone (Group I) as well as VA and/or OCT (Group II) resulted in improved visual and anatomic outcomes. Numerically higher BCVA gains were observed in Group II suggesting that the use of VA and/or OCT may provide additional benefits over VA only-guided
retreatment decisions, which is in line with clinical experience. Mirroring the BCVA findings, the mean decrease in CSFT at Month 12 was also numerically higher in Group II compared with Group I. Because the study was terminated early, the retreatment strategies could not be compared as planned. Nevertheless, the results of the post-hoc analyses are consistent with the current ranibizumab label,[5] which recommends that retreatment decisions should be guided by disease activity assessment (both visual and anatomical parameters, including OCT). The suitability of an individualized treatment approach using both VA and OCT-guided retreatment criteria in nAMD patients was subsequently confirmed in a meta-analysis that showed sustained visual improvements over 2 years with this treatment regimen.[15]

The ability of OCT to detect the earliest signs of disease activity with fluid re-accumulation in the macula, even before leakage detection on FA, was demonstrated in the extension study of the phase 1 and 2 studies with ranibizumab, in which OCT was used in conjunction with FA.[8] The PrONTO (Prospective Optical coherence tomography imaging of patients with Neovascular AMD Treated with intra-Ocular ranibizumab [Lucentis]) study, which was designed to evaluate an OCT-guided, variable ranibizumab-dosing regimen in patients with nAMD, demonstrated that a variable dosing regimen could result in visual and anatomic outcomes similar to the fixed-dosing phase 3 trials, with fewer injections, over a 2-year period.[8, 10] The study also demonstrated the usefulness of OCT to guide retreatment with ranibizumab. In clinical practice too, high levels of inter-observer agreement have been reported for the detection of macular fluid and other features of disease activity on OCT on a per patient basis,[16] suggesting that OCT offers a more standardized and earlier detection of disease activity than VA alone in patients with nAMD. However, guidelines and evidence suggest that OCT and FA (which has been the reference standard) may both be needed for comprehensive diagnosis of active disease in
patients with nAMD but OCT monitoring may suffice for follow-up and disease monitoring. [13, 17]

The BCVA and CSFT improvements observed at Month 12 in OCTAVE were comparable to those reported in previous studies using VA and/or OCT-guided retreatment criteria with individualized or PRN ranibizumab.[7, 18, 19, 20] Other than a greater foveal thickness (mean CSFT >500 µm vs 320 to 470 µm for other studies), patient baseline characteristics were comparable with these studies.[7, 18, 19, 20] This greater foveal thickness is explained by the prior definition for CSFT being measured from the Bruch’s membrane to the inner limiting membrane, whereas other studies may have measured from the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) to the inner limiting membrane and thus exclude sub-RPE fluid from their CSFT. Treatment exposure and treatment patterns over time were similar with the two treatment regimens. The mean number of injections up to Month 12 in OCTAVE (8.2 in Group I and 8.4 in Group II) was similar to the mean number of injections reported in other controlled clinical studies using individualized or PRN treatment regimens for nAMD (6.5–8 injections),[18, 20] but was higher than those reported in real-world studies (4–6 injections).[21, 22, 23, 24, 25]

Specific qualitative morphologic parameters have an important role with respect to visual prognosis in patients with nAMD.[26] In OCTAVE, the presence of SRF at baseline had no effect on visual outcomes at 12 months; however, the gains were numerically higher in Group II. These findings are consistent with a subanalysis of the EXCITE trial that showed no effect of baseline SRF on later visual outcomes.[11, 26] A previous study reported better visual outcomes in eyes with subfoveal neovascular lesions than in those with extrafoveal lesions.[27] Similarly, in our study, there was a trend towards higher mean BCVA gains in patients without extrafoveal lesions than those with extrafoveal lesions. Anatomic outcomes at Month 12 were comparable between those with or without
extrafoveal lesions at baseline. The presence of cysts at baseline was associated with lower mean BCVA gains from baseline at Month 12 in both groups (exploratory p <0.05 in both groups). Lower mean VA gain in nAMD patients with cysts at baseline has also been reported in a previous study. There was a slight indication that patients with baseline cysts achieved higher BCVA gains in Group II, as compared to Group I, while the gains for patients without baseline cysts were similar. Out of patients with cysts, those with cysts larger than 400 µm had the highest BCVA gain and CSFT reduction at Month 12 compared with those with small or medium cysts.

Overall, the safety observations were in line with the well-established safety profile of ranibizumab. There were no cases of endophthalmitis and no new safety findings in the study. Although a higher number of deaths were reported in Group II, all except one were considered by investigators to be unrelated to ranibizumab treatment.

The primary limitation of the study was the premature termination of the study, which limited the available pool of data to assess the primary objectives of the study. Efficacy results were presented for a selected subset, i.e. the patients who completed 12 months. Subset selection can be associated with a selection bias, However, in OCTAVE, for 94% of the patients the time in the study was determined by an administrative event, the early study termination. The selection bias was therefore expected to be minimal and was not evident from the demographic and baseline characteristics of the entire study population versus the subset of patients who completed 12 months. Additional limitations are the evaluating and/or treating investigator not being masked to retreatment criteria, and the availability of OCT results in Group I which might have influenced at least some retreatment decisions. This could have led to smaller differences between the two groups. Further, the decision to retreat with ranibizumab was solely at the investigator’s discretion and not based on defined parameters, which, although reflective of real-world
clinical practice, could have resulted in inconsistencies across investigative sites.

Conclusions

Ranibizumab treatment resulted in visual and anatomic gains at 12 months for both retreatment strategies, with a trend for more favorable outcomes in the group combining objective morphological OCT criteria with VA data to guide re-treatment decisions. There were no new safety signals in OCTAVE. The results were in agreement with the well-established safety profile of ranibizumab.

List Of Abbreviations:

AEs: Adverse events; Anti-VEGF: Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor; BCVA: best-corrected visual acuity; CNV: choroidal neovascularization; CSFT: central subfield thickness; ETDRS: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; FA: Fluorescein angiography; IRF: intraretinal fluid; IOP: intraocular pressure; nAMD: neovascular age-related macular degeneration; OCT: optical coherence tomography; OCTAVE: OCT And Vision Evaluation study; PRN: pro re nata; RPE: Retinal pigment epithelium; SD: standard deviation; SRF: subretinal fluid; VA: visual acuity; VRC: Vienna Reading Center

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: The study was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the study protocol was reviewed by the Independent Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Board for each study center.

Patients provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication: Not Applicable

Availability of data and materials: Most data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article [and its supplementary information files]. Additional datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available on reasonable request.
Competing interests: GS is a consultant/advisor for Heidelberg Engineering, Quantel Medical, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Alcon, Allergan, Bayer, Boheringer, Genentech, GSK, Novartis, Roche; has received grant support from Heidelberg Engineering, Optos, Optovue, Quantel Medical, Centervue; has received lecture fee from Alcon, Allergan, Bayer, GSK, Novartis, Roche; and patents/royalty from Ocular Instruments. JGG is a consultant for Novartis, Bayer, Allergan and AbbVie. BG is a consultant for Roche. PK is a consultant for Novartis and Bayer. BG, WM, PM and CD-B are employees of Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland. WM was an employee of Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland at the time of the study.

Funding: This study was funded by Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland. The sponsor participated in the design and conduct of the study, in the interpretation of data, and in the preparation, review and final approval of this manuscript.

Authors' contributions:

Research design: GS, JGG, BSG, WM, BG, PM, CD-B, PK. Data acquisition and/or research execution: GS, JGG, BSG, WM, BG, PM, CD-B, PK. Data analysis and/or interpretation: GS, JGG, BSG, WM, BG, PM, CD-B, PK. Manuscript preparation: GS, JGG, BSG, WM, BG, PM, CD-B, PK. All authors read and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Lakshya Untwal and Lakshmi Venkatraman (Product Lifecycle Services, Scientific Services Practice, Novartis Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad, India) for medical writing and editorial assistance during the development of the manuscript. Writing and editorial support was funded by Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland

References

1. Agarwal A, Aggarwal K, Gupta V: Management of neovascular age-related macular
degeneration: A review on landmark randomized controlled trials. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol 2016, 23:27-37.

2. Ferreira A, Sagkriotis A, Olson M, Lu J, Makin C, Milnes F: Treatment frequency and dosing interval of ranibizumab and aflibercept for neovascular age-related macular degeneration in routine clinical practice in the USA. PLoS One 2015, 10:e0133968.

3. Ferrara N, Damico L, Shams N, Lowman H, Kim R: Development of ranibizumab, an anti-vascular endothelial growth factor antigen binding fragment, as therapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Retina 2006, 26:859-70.

4. LUCENTIS™ (ranibizumab injection) prescribing information www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/125156s105lbl.pdf. Accessed February 14, 2019

5. Summary of product characteristics. Lucentis 10 mg/ml solution for injection Available at: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000715/WC500043546.pdf. Accessed February 14, 2019

6. Busbee BG, Ho AC, Brown DM, Heier JS, Suner IJ, Li Z, Rubio RG, Lai P, Harbor Study Group: Twelve-month efficacy and safety of 0.5 mg or 2.0 mg ranibizumab in patients with subfoveal neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 2013, 120:1046-56.

7. Comparison of Age-related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials Research Group, Martin DF, Maguire MG, Fine SL, Ying GS, Jaffe GJ, Grunwald JE, Toth C, Redford M, Ferris FL, 3rd: Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: two-year results. Ophthalmology 2012, 119:1388-98.

8. Fung AE, Lalwani GA, Rosenfeld PJ, Dubovy SR, Michels S, Feuer WJ, Puliafito CA, Davis JL, Flynn HW, Jr., Esquiabro M: An optical coherence tomography-guided, variable dosing regimen with intravitreal ranibizumab (Lucentis) for neovascular age-related macular
degeneration. Am J Ophthalmol 2007, 143:566-83.

9. Comparison of Age-related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials Research Group, Martin DF, Maguire MG, Ying GS, Grunwald JE, Fine SL, Jaffe GJ: Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. N Engl J Med 2011, 364:1897-908.

10. Lalwani GA, Rosenfeld PJ, Fung AE, Dubovy SR, Michels S, Feuer W, Davis JL, Flynn HW, Jr., Esquiabro M: A variable-dosing regimen with intravitreal ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: year 2 of the PrONTO Study. Am J Ophthalmol 2009, 148:43-58 e1.

11. Waldstein SM, Wright J, Warburton J, Margaron P, Simader C, Schmidt-Erfurth U: Predictive Value of Retinal Morphology for Visual Acuity Outcomes of Different Ranibizumab Treatment Regimens for Neovascular AMD. Ophthalmology 2016, 123:60-9.

12. Efficacy and safety of two treatment regimens of 0.5 mg ranibizumab intravitreal injections guided by functional and/or anatomical criteria, in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (OCTAVE) Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01780935. Accessed February 14, 2019

13. Schmidt-Erfurth U, Chong V, Loewenstein A, Larsen M, Souied E, Schlingemann R, Eldem B, Mones J, Richard G, Bandello F et al: Guidelines for the management of neovascular age-related macular degeneration by the European Society of Retina Specialists (EURETINA). Br J Ophthalmol 2014, 98:1144-67.

14. Ritter M, Elledge J, Simader C, Deak GG, Benesch T, Blodi BA, Schmidt-Erfurth UM: Evaluation of optical coherence tomography findings in age-related macular degeneration: a reproducibility study of two independent reading centres. Br J Ophthalmol 2011, 95:381-5.

15. Schmucker CM, Rucker G, Sommer H, Virgili G, Loke YK, Oeller P, Agostini H, Ehlken C:
Treatment as Required versus Regular Monthly Treatment in the Management of Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One 2015, 10:e0137866.

16. Patel PJ, Browning AC, Chen FK, Da Cruz L, Tufail A: Interobserver agreement for the detection of optical coherence tomography features of neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009, 50:5405-10.

17. Castillo MM, Mowatt G, Elders A, Lois N, Fraser C, Hernandez R, Amoaku W, Burr JM, Lottery A, Ramsay CR et al: Optical coherence tomography for the monitoring of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review. Ophthalmology 2015, 122:399-406.

18. Ho AC, Busbee BG, Regillo CD, Wieland MR, Van Everen SA, Li Z, Rubio RG, Lai P, Harbor Study Group: Twenty-four-month efficacy and safety of 0.5 mg or 2.0 mg ranibizumab in patients with subfoveal neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 2014, 121:2181-92.

19. Ivan Study Investigators, Chakravarthy U, Harding SP, Rogers CA, Downes SM, Lottery AJ, Wordsworth S, Reeves BC: Ranibizumab versus bevacizumab to treat neovascular age-related macular degeneration: one-year findings from the IVAN randomized trial. Ophthalmology 2012, 119:1399-411.

20. Kodjikian L, Souied EH, Mimoun G, Mauget-Faysse M, Behar-Cohen F, Decullier E, Huot L, Aulagner G, Gefal Study Group: Ranibizumab versus bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: Results from the GEFAL noninferiority randomized trial. Ophthalmology 2013, 120:2300-9.

21. Cohen SY, Mimoun G, Oubrahim H, Zourdani A, Malbrel C, Quere S, Schneider V, Lumiere Study Group: Changes in visual acuity in patients with wet age-related macular degeneration treated with intravitreal ranibizumab in daily clinical practice: the LUMIERE
study. Retina 2013, 33:474-81.

22. Finger RP, Wiedemann P, Blumhagen F, Pohl K, Holz FG: Treatment patterns, visual acuity and quality-of-life outcomes of the WAVE study - a noninterventional study of ranibizumab treatment for neovascular age-related macular degeneration in Germany. Acta Ophthalmol 2013, 91:540-6.

23. Holz FG, Tadayoni R, Beatty S, Berger A, Cereda MG, Cortez R, Hoyng CB, Hykin P, Staurenghi G, Heldner S et al: Multi-country real-life experience of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy for wet age-related macular degeneration. Br J Ophthalmol 2015, 99:220-6.

24. Pagliarini S, Beatty S, Lipkova B, Perez-Salvador Garcia E, Reynders S, Gekkieva M, Si Bouazza A, Pilz S: A 2-Year, Phase IV, Multicentre, Observational Study of Ranibizumab 0.5 mg in Patients with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration in Routine Clinical Practice: The EPICOHORT Study. J Ophthalmol 2014, 2014:857148.

25. Rakic JM, Leys A, Brie H, Denhaerynck K, Pacheco C, Vancayzeele S, Hermans C, Macdonald K, Abraham I: Real-world variability in ranibizumab treatment and associated clinical, quality of life, and safety outcomes over 24 months in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration: the HELIOS study. Clin Ophthalmol 2013, 7:1849-58.

26. Simader C, Ritter M, Bolz M, Deak GG, Mayr-Sponer U, Golbaz I, Kundi M, Schmidt-Erfurth UM: Morphologic parameters relevant for visual outcome during anti-angiogenic therapy of neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 2014, 121:1237-45.

27. Mrejen S, Jung JJ, Chen C, Patel SN, Gallego-Pinazo R, Yannuzzi N, Xu L, Marsiglia M, Boddu S, Freund KB: Long-Term Visual Outcomes for a Treat and Extend Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Regimen in Eyes with Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. J Clin Med 2015, 4:1380-402.
28. Ritter M, Simader C, Bolz M, Deak GG, Mayr-Sponer U, Sayegh R, Kundi M, Schmidt-Erfurth UM: Intraretinal cysts are the most relevant prognostic biomarker in neovascular age-related macular degeneration independent of the therapeutic strategy. Br J Ophthalmol 2014, 98:1629-35.

Additional File Legend

Additional file 1: Table.doc. List of Independent Ethics Committees (IECs) or Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)

Additional file 2: Text.doc Exclusion criteria

Additional file 3: Figure.tif BCVA and CSFT outcomes at Month 12 stratified by presence of extrafoveal lesions at baseline (FAS)

Additional File 4: Figure.tif BCVA and CSFT outcomes at Month 12 stratified by (A) presence of SRF, (B) presence of cysts and (C) thickness of cysts at baseline, for patients with month 12 BCVA data (FAS)

Additional file 5: Table.doc Linear regression model for change in BCVA from baseline to Month 12 (FAS)

Additional file 6: Table.doc Ocular and non-ocular AEs suspected to be related to study drug and/or injection procedure (safety set)

Tables

Table 1. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics
| Characteristics | Ranibizumab 0.5 mg |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| **VA only (Group I)** | |
| **Randomized set** | **12-month completers** | **Randomi** |
| n=335 | n=151 | n=3 |
| Mean (SD) age, years | 73.9 (7.9) | 74.1 (8.10) | 75.3 |
| Gender, Female, n (%) | 213 (63.6) | 91 (60.3) | 194 (1) |
| Race, Caucasian, n (%) | 320 (95.5) | 150 (99.3) | 325 (1) |
| Mean (SD) BCVA, ETDRS letters | 61.9 (12.84) | 60.9 (13.10) | 59.8 (1) |
| Mean (SD) CSFT, µm | 500.9 (203.58) | 517.7 (201.8) | 512.7 (1) |
| Mean (SD) time since first diagnosis of nAMD, years | 0.126 (0.8) | 0.159 (1.1) | 0.092 |
| Mean (SD) central subfield volume on OCT, mm³ | 0.4 (0.16) | 0.4 (0.16) | 0.4 (1) |
| Presence of subretinal fluid on OCT, Yes, n (%) | 257 (76.7) | 126 (83.4) | 270 (1) |
| Presence of fluid beneath the retinal pigment epithelium on OCT | 122 (36.4) | 59 (39.1) | 132 (1) |
| Yes, n (%) | | | |
| Presence of cysts on OCT, Yes, n (%) | 150 (44.8) | 77 (51.0) | 174 (1) |
| Presence of extrafoveal lesions on FA, Yes, n (%) | 123 (36.7) | 56 (37.1) | 108 (1) |
| - Presence of cysts | - | 25 (16.6) | - |
| Lesion type on FA, n (%) | | | |
| - 100% classic | 73 (21.8) | 40 (26.5) | 72 (2) |
| - Predominantly classic | 20 (6.0) | 7 (4.6) | 27 (1) |
| - Minimally classic | 36 (10.7) | 18 (11.9) | 33 (1) |
| - Occult with no classic component | 123 (36.7) | 61 (40.4) | 114 (1) |

Randomized set comprises all randomized patients.
BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; CSFT, central subfield thickness; ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; FA, fluorescein angiography; n, number of patients in treatment group with Month 12 VA (for 12-month completers); nAMD, degeneration; OCT, optical coherence tomography; SD, standard deviation; VA, visual acuity

Table 2. Ocular and non-ocular serious AEs (safety set)
| Preferred term                      | Ranibizumab 0.5 mg VA only (Group I) | Ranibizumal VA and/or OCT | N=334 | N=336 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Ocular, Total                      | 2 (0.6)                             | 2 (0.6)                  | 2 (0.6)| 2 (0.6)|
| - VA reduced                       | 1 (0.3)                             | 1 (0.3)                  | 1 (0.3)| 1 (0.3)|
| - VA tests abnormal                | 1 (0.3)                             | 0                        | 0     | 0     |
| - Choroidal hemorrhage             | 0                                   | 0                        | 0     | 0     |
| - Encephalitis post varicella      | 0                                   | 1 (0.3)                  | 1 (0.3)| 1 (0.3)|
| - Retinal artery embolism          | 0                                   | 0                        | 0     | 0     |
| Non-ocular, Total*                 | 27 (8.1)                            | 43 (12)                  | 27 (8.1)| 43 (12)|
| - Osteoarthritis                   | 2 (0.6)                             | 1 (0.3)                  | 1 (0.3)| 1 (0.3)|
| - Syncope                          | 2 (0.6)                             | 0                        | 0     | 0     |
| - Femoral neck fracture            | 1 (0.3)                             | 4 (1.2)                  | 1 (0.3)| 4 (1.2)|
| - Lower respiratory tract infection| 1 (0.3)                             | 2 (0.6)                  | 2 (0.3)| 2 (0.6)|
| - Atrial fibrillation              | 0                                   | 3 (0.9)                  | 0     | 3 (0.9)|
| - Hypertension                     | 0                                   | 2 (0.6)                  | 0     | 2 (0.6)|
| - Ovarian cyst                     | 0                                   | 2 (0.6)                  | 0     | 2 (0.6)|
| - Pneumonia                        | 0                                   | 3 (0.9)                  | 0     | 3 (0.9)|

*Events reported in ≥2 patients in any group

The safety set consisted of all patients who received at least one application of study drug and had at least one post-baseline safety assessment.

MedDRA Version 18.1 was used for the reporting of AEs.

A patient with multiple occurrences of an adverse event under one treatment was counted only once in the AEs category.

Preferred terms are sorted by descending order of incidence in Group I column.

AE, adverse event; MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; OCT, optical coherence tomography; VA, visual acuity

Table 3. Ocular and non-ocular AEs* (safety set)
| Preferred term, n (%) | Ranibizumab 0.5 mg VA only (Group I) N=334 | Ranibizumab 0.5 mg VA and/or OCT (Group II) N=336 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Ocular, Total         | 105 (31.4)                               | 106 (31.5)                                    |
| Conjunctival hemorrhage | 19 (5.7)                                | 10 (3.0)                                      |
| IOP increased         | 12 (3.6)                                 | 21 (6.3)                                      |
| Eye pain              | 10 (3.0)                                 | 9 (2.7)                                       |
| Dry eye               | 10 (3.0)                                 | 7 (2.1)                                       |
| VA reduced            | 7 (2.1)                                  | 1 (0.3)                                       |
| Vitreous floaters     | 6 (1.8)                                  | 8 (2.4)                                       |
| Non-ocular, Total     | 156 (46.7)                               | 143 (42.6)                                    |
| Nasopharyngitis       | 24 (7.2)                                 | 11 (3.3)                                      |
| Hypertension          | 18 (5.4)                                 | 16 (4.8)                                      |
| Influenza             | 15 (4.5)                                 | 15 (4.5)                                      |
| Cough                 | 7 (2.1)                                  | 7 (2.1)                                       |
| Bronchitis            | 7 (2.1)                                  | 6 (1.8)                                       |
| Osteoarthritis        | 7 (2.1)                                  | 6 (1.8)                                       |
| Arthralgia            | 7 (2.1)                                  | 5 (1.5)                                       |
| Back pain             | 4 (1.2)                                  | 10 (3.0)                                      |
| Urinary tract infection | 2 (0.6)                  | 7 (2.1)                                       |

*Reported in ≥2% of patients in any group

The safety set consisted of all patients who received at least one application of study drug and had at least one post-baseline safety assessment. MedDRA Version 18.1 was used for the reporting of AEs. A patient with multiple occurrences of an AE under one treatment was counted only once in the AEs category. Preferred terms are sorted by descending order of incidence in Group I column.

AE, adverse event; IOP, intraocular pressure; MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; OCT, optical coherence tomography; VA, visual acuity

Figures
Patient disposition The reasons for screening failure were: patients did not meet the diagnostic or severity criteria (44 patients [41.5%]), unacceptable test procedure results (20 patients [18.9%]), other (20 patients [18.9%]), and patients’ withdrawal of consent (19 patients [17.9%]). *The study was prematurely terminated due to the emergence of OCT as an important technique for diagnosis and making treatment/retreatment decisions in nAMD; none of the patients completed 24 months nAMD, neovascular age-related macular degeneration; OCT, optical coherence tomography; VA, visual acuity.
Mean change in BCVA from baseline up to Month 12. For Group I, error bars are shown in the negative direction and for Group II, error bars are shown in the positive direction. BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; n, number of patients with Month 12 BCVA data; OCT, optical coherence tomography; SD, standard deviation; VA, visual acuity.
Figure 3

Proportion of 5, 10 and 15 letter gainers at Month 12 BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; n, number of patients with Month 12 BCVA data; OCT, optical coherence tomography; VA, visual acuity
Mean change in CSFT from baseline up to Month 12. For post-baseline visits, baseline is defined as the last available non-missing value collected just prior to the start of treatment. For Group I, error bars are shown in the positive direction and for Group II, error bars are shown in the negative direction. CSFT, central subfield thickness; n, number of patients with Month 12 BCVA data; OCT, optical coherence tomography; VA, visual acuity.
Treatment exposure n, number of patients with Month 12 BCVA data; OCT, optical coherence tomography; VA, visual acuity
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