Comparison of Electrocochleography and Video Head Impulse Test findings in Vestibular Migraine and Ménière Disease: A Preliminary Study
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OBJECTIVES: To evaluate electrophysiological findings among patients with vestibular migraine (VM) and to compare them with those of patients suffering from definite Ménière disease (MD) without migraine.

MATERIALS and METHODS: Twenty-one consecutive patients suffering from VM were enrolled; all subjects were selected according to the criteria proposed by the Bárány Society for Neuro-otology. Each patient underwent a careful otological and neurotological examination. After completing a questionnaire regarding migraine and vertigo complaints, they were assessed by audiometric testing, video head impulse test (vHIT), and electrocochleography (EcochG). Data were compared with those of 21 patients who fulfilled the criteria for definite MD.

RESULTS: 52.38% of the patients with VM suffered from at least two episodes of migraine per week, with 42.85% of the subjects complaining of migraines lasting ≥24 hours. 57.14% of the patients reported at least four episodes of vertigo per month, whereas 61.9% suffered from symptoms of chronic unsteadiness. No significant difference (p=0.76) resulted from the comparison of vHIT gain between patients with VM and MD. Eleven out of 21 patients (52.38%) with definite MD presented at least one ear with SP/AP >0.4, differently from patients with VM who exhibited SP/AP values suggestive of endolymphatic hydrops (EH) in only three cases (14.28%).

CONCLUSION: The present study found a higher proportion of abnormal EcochG in MD than in VM (p=0.02) without any significant difference in the vHIT gain. On the basis of our findings, the identification of EH in some patients with VM cannot be definitely related to the same pathway that triggers MD symptoms. Future research may help in better understanding whether abnormal EcochG findings can predict the occurrence of MD among patients with VM.
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INTRODUCTION
Aural and vestibular symptoms are frequent complaints of people who suffer from migraines. It has been estimated that around 30-50% of migraineurs may experience vertigo and/or dizziness at a certain point of their life but, of these, only 9% are identified as being affected by vestibular migraine (VM) [1-3]. The diagnosis of VM is challenging because it depends on a clinically based symptom criteria reported by patients rather than vestibular function tests. In particular, after excluding other vestibular disorders, the diagnostic criteria included in the Appendix of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD)-3 beta version must be fulfilled in order for a case of definite VM to be recognized [4, 5].

The pathophysiology of VM remains unclear and seems to involve different neural pathways including the vestibular nuclei, trigeminal nerve, and thalamus and cortical regions. Specifically, a parallel activation of vestibular and nociceptive pathways has
been suggested by many authors as a possible key to explaining the relationship between migraine symptoms and vestibular complaints [8, 7]. Obermann et al. [8] observed a gray matter volume reduction in cerebral areas, including the superior, inferior, and middle temporal gyrus; the mid cingulate; and the insula, among 17 patients with VM. Teggi et al. [9] hypothesized a dismodulation of vestibular and nociceptive processing in thalamo-cortical regions after fMRI assessment of two VM subjects who showed an activation of brain areas related to the integration of visual and vestibular cues during visual stimulation. A study conducted by Shin et al. [10] supported a role for the activation of the vestibulo-thalamo-cortical pathway during the ictal period as a possible explanation for VM symptoms. In addition, motion-sickness susceptibility might be a consequence of the sensitization of thalamic pathways, and the degree of activation of the thalamus itself may be a possible indicator of the frequency of VM attacks [11, 12].

Peripheral, an involvement of the inner ear in VM pathophysiology has been claimed by Koo and Balaban [13]; examining a migraine murine model, they noted a protein extravasation in the inner ear comparable to the one determined by the trigeminovascular reflex and causing meningeal inflammation. This process may be traceable to the innervation of cochlear vessels, mediated by the trigeminal nerve, and/or to its synaptic projections to the cochlear nucleus and the superior olivary complex [14, 15].

From a clinical point of view, VM often shares common features with Ménière disease (MD), and it can be quite challenging to discriminate among these pathologies, particularly in their earlier stages. Patients with VM may complain of aural symptoms, while patients with MD may report a history of migraine in 20-30% of cases [16]. Furthermore, it is possible that a subject may fulfill diagnostic criteria for both VM and MD, experiencing the so-called “overlap syndrome” [17].

Previous studies have attempted to find a vestibular function test that could identify a gold standard for differentiating VM, MD, and non-vestibular migraine [18-22]; in particular, the calculation of the SP/AP ratio through electrocochleography (EcochG) has often been reported as an objective index of endolymphatic hydrops (EH) in MD [23], even though it is limited by low sensitivity and its reliability is strictly dependent on the kind of EcochG technique used, type of stimulus given, and stage of MD. In contrast, scarce data are available relative to the use of EcochG among patients with VM. For example, Vitkovic et al. [24] studied 523 patients who were categorized into four groups (definite migrainous vertigo, probable migrainous vertigo, vestibular disorder coexisting with migraine, and non-migraine-related dizziness with migraine) and they did not find any difference in EcochG test results between the groups. Lotfi et al. [25], did not observe relevant EcochG differences between patients with VM and healthy subjects in a case series of 20 patients. Yollu et al. [22], instead, evidenced higher SP/AP ratio averages among patients with VM with respect to migraineurs without complaints of vertigo.

The main purpose of this study was to evaluate electrophysiological findings among patients with VM and to compare EcochG results with those of a group of patients suffering from definite MD without migraine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Sample

The study was conducted between November 2018 and April 2019 by enrolling 21 consecutive patients, 20 females and 1 male, suffering from definite VM (mean age = 43.61±12.31 years).

Exclusion criteria were the following: aged younger than 18, history of acute unilateral vestibulopathy, definite MD, benign paroxysmal positional vertigo, trauma, ear infections, perilymphatic fistula, MRI-documented retrocochlear disease (e.g. schwannoma), exposure to ototoxic drugs, barotrauma, and middle and/or inner ear malformation. We also did not include subjects affected by ophthalmologic and neurodegenerative disorders, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and with a history of ischemic heart disease.

Patients affected by definite VM were selected according to the criteria proposed by the Bárany Society for Neuro-otology and included in the Appendix of the new ICDH-3 beta version of headache classification [5].

After ethical committee approval (approval number 27/06), written informed consent was obtained from each participant at the beginning of the study. All tests were performed in a symptom-free interval.

Each patient underwent a careful otological examination with micro-otoscopy to rule out external/middle ear disease that could alter the results of the tests performed. A bedside examination, including spontaneous nystagmus, smooth pursuit, saccade, head shaking test, and Romberg Test, was performed. In addition, a detailed neurological examination, including cranial nerve and cerebellar functions, manual muscle testing for power, and somatosensory assessments, was also performed.

Participants were assisted in completing a questionnaire by qualified medical personnel. Data collection regarded the age of migraine onset, number of vertigo episodes, number of migraine episodes, migraine duration, chronic unsteadiness, tinnitus, fullness, food intolerance, anxiety, phonophobia, photophobia, and a family history of headache and migraine.

Pure tone audiometry was performed with an Amplaid 309 audiometer in a soundproof audiometric chamber. Air conduction was measured using on-ear headphones for 125-8,000 Hz; bone conduction was measured using a calibrated bone transducer for 250-4,000 Hz. Patients subsequently underwent video head impulse test (vHIT) and EcochG.

MAIN POINTS

- No significant difference from the comparison of vHIT gain between patients with VM and MD.
- A higher proportion of abnormal EcochG in MD than in VM.
- The identification of EH in some patients with VM cannot be definitely related to the same pathway that triggers MD symptoms.
Data were compared with those of 21 patients (mean age = 43.28±7.3 years), 9 females and 12 males, who fulfilled the criteria for definite MD [26] and who had a negative history of migraine. Each patient with MD was recruited in the same period of the study and assessed in the symptom-free interval.

vHIT
We used the Eye-See-Cam system (Interacoustics, Middelfart, Denmark) to record vHIT. Patients were seated 1.5 m in front of a target and were asked to continue watching it as their head was passively rotated by the examiner. The head was subjected to passive high-acceleration, low-amplitude rotations in the planes of the horizontal semicircular canals.

Patients’ eye movements were evaluated using video-oculography, while their head movements were recorded using inertial sensors. At least 15 valid head impulses were recorded for each horizontal semicircular canal. The vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) gains during the vHIT (eye velocity/head velocity) were automatically measured using a software that computed the slope of the regression between head and eye velocity. Abnormal functioning of the canal was taken into consideration in case of gain asymmetry >5% and mean gain in vHIT <0.8 for the lateral canals with detection of catch-up (corrective) saccades [27].

EcochG
A Socrates system (Hedera Biomedics, Padova, Italy) was used to record EcochG potentials.

EcochG was performed using an extratympanic external ear canal electrode (Lilly TM-Wick Electrode, Intelligent Hearing Systems, Miami, Florida, USA). Click Stimuli at 130 dB nHL, with alternating polarity, were sent to the ear using insert headphones, with a stimulation of 5.4/s, for a period long enough to receive at least 2,000 responses. Graphs representing the output were evaluated to calculate the SP, AP, and SP/AP amplitude ratio values for both ears. The ground electrode was placed on the forehead, whereas the negative was placed on the contralateral mastoid. An SP/AP ratio of greater than 0.4 was considered abnormally elevated [28].

Statistical Analysis
Group data were expressed as percentages and quantitative variables as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical comparisons between two or more groups were made using χ2 test, Fisher’s exact test, and/

| N | Age | Gender | Age of migraine onset | Episodes of migraine | Migraine duration | Episodes of vertigo | Chronic unsteadiness | Tinnitus | Fullness | Phono-phobia | Photophobia | Family history of migraine |
|---|-----|--------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 48  | f      | 40                    | 3/week              | 2 hours           | 2/month           | +                    | +        | -        | +            | +           | -                        |
| 2 | 48  | f      | 18                    | 1/week              | 72 hours          | 10/month          | -                    | +        | +        | +            | -           | +                        |
| 3 | 51  | f      | 45                    | 4/week              | 72 hours          | 10/month          | +                    | +        | +        | +            | +           | -                        |
| 4 | 39  | f      | 19                    | 3/month             | 2 hours           | 4/month           | +                    | +        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
| 5 | 64  | m      | 17                    | 3/month             | 48 hours          | 4/month           | -                    | -        | -        | +            | +           | +                        |
| 6 | 26  | f      | 15                    | 3/month             | 24 hours          | 2/month           | +                    | +        | -        | +            | +           | +                        |
| 7 | 49  | f      | 45                    | 3/week              | 24 hours          | 1/month           | +                    | +        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
| 8 | 57  | f      | 29                    | 4/week              | 24 hours          | 10/month          | +                    | +        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
| 9 | 30  | f      | 25                    | 4/week              | 24 hours          | 4/month           | +                    | -        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
|10 | 30  | f      | 20                    | 2/week              | 6 hours           | 2/week            | +                    | +        | -        | +            | +           | +                        |
|11 | 18  | f      | 15                    | 1/week              | 2 hours           | 10/ month         | -                    | +        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
|12 | 37  | f      | 36                    | 3/week              | 3 hours           | 2/month           | -                    | +        | +        | +            | +           | -                        |
|13 | 37  | f      | 35                    | 5/week              | 2 hours           | 3/week            | -                    | +        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
|14 | 64  | f      | 14                    | 3/week              | 72 hours          | 2/ month          | +                    | +        | -        | +            | +           | +                        |
|15 | 59  | f      | 18                    | 2/ week             | 48 hours          | 3/week            | +                    | -        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
|16 | 40  | f      | 25                    | 1/week              | 3 hours           | 2/month           | +                    | -        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
|17 | 38  | f      | 21                    | 2/ week             | 2 hours           | 6/month           | -                    | +        | +        | +            | +           | +                        |
|18 | 50  | f      | 20                    | 4/month             | 72 hours          | 4/week            | -                    | -        | -        | -            | -           | -                        |
|19 | 51  | f      | 33                    | 2/ month            | 48 hours          | 1/month           | -                    | -        | -        | +            | +           | +                        |
|20 | 35  | f      | 15                    | 2/ month            | 4 hours           | 2/month           | +                    | +        | -        | +            | +           | +                        |
|21 | 45  | f      | 23                    | 3/month             | 2 hours           | 2/month           | +                    | +        | -        | -            | -           | -                        |

F: female; m: male; VM: vestibular migraine.
or Student’s paired t-test. The Statistica Software (Version 8.0 for Windows, Palermo, Italy) was adopted.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with VM. Twenty out of 21 patients were female, with a mean age of migraine symptom onset of 25.14±10.03 years, and 57.14% of them developed migraines younger than 25 years. 52.38% of the patients suffered from at least two migraine episodes per week, with 42.85% of the subjects complaining of migraines lasting ≥24 hours. Concerning the frequency of vertigo, 57.14% of the patients reported at least four episodes of vertigo per month, whereas 61.9% suffered from symptoms of chronic unsteadiness.

Fifteen (71.42%) individuals out of the total sample were affected by tinnitus, with 52.38% reporting fullness in at least one ear. The majority of patients suffered from phonophobia (80.95%) and photophobia (90.47%). A family history of migraine (42.85%) was frequently reported.

As shown in Figure 1, no cases of moderate to profound hearing loss were detected. A slight hearing loss, limited to the 4 kHz frequency, was detected in only six patients in the left ear and four individuals in the right ear. No significant difference was found between ears for each frequency tested (p>0.05).

Patients with VM showed vHIT gain mean values of 0.95±0.09 for the left and of 0.93±0.06 for the right ear, respectively, without significant difference (p=0.15).

Table 2 depicts the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with MD included in the study. All subjects suffered from unilateral MD and the 23.8% were affected by a severe sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL); the right ear was affected in 12 out of 21 patients with MD (57.14%). Video head impulse test gain mean values of patients suffering from MD were 0.90±0.08 for the left and 0.95±0.16 for the right ear, respectively, without significant difference (p=0.13). Only one patient with MD exhibited a pathological gain on the affected side. No significant difference (p=0.76) resulted from the comparison of vHIT gain between patients with VM and MD (Figure 2).

Table 2. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with MD

| N | Age | Gender | Age of Vertigo onset | Left Hearing (*) | Right Hearing (*) | MD Side |
|---|-----|--------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|
| 1 | 38  | m      | 36                   | Normal          | Mild             | Right  |
| 2 | 42  | m      | 39                   | Normal          | Severe           | Right  |
| 3 | 50  | m      | 48                   | Medium          | Normal           | Left   |
| 4 | 35  | m      | 33                   | Normal          | Severe           | Right  |
| 5 | 60  | m      | 51                   | Normal          | Medium           | Right  |
| 6 | 47  | f      | 45                   | Normal          | Medium           | Right  |
| 7 | 42  | m      | 41                   | Mild            | Normal           | Left   |
| 8 | 51  | f      | 43                   | Normal          | Medium           | Right  |
| 9 | 36  | m      | 33                   | Medium          | Normal           | Left   |
| 10| 40  | m      | 30                   | Severe          | Normal           | Left   |
| 11| 42  | f      | 38                   | Normal          | Mild             | Right  |
| 12| 46  | m      | 39                   | Mild            | Normal           | Left   |
| 13| 52  | m      | 45                   | Normal          | Mild             | Right  |
| 14| 39  | f      | 28                   | Normal          | Severe           | Right  |
| 15| 29  | f      | 23                   | Mild            | Normal           | Left   |
| 16| 35  | f      | 30                   | Normal          | Mild             | Right  |
| 17| 46  | f      | 35                   | Normal          | Medium           | Right  |
| 18| 51  | f      | 30                   | Severe          | Normal           | Left   |
| 19| 40  | f      | 37                   | Mild            | Normal           | Left   |
| 20| 39  | m      | 28                   | Medium          | Normal           | Left   |
| 21| 49  | m      | 34                   | Normal          | Medium           | Right  |

F: female; m: male; MD: Ménière disease. (*) The degree of hearing loss was calculated as an average of three frequencies (0.5, 1, and 2 kHz).
any relevant abnormalities in the vHIT examination, with the excep-
tion of one patient (4.76%) who had a gain value of 0.73 for the left
and 0.76 for the right ear, close to the inferior limit of normal range.
According to the literature data, vHIT is more frequently pathologi-
cal in patients with MD than in those with VM, and when studies are
limited to the latter group, only a small proportion show abnormal
gain values. For example, when Blodow et al. [29] compared vHIT data
on 30 subjects suffering from MD and 23 individuals with VM, they
observed a significantly reduced gain in the first group, with only 9%
of the patients with VM showing an abnormal gain. It is important to
underline that in contrast to patients with MD with abnormal gain,
who presented a wide range of reduced gain (between 0.1 and 0.79),
VM subjects with reduced gain presented values contained within a
narrower interval (between 0.7 and 0.79), close to the inferior limit of
normal gain. The prevalence of abnormal findings in the vHIT is quite
similar to the one reported by Kang et al. [20] (11%), but very far from
the one reported by ElSherif et al. [30] (26%); however, when consider-
ing only the absolute SP/AP value, the percentage reported by the latter
authors was reduced to 8%.

Traditionally, an altered EcochG has been associated with EH, a com-
mon histopathological feature of MD. For this reason, it is not sur-
prising to find only scarce data about EcochG recordings in VM. From
the comparison of EcochG values between patients suffering from
MD and VM, we found a higher prevalence of abnormal SP/AP ratios
in the first group (p=0.02). Our results agree with Martin-Sanz et al.
[31], who found in a study comparing audiological and vestibular fea-
tures of patients with MD and VM a significantly higher proportion of
abnormal EcochG in the former group; we should specify that they
also included patients with probable VM and adopted a higher (>0.5)
cut-off for SP/AP. Lofti et al. [25] compared the EcochGs of 10 patients
with VM and 10 normal subjects, and they did not find a significant
difference in mean SP/AP ratio (p>0.05); however, two cases of SP/
AP >0.42 were found among vestibular migraineurs. In contrast, Yollu
et al. [32], in a more recent study, found a higher percentage (71.4%) of
SP/AP >0.4 among subjects suffering from VM, with a significant
difference from a group of migraineurs without vertigo complaints. It
should be underlined that, even though these authors declared that
they excluded patients with any sort of medical history that could be
remotely related to MD, they reported low-frequency SNHL in 28.6% of
cases among VM subjects; this audiometric pattern is often asso-
ciated with MD and, for this reason, an overlap of MD and VM may
justify the higher proportion of pathological SP/AP found.

Could these findings be interpreted as indirect signs of similar patho-
logical pathways involving both MD and VM? Even though it can be
claimed that the detection of EH may be a casual finding, as seen
sometimes in normal patients, and that subjects suffering from VM
with abnormal EcochG may develop MD over time, the possibility of
a common type of inner ear damage in patients with MD and VM
has been previously suspected. In particular, Zuniga et al. [20], from
the comparison of vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (VEMP)
amplitude, were not able to differentiate MD and VM on most of the
VEMP test battery, suggesting they might be related in both cases
to saccular hydrops. Furthermore, other authors have hypothesized
that EH could be the consequence of consecutive end-organ dam-
age, independently from its triggers [33]. Gürkov et al. [33], in a study
conducted using a locally enhanced inner ear MRI technique on 19
patients with VM, found morphologic evidence of cochlear and ves-

DISCUSSION

The diagnostic evaluation of patients with VM is often challenging
because its criteria still relies on clinical findings taken from an accu-
rate anamnesis; vestibular testing may help the physician to rule out
the presence of any associated vestibular hypofunction that might
justify some of the patient’s complaints, but it does not really contrib-
ute to discriminating between VM and MD.

First of all, the patients with VM enrolled in our study did not show
any relevant abnormalities in the vHIT examination, with the excep-

Figure 2. Box plot: vHIT gain of VM and MD patients.

Figure 3. Box plot: SP/AP ratio of VM and MD patients.

In terms of EcochG data, Figure 3 shows the comparison of SP/AP values
between patients with VM and MD. Both groups did not differ statistical-
lly in terms of mean age (p=0.91). The mean SP/AP value was 26.53±13.75
and 43.08±20.99 in the VM and in the MD groups, respectively (p=0.004).
Eleven out of 21 patients (52.38%) with MD presented at least one ear
with SP/AP >0.4, differently from patients with VM who exhibited SP/AP
values suggestive of EH (p=0.02) in only three cases (14.28%).

In terms of mean age (p=0.91). The mean SP/AP value was 26.53±13.75
and 43.08±20.99 in the VM and in the MD groups, respectively (p=0.004).
Eleven out of 21 patients (52.38%) with MD presented at least one ear
with SP/AP >0.4, differently from patients with VM who exhibited SP/AP
values suggestive of EH (p=0.02) in only three cases (14.28%).
This study presents the following limitations: to begin with, all patients were assessed in a symptom free interval; this may account for the lower prevalence of abnormal vestibular testing results compared to other authors. Secondly, the study sample is relatively small; however, differently from other authors, we only selected patients with definite VM without overlapping with definite MD. Third, we do not have a follow-up on the patients with VM, so we cannot conclude that subjects with pathological SP/AP will not develop MD in the future, nor that patients with normal SP/AP will not show pathological EcochG over time.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion the present study found a higher proportion of abnormal EcochG in MD with respect to VM (p=0.02) without any significant difference in the vHIT gain. On the basis of our findings, the question of the underlying common pathophysiological mechanism that might explain the detection of EH in some patients suffering from definite VM cannot be conclusively answered. Furthermore, future longitudinal studies may help in better understanding whether abnormal EcochG findings can predict the occurrence of MD among VM patients.
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