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Abstract

This paper concerns the problem of topic prediction in target-guided conversation, which requires the system to proactively and naturally guide the topic thread of the conversation, ending up with achieving a designated target subject. Existing studies usually resolve the task with a sequence of single-turn topic prediction. Greedy decision is made at each turn since it is impossible to explore the topics in future turns under the single-turn topic prediction mechanism. As a result, these methods often suffer from generating sub-optimal topic threads. In this paper, we formulate the target-guided conversation as a problem of multi-turn topic prediction and model it under the framework of Markov decision process (MDP). To alleviate the problem of generating sub-optimal topic thread, Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS) is employed to improve the topic prediction by conducting long-term planning. At online topic prediction, given a target and a start utterance, our proposed MM-TP (MCTS-enhanced MDP for Topic Prediction) firstly performs MCTS to enhance the policy for predicting the topic for each turn. Then, two retrieval models are respectively used to generate the responses of the agent and the user. Quantitative evaluation and qualitative study showed that MM-TP significantly improved the state-of-the-art baselines.

1 Introduction

Although impressive efforts have been made to integrate background knowledge into the conversation systems (Fang et al., 2018; Qin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2018), existing open-domain conversation systems still suffer from creating generic response (Yi et al., 2019) and struggle to perform engaging conversations (Ram et al., 2018). Moreover, there exists a strong demand in real-world applications to integrate the goals and strategies into the open-domain conversation systems, to make them achieve some specific goals such as recommending an item or accomplishing nursing goals. Faced with these problems, target-guided open-domain conversation (Tang et al., 2019; Sevegnani et al., 2021) has attracted increasing research attentions.

Different from traditional open-domain conversation, target-guided open-domain conversation requires the system to proactively and naturally guide the conversational thread, and end up with recommending a target item or mentioning a target word. Existing studies (Tang et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2020; Zhong et al., 2020) usually resolve the task with a sequential of single-turn topic predictions and response generations. At each turn, the model firstly selects a topic from the candidate topic set based on the history context, and then retrieves response according to the selected topic. Since the single-turn topic prediction mechanism has no ability to plan the topics in the future turns, greedy decision has to be made at each turn. As a result, these methods usually suffer from generating sub-optimal topic threads.

Figure 1 illustrates an example conversation between user and the Kernel agent (Tang et al., 2019), which utilizes single-turn topic prediction model to select topics. At the third turn, the sub-optimal topic “music” was selected. Though it is strongly
relevant to the topic “listen” in the second turn, it is irrelevant to the final target topic “job”. The example verified that the greedy decisions in the single-turn topic prediction cannot naturally guided the conversation to achieve the target.

To deal with the issue, we propose to formulate target-guided conversation as a multi-turn topic prediction problem, and model it with Markov decision process (MDP). In the MDP, the environment is responsible for collecting the conversational history as the states, and the conversational system agent is responsible for selecting action as topic for each turn. Inspired by the reinforcement learning method of AlphaGo Zero (Silver et al., 2017), we utilize Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS) to make a long-term planning by considering the topics in the future turns and then generate topic for the current turn. Given a pre-defined target topic and a randomly selected start utterance, the proposed model, referred to as MM-TP (MCTS-enhanced MDP for Topic Prediction), iteratively generates topic sequence and guides the conversation to achieve the target topic. At each turn, MCTS is firstly utilized to enhance the raw policy and predict the topic of this turn. Two retrieval models are then respectively employed to generate the responses of the agent and the user. In this way, the problem of generating sub-optimal topic threads could be alleviated by the MCTS at a certain extent.

We conducted experiments on two popular target-guided open-domain conversation benchmarks. Quantitative results show that MM-TP outperformed the state-of-the-art baselines by achieving the target more accurately and providing more smooth topic transition. Qualitative study also show that our MM-TP improved baseline methods by making long-term planning of the topics. The major contributions of the paper are three-fold:

- To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that the target-guided conversation is formalized as a multi-turn topic prediction problem and solved under the framework of MDP.
- We adapt the traditional MCTS for the target-guided open-domain conversation, to alleviate the sub-optimal topic threads generation problem by performing long-term planning.
- The proposed MM-TP model outperformed the baseline methods in terms of achieving the targets more accurately and making more smoothly topic transition.

![Figure 2: Workflow of Multi-turn Target-guided Open-domain Conversation](image)

### 2 Task Definition: Multi-turn Target-guided Topic Prediction

As shown in Figure 2, a multi-turn target-guided open-domain conversation system starts with randomly selecting a specific target topic and the start utterance (step 1) by the simulator. The user generates an appropriate response (step 2). Then, the system repeats several conversational turns before achieving the ends. At each turn, the system first accesses to conversational history utterances and predicts a topic (step 3) satisfying both transition smoothness and target achievement. Then the agent and user generate responses respectively according to the predicted topic (step 4 and step 2). During the conversation, the target word is only presented to the agent and is unknown to user. The system consists of two components which are topic prediction module and response generation module.

Formally, let’s use \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{X} \) to denote the sets of candidate target topics and responses, respectively. Following the practices in (Tang et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2020), each target topic \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) is defined as a word/phrase (i.e., an entity name or a common noun), and the candidate utterance set \( \mathcal{X} \) is derived from the PersonaChat corpus (Zhang et al., 2018). Suppose that the agent \( e \) starts a conversation (1st turn) with utterance \( x_1^e \) and its target topic is \( a^* \). The user retrieval model \( G^u \) generates a response \( x_1^u \). Then, at each turn \( i \in \{2, \cdots, m\} \), the topic prediction module takes previous utterance context \( X_i = \{x_1^e, x_1^u, \cdots, x_{i-1}^e\} \) as input and outputs the predicted topic \( a_i \). Then, the retrieval model \( G^u \) for user \( u \) and \( G^e \) for system agent \( e \) select a response from the candidate set \( \mathcal{X} \) respectively. As an appropriate measurement of the success rate, the target is regarded as achieved when the predicted topic \( a_m \) is similar enough to the target topic \( a^* \).
3 The Proposed Model: MM-TP

3.1 Model overview

In this work, we focus on formulating Multi-turn Target-guided Topic Prediction as an MDP and utilizing the MCTS-enhanced policy to select the topic for each turn with a long-term planning. For the response generation process, we utilize the simulator constructed in (Tang et al., 2019), and employ a kernel-based retrieval model as \( G^e \) and conventional retrieval model as \( G^u \) to generate responses by agent and user respectively. Figure 3 illustrates the architecture of the proposed MCTS-enhanced MDP for Topic Prediction (MM-TP) model. Given the target word \( a^* \) and the start utterance \( x^1 \), our model iterates several turns for guiding the conversation thread. For each turn, MM-TP first applies MCTS to select topic for the current turn, and then utilizes the retrieval models \( G^e \) and \( G^u \) to generate agent and user response respectively.

3.2 MDP formulation of Multi-turn Target-guided Topic Prediction

MM-TP models the Multi-turn Target-guided Topic Prediction as a process of sequential decision making with MDP, in which each time step corresponds to a conversational turn. The states, actions, transition function, rewards, value function and policy function of the MDP are defined as:

**States** \( S \): The state of each turn is defined as a tuple \( s_t = [X_t, \{x^e_t, \ldots, x^{u}_{t-1}\}, Y_t = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{t-1}\}] \) where \( X_t \) is the sequence of contextual utterances and \( Y_t \) is the sequence of predicted topics in previous \( t-1 \) turns. For the second turn, the state is initialized as \( s_2 = \{(x^1_t, x^u_t), \emptyset\}, \) where \( \{x^1_t, x^u_t\} \) denotes the randomly selected start utterance and the first response of user. \( \emptyset \) denotes the empty topic sequence.

**Actions** \( A \): At each turn \( t \), the \( A(s_t) \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \) is the set of actions the agent can choose from, which means the action \( a_t \in A(s_t) \) is the predicted topic \( a_t \in \mathcal{Y} \) for the current turn.

**Transition function** \( T \): The transition function \( T: S \times A \rightarrow S \) is defined as: \( s_{t+1} = T(s_t, a_t) = T([X_t, Y_t], a_t) = [X_{t+1}, Y_t \oplus a_t] \), where \( \oplus \) appends the selected action \( a_t \) to \( Y_t \). At each turn \( t \), based on state \( s_t \), the system predicts a topic \( a_t \) for this turn, moves to the turn \( t+1 \) and transits the state to the next state \( s_{t+1} \). first, the conversational utterance context \( X_t \) is updated by appending the generated agent and user responses; second, the system adds the predicted topic to the end of \( Y_t \), outputting a new topic sequence.

**Rewards** \( R \): The reward is defined to reflect: (1) target achievement \( R_{ta} \): we calculate the similarity between the predicted topic of each turn and the target to determine whether the topic has achieved the target; (2) local smoothness \( R_{ls} \): we calculate the average WordNet similarity between topics of adjacent turns to measure the topic transition smooth; (3) target similarity \( R_{ts} \): we calculate the similarity difference between the adjacent topics and the target, to make the predicted topic in each turn is more similar to that in the preceding turns. The overall reward is defined as the weighted summation these three parts as:

\[
R = \alpha \cdot R_{ta} + \beta \cdot R_{ls} + \gamma \cdot R_{ts}
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are weight parameters for three kinds of rewards respectively.

**Value function** \( V \): The value function \( V \) is a scalar evaluation which is learned to estimate the quality of topic assignments and fit the real evaluation measure. In this work, we utilize a hierarchical GRU network to map the context \( X_t \) to a real vector, and then define the value function as a nonlinear transformation of the weighted sum of the MLP’s outputs \( g(s) \) and the current candidate action in one-hot representation \( a_t \) as:

\[
V(s) = \sigma\left(\langle W_v g(s), a_t \rangle\right),
\]

where \( W_v \in \mathbb{R}^{\|A(s)\| \times |g(s)|} \) is the weight vector to be learned during training, \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is dot product operation, and \( \sigma(\cdot) \) is the nonlinear sigmoid function.

The context state \( g(s) \) is obtained as:

\[
g(s) = MLP(l(s)),
\]

\[
l(s) = [\text{HierarchalGRU}(X_t)].
\]

The hierarchical GRU network takes in a sequence of contextual utterances \( X_t = \{x^e_t, x^u_t, \ldots, x^u_{t-1}\} \) and utilizes the word-level GRU to encode each utterance and output a representation of the utterance. Then, the sequence of utterance representations are fed into a utterance-level GRU for obtaining a conversational context representation \( l(s) \).

**Policy function** \( p \): The policy function \( p(s) \) takes the context representation \( g(s) \) as input and outputs a distribution over all possible actions \( a \in A(s) \), in which each element represents the probability of selecting this keyword as:

\[
p(a|s) = \text{softmax}(U_p g(s)),
\]
Hi, stranger, how are you doing?

Sorry to hear that, does someone come to help you?

Yes, luckily my friend found that I was ill.

Turn: t + 1  topic : help

At online topic sequence prediction stage, the environment collects the conversational history utterances and the predicted topic sequence as the environment corpus $X$. Then, the leaf node $s_{L}$ is expanded by constructing edge from it to the node $T(s_{L}, a)$, corresponding to each action $a \in A(s_{L})$. (3) Back-propagation and update: At the end of evaluation, the action values and visit counts of all traversed edges are updated, while the prior probability $P(s, a)$ is kept unchanged. (4) Calculate the improved search policy: After iterating $K$ times, the improved search policy $\pi(a|s_{R})$ corresponds to each $a \in A(s_{R})$ for the current root node $s_{R}$ is calculated based on the visit counts $N(s_{R}, a)$ of the edges starting from $s_{R}$. The details of MCTS process is described in Algorithm 1.

### 3.4 Model training and inference

MM-TP has some parameters $\Theta$ to learn including $W_{v}$, $W_{g}$, $U_{p}$, $b_{g}$ and parameters in hierarchical GRUs. Suppose we are given $N$ target topics and ground-truth topic threads that achieved the corresponding target topics: $D = \{(a_{n}^{*}, Y_{n}^{*})\}_{n=1}^{N}$. Firstly, the parameters $\Theta$ of the model are initialized to random weights in $[-1, 1]$. Then for each sample $(a_{n}^{*}, Y) \in D$, a topic sequence is predicted as: for each turn, the MCTS is executed and a topic $a_{t}$ is selected by the search policy $\pi_{t}$. The topic prediction process terminates after $m$ turns.
Algorithm 1 TreeSearch

Input: root $s_R$, Value function $V$, policy function $p$, search times $K$
1: for $k = 0$ to $K - 1$ do
2: \[ s_L \leftarrow s_R \]
3: \{Selection\}
4: while $s_L$ is not a leaf node do
5: \[ a \leftarrow \arg \max_a (Q(s_t, a) + \lambda U(s_t, a)) \]
6: \[ s_L \leftarrow \text{child node pointed by } (s_L, a) \]
7: end while
8: \{Evaluation and expansion\}
9: $v \leftarrow V(s_L)$ \{simulate $v$ with $V$\}
10: for all $a \in A(s_L)$ do
11: \[ \text{Expand } e \text{ to } s = [s_L, X_{t+1}, Y_t \oplus \{a\}] \]
12: \[ e.P \leftarrow p(a|s_L); e.Q \leftarrow 0; e.N \leftarrow 0 \]
13: end for
14: \{Back-propagation\}
15: while $s_L \neq s_R$ do
16: \[ s \leftarrow \text{parent of } s_L \]
17: \[ e \leftarrow \text{edge from } s \text{ to } s_L \]
18: \[ e.Q \leftarrow e.Q + e.N + v \]
19: \[ e.N \leftarrow e.N + 1; s_L \leftarrow s \]
20: end while
21: end for
22: for all $a \in A(s_R)$ do
23: \[ \pi(a|s_R) \leftarrow \sum_{a' \in A(s_R)} \pi^0(a'|s_R)N \]
24: end for
25: return $\pi$

Algorithm 2 Train MM-TP model

Input: Labeled data $D$, learning rate $\eta$, search time $K$, pre-defined number of turn $m$
1: Initialize $\Theta$ as random values in $[-1, 1]$.
2: repeat
3: for all $(X, Y) \in D$ do
4: \[ s_2 = [X_1, Y_1]; E \leftarrow \emptyset \]
5: \[ t = 1 \text{ to } m \]
6: \[ \pi \leftarrow \text{TreeSearch } (s, V, \pi, K) \]
7: \[ a \leftarrow \arg \max_{a \in A(s)} \pi(a|s) \]
8: \[ E \leftarrow E \oplus \{(s, \pi)\} \]
9: \[ s \leftarrow [s, X_{t+1}, s, Y_t \oplus \{a\}] \]
10: end for
11: \[ r \leftarrow \text{Metric}(Y, s, Y_m) \]
12: \[ \Theta \leftarrow \Theta - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial \Theta} \] \{see $\ell$ in Eq. 2\}
13: end for
14: until converge
15: return $\Theta$

and a topic sequence $\hat{Y} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ is outputted. The overall evaluation metric $r$ of $\hat{Y}$ is calculated according to the success rate of the target achievement. The data generated at each turn $E = \{(s_t, \pi_t)\}_{t=1}^m$ and the reward $R$ are utilized as the signal for adjusting the value function. The training objective is to minimize the error between the predicted value $V(s_t)$ and evaluation metric $r$, and to maximize the similarity between the raw policy $p(s_t)$ and the search policy $\pi_t$ as:

\[
l(E, r) = \sum_{t=1}^{|E|} \left( (V(s_t) - r)^2 + \sum_{a \in A(s_t)} \pi_t(a|s_t) \log \frac{1}{p(a|s_t)} \right). \tag{2}
\]

Algorithm 2 shows the details of the training process. The inference process of the MM-TP model is similar to the training stage. Given the selected target topic, the state is initialized as $s_2 = [X_1, Y_1]$. For each turn $t \in \{2, \cdots, m\}$, the agent receives the state $s_t = [X_t, Y_t]$ and updates the search policy $\pi$ with MCTS. Then, MM-TP selects an action $a_t$ for this turn and moves to the next turn whose state becomes $s_{t+1} = [X_{t+1}, Y_{t+1}]$.

3.5 Implementation details

We adapt the MCTS algorithm according to our task. Following existing practice (Tang et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2020), in order to guide the topic thread to achieve the target keyword, we shrink the action space in each conversational turn. Specifically, we mask the candidate topics which have been selected in preceding turns, and the candidates that are not as similar to the target as the topics in preceding turns. The tree nodes corresponding to these masked nodes thus will not be achieved during the update process of search policy $\pi$. Moreover, we also load the parameters of pre-trained single-turn topic prediction model (Tang et al., 2019) to initialize the policy and value network, and the parameters are also updated during training process.

4 Experiments

4.1 Experimental settings

Datasets: We evaluated the performance of MM-TP on two popular conversation benchmarks: Target-Guided PersonaChat dataset (TGPC) and Chinese Weibo Conversation dataset (CWC). The TGPC dataset (Tang et al., 2019) is derived from the PersonaChat corpus which covers a abroad range of topics. Following (Tang et al., 2019),
we take 500 conversations with relatively frequent keywords as the test set. The CWC dataset (Qin et al., 2020) is a Chinese conversational dataset that derived from corpus crawled from Sina Weibo platform. It matches the real-world scenarios better and more efficient for the model to learn dynamic topic transition. The statistics of these two benchmarks are reported in Table 1.

**Baselines:** Existing target-guided open-domain conversation systems are used as baselines: (1) Retrieval (Wu et al., 2017) is a conventional retrieval-based chitchat system that used to provide reference performance in terms of different metrics; (2) Retrieval-Stgy (Tang et al., 2019) which augments the above Retrieval system with the target-guided strategy and permits the system to retrieve a response containing more than one keyword; (3) PMI (Tang et al., 2019) which constructs a keyword pairwise matrix, and calculates the association between keywords by pointwise mutual information; (4) Neural (Tang et al., 2019) which utilizes a neural network to encode the conversation history and then employs a prediction layer to select a keyword for the next turn. (5) Kernel (Tang et al., 2019) which firstly measures the similarity between the current keyword and candidate keywords, and then utilizes a kernel layer to predict the candidate probability distribution; (6) DKRN (Qin et al., 2020) which uses the semantic knowledge relations among candidate keywords to mask the candidates uncorrelated to the conversational history.

**Training Details:** Following (Tang et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2020), we used GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014) to initialize word embeddings for English conversation corpus TGPC and Baidu Encyclopedia Word2Vec (Li et al., 2018) to initialize word embeddings for Chinese conversation corpus CWC. The number of conversational turns \( m \) was set as 8. The hierarchical GRU network utilized a hidden layer of 200 units. We used the AdaGrad (Duchi et al., 2011) optimizer to update the parameters during the training process, with a learning rate \( \eta \) as 0.001. The search time \( K \) in MCTS was set to 1600, and the tradeoff coefficient \( \lambda \) was set to 80.0. Two retrieval systems \( G_e \) and \( G_u \) were implemented with the toolkit Texar (Hu et al., 2019).

### 4.2 Self-play simulation evaluation

We first conducted simulation-based evaluation of our MM-TP and baseline systems in the multi-turn target-guided conversation setup. Same as (Tang et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2020), we employed the conventional retrieval system to play the role of human. The baseline models and our MM-TP played the role of system agent aiming to guide the conversation to achieve the target topic. During the training process, we generated the ground-truth topic threads by iteratively appending the keyword sequences from the consecutive single-turn keywords prediction samples in existing work (Tang et al., 2019). In the testing phrase, the simulator randomly selected a target from the candidate topic set and the start utterance from the corpus. The experiment was evaluated by measuring the success rate of achieving the target (Succ.%), and the average number of turns used to reach the target (Turns).

The target topic is considered as achieved when any item of the predicted topic sequence takes a similarity score with the target higher than 0.9, measured by WordNet (Fellbaum and Miller, 1998).

Table 2 reports the results of our MM-TP and baseline conversation systems in terms of successful rate (“Succ.%”) and average turns of target achievement (“Turns”).

Table 1: Statistics of training and test sets on two conversation benchmarks.

| Dataset | TGPC | CWC |
|---------|------|-----|
| #Conversations | 8,939 | 500 |
| #Utterances | 2,678 | 1,571 |
| #Keyword types | 1,760 | 1,571 |

Table 2: Results of our MM-TP and baseline conversation systems in terms of successful rate (“Succ.%”) and average turns of target achievement (“Turns”).

| Model | TGPC | CWC |
|-------|------|-----|
| Retrieval | 7.16 | 4.17 |
| Retrieval-Stgy | 47.80 | 6.7 |
| PMI | 35.36 | 6.38 |
| Neural | 54.76 | 4.73 |
| Kernel | 62.56 | 4.65 |
| DKRN | 89.0 | 5.02 |
| MM-TP | 91.23 | 4.82 |

We attribute this to that MM-TP takes a long-term planning to select the topic by considering the topics in next several turns. Moreover, the average turns of MM-TP to achieve the target is comparable to baseline methods since...
Model | Smoothness
---|---
Retrieval-Stgy | 0.08
PMI | 0.21
Neural | 0.25
Kernel | 0.23
DKRN | 0.31
MM-TP | **0.35**

Table 3: Results of MM-TP and baseline methods in terms of transition smoothness.

the long-term planning explores an optimized topic thread to achieve the target.

### 4.3 Effects of Monte Carlo tree search

The search policy $\pi$ usually performs better than the raw policy $p$ since MCTS is employed to consider the topics in next several turns. Except the policies, the value function $V$ can also be used to select topic at each turn. To explore the effectiveness of these three components, we applied them to predict the topic sequence on the test set respectively after every 20 training epochs during the online training phrase, and records the average success rate of target achievement. Figure 4 illustrates the success rate curves of the raw policy $p$, search policy $\pi$, and value function $V$. We can see that: (1) The topic sequences generated by the search policy $\pi$ achieves higher success rate of target achievement than that generated by the raw policy $p$, which demonstrates that MCTS improved the raw policy. (2) The results predicted by both $\pi$ and $p$ are better than results predicted by the value function $V$. The reason is that the raw policy $p$ and value network $V$ greedily select topic at each conversational turn, which makes the results are not as good as that predicted by the search policy $\pi$. Moreover, the quality of topic assignment is not easy to estimate by value function.

### 4.4 Transition smoothness evaluation

We further explore how our MM-TP accomplishes transition smoothness, which is also an important objective of target-guided conversation for measuring how naturally the conversation is guided. We evaluate our proposed model and baseline methods in terms of transition smoothness. Specifically, the transition smoothness (Smoothness) of each model is calculated by the average WordNet information content similarity between topics in adjacent turns. Table 3 shows the results of transition smoothness of our proposed MM-TP and baseline methods. We can see that MM-TP achieves higher transition smoothness compared with baseline systems. We contribute this to that the baseline methods are only constrained to select a topic at each turn which is strictly more closer to the target topic than those in preceding turn, while the transition smoothness between the topics in adjacent turns is overlooked. The proposed MM-TP improves these methods by modeling the transition smoothness between topics in adjacent turns as local rewards, and the performance of transition smoothness can be controlled by adjusting the weight parameter $\beta$ of local smoothness.

### 4.5 Qualitative study

To dive a bit deeper and look at the performance of our MM-TP on topic sequence prediction, we compare the examples outputted by different conversational systems, and the results are shown in Figure 5. The three agents are given the same target topic and start utterance, and the task is considered as successful when the predicted topic is similar enough to the target. We can see that the Kernel agent (Tang et al., 2019) employs single-turn keyword prediction and utilizes the rule strategy to make the topic predicted at each turn is strictly closer to the target than topics selected in preceding turns. As a result, the topics predicted in adjacent turns are distantly related and leading to poor smooth transition. The DKRN agent (Qin et al., 2020) improves the Kernel agent by considering the relations between candidate keywords, and leads to better smooth transition. However, the method still suffers from predicting sub-optimal topics as it overlooks topics in next several turns. For example, in the conversation produced by DKRN, the top-
Due to these limitations, a novel task named target-guided open-domain conversation was proposed, which requires the system to proactively and naturally guide the topic thread by integrating goals and strategies. Tang et al. (2019) for the first time introduced this task and employed a simple target-guided open-domain conversational model to select a near-synonym for the next turn. Specifically, according to the definition of Reward in MM-TP, the transition between topics of consecutive turns should satisfies smoothness transition and target similarity. However, as not any common sense knowledge are injected into our model, the search policy of MCTS is just trained to select a topic similar to that in the previous turn and more closer to the target. Whether the selected topic is logically related to the topic in the previous turn and can leading the topic thread to the target is overlooked.

5 Related Work

Existing research of dialogue system can be broadly concluded as two categories, which are task-oriented dialogue systems and open-domain dialogue systems. Task-oriented dialogue system aims to accomplish some pre-defined goals (Lipton et al., 2018), conduct negotiation (Cao et al., 2018) or perform symmetric collaborations (He et al., 2017). Open-domain dialogue systems are designed to chat naturally with human and aiming to provide reasonable responses. Previous work make efforts to improve response generation by developing novel neural networks and training on large-scale corpus (Serban et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016, 2018). Although the promising progresses have been achieved, these chat-oriented dialogue systems still struggle to a set of limitations such as dull or inconsistent responses (Ram et al., 2018).

Due to these limitations, a novel task named target-guided open-domain conversation was proposed, which requires the system to proactively and naturally guide the topic thread by integrating goals and strategies. Tang et al. (2019) for the first time introduced this task and employed a simple target-
guided strategy to attain smooth topic transition by turn-level supervised learning. Qin et al. (2020) further improved this work by capturing semantic or factual knowledge relations among candidate topics through a dynamic knowledge routing network. However, both these methods employ single-turn supervised learning to predict the topic of each turn according to the human annotated topic sequence. Moreover, they only consider existing context and overlook the long-term planning of topics in next several turns.

Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) enhanced MDP was firstly proposed in games (Silver et al., 2016; Schrittwieser et al., 2019; Silver et al., 2017) and has been applied in other fields such as diverse ranking (Feng et al., 2018), name entity recognition (Lao et al., 2019) and task-oriented conversation (Wang et al., 2020). In this paper, we apply MCTS in open-domain conversation to generate topic sequence which is utilized to guided the conversation thread to achieve the target.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we formulate the target-guided conversation as a multi-turn topic prediction problem, and propose a novel approach called MM-TP to resolve this task. MM-TP formalizes the multi-turn topic prediction as sequential decision prediction problem, and models it with MDP. MCTS is used to improve the raw policy by making a long-term planning of topics in next several turns and then selecting a topic for the current turn. The model parameters are learned by reinforcement learning. Experimental results demonstrate that MM-TP outperformed existing baseline systems in terms of both the successful rate of achieving target and the topic transition smoothness.
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