Assessment of Coral Reef Fish Stocks From the Nansha Islands, South China Sea, Using Length-Based Bayesian Biomass Estimation
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The South China Sea (SCS) is one of the world’s main centers for coral reef diversity, with more than one-third of all reef fish species being found in this area. Some indications of overfishing have appeared in typical coral reefs of the SCS, as fish diversities have declined and the average body lengths of dominant fish species have decreased. However, only few assessments of coral reef fish stocks have been conducted, due to insufficient available data. In this study, we applied a newly developed length-based Bayesian biomass (LBB) estimation method to assess 10 dominant coral reef fish species from three main reefs (Yongshu Reef, Zhubi Reef, and Meiji Reef) of the Nansha Islands, SCS. Simulations indicated the estimated parameters were not sensitive to sample sizes (more than 100) using the LBB method. Our results showed that the relative biomass levels ($B/B_{MSY}$) of *Cephalopholis spiloparaea*, *Cephalopholis urodeta*, *Lutjanus gibbus*, *Gnathodentex aureolineatus*, *Pentapodus caninus*, and *Cheilinus fasciatus* were between 0.16 and 0.45, suggesting an overfishing status; the relative biomass levels of *Epinephelus merra* and *Parupeneus crassilabris* were 0.98 and 1.1, respectively, indicating that they were fully exploited; and the relative biomass levels of *Lutjanus kasmira* and *Melichthys vidua* were 1.3 and 2.5, respectively, indicating the populations were in good conditions. The estimates of $L_{c}/L_{c_{opt}}$ were less than one for seven stocks, suggesting that the stocks were suffering from growth overfishing. Therefore, we emphasize the need to reduce fishing mortality and increase the mesh size of the coral reef fishery in the Nansha Islands, to achieve a sustainable yield and biomass.
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INTRODUCTION

Coral reefs are distinguished by their tremendous diversity and the ecosystem services they provide to coastal tropical and subtropical nations (Hughes et al., 2003; Spalding and Brown, 2015). However, about 75% of reefs are threatened by human activities, with the consequence that these ecosystems are suffering serious degradation (Pandolfi et al., 2003; MacNeil et al., 2015;
Overfishing is one of the most pressing human activities on coral reefs, leading to differential declines in key ecosystem functions, and radical variations in coral reef dynamics (Dulvy et al., 2004; McClanahan et al., 2011; Bellwood et al., 2012). Coral reef fishes supply a major source of protein for about 85% of coastal inhabitants. However, more than 80% of the shallow reefs worldwide are severely exploited because of the increasing demand for quality protein (Manikandan et al., 2014).

The South China Sea (SCS) is located at the center of the Indo-West Pacific region, and is the third largest marginal sea in the world (Li et al., 2019). The marine biological diversity of the SCS is extremely rich, with more than 8,600 species of plants and animals (Ng and Tan, 2000). As one of the world’s main centers for coral reef diversity, there are at least 1,120 reef fish species found in the SCS, accounting for more than one-third of the total fish species (Randall and Lim, 2000; Arai, 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Previous assessments showed that fishery resources in the northern continental shelf had been overfished (Zhang et al., 2017), although there was still potential for pelagic fishery resources in the deep SCS (Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018).

For data-poor fisheries, two types of methods are commonly applied for stock assessments (Liang et al., 2020): maximum sustainable yield (MSY) estimation approaches based on catch data (Froese et al., 2017), and fishery evaluation methods based on length-frequency (LF) data (Liang and Pauly, 2017; Froese et al., 2018). The catch-based methods cannot be used to assess coral reef fisheries in the SCS, because catch data are not available. In this study, we applied a newly developed length-based Bayesian biomass (LBB) estimation method to assess 10 dominant coral reef fish species from three main reefs (Yongshu Reef, Zhubi Reef, and Meiji Reef) of the Nansha Islands (Figure 1). The LBB method uses a Bayesian Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) method to estimate the current exploited biomass relative to the biomass producing the maximum sustainable
yield \((B/B_{MSY})\), and the current exploited biomass relative to the unexploited biomass \((B/B_0)\) (Froese et al., 2018; Froese et al., 2019a). The assessment results provide an overview of the current exploitation status of the coral reef fishery in the Nansha Islands, and the relative biomass levels of specific fish stocks.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Survey Sites**

Yongshu Reef (9.52°–9.68°N, 112.88°–113.06°E; Figure 1) is an open spindle-shaped atoll with a length of about 25 km in the NEE–SWW direction and a width of 6 km in the NW–SE direction. It covers an area of 110 km², with a water depth of 14.6–40 m. Its coral atolls are among the most widespread reefs in the Nansha Islands, and its lagoons make up 82% of the modern atoll systems in the broader Nansha area (Yan et al., 2011).

Zhubi Reef (10°54′ N, 114°03′ E) is located southwest of the Zhongye Islands in the Nansa Islands. This reef is a closed atoll, and is approximately pear-shaped with a long axis in the NE–SW direction. It is 5.75 km in length and 3.25 km in width, and covers an area of 16.1 km². The middle lagoon is about 9.5 km², and the majority of the area is ~20 m deep with a maximum depth of 24 m (Zhang et al., 2016).

Meiji Reef (9°55′ N, 115°32′ E) is an oval-shaped reef located about 200 km east of Yongshu Reef. It is about 8.6 km × 6.5 km in size, and is characterized by a large lagoon with a maximum water depth of 30 m surrounded by a ring of reef flat (Yu et al., 2006).

**Data Collection**

Four surveys to evaluate the species composition and abundance of the coral reef fishery resources in the three main reefs (Yongshu Reef, Zhubi Reef, and Meiji Reef) of the Nansha Islands were undertaken on the R/V Ninfeng in December 2017, August and October 2018, and May 2019. Fish specimens were collected in the lagoons of the reefs by bottom gill net and hand-line, which were dispatched from motorboats with a compression-ignition internal combustion engine. The width and length of the boats were 1.50 and 7.85 m, respectively. The power and speed of the engines were 14.7 kW and 1,500 r/min, respectively. Four types of gill net and handline (Table 1) were used for the sampling, with the purpose of catching individual fish of different body sizes. Moreover, the water depths for the lagoons of the three reefs were about 10–25 m, and our handlines (with regulus lead fixing) and gill net could cover the different water layers.

The South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute conducted the surveys, and the sampling time, durations, researchers, and nets were consistent across all surveys. Eight stations were surveyed for each reef, and the surveys covered the area of respective lagoon, i.e., 10 km² for Yongshu Reef, 9.5 km² for Zhubi Reef, and 36 km² for Meiji Reef. For each survey station, two types of gill nets (Table 1) were set one by one, and the soak times (the soak time is the total time the gillnet was in the water) were uniform at 1 h for all stations and reefs. Ten lines (one hook was fastened to each line) were used to sample synchronously in each station, and the fishing times were uniform at 3 h for all stations and reefs. There were total 6,335, 1,727, and 2,879 fish individuals caught in the lagoons of Yongshu Reef, Zhubi Reef, and Meiji Reef, respectively. There were no discards in the surveys, and all the fish individuals were measured in laboratory of survey vessel or cryopreserved for laboratory bioassays. Biological data of all fish species, including their length, weight, sexual maturity, and stomach fullness, were collected. For each individual fish, the standard length was measured to the nearest millimeter and the body weight to the nearest 0.1 g. In this study, we analyzed the LF data of the 10 most abundant fish species (from seven families; Table 2) in the Nansa Islands.

**LBB Method**

In the LBB method, growth in body length is assumed to follow the von Bertalanffy growth function (von Bertalanffy, 1938), which can be described as:

\[ L_t = L_{inf}[1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)}] \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( L_t \) is the expected or mean length at age \( t \), \( L_{inf} \) is the asymptotic length, \( K \) is the growth coefficient, and \( t_0 \) is the theoretical age at length zero.

In the case of full gear selection, the curvature of the catch in the numbers-at-length curve is the value of the total mortality \( Z \) relative to \( K (Z/K) \), and the curve is expressed by the equation (Quinn and Deriso, 1999):

\[ N_L = N_{L_{start}} \left( \frac{L_{inf} - L}{L_{inf} - L_{start}} \right)^{Z/K} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( N_L \) is the number of survivors to a specific length \( L \), \( N_{L_{start}} \) is the number at length \( L_{start} \), and \( L_{start} \) is the minimum length with full gear selection (i.e., all individuals entering the gear are retained by the gear).

The selectivity of the fishing gear can be assumed as the function:

\[ S_L = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a(L-L_c)}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( S_L \) is the fraction of individuals that are retained by the gear at length \( L \), \( L_c \) is the length of 50% individuals.
TABLE 2 | Summary of the standard length range and the sampling number of individuals of the 10 coral reef fish species collected in 2017–2019 for stock assessment in Nansha Islands, South China Sea.

| Species (common and scientific names) | Standard length range (cm) | Mean length with SD (cm) | Number of individuals measured |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Family Serranidae                    |                             |                          |                               |
| Honeycomb grouper *Epinephelus merra*| 2.8–19.2                    | 10.91 ± 1.97             | 868                           |
| Strawberry hind *Cephalopholis spiloparva* | 6.5–20.5                    | 11.04 ± 1.56             | 396                           |
| Darkfin hind *Cephalopholis urodeta*  | 4.8–17.4                    | 11.41 ± 1.88             | 333                           |
| Family Serranidae                    |                             |                          |                               |
| Common bluestripe snapper *Lutjanus kasmira* | 7.4–20.4                    | 13.89 ± 2.24             | 1354                          |
| Humpback red snapper *Lutjanus gibbus* | 6.5–28.7                    | 13.97 ± 4.13             | 328                           |
| Family Balistidae                    |                             |                          |                               |
| Pinktail triggerfish *Melichthys vidua* | 10.5–22.4                   | 16.00 ± 2.20             | 194                           |
| Family Lethrinidae                   |                             |                          |                               |
| Striped large-eye bream *Gnathodentex aureolineatus* | 7.6–20.3                    | 13.39 ± 1.45             | 1904                          |
| Family Nemipteridae                  |                             |                          |                               |
| Small-toothed whiptail *Pentapodus caninus* | 7.0–23.2                    | 12.75 ± 1.77             | 712                           |
| Family Mullidae                      |                             |                          |                               |
| Doublebar goatfish *Parupeneus crassilabris* | 7.5–24.5                    | 13.95 ± 2.56             | 531                           |
| Family Labridae                      |                             |                          |                               |
| Redbreasted wrasse *Cheilinus fasciatus* | 6.3–21.5                    | 14.11 ± 2.00             | 123                           |

captured by the gear, and α represents the steepness of the ogive (Quinn and Deriso, 1999).

The parameters $L_{inf}$, $L_{c}$, α, $M/K$, and $F/K$, and the selection ogive, are estimated by fitting the following two equations (Froese et al., 2019a):

$$N_{Li} = N_{Li-1} \left( \frac{L_{inf} - L_i}{L_{inf} - L_{i-1}} \right)^{\frac{M}{K} + \frac{F}{K} N_{Li}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$C_{Li} = N_{Li} S_{Li}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $N_{Li}$ is the number of individuals in length class $L_i$, the subindex $i$ in $L_i$ represent the serial numbers of length classes, and $N_{Li-1}$ is the number of individuals in the previous length class. $C_{Li}$ refers to the number of individuals vulnerable to the gear and proportionally represented in the catch for length class $L_i$.

To minimize the required parameters, the LBB analysis is conducted based on the natural mortality rate ($M$) relative to the somatic growth rate ($M/K$) and the fishing mortality rate ($F$) relative to the somatic growth rate ($F/K$), instead of the absolute values, with the goal of estimating mean relative fishing mortality ($F/M$) and current biomass relative to unfished biomass ($B/B_0$). In other words, the increase in length can be used as a proxy for elapsed time, and by using ratios instead of absolute values the units of time and biomass cancel out (Froese et al., 2018).

The LBB estimation was executed within the Bayesian Gibbs sampler software JAGS (Plummer, 2003). R statistical language (version 3.6.3) was used to fit the observed proportions at length to their expected values:

$$\hat{p}_{Li} = \frac{\hat{N}_{Li}}{\sum \hat{N}_{Li}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $p_{Li}$ is the observed proportions-at-length, $\hat{p}_{Li}$ is the expected values (mean values) for $p_{Li}$, $\hat{N}_{Li}$ denotes a function of the estimable population dynamic parameters $L_{inf}$, $M/K$, and $F/K$, and the selectivity parameters $L_{c}$ and $\alpha$.

The observed and predicted length distributions were then fitted by assuming Dirichlet-multinomial distribution (Thorson et al., 2017), which was proposed for fitting size and age composition in stock assessment models using a Bayesian framework. Proportions-at-length assume Dirichlet-multinomial distribution with an effective sample size of 1,000, which was chosen based on desirable performance across various simulation-testing trial scenarios (Froese et al., 2018). The observed length distributions $\pi$ with a probability density function can be shown as:

$$p(\pi | \omega, n) = \int \text{Multinomial}(\pi | \pi^*, n) \text{Dirichlet}(\pi^* | \omega) d\pi^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where the marginal probability density function for data $\pi$ is computed via integrating across the “unobservable” average proportion $\pi^*$ for that sample, $\text{Dirichlet}(\omega)$ is the probability density function for the Dirichlet distribution and $\omega$ is a vector of parameters that govern the mean and variance of this distribution. For each length composition sample, a random draw $\pi^* \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\omega)$ were taken from a Dirichlet distribution, and then take a draw from a multinomial distribution $\pi \sim \text{Multinomial} (\pi^*, n)$ with mean proportion $\pi^*$ from the Dirichlet draw (Thorson et al., 2017).

To approximate the stock status from the estimated quantities $L_{inf}$, $L_{c}$, $M/K$, and $F/K$, the following equations are used. First, the length $L_{opt}$ representing the maximum biomass of the unexploited cohort is obtained from:

$$L_{opt} = L_{inf} \left( \frac{3}{3 + \frac{M}{K}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
For a given fishing pressure \((F/M)\), the length at first capture \(L_{c,\text{opt}}\) that maximizes the catch and biomass can be obtained from:

\[
L_{c,\text{opt}} = \frac{L_{\text{inf}}(2 + \frac{3F}{M})}{(1 + \frac{F}{M})(3 + \frac{M}{K})}
\]  

(9)

An index catch per unit of effort (CPUE/R) is obtained by dividing the relative yield-per-recruit \((Y'/R)\) by \(F/M\), as presented by Froese et al. (2018):

\[
\frac{Y'}{R} = \frac{F/M}{1+F/M}(1-L_c/L_{\text{inf}})^{M/K} \left( 1 - \frac{3(1-L_c/L_{\text{inf}})}{3(1-L_c/L_{\text{inf}})+3+(1-L_c/L_{\text{inf}})^2} - \frac{(1-L_c/L_{\text{inf}})^3}{1+3/(M/K+F/K)} \right)
\]  

(10)

The relative biomass in the exploited situation if no fishing occurs is given by:

\[
\frac{B_0}{B} = \frac{\sum_j \sum_{G} G_{j} \theta_{gj} \sigma_{j}}{\sum_j \sum_{G} G_{j} \sigma_{j}^2}
\]

(12)

where \(B_0 > B\) denotes the exploitable fraction \((>L_c)\) of the unexploited biomass \((B_0)\).

The ratio of fished to unexploited biomass is described as

\[
\frac{B}{B_0} = \frac{\text{CPUE}}{R} \frac{\sum_j \sum_{G} G_{j} \theta_{gj} \sigma_{j}}{\sum_j \sum_{G} G_{j} \sigma_{j}^2}
\]

(13)

A proxy for the relative biomass that can produce \(B_{\text{MSY}}/B_0\) was obtained by re-running Eqs (10–13) with \(F/M = 1\) and \(L_c = L_{c,\text{opt}}\) (Froese et al., 2018).

In this study, \(L_{\text{inf}}\) priors were generated as the maximum length obtained from the present study if the maximum length is unknown or the recorded maximum length in Fishbase\(^1\) is smaller than that of the present study, and as the recorded maximum length in Fishbase for other situations (Liang et al., 2020). All the analysis was implemented using LBB_33a.R, an R-code algorithm presented by Froese et al. (2018). Stocks were classified to different exploitation statuses based on the values of \(B/B_{\text{MSY}}\); overexploited status was assigned where \(B/B_{\text{MSY}} < 0.8\), fully exploited status where \(0.8 \leq B/B_{\text{MSY}} \leq 1.2\), and non-fully exploited status where \(B/B_{\text{MSY}} > 1.2\) (Amorim et al., 2019).\n
**Results**

Length data from 6,743 individual fish of the 10 dominant coral reef fish species from the Nansha Islands were analyzed. Most length-frequency distributions were unimodal (Figure 2). The mean body lengths of the species ranged from 10.91 to 16.00 cm. Pinktail triggerfish *Melichthys nudus* had the largest body size, whereas the honeycomb grouper *Epinephelus merra* was the smallest fish species sampled (Table 2).

For all species except the common bluestripe snapper *Lutjanus kasmira* and *M. vidua*, the dominant length groups were smaller than the length \((L_{\text{opt}})\) at which the maximum biomass of the unexploited stock would be obtained (Figure 3). For all species except the doublebar goatfish *Parapeneus clarkii*, the estimated values of \(L_{\text{inf}}\) were smaller than the maximum length \((L_{\text{max}})\) in the fish sample. The estimates of \(L_{c}/L_{c,\text{opt}}\) ranged from 0.51 to 1.7, and the estimates of \(Z/K\) ranged from 2.2 to 1.0 (Table 3). The estimates of \(B/B_{\text{MSY}}\) for the 10 coral reef fishes from the Nansha Islands were quite different, and ranged from 0.16 to 2.5 (Table 3). Six fish species including suffered from overfishing, the two species *E. merra*, and *P. clarkii* were fully exploited, and the two species *L. kasmira*, and *M. vidua* were non-fully exploited.

Results of simulations showed the estimated parameters \((L_{\text{inf}}, L_{c}/L_{c,\text{opt}}, Z/K, B/B_0,\) and \(B/B_{\text{MSY}}\) were not sensitive

---

\(^1\)www.fishbase.org
to sample sizes using LBB method (Table 4), except for the estimated $Z/K$ (overestimating when sample size was low). The SRF values for convergence diagnostic analysis of the parameters in LBB model indicated convergence, ranging 1.05–1.09. The estimated $L_c/L_{c\_opt}$ and $B/B_{MSY}$ by LBB-2 model were consistent with those by original LBB equation. The LBB-1 model produced similar results with other two models, except for the estimated $B/B_{MSY}$ for three species, *E. merra*, *L. kasmira*, and *P. crassilabris*. The underestimation of estimated $B/B_{MSY}$ for the three species made their exploitation status negatively (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

This study is the first time that coral reef fish stocks from the Nansha Islands in the SCS have been assessed using the LBB method. The results suggest a pressing situation for the coral reef
fishery, as 60% of the dominant fish species were overexploited and 20% were fully exploited. Growth overfishing occurs when fish are harvested before they reach their optimum size, thus reducing fishery yields (Nadon et al., 2015). The estimates of $L_c/L_{opt}$ for seven stocks were less than one (Table 3), suggesting the stocks are suffering from growth overfishing (Liang et al., 2020). Therefore, the mesh size of gear used in the coral reef fishery should be increased. Froese et al. (2018) emphasized that the length-frequency data used in the LBB method should represent the composition of the target stock. In this study,
the length-frequency data collections were covered four seasons. Moreover, both bottom gill nets and hand-lines (two sizes for each gear, Table 1) were used in the sampling, to insure fish species with different sizes and living in different water layers were sampled.

Overfishing has been recognized as a leading anthropogenic problem in marine ecosystems, and has reduced biodiversity and impaired ecosystem functions (Worm et al., 2009). The Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) suggests that 31.4% of studied fish stocks worldwide are overexploited, and 58.1% are fully exploited (FAO, 2016). However, these statistics are based on assessments of a small fraction of the world’s fish stocks. Only 20% of the global catch comes from assessed species, and less than 1% of species have been subject to stock assessments (Costello et al., 2012; Ricard et al., 2012). Most of the world’s fish stocks, especially the species living in particular habitats such as sharks (Ju et al., 2020) and coral reef fishes (Nadon et al., 2015), lack sufficient statistical and survey data to assess their abundance and current exploitation status using traditional stock assessment methods.

length-based Bayesian biomass is a new assessment method for data-poor stock lacking statistical catch data. All parameters in the LBB are estimated with a Bayesian Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) approach (Froese et al., 2018). Bayesian statistics have advanced fisheries analysis considerably. A main advantage is that the posterior inference is quite straightforward using MCMC outputs, which can provide direct samples of parameters of interest (Cowles and Carlin, 1996; O’Hara and Sillanpää, 2009). With the Bayesian framework, it is more straightforward to calculate simultaneous credible intervals for multiple parameters and to construct intervals around model predictions (Haddon, 2010). In this study, 95% credible intervals of key parameters (e.g., $Z/K$, $B/B_{MSY}$, and $L_c/L_{c,opt}$) were calculated, and these results can provide alternative information sources which can support decision-making. There were also some queries in LBB method, e.g., Hordyk et al. (2019) indicated that the LBB analysis did not correct for the pile-up effect. To address this issue, we applied two modified LBB models with corrections for the pile-up effect (Froese et al., 2019b). The results (Table 5) showed estimations of the original LBB method have been little affected by the pile-up effect for assessing the subject species in this study.

### Table 1

| Species                        | $L_{max}$ (cm) | $L_{50}$ (cm) | $L_c/L_{c,opt}$ | $Z/K$   | $B/B_0$ (95% CI) | $B/B_{MSY}$ (95% CI) | Status     |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|
| Epinephelus merra            | 19.2          | 20 (19.6–20.3)| 0.83            | 2.9     | 0.36 (0.21–0.58)| 0.98 (0.57–1.6)      | Fully exploited |
| Cephalopholis spiloparae     | 20.5          | 21.2 (20.8–21.6)| 0.85            | 12 (11–13)| 0.059 (0.04–0.08)| 0.16 (0.11–0.22)      | Overexploited |
| Cephalopholis urodea         | 17.4          | 20.2 (19.9–20.5)| 0.7             | 3.8 (3.6–4.1)| 0.097 (0.065–0.16)| 0.24 (0.14–0.4)       | Overexploited |
| Lutjanus kasmira             | 20.4          | 21.6 (21.3–21.9)| 1.2             | 2.9     | 0.46 (0.24–0.75)| 1.3 (0.68–2.1)       | Non-fully exploited |
| Lutjanus gibbus              | 28.7          | 35.8 (35–36.2) | 0.51            | 5.3 (5–6.6)| 0.1 (0.07–0.14)| 0.27 (0.19–0.38)      | Overexploited |
| Melichthys vidua             | 22.4          | 22.8 (22.3–23) | 1.7             | 2.2 (1.8–2.5)| 0.9 (0.097–2.4)| 2.5 (0.27–6.6)       | Non-fully exploited |
| Gnathodentex aureolineatus   | 20.3          | 22.7 (22.3–23)| 0.98            | 9.8     | 0.1 (0.079–0.14)| 0.29 (0.22–0.39)      | Overexploited |
| Pentapodus caninus           | 23.2          | 23.9 (23.5–24.4)| 0.88            | 9.9 (9.3–11)| 0.081 (0.061–0.11)| 0.22 (0.17–0.31)      | Overexploited |
| Parupeneus crassilabris      | 24.5          | 24.1 (23.1–24.9)| 1               | 3.3 (2.6–4)| 0.38 (0.21–0.57)| 1.1 (0.59–1.6)       | Fully exploited  |
| Cheilinus fasciatus          | 21.5          | 22.3 (22–22.7)| 0.96            | 4.7 (4.4–5.1)| 0.17 (0.11–0.24)| 0.45 (0.3–0.64)       | Overexploited |

The numbers between brackets represent 95% credible intervals for the parameters.

### Table 4

| Sampling size | $L_{50}$ (cm) | $L_c/L_{c,opt}$ | $Z/K$   | $B/B_0$ (95% CI) | $B/B_{MSY}$ (95% CI) |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 100           | 28 (27.6–28.5)| 0.59            | 6.3 (5.7–6.9)| 0.089 (0.081–0.12)| 0.25 (0.17–0.34)     |
| 300           | 28.2 (27.6–28.8)| 0.66            | 7.1 (6.6–7.7)| 0.089 (0.084–0.12)| 0.25 (0.18–0.34)     |
| 800           | 27.7 (27.3–28.2)| 0.68            | 7.3 (6.7–7.8)| 0.076 (0.065–0.1) | 0.21 (0.15–0.28)     |
| 1,500         | 27.7 (27.2–28.2)| 0.62            | 6.5 (5.8–7)| 0.073 (0.051–0.099)| 0.2 (0.14–0.27)      |
| 3,000         | 27.9 (27.3–28.3)| 0.6            | 6.2 (5.5–6.7)| 0.079 (0.062–0.11)| 0.21 (0.14–0.31)     |
| 5,000         | 27.7 (27.3–28.3)| 0.59            | 5.8 (5.5–6.2)| 0.084 (0.062–0.11)| 0.23 (0.17–0.31)     |
| 10,000        | 27.7 (27.1–28.2)| 0.57            | 5.6 (5.2–6)| 0.086 (0.054–0.13)| 0.23 (0.15–0.35)     |
| Actual stock  | 27.6          | 0.58            | 5.74      | 0.087            | 0.23                 |

The numbers between brackets represent 95% credible intervals for the parameters.
TABLE 5 | Performance comparison of three length-based methods for the 10 coral reef fish species in Nansha Islands, South China Sea.

| Species               | B/B_MSY | Lc/Lc_opt |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------|
|                       | LBB     | LBB-1     | LBB-2     |
|                       | LBB     | LBB-1     | LBB-2     |
| **Family Serranidae** |         |           |           |
| *Epinephelus merra*   | 0.98 (0.57–1.6) | 0.63 (0.37–0.85) | 1.0 (0.56–1.5) | 0.83 | 0.8 | 0.84 |
| *Cephalopholis spiloparaea* | 0.16 (0.11–0.22) | 0.13 (0.092–0.18) | 0.16 (0.11–0.21) | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.85 |
| *Cephalopholis urodeta* | 0.24 (0.14–0.4) | 0.18 (0.11–0.28) | 0.17 (0.095–0.28) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.69 |
| **Family Serranidae** |         |           |           |
| *Lutjanus kasmira*    | 1.3 (0.68–2.1) | 0.86 (0.55–1.3) | 1.3 (0.49–2.6) | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| *Lutjanus gibbus*     | 0.27 (0.19–0.38) | 0.21 (0.15–0.27) | 0.24 (0.17–0.34) | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| **Family Balistidae** |         |           |           |
| *Melichthys vidua*    | 2.5 (0.27–6.6) | 1.6 (0.29–2.8) | 2.5 (0.065–6.5) | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| **Family Lethrinidae** |         |           |           |
| *Gnathodentex aureolineatus* | 0.29 (0.22–0.39) | 0.23 (0.17–0.31) | 0.27 (0.18–0.36) | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1 |
| **Family Nemipteridae** |         |           |           |
| *Pentapodus caninus*  | 0.22 (0.17–0.31) | 0.16 (0.12–0.21) | 0.22 (0.15–0.3) | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.88 |
| **Family Mullidae**   |         |           |           |
| *Parupeneus crassilabris* | 1.1 (0.59–1.6) | 0.59 (0.43–0.78) | 0.94 (0.26–1.4) | 1 | 0.94 | 0.99 |
| **Family Labridae**   |         |           |           |
| *Cheilinus fasciatus* | 0.45 (0.3–0.64) | 0.36 (0.25–0.5) | 0.36 (0.24–0.5) | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |

Three length-based methods presented by Froese et al. (2019b) are original LBB equation (LBB), correct for the pile-up effect (LBB-1), and let the Bayesian model determine the degree of correction based on the best fit to the available data (LBB-2). The bold numbers are inconsistent results (exploitation status) comparing with other two models. The numbers between brackets represent 95% credible intervals for the parameters.

Among the assessed species, five species including *E. merra*, Strawberry hind *Cephalopholis spiloparaea*, Darkfin hind *Cephalopholis urodeta*, Striped large-eye bream *Gnathodentex aureolineatus*, and Red-breasted wrasse *Cheilinus fasciatus* are of high economic value and are classified in a very high price category in Fishbase. These species are among the main target species in the Nansha Islands (Li, 2010). The relative biomass level of *E. merra* was 0.98, and the other four species ranged from 0.16 to 0.45, indicating more serious conditions for the highly-valued fish stocks. Most coral reef fish catches in the Nansha Islands are caught by fishermen from Hainan, Guangdong, and Guangxi Provinces, and by multiple types of fishing gear, including gillnet, handline, spearfishing, trammel nets, and traps. A considerable percentage of the catches are also caught by fishing boats that target pelagic fishery resources and rest in the coral reefs because of bad weather. The three main reefs in the Nansha Islands are more than 1,000 km away from the nearest city. Therefore, effective fisheries management measures have not been implemented in the Nansha Islands.

In general, the LBB method provides a convenient way to assess the relative biomass levels of fish stocks in a special ecosystem for which catch data is difficult to obtain. Based on our assessment results, we suggest that fishing mortality should be reduced and the mesh size should be increased for coral reef fisheries in the Nansha Islands.
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