Lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccination with increased FDG uptake may be falsely attributed to oncological disorders: A systematic review
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Abstract
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has caused a global pandemic that continues to cause numerous deaths to date. Four vaccines have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration as of July 2021 to prevent the transmission of COVID-19: Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, and Janssen. These vaccines have shown great efficacy and safety profile. One side effect that has been widely reported is post-COVID-19 vaccination lymphadenopathy. Due to the mimicry of the lymphadenopathy for metastases in some oncologic patients, there have been reports of patients who underwent biopsies that showed pathologic confirmation of benign reactive lymphadenopathy secondary to the COVID-19 vaccine. Therefore, understanding the incidence of lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccinations will help guide radiologists and oncologists in their management of patients, both present oncologic patients, and patients with concerns over their newly presenting lymphadenopathy. A systematic literature search was performed using several databases to identify relevant studies that reported lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccination. Our results revealed that several cases have been detected in patients undergoing follow-up fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography-computerized tomography scans where lymph nodes ipsilateral to the vaccine injection site show increased uptake of FDG. Thus, knowledge of the incidence of lymphadenopathy may help avoid unnecessary biopsies, interventions, and changes in management for patients, especially oncologic patients who are at risk for malignancies.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a respiratory disease caused by a newly discovered coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in 2019. It was discovered in Wuhan city in China after documenting unknown etiology pneumonia cases by the end of December of 2019. Afterward, the World Health Organization stated on 11th March 2020 that COVID-19 is a "public health emergency of international concern." SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense single-stranded RNA virus, that can infect animals and humans. Among positive-stranded RNA viruses, SARS-CoV-2 has the largest reported replicating RNA molecules. SARS-CoV-2 invades the host cells by binding to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and mostly radiates through the respiratory tract. SARS-CoV-2 may infect individuals of all age groups. However, there is a higher risk of infection in people aged above 60 years, as well as those with chronic diseases such as chronic respiratory disease, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases. Complications of the virus can lead to an uncontrolled inflammatory response, resulting in pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome.

1.1 Development of the COVID-19 vaccines

In December 2020, Pfizer and BioNTech released the first messenger RNA (mRNA)-based vaccine targeted against COVID-19 for use in United Kingdom (UK) and United States (USA). Their vaccine BNT162b2 was authorized for emergency use only. The vaccine in United Kingdom (UK) and United States (USA). Their vaccine contains antibodies and CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses. It is given via the intramuscular route, in 2 doses separated by 21 days. The vaccine works by stimulating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells' responses and high neutralizing antibody titers. The European Medicines Agency recommended the vaccine for authorization in the EU on December 21, 2020.

Moderna’s vaccine mRNA-1273 was authorized by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for emergency use in December 2020. Moderna's vaccine was the second COVID-19 vaccine recommended for emergency use in the EU by the European Medicines Agency. The vaccine is made of prefusion stabilized S protein mRNA encapsulated in LNP. It is given via the intramuscular route, in 2 doses separated by 28 days. It has been shown that repeated vaccine doses stimulate neutralizing antibodies and CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses.

Oxford/AstraZeneca’s COVID-19 vaccine AZD1222 is a Chimpanzee adenovirus vector expressing the spike protein on its surface. It is given intramuscularly, in 2 doses 8–12 weeks apart. It was approved in the UK for emergency use in December 2020 while the European Medicines Agency recommended the COVID-19 vaccine by AstraZeneca for authorization in the EU in January 2021.

The Johnson & Johnson/Janssen company made the Ad26.Cov2.S vaccine. The vaccine contains a recombinant, replication-incompetent adenovirus type 26 that presents the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein on its surface. It was approved for emergency use by the FDA in February 2021. The World Health Organization Strategic Advisory Group of Experts recommended the use of Ad26.Cov2.S vaccine against COVID-19 in March 2021 as a single intramuscular dose.

According to the COVID-19 vaccinations tracker by the New York Times, up to June 2021, Oxford/AstraZeneca is being used in 177 countries, while Pfizer-BioNTech is being used in 104, Johnson & Johnson in 25, and Moderna in 54. Based on the data in "Our World In Data" on 7th June 2021, 20.9% of the world population has received at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine. Several studies were conducted to assess the efficacy/effectiveness and safety of the COVID-19 vaccines. Pfizer vaccine was found to be 95% efficient while Moderna vaccine trials showed an efficiency rate of 94.1% in all ages. The AstraZeneca vaccine has shown 70.4% efficacy following 2 doses.

1.2 COVID-19 reported postvaccination events

The US FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research published a protocol on Background Rates of Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESIs) for COVID-19 vaccine safety monitoring. Many side effects were listed as the outcome for the general population including acute myocardial infarction, anaphylaxis, appendicitis, Bell’s palsy, deep vein thrombosis, disseminated intravascular coagulation, encephalomyelitis, Guillain-Barre syndrome, hemorrhagic and nonhemorrhagic stroke, immune thrombocytopenia, myocarditis/pericarditis, narcolepsy, pulmonary embolism, and transverse myelitis. In addition to the above adverse events, many studies reported the occurrence of lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccination.
1.3 | Postvaccination lymphadenopathy

Lymphadenopathy can be defined as any type of inconsistency or abnormality in lymph nodes. The abnormality can lay within the firmness, size, or number of lymph nodes in a particular section of the body. Lymphadenopathies can result from a number of causes and can vary depending on the location, including bacterial, parasitic, and viral infections. Studies have shown that unilateral lymphadenopathy has been highly associated with vaccines such as the influenza vaccine, BCG vaccine, and HPV vaccine.20

Postvaccination lymphadenopathy may be falsely attributed to oncological disorders in patients who are diagnosed with cancer, in remission, or those who are at high risk for developing malignancies. Therefore, it is necessary to be familiar with the possible post-COVID-19 vaccine lymphadenopathy to avoid unnecessary stressful diagnostic procedures including imaging and invasive biopsies.21 This review compiles data about the events of lymphadenopathy reported post-COVID-19 vaccination and the recommendations to avoid any clinical and/or diagnostic complications.

2 | METHODS

A comprehensive search was conducted to target any studies about vaccines against COVID-19. The following databases were searched in April 2021 (see Appendix S1): PubMed, Medline (Ovid, 1946–April 2021), Embase (Ovid, 1974–2021), Scopus, Web of Science, Science Direct, MedRxiv, and Lens.org. All searches were limited by year to 2020 and 2021 (or current date). During the screening phase, the studies reporting any lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccination were selected. No restrictions were made about the country, age, or gender. Any duplicated articles were removed. Any articles that did not include primary data, such as reviews were excluded from the study. Studies that were not in English were also excluded. Title and abstract as well as full-text screening were conducted by two different reviewers for each study using Covidence and disagreements were resolved by consensus. Demographic and clinical data of patients reported in each study (wherever data were available) were extracted independently by two different reviewers using Covidence and disagreements were resolved by consensus. Extracted data included age, sex, comorbidities, treatment/interventions and clinical progress. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages while continuous variables were expressed as mean standard deviation or range of results. Data were extracted from each study by two different reviewers.

3 | RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the results of database search and screening. The flow diagram summarizes the details of our protocol. After removing the duplicates, a total of 16,308 studies were retrieved for screening. After removing the studies deemed irrelevant to our topic, 209 studies were selected for full-text screening with only 37 studies that met the inclusion criteria being included. A total of 172 studies were excluded as 115 studies were irrelevant to the data of interest, 34 had no primary data, 13 were duplicates, 6 were ongoing trials, 3 were not in English and 1 used animal models.

3.1 | Types of studies

Tables S1 and S2 summarize the types of the included studies.21–57 The results from our search yielded 24 case series/reports, 7 cohort studies without control (Table S1).21–51 3 cohort studies with controls, and 3 randomized control trials (RCT) (Table S2).52–57 Two of the cohort studies without control were conducted in the USA and two in Israel. The other three were conducted in Germany, South Korea, and the Czech Republic. As for the cohort studies with control, two were also conducted in the USA and one in Israel. Two of the RCTs were conducted mainly in the USA while the study conducted by Polack et al.52 was a multinational study that had 152 total sites including Argentina (1), Brazil (2), South Africa (4), Germany (6), Turkey (9), USA (130). Out of the 24 case series/reports, 11 were from the USA, 4 from the UK, 2 from Israel, 2 from Italy, 2 from Canada, and 1 from each of Ireland, Germany, and Spain.

3.2 | Demographic and clinical data

Tables S1 and S2 summarize the demographic and clinical data extracted from the included studies.21–57 Table 1 summarizes the findings of the studies without control including cohort studies, case series, and case reports. The table sums the cases and groups the studies by vaccine type and study type. Figure 2 illustrates the total number of lymphadenopathy cases reported in all the included studies. A total of 6022 cases were reported, the majority of which were part of the Moderna vaccine safety trials.54,55 Eighty-three cases were included from the case series out of which 75 were females (F) as compared to only 8 males (M). Of the 13 case reports, 6 cases of lymphadenopathy developed in females and 7 in males. In cohort studies with controls, a total of 1544 (62.6% F, 15.8% M, 21.5% NR) individuals developed lymphadenopathy after taking the COVID-19 vaccines. The data reported by McMurry et al., 2021 was not complied with these numbers as they reported person-day rather than individuals who developed lymphadenopathy. McMurry et al.57 reported an incidence ratio (cases per 1000 person-days) of 0.65 seven days after taking the first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine. 0.42 after taking the second dose. Interestingly, in the majority of studies where gender was reported, an appreciably greater incidence can be observed in females over males.

Figure 3 presents the total lymphadenopathy cases observed after each vaccine type. Lymphadenopathy was observed in 932 cases after the Pfizer vaccine. The Moderna vaccine has the most cases (3733).54,55 Only 5 cases were reported to develop lymphadenopathy after taking the Moderna vaccine in case reports and series. Furthermore, 17 cases of lymphadenopathy were seen after the AstraZeneca vaccine as reported by the included studies.
| Type of vaccine | Type of study | Number of cases (%) | Gender | Age range | Which dose | References |
|----------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Pfizer         | Case reports and case series | 58 (NA) | 10 M | 25–75+ | 30 1st | Özütėmiz et al.22, Xu & Lu23, Lu24, Smith & Yang26, Granata et al.27, Hanneman et al.28, Hiller et al.21, Mehta et al.29, Avner et al.30, Finnegan et al.32, Cellina et al.33, Dominguez et al.34, Edler et al.35, Fernández-Prada et al.27 |
| Pfizer         | Cohort study | 478 (24.5%) | 106 M | 19–95 | 65 1st | Riad et al.25, Bernstine et al.31, Eifer et al.36 |
| Moderna        | Case reports and case series | 5 (NA) | 1 M | 35–68 | 5 1st | Mehta et al.29, Fernández-Prada et al.37, Ulaner & Giuliano38, Washington et al.39, Johnson et al.40 |
| Moderna        | Cohort study | 18 (4.17%) | NR | 18–80 | 18 NR | Kadali et al.41 |
| Pfizer or Moderna | Case reports and case series | 26 (NA) | 25 F/1 M | 28–70 | 1st: 21 | Mortazavi42, Ahn et al.44 |
| Pfizer or Moderna | Cohort study | 98 (1.9%) | NR | 22–89 | 2nd: 7 | Ahamad et al.43, Geisen et al.51 |
| AstraZeneca    | Case reports and case series | 3 (NA) | 2 M/1 F | 70–76 | NR: 3 | Nawwar et al.45, Nawwar et al.46, Nawwar et al.47 |
| AstraZeneca    | Cohort study | 14 (1%) | NR | 24.71–46.97 | 1st: 14 | Kim et al.48, Mitchell et al.49, Moghimi et al.50 |
| NR (which COVID-19 vaccine) | Case reports and case series | 4 (NA) | 1 M/3 F | 47–71 | 1st: 1 | Johnson et al.40, Mitchell et al.49, Moghimi et al.50 |

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; F, female; M, male; NA, not applicable; NR, not reported.

aNumbers are not separated in the study.
the studies did not report which specific vaccine was taken for each case. Three hundred seventy-one cases of lymphadenopathy were reported in cohort studies in which participants were given either the Pfizer or Moderna vaccine. In the studies where the vaccine taken was either Moderna, Pfizer, or Janssen, lymphadenopathy developed in 965 patients. In 4 cases, no information regarding the vaccine type was reported.

### 3.3 Rate of lymphadenopathy in the cohort studies and clinical trials

Tables 1 and 2 summarize the summed percentages of individuals who developed lymphadenopathy out of the total individuals in cohort studies without control, cohort studies with control, and RTCs.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\)\(^7\) Summed data from 3 cohort studies without control showed a 24.5% incidence of lymphadenopathy in individuals taking the Pfizer vaccine (Table 1).\(^{25,31,36}\) Figure 4 separates the studies based on the type of subjects as 3 cohort studies included only oncologic patients. Two of the 3 compiled cohort studies had only subjects with malignancy and the individual rate of lymphadenopathy in such studies was 45% and 25.8% as reported by Eifer et al.\(^{36}\) and Brenstine et al.\(^{31}\) respectively. Riad et al.\(^{25}\) reported that 16.2% of the cohort with a normal population (not specifically oncologic) that received Pfizer vaccine developed lymphadenopathy (Figure 4A). Approximately 4.2% of the individuals who took the Moderna vaccine developed lymphadenopathy in one cohort study.\(^{43}\) In two cohort studies in which participants took either the Pfizer or Moderna vaccine (unspecified), 1.9% of the individuals developed lymphadenopathy.\(^{43}\) Additionally, in another cohort 1.0% of the individuals who took the AstraZeneca vaccine developed lymphadenopathy (Figure 4A).
In the cohort studies with control groups, lymphadenopathy was seen in 45.6%, 0.8%, and 2.8% of the participants who took the Pfizer vaccine, Pfizer or Moderna vaccine (unspecified), and Pfizer or Moderna or Janssen vaccine (unspecified), respectively. Important to note that the study reporting a 45.6% lymphadenopathy recruited subjects who had a known malignancy and used a full-body fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET)-computerized tomography (CT) to assess for lymphadenopathy (Figure 4B). The Pfizer RCT revealed a 0.3% development of lymphadenopathy in 18,860 participants while 23.8% of the participants developed lymphadenopathy in the Moderna RCT. Table 2 reports the findings from cohort studies with control and RCTs (Figure 4C).

The overall rate of lymphadenopathy in all the cohort studies with and without controls including the clinical trials is 13.51%.

3.4 | Lymphadenopathy following COVID-19 vaccination in patients with malignancies

Out of the 6,022 cases of lymphadenopathy that have been in this review, 693 had confirmed malignancies. The majority of the cases especially those reported by the population studies were not specified for the presence or absence of malignancies (Figure 5). Only 191 lymphadenopathy cases were reported not to have any malignancies out of which 18 underwent diagnostic tests such as CT, FDG-PET scan, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound (US), and/or fine-needle aspiration (FNA) biopsy (Table S3). Furthermore, all the 693 lymphadenopathy cases with malignancies were shown to have positive results on FDG-PET or other PET-CT tracers.
4 | DISCUSSION

Lymphadenopathy as a side effect of vaccination is neither a new phenomenon nor isolated to COVID-19 vaccines. This presentation is considered common with the human papillomavirus vaccine, as well as H1N1 vaccinations. Of those, lymphadenopathy was seen in the ipsilateral lymph nodes; specifically in axillary, supraclavicular, and infraclavicular lymph nodes.21

4.1 | How may vaccines cause lymphadenopathy?

The exact mechanism of how the COVID-19 vaccines may cause lymphadenopathy is still not clear. It is hypothesized that the increased immune response following vaccination causes a localized inflammatory response in the area surrounding the vaccination site. Immune cells in the nearby lymph nodes may proliferate as they become exposed to the vaccine antigen. This hyperplasia in response to the vaccine may cause lymphadenopathy to develop. Lymphadenopathy reactions have been reported after several other vaccines including measles, anthrax, smallpox, H1N1 and seasonal influenza, Bacille Calmette-Guerin, and human papillomavirus vaccines.53–59

Lymphadenopathy seems to be a reaction common to most vaccines rather than specifically to those of COVID-19. Hence, the mechanism in which lymphadenopathy occurs may be similar in all vaccine types.

4.2 | Lymphadenopathy following COVID-19 vaccination

Our results signify that lymphadenopathy may occur following COVID-19 vaccination. A total of 6022 individuals developed lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccination as reported by different types of studies including 24 case reports/series, 10 cohort studies, and 2 RCTs. Although some of the studies reported higher rates of lymphadenopathy in the COVID-19 vaccinated groups compared to the control groups, only Cohen et al.53 established a significant association between Pfizer vaccination and lymphadenopathy (45.6%) compared with the unvaccinated group (7.6%) (p value <0.01). Furthermore, the rate of lymphadenopathy was significantly higher after the second dose as compared to...
the first dose. However, this cohort is not representative of the entire population as only patients with malignancies who may be more susceptible were included. Baden et al.,54 Polack et al.,52 and Chu et al.,55 reported a higher incidence of lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccine in comparison to placebo. However, the association has not been statistically determined.2,54,55 Surprisingly, McMurry et al.,57 reported a significantly lower rate of lymphadenopathy in the vaccinated group as compared to the nonvaccinated control group. This contradicts the findings of increased lymphadenopathy observed after vaccination in most other studies. This might be attributed to the selection process of the participants as anyone who visited the emergency department (ED) was used as a subject and was classified as either vaccinated or unvaccinated. It was, therefore, suggested that those vaccinated may have been warned about the side effects and were less likely to present to the ED. Relatively high rates of lymphadenopathy were reported in 3 cohort studies 45.6% (Cohen et al.),53 45% (Eifer et al.),36 and 25.8% (Bernsite et al).31 This could be attributed to the nature of the cohorts which had only subjects with malignancies. The overall rate of lymphadenopathy in the included cohort studies and RTCs is 13.15%. However, this overall rate is impacted by the high lymphadenopathy rate in the cohorts with oncologic subjects. The average rate of lymphadenopathy in the 3 studies with only oncologic patients is 38.8% which is higher than the average rate in the normal cohorts (6.65%).

4.3 | Was lymphadenopathy reported following COVID-19 vaccination during the clinical trials?

It is common that some side effects do not become apparent until after the drug or vaccine is released to the public. Looking into the COVID-19 safety clinical trials, lymphadenopathy was reported as a side effect after taking the Moderna or Pfizer vaccines. The RCT reported by Chu et al.,55 showed the development of lymphadenopathy in 11% of the participants after the first dose of the Moderna vaccine and 9% after the second dose in the 18–55-year-old cohort. In the control group who had received saline instead, 4% developed lymphadenopathy after the first dose and 1% did after the second dose. Similarly, Baden et al.54 reported lymphadenopathy in 10.2% of the Moderna vaccinated group after the 1st dose and in 14.2% after the 2nd dose as compared to 4.8% after the 1st dose and 3.9% after the 2nd dose in the control group.54 Such rates are higher as compared to the rates of lymphadenopathy following Pfizer vaccination as reported by the safety trials.

In the Pfizer vaccine safety trials, only 64 vaccine recipients (0.3%) as compared to 6 placebo recipients (<0.1%) reported lymphadenopathy.52,54 While there were reports of lymphadenopathy in the clinical trials, they were few specifically in the Pfizer ones. The AstraZeneca vaccine phase 3 clinical trials did not report any cases of lymphadenopathy.10 Similarly, no cases of lymphadenopathy were reported in the Janssen safety trial.66 Interestingly, however, some cases were described in case reports and cohort studies after each of these vaccines as well.45–48,56 The unprecedented circumstances and emergent need for COVID-19 vaccines have led to fast approvals for widespread use. Although extensive and thorough clinical trials have been carried out, due to the rapid turnover, the emergence of some unexpected side effects that were not reported or underreported during the clinical trials may occur.

The Janssen and AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccines, however, did not report any case of lymphadenopathy in their phase 3 trials.10,66 It is unclear if the absence of any cases is due to none occurring or if participants were not examined for lymphadenopathy. Nevertheless, 14 cases in a cohort study and 3 case reports described lymphadenopathy occurring after the AstraZeneca vaccine.45–48
4.4 | Lymphadenopathy in patients with malignancies post-COVID-19 vaccination

Hypermetabolic lymphadenopathy describes an abnormal lymph node that is metabolizing at an increased rate, which is demonstrated using an FDG-PET-CT scan. FDG-PET-CT scan is a medical imaging tool that uses radiotracers to detect metabolically active lesions within the body. Whole-body FDG-PET-CT is a standard practice to examine cancer patients to evaluate the progress of the disease. However, FDG uptake can also be detected in inflammatory and infectious lesions which can also be caused by vaccination.26 Our included case reports and case series revealed the emergence of much post-COVID-19 vaccination lymphadenopathy especially in patients undergoing follow-up FDG-PET-CT where lymph nodes ipsilateral to the vaccine injection site show increased uptake of FDG.21,23,28 For example, Smith and Yang reported a case of benign hypermetabolic axillary lymph nodes following Pfizer/BioNTech vaccination near the injection site, most likely due to a vaccine-elicited immune response.26 Other resources refer to vaccine-related lymphadenopathy to be associated with sonographic and clinical features, such as being more common in oncological patients who may be experiencing an impact on the accuracy of their diagnostic tests.27 Out of the 6022 reported cases of lymphadenopathy in our included studies, 693 had confirmed malignancies as reported by several case reports/series or cohort studies with or without control. All subjects in the studies conducted by Cohen et al.,35 Eifer et al.,36 and Bernstine et al.,31 had known malignancies and were assessed for lymphadenopathy using FDG-PET-CT or other PET-CT tracers. The studies reported relatively high rates of FDG-PET-CT positive results within the cohorts. It was observed that cancer patients started to undergo FDG-PET-CT hypermetabolic axillary lymph nodes and a focal hypermetabolic region in the ipsilateral deltoid muscle following Pfizer vaccination especially after the second dose in the cohort study conducted by Bernstine et al.31 Similarly, Eifer et al.36 reported that a high proportion of cancer patients showed ipsilateral lymph node axillary uptake following Pfizer vaccination. Unlike the studies conducted by
Bernstine et al.\textsuperscript{31} and Eifer et al.\textsuperscript{36} which did not include control groups, Cohen et al.\textsuperscript{23} conducted a cohort study that recruited vaccinated cancer patients and compared them with a control unvaccinated group. Statistical analysis revealed that the rate of occurrence of lymph nodes with benign metabolic hyperactivity was significantly higher in the Pfizer vaccinated group as compared to the control group. Furthermore, the rate was also significantly higher after the second dose as compared to the first dose. The same study reported that it was not always possible to differentiate between the benign and malignant nodal involvement especially when the vaccine was administrated on the same side as the tumor expected nodal drainage. The study, therefore, recommended that patients with breast cancer, axillary lymphoma, and malignancy of the upper limb should not be vaccinated in the arm next to the tumor expected nodal drainage.\textsuperscript{53} Furthermore, 18 cases who developed lymphadenopathy following COVID-19 vaccination conducted different tests such as FDG-PET-CT scan, MRI, US, and/or FNA biopsy without having any malignancies.\textsuperscript{21,22,28,33,34,37,49} In a recent study, Placke et al.\textsuperscript{57} reported 8 patients (with melanoma or Merkel cell carcinoma) who were misdiagnosed with lymph nodes metastases and underwent lymph node excision following COVID-19 vaccination.\textsuperscript{68} Therefore, care must be taken before suspecting lymph node metastasis or deciding for lymphadenectomy following COVID-19 vaccination. Awareness of the incidence of lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccinations will help guide radiologists and oncologists in their management of patients, both present oncologic patients, and patients with concerns over their newly presenting lymphadenopathy.\textsuperscript{27}

4.5 | What is the outcome of the lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccination?

The studies reported the spontaneous resolution of lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccination. The reported duration until complete resolution varied among studies. Some studies reported a maximum duration of 10 days, with most resolutions occurring around the second day of symptoms.\textsuperscript{25,52,54,55} However, durations of up to 32 days with a resolution still ongoing have been reported by some case reports and case series.\textsuperscript{21,37}

4.6 | Are females more affected?

One cross-sectional survey-based study found that lymphadenopathy as a side effect of the COVID-19 vaccine had a higher frequency among females in comparison to males.\textsuperscript{25} However 88% of the subjects in this study were females, and thus the study population may not have had a wider scope on the male subjects. Although noting the prevalence of this side effect on females versus males was not the main objective for many of the included studies, one cohort study focused on investigating the female to male differences in adverse effects of the COVID-19 vaccine.\textsuperscript{56} It was reported that females were more likely to experience a wider range of adverse effects than males such as nausea, fever, and vomiting. The difference was explained by the enhanced immune reactogenicity in females as shown by reviews of vaccine-induced hormonal immunity. This enhanced reaction results in more immunity to infectious diseases but also in a higher rate of adverse effects.\textsuperscript{68} It was suggested that the interaction between the flu vaccine and estrogen may boost immunity which may apply to COVID-19 vaccines.\textsuperscript{69} However, the same study reported that lymphadenopathy was more common in males than in females.\textsuperscript{56} Therefore, further investigations are required to determine whether lymphadenopathy post-COVID-19 vaccination has a higher prevalence in either sex.

5 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Our results revealed that lymphadenopathy following COVID-19 vaccinations may be occurring more often than previously thought. However, the majority of cases have been benign with no major adverse effects occurring as a result of the lymphadenopathy. Therefore, it is important to recognize that postvaccination lymphadenopathy may not pose significant harm to the vaccinated individuals and is not a reason to withhold vaccinations. However, lymph node enlargements following COVID-19 vaccination is expected to be increasingly observed in the near future especially those that could be suspicious for malignancy during follow-up of tumor patients with imaging techniques.\textsuperscript{53} It is, therefore, especially important to consider postvaccination lymphadenopathy in patients who undergo regular tests such as FDG-PET-CT or MRI as results may be misinterpreted. Clinicians must be aware of such possible transient detection of hypermetabolic regional lymph nodes following COVID-19 vaccination.\textsuperscript{70} Several authors of the included studies recommend that vaccination information must be included in the medical history of patients who are being imaged.\textsuperscript{39,40} Patients are encouraged to always communicate their vaccination history to their oncologist, radiologist, and other medical staff treating them.\textsuperscript{67} Other recommendations specific to patients with any kind of malignancy include taking the vaccine shots on the arm contralateral from the limb with expected lymphatic drainage of the malignancy if possible.\textsuperscript{53} This may help minimize the need for repeated imaging and more invasive procedures such as biopsies due to inconclusive scans. It is encouraged that imaging, such as mammography, should be carried out before or 4–12 weeks following vaccination in line with the Society of Breast Imaging’s recommendations.\textsuperscript{71} It has been noted that the lymphadenopathy may last for over 5 weeks after taking the vaccine.\textsuperscript{28} With that being said, it is important that patients get assessed by a doctor if they develop any lymphadenopathy after taking the COVID-19 vaccine especially if worrying features are present such as prolonged course, widespread lymphadenopathy, and/or signs of infection.
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