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Abstract
This paper presents the extent to which kitchen structures influence household exposure to firewood-induced volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The sample consisted of 69 firewood users who were conveniently sampled from Senwabarwana Villages. An Integrated Environmental Health Risk Assessment framework (IEHRA) was adopted as the research methods of the current study. The VOC samples were collected from selected priority firewood species used in the study area, namely mushu (Umbrella thorn), mohwelere (red bushwillow), moretshe (Sickle bush), motswiri (Leadwood) and mokgwa (Black monkey thorn). Four VOCs, namely benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene were analysed from each of the selected plant species. Available literature shows that these VOCs are associated with the kind of common firewood used in the study area. The outcomes of this study reveal that mushu emits the highest concentration of the four selected VOCs, followed by moretshe, mohwelere, mokgwa and motswiri, respectively. The influence of kitchen structural factors such as number and positioning of windows, fireplace or stove type, roofing material and designs, among others on the concentration and indoor dispersion of VOCs was also investigated. Behavioural practices of households during fire making such as opening or closing of doors and windows during cooking, water heating and space heating were also found to influence exposure levels. Consequently, low VOCs emitting firewood species and kitchen structural designs have been confirmed as the key drivers of firewood-induced VOC exposure.
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Introduction
The combustion of firewood for cooking in poorly ventilated kitchens with open fire is of a great concern because it exposes occupants to indoor air pollutants. Firewood smoke contains a complex mixture of pollutants such as particulate matter, inorganic gases (e.g. carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, and sulphur dioxides), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), which have the potential to harm the environment and human health (Nielsen et al. 2008; Williams et al. 2012). Many studies have been conducted on the monitoring of both individual gaseous pollutant (e.g. carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides and sulphur dioxides) and particulate air pollutant (Kapwata et al. 2018; Olave et al. 2017; Mitchell et al. 2016; Parajuli et al. 2016; Joon et al. 2014). Monitoring of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in kitchens where firewood is used for cooking has received little attention in the scientific literature.

Volatile organic compounds are chemicals that contain carbon, hydrogen and oxygen and are gases at room temperature (Nielsen et al. 2008; USEPA 2018). According to USEPA (2018), volatile organic compounds “are organic chemical compounds whose composition makes it possible for them to evaporate under normal indoor atmospheric conditions and pressure these excludes carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, metallic carbides and ammonium carbonate”. Volatile organic compounds are derived from benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (BTEX) (Danish EPA 2016). BTEX are therefore the sub-group of volatile organic compounds. They are common indoor contaminants emitted indoors during the
combustion of firewood as primary air pollutants entering the atmosphere (Danish EPA 2016; Nielsen et al. 2008).

Evtyugina et al. (2013) characterised the emissions of volatile organic compounds from wood combustion in a fireplace and in a wood stove of two common species of trees and found Pyrenean oak species to emit higher emissions in the wood stove than in the fireplace, for the majority of detected compounds, and the opposite was observed for benzene and benzene-related compounds represent the most abundant compounds, and the opposite was observed for benzene and benzene-related compounds represent the most abundant compounds. Cheng et al. (2017) assessed the concentrations of eight indoor volatile organic compounds (benzene, toluene, xylenes, butyl acetate, styrene, isopropylbenzene, undecane and formaldehyde) and found exposure doses of benzene and formaldehyde to exceed the benchmarks with toluene being the most predominant among all targeted compounds. Kitchens contain different chemical compounds, and exposures to specific chemicals, their toxicity and associated health risks are highly variable. Therefore, a priority ranking of chemicals and exposures, which causes concern, is difficult and uncertain (SCHER 2007). However, this study considers that BTEX are compounds of concern emitted from firewood during cooking in the kitchens because they have caused adverse health effects as indoor pollutants or have a high potential to cause health effects (Nielsen et al. 2008). Selected VOCs are discussed in Table 1.

Batterman et al. (2014) confirm that there are numerous potential sources of BTEX. Thus, exclusively associating BTEX exposure to firewood use can be seriously misleading, as ambient BTEX can also disperse and be found indoors such as in kitchens. These dispersed BTEX may also cause certain acute or chronic health conditions under certain concentration.

Furthermore, certain home products also contain BTEX. Thus, their frequent use may increase the probability of exposure and the emergence or prevalence of BTEX-related health conditions. In addition, people living in cities and industrial areas are generally exposed to higher levels of ambient BTEX than are those in rural areas (Rumchev et al. 2004). In residential buildings, domestic heating, cooking and smoking are also major sources of BTEX (Annesi-Maesano et al. 2013). For these reasons, it is important to note that firewood users who are also vulnerable or exposed to the above major BTEX sources, may also be resident of cities or industrial areas, may be exposed to aggravated levels of BTEX. Ventilation differences between the different types of buildings were shown to be a major factor in varying concentrations of BTEX. For example, a study conducted by Crump et al. (2005) found that houses where windows were open most or all the time had significantly (probability of ≥ 95%) higher ventilation rates than other houses. The installation of smoke controls (e.g. chimneys), ventilation to dilute contaminants and filtration is believed to improve indoor air quality in households; however, most do not have these controls (Wu et al. 2017). Smith and Akbar (2003) argue that chimneys tend to shift the environmental problem from the household level to the community and global levels. Community-level pollution re-enters households, thereby recreating household-level pollution, defeating the aim of interventions aimed at improving air quality. Interventions in the form of cook stoves aimed at lowering indoor emissions have also failed (Clark et al. 2010; Huboyo 2015). Levels of indoor air pollution are, in some cases, higher than the set standards or than the international standards set by the WHO. Zidago and Wang (2016) believe dependency on firewood fuel will only improve if governments intervene with innovative plans and policies. House characteristics may greatly influence concentrations of pollutants but are often overlooked as factors in indoor air pollution (Dasgupta et al. 2009; Le et al. 2014; Riojas-Rodriguez et al. 2001).

Risk assessments have been applied in different countries for the toxic pollutants as regulatory decision-making processes to combat air pollution. In a risk assessment, the extent to which a population is or may be exposed to a certain chemical is determined, and the extent of exposure is considered in relation to the kind and degree of hazard posed by the chemical, thereby permitting an estimate of the potential health risk due to that chemical for the population involved. This study adopted environmental health risk assessment to assess the extent to which kitchen structures influence exposure of households to BTEX emitted during cooking using firewood in Senwabarwana.

**Materials and methods**

The methods adopted in the current study build on previous publications by Semenya and Machete (2018, 2019a, b). In the later publications, surveys, observations and ethnobotanical meta-analysis were used to study the priority firewood plant species used by Bapedi households in Senwabarwana Villages, namely mushu, moreshie, mohwelere, mokgwa and motswiri. The current study therefore burnt 1 kg of each priority firewood species for experimentation and collected sample of each of the four selected VOCs (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene) with the use of active sampling methods. Tedlar bags were used in a kitchen simulated laboratory room of 4.5 m² floor area, 2.4 m floor to ceiling height. The bag was connected to a pump and air was sampled at a flow rate of 5 mL/min for 1 h, as recommended by SKC (2018a, b). It was estimated that cooking takes place for an hour (USEPA 1996). VOC monitoring over a 5-day period in spring (September 2018) was considered adequate since assessment considered acute rather than chronic exposure (USEPA 1996). The VOC bags were analysed at an accredited laboratory (SKC South Africa Chemtech Laboratory, accreditation number T0361) using the NIOSH 2549 analytical method.
### Table 1: Four selected firewood induced Volatile organic compounds

| Compound     | Description                                                                 | Sources                                                                 | Exposure pathway                                                                 | Effect                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Minimal risk levels (MRLs)                                                                 |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Benzene      | A colourless, highly flammable liquid with sweet odour (ATSDR 2007). It is a known human carcinogenic affecting red and white blood cells (ATSDR 2018; Zhou et al. 2014) | Industrial processes; anthropogenic emissions from burning coal and oil, tobacco smoke, gasoline leaks and natural sources including volcanoes and forest fires (ATSDR 2007) | Inhalation: primary route of exposure for general and occupational populations Oral: minor route of exposure Dermal: minor route of exposure (ATDSR 2018) | Lower-level exposure (980–4200 μg/m³) causes drowsiness, dizziness, rapid heart rate, headaches, tremors, confusion and loss of consciousness. High-level exposure (14,000–28,000 μg/m³) for a period of 10–15 min might result in death. Long-term exposure causes leukaemia (ATSDR 2007, 2018; IARC 2004) | Inhalation: Acute duration: MRL of 0.009 ppm for ≤ 14 days’ exposure Intermediate duration: MRL of 0.006 ppm for 15–364 days’ exposure Chronic duration: MRL of 0.003 ppm for ≥ 1 year exposure Oral: No acute or intermediate-duration oral MRLs were derived for benzene Chronic duration: MRL of 0.0005 mg/kg/day for ≥ 1 year exposure (ATSDR 2018) |
| Toluene      | A colourless, flammable liquid with a distinctive smell (ATSDR 2015). It is a common indoor air pollutant (ACGH 2001) | Wood fires, tobacco smoke, produced in the process of making gasoline and other fuels from crude oil and making coke from coal (ATSDR 2015) | Inhalation: principal route of exposure for general population and those working with gasoline Oral: minor route of exposure Dermal: possible route of exposure if using consumed products containing toluene, e.g. paints, thinners, nail polish, etc. | Low to moderate levels can cause tiredness, confusion, weakness, memory loss, nausea and loss of appetite. Long-term exposure may cause hearing and colour vision loss. Prolonged inhalation may damage the brain. Main effect is on the central nervous system (Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety Database 1998) |
| Ethylbenzene | A colourless, flammable and combustible liquid with an aromatic odour (ATSDR 2010) Possibly carcinogenic to humans (IARC 2004) | Consumer products such as gasoline, paints and varnishes, inks, pesticides, carpet glues and tobacco products (ATSDR 2010) | Inhalation: predominant route of exposure for general and occupational populations. Oral: minor route of exposure. Dermal: minor route of exposure (ATSDR 2010) | Short-lived irritation observed at 200 ppm (ACGH 2001). Lacrimation and irritation of the eyes and throat observed at 1000 ppm. Throat irritation and chest constriction reported at 2000 ppm. Dizziness with vertigo, and worsening of previous symptoms observed at increased exposure of 5000 ppm (ATSDR 2007) |
| Xylene       | A colourless, flammable liquid with an aromatic odour which evaporates and burns easily (ATSDR 2007) | Forest fires; occurs naturally in petroleum and coal tar | Inhalation: primary route of exposure for general and occupational populations Oral: minor route of exposure Dermal: minor route of exposure (ATSDR 2007) | Primary effects involve the nervous system by all routes of exposure; the respiratory tract by inhalation exposure and at higher oral exposure levels, hepatic, renal and body weight effects. Nose, eye and throat irritation during exposure to xylene vapours. Skin irritation, dryness and scaling of the skin |

**Table Notes:**
- **Inhalation** refers to the route of exposure by breathing, typically through the nose or mouth.
- **Oral** refers to the route of exposure by ingestion, typically through the mouth.
- **Dermal** refers to the route of exposure through the skin.
- **Exposure pathway** refers to the route of exposure as specified for general and occupational populations.
- **Effect** describes the effects of exposure, including symptoms and potential health impacts.
- **Minimal risk levels (MRLs)** are concentrations below which there is no health risk. Categories include acute, intermediate, and chronic durations. Specific MRLs are provided for each compound.
The results of the experiment (VOCs) were descriptively and statistically analysed and presented through frequency tables and figures. Correlational statistical analysis was also used to determine the influence of kitchen structural characteristics on households’ exposure to VOCs. Data on the kitchen structural characteristics, as variables of this study, were collected through observations and survey. This data was also descriptively and correlational statistically analysed and presented qualitatively and quantitatively. Ultimately, the results of the study were presented according to the adopted integrated environmental health risk assessment framework (Semenya and Machete 2019b). The IEHRA is a three-staged framework consisting of toxicity assessment, exposure assessment and risk characterisation.

Results and discussion

The IEHRA framework has been adopted in the presentation format of the current study results as adopted from Machete (2017). Consequently, the results are presented according to the three IEHRA stages, namely toxicity assessment, exposure assessment and rich characterisation:

Toxicity assessment

The toxicity assessment revealed that BTEX are pollutants released during the burning of firewood. No other potential presence of sources of BTEX such as paints, detergents, upholstery fabrics, furniture wax, adhesives, varnishes, glues, carpets, vinyl floors, cleaning chemicals, air fresheners and cosmetics were found in the kitchens. All the respondents used firewood in open-fire three-stone stoves for cooking, and this practice has the potential to cause adverse health effects through the inhalation of smoke released from combustion. Through experimentation, toxicological assessment revealed that there are several pollutants released during combustion of firewood species. It was revealed that VOCs such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene are released as pollutants from the combustion of the firewood used as fuel in Senwabarwana.

Table 2 presents the detected concentrations of BTEX during the burning of the wood of each tree species. These are the concentrations that the community of Senwabarwana is exposed to during the indoor burning of firewood.

The lowest benzene concentration was detected in motswiri, mokgwa and mohwelere. A high concentration of toluene was emitted by the burning of mushu, followed by moretshe, while low concentrations were obtained from burning motswiri, mokgwa and mohwelere. Ethylbenzene and xylene were emitted from the burning of mushu only and were not detected in the other firewood species. Higher concentrations could be expected during prolonged continuous monitoring as well as in the winter months, when the area becomes prone to pollution accumulation due to climatic conditions.

Few jurisdictions have developed indoor air guidelines. No indoor air guidelines were found for BTEX components in South Africa, and so, relevant guidelines from anywhere in the world could be used; for the purposes of the study, the acute reference exposure level (REL) from California was adopted (OEHHA 2019). Average exposure time for acute RELs is 1 h. REL assumes that toxic effects will not occur until a threshold dose is exceeded (NRC 1994).

According to the World Health Organization (2010), there is no safe level of exposure to benzene. Even though the REL from California was used in the study, it is assumed that benzene has a negative health effect at all levels of exposure. Only mushu exceeded the acute benzene REL from California, while motswiri, moretshe, mokgwa and mohwelere did not exceed the given REL. No toluene was detected because of the burning of mokgwa and mohwelere, while the burning of motswiri, moretshe and mushu yielded concentrations lower than the recommended REL of toluene. No ethylbenzene or xylene was detected associated with the use of motswiri, mokgwa or mohwelere. A lower ethylbenzene concentration than the REL was associated with the use of moretshe, while ethylbenzene associated with the use of mushu exceeded the REL value. Xylene levels lower than the REL value were associated with the use of both mushu and moretshe.

Table 2  Detected levels of VOC concentration per selected tree species (μg/m³)

| Chemicals       | Detected concentration of BTEX per plant species (μg/m³) | Inhalation acute reference exposure level (μg/m³) (OEHHA 2019) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                 | Mushu | Moretshe | Motswiri | Mokgwa | Mohwelere | Montswiri | Mokgwa | Mokgwa | Mokgwa | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswiri | Montswi
Exposure assessment

The pollutants listed above can cause various health effects once exposure has occurred. Such health effects vary, depending on the length of time for which an individual has been exposed and the concentrations s/he was subjected to. The respondents in Senwabarwana indicated that they cook once and/or twice a day (morning and/or evening). It is assumed that cooking takes approximately 1 h (USEPA 1996). The kitchens are used mainly for cooking and body warming in winter. It was therefore necessary to assess the kitchen structures as they may influence exposure to firewood smoke during cooking. The characteristics of the kitchens are given in Table 3. Seven per cent of respondents had no kitchens and cooked in the open spaces outside their homes. Although this might reduce personal exposure to pollutants, it affects the environment. Twenty per cent of the homes were made of mud and had thatch roofs, while 73% of the kitchens were made of cement and had corrugated iron sheet roofs.

Dasgupta et al. (2009) studied the effect of building materials on indoor air pollution and found corrugated zinc to contribute the most to healthy air quality, followed by thatch, mud and brick. No chimneys were observed in any of the houses. The kitchens had doors (93%), while 7% had no windows. In some homes (17%), there were small openings in the walls, instead of windows. Some kitchens had two windows (65%) while others had only one window (17%). The national building regulation of South Africa requires the minimum ventilation of a room to be 5% of its floor area. However, none of the kitchens in the study area complied with this requirement due to poor house design. The structural design of kitchens in the study area is characterised by a limited number of windows, the size of which is usually small, thereby limiting the exchange of indoor air with outdoor air.

It was observed that respondents opened windows and doors when cooking to allow ventilation. However, pollutants cannot disperse properly due to poor kitchen structures putting residents at risk (Mukkannawar et al. 2014).

Table 3  kitchen structural characteristics (N = 69)

| Kitchen elements or factors                      | Number | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Number of households with kitchens               | 64     | 93         |
| Number of households without kitchens             | 5      | 7          |
| Types and shapes of the kitchens                  |        |            |
| Rondavel                                         | 14     | 20         |
| Square house                                      | 50     | 73         |
| Roof type (n = 64)                                |        |            |
| Corrugated iron sheet                             | 50     | 73         |
| Kitchens without roofs                            | 5      | 7          |
| Thatch roof                                       | 14     | 20         |
| Kitchen wall materials                             |        |            |
| Mud                                              | 14     | 20         |
| Cement                                           | 51     | 74         |
| Corrugated iron sheet                             | 4      | 6          |
| Number of windows per kitchen                      |        |            |
| No windows                                        | 12     | 17         |
| One window                                        | 12     | 17         |
| Two windows                                       | 45     | 65         |
| Number of kitchen doors                           |        |            |
| Kitchens without doors                            | 5      | 7          |
| One door                                         | 64     | 93         |

Reported health effects

Short-term exposure to high levels of BTEX can cause acute symptoms such as headache, eye, nose and throat irritation, dizziness, nausea and vomiting and the exacerbation of asthma symptoms (Danish EPA 2016; Pokhrel et al. 2010; Nielsen et al. 2008; Han and Naeher 2006). Long-term exposure to high levels of VOCs can increase the risk of liver damage, kidney damage, cancer and central nervous system damage (ATSDR 2007; IARC 2004).

Figure 1 presents common health effects associated with the use of firewood reported in Senwabarwana. Majority (46%) of the respondents indicated to have experienced headache when exposed to firewood smoke. Thirty-three percent (33%) of the respondents experienced eye problems which includes sore, red and teary eyes. Relying of self-reported sickness may render the results of the study unreliable; however, literature confirms that exposure to VOCs can irritate the eyes since VOCs are irritants to the eyes and respiratory tract (Pokhrel et al. 2010; IARC 2004). These results are also supported by the results of a case-control study of indoor cooking smoke exposure and cataract prevalence in Nepal and India which found that the use of solid fuel in unfuelled indoor stoves is associated with an increased risk of eye problems (Pokhrel et al. 2005). Twelve per cent of respondents self-reported asthma while 3% self-reported incidents of cancer. Only 1% of the respondents reported pneumonia while 9% reported heart problems and 4% reported incidents of stroke. These results are used to confirm the presence of VOCs in the firewood smoke as indicated in Table 2.

Link between health effects and kitchen characteristics

Figure 2 presents the relationship between health effects and kitchen structures. This is a test of statistical significance to compare the frequency of reported health effects by the absence or presence of chimneys, doors and windows, by roof and wall material. It is observed that respondents whose homes had no roof reported fewer health effects, followed
by those whose roof is made of thatch while those with corrugated roofs reported the highest health effects. All the respondents had no chimneys and therefore reported the highest health effects. Those whose kitchens are made of cement reported the highest health effects compared to those whose kitchens are made of mud walls followed by those whose kitchen walls are made of corrugated zinc sheets. Those whose kitchens had windows reported higher health effects compared to those who use kitchens without windows. This might be due to the fact those without windows mostly has no roof while those with windows are not built according to the national standard making it difficult for outdoor air to dilute the indoor air thereby increasing pollutant level inside the kitchen (Mukkannawar et al. 2014).

| Ill-health conditions | Number of respondents |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Headache              | 32                    |
| Eye problems          | 23                    |
| Asthma                | 8                     |
| Tuberculosis          | 8                     |
| Heart problems        | 6                     |
| Stroke                | 3                     |
| Cancer                | 2                     |
| Pneumonia             | 1                     |

Fig. 1 Ill health conditions reported by households ($N = 69$)

Those whose kitchens had windows reported higher health effects compared to those who use kitchens without windows. This might be due to the fact those without windows mostly has no roof while those with windows are not built according to the national standard making it difficult for outdoor air to dilute the indoor air thereby increasing pollutant level inside the kitchen (Mukkannawar et al. 2014).

| Kitchen characteristics       | Asthma | Pneumonia | Tuberculosis | Eye problems | Headache | Heart problems | Cancer | Stroke |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------|--------|--------|
| Corrugated iron sheet walls  | 1      | 2         | 19           | 20           | 4        | 1              |        |        |
| (N=4)                         |        |           |              |              |          |                |        |        |
| Cement walls (N=51)           | 6      | 6         | 19           | 20           | 4        | 1              |        |        |
| Mud walls (N=14)              | 1      | 2         | 3            | 10           | 3        | 2              |        |        |
| No Windows (N=12)             | 1      | 2         | 3            | 10           | 3        | 2              |        |        |
| Windows (N=57)                | 8      | 7         | 21           | 26           | 6        | 18             |        |        |
| Thatch roof (N=14)            | 1      | 4         | 3            | 9            | 2        | 2              |        |        |
| Corrugated zinc roof (N=50)   | 5      | 4         | 18           | 20           | 4        | 1              |        |        |
| No roof (N=5)                 | 4      | 1         | 1            | 20           | 1        | 1              |        |        |
| No door (N=5)                 | 2      | 3         | 7            | 20           | 6        | 1              |        |        |
| Door (N=64)                   | 6      | 7         | 20           | 30           | 6        | 1              |        |        |
| No chimney(N=69)              | 8      | 8         | 23           | 32           | 6        | 1              |        |        |

Fig. 2 The link between health effects and kitchen characteristics
Risk assessment

This step combines the information from the two previous steps to provide an indication of the nature and expected frequency of adverse health effects in exposed populations. The fundamental assumption of the sampling strategy consists of the fact that measured concentrations represent maximum concentrations to which all individuals could be exposed in the kitchen (USEPA 2014). If this assumption is true, then the risk of developing health effects due to the presence of the studied volatile organic compounds can be assessed as negligible. This holds for all BTEX (concentrations remain below the risk levels). Benzene poses a health risk in households where mushu and moretshe are used. There is, however, no health risk associated with the use of mokgwa, mohwelere and motswiri. Similarly, toluene poses a health risk when mushu and moretshe are used, while there is no health risk associated with the use of mokgwa, mohwelere and motswiri. Ethylbenzene poses a health risk when mushu is used as firewood. Xylene has no health risk associated with the use of all five-tree species.

The type of kitchen structures coupled with the use of firewood however seems to affect indoor air quality and householders’ health. Even though the pollutant’s level is lower than the guideline values, it should be noted that these householders are exposed to pollutants every day.

A limitation of the study is that it was conducted for a limited period; therefore, the estimation of risks over longer periods of exposure could not be assessed.

Conclusion

This paper assessed the influence of kitchen structures on emissions of selected VOCs (BTEX) by different firewood species used in Senwabarwana. The health effects of emitted BTEX were also assessed. Rudimentary stoves without chimneys are used for cooking, exposing respondents to emissions. It was found that the kitchens in the study area were made of different materials such as corrugated iron, mud and cement. Kitchen ventilation was also assessed, and it was found that most kitchens are located outside the main house and are used mainly for cooking purposes. To increase ventilation, residents opened doors and windows during the cooking process, thus reducing the risk of adverse health effects.

Mushu was found to emit the highest concentrations of BTEX. Ethylbenzene and xylene were not detected in motswiri, mohwelere and mokgwa, while benzene and toluene were detected below the detection limit. The study found the VOC concentration to be below the WHO standards for those cooking with firewood. Each of the VOCs derived from firewood combustion has certain health effects on the human body. However, lower limits were detected in this study, suggesting that the community of Senwabarwana—which relies on firewood—is at lower risk of the ill effects associated with burning firewood. The risk assessment of health effects reported in Senwabarwana leads to the conclusion that reported health complaints are not due to the presence of the measured compounds.
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