1-Methylnicotinamide is an immune regulatory metabolite in human ovarian cancer
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Immune regulatory metabolites are key features of the tumor microenvironment (TME), yet with a few exceptions, their identities remain largely unknown. Here, we profiled tumor and T cells from tumor and ascites of patients with high-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC) to uncover the metabolomes of these distinct TME compartments. Cells within the ascites and tumor had pervasive metabolite differences, with a notable enrichment in 1-methylnicotinamide (MNA) in T cells infiltrating the tumor compared with ascites. Despite the elevated levels of MNA in T cells, the expression of nicotinamide N-methyltransferase, the enzyme that catalyzes the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosylmethionine to nicotinamide, was restricted to fibroblasts and tumor cells. Functionally, MNA induces T cells to secrete the tumor-promoting cytokine tumor necrosis factor alpha. Thus, TME-derived MNA contributes to the immune modulation of T cells and represents a potential immunotherapy target to treat human cancer.

INTRODUCTION
Tumor-derived metabolites can have profound suppressive effects on antitumor immunity, with increasing evidence that they can also function as key drivers of disease progression (1). Beyond the Warburg effect, recent work has begun to characterize the metabolic states of tumor cells and their relationship to the immunological state of the tumor microenvironment (TME). Studies in murine models and human T cells have revealed that glutamine metabolism (2), oxidative metabolism (3), and glucose metabolism (4) are able to independently act on various immune cell subsets. Several metabolites in these pathways inhibit the antitumor function of T cells. Blockade of the enzyme cofactor tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) was shown to impair T cell proliferation, and augmenting BH4 in vivo enhanced CD4+ and CD8+ T cell-mediated antitumor immune responses. Furthermore, the immune-suppressive effects of kynurenine could be rescued by administration of BH4 (5). In isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutant glioblastoma, secretion of the oncometabolite (R)-2-hydroxylutarate (R-2-HG) suppresses T cell activation, proliferation, and cytolytic activity (6). More recently, methylglyoxal, a by-product of glycolysis, was shown to be produced by myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and T cell transfer of methylglyoxal can inhibit effector T cell function. Therapeutically, neutralization of methylglyoxal could overcome myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC) activity and synergistically enhance checkpoint blockade therapy in mouse models (7). Collectively, these studies highlight the critical role for TME-derived metabolites in modulating the function and activity of T cells.

T cell dysfunction has been widely reported in ovarian cancer (8). This is due in part to the metabolic features inherently associated with hypoxia and aberrant tumor vascularization (9), leading to the conversion of glucose and tryptophan into by-products such as lactate and kynurenine. Excess extracellular lactate decreases the production of interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and drives the differentiation of myeloid suppressor subsets (10, 11). Depletion of tryptophan directly inhibits T cell proliferation and suppresses T cell receptor signaling (12–14). Despite these observations, much of the work surrounding immunometabolism has been conducted on in vitro T cell cultures using optimized media or limited to in vivo syngeneic murine models, both of which do not fully reflect the heterogeneity and physiological macro- and microenvironments in human cancer.

A common feature of ovarian cancer is peritoneal dissemination and the presentation of ascites, a buildup of cellular fluid in the peritoneal cavity that is associated with advanced disease and poor prognosis (15). This unique compartment has been reported to lack oxygen, have high levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and indolamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), and be infiltrated by T regulatory and myeloid suppressor cells (15–18). How the metabolic environment of the ascites might differ from that of the tumor itself and, consequently, reprogramming of T cells in the peritoneal space is unknown. Furthermore, critical differences and heterogeneity between the metabolites that are present within the ascites and tumor environments that might hamper the infiltration of immune cells and their function to the tumor require further investigation.

To begin addressing these questions, we devised a sensitive cell isolation and liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method to interrogate the repertoire of metabolites within and between distinct cell types, including CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, as well as tumor cells across the ascites and tumor environments in the same patients. We coupled this approach with high-dimensional flow cytometry and single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to provide a highly resolved portrait of the metabolic status of these key populations. This approach uncovered profound increases in levels of 1-methylnicotinamide (MNA) in T cells in the
tumor, while in vitro assays revealed a previously unknown immuno-modulatory effect of MNA on T cell function. Collectively, this approach revealed reciprocal metabolic interactions between tumor and immune cells and provided a unique insight into immune regulatory metabolites that may yield therapeutic opportunities to manipulate T cell–based immunotherapies for ovarian cancer.

**RESULTS**

**Metabolism of T cells and tumor cells in the ascites and TMEs**

We evaluated the phenotypic and metabolic states of cells in the matched ascites and tumor environments from six patients with high-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC) (table S1) using high-dimensional flow cytometry to synchronously quantify glucose uptake [2-((N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino)-2-deoxyglucose (2-NBDG)] and mitochondrial activity [MitoTracker Deep Red (MT DR)] (7, 19, 20) alongside canonical markers to distinguish immune and tumor cell populations (table S2 and fig. S1A). This analysis revealed high levels of glucose uptake in tumor cells relative to T cells in both the ascites and tumor, but more modest differences in mitochondrial activity. Tumor cells (CD45− Epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM)+) had, on average, three to four times the glucose uptake of T cells, whereas CD45+ T cells had, on average, 1.2 times the glucose uptake of CD8+ T cells, suggesting that tumor–infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) have different metabolic requirements even within the same TME (Fig. 1A). In contrast, the mitochondrial activity in tumor cells was similar to CD45+ T cells, and both cell types had greater mitochondrial activity than CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1B). Collectively, these results reveal a metabolic hierarchy, with tumor cells more metabolically active than CD45+ T cells, and CD45+ T cells more metabolically active than CD8+ T cells. Despite these effects across cell types, there were no consistent differences in the metabolic states of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, or their relative proportions, in the ascites compared with the tumor (Fig. 1C). Conversely, within the CD45− cell fraction, there was an increase in the proportion of EpCAM+ cells in the tumor compared with the ascites (Fig. 1D). We also observed clear metabolic differences among EpCAM+ and EpCAM− cell fractions. EpCAM+ (tumor) cells had substantially greater glucose uptake and mitochondrial activity than EpCAM− cells, consistent with much higher metabolic activity in tumor cells than fibroblasts in the TME (Fig. 1, E and F).

Further analysis revealed other clear differences across highly resolved phenotypic states of T cells. Activated (Fig. 1, G to I) and effector memory (Fig. 1, J and K) T cells were much more frequent (as a proportion of CD3+ T cells) in the tumor than ascites. Similarly, resolving phenotypes by the expression of activation markers (CD25 and CD137) and exhaustion markers [Programmed cell death protein 1 (PD1)] revealed that while these populations showed some differences in metabolic features (fig. S1, B to E), no consistently significant metabolic differences were observed between naive, effector, or memory subsets (fig. S1, F to I). These results were confirmed through automated assignment of cell phenotypes using a machine learning method (21), which further revealed an abundant myeloid cell population (CD45+/CD3−/CD4+/CD45R0+) predominately in patient ascites (fig. S2A). This myeloid population displayed the highest glucose uptake and mitochondrial activity of any identified cell type (fig. S2, B to G). These results underscore strong metabolic differences across a broad range of cell types found in the ascites and tumors of patients with HGSC.

**Cellular enrichment and metabolite profiling by mass spectrometry**

A major challenge in understanding the metabolomic profiles of TIL has been the need to isolate samples of T cells of sufficient purity, quality, and quantity from tumors. Recent studies have shown that flow cytometry–based sorting and bead enrichment methods can cause alterations in cellular metabolite profiles (22–24). To overcome this, we optimized a bead enrichment approach to isolate and separate TIL from surgically resected human ovarian cancers before analysis by LC-MS/MS (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 2A). To assess the overall impact of this protocol on metabolite changes, we compared the metabolite profiles of healthy donor activated T cells following the above bead isolation steps with cells that did not undergo bead isolation but remained on ice. This quality control analysis found high correlation among these two conditions (r = 0.77), as well as high reproducibility among technical replicates for this panel of 86 metabolites (Fig. 2B). Thus, these methods enabled accurate metabolite profiling in cells undergoing cell type enrichment to provide a first high-resolution platform for the identification of specific metabolites in HGSC, thereby allowing deeper insight into cell-specific metabolic programs.

**Metabolite profiling revealed differences in MNA**

Next, we applied this enrichment method to profile 99 metabolites in CD4+, CD8+, and CD45− cell fractions from the primary ascites and tumor of six patients with HGSC (Fig. 2C, fig. S3A, and tables S3 and S4). The populations of interest ranged from 2 to 70% of live cells of the original bulk sample, with high variability in cellular proportions between patients. After bead isolations, the enriched fractions of interest (CD4+, CD8+, or CD45−) consisted, on average, of greater than 85% of the total live cells within the sample. This enrichment approach allowed us to metabolically profile cell populations from human tumor tissues that would not otherwise be possible from bulk samples. Using this protocol, we identified l-kynurenine and adenosine, two well-characterized immunosuppressive metabolites that were elevated in T cells from the tumor or in tumor cells (fig. S3, B and C). Thus, these results demonstrate the fidelity and capacity of our cell isolation and mass spectrometry technique to uncover biologically important metabolites in patient tissues.

Our profiling also revealed strong metabolic separation of cell types within and across patients (Fig. 2D and fig. S4A). In particular, patient 70 exhibited distinct metabolic profiles compared with the other patients (Fig. 2E and fig. S4B), indicating the potential for substantial metabolic heterogeneity among patients. Notably, patient 70 had a smaller total volume of ascites collected (80 ml) compared with the other patients (1.2 to 2 liters; table S1). Controlling for interpatient heterogeneity during principal components analysis (e.g., using partial redundancy analysis) revealed consistent changes among cell types, with clear clustering of cell types and/or microenvironments based on metabolite profile (Fig. 2F). Analyses of single metabolites underscored these effects and revealed marked differences among cell types and microenvironments. Notably, the most extreme difference observed was for MNA, which was enriched in CD45− cells in general, and in tumor-infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ cells (Fig. 3A). This effect was most pronounced for CD4+ cells, while MNA in CD8+ cells also appeared to be strongly affected by the environment. However, this was not significant as tumor CD8+ fractions were only evaluable for three of the six patients. In addition to MNA, other metabolites less well-characterized in TIL were found to be differentially...
Fig. 1. Tumor cells have greater glucose uptake but similar mitochondrial activity to T cells. (A and B) Representative plot (left) and tabulated data (right) for median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of glucose uptake (2-NBDG) (A) and mitochondrial activity (MitoTracker Deep Red) (B) of CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and EpCAM+CD45− tumor cells from ascites and tumor. (C) Proportion of CD4+ and CD8+ cells (of CD3+ T cells) within ascites and tumor. (D) Proportion of EpCAM+ (of CD45−) tumor cells within ascites and tumor. (E and F) Representative plot (left) and tabulated data (right) for glucose uptake (2-NBDG) (E) and mitochondrial activity (MitoTracker Deep Red) (F) of EpCAM+CD45− tumor and EpCAM−CD45− stromal cells from ascites and tumor. (G) Representative plots for CD25, CD137, and PD1 expression by flow cytometry. (H and I) CD25, CD137, and PD1 expression on CD4+ T cells (H) and CD8+ T cells (I). (J and K) Naive, central memory (Tcm), effector (Teff), and effector memory (Tem) phenotype based on CCR7 and CD45RO expression. Representative plot (left) and tabulated data (right) for CD4+ T cells (J) and CD8+ T cells (K) from ascites and tumor. P values determined by paired t test (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001). Lines indicate matched patients (n = 6). FMO, fluorescence minus one; MFI, median fluorescence intensity.
Fig. 2. Metabolite profiling of matched ascites and tumor reveals key differences between tumor cells and T cells. (A) Schematic of magnetic bead enrichment. Cells underwent three consecutive rounds of magnetic bead enrichment or remained on ice before analysis by LC-MS/MS. (B) Impact of enrichment type on metabolite abundance. Means of triplicate measurements for each enrichment type ±SE shown. Intraclass correlation (ICC) for replicate measurements shown in axis labels. (C) Schematic of patient metabolite profiling workflow. Ascites or tumor was collected from patients and cryopreserved. A fraction of each sample was analyzed by flow cytometry, while the remaining sample underwent three rounds of enrichment for CD4+, CD8+, and CD45− cells. These cell fractions were analyzed using LC-MS/MS. (D) Heatmap of normalized metabolite abundance, with dendrograms representing Ward’s clustering of Euclidean distances among samples. (E) Principal components analysis (PCA) of sample metabolite profiles, showing triplicate replicates of each sample, with samples from the same patients joined by lines. (F) PCA of sample metabolite profiles conditioned on patient (i.e., using partial redundancy); sample types are circumscribed by convex hulls. PC1, principal component 1; PC2, principal component 2.
abundant across cell types in the ascites and tumor (figs. S3 and S4). Thus, these data reveal a promising resource set of immunoregulatory metabolites for further study.

**Nicotinamide N-methyltransferase is primarily expressed in tumor cells and fibroblasts**

MNA is produced by the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosyl-l-methionine (SAM) to nicotinamide (NA) by nicotinamide N-methyltransferase (NNMT; Fig. 3B). NNMT is overexpressed in multiple human cancers and has been linked to proliferation, invasion, and metastasis (25–27). To better understand the source of MNA in T cells in the TME, we used scRNA-seq to characterize NNMT expression across cell types in the ascites and tumor of three patients with HGSC (table S5). Profiling ~6500 cells revealed that NNMT expression was confined to presumptive fibroblast and tumor cell populations in both the ascites and tumor environments (Fig. 3, C and D). Notably, there was no appreciable NNMT expression in any PTPRC-expressing (CD45) populations (Fig. 3D and fig. S5A), suggesting the MNA detected in the metabolite profiling is imported into T cells. The expression of aldehyde oxidase 1 (AOXI), which converts MNA to 1-methyl-2-pyridone-5-carboxamide (2-PYR) or 1-methyl-4-pyridone-5-carboxamide (4-PYR; Fig. 3B), was likewise restricted to COL1A1-expressing fibroblast populations (fig. S5A), collectively suggesting that T cells lack the capacity for conventional MNA metabolism. The expression pattern of these MNA-related genes was validated using a second independent dataset of cells from the ascites of patients with HGSC (fig. S5B; n = 6) (16). Furthermore, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis of healthy donor T cells treated with MNA showed little to no expression of either NNMT or AOX1 relative to control SK-OV-3 ovarian tumor cells (Fig. 3E). These unexpected results suggest that MNA may be secreted from fibroblasts or tumors to neighboring T cells in the TME.

**T cells uptake exogenous MNA**

Potential transporters of MNA remain poorly defined, although candidates include the family of organic cation transporters 1 to 3 (OCT1, OCT2, and OCT3) encoded by the soluble carrier 22 (SLC22) family (SLC22A1, SLC22A2, and SLC22A3) (28). qPCR of mRNA from healthy donor T cells revealed low levels of SLC22A1 expression and undetectable levels of SLC22A2, confirming what has previously been reported in the literature (Fig. 3F) (29). In contrast, the SK-OV-3 ovarian tumor cell line expressed high levels of both transporters (Fig. 3F).

To test the possibility that T cells have the capacity to take up exogenous MNA, healthy donor T cells were cultured in the presence of varying concentrations of MNA for 72 hours. In the absence of exogenous MNA, the cellular content of MNA was undetectable (Fig. 3G). However, activated T cells treated with exogenous MNA exhibited a dose-dependent increase in cellular MNA content up to 6 mM MNA (Fig. 3G). This result suggests that TIL could take up MNA to the same extent that T cells in vitro can. To determine the impact of MNA on T cells, healthy donor T cells were activated in vitro in the presence or absence of MNA and assessed for proliferation and cytokine production. Addition of MNA for 7 days led to a modest decrease in the number of population doublings at the highest dose, while viability was maintained across all doses (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, treatment with exogenous MNA led to an increased proportion of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells that expressed tumor necrosis factor–α (TNFα) in MNA-treated T cells cultures showed a significant increase in TNFα, a decrease in IFN-γ, and no change in IL-2 (Fig. 4, E to G). The reduction in IFN-γ suggested that MNA may function to suppress T cell antitumor activity. To model the impact of MNA on T cell–mediated cytotoxicity, folate receptor alpha targeting chimeric antigen receptor T (FRα-CAR-T) cells and green fluorescent protein (GFP)–control CAR-T (GFP-CAR-T) cells were generated from healthy donor peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). CAR-T cells were cultured in the presence of MNA for 24 hours and subsequently cocultured with folate receptor alpha–expressing human SK-OV-3 ovarian tumor cells at a 10:1 effector-to-target ratio. MNA treatment resulted in a significant reduction in FRα-CAR-T cell killing activity that was similar to adenosine-treated FRα-CAR-T cells (Fig. 4H).

**MNA increases TNFα mRNA expression through promoter occupancy**

To gain mechanistic insight into MNA-dependent regulation of TNFα expression, MNA-treated T cells were assessed for changes in TNFα mRNA (Fig. 5A). Healthy donor T cells treated with MNA showed a twofold increase in TNFα transcripts, suggesting MNA-dependent transcriptional regulation of TNFα. To investigate this possible mechanism of regulation, two transcription factors that are known to regulate TNFα, nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) and specificity protein 1 (Sp1), were assessed for binding to the proximal TNFα promoter in response to MNA (30). The TNFα promoter contains six identified NFAT-binding sites and two Sp1-binding sites, with overlap at one site [−55 base pairs (bp)] (30). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) revealed a threefold increase in Sp1 binding to the TNFα promoter when treated with MNA. Binding of NFAT also increased and approached significance (Fig. 5B). These data suggest that MNA transcriptionally regulates the expression of TNFα through Sp1 and, to a lesser extent, NFAT.

While TNFα has been reported to have context-dependent pro- and antitumor effects, it has a well-described role in promoting ovarian cancer growth and metastasis (31–33). Patients with ovarian cancer have reported to have higher concentrations of TNFα within their ascites and tumor tissue than benign tissues (34–36). Mechanistically, TNFα can modulate activation, function, and proliferation of leukocytes and change the phenotype of cancer cells (37, 38). Consistent with these findings, differential gene expression analysis revealed a significant up-regulation of TNF in T cells from tumor tissue compared with ascites (Fig. 5C). Increased TNF expression was only apparent in T cell populations with a noncytotoxic phenotype (fig. S5A). Together, these data support the notion of a dual immunosuppressive and tumor-promoting role for MNA in HGSC.
**Fig. 3.** MNA is more abundant in T cells from the tumor compared with ascites. (A) Normalized abundance of MNA in CD4+, CD8+, and CD45− cells from ascites and tumor. Boxplots show medians (lines), interquartile range (box hinges), and range of data up to 1.5× interquartile range (box whiskers). P values are determined using limma with patient as a random effect, as described in Materials and Methods (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01). (B) Schematic of MNA metabolism (60). Metabolites: S-adenosyl-l-methionine; SAH, S-adenosyl-l-homocysteine; NA, nicotinamide; MNA, 1-methylnicotinamide; 2-PY, 1-methyl-2-pyridone-5-carboxamide; 4-PY, 1-methyl-4-pyridone-5-carboxamide; NR, nicotinamide riboside; NMN, nicotinamide mononucleotide. Enzymes (green): NNMT, nicotinamide N-methyl transferase; SIRT, sirtuins; NAMPT, nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase; AOX1, aldehyde oxidase 1; NRK, nicotinamide riboside kinase; NMNAT, nicotinamide mononucleotide adenyllytransferase; Pnp1, purine nucleoside phosphorylase. (C) t-SNE of scRNA-seq of ascites (gray) and tumor (red; *n* = 3 patients). (D) NNMT expression in different cellular populations identified using scRNA-seq. (E) Expression of NNMT and AOX1 in SK-OV-3, human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T, T cells, and T cells treated with MNA. Fold expression is shown relative to SK-OV-3. Means of expression (*n* = 6 healthy donors) with SEM shown. A Ct value greater than 35 is considered undetectable (U.D.). (F) Expression of SLC22A1 and SLC22A2 in SK-OV-3, HEK293T, T cells, and T cells treated with 8 mM MNA. Fold expression is shown relative to SK-OV-3. Means of expression (*n* = 6 healthy donors) with SEM shown. A Ct value greater than 35 is considered undetectable (U.D.). (G) Cellular MNA content in activated healthy donor T cells after 72-hour incubation with MNA. Means of expression (*n* = 4 healthy donors) with SEM shown.
Fig. 4. Exogenous MNA enhances TNFα expression and inhibits IFN-γ production in T cells. (A) Total live cell count and population doubling (PD) directly from culture on day 7. Bar graphs represent means ± SEM of six healthy donors. Data representative of at least n = 3 independent experiments. (B to D) T cells were activated using CD3/CD28 with IL-2 in respective concentrations of MNA for 7 days. Cells were stimulated with PMA/ionomycin with GolgiStop for 4 hours before analysis. TNFα (B) expression in T cells. Example plot of TNFα expression in live cells (left) and tabulated data (right). IFN-γ (C) and IL-2 (D) expression in T cells. Cytokine expression was measured by flow cytometry. Bar graphs represent means (n = 6 healthy donors) ± SEM. P value determined using a one-way ANOVA with repeated measures (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01). Data representative of at least n = 3 independent experiments. (E to G) T cells were activated using CD3/CD28 with IL-2 in respective concentrations of MNA for 7 days. Media was collected before (Ctrl) and after PMA/ionomycin stimulation for 4 hours. Concentration of TNFα (E), IFN-γ (F), and IL-2 (G) was measured by ELISA. Bar graphs represent means (n = 5 healthy donors) ± SEM. P value determined using a one-way ANOVA with repeated measures (*P < 0.05). Dotted line indicates the limit of detection for the assay. (H) Cytolytic assay. FRα-CAR-T or GFP-CAR-T cells were conditioned with adenosine (250 μM) or MNA (10 mM) for 24 hours, or left untreated (Ctrl). Percentage of killing was measured against SK-OV-3 cells. P value determined by Welch’s t test (*P < 0.5 and **P < 0.01).
DISCUSSION

Flow cytometry–based fluorescent markers have been the primary method used to study the metabolism of TIL. These studies have shown that murine and human TILs have a higher propensity for glucose uptake (4, 39) and a progressive loss of mitochondria function (19, 40) when compared with peripheral blood lymphocytes or T cells from secondary lymphoid organs. Although we observed similar results in this study, a key advance was the comparison of the metabolism of tumor cells and TIL from the same resected tumor tissue. Consistent with some of these previous reports, tumor (CD45 EpCAM+) cells from both the ascites and tumor had greater glucose uptake than both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells, supporting the concept that high glucose uptake by tumor cells can compete with T cells in the TME. However, tumor cells had greater mitochondrial activity than CD8+ T cells but similar mitochondrial activity to CD4+ T cells. These results reinforce the emerging theme that oxidative metabolism is important to tumor cells (41, 42). They also imply that CD8+ T cells may be more susceptible to dysfunctions in oxidative capacity than CD4+ T cells or that CD4+ T cells may use carbon sources other than glucose to maintain mitochondrial activity (43, 44).

Fig. 5. MNA increases binding of Sp1 to the promoter of TNFα, increasing TNFα transcription and cytokine production. (A) Fold change in expression of TNFα in T cells treated with MNA over T cells cultured without MNA. Means of expression (n = 5 healthy donors) with SEM shown. Data representative of at least n = 3 independent experiments. (B) NFAT and Sp1 binding to the TNFα promoter of T cells treated with or without 8 mM MNA before (Ctrl) and after 4-hour stimulation by PMA/ionomycin. Immunoglobulin G (IgG) and H3 were used as negative and positive controls, respectively, for the immunoprecipitation. Quantification of ChIP shows the fold increase in Sp1 and NFAT binding to the TNFα promoter in MNA-treated cells compared with control. Data representative of at least n = 3 independent experiments. P value determined by multiple t tests (**P < 0.01). (C) T cells (noncytotoxic) showed increased expression of TNF in the tumor relative to the ascites of HGSC. Colors represent different patients. Displayed cells have been randomly subsampled to 300 and jittered to limit overplotting (**P adj = 0.0076). (D) Proposed model of MNA in ovarian cancer. MNA is produced in tumor cells and fibroblasts in the TME and taken up by the T cells. MNA increases binding of Sp1 to the promoter of TNFα, leading to increased transcription of TNFα and cytokine production of TNFα. MNA also leads to a decrease in IFN-γ. The resulting inhibition of T cell function leads to decreased killing capacity and increased tumor growth.
exogenous MNA.

port the notion that high levels of MNA in T cells may be indica-
tion of MNA, was also restricted to CAF populations, suggesting
the expression of AOX1, the enzyme responsible for the degrada-
level of MNA transporter expression and undetectable levels of key
proteins that are involved in MNA metabolism. Both NNMT and
AOX1 were undetectable by scRNA-seq analysis of two indepen-
dent cohorts as well as by targeted qPCR. These results indicate
that MNA is not synthesized by T cells but rather taken up from the
surrounding TME. In vitro assays showed that T cells readily accumu-
late exogenous MNA.

Our in vitro studies demonstrate that exogenous MNA induces
expression of TNFα and increased binding of Sp1 to the TNFα pro-
moter in T cells. Although TNFα has both pro- and antitumor func-
tions, in ovarian cancer, TNFα is known to promote ovarian cancer
growth (31–33). Neutralization of TNFα in ovarian tumor cell culture
or abolishing TNFα signaling in murine models ameliorates TNFα-
mediated production of inflammatory cytokines and suppresses tu-
mor growth (32, 35). Thus, in this context, TME-derived MNA may
be acting as a proinflammatory metabolite through an autocrine
loop via a TNFα-dependent mechanism to drive ovarian cancer
 tumorigenesis and dissemination (31). In accordance with this pos-
sibility, TNFα blockade is under investigation as a potential thera-
peutic for ovarian cancer (37, 48, 49). In addition, MNA impaired
the cytotoxicity of CAR-T cells against ovarian tumor cells, provid-
ning further evidence of an MNA-mediated immune-suppressive
role. Overall, these results imply a model whereby tumor and CAF
cells secrete MNA into the extracellular TME. This may have dual
protumor effects through (i) TNF-induced stimulation of ovarian
cancer growth and (ii) MNA-induced suppression of T cell cytotoxic
activity (Fig. 5D).

In conclusion, by applying a combined approach of rapid cellu-
lar enrichment, single-cell sequencing, and metabolic profiling, this
study revealed large immune metabolome differences between cells
within the tumor and ascites of patients with HGSC. This integrated
analysis demonstrated differences in glucose uptake and mitochon-
drial activity between T cells and identified MNA as a non-cell
autonomous immune regulatory metabolite. These data have impli-
cations for how the TME influences T cell metabolism in human
 cancer. While direct competition for nutrients between T cells and
cancer cells has been reported, metabolites may also serve as indi-
rect modulators to promote tumor progression and likely to sup-
press endogenous immune responses. Further delineation of the
functional effects of these regulatory metabolites may open alterna-
tive strategies to enhance antitumor immune responses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient sample collection and processing
Patient specimens and clinical data were obtained through the BC
Cancer Tumour Tissue Repository, certified by the Canadian Tis-
sue Repository Network. All specimens and clinical data were ob-
tained with either informed written consent or a formal waiver of
consent under protocols approved by the Research Ethics Board of
BC Cancer and the University of British Columbia (H07-00463).
Samples are stored in a certified BioBank (BRC-00290). Detailed
patient characteristics are shown in tables S1 and S5. For cryopres-
ervation, patient tumor samples were mechanically disaggregated
using a scalpel and pushed through a 100-μm filter to obtain a single-
cell suspension. Patient ascites were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for
10 min at 4°C to pellet cells and remove supernatant. Cells obtained
from tumor and ascites were cryopreserved in 50% heat-inactivated
human AB serum (Sigma-Aldrich), 40% RPMI–1640 (Thermo Fisher
Scientific), and 10% dimethyl sulfoxide. These preserved single-cell
susensions were thawed for metabolomic and metabolite assays
described below.

Cell culture reagents
Complete media consisted of a 0.22-μm filtered 50:50 supplemented
RPMI 1640:AimV. RPMI 1640 + 2.05 mM l-glutamine (Thermo
Flow cytometry for metabolic profiling

Cells were stained with 10 nM MT DR and 100 µM 2-NBDG for 30 min in complete media at 37°C. Next, cells were stained with viability dye eF506 for 15 min at 4°C. Cells were resuspended in Fc block (eBioscience) and Brilliant Stain Buffer (BD Biosciences), diluted in flow cytometry staining buffer (according to the manufacturer’s instructions), and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were stained with a panel of antibodies (table S2) in flow cytometry staining buffer for 20 min at 4°C. Cells were resuspended in flow cytometry staining buffer before analysis (Cytek Aurora; 3L-16V-14B-8R configuration). Cytometry data were analyzed using SpectroFlo and FlowJo V10, and figures were created using GraphPad Prism 8. Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of 2-NBDG and MT DR were log normalized before statistical analysis using paired t test to account for matched patients. Any population with less than 40 events was removed from the analysis; an MFI value of 1 was inputted for any negative values before statistical analysis and data visualization.

Unbiased discovery of cell populations in flow cytometry data

To supplement our manual gating strategy for the above flow panel, we used full annotation using shape-constrained trees (FAUST) (21) to automatically assign cells to populations after dead cell exclusion in FlowJo. We manually curated outputs to merge populations that appeared to be misassigned (merged PD1+ with PD1− tumor cells) and retained populations comprising, on average, more than 2% of cells in each sample, for a total of 11 populations.

Cell activation and enrichment for metabolic profiling optimization

PBMCs were isolated from a leukapheresis product (STEMCELL Technologies) using Ficoll gradient density centrifugation. CD8+ T cells were isolated from the PBMCs using CD8 MicroBeads (Miltenyi) and expanded using TransAct (Miltenyi) for 2 weeks in complete media according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were restimulated with TransAct. On day 7, cells were enriched using Human CD45 MicroBeads (Miltenyi) in three rounds of sequential enrichment according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were aliquoted for analysis by flow cytometry (described above), and 1 million cells were aliquoted in triplicate for analysis by LC-MS/MS. Samples were processed for LC-MS/MS as described below. We imputed missing metabolite values with an ion count of 1000. Each sample was sum normalized by total ion count (TIC), log transformed, and auto-normalized in MetaboAnalystR before analysis.

Cell type enrichment of patient samples

Single-cell suspensions were thawed for each patient and filtered through a 40-µm filter into complete media (described above). Samples were enriched for CD8+, CD4+, and CD45− cells (on ice) using three sequential rounds of positive selection by magnetic bead separation using MicroBeads (Miltenyi) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, cells were resuspended in cell enrichment buffer (described above) and counted. Cells were incubated at 4°C for 15 min with Human CD8 MicroBeads, Human CD4 MicroBeads, or Human CD45 MicroBeads (Miltenyi) and then washed with cell enrichment buffer. Samples were passed through the LS column (Miltenyi), and both the positive and negative fractions were collected. To reduce the duration and maximize the cell recovery steps, CD8+ fraction was subsequently used for a second round CD4+ enrichment, and the CD4− fraction was used for the subsequent CD4− enrichment. Solutions were kept on ice throughout the duration of the separation.

Metabolite extraction for mass spectrometry

To prepare samples for metabolite profiling, cells were washed once with ice-cold saline solution and 1 ml of 80% methanol added to each sample before vortexing and snap freezing in liquid nitrogen. Samples were subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The metabolite-containing supernatant was evaporated until dry. Metabolites were reconstituted in 50 µl of 0.03% formic acid, vortex-mixed, and centrifuged to remove debris.

LC-MS/MS metabolite profiling for patient biospecimens

Metabolite extraction was carried out as described above. The supernatant was transferred to a high-performance liquid chromatography vial for the metabolomics study. Each sample was processed with similar numbers of cells using a randomized processing scheme to prevent batch effects. We performed qualitative assessment of global metabolites as previously published on the AB SCIEX QTRAP 5500 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (50). Chromatogram review and peak area integration were performed using MultiQuant software version 2.1 (Applied Biosystems SCIEX).

Characterizing metabolic differences across cell types and microenvironments

Missing metabolite values were imputed with an ion count of 1000 and normalized peak area calculated for each detected metabolite using the TIC from each sample to correct variations introduced from sample handling through instrument analysis. TIC normalization was followed by log transformation and autoNorm row-scaling using MetaboAnalystR (51) (default parameters). We conducted exploratory analysis of metabolome differences across sample types using PCA with the vegan R package and conditioned the analysis on patient using partial redundancy analysis. Heatmap dendograms were constructed using Ward’s method to cluster Euclidean distances among samples. We identified differentially abundant metabolites across cell types and microenvironments using limma (52) on the normalized metabolite abundances. To simplify interpretation, we specified the model using the group means parameterization, treating cell types within microenvironments as each group (n = 6 groups); for significance testing, we took the average of triplicate measurements for each metabolite to avoid pseudoreplication and included patient as a block in the limma design. To examine metabolites
that differed across patients, we refit models in limma including patient as a fixed effect. We reported significance at $P_{\text{adj}} < 0.05$ (Benjamini-Hochberg correction) for prespecified contrasts among cell types and microenvironments.

### scRNA-seq

Single-cell transcriptome sequencing was performed on total viably frozen ascites and tumor samples using the 10x 5' Gene Expression protocol, following viability enrichment with the Miltenyi Dead Cell Removal Kit (>80% viability). Five cases with matched tumor and ascites available were profiled, although low viability from one tumor sample prevented its inclusion. To enable multiplexing of patients, we combined samples from each patient in lanes of the 10x chromium controller, with separate runs for ascites and tumor fractions. Following sequencing [Illumina HiSeq 4000 28 × 98 bp paired end (PE), Genome Quebec; mean of 73,488 and 41,378 reads per cell for tumor and ascites, respectively], we assigned donor identities using CellsNBP and Vieiro (53) (based on the common human SNP VCF provided by CellsNBP for GRCh38). We excluded unassigned cells and those identified as doublets and matched donors between ascites and tumor samples based on the nearest identity by state (IBS) of inferred patient genotypes using SNPRelate (54). On the basis of this assignment, we retained three cases with abundant cellular representation in both tumor and ascites fractions for downstream analysis. Following quality-filtering steps in the scater (55) and scan (56) BioConductor packages, this yielded 6975 cells (2792 and 4183 from tumor and ascites, respectively) for analysis. We clustered cells by expression using igraph’s (57) Louvain clustering and 4183 from tumor and ascites, respectively) for analysis. We clustered cells by expression using igraph’s (57) Louvain clustering implementation of the shared nearest neighbor network (SNN) based on Jaccard distance. Clusters were manually annotated into presumptive cell types based on marker gene expression and visualized with t-SNE. The cytotoxic T cells are defined by the expression of CDA8 and GZMA, excluding a subcluster with low ribosomal protein expression. We accessed the published data of Izar et al. (16), including their t-SNE embedding, to rule overlap in expression between immune cell markers and NNMT expression.

### T cell functional assay

PBMCs were isolated from a leukapheresis product (STEMCELL Technologies) by Ficoll gradient density centrifugation. CD3+ cells were isolated from the PBMCs using CD3 Microbeads (Miltenyi). The CD3+ cells were activated with plate-bound CD3 (5 μg/ml), soluble CD28 (3 μg/ml), and IL-2 (300 U/ml; Proleukin) in the presence or absence of MNA. On the final day of expansion, viability (Fixable Viability Dye eFluor450, eBioscience) and proliferation (123count eBeats, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were assessed by flow cytometry. Effector function was assessed by stimulating cells for 4 hours with PMMA (20 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 μg/ml) with GolgiStop and monitored for CD8-PerCP (RPA-T8, BioLegend), CD4-AP700 (RPA-T4, BioLegend), and TNFα-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (MAB11, BD). Cells for qPCR and ChIP were stimulated for 4 hours with PMMA (20 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 μg/ml). Supernatant for ELISA was collected before and after 4 hours of stimulation with PMMA (20 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 μg/ml).

### Quantitative polymerase chain reaction

RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were homogenized using the QIAshredder (QIAGEN). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using the High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to quantify gene expression (following the manufacturer’s protocol) with the following probes: Hs00196287_m1 (NNMT), Hs0154079_m1 (AOX1), Hs00427552_m1 (SLC22A1), Hs02786624_g1 [glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)], and Hs01010276_m1 (SLC22A2). Samples were run on the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) in the MicroAmp Fast Optical 96-Well Reaction Plate (Applied Biosystems) with MicroAmp Optical Adhesive Film (Applied Biosystems). Any Ct value over 35 is considered above the detection threshold and identified as undetectable.

### Chromatin immunoprecipitation

ChIP was performed as described previously (58). Briefly, cells were treated with formaldehyde (1.42% final concentration) and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. A supplemental swelling buffer (25 mM Hepes, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, and 0.1% NP-40) was used for 10 min on ice before resuspension in immunoprecipitation buffer as described (58). Samples were then sonicated with the following cycle: 10 cycles of 20 1-s pulses with 40-s rest periods. ChIP grade immunoglobulin G (Cell Signaling Technology; 1 μl), histone H3 (Cell Signaling Technology; 3 μl), NFAT (Invitrogen; 3 μl), and SP1 (Cell Signaling Technology; 3 μl) antibodies were incubated with samples for 4°C overnight while rocking. Protein A beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were incubated with the samples for 1 hour at 4°C with gentle rocking followed by DNA enrichment with chexel beads (Bio-Rad) and protein digestion with proteinase K (Thermo Fisher). TNFα promoter was detected by PCR: forward, GGG TAT CCT TGA TGC TTG TGT; reverse, GTG CCA ACA ACT GCC TTT ATA TG (207-bp product). Images were produced by Image Lab (Bio-Rad), and quantification was performed with ImageJ software.

### Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Cell culture supernatants were collected as described above. Assays were carried out according to the manufacturer’s protocol for the Human TNFα ELISA Kit (Invitrogen), the Human IL-2 ELISA Kit (Invitrogen), and the Human IFN-γ ELISA Kit (Abcam). Supernatants were diluted 1:100 for detection of TNFα and IL-2, and 1:3 for IFN-γ according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using the EnVision 2104 Multilabel Reader (PerkinElmer).

### Cellular MNA content

PBMCs were isolated from a leukapheresis product (STEMCELL Technologies) by Ficoll gradient density centrifugation. CD3+ cells were isolated from the PBMCs using CD3 Microbeads (Miltenyi). The CD3+ cells were activated with plate-bound CD3 (5 μg/ml), soluble CD28 (3 μg/ml), and IL-2 (300 U/ml; Proleukin) in the presence or absence of MNA for 3 days. After 3 days, cells were collected and washed with 0.9% saline, and the pellet was snap frozen. Cell counts were carried out using 123count eBeats by flow cytometry (Cytek Aurora; 3L-16V-14B-8R configuration).

Metabolite extraction was performed as described above. Dried extracts were reconstituted at a concentration of 4000 cell equivalents/μl. Samples were analyzed via reversed-phase chromatography (1290 Infinity II, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) with a CORTecs T3 column (2.1 × 150 mm, 1.6-μm particle size,
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a Cytek Aurora (gated on FSC (forward scatter)/SSC (side scatter), supplemented with 3% heat-inactivated human serum, 1% PenStrep, and CD8 microbeads (Miltenyi). T cells were stimulated with TransAct et al., Sci. Adv. 7, 714 (2021). CAR-T cells were cultured in Immunocult (STEMCELL Technologies) by Ficoll gradient density centrifugation; supplemented with 1% PenStrep and 10% FBS and SK-OV-3 cells lysed with digitonin (0.5 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Following 24 hours of coculture, supernatants were collected, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) was measured according to the manufacturer’s instructions (LDH Glo Cytotoxicity Kit, Promega). LDH supernatant was diluted 1:50 in LDH buffer. Percent killing was measured using the following formula: % killing = corrected killing/maximum killing × 100%, where corrected killing = coculture − T cells alone, and maximum killing = positive control − negative control.

Quantification and statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was carried out as described in the text or Materials and Methods using GraphPad Prism 8, Microsoft Excel, or R v3.6.0. Where multiple samples were taken from the same patient (e.g., ascites and tumor), we used paired t tests or included patient as a random effect in linear or generalized models, as appropriate. For metabolomic analysis, significance testing was done on means of triplicate measurements.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/4/eabe1174/DC1

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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