Synthesis and hydrogenation application of Pt–Pd bimetallic nanocatalysts stabilized by macrocycle-modified dendrimer
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Different generations of poly(propylene imine) (Gₙ-PPI) terminated with N-containing 15-membered triolefinic macrocycle (GₙM) (ₙ = 2, 3, 4, 5) were prepared. The bimetallic nanoparticle catalysts GₙM-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) (ₓ = 0, 3, 5, 7, 10) were prepared by the synchronous ligand-exchange reaction between GₙM and the complexes of Pt(PPh₃)₄ and Pd(PPh₃)₄. The structure and catalytic properties of GₙM-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) were characterized via Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, energy-dispersive spectroscopy and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. The novel bimetallic Pd–Pt nanoparticle catalysts stabilized by dendrimers (DSNs) present higher catalytic activities for the hydrogenation of dimeric acid (DA) than that of nitrile butadiene rubber (NBR). It can be concluded that bimetallic Pd–Pt DSNs possess alloying and synergistic electronic effects on account of the hydrogenation degree (HD) of DA and NBR. Furthermore, the HD of DA and NBR shows a remarkable decrease with the incremental generations (ₙ) of GₙM-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) (ₙ = 2, 3, 4, 5).
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1. Introduction

For the past few years, bimetallic nanoparticles have attracted widespread attention among the academic community owing to their applications in fine chemicals, nanomedicine and petrochemical technology [1–4]. As an oil chemical product with low toxicity, extensive sources and renewable materials, dimeric acid (DA) is widely applied to the synthesis of novel polymeric materials. Hydrogenated dimeric acid (HDA) is produced by unsaturated DA through catalytic hydrogenation reaction. HDA has better thermostability and machinability than unsaturated DA, which is widely used in the synthesis of polyamides, polyesters, mineral oil additives, surface active agents and waterproofing agents [5–7].

Compared with nitrile butadiene rubber (NBR), hydrogenated nitrile butadiene rubber (HNBR) possesses physicochemical properties of thermostability, inoxidizability and high oil durability, due to its lower ratio of unsaturated carbon–carbon bonds than NBR [8]. Nowadays, the solution hydrogenation of NBR has been the main technical route for preparing HNBR hydrogenated by homogeneous or heterogeneous catalysts. To contrast the difference between the two types of catalysts, the former possesses higher catalytic activity and leads to higher degree of hydrogenation, which attracts extensive attention for the catalytic hydrogenation of NBR. However, their high cost as well as difficult catalyst removal hinders their application in HNBR production. Accordingly, extensive research and development work has been conducted on novel hydrogenation catalysts for NBR, aimed at obtaining high selectivity, efficient catalytic activity, cost-effectiveness and ease of removal [9].

In comparison to monometallic nanoparticles, bimetallic nanoparticles have unique properties, such as synergistic electric effect, high selectivity, efficient catalytic activity and cost-effectiveness [10–13]. Among these bimetallic nanoparticles, supported palladium–metal (Pd-M) bimetallic nanoparticle catalysts have attracted considerable attention because of their remarkable activity and high selectivity [14–17]. It is worth noting that the catalytic activity of Pd-M nanoparticle catalysts could be further enhanced by developing novel preparation strategies, selecting suitable support materials and introducing a second or even third ingredient to form bimetallic or multimetallic nanoparticles [15,18]. The methods of bimetallic nanoparticles synthesized in the template of dendrimer (DSNs) have been extensively studied towards their applications in catalytic hydrogenation. For example, Chung & Rhee [12] prepared Pd–Rh bimetallic nanoparticles in the presence of poly(amidoamine) dendrimers with surface hydroxyl groups for the selective partial hydrogenation of 1,3-cyclooctadiene.

A dendrimer has a controlled molecular weight, well-defined structure, high symmetry of geometric structure, nanoscale of molecular size and surface modification [13]. Therefore, it can act as an ideal template to control the morphology and size of dendrimer-encapsulated metal nanoparticle catalysts. Moreover, the DSNs can be prepared when transferring the catalytic active centres to the surface of the end-functionalized dendrimer [19].

The 15-membered triolefinic macrocycle (MAC), possessing excellent oxidation-resistant property and insolubility of alcohols, is a suitable electron donor for transition metals and easily removed from the reaction system [20]. Therefore, bimetallic DSNs with high stability, easy-removal and favourable catalytic activity and selectivity can be obtained [21], which will be a promising research field for the catalytic hydrogenation of unsaturated compounds.

In this paper, G_n-M-(Pt3/Pd7) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5) DSN catalysts were prepared by the synchronous ligand-exchange reaction between precursors (Pt(PPh3)4, Pd(PPh3)4) and MAC-terminated G_n-PPI (G_n-M) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5) as the template. The hydrogenation of unsaturated compounds was carried out to evaluate the catalytic ability and selectivity of bimetallic DSN catalysts. It can be concluded that bimetallic Pd–Pt DSNs possess alloying and synergistic electronic effects. Furthermore, the HD of DA and NBR shows a remarkable reduction with the incremental generations (n) of G_n-M-(Pt3/Pd7).

2. Experimental set-up

2.1. Materials

Pt(PPh3)4 (99 wt%), Pd(PPh3)4 (99 wt%) and triphenylphosphine (99 wt%) were obtained from the Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co. Ltd. Poly(propylene imine) dendrimers (G_n-PPI) with a diaminobutane core were purchased from SyMO-Chem BV (Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands). Nitrogen-containing MAC was synthesized in our laboratory as described in
Scheme 1. The synthesis route of G₄M. MAC, G₄n-PPI, and G₄M are simplified as 1-a, 1-b and 1-c, respectively.
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the literature [13]. DA (98 wt%, Mₜ = 300.2) was purchased from Fujian Liancheng Baixin Science and Technology Co. Ltd. NBR (N31, ACN = 33.5 wt%, Mₜ = 350 000) was purchased from ZEON Corporation in Japan. All other reagents and solvents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.
Scheme 2. The synthesis route of GₙM-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5).

2.2. Synthesis of MAC-terminated Gₙ-PPI (GₙM)

G₂-PPI (0.1083 g, 0.15 mmol)/MAC (1.200 g, 1.2 mmol), G₃-PPI (0.1263 g, 0.075 mmol)/MAC (1.200 g, 1.2 mmol), G₄-PPI (0.1316 g, 0.0375 mmol)/MAC (1.200 g, 1.2 mmol) and G₅-PPI (0.1346 g, 0.01875 mmol)/MAC (1.200 g, 1.2 mmol) were added to K₂CO₃ (0.4800 g, 3.5 mmol) and KI (1.200 g, 0.72 mmol) in KCN (40 ml). The mixture was stirred for 48 h at 82°C under the protection of nitrogen. The residual salts were eliminated by filtration and the filtered solution was evaporated. The residue was washed three times with ethyl acetate–pentane (6 : 1 v/v) and dried to afford GₙM as white solid. The synthesis route is shown in scheme 1.

2.3. Preparation of dendrimer-stabilized GₙM-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) bimetallic nanoparticles

Dendrimer-stabilized bimetallic nanoparticles were prepared via a simultaneous co-complexation method of complexes of Pt(PPh₃)₄ and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (Pt : Pd = 10 : 0, 7 : 3, 5 : 5, 3 : 7, 0 : 10 mol), and quantitative GₙM (total complexes: GₙM = 2n : 1 mol) which were dissolved in dimethylformamide (25 ml), and the mixture was refluxed with stirring for 7 days at 140°C under nitrogen (scheme 2). Furthermore, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was separated with n-pentane–tetrahydrofuran (10 : 1, v/v) to afford the brown GₙM-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) bimetallic nanoparticles.

2.4. Hydrogenation of the dimeric acid and nitrile-butadiene rubber

The hydrogenation of DA was carried out in the presence of bimetallic catalysts in xylene at 180°C under hydrogen (scheme 3). Xylene (30 ml), 1.8 g DA and a certain amount of G₄M-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) (catalyst/DA = 0.45 wt%) were added to a 50 ml high-pressure reactor. The hydrogen gas was introduced into the reactor and maintained at 2.0 MPa after the reactor was degassed using hydrogen for six times at room temperature. The reaction system was maintained for 8 h at 180°C with an agitating speed of 600 r.p.m. The system was cooled down. The mixture was evaporated to obtain viscous liquid; then, GₙM-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) was precipitated by adding n-pentane (60 ml). Finally, the precipitate was separated and the filtrate was dried to obtain HDA.

The hydrogenation of NBR was carried out in the presence of bimetallic catalysts in xylene at 140°C under hydrogen (scheme 4). Xylene (30 ml), 1.5 g HNBR and a certain amount of G₅M-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) (catalyst/DA = 0.45 wt%) were added to a 50 ml high-pressure reactor. The hydrogen gas was introduced into the reactor and maintained at 4.0 MPa after the mixture was degassed using hydrogen for six times at room temperature. The reaction system was maintained for 8 h at 140°C with an agitating speed of 600 r.p.m. After the completion of the reaction, the system was cooled down. Then HNBR was obtained by unloading the crude product, adding methanol to flocculate the rubber and drying flocculate for 6 h at 90°C.

2.5. Characterization

MAC, Gₙ-PPI, GₙM and GₙM-(Ptₓ/Pd₁₀₋ₓ) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5) were characterized by ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H NMR, Bruker Avance III HD, 600 MHz, CDCl₃) and Fourier transform
infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Bruker Vertex 70). The degree of hydrogenation of HDA and NBR was recorded via FTIR. X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were measured using an X-ray diffractometer (D8 Advance, anode material: Cu, step size: 0.013°). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out using a JEM-2100F (Jeol, Japan) instrument equipped with an energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) detector. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted using a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD of British Kratos company. The inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) data were obtained by a PE Optima8300.

The hydrogenation degree (HD) of DA was calculated by iodine number ($w$) referring to ASTM D 4607-94 [22]:

$$\text{HD} = \left(1 - \frac{w}{w^0}\right) \times 100\%,$$

where $w$ is the iodine number of DA and $w^0$ is the iodine number of HDA.

The HD of NBR was obtained from [23]:

$$\text{HD} = \left(1 - \frac{A_970+917/A_2236}{A_970+917/A_{970+917}}\right) \times 100\%.$$

The peaks at 970, 917 and 2236 cm$^{-1}$ are ascribed to trans-1,4-ethenyl group (1,4-BD) out-of-plane bending vibration, 1,2-ethenyl group (1,2-BD) out-of-plane bending vibration and nitrile group (C≡N) stretching vibration, respectively, as shown in scheme 4. $A'$ is the peak area of the correlative characteristic peaks for HNBR in the FTIR absorption spectra, while $A$ is the peak area of the correlative characteristic peaks for NBR in the FTIR absorption spectra. HD calculated by FTIR is based on the internal standard peak of nitrile group (C≡N; scheme 4).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. MAC-terminated G$_n$-PPI (G$_n$M)

Figure 1 presents the changes in the FTIR absorption spectra of MAC, G$_n$ and G$_n$M ($n = 2, 3, 4, 5$). The characteristic peaks of MAC, G$_n$ and G$_n$M are at 1155 cm$^{-1}$, 1316 cm$^{-1}$ (O=S=O) and 1602 cm$^{-1}$ (C=C), respectively, while the characteristic peaks of G$_n$-PPI are at both 3282 and 3356 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of $-\text{NH}_2$ in G$_n$-PPI, respectively. Compared with G$_n$-PPI, the disappearance of two characteristic peaks of the 3282 and 3356 cm$^{-1}$ indicated the successful synthesis of G$_n$M.

Figure 2 shows various kinds of hydrogen in a different chemical environment. The characteristic peaks at 1.20 and 1.18 ppm were attributed to $-\text{C}-\text{CH}_3(m)$ and $-\text{C}-\text{CH}_3(i)$, respectively. And the
peaks at 1.60, 1.89, 2.79, 2.88, 3.15, 3.38, 3.76, 3.80, 5.73, 7.15, 7.34, and 7.72 ppm are attributed to
$-\text{NH}$ (a), $-\text{CH}_2$ (c), $-\text{CH}_2$ (d), $-\text{CH}_2$ (b), $-\text{CH}$ (j), $-\text{CH}$ (l), $-\text{CH}_2$ (g), $-\text{CH}_2$ (m), $-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-\text{CH}_2$ (h), aromatic group (k), aromatic group (e), and aromatic group (f). The characteristic peak at 7.26 ppm is attributed to solvent (CDCl$_3$). Using the analysis of FTIR in figure 1, the results of $^1$H NMR analysis further proved that G$_n$M ($n = 2, 3, 4, 5$) are successfully synthesized.

3.2. Characterization of G$_n$M-(Pt$_x$/Pd$_{10-x}$) catalysts

As shown in figure 3, the $^1$H NMR spectra for G$_4$M and G$_n$M-(Pt$_x$/Pd$_{10-x}$) ($n = 2, 3, 4, 5$) are further complicated for the reason that the protons pertaining to the same ethenyl group are not averaged, ascribing to conformational rigidity [24]. These double-bonded protons are in different chemical

Figure 1. FTIR spectra of MAC, G$_n$-PPI and G$_n$M ($n = 2, 3, 4, 5$).

Figure 2. $^1$H NMR spectrum of G$_n$M ($n = 4$) (solvent: CDCl$_3$).
environments in figure 4; as a consequence, they have various chemical shifts of $^1$H NMR absorptions. The protons of C1–C5, C2–C6, C3–C4 and C7–C8 have similar chemical shifts due to the geometrical symmetry of chemical structure.

The presence of Pd(0) and Pt(0) coordination with the 15-membered triolefinic macroring cycle can be concluded from the chemical shifts of $^1$H NMR spectra in table 1. The characteristic peaks at 5.67–5.75 ppm, 5.67–5.79 ppm, 3.67–3.76 ppm and 4.04–4.18 ppm are attributed to protons for C1–C5, C2–C6, C9 and C10 of G$_4$M and G$_n$M-(Pt$_x$/Pd$_{10-x}$) ($n = 2, 3, 4, 5$), respectively. The peaks located at 2.19–2.52 ppm ascribed to the protons for C3–C4 of G$_4$M-(Pt$_x$/Pd$_{10-x}$) ($x = 0, 3, 5, 7, 1$) and G$_n$M-(Pt$_3$/Pd$_7$) ($n = 2, 3, 4, 5$) are different from the signals at 5.75 ppm due to the protons for C3–C4 of G$_4$M, and the signals of C7 and C8 are shifted from 3.76 to 3.45–3.52 ppm. These chemical shifts arise from the synchronous ligand-exchange reaction between Pt–Pd and G$_n$M. Hence, it is indicated that G$_n$M-(Pt$_x$/Pd$_{10-x}$) ($n = 2, 3, 4, 5$) were synthesized successfully by the synchronous ligand-exchange reaction.
Moreover, the characteristic peaks at 23.01°, 40.13° and 49.89° are assignable to G4M, and 45.89° are attributed to G4M, Pd(1 1 1) and Pd(2 0 0), respectively. The characteristic peaks at 22.62–19.97° is assignable to the amorphous peak of G4M. The characteristic peaks of G4M-Pt at 20.62°, 39.48° and G4M-Pd at 22.84°, 39.48–40.35°, 45.98–46.66°, 39.44–40.35°, 49.45–49.99° and 66.15–67.89° are ascribed to G4M-Pt3/Pd7, Pd(1 1 1), Pd(2 0 0), Pt(1 1 1), Pt(2 0 0) and Pt(2 2 0) of standard Pd(JCPDS 46-1043) and Pt(JCPDS 04-0802), respectively, a conclusion can be drawn that G4M-(Pt3/Pd7) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5) were synthesized successfully.

Figure 5 and table 2 show XRD patterns of G4M and G4M-(Ptx/Pd10–x) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5). The characteristic peak at 19.97° is assignable to the amorphous peak of G4M. The characteristic peaks of G4M-Pd at 20.62°, 39.48° and 45.89° are attributed to G4M, Pd(1 1 1) and Pd(2 0 0), respectively. The characteristic peaks at 22.62–22.84°, 39.48–40.35°, 45.98–46.66°, 39.44–40.35°, 49.45–49.99° and 66.15–67.89° are ascribed to GnM (n = 4), Pd(1 1 1), Pd(2 0 0), Pt(1 1 1), Pt(2 0 0) and Pt(2 2 0) of G4M-(Ptx/Pd10–x) (n = 7, 5, 3) and G4M-Pt3/Pd7 (2, 3, 4, 5), respectively. Moreover, the characteristic peaks at 23.01°, 40.13° and 49.89° are assignable to G4M, Pd(1 1 1) and Pt(2 0 0) of G4M-Pt, respectively. Referring to the peaks at 40.12°, 46.66°, 68.12°, 39.76° and 46.24° which are attributed to Pd(1 1 1), Pd(2 0 0), Pt(1 1 1), Pt(2 0 0) and Pt(2 2 0) of standard Pd(JCPDS 46–1043) [25] and Pt(JCPDS 04-0802) [26], respectively, a conclusion can be drawn that G4M-(Ptx/Pd10–x) (n = 7, 5, 3) and GnM-(Pt3/Pd7) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5) were synthesized successfully.

XPS was used to confirm the coordination of Pd and Pt with G4M. Figure 6 shows the XPS spectra which were adjusted referring to the criterion of the most intense carbon (C1s; binding energy = 284.6 eV). As shown in figure 6a, the Pd(3d3/2) and Pd(3d5/2) peaks lie at 340.72 and 337.82 eV which are different from those peaks (340.63 and 336.15 eV) in G4-OH-(Pd) [27]. Meanwhile, figure 6b shows the Pt(4f) regions of G4M-(Pt3/Pd7). The Pt(4f7/2) and Pt(4f5/2) are present at 76.46 and 73.20 eV.
could also be indicative that the Pt–Pd species of G
(Pt3/Pd7) carried out via ICP-OES. As shown in table 3, the actual Pt/Pd atomic ratios are 0.408 and 0.418.

A decrease of Pt/Pd ratios can be due to the reason that the synchronous ligand-exchange reaction of the precursor Pt is much harder than that of the precursor Pd.

Figure 6. XPS spectra of the Pd 3d (a) and Pt 4f (b) regions for G4M-(Pt3/Pd7).

Table 2. The characteristic peaks for XRD of Pd(0), Pt(0), G4M and GnM-(Ptx/Pdx) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5).

| Position (h k l) | G4M (%) | Pd(111) (%) | Pd(200) (%) | Pt(111) (%) | Pt(200) (%) | Pt(2 2 0) (%) |
|-----------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Pt [25]         | —       | 40.12       | 46.66       | 39.76       | 46.24       | 67.45        |
| Pd [26]         | —       | 40.12       | 46.66       | 39.76       | 46.24       | 67.45        |
| G4M             | 19.97   | —           | —           | 39.76       | 46.24       | 67.45        |
| G4M-Pt          | 23.01   | —           | 40.13       | 39.76       | 46.24       | 67.45        |
| G4M-Pt/Pd3      | 20.62   | 40.35       | 46.20       | 39.76       | 46.24       | 67.45        |
| G4M-Pt/Pd5      | 20.62   | 40.13       | —           | 39.76       | 46.24       | 67.45        |
| G4M-Pt/Pd7      | 20.84   | 40.35       | —           | 39.76       | 46.24       | 67.45        |
| G4M-Pd          | 20.62   | 40.35       | 45.98       | —           | —           | —            |
| G4M-Pt/Pd3      | 21.41   | 39.44       | 46.44       | 39.44       | 46.44       | 67.34        |
| G4M-Pt/Pd5      | 22.48   | 39.62       | 46.45       | 39.62       | 46.45       | 67.34        |
| G4M-Pt/Pd7      | 22.54   | 39.82       | 46.65       | 39.82       | 46.65       | 67.52        |

Table 3. The actual Pt/Pd atomic ratios of G4M-(Pt3/Pd7) and G4M-(Pt5/Pd5).

| Samples       | Pt (mg kg⁻¹) | Pd (mg kg⁻¹) | Pt (mol kg⁻¹) | Pd (mol kg⁻¹) | Pt/Pd atomic ratios |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| G4M-Pt/Pd7    | 48 130.89    | 64 176.47    | 0.247         | 0.605         | 0.408              |
| G4M-Pt5/Pd5   | 50 373.59    | 65 486.23    | 0.258         | 0.618         | 0.418              |

which were higher than those peaks (72.86 and 76.22 eV) in the G6-OH-(Pt147) [28]. These differences could also be indicative that the Pt–Pd species of G4M-(Pt3/Pd7) are in a bimetallic alloy state.

Table 3 shows the actual quantitative analysis of Pt/Pd atomic ratios for G2M-(Pt3/Pd7) and G4M-(Pt3/Pd7) carried out via ICP-OES. As shown in table 3, the actual Pt/Pd atomic ratios are 0.408 and 0.418 which are close to the experimental ratios (0.43) of G2M-(Pt3/Pd7) and G4M-(Pt3/Pd7), respectively. The decrease of Pt/Pd ratios can be due to the reason that the synchronous ligand-exchange reaction of the precursor Pt is much harder than that of the precursor Pd.
Figure 7. TEM images and core size distribution histograms of (a,a1) G2M-(Pt3/Pd7), (b,b1) G3M-(Pt3/Pd7), (c,c1) G4M-(Pt5/Pd5), (d,d1) G4M-(Pt3/Pd7) and (e,e1) G5M-(Pt3/Pd7).
Figure 8. (a) TEM micrograph of G₄M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) with the inset showing the electron diffraction pattern of G₄M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) and the calculated d-spacing; (b) EDS analysis for G₄M-(Pt₃/Pd₇); (c) HRTEM micrograph of G₅M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) with the inset showing the FFT pattern for the nanoparticles and the calculated d-spacing; and (d) the effects of generations of GₙM-(Pt₃/Pd₇) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5) on the average particle sizes of DSNs.

The representative TEM micrographs of Gₙ-(Pt₃/Pd₇) (n = 2, 3, 4, 5) and G₄-(Pt₅/Pd₅) are shown in figure 7. The TEM images demonstrate that the particle size is almost uniform and nearly spherical. As shown in figure 7c,c₁ and figure 7d,d₁, the average diameters of G₄M-(Pt₅/Pd₅) and G₄M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) deduced by Gaussian distribution are 4.04 ± 1.15 nm and 3.76 ± 1.30 nm, respectively. Moreover, electron diffraction patterns of G₄M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) in figure 8a show that the lattice spaces of 0.224 and 0.139 nm are assigned to Pd(1 1 1) and Pd(2 2 0) combined with the analysis of XRD data [25], respectively. While the lattice spaces of 0.195 and 0.118 nm are attributed to Pt(2 0 0) and Pt(3 1 1) combined with the results of XRD analysis [26], respectively. Using XRD and electron diffraction patterns, it is demonstrated that Pt–Pd species in G₄M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) have an alloy structure. As shown in figure 8b, the characteristic X-ray peaks at 2.846 and 9.401 keV are assignable to Pd(La) and Pt(La) [29] in G₄M-(Pt₃/Pd₇), respectively. It is also confirmed that G₄M-(Pt₅/Pd₅) was synthesized successfully.

Gₙ-(Pt₃/Pd₇) (n = 2, 3, 5) are also analysed by TEM in figure 7. The Gaussian-fitting average diameters for G₂M-(Pt₃/Pd₇), G₃M-(Pt₃/Pd₇), G₄M-(Pt₃/Pd₇), G₅M-(Pt₅/Pd₅) and G₅M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) are 1.74 ± 0.46, 1.96 ± 0.46, 3.76 ± 1.30, and 7.38 ± 1.44 nm, respectively. As shown in figure 8d, it can be indicated that the average sizes of Gₙ-(Pt₃/Pd₇) nanoparticles are positively correlated with the generations of Gₙ-PPI (n = 2, 3, 4, 5). The sizes of Gₙ-(Pt₃/Pd₇) are increased with increasing generations of GₙM. G₅M has 64 terminated-MACs which is twice as many as G₄-M and quadruple as many as G₃M and possesses a lot of coordination sites which can coordinate with much more Pt–Pd metal; therefore, G₅M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) has the largest particle sizes.

Figure 8c presents a high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) micrograph of G₃M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) and the correlative diffraction pattern obtained from fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis. From the inset in figure 8c, the
characteristic inter-planar spacings (d) are observed at 0.192, 0.223 and 0.226 nm in G₃M-(Pt₃/Pd₇) which are close to the standard inter-planar spacings \[25, 26\] Pd-d\(_{200}\) = 0.193 nm, Pd-d\(_{111}\) = 0.223 nm and Pt-d\(_{111}\) = 0.226 nm. It is proved that the G\(_n\)-(Pt\(_x\)/Pd\(_{10-x}\)) nanoparticles are bimetallic alloy.

### 3.3. Hydrogenation of DA and NBR

To evaluate the catalytic activity and selectivity, G\(_n\)-(Pt\(_x\)/Pd\(_{10-x}\)) catalysts were applied in the hydrogenation of DA. Figure 9 shows the HD of HDA hydrogenated via G₄-(Pt\(_x\)/Pd\(_{10-x}\)) (x = 0, 3, 5, 7, 10) and the physical mixture of G₄M-Pt and G₄M-Pd. HDA catalysed by bimetallic DSN catalysts has a higher HD than that catalysed by the physical mixture of monometallic DSN catalysts with equal components of Pd and Pt. The maximum HD is 59.7% catalysed by G₄-(Pt₃/Pd₇) which is much higher than that of 18.7% catalysed by G₄M-Pd only. The improved catalytic activity of bimetallic DSNs indicates that there are alloying and bimetallic synergistic electronic effects [30] in the G₄-(Pt₃/Pd₇) nanoparticles. A synergetic effect can be caused by a structure effect and chemical effect [31]. Structure synergism indicates the increasing number of new active sites in bimetallic DSNs. It may lead to a greater dispersion of the two metals than in the monometallic catalysts; furthermore, it may have mutual effects between two metals. Chemical synergism means that the bimetallic catalyst contains new sites not present in the monometallic catalysts, which will lead to different activities of the reaction intermediates over the monometallic and bimetallic catalysts.
Figure 9 also shows catalytic hydrogenation effects for DA and NBR using \( G_n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{10-x}) \) \((x = 0, 3, 5, 7, 10)\) catalysts. From the hydrogenated curves in figure 9 for HDA and HNBR, it can be seen that the HD for HDA is higher than that of HNBR with the equal composition of \( G_n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{10-x})\). The maximum HD for HDA and HNBR were 72.4% and 66.8%, respectively. As shown in figure 10, the HD for HDA is also higher than that of HNBR catalysed by the same generation of \( G_n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{y})\). The maximum HD for HDA and HNBR were 59.7% and 48.4%, respectively. It may be due to the significant difference of molecular mass of DA and NBR: DA is classified as an oligomer (\( M_{\text{max}} \approx 350000 \)) while NBR is classified as macromolecular (\( M_{\text{w}} \approx 300000 \)). The viscosity of NBR solution is much higher than that of DA. Therefore, it is the reason that the HD of HNBR is lower than that of HDA.

Figure 10 presents the HD of NBR and HDA catalysed by \( G_n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{1-y})\) \((n = 2, 3, 4, 5)\). It can be seen that the HD of \( G^n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{y})\) can be fitted with a remarkable negative correlation with the incremental generations of \( G^n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{y})\). The maximum HD for HDA and HNBR catalysed by \( G_2-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{y})\) are 72.4% and 66.8%, respectively, while the minimum HD for HDA and HNBR catalysed by \( G_5-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{y})\) are 44.2% and 34.2%, respectively. The average particle sizes of \( G^n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{10-x})\) were increased with increasing generations of \( G_n\). Nano-catalysts with smaller sizes, having a higher specific surface area, can enhance the dispersity of active sites, which is beneficial to absorb reactants and \( H_2 \) on the surface of catalysts [32]. As a consequence, \( G^n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{10-x})\) with smallest sizes have the highest catalytic activity for unsaturated compounds.

4. Conclusion

\( G^n-PPI\) \((n = 2, 3, 4, 5)\) terminated with N-containing 15-membered triolefinic macrocycle have been synthesized. The novel mono-dispersed Pt–Pd bimetallic nanoparticles encapsulated by \( G_n\) \((n = 2, 3, 4, 5)\) dendrimers have been prepared by the synchronous ligand-exchange reaction of \( Pt(PPh_3)_4\) and \( Pd(PPh_3)_4\) successfully. The average diameters of \( G^n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{10-x})\) (from 1.74 to 7.38 nm) are increased with increasing generations of \( G_n\). The \(^{1}H\) NMR, XRD, XPS, EDS and HRTEM analyses have also demonstrated that alloy-structure Pt–Pd bimetallic nanoparticles were synthesized successfully. In contrast to the HD of HDA catalysed by physical mixture of monometallic DSNs, the \( G^n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{10-x})\) DSNs have exhibited a high catalytic activity, alloying and bimetallic synergistic electronic effect as hydrogenated catalysts, the highest activity being achieved with a Pt/Pd ratio of 3:7. The catalytic activities for DA are higher than those for NBR. The catalytic activities for HDA and HNBR present negative correlation with the incremental generations of \( G^n-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{y})\), the highest activity being obtained with \( G_2-(Pt_{x}/Pd_{y})\).
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