Gapless hinge states from adiabatic pumping of axion coupling
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Abstract

We demonstrate that chiral hinge modes naturally emerge in insulating crystals undergoing a slow cyclic evolution that changes the Chern-Simons axion angle $\theta$ by $2\pi$. This happens when the surface (not just the bulk) returns to its initial state at the end of the cycle, in which case it must pass through a metallic state to dispose of the excess quantum of surface anomalous Hall conductivity pumped from the bulk. If two adjacent surfaces become metallic at different points along the cycle, there is an interval in which they are in topologically distinct insulating states, with chiral modes propagating along the connecting hinge. We illustrate these ideas for a tight-binding model consisting of coupled layers of the Haldane model with alternating parameters. The surface topology is determined in a slab geometry using two different markers, surface anomalous Hall conductivity and surface-localized charge pumping (flow of surface-localized Wannier bands), and we find that both correctly predict the appearance of gapless hinge modes in a rod geometry.

I. INTRODUCTION

The electronic states of crystalline insulators can be characterized by certain geometric properties of the wave functions that have measurable consequences [1]. For example, in one-dimensional (1D) insulators the manifold of valence states carries a Berry phase [2]

$$\gamma = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Tr} [A^k]}{2\pi} \, dk,$$

where $k$ is a reduced wavevector in the Brillouin zone (BZ), and the integrand is the trace of the Berry connection matrix $A_{mn}^k = i(u_{km} \partial_k u_{kn})$ over the valence bands. Although $\mathrm{Tr} [A^k]$ is not invariant under gauge transformations among the valence states, its integral $\gamma$ is invariant modulo $2\pi$. Physically, $\gamma$ describes the electronic contribution to the electric polarization as

$$P = -e \frac{\gamma}{2\pi},$$

where $e > 0$. Accordingly, the bulk polarization is itself only defined modulo $e$ in 1D [3, 4].

The quantum of indeterminacy present in Eq. (1) allows for the possibility of changing $\gamma$ gradually by a multiple of $2\pi$ during a slow cyclic evolution, resulting in the transport of an integer number $C_1$ of electrons over one lattice constant [5]. If the Hamiltonian is parametrized by an angle $\phi$, the total change in $\gamma$ over one cycle from $\phi = 0$ to $\phi = 2\pi$ is given by

$$\Delta \gamma = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{2\pi} dk \, \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \Omega^{\phi k} \right] = 2\pi C_1.$$

$C_1$ is known as the first Chern number, and when it is nonzero the cycle is called a Thouless pump. In Eq. (3), $\Omega_{mn}^{\phi k} = \partial_{\phi} A_{mn}^k - \partial_k A_{mn}^\phi - i[A^\phi, A^k]_{mn}$ is the covariant Berry curvature matrix of the valence bands in $(\phi, k)$ space. When this $(\phi, k)$ space is viewed as an effective 2D momentum space, $2\pi C_1$ becomes a quantized Berry flux through the corresponding 2D BZ.

The Chern number $C_1$ can be defined in exactly the same way for real 2D insulators, and those for which it is nonzero are known as (first) Chern insulators, or quantum anomalous Hall insulators. Chern insulators were introduced by Haldane using a tight-binding model [6], and have been realized experimentally in magnetically-doped thin films [7, 8]. They are characterized by a quantized anomalous Hall conductivity (AHC) of $(e^2/h)C_1$, and by the presence of $|C_1|$ chiral edge modes crossing the bulk gap.

In 3D, the valence bands of insulating crystals carry another global geometric property. It is known as the Chern-Simons axion angle $\theta$, and can be expressed as [9, 10]

$$\theta = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\text{BZ}} \varepsilon_{abc} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ A^a \partial_b A^c - i \frac{2}{3} A^a A^b A^c \right] d^3 k,$$

where each $k_a$ runs between 0 and $2\pi$, $\partial_a = \partial/\partial k_a$, and $A_{mn}^a$ is the corresponding Berry connection along lattice direction $a$. The axion angle describes an isotropic contribution $\alpha_{\alpha\beta} = (e^2/h)(\theta/2\pi)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ to the linear magneto-electric coupling $\alpha_{\alpha\beta} = \partial P_{\alpha} / \partial B_{\beta} = \partial M_{\beta} / \partial E_{\alpha}$. Like the Berry phase, the axion angle is gauge invariant only modulo $2\pi$ and can change gradually by multiples of $2\pi$ during slow cyclic evolutions. The net change in $\theta$ over
one cycle parametrized by $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$ is given by

$$
\Delta \theta = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{BZ}} d^3 \mathbf{k} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \varepsilon_{abcd} \text{Tr} \left[ \Omega^{ab} \Omega^{cd} \right] = 2\pi C_2,
$$

where the indices run over $k_x, k_y, k_z, \phi$. The integer $C_2$ is called the second Chern number, and when it is nonzero the cycle is referred to as an axion pump [11]. Like $2\pi C_1$ given by Eq. (3), $2\pi C_2$ can be viewed as a quantized Berry flux through an effective BZ, which is now that of a parent 4D insulator.

In this work, we ask what general features one can expect to see in the boundary spectrum during an axion pumping cycle when both the bulk and the surface return to their initial states at the end of the cycle. The evolution of the surface spectrum under these circumstances was studied previously [12]. In the present work we turn our attention to the hinge band structure, that is, the spectrum of 1D modes localized at the boundaries between contiguous surface facets.

We find that chiral hinge states appear generically in the course of cyclic evolutions characterized by a nonzero $C_2$ invariant. In contrast to the hinge states in intrinsic higher-order topological insulators [13, 14], their occurrence does not rely on the presence of certain bulk crystallographic symmetries, but only on the global Chern topology of the pumping cycle.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we provide a qualitative discussion of the main ideas. We then illustrate them for a concrete tight-binding model in Sec. III, where we use various tools to predict from slab calculations the occurrence of gapless hinge modes, and to illustrate the concept of surface topology. We conclude in Sec. IV with a summary and outlook.

II. QUALITATIVE DISCUSSION

In this section we examine the generic behavior of boundary states during an axion pumping cycle, making no special assumptions about the presence of symmetries or the position of the Fermi level $E_F$ in the gap, and ask what general features one can expect to see in the surface and hinge band structures during an axion pump evolution cycle.

We consider for simplicity an orthorhombic structure with primitive lattice vectors along the Cartesian axes, and work with reduced wavevectors $(k_x, k_y, k_z)$ with each $k_j$ between 0 and $2\pi$. To these we can add the adiabatic Hamiltonian parameter $\phi$ whose evolution from 0 to $2\pi$ controls the axion pump, so that we can also think in terms of a 4D insulator with a second Chern number $C_2$ in $(k_x, k_y, k_z, \phi)$ momentum space. In the present discussion we shall assume $C_2 = 1$, so that one quantum of axion coupling is pumped during the adiabic cycle.

A. Surface states

Consider the system shown in Fig. 1(a) – a crystal terminated at two semi-infinite surfaces normal to $+\hat{z}$ and $-\hat{y}$, meeting at an $x$-directed hinge. In preparation for the discussion of hinge-localized states in the next subsection, here we consider the band structures of the two surfaces, but projected as though seen from the hinge.

We focus first on the top surface (unit normal $+\hat{z}$). The evolution of its hinge-projected band structure is sketched in panels (b-d) for three increasing values of $\phi$ in the region where the metalization occurs. These are labeled as $\phi_1$, $\phi_2$, and $\phi_3$, corresponding to panels (e-g) respectively, where the locus of electron-occupied surface states is indicated in the 3D $(k_x, k_y, \phi)$ space. As a reminder, the surface is required to become metallic over some range of $\phi$. This follows because we assume that the surface Hamiltonian (as well as the bulk one) returns to itself at the end of the $\phi$ loop, so that the quantum of AHC that is pumped to this surface has to be removed by a metallic interval [12]. Typically this happens as shown in panels (b-d). That is, surface states penetrate into the gap with increasing $\phi$, leading to the formation of a nodal touching in $(k_x, k_y)$ space at the critical parameter value $\phi_2$, after which the gap reopens to restore an insulating surface. When viewed in $(k_x, k_y, \phi)$ space, that nodal touching becomes a Weyl point, indicated by the solid dot in panels (e-g).

If one would follow the evolution of the surface AHC by computing the contributions only up to the nodal point, one would observe a sudden jump by $e^2/h$ when passing through $\phi_2$. This jump is precisely by the amount needed to return the surface AHC to its initial value at the end of the cycle. With the indicated Fermi-level position, however, the change occurs continuously. An electron pocket first appears when the conduction band minimum drops below $E_F$, somewhere between panels (b) and (c); it grows, then shrinks and disappears somewhere between (c) and (d). This behavior is visualized in 3D $(k_x, k_y, \phi)$ space in panels (e-g), with the dashed rectangles showing the $\phi$ values corresponding to panels (b-d), respectively.

At any value of $\phi$ for which the surface electron pocket exists, such as that shown by the horizontal cut in Fig. 1(f), the contribution of that pocket to the surface AHC is proportional to the Berry phase computed in panels (b-d), respectively.

We can regard any one of these three panels as showing the surface structure of the 3D $(k_x, k_y, \phi)$ system corresponding to the $z$-terminated 3D surface of a 4D $(k_x, k_y, k_z, \phi)$ second-Chern insulator. We see a Fermi pocket with nonzero first Chern index surrounding a Weyl node, shown as a dark central point in each panel. This looks very much like a picture of a Weyl semimetal [15], but with one crucial difference. In a true 3D system, the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [16] requires
that the chiralities of the Weyl nodes must sum to zero over the 3D BZ. The violation we see here is an example of an anomaly; since we are at the surface of a topological 4D insulator, the reasoning used to prove the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem no longer applies. In fact, the sum of chiralities is necessarily equal to the 4D bulk second Chern number, that is, $C_{1FS}^F = C_2$. Hence, every 3D surface facet of a 4D second-Chern insulator must show the same excess of chirality [9, 12]. In particular, every 3D surface must be metallic, in analogy to the 1D surfaces of a 2D first-Chern insulator.

Panels (h) and (i) of Fig. 1 show similar plots at the same $\phi = \phi_3$ value as in panels (d) and (g), but now for the surface with unit normal $-\hat{y}$. Here we assume that the metallic interval has not yet begun, so the electron pocket corresponding to this surface lies above the $\phi = \phi_3$ plane. Incidentally, if the Fermi-level position had been chosen lower in the gap, the metallic interval of $\phi$ could correspond to the temporary creation of a hole pocket instead, on either or both of the surface facets; entirely parallel arguments apply in these cases.

**B. Hinge states**

Now consider the $x$-directed hinge adjoining the $y$- and $z$-oriented surface facets discussed above (we refer to it as a “$y|z$ hinge”). Figure 2(a) shows the locus of points on the $(k_x, \phi)$ plane where there are states at $E_F$, that is, the hinge-projected Fermi surface plotted as a function of $\phi$. The blue region is the projection onto the $(k_x, \phi)$ plane of the Fermi surface in $(k_x, k_y, \phi)$ space of the $+\hat{z}$-oriented surface [Fig. 1(g)]. Likewise, the red region is the projection of the $(k_x, k_z, \phi)$ Fermi surface of the $-\hat{y}$-oriented surface [Fig. 1(i)] adjoining the $+\hat{z}$ surface at the hinge. Each electron pocket encloses a Weyl point, and these bring opposite chiral charges due to the fact that positive circulations on the $+\hat{z}$- and $-\hat{y}$-oriented surfaces correspond to positive and negative transport respectively at the hinge.

The Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem is now satisfied, since the total chirality of all Weyl points projecting onto the $(k_x, \phi)$ plane necessarily vanishes. However, the separation of chiral charges between the two pockets requires the presence of a Fermi arc connecting them, as shown by the green line in Fig. 2(a), just as for the case of a Fermi arc at the surface of a Weyl semimetal [15]. Indeed, the count of Fermi arcs and geometry of attachment must...
follow the same rules outlined by Haldane [17]. The full hinge-projected band structure at $\phi = \phi_3$ is illustrated in Fig. 2(b), showing the hinge-localized state that crosses from the valence to the conduction manifold and gives rise to the Fermi arc.

Of course, at other points along the adiabatic pumping cycle, corresponding to different values of $\phi$, the chiral hinge mode may be absent, as above the red region or below the blue region in Fig. 2(a). At some other $\phi$ values, the presence of hinge states will be obscured by degeneracy with the continuum of surface states. In some cases these regions of metallic surface behavior could be much more extensive than as sketched above, hiding the hinge electronic structure almost completely. However, we can be sure of the existence of at least one Weylnode-surrounding hole or electron pocket arising from each adjoined surface, and a required Fermi arc state connecting them somewhere in the $(k_x, \phi)$ space (unless the two pockets overlap when projected into this space).

In this sense, the presence of Fermi arcs and chiral hinge channels at some stage of a second-Chern pumping cycle is generic.

In any case, these observations all serve to illustrate the very close analogy between the physics on the 2D “hinge” of a 4D second-Chern topological insulator, and at the 2D surface of a 3D Weyl semimetal.

III. NUMERICAL STUDY OF A TOY MODEL

A. The alternating Haldane model

To illustrate the physics described above, we study the tight-binding model for an axion pump introduced in Ref. [12], consisting of alternating layers of the Haldane model [6]. The on-site energies are modulated by an angle $\phi$ in such a way that for $-\pi/2 < \phi < \pi/2$ the first Chern numbers vanish on all layers, while for $\pi/2 < \phi < 3\pi/2$ they alternate between +1 and -1, for isolated layers. To prevent the layers from becoming metallic at $\phi = \pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$, $\phi$-dependent interlayer couplings are introduced. As $\phi$ goes from 0 to $2\pi$, the system is carried along a gapped circuit that encloses a gapless point in parameter space, and the angle $\theta$ increases gradually from 0 to $2\pi$ [12].

When viewed along the stacking direction $z$, the model consists of coupled chains that project onto the honeycomb sites on each layer, with alternating on-site energies and hoppings along $z$. In the limit of vanishing interchain coupling, the chain Hamiltonian is identical to the Rice-Mele model of alternating site energies and hopping strengths [18], which realizes a Thouless pump [4]. Chains passing through the $A$ and $B$ sites have equal and opposite first Chern numbers in $(\phi, k_z)$ space, so that no net charge is transported along $z$ over one cycle. Depending on the choice of parameters, the magnitude of those Chern numbers is either zero or one.

The 2D unit cell of each layer is spanned by the lattice vectors $\mathbf{a}_1 = a\hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 = a\hat{x}/2 + \sqrt{3}a\hat{y}/2$, with orbitals sitting on the honeycomb sites $\mathbf{t}_A = \mathbf{a}_1/3 + \mathbf{a}_2/3$ and $\mathbf{t}_B = 2\mathbf{a}_1/3 + 2\mathbf{a}_2/3$. The Hamiltonian for an isolated layer indexed by $p$ is

$$H_p = (-1)^p \Delta \sum_i \gamma_i c_{pi}^\dagger c_{pi} + t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} c_{pi}^\dagger c_{pj} + \langle -1 \rangle^p \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \nu_{ij} c_{pi}^\dagger c_{pj},$$

where $i$ and $j$ label the sites, with $\gamma_i = \pm 1$ if site $i$ belongs to the $A$ or $B$ sublattice. $\langle ij \rangle$ and $\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle$ denote pairs of first and second nearest-neighbor sites, with each pair appearing twice. The first and second terms contain the on-site energies and nearest-neighbor hoppings respectively, and the third describes a pattern of staggered magnetic fluxes generated by complex second-neighbor hoppings of unit magnitude. Therein, $\nu_{ij} = +1 (-1)$ if the hopping direction from $j$ to $i$ is right-handed (left-handed) around the center of a plaquette. The $\langle -1 \rangle^p$ factor in the first term reverses the energies of sites on the same sublattice in adjacent layers, while the same factor in the third term reverses the pattern of magnetic fluxes, and with it the first Chern numbers on consecutive layers. The hopping magnitude in the third term has been set to unity as a reference, and each 2D layer undergoes a Chern transition between topological and trivial phases at $\Delta = \pm 3\sqrt{3}$. At $\Delta = 3\sqrt{3}$ the gap-closing transition occurs at the high-symmetry point $\mathbf{K} = (4\pi/3a)\hat{x}$ in the 2D BZ, and at $\Delta = -3\sqrt{3}$ it occurs at $\mathbf{K}' = -(4\pi/3a)\hat{x}$.

The full 3D model has $\mathbf{a}_3 = c\hat{z}$ as the third lattice vector, and two layers per unit cell. The layers $p = 0, 1$ are located at $z = -c/4, c/4$, and the Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{\text{bulk}} = \sum_p \left[ H_p + \left[1 + (-1)^p t' \right] \sum_i \gamma_i \left( c_{pi}^\dagger c_{pi+1,i} + \text{H.c.} \right) \right],$$

where the second term describes the interlayer (intrachain) coupling and “H.c.” stands for “Hermitian conjugate.” We choose $t' = -4.0$, and parameterize $\Delta$ and $t'$ according to

$$\Delta = 3\sqrt{3} + 2\cos \phi,$$
$$t' = 0.4\sin \phi.$$

The presence of a nonzero $t'$ introduces an alternation of interlayer hopping strengths that keeps the system gapped as $\phi$ passes through $\pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$ where the topological transitions occur in the isolated layers. The bulk spectrum is therefore gapped everywhere along the adiabatic cycle parameterized by $\phi$, encircling a gapless point at $(\Delta, t') = (3\sqrt{3}, 0)$.

The model has neither time reversal (TR) nor inversion symmetry at generic $\phi$. However, the Hamiltonian is invariant under an antunitary operator

$$\Lambda = K \tau_y \sigma_x = K \tau_z I$$

(9)
where $K$ is complex conjugation and $\tau_j$ and $\sigma_j$ are the $j$'th Pauli matrices acting in the layer and sublattice spaces respectively.\(^1\) Inversion about a hexagonally centered point midway between the layers is represented by $I = \tau_x \sigma_x$, so the second equality expresses $\Lambda$ as $I$ followed first by a sign reversal of all amplitudes on odd layers, then by scalar TR. Because $\Lambda$ is antiunitary and squares to -1, it acts the way inversion times TR does in a spinor system, forcing the four energy bands to come in two Kramers-degenerate pairs. At the points $\phi = 0$ and $\pi$ where the alternation $t'$ of the interlayer hoppings vanishes, the model acquires two additional symmetries: mirror symmetry $M_z$ ($z \to -z$) about the layers, and time-reversal $K$ combined with a twofold rotation $C_{2y}^y$ about an axis lying on the atomic layers and pointing along the armchair edges.

The evolution with $\phi$ of the axion angle is shown in Fig. 3. $\phi$ increases gradually from 0 to $2\pi$ over one cycle, corresponding to $C_2 = 1$ in Eq. (5). Both $M_z$ and $KC_2^y$ take $\theta$ into $-\theta$, constraining $\theta$ to be 0 mod $\pi$ at $\phi = 0$ and $\pi$, consistent with the figure. At $\phi = 0$ the system is a topologically trivial insulator with $\theta = 0$. Instead, at $\phi = \pi$ it is a topological crystalline insulator with $\theta = \pi$ (a “generalized axion insulator” in the sense of Ref. [19]), harboring metallic states on surfaces that preserve either $M_z$ or $KC_2^y$ symmetry, or both [12, 19].

\section*{B. Surface topological transitions and surface anomalous Hall conductivity}

We study three types of slabs, shown schematically in Fig. 4. The ones in the left and middle panels are terminated along $z$, and the one on the right is terminated along $y$. In the left panel the stacking unit is a cell with boundaries at $z = \pm 1/2$ (in units of $c$), enclosing layers located at $z = \pm 1/4$. With this “$z_{1/2}$ termination,” the Chern numbers of the top and bottom layers (when isolated) are $C_1 = \pm 1$ respectively in the interval $\pi/2 < \phi < 3\pi/2$. In the middle panel the stacking unit is a cell with boundaries at $z = 0$ and 1 and enclosing layers at $z = 1/4$ and 3/4. With this “$z_0$ termination,” the top and bottom layers have Chern numbers $C_1 = \mp 1$ respectively in the same interval. Finally, in the right panel we have a slab with a “$y_0$ termination” consisting of zigzag edges on every layer.

For each type of slab we perform the cyclic evolution described by Eq. (8), with the surfaces returning to their initial states along with the bulk. The surfaces must then pass through metallic states to dispose of the quantum of surface AHC pumped from the bulk. To visualize the gap closure, we plot in Fig. 5(a) the minimum energy gap as a function of $\phi$. There is one gap closure per cycle, as in Fig. 1(c), taking place at isolated critical values $\phi_c$ that are different for the three slabs. An examination of the slab band structures [12] reveals that at $\phi_c$ the valence and conduction surface bands touch at a nodal point, which occurs at precisely $E_F$ because we consider the slabs at half filling. If we were to shift $E_F$ away from the nodal point as in Fig. 1(c), each surface would remain metallic over a finite $\phi$ interval containing $\phi_c$, as illustrated in Fig. 1(e-g).

The gap closure is pinned to $\phi_c = \pi$ on $y_0$ surfaces, because at $\phi = \pi$ the system becomes a generalized axion insulator protected by $M_z$ and $KC_2^y$ symmetries, both of which are preserved at those surfaces.\(^2\) The gap closing occurs exactly at $E = 0$ as an artifact of a particle-hole symmetry in the model. As for the $z$-oriented surfaces, neither $M_z$ nor $KC_2^y$ symmetry is preserved there, so these surfaces are not required to be metallic at $\phi = \pi$. Nevertheless they must still become metallic somewhere along the cycle, and the closing of the gap occurs at $\phi_c = \pi/2$ on the $z_0$ surface and at $\phi_c = 3\pi/2$ on the $z_{1/2}$ surface, again exactly at $E = 0$. (The closing occurs at point $\Gamma$ in the 2D BZ [12], where A and B chains

\footnotesize
\begin{enumerate}
\item We thank N. Varnava for pointing out this symmetry of the model.
\item The symmetry $M_z$ (but not $KC_2^y$) is also preserved at $x$-oriented surfaces terminated at armchair edges, and this suffices to pin the gap closure to $\phi_c = \pi$ on those surfaces as well.
\end{enumerate}
become decoupled, with $H_A = -H_B$. At generic $\phi$ each takes the form of a Rice-Mele chain [18], and the surface gap closure occurs when the surface-state energies of the two chains cross through each other and through zero, which occurs at $\cos \phi = 0$ where the effective site energy alternation vanishes. These gap-closing events at the surfaces are topological phase transitions, and to elucidate the notion of surface topology we now examine the AHC carried by the surfaces for $\phi = 0$ and 19 cells across $y$, and 7, 9, and 11 cells across $z$.

The AHC of each surface tracks one branch of the bulk AHC found in the gaps. In the latter, with opposite chiralities vanish. A similar behavior was observed in Ref. [22] for a model of an axion insulator, where the half-quantized surface AHC changed sign when a surface layer was added or removed. As a consequence, every single-layer-high surface step carries a chiral edge channel in such cases [23], as shown for our model by the inner racetrack in Fig. 6.

C. Gapless hinge modes and hinge Fermi arcs

To verify the presence of protected hinge modes in the predicted $\phi$ intervals, we have studied rod-shaped samples extended along $x$, and 15-cells thick along both $y$ and $z$. Figure 7(a) shows the energy bands of a $y_0$-
FIG. 7. (a) Energy bands of the alternating Haldane model, calculated at $\phi = 5\pi/4$ for a rod extended along $x$ and with $y_0$ and $z_{1/2}$ terminations along $y$ and $z$. All bands are doubly degenerate, and those in red and in blue are hinge-localized chiral modes crossing the bulk and surface gaps, depicted schematically in the inset. (b) Site-resolved weights of the four hinge-localized states at an energy slightly above the crossing point in the middle of the gap, as indicated by the blue and red dots in (a).

and $z_{1/2}$-terminated rod at $\phi = 5\pi/4$ (the middle of the $\phi$ interval where gapless hinge modes are expected to occur). All bands are doubly degenerate, since the Kramers-enforcing operator $\Lambda$ of Eq. (9) remains a symmetry of the rod as a whole, and the bands drawn in red and in blue are the predicted hinge modes crossing the bulk gap. The weights of their wave functions on each site are displayed in Fig. 7(b) at an energy near $E_F = 0$. In that case the locus of points at $E_F$ reduces to a four-fold degenerate Fermi arc in $(k_x, \phi)$ space (the same on all hinges). In panel (b) the Fermi level has been shifted to $E_F = 0.2$, and as a result the Fermi arc has split into a pair of two-fold degenerate arcs, where the Kramers degeneracy again results from the fact that $\Lambda$ of Eq. (9), defined with respect to an inversion center in the middle of the rod, commutes with the rod Hamiltonian. The two Fermi arcs attach tangentially to opposite sides of the two projected surface Fermi surfaces, which have expanded from isolated points in panel (a) to finite disks [compare with Fig. 2(a)]. The way the Fermi arcs close on adjacent hinges is analogous to the way they close on opposite surfaces of a Weyl semimetal slab [15].

D. Surface-hinge correspondence from slab Wannier bands

We have seen how the quantized difference in AHC between two surfaces dictates the occurrence of chiral modes on the connecting hinge. In this section we revisit this “surface-hinge correspondence” from the viewpoint of the Wannier band structure of a slab.

1. Hybrid Wannier representation

Let us begin by reviewing the hybrid Wannier (HW) representation for a $d$-dimensional insulating crystal [24]. The idea is to describe the valence states using functions that are maximally-localized (Wannier-like) along one chosen crystallographic direction $z$, and extended (Bloch-like) along the remaining $d-1$ directions. These HW functions $w_{\text{HW}}^k(r)$ are labeled by a wavevector $k$ in
the projected \((d-1)\)-dimensional BZ, and by two discrete indices \(l\) and \(n\); \(l\) labels cells along \(z\), and \(n = 1, \ldots, J\) is an intracell index with \(J\) being the number of valence bands. The HW centers \(z_{ln}(k) = \langle w^k_{ln}|z|w^k_{ln} \rangle\) are organized into “Wannier bands” that are periodic in \(z\), with \(J\) bands per lattice constant \(c\),

\[
z_{ln}(k) = z_{0n}(k) + lc. \tag{11}
\]

From now on, the HW centers will be written in units of the lattice constant along the wannierization direction. Accordingly, we set \(c = 1\) in Eq. (11).

The Wannier band structure provides a very general means of implementing the bulk-boundary correspondence \([25, 26]\). Consider for example a crystal in \(d = 3\) dimensions. When the boundary of interest is a \(z\)-terminated surface, one inspects the bulk Wannier bands \(z_{ln}(k_x, k_y)\). Under appropriate conditions to be specified shortly, these can be smoothly deformed onto the surface energy bands \(E_n(k_x, k_y)\), so that the topological features of the two spectra are in correspondence: any protected gapless modes in the surface bands are reflected in the connectedness (or “flow”) of the Wannier bands \([25, 26]\).

For insulators with multiple occupied bands, the ability to make such a smooth deformation depends on the choice of Wannier bands making up a “Wannier unit cell” \([12]\). This is equivalent to the choice of a Wannier gap separating one Wannier cell from the next along \(z\), or in the language of Ref. \([27]\), “fixing the Wannier chemical potential.” Specifically, if the Wannier unit cell is repeated a large integer number of times along \(z\), the surface AHC at the top surface of the slab constructed in this way must match that of the insulating surface in question, since if it differs by an integer multiple of the quantum, a topological obstruction prevents the smooth deformation. In our case, the correspondence is obvious: setting the Wannier gap at \(z = 0\) or \(z = 1/2\) is appropriate for the \(z_0\)- or \(z_{1/2}\)-terminated surface respectively. In general, however, a separate calculation may be required to determine the correct choice of Wannier gap for a generic insulating surface.

2. Flow of surface-localized Wannier bands

The surface-hinge correspondence can now be developed using closely related methods. To look for protected gapless modes on hinges connecting \(y\)- and \(z\)-oriented surfaces, we examine the Wannier bands \(z_{ln}(k_x)\) of a \(y\)-terminated slab. The interesting bands are those whose HW functions reside near the surfaces. If the flow of these Wannier bands is such as to cross the Wannier gap appropriate to the \(z\)-terminated surface of interest, then the \(x\)-directed \(|y\rangle\mid z\rangle\) hinges will host topologically protected gapless modes. Identical conclusions are reached by examining the bands \(y_{ln}(k_x)\) of \(z\)-terminated slabs.

Figure 9 shows the bands \(z_{ln}(k_x)\) of \(y_0\)-terminated slabs with a thickness of 20 unit cells, calculated at

\[
\phi = 5\pi/4, 3\pi/4, \text{and } 0. \tag{12}
\]

of the HW functions in the half of the slab containing the \(+\hat{y}\) surface, and for added clarity the degree of localization at the surfaces is also indicated by the size of the dots.

Let us first examine the bands at \(\phi = 5\pi/4\) in panel (a). At \(k_x = -\pi\) they are evenly split into two narrow bulk-like groups, one centered at \(z = 1/4\) mod 1 and another at \(z = 3/4\) mod 1. Between them there is a “\(z_0\) gap” centered at \(z = 0\) mod 1, and a “\(z_{1/2}\) gap” centered at \(z = 1/2\) mod 1. As \(k_x\) increases the two groups broaden slightly, and one band detaches from each. The two detached bands cross the \(z_{1/2}\) gap in opposite directions, and as \(k_x\) approaches \(\pi\) each merges with the bulk-like group from which the other came. While crossing the gap, these
two chiral bands become strongly localized on opposite surfaces; this surface-localized flow across the \( z_{1/2} \) gap is maintained over the interval \( \pi < \phi < 3\pi/2 \), signaling the presence of protected gapless modes on \( y_0[z_{1/2}] \) hinges. Conversely, the lack of flow on the \( z_0 \) gap indicates the absence of such modes on \( y_0[z_0] \) hinges over that interval.

The same logic applies to the other panels of Fig. 9. In panel (b) the Wannier flow at \( \phi = 3\pi/4 \) shifts to the \( z_0 \) gap (and switches chirality), consistent with the fact that the \( z_0 \) termination is the one producing hinge modes (of the opposite chirality), for \( \pi/2 < \phi < \pi \). In panel (c) both types of gaps are devoid of chiral surface modes at \( \phi = 0 \), reflecting the absence of chiral hinge modes for \( -\pi/2 < \phi < \pi/2 \) with either type of \( z \) termination.

With the above procedure, we have been able to predict the existence of gapless modes on both \( y_0[z_0] \) and \( y_0[z_{1/2}] \) hinges from a single slab calculation (at each \( \phi \)). This is somewhat unexpected, given that the surface-AHC approach of Sec. III B required three separate slab calculations to gather the same information. It should be noted, however, that the HW-based procedure only works when the choice of Wannier gap corresponding to the \( z \)-terminated surface of interest is known, whereas the surface-AHC approach can be applied directly to arbitrary insulating surfaces.

The protected modes on \( y[z] \) hinges can also be deduced from the Wannier spectrum \( y_n(k_x) \) of \( z \)-terminated slabs, but this requires two slab calculations instead of one (one for each type of \( z \) termination). This is illustrated in Fig. 10 for the case of \( y_0[z_{1/2}] \) hinges, using \( z_{1/2} \)-terminated slabs. As expected, Wannier flow is present on the \( y_0 \) gap at \( \phi = 5\pi/4 \) but not at \( \phi = 3\pi/4 \) or at \( \phi = 0 \).

3. Interpretation in terms of charge pumping at the surface

The information in Figs. 9 and 10 about the topology of \( y[z] \) hinges can be presented concisely in the language of charge pumping. Let us describe the procedure for the case of Fig. 9, where the slab is terminated along \( y \). Following Ref. [28], we assign to the \(+\hat{y}\) surface a quantity with units of charge defined as

\[
\mathcal{P}_{z}^{+\hat{y}}(k_x) = -\frac{e}{c} \sum_n z_{0n}(k_x) \rho_n^{+\hat{y}}(k_x),
\]

where \( \rho_n^{+\hat{y}} \) is given by Eq. (12). For a given value of \( k_x \), this quantity is a measure of the charge pumped along \( z \) on the \(+\hat{y}\) edge of the ribbon (finite in \( y \), infinite in \( z \)) described by \( H_{\text{slab}}(k_x) \). However, its physical interpretation is rather subtle. For example, consider a weak electric field along \( x \) that acts for one Bloch period. In this case, the continuous change in \( \mathcal{P}_{z}^{+\hat{y}} \) as \( k_x \) increases by \( 2\pi \) is quantized in units of \( e \), and it describes the +\( z \)-directed flow of current on the \(+\hat{y}\) surface relative to the

---

\[\text{FIG. 10. Same as Fig. 9, but for the Wannier bands } y_n(k_x) \text{ of } z_{1/2}-\text{terminated slabs.}\]

\[\text{FIG. 11. Pumped charge } \mathcal{P}_z(k_x) \text{ of Eq. (13), in units of } e, \text{ for a } y\text{-terminated slab at } \phi = 5\pi/4. \text{ Blue (red) curves denote results for the } +\hat{y} (-\hat{y}) \text{ surface. In (a) and (b), Eq. (13) is evaluated using the } z_0 \text{ and } z_{1/2} \text{ cells shown in Fig. 9, respectively. Filled dots correspond to the home cell ([0,1] in (a) and [-1/2, 1/2] in (b)), and open dots correspond to the cells immediately above and below.}\]
In Fig. 11, the curve $\mathcal{P}_{xy}^+$ is plotted at $\phi = 5\pi/4$ for two different cell choices. In panel (a), the black $z_0$ cell in Fig. 9 was used. Since in Fig. 9(a) the chiral Wannier band localized on the $+z$ surface does not cross the boundaries of that cell, $\mathcal{P}_{xy}^+$ does not exhibit flow as a function of $k_x$, indicating that no protected gapless modes are present on the $y|z_0$ hinges. In Fig. 11(b) the calculation was repeated using the gray $z_{1/2}$ cell in Fig. 9. Now the surface-localized band does cross the cell boundaries, and as a result $\mathcal{P}_{xy}^+$ exhibits flow as a function of $k_x$ (when viewed as a continuous but multivalued function), indicating the presence of gapless modes on the $y|z_{1/2}$ hinges. Also shown in Fig. 11 is $\mathcal{P}_{xy}^-$, obtained by replacing $\rho_{x}^+$ with $\rho_{x}^-$ in Eq. (13).

**IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK**

We have shown that gapless modes appear naturally on the hinges of 3D insulators undergoing an axion pumping cycle. The basic idea is illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2. When a surface is introduced in the system, the valence and conduction surface bands must exhibit at least one nodal touching along the cycle. If, as is generically the case, those band touchings occur on adjacent surfaces at different values of the pumping parameter $\phi$, then the connecting hinge will host chiral modes over the intervening $\phi$ range. Those modes are boundary manifestations of the second Chern number characterizing the axion pump, and they can be viewed as Fermi arcs in the BZ of the 2D hinge connecting the 3D surfaces of a 4D sample with $(k_x, k_y, k_z, \phi)$ reciprocal space.

Note that at any given value of $\phi$, the appearance of 1D modes on the hinges of the 3D crystal represents an “extrinsic” higher-order topological phase in the language of Refs. [14] and [29], since the bulk is topologically trivial and hinge modes are not required. Instead, the presence of Fermi arc states is generically required on the 2D surfaces of the 4D second-Chern insulator, thus representing “intrinsic” topology when the system is viewed from the standpoint of the global $(k_x, k_y, k_z, \phi)$ parameter space.

We have exemplified these behaviors by means of a tight-binding model, but the same methodology could easily be applied in the framework of ab initio calculations. However, it remains a major challenge to devise a physical mechanism leading to the adiabatic pumping of axion coupling in a real material.

Alternatively, it may be possible to demonstrate axion pumping behavior in other settings such as photonic crystals, ultracold atoms, or electrical circuits. The physics of second-Chern insulator is already being explored in such systems [30–37], and we hope that the present work may inspire future efforts towards the observation of the associated topological hinge states.
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