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Abstract
Healthy dietary patterns may protect against age-related cognitive decline, but results of studies have been inconsistent and few have had extensive longitudinal follow-up with comprehensive cognitive testing. The aim of the present study was to determine associations of dietary patterns with trajectories of global- and domain-specific cognitive change over a 12-year period. Data from 863 community-dwelling, dementia-free participants from the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 study of ageing completed a FFQ at baseline (aged 70 years) and underwent cognitive testing at baseline, and at the ages of 73, 76, 79 and 82 years. Composite cognitive scores were constructed for four cognitive domains (visuospatial ability, processing speed, memory and verbal ability) and global cognitive function. A Mediterranean-style pattern and a traditional pattern were derived using principal component analysis of self-reported dietary intakes. In fully adjusted latent growth curve models, higher baseline adherence to the Mediterranean-style dietary pattern ($\beta = 0.056$, $P = 0.009$) and lower baseline adherence to the traditional dietary pattern ($\beta = -0.087$, $P < 0.001$) were cross-sectionally associated with better verbal ability. A slightly steeper decline in verbal ability over 12 years was observed in those with higher Mediterranean-style diet scores at baseline ($\beta = -0.003$, $P = 0.008$). All other associations were non-significant. Our findings in this well-characterised Scottish cohort indicate that adherence to a healthy Mediterranean-style diet is associated cross-sectionally with better verbal (crystallised) ability, with the converse being true for the traditional diet. A healthier baseline diet did not predict a reduced risk of global- or domain-specific cognitive decline.
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Cognitive decline is the progressive deterioration in cognitive functions including some aspects of memory, speed of processing and some reasoning abilities and may lead to dementia(3,4,5). Diet has been suggested to play an important role in healthy brain ageing(6). Adhering to particular dietary patterns may represent a viable strategy for reducing age-related cognitive decline and neurodegenerative pathologies such as Alzheimer’s disease and other forms of dementia(4,5). The Mediterranean diet emphasises plant foods and other dietary components reported to be neuroprotective and thus has received the most attention to date, with the majority of systematic reviews reporting beneficial outcomes on cognitive health(6-8).

Many observational studies provide evidence for a ‘protective’ role of healthy dietary patterns, such as the Mediterranean diet, on cognitive ageing(9-11). The Chicago Health and Aging Project(12) and the Memory and Aging Project(13) found that higher Mediterranean diet adherence was associated with slower rates of cognitive decline among 5790 older adults (≥65 years) over a mean period of 7-6 years, and among 826 older adults (81-5 (so 7-1) years) over a mean period of 4-1 years, respectively. In contrast, several longitudinal studies report no association between Mediterranean diet adherence and cognitive decline or mild cognitive impairment over time(14-16), while others report mixed findings. For example, the Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study of Ageing reported no significant associations in 527 adults (69 years), except for ApoE4 allele carriers, in whom higher Mediterranean diet scores were associated with less 3-year decline in executive functioning, but not in other domains(17).

Conflicting evidence on the association between dietary patterns and later-life cognition may be due to methodological differences between studies, including sample age. Studies in older populations (>70 years are relatively rare, especially those which present extensive longitudinal follow-up, hampering efforts to assess whether diet predicts trajectories of cognitive change across the eighth and ninth decades of life. Second, many (but not all) previous studies have assessed global cognitive function only(18) often using a single measure of global cognitive status, such as the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), which

Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate; IQ, intelligence quotient; LBC1936, Lothian Birth Cohort 1936; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; PCA, principal component analysis.

* Corresponding author: Dr Janie Corley, email Janie.Corley@ed.ac.uk
has low sensitivity for detecting sub-clinical age-related cognitive change. Examining domains of cognitive function such as memory, executive function and processing speed is important, as the effects of diet may not be consistent across domains, and different abilities may have unique patterns and mechanisms of decline.

The Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 (LBC1936) study previously demonstrated cross-sectional associations of better cognitive performance with closer adherence to a Mediterranean-style dietary pattern and lower adherence to a more traditional pattern, at age 70 years. In the present study, we extend these results, using the same ‘a posteriori’ baseline dietary patterns, derived using principal component analysis (PCA) of ‘whole-diet’ data, to predict 12-year cognitive change (age 70–82 years) in the same sample. To address heterogeneity in cognitive decline, we assess trajectories of global- and domain-specific cognitive change using an extensive battery of cognitive tests repeated over five waves of assessment. The LBC1936 study is a well-characterised cohort based in Scotland, for whom there are measures of cognitive ability from early life.

Subjects and methods

The Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 is a community-dwelling sample of 1091 individuals being studied in later life for the purposes of assessing the determinants of cognitive and brain ageing. All were born in 1936, and most are surviving participants of the Scottish Mental Survey of 1947. At study entry (2004–2007, age 70 years, n 1091) and subsequently every 3 years at ages 73 (n 866), 76 (n 697), 79 (n 550) and 82 years (n 431), participants were invited to undergo repeated assessments for cognitive, health and psychosocial assessment. Full details on the background, recruitment and data collection procedures are available.

A flow chart detailing how the analytic sample for the present study was derived is presented in online Supplementary Fig. S1. From the full quota of participants who satisfactorily completed a FFQ at age 70 years baseline (n 882), nineteen participants were excluded from our analyses because they had a history of dementia. The analytic sample for the present study comprised 863 individuals at age 70 years (baseline), 711 at age 73 years, 580 at age 76 years, 456 at age 79 years and 364 at age 82 years.

Ethics

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Multicentre Research Ethics Committee for Scotland (baseline, MREC/01/0/56), the Lothian Research Ethics Committee (baseline, LREC/2003/2/29) and the Scotland A Research Ethics Committee (age 73, 76, 79, 82 years, 07/MREC00/58). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Assessment of dietary intake

Dietary intake was assessed at baseline using a self-administered, semi-quantitative 168-item FFQ (Scottish Collaborative Group FFQ version 7.0) validated for use in community-dwelling older populations. Participants indicated how frequently they consume particular foods and beverages by selecting one of nine possible responses ranging from ‘rarely or never’ to 7+ measures per day. A total of 967 participants (89 %) at baseline completed an FFQ. In line with standard operating procedures for the FFQ, questionnaires with ten or more missing values (n 39) and those defined as having extreme energy intakes (<2.5th or >95th centile of intake, n 46) were excluded from the analysis.

Principal component analysis

The dietary patterns used in the current study were identified previously at baseline and reported first in Mõttus et al. Briefly, PCA was used to extract dietary factors on the basis of correlation of all the individual FFQ items. Components were retained with eigenvalues >1, based on the examination of Scree plots. The retained factors were orthogonally rotated by the varimax method so that the factors were uncorrelated, making them easier to interpret. Each rotated component was interpreted based on the food items with loadings of >0.30, which were considered as significantly contributing to a pattern. Factor scores were calculated for each component for each participant, by summing the frequency of consumption multiplied by factor loadings across all food items. Higher scores represent closer dietary adherence. The scores represent standardised variables with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. Dietary pattern scores were adjusted for overall energy intake using the residual method at the time of calculation. As such, energy intake was not required to be included as a covariate in subsequent models.

The first component, characterised by consumption (i.e. factor loadings >0.30) of vegetables (leeks or courgettes, tomatoes, broccoli, spinach, other salad vegetables), fish, legumes, olive oil, salad dressing, poultry, pasta, rice, water and tomato-based sauces, was labelled a Mediterranean-style dietary pattern. A second component, characterised by greater consumption (i.e. factor loadings >0.30) of meat, processed meats (such as pies, pasties and sausage rolls), mashed potatoes, tinned vegetables, peas or beans, carrots, baked beans, bottled sauces, custard or other sweet sauces, milk-based puddings (and a negative loading from filter, espresso and cappuccino coffee denoting lower consumption), was consistent with a traditional Scottish convenience diet and labelled a ‘traditional’ dietary pattern.

Online Supplementary Table S1 lists the food items with factor loadings >0.30 on each of these two patterns.

Assessment of cognitive function

A comprehensive neuropsychological battery of well-validated tests was administered at each wave by trained psychologists, in accordance with standard protocols, using the same location and equipment. The LBC1936 cognitive test battery has been described in detail previously in an open-access protocol article. Most of the tests derive from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III-UK edition (WAIS-IIIUK) and the Wechsler Memory Scale III-UK edition (WMS-IIIUK). Specifically, we examined four cognitive domains: visuospatial ability (Matrix Reasoning (WAIS-IIIUK), Block Design (WAISIIIUK), Spatial Span (WMS-IIIUK), average of Forwards and Backwards), processing speed (Digit Symbol (WAIS-IIIUK), Symbol...
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Search (WAIS-III(36)), Choice Reaction Time(33), Inspection Time(32); memory (Logical Memory Immediate and Delayed Recall (WMStiff(37)), Verbal Pairs Immediate and Delayed Recall (WMStiff(37)), Digits Backwards (WAIS-III(38))); and verbal ability (National Adult Reading Test(39), Wechsler Test of Adult Reading(34) and Verbal Fluency(35)).

The scores for each cognitive test were standardised to z-scores using baseline mean and standard deviation values. Subsequently, z-scores of individual cognitive tests were averaged to produce domain z-scores in visuospatial ability, processing speed, memory and verbal ability. A composite cognitive score reflecting global cognitive function was calculated as an average of the thirteen individual cognitive tests’ z-scores. Higher scores indicate better performance (reaction time scores were converted to indicate lower scores with slower reaction times). Decision on grouping of cognitive tests was based on a previously defined correlational structure in the LBC1936 test battery(36,37).

Covariates

Risk factors known to be associated with cognitive ageing in this cohort were included(36,37) and derived from baseline data. Demographic, lifestyle and health information were obtained by interview, physical examination, questionnaire and blood sampling. Education was coded as number of years in formal full-time education. Socio-economic status was derived from occupational social class which was coded according to highest achieved occupation using the Classification of Occupations, 1980(38) into six categories ranging from 1 (highest professional occupations) to 5 (unskilled occupations), with 3 (skilled occupations) divided into 3N (non-manual) and 3M (manual). Marital status comprised five categories (married, single, divorced, cohabiting, widowed). Childhood cognitive ability (age 11 years intelligence quotient (IQ) scores) was derived from Moray House Test(23) scores taken by participants as part of the Stanford-Binet test(39). Raw Moray House Test scores taken by participants as part of the Scottish Mental Survey of 1947, at age 11 years. The Moray House Test is a group-administered, general intelligence/IQ-type test, with a 45-min time limit. It comprises verbal reasoning, numerical and other items. In concurrent validity testing, it correlated about r=0.8 in childhood with the individually administered Stanford-Binet test(39). Raw Moray House Test scores were corrected for age in days at time of testing and converted into standard IQ-type (continuous) scores for the sample, where mean is 100 and standard deviation is 15. Age 11 years IQ is an important predictor of cognitive ability in later life (cognitive ability is highly stable across the life course until well into the ninth decade(40) and a confounder of diet-cognition associations in adulthood in the LBC1936(22). Smoking history was categorised as never, former or current smoking. Physical activity level was derived a lifestyle questionnaire and ranged from level 1 (‘moving only in accordance with household chores’) to level 6 (‘keep fit or aerobic exercise several times a week’). BMI was calculated using height and weight measurements taken by trained nurses at the time of assessment. History of disease was coded dichotomously (yes/no) for hypertension, diabetes and CVD. ApoE e4 carrier status was derived from whole blood samples taken on the day of baseline assessment by trained nurses. Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood, and the target sequences for two polymorphic sites (rs7412 and rs429358) were genotyped with TaqMan technology by the Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility Genetics Core, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh.

Statistical analysis

Participants were divided into tertiles of dietary patterns for descriptive and illustration purposes only. Background characteristics according to dietary adherence tertiles were compared using χ² or ANOVA as appropriate. The full continua of scores were used in the main analyses for maximum statistical power.

We used latent growth curve models, a structural equation modelling technique, to analyse level (i.e. latent intercept, age 70 years) and trajectory of change (i.e. latent slope, age 70–82 years) in cognitive function across five waves of testing simultaneously, in relation to baseline diet (which was assessed on a continuous scale) and baseline covariates. Models were fitted to each cognitive outcome measure: global cognitive function; visuospatial ability; processing speed; memory and verbal ability. Thus, for these five composite cognitive variables, we modelled a level (age 70 years baseline cognitive function) and a linear slope (from age 70 to 82 years), taking all five cognitive measurements into account. The slope of cognitive function represents the rate at which cognitive functions change over subsequent waves of testing, otherwise known as relative cognitive decline. In our models, we used the average time lag between the waves: 298 years, waves 1–2; 675 years, waves 1–3; 981 years, waves 1–4; and 12 535 years, waves 1–5, as the path weights for calculation of the slope factor. The path from the slope factor to the initial wave’s test score was set to zero. In the latent growth curve models, the continuous distribution of diet adherence scores was used as the predictor variable. Model 1 adjusted for age and sex. Model 2 additionally adjusted for age 11 years IQ. Model 3 additionally adjusted for age 11 years IQ, ApoE e4 status, smoking status, physical activity, marital status and socio-economic status. Models were run independently for each dietary pattern. A structural diagram to illustrate these measured and latent variables is presented in Fig. 1.

Model coefficients were estimated using full-information maximum likelihood estimation to take all data into account, including individuals who did not complete all waves of testing. Model fit was tested using four indices of absolute fit: root-mean-square error of approximation with values <0.06 considered acceptable; comparative fit index with values >0.95 considered acceptable and standardised root-mean-square residual with values <0.08 considered acceptable. We report unstandardised estimates and standard errors. The false discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple testing was applied to the resultant P-values. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 5.6.1 ‘Action of the Toes’ (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) and the latent variable analysis package ‘lavaan’(41) for growth curve modelling.

Results

Descriptive statistics

Demographic characteristics of the study population at baseline stratified by tertiles of dietary adherence (low, moderate, high)
Fig. 1. A simplified path diagram of the latent growth curve model of cognitive function and dietary patterns. Circles represent latent variables, and squares represent measured variables. Growth curves, including a latent intercept and slope factor, were calculated for each of the five composite cognitive variables using individual cognitive test data across five time points. The slope of cognition represents change in this outcome over 12 years of follow-up. The regressions of diet (predictor) on cognitive intercept and slope were the associations of interest. For clarity, this figure represents one cognitive domain only. Dashed lines represent the relationships between covariates that were fixed across waves. We tested three different covariate models for predicting cognitive intercept and slope: model 1 (age + sex); model 2 (model 1 + age 11 years intelligence quotient); model 3 (model 2 + ApoE e4, smoking, physical activity, marital status, socio-economic status).

are presented in Table 1. The study sample comprised 863 participants (50.2% male) with an average age of 69.5 (SD 0.8) years at baseline, henceforth referred to as age 70 years, and followed-up for a mean of 12.5 (SD 0.5) years. Closer adherence to the Mediterranean-style dietary pattern was associated with lower age (P = 0.008), more education, higher age 11 years IQ, higher socio-economic status, more physical activity (all P < 0.001) and less smoking (P = 0.003). Closer adherence to the traditional dietary pattern remained to follow up. Within the subgroup of completers, participants who went on to complete all waves of the study were more likely to have higher cognitive scores at baseline compared with participants who did not remain to follow up. Within the subgroup of completers, participants showed the greatest cognitive decline in tasks requiring processing speed and visuospatial ability. Verbal ability mean scores were marginally higher at wave 5 (mean = 0.20 (SD 0.8)) compared with wave 1 (mean = 0.19 (SD 0.7)) for completers only (n = 364). Participants who went on to complete all waves of the study were more likely to have higher cognitive scores at baseline compared with participants who did not remain to follow up. Within the subgroup of completers, participants showed the greatest cognitive decline in tasks requiring processing speed and visuospatial ability. Verbal ability mean scores were marginally higher at wave 5 (mean = 0.20 (SD 0.8)) compared with wave 1 (mean = 0.19 (SD 0.7)) for completers only (n = 364).

To illustrate cognitive decline across domains, we plotted global cognitive function and domain scores across all five

Table 1. Participant characteristics by tertile of dietary pattern adherence in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 study

| Characteristics          | Mediterranean diet score | Traditional diet score |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|                          | Low (n 287)               | Mod (n 288)             | High (n 288)          | Low (n 287) | Mod (n 288) | High (n 288) |
| Age (years)              | 69.6 (SD 0.8)             | 69.6 (SD 0.8)           | 69.4 (SD 0.8)         | 0.008     | 69.3 (SD 0.9) | 69.6 (SD 0.8) | 69.6 (SD 0.8) | 0.003 |
| Education (years)        | 10.5 (SD 0.9)             | 10.8 (SD 1.1)           | 11.1 (SD 1.2)         | <0.001    | 11.2 (SD 1.2) | 10.6 (SD 1.1) | 10.5 (SD 1.0) | <0.001 |
| Age 11 years IQ score‡   | 98.9 (SD 12.9)            | 101.7 (SD 14.7)         | 103.7 (SD 13.9)       | <0.001    | 106.3 (SD 12.7) | 100.3 (SD 12.8) | 109.7 (SD 14.7) | <0.001 |
| Physical activity level  | 28.7 (SD 4.2)             | 27.5 (SD 4.2)           | 27.4 (SD 4.1)         | 0.71      | 26.8 (SD 4.1) | 27.6 (SD 4.1) | 28.2 (SD 4.2) | 0.001 |
| Categorical variables    |                          |                        |                        | P for trend |                          |                        |                        |         |
| Sex (male %)             | 52.3 (SD 48.3)            | 44.4 (SD 44.4)          | 0.17                  | 38.0 (SD 49.7) | 57.3 (SD 57.3) | 0.001 |
| Marital status (married %)| 70.0 (SD 75.3)            | 73.6 (SD 76.7)          | 0.76                  | 70.0 (SD 74.7) | 74.3 (SD 74.3) | 0.72 |
| SES (professional %)     | 70.5 (SD 82.6)            | 89.8 (SD 89.8)          | <0.001                | 94.0 (SD 78.6) | 70.0 (SD 70.0) | <0.001 |
| ApoE e4 (% with allele)  | 24.0 (SD 29.8)            | 31.0 (SD 31.0)          | 0.15                  | 31.3 (SD 27.1) | 26.5 (SD 26.5) | 0.40 |
| Smoking (current %)      | 14.6 (SD 11.8)            | 4.9 (SD 4.9)            | 0.003                 | 7.3 (SD 9.0) | 14.9 (SD 14.9) | 0.003 |
| Smoking (former %)       | 40.8 (SD 40.6)            | 46.5 (SD 46.5)          | 0.18                  | 40.1 (SD 42.0) | 45.8 (SD 45.8) | 0.18 |
| Smoking (never %)        | 44.6 (SD 47.6)            | 48.6 (SD 48.6)          | 0.34                  | 52.6 (SD 49.0) | 39.2 (SD 39.2) | 0.34 |
| Hypertension (% yes)     | 42.2 (SD 39.6)            | 36.1 (SD 36.1)          | 0.34                  | 36.2 (SD 37.2) | 44.4 (SD 44.4) | 0.09 |
| Diabetes (% yes)         | 8.7 (SD 7.6)              | 4.9 (SD 4.9)            | 0.18                  | 5.2 (SD 7.3) | 8.7 (SD 8.7) | 0.27 |
| CVD (% yes)              | 24.7 (SD 24.0)            | 23.3 (SD 23.3)          | 0.92                  | 23.0 (SD 21.9) | 27.1 (SD 27.1) | 0.31 |

Mod, moderate; IQ, intelligence quotient; SES, socio-economic status.

* Means and standard deviations for continuous variables or percentages for categorical variables. P from ANOVA or χ² tests as appropriate.

‡ Age 11 years IQ (childhood cognitive ability) derived from scores on the Moray House Test completed during the Scottish Mental Survey of 1947.
waves, according to tertiles of dietary pattern adherence at baseline, with individuals categorised as either belonging to a low adherence, moderate adherence or high adherence group (Fig. 2). Fig. 2 is based on data from completers only (n 364) as including data from all individuals in the sample would bias the plots towards better performance with increasing age.

**Dietary patterns and cognitive function (cross-sectional associations)**

Table 2 (left columns) presents the intercept associations of baseline dietary pattern scores with cognitive function level at age 70 years using latent growth curve models. Model fit statistics of all latent growth curve models indicated a good fit and ranged from: root-mean-square error of approximation 0.037–0.061; standardised root-mean-square residual 0.011–0.026; comparative fit index 0.958–0.992 (see online Supplementary Table S5 for all fit statistics). In these models, dietary pattern scores were treated as continuous variables. Unstandardised coefficients, standard errors and level of significance are reported. We tested an interaction term between ApoE ε4 genotype and diet which was not significant for any of the cognitive domains; therefore, models were not further stratified by ApoE carrier status. In the basic model (with the fewest covariates, i.e. just age and sex), higher baseline adherence to the Mediterranean-style dietary pattern was significantly associated with better cognitive function, and higher baseline adherence to the traditional dietary pattern with lower cognitive function, across all four cognitive domains and global cognitive function, at age 70 years. The addition of childhood IQ to the basic model caused significant attenuation in effect sizes for the intercept by an average of 68% (Mediterranean-style pattern) and 65% (traditional pattern) across the cognitive domains. In the fully adjusted model, higher adherence to the Mediterranean-style pattern was associated with better verbal ability (β = 0.056 (se 0.021), P = 0.009) at age 70 years and higher adherence to the traditional diet pattern scores was associated with lower verbal ability (β = –0.087 (se 0.021), P < 0.001), both of which were robust to FDR correction. All other cross-sectional (intercept) associations between diet and cognitive function were not FDR significant in the fully adjusted model.

**Dietary patterns and cognitive change (longitudinal associations)**

Table 2 (right columns) presents the longitudinal slope associations of baseline diet with cognitive change from age 70 to 82 years. We observed little evidence of associations of baseline diet with 12-year cognitive change, with the exception of a slightly steeper decline in verbal ability associated with higher Mediterranean-style diet adherence at baseline (β = –0.003 (se 0.001), P = 0.008), which remained significant following FDR correction. This finding was contrary to our hypotheses; however, we note that the change in this domain was minimal over time. Baseline adherence to a more traditional (less healthy) diet at age 70 years was not associated with 12-year cognitive change in global cognitive function or any of the domains measured. Online Supplementary Table S5 presents the slope associations for each variable included in the fully adjusted growth curve model of cognitive change for the Mediterranean-style and the traditional dietary patterns.

**Sensitivity analyses**

We conducted three sensitivity analyses, arising from the review process, to test the robustness of the cognitive intercept and slope. First, we additionally adjusted for three further potential health covariates in model 3, namely, BMI, CVD and diabetes. Second, we calculated a Mediterranean diet score and ran the growth curve models with Mediterranean diet score (range 0–9) as a predictor. Third, we ran the growth curve models without excluding participants with a history of dementia reported at any of the five waves of assessment. These additional analyses, presented in online Supplementary materials (online Supplementary Tables S6–S8), made little difference to the results reported above.

Finally, in post hoc analyses, we analysed the relative risk of low (1), moderate (2) and high (3) dietary pattern adherence status in relation to cognitive function and cognitive decline (data not presented). In line with the main analyses using the continuous measures, we found significant associations with baseline verbal ability only; no other associations were significant. The highest Mediterranean diet tertile was nominally associated with better verbal ability compared with the lowest tertile (estimate = 0.179, 95 % CI 0.01, 0.35, P = 0.04, not FDR significant), but not compared with the middle tertile (estimate = 0.149, 95 % CI –0.02, 0.32, P = 0.08). Despite there being an overall (inverse) significant association between the traditional diet pattern tertiles and verbal ability, neither comparisons independently reached significance (low v. high: estimate = 0.161, 95 % CI –0.001, 0.32, P = 0.05; moderate v. high: estimate = 0.078, 95 % CI –0.09, 0.25, P = 0.36).

**Discussion**

We used five waves of data to examine trajectories of global- and domain-specific cognitive change in relation to two a posteriori dietary patterns, among community-dwelling older adults in Scotland, who remained free from dementia over 12 years of follow-up. Higher adherence to a healthy, Mediterranean-style diet pattern, and lower adherence to a traditional (processed food) pattern, was associated cross-sectionally with better cognitive function at age 70 years across all of the cognitive measures, though only associations with verbal ability were significant after adjusting for childhood IQ and other covariates. Estimates from growth curve models show that the Mediterranean-style dietary pattern was not associated with 12-year change in global cognitive function, visuospatial ability, processing speed or memory domains. Contrary to our hypotheses, individuals with a higher Mediterranean-style diet score at baseline had a slightly steeper decline in verbal (crystallised) ability, though the effect size and overall change in this domain were small. Closer adherence to a more traditional diet pattern at baseline did not predict 12-year cognitive change across any of the domains measured. These findings are in line with and extend the results of a previous cross-sectional study in the LBC1936 at baseline and suggest that diet, assessed in a narrow age cohort of older Scottish adults, does not capture...
Fig 2. Mean trajectories of global- and domain-specific cognitive performance using linear model fit lines stratified by baseline levels of dietary adherence score in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. (a) Mediterranean-style dietary pattern and (b) traditional dietary pattern. Stratification was by tertile category: low adherence; moderate adherence; high adherence. Grey bands indicate 95% CI. These plots represent data from completers only, that is, individuals with data across all five assessments, since including the entire baseline sample would bias the means towards a positive direction with increasing age. Note that the main analyses in the report were done using the continua of adherence-to-diet scores and on all participants; these diet adherence tertiles on completers are for illustration only. High; moderate; low.
Table 2. Associations of dietary pattern scores with cognitive function level (age 70 years) and slope (age 70–82 years) in latent growth curve models† (Coefficients with their standard errors)

|                      | Intercept | Model 1 | P   | Model 2 | P   | Model 3 | P   | Model 1 | P   | Model 2 | P   | Model 3 | P   |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|
| Mediterranean diet   |           |         |     |         |     |         |     |         |     |         |     |         |     |
| Global cognitive‡    | 0.093     | 0.019   | <0.01* | 0.031 | 0.015 | 0.03 | 0.009 | 0.015 | 0.54 | -0.002 | 0.001 | 0.16 |
| Visual/spatial ability | 0.085  | 0.025   | <0.01* | 0.025 | 0.022 | 0.27 | 0.011 | 0.023 | 0.64 | -0.002 | 0.003 | 0.09 |
| Processing speed     | 0.001     | 0.024   | 0.011 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.020 | -0.002 | 0.003 | 0.020 |
| Memory                | 0.081     | 0.024   | <0.01* | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.021 | -0.002 | 0.003 | 0.021 |
| Verbal ability       | 0.166     | 0.027   | <0.01* | 0.079 | 0.020 | <0.01* | 0.056 | 0.021 | 0.009* | -0.003 | 0.001 | 0.06* |
| Traditional diet     |           |         |     |         |     |         |     |         |     |         |     |         |     |
| Global cognitive‡    | -0.138    | 0.019   | <0.01* | -0.053 | 0.015 | 0.03 | -0.032 | 0.015 | 0.03 | -0.002 | 0.001 | 0.07 |
| Visual/spatial ability | -0.102 | 0.025   | <0.01* | -0.020 | 0.023 | 0.38 | -0.008 | 0.024 | 0.74 | -0.002 | 0.002 | 0.33 |
| Processing speed      | -0.101    | 0.024   | <0.01* | -0.040 | 0.023 | 0.04 | -0.001 | 0.023 | 0.09 | -0.002 | 0.002 | 0.08 |
| Memory                | -0.126    | 0.024   | <0.01* | -0.039 | 0.022 | 0.08 | -0.033 | 0.022 | 0.13 | -0.002 | 0.002 | 0.08 |
| Verbal ability       | -0.219    | 0.026   | <0.01* | -0.106 | 0.021 | <0.01* | -0.087 | 0.021 | <0.01* | -0.001 | 0.001 | 0.35 |

* Significant P values following false discovery rate correction.
† Dietary pattern scores are continuous variables. Unstandardised path coefficients (estimates) and standard errors from latent growth curve models are reported. Model 1: diet score, age and sex. Model 2: model 1 + age at 11 years intelligence quotient. Model 3: model 2 + demographic factors (marital status and socio-economic status) + lifestyle factors (physical activity, smoking status) + ApoE e4.
‡ Global cognitive function calculated as a composite score using thirteen individual cognitive tests.

The finding that the Mediterranean-style diet was associated with better cognitive functioning at baseline, but not with a lower rate of decline, suggests that the Mediterranean diet may be beneficial for cognitive health, particularly in older individuals. However, the effect size was small, and it is unclear whether this pattern of findings is consistent across different populations. Further research is needed to clarify these findings and to determine whether the Mediterranean diet has a protective effect on cognitive decline over time.

This study was conducted on a large sample of older adults, with a mean age of 70 years, and used a validated dietary assessment tool to measure adherence to the Mediterranean diet. The sample was diverse in terms of socio-economic status and education level, which helps to generalize the findings to other populations. The study design included a 5-year follow-up period, allowing for the assessment of both initial cognitive functioning and cognitive decline over time.

In conclusion, the findings of this study suggest that adherence to the Mediterranean-style diet may be associated with better cognitive functioning at baseline in older adults, although further research is needed to determine whether this association is causal and to explore the underlying mechanisms.
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studies that report steeper cognitive decline with increasing adherence to a meat-based, Western dietary pattern, similar to the traditional pattern in the LBC1936, and with infrequent consumption of plant-based foods. One potential reason is that there is less dietary variance in our narrow-age sample who live in the same geographical location; it is possible that the traditional diet pattern derived in this group reflects a slightly worse diet than average, but is not equivalent to the poorer diet found in other, more deprived areas or the general population.

One of the main strengths of this study is the use of comprehensive cognitive testing. Cognitive assessment in several previous studies has been limited to either brief telephone-administered cognitive tests, or the MMSE, which has low sensitivity for detecting age-related cognitive change, or to global cognitive function only. Furthermore, in the few studies where more than one cognitive outcome has been assessed, often the beneficial effects are inconsistent across domains, or associations with the MMSE were found but not with domain-specific abilities. For instance, in the Three-City cohort (n = 1410, 65 years), the Mediterranean diet was associated with slower 5-year MMSE decline, but not with verbal ability, visual memory or episodic memory. Wade et al. reported an effect of higher adherence to a Mediterranean diet with 5-year improvements in global cognitive function, visual-spatial organisation and memory, and scanning and tracking, in participants ≥70 years (n = 894), but no associations with verbal memory, working memory or abstract reasoning, in the Maine-Syracuse Longitudinal study. The Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle study examined an a priori ’AusMedi’ score and two PCA-derived diet patterns (prudent diet and Western diet) in their sample (n = 527, mean 69 years) and reported associations in one domain only (executive function) found in one subgroup (ApoE e4 carriers). In accordance with the current study, which had a similar sample age, they reported no associations with the healthy, ’prudent’ PCA dietary pattern. Taken together, the results of studies to date suggest that evidence of the role of different dietary patterns in influencing age-related cognitive decline remains inconclusive and highlight the need for longer follow-up of older people using sensitive cognitive tests which capture variation in age-related cognitive change.

Discrepancies between studies may be the result of cohort differences and methodological variations. First, the lack of associations observed in the current study may partly be due to the relatively homogenious nature of the sample, that is, all are Caucasian, the same age, and living in the same (relatively affluent) area of Scotland. As volunteer participants in a longitudinal study of ageing, they are likely to be more cognitively able than the general population and invested in their cognitive well-being. Moreover, those who remained to follow up had significantly higher childhood IQ scores and more education. Therefore, our participants may have a narrower distribution of cognitive function and decline, which could reduce the magnitude of associations in our study.

Second, differences in the measurement of Mediterranean diet adherence make comparisons between studies problematic. Mediterranean diet adherence is traditionally measured using an a priori Mediterranean diet score, developed to reflect the traditional diet of those residing near the Mediterranean sea which is rich in vegetables, fish, cereals, fruit, extra-virgin olive oil and legumes, and relatively low in meat and dairy products. Systematic reviews have concluded that studies conducted in Greece and other Mediterranean regions provide strong support for a protective effect of the Mediterranean diet on cognitive decline, where nutrient intakes are higher. In countries outside of the Mediterranean basin, the use of a pre-defined score can be problematic given that the average diet is unlikely to conform to such ideals. Indeed, the majority of the aforementioned studies reporting null findings are mostly from non-Mediterranean samples. Calculation of dietary adherence using patterns derived from whole-diet data, such as in the present study, is more likely to reflect the true nature and complexity of the local Scottish diet, where nutrient intakes are lower than many other countries, and is an approach that other UK studies have used. Though the current study used an ‘a posteriori’ measure of Mediterranean-style diet adherence in the main analyses, we also observed null findings using a Mediterranean diet score which may reflect modest adherence to the Mediterranean diet in the Scottish population, as above, and suggest that the measurement method used, is not driving the associations here.

Third, dietary intake in adulthood is strongly associated with early-life cognitive ability – in the LBC1936 and in other samples – which makes determining the direction of causation in diet-cognition studies problematic. These studies demonstrate a confounding effect of prior cognitive ability whereby brighter children tend to become brighter adults with healthier lifestyle practices, including dietary choices. Here, marked attenuation was evident when adjustment was made for a measure of participants’ cognitive ability at age 11 years, such that intercept associations of diet with visuospatial ability, processing speed and memory, lost significance. This suggests that prior (childhood) cognitive ability level, and other proxy measures such as educational attainment, account for a large part of the variance in diet-cognitive ability associations in later life, and full consideration should be given to such measures in future studies.

There are several strengths and limitations of this study. Strengths of this study include the well-phenotyped sample including detailed dietary data and comprehensive cognitive assessments over five waves of follow-up using multiple tests with proven validity and sensitivity to cognitive deficits. We adjusted for a range of covariates established in the LBC1936, and other studies, as known predictors of cognitive decline and other neurodegenerative disorders, including childhood IQ and ApoE e4 status. We used sophisticated statistical methods; our analyses employed a longitudinal design incorporating information collected at each wave into growth curve models enabling detection of linear trends in cognitive change. We excluded those with dementia diagnosed at any point across the five waves of testing, reducing the possibility that pre-dementia states may have affected dietary choices.

One of the limitations of our study is that diet was assessed only at baseline. Baseline diet might not represent a stable diet over the study period. Thus, we were unable to address the relationship between dietary change and cognitive change across the follow-up period. Second, the Mediterranean-style pattern
identified in the current study contains some (e.g. vegetables, fish, beans), but not all, important aspects of a traditional Mediterranean diet consumed in Greece and other Mediterranean countries. For example, the PCA-derived pattern does not include loadings of >0.30 from olive oil, fruits or wine. Third, our sample size at the end of follow-up was reduced due to attrition, though we note that one of the advantages of latent growth curve models is that they have flexibility with regard to missing data due to attrition, as is the case in long-term longitudinal studies. Using full-information strategies, they take into account all measures at each time point regardless of whether participants have complete data throughout the five waves of follow-up. Finally, the LBC1936 are a self-selected sample of relatively well-performing, healthy individuals and as such, our findings may not generalise to other populations.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we found that greater adherence to a healthy Mediterranean-style diet was associated cross-sectionally with better verbal (crystallised) ability, with the converse being true for a more meat-based traditional diet. However, neither dietary pattern predicted global- nor domain-specific cognitive decline, with the exception of a slightly steeper slope in verbal ability in those with a higher Mediterranean-style diet score at baseline. This association was small, and likely to reflect regression to the mean in higher cognitive ability participants at baseline. In this well-characterised Scottish cohort of older adults, dietary patterns did not significantly influence trajectories of cognitive ageing, beyond known predictors of cognitive change.
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