Detecting Dark Photons with Reactor Neutrino Experiments
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We propose to search for light $U(1)$ dark photons, $A'$, produced via kinetically mixing with ordinary photons via the Compton-like process, $\gamma e^- \rightarrow A' e^-$, in a nuclear reactor and detected by their interactions with the material in the active volumes of reactor neutrino experiments. We derive 95\% confidence-level upper limits on $\epsilon$, the $A'$-$\gamma$ mixing parameter, $\epsilon$, for dark-photon masses below 1 MeV of $\epsilon < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\epsilon < 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$, from NEOS and TEXONO experimental data, respectively. This study demonstrates the applicability of nuclear reactors as potential sources of intense fluxes of low-mass dark photons.
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Despite the many remarkable successes of the Standard Model of particle physics (SM) during the past several decades, many questions still remain. While the SM accurately describes interactions between known particles in terms of the $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C$ gauge group, it does not incorporate gravity or dark matter, and does not exclude the possibility that there are additional interactions or gauge bosons. One simple extension of the SM that addresses the dark matter issue is the addition of an extra Abelian gauge force, $U(1)'$, with a gauge boson, commonly called a dark photon (DP), that kinetically mixes with the ordinary photons of the SM, as suggested in Ref. [1]. After rotating the kinetically mixed fields to the physical fields, the effective Lagrangian [2] for the photon and DP system with kinetic mixing parameter ($\epsilon$) is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu \nu} F'^{\mu \nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 A'^2 - \epsilon (A_\mu + \epsilon A'_\mu) J^\mu,$$

where $F_{\mu \nu}$ ($F'_{\mu \nu}$) is the field strength of photon (DP) field $A_\mu$ ($A'_\mu$), $m_{A'}$ is the DP mass, and $J^\mu$ is the current of electrically charged matter.

The DP mass can be generated by either the Stückelberg [3] or the Higgs mechanism. When the SM and the DP are embedded in a grand unified theory, one obtains the kinetic mixing-parameter at the quantum-loop level to be between $10^{-7}$ and $10^{-3}$ [4]. In the context of non-perturbative and large-volume compactifications of string theory constructions, $\epsilon$ is estimated to be in the range from $10^{-12}$ to $10^{-3}$ [5].

If the DP mass is larger than twice the mass of electron ($2m_e$), it can decay into an electron-positron pair. Upper limits on $\epsilon$ for $m_{A'} > 2 m_e$ established by electron-positron and hadron colliders, and electron and proton beam-dump experiments are summarized in Ref. [6]. Constraints on $\epsilon$ for the case where the DP mass is below 1 MeV come from non-accelerator experiments, including: cosmic microwave background spectrum [7]; broadband radio spectra of compact radio sources [8]; tests of Coulomb’s law [9]; light-shining-through-wall experiments [10]; solar energy loss [11] helioscope experiments [12]; and direct dark matter search experiments [13].

In antineutrino-electron ($\bar{\nu}_e$-$e$) scattering experiments that use nuclear reactors as the $\bar{\nu}_e$ source, constraints on the DP mass and the mixing parameter $\epsilon$ can be established by considering the possibility that DP interactions in the active volume of the neutrino detector can contribute to $\bar{\nu}_e$-$e$ scattering signal as described in Ref. [14]. In this letter, we discuss the possibility that reactor neutrino experiments can be exploited to provide a sensitive probe for DPs with masses below 1 MeV.

Gamma rays of a few MeV produced in a reactor that scatter off electrons in the materials of the reactor core can produce DPs via the Compton-like process, $\gamma e^- \rightarrow A' e^-$. The number of DPs, $N_{A'}$, with the recoil energy $E_{A'}$ from the reactor is given by the relation

$$\frac{dN_{A'}}{dE_{A'}} = \int \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\sigma_{\gamma \rightarrow A'}}{dE_{A'}} \frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}},$$

where $\sigma_{\gamma \rightarrow A'}$ is the cross section for the process $\gamma e^- \rightarrow A' e^-$, $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ is the total cross section for photon interacting with material at the gamma energy of $E_{\gamma}$, and $\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}}$ is the flux of $\gamma$-rays with energies between $E_{\gamma}$ and $E_{\gamma} + dE_{\gamma}$. The cross section for $\sigma_{\gamma \rightarrow A'}$ is given in Ref. [15], and, in the limit $m_{A'} \ll m_e$, the differential cross section for $\sigma_{\gamma \rightarrow A'}$ can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma \rightarrow A'}}{dE_{A'}} \approx \epsilon^2 (1 + \mathcal{O}(m^2_{A'}/m^2_e)) \frac{d\sigma_C}{dE_{\gamma}} \bigg|_{E_{\gamma} = E_{A'}},$$

where $\sigma_C$ and $E_{\gamma}$ are the cross section and the energy of the Compton-scattered $\gamma$-ray, respectively.

For $\gamma$-ray energies below 1 MeV, DPs are produced with energy $E_{A'}$ less than 1 MeV, which would be difficult to detect in most reactor neutrino experiments even if they deposit all of their energies in the detector, because of large low-energy backgrounds. For this reason, the present study only considers $\gamma$-ray and DP energies above 1 MeV. For photons with energies of a few MeV, Compton scattering is the most important interaction process, dominating over photoelectric absorption and electron-positron pair production, even for high-atomic-number materials such as uranium. Therefore, it is a reasonable approximation to use the Compton scattering cross section $\sigma_C$ as the total cross section, $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$, for these energies.

Gamma rays are produced inside a nuclear reactor by several different processes: emission of prompt $\gamma$-rays in fissions...
of $^{235}$U, $^{238}$U, $^{239}$Pu and $^{241}$Pu nuclear fuel isotopes; $\gamma$ emission from neutron capture and inelastic neutron scattering in the moderator, fuel and other reactor core materials; and $\gamma$ emission from the radiative de-excitation of fission daughter nuclei. The measured number of prompt $\gamma$-rays per fission ranges between 6.70 and 7.80 for $^{235}$U, $^{239}$Pu and $^{252}$Cf nuclear fuel isotopes [16], which translates into about $2 \times 10^{20}$ $\gamma$-rays per second with energies below 10 MeV in a 1 GW thermal-power reactor. Since the $\gamma$-ray energy spectrum depends on the fuel composition, the materials in the core, the core geometry, etc., it is almost impossible to determine an accurate spectrum for any specific reactor. In this study, we use the $\gamma$-ray flux determined for the FRJ-1 reactor core for $E_{\gamma} \gtrsim 200$ keV [17]

$$\frac{dN_{\gamma}'}{dE_{\gamma}} = 0.58 \times 10^{18} \left( \frac{P}{\text{MW}} \right) \exp\left[ -\frac{E_{\gamma}}{0.91 \text{MeV}} \right].$$

This spectrum was used in the analysis of an axion search experiment performed at the Bugey nuclear reactor [18]. For a reactor with thermal power of 1 GW, Eq. (3) implies $1.76 \times 10^{20}$ $\gamma$-rays per second for $\gamma$-ray energies above 1 MeV; the number of prompt $\gamma$-rays in fissions from the fuel elements is $6.82 \times 10^{19}$ $\gamma$-rays per second. Although these two estimates differ by a factor of 2.6, their difference does not introduce a large uncertainty on the kinetic mixing $\epsilon$ constraint, as discussed below.

Figure 1 shows the number of the DPs that would be produced per second at the center of a 1 GW thermal-power reactor as determined using the $\gamma$-ray spectrum given in Eq. (3) with the kinetic mixing parameter set at $\epsilon = 1$. In this determination, the reactor is treated as a point source. The emitted DP flux ($\frac{dN_{\gamma}'}{dE_{\gamma}}$) for $m_{A'} < 0.1$ MeV is not much different from that for $m_{A'} = 0.1$ MeV shown as the blue curve in Fig. 1.

We consider an $A'$ search for $m_{A'} < 1$ MeV. In this mass range, the DP can decays to three photons with a decay width given by Ref. [19]

$$\Gamma_{A' \rightarrow 3\gamma} \approx 2.16 \times 10^{-16} \epsilon^2 \frac{m_{A'}^3}{m_e^2},$$

This corresponds to a DP decay length ($L_{A'}$) of

$$L_{A'} = 5.05 \times 10^2 \epsilon^{-2} \left( \frac{\text{MeV}}{m_{A'}} \right)^{10} \frac{E_{A'}}{\text{MeV}} \text{ m.}$$

Since baselines from reactor to detector for short-baseline reactor neutrino experiments are typically less than 30 m and the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$ is expected to be much less than $O(1)$, essentially all of the produced $A'$s would arrive at detectors without decaying.

The $A'$ can be detected via the DP absorption process, $A' e^- \rightarrow \gamma e^-$. The cross section for the process, $\sigma_{A' \rightarrow \gamma}$, is given in Ref. [20] and for $m_{A'} \ll m_e$, the differential cross section with respect to the recoil $\gamma$-ray energy can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{A' \rightarrow \gamma}}{dE_\gamma} \approx \frac{2}{3} \epsilon^2 (1 + O(m_{A'}/m_e)) \frac{d\sigma_C}{dE_\gamma},$$

where $T$ is the data-taking period, $N_e$ is the total number of electrons in detector’s fiducial volume, and $R$ is the distance between the center of reactor and the detector. The $E_{r1}$ and $E_{r2}$ integration limits, $\frac{2m_eE_{A'}/m_{A'}}{m_e + 2E_{A'}}$ and $E_{A'}$, for $m_{A'} \ll m_e$, respectively, are functions of $m_{A'}$ and $E_{A'}$. The number of $A'$ absorption events are proportional to $\epsilon^4 \sigma_C$.

To extract 95% confidence level (C.L.) upper limits on $\epsilon$ as a function of the DP mass based on Eq. (7), we take 1.96 times the uncertainty ($\sigma$) of the number of observed $e^- - \gamma$ events as the number of upper limit on the number of DP-induced events in the data. In this study, we consider the TEXONO [21] and NEOS [22] short-baseline reactor experiments. Both experiments have similar baselines, reactor power and data taking periods, while the detector materials, masses and detection energy windows for the two experiments are different.

The TEXONO experiment measured the $e^- - e^-$ scattering cross section with a total mass of 187 kg CsI(Tl) scintillating crystal detector, where the detector is located at a distance of 28 m from the core of a 2.9 GW thermal-power reactor. The experiment extracts the total number of $\bar{\nu}_e - e^-$ scattering events in the recoil electron energy 3 MeV to 8 MeV to be $[414 \pm 100.6]$, where the error includes both statistical and systematical uncertainties, for a 160-day data-taking period; this is consistent with SM expectations for the number of $\bar{\nu}_e - e^-$ scattering events. From the uncertainty, we infer a 95% CL upper-limit on the number of DP-induced events of 197.2 and translate that into an upper limit on $\epsilon$ using Eq. (7).
For this limit determination, the energies deposited in the detector by both the recoil $e^-$ and the $\gamma$-ray that is produced in the absorption process is required to be in the TEXONO experimental limits (between 3 MeV and 8 MeV) by setting the integration limits $E_{A_1'}$ at 3 MeV and $E_{A_2'}$ at 8 MeV. The resulting limit is $\epsilon < 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ for $m_{A'} < 1$ MeV at 95% C.L. upper limit.

The NEOS experiment was a search for sterile neutrino using a 1008 L volume of liquid scintillator (LS) detector located at a distance of 24 m from the center of the core of a 2.8 GW thermal-power reactor. The experiment took data for 180 days with the reactor on and for 46 days with the reactor off. During the reactor-on period, the total number of $e^-/\gamma$ events in the 1 MeV to 5 MeV energy range after vetoing cosmic-ray muons was $7.2 \times 10^8$ [23], and consistent with the background rate determined from the reactor-off data. We, therefore, assume that all of the reactor-on event candidates are due to background, and use 52,600 events (1.96 $\sigma$ of statistical uncertainty of those events) as the 95% confidence level upper limit on the number of observed DP events. Setting the integration limits $E_{A_1'}$ to be 1 MeV and $E_{A_2'}$ to be 5 MeV in Eq. (4), we determine $\epsilon < 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ for $m_{A'} < 1$ MeV at 95% C.L. upper limit.

Since the parameter $\epsilon$ is inversely proportional to forth root of the $\gamma$-ray spectrum, the limits for the parameter $\epsilon$ obtained with the $\gamma$-ray spectrum in Eq. (5) does not introduce a large uncertainty in these upper limits. The limits given above are based on Eq. (4), using a $\gamma$-ray flux for prompt fission-process $\gamma$-rays would increase the upper-limits on $\epsilon$ by 30%. Since both $\gamma$-ray flux estimations do not correctly include $\gamma$-ray contributions from neutron capture, inelastic neutron scattering and other $\gamma$-ray sources in a reactor core, the limits for the parameter $\epsilon$ in our study would be upper bound estimates.

The experimental bounds on $\epsilon$ could be substantially improved with better background rejection. In the NEOS experiment, the $e^-/\gamma$ background events mainly come from ambient $\gamma$ rays and internal radioactive $^{40}$K and $^{208}$Tl contaminations that produce 1.461 MeV and 2.614 MeV $\gamma$ rays, respectively. The rejection of these $\gamma$ rays is difficult in the NEOS experiment because it is a homogeneous LS detector with no segmentation. In comparison, the DANSS detector [25] consists of a similar 1 m$^3$ volume of highly segmented plastic scintillator, that could have potentially reject ambient background $\gamma$ rays by imposing fiducial cuts. Internal radioactive backgrounds are reduced by tight constraints on the intrinsic diopurity of the detector materials. Moreover, the DANSS detector baseline is smaller, between 9.7 m and 12.2 m from the reactor, and the thermal-power of the reactor is 3 GW. With these improvements, the DANSS experiment can be expected to reach an $\epsilon$ sensitivity level of $10^{-6}$.

In summary, we propose to search for light DPs produced via the Compton-like process, $\gamma e^- \to A' e^-$, in a nuclear reactor core, and detect them via inverse Compton-like scattering, $A' e^- \to \gamma e^-$, in a short-baseline-reactor-neutrino detector. We derived constraints on the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$ for the NEOS and TEXONO short-baseline reactor neutrino experiment results, setting 95% C.L. upper limits of $\epsilon < 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\epsilon < 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ for $m_{A'} < 1$ MeV,
respectively.
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