Economic burden of disease progression among multiple myeloma patients who have received transplant and at least one line of therapy in the US
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Abstract
Effects of disease progression on healthcare resource utilization (HRU) and costs among multiple myeloma (MM) patients with ≥1 line of therapy (LOT) who received their first stem cell transplant (SCT) within 1 year of initial MM diagnosis were estimated using a large US claims database. Disease progression was defined as advancement to the next LOT, bone metastasis, hypercalcemia, soft tissue plasmacytoma, skeletal related events, acute kidney disease, or death within 12 months of LOT initiation. Annual HRU and costs in the first three LOTs (L1–L3) were compared for patients with versus without disease progression using inverse probability of treatment weighting to adjust for differences between groups in baseline characteristics. In all LOTs, mean annual hospitalizations and healthcare costs were greater for patients with versus without progression. Total incremental annual costs among patients with versus without progression in L1–L3 were $18,359, $87,055, and $71,917, respectively, among LOTs initiated between 2006 and 2018. In LOTs initiated between 2013 and 2018, the figures were $46,024, $100,329, and $101,942 in L1–L3, respectively. The economic burden of disease progression is substantial in this population of MM patients who underwent SCT and received systemic anti-myeloma therapy.

Introduction
Multiple Myeloma (MM) is a rare hematologic malignancy of the plasma cells that is associated with a variety of complications, including—but not limited to—anemia, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, bone loss and fractures, and kidney disease. In the US, ~32,270 new cases of MM are diagnosed, and ~12,830 persons die from the disease each year. Five-year survival for patients diagnosed with MM is ~54%1.

Current treatment guidelines by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) and the International Myeloma Working Group recommend initiating therapy when patients become symptomatic or are identified based on biomarkers of malignancy2,3. Initial treatment for transplant eligible (TE) patients is individualized according to patients’ risk factors2. Most patients receive an initial regimen that includes combination treatment with a corticosteroid (i.e., dexamethasone) and a proteasome inhibitor (PI: most commonly, bortezomib) and an immunomodulatory drug (IMiD: most commonly lenalidomide), and there is an emerging role for monoclonal antibodies in quadruplet regimens (mAb: primarily daratumumab), and/or a chemotherapy2. For patients who are refractory to or relapse following initial treatment, the NCCN Guidelines recommend numerous treatment options including PIs, IMiDs, and mAbs2. Several other drugs have been recently approved for their use in relapsed and refractory MM including selinexor4 and belantamab5. Despite the multitude of options, the likelihood and duration of response decrease with each line of therapy.
therapy (LOT) and the disease is ultimately fatal for many patients\(^2\).

Previous retrospective observational studies have documented substantial costs for MM patients receiving second (i.e., second line) or subsequent treatments\(^6\)–\(^13\), focusing on patients who are progressing and need to receive further lines of therapy. However, evidence on economic burden specifically focusing on MM patients who received transplant is lacking. Hagiwara\(^13\) reported, among transplant ineligible MM patients who initiated LOTs between 2006 and 2016, total annual healthcare costs were greater for patients with versus without progression, with a difference of $25,920, $30,632, $47,320, and $19,769 for LOTs 1–4 (L1–L4), respectively. The objective of this study was to investigate if the results found among transplant ineligible MM patients in Hagiwara\(^13\) can also be found among MM patients with receipt of transplant using the same approach as much as possible except for the algorithm for identifying LOTs: i.e., to compare and quantify HRU and healthcare costs in the US between MM patients with versus without progression who have received at least one LOT including a stem cell transplant using inverse probability of treatment weights (IPTW) to adjust for differences between the two groups\(^14\).

**Methods**

**Study design and patient selection**

A retrospective incident user cohort design was employed\(^15\). Data for the study were obtained from the IBM MarketScan\(^9\) Commercial Claims and Encounters (CCEA) and Medicare and Coordination of Benefits (MDCR) databases. Study subjects included all adult patients in the databases with a confirmed diagnosis of MM during the study period (January 1, 2006 through September 30, 2018), where a confirmed diagnosis of MM was defined as at least one inpatient claim with a diagnosis of MM (ICD-9-CM code 203.0x or ICD-10-CM code C90.0), or at least two nondiagnostic outpatient claims within 30 to 365 days apart with a diagnosis of MM. The date of each patient’s first claim with a diagnosis of MM was designated the “diagnosis date”. To ensure that the first claim with a diagnosis of MM in the databases represented initial diagnosis of the disease, patients with <6 months of continuous enrollment prior to their diagnosis date were excluded. Patients were further required to have initiated during the study period a course of MM therapy including one or more of the following: lenalidomide, pomalidomide, thalidomide, bortezomib, carfilzomib, ixazomib, daratumumab, elotuzumab, panobinostat, or vorinostat, and have received stem cell transplant (SCT) within 1 year of the diagnosis date. Although vorinostat is not indicated for MM, it was included in our study since its use among MM patients has been investigated in clinical studies\(^16\). The following patients were excluded: patients with receipt of MM therapy or unclassified antineoplastic medications (HCPCS Code J9999) before the diagnosis date; patients with evidence of receipt of more than one type of PIs simultaneously; patients with missing information on supply amounts of MM therapy that could not be imputed; and patients with missing demographic information.

**Data source**

The CCEA and MDCR databases contain enrollment information and information on the health insurance claims of employees of large, self-insured corporations and their dependents, along with a few commercial health plans (CCEA) and for Medicare-eligible persons (mainly retirees) who are also covered by self-insured employers (MDCR), and they are fully de-identified and compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996\(^17\). All claims include information on costs for adjudicated claims including amounts paid by insurers and patients. For each person in the databases, claim-level data can be arrayed in chronologic order to provide a detailed, longitudinal profile of all medical and pharmacy services received. The databases have been used widely in health services research over the past decade.

**Identification of LOTs**

The algorithm for identifying LOTs was determined based on a previously published algorithm for transplant ineligible patients\(^18\) that was adapted for TE patients based on direct review of the temporal pattern of claims for MM treatments by a clinical expert on the study team (Dr. Fonseca, Mayo Clinic, Phoenix Arizona, US). For each qualified patient, the date of initiation of L1 was defined based on the date of first receipt of MM therapies before the date of the first SCT claim. Patients who had evidence of a second SCT within 1 year of the initial SCT were assumed to have received tandem SCT. For each patient, the date of L2 initiation was determined by the date of the first MM therapy received after SCTs as described in the Appendix. For L2 onward, the date of the next LOT was based on the earliest of: (1) the date of the first claim for a “new MM therapy,” defined as a MM therapy for which there was no claim during the treatment identification period; (2) the date of the first claim for any MM therapy with a gap period greater than 90 days after the end of cycle; or (3) for patients who received more than one type of PI during the treatment identification period, the first date with a claim for the second type of PI. Only the first three LOTs were considered in the analysis as the number of patients with more than three LOTs was relatively small.

**Disease progression and matching patients**

For each qualified patient and LOT, progression status was assessed based on claims observed during an “event identification period”, defined as the first 12-month
Outcomes and analysis

Annual HRU and total healthcare costs during the follow-up period were calculated for each patient and LOT. HRU measures included number of emergency department visits, physicians' office and outpatient hospital visits, other outpatient visits, outpatient prescription claims, inpatient admissions, and inpatient days. Cost measures included costs of MM therapy (including medication costs and costs of administration), costs of SCT, costs of inpatient hospitalization not associated with SCT, costs of outpatient services excluding services for SCT or MM therapy, costs of outpatient pharmacy excluding MM therapy, and total costs. The cost categories were assessed hierarchically in the order described above. Costs were based on total paid amounts including amounts paid by insurers and patients (i.e., patient contributions were included). Costs were adjusted to 2018 US dollars using consumer price index for medical care published by Bureau of Labor and Statistics.

Annual HRU and costs were compared between patients with versus without progression using stabilized inverse probability of treatment weights (sIPTW) to adjust for differences between the two groups in baseline characteristics including year of index date, age, gender, region, plan type, Medicare status, comorbidities, and pre-index HRU and healthcare costs. For each LOT, sIPTWs were estimated using logistic regression with the progression status as the dependent variable and baseline patient characteristics as independent variables. The resulting predicted probability of progression was used to calculate the weights. Average treatment effect (ATE) weights were used. Stabilized weights were calculated using the marginal probabilities of progression and no progression. To adjust for difference in duration of follow-up period, individual patients were also weighted by duration of follow-up. P values were calculated using t-test with stabilized IPTW, and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated based on the bootstrap method with 2000 replicated samples.

For each LOT, expected cumulative total plan costs for patients with versus without progression were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier sampling average (KMSA) method, with disenrollment used in place of death. In these analyses, patients were censored when their disenrollment occurred at the end of the study period. To evaluate temporal trends, a subgroup analysis was conducted for LOTs initiated before and after 2013.

Results

Study patients

Of the 5309 adult MM patients who received one or more MM therapies (including a stem cell transplant) during the study period, 3599 qualified for the study, including 934 patients with progression and 2665 without progression.
progression during L1, respectively (Fig. 2). The numbers of matched pairs of patients with and without progression were 904 in L1, 482 in L2, and 244 in L3.

Baseline characteristics
Mean age at index date was 58 years, and 56% were male (Table 1). In all LOTs, patients with progression had more comorbidities than those without progression. Number of emergency department visits, physicians’ office and other outpatient visits, and hospitalizations during the 12-month period before index date (date of progression) were all higher among patients with progression in all LOTs. Hospitalization costs and costs of outpatient services during the pre-index period were higher among patients with progression, but the cost of outpatient prescription during the same period was higher among patients without progression. With sIPTW adjustment, all baseline characteristics were well balanced with standardized mean differences (SMD) < 0.1 for all characteristics for L1 and L2. For L3, SMDs were < 0.1 for most of the baseline characteristics except for baseline costs for outpatient services and prescriptions, and Charlson index (Fig. 3).

Healthcare utilization and costs
The mean number of hospitalizations per year was greater for patients with versus without progression in all LOTs, with differences ranging from 0.97 (95%CI 0.73, 1.22) for L2 to 0.32 (95%CI 0.20, 0.44) for L1 (Table 2 and Fig. 4A, B). The mean number of outpatient visits per year also was greater for patients with versus without progression in L1 through L3. With the exception of L2, annual MM therapy costs were lower for patients with versus without progression (Table 2 and Fig. 5A). Annual costs of outpatient services, excluding visits associated with MM therapy and hospitalization, were greater for patients with versus without progression for all LOTs. Total annual healthcare costs were greater for patients with versus without progression in all LOTs with the incremental annual costs (95%CI) of $18,359 ($2289–
$34,429), $87,055 ($61,778–$112,333), and $71,917 ($36,452–$107,382) in L1, L2, and L3, respectively. These incremental annual costs for patients with versus without progression were mostly MM-related: $16,678 (91%), $81,622 (94%), and $65,674 (91%) in L1, L2, and L3, respectively.

The expected cumulative total plan costs estimated using the KMSA method were reported every year post-index as long as at least ten patients remained in both the...
## Table 2  Mean (SE) annual healthcare resource utilization and costs during the follow-up period, for IPTW matched sample of pts patients with vs versus without progression by LOT and index year among TE MM patients.

| LOT Outcome | LOT initiated in year 2006–2018 | LOT initiated in year 2006–2012 | LOT initiated in year 2013–2018 |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|             | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) |
| Follow-up months, Mean (SE) | 904 (847) | 904 (907) | 904 (907) | 904 (907) | 53 (470) | 471 (502) | 369 (377) | 43 (377) | 433 (405) |
| Outpatient visits, Mean (SE) per y | 31.98 (0.89) | 22.02 (0.76) | 53 (470) | 471 (502) | 369 (377) | 433 (405) | 31.98 (0.89) | 22.02 (0.76) | 53 (470) | 471 (502) | 369 (377) | 433 (405) |
| Hospitalizations, Mean (SE) per y | 67.75 (1.41) | 75.65 (1.74) | 7.90 (3.51, 12.30)** | 65.85 (1.87) | 71.60 (2.33) | 5.74 (−0.13, 11.16) | 71.8 (2.11) | 83.13 (2.61) | 11.35 (4.75, 17.94)** | 71.8 (2.11) | 83.13 (2.61) | 11.35 (4.75, 17.94)** |
| Length of stay at hospital, Mean (SE) per y | 5.569 (0.74) | 10.128 (0.72) | 4.56 (2.54, 6.58)** | 5.663 (1.10) | 9.943 (0.93) | 4.28 (1.45, 7.11)** | 5.369 (0.65) | 10.470 (1.17) | 5.10 (2.48, 7.72)** | 5.369 (0.65) | 10.470 (1.17) | 5.10 (2.48, 7.72)** |

### Costs, Mean (SE)

| MM therapy medications and administration | 73,042 (2111) | 54,316 (2552) | −18,726 (−25,221, −12,231)** | 57,258 (2028) | 35,179 (2331) | −22,080 (−28,144, −16,016)** | 106,619 (4120) | 89,615 (4834) | −17,004 (−29,472, −4536)** |
| Stem cell transplant | 17,690 (3360) | 32,034 (3297) | 14,344 (512, 23,576)** | 17,924 (5,121) | 29,161 (3512) | 11,237 (−950, 23,424) | 17,193 (23,80) | 37,333 (3606) | 20,140 (33,739)** |
| Other hospitalizations | 45,633 (1946) | 75,129 (2774) | 29,596 (7548, 47,107)** | 48,272 (2780) | 52,086 (2935) | 3916 (−17,36, 43,61)** | 400,202 (22,152) | 70,845 (4619) | 30,284 (18,934, 42,715)** |
| Other outpatient visits | 3589 (304) | 5139 (358) | 15,511 (4199, 16,023)** | 5685 (388) | 6379 (465) | 694 (−495, 1883) | 4780 (439) | 5697 (570) | 937 (−544, 2418) |
| Other outpatient prescriptions | 156,773 (5004) | 175,132 (6488) | 18,359 (2289, 34,429)* | 144,422 (6811) | 145,889 (7701) | 4,467 (−18,708, 21,642) | 183,050 (6798) | 229,073 (10,993) | 46,024 (20,647, 71,400)** |

| Other hospitalization | 117,146 (4291) | 117,515 (4837) | 369 (−12,321, 13,059) | 78,775 (5325) | 70,320 (3818) | −8,455 (−21,355, 4446) | 147,558 (5594) | 154,440 (7140) | 6,881 (−10,935, 24,698) |
| Other outpatient visits | 3064 (497) | 13,354 (4084) | 11,790 (3,706, 19,874)** | 3001 (886) | 19,300 (8063) | 16,298 (−114, 32,710) | 1321 (552) | 9594 (3765) | 8273 (784, 15,762)** |
| Other hospitalizations | 14,152 (1761) | 50,664 (5382) | 36,512 (25,389, 47,634)** | 17,332 (3841) | 51,006 (4927) | 33,674 (13,625, 53,723)** | 11,632 (1733) | 50,396 (6332) | 38,764 (25,850, 51,678)** |
| Other outpatient visits | 51,167 (4673) | 96,680 (5002) | 35,513 (22,080, 48,845)** | 56,172 (7818) | 84,526 (7217) | 28,354 (7419, 49,288)** | 47,200 (5943) | 88,365 (6873) | 41,165 (23,320, 59,009)** |
| Other outpatient prescriptions | 5432 (330) | 8304 (779) | 2872 (1211, 4533)** | 7394 (745) | 7257 (887) | −137 (−213, 1856) | 3877 (282) | 9123 (1243) | 5246 (2739, 7753)** |
**Table 2 continued**

| LOT Outcome | LOT initiated in year 2006–2018 | LOT initiated in year 2006–2012 | LOT initiated in year 2013–2018 |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|             | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) |
| Total 3     | 189,961 (7028) | 277,016 (10,791) | 87,055 (61,778, 112,333)** | 162,674 (10,917) | 232,408 (16,855) | 69,734 (30,221, 112,333)** | 211,589 (9125) | 3 | 11,918 (13,750) | 100,329 (67,909, 132,748)** |
| N unweighted (weighted) | 1180 (9.99) | 1284 (1.02) | 15.25 (2.73) | 17.79 (2.51) | 10.25 (0.85) | 10.80 (0.88) | 101.36 (5.34) | 129.83 (6.03) | 28.47 (12.62, 44.32)** |
| Follow-up months, Mean (SE) | 106.24 (4.91) | 121.95 (4.63) | 15.70 (2.40, 29.01)* | 113.56 (10.67) | 110.35 (7.08) | −3.21 (−28.59, 22.17) | 0.959 (0.17) | 1.574 (0.27) | 0.61 (−0.02, 1.25) |
| Hospitalizations, Mean (SE) per y | 0.722 (0.10) | 1.604 (0.17) | 0.88 (0.30, 1.26)** | 0.999 (0.17) | 1.57 (0.27) | 0.61 (−0.02, 1.25) | 0.568 (0.12) | 1.624 (0.21) | 1.06 (0.58, 1.54)** |
| Length of stay at hospital, Mean (SE) per y | 3.983 (0.69) | 12.341 (1.47) | 8.36 (5.16, 11.56)** | 5.520 (1.49) | 12.031 (2.17) | 6.51 (1.31, 11.71)* | 2.95 (0.75) | 12.552 (1.97) | 9.59 (5.44, 13.75)** |
| Costs, Mean (SE) $ per y | 126,577 (6522) | 118,928 (7776) | −7650 (−27,592, 12,292) | 85,857 (7706) | 68,907 (6213) | −16,950 (−36,537, 2637) | 153,769 (84466) | 152,910 (11,142) | −859 (−28,395, 26,678) |
| MM therapy medications and administration | 2017 (1191) | 17,525 (4826) | 15,509 (5722, 25,295)** | 4495 (7321) | 21,401 (7445) | 16,906 (428, 33,386)* | 36.2 (1.75) | 14,892 (6326) | 14,510 (2030, 27,090)* |
| Stem cell transplant | 19,076 (4128) | 55,591 (7799) | 35,616 (18,263, 52,968)** | 21,254 (6244) | 45,607 (10,791) | 24,353 (−312, 49,019) | 191.22 (54.20) | 62,374 (10,692) | 43,252 (19,636, 66,868)** |
| Other hospitalizations | 67,404 (5586) | 92,908 (7315) | 25,464 (7,376, 43,553)** | 76,810 (10,821) | 84,377 (11,239) | 5567 (−25,274, 36,407) | 59,787 (5.36) | 98,636 (9594) | 38,849 (15,999, 61,699)** |
| Other outpatient visits | 7772 (1069) | 10,751 (1594) | 2979 (−79, 675) | 9645 (2390) | 7895 (1129) | −1750 (−700, 3504) | 6521 (1119) | 12,691 (2458) | 6170 (848, 11,491)* |
| Other outpatient prescriptions | 223,745 (10,232) | 295,662 (14,863) | 71,917 (36,452, 107,382)** | 200,060 (19,229) | 228,187 (20,968) | 28,127 (−28,107, 84,360) | 239,561 (12,155) | 341,503 (19,464) | 101,942 (56,762, 147,122)** |

MM therapy includes medication and administration costs.
*p value < 0.05.
**p value < 0.01.
***p value < 0.001.

LOS: length of stay, LOT: line of therapy, MM: multiple myeloma, Rx: prescriptions, SCT: stem cell transplant, SE: standard error, Y: year.
with progression and without progression groups. The incremental cumulative total healthcare costs among patient with versus without progression were $11,863 for L1, $68,414 for L2, and $98,507 for L3 at 1-year post-index (Table 3). At subsequent years post-index, however, patients in L1 with progression had lower costs than those...
Table 3  Expected cumulative total healthcare costs by progression, LOT, and years since index date.

| LOT initiated in year 2006-2012 | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) | LOT initiated in year 2006-2018 | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) | LOT initiated in year 2013-2018 | Without progression | With progression | Difference (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| N at risk                        | Total cost          | N at risk        | Total cost          | N at risk                        | Total cost          | N at risk        | Total cost          | N at risk                        | Total cost          | N at risk        | Total cost          |
| 1 1 1                            | 615                 | 180,099          | 102,962             | 197,063 (−224,806, 75,327)      | 382                 | 185,337          | 194,918             | −1,419 (−22,608, 19,782)        | 253                 | 173,182          | 195                 | 208,461 (5,621, 55,415) |
| 2 2 2                            | 413                 | 283,020          | 254,337             | −29,683 (−53,872, −6,494)       | 365                 | 289,970          | 231,673             | −58,397 (−83,841, −22,771)      | 150                 | 278,004          | 112                 | 387,467 (−34,786, 31,411) |
| 3 3 3                            | 283                 | 356,253          | 295,424             | −60,829 (−94,427, −26,652)      | 300                 | 359,122          | 265,042             | −94,080 (−136,864, −53,061)     | 83                  | 353,290          | 50                  | 356,874 (−59,888, 44,744) |
| 4 4 4                            | 207                 | 414,731          | 120,757             | −284,974 (−442,167, −58,372)    | 164                 | 416,443          | 286,460             | −129,984 (−171,464, −88,474)    | 43                  | 418,231          | 27                  | 406,559 (−9,671, 93,015) |
| 5 5 5                            | 130                 | 458,738          | 130,073             | −328,665 (−513,474, −53,052)    | 124                 | 464,547          | 306,244             | −158,303 (−206,45, −106,117)    | 82                  | 398,010          | 46                  | 373,884 (−180,412, 126,647) |
| 6 6 6                            | 78                  | 496,917          | 161,882             | −335,035 (−517,912, −152,130)   | 78                  | 498,901          | 173,689             | −325,212 (−355,412, −30,012)    | 45                  | 527,079          | 34                  | 505,998 (−198,111, −342,544) |
| 7 7 7                            | 45                  | 511,403          | 137,467             | −373,936 (−487,228, −260,647)   | 45                  | 527,079          | 320,958             | −206,121 (−253,343, −158,904)   | 29                  | 549,810          | 17                  | 536,463 (−1,011, −1,065,117) |
| 8 8 8                            | 29                  | 529,964          | 137,882             | −392,082 (−519,065, −165,101)   | 29                  | 529,964          | 380,021             | −149,943 (−266,271, −35,419)    | 1                           | 676,644          | 122                 | 676,644 (−598,93, 112,440) |
| 2 1 2                            | 231                 | 162,042          | 231,158             | 69,116 (33,801, 98,060)          | 84                  | 158,263          | 227,885             | 69,622 (38,959, 112,459)         | 147                 | 167,537          | 122                 | 235,202 (67,664, 259,983, 112,440) |
| 3 2 3                            | 130                 | 262,247          | 327,284             | 65,036 (22,677, 190,551)         | 55                  | 249,931          | 228,613             | 21,318 (9,850, 32,780)           | 75                  | 272,971          | 43                  | 350,304 (77,332, 207,788, 139,197) |
| 4 3 4                            | 76                  | 334,042          | 377,794             | 43,752 (−6,425, 95,808)          | 42                  | 316,080          | 333,278             | 17,198 (−5,309, 93,575)          | 33                  | 348,437          | 16                  | 409,130 (60,692, 77,740, 136,101) |
| 5 4 5                            | 43                  | 378,045          | 407,728             | 29,684 (−29,146, 90,121)         | 28                  | 357,378          | 368,422             | 11,044 (−73,071, 89,988)         | 33                  | 348,437          | 16                  | 409,130 (60,692, 77,740, 136,101) |
| 6 5 6                            | 26                  | 413,308          | 424,741             | 9,433 (−56,775, 75,809)          | 22                  | 392,792          | 388,496             | −9,263 (−100,672, 80,828)        | 1                           | 676,644          | 122                 | 676,644 (−598,93, 112,440) |
| 7 6 7                            | 81                  | 144,123          | 243,610             | 98,497 (60,996, 134,799)         | 23                  | 154,514          | 236,698             | 82,184 (4,908, 137,344)          | 57                  | 132,096          | 56                  | 242,348 (110,252, 156,204) |
| 8 7 8                            | 42                  | 239,126          | 333,103             | 93,977 (39,000, 130,407)         | 16                  | 230,867          | 330,254             | 99,386 (−22,391, 147,101)        | 26                  | 259,983          | 22                  | 342,640 (106,659, 184,388) |
| 9 8 9                            | 16                  | 294,092          | 376,981             | 85,890 (114,737, 156,665)        | 11                  | 274,668          | 343,341             | 68,673 (47,000, 169,218)         | 1                           | 676,644          | 122                 | 676,644 (−598,93, 112,440) |

95% CI (confidence interval) was calculated based on the bootstrap method with 2000 replicated samples.
For each LOT, results are reported for years with at least ten patients at risk in both progressed and not progressed groups.
without progression, while patients in L2 and L3 with progression had higher costs than those without progression.

**LOTs initiated between 2006–2012 and 2013–2018**

The subgroup analysis was conducted for LOTs initiated before and after 2013 to assess temporal trends in impact of progression. For LOTs initiated during the period from 2006 to 2012, the mean number of hospitalizations per year were greater for patients with versus without progression in all LOTs, and the number of outpatient visits per year were greater for patients with versus without progression in L1 and L2 (Table 2 and Fig. 4C, D). Total annual healthcare costs also were greater for patients with versus without progression for all LOTs initiated during this period, with the incremental annual costs of $1467 (95%CI $-18,708–$21,642), $69,734 (95%CI $30,221–$109,247), and $28,127 (95%CI $-28,107–$84,360) in L1 to L3, respectively (Table 2 and Fig. 5B). For LOTs initiated during the period from 2013 to 2018, the mean number of hospitalizations per year and the number of outpatient visits per year were greater for patients with versus without progression in all LOTs (Table 2 and Fig. 4E, F). Total annual healthcare costs also were greater for patients with versus without progression for all LOTs initiated during this period, with the incremental annual costs of $46,024 (95%CI $20,647–$71,400), $100,329 (95%CI $67,909–$132,748), and $101,942 (95%CI $56,762–$147,122) in L1–L3, respectively (Table 2 and Fig. 5C).

KMSA estimates of incremental cumulative total healthcare costs at 1-year post-index among patient with versus without progression were $35,279 for L1, $67,664 for L2, and $110,252 for L3 (Table 3). At subsequent years post-index, patients with progression had higher costs in all LOTs except for year 4 among L1s.

**Discussion**

In this study using data from a large health insurance claims database, MM patients who received transplant and medical therapy who experienced progression within 1 year of initiating treatment had higher mean annual numbers of hospitalization and outpatient visits and higher mean annual costs and expected cumulative costs at 1 year after progression than similar patients who did not experience progression. Increased HRU and costs were observed for patients with versus without progression in all LOTs and for all outcome measures except for cost of MM therapy in L1–L3. The decrease in annual costs of MM therapy with progression in these lines may reflect unplanned therapy discontinuation as a result of encountering an event such as bone metastasis, hypercalcemia, soft tissue plasmacytoma, skeletal related events, acute kidney disease that were a part of the definition of progression.

This study is subjected to limitations inherent in the use of administrative claims data. These include misidentification of study subjects and inaccurate assessment of baseline characteristics and study outcomes due to errors in coding or lack of sensitivity and specificity of diagnosis and procedure codes for clinical outcomes. Also, it is possible that study patients may have been participating in clinical trials or patient assistance programs, for whom some costs would be covered by the sponsors, and therefore not captured in the databases. The extent to which any such information bias might affect comparisons is unknown. Although patients in the MarketScan database are geographically diverse, they may not be representative of all patients in the US. In particular, individuals employed by small- to medium-sized employers are likely underrepresented in the commercial database, and patients enrolled in Medicare Advantage (Part C) plans (~31% of all Medicare beneficiaries) are largely absent from the Medicare database. Although we attempted to control for baseline characteristics using inverse probability of treatment weighting, data on some clinical characteristics (e.g., bone marrow biopsy and serum protein test results) were unavailable and the possibility that comparisons between cohorts were confounded by unmeasured characteristics must be recognized. While the algorithm used to identify lines of therapy in this study was based on one used in prior studies, the algorithm has not been clinically validated, and lines of therapy might have been misclassified in some instances. Progression was identified based on advancement to the next LOT, evidence of events indicative of progression, or evidence of death. While it was expected that these proxies captured the majority of progression events, their sensitivity and specificity are unknown.

**Conclusions**

For MM patients receiving transplant and drug therapy, the economic burden of disease is greater among patients who progressed within 12 months of treatment initiation than those who do not, possibly highlighting the impact of early relapse and aggressive disease. This pattern was observed across all LOTs and time periods. Treatments that delay progression may yield important reductions in downstream disease management costs. These savings should be considered in frameworks assessing the value of innovative treatments for MM.

**Acknowledgements**

Funding for this study was provided to Policy Analysis Inc. by Amgen Inc. The manuscript was funded by Amgen Inc. Sumreet Panjabi, Emre Yucel, and Jacqueline Buchanan are employees of and own stock options in Amgen Inc. I am an employee of Policy Analysis Inc. (PAI) and T.E.D. is a partner at Policy Analysis Inc. (PAI), who has received research funding from Amgen Inc. for this and other studies. R.F. practices medicine at Mayo Clinic and is a consultant for Amgen.
Conflict of interest
J.E. is currently an employee of Amgen, Inc. and S.P. and E.Y. were employees of Amgen, Inc. and all hold Amgen Stock. R.F. is a consultant for Amgen, BMS, Celgene, Takeda, Bayer, Janssen, Novartis, Pharmacyclics, Sanofi, Merck, Juno, Kite, Aduro, OncoTracker, Oncopeptides, GSK, and AbbVie, and is on the Scientific Advisory Board of Adaptive Biotechnologies and OncoTracker. M.H. and T.E.D. received research funding from Amgen, Celgene, EMD Serono, Jazz, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, Seattle Genetics, and Takeda.

Publisher’s note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-021-00431-5.

Received: 20 October 2020 Revised: 4 January 2021 Accepted: 25 January 2021
Published online: 16 February 2021

References
1. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures 2019. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2020. https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2020/cancer-facts-and-figures-2020.pdf. Accessed 24 Feb 2020.
2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. The NCCN Guidelines® Version 2. 2020 Multiple Myeloma. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/myeloma.pdf. Accessed 24 Feb 2020.
3. Rajkumar, S. V. et al. International Myeloma Working Group updated criteria for the diagnosis of multiple myeloma. Lancet Oncol. 15, e538-e548 (2014).
4. Podar, K., Shah, J., Chari, A., Richardson, P. G. & Jagannath, S. Selinexor for the treatment of multiple myeloma. Exp. Opin. Pharmacother. 21, 399-408 (2020).
5. McVillian A, Warcel D, Popat R. Antibody-drug conjugates for multiple myeloma [published online ahead of print, 2020 Aug 12]. Exp Opin Biol Ther. 2020;1-13. https://10.1080/14796954.2020.1802422.
6. Hagiwara, M., Panjabi, S., Sharma, A., Chen, Y. J. & Delea, T. E. Retrospective study of frequency and cost of multiple myeloma (MM) complications and treatment (Tx) related adverse events (AEs). J. Clin. Oncol. 34, 8060-8060 (2016).
7. Teitelbaum, A., Ba-Mancini, A., Huang, H. & Henk, H. J. Health care costs and resource utilization, including patient burden, associated with novel-agent-based treatment versus other therapies for multiple myeloma: findings using real-world claims data. Oncologist. 18, 37–45 (2013).
8. Arikian S. R. et al. Patterns of total cost and economic consequences of progression for patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. Curr. Med. Res. Opin. 17:1–11 (2015).
9. MacEwan, J. P. et al. Economic burden of multiple myeloma among patients in successive lines of therapy in the United States. Leukemia Lympoma 59, 941–949 (2018).
10. Pandya, S. et al. Economic burden of early progression in newly-diagnosed multiple myeloma patients. J. Clin. Oncol. 36, e20040 (2018).
11. Clancy, Z. et al. Real-world economic outcomes of early progression in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (NDMM) patients (Pts). J. Clin. Oncol. 36, 194 (2018).
12. Bhowmik, D., Hines, D. M., Intorcia, M. & Wade, R. L. Economic burden of skeletal-related events in patients with multiple myeloma: analysis of US commercial claims database. J. Med. Econ. 21, 622–628 (2018).
13. Hagiwara, M., Panjabi, S., Delea, T. E., Yucel, E. & Fonseca, R. Burden of disease progression in patients with multiple myeloma in the US. Leukemia Lympoma 61, 47–55 (2020).
14. Austin, P. C. & Stuart, E. A. Moving towards best practice when using inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score to estimate causal treatment effects in observational studies. Stat. Med. 34, 3661–3679 (2015).
15. Johnson, E. S. et al. The incident user design in comparative effectiveness research. Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf. 22, 1–6 (2013).
16. Klever, M. et al. Vonostat (v) in combination with bortezomib (b), doxorubicin (d) and dexamethasone (d) (vBDD) in patients with refractory or relapsed multiple myeloma: an interims Phase II/II analysis. Blood 132, 3202 (2013).
17. Truven Health Analytics, IBM Watson Health. The Truven Health MarketScan Databases for Health Services Researchers. https://truvenhealth.com/portals/0/assets/2017_MarketScan_Databases_Health_Services_Researchers.pdf. Accessed 23 Jan 2019.
18. Song, K., Cong, Z. & Wilson, K. Real-world treatment patterns, comorbidities, and disease-related complications in patients with multiple myeloma in the United States. Curr. Med. Res. Opin. 32, 1 (2016). 95-103.
19. Lin, D. Y., Feuer, E. J., Etzioni, R. & Wax, Y. Estimating medical costs from incomplete follow-up data. Biometrics. 53, 419–434 (1997).
20. Mues, K. E. et al. Use of the Medicare database in epidemiologic and health services research: a valuable source of real-world evidence on the older and disabled population in the US. Clin. Epidemiol. 9, 267–277 (2017).