Psychrophilic Full Scale Tubular Digester Operating over Eight Years: Complete Performance Evaluation and Microbiological Population

Jaime Jaimes-Estévez 1, German Zafra 2, Jaime Martí-Herrero 3,4,* 4, Guillermo Pelaz 5, Antonio Morán 5, Alejandra Puentes 1, Christian Gomez 1, Liliana del Pilar Castro 1 and Humberto Escalante Hernández 1

1 Centro de Estudios en Investigaciones Ambientales (CEIAM) Research Group, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia; jaimestev7@gmail.com (J.J.-E.); alepuentesl@saber.uis.edu.co (A.P.); chrisgo@saber.uis.edu.co (C.G.); lcasmol@saber.uis.edu.co (L.d.P.C.); escala@uis.edu.co (H.E.H.)
2 Grupo de Investigación en Bioquímica y Microbiología (GIBIM), Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia; geralzaf@uis.edu.co
3 Biomass to Resources Group, Universidad Regional Amazonica Ikiam, Via Tena-Muyuna, Km.7, Tena, Napo, Ecuador
4 Building Energy and Environment Group, Centre Internacional de Métodos Numéricos en Enginyeria (CIMNE), Terrassa, 08034 Barcelona, Spain
5 Chemical, Environmental and Bioprocess Engineering Group, Universidad de León, Av. Portugal 41, 24009 León, Spain; gpeleg@unileon.es (G.P.); amorp@unileon.es (A.M.)
* Correspondence: jaime.marti@ikiam.edu.ec

Abstract: Most biogas plants in the world run under psychrophilic conditions and are operated by small and medium farmers. There is a gap of knowledge on the performance of these systems after several years of operation. The aim of this research is to provide a complete evaluation of a psychrophilic, low-cost, tubular digester operated for eight years. The thermal performance was monitored for 50 days, and parameters such as pH, total volatile fatty acid (tVFA), chemical oxygen demand (COD) and volatile solids (VS) were measured every week for the influent and effluent. The digester operated at a stabilized slurry temperature of around 17.7 °C, with a mean organic load rate (OLR) equal to 0.52 kg VS/m³ digester d and an estimated hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 25 days. The VS reduction in the digester was around 77.58% and the COD reduction was 67 ± 3%, with a mean value for the effluent of 3.31 ± 1.20 g COD/Lt, while the tVFA decreased by 83.6 ± 15.5% and the presence of coliforms decreased 10.5%. A BioMethane potential test (BMP) for the influent and effluent showed that the digester reached a specific methane production of 0.40 Nm³ CH₄/kg VS and a 0.21 Nm³ CH₄/m³ digester d with 63.1% CH₄ in the biogas. These results, together with a microbiological analysis, show stabilized anaerobic digestion and a biogas production that was higher than expected for the psychrophilic range and the short HRT; this may have been due to the presence of an anaerobic digestion microorganism consortium which was extremely well-adapted to psychrophilic conditions over the eight-year study period.
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1. Introduction

In Latin America, the low-cost tubular digester model (also known as the flexible, balloon or plastic model) is the most popular digester for biogas and digestate production from animal waste [1]. The most common livestock wastes used are cattle and pig manures [2,3]. Low cost digesters are characterized by the absence of active mixing devices and/or active heating systems [4]. The controlled use of biodigesters is a sustainable technology for the treatment of animal manure because it produces (i) energy: the biogas produced is often used as fuel for cooking, heating water, and generating electricity for on-site use; (ii) Agricultural benefit: the agronomic use of the effluent from anaerobic...
digestion, due to the presence of primary nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium), is used as a soil amendment to improve plant growth [5,6]; (iii) Environmental quality: organic matter in waste manure is reduced and manure is stabilized (permanent odor and pathogen content reduction); and (iv) Social benefit: digesters improve health (reduction of exposure to wood smoke and volatile organic compounds) and quality of life, especially for women and children (who are able to spend significantly less time cooking) in rural zones [7]. Most of these benefits translate directly to energy and fertilizer cost savings for families living in rural areas in Latin America (on average, savings of USD $600/year for propane and around USD $50/year by using digestate as fertilizer) [8,9].

The anaerobic digestion (AD) process in low cost digesters is strongly influenced by local conditions such as solar radiation and temperature. For example, Castro et al. [5] reported a specific biogas production of 0.15 m$^3_{\text{biogas}}$/kg VS for a 9.5 m$^3$ (7.1 m$^3$ operational volume) low cost tubular digester under mesophilic conditions (25 ± 2°C). In contrast, a tubular reactor with similar characteristics (volume and amount fed) in Peru at 2800 m.a.s.l. (16–20°C) reached a specific biogas yield of 0.10 m$^3_{\text{biogas}}$/kg VS [10]. Therefore, biodigesters operated under psychrophilic conditions may present limitations due to the facts that: (i) microbial activity is slowed because the optimum growth temperature of bacteria and archaea is 37°C; (ii) the removal of organic matter decreases, as does the concentration of methane in the biogas, and a fraction of this biogas is solubilized in the digestate; and (iii) in view of the above, the digestate contains organic matter that is converted to ammonia (NH$_3$) and methane (CH$_4$) during storage and soil usage [11]. Thus, this can be translated into a loss of energy efficiency and a larger environmental impact due to the aforementioned gaseous emissions [12]. Hence, the search of new strategies that can solve these problems is still in progress.

In order to improve biogas yield under psychrophilic operation conditions, the increase of HRT could be a favorable strategy, but it implies a larger biodigester size. A diminution of around 5°C in operation temperature requires an increase in HRT from 30 to 50 days in order to maintain a similar biogas production and substrate volume [13]. Another alternative to improve biodigester temperature conditions is the implementation of a passive solar heating design (solar radiation gain, insulation and greenhouse) [14]. The greenhouse and tank insulation effect allow for the absorption and preservation of heat, which reduce the heat losses from the digester to the environment and to the ground, respectively [1,2]. Additionally, biogas yield can be enhanced with microorganisms which are adapted to low temperatures (<20°C). According to Feller [15], only microorganisms adapted to psychrophilic conditions can deal with the limitations that occur with temperatures below 20°C. These adapted microorganisms experience good physiological and ecological conditions in cold environments due to the unique characteristics of their membrane proteins, lipids, and genetic responses to thermal changes. In this sense, psychrotolerant microorganisms make AD possible in cold regions [16]. The AD microbiome comprises several distinct microbial trophic groups from the two evolutionarily distinct domains of bacteria and archaea. High throughput sequencing technology in AD microbiology makes it possible to determine the extensive and complex interactions of microbial communities within their environments and hosts. This procedure has shown that the main microorganism families present in stabilized sludge that proliferate in psychrophilic conditions belong to the families Pseudomonadaceae, Methylophilaceae, Sphingobacteriaceae, Coriobacteriaceae; among others [17].

Latin America presents a diversity of geographical and meteorological conditions which lead to a wide range of temperatures, from psychrophilic (<20°C) to mesophilic (20–45°C). Biodigesters that operate in tropical and subtropical regions work in mesophilic conditions, which enables the use of a relatively small digester size, high biogas production and good quality sludge [5]. Mesophilic biodigesters operate mainly with cattle manure as a substrate, obtaining biogas yields ranging from 0.15 m$^3_{\text{biogas}}$/kg VS to 0.4 m$^3_{\text{biogas}}$/kg VS, with an average methane quality of 62.6% [5,18]. In those regions, household digesters have been shown to generate the biogas required to satisfy user requirements [18].
Also, there are biodigesters installed at more than 3800 m above sea level [19], and in cold regions [6]. Due to the environmental conditions (mainly temperature), anaerobic digestion performance is affected. Under such conditions, the biogas digester yields ranged from 0.03 to 0.44 m³biogas/KgVS d with a reduced quality (60% and 49.6% of CH₄, respectively) [10,19]. Garfi el al. [20] reported that the biogas production in high altitude regions covers just around 60% of fuel needs for cooking; this could be improved by enhancing the digester design and using biofilm carriers [1], despite the fact that the use of digester effluent, known as bioslurry or biol, is, in many cases, more important to small- and medium-scale farmers than biogas [19].

Moreover, after a long period of biodigester operation, some operative problems such as clogging in the inputs and outputs, stagnation in the digester, shortcomings in feeding, changes in diet and solid accumulation may appear [21,22]. Therefore, it is necessary to accomplish a diagnosis of household digester performance after long periods of operation. On the other hand, understanding the microbial communities (through taxonomic analyses) in household digesters in cold climates could help to fundamentally improve the AD process and encourage its widespread application [23]. Unfortunately, most previous investigations have only focused on biogas yield and general monitoring during digester start up, and have not assessed the anaerobic digestion performance after several years of operation.

The present research attempts to fill this gap by assessing the performance of psychrophilic rural digesters after several years of operation in continuous mode by examining the following: (i) thermal performance, (ii) bioprocess stability, (iii) microbiological analysis, and (iv) digestate quality. In this study, the performance of a medium-sized biogas plant in a rural area, which had been operating continuously for eight years under psychrophilic conditions, was diagnosed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Description

Research was conducted at a Colombian pig farm at an altitude of 2963 m above sea level (m.a.s.l.) and a latitude of N 6°27’45.0” W 72°24’43.0”. According to the Colombian Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies (IDEAM), the environmental temperature varied throughout the year between 12 ± 3 °C [24]. This farm had 456 pigs fed with cheese whey and water. The digester was fed with the excrete produced by 255 animals. The farm is 16.5 km from the Cocuy National Natural Park. The digester had been operated for the last 8 years, and the monitoring period was 50 days.

2.2. Description of Rural Biogas Plant

For the management of the pig manure, the farm used a double layer tubular polyethylene (caliber 8 and UV protection, common greenhouse plastic) digester for over 8 years. The digester dimensions were 30 m in length, 2.5 m in diameter and 147.3 m³ total volume (operational volume is 103.1 m³). The digester was covered by a polyethylene greenhouse that provided environmental protection. The daily excrete was composed of a pig manure and urine blend which represented 0.60 m³ of total fed. This digester was fed daily with 4.16 m³/d of a mixture of excrete and free-range wash water in a 1:6 ratio. The digester HRT was around 25 days. The biogas produced in the biodigester was used to heat an enclosure containing about 160 piglets. The installed biodigester installed did not have a biogas measurement system.
2.3. Monitoring Temperature in the Biodigester

To carry out the temperature profiles analysis, four datalogger sensors were installed with the objective of monitoring temperature (ambient, interior of the greenhouse, slurry and ground) and luminosity. The datalogger location and specifications are reported in Figure 1 and Table 1.

The sensors were set up to record the temperature every hour. The description of the location and method of installation of the sensors was as follows: (a) Tamt (sensor 1) monitored the ambient temperature around the greenhouse. (b) Tga (sensor 2) was the device by which variations in the temperature of the air inside the greenhouse were recorded; this device was oriented south-north to also monitor the solar luminosity which affected the performance of digester. (c) Ts (sensor 3) recorded variations in the slurry temperature data; this sensor was located one meter inside the biodigester bag. (d) Tgr (sensor 4) monitored soil temperature; this device was located one meter underground.

Meteorological data included solar radiation and ambient temperature, which were measured and collected every hour for 50 days.

Figure 1. Digester scheme and location of temperature sensors.

Table 1. Location and characteristics of temperature sensors.

| Name         | Data             | Location                      | Equipment                           | Resolution | Accuracy                      |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Tamt (sensor 1) | ambient temperature | around the greenhouse | HOBO UA-001-08 Pendant® Waterproof Data Logger | 0.14°      | ±0.53 °C from 0 °C to 50 °C   |
| Tga (sensor 2) | air temperature/solar luminosity | inside greenhouse | HOBO UA-002-64 Pendant® Temperature/Light 64K Data Logger | 0.14°/Designed for relative light levels | |
| Ts (sensor 3)  | Slurry temperature | one meter into the biodigester bag | HOBO UA-001-08 Pendant® Waterproof Data Logger | 0.14°      |                               |
| Tgr (sensor 4) | Soil temperature | one meter underground | HOBO UA-001-08 Pendant® Waterproof Data Logger | 0.14°      |                               |

2.4. Diagnosis of Anaerobic Digestion in the Pig Farm Digester

A diagnosis (performance and stability) of the pig biogas plant was performed by studying the biochemical and microbiological behavior. The biodigester was monitored for 50 days by taking a weekly sample of the influent and effluent. Regular operational conditions remained unaltered. The samples were stored and refrigerated before analysis. All experiments were performed in triplicate. The CH₄ biogas content was determined by gas chromatography using a TCD detector on a GC-Agilent 7890® brand chromatograph, using Argon as a drag gas and a 1010 plot Carboxen capillary column (length 30 m, internal diameter 0.32 mm, 25 µm stationary phase internal layer). The CO₂ content was determined by balance (assuming biogas to be a mixture of CH₄ and CO₂).
2.4.1. Biochemical Assays

The organic matter content was measured in terms of volatile solids (VS) and total chemical oxygen demand (COD), according to standard procedures 2540 G and 5220 D, respectively [25]. Measuring the digester pH, total carbonate alkalinity (TA) and total volatile fatty acids (tVFA) concentrations indicated the process stability. pH was measured with a Metrohm 691 pH Meter. TA and tVFA were measured by pH titration to 4.3, according to the method described by Purser et al. [26]. Individual VFAs (C2–C6) were measured using a 7820A gas chromatograph (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector and an Innowax column (Agilent, USA).

A biomethane potential (BMP) assay was developed following the methodology proposed by Holliger et al. (2016), but under psychrophilic temperature conditions (15 ± 2°C). An experimental setup was constructed using 120 mL glass bottles with a 50% working volume. Digestate from the pig farm digester was used as the inoculum, keeping the digester working temperature. The substrate-to-inoculum ratio was 1:1 (VS basis). To measure endogenous methane production, a blank assay (inoculum without substrate) was included. Additionally, a positive control test with crystalline cellulose (97%) was conducted. As BMP, the residual biomethane potential of the digestate was measured in batch experiments (60 mL of inoculum working volume of at 15 ± 2°C). To guarantee an anaerobic atmosphere, the bottles were flushed with a 80/20% N₂/CO₂ mixture and sealed with aluminum caps and butyl rubber stoppers. Methane production was quantified daily by volume displacement of a sodium hydroxide solution (2 N), and normalized to standard conditions (0°C and 1 atmosphere). The BMP and residual biomethane potential tests were concluded when the volume of methane accumulated increased by less than 1% for three consecutive days.

2.4.2. Microbiological Analysis of Pig Farm Digester

Microbiological behavior was evaluated as a function of:
(i) Specific Methane Activity (SMA): the inoculum (digestate from pig farm biodigester) specific methanogenic activity at 15 ± 2°C (local conditions) and 35 ± 2°C (optimal condition). A SMA test was performed, in accordance with Astals et al. [27]. SMA experiments were performed in triplicate in 120 mL serum bottles, with a working volume of 60 mL. Sodium acetate was used as model substrate. A substrate-free blank was included to measure endogenous methane production from the inoculum. The methane produced during the SMA assay was quantified by measuring the volumetric displacement of an alkaline solution (2 N). The measured methane was normalized and expressed in terms of COD equivalents.

(ii) The taxonomic classification of bacterial and archaeal communities: first, genomic DNA was extracted from the digester influent and effluent using the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the producer’s recommendations. PCR reactions were carried out in an Eppendorf Mastercycler and PCR samples were checked for product size on a 1% agarose gel. Then, the entire DNA extract was used for high throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA gene-based massive libraries for eubacterial and archaeal communities. The primer set used for the eubacterial population analysis was 27Fmod (5′-AGRTTTGATCTCGTTCAG-3′)/519R modBio (5′-GTNTTACNGCGGCTGCTG-3′) [28]. For the archaeal population analysis, the primer set was Arch 349F (5′-GYGCASCAGKCGMGA-AW-3′)/Arch 806R (5′-GGACTACVGGGTATCTAAT-3′) [29]. The obtained DNA reads were compiled in FASTq files for further bioinformatic processing [30]. Finally, operational taxonomic units were taxonomically classified using the Ribosomal Database Project, available at https://rdp.cme.msu.edu/.

(iii) The quantitative analysis of Bacteria and Archaea: bacteria an archaea populations were analyzed by means of quantitative-PCR reaction (qPCR) using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in a StepOne plus Real Time PCR System (Applied
Biosstems) [31]. The primer sets were 341F and 518R for bacteria and mcrF and mcrR for archaea.

(iv) The pathogen content: fecal and total coliform in the influent and effluent were determined using a serial dilution, deep-plating technique in chromogenic culture media. The global methodology of this study is presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Methodology summary: analysis, variables measured and periodicity during biodigester monitoring.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Thermal Behavior of the Digester

Figure 3a shows the typical dynamical daily performance of the temperatures in the digester, while Figure 3b,c show the thermal performance for 5 days (as a tendency example during the monitoring time), and 50 consecutive days, respectively. The ambient temperature (Tamt) shows a typical daily bell shape that the green house temperature follows with higher amplitude. The maximum ambient temperature was 27.9 °C and the minimum was 9.5 °C, while the greenhouse temperature ranged between 35.5 °C and 6.8 °C. These data show that the greenhouse warmed up during the day but cooled during the night due to radiative cooling. In other studies, such as those by Perrigault et al. [14] and Martí-Herrero et al. [32], the greenhouses were built with adobe walls and were airtight, thereby achieving thermal inertia and keeping the greenhouse warm during the night. However, in the pig farm digester, the greenhouse was made only of plastic, without thermal inertia and allowing more air exchange, producing lower internal temperatures than those found outside. This night cooling effect can be avoided through the selection of proper plastic; or adding thermal inertia and airtight to the walls of the greenhouse.

The slurry temperature (Ts) showed a flat performance with a mean temperature 17.7 °C, independent of daily variations (Figure 3b). The mean ground temperature (Tgr) was 16.3 °C, which was very close to the mean ambient temperature of 16.6 °C (Figure 3c). This means that the Ts was only 1.1 °C over the ambient temperature, while an improved solar heating design in the digester led to increments of Ts over 10 °C with respect to ambient temperatures [1]. Martí-Herrero et al. [16] showed the performance of a tubular digester under similar weather conditions, where the slurry temperature followed the values of the maximum ambient temperature using just black plastic and 1 cm insulation in the trench, without a greenhouse. This means that a greenhouse without insulation or black plastic is not enough for the solar heating of tubular digesters.
The slurry temperature ($T_s$) showed a flat performance with a mean temperature of 17.7°C, independent of daily variations (Figure 3b).

Figure 3. Thermal behavior of the digester. (a) Profiles of $T_s$, $T_{ga}$, $T_{amt}$, $T_{gr}$, $T_{gh}$, $T_s$ with solar luminosity for a day. (b) Profiles of $T_{amt}$, $T_{ga}$, $T_s$ for 5 days. (c) Behavior for 50 days of $T_{amt}$, $T_{amt}$ max, $T_{amt}$ min, $T_s$ average.
3.2. Changes in Control Parameters in Pig Farm Digester

Plug flow digesters regularly operate with OLR from 0.5 kg VS/m³ digester *d to 1.0 kg VS/m³ digester *d [2]. During monitoring, the OLR ranged from 0.34 kg VS/m³ digester *d to 0.76 kg VS/m³ digester *d (average HRT = 25 d for a slurry temperature of 17.7 °C). This was because the manure was diluted during the cleaning of the pig shed with no wash water volume regulation. To achieve an adequate functioning of the digester, a previous dilution of the substrates was required which avoided clogging in the load and scum formation on its surface, and ensured continuous flow operation. The operational parameters of the digester are summarized in Table 2. Previous studies carried out with porcine manure reported that a 1:7 dilution favors the hydrolytic and methanogenic activities and the biomethane potential of the process [33]. Regarding the organic matter, the average VS of the influent decreased from 12.74 ± 3.52 g VS/kg to a mean value of 2.86 g ± 1.20 g VS/kg, which means an organic matter removal around 77.58%. In comparison with a tubular system fed with swine manure and operating at an average temperature range of 25–30 °C, VS removal was 83% [18]. This comparison allowed us to infer that temperature affects the removal of volatile solids. A similar conclusion was reached in recent research focused on AD in cold regions [34].

The organic matter content (g COD/L) variation with respect to OLR is presented in Figure 4. The influent and effluent average COD were 9.94 ± 3.25 g COD/L and 3.31 ± 1.20 g COD/L, respectively. In the AD process, an increase in OLR caused a decrease in COD removal efficiency. In this study, the results showed a diminution in COD from 70% to 62.5% for OLR of 0.34 kg VS/m³d and 0.76 kg VS/m³d, respectively. On average, the COD bioconversion achieved in the present study was 67 ± 3%. Previous studies have achieved higher organic matter removal in domestics plug-flow digester systems treating pig manure. Digesters operating at 23 ± 2 °C, 24.5 ± 1.5 °C and 26 ± 1.5 °C reported COD removal rates of around 88.5% [35], 78.5% [36] and 92% [18]. So, digesters working at psychrophilic temperature over 20 °C have been shown to achieve significant organic matter removal (around 28% more).

![Figure 4. Chemical oxygen demand concentration for influent (blue rhombuses) and effluent (orange cruxes) during OLR changes.](image-url)
Effluent tVFA concentration represents the easily biodegradable organic matter that was not metabolized in anaerobic processed. Figure 5 shows that the effluent tVFA concentrations were around $0.30 \pm 0.08$ g COD VFA/L. On average, the tVFA decrease was around $2.6 \pm 1.4$ g COD VFA/L, which represents a bioconversion of $83.6 \pm 15.5\%$. A rural biodigester with one year of continuous operation at $34^\circ$C showed $63\%$ of tVFA bioconversion [5]. Thus, with a longer operational period, it is possible to achieve higher conversion rates of soluble organic matter, even under psychrophilic conditions. These results demonstrated that the pig farm biodigester was operating efficiently, even after 8 years of continuous operation without maintenance.

A diminution in temperature could affect the stability of the fermenting microorganisms. This change in stability may cause pH changes and decrease methane yield [37]. In the present study, the pH value for both the influent and effluent was $6.1 \pm 0.8$ and $7.6 \pm 0.3$ at psychrophilic temperature. The pH range for a healthy and continuous AD process is $6.8–8.2$ [11]. The FOS/TAC ratio ranged between $0.72 \pm 0.2–0.17 \pm 0.1$ mg of acetic acid/mg of CaCO$_3$ for the affluent and effluent, respectively. This demonstrates the high buffer capacity of pig manure. FOS/TAC values below $0.8$ mg acetic acid/mg CaCO$_3$ are adequate for process stability [5]. This confirmed that the pig farm digester was operating properly without inhibition risk. As such, variations in OLR and temperature did not affect the anaerobic processes.

Individual VFA of the affluent and effluent (Figure 6) showed that acetic acid is most prevalent in the affluent (71% in relation to the other acids), indicating stable anaerobic fermentation. Butyric acid showed the best conversion (98%) compared to the other acids, and was the second most prevalent. These results can be compared with those in previous studies, where it has been shown that butyric acid fermentation plays a significant role during low temperature anaerobic degradation [38].
Figure 6. Individual VFAs (C2–C6) concentration in fed (affluent -blue bars-) and digestate (effluent -orange bars-).

According to the literature, a healthy digester has ratios of Pr/Ac below 1.4 with an acetic acid concentration under 800 mg/L. This value indicates that there is a propionate accumulation which represents a reduction in methane content due to hydrogenogenic bacteria inhibition. [39]. Although the VFA content varies along the digester [40], the outlet Pr/Ac ratio was 0.11 (acetic acid concentration of 244 mg/L). Up to now, there are no reports of individual volatile fatty acid values for low cost tubular digesters. As stated above, it can be affirmed that after a significant period of adaptation (8 years), the low-cost digester adapts to the temperature conditions and operates satisfactorily.

Ammonium is attributed to the mineralization of organic matter and is an indicator of bioprocess stability. The changes of NH₄-N during anaerobic fermentation in the low cost tubular digester are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. Ammonium changes in affluent (blue rhombus) and effluent (orange cruxes).

Operating under the local environmental conditions (Psychrophilic AD), there were smaller variation of ammonium. Previous studies revealed an ammonium increase under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions [41]. In this study, average NH₄-N decreased from 341.1 ± 52 to 276.8 ± 36 mg/L. The ammonium concentration did not exceed 355.7 mg/L during the whole AD process at 17 °C. It is well known that high concentrations of ammonia (≥3000 mg/L) are toxic to microorganisms [42]. The toxicity of ammonia may have been
insignificant in this study. This is because the low-cost tubular digester had low organic loads, and operated at a low temperature, so the accumulation of inhibitors/toxins was likely negligible. Our results agree with those of Masse et al. [43] and Wei and Guo [44], who stated that at low temperatures, ammonium concentrations do not cause failures in digesters.

Process Efficiency and Biogas Quality

Figure 8 presents the biomethane potential kinetic for influent (BMP) and effluent (residual methane potential). The BMP test at 15 °C lasted 40 days, i.e., until methane production was less than 1%. The BMP for the influent at a temperature of 15 °C was 0.49 ± 0.053 Nm³CH₄/kg VS. Significant differences were not found between the BMP values obtained with a 95% confidence level (p-value = 0.2861). The methane potential of the affluent at 15 °C using the local adapted microorganism consortia was higher than other data reported at 36.5 °C by Kafle and Chen [45], who observed a maximum of 0.33 Nm³CH₄/kg VS. The residual methane potential at 15 °C of the effluent was 0.09 ± 0.005 Nm³CH₄/kg VS. Residual methane potential values did not present significant differences (p-value = 0.17 with 95% of confidence level). The biogas composition generated by the biodigester showed a favorable value of 63.1 ± 5.3% for the CH₄ content. The quality of the biogas produced under psychrophilic conditions demonstrated the good performance of the biogas digester. The positive control (crystalline cellulose) test demonstrated the ability of the inoculum to degrade a specific substrate and the quality of BMP assay. BMP from cellulose at 15 °C was 0.40 ± 0.01 Nm³CH₄/kg VS.

![Figure 8](image-url) **Figure 8.** Influent (blue rhombus) and effluent (orange cruxes) biomethane potential kinetic.

Considering the difference of the methane potential between the affluent and effluent, the specific methane production (SMP) of the digester was estimated to be 0.40 Nm³CH₄/kg VS, which was higher than previously reported values, e.g., Lansing et al. [36] reported 0.29 m³CH₄/kg VS. The hydraulic retention time of the digester, considering a mean inflow of 4.16 m³/d and a liquid volume of 103.1 m³, was around 25 d. This retention time was very low for a 17.7 °C slurry temperature, if compared with other psychrophilic swine manure fed digesters. Martí-Herrero et al. [19] reported the SMP of a 1.5-year old low cost tubular digester divided into two stages, obtaining 0.119 Nm³CH₄/KgS V for 68.21 d and 0.093 Nm³CH₄/Kg VS for 34.11 d, and 21.6 °C of slurry temperature in both cases. So, the current digester, even with a short retention time, achieved a good SMP compared with similar digesters, despite working at higher temperatures.
The methane production rate (MPR), considering that the mean OLR was 0.52 kg VS/m$^3$ digester d, was 0.21 Nm$^3$ CH$_4$/m$^3$ digester d, which was again higher that the values reported by Lansing et al. [36] and Marti-Herrero et al. [19] for low cost tubular digesters fed with swine manure.

Therefore, the digester showed a biogas yield that was higher than expected if compared with similar digesters. The main difference was that in our study, the digester had been working for 8 consecutive years (compared to 1.5 years in the study by Marti-Herrero et al. [19]). This long working period allowed an anaerobic digestion microorganism consortium to develop which was extremely well-adapted to local temperature, operation and influent properties. This phenomenon requires further research. The performance characterization of the digester, is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Operational conditions, parameter measured and performance characterization of the full-scale low-cost tubular digester.

| Operational Conditions | Units | Value          |
|------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Working years          | years | 8              |
| Volume                 | m$^3$ | 103.1          |
| Daily mean load        | m$^3$/d | 4.16          |
| Mean slurry temperature| °C   | 17.7           |
| Mean ambient temperature| °C  | 16.6           |
| OLR                    | kg VS/m$^3$ digester d | 0.34 to 0.76 (mean 0.52) |
| HRT                    | d    | 25             |

| Parameters | Units | Influent value | Effluent value |
|------------|-------|----------------|----------------|
| COD        | g COD/L | 9.94 ± 3.25 | 3.31 ± 1.20 |
| VS         | gVS/kg  | 12.74 ± 3.52 | 2.86 ± 1.2   |
| pH         | —      | 6.15 ± 0.77 | 7.6 ± 0.3    |
| tVFA       | g COD$_{VFA}$/L | 2.9 ± 1.3 | 0.3 ± 0.08   |
| TA         | g CaCO$_3$/L | 3.72 ± 1.3 | 1.95 ± 0.25  |
| Ammonium   | g NH$_4$-N/L | 0.34 ± 0.05 | 0.28 ± 0.03  |
| BMP        | Nm$^3$ CH$_4$/kgVS | 0.46 ± 0.017 | 0.13 ± 0.06  |
| Coliforms  | × 10$^6$ CFU/mL  | 3.99 | 3.57         |

Performance characterization

|            | %     | 63.1 ± 5.3 |
|------------|-------|------------|
| CH$_4$     | %     | 66.7%      |
| SMP        | %     | 77.6%      |
| MPR        | %     | 10.5%      |

3.3. Microbiological Analysis

At low temperatures, methane formation occurred mainly by the acetoclastic route. An acetoclastic methanogenic activity test may be used to delineate the operating conditions for anaerobic systems and a parameter to assess the system performance by giving a better sense of the system and its stability [27]. In the present study, effluent acetoclastic SMA (0.06 g COD CH$_4$/gVS d) was considerably higher than in the influent (0.01 g COD CH$_4$/g VS d). The SMA for a three-year operating cattle manure digester was 0.01 g COD CH$_4$/g VS and 0.04 g COD CH$_4$/g VS d, for influent and effluent, respectively (previous study, data not shown). This behavior was because the tubular digester design highlighted the separation phases in the axial direction: acid phase (at the beginning of the digester) and methane phase (in the final digester). Therefore, the highest number of archaea was at the end of the digester, and consequently, the SMA increased [40]. Moreover, substrate type and temperature were the primary factors influencing microbial activity. From the effluent SMA, it was possible to infer that the bacteria and archaea had adapted to low temperatures after 8 years.
Microbial relative abundances data at the family taxonomic level reflected a remarkable differentiation between inlet and outlet samples (Figure 9).

The bacterial populations were dominated by hydrolytic and fermentative organisms which were capable of metabolizing the compounds present in the environment of the digester, such as members of Clostridiaceae and the Clostridium genus, whose proportions remained relatively stable throughout the digestion process and showed only a slight decrease in community composition in the outlet sample. Anaerobic and fermentative bacteria typically found in anaerobic digestion systems, such as members of Bacteroidaceae, Propionibacteriaceae, Syntrophaceae, Anaerolineaceae, or Geobacteraceae families, were present in the outlet sample. Propionibacteriaceae members are able to carry out fermentation of sugars to propionic acid [46], present in high quantities in the digester. Syntrophaceae can have a fermentative metabolism or grow in the exclusive presence of H2 (specifically, genus Syntrophus is able to degrade fatty acid chains in a symbiotic relationship with methanogens) [47], whereas Anaerolineaceae can use carbohydrates and Geobacteraceae can oxidize acetic acid and mainly use organic acids and alcohols [48]. Anaerobic conditions also produced a marked increase of Desulfonauticus (Desulfohalobacteria), Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria taxa in outlet samples in comparison to inlet samples. In contrast, the anaerobic conditions created an unfavorable selective pressure for those organisms that were fundamentally aerobic, and resulted in appreciable shifts of most of the remaining bacterial populations. For example, the Alcaligenes (Alcaligenaceae) genus was not detectable in the outlet sample. The proportions of other bacterial genera such as Bacteroides (Bacteroidaceae), Parabacteroides (Porphyromonadaceae), Pseudomonas (Pseudomonadaceae) and Mitsukoella (Veillonellaceae), among others, drastically reduced between inlet and outlet samples, suggesting a poor adaptation and a displacement in favor of other microorganisms.

Regarding archaeal populations, even though the cell abundance in the inlet sample was not high enough to obtain a 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing dataset, the archaeal populations in the outlet sample were dominated almost exclusively by the methanogenic genus Methanotrichia (formerly Methanoseta) [49], followed by Methanobacterium and Methanolinum in lesser proportions. Methanotrichia species are obligately anaerobic, using acetic acid as their sole source of energy; its metabolism results in the production of CH4 and CO2 [50]. The above suggests that the anaerobic process is ongoing, and that these archaeal populations are responsible for the methane found in the outlet sample. Overall,
the anaerobic conditions in the reactor produced unfavorable shifts in the composition of the microbial community, favoring taxa which are typically found in anaerobic digestion systems, such as the hydrolytic populations of Clostridiaceae, the fermentative populations of Bacteroidaceae, Propionibacteriaceae, Syntrophaceae, Anaerobilinaceae, or Geobacteraceae, and the methanogenic populations of Methanotrichaceae, Methanoregulaceae and Nitrosocaldeaceae families.

qPCR data for bacteria and archaea from the inlet and outlet reflected a clear differentiation between samples (Figure 10).

![Figure 10. qPCR results for bacteria and archaea from inlet and outlet samples.](image)

The qPCR results seem to indicate that the process provided a selective environment which was favorable to archaea communities with an approximate 15-fold increase from the inlet, which was consistent with the development of a community specialized in methanogenesis. In contrast, the bacteria communities experienced a decrease of approximately 48%. The low values of archaea DNA obtained from the input sample made it impossible to study their relative abundance.

### 3.4. Pathogen Reduction

The digestate produced in the biodigester was characterized to evaluate its microbiological and nutritional quality. In the affluent and effluent, a concentration of $3.99 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL and $3.57 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL, respectively, of total coliforms was quantified. This indicated that the digestate was Class B and needed to be stabilized before being dumped into arable soil [51]. Pathogen reduction was $0.42 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL; this removal was low compared to that achieved for mesophilic anaerobic processes [52]. This is because the low temperatures did not affect the pathogenic microorganisms.
4. Conclusions

A tubular plastic digester working under psychrophilic conditions for 8 years showed better biogas production than expected when compared with other low-cost tubular digesters. A greenhouse over the tubular digester is not enough to heat the digester; therefore, other factors (the color of the reactor and the insulation used in the trench) should be considered for a passive solar heating design. The digester worked properly for organic matter removal and COD reduction, but the effluent still had a large number of coliforms in need of post-treatment (Class B). Performance during the long-term operation of these systems in psychrophilic conditions tends to improve, an aspect not previously considered nor evaluated. The digester had a very short retention time (25 days) for a psychrophilic condition (17.7 °C), indicating that the high methane production (0.40 Nm³CH₄/kg VS) could be related to the acclimatization and adaptation of the microorganism consortium to the local psychrophilic conditions. A microbiological analysis showed a diverse population adapted to anaerobic digestion conditions, with an increase of methanogenic archaea and a diminution of bacteria populations, resulting in a population that was specialized in hydrolytic and fermentative processes.
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