Diagnostic performance of brain computed tomography to detect facial bone fractures
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Objective Most patients with head trauma require brain computed tomography (CT) in the emergency department. However, the requirement for facial CT remains controversial. The aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic value of brain CT alone for detection of facial fractures and its ability to determine the requirement for additional facial CT.

Methods This retrospective multicenter study was conducted in two tertiary hospitals in Seoul, Republic of Korea, between January 2014 and December 2015. Data were collected from the medical records of adult patients (aged over 18 years) who had undergone both brain and facial CT on the same day as their presentation to the emergency department with blunt trauma to the head and face. The same radiologist analyzed all brain and facial CT images.

Results Eight hundred and sixty patients (668 men, 192 women; mean age 48.60 ± 18.2 years) were identified to have had facial fractures. There was a statistically significant predominance of men but not of any particular age group. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of brain CT were 83.72%, 98.87%, 97.17%, 92.92%, and 94.08%, respectively.

Conclusion These findings suggest that brain CT has high diagnostic value for detection of upper facial bone fractures with high accuracy and can aid emergency physicians when determining the requirement for additional facial CT.
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What is already known
The majority of patients with head trauma require brain computed tomography (CT) in the general emergency department. However, the requirement of facial CT remains controversial.

What is new in the current study
The results of our study suggest that brain CT has high diagnostic value for the detection of facial fractures with high accuracy and can aid emergency physicians in determining the requirement for additional facial CT.
INTRODUCTION

The epidemiology and incidence of general emergency department (ED) admissions varies widely both nationally and internationally, largely because of differences in socioeconomic status and the regulation and consumption of alcohol. Regardless of these regional variations, the majority of patients with head trauma require brain computed tomography (CT) in the ED. However, the requirement for facial CT remains controversial.

Brain hemorrhage and facial fracture in patients with blunt trauma can be diagnosed by several methods. Although a thorough physical examination and careful assessment of history can aid in the decision to perform facial CT, there are several limitations to these examinations, including requirement for intubation, unresponsiveness in certain circumstances, presence of equipment to protect the cervical spine, and language barriers.

There have been remarkable developments in diagnostic imaging, so plain radiography of the face is rarely performed now because of its low sensitivity and specificity for detecting facial bone fractures. Together, brain and facial CT are considered to provide a rapid and precise diagnosis. However, emergency physicians also need to take into account the cost-effectiveness and radiation hazard of these procedures.

Previous studies have reported that the presence and location of facial soft tissue injuries may require both facial and brain CT. However, a few studies have reported that brain CT may be adequate for screening of facial fractures, except for those affecting the nasal bone. The aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic value of brain CT alone for detection of facial fractures and its ability to determine the need for additional facial CT.

METHODS

Study design and setting

This retrospective study was conducted in two tertiary hospitals in Seoul, Republic of Korea. We assessed approximately 75,000 and 60,000 emergency visits to these two centers between January 2014 and December 2015 to identify patients older than 18 years who had undergone both brain and facial CT on the day of admission to the ED for blunt trauma to the head and face. At both centers, brain CT images were obtained in parallel with a tilted angle from the inferior orbital wall to the C1 vertebra and a collimation of 5 mm. Facial CT was performed from the mandible to the vertex in the supine position with a collimation of 3 mm.

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Sanggye Paik Hospital, Inje University (2016-04-019-003).

Data collection

The following data were collected from the medical records by two blinded emergency physicians: patient age and sex; final diagnosis; presence of other injuries, such as facial lacerations, skin defects, lacrimal duct injuries, or eyeball injuries; location of the facial fracture, including the superior orbit, inferior orbit, medial orbit, nasal bone, and zygomatic arch; radiation dose; cost of each CT scan; disposition from ED; and findings at follow-up visits. Patients with mandibular fractures that could not be detected on brain CT were excluded.

Reporting of outcome measures

Before embarking on this study, we performed a preliminary pilot study to compare the accuracy of CT results between emergency physicians and radiologists and found no statistically significant differences between the two groups. However, to avoid discrepancies in reporting in the main study, both brain and facial CT images were analyzed by one radiologist blinded to the radiology reports and final clinical diagnoses to confirm the presence or absence of an acute facial fracture.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using PASW Statistics ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Fisher exact test and chi-square test were used to determine the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of brain CT in detecting facial fractures.

RESULTS

Of 2,900 patients identified to have undergone both brain and facial CT on the day of admission to the ED for blunt trauma to the head and face, 181 with mandibular fractures that could not be detected on brain CT were excluded. A diagnosis of brain hem-
orrhage was recorded in 31 of these 181 patients, but no mortality and morbidity data were available for the other 150 patients. Finally, data for 2,719 patients were available for analysis. The baseline patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The study population comprised 1,830 (69.4%) men and 889 (30.6%) women with a mean age of 49.21 ± 19.1 years. In total, 860 patients (668 men, 192 women; mean age 48.60 ± 18.2 years) had facial fractures. The remaining 1,859 patients (1,161 men, 697 women; mean age 49.51 ± 19.5 years) did not have facial fractures. There was a statistically significant predominance of men with facial fractures but not of any particular age group.

**Table 2. Sensitivity and specificity of brain CT for detection of facial fractures**

| Facial CT | No fracture | Fracture |
|-----------|-------------|----------|
| Brain CT  | 1,838 (98.87%)<sup>a</sup> | 140      |
| Fracture  | 21          | 720 (83.72%)<sup>b</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Specificity of head CT for the detection of facial fractures. <sup>b</sup>Sensitivity of head CT for the detection of facial fractures.

**Table 3. Facial fractures missed on brain computed tomography**

| Facial fracture type         | No. of fractures (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Medial orbital wall fracture| 14 (8.9)             |
| Lateral orbital wall fracture| 3 (1.9)              |
| Inferior orbital wall fracture| 18 (11.5)            |
| Superior orbital wall fracture| 9 (5.7)              |
| Nasal bone fracture         | 90 (57.3)            |
| Zygomatic arch fracture     | 7 (4.5)              |
| Maxillary fracture          | 16 (10.2)            |
| Temporal bone fracture      | 0 (0)                |
| Frontal bone fracture       | 0 (0)                |
| Total                       | 157 (100)            |

**Fig. 1.** Brain computed tomography performed to detect facial fractures in a 54-year-old man. The arrows indicate fractures. Non-enhanced brain computed tomography images show fractures of (A) the maxilla, (B) the zygomatic arch, (C) the medial orbital wall, and (D) the superior orbital wall.
The sensitivity and specificity of brain CT for detection of facial fractures is shown in Table 2. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy were 83.72%, 98.87%, 97.17%, 92.92%, and 94.08%, respectively, indicating that brain CT had relatively good diagnostic accuracy for facial fractures (Fig. 1).

The most common facial fracture site was the nasal bone, followed by the medial and inferior orbital walls. In total, 483 patients required facial surgery. Brain CT could not detect 157 facial fractures in 140 patients. These fractures included 90 nasal bone fractures (57.3%), 18 inferior orbit wall fractures (11.5%), 16 maxillary fractures (10.2%), and 14 medial orbital wall fractures (8.9%) (Table 3). Of these fractures, only 15 involving the nasal bone required surgery. All temporal bone fractures and frontal bone fractures were detected on brain CT.

The mean effective radiation dose for brain CT and facial CT was 2.6 and 0.7 mSv, respectively. There was only a slight difference in the costs of brain CT and facial CT between the two hospitals, with brain CT costing approximately 100 US dollars with medical insurance coverage and 250 US dollars without insurance coverage and facial CT costing approximately 150 and 350 US dollars, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

In most EDs, patients with blunt head and face trauma routinely undergo both brain and facial CT. In patients with multiple trauma sites, brain, chest, abdominal, and extremity injuries are prioritized to rule out potentially life-threatening events. Facial injuries that do not compromise the airway are often neglected, potentially leading to permanent deformity and disability.

Early recognition of a facial fracture requires both facial CT and brain CT, so that prompt management can be implemented to improve outcomes.

Although radiation doses in conventional radiography for the head are lower than those in brain CT, the interpretation of conventional radiographs is somewhat difficult for non-radiologists due to the complexity of the anatomy. Furthermore, conventional radiography for facial fractures has a lower diagnostic utility as compared with facial CT. These might be the reasons for the missed fractures and delayed treatment in patients with facial trauma, which in turn increase the need for re-operation, increase the duration of ICU stay, increase medical costs, and result in poor cosmetic and functional outcomes.

Thus, we propose brain CT as the screening method for facial fractures. Panoramic radiographs may be needed in patients with suspected mandibular fracture because brain CT does not cover the mandible.

Diagnostic performance of brain CT to detect facial bone fracture

When a facial fracture is strongly suspected on physical examination, particularly in patients with soft tissue lacerations and extensive swelling, facial CT is considered the most accurate method for detection of fractures. In the present study, we found that brain CT could detect facial fractures not involving the mandible with high specificity (98.87%) and accuracy (94.08%). These findings can aid in determining the requirement for additional facial CT scans.

Fractures of the nasal bone are the facial fractures most often undetected. It is speculated that brain CT is more reliable for detecting upper facial injuries than lower facial injuries. Facial bone fractures can be diagnosed easily on physical examination, and a clinical diagnosis based on deformity and swelling is superior to radiographic confirmation, particularly for isolated nasal bone fractures. Therefore, we believe that brain CT has no clinical benefit in terms of the decision to acquire additional facial CT scans as far as nasal bone fractures are concerned. We recommend that when patients present with upper facial injuries, particularly those above the inferior orbital wall, brain CT should be performed first. If additional lesions are suspected and do not appear on brain CT, facial CT should be performed.

Of the 157 fractures in 140 patients with facial fractures not detected on brain CT in our study, only 15 involving the nasal bone required surgery. Unlike other facial fractures, including those of the orbital walls, maxilla, and zygomatic arch, surgery for a nasal bone fracture is only required for cosmetic reasons. Therefore, nasal tip fractures would not have influenced the treatment plan in the present study. Other considerations with additional facial CT include the increased radiation exposure, cost, and time.

In Korea, the average costs of brain and facial CT are 100 and 150 US dollars, respectively. In the present study, we found 1,838 true-negative cases, meaning that neither brain CT nor facial CT detected facial fractures in these patients. The total cost after multiplying the average cost by 1,838 would be approximately 300 million dollars per year.

Following the atomic bombing of Japan in 1945, several studies on the survivors have been published, particularly with regard to radiation-induced cancer. CT is now receiving increased attention as a major source of radiation exposure. The most important factor affecting the radiation dose is the number of scans. Other factors include the scanning duration, axial scan range, patient size, and pitch value.

In the US, there has been a sharp increase in the number of CT procedures performed each year, from 3 million in the 1980s to 62 million at present. Acquisition of repeated CT scans or multiple CT scans for defensive medical treatment can lead to serious health problems and needs to be minimized.
The radiation doses from CT procedures average between 0.7 and 30 mSv depending on the organ being scanned. In one large-scale study involving nuclear industry workers, it was reported that exposure to an average dose of approximately 20 mSv for a single CT scan was associated with increased cancer mortality. In the present study, the mean effective radiation dose with brain CT was 2.6 mSv and that with facial CT was 0.7 mSv. Therefore, the radiation dose could be decreased by approximately 21% for each patient if only brain CT was performed.

This study has several limitations. First, our retrospective review of patient data could have resulted in a certain degree of reporting bias because of missing and incomplete charts. Second, mandibular fractures were excluded because they could not be detected on brain CT, and there were probably several patients who underwent facial CT only. Thus, caution is needed when generalizing our findings. Third, only axial brain CT was performed in both study centers. Three-dimensional reconstruction of brain CT images would have increased sensitivity and specificity for detection of facial fractures. Further prospective and larger studies including three-dimensional image reconstruction are necessary.

In conclusion, the results of our study suggest that brain CT has high diagnostic value in detection of facial fractures and can aid emergency physicians when determining the requirement for additional facial CT. We recommend that when patients present with upper facial injuries, particularly those above the inferior orbital wall, brain CT should be performed first. If additional lesions are suspected and do not appear on brain CT, facial CT should be performed. Such key decisions can minimize both radiation exposure and procedure-related costs in patients with blunt head trauma.
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