Thermal Efficiency of Double Pass Solar Collector with Longitudinal Fins Absorbers
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Abstract: Problem statement: One of the most important components of a solar energy system is the solar collector. The performances of double-pass solar collector with longitudinal fins absorbers are analyzed. Approach: The study involves a theoretical study to investigate the effect of mass flow rate, number and height of fins on efficiency, which involves steady-state energy balance equations on the longitudinal fins absorber of solar collectors. The theoretical solution procedure of the energy equations uses a matrix inversion method and making some algebraic rearrangements. Results: The collector efficiency increases as the number and height of fins increases. For a mass flow rate 0.02-0.1kg/s, the double-pass solar collectors are efficiency about 36-73% in upper fins (type I), 37-75% in lower fins (type II) and 46-74% in upper and lower fins (type III). Conclusion: The efficiency of the collector is strongly dependent on the flow rate, efficiency increase is about 35%.
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INTRODUCTION

Solar air collectors are simple, cheap and most widely used. It used primarily for space heating, solar desalination, solar water heater, industrial process heat and also for drying. Solar energy includes system of drying is very attractive application and cost competitive such as drying of cocoa, coffee beans, fruits, noodle, rubber, or marine products. (Fudholi et al., 2010; Ebrahim et al., 2009). Conventional solar air collectors have inherent disadvantages is lower thermal efficiency. Various designs of solar collectors have been the subject of many theoretical and experimental investigations. Helal et al. (2010) studied energetic performances of an integrated collector storage solar water heater. The systems shows little cost, simplicity and simplier to be installed on the building roof. Prasad et al. (2010) studied experiment analysis of flat plate collector and comparison of performance with tracking collector. Dammak et al. (2010) optimized hybrid of flat plate collector with a bubble pump for absorption-diffusion cooling systems. Reda (2010) studied the stability of luminescent solar collector prepared by sol-gel spin coating method using Ponceau 2R.

There are many design alternatives to the conventional single pass collector. These designs must be able to reduce the heat losses from the collector resulting in the increase in the operating temperatures of the system and higher collector efficiencies. Therefore, single pass solar collector with porous media has been introduced, inexpensive porous materials such as stones, crushed glass, wool plants and metal wool. The multi pass solar collector with advanced heat transfer enhancement features such as fins and porous media have better performance than the conventional single pass collector. Sopian et al. (2009) studied the effects of changes in upper and lower channel depth on the thermal efficiency with and without porous media of the double-pass solar air collector for various operation conditions. They concluded that typical thermal efficiency of the double-pass solar air collector with porous media is about 60-70%. The addition of the porous media in the second channel of the double-pass solar collector increases the performance of the collector. The theoretical model has been developed and the experimental validation has been carried out. It was shown that the theoretical simulation and experimental data were in close agreement with each other. Introducing porous media in the second channel increases the heat transfer area. Pradhpraj et al. (2010) reviewed on porous and non-porous flat plate air collector with mirror enclosure. They discussed the performances of porous and non-porous absorber plates, the possible methods of finding out air leakages and the methodology adopted for the performance and efficiency calculations.

The enhancement of corrugated surfaces to use an extended heat transfer of solar collectors has been investigated, such as by using matrix type absorber, compound honeycomb collector, box-type absorber and...
Fig. 1: Schematic of the double pass solar collector with longitudinal finned absorber. In the present study, the main concern is to study theoretically to investigate the effect of mass flow rate, number and height of fins on efficiency of double pass solar collector.

**Theoretical analysis:** Figure 1 shows the configuration of double pass solar collector with longitudinal fins.

Figure 2 shows the various heat transfer coefficients of three types double pass solar collectors with longitudinal fin absorbers. Figure 3 shows energy balance for each element of the fin with a height (dz).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

To simplify the analysis, the following assumptions have been made (a) performance is steady state (b) all convection heat transfer coefficients in channels and flowing air are equal and constant (3) thermal conductivity of fin and absorber are constant and (4) the useful heat gain to the air is uniform along the length of the collector.

The steady state energy balance equations for type-I (upper fin) can be written as follow:

**For type-I (upper fin):**

\( T_g: \quad U_t(T_g - T_i) + h_g(T_g - T_i) = h_{mg}(T_p - T_i) + \alpha_s I \) (1)

\( T_{f1}: \quad Q_1 = h_1(T_g - T_{f1}) + h_{1f}(T_p - T_{f1}) + \frac{N}{A_t} Q_{in1} \) (2)

\( T_p: \quad h_{mg}(T_p - T_g) + h_1(T_p - T_{f1}) + h_{mg}(T_p - T_i) + \frac{N}{A_e} Q_{in1} = \alpha_s I \) (3)

**For type II (lower fin):**

\( T_g: \quad U_t(T_g - T_i) + h_g(T_g - T_i) = h_{mg}(T_p - T_i) + \alpha_s I \) (6)

\( T_{f2}: \quad Q_2 = h_1(T_p - T_{f2}) + h_s(T_b - T_{f2}) \) (4)

\( T_b: \quad h_{mg}(T_b - T_p) = h_s(T_b - T_{f2}) + U_b(T_b - T_g) \) (5)

\( T_{f2}: \quad Q_2 = h_1(T_p - T_{f2}) + h_s(T_b - T_{f2}) \) (4)

\( T_{f1}: \quad Q_1 = h_1(T_g - T_{f1}) + h_{1f}(T_p - T_{f1}) \) (7)

\( T_p: \quad +h_1(T_p - T_{f1}) + h_{mg}(T_p - T_i) + h_{mg}(T_p - T_i) \) (8)

\( \frac{N}{A_e} Q_{in2} = \alpha_s I \)
In general, the above Eq. 1-15 can be presented in a 5×5 matrix form. The above matrices may be displayed as:

\[
[A][T]=[B]
\]

For type I:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
S_1 & -h_i & -h_{mg} & 0 & 0 \\
h_i & S_1 & h_2 & 0 & 0 \\
-4\text{niC}/\text{wL} & S_{10} & S_{i1} & h_3 & T_{p} \\
0 & 0 & h_{mg} & h_3 & S_{12} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & S_4
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where:

\[
S_i = U_i T_s + \alpha_s I
\]

For type II:

\[
S_i = -(2\text{niC}/\text{wL})T_i
\]

Where:

\[
S_i = \alpha_\tau T_s I
\]

\[
S_i = -S_2
\]

\[
S_i = -T_i U_s
\]

\[
S_i = h_i + h_{mg} + U_i
\]

Theoretical solution procedure: The theoretical model assumes that for a short collector, the temperatures of the wall surrounding the airflow are uniform and temperatures of the airflow vary linearly along the collector. For the short collectors, the mean air temperature is then equal to the arithmetic mean (Ong, 1995).

Where:

\[
T_{i1} = \frac{(T_{i1a} + T_i)}{2}
\]

\[
T_{i2} = \frac{(T_{i2a} + T_{i1a})}{2}
\]
Where:

\[
S_1 = U_T + \alpha_s I \quad (38)
\]

\[
S_2 = -(2\pi C/wL)T_i \quad (39)
\]

\[
S_3 = \alpha_s \tau_g I \quad (40)
\]

\[
S_4 = -S_2 \quad (41)
\]

\[
S_5 = -T_i U_b \quad (42)
\]

\[
S_6 = h_1 + h_{mp} + U_t \quad (43)
\]

\[
S_7 = -\left[ h_1 + h_2 + (2\pi C/wL) \right] \quad (44)
\]

\[
S_8 = \left[ h_1 + h_2 + (2\pi C/wL) \right] + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH \quad (45)
\]

\[
S_9 = h_2 + h_3 + h_{mp} + U_t + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH \quad (46)
\]

\[
S_{10} = -S_9 \quad (47)
\]

\[
S_{11} = \left[ h_1 + h_2 + (2\pi C/wL) \right] + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH \quad (48)
\]

\[
S_{12} = -\left( h_1 + h_{mp} + U_t \right) \quad (49)
\]

\[
M = \left( \frac{2Lh_1}{k_p A_{sf}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (50)
\]

For type III:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
S_6 & -h_1 & -h_{mp} & 0 & 0 \\
h_1 & S_7 & S_8 & 0 & 0 \\
-h_{mp} & S_9 & S_{10} & -h_{mp} & 0 \\
0 & 4\pi C/wL & S_{11} & S_{12} & h_s \\
0 & 0 & h_{mp} & h_s & S_{13}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
T_s \\
T_{1s} \\
T_{2s} \\
T_{1f} \\
T_{2f}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
S_1 \\
S_2 \\
S_3 \\
S_4 \\
S_5
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where:

\[
S_1 = U_T + \alpha_s I \quad (52)
\]

\[
S_2 = -(2\pi C/wL)T_i \quad (53)
\]

\[
S_3 = \alpha_s \tau_g I \quad (54)
\]

\[
S_4 = -S_2 \quad (55)
\]

\[
S_5 = -T_i U_b \quad (56)
\]

\[
S_6 = h_1 + h_{mp} + U_t \quad (57)
\]

\[
S_7 = \left[ h_1 + h_2 + (2\pi C/wL) \right] + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH \quad (58)
\]

\[
S_8 = \left[ h_1 + h_2 + (2\pi C/wL) \right] + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH \quad (59)
\]

\[
S_9 = h_2 + h_4 + h_{mp} + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH \quad (60)
\]

\[
S_{10} = \left[ h_2 + h_4 + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH \right] \quad (61)
\]

\[
S_{11} = -S_{10} \quad (62)
\]

\[
S_{12} = \left[ h_4 + \frac{N}{A_t} (2kA_s Lh_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tanh MH \right] \quad (63)
\]

\[
S_{13} = -\left( h_4 + h_{mp} + U_t \right) \quad (64)
\]

\[
M = \left( \frac{2Lh_{13}}{k_p A_{sf}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (65)
\]

The major design parameters are as follows: \( L = 2.4 \) m, \( w = 1 \) m, \( \alpha_p = 0.95, \alpha_g = 0.06, \epsilon_p = 0.95, \epsilon_g = 0.8, \tau_g = 0.9, U_b = 1 \) W m\(^{-2}\)K, \( V = 1 \) m s\(^{-2}\), \( k_p = 211 \) W mK\(^{-1}\), \( T_a = 300 \) K, \( T_i = 303 \) K, \( I = 700 \) W m\(^{-2}\), \( d = 0.105 \) m and \( w = 1 \) m.

The mean air and wall temperatures of the first section are initially guessed and specified. In the study except that of the absorber which was set to a temperature 30°C above that of the ambient temperature.
Incorporating these relations in Eq. (2, 4, 7, 9, 12, 14) and making some algebraic rearrangements, the mean temperature vector may be determined with Excel by matrix inversion form as:

$$[T] = [A]^{-1} [B] \quad (66)$$

The newly computed temperatures are then compared with the previously assumed ones and computed is repeated until all consecutive mean temperatures differ by less than 0.01°C. In the present case, a sufficient convergence for Tg, Tf1, Tp, Tf2 and Tb are achieves in 4-6 iterations.

**Physical properties:** The physical properties of air are assumed to vary linearly with temperature °C (Alfegi et al., 2009).

- Specific heat:
  $$C_p = 1.0057 + 0.000066(T - 27) \quad (67)$$

- Density:
  $$\rho = 1.1774 - 0.00359(T - 27) \quad (68)$$

- Therm:
  $$k = 0.02624 + 0.0000758(T - 27) \quad (69)$$

- Al conductivity
  $$\mu = [1.983 + 0.00184(T - 27)]10^{-5} \quad (70)$$

- Heat transfer coefficients: The heat transfer coefficients are computed accordingly, such as:
  $$h_w = 2.8 + 3.3V \quad (71)$$

Where:

- $h_w$ = Convection heat transfer coefficient due to wind
- $V$ = Wind velocity
- $h_{rep}$ = $\frac{\sigma(T_p^2 + T_e^2)(T_e + T_g)}{\varepsilon_p + \varepsilon_e - 1} \quad (72)$
- $h_{sys}$ = $\frac{\alpha e(T_e + T_g)(T_e^2 + T_g^2)(T_e - T_s)}{T_e - T_s} \quad (73)$

There $T_s$ is the sky temperature.

The convective heat transfer coefficients are calculated using following relations:

$$h = \frac{k}{Nu} \quad (76)$$

Where:

- Nu = Nusselt number
- $D_h$ = the equivalence diameter of the channel

Nusselt number for laminar flow region ($Re<2300$), transition flow region ($2300<Re<6000$) and turbulent flow region respectively are (Bashria et al., 2007; Alfegi et al., 2009).

$$Nu = 5.4 + \frac{0.00190 \left(\frac{RePr(D_h)}{L}\right)^{0.71}}{1 + 0.00563 \left(\frac{RePr(D_h)}{L}\right)^{0.77}} \quad (77)$$

$$Nu = 0.116 \left(Re^{0.5} Pr \left[1 + \left(\frac{D_h}{L}\right)^{0.72}\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_w}\right)^{0.14}\right]\right) \quad (78)$$

$$Nu = 0.018 Re^{0.4} Pr^{0.4} \quad (79)$$

Where:

- Pr = Prandtl
- Re = The Reynolds number:

$$Re = \frac{\bar{m}D_h}{\nu} \quad (80)$$

$$D_h = \frac{4wd}{2(w + d)} \quad (81)$$

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The useful gain by the solar collector to solar radiation with values of fluid inlet and outlet temperature and the fluid mass flow rate is given as follows:

$$Q_s = \bar{m}C(T_e - T_i) \quad (82)$$
where, \( C \) is the specific heat of the fluid. The efficiency of the collector is given by:

\[
\eta = \frac{Q}{A_f I} = \frac{\text{in}(T_o - T_i)}{A_f I}
\]

(83)

Where:

- \( A_f \) = The area of collector
- \( I \) = The solar radiation incident on the collector

Figure 4 shows the variation of the outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for different number of fins at type I.

Figure 5 shows the variation of the outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for different height of fins at type I.

Fig. 4: Variation of outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for type I

Fig. 5: Variation of outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for type I

Fig. 6-7 show variation of the outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for type II.

Figure 8-9 show variation of the outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for type III.

Fig. 7: Variation of outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for type II with different height of fins

Fig. 8: Variation of outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for type III with different number of fins

Fig. 9: Variation of outlet temperature and efficiency with mass flow rate for type III with different height of fins
From the Fig. 4-9, it can be clearly seen that the efficiency is strongly dependent on the mass flow rate. The efficiencies of all type increased constantly up to 0.08 kg sec$^{-1}$ and then tended to approach a constant value. The collector efficiencies increase with flow rate, efficiency increase is about 30-35 %. On the other hand the effect of the number and height of fins on the efficiency of the solar collector is shown in Fig. 4-9. The efficiency increases with increasing the number and height of fins.

CONCLUSION

Performance curves of double-pass solar air collector with longitudinal fins absorber have been obtained. These include the effects of mass flow rate, number and height of fins on efficiency of the solar collector. The results show that the collector efficiency increases as the number and height of fins increases. The efficiency of the collector is strongly dependent on the flow rate. For a mass flow rate 0.02-0.1 kg sec$^{-1}$, efficiency increase is about 30%. The double-pass solar collectors are efficiency about 36-73% in upper fins (type I), 37-75% in lower fins (type II) and 46-74% in upper and lower fins (type III).
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