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Abstract

This work presents the synthesis of a polymeric mixed-mode solid-phase extraction (SPE) sorbent for clean-up and isolation of caffeine from black and green tea samples. The material was synthesized by a simple thermally initiated copolymerization of glycidyl methacrylate and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate. Further functionalization was executed with histidine (HIS). Functional groups were investigated by attenuated total-reflection infrared spectroscopy. Furthermore, nitrogen sorption porosimetry was executed and revealed surface areas of 90 m² g⁻¹. Adsorption capacities for caffeine were compared between functionalized and non-modified polymers and showed maximum capacities of 3.01 and 4.82 mg g⁻¹ polymer, respectively. Time adsorption profiles revealed an equilibrium adsorption after 15 min. The proposed polymer was used for SPE of black and green tea extracts and showed excellent clean-up efficiency for isolation of caffeine with recoveries ranging from 89 to 93%. When compared to commercially available Oasis HLB, the HIS-functionalized polymer demonstrated a distinctly better performance for clean-up. Finally, the proposed method was validated regarding international (ICH) guidelines and regulations.
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Abbreviations

ACN Acetonitrile
AIBN Azobisisobutyronitrile
ATR-IR Attenuated total-reflection infrared spectroscopy
DVB Divinylbenzene
EGDMA Ethylene glycol dimethacrylate
FT-IR Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
GMA Glycidyl methacrylate
HIS Histidine
HPLC High-performance liquid chromatography
H-PTFE Hydrophilized polytetrafluoroethylene
ICH International Conference on Harmonization

Introduction

Plant extracts exist in abundance of active components and their analysis is very often difficult [1]. Nowadays, different methods and techniques have been developed for qualitative and quantitative evaluation of such complex mixtures. A well-established sample preparation procedure for herbal material is solid-phase extraction (SPE) [2, 3]. It is used either for clean-up or concentration of liquid samples. Solid sorbents are normally placed in cartridges with amounts varying from 10 mg to 10 g. A typical SPE protocol can be divided into four essential steps: after conditioning the sorbent (1) the plant extract is forced through the sorbent material (2). Analytes can bind by same mechanisms as described...
in liquid chromatography, i.e., dipole–dipole interactions, hydro

gen bonding, hydrophobic and ionic interactions [4–6]. After sample

loading interfering compounds are removed using several washing

solvents (3) and finally target analytes are eluted from the sorbent (4). Alternatively it is also pos-

sible to elute target compounds without performing prior washing steps [7, 8].

The application of polymeric sorbents in SPE has signifi-

cantly increased in the last years due to their broad applica-

bility and distinct advantages in comparison to silica-based

materials. Most of them are highly crosslinked materials

with a hydrophobic backbone through incorporation of divi-

ylbenzene (DVB). The usage of various monomer types

like vinyl or allyl compounds enhances the broad applicabil-

ity of these polymers in SPE [9–12].

A widely used monomer is glycicyl methacrylate (GMA)

which is formed by condensation of methacrylic acid and

glycidol. It forms so-called epoxy polymers with possibility

for further modification after base-catalyzed ring opening

of the epoxide. Industry developed several applications for

these materials acting like adhesives, coatings, composites

or sorbents for bioanalysis. Main advantages for their usage

are that no emissions of volatile products occur during

polymerization and their characteristics for introduction of

new functionalities [13, 14].

In this work, a copolymer was synthesized using GMA

as monomer and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA)

as hydrophilic crosslinker. Polymerization was performed

by a thermally initiated precipitation polymerization pro-

cedure. Further functional modification was executed using

L-histidine (HIS). This basic α-amino acid consisting of an

α-amino group, an imidazole side chain and a carboxylic

acid side chain is used in the bioanalysis of proteins. At a

physiological pH value HIS is a positively charged amino

acid. In the body HIS also converts into further biological

active amines like histamine which plays an important role

in immune response and inflammation [15, 16]. In the litera-

ture, HIS modification was already proven to act as photo-

reactive polymer [17], block copolymers [18] or imprinted

polymeric beads [19].

The modified sorbent was comprehensively characterized

by infrared spectroscopy and nitrogen sorption porosimetry.

A capacity study was further executed preliminary to solid-

phase extraction. Isolation of caffeine from green and black

tea extracts was performed by development of a preferably

selective extraction process using the self-fabricated poly-

meric material.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and Materials

Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, purum, ≥ 98%), ethylene gly-

col dimethacrylate (EGDMA, 99%), glycicyl methacrylate

(GMA, ≥ 97%), L-histidine monohydrochloride monohy-

drinate (HIS, ≥ 97%) and caffeine (≥ 99%) were purchased

from Sigma-Aldrich (Buchs, Switzerland). Sodium carbon-

ate (≥ 99%) was obtained from Carl Roth (Karlruhe, Ger-

many). Acetonitrile (ACN) and methanol (MeOH) were all

HPLC grade (Chromasolv) and also from Sigma-Aldrich.

Water was obtained from a Milli-Q water purification system

from Merck Millipore (Billerica, Massachusetts).

Empty polypropylene SPE tubes (1 mL) with polyethyl-

ene frits (porosity 20 µm) were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich

and Carl Roth. The SPE sorbent Oasis HLB (1 cm3, 30 mg)

was acquired from Waters Corp. (Milford, Massachusetts,

USA). Hydrophilized polytetrafluoroethylene (H-PTFE) fil-

ters were purchased from Machery Nagel (Düren, Germany).

Black and green tea samples were purchased from differ-

cent manufacturers from Austria and Germany.

Instrumentation

All standard solutions, tea samples and SPE fractions were

determined using a 1100 Series HPLC Value System from

Agilent (Santa Clara, USA) equipped with a 1100 Series

variable wavelength detector (VWD). Analyses were per-

formed using an ACE C18-PFP (5 µm, 4.6 × 150 mm,

Advanced Chromatography Technologies Ltd, Aberdeen,

Scotland) analytical column. Mobile phase was a composi-

tion of 0.1% TFA in water (eluent A) and MeOH (eluent

B). HPLC measurements were accomplished by a delayed

gradient elution. The gradient program was performed using

following steps (min/% eluent B): 0/5, 1/5, 28/63, 30/90,

32/90, 35/5, 40/5. The flow rate was 1 mL min− 1 and the

injection volume was 20 µL. Temperature of the column

oven was set to 40 °C and detection of tea samples and caf-

feine was performed at 280 nm.

Attenuated total-reflection infrared spectroscopy (ATR-

IR) measurements of the polymers were executed on a Spec-

trum 100 FT-IR Spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham,

USA) with a diamond measurement cell and a ZnSe crys-

tal as focusing element. For each spectrum, ten scans were

performed in the range between 4000 and 650 cm−1 at a

resolution of 4 cm−1. For nitrogen sorption porosimetry a

Quantachrome Nova Station 200 (Quantachrome Instru-

ments, Boynton Beach, FL-USA) was employed. Adsorption

studies were executed on a ThermoMixer C from Eppendorf

(Hamburg, Germany). Separation of supernatants was per-

formed by a Centrifuge 5418 from Eppendorf.
Preparation of Standard Solutions

Standard solution of caffeine (1000 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) was prepared in water. This stock solution was stored at 4 °C in the dark. Working standard solutions were daily prepared by diluting the stock solution with water.

Extraction and Analysis of Tea Samples

1 g of tea was extracted with 15 mL water in an ultrasonic bath at 70 °C for 15 min. After centrifugation the supernatant was collected. To ensure a complete extraction of caffeine, each sample was extracted for three times and the supernatants were combined in a 50 mL volumetric flask. Extracts were then diluted with water to 50 mL filtered using H-PTFE filters and transferred into glass vials for quantification by HPLC-UV.

Polymer Synthesis

Poly(GMA/EGDMA) particles were prepared by a thermally initiated polymerization procedure (Fig. 1). Briefly, 4.32 mL (33 mmol) GMA, 7.10 mL (38 mmol) EGDMA and 0.3 g AIBN (2 mmol) were dissolved in 45 mL ACN in a 100-mL round-bottomed flask. Then the solution was flushed with argon for 10 min to remove oxygen. Afterwards, the flask was equipped with a condenser, placed in an oil bath and polymerization was performed at 60 °C for 16 h under stirring. The resulting polymer was ground using mortar and pestle and thoroughly washed with water and MeOH. Finally, the polymer particles were dried at 50 °C.

Histidine Functionalization of Poly(GMA/EGDMA) Particles

For modification of poly(GMA/EGDMA), 1 g of sorbent was mixed with 25 mL 2 M sodium carbonate solution in a 100-mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a condenser followed by addition of 25 mL saturated L-histidine monohydrochloride monohydrate. Functionalization was then executed at 70 °C for 16 h under continuous stirring. The resulting polymer was finally washed with water and MeOH and dried at 45 °C under vacuum.

Adsorption Isotherms

Determination of the polymer capacity was accomplished by several batch adsorption experiments. Therefore 10–12 mg of polymer was placed into 2 mL reaction tubes followed by addition of 1 mL standard solution at concentrations ranging from 25 to 250 µg mL\(^{-1}\). Then the tubes were placed in a thermo-mixer and incubated at room temperature for 1 h and 1200 rpm. Afterwards centrifugation was performed for 3 min at 14,000 rpm. Finally, the supernatants were filtered using H-PTFE filters and analyzed by HPLC-UV.

Adsorption Kinetics

Kinetic parameters of the adsorption process were determined in additional adsorption experiments. 10–12 mg of polymer was placed in 2 mL reaction tubes and 1 mL of a 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) caffeine standard was added. Adsorption was then performed using a thermo-mixer at room temperature and 1200 rpm. Reactions were stopped at different time intervals ranging from 5 to 90 min. After centrifugation for 3 min at 14,000 rpm the supernatants were filtered using H-PTFE filters and analyzed by HPLC-UV.
Clean-up of Tea Samples/SPE Procedures

Synthesized polymers were tested for isolation of caffeine from different tea samples. First, 30 mg of sorbent was packed into 1 mL SPE cartridges with polyethylene frits above and below the sorbent bed. For conditioning 1 mL MeOH was applied to the cartridge followed by loading 0.5 mL of diluted tea extract 1:19 (v/v). After that elution of caffeine was executed using 3 mL of Milli-Q water. Solutions were finally quantified by HPLC-UV. Clean-up efficiency was further compared to the commercial available sorbent Oasis HLB. The Generic SPE protocol was obtained from Waters Corp [20]. Conditioning of the sorbent was executed using 1 mL MeOH followed by 1 mL Milli-Q water. Then 0.5 mL of diluted tea extract 1:19 (v/v) was applied to the polymer and subsequently washed with 1 mL 5% MeOH in water (v/v). Finally, elution was performed with 1 mL MeOH. Eluted fractions were evaporated to dryness, redissolved in 0.5 mL Milli-Q water and transferred to HPLC-UV analysis. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Method Validation

Performance evaluation of the HPLC method developed for determination of caffeine was accomplished according to international guidelines [21, 22]. The following parameters were determined: linearity, repeatability, accuracy, instrumental limits, method precision and stability of analytes. Linearity was examined by measuring a standard solution (see “Preparation of Standard Solutions”) at concentrations between 25 and 200% of target concentration (20 µg mL⁻¹). For determination of the repeatability, a standard solution containing the target concentration level was prepared. Ten replicates per day were measured and repeated on three consecutive days to examine the intra-day and inter-day repeatability. Accuracy was investigated by spiking tea extracts with caffeine standard solutions at three different concentrations. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) were determined from a calibration curve at concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 0.1 µg mL⁻¹. Calculations of instrumental limits were executed referring to DIN32645: 2008-11 (Eqs. 1 and 2).

\[
\text{LOD} = \frac{s_{y,x}}{b} \cdot t_{1-\alpha, v} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{N \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} 
\]

\[
\text{LOQ} = k \cdot \frac{s_{y,x}}{b} \cdot t_{1-\alpha, v} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\text{LOQ} - \bar{x})^2}{N \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} 
\]

Where \(s_y\) is the residual standard deviation of \(y\) around the regression line, \(b\) is the slope, \(t_{1-\alpha, v}\) presents the student factor for 95% one sided for LOD and two sided for LOQ, \(N\) is the number of calibration points and \(n\) being the number of repetitions. Furthermore, the squared mean of \(x\)-values \(\bar{x}'\) and \(\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2\) were calculated. The LOQ was iteratively determined. As recommended by DIN32645: 2008-11, \(k\) was set to 3. Convergences of LOQ quickly occurred and the result was taken when the percental change from one iteration step to the next was no more significant [23].

Degradation of employed analytes in standard solution was studied over 14 consecutive days. Therefore, the standard solution was stored at 4 °C in the dark and the amount of analyte was determined daily.

Results and Discussion

Polymer Composition and Characterization

Isolation of leading compounds from plant matrices requires sorbent types with appropriate functional groups for interaction. Often mixed-mode materials with ion-exchange properties are used. These materials are typically crosslinked with DVB leading to a hydrophobic backbone. However, previous studies demonstrated that sorbents crosslinked with EGDMA as hydrophilic compound also exhibit excellent results for application with plant extracts [24, 25]. The functional modifier HIS was chosen as it contains anionic (imidazole) and cationic (carboxylic acid) ion-exchange moieties.

ATR-IR spectra of the dried functionalized polymer as well as the monomer, crosslinker and HIS were recorded (Fig. S1). The strong and sharp band at 1720 cm⁻¹ can be assigned as the C=O asymmetric stretch vibration. At 1637 cm⁻¹ the C=C stretch vibration of the methacrylate residues can be found in the spectra for GMA and EGDMA but significantly decreased after polymerization. Especially for GMA, the band at 1254 cm⁻¹ representing the asymmetric stretch vibration of the epoxide ring is visible. A distinct band for the C–O–C stretch vibration of the ester of GMA and EGDMA can be assigned at 1143 cm⁻¹. Due to broader bands in the polymer spectrum and thus overlapping of weak HIS signals no distinct functionalization can be confirmed by the ATR-IR spectra. However, differences between a ring-opened polymer and HIS-functionalized polymer got visible in solid-phase extraction experiments.

Additionally, nitrogen adsorption porosimetry was executed to investigate specific surface areas of the synthesized sorbent. For the HIS-modified polymer, experiments revealed areas of 92 m² g⁻¹ whereas the non-modified polymer showed a slightly lower area of around 86 m² g⁻¹. In addition, batch-to-batch reproducibility of polymer modification was checked for three batches of polymers and showed only slightly deviations <5%.
Adsorption Capacity

To investigate the affinity of the synthesized polymers for caffeine, several adsorption experiments were executed. Different concentrations of caffeine standard solutions in water were incubated together with fixed amounts of polymer to determine the corresponding binding isotherms (Fig. 2). The adsorbed amount \( q \) of caffeine was calculated by following equation:

\[
q = \frac{(c_i - c_e) \cdot V}{m}
\]  

(3)

where \( c_i \) and \( c_e \) represent the concentrations of caffeine at the initial and equilibrium, respectively. \( V \) is the volume of standard solution used for the experiment and \( m \) is the dry mass of the applied polymer.

Experiments showed a distinct correlation between loading capacity and concentration of standard solutions where increasing concentrations resulted into higher adsorption of caffeine. Maximum binding capacities were calculated using the Langmuir adsorption model. Calculation of model parameters was executed using the following equation:

\[
q = \frac{K_L \cdot q_m \cdot c}{1 + K_L \cdot c}
\]  

(4)

where \( q_m \) represents the maximum capacity, \( K_L \) the Langmuir coefficient, \( c \) the concentration of standard solution and \( q \) the loaded amount of caffeine. For the HIS-modified material, a maximum capacity of 3.01 mg g\(^{-1}\) polymer could be obtained. Additionally the non-modified polymer was measured and revealed a higher capacity of 4.82 mg g\(^{-1}\) polymer. These results indicate that modification of the polymer backbone leads to slightly lower capacity.

Adsorption Kinetics

For better understanding of the process kinetics, additional batch adsorption experiments with the HIS-modified and non-modified polymer were executed. Results of these experiments are shown in Fig. 3. As mentioned before, the kinetic experiments confirm a higher adsorption of caffeine on the non-modified polymer. For the evaluation of the adsorption kinetics, two kinetic models were used. The pseudo-first-order rate expression of the Lagergren model is expressed using following equation:

\[
q_t = q_e \cdot e^{-k_1 \cdot t} \cdot (e^{k_1 \cdot t} - 1)
\]  

(5)

where \( t \) represents the rebinding time, \( q_t \) the adsorption capacity at different time intervals, \( q_e \) the adsorption capacity at equilibrium and \( k_1 \) the first-order rate constant. Furthermore a pseudo-second-order model was tested using following equation:

\[
q_t = \frac{k_2 \cdot t \cdot q_e^2}{k_2 \cdot t \cdot q_e + 1}
\]  

(6)

where \( k_2 \) is the rate constant of the second-order adsorption.

Data show that adsorption occurred quickly on both polymers and flattens after 15 min. Fitting of the two kinetic models revealed that for the HIS-modified polymer \( (R^2 = 0.934) \) and for the non-modified polymer the pseudo-second-order fits better \( (R^2 = 0.936) \). Furthermore,
rate constants were calculated for both models and showed for the HIS-modified polymer that \( k_2 \) is 4.50 g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) and for the non-modified polymer a \( k_2 \) value of 1.52 g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) was observed.

### Clean-up and Analysis of Tea Samples

In this work, we focused on the development of a simple sample preparation procedure using SPE. Previous studies successfully showed that implication of weak anion-exchange-functionalized materials demonstrate high potential for isolation and clean-up of crude plant extracts [24, 25]. After addition of HIS onto the present polymer backbone, the carboxy and imidazole functionalities remain free for interaction with molecules in solution. The imidazole ring of histidine is aromatic at all pH values [26] and contains six \( \pi \)-electrons where it can form \( \pi \)-stacking interactions with other aromatic compounds such as caffeine [27].

A first attempt using a classical SPE process with MeOH conditioning, loading of sample, water washing and elution with 20:80 ACN/water (v/v) resulted in low sample recoveries. Caffeine was mainly found in the wash solution throughout these experiments. In a next step, the process setup was changed by directly eluting caffeine from the sorbent without a prior washing step which yielded better results in comparison with the preliminary experiments. Nearly all interferences remained on the sorbent and an almost selective elution of caffeine with water was possible. We assume that caffeine is weakly interacting with the HIS residue of the polymer via \( \pi \)-\( \pi \) interaction which allows easy elution by applying mild conditions. The optimized protocol was then employed for isolation and clean-up of eight black and green tea samples and achieved recoveries ranging from 89 to 93% (Table 1). Interfering peaks were notably reduced during the whole analysis. Figure 4 represents chromatograms of black and green tea extracts before and after SPE with the HIS-modified polymer and the unmodified polymer where just the epoxid ring was opened. Experiments with the control polymer, where only base-catalyzed ring opening of the epoxid of GMA was performed, showed lower recoveries (80–83%) and considerably more interferences.

Clean-up of the applied tea extracts was further tested with Oasis HLB as commercial available sorbent and the corresponding generic SPE protocol. Chromatograms after clean-up revealed more interfering signals in comparison to the SPE procedures with the HIS-modified polymer (Fig. S2). However, recoveries were slightly higher ranging from 96 to 98%. These results indicate a better clean-up

### Table 1

Collection of black and green tea samples with their corresponding content of caffeine and recovery rates after optimized solid-phase extraction (\( n = 3 \))

| Sample | Caffeine content (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Recovery\(^b\) (%) |
|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| BT-12  | 28.4                             | 92.9                |
| BT-13  | 31.6                             | 90.0                |
| BT-23  | 28.7                             | 91.4                |
| BT-63  | 16.1                             | 88.7                |
| GT-1   | 18.9                             | 89.9                |
| GT-2   | 21.4                             | 90.8                |
| GT-3   | 16.8                             | 91.0                |
| GT-4   | 18.4                             | 89.5                |

\(^a\)RSD < 2%

\(^b\)RSD < 1%

**Fig. 4** Chromatograms of A green tea and B black tea extracts (a) before and after application of the proposed SPE procedure with (b) unmodified and (c) HIS-modified polymer. The marked peak represents caffeine. Chromatograms are plotted with same dilution factors.
efficiency for isolation of caffeine with the new sorbent modified with HIS.

### Validation Parameters

Suitability of the proposed SPE method was determined by calculation of important validation parameters (Table 2). Caffeine concentrations from 25 to 200% of target concentration (20 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) showed good linearity with a regression coefficient of 0.9999. Obtained LOD and LOQ values calculated by equations referring to DIN were 6.10 and 19.20 ng mL\(^{-1}\), respectively. Furthermore, the intra-day (\(n = 10\)) and inter-day (\(n = 30\)) repeatability was examined and only showed minimal differences, verified by RSD values between 0.06–0.10. Additionally, the accuracy of the method was checked by spiking tea extracts with caffeine at three concentration levels. Recoveries and RSD values of accuracy measurements are presented in Table 3. HPLC analyses of caffeine standard solution over a time range of 14 days revealed no significant decrease of concentration < 99%.

### Conclusion

Functionalization of a poly(GMA/EGDMA)-based polymer with HIS as functional group demonstrated excellent isolation performance for caffeine. Due to the imidazole moiety of HIS, π–π interactions between sorbent and analyte can be formed. The developed SPE protocol for black and green tea samples was able to remove nearly all interferences especially around the caffeine peak. Adsorption experiments showed good capacities for the synthesized sorbent and clear second-order kinetics for the modified and non-modified material. An extensive validation of the proposed method was also executed and confirmed the high applicability of the developed method.
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