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Summary
Understanding the regulation of cell surface expression of specific peptide–major histocompatibility complex (MHC) complexes is hindered by the lack of direct quantitative analyses of specific peptide–MHC complexes. We have developed a direct quantitative biochemical approach by engineering soluble divalent T cell receptor analogues (TCR–Ig) that have high affinity for their cognate peptide–MHC ligands. The generality of this approach was demonstrated by specific staining of peptide-pulsed cells with two different TCR–Ig complexes: one specific for the murine alloantigen 2C, and one specific for a viral peptide from human T lymphocyte virus-1 presented by human histocompatibility leukocyte antigens-A2. Further, using 2C TCR–Ig, a more detailed analysis of the interaction with cognate peptide–MHC complexes revealed several interesting findings. Soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig detected significant changes in the level of specific antigenic–peptide MHC cell surface expression in cells treated with γ-interferon (γ-IFN). Interestingly, the effects of γ-IFN on expression of specific peptide–MHC complexes recognized by 2C TCR–Ig were distinct from its effects on total H-2 Ld expression; thus, lower doses of γ-IFN were required to increase expression of cell surface class I MHC complexes than were required for upregulation of expression of specific peptide–MHC complexes. Analysis of the binding of 2C TCR–Ig for specific peptide–MHC ligands unexpectedly revealed that the affinity of the 2C TCR–Ig for the naturally occurring alloreactive, putatively, negatively selecting, complex, dEV-8–H-2 Kbm3, is very low, weaker than 71 mM. The affinity of the 2C TCR for the other naturally occurring, negatively selecting, alloreactive complex, p2Ca–H-2 Ld, is ~1000-fold higher. Thus, negatively selecting peptide–MHC complexes do not necessarily have intrinsically high affinity for cognate TCR. These results, uniquely revealed by this analysis, indicate the importance of using high affinity biologically relevant cognates, such as soluble divalent TCR, in furthering our understanding of immune responses.

Antigenic specificity of cellular immune responses is controlled by the recognition of a particular peptide–MHC complex by the clonotypic T cell receptor. Identification and quantitation of peptide–MHC complexes on the surface of APCs, both in vitro and in vivo, have been difficult. This difficulty is due to a variety issues: the low number of specific peptide–MHC complexes on individual APCs; the low intrinsic affinity of soluble probes for specific peptide–MHC complexes; and the nonquantitative, indirect nature of cellular readouts. Although cellular assays have greatly facilitated analysis of peptide–MHC complex expression, these assays, which involve readouts such as cytolyis, lymphokine secretion, or cell proliferation, are not exclusively dependent upon specific antigenic peptide–MHC complexes, but are also highly influenced by differences in costimulation (1, 2). The complexities associated with cellular-based assays have led investigators to develop biochemical analogues to directly analyze peptide–MHC expression. Several approaches have been taken to study expression of specific peptide–MHC complexes using soluble ligands. A traditional soluble ligand-based approach is to generate mAbs specific for particular peptide–MHC complexes. Although theoretically this approach is appealing, practically, only a few such antibodies with high enough specificity for a particular peptide–MHC class I or class II complexes have...
been generated (3–7). Recently a new approach using a recombinant antibody phage display library was used to generate a relatively low affinity Fab antibody that was specific for a particular peptide–MHC complex (8). A second approach has entailed using soluble TCR analogues. A variety of different approaches have been used to develop soluble analogues of TCR (for review see reference 9). Similar to the natural peptide–MHC specificity of T cells, soluble versions of TCR have the requisite peptide specificity useful in probing specific antigenic peptide–MHC complexes. Although these reagents have been invaluable in defining the basic biochemistry involved in TCR interaction with peptide–MHC complexes (10–12), the intrinsic low affinity of these reagents limits their use in the direct identification and quantitation of peptide–MHC complexes.

The relationship between the affinity of a TCR for a specific peptide–MHC complex and the physiological responses of T cells is poorly understood. In the 2C system, this is particularly relevant since, using CTL-based assays, several naturally occurring and synthetically derived cognate peptide–MHC ligands have been defined (13–17). However, the affinity of the 2C TCR for only one of the known naturally occurring cognate peptide–MHC ligands has been measured (12, 14). Specifically, the 2C TCR is alloreactive on two different MHC complexes (18–20), H-2 L\(^d\) and H-2 K\(^b\).M, both H-2 L\(^d\) and H-2 K\(^b\)M, mediate negative selection of the 2C TCR in 2C transgenic mice (20). With regard to the alloreaction on H-2 K\(^b\), a single HPLC peak containing one peptide, dEV-8, that can mediate lysis of H-2 K\(^b\) target cells by 2C CTL has been defined (21, 22). This complex is the presumptive peptide–MHC complex involved in negative selection of the 2C TCR in H-2 K\(^b\) mice and might be expected to be of high affinity. Soluble, high affinity probes for specific peptide–MHC complexes can be used to gain insights into the relationship between the affinity of a TCR for a specific peptide–MHC complex and the biological impact that same peptide–MHC complexes have on T cells.

Soluble, high affinity probes for specific peptide–MHC complexes can be used to study the role of lymphokines such as \(\gamma\)-IFN on antigen expression. \(\gamma\)-IFN is known to have multiple sites of action that regulate expression of peptide–class I MHC complex expression (23–27). \(\gamma\)-IFN is known to impact on antigen presentation by increasing transcription of both class I heavy chain and TAP (transporter associated with antigen processing) genes (23). \(\gamma\)-IFN also regulates the proteasome by controlling expression of low molecular mass polypeptide (LM P)\(\alpha\), LM P\(\beta\), and the proteasome regulator PA28\(\alpha\) (25). R recent studies have shown that induction of the proteasome regulator PA28\(\alpha\) influences both the amount and sequence of peptides generated by the proteasome (24, 28). It is not clear if the effects of \(\gamma\)-IFN on different parts of the antigen processing pathway are coordinated. Is the effect of \(\gamma\)-IFN on increased expression of class I heavy chain seen at the same dose of \(\gamma\)-IFN as are effects on increases in specific antigen–MHC complex expression? This is an important issue as discordant effects of \(\gamma\)-IFN may have implications for understanding the influence of \(\gamma\)-IFN immune responses. The development of a high affinity reagent could be used to analyze the impact \(\gamma\)-IFN on endogenous antigen–MHC complex expression.

We have developed a general system for expression of soluble high affinity TCR analogues. Using Ig as a molecular scaffold, soluble divalent TCR analogues were generated and used to analyze the interaction with cognate peptide–MHC complexes. The system utilized the 2C TCR, which is derived from alloreactive 2C CTL (13, 29) and the A6 TCR, which is specific for a viral peptide derived from the HTLV-1 tax protein (30, 31). The divalent nature of the TCR analogue significantly increased the affinity for peptide–MHC complexes while retaining the peptide specificity of the native TCR (13). (Since TCR–Ig was designed as a probe for peptide–MHC complexes its effective affinity/avidity for such complexes is more relevant than the intrinsic affinity of each TCR combining site for specific peptide–MHC complexes. For this reason, we refer to the effective affinity/avidity of 2C TCR–Ig for peptide–MHC complexes simply as affinity throughout the text.) Due to this increased affinity, TCR–Ig chimeras were useful in direct flow cytometry experiments to detect specific peptide–MHC complexes using the 2C TCR–Ig, we have analyzed the correlation between affinity of the TCR for specific peptide–MHC complexes and lysis of target cells expressing the same peptide–MHC complexes. Furthermore, using 2C TCR–Ig, we were also able to directly analyze the modulatory effects of \(\gamma\)-IFN on expression of endogenous 2C TCR reactive peptide–MHC complexes.

Materials and Methods

Cells and Culture Conditions

RMA-S, RMA-S L\(^d\), T2, T2 K\(^b\), T2 K\(^b\)M, and RENCA cells were maintained by 1:10 passage three times weekly in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, nonessential amino acids, 50 \(\mu\)g/ml of gentamicin, 5 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) M 2-mercaptoethanol, and 10% fetal calf serum.

Expression of Soluble Divalent TCR Analogues

The details of construction, expression, purification, and characterization of soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig and A6 TCR–Ig were carried out as described elsewhere (O’Herrin, S.M., M.S. Lebowitz, and J.P. Schneck, manuscript in preparation). In brief, to generate the soluble divalent TCR, cDNAs encoding the TCR \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) chains were genetically linked via glycine-serine spacer to cDNAs encoding IgG1 heavy chains and kappa light chains, respectively (see Fig. 1 for protein schematic). Soluble monoclonal 2C TCR was made and purified as previously described (12).

Biochemical Analysis of Soluble TCR–Ig

The chimeric TCR–Ig proteins were detected by ELISA assays specific for the chimeric protein. The primary antibody used was specific for murine IgG1 Fc and a biotinylated second antibody was either mAb 1B2 (29, 32), a murine mAb specific for a clonotypic epitope expressed on 2C TCR, or the anti-human V\(\beta\)13.1, H131.21Y (see Fig. 1 for proposed mAb binding sites). Wells were incubated with the primary antibody, 10 \(\mu\)g/
ml, for 1 h at room temperature, and then blocked with a 2% BSA solution before use. After three washes with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 1% FCS, culture supernatants (100 μl) from baculovirus-infected cells containing the soluble divalent TCR–Ig were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Plates were then washed extensively and then incubated with the biotinylated second antibody. When using biotinylated second antibody, wells were incubated with 100 μl 10% mouse serum for an additional hour to reduce background reactivity. After 1 h incubation with the biotinylated antibody, the plates were washed and incubated with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated streptavidin (100 μl of a 1:10,000 dilution) for 1 h, washed, and developed with 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine dihydrochloride (TMB) substrate for 3–5 min. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 1 M H₂SO₄, and optical density was measured at 450 nm.

**Peptide Loading of Cells**

* R M A–S and T2 cell lines are defective in antigen processing and express functionally “empty” class I MHC on their cell surface (33, 34). These empty MHC molecules may be loaded with peptides as described (35, 36). In brief, cells (R M A–S, R M A–S L⁶, T2, T2 L⁶, T2 K⁺, T2 K¹m1 or T2 K¹m11) were cultured at 27°C overnight. Subsequently, cells were incubated in the presence or absence of various antigenic peptides (100 μM final concentration) for an additional 1.5 h at 27°C and then for 1 h at 37°C.

* R E N C A cells were loaded with peptide by incubation with peptides (100 μM final concentration) for ≥2 h at 37°C. Cells were then harvested and processed for FACS® (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) analysis as described.

All peptides were made by the Johns Hopkins University (Baltimore, MD) biopolymer laboratory peptide synthesis facility by F-MOC chemical synthesis and then purified by preparative HPLC. Sequences were confirmed by amino acid analysis and protein sequencing. Sequences of the peptides and the known affinities of monovalent 2C TCR for specific peptide–H-2 L⁶ complexes are shown in Table 1. The HLA-A2–restricted peptides used were: the HTLV-1–derived peptide tax (37), LLFGYPVYV, and the influenza-derived peptide, M1, GILGFVFTL.

**Direct Flow Microfluorimetry**

For analysis of 2C TCR–Ig specificity, ~3 × 10⁵ peptide-loaded or control cells were incubated for 60 min at 4°C with either ~50 μg/ml mAb 30.5.7 culture supernatants in a 30–50 μl volume, 50 μl of 2C TCR–Ig culture supernatants (10 μg/ml final concentration), or 25–50 μg/ml purified 2C TCR–Ig in a 30 μl volume. For analysis of A6 TCR–Ig specificity, ~3 × 10⁴ peptide-loaded or control cells were incubated for 60 min on ice with either ~50 μg/ml of the HLA-A2–reactive mAb BB7.2, or 50 μg of A6 TCR–Ig culture supernatants (~10 μg/ml final concentration). Cells were then washed once in 1× PBS, 1% FBS, and 0.02% NaN₃ (wash buffer), and then incubated for an additional 60 min at 4°C in 20 μl of a 1/40 dilution goat anti-mouse IgG–red algae phycoerythrin (Southern Biotechnology Assoc., Inc., Birmingham, AL). Cells were subsequently washed once with wash buffer, resuspended in 250 μl wash buffer and analyzed on a FACScan® flow cytometer.

**Measurement of the Affinity of Soluble 2C TCR for H-2 L⁶ Molecules**

Affinities of soluble 2C TCR analogues for peptide loaded cells were determined in a competition assay with FITC-30.5.7 Fab similar to one previously described (38). 30.5.7 is a mAb that recognizes an epitope near the peptide-exposed face of H-2L²; thus 30.5.7 and 2C TCR compete for binding to the peptide-exposed face of H-2L². Kd of 30.5.7 Fab for peptide-loaded R M A–S L⁶ cells were determined as follows: Cells (0.3 × 10⁶/10 μl) were loaded with peptide as described above. Subsequently, peptide-loaded or control cells were incubated with varying concentrations of FITC-30.5.7 Fab for 1 h at 4°C, and then diluted 1:6 with wash buffer immediately before analysis by flow cytometry. Kd were estimated from a plot of 1/(mean channel fluorescence) versus 1/[FITC-30.5.7 Fab].

Affinities of 2C TCR analogues were determined by competition with constant concentrations of FITC-30.5.7 Fab. Cells were loaded with peptide, and subsequently incubated with a constant concentration of FITC-30.5.7 Fab and varying concentrations of 2C TCR analogues at 1 h at 4°C. Cells were diluted 1:6 with wash buffer immediately before analysis by flow cytometry. Maximal inhibition of FITC-30.5.7 Fab binding was determined by incubation in the presence of 30.5.7 Fab (75 μg). Kapp was determined from a plot of 1/(percent maximal inhibition) versus [2C TCR analogue]. Kapp was corrected for the affinity of FITC-30.5.7 Fab for peptide loaded cells according to the equation Kapp = Kd / (1 + ([FITC 30.5.7 Fab]/Kdₜₚₜ)). (38)

**CTL Experiments**

Generation of CTLs. Splenocytes from 2C TCR transgenic mice (18) were resuspended at 1.25 × 10⁹/ml and stimulated with 1.75 × 10⁸ BALB/c splenocytes that had been exposed to 3,000 γ-C Gy radiation. On day 7, the 2C T cell–enriched cultures were restimulated at 5 × 10⁸/ml with 2.5 × 10⁸/ml BALB/c splenocytes. Experiments were performed on this and subsequent stimulation on day 4. All subsequent stimulation was performed with 3.75 × 10⁹/ml 2C splenocytes and 2.5 × 10⁸/ml BALB/c cells in the presence of IL-2 (5 U/ml).

CTL assays. Assays were performed in triplicate according to established CTL protocols. In brief, target cells (2–4 × 10⁸) were incubated with 100 μCi ⁵¹Cr at 37°C for 1 h. After three washes, cells were added to V-bottomed 96-well plates (3 × 10⁹/100 μl) and incubated (25°C for 1.5 h) with peptides at the indicated concentrations. 2C T cells (3 × 10⁶/100 μl) were added to targets and plates were incubated at 37°C for 4.5 h. Maximum release was achieved by incubating targets with 5% Triton-X 100. Percent specific lysis was calculated from raw data using (experimental release − spontaneous release)/(maximum release − spontaneous release) × 100.

**Results**

Model Systems Used for Generating Soluble Divalent TCR. The well-characterized 2C TCR was chosen as a model system for constructing soluble divalent TCR. 2C is an alloreactive, peptide-specific CTL clone derived from H-2b-expressing mice (29). This clone is specific for a naturally processed endogenous peptide, p2Ca, derived from α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase bound by the murine class I molecule H-2 L⁶ (13). The importance of this response can be seen by the fact that p2Ca-like peptides are known to dominate certain murine alloreactive responses in strains expressing Vβ8⁺ TCR (39). Both higher affinity, peptide QL9, and lower affinity, peptide SL9, variants of p2Ca reactive with 2C cells also have been generated (Table 1; ref-
In addition, to peptide/H-2 Ld ligands for 2C TCR, two peptides that sensitize either H-2 Kb or H-2 Kbm3 targets for lysis by 2C CTLs have also been defined (17, 22). dEV-8 is a peptide isolated from H-2 Kbm8 target cells that induces effective lysis of H-2 Kbm3 targets by 2C CTL (22). The deduced sequence of dEV-8 matches that of an endogenous peptide derived from the mitochondrial MLRQ protein. Another peptide, SIYRYYGL (SIY), was isolated from a random peptide library on the basis of its ability to mediate strong lysis of H-2 Kb target cells by 2C-CTL (17). This series of peptides facilitates the study of soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig chimeras on a variety of different peptide–MHC ligands representing a wide range of affinities for the 2C TCR.

The TCR derived from the HTLV-specific CTL clone A6 was chosen as another model system. HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP) is a paralytic disease associated with HTLV-1 infection (40, 41). In patients with HAM/TSP, an extremely high frequency of HTLV-1-specific T cells has been seen, up to 40–280-fold higher than in asymptomatic patients (37, 41). A dominant peptide antigen derived from the HTLV-1 tax protein, recognized by virus specific CTLs, has been defined in a subset of the patients, those who express HLA-A2 (31, 42). Although CTL responses to the dominant tax-derived peptide and variants thereof have been identified, no affinity measurements for the interaction of the A6 TCR with cognate peptide–HLA-A2 complexes have been reported (30, 43). Thus, this system also represents an interesting one for analysis using soluble high affinity divalent TCR–Ig complexes.

To generate soluble divalent TCRs, cDNAs encoding the TCR α and β chains were genetically linked to cDNAs encoding IgG1 heavy chains and kappa light chains, respectively (see Fig. 1 A for protein schematic and O’Herrin, S.M., M.S. Lebowitz, and J.P. Schneck, manuscript in preparation, for details of construction). For expression, Trichoplusia ni. cells were infected with a baculovirus expression vector encoding the soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig constructs. Chimeric 2C TCR–Ig and A6 TCR–Ig could be easily purified from culture supernatants and retained epitopes associated with Ig portions of the molecule (O’Herrin, S.M., M.S. Lebowitz, and J.P. Schneck, manuscript in preparation).

Table 1. Peptides Used in this Study: Their Reported Effectiveness in 2C CTL Assays and Affinities of 2C TCR for Peptide–MHC Complexes

| Peptide name | Peptide sequence | MHC restriction | 2C-mediated lysis | K_2 |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----|
| p2Ca         | LSPFPFSDL        | H-2 Ld          | +++              | 0.5–0.1 |
| QL9          | QLSPPFSDL        | H-2 Ld          | +++              | 0.066 |
| SL9          | LSPFPFDSL        | H-2 Ld          | +/-              | 71   |
| tum-         | TQHRAHDL         | H-2 Ld          | na               | na   |
| pMCMV        | YPHFMPTNL        | H-2 Ld          | -                | nd   |
| gp70         | SPSYVYQGF        | H-2 Ld          | na               | na   |
| dEV-8        | EYYKSYQSV        | H-2 K^b         | -                | Unknown |
| SIY          | SIYRYYGL         | H-2 K^b         | +++              | Unknown |
| SIY          | -                | H-2 K^bm3       | Unknown          | Unknown |
| pVSV N P(52–59) | RGYVYQGL      | H-2 K^b         | -                | nd   |

na, not available; nd, none detected (the affinity was below the sensitivity of the assay used).

Peptides listed are a collection of H-2 Ld- and H-2 K^b-binding peptides used in analysis of the reactivity of the soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig. Lysis and affinity data are summarized from their primary references (12, 14, 15, 17, 22, 57–59).
The temperature-dependent reactivity of RMA-S Ld with 2C TCR–Ig was significantly different than the reactivity of RMA-S Ld with mAb 30.5.7. As expected (44, 45), RMA-S Ld cells, cells that express empty MHC molecules, expressed more serologically reactive H-2 Ld molecules recognized by mAb 30.5.7 on cells cultured at 27°C than when cells were cultured at 37°C (Fig. 2A); mean channel fluorescence (MCF) increased approximately fivefold. Thus, the epitope on H-2 Ld molecules recognized by mAb 30.5.7 can be stabilized by incubating cells at low temperatures. In contrast, RMA-S Ld cells expressed very low amounts of H-2 Ld molecules recognized by 2C TCR–Ig on cells cultured at either 27 or at 37°C (Fig. 2, E). This finding is consistent with the expected peptide-dependent reactivity of 2C TCR–Ig which should not recognize MHCs that have not been pulsed with peptides even when conformationally stabilized by decreased temperature.

2C TCR–Ig reactivity showed exquisite peptide specificity. Peptides (see Table 1 for sequences) were loaded into empty H-2 Ld molecules on RMA-S Ld cells. As expected, all H-2 Ld-binding peptides stabilized expression of the epitope recognized by mAb 30.5.7 (Fig. 2, B–D and Fig. 3). Only H-2 Ld molecules loaded with 2C-reactive peptides, peptides p2Ca, QL9, and SL9, expressed peptide/H-2Ld epitopes that reacted with 2C TCR–Ig (Fig. 2, F–H and Fig. 3). MCF increased ~10–200-fold, from an MCF of 10 for either unloaded cells or cells loaded with an irrelevant H-2 Ld-binding peptide, to as high as 2,200 for RMA-S Ld cells loaded with peptide QL9 (Fig. 3). The pattern of reactivity mimicked the known affinities of monovalent 2C TCR.

1Abbreviations used in this paper: LD, lethal dose; MCF, mean channel fluorescence; MCMV, murine cytomegalovirus; pMCMV, H-2 Ld binding peptide from pp89 of MCMV; pVSV, H-2 Ld binding peptide isolated from VSV NP residues (52–59); sm, soluble monovalent; VSV, vesicular stomatitis virus.
for peptide–H-2 L^d complexes (see Table 1 for affinities). R M A - S L^d cells loaded with peptide QL9, p2Ca, or SL9 had M C F values of 2,200, 550, and 100, respectively, when stained with 2C T C R –Ig. Thus, soluble divalent 2C T C R –Ig chimeras reacted strongly with QL9–H-2 L^d complexes, modestly with p2Ca–H-2 L^d complexes, and weakly with SL9–H-2 L^d complexes. The fact that 2C T C R –Ig bound to SL9-loaded H-2 L^d molecules indicates that even in a direct flow cytometry assay, soluble divalent 2C T C R–Ig chimeras could be used to detect specific peptide–MHC complexes that have affinities as weak as 71 nM for monovalent 2C T C R.

A6 T C R –Ig also demonstrated peptide specificity. This was studied by peptide-loading T2 cells, a human H L A –A2 positive cell line that expresses empty MHC molecules and can be readily loaded with specific peptides of interest (46, 47). When T2 cells were loaded with different peptides, only cells loaded the A6-reactive peptide derived from tax 11–19, LLFGYPVYV, reacted with A6 T C R –Ig (Fig. 4, C and D). M C F increased ~20-fold, from an M C F of 21 for either unloaded cells or cells loaded with an irrelevant H L A –A2-binding peptide, M1, to 357 for T2 cells loaded with the tax peptide. As expected, all H L A –A2-binding peptides stabilized expression of the epitope recognized by mAb BB7.2 (Fig. 4, A and B).

The peptide specificity of these two disparate T C R –Ig chimeras indicates that the approach is a general one applicable to multiple different T C R s. Soluble divalent T C R –Ig chimeras have sufficiently high affinity to allow staining of cognate peptide–MHC ligands in direct flow cytometry-based assays.

Afinity Measurements of Soluble Divalent T C R interaction with Peptide–MHC Complexes. To directly analyze the impact of the divalent nature of T C R –Ig on affinity, a competitive inhibition assay was developed to measure the affinity of soluble 2C T C R analogues for peptide–MHC complexes. This assay, similar to one previously used to determine the affinity of soluble monovalent 2C T C R for peptide–MHC complexes (38), is based on mAb 30.5.7 binding to a region of the a2 helix of H-2 L^d that overlaps with T C R receptor binding (44, 45). Hence, the affinity of soluble T C R analogues can be measured in terms of their inhibition of 30.5.7 binding.

To determine the affinity of the soluble 2C T C R analogues, one has to first determine the K_d of 30.5.7 Fab fragments for peptide-loaded H-2 L^d molecules. This measurement was determined by direct saturation analysis of 30.5.7-FITC Fab binding to H-2 L^d molecules on the surface of R M A -S L^d cells. R M A -S cells were chosen since these cells express empty MHC molecules that can be readily loaded with specific peptides of interest (36, 48). The affinity of 30.5.7 for H-2 L^d molecules is dependent on the peptide loaded into H-2 L^d (Table 2). The affinity of 30.5.7 for QL9-loaded H-2 L^d molecules is 12.2 nM, whereas the affinities for p2Ca, pMCMV (Table 2), and SL9 (data not shown) loaded H-2 L^d molecules range between 4.8–6.4 nM. These small, peptide-dependent, differences in affinity are reproducible and variations in affinity were accounted for in the competitive binding assays.
monovalent (sm) TCR used, but an accurate measure of the Kd could not be determined. As discussed above, in addition to recognizing the alloreactive peptide-H-2 Ld ligands, additional cognate ligands for 2C TCR have been defined. The 2C TCR is also alloreactive on H-2 Kb. The affinity of soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig for p2Ca-loaded H-2 Ld molecules is too low to be accurately measured under the conditions tested (data not shown).

In all cases analyzed, the affinity of the soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig was significantly higher than the affinity of the soluble monovalent 2C TCR for its cognate ligand (Fig. 5 and Table 2). The affinity of soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig was 50-fold higher for QL9-loaded H-2 Ld and at least 20-fold higher for p2Ca-a-loaded H-2 Ld molecules than that of soluble monovalent 2C TCR for the same peptide–MHC complexes (Table 2). Thus, the divalent nature of soluble 2C TCR–Ig chimeras significantly increased the affinity of the TCR analogue for its cognate ligands.

Table 2. Measured Affinities of 2C TCR Analogues for RMA-S Ld Cells

| Peptide-MHC complex | Peptide | 2C TCR–Ig | 2C-sm TCR |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
|                     | 30.5.7 Fab | Kd | Kapp | Kd | Kapp |
| QL9                 | nM 12.2 | 18.3 | 13.3 | 953.4 | 613.6 |
| p2Ca                | nM 5.8 | 107.8 | 90.5 | >2000* | >2000* |
| pM CMV              | nM 4.8 | ndc | ndc | N D | N D |

* Competition was detected at the highest concentration of 2C- soluble monovalent (sm) TCR used, but an accurate measure of the Kd could not be determined.

1 ndc, no detectable competition with 30.5.7 Fab fragments.
2 not determined.

Affinities of 30.5.7 Fab fragments for RMA-S Ld cells were determined by direct saturation analysis of 30.5.7 Fab binding to cells analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells were incubated with increasing amounts of FITC-labeled 30.5.7 Fab, and Kd’s were estimated from a plot of 1/MCF versus 1/(30.5.7 Fab). Affinities of 2C TCR analogues were determined by competition of the 2C TCR analogue with a constant amount of FITC-labeled 30.5.7 Fab fragments for RMA-S Ld cells as described in Materials and Methods. Kapp was calculated from a plot of 1/(percent maximal 30.5.7 Fab binding) versus [2C TCR analogue]. The Kapp was corrected for the affinity of 30.5.7 Fab for RMA-S Ld cells according to the equation Kapp (1 + [30.5.7 Fab]/Kd30.5.7) (38). The values reported in the table are from one representative experiment that has been repeated at least three times. Each data point used in determination of the Kd is the average of duplicate points.

These values are in good agreement with the previously measured affinities of 125I-30.5.7 Fab for the same peptide–H-2 Ld complexes (8.8-16 nM; reference 38).

2C TCR–Ig inhibited binding of 30.5.7 Fab to H-2 Ld molecules loaded with either QL9 or p2Ca a peptides, but did not inhibit 30.5.7 Fab binding to pMCMV-loaded H-2 Ld molecules (Fig. 5). The affinity of soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig for QL9 loaded molecules is 13.3 nM (Fig. 5 and Table 2). As expected, the affinity of 2C TCR–Ig for p2Ca-a-loaded molecules, 90 nM, is lower than that for QL9-loaded H-2 Ld. Although a small amount of competitive inhibition was seen with SL9 loaded cells, the affinity did not inhibit 30.5.7 Fab binding to pMCMV-loaded H-2 Ld cells. Thus, to study the binding of 2C TCR–Ig to peptide-MHC complexes, dEV-8/H-2 Kb, 2C TCR was chosen since it is not complicated by the expression of MHC molecules, H-2 Ld and H-2 Kbm3, mediate negative selection of the 2C TCR in 2C transgenic mice. With regard to the alloresponse on H-2 Kbm3, a single peptide–MHC complex, dEV-8/H-2 Kb, has been defined (see Table 1 for sequences) and is known to be a target for 2C CTLs and the presumptive negatively selecting peptide–MHC complex. In addition to alloreactive complexes for 2C CTL, an artificially derived peptide-dependent syngeneic target has also been defined, SIY/H-2 Kb (see Table 1 for sequences). To analyze the ability of 2C TCR–Ig to bind to these alternate 2C-reactive complexes, the binding of 2C TCR–Ig to peptide-loaded transfected T2 cells was studied. Since T2 cells are derived from a human cell line, T2 cells do not naturally express H-2 Kb as do RMA-S cells. Thus, to study the binding of 2C TCR–Ig to peptide-loaded H-2 Kb or various H-2 Kbm3 mutant molecules, the T2 system was chosen since it is not complicated by the expression of MHC molecules from the parental cell line. Similar to RMA-S Ld cells, T2 cells also express empty MHC molecules that can be readily loaded with different peptides. For these studies, T2 cells transfected with: H-2 Kb, T2 Kb; H-2 Kb, T2 Kb; and H-2 Kb, T2 Kb, (21, 22) were loaded with a variety of different MHC-binding peptides.

Peptide SIY-loaded T2 Kb, T2 Kb, or T2 Kb cells all expressed epitopes recognized by 2C TCR–Ig (Fig. 6, A-C). MCF of cells incubated with 2C TCR–Ig increased ~20-fold, from 14 for pSV (H-2 Kb binding peptide isolated from vesicular stomatitis virus N P residues) -loaded to...
Figure 6. Soluble divalent 2C TCR-Ig detects SIY-MHC complexes, but not dEV-8-MHC complexes. T2 cells transfected with either H-2 K\(^b\); H-2 K\(^{bm3}\); or H-2 K\(^{bm1}\) were incubated overnight at 27°C and loaded with peptides dEV-8 (broken line), SIY (solid line), or pVSV (dotted line) as described in Materials and Methods. Cells were stained with purified 2C TCR-Ig and analyzed by FACS\(^\circ\). Resultant histograms are shown; (A), T2-K\(^b\) cells; (B) T2-K\(^{bm3}\); (C), T2-K\(^{bm1}\). In the histograms presented 2C TCR-Ig reactivity with either dEV-8 (broken line) or pVSV (dotted line) was virtually identical leading to difficulty in discriminating between these two histograms.

Figure 7. 2C CTL-mediated lysis on various peptide-MHC targets. T2 cells transfected with either H-2 L\(^d\) (A), H-2 K\(^b\) (B), or H-2 K\(^{bm3}\) (C) were chromium labeled as described and then loaded with peptides by incubation at 25°C for 1.5 h in the presence of variable amounts of peptides p2Ca (●) and pMCMV (◇) (A); and dEV-8 (△), SIY (□), or pVSV (○) (B and C). Peptide-loaded target cells were then incubated at an effector to target ratio of 10:1 and specific lysis calculated as described in Materials and Methods. Data shown are representative of three separate experiments.
Ld–binding peptide, MCMV, which efficiently displaced the endogenous ground binding of 2C TCR–Ig to -IFN–treated cells. This was accomplished by incubating RENCA cells with saturating amounts of the efficient displacement of endogenous p2Ca or p2Ca-pMCMV (14) should be very efficient. Therefore, back-ground reactivity of 2C TCR–Ig could be determined by the efficient displacement of endogenous p2Ca or p2Ca-like peptides by incubating the cells with saturating amounts of the control pMCMV peptide. In all cases, 2C TCR–Ig binding could be blocked by earlier incubation of cells with the control H-2 Ld–binding pMCMV (Fig. 8, A–D, dotted lines). Earlier incubation of RENCA cells with a 2C-specific peptide, QL9, induced a dramatic increase in 2C TCR–Ig binding (data not shown). The results of these experiments indicate that 2C TCR–Ig could be used to analyze the impact of cytokines on cell surface expression of endogenous 2C-reactive peptide–MHC complexes.

The effect of -IFN on 2C TCR–Ig reactivity was distinct from its effects on 30.5.7 reactivity. At all concentrations analyzed, 5–50 U/ml, -IFN induced a five to sixfold increase in serologically reactive H-2 Ld, as recognized by mAb 30.5.7 (Fig. 8, E–H). MCF of unstimulated RENCA cells was 500, whereas the MCF of -IFN-stimulated cells was between 2,666 and 3,038. The maximal effect of -IFN was seen at the lowest dose used, in the experiment presented, 5 U/ml, and in other experiments was seen even at dose of -IFN as low as 1 U/ml (data not shown). Interestingly, the dose response curve of -IFN on 2C TCR–Ig reactivity was shifted. -IFN at 5 U/ml had a relatively small, but significant effect on 2C TCR–Ig reactivity. Maximal effects of -IFN on 2C TCR–Ig reactivity required -IFN treatment at 10 U/ml, ~10-fold more than needed for maximal effects of -IFN on 30.5.7 reactivity. These results indicate a differential effect of -IFN on MHC heavy chain expression than that of -IFN on specific peptide antigen–MHC complex expression.

Discussion

Interest in understanding T cell–mediated immune responses led us to develop a general system for expression of high affinity soluble analogues of TCR. This was accomplished using Ig as a molecular scaffold to generate soluble divalent TCR analogues. The proof of the efficacy of this approach was shown by the development of two different TCR–Ig molecules, one derived from a TCR recognizing a murine alloantigen, and the other from a TCR recognizing a viral peptide presented by human HLA-A2. The divalent nature of soluble TCR–Ig increased the affinity ~50-fold, as proven by measuring the values of both the monovalent and divalent 2C TCR in a single system. The divalent construct had an affinity for QL9-loaded H-2 Ld molecules ~50-fold higher than soluble monovalent 2C TCR. Divalent 2C TCR–Ig also had an affinity for p2Ca-loaded H-2 Ld molecules at least 20-fold higher than soluble monovalent 2C TCRs. Although 2C TCR–Ig interacted with SL9–H-2 Ld complexes, its affinity was too low to accurately estimate under the conditions tested, yet it was significantly higher than that of soluble monovalent 2C TCR. Thus, for all ligands analyzed, significant increases in affinity of the soluble divalent 2C TCR–Ig over soluble monovalent versions of 2C TCR were found. This increase in affinity enhanced our ability to use this reagent to study peptide–MHC interactions.

Soluble divalent TCR–Ig used in flow cytometry assays proved to be a sensitive way of analyzing TCR interaction with peptide–MHC complexes. In our model system, mean channel fluorescence of peptide-loaded RMA-S Ld cells was concordant with the reported affinities of 2C TCR for various peptide–MHC ligands. Using this relatively simple assay we were able to detect interactions of the 2C TCR with three different peptide–H-2 Ld complexes. These peptide–MHC complexes reflect an affinity range of over three orders of magnitude, from 0.066 to 71 µM for monovalent 2C TCR (14). This range of affinities is thought to reflect most of the range of known class I–specific TCR interactions with either peptide agonists–MHC or peptide antagonist–MHC complexes (49–52). Although soluble monovalent 2C TCR was useful in the direct flow
cytometry assay only with the highest affinity QL9/H-2 Ld MHC complexes (data not shown), no reactivity could be seen between the soluble monovalent 2C TCR and one of the natural ligands, p2Ca, or the low affinity peptide, SL9 (data not shown). We were unable to detect the very weak interactions occurring between 2C TCR and p2Ca a H-2 Kb molecules (data not shown and reference 14). The affinity of this interaction has been measured as 333 μM (14) suggesting a lower limit of detection of peptide-MHC complexes using soluble 2C TCR -Ig in a flow cytometry based assay.

The affinities measured for soluble monovalent 2C TCR in our system are about an order of magnitude different than previously measured affinities for soluble monovalent 2C TCR (12, 14, 15). Using a competitive 1B2 binding assay, investigators estimated an affinity of 66 nM for the interaction of 2C TCR with QL9-loaded H-2 Ld molecules and 500 nM for its interaction with p2Ca-loaded H-2 Ld molecules (14). Differences in assay systems, including involvement of the CD8 coreceptor probably account for the order of magnitude weaker affinity we measured for soluble monovalent 2C TCR. Recent work supporting this view has shown that CD8 enhances the affinity of TCR for certain peptide-MHC complexes ~10-fold (53, 54).

Using 2C TCR -Ig, an unexpected finding was revealed in analysis of the affinity of 2C TCR binding for cognate peptide-MHC complexes. Binding of 2C TCR -Ig to peptide-loaded syngeneic MHC complexes displayed a strict correlation with the CTL data. 2C TCR -Ig binding to dEV-8–H-2 Kbm3 was undetectable, whereas binding to SIY–H-2 Kb was quite strong. Surprisingly, binding of 2C TCR -Ig did not correlate with efficacy of lysis for the allogeneic MHC, H-2 Kb molecules (14). Differences in assay systems, including involvement of the CD8 coreceptor probably account for the order of magnitude higher affinity we measured for soluble monovalent 2C TCR. Recent work supporting this view has shown that CD8 enhances the affinity of TCR for certain peptide-MHC complexes ~10-fold (53, 54).

Using 2C TCR -Ig, an unexpected finding was revealed in analysis of the affinity of 2C TCR binding for cognate peptide-MHC complexes. Binding of 2C TCR -Ig to peptide-loaded syngeneic MHC complexes displayed a strict correlation with the CTL data. 2C TCR -Ig binding to dEV-8–H-2 Kbm3 was undetectable, whereas binding to SIY–H-2 Kb was quite strong. Surprisingly, binding of 2C TCR -Ig did not correlate with efficacy of lysis for the allogeneic MHC, H-2 Kb molecules (14). Differences in assay systems, including involvement of the CD8 coreceptor probably account for the order of magnitude higher affinity we measured for soluble monovalent 2C TCR. Recent work supporting this view has shown that CD8 enhances the affinity of TCR for certain peptide-MHC complexes ~10-fold (53, 54).

Previously, a lack of correlation between TCR affinity and efficiency of lysis by CTLs was noted with certain variant p2Ca peptides, not naturally occurring endogenous peptides, on H-2 Ld (16). From that work, investigators concluded that other factors such as CD8, which are not part of soluble TCR analogues, could be influencing the affinity of the TCR for its peptide-MHC ligand, thereby, impacting on the lysis of targets pulsed with these peptides. As mentioned above, this hypothesis is supported by recent work showing the enhancement of the interaction between TCR and peptide-MHC complexes by CD8 (54). Our analysis of the 2C TCR -Ig reactivity, which was unable to detect certain 2C-reactive complexes, dEV-8–H-2 Kbm3 complexes, may also reflect a differential importance of CD8 engagement in facilitating lysis of certain peptide-MHC targets over others.

By analyzing the expression of endogenous cell surface peptide-MHC complexes, we found that the effect of γ-IFN on regulation of class I heavy chain is distinct from its effect on regulation of the peptide-MHC complexes. This could reflect different target genes for the two activities of γ-IFN. Presumably the effects of γ-IFN on class I heavy chain expression is due the γ-IFN-responsive element in the class I MHC promoter. The effects on expression of the epitope recognized by 2C TCR -Ig could be due to the effects of γ-IFN on peptide processing, as recently found to relate to induction of the proteosome component PA28 (24, 25, 28), or at other potentially more complex γ-IFN-controlled pathways involved in regulation of antigenic peptide delivery. Of interest when the effect of the proteosome regulator PA28 on antigen presentation was studied using cells transfected with PA28, investigators saw an approximate threefold increase in alloreactive C57BL/6 anti-BALB/c responses. This effect is similar in magnitude to the effect of γ-IFN on 2C TCR -Ig binding.

In addition to using soluble divalent high affinity TCR as a general approach to study expression of peptide-MHC complexes, mAbs that differentiate between MHC molecules on the basis of peptides resident in the groove are also useful in studying expression of specific peptide-MHC complexes. As previously discussed, using both conventional approaches and a recombinant antibody phage display library, a few such antibodies have been produced (3-8). The mAb made using a recombinant antibody phage display library (8) has affinities generally comparable to the affinity of the soluble 2C TCR -Ig for high affinity peptide-MHC ligands. A distinct advantage of soluble high affinity TCR -Ig chimeras is that even in the absence of any a priori knowledge about their ligands, they may be useful in defining the specific ligands recognized by poorly defined TCR such as γδ TCR, undefined tumor-specific T cells, and T cells involved in autoimmune responses. The gener-
ation of mAbs specific for a particular peptide–MHC complex requires the prior identification of the peptide ligand for development and obviously cannot be used to help define the TCR ligands or the peptide–MHC complex. Furthermore, T cell activation requires cross-linking of multiple TCRs. Since the mode of interaction of TCR–Ig chimeras with peptide–MHC complexes is similar to that of the natural TCR, TCR–Ig will mimic the interaction of a T cell with APCs and may help facilitate elucidation of biochemical interactions involved in TCR recognition of peptide–MHC complexes. Although mAbs with high affinity for specific peptide–MHC complexes will cross-link TCR, there is no guarantee that they will mimic the interaction of TCR with peptide–MHC ligands. Thus, one can expect that use of high affinity biologically relevant cognates such as TCR–Ig molecules is likely to reveal details of TCR interactions with peptide–MHC molecules that peptide-specific mAbs may miss. Advantages offered by both systems will facilitate the analysis of expression of specific peptide–MHC complexes in both normal and aberrant immune responses.

Here we presented a general approach for producing soluble divalent versions of heterodimeric proteins such as T cell receptors. This is the first time that soluble high affinity and specificity analogues of TCR proteins have been made. This approach is not only of relevance for TCR, but also for other immunoregulatory proteins such as class II MHC molecules (O’Herrin, S.M., M.S. Lebowitz, and J.P. Schneck, manuscript in preparation), and potentially useful in other cell biological systems involving heterodimeric integral membrane proteins. The experimental system described here outlines a general approach of using divalent high affinity ligands to study cell–cell interactions, driven by multivalent ligand–receptor interactions.
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