Land use land cover change detection in the mining areas of V. D. Yalevsky coal mine-Russia
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Abstract. The dynamics of land use/land cover (LULC) changes, the effect of coal mining on the LULC changes, and the regional environmental impact are discussed in this study. The different land use classes mainly Forest, Water Bodies, Road, Mining Area, Agriculture and Grass in the study area of V. D. Yalevsky coal field area in Prokorvisk city in Kemerovo region of Russia are identified. On the other hand the impact of V. D. Yalevsky coal mine activities on LULC change on the environment and territory are discussed. The LULC changes in the V. D. Yalevsky coal field area were analyzed for a period of 27 years e.g., from the year 1992 to 2019. The changes were detected on a 13-years time interval using Landsat-4 TM, Landsat-8 OLI. Furthermore supervised classification techniques using maximum likelihood method through ENVI (Environment for Visualizing Images) 5.1 software was utilized. In addition post classification change detection method through ENVI was used to investigate the changes. The study reveals decrement in LULC categories of forest to 25.35km², water bodies to -0.94km², agriculture to -98.48km², road to -10.80km². However increment in the rate of mining area to 100.72km² and grass cover 34.86 km² during the study period. Meanwhile 90.18% overall accuracy and (0.87) kappa coefficient for 1992 classified image, 93.41% overall accuracy and (0.91) Kappa coefficient for 2006 classified image and 88.69% overall accuracy and (0.85) kappa coefficient for 2019 classified image were obtained.
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1 Introduction

Change detection is the process of identifying differences in the state of an object or phenomenon by observing it at different times [1]. The earth’s surface changes are divided into two categories i.e. land use and land cover (LULC). The term land use stands for the purpose for which the specific piece of land is used for e.g. agriculture, urbanization, mining, etc. The term land cover stands for the features which are present on the earth’s surface for e.g. buildings, pavement, trees etc.
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The need for monitoring and quantifying changes by remote sensing techniques and satellite imagery has been well recognized. From environmental point of view, the dynamic process of LULC change is an indispensable concern all over the world, which indicates global environmental change [2] and this has been recount as the most remarkable regional anthropogenic disruption of environment [3]. There is no doubt that the coal mining expansion benefits the local GDP significantly, but also causes environmental degradation and destroy original ecosystem balance due to the destruction of original land cover types [4]. Mining area caused a great deal of changes in landscape structure and enormous environmental disturbances, among them open-pit coal mine is one of the greatest landscape altering activities, and it’s difficult to restore surface coal mine to the original ecological landscape [5].

Russia sits upon huge banks of some key mineral deposits. Kuznestk basin which is located in Russia, one of the largest coal fields in the world. In this frame work V.D. Yalevsky which is located in the city of Prokoryevsk at Kemerovo region, consider as the biggest and huge coal mine volume. As a developing country, the energy requirement in Russia is increasing day by day and the coal mining industries are eventually increasing their production to meet the requirement. In general, the natural resources occur in ecologically sensitive and endangered forest cover areas. In this context, it is essential to scrutinize the effect of mining on land use land cover change to minimize its impact on environment as well as for proper land management and decision making [6,7,8]. To ascertain such changes, earth resource satellite data are critically important and useful for land use/land cover change studies [9]. Today Remote sensing technology has enabled ecologists and natural resources managers to acquire timely data and observe periodical changes [10]. Acquiring timely Remote sensing data and application of GIS technology are very useful to observe and analyze the periodical changes of land forms and land cover [11]. Usually, the most standard method used for land use land cover change detection is post classification comparison method, which entails the comparison of independently produced classified images [1]. The V.D. Yalevsky coal mine in Prokoryevsk district at Kemerovo region in Russia has seen the coal mining activity for some time now. The LULC dynamics in the area is essential to monitor the influence of these activities, therefor in the present study, the dynamics of LULC changes, the effect of coal mining on LULC changes, and the regional environmental impact are discussed. Furthermore supervised classification technique maximum likelihood (ML) has been employed, by using Landsat satellite data, for period from 1992, to 2019. Landsat satellite imagery widely used in the study, because Landsat program is an archive of Earth images, easily accessible via the internet for free, large scale cover area, multi-temporal images (long time serious) and multi-spectral scanner are its benefits. The main objective of the paper is to understand the areal distribution of LULC in three difference times in the area (1992-2006 and 2019) and indicating changes during the mining exploration process, also indicating impact of coal mining on the dynamics of LULC changes.

2 Study area

The study area is V.D. Yalevsky, which is one of the largest volumes coal mining in Russia. V.D. Yalevsky located in a city of Prokoryevsk district at Kemerovo region, central Russia, on Aba River. A small village of 18th-century origin, it expanded rapidly in the 1920s to become the largest coal mining centre of the Kuznetsk Basin, although it is gradually declining. The total number of population of the city is about 216,700. It stands at the 88th position among largest populated cities of Russia. The total geographical area of the city is around 3.730 km², in that about 616km² coverd to the present study.
The location of the study area lies between (54°11'29.60"N) latitude and (87°9'28.77"E) longitude, and elevation 278m/912.1 feet. The total economy of the region is highly dependent on coal mining. Extraction of coking coal from the coal enriching plant is the main industry of the region. Prokopievsk has a continental climate. Winters are frosty and long, summers are cool and short. The warmest month in July, the average temperature +18.3 degrees Celsius. The coldest in January, the average temperature -15.9 degrees Celsius. The average annual rainfall 620 mm. The location and map of the study area is shown in Fig1.

Fig. 1. Location map of the V.D. Yalevsky coal mine area

3 Material and methods

Spatial methods utilizing Landsat Satellite imagery in monitoring change was employed. The multi-dated Landsat data sets of V.D. Yalevsky coal mining area, a number of digital image processing techniques were adopted and land use/land cover maps for 3-year time i.e., for the years 1992, 2006 and 2019 were prepared. On the other hand Supervised classification techniques Maximum Likelihood Classification (MLC) algorithm was used to define each class and categorizing DN values in different classes in the study area. Post classification comparison method was used to measure the amount of healthy and declining each class categories in the area.

3.1 Data acquisition

The satellite data used for land use/land cover classification are derived from Landsat-4 Thematic Mapper for two–years time period of 1992 and 2006, whereas Landsat-8, OLI data is used for the year 2019. The Landsat-4 and 8 sensors have a spectral resolution of 30 m and a swath of 185 km [11]. In addition satellite data of Sentinel-2A and Google Earth imagery in same time are used as ground truth to assessing accuracy assessment. These satellite data sets were obtained from [12]. All the data were projected to the same reference system UTM (zone 45) North and WGS 84 datum. The study area falls under the path 146 and row 022 in Worldwide Reference System of Landsat. The ground truth data
were ascertained with the help of Google Earth and Sentinel-2A imagery. These data were used for classification and assessing accuracy assessment of the classified images. Employing multi-dated TM Landsat data sets of V.K. Yelevsky coal mining area, various digital image processing techniques were adopted to investigate land use/landcover changes and prepare LULC maps of the study area for 3-year time 1992, 2006 and 2019.

3.2 Mapping and accuracy assessment

First, all the three data sets went through image pre-processing and enhancement. The images atmospherically, radiometrically and geometrically corrected by using ENVI (Environment for Visualizing Images) software 5.1. Layer stacking operations were used to combine the different bands. All bands of image were used for the layer stacking in Landsat-4 TM visible to shortwave infrared (bands 1 to 5 and 7) and (1 to 7) in Landsat-8, OLI with pixel size 30m. A shapefile of the study area was then overlaid on each image as the area of interest (AOI) and a subset obtained.

On the other hand LULC maps for the years 1992, 2006 and 2019 produced after editing and finalization. The rate of the classes in Km² was extracted, the percentage change for each year measured against each LULC type and the rates divided according to each individual year. A change in the area was indicated as either positive or negative, maximum and minimum as well. Six major LULC classes were implemented by Supervised classification techniques, using ML algorithm with the help of ENVI 5.1 software. Post-classified images were cleaned for obtaining better accuracy results and for reduction of misclassification [13]. While classifying the images, mixed pixels cause a common problem because of the medium spatial resolution of the Landsat data sets (13).

Accuracy assessment has been carried out by employing the ‘confusion matrix’ [14] and ‘Kappa’ analysis [15] and images were evaluated. Ground truth data and ROI’s method by using Sentinel-2A and Google Earth imagery were used for accuracy assessment in ENVI 9.1 software.

3.3 Change detection

For detecting and analyzing the change on earth’s surface, various techniques are employed. Post classification method is proved to be the most popular approach in change detection analysis. It requires the comparison of independently produced classified image. The approach of this method is based on the rectification of the classified images independently then the thematic maps are generated which is followed by the comparison of corresponding labels to identify the areas where change has occurred (Shivangi Mishra1., et al, 2017). In this case LULC change detection study for V.D. Yelevsky coal mining area in Prokopivsk district in Kemerovo region was carried out by using post classification method. The images were downloaded from the Landsat-4(TM) and Landsat-8 OLI image using ENVI 5.1 software. In addition to obtain the dynamic changes of each class categories during the study period i.e., from 1992 to 2009, post classification comparison method and change detection statistics through ENVI 5.1 software were used, to compute the trend, class total, net change, class change, percent change and rate of each LULC change between the years 1992 and 2006 and 2019.

4 Results and discussion

Six major LULC classes categories -forest, water bodies, road, mining area, agriculture and grass land were recorded in the study area. The LULC maps of the study area for three
different time periods are presented in Fig. 2. Spatial distribution, area statistics of six LULC categories and their percentages of the area covered by each LULC category for each years are also shown in Table 1. Table 2 depicts the magnitude of change in different land use land cover categories in 3-year time span from 1992 to 2006 and 2006 to 2019, net change and rate of change for each individual year illustrated as well. Table 3 show the statistics of change detection analysis for the study period 1992-2019 for each class categories.

Fig. 2. Land use Map of 1992, 2006 and 2019.

4.1 Areal extent and change of LULC

The results on various landform cover extents and their changes are presented in Fig 2, and Tables 1–3. The high altitude areas according to the study area are mainly covered by forest and low lying areas covered by water bodies. Coal mines area as a main part of the study is distributed mostly seen along the forest, grass, water and agriculture land.

The forest areal extent comprise the most biggest category in the study area, and shows increasing trend from 1992-2006. Forest cover land contain 241.01 km² (39.16%) in the year 1992, and increased to 245.40 km² (39.87) in the year 2006, however decreased to 215.66 km² (35.04) in the year 2019.

Water bodies contain the less part of the study area and shows decreasing gradually. The rate of the water bodes area is 2.12 km² (0.34%) in the year 1992, 1.94 km² (0.32%) in the year 2006, and 1.18km² (0.19%) in the year 2019.

The rate of Road class is the second smallest part of the area. The results shows conversion during the years. Th rate of roads 12.26km² (1.99%) in the year 1992, 4.67km²
(0.76%) in the years 2006 and 1.46km² (0.24%) in the year 2019. However, it is observed that the land cover category of grass gradually extended from 142.60 km² (23.17%) in the year 1992 to 151.60 km² (24.63%) in the year 2006 again and again to 177.46 km² (28.83%) in the year 2019.

The coal mining area that had spread over 10.68 km² in 1992 (1.74%), increased to 43.89 km² (7.13%) in 2006, again and again it increased to 111.40 km² (18.10%) in the year 2019. The mining area comprises the opencast (open-pit) coal mines and overburden dumps. As a consequence, the area of mining and overburden dumps show constant increase in their aerial extents during the study period.

The Agriculture cover area comprises the second largest class of the study area and forms an important land cover class. The study reveals that agricultural land shrunk from 206.83 km² (33.60%) in the year 1992 to 168.01 km² (27.30%) in the year 2006, and further decreased to 108.35 km² (17.60%) in the year 2019. Several reasons behind that destruction and decreasing in agriculture cover observed. The most mining extraction process and new mine coal pits are situated in agriculture land area.

A 3-year time scale reveals that mine activities leads to change in land use land cover categories in the area. On the other hand it can be observed from the data that there is a shrinkin in the area of all of the landforms from the year 1992 to 2019, except mine and grass cover area (Table 1).

### Table 1. Areal distribution of LULC classes in the V.D. Yalevesky coal mine area (expressed in km²; value in parenthesis indicate percentages).

| Land use category | 1992   | %      | 2006   | %      | 2019   | %      |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Forest            | 241.01 | (39.16)| 245.40 | (39.87)| 215.66 | (35.04)|
| Water bodies      | 2.12   | (0.34) | 1.94   | (0.32) | 1.18   | (0.19) |
| Road              | 12.26  | (1.99) | 4.67   | (0.76) | 1.46   | (0.24) |
| Mining area       | 10.68  | (1.74) | 43.89  | (7.13) | 111.40 | (18.10)|
| Agriculture       | 206.83 | (33.60)| 168.01 | (27.30)| 108.35 | (17.60)|
| Grass             | 142.60 | (23.17)| 151.60 | (24.63)| 177.46 | (28.83)|

### 4.2 Rate of LULC change

The statistics of change detection analysis for the study period 1992-2019 for each class are shown in Table 3. It is observed that the forest cover shows an increase, but at a slow rate, 4.38km² (0.31km²/year) during the years 1992-2016, however decreasing to -29.74km² (-2.29km²/year) during the years 2006-2019.

Results show that, the net rate change of forest is -25.35km² (-0.94km²/year), during the study period.

The water bodies observed as the smallest rate in the area, and gradually decreased to -0.17km² (-0.01km²/year) during the years 1992-2006, again and again -0.77 km² (-0.06km²/year) during the years 2006-2019. The shrunken in net rate change of water bodies is -0.94km² (-0.03km²/year), during the study period.

The road class area decreased gradually to -7.59km² (-0.54km²/year) during the years 1992-2006, again and again to -3.21km² (-0.25km²/year) during the years 2006-2019. Decrement during the period of the study area results is -10.80km² (-0.4km²/year). However, change rate of mining and grass area is observed grown continuously during the study period with a net rate change 100.72km² of mine (3.73km²/year) and 34.86 km² of (1.29km²/year) of grass respectively.

Mining and grass areas witnessed maximum increment in change rate at 33.21km² (2.37km²/year) in mine coal area and 9 km² (0.64km²/year) in grass cover area respectively.
during the period 1992–2006, also 67.51km² (5.19km²/year) in mine coal area and 25.86km² (1.99km²/year) in grass cover respectively during the period 2006-2019.

Substantial decrease in agriculture cover area observed gradually. The net rate change of agricultural area between 1992-2006 was -38.82km² (-2.77km²/year), and -59.66km² (-4.59km²/year) between 2006-2019. The most change has been occurred in the last years. The results show the big conversion in agricultural land cover during the study period, which is -98.48km² (-3.65km²/year).

Fig. 3. Show the areal rate of each classes according to the years 1992, 2006 and 2019.

The study reveals that during the year 1992–2019 maximum positive change is observed in the mining area, whereas maximum negative change recorded in agriculture land cover (Table 2). Inaddition maximum increase in the classes on the area observed in mining area and consequently the maximum decrease in agriculture cover are observed during 1992–2019. It is observed that except natural and other anthropogenic activities, the mining activities in the area is a significant driver to decreases in LULC categories, and change their rates during the period from 1992 to 2019. Results of accuracy assessment of the classified images showed that, the overall accuracy and kappa coefficient were found at 90.18% and 0.87 for 1992 classified image, 93.41% and 0.91 for 2006 classified image, 88.69% and 0.85 for 2019 classified image respectively for LULC classified images.

Table 2. Rate of change and net change of LULC in the V.D. Yalevesky coal mine area (change expressed in km²/year).

| Land use category | 1992-2006 | km²/Year | 2006-2019 | km²/Year | Net change 1992-2019 | km²/Year |
|-------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------------------|----------|
| Forest            | 4.38      | 0.31     | -29.74    | -2.29    | -25.35               | -0.94    |
| Water bodies      | -0.17     | -0.01    | -0.77     | -0.06    | -0.94                | -0.03    |
| Road              | -7.59     | -0.54    | -3.21     | -0.25    | -10.80               | -0.4     |
| Mining area       | 33.21     | 0.54     | 67.51     | 5.19     | 100.72               | 3.73     |
| Agriculture       | -38.82    | -2.77    | -59.66    | -4.59    | -98.48               | -3.65    |
| Grass             | 9.00      | 0.64     | 25.86     | 1.99     | 34.85                | 1.29     |
Table 3. Change matrix of LULC change in V.D. Yalevsky coal mine area from 1992-2019 (km²).

| Land use category | Forest 1990 | Water bodies 1990 | Road 1990 | Mining area 1990 | Agriculture 1990 | Grass 1990 | Total 1990 |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| Forest            | 187.78      | 0.03              | 0.57      | 0.28             | 15.15            | 11.85      | 215.66     |
| Water bodies      | 0.21        | 0.32              | 0.04      | 0.01             | 0.21             | 0.38       | 1.18       |
| Road              | 0.38        | 0.01              | 0.09      | 0.08             | 0.48             | 0.43       | 0.46       |
| Mining area       | 26.66       | 1.63              | 8.76      | 6.14             | 35.44            | 32.77      | 111.40     |
| Agriculture       | 8.50        | 0.07              | 1.97      | 2.39             | 80.89            | 14.52      | 108.35     |
| Grass             | 17.47       | 0.07              | 0.84      | 1.78             | 74.65            | 82.65      | 177.46     |
| Total2019         | 241.01      | 2.12              | 12.26     | 10.68            | 206.83           | 142.6      | 344.46     |
| Class changes     | 53.23       | 1.79              | 12.18     | 4.54             | 125.94           | 59.95      | 185.89     |
| Image difference  | -25.35      | -0.94             | -10.80    | 100.7            | -98.48           | 34.86      |            |

5 Conclusion

The remote sensing imagery have been used to analyze LULC changes in the V. D. Yalevsky coal mine area. Six major LULC classes have been clearly identified from the mining area of V. D. Yalevsky basin. The study also provides the trend of major changes in the LULC classes of study area during the time period from 1992 to 2019. It is observed there is a direct impact of mining on majority LULC categories along with other factors. This study helps that in decision making for land reclamation and land management in the area. It will be a base data source to other environmental issues such as change in climate and air pollution. The study, employing Landsat-4 (TM) and Landsat-8 (OLI) has given satisfactorily results for classification of six major land cover classes of V. D. Yalevsky mine area. The dominant LULC class was mine area. The results clearly show that mining area increasing whereas agriculture, road, forest, and water are reducing. The use of this approach in the area has clearly demonstrated the potential of remote sensing imagery and its techniques in measuring the change pattern of LULC in the area characterized by the influx of mining. On the other hand the study would aid government and environmental protection agency (EPA) fashion out policy for potential environmental changes in the area.
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