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ABSTRACT

Aim: This paper aimed to assess the effectiveness of fertilizer use among arable farmers in Yola South North-eastern part of Nigeria.

Place and Duration of the Study: The study was conducted at Yola South LGA of Adamawa State, Nigeria during September, 2019-July, 2020.

Methodology: Four (4) areas were selected in the area (Yolde pate, Bole, Mbamaba and Wuro-chekke) where 40 farmers were randomly selected from each farm location totaling to 160 farmers. The data obtained through administered questionnaires were analyzed using simple descriptive statistics and effectiveness Test Value (ETV) method respectively.

Results: The result shows that soil type, vegetation cover and soil type were the most indicators of soil fertility among farmers in the area where most farmers used organic fertilizers than the inorganic or combined except at Wuor-chekke farm location. Broadcasting method of fertilizer application was found to be mostly adopted in the area. Similarly, Organic fertilizer was assessed to be highly effective (HE) in all the farm location except at Wuro-chekke while inorganic fertilizer was HE at Bole and the combine used of organic and inorganic fertilizers appeared HE except at Mbamba farm location. Moreover, broadcasting method was HE at Yolde pate and Wuro-chekke

*Corresponding author: Email: sadiqhsadiq@gmail.com;
Fertilizers are soil amendments that guarantee the minimum percentages of Nitrogen, Phosphate and Potash [1]. The goal of applying fertilizer on plants is to make them grow faster by supplying the elements that are needed in readily available forms [2]. No region of the world has been able to expand agricultural growth rates, and thus tackle hunger, without increasing fertilizer use [3]. Thus, North-eastern part of Nigeria is not exceptional. Fertilizers are of two major types, that is, organic fertilizer made from animal matter, human excreta or vegetable matter and inorganic fertilizer made from synthetic materials [4]. There is evidence that the demand for fertilizers responds more to changes in crop output prices than to changes in fertilizer prices [5]. One way to address the twin problem of low agricultural productivity in sub-sahara Africa is fertilizer use both organic and inorganic, especially in low income countries (particularly North-eastern part of Nigeria) where fertilizer use is lowest [6]. As a result, small scale farmers, who produce the bulk of food in Nigeria, have to embrace fertilizer application in an organic and inorganic form in order to increase yield per unit area of land [7,8]. Thus, the profitability of fertilizer use is the most important factor in determining the demand for fertilizers by farmers [5]. In many sub-Saharan Africa countries, the relationship between food crop prices and fertilizer prices is insufficiently attractive to motivate fertilizer use [5]. This might be attributed to low or poor effectiveness of fertilizers caused by different factors associated to the use of fertilizers. Farmers will adopt and increase their use of fertilizers only when they perceive that it is in their interest to do so. In Yola farmers actively participated in fertilizer application on farmlands which might not significantly compensate the expected yield of food crops thereby resulted to low or unprofitable farming. Therefore, there is ardent need to assess how fertilizers are been use effectively with respect to types, methods and cropping system by the small scale farmers with the aim of providing valuable information that will practical solve the existing problem in the area. To this end, this research work saddled to assess the effectiveness of fertilizer use among arable farmers in Yola South, North-eastern part of Nigeria.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study area is Yola South Local Government Area of Adamawa State, lies between latitudes 9013’ North and 9012’ North of the equator and between longitudes 12028’ East and 12030’ East of the Greenwich Meridian within an area of about 1139.1 square kilometers. The Yola South Local Government Area is bordered in the east by Fufure LGA, in the west by Demsia LGA and in the south by Mayo-Belwa and Fufure LGAs. Yola South Local Government Area has a tropical type of climate marked by distinct dry and raining seasons. The dry season commences in November and ends in April; while the wet season is from May to September. The annual rainfall in the state ranges from 700 mm in the North-west to 1,600 mm in the extreme southern part of Adamawa state [9].

2.2 Data Collection and Analysis

The study was carried out in four different farm locations Yolde pate, Bole, Mbamba and Wuro-chekke in Yola South LGA. The areas were chosen due to intensive crop production activities compared to other farm location in the area. The data were sourced and collected from 160 sampled farmers through the use of structured questionnaires administered which comprised various questions pertaining the field parameters, soil fertility indicators, types of fertilizer use, method of application, effectiveness of types and methods of fertilizer applications. The data were analyzed using two statistical tools; Descriptive statistics where percentages and frequencies were computed and the effectiveness test value
was also adopted using 4-point Likert scaling test proposed by [10] expressed as:

\[ \text{ETV} = \frac{\sum \text{Scale-grade} \times \text{Corresponding Responses}}{\text{Total Number of Questionnaires}} \]

Where: \( \text{ETV} \) = Effectiveness Test Value and \( \sum \) = summation.

The scale-grades were; 1=Not effective (NE); 2=less effective (LE); 3=moderately effective (ME), 4= highly effective (HE). The Effectiveness Test Value (ETV) of < 2.0 was taken as the benchmark, below it any of the conservation techniques was considered as not effective (NE) within a given effectiveness rating (ER).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yolde Pate Farm Location

The area occupies a gentle to moderate slope rejuvenating rill to gully erosion having considerable effects on the arable farmlands dominated with maize and rice as major crops grown over 10-25 years of farming respectively. Few trees, grasses and shrubs made the vegetation of the area (Table 1). Results on farmers’ perception on soil fertility indicators were depicted on Table 2. At Yolde pate farm location it shows that soil type appeared the most indicative indices of fertility status by the majority of the farmers (25%), followed by colour of the soil which received 23% of the respondents, 21% attributed it to vegetative cover, yield responses recorded 19% and only 12% of them conceived nature of topography as an indicator of soil fertility. Results on the distribution of farmers on the types of fertilizer use were portrayed on Table 3. From the table it shows that most farmers (31%) at Yolde pate farm location patronized organic fertilizers than inorganic fertilizers (29%), while about 23% of them neither uses organic or inorganic. The reason for more adoption of organic fertilizers may be attributed to the high cost of fertilizer beyond the affordable financial capacity of the farmers. This statement corroborated with the recent findings of [12] which shows that at Yolde Pate high cost of fertilizers in the area was perceived to be 23 % of the respondents, 21% as lack of capital and untimely release of fertilizers by the government agencies accounted as 19% of the farmers respectively. Ref. [13] explained that because of high cost of mineral fertilizer coupled with availability problem, there is need for alternative sources. Ref. [7] reported that the intensity of inorganic fertilizer use among Nigerian farmers is low and has dropped due to the prevailing level of poverty. Thus, no region of the world has been able to expand agricultural growth rates, and thus tackle hunger, without increasing fertilizer use. [3]. Result obtained on the distribution of farmers on the method of fertilizer application practiced on their various farm locations were presented on Table 4. At Yolde pate farm location majority of the farmers (30%) had adopted broadcasting method of fertilizer application, followed by band placement (26%), and only 10% of them employed spot application methods. Results on the effectiveness on the types of fertilizer used by the farmers in the study area

| Farm location  | C.F experience (years) | Present land use          | Vegetative  | Major crop grown | Erosion type | Slope type |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Mbamba         | 5-35                   | Arable farming and animal grazing | Few tress and grasses | Rice, maize and cassava | Gully       | Steep     |
| Bole           | 5-20                   | Arable farming, animal grazing and Orchards | Tall grasses, trees and shrubs | Maize, groundnut, beans and rice | Rill to gully | Steep     |
| Yoldepate      | 10-25                  | Arable farming and animal grazing | Few trees, grasses and shrubs | Maize and rice | Sheet to gully | Gentle to moderate |
| Wurochekke     | 5-15                   | Arable farming and irrigation/orchards | Tall grasses and few trees | Rice and cocoyam | Gully | Steep     |

C.F; Conservation farming; Source: [11]
Table 2. Farmers soil fertility indicators (total number of respondents (N) = 40 for each farm location)

| Farm Location | Topography | Color | Soil type | Yield response | Vegetation cover |
|---------------|------------|-------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| Yolde pate    | 5 (12%)    | 9 (23%) | 10 (25%)  | 8 (19%)        | 8 (21%)          |
| Bole          | 4 (10%)    | 11 (27%) | 9 (23%)   | 7 (18%)        | 9 (22%)          |
| Mbamba        | 6 (15%)    | 8 (20%)  | 10 (25%)  | 5 (13%)        | 11 (27%)         |
| Wuro-chekke   | 4 (10%)    | 9 (23%)  | 11 (27%)  | 6 (15%)        | 10 (25%)         |

Source: Field Survey, (2019)

Table 3. Distribution of farmers on the types of fertilizer use in the study area

| Farm Location | Organic fertilizer | Inorganic fertilizer | Organic + inorganic fertilizers | None |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| Yolde pate    | 12 (31%)           | 12(29%)              | 7 (17%)                         | 9 (23%) |
| Bole          | 12 (30%)           | 9(22%)               | 8 (21%)                         | 11 (27%) |
| Mbamba        | 14 (36%)           | 11(27%)              | 5 (12%)                         | 10 (25%) |
| Wuro-chekke   | 10 (24%)           | 14(36%)              | 8 (20%)                         | 8 (20%) |

Source: Field Survey, (2019)

Table 4. Distribution of farmers on the methods of fertilizer application in the study area

| Farm Location | Broadcasting | Band placement | Ring method | Spot application | None |
|---------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|------|
| Yolde pate    | 12(30%)      | 10 (26%)       | 6 (14%)     | 4 (10%)          | 8 (20%) |
| Bole          | 14 (35%)     | 9 (23%)        | 5 (13%)     | 4 (10%)          | 8 (19%) |
| Mbamba        | 12 (31%)     | 11 (27%)       | 8 (19%)     | 3 (8%)           | 6 (15%) |
| Wuro-chekke   | 15 (37%)     | 11 (27%)       | 4 (11%)     | 3 (8%)           | 7 (17%) |

Source: Field Survey, (2019)

Table 5. Effectiveness on the types of fertilizer used by the farmers in the study area

| Farm Location | Yolde Pate | Bole | Mbamba | Wurochekke |
|---------------|------------|------|--------|------------|
| Types of fertilizer | ETV | ER | ETV | ER | ETV | ER | ETV | ER | ETV | ER |
| Organic       | 3.8 | HE | 3.6 | HE | 3.9 | HE | 3.1 | ME |
| Inorganic     | 2.9 | ME | 3.5 | HE | 2.7 | ME | 2.8 | ME |
| Organic+ inorganic | 3.7 | HE | 3.8 | HE | 3.0 | ME | 3.6 | HE |

Source: Field Survey, (2019). ETV: Effectiveness Test Value. ER: Effectiveness Rating

Table 6. Effectiveness on the methods of fertilizer application in the study area

| Farm Location | Yolde Pate | Bole | Mbamba | Wurochekke |
|---------------|------------|------|--------|------------|
| Methods of fertilizer application | ETV | ER | ETV | ER | ETV | ER | ETV | ER |
| Broadcasting method | 3.7 | HE | 2.8 | ME | 2.7 | ME | 3.5 | HE |
| Band placement method | 3.8 | HE | 3.5 | HE | 3.0 | ME | 3.6 | HE |
| Ring method | 2.8 | ME | 3.5 | HE | 2.4 | NE | 2.9 | ME |
| Spot method | 2.9 | ME | 3.0 | ME | 2.3 | NE | 2.4 | ME |

Source: Field Survey, (2019). ETV: Effectiveness Test Value. ER: Effectiveness Rating

were presented on the Table 5. At Yolde pate farm location organic fertilizers were considered as highly effective (3.8). Hence, Ref. [14] explained that the use of organic fertilizer may go a long way in providing solution to low crop yield. Organic manures check erosion, leaching of nutrients, evaporation losses and remain longer in soil and releases nutrients slowly making it available to plants. [15,16,17]. Similarly, organic + inorganic was also highly effective with a rated value of 3.7 and inorganic was perceived as moderately effective (2.9) respectively. The results on the effectiveness on the methods of fertilizer application in the study area were presented on Table 6. At Yolde pate farm location band placement and broadcasting methods were recognized as highly effective with rated values of 3.8 and 3.7 while the moderately effective
methods of fertilizer application was found with spot and ring methods with value of 2.9 and 2.8 respectively.

3.2 Bole Farm Location

The riverine area is sited on steeply (of about 20-22%) slope gradient with well rejuvenated rill to gully erosion having considerable devastation on farmlands. The vegetation is characterized by tall grasses, tall trees and shrubs dominated with arable farming with about 5-20 years conservation experience mostly maize, groundnut and cowpea along the hilly areas while rice is cultivated at swampy syncline (Table 1). Conversely, most farmers (27%) at Bole farm location considered soil colour as major indicator of soil fertility, 23% perceived it to soil type and vegetative cover received about 22% of the farmers' perceptions (Table 2). Similarly, at Bole farm location 30% of the farmers adopted organic fertilizers, followed by 27% who used nothing on their farm, 22% employed the use of inorganic fertilizers and the use of both organic and inorganic fertilizers perceived by 21% of the farmers than any other farm location in the area (Table 3). The low use of inorganic fertilizers in the farm location might be connected to lack of capital, untimely released and it unavailability as it was revealed by [12] that about 20% of the arable farmers at Bole farm location considered as lack of capital, 19% as high cost while untimely release was assessed as 18%. The released fertilizers by the government were targeted to government officials, businessmen and traditional leaders than the small-scale farmers. Ref. [18] also explained that the beneficiaries are supposed to be poor farmers but some fertilizer leaks out to others and elites may capture much of the benefit. The trend remained the same at Bole farm location where 35% of the farmers agreed to have adopted broadcasting method of fertilizer application, 23% band placement and 13% employed ring method respectively (Table 4). The rate of effectiveness for all the fertilizers were rated as high at Bole farm location with rated value of 3.8 organic + inorganic. This is in line with the assertion of [19] that there was significantly improved leave area with the combined used of organic and inorganic fertilizers than sole use of each sources. Similarly, 3.6 value of organic and 3.5 inorganic fertilizers were rated as highly effective respectively (Table 5). Bole farm location band placement and ring were perceived to be highly effective (3.5) and moderately effective was rated 3.0 value with spot method and 2.8 value with broadcasting method (Table 6).

3.3 Mbamba Farm Location

The area is located at the eastern part of Yola south LGA characterized by few tall trees and grasses. The farmers engaged dominantly in arable farming where rice and maize been the major crop grown for about 5-35 years conservation experience (Table1). Most farmers (27%) had assessed vegetative cover as main soil fertility indicator at Mbamba farm location, and then 25% linked it to soil type, while soil colour perceived 20% and topography recorded 15% respectively (Table 2). Likewise at Mbamba farm location 36% of the farmers used organic fertilizers, while 27% of them employed inorganic fertilizer and 25% adopted none of the fertilizers (Table 3). The highly adoption of organic fertilizer might be linked to the availability of organic manures in the area having high numbers of herdsman settled in the area. Thus, Ref. [12] revealed that at Mbamba farm location 65% of the respondent agreed that the organic fertilizers were readily available and 35% as not readily available. As for the low use of inorganic fertilizers high cost, lack of capital and unavailability of credit were among the major factors also as revealed by [12] respectively. Furthermore, at Mbamba farm location 31% of them practiced broadcasting method, 27% band placement, ring method attracted 19% while 15% adopted none and only 8% used spot method of application (Table 4). At Mbamba farm location organic fertilizers were highly effective (3.9) among the arable farmers. Thus, organic manures check erosion, leaching of nutrients, evaporation losses and remain longer in soil and releases nutrients slowly making it available to plants [17,13]. The use of organic + inorganic and inorganic fertilizers were moderately effective with a rated value of 3.0 and 2.7 correspondingly (Table 5). The moderate effectiveness of inorganic fertilizers may be due to the rapid released and excessive leaching of the synthetic nutrients in the soil system. Thus, according to Ref. [20] expressed that the imbalanced use of inorganic fertilizer without application of farmyard manure (FYM) as well as knowing the requirements of crops and fertility status of soil causes deterioration in soil structure and depletion of soil fertility. In addition, Ref. [21] argued that inorganic fertilizer may increase yield in the short term but may be both uneconomical and environmentally unsound. Conversely, ring and spot methods of fertilizer application were
The area has noticeable rill to gully erosion with notable steep topography having sediments and depositional materials spread over the low lying adjacent areas. Rice and cocoyam were the major crops grown in the area for over 5-15 years conservation farming experience (Table 1). At Wuro-chekke farm location soil type remained the major indicator (27%) of fertility status in the area, vegetative cover conceived with about 25% of the respondents while 23% had attributed it to colour of the soil and only 4% recognized nature of topography as an indicator correspondingly (Table 2). Conversely, 36% of the farmers used inorganic fertilizers on their farm, 24% adopted the used of organic fertilizer and the used of both organic + inorganic fertilizers receive d 20% as well as none used of fertilizer (20%) at Wuro-chekke farm location respectively (Table 3). The reasons behind the increased use of inorganic fertilizer over the organic fertilizer were expressed in the recent findings of [12] found that majority of the farmers (80%) at Wuro-chekke farm location perceived organic fertilizers not readily available and only 20% of them as readily available and 81% of the farmers recognized the readily availability of inorganic fertilizers and 19% not readily available correspondingly. Despite the availability of inorganic fertilizers in the area still remain unaffordable to the small-scale farmers that are considered as target beneficiaries. Hence, Ref. [22] asserted that among the problems hampering arable crop yield is availability and affordability of inorganic fertilizers. The availability and the cost of fertilizers to resource-poor farmers constrain the use of fertilizers to a large extent [23]. At Wuro-chekke farm location broadcasting method was adopted by most of the farmers (37%), then 27% employed the used of inorganic fertilizers, ring method 11% and only 8% had used spot application correspondingly (Table 4). Conversely, the use of organic and inorganic fertilizers was moderately effective with rated values of 3.1 and 2.8. According to Ref. [13] to increase crop yield, farmers do rely on the use of inorganic fertilizers, which are costly and more effective when combined with the organic manures respectively. Moreover, the used of both organic + inorganic fertilizers was highly effective (3.6) among the small scale farmers at Wuro-chekke farm location (Table 5). This finding is in conformity with the result of [13] who found out that combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers result in high crop growth rate than sole application. Band placement and broadcasting methods were considered highly effective (3.6 and 3.5) while ring and spot methods were recorded moderately effective among the farmers at Wuro-chekke farm location (Table 6).

4. CONCLUSION

An increase in agricultural food production required increase in fertilizer use among small scale farmers most especially in Sub-Saharan countries and particularly in the north-eastern Nigeria where the weathered soils is characterized by low inherent fertility, low organic matter and undergoes degradation due to continuous cropping, deforestation, poor management among others. However, fertilizer use among the small scale farmers in Yola South is apparent despite its high cost and unavailability but the rate of production still remained low and unprofitable which might be attributed to poor use, method and management of the fertilizers resulted to low or ineffective. Thus, findings from this research revealed that organic fertilizers were mostly adopted by the farmer than the inorganic fertilizers which might be connected to unavailability and high cost coupled with low income of the farmers. Similarly, broadcasting method of application was adopted by majority of the farmers in the area because it easy, simple and less time consumption, even though, the applied fertilizers using this method are susceptible to leaching and volatilization. Conversely, band placement method was revealed as the most effective due to the fact that it creates high nutrient concentration gradient more close to the root rhizosphere of the plants. In addition, organic and the combine used of both organic and inorganic fertilizers were considered as most effective in all the farm location in the study area due to the long term mineralization process and nutrients balance in the soil system. The need for an increase in agricultural research most especially in fertilizer technology should be intensified to provide substantial information on the effectiveness use of fertilizer in the study area. Based on the findings obtained from this
research work, to actualize the dream of adequate food production for the growing population in the area, it is therefore, recommended that:

- An intensive research and training should be geared towards the small scale farmers on new fertilizer techniques and its strategic management;
- Government should adopt the low price fertilizer campaign that is affordable by the farmers and timely released of the fertilizers to the target farmers should be considered amicably and;
- The small scale farmers should consider adhesively the adoption of effective techniques of fertilizer use on their farmlands for profitable farming.
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