Prevalence and risk factors of acute kidney injury in polytrauma patients at Muhimbili Orthopedic Institute, Tanzania
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ABSTRACT

Background: Polytrauma can lead to multi-organ dysfunction in addition to the local injuries. Acute kidney injury (AKI) is one of the most common causes and contributors to the high morbidity and mortality. Prevalence of acute kidney injury in trauma patients is as reported to be as high as 40.3%. Early detection and management leads to better outcomes. The prevalence of AKI among polytrauma patients remains unknown in our setting.

Methodology: A cross-sectional study involving all adults with polytrauma who presented at the emergency department at Muhimbili Orthopedic Institute (MOI) was designed. A score of $\geq 18$ on the New Injury Severity Score (NISS) screening tool was used to identify polytrauma patients. The Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) criteria was used to identify patient with polytrauma who developed acute kidney injury. Descriptive statistics were then obtained followed by hypothesis testing between variables with the chi squared test. Logistic regression models were used to determine factors associated with acute kidney injury.

Results: More than half (56.4%) of the patients were between 26 and 40 years and 92.3% of the polytrauma patients were males. Almost 2/5th (38.5%) of the polytrauma patients had acute kidney injury – half of these had stage 1 AKI, 33.3% had stage 2 AKI and the remaining 16.7% had stage 3 AKI. On multivariate logistic regression, it was found that patients who were older than 45 years (OR 8.53, CI 1.65 – 43.89, $p = 0.01$) and those patients with Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) (OR 21.83, CI 1.66 – 286.2, $p = 0.019$) had higher risk of acute kidney injury.

Conclusion: There is high prevalence of AKI among polytrauma patients. Elderly patients and those with SIRS were seen to have higher likelihood of AKI.

African relevance

• There is a rising number of motor traffic crashes in Africa.
• Polytrauma is responsible for significant morbidity and mortality on the continent.
• Knowledge of the prevalence of acute kidney injury and its risk factors can guide appropriate care and treatment.

Introduction

Trauma causes significant morbidity and mortality in all age groups but particularly for those below 60 years and is one of the top 5 causes of death [1,2]. Most deaths occur in adults who are between 15 and 44 years [3]. Polytrauma originates from the Greek words poly which means multiple and trauma which means wounds. The term polytrauma is defined as a New Injury Severity Score of $\geq 18$ [4]. There are complex pathophysiological changes that happen in a polytrauma patient which requires effective treatment for improving morbidity and mortality outcomes. Hemorrhage, systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) are some of the complications of trauma whose effects are not only local but also systemic. There are mechanisms and triggers which result in cardiovascular shock, hemostasis, apoptosis, organ dysfunctions and immune suppression (CHAOS) [5]. These local and systemic pathophysiological changes that occur in polytrauma (CHAOS) eventually lead to Acute Kidney Injury (AKI) which further worsens their outcomes [6,7].
Acute kidney injury is a clinical syndrome characterized by serum urea and creatinine changes that reflects decrease in glomerular filtration rate and electrolyte imbalances [8]. A higher risk of AKI has been identified among patients with pre-existing chronic kidney disease and pre-existing comorbidities, males, elderly patients and those with higher trauma Injury Severity Score [9,10]. Discontinuation of all nephrotoxic drugs (like NSAID’s and antibiotics like gentamycin), ensuring adequate volume status, correction of sepsis, monitoring serum creatinine and urine output, avoiding hyperglycemia and seeking alternatives to investigations with contrast are all used to prevent AKI [11]. A timely diagnosis and management of AKI in polytrauma patients would likely improve their outcomes.

Tanzania is one of the countries that is affected greatly by motor traffic crashes with an almost 50% increase from 1990 to 2000. The risk of mortality in Tanzania for those involved in motor traffic crash is more than 20 times that in the United states of America [12]. A recent study showed that almost 10% of patients attending the hospital presented with trauma-related complaints among which 56.3% were male and had a median age of 30 years. [13] Pre hospital care is almost non-existent in Tanzania for those having suffered trauma and there is quite a delay for trauma patient being brought to the emergency department [14]. This is also true for many countries in Africa such as Malawi [15] and Nigeria [16].

The prevalence of AKI in polytrauma patients in Tanzanian setup is unknown. We have tried to identify the local prevalence of this complication and the factors that are associated with it.

Methods

Study Design and setting

Cross-sectional study was conducted between July 2018 to October 2018 at Muhimbili Orthopedic Institute which is the largest orthopedic and trauma referral center based in Dar-es-salaam. A total of 78 consenting adults over 18 years who presented at MOI with polytrauma were included in the study in consecutive manner. The proposal was ethically cleared by MUHAS ethical clearance board (Ref. No. DA.287/298/01A/) and the MOI administration.

Data collection

Patients who attended MOI emergency department were assessed at the casualty/emergency department according to the NISS and once they fulfilled the criteria of polytrauma (NISS score ≥ 18), standard structured questionnaire was used. Mechanism of injury and time of the trauma (Fig. 1). Half of the patients with AKI had stage 1 AKI, 10 patients with NISS of more than 25 had AKI compared to less than a quarter of the patients with NISS between 18 and 25. This was statistically very significant (p < 0.001). Seventy five percent of the patients with hypotension on admission had AKI (p = 0.123). All patients with NISS of more than 25 had AKI compared to less than a quarter of the patients with NISS between 18 and 25. This was statistically very significant (p < 0.001). A third of the patients with GCS between 13 and 15 had AKI whilst three quarter of patients with GCS below 13 had AKI (p = 0.081) (Table 2).

Among the polytrauma patients with open fractures, AKI was seen in more than half (52.9%) as compared to only 11.1% of those with closed fracture(s) (p < 0.001). Gentamycin use was associated with AKI (65.2%, p = 0.002) but not associated with diclofenac use (42%, p = 0.073). Blood transfusion was also associated with AKI (48.4%, p < 0.001) (Table 2).

Half of the patients who underwent surgery developed (AKI 50%, p = 0.001). The type of surgery also determined the severity of AKI, definitive orthopedic surgery in a polytrauma patient had twice the risk of stage 3 AKI compared to damage control surgery. This relationship was also shown to be statistically significant with p-value of 0.01. (sub analysis not shown). However, the duration of surgery was not significant to the development of AKI. Patients who underwent general test and the student’s t-test. Logistic regression models were used to determine factors associated with acute kidney injury. The dependent variable (acute kidney injury) was reported as present or absent. Independent variables which were tested included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), SIRS, the New Injury Severity Score, Glasgow coma score, hypotension, open fracture, use of nephrotoxic drugs, use of contrast CT (computed tomography) scan, blood product requirement, type of surgical intervention, type of anesthesia and duration of surgery. Those variables which had p value of <0.2 in univariate analysis were run in multivariate analysis. Finally multiple logistic regression analysis were done and variables with p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 78 participants were recruited in this study. More than half (56.4%) of the patients were between 26 and 45 years. Among the subjects, 72 (92.3%) were males. (Table 1).

In this study, AKI was seen in 38.5% of the patients who had polytrauma (Fig. 1). Half of the patients with AKI had stage 1 AKI, 10 patients (33.3%) had stage 2 AKI and the remaining 5 patients (16.7%) had stage 3 AKI (Fig. 2).

Acute kidney injury was seen in 27 (37.5%) of the male patients with polytrauma (p = 0.545). Prevalence of AKI in age group 18–25 years was 26.4% of patients, compared to 34.1% in ages 26–45 and 61.5% among age group 46–65 years (p = 0.05). Patients who had been injured for <12 h (42.2%) were more likely to develop AKI (p = 0.148). More than 4/5(81.8%) of the patients who had SIRS developed AKI compared to less than 1/3 who did not have (p = 0.001). Seventy five percent of the patients with hypotension on admission had AKI (p = 0.123). All patients with NISS of more than 25 had AKI compared to less than a quarter of the patients with NISS between 18 and 25. This was statistically very significant (p < 0.001). A third of the patients with GCS between 13 and 15 had AKI whilst three quarter of patients with GCS below 13 had AKI (p = 0.081) (Table 2).

Among the polytrauma patients with open fractures, AKI was seen in more than half (52.9%) as compared to only 11.1% of those with closed fracture/s (p < 0.001). Gentamycin use was associated with AKI (65.2%, p = 0.002) but not associated with diclofenac use (42%, p = 0.073). Blood transfusion was also associated with AKI (48.4%, p < 0.001) (Table 2).

Half of the patients who underwent surgery developed (AKI 50%, p = 0.001). The type of surgery also determined the severity of AKI, definitive orthopedic surgery in a polytrauma patient had twice the risk of stage 3 AKI compared to damage control surgery. This relationship was also shown to be statistically significant with p-value of 0.01. (sub analysis not shown). However, the duration of surgery was not significant to the development of AKI. Patients who underwent general

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of polytrauma patients (N = 78).

| Age group (years) | Frequency | Proportion |
|------------------|-----------|------------|
| 18–25            | 19        | 24.4%      |
| 26–45            | 44        | 56.4%      |
| 46–64            | 13        | 16.7%      |
| ≥65              | 2         | 2.6%       |

| Sex              | Frequency | Proportion |
|------------------|-----------|------------|
| Male             | 72        | 92.3%      |
| Female           | 6         | 7.7%       |

| Occupation       | Frequency | Proportion |
|------------------|-----------|------------|
| Motorcyclist     | 39        | 50%        |
| Businessman      | 13        | 16.7%      |
| Peasant          | 7         | 9%         |
| Others           | 19        | 24.4%      |
anesthesia (GA) (60%) were more likely to develop AKI compared to those who underwent spinal anesthesia (SA) (33.3%) \((p = 0.001)\) (Table 2).

Acute kidney injury was found to be 8 fold higher in patients older than 45 years (OR 8.53 (CI 1.658–43.893), \(p = 0.01\)) and 21 fold higher in those with Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (OR 21.83 (CI 1.66–286.2), \(p = 0.019\)) (Table 3).

### Discussion

We report a 38.5% prevalence of AKI among polytrauma patients. Half of the patients with AKI had stage 1 AKI, 10 patients (33.3%) had stage 2 AKI and the remaining 5 patients (16.7%) had stage 3 AKI. Most of the polytrauma patients (80.8%) were between the ages of 18–45 years. These findings indicate a huge and as yet unrecognized burden of AKI among polytrauma patients in Dar-es-salaam. Previous studies have shown a similar prevalence and socio-demographic distribution in different parts of the world \([18–22]\).

Acute kidney injury was 8.53 times more likely to occur in patients more than 45 years compared to patients less than or equal to 45 years. This has been the trend in other parts of the world as well with higher renal insults from trauma in the elderly of up to 3 to 6 fold \([23,24]\). Age-related changes including structural and physiological changes are greatly responsible for this. Changes which occur in the kidneys include decrease in renal mass with aging \([25,26]\), loss of cortico-glomeruli due to ischemic changes, subclinical injury to kidney from co morbid conditions, reduction in number and size of tubules, increasing tubulo-interstitial fibrosis and a decrease in glomerular filtering surface \([24,27–29]\). Age related accumulation of co morbidities that need procedures, medicines which are nephrotoxic and other kidney stressors causes increased risk of acute kidney injury \([30]\). At the microscopic level, studies have found that stressors such as acute infection or trauma in the setting of co-morbid conditions leads to exacerbated ischemic changes and injury to the nephrons \([31]\). Although AKI is reversible, it is implicated in the development of chronic kidney disease especially in the elderly population \([29]\). This further confounds the problem of ‘this acute injury’ having ‘chronic consequences’.

---

### Table 2

| Characteristics | Non - AKI | AKI | \(p\)-Value |
|-----------------|----------|-----|-------------|
| **Gender**      |          |     |             |
| Male            | 45(62.5%) | 27(37.5%) | 0.545       |
| Female          | 3(50%)   | 3(50%) |             |
| **Age**         |          |     |             |
| 18–25           | 14(73.6%) | 5(26.4%) | 0.05        |
| 26–45           | 29(65.9%) | 15(34.1%) |            |
| 46–65           | 5(38.5%)  | 8(61.5%)  |             |
| >65             | 0(0%)    | 2(100%)  |             |
| **Time of injury** |        |     |             |
| <12 h           | 37(57.8%) | 27(42.2%) | 0.148       |
| >12 h           | 11(78.6%) | 3(21.4%)  |             |
| **BMI**         |          |     |             |
| Underweight     | 1(100%)  | 0(0%)   | 0.092       |
| Normal          | 26(74.3%) | 9(25.7%)  |             |
| Overweight      | 16(52.6%) | 13(44.8%) |             |
| Obese           | 5(38.5%)  | 8(61.5%)  |             |
| **SIRS**        |          |     |             |
| Yes             | 2(18.2%)  | 9(81.8%)  | 0.001       |
| No              | 46(68.7%) | 21(31.3%) |             |
| **MAP**         |          |     |             |
| <60 mmHg        | 1(25%)   | 3(75%)   | 0.123       |
| ≥60 mmHg        | 47(63.5%) | 27(36.5%) |             |
| **NISS**        |          |     |             |
| 18–25           | 48(77.4%) | 14(22.6%) | <0.001      |
| ≥25             | 0(0%)    | 16(100%) |             |
| **GCS**         |          |     |             |
| 13–15           | 46(65.7%) | 24(34.3%) | 0.081       |
| 9–12            | 1(25%)   | 3(75%)   |             |
| ≤8              | 1(25%)   | 3(75%)   |             |
| **CT Scan**     |          |     |             |
| Yes             | 23(57.5%) | 17(42.5%) | 0.452       |
| No              | 25(65.8%) | 13(34.2%) |             |
| **Fractures**   |          |     |             |
| Closed          | 24(88.9%) | 3(11.1%)  | <0.001      |
| Open            | 24(47.1%) | 27(52.9%) |             |
| **Diclofenac use** |      |     |             |
| Yes             | 40(58%)  | 29(42%)  | 0.073       |
| No              | 8(88.9%)  | 1(11.1%)  |             |
| **Gentamycin use** |      |     |             |
| Yes             | 8(34.8%)  | 15(65.2%) | 0.002       |
| No              | 40(72.7%) | 15(27.3%) |             |
| **Blood transfusion** |     |     |             |
| Yes             | 32(51.6%) | 30(48.4%) | <0.001      |
| No              | 16(100%)  | 0(0%)   |             |
| **Surgery done** |        |     |             |
| Yes             | 28(50%)  | 28(50%)  | 0.001       |
| No              | 20(90.9%) | 2(9.1%)  |             |
| **Type of surgery** |      |     |             |
| Damage control  | 17(50%)  | 17(50%)  | 0.011       |
| Definitive orthopedics | 3(50%) | 3(50%) |             |
| Neurosurgery    | 8(50%)   | 8(50%)   |             |
| **Duration of surgery** | | | 0.778 |
| <2 h            | 10(52.6%) | 9(47.4%)  |             |
| ≥2 h            | 18(48.6%) | 19(51.4%) |             |
| **Anesthesia used** | | | 0.001 |
| GA              | 14(40%)  | 21(60%)  |             |
| Spinal          | 14(66.7%) | 7(33.3%)  |             |
The systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria represents a series of objective physical and laboratory findings indicative of an infectious or non-infectious insult [32]. It is simple and can be done at the bedside and can be an invaluable tool in resource constrained settings like ours. Using this simple tool, we found that patients with SIRS were 21 times more likely to develop AKI than those without SIRS in this study. In comparison, more complex tools like the APACHE II tool (acute physiology score + age points + chronic health points) [33] are harder to adapt to this setting and were not used in this study.

Majority of patients (82.1%) presented to the facility within 12 h of the injury. This is quite significant because pre-hospital care is almost non-existent in Tanzania. Delays in reaching the emergency department following trauma has been reported before [14] and may be a significant contributor to post trauma morbidity and mortality. However, we found that more patients (42.8%) who presented to the facility within 12 h had AKI compared to those with delayed presentation (21.4%). One possible explanation to this finding includes our unique subset of ‘polytrauma’ patients rather than just ‘trauma’ patients, in the sense that the seriousness of these patients may have prompted rapid referrals to this tertiary trauma center, compared to the delays that have previously been shown in literature [14]. Further, the ‘time to treatment vs outcome’ relationship shown in this sense applies only to the development of AKI. Non AKI morbidities (e.g. mortality, amputation rates etc.) that could also potentially be influenced by delays have not been addressed by this study.

Half of the patients who underwent emergency surgery had AKI. However this very strong association disappeared on multivariate modeling. While surgery may at first glance appear to cause a strong renal insult due to the second hit phenomenon [34,35] the mere fact that there is trauma with significant physiological disruption (as noted by the abnormal SIRS criteria) may be enough to push polytrauma patients into AKI. Attention to the ‘kidneys’ of polytrauma victims must thus be paid from the beginning of their admission, rather than to offer ‘exaggerated treatment’ for surgical candidates alone.

The use of drugs like diclofenac (commonly used for pain) and gentamycin (commonly used for infections) cannot be undermined in polytrauma patients in terms of their nephrotoxic potential. We saw that 65% and 42% of gentamycin and diclofenac users respectively developed AKI. While statistically not significant in our multivariate analysis, we feel that in a larger cohort of patients, the relationship between such nephrotoxic drugs and renal dosing of antibiotics where appropriate (especially for open fractures), as would be the case in any other (non-traumatic) severe medical illness.

This study was limited in the following ways. First, the setting of the study (in a national level specialty trauma centre) may have meant that any patients with polytrauma who could not make it to MOI from within the referral zone, could not be captured in this study. The weakness of the emergency response system in Dar es salaam is well known [14] and beyond the control of the investigators. Secondly, the KDIGO criteria for acute kidney injury that was used in the study includes serum creatinine changes within 48 h and 7 days. For this study the control serum creatinine was done only at day 7 and not taken 48 h after the 1st sample. Some cases of acute kidney injury which may have resolved before day 7 but present within 48 h might have missed. We feel this shortcoming may have self-mitigated given that those whom we might have missed in the first 48 h may actually represent very mild and transient forms of AKI.

With the findings of this study, we hope to improve awareness on the reality of renal insults in polytrauma patients in the county. We hope the findings of this study will alter our local practice into the engagement of physicians and nephrologists into the co management of such patients. We hope to improve our choices of medicines given to these patients. And whilst there were no incidences of any of our AKI patients requiring organ support in this study, in a larger more national perspective, organ support services like dialysis and ventilation may be required.

Conclusion

There is high prevalence (38.5%) of AKI among polytrauma patients at MOI. Elderly patients and those with SIRS were seen to have higher likelihood of AKI.

A high index of suspicion is necessary to identify patients with AKI. Special attention needs to be given to high risk groups for example elderly patients and those with SIRS. More studies can be done to look at short and long-term outcomes and potential interventions for AKI in polytrauma patients.

Dissemination of results

The findings of this research were initially presented at the departmental level after which were presented at the annual university scientific conference (7th MUHAS scientific conference). The results were also presented as an oral presentation at the 6th NESOT (Nephrology Society of Tanzania) annual scientific conference and the 20th annual COSECSA (College of surgeons, East, central and Southern African) conference.
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