A multicenter, prospective, observational study of the long-term outcomes of Crohn’s disease patients under routine care management in Greece
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Background Real-world data on management patterns and long-term outcomes of patients with inadequately controlled Crohn’s disease (CD) in Greece are scarce.

Methods This was a multicenter, prospective observational study of 18–65-year-old CD patients whose physicians judged that their current therapy was inadequate to control their condition and therefore decided to switch treatment. Data were collected at enrollment (time of switch), and 30, 54 and 104 weeks post-enrollment.

Results Sixty-six eligible patients (median age: 35.8 years; 56.1% males; median CD diagnosis duration: 2.3 years) were enrolled by nine hospital sites. At the time of treatment switch, 66.7% had “mild” (CD activity index (CDAI) <220) and 30.3% “moderate-to-severe” (220 ≤ CDAI ≤ 450) disease activity. Ileocolonic involvement, extraintestinal manifestations, prior CD-related surgeries and prior corticosteroid use were reported in 65.2%, 51.5%, 24.2% and 78.8% of patients, respectively. Throughout the study, most patients were managed with anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) medications (74.2%/74.1% infliximab; 10.6%/13.8% adalimumab at enrollment/end of study, respectively). At 54 and 104 weeks post-enrollment, the baseline CDAI score (median 174.5) decreased to 145.5 and 146.0 points (P<0.001) and the baseline C-reactive protein level (median: 13.6 mg/L) decreased to 3.5 and 3.0 mg/L (P<0.001), respectively, not differing statistically between patients with “mild” and “moderate-to-severe” disease activity. In this patient population, 56.1% were corticosteroid-free throughout observation, while for the remaining 43.9%, the mean percentage corticosteroid-free period was 80.2%. CD-related surgeries and hospitalizations were reported in 8.1% and 19.4%, respectively.

Conclusion Under routine care in Greece, inadequately controlled CD patients were mainly switched to anti-TNFs, which lowered disease activity and reduced corticosteroid use.
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Introduction

Crohn’s disease (CD) is a multifactorial, chronic, idiopathic, inflammatory bowel disease characterized by severe inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract. Uncontrolled inflammation may give rise to penetrating or stricturing complications necessitating hospitalization or surgery. Corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents (azathioprine, mercaptopurine, and methotrexate) are the commonly used conventional treatments for CD [1]. For patients not responding or intolerant to conventional therapies, biologic therapies, mainly encompassing inhibitors of tumor necrosis factor α (TNFa) (infliximab, adalimumab and certolizumab pegol), may be offered [2-4].

An estimated 20-40% of CD patients are burdened by extraintestinal manifestations (EIMs), which may present either prior to or concurrently with the appearance of gastrointestinal
symptoms, or even after an intestinal resection [5]. The most common EIMs affect the musculoskeletal, mucocutaneous and ocular systems, while the EIM rate appears to increase with disease duration [5,6]. With regard to surgeries, although declining rates have been reported over the last decades, a substantial proportion of CD patients still undergo at least one surgery during their disease course. Specifically, the surgery rates in the 2010 European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation (ECCO)-Epicon cohort were estimated to be 10-35%, 21-59%, and 37-61% at 1, 5 and 10 years post-diagnosis, respectively [5]. In the same study, the 10-year hospitalization rate was estimated to be 53% [5], which alongside the EIM and surgery rates underscores the substantial burden of the disease.

At the time of study planning, data regarding the epidemiological characteristics of CD patients in Greece were limited to studies conducted at a local level, not allowing for a general appraisal of the patients’ disease profile throughout the country [7-11]. CD is widely perceived as being of milder severity among Greek patients compared to the general European population, with lower reported rates of perianal involvement, need for surgery and development of cancer [9-11], although this claim is not supported across all published studies [8]. In light of the above concerns, the present study aimed to provide real-world data regarding the management and long-term outcomes of CD patients across Greece whose physicians judged that their current therapy was inadequate to control their condition and therefore decided to switch treatment.

Patients and methods

Study objectives

The study primarily aimed to evaluate the therapeutic modalities employed among inadequately controlled outpatients with “mild” (defined as CD activity index [CDAI] <220) or “moderate-to-severe” (220≤CDAI≤450) disease activity, attending referral hospital centers for routine care, and to measure their response to treatment. Secondly, the study aimed to evaluate the CD-related surgery and hospitalization rates over the 2-year follow-up period.

Study design, population and setting

This was a multicenter, prospective observational study, carried out in nine referral centers for inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) from representative geographic regions of Greece. Patients attending the study sites were enrolled consecutively to control and minimize patient selection bias. The eligible study population comprised males and females, 18-65 years of age, with active CD, irrespective of disease severity, whose physicians judged that their current therapy was inadequate to control their condition and therefore decided to switch treatment. Elderly patients were excluded from our study population because the management of CD in these patients is complicated by comorbidities, polypharmacy and surgical candidacy criteria, which could have confounded the outcomes of the study. Visits occurred at enrollment (time of treatment switch; Visit 1), and at 30 (Visit 2), 54 (Visit 3) and 104 (Visit 4) weeks post-enrollment. Data collected pertained to CD history, prior therapies, prior CD-related surgeries, comorbidities, endoscopic assessments/mucosal healing, CDAI score, relevant inflammatory biomarkers (C-reactive protein [CRP], erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR]), CD management throughout the study, and CD-related surgeries and hospitalizations. The decision regarding the treatment regimen administered to the patients during the “switch” was not standardized but was based on the physician’s current medical practice, independently of the decision to enroll the patient in the study.

The study was designed and conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and all national standing regulations. The study protocol and the final version of the patient’s Informed Consent Form were reviewed and approved by the competent institutional review boards of the participating sites.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed in the set of eligible patients with available data. The Clopper-Pearson 95% exact confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for the percentages of patients with “mild” (i.e., CDAI<220), “moderate-to-severe” (220≤CDAI≤450), and “severe” (CDAI>450) disease activity. The association between independent categorical variables was assessed with Fisher’s exact test and logistic regression analysis. McNemar’s test was used for comparison of paired categorical data. Significant differences in continuous variables were examined using the t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test for two independent groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis test in cases with more than two independent groups. Changes in CDAI values from baseline to the post-enrollment visits were evaluated with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Disease-related hospitalization and surgery rates per patient-year were calculated using aggregate patient-time at risk as the denominator. Univariate negative binomial regression analysis (estimating the incidence rate ratio) was applied to assess the association of the hospitalization rates with factors of interest. All statistical tests were two-sided and were performed at a significance level of 0.05. The statistical analysis was performed using SAS® v9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

The sample size estimation of 100 subjects was based on an anticipated proportion of 14±7% (95%CI 7.20-20.8; α=0.05) of “moderate-to-severe” CD patients (defined as CDAI 220-450 at enrollment) in Greece, a country in which the disease is considered to have a milder course [9-11]. Based on the above, the inclusion of 100 patients was considered adequate to provide a representative picture of the disease severity in Greek CD patients, as well as of the treatment patterns and the associated outcomes.
Results

Patient characteristics

Between 30 November, 2011, and 28 December, 2012, 71 patients were enrolled in the study by 9 hospital sites distributed across Greece. Sixty-six patients fulfilled all inclusion and exclusion criteria (Fig. 1). Approximately half of the eligible patients (51.5%; 34/66) were enrolled from 5 study sites located in Attica, while the remaining 48.5% (32/66) were enrolled by study sites located in Thessaly, Crete, Western Greece and Central Macedonia. The overall study duration was 3.2 years, with the last patient’s final visit occurring on 24 January 2015. It is worth noting that the evaluable patient population (n=66) was lower than the planned sample of 100 patients (see Limitations, below).

Sociodemographic, anthropometric and clinical characteristics of the study population are displayed in Table 1. All but one of the participants were Caucasian (98.5%; 65/66) and 56.1% were males. The patients’ median age at enrollment was 35.8 years and their body mass index (BMI) was 23.2±3.9 kg/m\(^2\) (mean±SD). Median age at CD diagnosis was 30.0 years, while a median of 2.3 years had elapsed from diagnosis to enrollment. Involvement of both small and large intestine was reported in 65.2% of the patients. Arthralgia was reported in 45.5% and other EIMs in 27.3% of the patients. Up to the time of enrollment, 24.2% of participants had undergone a total of 26 surgical procedures since their diagnosis, including colectomy in 6 patients (Table 1).

Prior to the treatment switch, and in particular a median of 5 days prior to the switch, a total of 84.8% of the patients had undergone assessment of CRP levels (median: 13.6 mg/L; interquartile range [IQR]: 6.0-53.7), while 71.2% had undergone assessment of CRP levels (median: 13.6 mg/L; IQR: 6.0-53.7), while 71.2% had undergone assessment of ESR a median of 9 days prior to the switch, a total of 84.8% of the patients reported in 45.5% and other EIMs in 27.3% of the patients. Up to the time of enrollment, 24.2% of participants had undergone a total of 26 surgical procedures since their diagnosis, including colectomy in 6 patients (Table 1).

Figure 1 Patient flowchart

Therapeutic modalities

All patients had been treated previously and 89.4% had received a CD-related treatment within the 10-week time interval prior to enrollment. This “immediately prior” CD-related treatment consisted of, in order of descending frequency, 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), corticosteroids, azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine, adalimumab, methotrexate and infliximab, in 54.5%, 45.5%, 40.9%, 7.6%, 4.5% and 3.0% of patients, respectively. The respective medication frequencies in the subpopulations, according to their disease severity, are displayed in Fig. 2A.

Following enrollment (when the physician decided on the treatment switch) the profile of the patients’ treatment regimen changed substantially: the most common treatments among the overall population were infliximab (74.2%), azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine (45.5%) and 5-ASA (40.9%). Likewise, at the end of the study (104 weeks), 74.1% were receiving infliximab, 29.3% azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine and 29.3% 5-ASA, while 6.9% were not receiving any treatment (Fig. 2A). Treatments received at the time of the treatment switch, and at 30, 54 and 104 weeks post-enrollment in the overall population, and in the subpopulations with “mild” and “moderate-to-severe” disease activity are depicted in Fig. 2B,C.

More than half of the overall population (37/66 or 56.1%) was corticosteroid-free during the entire observation period, compared to 21.2% (14/66) prior to enrollment. In particular, 36.4% of the patients receiving corticosteroids prior to enrollment switched to being corticosteroid-free during the entire observation period, while only one of those who were corticosteroid-free prior to enrollment (1.5%) received corticosteroids during the study. The proportion of corticosteroid-free patients during the study did not differ between patients with “mild” (26/44 or 59.1%) and “moderate-to-severe” (11/20 or 55.0%) disease activity (P=0.790). The mean percentage corticosteroid-free period was 80.2% in the overall population of patients who had received corticosteroids at some time during the study (n=28), and 78.8%, 79.9% and 93.7% among the respective subpopulations with “mild” (n=18), “moderate-to-severe” (n=8), and “severe” (n=2) disease activity.
Figure 2 Crohn’s disease treatment at any time prior to enrollment, immediately prior to enrollment (i.e., at treatment switch), and throughout the study observation period. In (A) the overall population, in (B) subpopulation with “mild” (CDAI<220), and in (C) subpopulation with “moderate-to-severe” (220≤CDAI≤450) disease activity. Visits occurred on Week 0 (Visit 1; enrollment), and at 30 (Visit 2), 54 (Visit 3), and 104 (Visit 4) weeks post-enrollment. N, denotes patients with available data attending the respective study visit.
| Patient characteristics | Overall population N=66 | "Mild" CDAI<220 N=44 | "Moderate-to-severe" 220≤CDAI≤450 N=20 | "Severe" CDAI>450 N=2 | P-value; "Mild" vs. "Moderate-to-severe" |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Sex                     |                         |                     |                                        |                      | 0.224                                    |
| Male, n (% )            | 37 (56.1)               | 27 (61.4)           | 9 (45.0)                               | 1 (50.0)             |                                          |
| Female, n (% )          | 29 (43.9)               | 17 (38.6)           | 11 (55.0)                              | 1 (50.0)             |                                          |
| Age at enrollment (years), median (IQR) | 35.8 (26.4, 44.4) | 37.8 (27.9, 47.4) | 29.1 (24.2, 44.3) | 39.6 (36.7, 42.6) | 0.205                                    |
| Age at Crohn's disease diagnosis (years), median (IQR) | 30.0 (22.0, 38.0) | 31.5 (23.0, 38.5) | 26.0 (20.5, 30.0) | 37.5 (36.0, 39.0) | 0.082                                    |
| Time elapsed from diagnosis to enrollment (years), median (IQR) | 2.3 (0.7, 7.9) | 2.4 (0.9, 7.9) | 1.4 (0.2, 14.0) | 2.1 (0.7, 3.6) | 0.393                                    |
| BMI, mean (SD)          | 23.2 (3.9)              | 23.8 (3.9)          | 22.0 (3.9)                             | 23.7 (5.6)           | 0.102                                    |
| BMI category, n (%)     |                         |                     |                                        |                      |                                          |
| Underweight or Normal (BMI<25 kg/m²) | 42 (63.6) | 24 (54.5) | 17 (85.0) | 1 (50.0) | 0.026*                                   |
| Overweight or Obese (BMI≥25 kg/m²) | 24 (36.4) | 20 (45.5) | 3 (15.0) | 1 (50.0) |                                          |
| Current smokers, n (%)  | 37 (56.1)               | 25 (56.8)           | 11 (55.0)                              | 1 (50.0)             | 0.897                                    |
| Non-smokers, n (%)      | 18 (27.3)               | 11 (25.0)           | 6 (30.0)                               | 1 (50.0)             |                                          |
| Ex-smokers, n (%)       | 11 (16.7)               | 8 (18.2)            | 3 (15.0)                               | -                    |                                          |
| Primary disease site, n (%) |             |                     |                                        |                      |                                          |
| Both small and large intestine | 43 (65.2) | 28 (63.6) | 14 (70.0) | 1 (50.0) | 0.922                                    |
| Small intestine         | 13 (19.7)               | 9 (20.5)            | 4 (20.0)                               | -                    |                                          |
| Large intestine         | 10 (15.2)               | 7 (15.9)            | 2 (10.0)                               | 1 (50.0)             |                                          |
| Extraintestinal manifestions at enrollment including arthralgia, n (%) | 34 (51.5) | 21 (47.7) | 12 (60.0) | 1 (50.0) | 0.425                                    |
| Extraintestinal manifestions at enrollment excluding arthralgia, n (%) | 18 (27.3) | 10 (22.7) | 8 (40.0) | - | 0.230                                    |
| Arthritis               | 10 (15.2)               | 8 (18.2)            | 2 (10.0)                               | -                    |                                          |
| Oral aphthae            | 3 (4.5)                 | 1 (2.3)             | 2 (10.0)                               | -                    |                                          |
| Sacroilitis             | 3 (4.5)                 | 1 (2.3)             | 2 (10.0)                               | -                    |                                          |
| Episcleritis            | 2 (3.0)                 | 1 (2.3)             | 1 (5.0)                                | -                    |                                          |
| Erythema nodosum        | 2 (3.0)                 | -                   | 2 (10.0)                               | -                    |                                          |
| Perianal abscess, perianal fistula | 2 (3.0) | 1 (2.3) | 1 (5.0) | - |                                          |
| Ankylosing spondylitis  | 1 (1.5)                 | -                   | 1 (5.0)                                | -                    |                                          |
| Iridocyclitis           | 1 (1.5)                 | -                   | 1 (5.0)                                | -                    |                                          |
| CDEIS score, median (IQR) | 26.0 (18.0, 31.0) | 18.0 (10.0, 33.0) | 29.0 (26.0, 31.0) | - | 0.077                                    |
| Patients with at least one surgical procedure prior to enrollment | 16 (24.2) | 9 (20.5) | 7 (35.0) | - | 0.383                                    |
| Colectomy (with or without small intestinal resection or abscess/incisional drainage) | 6 (9.1) | 4 (9.1) | 2 (10.0) | - | N/A                                      |

*(Contd...)*
CDAI score during the study

The baseline CDAI score in the overall population (median: 174.5 points) decreased by a median of 142.0, 145.5 and 146.0 points at 30, 54 and 104 weeks (P<0.001), respectively, in the overall population. Statistically significant decreases from baseline were also noted in the CDAI score for patients with "mild" or "moderate-to-severe" disease activity (P<0.001) (Table 2). CDAI scores remained significantly different between patients with "mild" and those with "moderate-to-severe" disease activity at 30 weeks (P=0.009), but not at 54 and 104 weeks post-enrollment (Table 2). At 30, 54 and 104 weeks post-enrollment the proportions of patients with a CDAI score <220 by intention-to-treat analysis were 85% (56/66), 71% (47/66), and 71% (47/66), while by observation the rates were 100% (56/56), 97.9% (45/48), and 100% (45/47), respectively. The respective disease remission rates, as indicated by a CDAI <150, were 100% (56/56), 93.8% (45/48), and 95.7% (45/47).

CRP levels during the study

The baseline CRP levels of the overall population (median: 13.6 mg/L) significantly decreased by a median of 5.1 (P<0.001), 6.6 (P<0.001) and 10.3 mg/L (P<0.001) at a median of 7.5, 12.7 and 24.2 months post-baseline, respectively (corresponding to assessments recorded at Visits 2, 3, and 4). Statistically significantly decreases in baseline CRP levels were also noted for patients with "mild" (median decreases of 5.3 [P<0.001], 4.3 [P<0.001] and 7.9 mg/L [P=0.005] at Visits 2, 3, and 4, respectively) and "moderate-to-severe" disease activity (median decreases of 2.3 [P=0.024], 10.5 [P=0.024] and 11.5 mg/L [P<0.001] at Visits 2, 3, and 4, respectively) (Table 2).

CD-related surgeries

During the first year of observation, corresponding to a total of 63.2 patient-years at risk, five CD-related surgeries (colectomy, abscess drainage, ileectomy, incisional drainage, and intestinal anastomosis) were reported among 6% (4/66) of the patients, yielding a 1-year CD-related surgery incidence rate of 0.08 per patient-year in the overall population. Likewise, during the second year of observation, 1 patient was reported to have undergone CD-related surgery (colectomy). Based on the above, corresponding to a total of 119.8 patient-years at risk, six CD-related surgeries were reported among 7.5% patients (5/66) of the overall population, yielding a 2-year CD-related surgery incidence rate of 0.05 per patient-year. The 1- and 2-year surgery rates were 0.02 and 0.03 for patients with "mild" and 0.20 and 0.11 patient-years for patients with "moderate-to-severe" disease activity, respectively. None of the patients with "severe" disease activity underwent any surgery during the study period.

Hospitalization rates

The 1-year hospitalization rates were 0.13 per patient-year among patients receiving "regimens containing anti-TNF biologics without immunosuppressives" (n=29), 0.27 for those receiving "regimens containing anti-TNF biologics with immunosuppressives" (n=25) and 0.85 for "other regimens without anti-TNF biologics" (n=8); the 2-year hospitalization rates were 0.09, 0.16, 0.40 per patient-year, respectively, in the three groups.

In the overall population, 19 CD-related hospitalizations with a mean duration of 14.5±15.5 days (range: 1.0-49.0; IQR: 2.0-24.5) were reported by 19.4% (12/62) of patients during the 2-year study observation period. The main reason for hospitalizations was reported to be disease relapse/complications/progression.
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(13/19; 68.4%), while 10.5% of hospitalizations were due to perianal disease, 10.5% were for scheduled procedures and the remaining 10.5% for other reasons. Ten hospitalizations were reported by 14.6% (6/41) of patients with "mild" disease activity and the remaining 9 hospitalizations by 31.6% (6/19) of patients with "moderate-to-severe" disease activity. The 1- and 2-year hospitalization rates were 0.27 and 0.16 per patient-year in the overall population (0.22 and 0.13 among patients with "mild"; 0.40 and 0.24 for patients with "moderate-to-severe" disease activity).

Univariate regression analysis revealed that the probability of being hospitalized was lower among patients with a BMI≥25 kg/m² (incidence rate ratio: 0.19, 95%CI 0.04-0.96; P=0.04). Age (P=0.90), sex (P=0.85), time elapsed since CD diagnosis (P=0.51), disease location (p=0.67), presence of extra-intestinal manifestation(s) (P=0.30), treatment regimen containing anti-TNF biologics prior to enrollment (P=0.14), and CDAI baseline score (P=0.30) were not predictors of hospitalization (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The present prospective study of adult CD patients (<65 years of age), inadequately controlled with their current...
therapy according to their physician’s medical judgment, conducted in nine referral centers in Greece, is one of the few published studies of such design to be conducted until now. The results showed that, when participating physicians decided on a treatment switch, according to their medical judgement and clinical assessments in routine practice, two thirds of the patients presented with “mild” disease activity, while 30.3% and only 3.0% had “moderate-to-severe” and “severe” disease activity, respectively, according to their CDAI scores. It should be clarified at this point that the inclusion of only 66 patients, instead of the 100 patients initially estimated, limits the initially assumed precision of 7% in order to prove the hypothesis of a higher percentage of mild disease in Greek CD patients. Nevertheless, this does not limit the importance of our observations, which suggest that neither physicians nor patients are satisfied with mild disease activity, but prefer to pursue an alternate treatment that may yield complete remission. In addition, it should be underlined that the patients had more severe activity during their initial presentation and that their treatment at enrollment was only partially successful—a situation that frequently occurs in real life—leading to a treatment switch. In addition, it partially explains the high percentage of patients with “mild” disease activity at enrollment. Ileocolonic disease was observed in a relatively high proportion of our study population (65.2%). Interestingly, no association was observed between disease location and severity, with ileocolonic disease being present in 63.6% of patients with “mild” and 70.0% of those with “moderate-to-severe” disease activity. In a Greek study that collected data from 1001 CD patients, 65% of whom had active disease, the prevalence of ileocolonic involvement was reported to be 49.3% [6]; this suggests a higher rate of ileocolonic involvement among the patients of our study, considering that disease location is considered to be relatively stable (estimated change of 10-15% over approximately 10-year follow up) [12].

At enrollment, EIMs (including self-reported arthralgias) were present in 51.5% of our overall study population and did not differ between patients with “mild” (47.7%) and “moderate-to-severe” disease activity (60.0%). In a large retrospective study, including 1001 CD patients mainly with active disease followed in 8 tertiary hospitals throughout Greece, published after the completion of the present study, 40.6% of the patients reported EIMs [6]. EIM rates in the present study are quite similar to those of a pan-European cohort of CD patients with a Harvey Bradshaw Index of at least 7 at enrollment, of whom 52.6% (497/945) had EIMs [13]. Consistently with the earlier Greek study [6], the most commonly reported EIMs in the present study were arthralgias (45.5%), followed by arthritis

### Table 3: Univariate regression of potential factors affecting the hospitalization incidence rate

| Parameters                              | IRR   | 95% confidence interval | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                             | 1.00  | 0.96 - 1.05             | 0.900   |
| Sex                                     | 0.88  | 0.25 - 3.13             | 0.848   |
| Time elapsed since CD diagnosis (years) | 0.97  | 0.87 - 1.07             | 0.512   |
| BMI at enrollment                       | 0.19  | 0.04 - 0.96             | 0.045   |
| Primary disease site                    | 0.76  | 0.21 - 2.74             | 0.670   |
| Presence of extraintestinal manifestation (s) at enrollment including arthralgia | 0.51  | 0.15 - 1.79             | 0.295   |
| Regimen containing anti-TNF biologics at the time of the treatment switch  | 0.32  | 0.07 - 1.46             | 0.141   |
| CDAI baseline score                     | 0.52  | 0.14 - 1.82             | 0.304   |

IRR, incidence rate ratio; CD, Crohn’s disease; BMI, body mass index; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; CDAI, Crohn’s disease activity index.
dependence in our study, we observed that, while only 21.2% of the overall population had been exposed to anti-TNFs. Treatment switch led to anti-TNF use in 84.8% of patients (infliximab in 74.2% and adalimumab in 10.6%), a proportion which remained fairly stable through the study observation period (91.3% and 87.9% among patients with available data at the 54th and 104th post-enrollment weeks). Use of anti-TNFs was high, not only among patients with "moderate-to-severe" disease at the time of treatment switch, but also among those with "mild" disease, which may be rationalized by the fact that among these patients corticosteroid use was high, the available CDEIS scorings indicated severe disease, and a high proportion of the study population had poor prognostic factors, including ileal or ileocolonic involvement, CD diagnosis at a young age, and current smoking. According to ECCO guidelines, treatment with thiopurines and/or biologics should be considered for patients with at least two poor prognostic factors [1].

Regarding the impact of anti-TNFs on corticosteroid dependence in our study, we observed that, while only 21.2% of the study population were corticosteroid-free prior to enrollment, more than half (56.1%) were corticosteroid-free throughout the whole study observation period following the treatment switch. Moreover, after the treatment switch, CDAI scores and CRP levels were significantly reduced, in the overall population and in subpopulations defined by disease activity. The median CDAI scores and CRP rates did not differ between patients with "mild" and "moderate-to-severe" disease activity at 54 and 104 weeks post-enrollment; response and/or remission rates were greater than 68% at all study time-points. This underlines the effectiveness of anti-TNF medication for the induction and maintenance of remission in a large spectrum of patients [14-17]. During the study observation period following the treatment switch, the CD-related hospitalization rate was 19.4% and the surgery rate 8.1%.

One limitation of the present study is the lack of a strict definition of inadequately, or poorly controlled disease and a specified therapeutic protocol that could lead to interobserver variation. However, this study was an observational, non-interventional study and a strict therapeutic protocol would have transformed it into an interventional study, completely changing the entire scientific and regulatory approach. On the other hand, it was inherent within the study design to capture the therapeutic management strategies decided upon by physicians according to the clinical assessments and criteria they use in their routine care practice, without imposing a treatment optimization protocol or clinical assessments, thus yielding real-world data representative of the routine care practice of IBD referral centers around the country. The internal validity of the outcomes was enhanced by the implementation of appropriate source data verification and quality assurance measures.

A further limitation is that the evaluable patient population (n=66) was lower than the planned sample of 100 patients. Although this numerical deviation from the initially proposed sample size compromised the initially assumed power and the estimation based on a higher percentage of mild disease in Greece, it was not expected to adversely impact the meaningfulness of the study outcomes, since this was an epidemiological study that primarily aimed to capture real-world data and analyze it descriptively, rather than to prove or reject a formal statistical hypothesis. Nevertheless, caution should be exercised in the interpretation of the statistical significance of outcomes involving a limited number of observations and the fact that the estimated sample size was not achieved.

In summary, Greek real-world data indicate that inadequately controlled adult CD patients younger than 65 years of age attending IBD referral centers are frequently burdened by EIMs, ileocolonic disease location, corticosteroid use and prior CD-related surgeries. The majority of uncontrolled patients are switched to anti-TNFs, mainly infliximab. Over the 2-year observation period, CDAI scores, CRP levels and corticosteroid use were reduced. Nearly all patients achieved remission for long periods during the study, while CD-related hospitalizations were reported in less than a fifth and surgeries in less than a tenth of the patient population.

**Summary Box**

**What is already known:**

- Outcomes in patients with Crohn's disease (CD) under conventional treatment are suboptimal
- A large proportion remain under corticosteroids and/or are referred for surgery
- Physicians’ behavior has shifted towards faster access to more efficacious treatments, especially biologic agents
- Data concerning the routine care management of CD patients in Greece are scarce

**What the new findings are:**

- Many CD patients in Greece with suboptimal results under conventional therapies present with mild disease
- Switching to an anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) agent is the option preferred by gastrointestinal specialists in order to improve a patient's outcome
- Under anti-TNFs there is substantial improvement, independently of the initial disease activity score (mild or moderate-to-severe)
- This improvement is maintained for at least two years for most patients, with little need for corticosteroid use or surgery
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