A case of very large intrahepatic bile duct adenoma followed for 7 years
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INTRODUCTION

Intrahepatic bile duct adenoma (BDA) is a rare, benign epithelial liver tumor that typically ranges in size from 1 mm to 20 mm [1]. BDA is mainly found incidentally at laparotomy or autopsy [1-5]. Here, we report a case of very large BDA (about 90 mm in diameter) that was followed for 7 years.

CASE REPORT

A 70-year-old man was referred to our hospital due to abnormal liver function. A tumor of 92 mm × 61 mm was detected on ultrasound screening of the left liver lobe. Although the tumor was suspected to be intrahepatic bile duct carcinoma, he had chronic heart disease and was unable to undergo surgery. Therefore, he was followed without further testing. No increase in serum marker or tumor size was observed during the subsequent 7 years. We herein report a case of very large BDA followed for 7 years.

Abstract

A 70-year-old man was referred to our hospital due to abnormal liver function. A tumor of 92 mm × 61 mm was detected on ultrasound screening of the left liver lobe. Although the tumor was suspected to be intrahepatic bile duct carcinoma, he had chronic heart disease and was unable to undergo surgery. Therefore, he was followed without further testing. No increase in tumor marker or tumor size was observed during the subsequent 7 years. We herein report a case of very large BDA followed for 7 years.

Key words: Intrahepatic bile duct adenoma; Large tumor; Differential diagnosis; Benign liver tumor

© 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
Koga F et al. A case of very large BDA

At that point, hepatic arterial angiography, abdominal CT angiography, gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Gd-EOB-DTPA)-enhanced abdominal magnetic resonance image (MRI) and tumor biopsy were performed in order to confirm the diagnosis of intrahepatic bile duct carcinoma. Hepatic arterial angiography and CT angiography showed a hypervascular tumor of the left liver lobe with an enhancement effect persisting into the late phase, suggesting that this tumor had rich fibrous tissues (Figure 2). MRI confirmed that the tumor showed heterogeneous high intensity on T2-weighted images (Figure 3B) and low intensity on T1-weighted images (Figure 3A). Contrast-enhanced EOB MRI revealed that this tumor had relative hypointensity in comparison with the normal liver parenchyma on equilibrium and hepatobiliary phase (Figure 3C and D). Biopsy findings showed that the tumor consisted of small heterogeneous tubular ducts with fibrous tissues, without cell atypia or mitotic activity. Thus, diagnosis was confirmed as BDA (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

Although the actual incidence of BDA is unknown, it is a rare, benign and asymptomatic tumor of the liver, and is typically found incidentally.[1-5] Most BDAs are subcapsular, ranging from 1 mm to 20 mm in diameter.[6] However, in the present case, the tumor was very large, at 90 mm in diameter. Therefore, we initially suspected tumor intrahepatic bile duct carcinoma, and believed the patient to be end-stage. Thus, we followed him without treatment over a 7-year follow-up period.

At that point, hepatic arterial angiography, abdominal CT angiography, gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Gd-EOB-DTPA)-enhanced abdominal magnetic resonance image (MRI) and tumor biopsy were performed in order to confirm the diagnosis of intrahepatic bile duct carcinoma. Hepatic arterial angiography and CT angiography showed a hypervascular tumor of the left liver lobe with an enhancement effect persisting into the late phase, suggesting that this tumor had rich fibrous tissues (Figure 2). MRI confirmed that the tumor showed heterogeneous high intensity on T2-weighted images (Figure 3B) and low intensity on T1-weighted images (Figure 3A). Contrast-enhanced EOB MRI revealed that this tumor had relative hypointensity in comparison with the normal liver parenchyma on equilibrium and hepatobiliary phase (Figure 3C and D). Biopsy findings showed that the tumor consisted of small heterogeneous tubular ducts with fibrous tissues, without cell atypia or mitotic activity. Thus, diagnosis was confirmed as BDA (Figure 4).

Table 1  Laboratory data at initial hospitalization

| WBC  | 7000/μL | BS   | 100 mg/dL |
|------|---------|------|-----------|
| Neutro | 60.9% | CRP  | 0.72 mg/dL |
| Eosin | 3.6%  | Na   | 139 mEq/L  |
| Baso  | 0.5%  | K    | 4.5 mEq/L  |
| Mono  | 9.1%  | Cl   | 105 mEq/L  |
| Lympho | 25.9% | CEA  | 2.5 mg/mL  |
| RBC   | 413 × 10⁹/μL | CA19-9 | 46 U/mL |
| Hb    | 12.8 g/dL | Alb  | 4.2 g/dL  |
| Ht    | 41.2% | BUN  | 20.8 mg/dL |
| Plt   | 198 × 10⁹/μL | Cr   | 1.07 mg/dL |
| TP    | 8.4 g/dL | D-Bil | 0.1 mg/dL |
| T-Bil | 0.9 mg/dL | ALT  | 12 IU/L  |
| AST   | 22 IU/L | ALP  | 360 IU/L  |
| PT    | 56%   | γ-GTP | 168 IU/L |
| ChE   | 274 IU/L |

WBC: White blood cell; BS: Blood suger; CRP: C-reactive protein; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; ALT: Aspartate aminotransferase; ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; AST: Alanine aminotransferase; PT: Prothrombin time; TP: Total protein; RBC: Red blood cell.

Table 1  Laboratory data at initial hospitalization

Although various abdominal imaging approaches have been reported, most BDAs show hypervascular characteristics consisting of prolonged enhancement.[6-9] Our case showed the same findings as in these reports, and it was suggested that the delayed or prolonged enhancement on dynamic CT and MRI was due to the fibrous

Figure 1  Unenhanced and enhanced computed tomography images. A: Plain; B: Arterial phase; C: Equilibrium phase; D: Venous phase. Enhanced abdominal computed tomography scan showed a tumor of 92 mm × 61 mm in the left liver lobe, with dilatation of the peripheral bile duct. In addition, tumor showed more heterogeneous enhancement in the venous phase.
Figure 2  Follow-up hepatic arterial angiography and computed tomography images after 7 years. A: Hepatic arterial angiography showed hypervascular tumor of left liver lobe; B: Computed tomography (CT) angiography (portal phase) showed portal vascularity defect in the tumor; C-D: CT angiography (C: Arterial early phase; D: Arterial late phase) showed hypervascularity and a persistent enhancement effect.

Figure 3  Follow-up magnetic resonance image images after 7 years. A: Large tumor of left lobe showed low intensity on T1-weighted images; B: Large tumor of left lobe showed heterogeneous high intensity on T2-weighted images; C-D: Large tumor of left lobe showed relative hypointensity in comparison with normal liver parenchyma on equilibrium and hepatobiliary phase of contrast-enhanced EOB magnetic resonance image.
stroma within the tumor[8]. However, it has also been reported that the differential diagnosis between BDA and malignant tumor is very difficult using radiological methods[10]. In addition, although we obtained liver biopsy samples from some areas of the tumor, there may have been sampling errors due to the large tumor size and heterogeneous enhanced pattern. Therefore, we believe that this patient requires further follow-up.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of a very large BDA, and we confirmed no changes in size over a 7-year follow-up period. Although rare, BDA should be considered in the differential diagnosis of hepatic hypervascular tumors.
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