Metabolic reprogramming has been shown to occur in uveal melanoma (UM), the most common intraocular tumor in adults. Mechanisms driving metabolic reprogramming in UM are poorly understood. Elucidation of these mechanisms could inform development of new therapeutic strategies for metastatic UM, which has poor prognosis because existing therapies are ineffective. Here, we determined whether metabolic reprogramming is driven by constitutively active mutant α-subunits of the heterotrimeric G proteins Gq or G11 (Gq/11), the oncogenic drivers in ~90% of UM patients. Using PET–computed tomography imaging, microphysiometry, and GC/MS, we found that inhibition of oncogenic Gq/11 with the small molecule FR900359 (FR) attenuated glucose uptake by UM cells in vivo and in vitro, blunted glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration in UM cell lines and tumor cells isolated from patients, and reduced levels of several glycolytic and tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates. FR acutely inhibited glycolysis and respiration and chronically attenuated expression of genes in both metabolic processes. UM therefore differs from other melanomas that exhibit a classic Warburg effect. Metabolic reprogramming in UM cell lines and patient samples involved protein kinase C and extracellular signal–regulated protein kinase 1/2 signaling downstream of oncogenic Gq/11. Chronic administration of FR upregulated expression of genes involved in metabolite scavenging and redox homeostasis, potentially as an adaptive mechanism explaining why FR does not efficiently kill UM tumor cells or regress UM tumor xenografts. These results establish that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling is a crucial driver of metabolic reprogramming in UM and lay a foundation for studies aimed at targeting metabolic reprogramming for therapeutic development.

Metabolic reprogramming is a hallmark of cancer that enables tumor cells to meet biosynthetic demands for proliferation and survival (1). In many tumors, oncogenic signaling mechanisms reprogram metabolism transcriptionally and post-transcriptionally (2, 3). Signaling by oncogenic HRAS, KRAS, or B-Raf proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase (BRAF), for example, increases expression of glucose transporters and glycolytic genes (3–5), decreases flux through the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and represses mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos) (6, 7), inducing a Warburg effect (7–9). Such knowledge provides avenues for developing new therapeutic options and combating resistance to targeted therapies (10).

Extensive evidence indicates that metabolic reprogramming occurs in uveal melanoma (UM) (11), the most common intraocular tumor in adults (12). Relative to normal melanocytes, for example, UM cells highly express genes involved in glycolysis, the TCA cycle, mitochondrial biogenesis and respiration, amino acid metabolism, and lipid metabolism (13–15). Metastatic UM tumors exhibit high levels of glucose uptake and glycolytic activity compared with surrounding tissues, which correlate inversely with overall survival as shown by PET/computed tomography (CT) with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) (16–21).

Such evidence and the poor prognosis of metastatic UM because of ineffective therapy (22, 23) have motivated studies to elucidate molecular mechanisms of metabolic reprogramming that potentially could open new avenues for therapeutic discovery (11). Studies thus far have shown that metastatic risk associated with monosomy of chromosome 3 in UM correlates with greater mitochondrial activity and resistance to OxPhos inhibitors (24), and that UM cell lines lacking the BRCA1-associated protein 1 (BAP1) metastasis suppressor can be stratified by metabolic phenotypes and differential sensitivity to metabolic inhibitors (25).

Nevertheless, the physiological characteristics and genetic drivers of metabolic reprogramming in UM are poorly understood, unlike cutaneous melanomas driven by oncogenic mutant BRAF or NRAS. In ~90% of UM patients, constitutively active mutant α-subunits of the heterotrimeric G proteins Gq or G11 (Gq/11) initiate tumorigenesis (26, 27). Gq and G11 function similarly in UM because they cause equivalent clinical outcomes (28, 29) and largely are functionally interchangeable (30). Several well-characterized secondary genetic events subsequently drive tumor progression (22, 23). Whether oncogenic Gq/11 or other genetic events during UM...
tumorigenesis and progression drive or sustain metabolic reprogramming is unknown.

Here, we have addressed these questions by using FR900359 (FR), a bioavailable cyclic depsipeptide that is a potent and highly selective inhibitor of the Gq/11 subfamily of heterotrimeric G protein α-subunits (31, 32). We have used FR to characterize in detail the metabolic consequences of inhibiting oncogenic Gq/11 in mouse xenografted UM tumors, UM cell lines, and UM tumor cells freshly isolated from patients, and to identify signaling mechanisms downstream of oncogenic Gq/11 that drive these metabolic effects. Our studies establish that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling is a principal driver of metabolic reprogramming in UM, reveal atypical features of metabolic reprogramming characteristic of UM, and suggest new avenues for therapeutic development in UM.

Results

Oncogenic Gq/11 activity drives glucose uptake in UM tumor cells in vivo and in vitro

As an initial means of exploring whether oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives metabolic activity in UM tumors, we determined whether FR administered systemically in mice affected uptake of 18F-FDG measured by PET/CT imaging. In these experiments, MP46 UM tumor cells (Gq-Q209L; patient-derived xenograft [PDX]-derived model of BAPI-deficient class 2 tumors with high metastatic potential (33)) were implanted subcutaneously in the flanks of immunodeficient NOD scid gamma (NSG) mice and allowed to form tumors. Tumor-bearing mice were injected with 18F-FDG, and tissue uptake was analyzed by PET/CT (Fig. 1, A and B). Results indicated that MP46 tumor xenografts displayed robust uptake of 18F-FDG, consistent with studies of UM patients (16–21). Tumor-bearing mice subsequently were injected every other day for 1 week with vehicle or FR at levels (0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg s.c.) that arrest tumor growth but preserve host viability (34), and then injected with 18F-FDG for analysis by PET/CT (Fig. 1, A and B). Relative to pretreatment controls, FR administered at either level significantly reduced 18F-FDG uptake in MP46 UM tumors ~2.5-fold (p < 0.01) but had insignificant effect on 18F-FDG uptake in brain or skeletal muscle.

Subsequent analysis in vitro indicated that FR inhibited glucose uptake by Gq/11-driven UM cell lines (Fig. 1C) irrespective of BAPI status. Glucose transport was measured in cultured UM cell lines treated 18 h with vehicle or FR at a maximally effective dose (100 nM (32, 34)). We used two PDX-derived cell lines: MP46 cells derived from class 2 UM cells with high metastatic potential and MP41 cells derived from UM-1A (BRAF V600E) cells showed no significant response to FR. 18F-FDG, NOD scid gamma; BRAF, B-Raf proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase; CT, computed tomography; FR, FR900359; Gq/11, Gq or G11; NSG, NOD scid gamma; UM, uveal melanoma.
class 1 UM cells with low metastatic potential. Results indicated that FR reduced glucose uptake threefold to fivefold ($p < 0.01$) in MP46 and MP41 UM cell lines. In contrast, FR had insignificant effect on glucose uptake in a UM cell line (OCM-1A) driven by BRAF-V600E (Fig. 1C), which is not targeted by FR (32, 34). These results are the first to indicate that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling is required to drive or sustain glucose uptake in UM tumor cells in vivo and in vitro.

Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling acutely drives glycolysis and respiration in UM cell lines

Next, we investigated whether oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives metabolic activity acutely or chronically in UM cell lines in vitro. An acutely acting mechanism would be suggested if FR inhibits oncogenic Gq/11 signaling and metabolism on similar and shorter time scales (minutes to hours). A chronically acting mechanism would be suggested if FR requires long time scales (many hours to days) to inhibit UM tumor cell metabolism, as is the case for imposition of UM tumor cell cycle arrest and redifferentiation by FR (32, 34). We addressed these possibilities by comparing the effects of FR over time on oncogenic signaling downstream of Gq/11 (extracellular signal–regulated protein kinase [Erk] phosphorylation), glycolysis (extracellular acidification rate [ECAR]), and mitochondrial respiration (oxygen consumption rate [OCR]) in vitro (Fig. 2). In Gq/11-driven MP41 (UM class 1; low metastatic potential) and MP46 cells (UM class 2; high metastatic potential), we found that FR inhibited oncogenic Gq/11 signaling in less than 3 h as indicated by reduction of Erk phosphorylation (Figs. 2A and S1). Similarly, FR blunted ECAR within 2 h and attenuated OCR within 5 to 7 h (Fig. 2B). These effects on UM cell lines were specific for oncogenic Gq/11 because FR did not affect Erk phosphorylation, ECAR, or OCR in a UM cell line (OCM-1A) driven by BRAF-V600E (Fig. 2, A and B). These results supported the hypothesis that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling in UM cell lines acutely drives both glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration.

Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives several aspects of glycolytic and respiratory activity in UM tumor cell lines

To determine which aspects of glycolysis and respiration are driven by oncogenic Gq/11 signaling, we performed glycolytic

![Figure 2. Oncogenic Gq/11 acutely drives metabolism in UM cells.](image-url)
and mitochondrial stress tests. We determined whether FR has similar metabolic effects in UM cells driven specifically by oncogenic Gq/11 by comparing a panel of Gq/11-driven UM cell lines (92.1, Mel202, Mel270, and PDX-derived MP41 and MP46 cells) with several melanoma cell lines (OCM-1A, A375, MeWo, and SK-mel-2) driven by oncogenes other than Gq/11. Glycolytic stress tests were used to measure (i) basal activity (ECAR in glucose-free media); (ii) glycolysis (ECAR after addition of glucose minus ECAR after addition of 2-deoxyglucose [2-DG]); (iii) glycolytic capacity (ECAR following addition of glucose to stimulate glycolysis and oligomycin [oligo] to block oxidative phosphorylation minus ECAR after addition of 2-DG); (iv) glycolytic reserve (ECAR upon addition of glucose and oligomycin minus ECAR after addition of glucose); and (v) nonglycolytic acidification (ECAR upon addition of 2-DG). Results indicated that FR significantly reduced glycolysis and glycolytic capacity in all Gq/11-driven UM cell lines (Fig. 3A) with potencies in the nanomolar range (Fig. S2), similar to the potency of FR as an inhibitor of UM cell proliferation (32, 34). In contrast, FR had insignificant effect on glycolysis in melanoma cell lines driven by oncogenes other than Gq/11 (Fig. 3B).

Similar studies indicated that FR affected several aspects of mitochondrial respiration only in Gq/11-driven UM cell lines (Fig. 4). Here, we used mitochondrial stress tests to measure the effects of FR on (i) basal respiration (OCR in media containing glucose and pyruvate); (ii) proton leak (OCR after blocking respiratory complex V with oligomycin [oligo]); (iii) respiratory ATP production (basal OCR minus proton leak); (iv) maximal respiratory capacity (OCR after collapsing the mitochondrial proton gradient with carbonyl cyanide-p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone [FCCP]); (v) spare respiratory capacity (maximal respiration minus basal respiration); and (vi) nonmitochondrial oxygen consumption (OCR after inhibiting complexes I and III with rotenone and antimycin A). In every Gq/11-driven UM cell line, we found that FR reduced basal respiration (Fig. 4A) with potencies in the nanomolar range (Fig. S2). Other modes of mitochondrial function and

---

**Figure 3. Oncogenic Gq/11 drives glycolysis in UM cells.** Cellular metabolic physiology was measured with an Agilent Seahorse XF analyzer using the Glycolysis Stress Test. First, cells are acclimated to glucose-free medium to measure nonglycolytic acidification (first three measurements). Second, glucose is added to measure basal glycolysis. Third, oligomycin is added to block oxidative phosphorylation and measure maximal glycolytic capacity. Fourth, 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG) is added to inhibit glycolysis and return to baseline nonglycolytic acidification. Each graph shows representative data from at least three independent experiments for each cell line. Top panels show raw data traces of ECAR normalized to number of cells per well (by DAPI staining); bar graphs below each panel show glycolysis (glyco), glycolytic capacity (cap), glycolytic reserve (res), and nonglycolytic acidification (acid) rates derived from the aforementioned data. A, older Gq/11-driven UM cell lines (92.1, Mel202, and Mel270) and newer PDX-derived Gq/11-driven UM cell lines (MP41 and MP46) show significant reductions in glycolysis (glyco) and glycolytic capacity (cap) in response to FR (*p < 0.01). B, melanoma cell lines driven by other oncogenes (OCM-1A, A375, MeWo, and SK-mel-2) show no response to FR in glycolysis or glycolytic capacity. DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; ECAR, extracellular acidification rate; FR, FR900359; Gq/11, Gq or G11; PDX, patient-derived xenograft; UM, uveal melanoma.
respiratory activity were affected variably by FR among these Gq/11-driven UM cell lines (Fig. 4A). In melanoma cell lines driven by other oncogenes, all aspects of mitochondrial respiration and function were insensitive to FR (Fig. 4B). These results demonstrated that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling in UM cell lines drives several aspects of both glycolytic activity and mitochondrial respiration, rather than eliciting a classical Warburg effect in which glycolysis is driven and respiration repressed.

**Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives production of glycolytic and TCA intermediates in UM cell lines**

To determine whether biochemical events associated with glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration are regulated by oncogenic Gq/11 signaling, we measured the effects of FR on the abundance of pyruvate, lactate, and TCA cycle intermediates. MP41, MP46, and OCM-1A cells were treated with vehicle or FR (100 nM) for 24 h and analyzed by GC–MS. Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives production of glycolytic and TCA cycle intermediates in UM cell lines.

**Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives metabolic activity in UM cell lines through protein kinase C and Erk**

To identify signaling mechanisms downstream of oncogenic Gq/11 that drive metabolic activity in UM cell lines, we
employed inhibitors used in UM clinical trials to target protein kinase C and the Erk pathway (37, 38). As a prerequisite for metabolic studies, we first determined the potencies and efficacies of the mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal–regulated kinase kinase (MEK) inhibitor (MEKi) trametinib (GSK1120212; MEKi) and the PKC subtype–nonselective inhibitor sotrastaurin (AEB071; PKC inhibitor [PKCi]) by measuring their effects on Erk1/2 phosphorylation in UM cell lines (Fig. 6, A and C). We subsequently compared the potency and efficacy of MEKi, PKCi, and FR as inhibitors of glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration.

MEKi inhibited Erk1/2 phosphorylation both in Gq/11-driven (MP41 and MP46) and BRAF-driven (OCM-1A) cells with nanomolar potency and full efficacy (Figs. 6A and S3). In glycolytic and mitochondrial stress tests, MEKi in the nanomolar range significantly reduced glycolysis and maximal respiration in both Gq/11- and BRAF-driven UM cell lines (Fig. 6B). However, MEKi efficacy as an inhibitor of glycolysis and respiration in both Gq/11-driven UM cell lines was markedly less than that of FR (Fig. 6B). The Erk1/2 pathway therefore may be only one of several effectors downstream of oncogenic Gq/11 that drive glycolysis and respiration in these UM cell lines.

PKCi inhibited Erk1/2 phosphorylation in G11-driven MP41 cells with micromolar potency and nearly full efficacy, whereas in Gq-driven MP46 UM cells, it inhibited Erk1/2 phosphorylation with similar potency but limited efficacy (Figs. 6C and S3). As expected, PKCi had insignificant effect on Erk1/2 phosphorylation in BRAF-driven OCM-1A cells (Figs. 6C and S3) because oncogenic BRAF activates Erk1/2 independently of PKC. Metabolically, PKCi in the micromolar range significantly inhibited glycolysis and maximal respiration in Gq/11, but not BRAF-driven UM cell lines (Fig. 6D). PKCi efficacy was significantly less than that of FR as an inhibitor of glycolysis, suggesting that PKC isoforms provide one group within a set of effectors downstream of oncogenic Gq/11 that drive metabolic activity in UM cell lines.

Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives glycolysis and respiration in freshly isolated UM tumor cells

Response to therapeutic agents can differ significantly between tumor cell lines and tumor cells freshly isolated from patients (39). This understanding underscored the importance of determining the metabolic effects of FR, MEKi, and PKCi in UM tumor cells freshly isolated from a group of patients whose primary tumors warranted surgical enucleation. The modest yields and limited proliferative capacities of primary UM tumor cells obtained from enucleated patients required us to develop novel protocols in which the effects of FR, MEKi,
and PKCi could be determined in triplicate with a single modified glycolytic and mitochondrial stress test (Fig. 7A). These methods were used to study UM tumor cells isolated within 2 years from five patients, two of whom had class 1 choroidal tumors (low metastatic potential) and three had class 2 choroidal tumors (high metastatic potential). UM tumor cells freshly isolated from all five patients expressed oncogenic forms of Gq or G11 because in every case FR significantly reduced glycolysis and glycolytic capacity relative to vehicle controls (Fig. 7B). These metabolic effects occurred mainly or exclusively in UM tumor cells because stromal cells are minor component of these samples (34) (e.g., UM085E contained 77% melanoma cells and 23% stromal cells as indicated by tyrosinase immunofluorescence staining), and stromal cells otherwise do not respond to FR (34). Glycolytic reprogramming therefore appeared to be a hallmark of Gq/11-driven primary UM tumors irrespective of tumor class.

Figure 6. PKC and Erk signaling downstream of oncogenic Gq/11 regulate metabolic activity in UM cells. Gq/11 signals through PLCβ and PKC to activate the Ras/MAPK pathway resulting in phosphorylation of ERK1/2 by MEK1/2. For each experiment in A and C, cells were treated with MEKi or PKCi at the indicated doses for 18 h and then lysed to collect protein. Lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted for pERK1/2 or total ERK1/2. Fluorescence intensity measurements were performed on a LI-COR Odyssey system, and the ratio of pERK to total ERK for each lane was normalized to the ratio in untreated cells; data points show the mean ratio from four experiments. Each graph in B and D shows representative data from at least three independent experiments for each cell line. A, the MEKi trametinib reduces ERK1/2 phosphorylation in UM cells at nanomolar (nM) concentrations regardless of driver mutation. B, graphs showing the effects of MEKi on glycolysis and maximal respiration in MP41 (blue), MP46 (red), and OCM-1A (black) cell lines. Each pair of graphs shows the dose response of the metabolic activity to MEKi (left) and a comparison of MEKi to FR treatment at maximum doses (right) for each cell line. C, the PKCi sotrastaurin shows a bell-shaped dose–response curve, resulting from a stimulatory response at low concentrations and an inhibitory response at high concentrations. At micromolar (μM) concentrations, PKCi reduces ERK1/2 phosphorylation in Gq/11-driven UM cells but does not affect BRAF-driven cells. For PKCi, IC50 values were calculated based on the untreated levels of phosphorylation and final levels of phosphorylation at maximal inhibitor concentration, ignoring the stimulated levels at noninhibitory concentrations. D, graphs showing the effects of PKCi on glycolysis and maximal respiration in MP41 (blue), MP46 (red), and OCM-1A (black) cell lines. Each pair of graphs shows the dose response of the metabolic activity to PKCi (left) and a comparison of PKC inhibition to FR treatment at maximum doses (right) for each cell line. Both MEK and PKC inhibition significantly reduced glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation (*p < 0.01) and at similar concentrations to inhibition of ERK phosphorylation. BRAF, B-Raf proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase; ERK1/2, extracellular signal–regulated protein kinase1/2; FR, FR900359; Gq/11, Gq or G11; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MEK1/2, mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal–regulated kinase kinase; MEKi, MEK inhibitor; PKCi, PKC inhibitor; PLCβ, phospholipase β; UM, uveal melanoma.

Gq/11 drives metabolism in uveal melanoma
To identify signaling pathways downstream of oncogenic Gq/11 that drive metabolic reprogramming in UM tumor cells from patients, we compared the metabolic effects of FR, MEKi, and PKCi in tumor cells from the sole UM patient sample (UM085E) that yielded tumor cells in quantity sufficient for such studies. We found that FR, MEKi, and PKCi inhibited glycolysis, glycolytic capacity, basal respiration, and maximal respiration with similar efficacy (Fig. 7C). These results suggested that this patient’s tumor cells used PKC-dependent Erk activation as the principal pathway downstream of oncogenic Gq/11 to drive glycolysis and respiration. Downstream signaling mechanisms that drive metabolic reprogramming in UM cells from thisenucleated patient therefore appeared to be simpler than the pathways used in UM cell lines.

**Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling sustains metabolic reprogramming by driving expression of TCA cycle and oxidative phosphorylation genes**

In many tumors, oncogenic signaling chronically programs metabolism in part by upregulating metabolic gene expression (2). We therefore determined whether oncogenic
Gq/11 signaling drives expression of metabolic genes by examining our published RNA-Seq data (GSE165552) derived from human UM tumor samples treated chronically (7 days) \textit{ex vivo} with FR (34). Analysis showed that genes encoding components of several metabolic pathways were among the top 25 Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes processes downregulated significantly by chronic treatment with FR (Fig. 8A and Data File S7). Gene-by-gene inspection of data from class 1 (low metastatic potential) and class 2 (high metastatic potential) UM tumor cells indicated that genes encoding nearly every enzyme involved in glycolysis, the TCA cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation were downregulated significantly (Fig. 8B and Data File S8). These results suggested that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling is required chronically to sustain metabolic reprogramming in UM.

**Chronic inhibition of oncogenic Gq/11 signaling upregulates expression of metabolite scavenging and redox homeostasis genes**

Finally, we explored whether UM tumor cells potentially develop adaptive responses to long-term treatment with FR, potentially enabling them to survive chronic inhibition of oncogenic Gq/11 signaling. We considered this hypothesis because FR arrests UM tumor cell proliferation and markedly attenuates glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation, but it does not regress UM tumor xenografts or efficiently kill UM tumor cell lines or tumor cells freshly isolated from primary or metastatic tumors (32, 34). Accordingly, we analyzed our published RNA-Seq data (GSE165552) derived from human UM tumor samples treated chronically (7 days) \textit{ex vivo} with vehicle or FR (34). We ranked FR-regulated genes based on z-score, identified genes encoding central metabolic pathways by gene set enrichment analysis, and performed gene-by-gene analysis to determine which metabolic genes were upregulated significantly. This analysis indicated that FR significantly upregulated genes encoding several alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases (Fig. 8, C and F), GDP-dependent hexose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (Fig. 8, D and F), and enzymes involved in glutamine and cysteine metabolism (Fig. 8, E and F). All these genes play important roles in scavenging metabolites and maintaining pools of reduced glutathione (Fig. 8G and Data File S8) (40). Therefore, when FR chronically inhibits oncogenic Gq/11 signaling, proliferation, glycolysis, and mitochondrial respiration, these upregulated genes potentially could promote UM survival by facilitating nutrient scavenging and maintenance of redox homeostasis.

**Discussion**

Here, we have shown by using the highly selective inhibitor FR (31) that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling is a principal driver of metabolic reprogramming in a mouse model of UM, UM cell lines, and UM tumor cells isolated from patients. Our results illustrate the advantage of using FR to discriminate between acute and chronic effects of interrupting Gq/11 signaling, which would be difficult to determine by using knockdown or knockout approaches. The implications of our findings on UM biology and therapy are discussed later.

**Oncogenic Gq/11 is the principal driver of UM metabolism**

We found that inhibition of oncogenic Gq/11 by FR dramatically reduced glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration in Gq/11-driven UM cell lines and class 1 (low metastatic potential) and class 2 (high metastatic potential) primary UM tumor samples, indicating that metabolic reprogramming in UM is driven by oncogenic Gq/11 irrespective of tumor class. Prior studies have indicated that glycolysis and glycolytic capacity are somewhat greater in BAP1+ (class 1) than in BAP1-deficient (class 2) UM cell lines (24, 25). However, we found that glycolysis, glycolytic capacity, and basal and maximal respiration were all affected more by FR than by tumor cell class (BAP1 status) (Figs. 3A, 4A, and 6B). Moreover, metabolic activity, levels of glycolytic and TCA intermediates, and expression of metabolic genes were all reduced by FR irrespective of BAP1 status (Figs. 5 and 8A). Thus, BAP1 status may modify some aspects of metabolism (24, 25), whereas BAP1 is dispensable for oncogenic Gq/11 signaling to drive glycolytic and respiratory reprogramming in UM.

**Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives glucose uptake and glycolysis**

We found that FR inhibited glucose uptake and glycolysis on short and long time scales, suggesting that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives these metabolic processes acutely and chronically by post-transcriptional and transcriptional mechanisms, respectively. FR also reduced levels of pyruvate, lactate, and several TCA intermediates. FR might attenuate glucose transport in UM cells as an indirect consequence of reducing glucose utilization \textit{via} glycolysis, thereby increasing cytosolic glucose levels that in turn impair facilitative glucose transport (41). However, FR potentially inhibits glucose transport as a direct consequence of attenuating signaling mechanisms that regulate the GLUT4 glucose transporter (SLC2A4). We suggest this mechanism because forced expression in adipocytes of the same constitutively active Gq mutant found in UM can drive plasma membrane targeting of GLUT4 through a post-translational mechanism involving Arf6 (42–44). Furthermore, we found that FR reduced GLUT4 mRNA expression threefold ($z = -2.0$) in freshly isolated primary UM tumor cells (Data File S8) but did not affect mRNA expression of GLUT1 (SLC2A1) or GLUT3 (SLC2A3) (Data File S8), which are induced by oncogenic BRAF in cutaneous melanoma (45–47).

**Atypical metabolic reprogramming in UM: Lack of a classical Warburg effect**

We have discovered that oncogenic Gq/11 signaling in UM tumor cells drives both glycolysis and respiration, in contrast to a classic Warburg effect characteristic of many tumors including BRAF-driven cutaneous melanoma (5, 6, 8) in which oncogenic signaling augments glycolysis and attenuates respiration. A non-Warburg effect was indicated by our finding that FR attenuated rather than increased mitochondrial

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Gene} & \textbf{Function} \\
\hline
SLC2A1 & Facilitates glucose transport \\
\hline
SLC2A3 & Facilitates glucose transport \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Oncogenic Gq/11 signaling drives glucose uptake and glycolysis}
\end{table}
Figure 8. Metabolic genes regulated by oncogenic Gq/11 in primary human UM tumor samples. KEGG pathway analysis of published RNA-Seq data from human UM tumor samples (GSE165552) treated with FR for 7 days was performed. A, the top 25 KEGG signaling pathways showing differential gene expression in FR-treated UM tumor samples include several metabolic pathways that are significantly downregulated (boxed). B, further analysis of the dataset showed that chronic treatment with FR caused downregulation of central genes required for glycolysis, the TCA cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos). Colors correspond to log2 fold change in RNA expression (counts per million) in FR-treated versus untreated for each tumor sample. C–E, genes were reranked by z-score, and metabolic pathways were analyzed by gene set enrichment analysis to identify upregulated genes. C, the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis gene set is not only significantly enriched in downregulated genes (NES = −2.39; FWER p < 0.001) but also identifies several alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases upregulated with chronic FR treatment (indicated). D, the pentose phosphate pathway gene set is significantly enriched in downregulated genes (NES = −2.05; FWER p < 0.001) but identifies significant upregulation of GDP-dependent hexose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (H6PD). E, the amino acid metabolism gene set is significantly enriched in downregulated genes (NES = −1.57; FWER p < 0.01) but identifies upregulation of genes required for metabolism of glutamine and cysteine (indicated). F, plot of significance (z-score of FR response; x-axis) versus expression (log2 fold change of FR response; y-axis) of metabolic genes significantly upregulated in response to FR (z-score > 2; log2 fold change > 1). G, schematic showing the relationships among the FR responsive genes identified in C–F as part of the metabolite scavenging pathways. Oxidation of glucose-6-phosphate by H6PD generates NADPH, which maintains cytosolic reducing potential and is a cofactor for glutathione reductase. Glutathione is synthesized by glutamate-cysteine ligase (GCLC). Glutamate levels are maintained by glutaminase (GLS). FWER, family-wise error rate; NES, normalized enrichment score.
respiration (Fig. 4A), in contrast to the induction of mitochondrial respiration by BRAF inhibitors in cutaneous melanoma cells (5, 6). Similar to what we found with Gq/11-driven UM cells treated with FR, mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) lacking Gq and G11 exhibit reduced mitochondrial membrane potential, respiratory capacity, ATP levels, and OxPhos-dependent growth (48), indicating that Gq/11-driven respiration is not unique to UM cells. In principle, the lack of a classical Warburg effect in UM compared with cutaneous melanoma might be due to the distinct identities of their respective oncogenic drivers. By extension, differences in developmental histories or environmental niches of melanoma cells arising in the uveal tract versus skin may select for oncogenic signaling that drives or attenuates mitochondrial respiration. This possibility is supported by a recent report from Urtatiz et al. (49) showing that tissue microenvironment determines whether forced expression of constitutively active Gq promotes or inhibits melanocyte growth, and that growth inhibition may be caused by mitochondrial stress. Accordingly, high oxygen tension in the highly vascularized uveal tract (50) might select for maintenance of oncogenic signaling–driven mitochondrial respiration (51, 52) to drive ATP production and consume oxygen as protection against oxidative stress, thereby supporting growth and/or survival of uveal melanocytic lesions driven by Gq/11.

**Implications for UM therapy**

Combinatorial therapy is likely to be required for treating UM because single agents targeting PKC or MEK downstream of oncogenic Gq/11 have shown little therapeutic benefit in clinical trials (37, 38), and molecules that target oncogenic Gq/11 (FR or its close relative YM-254890) arrest but do not regress xenografted UM tumors in mouse models (34, 53, 54). Harmonizing our results to those reported elsewhere suggests that blocking autophagy might improve the therapeutic efficacy of Gq/11 inhibitors in UM. We have shown that inhibition of Gq/11 attenuates metabolic reprogramming and induces metabolite scavenging in UM cells from patients (Fig. 8). These metabolic effects may explain why knockdown of oncogenic Gq in UM cells (55) or deletion of Gq and G11 in MEFs (56) induces autophagy, potentially as a parallel adaptive survival response to degrade and recycle cytoplasmic constituents as precursors for macromolecular synthesis (57). Mechanisms that induce protective autophagy in FR-treated UM cells potentially function through AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) and mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), opposing regulators of autophagy (57), because knockdown of oncogenic Gq in UM cells activates AMPK (55) and deletion of Gq and G11 in MEFs reduces mTORC1 activity (56). FR is likely to exert similar effects in UM cells because FR reduces mitochondrial ATP production, which would activate AMPK (57), and attenuates Erk1/2 activation, which would reduce Erk1/2-dependent activation of mTORC1 (57). Induction of autophagy through these pathways may explain why combining FR and the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine synergistically kills UM cell lines (58) and suggests that combining FR with inhibitors of autophagy should be explored for synergistic effect in UM.

**Experimental procedures**

**Reagents**

FR was purified from *Ardisia crenata* according to published methods (59). The MEKi trametinib (GSK1120212) and the PKC subtype-nonselective inhibitor sotrastaurin (AE8071; PKCi) were purchased from Selleck Chemicals.

**¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT**

All animal experiments were performed using protocols approved by the Animal Studies Committee of Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine. Animal experiments were performed using the NOD scid gamma (NOD.Cg-Prkdcsid Il2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ; NSG) mice purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (catalog no.: 005557). MP46 cells were inoculated subcutaneously (2 × 10⁶ cells in 100 μl in ice-cold PBS/Matrigel Matrix [50/50 v/v] [Fisher Scientific; catalog no.: CB40234A]) into the flanks of 5-week-old male NSG mice (n = 10). MP46 tumors were allowed to grow to ~30 mm² basal area measured with calipers and using the formula (L x 2W)/2, where L and W are the longest and shortest basal diameters of the tumor, respectively. For micro-PET imaging studies, animals were anesthetized in a Plexiglas induction chamber flowing oxygen and 1.5 to 2.0% isoflurane. Approximately 250 μCi of [¹⁸F]FDG in 100 μl was injected in a dose calibrator (Capintec, Inc) and injected into the tail vein of each anesthetized mouse using a 0.300 cc, 29 gauge × ½” insulin syringe. The syringe was counted again to quantify un.injected radioactivity. After injection, each mouse was weighed and returned to the cage to restore normal and awake state. At 1 h post radionuclide injection, each mouse was anesthetized in the isoflurane induction chamber and placed on a mouse imaging hotel with four nose-coned bed positions for imaging. Micro-CT scanning was performed using the microPET image system (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc). Anatomical placement was determined, and a 10 min emission scan was performed at 1 h for PET. Each region of interest (ROI) was selected in microPET encompassing the desired tissue, and [¹⁸F]FDG positron emission counts were calculated. Mean counts per volume (becquerel/milliliter), and standard deviations were calculated for each ROI. The [¹⁸F] decay from injection to start of scan was calculated, and standard uptake values were calculated for each tissue as the mean of the ROI (becquerel/milliliter) times the total mass of the animal (g) divided by the decay-adjusted injected dose (converted to becquerel). After pretreatment FDG–PET, animals were randomly grouped (n = 3/group) for vehicle (1% dimethyl sulfoxide [DMSO]) or FR treatments. FR was prepared from a 15 mM stock solution in DMSO and injected at doses of 0.3 and 0.6 mg/kg and dextrose at a final volume of 200 μl. FR or vehicle was administered subcutaneously every other day for a total of 7 days, and FDG–PET was repeated as described previously. Mice were euthanized at the end of the experiment before tumor burden became harmful.
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**UM cell lines**

Cell lines are summarized in Table S1. Human UM cell lines 92.1 (Research Resource Identifier [RRID]: CVCL_8607), Mel202 (RRID: CVCL_C301), Mel270 (RRID: CVCL_C302), and OCM-1A (RRID: CVCL_6934) were derived and the generous gifts of Drs Martine Jager (Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Leiden University), Bruce Ksander (Schepens Eye Institute, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary), and June Kan-Mitchell (Biological Sciences, University of Texas at El Paso).

The human UM PDX-derived cell lines MP41 (American Type Culture Collection [ATCC]; catalog no.: CRL-3297; RRID: CVCL_4D12) and MP46 (ATCC; catalog no.: CRL-3298, RRID: CVCL_4D13) (33) were purchased from ATCC. The human cutaneous cell lines A375 (RRID: CVCL_0132), MeWo (RRID: CVCL_0445), and SK-mel-2 (RRID: CVCL_0069) were the generous gifts of Drs Lynn Cornelius (Division of Dermatology, Washington University). All cell lines were grown at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ in RPMI1640 medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with antibiotics and 25% fetal bovine serum (Gibco). Cell lines are summarized in Table S1. Human UM cell lines 92.1 (Research Resource Identifier [RRID]: CVCL_8607), Mel202 (RRID: CVCL_C301), Mel270 (RRID: CVCL_C302), and OCM-1A (RRID: CVCL_6934) were derived and the generous gifts of Drs Martine Jager (Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Leiden University), Bruce Ksander (Schepens Eye Institute, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary), and June Kan-Mitchell (Biological Sciences, University of Texas at El Paso). The human UM PDX-derived cell lines MP41 (American Type Culture Collection [ATCC]; catalog no.: CRL-3297; RRID: CVCL_4D12) and MP46 (ATCC; catalog no.: CRL-3298, RRID: CVCL_4D13) (33) were purchased from ATCC. The human cutaneous cell lines A375 (RRID: CVCL_0132), MeWo (RRID: CVCL_0445), and SK-mel-2 (RRID: CVCL_0069) were the generous gifts of Drs Lynn Cornelius (Division of Dermatology, Washington University). All cell lines were grown at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ in RPMI1640 medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with antibiotics and 25% fetal bovine serum (MP41 and MP46) or 10% fetal bovine serum (all other lines). Cells were not used above passage 35.

**Glucose uptake assays**

MP41, MP46, and OCM-1A cells were seeded in white and clear bottom 96-well plates at 1.5 × 10⁴ cells/well and allowed to attach overnight. The next day, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or FR (100 nM in DMSO) in growth medium; six wells for each condition per cell line per experiment. Five independent experiments were performed with these cell lines. The next day, glucose uptake assays were performed using the Promega Glucose Uptake-Glo Assay (Promega) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, growth medium was removed, and cells were washed once with PBS, and then, for each treatment condition, 1 mM 2-DG was added to three wells, and PBS was added to the other three wells as a negative control for accumulation of 2-deoxy-D-glucose-6-phosphate (2-DG6P). The plates were shaken briefly to mix and then incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Stop buffer was added immediately to stop the reaction, followed immediately by neutralization buffer. The wells were aspirated, and 2-DG6P detection reagent (luciferase reagent, NADP+, G6PDH, reductase, and reductase substrate) was added and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 30 min. After incubation, sample luminescence was measured, and rates of glucose uptake (fmol/min/cell) were interpolated in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc) using a 2-DG6P standard curve with concentrations from 0.5 to 30 μM in PBS.

**UM patients and tumor collection**

Human primary UM enucleation samples were obtained with patient written informed consent and with approval of the institutional review board of Washington University in St Louis. Removal of tumor-bearing eyes by enucleation was performed as part of standard of care, which also included collecting samples for molecular classification (Castle Biosciences). After excision from the patient, eyes were transilluminated to localize the tumor mass and then opened opposite the tumor. Vitreous was carefully removed, and tumor samples were collected through the retina from the tumor apex. All samples were collected directly into 0.05% trypsin–EDTA (Gibco) on ice in the operating room. Samples were transported to the laboratory and incubated on ice for a total of 1 h post excision. Samples were then changed to medium containing 5 mg/ml collagenase I (Sigma–Aldrich) and incubated at 37 °C for 45 min, followed by centrifugation at 3000g for 5 min. The supernatants were removed gently, leaving enough medium behind to cover the pellet, and then resuspended by trituration with fresh collagenase solution. This cycle was repeated four times until the samples were fully dissociated to single cells and then resuspended once in phosphate-buffered saline before being resuspended finally in melanoblast defined medium plus fibroblast growth factor growth medium: HAM’s F12 (Lonza) supplemented with 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (Sigma–Aldrich), 2 mM 1-glutamine (Lonza), 1× SITe (Sigma–Aldrich), 1× B27 (Gibco), 20 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (PeproTech, Inc), and 50 μg/ml gentamicin (Sigma–Aldrich) (60). Enucleated samples yielded less than a total of 10⁶ intact dissociated cells, most of which were used for Seahorse assays at 2 × 10⁴ cells/well. Cells were maintained in suspension at 37 °C for 24 h and then plated as described later for Seahorse experiments.

**Microphysiometry experiments**

Cells were plated on Seahorse XF96 Cell Culture Microplates (Agilent Technologies) coated with Cell-Tak (Corning Life Sciences) in 50 μl of appropriate medium for each cell line or sample. Cell density was set near 80% confluence. Cells were plated 2 days prior to assay and treated with FR, MEKi, PKCi, or vehicle (0.1% DMSO) 18 h prior to assay. For dilution curves, FR, MEKi, or PKCi was diluted in the appropriate RPMI medium for the treated cell line at the indicated concentrations with 0.1% DMSO as a vehicle control for each drug. Each treatment group had a minimum of three replicate wells, and each plate had a minimum of four background wells. Seahorse XF DMEM pH 7.4 media were used to run all experiments, with a final well volume of 180 μl. Basal respiration was measured using the Mito Stress Test (MST) Assay Kit, and glycolysis was measured using the Glycolytic Stress Test (GST) Assay Kit, both from Agilent. Samples were run according to Agilent assay protocol on Seahorse XF96 and XFe96 analyzers. For enucleation samples, one GST kit and one MST kit were combined and loaded into the injection ports of a Seahorse Sensor Cartridge as follows: port A was loaded with 10 mM glucose (from the GST kit); port B was loaded with 1.5 μM oligomycin (from the GST kit); port C was loaded with 1 μM FCCP (from the MST kit); port D was loaded with 0.5 μM rotenone/antimycin A (from the MST kit) dissolved into 50 mM 2-DG (from the GST kit). Samples were then run on a Seahorse XF96 or XFe96 analyzer using a four-port injection protocol using standard cycles (3 min mix,
Measurement of glycolytic and TCA cycle intermediates by GC–MS

MP41, MP46, and OCM-1A cells were cultured to 70% confluency in 6-well plates and treated for 24 h with 100 nM FR or vehicle control. Each well corresponded to one technical replicate, and three independent experiments were performed for each cell line. Plates were placed on wet ice, growth media were aspirated, and the plates were rinsed twice with ice-cold PBS (gently) without disturbing the cells, and then transferred to a bed of dry ice. About 200 μl of 80% methanol was added to the well along with 10 μl of a cocktail of labeled amino acid and TCA cycle standards (Tables S2 and S3). Each well was scraped in the aqueous methanol to suspend and extract the adherent cell material and pipetted into an Eppendorf tube. An additional 200 μl of 80% methanol was added to each well and scraped to recover more cell extract and combined with the material from the first scraping. The cell suspension extract was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm to pellet the cell debris. Cell extract supernatants were added to a GC insert vial (National C4011-631) and placed in an Eppendorf tube with a needle puncture in the lid and evaporated to dryness in a Labconco CentriVap Concentrator. Pellets were saved for protein quantification using the Pierce bicinchoninic acid assay.

The GC vial inserts containing the cell extract dried residues were placed in a glass vial (National C4000-1), derivatized with 10 μl of 20 mg/ml methoxyamine HCl in pyridine and incubated on a heating block set to 38 °C for 90 min. Immediately following the incubation, 40 μl of N-methyl-N-tert-butylidemethylsilyl trifluoroacetamide (with 1% t-butyldimethylchlorosilane) (catalog number: M-108-5x1mL) were added to each vial and incubated at 38 °C for an additional 30 min (61). Derivatized samples were then run on an Agilent 7890A GC coupled to an Agilent 5975C MS, and data were acquired and analyzed in MSD ChemStation E.02.02.1431. All data were collected in SIM mode with an initial 80 °C hold for 2 min, followed by a temperature rate change of 10 °C/min to 300 °C, and a hold for 6 min.

Cell pellets were suspended in 200 μl of lysis buffer cocktail (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) containing protease inhibitor cocktail, 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate provided in the Santa Cruz Biotechnology radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer kit. Metabolite peak areas in each sample were quantified relative to nanomoles of internal standards and normalized to mass of protein in the cell pellet.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism (version 8.2.1 [441]). Summary data values on all graphs represent means. Error bars on graphs represent standard error of the mean for immunoblot and Seahorse experiments or standard deviation for GC–MS metabolomic data. Stars indicate significance as determined by statistical analysis (*p<0.01). All datasets were checked for normality prior to analysis via Shapiro–Wilk and Kolmogorov–Smirnov (with Lilliefors’s significance correction) tests. If groups in the dataset differed in normality, all were first run through nonparametric statistics, with normal groups being run through the parametric version of the test after to detect additional significant relationships. If all groups followed a Gaussian distribution, parametric statistics were performed. Data for baseline measurements of glycolysis and basal respiration were compared using one-way ANOVAs with Bonferroni post hoc tests or Kruskal–Wallis with Dunn post hoc tests. Data for treated and untreated samples were analyzed via unpaired t tests or Mann–Whitney tests. Nonlinear regression log(inhibitor) versus parameter model tests were used for dose–response curves and used to determine IC50 values. Drug monitoring experiments also utilized both nonlinear and linear regressions in order to detect significance between treated and untreated samples. Nonlinear regressions used a one phase decay model with plateau comparisons.

Gene expression analyses

All gene expression analyses were performed using published transcriptional data (GSE165552) on human UM tumor samples treated for 7 days with FR (34). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis was performed using gene set enrichment analysis (62). Reranking of gene in the published dataset by z-score, based on responses to FR, used the equation: \( Z \)-score = \( \frac{(\text{mean}_{\text{FR}} - \text{mean}_{\text{vehicle}})}{\text{SQR}T \left[ \text{StDev}_{\text{FR}}^2/n_{\text{FR}} + \text{StDev}_{\text{vehicle}}^2/n_{\text{vehicle}} \right]} \), where “mean” is the average gene expression across the set of samples, “StDev" is the standard deviation of the gene expression across the set of samples, and “n” is the number of samples in the corresponding set. \( Z \)-scores were calculated for each expressed gene.
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in the dataset and used to generate preranked gene lists, which were used for metabolic pathway analysis by gene set enrichment analysis (62).

Immunoblot assays

Cells were grown in 10 cm dishes and treated for 18 h with FR, PKCi, MEKi, or vehicle alone (DMSO) at the indicated concentrations for the indicated durations. Cells were lysed in 1× cell lysis buffer. Lysates were sonicated on ice for 2 min (30 s on, 30 s off, 60% A), rotated end over end for 30 min, and cleared by centrifugation at 16,000g for 15 min. Protein concentration was determined using Bio-Rad Protein Assay Dye Reagent (Bio-Rad; catalog no.: 5000006). About 15 μg of tumor protein was resolved on 12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to Immobilon-FL poly(vinylidene fluoride) membrane (Millipore; catalog no.: IFPL00010). Membranes were blocked with 5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin in TBST (20 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 137 mM NaCl, 0.1% v/v Tween-20) and incubated with primary antibodies (Phospho-p44/42 MAPK [Erk1/2], Cell Signaling Technology; catalog no.: 4370S; lot no.: 24 and p44/42 MAPK [Erk1/2], Cell Signaling Technology; catalog no.: 9107S; lot no.: 10). Membranes were washed with TBST at least three times and incubated with IRDye 680–coupled goat anti-rabbit (LI-COR; catalog no.: 926-6807; lot no.: C90618-09) and IRDye 800 goat antimouse (LI-COR; catalog no.: 926-32210; lot no.: C91210-09 antibodies [LI-COR Biosciences]). After incubation, membranes were washed at least three times with TBST, and fluorescence intensity signals were detected using Odyssey model 9120 imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences). Integrated fluorescence intensities (I.I.) were calculated, and background fluorescence was subtracted for the selected bands during imaging. The sum of the I.I. for the pERK bands was divided by the sum of the I.I. for the total ERK bands, and these ratios were normalized to the ratios of control cells. Data were collected from four independent experiments.
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All RNA-Seq data used in the present study were published previously and deposited on the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus server with the accession #GSE165552. All other data associated with this study are presented in the main text or supporting information.
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