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Thin-Layer Hydroxyapatite Deposition on a Nanofiber Surface Stimulates Mesenchymal Stem Cell Proliferation and Their Differentiation into Osteoblasts
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Pulsed laser deposition was proved as a suitable method for hydroxyapatite (HA) coating of coaxial polylactide/polyvinylalcohol (PCL/PVA) nanofibers. The fibrous morphology of PCL/PVA nanofibers was preserved, if the nanofiber scaffold was coated with thin layers of HA (200 nm and 400 nm). Increasing thickness of HA, however, resulted in a gradual loss of fibrous character. In addition, biomechanical properties were improved after HA deposition on PCL/PVA nanofibers as the value of Young’s moduli of elasticity significantly increased. Clearly, thin-layer hydroxyapatite deposition on a nanofiber surface stimulated mesenchymal stem cell viability and their differentiation into osteoblasts. The optimal depth of HA was 800 nm.

1. Introduction

Stem cells have undoubtedly been at the center of interest and the object of intensive study in the last decade [1–3]. Clearly, multiple stem cells have, under suitable conditions, the potential to differentiate cell lineages and thus play a key role in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Several sources of stem cells have been described, including muscle [4, 5], synovium [6], periostium [7], and bone marrow [8, 9]. Stem cells can be also isolated from adipose tissue, which can be obtained under local anesthesia with minimal discomfort [10, 11]. However, bone marrow is most widely utilized as a source of autologous MSCs. These cells can differentiate into osteogenic lineages when cultured in the presence of dexamethasone, ascorbic acid, and β-glycerophosphate [12] and potentially used for treating large bone defects. Autologous stem cells as the source of donor cells have numerous advantages for regenerative medicine. These include low donor site morbidity, a diminished or absent immune response, and a high proliferative potential [1, 2].

In fact, other growth factors such as transforming growth factor (TGF-β), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) have been described as stimulators of MSCs proliferation and osteogenic differentiation [13, 14]. The process of stem cell differentiation is undoubtedly complicated and time and concentration dependent. Thus, the main challenge of the successful application of MSCs in regenerative medicine seems to be the regulated release of a suitable concentration of differentiation factors, particularly under in vivo conditions. This is among the goals
of tissue engineering as a multidisciplinary field focusing on the reconstruction of biological tissues. Cells, especially autologous cells, and smart (functionalized) scaffolds enriched with growth factors, preferentially serving as a controlled delivery device, are fundamental components in the engineering of novel cell proliferation and differentiation systems [2].

Surface modification is one of the essential steps in constructing artificial cell-seeded systems. HA, which is similar to the apatite of living bone, can be used as a suitable material for improving cell proliferation and differentiation into osteoblasts. HA has been used in medicine and dentistry for over 20 years due to its biocompatibility and osteoconductivity and its excellent chemical and biological affinity with bone tissue [15, 16]. HA coatings of bone implants enable fast bony adaptation and reduced healing time [17–19]. There are a number of techniques used to produce thin HA films. Plasma-sprayed HA coatings, where HA is bound mechanically, have limited chemical bonding, and cracks, pores, and other impurities limit their mechanical strength in contact with a substrate and the stability of the layer [20, 21]. Another coating technique is ion beam sputtering, producing an amorphous coating. Subsequently, heat treatment is necessary to produce crystals [22, 23]. Very high temperatures, necessary for crystallization, are not favorable for nonmetallic materials such as polymers or bioactive molecules. Pulsed laser deposition (PLD) is mostly used as an alternative technique of HA coating [24, 25]. PLD employs an intense laser beam for material evaporation. Subsequent condensation on a mat can create a very thin layer (depth of several atoms only). The material surface properties are, consequently, directly dependent on the deposition conditions.

Aside from chemical and surface charge modification, the surface’s physical properties are also vital for successful cell seeding on scaffolds. Nanotechnology is the term used to cover the design, construction, and utilization of functional structures with at least one characteristic dimension measured in nanometers and brings a new chance to stem cells research and development [26–28].

Electrospun nanofibers are novel materials characterized by an enormous surface to volume ratio, high porosity, and a structure resembling that of the extracellular matrix, thus facilitating their use in a broad range of applications [29, 30]. These properties predestined the use of nanofibers in various tissue engineering applications. In addition, nanofibers can also serve as drug delivery systems. Nanofibers have been utilized for the delivery of both water soluble and water insoluble substances [31, 32]. Due to their enormous surface area, nanofibers enable the adhesion of diverse bioactive agents, such as growth factors [33], enzymes [34], or nucleic acids [35]. The release kinetics of the content is determined by the form of the interaction between the fibers and the adhered drug. If the drug is noncovalently attached to the nanofiber surface, the interaction is weak, and a quick burst release occurs. For nonbiodegradable materials, the diffusion rate of the drug from the fibers depends strongly on the physiochemical properties of the delivered substances, such as the molecular weight, hydrophobicity, and charge of the molecule. For biodegradable materials, the process additionally depends also on the kinetics of the material’s degradation, which for rapidly degradable materials is significantly hastened [29]. Clearly, drugs dissolved or dispersed in materials from which nanofibers are produced can be quickly released. However, healing processes often require a slower release on a scale of days or even weeks. This is especially important in vivo.

To overcome this obstacle, the incorporation of bioactive substances in the interior of the nanofiber has been employed. This can be achieved either by blend electrospinning or by coaxial electrospinning. Blend electrospinning is a single-step method enabling the incorporation of various bioactive substance [32]. The disadvantage of the process is its limitation by the compatibility of the delivered substances with the polymer solvent. Thus, blend electrospinning is not suitable for the delivery of proteins with polymers soluble only in organic solvents. Despite these constraints, blend electrospinning is a fast and convenient method for the microencapsulation of antibiotics [36, 37], anticancer drugs [38–42], proteins [43–45], DNA [46, 47], and siRNA [48]. Recently, coaxial electrospinning was introduced as a novel method for drug delivery resulting in the production of core-shell nanofibers [49]. The nanofiber core and shell could be prepared either from the same polymer solution or from different polymer solutions, thus combining the advantages of both polymer systems. Such systems could deliver highly susceptible substances in combination with various polymer systems without altering their structure or function. Electrospun coaxial fibers have been employed for the delivery of various bioactive substances, for example, proteins [50–52], DNA [46], and siRNA [48]. In addition, further drug encapsulation in the nanofiber core, such as in liposomes, can significantly prolong drug release from the nanofiber interior.

The aim of the present study was to introduce a modern system suitable for the treatment of bone defects. This system is based on MSCs and functionalized nanofibers. The nanofibers can be modified on their surface as well as enriched in their core with different drugs that could be slowly released over the course of days or weeks.

2. Methods

2.1. Materials and Reagents. Poly-ε-caprolactone (PCL, MW 45000), FITC-dextran, MTT, glycerol 2-phosphate disodium salt hydrate, BCECF-AM, and PCR primers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). Polyanvinylalcohol sloviov (PVA) was purchased from Novacke Chemickie Zavody (Slovak republic). Hydroxyapatite was obtained in the form of a pressed powder (Lasak, Czech Republic). Gelofusine was purchased from B. Braun Melsungen (Germany). α-MEM cultivation medium and foetal bovine serum were purchased from PAA (Austria). Double-strand-specific dye for PCR analysis, SYBR Green I, was purchased from Roche (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and an RNasy Mini Kit for RNA isolation from Qiagen (Germany).

2.2. Coaxial Electrospinning of PCL/PVA Nanofibers. A 14% (w/v) PCL solution was prepared as the shell solution by dissolving 7 g PCL in 50 mL chloroform/ethanol (8:2) and
stirring at room temperature. The core solution consisted of 5% PVA (v/v). The coaxial spinneret apparatus consisted of two needles placed together coaxially [53]. Two syringe pumps were used to deliver the core and shell solutions, respectively. A high-voltage power supply was used to generate voltages of up to 60 kV, and a span bond was used as the receiving plate to collect the electrospun nanofibers. The distance between the tip of the syringe needle and the collecting plate was 12 cm. All electrosprinning processes were performed at room temperature with 56% humidity. In case of the release study, the core solution consisted of FITC-dextran (2 mg/mL, 10,000 MW) dissolved either in 1%, 3%, or 5% (v/v) PVA. The process was performed on the apparatus described above at room temperature with 52% humidity.

2.3. HA Coatings of Nanofibers. Prepared nanofibers were coated by HA layers of different thickness. HA [Ca10(PO4)6(OH)2] films were created by a KrF excimer laser (COMPEXPro 205 F) of 248 nm wavelength, frequency 10 Hz, and energy 600 mJ. The energy density of the laser beam was 2 J/cm². The deposition proceeded in an H2O2 + Ar atmosphere at a pressure of 40 Pa. The substrate was fixed at a distance of 5 cm from the target HA material (cake of pressed powder). The substrate was at room temperature. HA films of 200 (PCL/PVA200HA), 400 (PCL/PVA400HA), and 800 nm thickness (PCL/PVA800HA) were grown. Pure PCL/PVA core-shell nanofibers were used as a control (PCL/PVA).

2.4. Characterization of the Scaffolds. The prepared nanofibrous scaffolds were characterized by scanning electron microscopy. Air-dried samples of electrospun HA-coated nanofibers were mounted on aluminum stubs and sputter-coated with a layer of gold approximately 60 nm thick using a Polaron sputter-coater (SC510, Polaron, Now Quorum Technologies Ltd.). The samples were examined in an Aquasem Polaron (Tescan) scanning electron microscope in the secondary electron mode at 15 kV.

2.5. Biomechanical Characterization of Scaffolds. Young’s moduli of elasticity, ultimate stresses, and ultimate strains of the scaffolds were obtained at room temperature using a Zwick/Roell traction machine equipped with a 1 kN load cell. Because of the difficulty to produce the layer of PCL/PVA nanofibers of uniform thickness, only the samples with the same thickness of the basic layer of PCL/PVA nanofibers of pure samples as well as with the layer of HA were used for mechanical testing. Thus, the samples without layer of HA were signed as type I (n = 4) and with the HA layer as type II (n = 7). The samples themselves were thin strips of the nanofibers. The initial length of all samples was 10 mm. The width of all samples was 10 mm. The thickness of individual samples was about 60 μm. The samples were prepared according to studies [54, 55]. The template of the paper 20 × 50 mm (height × width) with the centered rectangular hole 10 × 40 mm was cut, and lines marking 10 mm wide sample strips were drawn on its top and bottom stripes. Then it was glued to the sheet of the composite, and two other strips of paper 5 × 50 mm were glued to the back faces of the top and bottom stripes. Then the individual scaffolds were cut resulting in four 20 × 10 mm stripes consisting of 10 × 10 mm sample between two 5 × 10 mm grips of paper.

The tensile test with a loading velocity of 10 mm/min was applied to the samples. The load was applied until the scaffold ruptured. Young’s moduli of elasticity were determined using linear regression of the stress-strain curves at a strain of approximately 1–6% (linear region depending on the shape of the curve). The ultimate stress and the ultimate strain were determined at the start of the rupture. The stress was defined as the force divided by the initial area, and the strain was defined as the elongation of the specimen divided by its initial length. Our own software written in Python programming language was used for evaluation [56].

2.6. Isolation and Cultivation of MSCs. Blood marrow aspirates were obtained from the os ilium (tuber coxae Ala ossis ildi) of anesthetized miniature pigs (age 6–12 months). The bone marrow blood was aspirated into a 10 mL syringe with 5 mL Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (PBS), 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and 25 IU heparin/mL connected with a biopptic needle (15 G/70 mm). Under sterile conditions, the bone marrow blood (about 20 mL) was placed into 50 mL centrifuge tubes and 5 mL of gelofusine was added. After 30 min incubation at room temperature, the blood was centrifuged at 400 ×g for 15 min. Subsequently, the layer of mononuclear cells was removed and seeded into a culture flask, then cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2, α-MEM medium with Earle’s Salt and L-glutamine supplemented with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin (100 IU/mL and 100 μg/mL, resp.) was used as the culture medium.

2.7. MSCs Seeding on the Scaffolds. Scaffolds were cut into a round shape with a diameter of 6 mm and sterilized using ethylenoxid. Cells were seeded on the scaffolds at a density of 70 × 10³/cm² in a 96-well plate. Scaffolds with seeded MSCs were cultivated in differentiation media: α-MEM supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin/streptomycin (100 IU/mL and 100 μg/mL, resp.), 100 nM dexamethasone, 40 μg/mL ascorbic acid-2-phosphate and 10 nM glyceral-2-phosphate disodium salt hydrate. The medium was changed every 3 days.

2.8. Cell Proliferation Analysis by the MTT Test. 50 μL of [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] (MTT), and 1 mg/mL in PBS (pH 7.4) were added to 150 μL of sample medium and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. The MTT was reduced by the mitochondrial dehydrogenase of normally metabolizing cells to purple formazan. Formazan crystals were solubilized with 100 μL of 50% N,N-dimethylformamide in 20% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) at pH 4.7. The results were examined by spectrophotometry in an ELISA reader at 570 nm (reference wavelength 690 nm).

2.9. Cell Proliferation Analysis by PicoGreen. The PicoGreen assay was carried out using the Invitrogen PicoGreen assay kit (Invitrogen Ltd., Paisley, UK). The proliferation of MSCs on scaffolds was tested on days 1, 7, and 14. To process
material for the analysis of DNA content, 250 μL of cell lysis solution (0.2% v/v Triton X-100, 10 mM Tris (pH 7.0), 1 mM EDTA) was added to each well containing a scaffold sample. To prepare the cell lysate, the samples were processed through a total of three freeze/thaw cycles, scaffold sample was first frozen at −70°C and thawed at room temperature. Between each freeze/thaw cycle scaffolds were roughly vortexed. Prepared samples were stored at −70°C until analysis. To quantify cell number on scaffolds, a cell based standard curve was prepared using samples with known cell numbers (range 100–10^6 cells). The DNA content was determined by mixing of 100 μL PicoGreen reagent and 100 μL of DNA sample. Samples were loaded in triplicate and fluorescence intensity was measured on a multiplate fluorescence reader (Synergy HT, λex = 490–500 nm, λem = 520–540 nm). Measured data were used for derivation of absorbance values measured by MTT assay to cell counts on the scaffolds.

2.10. Viability of Cells Seeded on Scaffolds. For determining cell viability, live/dead staining (BCECF-AM/propidium iodide) and visualization using confocal microscopy was performed. 2′, 7′-bis(2-carboxyethyl)-5(6)-carboxyfluorescein acetoxyethyl ester (BCECF-AM, diluted 1:100 in medium) was added to cell-seeded scaffolds and incubated for 45 min at 37°C and 5% CO2 for live cell detection, then rinsed with PBS (pH 7.4); propidium iodide (5 μg/mL in PBS pH 7.4) was added for 10 min, rinsed with PBS (pH 7.4) again, and visualized using a Zeiss LSM 5 DUO confocal microscope (wavelengths: BCECF-AM λexc = 488 nm and λem = 505–535 nm; propidium iodide λexc = 543 nm and λem = 630–700 nm).

2.11. Quantitative Real-Time PCR Analysis. Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA was stored at −20°C. The cDNA from 1 μg of total RNA was used as a template. The synthesis of cDNA was performed by a standard procedure described in our previous work [57]. Bone sialoprotein (BS) and osteocalcin (OC) mRNA expression levels were quantified by means of a LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) using the double-strand-specific dye SYBR Green I according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Primers used were as follows: BS, sense 5′-CCA AGA GAG TGT CAC-3′, antisense 5′-GCC CAT TTC TTG TAG AAG C-3′ (498 bp); OC, sense 5′-TCA ACC CCG ACT GCG ACG AG-3′, antisense 5′-TTG GAG CAG CTG GGA TGA TGG-3′ (204 bp) and beta-actin, sense 5′-AGG CCA ACC GCG AGA AGA TGA CC-3′, antisense 5′-GAA GTC CAG GGC GAC GTA GCA C-3′ (332 bp). The PCR conditions were initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 57°C for 10 s, and extension at 72°C for 20 s. The expression levels of BS and OC mRNAs were normalized using the level of beta-actin mRNA as a housekeeping gene and expressed as the ratio to actin. Student’s t-test was used to evaluate the statistical significance of the results. Differences with P values <0.05 were considered significant.

2.12. Measurement of FITC-Dextran Release Profile. In order to study the release profile of FITC-dextran, core-shell nanofiber meshes with either 1% PVA, 3% PVA, or 5% PVA were cut into round patches and incubated with 1 mL of TBS buffer at room temperature. At specific intervals, the TBS buffer was withdrawn and replaced with fresh buffer. The time interval was determined keeping in mind the balance between the release of a detectable amount of FITC-dextran and maintenance of the sink condition. Drug release was quantified using fluorescence spectroscopy. Briefly, 200 μL of samples and blank samples were measured on a multiplate fluorescence reader (Synergy HT, λex = 480–500 nm, λem = 520–540 nm) and background subtraction was performed. The cumulative release profile of FITC-dextran was obtained, and the half time of release was determined as the time at which the initial fluorescence intensity I0 decreased to 1 = I0 · e−t.

2.13. Statistical Analysis. Quantitative data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). For in vitro tests, average values were determined from at least three independently prepared samples. Results were evaluated statistically using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Student-Newman-Keuls Method. The Shapiro-Wilk’s W test was used to determine the normality of the Young’s moduli of elasticity, ultimate strains, and ultimate stresses. The t-test was used to determine the differences between values of mechanical parameters obtained for pure PCL/PVA scaffolds (type I) and scaffolds covered by HA (type II).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Scaffold Characterization. Coaxial core-shell nanofibers were prepared from PCL as a shell material and PVA as a core material. PCL has good biocompatibility and enables the successful cultivation of MSCs [58] and osteogenic cells [59]. On the other hand, PVA is a water-soluble material and has been employed as a suitable substance for the delivery of bioactive compounds from the nanofiber core [60]. To improve the surface parameters for MSCs seeding, coaxial nanofibers were further functionalized by pulsed laser deposition of HA. Thin layers of 200, 400, or 800 nm thickness were deposited onto the nanofiber surface. HA deposition clearly modified the nanofiber surface and significantly influenced the surface properties. Scanning electron microscopy revealed the fibrous morphology of PCL nanofibers (Figure 1(a)). This is in accordance with our previous results [61]. Pulsed laser deposition of a 200 nm thick HA layer did not affect the fibrous morphology or porosity of the nanofibers (Figure 1(b)). However, the fibrous character of samples with a 400 nm thick HA layer (Figure 1(c)) was less well preserved, and the porosity of the scaffold decreased. The fibrous morphology disappeared completely in samples with a 800 nm thick HA coating (Figure 1(d)).

3.2. Biomechanical Testing. The effect of an HA layer on the biomechanical properties of the nanofibers was tested using a tensile test. Young’s moduli of elasticity, the ultimate stresses, and the ultimate strains of scaffolds of PCL/PVA
nanofibers and various amounts of HA were determined at room temperature using a Zwick/Roell traction machine. We found significant differences in Young’s moduli of elasticity between samples without an HA layer and those with an HA layer ($P = 0.04$). Young’s moduli of elasticity in the case of pure PCL/PVA nanofibers was $1.76 \pm 0.50$ Mpa while that for the samples with an HA layer was $5.40 \pm 3.09$ MPA; the difference was significant (see Figure 4(a)). Significant differences between these two groups were found as well in the case of ultimate strains ($P < 0.001$). Here, the value obtained for pure PCL/PVA scaffolds was $0.23 \pm 0.03$, while for scaffolds with an HA layer the value was $0.09 \pm 0.04$, (see Figure 4(b)). No significant differences were found when analyzing ultimate stresses ($P = 0.26$), although the value for the group with an HA layer, $0.36 \pm 0.27$ MPa, was higher than that for the pure PCL/PVA scaffolds, $0.19 \pm 0.07$ MPA (see Figure 4(c)). The results showed that from the mechanical point of view, a PCL/PVA scaffolds covered by an HA layer is the relevant choice as a scaffold material for other studies and applications in which greater stiffness is required.

3.3. Proliferation and Viability of MSCs Seeded on Scaffolds. To test the scaffolds’ biocompatibility and their ability to stimulate the proliferation and differentiation of MSCs into osteogenic cells, MSCs were seeded on scaffolds and cultivated for 14 days. Their proliferation and viability were determined on days 1, 7, and 14. Cell proliferation was determined by the PicoGreen assay and confocal microscopy (Figure 2). Viability was determined by the widely used MTT assay. Clearly, the deposition of a 400 nm or 800 nm thick HA layer resulted in the highest absorbance, which in turn reflected the best cell viability. However, some publications have reported that the MTT test is affected by cell number [62]. In order to correct for the possible inaccuracy of the MTT assay, we performed the PicoGreen assay as well. PicoGreen is a highly sensitive probe for dsDNA and thus can be used to determine cell numbers. Consequently, we performed both the MTT assay and the PicoGreen assay and correlated both results. This approach enabled the calibration of the absorbance measured in the MTT assay to the cell number determined by PicoGreen. By comparing the results of both assays in this manner, we were able to derive reliable data on cell viability (Figure 2). The results showed that in the control samples (PCL/PVA), cell viability was only slightly elevated. On the other hand, samples coated with HA showed a marked increase in cell viability. The highest viability was detected for samples with a 400 nm or 800 nm thick HA coating.

This conclusion was clearly supported by our confocal microscopy observations. MSC viability on the scaffolds was characterized by BCECF-AM and propidium iodide in the presence of an HA coating (Figures 1(e)–1(h)). The largest cell population was found in the samples with an 800 nm thick HA coating (Figure 1(h)), which is in agreement with the results of the PicoGreen assay.

3.4. Osteogenic Differentiation of MSCs. A positive influence of HA on osteogenesis has been demonstrated in many reports [4, 63, 64]. On the other hand, Wang et al. pointed out the significance of HA structures for proliferation and found higher cell proliferation rates on microwaved HA particles than on nanosized ones [65]. Ribeiro et al. also found improved cell viability and proliferation of osteoblastic
MC3T3-E1 cells on HA particles of larger size [66]. However, there is no clear evidence so far on the effect of HA on differentiation into osteogenic cells. Therefore, the effect of HA coating of nanofibers on the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs was studied using real-time PCR analysis. The expression levels of BS and OC mRNAs, osteogenic markers, were detected on day 7 and 14 for all samples (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). Interestingly, the samples with an 800 nm thick HA coating were characterized by the significantly higher expression of BS and OC genes than the pure PCL/PVA samples. Based on our results, we can hypothesize that HA-modified nanofibers induced cell differentiation and also improved cell viability (Figure 2).

3.5. Release Profile of FITC-Dextran. Besides surface modifications, possibilities exist for drug distribution into the nanofiber core. The encapsulation of different proliferation agents inside the nanofibers can increase their ability to stimulate proliferation and thus further improve the positive effect of nanofiber scaffolds on MSCs proliferation and differentiation. This could be especially important in combination with the already described positive effect of HA deposition on MSCs viability and differentiation. Knowledge of the release profile from HA-coated nanofibers seems to be a key point for the construction of novel drug-delivery systems suitable for bone tissue engineering.

To study the release profile from coaxially electrospun nanofibers with different concentrations of core polymer, FITC-dextran incorporated into the nanofiber core was employed as the monitoring fluorescence probe. The FITC-dextran samples were incubated at room temperature in TBS buffer, which was subsequently replaced with fresh buffer as
Figure 4: The moduli of elasticity, the ultimate strain, and ultimate stress of the group of pure PCL/PVA composite (type I) and the group of the PCL/PVA composite covered by HA layer (type II). There is a significant difference in the moduli of elasticity between these groups (determined by t-test; \( P = 0.04 \)) (a) and also in the ultimate strain (\( P < 0.001 \)) (b), but not in the ultimate stress (\( P = 0.26 \)) (c). Mean is the mean value, SE is the standard error.

described in Section 2. The collected fractions were analyzed by fluorescence spectroscopy, and the cumulative release profile of FITC-dextran was calculated (Figure 5). The half-time of release from coaxial nanofibers was strongly dependent on the presence of a hydrophilic core polymer. Core/shell nanofibers containing FITC-dextran dissolved in 1% PVA showed the highest burst release (79% of FITC-dextran released in 24 h). The half time of release was calculated as \( \tau_r = 18 \) h. The release of FITC/dextran from fibers with 3% PVA showed a slower release; however, an intense burst
release was observed (65% of FITC-dextran released in 24 h). The half-time of release was prolonged to 24 h. Interestingly, samples with 5% PVA as the core polymer showed the most sustained release profile. The burst release was reduced to 52% of FITC-dextran release in 24 h, and the half-time of release was shifted to 54 h. The results clearly show that different concentrations of the water-soluble core significantly affect the release profiles of incorporated substances.

4. Conclusion

Pulsed laser deposition was proven to be a suitable method for HA coating of coaxial PCL/PVA nanofibers. The fibrous morphology of PCL/PVA nanofibers was preserved when the nanofiber scaffold was coated with thin layers of HA (200 nm and 400 nm). Increasing the thickness of HA, however, resulted in a gradual loss of this fibrous character. In addition, the biomechanical properties were improved after HA deposition on PCL/PVA nanofibers as the value of Young’s moduli of elasticity significantly increased after HA deposition.

The proliferation and differentiation of MSCs on HA-coated scaffolds are separate processes. Our HA-coated nanofiber scaffolds clearly displayed a positive effect on the differentiation of MSCs into osteogenic cells but not on cell proliferation. The moderate effect of HA-coated nanofiber scaffolds on cell proliferation observed in our study could be improved, however, by exploiting core/shell nanofibers. Such a delivery system, based on coaxial spinning, can encapsulate proliferation stimulating factors that could be subsequently steadily released. This system seems to be a potentially promising one for the development of artificial bone tissue and bone healing. To conclude, thin-layer hydroxyapatite deposition on a nanofiber surface stimulated mesenchymal stem cell proliferation and their differentiation into osteoblasts. The 800 nm HA layer was demonstrated to be optimal for bone tissue engineering application.
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