The role of conserved residues of chagasin in the inhibition of cysteine peptidases
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Abstract We have evaluated the roles of key amino acids to the action of the natural inhibitor chagasin of papain-family cysteine peptidases. A W93A substitution decreased inhibitor affinity for human cathepsin L 100-fold, while substitutions of T31 resulted in 10–100-fold increases in the $K_i$ for cruzipain of Trypanosoma cruzi. A T31A/T32A double mutant had increased affinity for cathepsin L but not for cruzipain, while the T31-T32 deletion drastically affected inhibition of both human and parasite peptidases. These differential effects reflect the occurrence of direct interactions between chagasin and helix 8 of cathepsin L, interactions that do not occur with cruzipain.
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1. Introduction

Chagasin is a natural inhibitor of Clan CA, Family C1 cysteine peptidases (CP), which was identified in the protozoan Trypanosoma cruzi, the causative agent of human Chagas’ disease [1,2]. It is a single 110-residue polypeptide chain with no sequence similarity to other known groups of CP inhibitors and potently inactivates papain-like enzymes through the formation of 1:1 tight–binding complexes. Chagasin-like inhibitors which occur in other protozoa and bacteria [3] have been designated as ICP (Inhibitor of Cysteine Peptidases), and together comprise the chagasin family (Clan XI – Family I42) [3,4].

Genetic evidence indicates that chagasin itself regulates the activity of cruzipain, the major CP activity of T. cruzi. This conclusion is based on the findings that T. cruzi lines that over-express chagasin have an 80% decrease in active CPs, are less capable to differentiate and are less infective to mammalian cells in vitro [5].

The solution structures of chagasin and of Leishmania mexicana ICP determined by NMR showed that the molecule has an Ig-like fold and three exposed loop regions, which bear evolutionarily conserved residues, located at one end of the molecule [6,7]. These three key loops, L2 (residues 27–33), L4 (residues 59–69) and L6 (residues 91–100) contain, respectively: (i) the NPTTG motif; (ii) a conserved region bearing two hydrophobic residues followed by GXGG; and (iii) the RPW/F motif [6]. Studies of chemical shift perturbations upon chagasin contact with cruzipain identified residues in L2, L4 and L6 as candidates to comprise chagasin’s binding-site to target enzymes [6]. This hypothesis was reinforced by docking of a high resolution crystal structure of chagasin to the high-resolution structure of cruzain (truncated recombinant cruzipain) [8]. The recent determination of the chagasin–falcipain2 [9] and chagasin–cathepsin L [10] complex structures revealed that L2 is in close proximity to the peptidases’ catalytic triad and that the conserved residues T31 and T32 of chagasin mediate the formation of key hydrogen bonds with the peptidases, mainly through water molecules. In contrast, the conserved P30 seems to fulfill a structural role. The conserved residues within L6 appear to make mainly hydrophobic interactions with the peptidases, and could ultimately contribute to high affinity inhibition. These findings raised the question of the relative contributions of the conserved residues to chagasin’s function as an inhibitor, the question that the present study set out to address.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Site-directed mutagenesis

T. cruzi (Dm28c) chagasin, was cloned into the pQE30 expression vector to produce a fusion with a 6X-Histidine tag. Mutants of chagasin were obtained by site-directed mutagenesis using specific oligonucleotides (Table 1). All plasmids were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

2.2. Expression and purification of recombinant chagasin variants

 Overnight cultures of Escherichia coli M15/pRep4 containing the various chagasin plasmids were diluted 20-fold in fresh LB medium containing 50 μg/mL ampicillin and 25 μg/mL kanamycin and grown for 1 h at 37 °C followed by addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and incubation overnight at 16 °C. The cells were lysed by sonication in 50 mM Na$_2$PO$_4$, pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 0014-5793 © 2008 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY license.
10 mM imidazole. The soluble fraction was collected by centrifugation at 10,000 × g and filtered. The sample was applied to a Metal Chelate Ni²⁺ Column (BioCAD, BioSystems). The resin was washed with 50 mM Na₂PO₄, pH 6.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Aliquots of 5 µl of the same buffer and residual enzyme activity were measured by the hydrolysis of 5 µM of carbobenzoxy-phenylalanyl-arginyl-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (Z-Phe-Arg-MCA). Initial velocities were determined by titration with papain, which had been previously titrated with E-64 [11].

### Enzyme inhibition assays

Concentrations of inhibitory chagasin were determined by titration with papain, which had been previously titrated with E-64 [11]. Different volumes of the recombinant chagasin and 100 nM papain solution were incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Enzyme activity was subsequently monitored by the hydrolysis of 5 µM of carbobenzoxy-phenylalanyl-arginyl-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (Z-Phe-Arg-MCA). Initial velocities were determined from linear regression on the substrate hydrolysis curves and used to calculate the percentage of inhibition in relation to controls kept on ice.

### Heat stability

Wild type chagasin and the variants were diluted to 90 µg/ml in 20 mM phosphate buffer, 150 mM NaCl pH 7.2 (PBS) and incubated in a water bath at 70 °C for 20 min or kept on ice. The inhibitors were subsequently incubated at 2 µg/ml with 0.07 nM of papain in 50 mM Na₃PO₄, pH 6.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for 5 min at room temperature. Enzyme activity was subsequently monitored by the hydrolysis of 5 µM of carbobenzoxy-phenylalanyl-arginyl-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (Z-Phe-Arg-MCA). Initial velocities were determined from linear regression on the substrate hydrolysis curves and used to calculate the percentage of inhibition in relation to controls kept on ice.

### Site-directed mutagenesis of chagasin

The pairs of oligonucleotides used to perform site-directed mutagenesis are listed with the respective amino acid substitution. The targeted mutations are marked in bold. T. cruzi Dm28c chagasin gene was used as a template in PCR reactions. The mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

### Table 1

| Mutation | Construct | Oligonucleotide | Sequence |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|
| W93A     | pGL1199   | OL1695          | 5'-TTTATGCACGCGGCTGACAGAAGCTTGGC-3' |
| Y89A     | pGL1118   | CHAGY1          | 5'-ATTCTGGCGATGCGCCCGG-3' |
| Y89S     | pGL1120   | CHAGY3          | 5'-ATTCTCTACTTCATGGCCCGG-3' |
| Y89F     | pGL1119   | CHAGY5          | 5'-ATTCTCTACTTCATGGCCCGG-3' |
| P30A     | pGL1194   | OL1679          | 5'-GCTCTCCAGGAAGTTGGACACACCCGTTGC-3' |
| T31A     | pGL1209   | OL1681          | 5'-CCAGAAATCGCCACCGGTTCG-3' |
| T31S     | pGL1299   | OL1851          | 5'-CCAGAAATCGCCACCGGTTCG-3' |
| T31V     | pGL1298   | OL1849          | 5'-CCAGAAATCGCCACCGGTTCG-3' |
| T31Y     | pGL1210   | OL1683          | 5'-CTTCCACGGAATCTCTAGACGCGGTTCGCTG-3' |
| T32A     | pGL1211   | OL1685          | 5'-GCAATCCACGCGGGTTCGCTG-3' |
| T32S     | pGL1195   | OL1867          | 5'-AGCAATCCACGCGGGTTCGCTG-3' |
| T32E     | pGL1197   | OL1691          | 5'-GAGCGAAATCCACGCGGGTTCGCTG-3' |
| T32Y     | pGL1198   | OL1693          | 5'-GAGCGAAATCCACGCGGGTTCGCTG-3' |
| T32V     | pGL1196   | OL1689          | 5'-GACGGCGAAATCCACGCGGGTTCGCTG-3' |
| T31A/T32A| pGL1258   | OL1777          | 5'-GCCGAAATCCACGCGGGTTCGCTG-3' |
| ΔT31–T32 | pGL1259   | OL1778          | 5'-AGCGTTCCACGGAATCCACGCGGGTTCGCTG-3' |
|          | pGL1260   | OL1779          | 5'-ATACACGCGAAATCCACGCGGGTTCGCTG-3' |

The determination of the equilibrium constants for dissociation (Kᵢ) was performed in RB buffer at 25 ºC, as described for tight inhibitors.

---
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were measured by the hydrolysis of 5 μM Z-Phe-Arg-MCA. The $K_{\text{app}}$ values were determined from the slope of a plot of $[\text{Vi}] / [\text{Vi}]_0 = 1 - a$, against 1/ $a$, where $a = V/V_{\text{oc}}$. $K_i$ constants were calculated from $K_{\text{app}}$ values using the $K_i$ values of 1.5 μM, 2.5 μM, 60 μM, for cruzipain, cathepsin L, and papain, respectively. The $K_i$ values of some of the mutants with cathepsin L were further confirmed by the Dixon method in continuous assays using [5] $< K_M$.

2.5. Homology based modeling

Models of the chagasin–peptidase complexes were built using Modeller 9.1 [14] based on the coordinates of the chagasin–falcipain2 complex (9; PDB 2oul). For cruzain, PDB entries 1aim, 1me4 and 1me3 were aligned with falcipain 2 using Modeller’s structure based alignment algorithm to serve as templates for the complex.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Production of chagasin variants

We used the findings from the studies of chagasin docking to cruzipain and chemical shift perturbation as a base to guide the site-directed mutagenesis [6,8]. The solution structure of chagasin suggested that the spatial arrangement of Loop2 (L2) might be influenced by the conserved Y89 residue, which is present on the β7 strand in close proximity to L2, and protrudes towards S28 [6]. Thus we selected this residue and also residues P30, T31, T32, composing the conserved NPTTG motif (L2), and the conserved W93 (L6) as targets for amino acid replacement in chagasin variants (Fig. 1A). The relative position of the residues selected for mutagenesis at the inhibitor–peptidase interface is shown in Fig. 1B. The relative importance of the side chains of T31 and T32 was investigated by studying variants bearing substitutions to charged, apolar and hydrophobic residues. We also addressed the importance of the length of L2 by the generation of a mutant devoid of residues T31 and T32 (see Table 1). All variants were generated using similar methods and SDS-PAGE of the purified proteins under reducing conditions showed that all variants had mobilities similar to that of chagasin (data not shown).

Chagasin is highly thermo-stable, retaining its inhibitory activity even after boiling [1,5]. We performed temperature stability assays to examine whether the introduced amino acid substitutions caused detrimental effects on the tertiary structure and to stability of chagasin mutants, as previously reported to occur with cystatin C, another CP inhibitor [15]. No major effect on the inhibitory activity of chagasin or most of the variants was detected by treatment at 70 ºC (Fig. 2), indicating that the mutations did not significantly affect the overall structure of the proteins. The T32Y variant had 20% loss of inhibitory activity, suggesting that minor structural/folding alterations had resulted from the mutation introduced. The Y89S and T31Y variants kept on ice were about 40% less active than chagasin, even when tested at large excess over papain, indicating that they had lower affinity for this CP. The

![Image](image-url)
variants Y89A and ΔT31–T32 had no inhibitory activity against papain. Analysis of their NMR spectra revealed that the Y89A mutant was partially or completely unfolded (not shown), it was thus excluded from further investigation. However, the ΔT31–T32 variant remained folded (Fig. 2B), suggesting its lack of inhibitory activity against papain was not due to loss of conformation.

3.2. Enzyme inhibition properties of chagasin variants

The equilibrium constants for dissociation ($K_i$) of the variants and chagasin from complexes with the $T. cruzi$ CP cruzipain and human cathepsin L were determined from continuous assays (Table 2). In order to have an accurate estimation of the amount of active inhibitor present, the preparations were titrated against papain. The $K_i$ value of recombinant His-tagged wild type chagasin with cruzipain and papain were essentially the same as reported for native chagasin purified from $T. cruzi$ [1], so we performed all kinetic assays with His-tagged mutants.

The substitution of Y89, which caps the hydrophobic core of chagasin in the 7th β-strand, to either S or F had no impact in the inhibition of cruzipain. In contrast, Y89S was 60-fold less potent than the wild type protein (designated WT) for the inhibition of papain (WT $K_i = 5 \pm 0.7$ pM; Y89S $K_i = 316 \pm 17$ pM), while the $K_i$ of the Y89F variant was unchanged. These results suggest that the bulky hydrophobic side chain of Y89 is important for chagasin’s inhibitory function against papain, while it is unnecessary for the inhibition of cruzipain.

The crystal structure of chagasin indicates that residues Y89, D99 and E101 make contact with Loop4 (L4), although such contacts are not observed in the peptidase–chagasin complexes [8–10]. In addition, water molecule-mediated hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyl group of Y89 and G66 (L4) and between Y89, S62 and T32 (L2) were observed, suggesting that Y89 contributes to maintaining the relative orientations of L2 and L4 [9,10]. Although the result with Y89F indicates that the hydrogen bonding is dispensable for the maintenance of chagasin’s tertiary structure, the finding that the Y89A variant, but not Y89S, was unfolded suggests that in the absence of a bulky residue at position 89 the H-bonding mediated by serine

Table 2

| Substitution | Region | Cruzipain | Cathepsin L |
|--------------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| Chagasin     | –      | 7.6 ± 0.67| 7.3 ± 1.2   |
| W93A         | L6     | 31 ± 3.5  | 825 ± 79    |
| Y89S         | β7-strand | 25 ± 3.1 | ND          |
| Y89F         | β7-strand | 20 ± 0.8  | ND          |
| P30A         | L2     | 20 ± 1.7  | 45 ± 7.3    |
| T31A         | L2     | 317 ± 26  | 3.9 ± 0.7   |
| T31V         | L2     | 70 ± 4.3  | 9.7 ± 1.1   |
| T31S         | L2     | 11 ± 0.6  | 4.9 ± 0.6   |
| T31Y         | L2     | 747 ± 44  | 6.8 ± 0.9   |
| T32A         | L2     | 16 ± 1.1  | 14 ± 1.8    |
| T32S         | L2     | 20 ± 1.9  | 32 ± 2.2    |
| T32E         | L2     | 11 ± 2.0  | ND          |
| T32Y         | L2     | 16 ± 0.7  | 2.7 ± 0.2   |
| T32V         | L2     | 193 ± 13  | 20 ± 0.8    |
| T31A/T32A    | L2     | 1065 ± 116| 1.8 ± 0.4   |
| ΔT31–T32     | L2     | 5868 ± 29 | 2200 ± 17   |

Equilibrium constants for dissociation ($K_i$) of complexes between cysteine peptidases and chagasin variants. The results are represented as mean values from three independent $K_i$ measurements. The enzymes were assayed as inhibition as described in methods. N.D., not determined. The values are mean of three independent $K_i$ determinations ± S.E. The conditions of hydrolysis: 50 mM Na2HPO4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, pH 6.5, 5% DMSO, 2.5 mM DTT, at 28 °C.
becomes important in preventing the collapse of the tertiary structure.

Interestingly, the W93A mutant inhibited cruzipain in the same range as WT, but displayed 100-fold less affinity for cathepsin L. This suggests that interactions with residues of L6 might be selectively important for chagasin’s inhibition of this host peptidase. Residues R91/P92/W93 (RPW) in L6 form an apparently critical tripartite peptidase recognition motif, as recently described in detail for the interaction with cathepsin L [10]. In the complex, W93 resides within a hydrophobic cluster with the peptidase residues. This causes R91 to lie in extended conformation sandwiched between W93 and F34 (L2), such that R91 hydrogen bonds with N18 of cathepsin L. It seems likely that removal of W93 disturbed the R91–N18 interaction, and that this is important to fix chagasin tightly to cathepsin L.

The functional characterization of a large number of variants bearing substitutions in L2 showed that this domain is generally important for the inhibitory function of chagasin. Interestingly, the relative contribution of the different residues varied with the target enzyme, and the main conclusions that can be drawn from the inhibition assays are as follows.

The mutation P30A caused a sixfold increase in the $K_i$ for cathepsin L and showed little change in affinity for cruzipain. The structure of chagasin revealed that this residue apparently contributes to the conformation of L2 due to packing against Y58 of chagasin’s hydrophobic core. Based on this, the mutation to alanine was expected to result in weaker binding to the peptidases due to looser packing of L2 with the more flexible L2 loop incurring a greater entropic penalty in becoming fixed in the complex. However, greater flexibility of L2 in the complex, permitted by the mutation, might make this change rather neutral resulting in only a small change of affinity, as is observed.

The evolutionarily conserved T31 plays a pivotal role in the inhibition of cruzipain, while it is of relatively low importance for the inhibition of cathepsin L (Table 2). In the chagasin–cathepsin L complex, T31 contacts the peptidase active site cysteine via a water mediated hydrogen bond and helps to define the conformation of L6 such that it cannot be attacked by the peptidase [15]. However, mutations of this residue that remove its bulk (T31S), its side chain hydroxyl (T31V) or both (T31A), or that increase its bulk (T31Y) have virtually no effect on chagasin’s binding to cathepsin L. Our suggested explanation for this is that T31 is effectively partially surface exposed and surrounded by ordered water molecules in both determined peptidase complexes. Thus removal of its sidechain bulk could be compensated by additional water molecules, or a bulkier side chain accommodated by displacing them. In contrast, the removal of the side chain in T31A or the introduction of a bulkier chain in T31Y resulted in a 40–100-fold decrease in affinity for cruzipain, indicating that the contacts made by T31 are more critical for this enzyme (Table 2). Given the similarity between the peptidases in this region, these different effects must mean that either there are additional interactions yet to be realised, or that there are significantly different dynamic/entropic properties of the interface in the two complexes.

The conserved T32 also contributes to the binding, but to a lesser extent. Removal of the side chain in T32A, the increase of its length and addition of negative charge in T32E, or the introduction of a bulky side chain in T32Y, had no effect on the affinity for cruzipain (Table 2). In contrast, removal of the hydroxyl group in T32V caused a significant increase in the $K_i$, T32 has well defined roles satisfying intra-molecular hydrogen bonds and defining the conformation of L2. However, our findings that this residue is highly tolerant of substitution suggest that changes in the side chain can be easily accommodated by involving additional water molecules or displacing them. Again, cruzipain is more sensitive to alterations of T32, but of the substitutions tested only removing the hydrogen bonding potential while maintaining the bulk of the side chain (T32V) had a large effect.

The roles of the conserved threonine residues in L2 were further addressed by the double mutation T31A-T32A. This variant displayed 140-fold decrease in affinity for cruzipain and a subtle decrease in the affinity for papain ($K_i = 27 \pm 1.5$ pM). Interestingly, its affinity for cathepsin L was slightly increased (Table 2). We generated a mutant devoid of T31 and T32 in order to evaluate the importance of the length of L2. This variant lacked inhibitory activity against papain and showed a great reduction in potency of inhibition of cathepsin L (~300-fold) and cruzipain (~700-fold). This suggests that the length of L2 is generally important for inhibitor function, regardless of the target enzyme.

---

**Fig. 3.** Illustration of the differences in the interaction of chagasin with cysteine peptidases. (A) The chagasin–cruzanin interaction model viewed from the “prime” end of the active site coloured as in Fig. 1 with the peptidase “helix 8” region highlighted in red. (B) The same view of the chagasin–cathepsin L complex (PDB:2ndq) illustrating the more extensive interaction surface provided by the peptidase on the “helix 8” lip of the active site.
We also compared the chagasin–cathepsin L complex with a homology model of the chagasin–cruzain complex in an attempt to explain the different behaviour of the variants with the two peptidases (Fig. 3). In the chagasin–cathepin L complex, P92 seems to fix the relative orientations of R91 and W93 in L6 and makes hydrophobic contacts with F145 and L144 of the peptidase (Fig. 3B) [10]. In cruzain, this region adopts a different conformation that protrudes less and is decorated with less hydrophobic residues (Fig. 3A), indicating that cathepin L has more options to maintain binding when the favourable contribution from T31 is removed. Since these options are not available for cruzain, interaction with T31 might be more crucial in maintaining cruzain–chagasin tight complexes.

In contrast, the W93A mutation had a small effect on binding to cruzipain but a much greater effect on interaction with cathepin L. Residue R91 of L6 makes a hydrogen bond with cathepin L N18, while in cruzain, residue 18 is an aspartate which can make an energetically more favourable ionic interaction. A possible explanation for our observation is that cathepin L, lacking the ionic interaction with D18 as in cruzain, is much more dependent on the supporting role of W93.

Collectively, these data indicate that the structural basis for the function of chagasin as a high-affinity inhibitor of papa

like CPs varies according to the target enzyme, with main contributions of T31 located on L2 for the inhibition of the endogenous CP of the parasite and of W93 located on L6 for the inhibition of host cathepsin L. Structural analyses of the complexes of chagasin with additional peptidases will bring further understanding of the differences in its mode of inhibition of target peptidases.
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