Potassium, calcium, and zinc phosphites on white mold control in soybean

Andersom Milech Einhardt¹, Gabriel Martins Falcão Souza¹, Patricia Ricardino Silveira¹, Fabrício Ávila Rodrigues¹,.*

¹. Universidade Federal de Viçosa – Departamento de Fitopatologia – Viçosa (MG), Brazil.

ABSTRACT: White mold, caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, is one the most devastating disease on soybean worldwide. Considering the potential of phosphites to protect plants against different diseases, this study investigated the possibility of using potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and zinc (Zn) phosphites for white mold control in soybean. The contact effect of the phosphites on fungal mycelial growth was evaluated in vitro. In the greenhouse study, plants were inoculated with S. sclerotiorum at 48 h after being sprayed with water (control), K, Ca, and Zn phosphites by using an agar plug (0.8 cm²) containing fungal mycelia. Lesion area of white mold and chlorophyll (Chl) a fluorescence parameters were evaluated on the leaflets of plants at 96 h after inoculation. The Chl a parameters were also evaluated in noninoculated leaflets at the same time. Fungal mycelial growth was abundant in the absence of phosphites, but inhibited in the presence of the three phosphites indicating their direct effect. The lesion area in the leaflets of plants sprayed with K, Ca, and Zn phosphites were 90, 98, and 68% lower, respectively, compared to plants sprayed with water. The functionality of the photosynthetic apparatus was more preserved on the leaflets of plants sprayed with phosphites due to the lower lesions size, especially for the Ca phosphite. In conclusion, the K, Ca, and Zn phosphites were effective in reducing white mold symptoms mainly through a contact effect on the fungal mycelial growth.
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and sporulation of pathogens can be both directly affected by phosphites or the host defense responses (e.g., production of phenolics, phytoalexins, and lignin as well as high activities of chitinase, β-1,3-glucanase, peroxidase, polyphenoloxidase, and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase) activity can be activated by them (Panicker and Gangadharan 1999; Daniel and Guest 2005; Dalio et al. 2014; Novaes et al. 2019; Fagundes-Nacarath et al. 2018).

Considering the lack of information in the literature regarding the physiological changes in soybean plants sprayed with phosphites and infected with *S. sclerotiorum*, the present study aimed to examine the effect of potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and zinc (Zn) phosphites on the photosynthetic performance of the plants challenged or not with *S. sclerotiorum* by examining chlorophyll (Chl) a fluorescence parameters.

For the *in vitro* study, one disk (0.8 cm²) of potato-dextrose-agar (PDA) medium containing mycelia of *S. sclerotiorum* was transferred to the center of a Petri dish containing PDA medium amended with K, Ca, and Zn phosphites at the concentration of 7.5 mL·L⁻¹. The plates were kept in an incubator with the temperature of 25 °C and photoperiod of 12 h. Mycelial growth was measured at 48 and 72 h after deposition of the PDA disks using a digital pachymeter. The *in vitro* study was conducted in a completely randomized design with four treatments [control (water), K phosphite (30% P₂O₅ and 20% K), Ca phosphite (30% P₂O₅ and 7% Ca), and Zn phosphite (40% P₂O₅ and 10% Zn)], six replications, and repeated.

In the greenhouse study, soybean plants (cultivar TMG135, susceptible to *S. sclerotiorum*) were grown in plastic pots containing 2 kg of substrate (Vida Verde, Mogi Mirim, SP, Brazil). Plants at the R5.3 growth stage, kept in a greenhouse (temperature of 28 ± 3 °C, relative humidity of 75% ± 5, and natural radiation), were sprayed with solutions (15 mL per plant) of K, Ca, and Zn phosphites at the concentration of 7.5 mL·L⁻¹ (K Phytogard, Ca Phytogard, and Zn Phytogard; Stoller do Brasil S.A., Cosmópolis, Brazil). The pH of the phosphites solutions was adjusted to 5.5 using HCl 1 M before spray. Plants sprayed with water served as the control treatment. Inoculum of *S. sclerotiorum* was produced according to Novaes et al. (2019). At two days after spray, an agar plug (0.8 cm²) containing fungal mycelia was deposited on the adaxial surface of leaflets (two leaflets per plant and one agar plug per leaflet). After inoculation, plants were kept in a plastic mist growth chamber (temperature of 25 ± 3 °C and relative humidity of 90 ± 5%) inside a greenhouse during the experiments.

The inoculated leaflets from two leaves of each plant per replication of each treatment were collected at 96 h after inoculation (hai), scanned at 600 dpi, and the images obtained were processed using the QUANT software (Fagundes-Nacarath et al. 2018) to determine the values of lesion area. The Chl a fluorescence parameters were obtained on the third leaflet of each plant per replication of each treatment (five leaflets per treatment) at 96 hai by using the Imaging-PAM image fluorometer and the Imaging Win software MAXI version (Heinz Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany) following the procedures described by Fagundes-Nacarath et al. (2018) changing the time of actinic photon irradiance to obtain the steady-state fluorescence yield that was fixed in 5 min.

The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design with five treatments [plants sprayed with water and non-inoculated (control NI), plants sprayed with water and inoculated (I) (control I), plants sprayed with K phosphite and I, plants sprayed with Ca phosphite and I, and plants sprayed with Zn phosphite and I], five replications, and repeated. Each experimental unit consisted of a plastic pot containing four plants. Data from the variables and parameters evaluated were checked for normality and homogeneity of variance, analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS software (Release 8.02 Level 02 M0 for Windows, SAS Institute) to determine if data from these two experiments could be combined (Moore and Dixon 2015), and then submitted to analysis of variance. Means of treatments were compared by F and Tukey tests (p ≤ 0.05) by using the Minitab software v. 18.

Mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum* was abundant at 72 h after incubation in the Petri dishes from the control treatment (Fig. 1a) compared to the dishes containing PDA with the addition of K, Ca, and Zn phosphites (Fig. 1b-d). At 48 h after incubation, fungal mycelial growth was significantly lower by 85, 94, and 94% for Ca, Zn, and K phosphites, respectively, in comparison to the control treatment (Fig. 2). At 72 h after incubation, fungal mycelial growth was significantly lower by 94, 98 e 98% for Ca, Zn, and K phosphites, respectively, in comparison to the control treatment (Fig. 2). The lesions of white mold were of great extension on the leaflets of plants from the control treatment in comparison to what was noticed on the leaflets of plants from the K, Ca, and Zn phosphites (Fig. 3a). The lesion area was reduced by 90, 98, and 68% for the K, Ca, and Zn phosphites, respectively, in comparison to the control treatment (Fig. 3b).
Images of Chl $a$ fluorescence on the leaflets obtained from noninoculated plants did not show any difference among the treatments regarding color patterns for the parameters $F_v/F_m$, $Y(II)$, $Y$(NPQ), and $Y$(NO) (Fig. 4). Alterations in the images of Chl $a$ fluorescence parameters were more drastic on the inoculated leaflets of plants sprayed with water as well on inoculated leaflets of plants sprayed with either K and Zn phosphites in comparison to inoculated leaflets of plants sprayed with the Ca phosphite (Fig. 4). For inoculated leaflets, $F_v/F_m$ was significantly higher by 19, 32, and 7%, respectively, for K, Ca, and Zn phosphites treatments in comparison to the control treatment (Fig. 5A). $Y$(NPQ) was significantly higher by 26 and 18% for Ca and Zn phosphites treatments in comparison to the control treatment (Fig. 5B). For inoculated leaflets, $Y(II)$ was significantly higher by 119 and 215% for K and Ca phosphites treatments, respectively, in comparison to the control treatment (Fig. 5C). Regarding the $Y$(NO), there were significant reductions of 46, 26, and 16% for Ca, K, and Zn phosphites treatments, respectively, in comparison to the control treatment considering the inoculated leaflets (Fig. 5D).

Figure 1. Mycelial growth of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in potato-dextrose-agar (PDA) medium without addition of phosphite (control) (a) and with the addition of potassium phosphite (b), calcium phosphite (c), and zinc phosphite (d) at 72 h after deposition of the PDA disks containing fungal mycelia. The arrows indicate the end of the fungal mycelial growth.

Figure 2. Mycelial growth of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in potato-dextrose-agar (PDA) medium without addition of phosphite (control) and with the addition of 7.5 mL·L$^{-1}$ of potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and zinc (Zn) phosphites. Means for each treatment followed by different letters, at each evaluation time, are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$) according to Tukey’s test. The bars represent the standard error of the means.
Figure 3. Lesions of white mold (a) and lesion area (LA) (b) in the leaflets of soybean plants sprayed with water (control) or with potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and zinc (Zn) phosphites at 96 h after inoculation with *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*.

Means for each treatment followed by different letters are statistically different (*p* ≤ 0.05) according to Tukey's test. The bars represent the standard error of the means.

Figure 4. Images of the chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters maximum photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) (*F*<sub>v</sub>/*F*<sub>m</sub>), effective yield of PSII (*Y*(II)), yield for dissipation by down-regulation energy (*Y*(NPQ)), and yield for other nonphotochemical (non-regulated) losses (*Y*(NO)) on the leaflets of soybean plants submitted to the following treatments: water spray (control) and noninoculation (NI), control and inoculation with *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (I), potassium (K) phosphite spray and I, calcium (Ca) phosphite spray and I, and zinc (Zn) phosphite spray and I.

Data was obtained at 96 h after inoculation with *S. sclerotiorum*.
Based on the in vitro study, it was possible to notice a contact effect of the phosphites on mycelial growth of S. sclerotiorum. Araújo et al. (2010) reported a similar response for the K phosphite that inhibited the mycelial growth of Colletotrichum gloeosporioides. In the greenhouse study, the lowest lesioned leaf area for plants sprayed with phosphites evidenced the effect of their ions on white mold control. Phosphites showed a positive effect in controlling Botrytis spp., C. gloeosporioides, Penicillium spp., and Rhizopus spp. in apple (Araújo et al. 2010; Brackmann et al. 2004), Phytophthora palmivora in papaya (Dianese et al. 2009), and Plasmopara viticola in grape (Pereira et al. 2012). It should be noted that the dose used in the in vitro study was the same used to spray the plants cultivated in the greenhouse. However, fungal mycelia were probably exposed to a lower phosphite concentration on the leaflets of plants from the greenhouse condition in comparison to the in vitro assay. In the greenhouse conditions, phosphites were applied at 48 h before fungal inoculation, and their absorption and mobilization occurred in the plant tissues. Thus, considering that the contact effect becomes much more pronounced in the in vitro condition compared to the greenhouse condition, phosphites may have acted not only by contact but also on the potentiation of host defense responses against S. sclerotiorum infection.

Plants sprayed with Ca phosphate and infected with S. sclerotiorum showed adjustments in light energy dissipation differently from infected plants not receiving phosphate spray. The maintenance of the values of $F_{v}/F_{m}$ and Y(II) in the inoculated plants sprayed with Ca phosphate similarly to what was obtained for noninoculated plants demonstrates that the energy absorbed by the light-harvesting complex of the photosystems remained directed towards the photochemical processes. The great lesion area on the leaflets of inoculated plants resulted in lower Y(NPQ) values, and an increase on Y(NO) values suggesting that the ability of plants to regulate the dissipation of excess energy was negatively affected leading to an increase in the dissipation of energy via an unregulated process. Similar damage to the photosynthetic apparatus caused by S. sclerotiorum has been observed by Yang et al. (2014) in tobacco and by Fagundes-Nacarath et al. (2018) in common bean. The increase in Y(NO) values is associated with a high production of reactive oxygen species, which can lead to an increase in damage to photosystems and other cellular constituents (Klughammer and Schreiber 2008; Huang et al. 2018). Although the K and Zn phosphites did not provide the same magnitude of disease control and preservation of the photosynthetic
process as noticed by Ca phosphite, they were both important to maintain the values of the photosynthetic parameters on infected leaflets similarly to those observed for non-inoculated plants. The effect of the phosphites in reducing the lesioned area in the soybean leaves infected by *S. sclerotiorum* and preserving their photosynthetic apparatus highlight their potential to maintain the high capacity for the synthesis of energetic compounds even in the occurrence of white mold.

In conclusion, the K, Ca, and Zn phosphites were effective in reducing white mold symptoms mainly through a contact effect on fungal mycelial growth. Moreover, the functionality of the photosynthetic apparatus was more preserved on the leaflets of plants sprayed with phosphites due to a reduction in lesions size.
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