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ABSTRACT

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has highlighted the need for disposable biosensors that can detect viruses in infected patients quickly due to fast response and also at a low cost. The present review provides an overview of the applications of disposable biosensors based on metal nanoparticles in enzymatic and non-enzymatic sensors with special reference to glucose and H₂O₂, immunosensors as well as genosensors (DNA biosensors in which the recognized event consists of the hybridization reaction) for point-of-care diagnostics. The disposable biosensors for COVID-19 have also been discussed.

1. Introduction

1.1. Basic principles and applications

A biosensor is a tool that monitors biological or chemical reactions by producing a signal proportional to an analyte’s concentration in a specific reaction. The operational principle of a biosensor is that the biological element interacts with the analyte and produces a physical or chemical change, which can then be sensed by the transducer or the detector producing a signal proportional to the concentration of this analyte (Fig. 1). Leland C. Clark Jr., the ‘father of biosensors’, invented the first ‘real’ biosensor for the detection of oxygen in 1956 and the oxygen electrode discovery bears the name: the ‘Clark electrode’ [1,2].

Biosensors have been employed in various fields such as healthcare, food, and environmental protection. Clear, rapid and inexpensive detection tests for diagnostic applications, monitoring of food and water contamination by bacteria and other pathogens, environmental analysis, among others, are required. Such applications fall under the category of ‘single shot’ analytics tools, i.e., where cost-effective, disposable sensing platforms and miniaturization of detection systems are needed for such applications [3,4].

Progress made in the field of nanomedicine and nanobiotechnology has been amply demonstrated by the recent advances reported in drug delivery systems, biosensing and bio detection as well as the development of new drug delivery systems for chronic diseases such as cancer [5–7]. Nanobiosensors essentially comprise biosensors which are integrated with nanomaterials including metal nanoparticles (MNPs). The desirable properties exhibited by MNPs (like large surface area) enhances biorecognition sites and receptor immobilization, better catalytic efficiency, enhanced electron transfer, biocompatibility, etc., making them ideal candidates for biosensing. Advantages of MNP based sensors include significant signal amplification, higher sensitivity, and greater improvements in the detection and quantification of biomolecules and different ions. The immobilization of biomolecules can be achieved using several different strategies and many materials can be used as label. As a demonstration of this growing research area in nanomaterials [8–10], especially in electrochemical sensors [11–17] and catalysis [18–20], one needs to look no further than the number of research papers, review articles and books which has significantly increased over the past decade. Even though there are 146 critical reviews of disposable biosensors published in the present decade, there are none on the application of disposable biosensors based on metal nanoparticles in the health care sector.
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1.2. The role of metal nanoparticles in different types of biosensors

Nanostructures from metal, metal oxide, carbon nanotubes, graphene, have been extensively investigated for chemiresistive sensing applications. The small size and high surface to volume ratio of nano-materials provides several advantages for sensing when compared to bulk films (Fig. 2). Metal nanoparticles-based sensors are found to exhibit enhanced sensitivity and selectivity. A variety of metals such as Au, Pt, Pd, Ag, Cu, Co, including rare earth metals have been employed for sensing [21,22]. Metal oxide nanowires have been used extensively because of their easy fabrication techniques and chemical stability [23]. Porous nanostructures are also employed in this field due to their high surface area, accessible surface chemistry, and short pathway for mass and electron transfer.

Several methods which are reported for the preparation of metallic nanoparticles can be divided into two categories: bottom-up approach and top-down approach. The top-down approach involves the use of bulk materials which are reduced to nanoparticles by means of physical, chemical, or mechanical processes. (e.g., mechanical energy, high energy lasers, thermal, and lithography). The examples for these processes are: atomization, arc discharge, laser ablation, electron beam evaporation, focused ion beam lithography, vapor condensation, condensation in inert gas, and electrodeposition [24]. The bottom-up method involves the construction of a structure atom-by-atom, molecule-by-molecule, or cluster-by-cluster and is divided into different categories such as: gaseous phase, liquid phase, solid phase, and biological method. The most commonly employed technique is the wet chemical, liquid-phase reduction [24]. MNPs have a unique combination of biocompatibility, large surface area, good conductivity and also act as a “mass enhancer” or carrier of biorecognition systems in piezoelectric biosensors. The physical or electrochemical changes that occur after binding the biomolecular analyte and the immobilized receptor-target on the surface of the MNPs define the role of MNPs and they can act as immobilizing platforms [25] accelerate electron transfer [26] catalyze the reaction of chemiluminescent materials with their substrates [27] amplify changes in mass [28] and enhance refractive index (RI) changes [29]. MNPs can function as “electron wires” in electrochemical biosensors besides immobilizing the bioreceptors, which allow electrons produced in bioreactions to be transported to sensing electrodes or convert other physicochemical changes to measurable signals that are proportional to the analyte concentration [26].

Fig. 2 shows a schematic of a nanoparticle modified electrode and its SEM image [30]. The surface area available in this type of electrode is much higher when compared to that of a planar macroelectrode and when this electrode is coupled with a nanoparticle possessing high catalytic activity, this results in a sensor which is more selective as well as sensitive (Fig. 3).

The above diagram (Fig. 3) shows the initial deposition of a mediator species which has the capacity to catalyze the oxidation or reduction of products from an enzyme reaction onto the surface of macro electrode. It is followed by the adsorption of nanoparticles onto a mediator-electrode surface upon which the enzyme is immobilized [31,32]. The figure also indicates the detection mechanism of the biosensor. The enhanced sensitivity is attributed to the larger surface area available at the nanoparticle immobilized layer and thus increases the concentration of an enzyme on the surface. Metal-based nanoparticles showed good electrical conductivity and can be selected as the suitable mediator for modified
electrodes. There is a significant difference in the cost between the metal macroelectrodes derived from noble metals such as gold, platinum and palladium and manufactured nanoparticles, which is one of the major advantages of MNPs while developing commercial sensors. The synthesis of MNPs can be achieved by the reduction of precursor metal salt in the presence of a capping agent such as phosphines, thiols, polymers, and amines. The characteristics of MNPs can be altered by tailoring the capping agents, which are capable of stabilizing and dispersing the nanoparticles. When functional groups, which are redox active, are catalytic, bioactive, or act as a selective recognition site, are added to the nanoparticles, then the improved tailored nanoparticles can be employed in a variety of applications such as bioelectronics, electronic wiring, and sensor applications.

2. Disposable enzymatic biosensor

A biosensor comprises a bioreceptor (e.g., enzyme) and an effective transducer that can have unique advantages such as sensitivity, portability, quick response user-friendliness and low cost. A broad biosensor community is based on one type of enzyme, viz. Oxidase, e.g. oxidase glucose and oxidase cholesterol.

2.1. Disposable enzymatic glucose biosensor

The determination of glucose is quite important for several fields such as scientific, chemical, biological and the food industry. Several reports are available in the literature which identify methods for analyzing glucose, such as spectrometric, chromatographic, and electrochemical techniques. Electrochemical methods are the ones which have been extensively investigated due to their simple manipulation, strong analytical performance and low cost. The amperometric enzyme electrode, which is based on glucose oxidase, was first reported in 1962. The primary objective of the researchers, working in this area during that period, was to develop a new glucose biosensor with a lower detection level, wider linear scope, and greater selectivity. Mini-glucose biosensors which exhibit a very good response to glucose (a linear calibration from 0 to 15 mM), offer a favorable anti-interference capability even at a relatively high concentration of interfering species, and have been developed. This suggests the applicability of the mini-sensor based on iridium-modified carbon (Ir-C) to advance a single-use, glucose based disposable electrochemical biosensor. A wide linearity (0–15 nM) with a LOD of 28 μM have been achieved in this technique which is much higher when compared to other glucose sensors. The presence of iridium nanoparticles has improved the efficacy of the enzymatic glucose sensors when compared to other nanomaterials employed in these types of sensors.

A wearable disposable sweat-based glucose monitoring system which is combined with a transdermal drug delivery module for feedback has been reported by Lee et al. This non-invasive efficiency method eliminates the painful process of blood collection for glucose monitoring. The sweat collection and sensing process can be improved considerably by using a multilayer patch design and miniaturization of sensors. To minimize the quantum of a sweat sample, the reference and counter electrodes should be kept as close as possible (Fig. 4A). The sample quantity can be reduced to as low as 1 μl (Fig. 4B), which is a 20-fold decrease from that of an earlier report [48]. The working electrode is made up of porous gold and glucose oxidase [Goxdrop-casted on it and then covered by Nafion® and sequentially cross-linked by glutaraldehyde (Fig. 4C). The porous structure of the electrode results in a larger electrochemically active surface area [49,50] and stronger enzyme immobilization [51,52]. The design of the system dictates whether the device is a wearable patch-type or disposable strip type, and it provides a painless and stress-free point-of-care treatment for diabetes mellitus.

A polyethylene terephthalate based gold electrode (PGE) glucose sensor which is easy to operate at low cost with relatively minimum instrumentation when compared to most wearable thin film gold electrode sensors, was reported and this sensor showed a sensitivity of 22.05 μAmM⁻¹ cm⁻² in a linear range of 0.02–1.11 mM with a low detection limit of 2.7 μM (S/N=3) [53]. Nanoparticles of MnO₂-decorated graphene nanoribbon (MnO₂/GNR) composites were prepared by Vukojević et al. by surface modification with drop coating using GOx and Nafion®. Their investigation demonstrated that the synergetic effect of MnO₂ decorated graphene nanoribbons is capable of enhancing both the characteristics of the biosensor surface and the glucose sensor [54]. Glucose can also be detected easily when using the conducting polymer, viz., poly (9,9-di-(2-ethylhexyl)-fluorenyl-2,7-diyl)-end capped with 2,5-diphenyl-1,2,4-oxidiazole was used as a matrix [55]. It was also found that there is a strong adherence between AuNPs and a graphene paper surface where the enzyme is uniformly distributed. The combination of a conjugated polymer and AuNPs accelerates electron transfer between an enzyme and the polymer coated transducer due to the wiring effect. The combined effect of these two systems results in high sensitivity and reproducibility. There was another report by Li et al., which describes a matrix nanofilm with iron oxide and AuNPs in chitosan which acts as a matrix for the immobilization of GOx to create a glucose biosensor. When the composite film was employed in the experiment, it significantly increased the effective electrode surface area for GOx loading [56].

2.2. Disposable enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensors

Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) is obtained along with the other products for a particular enzyme catalyzed reaction which involves an oxidase as indicated in the following equation:

\[
\text{Analyte} + \text{O}_2^{\text{oxid}} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{product}
\]

The detection of H₂O₂ by titration [57], fluorescence [58], chemiluminescence [59], spectrophotometry [60], and electrochemical [61] methods have been reported. The conventional methods are not suitable because of several drawbacks such as low sensitivity, selectivity, long detection time, and complicated instrumentation involved. The

![Fig. 4. Graphical representation of the glucose sensor. (B) Minimum volume of the artificial sweat required for sensing with different sizes of the glucose sensor. (C) SEM images before (left) and after (right) immobilization of the enzyme on the porous gold electrode. Reproduced from ref. [47] with the permission from AAAS.](image)
electrochemical methods that are based on the electron transfer are preferred over the conventional methods owing to the ease of operation and integration.

Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) is a reactive oxygen species (ROS) that is present throughout the body, playing various roles in physiological processes, including cellular signaling, where it regulates cell growth, immune activation, and apoptosis [63–66]. However, at high levels, H₂O₂ can be detrimental to the body, causing cell damage [67], inflammatory disease [68], and cancer [66]. An electrochemical technique based on enzyme biosensors has been widely used for the rapid determination of H₂O₂ with ease, intrinsic selectivity and efficiency [69,70]. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) has been the most widely studied in the production of enzyme-based amperometric biosensors due to its easy availability, high purity, and low cost [71]. A highly stable H₂O₂ biosensor on a screen-printed carbon electrode based on horseradish peroxidase in a chitosan matrix (HRP/AuNP/CHIT) bound with gold nanoparticles exhibited an immediate response to H₂O₂ [72]. The incorporation of AuNPs provided a larger conductive area which resulted in improved electron transfer kinetics and enhanced the reduction current for H₂O₂. A novel disposable H₂O₂ biosensor based on HRP immobilized on the electrode of AuNPs electrodeposited on indium tin oxide (ITO) showed excellent reproducibility, long term selectivity and high stability [73]. A new electrochemical framework for the amperometric sensing of H₂O₂ and glutamate oxidase (GlutOx) based on platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs) modified three-dimensional (3D) gold nanowire arrays (PtNP/NAEs) can detect 1 μM of H₂O₂ [74]. PtNPs exhibits a wide linearity of 0.02–20 nM with a LOD of 1 μM has been achieved in this technique which is much higher when compared to other hydrogen peroxide sensors.

Fig. 5A shows the assembly of a GlutOx/PtNP/NAEs electrode. When H₂O₂ was added repeatedly, a reproducible response was obtained with a sensitivity of 194.6 μM M⁻¹ cm⁻² at 20 °C and a linear range of up to 20 mM (Fig. 5B). The platinum nanoparticles possessed three dimensional surfaces and quite a large surface area to improve the sensitivity and response time. Zhang et al. demonstrated the detection of H₂O₂ in food samples by employing thionine-catalase functionalized AuNPs on graphene oxide (GO). The AuNPs present in this system resulted in increased conductivity, increase in the amount of catalase as well as the improved sensitivity of biosensor [75].

3. Disposable non-enzymatic sensor

New electrochemical glucose sensors, particularly non-enzymatic sensors, have been developed without any biological catalysts. Functionalized nanomaterials serve as catalyst or immobilization tool or as electro-optical labels to increase sensitivity and detection specificity [76–78]. The selection of the right catalyst for direct electrochemical operation is the main step in manufacturing non-enzymatic glucose sensors. Nanomaterials such as noble metals [79], metal alloys [80,81], metal nanoparticles [82], metal nanoparticles coated with carbon nanotubes (CNT) [83], and graphene [84] are of great interest in the manufacturing of non-enzymatic sensors because of their specific physicochemical characteristics.

These nanostructured materials generally have large surface areas that are suited to the non-enzymatic electrochemical sensing of glucose and hydrogen peroxide. However, they do not provide surface areas large enough for the detection of all the electrochemically active species in a blood sample. Sluggish electrochemical reactions, such as glucose oxidation, benefit from larger electrode surfaces in order to approach diffusion control, while redox-active species that undergo fast electron transfer only use the outermost surface, the electrode that is equivalent to its geometrical planar area. Therefore, a nanostructured electrode produces a faradic current that is proportional to the concentration of every electrochemically active species regardless of their electrokinetics.

3.1. Disposable non-enzymatic glucose sensors

The major advantage observed for non-enzymatic glucose sensors is that they sense the oxidized product of the analyte obtained by electrocatalytic oxidation unlike the case of bioenzymes. The characteristics of the enzymeless glucose sensor depend on the electrode material. Noble metals such as silver [85], gold [86], palladium [87], and platinum [88] have been employed to construct these types of sensors. The electro-oxidation of glucose is a slow process and the modification of electrodes by introducing nanostructures [89] and alloys [90,91] as well as increasing the electrode surface [88] enhances their sensitivity [µ A/(cm²·mM)].

In their study on non-enzymatic glucose sensors, Park et al. highlighted the advantages of non-enzymatic sensors such as reliability, usability, reproducibility and non-sensitivity to oxygen [92]. Zengjie Fan et al., developed a non-enzymatic glucose sensor based on copper nanowires (CuNWs), a modified transparent graphene electrode (GTE) as a substitute for an ITO electrode or a glass carbon electrode (GCE) [93]. Copper nanowires were subsequently deposited onto GTE to achieve a CuNWs/GTE hybrid electrode by spin-coating. It was also found that the sensor also displayed a broader linear glucose response over concentrations ranging from 0.005 to 6.0 mM with a sensitivity of 1100 μA/(m·cm²), a low detection limit of 1.6 μM (S/N = 3), and an excellent anti-interference behavior. Dhara et al. reported a one-step synthesis of nanoflowers of CuO and graphene-decorated platinum nanocubes and their use in the amperometric sensing of glucose [94]. In the case of non-enzymatic glucose sensors, a range of metal nanoparticles such as Cu, Pt, Au and Ni have been employed. The efficacy of Pt/CuO LOD is very low (0.01 μM) when it is compared to other non-enzymatic glucose sensors. The sensor demonstrated very high sensitivity (3577 A mM⁻¹ cm⁻²), and glucose oxidation occurred at a low potential (+0.35 V). Tehrani et al. employed direct laser engraved graphene (DEG) decorated with copper nanocubes coated in pulses as a disposable
A non-enzymatic electrochemical glucose sensor using reduced graphene oxide decorated with gold–copper oxide nanoparticles (Au–CuO/rGO) has been reported [96]. The Au–CuO/rGO nanocomposite was dispersed in DMF and deposited on an indigenously produced screen-printed electrode (SPE) working electrode. The Au–CuO/rGO–GO has been reported [97]. The modified electrode showed a fast response time (<1s) and an ultra-low limit of detection (20 nM). Similarly, a disposable electrochemical sensor based on 3D porous nickel nanostructures was employed to detect glucose. The performance of this enzymeless sensor was found to be excellent for the selective analysis of glucose and it also exhibited a very low detection limit employable for monitoring blood glucose [101]. Chelaghmia et al. described disposable PGE modified with nickel hydroxide Ni(OH)2, which preserved 93% of its original response towards glucose even after 28 days and exhibited relatively high selectivity in the presence of interfering species [102]. The incorporation of Ni(OH)2 with PGE showed exceptional stability, a relatively low detection limit and high sensitivity towards glucose, which could be attributed to the low background current, large specific surface area and an electrochemically stable structure.

3.2. Disposable non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensors

Hydrogen peroxide is a major messenger molecule in various redox-dependent cellular signaling transductions [103]. It is also known that H2O2 is abnormally produced in the progress of inflammation by causing oxidative damage [104]. Therefore, sensitive detection of a trace level of H2O2 is of great importance in health inspection and environment protection [105]. The sensing of H2O2 by an electrochemical method has become really important in clinical, food, commercial and environmental settings. This has generated a constant need for simpler and more reliable H2O2 sensors and also manufacturing strategies for these sensors [106]. H2O2 is also generated in many enzyme reactions that require sensing of the participating chemical species [107–109]. The use of peroxidases and heme proteins in the construction of highly sensitive and selective electrochemical H2O2 sensors has been reported [110–112]. However, enzyme-modified electrodes usually suffer from high cost, limited lifetime, inherent instability, and complicated immobilization procedure [113]. Consequently, it is imperative to develop non-enzymatic H2O2 sensors with high sensitivity [114].

In a study by Teker et al., a disposable electrochemical sensor based
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**Fig. 6.** Schematic illustration of a rGO/CuSNFs composite formation and their application in non-enzymatic glucose sensing. Reproduced from ref.99 with the permission from RSC.
on a poly (2-aminophenylbenzimidazole)/gold nanoparticle (P2AB/AuNPs) coated PGE was designed for the electrocatalytic reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ \[115\]. A wide linearity of AuNPs (0.06–100 mM) with a LOD of 0.367 \(\mu\)M have been achieved in this technique which is much higher when compared to other non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensors. The presence of AuNPs has improved the efficacy of the non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensor when compared to other nanomaterials like Ag and Pd-Cu employed in these types of sensors.

The sensor exhibited a linear relationship in the range from 0.06 mM to 100 mM with a limit of detection \(3.67 \times 10^5\) M. This study demonstrated that AuNPs could efficiently catalyze the reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ while increasing the conductivity of the P2AB film. Dhara et al. demonstrated enhanced catalytic activity towards H$_2$O$_2$ using gold nanoparticle decorated reduced graphene oxide (Au/rGO) nanocomposite modified SPE. The enhanced electrochemical performance can be attributed to the synergetic signal amplification effect of two different nanomaterials specifically rGO and Au nanoparticles \[116\]. When the ITO electrode was modified with AuNPs, it was used to detect H$_2$O$_2$. The reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ occurs in the linear concentration range from 0.1 to 15 mM because of the AuNPs which was found to possess very good electrocatalytic activity. The LDL of the disposable paper-based device sensor was found to be 0.08 mM and it showed supremacy over some of the enzymes and other nanomaterial-based sensors such as HRP–HAP/GCE, graphene/pectin–CuNPs/GCE and Pt@Au/EDA/GCE \[117\].

The combination of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) and CNT as the base electrode material was effective at catalyzing the electrochemical reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ \[118\]. The on-site measurement of the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration was made in an alkaline solution at a linear range of 1–700 \(\mu\)M even at a very low concentration of 1 \(\mu\)M by a paper-based enzyme-free sensor in conjunction with a portable readout system. This technique offers a reliable, accurate and cost-effective method for the determination of H$_2$O$_2$. The high catalytic activity is due to the synergetic effect of AgNPs along with CNT. Fig. 7a depicts the reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ which occurs at the modified electrode and the structure of the sensors is given in Fig. 7b & c. In this set-up, a hydrophobic barrier (such as silicone oil) was used to maintain the electrode surface area and it also helped to prevent liquid entry.

Garcia et al. reported palladium-copper/screen printed carbon electrode (PdCu/SPCE) sensors \[119\]. The alloy formation and the dispersion of Pd on the electrode surface resulted in its enhanced catalytic activity \[120\]. The sensitivity of these sensors was found to be 396.7 A mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. The LDL was also very low (0.7 M) at the applied potential of −0.3 V. A summary of metal based disposable sensors and their characteristics is provided in Table 1.

Chronoamperometry is a time-dependent technique where a square-wave potential is applied to the working electrode. The current of the electrode, measured at a function of time, fluctuates according to the diffusion of an analyte from the bulk solution to the sensor surface. Chronoamperometry can therefore be used to measure current–time dependence for the diffusion-controlled process occurring at an electrode.

Amperometry is based on the measurement of the current resulting from the electrochemical oxidation or reduction of an electroactive species. The working electrode of an amperometric biosensor (e.g., noble metal (e.g., gold electrode), screen-printed electrode (SPE), carbon paste electrode (CPE)) is grafted with the biosensing element. When the constant potential is applied, the electroactive species are converted at the electrode surface and the resulting current is measured.

3.3. Nature of enzymatic and non-enzymatic sensors

Enzymatic sensors are extremely sensitive, possess strong binding capacity, can catalyze or inhibit the reactions involving target analytes, exhibit improved direct visualization ability and excellent stability for years. However, they have certain limitations such as enzyme denaturation due to environmental changes (pH, humidity, and temperature), digestion by proteases, expensive preparation, time-consuming purification, high cost, thermo-chemical deformation, poor reproducibility, lack of stability, and tedious enzyme immobilization techniques \[121\]. These disadvantages of enzymatic biosensors, as mentioned, can be adequately defined by nanomaterial assisted electrochemical processes through non-enzymatic sensing.

4. Disposable immunosensors

Yalow and Berson (1959) were the first to establish the principle of the modern immunoassay \[122\]. Antibodies produced in the human body form complexes with corresponding antigens and this principle is used in the immunoassay technique. The most important requirement of immunosensors is a suitable design and preparation of an ideal interface between the biomaterial and the detector. The popularly known radioimmunoassay (RIA) technique is a development from this idea and has been used to investigate the properties of insulin-binding antibodies in human serum, using samples obtained from patients who had been treated with insulin. The basic principle of radioimmunoassay is competitive binding, where a radioactive antigen (tracer-typically $^{125}$I) competes with a non-radioactive antigen for a fixed number of antibody or receptor binding sites. The target antigen is labeled radioactively and bound to its specific antibodies (a limited and known amount of the specific antibody must be added). A sample, for example a blood-serum,
is then added in order to initiate a competitive reaction of the labeled antigens from the preparation, and the unlabeled antigens from the serum-sample, with the specific antibodies. The competition for the antibodies will release a certain amount of labeled antigen. This amount is proportional to the ratio of labeled to unlabeled antigen. A binding curve can then be generated which allows the amount of antigen in the patient's serum to be derived [123]. In 1962, Clark and Lyons independently pioneered the concept of a biosensor [2]. The original method involved immobilizing enzymes on the surface of electrochemical sensors to exploit the selectivity of the enzymes for analytical investigation (Fig. 8) [124]. A fast response and ease of operation are the major advantages of these sensors. A large number of compounds can be detected with very high sensitivity by immunosensors and hence they can be employed in various applications.

SPEs are nowadays extensively used as immunosensors and they are quite useful in point-of-care/on-site monitoring. The miniaturization of sensors is possible because SPEs are mechanically robust electrochemical transducers which facilitate the integration of both reference and working electrodes in the same chip at an affordable price, and these are disposable devices. The problems, such as electrode surface fouling by products obtained from redox processes and unintentional adsorption that can arise by using solid electrode materials (e.g., metal, amalgam, composite electrodes) can be overcome by the disposable nature of these devices. The SPE immunosensors developed in recent years can analyze a number of biomaterials such as enzymes, microorganisms, antigens, biomarkers and receptors [125–131].

Gold nanoparticles seem to be responsible for the improved performance of bioelectrodes. It is already known that gold enhances protein adsorption when compared to carbon. On the other hand, gold nanoparticles formed in situ are probably the most adequate for protein adsorption. The nanogold surface is quite different from that of bulk gold. Nanogold particles have very high surface to volume ratio, high surface energy, highly active and hence they can strongly bind with protein molecules. Manfredi et al. developed a competitive disposable

---

**Table 1**

Comparison of metal nanoparticles (MNPs) based disposable sensors.

| Analyte                  | Electrode Material | Techniques                          | Potential (V) | Linearity (mM) | Sensitivity (μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻²) | Limit of Detection (μM) | Ref |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| **Glucose**              |                    |                                     |               |                |                             |                         |     |
| Enzymatic glucose sensors|                    |                                     |               |                |                             |                         |     |
| Ir-C                     |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry/Amperometry      | 0.2           | 0.15           | –                           | 28                      | 46  |
| PGE                      |                    | Amperometry                         | 0.5           | 0.02–1.11      | 22.05                       | 2.7                     | 53  |
| GOx/NaF/MnO₂/GNR/SPE     |                    | Amperometry                         | 0.5           | 0.1–1.4        | 56.32                       | 0.050                   | 54  |
| GOx/graphene/PFOD/AuNPs  |                    | Amperometry                         | –0.7          | 0.1–1.5        | 7.357                       | 0.081                   | 55  |
| GS-GNPs-Fe₃O₄/GOx       |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry/Amperometry      | –0.4          | 0.003–0.57     | –                           | 1.2                     | 56  |
| **Non-enzymatic glucose sensors** |        |                                     |               |                |                             |                         |     |
| CuNWs/GTE               |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry                  | +0.6          | 0.005–6.0      | 1100                        | 1.6                     | 93  |
| PtCoO/rGO/SPE           |                    | Voltammograms/Amperometry           | +0.6          | 0.005–12       | 3577                        | 0.01                    | 94  |
| CuNix/DLG               |                    | Voltammograms/Cyclic Voltammetry    | +0.55         | 0.025–4.5      | 4532                        | 0.25                    | 95  |
| AuCuO/rGO/SPE           |                    | Voltammograms/Amperometry           | +0.6          | 0.001–12       | 2356                        | 0.1                     | 96  |
| CuNi/PGE               |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry                  | +0.55         | 0.1–6.0        | 1467.5                      | 0.44                    | 97  |
| Cu/Co/rGO/PGE          |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry                  | +0.4          | 0.001–4        | 2400                        | 0.15                    | 98  |
| rGO/CuInSx/rGO/SPCE   |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry                  | +0.4          | 0.001–2        | 53.5 μM/cm²                 | 0.19                    | 99  |
| LSCP/NN                 |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry/Amperometry      | +0.50         | 0.0008–2.50    | (Enzyme free)               | 4.5–15.2                | 100 |
| 3D porous Ni Networks   |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry/Chronoampero-    | +0.50         | 0.0005–4       | 2900                        | 0.07                    | 101 |
| Ni(OH)₂/PGE            |                    | metry                              |               |                |                             |                         |     |
| H₂O₂                    |                    |                                     |               |                |                             |                         |     |
| Enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensors |    |                                     |               |                |                             |                         |     |
| HFB/AnNP/CHT/SPE        |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry/Amperometry      | –0.4          | 0.01–11.3      | 176                         | 0.65                    | 72  |
| AnNP/ITO                |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry                  | 0.167         | 0.008–3.0      | –                           | 2                      | 73  |
| PnP/NAE                 |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry                  | 0.65          | 0.02–20        | 194.6                       | 1.0                    | 74  |
| Non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensors | |                                     |               |                |                             |                         |     |
| P2AB/AnNP/PGE          |                    | Chronoampero-metry/Cyclic Voltammetry | –0.8        | 0.06–100       | 3950                        | 0.367                   | 115 |
| Au-gO/SPE               |                    | Voltammograms/Amperometry           | –0.4          | 0.02–12        | 1238                        | 0.1                    | 116 |
| AnNP/PGE                |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry/Amperometry      | –0.4          | 0.1–15         | –                           | 0.08                    | 117 |
| Ag/MWCNT/               |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry                  | –0.3          | 0.5–11         | 396.7                       | 0.7                    | 119 |
| PtCuO/SPE               |                    | Cyclic Voltammetry                  | –0.3          | 0.5–11         | 396.7                       | 0.7                    | 100 |

---

**Fig. 8.** Schematic representation of electrochemical immunosensor. Reproduced from ref. [124] with the permission from MDPI.
amperometric immunosensor based on gliadin-functionalized carbon/nanogold SPEs for the rapid determination of celiotoxicprolamins [132]. The immune competitive assay achieved strong sensitivity for gliadin in ethanol extracts, with a detection limit and a quantititative limit of 8 and 22 ng mL$^{-1}$, respectively. A novel disposable competitive amperometric determination of p53 protein in urine using CNT/GNP-SPCEs has also been reported [133]. The transcription factor phosphorylated p53 at serine 392 (phospho-p53S392) was found to be the target of a nanomaterial enhanced disposable immunosensor. The immune device achieved a low detection limit of 14 pM in synthetic urine samples.

Non-enzymatic labels derived from mesoporous platinum nanoparticles (M-PtNPs) were employed on a disposable immunosensor array. The preparation of these nanoparticles was achieved by ultrasonication and subsequently they were used to label the antibody (Ab2) which could amplify the signal due to the high conductivity and electrocatalytic activity of PtNPs. When a panel of tumor markers (CA125, CA153 and CEA) linked to breast cancer as model analytes were employed, the data obtained from the experiment indicated large linear ranges of more than four orders of magnitude with detection limits of 0.002 U mL$^{-1}$, 0.001 U ml$^{-1}$ and 7.0 pg mL$^{-1}$ for CA125, CA153 and CEA (carcinomembronic antigen), respectively [134]. Qiuyu Hu et al. documented a novel electrochemiluminescence (ECL) immunosensor obtained by the combination of enzyme-free and label-free strategies, using the catalytic effect of a gold nanoparticle (AuNP) co-reactant accelerator [135]. The concentration of α-fetoprotein (AFP), a protein produced in the liver of a developing fetus which is used as a tumor marker to help detect and diagnose cancers of the liver, testicles, and ovaries, can be determined using this sensor from 0.01 to 100 ng/mL, and at a low limit of 3.4 pg mL$^{-1}$.

A label free electrochemical immunoassay measurement of C-reactive protein (CRP) using a self-assembled monolayer of AuNPs on a SPCE immunosensor has also been reported [136]. SEM images (Fig. 9A and B) of bare SPE and AuNPs modified SPE indicate the porous nature of the bare SPE. The entire electrode was covered by metal nanoparticles (20–60 nm) randomly by electrodeposition. The immobilization of anti-CRP without any loss in its biological activity is possible in this arrangement and the fast electron transfer between SPE and the electrochemical probe was also achieved. When the antibodies are not present, the SAM-AuNPs-SPE (Fig. 9 (C) curve a) exhibited a higher redox current than bare SPE (Fig. 9 (C) curve c). This behavior is attributed to the larger surface area and enhanced electron transfer rates provided by AuNPs. However, in the presence of antibodies, both anti-CRP-SAM-AuNPs-SPE (Fig. 9 (C) curve b) and anti-CRP-SPE (Fig. 9 (C) curve d) exhibited reduced currents than that of their corresponding counterparts [137].

SPCE modified nanohybrid multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) with AuNPs were fabricated by Neves et al. [138]. Cyclic voltammograms obtained for the detection of anti-tTG IgA antibodies using a tTG-modified SPCE as well as a tTG-modified SPCE–MWCNT–AuNPs are shown in Fig. 10. It was found that when the nanomaterials are present, they improved the faradaic/capacitive current ratio. It was also reported that the immobilization of MWCNTs and AuNPs enhanced the capability of the biosensors to act as point-of-care diagnostic due to the ability of the MNPs to adsorb proteins without compromising their bioactivity, and the electrocatalytic properties of the carbon nanotubes themselves [139, 140].

A new disposable electrochemical immunosensor was developed for the detection of gluten-induced celiac disease-specific anti-tissue transglutaminase IgA and IgG auto-antibodies in real patient samples. An open circuit potential strategy using SPCE/PtNPs as an electrochemical label with hydrazine was reported capable of detecting human chorionic gonadotropin hormone (hCG) at 0.28 ng mL$^{-1}$ due to the high electrocatalytic activity of PtNPs [141]. Novel and disposable electrochemical immunosensors based on AuNPs/MWCNTs–chitosan (Chits) composite films were developed by Huang and coauthors for the detection of the carcinoemobryonic antigen (CEA) [142]. AuNPs were synthesized onto the MWCNTs–Chits composite film in situ for antibody (CEA)

![Fig. 9. SEM images of (A) bare screen-printed electrodes (SPE) and (B) AuNPs-SPE. (C) Cyclic voltammetric responses of the AuNPs modified electrode (a) SAM-AuNPs-SPE and (b) anti-CRP-SAM-AuNPs-SPE, and without the AuNPs electrode (c) bare SPE, and (d) anti-CRP-SPE for 1 nM CRP in PBS containing 0.5 mM Fe(CN)$_6^{3-}$ at a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$. Reproduced from ref. [136] with the permission from MDPI.](image-url)
immunomagnetic separation, followed by labeling with AuNPs modified AuNP. Bacteria are captured from the skimmed milk and preconcentrated by applying a magnetic field below the SPCE and have been immobilized (anti CEA/AuNPs/MWCNTs–Chits). This enhanced electrochemical signals as well as the adsorption capacity of the antibody thereby improving sensitivity (0.01 ng mL \(^{-1}\)).

An amperometry technique using a biocompatible composite film composed of AuNPs, porous chitosan and thionine has been reported [143]. The immunosensor was highly sensitive to the carcinoembryonic antibody with a detection limit of 0.08 ng mL \(^{-1}\). There was particularly good adsorption of AuNPs onto the electrode surface due to its opposite charge and also due to the chemisorption of thionine. AuNPs possess a large surface area as well as biocompatibility and fast electron transfer. Afonso et al. employed an electrochemical based magneto-immunosensor using anti-Salmonella magnetic beads (MBs-pSAb) sandwiched with AuNPs modified antibodies (sSAb-AuNPs) for the detection of Salmonella in skim milk [144]. In this approach, the bacteria are captured from the skimmed milk and preconcentrated by immunomagnetic separation, followed by labeling with AuNPs modified with a polyclonal anti-Salmonella antibody. Then, the modified MBs are captured by applying a magnetic field below the SPCE and have been used as transducer for the electro-chemical detection. The developed immunosensor can detect up to 143 Salmonella mL \(^{-1}\) over a rather shorter time (up to1:30 h). Zong et al. developed Ab2–AuNP–HRP bioconjugates based on an immunoassay array for the detection of multiple tumor markers such as α-fetoprotein, carcinoembryonic antigen 125, carbohydrate antigen 153, and the carcinoembryonic antigen [145]. The authors prepared four different tags by binding a high loading ratio of HRP to detection antibodies (Ab2) to AuNPs. It has been clearly demonstrated that the use of AuNP-based multienzymatic amplification leads to a wide linear detection range and a much lower detection limit for biomarkers as compared to the assay with single enzyme tags (Fig. 11).

The early diagnosis of cancer is important, and this is facilitated by non-invasive biomarkers. One such example is an autoantibody produced in samples obtained from colorectal cancer and is inexpensive, rapid, and specific (Fig. 12) [146].

C-reactive protein (CRP) is a protein made by our liver which is sent into the bloodstream in response to inflammation. Boonkaew et al. reported a label-free origami paper-based electrochemical immunoassay for the detection of CRP at 15 ng mL \(^{-1}\). AuNPs were initially electro-deposited onto the graphene/SPCE which was followed by a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of L-cysteine. The diameter of AuNPs was found to be 50–70 nm. The uniform distribution of AuNPs on the electrode surface considerably increased the surface area and affected the number of biomolecule anchoring sites [147]. A summary of metal based disposable immunosensors and their characteristics is provided in Table 2.

5. Disposable genosensors

DNA biosensor (genosensor) technologies have been successfully employed for detecting microbial contamination in food and water, early detection of anomalies caused by genetic disorders, screening of drugs, and tissue matching besides crime investigation by forensic analysis [148–152]. The principle behind this technology is the detection of a target DNA sequence which is achieved by the combination of recognition surface with a single stranded DNA (ssDNA) and an optical sensitive, mass sensitive, or electrochemical transducer [153–157]. Ihalainen et al., reported a disposable paper supported inkjet printed AuNPs recognition architecture which essentially consists of multiple layers of biotinylated SAM and subsequent backfill with 11-mercapto-1-undecanol (MUOH) SAM for the impedimetric detection of DNA hybridization [158]. The result obtained from electrochemical impedance spectroscopy suggests that both recognition architectures have good selectivity, whereas surface plasmon resonance of AuNPs indicated very high unspecific binding for the mixed HSDNA (thiol functionalized DNA probe) probe and MUOH SAM system. There is also another report by Mao et al. which describes the use of AuNPs for the detection of nucleic acid samples in a very short
proteins on the electrode surface which resulted in the specific binding. There was a negligible non-specific protein)-linked avidin-labeled hydrazine (AvH) was adsorbed. They interacted with anti-IgM and/or IgG at their respective test line. The formation of red color at the control line indicates the reaction of HRP-IgG antibodies and a TMB-substrate (Fig. 12).

The bionanoconjugates were treated with HRP-IgG antibodies and a TMB-substrate solution after magnetic purification and separation. The level of antibody concentration against p53 antigen was detected by the naked-eye, UV–vis and an electro-chemical detection technique. Reproduced from ref. [146] with the permission from RSC.

6. Disposable biosensors for COVID-19

Paper-based biosensors have several advantages over chip-based biosensors for point-of-care testing such as being biodegradable, cheap, as well as easy to fabricate and modify. The most commonly used paper strips to detect IgG and IgM for the detection of COVID-19 in blood, serum and plasma samples are based on lateral flow test strips [163,164]. The underlying principle is the formation of a complex obtained by the reaction of antibodies with a gold-COVID-19 antigen when IgG and or IgM are present in the patient sample. The complex thus formed is capable of moving across the nitrocellulose membrane and finally interacts with anti-IgM and/or IgG at their respective test line. The formation of red color at the control line indicates the reaction of gold-rabbit IgG with anti-rabbit IgG. The severity of the infection is ascertained as follows: primary or acute infection is inferred by a positive IgM and a negative IgG or positive at both lines. However, a secondary or later stage of infection is inferred with a positive IgG and with a negative IgM [163,165]. The mechanism is similar to lateral flow strips and the interaction between AuNP-Ab and target increases with a fluidic delay in the thread.

Biosensors which are based on films, carbon and textiles (as a thread, fabric or cloth) have also been employed for detecting infections [166–169]. An oligonucleotide capture monolayer was assembled onto disposable gold nanostructured SPE for the detection of SARS RNA. The enzymatic amplification signal was observed after hybridization which could be measured with voltammetry at a detection limit of 2.5 pmol/L [170]. A recent report indicated a rapid detection (10 min) of the SARS-CoV-2 from the isolated RNA samples by spectrophotometry in which Au NPs capped with thiol-modified DNA antisense oligonucleotides specific for the N-gene (nucleocapsid phosphoprotein) of SARS-CoV-2 was employed [171]. The combination of a plasmonic photothermal effect and localized surface plasmon resonance sensing transduction can be employed in a biosensor for the detection of the virus [172]. The hybridization of the selected sequences from the virus enables the detection of the virus by gold nano-islands functionalized with complementary DNA receptors (detection limit: 0.22 pm).

Metallic nanoparticles such as AgNPs, CuNPs as well as TiO2 NPs have been found to possess antiviral properties, persistence, as well as efficacy at a very low dosage [173]. A facial mask coated with an Ag nanocluster/SiO2 composite was found to inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 virus. It has been accepted that the detection of an IgM antibody in blood during the time period (15 min). This is an inexpensive and sensitive disposable nucleic acid biosensor (DNAB) that is capable of detecting human genomic DNA (1.25 Fm) (Fig. 13) [159]. The number of AuNP-DNA conjugates varied inversely with size of the AuNPs and hence 15 nm diameter AuNPs were employed among four different sizes (15, 50, 100, and 200 nm).

Shiddiky et al. developed a sandwich type electrochemical DNA and protein sensor on SPEs based on the catalytic activity of hydrazine [161]. Poly-5,2',5',2'-terthiophene-3'-carboxylic acid poly (TTCA)/dendrimer (DEN) was loaded on AuNPs onto which the analyte (target protein)-linked aminolabeled hydrazine (Av–Hyd) was adsorbed. They reported that DNA and proteins can be detected as low as 30 FM and 25 fg/ml, respectively. There was a negligible non-specific adsorption of proteins on the electrode surface which resulted in the specific and sensitive detection of the target protein. The disposable nature of the electrode coupled with easy detection and high sensitivity contributes to numerous opportunities for the fabrication of one-time use analytical devices which can be employed for solving forensic issues, detection of the nature of infection, and also to identify genetic mutations. Ingrosso et al. developed genosensors derived from AuNPs in situ and were decorated with rGO nanocomposites with an LOD of 0.7 pM [162]. The nucleic acid biosensing behavior of this genosensor can be attributed to its fast heterogeneous electron transfer kinetics, a concomitant decrease in the electron transfer resistance at the electrode/electrolyte interface, high electroactivity as well as the large surface area of the AuNPs/rGO hybrid material modified SPEs.

### Table 2

| Immunosensor | Linear range | Detection limit | Ref |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----|
| Gladen/C/GNP/SPCE | 22 (ng/ml) | 8 (ng/ml) | 132 |
| p53-modified/CNT/GNP-SPCEs | 20 pM-10 nM | 0.01 ng/ml | 133 |
| M-Pr/Ab2/SPCE/CA125 | – | 0.002U mL-1 | 134 |
| M-Pr/Ab2/SPCE/CA153 | – | 0.001U mL-1 | 134 |
| M-Pr/Ab2/SPCE/CEA | – | 7.0 pg mL-1 | 134 |
| AuNPs/ITO | 0.01–100 ng ml-1 | 3.4 pg mL-1 | 135 |
| Anti CRP-SAM-AuNPs-SPE | 0.4–200 nM | 0.150 nM | 136 |
| Anti-IGA/SPCE/CNT/ AuNPs | – | – | 138 |
| BSA/anti-CEA/GNP-Thi/pChit-modified GCE | 10.0–160 ng ml-1 | 0.08 ng ml-1 | 143 |
| BSA/anti-CEA/GNP-Thi/pChit-modified GCE | 0.05–10 ng ml-1 | 0.28 ng ml-1 | 141 |
| HRP-IgG antibodies and a TMB-substrate | | | |
acute infection period indicates the presence of this virus. An indirect immunochromatography method was developed to achieve diagnosis over a very short time span by a colloidal AuNP based assay which facilitates the on-site detection of an IgM antibody to confirm the presence of the COVID-19 virus (Fig. 14). In this method, an analytical membrane coated with a SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein was employed for sample capture and subsequently an antihuman IgM antibody conjugated with AuNPs was used for detection of the virus. The efficacy of this method was demonstrated by comparing the results with those of a real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The assay can be completed within 15 min and requires only 10–20 µL of serum with a sensitivity and specificity of 100 and 93.3% respectively [174].

7. Conclusion and future perspectives

We have reviewed a comprehensive range of disposable metal nanoparticle-based biosensors for biomedical applications. As highlighted, a lot of progress has been made in the development of disposable electrochemical devices for medical applications and this area of research is still at its infancy because of the hurdles to be overcome in the stabilization of the biological molecules at the platform and the miniaturization of the disposables without compromising selectivity and specificity. The metal nanoparticles, especially gold nanoparticles provide a route for signal transduction due to their unique optoelectronic and physico-chemical properties. By combining or coating the metal nanoparticles with materials, sensing technologies with improved sensing properties can be achieved. Even though they possess several advantages over conventional diagnostic strategies such as portability, disposability and low cost, these sensors are still at the early stage of development. The recently developed advanced nanodiagnostic techniques have laid the foundation for affording easy, rapid, low-cost, and multiplexed identification of biomarkers. Optimization parameters for the sensors is one of the most important criteria for achieving reliability in diagnosis. Although electrochemical biosensors have been shown to be adequate for the high-performance analysis in various field applications, matrix interference affecting the biomolecular interaction of real samples (blood, food, etc.) remains as the most important issue to be tackled in order to improve analytical performance. However, disposable electrochemical sensors based on metal nanoparticles have the potential to be employed in the detection of microbes which play havoc in human life, such as COVID-19.

The future trends and challenges concerning disposable biosensors include: i) development of new classes of disposable devices using “green” materials for sustainable, biodegradable and low-cost production; ii) miniaturization and use with portable devices like handheld analyzers or smartphones; iii) implementation of fully integrated, standalone “use-and-throw instruments” containing the elements for readout (such as disposable displays/LEDs, microcontrollers, opamps or even potentiostats) and a source of electrical power (batteries, solar panels, etc.); vii) Disposable sensors may also be combined with systems capable of delivery of therapeutics. Theranostics can monitor healing of a wound and release drugs on demand when an infection is detected. Future challenges in MNP-based biosensors generally include expansion.
of the range of different biomolecules, which can be sensed or detected by enhancing the sensitivity and providing more rapid and versatile detection methods. However, the most demanding aspect of the progress in this field is to provide more opportunities for translational application of these nanobiosensors.
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