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Abstract. We generalize several comparison results between algebraic, semi-topological and topological $K$-theories to the equivariant case with respect to a finite group.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In his landmark article [Tho85], Thomason establishes an étale Atiyah-Hirzebruch type spectral sequence relating étale cohomology and Bott-inverted algebraic $K$-theory with finite coefficients. When restricted to smooth complex varieties his results say, amongst other things, that there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}^\text{alg}(X; \mathbb{Z}/n)[\beta^{-1}] \cong KU^{-\ast}(X^{\text{an}}; \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

between Bott-inverted algebraic $K$-theory with finite coefficients and topological $K$-theory with finite coefficients.

In the last decade, Friedlander and Walker (see e.g., [FW01], [FW03]) have refined the comparison map $\mathcal{K}^\text{alg}(X) \to \mathcal{K}^\text{top}(X^{\text{an}})$ between the algebraic and the topological $K$-theory of complex varieties by introducing an intermediate theory $\mathcal{K}^\text{sst}(X)$, called semi-topological $K$-theory. One has natural morphisms of spectra

$$\mathcal{K}^\text{alg}(X) \to \mathcal{K}^\text{sst}(X) \to \mathcal{K}^\text{top}(X^{\text{an}})$$

where the left hand one induces a weak equivalence

$$\mathcal{K}^\text{alg}(X; \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong \mathcal{K}^\text{sst}(X; \mathbb{Z}/n)$$
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for smooth quasi-projective $X$. Upon inverting (the unique lift of) the topological Bott element $\beta$, the right hand map induces a weak equivalence

$$K^\text{sst}(X)[\beta^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} K^\text{top}(X^\text{an})$$

for smooth quasi-projective $X$.

There have been several proofs of the result that Bott-inverted semi-topological $K$-theory agrees with topological $K$-theory; the first ones relying on Thomason’s result itself. In [Wal02] Walker introduces a bivariant semi-topological $K$-theory for quasi-projective complex varieties. One of the main results of that article is that the semi-topological $K$-homology of a smooth quasi-projective complex variety is isomorphic to the topological $K$-homology of its underlying complex manifold $X^\text{an}$. Using this result, Walker gives a new proof, in the case of smooth projective complex varieties, that Bott-inverted semi-topological $K$-theory agrees with complex $K$-theory. His proof does not rely on Thomason’s theorem and thus specializes to give a particularly elegant alternate proof of Thomason’s celebrated theorem comparing algebraic and complex $K$-theory with finite coefficients, in case of smooth projective complex varieties.

In the present article, we generalize Walker’s results mentioned above to the equivariant setting with respect to an arbitrary finite group $G$. We begin by introducing a bivariant equivariant semi-topological $K$-theory $K^\text{sst}_G(X,Y)$ for quasi-projective $G$-varieties $X$ and $Y$. To construct and study this bivariant theory, which is constructed as a $G$-spectrum, we rely on the machinery of equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces, established by Shimakawa. An important case is when $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, in which case $K^\text{sst}_G(X,\mathbb{C})$ defines equivariant semi-topological $K$-theory. Similarly the equivariant semi-topological $K$-homology of $Y$ is $K^\text{sst}_G(\mathbb{C},Y)$.

Our first main result is the following generalization of Walker’s comparison theorem, appearing as Theorem 4.1 below.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $Y$ be a smooth quasi-projective $G$-variety. Then there is a natural weak equivalence of $G$-spectra

$$\text{bu}^*_G(S^0, Y^\text{an}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^\text{sst}_G(\mathbb{C},Y).$$

Here $\text{bu}^*_G(S^0, Y^\text{an})$ is the equivariant topological $K$-homology introduced in Section 3.3 and is shown to be equivariantly weakly equivalent to $Y^\text{an} \wedge \text{bu}^*_G$, where $\text{bu}^*_G$ is the connective cover of the $G$-spectrum $KU^*_G$ representing equivariant complex $K$-theory.

The topological Bott element lifts (uniquely) to a “semi-topological Bott element”, $\beta_2 \in K^G_{2, \text{sst}}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ and our second main result is Theorem 6.5 establishing that Bott-inverted equivariant semi-topological $K$-theory and equivariant topological $K$-theory agree.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $X$ be a smooth complex projective $G$-variety. Then there are natural isomorphisms

$$K^G_{\ast, \text{sst}}(X,\mathbb{C})[\beta_2^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} KU^{-\ast}_G(X^\text{an}).$$

This result is proved following the outline of the argument in [Wal02]. Namely, the proof relies on the equivariant version of Walker’s comparison theorem mentioned above, the pairings constructed in Section 3 and a good equivariant theory of fundamental and Thom classes. Regarding this last item, Walker uses the fact
that nonequivariantly connective $K$-theory has Thom classes and satisfies Poincare duality. Here some nontrivial changes need to be made in the equivariant setting since the version of connective equivariant $K$-theory that appears in our work is not complex stable, see the discussion before Lemma 6.9.

As a consequence of the rigidity property for equivariant algebraic $K$-theory established by Yagunov-Østvær [YO09] and Friedlander-Walker’s recognition principle [FW03], we establish in Theorem 7.1 an isomorphism

$$K^G_{\text{alg}}(X; \mathbb{Z}/n) \xrightarrow{\cong} K^G_{\text{sst}}(X; \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

for smooth $X$. Here, in order to have a comparison map between our equivariant algebraic and semi-topological $K$-theories, it is important to have available an equivariant version of the Grayson-Walker theorem concerning geometric models for $K$-theory spectra. This result is proved by Østvær in [Øst12]. As a consequence of the above isomorphism, Theorem 6.5 specializes to give an alternate proof (in the case of smooth projective $G$-varieties) of the equivariant version of Thomason’s theorem [Tho88, Theorem 5.9], comparing Bott-inverted equivariant algebraic $K$-theory and equivariant complex $K$-theory (with finite coefficients).

Due to considerations of length, we have not discussed here several other generalizations and related results which are likely to be true. First, (some version of) Theorem 4.1 should be true for Real semi-topological $K$-homology and real varieties. Second, the results of section 6 probably hold for quasi-projective varieties as well, by replacing the homology theories appearing there with a Borel-Moore type homology theory. Third, Theorem 7.1 probably holds for bivariant algebraic $K$-theory as well, as the base change, normalization and additivity property necessary to establish rigidity seem to extend to the corresponding categories of $G$-modules. Fourth, the ring structure on the equivariant algebraic $K$-theory introduced in section 5 presumably coincides with the previously considered ring structure and similarly for the topological theory. (Note that Proposition 5.9 implies the product on the cohomology theory is the same in positive degrees, see also [Wal02, Remark 6.11].) Finally, in light of the equivariant generalizations of [Wal02] presented here, it would be interesting to know whether the more general results of [Wal04] admit an equivariant generalization as well.

We conclude with an overview of the article.

In section 2, we review some material on equivariant stable homotopy theory, in particular about equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces and equivariant group completion. In Section 3 we introduce and study various models for equivariant bivariant algebraic, semi-topological and topological $K$-theory we need to consider.

In section 4 we establish the equivariant version of Walker’s comparison theorem between equivariant semi-topological and equivariant topological $K$-homology. Section 5 is devoted to a detailed study of pairings and operations (e.g. slant products) for the various equivariant $K$-theories appearing in this article.

In section 6 we establish that Bott-inverted equivariant semi-topological $K$-theory and equivariant topological $K$-theory agree, for smooth projective complex $G$-varieties. In section 7, we show how the semi-topological result implies Thomason’s result, thus giving a new proof in the the equivariant setting for smooth projective complex varieties.

In a companion article [Øst12], Østvær shows that the Grayson-Walker model of algebraic $K$-theory [GW00] allows for an equivariant generalization (which we
use to write down the comparison map between the equivariant algebraic and semi-topological $K$-theories that is used in Section 7). We thank him for helpful discussions regarding this result.

We are grateful to the referee for pointing out an error in our previous proof of Theorem 4.1. Additionally, the first author would like to thank M. Voineagu for several useful conversations on closely related topics.

**Notation:** Unless stated otherwise, $G$ will be a finite group. We write $\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C}$ for the category of quasi-projective complex varieties and $\text{Sm}/\mathbb{C}$ for the full subcategory of smooth quasi-projective complex varieties. For a complex variety $X$, the set of complex points equipped with the Euclidean topology is denoted by $X^{an}$.

### 2. Preliminaries

#### 2.1. Stable equivariant homotopy theory, $\Gamma_G$-spaces, and $W_G$-spaces

We write $\mathcal{G}$ for the category whose objects are compactly generated Hausdorff spaces with $G$-action together with a $G$-invariant base-point and morphisms are based $G$-equivariant maps. Write $\mathcal{T}_G$ for the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{G}$, but morphisms are all based continuous maps, hence $g(f(x)) := gf(g^{-1}x)$ defines a $G$-action on the morphism sets. Both these categories are enriched over topological spaces and $\mathcal{T}_G$ is enriched over $\mathcal{G}$.

In this paper, a $G$-spectrum means an orthogonal $G$-spectrum, unless otherwise specified; we usually omit the adjective orthogonal. If $A$ is an orthogonal $G$-spectrum we also write $A$ for its underlying (pre)-spectrum. We refer to [May96] for background on and a good survey of equivariant stable homotopy theory, [LMSM86] for further details concerning “classical” spectra in the equivariant setting, and [MM02] for equivariant orthogonal spectra. As is customary we write $[X,Y]_G$ for maps in the $G$-equivariant stable homotopy category. For a representation $V$ we write

$$\pi^G_0 X = [S^V, X]_G.$$

In this paper our spectra arise primarily via equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces and $W_G$-spaces, and we now recall some details on these. Let $\mathcal{W}_G$ denote the category of based $G$-spaces that are homeomorphic to finite $G$-CW-complexes and maps are all (base-point preserving) maps. A $W_G$-space is a based, equivariant functor $X : \mathcal{W}_G \to \mathcal{T}_G$ such that $X(0) = \ast$. Write $\mathcal{W}_G^G$ for the category whose objects are the equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces and morphisms are natural transformations.
The second model is as follows. Let $\Gamma_G$ denote a skeletal category of finite $G$-sets with morphisms all pointed set maps. The category $\Gamma_G[\mathcal{T}_G]$ has as objects equivariant functors $X : \Gamma_G \to \mathcal{T}_G$ such that $X(0) = *$ and maps are equivariant natural transformations. A useful observation due to Shimakawa and May \cite{Shi91} is that there is an adjoint pair of functors $i : \Gamma_G[\mathcal{T}_G] \rightleftarrows \Gamma[G^T] : P$

\begin{equation}
\text{(2.1)} \quad i : \Gamma_G[\mathcal{T}_G] \rightleftarrows \Gamma[G^T] : P
\end{equation}

which are an equivalence of categories. Here $i$ is induced by the inclusion functor $i : \Gamma \to \Gamma_G$.

In this paper we will generally work with the objects of $\Gamma[G^T]$ which we refer to simply as equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces. We refer to objects of $\Gamma_G[\mathcal{T}_G]$ as $\Gamma_G$-spaces. The equivalence $P$ is defined as follows. Let $X : \Gamma \to G^T$ be an equivariant $\Gamma$-space and $S$ a finite $G$-set. Write $S$ also for the contravariant functor $\text{Map}(\_ , S)$ which it represents. The value of $PX : \Gamma_G \to \mathcal{T}_G$ on a $G$-set is defined via the left Kan extension $PX(S) = S \otimes_T X$. Alternatively, $PX(S)$ can be described as follows. A $G$-set $S$ corresponds to a group homomorphism $\rho : G \to \Sigma_n$ where $|S| = n$. Given a homomorphism $\rho : G \to \Sigma_n$ one defines a new $G$-action on $X(n)$ via the formula $g \cdot x = X(\rho(g))(gx)$ for $x \in X(n)$. Write $X(n)_\rho$ for this $G$-space. Then $PX(S) = X(n)_\rho$.

**Definition 2.2.**  
1. A $\Gamma_G$-space $X : \Gamma_G \to \mathcal{T}_G$ is said to be special provided $X(S) \to \text{Map}_{ctsS}(S , X(1))$ is a $G$-weak equivalence for any $S$.

2. Say that an equivariant $\Gamma$-space is special if for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and homomorphism $\rho : H \to \Sigma_n$ the map $X(n)_\rho \to (X(1)^n)_\rho$ is an $H$-weak equivalence, where $(X(1)^n)_\rho$ is the $G$-space with action given by $g(x_1, \ldots , x_n) = (gx_{\rho(g)(1)}, \ldots , gx_{\rho(g)(n)})$.

One easily checks that the two notions correspond to each other under the above equivalence.

Segal introduced $\Gamma$-spaces in order to produce homotopy group completions.

**Definition 2.3.**  
1. A map $A \to B$ of homotopy associative, homotopy commutative $H$-spaces is said to be a homotopy group completion provided that

   a) $\pi_0 B$ is an abelian group and the map $\pi_0 A \to \pi_0 B$ is a group completion of the abelian monoid $\pi_0 A$, and

   b) $H_*(A , R) \to H_*(B , R)$ is the localization mapping $H_*(A , R) \to \mathbb{Z}[^* \pi_0 B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_0 A]} H_*(A , R)$,

   for any commutative ring $R$.

2. Say that a $G$-space $A$ is an equivariant homotopy commutative, associative $H$-space if it is a homotopy commutative, associative $H$-space, the $H$-space structure map is equivariant, and the homotopies for associativity and commutativity can be taken to be equivariant. Say that an equivariant $H$-space map $A \to B$ is an equivariant homotopy group completion provided that $A^K \to B^K$ is a homotopy group completion for all subgroups $K \subseteq G$.

Our basic example occurs when $X(-)$ is a special equivariant $\Gamma$-space (in $G$-CW-complexes).
There is a functor from equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces to $G$-spectra generalizing the classical one for the trivial group $G$ as follows. Given an equivariant $\Gamma$-space $X$ we obtain a $\mathcal{W}_G$-functor, which we denote $\widehat{X}$ via

$$\widehat{X}(M) = |B(M, \Gamma_G, PX)|$$

where $M$ is viewed as the functor $\text{Map}(-, M) : \Gamma_G^{op} \to \mathcal{T}_G$ which it represents and $B(-, -, -)$ denotes the two-sided bar construction. Write

$$\Sigma X = \{\widehat{X}(S^0)\}$$

for the spectrum $U\widehat{X}$ associated to the $\mathcal{W}_G$-space $\widehat{X}$.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $X$ be an equivariant $\Gamma$-space.

1. View $X(n) \to X(1)^{\times n}$ as a map of $G \times \Sigma_n$-spaces, where $(g, \sigma)$ acts on $X(n)$ via $(g, \sigma) \cdot x = X(\sigma)(gx)$ and on the $X(1)^{\times n}$ by $(g, \sigma)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (gx_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, gx_{\sigma(n)})$.

   If $X(n) \to X(1)^{\times n}$ is a $G \times \Sigma_n$-equivariant equivalence for all $n$, then $X$ is special.

2. If $X$ is a special equivariant $\Gamma$-space, then $\Sigma X$ is a positive $G - \Omega$-spectra and the map

$$X(1) \simeq \widehat{X}(S^0) \to \Omega \widehat{X}(S^1) = \Omega \Sigma X_1$$

is an equivariant group completion.

**Proof.** See [Shi89].

If $X$ is an equivariant $\Gamma$-space or a $\Gamma_G$-space, $\underline{n}^+ \mapsto X(n)^H$ defines an ordinary $\Gamma$-space. Given a $\Gamma$-space $\mathcal{A}(-)$ write $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}(\underline{1}^+), \mathcal{B} \mathcal{A}(\underline{1}^+), \mathcal{B}^2 \mathcal{A}(\underline{1}^+) \ldots)$ for the associated spectrum as in [Seg74]. The condition in the following guarantees that the simplicial space $n \mapsto X(n)$ is good in the sense of [Seg74].

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $X$ be a special equivariant $\Gamma$-space of the form $X(-) = |X'(-)|$ where $X' : \Gamma \to \text{GsSet}$ and $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup. Then $\pi_n^H(\Sigma X) \cong \pi_n(\mathcal{B}X^H)$.

**Proof.** Since $X$ is special, $\Sigma X$ is a positive-$\Omega$-$G$-spectrum and so by [AM02 Proposition V.3.2] we have that $\pi_n^H(\Sigma X) = \pi_n(\Sigma X^H)$. Recall that if $A$ is an orthogonal $G$-spectrum and $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ has trivial action then $A^H(\mathbb{R}^n) = A(\mathbb{R}^n)^H$ (in terms of underlying pre-spectra: $(A^H)_n = (A_n)^H$). By [Shi89 Proposition 1.2(c)] this map $|B(S^n, \Gamma, X^H)| \to |B(S^n, \Gamma_G, X)|$ is a homotopy equivalence. By [Seg74 Proposition 3.2], $B^n X^H = S^n \otimes_\Gamma X^H$ and because the overcategory $(\Gamma \downarrow S^n)$ is filtered, the map $|B(S^n, \Gamma, X^H)| \to S^n \otimes_\Gamma X^H$ is a weak equivalence. Therefore $\pi_n(\Sigma X)^H = \pi_n(\mathcal{B}(X^H))$.

2.2. **Pairings.** The external product $X \wedge Y$ of two $\mathcal{W}_G$-spaces $X$ and $Y$ is the $\mathcal{W}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G$-space given by $(X \wedge Y)(A, B) = X(A) \wedge Y(B)$. The **smash product** $X \wedge Y$ of $\mathcal{W}_G$-spaces is defined as the left Kan extension of $X \wedge Y$ along the functor $\wedge : \mathcal{W}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G \to \mathcal{W}_G$ given by $(A, B) \mapsto A \wedge B$, see [Blu06]. By the universal property of Kan extension, giving a pairing $X \wedge Y \to Z$ of $\mathcal{W}_G$-spaces is equivalent to giving a map $X \wedge Y \to Z \circ \wedge$ of $(\mathcal{W}_G \times \mathcal{W}_G)$-spaces. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is lax symmetric monoidal, the pairing $X \wedge Y \to Z \circ \wedge$ defines a pairing of associated orthogonal $G$-spectra $U X \wedge U Y \to U Z$.

Let $X$, $Y$ be equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces and $X \wedge Y$ is the equivariant $\Gamma \times \Gamma$-space $(p^+ \wedge q^+ \mapsto X(p^+) \wedge Y(q^+))$. Let $\wedge : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be defined by identifying $p^+ \wedge q^+$.
with $pq$, via the lexicographical ordering. A map of equivariant $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-spaces $X \wedge Y \to Z \circ \wedge$ determines a pairing of associated spectra, as we now explain. The functor $P$ which associates a $\Gamma_G$-space to an equivariant $\Gamma$-space, described in the previous section, can be extended in the evident way to a functor taking equivariant $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-spaces $X \bowtie Y$ to $Z \circ \wedge$. Together with the natural map $P(Z \circ \wedge) \to PZ \circ \wedge$, this implies that a map of equivariant $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-spaces $X \bowtie Y \to Z \circ \wedge$ gives rise to a map $PX \wedge PY \to PZ \circ \wedge$ of $(\Gamma_G \times \Gamma_G)$-spaces. This map in turn gives rise to a map $\hat{X} \wedge \hat{Y} \to \hat{Z} \circ \wedge$ of $(W_G \times W_G)$-spaces and therefore we obtain a pairing $SX \wedge SY \to SZ$.

2.3. Homotopy colimits of $G$-spaces. Homotopy colimits can be viewed as the derived functors of the colimit functor. For our purposes it is important to use a functorial model for the homotopy colimit of a diagram of $G$-simplicial sets or spaces and we take the “standard model”. Explicitly, let $X : D \to C$ be a functor, where $C$ is the category of $G$-simplicial sets or spaces, then $\text{hocolim}_D X = |B(\ast, D, X)|$ where $B(-, -, -)$ denotes the two-sided bar construction. Observe that this formula shows that $(\text{hocolim}_D X)^H = \text{hocolim}_D X^H$ for any subgroup $H \subseteq G$.

2.4. $G$-modules. If $X$ is a $G$-scheme then a coherent $G$-module on $X$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ together with isomorphisms $\phi_g : \mathcal{M} \to g_* \mathcal{M}$ for each $g \in G$ such that $\phi_e = id$ and $\phi_{gh} = h_* \phi_g \phi_h$. If $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ then $R$ has a $G$-action which we write as a left-action. Specifying a coherent $G$-module $\mathcal{M}$ on $X$ is equivalent to specifying an $R$-module $\mathcal{M}$ together with a $G$-action on $\mathcal{M}$ which is compatible with the action on $R$ in the sense that $(g \cdot r)m = g \cdot (r \cdot (g^{-1}m))$ (i.e. $\mathcal{M}$ is a module over the skew-group ring $R^*G$).

3. Bivariant $K$-theories

In this section we introduce the algebraic, semi-topological and topological bivariant $K$-theories with which we work in the paper. All of these are constructed as $G$-spectra. The construction of the algebraic bivariant $K$-theory spectrum as a $G$-spectrum makes use of the equivariant Grayson-Walker theorem proved by Østvær [Øst12]. The bivariant semi-topological equivariant $K$-theory is constructed and studied in 3.2 and its topological counterparts are introduced and studied in 3.3 and 3.4. The comparison map between the semi-topological and topological $K$-theories is constructed and studied in the next section. The material in these sections corresponds mostly to material in Sections 3 and 4 in [Wal02]. While the overall picture of the results presented here corresponds nicely to that in Walker’s paper, there are parts of the picture which differ. Before beginning, we point out some of the global differences of significance between our presentation of this material and the corresponding material there. First, Walker defines the semi-topological bivariant theory via topological spaces of algebraic maps while we use Friedlander-Walker’s simplicial model for this space, see Remark 3.12 below. Second, Walker makes use of $\Gamma$-spaces produced by taking nerves of certain topological categories while we prefer to simply describe our $\Gamma$-spaces as being obtained from a homotopy colimit of a certain diagram, see Remark 3.13.
3.1. Algebraic $K$-theory. In this subsection we work with quasi-projective $G$-varieties over an arbitrary field $k$, where $G$ is a finite group whose order is coprime to $\text{char}(k)$ (though, only $k = \mathbb{C}$ is used in later sections). Write $\mathcal{P}(G; X, Y)$ for the category of coherent $G$-modules on $X \times Y$ which are finite and flat over $X$ (this is the category $\mathcal{P}^{0}(G; X, Y)$ in the notation of [Ost12]). This is an exact category, and we write $\mathcal{K}(G; X, Y)$ for the associated $K$-theory spectrum, as produced by Waldhausen’s construction. We explain how, when specialized to the case of finite groups, the material in [Ost12] yields a $G$-spectrum $\mathcal{K}_G(X, Y)$ with the property that $K_G(X, Y)^H \simeq K(H; X \times \Delta^*_k, Y)$.

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional $G$-representation over $k$. Then $V$ defines a $G$-bundle on $\text{Spec}(k)$ and we write $V$ for this $G$-bundle. For any $G$-variety $Y$ over $k$, the pullback of $V$ via the structure map $Y \to \text{Spec}(k)$ is a $G$-bundle on $Y$ which we denote as $V_Y$.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $X, Y$ be a quasi-projective $G$-schemes over $k$ and $V$ a representation of $G$. Write $\pi_X : X \times Y \to X$ for the projection map. Define the sheaf of sets $G^V_Y(n) : \text{Sch}/k^{\text{op}} \to \text{Set}$ to be the sheaf whose value on $X$ is the collection of quotient objects $[V^n_{X \times Y} \to \mathcal{M}]$ which satisfy the following conditions.

1. The support of $\mathcal{M}$ is finite over $X$ and $(\pi_X)_* \mathcal{M}$ is locally free.
2. The composition $V^n_X \to (\pi_X)_* V^n_{X \times Y} \to (\pi_X)_* \mathcal{M}$ is surjective.

Forgetting the $G$-action, the underlying sheaf $G^V_Y(n)$ is denoted by $G^m_Y(n)$ in [Wal02], where $m = \dim V$. When condition (1) is satisfied we say that $\mathcal{M}$ is finite and flat over $X$. As remarked by Walker, condition (2) could be omitted and one would still obtain the same bivariant theory, see Remark 3.8 and [Ost12, Theorem A.10]. Its inclusion has several advantages, one of which is that it allows the construction of functorial maps, e.g. Lemma 3.2 below, which otherwise would merely be functorial up to homotopy.

Let $Y$ be a $G$-variety over $k$. For each $g \in G$ we have isomorphisms $\phi_g : V^n_Y \to g_* V^n_Y$ such that $\phi_e = \text{id}$ and $\phi_{gh} = h_* \phi_g \phi_h$. For any $G$-variety $X$, we have a natural $G$-action on $G^V_Y(n)(X)$ given by

$$g : [V^n_{X \times Y} \to \mathcal{M}] = [V^n_{X \times Y} \xrightarrow{\phi_g} g_* V^n_{X \times Y} \to g_* \mathcal{M}]$$

This defines a $G$-action on the functor $G^V_Y(n)$. By [Wal02, Lemma 2.2] (which is written for $k = \mathbb{C}$, but it holds verbatim over any field $k$) the functor $G^V_Y(n)$ is represented by a quasi-projective variety which we denote by the same symbol. In fact $G^V_Y(n)$ is an open invariant subscheme of the Quot-scheme $\coprod_{r=0}^n \text{Quot}^r_{V^n_Y/Y/\text{Spec}(k)}$, where $\text{Quot}^r_{V^n_Y/Y/\text{Spec}(k)}$ is the functor which sends $U$ to the set of quotient objects $[V^n_{U \times Y} \to \mathcal{M}]$ such that $\mathcal{M}$ is finite and flat over $U$ and $\pi_* \mathcal{M}$ is locally free of rank $r$ on $U$. The $G$-action on the functor $G^V_Y(n) : \text{Sch}/k^{\text{op}} \to \text{Set}$ defines an action on the representing scheme. Thus for a $G$-scheme $X$, we have an action on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/k}(X, G^V_Y(n))$ defined by the usual formula, $g : f$ is the function $x \mapsto gf(g^{-1}x)$. This action agrees with the previously described action on $G^V_Y(n)(X)$.

We now describe the equivariant $\Gamma$-space which will define the spectrum $K_G(X, Y)$. Write $\mathcal{I}$ for the category whose objects are $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ for each $n \geq 0$ ( $\emptyset$ is the empty set) and morphisms are injective, but not necessarily order-preserving, maps of sets. An injection $j : \underline{m} \hookrightarrow \underline{n}$ determines a map of coherent $G$-modules
functors, \( \text{Lemma 3.2 (Wal02, Lemma 2.3)} \), naturality in the second variable we obtain equivariant Γ-spaces

\[ A \text{sets covariantly natural in the second variable by Lemma 3.2. Using the co variant } G \map \text{map of quasi-projective } I \text{f } \text{varieties } \]

\[ \text{from the notation when the context makes it clear what is meant. Th e } \]

3.1. The required naturality and equivariance statements are clear .

Proof. The map \( f_\ast \) is well-defined as a consequence of condition (2) in Definition 3.1. The required naturality and equivariance statements are clear. \( \square \)

Define the presheaf of \( G \)-simplicial sets \( A_G(-, Y)^V \) by

\[ A_G(X, Y)^V = \text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/k}(X \times \Delta^V_k, G^V_Y(-)). \]

We extend this definition to pairs \((Y, y_0)\) consisting of a quasi-projective \( G \)-variety together with an invariant \( k \)-rational basepoint \( y_0 \in Y \). This is useful later, when \( k = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \), to discuss comparisons to the topological setting. Usually the pointed variety will be \( Y_+ = Y \coprod \text{Spec}(k) \), where we have adjoined a disjoint basepoint. Note that \( G^V_{Y_0}(n) \subseteq G^V_Y(n) \) and this inclusion is functorial in the variable \( n \). Define

\[ G^V_{Y, y_0}(n)(X) = G^V_Y(n)(X)/G^V_{y_0}(n)(X) \]

and

\[ A_G(X, (Y, y_0))^V = \text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/k}(X \times \Delta^V_{k, y_0}, G^V_{(Y, y_0)}(-)). \]

Note that \( A_G(X, (Y_+, *))^V = A_G(X, Y)^V \). Usually we omit the base-point from the notation when the context makes it clear what is meant. The \( G \)-simplicial sets \( A_G(X, Y)^V \) are clearly contravariantly natural in the first variable and are covariantly natural in the second variable by Lemma 3.2. Using the covariant naturality in the second variable we obtain equivariant Γ-spaces

\[ \underline{\mathbb{U}}_+ \mapsto |A_G(X, \underline{\mathbb{U}}_+ \wedge Y_+)^V|. \]
Remark 3.3. More generally the assignment \( S \mapsto \vert \mathcal{A}_G(X, S \wedge Y_+)^V \vert \) for a based finite \( G \)-set \( S \), extends the above assignment to a \( \Gamma_G \)-space. By the equivalence of categories \( (2.1) \) it makes no difference whether we work with the equivariant \( \Gamma \)-space displayed above or with this \( \Gamma_G \)-space.

We are most interested in the case of the regular representation \( V = k[G] \). Recall that an equivariant \( \Gamma \)-space \( \mathcal{A} \) naturally gives rise to a \( G \)-spectrum (see Lemma 2.4) which we denote \( S \mathcal{A} \).

Definition 3.4. Let \( X,Y \) be quasi-projective \( G \)-schemes. Define the \( G \)-spectrum \( K_G(X,Y) \) by

\[
K_G(X,Y) = S[\mathcal{A}_G(X, - \wedge Y_+)^{k[G]}],
\]

the spectrum associated to the equivariant \( \Gamma \)-space \( [\mathcal{A}_G(X, - \wedge Y_+)^{k[G]}] \). Write \( K_{\ast G, \ast}^G(X,Y) = \pi_\ast K_G(X,Y) \) for the homotopy groups of this spectrum. More generally if \( H \subseteq G \) is a subgroup, write \( K_{\ast H, \ast}^H(X,Y) = \pi_\ast K_G(X,Y) \).

Remark 3.5. The spectra \( K_G(X,Y) \) are contravariantly natural in the first variable and are covariantly natural in the second variable by Lemma 3.2.

Later in this section we will see that \( K_{\ast G, \ast}^G(X,Y) = \pi_\ast K(G; X \times \Delta^\bullet_n, Y) \).

We now introduce a slightly different model which is often convenient to work with. Write

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/k}(X, G^V_Y(\infty)) = \colim_n \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/k}(X, G^V_Y(n))
\]

where the colimit is over the standard inclusions \( n \subseteq n+1 \) given by \( i \mapsto i \) and the transition maps are induced by precomposition with the canonical surjections \( V^{n+1} \to V^n \). An element is a quotient object \( [V^\infty_{X \times Y} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{M}] \) where \( V^\infty_{X \times Y} \to \mathcal{M} \) factors as \( V^\infty_{X \times Y} \to V^N_{X \times Y} \to \mathcal{M} \) for some \( N \). If \( j : N \to N \) is an injection we have an induced map given by \( j^* [V^\infty_{X \times Y} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{M}] = [pj^* : V^\infty_{X \times Y} \to \mathcal{M}] \), and similarly for pointed varieties \( (Y, y_0) \).

In what follows it will be convenient to write \( \infty \) for the set \( \mathbb{N} \). Let \( \bar{I} \) be the category whose objects are the finite sets \( \bar{n} \) together with the set \( \infty \) and whose morphisms are injections. Let \( M \subseteq \bar{I} \) be the full subcategory containing the object \( \infty \). The category \( M \) consists of one object \( \infty \) and \( \text{Hom}_M(\infty, \infty) \) is the monoid (under composition) of injective set maps \( \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \). We will abuse notation and also write \( M \) for this monoid.

Proposition 3.6. Let \( X \) and \( (Y, y_0) \) be quasi-projective \( G \)-varieties over \( k \). The natural maps

\[
\text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^\bullet_n, G^V_y(-)) \to \text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^\bullet_k, G^V_y(-))
\]

\[
\leftarrow \text{hocolim}_M \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^\bullet_k, G^V_y(\infty))
\]

are equivariant weak equivalences.

Proof. The proposition could be obtained as a particular case of [Shi00, Proposition 2.2.9] but for the convenience of the reader we sketch the full argument. Write \( F(-) = \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^\bullet_k, G^V_y(-)) \). Because the overcategory \( (I \downarrow \infty) \) is filtered, we have the equivariant weak equivalence \( L_{hK} F(\infty) \to L_K F(\infty) \) where...
$L_K F (-)$ and $L_{hK} (-)$ respectively denote the left Kan extension and the homotopy left Kan extension of $F|_I$ along $I \subseteq \hat{I}$. Moreover, we have that the functors $F, L_K F : \hat{I} \to G \text{Set}$ are equal. Since $\text{holim}_I F \to \text{holim}_I L_{hK} F$ is an equivariant weak equivalence we have that $\text{holim}_I F \to \text{holim}_I F$ is an equivariant weak equivalence as well. Since $M \subseteq \hat{I}$ is right cofinal we have that $\text{holim}_M F (\infty) \to \text{holim}_I F$ is an equivariant weak equivalence as well. $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** The map

$$\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_k, G^Y_V (\infty)) \to \text{holim}_M \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_k, G^Y_V (\infty))$$

is an equivariant weak equivalence.

**Proof.** Write $F (\infty) = \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_k, G^Y_V (\infty))$. It suffices to show that $M$ acts on $F (\infty)$ by equivariant weak equivalences. Indeed, in this case it follows from [Qui73 Lemma p.90] that we have an equivariant homotopy fiber sequence

$$F (\infty) \to \text{holim}_M F (\infty) \to BM.$$

The map $\pi$ is surjective and as shown in [GW00 proof of Lemma 3.1] $BM$ is contractible, from which the result follows.

To see that $M$ acts by equivariant weak equivalences we proceed as follows. Let $\alpha \in M$ be an injection. Then $\alpha$ acts via $\alpha^* : F(\infty) \to F(\infty)$ where the quotient object $\alpha^*[q]$ is given by

$$\alpha^* q(e_i) = \begin{cases} q(e_j) & \text{if } \alpha(j) = i, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin \text{im}(\alpha). \end{cases}$$

Write $t : \Delta^1_k \cong A^1_k$ for any map sent to 0 and 1 under the face maps. An $n$-simplex of $F(\infty) \times \Delta^1$ is a pair $([q] : V^\infty_{X \times \Delta^n_k \times Y} \to M, [\delta] : [n] \to [1])$. Associate to the above pair the quotient $[H] = [H([q], [\delta])]$ defined by

$$H([q], [\delta]) = \begin{cases} q(e_j) & \text{if } \alpha(j) = i, \text{ and} \\ \delta^*(t) \cdot q(e_i) & \text{if } i \notin \text{im}(\delta). \end{cases}$$

Here $t : \Delta^1_k \to A^1_k$ is viewed as a global section of $O_{\Delta^1}$ and $\delta^*(t)$ is thus viewed as a global section of $O_{X \times \Delta^1_k \times Y}$ via pullback. This is easily seen to be a well-defined, equivariant map of simplicial sets and satisfies $H([q], 0) = \alpha^*[q]$ and $H([q], 1) = [q]$ as desired. $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** The bivariant $K$-theories constructed above follow Walker’s constructions in [Wal02] in the nonequivariant setting. In [GW00] Grayson-Walker use a slightly different construction and [Øst12] is written following this version. These are related as follows. Let $V$ be any representation such that $V^\infty$ is a complete universe for $G$ (in particular the regular representation $k[G]$ satisfies this property). The $G$-ind-variety $K^{(n)}_{Y, 0}$ used in [Øst12] is the variety parameterizing $n$-tuples $[p_1 : V^\infty_{X \times Y} \to M_1], \ldots, [p_n : V^\infty_{X \times Y} \to M_n]$ of finite and flat quotient objects which are in “general position” in the sense that $(p_1, \ldots, p_n)^f : V^\infty_{X \times Y} \to \oplus M_i$ is surjective.

An element of $\text{Hom}(X, G^V_{\Delta^n_k \times Y} (\infty))$ is a quotient object $[V^\infty_{X \times (\Delta^n_k \times Y)} \to M]$ which is finite and flat over $X$ and satisfies the additional condition that $V^\infty_{X} \to (\pi_X)_* M$ remains surjective. As remarked by Walker [Wal02 p. 219], giving such a quotient
object naturally yields an $n$-tuple in general position. In other words we have a natural inclusion of $G$-ind-varieties $G^V_{\Delta^+_n} (\infty) \hookrightarrow K^{(n)}_{Y, G}$ identifying $\text{Hom}(X, G^V_{\Delta^+_n} (\infty))$ with $n$-tuples of quotient objects $(\{p_i : V_{X \times Y} \rightarrow M_i\})$ which are general position and satisfy the extra condition that $V_{X \times Y} \twoheadrightarrow (\pi_X)_* M_i$ remains surjective. We thus have natural inclusions of equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces

$$\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^+_n, G^V_{\Delta^+_n} (\infty)) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^+_n, K^{(\cdot)}_{Y, G})$$

which induces an equivalence of the associated $K$-theory spectra by [Ost12 Theorem A.10].

**Proposition 3.9.** For quasi-projective $G$-varieties $X$ and $Y$, the equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces

$$\mathcal{U}_+ \mapsto |A_G(X, \mathcal{U}_+ \wedge Y_+)^V|$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_+ \mapsto |\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^+_n, G^V_{\Delta^+_n \wedge Y_+} (\infty))|$$

are degreewise equivariantly weakly equivalent, and are both special.

**Proof.** Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 imply that the $\Gamma_G$-space $|A_G(X, - \wedge Y_+)^V|$ is equivariantly weakly equivalent to the $\Gamma_G$-space $|\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^+_n, G^V_{\Delta^+_n \wedge Y_+})|$. Therefore it suffices to show that the latter is special. By Lemma 2.4 it suffices to show that

$$\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^+_n, G^V_{\Delta^+_n \wedge Y_+} (\infty)) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^+_n, g^V_{1} (\infty)) \times^n$$

is a $G \times \Sigma_n$-equivalence. This follows by observing that the previous remark allows the argument given in [GW00 Lemma 2.2] to carry over to our setting. That is, the maps defined there are equivariant, preserve the additional surjectivity condition and the explicit homotopy written there is $G \times \Sigma_n$-equivariant and preserves the additional surjectivity condition.

We write $P(G; X, Y)$ for the exact category of coherent $G$-modules on $X \times Y$ which are finite and flat over $X$ and write $K(G; X, Y)$ for the associated $K$-theory spectrum and $K(G; X \times \Delta^+_n, Y)$ for the realization of the simplicial spectrum $d \mapsto K(G; X \times \Delta^+_n, Y)$. Note that when $X$ is smooth and $Y = \text{Spec}(k)$ then $K(G; X) \cong K(G; X \times \Delta^+_n, \text{Spec}(k))$ where $K(G; X)$ is the equivariant algebraic $K$-theory spectrum introduced by Thomason [Thom87].

**Proposition 3.10.** Let $X, Y$ be quasi-projective $G$-varieties and $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup. There are natural isomorphisms

$$K^{H, \Delta^+_n}(X, Y) = \pi_n^H K_G(X, Y) \cong \tilde{\pi}_n K(H; X \times \Delta^+_n, Y).$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.9 we have that $K_G(X, Y)$ is equivariantly weakly equivalent to the $G$-spectrum associated to $|\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^+_n, G^V_{\Delta^+_n \wedge Y_+} (\infty))|$. Therefore by Lemma 2.3 we have $\pi_n^H K_G(X, Y) = \pi_n^H |\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^+_n, G^V_{\Delta^+_n \wedge Y_+} (\infty))|$. Now [Ost12 Theorems A.4 and A.10] show that this last spectrum is naturally weakly equivalent to the spectrum $K(H; X \times \Delta^+_n, Y)$.  

**Remark 3.11.** In particular we have that

$$K^{G, \Delta^+_n}(X, Y) = \text{coker}(i_1^* - i_0^* : K^{G, \text{alg}}(X \times \Delta^+_n, Y) \rightarrow K^{G, \text{alg}}(X, Y)),$$

where $i_k$ is the inclusion at $k \in \Delta^+_n$ and $K^{G, \text{alg}}_0 (X, Y) = \pi_0 K(G; X, Y)$. 

### 3.2. Semi-topological $K$-theory

We now explain how to construct an equivariant version of the bivariant semi-topological $K$-theory introduced by Walker in [Wal02, section 2]. We begin by recalling a construction of Friedlander-Walker.

If $F$ is a presheaf of sets on $Sch/C$ and $T$ is a topological space then $F(T)$ is defined as the left Kan extension of $F$ along the functor $Sch/C \to Top$ given by $X \mapsto X^{an}$. Explicitly $F(T)$ is the filtered colimit

$$F(T) = \lim_{T \to U^{an}} F(U)$$

where the colimit is over continuous maps $T \to U^{an}$ with $U$ a (possibly singular) variety. Applying this in particular to the standard topological simplicial sets $\Delta^n_{top}$ yields a presheaf of simplicial sets $n \mapsto F(\Delta^n_{top})$. More generally $F$ could be a presheaf of simplicial sets or spectra and we obtain a presheaf of bisimplicial sets or simplicial spectra and write $F(\Delta^n_{top})$ for its realization.

Using this construction we obtain the functor $I \to GsSet$,

$$I \mapsto \text{Hom}_{Sch/C}(X \times \Delta^n_{top}, G_Y^V(n)).$$

Define the presheaf of $G$-simplicial sets $A_G^{st}(X, Y)^V$ by

$$A_G^{st}(X, Y)^V = \lim_{I} \text{Hom}_{Sch/C}(X \times \Delta^n_{top}, G_Y^V(-)).$$

**Remark 3.12.** We make use of the simplicial mapping spaces $\text{Hom}(\Delta^n_{top}, G_Y^V(n))$. Applying this in particular to the standard topological simplicial sets $\Delta^n_{top}$ yields a presheaf of simplicial sets $n \mapsto F(\Delta^n_{top})$. More generally $F$ could be a presheaf of simplicial sets or spectra and we obtain a presheaf of bisimplicial sets or simplicial spectra.

**Remark 3.13.** For $G$ trivial, Walker [Wal02, section 3.1] introduces $A_G^{st}(X, Y)^V$ (or rather $A_G^{semi}(X, Y)^V$) as the nerve of a topological category. As noted in Lemma 3.2 of loc. cit., this agrees with the construction as above.

It will also be convenient to extend this definition to pairs $(Y, y_0)$ consisting of a quasi-projective $G$-variety together with an invariant basepoint $y_0 \in Y$. Note that $G_Y^{V}(n) \subseteq G_Y^{V}(n)$ and this inclusion is functorial as well in the variable $n$. Define

$$G_Y^{V}(n)(X) = G_Y^{V}(n)(X)/G_Y^{V}(n)(X)$$

and

$$A_G^{st}(X, (Y, y_0))^V = \lim_{I} \text{Hom}_{Sch/C}(X \times \Delta^n_{top}, G_Y^{V}(y_0)(-)).$$

Note that $A_G^{st}(X, (Y, +,*))^{V} = A_G^{st}(X, Y)^{V}$. Usually we omit the base-point from the notation when the context makes it clear what is meant. The $G$-simplicial sets $A_G^{st}(X, Y)^V$ are clearly contravariantly natural in the first variable and are covariantly natural in the second variable by Lemma 3.2. By the covariant naturality in the second variable we obtain equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces

$$\mathcal{T}_+ \mapsto |A_G^{st}(X, \mathcal{T}_+ \wedge Y_+)|^{V}.$$ 

We are most interested in the case where $V = \mathbb{C}[G]$. Recall that an equivariant $\Gamma$-space $A$ gives rise to a $G$-spectrum (see Lemma 2.4) which we write $S\mathcal{A}$.
Definition 3.14. Let $X$ and $Y$ be quasi-projective $G$-varieties. The bivariant semi-topological $K$-theory spectrum $K^{\text{sst}}_G(X,Y)$ is the $G$-spectrum defined by

$$K^{\text{sst}}_G(X,Y) = \mathbb{S}|A^{\text{sst}}(X, - \wedge Y)^{G[G]}|.$$

The bivariant semi-topological $K$-theory groups defined to be the homotopy groups

$$K^{\text{sst}}_*(X,Y) = \pi_* K^{\text{sst}}_G(X,Y)$$

of this spectrum.

Remark 3.15. The spectra $K^{\text{sst}}_G(X,Y)$ are evidently contravariantly natural in the first variable and are covariantly natural in the second variable by Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.16. For any quasi-projective $G$-varieties $X,Y$ we have a natural equivariant weak equivalence

$$K^{\text{sst}}_G(X,Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_G(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, Y)$$

Proof. Let $V$ denote the regular representation. Note that if $A = \text{colim}_i A_i$ is a filtered colimit of equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces then $\text{colim}_i \mathbb{S}A_i \cong \mathbb{S}A$. Therefore we have

$$K_G(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, Y) \cong \mathbb{S}|A_G(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, Y)^V|$$

$$= \mathbb{S}\text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, G^Y_{-\wedge Y}(-))|.$$

The projection $\Delta^n_{\text{top}} \to \Delta_0^n$ induces a natural map $A_G^{\text{sst}}(X,Y)^V \to A_G(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, Y)^V$ and allowing $n$ to vary yields a natural map of bisimplicial sets. Taking realizations and associated spectra yields the map

$$\mathbb{S}|A^{\text{sst}}_G(X,Y)^V| \to \mathbb{S}|A_G(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, Y)^V|$$

and it remains to show that this is an equivariant weak equivalence. Note that $A_G(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, Y)^V$ is a special equivariant $\Gamma$-space, being a filtered colimit of such. By Lemma 2.5 it suffices to show that

$$B|\text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, G^Y)^H| \to B|\text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, G^Y)^H|$$

is an equivalence of spectra for any subgroup $H \subseteq G$. The map of associated infinite loop spaces

$$\Omega B|\text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, G^Y)^H| \to \Omega B|\text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, G^Y)^H|$$

is a homology equivalence by [FW01] Lemma 1.2] and the result follows. \hfill \Box

The above together with the map induced by the projection $\Delta^n_{\text{top}} \to \Delta_0^n$ give us the natural transformations

(3.17) $$K_G(X,Y) \to K_G(X \times \Delta^n_{\text{top}}, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K^{\text{sst}}_G(X,Y).$$

Write

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/C}(X, G^Y_{\infty}) = \text{colim}_n \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/C}(X, G^Y_{n}(n))$$

where the colimit is over the standard inclusions $\nu \subseteq \nu+1$ given by $i \mapsto i$ and the transition maps are induced by precomposition with the canonical surjections $\nu+1 \to \nu$. Thus an element is a quotient object $[\mathcal{V}_{X \times Y}^\infty \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{M}]$ where $\mathcal{V}_{X \times Y}^\infty \to \mathcal{M}$ factors as $\mathcal{V}_{X \times Y}^\infty \to \mathcal{V}_{X \times Y}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{M}$ for some $n$. If $j : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is an injection we have an induced map given by $j^* [\mathcal{V}_{X \times Y}^\infty \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{M}] = [p j^* : \mathcal{V}_{X \times Y}^\infty \to \mathcal{M}]$. Similarly for pointed varieties $(Y, y_0)$. 
Remark 3.18. We will see in Corollary 3.21 below that \( \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_\text{top}, G^Y_{\text{top}}(\infty)) \) gives rise to a \( G \)-spectrum that is weakly equivalent to \( K^G_{\text{sst}}(X, Y) \) which was obtained from hocolim\( \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_\text{top}, G^Y_{\text{top}}(-)) \). Because the first model is defined using a filtered colimit it is in many ways easier to work with and indeed we rely on this model to deduce many properties of our bivariant \( K \)-theory spectra. However the second model is better suited for the pairings and operations appearing in Section 5 which is crucial for this paper and so it is crucial to have both models available. In fact, there is a third model, namely the one provided by Lemma 3.16 which allows for a convenient comparison map to equivariant algebraic \( K \)-theory as used in section 7.

Recall that we write \( \tilde{\mathcal{I}} \) for the category whose objects are \( \mathbb{N} \) and the set \( \mathbb{N} \), which we denote by \( \infty \), and whose morphisms are injections. Let \( M \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{I}} \) be the full subcategory containing the object \( \infty \). We also write \( M \) for \( \text{Hom}_M(\infty, \infty) \), which is the monoid (under composition) of injective maps \( \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \).

**Proposition 3.19.** Let \( X \) and \((Y, y_0)\) be quasi-projective complex \( G \)-varieties. The natural maps

\[
\text{hocolim}_i \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_\text{top}, G^Y_{\text{top}}(-)) \to \text{hocolim}_i \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_\text{top}, G^Y_{\text{top}}(-))
\]

\[
\leftarrow \text{hocolim}_M \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_\text{top}, G^Y_{\text{top}}(\infty)) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_\text{top}, G^Y_{\text{top}}(\infty))
\]

are equivariant weak equivalences.

**Proof.** The proof that the first two arrows are weak equivalences is exactly as for Proposition 3.16. The proof of the last one is a variant of the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.17. That is, it suffices to show that \( M \) acts by equivariant weak equivalences on \( \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_\text{top}, G^Y_{\text{top}}(\infty)) \). We write \( F(\infty) = \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_\text{top}, G^Y_{\text{top}}(\infty)) \).

Let \( \alpha \in M \) be an injection. Then \( \alpha \) acts via \( \alpha^* : F(\infty) \to F(\infty) \) where the quotient object \( \alpha^*[q] \) is given by

\[
\alpha^*[q]_i = \begin{cases} 
q(e_j) & \text{if } \alpha(j) = i, \text{ and} \\
0 & \text{if } i \notin \text{im}(\alpha).
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( g : \Delta^1_{\text{top}} \to (\mathbb{A}^1)^{an} \) be a map which sends 0 to 0 and 1 to 1. We define a simplicial homotopy \( H : F(\infty) \times \Delta^1 \to F(\infty) \) between \( \alpha^* \) and \( \text{id} \) as follows. An \( n \)-simplex of \( F(\infty) \times \Delta^1 \) is represented by a triple

\[
(f : \Delta^n_{\text{top}} \to U^{an}, [g : \mathcal{O}^{\infty}_{X \times_U Y} \to \mathcal{M}], \delta : [n] \to [1]).
\]

We denote by \( \mathcal{M}' \) the pullback of \( \mathcal{M} \) to \( X \times U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times Y \) and associate to the above triple the element \( (f \times \delta^*(g) : \Delta^n_{\text{top}} \to (U \times \mathbb{A}^1)^{an}, H) \in F(\infty) \) where

\[
H(f, [g], \delta) = \begin{cases} 
q(e_j) & \text{if } \alpha(j) = i, \text{ and} \\
t \cdot q(e_i) & \text{if } i \notin \text{im}(\alpha).
\end{cases}
\]

Here \( t = \text{id} : \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1 \) is viewed as a global section of \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \) and hence of \( \mathcal{O}_{X \times U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times Y} \) via pullback. This is easily seen to be a well-defined, equivariant map of simplicial sets and satisfies \( H([g], 0) = \alpha^*[q] \) and \( H([q], 1) = [q] \) as desired. \( \square \)
Proposition 3.20. For quasi-projective $G$-varieties $X$ and $Y$ the equivariant $\Gamma$-spaces

$$\nu_+ \mapsto \mu_0^{\Gamma}(X, \nu_+ \land Y)^V$$

and

$$\nu_+ \mapsto |\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta_{\text{top}}^\bullet, G^V_{\nu_+ \land Y}(\infty))|$$

are degreewise equivariantly weakly equivalent, and they are both special.

Proof. Using Proposition 3.19, the proof is similar to the one of Proposition 3.9. □

Corollary 3.21. For quasi-projective $G$-varieties $X$ and $Y$ there are equivariant weak equivalences of $G$-spectra

$$K^{\Gamma}_{G}^{\text{sst}}(X, Y) \simeq S[H\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta_{\text{top}}^\bullet, G^V_{\nu_+ \land Y}(\infty))]|$$

and hence equivariant weak equivalences of associated infinite loop spaces

$$\Omega^\infty K^{\Gamma}_{G}^{\text{sst}}(X, Y) \simeq \Omega B[H\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta_{\text{top}}^\bullet, G^V_{\nu_+ \land Y}(\infty))]|.$$ 

Proof. The first weak equivalence follows from Proposition 3.20 and the second one from Lemma 2.4. □

We finish this section by showing that the group $K_0^{G, \text{sst}}(X, Y)$ has the expected description in terms of certain coherent $G$-modules modulo algebraic equivalence. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ be two coherent $G$-modules on $X \times Y$ which are finite and flat over $X$. We say that $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are algebraically equivalent if there is a smooth, connected curve $C$ (without $G$-action), two closed points $c_1, c_2 \in C$, a coherent $G$-module $\mathcal{N}$ on $X \times C \times Y$ which is finite and flat over $X \times C$ such that $\iota_k^* \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}_k$, where $\iota_k$ is inclusion $X \times \{c_k\} \times Y \subseteq X \times C \times Y$. Write $\sim_{\text{alg}}$ for this equivalence relation. We write $K_0^{G, \text{alg}}(X, Y)$ for the algebraic $K$-theory of the exact category $\mathcal{P}_G(X, Y)$ of coherent $G$-modules on $X \times Y$ which are finite and flat over $X$. We have an isomorphism

$$K_0^{G, \text{sst}}(X, Y) \cong K_0^{G, \text{alg}}(X, Y)/\sim_{\text{alg}},$$

which is contravariantly natural in the first variable and covariantly in the second.

Proof. In this proof we let $V = \mathbb{C}[G]$. It follows from Corollary 3.21 that

$$K_0^{G, \text{sst}}(X, Y) = [\pi_0 H \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta_{\text{top}}^\bullet, G^V_Y(\infty))^G]^{\Gamma}.$$ 

Using Lemma 3.23 below together with the same argument as in [FW02b] Proposition 2.10 shows that $\pi_0 H \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta_{\text{top}}^\bullet, G^V_Y(\infty))^G$ consists of equivalence classes of coherent $G$-modules $\mathcal{M}$ on $X \times Y$ which are finite and flat over $X$ and admit a surjection of the form $\mathcal{V}^\mathcal{N}_{X \times Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ (the equivalence class of $\mathcal{M}$ is independent of the surjection). Here $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are equivalent if there is a smooth, connected curve $C$, two closed points $c_1, c_2 \in C$, and a coherent $G$-module $\mathcal{N}$ on $X \times X \times Y$ such that $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{N}|_{\{c_i\}}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is finite and flat over $X \times C$ and it admits a surjection of the form $\mathcal{V}^\mathcal{K}_{X \times C \times Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. The monoid structure on $\pi_0 H \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta_{\text{top}}^\bullet, G^V_Y(\infty))^G$ induced by the $H$-space structure is given by direct sum of modules. We thus have a natural map

$$K_0^{G, \text{sst}}(X, Y) = [\pi_0 H \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta_{\text{top}}^\bullet, G^V_Y(\infty))^G]^{\Gamma} \rightarrow K_0^{G}(X, Y)/\sim_{\text{alg}}.$$ 

The argument given in [FW02b] Proposition 2.12 applies here to show that this map is an isomorphism. The claim regarding the functorialities is easily verified. □
Lemma 3.23. Let $F$ be a presheaf of sets on Sch/\C. Then $\pi_0 F(\Delta^1_{top}) = F(\C)/\sim$ where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by $x_1 \sim x_2$ if there is a smooth connected curve $C$, an element $z \in F(C)$, two closed points $c_0, c_1 \in C$ such that $x_k = c_k \circ z$ where $\epsilon_k : c_i \to C$ is the inclusion.

Proof. Write $t_0, t_1 : \Delta^0 \to \Delta^1$ for the inclusions at 0 and 1. If $x_1 \sim x_2$ then they are in the same path component of $\pi_0 F(\Delta^1_{top})$. Suppose that $x_1$ and $x_2$ lie in the same path component. This means that there is an $(f : \Delta^1_{top} \to \mathcal{T}, y \in F(T))$ such that $\iota_k y = x_i$ for some $T$. Since we may assume that $T$ is connected, there is a sequence smooth connected curves $C_0, \ldots, C_r$, maps $\gamma_i : C_i \to T$, and points $c_i, d_i \in C_i$ such that $g_i(d_i) = g_{i+1}(c_{i+1})$ and $g_1(c_1) = f(0)$ and $g_r(d_r) = f(1)$, from which the lemma follows. 

3.3. Topological K-theory. We now introduce the model for bivariant equivariant K-theory with which we work. We restrict attention to $G$-CW-complexes. For a $G$-CW complex $T$ write $C(T)$ for the nonunital topological ring of all continuous complex valued functions on $T$. When $(T, t_0)$ is a based $G$-CW complex $T$ write $C_0(T)$ for the nonunital topological ring of continuous complex valued functions on $T$ which vanish at the base-point. Note when $T$ is unbased, that $C(T) = C_0(T)$. 

Complex conjugation defines a natural involution on $C_0(T)$. When $T$ is compact this makes $C_0(T)$ into a $\ast$-algebra. Additionally the $G$-action on $T$ induces a $G$-action on $C_0(T)$, where $G$ acts by continuous $\C$-algebra homomorphisms.

If $V$ is a unitary complex $G$-representation then $\text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n})$ is also a $\ast$-algebra and $G$ acts on it via $\ast$-algebra homomorphisms. For a pointed $G$-CW-complex $T$ let $\text{Hom}_\ast(C_0(T), \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n}))$ be the space of involution-preserving, continuous, $\C$-algebra homomorphisms ($\ast$-map for short). We write

$$F^\vee_T(n) = \text{Hom}_\ast(C_0(T), \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n}))$$

for this space. We have that $\text{Map}(W, \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n})) \cong C_0(W) \otimes \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n})$, which together with adjointness gives

$$\text{Map}(W, F^\vee_T(n)) \cong \text{Hom}_\ast(C_0(T), C_0(W) \otimes \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n})).$$

The space $F^\vee_T(n) = \text{Hom}_\ast(C_0(T), \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n}))$ has a $G$-action given by the usual formula. A $\ast$-map $f : C_0(T) \to \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n})$ factors as a composition of $\ast$-maps $C_0(T) \to C_0(\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\}) \to \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n})$ where $\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} \subseteq T$ is a finite set of points. Thus a point of $F^\vee_T(n)$ is identified with a finite (unordered) list of points $t_1, \ldots, t_k$ of $T$ together with a list of pairwise orthogonal subspaces $W_1, \ldots, W_k$ of $V^{\otimes n}$. Then $g \in G$ acts by $g \cdot (t_1, \ldots, t_k; W_1, \ldots, W_k) = (g \cdot t_1, \ldots, g \cdot t_k; g \cdot W_1, \ldots, g \cdot W_k)$. Thus for $H \subseteq G$, an $H$-invariant point of $F^\vee_T(n)$ is specified by an $H$-set of points $\{t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$ of $T$ a sub-$H$-space $W \subseteq V^{\otimes n}$ and a vector space decomposition $W = W_1 \perp \cdots \perp W_k$ such that $h \cdot W_i = W_j$ for some $j$.

Given an injection $\alpha : m \hookrightarrow n$ write $\alpha^* : \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes m}) \to \text{End}_\C(V^{\otimes n})$ for the map $\alpha(f) = \alpha \cdot f \alpha^*$. Let $A, B$ be based $G$-CW complexes. Using the maps $\alpha$, the assignment

$$n \mapsto \text{Hom}_{cts}(A \land \Delta^1_{top,+}, F^\vee_T(n)),$$

defines a functor $I \to \text{GsSet}$. Now define for based $G$-CW-complexes $(A, a_0)$ and $(B, b_0)$

$$A^\top_G(A, B)^V = \hocolim_t \text{Hom}_{cts}(A \land \Delta^1_{top,+}, F^\vee_T(-)).$$
Note that we omit the base point from the notation, leaving it implicit. For each $V$ we now have $\Gamma$-spaces

$$
\eta_+ \mapsto \big| A^{G\top}(A, \eta_+ \land B)^V \big|.
$$

**Remark 3.24.** We will work mostly with unpointed spaces when comparing with the algebraic theories. In this context, if $A$ is unpointed it is convenient to still write $|A^{G\top}(A, B)^V|$, which is to be interpreted as $|A^{G\top}(A_+^+, B)^V|$, and similarly for $B$ unpointed. This abuse of notation should not lead to confusion.

Again the main case of interest is $V = \mathbb{C}[G]$.

**Definition 3.25.** Let $A$, $B$ be based $G$-$CW$ complexes. Define

$$
\text{bu}_G(A, B)
$$

to be the $G$-spectrum associated to the equivariant $\Gamma$-space $|A^{G\top}(A, - \land B)^{\mathbb{C}[G]}|$. Write

$$
\text{bu}_G^G(A, B) = \pi_0^G \text{bu}_G(A, B)
$$

for the homotopy groups of this spectrum. More generally for a subgroup $H \subseteq G$, write

$$
\text{bu}_H^G(A, B) = \pi_0^H \text{bu}_G(A, B).
$$

**Remark 3.26.** Let $V$ be a real representation. Combining Corollary 3.38 and Proposition 5.9 we have that

$$
\text{bu}_G^G(A_+^+, S^0) = KU^{-V}_G(A_+^+).
$$

As before, it is convenient to introduce a variant of the construction above. We define

$$
\text{Hom}_{cts}(A \land \Delta^*_{top}^+, F^V_B(\infty)) = \text{colim}_n \text{Hom}_{cts}(A \land \Delta^*_{top}^+, F^V_B(n))
$$

where the colimit is over the standard inclusions $n \subseteq n + 1$ given by $i \mapsto i$. As in the algebraic and semi-topological cases we have the following (see the paragraph preceding Proposition 3.6 for a reminder on the indexing categories used below).

**Proposition 3.27.** For based $G$-$CW$-complexes $A$, $B$, the natural maps

$$
\text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(A \land \Delta^*_{top}^+, F^V_B(-)) \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_I \text{Hom}(A \land \Delta^*_{top}^+, F^V_B(-))
$$

$$
\leftarrow \text{holim}_M \text{Hom}(A \land \Delta^*_{top}^+, F^V_B(\infty)) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(A \land \Delta^*_{top}^+, F^V_B(\infty)).
$$

are equivariant weak equivalences.

**Proof.** This is similar to the proofs of Propositions 3.6 and 3.19. \qed

**Proposition 3.28.** For based $G$-$CW$-complexes, the equivariant $\Gamma$-space

$$
\eta_+ \mapsto \big| A^{G\top}(A, \eta_+ \land B)^V \big|
$$

and

$$
\eta_+ \mapsto \big| \text{Hom}(A \land \Delta^*_{top}, G^V_B \land B(\infty)) \big|
$$

are degreewise equivariantly weakly equivalent, and they are both special.

**Proof.** Using Proposition 3.27 and proceeding once more as in the proof of Proposition 3.9 it suffices to show the second equivariant $\Gamma$-space is special. The argument for this is again an adaptation of [GW00, Lemma 2.2] to our present context. For any integer $M > 0$, we will define a map

$$
\eta : F^V_B(M)^n \rightarrow F^V_B(nM).
$$
Composing $\eta$ and the inclusions $\epsilon : \mathcal{F}_V^W \land B(\cdot) \to \mathcal{F}_B(\cdot)^{\times n}$ yields the maps
\[
\mathcal{F}_V^W \land B(M) \to \mathcal{F}_B(\cdot)^{\times n}(nM) \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_B(M)^{\times n} \to \mathcal{F}_B(\cdot)^{\times n}(nM),
\]
and the result follows by showing these are $G \times \Sigma_n$-equivariantly homotopic to the standard inclusions.

We may identify $\mathcal{F}_V^W \land B(M)$ with the subspace of $\mathcal{F}_B(M)^{\times n}$ consisting of those $n$-tuples $(f_i)$ of $*$-maps which satisfy $f_i(a)f_i(b) = 0$ for all $a,b \in C_0(B)$. For an injection $\beta : p \to q$, recall that we write $\hat{\beta} : \text{End}_C(V^{\oplus p}) \to \text{End}_C(V^{\oplus q})$ for the induced map $\psi \mapsto \hat{\beta}_\psi \psi^\beta$. Let $\alpha_i : M \to nM$ be the injection $k \mapsto (i-1)M + k$. Define $\eta$ by sending the tuple $(f_i)$ of $*$-maps to the tuple $(\alpha_i f_i)$ which lies in $\mathcal{F}_V^W \land B(nM)$ as desired.

Define the $G \times \Sigma_n$-equivariant homotopy $\mathcal{F}_V^W \land B(M) \times I \to \mathcal{F}_B(\cdot)^{\times n}(nM)$ between $\eta \epsilon$ and the standard inclusion by $((f_i), t) \mapsto (t \cdot \alpha_i f_i + (1-t) \cdot \alpha_i f_i)$. Similarly one sees that $\epsilon \eta$ is equivariantly homotopic to the standard inclusion. $\square$

As Walker observes in the nonequivariant case, if $B$ is not connected then for $A$ pointed and connected this is not a reasonable spectrum to work with. For example if $B = S^0$, $A$ is pointed and connected, and $V = \mathbb{C}[G]$ then for any subgroup $K \subseteq G$ the space $|A_G^{\text{top}}(A, \mathbb{C})|^{\top K}$ is equivalent to the space of $n$-tuples of $K$-bundles on $A$, each of which has rank zero at the base-point. Thus the equivariant $\Gamma$-space $|A_G^{\text{top}}(A, \mathbb{C}) \land S^0|^{V}$ is contractible. As in [Va02] we can remedy this by replacing $B$ with its suspensions. To do this we view $|A_G^{\text{top}}(A, - \land B)|^{V}$ as a $W_G$-space and consider the associated spectrum.

**Definition 3.29.** Let $A, B$ be based $G$-CW complexes. Define
\[
\text{bu}_G(A, B)
\]
to be the $G$-spectrum associated to the $W_G$-space $Y \mapsto |A_G^{\text{top}}(A, Y \land B)|^{\mathbb{C}[G]}$. Write
\[
\text{bu}_*^G(A, B) = \pi_*^G \text{bu}_G(A, B).
\]
for the homotopy groups of this spectrum. More generally for a subgroup $H \subseteq G$, write $\text{bu}_H^G(A, B) = \pi_*^H \text{bu}_G(A, B)$.

Recall from Section 2 that to an equivariant $\Gamma$-space $Y$ we naturally associate a $W_G$-space $\hat{Y}$, which may be described by the formula
\[
\hat{Y}(X) = \text{hocolim}_{S \to X} PY(S),
\]
where $PY$ is the $\Gamma_G$-space associated to $Y$, and $S \to X$ is an object of the overcategory $(\Gamma_G \downarrow X)$. If $X$ is a $W_G$-space and $Y$ is the equivariant $\Gamma$-space obtained from $X$ by restriction then we have a natural map $\hat{Y} \to X$. We thus have a natural map of spectra $S_X \to UX$. In particular we have a natural map of spectra
\[
(3.30)
\]
$\text{bu}_G(A, B) \to \text{bu}_G(A, B)$.

**Proposition 3.31** (c.f. [Va02] Theorem 3.14). Let $B$ be a based $G$-CW-complex. For a based $G$-CW-complex $X$ there is a natural equivariant equivalence
\[
\text{hocolim}_{S \to X} |A_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, S \land B)|^V \xrightarrow{\sim} |A_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, X \land B)|^V.
\]
In particular, $\text{bu}_G^*(S^0, B)$ and the spectrum $\{[A_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, S^W \land B)]^{\mathbb{C}[G]}\}$ are equivariantly equivalent.
Proof. Since the indexing category \((\Gamma_G \downarrow X)\) is filtered, we have that
\[
\text{holim}_{S \to X} |\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, - \wedge B)| \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{S \to X} |\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, S \wedge B)|
\]
is an equivariant weak equivalence. It thus suffices to show that
\[
\text{colim}_{S \to X} |\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, S \wedge B)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, X \wedge B)|
\]
is an isomorphism. For this, it suffices to show that \(\text{colim}_{S \to X} \mathcal{F}_{S \wedge B}^V(n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{X \wedge B}^V(n)\) is an isomorphism for any \(n\). This follows by an argument similar to the argument given in \cite[Theorem 3.14]{Wal02}, which we briefly sketch. That this map is bijective follows from the fact that for a based \(G\)-CW-complex \(W\), a \(*\)-map \(C_0(W) \to \text{End}_C(V^n)\) factors as \(C_0(W) \to C_0(S) \to \text{End}_C(V^n)\) where \(S \subseteq W\) is a based finite \(G\)-set. The map \(\text{colim}_{S \to X} \mathcal{F}_{S \wedge B}^V(n) \to \mathcal{F}_{X \wedge B}^V(n)\) is the colimit of maps \(\text{colim}_{S \to W} \mathcal{F}_{S \wedge T}^V(n) \to \mathcal{F}_{W \wedge T}^V(n)\) where \(T \subseteq B\) and \(W \subseteq X\) range over all compact subspaces. When \(T, W\) are compact we have that \(\text{colim}_{S \to W} \mathcal{F}_{S \wedge T}^V(n) \to \mathcal{F}_{W \wedge T}^V(n)\) is closed since \(\mathcal{F}_C^V(n)\) is compact whenever \(C\) is compact. It is therefore an equivariant homeomorphism and we are done. \(\square\)

We have natural equivariant maps
\[
(3.32) \quad |\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(A, B)|^V \to \text{Map}(A, \text{holim}_I \mathcal{F}_B^V(-)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}(A, |\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, B)|^V)
\]
opened as the composite
\[
|\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(A, B)|^V = |\text{holim}_I \text{Sing}_* \text{Map}(A, \mathcal{F}_B^V(-))| \\
\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{holim}_I \text{Sing}_* \text{Map}(A, \mathcal{F}_B^V(-)) \to \text{holim}_I \text{Map}(A, \mathcal{F}_B^V(-)) \\
\to \text{Map}(A, \text{holim}_I \mathcal{F}_B^V(-)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}(A, |\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, B)|^V),
\]
where the two displayed isomorphisms follow from \cite[Theorem 18.9.10]{Hir03}.

**Proposition 3.33.** Let \(A, B\) be based \(G\)-CW complexes, with \(A\) compact. Then the maps \((3.32)\) are equivariant weak equivalences.

**Proof.** The right-hand map in \((3.32)\) is always a \(G\)-equivalence. Proposition \((3.32)\) together with the equivariant homeomorphism
\[
\text{colim}_n \text{Map}(A, \mathcal{F}_B^V(n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}(A, \text{colim}_n \mathcal{F}_B^V(n)).
\]

imply that the left-hand map is an equivariant weak equivalence. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.34** (cf. \cite[Theorem 3.17]{Wal02}). Let \(A, B\) be based \(G\)-CW complexes, with \(A\) compact. Then the maps \((3.32)\) induce an equivariant equivalence of \(G\)-spectra
\[
\text{bu}_G(A, B) \simeq \text{Map}(A, \text{bu}_G^C(S^0, B)) \simeq \text{Map}(A, B \wedge \text{bu}_G),
\]
where \(\text{bu}_G = \text{bu}_G^C(S^0, S^0)\).

**Proof.** By the previous proposition the maps in \((3.32)\) are equivariant weak equivalences. It follows that \(\text{bu}_G(A, B)\) is equivariantly weakly equivalent to the spectrum \(|\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, S^W \wedge B)|^{\mathbb{C}[G]}\). By Proposition \((3.31)\) we have that \(\text{bu}_G^C(S^0, B)\) is equivariantly weakly equivalent to the spectrum \(|\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, S^W \wedge B)|^{\mathbb{C}[G]}\) and
by [Blu06, Proposition 3.6] this spectrum is equivariantly weakly equivalent to $B \wedge \text{bu}_G$. □

**Remark 3.35.** It follows from Proposition [5.9] that there is a map of $G$-spectra \( \text{bu}_G \to KU_G \), where \( KU_G \) is the spectrum representing equivariant complex \( K \)-theory. Furthermore \( \text{bu}_G \) is its connective cover, in the sense that this map induces isomorphisms \( \pi_n^H \text{bu}_G \cong \pi_n^H KU_G \) for all subgroups \( H \subseteq G \) and \( n \geq 0 \) and \( \pi_n^H \text{bu}_G = 0 \) for \( n < 0 \).

### 3.4. Group completions via mapping telescopes.

We finish this section by giving an alternate description of the equivariant homotopy group completion of the equivariant homotopy monoid \( \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, S^0)^V \). This is the equivariant analogue of only a part of the results in [Wall02, Section 4]. It seems somewhat more complicated to establish the analogous result for the monoids \( \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, B)^V \) when \( B \) has nontrivial action and we do not need it. Similarly we do not need the semi-topological analogues. An important consequence of the description of the equivariant homotopy group completion as a mapping telescope appears below in Corollary 3.38. This is used in Section 5 in order to define a natural map of rings

\[
\text{bu}_G^V(W, S^0) \to KU_G^*(W).
\]

This natural transformation is crucial for our main results in Sections 6 and 7.

Recall that \( \mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(n) \) is isomorphic to the space of linear subspaces in \( V^n \). Below, we write \( \mathcal{F}^V_B(\infty) = \text{colim}_n \mathcal{F}^V_B(n) \) where the colimit is over the standard inclusions \( n \subseteq n + 1 \) given by \( i \mapsto i \).

Consider the equivariant maps \( \eta : \mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(n) \to \mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(n + 1) \) given by \( (W \subseteq V^n) \mapsto (V + W \subseteq V \oplus V^n) \). Taking colimits defines an equivariant map \( \eta : \mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty) \to \mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty) \). Write \( X_i = \mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty) \) considered as a based \( G \)-space with basepoint \( x_i \), where \( x_0 = 0 \) and \( x_i = \eta^i x_0 \). Then \( \eta : X_i \to X_{i+1} \) is a based map. Write

\[
\text{Tel}(X_i, \eta) = \text{hocolim}(X_0 \xrightarrow{\eta} X_1 \xrightarrow{\eta} X_2 \xrightarrow{\eta} \cdots)
\]

**Theorem 3.36.** The map

\[
\mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty) \to \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)
\]

is an equivariant homotopy group completion.

**Proof.** The proof is modeled on that of [FW02b, Proposition 3.3], where \( H \)-space structures arising from operad actions rather than through \( \Gamma \)-spaces are used. We need to show that \( \mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty) \to \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta) \) is a map of equivariant homotopy commutative, associative \( H \)-spaces such that for any subgroup \( K \subseteq G \) the map \( \mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty)^K \to \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)^K \) is a homotopy group completion. Recall that this means that for each subgroup \( K \subseteq G \),

1. the map \( \pi_0(\mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty)^K) \to \pi_0(\text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)^K) \) is a group completion of the monoid \( \pi_0(\mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty)^K) \), and

2. \( H_*(\mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty)^K; A) \to H_*(\text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)^K; A) \) is localization of the action of \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_0(\mathcal{F}^V_{S^0}(\infty)^K)] \) for any commutative ring \( A \).

First we have to show that \( \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta) \) has the structure of an equivariant homotopy commutative and associative \( H \)-space. We have equivariant homotopy equivalences \( \text{Tel}(X_i \times X_i, \eta \times \eta) \simeq \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta) \times \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta) \) and \( \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta) \simeq \text{Tel}(X_{2i}, \eta^2) \). Thus to define the pairing it suffices to give a map \( \mu : X_n \times X_n \to X_{2n} \) such that
\(\eta^2\) and \(\mu \circ (\eta \times \eta)\) are equivariantly homotopic. We take \(\mu\) to be the \(H\)-space product map \(\mu : \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\infty) \times \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\infty) \to \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\infty)\). Recall that the \(H\)-space structure on \(\mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\infty)\) arises as follows. The equivariant \(\Gamma\)-space \(\eta\) is isomorphism. The equivariant \(\Gamma\)-space \(\eta\) arises by choosing a homotopy inverse to \(\mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\infty) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\infty) \times \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\infty)\) together with the multiplication map induced by \(2_+ \to 1_+\), given by sending both 1 and 2 to 1. Consider the commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(n) \times \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(n) & \xrightarrow{\eta \times \eta} & \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(n + 1) \times \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(n + 1) \\
\subseteq & \xleftarrow{\beta} & \mathcal{F}^V_{2_+}(n + 2) \\
\mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(n) & \xrightarrow{\eta^2} & \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(n + 2), \\
\end{array}
\]

where \(\beta\) sends the pair \((W_1, W_2)\) to \((V \oplus 0 \oplus W_1, 0 \oplus V \oplus W_2)\). The map \(\gamma\) is induced by \(\gamma : k_+ \to k + 1_+\) where \(\gamma(i) = i + 1\) and \(\epsilon\) is induced by \(\epsilon : k_+ \to k_+\) which interchanges 1 and 2 and is the identity on the other elements. As shown in the proof of Proposition 3.19, \(\gamma \epsilon : \mathcal{F}^V(\infty) \to \mathcal{F}^V(\infty)\) are both equivariant homotopy equivalence. We thus have that \(\eta^2 \simeq \mu \circ (\eta \times \eta)\) and thus we obtain the required pairing giving a multiplication on \(\text{Tel}(X_1, \eta)\).

Now we need to show that \(\mu\) gives \(\text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)\) the structure of a homotopy commutative and homotopy associative \(H\)-space. First we show that the basepoint \(x \in \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)\) is a right identity up to homotopy. The maps \(\eta^n : X_n \to X_{2n}\) induce the homotopy equivalence \(\text{Tel}(X_n, \eta) \simeq \text{Tel}(X_{2n}, \eta^2)\) and so to show that \(x\) is a right homotopy identity it suffices to show that the maps \(\alpha^n, \mu(-, x_n) : X_n \to X_{2n}\) are homotopic. To show homotopy commutativity it suffices to show that the two maps \(X_i \times X_i \to X_{2i}\) given by \(\mu\) and \(\mu \tau\) are homotopic, where \(\tau\) is the map switching the factors. This follows from the fact that the maps \(m, m \tau : \mathcal{F}^V_{2_+}(n) \to \mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(n)\) are equal, where \(\tau\) is the map interchanging 1 and 2. Homotopy associativity follows in a similar fashion.

The map \(\mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\infty) \to \text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)\) is an \(H\)-space map. The monoid \(\pi_0(\mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\eta)^K)\) is the monoid (under direct sum) of isomorphism classes of \(K\)-modules which embed in \(V^\infty\). Observe that \(\pi_0(\mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\eta)^K)^+\) is obtained obtained by inverting the class of \(V\) in \(\pi_0(\mathcal{F}^V_{s_0}(\eta)^K)\). But this is exactly \(\pi_0(\text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)^K)\). The condition on homology follows immediately since \(H_*(\text{Tel}(X_i, \eta)^K; A) = \text{colim}_* H_*(X_i; A)\).

The following corollaries are used later in Proposition 5.9 to define natural transformations to \(KU^*_G(\cdot)\).

**Corollary 3.37.** Let \(W\) be a compact, unbased \(G\)-CW complex. Write \(\mathcal{A}(-)\) for the equivariant \(\Gamma\)-space \(\mathcal{A}(-) \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}^G_\text{top}(\partial S^0, \mathcal{U}_+ \land S^0)^V]\). The natural map

\[
[W_+, [\mathcal{A}^G_\text{top}(\partial S^0, S^0)^V]^+] \rightarrow [W_+, \omega \mathcal{A}(S^1)]_G
\]

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** The equivariant \(\Gamma\)-space \(\mathcal{A}\) is special by Proposition 3.28. By Lemma 2.3 the map \([\mathcal{A}^G_\text{top}(\partial S^0, S^0)^V] \rightarrow \omega \mathcal{A}(S^1)\) is an equivariant homotopy group completion.
By Proposition 3.27 we have an equivariant equivalence $|A^{\text{top}}_G(S^0, S^0)^V| \simeq F^{V(\infty)}_S$ and so the previous theorem implies that we have an equivariant weak equivalence $\Omega \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(S^1) \simeq \text{Tel}(X, \eta)$. Therefore we have natural isomorphisms $\text{colim}_i [W_+, X_i]_G = [W_+, \Omega \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(S^1)]_G$. As unbased spaces we have that $X_i = X_0$. Thus we have the natural identification $[W_+, X_i]_G \cong [W_+, X_0]_G$ and under this identification the transition maps in $\text{colim}_i [W_+, X_i]_G = \text{colim}_i [W_+, X_0]_G$ are addition by the same element and so $\text{colim}_i [W_+, X_i]_G = [W_+, \Omega \mathcal{A}(S^1)]_G$ is obtained by inverting this class in $[W_+, X_0]_G$. But since $[W_+, \Omega \mathcal{A}(S^1)]_G$ is a group, this must be the group completion of $[W_+, X_0]_G$. □

**Corollary 3.38.** Let $X$ be a compact, unbased $G$-CW complex. The natural map

$$\text{bu}_G^*(X_+, S^0) \to \text{bu}_G^*(X_+, S^0)$$

induces an equivalence

$$\Omega^\infty \text{bu}_G^*(X_+, S^0) \to \Omega^\infty \text{bu}_G^*(X_+, S^0)$$

of associated equivariant infinite loop spaces. In particular,

$$\pi_0 G \text{bu}_G^*(X_+, S^0) = KU_0^G(X) = \pi_0 G \text{bu}_G^*(X_+, S^0).$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.32 we have that the equivariant $\Gamma$-space (resp. $W_G$-space) $|A^{\text{top}}_G(X_+, -\wedge S^0)^{C[G]}|$ is equivariantly weakly equivalence to the equivariant $\Gamma$-space (resp. $W_G$-space) $\text{Map}(X_+, |A^{\text{top}}_G(S^0, -\wedge S^0)^{C[G]}|)$.

For convenience write $\mathcal{M}(-) = \text{Map}(X_+, |A^{\text{top}}_G(S^0, -\wedge S^0)^{C[G]}|$ and $\mathcal{A}(-) = |A^{\text{top}}_G(S^0, -)^{C[G]}|$. We show that

$$\Omega^W \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S^W) \to \Omega^W \text{Map}(X_+, \mathcal{A}(S^W))$$

is an equivariant equivalence for any representation $W$ with $W^G \neq 0$. It follows from Proposition 3.28 that the equivariant $\Gamma$-space $\mathcal{M}$ is special and so by Lemma 2.4 the associated spectrum $S\mathcal{M}$ is a positive $\Omega G$-spectrum and $\mathcal{M}(S^0) \to \Omega \tilde{S}\mathcal{M}(S^1)$ is an equivariant homotopy group completion. It therefore suffices to show that

$$\text{Map}(X_+, \mathcal{A}(S^0)) \to \Omega^W \text{Map}(X_+, \mathcal{A}(S^W)) = \text{Map}(X_+, \Omega^W \mathcal{A}(S^W))$$

is an equivariant homotopy group completion whenever $W^G \neq 0$. Using Proposition 3.31 and that $\mathcal{A}(-)$ is special, we have an equivariant weak equivalence $\Omega^W \mathcal{A}(S^W) \simeq \Omega^1 \mathcal{A}(S^1) \simeq \Omega^1 \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(S^1)$. It follows from Corollary 3.37 that

$$\pi_0 \text{Map}(X_+, \mathcal{A}(S^0))^K \to \pi_0 \text{Map}(X_+, \Omega^W \mathcal{A}(S^W))^K$$

is a group completion for any subgroup $K \subseteq G$. Furthermore, from Theorem 3.36 it follows that we have an equivariant weak homotopy equivalence

$$\text{Map}(X_+, \Omega^W \mathcal{A}(S^W)) \simeq \text{Tel}(\text{Map}(X_+, \mathcal{A}(S^0)), \eta_+)$$

from which the condition on homology with coefficients follows.

For the last statement, we have that

$$\pi_0 G \text{bu}_G^*(X_+, S^0) = [X_+, \Omega \mathcal{A}(S^1)]_G = [X_+, F^G_{S^0}(\infty)]^+$$. Since $F^G_{S^0}$ is the Grassmannian of linear subspaces inside of $C[G]^{\infty}$ it follows that this group is naturally identified with $KU_0^G(X)$. □
4. Walker’s comparison theorem

In this section we define a comparison map between our semi-topological and topological bivariant equivariant $K$-theories. We present a proof of the equivariant version of Walker’s Fundamental Comparison Theorem \cite[Theorem 5.1]{Wal02}. Namely, we have the following result, which is obtained as a special case of Corollary 4.14 below.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $Y$ be a smooth quasi-projective $G$-variety. Then the natural map (4.6) induces a weak equivalence of $G$-spectra,

$$bu_G^i(S^0, Y^{an}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K_G^{sst}(\mathbb{C}, Y).$$

**Remark 4.2.** By Corollary 3.34 we have an equivariant weak equivalence of $G$-spectra, $bu_G^i(S^0, Y^{an}) \simeq Y^{an} \wedge bu_G$, where $bu_G = bu_G^i(S^0, S^0)$ is the connective cover of $KU_G$ (see Remark 3.35). Thus this theorem says that semi-topological equivariant $K$-homology agrees with $bu_G$-homology.

The strategy of proof follows the nonequivariant one in \cite{Wal02}. The key is to show that we have a natural equivariant homotopy equivalence $F_{Y^{an}} \to (G_Y)^{an}$.

A map $f : X \to Y$ of varieties defines also a continuous map $f : X^{an} \to Y^{an}$ of associated analytic spaces. This gives rise to a natural map of $G$-simplicial sets

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C}}(X \times \Delta^\bullet_{top}, G_Y^V(n)) \to \text{Hom}_{cts}(X^{an} \times \Delta^\bullet_{top}, G_Y^V(n)^{an}).$$

To aid the comparison between the topological and semi-topological $K$-theories we introduce a bivariant theory based on the spaces $G_Y^V(n)^{an}$. Let $A$ be a based $G$-CW-complex and $Y$ a quasi-projective $G$-variety and define

$$A^{top}_G(A, Y)^V = \hocolim_i \text{Hom}_{cts}(A \wedge \Delta^\bullet_{top}^i, G_Y^V(-)^{an}).$$

**Definition 4.4.** We define $K^{top}_G(A, Y)$ to be the $G$-spectrum associated to the equivariant $\Gamma$-space $\mathbb{N}_+ \mapsto (A^{top}_G(A, \mathbb{N}_+ \wedge Y)^{\mathbb{C}[G]}).

The map (4.3) above induces a natural transformation $K^{sst}_G \to K^{top}_G$. Write

$$\text{Hom}_{cts}(A \wedge \Delta^\bullet_{top}^i, G_Y^V(\infty)^{an}) = \colim_n \text{Hom}_{cts}(A \wedge \Delta^\bullet_{top}^i, G_Y^V(n)^{an})$$

where the colimit is over the standard inclusions $n \subseteq n+1$ given by $i \mapsto i$.

As before we have the following (see the paragraph preceding Proposition 3.10 for a reminder on the indexing categories used below).

**Proposition 4.5.** For based $G$-CW-complexes $A$ and a quasi-projective $G$-variety $Y$, the natural maps

$$\text{hocolim}_i \text{Hom}(A \wedge \Delta^\bullet_{top}^i, G_Y^V(-)) \to \text{hocolim}_i \text{Hom}(A \wedge \Delta^\bullet_{top}^i, G_Y^V(-))$$

$$\leftarrow \text{hocolim}_M \text{Hom}(A \wedge \Delta^\bullet_{top}^i, G_Y^V(\infty)) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(A \wedge \Delta^\bullet_{top}^i, G_Y^V(\infty))$$

are equivariant weak equivalences.

Let $V$ be a unitary complex representation. By \cite[Section 5]{Wal02} there is a natural map of spaces

$$F_{Y^{an}}(n) \to G_Y^V(n)^{an},$$

for
which will be shown in Theorem 4.11 to be an equivariant weak equivalence. This map can be described as follows. A point of \( F^V_{Y \setminus n}(n) \) is specified by a list of distinct points \( y_1, \ldots, y_k \) of \( Y^{an} \) and a list of pairwise orthogonal subspaces \( W_1, \ldots, W_k \) of \( V^n \). To this point we associate the surjection

\[
\mathcal{V}_Y^n \xrightarrow{\text{eval}_{y_1, \ldots, y_k}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V^n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_i^*.
\]

By [Wal02, Lemma 5.3] this defines a map \( F^V_{Y \setminus n}(n) \subseteq G^V_Y(n)^{an} \) which is a subspace inclusion and the image of \( F^V_{Y \setminus n}(n) \) can be characterized as follows. When \( Y = \text{Spec}(R) \) is affine, a point of \( G^V_Y(n) \) is represented by a pair \( (V^n \rightarrow P, \rho : R \rightarrow \text{End}_C(P)) \) where \( P \) is a quotient vector space and \( \rho \) is a map of \( C \)-algebras. Two pairs \( (V^n \rightarrow P, \rho : R \rightarrow \text{End}_C(P)) \) and \( (V^n \rightarrow Q, \rho : R \rightarrow \text{End}_C(Q)) \) represent the same point when there is a vector-space isomorphism \( P \cong Q \) which make the evident triangles commute. A pair \( (V^n \rightarrow P, \rho : R \rightarrow \text{End}_C(P)) \) is in the image of \( F^V_{Y \setminus n}(n) \) exactly when \( \rho \) is a normal map of \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-algebras (i.e. \( \rho(r) \) and \( \rho(r)^* \) commute with each other for all \( r \in R \)).

The subspace \( F^V_{Y \setminus n}(n) \subseteq G^V_Y(n)^{an} \) is \( G \)-invariant and is compatible with the maps in \( I \). We therefore have maps of equivariant \( \Gamma \)-spaces

\[
A^{sst}(X, - \wedge Y^+)^V \rightarrow A^{gtop}(X^{an}, - \wedge Y^+)^V \leftarrow A^{top}(X^{an}, - \wedge Y^{an})^V
\]

and thus natural maps of \( G \)-spectra

\[
(4.7) \quad \mathcal{K}^{sst}_G(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{gtop}_G(X^{an}, Y) \leftarrow \text{bu}_G(X^{an}, Y^{an}).
\]

We will see in Corollary 4.14 that the right-hand map is a weak equivalence (note that the left map is an equivalence for \( X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \)).

As shown in [Wal02, Section 5], sending a quotient \( \mathcal{V}^\bullet_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \) to its support defines a map of varieties \( \theta : G^V_Y(n) \rightarrow \prod_{|Y^+|} \text{Sym}^{k_Y} Y \) and this is equivariant. Write \( \theta_{\text{top}} \) for the restriction of \( \theta \) to \( F^V_Y(n) \).

The following lemma shows that in order to establish that \( (4.6) \) is an equivariant weak equivalence, it suffices to show that for each subgroup \( H \subseteq G \), the map \( F^V_Y(n)^H \rightarrow (G^V_Y(n)^{an})^H \) is a weak equivalence locally on \( \prod_{|Y^+|} \text{Sym}^{k_Y} Y^{an} \), in a suitable sense. This lemma and its proof are a slight modification of [Wal02, Lemma 5.4] in order to conclude a weak equivalence rather than a homology equivalence.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let

\[
\begin{array}{c}
W \xrightarrow{f} X \\
p \downarrow \quad \downarrow q \\
Z
\end{array}
\]

be a commutative triangle of topological spaces with the property that for every \( z \in U \subseteq Z \) with \( U \) open, there is an open \( V \) with \( z \in V \subseteq U \) such that the induced map \( f|_{p^{-1}(V)} : p^{-1}(V) \rightarrow q^{-1}(V) \) is a weak equivalence. Then \( f : W \rightarrow X \) is a weak equivalence.

**Proof.** The hypothesis implies that we can construct a hypercover \( \mathcal{V}_n \rightarrow Z \) with the property that each \( \mathcal{V}_n \) is a disjoint union of open subsets \( V \subseteq Z \) with the property that \( p^{-1}(V) \rightarrow q^{-1}(V) \) is a weak equivalence. Write \( (\mathcal{V}_n)_X \) and \( (\mathcal{V}_n)_W \) for the pullback of \( \mathcal{V}_n \) to \( X \) and \( W \) respectively. We thus have weak equivalences \( (\mathcal{V}_n)_W \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n \rightarrow Z \rightarrow W \rightarrow X \).
\((V_n)_X\) for each \(n\), which induces a weak equivalence upon taking homotopy colimits. The result follows from the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{hocolim}_\Delta (V_n)_W & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \operatorname{hocolim}_\Delta (V_n)_X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
W & \xrightarrow{\sim} & X.
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical maps are weak equivalences by [DI04] Theorem 1.3].

**Lemma 4.9.** Let \(f : X \to Y\) be an equivariant étale map between quasi-projective complex \(G\)-varieties and \([\{q : V^n_X \to M\} \in G^n_X(n)(\mathbb{C})\) a point such that \(\theta([q]) = m_1x_1 + \cdots + m_vx_v\) (with \(x_i \neq x_j\) for \(i \neq j\)) has the property that \(f(x_i) \neq f(x_j)\) for \(i \neq j\). Then the equivariant map \(f_* : G^n_X(n) \to G^n_Y(n)\) is étale at each point in \(G\cdot[q]\).

**Proof.** This follows from [Wal02] Lemma 5.5, as each \(\varphi\cdot[q]\) satisfies the hypothesis of the lemma. □

For a subspace \(D \subseteq Y^n\) write \((G^n_Y(n))_{\theta(D)}^\theta\) (resp. \(F^n_Y(n)_{\theta(D)}^\theta\)) for the inverse image of \(\prod\Sym^kD \subseteq \prod\Sym^kY^n\) under \(\theta\) (resp. under \(\theta_{\text{top}}\)). Observe that this is an open subspace (resp. closed) when \(D\) is open (resp. closed) and it is invariant when \(D\) is invariant.

**Lemma 4.10.** Suppose that \(f : X \to Y\) is an equivariant map of quasi-projective \(G\)-varieties. Let \(D \subseteq X^n\) be an invariant analytic open subset such that \(f|_D\) is one-to-one and \(f\) is étale at every point of \(D\). Then the equivariant map \(f_* : G^n_X(n) \to G^n_Y(n)\) induces an equivariant homeomorphism

\[
f_* : (G^n_X(n))_{\theta(D)}^\theta \xrightarrow{\sim} (G^n_Y(n))_{f(D)}^\theta.
\]

Moreover this restricts to an equivariant homeomorphism

\[
f_* : (F^n_X(n))_{\theta(D)}^\theta \xrightarrow{\sim} (F^n_Y(n))_{f(D)}^\theta.
\]

**Proof.** These maps are shown to be homeomorphisms in [Wal02] Lemma 5.7, in our situation they are additionally equivariant. □

**Theorem 4.11.** Let \(Y\) be a smooth quasi-projective complex \(G\)-variety. Then \(F_Y^n(n) \to G_Y^n(n)\) is an equivariant weak equivalence.

**Proof.** We show that for each subgroup \(H \subseteq G\) the triangle

\[
(\ast) \quad (F_Y^n(n))^H \xrightarrow{\theta_{\text{top}}} (G_Y^n(n)^{\text{an}H})^H \xrightarrow{\theta} (\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sym^k Y^{\text{an}})^H
\]

satisfies the hypothesis for Lemma 4.3. For a point \(y \in Y^n\) with stabilizer \(H_y\) write \([H/H_y,y]\) for the sum over the points of the \(H\)-orbit of \(y\). Any point in \((\prod \Sym^k Y^{\text{an}})^H\) can be written in the form \(m_1[H/H_1; y_1] + \cdots + m_r[H/H_r; y_r]\) with \(H/H_i; y_i \neq H/H_j; y_j\) for \(i \neq j\). Choose a point \(P = m_1[H/H_1; y_1] + \cdots + m_r[H/H_r; y_r]\) in \((\prod \Sym^k Y^{\text{an}})^H\) and an analytic open neighborhood \(U\) of this point. As \(Y\) is quasi-projective, we can find an invariant affine open subscheme of \(Y\) which contains every point of the orbits \(H/H_i; y_i\) and so we may assume that \(Y\) is affine.
We have equivariant étale maps
\[ H \times H \times T_{y_i} Y \xrightarrow{q_i} H \times H \times Y \xrightarrow{\pi_i} Y, \]
(the left-hand map is defined by using an \( H_i \)-equivariant splitting to the projection \( d : m \to m/m^2 \), where \( m \) is the maximal ideal of \( y_i \) in \( O_{Y,Y_i} \)). These maps satisfy

- \( \pi_i, q_i \) are \( H \)-equivariant and \( q_i \) maps \((h, y_i)\) to \((h, 0)\),
- \( \pi_i, q_i \) are étale at every point of \( H/H_i \times \{y_i\}\),
- the images of \( \pi_i(H/H_i \times y_i) \) and \( \pi_j(H/H_j \times y_j) \) are disjoint if \( i \neq j \), and
- the images of \( q_i(H/H_i \times y_i) \) and \( q_j(H/H_j \times y_j) \) are disjoint if \( i \neq j \).

Let \( D_i \subseteq Y \) be \( H_i \)-invariant open analytic neighborhoods of \( y_i \in Y \) such that \( hD_i \cap h'D_i = \emptyset \) if \( h, h' \) belong to different cosets of \( H_i \), \( H \cdot D_i \cap H \cdot D_j = \emptyset \) if \( i \neq j \), and \( q_i : D_i \to T_{y_i} Y \) is a homeomorphism with \( q_i D_i \subseteq T_{y_i} Y \) an open polydisk. We may take the \( D_i \) small enough so that \( \text{Sym}^d(\cup H \cdot D_i) \) is contained in \( U \) (where \( d \) is such that \( P \in \text{Sym}^d Y \)). We may further assume that \( \pi_i, q_i \) are étale on every \( H \times H_i \cdot D_i \).

We show that \( \left( \mathcal{F}_Y^V (n) \right)^{H \cdot H \cdot D_i} \to \left( \mathcal{G}_Y^V (n)^{an} \right)^{H \cdot H \cdot D_i} \) is a weak equivalence. This in turn implies that \( \theta^{-1}_{\text{top}}(\text{Sym}^d(\cup H \cdot D_i)) \to \theta^{-1}(\text{Sym}^d(\cup H \cdot D_i)) \) is a weak equivalence, which establishes that \( \mathcal{F}_Y^V \) satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.8 as required.

Applying Lemma 4.11 we have the following commutative diagram of homeomorphisms (where for notational convenience we suppress both \( n \) and the superscript \( an \) and write \( \tilde{D}_i = H \times H_i \cdot D_i \))

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left( \mathcal{F}^V_{\Pi} (H \times H \times T_{y_i} Y) \right)^{H \cdot \tilde{D}_i}_{\Pi, \tilde{D}_i} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \left( \mathcal{F}^V_{\Pi} (H \times H \times Y) \right)^{H \cdot \tilde{D}_i}_{\Pi, \tilde{D}_i} \\
\left( \mathcal{G}^V_{\Pi} (H \times H \times T_{y_i} Y) \right)^{H \cdot \tilde{D}_i}_{\Pi, \tilde{D}_i} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \left( \mathcal{G}^V_{\Pi} (H \times H \times Y) \right)^{H \cdot \tilde{D}_i}_{\Pi, \tilde{D}_i}
\end{array}
\]

It therefore suffices to show that the left-hand vertical map is a weak equivalence. For each \( i \) there is an \( H_i \)-equivariant linear map \( T_{y_i} Y \to T_{y_i} Y \) mapping \( q_i(D_i) \) homeomorphically to the open unit polydisk \( C_i \subseteq T_{y_i} Y \). Therefore it is enough to show that
\[
\left( \mathcal{F}^V_{\Pi} (H \times H \times T_{y_i} Y) \right)^{H \cdot H \times H \cdot C_i}_{\Pi, \tilde{D}_i} \to \left( \mathcal{G}^V_{\Pi} (H \times H \times T_{y_i} Y) \right)^{H \cdot H \times H \cdot C_i}_{\Pi, \tilde{D}_i}
\]
is a weak equivalence.

By Lemma 4.13 below, the inclusion \( H/H_i \subseteq H \times H \times T_{y_i} Y \), at the point \( 0 \in T_{y_i} Y \), induce weak homotopy equivalences
\[
\left( \mathcal{G}^V_{\Pi} (H/H_i) \right)^{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \mathcal{G}^V_{\Pi} (H \times H \times T_{y_i} Y) \right)^{H \cdot C_i}_{\Pi, \tilde{D}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \mathcal{F}^V_{\Pi} (H/H_i) \right)^{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \mathcal{F}^V_{\Pi} (H \times H \times T_{y_i} Y) \right)^{H \cdot C_i}_{\Pi, \tilde{D}_i}.
\]
It thus suffices to show that \( \left( \mathcal{F}^V_{\Pi} (H/H_i) (n) \right)^{H} \to \left( \mathcal{G}^V_{\Pi} (H/H_i) (n)^{an} \right)^{H} \) is a weak equivalence. (These are equivariant analogues of the spaces denoted \( 0(\mathcal{G}^V_{\Pi})^{C_i} \) and \( 0(\mathcal{F}^V_{\Pi})^{C_i} \) in [Wal02]).

A point of the space \( \left( \mathcal{G}^V_{\Pi} (H/H_i) (n)^{an} \right)^{H} \) consists of an \( H \)-module quotient \( [V^n \to W] \) and an equivariant map \( \mathbb{C}^{H/H_i} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{H/H_i} \to \text{End}_C(W) \) of \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebras (here \( \mathbb{C}^{H/H_i} \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}/H_i \mathbb{C} \) denotes the coordinate ring of \( H/H_i \)). This amounts to giving an \( H \)-module quotient \( [V^n \to W] \), a direct sum decomposition \( W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_r \) of \( H \)-modules, and \( H_i \)-module decomposition \( W_i = \oplus H/H_i W_i' \) inducing an \( H \)-module isomorphism \( \text{Ind}_{H_i} (W_i') \cong W_i \). Similarly a point of \( \left( \mathcal{F}^V_{\Pi} (H/H_i) (n) \right)^{H} \) is given by
an $H$-module quotient $[V^n \to W]$ and an equivariant normal map of $C^*$-algebras $\mathbb{C}^{H/H_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{H/H_r} \to \operatorname{End}_C(W)$. This amounts to giving an $H$-module quotient $[V^n \to W]$, an $H$-module orthogonal sum decomposition $W = W_1 \perp \cdots \perp W_r$, and an orthogonal $H_1$-module decomposition $W_i = \perp_{H/H_1} W'_i$ inducing an $H$-module isomorphism $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_1}(W'_i) \cong W_i$.

Let $W$ be a finite dimensional Hermitian inner product $H$-module. For $H$-modules $W_1, \ldots, W_r$ such that $W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_r$, write $X(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ for the space of decompositions $W = W_i = \perp_{H/H_1} W'_i$ which induces an $H$-module isomorphism $W_i \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{H_1}(W'_i)$. Similarly, write $X^{\text{orth}}(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ for the space of orthogonal decompositions $W = W_i = \perp_{H/H_1} W'_i$ which induces an $H$-module isomorphism $W_i \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{H_1}(W'_i)$.

First we consider the space of all decompositions of $W$ into an $r$-fold direct sum (resp. orthogonal sum) of $H$-modules. These spaces break up into a disjoint union of connected components $\operatorname{Grass}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$) of decompositions where the $i$th summand is $H$-isomorphic to $W_i$. As in [Wal02], we proceed by induction on $r$ to show that $\operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r) \subseteq \operatorname{Grass}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ is a weak equivalence for any $W$ and a tuple $(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ of $G$-modules, such that $W = \bigoplus W_i$. The case $r = 1$ is clear.

The map $\operatorname{Grass}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r) \to \operatorname{Grass}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_{r-1} \oplus W_r)$ is a fibration with fiber $\operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_{r-1}, W_r)$ where $W' = W_{r-1} \oplus W_r$. Similarly in the orthogonal case we have a fibration $\operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r) \to \operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_{r-1} \oplus W_r)$ with fiber $\operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_{r-1}, W_r)$. Now the forgetful map from $\operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_{r-1}, W_r)$ to the space $\operatorname{Grass}^H(W_{r-1} \subseteq W')$ of sub-$H$-planes isomorphic to $W_{r-1}$ is a homeomorphism. On the other hand $\operatorname{Grass}^H(W_{r-1}, W_r) \to \operatorname{Grass}^H(W_{r-1} \subseteq W')$ is a fibration with contractible fibers. We conclude that $\operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r) \subseteq \operatorname{Grass}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ is a weak equivalence.

The forgetful map $X(W_1, \ldots, W_r) \to \operatorname{Grass}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ is also a fibration with fiber $F$ which is the space of $H_1$-module decompositions $W_i = \perp_{H/H_1} W'_i$ inducing an $H$-module isomorphism $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_1}(W'_i) \cong W_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Such a decomposition is determined by an $H_1$-equivariant embedding $W'_i \subseteq W_i$, such that the induced map $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_1}(W'_i) \cong W_i$ is an isomorphism, together with a choice of $H_1$-equivariant splitting of the projection $W_i \to W'_i/\widetilde{W}_i$. This implies that the fibers of $F \to \bigl(\bigl(\bigl(Y_{H_1}(W'_1 \subseteq W_1)\times \cdots \times Y_{H_1}(W'_r \subseteq W_r)\bigr)\bigr)\bigr)\subseteq \bigl(\bigl(\bigl(W'_r \subseteq W_r\bigr)\bigr)\bigr)$, where $Y_{H_1}(W'_i \subseteq W_i)$ is the space of $H_1$-equivariant embeddings $W'_i \subseteq W_i$ which induce an isomorphism $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_1}(W'_i) \cong W_i$ are contractible. Similarly $X^{\text{orth}}(W_1, \ldots, W_r) \to \operatorname{Grass}^{\text{orth}}_W(W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ is a fibration with fiber $F^{\text{orth}}$, which is the space of decompositions of $H_1$-module decompositions $W_i = \perp_{H/H_1} W'_i$ inducing an $H$-module isomorphism $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_1}(W'_i) \cong W_i$. This space is homeomorphic to $\operatorname{Grass}^H(W'_1 \subseteq W_1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Grass}^H(W'_r \subseteq W_r)$.

**Lemma 4.13.** Let $G$ be a finite group and $V$ a representation. Let $\{H_j\}$ be a finite set of subgroups of $G$, $W_j$ representations of $H_j$, $C_j$ the unit open polydisk in $W_j$, and $C = G \times C_1$. The inclusions $i : \coprod G/H_j \to \coprod G \times C_j$ induce equivariant weak equivalences of topological spaces $i_* : \mathcal{G}^V_{\coprod G/H_j}(n) \to \mathcal{G}^V_{\coprod G \times C_j}(n)$, and $i_* : \mathcal{F}^V_{\coprod G/H_j}(n) \to \mathcal{F}^V_{\coprod G \times C_j}(n)$.
Moreover, the resulting square \( \eta_f \) be the composition \( R \) defined on affine varieties as follows. An \( \mathbf{R} \) triple \( (V^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R \to Q, \rho : A \to \text{End}_R(Q), f : \mathbb{C}[t] \to R \), where \( Q \) is a projective \( R \)-module, \( \rho \) and \( f \) are \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra maps. Write \( \phi \) for the \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra map defined to be the composition \( \eta_f : \mathbb{C}[t] \to R \to \text{End}_R(Q) \), where \( \eta \) is multiplication by \( r \). Now define \( F \) by sending this triple to \( (V^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R \to Q, \rho \otimes \phi : A \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \to \text{End}_R(Q) \). Moreover, the resulting square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G}_U^V(n) \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \overset{\eta \times \text{id}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{G}_U^V(n) \\
\downarrow \quad \eta_U \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \eta_U \times \text{id} \\
(\prod \text{Sym}^k(U)) \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \longrightarrow & \prod \text{Sym}^k(U) \times \mathbb{A}^1
\end{array}
\]

is commutative.

Write \( \pi : \prod G \times H \leftarrow W \to \prod G/H \) for the projection. Then \( \pi \circ \pi = \text{id} \). We obtain an equivariant homotopy between \( \iota_* \pi_* \) and \( \text{id} \) by using the restriction of the natural transformation \( F \) to \( I = [0,1] \) and the maps \( \prod (G \times H, W) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to \prod G \times H, W \) given by \( (x,t) \mapsto t \cdot x \). Note that the commutativity of the above square implies that the resulting homotopy restricts to an equivariant homotopy \( (G^V \mathbb{A}^n, W_{j_0}) \mathbb{A}^n) \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{I} \mathbb{A}^n \mathbb{A}^n \).

Since we have that \( K_G^\ast(C, Y) = K_G^{\text{top}}(S^0, Y) \), Theorem 4.11 is a special case of the following equivariant generalization of \cite[Corollary 5.9]{Wa02}.

**Corollary 4.14.** Let \( Y \) be a smooth quasi-projective \( G \)-variety and \( A \) a based \( G \)-CW-complex. Then

\[
\text{bu}_G(A, Y^\infty) \to K_G^{\text{top}}(A, Y)
\]

is an equivariant weak equivalence.

**Proof.** By the previous theorem the map

\[
|\text{Hom}_c^\ast(A \wedge \Delta^\ast_{\text{top}} +, \mathcal{F}^V_{\ast +}(n))| \to |\text{Hom}_c^\ast(A \wedge \Delta^\ast_{\text{top}} +, \mathcal{G}^V_{\ast +}(n))|^{\ast +}
\]

is an equivariant weak equivalence for all \( n \) and any \( V \). Taking a homotopy colimit over \( I \) gives an equivariant weak equivalence of equivariant \( \Gamma \)-spaces

\[
|A^\ast_{\text{top}}(A, (-) \wedge Y^\infty +) + V | \to |A^\ast_{\text{top}}(A, (-) \wedge Y^\infty +) + V |
\]

and thus of associated \( G \)-spectra. The result is the particular case \( V = \mathbb{C}[G] \).

5. Pairings and Operations

In this section we establish two basic pairings on our bivariant theories generalizing those of \cite[section 6]{Wa02} to the equivariant setting. These pairings are compatible with the natural comparison maps of \( G \)-spectra

\[
(5.1) \quad K_G(X, Y) \to K_G(X \times \Delta^\ast_{\text{top}} Y) \overset{\iota \cdot \eta}{\to} K_G(X, Y)
\]

\[
\to K_G^{\text{top}}(X^\ast +, Y^\ast +) \overset{\iota \cdot \eta}{\to} \text{bu}_G(X^\ast +, Y^\ast +) \to \text{bu}_G(X^\ast +, Y^\ast +),
\]

obtained from (3.17), (4.7), and (3.30). The existence and compatibility of these pairings plays a crucial role in the applications in Sections 6 and 7. An important
special case occurs when $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. Then the pairings specialize to give these spectra the structure of commutative ring spectra and these maps are of ring spectra. In particular, combined with Proposition \ref{prop:composition} below, we have that

$$K^G_* (X) \to K^G_* (X^{an})$$

are graded ring homomorphisms.

The construction of these bivariant theories all begin with the consideration of a functor $F : I \to \text{GsSet}$, where $I$ is the category whose objects are the sets $\bar{n} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ for each $n \geq 0$ (so $\emptyset$ is the empty set) together with injective set maps. Let $\circ : I \times I \to I$ denote the functor which sends the pair $(\bar{m}, \bar{n})$ to $\bar{m} \cdot \bar{n}$.

Given injections $\alpha : \bar{m} \to \bar{n}$ and $\beta : p \to q$ in $I$ we define $\alpha \circ \beta : mp \to nq$ by

$$\alpha \circ \beta ((-1)p + j) = (\alpha(i) - 1)q + \beta(j)$$

for $i \in \bar{m}$, $j \in p$, which is the map obtained by identifying $\bar{m} \times p$ and $\bar{n} \times q$ with $mp$ and $nq$ via the lexicographical ordering.

**Definition 5.2.** Let $F, G, H : I \to \text{GsSet}$ be $I$-diagrams of $G$-simplicial sets. A **pairing of $I$-diagrams** $F \times G \to H$, is a natural transformation $F \times G \to H \circ$ of functors $I \times I \to \text{GsSet}$.

Such a pairing induces a pairing of $G$-simplicial sets

$$\text{hocolim} \ F \times \text{hocolim} \ G \cong \text{hocolim} \ F \times G \to \text{hocolim} \ H \circ \to \text{hocolim} \ H.$$

The **external product** is defined as follows. First we define a pairing

$$\boxtimes : \text{Hom}(X, G^V_\text{top}(\bar{m})) \times \text{Hom}(W, G^V_\text{top}(\bar{n})) \to \text{Hom}(X \times W, G^V_{X \times W}(\bar{m} \cdot \bar{n}))$$

of $I$-diagrams as follows. Define

$$[p : V^m_{X \times Y} \to \mathcal{M}] \boxtimes [q : V^n_{W \times Z} \to \mathcal{N}]$$

to be the composition

$$V^m_{X \times W \times Y \times Z} \cong \pi^*_{X \times Y} V^m_{X \times Y} \otimes \pi^*_W V^m_{W \times Z} \to \pi^*_{X \times Y} \mathcal{M} \otimes \pi^*_W \mathcal{N},$$

where the first isomorphism is given using the lexicographical ordering, that is $e_i \otimes e_j$ is sent to $e_{i-1} \otimes e_j$. The maps $\pi^*_{X \times Y}$ and $\pi^*_W$ are the evident projections. It is clear that $\alpha^* \boxtimes \beta^* = (\alpha \circ \beta)^*$ and thus we have a natural pairing of $I$-diagrams of $G$-sets. We thus obtain the natural pairing of $I$-diagrams of $G$-simplicial sets

$$\boxtimes : \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, G^V_\text{top}(\bar{m})) \times \text{Hom}(W \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, G^V_\text{top}(\bar{n})) \to \text{Hom}(X \times W \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, G^V_{X \times W}(\bar{m} \cdot \bar{n}))$$

where the second map is induced by the diagonal $\Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}} \to \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}} \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}$. Similarly making use of the diagonal $\Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}} \to \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}} \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}$ we obtain

$$\boxtimes : \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, G^V_\text{top}(\bar{m})) \times \text{Hom}(W \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, G^V_\text{top}(\bar{n})) \to \text{Hom}(X \times W \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, G^V_{X \times W}(\bar{m} \cdot \bar{n})).$$

Taking homotopy colimits we obtain the external pairing of $G$-simplicial sets

$$\boxtimes : A_G(X, Y)^V \times A_G(W, Z)^V \to A_G(X \times W, Y \times Z)^V,$$

$$\boxtimes : A_G(X \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, Y)^V \times A_G(W \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, Z)^V \to A_G(X \times W \times \Delta^\bullet_{\text{top}}, Y \times Z)^V,$$

and

$$\boxtimes : A^s_G(X, Y)^V \times A^s_G(W, Z)^V \to A^s_G(X \times W, Y \times Z)^V.$$
A straightforward verification shows that this pairing is associative. To see that the three pairings are compatible with the first two natural transformations of \(\text{Hom}_\Gamma\) use the naturality of \(\text{Hom}\) in the first variable applied to the diagonal \(\Delta^\bullet \to \Delta^\bullet \times \Delta^\bullet\) and the projection \(\Delta^\bullet \to \Delta^0\), both for \(\Delta^\bullet\) and \(\Delta^\bullet_{top}\).

The pairing \(\boxtimes\) extends to give an associative pairing

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{A}_G^{top}(X^{an}, Y)^V \times \mathcal{A}_G^{top}(W^{an}, Z)^V \to \mathcal{A}_G^{top}((X \times W)^{an}, Y \times Z)^V.
\]

compatible with the one on \(\mathcal{A}_G^{cts}\).

These pairings give rise to an external pairing of equivariant \(\Gamma\)-spaces

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{A}_G(X, m_+ \wedge Y_+)^V \times (\mathcal{A}_G(W, n_+ \wedge Z_+)^V)
\]

and similarly for \(\mathcal{A}_G^{cts}\) and \(\mathcal{A}_G^{top}\). We therefore obtain by the discussion in Section 2.2 pairings of natural and associative pairings of spectra.

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{K}_G(X, Y) \wedge \mathcal{K}_G(W, Z) \to \mathcal{K}_G(X \times W, Y \times Z),
\]

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{K}_G(X \times \Delta^\bullet_{top}, Y) \wedge \mathcal{K}_G(W \times \Delta^\bullet_{top}, Z) \to \mathcal{K}_G(X \times W \times \Delta^\bullet_{top}, Y \times Z),
\]

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{K}_G^{cts}(X, Y) \wedge \mathcal{K}_G^{cts}(W, Z) \to \mathcal{K}_G^{cts}(X \times W, Y \times Z),
\]

and

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{K}_G^{top}(X^{an}, Y) \wedge \mathcal{K}_G^{top}(W^{an}, Z) \to \mathcal{K}_G^{top}(X^{an} \times W^{an}, Y \times Z).
\]

Now we define a pairing

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{F}_S^V(m) \times \mathcal{F}_S^V(n) = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{C}(S), \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V^m)) \times \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{C}(T), \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V^n))
\]

\[
\to \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}(S \wedge T), \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V^{mn})) = \mathcal{F}_S^V(mn).
\]

Given \(f : \mathcal{C}(S) \to \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V^m)\) and \(g : \mathcal{C}(T) \to \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V^n)\) we define \(f \boxtimes g\) to be the composition

\[
f \boxtimes g : \mathcal{C}(S \wedge T) \cong \mathcal{C}(S) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T) \overset{f \otimes g}{\to} \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V^m) \otimes \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V^n) \to \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V^{mn})
\]

where the last map uses the lexicographical indexing \(\ell : V^m \otimes V^n \cong V^{mn}\) via \(e_i \otimes e_j \mapsto e_{n(i-1)+j}\). Given injections \(\alpha : m \to \mathbb{P}\) and \(\beta : n \to \mathbb{Q}\) then under the above isomorphism we have that \(\hat{\alpha}f \otimes \hat{\beta}g\) agrees with \(\hat{\alpha} \circ \hat{\beta} \ell(f \otimes g)\). We obtain a natural pairing

\[
\boxtimes : \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A, \mathcal{F}_S^V(m)) \times \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(B, \mathcal{F}_S^V(n))
\]

\[
\to \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A \wedge B, \mathcal{F}_S^V(m) \times \mathcal{F}_S^V(n))
\]

\[
\to \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A \wedge B, \mathcal{F}_S^V(mn))
\]

of \(I\)-diagrams. This pairing is associative in the evident sense and induces the external pairing of equivariant \(\Gamma\)-spaces

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{A}_G^{top}(A, - \wedge S)^V \wedge \mathcal{A}_G^{top}(B, - \wedge T)^V \to \mathcal{A}_G^{top}(A \wedge B, - \wedge S \wedge - \wedge T)^V
\]

and thus a pairing of \(G\)-spectra

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{B}_G(A, S) \wedge \mathcal{B}_G(B, T) \to \mathcal{B}_G(A \wedge B, S \wedge T).
\]

This pairing extends to an external pairing of \(\mathcal{W}_G\)-spaces and thus we obtain the pairing of \(G\)-spectra

\[
\boxtimes : \mathcal{B}_G(A, S) \wedge \mathcal{B}_G(B, T) \to \mathcal{B}_G(A \wedge B, S \wedge T).
\]
It is clear that the last of the natural transformations of (5.1) is compatible with the pairings. To see this for the second last one, one uses the naturality of the maps discussed in section 4. Write $K^*_G(X,Y)$ for any one of the six bivariant theories appearing in (5.1). The following proposition summarizes the preceding discussion.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let $X$, $Y$, $W$, $Z$, $S$, and $T$, be quasi-projective $G$-varieties. We have pairings

$$\boxtimes : K^*_G(X,Y) \wedge K^*_G(W,Z) \to K^*_G(X \times W,Y \times Z).$$

This pairing is associative in the sense that the two evident maps

$$K^*_G(X,Y) \times K^*_G(W,Z) \times K^*_G(S,T) \to K^*_G(X \times W \times S,Y \times Z \times T)$$

agree. Moreover, these pairings are compatible with the each of the natural transformations of (5.1).

Taking $X = W = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, here and below this is to be interpreted as $S^0$ in the topological case, in the external product defines the *external product for homology*

$$\Delta : K^*_G(\mathbb{C},Y) \wedge K^*_G(Z,\mathbb{C}) \to K^*_G(\mathbb{C},Y \times Z).$$

Specializing to $Y = Z = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ in the external pairing defines the *external product for cohomology*

$$\overline{\wedge} : K^*_G(X,\mathbb{C}) \wedge K^*_G(W,\mathbb{C}) \to K^*_G(X \times W,\mathbb{C}).$$

We define the cup product by specializing further to $X = W$ and composing with the pullback along the diagonal $\Delta : X \to X \times X$,

$$\cup : K^*_G(X,\mathbb{C}) \wedge K^*_G(X,\mathbb{C}) \to K^*_G(X,\mathbb{C}).$$

The cup-product turns $\pi_*K^*_G(X,\mathbb{C})$ into a graded ring, and even into a graded $\pi_*K^*_G(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$-algebra. Immediate from the definitions we have the following.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let $X$ be a quasi-projective $G$-variety. The natural maps

$$K^*_G : K^1(X,\mathbb{C}) \to K^G(X \times \Delta_{top},\mathbb{C}) \cong K^G_{sst}(X,\mathbb{C})$$

$$\to K^G_{qtop}(X^{an},\mathbb{C}) \cong K^G_{st}(X^{an},S^0) \to K^G(X^{an},S^0)$$

induced by (5.1) are graded ring homomorphisms.

Our second basic pairing is the composition pairing. There is a composition pairing

$$\theta_{X,Y,Z} : \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch/}}(X,G^V_Y(m)) \times \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch/}}(Y,G^V_Z(n)) \to \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch/}}(X,G^V_{Z}(mn))$$

defined as follows. Given a pair

$$([p : V^m_{X \times Y} \to \mathcal{M}],[q : V^n_{Y \times Z} \to \mathcal{N}])$$

we have the quotient object

$$[p \otimes q : V^m_{X \times Y \times Z} \otimes V^n_{Y \times Y \times Z} \to \pi^*_X \mathcal{M} \otimes \pi^*_Y \mathcal{N}]$$

where the isomorphism is via the lexicographical ordering, as in the definition of the external product pairing above, and $\pi^*_X$ and $\pi^*_Y$ are the evident projections. Now pushforward along the projection $\pi^*_X \mathcal{M} \otimes \pi^*_Y \mathcal{N}$ define $\theta(p,q)$

$$[\theta(p,q) : V^m_{X \times Z} \to (\pi^*_X \mathcal{M} \otimes \pi^*_Y \mathcal{N})].$$
It is easily verified that \( \theta_{X,Y,Z}(p,q) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C}}(X, G^Y_Z(mn)) \) as needed. Moreover if \( \alpha : m \to m' \) and \( \beta : n \to n' \) are injections then \( \theta(\alpha^*p, \beta^*q) = (\alpha \circ \beta)^*\theta(p,q) \) and thus \( \theta \) defines a pairing of \( I \)-diagrams. Abusing notation slightly, we also write
\[
\theta_{X,Y,Z} : \text{Hom}(X \times U, G^Y_Z(mn)) \times \text{Hom}(Y \times U, G^Y_Z(n)) \to \text{Hom}(X \times U, G^Y_Z(mn))
\]
for the pairing obtained by composing with the map \( G^Y_Z(n) \to G^Y_Z(n) \) defined by \( [q : Y \to M] \mapsto [Y \to X \times Y \to \pi_X \times Y] \). We thus obtain pairings
\[
\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_m, G^Y_Z(m)) \times \text{Hom}(Y \times \Delta^*_m, G^Y_Z(n)) \to \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_m, G^Y_Z(mn))
\]
and
\[
\text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_m, G^Y_Z(n)) \times \text{Hom}(Y \times \Delta^*_m, G^Y_Z(m)) \to \text{Hom}(X \times \Delta^*_m, G^Y_Z(mn)).
\]
After taking homotopy colimits we obtain the pairing of equivariant \( \Gamma \)-spaces
\[
\mathcal{A}_G(X, m \land n) \times \mathcal{A}_G(Y, n) \to \mathcal{A}_G(X, m \land n)
\]
where as usual \( m \land n \) is identified with \( mn \) via \( (i, j) \mapsto (i-1)n+j \), and similarly for \( A^G_{q\text{top}} \). For a space \( T \), \( \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(T, G^Y_Z(n)) = \text{colim}_{U \to \mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C}}(U, G^Y_Z(n)) \). With this observation, the definition of \( \theta \) readily extends to a pairing for \( A^G_{q\text{top}} \). We thus obtain natural pairings of \( G \)-spectra
\[
\theta : K_G(X, Y) \land K_G(Y, Z) \to K_G(X, Z),
\]
\[
\theta : K_G(X \times \Delta^*_m, Y) \land K_G(Y \times \Delta^*_m, Z) \to K_G(X \times \Delta^*_m, Z),
\]
\[
\theta : K^G_{q\text{top}}(X, Y) \land K^G_{q\text{top}}(Y, Z) \to K^G_{q\text{top}}(X, Z),
\]
and
\[
\theta : K^G_{q\text{top}}(X, Y) \land K^G_{q\text{top}}(Y, Z) \to K^G_{q\text{top}}(X, Z)
\]
Now for based \( G \)-CW-complexes \( S, T \), and \( U \) we define the pairing
\[
\theta_{S,T,U} : \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(S, F^Y_Z(m)) \times \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(T, F^Y_Z(n)) \to \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(S, F^Y_Z(mn))
\]
defined by sending a pair of \(*\)-maps
\[
(p : C_0(T) \to C_0(S) \otimes \text{End}_C(V^m), \; q : C_0(U) \to C_0(T) \otimes \text{End}_C(V^n))
\]
to the composite
\[
\text{End}_C(V^m) \xrightarrow{\Phi} C_0(T) \otimes \text{End}_C(V^n) \xrightarrow{p \otimes 1} C_0(S) \otimes \text{End}_C(V^m) \otimes \text{End}_C(V^n)
\]
\[
\to C_0(S) \otimes \text{End}_C(V^m \otimes V^n) \xrightarrow{\Psi} C_0(S) \otimes \text{End}_C(V^{mn}),
\]
where in the last map we have identified \( V^m \otimes V^n \) with \( V^{mn} \) via the lexicographical ordering as above. It is straightforward to check that \( \theta(\tilde{\alpha}p, \tilde{\beta}q) = \tilde{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\beta} \theta(p,q) \). We thus obtain a pairing of \( I \)-diagrams which gives a pairing of \( \Gamma \)-spaces and therefore a pairing of \( G \)-spectra
\[
\theta : bu_G(S, T) \land bu_G(T, U) \to bu_G(S, U).
\]
Similarly we have a pairing of \( W_G \)-spaces leading to a pairing of \( G \)-spectra
\[
\theta : bu_G(S, T) \land bu_G(T, U) \to bu_G(S, U).
\]

The pairing \( \theta \) enjoys the same properties as in the non-equivariant case, namely naturality, associativity and compatibility both with the pairing \( \boxtimes \) and with the natural transformations \( [\mathbb{X}] \). That is, the equivariant analogues of \cite{Wal02} Propositions 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6, all hold.

We define slant products and the cap product in the usual fashion. In the topological case, \( \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \) is interpreted as \( S^0 \).
Definition 5.6. As above, we write $K^G_\alpha$ for any one of the bivariant theories appearing in (5.1). Let $X$ and $Y$ be quasiprojective $G$-varieties resp. $G-CW$-complexes.

1. We define the slant product pairing
\[ / : K^G_\alpha(X \times Y, \mathbb{C}) \land K^G_\alpha(\mathbb{C}, Y) \to K^G_\alpha(X, \mathbb{C}) \]
by $a/b := \theta_{X,X \times Y, \mathbb{C}}(\tau(a \land (1_X \otimes b)))$, where $\tau$ is the obvious involution.

2. We define the slant product pairing
\[ \setminus : K^G_\alpha(X, \mathbb{C}) \land K^G_\alpha(\mathbb{C}, X \times Y) \to K^G_\alpha(\mathbb{C}, X) \]
by $a \setminus b := \theta_{\mathbb{C}, X \times Y, \mathbb{C}}(\tau(a \otimes 1_Y) \land b)$.

3. Finally, we define the cap product
\[ \cap : K^G_\alpha(X, \mathbb{C}) \land K^G_\alpha(\mathbb{C}, X) \to K^G_\alpha(\mathbb{C}, X) \]
by $a \cap b := a \setminus \Delta_* (b)$, where $\Delta : X \to X \times X$ is the diagonal embedding.

Again by definition, these products are compatible with the natural transformations (5.1).

As in [Wal02 Proposition 6.10] we observe that the operations given here coincide with the “classical” ones. (See [May96 section XIII.5] for a discussion of the “classical operations” in the equivariant setting.) The results [Wal02 Lemma 6.12, Proposition 6.13] also hold equivariantly and are needed later.

We can also use these pairings to define transfer maps for finite (but not necessarily dominant) equivariant morphisms $f : X \to Y$ between smooth projective complex $G$-varieties, for the bivariant equivariant $K$-theories we consider. Recall that we write $K^*_G(X, Y)$ for the algebraic $K$-theory of the exact category $P(G; X, Y)$ of coherent $G$-modules on $X \times Y$ which are finite and flat over $X$. Write $K'_\alpha(G; X, Y)$ for the $K$-theory groups of the abelian category $M(G; X, Y)$ of coherent $G$-modules on $X \times Y$ which are finite over $X$. To define these transfer maps, we make use of the following equivariant analog of [Wal02 Lemma 6.14].

Lemma 5.7. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth quasi-projective $G$-varieties, with $Y$ projective. The natural mapping
\[ K^G_\alpha,alg(X, Y) \to K'_\alpha(G; X, Y) \]
induced by the inclusion $P(G; X, Y) \subseteq M(G; X, Y)$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof of [Wal00 Lemma 2.2] concerning the nonequivariant bivariant $K$-theory with $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\times s}$ generalizes to equivariant $K$-theory and $X$ an arbitrary smooth quasi-projective $G$-variety if one replaces the subscheme $D$ in the proof by the union $GD := \cup_{g \in G} gD$. The map $GD \to X$ is quasi-finite as $D \to X$ is and because $G$ is finite. To see that it is proper (and hence finite), one uses again that $G$ is finite. The same argument as in [Wal00 Lemma 2.3] then yields the equivalence for $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$. For an arbitrary $Y$ with non-trivial $G$-action we have a finite, surjective equivariant map $Y \to Y/G$. We may assume that $Y/G$ is connected and applying Noether normalization to $Y/G$ we obtain a finite, surjective equivariant map $Y \to \mathbb{P}^n$. Arguing as in [Wal02 Lemma 6.14] we see that the result for $Y$ follows from the result for $\mathbb{P}^n$. \(\square\)

When $X$, $Y$ are smooth with $Y$ projective, the previous lemma, Proposition 3.10 and the sequence of natural transformations 5.1 implies that a class $[M] \in K'_0(G; X, Y)$ naturally defines a class in each of the bivariant theories in (5.1). We
will write $[\mathcal{M}]$ as well for the class induced in any one of these bivariant theories.

We continue to use the notation $K_G^*$ for any one of the bivariant theories appearing in $[5.1]$ and write $K^G_{*,*}$ for its homotopy groups.

**Definition 5.8.** Let $f : Y \to X$ be a finite morphism of smooth projective complex $G$-varieties, and let $[\Gamma^f_Y] \in K^G_{0,alg}(X,Y)$ be the element represented by the transpose of the graph of $f$. We define transfer maps

$$f_* : K^G_{*,*}(Y,Z) \to K^G_{*,*}(X,Z)$$

and

$$f^* : K^G_{*,*}(Z,X) \to K^G_{*,*}(Z,Y)$$

by $f_*(a) := \theta([\Gamma^f_Y], a)$ and $f^*(b) := \theta(b, [\Gamma^f_Y])$.

Note that the notation $f^*$ is used both for the transfer map in the second variable as well as the usual contravariance in the first variable (and a similar overlap for the meaning of $f_*$). We adopt this notation to conform to [Wal02].

The compatibility of the pairings $\theta$ with the natural transformations $[5.1]$ between the various equivariant $K$-theories implies that these natural transformations are also compatible with the transfer maps.

The cup product pairing $[5.3]$ gives $\text{bu}_G(W,S^0)$ the structure of a graded commutative ring. We now relate this ring to $KU_G^{-\alpha}(W)$, the periodic equivariant complex $K$-theory. For details on equivariant $K$-theory we refer the reader to [May96] chapter XIV or [Seg68]. Recall that $KU_G^0(S^0) = R(G)$, the complex representation ring. For real representations $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Rep}_G(G)$, the tensor product of bundles defines a product

$$KU_G^{-\alpha}(W) \otimes KU_G^{-\gamma}(W) = KU_G^0(S^\alpha \wedge W) \otimes KU_G^0(S^\gamma \wedge W)$$

$$\to KU_G^0(S^\alpha \wedge W \wedge S^\gamma \wedge W) \to KU_G^0(S^\alpha \wedge S^\gamma \wedge W) = KU_G^{-\alpha-\gamma}(W),$$

making $\oplus_{\alpha \in \text{Rep}_G(G)} KU_G^{-\alpha}(W)$ into a ring.

**Proposition 5.9.** For any based, compact $G$-CW-complex $W$, there is a natural isomorphism of graded rings

$$\oplus_{\alpha \in \text{Rep}_G(G)} \text{bu}_G(W,S^0) \cong \oplus_{\alpha \in \text{Rep}_G(G)} KU_G^{-\alpha}(W).$$

**Proof.** The argument is similar to that of [Wal02 Proposition 6.18]. Briefly, we have that $\text{bu}_G(W,S^0) = KU_G^0(W)$ since by Corollary $[3.38]$ both groups are the quotient of $KU^0(W)$ by the subgroup $KU^0(S^0)$. From Corollary $[3.34]$ it follows that $\text{bu}_G^0(W,S^0) = \text{bu}_G^0(S^\alpha \wedge W,S^0)$ therefore it suffices to show that the diagram

$$\text{bu}_G^0(W,S^0) \otimes \text{bu}_G^0(W,S^0) \to \text{bu}_G^0(W,S^0)$$

$$\text{bu}_G^0(S^\alpha,S^0) \otimes \text{bu}_G^0(S^\gamma,S^0) \to \text{bu}_G^0(S^\alpha \wedge S^\gamma \wedge W,S^0)$$

commutes. This is easily seen to hold by definition of the cup product. 

For any complex representation $V$ there is a Bott element $\beta_V \in KU_G^0(S^V)$ such that for any $X$, multiplication by $\beta_V$ is an isomorphism

$$- \cup \beta_V : KU_G^0(X) \cong KU_G^0(X \wedge S^V).$$
In particular there is a Bott element $\beta_2 \in KU_G^{-2}(S^0) = bu_G^2(S^0, S^0)$ corresponding to the trivial one-dimensional complex representation. We refer the reader to [May96, Section XIV.3] and of course to [Seg68] for details on equivariant Bott periodicity.

**Corollary 5.10.** Let $W$ be a based, compact $G$-CW-complex. There is a natural map of graded rings

$$\oplus_{\alpha \in RO(G)} bu_G^\alpha(W, S^0) \to \oplus_{\alpha \in RO(G)} KU_G^{-\alpha}(W).$$

Let $\Lambda$ be a complete set of irreducible complex representations. Inverting the Bott elements corresponding to $\Lambda$ yields an isomorphism of $RO(G)$-graded rings

$$\oplus_{\alpha \in \text{Rep}(G)} bu_G^\alpha(W, S^0)[\beta_V^{-1}, V \in \Lambda] \xrightarrow{\cong} \oplus_{\alpha \in RO(G)} KU_G^{-\alpha}(W).$$

**Proof.** For any representation $\alpha \in \text{Rep}(G)$ and any complex representation $V$ we have isomorphisms

$$- \cup \beta_V : bu_G^\alpha(W, S^0) \xrightarrow{\cong} bu_{\alpha + V}(W, S^0).$$

If $\gamma$ is a real representation then $\gamma \oplus \gamma$ can be given the structure of a complex representation. For real representations $\alpha, \gamma \in \text{Rep}(G)$ the composition

$$bu_G^{\alpha - \gamma}(W, S^0) \xrightarrow{\beta_\alpha} bu_G^{\alpha + \gamma}(W, S^0) \to KU_G^{-\alpha - \gamma}(W) \xrightarrow{\beta_V^{-1}} KU_G^{-\alpha + \gamma}(W)$$

defines the desired map $\oplus_{\alpha \in RO(G)} bu_G^\alpha(W, S^0) \to \oplus_{\alpha \in RO(G)} KU_G^{-\alpha}(W)$. The second statement is immediate. \(\square\)

6. **Comparing semi-topological and topological equivariant $K$-theory**

The main result of this section is Theorem 6.5 below, where we show that Bott-inverted equivariant semi-topological $K$-theory and equivariant topological $K$-theory agree for projective $G$-varieties. In the next section, we will see that this yields a new proof of the equivariant version of Thomason’s theorem. Similar to [Wal02, Theorem 7.11], Theorem 6.5 follows by combining three ingredients: Theorem 4.1, the compatibility of operations established in the previous section, and Theorem 6.3 below comparing the action of certain operations with multiplication by the Bott element. The most significant difference is that unlike in the nonequivariant case $ku_G^{-1}(-)$ need not satisfy Poincaré duality. Consequently, we have to modify several arguments.

We will write $ku_G^\alpha(-) = bu_G^\alpha(-, S^0)$ and $ku_G^\alpha(-) = bu_G^\alpha(S^0, -)$ in this section. By Corollary 5.5 we have natural maps of graded rings

$$K_G^{2, \text{sst}}(X, \mathbb{C}) \to ku_G^{*-}(X^{an}) \to KU_G^{*-}(X^{an}).$$

In this and the next section $*$ will always denote $\mathbb{Z}$-grading.

**Definition 6.2.** Write $\beta_2 \in K_G^{2, \text{sst}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ for the element corresponding to the Bott element $\beta_2 \in ku_G^{-2}(S^0)$ (see the discussion preceding Corollary 5.10) under the isomorphism $K_G^{2, \text{sst}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong ku_G^{-2}(S^0)$ obtained from Theorem 4.1. The element $\beta_2 \in K_G^{2, \text{sst}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ is referred to as the semi-topological Bott element.
By Corollary 5.10 the right map of (6.1) induces an isomorphism of graded rings \( \mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an})[\beta_2^{-1}] \cong KU_G^{-*}(\mathcal{X}^{an}) \). In Theorem 5.3 below we show that that when \( X \) is a smooth and projective complex \( G \)-variety, the maps (6.1) induce isomorphisms

\[
K^*_G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})[\beta_2^{-1}] \cong \mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an})[\beta_2^{-1}] \cong KU_G^{-*}(\mathcal{X}^{an}).
\]

**Definition 6.3.** We define \( \delta_X \in K_0^G(X \times X) \) to be the class of the coherent \( G \)-module \( \mathcal{O}_\Delta \). We also write \( \delta_X \in K^*_{G, sst}(X \times X) \) for its image in semi-topological \( K \)-theory, and \( \delta_{X^{an}} \) for its image in either \( \mathop{ku}^*_0(\mathcal{X}^{an} \times \mathcal{X}^{an}) \) or \( KU^*_0(\mathcal{X}^{an} \times \mathcal{X}^{an}) \).

Note that \( \delta_X = \Delta_*(1) \), where \( \Delta_* \) is the transfer map, defined in Definition 5.8.

The remaining ingredient for the proof of Theorem 6.5 is the following.

**Theorem 6.4** (c.f. [Wal02, Theorem 7.10]). Let \( X \) be a smooth complex projective \( G \)-variety of dimension \( d \). There are classes \( [X] \in K^*_G, sst(\mathbb{C}, X) \) and \( \delta_X \in K^*_0, sst(X \times X, \mathbb{C}) \) such that the composition

\[
K^*_G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{-\cdot [X]} K^*_{G, sst}(\mathcal{X}, X) \xrightarrow{\delta_X/-} K^*_{G, sst}(X, \mathbb{C})
\]

coincides with multiplication by \( \beta^d_2 \cup u \), for some unit \( u \in K^*_0, sst(X, \mathbb{C}) \). Similarly there are classes \( [X^{an}] \in \mathop{ku}^*_0(\mathcal{X}^{an}) \) and \( \delta_{X^{an}} \in \mathop{ku}^*_0(\mathcal{X}^{an}) \) such that

\[
\mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an}) \xrightarrow{-\cdot [X^{an}]} \mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{X^{an}}/-} \mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an})
\]

coincides with multiplication by \( \beta^d_2 \cup v \) for some unit \( v \in \mathop{ku}^*_0(\mathcal{X}^{an}) \).

The proof of this theorem will occupy the remainder of this section but first, we prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 6.5.** Let \( G \) be a finite group, and let \( X \) be a smooth complex projective \( G \)-variety of dimension \( d \). Then the map of (6.1) induces an isomorphism

\[
K^*_G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})[\beta_2^{-1}] \cong \mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an})[\beta_2^{-1}] \cong KU^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an}).
\]

**Proof.** That the right map is isomorphism is the second part of Corollary 5.10. The argument that the left map is an isomorphism is the same as [Wal02, Theorem 7.11], in the nonequivariant case. Namely, we consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^*_G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{-\cdot [X]} & K^*_G, sst(\mathcal{X}, X) & \xrightarrow{\delta_X/-} & K^*_G(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}) \\
\mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an}) & \xrightarrow{-\cdot [X^{an}]} & \mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{X^{an}}/-} & \mathop{ku}^*_G(\mathcal{X}^{an})
\end{array}
\]

which commutes by the compatibility of operations established in the previous section. Using properties of the operations, one can see that the horizontal maps are multiplication by \( \delta_X[X] \). Moreover, by Theorem 6.4 they are both multiplication by \( \beta^d_2 \cup u \) up to a unit in \( K^*_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}) \) (resp. in \( \mathop{ku}^*_0(\mathcal{X}^{an}) \)). The result follows easily by a simple diagram chase.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.4. After some important modifications, its proof is similar to the nonequivariant case and we focus our attention on the necessary modifications. First, we recall some facts about equivariant complex orientation and Poincaré duality. These are significantly more complicated in the equivariant setting, but we can simplify things by restricting...
our attention to those theories which are complex stable. See [May96, Chapter XVI.9] and [LMSM86, Chapter III.6] for a general and comprehensive treatment of these topics. A useful summary of Poincaré duality for complex stable theories may be found in [GW08]. Recall that an equivariant cohomology theory $E^*_G(\cdot)$ is said to be complex stable if for each complex representation $V$, there is a class $\sigma_V \in \tilde{E}^*_G(S^V)$ which gives isomorphisms
\[
\tilde{E}^*_G(S^V) \cong \tilde{E}^*_G(S^V \wedge X),
\]
for any $G$-space $X$. As equivariant complex topological $K$-theory satisfies Bott periodicity, it is complex stable.

Let $E$ be a commutative ring $G$-spectrum representing a complex stable cohomology theory and $M$ a smooth $G$-manifold. For any $x \in M$ the slice theorem implies that there are isomorphisms $E^*_G(M, M - G\{x\}) \cong \tilde{E}^*_G(G_+ \wedge_{G_x} S^{V_x})$ and $E^*_G(M, M - G\{x\}) \cong \tilde{E}^*_G(G_+ \wedge_{G_x} S^{V_x})$ where $G_x \subseteq G$ is the isotropy subgroup of $x$ and $V_x$ is the tangent space to $M$ at $x$ (see e.g. [GW08, Lemma 3.1]).

**Definition 6.6.** Let $E$ be as above and $M$ a smooth $G$-manifold of dimension $n$. For an $x \in M$ let $\phi_{G(x)}$ denote the composition
\[
E^*_G(M) \to E^*_G(M, M - G\{x\}) \cong \tilde{E}^*_G(G_+ \wedge_{G_x} S^{V_x}) \cong \tilde{E}^*_G(S^{V_x}),
\]
where the first map is induced by the inclusion of pairs $(M, \emptyset) \subseteq (M, M - G\{x\})$, the second is the isomorphism from the paragraph above and the third is the change of groups isomorphism. An element $[M] \in \tilde{E}^*_G(M)$ is called a fundamental class for $M$ if $\phi_{G(x)}([M])$ is an $\tilde{E}^*_G(S^{V_x})$-module generator of $\tilde{E}^*_G(S^{V_x})$ for all $x \in M$.

**Definition 6.7.** Let $E$ be as above and $M$ a smooth compact complex $G$-manifold of complex dimension $d$. For any orbit $i_{G(x)} : G\{x\} \to M$ let $\psi_{G(x)}$ denote the composition
\[
E^*_G(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta) \to E^*_G(M \times G\{x\}, M \times G\{x\} - \Delta(G\{x\})) \cong E^*_G(G_+ \wedge_{G_x} S^{V_x}) \cong E^*_G(S^{V_x})
\]
where the first map is obtained from the map of pairs induced by $id \times i_{G(x)}$. An element $t_M \in \tilde{E}^*_G(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta)$ is called a Thom class for $M$ if $\psi_{G(x)}(t_M)$ is an $\tilde{E}^*_G(S^{V_x})$-module generator of $\tilde{E}^*_G(S^{V_x})$ for all $x \in M$.

**Lemma 6.8.** Let $M$ be a smooth complex compact $G$-manifold of complex dimension $d$ and $E$ a commutative ring $G$-spectrum representing a complex stable cohomology theory. There is a bijection between $E$-Thom classes for $M$ and $E$-fundamental classes for $M$.

**Proof.** This is [LMSM86, Proposition III.6.7]. One needs to observe that the definitions used there agree with the ones used here, as one can see using Remark 6.11 below.

As a result of Bott periodicity and the Thom isomorphism for $KU^*_G$, any smooth complex compact $G$-manifold $M$ of complex dimension $d$ has a Thom class in $KU^*_G(M)$ in the sense above and therefore it has a fundamental class. The map
\[
\cap[M] : KU^*_G(M) \cong KU^*_G(M)
\]
is an isomorphism by \cite[III.6.4]{LMSM} (or see \cite[Theorem 3.6]{GW}). This is the equivariant Poincaré duality isomorphism.

We know that $\ku^G_i(\cdot)$ is in general not complex stable (see e.g. \cite[Section 4]{Gre}). Therefore, unlike in \cite{Wal02}, we work with periodic rather than with connective equivariant $K$-theory from now on. This is not a problem by the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.9.** Let $W$ be a finite dimensional $G$-CW complex. Then

$$\ku^G_i(W) \xrightarrow{\cong} KU^G_i(W)$$

is an isomorphism for $i \geq \dim(W)$.

**Proof.** Let $W^{(n)}$ denote the $n$-skeleton of $W$. For each $n$, $W^{(n)}/W^{(n-1)}$ is wedge of spheres of the form $S^n \wedge G/H_+$. We show that $\ku^G_i(W^{(n)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} KU^G_i(W^{(n)})$ is an isomorphism for $i \geq n$ and an injection of $i = n - 1$. The map of $G$-spectra $\ku^G \to KU^G$ induces a comparison of long-exact sequences

$$\xymatrix{ \cdots & \ku^G_i(X^{(n)}) & \ku^G_i(X^{(n+1)}) & \ku^G_i(X^{(n+1)}/X^{(n)}) & \cdots \ar[l] & \cdots \ar[l] & \ku^G_i(X^{(n)}) & \ku^G_i(X^{(n+1)}) & \ku^G_i(X^{(n+1)}/X^{(n)}) & \cdots \ar[l] & \cdots & \ar[l] }$$

The right-hand map is a sum of maps of the form

$$\ku^G_i(S^{n+1} \wedge G/H_+) \to KU^G_i(S^{n+1} \wedge G/H_+).$$

Via the change of groups isomorphism it is identified with

$$\ku^H_{i-n-1}(S^0) \to KU^H_{i-n-1}(S^0)$$

which is an isomorphism for $i \geq n + 1$ and $\ku^H_{i-n-1}(S^0) = 0$ otherwise. □

The lemma allows us to lift fundamental classes to the equivariant homology theory $\ku^G_i$ and thus to the semi-topological equivariant $K$-homology as well.

**Definition 6.10.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective complex $G$-variety of complex dimension $d$ and $[X^{an}] \in KU^G_{2d}(X^{an})$ a fundamental class. Define classes $[X^{an}] \in \ku^G_{2d}(X^{an})$ and $[X] \in K^{G,sst}_d(\mathbb{C},X)$ to be lifts of $[X^{an}] \in KU_{2d}(X^{an})$ under the isomorphisms $K^{G,sst}_d(\mathbb{C},X) \cong \ku^G_{2d}(X^{an}) \cong KU^G_{2d}(X)$ provided by Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 6.9.

**Remark 6.11.** There are several equivalent descriptions of the Thom space and thus of the cohomology groups in which Thom classes live. Let $p : X \to B$ be a complex vector bundle of rank $n$ with zero section $s$ and let $E^*_{\text{or}}$ a complex orientable cohomology theory. The Thom space $Th(p)$ is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy cofiber of $X - s(B) \to X$. If $B$ is compact, then the one point compactification $X^+$ is homeomorphic to $Th(p)$ and the Thom isomorphism for topological $K$-theory is often stated using $\tilde{KU}^*(X^+)$. In \cite{Wal02}, Thom classes for $M$ live in the cohomology group $E_{\text{or}}^*(M \times M)$, defined using the homotopy cofiber of $M \times M - \Delta \to M \times M$. This compares to the Thom space of its tangent bundle as the normal bundle of $\Delta : M \to M \times M$ is isomorphic to the tangent bundle of $M$ (see e.g. \cite[Lemma 11.5]{MSZ}). All of these weak equivalences remain valid in the equivariant case, as well.
We can to establish an equivariant generalization of [Wal02] Lemma 7.8, with $\text{ku}$ replaced by $KU$.

**Lemma 6.12.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective complex $G$-variety of dimension $d$. Let $j^* : KU_G^d(X^G \times X^G, X^G \times X^G - \Delta) \to KU_G^d(X^G \times X^G)$ be the map forgetting the support. Then $\delta_X = (\beta_2 d) \cup j^*(t)$ for some Thom class $t \in KU_G^d(X^G \times X^G, X^G \times X^G - \Delta)$.

**Proof.** We roughly follow Walker’s proof. We claim that the element $\delta_X$ lifts to an element $\tilde{\delta}_X \in KU_G(\text{an } X^G, X^G - \Delta)$ such that the restriction of $\tilde{\delta}_X$ to $KU_G^0(X^G \times X^G, X^G - \Delta G^G(x)) = KU_{G, \Delta G^G(x)}^0(X \times G \{x\})$ is a generator for any orbit $i_G(x) : G \{x\} \to X$. Using Bott periodicity, we therefore have that the element $\beta_2 d \cup \tilde{\delta}_X \in KU_G^{d, \Delta}(X^G \times X^G)$ is a Thom class in the sense of Definition 0.7, from which the result follows.

To begin we consider algebraic $K$-theory. Consider the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_G^0(X) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} & K_G^0(X \times X) \\
(i_x)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_X \times i_G(x) \\
K_G^0(\{x\}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta'_*} & K_G^0(X \times G \{x\})
\end{array}
$$

The right-hand square is evidently commutative. The commutativity of the left-hand square follows from the commutativity of

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_G^0(X) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} & K_G^0(X \times X) \\
(i_x)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_X \times i_G(x) \\
K_G^0(\{x\}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta'_*} & K_G^0(X),
\end{array}
$$

which can be seen by using that $\pi : X \to \{x\}$ gives a $G_x$-equivariant section of $i_x$.

The rows of the diagram are exact by [Tho87] Corollary 5.8 (that is the equivariant generalization of Quillen’s resolution theorem) and by [Tho87] Theorem 2.7. The left hand side square induces a commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_G^0(X) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} & K_G^0(X \times X) \\
(i_x)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_X \times i_G(x) \\
K_G^0(\{x\}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta'_*} & K_G^0(\{x\}),
\end{array}
$$

Write $\tilde{\delta}_X = \Delta'_*(1) \in K_G^0(\{x\})$, which evidently maps to the element $\delta_X = \Delta_*(1) \in K_G^0(X \times X)$. Moreover, we have $(i_x)^*(1) = 1 \in K_G^0(\{x\})$ as $(i_x)^*$ is a ring homomorphism. We conclude that $(\text{id}_X \times i_G(x))^*(\tilde{\delta}_X) = \Delta'_*(1)$. Now consider the natural transformation $\epsilon : K_G^0(-) \to KU^0_G(-)$ obtained as the composite

$$
K_G^0(X) \to K_G^{G, \text{st}}(X) \to KU^0_G(X^G, X^G).
$$

of the natural transformations from Theorem 3.22 and Corollary 4.14. The transformation $\epsilon$ is compatible with pullbacks, and it is a ring homomorphism. It is also compatible with pushforwards in both theories (where the push-forward in $K_G^0$ is the classical push-forward in (equivariant) algebraic $K$-theory and in $KU^0_G$ it is
the one of Definition 5.8 as a direct consequence of Definition 5.8. We therefore conclude that we have \((id_{X^{an}} \times i_G(x))^*(\delta_{X^{an}}) = \Delta'_n(1)\) in \(KU^0_{G,\Delta G(x)}(X \times G\{x\})\). Now since \(KU^0_{G,\Delta G(x)}(X \times G\{x\})\) is a free \(KU^0_G(G\{x\})\)-module of rank 1 and \(\Delta'_n\) is a \(KU^0_G(G\{x\})\)-module homomorphism we conclude that \((id_{X^{an}} \times i_G(x))^*(\delta_{X^{an}})\) generates \(KU^0_{G,\Delta G(x)}(X \times G\{x\})\) as required.

Proof of Theorem 6.4. The proof proceeds as in [Wal02 Theorem 7.10]. Namely the argument there shows that it suffices to show that \(\delta_X/[X] = \beta^d \cup u\) and \(\delta_{X^{an}}/[X^{an}] = \beta^d \cup v\) for units \(u\) and \(v\). The second equation follows from Lemma 6.12. Using Lemma 6.12 and the results about equivariant pairings from the previous section [Wal02 Proposition 7.9] generalizes to the equivariant setting, showing that \(\pi^*(\delta_Y/a) = \delta_X/\pi^*(a)\) for a finite flat equivariant morphism \(\pi : X \to Y\) of smooth projective \(G\)-varieties. It thus suffices to establish the result for \(Y = \mathbb{P}^d_C\), because we can always find a finite, surjective (and hence flat) equivariant map \(\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^d_C\). To see this, note that the quotient \(X \to X/G\) is finite, surjective and equivariant and we may assume \(X/G\) is connected so that Noether normalization yields a finite, surjective equivariant map \(X/G \to \mathbb{P}^d_C\).

It thus remains to see that \(\delta_{X^{an}}/[\mathbb{P}^d] = \beta^d \cup u',\) for a unit \(u' \in K_0^{G,\text{sst}}(\mathbb{P}^d_C, \mathbb{C})\). This follows from seeing that we have an isomorphism \(K_0^{G,\text{sst}}(\mathbb{P}^d_C, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbf{bu}^G_C(\mathbb{P}^d_C, S^0)\). To see this we can argue as in [FW02a Proposition 2.7] to see that we have an equivariant equivalence \(K_G(\Delta_{top}^*, \mathbb{C})^n \simeq K_G(\mathbb{P}^d_C \times \Delta_{top}^*, \mathbb{C})\) and a similar compatible equivalence for \(\mathbf{bu}^G_C\). The required isomorphism follows since \(K_G(\Delta_{top}^*, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbf{bu}^G_C(S^0, S^0)\).

7. Equivariant Thomason’s theorem

In this section, we explain how the work in the previous section gives an alternate proof of [Tho88 Theorem 5.9]. This requires proving the expected comparison theorem between algebraic and semi-topological equivariant \(K\)-theory with finite coefficients. Working with the \(\Delta_{top}^\bullet\)-construction rather than with the topological mapping spaces \(\text{Mor}(\_\, , \_\, )\) makes this particularly straightforward.

Let \(K_G(\_\, , \_\,)\) be the bivariant presheaf on quasi-projective complex \(G\)-varieties with values in positive \(\Omega\)-\(G\)-spectra produced in Section 3.3. By Proposition 3.10 we have that

\[
\pi^H_n K_G(X, Y) \cong \pi_n K(H; X \times \Delta_C^\bullet, Y)
\]

for any subgroup \(H < G\) and any \(n\), where \(K(H; X, Y)\) is the \(K\)-theory spectrum of the category of coherent \(H\)-modules on \(X \times Y\) which are finite and flat over \(X\). The following result, whose proof is as in [FW03 Theorem 2.6], allows us to apply the work of the previous section to equivariant algebraic \(K\)-theory. Unlike Theorem 4.1, the proof of the following theorem is rather formal and applies to equivariant theories other than \(K_G\), provided they satisfy an appropriate equivariant rigidity theorem.

**Theorem 7.1.** For a smooth quasi-projective complex \(G\)-variety \(X\) and any integer \(n > 0\), the maps \([3.17]\) induce equivariant weak equivalences

\[
K_G(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_G(X \times \Delta_{top}^\bullet; \mathbb{Z}/n) \xleftarrow{\cong} K_G^{sst}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)
\]

of \(G\)-spectra.
Proof. The right hand map is an equivariant weak equivalence by Lemma \[3.10\]. To show the left hand map is an equivariant weak equivalence, we must show that for all subgroups \( H \subseteq G \), the map \( \pi^H_* K_G(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to \pi^H_* K_G(X \times \Delta^\bullet_{top}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \) is an isomorphism. Using Proposition \[3.10\] the proof follows along the lines of the argument given in [FW03, Theorem 2.8]. That is, we consider the map of presheaves \( \mathcal{F} := \mathcal{K}(H; X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to \mathcal{G} := \mathcal{K}(H; X \times -, \mathbb{Z}/n) \) where the first presheaf is globally constant. By [YØ09] the map

\[ \pi_n \mathcal{K}(H; X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong \pi_n \mathcal{K}(H; X \times \mathcal{O}^h_{T,t}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \]

is an isomorphism, where \( T \) is any smooth variety, \( t \in T(\mathbb{C}) \), and \( \mathcal{O}^h_{T,t} \) is the corresponding Henselian local ring. This rigidity isomorphism allows us to conclude the result as in the proof of [FW03, Theorem 2.8]. That is, the map of presheaves \( \pi_n \mathcal{F}(\cdot) \to \pi_n \mathcal{G}(\cdot) \) becomes an isomorphism, upon sheafification, of étale sheaves on \( Sm/\mathbb{C} \). It therefore becomes an isomorphism upon further sheafification, of sheaves in the \( uad \)-topology on \( Sch/\mathbb{C} \) because resolutions of singularities are \( uad \)-covers. Thus one may apply [FW03, Theorem 2.6] in order to conclude the result.

Using the preceding theorem, we may lift the element \( \beta_2 \in K_2^{G,sst}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \) to an element \( \beta \) in algebraic \( K \)-theory with finite coefficients. Proposition \[5.5\] implies that the isomorphism \( K_\ast(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong K_\ast^{G,sst}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) \) from the previous theorem is a graded ring isomorphism and therefore

\[ K_\ast(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)[\beta^{-1}] \cong K_\ast^{G,sst}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)[\beta_2^{-1}] \]

keeping in mind the usual warning (see e.g. [Tho85, A.6]) concerning very small values of \( n \). Also note that by [Tho85, p. 503], the algebraic Bott element \( \beta \) Thomason considers really is a lift of the topological one. Combining this theorem with the one of the previous section, we obtain a new proof of [Tho85, Theorem 5.9] for finite groups:

**Theorem 7.2.** For any smooth projective complex \( G \)-variety \( X \) and any integer \( n > 0 \), we have a natural isomorphism of graded rings

\[ K^G_\ast(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)[\beta^{-1}] \cong KU^{G,sst}_\ast(X^{an}, \mathbb{Z}/n). \]

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem \[5.5\] and Theorem \[3.10\].
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