Sarcoptes scabiei infestation does not alter the stability of ectoparasite communities
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Abstract

Background: The host represents a heterogeneous ecosystem where multiple parasite species co-occur and interact with each other for space and resources. Although these interactions may rule the features of an infracommunity and may shape the infracommunity response to external perturbations, the resilience of ectoparasite communities to new infestations remains poorly explored.

Methods: We analysed the composition of the ectoparasite communities found on 214 individual Iberian ibexes (Capra pyrenaica) inhabiting the Sierra Nevada Natural Space, southern Spain. Using classification and regression trees, we explored how the presence of Sarcoptes scabiei (a highly contagious mite), the off-host environment and the host sex govern the prevalence and abundance of lice and ticks. Null model analysis was applied to assess the impact of S. scabiei on the structure of the ectoparasite communities.

Results: Our results suggest that S. scabiei infestation acts in tandem with off-host environment and host sex to define the prevalence and abundance of lice and ticks. We also provided evidence for differences in species co-occurrence only at the early stages of S. scabiei infestation. Regarding species diversity, we recorded that ectoparasite communities in scabietic ibexes reached a high richness faster than those in healthy individuals.

Conclusions: Even though we show that ectoparasite burden is correlated with S. scabiei infestation, off-host environment and host sex, the species response to S. scabiei infestation and climate seem to be highly variable and influenced by ectoparasite life-history traits. Ectoparasite communities also appear resilient to perturbations which is in agreement with what was previously reported for endoparasites. Future refinement of sample collection and the incorporation of ecological and epidemiological-related variables may allow us to establish causal effects and deepen the knowledge about the mechanisms and consequences of ectoparasite interactions.
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Background

The host represents a heterogeneous ecosystem encompassing a wide range of linked microhabitats (Table 1). From the host’s skin surface to the host’s inner organs, each microhabitat has particular characteristics that influence the prevalence and abundance of parasite populations [1]. However, the tissue tropism and the fundamental niche of a parasite species are not only influenced by microhabitat suitability. The host immune and defensive responses (top-down regulation) as well as the within-host competition (exploitation, apparent and interference) for space and resources (bottom-up regulation) are believed to act, synergistically or not, in the maintenance of parasite specificity and parasite burdens [2]. Gaining a broader understanding of parasite interactions within host is of paramount importance not only because such interactions drive several features of an infracommunity but also because may shape disease dynamics [3].

Although the vast majority of studies reporting interspecific interactions has been achieved at laboratory level and focused on helminths and microparasites [4], recent experiments have started to explore the interactions between endo and ectoparasites [5, 6]. However,
thus far the mechanisms of parasite co-infection and the resilience of infracommunities to external perturbations remain poorly understood [7, 8]. For instance, infracom-munity interactions between different ectoparasite taxa have only recently begun to receive attention. By inducing external perturbations through the application of acaricides in the ectoparasite community of a wild mammal, recent research showed that ectoparasites in-
teractions affect host susceptibility to other ectopara-
sites as well as the distribution of ectoparasites among hosts [9, 10]. It also suggested that species with differ-
ing life-history traits are affected by perturbations in different ways [9, 10]. Yet how ectoparasite communi-
ties respond to infestations caused by highly contagious mites, namely those infestations able to alter hosts’ phenotype, remains unclear. Several parasite species may be involved, directly or indirectly, in ecosystem en-
gineering processes either causing immune and struc-
tural changes in their hosts or altering host’s traits [11]. Parasite-mediated changes can range from antagonistic to facilitating the establishment and growth of existing or subsequent parasites [12]. Consequently, the infestation by one virulent parasite may have important implications in the dynamics of within-host ectoparasite communities.

*Sarcoptes scabiei* has become endemic in many mammal populations across Europe, affecting in particular the population dynamics of wild ungulates [13]. Dramatic structural damages and functional changes in the host skin can result from mange infestation (Fig. 1). These lesions are particularly evident within the winter/spring period [14, 15]. The lesions are first localised but after several weeks the pruritic skin is accompanied by erythematous eruptions, hyperkeratosis, alopecia and hypersensitivity [16]. By altering this particular micro-
habitat, *S. scabiei* may play an important role as an ecosystem engineer. This study aims to test a series of hypotheses regarding how engineering parasites such as *S. scabiei*, off-host environment and host sex rule the prevalence, abundance and structure of the remaining ectoparasite (in this case lice and ticks) communities, using the Iberian ibex (*Capra pyrenaica*) as a model host. Lice are small, wingless and flattened ectoparaspites, living permanently on their hosts. One group (Ischnocera: Trichodectidae, represented in our study by *Bovicola crassipes*) feeds on host skin debris, and the other one (Anoplura: Linognathiidae, represented in our study by *Linognathus stenopsis*) has a hematophagous behaviour. These groups are endowed with specific adaptations enabling them to live clinging to fur. In contrast to lice, ticks are long-lived ectoparasites, spending long intervals off the host between blood meals [17]. Given this contrast in life-history traits, we expect that lice burdens might be particularly influenced by *S. scabiei* infestation and tick burdens might be mainly sensitive to off-host environment (Hypothesis 1a). As the prevalence and abundance of both groups vary seasonally (lice, see [18]; ticks, see [19]), we also expect a pronounced seasonal pattern in ectoparasite (lice and ticks) burdens (Hypothesis 1b). Gender-biased parasitism in vertebrates has often been reported [20]. Although exceptions do exist [21], male vertebrates are generally more parasitised than females due to their lower immunocompetence [22], higher mobility [23] and larger body size [24]. Because ibexes are dimorphic [25], we expect that host sex will interact with *S. scabiei* infestation and off-host environment in shaping the prevalence and abundance of lice and ticks (Hypothesis 2). Parasite communities may be structured through direct and/or indirect interactions between multiple parasite species [26]. Parasites that alter particular traits of their hosts, creating or modifying existing micro-
habitats, constitute a noteworthy example of how a parasite species may promote or suppress the establish-
ment of subsequent parasites and/or disrupt the struc-
ture of native parasite communities [1]. As *S. scabiei*

---

**Fig. 1** Wild ibexes exhibiting different stages of mange severity. **a** Healthy ibex with no evidence of skin lesions (continuous microhabitat). **b** Mildly infested ibex presenting extensive alopecia on the face, abdomen, elbow and knees (loss of microhabitat). No evidence for hyperkeratotic and parakeratotic lesions. **c** Advanced case of *S. scabiei* infestation accompanied by an almost complete alopecia on the face, ears and neck (microhabitat becomes divided into several patches). Note thick crusts on the muzzle which may indicate the deficiency of a hypersensitivity response.
causes physical damages in the host skin and coat, we hypothesised that *S. scabiei* may promote changes in the structure and composition of ectoparasite (lice and ticks) communities (Hypothesis 3).

**Methods**

**Ethics statement**

This study complies with the Spanish and the Andalusian laws regarding bioethics and animal welfare. The Sierra Nevada National Park approved this study.

**Study area**

The Sierra Nevada Natural Space (SNNS; Latitude 36° 00′−37° 10′N, Longitude 2° 34′−3° 40′W) holds the largest and best known population of Iberian ibex in Andalusia [27]. This area is characterised by a Mediterranean subarctic climate experiencing seasonal and altitudinal gradients of temperature and precipitation [28]. The average monthly temperature ranges from -5 °C in February to 17 °C in July and may vary between 12 and 16 °C below 1,500 m and 0 °C above 3,000 m. Annual average precipitation is approximately 600 mm [29]. The snow is generally present between December and May; vegetation growth mainly occurs during summer months (June–August).

**Sampling procedure**

The data were collected from 214 ibexes (131 males and 83 females) shot-harvested between 2002 and 2008 on a monthly basis as part of a sarcastic mange control program devoted to manage ibex density and the spread of mange in the SNNS. Iberian ibexes of both sexes and all ages were shot-harvested whenever mange lesions were detected. Additionally, some ibexes were selectively harvested in the context of a population management program devoted to ensure the equilibrium of the Iberian ibex population. Sex was assigned by visual inspection while age determination was performed through horn-segment counts [30]. Ibexes were grouped into three age classes: kids (0 ≤ age ≤ 1 year, n = 20), yearlings (1 < age ≤ 2 years, n = 42) and adults (> 2 years, n = 152); kids were excluded from the analysis. The animals were categorised as healthy (ibexes without skin lesions), mildly infested (skin surface affected ≤ 50 %) and severely infested (skin surface affected > 50 %) [31]. Ectoparasites (lice and ticks) were systematically removed and counted by three observers during 15 min. Therefore, the number of lice and ticks represents an abundance index. Lice were treated with lactic acid and mounted with DePex medium. Ticks were fixed in 70 % ethanol. Lice and ticks were identified to the species level using the available descriptions [32–34].

**Environmental variables**

Climate and environmental moisture are some of the main factors governing the prevalence and abundance of ectoparasites and determine which species are found in a particular geographical extent. For instance, the temperature rules the duration of ticks’ life-cycle and together with environmental humidity and water availability shape their development and activity [19]. Lice are particularly sensitive to the on-host environment; however their reproductive traits, their survival off the host and their transmission could be increased by favorable off-host temperature and/or humidity [35]. Two variables were considered while assessing the role of off-host environment processes on the prevalence and mean abundance of lice and ticks. The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI [36]) was included in the analysis as a proxy of environmental moisture [37]. This variable was previously associated with ectoparasite burdens [35] and was recently linked to the dynamics and consequences of *S. scabiei* infestation in the Iberian ibex population of SNNS [38]. The NDVI was gathered from the MODIS repository (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer; http://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov) at a spatial resolution of 500 m and at a bi-monthly temporal resolution. Monthly mean temperature was acquired from the Mountain Meteorological Services website (http://meteoexploration.com/forecasts/Sierra-NevadaES/).

The month and season temperature was calculated as the mean of measures taken at constant (daily) time intervals. The values assignment was held considering the ectoparasites (lice and ticks) life-cycle and those periods when ticks are known to spend long intervals off-host (Table 2). To control for seasonal fluctuations in ectoparasite burdens and host-parasite interactions, we included two indices (the winterness and the springness) derived from the Julian day. Both indices range between -1 and 1. Winterness takes higher values (close to 1) if the Julian day represents the winter and lower values (close to -1) if the Julian day represents the summer. Springness behaves similarly, except that higher values (close to 1) represent the spring (see [39] for an introduction of circular statistics).

**Prevalence and mean abundance of ectoparasite species**

The prevalence and mean abundance [40] of ectoparasite (lice and ticks) species were estimated using the software Quantitative Parasitology 3.0 [41]. The confidence interval (CI) for prevalence was calculated through Sterne method [42], while bootstrap (BCa, 2,000 replications) were used to estimate the CI for the mean abundance.
Classification and regression trees
The contribution of *S. scabiei* infestation, off-host environment and host sex to the prevalence and mean abundance of lice and ticks (numerical response) were explored through classification (prevalence) and regression (abundance) trees (CART [43]). CART’s main advantage relies on its flexibility to handle interactions and nonlinearities among variables, predictive power and easy interpretation. The two main issues in constructing a reliable and informative tree model are to find good data splits and to avoid data over-fitting. The first can be addressed through the determination of information gain or node impurity measures (e.g. entropy, Gini index of diversity or misclassification error) whereas model over-fitting is reduced by pruning the tree [44]. In our analysis, the information gain was applied to select the best split and the complexity parameter was used in order to prune the tree and represent the data as simple and interpretable as possible. The prediction error rate in cross-validation procedure was used to assess the model reliability. CART models were fitted using the ‘rpart’ library [45] and plotted using the ‘rpart.plot’ library [46], R statistical software version 3.1.3 [47].

Null models
Null model analysis was used to explore whether pairwise associations among ectoparasite (lice and ticks) species occurred by chance or were influenced by the severity of *S. scabiei* infestation (functional response); these were also used to study the patterns of species diversity (richness) among healthy and scabietic hosts.

To assess patterns in species co-occurrence, three datasets, each representing a degree of *S. scabiei* infestation, were organised as $r \times c$ matrices where each row represents a species of ectoparasite and each column an individual ibex. The cells in the matrix denote the presence (1) or the absence (0) of a particular species of ectoparasite in a particular ibex. We assume individual ibexes as replicates to unveil repeated patterns of species co-occurrence. Co-occurrence was assessed by computing the number of checkerboard species pairs, the default co-occurrence index (C-score) and the number of species combinations. The number of checkerboard species was originally defined as an indicator of species competition [48]. This statistic identifies the number of pairs that do not occur together in any site, i.e. two or more ectoparasite species (lice and ticks) have mutually

### Table 1 Definition of terms

| Term                  | Definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ecosystem engineer    | Concept coined by [62] that refers to organisms that directly or indirectly alter the environment in which they occur. Their action may modify or create new habitats through their own physical structures (autogenic engineers) or through their activities (allogenic engineers). |
| Functional response   | Differences in tissue preferences or resources used by a species in response to interspecific competition [26]. It is an indicator that different parasite species are interacting.                                         |
| Infracommunity        | Includes all the infrapopulations that colonise a single host or an organ and interact with each other for space and resources [40].                                                                                                                                       |
| Microhabitat          | Small-scale environment where an organism or an assemblage of organisms naturally occur and interact, both directly and indirectly, with the biotic and abiotic elements.                                                                                                               |
| Numerical response    | Positive or negative variation of a particular infrapopulation size when facing the presence of another species [26]. It is an indicator that different parasite species are interacting.                                                                                  |
| Virulence             | Effects of an infection in host fitness, i.e. severity of the disease caused by a particular organism (damage-related concept [63]). It could also express the transmissibility of an organism, i.e. its capacity to grow and proliferate within a host [64]. |

### Table 2 Variables selected by the models and its respective measure units. In this study, we defined four calendar-based seasons

| Group of ectoparasites | Variable (unit)                      | Abbreviation |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Lice                   | Average temperature for the month of sampling (°C) | Temp         |
|                        | Average NDVI for the month of sampling (mm) | NDVI         |
| Ticks                  | Average summer temperature for the year of sampling (°C) | TS, t0       |
|                        | Average winter temperature for the year of sampling (°C) | TW, t0       |
|                        | Average autumn temperature for the year of sampling (°C) | TA, t0       |
|                        | Average spring NDVI for the year of sampling (mm) | NDVIS, t0    |
|                        | Average autumn NDVI for the year of sampling (mm) | NDVIA, t0    |
| Lice and Ticks         | Host sex                             | Sex          |
|                        | Degree of *S. scabiei* infestation    | Mange        |
|                        | Springness                           | Springness   |
|                        | Winterness                           | Winterness   |

Carvalho et al. Parasites & Vectors (2016) 9:379 Page 4 of 11
exclusive distributions among the sampled ibexes. The C-score is a measure of "checkerboardedness" that expands the concept of "checkerboard distributions". C-score quantifies the average number of checkerboard "units" for each species pair [49]. In our study, C-score determines the randomness of the distribution of n ectoparasite species (lice and ticks) through the sampled ibexes. Higher values of C-score are associated to a more segregated matrix/distribution, whereas a lower C-score is associated to a more aggregated matrix/distribution. The number of species combinations calculates the number of unique combinations represented in each ibex. In a competitively structured community, the following assumptions must be fulfilled: (i) the C-score should be significantly greater than expected by chance (O > E), and/or (ii) the number of checkerboard pairs of species should be larger than expected by chance (O > E), and/or (iii) the number of species combinations should be lower than expected by chance (O < E). In our analysis, we used a fixed-equiprobable algorithm in which we kept the observed rows fixed, i.e. the number of occurrences of each species in the null communities and in the original dataset is the same, and the columns were treated as equally likely, i.e. all ibexes share the same suitability to be invaded by an ectoparasite. The indices were calculated for each presence/absence matrix and compared with the expected indices computed for 5,000 randomised communities through Monte Carlo procedures.

Species richness is the most straightforward and easy-to-interpret measure of species diversity. In this study, one single dataset was created to develop species accumulation curves for each stage of S. scabiei infestation. The dataset was organised as a matrix where each row represents an ectoparasite species and each column the abundance of ectoparasites by stage of S. scabiei infestation. Species richness was assessed through a species accumulation curve in which the number of parasite species is measured as a function of sampling effort, e.g. the number of parasite individuals identified [50]. The shape and slope of the curve towards its asymptote are influenced by the prevalence and abundance of each parasite species and may vary considerably between infracommunities. The analyses were performed using the EcoSim 7.72 software [51].

Results

Among the ibexes analysed, 134 individuals (56 females and 78 males) were infested by S. scabiei. Apart from this mite, we identified two lice species (Bovicola crassipes and Linognathus stenopsis) and six species of ticks (Dermacentor marginatus, Haemaphysalis punctata, Haemaphysalis sulcata, Ixodes ricinus, Rhipicephalus bursa and Rhipicephalus turanicus). Prevalence and mean abundance were estimated for each ectoparasite species (Table 3). Ixodes ricinus was not considered in our analysis due to the small number of individuals collected (n = 2).

Classification tree results corroborate our first hypothesis (Hypothesis 1a) with S. scabiei only influencing the prevalence of lice species. However, S. scabiei affected lice species in different ways (Fig. 2). The presence of S. scabiei had a negative influence on the prevalence of B. crassipes but favored the prevalence of L. stenopsis. As expected (Hypothesis 1b), we detected a pronounced seasonal pattern in lice and ticks infestation. Abundances of lice and ticks increased up to a peak in winter/spring and then decreased during summer, which coincided with a period of relatively high temperature and low environmental humidity (Table 3). The influence of off-host environment on the prevalence and abundance of ectoparasites was evident in lice and ticks (Fig. 2).

Our classification and regression tree analyses indicated that host sex may interact with S. scabiei infestation and environmental drivers in shaping the prevalence and abundance of one louse species, L. stenopsis, which mainly occurred in infested female hosts. We did not, however, detect a clear influence of the host sex on tick burdens (Fig. 2).

Interestingly, the diversity of ectoparasites was observed to peak steeper in mildly- and severely infested animals than in healthy individuals (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the null model results suggest that the presence of S. scabiei altered the structure of the infracommunities only at the early stages of infestation. Co-occurrence analyses indeed showed that the observed C-score was only higher than expected by chance (O > E) for mildly infested animals (P-value < 0.05). This result suggests that a more segregated ectoparasite (lice and ticks) distribution occurred among the ibexes we sampled. However, no statistical significance for the C-score was detected for healthy and severely infested ibexes (P-value > 0.05), which suggests that there is no significant evidence for competitive exclusion in these groups. The observed number of checkerboard species combinations was not significantly lower or higher than expected. There was no reason to reject the null hypothesis that the number of checkerboard pairs was random, which means that no mutually exclusive distributions of lice and ticks were detected among the sampled ibexes. The same results were achieved for the number of species combinations suggesting that species co-occurrences were random at mildly and severe stages of S. scabiei infestation (Table 4).

Discussion

Co-occurring ectoparasite species interact with each other and with on-host and off-host environment. Despite such interactions may govern all features of an infracommunity, their synergistic or antagonistic effects have seldom been
assessed. We suggest that the combination of on-host and off-host features is necessary to understand the dynamics of ectoparasite communities in the wild.

A distinctive feature of our study showed that structural changes in the host skin and coat caused by allogenic engineers such as *S. scabiei* may act in tandem with other factors in defining the prevalence and abundance of ectoparasites, namely lice species. We found however that the impact of *S. scabiei* infestation on the ectoparasite community varies between species with differing life-history traits. For instance, whereas *B. crassipes* was more prevalent in healthy ibexes, *L. stenopsis* was particularly prevalent in severely infested hosts. Although our sampling effort and data collection protocols did not allow us to interpret these variations with confidence, we propose two possible explanations, which are not mutually exclusive. The establishment of *S. scabiei* modifies the on-host environment and decreases the microhabitat suitability to other ectoparasites. However, the divergence in the use of host resources by lice and ticks (the principle of limiting similarity, see [52]) and the existence of several unoccupied niches (Fig. 4) may explain why *S. scabiei* infestation has no adverse effects on the prevalence and abundance of certain ectoparasite species. Additionally, *S. scabiei* debilitates the host and induces changes in the immune response which generally increases host susceptibility to parasitism. Note that large ectoparasitic infestations generally reflect an immunocompromised state of the host individuals [53]. We further suggest that ectoparasite life-history traits provide plausible explanations for the diversity of responses to *S. scabiei* infestation. *Bovicola crassipes* (chewing louse) is indeed perfectly adapted to move within the fur which makes it particularly vulnerable to microhabitat loss. Alopecia would increase the susceptibility of this species to off-host environmental variability, therefore reducing lice survival and burdens in scabietic ibexes. In turn, *L. stenopsis* (sucking louse) is endowed with modified mouthparts for piercing host skin. This adaptation is likely to make this species less prone to microhabitat loss.

### Table 3

| Species                  | Period | Total no. examined | No. infested | Prevalence (95 % CI) | Mean abundance (95 % CI) |
|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| *Bovicola crassipes*     | Annual | 194                | 43           | 0.22 (0.17–0.29)     | 2.19 (1.13–5.56)         |
|                          | Winter | 80                 | 22           | 0.28 (0.19–0.39)     | 3.38 (1.25–10.21)        |
|                          | Spring | 72                 | 15           | 0.21 (0.13–0.32)     | 1.76 (0.69–5.06)         |
|                          | Summer | 11                 | 3            | 0.27 (0.07–0.59)     | 1.09 (0.09–3.45)         |
|                          | Autumn | 31                 | 3            | 0.09 (0.01–0.25)     | 0.48 (0.03–1.94)         |
| *Linognathus stenopsis*  | Annual | 194                | 39           | 0.20 (0.15–0.27)     | 3.49 (1.57–8.23)         |
|                          | Winter | 80                 | 23           | 0.29 (0.20–0.40)     | 5.18 (1.92–17.24)        |
|                          | Spring | 72                 | 9            | 0.13 (0.06–0.22)     | 2.72 (0.44–11.43)        |
|                          | Summer | 11                 | 2            | 0.18 (0.03–0.50)     | 2.64 (0.00–9.45)         |
|                          | Autumn | 31                 | 5            | 0.16 (0.07–0.34)     | 1.26 (0.35–3.32)         |
| *Dermacentor marginatus* | Annual | 194                | 10           | 0.05 (0.03–0.09)     | 0.21 (0.08–0.52)         |
|                          | Winter | 80                 | 5            | 0.06 (0.03–0.14)     | 0.34 (0.08–1.24)         |
|                          | Spring | 72                 | 5            | 0.07 (0.03–0.15)     | 0.18 (0.04–0.47)         |
|                          | Summer | 11                 | 0            | na                    | na                       |
|                          | Autumn | 31                 | 0            | na                    | na                       |
| *Haemaphysalis sp.*      | Annual | 194                | 34           | 0.18 (0.13–0.22)     | 0.64 (0.41–0.99)         |
|                          | Winter | 80                 | 21           | 0.26 (0.17–0.37)     | 0.95 (0.56–1.79)         |
|                          | Spring | 72                 | 6            | 0.08 (0.03–0.20)     | 0.40 (0.13–1.11)         |
|                          | Summer | 11                 | 1            | na                    | na                       |
|                          | Autumn | 31                 | 6            | 0.19 (0.09–0.37)     | 0.52 (0.19–0.97)         |
| *Rhipicephalus sp.*      | Annual | 194                | 92           | 0.47 (0.40–0.55)     | 3.38 (2.64–4.30)         |
|                          | Winter | 80                 | 41           | 0.51 (0.40–0.62)     | 3.40 (2.38–4.80)         |
|                          | Spring | 72                 | 35           | 0.49 (0.37–0.60)     | 3.94 (2.57–5.56)         |
|                          | Summer | 11                 | 5            | 0.46 (0.20–0.74)     | 4.82 (1.09–14.82)        |
|                          | Autumn | 31                 | 11           | 0.36 (0.21–0.53)     | 1.48 (0.68–2.71)         |
Fig. 2 Classification (left) and regression (right) trees from analyses to assessing the influence of *S. scabiei* infestation, environment and host sex on the prevalence and abundance of lice and ticks. Each node represented by the variable abbreviation (variable names as shown in Table 2) defines a split of the dataset and the branches define the path taken by each observation. Ellipses represent the terminal nodes of the tree model. The terminal nodes of classification tree models (left) indicate if an ectoparasite species is present (1) or absent (0) in relation to *S. scabiei* infestation, environment and host sex. The terminal nodes of regression tree models (right) express the variations in ectoparasite abundance in relation to the aforementioned variables. The prediction error rate for each model is presented in bold. Abbreviations: PERCT, prediction error rate for classification trees; PERRT, prediction error rate for regression trees.
Beyond the effects of S. scabiei infestation, our results suggest that the prevalence and abundance of lice and ticks follow a pronounced seasonal pattern and vary with off-host environment and host sex. We recorded slightly higher abundances of lice at colder and dryer periods. This trend was previously reported in lice populations occurring in sheep [54], feral ponies [55] and donkeys [18]. For ticks, we reported that D. marginatus and Haemaphysalis sp. were more prevalent and abundant under average low temperatures, which coincided with the winter/early spring periods. The genus Rhipicephalus recorded the highest prevalence and abundance among the species identified. The increasing activity of this genus seems to be correlated with humid and warm winter/spring seasons which contrasts with the results reported in other studies (northern Iran [56]; northern Greece [57]). We believe that host senility and immunocompromised state caused by S. scabiei infestation coupled with diet impoverishment noticeable in the winter contribute to higher burdens of parasitism during this period. Collectively, our results corroborate empirical evidence about the role of climate in the regulation of ticks' prevalence and abundance. However, we realise that each tick species has its own phenology which poses complications for comparative studies and for disentangling the effects of life-history traits, S. scabiei infestation and environmental conditions on the features of ticks' communities.

We reported a weak but female-biased ectoparasitism in the prevalence L. stenopsis. For the others ectoparasite (lice and ticks) species, no patterns were observed. The most likely reasons for this result may be related to gender differences in the social structure, phenology and prevalence of sarcoptic mange. Female ibexes are generally more gregarious which should increase the number and duration of body-to-body contacts and therefore the parasite prevalence, abundance and spread [58]. Almost one-third of females were sampled during the peripar-turient period. At this time, females are particularly susceptible to infestations due to immunosuppression. Further, the prevalence and severity of mange is significantly higher in males than in females [59] which may influence the burdens of ectoparasites (lice and ticks) among host sexes. The immune response of Iberian ibexes to S. scabiei is not influenced by host age [60].

| Index                | Mange severity | Observed Index | Mean of simulated indices | Variance of simulated indices | P-value (O ≤ E) | P-value (O ≥ E) |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| C-score              | Healthy        | 89.00          | 92.05                     | 5.21                          | 0.07            | 0.93           |
|                      | Mild           | 80.50          | 74.86                     | 3.60                          | 0.99            | 0.02           |
|                      | Severe         | 50.30          | 52.21                     | 3.11                          | 0.14            | 0.87           |
| Number of checkerboards | Healthy     | 2.00           | 2.57                      | 1.07                          | 0.40            | 0.78           |
|                      | Mild           | 1.00           | 1.28                      | 0.76                          | 0.63            | 0.82           |
|                      | Severe         | 1.00           | 0.75                      | 0.48                          | 0.86            | 0.60           |
| Number of species combinations | Healthy | 13.00          | 13.82                     | 0.93                          | 0.33            | 0.91           |
|                      | Mild           | 16.00          | 15.66                     | 1.16                          | 0.79            | 0.57           |
|                      | Severe         | 15.00          | 14.92                     | 1.11                          | 0.70            | 0.66           |
therefore, this variable was not included in the analysis. Additionally, our data also does not support that differences in vulnerability to parasitism are related to the age classes considered (yearlings and adults).

Regarding the expected changes that *S. scabiei* infestation may promote in ectoparasite community structure and composition, we reported differences in species co-occurrence only at early stages of *S. scabiei* infestation. This fact may indicate that infracommunities are resistant and resilient to external perturbation because after an early change of ectoparasite communities in response to *S. scabiei* infestation we detected a quick return to the initial condition, i.e. we recorded no significant differences in parasite co-occurrence patterns between healthy and severely infested ibexes. The stability of within-host communities in wild mammals was already experimentally demonstrated for endoparasites [6]. Knowles et al. [6], by reducing the burdens of nematode infestation through anthelminthic administration, reported a surprisingly stability of non-target parasite communities to perturbation. Here, we observed a similar response of ectoparasite communities when exposed to a natural and external perturbation such as the infestation by a highly contagious species. In addition, we recorded that scabietic ibexes reached higher parasite richness faster than healthy ibexes, i.e. the asymptote of species accumulation curves was reached first in scabietic ibexes. We conclude that *S. scabiei* may influence the diversity of infracommunities through alteration of host energy needs, thermoregulation and spatial behaviour. *Sarcoptes scabiei* infestation causes an increase of temperature in the affected skin due to hyperemia which makes infested hosts more prone to detection by ectoparasites. Note that one way of tick host-finding is by sensing heat loss from hosts’ body. Further, the disruption of homeostasis by *S. scabiei* made the movement of ibexes increasingly difficult, i.e. infested ibexes tend to exhibit abnormal movements and to move less than healthy ones [14]. Consequently, the home range of infested ibexes tends to decrease and this may create more chances for body-to-body contact and, therefore, for parasite transmission and spread among hosts [61]. Additionally, scabietic ibexes with lower home ranges use specific areas more intensively; this poses an increased risk of new infestations and several opportunities for some ectoparasites to attach. Using radio-collars it may be possible to confirm how the parasite diversity varies in relation to host home range and to which extent the severity of *S. scabiei* infestation affects the spatial behaviour of infested hosts.

**Conclusions**

Our study broadens our understanding of the dynamics of infracommunities and constitutes the first insight into the mechanisms underpinning co-infestation in a wild Iberian host experiencing a highly contagious mite infestation. More precisely, we explored how ecosystem engineering and allogenic processes carried out by a highly contagious parasite may impact the features of ectoparasite community. In our study, the numerical response of ectoparasite communities to external perturbations was highly variable and our results suggested that the presence of one highly contagious parasite may not negatively influence the presence and abundance of lice and ticks. Future refinement of sample collection aiming to control for uneven sampling effort and the...
potential bias caused by host and parasite phenology would serve to increase the reliability of our results and to assess the role of climatic fluctuations on the critical stages of tick’s development. The incorporation of further drivers, either ecologically related variables such as the habitat structure and altitude or epidemiologically related variables such as host population density and individual home range, will allow to make better predictions about the influence of external factors on both parasite counts and community structure. The quantification of attachment sites should be included in future studies to test the spatial competition between ectoparasite species within host. We advocate that manipulative experiments need to be run in order to clarify cause-effect relationships. Such detailed information will allow us to use advanced analytical tools (e.g. mechanistic models) to analyse, in greater detail, the mechanisms of parasite interactions and competitive exclusion at host level.
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