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ABSTRACT

Context. Cyg OB2 is one of the most massive associations of O-type stars in our Galaxy. Despite the large interstellar reddening towards Cyg OB2, many studies, spanning a wide range of wavelengths, have been conducted to more clearly understand this association. X-ray observations provide a powerful tool to overcome the effect of interstellar absorption and study the most energetic processes associated with the stars in Cyg OB2.

Aims. We analyse XMM-Newton data to investigate the X-ray and UV properties of massive O-type stars as well as low-mass pre-main sequence stars in Cyg OB2.

Methods. We obtained six XMM-Newton observations of the core of Cyg OB2. In our analysis, we pay particular attention to the variability of the X-ray bright OB stars, especially the luminous blue variable candidate Cyg OB2 #12.

Results. We find that X-ray variability is quite common among the stars in Cyg OB2. Whilst short-term variations are restricted mostly to low-mass pre-main sequence stars, one third of the OB stars display long-term variations. The X-ray flux of Cyg OB2 #12 varies by 37%, over timescales from days to years, whilst its mean log $L_X$ amounts to $\sim 10$.

Conclusions. These properties suggest that Cyg OB2 #12 is either an interacting-wind system or displays a magnetically confined wind. Two other X-ray bright O-type stars (MT91 516 and CPR2002 A11) display variations that suggest they are interacting binary systems.
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1. Introduction

The Cygnus OB2 association is one of the richest and most massive OB associations known in our Galaxy. These properties make it an interesting target for observations over a broad range of wavelengths from the radio to the \( \gamma \)-ray domain. Unfortunately, photometric and spectroscopic studies of Cyg OB2 in the UV (e.g. Herrero et al. 2001) and optical waveband (e.g. Massey & Thompson 1991; Torres-Dodgen et al. 1991; and Hanson 2003) are seriously hampered by the heavy and patchy absorption by dense molecular clouds. Nevertheless, the interest in this association has been boosted over the past decade by near-infrared studies, which have obtained a far more complete census of its stellar population, and by the discovery of two \( \gamma \)-ray sources, a GeV and a TeV source, in this direction. From 2MASS near-IR data, Knödlseder (2000) concluded that Cyg OB2 probably harbours about 2600 OB stars, among which 120 \( \pm 20 \) are O-stars, within an angular radius of about one degree. Although more recent studies suggest a slightly lower number of 90–100 O-type stars (Coméron et al. 2002), Cyg OB2 is certainly quite rich in massive stars and contains stars as early as O3 If∗ (Cyg OB2 #7). Accordingly, it has been suggested that the stellar winds of this concentration of massive stars in Cyg OB2 could be the origin of the slightly extended, unidentified TeV source TeV J2032+4130 (Aharonian et al. 2005; Albert et al. 2008), although alternative scenarios have also been proposed (see Butt et al. 2008 and references therein). In the GeV energy domain, the unidentified EGRET \( \gamma \)-ray source 3EG J2033+4118 had also been proposed to be associated with interacting winds from massive stars in the core of the association (Romero et al. 1999; Rauw 2004), but recent Fermi-LAT data have identified this object as a young energetic pulsar (PSR J2032+4127, Abdo et al. 2010).

Four Cyg OB2 members have been extensively studied in the radio domain. Three of them are non-thermal radio emitters (Cyg OB2 #5, #8a, and #9, see e.g. De Becker 2007 and references therein) and are now known as binary or multiple systems hosting wind interaction regions (Kennedy et al. 2010; De Becker et al. 2004; Nazé et al. 2008), whilst the fourth one (Cyg OB2 #12) is a luminous blue variable (LBV) candidate and could be one of the most luminous and optically brightest stars in our Galaxy (e.g. Massey & Thompson 1991).

X-ray emission from a handful of massive stars in Cyg OB2 was discovered serendipitously when the \( \textit{Einstein} \) observatory was pointed at Cyg X-3 (Harnden et al. 1979). All subsequent major X-ray satellites have observed this association. The
first CCD X-ray spectra of the four brightest massive stars (Cyg OB2 #5, 8a, 9, and 12) in the association were obtained with ASCA-SIS (Kitamoto & Mukai 1996). The Chandra observatory has observed Cyg OB2 several times, both with the HETG, to gather high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy of the brightest sources (Waldron et al. 2004), and the ACIS-I instrument, to collect CCD images and spectra of the members of the association (Albacete-Colombo et al. 2007a,b; Wright & Drake 2009; Wright et al. 2010). Thanks to the very narrow PSF and the W75N star forming region (Rygl et al. 2010), which is part of the Cygnus X giant molecular cloud, as is Cyg OB2.

The distance to the Cyg OB2 association remains somewhat controversial. Massey & Thompson (1991) derived a distance modulus of 11.2 ± 0.1, in agreement with the more recent mean spectro-photometric distance obtained by Kiminki et al. (2007). Hanson (2003) used a cooler O-star temperature scale and inferred a lower distance modulus of 10.4 mag (implying luminosities that are lower by a factor 2). An even lower distance modulus (9.8 mag) was obtained from the analysis of the light curve of the eclipsing binary Cyg OB2 #5 (Linder et al. 2009), although this latter result might be biased by the presence of third light as Cyg OB2 #5 most likely hosts four stars (Kennedy et al. 2010). Throughout this paper, we adopt the 10.4 mag distance-modulus of Hanson (2003), corresponding to a distance of 1.2 kpc, although we emphasize that most of our conclusions are essentially independent of the distance.

We report the results of our analysis of six XMM-Newton observations of the core of Cyg OB2. The main purpose of this campaign was to study the X-ray properties and variability of the X-ray bright massive members of the association. Large X-ray luminosities, X-ray variability and/or spectral hardness are frequently considered as indicators of either interacting wind binaries or single stars with magnetic fields (see e.g. Gagné et al. 2011), although this is certainly not a one to one relationship and some O-star binaries actually display X-ray properties quite similar to those of normal single O-stars (e.g. Nazé et al. 2011). When interpreting our data, we thus have to keep in mind the latest results of multiplicity studies in Cyg OB2 (Kiminki et al. 2009 and references therein).

This paper is outlined as follows. In Sect. 2, we present our data set and the data reduction. The general properties of the X-ray and UV sources in our field of view as well as their long and short term variability are discussed in Sect. 3. Section 4 presents a discussion of the X-ray emission of five relatively bright OB stars and Sect. 5 summarizes our conclusions.

2. XMM-Newton observations of Cyg OB2

Six pointings centred on Cyg OB2 #8a were taken with the XMM-Newton satellite (Jansen et al. 2001). The first four observations were obtained in October–November 2004 with roughly ten days between two consecutive pointings. Two follow-up observations were gathered in April–May 2007 (see Table 1). The EPIC cameras were used with the medium filter to reject optical light.

The raw data were processed with SAS software version 10.0. Several observations (especially the last two) were affected by high background events (so-called soft-proton flares). These episodes were rejected in our processing and the remaining total useful exposure times were 105 ks for both EPIC-MOS (Turner et al. 2001) detectors and 74 ks for the EPIC-pn (Strüder et al. 2001). Images were extracted for the soft (0.5–1.0 keV), medium (1.0–2.0 keV), and hard (2.0–8.0 keV) energy bands. A few stray-light features, caused by singly reflected photons from Cyg X-3, affect a small region in the lower right corner of the images. These parts of the images were excluded from the subsequent analysis (see Fig. 1).

We note that the best-fit positions of TeV J2032+4130 are just outside our field of view (Aharonian et al. 2005; Albert et al. 2008). Apparently diffuse X-ray emission from this TeV source was discovered with another XMM-Newton observation (Horns et al. 2007).

Some preliminary results for the first four XMM-Newton pointings were discussed by Rauw et al. (2005), whilst full analyses of the data for Cyg OB2 #8a, Cyg OB2 #5, and Cyg OB2 #9 were presented by De Becker et al. (2006), Linder et al. (2009), Nazé et al. (2010), and Blomme et al. (2010). These three objects are not discussed any further in this paper.

The Optical Monitor (OM, Mason et al. 2001) instrument onboard XMM-Newton observed Cyg OB2 through the UBV1 and UVM2 filters (see Fig. 2). The first four pointings were performed in “full frame low-resolution” mode, whilst the last two used the “imaging mode” consisting of a mosaic of five exposures to cover the full 17 arcmin square field of view (FoV) of the instrument (Fig. 2). The data were processed with the relevant commands of the SAS software. The OM images display some
Table 1. Journal of the six XMM-Newton observations discussed in this paper.

| Obs # | Date (JD-2450000) | Exposure time (ks) | Clean time (ks) | OM exposure time (ks) |
|-------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| 1     | 3308.579         | 19.7              | 19.1           | 3.2                  |
| 2     | 3318.558         | 21.7              | 21.5           | 4.2                  |
| 3     | 3328.543         | 23.7              | 23.0           | 5.0                  |
| 4     | 3338.506         | 21.7              | 13.7           | 0.0                  |
| 5     | 4220.355         | 30.5              | 11.8           | 10.3                 |
| 6     | 4224.170         | 31.6              | 15.9           | 9.9                  |

Notes. Columns 5 and 6 provide the useful exposure times after discarding time intervals of high background.

Fig. 2. Mosaic image of the core of Cyg OB2 as seen with the Optical Monitor through the UVW1 (left) and UVM2 (right) filters during observation 5. The impact of the interstellar reddening on the number of sources detected in the UVM2 band is clearly seen.

3. The X-ray sources: general properties

A three colour X-ray image derived from our combined data set is shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen that the faint X-ray sources are found all over the field of view, although some areas contain larger concentrations of sources. Bica et al. (2003) reported the discovery of two open clusters in the core of Cyg OB2 roughly centered on stars #8a and #22, respectively. Whilst we find a relatively large number of X-ray sources within the regions defined by Bica et al. (2003), we note that there are other places inside our field of view with similar concentrations of X-ray sources (such as around 20h32m47s +41°24′45″).

3.1. The nature of the X-ray sources

The SAS routines detected a total of 206 sources in the combined EPIC images (outside the region affected by the straylight) with a significance threshold of 20σ and average count rates as low as 0.0004 and 0.0002 cts s⁻¹ for EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS. From a histogram of the number of detections versus count rate, we conclude that our detections are complete down to rates of 0.0022 and 0.0008 cts s⁻¹ for EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS, respectively. All these sources were verified by eye and 199 of them were eventually retained as real sources. There are a number of additional, fainter sources that were not selected by the detection algorithm, because they are located in crowded regions and their significance over the background therefore falls below our threshold. Finally, we stress that a few sources in our list could actually be blends of two fainter, spatially unresolved sources. Table 2 lists...

---

3 This implies a probability of ≤ e⁻²⁰ that a random Poissonian fluctuation could have caused the observed source counts inside the detection cell. Over the entire EPIC field of view, the expected number of spurious detections amounts to about 2% of the total number of detected sources.
Table 2. Properties of the X-ray sources in Cyg OB2 detected with *XMM-Newton*.

![Table image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

Notes. This table is available in its entirety at CDS. Some excerpts here are for guidance. The columns have the following meaning: [1] = source number; [2] = source coordinates (J2000.0); [4]−[6] = mean count rate in EPIC-pn soft band, probability that the source be constant and number of observations of this source in this band with EPIC-pn; [7]−[9] = same for the EPIC-pn medium band; [10]−[12] = same for the EPIC-pn hard band; [13]−[15] = same for the EPIC-MOS1 soft band; [16]−[18] = same for the EPIC-MOS1 medium band; [19]−[21] = same for the EPIC-MOS1 hard band; [22]−[24] = same for the EPIC-MOS1 soft band; [25]−[27] = ID number of OM counterpart; [34]−[36] = *J*, *H*, *K* photometry from 2MASS; [37] = 2MASS quality flag or “ncp”, “2cp” “3cp” where 2cp (3cp) means there are 2 (resp. 3) 2MASS counterparts inside the 4 arcsec correlation radius whilst ncp means no 2MASS counterpart was found inside the correlation radius; [38]−[40] = *V*, *U*−*B*, *B*−*V* from Massey & Thompson (1991); [41] and [42] = spectral type and common identifier.
Table 3. General properties of the OB stars in the core of Cyg OB2 as seen with XMM-Newton.

| Name         | Spectral type | pn                  | MOS1 | MOS2 | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var |
|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Cyg OB2 #5   | O6.5-7.5 + OB-Ope/WN9 | 1.096 0.62 -0.42 SMH | 0.404 SMH | 0.434 SMH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #8a  | O5.5 I(f) + O6 | 2.223 0.57 -0.38 MH | 0.742 MH | 0.752 MH | 15.27 12.46 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #9   | O5 I(f) + O6-7 | 0.479 0.73 -0.19 M | 0.159 SMH | 0.166 SMH | 19.64 15.81 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

X-ray fainter OB stars, not analysed in detail

| Name         | Spectral type | pn                  | MOS1 | MOS2 | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var | CR   | HR1 | HR2 | var |
|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Cyg OB2 #4   | O7 IIII       | 0.018 0.23 -0.25     | 0.011 H | 0.010 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #6   | O8 V          | 0.009 0.04 -0.89     | 0.002 | 0.002 | 16.79 13.82 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #8c  | O4.5 III(fc)  | 0.040 0.42 -0.78 S | 0.014 | 0.014 | 16.58 13.41 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #15  | O8 V          | 0.009 0.01 -0.63 MH | 0.003 S | 0.003 | 16.72 13.90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #16  | O7 V          | 0.009 0.28 -0.79     | 0.003 | 0.003 | 19.82 16.45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #17  | O8 V          | 0.005 0.09 -0.57     | 0.002 | 0.002 | 18.18 15.13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #24  | O7 V          | 0.009 0.41 -0.59 S | 0.002 | 0.003 | 19.39 15.97 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #27  | O9.5 V       | 0.003 0.26 -0.47 H   | 0.001 | 0.001 | 19.82 16.45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #29  | O8 V          | 0.012 0.12 -0.59     | 0.001 | 0.001 | 18.44 15.43 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #47  | O8 V          | 0.004 0.55 -0.89    | 0.002 | 0.001 | 19.04 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #49  | O8 V          | 0.006 0.66 -0.66 MH  | 0.001 H | 0.003 | 19.70 17.45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #51  | O8 V          | 0.007 0.43 -0.65    | 0.002 | 0.003 | 19.25 16.03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #52  | O8.5 V       | 0.009 0.72 -0.38 SMH | 0.003 MH | 0.003 H | 19.12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyg OB2 #57  | B3 I         | 0.002 0.51 -0.19 MH | 0.001 | 0.001 | 18.12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes. Columns 3, 7, and 9 provide the average count rates (cts s⁻¹) over the 0.5–8.0 keV energy band for the pn, MOS1, and MOS2 detectors, respectively. Columns 6, 8, and 10 indicate the energy bands for which the null hypothesis of a constant count rate is rejected at the 5% level for the pn, MOS1, and MOS2 detectors. The hardness ratios (quoted inCols. 4 and 5 for the pn instrument only) are defined as HR1 = (M – S)/(M + S) and HR2 = (H – M)/(H + M). The X-ray spectra of the first three objects were discussed in previous papers, those of the five following objects are discussed in detail in this paper and the remainder of the objects are too faint for spectral analysis.

The coordinates of our sources, their X-ray properties, and the results of cross-correlation with various catalogues (see below). The full version of this table is available at the CDS.

We cross-correlated our final list of X-ray sources with the 2MASS point source catalogue (Cutri et al. 2003; Skrutskie et al. 2006). On the basis of the technique of Jeffries et al. (1997), the optimal cross-correlation radius, which offers the optimal compromise between obtaining the maximum number of true identifications and avoiding contamination by spurious coincidences, was established to be 4 arcsec. For this correlation radius, we expect to find fewer than 5% of false cross-identifications. We also cross-correlated our list of sources with the UBV photometric catalogue of Massey & Thompson (1991) and the list of OB star candidates provided by Coméron et al. (2002). Twenty-four positive matches were found with the MT91 catalogue, to which we can add Cyg OB2 #5, which is not included in the compilation of Massey & Thompson (1991). Three OB star candidates listed by Coméron et al. (2002) fall within the field of view of our data. Two of them, CPR2002 A114 and A43 (=Cyg OB2 #16 = MT91 299), are likely counterparts to X-ray sources, whilst the third (CPR2002 A17) is not detected. Furthermore, among the four Brγ emitters (CPR2002 B5, B8, B11, B13, in addition to Cyg OB2 #9) in our field of view listed by Coméron et al. (2002), only star B8 is detected, although at a rather larger angular distance from the position of the X-ray source. We find that a total of 20 X-ray sources correspond to O-type stars, and 5 are associated with B-type stars, including the B supergiant and LBV candidate Cyg OB2 #12. In addition to Cyg OB2 #5, #8a, and #9, there are 5 OB stars (Cyg OB2 #12, #7, #22, MT91 516, and CPR2002 A11) that are sufficiently bright and clearly separated from other bright sources for their spectra to be studied during the individual pointings. Table 3 summarizes the general properties of those X-ray sources that are associated with known OB stars.

Figure 3 displays the hardness ratios HR2 = (H–M)/(H+M) versus HR1 = (M–S)/(M+S) for the OB-stars, where S, M, and H stand for the EPIC-pn count rates in the soft, medium, and hard energy bands, respectively. The solid lines yield the expected hardness ratios for single temperature plasma emission at $kT = 0.4, 0.6, and 0.85$ keV for neutral hydrogen column densities between 0.5 and $1.5 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. The dashed line yields the average O-star X-ray spectrum as inferred by Nazé (2009) and absorbed by column densities ranging between 0.5 and $2.0 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. This latter model features two thermal plasma components with $kT_1$ and $kT_2$ equal to 0.24 and 2.3 keV.

---

4 At this stage, we stress that the star MT91 267 listed by Massey & Thompson (1991) and object CPR2002 A11 of Coméron et al. (2002) refer apparently to the same star. Kiminki et al. (2007) classified MT91 267 as a G-type star, whilst Negueruela et al. (2008) quoted an O7.5 Ibf spectral type for A11. Re-examination of the Kiminki et al. (2007) Keck spectrum of MT91 267 by Kobulnicky (priv. comm.) confirmed that this star is indeed an O-type star.
respective, and the hotter component contributing 16% of the emission at 1 keV. As can be seen from this figure, with a few exceptions, the hardness ratios of the majority of the O-type stars in Cyg OB2 can be understood in terms of typical O-star emission spectra affected by a large interstellar absorption. There is no evidence that the majority of the O-type stars have intrinsically hard spectra. The hardest X-ray emission is observed for the B-type stars. However, it remains unclear whether these B-stars (except for Cyg OB2 #12) are intrinsic X-ray emitters. Their emission might also originate from hidden low-mass pre-main-sequence companions (e.g. Evans et al. 2011 and references therein), although we stress that in our dataset the B stars display little evidence of X-ray variability that would hint at the presence of a low-mass companion.

From the results of the cross-correlation with the 2MASS data, we compiled near-IR colour–magnitude and colour–colour diagrams. For this purpose, we used the colour transformations of Carpenter (2001, updated in 2003) to convert the 2MASS colours into the homogenized $JHK$ photometric system of Bessell & Brett (1988). Figure 4 illustrates the results. The majority of the massive stars that are X-ray sources (except for Cyg OB2 #12) have near-IR colours and magnitudes that are consistent with a reddening of $A_K \sim 0.5 – 0.8$ (see left panel of Fig. 4). The locus of the fainter counterparts in this diagram is considerably shifted to the red, which implies that these stars are on pre-main-sequence (PMS) tracks. Bica et al. (2003) reported average values of $E(H-K_s) = 0.31$ and $A_K = 0.78$ for the two clusters they identified at the core of Cyg OB2. The majority of the near-IR counterparts of our X-ray sources are consistent with this value of reddening and suggest an age of 2–3 Myr when compared to the PMS isochrones of Siess et al. (2000). These results are in excellent agreement with the conclusions of Albacete-Colombo et al. (2007a) and Wright & Drake (2009), although Wright et al. (2010) inferred a slightly older age of $3.5^{+0.75}_{-1.00}$ Myr. We draw attention to a group of sources that fall roughly along the main-sequence relation (shifted to the distance of Cyg OB2 and reddened according to the Bica et al. 2003 results), but below the main-sequence turn-on. One of these sources (number 86) displays a decaying flare during observation 1. These objects are likely to be late-type active foreground stars unrelated to Cyg OB2. From the right panel of Fig. 4, we see that there is little evidence of large IR excesses as one would expect for classical T Tauri stars (see Meyer et al. 1997). This is in line with the conclusion of Albacete-Colombo et al. (2007a) and Wright et al. (2010), who found that only 4–8% of the near-IR counterparts of their X-ray sources display infrared excesses that could hint at the presence of an accretion disk.

Vink et al. (2008) performed a search for Hα emitters in Cyg OB2. Their field C overlaps to a large extent with our EPIC field of view. Four Hα emitters tabulated by Vink et al. (2008) lie inside the EPIC FoV. Only one of them is marginally consistent with a detection in our X-ray data (source C9), although we do not regard this correlation as a significant detection.

Using our OM data, we also performed a search for UV sources. After rejecting some spurious sources (mainly due to the artifacts mentioned in Sect. 2), we found a list of 254 objects that are detected at least in the $UVW1$ filter and at least during two different observations. Using a cross-correlation radius of 4 arcsec, we find that 32 X-ray sources have a counterpart in our list of OM sources. Out of these 32 objects, 19 are measured in both OM filters, whilst the others are too faint in the $UVM2$ filter to be detected. Among the X-ray sources detected in both UV filters, 15 have an OB-type counterpart. Six X-ray flaring sources fall inside the OM FoV. Only one of them (the X-ray source 139) is detected with a magnitude of 18.8 in $UVW1$ (no detection in $UVM2$). From a cross-correlation with the catalogue of Massey & Thompson (1991), we find counterparts for 225 of our OM sources within a correlation radius of 2.0 arcsec. Adopting the same correlation radius, all but five OM sources are found to have a 2MASS counterpart. The results of this correlation, along with the results of a $\chi^2$ variability test, are provided in Table 4 that is available at the CDS.

The $UVM2$ filter contains the broad interstellar absorption feature at 2200 Å and is thus quite sensitive to the interstellar column density (Royer et al. 2000). Given the rather heavy reddening towards the Cyg OB2 association, objects that are detected in both filters correspond to either the brightest and hottest association members or foreground stars. In the UV colour–magnitude diagram (see Fig. 5), the O-type stars define a clear, almost vertical sequence around $UVM2 – UVW1 \approx 3$. The latter value is consistent with the large reddening towards the Cyg OB2 association (Royer et al. 2000).

For the O-type stars in our OM FoV, we inspected the interpointing light curves of these stars that were found to be variable using a $\chi^2$ test whilst not exceeding the limiting brightness at which 10% coincidence losses occur. Among the stars that did not display significant UV magnitude variations, the most remarkable cases are Cyg OB2 #7, 9, and 12. The O-type stars that were found to be variable in either of the $UVM2$ and $UVW1$ bands are, respectively, Cyg OB2 #8a, 8c, 16, 27 and Cyg OB2 #22, 23, 27, MT91421, and 507. However, in most cases the peak-to-peak amplitude of this variability is limited to less than 0.15 mag. Whilst this is larger than the formal errors in the magnitudes, the problems caused by the straylight features probably

---

5 http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/index.html

---

We note here that the position of source C9 in Fig. 1 of Vink et al. (2008) is inconsistent with the coordinates given in their Table 2. We used the latter in our cross-correlation.
account for part of this apparent variability. There is one exception though: Cyg OB2 #27 (Table 5). The latter is a W UMa contact binary consisting of a late O and an early B-type star in a 1.46 day orbit (Rios & DeGioia-Eastwood 2004). Unfortunately, there are no ephemerides available for this system, but we suspect that the 0.64 mag drop that we observe in the UVM2 filter is likely due to the eclipse of the O-type star by its cooler companion.

### 3.2. Long and short term variations

Using a $\chi^2$ test, we searched for inter-pointing variability. The variability test is performed using the mean exposure-corrected EPIC count rates from the different pointings, along with their

Table 5. OM light curve of Cyg OB2 #27 (=MT91 696).

| JD-2 450 000 | AB-magnitude |
|-------------|--------------|
|              | UVW1         |                |
| 3308.5052 ± 0.0184 | 16.38 ± 0.01 |                |
| 3318.4781 ± 0.0242 | 16.41 ± 0.01 |                |
| 3328.4983 ± 0.0289 | 16.55 ± 0.01 |                |
|              | UVM2         |                |
| 3308.6205 ± 0.0463 | 19.86 ± 0.11 |                |
| 3318.6049 ± 0.0521 | 19.63 ± 0.08 |                |
| 3328.5934 ± 0.0579 | 20.27 ± 0.13 |                |
| 3338.5573 ± 0.0473 | 19.78 ± 0.08 |                |

**Notes.** The quoted uncertainty in the time corresponds to half of the exposure time.
Table 6. Statistics of our inter-pointing $\chi^2$ variability test as a function of instrument and energy band.

| Instrument | Soft | Medium | Hard | Full |
|------------|------|--------|------|------|
| MOS1       | 5    | 29     | 39   | 52   |
| MOS2       | 5    | 27     | 39   | 48   |
| pn         | 14   | 43     | 47   | 69   |

Notes. The numbers provide the fraction (in %) of sources that display variability at a significance level of ≥95%.

Fig. 6. OM light curves of Cyg OB2 #27 as a function of orbital phase. Phase 0.0 was arbitrarily chosen at the time of the first observation.

estimated errors. The results are summarized in Table 6 for an adopted significance level of 95%. As can be seen from that table, the incidence of variability increases significantly from the soft to the hard energy band. There are two reasons for this trend: (1) the huge interstellar absorption leads to rather low count rates in the soft energy band; and (2) a fraction of the variable sources display flares (see below) associated with a hardening of their spectra, hence the largest variability occurs in the hard energy band.

The variability comes in different flavours. In some cases, it is clearly associated with a large (a factor 10 or more) increase in the count rate during a specific observation (sources number 34, 39, 64, 67, 86, 132, 149, and 173). In other cases, the count rates display a modulation of typically a factor 2–3 in certain energy bands (sources number 59, 107, 126, 144, 160, 182) from one observation to the other. In yet another group of (bright) objects, the variability is even more subtle, but still significant (CPR2002 A11). We note that the list of variable sources, especially those of the last category, is not restricted to faint (probably low-mass) sources, but also includes OB-type stars. Table 3 indicates that 9 OB stars out of 25 were detected to be variable, at least in one energy band, by at least two of the three EPIC instruments. This is in line with the conclusion of Nazé (2009), who found that between one-third and two-thirds of the massive stars in the 2XMM catalogue display long-term variations. In our sample of massive stars, the variable sources include known colliding-wind binary systems (Cyg OB2 #5, #8a, #9), radial velocity variables (MT91 516, Kiminki et al. 2007), as well as stars for which multiplicity remains currently undetermined (Cyg OB2 #12, CPR2002 A11, Cyg OB2 #22, MT91 455, MT91 534). Among the eight X-ray brightest O-stars (those with mean pn count rates above 0.05 cts s$^{-1}$), the only object that does not display significant variations in our data is Cyg OB2 #7. This star in addition does not display significant radial velocity variations (Kiminki et al. 2007). These results suggest that in our sample the X-ray variability of O-type stars could be an indicator of multiplicity.

Adopting a timebin of 1 ks, we extracted the light curves of those sources that display significantly higher count rates during a specific observation for each instrument and over three different energy bands (medium, hard, and total). A priori, these long-term variations could represent a flaring event during the observation with the highest count rate. X-ray flaring is a common property of magnetically active stars (e.g. Favata et al. 2005). Albacete Colombo et al. (2007b) detected flaring activity in about 15% of the sources in their 100 ks Chandra observation of Cyg OB2.

The X-ray light curves of the flare-candidates actually display a wide variety of behaviours (see Fig. 7):

- Eight sources (34, 39, 64, 67, 86, 132, 149, and 173) display a genuine flare, although in two cases (64 and 86), our observations only cover the decay phase of an event that most likely started before our observation. We attempted to fit the decay phase of the light curves in the total energy band with an exponential decrease of the form $C_R \exp(-\frac{t}{\tau})$, where $C_R$ is the count rate at the maximum of the flare and $\tau$ is the exponential decay time. The resulting decay times of five objects are between 1.7 and 7.3 ks (i.e. 0.5 and 2 h).
- Twenty-five sources (27, 57, 68, 70, 78, 82, 84, 89, 93, 94, 101, 106, 109, 124, 128, 130, 135, 137, 139, 140, 153, 179, 186, 190, and 196) display a light curve with no clear flare events, but with some short-term (a few ks) variations. In most cases, a significant fraction (typically more than half) of the photons of these sources are emitted in the hard energy band. Two of these sources (70 and 78) have a higher count rate during two observations (3 + 5 and 2 + 5, respectively).
- Eight sources (16, 73, 79, 92, 134, 164, 169, and 176) display a high count rate during a specific observation with slow variations (i.e. on the timescale of the duration of the observation). These objects could be candidates for long duration (significantly longer than the duration of the observation) flares.

3.3. Spectra of variable non-OB sources

As pointed out in Sect. 3.2, a number of sources appear much brighter during a single observation than in average, although not all of these sources actually display a genuine flaring behaviour. For the brightest of these objects, we were able to extract and analyse their EPIC spectra. We attempted to fit these spectra with first an absorbed thermal plasma model and then an absorbed power-law model using the xspec software (version...
Fig. 7. EPIC light curves of variable sources illustrating the variety of behaviours that are observed. The green, blue, and black histograms yield the background-subtracted light curves in the medium, hard, and total energy band respectively. The time is given in ks from the start of the corresponding observation. Top, from left to right: sources 39, 132, and 190 during observations 4, 6, and 2, respectively. Bottom, from left to right: sources 67 and 176 during observations 1 and 2, respectively.

Fig. 8. Left: EPIC spectra (along with the best-fit model) of source 34 during the third observation. Right: EPIC light curves of this source during the same observation. The green, blue, and black histograms indicate the light curves in the medium, hard, and total energy bands, respectively. The time is given in ks elapsed from the start of the third observation.

12.6.0, Arnaud 1996). Except for a few cases (sources 73, 176) where both models provide equally good fits, the absorbed thermal plasma model (wabs × apec) is the one that most closely fits the data. The results are summarized in Table 7 and the fit of the spectra of source 34 is illustrated in Fig. 8.

All these sources have rather hard spectra characterized by high plasma temperatures ($kT > 4$ keV) as expected for magnetically triggered X-ray flares. The column densities are somewhat lower than expected for Cyg OB2 members, especially in the case of source 537. However, we stress that, given the high plasma temperatures, the column densities are rather poorly constrained. We emphasize that the quoted fluxes correspond to average values over the entire observation under consideration. For flaring sources, they do not represent the peak luminosity. We note that the errors in the fluxes should be regarded only as indicative since the relevant xspec routine does not account for possible correlations between model parameters.

4. X-ray spectra of OB-type stars

At the sensitivity level of the current generation of X-ray telescopes, most early-type stars do not display short-term X-ray variability. However, in massive binary systems and single

---

7 We do not have a 2MASS counterpart with reliable photometry for this source, but both the OM photometry and the optical photometry from MT91 support the idea that this star is less heavily reddened than the majority of the stars towards Cyg OB2.
massive stars with magnetic fields of sufficient strength to confine the wind in the equatorial region, a modulation of the X-ray emission is expected and has been observed on the orbital or rotational period typically of the order of either days or weeks (see Güdel & Nazé 2009 and references therein).

The X-ray spectra of three of the brightest sources, (Cyg OB2 #5, #8a, and #9) were discussed elsewhere (De Becker et al. 2006; Linder et al. 2009; Nazé et al. 2010; and Blomme et al. 2010), and we do not repeat their analysis here. For the other OB-stars that are sufficiently X-ray bright, we analysed the spectra of each observation using xspec.

The interstellar neutral-hydrogen column density is evaluated from the \( E(B-V) \) colour excess computed from the \( B-V \) colour measured by Massey & Thompson (1991) and by adopting the gas-to-dust ratio of Bohlin et al. (1978). Early-type stars host dense stellar winds that can contribute to the absorption of X-rays produced in the inner regions of these winds. Therefore, whenever this turned out to be supported by our observations, we included an ionized wind absorption model (Nazé et al. 2004) in addition to the neutral interstellar-medium absorption.

We compare the properties of these OB stars to the general X-ray emission properties derived by Nazé (2009), who analysed the data of OB stars in the 2XMAG catalogue. Nazé (2009) obtained \( \log \frac{N_{\text{H}}}{n_{\text{H}}} = -6.45 \pm 0.51 \) for those O-stars that have data of sufficient quality for a spectral fit and \( \log \frac{N_{\text{H}}}{n_{\text{H}}} = -6.97 \pm 0.40 \) from 26 O-type stars in the region of Cyg OB2. In cases where a two-temperature model was required to fit the 2XMM EPIC spectra, the normalization of the hotter component was generally found to be less than 0.3 times that of the lower temperature component (Nazé 2009).

### 4.1. Cyg OB2 #12

Massey & Thompson (1991) quote a colour of \( B-V = 3.35 \) for Cyg OB2 #12, which corresponds to a colour excess of \( E(B-V) = 3.45 \), assuming a B5 I spectral type (see below). When we adopt a distance modulus of 10.4 mag and \( R_V = 3.1 \), we find that \( M_V = -9.64 \). Assuming a bolometric correction of \(-0.95\) then leads to \( \log \frac{L}{L_{\odot}} = 6.13 \). This luminosity places the star very near the empirical Humphreys-Davidson limit (Humphreys & Davidson 1984).

The reddening of Cyg OB2 #12 is larger than the average value of other OB stars in Cyg OB2, and the membership of this star is still uncertain. ESA’s forthcoming GAMA mission should provide accurate astrometric measurements for this star that will help establish its membership. Spectral classifications of Cyg OB2 #12 range from B3 Ia to B8 Ia, suggesting that the star actually changes its spectral type with time (Kiminki et al. 2007 and references therein). For instance, Kiminki et al. (2007) presented spectra that indicate a B3 Iae type in September 2000 and B6-8 one year later. The nature of this star remains controversial, although based on its likely spectroscopic variability and incredibly high luminosity, Cyg OB2 #12 has been suggested to be an LBV candidate (see Clark et al. 2005 and references therein). Cyg OB2 #12 was detected as an IRAS source and is apparently surrounded by both warm and cold dust (Parthasarathy et al. 1992).

Souza & Lutz (1980) classified Cyg OB2 #12 as a B8 supergiant with weak Balmer lines and emission in H\( \alpha \) suggesting that the other Balmer absorptions could be filled-in by emission. They also reported a variable absorption bluewards of H\( \alpha \) that Wang & Zhu (1994) suggested was a flux of the form \( \frac{N}{n_{\text{H}}} \). They also noted that the latter relation was obtained by combining the data for stars for which the X-ray fluxes were either extracted from spectral fits or obtained from the EPIC count rates using an average conversion factor. Note also that these \( \frac{N}{n_{\text{H}}} \) relations include both single and binary O-stars as Nazé (2009) found no clear evidence of a general X-ray over-luminosity of O + O binary systems. Some specific interacting-wind O-star binaries are indeed X-ray over-luminous, but this over-luminosity is not a general feature and is often restricted to specific orbital phases.

### Table 7. Results of the spectral fits of the flaring sources with single temperature thermal plasma models.

| Object | Obs. | Inst. | d.o.f. | \( \chi^2 \) (10\(^2\) cm\(^{-2}\)) | \( kT \) (keV) | \( N_{\text{H}} \) (10\(^{-16}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) | \( L_{\text{bol}} \) (erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | \( L_{\text{X}} \) (erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------|------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 67     | 1    | M1+M2| 21    | 0.92            | 1.22\(\pm\)75 | 12.2\(\pm\)77 | 1.5(1.1) \times 10\(^{-4}\)   | 5.24(5.70) \times 10\(^{-13}\) |
| 73     | 2    | EPIC | 11    | 1.27            | 0.90\(\pm\)57 | 4.2\(\pm\)36   | 1.0(4.3) \times 10\(^{-4}\)   | 1.06(1.06) \times 10\(^{-13}\) |
| 176    | 2    | M1+M2| 38    | 1.22            | 1.10\(\pm\)29 | 6.2\(\pm\)19   | 1.1(0.2) \times 10\(^{-4}\)   | 1.38(0.4) \times 10\(^{-13}\) |
| 34     | 3    | EPIC | 87    | 0.78            | 1.02\(\pm\)12 | 8.1\(\pm\)16   | 4.4(1.3) \times 10\(^{-4}\)   | 6.17(0.3) \times 10\(^{-13}\) |
| 53     | 3    | pn   | 30    | 0.75            | 0.26\(\pm\)07 | 5.5\(\pm\)9    | 1.9(2.3) \times 10\(^{-4}\)   | 2.86(0.4) \times 10\(^{-13}\) |
| 39     | 4    | EPIC | 34    | 1.15            | 1.17\(\pm\)25 | 9.6\(\pm\)46   | 3.1(1.7) \times 10\(^{-4}\)   | 4.37(0.7) \times 10\(^{-13}\) |
| 175    | 5    | EPIC | 29    | 1.49            | 1.33\(\pm\)36 | 6.9\(\pm\)18   | 6.1(1.6) \times 10\(^{-4}\)   | 7.97(2.5) \times 10\(^{-13}\) |
| 132    | 6    | M1+M2| 25    | 0.76            | 1.08\(\pm\)34 | 6.9\(\pm\)14   | 4.5(1.0) \times 10\(^{-4}\)   | 5.94(0.2) \times 10\(^{-13}\) |

Notes. The last two columns yield the observed and absorption corrected flux over the 0.5–10.0 keV energy range.

\(^8\) Note that the latter relation was obtained by combining the data for stars for which the X-ray fluxes were either extracted from spectral fits or obtained from the EPIC count rates using an average conversion factor. Note also that these \( \frac{N}{n_{\text{H}}} \) relations include both single and binary O-stars as Nazé (2009) found no clear evidence of a general X-ray over-luminosity of O + O binary systems. Some specific interacting-wind O-star binaries are indeed X-ray over-luminous, but this over-luminosity is not a general feature and is often restricted to specific orbital phases.
Table 8. Best fits of the EPIC spectra of Cyg OB2 #12 for photon energies above 0.4 keV.

| Obs. | Inst. | d.o.f. | $\chi^2$ | $N_{\text{H}}$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $kT_1$ (keV) | $f_{\text{obs}}$ (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) |
|------|------|-------|---------|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1    | M1+2 | 129   | 1.29    | 1.88$^{+0.09}_{-0.06}$           | 0.74$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ × (apec(T$_1$) + apec(T$_2$)) × 10$^{-3}$ | 1.87$^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$ × 10$^{-3}$ |
| 2    | M1+2 | 148   | 1.34    | 1.83$^{+0.09}_{-0.06}$           | 0.80$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ × (apec(T$_1$) + apec(T$_2$)) × 10$^{-3}$ | 2.19$^{+0.22}_{-0.19}$ × 10$^{-3}$ |
| 3    | EPIC | 255   | 1.72    | 1.85$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$           | 0.77$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ × (apec(T$_1$) + apec(T$_2$)) × 10$^{-3}$ | 1.91$^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$ × 10$^{-3}$ |
| 4    | EPIC | 192   | 1.26    | 1.83$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$           | 0.74$^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$ × (apec(T$_1$) + apec(T$_2$)) × 10$^{-3}$ | 1.81$^{+0.16}_{-0.13}$ × 10$^{-3}$ |
| 5    | EPIC | 108   | 1.15    | 2.06$^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$           | 0.78$^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$ × (apec(T$_1$) + apec(T$_2$)) × 10$^{-3}$ | 2.22$^{+0.44}_{-0.42}$ × 10$^{-3}$ |
| 6    | EPIC | 140   | 1.10    | 2.05$^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$           | 0.72$^{+0.14}_{-0.10}$ × (apec(T$_1$) + apec(T$_2$)) × 10$^{-3}$ | 2.10$^{+0.31}_{-0.28}$ × 10$^{-3}$ |

Notes. The first three columns yield the number of the pointing, the instrument combination used in the fit and the number of degrees of freedom. The reduced $\chi^2$ is given in Col. 4, whilstCols. 5 to 9 provide the parameters of the absorption component and the emitting plasma. The last two columns yield the observed and absorption corrected flux over the 0.5–10 keV energy range.

Fig. 9. Left: EPIC spectra (along with the best-fit model) of Cyg OB2 #12 as observed during the third observation. Right: best-fit parameters of the EPIC spectra of Cyg OB2 #12. The top panel illustrates the observed flux (in units 10$^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) over the 0.5–10 keV energy range. The middle panel yields the column density towards the X-ray emitting plasma, whilst the bottom panel displays the two temperatures of the emission components.

The EPIC spectra of this star cannot be fitted with single-temperature plasma models and we instead used two-temperature models. The huge interstellar column density that we infer from the $E(B - V)$ colour excess ($N_{\text{H,ISM}} = 2.0 × 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) produces such a strong absorption at low photon energies that there is no room left for an additional ionized absorption column associated with the stellar wind. After testing several options of absorbed two plasma temperature models, we found that the optimal results are obtained when only interstellar absorption is included and the absorbing column is left as a free parameter. The results of the fits are quoted in Table 8 and illustrated in Fig. 9. The average absorption-corrected X-ray flux corresponds to an X-ray luminosity of 4.1 × 10$^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$. With the caveat that the large interstellar reddening renders the bolometric luminosity estimation and the X-ray flux correction more uncertain than for other stars in our sample, we derive log $L_X$/log $L_{bol} = -6.10$. This is an unusually large ratio, significantly larger than the average value for O-type stars and certainly exceptional for B-type stars. These characteristics imply that Cyg OB2 #12 might either be a binary or host a stellar wind confined by a strong magnetic field.

Figure 9 reveals moderate (~10%) flux variability on timescales from a few days to a few weeks, as well as large variations (37%) on timescales of years. Long-term variations in the column density are also seen. However, there are apparently little or no changes in the plasma temperatures which are found to be 0.76 ± 0.03 and 2.03 ± 0.19 keV for the cooler and hotter component respectively. Albacete-Colombo et al. (2007a) reported a roughly linear decay of the ACIS-I countrate of Cyg OB2 #12 from 0.18 to 0.16 cts s$^{-1}$ (i.e. a 12% variation) over their 100ks Chandra observation. This result is very much in line with the ~18% variations in the observed flux that we measure in our first four data points on timescales of about a week and the 10% variations seen between the last two pointings.
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Cyg OB2 #12 was inside the OM FoV during four (1, 2, 5 and 6) out of the six observations. Owing to its heavy reddening, the star is detected only in the $UVW$ band (see Table 9) with an average magnitude of 20.11. The lack of significant variations in this magnitude with time, indicates that if changes in spectral type have occurred during our campaign, they were not associated with large changes in the $UVW$ spectral domain.

### 4.2. Cyg OB2 #7

This O3 If* supergiant displays rather soft X-ray spectra that can be fitted well using a single temperature plasma component. From the colour excess $E(B-V) = 1.73$ (Massey & Thompson 1991), we infer an interstellar column density $N_{\text{ISM}} = 1.0 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The spectra show evidence of additional absorption and we thus included absorption by an ionized wind in our model. The results of the fit (at energies above 0.4 keV) are listed in Table 10. There is no strong evidence of variability. The observed flux and the wind column density remain constant to within 10%. At first sight, the plasma temperature varies between 0.56 and 0.77 keV. However, there is some degeneracy in the fits between the plasma temperature and its normalization and all the spectra are actually consistent with each other.

Herrero et al. (2002) inferred a luminosity of $L_{bol} = 5.91 \pm 0.10$ (assuming the distance modulus of Massey & Thompson 1991). Scaling this number to the lower distance modulus of Hanson (2003, $DM = 10.4$) that we have adopted in this paper, yields $L_{bol} = 5.59$. Proceeding in the same way with the bolometric magnitude derived by Negueruela et al. (2008) yields $L_{bol} = 5.87$. Our mean, ISM absorption-corrected X-ray flux ($8.76 \pm 1.26) \times 10^{-13} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ yields $L_{bol} = -6.99 \pm 0.06$ or $-7.27 \pm 0.06$ for the Herrero et al. (2002) and Negueruela et al. (2008) bolometric luminosities, respectively. We conclude that the X-ray emission of Cyg OB2 #7 does not reveal any behaviour (hard X-ray emission, strong variability, or X-ray over-luminosity) that could hint at binarity or the effects of magnetic confinement of the stellar wind.

### 4.3. MT91516

This star is of spectral type O5.5 V((f)). Its fundamental parameters were determined by Herrero et al. (1999). Scaled to the distance adopted in the present paper, the bolometric luminosity is equal to $L_{bol} = 6.01$. Proceeding in the same way with the bolometric magnitude inferred by Negueruela et al. (2008) yields $L_{bol} = 6.12$.

From the colour excess $E(B-V) = 2.48$ (Massey & Thompson 1991), we infer a large interstellar column density $N_{\text{ISM}} = 1.44 \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2}$. Nevertheless, the spectra display evidence of additional absorption that we modelled by including an ionized wind component. To achieve a reasonably good fit to the EPIC spectra, a two-temperature plasma model is required. The results of the fit to the EPIC spectra of MT91516 (at energies above 0.4 keV) are listed in Table 11.

There is a degeneracy between the value of the ionized-wind column density and the temperature of the softer plasma component, and our best-fit solution oscillates between $kT_1$ near 0.7 keV with a very low wind column density (observations 2 and 4), and $kT_1$ near 0.25 keV with a significantly larger wind column density (all other observations). The second temperature component at $kT_2 = (2.0 \pm 0.2) \text{keV}$ is more tightly constrained.

The MOS1 and pn count rates of this source are found to be variable though with a moderate amplitude. The same kind of variability is found in the observed flux of the source, which displays variations with an amplitude of about 22% (peak to peak). Owing to the degeneracy of $kT_1$, the ISM absorption-corrected flux displays very large variations. The mean value of the ISM absorption-corrected X-ray flux $3.71 \pm 1.84 \times 10^{-12} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ yields $L_{bol} = -6.79 \pm 0.22$ (for the Herrero et al. 1999 bolometric luminosity). Within the (large) errorbars, we find no significant X-ray over-luminosity, relative to the canonical $L_{bol}$ value (Nazé 2009).

The star displays radial velocity variations with a peak-to-peak amplitude of 113 km s$^{-1}$ (Kiminki et al. 2007). Although these variations have an 11.5% probability of being caused by random noise, they might also represent binarity. Mason et al. (2009) reported the detection of an astrometric companion of similar brightness (magnitude difference of 0.4) at a separation of 0.7 arcsec. There is currently no evidence of any physical association between the two stars. Since there was no change in the position angle and separation of this pair over the time-frame of our X-ray observing campaign (Mason et al. 2009), it is unlikely that the observed X-ray variability, and by virtue the X-ray emission itself, could be associated with interactions between the astrometric components.

### 4.4. The pair Cyg OB2 #22 A + B

Cyg OB2 #22 was classified as an O4 III(f) giant and a fit to its spectrum yielded $L_{bol} = 6.04$ (from the results of Herrero et al. 1999, scaled to our distance). The bolometric magnitude inferred by Negueruela et al. (2008) yields a slightly larger $L_{bol} = 6.10$. However, Cyg OB2 #22 was subsequently reported to be a visual binary system consisting of an O3 I star A and an O6 V star (star B, Walborn et al. 2002; Mason et al. 2009) separated by about 1.5 arcsec. The O6 V component is itself a double system with a separation of 0.2 arcsec and a magnitude difference of 2.34 in the $z$ filter (Sota et al. 2011). A priori, this result casts some doubt on the luminosity inferred by Herrero et al. (1999), although the sum of the "typical" luminosities of an O3 I and an O6 V star (Martins et al. 2002) amounts to a very similar value $L_{bol} = 6.07$. In the following, we adopt this latter value. We note that the separation between the A and B components is too small to resolve their X-ray emission with XMM-Newton.

From the $B-V = 2.04$ colour index (Massey & Thompson 1991), we derive an interstellar column of $N_{\text{H}} = 1.35 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$. To achieve a good fit of the EPIC spectra, we need to include an ionized wind absorption. In addition, a second plasma temperature is required for the first four observations. In the last two observations, which have lower fluxes and lower signal-to-noise ratio, this second temperature is not constrained and is actually not required to achieve an acceptable fit.

The observed flux varies by nearly a factor of two between the second and the sixth observations. This variability is not due to an instrumental effect as it is also seen in the count rates of all
the individual EPIC cameras. The EPIC count rates of this source are indeed found to be variable at the 99.9% level (though not in all energy bands for each instrument). The ISM absorption-corrected fluxes vary by a factor of three and the extreme values correspond to \( L_X \) in the range from \( 1.5 \times 10^{32} \) to \( 4.5 \times 10^{32} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) and log \( \frac{L_X}{L_{Edd}} \) between \(-7.47\) and \(-7.00\). The star hence varies from underluminous by a factor three to just the typical X-ray luminosity level.

### 4.5. CPR2002A11

Negueruela et al. (2008) inferred log \( \frac{L_X}{L_{Edd}} = 5.44 \) (again scaled to the distance adopted in the present paper) for this O7.5 lb-II(f) star. From \( B - V = 2.19 \) (for MT1 267), we estimate that \( N_{H}^{ISM} = 1.43 \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2}\). The spectra are unlikely to contain an additional (wind) absorption component, thus we fitted them using a single-temperature plasma model with fixed interstellar absorption.

This source is found to be highly variable in count rate (in all three EPIC instruments) and this variability is reflected in the observed flux that varies by a factor of 2.7. The ISM absorption-corrected fluxes yield log \( \frac{L_X}{L_{Edd}} \) between \(-6.84\) and \(-6.44\). This star hence appears somewhat overluminous in X-rays. Furthermore, that its X-ray emission varies and is dominated by a relatively hot plasma at \((1.60 \pm 0.15)\) keV suggests that it might either be an interacting wind binary system or a star.
containing a magnetically confined wind. Spectroscopic monitoring in the optical is highly desirable to help us establish the multiplicity of this object.

5. Conclusions

We have discussed the X-ray properties of a number of stars in the core of Cyg OB2. One of this association’s most interesting stars is the LBV candidate Cyg OB2 #12. We have shown for the first time that the X-ray flux of this star varies on many different timescales ranging from days to years. These variations are mainly due to variations in the column density and changes in the emission measure of the harder component of the spectrum as one would expect for models of either an eccentric colliding wind binary or a rotationally modulated magnetically confined wind. Given that the variability occurs on timescales as short as days, the latter scenario seems slightly more plausible. An extensive monitoring of this star, both in the optical and the X-ray domain could shed new light on the nature of this intriguing object.

Apart from the known colliding-wind systems, the majority of the O-type stars in Cyg OB2 appear to have rather normal X-ray properties. Their comparatively hard X-ray emission is not intrinsic to the sources, but is caused instead by the huge interstellar absorption towards the association. Several objects feature a second thermal component that is too hot to be easily explained by the wind-embedded shocks scenario. However, it must be stressed that this result is not restricted to the stars in our sample, nor direct evidence of either multiplicity or a magnetic field. Indeed, a second thermal component with a temperature around 2 keV is frequently required to fit high quality CCD X-ray spectra of O-type stars, regardless of their multiplicity (e.g. Nazé et al. 2011). In our sample, the exceptions to this general statement are MT91 516 and CPR2002 A11, which we propose to be good candidates for binary systems. About one third of the OB stars display variability between our pointings. All known binary systems (except for the W UMa system Cyg OB2 #27) are highly variable, which suggests that the other variable OB stars might also be binaries. However, we stress that these objects do not necessarily display a permanent excess X-ray emission; the Trapezium-like system Cyg OB2 #22 even appears to be “X-ray underluminous” in some of our observations.

Finally, a large number of the secondary sources, the bulk of which are most likely associated with pre-main sequence low-mass stars, also display highly significant variability. However, this variability appears to be more complex than the impulsive rise followed by an exponential decay that is commonly seen in flaring stars. Clarifying the relationship between these objects and the massive star population in Cyg OB2 will help us determine the impact of massive stars on star formation in their surroundings. In this respect, the Chandra very large program survey of Cyg OB2 (Drake 2011) and its multi-wavelength support observations will certainly help us make substantial progress.

Note added in proof. Quite recently, Clark et al. (2011) revised the status of Cyg OB2 #12. From an analysis of photometric and spectroscopic data, these authors conclude that despite its location above the Humphreys-Davidson limit, this star lacks the long-term variability and evolved chemical composition of typical LBVs. According to their spectral modeling, the apparent changes in spectral type of this star could be related to changes of the transition region between the photosphere and the wind.
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