Operative Therapy of Achilles Tendon Rupture - A Critical Questioning of the Actual Literature

Simone Frunz1, Markus Knupp2,3, Beat Göpfert4 and Lukas D Iselin1,2*

1Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Lucerne Cantonal Hospital, Switzerland
2Mein Fusszentrum AG, Eichenstrasse 31, 4054 Basel, Switzerland
3Department of Human Medicine, University of Basel, Switzerland
4Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Switzerland

Abstract

Background: The current literature shows that operative treatment has advantages in comparison to conservative treatment for acute Achilles tendon ruptures and there is a large amount of biomechanical cadaver studies which examined the strength of several suture techniques to determine the best suture technique for operative treatment of Achilles tendon rupture. The goal of our study was to compare two different suture materials regarding their strength properties.

Methods: Ten pairs of fresh frozen Achilles tendons were obtained from human donors (41-85 years). The tendons were fixed on a testing machine and loaded until failure. The goal of this setup was to obtain a natural rupture pattern. The ruptured tendons were sutured either with BB MonoMax or PDS and again loaded until failure.

Results: The ruptures occurred on different locations. The failure mode in all sutured tendons was a pullout of the suture material through the tendon fibers.

Discussion: Due to the failure mode it was not possible to compare the two different suture materials regarding their strength properties. Regarding this result it might be that the in vivo pullout strength of the common Achilles tendon suture techniques is not as high as it is detected in most biomechanical cadaver studies.
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Background

The rupture of the Achilles tendon is one of the most common tendon ruptures [1].

The injury occurs most frequently in middle-aged man (30-45 years) [2].

In the current development with increased life expectancy in combination with an increased athletic demand upon the aging body, the incidence of Achilles tendon ruptures is also expected to increase [3,4].

There is no consensus on the treatment of acute Achilles tendon ruptures. While some surgeons prefer conservative treatment to avoid complications from surgery, most physicians consider operative treatment to be more reliable in young and mid-aged patients. The latter has been shown to reduce the risk of rerupture by the factor 3. Moreover, surgical treatment may allow earlier return to work and shows a better range of motion in the ankle [2,5,6].

Early functional postoperative treatment with mobilization and weight bearing also leads to better functional outcomes compared to immobilization and partial weight bearing after operation [2].

Early mobilization after surgery relies on a strong repair of the achilles tendon. The rate of failure is influenced by the surgical technique and the suture material [7]. Whereas several studies have assessed different suture techniques only limited data is published on different suture material.

Therefore we wanted to compare two different suture materials.
The tendons were fixated to the clamps of the testing machine. As a final step we used liquid CO\textsubscript{2} to achieve a strong fixation (Figure 2).

Before testing, two reflecting markers (d: 6.5 mm, Pro-physics AG, Kloten CH) were fixed to the tendon about 2 cm below resp. above the clamps, using cyanoacrylate glue (LOC-TITE 401, Henkel & Cie. AG, Pratteln CH). These four markers were tracked during different loading conditions with six high-speed digital cameras (MX-13 + Sampling rate 30 Hz, Vicon Motion Systems Ltd., Oxford, UK). The placement of the markers and the force measured by the load cell were recorded synchronously with the motion analysis system (Nexus, Vicon Motion Systems Ltd., Oxford, UK).

The following steps were performed:

1. Preloading the native tendon with 1 Hz between 100 and 800 N over 20 cycles.
2. Loading until failure with 10 kN/sec.

Due to the variance of the location where the ruptures occurred we decided to weaken the last four specimens at the favored point in the mid tendon part (Table 2). Therefore we performed an artificial incision with a scalpel (5 mm) in the mid tendon part.

3. Suturing of the ruptured tendon with the Adelaide suture (four-strand cross-locked suture technique).

From every human donor, we sutured one tendon with B Braun; MonoMax HRT 40s; Size: 1 (BB) and one tendon with Ethicon; PDS CTX; Size: 1 (PDS)

4. Preloading the sutured tendon with 1 Hz between 50 and 100 N over 20 Cycles.

5. Loading until failure with 10 kN/sec.

The maximal force until failure of the native tendon was recorded and for the sutured tendon the force-displacement diagram of the loading cycles until failure was analyzed.

**Results**

**Creation of the rupture**

The measured force at the time of rupture ranges between 2408N and 5972N. The area of failure varies within the...
Testing of the reconstruction

The maximal forces in the sutured tendons occurring at the rupture were for the BB-suture between 144 N and 232 N (Mean 197 (SD 67) N) and for the PDS-suture between 158 N and 226 N (Mean 194 (SD 70) N). The failure mode showed no suture breakage. The main failure mode of the sutured tendons showed a tightening of the suture stitches and knots with a cutting into the tissue of the tendon along the tendons fibers and resulted in a suture pullout.

Discussion

The main difference between our setup and other studies is the preparation of the tendon rupture. The large amount of the existing literature simulated the Achilles tendon rupture by a sharp dissection 4-6 cm proximal to the calcaneal insertion [10-17]. Cretnik, et al. published a biomechanical study in 2000 where they were comparing the strength between the percutaneous Ma Griffith and a modified percutaneous Ma Griffith technique. They first performed the surgical procedure on the intact tendon and then made a sharp dissection 4.5 cm proximal to the calcaneal insertion, taking care not to injure the already applied suture [10]. Most authors described in their discussions the sharp preparation as a possible limitation or weakness of their study. For scientific reasons it makes sense to prepare the tendons always in the same way, so there are standardized conditions for a very specific question like the in vitro tensile strength of a particular technique. But this in vitro produced sharp dissection of the Achilles tendon has probably not much in common with a real traumatic Achilles tendon rupture with its “mop ends” appearance. In our setup, we wanted to create a natural rupture pattern. Therefore we put the tendon under maximal load until the rupture occurred. Although the setup was strictly standardized and the loading conditions were all the same, the ruptures appeared on different parts of the tendons. Due to the difficulty we experienced during the preparation of the tendons we decided to weaken the last four specimens at the favored point in the mid tendon part. Therefore we performed an artificial incision with a scalpel (5 mm) in the mid tendon part. These four tendons ruptured at the side of the artificial incision in the mid tendon (3263N, 2463N, 2614N, 2785N) (Table 2).

Table 1: Inclusion and Exclusion criteria.

| Inclusion                                                                 | Exclusion                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Consent to the autopsy by a legal proxy                                   | • Known Achilles tendon rupture                                                                ünstrophic disease (Rheumatoid Arthritis, Lupus, Psoriasis), Endocrine Diseases (e.g. gout) |
|                                                                          | • Tumour affecting the Achilles Tendon                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                          | • Rheumatoid/vasculitic disorder spectrum (Rheumatoid Arthritis, Lupus, Psoriasis), Endocrine Diseases (e.g. gout)                                                                                           |
|                                                                          | • Other manifestations indicating a change in tissue quality of the Achilles tendon (e.g. local infection or inflammation, skin lesion)                      |
|                                                                          | • Acute joint infection or previous joint infection of the upper ankle joint.                                                                          |

Table 2: failure load of native Achilles tendon.

| Specimen No. | Sample Side 1 [N] | Failure location                          | Sample Side 2 [N] | Failure location                          |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 1            | 3263              | At an artificial made incision mid tendon | 2614              | At an artificial made incision mid tendon |
| 2            | 2463              | At an artificial made incision mid tendon | 2785              | At an artificial made incision mid tendon |
| 3            | 5972              | proximal part                            | 5500              | proximal part                            |
| 4            | 4743              | proximal part                            | 4299              | proximal part                            |
| 5            | 3884              | Tendon-muscle interface                  | 5287              | Tendon-muscle interface                  |
| 6            | 4066              | Pull-Out-Rupture at the proximal clamp   | 5103              | Pull-Out-Rupture at the proximal clamp   |
| 7            | 3786              | Close to frozen area                     | 4543              | Close to frozen area                     |
| 8            | 2408              | Close to frozen area                     | 5821              | mid tendon                               |
| 9            | 3710              | Distal part tendon -bone interface       | 4997              | mid tendon                               |
| 10           | 3079              | Distal part tendon -bone interface       | 3942              | Close to frozen area                     |

Average force until failure: 4113 [N] SD: 1091 [N]

different tendons. Two tendons ruptured at the distal part of the tendon-bone interface (3786N, 2408N). Two tendons ruptured in the mid tendon part (5821N, 4997N). Four tendons ruptured in the proximal part (5972N, 5500N, 4299N, 4743N). Two tendons ruptured at the tendon muscle interface (3884N, 5287N). Four tendons ruptured close to a frozen area (3786N, 2408N, 4543N, 3942N). Two ruptures occurred as pull out ruptures at the proximal clamp (4066N, 5103N). Due to the variance of the location where the ruptures occurred we decided to weaken the last four specimens at the favored point in the mid tendon part. Therefore we performed an artificial incision with a scalpel (5 mm) in the mid tendon part. These four tendons ruptured at the side of the artificial incision in the mid tendon (3263N, 2463N, 2614N, 2785N) (Table 2).
properties. We suspected a rupture of the suture material or a breakdown at the knot. But all sutures showed a pull-out through the tendon as failure mode. With this result it is actually not possible to make a statement about the tensile strength of a suture material, because it seems that the mechanism of failure occurs in the combination of the ruptured tendon with the suture technique.

We assume that the Adelaide suture (four-strand cross-locking sutures) is an appropriate suture technique for Achilles tendon rupture. Therefore it might be that the strength of the common four-strand cross-locking sutures is in reality not as high as we expected it to be based on the results of most biomechanical cadaver studies.

Limitations

Our study has three major limitations. One is the utilization of the Adelaide suture. The most comparative biomechanical cadaver studies examined standard sutures like Krackow, Bunnell, Kessler and some percutaneous procedures.

Another limitation is the creation of the Achilles tendon rupture of the last four specimens, where we used a 5 mm long artificial incision to predetermine the location of the rupture. The third severe limitation is the small amount of specimens and the lack of statistical analysis due to this.

Conclusion

Despite the shortcomings of our study we want to share our considerations regarding the possible limitations of the existing literature. Most of the existing data regarding the strength properties of common Achilles tendon suture techniques were collected in standardized biomechanical cadaver studies. But their standard to simulate an Achilles tendon rupture with a sharp transection of the Achilles tendon has not much in common with an Achilles tendon rupture in a real patient with its “mop ends” appearance. Therefore we might consider that the strength of an Achilles tendon suture is not as high as we might suspect based on the data presented in research literature.
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