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Abstract

Despite the vast research by researchers on Vietnam's wildcat strike, little is known of the perspective of the Southern Focal Economic Zone. The overall reason that emerges from the literature included: (1) raising wages for workers; (2) contributing to social security for workers; (3) and paying a seniority allowance. The aim of the present research is to figure out the reasons for the current wildcat strikes among Vietnamese blue-collar workers. A group of 936 Vietnamese blue-collar workers (387 males and 549 females) from four Southern Vietnam cities participated in the survey. They completed the Reasons are given for Wildcat Strikes questionnaire. The descriptive results showed that the highest mean among those reasons is “Labor regulations at the company are too strict”. The result of this research emphasizes the impact of each reason by investigating nonoffice workers’ perspective so as to predict which the potential reasons are for future strikes in Southern Vietnam.
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1. Introduction

Wildcat strikes have drawn much attention over the last three decades. They have played an important role in Vietnamese labor history (Chi & Broek, 2013). A wildcat strike often referred to a strike action undertaken by unionized workers without union leadership's authorization, support, or approval (Blanc, 2019). On the ground that strikes in Vietnam rarely last long, it is dispensable for employers to propose legal intervention such as taking legal action against these strikes. Therefore, wildcat strikes are those that did not follow the procedures stipulated by the Vietnamese labor law (Anner & Liu, 2016). Almost 80% of strikes since 1995 have taken place in the foreign-invested enterprises in Southern Vietnam (Chi, 2008).

This article begins with a short review of the literature regarding the wildcat strikes in Vietnam. Many of the researchers reported that from 1995 to 2005, there were 978 recorded strikes (Clarke, Lee, & Chi, 2007) and in 2011 the number reached the peak at 857 ones, which is the highest number recorded since the release of official strike figures (Siu & Chan, 2015). According to official government statistics, there have been an estimated 300-500 recorded strikes per year since the mid-2000s (Anner, 2017). There have been numerous studies investigate the wildcat strikes in Vietnam (Chi & Broek, 2013; Clarke, 2006; Clarke et al., 2007; Kerkvliet, 2011; Khanh, 2015; Nguyen, 2017; Siu & Chan, 2015; Tran, 2007; Van Gramberg, Teicher, & Nguyen, 2013).

Tran (2007) pointed out a more fundamental reason – the exploitative nature of the global production chain and the inability of the Vietnamese trade union federation (VGCL) to protect workers’ rights (Tran, 2007). Clarke et al. (2007), on the other hand, agreed that the VGCL was on the side of workers, they pointed out that workers' demands had progressively shifted from struggling for legal rights to struggling for interests (Clarke et al., 2007). Kerkvliet (2011) has documented a comprehensive study of the strikes. Using a vast amount of documentary material, he showed that the majority of the strikes in 2006 occurred in foreign-run enterprises, particularly Taiwanese and South Korean ones. Workers went on strike mainly because of low wages, salaries paid in arrears, long working time and abusive management (Kerkvliet, 2011).

Although wildcat strikes are not a new topic, there are still a limited number of studies done in Vietnam, especially for on Vietnamese blue-collar workers. In order to fill this gap, this research is conducted to explore blue-collar workers’ perception of wildcat strikes in Vietnam. The aim of this research is to measure the perception of Reasons
are given for the current wildcat strikes in Vietnam among Vietnamese blue-collar workers. The research starts with reviewing the empirical literature of blue-collar workers’ perception of wildcat strikes. A simple analytical framework is introduced in the second section, followed by research methodology, result, and discussion. The last section is the conclusion.

2. Methods

2.1 Data Collection

The convenience sampling method was used to pick out Vietnamese blue-collar workers who volunteered to participate in the study and to administer the survey. The survey tools were distributed to 1200 Vietnamese blue-collar workers of companies located in Southern Focal Economic Zone, Vietnam, of which 983 questionnaires were returned, with a return rate of 81.9%, which had exceeded the 30% response rate most researchers require for analysis (Dillman, 2000). The sample of this study was drawn from 936 respondents who completed the survey tools, including 387 males and 549 females. The researcher had contacted individual companies of each Industrial or Export Processing Zones prior to questionnaire distribution. The researcher contacted the representatives of each company and, with their consent, explained the purpose of the study before delivering questionnaires. Only if all participants were voluntarily willing to complete the questionnaires, the research was conducted. The amount of females that engaged in the survey among 936 low-waged workers including 605 workers from Industrial Zones and 331 workers from Export Processing Zones is 58.7%, more than the one of males. Table 1 shows the distribution of participants in the Southern Focal Economic Zone, Vietnam.

Table 1. Number of participants in the study and percentage of population

| Area                                      | n   | %     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Tan Thuan Export Processing Zone          | 94  | 10.04 |
| Linh trung 2 Export Processing Zone       | 111 | 11.8  |
| Linh Trung 1 Export Processing Zone       | 126 | 13.46 |
| Le Minh Xuan Industrial Zone              | 73  | 7.80  |
| Vinh Loc Industrial Zone                  | 45  | 4.81  |
| Industrial Zones in Ba Ria - Vung Tau     | 81  | 8.65  |
| Long Hau Industrial Zone                  | 150 | 16.03 |
| Pou-Yuen Industrial Park                  | 164 | 17.52 |
| Industrial Zones in Di An                 | 92  | 9.83  |
| Total                                     | 936 | 100   |

| Age            | n   | %     |
|----------------|-----|-------|
| 18-25 years    | 295 | 31.52 |
| 26-35 years    | 502 | 53.63 |
| 36-45 years    | 108 | 11.54 |
| > 45 years     | 31  | 3.31  |
| Total          | 936 | 100   |

| Educational Background | n   | %     |
|------------------------|-----|-------|
| Completed lower secondary school | 231 | 24.68 |
| Completed higher secondary school and higher | 347 | 37.07 |
| Associate’s degree     | 210 | 22.44 |
| Bachelor’s and postgraduate degree | 148 | 15.81 |
| Total                  | 936 | 100   |
2.2 Measurement
Participants were asked to complete the Reasons are given for Wildcat Strikes (RGWS) questionnaire. All participants were instructed to read the questionnaire carefully and choose the responses that best described themselves. The questionnaire consists of 20 items measured on a single yes/no scale. Vietnamese blue-collar workers are asked to respond either ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ according to how well the questions describe them.

2.3 Data Analysis
Descriptive (Cohen, 1988) was performed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0. The percentages of responses for the survey scales and items were calculated. This analyse was used to explore blue-collar workers’ perspective of Reasons are given for the current wildcat strikes in Viet Nam.

3. Results
According to the norms from the questionnaire, the participants scored in the percentage on the scale. The percentage of participants who answered ‘yes’ to each item ranged from 23.1% to 40.8%. Table 2 shows the percentage distribution of workers for each reason given for the current wildcat strikes. The Reasons are given for the wildcat strikes have been grouped into 20 categories.

Table 2. Vietnamese blue-collar workers’ perspective of Reasons are given for the current wildcat strikes

| Code              | % Yes | % No | Rank |
|-------------------|-------|------|------|
| The company owes workers for wages, gratuities, extra income | luongthuong | 29.6 | 70.4 | 12 |
| The company does not increase wages according to regulations and original commitments | Tangluong | 31.8 | 68.2 | 8 |
| The company pays overtime pay lower than regulations of the labor law | tangcathap | 29.1 | 70.9 | 13 |
| The company does not pay or owes the employees’ insurances (Health, Society, Unemployment, Accident) | kbaohiem | 26.8 | 73.2 | 17 |
| The company does not sign labor contracts or collective labor agreements with employees | kkyhopdong | 25.6 | 74.4 | 19 |
| The company infringe upon labor contracts or collective labor agreements regularly | viphamhd | 25.6 | 74.4 | 19 |
| Management of the company has little knowledge about law on labor | qlkhieuluat | 23.1 | 76.9 | 20 |
| Management of the company does not comply with standard, discipline and labor safety | qlkantoan | 27.2 | 72.8 | 16 |
| The company forces workers to work overtime too much that exceeds the law | tangcanhieu | 31.4 | 68.6 | 9 |
| The company does not realize regulations of occupational safety and health | kantoanvesinh | 32.6 | 67.4 | 5 |
| Employees must work exhaustedly, high intensity causing fatigue and inhibition | cvnangnhoc | 37.1 | 62.9 | 2 |
| Employees must work in polluted, harmful and unsafe environments | mtonhiem | 36.2 | 63.8 | 4 |
| Labor regulations at the company are too strict (for example, the company would cut off emulation, deduct wages or all benefits of workers for faults, failed products, being late at work,...) | nqkhatkhe | 40.8 | 59.2 | 1 |
| The company shortens or even cancels holidays, New Year and annual holidays, causing pressing | knghile | 30.1 | 69.9 | 11 |
| The company’s meal is not sufficiently nutritious, does not guarantee food safety | buaankdd | 36.3 | 63.7 | 3 |
Employees do not get free health care or sickness allowance  
kchamsocsk 28.7  71.3  15

The company uses non-transparent welfare fund, not intended  
sduykrorang 29.0  71  14

Company leaders have cultural conflicts and dealings with employees  
mauthuanyh 32.2  67.8  7

Employees are offended at dignity, honour and constitution  
xucpham 32.5  67.5  6

The company fires employees in contravention of regulations, tends to retaliate  
sathaikdung 30.6  69.4  10

The Figure 1 shows the percentage of respondents who answered ‘yes’. In the population of 936 workers, 40.8% gave the answer that labor regulations at the company were too strict, (for example, the company would cut off emulation, deduct wages or all benefits of workers for faults, failed products, being late at work, ...), which is the highest percentage our survey had gotten. Meanwhile, only 23.1% of them claimed that management of the company had little knowledge about law on labor, which is the lowest numbers recorded.

The percentage of ‘Labor regulations at the company are too strict’ is respectively followed by the huge amount of work (37.1%), low-nutrient meals (36.3%), and polluted working environment (36.2%). The following features are the lack of occupational safety and health, being offended, cultural conflicts and deals with around 32% per each reason. Of all the reasons, there are some reasons that hold the percentages in the range from 28% to 31%, can be successively named: problems of healthcare and sickness allowance (28.7%), non-transparent in using welfare fund (29%), low pay for working overtime (29.1%), being owed for wages, gratuities, extra income (29.6%), insufficient holidays (30.1%), unreasonable discharge (30.6%), too much overtime (31.4%) and no salary increase (31.8%). Another uncommon cause are: management who didn’t follow standard, discipline and labor safety (27.2%), lack of employees’ insurances (26.8%) and issues of conferences (25%). Those 20 causes indicate the blue-collar workers’ assessment about reasons of unofficial strikes in Vietnam, and all of them have not surpassed 50%.

Figure 1. Percentage of participants who answered "yes"
In this article, I have presented evidence to show that in addition to the many other reasons for a wildcat strike action to have become a normal facet of industrial relations in Vietnam. However, the vast majority of the Vietnamese blue-collar workers agreed that they are not satisfied with the labor regulations.

4. Discussion

This study indicates that workers’ low satisfaction levels in Southern Focal Economic Zone, Vietnam with their salaries and earnings brought about wildcat strikes. The results, to some extend, share the parallel findings with previous studies. Korpi (1981) in his research concluded that the largest single category concerns Primarily piece-rates; also forms of piece-rates affecting earnings, unpaid wages, low wages due to technical failures new work methods, allowances, prolonged wage negotiations, etc accounting for close to half of all strikes. Anner (2017) concluded that salary increase is in great demand, proved by the fact that 41% of the low-waged workers desired to have a raise in their wages. Clarke et al. (2007) claimed that serious law breaking such as owing or refusing to pay, illegal discharge, insufficient pay for health insurances or wages and withholding on the bonuses were exposed by studying those out-law strikes. These findings were supported by Meissner and Hung (2008), besides, they added more reasons such as working overtime without any pay, compulsory excessive overtime and substandard accommodation and diet.

For the time being, the issue of ‘wildcat strike’, along with its effective regulation is more and more the hottest industrial relations issue in Vietnam. There is a urgent need for a scientific analysis of the this issue’s causes as well as the exploration of practical policy options so as to address those strikes, as a new regulatory framework in the labor law will have a huge impact on the future trend of industrial relations development in Vietnam (Hoang, 2017). The very first aim that I conducted that study was to draw a conclusion that it is crucial to continuously reform the strike policy. To make this happen, some required conditions need applying: improving the legal framework in order to come up with strikes and dispute resolution strategies is a legal basics for enhancing the role of actors in labor relations so as to cut down on strikes; and if the strikes occurs, they are obligated to obey the provisions of law. Labor disputes could only be settled at the macro-level, which means an optimal solution is much more completing the legal system of labor than resolving issues at local level. That the legal framework needs improving is a matter of great urgency on the ground that this action would ensure a mechanism for cooperation, distribution of powers and responsibilities among the State, employers and employees.

Nevertheless, this research got some certain limitations. As the collecting data process only took place one time, it would hardly avoid existing limitations. To better observe the workers’ change in attitudes over time, longitudinal study should be much more expedient. Furthermore, it is to our benefit to conduct qualitative research in an effort to access the workers’ thoughts and feelings about those strikes. Besides, the fact those experts’ vital roles are considered to be the most significant resources calls for another study on their perceptions of those causes.

5. Conclusion

To conclude, there are mainly 20 reasons that lead to wildcat strikes according to Vietnamese blue-collar workers’ perception. As far as the authors concern, this is the initial research to consider the reasons of blue-collar workers’ current wildcat strikes in Southern Focal Economic Zone, Vietnam. All the outcomes of this research are beneficial for the enhancing Vietnamese blue-collar workers’ understanding of out-law strikes. The further expectation of conducting this research is to encourage more interests and investigations to bridge the gap between research and its practices.
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