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Abstract. The fractional Galois ideal is a conjectural improvement on the higher Stickelberger ideals defined at negative integers, and is expected to provide non-trivial annihilators for higher $K$-groups of rings of integers of number fields. In this article, we extend the definition of the fractional Galois ideal to arbitrary (possibly infinite and non-abelian) Galois extensions of number fields under the assumption of Stark’s conjectures and prove naturality properties under canonical changes of extension. We discuss applications of this to the construction of ideals in non-commutative Iwasawa algebras.

1 Introduction

Let $E/F$ be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group $G$. In seeking annihilators in $Z[G]$ of the $K$-groups $K_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_E, S)$ ($S$ a finite set of places of $E$ containing the infinite ones), Stickelberger elements have long been a source of interest. This began with the classical Stickelberger theorem showing that for abelian extensions $E/\mathbb{Q}$, annihilators of $\text{Tors}(K_0(\mathcal{O}_E, S))$ can be constructed from Stickelberger elements. Coates and Sinnott later conjectured in [12] that the analogous phenomenon would occur for higher $K$-groups. However, defined in terms of values of $L$-functions at negative integers, these elements do not provide all the annihilators because of the prevalent vanishing of the $L$-function values.

We hope to overcome this difficulty by considering the “fractional Galois ideal”, introduced by the second author in [32, 33] and defined in terms of leading coefficients of $L$-functions at negative integers under the assumption of the higher Stark conjectures. A version more suitable for the case of $\text{Tors}(K_0(\mathcal{O}_E, S)) = \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E, S)$ was defined in [5] by the first author. Evidence that the fractional Galois ideal annihilates the appropriate $K$-groups (resp. class-groups) can be found in [33] (resp. [5]). In the first case, étale cohomology is annihilated, but this is expected to give $K$-theory by the Lichtenbaum–Quillen conjecture (see [33, Section 1] for details).

With a view to relating the fractional Galois ideal to characteristic ideals in Iwasawa theory, we would like to describe how it behaves in towers of number fields. That it exhibits naturality in certain changes of extension was observed in particular cases in [5], and part of the aim of this paper is to explain these phenomena generally. Passage to subextensions corresponding to quotients of Galois groups will be of particular interest in the situation of non-abelian extensions because of the relatively recent emergence of non-commutative Iwasawa theory in, for example, [11,15]. Consequently, the aims of this paper are the following:
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(i) to prove formal properties of the fractional Galois ideal with respect to changes of extension, in the commutative setting first (§3.3 to §3.6);

(ii) to extend the definition of the fractional Galois ideal to non-abelian Galois extensions (§5), having previously defined it only for abelian extensions;

(iii) to show that it behaves well under passage to subextensions in the non-commutative setting also (Proposition 5.3);

(iv) to show that in order for the non-commutative fractional Galois ideals to annihilate the appropriate étale cohomology groups, it is sufficient that the commutative ones do (§7).

We will also provide an explicit example (in the commutative case) in §6.2 illustrating how a limit of fractional Galois ideals gives the Fitting ideal for an inverse limit $\text{Cl}_\infty$ of $\ell$-parts of class-groups. This should make clear the importance of taking leading coefficients of $L$-functions rather than just values, since it will be the part of the fractional Galois ideal corresponding to $L$-functions with first-order vanishing at 0 that provides the Fitting ideal for the plus-part of $\text{Cl}_\infty$.

In Section 8, we will conclude with a discussion of how the constructions in this paper fit into non-commutative Iwasawa theory. In particular, under some assumptions which, compared with the many conjectures permeating this area, are relatively weak, we will be able to give a partial answer to a question of Aradakov–Brown in [1] on constructing ideals in Iwasawa algebras.

Since the acceptance of the paper, the authors were made aware of a potential problem in Proposition 3.6. It has to do with the fact that the induction map on representations is an additive homomorphism of representation rings, while the functoriality of $L$-functions refers to multiplication. While the authors have not yet completely resolved this issue, they believe that this should be possible, and that the aims of the paper are not significantly compromised. The authors would like to thank Andreas Nickel for bringing this to our attention.

## 2 Notation and the Stark Conjectures

In what follows, by a Galois representation of a number field $F$ we shall mean a continuous, finite-dimensional, complex representation of the absolute Galois group of $F$, which amounts to saying that the representation factors through the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ of a finite Galois extension $E/F$. We begin with the Stark conjecture (at $s = 0$) and its generalizations to $s = -1, -2, -3, \ldots$, which were introduced in [16] and [33] independently.

Let $\Sigma(E)$ denote the set of embeddings of $E$ into the complex numbers. For $r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots$, set

$$Y_r(E) = \prod_{\Sigma(E)} (2\pi i)^{-r}\mathbb{Z} = \text{Map}(\Sigma(E), (2\pi i)^{-r}\mathbb{Z}),$$

endowed with the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$-action diagonally on $\Sigma(E)$ and on $(2\pi i)^{-r}$. $G$ acts on $Y_r(E)$ by permuting the embeddings in $\Sigma(E)$. If $c_0$ denotes complex conjugation, the action of $c_0$ and $G$ commute so that the fixed points of $Y_r(E)$ under $c_0$, denoted by
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Y_r(E)^+ form a G-module. It is easy to see that the rank of Y_r(E)^+ is given by

\[ \text{rk}_G(Y_r(E)^+) = \begin{cases} r_2 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd}, \\ r_1 + r_2 & \text{if } r \geq 0 \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \]

where \(|\Sigma(E)| = r_1 + 2r_2\) and \(r_1\) is the number of real embeddings of \(E\).

2.1 Stark Regulators

We begin with a slight modification of the original Stark regulator [35]. \(G\) continues to denote the Galois group of an extension of number fields \(E/F\). We extend the Dirichlet regulator homomorphism to the Laurent polynomials with coefficients in \(O_E\) to give an \(R[G]\)-module isomorphism of the form

\[ R^0_E : K_1 (O_E [t, t^{-1}]) \otimes R = O_E [t, t^{-1}] \times R \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_0 (E)^+ \otimes R \cong R^{r_1 + r_2} \]

by the formulae, for \(u \in O_E^\times\),

\[ R^0_E (u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(E)} \log(|\sigma(u)|) \cdot \sigma, \]

\[ R^0_E (t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(E)} \sigma. \]

The existence of this isomorphism implies (see [29, § 12.1] and [35, p. 26]) that there exists at least one \(Q[G]\)-module isomorphism of the form

\[ f^0_E : O_E [t, t^{-1}] \times Q \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_0 (E)^+ \otimes Q. \]

For any choice of \(f^0_E\), Stark forms the composition

\[ R^0_E \cdot (f^0_E)^{-1} : Y_0 (E)^+ \otimes C \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_0 (E)^+ \otimes C, \]

which is an isomorphism of complex representations of \(G\). Let \(V\) be a finite-dimensional complex representation of \(G\), and let \(V^\vee = \text{Hom}_C (V, C)\) with the \(G\)-action \((g \theta)(v) = \theta (g^{-1} v)\) for \(\theta \in \text{Hom}_C (V, C)\). The Stark regulator is defined to be the exponential homomorphism \(V \mapsto R(V, f^0_E)\), from representations to non-zero complex numbers, given by

\[ R(V, f^0_E) = \det ( (R^0_E \cdot (f^0_E)^{-1})_* \in \text{Aut}_C (\text{Hom}_G (V^\vee, Y_0 (E)^+ \otimes C)) ) \]

where \((R^0_E \cdot (f^0_E)^{-1})_*\) is composition with \(R^0_E \cdot (f^0_E)^{-1}\).

For \(r = -1, -2, -3, \ldots\), there is an isomorphism of the form [25]

\[ K_{1-2r} (O_E [t, t^{-1}]) \otimes Q \cong K_{1-2r} (O_E) \otimes Q. \]
because \( K_{-2r}(\mathcal{O}_E) \) is finite. Therefore, the Borel regulator homomorphism defines an \( \mathcal{R}[G] \)-module isomorphism of the form

\[
\mathcal{R}_E^r : K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_E[t, t^{-1}]) \otimes \mathcal{R} \cong K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \mathcal{R} \cong Y_r(E)^+ \otimes \mathcal{R}.
\]

Choose a \( \mathcal{Q}[G] \)-module isomorphism of the form

\[
f_E^r : K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_E[t, t^{-1}]) \otimes \mathcal{Q} \cong Y_r(E)^+ \otimes \mathcal{Q}
\]

and form the analogous Stark regulator, \( (V \mapsto R(V, f_E^r)) \), from representations to non-zero complex numbers given by

\[
R(V, f_E^r) = \det\left( (\mathcal{R}_E^r \cdot (f_E^r)^{-1})_s \in \text{Aut}_C(\mathcal{H}_{\Omega}(V, Y_r(E)^+ \otimes \mathcal{C})) \right).
\]

### 2.2 Stark’s Conjectures

Let \( \mathcal{R}(G) \) denote the complex representation ring of the finite group \( G \); that is, \( \mathcal{R}(G) = K_0(\mathcal{C}[G]) \). Since \( V \) determines a Galois representation of \( F \), we have a non-zero complex number \( L^r_1(r, V) \) given by the leading coefficient of the Taylor series at \( s = r \) of the Artin \( L \)-function associated with \( V \) ([22], [35, p. 23]).

We may modify \( R(V, f_E^r) \) to give another exponential homomorphism

\[
\mathcal{R}_E^r \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(G), \mathbb{C}^\times)
\]

defined by

\[
\mathcal{R}_E^r(V) = \frac{R(V, f_E^r)}{L^r_1(r, V)}.
\]

Let \( \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \) denote the algebraic closure of the rationals in the complex numbers and let \( \Omega_\mathcal{Q} \) denote the absolute Galois group of the rationals. \( \Omega_\mathcal{Q} \) acts on \( \mathcal{G} \) as follows: for \( \gamma \in \Omega_\mathcal{Q}, \chi \in \mathcal{G} \) and \( g \in \mathcal{G} \), we have \( (\gamma \chi)(g) = \gamma(\chi(g)) \). This action extends by linearity to a continuous action on \( \mathcal{R}(G) \). The Stark conjecture asserts that for each \( r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots \),

\[
\mathcal{R}_E^r \in \text{Hom}_{\Omega_\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{R}(G), \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^\times) \subseteq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(G), \mathbb{C}^\times).
\]

In other words, \( \mathcal{R}_E^r(V) \) is an algebraic number for each \( V \), and, for all \( z \in \Omega_\mathcal{Q} \), we have \( z(\mathcal{R}_E^r(V)) = \mathcal{R}_E^r(z(V)) \). Since any two choices of \( f_E^r \) differ by multiplication by a \( \mathcal{Q}[G] \)-automorphism, the truth of the conjecture is independent of the choice of \( f_E^r \) [35, pp. 28–30].

When \( s = 0 \) the conjecture that we have just formulated apparently differs from the classical Stark conjecture of [35], therefore, we shall pause to show that the two conjectures are equivalent. For the classical Stark conjecture, one replaces \( Y_0(E)^+ \) by \( X_0(E)^+ \), where \( X_0(E) \) is the kernel of the augmentation homomorphism \( Y_0(E) \to \mathbb{Z} \), which adds together all the coordinates. The Dirichlet regulator gives an \( \mathcal{R}[G] \)-module isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{R}_{E}^0 : \mathcal{O}_E^\times \otimes \mathcal{R} \cong X_0(E)^+ \otimes \mathcal{R}
\]
and choosing a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-module isomorphism

$$f_0^E : \mathcal{O}_E^x \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} X_0(E)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

we may form

$$\mathcal{R}_E^0 \cdot (f_0^E)^{-1} : X_0(E)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} X_0(E)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$  

Taking its Stark determinant, we obtain $\mathcal{R}(V, f_0^E)$ and finally

$$\mathcal{R}_E^0 \mathcal{R}(V) = \frac{\mathcal{R}(V, f_0^E)}{L^E(0, V)}.$$  

**Proposition 2.1** Under the assumptions of §2.2, $Rf_0^E \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{Q} R(G), \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Hom}(R(G), \mathbb{Q}^\times)$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_E^0 \mathcal{R}(V) \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{Q} R(G), \mathbb{C} \times \text{Hom}(R(G), \mathbb{C}^\times)$, independently of the choice of $f_0^E$ or $\mathcal{R}_E^0$.

**Proof** Given any $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-isomorphism $f_0^E$, we may fill in the following commutative diagram by $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-isomorphisms $f_0^E$ and $\mathcal{R}_E^0$. Conversely, given any $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-isomorphisms $f_0^E$ and $\mathcal{R}_E^0$, we may fill in the diagram with a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-isomorphism $f_0^E$.

Similarly, there is a commutative diagram in which the vertical arrows are reversed, $\mathbb{Q}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{R}$ and $f_0^E$, $\mathcal{R}_E^0$, and $f_0^E$ by $R_E^0$, $R_E^0$, and $\mathcal{R}_E^0$, respectively. Furthermore, $R_E^0$ is multiplication by a rational number. The result now follows from the multiplicativity of the determinant in short exact sequences.

We shall be particularly interested in the case when $G$ is abelian, in which case the following observation is important. Let $\hat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}^\times)$ denote the set of characters on $G$ and let $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ denote the field generated by the character values of a representation $\chi$. We may identify $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{Q}(R(G), \mathbb{Q})$ with the ring $\text{Map}_\mathcal{Q} \hat{G}$.

**Proposition 2.2** Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. Then there exists an isomorphism of rings

$$\lambda_G : \text{Map}_\mathcal{Q} \hat{G} = \text{Hom}_\mathcal{Q}(R(G), \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Q}[G]$$

given by

$$\lambda_G(h) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} h(\chi)e_\chi,$$
where
\[ e_\chi = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)g^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\chi)[G]. \]

In particular, there is an isomorphism of unit groups
\[ \lambda_G: \text{Hom}_{\Omega Q}(R(G), \mathbb{T}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Q}[G]^\times. \]

**Proof** There is a well-known isomorphism of rings [21, p. 648]
\[ \psi: \mathbb{Q}[G] \rightarrow \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{T} = \text{Map}(\hat{G}, \mathbb{T}) \]
given by \( \psi(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda g)(\chi) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda g \chi(g). \) If \( \Omega Q \) acts on \( \hat{G} \) in the canonical manner, as described above, then \( \psi \) is Galois equivariant and induces an isomorphism of \( \Omega Q \)-fixed points of the form
\[ \mathbb{Q}[G] = (\mathbb{T}[G])^{\Omega G} \cong \text{Map}_{\Omega Q}(\hat{G}, \mathbb{T}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega Q}(R(G), \mathbb{T}). \]

It is straightforward to verify that this isomorphism is the inverse of \( \lambda_G. \)

3 The Canonical Fractional Galois Ideal \( J^r_{E/F} \) in the Abelian Case

3.1 Definition of \( J^r_{E/F} \)

In this section we recall the canonical fractional Galois ideal introduced in [33] (see also [5, 30, 32]). In [33] this was denoted merely by \( J^r_E \), but in this paper we will need to keep track of the base field.

As in §2.2, let \( E/F \) be a Galois extension of number fields. Throughout this section we shall assume that the Stark conjecture of §2.2 is true for all \( E/F \) and that \( G = \text{Gal}(E/F) \) is abelian. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, for each \( r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots \), we have an element
\[ R^r_{E/F} \in \text{Hom}_{\Omega Q}(R(G), \mathbb{T}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Q}[G]^\times \]
that depends upon the choice of a \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \)-isomorphism \( f_E \) in [2.2].

Let \( \alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(Y_r(E)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \) and extend this by the identity on the \((-1)\)-eigenspace of complex conjugation \( Y_r(E)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) to give
\[ \alpha \oplus 1 \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(Y_r(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}). \]

Since \( Y_r(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is free over \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \), we may form the determinant
\[ \text{det}_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(\alpha \oplus 1) \in \mathbb{Q}[G]. \]

In terms of the isomorphism of Proposition 2.2, \( \text{det}_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(\alpha \oplus 1) \) corresponds to the function that sends \( \chi \in \hat{G} \) to the determinant of the endomorphism of \( e_\chi Y_r(E) \otimes \mathbb{T} \) induced by \( \alpha \oplus 1. \)
3.2 Naturality Examples

Following [33, § 4.2] (see also [30, 32]), define \( J_R^x \) to be the (finitely generated) \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2][G] \)-submodule of \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \) generated by all the elements \( \det_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(\alpha \oplus 1) \) satisfying the integrality condition

\[
\alpha \cdot f_E^x(K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_E[1, t^{-1}]))) \subseteq Y_r(E).
\]

Define \( \mathcal{O}_E^x/F \) to be the finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2][G] \)-submodule of \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \) given by

\[
\mathcal{O}_E^x/F = J_R^x \cdot \tau(\mathbb{R}_E^{-1}),
\]

where \( \tau \) is the automorphism of the group-ring induced by sending each \( g \in G \) to its inverse.

**Proposition 3.1** ([33, Prop.4.5]) \ Let \( E/F \) be a Galois extension of number fields with abelian Galois group \( G \). Then, assuming that the Stark conjecture of [33, 2] holds for \( E/F \) for \( r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots \), the finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2][G] \)-submodule \( \mathcal{O}_E^x/F \) of \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \) just defined is independent of the choice of \( f_E^x \).

### 3.2 Naturality Examples

Given an extension \( E/F \) of number fields satisfying the Stark conjecture at \( s = 0 \) and a finite set of places \( S \) of \( F \) containing the infinite places, let \( \mathcal{J}(E/F, S) \) denote the fractional Galois ideal as defined in [5], which is a slight modification of the one just defined so that we can take into account finite places. Let us consider the following situation: \( \ell \) is an odd prime, \( E_n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{n+1}}) \) for a primitive \( \ell^{n+1} \)th root of unity \( \zeta_{p^{n+1}} \) \((n \geq 0)\), and \( S = \{ \infty, \ell \} \). The descriptions below of \( \mathcal{J}(E_n/\mathbb{Q}, S) \) and \( \mathcal{J}(E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}, S) \) are provided in [5, § 4]:

\[
(3.1) \quad \mathcal{J}(E_n/\mathbb{Q}, S) = \frac{1}{2} e_s \ \text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G_n]}(\mathbb{O}_{E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G_n]\theta_{E_n/\mathbb{Q}, S},
\]

\[
(3.2) \quad \mathcal{J}(E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}, S) = \frac{1}{2} \ \text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G_n]}(\mathbb{O}_{E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}}^x)
\]

where \( G_n = \text{Gal}(E_n/\mathbb{Q}) \), \( G_n^x = \text{Gal}(E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}) \), \( E_n^x \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}[G_n^x] \)-submodule of \( \mathbb{O}_{E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}} \) generated by \(-1\) and \( (1 - \zeta_{p^{n+1}})(1 - \zeta_{p^{n+1}}^{-1}) \), and \( \theta_{E_n/\mathbb{Q}, S} \) is the Stickelberger element at \( s = 0 \). Also, \( e_s = \frac{1}{2}(1 + c) \) is the plus-idempotent for complex conjugation \( c \in G_n \).

It is immediate from these descriptions that the natural maps \( \mathbb{Q}[G_n] \to \mathbb{Q}[G_n^x] \), \( \mathbb{Q}[G_n] \to \mathbb{Q}[G_{n-1}] \), and \( \mathbb{Q}[G_n^x] \to \mathbb{Q}[G_{n-1}^x] \) give rise to a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{J}(E_n/\mathbb{Q}, S) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{J}(E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}, S) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{J}(E_{n-1}/\mathbb{Q}, S) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{J}(E_{n-1}^x/\mathbb{Q}, S).
\end{array}
\]

\((\mathbb{O}_{E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}}^x/E_{n-1}^x \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}}^x/E_{n-1}^x) \) embeds into \( \mathbb{O}_{E_n^x/\mathbb{Q}}^x/E_{n-1}^x \), and Stickelberger elements are well known (e.g., [18]) to map to each other in this way.)
Now suppose that \( \ell \equiv 3 \mod 4 \), so that \( E_n \) contains the imaginary quadratic field \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell}) \). Again, letting \( S_F \) consist of the infinite place of \( F \) and the unique place above \( \ell \), \( \mathcal{H}(E_n/F, S_F) \) has a simple description. Indeed, if \( H_n = \mathrm{Gal}(E_n/F) \), then

\[
\mathcal{H}(E_n/F, S_F) = \frac{1}{\mu_n} \text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[H_n]}(\mathcal{O}_{E_n, S}^\times / \mathcal{O}_n),
\]

where \( E_n \) is generated over \( \mathbb{Z}[H_n] \) by \( \zeta_{ev} \) and \( (1 - \zeta_{ev})^{|\mu_n|} \). Here, \( \mu_n = |\mu(E_n)| \) and

\[
\bar{\bar{\theta}}_n = \sum_{\sigma \in H_n} \zeta_{E_n/\mathbb{Q}, \zeta}(0, \sigma^{-1}) \sigma \in \mathbb{Q}[H_n],
\]

a sort of “half Stickelberger element” obtained by keeping only those terms corresponding to elements in the index two subgroup \( H_n \) of \( G_n \). (Note that \( \mu_n \bar{\theta}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[H_n] \).

Comparing \((3.2)\) and \((3.4)\), we get the following without too much difficulty.

**Proposition 3.2** The isomorphism \( \Phi_n : \mathbb{Q}[H_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[G_n^+] \) identifies \( \mathcal{H}(E_n/F, S_F) \) with \( 2\Phi_n(\bar{\theta}_n) \mathcal{H}(E_n/\mathbb{Q}, S) \).

We now explain the above phenomena by proving some general relationships between the \( \mathcal{H}_E^{K/F} \) under natural changes of extension.

### 3.3 Behaviour Under Quotient Maps

**Gal**(\( L/F \)) \( \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K/F) \)

Suppose that \( F \subseteq K \subseteq L \) is a tower of number fields with \( L/F \) abelian. The inclusion of \( K \) into \( L \) induces a homomorphism

\[
SK_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_K[t, t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_L[t, t^{-1}]).
\]

When \( r = 0 \)

\[
\frac{K_1(\mathcal{O}_K[t, t^{-1}])}{\text{Torsion}} \cong \mathcal{O}_K[t, t^{-1}]^\times / \mu(K)
\]

maps injectively to the Galois invariants of \( \mathcal{O}_L[t, t^{-1}]^\times / \mu(L) \) sending \( t \) to itself. For strictly negative \( r \),

\[
\frac{K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_K[t, t^{-1}])}{\text{Torsion}} \cong \frac{K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_K)}{\text{Torsion}}
\]

embeds into the \( \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \)-invariants of \( \frac{K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_K[t, t^{-1}])}{\text{Torsion}} \). There is a homomorphism \( Y_r(K) \rightarrow Y_r(L) \) that sends \( n_\sigma \cdot \sigma \) to \( n_\sigma \cdot (\sum_{(\sigma' | F) = \sigma} \sigma') \), which is an isomorphism onto the \( \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \)-invariants \( Y_r(L)^{\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)} \). For \( r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots \) there is a commutative diagram of regulators in \((2.1)\)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_K[t, t^{-1}]) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{K_{K}} Y_r(K)^+ \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R} \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
K_{1-2r}(\mathcal{O}_L[t, t^{-1}]) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{K_{L}} Y_r(L)^+ \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}
\end{array}
\]
We may choose $f^r_K$ and $f^r_L$ as in §2.1 to make the corresponding diagram of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces commute

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
K_{1-2r}(\mathbb{Q}[t,t^{-1}]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{f^r_K} & Y^+_r(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K_{1-2r}(\mathbb{Q}[t,t^{-1}]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{f^r_L} & Y^+_r(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}
\end{array}
\]

Let $V$ be a one-dimensional complex representation of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})$ and let $W = \text{Inf}_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F})}(V)$ denote the inflation of $V$. Then

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F})}(W^\vee, Y^+_r(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})}(W^\vee, (Y^+_r(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}))^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})}(W^\vee, Y^+_r(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C})
\]

and these isomorphisms transport $(R^r_F \cdot (f^r_F)^{-1})_*$ into $(R^r_K \cdot (f^r_K)^{-1})_*$ by virtue of the above commutative diagrams. Furthermore, since the Artin $L$-function is invariant under inflation, $L^+_F(r,V) = L^+_F(r,W)$. On the other hand, the inflation homomorphism

\[
\text{Inf}_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F})} : R(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})) \rightarrow R(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}))
\]

induces the canonical quotient map

\[
\pi_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}} : \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F})]^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})]^\times
\]

via the isomorphism of Proposition 3.1. Hence $\pi_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{R}^r_K) = \mathcal{R}^r_F$.

Let $\alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F})]}(Y^+_r(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ satisfy the integrality condition of §3.1

\[
\alpha \cdot f^r_L(K_{1-2r}(\mathbb{Q}[t,t^{-1}])) \subseteq Y^+_r(\mathbb{L}).
\]

Extend this by the identity on the $(-1)$-eigenspace of complex conjugation $Y^+_r(\mathbb{L})^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ to give

\[
\alpha \oplus 1 \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F})]}(Y^+_r(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}).
\]

The endomorphism $\alpha$ commutes with the action by $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K})$ so there is $\hat{\alpha} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})]}(Y^+_r(\mathbb{K})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ making the following diagram commute

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
Y^+_r(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} & Y^+_r(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y^+_r(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y^+_r(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}
\end{array}
\]
Therefore, $\hat{\alpha}$ satisfies the integrality condition of [3.1]

$$\hat{\alpha} \cdot f^L_K(K_{1-2r}(\sigma_K(t, t^{-1}))) \subseteq Y_r(K).$$

We may choose a $\mathbb{Z}[1/2][\text{Gal}(K/F)]$ basis for $Y_r(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ consisting of embeddings $\sigma_i: K \to \mathbb{C}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $\sigma_i'$ be an embedding of $L$ that extends $\sigma_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then a $\mathbb{Z}[1/2][\text{Gal}(L/F)]$ basis for $Y_r(L) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ is given by $\{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \ldots, \sigma'_m\}$. The embedding of $Y_r(K)$ into $Y_r(L)$ is given by $\sigma_i \mapsto \sum_{g \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} g(\sigma')$ which implies that the $m \times m$ matrix for $\hat{\alpha}$ with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}[1/2][\text{Gal}(K/F)]$ basis of $\sigma_i$'s is the image of the $m \times m$ matrix for $\alpha$ with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}[1/2][\text{Gal}(L/F)]$ basis of $\sigma'_i$'s under the canonical surjection

$$\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)] \to \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(K/F)].$$

This discussion has established the following result.

**Proposition 3.3** Suppose that $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ is a tower of number fields with $L/F$ abelian. Then, in the notation of [3.1] the canonical surjection

$$\pi_{L/K}: \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)] \to \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(K/F)]$$

satisfies $\pi_{L/K}(\mathbb{Q}_r^o) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_r^o$. Proposition 3.3 explains the existence of the maps in (3.3).

### 3.4 Behaviour Under Inclusion Maps $\text{Gal}(L/K) \to \text{Gal}(L/F)$

As in [3.3], suppose that $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ is a tower of number fields with $L/F$ abelian. The inclusion of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ into $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ induces an inclusion of group-rings $\mathbb{Q}[[\text{Gal}(L/K)]]$ into $\mathbb{Q}[[\text{Gal}(L/F)]]$. In terms of the isomorphism of Proposition 2.2 as is easily seen by the formula, this homomorphism is induced by the restriction of representations

$$\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}: R(\text{Gal}(L/F)) \to R(\text{Gal}(L/K)).$$

If $V$ is a complex representation of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$, then

$$R^L_F(\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V)) = \frac{R(\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V), f^L_F)}{L^*_F(r, \text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V))} = \frac{R(\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V), f^L_F)}{L^*_F(r, \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V)))} = \frac{R(\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V), f^L_F)}{L^*_F(r, V \otimes \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(1))}. $$
Functoriality of the Canonical Fractional Galois Ideal

If \( W_i \in \hat{\text{Gal}}(L/F) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq [K : F] \) is the set of one-dimensional representations that restrict to the trivial representation on \( \text{Gal}(L/K) \), then \( \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(1) = \bigoplus_i W_i \). By Frobenius reciprocity,

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}(\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V)^\vee, Y_r(L^+ \otimes \mathbb{C})) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(\bigoplus_i (V \otimes W_i)^\vee, Y_r(L^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}))
\]

so that

\[
R(\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V), f'_\ell) = \prod_i R(V \otimes W_i, f'_\ell)
\]

and

\[
R_{\ell'}(\text{Res}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V)) = \prod_i R_{\ell'}(V \otimes W_i).
\]

Let \( H \subseteq G \) be finite groups with \( G \) abelian. It will suffice to consider the case in which \( G/H \) is cyclic of order \( n \) generated by \( gH \). Let \( W \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) be a free \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \)-module with basis \( v_1, \ldots, v_r \). Then \( W \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is a free \( \mathbb{Q}[H] \)-module with basis \( \{g^a v_i \mid 0 \leq a \leq n-1, 1 \leq i \leq r\} \). Set \( \delta = \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \times \{1, \ldots, r\} \); then for \( g = (a, i) \), we set \( e_g = g^a v_i \). If \( \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[H]}(W \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \), we may write

\[
\tilde{\alpha}(e_g) = \sum_u A_{u,g} e_u,
\]

so that \( A \) is an \( nr \times nr \) matrix with entries in \( \mathbb{Q}[H] \).

Now consider the induced \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \)-module \( \text{Ind}_{H}^{G}(W \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \). It is a free \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \)-module on the basis \( \{1 \otimes_H e_u \mid u \in \delta\} \). Hence the \( nr \times nr \) matrix, with entries in \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \), for \( 1 \otimes_H \tilde{\alpha} \) with respect to this basis is the image of \( A \) under the canonical inclusion of \( \phi_{H,G} : \mathbb{Q}[H] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[G] \). In particular

\[
\phi_{H,G}(\det_{\mathbb{Q}[H]}(\tilde{\alpha})) = \det_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(\mathbb{Q}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[H]} \tilde{\alpha})
\]

and, by induction on \( [G : H] \), this relation is true for an arbitrary inclusion \( H \subseteq G \) of finite abelian groups.

This discussion yields the following result.

**Proposition 3.4** Suppose that \( F \subseteq K \subseteq L \) is a tower of number fields with \( L/F \) abelian. Then, in the notation of Subsection 3.1 the canonical inclusion

\[
\phi_{K/F} : \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/K)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]
\]

maps \( \mathcal{R}_{L/K}^\prime \) onto the \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2][\text{Gal}(L/K)] \)-submodule

\[
\mathbb{Z}[1/2][\text{Gal}(L/K)](\det_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]}(\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/K)]} (\alpha \oplus 1))\tau(\mathcal{R}_{F}^{\prime})^{-1}.
\]

Here, in terms of Proposition 2.3 \( \mathcal{R}_{L/K} \) is \( \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]^\times \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{R}_{L/K}(V) = \mathcal{R}_{L/K}(V \otimes \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(1)),
\]

and \( \alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/K)]}(Y_r(L^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \) runs through endomorphisms satisfying the integrality condition of 3.1**
3.5 Behaviour Under Fixed-Point Maps

As in §3.3, suppose that $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ is a tower of number fields with $L/F$ abelian. Let $e_{L/K} = [L : K]^{-1}(\sum_{y \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} y)$ denote the idempotent associated with the subgroup $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. There is a homomorphism of unital rings of the form

$$\lambda_{K/F} : \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(K/F)] \to \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]$$

given, for $z \in \text{Gal}(L/F)$, by the formula

$$\lambda_{K/F}(z \text{Gal}(L/K)) = (1 - e_{L/K}) + z \cdot e_{L/K} \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)].$$

From Proposition 3.2, it is easy to see that, in terms of group characters

$$\text{Map}(\hat{\text{Gal}}(K/F), \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Map}(\hat{\text{Gal}}(L/F), \mathbb{Q}),$$

this sends a function $h$ on $\hat{\text{Gal}}(K/F)$ to the function $h'$ given by

$$h'(\chi) = \begin{cases} h(\chi_1) & \text{if } \text{Inf}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}(\chi_1) = \chi, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Sending a complex representation $V$ of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ to its $\text{Gal}(L/K)$-fixed points $V^{\text{Gal}(L/K)}$ gives a homomorphism

$$\text{Fix} : R(\text{Gal}(L/F)) \to R(\text{Gal}(K/F)).$$

In terms of one-dimensional representations (i.e., characters) the above condition $\text{Inf}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}(\chi_1) = \chi$ is equivalent to $\text{Fix}(\chi) = \chi_1$.

Let $V$ be a one-dimensional complex representation of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ fixed by $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Then we have isomorphisms of the form

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(L/F)}((V^{\text{Gal}(L/K)})^\vee, Y_r(L)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(K/F)}(V^\vee, (Y_r(L)^{\text{Gal}(L/K)})^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(K/F)}(V^\vee, Y_r(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

and, by invariance of $L$-functions under inflation, $L^+_r(r, V) = L^+_r(r, V^{\text{Gal}(L/K)})$. Therefore, by the discussion of §3.3.

$$\mathcal{R}_R^L(V) = \mathcal{R}_R^L(V^{\text{Gal}(L/K)}).$$

On the other hand, if $V^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = 0$, then $\mathcal{R}_R^L(V^{\text{Gal}(L/K)}) = 1$ since both $L^+_r(r, 0)$ and the determinant of the identity map of the trivial vector space are equal to one. This establishes the formula

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\mathcal{R}_R^L) = (1 - e_{L/K}) + \mathcal{R}_R^L \cdot e_{L/K}. $$
Now consider an endomorphism
\[ \alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(K/F)]}(Y_r(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \]
satisfying the integrality condition of \(3.3\)
\[ \alpha f_{r,K}(K_1 - 2r(\mathbb{Q}_r[t, t^{-1}])) \subseteq Y_r(K)^+ \cong (Y_r(L)^+)_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}. \]

Let \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\) be a \(Z[1/2][\text{Gal}(L/F)]\)-basis of \(Y_r(L)[1/2]\) so that
\[ \left\{ \left( \sum_{y \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} y \right)v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d \right\} \]
is a \(Z[1/2][\text{Gal}(K/F)]\)-basis of the subspace \((Y_r(L)^+)_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}[1/2] \cong Y_r(K)[1/2] \).

To construct the generators of \(J\), as in \(3.1\), we must calculate the determinant of \(\alpha \oplus 1\) on \(Y_r(K)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus Y_r(K)^- \otimes \mathbb{Q} = Y_r(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) with respect to the basis \(\{(\sum_{y \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} y)v_i\}\) and divide by \(\tau(R_{K'})\).

Let \(\hat{\alpha} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]}(Y_r(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q})\) be given by \(\alpha\) on \(Y_r(L)^{\text{Gal}(L/F)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) and the identity on \((1 - e_{L/K})Y_r(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\). Hence \(\hat{\alpha}\) satisfies the integrality condition
\[ \hat{\alpha} : f'_{\mathbb{Q}}(K_1 - 2r(\mathbb{Q}_r[t, t^{-1}]))^{\text{Gal}(L/F)} \subseteq Y_r(L)^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}, \]
because, as in \(3.3\), \(f'_{\mathbb{Q}}\) may be assumed to extend to \(f'_{\mathbb{Q}}\). Therefore
\[ e_{L/K} \det(\hat{\alpha}) \tau(R_{K'}) \subseteq e_{L/K}\mathbb{R}_{L/F} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)] \].

On the other hand, it is clear that \(\lambda_{K/F}(\det(\alpha \oplus 1)) = \det(\hat{\alpha})\).

This discussion has established the following result.

**Proposition 3.5** Suppose that \(F \subseteq K \subseteq L\) is a tower of number fields with \(L/F\) abelian and let
\[ \lambda_{K/F} : \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(K/F)] \to \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)] \]
denote the unital ring homomorphism of \(3.5\). Then,
\[ \lambda_{K/F}(\mathbb{R}_{K/F}^*) \subseteq (1 - e_{L/K})\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)] + e_{L/K}\mathbb{R}_{L/F}. \]

### 3.6 Behaviour Under Corestriction Maps

As in \(3.3\), suppose that \(F \subseteq K \subseteq L\) is a tower of number fields with \(L/F\) abelian. There is an additive homomorphism of the form
\[ \iota_{K/F} : \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)] \to \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/K)] \]
called the transfer or corestriction map. In terms of Proposition \(2.2\), it is induced by the induction of representations
\[ \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/K)]}^{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]} : R(\text{Gal}(L/K)) \to R(\text{Gal}(L/F)). \]
That is, the image $\iota_{K/F}(h)$ of $h \in \text{Hom}_{\Omega}(R(\text{Gal}(L/F)), \mathbb{Q})$ is given by

$$\iota_{K/F}(h)(V) = h\left(\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V)\right).$$

For each $V \in R(\text{Gal}(L/K))$, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(L/F)}\left(\left(\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)} V\right)^\vee, Y_f(L)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}\right) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}(V^\vee, Y_f(L)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

Also, $L^+_f(r, \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L/K)}^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}(V)) = L^+_K(r, V)$, so that $\iota_{K/F}(R_f) = R_f^L$.

Now consider an endomorphism $\alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]}(Y_f(L)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ satisfying the integrality condition of §3.1

$$\alpha f_{2,1}(K_{1-2,}(\mathbb{Q}_L[t, t^{-1}])) \subseteq Y_f(L)^+.$$

Then it is straightforward to see from Proposition 2.2 that $\det_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]}(\alpha \oplus 1)$, the determinant of $\alpha \oplus 1$ as a map of $\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)]$-modules, is mapped via $\iota_{K/F}$ to $\det_{\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/K)]}(\alpha \oplus 1)$, the determinant of $\alpha \oplus 1$ as $\mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/K)]$-modules.

This discussion has established the following result.

**Proposition 3.6** Suppose that $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ is a tower of number fields with $L/F$ abelian, and let $\iota_{K/F}: \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/F)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(L/K)]$ denote the additive homomorphism of §3.6. Then

$$\iota_{K/F}(\mathfrak{A}_L^F) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_L^K.$$

### 3.7 Lifting of Extensions

We can now explain the second example in §3.2, i.e., Proposition 3.2. Let us work more generally to begin with. $E$ and $F$ can be any number fields, and we suppose we have a diagram

$$C \quad E \quad H$$

$$L \quad G' \quad F$$

$$K$$

satisfying the following: $E/K$ is Galois (though not necessarily abelian), $LF = E$, $L \cap F = K$, the extension $L/K$ is abelian (and hence so is $E/F$), and $L/K$ and $E/F$
satisfy the Stark conjecture. We let $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$, and the Galois groups of the other Galois extensions are marked in the diagram. We observe that $C$ need not be abelian here.

Owing to the natural isomorphism $G/C \to H$, each character $\psi \in \hat{H}$ extends to a unique one-dimensional representation $\hat{\psi}: G \to C^\times$ which is trivial on $C$. Denote by $\text{ch}(G)$ the set of irreducible characters of $G$. Then having chosen a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-module isomorphism $f$ as in §2.1, we can define an element $\Omega^f \in \mathbb{C}[H]^{\times}$ by

$$\Omega^f = \prod_{\chi \in \text{ch}(G) \setminus \{1\}} \left( \sum_{\psi \in \hat{H}} R^f_{E/K}(\chi \hat{\psi})^{d_{\chi}} e_{\psi} \right),$$

where for a character $\chi$ of $G$, $d_{\chi}$ is the multiplicity of the trivial character of $H$ in $\text{Res}^G_H(\chi)$. We have opted to denote by $R^f_{E/K}$ the group-ring element $R^f_{E/K}$ defined in §3.1 to emphasize which extension is being considered.

The following lemma shows that the group-ring element $R^f_{E/F}$ for the extension $E/F$ is related, via $\Omega^f$, to the corresponding element for the extension $L/K$.

**Lemma 3.7** $\Omega^f$ has rational coefficients, and the image of $R^f_{E/F}$ under the isomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{Q}[H] \to \mathbb{Q}[G']$ is $R^f_{L/K}\Phi(\Omega^f)$, where $f'$ is the $\mathbb{Q}[G']$-module isomorphism making diagram (3.5) commute.

The proof of the lemma is little more than a combination of §§3.3 and 3.6.

In the situation of Proposition 3.2 (with $L = E^+$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}$ now), we find that the element $2\hat{\theta}$ occurring there is just $\tau(\Omega^f)^{-1}$ (for any choice of $f$ in this case). Indeed, let $\rho \in \hat{G}$ be the unique non-trivial character extending the trivial character of $H$. Then the only $\chi \in \text{ch}(G) \setminus \{1\}$ with $d_{\chi} \neq 0$ is $\rho$, and $d_{\rho} = 1$, so

$$\Omega^f = \sum_{\psi \in \hat{H}} R^f_{E/Q}(\rho \hat{\psi}) e_{\psi} = \sum_{\psi \in \hat{G}, \psi \text{ even}} R^f_{E/Q}(\rho \psi) e_{\psi|H}. $$

However, for $\psi$ even, $\rho \psi$ is odd so that $R^f(\rho \psi) = L_{E/Q,S}(0, \rho \psi)^{-1}$. Using the easily verified fact that $(1 - c)\hat{\theta} = \theta_{E/Q,S}$, where $c \in G$ is complex conjugation, we see that $L_{E/Q,S}(0, \rho \psi) = 2\psi|H(\tau \hat{\theta})$, from which the assertion follows.

Applying Lemma 3.7 now justifies the appearance of $2\Phi_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$ in Proposition 3.2.

### 4 The Passage to Non-Abelian Groups

#### 4.1 Explicit Brauer Induction

In this section, we shall use the Explicit Brauer Induction constructions of [31, pp. 138–147] to pass from finite abelian Galois groups to the non-abelian case.

Let $G$ be a finite group and consider the additive homomorphism

$$ \sum_{H \leq G} \text{Ind}^G_H \text{Ind}^H_{H_a} : \bigoplus_{H \leq G} R(H)^{ab} \to R(G). $$
Let $N \triangleleft G$ be a normal subgroup and let $\pi : G \to G/N$ denote the quotient homomorphism.

Define a homomorphism

$$\alpha_{G,N} : \bigoplus_{J \subseteq G/N} R(J^{ab}) \to \bigoplus_{R \subseteq G} R(H^{ab})$$

to be the homomorphism that sends the $J$-component $R(J^{ab})$ to the $H = \pi^{-1}(J)$-component $R(\pi^{-1}(J)^{ab})$ via the map

$$\text{Inf}_{\pi^{-1}(J)^{ab}}^J(R(J^{ab})) \to R(\pi^{-1}(J)^{ab}).$$

**Lemma 4.1** In the notation of this subsection, the following diagram commutes.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigoplus_{J \subseteq G/N} R(J^{ab}) & \twoheadrightarrow & R(G/N) \\
\downarrow_{\alpha_{G,N}} & & \downarrow_{\text{Inf}_{G/N}^G} \\
\bigoplus_{H \subseteq G} R(H^{ab}) & \twoheadrightarrow & R(G)
\end{array}
$$

**Proof** Since the kernel of $\pi^{-1}(J) \to J$ and that of $\pi : G \to G/N$ coincide, both being equal to $N$, we have

$$\text{Inf}_{G/N}^G \text{Ind}_{J}^{G/N} = \text{Ind}_{\pi^{-1}(J)}^{G} \text{Inf}_{J}^{\pi^{-1}(J)}.$$ 

Therefore, given a character $\phi : J^{ab} \to \mathbb{T}^X$ in the $J$-coordinate, we have

$$\text{Ind}_{\pi^{-1}(J)}^{G} \text{Inf}_{\pi^{-1}(J)^{ab}}^{\pi^{-1}(J)} \alpha_{G,N}(\phi) = \text{Ind}_{\pi^{-1}(J)}^{G} \text{Inf}_{\pi^{-1}(J)^{ab}}^{\pi^{-1}(J)} \text{Inf}_{J}^{\pi^{-1}(J)^{ab}}(\phi)$$

$$= \text{Ind}_{\pi^{-1}(J)}^{G} \text{Inf}_{J}^{\pi^{-1}(J)} \text{Inf}_{J^{ab}}^{J}(\phi)$$

$$= \text{Inf}_{G/N}^G \text{Ind}_{J}^{G/N} \text{Inf}_{J^{ab}}^{J}(\phi),$$

as required. \hfill $\blacksquare$

### 4.2 The Homomorphisms $A_G^*$ and $B_G^*$

The homomorphism $\alpha_{G,N}$ is invariant under group conjugation and therefore induces an additive homomorphism of the form

$$B_G : \left( \bigoplus_{H \subseteq G} R(H^{ab}) \right)_G \to R(G),$$

where $X_G$ denotes the coinvariants of the conjugation $G$-action. This homomorphism is a split surjection whose right inverse is given by the Explicit Brauer Induction homomorphism

$$A_G : R(G) \to \left( \bigoplus_{H \subseteq G} R(H^{ab}) \right)_G.$$
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constructed in [31, §4.5.16]. We shall be interested in the dual homomorphisms [31, §4.5.20]

\[ B_r^G : \text{Hom}_{\Omega_0}(R(G), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \left( \bigoplus_{H \subseteq G} \text{Hom}_{\Omega_0}(R(H^{ab}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \right)^G \]

and

\[ A_r^G : \left( \bigoplus_{H \subseteq G} \text{Hom}_{\Omega_0}(R(H^{ab}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \right)^G \to \text{Hom}_{\Omega_0}(R(G), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}), \]

where \( X^G \) denotes the subgroup of \( G \)-invariants.

As in [31, Def. 4.5.4], denote by \( Q\{ G \} \) the rational vector space whose basis consists of the conjugacy classes of \( G \). There is an isomorphism [31, Prop. 4.5.14]

\[ \psi : Q\{ G \} \cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega_0}(R(G), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \]

given by the formula \( \psi(\sum \gamma m_\gamma \rho)(\rho) = \sum \gamma m_\gamma \text{Trace}(\rho(\gamma)). \)

When \( G \) is abelian, we have \( Q\{ G \} = Q[G] \), and, under the identification

\[ \text{Hom}_{\Omega_0}(R(G), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = \text{Map}_{\Omega_0}(G, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \]

of Proposition 3.1, we have \( \psi(g) = (\chi \mapsto \chi(g)) \), which is a ring isomorphism inverse to \( \lambda_G \).

5 \( \mathcal{J}_{E/F}^r \) in General

Let \( G \) denote the Galois group of a finite Galois extension \( E/F \) of number fields. Hence each subgroup of \( G \) has the form \( H = \text{Gal}(E/E^H) \), whose abelianization is \( H^{ab} = \text{Gal}(E^{[H,H]}/E^H) \) where \([H,H]\) is the commutator subgroup of \( H \). For each integer \( r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots \), we have the canonical fractional Galois ideal \( \mathcal{J}_{E/H^{(r)}}^{n,r} \subseteq Q[H^{ab}] \) as defined in 3.1.

**Definition 5.1** In the notation of Section 5, define a subgroup \( \mathcal{J}_{E/F}^r \) of \( Q\{ G \} \) by

\[ \mathcal{J}_{E/F}^r = (B_r^G)^{-1}\left( \bigoplus_{H \subseteq G} \mathcal{J}_{E/H^{(r)}}^{n,r} \right). \]

**Lemma 5.2** In Section 5 and Definition 5.1, when \( G = \text{Gal}(E/F) \) is abelian then \( \mathcal{J}_{E/F}^r \) coincides with the canonical fractional Galois ideal of 3.1.

**Proof** The \( H \)-component of \( B_r^G \) has the form

\[ Q[\text{Gal}(E/F)] \overset{1_{H^{ab}}}{\rightarrow} Q[\text{Gal}(E/E^H)] \overset{\pi_{E/H^{(r)}}}{\rightarrow} Q[\text{Gal}(E^{[H,H]}/E^H)], \]

which maps \( \mathcal{J}_{E/F}^r \) to \( \mathcal{J}_{E/H^{(r)}}^{n,r} \) by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.6 so that

\[ \mathcal{J}_{E/F}^r \subseteq (B_r^G)^{-1}\left( \bigoplus_{H \subseteq G} \mathcal{J}_{E/H^{(r)}}^{n,r} \right). \]

On the other hand, the \( G \)-component of \( B_r^G \) is the identity map from \( Q[G] \) to itself. Therefore if \( z \in Q[G] \setminus \mathcal{J}_{E/F}^r \), then \( B_r^G(z) \not\in \bigoplus_{H \subseteq G} \mathcal{J}_{E/H^{(r)}}^{n,r} \), as required. \( \blacksquare \)
**Proposition 5.3** Suppose that $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ is a tower of finite extensions of number fields with $L/F$ and $K/F$ Galois. Then, for $r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots$, the canonical homomorphism

\[ \pi_{L/K} : \mathbb{Q}\{\text{Gal}(L/F)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\{\text{Gal}(K/F)\} \]

satisfies $\pi_{L/K}(\mathcal{D}_r^{E,F}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_r^{K/F}$. 

**Proof** This follows immediately from Proposition 5.3, Lemmas 4.1 and 5.2 and Definition 5.1.

**Definition 5.4** Let $F$ be a number field and $L/F$ a (possibly infinite) Galois extension with Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$. For $r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots$, define $\mathcal{D}_r^{E,F}$ to be the abelian group

\[ \mathcal{D}_r^{E,F} = \lim_{\rightarrow H} \mathcal{D}_r^{E,F/H}, \]

where $H$ runs through the open normal subgroups of $G$.

### 6 $\mathcal{D}_r^{E,F}$ and the Annihilation of $H^2_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}), \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1 - r))$

#### 6.1 A Conjecture

Let $\ell$ be an odd prime. We continue to assume the Stark conjecture as stated in 2.2 for $r = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots$. Replacing $\mathbb{Q}$ by $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ in 5.1 and Definition 5.1 we may associate a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-submodule of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell\{\text{Gal}(E/F)\}$, again denoted by $\mathcal{D}_r^{E,F}$, to any finite extension $E/F$ of number fields.

In this section we are going to explain a conjectural procedure to pass from $\mathcal{D}_r^{E,F}$ to the construction of elements in the annihilator ideal of the étale cohomology of the ring of $S$-integers of $E$,

\[ \text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(E/F)}}(H^2_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{E,S(E)}), \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1 - r))) , \]

where $S$ denotes a finite set of primes of $F$ including all archimedean primes and all finite primes that ramify in $E/F$, and $S(E)$ denotes all the primes of $E$ over those in $S$. This conjectural procedure was first described in [33, Thm. 8.1].

We shall restrict ourselves to the case when $r = -1, -2, -3, \ldots$. In several ways, this is a simplification of the case when $r = 0$. In this case, $H^2_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{E,S(E)}), \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1 - r))$ is independent of $S(E)$, while it is related to the group of $S(E)$-units when $r = 0$. Also, when $r \leq -1$, $H^2_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{E,S(E)}), \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1 - r))$ is a subgroup of the corresponding cohomology group when $S(E)$ is enlarged to $S'(E)$, but when $r = 0$, the class-group of $\mathcal{O}_{E,S'(E)}$ is a quotient of that of $\mathcal{O}_{E,S(E)}$. Furthermore (see [5], [35]), there are subtleties concerning whether or not to use the $S$-modified $L$-function in Section 2 when $r = 0$, while for $r \leq -1$ this is immaterial.

When $r = 0$, the annihilator procedure is similar to the other cases, but the additional complications have prompted us to omit this case.

Write $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$, and for each subgroup $H = \text{Gal}(E/E^H) \subseteq G$, let $S(E^H)$ denote the set of primes of $E^H$ above those of $S$. Then $H^{ab} = \text{Gal}(E^{[H,H']}/E^H)$, where $[H, H']$ denotes the commutator subgroup of $H$. The following conjecture originated in [30, 32, 33].
Conjecture 6.1 In the notation of [36.1] when \( r = -1, -2, -3, \ldots \), we have

(i) Integrality:

\[
\mathcal{J}_{E^{H,n}/G}^r \cdot \text{ann}_{Z_n[G]}(\text{Tors} H^1_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(O_{E^{H,n}/S}), Z_n(1 - r))) \subseteq Z_n[H^{ab}],
\]

(ii) Annihilation:

\[
\mathcal{J}_{E^{H,n}/G}^r \cdot \text{ann}_{Z_n[G]}(\text{Tors} H^2_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(O_{E^{H,n}/S}), Z_n(1 - r))) \subseteq \text{ann}_{Z_n[H^{ab}]}(H^2_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(O_{E^{H,n}/S}), Z_n(1 - r))).
\]

(We have adopted the shorthand: \( O_{E^{H,n}/S} = O_{E^{H,n}/SK^{H,n}} \).)

6.2 Evidence

Conjecture 6.1(i) is analogous to the Stickelberger integrality, which is described in [33, §2.2]. Stickelberger integrality was proven in certain totally real cases in [8, 9, 14, 20] for \( r = 0 \). In general, when \( r = 0 \), it is part of the Brumer conjecture [4]. The novelty of Conjecture 6.1(ii), when it was introduced in [32, 33], was the annihilator prediction when the \( L \)-function vanishes at \( s = r \). For the part of the fractional ideal corresponding to characters whose \( L \)-functions are non-zero at \( s = r \), generated by the higher Stickelberger element at \( s = r \), (ii) is the conjecture of [12].

Let us consider the cyclotomic example \( \mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r \) \(( r < 0 \) when \( L = Q(\zeta) \) for some root of unity \( \zeta \), and suppose \( \ell \) is an odd prime dividing the order of \( \zeta \). In this case, \( \mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r \) splits into plus and minus parts for complex conjugation, i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r = \mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r(-) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r(+),
\]

where \( \mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r(-) = 1/2(1 + (-1)^r c) \), \( \mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r(+) = 1/2(1 - (-1)^r c) \), and \( c \in G = \text{Gal}(L/Q) \) is complex conjugation. By the proof of [33, Thm. 6.1], \( \mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r(-) \) is generated by the Stickelberger element \( \theta_{L/Q,S}(r) \) defined in terms of \( L \)-function values at \( s = r \). However, by [14],

\[
\text{ann}_{Z_n[G]}(\text{Tors} H^1_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(O_{L,S}, Z_n(1 - r)))) \theta_{L/Q,S}(r) \subseteq Z_n[G].
\]

Further, the proof of [33, Thm. 7.6] shows that \( \mathcal{J}_{L/Q}^r(-) \subseteq Z_n[G] \). In fact, [33, Thm. 6.1] also shows that Conjecture 6.1(ii) holds in this case (with \( E = Q \) and \( H = G \)), the intersection “\( \cap Z_n[G] \)” found in the statement of that theorem being unnecessary.

Turning now to the case \( r = 0 \), with the field \( E_n \) as in [32] we have a similar scenario for \( \mathcal{J}(E_n/Q, S) \), where \( S = \{ \infty, \ell \} \). Indeed, we see from [51] that \( \mathcal{J}(E_n/Q, S) \) again splits into plus and minus parts, with the minus part being generated by the Stickelberger element \( \theta_{E_n/Q,S} \) defined at \( s = 0 \). Stickelberger’s theorem then implies that

\[
\text{ann}_{Z_n[G_n]}(\mu(E_n)) \theta_{E_n/Q,S} \subseteq Z_n[G_n],
\]

and \( \mathcal{J}(E_n/Q, S) \) is already in \( Z_n[G_n] \). The roles of the plus and minus parts of \( \mathcal{J}(E_n/Q, S) \) will become clear in 6.2.1 below.
6.2.1 An Iwasawa-Theoretic Example

Equation (3.1) can be used to provide an example of the relationship of \( J(E_n/Q, S) \) to Iwasawa theory, with an inverse limit of the \( J(E_n/Q, S) \) over \( n \) giving rise, in a suitable way, to Fitting ideals of both the plus and minus parts of an inverse limit of class-groups (Proposition 6.2). Given \( n \geq 0 \), let \( Q^{(n)}/Q \) be the degree \( \ell^n \) subextension of the (unique) \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-extension \( Q^{(\infty)}/Q \). We then have the field diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Q^{(n)} & \longrightarrow & \Delta_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_n \\
\Gamma_n & \longrightarrow & E_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Q & \longrightarrow & \Delta \\
\end{array}
\]

in which \( Q^{(n)} \cap E_0 = Q \) and \( Q^{(n)}E_0 = E_n \), so that the Galois group \( G_n = \text{Gal}(E_n/Q) \) is the internal direct product of \( \Delta_n \) and \( \Gamma_n \). \( S \) will denote the set of places \( \{ \infty, \ell \} \) of \( Q \).

By virtue of the natural isomorphism \( \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta \), characters of \( \Delta_n \) correspond to characters of \( \Delta \). If \( \delta \in \Delta \), we let \( \delta_n \) denote the corresponding character in \( \Delta_n \). Now, since \( G_n \) is the direct product of \( \Gamma_n \) and \( \Delta_n \), we can view the group-ring \( C[G_n] \) as \( C[\Gamma_n][\Delta_n] \). Indeed, the isomorphism \( C[G_n] \rightarrow C[\Gamma_n][\Delta_n] \) is given by extending linearly over \( C \) the map sending an element in \( G_n \) to the corresponding product of elements in \( \Gamma_n \) and \( \Delta_n \). In doing this, we can define a projection \( \pi_n(\delta) : C[G_n] \rightarrow C[\Gamma_n] \) by extending \( \delta \) linearly over \( C[\Gamma_n] \).

Finally, fix an isomorphism \( \nu : C_\ell \rightarrow C \) and let \( \omega : \Delta \rightarrow C_\ell^\times \) be the composition of the Teichmüller character \( \Delta \rightarrow C_\ell^\times \) with \( \nu : C_\ell^\times \rightarrow C^\times \). Then, given \( \delta \in \hat{\Delta}, \delta^* \) will denote \( \omega \delta^{-1} \). Observe that since \( \omega \) is odd, \( \delta \) is even if and only if \( \delta^* \) is odd.

**Proposition 6.2** Let \( \text{Cl}_{\infty} = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \text{Cl}(E_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \), and let \( \delta \in \hat{\Delta} \). (\( \delta \) may be even or odd.) Then

\[
\text{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}[[\Gamma_{\infty}]]}(e_S \cdot \text{Cl}_{\infty}) = \begin{cases} 
\lim_{\longrightarrow} Z_\ell \pi_n(\delta^*)(J(E_n/Q, S)) & \text{if } \delta \neq 1, \\
\lim_{\longrightarrow} Z_\ell \pi_n(\delta^*)((1 - (1 + \ell)\sigma_n^{-1})J(E_n/Q, S)) & \text{if } \delta = 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \sigma_n = (1 + \ell, E_n/Q) \).

**Proof** This stems from (3.1), which we reproduce for convenience:

\[
J(E_n/Q, S) = \frac{1}{2} e_+ \text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G_n]}(\mathcal{O}_{E_n}^\times/S \mathcal{E}_n^\times) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G_n] \theta_{E_n/Q, S}.
\]
Functoriality of the Canonical Fractional Galois Ideal

Let us deal with even characters $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$ first. For simplicity, we will assume that $\delta \neq 1$, though in fact the case $\delta = 1$ is similar. Equation (5.1) tells us that for each $n \geq 0$, $Z_\ell \pi_n(\delta^*)(\mathbb{J}(\mathcal{E}_n/\mathbb{Q}, S)) = Z_\ell [\Gamma_n] \pi_n(\delta^*)(\theta_{\mathcal{E}_n/\mathbb{Q}, S})$. However, Iwasawa’s construction of $\ell$-adic $L$-functions (see [19] and [39, Chpt. 7]) shows that this lies in $Z_\ell [\Gamma_n]$ and that the inverse limit of these ideals is generated by the algebraic $\ell$-adic $L$-function corresponding to the even character $\delta$. Mazur and Wiles’ proof (see [23]) of the Main Conjecture of Iwasawa theory, and later Wiles’ generalization of this (see [40]), show that this in turn is equal to the Fitting ideal appearing in the statement of the Main Conjecture of Iwasawa theory, and later Wiles’ generalization of this (see [40]), show that this in turn is equal to the Fitting ideal appearing in the statement of the proposition.

Now we turn to odd characters $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$. Referring to (3.1) again, we find that

$Z_\ell \pi_n(\delta^*)(\mathbb{J}(\mathcal{E}_n/\mathbb{Q}, S)) = \pi_n(\delta^*)(\text{Fitt}_{Z_\ell[G_n]}((\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_n/S}^\infty/\mathcal{E}_n^+)) \otimes Z_\ell))$.

This uses that $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_n/S}^\infty/\mathcal{E}_n^+) \otimes Z_\ell$ is cocyclic as a $Z_\ell[G_n]$-module so that, since $G_n$ is cyclic, the Fitting and annihilator ideals of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_n/S}^\infty/\mathcal{E}_n^+) \otimes Z_\ell$ agree. Theorem 1.1 says in particular that this Fitting ideal is equal to that of $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{E}_n^+) \otimes Z_\ell$. Combining the above and passing to limits completes the proof. 

We observe the importance here of taking leading coefficients of $L$-functions at $s = 0$ rather than just values. For $\delta$ even (i.e., $\delta^*$ odd), $\pi_n(\delta^*)(\mathbb{J}(\mathcal{E}_n/\mathbb{Q}, S))$ concerns $L$-functions which are non-zero at 0, and we get the usual Stickelberger elements which are related to minus parts of class-groups via $\ell$-adic $L$-functions. However, when $\delta$ is odd (i.e., $\delta^*$ is even), $\pi_n(\delta^*)(\mathbb{J}(\mathcal{E}_n/\mathbb{Q}, S))$ is concerned with $L$-functions having simple zeroes at 0, which are related to plus parts of class-groups via cyclotomic units.

7 $\mathbb{J}^r_{E/F}$ and Annihilation

Let $\ell$ be an odd prime. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{J}^r_{E/F}$ and $H \subseteq G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$, choose any $\beta \in \text{ann}_{Z_\ell[H]}(\text{Tors}H^1_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{H|S}}, Z_\ell(1 - r)))$.

Then the $H$-component $B^r_C(\alpha)_H$ lies in $\mathcal{O}_H[H^{\text{ab}}]N_{G/H}$, the fixed points under the conjugation action by $N_{G/H}$, the normalizer of $H$ in $G$. Assuming Conjecture (6.1), $B^r_C(\alpha)_H \cdot \beta \in Z_\ell[H^{\text{ab}}]N_{G/H}$. Choose $z_{H, n, \alpha, \beta} \in Z_\ell[H]$ such that

$\pi(z_{H, n, \alpha, \beta}) = B^r_C(\alpha)_H \cdot \beta$.

Consider the composition

$H^2_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{H|S}}), Z_\ell(1 - r)) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{Z_\ell[H]}^{Z_\ell} H^2_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{H|S}}), Z_\ell(1 - r))}

B^r_C(\alpha)_H \cdot \beta \xrightarrow{z_{H, n, \alpha, \beta}} H^2_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{H|S}}), Z_\ell(1 - r)) \xrightarrow{\jmath} H^2_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{H|S}}), Z_\ell(1 - r))$

in which $j$ is induced by the inclusion of fields and $\text{Tr}_{E/F_{H|H}}$ denotes the transfer homomorphism.
Assuming Conjecture 6.1(ii), this composition is zero. However, by Frobenius reciprocity for the cohomology transfer, for all \( a \in H^2_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{E,S}), \mathcal{L}(1-r)) \)
\[
0 = j(\pi(z_{H,\alpha,\beta}) \text{Tr}_{E/F}\mathcal{L}(a)) = j \cdot \text{Tr}_{E/F}\mathcal{L}(z_{H,\alpha,\beta} \cdot a)
= \left( \sum_{h \in \text{Gal}(E/F)\mathcal{L}(a)} h \right) z_{H,\alpha,\beta} \cdot a.
\]

**Definition 7.1** In the situation of §6.1 and Section §7, let \( I(E/F, r) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(r) \) denote the left ideal generated by the elements \( \left\{ \sum_{h \in \text{Gal}(E/F)\mathcal{S}(a)} h \right\} z_{H,\alpha,\beta} \) as \( \alpha, H, \) and \( \beta \) vary through all the possibilities above.

**Theorem 7.2** If Conjecture 6.1 is true for all abelian intermediate extensions \( E^\mathbb{H}/E\mathbb{H} \) of \( E/F \), then the left action of the left ideal \( I(E/F, r) \) annihilates
\[
H^2_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{E,S}), \mathcal{L}(1-r)).
\]

**Remark** If \( G \) is abelian in Definition 7.1 and Theorem 7.2, then
\[
I(E/F, r) = \mathcal{L}(r) \cdot \text{ann}_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(\text{Tors}H^1_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{E,S}), \mathcal{L}(1-r))).
\]
That is, \( I(E/F, r) \) equals the left hand side of Conjecture 6.1(ii).

**Proposition 7.3** In Definition 7.1, \( I(E/F, r) \) is a two-sided ideal in \( \mathcal{L}(r) \).

**Proof** In the notation of Section 7, it suffices to show that
\[
w(\sum_{h \in \text{Gal}(E/F)\mathcal{S}(a)} h) z_{H,\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{L}(1-r)
\]
lies in \( I(E/F, r) \). Consider
\[
w\left( \sum_{h \in \text{Gal}(E/F)\mathcal{S}(a)} h \right) \mathcal{L}(1-r) = \sum_{h \in \text{Gal}(E/F)\mathcal{S}(a)} h
\]
and \( wz_{H,\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{L}(1-r) \). Since \( z_{H,\alpha,\beta} \) lies in \( \mathcal{L}(r) \) and maps to \( B^\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) \beta \) in \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(r)) \), we see that \( wz_{H,\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{L}(1-r) \) lies in \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(1-r)) \) and maps to \( wB^\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) \mathcal{L}(1-r) \mathcal{L}(1-r) \) in \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(r)) \). However, \( wB^\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) \mathcal{L}(1-r) \mathcal{L}(1-r) \) lies in
\[
\text{ann}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(1-r))}(\text{Tors}H^1_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{E,S}), \mathcal{L}(1-r))),
\]
completing the proof. \( \blacksquare \)

**Proposition 7.4** Suppose that \( F \subseteq K \subseteq E \) is a tower of number fields with \( E/F \) and \( K/F \) Galois. Then for \( r = -1, -2, -3, \ldots \), the canonical homomorphism
\[
\pi_{E/K}: \mathcal{L}(\text{Gal}(E/F)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\text{Gal}(K/F))
\]
satisfies
\[
\pi_{E/K}(I(E/F, r)) \subseteq I(K/F, r).
\]

**Proof** This follows easily from Lemma 5.1 and Propositions 5.3 and 7.3. \( \blacksquare \)
8 Relation to Iwasawa theory

As discussed in the Introduction, the motivation for examining the behaviour of the fractional Galois ideal under changes of extension is to facilitate investigating a possible role in Iwasawa theory. Via the relationship of the fractional ideal with Stark-type elements (e.g., cyclotomic units in the case \( r = 0 \) and Beilinson elements in the case \( r < 0 \), discussed in [5] and [32] resp.), one might hope that an approach involving Euler systems would be fruitful here. A general connection of the fractional Galois ideal to Stark elements of arbitrary rank was demonstrated in [6], and the link of Stark elements with class-groups using the theory of Euler systems was discussed in [24, 28], so that a strategy as above seems promising.

We conclude the paper with some speculation concerning what the non-commutative Iwasawa theory of Fukaya–Kato [15], Kato (unpublished), and Ritter–Weiss [26] suggests about \( \Omega_{E/F} \) of Definition 5.4 and \( I(E/F, r) \) of Definition 7.1.

It is worth pointing out, before we begin the recapitulation proper, that [15, 26] often restrict to the situation where the extension fields are totally real, which tends to involve only one of the eigenspaces of complex conjugation acting on \( \Omega_{E/F} \) and \( I(E/F, r) \). We have tried to give some examples (for example, [6, 2.1]) which illustrate the expected role and properties of the other eigenspace.

Further, in this area there is an immense litany of conjectures (see [7, 15]) of which Stark's conjecture is approximately the weakest. All the constructions we have made are contingent only on the truth of Stark's conjecture, which is crucial for us but also seems fundamental; it is assumed, for example, in [27].

Let \( \ell \) be an odd prime (denoted \( p \) there), \( F \) a totally real number field, and \( F_\infty \) a totally real Lie extension of \( F \) containing \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell\infty})^* \). Here, \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell\infty})^* \) is the union of the totally real fields \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell^n})^* = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell^n} + \zeta_{\ell^n}^{-1}) \) over all \( n \geq 1 \). Let \( G = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F) \), and assume that only finitely many primes of \( F \) ramify in \( F_\infty \). Fix a finite set \( \Sigma \) of primes of \( F \) containing the ones which ramify in \( F_\infty/F \). Define \( \Lambda(G) \) to be the Iwasawa algebra of \( G \), given by \( \Lambda(G) = \mathbb{Z}_\ell[\mathbb{G}] = \lim_{\rightarrow U} \mathbb{Z}_\ell[\mathbb{G}/U] \), where the limit runs over all open normal subgroups of \( G \).

Let \( C \) denote the cochain complex of \( \Lambda(G) \)-modules given by

\[
\text{RHom}(\Gamma_\ell^\Sigma(\mathbb{O}_{F_\infty}[1/\Sigma]), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell),
\]

so that \( H^0(C) = \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) with trivial \( G \)-action and \( H^{-1}(C) = \text{Gal}(M/F_\infty) \), the Galois group of the maximal pro-\( \ell \) abelian extension of \( F_\infty \) unramified outside \( \Sigma \). The other \( H^i(C)'s \) are zero and \( \text{Gal}(M/F_\infty) \) is a finitely generated torsion (left) \( \Lambda(G) \)-module. Let \( F_{\mathfrak{p}y} \subseteq F_\infty \) denote the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-extension and set \( H = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_{\mathfrak{p}y}) \subseteq G \) so that \( G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell \). As in [11], let

\[
S = \{ f \in \Lambda(G) \mid \Lambda(G)/\Lambda(G)f \text{ is finitely generated as a } \Lambda(H)\text{-module} \}.
\]

Then \( S \) is an Ore set, which means that its elements may be inverted to form the localized ring \( \Lambda(G)_S \), and there is an exact localization sequence of algebraic \( K \)-groups

\[
K_i(\Lambda(G)) \to K_i(\Lambda(G)_S) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(\Lambda(G), \Lambda(G)_S) \to K_0(\Lambda(G)) \to K_0(\Lambda(G)_S).
\]
By [17], Iwasawa’s conjecture concerning the vanishing of the $\mu$-invariant implies that the cohomology of the perfect complex $C$ vanishes when $S$-localized. This gives rise to a class $[C] \in K_0(\Lambda(G), \Lambda(G)_S)$. In the case of finite Galois extensions the class $[C]$ accounts for the Stickelberger phenomena (c.f. [33]), but, on the other hand, so do values of Artin $L$-functions. The main conjecture of non-commutative Iwasawa theory, described below following Kato (unpublished), makes this relation clear in terms of $\Lambda(G)_S$-modules.

There is an $\ell$-adic determinantal valuation that assigns to $f \in K_1(\Lambda(G)_S)$ and a continuous Artin representation $\rho$ a value $f(\rho) \in \mathbb{T}_\ell \cup \{\infty\}$. The main conjecture of non-commutative Iwasawa theory asserts that there exists $\xi \in K_1(\Lambda(G)_S)$ such that (i) $\partial(\xi) = -[C]$ and (ii) $\xi(\rho^{\kappa^r}) = L_{\Sigma}(1 - r, \rho)$ for any even $r \geq 2$, where $\kappa$ is the $\ell$-adic cyclotomic character and $L_{\Sigma}(s, \rho)$ is the Artin $L$-function of $\rho$ with the Euler factors at $\Sigma$ removed.

The main conjecture of Iwasawa theory was formulated in [27] and studied in the series of papers [26] when the Lie group $G$ has rank zero or one. The case of $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is of particular interest in the study of elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{Q}$ without complex multiplication [11] and was proven for the $\ell$-adic Heisenberg group by Kato (unpublished). For a comprehensive survey, see [15].

Motivated by the main conjecture of Iwasawa theory, and more generally by the role of $\Lambda(G)$ in the arithmetic geometry of elliptic curves and their Selmer groups, there has been considerable ring-theoretic activity concerning $\Lambda(G)$ and $\Omega(G) = \Lambda(G)/\ell \Lambda(G)$ (see [1–3, 36–38]). The rings $\Lambda(G)$ and $\Omega(G)$ are examples of “just-infinite rings” which both satisfy the Auslander–Gorenstein condition and are thus amenable to Lie theoretic analysis.

In the survey article [1], a number of questions are posed. In particular, the constructions of Section 7 are directly related to [1, Question G]: “Is there a mechanism for constructing ideals of Iwasawa algebras which involves neither central elements nor closed normal subgroups?”

**Proposition 8.1** If $F_\infty/F$ is any $\ell$-adic Lie extension of a number field $F$ with Galois group $G$, then, under the assumption of Section 7 for the finite intermediate subextensions $E/F$ for $r = -1, -2, -3, \ldots$, we may define a two-sided ideal

$$I(F_\infty/F, r) = \lim_{\leftarrow E} I(E/F, r)$$

in $\Lambda(G)$, where the limit is taken over finite Galois subextensions $E/F$ of $F_\infty/F$.

In view of the annihilation discussion in Section 7, Proposition 8.1 suggests the following.

**Question 8.2** What is the intersection of the canonical Ore set $S$ of [11] with $I(F_\infty/F, r)$?

In many ways the most interesting case is when $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) (\ell \geq 7)$, arising from the tower of $\ell$-primary torsion points on an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ without complex multiplication [10, 11]. In this case, one has particularly strong information concerning two-sided primes ideals of $\Lambda(G)$, see [3]. An alternative approach to the
construction of fractional Galois ideals in \( \mathbb{Q}_l[\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})] \) is possible based on assuming that a type of Stark conjecture holds for the Hasse–Weil \( L \)-function of the elliptic curve [34]. It would be interesting to know whether this leads to the same two-sided ideal as in Proposition 8.1.
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