Alcohol marketing and adolescent alcohol consumption: Results from the International Alcohol Control study (South Africa)
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Background. A complete ban on alcohol advertisements has been proposed for South Africa (SA), but there has been limited local research on the association between exposure to alcohol advertisements and alcohol consumption.

Objectives. To examine the role of demographic factors, exposure to alcohol marketing and liking of alcohol advertisements in predicting use of alcohol in the past 6 months among older adolescents in Tshwane, Gauteng Province, SA.

Methods. Participants comprised the adolescent sub-sample (N=869) of the International Alcohol Control study survey that was conducted in SA. They consisted of 408 males and 461 females aged 16 and 17 years who took part in structured interviews on their alcohol consumption and various alcohol-related attitudes and behaviours. A multiple survey logistic regression analysis of the dependent variable alcohol use in the past 6 months on the independent variables age, gender, educational status, socioeconomic status, exposure to alcohol brand marketing and liking of alcohol advertisements was used. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated.

Results. The prevalence of drinking in the past 6 months was 10.6% (95% CI 5.9 - 18.3). The number of modes of alcohol brand/product advertising to which the adolescents were exposed was positively associated with alcohol use in the past 6 months. An additional mode of alcohol brand/product advertising exposure led to a relative increase of 1.13 (95% CI 1.01 - 1.28) in the odds of alcohol use in the past 6 months (e.g. a participant who was exposed to advertisements via seven different channels was 2.08 times more likely to have used alcohol in the past 6 months than a participant with exposure via a single channel). Having a strong dislike of alcohol advertisements was associated negatively (protective) with alcohol use in the past 6 months, with the odds ratio being 0.35 (95% CI 0.19 - 0.64). Having only a moderate dislike or a liking of alcohol advertisements was positively associated with alcohol use in the past 6 months among the study participants (OR 2.90 and 2.84, respectively). Age, gender, educational status and socioeconomic status were not independently associated with alcohol consumption.

Conclusions. Exposure to alcohol marketing and not being strongly averse to advertisements of alcohol brands and products were associated with alcohol use among adolescents. The results have implications for policies on alcohol marketing in SA.
advertisements make up about 4.4% of all advertisements. There is strong opposition by the alcohol industry to the proposed ban on alcohol advertisements in SA, and there is therefore a need for national evidence on the likely effects of exposure to marketing of alcohol brands and products on alcohol consumption.

One key aspect of current legislation (the Liquor Act No. 59 of 2003) on alcohol marketing that relates to adolescents specifically is that it prohibits advertising ‘in a false or misleading manner’ or ‘in a manner intended to target or attract minors’ (p. 18). Self-regulation by alcohol companies is the main means by which companies’ compliance with these restrictions is monitored. Alcohol companies (as well as other companies in the communication industry) are required to adhere to the Code of Commercial Communication and Conduct of the Industry Association of Responsible Alcohol Use (now AWARE.ORG), which includes rules concerning alcohol advertisements and promotions.

Alcohol companies report annually to the Department of Trade and Industry on their adherence to the code via a certificate of compliance. However, there is evidence that the industry flouts its own regulatory codes, and adolescents are not only regularly exposed to different forms of advertisements but also seem to be specifically targeted, as evidenced by the existence of alcohol advertisements very close to the perimeter of school grounds and other places frequented by young people, and young people’s perceptions that they are targeted.

Globally, evidence on the association between alcohol consumption and exposure to alcohol marketing has generally been very consistent for adolescents. Specifically, numerous systematic reviews of longitudinal studies have indicated that exposure to alcohol advertising is associated with earlier alcohol initiation and consumption and exposure to alcohol marketing has generally been very consistent for adolescents. Specifically, numerous systematic reviews of longitudinal studies have indicated that exposure to alcohol advertising is associated with earlier alcohol initiation and consumption and exposure to alcohol marketing has generally been very consistent for adolescents. Specifically, numerous systematic reviews of longitudinal studies have indicated that exposure to alcohol advertising is associated with earlier alcohol initiation and consumption. However, there is evidence that the industry flouts its own regulatory codes, and adolescents are not only regularly exposed to different forms of advertisements but also seem to be specifically targeted, as evidenced by the existence of alcohol advertisements very close to the perimeter of school grounds and other places frequented by young people, and young people’s perceptions that they are targeted.

Survey tools
Two structured questionnaires were employed: one for participants who reported having consumed alcohol in the past 6 months (the main questionnaire), and the second one for adolescents who reported not having consumed alcohol in the past 6 months (the short questionnaire). The main questionnaire was adapted from the standard IAC questionnaire and translated and back-translated into Tsitswa and Afrikaans (the two most commonly spoken languages in Tshwane). It included various measures of demographic factors (age, gender, educational status, educational level, socioeconomic status), alcohol consumption, alcohol acquisition, exposure to brand marketing and liking of alcohol advertisements. The short questionnaire (for non-recent/non-drinkers) included the same questions but excluded those referring to the consumption and acquisition of alcoholic beverages. Table 1 shows details of the questionnaire measures that are of relevance to this article.

Methods
Design and sampling
The study was conducted in the City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality, which is located in the north-eastern part of SA and in its most prosperous province, Gauteng Province. The City of Tshwane is primarily urban with a diverse population of about 2.9 million people, 24.2% of whom are unemployed and the majority of whom are black.

The study is part of the SA arm of the International Alcohol Control (IAC) study. In keeping with the IAC model, the study set out as a longitudinal survey targeting 2 000 participants aged between 16 and 65 years who had consumed alcohol in the past 6 months. Unlike most other IAC study countries, the IAC study in SA also included adolescents who had not consumed alcohol in the past 6 months, with a total target number of 1 000 adolescents to be recruited into the study regardless of their drinking status. If we had recruited adolescents into the study solely based on their drinking status, it was our impression that the response rate would have been very low, as many adolescents would have been reluctant to admit to drinking to the researchers, and to their parents, from whom consent was necessary prior to adolescent enrolment in the study. Furthermore, inclusion of adolescents who had not consumed alcohol in the past 6 months (i.e. never drinkers and ‘non-recent’ drinkers) had the additional advantage of enabling us to identify differences between ‘recent drinkers’ and ‘non-recent/non-drinkers’, and factors associated with changes in drinking behaviour among members of the cohort over time.

We used a multistage cluster random sampling design, involving selection of wards (formal demarcated municipal areas) in the first stage. The wards consisted of formal, informal and township areas (the latter being Apartheid era-based residential areas to which black people were assigned to reside). We then selected census enumeration areas (EAs) within the wards, and then households within the EAs. Subsequently, we randomly selected ‘adult households’ (households from which adults aged ≥18 years would be selected) and ‘adolescent households’ (households from which adolescents aged 16 - 17 years would be selected). We finally randomly selected one adolescent and one adult from each chosen adolescent household and adult household, respectively. The analyses reported in this paper concern the adolescent sample only.

Procedures
Trained interviewers interviewed the adolescents in their homes after having obtained informed consent from the adolescents and one of their parents or their primary caregiver. The adolescents were interviewed on their own, and in the absence and out of earshot of any other people in their households. At the beginning of the interview the selected adolescents were asked if they had consumed alcohol in the past 6 months. Those who responded in the affirmative...
completed the main questionnaire, while those who did not respond in the affirmative completed the short questionnaire. Using tablets onto which the questionnaires had been loaded, the interviewers read out the questions and then entered the participants’ answers onto the tablets. At the end of the interviews, the participants’ contact details were taken in order to facilitate follow-up interviews that were scheduled for 1 year later. The participants were each given a resource card with contact details of local services for dealing with alcohol-related problems and a shopping voucher or a telephone recharge voucher (whichever they preferred) worth ZAR30 (~USD2.50). The research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the South African Medical Research Council (protocol no. EC032-10/2012).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics such as means, standard deviations and frequencies were calculated for all variables. To address the main study question, we used survey-based multiple logistic regression analysis whereby the dependent variable was alcohol use in the past 6 months. The independent variables were age, gender, educational status, socioeconomic status, exposure to alcohol product/brand marketing and liking of alcohol advertisements. For exposure to alcohol product/brand marketing, the number of different modes of exposure reported was used. Scores on this variable could therefore range between 0 and 16, and it was used as a linear variable in the multiple logistic regression model. For liking of alcohol advertisements, the variable was re-coded into three categories (1 - 2, 3 - 5 and 6 - 10), representing severe dislike, moderate dislike and liking, respectively. This re-coding gave three exposure groups with >200 participants in each. We conducted the analyses on the weighted data, and the structure of the final realised sample and the sample frame were taken into account in order to weight the data. Statistical analyses for this study were computed using Stata version 14 (StataCorp, USA). For all significance testing, the p-values (<0.05 for statistical significance) are reported.

Results

The final sample comprised 869 adolescents, 71 (10.6%, 95% CI 5.9 - 18.3 – weighted) of whom reported alcohol consumption in the past 6 months. Table 2 shows the demographic and other characteristics of the participants. Approximately half of the adolescents were 16 and half 17 years of age. There was an almost even gender split. The majority of the participants were attending school (school students), most had at least a senior high-school educational level (i.e. grade 9 and above), and most reported having between 6 and 10 household amenities. Table 2 provides the estimated prevalence of drinking in the past 6 months for the different levels of a number of the demographic factors considered.

The adolescents reported substantial exposure to advertisements of alcohol branded products and material during the 6 months prior to the interviews (Fig. 1). The majority reported exposure in the past 6 months to brands and products being advertised on television or in movies, on signs or posters on the front of shops, on large posters and billboards, in magazines and newspapers, and on clothing. They were least likely to report exposure via websites, SMS messages to their cell phone, or messages sent to their email address.

Table 3 shows the prevalence of drinking in the past 6 months according to reported exposure to advertisements of alcohol brands

| Table 1. Questionnaire measures |
|---------------------------------|
| Scale                          | Sample item                                                                 | Response options*                                      | No. of items |
| Age                            | Could you please tell me your age?                                         | Open-ended                                              | 1            |
| Gender                         | Your gender?                                                               | Male/female                                             | 1            |
| Educational status             | Can you please tell me if you are …                                        | A school student/a tertiary student/working in paid employment, etc. | 1            |
| Education level                | What is the highest level of education you have passed?                     | From <1 year to university degree                       | 1            |
| Socioeconomic status           | Does your house have: electricity, a radio, a television, etc.              | Yes and no                                              | 10           |
| Exposure to brand marketing    | In the past 6 months, have you noticed any (alcohol) brands or products being advertised? | 1. On television or movies 2. On large posters or billboards 3. Sponsoring sports 4. Sponsoring music events or festivals 5. Sponsoring TV programmes or films 6. Signs or posters inside or on the front of shops 7. On clothing, hats, T-shirts etc. 8. Special price offers on TV, radio or in newspapers 9. Famous people promoting alcohol brands 10. On websites 11. In SMSs (text messages) 12. On Facebook, Twitter or people’s profile pages 13. On the radio 14. Free offers when you buy alcohol 15. Magazines/newspapers 16. Advertisements/promotions sent to your email address | 16           |
| Liking of alcohol advertisements | Which of the following best describes how you feel about alcohol adverts on the whole? | From 1 (dislike a lot) to 10 (like a lot) | 1 |

*Although some of the response options for educational status and education level did not apply to most of the adolescent participants, they were included in the questionnaire because it was designed for completion by adults as well as by adolescents.
and products across each mode for the same period. The prevalence of drinking in the past 6 months was significantly higher among those reporting exposure in the past 6 months to sponsorship on TV programmes and films, famous people promoting alcohol brands, free offers when they bought alcohol, and having received email(s) with advertisements and promotions. The remaining methods and modes of advertising of alcohol brands and products were not associated with drinking prevalence in the past 6 months.

In general, the participants tended to dislike alcohol advertisements strongly (45.2%) or moderately (31.2%), while relatively few of the participants indicated liking alcohol advertisements (23.6%). However, there were significant differences in drinking prevalence in the past 6 months among those with different degrees of liking of alcohol advertisements (p=0.0082). The prevalence of alcohol consumption in the past 6 months was 5.6% (95% CI 2.2 - 13.6), 16.3% (95% CI 7.6 - 31.3) and 17.3% (95% CI 10.8 - 26.5) for those who strongly disliked alcohol advertisements, moderately disliked alcohol advertisements and liked (regardless of degree) alcohol advertisements, respectively.

According to the univariate logistic regression analyses (Table 4), demographic factors were not significantly associated with drinking in the past 6 months, while exposure to brand marketing and liking of alcohol advertisements were significantly associated with drinking in the past 6 months. The results of the multiple logistic regression analysis (Table 4) were consistent with the latter results in that the number of modes of alcohol brand/product advertising exposure in the past 6 months was positively associated with drinking alcohol in the past 6 months among the adolescents (OR 1.13, 95% CI 1.01 - 1.28; p=0.036). For example, a participant who was exposed to alcohol advertisements via seven different modes was 2.08 times (1.13^6) more likely to have used alcohol in the past 6 months than a participant with exposure to a single mode of advertising.

The results also show that having a moderate dislike or a liking of alcohol advertisements was positively associated with alcohol use in the past 6 months (OR 2.90 and 2.84, respectively) and there was no significant difference between these two risk estimates (p=0.928). Estimating a pooled OR of risk associated with not strongly disliking alcohol advertisements in comparison with strongly disliking alcohol advertisements resulted in an OR of 2.89 (95% CI 1.55 - 5.39; p=0.002). Therefore, only strongly disliking alcohol advertisements were significantly associated with drinking in the past 6 months.

### Table 2. Estimated weighted prevalence of drinking in the past 6 months according to demographic characteristics of the adolescent participants (N=869)

| Variable                                           | n (weighted %) | Lower CI | Upper CI |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Alcohol consumption reported in past 6 months in the survey | 71 (10.6)      | 5.9      | 18.3     |
| Age (years)                                        |                |          |          |
| 16                                                  | 29 (8.0)       | 3.4      | 17.5     |
| 17                                                  | 42 (13.8)      | 8.2      | 22.1     |
| Gender                                             |                |          |          |
| Male                                                | 45 (15.0)      | 10.1     | 21.7     |
| Female                                             | 26 (6.6)       | 2.0      | 19.2     |
| School status                                      |                |          |          |
| School student                                     | 57 (10.6)      | 5.9      | 18.2     |
| Not school student                                 | 14 (10.8)      | 3.3      | 30.2     |
| Educational attainment                             |                |          |          |
| Grade 8 (junior high) and below                    | 14 (10.8)      | 3.3      | 30.2     |
| Grade 9 (senior high) and above                    | 57 (10.6)      | 5.9      | 18.2     |
| Socioeconomic status (number of listed household assets) | 14 (9.5)  | 3.6      | 22.9     |
| 0 - 5 (low)                                        | 57 (11.2)      | 7.1      | 17.4     |

CI = confidence interval.

**Fig. 1. Percentage of adolescents reporting exposure to alcohol brands or products being advertised via various media or methods (N=869).**
advertisements was protective against alcohol use in the past 6 months in these participants. Age, gender, educational level and socioeconomic status were not significantly associated with alcohol consumption in the past 6 months.

**Discussion**

This study reveals that adolescents in the Tshwane area of SA have very high levels of exposure to alcohol advertisements. Over 80% of the adolescents in this study reported exposure to traditional forms of advertisements in the past 6 months, such as advertisements via television or movies and signs at shops. This is concerning given that the alcohol industry’s code of conduct prohibits the targeting of young people for alcohol advertising.

This study reveals that adolescents in the Tshwane area of SA have very high levels of exposure to alcohol advertisements. Over 80% of the adolescents in this study reported exposure to traditional forms of advertisements in the past 6 months, such as advertisements via television or movies and signs at shops. This is concerning given that the alcohol industry’s code of conduct prohibits the targeting of young people for alcohol advertising.

This finding is consistent with those in a study conducted among youth (aged 13 - 20 years) and adults (aged >20 years) in the USA.

### Table 3. Drinking prevalence in the past 6 months among those who reported exposure v. those who reported non-exposure to alcohol brands/products being advertised via each mode over the past 6 months

| Mode of exposure to alcohol advertisements | Six-month drinking prevalence among exposed, % (95% CI) | Six-month drinking prevalence among non-exposed, % (95% CI) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| TV/movies                                 | 11.0 (6.0 - 18.5)                                       | 8.0 (2.7 - 21.3)                                          | 0.486   |
| Posters/billboards                        | 11.6 (6.5 - 19.8)                                       | 7.0 (2.6 - 17.6)                                          | 0.206   |
| Sponsoring sports                         | 10.5 (6.2 - 17.4)                                       | 11.9 (5.6 - 23.5)                                         | 0.565   |
| Sponsoring music events/festivals         | 13.1 (6.9 - 23.3)                                       | 8.3 (3.9 - 17.1)                                          | 0.219   |
| Sponsoring TV programmes/films            | 18.0 (9.4 - 31.8)                                       | 3.9 (2.0 - 7.5)                                           | <0.001  |
| Signs/posters inside or on shop fronts    | 10.5 (5.9 - 17.9)                                       | 12.7 (3.6 - 36.0)                                         | 0.711   |
| Clothing/hats/T-shirts                    | 11.0 (6.2 - 18.6)                                       | 10.3 (3.7 - 25.8)                                         | 0.881   |
| Special price offers: TV/radio/newspapers | 11.9 (7.1 - 19.4)                                       | 6.4 (1.6 - 22.9)                                          | 0.240   |
| Famous people promoting brands            | 12.8 (6.9 - 22.5)                                       | 6.8 (3.7 - 12.2)                                          | 0.007   |
| Websites                                  | 8.6 (2.5 - 26.2)                                        | 12.2 (7.3 - 19.8)                                         | 0.505   |
| SMSs (text messages)                      | 16.2 (4.5 - 44.1)                                       | 9.9 (6.0 - 15.9)                                          | 0.343   |
| Social media or profile pages             | 9.3 (5.3 - 15.7)                                        | 12.6 (6.2 - 23.9)                                         | 0.246   |
| Radio                                     | 13.3 (7.9 - 21.3)                                       | 9.0 (3.5 - 20.9)                                          | 0.285   |
| Free offers when alcohol is bought        | 14.2 (8.5 - 22.7)                                       | 8.5 (4.0 - 17.1)                                          | 0.039   |
| Magazines/newspapers                      | 11.4 (6.5 - 19.4)                                       | 7.7 (2.2 - 32.1)                                          | 0.424   |
| Advertisements/promotions sent to email address | 26.0 (8.0 - 58.5)                                     | 10.1 (6.0 - 16.6)                                         | 0.035   |

CI = confidence interval.

### Table 4. Results of univariate and multivariate survey logistic regression analysis of alcohol use in the past 6 months on demographic factors, number of alcohol marketing methods exposed to and liking of alcohol advertisements

| Variable                              | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                       | OR      | 95% CI   | p-value | Adjusted OR | 95% CI   | p-value |
|Age (years)                            |         |          |         |             |          |         |
|16                                     | Ref     | -        | -       | Ref         | -        | -       |
|17                                     | 1.84    | 0.78 - 4.36 | 0.154  | 1.66        | 0.82 - 3.36 | 0.147  |
|Gender                                 |         |          |         |             |          |         |
|Female                                 | Ref     | -        | -       | Ref         | -        | -       |
|Male                                   | 2.50    | 0.84 - 7.45 | 0.094  | 2.21        | 0.72 - 6.77 | 0.155  |
|Education status                       |         |          |         |             |          |         |
|Not school student                     | Ref     | -        | -       | Ref         | -        | -       |
|School student                         | 0.97    | 0.29 - 3.28 | 0.960  | 0.97        | 0.33 - 2.81 | 0.949  |
|Socioeconomic status                   |         |          |         |             |          |         |
|Low (0 - 5 household assets)           | Ref     | -        | -       | Ref         | -        | -       |
|High (6 - 10 household assets)         | 1.21    | 0.59 - 2.49 | 0.589  | 0.90        | 0.50 - 1.63 | 0.716  |
|Exposure to alcohol brand marketing    |         |          |         |             |          |         |
|Number: 0 - 16                         | 1.19    | 1.06 - 1.33 | 0.005  | 1.13        | 1.01 - 1.28 | 0.037  |
|Liking of advertisements               |         |          |         |             |          |         |
|Strong dislike                         | Ref     | -        | -       | Ref         | -        | -       |
|Moderate dislike                       | 3.26    | 1.65 - 6.41 | 0.002  | 2.90        | 1.41 - 5.95 | 0.006  |
|Like                                   | 3.50    | 1.30 - 9.45 | 0.016  | 2.84        | 1.19 - 6.77 | 0.021  |

CI = confidence interval.
adolescents become acquainted with and visit those websites that display alcohol marketing are worth exploring further to inform possible policy changes, such as those implemented in Finland to control alcohol advertising on the internet. Of further interest was the proportion of young people reporting having received marketing via email messages (just under 10%). However, the full significance of this finding is not clear as we did not assess proportions of adolescents possessing email accounts. Another notable finding was that almost 50% of the adolescents (both past 6-month drinkers and non-drinkers alike) reported having been exposed to free offers when they had purchased alcohol. Such exposure was more common among those who had consumed alcohol in the past 6 months than among those who had not. From this observation it is evident that many adolescents had in fact purchased alcohol in the past 6 months, but it is unclear whether this had been for themselves and/ or for others. In some communities parents (or other adults) send children to purchase alcohol on their behalf, despite the legal age for purchasing alcohol being 18 years. By entering drinking outlets to buy alcohol, young people risk being unduly exposed to alcohol advertising (and other environmental conditions) that can potentially influence their own drinking perceptions and behaviour and place them at risk of harm, given the pervasiveness of violence in drinking outlets in SA.

The results of this study suggest that exposure to advertisements of alcohol brands and products is associated with alcohol use among older (16 - 17-year-old) adolescents in SA, and concur with previous cross-sectional studies among adolescents. High levels of exposure such as these are likely to give rise to positive attitudes to alcohol among young people, by giving them an unrealistically positive view of alcohol that does not show the more negative aspects of drinking behaviour. Such exposure also serves to normalise alcohol consumption. One previous unpublished study among young people in SA indicated that young people's exposure to televised alcohol advertisements was likely to positively influence their attitudes and beliefs about alcohol consumption.

The specific areas in which there were significant differences between those who had consumed alcohol in the past 6 months and those who had not mainly concerned alcohol sponsorships: exposure to alcohol marketing via the sponsoring of TV programmes or films, sponsorships via famous people, free offers when alcohol is purchased, and receiving e-mail messages with advertisements and promotions. These findings suggest the need for greater enforcement of and changes in national legislation.

The finding that liking alcohol adverts was significantly associated with alcohol consumption in both the univariate and multivariate analyses helps to explain the mechanisms by which alcohol advertisements are associated with alcohol use among young people. In other studies, liking of alcohol advertisements has been shown to enhance the effects of exposure to alcohol advertisements or to mediate the effects of exposure on drinking behaviour. Disliking alcohol advertisements was protective in this study, indicating that efforts to reduce the appeal of advertisements of alcohol products to minors (via media literacy interventions, for example) may help to reduce alcohol consumption in this group. An unpublished study in SA found that young people find slogans and storylines in alcohol advertisements particularly appealing.

There were relatively few adolescents (just over 10%) who reported having consumed alcohol in the 6 months prior to the survey. This contrasts with the 43.7% of adolescents (50.0% of males and 37.4% of females) from Gauteng who reported consuming alcohol in the past month according to the YRBS of 2011. It is likely that the low proportion of past 6-month drinkers in this study emanates from some parents' refusal to permit their adolescent children to take part in the study and/or adolescents' reluctance to admit to drinking alcohol to the interviewers despite the researchers' assurances of the confidentiality of their responses. While school-based surveys are limited by not accessing out-of-school adolescents, surveys conducted at adolescents' homes may limit their willingness to disclose their drinking.

### Study limitations

This study has a number of limitations. First, the adolescent participants' responses may have been influenced by social desirability effects, despite the fact that the fieldworkers were trained to avoid being judgemental and to assure the participants of the confidentiality of their responses. The study's cross-sectional design is also a limitation, as it precludes determining the possible causal nature and also the direction of the obtained relationships. Just as exposure to alcohol marketing during a given time period can be argued to increase the likelihood of alcohol consumption during that time, possible media selectivity effects prevail such that alcohol consumption can be argued to increase the adolescents' exposure to alcohol marketing and liking of alcohol advertisements. However, the finding that both drinkers and non-drinkers reported high levels of exposure in each of the media categories would not support the contention that media selectivity effects were in operation. Moreover, a common framework for understanding the role of media in influencing attitudes and behaviours suggests that both media/marketing exposure and media/marketing selectivity can have a combined effect in influencing subsequent attitudes and behaviour. Longitudinal studies are needed to examine how adolescents' exposure to alcohol advertisements can predict their alcohol consumption over time. Finally, the relatively small number of past 6-month drinkers in the sample may have prevented us from obtaining statistically significant findings in some instances.

### Conclusion

This study is important in being one of the first in SSA to demonstrate significant associations between exposure to alcohol advertisements and alcohol consumption among adolescents. The study's findings can provide a basis on which to advocate for the resuscitation of the stalled legislation on banning alcohol marketing in SA in order to substantially reduce adolescents' alcohol marketing exposure, and hence their alcohol consumption, heavy consumption and the myriad social and health harms resulting from these behaviours.
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