**In Vivo** Evaluation of the Presence of Bone Marrow in Cortical Porosity in Postmenopausal Osteopenic Women
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Abstract—This is the first observational study examining cortical porosity in vivo in postmenopausal osteopenic women and to incorporate data from two different imaging modalities to further examine the nature of cortical porosity. The goal of this study was to combine high-resolution peripheral computed tomography (HR-pQCT) images, which contain high spatial resolution information of the cortical structure, and magnetic resonance (MR) images, which allow the visualization of soft tissues such as bone marrow, to observe the amount of cortical porosity that contains bone marrow in postmenopausal osteopenic women. The radius of 49 and the tibia of 51 postmenopausal osteopenic women (age 56 ± 3.7) were scanned using both HR-pQCT and MR imaging. A normalized mutual information registration algorithm was used to obtain a three-dimensional rigid transform which aligned the MR image to the HR-pQCT image. The aligned images allowed for the visualization of bone marrow in cortical pores. From the HR-pQCT image, the percent cortical porosity, the number of cortical pores, and the size of each cortical pore was determined. By overlaying the aligned MR and HR-pQCT images, the percent of cortical pores containing marrow, the number of cortical pores containing marrow, and the size of each cortical pore containing marrow were measured. While the amount of cortical porosity did not vary greatly between subjects, the type of cortical pore, containing marrow vs. not containing marrow, varied highly between subjects. The results suggest that cortical pore spaces contain components of varying composition, and that there may be more than one mechanism for the development of cortical porosity.
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INTRODUCTION

Cortical bone has a complex structure that plays an important role in bone strength.2 Structure and mechanical properties of cortical bone have been reported to change due to age,13,27 sex,21 and osteoporotic status.1 Recent studies have focused on porosity of the cortical bone.5,7,14,15 Macroporosity has been defined as porosity with a diameter greater than 0.385 mm and is reported to increase with age.7 Cortical porosity has a significant impact on mechanical properties of cortical bone.18,40,51,63 Yeni et al. reported that femoral and tibial cortical bone toughness decreases with increasing cortical porosity.63 Additionally Young’s modulus, a measure of stiffness, and the ultimate stress of cortical bone have both been shown to decrease with increasing porosity.18,40,51 The exact mechanism behind the formation of cortical macroporosity is unknown. The existence of large cortical pores has been attributed to resorption spaces, merging of haversian canals, reduction in the rate of closure of haverian canals, and a clustering of ostecrons.4,14,28,39,47 The fluid contained within a cortical pore may be an indication of the cause of cortical pore formation. If the cortical pore is filled with fatty bone marrow then the surrounding cortical bone may be becoming more trabecular-like in structure and if the cortical pore is filled with blood vessels then the cortical pore is more likely to have been formed by a merging of haverian canals. Characterizing the fluid contained in cortical pores will enhance our understanding of the formation of cortical porosity and ultimately contribute to the ability to estimate bone strength and predict fracture risk.

Hydraulic strengthening (HS) of bone refers to the theory that pressure due to bone fluids found in the cavities of bone might hydraulically strengthen bone by reducing bone stresses during dynamic loading. If bone cavity fluid pressures are substantial, they may increase the load-bearing capacity of bone. HS of bone...
is controversial because direct experimental verification is currently untenable because fluid pore spaces are extremely small and encased in hard tissue. Several studies have estimated the fluid flow contribution or HS effect on trabecular bone mechanical properties and cortical bone apparent modulus. However, the precise nature (viscosity and compressibility) of bone fluid present in cortical porosity is unknown and accuracy of HS models depend greatly on defining appropriate bone pore fluid properties. The nature of the bone fluid may affect the bone's ability to withstand dynamic loading, therefore a more precise characterization of cortical bone fluid may help improve the accuracy of HS models.

The improvement in cortical thickness and cortical porosity due to antiresorptive therapies highlights the importance of cortical bone in overall bone quality maintenance. Roschger et al. found that cortical porosity of the iliac crest decreased from 7.5% in a placebo group to about 4% after alendronate treatment in postmenopausal osteoporotic women. Recently, Borah et al. reported a 18–25% reduction in cortical porosity of the iliac crest for postmenopausal osteoporotic women treated with risedronate. While there is a decrease in cortical porosity with antiresorptive therapies, it may be possible that the constituents of cortical pores further impact remodeling and therapeutic response. Therefore, characterizing cortical pore fluid may help to understand why cortical porosity has an enhanced response to antiresorptive therapy.

High-resolution peripheral computed tomography (HR-pQCT) is an emerging imaging technique which achieves an isotropic nominal resolution of 82 μm, allowing for visualization of cortical macroporosity in vivo at peripheral sites such as the tibia and radius. Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging is an imaging technique that allows visualization of soft tissues such as bone marrow. It is our hypothesis that by combining these two imaging techniques, visualization of bone marrow within cortical porosity is possible. Our goal is to observe the amount of cortical porosity that contains bone marrow in postmenopausal osteoporotic women and determine if there is a relationship between pore size and the existence of bone marrow.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Subjects**

The subjects in this study were 52 postmenopausal women (mean age ± standard deviation = 56 ± 3.7) who were recruited for a double-blind study investigating the longitudinal effects of alendronate vs. placebo on cortical and trabecular bone microarchitecture. Only women between the ages of 45 and 65 years that had been postmenopausal for at least 1 year but not more than 6 years were included. They were required to be defined as osteopenic by the WHO criteria and had no history of disease or treatment known to affect bone metabolism. The study protocol was approved by the UCSF Committee on Human Research and all women provided written informed consent.

Images in this study were taken from the baseline time-point prior to alendronate treatment. Imaging using both HR-pQCT and MR was attempted on all 52 subjects. However, three of the subjects could not tolerate the prone position required for the MR radius scan and one tibia MR image was excluded from the study due to motion artifact and poor image quality. Therefore, this study analyzed radius images of 49 subjects and the tibia images of 51 subjects. While previous studies examining cortical porosity have focused on the midshaft, images in this study were acquired in a region allowing for both trabecular and cortical bone analysis while minimizing scan time and radiation dose.

**MRI**

MR images were acquired on a 3T (GE Signa) MR scanner. Images of the distal radius were acquired with the subject in a prone position using a transmit receive quadrature wrist coil (Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research) and images of the distal tibia were acquired with the subject in a supine position using a four-channel dual paddle coil (Nova Medical). Images were obtained with a balanced steady state free precession (bSSFP) pulse sequence. Pulse sequence parameters were 512 × 384 matrix, 60° flip angle, 122 Hz/pixel BW, 8 cm FOV. The tibia images had a 16.8–17.8/6.5 ms TR/TE and scan time of 15 min and the radius images had a 14.4–15.21/3.3–3.9 ms TR/TE and scan time of 10 min. The image acquisition resulted in an image resolution of 156 μm × 156 μm × 500 μm. Images were originally acquired with optimal scanning parameters for trabecular bone quantification. However, the bSSFP sequence also has superior signal-to-noise ratio and maximizes bone marrow signal.

**HR-pQCT**

HR-pQCT images of the tibia and radius were acquired on an XtremeCT in vivo scanner (Scanco Medical AG) with a 60 kVp source potential, 900 μA tube current, and 100 ms integration time. An image with 110 slices, beginning 22.5 mm and 9.5 mm
proximal to the endplate for the tibia and radius, respectively, and with an isotropic nominal resolution of 82 μm resulted from the 3-min image acquisition. Immediately after image acquisition, the images were visually evaluated for motion artifacts and scans with obvious motion artifacts were repeated.

**MR and HR-pQCT Image Alignment**

Visualization of bone marrow in cortical porosity identified in the HR-pQCT requires precise image alignment between the MR and HR-pQCT image. Image registration is the process of finding a transformation that aligns the anatomical regions of two images. Because bone is a rigid structure, a global rigid transform applied to the MR image aligning it to HR-pQCT image is desired. Mutual information, a measure determined from the joint probability distribution of intensities, implemented as a similarity measure in a registration algorithm has been shown to efficiently identify accurate transformations between different imaging modalities.38,60 For each of the image pairs, Rview,52,55 a robust registration algorithm based on normalized mutual information, was used to determine a rigid transformation consisting of three Euler angles and a translation vector. This rigid transformation was then applied to the MR image, using bspline approximation for interpolation, to align and resample the MR image to the HR-pQCT image. Transformation and interpolation were not performed on the HR-pQCT image to ensure accurate measurement of cortical bone pore sizes. The registration and transformation were performed on a Sun workstation (Sun Ultra 40, AMD Opteron Dual Core processor, 2.4 GHz, 8 GB RAM, Sun Microsystems, CA). The computation time for the registration was approximately 1 min and for the application of the transformation was approximately 5 min.

The robustness of the registration was assessed using a technique similar to that presented by Studholme et al.54 using one of the tibia and one of the radius image sets. We defined a series of 30 misalignments corresponding to a translation of 5 mm and a rotation of 5° to simulate different starting values. Each of the 30 misalignments was determined by randomly selecting a point on the surface of spheres in translational and rotational parameter space. Each misalignment was selected as the initial starting guess for the registration. The resulting 30 transforms were recorded and applied to each pixel to calculate the root mean square error for each pixel in the volume.

**Cortical Porosity Analysis**

The HR-pQCT data were segmented, and the periosteal surface was identified using evaluation routines provided by the manufacturer. A semi-automated edge-defining algorithm was applied to the original grayscale image to identify the periosteal surface. Then, a previously described postprocessing protocol19,33 was performed in which a low-pass Gaussian filter (σ = 2.0, support = 3) and then a fixed global threshold was applied to identify the cortical compartment and segment the bone from background.

Code developed using MATLAB identified the cortical porosity in the HR-pQCT image and determined the existence of bone marrow in the aligned MR image. The process is depicted in Fig. 1. To locate.
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**FIGURE 1.** Diagram demonstrating image processing steps. The HR-pQCT image is smoothed and thresholded to identify the cortical compartment. The bone marrow in the MR image is segmented using histogram thresholding. The segmented MR image and the cortical region of the segmented HR-pQCT image are then combined.
cortical porosity, a two-dimensional mathematical morphologic hole filling algorithm identified groups of connected components within the cortex. The area of each connected component (each cortical pore) was determined and the percent of cortical porosity for each slice was calculated by dividing the total area of the connected components by the entire cortical area (area of the cortical bone plus area of cortical porosity). The total number of cortical pores, the area of each cortical pore, and the mean cortical pore area for each subject were recorded. MR images were segmented to identify bone marrow using a histogram thresholding technique generally implemented to identify bone marrow in the trabecular region. Segmented HR-pQCT images with cortical porosity identified were then subtracted from the segmented and aligned MR images to highlight cortical porosity filled with marrow. The number of cortical pores with marrow, the size of area cortical pore with marrow, and the mean cortical pore area with marrow were recorded for each subject. The percent of cortical porosity filled with marrow was calculated by dividing the number of cortical pores with marrow by the total number of cortical pores for each subject.

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistical analysis was performed using JMP Version 7 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to test the normality of the data and nonparametric methods were employed for all analyses of measurements that were not normally distributed. The significance of differences in the measurements between tibia and radius were determined using Wilcoxon signed rank test with significance set at $p < 0.05$. To examine relationships between measurements, linear regression analyses were performed and Spearman’s coefficient, $r$, was obtained for the correlation. The change in measurements along the length of the imaged region was determined using regression analysis. A linear-fit was used for the tibia, and a polynomial fit was used for the radius.

**RESULTS**

By visual assessment registration of the MR image to the HR-pQCT image was successful for all of the tibia and radius image datasets. A representative tibia and radius example are shown in Fig. 2. For the tibia, mean translations in $x$, $y$, $z$ were $0.10 \, \text{mm} \pm \, 1.61$, $-2.75 \, \text{mm} \pm \, 2.83$, $3.06 \, \text{mm} \pm \, 2.92$ and mean rotations in $x$, $y$, $z$ were $5.16^\circ \pm \, 3.55$, $-1.32^\circ \pm \, 2.57$, $-2.60^\circ \pm \, 6.49$. For the radius, mean translations in $x$, $y$, $z$ were $5.00 \, \text{mm} \pm \, 4.92$, $-4.09 \, \text{mm} \pm \, 4.47$, $-2.13 \, \text{mm} \pm \, 2.91$ and mean rotations in $x$, $y$, $z$ were $-4.79^\circ \pm \, 5.35$, $174.57^\circ \pm \, 3.80$, $77.97^\circ \pm \, 8.93$. The large rotations in $y$ and $z$ for the radius are due to the different positioning required between HR-pQCT and MR scans. Therefore, all registrations for the radius were initialized with a starting estimate for the transformation of $180^\circ$ in $y$ and $80^\circ$ in $z$. The results from the assessment of the
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robustness of the registration showed that the RMS error for initial misalignments of 5 mm and 5° ranged from 0.029 to 1.892 mm (mean 0.420 mm ± 0.912) with the larger RMS errors at the periphery of the image volume. Therefore, as long as the initial misalignment was within 5 mm and 5°, the registration was successful within approximately 0.420 mm. However, ten of the tibia sets and 21 of the radius sets had initial misalignments beyond 5 mm and 5° and registration was repeated with manual starting estimates to ensure an initial misalignment within the acceptable range for successful registration.

Cortical porosity was evident in all of the HR-pQCT images. The histograms for all subjects’ percent cortical porosity, total number of cortical pores, and mean cortical pore area are shown in Fig. 3. A Shapiro–Wilk W test for normality showed that all measurements had non-normal distributions except the total number of cortical pores for the tibia and a Wilcoxon signed rank test with significance set at \( p < 0.05 \) showed that all measurements were significantly different between the tibia and radius. The total number of cortical pores detected within each subject for the tibia ranged from 2805 to 13,272 with a median of 7825 (interquartile range, 5909–9841) and the radius ranged from 397 to 4110 with a median of 1517 (interquartile range, 1058–1884) (Table 1). The median percent of cortical porosity for all subjects for the tibia was 3.9% (interquartile range, 3.1–4.9%) and for the radius was 1.5% (interquartile range, 1.1–2.1%). The mean cortical pore area for each subject is shown in Fig. 3, and the distribution of the areas of all the pores observed are shown in Fig. 4. The median area of the cortical pores for the tibia was 0.053 mm\(^2\) (interquartile range, 0.048–0.066 mm\(^2\)) and for the radius was 0.046 mm\(^2\) (interquartile range, 0.0422–0.052 mm\(^2\)).

Cortical porosity was observed both containing and not containing bone marrow in all subjects in both the tibia and radius. Figure 5 shows histograms for all subjects’ percent cortical porosity containing marrow, the number of cortical pores containing bone marrow, and the mean area of cortical pores containing marrow. A Shapiro–Wilk W test for normality showed that all measurements had non-normal distributions and a Wilcoxon signed rank test with significance set at \( p < 0.05 \) showed that all measurements were significantly different between the tibia and radius. The number of cortical pores containing marrow for the tibia ranged from 152 to 2145 with a median of 631 (interquartile range, 412–1069) and for the radius ranged from 122 to 699 with a median of 258 (interquartile range, 177–328) (Table 1). The median percent cortical porosity containing marrow for all subjects for the tibia was 8.5% (interquartile range, 5.3–13.5%) and for the radius was 17.0% (interquartile range, 12.9–24.8%). The distribution of the areas of all cortical pores

![FIGURE 3. Histograms for percent cortical porosity, total number of cortical pores, and mean cortical pore area for all tibiae (top row) and radii (bottom row). All measurements are significantly different between the tibia and the radius (\( p < 0.001 \)).](image-url)
containing marrow observed is shown in Fig. 4, and the mean cortical pore area for each subject is shown in Fig. 5. The median area of cortical pores containing marrow for the tibia was 0.128 mm$^2$ (interquartile range, 0.108–0.176 mm$^2$) and for the radius 0.075 mm$^2$ (interquartile range, 0.059–0.086 mm$^2$).

The mean area of cortical pores containing marrow was significantly higher than the mean area of all the
table

| TABLE 1. Minimum (Min), maximum (Max), median, interquartile range, mean, and standard deviation (SD) values for cortical porosity, number of cortical pores, and mean cortical pore area. |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
|                                 | Tibia      |            |            | Radius     |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|                                 | Min        | Max        | Median     | Interquartile range | Mean | SD          | Min        | Max        | Median     | Interquartile range | Mean | SD          |
| Cortical porosity (%)           | 2.1        | 9.2        | 3.9        | 3.1–4.9     | 4.4 | 1.6         | 0.4        | 5.0        | 1.5        | 1.1–2.1     | 1.6 | 0.8         |
| Number of pores                 | 2805       | 13272      | 7825       | 5909–9841   | 8027 | 2567        | 397        | 4110       | 1517       | 1058–1884   | 1615 | 783         |
| Mean cortical pore area (mm$^2$)| 0.039      | 0.119      | 0.053      | 0.048–0.066 | 0.059 | 0.016       | 0.032      | 0.08       | 0.046      | 0.042–0.052 | 0.046 | 0.008       |
| Cortical porosity with marrow  | 1.6        | 27.0       | 8.5        | 5.3–13.5    | 10.0 | 6.3         | 4.9        | 41.8       | 17.0       | 12.9–24.8   | 19.1 | 8.9         |
| Number of pores with marrow    | 152        | 2145       | 631        | 412–1069    | 765  | 494         | 122        | 699        | 258        | 177–328     | 266  | 108         |
| Mean cortical pore area with   | 0.057      | 0.314      | 0.128      | 0.108–0.176 | 0.144 | 0.053       | 0.027      | 0.238      | 0.075      | 0.059–0.086 | 0.075 | 0.03         |
| marrow (mm$^2$)                |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |

A Shapiro–Wilk $W$ test for normality showed that only the number of cortical pores for the tibia had a normal distribution.

FIGURE 4. Histograms of the area for all of the cortical pores identified and all cortical pores with marrow for all tibiae (top row) and radii (bottom row).

containing marrow observed is shown in Fig. 4, and the mean cortical pore area for each subject is shown in Fig. 5. The median area of cortical pores containing marrow for the tibia was 0.128 mm$^2$ (interquartile range, 0.108–0.176 mm$^2$) and for the radius 0.075 mm$^2$ (interquartile range, 0.059–0.086 mm$^2$).

The mean area of cortical pores containing marrow was significantly higher than the mean area of all the
cortical pores ($p < 0.001$) for both the radius and tibia. The mean area of all cortical pores within a subject ranged from 0.039 to 0.119 mm$^2$ in the tibia and from 0.032 to 0.080 mm$^2$ in the radius while the mean area of cortical pores containing marrow ranged from 0.057 mm$^2$ to 0.314 mm$^2$ in the tibia and from 0.027 mm$^2$ to 0.238 mm$^2$ in the radius (Table 1). However, there was no relationship between the percent of cortical porosity and the percent cortical pores that contained marrow (tibia $p = 0.16$; radius $p = 0.09$) nor the mean cortical pore area and the percent of cortical pores containing marrow (tibia $p = 0.18$; radius $p = 0.10$).

In Fig. 6, the mean number of cortical pores and the mean number of cortical pores with marrow are plotted to show variations as a function of distance along the shaft (from distal to proximal). Within the 8.2 mm of cortical shaft analyzed, there was a linear increase in the tibia ($p < 0.001$) and a nonlinear relationship ($p < 0.001$) in the radius for both the number of cortical pores and the number of cortical pores containing marrow with distance along the shaft. Figure 7 visually demonstrates the variations in cortical porosity in one subject in which there are fewer cortical pores in the most distal slice than in the most proximal slice. Additionally there was no significant trend for cortical pore area variations in the radius or the tibia ($p > 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we examined cortical porosity using images of both HR-pQCT and MR of the distal tibia and distal radius of postmenopausal osteopenic women. All HR-pQCT images contained cortical porosity in both the tibia and the radius. By combining the HR-pQCT and MR images, the data revealed that all subjects had cortical pores which both contained and did not contain bone marrow. The results suggest that cortical pore spaces may contain different fluids. This implies that there may be more than one mechanism for the development of cortical porosity and more than one type of bone fluid present in cortical pores.

The registration method implemented in this study performed using Rview,$^{52,55}$ a robust registration algorithm based on normalized mutual information. The result of the registration method, a three-dimensional rigid transform, was used to align the MR image to the HR-pQCT image. The aligned images allowed for the visualization of bone marrow in cortical pores. When the robustness of the registration was tested, registration was successful within approximately 0.420 mm for misregistrations $< 5$ mm and $5^\circ$. This paper does not assess registration accuracy in a quantitative way, because clinical data do not provide any means of determining a gold standard registration transformation. There are several factors that may...
impact the accuracy of the registration, including the size or amount of trabecular bone, surrounding fatty tissue, or cortical porosity. Additionally, previous studies aligning MR and CT images of heads have noted effects on registration accuracy due to geometric distortions, motion artifact, chemical shift artifact, or intensity distortions.23,26,53

While most previous studies have focused on ex vivo examinations of the cortical porosity of the femoral shaft,7,14,28 this study is the first study to examine cortical porosity of the tibia and radius using two complementary in vivo imaging techniques. Jordan et al.28 found a mean percent cortical porosity of 10.72% ± 4.2 in femoral shaft biopsies using histology sections. Similarly Cooper et al.14 reported a mean percent cortical porosity of 9.82% ± 9.19 in femoral shaft specimens from females using micro-CT imaging and morphological analysis. The percent cortical porosity in this study is lower, 4.36% ± 1.6 for the tibia and 1.6% ± 0.8 for the radius. The reason for the difference is likely due to the difference in imaging and analysis methods, anatomical region analyzed, or fracture

FIGURE 6. Plots of the mean number of cortical pores with and without marrow with distance along the shaft (distal to proximal) for the radius and tibia. There was a linear increasing trend determined for the tibia (p < 0.001) and nonlinear trend found for the radius (p < 0.001).

FIGURE 7. Axial images starting with the most distal slice through the most proximal slice in the analysis region for one subject. The MR images depict cortical and trabecular bone as signal void and marrow as higher in intensity, while the HR-pQCT depict bone as higher in intensity. The cortical porosity map shows cortical bone in red, cortical pores without marrow in yellow, and cortical pores with marrow in blue.
Bone fluids within the bone cavities of the cortical bone have been assumed to be homogeneous across all cavities. 16 Although the viscosity and compressibility of the bone fluid has never been measured, it is assumed to behave like salt water. 16,35,43 However, this study suggests there may exist variability in bone fluid composition. Since the viscosity and compressibility of the bone fluid impact the hydrostatic strengthening (HS) of cortical bone, 35,36 knowledge of bone fluid composition and distribution may help improve the accuracy of HS models and further help predicts bone strength.

Bone marrow consists of both hematopoietic (red) and fatty (yellow) components. Red marrow consists of approximately 40% water, 40% fat, and 20% protein while yellow marrow consists of approximately 15% water, 80% fat, and 5% protein. 57 Normal physiological conversion to the adult pattern, conversion from red to yellow marrow, completes by the age of 25 at which time red marrow is predominantly concentrated in the axial skeleton and yellow marrow is predominantly concentrated in peripheral regions such as the tibia and radius. 42,46 When imaging bone marrow using MR, fat is the predominant contributor due to its short T1 relaxation time compared with the longer T1 relaxation time of water. 61 Due to this difference, yellow marrow appears much brighter on an MR image with T1 weighting. 58 The assumption for peripheral regions, such as the tibia and radius, is that all marrow present is yellow marrow. However, the possibility that red marrow may be present cannot be eliminated and exact percentage of fat within the marrow is unknown, as well as the amount of saturated, monounsaturated, and polyunsaturated triglycerides. Proton MR spectroscopy is a promising tool for determining the composition of bone marrow 37,64 and Ultrashort TE (UTE) pulse sequences have been shown to visualize tissues with a very short T2 relaxation component 24,49 which may be helpful in future studies to determine the exact constituents of the bone marrow fluid in cortical pores. In this study, cortical pores visualized without bone marrow may be likely to contain bone fluid with a high water content and therefore a longer T1 which results in a loss of MR signal.

Cortical pores containing bone marrow are not likely to be large haverian canals with blood vessels but rather resorption spaces that been infiltrated by bone marrow. Most likely these are resorption spaces in the cortex that have broken the endosteal boundary.
allowing the infiltration of bone marrow. While this study evaluated cortical porosity using two-dimensional techniques, a three-dimensional analysis of the cortical porosity may clarify whether cortical pores containing marrow connect to the trabecular bone marrow compartment. Since cortical pores may cross multiple slices, it is expected that a three-dimensional analysis of the cortical porosity may result in a smaller number of cortical pores. Additionally, a previous study has shown that cortical bone loss may vary spatially. A future direction may be to assess the primary location of cortical porosity and the spatial distribution of cortical pores containing marrow vs. cortical pores not containing bone marrow. Previous studies have demonstrated that age is highly correlated with increased cortical porosity, therefore an additional future direction may be to assess the relationship between age and the percent of cortical pores containing marrow.

There were several limitations in this study related to imaging and postprocessing restrictions. Scanning a region containing a high trabecular density causes the cortical bone segmentation to be more difficult than if the midshaft had been used. However, the regions scanned in this study were chosen to allow for cortical and trabecular bone analysis. Davis et al. describe inaccuracies of the cortical bone segmentation which is highly dependent on the Gaussian blurring step to smooth the finer trabecular bone structure. This dilation may cause thin segments of the cortex and highly porous regions of cortical bone to be identified as trabecular bone. Therefore, cortical pores in this study that were located inside thin segments of the cortex, within highly porous regions, or closer to the endosteal surface may have been excluded and this study may underestimate the actual amount of cortical porosity. Additionally, MR images are acquired at a lower resolution than the HR-pQCT images and then resampled to match the HR-pQCT image resolution. Therefore, due to partial volume effects, marrow in some pores may not have been visualized while marrow in cortical pore sizes <0.024 mm² (the resolution of the original MR image) may be exaggerated. However, we propose that our technique is able to successfully visualize the presence of bone marrow in the majority of cortical pores and within these cortical pores, estimate the number and size of cortical pores containing bone marrow.

This study observes cortical porosity in vivo in postmenopausal osteopenic women and combines data from two different imaging modalities, HR-pQCT and MRI to further study the nature of cortical porosity. Data suggest that cortical porosity in the distal tibia and distal radius are prevalent in this population and that the constituents of cortical pore fluid may vary. The number of cortical pores containing bone marrow varies between subjects but is not dependent on the amount of cortical porosity. Future investigation will be required to fully examine and interpret the observations in this study and to determine whether the spatial distribution of bone marrow in cortical porosity has an impact on bone strength.
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