Association of term isolated microcephaly with mode of delivery and perinatal outcome - a retrospective case-control analysis
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Abstract

Background: We aimed to evaluate the association of isolated fetal microcephaly measured by ultrasound prior to delivery at term with mode of delivery and perinatal outcome.

Methods: A single-center retrospective study was conducted in 2012–2016. Fetal microcephaly was defined as head circumference ≥ 2 standard deviations of the mean for gestational age and sex. We compared the obstetric, delivery, and outcome parameters of women in whom ultrasound performed up to 10 days prior to term delivery showed isolated fetal microcephaly (study group) or normal head circumference (reference group). Exclusion criteria were intrauterine fetal death, birthweight below the 10th percentile, and antepartum cesarean delivery for any indication.

Results: Of 3677 women included in the study, 26 (0.7%) had a late ultrasound finding of isolated fetal microcephaly. Baseline characteristics were similar in the two groups except for estimated fetal weight based on abdominal circumference and biparietal diameter, which was lower in the microcephaly group (3209.8 ± 557.6 vs. 2685.8 ± 420.8 g, p < .001). There was no significant between-group difference in rate of vaginal operative deliveries (11.7% vs 14.8%, respectively, p = 0.372). The study group had no intrapartum cesarean deliveries compared to 6.3% of the reference group (NS). Compared to controls, neonates in the study group were smaller (3323.2 ± 432.2 vs. 2957.0 ± 330.4 g, p < .001), with lower birthweight percentile (60.5 ± 26.5 vs. 33.6 ± 21.5%, p < .001) and were more often males (48.2 vs. 90.0%, p < .001). No significant differences were noted in perinatal outcomes between the groups, including admission to neonatal intensive care unit, intraventricular hemorrhage, 5-min Apgar score < 7, asphyxia, seizures, and sepsis.

Conclusions: Isolated microcephaly in term fetuses is not advantageous for a vaginal delivery, nor does it pose a greater than normal risk of adverse perinatal outcome.
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Background
Microcephaly, or small head circumference, is a neurologic marker which can be detected antenatally during routine sonographic examination or post-partum, during neonatal physical examination. Its prevalence is relatively low, reported at 0.1 to 0.5% of children. It is a challenging issue owing to its difficult diagnosis, various cutoffs for definition, and complexity to ascertain its etiology. It has heterogenous prognosis depending on its severity, etiology and associated findings [1].

Postnatally, microcephaly is usually defined as an occipitofrontal circumference of at least 2 standard deviations (SD) below the mean for age and sex, but some authors use a cutoff of below 3SD [1–3]. Head circumference (HC) below 3SD of the mean is more likely to be associated with disorders, either genetic or nongenetic, affecting brain development and consequent intellectual disability and neurological abnormalities [4–6]. However, it is commonly agreed that prenatally, targeted evaluation for fetal microcephaly should be initiated when HC is at or below 2SD of the mean [7, 8]. There is often a discrepancy between prenatal and postnatal measurements of HC owing to changes in head diameter when the fetus passes through the bony pelvis during labor (e.g., molding) [4, 9] and the different measuring techniques used in the two settings [4, 8].

The basic premise underlying the concept of cephalopelvic disproportion is that protracted or arrested labor results from a mismatch between the maternal pelvis and the fetal head proportions [10]. Fetal head dimensions have an impact on the risk of operative delivery and maternal and fetal complications. Increased biparietal diameter (BPD) measured up to 7 days before labor in term fetuses was found to be associated with a significantly higher rate of operative vaginal delivery (OVD), without adverse neonatal outcomes. Large HC was found to be an independent risk factor for OVD as well as unplanned cesarean delivery (CD) [9, 11–13].

However, the current literature on fetal microcephaly mostly focuses on the prenatal diagnostic work-up to detect its etiology. The prognosis depends on the type of microcephaly (isolated or syndromic), whether microcephaly is primary or secondary, and the causative factor(s) - genetic, infectious, or environmental [4–8, 14, 15]. Data are lacking on the association between microcephaly and labor and delivery outcome, and it remains unclear if microcephaly facilitates vaginal delivery or poses a risk of adverse outcome during passage of the fetus in the birth canal.

We hypothesized that microcephaly will be associated with higher rates of vaginal delivery, but the small head circumference may be more vulnerable to adverse outcome. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the potential association of isolated fetal microcephaly measured by ultrasound prior to delivery with mode of delivery and perinatal outcomes.

Methods
Study population
The healthcare database of a tertiary university-affiliated medical center was searched for all women who gave birth from July 2012 to December 2016. Inclusion criteria were term birth (at or beyond 37 + 0 gestational weeks), singleton liveborn infant, absence of fetal genetic abnormalities or major structural malformations, and available sonographic measurement of fetal head circumference up to 10 days prior to delivery. Exclusion criteria were intrauterine fetal death, fetal head circumference above the 95th percentile for gestational age or birthweight below the 10th percentile, and antepartum cesarean delivery for any indication.

Eligible women were divided into two groups on the basis of antepartum fetal head circumference: Group 1 (cases) - at or below 2SD of the mean for gestational age and sex (isolated microcephaly group); 2) Group 2 (control) - normal head circumference for gestational age and sex (reference group).

Data collection
Data were retrieved from the hospital’s comprehensive computerized maternal, fetal, and neonatal medical records and included demographics, medical and obstetrical background, antepartum sonographic biometric measurements, birth and perinatal outcomes.

All sonograms were performed in our dedicated obstetrical ultrasound unit by expert sonographers or experienced ultrasound technicians. Measurements made by ultrasound technicians were reviewed and confirmed by a senior physician. Sonographic examinations were performed using the Voluson E8 (GE Medical systems, Kretz Ultrasound, Zipf, Austria) equipped with a Transvaginal transvaginal transducer of 5 to 9 MHz and Trans-Abdominal curvilinear Transducer of 4–8 MHz.

Definitions
Gestational age was estimated on the basis of the last menstrual period and affirmed by first trimester crown-rump length ultrasound measurement.

Microcephaly was defined as head circumference at or below 2SD of the mean for age and sex according to the reference charts of Chervenak et al. [4]. Birth weight percentile was calculated using gender-specific, population-based birthweight curves [16]. Small for gestational age was defined as birthweight below the 10th percentile.

Grade 1 or 2 perineal tear or episiotomy was considered a minor laceration, and grade 3 or 4 perineal tear was categorized as obstetric anal sphincter injury syndrome (OASIS).

Neonatal diagnoses were assigned by the treating pediatrician in the neonatal nursery unit or the neonatal
intensive care unit (NICU) according to international and local guidelines.

**Outcome measures**
The primary outcome measure of the study was mode of delivery: vaginal (spontaneous or operative) or intrapartum cesarean, stratified by indication (non-reassuring fetal heart rate, arrested/protracted labor, or failed vaginal operative delivery). The secondary outcome measures were maternal adverse events (episiotomy and OASIS), neonatal adverse events (NICU admission, intraventricular hemorrhage, and respiratory distress syndrome or transient tachypnea of the newborn), as well as composite outcome (i.e., presence of any one of the following: NICU admission, intraventricular hemorrhage, 5-min Apgar score < 7, asphyxia, seizures, and sepsis).

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina USA). Descriptive statistics are presented by number and percentage for categorical variables and by mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. Categorical variables were compared by chi-square or Fisher exact test, as appropriate, and continuous variables, by t-test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**
Of 5115 women who met the inclusion criteria, 1438 were excluded because of antepartum cesarean delivery (n = 1101), small for gestational age infant (n = 331) and intrauterine fetal death (n = 6). The remaining 3677 women formed the study group.

The fetal ultrasound performed up to 10 days before delivery showed a normal head circumference in 3651 cases (99.3%) and microcephaly in 26 (0.7%). The demographic, medical, and obstetric characteristics of the two groups are presented in Table 1. As expected, the microcephaly group had a significantly smaller fetal head circumference than the reference group (306.1 ± 10.1 vs 329.2 ± 15.4 mm, p < .001) and smaller biparietal diameter (85.4 ± 3.8 vs 91.3 ± 5.0 mm, p < .001) in addition to a lower estimated fetal weight based on abdominal circumference and biparietal diameter (2685.8 ± 420.8 vs 3209.8 ± 557.6 g, p < .001). There were no other significant differences between the groups.

Maternal and neonatal outcome parameters are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Compared to the reference group, the microcephaly group had a higher percentage of males (90.0% vs. 48.2%, p < .001), lower birthweight (2957.0 ± 330.4 vs. 3323.2 ± 432.2 g, p < .001), and lower birthweight percentile (33.6 ± 21.5 vs. 60.5 ± 26.4, p < .001). There were no between-group differences in mode of delivery, OASIS, NICU admission, intraventricular hemorrhage, neonatal respiratory outcome, and composite outcome.

**Discussion**
The present study shows that isolated microcephaly in term fetuses has no significant effect on mode of delivery or on maternal and neonatal outcomes.
### Table 2  Maternal and obstetrical outcomes in 3677 fetuses stratified by head circumference

| Parameter                  | Normal HC     | Microcephaly | p-Value |
|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| N                          | 3651          | 26           |         |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus | 503/3312 (15.2%) | 1/20 (5.0%) | 0.345   |
| Preeclampsia, overall      | 140/3269 (4.3%) | 1/21 (4.8%)  | 0.603   |
| With severe features      | 15/3255 (0.5%)  | 0            | 1.000   |
| Oligohydramnios            | 117/3258 (3.6%) | 3/20 (15.0%) | 0.035   |
| Polyhydramnios             | 105/3263 (3.2%) | 0/20 (0%)    | 1.000   |
| Induction of labor        | 1552/3651 (42.5%) | 14/26 (53.9%) | 0.703   |
| Mode of delivery           |               |              | 0.372   |
| Spontaneous vaginal       | 2993 (82.0%)  | 22 (81.5%)   |         |
| Operative vaginal         | 426 (11.7%)   | 4 (14.8%)    |         |
| Cesarean, intrapartum     | 232 (6.3%)    | 0 (0%)       |         |
| Indication                |               |              |         |
| Non-reassuring fetal heart rate | 1214 (53.5%)  |             |         |
| Non progress of labor     | 101 (43.5%)   |             |         |
| Other                     | 7 (3%)        |              |         |
| Gestational age at delivery, weeks | 39.0 ± 1.2    | 39.3 ± 1.3   | 0.205   |
| Episiotomy                | 878/3360 (26.1%) | 6/22 (27.3%) | 1.000   |
| OASIS                     | 22/3257 (0.7%) | 0/20 (0%)    | 1.000   |
| Shoulder dystocia         | 15/3256 (0.5%) | 1/20 (5.0%)  | 0.094   |

Data are presented and mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and number and percent for categorical variables.  

OASIS Obstetrical anal sphincter injury syndrome

### Table 3  Neonatal outcomes in 3677 fetuses stratified by head circumference

| Parameter                          | Normal HC     | Microcephaly | p-Value |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| N                                  | 3651          | 26           |         |
| Neonatal sex, male                 | 1230/2552 (48.2%) | 18/20 (90.0%) | <.001   |
| Neonatal birthweight, g            | 3323.2 ± 432.2 | 2957.0 ± 330.4 | <.001   |
| Neonatal birthweight, centile      | 60.5 ± 26.4   | 33.6 ± 21.5  | <.001   |
| S-5 min Apgar score < 7            | 32            | 0            | 0.631   |
| Jaundice                           | 420/3651 (11.5%) | 5/26 (19.2%) | 0.215   |
| Phototherapy                       | 75/3651 (2.1%) | 1/26 (3.9%)  | 0.420   |
| Asphyxia                           | 42/3651 (1.2%) | 0            | 1.000   |
| NICU admission                     | 189/3651 (5.2%) | 3/26 (11.5%) | 0.153   |
| Seizure                            | 7/3651 (0.2%)  | 0            | 1.000   |
| Intraventricular hemorrhage        | 7/3651 (0.2%)  | 0            | 1.000   |
| Transient tachypnea of the newborn | 34/3651 (0.9%) | 0            | 1.000   |
| Respiratory distress syndrome      | 8/3651 (0.2%)  | 0            | 1.000   |
| Neonatal sepsis                    | 9/3651 (0.3%)  | 0            | 1.000   |
| Composite outcome<sup>a</sup>      | 241/3651 (6.6%) | 3/26 (11.5%) | 0.420   |

Data are presented and mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and number and percent for categorical variables.  

NICU Neonatal intensive care unit

<sup>a</sup>Composite outcome – any one of the following: NICU admission, intraventricular hemorrhage, S-5 min Apgar score < 7, asphyxia, seizures, and sepsis
The rate of microcephaly in our cohort of 3677 women was 0.7% when microcephaly was defined as 2SD below the mean for age and sex. Daniel-Spiegel et al. [17] defined fetal microcephaly as head circumference 3SD below the norm and reported a rate of 0.2% in term pregnancies; however only 0.11% of the neonates included in their study were found to be microcephalic at post-partum evaluation. Subsequently, several studies sought to improve the accuracy of the prenatal detection of microcephaly and reduce the high false-positive rate found after labor. Leibovitz et al. [18] constructed a reference range for a novel biometric measure, the foramen-to-cranium distance (FCD), defined as the distance between the foramen magnum and upper inner cranial border along the posterior wall of the brainstem. When it was applied to 25 fetuses diagnosed with microcephaly, the rate of false positives was reduced. In another study the same group found that the use of two new reference ranges for fetal head circumference [19, 20] did not significantly improve the prediction of post-partum microcephaly over the currently accepted nomogram [4].

Successful vaginal delivery depends on appropriate entrance of the fetal head into the pelvic cavity, subsequent rotational movement of the head to enable its passage through the interspinous distance (the narrowest part of the mid-pelvis), and proper exit of the head from the pelvic outlet, extending through and below the pubic rami. Given that a large head diameter and circumference are known to be associated with higher-than-normal rates of operative vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery [13–16], it might be assumed that a small head diameter would facilitate passage of the fetus through the birth canal, resulting in significantly reduced rates of delivery complications. However, in the present study, the distribution of spontaneous vaginal and vaginal operative deliveries was similar for the microcephalic fetuses and the fetuses with a normal head circumference. This finding suggests that additional factors in labor process may decrease the potential gain of small head circumference, such as a narrow pubic arch angle and small maternal stature, which correlate with a small pelvic diameter [21, 22]. Both these factors have been associated with a higher rate of instrumental vaginal delivery. Be that as it may, our results indicate that a small head as an isolated finding is not a contributory factor in vaginal birth. There were also no intrapartum cesarean deliveries in the study group compared to a 6.3% rate in the reference group. Further studies with a larger sample of microcephalic fetuses are needed to determine if this difference from fetuses with a normal head circumference, although not statistically significant, is attributable to the small number of fetuses with microcephaly in our cohort or in fact to their lower risk of protracted labor (43.5% in the reference group) or non-reassuring fetal heart rate (53.5% in the reference group).

Birth weight was significantly lower in the microcephalic group than the reference group (2957 ± 330 vs. 3323.2 ± 432.1 g), as was the birth weight percentile (33.6 ± 21.5 vs. 60.5 ± 26.4). It is noteworthy that in some cases, a prenatal finding of microcephaly may indicate an overall small fetus and not true microcephaly. Although we excluded small-for-gestational-age newborns, and in utero abdominal circumference was similar in the groups, we defined microcephaly as 2SD below the mean for gestational age. Had we used a cutoff of 3SD, we would have lowered any possible chances of including misdiagnosed small fetuses with no microcephaly-associated pathology.

There were no between-group differences in any of the outcome parameters, suggesting that isolated term microcephaly does not pose a risk for adverse perinatal outcome. Thus, considering that only 1 of the 26 fetuses in the microcephaly group had a head circumference below 3SD of the norm, we may assume that microcephaly up to 2SD of the norm is of limited clinical significance.

Our study has several limitations. First, the cohort included only 26 fetuses with isolated microcephaly, although the rate of fetal microcephaly at our center was representative of the general population (0.7%). This may be a cause of type II error - however, it is impractical to reach an appropriate sample size in a single center setting. Second, owing to the retrospective design, we were lacking some potentially relevant information which could have contributed to the analysis of our findings, such as parental head diameter/height and pubic arch angle, in addition to sonographic parameters such as angle of progression and head perineal distance that could have added information about labor progress [23–26]. Also, routine ante-partum fetal weight estimation and biometry is not universally recommended, nor routinely preformed - this may be a source of bias in our study, as well as the fact that truly isolated microcephaly can only be ascertained after birth. Nonetheless, microcephaly is not a common finding, and our selection process helped us to remain focused on the study question while avoiding confounders such as structural anomalies, genetic abnormalities, and growth restriction with a possible influence on perinatal outcome. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study addressing the impact of a small fetal head on delivery and labor outcome. The findings have potential implications for counseling women prior to delivery of a microcephalic fetus.
Conclusion
Fetuses with isolated microcephaly have a similar mode of delivery and perinatal outcome to fetuses with a normal head circumference. Further prospective studies that take additional valuable pre-labor and sonographic information into account are needed to better characterize the effect of microcephaly on labor progress and perinatal factors.
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