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Abstract

We show that negative $(\nabla \Phi)^2$, where $\Phi$ is the dilaton, leads to a rapid creation of folded strings. Consequently it appears that the interior of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ black hole is not empty, but is filled with folded strings.

Motivated by [1] we speculated sometime ago [2] that a concrete way to express the challenge in having a non trivial structure at the horizon of a large Black Hole (BH) is to be able to write down an effective action that renders the horizon special. We claimed that such an effective action must involve a "horizon order parameter"; an operator whose expectation value indicates if we are inside or outside the BH. The horizon order parameter meant to be a trigger that modifies the physics inside the BH considerably compared to the standard physics outside the BH.

Recently [3] it was argued that in the case of the 2D $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH [4–6] the horizon order parameter might take a particularly simple form

$$\mathcal{O} = (\nabla \Phi)^2,$$

where $\Phi$ is the dilaton. Outside the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH the operator $\mathcal{O}$ is positive while inside the BH it is negative.

Some indirect evidence from the exact reflection coefficient of [7] was provided that the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH interior is not empty in classical string theory [8, 3]. These papers, however, did not explain how come the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH is not empty or what it is filled with. Moreover no relation with $\mathcal{O}$ was established. In particular it was not clear how the fact that $\mathcal{O}$ flips sign when crossing the horizon could possibly trigger non trivial effects inside the BH.
In this short note we attempt to fill up this gap. We argue that when $O$ is negative folded strings are created rapidly. As a result the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH interior is not empty but is filled with folded strings. The idea that the BH is made out of fundamental strings is not new [9,10]. However, in the past these claims where made about small, stringy size, BHs while here we discuss large BHs.

The classical background associated with the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH is (we work with $\alpha' = 1$)

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)}, \quad \Phi(r) = \phi_0 - Qr$$

where $f(r) = 1 - \mu e^{-2Qr}$. We focus on the supersymmetric case that describes the near horizon limit of $k$ NS5-branes in type II strings [26]. We consider the limit where, $Q = 1/\sqrt{k}$, is small. In this limit the curvature is small away from the singularity. We also take $\phi_0 \to -\infty$ so that stringy loops can be neglected. The effect we describe happens already in classical string theory.

Away from the BH, when $r \to \infty$, we have a 2D linear dilaton background

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2, \quad \Phi = -Qr,$$

with $Q > 0$. As far as the small fluctuations goes the length scale associated with the linear dilaton is $1/Q$. This is evident from the background (3) and also from the fact that the conformal dimension of an operator with momentum $p$ is shifted from $p^2/4$ to $(p + Q)/4$.

There are also classical long string solutions in this background [11]. An interesting aspect of these solutions, that will play a key role below, is that the relevant length scale associated with them is not $1/Q$, but $Q$. Let us review their construction. Consider a classical string that propagates non trivially in the background (3). Working in the temporal gauge $t = \tau$ the equation of motions are $\partial_+ \partial_- r = 0$ and the Virasoro constraints read

$$-1 + (\partial_+ r)^2 + Q\partial_+^2 r = 0, \quad -1 + (\partial_- r)^2 + Q\partial_-^2 r = 0.$$  

The solution to these equations is

$$r = r_0 + Q \log \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \cosh \left( \frac{t - t_0}{Q} \right) + \cosh \left( \frac{\sigma}{Q} \right) \right) \right).$$

This solution is not periodic. It describes an infinity long folded string that is stretched all the way to the weak coupling region.
Figure 1: The shape of the long folded string of [11]. The green line indicates the way the string folds which is always towards the weak coupling region. At early and late times the tip of the string is moving at a speed that approaches ±1.

The turning point of the string, where it is at rest, is at \( r = r_0 \) and \( t = t_0 \). At \( t \) much smaller or larger than \( t_0 \) the tip of the string is moving at a speed that is approaching the speed of light (see figure (1)). Note that unlike the yo-yo solution of [12–14] this solution is a smooth solution that does not involve discontinuities in \( \partial_\pm r \).

The total energy of such a string is infinite. The reason for the absence of finite energy classical string configurations is that no matter how small \( Q \) is the linear dilaton prevents the string from folding towards the strong coupling. To see how this comes about it is instructive to consider (4) at the turning point where the velocity vanishes and the Virasoro constraint are approximated by

\[
\partial_+^2 r = \frac{1}{Q}, \quad \partial_-^2 r = \frac{1}{Q}.
\]  

This implies that near the turning point there is a constant acceleration, that scales like \( 1/Q \). One way to think about this acceleration is that the slope of the dilaton induces a mass of the order of \( Q \) at the tip of the string folds [11]. Since we work in units in which the string tension is 1 Newton’s second law implies (6) which leads to

\[
r = \frac{1}{2Q} (\tau^2 + \sigma^2).
\]
This shows that the string can fold only in one direction, towards the weak coupling region.

The exact solution (5) as well as the approximated solution (6) imply that the length scale associated with the long string is $Q$ which for $Q \ll 1$ is much smaller than the perturbative scale, $1/Q$, associated with (3). The fact that the non-perturbative long string introduces a new scale to the problem is closely related to the fact that the reflection coefficient in Liouville theory [15] and in the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_k$ model [7] involve the non-perturbative momentum scale, $1/Q$ ontop of the perturbative scale $Q$.

What happens when we approach the BH? Is it possible that outside the BH, where the curvature is of the order of $(\nabla \Phi)^2$ and (3) is not a good approximation, there are finite energy classical configurations? There is a simple argument why, at least for small $Q$, the answer is no. To have a finite energy configuration the string should be able to turn both towards the BH and away from the BH. As discussed above the length scale associated with the turning of the string is $Q$. Curvature effects, that are absent in the discussion above, are important at much larger scales that are of the order of $1/Q$. Therefore, at least for small $Q$, the curvature associated with the solution (2) cannot change the conclusion that outside the BH the string can turn only towards the weak coupling region and hence there are only infinitely long string configurations outside the BH.

Next we wish to explore what happens inside the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_k$ BH where $(\nabla \Phi)^2 < 0$. First we consider a useful toy model, that also have $(\nabla \Phi)^2 < 0$ - a time-like linear dilaton. The background takes the form

$$ds^2 = -(dX^0)^2 + (dX^1)^2, \quad \Phi = QX^0. \quad (8)$$

Together with a matter CFT with a central charge such that the total central charge is 15 this is an exact background in classical superstring theory. The matter theory plays no role in our discussion. We take $Q > 0$ so that, just like in the dynamically formed BH, the strong coupling is in the future.\footnote{When considering time-like linear dilaton as a toy model for cosmology, e.g. [16,17], it is natural to have the strong coupling in the past.}

The gauge $X^0 = \tau$ dismisses the effect of the linear dilaton. In this gauge the only solution, that does not involve discontinuous $\partial_\tau X^1$, is the trivial one $X^1 = \sigma$. There are, however, non-trivial smooth solutions that are sensitive to the time-like linear dilaton. To reveal them we work in the unusual gauge $X^1 = \sigma$. Then the Virasoro
Figure 2: The green line indicates the way the string folds which is always towards the strong coupling region. The tip of the string is always moving faster than light. It approaches the speed of light, the dashed lines, when $|X_1|$ is large.

Constraints are

$$1 - (\partial_+ X^0)^2 - Q\partial_+^2 X^0 = 0, \quad 1 - (\partial_- X^0)^2 - Q\partial_-^2 X^0 = 0,$$

and the solution is

$$X^0 = x^0 + Q \log \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \cosh \left( \frac{X^1 - x^1}{Q} \right) + \cosh \left( \frac{\tau}{Q} \right) \right) \right).$$

Despite the technical similarity with (5) the physics associated with this solution is quite different than that of (5). The string is created from the vacuum at a certain time $x^0$ and place $x^1$ and is folded towards the strong coupling (see figure (2)). At the tip the string is moving at an infinite speed that, for small $Q$, is quickly reduced towards the speed of light.

At first sight, figure (2), that describes (10), appears to resemble figure (3) that describes the Schwinger mechanism [18] or more precisely its stringy generalization (see e.g. [19]). There are, however, crucial differences. First, (10) is a classical solution in Minkowski signature. A semi-classical description of the Schwinger mechanism that starts from the vacuum and ends with on-shell particles involves gluing a Minkowskian solution with a Euclidean solution (see figure 3). The Euclidean section implies that, unlike in (10), the Schwinger mechanism is a quantum process that involves tunneling.
Figure 3: The Schwinger mechanism. The red line represents the Euclidean solution. It is glued at $X_E = X^0 = 0$ to the Minkowskian solution that is represented by the blue lines.

and is exponentially suppressed by $S_E/h$. The lack of a Euclidean section in (10) implies that the creation rate associated with it is not expected to be suppressed exponentially.

Second, in the Schwinger mechanism the particles (or strings) are moving slower than light. This is not the case in (10). The points where the string folds are moving faster than light. This suggests the following as the mechanism behind this solution. In analogy with [11] the linear dilaton induces a mass at the point where the string folds. Only that now since we have a time-like linear dilaton the mass is tachyonic, $m_{\text{tip}}^2 \sim -Q^2$. Condensation of this tachyon attempts to generate a runaway behaviour. It is the tension of the string that holds the configuration together. The balance between the two is what drives (10) and induces the large momentum scale, $1/Q$, associated with it.

We do not know what is the end-point of the condensation of this classical configuration. It would be particularly interesting if it leads to a resolution of the future strong coupling singularity.

We are now in a position to describe the folded string inside the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH. The slope of the time-like dilaton inside the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH is not constant and the metric is not flat. Hence (8) does not describe the region behind the horizon.
Figure 4: A typical folded string inside the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH.

However, $(\nabla \Phi)^2$ is negative there and we saw that the length scale associated with the folded string creation is $Q$. This implies that inside the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH the length scale associated with the creation of the folded string is $\sqrt{- (\nabla \Phi)^2}$. This scale is typically of order $1/\sqrt{k}$ which is much smaller than the curvature scale $\sqrt{k}$. Hence in the large $k$ limit the folded string creation is, for all practical purposes, a local process. This means that the BH curvature cannot modify much the creation of the folded string. It will surely modify (10) at distances of order $\sqrt{k}$, but not the conclusion that such folded strings are created. We expect a typical folded string inside the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH to take the shaped presented in figure (4).

The folded string condensation will not stop until $(\nabla \Phi)^2$ is not negative everywhere inside the BH. This means that the entire BH interior should be filled with folded strings. This appears to be the case both for eternal and dynamically formed $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH.

This conclusion seems to fit neatly with claims made in [8, 3] that the potential associated with the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH blows up just behind the horizon. The unusual properties of (10) suggests that its backreaction is likely to be non-standard and could drastically modify the BH interior. It remains to be seen if precise connection with the potential found in [8, 3] can be made. The fact that the potential behind the horizon found in [8, 3] is determined by the same length scale, $1/\sqrt{k}$, that controls (10) seems to support this.

The conclusion that the interior of the eternal $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH is filled with
Figure 5: The winding one tachyon mode that condenses at the tip of the cigar appears to be related to the folded string that fills up the entire $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH interior.

strings also seems to go well with some Euclidean reasoning. The FZZ duality [20,21] implies that at the tip of the cigar there is a condensation of a winding one tachyon mode [22,23]. The Hartle Hawking wave function [24] then seems to imply that the BH interior should be filled with strings (see figure 5). It seems reasonable to suspect that the wave function of the winding one tachyon mode found in [25] is related to the wave function of the folding point of the string discussed here. One needs to go beyond the classical discussion presented here to study this.

The $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$ BH is the near horizon of $k$ NS5-branes [26]. The fundamental string is the electric-magnetic dual of the NS5-brane [27]. It is, therefore, natural to speculate that the region behind the horizon of near extremal Dp-branes is filled with their electric-magnetic dual, D(6-p)-branes [28].

In this note we focused on BHs. It is likely, however, that the folded string creation could have interesting applications to cosmology as well.
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