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ABSTRACT

We present a detailed analysis of a superflare on the active M dwarf star AD Leonis. The event presents a rare case of a stellar flare observed simultaneously in X-rays (with XMM-Newton) and in optical (with the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite, TESS). The radiated energy both in the 0.2 – 12 keV X-ray band ($1.26 \pm 0.01 \cdot 10^{31}$ erg) and the bolometric value ($E_{\text{bol}} = 5.57 \pm 0.03 \cdot 10^{31}$ erg) put this event at the lower end of the superflare class. The exceptional photon statistics deriving from the proximity of AD Leo has enabled measurements in the 1 – 8 Å GOES band for the peak flux (X1445 class) and integrated energy ($E_{\text{bol, GOES}} = 4.30 \pm 0.05 \cdot 10^{32}$ erg), making possible a direct comparison with data on flares from our Sun. From extrapolations of empirical relations for solar flares we estimate that a proton flux of at least $10^{8}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ accompanied the radiative output. With a time lag of 300 s between the peak of the TESS white-light flare and the GOES band flare peak as well as a clear Neupert effect this event follows very closely the standard (solar) flare scenario. Time-resolved spectroscopy during the X-ray flare reveals, in addition to the time evolution of plasma temperature and emission measure, a temporary increase of electron density and elemental abundances, and a loop that extends in the corona by 13% of the stellar radius (4 $R_\odot$). Independent estimates of the footprint area of the flare from TESS and XMM-Newton data suggest a high temperature of the optical flare (25000 K), but we consider more likely that the optical and X-ray flare areas represent physically distinct regions in the atmosphere of AD Leo.
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1. Introduction

Contemporaneous multi-wavelength data during flares is crucial for understanding the physics of the flare process. Prominent characteristics expected from the standard solar flare scenario (the so-called CSHKP model) include a time lag between diagnostics for the impulsive and the gradual phase (see e.g. Benz 2002) and a relation between non-thermal and thermal emission, the so-called Neupert effect (Neupert 1968) that was occasioned in large stellar flares as a correspondence between the profile of radio luminosity and the time-derivative of the X-ray luminosity (e.g. Gudel et al. 2002; Osten et al. 2007).

Stellar flares are also known to be a major driver for the evolution of planet atmospheres (e.g. Owen et al. 2020). However, the majority of exoplanet systems are too distant for a detailed characterization of the high-energy (X-ray and UV) emission of the host star. Therefore, models for the effects of stellar irradiation on planets are often based on the observed properties of individual well-known flare stars. Most notably a prototypical flare on AD Leo, the so-called ‘Great Flare of 1985’ (Hawley & Pettersen 1991), has been the basis for seminal work on the impact of stellar variability on planetary chemistry (Segura et al. 2010; Tilley et al. 2019).

AD Leo is an M-type main-sequence star (SpT M3.5) at a distance of 4.966 ± 0.002 pc (Gaia Collaboration 2020). It has an effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 3414 \pm 100$ K and a radius of $R_\ast = 0.426 \pm 0.049 R_\odot$ (Houdebine et al. 2016). Its rotation period of 2.23$^{+0.36}_{-0.27}$ d (measured on the MOST lightcurve, Hunt-Walker et al. 2012) and its X-ray luminosity of $\log L_x [\text{erg/s}] = 28.8$ (Kobrude & Schmitt 2005) place the star in the saturated regime of the rotation-activity relation where the X-ray emission level does not depend on rotation. For M dwarfs the spin-down and associated diminishing of activity last up to ~ 1 Gyr (Magain & uddaa et al. 2020; Johnstone et al. 2021). Therefore it is difficult to place an age constraint on AD Leo. While it appears as a typical M dwarf star based on its rotation and X-ray emission level, it is certainly one of the most studied stars in the northern hemisphere.

Thanks to its extraordinary brightness originating in its favorable sky position AD Leo has become the prototype for M-type dwarf stars which account for ~ 75% of the stars in the Galaxy (e.g. Chabrier 2001). The number of planets known to orbit such stars has been estimated to be very high, especially for low-mass planets that are the most suitable candidates for being habitable (Dressing & Charbonneau 2013; Sabotta et al. 2021). An entire space mission is dedicated to the discovery of planets around M dwarfs, the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2014). Using the observed UV spectrum of the 1985 AD Leo flare Venot et al. (2016) simulated the effect of stellar flares onto exoplanet spectra and found that the stellar flare radiation can induce irreversible changes in the chemical composition of hot planets; see also Chen et al. (2021). The X-ray component of the flare was not considered in these studies as there was no...
contemporaneous data taken in that energy band for the 1985 flare of AD Leo. However, X-ray photons penetrate deeper into the planet atmosphere and have been shown to drive ionization and chemistry in gaseous exoplanets at layers inaccessible to UV radiation (see Locci et al. 2022 and references therein). AD Leo itself has been reported from a radial velocity study to host a hot Jupiter planet (Tuomi et al. 2018), but the signal was later attributed to stellar activity (Carleo et al. 2020).

The studies discussed above have pointed out the importance of considering that flares are repetitive events. However, flare rates are poorly constrained in the crucial high-energy XUV band. While the planet transit search satellites Kepler and TESS have provided high-quality optical light curves for numerous flare stars, no instruments are available that are suitable for a systematic monitoring of X-ray and UV flares. This hampers also the full characterization of the dynamics and energy output of flares which requires their simultaneous detection in different wavebands. That this is a difficult task, can be assessed e.g. from the study of Namekata et al. (2020) dedicated to optical and X-ray monitoring of AD Leo where in 8.5 nights of observations only one small flare was observed jointly in X-rays with NICER (Arzoumanian et al. 2014) and optical instruments.

This article is dedicated to the characterization of a superflare on AD Leo that was observed during a recent pointing of the X-ray satellite XMM-Newton, and for which we detected the optical counterpart in the TESS light curve.

2. Analysis of the superflare

On Nov 18/19, 2021, AD Leo was observed for 86 ksec with XMM-Newton through Director’s Discretionary Time. Due to the proximity of the bright star γ Leo (V = 1.98 mag), located about 4.8° south east of AD Leo, the X-ray pointing was performed in SMALL WINDOW mode for the prime instrument EPIC and the Optical Monitor had to be kept in closed position. The data reduction for EPIC/pn has been carried out with a standard procedure that is described in Appendix A.

The roughly one-day long XMM-Newton observation is fully covered with TESS’s Sector 45 that covered the time span from Nov 6 to Dec 2, 2021. The most evident feature is a huge flare towards the end of the XMM-Newton exposure which has a counterpart in the TESS data. The optical flare is barely visible in the TESS PDCSAP light curve and the averaged target pixel file (TPF) available at the Barbara A. Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) Portal, due to the high noise level induced by γ Leo. We, therefore, had to perform a customized data reduction (explained in Appendix B) to reduce the noise.

In Fig. 1, we present the simultaneous X-ray and optical light curves of the superflare together with the time derivative of the X-ray luminosity. In the remainder of this section we describe how we extracted physical parameters from the X-ray and optical data of the flare.

2.1. X-ray data

The time profile of the X-ray flare is shown in the top panel of Fig. 1 for the broad XMM-Newton energy band (0.2 − 12 keV) and for the GOES band (1 − 8 Å). In the XMM-Newton broad band it displays a roughly linear (about 340 s long) rise phase, followed by a plateau (lasting about 400 s). The subsequent decay can be described by an exponential phase with a time-scale of \( \tau_{\text{exp}} = 724 \pm 8 \) s and a linear phase, which lasts for the remaining roughly 6 ks until the end of the observation. At the end of the observation the count rate was still above the pre-flare level suggesting that the underlying quiescent corona slightly changed during the flare. Despite this prolonged tail the initial fast decay of the X-ray light curve indicates a short duration event, hence likely occurring in a compact coronal structure.

2.1.1. X-ray spectral analysis

We have divided the flare from the start of its rise (2021-11-19 05:48:50.816 UTC) to the end of the exponential phase (2021-11-19 07:08:50.816 UTC) into time intervals of about 10000 EPIC/pn counts each. The 17 time bins obtained this way have different duration but roughly the same photon statistics. We extracted an EPIC/pn spectrum from each of the 17 intervals and subtracted the out-of-time events.

Our goal to constrain the physical conditions in the corona of AD Leo during the flare requires an accurate assessment of the underlying quiescent, i.e. non-flaring, X-ray emission. To this end, we have identified all flare-free parts of the EPIC/pn light curve and combined them into one spectrum. We used this quiescent spectrum as background for the study of the spectral evolution during the X-ray flare. This way we obtained a series of "flare-only" spectra.

The spectrum of each of the 17 individual time slices of the flare was fitted in XSPEC v 12.11.1 (Arnaud 1996) with a two-temperature varpc model. To avoid an excessive number of free parameters we tied the abundances of all elements (X) to that of iron according to the ratio \( X_{\text{Fe}} / X_{\text{Fe}} \) determined for the quiescent.

1 A superflare is commonly defined as an event with a radiative energy release of at least \( 10^{33} \) erg (Schaefer et al. 2000).

1 We determined the transition between the exponential and the linear phase by fitting a decaying exponential function to the decreasing part of the light curve starting with the first four bins after the end of the peak phase and successively adding data points until the minimum of \( \chi^2_{\text{red}} \) was reached.
cent state. The remaining elements considered in VAPEC (He, Ca, Al, Ni), which have no significant emission lines in the spectral range examined, were fixed to the solar values. Since low-resolution X-ray spectra are notoriously affected by degeneracies between abundances and emission measure (EM) we derived the abundances of the quiescent emission of AD Leo from the high-resolution RGS spectrum, that was extracted on the same time intervals as the quiescent EPIC/pn spectrum. We used the APED database (Smith et al. 2001) and the updated solar abundance table of Asplund et al. (2009). The full emission measure distribution analysis of the RGS data will be explained elsewhere. In Table C.1 we report the abundances obtained from the quiescent RGS spectrum, since their ratios were used to restrict the spectral model for the flare state as explained above. Free fit parameters for the 17 EPIC/pn flare spectra were, thus, the two temperatures and two emission measures and the abundance of Fe. Best fit results are listed in Table C.2, where we also report, for each time interval, the EM-weighted average temperature and the total EM.

The resulting time evolution of the spectral parameters during the flare (EM-weighted average temperature $T$, sum of the EM of the two components $EM_{tot}$, and iron abundance) is shown in Fig. 2. By definition of the spectral model the variation of Fe includes the variation of the abundances of the other elements. It can be noted from Fig. 2, that in the tail of the exponential decay phase the flare Fe abundance falls below the quiescent value. This likely indicates a change of the underlying quiescent corona that is manifest also in the elevated count rate after the flare (see Fig. 1), and that will be investigated in a future work.

The top panel of Fig. 2 shows that the peak temperature is reached before the peak of the EM, as expected if the enhanced X-ray radiation is caused by a heating event. Secondly, the temperature rapidly drops at nearly constant EM (the plateau at the maximum in the light curve). At the onset of the decrease of the EM, the temperature has already decayed to about half its peak value.

Integrating over the fluxes in the 17 time slices we determined the flare energy. For the GOES band we found $(4.30 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{32}$ erg (see also Table 1) and for the XMM-Newton X-ray band $(0.2 - 12$ keV) we found $E_{\text{EM, XMM}} = (1.26 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{33}$ erg.

2.1.2. Physical conditions of the X-ray flare

The time-resolved spectroscopy during the flare decay can be used to determine the semi-length, $L$, of the flaring loop making use of the prescription of Reale et al. (1997), who have performed hydrodynamic simulations to predict the X-ray spectral signature of decaying flare loops. Assuming that the flaring structure has a constant volume ($V$) with a uniform cross section ($S$) and that the loop has a half-torus shape (hence its volume is $V = 2 \cdot S \cdot L$), its semi-length can be inferred by inspecting the evolution of plasma temperature and density during the decay phase. The hypothesis of constant volume implies that the plasma density, $n$, is proportional to $\sqrt{EM}$. The slope, $\zeta$, of the trajectory traced by the flaring plasma in the log $T$ versus log $n$ space, shown in Fig. 3, allows us then to infer the amount of heat released into the loop during the decay. Combining this with the observed exponential decay time inferred from the light curve ($724 \pm 8$ s) and the temperature at the peak of the flare ($\log T_{\text{peak}} = 7.57 \pm 0.05$ K) the loop semi-length can be estimated. We observe that after the first rapid temperature decay at constant EM the log $T$ versus log $n$ evolution displays first a joint decrease of both quantities, followed by a minor re-heating event. We infer the slope $\zeta$ considering only the first decay path (red points and red line in Fig. 3), obtaining $\zeta = 0.5 \pm 0.6$. The loop semi-length obtained with the equations of Reale et al. (2004), where the procedure calibrated for the EPIC/pn detector is derived, is then $L = 4 \times 10^8$ cm. Note, that the equation that yields the loop length has been derived by Reale et al. (1997) under a series of assumptions involving the loop geometry (see beginning of this section) and heating (exponentially decaying). Therefore, the value of $L$ and all other quantities we derive in the following from this parameter are order of magnitude estimates.

If the plasma density is known, together with the loop semi-length, the volume and cross-section of the flaring loop can be determined from the assumptions on the geometry and the definition of the EM. The electron density can be estimated from the high-resolution RGS spectrum, making use of the ratio be-

\[ \frac{\text{F}_{\text{Fe}}}{\text{F}_{\text{Fe}^{++}}} \]

3 In this first phase of the decay the flaring emission is still significantly higher than that of the background corona. Therefore, the inferred quantities are not significantly affected by the changes occurring in the underlying corona, that is not accounted for in our analysis. We note, however, that including the whole decay path in the fit (blue points and blue line in Fig. 3) yields a slope of $0.4 \pm 0.2$, comprised within the errors in the value obtained for the reduced time-span.
between forbidden and intercombination lines of He-like triplets (Gabriel & Jordan 1969). To this end, we extracted an RGS spectrum considering the entire exponential flare duration (that is the time span that encompasses all the 17 intervals used for the EPIC/pn time-resolved analysis). Details on the RGS analysis will be provided in a forthcoming paper. For the purpose of the current work, we subtracted the RGS spectrum of the quiescent phase from the RGS flare spectrum, to inspect the strongest triplets, those of O vii and Ne ix. The significant emission observed in the triplets for this net flare-phase RGS spectrum confirms that, even if their formation temperatures are quite low (~ 2 and ~ 4 MK respectively), the flaring plasma significantly contributes to the emission in these lines. The O vii and Ne ix triplets indicate electron densities \( n_e \) of \( 5 \times 10^{10} \) and \( 8 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3}\). For the evaluation of the geometric loop parameters we considered the \( n_e \) value of \( 8 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-3}\) since the higher formation temperature of Ne ix suggests that it probes the flaring plasma density better than the cooler O vii. Combining this value with the total \( EM \) of \( (1.83 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-3}\) of the entire flare and with the loop semi-length, and assuming \( n_{\|}/n_e = 0.83 \) (proper for typical coronal temperatures and chemical compositions), we derive for the flaring loop a volume of \( 3 \times 10^{28} \) cm\(^3\) and a cross section \( 5 \times 10^{18} \) cm\(^2\).

Having estimated the loop volume, together with the detailed evolution of its \( T \) and \( EM_{\text{tot}} \) during the flare, gives us the unique opportunity to probe the physical conditions of the flaring plasma during the flare’s evolution. Firstly, we can infer the evolution of the flaring plasma density \( n_e \), obtained as \( \sqrt{EM/(0.83V)} \) (Fig. 2, middle panel). We can also compute the evolution of the total thermal energy \( E_{\text{th}} = (3/2)(n_e + n_{\|})V k_B T \) of the flaring plasma (Fig. 2, lower panel). In addition, the knowledge of plasma density and temperature allows us to probe the pressure experienced by the flaring plasma, i.e. \( P_{\text{gas}} = (n_e + n_{\|})k_B T \). Since this plasma is magnetically confined, the highest value of \( P_{\text{gas}} \) provides us a lower limit for the magnetic pressure, \( P_{\text{mag,min}} = B^2/(8\pi) \), which in turn implies a minimum magnetic field strength \( (B) \) of 500 G, and a minimum magnetic energy for the flaring loop of \( E_{\text{mag,min}} = P_{\text{mag,min}} V = 4 \times 10^{34} \) erg (also plotted in the lower panel of Fig. 2).

---

2.2. Optical data

We searched for the rotational signal and for flares in the TESS light curve following our previous work, Stelzer et al. (2016) and Raetz et al. (2020) on data from the K2 mission, and Magauda et al. (2022) period search adapted for TESS data) and Stelzer et al. (2022) flare search on TESS data).

2.2.1. Analysis of the rotation signal

A detailed description and a graphical illustration of our period search on AD Leo can be found in Appendix [D]. We found a rotation period of \( 2.194 \pm 0.004 \) which is consistent with the period found by Hunt-Walker et al. (2012). The half-amplitude of the rotation signal is \( 0.00217 \pm 0.00007 \). We estimated the spot coverage of AD Leo using the relations given by Notsu et al. (2019). With their Eq. 4, which they deduced from Berdyugina (2005), the spot temperature, \( T_{\text{spot}} \), was computed from AD Leo’s effective temperature \( T_{\text{eff}} \). With the computed value of \( T_{\text{spot}} = 2955 \) K we found with Eq. 3 of Notsu et al. (2019) a spot filling factor of \( A_{\text{spot}}/A_{\text{star}} \approx 1.0 \% \), where \( A_{\text{spot}} \) is the spotted area and \( A_{\text{star}} \) the total surface area of the star.

2.2.2. Flare analysis

We validated four flare candidates in the full light curves, among which the X-ray superflare that was clearly detected in the TESS light curve (see bottom panel of Fig. 1). In Table 1 we provide all relevant flare properties for the superflare determined by our algorithm, namely the duration \( (\Delta t \), the time between the first and last flare point), the relative peak flare amplitude \( (A_{\text{peak}}) \), the continuum flux level subtracted from the flux of the peak), the absolute peak flare amplitude \( (\Delta L, \text{multiplying the } A_{\text{peak}} \text{ by the quiescent stellar luminosity}) \) and the equivalent duration \( (ED, \text{integral under the flare}) \). Following Davenport (2016), we calculated the flare energy, \( E_F \), by multiplying the \( ED \) with the quiescent stellar luminosity of AD Leo in the TESS band, that we determined from the TESS magnitude of \( AD Leo(T = 7.036) \) to \( L_{\text{qu,T}} = 2.4 \times 10^{31} \) erg/s. This value is consistent within 5 % with the luminosity that we obtain if we use AD Leo’s effective temperature and radius, and integrate the blackbody function taking into account the TESS filter transmission. Assuming for the optical flare a black-body emission at a constant temperature of 9000 K (as typically observed for solar WLFs, Kretzschmar 2011), the emitting area (assumed to be variable) can be constrained to match the observed amplitude of the TESS light curve, \( E_F / L_{\text{qu,T}} \). We found a maximum value for the area of \( 8.4 \times 10^{19} \) cm\(^2\). Multiplying this by the flare surface flux yields the bolometric flare luminosity \( L_{\text{bol}} = 3.1 \times 10^{31} \) erg/s, and integration over the flare light curve gives the bolometric energy radiated by the flare \( E_{\text{bol}} = (5.57 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{33} \) erg.

3. Discussion

The Nov 2021 flare on AD Leo has an energy above the canonical threshold for a superflare, \( 10^{33} \) erg, in both the TESS and the XMM-Newton band. It was a factor 30 stronger than the largest solar flare observed to date, the Sep 1859 Carrington event, which was of GOES class X45 (Hudson 2021), while for the AD Leo superflare we measure a peak flux in the 1–8 Å band of \( (1.38 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-10} \) erg/cm\(^2\)/s, corresponding to an X1445 event on the GOES flux scale. Yet, it is a small event when compared with the largest superflares reported from main-sequence stars in the optical band (e.g. Schaefer et al. 2000, Maehara et al. 2001).
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offset of \( a = 34.711 \pm 0.007 \), where the uncertainties are the standard deviations of the fit.
Fig. 4. Empirical relation between WLF energy and GOES flux for solar flares and power-law fits performed by Namekata et al. (2017)
on them using a linear regression method and a linear regression bi-sector method (solid and dashed lines). The red line is our fit including
the AD Leo superflare which yields in the double logarithmic form
(\log E_{WFL} = a + b \cdot \log F_{GOES}) a slope \( b = 1.50 \pm 0.005 \) and an axis-
of the photosphere was located near the limb. If the flare was, indeed, spatially connected to the spot, as is typically seen in
solar flares (e.g. Toriumi & Wang 2019), we had a lateral view onto
the loop structure. We speculate that in such a geometry one can account for the high observed flare area inferred from the TESS
data if the optical flare region had a significant vertical extent.
In the empirical relation between flare duration (\( t_f \)) and
energy (\( E_p \)) the AD Leo WLF is placed among the smallest Kepler
superflares on solar-type (that is G-type main-sequence) stars ob-
served with similar cadence, i.e. Kepler one-minute light curves
(Namekata et al. 2017). Theoretical scaling laws presented by
these authors predict for given \( t_f \) and \( E_p \) the magnetic field
strength and coronal loop length. For AD Leo \( B \sim 100 \sim 200 \) G
is found, somewhat smaller than the value we measured from
the X-ray data (\( B_{min} \approx 300 \) G). In fact, Namekata et al. (2017)
showed by comparison to resolved solar flares that the scaling laws
under-predict the field strength. The loop length obtained
for AD Leo’s superflare from the scaling laws is \( \approx 10^{10} \) cm,
about a factor two larger than the value derived from the X-ray
analysis.
A parameter that is of utmost importance for evaluating the
impact of stellar flares on planets is the energetic particle flux that
reaches the planet (see e.g. Tilley et al. 2019). For the Sun-
Earth system calibrations between the flux of protons with en-

In X-rays, on the other hand, observations of giant flares
have mostly been limited to pre-main sequence objects or interact-
ing binaries (e.g. Preibisch et al. 1995, Grosso et al. 1997,
Pandey & Singh 2012). In X-rays, the one observed in a standard solar flare, where optical emis-
sion, associated to the energy deposited by non-thermal high-
energy particles in the lower layers of the stellar atmosphere,
precedes the X-ray emission peak, due to the subsequent chromo-
spheric evaporation (see e.g. Castellanos Durán & Klein
2020). The brightness peak in the optical is observed about 300 s
before the X-ray maximum in the GOES band. The optical light curve
is strongly peaked while the X-ray maximum is a plateau that makes a transition into an exponential decay followed by
a slow linear decrease. The chromospheric evaporation sce-
nario (see e.g. Benz 2017) is further corroborated by the increase
of density and elemental abundances observed during the flare,
which provide also new constraints on metal depletion in coro-
nal plasma. The higher abundance of the flaring (Fe/Fe_{\odot} \sim 0.9),
compared to the quiescent plasma (Fe/Fe_{\odot} \sim 0.3), clearly proves
that the quiescent corona is metal depleted with respect to the
chromospheric material, that manifests its higher metallicity in
the X-rays during the initial phases of the flare. For the first
time we constrained the time scales of coronal metal depletion,
through the rapid decrease (in a few 100 s) of the elemental
abundances after the chromospheric evaporation event.
In absence of data in the radio band, the WLF seen with
TESS, can be taken as a proxy for the non-thermal component,
because in the standard flare scenario (e.g. Benz 2017) it is pro-
duced by the bombardment of lower atmospheric layers with
(non-thermal) electrons from the magnetic reconnection site. We
have calculated the evolution of the time derivative of \( L_x \), shown
as open diamonds in the bottom panel of Fig 1 from the fluxes
measured in the 17 time bins representing the exponential flare
phase. The behavior follows that of the WLF demonstrating the
presence of the Neupert effect.
The TESS and XMM-Newton data have provided independent
estimates for the surface coverage of the flare footprint.
The time-averaged area of the optical flare, determined from
the changing amplitude of the TESS light curve, is larger than
the X-ray based area by about a factor seven. A temperature of
25000 K for the optical flare would reconcile this discrepancy.
However, it is likely that the two measurements probe different
emitting regions. The X-ray emission, produced by optically
thin plasma, provides us with the cross-section of the coronal
part of the loop, while the optical emission originates from the
optically-thick lower layers of the flaring structure. Hence, the
area inferred from the optical flare possibly embraces both the
horizontal extent and vertical structuring of the flaring loop foot-
points. Given that the TESS light curve displays a fairly regular
time-like rotational modulation (Fig. D.1, at the epoch of the
observation, AD Leo’s photosphere was possibly dominated by
a single spot group. The flare took place when this spotted part
of the photosphere was located near the limb. If the flare was,
indeed, spatially connected to the spot, as is typically seen in
solar flares (e.g. Toriumi & Wang 2019), we had a lateral view onto
the loop structure. We speculate that in such a geometry one can account for the high observed flare area inferred from the TESS
data if the optical flare region had a significant vertical extent.

Table 1. Parameters of the superflare extracted from the optical and X-
ray light curves.

| Parameter [unit] | TESS | XMM-Newton$^{(a)}$ |
|------------------|------|------------------|
| \( t_{peak} \) [BID-2459537] | 0.7444 | 0.7479 |
| \( \Delta t \) [min] | 6.67 ± 0.67 | ≈ 82.0 |
| \( A_{peak} \) | 0.259 ± 0.006 | - |
| \( \log \Delta L \) [erg/s] | 30.79 ± 0.03 | 29.66 ± 0.02 |
| \( ED \) [s] | 44.9 ± 0.3 | - |
| \( E_p \) [erg] | (1.06 ± 0.05) \cdot 10^{33} | (4.30 ± 0.05) \cdot 10^{32} |

Notes.$^{(a)}$ Values are for the GOES band from the start of the rise phase
to the end of the exponential phase.

The flare occurred at phase 0.78 of the rotational signal, with phase
0.0 corresponding to the minimum flux. The low inclination of AD Leo
(\( i \sim 15^\circ \)), together with the unconstrained spot latitude, does not allow
us to guess more precisely the spot position.

The flare occurred at phase 0.78 of the rotational signal, with phase
0.0 corresponding to the minimum flux. The low inclination of AD Leo
(\( i \sim 15^\circ \)), together with the unconstrained spot latitude, does not allow
us to guess more precisely the spot position.

The flare occurred at phase 0.78 of the rotational signal, with phase
0.0 corresponding to the minimum flux. The low inclination of AD Leo
(\( i \sim 15^\circ \)), together with the unconstrained spot latitude, does not allow
us to guess more precisely the spot position.
energy > 10 MeV ($I_p$) and the X-ray flux in the GOES band at the flare peak were recently updated by Herbst et al. (2019). We estimate for the X-ray superflare of AD Leo a proton flux of $I_p \sim 0.1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ (from Eq. 4 of Herbst et al. (2019)) and $I_x \sim 20.1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ (from their Eq. 5). An even larger possible range is obtained if the uncertainties of the solar empirical relations are folded in. Herbst et al. (2019) present several stellar flares overlaid on the extrapolated solar relation between $I_p$ and GOES flux, including Kepler superflares, and UV events on some benchmark M dwarfs. However, we stress that none of these events has an actual measurement of the X-ray peak flux, and the GOES class for all of them has been estimated using empirical relations to transform observed fluxes at lower wavelengths to the X-ray band introducing significant additional uncertainties.

4. Conclusions

The high-cadence simultaneous coverage throughout the full event in the optical and X-ray band makes this superflare on AD Leo a special calibrator for stellar flare physics and the stellar input to exoplanet atmospheres. Having sufficient signal at high energies to evaluate the flare energetics in the GOES band provides the rare possibility to quantify the relation between stellar X-ray superflares and the much larger data base of solar flares. The AD Leo flare exceeds the largest solar flare, the Carrington event, by a factor 30 in peak X-ray flux, and by a factor 14 in energy. Stellar flares with energies up to about $10^{37}$ erg were reported in the literature. However, in most cases the radiative output in the X-ray band was estimated from empirical relations with optical or UV flare diagnostics that are subject to order of magnitude uncertainties. Parameters inferred in such an indirect way are correspondingly ill determined. With its simultaneous WLF and GOES band measurements we could verify that the energetics of the AD Leo flare, and therefore likely that of other stellar superflares, constitute a scaled up version of solar flares, and we have derived the soft proton flux expected to be associated with the event that may serve for future exoplanet studies.
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Appendix A: XMM-Newton EPIC/pn data extraction

We have analysed the XMM-Newton observation using the Science Analysis Software (SAS) version 19.1.0 developed for the satellite. By examining the high energy events ($\geq 10$ keV) across the full EPIC/pn detector, which are representative for the overall background, we have verified that the observation is not seriously affected by solar particle background. We filtered the data for pixel patterns ($0 \leq$ pattern $\leq 12$), quality flag (flag = 0) and events channels ($200 \leq$ PI $\leq 15000$). Source detection was performed in three energy bands: $0.2 - 0.5$ keV (S), $0.5 - 1.0$ keV (M), and $1.0 - 2.0$ keV (H), after having removed the out-of-time events. For the spectral and temporal analysis we allowed only pixel patterns with flag $\leq 4$. We defined a circular photon extraction region with radius of $30''$ centered on the EPIC/pn source position. The background was extracted from an adjacent circular region with radius of $45''$. The background subtraction of the light curve was carried out with the SAS task epiclccorr which also makes corrections for instrumental effects. We then barycentric corrected the photon arrival times using the SAS tool barycen.

Appendix B: TESS data reduction

Here we show how we obtained a light curve with a lower noise level than the PDCSAP light curve by removing the contribution of $\gamma$ Leo from the flux in the pixels that we have identified as the most contaminated ones. We analysed the fast cadence TESS light curve to obtain the best possible time-resolution.

An evaluation of the individual frames of the TPF showed that the contamination is strongest close to the “bleed trail” of the saturated star $\gamma$ Leo which extends to the pixel column of the TPF. Pipeline mask for AD Leo. We examined the contamination of the TPF by $\gamma$ Leo monitoring the flux level of all pixels in that column for each frame of the TPF. Based on this inspection we removed the flux of the most contaminated pixel (the lower right pixel of the pipeline mask; see Fig. B.1) from the light curve extraction. As a second step we fitted a Gaussian to the flux in that column and removed the flux of $\gamma$ Leo from the second most contaminated pixel, the pixel above the most contaminated one. With this procedure we obtained a light curve with a lower noise level, decreasing the standard deviation of the normalized light curve from 0.053 to 0.033.

TESS assigns a quality flag to all measurements. We removed all flagged data points except of ‘Impulsive outlier’ and ‘Cosmic ray in collateral data’ (bits 10 and 11) while extracting the light curve. The final light curve has 92839 data points in two segments separated by the usual data downlink (so-called Low-Altitude Housekeeping Operations, LAHO) gap. We applied a detrending to our cleaned light curve by removing a third order polynomial from both light curve segments individually. Then the light curve was normalized.

Appendix C: Parameters from the spectral analysis of XMM-Newton data

We provide the elemental abundances for the quiescent corona of AD Leo during the XMM-Newton observation derived from the RGS spectrum (Table C.1) and the evolution of the spectral parameters throughout the exponential flare phase obtained from the EPIC/pn spectra in time-slices of roughly equal photon-statistics (Table C.2) as explained in Sect. 2.1.1.

### Table C.1. Coronal abundances derived from the quiescent RGS spectrum with respect to the solar photospheric abundances from Asplund et al. (2009) and first ionization potential.

| Element | $A_X/A_{X,\odot}$ | FIP |
|---------|------------------|-----|
| C       | $1.03^{+0.23}_{-0.13}$ | 11.3 |
| N       | $1.36^{+0.38}_{-0.13}$ | 14.5 |
| O       | $0.99^{+0.19}_{-0.13}$ | 13.6 |
| Ne      | $1.76^{+0.60}_{-0.04}$ | 21.6 |
| Mg      | $0.21^{+0.11}_{-0.13}$ | 7.6  |
| Si      | $0.66^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$ | 8.2  |
| S       | $0.48^{+0.13}_{-0.41}$ | 10.4 |
| Ar      | $0.73^{+0.11}_{-0.04}$ | 15.8 |
| Fe      | $0.33^{+0.10}_{-0.02}$ | 7.9  |

Appendix D: Period search on the TESS light curve

Following our previous work cited in Sect. 2.2, we used three methods to search for the rotation period of AD Leo. We computed the generalized Lomb-Scargle periodogram (GLS, Zechmeister & Kürster 2009), we determined the autocorrelation function (ACF) and, finally, we fitted the light curve with a sine function. The GLS implementation we used can only process up to 10000 data points. Therefore, we had to bin the light curve by a factor of ten to a resolution of 200 s. The light curve was then phase-folded with the period found with each of the methods. The result of our period search is shown in Fig. D.1. Through visual inspection we selected the best-fitting period. For the TESS Sector 45 light curve of AD Leo analysed in this work, the GLS and the sine fitting resulted in periods consistent with each other and with values from the previous literature (see Sect. 2.2.1), while the ACF did not show an unambiguous periodic pattern and, hence, failed to identify the rotation period (see Fig. D.1). We thus adopted the average of the GLS and sine fitting period, $P_{\text{rot}} = 2.194 \pm 0.004$. The error was calculated with the formulas given by Gilliland & Fisher (1985).

---
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Table C.2. Best-fit parameters of the time-resolved X-ray spectral analysis of the EPIC/pn data throughout the exponential phase of the flare.

| int. | $t_a$ (K) | $T_b$ (K) | log $E_M$ (cm$^{-3}$) | log $E_M$ (cm$^{-3}$) | Fe/Fe⊙ | log $T_{mean}$ (K) | log $E_M$ (cm$^{-3}$) |
|------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1    | 7.00 ± 0.02 | 7.38 ± 0.02 | 51.35 ± 0.08 | 52.24 ± 0.02 | 0.84 ± 0.13 | 7.54 ± 0.05 | 52.30 ± 0.02 |
| 2    | 7.02 ± 0.01 | 7.60 ± 0.02 | 51.62 ± 0.07 | 52.59 ± 0.02 | 0.93 ± 0.12 | 7.57 ± 0.05 | 52.63 ± 0.02 |
| 3    | 7.00 ± 0.02 | 7.53 ± 0.02 | 51.69 ± 0.07 | 52.60 ± 0.02 | 0.90 ± 0.11 | 7.50 ± 0.05 | 52.65 ± 0.02 |
| 4    | 6.98 ± 0.02 | 7.47 ± 0.02 | 51.68 ± 0.06 | 52.59 ± 0.02 | 0.84 ± 0.09 | 7.43 ± 0.05 | 52.64 ± 0.02 |
| 5    | 7.00 ± 0.01 | 7.39 ± 0.02 | 51.99 ± 0.06 | 52.56 ± 0.02 | 0.58 ± 0.06 | 7.33 ± 0.05 | 52.66 ± 0.02 |
| 6    | 6.96 ± 0.01 | 7.38 ± 0.02 | 52.02 ± 0.05 | 52.53 ± 0.02 | 0.49 ± 0.05 | 7.31 ± 0.05 | 52.64 ± 0.02 |
| 7    | 6.97 ± 0.01 | 7.36 ± 0.02 | 52.07 ± 0.05 | 52.43 ± 0.02 | 0.40 ± 0.04 | 7.28 ± 0.06 | 52.59 ± 0.02 |
| 8    | 6.94 ± 0.01 | 7.31 ± 0.02 | 51.93 ± 0.05 | 52.33 ± 0.02 | 0.46 ± 0.05 | 7.23 ± 0.06 | 52.47 ± 0.02 |
| 9    | 6.95 ± 0.01 | 7.33 ± 0.02 | 51.90 ± 0.05 | 52.27 ± 0.02 | 0.37 ± 0.04 | 7.24 ± 0.06 | 52.42 ± 0.02 |
| 10   | 6.94 ± 0.01 | 7.31 ± 0.02 | 51.94 ± 0.05 | 52.19 ± 0.02 | 0.27 ± 0.03 | 7.21 ± 0.06 | 52.38 ± 0.02 |
| 11   | 6.94 ± 0.02 | 7.33 ± 0.02 | 51.75 ± 0.05 | 52.12 ± 0.02 | 0.33 ± 0.04 | 7.25 ± 0.06 | 52.27 ± 0.02 |
| 12   | 6.99 ± 0.01 | 7.38 ± 0.03 | 51.88 ± 0.06 | 51.91 ± 0.03 | 0.27 ± 0.04 | 7.24 ± 0.06 | 52.20 ± 0.03 |
| 13   | 6.98 ± 0.01 | 7.34 ± 0.04 | 51.81 ± 0.06 | 51.77 ± 0.04 | 0.26 ± 0.03 | 7.19 ± 0.07 | 52.10 ± 0.04 |
| 14   | 6.99 ± 0.01 | 7.40 ± 0.05 | 51.82 ± 0.06 | 51.70 ± 0.05 | 0.18 ± 0.03 | 7.21 ± 0.08 | 52.07 ± 0.04 |
| 15   | 6.97 ± 0.02 | 7.32 ± 0.03 | 51.73 ± 0.06 | 51.74 ± 0.04 | 0.15 ± 0.02 | 7.18 ± 0.07 | 52.03 ± 0.04 |
| 16   | 6.91 ± 0.03 | 7.22 ± 0.02 | 51.59 ± 0.06 | 51.80 ± 0.03 | 0.16 ± 0.02 | 7.13 ± 0.07 | 52.01 ± 0.03 |
| 17   | 6.94 ± 0.01 | 7.26 ± 0.02 | 51.63 ± 0.04 | 51.69 ± 0.03 | 0.18 ± 0.02 | 7.14 ± 0.06 | 51.96 ± 0.03 |

a Time since BJD = 2459537. b Results of the 2T fit. c Fe abundance. d $E_M$-weighted average temperature. e Total $E_M$. 

Fig. D.1. Results of the three period search methods (GLS, ACF and sine-fitting) for AD Leo observed in TESS sector 45. The top panels show the light curve phase-folded with the periods obtained with the different methods. The bottom panel shows the GLS periodogram, the ACF and the original detrended light curve with the sine fit.