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A B S T R A C T

An open neighbourhood locating-dominating set is a set S of vertices of a graph G such that each vertex of G has a neighbour in S, and for any two vertices u, v of G, there is at least one vertex in S that is a neighbour of exactly one of u and v. We characterize those graphs whose only open neighbourhood locating-dominating set is the whole set of vertices. More precisely, we prove that these graphs are exactly the graphs for which all connected components are half-graphs (a half-graph is a special bipartite graph with both parts of the same size, where each part can be ordered so that the open neighbourhoods of consecutive vertices differ by exactly one vertex). This corrects a wrong characterization from the literature.

1. Introduction

We consider only simple, finite and undirected graphs. Many graph problems are motivated by the task of uniquely identifying the vertices using a small set of vertices. In one type of such problems, the identification is done by the neighbourhood within the solution set. More precisely, denoting \( N(v) \) the open neighbourhood of a vertex \( v \), an open neighbourhood locating-dominating set (OLD set for short) of a graph \( G \) is a set \( S \) of vertices of \( G \) such that each vertex \( v \) in \( G \) has a neighbour in \( S \) (that is, \( S \) is a total dominating set) and for any two distinct vertices \( v, w \) of \( G \), \( N(v) \cap S \neq N(w) \cap S \).

In other words, each vertex \( v \) in \( G \) has a distinct and nonempty set \( N(v) \cap S \) of neighbours within \( S \). It is not difficult to see that a graph admits an OLD set if and only if it has no isolated vertices and contains no pair of open twins (open twins are vertices with the same open neighbourhood). We call such graphs locatable. The smallest size of an OLD set of a locatable graph \( G \) is its open neighbourhood location-dominination number, denoted by \( \gamma_{OL}(G) \).

The notion of open neighbourhood location-domination was introduced in 2002 under the name of IDNT codes by Honkala, Laihonen and Ranto in [12, Section 5] and re-discovered in 2010 by Seo and Slater in [19]. Various aspects of the problem were subsequently studied in [3,7–9,11,15,17,20]. OLD sets are related to the concept of identifying codes [14]
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(where open neighbourhoods are replaced by closed neighbourhoods) and the earlier concept of locating-dominating sets [21, 22] (where only vertices not in the solution set need to be uniquely identified). The variant of locating-total dominating sets is also studied [10]. These concepts are special cases of more general questions of identification in discrete structures, which are studied in various settings since the 1960s [1, 2, 16, 18].

One of the first questions regarding this type of problems is, how large the optimum size of a solution (in terms of the number of vertices) can be, and what is the class of extremal examples reaching the bound. For example, this is the focus of [5] for identifying codes, and it is addressed in [3] for OLD sets. In the latter paper, it is proved that there exist three connected graphs for which the OLD number is equal to the order. It is then claimed that these are the only connected graphs with this property. As we will see, this is not true. The three graphs from [3] are the three smallest half-graphs; half-graphs form an infinite family of bipartite graphs, studied and named by Erdős and Hajnal (see [4]). See Fig. 1 for the first five half-graphs. Half-graphs, or rather their complements, have been useful in previous works about locating-dominating sets [6] and identifying codes [5].

We show that each half-graph \( H \) of order \( n \) satisfies \( γ_{OL}(H) = n \). Note that if a locatable graph is disconnected, its OLD number is the sum of those of its connected components, thus one obtains other examples by taking disjoint unions of half-graphs. Our main theorem proves that these are the only examples.

**Theorem 1.** For a connected locatable graph \( G \) of order \( n \), \( γ_{OL}(G) = n \) if and only if \( G \) is a half-graph.

This characterization corrects the incomplete one from [3]. It also reveals an interesting property of OLD sets: indeed, there are only few concepts known to us in the area of graph identification problems, for which there exist infinitely many finite connected undirected graphs which have their whole vertex set as unique solution. (Another concept with this feature is the one of self-locating dominating sets, see [13].)

2. The characterization

In a locatable graph \( G \), some vertices have to belong to any OLD set: we call such vertices **forced**. There are two types of forced vertices: those that are forced because of the domination condition, and those that are forced because of the location condition.

**Definition 2.** Let \( G \) be a locatable graph and let \( v \) be a vertex of \( G \). Vertex \( v \) is called **domination-forced** if there exists a vertex \( w \), such that \( v \) is the unique neighbour of \( w \). Vertex \( v \) is called **location-forced** if there exist two distinct vertices \( x \) and \( y \), such that \( N(x) \ominus N(y) = \{v\} \) (where \( \ominus \) denotes the set symmetric difference).

We can observe the following.

**Proposition 3.** If there is a vertex \( v \) in a locatable graph \( G \) which is neither domination-forced nor location-forced, then \( V(G) \setminus \{v\} \) is an OLD set of \( G \).

**Proof.** Since \( v \) is not domination-forced, every vertex of \( G \) has a neighbour in \( V(G) \setminus \{v\} \). Moreover since \( v \) is not location-forced, for every pair \( z, w \) of distinct vertices in \( G \), there is a vertex in \( V(G) \setminus \{v\} \) in the symmetric difference \( N(z) \ominus N(w) \), which therefore distinguishes \( z \) and \( w \). \( \square \)

Proposition 3 implies that in any locatable graph \( G \) of order \( n \) with \( γ_{OL}(G) = n \), every vertex is domination-forced or location-forced (or both).

We now formally define half-graphs, which were named in [4].

**Definition 4.** For any integer \( k \geq 1 \), the half-graph \( H_k \) is the bipartite graph on vertex sets \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \) and \( \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\} \), with an edge between \( v_i \) and \( w_j \) if and only if \( i \leq j \).

We now show that every half-graph \( H_k \) of order \( n = 2k \) satisfies \( γ_{OL}(H_k) = n \).

**Proposition 5.** For every \( k \geq 1 \), the half-graph \( H_k \) satisfies \( γ_{OL}(H_k) = 2k \).

**Proof.** Let \( k \geq 1 \). It is clear that \( H_k \) is locatable, thus \( γ_{OL}(H_k) \leq 2k \). By Proposition 3, it suffices to prove that every vertex of \( H_k \) is forced. Vertices \( v_1 \) and \( w_k \) are domination-forced, since they are the only neighbours of \( w_1 \) and \( v_k \), respectively. For every integer \( i \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq k - 1 \), \( w_i \) is the only vertex in the symmetric difference of \( N(w_i) \) and \( N(v_{i+1}) \). Thus, \( w_i \) is location-forced. Since graph \( H_k \) is symmetric, similarly \( v_k, \ldots, v_2 \) are location-forced. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Before proving our characterization, we will use the following celebrated theorem of Bondy to upper-bound the number of locating-forced vertices in any locatable graph.

**Theorem 6** (Bondy’s Theorem). Let \( V \) be an n-set, and \( A = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\} \) be a family of \( n \) distinct subsets of \( V \). There is a \((n-1)\)-subset \( X \) of \( V \) such that the sets \( A_1 \cap X, A_2 \cap X, A_3 \cap X, \ldots, A_n \cap X \) are still distinct.
The only locatable connected graph of order 2 is $K_2$.

The only locatable connected graph of order 3 is $K_3$.

If $G$ is not locatable, then there exists a vertex $x$ such that $N(x) = N(z) \cup \{y\}$. This means that $z$ is a neighbour of $s$, and not a neighbour of $t$. So $s$ and $t$ are not open twins in $G$, a contradiction. Thus, $G$ is locatable.

We now claim that $\gamma_{OL}(G') = n - 2$. By contradiction, suppose $\gamma_{OL}(G') \leq n - 3$. Let $S'$ be an OLD set of $G'$, with $|S'| \leq n - 3$. We claim that $S = S' \cup \{x, y\}$ is an OLD set of $G$. First of all, $S$ is total dominating, indeed, every vertex in $G'$ has a neighbour in $S'$, and $x$ and $y$ are total dominated by each other. Second, we have to check that $S$ locates all the vertices. Vertex $x$ is located by $S$, since it is the only vertex that has $y$ as its neighbour. In addition, we claim that $y$ is located by $S$. Indeed, if it was not, there would be a vertex $w$ such that $N(w) \cap S = \{y\}$. Thus $N(w) \cap S = \emptyset$. Therefore, $w$ is not total dominated by $S'$ in $G'$, a contradiction. So $y$ is located by $S$. In addition, all the vertices of $G'$ are still located because they were located in $G'$ by $S'$, and they remain located in $G$ by the vertices in $S'$. As a result, $S$ is an OLD set of $G$. Thus, if $|S'| \leq n - 3$, then $|S| \leq n - 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\gamma_{OL}(G') = n - 2$. By induction, we conclude that $G'$ is isomorphic to the half-graph $H_k$ with $k = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ and vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\} \cup \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\}$.

Now we claim that vertex $z$ is domination-forced in $G'$. Note that vertex $z$ is location-forced in $G$ (indeed $z$ cannot be domination-forced in $G$, since all its neighbours are also neighbours of $x$), which means that there exist vertices $v$ and $w$ in $G$ such that $N(w) = N(v) \cup \{z\}$. Vertex $v$ cannot be in the common neighbourhood of $x$ and $z$, and since $N(x) = N(z) \cup \{y\}$, we have $v = y$. Hence, $w$ has degree 2 in $G$ and $N(w) = \{x, z\}$. Therefore, by removing $x$ and $y$ from $G$ to obtain $G'$, $z$ is the only neighbour of $w$ in $G'$. Thus, $z$ is domination-forced in $G'$, as claimed.
As we know, in $H_k$ there are two domination-forced vertices: $v_1$ and $w_k$. By the symmetry of $H_k$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $z = w_k$. As a result, it follows from the fact that $N(x) = N(z) \cup \{y\}$, that we can label $x = w_{k+1}$ and $y = v_{k+1}$ and that $G$ is isomorphic to the half-graph $H_{k+1}$. This completes the proof. □
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