A Classic Case of Solid Multiloculated Ameloblastoma of the Mandible
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ameloblastoma is a benign locally invasive epithelial odontogenic tumor comprising 1% of all tumors and cysts arising in the jaw. It is usually found in the third and fourth decade in the body ramus region of the mandible. It is associated with a high recurrence rate post excision.

Case report: A young male presented with a few months’ history of a painless swelling over jaw. No inflammatory features were present. Routine hematological examination was within normal limits. Computed tomography (CT) plain and contrast showed a well-defined radiolucent expansile lesion involving the right body and ramus of the mandible causing expansion of the body and ramus. Heterogeneously enhancing soft tissue and few bony septations were seen within it. 3-Dimensional image using volume rendering technique (VRT) showed ‘soap bubble appearance’ of the lesion. Histopathology in this case showed a combination of follicular and plexiform type pattern of ameloblastoma.

Conclusion: In conclusion, ameloblastoma is the most commonly occurring odontogenic tumor in the mandibular body ramus region in the middle age group, however other differential diagnosis such as odontogenic keratocyst, odontogenic myxoma and central giant cell granuloma must be ruled out. CT and MRI have advantages over conventional radiographs as they show better extent and soft tissue involvement.
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INTRODUCTION

Mandibular lesions may be odontogenic or non-odontogenic. Odontogenic lesions may be with or without mineralization. As described by H.G.B Robinson, Ameloblastoma is a benign tumor that is usually unicentric, non-functional, anatomically benign and clinically persistent. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines ameloblastoma as a locally invasive polymorphic neoplasia that often has a follicular or plexiform pattern in a fibrous stroma. In 20% of the cases, it is in the maxilla while in 80% of the cases, it occurs in the mandible.1

The purpose of this report is to describe the imaging findings, differential diagnosis and treatment of Ameloblastomas with detailed discussion of a solid, multiloculated type of Ameloblastoma of the mandible.

CASE REPORT

A twenty-two-year-old male presented to department of radiodiagnosis, AIIMS, Patna with a painless swelling over right jaw and angle of mouth in the past four months. No history of fever was present. On examination there were no features of inflammation involving skin over the swelling. Lab analysis showed normal blood picture and ESR (erythrocyte sedimentation rate). Plain and contrast computed tomography (CT) images with 3D reconstruction were obtained.

Computed tomography coronal bone window image showed a large, expansile lesion involving body and ramus of right side of mandible. It was associated with an unerupted tooth (fig 1).

The axial plain CT image of the mandible revealed a very large well-defined radiolucent expansile lesion involving the right body and ramus of the mandible causing expansion

Figure-1: Axial CT bone window image shows a large, expansile lesion involving body and ramus of right hemimandible. An unerupted tooth is also seen on the right side.
DISCUSSION

Ameloblastomas constitute approximately 1% of all tumors of the mandible and usually present in 3rd and 4th decades of life as a painless swelling. They don’t have any gender predilection. Most Ameloblastomas are benign. They are odontogenic tumors composed of epithelial elements, histologically seen as follicular (more common) or plexiform patterns. Histopathology in this case showed a combination of follicular and plexiform type pattern. Basaloid, granular and desmoplastic types are other less common variants. However, the biological behavior cannot be predicted by their histology.

Most common location (~ 80%) for this lesion is body ramus region of the mandible while rest of them arise in the maxilla, in the maxillary tuberosity. There are four radiographic patterns described in literature- a) Unicystic type, b) Spider-web pattern, c) Soap bubble/multilocular pattern and d) Honeycomb or solid pattern. They have a tendency for extensive root resorption. Tooth displacement and destruction of inferior alveolar canal can be other findings.

Differentiation between odontogenic keratocyst and ameloblastoma is sometimes possible only on histopathological correlation. Though most radiolucent lesions with well-defined sclerotic borders are thought to be benign, MRI may reveal clinically unsuspected malignant disease. Such accurate assessment is the drawback of panoramic radiographs. As an exception to previously mentioned radiographic patterns, the desmoplastic variant of ameloblastoma often manifests in maxilla as a mixed radiolucent and sclerotic lesion, resembling a benign fibro osseous lesion. The lesion may resemble an odontogenic keratocyst and sometimes the differentiation may be possible only on histopathological correlation. There are, however, a few points which may aid in the differentiation radiologically. According to literature odontogenic keratocysts have lower CT density with higher heterogeneity than Ameloblastomas. One of the body and ramus. Soft tissue density and few bony septations were seen within it. Few foci of break in continuity of osseous margin were seen, however there was no perilesional extension of soft tissue.

Fig 2 shows the axial and coronal post contrast CT images show heterogeneous enhancement within the lesion. Fig 3 and fig 4 shows 3-dimensional image using volume rendering technique (VRT) showed the expansile multiloculated lesion with a classical "soap-bubble appearance".
the studies showed a significant difference regarding bony expansion, impacted teeth and high-density areas between the two. According to this study, the presence of high-density areas is the most useful feature in the differential diagnosis of ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors.

The treatment of ameloblastoma is surgery with wide resection to high recurrence rate especially of solid/muticystic variety. Recurrence rate after excision has been reported in up to 33% of reported cases. The recurrence rate after curettage is much higher (90-100%). Radiotherapy can be considered for patients with positive margins who are not amenable to re-excision. A margin of 1.5–2 cm beyond the radiological limit to ensure all microcysts are removed.

Final diagnosis was made as Multiloculated type of ameloblastoma of the mandible.

**CONCLUSION**

Although very often the diagnosis of ameloblastoma is made on basis of radiographic features, one should never rely on it alone. In conclusion, all such lesions should be biopsied, and an accurate histologic diagnosis should be obtained before definitive treatment is started.
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