Screening of Fetal Chromosome Aneuploidies in the First and Second Trimester of 125,170 Iranian Pregnant Women
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Abstract

Background: Aneuploidy is one of the main causes of congenital anomalies, mental and physical disabilities, in newborns. The aim of this study was to determine various chromosomal aneuploidies in the first and second trimester screening of pregnant women, in Iran.

Methods: A descriptive retrospective study was conducted on 125,170 pregnant women referred to a major referral medical diagnostic laboratory (Niloo Laboratory, Tehran) for prenatal screening tests (2010-2013). Patients were divided into 3 groups: first trimester screening (FTS), second trimester screening (STS), and combined screening groups. In positive and borderline cases, amniocentesis and cytogenetic analysis were carried out.

Results: Total prevalence of aneuploidy in 125,170 pregnant women was one in 491, (Detection Rate=82.7% for Down syndrome). The DR for DS in three groups was as follow: 87.5% for FTS (25783 women), 80.9% for STS (91345 women), and 94.7% for combined tests (8042 women). Total number of cases with Edward's syndrome was 18, Patau's syndrome six, Klinefelter syndrome six, triploidy three, and Cri-du-chat syndrome one.

Conclusion: The present study shows the frequency of aneuploidy in the first and second trimester screenings in a major medical laboratory in Tehran. The prevalence of aneuploidies grows with increased maternal age. The rate of aneuploidy in first trimester is higher than second.
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Introduction

Spontaneous abortions of the first trimester occur in 15 to 20% of all clinically recognized pregnancies, and chromosomal anomalies are responsible for more than 50% of all of these cases (1). Chromosomal aberrations is one of the most common and serious pregnancy complications in human (2, 3). Most of these abnormalities are numerical (86%), and a minority of the cases is caused by structural chromosome abnormalities (6%) and mosaicism (8%) (4). Trisomy 21 was first described in 1866 by John Langdon Down, which its birth incidence is 1/700 live births (5). Down syndrome is the most frequent chromosomal aneuploidy in liveborns and the most prevalent genetic cause of intellectual disability (6). About 95% of the Down syndrome is a result of conventional 21 trisomy or maternal nondisjunction, 4% translocation and 1% mosaicism (7-10). Trisomy 13 was first described by Thomas Bartholin in 1657 and was cytogenetically identified by Klaus Patau in 1960. It was referred as Patau syndrome. This syndrome has an incidence of
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1/5000 live births (1). There is a high fetal loss of 97% for trisomy 13 conceptions and in the postnatal period nearly all trisomies 13 die within 4 months. Trisomy 13 is most commonly caused by a maternal meiotic nondisjunction but a minority of trisomy 13 cases is caused by an unbalanced robertsonian translocation (1). The Trisomy 18 syndrome (Edwards syndrome) is a common autosomal chromosomal disorder due to the presence of an extra chromosome 18. The first reported infants were described in 1960 by Edwards et al. and Smith et al. (11). Trisomy 18 represents the second most common autosomal trisomy syndrome after trisomy 21 (11).

The rate of fetal abnormalities in central Iran is 5.2% (12), the rate of fetal abnormalities in Tehran is 3.1% (13) and in north of Iran this rate is 1.5-1.7% (14). These abnormalities are the main cause of newborn mortality and more than 1/4 of admittance in children hospitals (15-17). Based on this information, physicians try to offer appropriate counseling in this regard and to improve the outcomes of pregnancies (18). The risk of trisomy augments significantly with the mother’s age; this increasement becomes higher after the age 35. Traditionally, this age is known as the mother’s oldness threshold (3, 19). Until the mid-1980s, prenatal diagnostic tests were only recommended to women who were older than 35 at delivery, but about 80% of Down syndrome children are born from mothers younger than 35 (3). The search for high-risk mothers giving birth to Down babies has become an objective for many prenatal researches, so that in early 1980s, screening test became available for pregnant women. Following abnormal screening test, the patients are referred to medical genetic labs to perform diagnostic tests (amniocentesis) (3, 9, 20, 21).

The aim of this study was to determine the aneuploidy frequency in first and second trimester screening of pregnant women in Iran.

**Methods**

The present research is a retrospective study, on 125,170 pregnant women referred to a major referral medical laboratory (Niloo Laboratory) from 2010 until 2013 to perform screening tests of the first and second trimesters as well as sequential tests.

In the first trimester, the mothers with gestational ages were between 11-14 weeks referred to the medical diagnostic laboratory. At first, the patients filled out a questionnaire including age, weight, number of previous deliveries, number of abortions, twin pregnancy, in vitro fertilization (IVF), smoking history, inter-family marriage, human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) drugs consumption, infertility history, and hypertension. Furthermore, the informed consent was signed by the subjects. Then they were tested for pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A) and free-βhCG biochemical tests. During the interval to carry out these tests the patients were referred to trusty sonographic centers to measure nuchal transluency (NT) and nasal bone (NB). In addition to NT, other biometric markers that have been studied were Crow-Rump Length (CRL), Biparietal Diameter (BPD), Head Circumference (HC), Femur Length (FL), and average ultrasound gestational age. The appearance of skull/brain, spine, abdomen, stomach, bladder, and upper and lower limbs were also evaluated. The obtained results of NT and biochemical markers were then entered in software and final Multiple of Medians (MoM), Corrected MoM, and Risk assessment were calculated based on normal medians of the Iranian population.

In the second trimester, (15-22 week) in terms of 21, 18 and 13 trisomies, neural tube defects (NTDs) and Smith Lemli Optiz Syndrome (SLOS) evaluation, were attended in the lab to perform biochemical tests of Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), βhCG, unconjugated estriol (uE3 or free estriol), and Inhibin A (Quad Marker). The following methods were used to measure biochemical markers; PAPP-A: Swiss made Roche kits with Electro Chemi Lumincene (ECL), AFP: ELISA using CanAg kit, uE3: ELISA using Dimeditec kit, and Inhibin A: ELISA using Beckman-Coulter kit.

All pregnant women in this study, were monitored up to delivery and if possible, their newborns were
studied in terms of Down syndrome and other chromosomal abnormalities. Pregnancy complications such as miscarriage, premature delivery and low birth weight were also monitored. According to the results, the mothers were classified into two groups of high risk and low risk. The high risk mothers were referred (by their physicians) to genetic centers for performing amniocentesis or chorionic villus sampling (CVS) diagnostic tests. To collect the data of the fetus and mother, all patients were contacted after 6 months of their referral to the lab. Then false positive rate (FPR), false negative rate (FNR), detection rate (DR), and odds of being affected given a positive result (OAPR), which indicate an affected baby, were also calculated.

Results

60.2% of total patients were less than 30 yr old; of them 61.2%, 60.1%, and 59.3% were in the first trimester (FTS), second trimester (STS), sequential and integrated protocols, respectively. About 26.4% of patients were 30-35 years old; of them 26.7%, 27.3%, and 25.2% were in the FTS, STS, sequential and integrated protocols, respectively. In addition, 12.5% of total patients were 36-40 years old; of them 11.2%, 11.9%, and 14.5% were in the FTS, STS, sequential and integrated protocols, respectively. Finally, 0.9% of total mothers were over 40 years old; of them 0.9%, 0.7%, and 1% were in the FTS, STS, sequential and integrated protocols, respectively (Table 1). A brief medical of history of mothers, are given in Table 2. Total number of patients referred to perform FTS tests was 25,783 persons. Total number of false positive screening cases in first trimester was 1,332 persons (5.17%) (Table 3).

Table 1: The age category of mothers in three groups (%)

| Age     | All mothers (%) | FTS (%) | STS (%) | Sequential and Integrated (%) |
|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------|
| 30<     | 60.2            | 61.2    | 60.1    | 59.3                          |
| 30-35   | 26.4            | 26.7    | 27.3    | 25.2                          |
| 36-40   | 12.5            | 11.2    | 11.9    | 14.5                          |
| 40<     | 0.9             | 0.9     | 0.7     | 1                             |
| Total   | 100             | 100     | 100     | 100                           |

Table 2: A brief medical history of mothers in three groups (% from the total of 125,170)

| History                  | FTS (%) | STS (%) | Sequential and Integrated (%) |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|
| IVF pregnancy            | 0.39    | 0.14    | 1.1                            |
| Smoking                  | 0.28    | 0.16    | 0.9                            |
| Preeclampsia             | 1.2     | 1.7     | 1.9                            |
| Infertility              | 2.3     | 2.6     | 2.5                            |
| Consanguineous marriages | 8.5     | 24.6    | 12.9                           |
| Drug consumption         | 7.4     | 19.0    | 13.1                           |

Table 3: Data of first and the second trimesters in different years

| Year | FTS | STS | False Positive FTS (%) | False Positive STS (%) |
|------|-----|-----|------------------------|------------------------|
| 2010 | 2447| 12387|129 (5.27)             |710 (5.73)             |
| 2011 | 5952| 20987|302 (5.07)             |1147 (5.46)            |
| 2012 | 7861| 26041|409 (5.20)             |1502 (5.78)            |
| 2013 | 9523| 31930|492 (5.16)             |1743 (5.45)            |
| Total*| 25783| 91345|1332 (5.17)            |5102 (5.58)            |

Total number of mothers referred to perform STS tests was 91,345 persons and total number of false positive screening cases in second trimester was 5,102 persons (5.58%) (Table 3). The total number of positive Down syndrome cases was 49 persons in the FTS tests. Total number of false negatives in the range of 1:251-1:500 was 5 persons, total number of false negatives in the range of 1:501-1:1000 was 8 persons and finally, the total number of false negatives less than 1:1000 was one person (Table 4). The detection rate of Down syndrome in the first trimester was 84.2. Odds of being affected given a positive result (OAPR) in the first trimester was 1:26.9 (Table 4).
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Table 4: The data of the first trimester tests of Down syndrome cases in different years

| Year | Positive Cases | 1:251-1:500 | False Negative Cases | 1:501-1:1000 | <1:1000 | DR (%) | OAPR | Down Prevalence |
|------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|---------|--------|------|-----------------|
| 2010 | 5              | 1           | 5                   | 0             | 83.3    | 1:25.8 | 1:407 |
| 2011 | 11             | 1           | 1                   | 0             | 84.6    | 1:27.4 | 1:458 |
| 2012 | 15             | 1           | 2                   | 0             | 83.3    | 1:27.3 | 1:437 |
| 2013 | 18             | 2           | 0                   | 1             | 85.7    | 1:27.3 | 1:453 |
| Total| 49             | 5           | 8                   | 1             | 84.2    | 1:26.9 | 1:438 |

In total, the prevalence of Down syndrome was 1 per 438 persons in FTS (Table 4). As given in Table 5, total number of Down syndrome reported in the second trimester tests of this study was 144 persons. Total number of false negative cases in the second trimester tests in the range of 1:251-1:500 was 14 persons, in the range of 1:501-1:1000 was 15 persons, and finally, total number of false negative less than 1:1000 was 5 persons. The detection rate of Down syndrome in the whole studied community was 81.1% in the second trimester. OAPR in total individuals was 1:36.6 in the second trimester. In total, the prevalence of Down syndrome was 1 per 536 persons in the second trimester (Table 5). The total number of Down syndrome cases in sequential protocol was 18 persons.

Table 5: The data of the second trimester tests of Down syndrome cases in different years

| Year | Positive Cases | 1:251-1:500 | False Negative Cases | 1:501-1:1000 | <1:1000 | DR (%) | OAPR | Down Prevalence |
|------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|---------|--------|------|-----------------|
| 2010 | 18             | 1           | 2                   | 1             | 81.8    | 1:39.4 | 1:590 |
| 2011 | 31             | 3           | 3                   | 2             | 81.6    | 1:37.0 | 1:552 |
| 2012 | 38             | 3           | 4                   | 1             | 80.8    | 1:39.5 | 1:554 |
| 2013 | 57             | 7           | 6                   | 1             | 80.3    | 1:30.5 | 1:450 |
| Total| 144            | 14          | 15                  | 5             | 81.1    | 1:36.6 | 1:536 |

Table 6: The data of the sequential tests of Down syndrome cases in different years

| Year | Positive Cases | 1:251-1:500 | False Negative Cases | 1:501-1:1000 | <1:1000 | DR (%) | OAPR | Down Prevalence |
|------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|---------|--------|------|-----------------|
| 2012 | 6              | 0           | 1                   | 0             | 85.7    | 1:12.5 | 1:322 |
| 2013 | 12             | 0           | 1                   | 0             | 92.3    | 1:15.7 | 1:445 |
| Total| 18             | 0           | 2                   | 0             | 89.0    | 1:14.1 | 1:383 |

Discussion

In the present study, 125,170 pregnant women who were referred to a major referral medical lab in Tehran from 2010 to 2013 were undergone the first trimester screening tests (25,783 persons), the second trimester screening tests (91,345 persons), and the first and second trimester sequential tests (8,042 persons). This number of subjects were 2.4% of total number of pregnant women who
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were 5,200,000 persons, based on birth rate during 2010-2013 in Iran.

The first trimester screening of 25,783 pregnant women detected 49 Down syndrome, although 9 cases remained undetected. Thus the detection rate of Down syndrome was 84.2% and the false positive rate was 5.17%. This finding is consistent with other investigation which reported the detection rate of 83.3% in 9,730 patients in 2007 (22).

This finding is also consistent with a review study of 2011 which reports a detection rate of 85% and a false positive rate of 5%, regarding extensive studies in different countries (23). In addition, according to screening protocols of the Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and Genetics Society of Canada guidelines in 2011, the detection rate of Down syndrome in the first trimester was 83%, the false positive rate was 5%, and OAPR was 1:27 which are consistent with the findings of the present study (24).

Performance of the second trimester screening, 91,345 pregnant women studied, in whom 144 Down syndrome were detected through a diagnostic tests, although 34 cases remained undetected. The detection rate of the second trimester screening tests and the false positive rate were 81.1% and 5.58%, respectively. This finding is slightly higher than the study, published in 2011 (detection rate of 70-75% and false positive rate of 5%); this may be due to the age distribution of pregnant women in our sample, that is the ratio of over 35 years women to total women was 12.6% while it was 5% in Nicolaides report, which resulted in increase of age risk and ultimately increase of false positive cases and detection rate (23).

In addition, according to guidelines of Genetics Society of Canada and the Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists of Canada published in 2011, the detection rate was 77%, the false positive rate was 5.2%, and OAPR was 1:50 which are consistent with the findings of the present study (24).

Performance of sequential protocol of the first and second trimester 8042 pregnant women was referred to the referral lab; in which 18 Down’s syndrome cases were detected while one case remained undetected. Therefore, the detection rate and the false positive rate were obtained 94.7% and 3.28%, respectively. This finding is consistent with Nicolaides study with detection rate of 90-94% and false positive rate of 5% (23), although it is slightly higher than the guidelines published by Genetics Society of Canada and the Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists of Canada which have reported the detection rate of 87%, false positive rate of 1.9%, and OAPR of 1:15; this difference is due to age distribution of referred patients (24).

Down syndrome is the most common chromosomal disorder in the population and an important cause of children with mental retardation. The age of 35 years is considered as the threshold of high age; hence mothers over the age of 35 undergo amniocentesis to be sure of the fetal health. This invasive intervention detects 20-30% of trisomy 21 and the remaining cases remain unidentified (25, 26). Therefore, some non-invasive and non-expensive tests that can be performed on every pregnant woman seem essential.

**Conclusion**

Although the global prevalence of Down’s syndrome is 1 per 700, it was obtained in this study as 1 per 491. This difference in prevalence may be due to mothers’ age distribution that is not consistent with the community of pregnant women. On the other hand, positive screening cases of other laboratories are referred to a major referral medical lab and physicians perform screening tests on mothers over 35 years old.
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