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We propose a deterministic scheme for teleporting an unknown qubit state through continuous-variable entangled states in superconducting circuits. The qubit is a superconducting two-level system and the bipartite quantum channel is a microwave photonic entangled coherent state between two cavities. A Bell-type measurement performed on the hybrid state of solid and photonic states transfers a discrete-variable unknown electronic state to a continuous-variable photonic cat state in a cavity mode. In order to facilitate the implementation of such complex protocols we propose a design for reducing the self-Kerr nonlinearity in the cavity. The teleportation scheme enables quantum information processing operations with circuit-QED based on entangled coherent states. These include state verification and single-qubit operations with entangled coherent states. These are shown to be experimentally feasible with the state of the art superconducting circuits.

The scheme of quantum teleportation1 is of the essence for technological applications of quantum information processing such as quantum cryptography in multipartite quantum networks2–4 and for measurement based quantum computation5,6. In the original teleportation scheme, a qubit state with unknown parameters \( |\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle \) with unknown \( a \) and \( b \), transmitted from a sender (Alice) can be deterministically teleported to a receiver (Bob) by performing Bell-state measurement (BSM) through a bipartite entangled state, called a channel, in discrete variables (DVs). After the feed-forward of classical information, one can recover the original qubit state at the other location of the channel. The teleportation fidelity between the unknown state and the teleported state provides the characteristics of the channel compared with classical teleportation, which can be performed with no entangled channel (see details in the Section Method). Since the scheme of postselected DV teleportation has been firstly demonstrated in experiment of quantum optics7–10, teleportation schemes have also been demonstrated in other physical systems, particularly for deterministic methods in ion traps11,12, atomic ensembles13 and superconducting circuits14.

An alternative representation, called continuous-variable (CV) quantum teleportation15, has been in parallel investigated because a CV channel is indeed a natural resource for entanglement (e.g., a position-momentum entangled state in the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen’s paper16). For example, the first demonstration of unconditional teleportation has been successfully performed with nonclassical CV states using two-mode squeezed states17–20. Quantum teleportation in CV states is essential to the schemes of CV quantum information processing, which have shown several advantages as compared with DV-qubit information processing21–24. These include for example time-frequency encoding25 and fault-tolerant CV quantum computing26–28.

One of the CV-qubit representations is based on Schrödinger cat states (SCS)29 given by the superposition of two phase-opposite coherent states30,31. A CV qubit \( |\alpha\rangle = a|\alpha\rangle + b|\alpha\rangle \) can in principle encode information beyond DV qubits in circuit-QED32–35 because it is described in infinite dimension17–19,36–39. The SCSs have been created in various methods for instance photon adding and subtracting schemes in quantum optics40–42 and ion- or Rydberg atom-cavity systems43,44. Relatively larger SCSs have been very recently achieved in circuit-QED45. A generalized SCS with many different phases can be used to realise a qubit which will be of use for hardware-efficient quantum memory46. A specific bipartite entangled CV state called an entangled coherent
state (ECS), $|\psi\rangle = |\alpha\rangle|\alpha\rangle + |-\alpha\rangle|-\alpha\rangle$, naturally fits to be a channel state for CV teleportation. Generally it has been known as an excellent resource for quantum metrology and other quantum information processing\(^{47,52}\). Thus, DV-CV hybrid teleportation is not only an alternative for DV-qubit teleportation but also advantageous for practical quantum information processing in solid-state and cavity-QED systems\(^{33,56}\). For instance, these recent developments will lead innovative tools for quantum computing using hybrid single- and two-qubit gates\(^{57,59}\).

Here we develop a DV-CV hybrid teleportation scheme specifically designed to be implemented on a superconducting circuit. The scheme is physically hybrid in the sense that it teleports quantum information from a solid-state qubit to microwave photonic state. It is a core building block required for measurement-based quantum computation in superconducting circuits\(^{5,6,28,60}\). For instance a series of teleportations can mimic one- and two-qubit gates. The term 'hybrid scheme' discussed here has two-fold meaning: hybrid in the sense of DV and CV encoding as well as hybrid in the sense of superconducting qubit and microwave photonic state. An unknown qubit is prepared in a two-level superconducting qubit and the ECS is created in microwave photons inside two cavities with the help of an adjacent superconducting qubit\(^{41,61}\). In contrast to DV- and CV-only teleportations, we find that the teleportation fidelity depends not only on the amount of decoherence but also on the amplitude size of the ECS channel state. The creation of entanglement in photonic hybrid qubits has been very recently demonstrated in quantum optics\(^{62}\) and all photonic optical hybrid CV teleportation has been very recently performed\(^{63,64}\). However, a two-fold hybrid quantum teleportation has not been developed yet and this proposal addresses this challenge for circuit QED.

The paper is organised in the Results section as follows. We first examine a specific architecture and it consists of two high-Q cavities, three qubits (2C3Q) and two additional readout resonators at the edge, inspired by the existing experimental architecture\(^{45}\). We first explain the adverse influence of the residual self-Kerr effect in a cavity and how it can be significantly reduced. The proposed design is in line with state-of-the-art superconducting architecture as shown recently in\(^{65}\).

Next we describe the hybrid teleportation scheme. We investigate how to prepare the initial state and in the later sections how to perform hybrid BSM in this architecture. In the following section, the fidelity of the hybrid quantum teleportation is compared with that of the classical teleportation. It shows a clear distinction between quantum and classical channels for the quality of our teleportation. Finally, the schemes of verification of ECSs and of a single-qubit gate on ECSs are proposed toward universal hybrid quantum computing in circuit-QED.

**Results**

**A two-cavity and three-qubit (2C3Q) architecture: reduction of self-Kerr effects.** Before we present the details of hybrid teleportation, let us discuss one of the major barriers to realising complex protocols involving ECS, namely the 'self-Kerr effect'. The nonlinearity of superconducting qubits and their strong interaction with the cavity generate an effective nonlinearity in the cavity which is called self-Kerr. This is often very useful for creating Josephson parametric amplifiers\(^{48}\) and nonclassical microwaves\(^{50,51}\) in circuit-QED. In the case of ECS which involve two cavities, the qubit nonlinearity can also induce additional cross-cavity nonlinearities, but the case for ECS can be simply demonstrated with one cavity cat-states. These Kerr-type nonlinearities create distortions of the quantum states which beyond a certain level can become a source of error in the quantum information processing protocol. Therefore it is important to find ways to mitigate their strength. In order to demonstrate the importance of reducing the self-Kerr interaction let us consider the state of the system before the teleportation protocol begins. The qubit $A$ that will later hold the information to be teleported is initialised to the ground state $|g\rangle_A$. The entangled-CV channel is initialised to be in an ECS, written as

$$|ECS^{\pm}_{\alpha}\rangle_{BC} = \mathcal{N}^{\alpha}_{\pm}(|\alpha\rangle_{E}|\alpha\rangle_{C} + |-\alpha\rangle_{E}|-\alpha\rangle_{C}).$$

(1)

where $\mathcal{N}^{\alpha}_{\pm} = 1/\sqrt{2(1 \pm e^{i\omega_{K}t})}$ is a normalisation and $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m}|m\rangle$, $c_{m} = e^{-i\omega_{K}m/2}/\sqrt{m!}$. Note that four Bell-type ECSs are defined by $|ECS^{\pm}_{\alpha}\rangle_{BC} = \mathcal{N}^{\alpha}_{\pm}(|\alpha\rangle_{E}|\alpha\rangle_{C} + |-\alpha\rangle_{E}|-\alpha\rangle_{C})$ and $|ECS^{\pm}_{\alpha}\rangle_{BC} = \mathcal{N}^{\alpha}_{\pm}(|\alpha\rangle_{E}|\alpha\rangle_{C} - |-\alpha\rangle_{E}|-\alpha\rangle_{C})$. According to the results in ref. 61, the imperfect preparation of the generated ECS can be however estimated as 96% in 190 ns under realistic defects given by self-Kerr and cross-Kerr effects. It might be ignorable for short-time quantum operation but cannot be avoidable for longer-time quantum information processing like teleportation. For example, although the distribution of the probability amplitudes are kept in coherent states and SCSs, the Wigner functions are distorted under the self-Kerr effect. This is because the rotational speed of relative phases depend on the photon numbers in Fock states.

In order to estimate a single-photon Kerr effect\(^{60,71}\), we examine the Kerr frequency $K$ in the effective Hamiltonian for cavity photons given by

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \omega_{C}\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + K/2(\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a})^{2},$$

(2)

where $\omega_{C}$ is a cavity frequency in dressed states and $\hat{a}$ is a creating operator for photons, see the derivation of the Hamiltonian Eq. (3.24) in ref. 72. As an example, we examine how the self-Kerr term affects different a CV qubit. Figure 1(a) shows that coherent states and SCSs are squeezed in phase space and the fidelity between ideal and distorted states is depicted for evolution time $t = 200$ ns. The fidelity $F$ between the ideal and self-Kerr distorted states indicates the fidelity of CV qubit states under the self-Kerr term in Eq. (2) as shown in Fig. 1(b).

However, if the self-Kerr effect can be much reduced over our proposed teleportation scheme, the quality of the ECS channel and the fidelity of the outcomes will be well-enough during the total operation time reported very recently as near 1 ms\(^{73}\). In addition, the method of selective number-dependent arbitrary phase gates, called
SNAP gate, has been demonstrated in coherent states and could make additional reduction of the self-Kerr defects dynamically in the form of SCSs. In contrast our method yields a reduction of self-Kerr by design and requires no further state manipulation.

Figure 2 is depicted for the proof-of-concept that the effective self-Kerr effect in a cavity alters due to external flux $\Phi_{\text{ext}}$ through a fluxonium. It has been known that the nonlinearity in the transmon qubit $M$ induces the anharmonicity in the energy levels of cavity $B$ and $C$. In the inset of Fig. 2(a), the outer fluxonium qubits ($A$ and $X$) parameters are found such that they combine with the transmon to reduce the self-Kerr nonlinearity in a cavity. This in turn will prevent unwanted state-distortion and enhance the total operation time. For fluxonium it is possible to tune the shape of the potential term in its Hamiltonian by applying external magnetic flux perpendicular to the device. This changes the fluxonium spectrum and coupling to the cavity and effectively counter-balances the anharmonicity of the cavity levels induced by the transmon qubit $M$. Thus, the 2C3Q architecture can significantly diminish the induced self-Kerr distortion of the cavity state. The detail calculation is given in the Methods Section.

Teleportation protocol in the 2C3Q architecture. We briefly describe how to implement this hybrid teleportation in circuit-QED. As similar to the DV-qubit teleportation, we begin with the unknown qubit given by $|\psi\rangle_A$ in a discretized two-level state, represented by ground and excited states of a superconducting qubit ($|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$). As shown in Fig. 3, before the protocol begins it is prepared in the superconducting state of $|g\rangle_M$ with two vacuum states in modes $B$ and $C$. For preparation of an arbitrary qubit in $A$, a single-qubit operation $R(\theta, \phi)$ is applied on $|g\rangle_M$ given by

$$|\psi\rangle_A = R(\theta, \phi)|g\rangle_A = a|g\rangle_A + b|e\rangle_A,$$
where \( a = \cos \theta \) and \( b = e^{i\phi} \). In the preparation stage, it is assumed that the transmon qubit frequency is far off from the cavity resonances to avoid/reduce a direct cross talk between two cavity fields. In the preparation stage, it is assumed that the transmon qubit frequency is far off from the cavity resonances to avoid/reduce a direct cross talk between two cavity fields. Based on the implementation scheme in, several sequential gates, see Fig. 3(b), can build the ECS channel in cavities \( B \) and \( C \). In details, after a Hadamard operation on qubit \( M \), two conditional displacement operations \( \alpha D g \) create entanglement among the qubit \( M \) and two cavity fields. Then, a conditional qubit rotation \( \pi R \) disentangles the cavity-state channel from the qubit and the entangled CV state becomes the form of a maximally entangled state proportional to \( |0\rangle_B |0\rangle_C + |2\alpha\rangle_B |2\alpha\rangle_C \). After the unconditional displacement operation \( D^{-\alpha} \), the outcome state is finally given by \( \alpha \Phi^+_{\text{ECS BC}} \).

The total initial state is given by

\[
|\Psi^{\text{tot}}\rangle_{ABC} = |\psi\rangle_A |\text{ECS}^\Phi_{\alpha} \rangle_{BC},
\]

\[
= N_{\alpha}^a (|\Phi^\pm_{HE}\rangle_{AB} \otimes 1 + |\Phi^\pm_{HE}\rangle_{AB} \otimes \sigma^+_C + |\Psi^+_{HE}\rangle_{AB} \otimes \sigma^+_C + |\Psi^+_{HE}\rangle_{AB} \otimes \sigma^+_C |\psi_{CV}\rangle_C),
\]

(4)

where the hybrid entangled states are

\[
|\Phi^\pm_{HE}\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\psi\rangle_A |\alpha\rangle_B \pm |\alpha\rangle_A |\psi\rangle_B \right),
\]

(5)

\[
|\Psi^\pm_{HE}\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\alpha\rangle_A |\psi\rangle_B \pm |\psi\rangle_A |\alpha\rangle_B \right),
\]

(6)

and

\[
|\psi_{CV}\rangle_C = N_{\alpha}^{a,b} (|\alpha\rangle_C + b|\alpha\rangle_C),
\]

(7)

for \( N_{\alpha}^{a,b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 29 \Im [b^* a] \exp(-2|\alpha|^2)}} \). In particular, even Schrödinger cat is given by \( |\psi_{CV}\rangle_C = |\alpha\rangle_C + |\alpha\rangle_C \) for \( a = b \) while odd Schrödinger cat is given by \( |\psi_{CV}\rangle_C = |\alpha\rangle_C - |\alpha\rangle_C \) for \( a = -b \). Then, hybrid BSM is now applied on the state in Eq. (4).

Hybrid BSM in superconducting and cavity qubits. The hybrid BSM projects the state with the measurement set of \( \{ |\Phi^\pm_{HE}\rangle_{AB} |\Phi^\pm_{HE}\rangle_{AB} \} \) known as a joint measurement between \( A \) and \( B \). To perform the
Figure 3. (a) Schematics of hybrid teleportation from an unknown superconducting state in $A$ to a CV cavity field in $C$. The states of $A$ and $M$ are superconducting qubits in orange lines while that of $B$ and $C$ are cavity fields in red lines. The transmon qubit $M$ is used for preparation of an ECS and decoupled enough cavity $C$ from $B$. The part of implementing the BSM scheme has been demonstrated very recently in the context of quantum imperfect teleportation and device characterization. $D_{\alpha}$ is a conditional displacement with $\alpha$.

(b) Circuit diagram for creating and verifying an ECS. $D_{\alpha}$ is a conditional displacement with $\alpha$ while $D_{-\alpha}$ does unconditional one with $\alpha$. $R_{+\pi}$ makes a $\pi$-flip operation of the qubit $M$ at a vacuum state in modes $B$ and $C$. $D_{\alpha}$ and $D_{-\alpha}$ indicate detectors for cavity states. The final detection schemes are explained in the section of the verification scheme for ECSs.

hybrid version of BSM on $A$ and $B$, shown in a green box in Fig. 3, two operations are firstly required such as a conditional phase gate between the superconducting qubit $A$ and the cavity state $B$ as well as a single-qubit rotation in $A$. An entangling gate between $A$ and $B$ is given by a generalized conditional phase gate $C^{\varphi\varphi}$ written by

$$C^{\varphi\varphi}_{AB} = e^{i\varphi\hat{n}_{A}}|0\rangle_{A}\langle0| \otimes |\varphi\rangle \otimes |\varphi\rangle \otimes e^{i\varphi\hat{n}_{A}}$$

where $\varphi = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$, and $R^{\pi\pi}_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + i\sigma^z)$. After the single-qubit operation $R^{\pi\pi}_{\varphi}$ and $\varphi = \pi$, the total state is equal to

$$|\psi_{\text{mid}}\rangle_{\text{ABC}} = \left[(R^{\pi\pi}_{\varphi} \otimes 1_{B})C^{\varphi\varphi}_{AB}\right]|\psi_{\text{tot}}\rangle_{\text{CV}/\text{ABC}}$$

Note that the operation of $R^{\pi\pi}_{\varphi}$ transfers $|\varphi\rangle = |\varphi\rangle \otimes |\varphi\rangle \otimes |\varphi\rangle \otimes |\varphi\rangle$ into the Bell states, written as $|\Phi^{\pm}_{\text{HE}}\rangle_{\text{AB}}$ and $|\Psi^{\mp}_{\text{HE}}\rangle_{\text{AB}}$ in Eq. (4), into four product states such as $|\pm\alpha\rangle_{\text{A,B}}$ and $|\pm\alpha\rangle_{\text{A,B}}$. The combination of these operations $(R^{\pi\pi}_{\varphi} \otimes 1_{B})C^{\varphi\varphi}_{AB}$ makes the Bell states, written as $|\Phi^{\pm}_{\text{HE}}\rangle_{\text{AB}}$ and $|\Psi^{\mp}_{\text{HE}}\rangle_{\text{AB}}$ in Eq. (4), into four product states such as $|\pm\alpha\rangle_{\text{A,B}}$ and $|\pm\alpha\rangle_{\text{A,B}}$.

What Alice needs is now sequential detections on the state of $A$ and $B$ in the basis sets of $|\pm\alpha\rangle_{\text{A,B}}$ and $|\pm\alpha\rangle_{\text{A,B}}$. First, a CV field in $C$ is given by $|\psi_{\text{mid}}\rangle_{\text{ABC}} = \left[(R^{\pi\pi}_{\varphi} \otimes 1_{B})C^{\varphi\varphi}_{AB}\right]|\psi_{\text{tot}}\rangle_{\text{CV}/\text{ABC}}$. The final detection schemes are explained in the section of the verification scheme for ECSs.
and $\langle \psi_{\theta,\alpha}\rangle_C = N_\alpha \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |\alpha\rangle_C + e^{i\phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} | -\alpha\rangle_C \right)$.

(10)

Fidelity of hybrid teleportation. To claim that our hybrid teleportation has been performed through a nonclassical channel, we need to show that the hybrid teleportation fidelity exceeds a threshold value which is achievable with a classically correlated CV state (see details in the Methods section). The teleportation fidelity is determined by the nonorthogonality of the channel state in a teleportation scheme when the BSM is ideal. If the channel suffers decoherence before the BSM, it should be described in mixed states. Based on the criteria of successful quantum teleportation in DV qubits, the average fidelity of a teleported state needs in theory to be higher than 2/3 to claim that a truly quantum channel is used. This is because maximally correlated classical states $\rho_{BC}$ can be used for performing classical teleportation only up to the average fidelity $2/3$ (see details in the Section Methods). Full CV teleportation, however, proposes the different threshold of 1/2 for claiming the nonclassicality of a teleportation protocol.

The reason of verification scheme for ECSs. When we carry out a test of nonclassicality of a channel, the basic idea of our verification scheme is inspired from a stabilizer formalism on a Bell state-like state with a collective operation $P_{x,z}$ and $P_{x,z}$.

Verification scheme for ECSs. We here propose a verification scheme of ECSs that can be performed by measuring cavity fields in the same architecture shown in Fig. 2 and this scheme will provide us an efficient method of quantum state tomography for specific entangled CV states. Because CV states in principle have infinite dimension, this brings a difficulty to perform a conventional quantum tomography for CV states for example performing a measurement of the amplitudes in the number state basis. However, an ECS can be verified with two sets of measurement schemes if the orthogonality between $|\alpha\rangle$ and $| -\alpha\rangle$ are large enough, e.g. $\alpha > 1.5$. As shown in the Methods section below, the basic idea of our verification scheme is inspired from a stabilizer formalism on a Bell state.
To measure the amount of entanglement on an ECS, a Bell-type nonlocality test can be used by observing Wigner functions of two cavity states. However, it does not prove that the prepared state is the desired even ECS although the nonlocality test guarantees the maximal entanglement of two CV qubits. Thus, an efficient scheme of verification on a prepared CV state is a different approach from that of how much the amount of entanglement the state has.

As shown in Fig. 3(a), if $|\text{ECS}_{BC}^{\Phi^+}\rangle$ is prepared in the cavities of $B$ and $C$, one can independently measure the cavity states connected to outer superconducting qubits ($A$ and $X$) and two outer resonators ($L$ and $R$). Two measurement schemes are used: one is a measurement setup in the basis-state set $\{|\alpha\rangle_B, |\alpha\rangle_B\rangle\}$ and the other is a parity measurement of CV states in mode $C$. Alternatively, a measurement of the Wigner function may also be considered.

Two independent detections are first performed in the basis-state set $\{|\alpha\rangle_B, |\alpha\rangle_B\rangle\}$ in both modes $B$ and $C$. This measurement results can provide the expectation value of $\sigma_z \sigma_z$ on $|\text{ECS}_{BC}^{\Phi^+}\rangle$. It can show that the correlated measurement outcomes of two cavity states such as $|\alpha\rangle_B|\alpha\rangle_B$ or $|\alpha\rangle_B|\alpha\rangle_B$ if $|\alpha\rangle$ is sufficiently orthogonal to $|\alpha\rangle$. As we mentioned in the scheme of the hybrid BSM in Results section, an additional displacement operation
might give an easier measurement scheme given by detecting a vacuum state $|0\rangle$ conclusively. Thus, the outcomes of two cavity states are always identical in both modes $B$ and $C$ if the prepared state is $|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}$.

Even if the outcomes are identical in the $\sigma^z$ measurement, it does however provide sufficient information for the verification of the CV state because the perfect correlation might come from the classically correlated state $\rho_{BC}^{\text{mix-\alpha}}$, see Eq. (19), but not the quantum correlated state $|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}$. To distinguish them, a parity measurement is required on one (or both) cavity field(s) as the pseudo Pauli-$x$ measurement. This parity measurement scheme on cavity states has been already tested in the experiment of superconducting circuits through a superconducting qubit. For example, the parity measurement in mode $C$ is equal to the outcome state in $\Phi^+$, see Eq. (19), but not the quantum correlated state $|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}$.

The key difference from the verification is that it uses the quantum nondemolition measurement where ancillary protocol is similar to the above verification scheme because both rely on the stabilizer formalism given in Eq. (22). The measurement on one of the classically correlated state in Eq. (19) provides a fully mixed state and no fringe pattern in parity measurement outcomes occurs only if the prepared state is the ECS. On the other hand, this parity measurement of even (odd) parity brings an even (odd) SCS in mode $B$. Thus, the perfect correlation of the parity measurement outcomes occurs only if the prepared state is the ECS.

Two measurement sets of pseudo Pauli operators on CV qubits can verify the state of $|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}$ in two cavities.

**Single CV-qubit gate on ECSs.** A set of one- and two-qubit gates are essential gates for universal quantum computing. As explained in the hybrid teleportation scheme, the final state before classical feed-forward is indeed a excellent candidate for a single CV-qubit operation (see below in Eq. (10)) although it destroys the ECS. We here present a different and deterministic scheme of a pseudo Pauli-$x$ gate $\sigma^x$ on a CV-qubit of the ECS in the 2C3Q architecture. The key idea is based on a non-destructive syndrome measurement by entangling and measuring outer ancillary qubits. After the series of performing $\sigma^x$, two CV-qubit entangled states are altered without destroying the CV-qubits (e.g., $|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC} \rightarrow |\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}$). Alternatively, it is useful for robust CV quantum information processing in circuit-QED because a single-qubit error in entangled CV qubits can be monitored by entangling and measuring outer ancillary qubits.

Since the verification scheme of ECSs guarantees the good quality of a ECS preparation, CV quantum information processing is indeed viable in superconducting circuits. In DV qubits, a Pauli-$x$ gate is known as the quantum NOT gate performing an operation between $a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle \leftrightarrow b|0\rangle + a|1\rangle$ and it is equivalent to the operation $a|\alpha\rangle + b|-\alpha\rangle \leftrightarrow b|\alpha\rangle + a|-\alpha\rangle$. The CV scheme is a repeat-untill-success-type single-qubit CV gate performed by repetition of entangling and measuring outer superconducting qubits within decoherence time. This protocol is similar to the above verification scheme because both rely on the stabilizer formalism given in Eq. (22). The key difference from the verification is that it uses the quantum nondemolition measurement where ancillary (outer) qubits are additionally entangled with the ECS. The outer qubits provide information about the CV qubits without destroying the ECSs. Thus, this scheme can be in general applicable for the $\sigma^x$ operation in multipartite CV entangled states. For realising a syndrome detection without a CV state collapse we could create a four-partite hybrid entangled state between the outer superconducting qubits (A, X) and the ECS in B and C, see the Figs 2(a) and 5. There, the parity of the outer superconducting qubits is detected in the measurement set of $\{|g\rangle, |e\rangle\}$. As the schematic protocol is depicted for building a specific four-qubit entangled state in Fig. 5, (a) we begin with four separable states in two superconducting qubits and two cavities such as $|\langle g|A\rangle|g\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle_C$. (b) The method of creating the ECS is performed by Fig. 3 and the state is prepared in $|\langle g|A\rangle|g\rangle|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}$. Note that we omit the superconducting qubit $M$ between two cavities here because the qubit is far-detuned from cavity frequency and does not participate in the operations after creating the ECS. (c) A Hadamard operation is performed on the superconducting qubits resulting in $|\langle +|A\rangle|+\rangle|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC} (\text{e.g.,} |+\rangle = (|g\rangle + |e\rangle)/\sqrt{2})$. (d) After the entangling operation of $\text{CX}_{AB} \rightarrow \text{CX}_{AB}$, the four-partite entangled state is equal to

$$|\Psi^{\text{four}}_{AXBC}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\Phi^+\rangle_{AX}|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC} + |\Psi^+\rangle_{AX}|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}).$$

(14)

This state is known as a four-qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state in two superconducting qubits and two cavity states because the state in Eq. (14) is rewritten by

$$|\Psi^{\text{four}}_{AXBC}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle_{AX}|\text{SCS}_{A}^{+}\rangle_{B}|\text{SCS}_{B}^{+}\rangle_{C} + |\rangle_{AX}|\text{SCS}_{A}^{-}\rangle_{B}|\text{SCS}_{B}^{-}\rangle_{C}).$$

(15)

Thus, the measurement outcomes of qubits $A$ and $X$ in $|\langle g|, |e\rangle\}$ determine the two-cavity state in $|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}$. or $|\text{ECS}_{B}^{\Phi^+}\rangle_{BC}$ in Eq. (14) while the measurements in $|+\rangle, |-\rangle$ brings a product state of two SCSs in modes $B$ and $C$ in Eq. (15).
For the repeat-until-success protocol, if the measurement outcomes are |g⟩ (or |e⟩) in both superconducting qubits, the two-cavity state is still kept in α Φ+ ECS BC while the cavity state is successfully collapsed into the desired state of α Ψ+ ECS BC with different measurement outcomes in modes A and X. Thus, the former outcome becomes the state depicted in Fig. 5(b) and two entangling gates are performed again between A and B as well as C and X within coherence time in Fig. 5(c,d). Finally, one of the cavity states is conditionally flipped between |α⟩ and |−α⟩ on α Φ+ ECS BC.

**Conclusion**

We propose a teleportation scheme from a superconducting DV qubit to a microwave CV qubit in superconducting circuits. The proposed architecture of two cavities and three superconducting qubits is currently within reach with realistic parameters in the state-of-the-art platform of circuit-QED. The unknown state in a superconducting qubit is teleported via the ECS created between two cavities. The hybrid Bell measurement encodes the quantum information in the unknown qubit into a CV qubit in a cavity state. The teleportation fidelity in the hybrid scheme can confirm that the ECS channel is a nonclassical resource with respect to the size of α. The same architecture is also beneficial for other CV quantum information processing for the schemes of verification and error-correction in the ECS channel. Finally, by using two different superconducting qubits, we present a way of mitigating the adverse effect the Kerr distortion and opening the way to the possible realisation of complex teleportation-based protocols.

Toward hybrid measurement-based quantum computing in circuit-QED, the capability of building a two CV-qubit gate between two cavities might be of essence in addition to single-qubit gates in superconducting circuits. For example, linear four-qubit hybrid cluster states will give a strength of one- and two-qubit gates which has been investigated in photonic measurement-based quantum computation. This σz gate could be viable by performing a photon-shifting gate ˆE proposed in based on the setup in Fig. 2(a). In details, since \( \hat{E} [\hat{N}_a(a|α) + b|−α)] \approx \hat{E} [(a + b)\hat{S}^{x^+}_a + (a - b)\hat{S}^{x^-}_a] \), \( \hat{E} \) is equivalent to \( \hat{σ}^z \) because \( \hat{E} [\hat{S}^{x^+}_a] = [\hat{S}^{x^+}_a] ± |α] \approx (|SCS^+_a] ± |SCS^-_a]) / \sqrt{2} \) for large \( |α| \). A two-CV-qubit gate might be also feasible through measuring DV superconducting qubits opening the way towards universal quantum computing. To overcome errors in both superconducting and cavity qubits, we may need to build logical hybrid qubits with logical cluster states or to entangle higher-dimensional CV-qudits with superconducting qudits. For creating multi-partite ECSs, cross-Kerr interaction could be used in the multiple-cavity architecture joined by mediating qubits in order to keep the capability of CV-qubit operations in a dispersive regime.

**Methods**

**Hamiltonian for the 2C3Q architecture and reduction of the Kerr-effect.** To demonstrate the principle of the reducing method in superconducting circuits, we examine a half of the 2C3Q system in Fig. 2(a) due
to its symmetry of the full architecture and a cavity is sandwiched between a fluxonium and a transmon given by the Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H}^{\text{FCT}} = \hat{H}_f + \hat{H}_T + \hat{H}_C + \hat{H}_{FC} + \hat{H}_{CT} = \sum_{j,S} \omega_j^{\text{i}} |j\rangle \langle j| + \omega_{\alpha} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \sum_{\beta,S} \lambda_{\beta}^{\text{i}} \{ |k\rangle \langle k| + |j\rangle \langle j| \} (0\rangle \langle \alpha| + |\alpha\rangle \langle 0|).
\]

(16)

for S=F, T and j<k (h=1). The pseudo-photonic eigenstates and eigenvalues given by transmon and fluxonium ground states with 0, 1, and 2 photons in the cavity mode can be calculated given by j, k = 0, 1, 2. For example, we obtain K ≈ −66.7 kHz in a system of a transmon and a cavity with the absence of a fluxonium (ωf = λ0 = 0) while K ≈ 170.8 kHz is given by the system of a fluxonium and cavity with ωf = λ0 = 0. If we include both superconducting qubits with the cavity commonly connected, the self-Kerr effect K can be reduced. Therefore, if |Φext⟩ = 0.141, the self-Kerr effect reduces K ≈ 1.64 kHz (from −66.7 kHz without fluxonium) and the architecture of the fluxonium-cavity-transmon can tune the self-Kerr effect in a cavity by design in circuit-QED systems.

For demonstration of the self-Kerr effect in Fig. 2(b), we set up realistic parameters such that the cavity frequency as ωc = 9.2 GHz and the transmon energy levels ωc are given by E1 = 38 GHz and E2 = 0.25 GHz (see details in ref. 78 and 79) while the fluxonium parameters are E1F = 0.5 GHz, E2F = 8.5 GHz and E3F = 3.0 GHz75–77. For example, if |Φext⟩ = 0.141, λ0F ≈ 0.038 GHz, λ1F ≈ 0.054 GHz, and λ2F ≈ 0.122 GHz75–77 while λ0T = 0.10 GHz, λ1T ≈ 0.141 GHz, and λ2T = 0.

### DV quantum and classical teleportation.

Let us first briefly describe quantum teleportation in DV qubits. An unknown qubit state is given in |ψ⟩_A = cos θ |0⟩_A + e^{iα} sin θ |1⟩_A and Alice and Bob have already shared one of the Bell states in modes B and C such as |Φ^±⟩ = (|00⟩ ± |11⟩)/√2 and |Ψ−⟩ = (|01⟩ ± |10⟩)/√2 and we assume that the total state is initially prepared with |Ψ^±⟩_{BC} given by

\[
|Ψ^±⟩_{ABC} = |ψ⟩_A |Ψ^±⟩_{BC} = \frac{1}{2} \{ |ψ⟩_A ⊗ |ψ⟩_B ⊗ |ψ⟩_C |ψ⟩_A ⊗ |ψ⟩_B ⊗ |ψ⟩_C |ψ⟩_A ⊗ |ψ⟩_B ⊗ |ψ⟩_C \}.
\]

(17)

This mathematical representation implies what are the teleported state dependent on the measurement outcomes of the BSM. After Alice performs a BSM in M_{AB} = (|Φ^±⟩_A ⊗ |Ψ^±⟩_B) |Ψ^±⟩_C, she announces measurement outcomes to Bob to reconstruct the unknown state by applying one of four single-qubit operations (I, σ^x, σ^y, σ^z).

A maximally correlated classical state can be also used for a teleportation channel given by

\[
ρ_{BC}^{\text{mix}} = \frac{1}{2} \{ |0⟩_B ⊗ |0⟩_C + |1⟩_B ⊗ |0⟩_C + |0⟩_B ⊗ |1⟩_C + |1⟩_B ⊗ |1⟩_C \}.
\]

(18)

The BSM is performed as M_{AB} and the fidelity of the DV teleportation with the unknown state |ψ⟩_A (φ = 0) is represented by F^CI = cos^2(θ/2) + sin^2(θ/2), which is approximately equivalent to the classical CV teleportation (see the orange solid line in Fig. 4(a)). The details of DV classical teleportation for fidelity is described in86.

### Fidelity of hybrid classical teleportation.

A classical CV channel is given by

\[
ρ_{BC}^{\text{mix,α}} = \frac{1}{2} \{ |e⟩_A ⊗ |e⟩_C + |e⟩_A ⊗ |−e⟩_C + |e⟩_A ⊗ |−e⟩_C + |−e⟩_A ⊗ |e⟩_C \}.
\]

(19)

which can be understood as the state suffering a decoherence from the ideal ECS channel. We now perform a joint measurement between the unknown DV qubit in A and the CV qubit in B. Thus, the fidelity between |Ψ^{\text{fin}}⟩_C and a classically teleported state ρ^{\text{Cl}}_C through ρ^{\text{mix,α}}_BC is given by

\[
F^\text{CI} = \frac{\langle Ψ^{\text{fin}}| \rho^\text{Cl}_C |Ψ^{\text{fin}}\rangle}{\langle Ψ^{\text{fin}}| \rho^\text{mix,α}_BC |Ψ^{\text{fin}}\rangle}.
\]

(20)

We here examine the fidelity characteristics with φ = 0 and the outcome of |g⟩_B |α⟩_C. For example, the classically teleported state has lost the coherence of the unknown state and is given by

\[
\rho^\text{Cl}_C = M(f_+, |e⟩_C |α⟩ + f_- |−e⟩_C |−α⟩),
\]

(21)

where f_+ = cos^2 θ + sin^2 θ e^{-2iα} and f_- = cos^2 θ e^{-4iα} + sin^2 θ, and f_+ = cos^2 θ e^{-4iα} + sin^2 θ and f_- = cos^2 θ e^{-2iα} + sin^2 θ. Note that ρ^{\text{Cl}}_C ≈ 0.141 for α ≈ 1. F^\text{CI} is approximately equal to cos^2 θ + sin^2 θ for large α, which is also obtained by DV classical teleportation with |ψ⟩_A and ρ^{\text{mix,α}}_BC. In contrast to DV- and CV-only teleportations, the fidelities decrease not only with the decoherence of the ECS channel but also with the size of α in the coherent-state representation. For example, for small α, |ECS^Φ_β⟩_{BC} tends to behave similar to two vacuum states but still maintains the superposition between |α⟩ |α⟩ and |−α⟩ |−α⟩.
Syndrome measurement and stabilizer formalism for DV qubits. To verify a Bell state \( |\Phi^+\rangle = (|0\rangle_B |1\rangle_C + |1\rangle_B |0\rangle_C)/\sqrt{2} \), one only needs to obtain the expectation values of \( \sigma_x^B \sigma_x^C \) and \( \sigma_y^B \sigma_y^C \) on \( |\Phi^+\rangle \) because \( |\Phi^+\rangle \) is the only state which always provides \(+1\) eigenvalue for the two sets of Pauli operators given by

\[
\{ \Phi^+ \mid (\sigma_x^B \sigma_x^C) \} |\Phi^+\rangle = \{ \Phi^+ \mid (\sigma_y^B \sigma_y^C) \} |\Phi^+\rangle = 1.
\]

(22)

The analogue of pseudo single-qubit gate in Results section begins with \( |\Phi^+\rangle_{BC} \) and \( |\pm\rangle = |\pm\rangle_B \) and we want to obtain a \( \sigma^x \)-operated \( |\Phi^+\rangle_{BC} \) without destroying qubits \( B \) and \( C \), which is equivalent to \( |\Phi^+\rangle_{BC} = (|1\rangle_B + |0\rangle_B)/\sqrt{2} \). After two-qubit gates (i.e., CNOT gate) are preformed between \( A \) and \( B \) as well as \( C \) and \( X \), a four-qubit GHZ state \( + \rangle_{ABX} - - \rangle_{ABX} + \rangle_{ABX} - - \rangle_{ABX}/\sqrt{2} \) is created as similar to \( |\Psi^\text{tot}_{ABX}\rangle \) in Eq. (14). If two single qubits are measured in \( M_q = \{ |0\rangle_q |1\rangle_q |1\rangle_q \} \) for \( q = A, X \), the final state is either \( |\Phi^+\rangle_{BC} \) with the same outcomes or \( |\Psi^+\rangle_{BC} \) with different outcomes in \( A \) and \( X \).
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