An automated spring-loaded needle for endoscopic ultrasound-guided abdominal paracentesis in cancer patients
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Abstract

AIM: To evaluate the feasibility of using an automated spring-loaded needle device for endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided abdominal paracentesis (EUS-P) to see if this would make it easier to puncture the mobile and lax gastric wall for EUS-P.

METHODS: The EUS database and electronic medical records at Fukushima Medical University Hospital were searched from January 2001 to April 2011. Patients with a history of cancer and who underwent EUS-P using an automated spring-loaded needle device with a 22-gauge puncture needle were included. The needle was passed through the instrument channel and advanced through the gastrointestinal wall under EUS guidance into the echo-free space in the abdominal cavity and ascitic fluid was collected. The confirmed diagnosis of malignant ascites included positive cytology and results from careful clinical observation for at least 6 mo in patients with negative cytology. The technical success rate, cytology results and complications were evaluated.

RESULTS: We found 11 patients who underwent EUS-P with an automated spring-loaded needle device. In 4 cases, ascites was revealed only with EUS but not in other imaging modalities. EUS-P was done in 7 other cases because there was minimal ascitic fluid and no safe window for percutaneous abdominal aspiration. Ascitic fluid was obtained in all cases by EUS-P. The average amount aspirated was 14.1 mL (range 0.5-38 mL) and that was sent for cytological exam. The etiology of ascitic fluid was benign in 5 patients and malignant in 6. In all cases, ascitic fluid was obtained with the first needle pass. No procedure-related adverse effects occurred.

CONCLUSION: EUS-P with an automated spring-loaded needle device is a feasible and safe method for...
ascites evaluation.
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Core tip: Even in patients with a minute amount of ascitic fluid, an automated spring-loaded needle device enabled us to perform endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided abdominal paracentesis (EUS-P) readily, which has the potential to play an important role for staging of cancer since the establishment of malignant ascites denotes a more advanced stage of cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

The existence of malignant ascites in cancer patients indicates a dismal prognosis[1-9]. Therefore, the etiology of ascitic fluid in cancer patients needs careful evaluation. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) can detect a minute or minimal amount of ascitic fluid that may be undetectable in other imaging modalities, including abdominal ultrasound (US), computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)[10-15]. Moreover, EUS-guided abdominal paracentesis (EUS-P) has the potential to play an important role for staging of cancer since the establishment of malignant ascites denotes a more advanced stage of cancer[16-20]. Although EUS-P is a useful technique at times, we encountered technical difficulties during EUS-P, probably due to less counteracting force from extramural objects and a lax gastrointestinal wall.

An automated spring-loaded needle device which was designed to function analogously to spring-loaded biopsy needles used for percutaneous tissue sampling with high puncture speed was developed by Binmoeller et al.[21,22] for cases in which penetration during EUS-FNA is difficult[23,24].

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the feasibility of using an automated spring-loaded needle device for EUS-P to see if this would make it easier to puncture the mobile and lax gastric wall for EUS-P.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The EUS database and electronic records at Fukushima Medical University were searched from January 2001 to April 2011 for patients with a history of cancer and for whom EUS-P was performed using an automated spring-loaded needle device. Before EUS-P, written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review committee.

Materials and technique

EUS-P was performed using a curved linear-array echoendoscope (GF-UCT240-AL5 or GF-UC240P-AL5; Olympus Medical Systems Corp., Tokyo, Japan) in conjunction with SSD-5500 (Aloka Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), or using a FG-36UX (Pentax Corp., Tokyo, Japan) in conjunction with EUB-6000 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The needle device in all patients was an automated spring-loaded powershot needle (NA-11J-KB; Olympus Medical Systems Corp., Tokyo, Japan) with a 22-gauge puncture needle (Figure 1). In patients in whom EUS-FNA was performed for other lesions, EUS-P was initially performed to prevent potential seeding or dissemination and needles were changed to prevent contamination after this procedure.

Ascitic fluid was defined as the presence of extraluminal free fluid (anechoic space on EUS), as viewed from the stomach or duodenum. The needle was passed through the instrument channel and advanced through the gastrointestinal (GI) wall under EUS guidance into the echo-free space in the abdominal cavity (Figure 2). In patients with GI lesions, puncture points were determined carefully to avoid tissue contamination from primary lesions. After being guided into the target lesion, the stylet was removed. The needle was retracted to maintain its position within the fluid and avoid sucking up against adjacent bowel and/or omentum while aspirating. Subsequently, the suction syringe was released and the needle was withdrawn into the catheter. It was then removed completely. Aspirated ascitic fluid was sprayed on the glass slide or in the tube and submitted for pathological examination.

The cytological criteria used for reporting EUS-P...
results were based on the guidelines of the Papanicolaou Society of Cytopathology for fine needle aspiration and reporting. We regarded Class I - II as benign, Class III as atypical/indeterminate, and Class IV/V as malignant. 

The confirmed diagnosis of malignant ascites included positive cytology and results from careful clinical observation for at least 6 mo in patients with negative cytology.

RESULTS

Eleven patients (7 males and 4 females) were enrolled. The average age of patients was 66.4 years (range 50-78 years). Primary malignancy was pancreatic adenocarcinoma in 6 patients, cholangiocarcinoma in 2 patients, and breast cancer, gastric cancer and malignant lymphoma in 1 patient, respectively. Six patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma underwent EUS-FNA for primary lesions at the same time of EUS-P. In 4 others with synchronous malignancy, primary lesions were diagnosed with other modalities (e.g., endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, endoscopy). In one patient with a history of breast cancer 5 years prior to EUS-P, concomitant malignancy was not detected. The clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Diagnostic value

Ascitic fluid was obtained in all patients. The average amount was 14.1 mL (range 0.5-38 mL). The etiology of ascitic fluid was benign in 5 patients and malignant in 6. Among these 11 patients, ascitic fluid was revealed with US or CT in 63.6% (7 out of 11 patients) but there was no appropriate percutaneous route for image-guided abdominal paracentesis. By contrast, in 4 other patients (36.4%), ascites was detected with only EUS. EUS-FNA was performed for pancreatic mass lesions in all these patients and ascites was an incidental finding. The average amount of ascites obtained with EUS-P was only 2.6 mL (range 0.5-5 mL) in these patients. No complications occurred in any of these procedures.

DISCUSSION

Our results show that EUS-P with an automated spring-loaded needle device can be a useful technique to obtain a minute amount of ascitic fluid in cancer patients. Furthermore, EUS showed its ability to detect a scant amount of ascitic fluid which US and CT could not detect in 4 patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. In these patients, the amount of aspirated fluid was only 2.6 mL on average. Two of them were diagnosed as malignant and this result changed their management.

Regarding the technical aspects, it is sometimes difficult to penetrate the mobile and lax gastrointestinal wall with a standard EUS-FNA needle. It may be a greater problem in patients who require EUS-P because there is less counteracting force from extramural objects. To solve this problem, we aimed to evaluate the feasibility of using an automated spring-loaded needle device with high puncture speed for EUS-P and to show its high technical success rate to obtain a minimum amount of ascitic fluid. Limitations of our study were that it was retrospective and had a small number of cases. Additionally, with the lack of a control group with a standard EUS-FNA needle, we were unable to conclude which was the better technique.
optimal type of needle for EUS-P.

Otherwise, based on our experience, we conclude that both EUS and EUS-P are useful in the management of cancer patients with gastrointestinal or other malignancies to detect and aspirate minute/minimal amounts of ascites that may not be visible by other imaging modalities or when percutaneous aspiration may not be feasible due to minimal fluid and lack of a suitable path. The automated spring-loaded needle device may provide a technical advantage, especially in cases that are difficult to penetrate the lax and mobile gastrointestinal wall.
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COMMENTS

Background

The etiology of ascitic fluid in cancer patients needs careful evaluation. Since the authors sometimes have difficulties in the detection and sampling of small amounts of ascitic fluid, the ability of endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and EUS-guided abdominal paracentesis (EUS-P) has the potential to play an important role for staging of cancer. Although EUS-P is a useful technique at times, the authors encountered technical difficulties during EUS-P, probably due to less counteracting force from extramural objects and a lax gastrointestinal wall.

Research frontiers

The importance of EUS-P for cancer staging has not been well recognized yet. Regarding the technical aspects, it is sometimes difficult to penetrate the mobile and lax gastrointestinal wall with a standard EUS-FNA needle. It may be a greater problem in patients who require EUS-P because there is less counteracting force from extramural objects.

Innovations and breakthroughs

The authors aimed to evaluate the feasibility of using an automated spring-loaded needle device with high puncture speed for EUS-P and to show its high technical success rate to obtain minimum amount of ascitic fluid.

Applications

This method can be useful in every patient with ascites who requires cancer staging to determine their treatment strategy.

Terminology

EUS: a medical procedure in which endoscopy is combined with ultrasound to obtain images of the internal organs in the chest and abdomen. Paracentesis: a form of body fluid sampling procedure in which the peritoneal cavity is punctured by a needle to sample peritoneal fluid.

Peer review

The results show that EUS-P with an automated spring-loaded needle device can be a useful technique to obtain a minute amount of ascitic fluid in cancer patients. The article is interesting, unique and worthy of publication.
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