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ABSTRACT
Cognitive-behavioural conjoint therapy (CBCT) for PTSD has been shown to improve PTSD, relationship adjustment, and the health and well-being of partners. MDMA (3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine) has been used to facilitate an individual therapy for PTSD. This study was an initial test of the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of MDMA-facilitated CBCT. Six couples with varying levels of baseline relationship satisfaction in which one partner was diagnosed with PTSD participated in a condensed version of the 15-session CBCT protocol delivered over 7 weeks. There were two sessions in which both members of the couple were administered MDMA. All couples completed the treatment protocol, and there were no serious adverse events in either partner. There were significant improvements in clinician-assessed, patient-rated, and partner-rated PTSD symptoms (pre- to post-treatment/follow-up effect sizes ranged from \( d = 1.85-3.59 \)), as well as patient depression, sleep, emotion regulation, and trauma-related beliefs. In addition, there were significant improvements in patient and partner-rated relationship adjustment and happiness (\( d = 0.64-2.79 \)). These results are contextualized in relation to prior results from individual MDMA-facilitated psychotherapy and CBCT for PTSD alone. MDMA holds promise as a facilitator of CBCT to achieve more robust and broad effects on individual and relational functioning in those with PTSD and their partners.
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HIGHLIGHTS
• MDMA was combined with cognitive-behavioural conjoint therapy for PTSD in six couples revealing significant improvements in PTSD, depression, sleep, emotion regulation, trauma beliefs, and relationship satisfaction.
• Controlled studies are planned based on these promising results.

创伤后应激障碍的MDMA辅助认知行为疗法：不受控制的试验

所有夫妇均完成了治疗方案，且未发生严重不良事件。临床医生评估结果显示显著改善（\( d = 1.85-3.59 \)) 及患者抑郁、睡眠、情绪调节以及创伤相关信念均有了显著改善。此外，患者和伴侣之间的关系调整和幸福感得到了显著改善（\( d = 0.64-2.79 \)）。这些结果与个体MDMA辅助的心理治疗和仅针对PTSD的CBCT的先前结果相关。MDMA有助于CBCT的辅助因素，对PTSD患者及其伴侣的个人和关系功能产生更稳健、更广泛的影响。
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Cognitive-behavioural conjoint therapy for PTSD (CBCT; Monson & Fredman, 2012) is a manualized psychotherapy shown to improve posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and comorbid conditions, enhance relationship functioning, and improve intimate partner well-being (Liebman, Whitfield, Sijercic, Ennis, & Monson, in press, for review). MDMA’s (3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine) empathogenic and neurocognitive properties make it a promising facilitator of this trauma-focused, empirically supported relational therapy (e.g. Feduccia & Mithoefer, 2018). Previous studies using MDMA with individual psychotherapy have yielded significant improvements in PTSD symptoms, and other outcomes such as increased openness to experience, posttraumatic growth, and improvement in comorbid conditions (Gorman et al., 2020; Mithoefer et al., 2019, 2018; Wagner et al., 2017). MDMA had not yet been tested alongside a stand-alone, empirically-supported psychotherapy for PTSD. We sought to fill this gap by using MDMA to potentially facilitate the effects of CBCT for PTSD.

CBCT for PTSD (Monson & Fredman, 2012) has been tested in community and military/veteran samples in uncontrolled and controlled trials. These trials have revealed significant improvements in clinician- and patient-rated PTSD severity, comorbid conditions, and relationship improvements (see Liebman et al., in press for review). Compared with individual therapies for PTSD, CBCT for PTSD focuses on the relationship between the participants as the treatment target and engages participants in developing their skills as a dyad, both in terms of communication as well as reduction of avoidance and challenging of beliefs. MDMA’s empathogenic qualities are posited to be a natural fit with the purported mechanisms of CBCT for PTSD in that MDMA can support the dyadic process in psychotherapy through enhancing feelings of connection and greater ease in communicating. We therefore conducted an initial test of the safety, feasibility and efficacy of MDMA-facilitated CBCT in an uncontrolled trial of six couples with a range of baseline relationship satisfaction in which one member was diagnosed with PTSD resulting from a range of traumas. We hypothesized that MDMA-facilitated CBCT would be safe, feasible, and result in significant and sustained improvements in PTSD, its common comorbidities, and relationship adjustment and happiness.

1. Method

Relevant institutional review and research ethics boards, as well as the US Food and Drug Administration, reviewed and approved the conduct of the trial.

1.1. Participants

Inclusion in the study required that one partner has a current PTSD diagnosis according to the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (CAPS-5; Weathers et al., 2013a), with a duration of at least 6 months (referred to as ‘Patient’ for simplicity). In addition, all individuals had to be at least 18 years old; generally healthy per physical examination and medical history; proficient in speaking and reading English; willing to refrain from taking any psychiatric medications during the study period; willing to follow restrictions and guidelines concerning consumption of food, beverages, and nicotine the night before and just prior to each MDMA session; practising birth control if able to bear children; willing to consent to videorecording of assessment and treatment sessions; and willing to refrain from participating in any other interventional clinical trials for the duration of this study. Exclusion criteria for all individuals were acute psychosis, acute mania, substance use disorder, pregnancy or nursing (in women), or weighing less than 48 kg.

Average age of all participants was about 47 years, all were Caucasian, and all were heterosexual couples. Regarding patient participants, four were male, five had a history of multiple traumatic events (index traumas for PTSD diagnosis included childhood physical abuse, childhood sexual abuse, and combat), and all had comorbid diagnoses and prior pharmacological and psychosocial treatment. All patients had a history of psychotherapy; 60% had previously received trauma-focused therapy and 40% had received dialectical behaviour therapy and cognitive-behavioural therapy (not trauma-focused). In terms of partners, 50% were diagnosed with at least one mental health condition (not PTSD per inclusion/exclusion criteria) according to clinician assessment. At baseline, two patients were relationally distressed and three partners were relationally distressed according to cut points on the Couples Satisfaction Index (Funk & Rogge, 2007; see Table 1).
Table 1. Demographic characteristics for patients and partners.

|                     | Patient M | Patient SD | Partner M | Partner SD |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Age                 | 47.1      | 12.5       | 46.6      | 11.2       |
| %                   |           | n          |           | n          |
| Male                | 60        | 4          | 33.3      | 2          |
| Caucasian           | 100       | 6          | 100       | 6          |
| Multiple Traumas    | 83.3      | 5          | 0         | 0          |
| Index Trauma        |           |            |           |            |
| Childhood Physical Abuse/Neglect | 33.3 | 2          |           |            |
| Childhood Sexual Abuse | 50     | 3          |           |            |
| Adult Combat        | 16.7      | 1          |           |            |
| Baseline Relationally Distressed* | 33.3 | 2          | 50        | 3          |
| SCID-5 Comorbid Diagnoses |       |            |           |            |
| Depressive Disorder | 100       | 6          | 60        | 4          |
| Anxiety Disorder    | 83.3      | 5          | 60        | 4          |
| Substance Use Disorder | 50       | 3          | 16.7      | 1          |
| Anorexia Nervosa    | 16.7      | 1          | 16.7      | 1          |
| History of Psychotherapy | 100     | 6          | 83.3      | 5          |
| Current Psychotherapy | 50       | 3          | 0         | 0          |

SCID-5 = Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5. *Based on total score on Couples Satisfaction Index < 104.5.

1.2. Treatment

Participants received all of the session content comprising CBCT; MDMA sessions were timed to synergize with the CBCT interventions (e.g. following the development of communication skills, and in the midst of cognitive processing; see MAPS, 2016; Wagner, Mitrohoefer, Mitrohoefer, & Monson, 2019 for protocol specifics). CBCT for PTSD includes content related to psychoeducation about trauma and relationships, increasing relational safety, communication skills, tools for behavioural approach, and dyadic cognitive intervention related to problematic trauma-related and relationship cognitions. The first three sessions of CBCT were delivered in person the day before the first MDMA session (4 hours), and sessions 4 and 5 focused on feelings and thoughts (1 hour) were delivered in person the morning before MDMA administration (6–8 hours). An integration session (1.5 hours) took place the morning after the MDMA session. Following this intensive weekend of treatment, sessions 6 through 9 of CBCT were delivered biweekly via secure video over the next 2.5 weeks (1.25 hours each). The second intensive weekend consisted of sessions 10 and 11 focused on appraising blame and trust (2 hours) delivered in person the day before the second MDMA session, the second MDMA session (6–8 hours), and an integration session (1.5 hours) the following day. The final four sessions of CBCT (sessions 12 to 15) were conducted weekly over video (1.25 hours each). The entire duration of treatment was 7 weeks.

Each partner was given 75 mg MDMA in the first MDMA session, and 100 mg in the second MDMA session, with an optional supplemental half-dose 1.5 hours later in both sessions (participants were informed that the supplemental half-doses could prolong the therapeutic window of MDMA effects). Co-therapists with expertise in MDMA and CBCT, respectively, delivered the therapy sessions. Adverse events were monitored from study enrolment to treatment completion, and at 3-month and six-month follow-up. Spontaneously reported experiences and specific adverse effects were monitored on the day of each experimental session and subsequent contact days with a standardized list used in MDMA studies (MAPS, 2016).

1.3. Assessment

Each member of the couple was scheduled for assessment at pre-treatment, mid-treatment, post-treatment, and 3- and 6-month follow-ups. In addition, participants completed assessments of self- and partner-reported PTSD symptoms and overall relationship happiness at those assessment points, as well as at each treatment occasion. Well-validated measures of the outcomes were used, and independent assessors trained to reliability completed the clinician interviews. There was no missing clinician interview for PTSD data. Across all the other self-report outcome measures and occasions, the overall assessment completion rate was 86%.

Participants were assessed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (SCID-5; First, Williams, Karg, & Spitzer, 2015) at baseline to determine eligibility and characterize the sample. The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale-5 (CAPS-5; Weathers et al., 2013a) was used as the clinician-rated primary outcome measure of PTSD symptoms, and the PTSD Checklist (PCL-5, patient and partner versions; Weathers et al., 2013b) and Couples Satisfaction Index (CSI; Funk & Rogge, 2007) were used as self-report primary outcomes. The overall relationship happiness item from the CSI was also completed at each visit.

Secondary outcome measures included self-report measures of the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II; Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996) for depression, the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Questionnaire for sleep disturbances (Buysse, Reynolds, Monk, Berman, & Kupfer, 1989), the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (Gross & John, 2003) for emotion regulation strategies related to reappraisal and suppression, and the Traumatic and Attachment Beliefs Scale for trauma-related beliefs (Pearlman, 2003).

1.4. Analyses

Analyses were conducted in SPSS Version 26 (2018). Growth curve models were used to analyse outcomes at each assessment, with time transformed to be the natural log function of the number of days since baseline. Due to the small sample size, slopes were fixed and intercepts were allowed to vary to account for different starting values in each outcome. In accordance with recommended
guidelines (Feingold, 2009), within-group effect sizes (Cohen’s $d$) from pre-treatment to each major assessment time point were calculated on estimated means from the models for each outcome and raw pooled standard deviations for the relevant assessment period.

2. Results

All couples completed the protocol, and there were no serious adverse events. As documented in the supplementary tables, the most common reactions following MDMA sessions in patients and partners were diminished appetite, anxiety, headache, and jaw tightness.

2.1. PTSD and patient comorbid outcomes

Growth curve modelling revealed significant and sustained improvements in clinician-assessed PTSD ($B = -4.64, p < .001$), with $d = 1.88–2.25$ at post-treatment and follow-ups (see Table 3). All but one patient showed a sustained remission in their clinician-assessed PTSD diagnosis. Self- ($B = -8.14, p < .001$) and partner-rated ($B = -5.90, p < .001$) PTSD symptoms significantly improved, with $d = 2.72–3.59$ for patients, and 1.85–2.72 for partners at post-treatment and follow-ups, respectively. Figure 1 includes actual means across visits and follow-ups, and in relation to the assessments done after each MDMA session.

Growth curve models also revealed overall improvements in patients’ depression ($B = -4.24, d = 1.50–2.53$), sleep ($B = -.81, p < .01, d = .88–1.18$), emotion regulation (reappraisal $B = 1.38, p < .01, d = 1.09–1.15$; suppression $B = -1.12, p < .01, d = 1.12–1.20$), and overall trauma-related beliefs ($B = -12.01, p < .01, d = .98–1.17$) at post-treatment and follow-ups (see Table 2).

2.2. Intimate relationship outcomes

Growth curves revealed significant improvements in overall patient and partner relationship satisfaction ($B = 4.55, p < .05, d = .82–1.22$ for patients and $B = 5.73, p < .05, d = .64–.80$ for partners). Both patients who were relationally distressed at baseline were in the satisfied range at posttreatment and follow-ups. One patient who was relationally satisfied at baseline was relationally dissatisfied at posttreatment and follow-ups (this patient also retained their PTSD

Figure 1. Patient- and partner-rated actual mean PTSD symptoms and relationship happiness across treatment and follow-ups.

| Table 2. Estimated means and standard deviations at each assessment point. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Pretreatment M (SD) | Mid-Treatment M (SD) | Post-Treatment M (SD) | 3-Month Follow-up M (SD) | 6-Month Follow-up M (SD) |
| Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale-5 | 41.42 (5.76) | NA | 19.37 (13.71) | 17.43 (17.14) | 15.52 (15.22) |
| Patient PTSD Checklist-5 | 62.64 (6.35) | 30.64 (21.07) | 23.96 (19.08) | 20.56 (18.15) | 17.20 (16.76) |
| Partner-rated PTSD Checklist-5 | 49.58 (8.52) | 26.38 (19.37) | 21.54 (19.67) | 19.08 (16.18) | 16.64 (14.84) |
| Patient Beck Depression Inventory-II | 32.91 (8.75) | 16.23 (17.56) | 12.75 (16.88) | 10.98 (12.99) | 9.23 (9.93) |
| Pittsburgh Sleep Questionnaire | 14.24 (3.54) | 11.04 (3.99) | 10.37 (4.49) | 10.03 (5.78) | 9.69 (4.13) |
| Emotion Regulation Questionnaire – Reappraisal Subscale | 21.77 (7.92) | 27.21 (5.68) | 28.34 (2.30) | 28.92 (4.83) | 29.49 (5.24) |
| Emotion Regulation Questionnaire – Suppression Subscale | 18.96 (6.28) | 14.55 (3.14) | 13.63 (5.41) | 13.17 (3.79) | 12.70 (3.82) |
| Traumatic and Attachment Beliefs Scale | 289.78 (44.30) | 242.56 (39.79) | 232.70 (69.07) | 227.69 (60.61) | 222.73 (68.04) |
| Patient Couples Satisfaction Index | 105.37 (13.39) | 123.26 (13.44) | 127.00 (34.34) | 128.90 (26.86) | 130.78 (26.30) |
| Partner Couples Satisfaction Index | 98.10 (30.81) | 120.63 (36.69) | 125.34 (30.59) | 127.73 (37.89) | 130.10 (24.42) |
| Patient Relationship Happiness | 2.09 (.58) | 3.46 (.98) | 3.75 (1.47) | 3.90 (1.30) | 4.04 (.75) |
| Partner Relationship Happiness | 1.96 (1.53) | 3.39 (.98) | 3.42 (1.32) | 3.98 (1.00) | 4.14 (.82) |

Means are least-squares means estimated from growth curve models. Standard deviations are calculated from actual data. NA = Not assessed.
diagnosis). Of the three partners who were relationally distressed at baseline, only 1 was relationally distressed at posttreatment and follow-ups (partner of the patient with retained PTSD). Growth curve modelling of the relationship happiness item across treatment and follow-ups also revealed significant improvements for both patients (B = .35, p < .001) and partners (B = .33, p < .001), with d = 1.42–2.79 for patients and 1.30–1.78 for partners at post-treatment and follow-ups (see Figure 1).

3. Discussion

This is the first study to investigate the use of MDMA to facilitate a therapy established to be efficacious outside of MDMA treatment studies. Our initial data indicates that MDMA delivered in combination with CBCT for PTSD appears to be safe, does not appear to be treatment-interfering, and may potentiate the treatment effects for PTSD and the larger relationship context in which it exists. In the 1970s and 1980s, before MDMA was placed on schedule 1, it was used in clinical practice to facilitate couple therapy, although no controlled trials were conducted. MDMA has more recently been studied to facilitate an individual therapy for PTSD (Mitchoefer et al., 2019). This initial study suggests that MDMA-facilitated CBCT holds promise in facilitating trauma recovery and achieving broader relational outcomes not fully realized with individual evidence-based treatment for PTSD.

The effect sizes for improvements in PTSD and common co-occurring conditions in this pilot study were greater than those found with individually delivered MDMA-facilitated psychotherapy for PTSD (see Mitchoefer et al., 2019) for pooled pre- to post-treatment effect in six phase 2 RCTs of approximately d = 1.20). In addition, the effects on PTSD, other symptoms, and relationship outcomes in this study were on par with, or greater than, those previously achieved with CBCT alone. More specifically, the largest pre- to post-treatment PTSD effect sizes found with CBCT come from Monson et al.’s (2012) waitlist controlled trial with a mixed trauma sample. In that study, the effects for clinician-rated PTSD from pre- to post-treatment were g = 1.82, and g = .64 for patient-rated and g = .15 for partner-rated relationship adjustment. In comparison, the effects in the current trial were d = 2.10 for clinician-rated PTSD and d = .82 for patient-rated and g = .64 for partner-rated overall relationship adjustment. Interestingly, in the current study, across all outcomes, the effects were generally largest at 6-month follow-up, suggesting that MDMA facilitation may confer ongoing benefits. It is important to note that comparison of these effect sizes is tentative because of the small sample and lack of a control condition in the current study.

There are several limitations to the current study. First and foremost, there was no randomization or control condition, which severely limits the conclusions we can draw. We are currently preparing a Phase 2 randomized controlled trial to more rigorously investigate the safety and efficacy of MDMA-facilitated CBCT. Moreover, the therapy was delivered by expert therapists in each of the modalities, which is expected at this stage of treatment development, but limits generalizability. The size of the trial precluded examination of potential moderators of treatment outcomes (e.g. gender of patient and partner, pre-treatment relationship distress, type of index trauma), as well as mechanisms of treatment action. These are important questions to consider in future studies examining the use of MDMA to facilitate existing evidence-based treatments.

Although there are frontline, recommended therapies for PTSD that produce excellent outcomes for many individuals with PTSD (Forbes, Bisson, Monson, & Berliner, in press), there is still a need to innovate treatment approaches for those individuals who do not tolerate these therapies or respond adequately to them. In addition, there is room to expand the breadth of outcomes that might be achieved, including improvements in intimate relationship functioning. MDMA-facilitated

Table 3. Effect size changes for outcomes (Cohen’s d).

| Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale-5 | Pre- to Mid-Treatment | Pre- to Post-Treatment | Pre-Treatment to 3-Month Follow-up | Pre-Treatment to 6-Month Follow-up |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Patient PTSD Checklist-5            | 2.06                  | 2.72                   | 3.09                              | 3.59                              |
| Partner-rated PTSD Checklist-5      | 1.55                  | 1.85                   | 2.36                              | 2.72                              |
| Patient Beck Depression Inventory-II| 1.20                  | 1.50                   | 1.98                              | 2.53                              |
| Pittsburgh Sleep Questionnaire       | .85                   | .96                    | .88                               | 1.18                              |
| Emotion Regulation Questionnaire- Reappraisal Subscale | .79 | 1.13 | 1.09 | 1.15 |
| Emotion Regulation Questionnaire-Suppression Subscale | .89 | .91 | 1.12 | 1.20 |
| Traumatic and Attachment Beliefs Scale | 1.12 | .98 | 1.17 | 1.17 |
| Patient Couples Satisfaction Index | 1.33                  | .82                    | 1.11                              | 1.22                              |
| Partner Couples Satisfaction Index | .51                   | .64                    | .66                               | .80                               |
| Patient Relationship Happiness      | 1.63                  | 1.42                   | 1.72                              | 2.79                              |
| Partner Relationship Happiness      | 1.20                  | 1.30                   | 1.57                              | 1.78                              |

Cohen’s d effect sizes calculated using least-squares means estimated from growth models and pooled actual standard deviations for relevant assessment periods.
CBCT may be one avenue of facilitating psychotherapy to improve the lives of those who suffer from PTSD, as well as the lives of their loved ones.
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