INTRODUCTION

Today, the world is witnessing a lot of environmental issues at the global level, such as environmental degradation, global warming, ozone depletion, and climate change. All these environmental problems have significant consequences on human well-being and quality of life [1]. The high fossil fuel usage and consumption in transportation increase the emission of pollutants causing the emission of the greenhouse effect that can cause global warming [2,3]. The fact is, the transportation system brings a massive impact on the country’s socio-economic development especially in Malaysia. In directing to achieve developed country status and preserve the environment, Malaysia is committed to this transition toward sustainability and aims to reduce the emission of carbon dioxide (CO₂). Hence, the environmental issue will have to be resolved with advanced technology and environmental policy. In line with the National Automotive Policy (NAP) 2014 objectives, some options that the automotive industry can adopt are by introducing cleaner fuels and technologies or adjusting technologies to produce green vehicles such as electric car, hybrid electric vehicle (HEV), natural gas vehicle (NGV), and other alternative fuel vehicles. Shifting toward carbon reduction can be done through behavioral intervention. Therefore, green marketers should have a better insight into consumer purchase intention. Purchase intention is part of the consumer decision-making process that stimulates consumers to purchase a product in their purchase consideration [4].

Green purchase intention is defined as consumer consideration to purchase a product that has eco-attributes [5]. On the other hand, Chen and Chang [6] conceptualized green purchase intention as a consumer willingness to buy specific products that meet the environmental needs. One of the popular theories that explain behavioral intention is the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). Based on the TPB, attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral controls are formed as predictors of intention [7]. Many firms use purchase intention in forecasting new product sales, and repurchase an existing product, or forming marketing strategies [8,9].

The determinants of green purchase intention are green knowledge, green trust, green pricing, and social influence. Green knowledge has been viewed as people’s knowledge or understanding of the ecosystem and environmental impact [10]. Knowledge is linked with the cognitive process whereby commonly knowledgeable people will understand the situation and help them decide on particular issues [11]. However, the consumer-level of product knowledge will impact their information and decision-making.

According to Chen [12], green trust is consumer belief that goods have credibility, benevolence, and the ability to protect the environment. In other words, the product or brand that has eco-attributes, green benefits, or safe for the environment may gain consumer trust. One of the alternatives to lead green behavior is by increasing consumer trust [13]. On the other hand, a good green pricing strategy should be applied by taking into consideration of environment and consumer willingness to purchase as the price is a main perceived hurdle to buy a green product [14]. Consumer willingness to purchase is defined as the maximum price that consumer willing to spend on a certain quantity of green products [15].

On another aspect related to green marketing, social influence is a person or group that influence other people, where someone follows and accepts other’s expectations and information [16,17]. Social influence role is crucial in sustainability behavior because environmental issues are abstract and less instantaneous. Hence, by looking at other’s reactions is a way to get immediate feedbacks [18]. In contrast, Gupta and Ogden [19] claimed individual will conform to group norms with the condition she or he has a higher trust in others.

Green product attachment is an emotional bond that consumer experiences toward environmentally-safe products [20]. On the subject of sustainability, green product attachment can be elucidated as an emotional tie that encounters between a person and a green product. Happiness, joy, security, anxiety, anger, and sadness are the types of emotions that people feel toward a product. That emotional attachment felt by the consumer may occur along any buying process, starting from the pre-purchase phase, buying phase, and post-purchase phase [21]. This is acknowledged earlier by Desmet and Hekkert [22] as feeling toward a product aesthetic may present before the product is bought. Besides, product attachment is developed from consumer interaction with the product. That makes sense why it could determine individual behavioral motivations and intentions toward the object [23]. A consumer who is emotionally attached to the product tends to purchase, spread the word of mouth, repurchase, revisit, patronage, and invest in the attached product or brand [24,25].
The pre-test and pilot test is conducted as preparation for the main study. The variables of green knowledge, green trust, green pricing, and social influence are selected as researches on that variables are still lacking and suffer from the uncertainties conclusions in the context of green and Malaysia. Thus, the rationale of the study is to ensure that particular research instruments are reliable and valid. However, many researchers just ignore conducting a pilot test, and unnoticed that both tests have different purposes [26,27].

METHODS
Pre-test and pilot test
A pre-test was conducted with two academicians and three postgraduate scholars from business and sustainability areas to evaluate the content validity. The idea is to get experts’ judgments of how well each questionnaire item reflects the construct that is supposed to measure [28]. Based on the input during a pre-test, the questionnaire was fine-tuned, and then the questionnaire was pilot tested toward 50 non-hybrid and hybrid car owners. A filter question “Do you drive your own car?” was asked to qualify the respondents. An adjustment was made afterward before the questionnaire was distributed in the actual field.

A pilot test is the first step in all types of research studies and a vital prerequisite before leading to the actual study as it is the best way to assess the feasibility of a large, expensive full-scale study. According to Hazzi and Maldon [26], a pilot study is not used for hypothesis testing, and somehow it is crucial to ensure the quality of this research is established.

The instrument and measurement
The research instrument used for this study is the questionnaire. This questionnaire consisted of closed-ended questions and was divided into four sections. In the first section, the profile of the respondent was developed. The second section concerned the determinants of green purchase intention. The determinants were green knowledge (GK), green trust (GTrust), green pricing (GPricing), and social influence (SocInf). It was developed by the researcher through adopting and modifying the construct found for green trust [12], subjective and objective green knowledge [29-31], social influence [32], and green pricing [33]. The third section was involved the mediator of the study; green product attachment (GProAttach) [34]. Finally, the fourth section on green purchase intention (GPurchaseInten) was developed based on previous studies of Rizwan, Asif, Hussain, Asghar, Hassan, and Javeed [35] and Kabadayi, Dursun, Alan, and Tugar [36].

Respondents were asked to indicate their level of agreement for each statement using a 7-point Likert scale anchored from “1-strongly disagree” to “7-strongly agree”. Selecting the 7 points itemized scale was preferred since this 7-point scale enabled the respondents to show their stand comfortably and precisely.

The key variables contained in the study were green knowledge, green trust, social influence, green pricing, green product attachment, and green purchase intention. All the variables were uni-dimensional except green knowledge. Accordingly, the questionnaire of this research is made up of four sections. Section 1 comprised questions about the demographic information of the respondents. Section 2 consisted of seven questions that seemed to measure the level of respondent knowledge regarding the environmental issues and the impact of a product toward the environment, five questions that were directed to measure green trust, three questions that were targeted to evaluate green pricing as perceived by the respondents, and five items to assess social influence among respondents. Section 3 contained five questions of green product attachment and finally, Section 4 comprised six questions that evaluated consumer purchase intentions.

RESULTS
Profile of the respondents
The demographic characteristics of the respondents are in Table 1. The majority of respondents were female (56%). Most of the respondents were aged between 29 and 39 years. More than half of the sample (56%) had postgraduate education. About 68% of respondents were

| Variable                          | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender                            |           |            |
| Male                              | 22        | 44         |
| Female                            | 28        | 56         |
| Age                               |           |            |
| 18-28                             | 5         | 10         |
| 29-39                             | 24        | 48         |
| 40-50                             | 17        | 34         |
| 51-61                             | 3         | 6          |
| Above 61                          | 1         | 2          |
| Employment                        |           |            |
| Professional                      | 34        | 68         |
| Managerial                       | 5         | 10         |
| Support staff                     | 7         | 14         |
| Self-employed                     | 3         | 6          |
| Other                            | 1         | 2          |
| Education                        |           |            |
| SPM/SPMV                         | 4         | 8          |
| STPM/Diploma/Certificate         | 10        | 20         |
| Bachelor                         | 8         | 16         |
| Post Graduate                     | 28        | 56         |
| Household gross income (in Ringgit Malaysia) | |        |
| Less than RM 3000               | 14        | 28         |
| RM 3001- RM 5000                | 12        | 24         |
| RM 5001- RM 7000                | 17        | 34         |
| RM 7001- RM 9000                | 1         | 2          |
| RM 9001- RM 11 000              | 2         | 4          |
| More than RM 11 000             | 4         | 8          |

Sample size, n=50
professional and 34% of the respondents had household gross income from RM 5001 to RM 7000. The details are stated in Table 1.

In summary, Table 2 shows that most of the respondents have considered buying a hybrid car and about 82% of them have thought about buying petrol and electric type of car.

Table 3 shows the overall mean and standard deviation (SD) for 31 items. These items have means higher than 3, whereas the means were ranged from 3.98 to 5.86. The lowest SD was 0.832 and the highest SD was 1.918. The figures indicated that all the data were concentrated around the means.

Data distribution
Most inferential statistical techniques require the fulfillment of the normality assumption. There are several methods to see whether continuous data are distributed normally. In general, the normality assumption can be evaluated by graphical and test methods. Graphical methods such as histogram, stem-and-leaf plot, box plot, normal probability plot, and detrended normal plot provided information with the shape of a distribution. Furthermore, Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic, Shapiro–Wilk statistic, skewness, and kurtosis are available tests for normality.

This study used values of skewness and kurtosis. The skewness explains the symmetry, while kurtosis shows which data are peak or flat. According to Curran, West, and Finch [37], the value for skewness and kurtosis shall be ±2.0 and ±7.0, respectively. Notwithstanding, Kline [38] argues that the skewness value within ±3 and kurtosis value within ±10 are considered normal data. The skewness of all items was ranged from −1.367 to +2.412, below ±3 and the values for kurtosis ranged from −2.020 to +3.974, far < ±10. Thus, considering the suggestion above, the data used in the study were normally distributed.

| Variable | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------------|
| Considered buying a hybrid car | 44 | 88 |
| Purchased a hybrid car | 6 | 12 |
| Types of the hybrid car considered to purchase: | | |
| Petrol and electric | 41 | 82 |
| Petrol and natural gas | 9 | 18 |
| Other | - | - |

Table 2: Respondents reactions to the hybrid car

Table 3: Mean and standard deviation of the items

| Item | Mean | Standard deviation | Item | Mean | Standard deviation (SD) |
|------|------|--------------------|------|------|------------------------|
| GK8  | 5.80 | 0.926              | SoCInf24 | 4.90 | 0.995                 |
| GK9  | 5.50 | 0.839              | SoCInf25 | 4.76 | 1.001                 |
| GK10 | 5.04 | 0.832              | SoCInf26 | 4.30 | 1.405                 |
| GK11 | 5.84 | 1.037              | SoCInf27 | 4.64 | 1.191                 |
| GK12 | 5.18 | 1.273              | GProAttach28 | 4.60 | 1.050                |
| GK13 | 5.60 | 1.143              | GProAttach29 | 4.10 | 1.403                |
| GK14 | 5.42 | 1.197              | GProAttach30 | 3.98 | 1.478                |
| GTrust15 | 5.44 | 0.929            | GProAttach31 | 3.98 | 1.584                |
| GTrust16 | 5.48 | 0.886            | GProAttach32 | 4.40 | 1.340                |
| GTrust17 | 5.42 | 0.992            | GProInten39 | 5.22 | 1.166                |
| GTrust18 | 5.12 | 0.849            | GProInten40 | 5.42 | 1.012                |
| GTrust19 | 5.14 | 0.833            | GProInten41 | 4.44 | 1.918                |
| GPricing20 | 5.14 | 1.278          | GProInten42 | 5.86 | 1.125                |
| GPricing21 | 4.72 | 1.213          | GProInten43 | 5.44 | 0.951                |
| GPricing22 | 5.04 | 1.293          | GProInten44 | 5.78 | 1.993                |
| SoCInf23 | 4.98 | 1.059          |

The questionnaire scales from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Not all statistical analysis is valid for non-normal distribution data. The proof of normality data must be attained before employing any parametric test like t-test, analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Pearson correlation. Otherwise, non-parametric tests such as Chi-square, Mann–Whitney test, Kruskal–Wallis test, Friedman test, and Spearman correlation can be used if the data are not a normal distribution [26,39]. Failure to identify data distribution may lead to the wrong selection of tests and results.

Validity and reliability of the instrument
The items were selected from questionnaires that were validated in previous studies for use in the present study. In this study, the literature was extensively reviewed from journals in the field of retailing, sustainability, and marketing. The items of the questionnaire were adopted and adapted from existing scales in the literature. Questionnaire content validity was evaluated by two academicians and three scholars from the sustainability, and marketing disciplines. A few changes were made according to the experts' comments and suggestions. One item in green purchase intention was deleted due to the repeated meaning with another item.

Then, to assess questionnaire reliability the pilot study was conducted on a convenience sample of 50 hybrid and non-hybrid car owners in Kuala Lumpur. This resulted in several sentences were rephrased and reworded to make it easier to understand by respondents before distributed in the actual field. It is important to find reliable scales. According to Pallant [39], the reliable scale indicates that it is free from random error. Scale reliability can be explained using the indicators such as test-retest reliability and internal consistency. Even though the test-retest correlations are more reliable due to the tests that are run on two different events with the same people, commonly Cronbach alpha coefficient is used to test inter-item consistency reliability. Hence, this study employed the Cronbach alpha test to measure the internal consistency of the instrument that explains how extensive to which the items "hang together."

The reading for all constructs is indicated in Table 4. The constructs had scores ranging from 0.722 to 0.903, which exceeded the recommended minimum level of 0.7, and indicated the high internal consistency reliability [40].

DISCUSSION
The green concept in Malaysia is still new. Thus, the TPB is selected to predict the determinants of purchase intention, and the aspect of emotion is assessed by specifically emphasizing green product attachment. Although, the survey instrument items were adopted and adapted from the previous studies. These developed items or scales should be pre-tested to ensure the questions work well in new respondents and setting [41]. Recently, more studies have discussed
and emphasized the importance of conducting a pre-test and pilot study [26,42]. Based on the analysis of the small data during the pilot test, the data as a whole were considered normal. All variables had strong consistency.

Even though the subject of the study is the intention to purchase hybrid cars, which is a car often related to masculinity. The male respondents were preceded by female. The majority of the respondents also considered buying petrol and electric type of car rather than petrol and natural gas (bi-fuel NGVs) type. Another study also identified respondents’ willingness to change their conventional car to NGV was very low and with certain conditions [43]. Another socio-demographic characteristic such as household income also is seen as one of the factors why consumer avoids the green product, especially in Malaysia [44]. The majority of the respondents (34%) had household gross income from RM 5001 (USD 1223) to RM 7000 (USD 1712). That range explained the respondents were in average income category. In fact, consumer resources such as money may act as consumer control in performing the behavior [7]. In Malaysia, car prices are expensive due to the high duties and taxes levied. Thus, respondent’s willingness to pay needed attention as the price is an important parameter when buying a green car [45]. Indeed, both low and high income householders will take a different direction toward sustainable behavior.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study is to explore small sample data on the determinants of green purchase intention in Malaysia. The data were collected during the pilot test. The validity and reliability of the instrument were assessed in preparation for the large scale study. The managerial implication of the variables will be mentioned in the actual study. The content validity was evaluated which ended-up in removing of one item due to similarity with another item and reworded several items. The inter-item reliability test showed all the items were reliable as the Cronbach alpha value exceeded the benchmark of 0.7. Thus, all items were retained. Finally, the result of skewness and kurtosis suggested that the data were normal.
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