A prospective study on effect of maternal BMI on fetal outcome
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ABSTRACT

Background: The extremes of BMI have a major impact on pregnancy outcomes. The objective of this study was to assess antenatal, intra-partum complications, perinatal outcome in pregnant women with high BMI.

Methods: This study was conducted in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kamla Nehru State Hospital for Mother and Child IGMC Shimla, on 1000 women attending antenatal outpatient department over one year w.e.f. 01-05-2011 to 30-04-2012. Detailed information regarding different variables like maternal age, parity, education status, occupation socioeconomic status and weight gain during pregnancy were recorded on a proforma, master chart framed and analyzed using Student’s t test.

Results: The study observed that both being overweight and underweight predisposes women to complicated pregnancies. The incidence of preterm is more in underweight women and post-dated deliveries increased with increase in BMI. Incidence of stillbirth was maximum in obese women. Incidence of low birth weight babies was more among underweight and the incidence of macrosomia was more in obese women.

Conclusions: Maternal BMI have strong association with pregnancy complications and outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

During the last two decades, there has been an alarming rise in the incidence of obesity all over the world. India is now facing a double burden of this disease with under-nutrition and underweight on one side, and a rapid upsurge in obesity and overweight, particularly in the urban settings on the other side. The National Family Health Surveys (NFHS) in India indicated an increase in the obesity from 10.6% in 1998–1999 to 14.8% in 2005–2006, while there was only a marginal decrease in the incidence of underweight from 36.2% (1998–1999) to 33.0% (2005–2006).1 Both lean and obese women carry a risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes. Maternal body mass index (BMI) is one of the most important predictor of nutritional status of pregnant woman. Both nutritional intake and maternal weight are modifiable factors which can influence pregnancy outcome. In India, 26% of pregnant women are overweight and 8% are obese.4 Furthermore, it has been showed that low APGAR score and perinatal deaths are more common in neonates of obese women.5,6 The rising rate of obesity is a major public health concern in India as very few females come for preconception counseling so estimation of pre-pregnancy weight record is not available. Women from rural area are not even aware of their weights.6 So, in view of these factors we decided to conduct this study to correlate the early pregnancy BMI with fetal outcome.

The objectives of the study were to determine the maternal and fetal risks of adverse pregnancy outcome in relation to the extremes of maternal body mass index.

METHODS

It is a prospective observational study conducted in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kamla Nehru State Hospital, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India.
State Hospital for Mother and Child IGMC Shimla, on 1000 women attending antenatal outpatient department over one year w.e.f. 01-05-2011 to 30-04-2012. On first antenatal visit before 12 weeks of gestation weight was measured by a calibrated scale accurate up to 0.5 kg and height was measured in meters and BMI was calculated in Kg/m². For each mother BMI was calculated at first visit before 12 weeks of gestation, parity, POG, socioeconomic status, education and occupation was noted. Fetal outcome in form of live birth, stillbirth or intrauterine death, birth weight, APGAR at 5 minutes and mean birth weight in group C (p=0.024) and group D (p=0.023) was higher than group B. There was significantly low mean birth weight of neonates in group A as compared to group B (p=0.001) and mean birth weight in group C (p=0.024) and group D (p=0.005) was higher than group B.

Parameters evaluated during study were:-

- Fetal outcome- live birth, still birth or intrauterine death.
- Birth weight of neonate- very low, low, normal or macrosomia.

Table 1: Distribution of women according to BMI.

| Group | BMI Category | BMI (kg/m²) | Number of Pt. | Percentage |
|-------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------|
| A     | Under Weight | <18.5       | 92            | 9.2        |
| B     | Normal Weight| 18.5-24.9   | 486           | 48.6       |
| C     | Over Weight  | 25-29.9     | 375           | 37.5       |
| D     | Obese        | >30         | 47            | 4.7        |

There were significantly more preterm deliveries 16 (17.4%) in group A as compared to group B 6.4%, group C 6.9% and group D 6.4%. There were significantly more (p=0.0230) post-term deliveries in obese group D as compared to group B.

Table 2: Period of gestation in relation to BMI at delivery (total women=1000).

| BMI group              | A   | B   | C   | D   |
|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Number of women        | 92  | 486 | 375 | 47  |
| Abortion (up-to 24 weeks) | 5 (5.4%) | 25 (5.1%) | 36 (9.6%) | 6 (12.8%) |
| Preterm (24 to <37 weeks) | 16 (17.4%) | 31 (6.4%) | 26 (6.9%) | 3 (6.4%) |
| Term (>37 to <40 weeks) | 65 (70.7%) | 380 (78.2%) | 264 (70.4%) | 28 (59.6%) |
| Post-term (>40 weeks)  | 6 (6.5%) | 50 (10.3%) | 49 (13.1%) | 10 (21.3%) |

Table 3: Birth weight of neonate in relation to BMI (total women=1000).

| BMI group              | A   | B   | C   | D   |
|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Number of women        | 92  | 486 | 375 | 47  |
| VLBW (<1.5 kg)         | 6 (6.5%) | 20 (4.1%) | 15 (4.0%) | 1 (2.1%) |
| LBW (1.5-2.5 kg)       | 28 (30.4%) | 56 (11.5%) | 21 (5.6%) | 1 (2.1%) |
| Normal weight (>2.5-4 kg) | 57 (62.0%) | 401 (82.5%) | 328 (87.5%) | 40 (85.1%) |
| Macrosomic (>4 kg)     | 1 (1.1%) | 9 (1.9%) | 11 (2.9%) | 5 (10.6%) |

There was significantly low mean birth weight of neonates in group A as compared to group B (p=0.001) and mean birth weight in group C (p=0.024) and group D (p=0.005) was higher than group B.

The incidence of live births, stillbirths and IUD was comparable in all the BMI groups. In group A there was no stillbirth only 1.1% had IUD. Group B 0.6% had stillbirth and 0.6% had IUD also. In group C 0.5% had stillbirth and 1.1% had IUD and group D 2.1% had...
stillbirth and 2.1% had IUD also. In group A there were 2.2% neonates who had APGAR <4 and 2.3% in group B, 2.4% in group C and 2% in group D. In group A 4.3%, group B 3.7%, group C 4.8% and group D 4% neonates had APGAR between 4-7. As compared to 94% neonates in group B who had APGAR >7 group A had 93.5%, group C had 92.85 and group D had 94 % neonates. APGAR score of neonates at 5 minutes was comparable in all BMI categories. It was observed that there were 8.7% neonates in group A, 7.8% in Group B, 9.6% in group C and 13% in group D who were admitted to NICU which were comparable in all groups.

**Table 4: Neonatal outcome of women according to BMI (total women=1000).**

| BMI group   | A      | B  | C  | D  |
|------------|--------|----|----|----|
| Number of women | 92     | 486| 375| 47 |
| Still Birth | 0 (0%) | 3  | 2  | 1  |
| IUD        | 1 (1.1%) | 3 | 4  | 1  |
| APGAR <4   | 2 (2.2%) | 11 | 9  | 1  |
| APGAR 4-7 | 4 (4.3%) | 18 | 18 | 2  |
| NICU admission | 8 (8.7%) | 3  | 36 | 47 |

**DISCUSSION**

Obesity has become an epidemic worldwide. WHO has declared obesity as a major killer disease of the millennium at par with malnutrition and HIV. BMI provides simple numeric measure of a person’s fatness or thinness. Study observed that both being overweight and underweight predisposes women to complicated pregnancies. The incidence of preterm is more in underweight women probably due to the fact that they belong to low socio-economic status with low educational standard, more manual work and are less aware for their health. Post-dated deliveries increased with increase in BMI which could be related to the sedentary life style of obese women. Mean gestational age at delivery was comparable in all the study groups in present study. Similar observation was made by Crane et al and Choi et al. Incidence of stillbirth was 0.0% in group A, 0.6% in group B, 0.5% in group C and 2% in group D. Incidence of live birth 98.5% reflects a good perinatal care at the institute. Live births were comparable in all BMI groups. APGAR score at 5 minutes <7 in present study was more as compared to study by Crane et al and Arrowsmith et al which could probably be explained by the more sophisticated and intensive intra-partum monitoring facilities in western countries. Neonates admitted to NICU in present study were comparable to study by Crane et al and El-Gilany et al in groups A, B and C, but in group D admission to NICU was more in present study this can also be due to better perinatal care in western countries. Low birth weight babies were more among underweight women due to poor nutrition and lack of health awareness in this group. The incidence of macrosomia was more in group D which could be attributed to better nutrition, healthcare and increased incidence of gestational diabetes in this group. Our study has shown that macrosomia or babies with higher birth weight is common in obese and overweight women. Similar results were obtained with other studies conducted by Isaacs et al and Bianco et al.

**CONCLUSION**

The study has shown an association between maternal weight and pregnancy outcome. It is concluded that obesity was associated with increased complication such as abortions, gestational diabetes, post-term delivery and fetal macrosomia etc. In underweight women adverse outcome were increased incidence of pre-term deliveries and low birth weight babies. The management should include the pre-pregnancy counseling in eligible women.
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