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Abstract
We develop the theory of coregular sequences and codepth for modules that need not be finitely generated or artinian over a Noetherian ring. We use this theory to give a new version of a theorem of Hellus characterizing set-theoretic complete intersections in terms of local cohomology modules. We also define quasi-cyclic modules as increasing unions of cyclic modules, and show that modules of codepth at least two are quasi-cyclic. We then focus our attention on curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and give a number of necessary conditions for a curve to be a set-theoretic complete intersection. Thus an example of a curve for which any of these necessary conditions does not hold would provide a negative answer to the still open problem, whether every connected curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection.
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1 Introduction
Kronecker [13] in 1882 proved a theorem, which in modern language says that any closed algebraic subset of $\mathbb{P}^n$ can be cut out (set-theoretically) by $n+1$ hypersurfaces. An easy proof was given later by van der Waerden, choosing successively hypersurfaces so that the excess intersection drops in dimension each time until it is empty.

Vahlen [18] in 1891 published an example to show that Kronecker’s bound was best possible. It is a rational quintic curve with a single quadrisecant in $\mathbb{P}^3$, which he said could not be the intersection of three surfaces.

---

1 Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA
2 Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA
Thus the problem of finding the minimal number of surfaces needed to cut out a curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ was solved, for fifty years, until Perron [16] in 1941 showed that Vahlen’s example was wrong. Indeed, Perron exhibited three quintic surfaces whose intersection was Vahlen’s curve. More generally, Kneser [12] showed in 1960 that any curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ could be cut out by three surfaces, and later Eisenbud and Evans [2] proved an algebraic result, generalizing Kneser’s method, which shows that any algebraic subset of $\mathbb{P}^n$ or $\mathbb{A}^n$ can be cut out by $n$ hypersurfaces.

Still, the question whether every irreducible curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is the intersection of two surfaces, in which case we say it is a set-theoretic complete intersection, remains open.

Hellus [11, Corollary 1.1.4] in 2006 gave a criterion for a variety $V$ in projective space to be a set-theoretic complete intersection. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a subvariety of codimension $r$, with homogeneous ideal $I$ in $A$, the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then $V$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^n$ if and only if the local cohomology modules $H^i_I(A)$ are zero for all $i \neq r$, and the Matlis dual $D(H^r_I(A))$ has depth $r$. Hellus’s criterion has the advantage of showing that the question whether $V$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection depends only on module-theoretic properties of the module $M = H^r_I(A)$. However, it is impractical, since to find polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in A$ that form a regular sequence for $D(M)$ is tantamount to finding $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ so that $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(f_1, \ldots, f_r)}$, which is simply a restatement of the problem.

In this paper, in order to avoid dealing with Matlis duals of large modules, we define in Sect. 2 the notion of coregular sequences and the corresponding notion of codepth for an $A$-module $M$. These notions, which have appeared earlier in the context of artinian $A$-modules only (see Example 2.5), are in some sense dual to the usual notions of regular sequences and depth. We show that a sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ is coregular for $M$ if and only if the Koszul cohomology groups $H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M)$ are zero for all $i \geq 1$ (Theorem 2.6). As a consequence (Corollary 2.7), a permutation of a coregular sequence is also coregular. Coregular sequences behave well in a short exact sequence of modules (Proposition 2.9).

We then give a new version of Hellus’s theorem in Theorem 3.1. It shows that a variety $V$ of codimension $r$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection if and only if $H^r_I(A) = 0$ for all $i > r$ and $M = H^r_I(A)$ has codepth $r$. Since the Matlis dual functor is exact and faithful a sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in A$ is coregular for a module $M$ if and only if $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ is a regular sequence for the Matlis dual $D(M)$. Thus our statement is equivalent to Hellus’s original statement. However, we give a new statement and a new proof so as to avoid the use of Matlis duals.

In Sect. 4, we define the notion of a quasi-cyclic module to be an increasing union of cyclic submodules, or equivalently, a module in which any two elements are contained in some cyclic submodule. We show that a module of codepth $\geq 2$ is quasi-cyclic. Thus a necessary condition for a variety $V$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of codimension $r$ to be a set-theoretic complete intersection is that the associated module $M = H^r_I(A)$ is quasi-cyclic. This property may be more amenable to verification than asking for its codepth.

In Sect. 5, we show that an element $h \in I$ is coregular for the module $H^2_I(A)$ of a curve $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ if and only if $X \setminus C$ is affine, where $X$ is the surface defined by $h = 0$. We also consider when $X \setminus C$ is a modification of an affine (see Definition 5.4).

In Sect. 6, we interpret the property of a curve being defined set-theoretically by three equations in terms of the Koszul cohomology of $M$.

To sum up, in Sect. 7, we list a number of questions, starting with the motivating question of this paper: Is every irreducible curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ a set-theoretic complete intersection? These give some necessary and sufficient conditions and some necessary conditions for a curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ to be a set-theoretic complete intersection. We list some of them here:
• A curve \( C \) is a set-theoretic complete intersection if and only if \( M = H^2_1(A) \) has a coregular sequence of length 2, or equivalently codepth \( M = 2 \).

• If a curve \( C \) is a set-theoretic complete intersection, then \( M \) is quasi-cyclic, and any quotient of \( M \) is also quasi-cyclic.

• If a curve \( C \) is a set-theoretic complete intersection, then there exists a surface \( X \) containing \( C \) with \( X \setminus C \) affine.

## 2 Coregular sequences and codepth

Throughout this paper, \( A \) will denote a Noetherian local ring or a standard graded ring. If \( A \) is a standard graded ring, we assume that all modules are graded and all ideals and elements are homogenous.

**Definition 2.1** Let \( A \) be a ring, \( I \) an ideal, and \( M \) a non-zero \( A \)-module with \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I) \). A sequence \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) of elements of \( I \) is coregular for \( M \) if

1. \( x_1M = M \),
2. \( x_{i+1}(0 :_M (x_1, \ldots, x_i)) = 0 :_M (x_1, \ldots, x_i) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \).

In other words multiplication by \( x_1 \) is surjective on \( M \), multiplication by \( x_2 \) is surjective on the kernel of the multiplication by \( x_1 \), and so on.

**Lemma 2.2** Let \( A \) be a ring, \( I \) an ideal, and \( M \) a non-zero \( A \)-module with \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I) \). If \( \mathfrak{x} \in I \) and \( m \in M \), then there exists a power of \( \mathfrak{x} \) that kills \( m \).

**Proof** Left to reader. \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.3** Let \( A \) be a ring, \( I \) an ideal, and \( M \) a non-zero \( A \)-module with \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I) \). Then the length of any coregular sequence \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) in \( I \) for \( M \) is at most equal to the dimension of \( A \).

**Proof** We proceed by induction on \( r \geq 1 \). If \( \mathfrak{x} \in I \) is a coregular element for \( M \), then we will show that the dimension of \( A \) is positive. We can mod out by the annihilator of \( \mathfrak{x} \). Write \( a = \text{ann} M \) and \( A' = A/a \). We observe that the support of \( M \) is still contained in \( V(IA') \). Indeed, this is equivalent to \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I + a) \) and since \( a = \text{ann} M \) we clearly have \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(a) \). Thus \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I) \cap V(a) = V(I + a) \).

We have now reduced to the case when \( M \) is a faithful \( A \)-module. We first show that \( x \) is a non zero-divisor on \( A \). Suppose that \( xy = 0 \) for some \( \mathfrak{y} \in A \). We prove that \( y = 0 \) by showing that \( y \) is in the annihilator of \( M \). Indeed, for every \( m \in M \) there exists an \( m' \in M \) such that \( m = x m' \) because \( x \) is coregular for \( M \). Thus \( y m = y x m' = 0 \). Thus \( \text{ht} x A \geq 1 \) and therefore the dimension of \( A \) is at least one.

For the general case of a coregular sequence, we first reduce to the case \( M \) faithful so that \( \text{ht} x_1 A \geq 1 \). Then the ring \( A' = A/x A \) has dimension at most \( \dim A - 1 \). The elements \( x_2, \ldots, x_r \in IA' \) are still coregular for \( M' = 0 :_M x \). Notice the module \( M' \) is non-zero by Lemma 2.2 since the support of \( M \) is contained in \( V(I) \). Also \( \text{Supp}(M') \subset \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I) = V(IA') \), hence the support of \( M' \) is still in \( V(IA') \). By induction \( \dim A' \geq r - 1 \), thus \( \dim A \geq r \). \( \square \)

**Definition 2.4** Let \( A \) be a ring, \( I \) an ideal, and \( M \) a non-zero \( A \)-module with \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I) \). The \( I \)-codepth of \( M \) is the supremum of lengths of coregular sequences in \( I \) for \( M \).
Notice that by Proposition 2.3, if the ring A has finite dimension then the I-codepth of M is finite.

**Example 2.5** Let (A, m) be a complete Noetherian local ring and let M be an artinian non-zero A-module. Then the m-codepth of M is equal to the usual depth of the Matlis dual D(M) of M. This follows from the definition, because Matlis duality gives an exact contravariant equivalence of the category of artinian A-modules with the category of finitely generated A-modules. In this context, and for artinian modules only, the notions of coregular sequences (or cosequences) and codepth (or width) were defined by Matlis [14] in his original paper, and have been used by several authors since then. In this paper, however, most of the modules we consider will not be artinian, and the old theory does not apply.

In the next theorem, inspired by the usual Koszul homology characterization of regular sequences, we use Koszul cohomology to characterize coregular sequences.

**Theorem 2.6** Let A be a ring, I an ideal, and M a non-zero A-module with Supp(M) ⊂ V(I). A sequence \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) of elements of I is coregular for M if and only if the Koszul cohomology groups \( H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M) \) are zero for all \( i \geq 1 \).

**Proof** We proceed by induction on \( r \geq 1 \). If \( r = 1 \), the Koszul complex is \( M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \). Notice that \( H^0(x_1; M) = 0 :_M x_1 \) and \( H^1(x_1; M) = M/x_1 M \). The latter is zero if and only if \( x_1 \) acts surjectively on M. All higher cohomologies are zero.

If \( r \geq 2 \) we use the short exact sequence of Koszul complexes

\[
0 \longrightarrow K^\bullet((x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M)[-1] \longrightarrow K^\bullet((x_1, \ldots, x_r; M) \longrightarrow K^\bullet((x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M) \longrightarrow 0
\]

The associated long exact sequence of cohomology is

\[
\delta_{i-1} : H^{i-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M) \longrightarrow H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M) \longrightarrow H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M)
\]

and the connecting homomorphisms \( \delta_i \) are just multiplication by \( x_r \) in the corresponding cohomology groups.

Now suppose first that the sequence \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) is coregular for M. This implies that \( x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1} \) is coregular for M and that multiplication by \( x_r \) acts surjectively on

\[
0 :_M (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}) = H^0(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M).
\]

Thus by induction \( H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \) and \( \delta_0 \) is surjective on \( H^0(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M) \). From the long exact sequence of cohomology we conclude that \( H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \).

Now suppose, conversely, that \( H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \). Then by the long exact sequence of cohomology, the connecting homomorphisms \( \delta_i \) are isomorphisms for \( i \geq 1 \) and surjective for \( i = 0 \). However, the modules \( H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M) \) are all subquotients of sums of copies of M, hence have support in \( V(I) \). Now by Lemma 2.2, every element of \( M \) or any of its subquotients is annihilated by a power of \( x_r \), since \( x_r \in I \). Thus the only way multiplication by \( x_r \) can be an isomorphism is that the modules \( H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M) \) are zero. Hence, by induction, the sequence \( x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1} \) is coregular for M. Furthermore, since \( \delta_0 \) is surjective on \( H^0(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}; M) = 0 :_M (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}) \), we see that \( x_r \) acts surjectively on \( 0 :_M (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}) \) and therefore the sequence \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) is coregular for M. □
Corollary 2.7  Let \( A \) be a ring, \( I \) an ideal, and \( M \) a non-zero \( A \)-module with \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I) \). Any permutation of a coregular sequence \( x \subset I \) for \( M \) is a coregular sequence for \( M \).

**Proof**  It follows from Theorem 2.6 since the Koszul complex \( K^\bullet(x_1, \ldots, x_n; M) \) is independent of the order of the \( x_i \).

**Warning 2.8**  Unlike the case of regular sequences for finitely generated modules, a partial coregular sequence cannot always be extended to a coregular sequence of length equal to the codepth (see, for instance, Example 3.3). Further, observe that a finitely generated module always has codepth zero.

Proposition 2.9  Let \( A \) be a ring and \( I \) an ideal. Consider a short exact sequence of \( A \)-modules with support contained in \( V(I) \)

\[
0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0.
\]

Let \( x = x_1, \ldots, x_r \) be a sequence of elements of \( I \).

1. If \( x \) is a coregular sequence for \( M' \) and \( M'' \) then it is a coregular sequence for \( M \).
2. If \( x \) is a coregular sequence for \( M \) then \( x \) is a coregular sequence for \( M'' \) if and only if \( H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M') = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 2 \).
3. If \( x_1 \) is coregular for \( M \), it is also coregular for \( M'' \).

**Proof**  These statements follow easily from Theorem 2.6 using the long exact sequence of Koszul cohomology of \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) associated to the short exact sequence of modules.

Corollary 2.10  Let \( A \) be a ring, \( I \) an ideal, and \( M \) a non-zero \( A \)-module with \( \text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I) \). Let \( h \in A \) with \( M/hM \neq 0 \). If \( x_1, x_2 \in I \) is a coregular sequence for \( M \), then it is also a coregular sequence for \( M/hM \).

**Proof**  Let \( \varphi \) be the map induced by multiplication by \( h \) on \( M \). Then we have the short exact sequences

\[
0 \rightarrow \ker \varphi \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{im} \varphi \rightarrow 0
\]

and

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{im} \varphi \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/hM \rightarrow 0.
\]

From the first exact sequence, since the functor \( H^2(x_1, x_2; \bullet) \) is right exact, we conclude that

\[
H^2(x_1, x_2; \text{im} \varphi) = 0.
\]

From Proposition 2.9(b) and the second sequence, we conclude that \( x_1, x_2 \) is a coregular sequence for \( M/hM \).
3 Hellus’s theorem

In this section we give a new version of Hellus’s theorem, in terms of coregular sequences. It gives a criterion for an ideal to be generated up to radical by a regular sequence. In the case of the homogeneous coordinate ring of a projective space, it gives a criterion for a variety to be a set-theoretic complete intersection.

Theorem 3.1 (Hellus) Let $A$ be a ring, $I$ an ideal, and let $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ be an $A$-regular sequence contained in $I$. Then the following conditions are equivalent

(i) $\sqrt{I} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$

(ii) $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ form a coregular sequence for $H^i_I(A)$, and $H^i_I(A) = 0$ for all $i > r$.

Proof First notice that since $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ form a regular sequence for $A$, we have $H^i_I(A) = 0$ for all $i < r$. This is the usual local cohomology characterization of $I$-depth of $A$.

(i) $\implies$ (ii) The vanishing of the local cohomology for $i > r$ follows from the Čech computation of local cohomology.

Since local cohomology depends only on the radical of the ideal, we may assume that $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$. To show that $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ form a coregular sequence on $M = H^i_I(A)$, we use the Koszul complex $K^*(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M)$. Since $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ is an $A$-regular sequence, the Koszul complex $K^*_0(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ is a resolution of $A/I$ and therefore the cohomology groups of the complex $K^*(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M)$ are the $\text{Ext}^i(A/I, M)$. In order to compute $\text{Ext}^i(A/I, M)$, we will use an injective resolution of $M$ obtained from an injective resolution of $A$ by applying the functor $\Gamma_I$. Since the $H^i_J(A) = 0$ for all $i \neq r$, this complex is exact everywhere except for $i = r$ where its cohomology is $M$, and therefore it gives an injective resolution of $M$.

This also shows that $\text{Ext}^i(A/I, M) = \text{Ext}^{i+r}(A/I, A)$. But the latter modules are zero for all $i + r \neq r$ and hence $H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_r; M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Thus $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ form a coregular sequence for $M$ by Theorem 2.6.

(ii) $\implies$ (i) Since $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ is a regular sequence on $A$, we can write

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{x_1} A \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

where $A_1 = A/(x_1)$. Running the long exact sequence of local cohomology with supports in $I$, we find, since $x_1$ acts surjectively on $M = H^r_I(A)$, that $M_1 = H^{r-1}_I(A_1)$ is the only local cohomology group of $A_1$ that is non zero. Since $M_1$ is the kernel of multiplication by $x_1$ on $M$, we find that $x_2, \ldots, x_r$ is a coregular sequence for $M_1$.

Next we use the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{x_2} A_1 \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

where $A_2 = A/(x_1, x_2)$, and we find similarly that $M_2 = H^{r-2}_I(A_2)$ is the only non-zero local cohomology group of $A_2$, and $x_3, \ldots, x_r$ is a coregular sequence for $M_2$.

Proceeding inductively, we find that $A_r = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ has only one non-zero local cohomology group $M_r = H_1^0(A_r)$. It follows by Lemma 3.2 below that $A_r$ has support in $V(I)$. Since the annihilator of $A_r$ is $(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$, this shows that $I \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$. But $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in I$ by hypothesis, so $\sqrt{I} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$. \hfill $\square$

Lemma 3.2 Let $A$ be a ring, $I$ an ideal, and $N$ a finitely generated $A$-module with $IN \neq N$. If $H^i_I(N) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, then $\text{Supp}(N) \subset V(I)$ and $N = H^0_I(N)$.

Proof We write the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0_I(N) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$
where $C$ is another finitely generated $A$-module. Our hypothesis implies that $H^i_I (C) = 0$ for all $i$. But this is impossible unless $C = 0$, because a non-zero finitely generated module has a well-defined $I$-depth, namely, the smallest $r$ such that $H^r_I (C) \neq 0$ [15, 16.7].

**Example 3.3** (The twisted cubic curve) Let $C$ be a twisted cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$. One knows that $C$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection, since it lies on a quadric cone $Q_0$, and on that cone, $2C$ is a Cartier divisor cut out by a cubic surface $F$, so that $C = Q_0 \cap F$. Hence by Theorem 3.1, $M = H^2_I (A)$ has codepth 2, where $I$ is the ideal of $C$ in the homogeneous coordinate ring $A$.

On the other hand, $C$ lies on a non-singular quadric surface $Q$, and since $Q \setminus C$ is affine (see [6, §V 1.10.1]), it follows that $H^2_I (A/qA) = 0$, where $q$ is the equation defining $Q$. Therefore $q$ is a coregular element for $M$ (see Proposition 5.2). However, since the only complete intersections on $Q$ have bidegree $(a, a)$ for some $a > 0$, whereas $C$ has bidegree $(1, 2)$ on $Q$, no multiple of $C$ can be a complete intersection on $Q$. Therefore the coregular sequence $\{q\}$ of length one cannot be extended to a coregular sequence of length 2, even though codepth $M = 2$.

### 4 Quasi-cyclic modules

In the previous section, we saw that a curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a set theoretic complete intersection if and only if the associated local cohomology module $M = H^2_I (A)$ has codepth 2. This condition is difficult to verify in practice, so in this section we introduce another property of the module $M$, which may be easier to test.

**Definition 4.1** An $A$-module $M$ is **quasi-cyclic** if it is a countable increasing union of cyclic submodules. In the graded case we assume that the cyclic submodules are generated by homogenous elements.

The facts listed in the following proposition are worth noting but easy to prove. We leave the proofs to the reader.

**Proposition 4.2** Let $M$ be an $A$-module.

(i) $M$ is quasi-cyclic if and only if it is a countable direct limit of cyclic $A$-modules.
(ii) $M$ is quasi-cyclic if and only if it is countably generated and every finite subset of $M$ is contained in a cyclic submodule of $M$
(iii) Any quotient of a quasi-cyclic module is quasi-cyclic.

**Example 4.3**

(1) A finitely generated module is quasi-cyclic if and only if it is cyclic.
(2) If $f \in A$, the localization $A_f$ is quasi-cyclic.
(3) If $A$ is a local Gorenstein ring and $\sqrt{J} = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ for $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ a regular sequence, then the local cohomology module $H^r_J (R)$ is quasi-cyclic. Indeed, it is the direct limit of $\text{Ext}^r (R/J_n, R)$, where $J_n = (f_1^n, \ldots, f_r^n)$, and the module $\text{Ext}^r (R/J_n, R)$ is a canonical module $\omega_{A/J_n}$, which is cyclic since $A/J_n$ is Gorenstein.

**Remark 4.4** If a sequence $x, y$ of elements of $I$ is coregular for $M$, then the sequence $x^a, y^b$ is coregular for $M$ for arbitrary positive integers $a, b$. For the proof notice that $0 :_M x^a$ has a filtration by submodules $0 :_M x^i$, for $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$, whose quotients are isomorphic to $0 :_M x$. 

$\diamond$ Springer
Theorem 4.5 Let $A$ be a ring, $I$ an ideal, and $M$ a non-zero $A$-module with \( \text{Supp}(M) \subseteq V(I) \). If $M$ is countably generated and has codepth at least 2, then $M$ is quasi-cyclic.

**Proof** By Proposition 4.2(ii), it will be sufficient to show that any two elements $m, n \in M$ are contained in a cyclic submodule. Let $x, y \in I$ be a coregular sequence for $M$. By Corollary 2.7, then $y, x$ is also a coregular sequence. By Lemma 2.2 every element of $M$ is annihilated by some power of $x$ and some power of $y$. Let $N_i = 0 :_M x^i$ and let $L_j = 0 :_M y^j$. Then $M = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} N_i$ and $M = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} L_j$. Thus we may assume that $m \in N_i$ and $n \in L_j$. By Remark 4.4, $x^i, y^j$ and $y^j, x^i$ are also coregular sequences for $M$. In particular, $y^j$ acts surjectively on $N_i$ and $x^i$ acts surjectively on $L_j$. In other words, there are elements $m' \in N_i$ and $n' \in L_j$ such that $y^j m' = m$ and $x^i n' = n$. Now let $\alpha = m' + n' \in M$. Then

$$x^i \alpha = x^i m' + x^i n' = 0 + n = n$$

$$y^j \alpha = y^j m' + y^j n' = m + 0 = m.$$ 

Hence $m$ and $n$ are contained in the cyclic submodule generated by $\alpha$. Thus $M$ is quasi-cyclic. 

\[ \square \]

Corollary 4.6 If a variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection of codimension $r$, then $H^r_I(A)$ is a graded quasi-cyclic $A$-module.

**Proof** Write $M = \text{H}^r_I(A)$. If $r = 1$ and $f$ is the defining equation of $V$, then $M = A_f / A$, which is quasi-cyclic by Example 4.3(2). If $r \geq 2$, then $M$ has codepth $r \geq 2$ by Theorem 3.1, and hence is quasi-cyclic by Theorem 4.5. 

\[ \square \]

Example 4.7 Let $C$ be the disjoint union of two lines $L_1$ and $L_2$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then $H^2_I(A)$, where $I$ is the defining ideal of $C$, is not quasi-cyclic. In particular, this gives a new proof of the well-known result that $C$ is not a set-theoretic complete intersection.

**Proof** By direct computation. If we define the lines by $x = y = 0$ and $z = w = 0$, then the Mayer–Vietoris sequence for local cohomology

$$0 = H^2_{(x,y,z,w)}(A) \longrightarrow H^2_{(x,y)}(A) \oplus H^2_{(z,w)}(A) \longrightarrow H^2_I(A) \longrightarrow H^3_{(x,y,z,w)}(A) = 0$$

shows that $H^2_I(A) \cong H^2_{(x,y)}(A) \oplus H^2_{(z,w)}(A)$. Let $M_1 = H^2_{(x,y)}(A)$ and $M_2 = H^2_{(z,w)}(A)$. We can compute them as in [5, Section 3]. They are generated as $k[z, w]$-module (as $k[x, y]$-module, respectively) by $\{x^i y^j | i < 0 \text{ and } j < 0\}$ (respectively, by $\{z^i w^j | i < 0 \text{ and } j < 0\}$).

An arbitrary element of $M$ can be written as

$$\alpha = \frac{a}{x^i y^j} + \frac{b}{z^\ell w^m}$$

for positive integers $i, j, \ell, m$ and $a, b \in A$.

We now prove that the the socle elements of $M$

$$\frac{1}{xy} \text{ and } \frac{1}{zw}$$

are not contained in any cyclic submodule of $M$, that is they cannot be contained in the submodule generated by any $\alpha$.

Indeed, suppose $f \alpha = \frac{1}{xy}$ and $g \alpha = \frac{1}{zw}$ for some $f, g \in A$. The equality $f \alpha = \frac{1}{xy}$ forces $b = 0$, since otherwise $f \in (z, w)$ in which case the first term would have $z$ and $w$. But if $b = 0$ the equality $g \alpha = \frac{1}{zw}$ cannot be satisfied. 

\[ \square \]
Remark 4.8 (Segre embedding) If \( V \) is the Segre embedding of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) into \( \mathbb{P}^5 \), then Claudiu Raicu has shown us an argument, using representation theory in characteristic zero, that \( H^2_J(A) \) is not quasi-cyclic. The point is that \( H^2_J(A) \), with its grading, is a direct sum of irreducible representations and Pieri’s rules shows that the ‘bottom’ piece cannot be reached by multiplication from higher pieces. This gives another proof in characteristic zero that \( V \) is not a set-theoretic complete intersection, which was already known, since \( H^2_J(A) \) is not zero.

5 Curves on surfaces

In this section we consider the following Setting 5.1 to relate properties of \( X \) and \( X\setminus C \) to the behavior of multiplication by \( h \) on \( M \) and the module \( M/hM \). In particular we examine when \( X\setminus C \) is affine or a modification of an affine.

Setting 5.1 Let \( C \) be a connected curve lying on a surface \( X \) in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \). Let \( A \) be the homogeneous coordinate ring of \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), let \( I \) be the ideal of \( C \), and let \( h \in I \) be the element defining the surface \( X \). Write \( M \) for the module \( H^2_J(A) \).

Proposition 5.2 In Setting 5.1, \( h \) is a coregular element for \( M \) if and only if \( X\setminus C \) is affine.

Proof Let \( B = A/(h) \) be the coordinate ring of \( X \) and let \( J = IB \) be the ideal of \( C \) in \( X \). Note that \( M/hM \cong H^2_J(A/(h)) \) since \( H^2_J(A) = 0 \) according to [8, 7.5]. Hence \( h \) is coregular for \( M \) if and only if \( H^2_J(A/(h)) = H^2_J(B) = 0 \). We make use of the well-known result (see [8, Section 2, page 412]) that

\[
H^2_J(B) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}_X(n)).
\]

The latter is zero if and only if \( H^1(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) = 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Since \( H^i_J(B) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 3 \), it follows that also \( H^1(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 2 \), and so \( H^1(X \setminus C, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \) for all coherent sheaves on \( X \setminus C \). By Serre’s criterion, this implies that \( X \setminus C \) is affine. Conversely, if \( X \setminus C \) is affine all higher cohomology of coherent sheaves on \( X \setminus C \) vanish, so \( h \) is coregular.

Example 5.3 (a) Let \( C \) be a curve of bidegree \((a, b)\) on a nonsingular quadric surface \( Q \) with \( a, b > 0 \). Then \( C \) is connected and the defining equation \( q \) of \( Q \) is coregular for \( M \).

Indeed, \( C \) is ample on \( Q \) so \( Q \setminus C \) is affine.

(b) Let \( C \) be a curve on a nonsingular cubic surface \( X \) with divisor class \((a; b_1, \ldots, b_6)\) in the usual notation, with \( a \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3 \) and \( b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_6 > 0 \). Then \( C \) is connected and is ample on \( X \) (see [6, V, 4.12]). Hence \( X \setminus C \) is affine, and the equation defining \( X \) is coregular for \( M \).

(c) Let \( C \) be the rational quartic curve given by the parametric representation

\[
(x, y, z, w) = (t^4, t^3u, tu^3, u^4).
\]

This curve \( C \) lies on the nonsingular quadric surface defined by \( q = xw - yz \) and it has bidegree \((1, 3)\) hence by (a), \( q \) is a coregular element for \( M \). The curve \( C \) also lies on the cone \( X \) over a cuspidal plane cubic curve defined by \( g = y^3 - x^2z \). We will show that \( X \setminus C \) is affine, so that \( g \) is another coregular element for \( M \) (the same applies to the element \( h = z^3 - yw^2 \)). However, \( g, q \) is not a coregular sequence, by Hellus’s theorem, since the ideal \((g, q)\) defines a curve of degree 6 consisting of \( C \) and a double line.
To show that $X \setminus C$ is affine, we consider the normalization $\tilde{X}$ of $X$, which is the cone over a twisted cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$ [10, 6.9]. The inverse image of $C$ in $\tilde{X}$ is a curve $\tilde{C}$, isomorphic to $C$, which meets every ruling of the cone $\tilde{X}$ in one point. Therefore $3\tilde{C}$ is a Cartier divisor on $\tilde{X}$, and since Pic $\tilde{X} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, this Cartier divisor is ample hence $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{C}$ is affine. But $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{C}$ is the normalization of $X \setminus C$, thus $X \setminus C$ is affine as well.

**Definition 5.4** A scheme $V$ of finite type over $k$ is a **modification of an affine**, in the sense of [3], if there exists a proper surjective map $\pi : V \to V_0$ with $V_0$ affine, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_V = \mathcal{O}_{V_0}$, and such that $\pi$ has only finitely many **fundamental points**, that is points $P \in V_0$ for which $\dim \pi^{-1}(P) \geq 1$.

**Remark 5.5** There may be other definition of “modification” in the literature, but we use this one because it is the one that makes valid the following Proposition 5.6.

**Proposition 5.6** In Setting 5.1 the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $X \setminus C$ is a modification of an affine scheme;
2. $\dim H^i(X \setminus C, \mathcal{F}) < \infty$ for every coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X \setminus C$ and every $i > 0$;
3. each graded component of $M/hM = H^2(\mathbb{A}/h\mathbb{A})$ is finite dimensional over $k$.

**Proof** The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from [3, Theorem 1 and Corollary 3].

We now show (2) implies (3). As in the proof of Proposition 5.2, we have

$$M/hM \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}_X(n))$$

where $n$ indicates the grading. Thus by (2), each graded piece is finite-dimensional.

Finally we show that (3) implies (2). Again, as in the proof of Proposition 5.2, $H^i(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $i \geq 2$. Thus by the usual dévissage, $H^i(X \setminus C, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ and finite dimensional for $i = 1$, for all coherent sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$.

**Corollary 5.7** In Setting 5.1 if $C$ satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 5.6, then the degree $n$ component of $M/hM$ is zero for $n \gg 0$.

**Proof** This follows from [3, Corollary 4].

**Example 5.8** (a) If $C$ is a curve on the nonsingular cubic surface $X$ having divisor class $(a; b_1, \ldots, b_6)$ in the usual notation, with $a \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3$ and $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \ldots \geq b_6 \geq 0$ and $b_3 > 0$, then $X \setminus C$ is a modification of an affine scheme. Indeed, in the trivial case $b_6 > 0$, we have seen already that $X \setminus C$ is affine (see Example 5.3(b)), so there is nothing to prove. If $r$ is the largest index for which $b_r > 0$, with $r = 3, 4, 5$, then $C$ is on a surface $X_0$ obtained by blowing up $r$ points in $\mathbb{P}^2$, and $C$ will be ample there, so that $X_0 \setminus C$ is affine. Then the projection $\pi : X \setminus C \to X_0 \setminus C$ makes $X \setminus C$ a modification of an affine.

(b) If $C$ is the rational quartic curve of Example 5.3(c), then $C$ lies on a ruled cubic surface $X$ defined by $p = xz^2 - y^2w$ (see [9, §6] and [10, 6.3 and 7.12]). Since $C$ meets the double line of $X$ only at the pinch points, its inverse image in the normalization $S$ of $X$ is a curve $C'$ corresponding to a conic $C_0$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$ that does not meet the point $P$ of $\mathbb{P}^2$ that was blown up. Thus $S \setminus C'$ is a modification of the affine scheme $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_0$. Now it follows that $X \setminus C$ is a modification of an affine since $\pi : S \setminus C' \to X \setminus C$ is a finite morphism. Indeed, by applying the criterion of Proposition 5.6(2) and the Leray spectral sequence of cohomology for a finite surjective morphism $\pi : V' \to V$, we obtain that if $V'$ is a modification of an affine if and only if $V$ is.
(c) More generally, if \( C \) is a curve on a nonsingular surface \( X \) with \( C^2 > 0 \), then \( X \setminus C \) is a modification of an affine. Indeed, this follows from [4, §III 4.1] which implies that \( H^i(X \setminus C, \mathcal{F}) \) is finite-dimensional for every coherent sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( X \setminus C \), and every \( i > 0 \).

**Theorem 5.9** In Setting 5.1 if \( X \setminus C \) is a modification of an affine, then the \( I \)-codepth of \( M/hM \) is at least two.

**Proof** Since \( X \setminus C \) is a modification of an affine, we have a proper map \( \pi : X \setminus C \to V \) with \( V \) affine. Then there are finitely many fundamental points \( P_1, \ldots, P_s \in V \). If we let \( E_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i) \), then \( \pi : X \setminus C \to V \) is an isomorphism outside \( E_i \) and \( P_i \). Indeed, \( \pi \) is proper with finite fibers outside \( E_i \) and \( P_i \), therefore it is a finite morphism there. Since \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X \setminus C} \to \mathcal{O}_V \) is an isomorphism, by definition of modification. It follows that \( X \setminus C \to V \) is an isomorphism outside of \( E_i \) and \( P_i \).

Using the Leray spectral sequence for \( \pi \) and the fact that \( V \) is affine, we find

\[
(M/hM)_n = H^1(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}(n)) = H^0(V, R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}(n))
\]

The sheaves \( R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}(n) \) are coherent and are supported at the points \( P_i \). Therefore,

\[
H^0(V, R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}(n)) = R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}(n)
\]

In addition the modules \( R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}(n) \) are of finite length and are equal to their own completions over the local rings at \( P_i \). Write \( E = \bigcup E_i \). Now the theorem on formal functions [6, III, 11.1] shows that

\[
R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}(n) = \varprojlim H^1(E_v, \mathcal{O}_{E_v}(n))
\]

where \( E_v \) is the closed subcheme of \( X \setminus C \) defined by \( \mathcal{I}_E^v \), where \( \mathcal{I}_E = \pi^*(\sum m_{P_i}) \) defines the inverse image scheme of the \( P_i \). The maps in the inverse system come from the short exact sequences

\[
0 \to \mathcal{I}_E^v/\mathcal{I}_E^{v+1} \to \mathcal{O}_{E_{v+1}} \to \mathcal{O}_{E_v} \to 0
\]

Since the \( E_v \) are curves, the \( H^1 \) functor is right exact, so the maps of the inverse system are all surjective. Furthermore, since \( (M/hM)_n \) is finite-dimensional for each \( n \), it follows that the maps in the inverse system, for each \( n \), are eventually constant.

Now we look for coregular elements. Since elements of the ideal \( I \) cut out the curve \( C \) we can find elements \( f, g \in I \) whose zero-sets meet the curve \( E \) in finitely many points all distinct from each other. It may happen that the schemes \( E_v \) for various \( v \), have embedded points. However, according to a theorem of Brodman [1, 1], the union for all \( v \) of the sets of embedded points of \( E_v \) is a finite set. Therefore, we may assume that \( f, g \) meet each \( E_v \) in distinct points, none of which is embedded for \( E_v \).

Since \( f \) does not meet \( E_v \) at any embedded points, we have exact sequences

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{E_v}(n) \to \mathcal{O}_{E_v}(n+d) \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_v}(n+d) \to 0
\]

where \( Z_v \) is the scheme of zeros of \( f \) in \( E_v \). Since \( Z_v \) is a zero-dimensional scheme, \( H^1(E_v, \mathcal{O}_{Z_v}(n+d)) = 0 \). Hence multiplication by \( f \) is surjective on \( \oplus_n H^1(E_v, \mathcal{O}_{Z_v}(n)) = H^1(E_v, \oplus_n \mathcal{O}_{E_v}(n)) \) for each \( v \). Since the maps of the inverse limit above are eventually constant in each degree, it follows that multiplication by \( f \) is surjective on \( M/hM \). Thus \( f \) is a coregular element for \( M/hM \).

The kernel of multiplication by \( f \) on \( H^1(E_v, \mathcal{O}_{E_v}(n)) \) is a quotient of \( H^0(E_v, \mathcal{O}_{Z_v}(n+d)) \). Since \( g \) does not vanish at the points of \( Z_v \), it follows that \( g \) acts isomorphically on
⊕nH⁰(Eν, ΩEν(n))) = H⁰(Eν, ⊕nΩEν(n))). Thus multiplication by g is surjective on the kernel 0 : H¹(Eν, ⊕nΩEν(n)) (f) for each ν. Again, since the maps in the inverse system are eventually isomorphisms, it follows that multiplication by g is surjective on 0 : M/hM (f), so that (f, g) form a coregular sequence of length two for M/hM.

6 Intersection of three surfaces

The first example was in Perron’s paper [16], where he showed that Vahlen’s curve, a rational quintic curve with a single quadrisecant, is an intersection of three surfaces in P³. Then Kneser [12] showed that any curve in P³ is the intersection of three surfaces. This was generalized by Eisenbud and Evans [2], and independently Storch [17] (in the affine case only), to show that any variety in affine or projective n-space is an intersection of n hypersurfaces.

In this section we interpret the condition for three polynomials f, g, h to cut out a subvariety of codimension 2 in Pⁿ set-theoretically, in terms of the Koszul cohomology of certain local cohomology modules. We start with an auxiliary result, which will be used for the homogeneous coordinate ring of a hypersurface.

Proposition 6.1 Let B be a ring, let J be an ideal, let f, g ∈ J, and assume that f is not a zero-divisor in B. The following condition are equivalent

(1) \( \sqrt{J} = \sqrt{(f, g)} \)

(2) Let \( M_i = H^i_J(B) \) for \( i \geq 1 \)

(a) \( M_i = 0 \) for \( i > 2 \)

(b) \( f, g \) is a coregular sequence for \( M_2 \)

(c) The natural map (defined in the proof)

\[ \delta : H^0(f, g; M_2) \rightarrow H^2(f, g; M_1) \]

is surjective.

Proof If \( f, g \) is a regular sequence in \( B \), then \( M_1 \) is automatically zero and this statement follows from Theorem 3.1.

We first show that (1) implies (2). Part (a) follows from the computation of local cohomology using the Čech complex.

For part (b) consider the short exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow B/fB \rightarrow 0. \]

Applying local cohomology we obtain the long exact sequence

\[ \ldots \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \rightarrow H^1_J(B/fB) \rightarrow M_2 \xrightarrow{f} M_2 \rightarrow H^2_J(B/fB) \rightarrow 0 \]

We may assume that \( J \) is defined by \( f, g \). Then in the ring \( B/fB \) the ideal \( J \) is defined by \( g \), so \( H^2_J(B/fB) = 0 \). We conclude that multiplication by \( f \) is surjective on \( M_2 \).

Write \( K = \ker(M_2 \xrightarrow{f} M_2) \) and \( Q = \coker(M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_1) \). Thus we obtain a short exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow H^1_J(B/fB) \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0. \]
Multiplication by \( g \) gives a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & Q \\
\downarrow g & & \downarrow g \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_1^f(B/fB) \\
\end{array}
\]

The middle map is surjective since \( J(B/fB) \) is generated by \( g \), so using the Snake Lemma, we find that the coboundary map

\[
\delta : \ker(K \longrightarrow K) \longrightarrow \text{coker}(Q \longrightarrow Q)
\]

is surjective, and the last map in the diagram \( K \longrightarrow K \) is surjective as well. This last assertion shows by definition that \( f \), \( g \) is a coregular sequence for \( M_2 \).

To prove (c) we need only to observe that \( \ker(K \longrightarrow K) = H^0(f, g; M_2) \) and \( \text{coker}(Q \longrightarrow Q) = H^2(f, g; M_1) \).

We now show that (2) implies (1). Using the hypotheses (a), (b), (c), and running the argument backwards, we find

\[
H_i^f(B/fB) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_i^f(B/fB) \longrightarrow H_i^f(B/fB)
\]

is surjective.

Since \( H_i^f(B/fB) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 2 \), a standard dévissage shows that \( H_i^f(N) = 0 \) for any finitely generated \( B/fB \)-module. Thus the functor \( H_i^f(-) \) is right exact for finitely generated \( B/fB \)-modules. Therefore \( H_i^f(B/(f, g)B) = 0 \) for all \( i > 0 \). The latter implies that \( \text{Supp}(B/(f, g)B) \subset V(J) \) according to Lemma 3.2, hence (1) holds.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 6.2** Let \( A \) be a ring, \( I \) an ideal, and let \( f, g, h \in I \). Assume that \((f, h)\) is a regular sequence in \( A \). Assume also that \( H_i^f(A) = 0 \) for \( i > 2 \), and let \( M = H_2^f(A) \). Then the following are equivalent

1. \( \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\langle f, g, h \rangle} \)
2. \( H_i^f(f, g, h; M) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 2 \).

**Proof** Let \( B = A/\sqrt{I}A \), let \( J = IB \), and notice that \( f \) is regular on \( B \). We want to apply Proposition 6.1 to \( B \), \( J \), and \( f, g \in J \). Notice that part (1) of Proposition 6.1 is clearly equivalent to \( \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\langle f, g, h \rangle} \). So it is enough to show that \( H_i^f(f, g, h; M) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 2 \) is equivalent to assertion (2) of Proposition 6.1.

We use the notation of Proposition 6.1, in particular we let \( M_i = H_i^f(B) \) for \( i \geq 1 \). Since \( h \) is a regular element in \( A \) and \( H_i^f(A) = 0 \) for \( i \neq 2 \), we have the exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow 0,
\]

and note that all \( M_i = 0 \) for \( i > 2 \). We need to show that \( H_i^f(f, g, h; M) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 2 \) is equivalent to (b) and (c) of Proposition 6.1.

Consider the map of Koszul complexes \( K^\bullet(f, g; M) \longrightarrow K^\bullet(f, g; M) \). The cohomology of the total complex is simply \( H_i^f(f, g, h; M) \). The spectral sequence of the double complex degenerates to give a long exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow H^1(f, g; M_1) \longrightarrow H^1(f, g, h; M) \longrightarrow H^0(f, g; M_2) \longrightarrow 0.
\]
and the isomorphism

\[ H^3(f, g, h; M) \cong H^2(f, g; M_2). \]

The vanishing of \( H^2(f, g, h; M) \) and \( H^3(f, g, h; M) \) is equivalent to the vanishing of \( H^1(f, g; M_2) \) and \( H^2(f, g; M_2) \), plus the surjectivity of \( \delta \). Hence \( H^i(f, g, h; M) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 2 \) is equivalent to (b) and (c) of Proposition 6.1 by Theorem 2.6.

**Corollary 6.3** If \( C \) is any curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), then there exists a surface \( X \) containing \( C \), defined by \( h = 0 \), such that \( M/hM \) has I-codepth 2, where \( M = H^2_I(A) \).

**Proof** By Kneser’s Theorem, there exist \( f, g, h \) with \( \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(f, g, h)} \). We may assume that two of these, say \( f, h \), form a regular sequence for \( A \). Then if \( X \) is defined by \( h \), and \( B = A/hA \), applying Proposition 6.1, we see that \( f, g \) form a coregular sequence for \( M_2 = H^2_J(B) = M/hM \).

\[ \square \]

**7 Questions**

The first question is a well known, long-standing open problem, which was the motivation for this paper. The remaining questions are consequences. That is to say, a yes answer to the first question would imply a yes answer to all the remaining questions. Conversely a no answer to any of the remaining questions would imply a no answer to the first question. In all these questions \( C \) is an irreducible curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) and \( I \) is its homogeneous ideal in the homogeneous coordinate ring \( A \) of \( \mathbb{P}^3 \).

**Question 7.1** Is every irreducible curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) a set-theoretic complete intersection?

As far as we know, this question was first stated explicitly by Perron.

**Question 7.2** If \( C \) is an irreducible curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), does the module \( H^2_I(A) \) have codepth 2?

By Theorem 3.1, Question 7.2 is equivalent to Question 7.1.

**Question 7.3** If \( C \) is an irreducible curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), is the module \( H^2_I(A) \) quasi cyclic?

Question 7.3 follows from Question 7.2 by Theorem 4.5.

**Question 7.4** If \( C \) is an irreducible curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), does the module \( H^2_I(A) \) have codepth \( \geq 1 \)?

Clearly Question 7.4 is a trivial consequence of Question 7.2.

**Question 7.5** If \( C \) is an irreducible curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), does there exist a surface \( X \) containing \( C \) with \( X \setminus C \) affine?

Question 7.5 is equivalent to Question 7.4 by Proposition 5.2.

**Question 7.6** If \( C \) is an irreducible curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), does there exist a surface \( X \) containing \( C \) with \( X \setminus C \) a modification of an affine?

Question 7.6 is a trivial consequence of Question 7.5.

**Question 7.7** If \( X \) is an irreducible surface containing an irreducible curve \( C \) in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), do there exist two more surfaces \( Y \) and \( Z \) containing \( C \) such that \( C = X \cap Y \cap Z \)?
Question 7.7 would follow from Question 7.1, taking \( Y \) and \( Z \) to be the surfaces defining \( C \).

**Question 7.8** If \( C \) is an irreducible curve in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \) and \( X \) is a surface defined by a non-coregular element \( h \in I \), does the module \( H^2_I(A/hA) \) have codepth 2?

Question 7.8 would follow from Question 7.2 by Corollary 2.7. It would also follow from Question 7.7 by Proposition 6.1.

**Question 7.9** With \( C, X, h \) as in Question 7.8, is the module \( H^2_I(A/hA) \) quasi-cyclic?

Question 7.9 follows from Question 7.8 by Proposition 4.5, or from Question 7.3 by Question 7.7 would follow from Question 7.1, taking the intersection \([7]\). For this curve, the answers to Questions 7.4 and 7.5 are yes.

(1) For Vahlen’s quintic, which is a rational quintic curve \( C \), we will show that the answer to Question 7.7 is also yes for Vahlen’s quintic. Let \( C \) be the curve with divisor class \([2; 1, 10^5]\), using Example 5.8(c).

(2) We will show that the answer to Question 7.7 is also yes for Vahlen’s quintic. Let \( X \) be a nonsingular cubic surface and let \( C \) be the curve with divisor class \([2; 1, 10^5]\). We take \( Y \) to be another cubic surface containing \( C \) so that \( X \cap Y = C \cup G \cup T \) where \( X \cap Y \) has divisor class \( 3H = (9; 3^6) \) and \( G \) is the line \([2; 0, 1^5]\), which is the quadrisecant, and \( T = (5; 2^6) \) is a twisted cubic curve. We can take \( T \) to be irreducible and nonsingular, because for any \( T \) in that divisor class, \( C \cup G \cup T \sim 3H \), and \( X \) being projectively normal, this is the intersection with another cubic surface \( Y \).

We cannot take \( Z \) to be another cubic surface, because every cubic surface containing \( C \) also contains its quadrisecant \( G \). So we look for a quartic surface \( Z \). Then \( X \cap Z = C \cup D \) where \( D = (10; 3, 4^5) \). This is a curve of degree 7 and genus 3. Any curve in this linear system arises as \( X \cap Z \). To show that \( C = X \cap Y \cap Z \), we must show that the points of \( D \cap (G \cup T) \) are contained in \( C \). Now \( D \cdot G = 0 \), and a general \( D \) is irreducible so we may assume \( D \cap G = \emptyset \). Observe that \( D \cdot T = 4 \). We need to show that \( D \) can be chosen so that the four points of \( D \cap T \) are among the 8 intersections of \( T \) with \( C \). Since \( T \) is a rational curve, for this it will be sufficient to show that the linear system \([D] \) on \( X \) maps surjectively to the linear system \([D \cdot T] \) on \( T \); that is that the map

\[
\pi : H^0(O_X(D)) \longrightarrow H^0(O_T(D \cdot T))
\]

is surjective. The cokernel of \( \pi \) is \( H^1(O_X(D \setminus T)) \), as can be seen applying cohomology to the short exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow O_X(D \setminus T) \longrightarrow O_X(D) \longrightarrow O_T(D \cdot T) \longrightarrow 0.
\]

Now \( D \setminus T \) has divisor class \([5; 1, 2^5]\) and therefore can be represented by an elliptic curve \( E \) of degree 4. From the exact sequence

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow H^1(O_X) \longrightarrow H^1(O_X(E)) \longrightarrow H^1(O_E(E)) \longrightarrow \cdots
\]

we deduce that \( H^1(O_X(E)) = 0 \), since \( H^1(O_X) = 0 \) and \( E^2 = 4 \) which gives \( H^1(O_E(E)) = 0 \). Thus the map \( \pi \) is surjective.
Hence we conclude that $C = X \cap Y \cap Z$, the intersection of two cubics and one quartic surface.
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