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Abstract
This paper explored how some Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries employed budget policies to manage their budget deficits in the fiscal years between 2014 and 2018. Empirically, this study attempted to summarize and critically analyze several approaches of economic strategies that countries utilized such as sovereign wealth fund, revenue-increasing policies, and expenditure-reducing policies in response to budget deficits during and after the oil crisis of 2014. Secondary data were gathered from the ministry of finance reports as well as various official documents covering three different GCC countries, which are Saudi Arabia, UAE and Kuwait. The findings of this study showed distinct patterns in a three-country approach to manage their budget deficits. Saudi Arabia and UAE implemented a comparatively more balanced approach between increasing revenues and reducing expenditure. On the other hand, Kuwait focused on reducing their budget expenditures and adopted policies that promoted across the board cuts and is relatively relying more on oil revenues to balance the budget.
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1. Introduction
This study explored how three different country-members of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), which are Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates (UAE), and Kuwait, responded to their budget deficits during and after the oil crisis of 2014. In particular, the study contributed to the literature by conducting a comparison of the various budget-balancing strategies that these countries have utilized to address their budget challenges. A budget deficit is generally defined as “the fiscal condition in which state government revenues are short in providing for the cost of its promised services” (Shi, 2016, p 27). Contrary to previous economic recessions, the current oil crisis impacted countries’ budget revenues to a greater extent. Beginning from Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 and through FY 2015 and FY 2016, the economic conditions in almost all GCC countries became significantly difficult (Altman & Haass, 2010; Bouri, 2015; El-Katiri, 2016; Sultan & Haque, 2018).

This study helped in understanding the influence of public policy on the budget. In 2014, public budget for the three GCC countries shrunk to historical standards. These countries were obligated to find alternative revenue resources to make up for the lost in budget. There were three main budget-balancing strategies that these countries considered to close the gap in the budget, which are withdrawing from the sovereign wealth fund, implementing revenue-increasing policies, and/or adopting expenditure-reducing policies (Shi, 2016; Presley & Westaway, 2017; Sultan & Haque, 2018). However, the question remains whether there are different patterns in budget-balancing strategies between countries; and how the different policies could have influenced the budget (Alkhateeb, Sultan, & Mahmood, 2017; Fattouh, Poudineh, & Sen, 2015).

The secondary data collected from the ministries of finance reports showed that the three GCC countries have utilized different types of budget-balancing strategies to manage their budget shortfall (IMF, 2019; Presley & Westaway, 2017). For GCC governments, budget deficits were more likely to be significantly reduced when a balanced approach is considered. However, the use of expenditure-reducing policies such as across the budget cuts or employee benefits cuts were less likely to improve the budget (Maghyereh, Awartani, & Tziogkidis, 2017; Haque & Khan, 2019).

2. Literature Review
When a budget shortfall occurs, broad arrays of strategies and policies are considered to fight budget deficits
(Ndjokou, 2013). An examination of these strategies within the context of the oil crisis of 2014 provides pivotal information for the reader and the literature. There are three main types of budget-balancing strategies that the literature offers, which are the utilization of sovereign wealth fund, revenue-increasing strategies and expenditure-reducing strategies (Truman, 2009; Shi, 2016; Maghyereh, Awartani, & Tziogkidis, 2017; Alkhateeb, Sultan, & Mahmood, 2017; Sultan & Haque, 2018). Below, a discussion of each category is provided.

First, the sovereign wealth fund is a country’s savings or financial reserves, often named “budget stabilization fund” or “rainy days fund.” In times of strong economy, countries put budget-surplus in a fund for use in times of unanticipated budget shortfalls (Shi, 2016). The fund is also known to be a low-risk investment that governments consider in order to continue providing civil and other services to their citizens without having to increase tax that might exacerbate an economic downturn (Shi, 2016; Truman, 2009). However, the mere existence of sovereign wealth fund does not guarantee the avoidance of hardship during severe budget shortfall. In fact, during the 2014 economic recessions almost all GCC countries implemented other strategies to balance their public budget (Maghyereh, Awartani, & Tziogkidis, 2017; Sultan & Haque, 2018).

Second, revenue-increasing strategies aim to grow the economy by implementing policies that enhance budget revenues without severely affecting public spending or creating market hostility (Ndjokou, 2013; Garcia & Hénin, 1999). Implementing revenue-increasing strategies during economic recessions can be extremely challenging. In economic recessions, government revenues decrease significantly and markets become particularly sensitive to government policies that aim to close the gap in the budget, especially if these revenue-increasing policies come in the form of rising major tax sources such as the value added tax (VAT) or implementing new taxes and fees (Ivanova, Romanova, Kostoglodova, & Romanov, 2017; Maghyereh, Awartani, & Sweidan, 2017).

Third, expenditure-reducing strategies are commonly known as a mid-year budget cuts that governments employ during economic recessions in order to avoid severe budget deficits (Shi, 2016; Garcia & Hénin, 1999). In fact, governments are forced to utilize such strategies in order to cope with the heavy pressures from severe declines in revenue collections and increasing expenditure demands (Raudla, Douglas, Savi, & Randma-Liiv, 2017). Forms of expenditure-reducing strategies include employee benefits cut, subsidies cut, and across the board budget cuts (Shi, 2016; Alkhateeb, Sultan, & Mahmood, 2017).

3. Methods

This is a comparative study that utilizes secondary data in order to analyze the budget balancing strategies implemented by three GCC countries. Comparative analysis is a method that researchers use in order to explain a subject matter that cannot be studied without comparing on the bases of logical reasoning (Ragin, 1987). The data are adopted from the financial reports produced by the ministries of finance in the three GCC countries, which are: Saudi Arabia, UAE, and Kuwait from 2014-2018 to analyze the budget balancing strategies that these countries adopted to cope with the oil crisis of 2014. Some of these reports were unpublished; however, they are supplemented to the author via office visits.

4. Results

Results showed that the oil crisis of 2014 had significantly increased budget deficits for all three GCC countries indicating the huge reliance on oil revenues as the single major source for budget revenue. Table 1 showed how Saudi Arabia was able to significantly reduce their annual budget deficit to about 4% to GDP. However, Kuwait experienced relatively high budget deficit during the FY 2016 and FY 2017 in which oil prices were at their lowest rates. On the other hand, the UAE maintained their budget deficit within similar ranges during the intense period of the oil crisis (FY 2016 and FY 2017).

Table 1. The Dollar Amount and Percentage of Budget Deficits for Saudi Arabia, UAE and Kuwait for Fiscal Years 2014-2018

| Country    | FY 2014* | % | FY 2015* | % | FY 2016* | % | FY 2017* | % | FY 2018** |
|------------|----------|---|----------|---|----------|---|----------|---|-----------|
| Saudi Arabia | -103     | 16| -83      | 13| -63      | 9 | -36      | 5 | -35       | 4 |
| UAE        | -62      | 15| -66      | 18| -72      | 20| -75      | 20| -80       | 18|
| Kuwait     | -24      | 14| -19      | 16| -32      | 29| -26      | 21| -20       | 13|

Source: The World Bank*, MOF of Saudi Arabia, UAE, and Kuwait**

Note: Dollars in Billions of US Dollars. % Of budget deficits is measured by the total amount of budget deficits as a percentage of general fund expenditure.

GCC countries rely heavily on oil production for their budget revenues. Table 2 showed how oil crisis of 2014...
had drastically decrease GDP for Saudi Arabia, UAE, and Kuwait for the FY 2015 and FY 2016. However, the UAE was relatively more able to significantly increase their budget revenues and overcome their budget shortfall. On the other hand, in FY 2018, Saudi Arabia was only 1% short from exceeding their GDP in FY 2014. As for Kuwait, the GDP shrunk significantly and is 12% away from meeting their GDP in FY 2014. One of the main reasons for such results is that UAE and Saudi Arabia has implemented more revenue expansion strategies such as value added tax (VAT) and new fees and taxes, whereas Kuwait focused more on budget cuts and relied comparatively more on oil production for budget revenues.

Table 2. The GDP ($) and Annual Growth (%) for Saudi Arabia, UAE and Kuwait for Fiscal Years 2014-2018

| Country      | FY 2014* | FY 2015* | FY 2016* | FY 2017* | FY 2018 |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Saudi Arabia | 756      | 654      | 644      | 686      | 745     |
| UAE          | 403      | 358      | 357      | 383      | 432     |
| Kuwait       | 162      | 114      | 110      | 120      | 144     |

Source: The World Bank*, MOF of Saudi Arabia, UAE, and Kuwait**

Table 3. The Inflation Rate (%) for Saudi Arabia, UAE and Kuwait for Fiscal Years 2014-2018

| Country      | FY 2014* | FY 2015* | FY 2016* | FY 2017* | FY 2018 ** |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Saudi Arabia | 2.2      | 1.2      | 2.1      | -0.8     | 2.6        |
| UAE          | 2.3      | 4.1      | 1.6      | 2.0      | 3.5        |
| Kuwait       | 2.9      | 3.3      | 3.2      | 2.2      | 0.8        |

Source: The World Bank*, MOF of Saudi Arabia, UAE, and Kuwait**

Table 4 showed the budget balancing strategies that GCC countries adopted during and after the oil crisis of 2014. Distinctions in using budget balancing tools are clear among the three countries, which contributed to their variation in budget outcomes during and after the crisis. In particular, countries that implemented revenue-increasing policies were more likely to successfully close the gap in the budget. For example, Saudi Arabia and UAE introduced the VAT in January 2018. According to reports from Saudi Ministry of Finance, the new taxes introduced in 2018 had generated annual revenue of more than 26.6 billion dollars (Saudi Ministry of Finance, 2019). Also, the UAE was able to receive 6.7 billion dollars in revenues (UAE Ministry of Finance, 2019). On the other hand, Kuwait did not introduce VAT to date (Kuwait Ministry of Finance, 2019).

Table 4. Various Budget Balancing Strategies Adopted by Countries

| Year | Type | VAT | New Fees and Taxes | Oil Subsidy Cuts | Budget Cuts | Sovereign Wealth Fund | Employee benefit cuts |
|------|------|-----|--------------------|------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 2014 | SA   | X   |                    |                  |            |                      |                      |
| 2015 | UAE  | X   |                    |                  |            |                      |                      |
| 2016 | KW   | X   |                    |                  |            |                      |                      |
| 2017 | SA   | X   |                    |                  |            |                      |                      |
| 2018 | UAE  | X   |                    |                  |            |                      |                      |

5. Discussions

This study was designed to compare the budget-balancing strategies that three GCC countries had utilized during and after the oil crisis of 2014. Using comparative analysis enabled the study to examine the policies implemented by each country and assess the impact on the economy. In this section, a discussion of each country’s budget-balancing strategies and the policies implemented are provided.

Among these three countries, UAE was more successful than Saudi Arabia and Kuwait in closing the gap in the budget. The review of UAE’s budget-balancing strategies during and after the economic recession of 2014
suggested that the country applied a balanced-approach that placed equal importance into revenue-increasing measures and expenditure cutting strategies in order to cope with the most severe budget shortfalls in FY 2015 and FY 2016. In the following fiscal years, UAE focused more on revenue generating policies to improve revenue collections and cover budget deficits. Using such strategies, the UAE was able to keep its revenue growth stable over time and significantly increase its budget in FY 2018 (Garcia & Hénin, 1999; Saudi Ministry of Finance, 2019).

Saudi Arabia reduced most of its budget shortfalls that it encountered in 2014. An examination of Saudi Arabia's budget-balancing strategies indicates that withdrawing from sovereign wealth funds or introducing expenditure-reducing policies, such as employee benefits cuts were most frequently adopted as the oil crisis lingered (Sultan & Haque, 2018). However, these strategies did not improve the economy. In fact, they might have contributed to the deflation that the Saudi Arabian economy experienced in FY 2017. Nevertheless, Saudi Arabia’s utilization of revenue-increasing policies such as the introduction of VAT was one of the most successful budget-balancing tools that was implemented (Garcia & Hénin, 1999; Saudi Ministry of Finance, 2019). The country’s economy had generated double the projected revenues for the FY 2018.

Kuwait mostly applied cuts across the budget to reduce public spending, and relied heavily on oil revenues cover budget shortfalls. Kuwait implemented few strategies that are related to revenue enhancement. Unlike UAE and Saudi Arabia, Kuwait did not introduce VAT nor decrease subsidies for gas and oil. Kuwait would continue to face the most fiscal problems in balancing revenues with expenditures once oil prices drops. Also, unlike UAE and Saudi Arabia, Kuwait is 12% short of their 2014 budget revenue (Alkhateeb et al., 2017; El-Katiri, 2016; Fattouh et al., 2015; Kuwait Ministry of Finance, 2019).

6. Conclusion

In this study, an investigation was conducted to assess the impact of budget shortfalls in three GCC countries with a specific focus on their economic tools selections of budget-balancing strategies in response to the oil crisis in 2014. Based on previous literature and study of the three countries, this paper categorized budget balancing strategies into several types utilizing secondary data from MoFs and IMF reports (Belmont, 2016; IMF, 2017). For example, the mere existence of sovereign wealth fund did not guarantee the avoidance of hardship during severe budget shortfall (Garcia & Hénin, 1999; Maghyereh, Awartani, & Tziogkisid, 2017). In fact, all three countries had to consider other strategies to cope with the oil crisis of 2014. However, the implementation of revenue-increasing and expenditure-reducing policies varies across countries.

This study underpinned the fact that policies implemented by governments have a huge impact on the economy (Alkhateeb, Sultan, Mahmoud, 2017). Selecting the right budget-balancing strategy can help the country close the gap in the budget and promote economic growth (Shi, 2016; Garcia & Hénin, 1999). Relying heavily on oil production for revenue is not sustainable. GCC countries ought to continue assessing the impact of each policy implemented as social, economic, and political conditions might shift (Maghyereh, Awartani, & Sweidan, 2017).

The evaluation of budget-balancing strategies for these countries showed that there were distinct patterns in use of strategies. Findings of this study indicated that countries which implemented strategies with different focuses such as either revenue increasing or expenditure reducing would produce different outcomes and economic growth (Sultan & Haque, 2018). This conclusion is consistent with previous literature regarding budget-balancing strategies (Shi, 2016; Belmont, 2016; Sultan & Haque, 2018). Future research could broaden the investigation scope to include other GCC countries and utilize different social and economic growth indicators to assess the impact of policy on budget.

7. Limitations

This study is limited to three GCC countries (Saudi Arabia, UAE, and Kuwait) because data was only accessible in these countries during the time the investigation. Also, the analysis is constrained by the timeframe and data resource available from 2014 to 2018.
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