Effects of Chronic Exposure to Low Levels of Dietary Aflatoxin B₁ on Growth Performance, Apparent Total Tract Digestibility and Intestinal Health in Pigs
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Simple Summary: Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) is one of the most toxic mycotoxins compounds produced by Aspergillus, a common fungi contaminant in food and animal feed. Although there are many studies on AFB₁, most of them are focused on the acute toxic effects of high-dose AFB₁ ingestion. The symptoms of acute AFB₁ mycotoxicosis are rarely observed in actual animal production. However, long-term exposure to low levels of AFB₁ is common in swine production and may contribute to chronic diseases. Therefore, this study investigated the effects of chronic exposure to low levels of dietary AFB₁ on growth performance, apparent total tract digestibility and intestinal health in pigs. We found that chronic exposure to low levels of dietary AFB₁ suppressed growth performance, reduced apparent total tract digestibility and damaged intestinal barrier integrity in pigs, which could be associated with the decreased intestinal antioxidant capacity and the increased pro-inflammatory cytokine production. These results could provide new insights for future studies on the prevention and treatment of AFB₁ poisoning.

Abstract: This study aimed to investigate the effects of chronic exposure to low levels of dietary aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) on growth performance, apparent total tract digestibility and intestinal health in pigs. In a 102-day experiment, fourteen barrows (Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire, initial BW = 38.21 ± 0.45 kg) were randomly divided into control (CON, basal diet) and AFB₁ groups (the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB₁). Results revealed that the AFB₁ exposure decreased the final BW, ADFI and ADG in pigs (p < 0.10). AFB₁ exposure also decreased the apparent total tract digestibility of dry mater and gross energy at 50 to 75 kg and 105 to 135 kg stages, and decreased the apparent total tract digestibility of ether extract at 75 to 105 kg stage (p < 0.05). Meanwhile, AFB₁ exposure increased serum diamine oxidase activity and reduced the mRNA abundance of sodium-glucose cotransporter 1, solute carrier family 7 member 1 and zonula occluden-1 in the jejunal mucosa (p < 0.05). Furthermore, AFB₁ exposure decreased superoxide dismutase activity (p < 0.05) and increased 8-hydroxy-2′-deoxyguanosine content (p < 0.10) in jejunal mucosa. AFB₁ exposure also increased tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-1β and transforming growth factor-β mRNA abundance in jejunal mucosa and upregulated Escherichia coli population in colon (p < 0.05). The data indicated that chronic exposure to low levels of dietary AFB₁ suppressed growth performance, reduced the apparent total tract digestibility and damaged intestinal barrier integrity in pigs, which could be associated with the decreased intestinal antioxidant capacity and the increased pro-inflammatory cytokine production.
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1. Introduction

The occurrence of mycotoxins in foodstuffs for humans and animals has been constituted as a threat to international public health [1]. Aflatoxins are secondary metabolites produced primarily by *Aspergillus* [2]. Among aflatoxins identified, aflatoxin B$_1$ is the most toxic contaminant in foods and feedstuffs, and is classified as a Class I carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer [3]. Aflatoxin B1 needs to convert to AFB1-8,9-exo-epoxide (AFBO) to exert toxic effects [4]. Aflatoxin B$_1$ has been characterized as hepatotoxic, teratogenic, carcinogenic, and immunosuppressive [5]. Aflatoxin B$_1$ contaminated feeds can cause animal poisoning, whose manifestation includes growth retardation, liver and kidney damage, oxidative stress, immune inhibition, and increased susceptibility to diseases [6–8]. In addition, AFB$_1$ remains in human food through animal-derived products (such as animal tissues, milk, and eggs), which may pose a threat to human health [9]. Therefore, AFB$_1$ has raised concerns globally in animal production and human public health.

The gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is not only an important organ for nutrient digestion and absorption, it also plays a key role in defending against pathogen infection [10]. Aflatoxin B$_1$ is rapidly absorbed into the blood from the GIT, followed by an extensive transformation into metabolites in the liver [11]. The GIT is the first organ by which AFB$_1$ comes into the bodies of humans and animals; thus, this toxin should exert greater toxic impacts on the intestinal tract compared with other organs [7]. Nevertheless, the effects of AFB$_1$ on the intestinal tract are often neglected and inconclusive. Therefore, it is important and necessary to study the effects of AFB$_1$ on the intestinal health of pigs.

Although much research is available about AFB$_1$ in pigs, most of these reports are focused on acute toxicity following the consumption of high doses of AFB$_1$, which is characterized by body weight reduction, liver and kidney injuries, and immunosuppression [12–15]. Actually, dietary AFB$_1$ levels could be very low due to taking good care of dietary ingredients. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations has reported that the maximum tolerance level of pigs to aflatoxins is 200 µg/kg [16]. The United States has limited the AFB$_1$ concentration in pig diets to 300 µg/kg, while in China, the maximum AFB$_1$ in pig diets is 20 µg/kg [8]. Furthermore, according to the global survey of mycotoxins in feedstuffs [17,18], the dose of aflatoxins is divided into three categories: realistic doses (representative of field conditions, <300 µg/kg); occasional doses (unfavorable weather conditions, 300–2000 µg/kg); and unrealistic doses (unlikely to occur in nature, >2000 µg/kg) [19]. Therefore, the symptoms of acute AFB$_1$ mycotoxicosis are rarely observed in actual animal production. However, long-term exposure to low levels of AFB$_1$ is common and may contribute to chronic diseases.

Therefore, in this study, we sought to determine the effects of chronic exposure to low levels of dietary AFB$_1$ on growth performance, apparent total tract digestibility and intestinal health in pigs, thereby providing a scientific basis for guidance on the production of healthy pigs.

2. Materials and Methods

All procedures involved in the study were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Sichuan Agricultural University (Approval number: CD-SYXK-2017-015).

2.1. Aflatoxin B$_1$ Production and Diet Preparation

Aflatoxin was produced by *Aspergillus flavus* (ATCC28539; purchased from the China Center of Industrial Culture Collection) via fermentation on sterile, polished rice. The mold strain was cultured on sterile potato dextrose agar and incubated at 28 °C for 5–8 days to obtain a uniform fungus spore suspension. Following this, 10 mL of the fungus spore suspension containing 106 spores/mL was transplanted to 80 g sterile rice in Erlenmeyer flasks, and incubated at 28 °C. After 5 days, the rice was immersed in chloroform to kill the fungi, and then ground into fine powder. The concentration of AFB$_1$ in rice powder samples was detected by ELISA kits (Suwei Microbiology Research Co., Ltd., Wuxi, China).
Finally, the dried AFB1-contaminated rice powder (equal to 180 mg/kg of AFB1) was added to the basal diet to obtain the desired level of AFB1 diet (approximately 280 µg/kg of AFB1).

The contents of mycotoxins (AFB1, zearalenone (ZEA), deoxynivalenol (DON), T2 and ochratoxin (OTA)) in basal diet and AFB1 diet were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC: Shimadzu LC-10 AT, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) method [18,20]. The minimum detection concentrations are 0.10 µg/kg for AFB1, 1.00 µg/kg for ZEA, 10.00 µg/kg for DON, 25.00 µg/kg for T2, and 0.21 µg/kg for OTA, respectively. Concentrations of various mycotoxins in basal diet and AFB1 diet were presented in Table 1. Finally, the contents of AFB1 in basal diet and AFB1 diet were 0.40 µg/kg and 286.60 µg/kg, respectively. Only AFB1 exceeded the regulatory guidance concentration of Chinese National Standard (GB 13078-2001), while other mycotoxins did not exceed the regulatory limits of Chinese National Standard (GB 13078.2-2006, GB 13078.3-2007, and GB 21693–2008).

Table 1. The concentration of mycotoxin in diets.

| Mycotoxins | CON ¹ | AFB1 ¹ | Limit ² |
|------------|-------|--------|--------|
| AFB1 (µg/kg) | 0.40 | 286.60 | 20.0 |
| ZEA (µg/kg) | ND ³ | 49.9 | 500 |
| DON (µg/kg) | 101.10 | 406.40 | 1000 |
| T2 (µg/kg) | ND ³ | ND ³ | 1000 |
| OTA (µg/kg) | ND ³ | ND ³ | 100 |

AFB1, aflatoxin B1; ZEA, zearalenone; DON, deoxynivalenol; T2, T2 toxins; OTA, ochratoxin. ¹ CON, basal diet; AFB1, the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB1. ² Chinese National Standard (GB) 13078-2001, GB 13078.2-2006, GB 13078.3-2007, and GB 21693-2008 of China (Beijing, China) ³ ND: Not detected.

2.2. Experimental Design and Animal Management

Fourteen barrows (Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire, initial BW = 38.21 ± 0.45 kg) were randomly divided into the control (CON, basal diet) and AFB1 groups (the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB1), with 7 pigs per group. All pigs were housed in individual metabolism cages (0.7 m × 1.5 m) and were given ad libitum access to water and feed. The experiment lasted 102 days and consisted of 4 stages: 38 to 50 kg, 50 to 75 kg, 75 to 100 kg and 100 to 135 kg. The basal diet (Table 2) was formulated to meet the National Research Council nutrient requirements (NRC) [21]. The pigs were weighed individually on day 1 and 103, and feed intake was recorded daily. These values were used to calculate average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and the ratio of feed to gain (F/G).

2.3. Sample Collection

The apparent total tract digestibility was determined during the last 4 days of each stage (average body weight of pigs at each stage = 74.36 ± 0.93, 102.50 ± 1.58, 128.71 ± 2.36 kg, respectively). During the period of digestibility determination, fecal samples from pigs in each group were collected and weighted daily. After weighing, 10 mL of 10% H2SO4 solution was added to each 100 g of fecal sample, and subsequently stored in plastic bags at −20 °C. At the end of the 4 day period, all fecal samples from each pig were thawed at room temperature and mixed thoroughly, and then dried at 65 °C for 48 h, after which they were ground to pass through a 1 mm screen and stored at −20 °C for chemical analyses. All experimental diets were sampled and stored at −20 °C until chemical analysis for crude protein, dry mater, crude fat, and gross energy. Blood samples were collected from pigs via the anterior vein on day 103 following an overnight fast. After centrifugation (3500 × g for 10 min at 4 °C), serum samples were harvested and stored at −20 °C until analysis. Subsequently, all pigs were euthanized by electric shock, and the jejunal tissue was immediately collected. Mucosal samples from the middle jejunum were scraped and rapidly stored at −80 °C until analysis. In addition, an approximately 3 g digesta sample from the colon was stored at −80 °C for microbial DNA analysis.
Table 2. Diet and chemical compositions of basal diets (% as-fed basis).

| Items                      | 38 to 50 kg | 50 to 75 kg | 75 to 100 kg | 100 to 135 kg |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| Ingredients                |             |             |              |               |
| Maize                      | 72.11       | 78.68       | 78.64        | 84.41         |
| Soybean meal, dehulled     | 18.14       | 16.76       | 17.42        | 12.04         |
| Fish meal                  | 3.00        |             |              |               |
| Sucrose                    | 2.00        |             |              |               |
| Choline chloride           | 0.10        | 0.15        | 0.15         | 0.15          |
| Soybean oil                | 0.30        | 0.40        | 0.40         | 0.40          |
| Limestone                  | 0.58        | 0.74        | 0.56         | 0.58          |
| CaHPO₄                     | 0.93        | 0.94        | 0.93         | 0.71          |
| L-Lysine-HCl               | 0.38        | 0.39        | 0.22         | 0.21          |
| DL-Methionine              | 0.08        | 0.06        |              |               |
| L-Threonine                | 0.11        | 0.11        | 0.04         | 0.05          |
| L-Tryptophan               | 0.03        | 0.03        | 0.30         | 0.30          |
| Rice                       | 0.30        | 0.30        | 0.30         | 0.30          |
| Rice bran                  | 0.31        | 0.30        | 0.31         | 0.31          |
| Vitamin premix ¹           | 0.03        | 0.03        | 0.03         | 0.03          |
| Mineral premix ²           | 0.20        | 0.20        | 0.20         | 0.20          |
| Total                      | 100.00      | 100.00      | 100.00       | 100.00        |

Nutrient compositions

| Nutrients                  | 38 to 50 kg | 50 to 75 kg | 75 to 100 kg | 100 to 135 kg |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| Metabolizable energy, MJ/kg| 13.92       | 13.75       | 13.75        | 13.82         |
| Crude protein              | 16.47       | 14.50       | 13.60        | 12.60         |
| Calcium                    | 0.66        | 0.59        | 0.52         | 0.46          |
| Total phosphorus           | 0.58        | 0.50        | 0.50         | 0.44          |
| Available phosphorus       | 0.32        | 0.25        | 0.25         | 0.21          |
| SID ³ Lysine               | 1.10        | 0.96        | 0.84         | 0.70          |
| SID ³ Methionine           | 0.37        | 0.30        | 0.25         | 0.22          |

1 Supplied per kilogram of diets: 12,000 IU vitamin A; 3000 IU vitamin D₃; 11.23 IU vitamin E; 0.6 mg vitamin B₁; 4.8 mg vitamin B₂; 1.8 mg vitamin B₆; 9 μg vitamin B₁₂; 1.5 mg vitamin K₃; 10.5 mg niacin; 0.15 mg folic acid; 7.5 mg pantothenic. ² Supplied per kilogram of diets: 4.0 mg Cu (CuSO₄·H₂O); 60 mg Fe (FeSO₄·H₂O); 2.0 mg Mn (MnSO₄·H₂O); 60 mg Zn (ZnSO₄·H₂O); 0.2 mg Se (Na₂SeO₃); 0.14 mg I (KI). ³ Standardized ileal digestible.

2.4. Chemical Analysis

The apparent total tract digestibility of crude protein, dry mater, ether extract and gross energy was determined by the method of acid insoluble ash (AIA) [22]. The crude protein (method 990.03), dry mater (method 930.15) and ether extract (method 945.16) were measured according to the methods described by AOAC (1995) [23]. Gross energy was determined using an automatic adiabatic oxygen bomb calorimeter (Parr Instrument Co., Moline, IL, USA). The apparent total tract digestibility was calculated using the following formula: apparent total tract digestibility (%) = [1 − (A1 × F2)/(A2 × F1)] × 100, in which A1 = the AIA content of the diet, A2 = the AIA content of feces, F1 = the nutrient content of the diet and F2 = the nutrient content of feces.

2.5. Diamine Oxidase Activity in Serum

The activity of diamine oxidase (DAO) in serum was measured by using Diamine Oxidase Assay kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Institute of Bioengineering, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All determinations were done in triplicate, and absorbance was measured using a multi-mode microplate reader (SpectraMax M2, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

2.6. Antioxidant Parameters in Jejunal Mucosa

The mucosal sample of jejunum was homogenized in ice-cold physiologic saline (w/v = 1:9). After centrifugation (3500 × g for 10 min at 4 °C), the mucosal supernatant was collected to determine antioxidant parameters. The jejunal mucosal antioxidant parameters including total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and
malondialdehyde (MDA) were measured by the commercial kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Institute of Bioengineering, Nanjing, China) combined with a UV–VIS Spectrophotometer (UV1100, MAPADA, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The total protein concentration of supernatants was determined by using a protein assay kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Institute of Bioengineering, Nanjing, China).

2.7. 8-OHdG and PCO Concentrations in Jejunal Mucosa

The concentrations of 8-hydroxy-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) and protein carbonylation (PCO) in jejunal mucosa were determined using commercially available pig ELISA kits (Chenglin Institute of Bioengineering, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.8. Total RNA Isolation and Gene Expression Analysis

Approximately 40 mg of jejunal mucosa were used for total RNA extraction using TRizol Reagent (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). Reverse transcription was performed according to the instructions of the PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). Real-time PCR was conducted in a QuanStudio™ 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA), using SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The primer sequences were listed in Table 3 and purchased from TaKaRa (Dalian, China). The real-time PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 30 s, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s, and 60 °C for 30 s. The relative mRNA levels of target genes were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method with β-actin as the housekeeping gene [24].

Table 3. Primer sequences used for quantitative RT-PCR.

| Gene      | Sequence (5′–3′)                     | Product Size (bp) | Accession No.          |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| SGLT1     | F: GCAACACGAAAAGGAGCGTGTAT          | 95                | NM_001164021.1         |
|           | R: GCCAACAAACAGCCTAGGTCT            |                   |                        |
| SLC7A1    | F: CTTTCTACCCGCGGTCTCC             | 150               | NM_001012613.1         |
|           | R: TGCTGAGGGAATCTGCTGA              |                   |                        |
| ZO-1      | F: CAGCCCCCGTACATGGGAGA            | 114               | XM_005659811           |
|           | R: GCCGAGACGGTTCATAGTT              |                   |                        |
| Occludin  | F: CTACTCGTCCCAACGGGAAAG           | 158               | NM_001163647.2         |
|           | R: AGCCCTCAAAGTACCTACTG             |                   |                        |
| TNF-α     | F: ACCACGGCTTTCTTGCT               | 121               | NM_214022.1            |
|           | R: GGCTTATCTGAGGTTTG               |                   |                        |
| IL-8      | F: AGTGGACCCACTGTGAAAA             | 102               | X61151.1               |
|           | R: TACAACTTCTTCTGGCA                |                   |                        |
| TGF-β     | F: AGGACCTGGGCTGGAAGT              | 119               | NM_214015.1            |
|           | R: GGGCCCCAGGCAAAAT                |                   |                        |
| IL-1β     | F: TCTGCCCCGTACCCCCAACTG           | 112               | NM_214055.1            |
|           | R: CCAGGAAGACGGCGTTGA               |                   |                        |
| β-actin   | F: CACACGGCTTTCTTGTTCTGTG          | 114               | DQ178122               |
|           | R: CACCCACAGCCTTATGTG              |                   |                        |

SGLT1, sodium-glucose cotransporter 1; SLC7A1, solute carrier family 7 member 1; ZO-1, zonula occluden-1; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; IL-8, interleukin-8; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β; IL-1β, interleukin-1β.

2.9. Bacterial DNA Isolation and Microbial Real-Time Quantitative PCR

Bacterial DNA in colonic digesta was extracted by using the Stool DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Doraville, CA, USA). All primers and probes were listed in Table 4 and designed following the previous report [25]. Microbial real-time quantitative PCR was performed in a QuanStudio™ 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA). Briefly, the total bacteria was detected using SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II reagent (TaKaRa, Dalian, China), and the *Bacillus, Lactobacillus, E. coli* and *Bifidobacterium* were detected using...
PrimerScript™ PCR kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) following the previous methods [26]. Furthermore, for the quantification of bacteria, specific standard curves were generated by constructing standard plasmids as presented by Chen et al. (2013) [26].

Table 4. Primer and probe sequences used for quantitative RT-PCR.

| Items          | Sequence (5′–3′) | Anneal Temperature (°C) | Product Size (bp) |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Total bacteria | F: ACTCTCTACGGAGGAGCAG | 60.0                    | 200               |
|                | R: ATTACCGCGCTGCTG |                         |                   |
| Lactobacillus  | F: GAGGCAGCAGTAGGGAATCTTC | 57.5                    | 126               |
|                | R: CAACAGTTACTCTGACACCCGTT |                       |                   |
|                | P: AAGAAGGGTTTCGGCTCGTTAAA |                        |                   |
| Bifidobacterium| F: CGCGTCCGGTGTGAAG | 59.5                    | 121               |
|                | R: CTTCGCCGATATCTACACATCC |                      |                   |
|                | P: ATTCACCGTGAACCGGAA |                         |                   |
| Bacillus       | F: GCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTGA | 60.0                    | 92                |
|                | R: TCATCCCCACCTTCCTCCTG |                       |                   |
|                | P: CGGTTGTCCACCGGCACTCCT |                        |                   |
| Escherichia coli| F: CATGCGCGGTATGAAAGA | 58.8                    | 96                |
|                | R: CGGTTAACGTCATGAAAGC |                       |                   |
|                | P: AGGTATAACTTTTACTCCTCCT |                        |                   |

2.10. Statistical Analysis

Each pig was considered as an experimental unit. Bacterial copies were transformed (log10) before statistical analysis. All data were expressed as means ± standard errors (SE) and were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Student’s t-test was used in order to compare the means. p < 0.05 was considered as significant, 0.05 ≤ p ≤ 0.10 was considered as a tendency.

3. Results

3.1. Growth Performance

Pigs fed the AFB$_1$ diet trended to decrease their final BW, ADFI and ADG across the whole experiment compared with the CON group (Table 5, p < 0.10). However, no significant difference in F/G was observed between AFB$_1$ group and CON group (p > 0.05).

Table 5. Effects of AFB$_1$ on growth performance of pigs.

| Items          | CON               | AFB$_1$             | p-Value |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Initial BW (kg) | 38.22 ± 0.70      | 38.19 ± 0.65        | 0.98    |
| Final BW (kg)  | 132.80 ± 2.10     | 124.60 ± 3.43       | 0.07    |
| ADFI (g/d)     | 2544.08 ± 41.64   | 2332.18 ± 96.26     | 0.07    |
| ADG (g/d)      | 927.25 ± 15.69    | 847.16 ± 33.52      | 0.06    |
| F/G            | 2.75 ± 0.04       | 2.75 ± 0.05         | 0.91    |

Results are expressed as means ± standard errors (n = 7). BW, body weight; ADFI, average daily feed intake; ADG, average daily gain; F/G, the ratio of feed intake to gain. CON, basal diet; AFB$_1$, the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB$_1$.

3.2. Apparent Total Tract Digestibility

Compared with CON group, pigs fed the AFB$_1$ diet significantly decreased the apparent total tract digestibility of dry mater and gross energy at the 50 to 75 kg and 105 to 135 kg stages, and decreased the apparent total tract digestibility of ether extract at 75 to 105 kg stage (Table 6, p < 0.05). However, no significant difference in the apparent total tract digestibility of crude protein was observed (p > 0.05).
Table 6. Effects of AFB$_1$ on the apparent total tract digestibility of pigs (%).

| Items          | CON          | AFB$_1$         | $p$-Value |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| **50 to 75 kg** |              |                 |           |
| Dry mater     | 87.74 ± 0.77 | 86.17 ± 0.44    | 0.04      |
| Gross energy  | 87.34 ± 0.76 | 85.66 ± 0.45    | 0.03      |
| Crude protein | 85.22 ± 1.18 | 84.62 ± 0.77    | 0.61      |
| Ether extract | 75.99 ± 2.19 | 73.63 ± 1.22    | 0.25      |
| **75 to 105 kg** |          |                 |           |
| Dry mater     | 89.59 ± 0.19 | 89.82 ± 0.58    | 0.76      |
| Gross energy  | 89.08 ± 0.22 | 89.1 ± 0.57     | 0.98      |
| Crude protein | 87.24 ± 0.44 | 87.63 ± 1.1     | 0.80      |
| Ether extract | 81.88 ± 0.43 | 78.06 ± 0.74    | 0.04      |
| **105 to 135 kg** |            |                 |           |
| Dry mater     | 88.25 ± 0.36 | 86.39 ± 0.60    | 0.03      |
| Gross energy  | 87.76 ± 0.34 | 85.54 ± 0.58    | 0.01      |
| Crude protein | 84.80 ± 1.18 | 83.12 ± 0.79    | 0.27      |
| Ether extract | 73.88 ± 1.78 | 71.99 ± 1.47    | 0.43      |

Results are expressed as means ± standard errors ($n=7$). CON, basal diet; AFB$_1$, the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB$_1$.

3.3. Relative mRNA Expressions of Nutrient Transporters in Jejunal Mucosa

Pigs fed the AFB$_1$ diet had significantly decreased mRNA expression of SGLT1 and SLC7A1 in jejunal mucosa compared with those fed the CON diet (Table 7, $p<0.05$).

Table 7. Effects of AFB$_1$ on the relative mRNA expressions of nutrient transporters in jejunal mucosa of pigs.

| Items      | CON          | AFB$_1$         | $p$-Value |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| SGLT1      | 1.00 ± 0.19  | 0.36 ± 0.12     | 0.02      |
| SLC7A1     | 1.00 ± 0.03  | 0.86 ± 0.04     | 0.04      |

Results are expressed as means ± standard errors ($n=7$). SGLT1, sodium-glucose cotransporter 1; SLC7A1, solute carrier family 7 member 1. CON, basal diet; AFB$_1$, the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB$_1$.

3.4. Serum DAO Activity and Relative mRNA Expressions of Barrier Junction Related Genes in Jejunal Mucosa

The serum DAO activity in the AFB$_1$ group was greater than that in CON group (Table 8, $p<0.05$). Meanwhile, pigs fed the AFB$_1$ diet showed significantly decreased mRNA abundance of ZO-1 in the jejunal mucosa compared with CON group ($p<0.05$).

Table 8. Effects of AFB$_1$ on serum DAO activity and the relative mRNA expressions of barrier junction-related genes in jejunal mucosa.

| Items          | CON          | AFB$_1$         | $p$-Value |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Serum DAO (U/L)| 13.79 ± 1.97 | 23.75 ± 1.65    | $p<0.01$ |
| Jejunal mucosa|              |                 |           |
| ZO-1          | 1.00 ± 0.05  | 0.67 ± 0.03     | $p<0.01$ |
| Occludin      | 1.00 ± 0.12  | 1.06 ± 0.08     | 0.68      |

Results are expressed as means ± standard errors ($n=7$). DAO, diamine oxidase; ZO-1, zonula occluden-1. CON, basal diet; AFB$_1$, the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB$_1$.

3.5. Antioxidant Capacity

Compared with the CON group, pigs fed AFB$_1$ diet showed significantly decreased activity of SOD (Table 9, $p<0.05$), and tended to show increased content of 8-OHdG in the jejunal mucosa ($p<0.10$). However, no significant effects of dietary AFB$_1$ on the activity of T-AOC and the content of MDA and PCO in jejunal mucosal were observed ($p>0.05$).
Table 9. Effects of AFB1 on jejunal mucosal antioxidant indicators of pigs.

| Items         | CON       | AFB1      | p-Value |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| T-AOC (U/mgprot) | 1.44 ± 0.10 | 1.20 ± 0.16 | 0.24    |
| SOD (U/mgprot)   | 275.34 ± 21.06 | 189.34 ± 18.62 | 0.02    |
| MDA (nmol/mgprot) | 0.18 ± 0.02   | 0.18 ± 0.03  | 0.95    |
| 8-OHdG (pg/mL)   | 11.22 ± 0.96  | 15.03 ± 1.63 | 0.08    |
| PCO (pg/mL)      | 26.80 ± 2.28  | 31.47 ± 3.67 | 0.31    |

Results are expressed as means ± standard errors (n = 7). T-AOC, total antioxidant capacity; SOD, superoxide dismutase; MDA, malondialdehyde; 8-OHdG, 8-hydroxy-2′-deoxyguanosine; PCO, protein carbonylation. CON, basal diet; AFB1, the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB1.

3.6. Relative mRNA Expressions of Inflammatory Related Genes in Jejunal Mucosa

Compared with the CON group, pigs fed the AFB1 diet showed a significantly increased mRNA abundance of TNF-α and IL-1β (Table 10, p < 0.05), and tended to show an increase in the mRNA abundance of TGF-β in the jejunal mucosa (p = 0.05). However, no significant effect of dietary AFB1 on the mRNA abundance of IL-8 in the jejunal mucosa was observed (p > 0.05).

Table 10. Effects of AFB1 on the relative mRNA expressions of inflammatory related genes in jejunal mucosa of pigs.

| Items       | CON       | AFB1      | p-Value |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| TNF-α       | 1.00 ± 0.08 | 1.44 ± 0.14 | 0.03    |
| IL-1β       | 1.00 ± 0.06 | 1.34 ± 0.10 | 0.02    |
| IL-8        | 1.00 ± 0.11 | 1.42 ± 0.28 | 0.21    |
| TGF-β       | 1.00 ± 0.08 | 1.56 ± 0.21 | 0.05    |

Results are expressed as means ± standard errors (n = 7). TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; IL-1β, interleukin-1β; IL-8, interleukin-8; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β. CON, basal diet; AFB1, the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB1.

3.7. Bacteria Populations

Pigs fed the AFB1 diet showed a significantly increased Escherichia coli population in colonic digesta compared with the CON group (Table 11, p < 0.05). However, no significant difference was observed on the populations of total bacteria, Lactobacillus, Bacillus and Bifidobacterium in colonic digesta between the AFB1 group and the CON group (p > 0.05).

Table 11. Effects of AFB1 on bacteria populations in colonic digesta of pigs (log10(copies/g)).

| Items          | CON       | AFB1      | p-Value |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Total bacteria | 13.46 ± 0.09 | 13.54 ± 0.02 | 0.45    |
| Lactobacillus  | 7.74 ± 0.24  | 7.82 ± 0.23  | 0.82    |
| Bacillus       | 9.84 ± 0.17  | 9.93 ± 0.05  | 0.63    |
| Escherichia coli| 6.72 ± 0.37 | 7.72 ± 0.13 | 0.03    |
| Bifidobacterium| 5.43 ± 0.13  | 5.62 ± 0.35  | 0.63    |

Results are expressed as means ± standard errors (n = 7). CON, basal diet; AFB1, the basal diet supplemented with 280 µg/kg AFB1.

4. Discussion

Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) is one of the most common mycotoxins found in feedstuffs such as corn, barley, and wheat [27]. Ingestion of AFB1 by animals causes many health issues including decreased feed intake and body weight, liver damage, immune suppression and even death, which eventually leads to significant economic losses [28,29]. Pigs are easily exposed to AFB1 due to the composition of their feed [30]. Previous studies have shown that piglets fed a diet contaminated with 200 µg/kg of aflatoxins decreased growth rate and feed intake [31]. Marin et al. (2002) reported a decrease in the growth rate of piglets fed a diet contaminated with 280 µg/kg of AFB1 [8]. Similarly, the data of this study revealed...
that the ingestion of a diet containing 280 µg/kg AFB1 trended to decrease the final BW, ADFI and ADG of pigs. The adverse effect of AFB1 on growth performance partially results from undereating and from decreased nutrient digestibility [32,33]. In this study, pigs fed the AFB1 diet showed significantly decreased apparent total tract digestibility of dry mater, gross energy and ether extract. However, other studies have reported that the AFB1 diet failed to affect growth performance of pigs [34–36]. The difference between the experimental results may be partly due to the different physiological stages (age, sex, or body weight) of pigs, the source of the contamination (purified AFB1 or naturally contaminated feedstuff), and the dietary concentration of AFB1.

Furthermore, Na+-dependent glucose transporter1 (SGLT1) and solute carrier family 7 member 1 (SLC7A1) in the small intestine epithelium are closely related to nutrient absorption. SGLT1 is an important glucose transporter, mainly responsible for transporting luminal glucose across the intestinal epithelium [37]. SLC7A1 is an important luminal amino acid transporter located in the intestinal mucosa [38]. In the present study, pigs fed the AFB1 diet showed significantly decreased mRNA levels of SGLT1 and SLC7A1 in the jejunal mucosa, so nutrient absorption of these pigs could be poorer than that of pigs fed the basal diet. This result is consistent with the reduction of growth performance and nutrient digestibility in the AFB1 group. This may be related to changes in the integrity of the intestinal barrier.

The integrity of the intestinal barrier plays a key role in the digestion and absorption of nutrients and the inhibition of pathogen invasion. Recent studies have revealed that AFB1 could cause remarkable disturbances in intestinal barrier function [39,40], which was supported by a study that observed the biotransformation of AFB1 to the toxic AFB1-exo,8,9-epoxide (AFBO) also occurred in the intestinal tract [41]. Diamine oxidase (DAO) is an intracellular enzyme located in the intestinal epithelium, which is released into the blood when the intestinal barrier is destroyed [42]. Therefore, serum DAO activity can be used as an index to evaluate intestinal permeability [43]. In the present study, pigs fed the AFB1 diet showed significantly increased serum DAO activity, indicating that AFB1 supplementation damages intestinal barrier integrity. Furthermore, the tight junction proteins (ZO-1, occluding and claudin-1) play an important role in regulating and maintaining intestinal permeability [44]. In the current experiment, pigs fed the AFB1 diet showed significantly decreased mRNA abundance of ZO-1 in jejunal mucosa, further indicating that AFB1 could damage the intestinal barrier’s integrity.

Oxidative stress has been associated with intestinal barrier disruption [45]. It has been reported that AFB1 can initiate the production of free radicals [46], indicating the involvement of AFB1 in an oxidative stress pathway. SOD is a crucial antioxidant enzyme for scavenging free radicals [47]. Cao et al. reported that broilers fed an AFB1 contaminated diet showed significantly reduced SOD activity in the liver [48]. Similarly, our current research found that AFB1 supplementation significantly decreased the activity of SOD in the jejunal mucosa, indicating that AFB1 could decrease intestinal antioxidant ability. In addition, intestinal oxidative damage was evaluated by measuring the concentrations of PCO, 8-OHdG and MDA, indicating the degree of protein, DNA and lipid peroxidation, respectively [49]. In the present study, pigs fed the AFB1 diet tended to show increased content of 8-OHdG in the jejunal mucosa. The results indicated that AFB1 may damage intestinal barrier partially through the reduction of intestinal antioxidant ability.

Cytokines exert momentous influences on the immune and inflammatory responses and participate in the regulation of intestinal barrier integrity [50]. Previous studies have reported that pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6) increase intestinal permeability by inducing the disruption of tight junctions [51]. In this study, consistent with the decreased ZO-1 mRNA levels in the jejunal mucosa of the AFB1 group, increased levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-1β mRNA abundance were observed, indicating that AFB1 may damage intestinal barrier integrity partially by stimulating pro-inflammatory cytokine production. However, up-regulation of anti-inflammatory cytokine TGF-β mRNA abundance was also observed in the AFB1 group. The reason may be that
pigs fed the AFB1 diet acquired intestinal injury; in order to maintain intestinal health, the animals’ bodies increased the expression of TGF-β through immune mechanisms to alleviate excessive intestinal injury.

The flora in the gastrointestinal tract play an important role in the maturation of the immune system and the development of normal intestinal morphology [52]. Some degree of internal and external stimulation or interference of the body may trigger a change in the numbers or the components of intestinal microflora, cause physiochemical reactions, and lead to diseases [53]. Previous studies have reported that AFB1 exposure can cause gut dysbiosis and disrupt the balance of gut microbiota by increasing the growth of non-beneficial and pathogenic bacteria [54]. Oswald et al. (2003) reported that mycotoxin fumonisin B1 increased intestinal colonization of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in pigs [55]. In this study, pigs fed the AFB1 diet showed a significantly increased *Escherichia coli* population in colonic digesta. Previous studies indicated that pathogenic *Escherichia coli* infection may damage the intestinal barrier and cause inflammatory responses in children and pigs [56]. Thus, AFB1-induced increase of the intestinal *Escherichia coli* population may also be an important reason that it inhibits growth and damages intestinal barrier integrity in pigs.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, chronic exposure to low levels of dietary aflatoxin B1 suppressed growth performance, reduced the apparent total tract digestibility and damaged intestinal barrier integrity in pigs, which could be associated with the decreased intestinal antioxidant capacity and the increased pro-inflammatory cytokine production.
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