Precise LIGO lensing rate predictions for binary black holes
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We show how LIGO is expected to detect coalescing binary black holes at $z > 1$ that are lensed by the intervening galaxy population. Gravitational magnification, $\mu$, strengthens gravitational-wave signals by $\sqrt{\mu}$ without altering their frequencies, which if unrecognized leads to an underestimate of the event redshift and hence an overestimate of the binary mass. High magnifications can be reached for coalescing binaries, because the region of intense gravitational-wave emission during coalescence is so small ($\sim 100$ km), permitting very close projections between lensing caustics and gravitational-wave events. Our simulations use the current LIGO event-based mass function and incorporate accurate waveforms convolved with the LIGO power spectral density. Importantly, we include the detection dependence on sky position and orbital orientation, which for the LIGO configuration translates into a wide spread in observed redshifts and chirp masses. Currently, we estimate a detectable rate of lensed events $0.06^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ yr$^{-1}$ that rises to $5^{+5}_{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$ at LIGO design sensitivity limit, depending on the high redshift rate of black hole coalescence.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The brightest and most distant sources in the Universe are often magnified by lensing. In particular, infrared sky surveys of star-forming galaxies have established that lensing by intervening galaxies accounts for the brightest decade in observed mid-IR flux, with magnifications reaching a factor of $50^{+100}_{-4}$, based on modeling each individual case where multiple images are visible [1–6]. The maximal magnifications of such star-forming galaxies are limited by the size of the bright star-forming regions, because the smaller the source, the closer it can lie in projection near the lensing caustic. The larger the source, the lower the overall magnification, saturating at a maximum magnification for sources that straddle a caustic. An extreme example is the well-known IRAS F10214 ($z = 2.2$) that is magnified $\sim 100$ times [7] where a compact central IR emission is projected on the maximally magnifying cusp caustic of an intervening elliptical lensing galaxy.

This size-dependent magnification effect can be more extreme for gravitational-wave (GW) sources that are detectable by ground-based interferometers, such as LIGO [8], because of the tiny region of intense wave emission. Considering a typical galaxy lens for which the size of the Einstein ring is $\sim 1$ kpc, gravitational-wave sources such as mergers of compact objects which are $\sim 100$ km in size can be treated in the geometrical optics limit. With the recent gravitational-wave detections [9,10] and the subsequent prediction of many more similar events [11], it is natural to wonder how many of these detections will be lensed.

The effect of gravitational magnification, $\mu$, on GWs emitted by a compact source is to enhance the detected strain amplitude by $\sqrt{\mu}$ without changing the observed frequency structure of the waveform [12,13], since lensing in the geometrical optics limit is “achromatic.” This means that the distance inferred to a lensed event is degenerate with the unknown magnification, unless lensing can be
excluded. Therefore, a distant magnified source can be
equally inferred to be luminous and relatively nearby if the
role of lensing is unknown. Furthermore, GW events from
binary black hole coalescence are not accurately localized
on the sky in the absence of an associated electromagnetic
signature, and hence it is not possible to exclude lensing of
such events, taken individually. Finally, the degeneracy
between the chirp mass and the redshift implies uncertainty
regarding the intrinsic chirp mass of an unidentified GW
event. Cosmological stretching of the waveform with
source redshift, \( z_s \), can be simply compensated by increased
binary orbital frequency, corresponding to a lower intrinsic
chirp mass, so that the observed “chirp” mass \( M_c \) is larger
than its intrinsic value: \( M_c = (1 + z_s)M_0 \). This redshift–
chirp mass degeneracy can only be broken if the redshift
can be measured through some auxiliary measurement and
lensing is excluded.

These degeneracies can be understood by considering the
signal-to-noise ratio \( \rho \), which scales to leading order as

\[
\rho' \sim \sqrt{\hat{\mu}\Omega_c M_c^{5/6}}/d_L.
\]  

The “geometrical” term \( \Theta \) depends strongly on the poorly
measured sky position and orbital orientation through

\[
\Theta \equiv 2[F_+^2(1 + \cos^2\iota)^2 + 4F_+^2\cos^2\iota]^{1/2},
\]

where the antenna pattern functions \( F_+ \) and \( F_\times \) are
given by

\[
F_+ \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos^2\theta)\cos2\phi\cos2\psi - \cos \theta \sin 2\phi \sin 2\psi,
\]

\[
F_\times \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos^2\theta)\cos2\phi\sin2\psi + \cos \theta \sin 2\phi \cos 2\psi,
\]

where \( \theta, \phi, \iota, \) and \( \psi \) are the zenith angle, azimuthal angle,
orbital orientation angle and polarization angle respectively.
This geometrical term ranges over \( 0 < \Theta < 4 \) and peaks for
sources directly overhead from LIGO [14]. However, \( \Theta \) is
subject to a considerable uncertainty due to the poor angular
resolution of interferometric detectors [11].

Calculations of gravitational-wave lensing first focused on
neutron star mergers [12], including future space
missions [15,16] and the related precision on \( H_0 \)
[17–19], and more recently extended to binary black holes
(BBHs) [13], given the LIGO detections [11]. Here we
enhance the precision of strong lensing predictions through
Monte Carlo simulation and introduce the significant role
of the angular dependence, \( \Theta \), as this plays a large role
which cannot be ignored in the case of LIGO events, as we
demonstrate below. We also take care to make detailed
simulations of the coalescing waveform in frequency space
convolved with the frequency bandpass for LIGO so that
the GW signal is accurately predicted. We rely on the
signal-to-noise ratio as our signal discriminator, to which
we add lensing that is known to be dominated by massive
early-type galaxies, confirming the lensing “optical depth”
predictions of Ref. [20].

II. GENERATING BINARY
BLACK HOLE EVENTS

A gravitational waveform depends on the component
masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), spins \( S_{1z} \) and \( S_{2z} \), the redshift \( z_s \), and
angular detector response \( \Theta \). In this work, we assume that
the black hole spins are aligned or anti-aligned with the
orbital angular moment so that spin effects can be char-
acterized by a single effective spin \( S_{\text{eff}} = (m_1 S_{1z} +
2m_2 S_{2z})/(m_1 + m_2) \) [21]. We take the mass function of
BBHs from the latest observational estimates from the
detected LIGO events. The primary mass is distributed as a
power law \( P(m_1) \propto m_1^{-2.35} \), and the secondary mass
of BBHs is uniformly distributed with \( m_2 < m_1 \) and
\( m_1 + m_2 < 100 \). The corresponding local merger rate
density \( r(0) \) is inferred as \( 103^{+110}_{-61} \) Gpc\(^{-3}\) yr\(^{-1} \) [22]. The
effective spin distributes uniformly within \( \chi_{\text{eff}} \in (-1, 1) \),
which is a conservative choice in light of the limited
knowledge on the spin magnitudes. The redshift distribu-
tion \( dR(z)/dz \) is

\[
\frac{dR}{dz} = \frac{r(z) \, dV_C}{1 + z \, dz},
\]

where \( r(z) \) is the merger rate density at source frame, \( V_C \) is
the comoving volume, and \( (1 + z)^{-1} \) converts to the
detector frame. The above \( r(z) \) is a convolution of delay
time–coalescence \( t_d \) distribution and the star
formation rate (SFR). A recent study shows that \( t_d \)
distribution follows as \( 1/t_d \) [23]. For SFR, we adopt the
form \( (1 + z)^\alpha \) with \( z < 2.5, \) where \( \alpha = 3 \) is approximately
the measured evolution of the integrated star formation
rate at low \( z \) [24], and for which the formation of massive
BH stellar progenitors may be expected to follow
approximately, depending on the unknown details of
BBH binary formation. To convert redshifts to distances,
we adopt Planck weighted cosmological parameters:
\( H_0 = 67.8 \) km/s/Mpc, \( \Omega_m = 0.306, \) \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.694, \) and
\( \Omega_k = 0 \) [25]. We further assume that the sky location
and orbital orientation are uniformly distributed on the
corresponding unit spheres.

From the source distributions, we calculate the signal-to-
noise ratio (SNR) \( \rho \), using the noise-weighted inner product
of the waveform

\[
\rho^2 = \int_{f_{\text{min}}}^{f_{\text{max}}} \frac{h(f)h^*(f)}{S_n(f)} \, df,
\]

where \( h(f) \) is the strain signal in frequency domain and
\( S_n(f) \) is the noise power spectrum. We use the inspiral-
merger-ringdown phenomenological waveform model from Refs. [26,27] to simulate the gravitational-wave strain from a BBH merger. Moreover, we use the average measured noise power spectral density of the Advanced LIGO detectors during the time analyzed to determine the significance of GW150914 (12 September 2015–20 October 2015) [28,29] as a proxy for the sensitivity of the LIGO detectors in the near future. Finally, a signal is classified as “detectable” when the SNR above the threshold $\rho = 8$.

III. LENSING OPTICAL DEPTH

An “optical depth” for lensing $\tau(z_s)$ can be defined as the fraction of the sky that is enhanced in area by the lens magnification [30], so that for a source at redshift $z_s$, the probability of being lensed by magnification $\mu$ where $\mu > \mu_{\text{min}}$ can be defined as

$$P(\mu, z_s) = \frac{\tau(z_s)}{\tau(z_s)} \cdot P(\mu | z_s),$$

(7)

For early-type galaxies, the optical depth has a simple form by relating the internal galaxy velocity dispersion to the isothermal mass density profile [31]:

$$\tau(z_s) = \frac{F_s}{30} \left[ \frac{d_C(z_s)}{cH_0} \right]^3,$$

(8)

where $d_C$ is the transverse comoving distance, $H_0$ is the Hubble constant, and the normalization $F_s$ of the optical depth has been determined to be $\sim 0.05$–0.07 [12,31]. The probability distribution of magnifications is given by the universal form $P(\mu) \propto \mu^{-3}$ in the strong lensing regime, regardless of $z_s$, and this is usually integrated above a lower limit, $\mu_{\text{min}} = 2$, to encompass all multiply lensed images for the isothermal mass distribution for which the outer image has a lower limiting magnification, $\mu = 2$ [30].

IV. CALCULATION OF EXPECTED LENSING RATES

We have performed a Monte Carlo study by simulating $N = 10^6$ events using the distributions of BBH masses, redshifts, and geometrical factors calculated as described above. From the simulated events, we obtain a distribution of intrinsic SNR $\rho$. We now calculate the intrinsic differential rate at each $dMdz$,

$$\frac{d^2R}{dMdz} = A \frac{d^2P}{dMdz},$$

(9)

where $d^2P/dMdz$ is the probability density of intrinsic events. The normalization $A$ is the total number of BBH coalescences per year, given by the integration of Eq. (5). To determine the rate of lensed signals over some SNR threshold $\rho_{\text{th}}$, the differential lensed rate is equivalent to the ratio of number density between lensed signals $\tilde{n}_{\text{lens}}(>\rho_{\text{th}}) = d^2N_L(>\rho_{\text{th}})/dMdz$ and intrinsic events $\tilde{n} = d^2N/dMdz$, multiplied by the absolute differential rate at each $dMdz$ bin. Weighted by optical depth $\tau(z)$, we can estimate the number density of lensed events in our simulation. The total lensed rate is then given by

$$R_L(>\rho_{\text{th}}) = \int_{M_{\text{min}}}^{M_{\text{max}}} \int_{dM}^{\min} dR \frac{\tilde{n}_{\text{lens}}(>\rho_{\text{th}})}{\tilde{n}} dz dM.$$

(10)

Figure 1 shows how the lensing rate increases with lower limiting $\rho_{\text{th}}$, where we indicate the current and expected future sensitivities of LIGO by the vertical dashed lines. The rate is found to $0.06^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ per year at current sensitivity, and it rises to $5^{+5}_{-3}$ per year at design sensitivity.

Figure 2 shows the differential rate as a function of the source redshift at different stages of LIGO. Lensing starts to dominate the rate for $z > 0.6$ at current sensitivity and rises to $z > 2$ for the design sensitivity of LIGO, and causes a sharp transition in the differential rate. However, the large uncertainty of the distance measurements means that we are unlikely to observe this transition through gravitational-wave observation alone.

Previous work in Ref. [13] adopts the simple sky-averaged value of $\Theta_{\text{av}} = 1.6$ for LIGO, which overlooks the wide range of detection depth on the sky, which is maximal for sources located overhead from a plane defined by the LIGO detectors at any given time.

When considering the full effect of the geometrical factor $\Theta$, the population of detectable sources increases
significantly for redshifts higher than $z_s > 0.2$, thereby increasing the overall rate of detectable sources. In particular, the less massive sources at higher redshift lie in the region with higher detector response, so that a larger $\Theta$ can compensate for intrinsically low amplitude. Figure 2 shows that, if we only consider a mean $\Theta$, lensed population dominates when $z \gtrsim 1.0$, whereas this lensing transition happens at a higher redshift $z \sim 2.0$ under the full geometric treatment. The consequence is that $\sim 30\%$ higher overall rate is produced by our full geometric treatment, compared to simply adopting a mean value for $\Theta$.

A two-detector setup has very limited ability to break the distance/inclination degeneracy, as shown for example in Fig. 2 of Ref. [32]. Even for a three-detector setup, most detections are statistically expected to be close to threshold and therefore observed mostly by two detectors [33], as typically one or two detections will be relatively weak depending on the detector sky projections with respect to the polarized source.

Figure 3 shows the differential rate as a function of the observed chirp mass $M_c$. Without lensing, the observed chirp mass distribution at the current sensitivity extends to over $M_c > 60M_\odot$ due to the cosmological redshift. Once lensing is considered, the observed chirp mass at the current sensitivity can extend all the way to $M_c > 100M_\odot$. Similar behavior, but at higher values for the chirp mass, can be seen as LIGO’s sensitivity improves. Lensing introduces a sharp transition in the differential rate that corresponds to the cutoff due to the intrinsic mass distribution. Lensing is responsible for events above this sharp transition, so that the detection of this flat tail would be the telltale sign of lensing and reveal information about very distant binary black holes at redshift well beyond the effective redshift limits, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

We show the fraction of lensed events that may be expected in Fig. 4 as a function of the observed chirp mass $M_c$ and SNR $\rho$. At a fixed SNR, we see a similar transition to that in Fig. 3. At low SNR $\rho \sim 2$, the transition is almost invisible, because we are closer to a complete volume and the fraction is asymptotically the lensing optical depth. The transition seen in Fig. 4 from lensing-dominated events reflects the maximum depth to which unlensed events can be seen at a given SNR. If the current LIGO-based mass function overestimates this high-mass end of the input mass function, this transition would occur at lower chirp mass.
V. DISCUSSION

We have estimated the rate of gravitational-wave signals that are lensed by elliptical galaxies through Monte Carlo simulations of the LIGO detectors. In particular, we simulated binary black hole events convolved with realistic lensing models in order to estimate the fraction of LIGO events that are lensed. Our results show that by adopting reasonable assumptions about the source population, the observable rate of lensed gravitational-wave signals from binary black holes that we predict for the LIGO detectors is 0.06$^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$ yr$^{-1}$, rising to 5$^{+15}_{-4}$ yr$^{-1}$ at the design sensitivity of LIGO. Therefore, it is likely to see at least one lensed event per year in the advanced detector era.

In particular, the low optical depth for lensing is countered by a relatively large magnification bias, as weaker events are strengthened by lens magnification encompassing an enlarged cosmological volume, such that the strongest detections by LIGO in the future may preferentially include lensed events. These events, we have shown, can form a relatively flat distribution extending beyond the effective upper limit of the unlensed chirp mass distribution in Fig. 3, much like the brightest infrared detected galaxies are found to form an extended flat tail of predominantly lensed sources [1–6]. Our estimates adopt conservative assumptions regarding the BBH mass function and its evolution, so that in principle our rates may be underestimated if the formation rate of massive BBHs is much higher at $z \geq 1$ where lensing dominates. Moreover, the relative rate of lensed to unlensed events can be much higher if the BBH mass function above $\geq 10M_{\odot}$ has a steep slope so that relatively low-magnification events can dominate the strongly observed chirp mass events. Although our simulation allows for extreme spin values, studies have shown that only a small fraction of events with extreme values of $X_{\text{eff}}$ and mass ratio have SNR loss $\geq 40\%$ compared to nonspinning systems [34]. We have not investigated microlensing, which is expected to be significant near the Einstein radius of massive galaxy lenses where stars and possible substructure in the dark matter may significantly enhance the lensing optical depth. In this regime, it will also be important to examine diffraction effects that will limit the maximal magnification particularly for gravitational waves because of their relatively low frequency [35].

The non-negligible lensed rate opens up the possibility of seeing multiple signals from the same event. Typically, the first multiply lensed event is the most magnified, with the later events being significantly weaker in strength, especially for galaxy lenses that do not typically have a large radial critical curve. The search for such subthreshold events of common origin must have the same chirp mass and can rely on prior knowledge of the expected distribution of galaxy-scale time delays and relative magnification between lensed signals. A detailed calculation of these quantities and studies on the ability to statistically identify lensed signals are ongoing and will appear in upcoming work. Signatures of lensing may also emerge from the population properties of detected events, including high chirp masses with optimal sky plane inclinations, distributed in amplitude along the relatively shallow universal caustic cusp relation for strongly magnified events.
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