CRM1 plays a nuclear role in transporting snoRNPs to nucleoli in higher eukaryotes
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Here, we review the sn- and sno-RNA transport pathways in S. cerevisiae and humans, aiming at understanding how they evolved and how common factors can have distinct functions depending on the RNA they bind. We give a particular emphasis on Tgs1, the cap hypermethylase that is conserved from yeast to humans and appears to play a central role in both sn- and sno-RNA biogenesis. In yeast, Tgs1 hypermethylates sn- and sno-RNAs in the nucleolus. In humans, Tgs1 occurs in two forms: a long isoform (Tgs1 LF), which locates in the cytoplasm and Cajal bodies, which is predominantly associated with snRNAs and a short isoform (Tgs1 SF), which is nuclear and mainly associates with snoRNAs. We show that Tgs1 LF is exported by CRM1 and that interaction with CRM1 competes for binding with the C-terminal domain of the core protein Nop58, which contains the Nucleolar localization signal of Box C/D snoRNPs (NoLS). Our data suggest a model where CRM1 removes Tgs1 LF from snoRNPs, thereby promoting nucleolar targeting via activation of their NoLS. In this review, we argue that CRM1, while first described as an export receptor, can also control the composition of nucleoplasmic complexes. Thus, it could coordinate the fate of these complexes with the general nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking.

Introduction

Eukaryotic cells contain many types of non-coding ribonucleoproteins (RNP). Some of these RNP reside in the nucleus and two such important groups are the small nuclear RNPs (snRNPs) that function in the maturation of mRNAs and the small nucleolar RNPs (snoRNPs) that localize to the nucleolus and are required for maturation of rRNAs. Progress has been made in understanding the mechanisms governing sn- and sno-RNP biogenesis, as well as sorting of these RNP to their intra-cellular destinations.

Splicing snRNPs are comprised of a small nuclear RNA (snRNA), an heptad of Sm proteins and additional snRNP-specific proteins, all of which being well conserved from yeast to humans.1, 2 U1, U2, U4, U5 (but not U6 snRNA) are individually transcribed by RNA polymerase II and synthesized as m7 G monomethylated capped precursors. Following assembly with Sm proteins, the cap is converted by trimethyl guanosine synthase 1 (Tgs1) into m2,2,7 G. In metazoans, this occurs in the cytoplasm and cap trimethylation is required to promote the nuclear uptake of snRNPs following an essential cytoplasmic phase of maturation (reviewed in ref. 3).

SnoRNAs are highly conserved from Archeabacteria to yeast and humans. In all organisms, the vast majority of these RNAs are known or predicted to function in the biogenesis of rRNAs.4, 5 Based on sequence elements, snoRNAs were classified into two families, the box C/D and box H/ACA snoRNAs. The C/D snoRNAs act as guides to direct 2′-O-methylation of rRNA and Box H/ACA to direct pseudo-uridylation. Similar to snRNAs, they can be transcribed by RNA polymerase II as independent units and, in this case, the m7G cap of the snoRNA...
precursor is also hypermethylated by Tg1. However, they can also be transcribed as polycistronic units and processed by endonucleases, or located within introns and being released as uncapped RNA via a splicing-dependent pathway. Every snoRNA associates with a small set of common proteins (reviewed in refs. 7 and 8): 15.5K (Sin13 in yeast), Nop56, Nop58 and Elom1 (Nop5 in yeast) for C/D snoRNA; Nlp2, Nlp10, Gar1 and dyskerin (Cb5 in yeast) for H/ACA snoRNAs. Biogenesis of both sn- and sno-RNP has common features that will be presented in this review. We will compare their trafficking pathways in yeast and humans, since these processes have changed during evolution.

**SnRNP and snoRNP Biogenesis Involve Processing Factors that were Maintained from Yeast to Humans while their Trafficking Pathways have Diverged**

In budding yeast, processing factors involved in the biogenesis of sn- and snoRNAs are well characterized (reviewed in refs. 9–11). Assembly with core proteins is required for both RNA stability and intra-cellular targeting, and both sn- and sno-RNAs transit through the nucleolus. Indeed, Tgs1 appears to hypermethylate both sn- and snoRNAs in this compartment. While snoRNA transcription occurs in the yeast nucleoplasm, their biogenesis involves a compartment inside the nucleolus called the nucleolar body (NB). Our data suggest that the components mediating snoRNA biogenesis have some ability to self-assemble and to form a distinct structure in the nucleolus. Growth on solid media led to formation of NB where Tg1 can localize. Precursors of snoRNAs are also localized to this structure, consistent with its role in assembly of at least some snoRNPs (Fig. 1). The fact that the yeast nucleolus is involved in the production of several small RNPs offers a way to coordinate major cellular metabolic activities, such as splicing and translation. In contrast to budding yeast, sn- and sno-RNP biogenesis in metazoan cells involves distinct trafficking pathways. This evolution was accompanied by the appearance of new transport factors that are well characterized in vertebrates. While some of them are common to several pathways, the mechanisms for discrimination between the different pathways are not yet clearly understood. In metazoan, Sm protein assembly on snRNAs does not occur in the nucleoplasm but in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1). SnRNA precursors must be exported via CRM1 and its adaptor PHAX that recognizes the CBC complex on the 5'end of pre-snRNA. In the cytoplasm, the SMN complex facilitates assembly of Sm proteins (reviewed in ref. 15) and recruitment of Tg1 for hypermethylation of the cap. Both events allow the formation of a bipartite signal for nuclear import of the snRNP particle. They finally transit through CB, where they bind to specific proteins to form mature snRNPs and are subjected to nucleotide modifications via scaRNAs (specific Cajal body RNA). In contrast to snRNA, snoRNA biogenesis occurs entirely in the nucleolus. Recently, we confirmed the lack of snoRNA export using heterocaryons from which only one nucleus was expressing an exogenous snoRNA. The latter was not observed in the second nucleus, ruling out cytoplasmic transit during their biogenesis. This was shown for both Box C/D and Box H/ACA snoRNAs as well as for telomerase RNA which share similarities with scaRNAs. SnoRNAs follow a pathway from their transcription site via CB to the nucleolus. Using Box C/D snoRNA U3 as a model, we showed that CB transit is required for maturation and assembly of snoRNPs, as well as hypermethylation of the cap. In vertebrate cells, PHAX was first described as an adaptor for snoRNA export. However, we found PHAX was also associated with capped Box C/D snoRNA precursors. Moreover, nuclear microinjection experiments using Cy3 labeled U3 snoRNA showed that PHAX is required for its localization in CB, while CRM1 is required for its nucleolar localization but not for CB localization (Fig. 1). Thus, PHAX and CRM1 act sequentially during snoRNP transport. For snoRNPs, CRM1 is recruited via a different mechanism than for snRNPs (e.g., independently of the cap, CBC and PHAX), as CRM1 is only found on the mature form of snoRNPs, and not on m7G capped precursors. In agreement, microinjection experiments showed that CRM1 is required for nucleolar transport of uncapped intronic snoRNA. Blocking nuclear pores with wheat germ agglutinin had the same effect as Leptomycin B (LMB)-driven inhibition of CRM1. Thus, CRM1 activity and functional nuclear import of snoRNA require for nuclear targeting of capped and non-capped Box C/D snoRNAs. This led us to further investigate the role of CRM1 in snoRNP biogenesis and trafficking.

**Human Tgs1 Functions both in the Cytoplasm and in the Nucleus at the Level of CB**

We wanted to understand the mechanism by which CRM1 is involved in snoRNP trafficking and we focused our attention on Tg1. Human Tg1 occurs in two isoforms: The long isoform Tg1 LF locates in the cytoplasm and CB and is predominantly associated with snoRNAs. Cytoplasmic localization of Tg1 LF is lost after LMB treatment, suggesting that it is exported in a CRM1-dependent manner. In addition to the full-length protein, a short, N-terminally truncated isoform of Tg1 (Tg1 SF) has been described as a protosominal maturation product (Fig. 2). In vivo, Tg1 SF was shown to bind Box C/D snoRNPs proteins and much less to Sm proteins. In addition, it localized to CB and nucleoplasm while absent from the cytoplasm. This suggests that snoRNPs are hypermethylated in the nucleus predominantly by Tg1 SF, whereas snRNPs would be hypermethylated in the cytoplasm by Tg1 LF. Co-immunoprecipitation and RNAse protection assays showed that Box C/D snoRNA U3 associates with Tg1 SF but also to a small extent with Tg1 LF. Therefore, we cannot exclude that hypermethylation of capped Box C/D snoRNAs is sometimes mediated by the nuclear pool of Tg1 LF. Interestingly, Tg1 LF association with Box C/D snoRNAs appeared to be regulated by CRM1. This is not limited to capped snoRNAs, as intronic target snoRNAs were also associated with Tg1 LF, and LMB treatment increased association of both intronic and non-intronic Box C/D
snoRNAs with Tgs1 LF. We wondered if we could extend this result to other RNA families. Therefore, we used microarrays to analyze the entire pool of RNAs that were immunoprecipitated with Tgs1 LF in the presence or absence of LMB. In non-treated cells, Tgs1 LF associates preferentially with snRNAs; in the presence of LMB, Tgs1 LF association switched to intronic and non-intronic Box C/D snoRNAs, with a median increase in binding of 2-fold compared with non-treated cells (20-fold for the largest increase). Box H/ACA snoRNAs and scaRNAs were also enriched in Tgs1 LF IP after LMB treatment, but to a lesser extent. Thus, CRM1 appears to control the binding of Tgs1 LF to snoRNAs and scaRNAs. Because most of the snoRNAs are uncapped, this suggests a new role for Tgs1 LF in snoRNP biogenesis that is unrelated to cap hypermethylation and that is regulated by CRM1.

**Human Tgs1 Possesses a NES and can Directly Interact with CRM1**

The dual localization of Tgs1 LF to both cytoplasm and nuclear CB suggests that Tgs1 shuttles between the two compartments.
In both species, these domains are sufficient for the nuclear localization of the corresponding proteins. Interestingly, budding yeast strains lacking KKD/E repeats of snoRNP core proteins show disrupted nucleolar morphology and snoRNP localization. Similar defects were observed upon deletion of Tgs1, while a cachetically defective allele of Tgs1 restored normal nucleolar structure. Thus, in yeast, Tgs1 plays a role in nucleolar integrity that is independent of its hypermethylation function but is dependent on interaction with Nop56 and Nop58. Thus, the binding of Tgs1 to the C-terminal domain of Nop58 and Nop56 has been conserved during evolution, playing a dual role in driving cap hypermethylation and controlling snoRNP transport.

Interaction of Tgs1 with the Highly Charged C-terminal Domain of snoRNP Core Proteins

The C-terminal domains of Nop58 and Nop56 are highly charged and conserved through evolution (Fig. 2). In yeast, they are formed by repetition of KKD/E sequences, while in human they contain successive stretches of acidic and basic amino acids. In yeast, these domains directly interact with Tgs1, and in humans, a direct interaction has also been proved for Nop58, and remains likely for Nop56 and dyskerin. Most interestingly, in vitro experiments with the human recombinant proteins have shown that binding of CRM1 to Tgs1 LF disrupts its interaction with Nop58, thereby providing a mechanistic explanation for the requirement of CRM1 in snoRNP transport. The C-terminal domain of human Nop58 also undergoes post-translational modifications like phosphorylation and sumoylation. We showed that a Nop58 protein mutated in its sumoylation consensus site prevents de novo assembly of snoRNP. The possibility that sumoylation of the Nop58 C-terminal domain affects Tgs1 binding will require further experiments.
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Figure 3. A model to explain the role of CRMI in the transport of snoRNPs to nucleoli. Tgs1 LF binds the highly charged C-terminal domains of HnRNP and HnRNP that act as a Nucleolar localization signal (NLS). This interaction is prevented by the binding of Tgs1 LF to CRMI. Thus direct binding of CRMI to Tgs1 LF in a Ran-GTP dependent manner would unmask the NLS on Box C/D snoRNP's promoting the targeting of mature Box C/D snoRNPs to the nucleolus.
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