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Background: As airway issues or respiratory failures are the leading causes of death in the first hours after an injury, nurses’ understanding and practice of fundamental airway and breathing therapies remain “cornerstones” of competent emergency care. As a result, the goal of this study was to evaluate nurses’ airway and breathing management knowledge, practice, and associated factors in the emergency departments of selected governmental hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Methods: During the study period of April 12 to April 30, 2021, a cross-sectional survey was conducted with a thorough enumeration of all respondents using the census method. The data were collected from the respondents using a self-administered and structured questionnaire. Data quality was ensured by pre-testing the tools and giving data collectors training. The data were analyzed using the SPSS version 25 program. The researchers used mean, frequency, bivariable, and multiple logistic regression analyses. Only P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 102 people took part in this study, with a 96.2% response rate. Females made up slightly more than half of the respondents 52.9%, and their ages ranged from 24 to 48 years old, with a mean age of 29.50 (SD ± 4.96). Only 45.1% of those polled were well versed in the emergency airway and breathing management. About 90.2% of the respondents had a BSc degree. At p< 0.05, having previously received airway and breathing management training was statistically correlated with knowledge.

Conclusion: Although many of the practice problems were correctly answered, the respondents in this study had insufficient knowledge of airway and breathing management. As a result, it is critical to give nursing training because it is strongly linked to the knowledge and practice of nurses.
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Background

The airway connects the nose and mouth to the alveoli in the lungs, where oxygen (O₂) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) are exchanged naturally. Airway management is a technique, maneuver, or equipment used to maintain the patency of the airway so that normal physiological activities, such as gas exchange, can be accomplished.¹ Manual ventilation may be required if a person’s O₂ and CO₂ exchange is inadequate. However, basic airway maneuvers to open the airway are typically enough to regulate or improve spontaneous air movements.²

As airway issues or respiratory failures are the leading causes of death in the first hours after an injury, the basic procedures remain the “cornerstones” of appropriate emergency care.³,⁴ Airway and breathing management require
immediate attention, as patients may die if these actions are not taken. One of the most important emergency airway management procedures for keeping patients alive is airway maintenance without “endotracheal intubation”. Opening the airway with manual maneuvers such as head tilt - chin lift, jaw thrust, recovery positioning, keeping the airway open with devices such as oropharyngeal and nasopharyngeal airways, oxygen therapy, suctioning/removing secretion, and ventilation are all basic airway and breathing management. In the event of a foreign body obstruction, numerous treatments such as abdominal thrusts (Heimlich maneuver), chest thrusts, and back blows (slaps) can be used to manage the fundamental airway. The presence of arterial hypoxemia and tissue hypoxia is the most common reasons for supplementary oxygen. High-flow delivery systems (venture masks), low-flow delivery systems (nasal prong/cannula), basic masks, partial rebreathing masks, and Bag-Mask Ventilation are all options for delivering oxygen.

In patients who are at risk of secretion, suctioning using large-bore suction catheters can help with emergency airway control. The bag valve mask, which was pioneered by anesthesiologists in the 1950s and 1960s and has saved many lives, takes first place in the revolution of airway management for substantial improvements in anesthesiology and resuscitation.

In developed countries, well-organized Emergency Medical Service (EMS) systems have been shown to save lives that were previously at high risk of dying at the scene or while being transported to the hospital. However, in developing metropolitan cities such as Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, there is still a high rate of preventable morbidity and mortality. The main causes are a lack of a coordinated EMS system, a designated well-developed emergency center (EC), insufficient human and material resources to care for injured or acutely ill patients, insufficient medical training on triage and emergency management principles, and a lack of long-term funding for emergency care services.

Previous studies have demonstrated inadequate fundamental airway and breathing management with wide variance between emergency departments, despite its clinical and research importance in the management of critically ill and injured patients. According to a Nepalese study, just 33% of health practitioners knew how to properly open the airway of an unresponsive injured victim. Similarly, an Egyptian study found that more than 85% of respondents could not name the first step in confirming a suspected airway obstruction.

According to the authors’ knowledge, no study has been conducted specifically on nurses’ knowledge and practice of emergency airway and breathing management in our study areas, which is the most important in planning training for its use, differentiating, and preventing the factors linked to poor patient outcomes. As a result, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the knowledge, practice, and associated factors of airway and breathing management among nurses working in the emergency departments of selected public hospitals governed by the Addis Ababa Health Bureau (AAHB) in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Objective
General Objective
To analyze nurses’ emergency airway and breathing management knowledge, practices, and associated factors in the emergency departments of selected public hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Specific Objective
To assess knowledge of emergency airway and breathing management among nurses working in the emergency departments of selected public hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

To assess practice of emergency airway and breathing management among nurses working in the emergency departments of selected public hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

To find out what factors were linked to emergency airway and breathing management expertise among nurses working in the emergency departments of a few public hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Materials and Methods
Study Area and Study Period
The research was conducted in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia’s capital city, at AAHB-managed public hospitals. AAHB was in charge of six public hospitals in Addis Ababa. Three of these hospitals were chosen at random for this investigation.
Yekatit 12 Hospital, Zewditu Memorial Hospital, and Tirunesh Beijing Hospital are the hospitals in question. There are 44 nurses at Yekatit 12 Hospital; 45 nurses at Zewditu Memorial Hospital; and 17 nurses at Tirunesh Beijing Hospital. A total of 106 nurses were working in the emergency rooms of the three hospitals. The current research took place from April 12 to April 30, 2021.

**Study Design**
A cross-sectional survey study design was conducted to assess nurses’ knowledge, practice, and associated factors of airway and breathing management in the emergency departments of selected public hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

**Population**

**Source Population**
All nurses who were working at the emergency departments of government hospitals under the AAHB.

**Study Population**
All nurses who were working at the emergency departments of the three randomly selected governmental hospitals under AAHB.

**Eligibility Criteria**

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**
Nurses working in the emergency departments of the participating hospitals who were available during the study period and had at least six months of experience had been included, while nurses who did not volunteer to participate in the study were omitted.

**Sample Size Determination**
There was no need to calculate the sample size because the total number of nurses working in the emergency departments of the three randomly selected hospitals was modest; therefore, all nurses who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. A total of 102 people were included in the study.

**Study Variables**

**Dependent Variables**
Knowledge and practice of nurses toward emergency airway and breathing management were dependent variables of the study.

**Independent Variables**
Sociodemographic characteristics: age, sex, educational level, work experience, and previous emergency training were independent variables of the study.

**Operational Definition**
Airway and breathing management: Basic airway management knowledge and skills that include opening the airway, clearing secretions, O₂ therapy, bag-mask ventilation, using devices to keep the airway open, and so on.

Knowledge: The level of understanding of the different subjects regarding airway and breathing management. Good knowledge was defined as a score of greater or equal to the mean value of the knowledge questions, whereas poor knowledge was less than the mean value.

Practice: The necessary actions to be taken to help patients with emergency airway and breathing problems, like opening the airway for patients with trauma or non-trauma, applying maneuvers for choking patients, oxygen administration by bag valve masks for gasping patients, and so on.
Data Collection Tools and Procedures
After evaluating several studies, data was obtained from the study population using a standardized self-administered questionnaire\(^{15,20,21}\) based on the objectives intended for the study. The questionnaire is divided into three sections. Nurses’ sociodemographic features, knowledge of emergency airway and breathing management, and practice of emergency airway and breathing management were all examined. Age, sex, degree of schooling, work experience, and previous emergency-related training are among the sociodemographic questions. There are sixteen (16) multiple-choice questions in the knowledge section, each with one right answer. The correct answer received one point, while all other erroneous responses received zero points. The mean value for the knowledge questions was then determined, and respondents who scored greater or equal to the mean value were regarded to have strong knowledge of emergency airway and breathing management, while those who scored less than the mean value were judged to have poor knowledge. There are ten (10) multiple-choice questions in the practice questions about nurses’ emergency airway and breathing management skills. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data on nurse practice in the emergency airway and breathing management. Previous researchers examined the validity and reliability of the questionnaires, which were written in English. For this study, the questionnaire was pre-tested on 5% of nurses working in the emergency department of Menelik II hospital. Based on the results of the pretest, corrections were made to some of the questions by senior emergency physicians of Addis Ababa University. Three bachelors of science degree (BSC) nurses with prior expertise in data gathering were chosen and received a one-day training on the data collection process. For each hospital, a data collector was assigned, and all processes were done under the supervision of the lead investigator.

Data Quality Control
In addition to the training provided to data collectors, the primary investigator was reviewing how the data collectors were doing their jobs and supervising the activity on a daily basis during data collection. The lead investigator checked the completeness of questionnaires at the end of each data collection day.

Data Analysis
The information was double-checked for accuracy, coded, and entered into Epi Data version 3.1 before being transferred to the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 25 for further analysis. For continuous variables, descriptive statistics such as mean and standard deviation were utilized, whereas, for categorical variables, frequency, and percentage distribution were used. The study’s findings were then arranged and presented, utilizing narratives, texts, tables, and graphs. The link between dependent and independent factors was demonstrated using bivariable and multivariable logistic regression models. To find variables associated with nurse knowledge and practice, all independent variables with p-values less than 0.25 in the bivariable logistic regression analysis were fitted into the multivariable logistic regression analysis. The strength of the connection was measured using the crude odds ratio (COR) and adjusted odds ratio (AOR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). Finally, factors in the multivariable logistic regression were considered statistically significant if their P-values were less than 0.05.

Results
Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Respondents
A total of 102 nurses took part in the survey, with a 96.2% response rate, while four nurses were unavailable throughout data collection. More than half of the study participants (52.9%) were females, ranging in age from 24 to 48 years old, with a mean age of 29.50 (SD 4.96). The majority of the study participants, 92 (90.2%), had a BSc degree, and the majority of them, 74 (72.5%), had emergency job experience ranging from one to five years (Table 1). In terms of past emergency-related training, 53 (52%) of the respondents had had different training, while 49 (48%) had not received any emergency-related training, and 11.32% took other type of training like infection prevention, and general training on covid (Figure 1).
Knowledge of Nurses on the Airway and Breathing Managements

To begin with, the mean value of knowledge questions was determined to be 9.44 (59%). Respondents who scored greater or equal to the mean value were considered knowledgeable about airway and breathing management. As a result, the participants’ overall knowledge of airway and breathing management was 46 (45.1%) (Figure 2). Respondent’s knowledge of the specific cases was variable. Seventy-five (73.5%) and 61 (59.8%) of respondents correctly identified the maneuvers used to open the airway with and without trauma suspicion (jaw thrust maneuver and head tilt-chin lift maneuver), respectively. Eighty-seven (85.3%) of the participants correctly identified difficulty speaking, breathing, or coughing as signs and symptoms of complete airway obstruction, and nearly all 98 (96.1%) of the participants correctly identified positioning a patient as a basic maneuver for airway and breathing management. Only 37 (36.3%) of the total respondents correctly identified endotracheal intubation as a non-basic airway device, and 37 (36.3%) correctly identified

| Variables                        | Categories | Frequency (N) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Age                              | 20–27      | 36            | 35.3           |
|                                  | 28–35      | 55            | 53.9           |
|                                  | ≥ 36       | 11            | 10.8           |
| Sex                              | Male       | 48            | 47.1           |
|                                  | Female     | 54            | 52.9           |
| Educational level                | Diploma    | 2             | 1.96           |
|                                  | BSc degree | 92            | 90.2           |
|                                  | Masters    | 8             | 7.84           |
| Work experience in year          | < 1        | 20            | 19.6           |
|                                  | 1–5        | 74            | 72.5           |
|                                  | > 5        | 8             | 7.8            |

Figure 1 Status of the respondents on the emergency related training, 2021 (N=102).

Abbreviations: BLS, basic life support; ATLS, advanced trauma life support; ACLS, advanced cardiac life support; Other*, training like infection prevention, general training on COVID-19, and soft ware training on patient data.
bag valve mask as a manual ventilation device that provides high oxygen concentration and artificial ventilation for someone with airway and breathing problems. The knowledge question with the fewest responses was “Not supraglottic airway,” which revealed that only 30.4% of the participants correctly answered that a bag valve mask is not a supraglottic airway (Table 2).

**Practice of Nurses on the Airway and Breathing Managements**

As shown in Table 3, the proper action (jaw thrust) was chosen by 88 (86.3%) of the responders when opening the airway for a patient with a suspected neck injury. The activities to be done for a responsive patient displaying choking symptoms, before suctioning, and a comatose injured patient as a first action was properly answered by about 25 (24.5%), 49 (48.0%), and 74 (72.5%) of the respondents, respectively. When it came to appropriate nursing care during oxygen therapy, only 33 (32.4%) of the study participants were able to provide an accurate response. Only 30 (29.4%) of respondents correctly answered the question about using a face mask for a patient with airway and breathing problems, while the majority of 73 (71.6%) correctly answered the question about using an ambu bag for a patient with airway and breathing problems.

**Factors Affecting Knowledge of the Respondents**

Bivariable logistic regression was used to establish the crude odds ratio of nurses’ strong understanding of airway and breathing management based on socio-demographic parameters such as age, sex, having received related training, emergency work experience, and educational level (Table 4). In bivariable logistic regression, only being trained in related training and job experience were significantly correlated with knowledge of airway and breathing management, with $P = 0.029$ and $P = 0.075$, respectively. Nurses who had been trained were 2.72 times more likely to be knowledgeable [COR = 2.72, 95% CI (1.11–6.67)] than those who had not been trained, and nurses with one to five years of emergency work experience were 2.54 times more likely to be knowledgeable [COR = 2.54, 95% CI (0.91–7.12)] than those with less than one year of work experience. Then, with a p-value, less than 0.25, the two variables of being trained
in related training and having emergency job experience were included in multivariable logistic regression. In multivariable logistic regression, only individuals who had received related training were significantly correlated with knowledge of airway and breathing management with a p-value less than 0.05. As a result, nurses who had received relevant training were 2.78 times more likely to be informed than nurses who had not \[^{22,23}\] \[AOR = 2.78, 95\% CI (1.01–7.64)\].

**Discussion**

Nurses are the frontline workers in emergency rooms, dealing with a variety of patients with a variety of issues, particularly those with airway and breathing problems that require immediate attention. Nurses’ knowledge and experience are critical in dealing with such issues. As a result, the goal of this study was to analyze nurses’ knowledge, practice, and associated factors related to emergency airway and breathing treatment in public hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

With a score of ≥ mean value of 59% on the knowledge questions, only 45.1% of the respondents had an overall good understanding of airway and breathing management. The findings were consistent with a study conducted across several departments at Jazan University in Saudi Arabia, which found that the majority of students lacked fundamental knowledge of airway and breathing management. However, the current study’s findings were lower than those of a study conducted in India, which revealed a 63% success rate. The writers, on the other hand, described this as an insufficient level of expertise. The disparity could be owing to the study participants, who in the Indian study were undergraduate dental students learning about airway-related diseases and their managements in their courses, whereas in our study, the participants were nurses working in hospitals.\[^{22,23}\]

### Table 2 Distribution of Responses on Knowledge Assessment of Airway and Breathing Management 2021 (N=102)

| Variable                                                                 | Correct | Incorrect |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| A maneuver used to open the airway if no trauma suspected:               | 75 (73.5) | 27 (26.5)  |
| A maneuver used to open the airway with suspected trauma:                | 61 (59.8) | 41 (40.2)  |
| A patient has a complete airway obstruction when he/she cannot:          | 87 (85.3) | 15 (14.7)  |
| The correct method of choking management in responsive adults:           | 60 (58.8) | 42 (41.2)  |
| Positioning is a basic maneuver for airway and breathing management:     | 98 (96.1) | 4 (3.9)    |
| The correct position for adults during airway and breathing management:  | 41 (40.2) | 61 (59.8)  |
| The next step after opening the unconscious patient's airway:            | 73 (71.6) | 29 (28.4)  |
| Not the purpose of oral airway device:                                   | 39 (38.2) | 63 (61.8)  |
| Movement of air into and out of the lung:                               | 60 (58.8) | 42 (41.2)  |
| Indication for oxygen therapy:                                          | 81 (79.4) | 21 (20.6)  |
| Normal oxygen saturation at rest for adults <70 years:                   | 46 (45.1) | 56 (54.9)  |
| Normal breathing rate in adults ranges between:                         | 86 (84.3) | 16 (15.7)  |
| Not basic airway device:                                                | 37 (36.3) | 65 (63.7)  |
| Not supra-glottic airway:                                               | 31 (30.4) | 71 (69.6)  |
| Considerations while using nasal cannula:                               | 50 (49)   | 52 (51)    |
| A manual ventilation device that delivers high oxygen concentration and artificial ventilation: | 37 (36.3) | 65 (63.7)  |
### Table 3 Distribution of Responses on the Practice Assessment of Airway and Breathing Management, 2021 (N=102)

| Variable                                                                 | Categories                                      | Frequency (N) | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Action while opening the airway for a patient with a suspected neck injury: | Immobilization of c-spine                        | 88            | 86.3           |
|                                                                           | Head elevation                                  | 5             | 4.9            |
|                                                                           | Dress the wound                                 | 1             | 1.0            |
|                                                                           | Head tilt chin lift                             | 8             | 7.8            |
| The first action for your friend having food and suddenly expresses choking symptoms but responsive: | Give abdominal thrusts                          | 45            | 44.1           |
|                                                                           | Give chest compression                          | 10            | 9.8            |
|                                                                           | Confirm foreign body aspiration by talking to him| 25            | 24.5           |
|                                                                           | Give back blows                                 | 22            | 21.6           |
| Action while attending unconscious victim with no neck injury:            | Allow air entry by chin lift and head tilt      | 79            | 77.5           |
|                                                                           | Remove victim cloth to allow free air           | 14            | 13.7           |
|                                                                           | A quick rush to the hospital                    | 9             | 8.8            |
| Your action before oxygen administration:                                | Assess patients by using the signs and symptoms and the vital sign | 3             | 2.9            |
|                                                                           | Check O₂ saturation of the patient using a pulse oximeter | 12           | 11.8           |
|                                                                           | Observe and think that he is in distress and needs | 2             | 2.0            |
|                                                                           | All of the above                                | 85            | 83.3           |
| Immediate action before suctioning for the patient on the case scenario: | Putting the patient in a recovery position with c-spine protection | 49           | 48.0           |
|                                                                           | Putting the patient in a supine position         | 17            | 16.7           |
|                                                                           | Give chest compression                          | 3             | 2.9            |
|                                                                           | Immediate action not required before suctioning | 33           | 32.4           |
| Maneuver to be applied for airway opening for the described patient on the case scenario: | Jaw thrust                                      | 34            | 33.3           |
|                                                                           | Head tilt                                       | 10            | 9.8            |
|                                                                           | Head tilt chin lift                             | 56            | 54.9           |
|                                                                           | I do not know                                   | 2             | 2.0            |
| Methods to provide oxygen for the patient:                               | With Nasal prong                                | 55            | 53.9           |
|                                                                           | With Face mask                                  | 30            | 29.4           |
|                                                                           | With Ambu bag                                   | 15            | 14.7           |
|                                                                           | With Oxygen tent                                | 2             | 2.0            |
| Action if the patient deteriorates and breathing becomes gasping:        | Put the patient on nasal prong                  | 7             | 6.9            |
|                                                                           | Put the patient on a face mask                  | 14            | 13.7           |
|                                                                           | Use Ambu bag for ventilation                    | 73            | 71.6           |
|                                                                           | Chest compression                               | 8             | 7.8            |

(Continued)
More than half of the study’s participants (52.0%) had received airway and breathing management training. This was in contrast to research conducted at Jazan University in Saudi Arabia, which revealed that 52.3% of the participants had received no training on airway and breathing management. The difference could be due to the fact that the participants in

**Table 3 (Continued).**

| Variable | Categories | Frequency (N) | Percentage (%) |
|----------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Appropriate nursing care during oxygen therapy: | Mouth care | 33 | 32.4 |
| | Encourage adequate fluid intake | 10 | 9.8 |
| | Apply water-based cream if lips or nose become dry | 19 | 18.6 |
| | Apply petroleum jelly to minimize inflammation of lips and nose | 16 | 15.7 |
| | None | 24 | 23.5 |
| The first management you do for unconscious patient: | Open the mouth and remove any secretion or foreign body that obstructs the airway first | 74 | 72.5 |
| | Stop bleeding first | 20 | 19.6 |
| | Immobilized the fractured bone first | 7 | 6.9 |
| | I do not know | 1 | 1.0 |

**Table 4** Bivariable and Multivariable Analysis of Factors Affecting the Knowledge Towards Airway and Breathing Management 2021 (N=102)

| Variable | Category | Knowledge Status | COR (95% CI) | p-value | AOR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------|----------|------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Age | 20–27 | 15 21 | 1 |  |  |
| | 28–35 | 28 27 | 1.45 (0.62–3.39) | 0.389 |  |  |
| | ≥ 36 | 3 8 | 0.53 (0.12–2.31) | 0.394 |  |  |
| Sex | Male | 23 25 | 1 |  |  |
| | Female | 23 31 | 0.81 (0.37–1.76) | 0.59 |  |  |
| Related training | Yes | 28 25 | 2.72 (1.11–6.67) | 0.029* | 2.78 (1.013–7.64) | 0.047** |
| | No | 18 31 | 1 |  |  |
| Work experience in emergency | <1 year | 7 13 | 1 |  |  |
| | 1–5 | 38 36 | 2.54 (0.91–7.12) | 0.075* | 2.10 (0.69–6.39) | 0.190 |
| | >5 | 3 5 | 2.45 (0.39–15.25) | 0.335 | 1.54 (0.18–12.72) | 0.686 |
| Educational level | Diploma | 0 2 | 0.00 (0.000) | 0.999 |  |  |
| | BSc degree | 42 50 | 0.84 (0.19–3.56) | 0.81 |  |  |
| | Masters | 4 4 | 1 |  |  |

**Abbreviations:** *COR, crude odds ratio significant at p-value < 0.25; AOR**, adjusted odds ratio significance at p< 0.05.

More than half of the study’s participants (52.0%) had received airway and breathing management training. This was in contrast to research conducted at Jazan University in Saudi Arabia, which revealed that 52.3% of the participants had received no training on airway and breathing management. The difference could be due to the fact that the participants in
According to the findings of the current study, the vast majority of participants (85.3%) were aware of the symptoms of complete airway obstruction. Our findings compare favorably to those of research conducted in Ethiopia by Legese Mebrahtu (58.9%) and Tiruneh Tafere (22.6%). Furthermore, a study conducted in Gondar, Ethiopia, differed from this one in that 79.6% of study participants were aware of the signs of airway blockage. The discrepancy could be related to the study’s utilization of a variety of health providers, as well as the study’s setting and study period. However, the current study’s findings are remarkably identical to those of Gangadevi Nandasena’s study, which found that 84.3% of the study subjects had knowledge of blocked airway care. A small but vocal majority in this study, 73.5% of participants correctly identified the procedure performed to open the airway for a patient who has no worry of neck damage. Our findings are similar to those reported in studies by Gondar Comprehensive Hospital in Ethiopia and Ali M. Alabdali, who found that 71.7% and 69.4% of respondents, respectively, were aware of airway opening maneuvers.

When a patient’s airway cannot be protected, airway and breathing management equipment must be employed. According to the current study, just 36.3% of respondents recognized the use of an Ambu bag. This was refuted by research conducted in Rwanda, which found that 92.2% of study participants correctly answered questions on how to use the device. The discrepancy might be due to the fact that every health professional in our country Ethiopia are un familiar with airway and breathing management because the emergency department was newly emerged in our country. So, many of our study participants were unable to recognize the use of Ambu bag during airway and breathing management. Another prospective study conducted at Nikoukari hospital, a teaching hospital located in Tabriz, Iran showed that most residents who took traditional instructions regarding airway management faced difficult ventilation and intubation; but they improved this gap after rotating of anesthesiology rotation. This could also be supported by another study conducted at Nikoukari hospital.

Only 38.2% of the participants in this study were able to correctly answer the question about why they needed to use an oral airway device. This contrasted with a study conducted in Rwanda, which found that 86.3% of study participants were aware of the objective of using an oral airway device. According to a Turkish study, only 7.7% of respondents were able to correctly attach nasal airway devices while regulating their airways and breathing. The explanation, in our opinion, could be due to the low value placed on such critical emergency medical equipment.

In multivariable logistic regression, the socio-demographic information being trained on related training on airway and breathing management was statistically connected with the respondents’ knowledge at a p-value less than 0.05, with p = 0.047 and [AOR = 2.783, 95% CI (1.013–7.645)]. The findings of this study are consistent with those of a study conducted in Gondar, Ethiopia, and Rwanda, which found that training improved respondents’ understanding of emergency treatment [AOR: 2.76, 95% CI (1.40–5.42)] and (Chi² = 12.632, P = 0.006), respectively.

The current study’s 86.3% finding is nearly identical to findings from studies conducted in Ethiopia and Rwanda, which revealed that a large majority of respondents, 88.3% and 96.1%, respectively, gave the correct response to the question, “What action should be taken while opening the airway for a patient with a suspected neck injury?” immobilizing the cervical spine by using the jaw thrust maneuver during airway and breathing management. In contrast to this study, another one conducted in Nepal found that just 33% of volunteers were able to open the injured patient’s airway. The explanation for the disparity could be attributed to the fact that the Nepalese study used volunteers who did not all work in the same field.

Only 24.5% of survey participants were aware of the first action that should be taken for a victim who suddenly displayed a sign of choking while eating. The majority of trial participants (71.6%) were able to provide ventilation using an Ambu bag. This is a significant difference from a study conducted in Botswana, which found that 48.2% of respondents were unable to deliver ventilation using an Ambu bag. The reason for this could be due to the fact that the study subjects in Botswana were district hospital nurses, and during the management of any emergency situations, the focus should be given to breathing before taking time for any system adjustments. Because oxygen treatment is one of the most important and fundamental abilities in the management of breathing, nurses should be familiar with indications,
safe delivery techniques, and the amount of oxygen to be provided during the procedure. If oxygen therapy is chosen, the appropriate delivery device should be employed.\textsuperscript{20,28,34}

This study has its own strengths and limitations. As strength, the study tried to find the gaps in knowledge and practice towards airway and breathing management among nurses working in the emergency department which will serve as a source of information for further study. The limitations of this study were: the study used a cross-sectional study design which does not show the cause and effect association; the attitude aspect of respondents towards airway and breathing management was not included in this study even though it is very important for exercise, and the study did not use observation for practical assessment questions rather it used only theoretical information.

**Conclusion**

Finally, the goal of this research was to assess the nurses’ knowledge and practice in the three hospitals’ emergency departments, as well as the factors that influence airway and breathing care. According to the findings of this study, nurses working in emergency rooms lacked a basic understanding of airway and breathing treatment. Participation in related training was found to be strongly linked to nurses’ expertise. As a result, all nurses working in emergency departments of hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, should get in-service training on basic life support.
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