Snoring Sounds Predict Obstruction Sites and Surgical Response in Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea Hypopnea Syndrome
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Snoring sounds generated by different vibrators of the upper airway may be useful indicators of obstruction sites in patients with obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS). This study aimed to investigate associations between snoring sounds, obstruction sites, and surgical responses (>50% reduction in the apnea-hypopnea index [AHI] and <10 events/hour) in patients with OSAHS. This prospective cohort study recruited 36 OSAHS patients for 6-hour snoring sound recordings during in-lab full-night polysomnography, drug-induced sleep endoscopy (DISE), and relocation pharyngoplasty. All patients received follow-up polysomnography after 6 months. Fifteen (42%) patients with at least two complete obstruction sites defined by DISE were significantly, positively associated with maximal snoring sound intensity (40–300 Hz; odds ratio [OR], 1.25, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.05–1.49) and body mass index (OR, 1.48, 95% CI 1.02–2.15) after logistic regression analysis. Tonsil obstruction was significantly, inversely correlated with mean snoring sound intensity (301–850 Hz; OR, 0.84, 95% CI 0.74–0.96). Moreover, baseline tonsil obstruction detected by either DISE or mean snoring sound intensity (301–850 Hz), and AHI could significantly predict the surgical response. Our findings suggest that snoring sound detection may be helpful in determining obstruction sites and predict surgical responses.

Snoring is one of the most common symptoms of obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS)1. It is an annoying sound produced by vibrations of the soft tissues in the upper respiratory tract as a result of partial narrowing of these soft tissues during sleep2. Loud snoring is regarded to be noise pollution and often drives the patient to seek medical help3. A patient’s perception of his/her snoring may be inaccurate, and it should be measured objectively4. Although polysomnography (PSG) is the current gold standard for the diagnosis of OSAHS, it provides little information on snoring and is therefore used less in the clinical treatment of snoring. Accordingly, new technologies have been developed for the accurate detection of complex snoring events5,6, specific discriminations between primary snoring and OSAHS7,8, and precise estimation of OSAHS severity9,10.
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Identification of the site of upper airway obstruction in OSAHS may be beneficial when deciding on other treatment than continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy. Moreover, the failure to identify and treat all levels of airway obstruction is a key reason for disappointing surgical results. For example, patients with hypopharyngeal obstructions have worse outcomes of uvulopalatopharyngoplasty but better results of hypopharyngeal surgery. Therefore, several clinical tools have been developed to assess upper airway obstructions such as the Friedman stage system (oropharyngeal anatomic classification), nasopharyngoscopy with the Müller manoeuvre, upper airway pressure measurement, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and drug-induced sleep endoscopy (DISE).

Previous studies on obstruction sites and acoustic analysis of snoring sounds have demonstrated that an obstruction level above the free margin of the soft palate produces a characteristic frequency and energy in the low frequency domain, whereas an obstruction level below the free margin of the soft palate generates a characteristic frequency and energy in the high frequency domain. Therefore, we hypothesized that complex snoring sounds are related to multi-level obstruction. The aims of this prospective study were to (1) examine associations between acoustic parameters of whole night snoring sounds during natural sleep and obstruction sites (multi-level and other levels) defined by DISE, and (2) verify the effects of these variables on surgical responses in patients with OSAHS.

Results

Study population. Thirty-four men and two women with a median age of 39 years were included in this study. More than half of them were overweight, had a thick neck, normal-sized tonsils, normal tongue position, Friedman’s anatomic stage 2, severe snoring, excessive daytime sleepiness, severe OSAHS, and decreased mean/minimal arterial oxygen saturation (SaO2; Table 1). Table 2 demonstrates the distribution of acoustic parameters of 6-hour snoring sounds during natural sleep. The obstruction sites were (in descending order of prevalence) the velum, oropharynx, tongue base, and epiglottis. Fifteen (42%) participants had multi-level obstructions and 21 (58%) had simple velopharynx obstruction (Table 3).

Comparisons between multi-level obstruction and simple velopharynx obstruction. Compared to the participants with simple velopharynx obstruction, those with multi-level obstructions had significantly higher body mass index (BMI) and apnea-hypopnea index (AHI), and lower mean SaO2 and minimal SaO2 (Table 1). Moreover, total-, B1-, and B3- peak sound frequency (Fpeak), B2- and B3-snoring index (SI), total-,
Figure 2. **Multi-level obstructions.** Demonstration of drug-induced sleep endoscopy and power spectra of full-night snores of a 46-year-old man who had severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (apnea-hypopnea index = 62.5 events/hour) and multi-level obstructions (velopharynx, oropharynx, tongue base, and epiglottis). (A) Continuous photographs of the epiglottis showed that the epiglottis moved posteriorly and the tongue base gradually dropped. (B) After the tongue base had pushed the epiglottis backward, the completely obstructed airway became patent again. (C) The power spectra of full-night snores showed diverse peak sound frequencies in low- (B1: 40–300 Hz), mid- (B2: 301–850 Hz), and high- (B3: 851–2000 Hz) frequency bands with various sound intensities.

| Level of obstruction | Overall | Multi-level obstruction | Simple velopharynx obstruction | p Value* |
|----------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| N (%)                | 36 (100%) | 15 (42%) | 21 (58%) |          |
| Age (years)          | 39 (31, 46) | 43 (38, 47) | 39 (30, 44) | 0.141    |
| Male gender, n (%)   | 34 (94) | 13 (87) | 21 (100) | 0.167    |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 26.3 (24.3, 29.0) | 28.3 (25.7, 29.8) | 25.4 (24.3, 28.2) | 0.030    |
| Neck circumference (cm) | 39.5 (37.5, 41.0) | 40.0 (37.5, 42.0) | 39.0 (37.3, 40.0) | 0.324    |
| Tonsil size (grade)  | 2 (2, 3) | 2 (2, 3) | 2 (2, 3) | 0.727    |
| MMP (grade)          | 2 (2, 3) | 3 (2, 3) | 2 (2, 3) | 0.214    |
| FAS (stage)          | 2 (2, 2) | 2 (2, 2) | 2 (2, 2) | 0.547    |
| Snoring severity (VAS)| 8 (6, 9) | 8 (6, 9) | 8 (6, 9) | 0.776    |
| ESS (score)          | 13 (9, 15) | 12 (11, 16) | 13 (8, 15) | 0.446    |
| AHI (events/hour)    | 55.9 (27.8, 73.1) | 59.88 (53.1, 81.9) | 41.3 (25.5, 60.7) | 0.021    |
| Mean SaO₂ (%)        | 94.0 (91.0, 95.0) | 91.0 (85.0, 94.0) | 95.0 (93.0, 96.0) | <0.001   |
| Minimal SaO₂ (%)     | 76.5 (69.3, 84.8) | 69.0 (65.0, 77.0) | 80.0 (75.0, 87.0) | 0.004    |

Table 1. **Descriptive characteristics by level of obstruction** Note: Numbers are median and interquartile ranges, unless otherwise noted as n (%). *P Values are based on Fisher exact tests for categorical variables and Mann-Whitney tests for continuous or skewed variables. AHI, apnea-hypopnoea index; A-stage, anatomic stage; BMI, body mass index; ESS, EpworthSleepiness Scale; FAS, Friedman’s anatomic stage; MMP, modified Mallampati position; SaO₂, arterial oxygen saturation; VAS, visual analogue scale.
B1-, and B2-maximal sound intensity (Imax), and total-, B1-, and B2-mean sound intensity (Imean) of the those with multi-level obstructions were significantly different compared to those with simple velopharynx obstruction (Table 2).

**Correlations of patient characteristics with DISE findings.** BMI, AHI, mean SaO2, and minimal SaO2 were significantly associated with multi-level obstructions (Table 4). AHI, mean SaO2, and minimal SaO2 were significantly correlated with velopharynx obstruction. Age, AHI, mean SaO2, and minimal SaO2 were significantly associated with lateral oropharyngeal wall obstructions, and AHI, mean SaO2, and minimal SaO2 were significantly associated with epiglottitis obstructions. None of the patient characteristics were significantly associated with tonsil or and tongue base obstructions.

**Correlations of snoring sound parameters with DISE findings.** Table 5 demonstrates the associations of snoring sound parameters with obstruction sites. Total-, B1-, and B3-Fpeak, B2- and B3-SI, total-, B1-, and B2-Imax, and total-, B1-, and B2-mean were significantly associated with multi-level obstructions. B1- and B2-Fpeak, and B3-SI were significantly correlated with partial-to-complete velopharynx obstructions, and B2-Imean and B3-mean sound frequency (Fmean) were significantly associated with partial-to-complete tonsil obstructions. Total-Fpeak, B1-, and B2-Imax, total-, B2-, and B3-Imean, and B3-Fmean were significantly associated with partial-to-complete lateral oropharyngeal wall obstructions. B3-Fpeak and B3-SI were significantly correlated with complete tongue base obstructions, and B1-Fpeak, B2-SI, B1-Imax, and B2-Imean were significantly associated with partial-to-complete epiglottitis obstructions.

**Patient characteristics, snoring sound parameters, and obstruction sites.** In multivariate analysis, BMI (odds ratio [OR], 1.48, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.02–2.15) and B1-Imax (OR, 1.25, 95% CI 1.05–1.49) were independent predictors of multi-level obstructions (Table 6). We determined the optimal cut-off values of these variables using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Therefore, participants with a higher BMI (≥25.6 kg/m²) were 7.21 (95% confidence intervals [CI] 1.14 to 45.6) times more likely to have multi-level obstructions relative to participants with a lower BMI (<25.6 kg/m²) after adjusting for BMI (p = 0.036). Participants with a higher B1-Imax (≥50 dB) were 1.22 (95% CI 1.03–1.46) and B3-Fmean (OR, 1.01, 95% CI 1.00–1.02) were independent predictors of complete velopharynx obstruction. AHI, mean SaO2, and minimal SaO2 were significantly positively associated with a surgical response to RP, whereas age, AHI, mean SaO2, and minimal SaO2 were significantly inversely associated with a surgical response (all p < 0.05, data not shown).

**DISE and surgical response.** Tonsil obstructions (OR, 1.42, 95% CI 1.62–282.8) and AHI (OR, 0.94, 95% CI 0.89–0.99) were independent predictors of a surgical response (Table 6). Participants with partial-to-complete tonsil obstructions were 21.42 times more likely to have surgical response relative to participants without DISE-defined tonsil obstructions after adjusting for AHI (p = 0.020). Participants with a higher AHI (≥35.4
events/h) were 0.52 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.58) times more likely to have a surgical response relative to participants with a lower AHI (< 35.4 events/h) after adjusting for tonsil obstructions ($p = 0.016$).

**Snoring sound analysis and surgical response.** B2-Imean (OR, 0.75, 95% CI 0.59–0.96) and AHI (OR, 0.95, 95% CI 0.91–1.00) were significant predictors of a surgical response after control of B3-Imean and B3-Fpeak. Participants with a higher B2-Imean ($\geq 45$ dB) were 0.07 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.52) times more likely to have a surgical response relative to participants with a lower B2-Imean (< 45 dB) after adjusting for AHI ($p = 0.010$). Participants with a higher AHI ($\geq 35.4$ events/h) were 0.16 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.99) times more likely to have a surgical response relative to participants with a lower AHI (< 35.4 events/h) after adjusting for tonsil obstructions ($p = 0.049$). B2-Imean was more specific than AHI for predicting a surgical response.

**Discussion**

In this prospective study of OSAHS patients undergoing RP, the velopharynx, oropharynx, and tongue base were the three most frequently encountered sites of obstruction. More than 40% of the participants had multi-level obstructions that were associated with BMI and B1-Imax. Other specific snoring sound parameters such as B2-SI, B2-Imean, B2-Imax, B3-Fmean, and B3-Fpeak were also important markers for velopharynx, tonsil, epiglottis, lateral oropharyngeal wall, and tongue base obstructions, respectively. Patients with a lower AHI and lower B2-Imean or partial-to-complete tonsil obstruction had a better chance of a good surgical response. However, multi-level obstruction as defined by DISE was not a contra-indication for RP since it was not statistically significantly related to a surgical response. In contrast, patients with a lower AHI and lower B2-Imean or partial-to-complete tonsil obstruction had a better chance of a good surgical response. Of note, B2-Imean was also an inverse predictor of tonsil obstruction, meaning that tonsil obstruction defined by snoring sound analysis or DISE rather than by conventional Friedman's anatomic system was a key factor for a surgical response with RP. These results highlight the importance of a more complex approach to snoring sound analysis accompanied with PSG to determine obstruction sites and select the optimal treatment modality, and suggest that analysis of complex snoring sounds could be combined with PSG to enhance clinical usage.

In this section we discuss three representative examinations evaluating upper airway obstructions during sleep including upper airway pressure measurements, MRI, and DISE. Upper airway pressure measurement with dynamic image recording or airflow monitoring provides a relatively accurate way to record dynamic changes

| Overall | Multi-level obstruction | Simple velopharynx obstruction | $p$ Value$^a$ |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| N (%)   | 36 (100%)               | 15 (42%)                      | 21 (58%)     |

| Peak sound frequency (Hz) | Overall | Multi-level obstruction | Simple velopharynx obstruction | $p$ Value$^a$ |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Total (40 Hz–2000 Hz)     | 1230 (385, 1495) | 1340 (1130, 1600) | 1130 (255, 1355) | 0.025 |
| B1 (40 Hz–300 Hz)         | 260 (240, 290) | 280 (250, 300) | 250 (220, 268) | 0.008 |
| B2 (301 Hz–850 Hz)        | 810 (780, 850) | 830 (790, 850) | 820 (718, 850) | 0.170 |
| B3 (851 Hz–2000 Hz)       | 1910 (1690, 1980) | 1940 (1780, 1990) | 1785 (1433, 1940) | 0.046 |

| Snoring index (events/h) | Overall | Multi-level obstruction | Simple velopharynx obstruction | $p$ Value$^a$ |
|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Total (40 Hz–2000 Hz)    | 106 (38, 185) | 142 (43, 241) | 80 (34, 144) | 0.133 |
| B1 (40 Hz–300 Hz)        | 79 (27, 145) | 94 (27, 208) | 71 (24, 130) | 0.446 |
| B2 (301 Hz–850 Hz)       | 42 (13, 113) | 55 (30, 199) | 30 (4, 70) | 0.016 |
| B3 (851 Hz–2000 Hz)      | 51 (5, 143) | 72 (25, 174) | 34 (1, 81) | 0.030 |

| Maximal sound intensity (dB) | Overall | Multi-level obstruction | Simple velopharynx obstruction | $p$ Value$^a$ |
|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Total (40 Hz–2000 Hz)        | 71 (65, 77) | 74 (70, 78) | 67 (62, 76) | 0.023 |
| B1 (40 Hz–300 Hz)            | 61 (56, 65) | 63 (61, 66) | 58 (51, 62) | 0.001 |
| B2 (301 Hz–850 Hz)           | 65 (59, 69) | 69 (65, 72) | 60 (56, 66) | 0.001 |
| B3 (851 Hz–2000 Hz)          | 70 (63, 75) | 72 (69, 75) | 66 (54, 75) | 0.053 |

**Table 2. Acoustic parameters by level of obstruction.** NOTE: Numbers are median and interquartile range, unless otherwise noted as n (%). $^aP$-values are based on Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and Mann-Whitney tests for continuous or skewed variables.
of obstruction sites over a whole night. However, this method is not comfortable and may disturb the patient’s sleep. Sleep three-dimension MRI has revealed that a severe reduction in retropalatal and retroglossal areas limits airflow and causes mixed-type snoring events, however it is mostly limited to research use due to its expense.

DISE has been widely applied to detect areas of vibration and collapse for surgical planning and surgical failure reason, to adjust pressure with CPAP titration, and to fit a functional mandibular advancement device. Even though the validity and reliability of DISE has been confirmed, standards of anaesthetic agents, sedation levels, and scoring methods are still under debate. Some researchers suggest that the range of bispectral index (BIS) within which apnea occurs could be determined for individual patients and applied as a reference for DISE. We recently reported that DISE under BIS-guided propofol infusion, and especially a level of 65–75 [S2 sleep stage, light sleep], offers an objective and reproducible method to evaluate upper airway collapsibility. However, DISE cannot provide airway information in S3 sleep stage or rapid eye movement stage. Accordingly, DISE findings are not comparable to normal sleep that characterizes by a cyclical pattern of sleep stages (resulting in a change in the tone of muscles of the upper airway) and the change of body position.

Moreover, DISE seems to show additional obstruction which does not need to be modified during upper airway surgery. DISE using the Pringle and Croft classification cannot be recommended as a reliable predictor of surgical outcome. Moreover, our findings also support a recent systemic review which indicated that DISE-defined epiglottic obstructions occur in 9.7–73.5% of patients with OSAHS, that they can be isolated or combined with other level obstructions, and that they seem to be unrelated to surgical response. Multi-level obstructions are commonly noted during DISE, however this does not affect surgical success.

### Table 3. The sites and degrees of obstruction determined by drug-induced sleep endoscopy.

| Classification of obstruction | Overall (n = 36) | Degree of obstruction |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| VOTE classification          |                 | Patency | Partial obstruction | Complete obstruction |
| Velopharynx                   | 36 (100)        | 0 (0)   | 18 (50)            | 18 (50)              |
| Oropharynx                    | 32 (89)         | 4 (11)  | 27 (75)            | 5 (14)               |
| Tonsils                       | 18 (50)         | 18 (64) | 15 (42)            | 3 (8)                |
| Lateral walls                 | 13 (36)         | 23 (63) | 11 (31)            | 2 (6)                |
| Tongue base                   | 24 (67)         | 12 (33) | 3 (8)              | 21 (58)              |
| Epiglottis                    | 14 (39)         | 22 (61) | 14 (39)            | 0 (0)                |

#### Level of obstruction

| Simple velopharynx obstruction | 21 (58) | 12 (33) | 9 (25) |
| Multi-level obstruction        | 15 (42) | 0 (0)   | 0 (0)  |

### Table 4. Spearman Correlation of Patient Characteristics with Drug-Induced Sleep Endoscopic Findings.

| Site                     | Multi-Level | Velopharynx | Oropharynx | Tongue Base | Epiglottis |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Degree of obstruction    | ≥2 sites    | Complete    | Partial-to-Complete | Complete    | Partial-to-Complete |
| N (%)                    | 15 (42)     | 18 (50)     | 18 (50)    | 13 (37)     | 21 (58)    | 14 (39) |
| Age                      | 0.25        | 0.39<sup>a</sup> | −0.32      | 0.37<sup>a</sup> | 0.25        | 0.20      |
| Male gender              | −0.29       | 0.00        | 0.24       | −0.32       | −0.21      | −0.30     |
| BMI                      | 0.37<sup>a</sup> | −0.08      | −0.12      | 0.22        | −0.05      | 0.29      |
| Neck circumference       | 0.17        | 0.01        | −0.17      | 0.13        | −0.18      | 0.13      |
| Tonsil size              | 0.07        | 0.25        | 0.25       | 0.02        | −0.10      | 0.03      |
| MMP                      | 0.23        | 0.25        | 0.06       | 0.05        | 0.07       | 0.28      |
| FAS                      | 0.14        | −0.06       | 0.05       | −0.11       | 0.08       | 0.13      |
| Snoring severity         | 0.05        | 0.10        | 0.04       | 0.07        | 0.04       | 0.04      |
| ESS                      | 0.13        | 0.16        | −0.15      | 0.33<sup>a</sup> | 0.20        | 0.09      |
| AHI                      | 0.39<sup>a</sup> | 0.36<sup>a</sup> | −0.14      | 0.36<sup>a</sup> | 0.15        | 0.41<sup>a</sup> |
| Mean SaO<sub>2</sub>      | −0.60<sup>a</sup> | −0.38<sup>a</sup> | 0.09       | −0.33<sup>a</sup> | −0.24      | −0.52<sup>a</sup> |
| Minimal SaO<sub>2</sub>   | −0.48<sup>a</sup> | −0.51<sup>a</sup> | 0.05       | −0.35<sup>a</sup> | −0.29      | −0.42<sup>a</sup> |

**Table 3.** The sites and degrees of obstruction determined by drug-induced sleep endoscopy. NOTE: Numbers are n (%). <sup>a</sup>Patients with at least two sites of complete obstruction. VOTE, velopharynx, oropharynx, tongue base, and epiglottis.

**Table 4.** Spearman Correlation of Patient Characteristics with Drug-Induced Sleep Endoscopic Findings. NOTE: Data are the unadjusted Spearman correlation coefficients/adjusted partial correlation coefficients for BMI. <sup>a</sup>P-values are less than 0.05. AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; A-stage, anatomic stage; BMI, body mass index; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; FAS, Friedman's anatomic stage; MMP, modified Mallampati position; SaO<sub>2</sub>, arterial oxygen saturation; VAS, visual analogue scale.
### Table 5. Spearman correlation of acoustic parameters with drug-induced sleep endoscopic findings

| Site                      | Degree of Obstruction | ≥2 Sites | Velopharynx | Oropharynx | Tongue Base | Epiglottis |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                           |                       |          |             |            |             |            |
|                           | N (%)                 |          |             |            |             |            |
|                           | 15 (42)               | 18 (50)  | 18 (50)     | 13 (37)    | 21 (58)     | 14 (39)    |
| Peak sound frequency      |                       |          |             |            |             |            |
|                           | Total                 | 0.37 a   | 0.16        | –0.13      | 0.36 a      | 0.21       | 0.31       |
|                           | B1                    | 0.44 a   | 0.33 a      | –0.10      | 0.28        | 0.21       | 0.39 a     |
|                           | B2                    | 0.24     | 0.39 a      | 0.13       | 0.16        | 0.28       | 0.16       |
|                           | B3                    | 0.34 a   | 0.29        | –0.13      | 0.30        | 0.40 a     | 0.27       |
| Snoring index             |                       |          |             |            |             |            |
|                           | Total                 | 0.26     | 0.09        | –0.05      | 0.11        | 0.11       | 0.19       |
|                           | B1                    | 0.13     | –0.01       | –0.08      | 0.08        | 0.02       | 0.07       |
|                           | B2                    | 0.40 a   | 0.23        | 0.06       | 0.18        | 0.26       | 0.33 a     |
|                           | B3                    | 0.37 a   | 0.35 a      | 0.10       | 0.16        | 0.33 a     | 0.29       |
| Maximal sound intensity   |                       |          |             |            |             |            |
|                           | Total                 | 0.38 a   | 0.00        | –0.17      | 0.24        | 0.09       | 0.30       |
|                           | B1                    | 0.53 a   | 0.14        | –0.27      | 0.40 a      | 0.18       | 0.44 a     |
|                           | B2                    | 0.55 a   | 0.11        | –0.23      | 0.38 a      | 0.31       | 0.46 a     |
|                           | B3                    | 0.33     | 0.05        | –0.18      | 0.27        | 0.11       | 0.25       |
| Mean sound intensity      |                       |          |             |            |             |            |
|                           | Total                 | 0.39 a   | 0.11        | –0.21      | 0.38 a      | 0.16       | 0.31       |
|                           | B1                    | 0.39 a   | 0.08        | –0.23      | 0.30        | 0.20       | 0.32       |
|                           | B2                    | 0.36 a   | 0.05        | –0.44 a    | 0.45 a      | 0.21       | 0.28       |
|                           | B3                    | 0.29     | 0.05        | –0.22      | 0.35 a      | 0.14       | 0.24       |
| Mean sound frequency      |                       |          |             |            |             |            |
|                           | Total                 | 0.28     | 0.25        | 0.09       | 0.15        | 0.08       | 0.24       |
|                           | B1                    | 0.17     | –0.05       | 0.11       | 0.06        | –0.07      | 0.19       |
|                           | B2                    | –0.13    | 0.10        | 0.05       | –0.17       | 0.18       | –0.10      |
|                           | B3                    | 0.26     | 0.09        | –0.36 a    | 0.40 a      | 0.17       | 0.27       |

### Table 6. Predictors for obstruction sites and surgical response. NOTE: Numbers are median and interquartile range, unless otherwise noted as n (%). CI, confidence interval; Fmean, mean sound frequency; Fpeak, peak sound frequency; Imax, maximal sound intensity; Imean, mean sound intensity; SI, snoring index. aAll predictors are continuous variables except for tonsil obstruction (binominal). bComplete obstruction. cPartial-to-complete obstruction.
Since there are some minor limitations of DISE, acoustic analysis of snoring sounds representing a non-contact, non-invasive, inexpensive technology has been proposed to indirectly locate the sites and degree of upper airway obstructions during sleep. Full-night monitoring of snoring sounds may allow to detect the sites of upper airway obstruction that vary continuously, dynamically, with the alternation of stages of natural sleep. For example, B3-Peak is an indicator for complete tongue base obstruction. This is comparable with the finding that the mean peak frequencies from 800 Hz to 2000 Hz of the first snoring sounds after lower level obstructive apnea are higher than those after upper level obstructive apnea. Using psychoacoustic algorithms, velar snoring has been shown to be rougher (rapid amplitude modulation, 15–300 Hz) than tonsillar snoring during DISE, and also that post-apnoeic snoring has the largest fluctuation (slower amplitude modulation, <20 Hz). In this study, we confirmed that multi-level obstructions caused apnea during DISE, however we found that they can be predicted by B1-Imax in natural sleep without detecting the strength of fluctuation. Although tonsillar snoring has the highest sharpness (high-frequency signal), tonsil obstruction is inversely related to B2-Imean. Moreover, we also find that tonsil size was significantly associated with total-SI ($r = -0.34$, $p = 0.041$), B1-SI ($r = -0.44$, $p = 0.007$), and total-Fmean ($r = 0.36$, $p = 0.031$) and statistically insignificantly related to partial-to-complete tonsil obstruction ($r = 0.25$, $p = 0.15$). These findings suggested that tonsil size determined by physical examination and tonsil obstruction defined by DISE were associated with different profile of snoring sound.

It has been reported that some levels of the upper airway primarily obstruct, lower critical pressure, and induce secondary obstruction of other levels. In OSAHS patients with large tonsils and lower tongue position without complete, concentric retropalatal obstruction, pharyngeal surgery such as uvulopalatopharyngoplasty and its modifications (e.g. RP) and extended uvulopalatal flap can be alternative treatment when nasal CPAP treatment is not tolerable or preferred. However, neither awake modified Mallampati position (MMP) grade nor tongue base dorsalisation is significantly related to DISE-defined tongue base obstruction. For example, non-responders to pharyngeal surgery often have tongue base obstructions according to postoperative DISE results.

In contrast, we found that 67% (6/9) of responders to RP had preoperative tongue base obstructions defined by DISE. We further identified tonsil obstruction defined by either DISE or B2-Imean as an independent predictor of surgical response. According to the concept of fluid dynamics, removing the primary obstruction site can reduce negative pressure and prevent secondary obstruction from occurring. Therefore, 25% (6/24) of tongue base obstructions, 14% (2/14) of epiglottitis obstructions, and 13% (2/15) of multi-level obstructions were secondary, since they were not directly modified by RP. However, further studies are needed to confirm this observation.

The strengths of this study are the complete, multiple evaluations including clinical examination, PSG, full-night snoring sound analysis, DISE, and surgical response. Importantly, diverse snoring sound variables were significantly related to obstruction sites and a surgical response. Furthermore, these variables could predict single obstruction sites, multi-level obstructions, and surgical response with modest-to-high sensitivity but low-to-moderate specificity. However, the frequency spectrum of natural snoring sounds during sleep and upper airway obstruction sites identified during DISE may be not comparable with accuracy. Moreover, the small sample size limited the detection of effect sizes for subgroup analysis. Nemes et al. found that logistic regression may overestimate odds ratios in studies with small to moderate samples size. In addition, the study lacked a control group. Therefore, the reduction in the AHI may have been the result of other non-surgical factors that could not be controlled. Accordingly, caution should be practiced in the interpretation of the results from the present study.

In summary, our results extend and support findings from previous studies evaluating associations between snoring sounds and obstruction sites. Although complete PSG continues to be useful in research and definitive diagnosis, full-night snoring sound analysis can be: (1) an auxiliary method of PSG to objectively score the severity of snoring; (2) a screening tool to detect different obstructive sites; and (3) a supplementary tool to DISE to determine the sites of secondary obstruction. We recommend that complex snoring sound recording and analysis should be considered to be implemented in acoustic screening devices or added to PSG in the future. At least, intensity and frequency of snoring sounds should be measured in PSG. We could use high maximal intensity of low-frequency snoring sounds ($\geq 60$ dB) as a specific surrogate of multi-level obstructions, and low mean intensity of mid-frequency snoring sounds ($< 45$ dB) as a good predictor of a surgical response. Continued analysis of snoring sounds in prospective studies will further aid clinical utility.

Methods
Ethics statement. We conducted a prospective case series focusing on patients with OSAHS. Ethics approval was granted by the Institutional Review Board of the Linkou-Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CGMH), Taoyuan, Taiwan (No. 98-1847A3), which followed the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration. All procedures were in compliance with the current regulations. All participants provided written informed consent.

Study population. Patients with habitual snoring and witnessed sleep apnea who sought surgical treatment were evaluated prospectively at the Sleep Center, Linkou-CGMH, a tertiary referral centre in Taiwan, between January 2010 and December 2011. The inclusion criteria were: (1) age 20 to 60 years; (2) oropharyngeal narrowing; and (3) willing to participate in this study. Primary exclusion criteria were: (1) gross maxillary and mandibular deformities; (2) history of upper airway surgery for OSAHS or oropharyngeal tumours; and (3) history of allergy to propofol, haemorrhagic disorder, cardiovascular disease, stroke, or morbid obesity (BMI > 35 kg/m²). An additional exclusion criterion was an AHI ≤ 5 events/hour. During the study period, 36 participants underwent RP and completed follow-up PSG, 32 of whom had their baseline variables and acoustic factors described elsewhere. Subjective snoring severity was assessed using a visual analogue scale from 0 (no snoring) to 10 (very severe snoring), and daytime sleepiness was assessed using the Epworth Sleepiness Scale. Data on age, gender, BMI, neck circumference, tonsil size, MMP, and Friedman’s anatomic stage were collected.
Sleep study. All participants underwent attended full-night PSG (Nicolet UltraSom System, Madison, WI, USA) in the sleep laboratory to document sleep parameters. Apnea (defined as a drop in the peak thermal sensor excursion by at least 90% of baseline for at least 10 seconds) and hypopnea (defined as a decrease ≥30% in the nasal pressure signal excursions for at least 10 seconds accompanied by desaturation of 4% or more from pre-event baseline or an arousal from sleep) were recorded. AHI, mean SaO₂ and minimal SaO₂ were recorded for further analysis. Baseline and follow-up PSGs were performed within 1 month before surgery and 1 year after surgery.

Automated acoustic analysis of full-night snoring sounds. A non-contact microphone positioned 100 cm above the patient's head was used to record snoring sounds during PSG examinations as described previously. Six-hour snoring sounds were recorded at a sample rate of 44100 Hz. The frequency power spectrum from 40 Hz to 2000 Hz was formed using fast Fourier transformation. For a full-night analysis of snoring signals, an automatic detection algorithm is implanted base on two criteria: (1) sound energy higher than 0.05 au and (2) sound duration between 0.6 second and 4.0 second. There were four frequency bands (total, 40–200 Hz; B1, 40–300 Hz; B2, 301–850 Hz; and B3, 851–2000 Hz). We analysed each snore and obtained the following variables: (1) SI (events/hour); (2) Imax (dB); (3) Imean (dB); (4) Fpeak (Hz); and (5) Fmean (Hz). Each acoustic parameter was averaged for all detected episodes. Snoring sound signals were analysed using specially designed software (Snore Map®, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan).

DISE. DISE was performed in a bronchoscopy unit equipped with standard anaesthetic monitoring (oxygen saturation, non-invasive blood pressure, and electrocardiography). The depth of sedation was monitored using an A-2000 BIS-Vista monitor (Version 3.11, Aspect Medical Systems, Inc., Newton, MA). The patients were injected with propofol (10 mg/mL, AstraZeneca, Caponago, Milano, Italy) in the supine position by a pulmonologist with an initial dose of 0.5 mg/kg via a syringe pump (Injectomat Agilia, Fresenius Kabi, France). Another 10–20 mg was given every 30 seconds to meet the target level of sedation (BIS value: 65–75). The narrowest end inspiratory condition among five consecutive breaths was recorded under BIS-guided propofol infusion. The same authors (Y.L.L. & Y.L.N.) who were blinded to PSG and acoustic analysis performed all DISE examinations and documented the findings.

The sample size for this study was estimated using total-Fpeak to discriminate multi-level obstructions and simple velopharynx obstructions as previously reported. Using a two-tailed Mann-Whitney test to calculate the sample size (normal parent distribution; effect size, 1.128; type I error, 0.05; power, 80%), the minimal total sample size was 30. Due to the small sample size of the subgroup in this study, the descriptive statistics of the variables were presented as median and interquartile range. All data were compared using the Mann-Whitney test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. The degrees of correlation between snoring sound parameters and obstruction sites and surgical responses were assessed using the Spearman correlation test. Only the variables with significant values (p < 0.05) in the Spearman correlation tests were included in logistic regression analysis to ensure the odds ratios and 95% CI of prediction for multi-level obstructions, other level obstructions, and surgical responses. ROC curves were used to determine the optimal cut-off value, sensitivity, and specificity of detecting the obstruction sites. All p values were two-sided, and statistical significance was accepted at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using G*Power (version 3.1.5; University Kiel, Germany) and IBM SPSS software (version 23; International Business Machines Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).
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