From Boron Cluster to Two-Dimensional Boron Sheet on Cu(111) Surface: Growth Mechanism and Hole Formation
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As attractive analogue of graphene, boron monolayers have been theoretically predicted. However, due to electron deficiency of boron atom, synthesizing boron monolayer is very challenging in experiments. Using first-principles calculations, we explore stability and growth mechanism of various boron sheets on Cu(111) substrate. The monotonic decrease of formation energy of boron cluster BN with increasing cluster size and low diffusion barrier for a single B atom on Cu(111) surface ensure continuous growth of two-dimensional (2D) boron cluster. During growth process, hexagonal holes can easily arise at the edge of a 2D triangular boron cluster and then diffuse entad. Hence, large-scale boron monolayer with mixed hexagonal-triangular geometry can be obtained via either depositing boron atoms directly on Cu(111) surface or soft landing of small planar BN clusters. Our theoretical predictions would stimulate further experiments of synthesizing boron sheets on metal substrates and thus enrich the variety of 2D monolayer materials.

Since the first experimental isolation of graphene by Novoselov and Geim in 20041, there have been tremendous interests in the two-dimensional (2D) monolayer materials of only one-atom thickness2–3, such as h-BN sheet and silicene. Among them, graphene and silicene constitute a special kind of semimetals with Dirac cones at the Fermi level4–6, whereas infinite h-BN sheet is a wide-band-gap semiconductor7. Certainly, the diversity of physical properties of these 2D monolayers is crucial for their wide applications in future nanoscale materials and devices.

On the periodic table, boron is the neighbor of carbon with three valence electrons in the 2 s and 2 p orbitals; thus it is able to form sp2 hybridization like carbon. Intuitively, boron atoms are expected to form fullerene-like cage configurations and graphene-like monolayer sheet. In 2007, Yakobson’s group8 predicted a very stable B80 fullerene cage analogous to C60 buckyball. However, hollow cages are indeed not the ground state structures for the medium-sized BN clusters from N568, whereas core-shell configurations are more thermodynamically preferred based on ab initio global search by our group9,10 and others11,12. The energetic unfavorability of these empty boron cages can be attributed to the electron deficiency of boron, which tends to adopt more compact structures.

Stimulated by the B80 fullerene cage, a 2D boron sheet (BS), namely “a-sheet”, with appreciable stability was constructed13–15. By incorporating periodic hexagonal holes in the triangular lattice to reach the balance between three-center (3c) and two-center (2c) bonds, this mixed hexagonal-triangular boron sheet (ht-BS) is more stable than previously proposed buckled triangular boron sheets (t-BS)16–19, which can be explained by a chemical bonding picture that the hexagon holes serve as scavengers of extra electrons from the filled hexagons20. There have been some recent activities of searching more stable structure for 2D allotropes of boron, including the snub-sheet, g1217-sheet, α1-sheet, β1-sheet, struc-1/8-sheet21–24. All these ht-BSs can be constructed by carving different patterns of hexagonal holes within the triangular sheet and described by a hexagon hole density η, defined as the ratio of number of hexagon holes to the number of atoms in the original t-BS.

These boron monolayers of abundant structures certainly bring new members to wonderful 2D atomic films and provide novel building blocks for nanoscale materials and devices. But monolayer BS has not been experimentally synthesized yet due to the electron deficiency of B atom. In previous experiments25,26, single-walled and multi-walled boron nanotubes have been observed, which hints the possible existence of boron monolayer sheet since a boron sheet can be viewed as unrolling a boron nanotube. With metal passivation to stabilize the sp2 hybridization, similar silicene monolayer has been successfully fabricated on Ag(111) and Ir(111) surfaces in recent experiments27–30, providing important clues for BS synthesis. On the other hand, small boron clusters in
vacuum were proved to adopt quasi-planar configurations\(^{31-37}\), which may act as precursors for experimental synthesis of BS on metal surface via soft-landing of cluster beams\(^{38}\).

As experimentalists have to spend lots of money and time by testing numerous metal substrates and various growth conditions, it would be instructive to investigate the synthesis of BSs on metal surfaces from the theoretical aspect. Recently, Zhang and co-workers\(^{39}\) proposed boron monolayers can keep their structures with surfaces from the theoretical aspect. Recently, Zhang and co-workers\(^{39}\) proposed boron monolayers can keep their structures with surfaces from the theoretical aspect. Recently, Zhang and co-workers\(^{39}\) proposed boron monolayers can keep their structures with surfaces from the theoretical aspect. Recently, Zhang and co-workers\(^{39}\) proposed boron monolayers can keep their structures with surfaces from the theoretical aspect. Recently, Zhang and co-workers\(^{39}\) proposed boron monolayers can keep their structures with surfaces from the theoretical aspect.

Typical epitaxial growth of a boron sheet on metal surface can be divided into three stages: (i) a certain amount of B\(_N\) clusters form on metal surface by aggregation of B atoms or soft landing of these B\(_N\) clusters; (ii) B\(_N\) clusters keep growing into pieces of BS sheet by attaching more and more isolated B atoms; (iii) further annealing to achieve stable monolayer structure and to remove defects. As \(ht\)-BS is more stable than \(t\)-BS, the final stage would be important for reaching the optimal density and pattern of holes in \(ht\)-BS. Although previous theoretical simulations\(^{39,40}\) have made certain progresses, some fundamental mechanisms of BS growth still have to be clarified. For example, what is the most stable configurations for the B\(_N\) clusters on a specific metal surface at the initial stage of BS growth? How do B atoms attach to the edges of a seed B\(_N\) cluster? How do the holes form and assemble into patterned \(ht\)-BST? If various BSs have grown on metal surface, how to identify and distinguish them using experimental means?

To address these critical issues, here we investigate the equilibrium geometries and interaction mechanism of boron clusters and sheets on Cu(111) surface using comprehensive first-principles calculations. Similar to the free-standing sheets, \(ht\)-BSs on Cu(111) surface energetically prevail against both \(t\)-BS and \(h\)-BS. Interestingly, the embryo of \(ht\)-BS structural motif emerges as early as B\(_{11}\) cluster on Cu(111) surface and competes with those from \(t\)-BS motif. Moreover, boron atoms tend to congregate on Cu(111) surface with small diffusion barrier to form 2D clusters. During growth of these 2D clusters with triangular networks, hexagonal hole can easily occur and migrate, which promotes the formation of \(ht\)-BS on Cu surface. Our theoretical results provide valuable guidance of how to achieve 2D boron sheets in future experiments.

### Results

**Boron monolayer on Cu(111) surface.** The atomic structures for various boron monolayer sheets on Cu(111) systems are illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure S1 in the Supporting Information. After relaxation, the BSs with slight buckling retain their elementary geometries, implying possible existence of planar boron structures on Cu substrate. The amplitudes of the buckled height \(h\), defined as the vertical distance between the highest and lowest boron atoms with regard to the Cu surface, were calculated for eight types of BS considered and summarized in Table 1. For all \(ht\)-BSs, the buckled heights are around 1 Å, comparable to that for silicene on Ag(111)\(^{41}\). Meanwhile, the buckled height is 1.2 Å for the triangular sheet, which is slightly larger than those for \(ht\)-BSs. In addition, we measured the average distance \(d\) between boron atoms and Cu substrates. As shown in Table 1, the average B-Cu distance ranges between 2.1 Å and 2.2 Å, which is comparable to that in the known B-Cu alloys (2.1 Å-2.2 Å)\(^{42}\) and suggests moderate covalent bonding interaction between boron and copper atoms. The buckled geometries and various hole distributions of BSs on Cu(111) surfaces may increase the difficulty to identify their structures. To help experimentalists distinguish different BS monolayers in the future, here we provided simulated scanning tunneling microscope (STM) images for typical BSs on Cu(111) surface (see Figure S2 of Supporting Information).

The formation energies for various BSs in vacuum and on Cu(111) surface are summarized in Table 1. Compared to the standalone sheets, the formation energies of boron monolayers on Cu(111) surface are reduced by about 0.2 eV/atom, indicating that Cu substrate can stabilize BSs, similar to the Ag(111)-supported silicene sheets\(^{41}\).

| Boron sheets     | \(\eta\) | \(E_{\text{form}}\) (eV/atom) | \(E_{\text{form}}\) (eV/atom) | \(E_c\) (eV/Å\(^2\)) | \(h\) (Å) | \(d\) (Å) | \(Q_B\) [e] |
|------------------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| g\(_{2/15}\)-sheet| 1/15    | 0.396                         | 0.207                         | 0.066                | 0.82     | 2.18    | -0.043    |
| g\(_{1/8}\)-sheet | 1/8     | 0.393                         | 0.220                         | 0.061                | 1.09     | 2.20    | -0.044    |
| \(\alpha\)-sheet | 1/9     | 0.395                         | 0.226                         | 0.053                | 0.88     | 2.14    | -0.047    |
| \(\beta\)-sheet  | 1/8     | 0.413                         | 0.232                         | 0.064                | 1.04     | 2.21    | -0.040    |
| s/nb-sheet       | 1/7     | 0.417                         | 0.232                         | 0.056                | 0.91     | 2.13    | -0.042    |
| s/\(\gamma\)-sheet| 1/8     | 0.397                         | 0.239                         | 0.057                | 0.98     | 2.20    | -0.042    |
| s/triangular sheet| 1/8     | 0.399                         | 0.246                         | 0.054                | 0.99     | 2.21    | -0.040    |
| s/\(\tau\)-sheet | 0       | 0.709                         | 0.338                         | 0.127                | 1.21     | 2.39    | -0.026    |
the formation energy of the fully triangular sheet on Cu surface is 0.338 eV/atom, higher than the most stable $g_{1/8}$-sheet by 0.131 eV/atom. Such noticeable energy difference between $ht$-BS and $t$-BS would provide the driving force for structural transformation from fully triangular to mixed hexagonal-triangular sheets, as we will discuss in the Section 3.3 below. Test calculations with DFT-D2 method\(^a\) for three typical boron sheets onCu surface have also been performed and showed that inclusion of dispersion correction only lead to a systematical shift (about 0.023 eV/atom) of formation energies without changing the energetic sequence of different sheets. Therefore, standard GGA-PBE method is sufficient for describing the relative stability of the present systems.

Using the structures reported in our previous study\(^a\) and the present theoretical scheme, the calculated formation energy of silicene on Ag(111) surface is about 0.2 eV per silicon atom, which is comparable to that for various $ht$-BS on Cu(111) surface. In recent years, silicene has been successfully synthesised on various metal substrates\(^{20,29}\).

Thus, we anticipate that boron sheet may also be synthesized on metal surface with the method similar to that for silicene synthesis. In nature, however, there is no boron allotrope with layered structure analogy to the graphite. The bulk phases of boron solids (such as $\alpha$-boron and $\beta$-boron) are mostly based on basic unit of $B_{12}$ icosahedron.\(^a\) To compare the mixed hexagonal-triangular boron sheets (ht-BSs) with a dense 2D arrangement of icosahedra, a monolayer composed of $B_{12}$ icosahedra on Cu(111) surface was constructed (Figure S2). Using the same theoretical scheme, the formation energy of Cu-supported $B_{12}$-based sheet is 0.214 eV per boron atom, which is almost energy degeneracy with the most stable $ht$-BSs (see Table 1). This result clearly indicate that the boron thin film would eventually become bulk-like composed of $B_{12}$ icosahedra if enough boron atoms are deposited on Cu surface. Note that their atomic densities of boron on Cu(111) surface are different, i.e., 0.56 Å\(^{-2}\) for $B_{12}$ icosahedron sheet and 0.35 Å\(^{-2}\) for $ht$-BSs respectively. Therefore, in order to achieve boron monolayer, the amount of boron atoms deposited on Cu substrate must be strictly controlled within a small value (about 0.35 atom per Å\(^2\)).

Moreover, using the structures reported in our previous study\(^a\) and the present theoretical scheme, the differences in formation energies of different silicene@Ag superstructures are about 0.03 eV/atom, which are comparable to that for various mixed hexagonal-triangular boron sheets on Cu surface (about 0.02 ~ 0.03 eV/atom, see Table 1). In experiments, coexistence of various silicene@Ag superstructures has been observed.\(^{20,29}\) Consequently, the final boron sheet synthesized on Cu surface might be a 2D polycrystalline as a mixture of these $ht$-BS domains, i.e., different patterns of hexagonal holes on the filled triangular lattice.

The adhesion strength between $ht$-BSs and Cu(111) surface can be directly measured by the $E_t$ defined by Eq.(6), which ranges between 0.053 and 0.066 eV/Å\(^2\) (see Table 1). According to Bader charge analysis, each boron atom gains only about 0.04 electrons from Cu substrate. This can be attributed to the small electronegativity difference of these two elements (2.04 for B and 1.90 for Cu according to Pauling’s definition). Such moderate B-Cu interaction would be sufficient to explain the coexistence of these two elements (2.04 for B and 1.90 for Cu according to Pauling’s definition). Such moderate B-Cu interaction would be sufficient to explain the coexistence of these two elements (2.04 for B and 1.90 for Cu according to Pauling’s definition). Such moderate B-Cu interaction would be sufficient to explain the coexistence of these two elements (2.04 for B and 1.90 for Cu according to Pauling’s definition). Such moderate B-Cu interaction would be sufficient to explain the coexistence of these two elements (2.04 for B and 1.90 for Cu according to Pauling’s definition). Such moderate B-Cu interaction would be sufficient to explain the coexistence of these two elements (2.04 for B and 1.90 for Cu according to Pauling’s definition). Such moderate B-Cu interaction would be sufficient to explain the coexistence of these two elements (2.04 for B and 1.90 for Cu according to Pauling’s definition).

To search the most stable configurations, we have constructed numerous structural isomers for each size of $B_n$ clusters on Cu substrate. At least five isomers were considered for $N \geq 9$, whereas the number of isomers increases with the number of boron atoms, e.g., sixteen isomers for $B_{19}$. According to our test calculations, the triangular networks embedded with quadrilaterals or pentagons (e.g., 9-b, 11-b, 13-d, 17-e and 19-d in Figure S6 of Supporting Information) have relatively higher formation energies and thus are not further considered. Due to the large number of possible conformations, we cannot exhaust all isomers for $N > 10$. Only planar structures composed of triangular units with or without hexagonal hole were considered, which can be viewed as the precursors of $ht$-BS and $t$-BS, respectively. In addition, some selected 3D clusters (e.g., icosahedron for $B_{12}$, double ring for $B_{20}$) were also placed on Cu(111) to examine the competition between 2D and 3D structures.

For a single boron atom adsorbed on Cu(111) surface, the most energetically favored position is the bridge site (see Figure 2), whose formation energy is 0.09 eV/atom lower than that of the hollow site. A boron adatom is unstable on the top site and would move to the bridge site upon relaxation. Hence, we tend to avoid the top sites and maximize the bridge sites when we constructed the initial geometries for Cu(111)-supported $B_n$ clusters. In the case of $B_3$ dimer, however, two boron atoms sit on the hollow sites of Cu(111) surface with B-B distance of 1.60 Å since the nearest neighboring bridge sites are too close (1.28 Å) and the second nearest ones are too far (2.22 Å).

On Cu(111) surface, $B_3$ is a regular triangle and $B_4$ is a rhombus composed of two triangles. Chain configurations (3-b and 4-b in Figure S6 of Supporting Information) were also considered for $B_3$ and $B_4$, but are higher in energy by 0.049 eV/atom and 0.095 eV/
atom, respectively. Hence, chain isomers were not considered for larger \( B_N \) clusters with \( N > 4 \). The strong preference of 2D compact structures based on triangular units in \( B_N \) clusters is distinctly different from \( C_N \) clusters on Ni(111) surface, which prefer chain configurations up to \( N = 11 \). This is simply because that boron does not adopt \( sp^2 \) hybridization like carbon.

Following the triangular structural motif, the lowest-energy configurations of \( \text{Cu}(111) \)-supported \( B_5 \) and \( B_6 \) are trapezium and parallelogram composed of three and four triangular units, respectively. The hexagonal ring of \( B_6 \) (6-b in Figure S6 of Supporting Information) is unstable with higher formation energy by 0.061 eV/atom, implying that an individual hexagonal boron ring does not exist on \( \text{Cu}(111) \) surface. An atom-centered hexagon emerges as the ground state of \( B_5 \) on \( \text{Cu}(111) \). Interestingly, the most favored configurations for small \( B_N \) clusters (\( N \leq 7 \)) on \( \text{Cu} \) substrate are all identical to those in vacuum (see Figure S5 of Supporting Information for the ground state structures of gas-phase boron clusters).

The ground state structures of gas-phase \( B_8 \) and \( B_9 \) clusters are atom-centered heptagon and octagon (Figure S5), respectively. On \( \text{Cu}(111) \) substrate, \( B_{11} \) is the first cluster with triangular network consisting of a hexagonal hole, which can be regarded as embryo of \( t \)-BS. By contrast, the gas-phase \( B_{11} \) configuration is constituted by nine triangle units and one quadrilateral. A 2D closed-shell structure with \( C_{3V} \) symmetry, consisting of thirteen triangles, is the ground state for both gas-phase and \( \text{Cu} \)-supported \( B_{12} \). To examine possible existence of 3D structures, \( B_{12} \) icosahedron was placed on \( \text{Cu}(111) \) surface (12-c in Figure S6 of Supporting Information); but its formation energy is much higher than the 2D \( C_{3V} \) configuration by 0.444 eV/atom. Thus, an individual icosahedron (which is the basic structural unit of boron solids) cannot occur on \( \text{Cu}(111) \) surface. In previous calculations by Yakobson’s group, \( B_{12} \) icosahedron on \( \text{Ag}(111) \) surface was also energetically unfavorable with regard to the planar structure by 0.225 eV/atom.

In the case of \( B_{13} \), \( h t \)-BS structural pattern prevails again and its lowest-energy geometry is based on \( 14-c \) isomer of \( B_{14} \) with one additional atom on the rim, forming a \( C_{2v} \) structure with a hexagonal hole symmetrically surrounded by thirteen triangles. Following \( B_{10} \) and \( B_{12} \), \( B_{16} \) adopt belt-like triangular network (16-a in Figure 2) as its ground state both on \( \text{Cu} \) surface and in vacuum. Meanwhile, 16-b isomer (Figure S6 of Supporting Information) with one hexagonal hole is less stable by 0.036 eV/atom probably due to the two-coordinated boron atom on top of 15-a configuration.

As a continuation of \( h t \)-BS motif, the lowest-energy structure of \( B_{17} \) on \( \text{Cu}(111) \) substrate can be obtained by adding a boron dimer on top of 15-a configuration, preserving \( C_{3V} \) symmetry. Interestingly, it can be regarded as a fragment of every \( h t \)-BS considered here except the snub one. Similarly, \( B_{18} \) prefers a triangular network with a hexagonal hole in the center and possesses a high symmetry of \( C_{6V} \).
This planar boron patch also exists in most \(ht\)-BSs except \(g_{2/15}\)-sheet and \(b_1\)-sheet. The 18-c isomer as a full triangular network with \(D_{3h}\) symmetry, which is the ground state in vacuum, is higher in energy by 0.027 eV/atom on Cu surface. At \(N = 19\), the triangle-based belt configuration prevails again and its energy is lower than the 19-b isomer of \(ht\)-BS motif (Figure S6 of Supporting Information) by 0.038 eV.

The most stable structure of \(B_{20}\) on Cu surface can be obtained by adding two boron atoms to the 18-a configuration, resulting in \(C_{2v}\) symmetry. Again, it can be viewed as a fragment of \(B_{18}\)-sheet, \(\pi\)-sheet, \(\sigma\)-sheet, and struc-1/8-sheet. For comparison, the 3D double-ring tubular structure (20-e in Figure S6 in Supporting Information), which is the ground state of \(B_{20}\) in vacuum, is energetically unfavorable on Cu surface with \(\Delta E = 0.073\) eV/atom, simply because only half of boron atoms interact with Cu atoms. The high formation energies of \(B_{12}\) icosahedron and \(B_{20}\) double-ring clearly indicate that 3D structures are not favored on Cu(111) surface.

From the above results, we can derive some general trends about the structures and growth patterns for small boron clusters on Cu(111) surface. First, all Cu-supported \(B_N\) clusters prefer 2D configurations rather than 3D ones. Such 2D preference is certainly a geometrical constraint because of the 18-a configuration. However, the structures and growth patterns for small boron clusters as function of size \(N\) still differ from one another, and even only two boron atoms are added to a \(B_6\) monomer on Cu(111) surface, \(E_{\text{form}}(\text{BS})\) is the formation energy of \(B_N\)-BS. Therefore, we infer that metal surface plays a significant role in occurrence of the boron sheets composed of triangular units and hexagonal holes (i.e., \(ht\)-BS).

For \(B_N\) clusters with \(N \geq 11\), we observed strong competition between \(t\)-BS and \(ht\)-BS motifs. For those full triangular islands as precursors of \(t\)-BS, belt-like structures are more favorable and emerge at \(N = 10, 13, 14, 16, 19\), whereas only \(B_{12}\) prefers an enclosed triangular network. On the other hand, in those lowest-energy triangular networks with hexagon hole (11-a, 15-a, 17-a, 18-a and 20-a in Figure 2), the hexagons are always well embraced by triangular units. We further constructed several belt-like configurations incorporated with one hexagonal hole (12-b, 15-b and 18-b in Figure S6 of Supporting Information) and 2D triangular networks with two hexagonal holes (14-d and 16-e in Figure S6 of Supporting Information). All of them were found to be energetically unfavorable. Therefore, we can conclude that a hexagonal hole has to be enclosed by sufficient amount of triangles to achieve stable 2D boron cluster within \(ht\)-BS motif.

**Interaction between boron clusters and Cu(111) surface.** We first discuss interaction between 2D boron clusters and Cu(111) surface in terms of buckled heights (Figure S7 of Supporting Information). For three typical enclosed triangular boron clusters (12-a, 16-c, 19-e) as precursors of \(t\)-BS, the buckled height increases with increasing cluster size and reaches up to 1.32 Å at \(N = 19\), compared to \(h = 1.21\) Å for the infinite triangular sheet. The buckled heights for two representative hole-doped triangular boron clusters (17-a and 18-a) of \(ht\)-BS type are only 0.43 Å and 0.28 Å, respectively, much less than that of the infinite \(ht\)-BS (about 1 Å). The larger buckling in Cu-supported \(ht\)-BS is mainly caused by the mismatch of 2D boron lattice and Cu(111) surface. In contrast, small boron clusters without out-of-plane constraint of periodic boundary condition can accommodate Cu(111) surface better since the edge boron atoms have more degrees of freedom for adjusting their adsorption positions.

As illustrated by charge density difference (Figure 3a) and Bader charge analysis (Figure 3b), interactions between boron clusters and Cu(111) surface mainly occur on the periphery of boron clusters. Cu substrate causes depletion of in-plane \(\sigma\) states and accumulation of out-of-plane \(\pi\) electrons on the borders of boron clusters. The amount of electron transfer from Cu substrate to boron clusters is usually larger than that for boron monolayers (about \(-0.04\) electrons per B atom). The larger electron transfer in Cu-supported \(B_N\) clusters remedies the electron deficiency of boron and thus results in different equilibrium geometries from the gas-phase clusters.

Previously, the extraordinary stability of free-standing \(\pi\)-sheet of boron were explained by the 3c-2e \(\sigma\)-bonds, 4c-2e \(\sigma\)-bonds, and delocalized \(\pi\)-bonds (6c-2e)\(^{25}\). Due to lack of periodic environment and the consequently unsaturated periphery atoms, \(B_N\) clusters as small fragments of \(ht\)-BS, e.g., 17-a and 18-a, are not energetically favorable in vacuum but can be stabilized on Cu(111) surface. This can be understood by the following bonding picture. There are one 3c-2e \(\sigma\)-bond in each triangle and one delocalized \(\pi\)-bond in each hexagonal hole, respectively. On the other rim of supported boron clusters, the corner boron atoms form two bonds with metal substrate, while the other edge atoms form one bond. For example, \(B_{18}\) of 18-a configuration has eighteen triangles and one hexagon, which require \(18 \times 2 = 36\) \(\sigma\) electrons and \(2\) \(\pi\) electrons. On the periphery of \(B_{18}\), each of the six corner B atoms forms two bonds with Cu atoms, and each of the six edge B atoms forms one bond, respectively. Hence, totally 56 electrons are needed to fulfill all these bonds. Indeed, eighteen boron atoms can offer 54 electrons and the entire \(B_{18}\) cluster gains about \(1.8\) electrons from Cu surface according to Bader analysis, making the total number of electrons near 56. Clearly, passivation effect by metal surface and charge transfer from metal to boron account for stabilization of the \(ht\)-BS patches.

**Implication on the growth behavior of boron monolayer.** Figure 4 plots formation energies of free-standing and Cu-supported \(B_N\) clusters as function of size \(N\). Due to metal passivation, the formation energies of \(B_N\) clusters are significantly reduced from vacuum to Cu(111) surface, especially for those small clusters. For example, the difference of formation energies for systems before and after adsorbed on Cu(111) surfaces is 1.359 eV/atom for \(B_6\) and 0.5 ~ 0.7 eV/atom for \(N = 12\sim20\), respectively. As clusters further increases, it eventually reaches about 0.15 ~ 0.19 eV/atom for infinite \(ht\)-BSs (see Table 1 and Figure 4), e.g., 0.188 eV/atom for \(g_{2/15}\)-sheet. Again, this indicates that large boron sheets interact with Cu substrate more weakly than small \(B_N\) clusters, in line with above charge analysis. It is noteworthy that the cohesion strength between various boron sheets and Cu(111) surface is the same magnitude of graphene on Cu surfaces (about 0.022 eV/Å\(^2\))\(^{26}\), implying that the as-fabricated \(ht\)-BSs may be peeled off from metal substrates like graphene.

Within the explored size range, the formation energy of \(B_N\) clusters decreases as \(N\) increases both in vacuum and on Cu(111) surface, excepted for some highly stable sizes. Supposing boron atoms are directly deposited on Cu(111) surface, the growth process of a monolayer boron sheet can thus be viewed as a phase transition from dispersed boron monomers to 2D sheet with patterned structures. Therefore, the chemical potential of various BSs on Cu(111) at 0 K can be computed by:

\[
\Delta \mu = E_{\text{form}}(B_1) - E_{\text{form}}(\text{BS}),
\]

where \(E_{\text{form}}(B_1) = 1.993\) eV/atom is the formation energy of boron monomer on Cu(111) surface, \(E_{\text{form}}(\text{BS})\) is the formation energy of boron sheet on Cu(111) surface, which lies between 0.207 eV/atom and 0.338 eV/atom. Thus the value of \(\Delta \mu\) changes from 1.786 eV/atom to 1.655 eV/atom for various patterned structures of BSs on Cu(111) surface.
Using chemical potential defined in Eq.(1), the Gibbs free energy of boron clusters on Cu(111) surface can be calculated by

$$\Delta G = \Delta E_B - N \times \Delta \mu,$$

and

$$\Delta E_B = N \times E_{\text{form}}(B_N) - N \times E_{\text{form}}(\text{BS}),$$

where $N$ is the number of boron atoms in cluster, $E_{\text{form}}(B_N)$ is the formation energy of boron cluster, $E_{\text{form}}(\text{BS})$ is the formation energy of infinite boron sheet. As displayed in Figure 4b, the Gibbs free energy $B_N$ cluster is always negative and decreases monotonously with increasing cluster size $N$, which implies spontaneous aggregation of boron atoms and incessant growth of boron cluster on Cu(111) surface at normal conditions. In comparison, on Ag(111) surface, $\Delta G$ slowly decreases with increasing cluster size even for small $\Delta \mu = 0.2$ eV/atom and the nucleation barrier is about 3 eV.

Besides, some $B_N$ clusters (e.g., $B_7$, $B_{10}$, $B_{12}$ and $B_{16}$) exhibit high stability on Cu substrate from the second derivatives of the formation energies (see Figure S8 of Supporting Information), and they possess the same planar structures as in vacuum. Therefore, these boron 2D clusters can be directly soft-landed on Cu(111) surface using cluster beam apparatus as nucleation seeds for further growth of various boron sheets.

In principle, boron sheets on Cu(111) surfaces can be obtained via continuous growth of small clusters or coalescence of them. According to our calculations (Figure S9 of Supporting Information), the diffusion barrier of isolated B atoms on Cu(111) is only 0.141 eV. Therefore, the continuous growth of 2D boron clusters is guaranteed by the negative $\Delta G$ and low diffusion barrier. To schematically show how a $t$-BS forms on metal surface, in Figure 5 we propose two possible growth pathways based on lowest-energy or metastable configurations of $B_N$ clusters. In the path (a), starting from $N = 11$, small $B_N$ clusters with hexagonal-hole-doped triangular lattice occur on Cu surface as nucleation centers of $t$-BS. As boron atoms aggregate around the $B_N$ cluster, the hexagonal hole is completely surrounded by triangular units at $B_{18}$. Afterwards, more boron atoms will accumulate around $B_{18}$, e.g., a $B_2$ dimer on top of $B_{18}$ leading to $B_{20}$. Although larger $B_N$ clusters ($N > 20$) are not explored due to restriction of computing resource, one can naturally anticipate formation of more and more hexagons inside the triangular network of a 2D $B_N$ cluster as it grow bigger, finally coming into being macroscopic monolayer of $t$-BS.

As shown in the path (b) of Figure 5, boron nanoribbons may also serve as building blocks of $t$-BS. Since the belt-like $B_N$ clusters (i.e., $B_{10}$, $B_{13}$, $B_{16}$, $B_{19}$) with regular triangular lattices are very stable, many nanoribbons of finite length may exist during the initial stage of growth. When several boron ribbons aligning in different orientations merge with each other, a hexagonal hole may occur via either...

---

**Figure 3** | (a) Charge density difference and (b) on-site charge transfer from Bader analysis for four typical 2D $B_N$ clusters ($N = 12, 16, 17, 18$) on Cu(111) surface. In (a), electron accumulation is represented by green and electron depletion is represented by blue. In (b), the unit of charge transfer is $|e|$.

**Figure 4** | (a) Formation energies of the most stable $B_N$ clusters and boron sheet in vacuum and on Cu(111) surface. (b) The Gibbs free energy of Cu supported boron clusters as a function of cluster size.
directly enclosing of three nanoribbons with well-defined intersection angle of 120° or diffusion of few boron atoms (see discussion below for the formation mechanism of hexagonal hole).

Is it possible to grow a boron monolayer fully with triangular lattice on Cu substrate? B_{12} as a possible precursor of t-BS (12-a in Figure 2) is rather stable on Cu(111) surface. However, as the cluster becomes bigger, these enclosed triangular structures resembling B_{12} (14-b, 16-c and 19-e in Figure S6 of Supporting Information) become less favorable. The 19-e isomer with a triangular network of C_{6v} symmetry forms a dome-like shape on Cu surface similar to graphene patch^{49,52}, and its formation energy is 0.121 eV/atom higher than the 19-a ground state. The higher formation energies of enclosed triangular boron clusters originate from their larger buckled height $h$, which are shown in Figure S7 of Supporting Information (B_{12}, B_{16} and B_{19}), and prevent formation of triangular boron sheet.

To achieve the patterned h\-t-BSs (in Figure 1 and Figure S1 of Supporting Information) from continuous growth and coalescence of small boron clusters, creation and redistribution of hexagonal holes are the crucial steps, which can be experimentally realized via proper annealing. To explicitly see how a hexagonal hole arise within a finite triangular network and further diffuse inside a B_N cluster, we performed NEB search for the structural transformation from triangular network to hexagonal hole-doped triangular network using B_{15} as an example. As shown in Figure 6, the initial configuration of B_{15} is obtained by adding one additional atom to the metastable structure of B_{14} (14-b) on Cu surface; but this fully triangular network is not favorable for B_{15}. After one atom (highlighted in Figure 6) jumps to the adjacent triangular site, a hexagonal hole occurs as an intermediate (IM) state. The activation barrier for creating of a hexagonal hole as the transition state one (TS1) is 0.531 eV. When a hexagonal hole arises at the edge of a triangular network, it can further diffuse into the cluster interior to be enclosed by as more triangles as possible. As depicted in Figure 6, after the highlighted boron atom migrates from the edge of hexagonal hole to its center.

Figure 5 | Schematic plots for two possible growth paths of h\-t-BS (g_{2/15}-sheet as representative) from small B_N clusters. Formation energies in unit of eV per boron atom are given below the name of boron clusters and sheet.

Figure 6 | Schematic plots for formation and diffusion of a hexagonal hole inside B_{15} cluster. The energies for the initial (Ini), transition (TS1, TS2), intermediate (IM), and final (Fin) states are given. The migrated atom and its diffusion direction are highlighted.
with a small activation barrier of 0.491 eV passing through the transition state two (TS2), the energy of Cu-supported B_{15} cluster is reduced by 0.832 eV. Such small energy barriers for creating and diffusion of a hexagonal hole ensure that a hexagonal hole can arise simultaneously once the triangular network is energetically unfavorable. In principle, the driving force for formation/diffusion of hexagonal holes inside a triangular patch during growth process originates from the formation energy differences \( \Delta E_{\text{form}} \) of various boron sheets, i.e., \( \Delta E_{\text{form}} \approx 0.1 \text{ eV/atom} \) between \( \text{ht-BSs} \) and \( \text{t-BS} \), \( \Delta E_{\text{form}} = 0.039 \text{ eV/atom} \) between \( g_{2/15}\)-sheet and struc-1/8-sheet (see Table 1).

### Discussion

Various types of boron monolayers on Cu(111) surface were investigated using comprehensive \textit{ab initio} calculations. Most boron monolayers are very stable on Cu(111) surface and retain the same geometries as in vacuum. The formation energies for these boron monolayers are reduced on Cu surface due to metal passivation effect. The final boron sheet synthesised on Cu surface would be the mixture of these \( \text{ht-BSs} \) due to the small energy differences between these 2D isomers. AIMD simulation at 500 K and 800 K confirms high thermal stability of the boron sheets on Cu(111) surface.

The lowest-energy and metastable configurations of boron clusters \( \text{B}_N \) (\( N \geq 20 \)) on Cu(111) surface were systematically investigated and compared with those in vacuum. On Cu(111) surface, boron atoms tend to form 2D compact clusters on the basis of triangular units and their formation energies continuously reduce with cluster size, which imply the possible incessant growth of 2D boron cluster on Cu substrate. There is a competition between fully triangular structure and mixed triangular and hexagonal structure. Some fragments of \( \text{ht-BS} \) can be very stable due to metal passivation effect and charge transfer from metal surface to boron clusters, which offer the prerequisite for synthesis of \( \text{ht-BS} \). The small diffusion barrier (0.141 eV) also suggests fast migration of boron atoms on Cu surface, which is beneficial for growth of 2D boron patches. NEB search shows that hexagonal holes can easily arise and diffuse in boron cluster during the growth on Cu(111) surface with relatively low energy barriers (about 0.5 eV). Therefore, we proposed two possible ways of boron monolayer growth, i.e., either depositing boron atoms directly on Cu(111) surface or soft landing of small planar \( \text{B}_N \) clusters generated by cluster beam apparatus. Both approaches result in mixed hexagonal-triangular boron sheet on Cu(111) surface after nucleation and thermal annealing. In order to obtain boron monolayer, the evaporation rate of the boron source and the total amount of boron atoms deposited on metal substrate must be strictly controlled. The present theoretical results are undoubtedly very helpful for understanding the atomic structures and growth mechanism of boron monolayer on Cu(111) surface and provide a useful guideline for experiment synthesis of monolayer boron films.

### Methods

All calculations were carried out using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) based on density functional theory (DFT) and plane-wave basis \(^5\). The electron-ion interactions were described by the projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials \(^6\). To treat the exchange-correlation interaction of electrons, we chose the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional within the generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) \(^7\). A kinetic energy cutoff of 400 eV for the planeave basis and a convergence criterion of 10\(^{-6}\) eV for the total energies were carefully tested and adopted for all DFT calculations.

Here we chose Cu(111) surface as substrate since it is easy to build co-periodic lattice of boron monolayer and Cu(111) surface and that the boron atom has moderate adhesion energy on Cu surface (about 0.1 eV/Å\(^2\)) \(^8\). Meanwhile, Cu(111) surface is a widely used substrate for epitaxial growth of graphene \(^9\). The Cu(111) surface was modeled by a three-layer slab model within 2D periodic boundary condition, which was cleaved from fcc Cu solid with an equilibrium lattice constant of 3.63 Å from our first-principles calculations. To avoid interactions between adjacent periodic images, a vacuum space of more than 12 Å was included in the slab model. With fixed supercell parameters, the three-layer slab model was further relaxed with the bottom layer fixed to mimic a semi-infinite solid. The validity of this three-layer slab model was assessed by our test calculations with a five-layer slab model.

Following recent progresses on the 2D boron sheets \(^{10,11,12}\), we considered nine possible configurations of boron monolayer on Cu(111) surface. The co-periodic lattices of these boron monolayers and Cu(111) surface were built by compressing or stretching the Cu lattice slightly to fit the 2D unit cell of boron sheet. The small lattice mismatches (\( \Delta_{\text{a}} \) and \( \Delta_{\text{b}} \) along two crystallographic orientations) between boron sheets and substrates are defined as:

\[
\Delta_{\text{a}} = \frac{1}{b_{\text{sub}}} |a_{\text{sheet}} - a_{\text{sub}}|, 
\]

\[
\Delta_{\text{b}} = \frac{1}{b_{\text{sub}}} |b_{\text{sheet}} - b_{\text{sub}}|, 
\]

where \( a_{\text{sheet}} \), \( b_{\text{sheet}} \), \( a_{\text{sub}} \) and \( b_{\text{sub}} \) are the supercell parameters of the boron sheet and the Cu substrate, respectively. The detailed structural information for these co-periodic lattices of boron sheets and Cu substrate are summarized in Table S1 of the supporting information (Supporting Information). Note that current supercell models of co-periodic lattices are a compromise of computational cost and lattice mismatch. In reality, there should be almost no mismatch if the co-periodic supercell is big enough.

In addition to the infinite boron sheets, \( \text{B}_N \) clusters of different sizes (up to \( N = 20 \)) were placed on the slab models of Cu(111) surface and the entire cluster-substrate systems were fully relaxed (also with the bottom layer of Cu atoms fixed). The lateral dimensions of the supercell for these cluster-substrate systems were chosen to be sufficiently big to ensure the distance between adjacent clusters no less than 10 Å.

During geometry optimization, the spacing of k-point grids was chosen to be 0.03 Å\(^{-1}\) and a convergence criterion of 0.02 eV/Å for force was adopted. In order to obtain more accurate energies and electronic properties, denser k-point meshes with uniform spacing of 0.02 Å\(^{-1}\) were used for calculations of total energy, charge density difference and charge transfer. Bader analysis \(^{13,14}\) was preformed to evaluate the charge transfer between Cu(111) surface and boron sheets or clusters.

The strength of interaction between boron monolayer and Cu(111) surface can be characterized by the cohesive energy \( E_c \) defined as:

\[
E_c = \frac{1}{N} (E_{\text{sub}} + E_{\text{BS}} - E_t),
\]

where \( E_{\text{sub}} \) is the energy of substrate, \( E_{\text{BS}} \) is the energy of boron monolayer, \( E_t \) is the total energy of boron monolayer on Cu(111) system, \( N \) is the number of boron atoms.

To describe the stability of a boron monolayer or cluster on Cu(111) surface, we define its formation energy as:

\[
E_{\text{form}} = \frac{1}{N} (E_t - E_{\text{sub}} - N \\times E_g),
\]

where \( E_t \) and \( E_{\text{sub}} \) are the same as the definitions in Eq. (6). \( E_g \) is the energy per atom in the boron solid of \( \sigma \)-phase \(^{15}\). \( N \) is the number of boron atoms in boron monolayer or \( \text{B}_N \) cluster. In a similar manner, we can define the formation energy of boron monolayer or cluster in vacuum (\( E_{\text{form}}^{\text{vac}} \)) using Eq. (7), where \( E_t \) becomes the total energy for boron monolayer or cluster only, and the \( E_{\text{sub}} \) term vanishes.
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