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We consider a simple model of cosmological collapse driven by canonical fields with exponential potentials. We generalise the two-field ekpyrotic collapse to consider non-orthogonal or tilted potentials and give the general condition for isocurvature field fluctuations to have a scale-invariant spectrum in this model. In particular we show that tilted potentials allow for a slightly red spectrum of perturbations as required by current observations. However a red spectrum of fluctuations implies that the two-field ekpyrotic phase must have a finite duration and requires a preceding phase which sets the initial conditions for what otherwise appears to be a fine-tuned trajectory in the phase space.

Introduction  Understanding the origin of structure in our Universe is one of the biggest challenges in modern cosmology. An inflationary expansion in the very early universe has become the standard explanation, addressing the flatness and the horizon problems as well as seeding an almost scale-invariant, nearly Gaussian distribution of inhomogeneous perturbations about a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker spacetime [1, 2]. Nonetheless, it is interesting to ask if there are alternative scenarios that can source primordial perturbations consistent with current observations. We require primordial density perturbations which are well-described by a power spectrum $P(k) \propto k^{n_{\zeta}}$ where $0.944 < n_{\zeta} < 0.992$ [3], and the distribution must be sufficiently Gaussian, such that the amplitude of the bispectrum with respect to the square of the power spectrum, given by the non-linearity parameter $f_{\text{NL}}$, is constrained to be $-10 < f_{\text{NL}} < 74$ [3] for local-type non-Gaussianity [4].

Pre-Big Bang models offer a possible alternative where the comoving Hubble-horizon shrinks during a collapse phase, generating a distribution of classical fluctuations on super-Hubble scales [5, 6]. One of such model is the ekpyrotic collapse prior to the Big Bang [7–9] where a canonical scalar field with a steep, negative potential energy drives the contraction. The potential for this field is taken to be $V(\phi) = -V_0 \exp(-c_0 \phi)$ with $c^2 \gg 1$, which has a scale-invariant form, leading to a power-law collapse $a \propto (-t)^{p}$ where $p = 2/c^2$ and a power-law power spectrum of fluctuations. All collapse models face a challenge to connect this runaway collapse to a decelerated expansion, but in any case this single-field model predicts a steep blue spectrum of adiabatic density perturbations, $n_{\zeta} \approx 3$ [10], in contradistinction with observations.

An almost scale-invariant distribution of perturbations can be realised in the new ekpyrotic scenario [11–13] by considering a multi-field system [14]. Each field has its own steep, negative potential, e.g., $V(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -V_1 e^{-c_1 \phi_1} - V_2 e^{-c_2 \phi_2}$. One can perform a rotation in field space and define an adiabatic direction, $\sigma$, and an isocurvature direction $\chi$ [14–17], i.e.,

$$V(\sigma, \chi) = -e^{-c_\sigma} \left( V_1 e^{-(c_1/c_\sigma)\chi} + V_2 e^{-(c_2/c_\sigma)\chi} \right),$$

with $c^{-2} = \sum_i c_i^{-2}$. A power-law solution exists where the field $\chi$ sits in the extremum of the potential, $\chi_0$, yielding a power-law solution driven by the exponential dependence, $V \propto e^{-c_\sigma}$. The adiabatic fluctuations have a steep blue spectrum as before, but isocurvature fluctuations can also source the primordial density perturbation. The isocurvature field spectral tilt is given by $n_{\chi} - 1 \approx 4/c^2$ during an ekpyrotic contraction with $c^2 \gg 1$; therefore the power spectrum can be nearly scale invariant.

An essential feature of this two-field model is that the power-law solution with $p = 2/c^2$ is unstable; there is a tachyonic instability since the effective mass-squared of the $\chi$ field is negative [17]. Such an instability is necessary to achieve an almost scale-invariant spectrum. Quantum fluctuations on the Hubble scale have a power spectrum $P_\chi \approx (c^2/4) (H/2\pi)^2$ which grows rapidly during collapse, therefore the power spectrum on larger scales must also experience a rapid growth, proportional to $H^2$, in order to keep pace with the growing power on the shrinking Hubble scale.

This raises the question of how the universe started sufficiently close to this unstable solution, which we will return to later. However the tachyonic instability does provide a mechanism to convert isocurvature field fluctuations into density perturbations [18]. The growth of the $\chi$ field leads to a change from the two-field solution with $p = 2/c^2$ to a single-field solution with either $p = 2/c_1^2$ or $p = 2/c_2^2$. The corresponding change in the local equation of state, controlled by the local value of the $\chi$ field, leads to a density perturbation, $\zeta \propto \delta \chi$ [18]. Other mechanisms have also been proposed which could convert the isocurvature field fluctuations to density perturbations including a kinetic conversion due to an abrupt change in the field trajectory after the ekpyrotic phase [11] or a curvaton-type conversion due to modulated reheating in an expanding phase following the bounce [19]. In any case any linear process preserves the scale dependence of the power spectrum and we have $n_{\zeta} = n_{\chi}$. Note however that the power spectrum is slightly blue, $n_{\zeta} > 1$, in tension with current observations [3].

Non-linearity in the evolution of perturbations also provides important constraints on the model. The tachyonic conversion of isocurvature field fluctuations into density perturbations leads to local-type non-Gaussianity
characterised by the nonlinearity parameter \( f_{\text{NL}} = -(5/12)c_I^2 \) for \( I = 1, 2 \). Given that we must have \( c_I^2 > c^2 \) this implies \( f_{\text{NL}} < (5/3)(1 - n_\zeta)^{-1} \), e.g., if \( 1 - n_\zeta < 0.01 \) we require \( f_{\text{NL}} < -100 \), in contradiction with observations. Alternative conversion processes can lead to model-dependent results for non-Gaussianity and in particular the kinetic conversion can lead to \( f_{\text{NL}} \sim \pm e \) which may be compatible with observational constraints given above.

In this letter we will look at consequences of simple generalizations of the new ekpyrotic scenario to include non-orthogonal potentials and how this alters the predicted distribution of super-Hubble perturbations and the problem of initial conditions.

**Tilting the potentials** We will consider \( n \) canonical scalar fields, \( \phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) \), with \( m \) exponential potentials

\[
V(\phi) = -\sum_{j=1}^m V_j e^{-\xi_j \phi} \quad (2)
\]

where \( \xi_j = (c_{j1}, \ldots, c_{jn}) \). We recover the new ekpyrotic model, described above, as a special case of two orthogonal vectors \( \xi_1, \xi_2 = 0 \), but in the following we will consider the more general case of non-orthogonal or “tilted” potentials, such that \( \xi_i^{\top} \xi_j \neq 0 \) [14]. We restrict our discussion to \( V_j > 0 \) so that every term in Eq. (2) is negative and \( V < 0 \). The case of positive potentials, \( V > 0 \), was discussed previously in the context of assisted inflation [21, 22]. We will assume that the \( m \) different vectors, \( \xi_i \), are linearly independent. Hence our analysis is also restricted to \( m \leq n \) and we assume that the fields are not trapped, so that there always exists a regime with finite energy density in which \( V_j e^{-\xi_j \phi} \to 0 \) for any given potential.

We note that we could choose to work with fields \( \phi_j \propto \xi_j \phi \) aligned with the potentials in Eq. (2) but then these fields would have a non-diagonal metric in field space, i.e., be non-orthogonal for \( \xi_i \xi_j \neq 0 \).

The evolution equation for the canonical fields is given by

\[
\ddot{\phi} + 3H \dot{\phi} + \sum_{j} \xi_j V_j e^{-\xi_j \phi} = 0 \quad (3)
\]

where the Friedmann equation for \( H \equiv \dot{a}/a \) is

\[
H^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - \sum_{j} V_j e^{-\xi_j \phi} \right) \quad (4)
\]

We have set \( 8\pi G = 1 \) and dots correspond to derivatives with respect to cosmic time.

Firstly let’s look at the stability of this type of system. To do so let’s follow [17, 23] and define

\[
x_i = \frac{\phi_i}{\sqrt{6H}}, \quad (5)
\]

\[
y_j = \frac{\sqrt{V_j e^{-\xi_j \phi}}}{\sqrt{3H}}. \quad (6)
\]

Using Eqs (3) and (4) one finds

\[
\frac{dx_i}{dN} = -3x_i \left( 1 - \sum_k x_k^2 \right) - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_j c_{ji} y_j^2 \quad (7)
\]

\[
\frac{dy_j}{dN} = y_j \sum_i x_i \left( 3x_i - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} c_{ji} \right) \quad (8)
\]

We can then study fixed points corresponding to scaling solutions [22-25]. They are given by \( dx_i/dN = dy_j/dN = 0 \) and we find the following fixed points:

1. **Zero-potential fixed point**

These points are characterized by \( y_J = 0, \forall J \) and \( x = X^2 = \sum x_i^2 = 1 \). This kinetic energy-dominated collapse with a \( \propto (-t)^{1/3} \) is unstable whenever there exists at least one potential with \( c_I^2 > 6 \).

2. **Single-potential fixed point**

In the case where only one potential is non-zero, i.e., \( y_K \neq 0 \) while \( y_J = 0, \forall J \neq K \), we then have a fixed point

\[
y_K = \sqrt{\frac{c_K^2}{6} - 1}, \quad x_i = \frac{c_{K_i}}{\sqrt{6}}, \quad (9)
\]

where \( c_K^2 = \sum_i c_{K_i}^2 \) and we require \( c_K^2 > 6 \). This corresponds to a power-law solution of the scale factor with \( a \propto (-t)^p \), where \( p = 2/c_K^2 \). This collapse is stable with respect to the zero-potential solution.

3. **Double-potential fixed point**

In the case where two potentials are non-zero, \( y_K \neq 0 \) and \( y_L \neq 0 \), we have a fixed point where

\[
x_i = \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{6}}, \quad (10)
\]

and

\[
y_K = \sqrt{\frac{c_K^2 - c_L c_K}{c_L c_K - c_K} \left( \frac{c_L^2}{6} - 1 \right)}, \quad (11)
\]

with

\[
\zeta = \frac{(c_K^2 c_L - c_L c_K) c_L + (c_L^2 - c_K c_L) c_K}{c_L^2 - c_K^2}. \quad (12)
\]

This corresponds to a power-law solution of the scale factor with \( p = 2/c^2 \) where \( c^2 = c_K^2 c_L^2 - (c_K c_L)^2 \). For this solution to exist we require \( c^2 > 6 \) and \( c_K c_L < \min \{c_K^2, c_L^2\} \), and in this case this double-potential collapse is always stable with respect to kinetic-dominated collapse but unstable with respect to single-potential collapse.
4. Multiple-potential fixed points

The scaling solution for two potentials can be generalised to the case of multiple tilted potentials. We again find $x_i = c_i / \sqrt{6}$ where we have

$$\xi = \sum_{I,J} (M^{-1})_{IJ} \xi_J \equiv \xi_J \xi_J,$$

where we define the matrix $M_{IJ} \equiv \xi_I \xi_J$ and $(M^{-1})_{IJ}$ is its inverse. Hence we have a power-law solution with $p = 2/c^2$ where [21]

$$c^2 = \left( \sum_{I,J} (M^{-1})_{IJ} \right)^{-1}.$$

A system with many exponential potentials can have many different fixed points. $n$ fields with $m \leq n$ potentials of the form given in Eq. (2) with independent $\xi_I$ will have $2^m - 1$ different fixed points with at least one non-zero potential. For instance, if we have 3 potentials there will be one scaling solution with 3 non-zero potentials ($y_K \neq 0$), three scaling solutions with 2 non-zero potentials, and three fixed points each with a single non-zero potential. In each case we can use the general result for the multiple-potential fixed point given in Eqs. (13) and (14) where the sums are to be taken over the non-zero potentials. This reduces to Eq. (12) for two tilted potentials, or $c^{-2} = \sum_I c_I^{-2}$ for multiple orthogonal potentials.

**Tilted ekpyrosis** Let’s consider a new ekpyrotic scenario with non-orthogonal potentials. From now on we will discuss the case when we have two potentials and two fields. Without further loss of generality we set

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{c_1}{c_2} &= c_1 (1, 0) \\
\frac{c_2}{c_1} &= c_2 (\sin \theta, \cos \theta).
\end{align*}$$

We recover the case of orthogonal potentials in the limit $\sin \theta \to 0$. The rotation to adiabatic and isocurvature fields in field space is given by

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma &= (c_2 \cos \theta) \phi_1 + (c_1 - c_2 \sin \theta) \phi_2, \\
\chi &= (c_1 - c_2 \sin \theta) \phi_1 - (c_2 \cos \theta) \phi_2,
\end{align*}$$

where $\Delta^2 \equiv c_1^2 - 2c_1c_2 \sin \theta + c_2^2$. The potential in terms of the adiabatic field $\sigma$ and the isocurvature field $\chi$ is $V(\sigma, \chi) = -e^{-c\sigma} U(\chi)$ where

$$e^2 = \frac{c_1^2 c_2^2 \cos^2 \theta}{c_1^2 + c_2^2 - 2c_1c_2 \sin \theta},$$

and

$$U(\chi) = V_1 \exp \left[ \frac{(c_1 - c_2 \sin \theta) c_2 \sin \theta}{c_2 \cos \theta} \chi \right] + V_2 \exp \left[ \frac{(c_2 - c_1 \sin \theta) c_1 \sin \theta}{c_1 \cos \theta} \chi \right].$$

which reduces to (1) when $\theta = 0$. We note that $V_1, V_2 > 0$ so $U(\chi)$ is bounded from below and has a minimum at $\chi = \chi_0$ for $c_1c_2 \sin \theta < \min\{c_1^2, c_2^2\}$. Thus there is an ekpyrotic, power-law solution with $\chi = \chi_0$ and $V \propto e^{-c\sigma}$. Around the minimum we can expand $U(\chi)$ as

$$U(\chi) \approx U_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\chi - \chi_0)^2 + \ldots \right)$$

where

$$\mu^2 = c^2 - c_1c_2 \sin \theta > 0.$$

Quantum fluctuations in the adiabatic field, $\sigma$, lead to a steep blue spectrum of density perturbations, $n_\zeta = 3$ in the fast-roll limit[10]. A nearly scale-invariant spectrum of perturbations can instead originate from isocurvature fluctuations in the isocurvature field, $\chi$.

Linear perturbations in the isocurvature field obey

$$\ddot{\chi} + 3H \dot{\chi} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \frac{2\mu^2 (c^2 - 6)}{c^4 t^2} \delta \chi = 0.$$

It is convenient to work in conformal time defined by $dt = ad\tau$. Using the Bunch-Davies vacuum state to normalize the amplitude of quantum fluctuations at early times ($k\tau \to -\infty$) one finds

$$a \delta \chi(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-i\frac{2}{3} (\nu + \frac{1}{2})(-\tau)^{1/2} \nu (2)} (-k\tau)$$

where $\nu (2) (-k\tau)$ is a Hankel function of the second kind and

$$\nu^2 = \frac{9}{4} - \frac{2c^4 - 2(3 + \mu^2)c^2 + 12\mu^2}{(c^2 - 2)^2}.$$

The scale dependence of the power spectrum is given by $n_\chi - 1 \equiv d \ln P_\chi / d \ln k = 3 - 2\nu$. To obtain an exactly scale-invariant spectrum we require $\nu = 3/2$ and hence

$$c_1c_2 \sin \theta = \frac{3c^2}{c^2 - 6}.$$

Note that, as expected, a scale-invariant spectrum requires that the two-potential collapse is an unstable point in the phase space.

In the fast-roll limit $c^2 \gg 1$ we have

$$\nu^2 \approx \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2(3 + \mu^2)c^2 - 12\mu^2}{c^4}.$$

We will focus on the fast roll, $c^2 \gg 1$, and small angle case, $\theta \ll 1$. In this case

$$n_\chi - 1 \approx \frac{4}{c^2} \left( 1 + \frac{c_1c_2}{3} \theta \right).$$

So we find a nearly scale-invariant red spectrum if $\theta \lesssim -3/c_1c_2$. The relative tilt of the potentials in field space,
we have
\[ \mu^2_x (\delta \chi^2) \simeq \frac{c^4}{8} \left( \frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{k_*}{k_i} \right)^{1-n} . \]  
where \( k_* \) is the comoving Hubble scale and \( k_i \) is the initial comoving Hubble scale at the beginning of the new ekpyrotic phase. Assuming we have a slightly red spectrum with \( 1 - n \simeq 0.01 \) and requiring a new ekpyrotic phase which lasts at least 10 e-folds, i.e., \( k_* / k_i > e^{10} \), we require that the transition completes when \( |H| < (1 - n)^{1/2} c^{-3} \).

We require a phase preceding the new ekpyrotic phase which sets the classical background field sufficiently close to the fixed point, and ensures that the isocurvature field has a sufficiently small variance on large scales at the start of the new ekpyrotic phase. There are several possibilities, one being that the isocurvature field has a mass parameter that changes during the evolution, inserting \( \mu^2_x (\sigma) \) in Eq.(21). This could both stabilise \( \chi = \chi_0 \) at early times and offers another way produce a red spectrum at late times [26]. However such a potential cannot be realised within the context of simple exponential potentials (2), and lies outside the class of scale-invariant potentials with scaling solutions [24]. We expect that a time-dependent \( \mu^2_x (\sigma) \) would lead to a running of the tilt, \( n_\zeta (k) \) and a scale-dependent non-Gaussianity, \( f_{\text{NL}} (k) \).

Within our simple model (2) one possibility could be a preceding phase described by a multiple-potential scaling solution that itself would be unstable with respect to the two-potential solution. But the spectrum of the isocurvature perturbations requires a careful analysis of the three (or more) potential system, and could be highly model-dependent. An alternative preceding fixed point already present in our two field model is the kinetic fixed point with vanishing potential energy. This is an unstable fixed point but it does describe the generic behaviour of the system as \( t \to -\infty \).

The kinetic-dominated fixed point where the potentials are negligible is in fact the basis of the pre-big bang models proposed by Gasperini and Veneziano [5]. It is well-known that a kinetic-dominated collapse leads to a steep blue spectrum of perturbations for any massless fields. Thus the isocurvature field naturally has negligible perturbations on large scales. On the other hand a priori there seems no particular reason why the classical background trajectories should approach close to the new ekpyrotic (double-potential) solution which is a saddle point in the phase-space [17] rather than proceeding directly to the old ekpyrotic (single-potential) solutions which are the stable late-time attractors.

Finally we note that in principle we might disregard the ensemble average for \( (\chi^2) \) on large scales and assume that simply by chance quantum fluctuations away from \( \chi = \chi_0 \) in our local patch are unusually small. This is unlikely a priori but one might appeal to some anthropic argument that only these regions are capable of giving rise to observers [27].

**Conclusions** In this letter we have shown that an ekpyrotic collapse driven by two scalar fields with non-
orthogonal potentials can give a scale-invariant or slightly red tilted spectrum of perturbations. This is in contrast to the original ekpyrotic collapse with a single field [7] which produces a steep blue spectrum [10], or new ekpyrotic collapse with two orthogonal potentials [11–13] which yields an almost scale-invariant, but slightly blue spectrum of perturbations. To obtain a slightly red spectrum we fine-tune the tilt such that the angle $\theta \sim 0.01$ in the fast-roll limit.

This two-potential solution is an unstable saddle point in the phase-space and a red tilted spectrum of tachyonic field fluctuations can therefore only exist over a finite range of scales. Thus the two-potential solution can only exist for a finite time. This is possible for a particular class of solutions in the phase space which must evolve from a kinetic-dominated initial state to approach sufficiently close to the two-potential saddle point. The late-time attractor in the phase space is a single-potential-dominated collapse, i.e., the old ekpyrotic collapse [7].

If the tachyonic transition from two-potential to single-potential collapse occurs then this naturally converts the isocurvature field fluctuations into density perturbations. However this potentially leads to a large, negative non-Gaussianity parameter, $f_{NL} < -(5/12)c^2$, in the fast-roll limit, $c^2 \gg 1$, in contradiction to the observations.

By studying a simple two-field system we have a well-defined model within which we can calculate the quantum field perturbations about classical trajectories during a cosmological collapse. However it leaves unanswered the question of whether the required tilted potentials can be realised within a string theory setting, as originally envisaged in the ekpyrotic scenario [7], or how the initial state evolves sufficiently close to an unstable saddle point in the phase space. This would require a preceding phase [26], such as is envisaged within the cyclic scenario [28]. In all these scenarios we still need to understand whether, and if so how, the universe emerges from collapse to begin expanding and recover the standard hot big bang.
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