1. INTRODUCTION

Innovation has been widely recognized as a foundation for the competitiveness of nations, regions, and industrial sectors. The experience of the developed countries has proven that the most effective way to foster innovation is building a strong linkage between innovation actors. In another word, the experience proves that successful economic and industrial development is intimately linked to a nation’s capacity to acquire, absorb and disseminate modern technologies through a functional innovation system.

The National Innovation System (NIS) concept first appeared in the mid-1980s in the context of debates over industrial policy in Europe. Since then, an international body of literature documents the growing influence of the NIS approach (Sharif 2006). The NIS framework suggests that the research system’s ultimate goal is innovation, and that the system is part of a larger system composed of sectors such as government, university, and industry and their environment. The framework also emphasizes the relationships between the components or sectors (Godin 2009). Although the innovation system approach originated from research on national innovation systems (Freeman 1987), researchers soon began conceptualizing innovation systems at the regional (Cooke et al. 1997), sectorial (Malerba 2002), and technological levels (Carlsson 1995) as subsystems of a NIS.

Even though innovation systems can be defined in a variety of ways, they have certain common characteristics as a system. They all involve the creation, diffusion, and use of knowledge.
Systems consist of components, relationships among these, and their characteristics or attributes (Carlsson et al. 2002). Therefore, environment, interaction, relationship, and collaboration among components, elements, and subsystems are essential to the innovation system development. Thus, innovation ecosystem approach has emerged in science and technology study recently. Some researchers (Oh et al. 2014) suggest innovation ecosystem approach as a new model and independent approach, while some others (Jučevičius and Grumadaitė 2014) suggest it as a complementary to the innovation system approach. Recently, scholars and practitioners increasingly identify the usefulness of the innovation ecosystem concept. To make innovation happen a suitable innovation ecosystem must meet different conditions. These conditions may address natural, structural, organizational and cultural factors (Durst and Poutanen 2013).

Whereas a developed country’s goal of having an innovation system is for maintaining or improving an already established level of competitiveness and growth, a developing country’s ultimate goal is to develop fast to “catch-up” other economies as soon as possible. In order to accomplish the goal of “catching-up”, developing countries face common challenges, particularly in the post-communist countries. When it concerns post-communist economies (former socialist countries before the 1990s), Mongolia in particular, the term of innovation is new, introduced in the mid-2000s, and the understanding of the innovation processes is somewhat limited.

There was a practice to develop a system “ShBOS” (meant “Invention and Innovative Idea System”) to acquire, absorb and disseminate new technical solutions and technologies before the 1990s democracy in Mongolia. The ShBOS system played crucial role to create innovative culture in the country. After the innovative culture set, ICT sector was selected as a leading sector to create critical mass and to develop the system similar to the technological innovation system. Over the last two decades, Mongolia has been trying to transfer to a free market economy. And, in the last decade, Mongolia tries to adopt and implement the new concept of the innovation system and faces with challenges.

This paper consists of four sub-sections. In the first parts, we discuss about the emergence and development of the Innovation Systems and Ecosystems concepts. In the second part, the article goes back in history to trace the emergence of a systems approach in Mongolia before the 1990s democracy. Then we looks at how the NIS approach entered into Mongolia, and the initiatives to develop the National Innovation System and its ecosystem since the 1990s. In the third part, we introduce the result of our recent survey on innovation awareness and readiness focused on innovation systems elements in Mongolia. In the following part, we assess current state of ICT sector in Mongolia. Based on the research result, we propose suggestions to develop NIS through technological innovation system focused on the ICT sector’s achievements and conclude our remarks.

2. NATIONAL INNOVATION SYSTEM AND INNOVATION ECOSYSTEM

2.1. National Innovation System

Used by several international organizations, most notably the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the national innovation system approach enjoys wide currency in Scandinavia and Western Europe, in both academic and policymaking contexts. Countries have been developing their national innovation systems. Similar to the term innovation’s definitions, there are several definitions for national innovation system. According to OECD’s manual, one account of NIS is the set of institutions that (jointly and individually) contribute to the development and diffusion of new technologies. These institutions provide the framework within which governments form and implement policies to influence the innovation process. As such, it is a system of interconnected institutions to create, store, and transfer the knowledge, skills, and artifacts which define new technologies (Metcalfe 1995; OECD 1997).

The NIS definitions can be classified into broad and narrow definitions depending on to what extent we look at institutional settings. On one hand, the broad definition of NIS is “a set of all interrelated institutional actors of government, public and private institutions, which take responsibilities by contributing to create, diffuse, and exploit new, economically valuable knowledge in the collaboration of innovation agenda” (Oyuntsetseg 2009). On the other hand, the narrow definition includes organizations and institutions directly related to searching and exploring technological innovations, such as R&D departments, universities, and public institutes (Lundvall

1 In 1992, Finland was the first country to adopt the concept of an NIS as a basic category of its science and technology policy (Sharif 2006).
1988). Experts in this area emphasize that effective institutional setting and interactive learning between major actors within the setting are very important for generating innovations and strengthening and maintaining national competitiveness (Chung 2002).

Since there are no blueprints, standards, or theories for developing an innovation system (Sharif 2006), each country has a different experience with the development history of NIS. From their experiences, those countries, who have implemented the concept based on their country's unique environment, are the most successful. For developing countries, there is an opportunity to fill the gap of development in a short period of time by introducing a pragmatic innovation system concept based on country's profile (The World Bank 2010). However, a lack of national innovation policy, shortage of knowledge production, lack of skilled labor and the existence of financial support systems for innovation are some of the key constraints in promoting innovation in developing countries. Thus, UNESCO advises that these countries promote inclusive innovation for sustainable development by facilitating the development of innovation ecosystems promoting a culture of innovation (Schlegel 2014). In this paper, we aim to use the narrow definition of innovation system for the case of Mongolian technological innovation system.

2.2. Innovation Ecosystem

Studying innovation systems from the ecosystem perspective has emerged in science and technology (S&T) study recently. Some researchers (Oh et al. 2014) suggest the innovation ecosystem approach as a new model and independent approach, while some others (Juicevicius and Grumadaite 2014) suggest it as complementary to the innovation system approach. The fundamental hope behind ecosystems thinking is to expand the capabilities of one actor beyond its own boundaries and transfer knowledge into innovation in collaboration with others (Adner 2006).

An innovation system is “the complex relationships that are formed between actors or entities whose functional goal is to enable technology development and innovation. The actors include the material resources (funds, equipment, facilities, etc.) and the human capital (students, faculty, staff, industry researchers, industry representatives, etc.) that make up the institutional entities participating in the ecosystem (e.g. universities, colleges of engineering, business schools, business firms, venture capitalists, industry-university research institutes, federal or industrial supported centers of excellence, and state and/or local economic development and business assistance organizations, funding agencies, policy makers, etc.)” (Jackson 2011). The innovation ecosystem only partly depends on presence of elements (i.e. talent, firms, institutions, capital), but even more so on their identities, meaning, networking capabilities, culture of trust and pragmatic cooperation (Juicevicius and Grumadaite 2014).

These above mentioned elements introduced by the innovation system environment, create the ecosystem environment and are influential on the ecosystem itself. Based on Jackson's and others suggestions, an innovation ecosystem's elements can be classified into groups as follows: 1) Innovation culture elements, 2) Regulatory environment elements, 3) Talent elements, 4) Capital elements, 5) Density elements (Oh et al. 2014). In another words, a country should develop its NIS by having policies that educate human resources in innovation culture, lead to a friendly regulatory environment, and support critical mass building through investment concentration.

3. ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF AN INNOVATION SYSTEM (AND ECOSYSTEM) CONCEPT IN MONGOLIA

In this section, we discuss about the Mongolian experience in the development of a system, National Innovation System, from two different perspectives. First, “ShBOS” system, and secondly, National Innovation System of Mongolia.

3.1 First attempt to develop a framework: Development of “ShBOS” system

Researchers all agree that before the terms “innovation” and “innovation systems” definitions showed up in S&T studies, they existed in human history. There were experiences that lead to the development of similar systems in Mongolia during the socialist era.

In Mongolia, for the first time, on May 19th, 1944 by the Ministers' committee’s 63rd resolution, guidance to reward those who bring new product invention including innovative ideas was approved; and ordered appropriate ministries’ to register the idea and new inventions, provide certificates, diffuse, and use them in the industrial sectors manufacturing. The resolution also made the National planning office responsible for bookkeeping of the certificates issued by those ministries (Demberel
et al. 2000). It was the first system of knowledge creation, diffusion and exploitation of new technologies.

The first system to invent, register, exploit knowledge into industry and diffuse the “Invention, and innovative idea system” was developed and improved in 1960, 1970 and used until 1992. <Fig.1>

Additionally, at the Mongolian Youth Federation’s Bureau of the Central committee’s meeting on April 4th, 1977’s they passed a 36th resolution, leading to approval of the structure of “ZTB” (Youth technological invention) system to develop children, and youth’s science and technological inventions (Mongolian Youth Federation 1981). <Fig.2>

---

**Fig. 1. “ShBOS” framework for creation, registration and diffusion of inventions**

Source: Government of Mongolian People’s Republic 1987

**Fig. 2. Organizational structure of “ZTB” system**

Source: Mongolian Youth Federation 1981
3.2 Second attempt to develop a National Innovation System in Mongolia

In its Millennium development goals-based comprehensive national development strategy, the country of Mongolia, which has chosen democracy to develop, has strengthened the science and technology capacity by developing and creating an innovation beneficial system; stated to implement economical frontier industries’ technology and invention by adapting foreign advanced technological inventions and by local research and development result; stated to achieve the 7 sub goals from 2007 to 2021 (The Secretariat of the State Great Hural 2008).

According to the policies, in its master plan to develop Mongolian science and technology from 2007 to 2020, the government initiated a goal to reform the economy based on technological innovation, and approved, in 2007, “The program to develop National innovation system in Mongolia” (The Secretariat of the State Great Hural 2008), in 2010, “State policy on high technology industry,” in 2011, “The program to develop high technology industry,” in 2012, “Law of Innovation,” and in 2013, “Investment law” and started to implement new National Innovation System.

When developing the above mentioned strategic documents, foreign researchers’ studies, foreign countries’ experiences, and Mongolian researchers’ work have been used by the policy makers. Among the studies, the research done by Dr. Oyuntsetseg (2009), who studied the National Innovation System’s principles’ model, prerequisite conditions and concepts to develop Mongolian National Innovation System, has had significant impact.

There are cases where the triple helix model (Ranga and Etzkowitz 2013) is used, which is one of the knowledge economy’s well known concepts of government- academic institutions- industries which explain innovation systems (Leydesdorff and Zawdie 2010). In its extended version of the quadruple helix model, the “consumer” is added to define the interrelationships between the components (Carayannis and Campbell 2011).

The model proposed by Dr. Oyuntsetseg, suggests to add the fifth element of “innovation infrastructure” on the Quadruple Helix model to support innovative activities among the elements <Fig.3>.

![Fig. 3. "Base structure model" of a NIS](source: Oyuntsetseg 2009)

Note: I - Science-education block
II - Industrial block
III - Customer block
IV - Regulation block
V - Infrastructure block
We studied the innovation system from the perspective of the “Base structure model” and intend to interpret the current state of Mongolia by considering five elements of 1) Innovation Culture, 2) Regulatory environment, 3) Talent, 4) Capital, and 5) Density.

4. STUDY OF INNOVATION READINESS AND AWARENESS

The study of innovation in Mongolia has been objectively evaluated by the readiness of the previously mentioned “Base structure model” and its ecosystem factors or elements. The study is based on both paper and electronic survey conducted in April 2015 to 300 innovation and technology professionals whose work definitions include R&D, innovation, and technology from the sectors of public and private organizations including academic institutions. The number of effective replies was 114 (38%). Questions in the survey consist of innovation system completeness, achievement for recent years, effect of Innovation programs, and so on.

Out of the total participants, 60% were male, 46 were up to 30 years old, 50 were 31 to 40 years old, 16 were 41-50 years old, and 2 were 51-60 years old. 48 hold bachelor’s degrees, 43 are master’s degrees holders, and the remaining 23 participants are Doctors of Philosophy.

The objective of the first part of the survey was to study the current phase of the Innovation system’s completeness. Although, as a result of the test survey, 89% of the participants believe innovation is fundamental to the country’s development and innovation can take the country to better development, 73%, or 83 participants, responded that the national innovation system in Mongolia is incomplete. The result regarding the innovation system completeness is summarized in <Fig.4>.

According to the result from the <Fig.4>, 17 participants believe that there is no innovation system in Mongolia and 7 participants “do not know”. If the system is incomplete, the following three indicators have been chosen the most as what is missing in multiple choice questions.

- Collaboration, relationship - 65.6%
- Infrastructure - 50%
- Public regulation and regulatory environment - 50%

The purpose of the second part of the survey was to observe how public regulations and the regulatory environment for building Mongolian National Innovation System is affecting the innovation initiatives. In order to measure performance, the focus was on number of patent applications filed considering quantity approach, but quality.

Looking at the Intellectual Property Office of Mongolia’s (IPOM) statistics <Fig.5>, the number of patents and applications filed have been increasing continuously since 2009. This could indicate indirect impact of the national innovation system development program. However, this hypothesis needs to be studied in detail. In the initial survey, our aim is to demonstrate how well the intellectual property culture is set for those organizations with R&D, innovation and technology units. From the survey result, it indicates the tendency to file patent applications increased dramatically since 2012 <Fig.6>.

Another goal was to reveal intellectual property (IP) culture of

---

Fig. 4. Answers to the question of “Do you agree NIS of Mongolia is complete? If, you don’t, select what is/are missing (multiple choice), please?”

Source: Dashdondov et al. 2014
As a result of the above survey, the fact that 42% of the total professionals in charge of the R&D, innovation and technology answered “They do not know, whether the new patent application is filed or not at the organization’s level” supports our hypothesis of no innovation culture, particularly intellectual property culture is set among the most innovation actor institutions. On the other hand, it may also signify human resource continuity issues in the field.

The majority of the survey participants reached consensus on the importance of innovation and its positive impacts on the economy. However, the result shows strong evidence that there are little or no networks, interactions, collaboration, cultures, infrastructures, and regulatory environments. As a result, we may conclude the NIS of Mongolia is incomplete, and not well operational due to missing links, or failed elements within the system.

Finally, all of the above mentioned remarks require further research, including a comprehensive survey in the future to prove the hypothesis.
5. ASSESSMENT OF ICT SECTOR IN MONGOLIA

We used the “Base structure model” of NIS as a base to evaluate and compare the elements in order to study ICT sector in Mongolia. We collected the information from available sources to compare the elements taking into account of the following(s):

- Elements of Innovation System
- Elements of Innovation Ecosystem

5.1 Elements of Innovation System

Based on comparison of above-mentioned innovation system elements, we can summarize the ICT sector’s innovation system elements are relatively complete. The capabilities of the elements are beyond the purpose of this paper.

5.2 Elements of Innovation Ecosystems

Based on a comparison of the above-mentioned ecosystem elements, we summarize that the ICT sector in our country is in good position in all indexes except capital. For example, we are in 38-

Table 1. Comparison of Mongolian National Innovation System’s elements

| General state of Mongolia | Achievements of ICT sector |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Government**            | Regulatory Environment:   |
|                           | Millennium Development    |
|                           | Goals-based Comprehensive |
|                           | National Development      |
|                           | Strategy of Mongolia      |
|                           | Law on Innovation and     |
|                           | other laws, regulations   |
|                           | Implementation institution(s): |
|                           | The National Science and  |
|                           | Technology Council        |
|                           | Department of Innovation, |
|                           | Ministry of Education,    |
|                           | Science and Culture       |
|                           | Foundation for S&T        |
|                           | Ministries, agencies      |
|                           | Local self-governing      |
|                           | bodies: Aimag(s)          |
| **Knowledge creation**    | Global Innovation Index   |
|                           | 2014                       |
|                           | PCT resident app. – 82    |
|                           | Global Competitiveness    |
|                           | Index 2014                |
|                           | PCT resident app./million |
|                           | pop - 82                  |
|                           | Universities, Research    |
|                           | Centers                   |
| **Industry**             | NITP received 300         |
|                           | applicants since 2003.    |
|                           | Accepted 100 startups     |
|                           | into the Park's business  |
|                           | incubator, and there are  |
|                           | 55 startups graduated as  |
|                           | of April, 2015.           |
| **Customer**             | Global Information       |
|                           | Technology Index 2015     |
|                           | GITI-62/out of 148/       |
|                           | Quality of math&science  |
|                           | education - 46            |
|                           | Usage subindex - 78       |
|                           | Affordability - 6         |
|                           | Int’l Internet bandwidth  |
|                           | kb/s per user - 46        |
|                           | Use of virtual social    |
|                           | networks - 44             |
|                           | E-participation - 30      |
|                           | Mobile phone subscriptions/100 pop - 52 |
| **Infrastructure**       | Global Innovation Index   |
|                           | 2014                      |
|                           | Knowledge&technology      |
|                           | outputs - 89              |
|                           | Knowledge diffusion— 123  |
|                           | Global Innovation Index   |
|                           | 2014                      |
|                           | Infrastructure - 51        |
|                           | Impact subindex - 65      |
|                           | NITPark since 2002        |
Table 2. Comparison of Innovation Ecosystem’s elements

| General state of Mongolia | Achievements of ICT sector |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Innovation culture**    | Global Innovation Index 2014| Global Information Technology Index 2015 |
|                           | Innovation linkages - 113   | Business and innovation environment - 60 |
|                           |                            | No. procedures to start a business - 38 |
|                           |                            | IP protection index – 124 |
|                           |                            | Business-to-business internet use - 48 |
|                           |                            | Business-to-consumer internet use - 64 |
| **Regulatory environment**| Global Innovation Index 2014| Global Information Technology Index 2015 |
|                           | Regulatory environment - 56 | Laws relating to ICTs - 88 |
|                           | Law on Innovation and other laws, regulations, and its implementing institutions are ready | No. days to enforce a contract -19 |
|                           |                            | Law on Innovation and other laws, regulations, and its implementing institutions are ready |
| **Talent**                | Global Innovation Index 2014| Global Innovation Index 2014 |
|                           | R&D index - 90              | Quality of math&science education – 46 |
|                           | Education index - 60        | Quality of scientific research institutions - 107 |
|                           | Knowledge workers - 58      | Availability of scientists and engineers - 73 |
|                           | Global Competitiveness Index 2014| Country capacity to retain talent - 111 |
|                           | Quality of scientific research institutions - 107| Country capacity to attract talent - 114 |
|                           | Global Innovation Index 2014| Global Innovation Index 2014 |
|                           | Quality of math&science education – 46| Venture capital availability -140 |
|                           | Investment index - 67       | Venture capital availability -140 |
|                           | Global Competitiveness Index 2014/144/| Venture capital availability -140 |
|                           | Venture capital availability -141| Availability of financial services - 111 |
|                           | Availability of financial services - 111| Strength of investor protection - 22 |
| **Capital**               | Global Innovation Index 2014| Global Innovation Index 2014 |
|                           | State of cluster development - 129| ICTs & business model creation - 89 |
|                           | University/industry research collaboration – 109| ICTs & business model creation - 89 |
|                           | State of cluster development - 129| ICTs & business model creation - 89 |
|                           | University/industry research collaboration – 109| ICTs & business model creation - 89 |
| **Density**               | Global Innovation Index 2014| Global Innovation Index 2014 |
|                           | State of cluster development - 129| Infrastructure - 51 |
|                           | University/industry research collaboration – 109| Impact subindex - 65 |
|                           | State of cluster development - 129| NITPark since 2002 |
|                           | University/industry research collaboration – 109| NITPark since 2002 |

124th place by the index related to innovation culture, in 19-88th places by indexes of legislation, and 46th place by quality of mathematics and science education. The ICT sector of Mongolia has a policy to develop based on a cluster structure, and in order to achieve its goal the authority of ICT established a techno park to foster IT companies in 2002 as a first step. The development of a venture investment mechanism which promotes start-up businesses in technology is also required.

As we can summarize from the above, the ICT sector is in a better position from overall tendency according to the innovation ecosystem readiness indexes, and therefore it will be easy to engrain the culture. As the ICT sector is providing services to other sectors, if Mongolia manages to develop a complete technological innovation system and its ecosystem based on IT, then it can be a pioneer model and could serve as a pre-condition for spreading to other sectors. In another word, the ICT sector is
ready to be used as a pilot sector to develop the Mongolian NIS through sectoral or technological innovation system. The following roles and duties could be carried out in an innovation ecosystem by leading and developing ecosystems in ICT:

- The short product developing timeframe in ICT could positively impact customers to engrain a culture of using new technology and new products.
- Increased customer knowledge could lead to increased productivity in other sectors, and therefore in the innovation environment.
- As ICT forms its national base infrastructure for innovation, it will serve as a positive impact to develop the infrastructure of other sectors in a diverse country like Mongolia.
- The concept of science and technology shall be revised and appropriate amendments made based on the experience and lessons learned while developing a model system. It could serve as pre-conditions and NIS could be based and developed on the ICT’s innovation system.
- The global ICT sector is becoming and developing as an open and integral system to multi-national technology development, manufacturing and consuming. Therefore, Mongolian ICT’s innovation system could be a pilot system for the NIS to join into the Global Innovation System.

COMMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS

- From the result of the survey, a lack of culture, the missing link of networking, interaction and collaboration leads to an incomplete infrastructure in the NIS of Mongolia. A requirement to improve and create relevant culture should be set. Moreover, more research is needed to locate broken links between elements and identify the problems.
- In order to create relevant culture, lessons learned from the past should be considered. A similar system to the ShBOS is needed to set the culture.
- We should pay attention to the fact that the number of patent applications filed is relatively low, especially filing applications through organizations. And, we believe this leads to forming the gap in innovation links. Promoting intellectual property culture shall be considered an initial step toward implementing and developing innovation culture.
- The innovation system of the ICT sector is in good shape except in the capital field comparing with the overall tendency. This makes it easier to shape up the innovation culture. Therefore, considering limited resources of property and HR, NIS can be developed through technological innovation system focuses on the best practices of ICT as a pilot sector.
- Considering the fact that there is no recognized methodology, it is important to note that we should develop a NIS which fits into its national attribute and extended resources. It is also important to define procedures such as collecting base data, processing, sorting and making it publicly available. Furthermore, there is a need to develop study methods on innovation awareness and readiness and all participants shall be surveyed in Mongolia.
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