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Abstract
The application of Augmented Reality in the education sector has increased, the novelty of Augmented Reality technology has become a special attraction for stakeholders to improve the quality of education. However, so far there is still a lack of literature studies that focus on investigating the application of Augmented Reality to students at the basic education level. This study presents a literature review on the use of Augmented Reality technology to students at the basic education level. Articles that were synthesized from 2010 to 2020 indexed by Google Scholar were 10 articles. Using qualitative content analysis, this study attempts to answer two questions: (1) What is the impact of the application of Augmented Reality on the learning outcomes of Elementary School Students? and (2) how does the Pedagogic Perspective view Augmented Reality?

Keywords: Augmented reality; Elementary school; Education.

1. Introduction

In this modern era, technology develops in various fields, such as education, including at the basic education level.
The use of renewable technology in elementary schools, such as Augmented Reality, continues to be developed. Augmented Reality technology is a technology that can display virtual objects in 2D and 3D in real time. Thus, for 2 decades AR has been a concern (P. Chen et al., 2017). AR was first introduced in the 1990s in airline simulation (Caudell & Mizell, 1992), then in 2011, AR began to enter the world of education, and now AR has become a popular topic in educational research (Bacca et al., 2014; Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos, 2018) Some of the advantages for students on the use of AR, such as increased student achievement, self-confidence (Lu & Liu, 2015), enhanced learning motivation (Chiang et al., 2014), helping students' understanding (Kamarainen et al., 2013) providing a positive attitude (Wojciechowski & Cellary, 2013) increased satisfaction (Han et al., 2015) and enhanced spatial abilities (Higgins, 2020; Lin et al., 2013). Thus, AR has great potential for formal education (Kesim & Ozarslan, 2012) and for informal education (Sommerauer & Müller, 2014).

The use of Augmented Reality in the education sector is still relatively early (C. M. Chen & Tsai, 2012; Kerawalla et al., 2006), at the basic education level the use of Augmented Reality technology is ideal (Radu et al., 2016) seeing their time as a period of play. Besides, their cognitive development is a period of concrete operational transition to Piaget's formal operations. By displaying virtual objects in 3D and 2D forms, it allows students to interact with these virtual objects (CM Chen & Tsai, 2012), thus helping students to think concretely and abstractly (Saidin et al., 2015), so that it can motivate them to learn. Augmented Reality also supports seamless interactions between real and virtual environments and can create learning experiences (Burton et al., 2011).

The application of Augmented Reality in basic education in Indonesia is certainly not easy, there are many challenges, such as the ability of the teacher (Kerawalla et al., 2006) and even the ability of students to operate Augmented Reality technology (Wu et al., 2013) more specifically Dunleavy et al., (2009) their difficulty lies in using spatial skills, problem solving, collaborating, technological manipulation and mathematical estimation simultaneously. Then the use of Augmented Reality raises new problems such as students' physical development (Dunleavy et al., 2009).

In this study, we tried to answer several questions about the use of Augmented Reality at the basic education level.

P1: What is the impact of the application of Augmented Reality on the learning outcomes of Elementary School Students?

Q2: How does a Pedagogic Perspective view Augmented Reality?

2. Research Method

Several methods are used in this review study, such as selecting a set of articles according to their field (Hwang & Tsai, 2011), then selecting articles published in reputable journals (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2017) and using a google scholar indexed database. The articles that were reviewed were journals with the keyword "Augmented Reality", starting from 2010 to 2020, the number of journals obtained was 34 articles, but after an examination there were only a few journals that met the criteria of only 10 articles. The research criteria are as follows: General criteria consist of; (1) study published between 2010 and 2020; and (2) a study explaining the application of Augmented Reality technology at the primary school level.

Meanwhile, the special criteria consist of; (1) studies that report advantages, disadvantages, affordability, limitations, features, uses, challenges and effectiveness of augmented reality in basic education; (2) a study that explains applying Augmented reality that is adapted to a learning model or learning device at the basic education level; (3) a study that explains the evaluation of the use of Augmented Reality in the teaching and learning process. Then the article criteria that were not used or the exclusion criteria were; (1) the article identified is not an article by looking at her; and (2) articles that include "Augmented Reality" but actually not Augmented reality that is the focus of the discussion.
3. Results and Discussion

Q1: What is the impact of the application of Augmented Reality on the learning outcomes of Elementary School Students?

The main advantage in implementing Augmented Reality in elementary school students is better learning performance and encouraging student learning motivation (Bacca et al., 2014; P. Chen et al., 2017), because Augmented Reality technology displays attractive graphics for them and there is a direct interaction between the object and the subject of learning, so that students can construct their own knowledge (Bacca et al., 2014; Zimmerman et al., 2016) and can develop their skills and knowledge (El Syed et al., 2011). Augmented Reality can display 3D objects that can be used in real time thus providing a new way to obtain more accurate information about the topic being studied (Sotiriou & Bogner, 2008) and can help improve their performance and improve their psychomotor skills in cognitive tasks (Wu et al., 2013).

However, some challenges are related to students and their learning process when applying Augmented Reality technology. Cognitively, students are burdened with the amount of information they will encounter, in addition to the learning objectives they must master, the use of difficult technological devices (Chang et al., 2014) they must also master at one time. Thus, they have to do a lot of learning activities when using Augmented Reality (Wu et al., 2013). Then by applying Augmented Reality technology allows students to apply and synthesize several skills, spatial, collaboration, problem solving, technology manipulation and mathematical estimation simultaneously (Dunleavy et al., 2009). Even though the low ability of some of these skills is a challenge for student learning in implementing Augmented Reality (Kerawalla et al., 2006). Applying Augmented Reality to elementary school students, other additional learning media are needed to solve their problems and not misinterpret the real world and the virtual world (Klopfer & Squire, 2008). The combination of the real world and the virtual world at the same time can cause confusion to students (Wu et al., 2013).

Akçayır & Akçayır (2017) in their study showed that 60% of Augmented Reality was operated on with mobile phones, 24% with PC (Computer) and 16% using others, using cellphones is more ideal and practical. Using an Augmented Reality computer is too expensive and complicated to apply to elementary education classes because the process is as shown below.

![Figure 1. Learning flow with Augmented Reality (Lu & Liu, 2015).](source: Lu & Liu (2015))

So that for elementary school students in operating Augmented Reality, a gadget that is more appropriate to use is a...
cellphone (Furió et al., 2013), because it is easier to use, more practical, more interactive, and more effective (Hwang et al., 2012). The ease and practicality are what make it possible for students to not be able to control the time to use cellphones. Discipline when using cellphones is not good enough to lead to dependence or addiction to playing cellphones so that it will cause students to become lazy (Sobon et al., 2020) and have a negative effect on the growth of student knowledge and learning activities (Salehudin et al., 2020). What's more Augmented Reality design in the form of game education is more preferred and attracts students' attention to basic education (Lu & Liu, 2015), so it needs deeper attention when designing Augmented Reality in learning. However, elementary school students need gestures for their growth (Stork & Sanders, 2008).

Then the marker or image to bring up virtual objects is the main component in using Augmented Reality, so that it can display objects/learning media without having to go to the location where the object is located. Of course this is one of the advantages of Augmented Reality technology, but this provides a new meaning for students to understand the location (Wu, 2013). So that learning does not only occur in the classroom, it is better if the teacher as the instructor designer as well as designing markers or images that can be found where the objects are actually located (Klopfer & Sheldon, 2012). For example, showing how to wash hands properly and correctly, using pictures that people often use as signatures for washing hands, so that students can better master the expected learning objectives. However, the most important thing in designing markers or images must be able to be scanned well, because if the images are not well identified, students will have difficulty using Augmented Reality technology (Muñoz-Cristóbal et al., 2015).

Q2: How does a Pedagogic Perspective view Augmented Reality?

The application of Augmented Reality in the classroom raises several pedagogical problems that need to be considered, such as many previous educational innovations that have not been well mastered, so that mastery of AR in the classroom can face new obstacles both from schools in providing facilities and teachers' mastery of Augmented Reality (Wu et al., 2013). Involving Augmented Reality in learning, of course, requires student-centered learning methods, this will create a gap between the learning methods needed and the learning methods currently being carried out. Another obstacle that will be faced in implementing AR is the curriculum, such as the amount of certain learning content that must be achieved within a certain time (Kerawalla et al., 2006). The inflexibility of Augmented Reality will lead to other pedagogical problems such as file content and the order that has been designed, which cannot be changed to accommodate the needs or achievements of students (Wu et al., 2013).

So far, the learning strategy has been designed based on the needs of students and the achievement of student learning outcomes in accordance with the curriculum. Even though the learning strategy is declared effective, it is necessary to re-examine it when collaborating with Augmented Reality technology. Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos (2018) suggest that Role Playing learning strategies can be collaborated with Augmented reality by utilizing the advantages of AR technology which can display various texts, images, 3D objects and animations (C. M. Chen & Tsai, 2012). The presentation of attractive 3D objects will provide a new learning atmosphere and enjoyment for students (Lu & Liu, 2015) in following the learning process. On the other hand, Bacca et al. (2014) suggest that constructivism learning strategies can also be collaborated with Augmented Reality technology, assuming that interaction with Augmented Reality gives students the freedom to find information, thus potentially increasing knowledge construction activities in students. However, further studies need to be done to find how Augmented Reality can be designed to help build student knowledge (Zydney & Warner, 2016) and how to measure it (Bacca et al., 2014).

The virtual appearance of an attractive 3D object and the same as the original object can improve student understanding (Yoon et al., 2017). Even though it is only a simulation of the original object, AR allows it to provide a learning
experience for students, so that student participation in AR can increase students' motivation and spatial abilities. (Han et al., 2015). Understanding certain objects and natural phenomena that are difficult to obtain in the real world will provide a very valuable learning experience for students. For example, urban children who are studying the ecosystem in rice fields, for urban children, rice fields are a step for them. When this interaction occurs, students can deepen their understanding and construct their knowledge (Han et al., 2015).

4. Conclusion and Suggestions

The use of Augmented Reality technology for basic education students can have a positive impact and a negative impact. Although the influence is direct and indirect. The use of Augmented Reality in elementary school students still has to consider the needs and readiness of students, as well as existing readiness such as facilities and teacher abilities. From a pedagogical point of view, Augmented Reality technology is very supportive for the implementation of learning activities, it can create student learning experiences directly. However, students still have to consider the concept of the difference between the real world and the virtual world.
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