Water-rock interaction, formation and circulation mechanism of highly bicarbonate groundwater in the northwestern geothermal prospects of Rwanda

Five thermal springs, twelve non-thermal springs, and two lake water samples from the northwestern part of Rwanda were studied to assess their chemical characteristics and infer the formation mechanism of the thermal waters. Multicomponent mineral equilibrium (MME) geothermometer calculations at Gisenyi prospects with the highest in situ measured temperature (73.1°C) showed the reservoir temperature of 90±6°C. The MME temperature estimates agreed well with Silica-based, K-Mg and Mg-Li geothermometers while the other cation geothermometers (Na-K, Na-K-Ca, Na-K-Ca-Mg, and Na-Li) results are unreliable. Most of the non-thermal springs are Ca-Mg-HCO₃ water-type while the thermal spring waters were majorly Na-HCO₃. The δD composition varied from -16.6 to -5.9‰ and from -11.8 to -5.0‰, while the δ¹⁸O ranged from -4.17 to -3.5‰ and -4.32 to -2.7‰, for thermal and non-thermal springs, respectively. All isotopic ratios scattered around the meteoric water lines, thus indicating their similar meteoric origin. In addition, there was no observable δ¹⁸O positive shift speculating less extent of water-rock interactions while geogenic CO₂ ingress into the waters has been ascertained by both isotopic and chemical component ratios. We proposed a circulation mechanism of the thermal waters for the study area.

Introduction

Classical geothermometers are often used to estimate reservoir temperatures as part of the exploration and evaluation of geothermal potential of different geothermal fields around the world (Saibi and Ehara, 2010; Capoccioni et al., 2011; Awaleh et al., 2015; Baioumy et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018; Blasco et al., 2019). Reservoir temperature estimates are also often obtained by multicomponent mineral equilibrium approach proposed by Pang and Reed (1998). Classical geothermometers estimate geothermal reservoir temperature based on empirical and thermodynamic temperature-dependent heterogeneous chemical reactions (Fournier, 1977; Giggenbach, 1988; Verma and Santoyo, 1997). Their application assumes quick attainment of equilibrium between the fluid and hydrothermal minerals, no fluid-rock interactions and no mixing or degassing takes place during the fluid ascent to the surface (Fournier, 1981; Blasco et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019). A good agreement in reservoir temperature estimates by different geothermometers indicates that these assumptions are reliable. However, such ideal conditions are not easily achieved and calculated temperatures show discrepancies even at the same sampling point.

The chemical composition of groundwaters is a function of mineral composition in the geological formations through which it flows due to water-rock interactions. Processes such as evaporation and concentration, dilution caused by precipitation can alter the groundwater chemistry but the primary sources and sinks of dissolved constituents of groundwater are the solid phases (inorganic and organic matter) and therefore, the water-rock interaction is the major process (Elango et al., 2003; Daniele et al., 2013; Jasechko, 2019). The chemical reactions taking place during the groundwater movement from the recharge to the discharge areas vary spatially and temporally, depending on the chemistry of the recharging waters, the geological strata, and the residence time (Apodaca et al., 2002; Gartman and Findlay, 2020). The intensity of water-rock interactions and chemical reactions in different groundwater systems has been studied based on the major ion concentrations (Sarin et al., 1989; Martinez and Bocanegra, 2002; Torres-Martinez et al., 2020). However, water-rock interaction effects and the temperature discrepancies observed when different geothermometers are applied to a single sampling point are rarely discussed.

Many African countries including Rwanda, have young volcanic systems, but it is in Kenya and in Ethiopia that the geothermal exploration has known progress. It is in the main East African Rift System (EARS) on which the continent is slowly breaking apart where these countries are located. In this part of the EARS, successions of active
volcanic rocks host recent active craters and geothermal explorations yielded useful results (Jess et al., 2020). However, from Kenya southwards, the EARS splits into two branches. The western branch which is relatively young with active volcanoes resting on ancient metamorphic rocks rather than thick volcanic successions, and runs through Uganda, Rwanda and neighbouring countries (Bahati et al., 2005). As the extensive clay layers predicted by traditional geothermal exploration models have not been detected there, geothermal prospects in these countries have been dismissed by various international consultants (Younger, 2014). There is therefore a need of thorough study of these geothermal prospects as presence of active volcanoes and hot springs should take precedence over geophysical detection of clay layers.

Multicomponent mineral equilibrium method can be used to obtain accurate reservoir temperature estimates and to reconstruct the chemical composition of the fluid in the deep reservoir. To achieve this, the assessment of saturation indices of different minerals probably present in the reservoir equilibrium conditions is conducted (Pang and Reed, 1998; Spycher et al., 2014; King et al., 2016). This geothermometrical method accounts for all major elements in the waters and processes such degassing, mixing with shallow waters, and mineral re-equilibration which could affect the chemistry of the ascending fluid (Palandri and Reed, 2001; Osman et al., 2015; Boschetti et al., 2017). Combining these different geothermometrical technics with hydrochemical data is key to understanding the evolution of geothermal fluids from the deep reservoir to the surface in a given geothermal system.

In this paper, we present a novel approach of inferring the reservoir mineral composition which lastly equilibrated with the geothermal fluids using the hydrochemical characteristics of thermal waters from the northwestern Rwanda geothermal field. To achieve this, we examined silica and alkali metals geothermometers results discrepancies when they applied to the sampling site and compared them to PHREEEQCI simulation results. The study findings revealed the reservoir conditions and the evolution of the waters from the reservoir to the discharge point. The results of our analysis can guide in future geothermal resources exploration.

## Hydrogeological and Geothermal Settings

Rwanda is a mountainous country geographically located in East Africa. The East Africa rift valley is a major structure of the region that dominates the geology of Rwanda. The rifting is associated with faulting and volcanism in the East African region and the hot springs are found in the northern and western parts of the country. Geothermal energy generation potential is promising due to the country’s position within the Great Africa rift valley (Nshimyumuremyi and Junqí, 2020).

The geothermal prospects located in northwest Rwanda are mainly associated with volcanic rocks (Table 1). The outcropping geology of these geothermal fields is characterized by young volcanic rocks underlain by Proterozoic metamorphic rocks that are basically granites, orthoquartzites, phyllites, metaquartzites and associated pegmatites. Potassic alkaline rocks that mainly include K-mugearites, K-hawaiites, K-basanites, leucitites and K-benmoreites are abundant in these volcanic rocks (Uwiduhaye et al., 2018). The simplified geological map is shown in Fig. 1. Lithological details about the studied are have been previously published by Furman and Graham (1999).

### Methodology

Thermal springs and non-thermal springs are distributed in the northwestern part of Rwanda characterized by volcanic rocks and frac-

---

| Name of the spring | Elevation (m asl) | Primary Lithology | Sampling Date | Measured temperature (°C) | Sample type |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1 Bisoke lake      | 3586            | Volcanic rock     | 1/24/2008     | 10.2                      | Crater lake |
| 21 Kivu lake       | 1468            | -                 | 3/6/2008      | 24                        | Normal lake |
| 2 Cyabararika      | 1816            | Volcanic rock     | 1/25/2008     | 18.5                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 3 Mpenge           | 1824            | Volcanic rock     | 1/25/2008     | 15.5                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 4 Mubona           | 1803            | Volcanic rock     | 1/25/2008     | 19.5                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 12 Bushokoro       | 2678            | Volcanic rock     | 1/26/2008     | 11.4                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 13 Buseruka        | 1823            | Volcanic rock     | 2/29/2008     | 17.4                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 14 Muterwa         | 2383            | Basement rock     | 3/1/2008      | 17.4                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 15 Ntango          | 3574            | Volcanic rock     | 3/2/2008      | 10.9                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 16 Iriba           | 2016            | Basement rock     | 3/3/2008      | 22.3                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 17 Bukeri          | 2010            | Basement rock     | 3/3/2008      | 18.9                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 18 Cyamabuye       | 2361            | Volcanic rock     | 3/5/2008      | 16                        | Non-thermal spring |
| 19 Kagohe          | 2358            | Basement rock     | 3/6/2008      | 18.8                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 20 Nyakageni       | 1878            | Volcanic rock     | 3/6/2008      | 20.5                      | Non-thermal spring |
| 5 Gisenyi          | 1455            | Basement rock     | 1/26/2008     | 73.1                      | Thermal spring |
| 8 Karago           | 2288            | Basement rock     | 1/28/2008     | 64.1                      | Thermal spring |
| 9 Mpatsi           | 1698            | Basement rock     | 1/30/2008     | 31.2                      | Thermal spring |
| 10 Bitagata        | 1859            | Basement rock     | 2/28/2008     | 36.6                      | Thermal spring |
| 11 Mbonyebyombi    | 2220            | Basement rock     | 3/4/2008      | 34.5                      | Thermal spring |
In this study, 19 water samples were analyzed. These samples include 2 lake waters (one crater lake and Kivu lake water), 5 thermal springs, and 12 non-thermal spring waters (Table 1).

The present study analyses the unpublished data from the sampling campaign carried out in 2008, and availed to us by the Rwanda Energy Group (REG) limited, Kigali, Rwanda. The data produce a complete analytical data set which include isotopic and chemical data of thermal, non-thermal and lake waters and in situ measurements of pH, electrical conductivity, and temperature. The Germany Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources in collaboration with the Icelandic Geo Survey, the Kenya Electricity Generating Company and the Spanish Institute for Technology and renewable Energies conducted the field and laboratory analysis (Rutagarama, 2015; Nshimyumuremyi and Junqi, 2020).

**Solute Geothermometers**

The solute (silica and cation) geothermometers are classical methods used to estimate the reservoir temperature in geothermal fields. They consist of empirical calibrations that rely on temperature-dependent heterogeneous chemical reactions, from which the reservoir equilibrium temperature is computed (e.g., Mao et al., 2015) based on the elemental concentrations controlled by those temperature-dependent reactions. Their application assumes that the elemental contents did not change during the ascent of thermal waters to the sampling point.

A variety of calibrations for different chemical geothermometers suitable for different geothermal systems does exist at present (Blasco et al., 2017). For example, the application of the cation geothermometers has demonstrated to yield reliable temperature estimates in high temperature geothermal systems (>180°C), in which the geothermal fluid and the minerals have attained equilibrium. However, their application in medium-to-low temperature geothermal systems, as in the present study case, is generally considered inappropriate because of their temperature estimation calibration ranges, the equilibrium situations and minerals phases of concern or the chemical characteristics of the waters involved in the calibration (Marini et al., 1986; Verma and Santoyo, 1997; Thiébaud et al., 2010). Despite these limitations, some calibrations of the cation and silica geothermometers have been used in this study as they have yielded good temperature estimates in carbonate-rich systems, similar to our study area (Pastorelli et al., 1999; Mao et al., 2015; Blasco et al., 2019). Moreover, as shown later, solutes geothermometers application can give insights on the fluid-mineral equilibrium status in the reservoir.

**Multicomponent Mineral Equilibrium (MME) Modelling**

As the classical solute geothermometers, Multicomponent Mineral Equilibrium (MME) assumes that the thermal waters have attained the equilibrium with respect to the minerals presumably present in the reservoir of the geothermal system. Then, during the fluid ascent movement to the discharge, cooling processes change the chemical distribution of the solutes and, therefore, their equilibrium states with different minerals. The modelling consists in reverse the rise of the fluids computing progressive temperature increments up to a range in which the saturation indices of the minerals supposedly in contact with the waters coincide in an equilibrium situation.

MME technique was initiated by Michard and his co-workers for its use in alkaline geothermal waters (Michard and Roekens, 1983; Michard and Bastide, 1988) and later on, Reed and his co-workers generalized for other types of geothermal systems (Reed and Sypcher, 1999).
This method is advantageous compared to classical solutes geothermometers: 1) it presents a clear identification of the minerals in equilibrium with fluids in the reservoir and gives insights on the chemical parameters such as pH; and 2) it helps in identifying secondary processes that may take place during the fluid ascent to surface (e.g., mixing with shallow colder waters, degassing and mineral reequilibration) (Palandri and Reed, 2001; Asta et al., 2012; Blasco et al., 2019).

In this work, MME modelling has been carried out using PHREEQC code (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013), using the LLNL thermodynamic database distributed with the code.

Results

Water Chemistry

The northern part of Rwanda has an annual average temperature of 25°C, and an annual average atmospheric precipitation of 1362.2 mm that recharges the groundwater of the studied area (Nshimyumuremyi and Junqi, 2020). Therefore, the studied waters samples with the measured temperature greater than 25°C, are herein considered to be thermal waters.

The in-situ measured temperature of the thermal and non-thermal spring waters varied from 31.2 to 73.1°C and from 11.2 to 22.3°C, respectively. Bisoke crater lake measured temperature was 10.2°C while the temperature recorded at Kivu lake sampling point was 24.0°C. The pH of the crater lake water was slightly acidic (pH = 6) while the Kivu lake water was slightly alkaline (pH = 9). The pH of the non-thermal spring waters varied from slightly acidic (pH = 5.9) to nearly neutral (pH = 7.35), while the thermal spring waters were nearly neutral with pH ranging from 6.6 to 7.12. The electric conductivity of the thermal waters varied from 458 to 2380 µS/cm, the non-thermal springs ranged from 37 to 4080 µS/cm, and the lowest electric conductivity was recorded at the crater lake being 10 µS/cm. The concentration of the main anions is dominated by the HCO$_3^-$ ion (see Fig. 2) and its content varied significantly from 3.5 mg/L at Bisoke crater lake (sample 1) to 3200 mg/L (non-thermal spring, sample 13). Cl$^-$ content varied from 5.0 to 195.0 mg/L and from 1.0 to 287 mg/L for the thermal and non-thermal spring waters, respectively. SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations are 7.68-77.9 mg/L and 6.5-69.4 mg/L for thermal and non-thermal springs, respectively.

In the studied thermal springs, Na$^+$ is the dominant cation (concentration changing from 5.5-495 mg/L) except for thermal spring 10 where the most abundant cation is Ca$^{2+}$. The abundance of major cations varied from Mg$^{2+}$ to Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ and from one sample to the other. However, it is noteworthy that some of the non-thermal springs water samples had higher Mg$^{2+}$ contents and reached 356 mg/L at non-thermal spring 13 (Table 2).

D and $^{18}$O

The stable isotopes D and $^{18}$O are important tools in hydrogeochemical studies used as proxies to identify the origin and evolution of surface and groundwater (e.g., Craig, 1961; Stefánsson et al., 2019; Bagheri et al., 2020). The δD and δ$^{18}$O compositions relationship in natural waters is described by equilibrium fractionations. The δD and δ$^{18}$O of the studied thermal and non-thermal spring are listed in Table 2. The δD varies from -16.6 to -5.9‰ and from -11.8 to -5.0‰ for the thermal and non-thermal springs respectively, while the δ$^{18}$O ranged from -4.17 to -3.5‰ and -4.32 to -2.7‰, for thermal and non-thermal springs, respectively. The δ$^{18}$O content at Bisoke crater lake was -2.29‰ and its
Table 2. Hydrochemical and isotopic characteristics of the thermal and non-thermal springs, lake and river water samples from the Northwestern part of Rwanda (unit: mg/L)

| Sample | Conductivity (µS/cm) | pH | HCO₃⁻ | Cl⁻ | NO₃⁻ | SO₄²⁻ | Br⁻ | K⁺ | Na⁺ | Ca²⁺ | Mg²⁺ | SiO₂ | Li⁺ | B⁺ | δ¹⁸O (%) | δD (%) | Water type |
|--------|----------------------|----|--------|-----|------|------|-----|----|-----|------|------|------|-----|----|------------|----------|------------|
| 1      | 10                   | 6  | 3.5    | 0.047 | 1.5  | 0.11 | 1.47 | 0.35 | 1.3 | 0.5  | 0.6  | 0.3  | 2.1 | 0   | -2.29     | -3.9     | Na-Ca-Cl-HCO₃ |
| 2      | 1189                 | 9  | 780    | 1.54  | 25   | 0.39 | 16.1 | 0.06 | 83.9| 102  | 9.3  | 78.1 | 7   | 0.029 | 0.15       | N/A      | N/A Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 3      | 2860                 | 7.6| 6.01   | 44.6  | 0.01 | 159  | 157 | 121 | 204 | 69.2 | 0.039| 0.25 | -3.77 | -9.5 | Ca-Mg-HCO₃   |
| 4      | 743                  | 6.62| 478    | 0.963 | 4.4  | 13.33| 7.15 | 0.01 | 52  | 37.7 | 28.3 | 44.8 | 52.6| 0.004 | 0.04      | -3.52 -8.8 | Ca-Mg-HCO₃   |
| 5      | 2940                 | 6.35| 2200   | N/A   | 22.3 | b.d.l| 41.4 | 0.04 | 180 | 160  | 112  | 225  | 72.1 | 0.04  | 0.28   | -3.77 -10.5 | Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 6      | 94                   | 6.7 | 51.9   | 0.246 | 1    | 0.48 | 26.8 | b.d.l| 4.8 | 4.2  | 8    | 3.4  | 36.8| 0.001 | 0.02   | -3.77 -10.6 | Na-Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 7      | 4080                 | 6.5 | 3200   | N/A   | 12.9 | b.d.l| 69.4 | b.d.l| 226 | 239  | 149  | 356  | 99.8| 0.068 | 0.43   | -4.32 -11.8 | Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 8      | 215                  | 7.35| 91.2   | 1.15  | 2.6  | 23   | 6.5  | 0.04 | 5.1 | 4.5  | 21.6 | 9.9  | 55.7| 0.007 | 0.02   | -3.02 -9.6  | Na-Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 9      | 37                   | 7.5 | 6.017  | 2     | 0.1  | 6.5  | b.d.l| 2   | 1.2  | 2    | 0.9  | 13   | 0.001 | 0.02  | -2.98 -5.8  | Ca-MgCl   |
| 10     | 2290                 | 7   | 846    | N/A   | 287  | 0.1  | 67.1 | 0.71 | 17.2| 394  | 76.6 | 23.2 | 58.3| 0.429 | 0.42   | -3.44 -8.8  | Na-HCO₃ |
| 11     | 247                  | 7.46| 98.3   | 1.08  | 5.6  | 19.1 | 16.1 | 0.03 | 9.3 | 9.9  | 21.3 | 9.4  | 57.4| 0.017 | 0.02   | -2.72 -5    | Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 12     | 442                  | 7.01| 158    | 0.637 | 12.5 | 50.7 | 24.6 | 0.12 | 21.3| 13.9 | 34.9 | 17.4 | 47.7| 0.274 | 0.04   | -2.77 -7.1  | Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 13     | 456                  | 7   | 166    | 1.66  | 11.7 | 46.9 | 34   | 0.05 | 6.2 | 19.2 | 46.6 | 15.3 | 41.3| 0.029 | 0.03   | -3.36 -9.3  | Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 14     | 1790                 | 6.65| 854    | 3.1   | 72.6 | 0.01 | 31.5 | 0.24 | 41.3| 229  | 71.3 | 23.2 | 62.7| 0.494 | N/A    | -2.7 -5.7   | Na-HCO₃ |
| 15     | 2380                 | 6.68| 1140   | N/A   | 195  | 0.04 | 55.8 | 0.65 | 38.2| 495  | 35.3 | 11.2 | 56.2| 0.4   | 2.14   | -3.5 -9.7   | Na-HCO₃ |
| 16     | 1245                 | 7.12| 537    | N/A   | 76.6 | 0.46 | 77.9 | 0.18 | 14.7| 253  | 21.2 | 2.4  | 84   | 0.383 | 0.34   | -3.72 -5.9  | Na-HCO₃ |
| 17     | 1665                 | 6.75| 1050   | N/A   | 40.8 | 0.08 | 31.2 | 0.12 | 23.1| 208  | 144  | 16.8 | 86.3| 0.271 | 1.28   | -3.61 -11.2 | Na-HCO₃ |
| 18     | 458                  | 7.08| 289    | 0.726 | 5    | 3.02 | 7.68 | 0.03 | 9   | 5.9  | 38.3 | 31   | 23.2| 0.009 | 0.07   | -4.17 -16.6 | Ca-Mg-HCO₃ |
| 19     | 921                  | 7.04| 414    | 5.48  | 51.8 | 0.37 | 44.2 | 0.14 | 11.5| 187  | 20.2 | 2.4  | 60.3| 0.247 | 0.22   | -3.86 -12.8 | Na-HCO₃ |

N/A: Not assessed; b.d.l: below detection limit.

δD was -3.9‰. The results are presented in Fig. 3(a) along with the Ugandan Meteoric Water Line (UgMWL) (Bahati et al., 2005), herein considered to be the local meteoric water line, and the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) (Craig, 1961).

Useful for identifying contributions of evaporation and/or mineral dissolution to thermal and non-thermal waters mineralization, the deuterium excess (d-excess) has been estimated as \( d = \delta D - 8 \times \delta ^{18}O \), and considering 1‰ as an average for precipitation (Dansgaard, 1964). Fig. 3(b) presents a relationship between d-excess and the electric conductivity. All the samples are higher than 10‰ suggesting a mineral dissolution trend and validating the evaporation presence; however, it is noteworthy in the same figure that the evapoconcentration process is relatively insignificant.

**Discussion**

**Geochemical Characteristics of Thermal and Non-thermal Springs**

Major cations and anions are extensively used as an important tool to characterize groundwater reservoirs and their evolution...
(Fournier and Truesdell, 1973; Marini et al., 1986; Giggenbach, 1988; Sarin et al., 1989; Mondal et al., 2010; Ndikubwimana et al., 2020). Non-thermal spring waters facies are mostly Ca-Mg-HCO$_3$ and Ca-HCO$_3$, with exceptions of sample 15 and 16 which showed Ca-SO$_4$ and Na-HCO$_3$ facies respectively. The thermal spring water samples were Na-HCO$_3$ type except sample 10 with Ca-Mg-HCO$_3$ type.

The Na/Cl molar ratio is greater than 1 in both thermal and non-thermal spring except sample 15 (Table 3). This indicates that the Cl and Na contents of the waters are not solely controlled by halite dissolution and extra Na has been contributed by other processes such as cation exchange and silicate weathering (Elango et al., 2003; Farid et al., 2015).

Sodium is the dominant cation in the thermal waters except for the sample 10 that shows Mg-Ca dominance. The Na, Ca, and Mg abundances in thermal waters can be associated to minerals such as illite, montmorillonite, and chlorite (Elango et al., 2003). The Ca+Mg vs SO$_4^2-$+HCO$_3$ scatter plot (Fig. 4) shows all the data points falling below the equiline, which suggests that calcium of the groundwaters is partly associated to silicate weathering (Ghabayen et al., 2006). The HCO$_3^-$/Ca$^{2+}$+Mg$^{2+}$ is greater than 1 in many of the studied waters but this ratio becomes significantly higher in thermal waters (Table 3). It can be inferred that the HCO$_3^-$ content does not exclusively relates to carbonate minerals dissolution reaction, and other sources such as geogenic CO$_2$ have contributed much of the dissolved bicarbonate ion. This is in a good agreement with the geological strata of the study area that is located in the vicinity of young active volcanoes (Rutagarama and Varet, 2018). Additionally, the higher bicarbonate content is also related to silicate weathering through the Na-for-Ca exchange reactions with clays minerals as evidenced by Na dominance over Ca in most of the waters and the Ca+Mg vs SO$_4$+HCO$_3$ scatter plot (Figs. 5 and 6). The chemical reactions involved have been previously discussed by different authors (Elango et al., 2003; Ghabayen et al., 2006; Wang and Jiao, 2012).

In accordance with the chemical characteristics of the thermal and non-thermal springs, it can be argued that the water-rock interaction controls the concentration of the chemical constituents of the studied, but magmatic CO$_2$ contribution cannot be ruled out. Moreover, significant mixing with the shallow groundwater during the ascent of the thermal waters might have occurred, because the thermal and non-thermal springs waters displayed a nearly similar water chemistry (Mao et al., 2021).

### Table 3. Molar ionic ratio of major chemical parameter

| Sample ID | Na/Cl | K/Cl | Ca$^{2+}$/Cl | Ca$^{2+}$/Na | Mg$^{2+}$/Cl | Mg/Ca$^{2+}$ | SO$_4^{2-}$/Cl | HCO$_3^-$/$\text{Ca}^{2+}$+Mg$^{2+}$ |
|-----------|-------|------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1         | 0.51  | 0.79 | 0.71        | 1.38        | 0.59         | 0.83        | 0.72        | 1.04            |
| 2         | 3.60  | 3.05 | 0.66        | 0.10        | 9.24         | 14          | 0.48        | 1.83            |
| 3         | 31.89 | 19.04 | 28.26        | 0.89        | 79.41        | 2.81        | 4.34        | 1.49            |
| 4         | 13.22 | 10.76 | 11.42        | 0.86        | 30.12        | 2.64        | 1.20        | 1.52            |
| 5         | 11.07 | 7.35 | 8.91        | 0.81        | 29.85        | 3.35        | 1.37        | 1.48            |
| 6         | 6.48  | 4.37 | 14.2        | 2.19        | 10.06        | 0.71        | 1.98        | 1.25            |
| 7         | 28.60 | 15.95 | 20.50        | 0.72        | 81.64        | 3.98        | 3.98        | 1.41            |
| 8         | 2.67  | 1.79 | 14.75       | 5.52        | 11.26        | 0.76        | 1.85        | 0.78            |
| 9         | 0.93  | 0.91 | 1.78        | 1.92        | 1.33         | 0.75        | 2.40        | 0.56            |
| 10        | 2.12  | 0.05 | 0.47        | 0.22        | 0.24         | 0.50        | 0.17        | 2.41            |
| 11        | 2.73  | 1.51 | 6.75        | 2.47        | 4.97         | 0.74        | 2.13        | 0.87            |
| 12        | 1.72  | 1.55 | 4.96        | 2.89        | 4.118        | 0.83        | 1.46        | 0.81            |
| 13        | 2.53  | 0.48 | 7.07        | 2.79        | 3.87         | 0.55        | 2.15        | 0.75            |
| 14        | 4.87  | 0.52 | 1.74        | 0.36        | 0.95         | 0.54        | 0.32        | 2.55            |
| 15        | 3.92  | 0.18 | 0.32        | 0.08        | 0.17         | 0.53        | 0.21        | 6.93            |
| 16        | 5.10  | 0.17 | 0.49        | 0.10        | 0.09         | 0.19        | 0.75        | 6.99            |
| 17        | 7.87  | 0.52 | 6.26        | 0.80        | 1.22         | 0.19        | 0.57        | 2.00            |
| 18        | 1.82  | 1.64 | 13.60       | 7.47        | 18.34        | 1.35        | 1.14        | 1.05            |
| 19        | 5.57  | 0.20 | 0.69        | 0.12        | 0.14         | 0.20        | 0.63        | 5.61            |

Figure 4. Ca+Mg vs SO$_4^2-$+HCO$_3$ plot for carbonate weathering and silicate weathering. Samples prone to silicate weathering fall below the equiline and these for carbonate weathering should fall above the equiline.
Estimation of the Reservoir Temperature using Selected Chemical Geothermometers

Chemical geothermometers can be used to estimate the temperature at which geothermal fluid last equilibrated with its environment. Geothermal water’s elemental composition controlled by temperature-dependent heterogeneous chemical reactions is applied whenever classical geothermometers temperature estimations are carried out. The results obtained using selected solute geothermometers with corresponding references are listed in Table 4.

The reservoir temperature calculated using Na/K geothermometer varied from 174.5 to >350°C which is considerably higher compared to the spring temperatures. This geothermometer relies on Na and K concentrations controlled by the dissolution of K-feldspar and albite in high-
temperature geothermal systems (Luo et al., 2017). Deviations to the true reservoir temperature estimates while applying Na/K geothermometers are associated with mixing with the shallow groundwater, high Ca contents of the geothermal waters, low SO\textsubscript{4}²⁻ or high-CO\textsubscript{2} thermal waters but not acidic, and the non-equilibrium reservoir conditions between albite and K-feldspar (Chenaker et al., 2018). The Na/K geothermometer temperature estimates are not suitable in this case. This is coherent with the above inference that the waters might have been mixed with geogenic CO\textsubscript{2}, which probably resulted in higher bicarbonate contents of both thermal and non-thermal springs of the study area (Minisalae, 2004; Tiwari et al., 2020).

The K/Mg geothermometer temperature estimates varied from 52.7 to 99.5°C for all the studied samples, and in most of the samples, they are closer to those deduced with the Quartz and Chalcedony geothermometers (Table 4). Good K/Mg temperature estimates indicate that dissolved Na and Ca have not attained the fluid-rock equilibrium. This indicates that no new water-rock reaction equilibrium attempted to form in the ascending thermal groundwaters (Wang et al., 2013).

The Na/Li estimates varied from 137.5 to 169.1°C. For most of the thermal spring waters with neutral pH values the Na/Li ratios are almost constant based on the Na/Li geothermometers; the values may be representative of Na/Li ratios in the deep parent geothermal fluid (Kharaka and Mariner, 1989). However, the Na/Li geothermometer may give unreliable temperature estimations due to Na-Li imbalances in the deep reservoirs. Our results agreed with the previous work (Li et al., 2019) that demonstrated that it is difficult to reach the Na-Li equilibrium in the shallow reservoirs with relatively low reservoir temperatures.

The Mg/Li geothermometers estimates for thermal waters are consistent with K-Mg geothermometer in three of the five thermal springs waters (The accepted uncertainty range being ± 20°C; Fournier, 1983). Li can be treated as a conservative species, while the Mg content is more susceptible to vary when the fluid ascends to the discharge point (Giggenbach et al., 1983; Ndikubwimana et al., 2020). However, Mg/Li geothermometer showed no variations in its temperature estimates and this suggests that the sensitive Mg and conservative Li have achieved the Li-Mg system equilibrium. From this observation, it can be inferred that the fluid underwent a quick ascent to the discharge for Mg to conserve the reservoir characteristics.

The Na/K/Ca geothermometer performance in temperature estimation is controlled by Na\textsuperscript{2+}/Ca and K\textsuperscript{2+}/Ca ratios, and it is sensitive to calcite precipitation reactions caused in most cases by CO\textsubscript{2} degassing. Moreover, the Na/K/Ca geothermometer temperature estimations are not associated to any specific mineral and its empirical formula were derived by Fournier and Truesdell (1973) to overcome temperature overestimation by Na/K geothermometer in Ca-rich geothermal waters (Amӧrsson et al., 1983; Marini et al., 1986). In this study, the temperature estimates of this geothermometer seem to be reasonable for the sample 9 only (91.3°C). In the rest of the samples, the temperature estimates are higher than the measured temperature and are not consistent with any other geothermometer, and are therefore considered unreliable. Additionally, the chemistry of the waters showed lower Ca/Na in the samples with Na/K/Ca temperature overestimations and it can be inferred that Ca cation has been precipitated by Na for Ca exchange reactions by clay minerals (Sarin et al., 1989; Gysi and Stefánsson, 2011). This is in a good agreement with Fig. 7 which classifies thermal waters into Na-HCO\textsubscript{3} water type formed by direct ion exchange between the circulating meteoric waters and host rocks.

With respect to the Na/K/Ca/Mg geothermometer, estimates are uniform and > 350°C for all thermal waters. The Na/K/Ca/Mg geothermometer suggested by Giggenbach (1988) provides clues on the degree of fluid-rock equilibrium attainment. The Na/K/Ca/Mg temperature estimates are not reliable in this study and reflect a non-equilibrated reservoir conditions. This inference agrees with Na-K-Mg ternary diagram (Fig. 8) that shows all the studied thermal water samples as immature waters (Nieva and Nieva, 1987).

The silica content of any geothermal water is associated to the reservoir temperature (Henley and Ellis, 1983). In general, The higher the reservoir temperature is, the more dissolved silica content in its discharge springs (Kharaka and Mariner, 1989; Yang et al., 2019). Sample 10 showed SiO\textsubscript{2}-quartz and SiO\textsubscript{2}-Chalcedony temperature estimates considerably lower than the other sampling point estimates and is here-in considered unreliable. The SiO\textsubscript{2}-temperature estimates

### Table 4. Calculated temperatures using silica and cation geothermometers (unit: °C)

| Sample | Measured temperature | Quartz (Maximum steam loss) | Quartz (Maximum steam loss) | Chalcedony | Chalcedony (Maximum steam loss) | Na-K | K-Mg | Na-K-Ca | Na-K-Ca-Mg | Mg-Li | Na-Li |
|--------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------|------|---------|-----------|------|-------|
| 5      | 73.1                 | 107.3                       | 107.3                       | 77.8       | 78.7                            | 195.7| 99.5 | 180.7   | >350      | 71.0 | 137.5 |
| 8      | 64.1                 | 127.7                       | 124.7                       | 100.0      | 99.3                            | 174.5| 94.5 | 158.9   | >350      | 88.9 | 168.7 |
| 9      | 31.2                 | 129.1                       | 125.9                       | 101.6      | 100.8                           | 226.1| 81.1 | 91.3    | >350      | 57.7 | 160.8 |
| 10     | 36.6                 | 69.1                        | 74.0                        | 37.3       | 40.6                            | >350 | 52.7 | 52.3    | >350      | <0   | 169.1 |
| 11     | 34.5                 | 110.8                       | 110.3                       | 81.5       | 81.2                            | 178.6| 88.1 | 157.1   | >350      | 77.9 | 161.5 |

![Figure 7. The evaluation of potential hydrochemical processes using a plot showing the relationship between HCO\textsubscript{3}-(SO\textsubscript{4}+Cl) and (Ca + Mg)-(Na + K).](image)
are higher than the measured discharge temperatures and may possibly be representative of the reservoir temperature (Table 5). Additionally, these SiO₂-temperature estimates are in good agreement with both K/Mg and MME geothermometers estimations (see text below). Palandri and Reed (2001) showed that silica concentrations of a thermal water sample with nearly neutral pH (between ≈5 and 7) is associated to the stable silica phase in the reservoir, assuming that the fluid samples collection was conducted following quick ascent to the discharge point.

Multicomponent mineral equilibrium (MME) geothermometer consists of computing changes in saturation states with increasing water temperature to obtain a point at which a set of selected minerals, presumably present in the water-mineral equilibrium in the reservoir, simultaneously converge to that equilibrium (Pang and Reed, 1998; Mao et al., 2021). The reservoir lithology, the hydrogeochemical characteristics of the waters, and the results from the solutes geothermome-

Table 5. Saturation index (SI) of various minerals calculated by PHREEQC at the measured temperature for the thermal and non-thermal springs

| Sample | Anhydrite | Aragonite | Calcite | Chalcedony | Coesite | Diopside | Dolomite | Enstatite | Gypsum | Halite | Magnesite | Monohydratedolomite | Quartz | SiO₂ (am) | Tale | Tridymite |
|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------------------|--------|----------|------|----------|
| 2      | -0.21     | 1.46      | 1.60    | 2.72       | 2.18    | -3.91    | 4.61     | -2.73     | -0.01   | -5.04  | 1.34      | 0.75                | 3.00   | 1.67     | 3.89 | 2.81     |
| 3      | -1.14     | 1.43      | 1.58    | 2.67       | 2.11    | -2.29    | 4.52     | -1.96     | -0.87   | -5.75  | 1.26      | 0.75                | 2.95   | 1.59     | 6.29 | 2.76     |
| 4      | -0.42     | 1.96      | 2.10    | 2.72       | 2.17    | -2.36    | 5.69     | -1.91     | -0.22   | -4.67  | 1.92      | 1.25                | 3.00   | 1.67     | 6.31 | 2.81     |
| 12     | -1.33     | 0.46      | 0.61    | 2.60       | 2.04    | -3.40    | 1.96     | -2.86     | -1.02   | -7.10  | -0.36     | -0.20               | 2.89   | 1.49     | 3.72 | 2.69     |
| 13     | -0.30     | 2.24      | 2.38    | 2.90       | 2.35    | -1.68    | 6.31     | -1.56     | -0.10   | -4.85  | 2.25      | 1.53                | 3.18   | 1.84     | 7.63 | 2.99     |
| 14     | -0.81     | 1.78      | 1.93    | 2.64       | 2.09    | 0.58     | 4.68     | -0.78     | -0.56   | -6.75  | 1.09      | 1.10                | 2.92   | 1.58     | 9.73 | 2.73     |
| 15     | -1.33     | -0.06     | 0.09    | 2.16       | 1.60    | -2.24    | 0.90     | -2.29     | -1.01   | -7.29  | -0.90     | -0.72               | 2.45   | 1.05     | 5.00 | 2.25     |
| 16     | -0.05     | 2.37      | 2.51    | 2.57       | 2.02    | -0.55    | 5.69     | -1.40     | 0.13    | -3.07  | 1.53      | 1.66                | 2.84   | 1.53     | 7.60 | 7.60     |
| 17     | -0.47     | 1.89      | 2.03    | 2.62       | 2.07    | 0.99     | 4.87     | -0.58     | -0.23   | -6.10  | 1.18      | 1.20                | 2.89   | 1.56     | 10.24| 2.70     |
| 18     | -0.29     | 1.66      | 1.81    | 2.03       | 2.06    | 0.85     | 4.47     | -1.49     | -0.02   | -5.65  | 0.98      | 0.98                | 2.89   | 1.54     | 7.63 | 2.70     |
| 19     | -0.03     | 1.81      | 1.95    | 2.49       | 1.94    | -0.79    | 4.58     | -1.53     | 0.20    | -5.55  | 0.96      | 1.12                | 2.77   | 1.44     | 7.26 | 2.58     |
| 20     | -0.31     | 1.94      | 2.08    | 2.64       | 2.09    | -2.09    | 4.85     | -2.17     | -0.10   | -3.85  | 1.12      | 1.23                | 2.91   | 1.59     | 5.43 | 2.73     |
| 5      | -0.15     | 2.33      | 2.48    | 1.83       | 1.35    | 0.57     | 5.79     | -0.45     | -0.43   | -3.17  | 1.94      | 1.41                | 2.06   | 1.06     | 7.91 | 1.89     |
| 8      | -0.03     | 2.34      | 2.49    | 2.08       | 1.60    | 1.45     | 5.27     | -0.32     | -0.22   | -3.80  | 1.37      | 1.47                | 2.32   | 1.27     | 8.83 | 2.15     |
| 9      | -0.03     | 2.58      | 2.72    | 2.58       | 2.05    | -0.44    | 5.74     | -1.46     | 0.07    | -4.19  | 1.43      | 1.84                | 2.84   | 1.60     | 7.05 | 2.66     |
| 10     | -0.69     | 2.27      | 2.42    | 1.92       | 1.40    | 0.52     | 6.00     | -0.51     | -0.63   | -6.47  | 2.03      | 1.52                | 2.18   | 0.98     | 9.06 | 2.00     |
| 11     | -0.36     | 1.92      | 2.06    | 2.36       | 1.84    | -0.73    | 4.40     | -1.60     | -0.28   | -4.04  | 0.77      | 1.17                | 2.62   | 1.41     | 6.31 | 2.44     |

Figure 8. The Na-K-Mg triangular diagram for the thermal waters sampled in Rwanda, showing the equilibrium status of the waters (The temperature T, is in °C).
eters are referred to when selecting the mineral phases assumed to be in equilibrium with the reservoir fluids (Palmer et al., 2014).

The “Fix-Al” approach of Pang and Reed (1998) has been applied to fix the lack of Al analyses in the water samples. All the samples have been forced to equilibrate with K-feldspar, an Al-bearing mineral previously proven to yield coherent results in thermal waters with pH values ranging from 5.5 to 9 (Blasco et al., 2018; Ndikubwimana et al., 2020). MME results plotted in Fig. 9 show the results of the simulation using sample 5 selected because it has the highest measured temperature (73.1°C), and we expected the reservoir temperature to be higher than that. The MME estimated temperature is 90±6°C, which is in a good agreement with K-Mg, Mg-Li, SiO\textsubscript{2}-Chalcedony and in some cases with SiO\textsubscript{2}-quartz geothermometers.

**Insights on Recharge Source and Water-rock Interactions**

The combined measurements of oxygen and hydrogen stable isotopes of water can give a better understanding about the origin of water components of thermal and non-thermal spring waters (Craig, 1961). The lake, thermal and non-thermal water samples δD and δ\textsuperscript{18}O of the studied area were plotted along with the global meteoric water line (GMWL) and the Ugandan meteoric water line (UgMWL) (Craig, 1961; Bahati et al., 2005). All the samples fell close to the Ugandan meteoric water line, suggesting that they are of meteoric origin. The δ\textsuperscript{18}O shift toward higher delta values is indicative of water-rock interaction processes in geothermal systems especially where permeability is poor (Giigggenbach et al., 1983; Rozanski et al., 1993; Bahati et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2019). There is no δ\textsuperscript{18}O shift toward heavier values in the studied samples. This is speculatively because of the CO\textsubscript{2} probably originating from deep degassing additional to carbonate dissolution that mixed with the waters and masked the effect of fluid-rock interaction (Battistel et al., 2014). This inference corroborates with the HCO\textsubscript{3}/Ca\textsuperscript{2+}+Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ratio which is greater than 1 in most of the samples and the high permeability of the volcanic rocks that dominates the studied area (Uwiduhayye et al., 2018).

Hydrogeochemical behaviors of thermal and non-thermal ground-water can be characterized using plots of various ions contents against electric conductivity or salinity as TDS (Delalande et al., 2008; Minissale et al., 2017). In Fig. 3, scatter plots of the major cations (Na\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}, Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}), major anions (Cl\textsuperscript{−}, HCO\textsubscript{3}, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}), temperature, and silica are presented. The HCO\textsubscript{3} is highly correlated with the electric conductivity (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.92) while the temperature shows no clear relationship with electric conductivity. High HCO\textsubscript{3} contents of the thermal and non-thermal spring waters reflect primarily the CO\textsubscript{2} degassing from deep reservoir trough the faults and fractures and to some extent the dissolution of carbonate minerals. The ingress of CO\textsubscript{2} is speculatively responsible of the Na\textsuperscript{+} + K\textsuperscript{+} / Ca\textsuperscript{2+}+Mg\textsuperscript{2+} via the dissolution of Na-K bearing minerals such as plagioclase and leucite. Contrary to high temperature geothermal fluids in which the chemistry of the waters is controlled by temperature-dependent reactions between primary and secondary alteration minerals and cation exchange reactions, the thermal water of the studied area presented a chemistry that is easily relatable to other low enthalphy geothermal system waters and is lithology-dependent.

The fluid-rock equilibrium status of the thermal waters can be inferred using the Na\textsuperscript{1000}/K\textsuperscript{100}-Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ternary plot as proposed by Giggenbach (1988). The ternary plot is also useful when assessing the suitability of the thermal waters for the application of different cations geothermometers. Fig. 8 shows that all the geothermal waters of the studied area are immature waters, suggesting that there is no full or partial equilibrium have been attained presumably due to dilution by shallow cold waters or a lesser extent of reservoir rock-water interactions (Giggenbach, 1988; Thiebaut et al., 2010; Asta et al., 2012). Moreover, as the geothermal fluids are all uniformly located in the area of immature waters, it can be argued that they their hydrochemical characteristics are probably related to these of shallow groundwaters (Blasco et al., 2019).

The results of the saturation indices obtained from the speciation-solubility calculations at the spring measured temperature using PHREEQC are listed in Table 5. All the studied waters are unsaturated in anhydrite, gypsum, and halite minerals. Carbonate minerals such as aragonite, calcite and dolomite are in an oversaturation state, which may lead to precipitation of the concerned minerals. However, as above mentioned, it is speculated that the Na-for-Ca exchange reaction took place and is favored by high bicarbonate contents of the waters which resulted from the addition of CO\textsubscript{2}(g) from the deep reservoir. In Table 5, aluminosilicates phases such albite, kaolinite, laumontite, K-feldspar, and pyrophillite generally present in carbonate geothermal waters (Blasco et al., 2018) are absent due to the lack of aluminium data. However, for efficient temperature estimation, we fixed Al content using the Fix-Al method as proposed by Pang and Reed (1998).

**Circulation Mechanism of Thermal Spring Waters**

The stable isotopes and hydrochemical properties of the thermal, non-thermal, and lakes waters from the northwestern part of Rwanda helped to understand the formation and circulation mechanisms of the thermal springs. Both thermal and non-thermal waters show remarkable similarities and this suggests that they are of the same origin. According to the stable isotopes of water, meteoric water is considered to be a regional groundwater recharge source and supplies both thermal and non-thermal groundwaters.

Classical geothermometers were applied to explore the reservoir temperature of the thermal waters, and K-Mg, Mg-Li, Silica-Chalcedony
geothermometers gave consistent results. These results are coherent with the most reliable reservoir temperature estimated by multicomponent chemical equilibrium using PHREEQC as shown in Fig. 9. With respect to the saturation indices listed in Table 5, it can be argued that similar water-rock interactions probably occurred during the circulation of both thermal and non-thermal groundwaters. The chalcedony, quartz and amorphous silica are saturated in both thermal and non-thermal waters possibly due to conductive cooling. The dissolution of silica has been previously reported to be controlled by chalcedony when the reservoir temperature is below 70°C, a temperature at which quartz equilibrium can be achieved (Fournier and Truesdell, 1970; Mao et al., 2015). The fact that chalcedony and quartz geothermometers gave reliable temperatures and coherent with MME estimates indicates that the silica content of the waters in the deep reservoir has been preserved and there have not been any re-equilibration during the circulation.

The proposed circulation mechanism of the thermal waters for the northwestern part of Rwanda is that precipitation water percolates downward through structurally permeable volcanic rocks. They are heated by geothermal gradients that are mostly associated active volcanic zone. A fast circulation process of the waters in a fast conductive fracture system can be speculated.

Conclusion

Hydrochemical and water-stable isotopes of the thermal, non-thermal and lakes waters, sampled in the Northwestern Rwanda low enthalpy hydrothermal system has been thoroughly studied. The water chemistry is majorly controlled by water-rock interaction and the deep CO₂ mixing of the recharging meteoric waters flowing in high permeability volcanic rocks. This study reveals that the reservoir temperature is 90±6°C according to MME. This temperature is in agreement with K-Mg, Mg-Li, and silica geothermometers temperature estimates. The discrepancy observed in different cation geothermometers has been analyzed and revealed the water-rock equilibrium conditions in the reservoir. The chemistry of the non-thermal springs (Ca-Mg-HCO₃ and Ca-HCO₃ water type) reflect the recharging shallow waters of meteoric origin which through Na-for-Ca exchange reactions turned into thermal waters of Na-HCO₃ water type. The saturation indices (SI) simulated by PHREEQC and Na/1000-K/100-Mg² ternary plot suggested that the thermal waters of the studied area did not achieve equilibrium conditions.

While geothermometers were previously used to assess the reservoir temperature of different geothermal systems around the world, in this work we show that discrepancies observed when various geothermometers are applied to the same sampling point can reveal the mineralogical equilibrium conditions of the reservoir.
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