Genomic and Physiological Characterization of Bacilli Isolated From Salt-Pans With Plant Growth Promoting Features
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Massive application of chemical fertilizers and pesticides has been the main strategy used to cope with the rising crop demands in the last decades. The indiscriminate use of chemicals while providing a temporary solution to food demand has led to a decrease in crop productivity and an increase in the environmental impact of modern agriculture. A sustainable alternative to the use of agrochemicals is the use of microorganisms naturally capable of enhancing plant growth and protecting crops from pests known as Plant-Growth-Promoting Bacteria (PGPB). Aim of the present study was to isolate and characterize PGPB from salt-pans sand samples with activities associated to plant fitness increase. To survive high salinity, salt-tolerant microbes produce a broad range of compounds with heterogeneous biological activities that are potentially beneficial for plant growth. A total of 20 halophilic spore-forming bacteria have been screened in vitro for phyto-beneficial traits and compared with other two members of Bacillus genus recently isolated from the rhizosphere of the same collection site and characterized as potential biocontrol agents. Whole-genome analysis on seven selected strains confirmed the presence of numerous gene clusters with PGP and biocontrol functions and of novel secondary-metabolite biosynthetic genes, which could exert beneficial impacts on plant growth and protection. The predicted biocontrol potential was confirmed in dual culture assays against several phytopathogenic fungi and bacteria. Interestingly, the presence of predicted gene clusters with known biocontrol functions in some of the isolates was not predictive of the in vitro results, supporting the need of combining laboratory assays and genome mining in PGPB identification for future applications.
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INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, social concern about the environmental effects of the uncontrolled use of chemical pesticides, fertilizers, and herbicides in the agricultural field has risen considerably. The use of chemicals for the protection and enhancement of crops has led to several negative consequences: the formation of stable phytopathogenic variants, the reduction in the number of beneficial microorganisms, and the accumulation of toxic substances in soils and aquatic ecosystems (Reddy et al., 2009; Pertot et al., 2017). Given the increased global demand for crop production, researchers and industries are seeking new, more sustainable and greener approaches to pesticides and fertilizers (Glick et al., 2007). In this framework, the use of microorganisms known as Plant-Growth-Promoting Bacteria (PGPB) for crop production appears to be a promising alternative. PGPB improve crop fitness and yields both, through direct and indirect mechanisms. Direct mechanisms include the promotion of alternative nutrient uptake pathways, through the solubilization of phosphorus, the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, the acquisition of iron by siderophores, and the production of growth hormones and molecules like vitamins, amino acids, and volatile compounds (Babalola, 2010). Indirect mechanisms instead, include the prevention or reduction of the damage induced by phytopathogens through the production of different classes of antimicrobial compounds such as hydrolytic enzymes that can lyse a portion of the cell wall of many pathogenic fungi (Jadhav et al., 2017).

The work presented here is part of a wide study aimed at identifying and selecting halophilic Bacilli with potential applications as biofertilizers or biocontrol agents. For this purpose, samples from the rhizosphere of the nurse plants Juniperus sabina and nearby soils were collected from salt pans (Castaldi et al., 2021). Nurse plants, such as J. sabina, exert beneficial effects on their surrounding ecosystem, facilitating the growth and development of other plant species. This positive effect is in part due to the plant influence on the composition of soil microbial communities, generally selecting for microorganisms capable of mineralizing nutrients, enhancing soil fertility, and thus promoting plant growth and health (Hortal et al., 2013; Gobiena et al., 2014; Rodríguez-Echeverría et al., 2016). For this reason, the nurse-plants rhizosphere and relative surrounding soil are a useful source of PGPB. In addition, bacteria growing in extreme environments, like salt pans, have developed complex strategies to survive harsh conditions, which include the production of an array of diverse compounds, such as antioxidant pigments, lytic enzymes, and antimicrobial compounds, making them interesting biotechnological targets (Anwar et al., 2020). Among the PGPB, bacteria belonging to the Bacillus genus are of particular interest given their resistance to stressful environments and conditions due to their capacity of producing spores (Pesce et al., 2014), together with the ability to release a broad spectrum of secondary metabolites, the easy genetic manipulation, and the great ability to colonize plant surfaces (Kumar et al., 2011). In addition, the effectiveness of halo-tolerant Bacillus spp. to increase the growth of various crops under salt stress conditions has been widely reported (Shultana et al., 2020). Recently, we have identified and characterized PGBP Bacillus strains isolated from the rhizosphere of J. sabina (Castaldi et al., 2021). The two strains, named as Bacillus sp. RHFS10 and Bacillus sp. RHFS18, emerged for their promising PGP traits. These strains produce siderophores and solubilize phosphorus, enhancing plant nutrients uptake, and secrete indoleacetic acid (IAA), a phytohormone playing a key role in both root and shoot development. Additionally, both isolates showed a strong biocontrol activity, inhibiting the fungal phytopathogen Macrophomina phaseolina growth (Castaldi et al., 2021).

Here, we present the results of the screening of 20 halophilic Bacilli isolated from salt-pan sand samples. All the strains were characterized for PGP traits and five strains emerged for their high potentiality as biofertilizers and biocontrol agents. Comparative genomic analysis of the five sand strains and the previously characterized rhizospheric strains RHFS10 and RHFS18 revealed the presence of known genes involved in plant growth promotion and protection, sustaining, in part, the activities observed in vitro. Overall, this work suggests a strategy for the selection of potential PGP candidates belonging to Bacillus genus using combined in silico and in vitro approaches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of Bacteria

Bacillus strains used in this study were isolated from sand samples collected in the proximity of J. sabina plants growing in the salt-pan of Formentera (Spain). Sand samples were heat-treated at 80°C, for 15 min to kill vegetative cells and select for spore-forming bacteria, and 1 g of sample was suspended in 9 ml of TY broth (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 8 g/L NaCl) and 10-fold serial dilutions placed on TY plates (Cangiano et al., 2010). After 4–5 days of incubation at 30 ± 1°C, colonies were recovered and streaked on fresh TY plates, and pure cultures stored at −80°C into glycerol stocks (Giglio et al., 2011).

Phenotypic Characterization and Growth Conditions

The phenotypic variants of isolated strains were determined by visual inspection. The facultative anaerobic growth was determined using the AnaeroGen sachets (Unipath Inc., Nepean, Ontario, Canada) placed in a sealed jar with bacteria streaked on TY agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 3 days. To confirm the sporulation ability, the bacteria were grown in Difco sporulation medium (8 g/L Nutrient broth No. 4, 1 g/L KCl, 1 mM MgSO4, 1 mM Ca(NO3)2, 10 μM MnCl2, and 1 μM FeSO4, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) at 37°C for 30–48 h, and the presence of spores was checked by light microscopy. Salt, pH, and temperature tolerance were determined as follows: about 50 μL of culture of each isolate grown in TY broth for 6 h at 37°C (106 cells/ml) were transferred to individual tubes containing 5 ml of TY broth with different pH (2.0, 4.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 10.0, and 12.0) or NaCl concentration (0, 5, 10, 13, 15, and 18%) and left to grow at 37°C with agitation (Cangiano et al., 2014). The temperature tolerance
of isolates was tested incubating the cultures at 37 (control), 4, 15, 25, 50, and 60°C. The growth (+) or no growth (−) in comparison with the controls after 24–48 h was recorded.

**Plant Growth-Promoting Traits**

**Phosphate Solubilization**
The phosphate solubilization activity was evaluated by spot inoculation of 3 μl of the freshly grown bacterial culture (10^7 cells/ml) onto Pikovsky's agar medium (Pikovskaya, 1948). The plates were incubated at 28°C for 10 days. The formation of transparent zones around the bacterial colonies indicates a positive result (Schoebitz et al., 2013).

**Siderophores Production**
The siderophores production was determined by the Chrome Azurol S (CAS) assay as described by Pérez-Miranda et al. (2007). Three milliliter of freshly-grown bacterial cultures was spot-inoculated on CAS agar plates and incubated at 28°C. The formation of a yellow-orange halo zone around the bacterial colony was a positive indicator of siderophore production and the halo zone diameters were measured after 4 days of incubation.

**Indoleacetic Acid Detection**
The indoleacetic acid production was measured as described by Etesami et al. (2014), with some modifications. Briefly, each strain was cultured in 10 ml of TY broth at 37°C for 4 days with shaking at 150 rpm. Following growth, 1 ml of bacteria supernatant was mixed with 2 ml of Salkowski reagent (0.5 M FeCl_3, in 35% HClO_2 solution), and the solution was vortexed and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The formation of pink color was considered a positive reaction (Damodaran et al., 2013). Quantitative estimation of IAA (μg/ml) was obtained by recording spectroscopic absorbance at 535 nm using a standard curve prepared separately with pure IAA (Sigma) in the range 0–100 μg/ml (Gordon and Weber, 1951). Sterile TY medium was used as control.

**Biofilm Production and Swarming Motility**
To detect the ability to produce biofilm, bacterial isolates were grown in 24-well culture plates in TY broth for 48 h without agitation at 37°C in accordance to O'Toole (2011). Then, the supernatant was discarded, adhered cells were rinsed three times with distilled water and 1 ml of a 0.1% crystal violet (CV) solution was added to stain the adhered biomass. Plates were incubated for 30 min at room temperature, washed carefully three times with distilled water and patted dry. Dye attached to the wells was extracted with 1 ml of 70% ethanol and quantified at an absorbance of 570 nm. Data were normalized by total growth estimated by OD600 nm, and the experiment was performed in triplicate.

Swarming motility was tested according to the method adopted by Adler (1966). TY agar 0.7% plates were spot inoculated with 3 μl of the freshly grown bacterial culture (10^7 cells/ml). After an overnight incubation at 37°C, the swarm diameters were measured.

### Whole-Genome Sequencing of the Selected PGPB
DNA extraction was performed using the DNeasy PowerSoil kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genome sequencing was performed by MicrobesNG (Birmingham, United Kingdom) with the genomic DNA library prepared using the Nextera XT library prep kit (Illumina) following the manufacturer's protocol. Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq using a 250bp paired-end protocol. Reads were adapted and trimmed using Trimomatic 0.30 with a sliding window quality cutoff of Q15 (Bolger et al., 2014) and the de novo genome assembly was carried out with SPAdes (version 3.7) via MicrobesNG. Genomes were annotated using Prokka (Seemann, 2014). Biosamples accession numbers for strains RHFB, RHF2, RHF6, RHF12, RHF15, RHS10, and RHFS18 are, respectively: SAMN17389615, SAMN17389609, SAMN17389610, SAMN17389612, SAMN17389613, SAMN17389611, and SAMN17389614. MIGS compliant details regarding each genome are available in the Supplementary Table S1.

Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) values between the sequenced genomes and the closest bacterial species identified from the 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis (see below) were obtained using the OrthoANI algorithm of EZBioCloud (Yoon et al., 2017). An ANI similarity of 95% was considered as a cut-off for species delineation.

### Phylogenetic Analysis
The 16S rRNA genes were extracted from the sequenced genomes using Anvi'o v2.3.3 (Eren et al., 2021). and compared to 76 reference 16S rRNA genes from closely related strains identified using the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB)^1 taxonomy and retrieved from the NCBI database. All sequences were aligned using Seaview 4.4.0 software (Corrado et al., 2021), and the phylogenetic tree was constructed using the Maximum-likelihood algorithm with model GTR + I + G4. Statistical support was evaluated by the approximate likelihood-ratio test (aLRT) and is shown at the corresponding nodes of the tree. *Clostridium difficile* is used as an outgroup to root the tree.

### Evaluation of Potential Biocontrol Activity
Isolated bacterial strains were tested *in vitro* for growth inhibitory activity against phytopathogenic fungi and bacteria are listed in Table 1. The phytopathogenic fungi are deposited in the fungal culture collection of the Plant Pathology Department of the University of Buenos Aires (FAUBA, Argentina) and were kindly supplied by Marcelo Anibal Carmona (Facultad de Agronomía, Cátedra de Fitopatólogía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina), except for *Stemphylium vesicarium*. All the fungi were stored on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) in Petri dishes. Dual-culture plate method was carried out to detect the antifungal activity in accordance with Xu and Kim (2014). Briefly, fungal plugs of 6 mm × 6 mm diameter were placed at the center of PDA plates and 5 μl of bacterial
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1https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org
strains grown overnight in TY broth were placed on the opposite four sides of the plates 1.5 cm away from the fungal disc. This method was repeated for each fungus. Controls consisted of plates containing the fungal plugs alone. All plates were incubated at 28°C for 5–7 days. The antagonism activity against bacterial phytopathogens was performed as described in Li et al. (2020) with some modifications. Bacterial pathogens were streaked on TY plates and incubated at 25°C overnight. Single colonies were suspended in TY broth and incubated at 25°C. Approximately $1 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml were mixed with melted 0.8% TY agar medium before pouring plates. After solidification, 5 μl of bacterial isolates solution (OD$_{600}$ = 1.0) was spot inoculated onto the plates and incubated at 28°C for 48 h, before measuring the diameters of inhibition halos. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Identification of Biosynthetic Gene Clusters**

Obtained genomes were analyzed by antiSMASH 5.0 (Blin et al., 2019) and BAGEL 4 (van Heel et al., 2018) to identify biosynthetic gene clusters (BCGs) of potential antimicrobial compounds such as non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs), polyketide synthases (PKSs), post-translationally modified peptides (RiPPs), hybrid lipopeptides (NRPS-PKS) and bacteriocins. Biosynthetic Gene Clusters that shared less than 70% amino acid identity against known clusters were regarded as novel.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Isolation and Characterization of Spore-Forming Plant-Growth-Promoting Bacteria**

Spore-forming bacteria were specifically isolated from sand samples collected from gaps among nurse plants, belonging to the genus *J. sabina*, in salt-pans as described in the Materials and Methods section. Based on morphological characteristics, a total of 20 isolates were selected and preliminarily characterized for growth properties (Supplementary Table S2). All the strains can be classified as facultative anaerobic, mesophiles and moderate halophiles, excluding RHF5 strain, which survives up to 60°C and strain RHFB unable to grow at temperature and salt concentration higher than 37°C and 5% NaCl, respectively (Ventosa et al., 1998; Schiraldi and De Rosa, 2016).

To identify potential PGPB, the 20 strains were evaluated in vitro for physiological traits associated with plant growth enhancement and biocontrol ability (Table 2). Strain performance was compared with those of two promising PGPB, RHFS10, and RHFS18 strains, belonging to the *Bacillus* genus and isolated from *J. sabina* rhizosphere of the same collection site (Castaldi et al., 2021) and proposed as biocontrol agents for their antagonistic activity against the phytopathogen *M. phaseolina*. Most of the new strains displayed root-colonization phenotypes since able to surface spread by swarming and to form biofilms (Amaya-Gómez et al., 2020), while only five were found either positive to both solubilization of phosphate, indoleacetic acid (IAA), and siderophore production. Strains RHF6, RHF15, and RHFB showed a better performance than when compared against the already characterized rhizobacteria strains RHFS10 and RHFS18, confirming that the microenvironments created under or nearby nurse shrubs are a promising source of PGPB (Rodriguez-Echeverría et al., 2016). All bacterial isolates were tested for in vitro activities of their extracellular hydrolytic enzymes (lipase, protease, amylase, xylanase, and cellulase) usually associated with biocontrol activity (Pal and McSpadden Gardener, 2006). As reported in Table 2, the highest hydrolytic activity was observed for RHF12, RHF15, and RHFB strains, comparable with that exerted by rhizosphere strains RHFS10 and RHFS18.

Based on these results reported in Table 2, seven strains were selected for whole-genome sequencing analysis. All selected strains were able to solubilize phosphate with efficiency higher than the other ones and to produce Biofilm, IAA, and siderophores. Further, strains RHF12, RHF15, RHFB, and RHFS18 emerged for their strong hydrolytic potential, often associated to biocontrol activity (Castaldi et al., 2021), while strain RHF6 showed the ability to growth up to 13% NaCl, showing the best salt tolerance (Supplementary Table S2).

**Genome Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis**

The obtained genomes had coverage of ~30×, with a variable number of contigs between 40 and 1,105 for RHF15 and

---

**TABLE 1 | List of the phytopathogenic fungi and bacteria used in this study.**

| Pathogen type | Species | Strain | Provenience | Host plant |
|---------------|---------|--------|-------------|------------|
| **Fungi**     |         |        |             |            |
| Macrophomina phaseolina | 2,012,013-1 | Argentine | Soy       |
| Colletotrichum truncatum | 17-5-5 | Argentine | Soy       |
| Drechslera teres | FT | Argentine | Barley |
| Cercospora nicotianae | Ck_2017_B35 | Bolivia | Soy       |
| Stemphylium vesicarium | Italy |           | Pear     |
| **Bacteria**  |         |        |             |            |
| Pseudomonas tolaasi | 2,192 | - | Mushroom |
| Pseudomonas syringae pv tabaci | ICMP 2706 | - | Tobacco |
| Pseudomonas syringae pv panici | ICMP 3955 | - | Rice |
| Pseudomonas caryophylli | ICMPPS49 | Italy | Carnations |
| Pseudomonas syringae pv syringae | B476 | - | Mango |
| Pseudomonas syringae pv japonica | ICMP 6305 | - | Wheat |
| Pseudomonas syringae pv papulans | PsP26 | - | Apple |
RHFS18, respectively (Table 3). The genome of strain RHFS18 was particularly fragmented, and repeated sequencing of the same strain did not yield improved assembly suggesting that the results are not dependent on a low-quality sequencing library. The obtained genomes are approximately 4.0 Mbp long except for RHFB's genome, being the longest (5.6 Mbp) and the one with the highest number of predicted protein coding sequences compared to the others. Taxonomic identification of the strains was based on the phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA sequence as well as the whole genome Average Nucleotide Identity. All the isolates were identified as members of the genus Bacillus (Figure 1) with six strains out of seven clustering into the same clade, and only strain RHFB falling in a different clade. The phylogenetic divergence observed for RHFB from the other strains agrees with the observed differences in physiological traits for this strain (Supplementary Table S3). Since most Bacillus species are phylogenetically close, 16S rRNA analysis is not always exhaustive to obtain an unambiguous assignment (Rooney et al., 2009). To overcome this issue and classify the strains at the species level, whole genome ANI was used (Table 4). Strain RHFB exhibited 96.95% ANI against the genome of the closest relative Brevibacterium frigoritolerans and was therefore identified as a Bacillus species. Strain RHFB was identified as Bacillus subtilis, based on 99.96% ANI score. Strains RHFB and RHFS18 were classified as members of the Bacillus amyloliquefaciens species, exhibiting 99.26 and 98.36% ANI, respectively. Strain RHFB12 was identified as Bacillus halotolerans, based on 98.04% ANI score, while RHFB15 was classified as Bacillus gibsonii, showing 99.6% ANI score. As shown in Table 4, RHFB, RHFB12, and RHFS18 strains were

| Strain | Biofilm (OD270) | Swarming | PVK | IAA (μg/ml) | Siderophores | Lipase | Protease | Amylase | Xylanase | CMC |
|--------|----------------|----------|-----|-------------|--------------|--------|----------|---------|----------|-----|
| RHF1   | −              | ++       | ++  | −           | +            | −      | +        | +       | +        | +   |
| RHF2   | 0.2            | +        | +   | 18          | +            | −      | +        | +       | +        | +   |
| RHF3   | −              | −        | −   | −           | −            | +      | ++       | +       | +        | −   |
| RHF4   | −              | −        | −   | −           | −            | +      | ++       | +       | +        | −   |
| RHF5   | 0.2            | −        | −   | 2           | −            | +      | ++       | +       | −        | −   |
| RHF6   | 0.3            | +        | ++  | 31          | ++           | −      | +        | +       | +        | +   |
| RHF7   | 0.4            | −        | −   | −           | −            | −      | −        | −       | −        | −   |
| RHF8   | 0.6            | +        | −   | 6           | −            | −      | ++       | ++      | −        | −   |
| RHF9   | −              | −        | −   | 3           | −            | −      | ++       | −        | −        | −   |
| RHF10  | −              | −        | −   | 4           | −            | +      | ++       | −        | −        | −   |
| RHF11  | 0.2            | −        | −   | −           | −            | +      | ++       | +        | −        | −   |
| RHF12  | 0.7            | +        | −   | 25          | ++           | −      | ++       | ++      | −        | −   |
| RHF13  | −              | ++       | +   | 3           | +            | +      | +        | +       | +        | +   |
| RHF14  | −              | −        | −   | −           | −            | +      | +        | −        | −        | −   |
| RHF15  | 0.6            | ++       | ++  | 23          | ++           | +      | ++       | ++      | ++       | +   |
| RHF16  | −              | −        | −   | −           | −            | +      | ++       | −        | −        | −   |
| RHF17  | 0.5            | +        | −   | −           | +            | +      | +        | ++      | +        | −   |
| RHF8   | 0.3            | +        | ++  | 32          | ++           | +      | ++       | ++      | +        | +   |
| RHF18  | −              | −        | −   | −           | −            | +      | +        | −        | −        | −   |
| RHFS10 | 0.3            | ++       | +   | 12          | ++           | +      | ++       | ++      | ++       | +   |
| RHFS18 | 0.5            | +        | +   | 12          | ++           | +      | ++       | ++      | ++       | +   |

No activity (−), halo or colony diameter <5 mm (+), halo or colony diameter ≥5 mm (++) and halo or colony diameter 10 mm (+++). Data are represented by means of at least three replicates ± SE at p ≤ 0.05 using LDS. The strains selected for further studies are indicated in bold. PVK, Pikovskaya; IAA, indoleacetic acid; and CMC, carboxymethylcellulose.

TABLE 3 | General features of the assembled genomes.

| Analysis statistics | RHF10 | RHF12 | RHF15 | RHF18 | RHF20 | RHF21 |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Size (bp)           | 5,648,757 | 4,003,762 | 4,066,378 | 4,096,200 | 4,232,838 | 4,254,653 |
| Number of contigs   | 158   | 52    | 156   | 280   | 40    | 46    |
| Mean GC content (%) | 40.57 | 43.74 | 46.3  | 44.01 | 43.39 | 43.95 |
| CDS                 | 5,413 | 3,988 | 3,801 | 3,997 | 4,282 | 4,182 |
| N50                 | 187,761 | 413,219 | 584,325 | 60,229 | 2,184,724 | 1,139,270 |
| N75                 | 82,022 | 306,766 | 292,476 | 34,071 | 1,049,735 | 3,118 |
| L50                 | 11    | 3     | 2     | 19    | 1     | 2     |
| L75                 | 21    | 6     | 4     | 42    | 2     | 4     |

| Strains | RHF10 | RHF12 | RHF15 | RHF18 | RHF20 | RHF21 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Size (bp) | 5,648,757 | 4,003,762 | 4,066,378 | 4,096,200 | 4,232,838 | 4,254,653 |
| Number of contigs | 158 | 52 | 156 | 280 | 40 | 46 |
| Mean GC content (%) | 40.57 | 43.74 | 46.3 | 44.01 | 43.39 | 43.95 |
| CDS | 5,413 | 3,988 | 3,801 | 3,997 | 4,282 | 4,182 |
| N50 | 187,761 | 413,219 | 584,325 | 60,229 | 2,184,724 | 1,139,270 |
| N75 | 82,022 | 306,766 | 292,476 | 34,071 | 1,049,735 | 3,118 |
| L50 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 2 |
| L75 | 21 | 6 | 4 | 42 | 2 | 4 |
TABLE 4 | Classification of the seven selected strains.

| 16S rRNA similarity | ANI (best score) |
|---------------------|------------------|
| RHFB                | B. frigoritolerans (100%) | B. frigoritolerans (96.96%) |
| RHF2                | B. velezensis (99.87%) | B. subtilis 168 (99.96%) |
| RHF6                | B. velezensis (100%) | B. amyloliquefaciens (99.26%) |
| RHF12               | B. halotolerans (98.51%) | B. halotolerans (98.04%) |
| RHF15               | B. subtilis (100%) | B. gibsonii (99.6%) |
| RHFS10              | B. halotolerans (97.5%) | B. vallismortis (93.48%) |
| RHFS18              | B. amyloliquefaciens (100%) | B. amyloliquefaciens (98.36%) |

The 16S rRNA similarity and ANI score against the closest relative identified from the phylogenetic analysis are reported for each isolate.

univocally matched with the same species, while for RHF2, RHF6, and RHF15 strains the two analyses returned different results. This mismatch between the two methods of classification is due to the poor discrimination between closely related species of the Bacillus genus due to their high morphological, biochemical, and genetic similarities (Celandroni et al., 2019).

Environmental Adaptation to Halophilic Conditions

The phenotypic plasticity of the salt-pans isolates was investigated by comparing their growth parameters against the closest Bacillus species identified by the ANI analysis (Table 4). Temperature, pH, and salinity ranges required for growth were evaluated. These parameters are useful to identify distinct phenotypic strategies used by microorganisms to better adapt to environmental conditions (Agrawal, 2001). As expected, taxonomically closer strains showed small differences when compared with each other or with their representative species (red dashed lines in Figure 2). As already highlighted by the phylogenetic analysis, B. frigoritolerans RHFB strain presented a diverging phenotype, especially considering the lower salt tolerance compared to the other isolates. Interestingly, some strains, like B. halotolerans RHF12, B. gibsonii RHF15, and Bacillus sp. RHFS10, showed identical growth properties even though belonging to three different Bacillus species (Figure 2), while strains of the same species, like B. amyloliquefaciens RHF6 and RHFS18, exhibited different adaptations to NaCl concentration and pH range. Moreover, B. amyloliquefaciens RHF6 like B. subtilis RHF2 were able to grow at higher salt concentrations than their representative species, suggesting an adaptive phenotypic variation to the high salinity condition of salt-pans.

Analysis of Potential PGP and Biocontrol Traits

To confirm the in vitro PGP characterization of the isolates, a prediction of the genes (Figure 3) and proteins (Table 5) involved in biocontrol activity and plant growth promotion was performed. The analyses identified genes that can be attributed to the strains ability to improve nutrient availability, suppress pathogenic fungi, and resist oxidative stress and quorum sensing in all analyzed genomes. For instance, the genome of most of the seven strains included the pyrroloquinolone quinone synthase (pqq) and the dependent glucose dehydrogenase (gdh).
genes, involved in mineral phosphate solubilization as well as antifungal activities and systemic resistance induction. Interestingly, both isolates *B. amyloliquefaciens* RHF6 and RHFS18 did not carry the cofactor *pqq* gene cluster, suggesting that other mechanisms could co-exist (Table 2). IAA is one of the most common and effective plant-growth hormones. Besides plants, most rhizobacteria can produce and secrete IAA, increasing the growth and the yield of crops (Bunsangiam et al., 2019). All the strains produced Tryptophan-2-monooxygenase and Indole-3-acetamide hydrolase, able to convert Tryptophan in Indole-3-acetamide and then in IAA, respectively (Bunsangiam et al., 2019). The presence of other tryptophan synthases orthologs (subunits a and b) in all the analyzed genomes suggests alternative IAA biosynthesis pathways potentially involving different intermediates. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that *B. frigoritolerans* RHF2, one of the best IAA producers among the isolated PGPB, possessed the indole-3-pyruvate decarboxylase, a key enzyme of another Trp-dependent pathway for IAA production (Sitbon et al, 2000).

All the strains were predicted to be potentially able to fix nitrogen and produce nitric oxide, both useful features in agricultural practices (Ahmad et al., 2013), and to synthesize polyamines, as spermidine and putrescine, and the ACC deaminase, involved in lateral root development and plant growth enhancement under abiotic stress (Xie et al., 2014; Gupta and Pandey, 2019).

As expected, the genome of all the halophilic *Bacillus* strains contained multiple genes involved in antioxidant response, such as peroxidases, catalases, superoxide dismutase, and glutathione peroxidase (Hassan et al., 2020; Figure 3; Table 5). Other enzymes involved in abiotic stress responses were identified in the strains, as the osmoprotectants choline dehydrogenase, betaine-aldehyde dehydrogenase, and proline dehydrogenase (Table 5). The predicted production of osmotically active metabolites, as well as ROS scavenging enzymes, reflects the
ability of the selected strains to survive in extreme environments, as salt-pans and to potentially alleviate abiotic stress in agricultural system.

Finally, all the isolates possessed in their genomes genes encoding for hydrolases involved in fungal cell-wall and starch degrading pathways, confirming the results obtained with the
in vitro analysis, except for strain *B. frigoritolerans* RHFB whose genome did not carry α-amylase or cellulase genes.

**Antimicrobial Activity Screening**

To verify the antagonistic potential that emerged from the genome-mining, the isolates were dually cultured with fungal and bacterial plant pathogens (see Table 1 for a list of the used phytopathogens). The results reveal that isolates inhibited plant pathogens growth on plates with different efficiency (Figure 4). Strains *B. subtilis* RHF2, *B. amyloliquefaciens* RHF6, and *Bacillus* sp. RHFS10 showed a broad inhibitory spectrum, being able to antagonize both phytopathogenic fungi and bacteria, while *B. halotolerans* RHF12 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* RHFS18 exhibited an antimicrobial activity limited to fungi. The highest antagonistic activity was observed for strain *Bacillus* sp. RHFS10, capable of inhibiting the growth of most of the test pathogens, confirming its biocontrol potential already observed by Castaldi et al. (2021). Unexpectedly, *B. frigoritolerans* RHFB exhibited no activity at all. Nevertheless, in the last decade, this species has been identified as a potential insect pathogenic bacterial species, with nematicidal...
activity (Selvakumar et al., 2011). The diversity observed in the antimicrobial activity against plant pathogens highlighted the phenotypic diversity of sand and rhizosphere isolated *Bacilli*, suggesting that in nature plant-associated bacteria may encounter different phytopathogens that may induce the acquisition of different antagonistic activity.

### Genome Mining for Biosynthetic Gene Clusters

The biocontrol potential and the ability to enhance plant growth of PGPB are mostly attributed to their bioactive secondary metabolites. Proteins and metabolites released in the soil by PGPB, indeed, are implicated in root colonization, as well as in interactions with the plant immune response and the surrounding niche (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009; Pieterse et al., 2014; Jamali et al., 2020). The strong antimicrobial activity of selected *Bacillus* strains is most likely due in part to the production of hydrolytic enzymes and siderophores observed in *in vitro* assays and confirmed by genome analysis (Tables 2 and 5). To better investigate this antagonistic activity, the biosynthetic potential of the halophilic PGPB was evaluated by using antiSMASH 6.0.0 to predict both characterized and unknown functioned secondary metabolites (Figure 5).

The bacterial isolates harbored BGCs coding for NRPSs, polyketide synthases (PKSs), post-translationally modified peptides (RiPPs), hybrid lipopeptides (NRPS-PKS; Figure 5A), and the majority of the BGCs are assigned to known products (Figure 5B; Supplementary Table S4). The unknown BGCs are type 3 polyketide synthase (T3PKS), RiPPs and terpenes (Figure 5C; Supplementary Table S4).

### Novel Non-ribosomal Peptide Synthetases and Bacteriocins

NRPs are modular enzymes that synthesize secondary metabolites, some of which are known to be involved in plant disease control (Ongena and Jacques, 2008). Several bioactive compounds produced by *Bacillus* strains fit in this category, such as surfactin or fengycin (Keswani et al., 2020), both of them exhibiting antimicrobial activity potentially exploited for biocontrol in agriculture. We have identified one novel BGC belonging to the class of the NRPs from *B. amylobacteriacei* RHF6 (Figure 6). This cluster of 66.3 Kb has six genes encoding 25 domains, which include six condensation (C) domains, seven adenylation (A) domains, one coenzyme A ligase (CAL) domain, two epimerization (E) domains, one thioesterase (TE) domain, one heterocyclization (Cy) domain and seven peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) domains. Among them, 24 domains are essential components of this cluster, and catalyze the incorporation of seven amino acids into the final product exhibiting the following sequence: D-Cys-Ser-Cys-Ala-Asn-D-Asn. This cluster shows no similarity to any known BGCs reported in the antiSMASH database (Supplementary Table S4). The single heterocyclization (C) domain in the first module of the BGC, could form a thiazoline ring from a residue of cystine (Cys). Interestingly, many antimicrobial drugs expose a thiazoline ring (Desai et al., 2016). This allows us to speculate on the potential antimicrobial activity of the compound produced by this novel BGC.

The seven genomes were also mined for potential novel bacteriocins BGCs using BAGEL4. Bacteriocins are ribosomally...
synthesized antimicrobial peptides, generally active against bacteria closely related to producers (Cotter et al., 2013), and classified into three main classes: class I comprehends ribosomally produced and post-translationally modified peptides (RiPPs); class II unmodified peptides, and class III large antimicrobial peptides (Zhao and Kuipers, 2016). These molecules are directed against competitive microorganisms, and therefore generate a selective advantage for the producers. Generally, bacteriocins are highly specific against their target, although some might have a wider spectrum (Jack et al., 1995). The analysis made using BAGEL4, returned 15 regions of interest (in contrast with the antiSMASH analysis which revealed a higher number of bacteriocins, Supplementary Table S4), even though only six of them could be classified as novel bacteriocins, sharing ≤70% of similarity with known sequences from BAGEL4 database (Figure 7).

One orphan BGC of 27 genes is carried by both B. amyloliquefaciens RHF6 and RHFS18 strains (Figures 7a,1,d,1), although the core biosynthetic genes encode two different
precursor peptides of 40 and 29 amino acids, respectively, sharing 41.03 and 57.14% of similarity with ComX4 from the *B. subtilis* group. In particular, ComX4 belongs to the ComX subclass of RiPPs according to the BAGEL4 database, and it is part of a major quorum-sensing system that regulates the development of genetic competence (Okada et al., 2005) and the production of surfactins (Caulier et al., 2019). *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* RHF6 also harbors a BGC of 23 genes (Figure 7A-a.2), with the core biosynthetic gene encoding a 63-amino acids precursor peptide, showing a similarity of 36.51% compared to UviB, a class II bacteriocin first identified in the mobilizable plasmid pIP404, from *C. perfringens*, known to be bacteriocinogenic (Garnier and Cole, 1988). Interestingly, two different BGCs containing the same gene encoding for a
putative UviB-like bacteriocin, were found in strains B. gibsonii RHF15 (Figure 7B) and Bacillus sp. RHFS10 actually have antimicrobial properties from genome sequence data only. Despite this, the antagonistic activity exerted by RHF6, RHF15, RHFS10, and RHFS18 strains observed previously in in vitro assays (Table 6) could be associated with these potential compounds. This will need to be validated by further experiments.

**CONCLUSION**

In a historic moment in which the increasing population coupled with land degradation aggravates crop production, the use of plant growth promoting bacteria to ensure agricultural productivity has a huge impact on our society. These soil microorganisms enhance plant performance and represent an eco-friendly alternative to chemical fertilizers and pesticides (Hashem et al., 2019). When applied directly to the soil, PGPB enhance plant growth by different action mechanisms such as the production of different phytohormones, accelerating the mineralization of organic matter and improving the bioavailability of the nutrients, and protecting plants from pests’ damages. The beneficial activity exerted by PGPB is in part mediated by a broad spectrum of secondary metabolites and enzymes. For example, polyamines, such as spermidine, play important physiological and protective roles in plants, resulting in an increase in biomass, altered root architecture, and elevated photosynthetic capacity. Until recently, these key metabolites were uncovered only by systematic investigation or by serendipity, often understating the PGPB potentiality during their screening. Many genes involved in PGB activity, in fact, could be silent under standard laboratory conditions, due to the absence of appropriate natural triggers or stress signals. More recently, the onset of the genomic era has facilitated the discovery of these ecologically important metabolites and novel strategies became available for PGPR characterization.

For example, genome mining allows to look over the whole genome of a PGPB strain and highlights genes encoding beneficial enzymes, involved in the enhancement of plant nutritional uptake or modulation of hormone levels, as well as for antimicrobial-encoding GBCs.

In this work, we have isolated soil halophilic Bacillus and performed their screening for PGP traits by using standard laboratory procedures and whole-genome analysis. Bacillus represent a significant fraction of the soil microbial community and some species are categorized as PGPB (Cazorla et al., 2007). They are also able to produce endospores, which besides enduring harsh environmental conditions fatal for other cell forms (Petrillo et al., 2020), permit easy formulation and storage of commercial PGPB-based products. In addition, salt-tolerant PGPB can easily withstand several abiotic stresses and ameliorate plant growth in degraded soil.

Seven Bacillus strains have been selected for in vitro PGP traits and identified at the species level by genome analysis. Based on genome mining, not only have we confirmed the beneficial activities PGP found by in vitro analysis, identifying the involved genes but also we have highlighted their strong potentiality by the discovery of novel biosynthesis gene clusters. Our results demonstrated that the genomic analyses, as genome mining, allow a full investigation of PGPB biosynthetic capacity for secondary metabolites and proteins and represent useful tools in the characterization of plant beneficial bacteria. Nevertheless, the divergences observed between the predicted biocontrol functions by found gene clusters and the results obtained by in vitro analysis, highlight the need of combining laboratory-assays and genome-mining in identification of new PGPB for future applications.
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