INTRODUCTION

Yeast transformation is the process by which exogenous DNA is introduced into the cell. It is a powerful tool of molecular biology research, for example, in the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) system for detection of protein–protein interactions (Fields & Song, 1989). Highly efficient protocols for chemical transformation have been established (Gietz, 2015) but the molecular mechanisms underlying yeast transformation are not well understood. Several studies over the last four decades have investigated how DNA passes through the cell wall, through the plasma membrane, and subsequently reaches the nucleus (Kawai, Hashimoto, & Murata, 2010; Mitrikeski, 2013). Foreign DNA is most likely to be engulfed via endocytic membrane invagination, and several mutants involved in endocytosis show low transformation efficiencies (Kawai et al., 2004).

Ubiquitination of plasma membrane proteins can serve as an internalization signal for endocytosis (Toret & Drubin, 2006). In this way, the cell can downregulate receptors or transporters via transport to endosomes and lysosomal degradation (Ghaddar et al., 2014). In yeast, this process is mediated by the Rsp5 ubiquitin ligase that requires adaptor proteins for recruitment to the specific plasma membrane targets. Proteins that bind to Rsp5 and promote this function include the arrestin-related trafficking adaptors (ARTs) such as Art1 (syn. Ldb19), Art3 (syn. Aly2), and Bul1 (Lin, Macgurn, Chu, Stefan, & Emr, 2008; Yashiroda, Oguchi, Yasuda, Toh, & Kikuchi, 1996). Several amino acid transporters are internalized by this form of endocytosis, including the general...
amino acid permease (Gap1) and the arginine-specific permease (Can1). The latter is targeted by both Bul1 and Art1, respectively (Ghadhar et al., 2014). Phosphorylation of Art1 by the Npr1 kinase causes its translocation from the plasma membrane to the Golgi apparatus (Macgurn, Hsu, Smolka, & Emr, 2011). Npr1-dependent phosphorylation of Bul1/Bul2 leads to binding of Bul1/Bul2 to the inhibitory 14-3-3 proteins (Merhi & Andre, 2012; O’Donnell, 2012). Gap1 is targeted by Bul1 and Bul2, while other stresses have also been found to induce its ubiquitylation and subsequent internalization (Crapeau, Merhi, & Andre, 2014). Thus, phosphorylation of both Art1 and Bul1/Bul2 prevents internalization of the plasma membrane permeases Can1 and Gap1.

The target of rapamycin complex 1 (TORC1) is highly conserved among eukaryotes and functions as a master regulator of cell growth and metabolism through its own as well as downstream protein kinases. TORC1 activity depends on nutrient availability, and amino acids are potent stimulators in vivo (Conrad et al., 2014; Dokudovskaya & Rout, 2015; González & Hall, 2017). When active, TORC1 promotes ubiquitin-mediated endocytosis by inhibiting Npr1, which in turn is a negative regulator of α-arrestins acting in endocytic cargo sorting. Npr1 phosphorylates Art1 and this prevents Art1 from associating with the plasma membrane. In this way, the target of rapamycin (TOR) pathway connects amino acid sensing with endocytosis (Macgurn et al., 2011).

The downstream plasma membrane targets of Art1 include nutrient permeases that accumulate in the membrane compartment of Can1 (MCC). The membrane composition of MCC differs from other membrane compartments by its higher content of ergosterol (Grossmann, Opekavá, Malinsky, Weig-Meckl, & Tanner, 2007). Eisosomes, first discovered in 1963 in early electron microscopy studies (Grossmann, Opekavá, Malinsky, Weig-Meckl, & Tanner, 2007), are cytosolic multiprotein complexes that form 50- to 300-nm deep furrow-like invaginations of the plasma membrane associated with the MCC region (Stradalova et al., 2009). Eisosomes act as a hub for various signaling pathways, and they may play a role in endocytosis, although their exact function is not well understood (Douglas & Konopka, 2014; Fröhlich et al., 2009; Walther et al., 2006).

Recently, we developed a yeast transformation protocol called SuccessAA (Yu et al., 2016). Using this method, we found that adding nutrients to the transformation and competence reagents substantially increased transformation efficiencies. We speculated that the mechanism underlying this effect was due to the activation of the TORC1 complex, which in turn promotes DNA uptake via ubiquitin-mediated endocytosis.

The aim of our study was to investigate the molecular mechanisms of yeast transformation and the events that lead to the increase in transformation efficiency by the addition of nutrients. We found that mutations of endocytic components resulted in changes in transformation efficiencies supporting the hypothesis that TORC1 and ubiquitin-mediated endocytosis are keys to yeast transformation. Moreover, the boosting effect was observed in several distinct strains, highlighting the potential for the general application of the SuccessAA protocol to budding yeast transformation.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | S. cerevisiae strains, plasmids, reagents, and equipment

This study includes an evaluation of transformation efficiencies, under different nutrient conditions, of four S. cerevisiae strains namely, MaV203 (from ProQuest™ Two-Hybrid system (PQ10001-01, Thermo Fisher Scientific), W303-1A, BY-4743, and THY.A4 (kindly provided by Björn Sabelleck, RWTH Aachen University). The MaV203 strain was used to generate seven mutant strains. These included △art1, △art3, △bul1, △npr1, △seg1, △toc89, and △ypk1. Four plasmids, namely, pDEST22 (PQ1000101, Thermo Fisher Scientific), pDEST2-TaRNR8-p12L (generated by Dr Sheng-Chun Yu), pRS426-Ldb19, and pRS426-Ldb1pSYPYless, the last two plasmids kindly provided by Allyson F. O’Donnell, Duquesne University, PA, USA, were used in this study. An AccuTherm™ Microtube Shaking Incubator (I-4002-HCS, Labnet International, Inc.) was used for the heat-shock process in yeast transformations. We used the following reagents in this study: yeast extract (Y1625-250G, Sigma-Aldrich), peptone (P5905-1KG, Sigma-Aldrich), adenine hemisulfate salt (A3159-100G, Sigma-Aldrich), D-(+)-glucose (G7021-1KG, Sigma-Aldrich), yeast nitrogen base without amino acids (Y0626-250G, Sigma-Aldrich), yeast synthetic dropout medium supplements (Y2001-20G, Sigma-Aldrich), L-histidine monohydrochloride monohydrate (53370-100G, Sigma-Aldrich), L-tryptophan (T8941-25G, Sigma-Aldrich), uracil (U1128-25G, Sigma-Aldrich), D-sorbitol (S3889-1KG, Sigma-Aldrich), poly(ethylene glycol) BioUltra, 1000, 1000 (PEG1000) (81188-250G, Sigma-Aldrich), LiAc (6108-17-4, Alfa Aesar), deoxyribonucleic acid sodium salt from salmon testes (ss-DNA) (D1626-4G, Sigma-Aldrich), ethylene glycol (324558-100ML, Sigma-Aldrich), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (D2650-5.5ML, Sigma-Aldrich), Water Molecular Biology Reagent (W4502, Sigma-Aldrich), UltraPure™ Agarose (16500500, Thermo Fisher Scientific), SYBR® Safe DNA Gel Stain (S33102, Thermo Fisher Scientific), GeneRuler 1 kb Plus DNA ladder (SM0311, Thermo Fisher Scientific), zymolyase from Easy Yeast Plasmid Isolation Kit (630467, Clontech), GoTaq® G2 DNA Polymerase and 5x Colorless GoTaq® Reaction Buffer (M7841, Promega), and dNTP mix (R0191, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.2 | S. cerevisiae transformation

S. cerevisiae transformations were performed using the SuccessAA protocol (Yu et al., 2016), an adaptation of the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method (Gietz, 2015) with the addition of amino acids in the transformation mix. The concentration of amino acids used was 1.25× the concentration of amino acids found in synthetic complete (SC) medium. Briefly, 0.25 μg endotoxin-free pDEST32-TaRNR8-p12L plasmid (13.8 kb) was added into 50 μl MaV203 competent cells, followed by adding 500 μl transformation mix solution, containing 36% (w/v), PEG 1000, 0.1 M LiAc, 0.2 mg/ml ss-DNA, 0.2 M Bicine-NaOH (pH = 8.35), and 1.25× amino acid mix solution. The plasmid DNA was mixed to the competent cells in the transformation
mix solution, and the yeast cells were then heat-shocked in the microtube shaking incubator at 37°C for 30 min. The transformation mixtures were shaken at the start, after 15 min, and after 30 min. At each time point, the samples were shaken at 1500 rpm for 5 s, paused and then again for 5 s. After the heat shock, 50 μl of the transformation mixtures containing wild-type MaV203 yeast cells or different mutated MaV203 yeast strains were plated on suitable synthetic “dropout” plates and cultured for 3 days at 30°C. The numbers of colony-forming units (CFU) were counted, and transformation efficiencies (E) were calculated with the following formula:

$$E = \frac{\text{CFU}}{\mu\text{gDNA} \times 10^8 \text{cells}}$$

2.3 | S. cerevisiae mutant strain generation and yeast colony PCR

The current study generated seven yeast mutants to investigate the potential molecular mechanisms underlying yeast transformation. Targeted gene deletion mutagenesis (gene “knockout”) mediated by homologous recombination reaction was used to mutate the following genes in MaV203: art1, art3, bul1, npr1, seg1, tco89, and ypk1. Mutagenesis primers were designed so that the gene of interest would be replaced by TRP1, which served as an auxotrophic selection marker carried by the pDEST22 plasmid. Primer sequences are shown in Supporting Information Table S1. All the sequences of the forward primers were 74 bases, whereas the first 50 bases were identical to the first 50 bases of the target gene, followed by the reverse and complementary 24-base sequence (6431–6454 bp on pDEST22) adjacent to the ARS/CEN locus in the pDEST22 plasmid. Similarly, the sequences of the reverse primers were 74 bases, whereas the first 50 bases were identical to the last 50 bases of the target gene, followed by the reverse and complementary 24-base sequence (5,143–5,166 bp) which is adjacent to the T1 origin in the pDEST22 plasmid. The plasmid pDEST22, carrying TRP1, was used as a PCR template. The PCR thermal cycled we used was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 45°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 2 min and then the final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were examined by gel electrophoresis. Once the PCR products exactly matched the predicted size, the PCR product was purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit. The gene-specific PCR products were used to transform S. cerevisiae MaV203 as described above. A 100 μl aliquot of the transformation mixture was plated on synthetic complete “drop out” tryptophan plates, followed by culturing the plates at 30°C for 3 days. Potentially mutated MaV203 yeast colonies were analyzed using a modified version of yeast colony PCR protocol published in Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Green, 2012). In brief, at least ten colonies on each plate were randomly selected and approximately 1/10th of each colony was carefully transferred to each sterile PCR tube, containing 5 μl zymolyase solution (from Easy Yeast Plasmid Isolation Kit). The PCR tubes with yeast-zymolyase mix were then incubated for 30 min at 37°C, followed by incubating for 10 min at 95°C to inactivate zymolyase. After zymolyase inactivation, the yeast-zymolyase mix was diluted by the addition of 95 μl molecular biology grade endotoxin-free water, and then, yeast colony PCR was performed. The yeast colony PCR program was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 53°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min and then the final extension at 72°C for 7 min. When the colony PCR finished, 5 μl PCR reactions were analyzed on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose/TBE gel for 45 min at 10 V/cm. Successful transformants were identified based on the predicted PCR product length, for that primers of the adjacent down- and upstream region of the target gene where designed. Reverse primers binding to TRP1 were used in a separate PCR reaction for an additional verification.

2.4 | Evaluation of transformation efficiencies

Once the mutants were confirmed by yeast colony PCR, the mutants were cultured on 1× SD “dropout tryptophan” plates for 3 days, followed by growth in the same 1× SD “dropout” medium overnight. Frozen yeast mutant competent cells were then prepared, transformed, and cultured on 1× SD “dropout leucine and tryptophan” plates using the SuccessAA protocol (Yu et al., 2016). Mutant transformation efficiencies were measured and compared to the efficiency of wild-type MaV203 yeast cells.

2.5 | Statistical analysis

The collected data were not always normally distributed so nonparametric tests were used, where appropriate. In the evaluation of the transformation efficiency of different budding yeast strains, there was no intergroup comparison, only two groups were compared at a time (same yeast strain, with the addition of amino acids or without). Thus, the Mann–Whitney test was used to assess statistical significance. For assessment of APIU’s effect on transformation efficiency, there were three groups to be compared; so, a Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s post hoc test, was used. In the rest of the experiments, there was an interaction between the two factors tested, the addition of amino acids and different mutant yeast strains. For this reason, two-way ANOVA was used to assess statistical significance, followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism 7.03.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | The addition of amino acids results in increased transformation efficiency in different S. cerevisiae strains

The applicability of the SuccessAA protocol to different budding yeast strains was examined by transforming four S. cerevisiae strains...
with a 13.8 kb plasmid (Figure 1a), namely, THY.AP4, BY4743, W303-1A, and MaV203. In all cases, the transformation efficiency after the addition of nutrients was substantially higher than without nutrient addition. The observed increases in efficiency were 15-fold \( (p = 0.0079) \); sixfold \( (p = 0.0079) \), and 37-fold \( (p = 0.0079) \) for THY.AP4, BY4743, and W303-1A, respectively. We deduce that the genetic requirements for this effect are likely to be conserved in *S. cerevisiae*. Therefore, adding nutrients during yeast transformation may provide a generally applicable method to boost transformation efficiency for budding yeast.

To determine which of the nutrients added in the SuccessAA protocol are necessary for the boosting of competence, we tested the effect of a mixture of all amino acids (AA) or adenine, p-aminobenzoic acid, inositol, and uracil (APIU). The addition of only APIU did not cause any significant change in the transformation efficiency (Figure 1b), while amino acids caused the same increases seen in previous experiments where a complex nutrient supplement was employed.

### 3.2 | TORC1-regulated endocytosis is required for enhanced transformation efficiency

Previous studies demonstrated that amino acids in the growth medium activate the TORC1 complex which in turn regulates ubiquitin-mediated endocytosis of nutrient permeases via Npr1 and Art1 (Macgurn et al., 2011). Here, we tested the hypothesis that altering the rate of endocytosis by the addition of amino acids leads to increased DNA uptake. To achieve this, we created mutant strains lacking components of the TORC1-dependent endocytic pathway (Figure 2) and analyzed the effect this had on transformation efficiency (Figure 3). We found that transformation was no longer influenced by the addition of amino acids to the medium and transformation mix when either tco89 (the core subunit of TORC1, \( p = 0.9619 \)) or art1 (\( p = 0.9983 \)) had been deleted. Conversely, the efficiency increases when npr1, a negative regulator of TORC1-mediated endocytosis, was missing. Note, this effect was visible even in the absence of any additions to the medium (Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, \( p = 0.0021 \) (wild-type yeast without amino acid addition vs. \( \Delta npr1 \) without amino acid addition), but it was further enhanced when amino acids were supplied (\( p < 0.0001 \) (wild-type yeast with amino acid addition vs. \( \Delta npr1 \) with amino acid addition)).

It has previously been reported that when TORC1 is inactivated, Npr1 stabilizes the yeast plasma membrane general amino acid permease Gap1, by phosphorylating \( \alpha \)-arrestin-like adaptors (Bu1/2); this leads to binding of 14-3-3 proteins and cellular relocalization, which antagonizes ubiquitin-mediated endocytosis (Merhi & Andre, 2012). Phosphorylation of \( \alpha \)-arrestins or arrestin-like adaptors (Art1, Art2 (syn. Ecm21), Art3, Art5, Art6 (syn. Aly1), Bu1, and Bu2) increases rapamycin-treated yeast cells (Iesmantavicius, Weinert, & Choudhary, 2014). To date, in comparison with Art1 regulating plasma membrane permeases in response to amino acids, there is no identified cargo that is internalized in an Art2-dependent manner (Nikko & Pelham, 2009);
so far, Art5 has only been found to target a permease for inositol, which is not involved in the transformation enhancing effect we observed. Furthermore, the activity and the phosphorylation of Art3, but not Art6, are directly regulated by Npr1 (Merhi & Andre, 2012; O’Donnell, Apffel, Gardner, & Cyert, 2010).

Here, we investigated the roles of Art1, Art3, Bul1, and the ubiquitin ligase Rsp5 in facilitating the increase in transformation by targeted gene deletion and a complementation. The transformation efficiencies of \( \Delta \text{art1} \), \( \Delta \text{bul1} \), and \( \Delta \text{art3} \) cells were compared to that of wild-type \( \text{S. cerevisiae} \) (Figure 3c). The median transformation efficiencies of \( \Delta \text{art1} \), \( \Delta \text{art3} \), and \( \Delta \text{bul1} \) without amino acid addition were not significantly higher than those of wild-type yeast without amino acid addition (Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, \( p = 0.5640 \) (wild-type vs. \( \Delta \text{art1} \)), \( p = 0.9195 \) (wild-type vs. \( \Delta \text{art3} \)), \( p = 0.9908 \) (wild-type vs. \( \Delta \text{bul1} \))). When amino acids were added to the \( \Delta \text{art3} \) and \( \Delta \text{bul1} \) strains, transformation efficiencies were substantially higher for both \( \Delta \text{art3} \) and \( \Delta \text{bul1} \) (up to about 20-fold; Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, \( p < 0.0001 \)). In contrast, as seen before, there was no boosting effect in the \( \Delta \text{art1} \) mutant (Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, \( p = 0.9367 \)). We deduce from this that TORC1-Npr1-Art1 signaling is specifically required for the boost in transformation efficiency induced by the addition of amino acids to the media.

To test this hypothesis further, and to elucidate the role of the Rsp5, we carried out complementation of these mutants by 1) by the wild-type \( \text{art1} \) gene (pRS426-Ldb19) and a mutant \( \text{art1} \) gene from which the Rsp5-binding domain is deleted (pRS426-Ldb19PPxY-less) (Figures 2d and 3e). Art1-PPxY-less mutant is known to have a defect in cargo ubiquitination (Alvaro et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2008). Here, we found that the phenotype of \( \Delta \text{art1} \) was effectively rescued by the wild-type gene: The addition of amino acids significantly increased (over 24-fold; Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, \( p < 0.0001 \)). Conversely, there was no significant difference when \( \Delta \text{art1} \) was complemented by the gene lacking the Rsp5-binding domain (Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, \( p = 0.6103 \)). In summary, we found that the enhancement of transformation in response to addition of amino acids is mediated by TORC1-Npr1-Art1/Rsp5 (colored) rather than other TORC1-Npr1-arrestins/Rsp5 routes (gray) (Figure 3d). Moreover, an intact Rsp5-binding domain of Art1 is essential for this, which indicates that Rsp5-mediated ubiquitination of plasma membrane cargo followed by endocytosis is necessary for enhanced yeast transformation.

### 3.3 Seg1 is required for high-efficiency yeast transformation

The integrity of eisosomes is known to affect the efficacy of endocytosis (Murphy et al., 2011). Here, we investigated the effect of MCC/eisosome formation on yeast transformation by deletion of \( \text{seg1} \) (known to impair the formation of eisosomes (Moreira et al., 2012) and by deletion of \( \text{ypk1} \) (a kinase involved in eisosome formation (Luo, Gruhler, Liu, Jensen, & Dickson, 2008)) (Figure 4). We found that removing \( \text{seg1} \) or \( \text{ypk1} \) resulted in no amino acid-induced increase in transformation efficiency (\( \Delta \text{seg1} \) without amino acid addition vs. \( \Delta \text{seg1} \) with amino acid addition; \( p = 0.9873 \); \( \Delta \text{ypk1} \) without amino acid addition vs. \( \Delta \text{ypk1} \) with amino acid addition, \( p = 0.9976 \)). It is noteworthy that although the boosting effect on both \( \Delta \text{seg1} \) or \( \Delta \text{ypk1} \) disappeared, there were evident differences in the basal transformation efficiencies in the absence of added amino acids to the media (wild-type vs. \( \Delta \text{seg1} \): \( p = 0.0249 \); wild-type vs. \( \Delta \text{ypk1} \): \( p = 0.0118 \)).
**4 | DISCUSSION**

Yeast transformation has been described for 40 years (Hinnen, Hicks, & Fink, 1978) and is a cornerstone of many fundamental methods in genetics, cell biology, as well as practical biotechnological applications. It is therefore surprising there are only few mechanistic explanations of the processes underpinning this key technique although several have been proposed (Beggs, 1978). One model suggests that foreign DNA is engulfed via endocytic membrane invagination; this is supported by the observation that several low transformability phenotypes are caused by mutation of genes involved in endocytosis (Kawai et al., 2004). Here, we tested the extent to which targeted deletions of single endocytic genes affect competence, and we observed how the changes to nutrients in the growth and the transformation media affected transformation efficiency. We found that adding amino acids boosted competence in all four strains of *S. cerevisiae* tested, demonstrating that this phenomenon is likely to be generally applicable in budding yeast. We propose a model to summarize the processes described here (Figure 5).
FIGURE 3  The TORC1 signaling pathway is involved in yeast transformation. (a) Three genes involved in TORC1 signaling were deleted from *S. cerevisiae* MaV203: The three strains generated were Δtco89, Δart1, and Δnpr1. Wild-type yeast (MaV203) and the mutant strains were transformed either with or without amino acid addition. The corresponding efficiencies were assessed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The boosting effect was absent when either tco89 or art1 was deleted while transformation was enhanced when npr1 was deleted. Results are from eight independent biological replicates. (b) The cartoon shows the pathways from TORC1 to Npr1’s downstream targets, Art1, Art3, and Bul1. When TORC1 is active, the Npr1 kinase is inhibited, which allows Art1/Rsp5 binding to amino acid permease Can1, followed by Can1 invagination. When npr1 is inhibited, it also allows Art3/Rsp5 and Bul1/Rsp5 acting in ubiquitin-dependent cargo selection of the general amino acid permease Gap1. (c) The requirement of different arrestins for transformability was tested. Three MaV203 mutants were generated, namely, Δart1, Δart3, and Δbul1, and these mutants were transformed either with or without amino acid addition, followed by 3-day culturing on 1× SD dropout leucine and tryptophan. The corresponding transformation efficiencies were assessed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Boosting was abolished only when Art1 was deleted suggesting that the effect is mediated by TORC1-Npr1-Art1 signaling route. Results are from eleven independent biological replicates. (d) The boosting effect is mediated by TORC1-Npr1-Art1/Rsp5 signaling (in color), while both TORC1-Npr1-Art3/Rsp5 signaling and TORC1-Npr1-Bul1/Rsp5 signaling are not involved in boosting (in gray color). (e) The Art1-PPxY motif is required for effective plasmid DNA uptake. MaV203, Δart1, Δart1 carrying pRS426-Ldb19PPxY-less (the PPxY motif required for Rsp5 binding was deleted from Art1) were transformed either with or without amino acid addition. After the transformation, the cells were cultured on 1× SD selection plates for 3 days, followed by assessing the efficiencies by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The boosting effect was absent when either tco89 or art1 was deleted suggesting that the effect is mediated by TORC1-Npr1-Art1 signaling (Ghaddar et al., 2014; Opekarova, Caspari, Pinson, Brethes, & Tanner, 1998). Our results imply that, in contrast to TORC1-regulated internalization, the influx-stimulated internalization of plasma membrane permeases does not contribute to efficient DNA uptake or subsequent delivery to the nucleus.

In contrast, the basal competence of Δnpr1 cells was higher than wild type. This was attributed to abolition of the of α-arrestins’ inhibition of these endocytic processes (Macgurn et al., 2011). The boost of transformation observed in Δnpr1 cells treated with amino acids reveals there are other regulators of arrestins under the control of TORC1, in addition to Npr1.

4.1   TORC1 and other pathways impinge on transformation efficiency

The tco89 gene encodes a subunit of TORC1. We observed that in Δtco89 cells there was never any boosting effect in response to nutrient stimuli. However, deletion of tco89 did not lead to changes in basal competence, but only affected the boost induced by amino acids in the media. Therefore, while TORC1 is necessary for the regulation of the amino acid-induced effect, there are also other pathways underpinning DNA uptake. Plasma membrane permeases such as Can1 are under the control of TORC1, but are also internalized in response to their substrate, independently of TORC1 signaling (Ghaddar et al., 2014; Opekarova, Caspari, Pinson, Brethes, & Tanner, 1998). Our results imply that, in contrast to TORC1-regulated internalization, the influx-stimulated internalization of plasma membrane permeases does not contribute to efficient DNA uptake or subsequent delivery to the nucleus.

In contrast, the basal competence of Δnpr1 cells was higher than wild type. This was attributed to abolition of the of α-arrestins’ inhibition of these endocytic processes (Macgurn et al., 2011). The boost of transformation observed in Δnpr1 cells treated with amino acids reveals there are other regulators of arrestins under the control of TORC1, in addition to Npr1.

4.2   Efficient DNA delivery requires functional Art1

We discovered that a functional Art1 was indispensable for high transformability in response to amino acids. In experiments where we complemented the art1 deletion, we also observed that the Rsp5-binding domain of Art1 was required for the boosting effect. Because of this, we propose that ubiquitin-mediated cargo sorting is involved in efficient DNA uptake in vivo.

While Art1 was needed for high transformability in response to amino acids, deletion of other arrestins that act in a similar manner (Bul1, Art3) had no effect. This is important as both Bul1 and Art3 are under the control of Npr1 and are involved in endocytosis (Merhi & Andre, 2012; O’Donnell, 2012; O’Donnell et al., 2010). Thus, the TORC1-Npr1-Art1 pathway is specifically responsible for the effect on competence investigated here. This might be explained by the observation that the three arrestins we tested have different targets localized to different domains of the plasma membrane. For example, Art1 is involved in Can1 endocytosis, whereas Bul1 and Art3 localized to other domains of the plasma membrane.
function in Gap1 downregulation and recycling (Helliwell, Losko, & Kaiser, 2001; Lin, Macgurn, Chu, Stefan, & Emr, 2008; O’Donnell et al., 2010). While Gap1 is uniformly distributed among the plasma membrane (Lauwers, Grossmann, & Andre, 2007), there are specific membrane compartments containing Can1 (MCC) (Nikko & Pelham, 2009). Therefore, these results hinted that the MCC may play a role in facilitating competence.

4.3 Involvement of eisosomes in yeast transformation

Eisosomes are cytosolic multiprotein complexes that form 50- to 300-nm-deep invaginations of the plasma membrane associated with the MCC domain (Stradalova et al., 2009). The membrane composition of the MCC differs from other yeast membrane compartments because it contains more ergosterol (Grossmann et al., 2007). Eisosomes act as a hub for various signaling pathways. While a direct function of eisosomes in endocytosis has been questioned (Brach, Specht, & Kaksonen, 2011), there is still a possibility that it may affect endocytosis indirectly. For example, endocytosis appears to depend on functional eisosome components such as Pil1 (Murphy et al., 2011), Sur7 (Sivodon, Peypouquet, Doignon, Aigle, & Crouzet, 1997), and Phk1/Pkh2 (deHart, Schnell, Allen, & Hicke, 2002; Luo et al., 2008; Walther et al., 2007).

Our finding that mutant strains lacking seg1 do not show high transformability in response to an amino acid stimulus (Figure 2e) supports the notion that eisosomes are involved in endocytosis, albeit indirectly. Importantly, although the basal competence of Δseg1 cells is similar to wild type, the role of eisosomes in transformation is unlikely to be restricted to the boosting effect, because a subset of eisosomes still forms in Δseg1 cells (Moreira et al., 2012).

One of the functions of YPK1 kinase is to control eisosome formation (Luo et al., 2008). Deletion of YPK1 led to the lowest transformation efficiencies out of all mutant strains we tested in this study. However, further work is needed to clarify the exact role of Ypk1 in DNA uptake because Ypk1 also regulates at least one α-arrestin (Alvaro, Aindow, & Thorner, 2016), it impinges on actin dynamics (Niles & Powers, 2014), and it is involved in the heat stress response (Sun et al., 2012). The hypotheses that eisosomes mark sites of endocytosis and that transformation is facilitated by endocytosis have one point in common: In both cases, these types of endocytosis differ from well-studied endocytic pathways, such as clathrin-mediated endocytosis that originates at actin patches (Kawai et al., 2004; Ziółkowska, Christiano, & Walther, 2012).

Alternative endocytic pathways in yeast are not as well studied as in mammalian cells. New insights emerged in recent years, for example, the α-arrestins Art1 and Bul1 can lead to endocytic downregulation of transmembrane transporters in a clathrin- and ubiquitin-independent manner, relying on Rho1 (Prosser, Drivas, Maldonado-Baez, & Wendland, 2011; Prosser et al., 2015). Indeed, as stated above, we found that the Rsp5-binding domain in Art1 was required to observe high transformation efficiency. This implies that ubiquitination of cargo proteins does at least partially contribute to subsequent DNA uptake. Whether endocytic DNA uptake relies on clathrin-coated vesicles and ubiquitination as a cargo signal per se, remains to be seen. Nevertheless, we propose that an eisosome-mediated pathway is the main route for efficient DNA delivery into the yeast cell.
5 | OUTLOOK

A complete mechanistic description of the genetic requirements and endocytotic mechanism for nucleic acid uptake is immensely important not just for understanding yeast transformation but also for further progress in various fields like gene therapy in humans, understanding RNA trafficking and improving RNA interference technologies.

It will be exciting to see whether the concept of achieving high transformation efficiencies in yeast by stimulation of TORC1 can be applied to the mammalian mTORC system as well. By highlighting the importance of the metabolic state of the cell, this study opens up new practical possibilities for the improvement of transformation efficiencies, by fine-tuning the nutrient composition in the transformation reagent.
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