The suppressive role of nanoencapsulated chia oil against DMBA-induced breast cancer through oxidative stress repression and tumor genes expression modulation in rats
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Abstract
Background Chia oil is high in omega-3 fatty acids, which have been linked to a lower risk of many diseases, including cancer. Oil encapsulation is a method that holds promise for maintaining oil content while enhancing solubility and stability. The purpose of this study is to prepare nanoencapsulated Chia oil and investigate its suppressive effects on rat chemically induced breast cancer.

Methods The oil was extracted from commercial Chia seeds and their fatty acids were analyzed using Gas Chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Sodium alginate was used as a loading agent to create the Chia oil nanocapsules. The DPPH assay was used to assess the oil nanocapsules’ capacity to scavenge free radicals. Breast cancer induction was done by single dose subcutaneously administration of 80 mg/kg dimethylbenz (a) anthracene (DMBA). Models of breast cancer were given Chia oil nanocapsules orally for one month at doses of 100 and 200 mg/kg. Through measuring intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) and protein carbonyl, assessing the gene expression of tumor suppressor genes (BRCA 1 & 2, TP53), and conducting histopathological analysis, the suppressive effect of Chia oil nanocapsules was examined.

Results The increase in ROS and PC levels brought on by DMBA was significantly decreased by the administration of Chia oil nanocapsules. In tumor tissue from rats given Chia oil nanocapsules, the mRNA expression levels of BRCA1, BRCA2, and TP53 were controlled. Histopathological analysis clarified that the tissue architecture of breast tumors was improved by nanocapsules management.

Conclusions These findings demonstrate the ability of Chia oil nanocapsules to inhibit cancer cells in the rat breast.
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Breast cancer is a leading cause of death among women and is one of the mortality and ill health causes [1]. It is the most common cancer among women in both developed and developing nations is breast cancer. The highest incidence rates are found in high-income countries, whereas the highest mortality rates are found in low-income states. Factually, it is estimated that 1.7 million new cases of breast cancer will be diagnosed in the developing world over the next few years, and the huge disparity in mortality rates will persist, with the developing world accounting for 70% of breast cancer deaths [2]. The researchers were driven to look for new and potent medications with fewer side effects because of the rising death rate as well as the unfavorable effects of anticancer medications [3].

Animal models of chemically induced carcinogenesis are trustworthy and frequently employed to assess the therapeutic/diagnostic potential of drugs in cancer research. Chemical carcinogens called polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), like 7,12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene (DMBA), are frequently used to induce mammary carcinogenesis in rats [4]. Carcinogenesis comprises disruption and interruption of tissue redox balance, producing oxidative stress that caused cellular damage through lipid peroxidation and finally results in cellular and subcellular changes [5].

Fats and oils are the most important energy sources as well as carriers of various nutrients and fat-soluble vitamins. Lipids are one of the main structural materials of all cells and organs in the body and serve a variety of biological functions. Essential fatty acids, vitamins A, D, E, and K, and other nutrients found in fats are crucial for human health [6]. Essential oils are well known for their ability to target cancerous cells and can increase the effectiveness of chemotherapy medications. Its mechanism is based on the stimulation of a mitotic arrest through the targeting tubulin, which leads to the activation of the mitotic checkpoint and apoptosis [7]. People are now more aware than ever before of the connection between food and health [8]. It is well known that polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) have health-improving qualities [9]. Since the human body is unable to synthesize omega-3 and omega-6 essential fatty acids (EFAs), they must be consumed through diet [8]. Chia seed is a potential source of omega-3 PUFAs [10]. Salvia hispanica L., also known as chia seeds, is a member of the Lamiaceae family and is a high-quality source of plant oils [11]. Chia oil contains a high amount of omega-3 fatty acids, in particular a-linolenic acid, and 20% omega-6 fatty acids, especially linoleic acid [12]. Chia’s high content of omega-3 is allied with the risk of reduction of coronary heart diseases, being antithrombotic, anti-inflammatory, hypertension, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, autoimmune diseases, and cancer [13].

Edible oils’ original characteristics are altered by nanotechnology, improving their quality, safety, and bioavailability [14]. For encapsulating, preserving, and creating nanocarriers for biologically active compounds, oil-based nanoemulsions are particularly intriguing. The surfactant’s high bioavailability and gravitationally stability are what give nanoemulsion-based delivery systems their properties [15]. Aside from materials unrelated to food, alginate is the best encapsulating substance because of its compatibility and safety. Alginates are frequently used for emulsification in the forms of calcium or sodium alginate [16]. Chia oil encapsulation is a potentially effective way to keep the oil content while enhancing its solubility and stability [17].

Research is increasingly showing the serious roles of oxidative stress and inflammation in the development of cancer. Inflammatory responses have been shown by Greten and Grivennikov to be important mechanisms of tumorigenesis and cancer promotion [18]. In the pathophysiological mechanisms of cancer, disruption in the expression of tumor suppressor and apoptotic genes is a critical factor [19]. In addition, several inflammatory, oxidative stress dysregulated pathways are involved in the initiation and development of cancer [20].

Accordingly, our goal in this study was to investigate the feasibility of using Chia oil in the formulation of nanocapsules using sodium alginate as a loading material. Also, look into the suppressor role of Chia oil nanocapsules against chemically induced breast cancer through oxidative stress and gene expression.

## Materials and methods

### Chemicals

Sodium alginate [Manutex FAV, ISP Alginates, (UK)], calcium chloride (Merck, Germany), and Tween 20, (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). The 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)
anthracene (DMBA), was purchased from Sigma chemical company (St. Louis, MO, USA). The seeds of Chia were purchased from Harraz - Agricultural Seeds, Spices & Medical Plants Co. Egypt and were characterized in the Egyptian agricultural museum.

**Preparation of chia oil**

Oil was extracted from chia seeds powdered (500) g on cold by soaking with petroleum ether 40–60. The extraction continued until exhaustion and the petroleum ether extract was evaporated under a vacuum at 35 °C using a rotary evaporator until complete solvent removal. The dried solvent-free extract was used to prepare saponifiable fractions which were studied by GC/MS analysis.

**Saponification of petroleum ether extract**

According to Tsuda et al. [21], 0.5 gm of the petroleum ether extract residue was refluxed for 6 h with 0.5 N alcoholic KOH (100 ml) in a boiling water bath. The saponified extract was concentrated to about a third of its original volume. The cooled reaction mixture was diluted with equal volumes of distilled water and extracted thoroughly with ether (negative test of sterols). The combined ethereal extract was washed with water several times until it was alkalinity-free before being dehydrated over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The residue was kept for GC/MS analysis.

**Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAME)**

The residue of fatty acids obtained was dissolved in 50 ml absolute methanol, mixed with 0.25 ml sulphuric acid, refluxed for about 3 h, cooled, diluted with about 100 ml distilled water, and transferred to a separating funnel as the methodology of Finar [22]. The resulting fatty acid methyl esters were extracted several times with ether. The combined ethereal extract was washed several times with water until acidity was removed, then filtered through anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated to dryness.

**Preparation and investigation of nanocapsules using high-energy ultrasonic**

In this study, emulsified nanocapsules were prepared using sodium alginate solution as an aqueous phase, Chia oil as the oil phase, according to Youssef and Abdelmegeed [23]. The alginate solution was procured by dissolving sodium alginate in deionized water. Then, 30 g of Chia oil containing emulsifier was added drop wisely. A mechanical stirrer (Greave Mixer, England) was used to vigorously stir this mixture at room temperature until it was emulsified and appeared creamy. The emulsion thus formed was sonicated for 30 min using an ultrasonic cleaner set, model WUC-DO3H 290 W, and 60 Hz, and then sonicated for 3 min using a high energy ultra-sonication probe (model VCX750, 750 W, 20 kHz). Then, calcium chloride solution (cross-linking agent) was added briefly to the mixture and stirred, then sonicated, as mentioned previously. Finally, the phase separation of oil/water Nano-emulsion occurred.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

The nanocapsule’s size and morphology were characterized by TEM. For this purpose, nanocapsules suspension was diluted with distilled water and deposited onto a carbon-coated copper grid, then examined by magnification ×20,000 and photographed.

**Nanocapsules scavenging activity**

The free radical scavenging ability of oil nanocapsules was tested by DPPH radical scavenging assay, as described by Ibrahim et al. [24]. The ability of plant extractives to donate hydrogen atoms was determined by decolorizing a methanol solution of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH). In methanol solution, DPPH produces a violet/purple color, which fades to shades of yellow in the presence of antioxidants. A 0.1 mM DPPH in methanol solution was made, and 2.4 ml of it was mixed with 1.6 ml of extract in methanol at various concentrations (12.5–150 g/ml). The reaction mixture was vortexed thoroughly and kept at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. At 517 nm, the mixture’s absorbance was measured spectrophotometrically and BHT was used as a benchmark. The following equation was used to calculate the percentage of DPPH radical scavenging activity:

\[
\% \text{DPPH percentage} = \left(\frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0}\right) \times 100
\]

A0 = the control absorbance, and A1 is the absorbance of the extracts/standard. The percentage of inhibition was plotted compared to concentration, and from the graph, the IC50 was calculated.
Acute toxicity study

The acute oral toxicity of Chia oil nanocapsules in Sprague–Dawley rats was estimated to evaluate any possible toxicity. Animals were tested by administering different doses by increasing or decreasing the dose, according to the response of animals, while the control group received normal saline according to OECD [25]. All groups were monitored for 48 h and daily for 14 days, or until there were early signs of toxicity and/or mortality. The LD50 was calculated to select the doses used in the biological experiment. The LD50 of chia oil nanocapsules was 2000 mg/kg therefore the selected doses were 1/20 and 1/10 of LD50 that equal 100 and 200 mg/kg bw.

Anticancer biological activity evaluations

Animals

Adult female Sprague–Dawley of 160 ± 20 g (6–8 weeks) was obtained from the animal laboratory of our institution and was reserved for acclimatization for about 2 weeks. Animals were fed with a pellet diet and water ad libitum throughout the investigational time. Standard laboratory conditions were maintained under-regulated atmosphere (12:12 h light/dark cycles with an ambient temperature of 22 ± 3 °C and humidity at 50 ± 10. All animal experiments were carried out strictly following International Ethical guidelines and the National Institutes of Health Guide concerning the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The experiments were approved by the medical research ethics committee of the National Research Centre (Registration number 19164).

Experimental design

Sixty-nine female Sprague–Dawley rats 6–8 weeks old, weighing 150 g, were used in this study. Firstly, 24 control rats were divided into four groups each containing 6 rats as follows: Group 1: Rats were orally gavaged with saline and used as negative control; Group 2: Rats were treated via gavages with corn oil as a vehicle Group 3: Rats were orally treated with Chia oil nanocapsules 100 mg/kg; Group 4: Rats were orally gavaged with 200 mg/kg Chia oil nanocapsules. Secondly, 45 female Sprague–Dawley rats were injected subcutaneously in the mammary region with a single dose of 80 mg/kg DMBA (Sigma-Aldrich; St. Louis, MO, USA) dissolve in 0.5 ml corn oil. After 4 months rat breast cancer models were divided into four groups: DMBA group animals remained without treatment; reference drug group in which animals were administrated with 5-fluorouracil 20 mg/kg/day; Chia oil nanocapsules 100 mg/kg group in which animals were orally gavaged with Chia oil nanocapsules 100 mg/kg/day; and Chia oil nanocapsules 200 mg/kg group wherein animals were orally gavaged with Chia oil nanocapsules 200 mg/kg/day. DMBA group contains 15 animals, while the others include 10 animals. The Nanoemulsions and reference drugs were administrated for 1 month.

Tissue sampling

After completion of the experiment, blood samples were obtained from the inferior vena cava and collected in heparinized glass tubes, and were then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min. Plasma was separated and stored in aliquots at – 80 °C until analyzed. Then animals were sacrificed and mammary tumors and normal mammary glands of all test groups were quickly dissected and prepared for the different techniques.

Estimation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) and protein damage

Reactive oxygen species levels were measured using reactive oxygen species Elisa kit for rat (Cat. No. SL1189Ra, Sunlong Biotech Co., Ltd). Protein carbonyl (PC) content was measured using the rat PC Elisa kit (SL 1055Ra, Sunlong Biotech Co., Ltd). Briefly, five wells were filled with serially diluted standards, so that the total volume in each well was 50 µl and the concentrations after dilution are 1500, 1000, 500, 225 and 125 pg/ml for ROS and 36, 24, 12, 6 and 3 ng/ml for PC. A well was kept as a control blank. Sample wells were filled with 40 ul sample dilution buffer followed by the addition of 10 µl sample (dilution factor 5). Wells were mixed with gentle shaking with consequent incubation for 30 min at 37 °C after being sealed with the closure plate membrane. The wells were washed using diluted washing buffer for five times. After discarding the washing buffer, 50 µl HRP-Conjugate reagent was added to each well except the control one. Once again incubation for 30 min at 37 °C followed by washing five times as mentioned previously. Chromogen solution A (50 µl) was added followed by Chromogen solution B (as a coloring step) to each well, wells were mixed with gentle shaking with consequent incubation for 15 min. at 37 °C. The reaction was terminated using 50 µl from stop solution and the wells color was changed from yellow to blue. Absorbance optical density was measured at 450 nm using the Microtiter Plate Reader. Known concentrations of standard and its corresponding reading OD is plotted on the log scale (x-axis) and the log scale (y-axis) respectively. The concentration in sample is determined by plotting the sample’s O.D. on the Y-axis. The original concentration is calculated by multiplying the dilution factor.
Real-time quantitative PCR for gene expression

Total RNA was extracted from the tumor tissue using an Easy red total RNA extraction kit (Intronbio, Korea), according to manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration and purity of RNA was analyzed using NanoDrop™ 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). RNA (1 μg) was treated with RNase-free DNase kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) to remove any genomic DNA contamination and then cDNA was synthesized using RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Three tumor suppressor genes; breast cancer gene 1 (BRCA1), breast cancer gene 2 (BRCA 2), and tumor suppressor gene (TP53) were tested in the study, and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene (GAPDH) was used as an internal control. Specific primers for studied genes BRCA1, F: TGA AGA CTG CTC GCA GAG TGA TA, R: AGC TTC CAG GTG AGC CAT TTC; BRCA2, F: TTTAGGGACCCCAAGACCTGT, R: CCCGGAGAGA CAAAGGTGCA; TP53, F: GCA GAG TTG TTA GAA GGC, R: TTG AGA AGG GAC GGA AGA; GAPDH, F: AAC TTT GGC ATT GTG GAA GG, R: ACA CAT TGG GGG TAG GAA CA were purchased from willowfort.co.uk. RT-qPCR was performed in Rotor-gene Q Real-time PCR cycler (Qiagen) in a 20 μl mixture containing 10 μl, Master Mix (2x) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), 1 μl of cDNA, 0.5 μl each of forward and reverse primers (10 pmol/μl), and nuclease-free water up to 20 μl. Gene expression data were normalized to GAPDH and analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [26].

Histopathological investigation

Samples of inguinal mammary glands were taken from all test groups and fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin for 72 h, dehydrated through graded alcohols, cleared using xylene and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections of 5 μm thickness were prepared using the microtome, stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Hx&E) for microscopic examination [27].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were done using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS software version 16). The data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s test. The data expressed as mean ± SE and the probability (P) level less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant at P ≤ 0.05.

Results

Fatty acids profile

The chromatogram of GC/MS analysis of the FAME of the Chia oil before encapsulation is represented in (Fig. 1). The results of GC/MS analysis of FAME of Chia oil were illustrated in Table 1, the Chia oil resulted in the identification of 17 compounds constituting 97.15% of the total peak area with Methyl hydroxypalmitate (39.16%), 6,9-Octadecadienoic acid methyl (linoleic acid; 27.40%) and 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid methyl ester (α-Linolenic acid; 11.96%) as major constituents. The unsaturated fatty acids constitute 41.55% of the total peak area.

Chia oil nanocapsules TEM characterization

To study the morphological shapes and size of prepared nano-formulations, nanocapsules were examined by TEM. The Chia oil nanocapsules were almost polygonal with a smooth surface as seen in (Fig. 2). The mean particle sizes were ranged between 10 and 35 nm.
Chia oil nanocapsules DPPH radical scavenging activity

Figure 3 shows the free radical scavenging activity and the IC50 of the Chia Nanocapsules and standards Vit C and BHT. The results showed that the Chia induced a concentration-dependent increase in the DPPH value. The IC50 of Chia oil was 266.18, while that of Vit C and BHT were 373.76, and 206.33 µg/ml, respectively. The free radical scavenging activity of Chia oil nanocapsules, Vit C and BHT, was in the following order Vit C > Chia oil > BHT.

Effect of chia oil nanocapsules on oxidative stress markers in DMBA breast cancer rat model

Alterations in the number of analyzed biomarkers of oxidative stress in the blood serum of breast cancer model rats imply that the process of DMBA-carcinogenesis generates significant elevation (P ≤ 0.01) of oxidative stress. This is shown by an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) and protein carbonyl (PC) as seen in (Table 2). The administration of Chia oil nanocapsules at two doses diminished significantly (P ≤ 0.01) the elevation of ROS.

### Table 1 GC/MS analysis of fatty acids methyl esters of chia oil

| No | Rt  | Compounds                                                                 | M+ | Molecular formula       | Relative area % |
|----|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 1  | 8.54| 12-Methyl tridecanoate                                                   | 242| C_{15}H_{30}O_{2}      | 0.41            |
| 2  | 10.68| 9-Methyltetradecanoic acid methyl ester (Methyle myristate)               | 256| C_{16}H_{32}O_{2}      | 0.05            |
| 3  | 10.75| Pentadecanoic acid methyl ester                                          | 256| C_{16}H_{32}O_{2}      | 0.05            |
| 4  | 12.59| Hexadecadienoic acid methyl ester (Telfairic acid)                        | 266| C_{17}H_{30}O_{2}      | 0.36            |
| 5  | 12.71| Hexadecenoic acid methyl ester (Elaidic acid)                             | 268| C_{17}H_{32}O_{2}      | 0.34            |
| 6  | 12.97| 2-Hydroxy pentadecanoic acid methyl ester. (Methyl hydroxypalmitate)     | 272| C_{16}H_{32}O_{3}      | 39.16           |
| 7  | 13.29| Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester (Methyl palmitate)                        | 270| C_{17}H_{32}O_{2}      | 12.46           |
| 8  | 14.68| 14-Methyl hexadecanoic acid methyl ester                                 | 284| C_{18}H_{34}O_{2}      | 0.98            |
| 9  | 14.75| Heptadecanoic acid methyl ester Margaric acid                             | 284| C_{18}H_{36}O_{2}      | 0.81            |
| 10 | 16.80| 3,6-Octadecadienoic acid methyl ester                                    | 294| C_{19}H_{34}O_{2}      | 1.43            |
| 11 | 17.04| 6,9-Octadecadienoic acid methyl ester(6,9-Linoleic acid)                 | 294| C_{19}H_{34}O_{2}      | 27.40           |
| 12 | 17.41| 9,12,15-Octadecaatrienoic acid methyl ester(α-Linolenic acid, methyl ester) | 292| C_{19}H_{32}O_{2}      | 11.96           |
| 13 | 18.05| Octadecanoic acid methyl ester Methyl stearate                            | 298| C_{19}H_{36}O_{2}      | 1.59            |
| 14 | 20.99| 6,9,12-Octadecaatrienoic acid methyl ester γ-Linolenic acid methyl ester | 292| C_{19}H_{32}O_{2}      | 0.06            |
| 15 | 22.10| Eicosanoic acid methyl ester Arachidic acid                              | 326| C_{20}H_{40}O_{2}      | 0.04            |
| 16 | 23.37| Heneicosanoic acid methyl ester                                           | 340| C_{22}H_{40}O_{2}      | 0.04            |
| 17 | 25.90| Docosanoic acid methyl ester                                              | 354| C_{23}H_{46}O_{2}      | 0.04            |

Total identified compounds: 97.19

Fig. 2 Transmission electron microscope (TEM) image of chia oil

Fig. 3 A DPPH radical scavenging activity and B IC50 of encapsulated chia oil. Data are expressed as mean ± SE (n = 6) for all tested dosages.
and PC levels than in DMBA-treated animals. Chia nanocapsules are more effective in reducing ROS and PC levels than 5-Flu.

**Role of chia oil nanocapsules on tumor suppressor genes expression**

As seen in (Fig. 4), the mRNA expression levels of BRCA1 and BRCA2 were significantly up-regulated (13 and 12 fold, respectively) (P ≤ 0.05) in the DMBA group compared with the control group. In contrast, a significant decrease in the mRNA levels of BRCA1 and BRCA2 (P ≤ 0.05) was observed after chia nanocapsules (100, 200 mg/kg) treatment. A similar trend was observed in animals treated with 5-Flu. The mRNA expression levels of TP53 showed no obvious change between the DMBA treated group and the control group. Whereas, it revealed a significant upregulation (1.6 and 1.7 fold, respectively) (P ≤ 0.05) in tumor tissue treated with 5-flu and Chia oil nanocapsules (200 mg/kg) compared to the DMBA group. However, there was no significant difference in the breast tumor tissue treated with Chia nanocapsules (100 mg/kg).

**Histopathological investigation**

Microscopic examination of the control mammary gland appeared that the gland was composed of tubular branching ducts and glandular alveoli; both lined by one to two layers of epithelium and had a well-defined lumen. The epithelium rests on a basement membrane were lined by a layer of myoepithelial cells and surrounded by connective tissue and adipose tissue (Fig. 5a). The breast tissue of normal female rats treated with low and high doses of Chia nanocapsules (Fig. 5b) showed more or less similar to those of the control group. In DMBA-induced breast tumor tissue (Fig. 5c) a proliferation of ductal epithelial lining forms papillae and infiltrates the duct wall with desmoplasia of the breast stroma (A) as well as, hyperchromasia and pleomorphism of the proliferating cells (B) were observed. However, the mammary gland of the rat breast cancer model that was treated with 5-fluorouracil showed a significant reduction of proliferation, no papillae formation, and signs of desmoplasia still observed (Fig. 5d). The breast cancer model treated with Chia oil nanocapsule 100 mg/kg revealed signs of improvements represented in absence of papillae formation, infiltration of duct wall, and desmoplasia, while the proliferation

| Treatments                              | ROS          | PC           |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Control                                 | 56.42 ± 1.68e| 5.27 ± 0.15e |
| Corn oil                                | 57.52 ± 1.55e| 5.92 ± 0.20e |
| Chia oil nano 100 mg/kg                 | 54.71 ± 1.74c| 6.45 ± 0.41c |
| Chia oil nano 200 mg/kg                 | 52.42 ± 1.52e| 6.05 ± 0.31e |
| DMBA                                   | 650.42 ± 3.06c| 16.17 ± 0.28a|
| DMBA ± 5-Flu                            | 555.71 ± 4.37b| 12.95 ± 0.26b|
| DMBA ± Chia oil nanocapsules 100 mg/kg  | 540.57 ± 3.55c| 10.77 ± 0.11 cd|
| DMBA ± Chia oil nanocapsules 200 mg/kg  | 524.28 ± 7.85d| 10.14 ± 0.16d|

Data are expressed as mean ± SE (n=6, P ≤ 0.05) for all tested dosages. Groups with unlike superscript letters were significantly (P ≤ 0.01) different.
of ductal epithelial cells are still present (Fig. 5e). Although, the tissue of breast tumor rats treated with Chia nanocapsules at (200 mg/kg) showed more reduction in epithelial cells proliferation as well as desmoplasia (Fig. 5f).

Discussion

Oxidative stress was stated to associate with the progression of numerous metabolic and chronic syndromes or cancers [28]. High ROS levels cause protein damage that comprises
The proliferating cells B; d DMBA-induced breast cancer treated with Chia oil nanocapsules (100 mg/kg) showing signs of improvements represented in no papillae formation, no infiltration of ductal epithelial lining forming papillae and infiltrating the duct wall with desmoplasia of the breast stroma. The higher magnification of tabulated part shows hyperchromasia and pleomorphism of the proliferating cells; e DMBA-induced breast cancer treated with Chia oil nanocapsules (100 mg/kg) showing signs of improvements represented in no papillae formation, no infiltration of ductal epithelial cells is still present; f DMBA-breast cancer rat treated with Chia oil nanocapsules 200 mg/kg showing more improvement represented in reduction of proliferation of epithelial cells as well as desmoplasia (Hx&E).

ROS elevation is contributed to a variety of pathologic conditions, including tumor promotion and progression. The toxic appearance of DMBA-induced oxidative stress was confirmed by Krishnamoorthy and Sankaran [32] who reported that DMBA-induced ROS is associated with a wide range of macromolecular damages in lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids. Our findings show that protein carbonization is higher in breast tumor tissue than in healthy tissue. This was in line with Aryal and Rao [33], who confirmed an increase in protein carbonization in breast cancer tissue, which earlier was attributed to higher levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress in tumor tissue [34].

Researchers are working on ways to prevent and treat breast cancer all over the world. Synthetic medicines develop as a result of rapid technological advancements, but due to their severe side effects, phytomedicine’s potential has received a lot of attention in recent decades [35]. The current study investigates the role of Chia oil encapsulation in breast cancer suppression. The findings showed that Chia nanocapsules could reduce the risk of breast cancer in rats. Several studies have confirmed Chia’s anticancer properties. Mutar and Alsadooni [36] demonstrated that Chia seed (Salvia Hispanica) extract can be used to treat cancer due to its high protein content that making them a promising source of protein fractions with anticancer activity. Furthermore, Ahmed et al. [37] found that Chia seeds reduced the ROS levels significantly. This might be based on its high content of numerous antioxidants, including α-linolenic acid [12]. This complies with our results of Chia oil fatty acid analysis that proved its high content of α-linolenic acid. Armando and Compas [38] confirmed the antitumor properties of Chia seed oil attributed to the high content of alpha-linolenic acid (omega 3). Inline, alpha-linolenic acid has been discovered to have antitumor properties in a variety of cell lines, including breast, colon, and prostate cancer [39].

TP53 and BRCA are frequently implicated in the development of breast cancer [40]. The expression of TP53 was not changed in the DMBA tumor tissue. It is in line with the study of Rivlin et al. [41], in which there was no overexpression of TP53 in mammary tumor tissue generated by DMBA in mice. However, the treatment with 5-Flu and Chia oil nanocapsules, lead to its upregulation. TP53 activates the expression of pro-apoptotic genes, as well as inhibits the expression of anti-apoptotic genes, resulting in apoptosis induction [42]. The results suggest that the 5-Flu and Chia oil nanocapsules increase cancer cell apoptosis through a TP 53-dependent pathway. Analysis of the underlying molecular pathway reveals that Chia oil nanocapsules extract provokes apoptosis, which might be at least partially mediated through the upregulation of the tumor suppressor gene, TP53. Furthermore, an increase in the intensity of expression of this protein is associated with its enhanced concentration in the cell, which in turn is associated with greater damage occurring in the cell. According to Sznarkowska et al. [43], arrest of the cell cycle and the DNA damage repair require less active TP53 protein concentration, while apoptotic induction is necessary for it to have a greater level in the cell.

Our investigations revealed higher BRCA1 and BRCA 2-gene expression in DMBA-induced breast tissues. This could be due to the higher proliferation rate in malignant tissues which together with genetic instability may increase the need for more DNA damage repair. Similar results were observed by Wang et al. [44] who noticed upregulated mRNA levels of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in breast and ovarian cancer tissues. Chalabi et al. [48] clarified that over-expressed BRCA2 might play a role in the aggressiveness of breast tumors. BARCA1 & 2 expression in tumor tissue treated with Chia oil nanocapsules in two doses displayed significant downregulation. BRCA1 interacts with a variety of nuclear proteins, including BRCA2, and thus serves a variety of functions in the cell [45]. The amino terminal ring finger domain of BRCA1 is involved in estrogen receptor signaling repression, DNA repair modulation, and apoptosis. BRCA1’s carboxyl-terminal acidic domain acts as a transcriptional activator when linked to the DNA binding domain. Also, BRCA1 is involved in the control of cell cycle checkpoints and centromeres [46]. Satyananda et al. [47] speculated that BRCA2 high gene expression in
breast cancers is associated with highly proliferative, higher-grade tumors. This is conspicuous in the histopathological observation of DMBA-breast cancer tissue, where the proliferation of ductal epithelial lining forming papillae shows hyperchromasia and pleomorphism of the proliferating cells. These effects were partially confirmed by Abou Zaid et al. [48], who found variation in the architecture of the DMBA mammary gland tissues, ranging from hyperplasia to anaplasia in the lining epithelium of the acini. Also, Ibrahim et al. [49] research discovered the capability of DMBA to increase the proliferation of rat breasts. Contrariwise, the study clarified that Chia oil encapsulation improved the tissue architecture of breast tumor animals. This proves the Chia nanocapsules' ability to inhibit cancer cells in rat breasts. Numerous studies looked into the anti-proliferative properties of Chia seed oil in cancer cells [50]. Also, previous studies established the anticancer activity of Chia oil diets enriched with α-linoleic and their capability to thwart breast cancer by reducing the estrogen receptor a well-known promotor of breast cancer [51].

Conclusion

Nanotechnology in micronutrients and its association with disease treatment is one of the domains of modern research needs. Nanotechnology is one of the key domains that can be used to prevent and slow the progression of certain diseases on a large scale. Based on current research, we can conclude that Chia oil nanocapsules are a promising adjuvant therapy for breast cancer. Chia oil encapsulation has shown positive effects, the most important of which are reduction of oxidative stress, modulation of tumor suppressor gene expression, and improvement of tissue architecture in breast tumor animals. All of these promising findings could pave the way for Chia nanocapsules to be developed as a chemopreventive drug to reduce the risk of breast cancer.

Author contributions  AE formulated the principal research aims. DY prepared the nanocapsules. SM, SH and FM performed animal experiments; SH, DM, HA, FM, SM performed the experimental methods and analyzed results. AE, DM statistically analyzed the results and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding  Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB). This work was supported by the National Research Centre of Egypt (Nos. 12020229).

Data availability  The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included in this.

Declarations

Competing interest  The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate  The study was approved by the National Research Centre Ethics Committee of Medical research number (19164).

Consent for publication  Not applicable.

Open Access  This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. Ortega-Campos E, Vargas-Roman K, Velando-Soriano A et al (2020) Compassion fatigue compassion satisfaction, and burnout in chia oil nanocapsules logy nurses: a syst rev meta-analysis. Sustainability 12(1):72. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010072
2. Rivera-FraChia oil nanocapsules MM, Leon-Rodriguez E, (2018) Delays in breast cancer detection and treatment in developing countries. Breast cancer 12:1178223417752677. https://doi.org/10.1177/1178223417752677
3. Haghighi SR, Asadi MH, Akrami H, Baghizadeh A (2017) Anti-carcinogenic and anti-angiogenic properties of the extracts of Acorus calamus on gastric cancer cells. Avicenna J Phytomed. 7(2):145–156
4. Tata O, Ilhan N, Ilhan N, Susam S, Ozercan IH (2019) Is there any potential anticancer effect of raloxifene and fuoxetine on DMBA induced rat breast cancer. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 33(9):e22371
5. Akhouri V, Kumari M, Kumar A (2020) Therapeutic effect of Aegle marmelos fruit extract against DMBA induced breast cancer in rats. Sci Rep 10:18016. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72935-2
6. Ozbek ZA, Çelik K, Ergonul GP (2020) Consumers knowledge about health effects of edible oils and fats in Turkey: a questionnaire study. Nov Tech Nutri Food Sci 4(5):371–378. https://doi.org/10.31031/NTNF.2020.04.000596
7. Blowman K, Magalhaes M, Lemos MFL, Cabral C, Pires IM (2018) Anticancer properties of essential oils and other natural products. Evid-Based Complement Altern Med. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3149362
8. Us-Medina U, Julio LM, Segura-Campos MR, Ixtaina VY, Tomas MC (2018) Development and characterization of spray-dried chia oil microcapsules using by-products from chia as wall material. Powder Technol 334:1–8
9. Timilsina S, Khanal BR, Shah SC, Shrivastav CP, Khanal A (2017) Effects of biochar applicaton on soil properties and
production of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) on loamy sand soil. J Agri For Univ 1. 103:111

10. Mariniel SR, Moraes AE, Lenquiste AS, Godoy TA, Eberlin NM, Marostica RM Jr (2014) Chemical characterization and antioxidant potential of chilean chia seeds and oil (*Salvia hispanica* L.). LWT-Food Sci Technol. 59:1304–1310

11. Silva BP, Anunciação PC, da Silva MC, Della Lucia CM, Martino HSD, Pinheiro-Sant’Ana HM (2017) Chemical composition of Brazilian chia seeds grown in different places. Food Chem 221:1709–1716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.10.115

12. Kulczyński B, Kubiś-Cisowska J, Taczanowski M, Kmieciń K, Granza-Michalowska A (2019) The chemical composition and nutritional value of chia seeds-current state of knowledge. Nutrients 11(6):1242

13. Ullah R, Nadeem M, Imran M (2017) Omega-3 fatty acids and oxidative stability of ice cream supplemented with olein fraction of oil (*Salvia hispanica* L.). Lipids health dis 16(1):34

14. Ferreira CD, Nunes IL (2019) Oil nanoencapsulation: development, application, and incorporation into the food market. Nanoscale Res lett 14(1):1–13

15. Teng J, Hu X, Wang M, Tao N (2018) Fabrication of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) seed oil nanoemulsions using different emulsifiers. J Food Proc Preservat 42(1):e13146

16. Santos E, Zarate J, Orive G, Hernandez MR, Pedraz LJ (2010) Biomaterials in cell microencapsulation. Ther appl cell microencap. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-5786-3_2

17. de Campo C, Dos Santos PP, Costa TMH, Paese K, Guterres SS, de Oliveira RA, Flores SH (2017) Nanoencapsulation of chia seed oil with chia mucilage (*Salvia hispanica* L.) as wall material: characterization and stability evaluation. Food Chem 234:1–9

18. Greten FR, Grivennikov SI (2019) Inflammation and cancer: triggers, mechanisms, and consequences. Immunity 51(1):27–41

19. Slattery ML, Herrick JS, Mullany LE, Samowitz WS, Sevens JR, Sakoda L, Wolff RK (2017) The co-regulatory networks of tumor suppressor genes, Chia oil nanocapsules genes, and miRNAs in colorectal cancer. Genes Chromosom Cancer 56:769–787

20. Monktonen T, Debnath J (2018) Inflammatory signaling cascades and autophagy in cancer. Autophagy 14:190–198

21. Tsuda K, Sakai K, Tanabe K, Kishida Y (1960) Isolation of 22-dehydrocholesterol from *Hypea japonica*. J Am Chem Soc 82:1442–1443

22. Finar IL (1967) Organic chemistry the fundamental principles, vol 1. Northern Polytechnic, Holloway

23. Yousef DA, Abdelmeged SM (2021) Polymer-based encapsulation of peppermint oil (*Menta piperita*) nanoemulsion and its effects on life and some physiological activities of honeybees *Apis mellifera* (*Hymenoptera: Apidae)*. Egypt Pharmaceut J 78:133–132

24. Ibrahim MF, Fouad R, El-Hallouty S, Hendawy FS, Omer AE, Mohammed SR (2021) Egyptian *Myrtus communis* L. essential oil potential role as in vitro antioxidant, cytotoxic and α-amylase inhibitor. Egypt J Chem 64(6):3005–3017

25. OECD (2002) Test No. 423: Acute oral toxicity—acute toxic class method, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing, Paris. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264071001-en

26. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2 (−Delta Delta C(T)) method. Methods 25(4):402–408

27. Drury RA, Wallington EA (1980) Carleton’s histological techniques, 5th eda. Oxford University Press, New York, p 195

28. Aminjan H, Abtahi SR, Hazzati E, Chamarana M, Jalili M, Paknejad B (2019) Targeting of oxidative stress and inflammation through ROS/NF-kappaB pathway in phosphine-induced hepatotoxicity mitigation. Life Sci 232:116607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2019.116607

29. Liang X, Wang S, Wang L, Ceylan AF, Ren J, Zhang Y (2020) Mitophagy inhibitor liensinine suppresses doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity through inhibition of drp1-mediated maladaptive mitochondrial fission. Pharmacol Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2020.104846

30. Tsatsakis AM, Docea AO, Calina D, Buga AM, Zlatian O, Gutiérrez S et al (2019) Horneric neurobehavioral effects of low dose toxic chemical mixtures in real-life risk simulation (RLRS) in rats. Food Chem Toxicol 125:141–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2018.12.043

31. Perillo B, Di Donato M, Pezzone A et al (2020) ROS in cancer therapy: the bright side of the moon. Exp Mol Med 52:192–203. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41276-020-0384-2

32. Krishnamoorthy Sankaran, D (2016) Modulatory effect of pleurotus ostreatus on antioxidant/antioxidant status in 7,12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene induced mammary carcinoma in experimental rats- a dose-response study. J Cancer Res Ter 12:386

33. Aryal B, Rao VA (2018) Specific protein carbonylation in human breast cancer tissue compared to adjacent healthy epithelial tissue. PLoS ONE 13(3):e0194164. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194164

34. Panis C, Victoria NJ, Herrera AC, Freitas LF, De Rossi T, Campos PC et al (2012) Differential oxidative status and immune characterization of the early and advanced stages of human breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 133(3):881–888. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-011-1851-1

35. Laskar YB, Lourebam RM, Mazumder PB (2020) Herbal remedies for breast cancer prevention and treatment. In: Hassan B (ed) Medicinal plants—use in prevention and treatment of diseases. InTech Open, London

36. Mutter HA, Alsadi O, JFR (2019) Antioxidant and anti-cancer activity of Chia seed extract in breast cancer cell line. Ann Trop Med Public Health 22(08):173–181

37. Ahmed AZ, Mumbrek KD, Satyam SM et al (2021) Chia seed oil ameliorates doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in female wistar rats: an electrocardiographic, biochemical and histopathological approach. Cardiovasc Toxicol 21:533–542. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12276-020-0384-2

38. Armando M, Campos SM (2020) Effect of chia seed oil (*Salvia hispanica* L.) on cell viability in breast cancer cell MCF-7. Multidisc Digit Publ Inst Proc 53:18. https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2020053018

39. Bratton BA, Maly IV, Hofmann WA (2019) Effect of polyunsaturated fatty acids on proliferation and survival of prostate cancer cells. PLoS ONE 14:e0219822. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219822

40. Peng L, Xu T, Long T, Zuo H (2016) Association between BRCA status and P53TP53 status in breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Med Sci Monit 22:1939–1945

41. Rivlin N, Brosh R, Oren M, Rotter V (2011) Mutations in the p53 tumor suppressor gene: important milestones at the various steps of tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer 2(4):466–474. https://doi.org/10.1177/1947601911400889

42. Fouzat A, Hussein OJ, Gupta I, Al-Farsi HF, Khalil A, Al Moustafa AE (2022) *Elaeagnus angustifolia* leaf extract induces apoptosis via TP53 and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 signaling pathways in triple-negative breast cancer cells. Front Nutr 9:871667. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.871667

43. Szwarzkowska A, Olszewski R, Zawacka-Pankau J (2010) Pharmacological activation of tumor suppressor, wild-type p53 as a promising strategy to fight cancer. Postepy Hig Med Dosw 64:396–407

44. Wang Z, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Deng Q, Liang H (2018) Expression and mutations of BRCA in breast cancer and ovarian cancer: evidence from bioinformatics analyses. Int J Mol Med 42(6):3542–3550. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2018.3870
45. Chalabi N, Le Corre L, Maurizis JC, Bignon YJ, Bernard-Gallon DJ (2004) The effects of lycopene on the proliferation of human breast cells and BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene expression. Euro J Cancer 40(11):1768–1775
46. Jiang Q, Greenberg RA (2015) Deciphering the BRCA1 tumor suppressor network. J Biol Chem 290:17724–17732
47. Satyananda V, Oshi M, Endo I, Takabe K (2021) High BRCA2 gene expression is associated with aggressive and highly proliferative breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 28(12):7356–7365. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-021-10063-5
48. Abou Zaid O, MahfouZ M, Badwi A, Abd-El wahab S (2018) Biochemical effect of lysine–cetrimonium zinc compound on 7,12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene induced mammary carcinogenesis in rats. Benha Vet Med J 34(1):117–131
49. Ibrahim AY, Youness ER, Mahmoud MG, Asker MS, El-Newary SA (2020) Acidic exopolysaccharide produced from marine Bacillus amyloliquefaciens 3MS 2017 for the protection and treatment of breast cancer. Breast cancer. https://doi.org/10.1177/1178223420902075
50. Marcinek K, Krejpcio Z (2017) Chia seeds (Salvia hispanica): health promoting properties and therapeutic applications—a review. Roczn Panstw Zakl Hig 68(2):123–129
51. Vara-Messler M et al (2017) Increased dietary levels of α-linoleic acid inhibit mammary tumor growth and metastasis. Eur J Nutr 56(2):509–519

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.