Abstract. I review some of the past and current methods for retrieval of literature and other published information, excluding commercial services. Much of this is a personal view and based on experience made at various institutions, some of them neither with an adequately complete astronomy library, nor with a professional astronomy librarian. Rather than describing current retrieval methods, a few of their weaknesses are identified which merit future improvement. Despite the availability of powerful electronic tools, we need to improve efforts in safeguarding published numerical and textual information in a format readily usable by astronomers. Comments are made on a user-friendly arrangement of a library, and on useful tasks for librarians with available time.

1. Diversity of Libraries and Information Systems: A Personal View

In this section I describe some of the experience relevant to the subject, which I have made at various astronomy institutions over the last 20 years.

At Max-Planck Institut für Radioastronomie Bonn, Germany (1976–86) I became used to both a good library (complemented by the Astronomische Institute of University of Bonn, next door) and an excellent librarian (of the pre-electronic information age). In the early 1980s, the Fachinformationszentrum (FIZ) Karlsruhe started to offer a service providing a monthly extract of the latest literature on a user-specified combination of keywords (a “user profile”), which at that time was free of charge for users at MPIfR. However, due to the comprehensive collection of journals and preprints received at the MPIfR library in the field of radio astronomy, there was hardly any article listed by FIZ that I had not seen before. It was mainly the bimonthly volumes of Astronomy & Astrophysics Abstracts (AAA) which always offered some new references to me, although with the usual delay of about eight months after the end of the nominal half-year period covered by each edition of AAA. During this “pre-Internet” age, any exchange of bulk data or information was much slower and more tedious than today. I recall that the computing center provided about two major astronomical catalogs (to be interrogated via batch jobs). Requesting additional catalogs implied long delays, exchange of tapes, or the conversion of formats, to be compatible with local hard- and software.

In 1987/8, at the Inst. Argentino de Radioastronomia, facilities were profoundly different due to economic limitations. The collection of journals was limited to the very core journals, which arrived irregularly, and often with several months of delay. However, during a visit to Córdoba (Argentina) I enjoyed
the astronomy library when working on a project that required browsing many years of the core journals in astronomy. Tables and chairs in almost every corridor of the library allowed one to study the volumes right next to the shelves.

I entered the Internet age (then “BITnet”) when working at the Astronomy Department of Instituto de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE, Brazil), which had a decent collection of astronomical journals but was rather poor in preprints. By access to electronic mail, from 1989 on, I came across the listing of preprints received by the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI) and distributed as the “STEP sheet” every two weeks by its librarian. Due to this unique service, I felt again up-to-date in astronomical literature, and together with the electronic mail directory of astronomers (the RGO Email Guide, by C. Benn & R. Martin), it allowed me to obtain reprints or preprints from the authors rather efficiently. It is amazing that until today I manage to surprise some colleagues when telling them about the STEP sheet of which they had not heard before. However, for older and rarer literature, I used to visit the library of Inst. Astron. e Geofísico (IAG, São Paulo) with its exceptional collection of journals and observatory reports from all over the world. It was there that I discovered the usefulness of the journal Current Contents of Physical, Chemical & Earth Sciences to browse the contents pages of recent issues of virtually all relevant journals in astronomy. Email allowed me to get hold of an article of interest usually within weeks. In some cases librarians of large astronomical institutions were kind enough to send me copies of articles I did not have access to, usually upon my request via email.

Exchange of electronic copies of articles via email was still in its infancy in 1990 both due to network limitations and format incompatibilities. When I received the first email containing an article written in TeX, I had to carry it on a diskette to a friend who happened to have TeX installed on his PC. Within less than a year of its first use, email had turned indispensable for me to maintain collaborations and be alerted about the latest preprints. It allowed me to start an international campaign to safeguard tabular data from published journals in electronic form, which soon led to the most complete collection of radio source catalogs in existence (§4.2), and email led me to find an opportunity to continue my research at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, (IAC, Tenerife, Spain) in 1991. IAC possesses the biggest modern astronomy library in Spain, with a large variety of astronomical journals including physics, electronics and computer sciences, and volumes of recent proceedings in astrophysics. During my two years at IAC, none of my many suggestions for purchasing books were rejected. IAC also had a large collection of astronomical newsletters providing material for a review article on “Network Resources for Astronomers” (Andernach et al. 1994). In the spring of 1993 the World Wide Web (WWW) had been created by researchers at CERN, and it was only due to the up-to-date level of software maintained at IAC, that I was able to access the information (offered only on WWW) about the ADASS-III meeting I was going to attend.

In 1992 the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL, USA) and the International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA, Trieste, Italy) started to keep mirror archives of electronically submitted preprints. Initially these were limited to the fields of theoretical cosmology and particle physics, and were not known to many astronomers (including myself), but have now become impressively popular for the deposit and circulation of astronomy preprints (§4.1).
At the Observatoire de Lyon (1993/94), I enjoyed its excellent collection of astronomical journals, many of them available back to the very first volume. What I did not recall from the other libraries was the full collection of *Astronomischer Jahresbericht*, the predecessor of *AAA* from 1899 through 1968. Despite this I recall the disadvantage that the main room with journals and textbooks had no table or chair where one could study (and not even space for it) and the next decent copy machine was two floors up. Observatoire de Lyon also had a good viewing facility for the Palomar and ESO/SERC Optical Sky Survey. Much of this can now be done with the *Digitized Sky Survey* on WWW, but the original survey plates, prints or films still offer a finer detail e.g. for morphological classification of galaxies and should by all means be preserved.

In 1995/96, working at the IUE Observatory in Spain, I saw the advent of electronic journals like *ApJ Letters*, soon followed by the *New Astronomy* and the *ApJ*. I was surprised to find that some large data tables in MNRAS were still published on *microfiche*, in fact as late as early 1997, at a time when microfiche copiers had practically disappeared from astronomy libraries. When trying to get hardcopies of several data sets published on microfiche over the last 20 years I found that STScI was among the few institutions that still had such a machine available! For how much longer will these machines exist?

Since 1996, I have worked in a small but growing Astronomy Department at Guanajuato University, Mexico. Although various donations of sets of journals had been collected by the founders of the Department before my arrival, I was surprised that I was the first person to show an active interest in putting all these donations together, as soon as we found space for them. Apparently, NASA’s Astrophysics Data System (ADS) article service could already satisfy most of the needs of our department members, and it required a person of “special” interests to go after the needs of the library. While this may show a (perhaps temporal?) tendency (or even a need) for modern researchers to survive without a library, I continue my contacts to obtain further donations to complete our physical library, and wish to take the opportunity to thank several members of the audience who have donated material to our department. In my current position, I am also responsible for the library for the first time, and admit that I do not fulfill many of the requirements proposed further below.

Details and URLs on many more information resources in astronomy can be found in Andernach (1998). In the URLs quoted here I omit the [http://](http://).

## 2. The Physical Library

The following list of requirements for the functionality of a physical library may seem all too obvious, but as I do not often find them, I mention them anyway.

The library should be a *study room* rather than a *storage room*, and should invite one to look at more than just that piece of literature one is searching for. Tables and chairs should be present in various corners to allow one to read and work next to the material found. Obviously a copy machine should be reasonably close. The item searched for should be *easily locatable* at any time, and without the presence of the librarian. Ideally, the library catalog should be searchable in electronic form, accessible from any user’s desktop (including one in the library itself), e.g. via the WWW. The search program should be
self-explanatory, and the code for the physical location of a certain book or item should be clearly marked on the shelves. The current location of books on loan should be made known to the interested user, either by replacing the book with a card containing the name of the user who lent the book, or by indicating this user in the catalog record. A publicly accessible ASCII file with the library catalog, if not too large, is much better than nothing. Access to the library should be possible at all times, including nights and weekends, perhaps via a key or access code outside normal working hours. The reaction to books disappearing should NOT be access restriction, but “razzias” through the staff offices, and strict measures should be applied to users who do not adhere to the rules.

For very literature-demanding research a computer terminal in the library would allow users to interact directly with their files.

In addition to the shelf with the most recent issues of journals, the recently acquired books should also be displayed separately for at least a couple of months. Alternatively a listing of new acquisitions could be distributed to the users on a regular basis. Newsletters and other “grey” literature should be archived and made available to users if possible. Duplicate items should be kept and a list be posted to networks of librarians.

3. Additional Services the Librarian could provide

Over the last decade about a handful of astronomy librarians have provided services of relevance to the entire astronomical community. Examples are the STEP sheet (sesame.stsci.edu/lib/stsci-preprint-db.html), the Astronomy Thesaurus (msowww.anu.edu.au/library/thesaurus/), the list of astronomy meetings (cadcwww.dao.nrc.ca/meetings/meetings_without.html), a database of book reviews (www.astro.utoronto.ca/reviews1.html), and the directory of astronomy libraries (www.eso.org/libraries/astro-addresses.html). These very successful, though time-consuming projects will hopefully stimulate further librarians to take up projects of use to a larger community. To avoid duplication, these activities should be coordinated with the established networks of librarians. Apart from such outstanding efforts like the above, there are services librarians could provide to the local users, if their time allowed. I describe a few here.

Not many libraries can afford to subscribe to virtually all astronomy journals. The exchange of paper preprints seems to be decreasing in favour of electronic circulation. This means that a large fraction of current astronomical literature has to be searched for at other places. The contents pages of an increasing number of astronomy journals are accessible in one way or another, and could be displayed in the library together with the other journals. A more expensive method is to subscribe to Current Contents which offers the contents pages of practically all astronomy journals. An alternative solution is to regularly consult the URLs of journals offering their contents pages on WWW and prepare printouts. The UnCover database (uncweb.carl.org) includes most astronomy-related journals and allows one to browse their contents by issue. Listings of preprints submitted to the LANL/SISSA preprint server (xxx.lanl.gov) could also be displayed at a suitable frequency (bi-weekly or monthly). Preprint lists compiled by the librarians of NRAO and STScI (the “RAP” and “STEP” sheets) would
complete the collection. While many researchers are already making use of the latter facilities individually, the librarian could motivate other astronomers who are either unfamiliar with these services or pretend to have no time to consult them. Since the librarian is frequently responsible for the publication list of an institute, these publications could be listed and offered electronically from the library web page. To guarantee widespread notification of these preprints authors would ideally deliver their preprints to the LANL/SISSA server and the library page would simply have a pointer to that location.

The librarian should be accessible by electronic mail and make regular use of it, e.g. to subscribe to relevant distribution lists (Astrolib, EGAL, PAMnet), to alert colleague librarians about missing items, or to organize the exchange of duplicate material between libraries. Equally important for librarians will be the regular study of relevant information available on the Internet, e.g. on journals, publishers, subscriptions, societies, other libraries, etc.

4. Electronic Journals and Preprints

The appearance of more and more journals in electronic form has stimulated an intense discussion about the problem of how to safeguard their content for future generations (e.g. Grothkopf 1997). I shall not enter this discussion here but only mention a few practical problems I encountered while using them.

4.1. The Version Problem for Preprints

The problem of different versions of papers being circulated has been with us since the age of paper-only preprints. Front-line researchers are obliged to take much of their latest knowledge from preprints received from colleagues or found in the library. Most likely any changes between preprint and the actually published version will remain unnoticed by the researcher quoting the original preprint. In some cases, the part of the text referred to may have been altered or even have disappeared, and in rarer cases the referred paper may never be published because the preprint submitted was never accepted. With the advent of the LANL/SISSA server of preprints this situation has not changed. At least it is now possible to refer to a unique reference on the LANL server, and to retrieve a paper for the foreseeable future. In fact, the reference section of published papers contain an increasing number of pointers to astro-ph/YYMMNNN. The authors may change or even withdraw a submitted paper, and future retrievals of the paper will report about this history. However, there are quite a few preprints on these servers, for which the authors do not state where the paper is supposed to appear and whether the deposited version is a submitted or an accepted one. In December 1997, the journal New Astronomy suggested that if authors wish to deposit their papers for this journal at an electronic preprint server prior to publication, they should not deposit the authentic accepted version. Clearly, this is not the kind of “generosity” astronomers look for, and it unnecessarily increases the confusion between preprint and published versions.

4.2. Ready Access to Published Data

The importance of a central archive for astronomical data of general interest like object catalogs, survey images, spectra or time series had led to the creation of
data centers like CDS and NASA-ADC in the early 1970s. With the subsequent development of databases like SIMBAD, NED, LEDA, etc., there was a growing need for electronic versions of all kinds of data sets in the published literature, in order to attach these data (or at least their bibliographic reference) to the respective object, so that a query on this object would return the article or even the measurement made on it. Thus the collection activity of data centers has gradually been expanded from larger general-purpose catalogs to smaller and more specialised tables in the published literature. Unfortunately during the past decade, many chances have been lost to archive both data tables and full-text versions of articles in electronic form. These obviously existed for the large majority of publications since the mid-1980s, but were not archived for future use. Only with the advent of the truly electronic editions some limited full-text search engines have now become available, like that for the Electronic ApJ (www.journals.uchicago.edu/ApJ). It is reassuring to see that the ADS article service (adsabs.harvard.edu/article_service.html) is approaching its goal of providing free access to scanned images of all major astronomy journals back to their volume 1. However, the conversion of these images to searchable text via Optical Character Recognition software (OCR) appears unrealistic.

The recovery of the data sections of articles not previously available at data centers in electronic form appeared more feasible and urgent to me, and since 1990 I have collected such data tables and catalogs in the area of extragalactic objects and radio sources. The radio data constitute the basis for the presently most complete Internet service for radio sources (“CATS”; cats.sao.ru). In the early 1990s, I received most tables upon request to the individual authors, while more recently an increasing fraction of such data sets may be obtained from the LANL/SISSA preprint server (risking that these versions may not be identical to the published ones). A substantial fraction of these tables, usually older ones, was recovered via a scanner and OCR, followed by a strict proof-reading of the result before being released to other users, databases or data centers. Many tables were printed in such a small font or poor quality that even recovery with OCR is impossible. Currently the collection contains data sets from nearly 900 articles, of which only ~25% are also available from established data centers such as CDS and NASA-ADC. About 15–20 data sets are being added per month, and my activity has turned into that of an independent data center, proving that the capacity of established data centers is not sufficient to cope with the number of data sets published. Not surprisingly, the effort to obtain these data sets from the authors is being duplicated by managers of databases mentioned above and by other users interested in individual data sets. A better coordination between data centers, publishers and authors/users is badly needed.

A good example has been set in 1994 with the agreement between the publishers of A&A and A&AS and CDS to archive data tables published in these journals at CDS. During the last four years, a similar procedure was followed by the journals ApJ, ApJS, AJ and PASP who published larger data sets separately on CD-ROM, although at a low level of completeness and with a delay implied by the CDs being published only twice a year. Since 1998, the publication of these CDs has been abandoned and the user is supposed to download the data from the electronic versions of these journals, carrying with it two drawbacks: users must subscribe to the electronic version, and the downloaded data are not always in a format directly usable. A few tests I made at institutions subscribed
to electronic journals were not too promising. Downloading a table from the
electronic ApJ as “text” results in a file with entries separated by blank lines,
with columns not well justified and some HTML symbols left over. The AJ
actually offers hyperlinks to ASCII versions of its tables, but I found them to
contain TAB symbols requiring some editing to align the columns. For papers
whose data tables were published on the AAS CD-ROM series, the electronic
article showed only the sample page of the table (as the printed version) and did
not offer a link to the full files as on CD-ROM, which is an unnecessary limitation
given the small file sizes involved. The electronic A&A and A&AS offer links
to the CDS electronic archive for the larger tables, usually those in A&AS.
However, smaller tables mixed within the text are often inserted as images and
papers are not retrievable in ASCII format at all. MNRAS presently offers only
a few sample articles in electronic form and has not foreseen any archiving means
for tabular data it has published.

While electronic journals have made it possible to view articles much more
timely than previously, they can still be improved to allow working with the
data they contain. After having converted hundreds of tables from \text{TeX} format
to ASCII, I wonder whether we really need this laborious chain of conversions
which starts with the marking up of the original author’s ASCII table into \text{TeX}
for the preprint, and is followed by a publication in HTML. Later, an interested
user downloads the paper in PDF or PS format and eventually needs a document
converter (I am not aware of reliable ones) to recover the original ASCII table.
Clearly, the journal publishers are mainly interested in selling their journals and
will not be inclined to collaborate in this effort. A possible solution may be to
oblige the authors, as a condition for publication, to provide their data to the
established data centers, including a comprehensive documentation.

5. Bibliographical Services

Until about 1993, browsing the AAA was about the only means for bibliographic
searches “without charge” (except for the cost of the books). In 1993 the ADS
abstract service (\text{ADS}) became accessible via Internet inside USA and was released
on WWW for free access world-wide early in 1994. It is by far the most popular
bibliographic service in astronomy (Eichhorn 1997). The latter is mainly due
to its powerful search tools through well over a million abstracts in astronomy,
instrumentation, physics and geophysics, covering large parts of the literature
back to the mid-1970s.

However, when one needs “grey literature”, proceedings, etc., or one wants
to measure the productivity of researchers, either from their publication records
or from citations to their papers, the ADS, and even commercial services become
incomplete, especially for proceedings papers.

In reaction to the recent threat that AAA may stop publication in 1999
(www.eso.org/libraries/iau97/libreport.html), some astronomy librarians per-
formed a comparison study and found that, in particular, information about con-
ference proceedings and observatory reports found in AAA is missing from ADS
and even from the commercial service INSPEC. For many years, astronomers
have been waiting for the AAA to become available in electronic form. Only a
few weeks before LISA III the Astronomisches Rechen-Institut (ARI) announced
ARIBIB, a database of references from AAA since 1983 (Demleitner et al., these proceedings). ARI plans to prepare in electronic form the entire content of AAA, back to 1899 from Astronomischer Jahresbericht. This will be an invaluable tool at least for those astronomers working at institutions subscribed to the printed AAA. Hopefully these privileges can be levelled out in future via funding organizations, perhaps in a similar way as has gradually been achieved in the past to provide free access to SIMBAD.

Drawbacks of most bibliographical services are their incompleteness and large delays in the inclusion of conference proceedings. The ADS now makes an effort to include references to conference papers even before they go into print. It is desirable that such papers may be retrieved by the names of the editors or the conference title, and be linked to the full table of contents of the volume.

6. Conclusions

I have tried to identify some areas in astronomy information systems and archiving methods that merit future improvement. In astronomy, the evolution of these systems trace a delicate path between economic interests of publishers and activities of individual researchers, librarians, or funding organizations to create non-profit systems for the astronomy community. The voluntary effort of a handful of astronomy librarians has provided important contributions to general information services, stimulated by efficient and instantaneous means of communication (Email) and “publication” (WWW). I hope that conferences like the present one will stimulate further concerted activities of that sort. It is important to maintain an active communication between publishers, astronomers, and librarians to guarantee that new products like electronic journals continue to serve their consumers well.
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