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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we discuss the notion of fuzzy strong \( \beta \)-I-open sets. We introduce fuzzy almost I-open, fuzzy almost strong I-open sets, fuzzy S\( \beta \)I-set, fuzzy DI-set closely related with fuzzy strong \( \beta \)-I-open sets. Additionally, we investigate some characterizations and properties of these sets. With the help of fuzzy strong \( \beta \)-I-open set and fuzzy DI-set to obtain a new decomposition of fuzzy continuity via idealization.
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1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fuzziness is one of the most important and useful concepts in the modern scientific studies. In 1965, Zadeh[11] first introduced the notion of fuzzy sets. In 1945, Vaidyanathaswamy[9] introduced the concepts of ideal topological spaces. In 1990, Jankovic and Hamlet[4] have defined the concept of I-open set via localfunction in ideal topological space. In 1997, Mahmoud[6] and sarkar[8] independently presented some of the ideal concepts in the fuzzy trend and studied many other properties. Decomposition of fuzzy continuity is one of the many problems in the fuzzy topology. It becomes very interesting when decomposition is done via fuzzy topological ideals.

Throughout this paper, \( X \) represents a nonempty fuzzy set and fuzzy subset \( A \) of \( X \), denoted by \( A \subseteq X \), is characterized by a membership function in the sense of Zadeh[11]. The basic fuzzy sets are the empty set, the whole set and the class of all fuzzy subsets of \( X \) which will be denoted by 0, 1 and \( I^X \) respectively. A subfamily \( \tau \) of \( I^X \) will denote topology of fuzzy sets on \( I^X \) as defined by Chang[3]. By \( (X, \tau) \), we mean a fuzzy topological space in Chang’s sense. A fuzzy point in \( X \) with support \( x \in X \) and value \( \alpha(0 < \alpha \leq 1) \) is denoted by \( x_\alpha \). For a fuzzy subset \( A \) of \( X \), \( Cl(A) \), \( Int(A) \) and \( 1 \) – \( Aw \) respectively, denote the fuzzy closure, fuzzy interior and fuzzy complement of \( A \). A nonempty collection \( I \) of fuzzy subsets of \( X \) is called a fuzzy ideal[8] if and only if

1. \( B \subseteq I \) and \( A \subseteq B \), then \( A \subseteq I \) (heredity),
2. if \( A \subseteq I \) and \( B \subseteq I \) then \( A \lor B \subseteq I \) (finite additivity).
A fuzzy ideal topological space, denoted by \((X,\tau,I)\), means a fuzzy topological space with a fuzzy ideal \(I\) and fuzzy topology \(\tau\). For \((X,\tau,I)\), the fuzzy local function of \(A \leq X\) with respect to \(\tau\) and \(I\) is denoted by \(A^*(\tau,I)\) (briefly \(A^*\)) and is defined as \(A^*(\tau,I) = \bigvee \{x \in X : A \land U \in I \forall x \in \tau(x)\}\). While \(A^*\) is the union of the fuzzy points \(x\) such that if \(U \in \tau(x)\) and \(E \in I\), then there is at least one \(y \in X\) for which \(U(y) + A(y) - 1 > E(y)\). Fuzzy closure operator of a fuzzy set in \((X,\tau,I)\) is defined as \(Cl'(A) = A \lor A^*\). In \((X,\tau,I)\), the collection \(\tau'(I)\) means an extension of fuzzy topological space than \(\tau\) via fuzzy ideal which is constructed by considering the class 

\[\beta = \{U - E : U \in \tau, E \in I\}\] as a base[8]. This topology of fuzzy sets is considered as generalization of the ordinary one.

First, we shall recall some definitions used in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.1.**[8] Let \((X,\tau,I)\) be fuzzy ideal topological space and \(A, B\) subsets of \(X\).

The following properties hold:

(a) If \(A \leq B\), then \(A^* \leq B^*\),

(b) \((A \lor B)^* = A^* \lor B^*\),

(c) \(A^* = Cl(A^*) \leq Cl(A)\),

(d) if \(U \in \tau\), then \(U \land A^* \leq (U \land A)^*\),

(e) if \(U \in \tau\), then \(U \land Cl'^*(A) \leq Cl'(U \land A)\).

**Lemma 1.2.**[5] Let \((X,\tau,I)\) be an ideal topological space with an arbitrary index \(\Delta\), \(I\) an ideal of subsets of \(X\) and \(\rho(X)\) the power set of \(X\). If \(\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \subseteq \rho(X)\), then the following property holds:

\[\forall \alpha \in \Delta(A_\alpha^*) \leq (\forall \alpha \in \Delta A_\alpha^*)\]

**Definition 1.1.** A subset \(A\) of a space \((X,\tau,I)\) is said to be

(a) fuzzy \(\alpha\)-\(I\)-open[10] if \(A \leq Int(Cl'(Int(A)))\),

(b) fuzzy \(\beta\)-\(I\)-open[10] if \(A \leq Cl(\text{Int}(Cl'(A)))\),

(c) fuzzy \(I\) [2]-open if \(A \leq \text{Int}(A^*)\),

(d) fuzzy \(\text{pre-}I\)-open[2] if \(A \leq \text{Int}(Cl'(A))\),

(e) fuzzy semi-\(I\)-open[1] if \(A \leq Cl'(\text{Int}(A))\).

**Definition 1.2.**[10] A subset \(A\) of a space \((X,\tau,I)\) is said to be fuzzy \(\beta\)-\(I\)-open if \(A \leq Cl(\text{Int}(Cl'(A)))\).

**Definition 1.3.**[12] A subset \(A\) of a space \((X,\tau,I)\) is said to be fuzzy \(\alpha\)-\(I\)-dense in itself if \(A \leq A^*\).

**2. Fuzzy Strong \(\beta\)-\(I\)-open set and Almost Fuzzy Strong \(I\)-open set**

**Definition 2.1.** A subset \(A\) of a space \((X,\tau,I)\) is said to be fuzzy almost \(-I\)-open if \(A \leq Cl(\text{Int}(A^*))\).

**Definition 2.2.** A subset \(A\) of a space \((X,\tau,I)\) is said to be fuzzy strong \(\beta\)-\(I\)-open if \(A \leq Cl'(\text{Int}(Cl'(A)))\). We denote the family of all fuzzy strong \(\beta\)-\(I\)-open set of \((X,\tau,I)\) by \(Fs\beta IO(X)\).

**Definition 2.3.** A subset \(A\) of a fuzzy ideal topological space \((X,\tau,I)\) is said to be almost fuzzy strong-I-open if \(A \leq Cl'(\text{Int}(A^*))\). We denote the family of all fuzzy almost strong-I-open set of \((X,\tau,I)\) by \(Fas IO(X)\).

**Proposition 2.1.** For a subset of a fuzzy ideal topological space \((X,\tau,I)\) the following holds:
(a) Every fuzzy semi-I-open set is fuzzy strong β-I-open.
(b) Every fuzzy β-I-open is fuzzy β-open.

**Proof:** (a) Let A be a fuzzy semi-I-open set. Then $A \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)) \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Cl}^*(A))$. This shows that $A \in Fs\beta IO(X)$.

(b) Let A is fuzzy β-I-open. We have, $A \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(Cl(A))) \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Cl}(A))$. Therefore, A is fuzzy β-open.

**Remark 2.1.** The converses of each statement in proposition 2.1 need not be true as the following examples show.

**Example 2.1.** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $A, B, C$ be fuzzy subsets of $X$ defined as follows:

| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
|-----|-----|-----|
| A(a) = 0.3 | A(b) = 0.2 | A(c) = 0.4 |
| B(a) = 0.8 | B(b) = 0.8 | B(c) = 0.4 |

Let $\tau = \{0, B, 1\}$. If we take $I = \{0\}$, then A is fuzzy strong β-I-open but A is not fuzzy semi-I-open set.

**Example 2.2.** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $A, B, C$ be fuzzy subsets of $X$ defined as follows:

| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
|-----|-----|-----|
| A(a) = 0.2 | A(b) = 0.4 | A(c) = 0.7 |
| B(a) = 0.2 | B(b) = 0.6 | B(c) = 0.7 |

Let $\tau = \{0, B, 1\}$. If we take $I = \rho(X)$, then A is fuzzy β-open but A is not fuzzy β-I-open set.

**Proposition 2.2.** For a subset of a fuzzy ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$ the following hold:

(a) Every fuzzy I-open set is fuzzy almost strong-I-open.
(b) Every fuzzy almost strong-I-open is fuzzy strong β-I-open.

**Proof:** The proof is similar with the that Proposition 2.1.

**Remark 2.2.** The converses of each statement in proposition 2.2 need not be true as the following examples show.

**Example 2.3.** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $A, B$ be fuzzy subsets of $X$ defined as follows:

| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
|-----|-----|-----|
| A(a) = 0.2 | A(b) = 0.3 | A(c) = 0.7 |
| B(a) = 0.1 | B(b) = 0.2 | B(c) = 0.2 |

Let $\tau = \{0, B, 1\}$. If we take $I = \{0\}$, then A is fuzzy almost strong-I-open but A is not fuzzy I-open set.

**Example 2.4.** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $A, B$ be fuzzy subsets of $X$ defined as follows:

| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
|-----|-----|-----|
| A(a) = 0.5 | A(b) = 0.4 | A(c) = 0.6 |
| B(a) = 0.5 | B(b) = 0.5 | B(c) = 0.6 |

Let $\tau = \{0, A, 1\}$. If we take $I = \rho(X)$, then A is fuzzy strong-β-I-open but A is not fuzzy almost strong-I-open set.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $(X, \tau, I)$ be a fuzzy ideal topological space with an arbitrary index set $\Delta$. Then the following properties hold:

(a) if $\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\} \leq Fs\beta IO(X)$, then $\bigvee \{A_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\} \in Fs\beta IO(X)$.
(b) if $A \in Fs\beta IO(X)$ and $U \in \tau$, then $(U \land V) \in Fs\beta IO(X)$. 
Proof:
(a) Since \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \leq \text{FasIO}(X), A_\alpha \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A_\alpha))) for every \alpha \in \Delta.

Thus by using Lemma 1.1, 1.2, we have

\[\lor_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \leq \lor_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A_\alpha))) = \lor_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\text{Int}(\lor_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_\alpha \lor A_\alpha^*)) \lor (\lor_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_\alpha \lor A_\alpha^*))^*] = [\lor_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_\alpha \lor A_\alpha^*)] \lor [\lor_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_\alpha \lor A_\alpha^*)]^* = \text{Cl}^*(\lor_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_\alpha \lor A_\alpha^*)).\]

(b) By the hypothesis, A \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A))) and U \leq \text{Int}(U). Thus

\[(A \land U) \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A))) \land \text{Int}(U) = [\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A)) \lor (\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A)))^*] \land \text{Int}(U) = [\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A)) \land \text{Int}(U)] \lor [(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A)))^* \land \text{Int}(U)] \leq [\text{Int}(A \land U)] \lor [(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A)))^* \land \text{Int}(U)] \]

\[\leq [\text{Int}((A \lor A^*) \land U)] \lor [(\text{Int}(A \lor A^*)) \land \text{Int}(U)]^* \leq [\text{Int}((A \land U) \lor (A \land U)^*)] \lor [(\text{Int}(A \land U) \lor (A \land U)^*)]^* = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A \land U)) \lor [\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^* (A \land U))]^* = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A \land U)). \text{ Thus } A \land U \in \text{FasIO}(X).

Proposition 2.4. Let \((X, \tau, I)\) be a fuzzy ideal topological space with an arbitrary index \(\Delta\).

Then the following properties hold:

(a) if \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \leq \text{FasIO}(X), then \lor \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \in \text{FasIO}(X).
(b) if A \in \text{FasIO}(X) and U \in \tau, then (U \land V) \in \text{FasIO}(X).

Proof: The proof is similar with Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.5. Let \((X, \tau, I)\) be a fuzzy ideal topological space and N be the ideal of nowhere dense sets of X.

Then the following properties hold:
(a) If $I = \{0\}$ or $N$, then the five properties fuzzy almost strong $I$-open, fuzzy strong $\beta$-$I$-open, fuzzy almost-$I$-open fuzzy $\beta$-$I$-open and fuzzy $\beta$-open are all equivalent of one another.

(b) If $I = \rho(X)$, then

(1) the five properties fuzzy open, fuzzy $\alpha$-$I$-open, fuzzy pre-$I$-open, fuzzy semi-$I$-open and fuzzy strong $\beta$-$I$-open are all equivalent of one another.

(2) a subset $A$ of $X$ is fuzzy $\beta$-$I$-open if and only if $A$ is fuzzy semi-$I$-open.

**Proof :**

(a)

(1) Let $I = \{0\}$. Then $A^{*} = \text{Cl}(A)$ for any subset of $X$ and $\text{Cl}^*(A) = A \vee A^{*} = \text{Cl}(A)$. Therefore, we have $A \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^{*})) = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A^{*}))$. This shows that every fuzzy $\beta$-open set is fuzzy almost strong $I$-open.

(2) Let $I = N$. Then $A^{*} = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$ for any subset $A$ of $X$. If $A$ is fuzzy $\beta$-open, $A \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$ and $\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))) = A^{*}$. Therefore, we have $A \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^{*})) = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A^{*}))$. This shows that every fuzzy $\beta$-open set is fuzzy almost strong $I$-open.

(b) Let $I = \rho(X)$. Then $A^{*} = \{0\}$ and $\text{Cl}^*(A) = A \vee A^{*} = A$ for every subset $A$ of $X$.

(1) If $A$ is a fuzzy strong $\beta$-$I$-open set, then we have $A \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)) = \text{Int}(A)$. This shows that every fuzzy strong $\beta$-$I$-open set is fuzzy open.

(2) If $A$ is a fuzzy $\beta$-$I$-open set, then $A \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$. This shows that every fuzzy $\beta$-$I$-open set is fuzzy semi-open.

**Proposition 2.6.** For a subset $A$ of a fuzzy ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$ the following statements are equivalent.

(a) $A$ is fuzzy almost strong $I$-open.

(b) $A$ is fuzzy strong $\beta$-$I$-open and fuzzy $\ast$-$I$-dense-in-itself.

**Proof :** $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ Every fuzzy almost strong $I$-open is fuzzy strong $\beta$-$I$-open from Proposition 2.2. On the other hand, $A \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A^{*})) = \text{Int}(A^{*}) \vee (\text{Int}(A^{*}))^{\ast} \leq \text{Int}(A^{*}) \vee \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^{*})) \leq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^{*})) \leq \text{Cl}(A^{*}) = A$ by Lemma 1.1.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ By the assumption, $A \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) = A^{\ast} \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A^{\ast})).$

This shows that $A \in \text{FasIO}(X)$.

**Remark 2.3.** fuzzy strong $I$-open set and fuzzy $\ast$-$I$-dense in itself are independent of each other as shown in the following example.

**Example 2.5.** Let $X = \{a,b,c\}$ and $A$, $B$ be fuzzy subsets of $X$ defined as follows:

\[
A(a) = 0.2, \quad A(b) = 0.3, \quad A(c) = 0.7, \\
B(a) = 0.1, \quad B(b) = 0.2, \quad B(c) = 0.2.
\]

Let $\tau = \{0,B,1\}$. If we take $I = \rho(X)$, then $A$ is fuzzy $\ast$-$I$-dense in itself but $A$ is not fuzzy strong-$\beta$-$I$-open.

**Example 2.6.** Let $X = \{a,b,c\}$ and $A$, $B$ be fuzzy subsets of $X$ defined as follows:

\[
A(a) = 0.5, \quad A(b) = 0.4, \quad A(c) = 0.6, \\
B(a) = 0.5, \quad B(b) = 0.5, \quad B(c) = 0.6.
\]

Let $\tau = \{0,A,1\}$. If we take $I = \rho(X)$, then $A$ is fuzzy strong-$\beta$-$I$-open but $A$ is not fuzzy $\ast$-$I$-dense in itself.
3. FSβI-set and FD₁-set

**Definition 3.1.** A subset of a fuzzy ideal topological space \((X,\tau, I)\) is called

(a) a fuzzy SβI-set if \(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) = \text{Int}(A)\).

(b) a fuzzy t-I-set[7] if \(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) = \text{Int}(A)\).

**Proposition 3.1.** Every fuzzy SβI-set is a fuzzy t-I-set.

**Proof:** Let \(A\) be a fuzzy SβI-set.

Then \(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) = \text{Int}(A)\).

Since \(\text{Int}(A) \leq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))\) and \(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) = \text{Int}(A)\). Therefore

\[\text{Int}(A) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))\]

and hence \(A\) is fuzzy t-I-set.

**Remark 3.1.** The converse of Proposition 3.1 need not be true is shown by the following example.

**Example 3.1.** Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}\) and \(A, B\) be fuzzy subsets of \(X\) defined as follows:

\[
A(a) = 0.2, \ A(b) = 0.3, \ A(c) = 0.7,
B(a) = 0.1, \ B(b) = 0.2, \ B(c) = 0.2.
\]

Let \(\tau = \{0, B, 1\}\). If we take \(I = \{0\}\), then \(A\) is fuzzy t-I-set but \(A\) is not fuzzy SβI-open.

**Definition 3.2.** A subset \(A\) of a fuzzy ideal topological space \((X,\tau, I)\) is called fuzzy D₁-set (resp. fuzzy B₁-set[2]) if \(A = U \land V\), where \(U \in \tau\) and \(V\) is fuzzy SβI-set (resp. fuzzy t-I-set).

We denote the family of all fuzzy D₁-sets (resp. fuzzy SβI-sets, fuzzy B₁-sets) by \(FD_1(X)\) (resp. \(FS_{\beta I}(X), FB_1(X)\) -set).

**Proposition 3.2.** In a fuzzy ideal topological space \((X,\tau, I)\), \(FS_{\beta I}(X) \subseteq FD_1(X), \tau \leq FD_1(X)\) and \(FD_1(X) \leq FB_1(X)\).

**Proof:** Since \(X \in \tau \land FS_{\beta I}(X)\) and every FSβI-set is a fuzzy t-I-set by proposition 3.1, the inclusions are obvious.

**Proposition 3.3.** For a subset \(A\) of a fuzzy ideal topological space \((X,\tau, I)\), the following properties are equivalent:

(a) \(A\) is fuzzy open.

(b) \(A\) is fuzzy strong β-I-open and fuzzy D₁-set.

**Proof:**

(a) \(\Rightarrow\) (b) This is obvious.

(b) \(\Rightarrow\) (a) Let \(A\) be a fuzzy strong β-I-open and fuzzy D₁-set. Then

\[
A \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(U \land V))) \leq \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(U) \land \text{Cl}^*(V))) = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(U))) \land \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(V))) = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(U))) \land \text{Int}(V),
\]

where \(V \in FS_{\beta I}(X)\) and \(U \in \tau\). Hence \(A \leq U \land \text{Int}(A) \leq A \leq U \land \text{Int}(V) = \text{Int}(A)\).

Thus \(A \in \tau\).
Remark 3.2. The following Examples show that fuzzy strong $\beta$-I-open set and fuzzy $D_1$-sets are independent notions.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{a,b,c\}$ and $A$, $B$, $C$ be fuzzy subsets of $X$ defined as follows:

- $A(a) = 0.6$, $A(b) = 0.7$, $A(c) = 0.5$,
- $B(a) = 0.4$, $B(b) = 0.3$, $B(c) = 0.2$,
- $C(a) = 0.5$, $C(b) = 0.7$, $C(c) = 0.4$.

Let $\tau = \{0,C,1\}$. If we take $I = \{0\}$, then $B \land C$ is fuzzy $D_1$-set but $B$ is not fuzzy strong $\beta$-I-open set.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{a,b,c\}$ and $A$, $B$ be fuzzy subsets of $X$ defined as follows:

- $A(a) = 0.5$, $A(b) = 0.4$, $A(c) = 0.6$,
- $B(a) = 0.5$, $B(b) = 0.5$, $B(c) = 0.6$.

Let $\tau = \{0,A,1\}$. If we take $I = \{0\}$, then $A$ is fuzzy strong-$\beta$-I-open but $A \land B$ is not fuzzy $D_1$-set.

4. Decomposition of fuzzy almost strong I-continuity and fuzzy continuity

Definition 4.1. A function $f : (X,\tau,I) \to (Y,\sigma)$ is said to be fuzzy strongly $\beta$ I-continuous (resp. fuzzy $D_1$-continuous, fuzzy $B_1$-continuous) if for every $V \in \sigma$, $f^{-1}(V)$ is fuzzy strongly $\beta$-I-open (resp. fuzzy $D_1$-set, fuzzy $B_1$-set) of $(X,\tau,I)$.

Definition 4.2. A function $f : (X,\tau,I) \to (Y,\sigma)$ is said to be fuzzy almost strong-I continuous if for every $V \in \sigma$, $f^{-1}(V)$ is fuzzy strongly almost-I-open set of $(X,\tau,I)$.

Theorem 4.1. For a function $f : (X,\tau,I) \to (Y,\sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $A$ is fuzzy almost strong I-continuous.
(b) $A$ is fuzzy strong $\beta$-I-continuous and fuzzy $\ast$-I-continuous.

Proof: This follows from Proposition 2.6.

Theorem 4.2. For a function $f : (X,\tau,I) \to (Y,\sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $A$ is fuzzy continuous.
(b) $A$ is fuzzy strong $\beta$-I-continuous and fuzzy $D_1$-continuous.

Proof: This follows from Proposition 3.3.

References

1) E. Hatir, S. Jafari, Fuzzy semi-I-open sets and fuzzy semi-I-continuity via fuzzy idealization, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, 34 (2007), 1220-1224.
2) A. A. Nasef, E. Hatir, On fuzzy pre-I-open sets and a decomposition of fuzzy I-continuity, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, 40 (2007), 1185-1189.
3) C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24(1968), 182-190.
4) D. Jankovic and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97(1990), 295-310.
5) K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. 1 (transl.), Academic press, New York, (1966).
6) **R. A. Mahmoud**, Fuzzy ideals, fuzzy local function and fuzzy topology, J. Fuzzy Math. Los Angeles, 5(1)(1997), 117-123.

7) **V. Chitra, K. Malarvizhi, M. Narmadha**, Decomposition of weaker forms of continuity via fuzzy ideals, International Journal of Scientific Research and Education, Volume 4, Issue 08, (2016), 5610-5617, ISSN(e):2321-7545

8) **D. Sarkar**, Fuzzy ideal theory, fuzzy local function and generated fuzzy topology, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 5(1)(1997), 165-172.

9) **R. Vaidyanathaswamy**, The localization theory in set topology, Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy, 20(1945), 51-61.

10) **S. Yukesel, E. Gursel and A. Acikgoz**, On fuzzy $\alpha$ -I -continuous and fuzzy $\alpha$-I -open functions, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 41(2009), 1691-1696.

11) **L. A. Zadeh**, Fuzzy sets, Information and control(Shenyang), 8(1965), 338-353.

12) **M. K. Gupta and Rajneesh**, Fuzzy $\gamma$I -Open sets and a New Decomposition of Fuzzy semi-1 -continuity via Fuzzy ideals, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 3, 28(2009), 1349-1357.