Effect of Alanine Replacement of L17 and F19 on the Aggregation and Neurotoxicity of Arctic-Type Aβ40
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Abstract

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of neurodegenerative disease. Beta-amyloid peptides (Aβ) are responsible for neuronal death both in vitro and in vivo. Previously, L17 and F19 residues were identified as playing key roles in the stabilization of the Aβ40 conformation and in the reduction of its neurotoxicity. In this study, the effects of L17/F19A mutations on the neurotoxicity of Aβ genetic mutant Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) were tested. The results showed that compared to Aβ40(E22G), Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) reduced the rate of conformation conversion, aggregation, and cytotoxicity, suggesting that L17 and F19 are critical residues responsible for conformational changes which may trigger the neurotoxic cascade of Aβ. Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) also had decreased damage due to reactive oxygen species. The results are consistent with the discordant helix hypothesis, and confirm that residues 17–25 are in the discordant helix region. Compared to Aβ40(L17A/F19A), reduction in aggregation of Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) was less significantly decreased. This observation explains an explanation based on the discordant helix hypothesis that the mutation of E22 to G22 of Aβ40(E22G) alters the propensity of the discordant helix. Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) aggregates more severely than wild-type Aβ40 with a consequential increase in toxicity.

Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative disease in the elderly population [1–3]. There are two forms of AD, late onset sporadic (SAD) and early onset familial (FAD) [4]. SAD is predominantly diagnosed in people over 65 years of age, and less frequent FAD often occurs in patients under the age of 65 years [5,6]. FAD is clinically considered the most serious form of AD. Regardless of the form of AD, amyloid senile plaques (SPs) and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) are the two most important pathological hallmarks in the brains of AD patients [7]. In SPs, the main component is the β amyloid peptide (Aβ), that is either 40 (Aβ40) or 42 (Aβ42) amino acids long, containing hydrophobic amino acid sequences at its C-termini. This peptide is the proteolytic product of the amyloid precursor protein (APP), which is sequentially cleaved first by β-secretase, then by γ-secretase [8,9].

Amyloid deposits of Aβ peptides for both SAD and FAD, including oligomers, protofibrils, and fibrils have been demonstrated to be toxic to neural cells, and are the main causative agents leading to AD [10,11]. The neurotoxicity induced by Aβ aggregates has been associated with formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) [12,13]. Furthermore, neurotoxicity is highly correlated with its structural and molecular states [14]. Fibrilligenesis of Aβ is usually accompanied by a conformational conversion from either α-helix or random coil to β-sheet/strands during the aggregation process [15,16]. The conformation of Aβ is well correlated with sequence and toxicity. Using different Aβ fragments or truncated Aβ peptides, several sequence regions, including residues 17–20, 30–35, and 41–42, have been shown to play roles in conformation and toxicity [17–19].

For FAD, several hereditary mutations have been identified [20]. Flemish-type Aβ42(E21G) [21], Arctic-type Aβ42(E22G) [22,23], Dutch-type Aβ42(E22Q) [24], Italian-type Aβ42(E22K) [25], and Iowa-type Aβ42(D23N) [26] are the most well-known. Few studies have characterized the folding, aggregation, and toxicity of Arctic-type Aβ42(E22G) [27–29]. Compared with wild-type Aβ40, Arctic-type Aβ42(E22G) shows a higher propensity to form β-strand structures and exhibit rapid aggregation, with accompanying severe toxicity. By creating mutants that can inhibit conformational change and result in stable secondary structures, it may be possible to identify key residues that affect the processes of aggregation and conformational change.
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To reduce toxicity induced by Aβ, it is necessary to prevent structural conversion and aggregation of Aβ [15,16,30]. Several studies have suggested that residues 16–23 constitute a region of the discordant helix [30–34], and any factors which stabilize the conformation of this region can prevent the aggregation and reduce toxicity of Aβ40 [32,35]. Our previous studies found that 17–25 is the discordant helix region that can stabilize Aβ structure and inhibit aggregation [36]. This identification provides the basis for determining key residues that can increase helical propensity. Previously, we identified L17 and F19 as key amino acids in the stabilization of the Aβ40 conformation. By constructing an Aβ40(L17A/F19A) mutant, we further demonstrated that replacement of L17 and F19 with alanine can stabilize the Aβ conformation, reduce Aβ aggregation, and diminish Aβ-induced neurotoxicity [37]. Most Aβ peptides of FAD contain one point mutation of wild-type Aβ [21–26]. Some of them, such as Arctic-type Aβ, can cause more severe cell death than wild-type Aβ. Among these familial Aβ mutants, the Arctic-type Aβ has been shown to accelerate the development of clinical and pathological features indistinguishable from those of sporadic AD.

To further investigate the effects of L17A/F19A mutations on Aβ properties, we used the same strategy as a previous study [37] and constructed an Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) mutant to characterize and compare the related properties with the genetic Arctic mutation of Aβ40(E22G), which has been shown to be the most toxic FAD Aβ mutant [23]. We wished to determine whether the L17A/F19A mutations can result in stable conformations and less neurotoxicity not only in wild-type Aβ, but also in FAD. In vitro studies were used to show that the L17/F19 mutants decreased Aβ aggregation and changed aggregation morphology. In vivo studies were used to verify neurotoxicity by using a cell viability assay. Our results show that compared to Aβ40(E22G), Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) can reduce aggregation and neurotoxicity. These results demonstrate that replacement of L17 and F19 with alanine residues decreases aggregation and neurotoxicity of Aβ40(E22G), further suggesting that L17 and F19 are key residues in the stabilization of Aβ. Our study also verifies the identification of critical residues responsible for conformational changes which may trigger the neurotoxic cascade of Aβ40 and its genetic mutations.

Materials and Methods

Aβ Peptide Preparation

Production of recombinant Aβ peptides used the cloning protocol as previously described [38]. cDNAs of Aβ40 were a kind gift from Professor Paul Greengard. Eschericha coli BL21(DE3)

Figure 1. Conformational changes of Aβ40 using far ultraviolet circular dichroism spectra. (A) Aβ40, (B) Aβ40(L17A/F19A), (C) Aβ40 (E22G), (D) Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) peptides at various incubated times. The concentration of Aβ1 peptides was 60 μM at pH 7.0 and 37 °C.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061874.g001
Sigma, St. Louis, USA) was used for expression. All Aβ peptides were purified on a reverse phase C18 HPLC column (Waters, Milford, Massachusetts, USA) with a linear gradient from 0% to 100% acetonitrile. The molecular weight of the purified Aβ peptides was verified by MALDI-TOF mass spectroscopy. They were freshly prepared in a 1 mM stock solution in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (Sigma, St. Louis, USA).

Circular Dichroism (CD) Spectroscopy and Secondary Structure Analyses

Far-UV CD spectra were collected from 190–260 nm at 37°C using a synchrotron radiation circular dichroism (SRCD) spectro-polarimeter at the 04B1 beam station of the national synchrotron radiation center in Taiwan. For SRCD measurements, a final peptide concentration of 60 μM in 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, was used. All measurements were performed in CaF2 cells with a path length of 0.1 mm. Each SRCD spectrum was reported as the average sum of three separate analyses. Secondary structure analysis was performed in an online web server Dichroweb [39,40] using the CDSSSTR program.

Thioflavin-T Peptide Aggregation Assay

The peptide stock solution was dried under N2 gas and resuspended in 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, to a final concentration of 60 μM. Thioflavin T (Th-T) (Sigma, St. Louis, USA) dye (30 μM) was added to the freshly prepared peptide solution at a molar ratio of 1:2 with 0.01% NaN3 at 37°C. Fluorescence measurements were performed using a microplate reader (FlexStation 3, DOWNINGTOWN, Pennsylvania, USA) every 30 minutes at 37.0±0.2°C. The excitation and emission wavelengths were 450 nm and 482 nm, respectively.

Aggregation kinetics was fitted using the following equation:

\[ Y = Y_i + m_i t + \frac{Y_f + m_f t}{1 + e^{-t/t_{50}k_{app}}} \]  

Where Y is the fluorescence intensity, t is time, t50 is the time to 50% of maximal fluorescence, and k_{app} is apparent rate constant for the growth of fibrils [41]. Kinetic data obtained from spectroscopic measurements were fitted using the nonlinear curve fitting software OriginLab 8.0 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA). In the initial fitting stage, the Simplex method was used to calculate the initial input parameters to establish the parameter.
region. These parameters were then used as constraints for further nonlinear curve fitting. A 0.95 confidence level target was set to constrain the quality of the curve fitting. The final fitting parameters were obtained when the value of $x^2$ was less than 0.05, and the parameters and errors for the parameters reached a convergent and steady state.

Western Blot Analysis of Aβ Oligomers

Each Aβ peptide was dissolved in phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, to a final concentration of 60 µM, and incubated for 0, 24, 48 and 72 hours at 37°C. Then the samples were separated by 4~20% gradient Tricine-SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF; PE, 0.22 µm) membrane for 2 hours. The PVDF membrane was blocked using 5% nonfat milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1 hour and probed with primary anti-mouse monoclonal antibody (6E10, Abcam, Cambridge, UK; 1:2000 dilution) overnight at 4°C. After probing with primary antibody, the PVDF membrane was washed three times with PBST and probed with anti-mouse secondary antibody (Sigma, Poole, UK; 1:6000 dilution). The labeled Aβ peptides were detected using the western lighting chemiluminescence kit (GE, Pittsburgh, USA).

Figure 3. Kinetics of the aggregation process. (A) Curve fitting with Aβ$_{40}$ (●), (B) Group Aβ$_{40}$ (■) versus Aβ$_{40}$L17A/F19A(□), (C) Curve fitting with Aβ$_{40}$(E22G) (○), (D) Group Aβ$_{40}$(E22G) (△) versus Aβ$_{40}$(L17A/F19A/E22G) (○). The aggregation assay was performed with 60 µM Aβ peptides (Aβ: Th-T = 2:1) at 37°C.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061874.g003

Table 1. Calculated aggregation rates for different Aβ peptides.

| Peptide       | $K_{app}$     | $R^2$  | $x^2$  |
|---------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Aβ$_{40}$     | 14.12±0.21    | 0.994  | 0.330  |
| Aβ$_{40}$(E22G)| 3504.20±16.43 | 0.998  | 0.009  |
| Aβ$_{40}$(L17A/F19A/E22G) | 33.96±0.28 | 0.995  | 0.062  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061874.t001

Effect of L17/F19 on Arctic-Type Aβ$_{40}$

Fourier-transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR)

A FT-IR spectrometer (Jasco, FT-IR/4100) equipped with an accessory was used to study the conformation of Aβ$_{40}$ during the aggregative process. One hundred µl of 200 µM Aβ peptide solution was coated on a ZnSe crystal and kept dry overnight in a desicator at room temperature. The spectra were recorded at a wavelength range of 1500~1800 cm$^{-1}$ with 1 cm$^{-1}$ intervals. The peak was identified from the first derivation of the IR spectrum in the amide I region, and the secondary structure was analyzed using Original 8.0 software.
Figure 4. Oligomerization and fibrillation of Aβ peptides. (A) Representative western blots showing oligomeric and fibrillar Aβ peptides. Incubated Aβ peptides at 60 μM concentration at 37 °C on day 0 (upper) and day 1, day 2 and day 3. Approximate molecular weights (in kDa) determined using molecular weight markers are shown on the left-hand side. (B) FT-IR spectra of 0.2 mM Aβ peptides on day 3. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061874.g004
Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) Analysis

A TEM (JEM-2000 EXII, JEOL, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 100 KeV was used to analyze the morphology of Aβ peptides incubated at different time periods. Ten microliters of the Aβ peptide samples used for the aggregation assay was placed onto a carbon-coated 200 mesh copper grid (Pelco, Ca, USA). Excess solution was wicked dry with tissue paper, and the sample was negatively stained with 5 μl of 2% uranyl acetate for 30 seconds. Excess solution was wicked dry, and the grid was allowed to air dry for further TEM analysis.

Figure 5. Negative stained TEM images of fibrils formed by Aβs. Fibrils formed from 60 μM peptides in phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 37°C on day 5. All TEM images are 200,000× magnification. The scale bar indicates 200 nm.

Cell Viability Assay

Human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells [42] were cultured in DMEM/F12 (1:1) supplemented (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) with 2 mM glutamine and 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Biowest, Nuaille, France) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Cell viability was measured using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) (Sigma, Missouri, USA). All Aβ peptides were prepared as a 1 mM stock solution in trifluoroethanol. Freshly prepared stock solution was then dried under N₂ gas and resuspended in PBS buffer, pH 7.0, to a final peptide concentration of 500 μM. The resulting solution was incubated at 25°C for
24 hours to obtain the Aβ oligomers. This peptide solution was further diluted to 30 μM for the viability assay.

In a 96-well microtiter plate, 1 × 10⁴ cells were placed in each well and incubated in the absence or presence of Aβ peptides in a total volume of 100 μl for 48 hours and 72 hours at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ before the viability assay. Ten microliters of MTT solution was added to each well and further incubated for another 4 hours at 37°C [12]. The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 570 nm using a microplate reader (FlexStation 3).

Measurement of Intracellular ROS

ROS were determined using a 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) assay in a flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Cells (1 × 10⁵) were incubated with 30 μM of Aβ peptides for 48 hours. Before conducting flow cytometry, the cells were treated with 10 μM of DCFH-DA for 30 minutes at 37°C, followed by washing with PBS [12,13]. Data analyses were performed using program Kaluza software, version 1.2.

Statistical Analysis

Results were analyzed by the Student’s t-Test using Original 8.0 software. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. A p value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Structural Stability of Aβ₄₀ and Aβ₄₀ Mutations

The aggregation of Aβ is accompanied by a conformational conversion from either helix or random coil to β-sheet. Therefore, the conformational state of Aβ plays a critical role in its aggregation ability and toxicity. We first applied CD spectroscopy to investigate the structural state of wild-type and Arctic-type Aβ₄₀. Figure 1 (A) and (C) shows the CD spectra of wild-type Aβ₄₀ and Arctic-type Aβ₄₀(E22G). From the CD spectra, it can be seen that both Aβ₄₀ and Arctic-type Aβ₄₀(E22G) peptides were converted from random coil to β-sheet after 48 hours. The β-sheet conformation first appeared at 4 hours for Aβ₄₀(E22G), while for Aβ₄₀, the β-sheet structure was first observed later at 48 hours. Further analysis of β-sheet propensity (CD signal at 218 nm versus time) is shown in Figure 2 (A) and (C) for Aβ₄₀ and Aβ₄₀(E22G). Intensity at 218 nm increased with increased incubation time for Aβ₄₀ and Aβ₄₀(E22G), and the conversion rate of Aβ₄₀(E22G) was faster than Aβ₄₀.

Effect of L17A and F19A on the Structural Stability of Aβ₄₀ and its Mutations

Previously we replaced residues L17 and F19 with alanine, resulting in an increase in structural stability and reduction of toxicity [37]. We used the same strategy [37] to test the effect of L17A and F19A on structural stability and toxicity of the most toxic FAD mutant, Arctic-type Aβ peptide [Aβ₁₄₀(E22G)]. Figures 1 (B) and (D) show the CD spectra for Aβ₁₄₀(L17A/F19A) and Aβ₁₄₀(L17A/F19A/E22G). Only Aβ₁₄₀(L17A/F19A/E22G) was
converted from random coil to β-sheet [Figure 1 (D)] after 72 hours, while Aβ40(L17A/F19A) remained largely in a random coiled state over a 120-hour period [Figure 1 (B)]. A plot of β-sheet propensity (CD signal at 218 nm vs. time) for Aβ40(L17A/F19A) and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) is shown in Figure 2 (B) and (D). Compared to the β-sheet propensity of Aβ40 and Aβ40(E22G), the β-sheet propensity for Aβ40(L17A/F19A) and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) was lower. The β-sheet conversion rate of Aβ40(L17A/F19A) and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) was slower than those of Aβ40 and Aβ40(E22G), suggesting that replacement of L17 and F19 with alanine increased the conformational stability of Aβ, even for Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G). Taken together, the results demonstrated that Aβ40(E22G) underwent the fastest transition. The rate of conformation conversion was the order, Aβ40(E22G)>Aβ40> Aβ40 (L17A/F19A/E22G)>>Aβ40 (L17A/F19A).

Aggregation Kinetics of the Aβ Peptide

We further investigated the aggregation kinetics for Aβ40, Aβ40(E22G), Aβ40(L17A/F19A), and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G). Figure 3 (A), (B), (C), and (D) show the aggregation process for wild-type Aβ40, Aβ40(L17A/F19A), Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G), and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) using the Th-T binding assay. Solid lines show the best fit curves using equation (1). The aggregation process of Aβ40(L17A/F19A) as shown in Figure 3 (B) contained a lag phase through the whole incubation period, suggesting that the aggregation ability of Aβ40(L17A/F19A) was reduced compared to that of wild-type Aβ40. Furthermore, the Th-T intensity of Aβ40(E22G) at the origin point was higher than that of others [Figure 3 (C)], and the aggregation profile of Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) was most likely a hyperbolic curve instead of the typical sigmoidal curve.

Under the same conditions, the aggregation profiles of Aβ40 and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) [Figure 3 (B) and (D)] were the typical sigmoidal shape, although the aggregation profile of Aβ40 lacked the nucleation stage. The results show that both Aβ40 and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) underwent typical nucleation-dependent aggregation processes. This demonstrates that, similar to Aβ40(L17A/F19A), alanine replacement of L17 and F19 can reduce the aggregation rate of Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G). In Table 1, the aggregation rate of Aβ40(E22G) is 200-fold higher than that of Aβ40 and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G), indicating that the aggregation of Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) was faster than other Aβ40 peptides and may have undergone a nucleation-dependent polymerization.

Molecular State of Aβ Peptides

We further examined the molecular state of Aβs using western blot analysis. Figure 4 (A) shows the blot of Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) and other Aβ40 peptides at day 0, day 1, day 2, and day 3. Consistent with the aggregation profiles, Aβ40(E22G) aggregated into more severe polymorphologies than other Aβ40 peptides. Compared with Aβ40, Aβ40(L17A/F19A), and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G), Aβ40(E22G) showed a smeared band at day 1 in the western blot. This phenomenon even becomes obvious at day 3 for Aβ40(E22G). For Aβ40(L17A/F19A), the aggregation profile was less obvious, even at day 2 when western blotting showed slight smearing. The aggregation profiles for Aβ40 and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) were approximately similar.

The structural state of these Aβ40 peptides at day 3 was further confirmed using FT-IR spectra. The FT-IR spectrum area from 1400–1800 cm⁻¹ were curve fitted to determine the status of possible β-sheet, random coil, and α-helix structures [43]. The spectrum area of β-sheet/aggregated is at 1610–1640 cm⁻¹ and α-helix/unordered is at 1660–1685 cm⁻¹ [14]. As shown in Figure 4 (B), the 1665 cm⁻¹ peak of Aβ40(E22G) showed a significant shift to a 1626 cm⁻¹ peak, while for Aβ40(L17A/F19A), the 1665 cm⁻¹ peak of Aβ40(E22G) did not shift to this wavelength. The shift of the 1665 cm⁻¹ peak to 1626 cm⁻¹ for Aβ40 and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) was less obvious than that of Aβ40(E22G). Taken together, the results confirmed that Aβ40(E22G) aggregates more than other Aβ40 peptides. These results also show that L17 and F19 mutants can reduce the rate of aggregation.

TEM Morphology of Aβ Fibrils

Figure 5 shows the results of TEM for Aβ40, Aβ40(L17A/F19A), Aβ40(E22G), and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) from day 1 to day 6. TEM morphologies of Aβ40, Aβ40(E22G), and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) were all aggregated into fibrils. In contrast, no fibrils were observed for Aβ40(L17A/F19A), even at day 6. The Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) was the fastest to form fibrils at day 2, Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) formed fibrils at day 4, while wild-type Aβ40 did not form obvious fibrils until day 5. This is consistent with aggregation characterized by the other assays. The morphologic study clearly demonstrated that replacement of L17 and F19 with alanine reduced the aggregation ability of wild-type Aβ40 and Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G).

Cell Viability

As shown previously in this report, replacement of L17 and F19 with alanine reduced the aggregation ability and stabilized the conformation for not only wild-type Aβ40, but also for Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G). Because the conformational state and aggregation ability are linked with toxicity, the effects of L17 and F19 on toxicity induced by Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) was further investigated. Comparative cell viability of Aβ40 peptides, including wild-type Aβ40, Aβ40(L17A/F19A), Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G), and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G), was studied as shown in Figure 6. The results show the comparative cell viabilities after treatment with 30 μM of Aβ40, Aβ40(L17A/F19A), Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G), and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) peptides at 48 hours and 72 hours. Both at 48 hours and 72 hours, the toxicity induced by Aβ40(E22G) was more severe than with other peptides. Similar to a previous study [37], Aβ40(L17A/F19A) showed less toxicity than other peptides. However, toxicity induced by Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) was approximately the same as Aβ40, suggesting that alanine replacement of L17 and F19 reduced the cytotoxicity induced by Aβ40(E22G), which is consistent with the aggregation rate and structural stability. Cell viability at 48 hours and 72 hours was on the order of Aβ40(L17A/F19A) > Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) = Aβ40 > Aβ40(E22G).

Effect of Aβ on ROS

Excessive ROS generation from dysfunctional or damaged mitochondria may trigger autophagy which removes the damaged mitochondria [44]. Flow cytometry shows that the rate of cell death was greatest for Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) [Figure 7(A)].

Figure 7. Formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by SHSY5Y cells. (A) Flow cytometry after DCFH-DA staining to measure ROS production of cells treated with 30 μM Aβ peptides for 48 hours. (B) Total cells are 10000 events. P1 and P2 populations are compared with control cells without peptide (red). The histogram showing Aβ40 is blue; Aβ40(L17A/F19A) is orange; Aβ40(E22G) is green; and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) is olive. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061874.g007
which was consistent with the cell viability assay. Flow cytometry also showed that treatment with Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) resulted in decreased ROS production (Figure 7B), and that treatment with Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) resulted in higher ROS that caused cell death faster than Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G). The same results were obtained for wild-type and Aβ40(L17A/F19A) [Figure 7B]. Together, the results indicated that L17A/F19A mutants could reduce ROS-induced oxidative DNA damage which could cause cell death.

Discussion

Aggregation and toxicity of Aβ is highly correlated with its conformational states. Conformational change is a key step in the Aβ-aggregation cascade, during which the conformation of Aβ undergoes a structural conversion from either α-helix or random coil to β-sheet [15,16]. Numerous studies have shown that prevention of conformational change can reduce Aβ aggregation. Furthermore, there have been many studies to elucidate the contribution of individual amino acid residues to helix stabilization [18,30]. The effects of amino acid sequence variations on the conformational change of Aβ may provide useful information for further understanding the molecular mechanism of Aβ aggregation.

Previous study has shown that L18A/F19A/F20A mutant can stabilize α-helix conformation [30,31,33,34]. Interestingly, our previous study identified that the amino acids L17 and F19 play a key role in the stabilization of the Aβ40 conformation, leading to the reduction of its aggregation and cytotoxicity [37]. Therefore, we would further test the effects of L17 and F19 on the conformation, aggregation, and toxicity of other Aβ40 mutants. We used the same methodologies as the previous study, constructing a rational mutation Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) of Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G), and studied its conformation, aggregation ability, and cytotoxicity.

Although, unlike Aβ40(L17A/F19A), where alanine replacement of L17 and F19 of wild-type Aβ40 could completely inhibit the aggregation and toxicity, the rate of conformation conversion, aggregation ability, and toxicity of Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) were greatly reduced in comparison with those of Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G), which is known to be the most toxic FAD species. Interestingly, regarding the rate of conformation conversion, aggregation ability, and induced neurotoxicity, the related properties of Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) were approximately the same as wild-type Aβ40. Previously, several studies have shown that Aβ aggregation can be blocked by helix inducing reagents, such as trifluoroethanol and sodium dodecyl sulfate [45]. Moreover, it has been shown that residues 16–23 have been predicted as the region of discordant helix [30–34], and any factors which stabilize the conformation of this discordant helix region could prevent the aggregation of Aβ40. Our previous study identified 17–25 as the discordant helix region [36]. In another study we reported that instead of V18, F19, and F20, only L17 and F19 may be enough to stabilize the conformation of Aβ40 and inhibit its aggregation, showing that residues L17/F19 are important residues that can significantly increase the helical potential [37]. In the present study, we further demonstrated that residues L17 and F19 play crucial roles in the stabilization of Aβ40 structure. This is consistent with the hypothesis of discordant helix that residues 17–20 constitute the most sensible region for environmental changes, playing an important role in the conformational stabilization of not only Aβ40, but also other mutants such as Aβ40(E22G).

Beta-amyloid can cause cell death through the well-known process of oxidative stress. This process is an imbalance between the systemic manifestations of RNS and ROS [12,13]. Many neurodegenerative diseases have high levels of oxidative stress, especially those affected by ROS. Our present results showed that treatment with Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) results in more ROS than Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G). We also showed that treatment with Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) can reduce ROS production to a level approximately equal to that produced by Aβ40. These results again demonstrate that L17 and F19 are important residues in the reduction of cytotoxicity.

The amyloidogenic differences between Aβ40(L17A/F19A) and Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) further indicate that the propensity of the discordant helix is highly dependent on the sequence, because the inhibition ability of L17A/F19A on aggregation and toxicity of Aβ40(L17A/F19A/E22G) was weaker than that of Aβ40(L17A/F19A). This is obviously affected by the E22G mutation. Mutation of E22 with G22 may increase the propensity of the discordant helix of Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) in a stronger manner than that of wild-type Aβ40. This provides a possible explanation why Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) is more toxic than wild-type Arctic-type Aβ40, because replacement of glutamate with glycine may increase the hydrophobicity. Therefore the helix propensity is less stable for the discordant helix region of Aβ40(E22G), and as a consequence, Arctic-type Aβ40(E22G) more easily aggregates and is more toxic than wild-type Aβ40. In conclusion, our results confirm that the discordant helix region of Aβ is located at residues 17–25, which is sensitive to environmental changes. Furthermore, our study further demonstrates that residues L17 and F19 of this discordant helix may play a crucial role in the stabilization of Aβ conformation. Changes such as alanine replacement of L17 and F19 may stabilize the conformation, to diminish aggregation and reduce the neurotoxicity of Aβ40 and even Aβ40(E22G). Thus, our results provide important information about structural parameters involved in the Aβ-aggregation cascade.
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