Case Report

Co-occurrence of lumbar spondylolysis and lumbar disc herniation with lumbosacral nerve root anomaly
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Abstract

Lumbosacral nerve root anomalies are the leading cause of lumbar surgery failures. Although co-occurrence of lumbar spondylolysis and disc herniation is common, it is very rare to observe that a nerve root anomaly accompanies these lesions. A 49-year-old male patient presented with sudden-onset right leg pain. Examinations revealed L5/S1 lumbar spondylolysis and disc herniation. At preoperative period, he was also diagnosed with lumbosacral root anomaly. Following discectomy and root decompression, stabilization was performed. The complaints of the patient diagnosed with lumbosacral root anomaly at intraoperative period were improved at postoperative period. It should be remembered that in patients with lumbar disc herniation and spondylolysis, lumbar root anomalies may coexist when clinical and neurological picture is severe. Preoperative and perioperative assessments should be made meticulously to prevent neurological injury.
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INTRODUCTION

Lumbosacral nerve root anomalies are the main causes of failed lumbar surgery and intraoperative neural injury. Several studies in the literature have documented lumbosacral nerve root anomalies. The most common ones are the adherent nerve root anomalies characterized by abnormal adherence of two adjacent roots.[1,2] The prevalence of the disorder ranges between 1.2% and 17%, and it is as high as 6% in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies and 30% in cadaveric studies.[3-8] L5-S1 is the most common involvement level.[1,3-7,9,10] Some researchers have reported that radicular symptoms are more common in this disease owing to tension of the adherent nerve roots.[6,7,9,11] Surgical outcomes are less satisfactory in the presence of nerve root anomalies.[7,12] Roots are more susceptible to retraction owing to adherence and tension.[2-7] Furthermore, it is technically demanding to perform discectomy.[7]

Combination of L5-S1 spondylolysis and adherent nerve root anomaly has been only rarely reported in the literature. In this paper, we aimed to discuss clinical, radiological signs and surgical therapy in a patient with a rare combination of lumbar disc herniation and spondylolysis with lumbosacral nerve root anomaly.

CASE REPORT

This is a case report of a 49-year-old male with lumbosacral root anomaly accompanying L5/S1 lumbosacral spondylolysis and disc herniation. All clinical, radiological, and surgical signs were evaluated. Previous literature was reviewed and main findings were compared with literature data. Our patient had occasional low back pain for 5 years and severe right leg pain for 1 week. He presented with sensory and motor symptoms originating
from L5 and S1 nerve roots. Bilateral and dynamic lumbosacral X-rays and three-dimensional computed tomography (CT) showed bilateral pars interarticularis defect and spondylolysis of L5, and MRI demonstrated L5/S1 disc herniation with right paramedian foraminal extension [Figures 1 and 2]. Neurological examination was remarkable for hypoesthesia on a region corresponding to L5 and S1 dermatome, and loss of strength in extensor hallucis longus and tibialis anterior muscles. Therefore, a surgical intervention was decided.

L5 spinolaminar process was totally removed using the line of bilateral pars interarticularis, and L5/S1 posterior instrumentation was performed. It was observed that S1 root ascended above normal at right L5/S1 disc space. Whether the appearance thicker than normal is a disc or root anomaly is not differentiated; it was noted that S1 root ascended with L5 root as a tense and single root when pushed medially [Figure 3]. Lateral portion of the facet was partly removed and foraminotomy was performed followed by completion of bilateral L5/S1 discectomy and performance of posterior lumbar interbody fusion with spinolaminar process in the resulting space [Figure 4].

The visual analog scale of the patient, which was nine at the preoperative period, regressed to one. The patient mobilized at postoperative day 1 later and discharged at postoperative day 2.

During the review of the preoperative MRI of the patient, it was noted that the root traversing the right L5/S1 level had a greater transverse diameter than that of the left root on axial cross-sections [Figure 2].

**DISCUSSION**

It has been reported that root anomalies may cause radiculopathy in the absence of mechanical compression such as in lumbar disc hernia or spinal stenosis.[6,9,11] Lumbosacral root anomalies are one of the main causes of neural injuries and surgical failure. It is particularly sensitive to retraction owing to tension on nerve roots and discectomy is more difficult to perform. Nerve roots cannot be mobilized safely and neural injuries may ensue.[13]

Clinical symptoms are more severe than expected in cases with root anomaly. In these patients, a prodromal claudication with or without radiculopathy should make one think of a diagnosis of root anomaly. A straight leg raising test is near normal.[14]

Neidre and MacNab,[11] Cannon et al.,[9] Postacchini et al.,[1] Kadish and Simmons,[15] made different classifications about root anomalies. However, none of these are either specific or sensitive. In the past, root anomalies have been demonstrated in myelography.[15] Later, CT myelography has been used as the ideal imaging modality to define these anomalies. MRI is currently the modality of choice.[5,7] Findings such as parallel, crescent fat, corner, or sagittal shoulder are present, especially on axial T1 and T2-weighted cross-sections. Showing the furcating root on coronal plane MRI is the best method.[3,7,16,17]

It should be always remembered during surgical exploration that a nerve root anomaly may be present. In the case of an...
unnoticed adherent nerve root the risk of nerve root injury is increased by excessive pulling of neural components by intraoperative manipulation. Neural injury may also ensue, while the surgeon tries to find a piece of lumbar disc hernia during the operation. Dural laceration, nerve root injury, or root avulsion can occur by excessive pulling an abnormal nerve root. This, in turn, may lead to persistent, or even worsened, leg pain, that is battered root syndrome. Moreover, nerve root anomaly may be misperceived as a disc hernia and incised with resultant iatrogenic neural injury.[7]

Pamir et al,[12] in an article published in 1992, reported that laminectomy, facetectomy, and pediculectomy are required, and a standard surgical procedure does not suffice to complete adequate decompression in such patients. In another report it was suggested that routine microdiscectomy would not suffice and foraminal decompression would be needed in the presence of root anomaly combined with disc herniation.[14] As White et al. have reported, it is obvious that morbidity rate will increase due to excessive traction of neural components when an additional facetectomy is not performed.[6] Taghipour et al.[18] operated all of 22 patients with root anomaly with additional laminectomy, medial facetectomy and pediculectomy.

CONCLUSION

Patients with lumbar spondylolysis and disc hernia with more severe clinical and neurological signs than expected should prompt physicians to consider coexisting lumbosacral root anomalies. In such circumstances, the diagnosis should be established with MRI and meticulous preoperative assessments should be made when planning surgery. At perioperative period, the possibility of presence of a root anomaly should always be kept in mind to avoid neurological injuries.
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