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Environmental Impact of Pharmaceutical Pollutants: Synergistic Toxicity of Ivermectin and Cypermethrin
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Abstract: Veterinary antiparasitic pharmaceuticals as well as pesticides have been detected in surface waters, and they may cause several toxic effects in this environmental compartment. In the present study, we evaluated the toxicity after exposure of different concentration of ivermectin (IVM; 50, 100, and 200 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$) and cypermethrin (CYP; 5, 10, and 25 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$) and the combination of these two compounds at non-toxic concentration (IVM 100 + CYP 5 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$) in zebrafish embryos. Combination of IVM at 100 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ with CYP at 5 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ exposure induced hatching delay and malformations at 96 hpf in zebrafish larvae as well as significant induction of cell death in zebrafish larvae. At the same time, the two single concentrations of IVM and CYP did not show a toxic effect on zebrafish development. In conclusion, our study suggests that IVM and CYP show a synergistic effect at common, ineffective concentrations, promoting malformation and cell death in fish development.
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1. Introduction

In natural environments, aquatic organisms are more likely to be exposed to several molecules mixtures than to single compounds [1]. Furthermore, low levels of veterinary drugs have been detected worldwide in the environment, which implies an important risk for organisms and environment [2,3]. Several studies have shown that veterinary antiparasitic pharmaceuticals have the potential to affect aquatic organisms such as crustaceans and fish [4–6]. Avermectins (AVM), isolated in the 1970s, are mostly macrocylic lactones with potent anthelmintic activity. To combat arthropod and nematode infestations in both companion and farm animals, a number of drugs characterized by macrocyclic lactones are used; among them, ivermectin (IVM) is one of the most widely used [7]. For several decades, IVM has also been used in salmon aquaculture although its mechanism of action in vertebrates has not yet been fully elucidated. Researchers have reported that IVM is used to control infestations of the ectoparasites Lernathropus kroyeri (copepod) and Ceratothoa oestroides (isopod) in the Mediterranean [8]. In past years, IVM was widely used in salmonid aquaculture but has been almost entirely replaced by the potentially less toxic emamectin [9]. In fact,
the toxic action of IVM has been observed on several species, e.g., *Daphnia magna* (LC50 at 48 h = 25 ng. L\(^{-1}\)) as well as among crustaceans and mollusks [10–12]. Nevertheless, the United States Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) concluded that IVM does not present an ecological risk because the amounts in surface waters observed are low, less than 2 ng. L\(^{-1}\) [12]. Other studies conducted in laboratories have shown effects of IVM even at long exposures, and 0.001 ng. L\(^{-1}\) was the lowest observed effect concentration (LOEC) for the growth rate, reproduction, and sex ratio of *Daphnia magna* in a 21-day exposure [5].

Recently, researchers reported the potential toxic effects of IVM in different life stages of fish using zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) as a model organism. Oliveira et al. reported that IVM exposure in embryos induced toxicity (LC\(_{50}\) 800 µg L\(^{-1}\)) in a different manner compared to the juvenile and adult zebrafish (EC\(_{50}\) 17.21 µg L\(^{-1}\) in juvenile, 74.88 µg L\(^{-1}\) ). A different effect was seen for sublethal endpoints, such as biochemical analysis on factors involved in oxidative stress. In fact, the molecular response at some concentrations was different. For example, at the biochemical and behavioral level, the responses were obtained in the same range of concentrations (from 40 to 90 µg L\(^{-1}\) respectively), whereas for mortality rate, the EC\(_{50}\) was higher (1038 µg L\(^{-1}\) ) [13]. Another study reported toxic effects of IVM on both acute and chronic exposure in zebrafish, showing an EC\(_{50}\) of 73.3 µg L\(^{-1}\) at 96 hpf and an LOEC of 60 µg L\(^{-1}\) [14].

The mechanism of action of IVM is still not completely clear; however, several studies have shown that it increases the activity of the glutamate-gated chloride channel (GluCl) in invertebrates such as parasites and helminths, and this activation stimulates the opening of the GABA receptors and Glu-Cl [15].

With this study, we aim to gain insight into the effects of IVM in the presence of other environmental contaminants on the early-life stages of zebrafish. The zebrafish was chosen because it is widely used as a model organism for developmental biology, physiology, molecular genetics, and toxicology [16,17]. Studies on the toxic effects of mixed pollutants on organisms in aquatic ecosystems can better reflect the real toxicity situation [18,19]. Animal species that live in contact with farmland or water bodies adjacent to agricultural lands have a high probability of coming into contact with pesticides or pollutants. Among the different pesticides used against multiple species of pests in several plantations, one of the most common is cypermethrin (CYP), a type II pyrethroid [20,21].

Previous studies have shown that CYP concentrations in surface waters average less than 1 µg L\(^{-1}\) [22] but may reach as high as 2.8 µg L\(^{-1}\) in some cases [23]. Several studies reported an effect of CYP on DNA damage and apoptosis in adult zebrafish [24,25] as well as a toxicity effect in the embryo-larval stages of zebrafish study, as indicated by increased malformations related to an increase of apoptosis signal in the nervous system [26]. In fact, at the molecular level, CYP increases nitric oxide (NO) and Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, which result in the production of ROS. Increased ROS production may induce lipid, protein, and DNA oxidation, resulting in mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis [27,28].

In addition, a previous study showed that the chronic exposure of a mixture of CYP (1 µg L\(^{-1}\) ) and another potential water contaminant increased sublethal endpoints on adult zebrafish that were much greater than the sum of the effects in fish exposed to the individual toxicants. [29]. Therefore, although the potential risks of pesticides are evaluated, their combined effects with other environmental pollutants (e.g., pharmaceutical or heavy metal) require special attention [30].

Since synthetic pyrethroids and IVM have been found in surface waters, their potential presence in the same polluted aquatic environments increases the risk of potential co-toxicity. Thus, the main objectives of this study was to investigate the toxicity of the individual but more importantly the combined effects of several concentrations of IVM and CYP on the development of embryo and larva zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) in order to highlight a combined toxicity between different contaminants.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Zebrafish Maintenance and Embryo Collection

Wild-type (WT) mature zebrafish at an age of 6 months were used for embryos production. Zebrafish maintenance and embryo collection of fertilized eggs were provided from the Center of Experimental Fish Pathology (Centro di ittiopatologia Sperimentale della Sicilia, CISS, University of Messina, Italy). The fish were fed both with dry and live food twice a day at 3% of body weight (BW). For a successful reproduction, mature females and males were mated at 2:1 ratio. The day after, the eggs were collected and bleached, and afterwards, non-fertilized eggs were discarded. Only embryos which reached the blastula stage were used for experiments.

2.2. Fish Embryo Toxicity (FET) Test

The fish embryo toxicity (FET) test was performed according to OECD [31] and ISO 15088. Firstly, preliminary experiments consisting of varying concentrations of individual toxicant were conducted to determine the concentration–response curve of IVM and CYP (Figure 1). Fertilized eggs were transferred into 24-well plates with test solutions and incubated at 28 °C at a 14:10 h day/night light regime. Embryo medium was composed with 15 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.15 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.05 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.7 mM NaHCO₃, and pH 7.3. Briefly, embryos were exposed to water only (blank control); 0.1% acetone (v/v, vehicle control); IVM at nominal concentrations of 50, 100, and 200 µg L⁻¹; and CYP at nominal concentrations of 5, 10, and 25 µg L⁻¹ (3 replicates; 20 eggs in each replicate; 3 independent experiments). The IVM and CYP solutions were daily changed, and the entire mortality and developmental abnormalities of embryos and larvae were monitored and photo-recorded at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hpf [32]. Photographs of the embryos were obtained under a stereomicroscope (Leica M0205C, multifocal). Fresh larval specimens were killed with an overdose of anesthetic MS-222 (tricaine methanesulfonate) at a dose greater than 0.6 µg L⁻¹ before sampling for various analyses.

Figure 1. Chemical structures of ivermectin and cypermethrin.

2.3. Survival, Heart, Hatching Rate, and Morphological Score

IVM (5 mg. L⁻¹) and CYP (5 mg. L⁻¹) stock solution was prepared by dissolving IVM and CYP in acetone (0.1%). Test solutions with IVM (50, 100, and 200 µg L⁻¹) and CYP (5, 10, and 25 µg L⁻¹) concentrations were prepared by dilution of the stock solution using filtered tap water. Healthy embryos at 4 h post fertilization (hpf) were collected and exposed to various concentrations of IVM and CYP in embryo medium. The embryonic development was monitored, and the parameters such as survival, heartbeat, and hatching rate as well as potential malformations such as pericardial edema, pigmentation, and axial spinal curvature in the hatched larvae were evaluated during the exposure period [33]. To measure heart rate, embryos at 48, 72, and 96 hpf were examined under stereomicroscope in a room with the same temperature (28 °C) and allowed to stabilize for 30 min prior to manual counting. Ten randomly selected embryos were used for heart rate measurement. For each treatment, conditions were measured for four intervals of 60 s under a stereomicroscope. Morphology scores were determined at 96 hpf as previously described [34]. Nine endpoints, including body shape, somites, notochord, tail, fins, heart, face, brain, and pharyngeal arches/jaws, were examined to evaluate the phenotypes of the zebrafish, and eight larval specimens per group were used for scoring [34]. To measure heart rate, embryos at 48, 72,
and 96 hpf were manually counted under a stereomicroscope. For each treatment condition, ten embryos were selected at random, and their heart rates were measured for four intervals of 20 s.

2.4. Pericardial Sac and Yolk Sac Areas

To measure pericardial and yolk sac areas, lateral view images of each embryo were taken at the same magnification, and outline of the pericardial sac and yolk sac, respectively, was traced, and the area within each tracing was determined by Image J program (Version 1.8.0, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

2.5. TUNEL

TUNEL staining protocol was conducted in agreement with the manufacturer, Roche as previously described [35]. The larval sections included in the paraffin were deparaffinized in xylene and were rehydrated by a series of alcohols at decreasing percentages of ethanol permeabilized with 0.1 M citrate buffer and then incubated in TUNEL reaction mixture for 60 min at 37 °C in the dark. The tissue was then rinsed in PBS three times for 5 min and was then observed using exciting wavelengths in the range of 520–560 nm (maximum 540; green) and in the range 570–620 nm (maximum 580 nm; red)

2.6. Western Blot

Western blot analysis was performed as previously described [36–38]. Briefly, zebrafish larvae were homogenized in ice-cold RIPA buffer to extract proteins. Each set of larvae (20 per experimental group of each experiment) was pooled for protein preparation such that n = 1 refers to protein from these 20 larvae. Protein concentrations were determined by the BCA method [39,40]. After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred from gels onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane 0.42 µm (GE Amersham, Casoria NA). Primary antibodies were incubated at 4 °C overnight, and antibody against Bax (Abcam ab32503, 1:800), bcl-2 (Abcam ab18285, 1:800), iNOS (Antibodies A323357, 1:500), and the proteins expression were normalized according to the expression of GAPDH (Abcam ab181602, 1:1000). After being washed three times with TBST, the membrane was incubated with horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG or goat anti-mouse IgG (diluted at 1:5000) for 2 h at room temperature. Finally, the immunoreactive bands were detected using the ECL methods, and the protein bands were quantified by densitometry with BIORAD ChemiDocTM XRS+ software (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The protein expressions were obtained by analyzing the density ratio of target proteins to GAPDH expression.

2.7. Materials

All compounds used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.8. Statistical Evaluation

All values in the figures and text are expressed as the mean ± standard error (SD) of N number of experiments. The results were analyzed by two-way for graphs where there were two variables, such as time and different exposures (e.g., survival rate), or one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey post hoc test for multiple comparisons. The data were tested for normal distribution with the Shapiro–Wilk test (p < 0.05), and they are represented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) (alpha value of 0.05). Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism 8.
3. Results

3.1. IVM and CYP Effect on Morphology, Survival Rate, Heart Rate, and Hatching Rate

To identify the suitable concentrations and time points for the following experiments, IVM ranging from 50, 100, and 200 µg L\(^{-1}\) concentrations added in embryo water, was applied to observe morphology of larvae until 96 hpf (hours post fertilization).

As presented in Figure 2, IVM concentrations of 50 and 100 µg L\(^{-1}\) did not alter the zebrafish morphology until 96 hpf as compared to control group. IVM 200 µg L\(^{-1}\) group induced a suite of abnormalities, including body axis curvature and pericardial edema, in hatched embryos (1% and 6% of hatched embryos, respectively, Figure S1). The effect of IVM on the embryo development was observed up to 96 hpf. Lethality was caused right from 48 to 96 hpf at 200 µg L\(^{-1}\) (survival rate 76.77% at 48 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001; 70.3% at 72 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001; 50.3% at 96 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001). Nevertheless, no mortality occurred in embryos at concentrations lower 50 and 100 µg L\(^{-1}\) by 96 hpf. Then, IVM-induced zebrafish mortality, which was presented in Table 1. Embryo development in the control was normal: hatching began from 48 to 72 hpf, while no mortality was seen (Table 1). When embryos were exposed with various concentrations of IVM, the hatching rate decreased significantly in the highest concentration group. Compared with control, for embryos exposed to low concentrations (50 and 100 µg L\(^{-1}\)), no hatching influence was found. The hatching delay occurred at concentrations of 200 µg L\(^{-1}\) of IVM from 72 until 96 hpf (97 at 72 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001; 94% at 96 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001), and the embryos that hatched comprised around 5% at 96 hpf. Heart rates were recorded to determine the effect of IVM on cardiac function. In both the control and IVM 50 µg L\(^{-1}\)-treated embryos as well as in the 100 µg L\(^{-1}\) group, heart rate did not show differences from 48 to 96 hpf. However, from 48 to 96 hpf, significant bradycardia (\(p\)-value < 0.001 at 48 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001 72 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001 at 96 hpf) was observed in embryos treated with 200 µg L\(^{-1}\) IVM compared with the CTRL (Table 1). The statistical data of \(F\), degrees of freedom, and \(p\)-value of survival, hatching, and heart rate are reported on Table 1.

In addition, the toxic effect of CYP exposure was demonstrated at different concentrations (5, 10, and 25 µg L\(^{-1}\)) on morphology, survival, hatching, and heart rate (Figures 3 and 4). Negative effects on both normal morphologies, with abnormalities such as spinal axis curvature (20%, Figure S1), as well as decrease of survival (73.77% at 48 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001; 71.3% at 72 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001, 50.3% at 96 hpf, \(p\)-value < 0.001), hatching
rate (88% at 72 hpf, 91% at 96 hpf, p-value < 0.001), and heart rate were observed at 96 hpf for the highest concentration of 25 µg L$^{-1}$ and slight effects for the medium concentration 10 µg L$^{-1}$ (survival 82.3% at 96 hpf, p-value < 0.001; hatching rate 95.6% at 96 hpf, p-value 0.0013). No toxic effects were seen for the low concentration 5 µg L$^{-1}$ of CYP (Figure 4). In addition, no differences were found in the group exposed to only 0.1% acetone compared to CTRL in terms of malformations, survival, hatching, and heart rate. The statistical data of F, degrees of freedom, and p-value of survival, hatching, and heart rate are reported on Table 1.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** Optical micrographs showing physical malformation in comparison with control after incubation with CYP and acetone 0.1% for 96 hpf.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**Figure 4.** The survival hatching and heart rate of embryos exposed to different concentrations of IVM (A–C) and CYP (D–F), respectively, were determined at the designate time. Values = means ± SD of three independent experiment data: *** at p < 0.001 against CTRL. Photographs are representative of the experimental group’s situation (n = 20).
Table 1. The statistical data of F distribution, degrees of freedom and p value.

| Figure | Description | F Distribution F (Dfn, DFd) | Df (Degrees of Freedom) | p-Value |
|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| 1      | Survival rate | F (16, 50) = 426.9          | 30                      | p < 0.0001 |
| 1      | Hatching rate | F (8, 30) = 3384            | 28                      | p < 0.0001 |
| 1      | Heart rate   | F (8, 30) = 3384            | 30                      | p < 0.0001 |
| 1      | Survival rate | F (16, 50) = 326.8          | 30                      | p < 0.0001 |
| 2      | Hatching rate | F (8, 28) = 5.469          | 28                      | p < 0.0001 |
| 2      | Heart rate   | F (8, 28) = 5.469          | 30                      | p < 0.0001 |
| 3      | Hatching rate | F (6, 24) = 3990          | 24                      | p < 0.0001 |
| S1     | Morphology score | F (3, 8) = 25.11     | 11                      | p = 0.0002 |
| S1     | Pericardial area (IVM) | F (3, 8) = 4.762  | 11                      | p = 0.0345 |
| S1     | Pericardial area (CYP) | F (3, 8) = 3.799  | 11                      | p = 0.0582 |
| S1     | Yolk sac area (IVM) | F (3, 8) = 1.161    | 11                      | p = 0.3827 |
| S1     | Yolk sac area (CYP) | F (3, 8) = 2.622   | 11                      | p = 0.1226 |

3.2. Co-Exposure of IVM and CYP Effect on Malformation and Hatching Rate

Phenotypic defections at time points up to 96 hpf were noted. Compared with the control group, the malformation rate of the single-exposure IVM and CYP group showed no significant change. Moreover, when embryos were co-exposed with both IVM and CYP, the hatching rate decreased significantly compared to the control (3% at 72 hpf, p-value < 0.001, 4% at 96 hpf, p-value < 0.001). When the IVM and CYP were co-exposed, developmental defects were seen (morphology score 2.5 at 96 hpf, p-value < 0.005) (Figure 5C). Contrary in the single IVM and CYP groups, at 100 and 5 µg L⁻¹, respectively, no hatching influence was found. At this point, we used the highest non-toxic concentration of both IVM and CYP to highlight any synergic toxic effect. The statistical data of F, degrees of freedom, and p-value of hatching rate and morphology score are reported in Table 1.

3.3. Co-Exposure of IVM- and CYP-Induced Apoptotic Process in Zebrafish Larvae

To investigate if apoptosis was induced in zebrafish embryos upon exposure to IVM, CYP, or their combination, TUNEL assays were performed to detect apoptotic cells at 96 hpf. In embryos treated with IVM and CYP groups alone, at 100 and 5 µg L⁻¹, respectively, a low level of apoptosis was detected in the yolk, such as the labeled cells observed in the control embryos (Figure 6). Co-exposure with IVM and CYP was able to significantly increase the apoptosis in terms of labeled cells (Figure 6). No effect on apoptotic cells was found in the acetone-treated group (Figure 6).
3.4. Co-Exposure of IVM- and CYP-Induced Apoptotic Process in Zebrafish Larvae

Next, we performed a representative Western blot of iNOS and apoptotic-related proteins (Bax and Bcl-2), which play a role in the molecular pathway of IVM and CYP toxicity. The results indicated a significant increase the iNOS protein level in the co-exposure of IVM and CYP, while no effect was seen in the single-exposure group (Figure 6). The levels of apoptosis-related proteins (Bax and Bcl-2) increased after co-exposure of IVM and CYP compared to CTRL (Figure 7), while the level of Bcl-2 was downregulated. No difference in the single-exposure group was seen compared to CTRL group for the Bax and Bcl-2 expression (Figure 7).

4. Discussion

This study presented evidence that IVM and CYP in a synergistic manner could result in developmental toxicity in the early-life stages of zebrafish. A suite of abnormalities could be induced in zebrafish embryos after co-exposure to IVM and CYP, in single nontoxic concentrations.
The first objective of the study was to identify an appropriate concentration range for further validation studies on the IVM and CYP concentrations to be exposed in combination. We showed that IVM induced developmental toxicity in zebrafish embryos, particularly delayed hatching and morphological abnormalities. The 50, 100, and 200 µg L\(^{-1}\) IVM concentration chosen for the toxicity studies ranged from inducing no injury to having a noticeable toxic effect on development. IVM exposure at 50 and 100 µg L\(^{-1}\) did not show clear signs of toxicity, while concentration of 200 µg L\(^{-1}\) strongly reduced hatching rate at 72 hpf and slightly reduced survival at 96 hpf. Hatching is a critical moment of zebrafish embryogenesis; consequently, is a consequence of the hatching enzymes activity [41,42]. In addition, the suppression of embryogenesis or the inhibition of mitosis [43] or the incapability of the embryonic larvae to open the eggshell [44] also likely caused the developmental delay. In our study, IVM showed a concentration-dependent toxic effect reflected in an almost complete delay of hatching at 96 hpf for the highest dose. This finding confirms what has been seen in previous studies, where IVM caused a partial delay in hatching at the doses used in our work, while higher concentrations caused an almost complete delay in hatching [13]. In addition, IVM has previously been seen to cause morphological alterations at low concentrations (25 µg L\(^{-1}\)) when exposed for long periods in the zebrafish model [14]. In our study, acute exposure showed a teratogenic effect, characterized by curvature of body axis and pericardial edema at the highest concentration (200 µg L\(^{-1}\)), thus suggesting both acute and chronic concentration-dependent toxic action of IVM.

After analyzing the toxic concentrations of IVM, we focused on the toxicity study of another important contaminant CYP. Indeed, in the category of pesticides, CYP has been seen to have toxic effects on early-life stages of zebrafish development [24–26]. In the current study, CYP at the concentration of 5 µg L\(^{-1}\) caused no alteration in development, whereas higher concentrations of 10 and 25 µg L\(^{-1}\) reduced survival and hatching rate, induced bradycardia, and only at 25 µg L\(^{-1}\) caused pericardial edema. Our data are consistent with previous studies of toxic CYP exposure at 10 and 25 µg L\(^{-1}\) to early-life stages of zebrafish [26,45].

The presence of IVM or CYP as a residue released into the environment can increase the risk to organisms living there and even more if other contaminants with potential toxic effects are present. Thus, in this study, we chose the highest nontoxic effect for IVM and CYP for the combined experimental exposure. This experimental condition is a very common condition in real environmental dynamics, where the combined exposure to several molecules can be even more complicated in terms of molecules source, concentrations, and exposure time. In past studies, it has been seen that CYP in combination with certain contaminants, such as metals, can cause a decrease in detoxification processes in embryos and zebrafish. The combination of IVM and the pesticide CYP at concentrations that are themselves non-toxic showed alteration in early zebrafish development. In fact, co-exposure of 100 µg L\(^{-1}\) of IVM and 5 µg L\(^{-1}\) of CYP increased the incidence of malformations, such as pericardial edemas and heart rate decrease. Moreover, the body length reduction indicated that IVM in combination CYP exposure affects the development of the larvae, resulting in a toxic effect on normal hatching of the embryo. There are no studies or explanations for the effects of IVM or other AVMs in delaying hatching. Our data showed that IVM’s toxic action on hatching was maintained even at lower, previously non-toxic concentrations in the presence of other contaminants such as CYP. Enzymes play a significant role in metabolism and detoxification of pollutants, and as such, pesticides can produce metabolic changes at cellular level by way of influencing enzyme systems. Furthermore, hatching enzymes play a key role in the hatching process. Thus, co-exposure of IVM and CYP can cause combined damage, potentially affecting both enzyme activity and embryo movement in eggs, and this can result in delayed embryo development and chorion rupture by delaying embryo hatching. Critical pathways involved in developmental toxicity are commonly inflammation and oxidative stress [46]. ROS over-production has been shown to be an important apoptotic signal [47–49]. It is well-known that apoptosis is the process of programmed cell death, which may occur in multicellular organisms, especially
In response to environmental toxicant. In this study, a significant increase in the protein expression of iNOS was observed in the combined exposure of IVM and CYP, indicating the occurrence of inflammation response in zebrafish larvae. NO plays a central role in the physiology and pathology of oxidative stress pathway, which is generated primarily by iNOS. Moreover, ROS over-production has been shown to be an important apoptotic signal [47,49]. Several studies have reported that prolonged exposure to IVM as well as CYP can induce an increase in oxidative stress and ROS production [13,26] as well as an increase of apoptotic pathway in zebrafish larvae and adults [24,25]. Our data showed that the co-exposure of IVM and CYP non-toxic concentration was able to increase cell death in zebrafish larvae. These results suggested that induction of cell death might be an important consequence of synergistic toxicity between pharmaceutical contaminants and pesticides early in fish development.

The induction of apoptosis in zebrafish embryos was detected by the levels of apoptosis-related proteins Bax and Bcl-2 and TUNEL, which were assessed by in vivo cell death. A previous study suggested that CYP-induced ROS production acts directly on mitochondria to cause cytochrome c release from mitochondria into the cytosol, which leads to apoptosis [50]. TUNEL assay showed that an abnormal apoptotic signal was observed in the trunk, yolk, and tail after co-exposure of IVM and CYP. Moreover, our data showed that exposure of IVM with CYP increased expression of the apoptosis-inducing target and reduced the expression of the anti-apoptotic factor, while the single exposure showed no effect. These results suggest that apoptosis induction has a key role in the developmental toxicity of pharmaceuticals pollutants in early-life stages of fish development.

It is not yet well-understood how IVM and CYP may act in the mechanism of co-toxicity. We can probably speculate that the toxic action of CYP is potentiated by IVM by increasing ROS production. We hypothesize that co-exposure with CYP may enhance endogenous pro-oxidant mechanisms, which are normally not sufficient to create toxicity, thus leading to the production of oxidative stress and apoptosis. CYP and IVM synergistic action on ROS production through different signaling pathways results in increased oxidative stress and consequently apoptotic process. Further studies will be needed to confirm this hypothesis and investigate the effects even in the long term.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the combination of IVM and CYP at single, ineffective concentrations induced toxicity on embryonic zebrafish development. These results may support the idea that IVM, reported to be present in the environment, can become highly toxic when combined with other water pollutants such as pesticides. Moreover, our results suggest that the combine toxicity of IVM and CYP observed in this experimental model could be an emergent problem for environmental toxicology. Further investigation into the combined effects of IVM and other pollutants such as CYP are needed, and future works may illuminate the mechanisms underlying this synergistic toxicity.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/toxics10070388/s1, Figure S1: The morphological abnormalities in zebrafish caused by IVM and CYP exposure.
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