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Abstract

Background: OnabotulinumtoxinA has been widely used for control of chronic migraine. The aim of the current study was to evaluate the efficacy of different doses of the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy in patients with chronic migraine.

Methods: This is a retrospective paired comparison study on patients with chronic migraine who received at least 3 rounds of 150 units of onabotulinumtoxinA followed by at least 3 rounds of 200 units of onabotulinumtoxinA. The data from the patient-reported questionnaires about headache days, severe headache days and wearing off periods were reviewed.

Results: A total of 175 patients were included in this study. The headache days and severe headache days decreased from 13.62±10.79 and 5.88±6.73 to 11.02±10.61 and 4.01±4.89 days, after increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose, respectively (P<0.001 for both comparisons). The favorable effect of the 200 units compared to the 150 units of the onabotulinumtoxinA, was independent from the headache location and the duration of the onabotulinumtoxinA 150 units therapy; and persisted as patients continued to receive the higher dose of onabotulinumtoxinA. Increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose was also associated with a decreased wearing off period (P<0.05).

Conclusion: Increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose is associated with better headache control and independently improves the numbers of the headache and severe headache days.

Background

Headache is among the most common chief complaints in medicine and neurology [1]. Globally, headache is the second leading cause of years living with disability [2]. Migraine as the second most common primary headache disorder, accounts for the majority of headache related disability and clinic visits [3]. It affects around ten percent of the population and imposes a huge burden on society [2, 3]. Epidemiologic studies have shown that around two percent of the adult population suffers from chronic migraine, which is distinguished from episodic migraine by the number of the headache days [4, 5]. Chronic migraine is far more debilitating and is associated with higher rates of anxiety and depression [6]. Patients with chronic migraine are less successful in their career and have lower income [6]. The higher burden of chronic migraine further necessitates the need for better treatment in this subgroup of patients. Unfortunately, the frequent use of acute pain medications like non-steroidals, triptans, or opioids, may complicate the treatment in patients with chronic migraine [7, 8].

OnabotulinumtoxinA has been found to be effective against a variety of painful disorders, including chronic migraine, myofascial and neuropathic pain [9–11]. In 2010, United States food and drug administration approved onabotulinumtoxinA as a preventative therapy for chronic migraine [12]. It is associated with improvement in several headache related measures, like frequency of headache and migraine days, headache related disability and quality of life [11, 13]; and its beneficial trend persists over
one year after initiation of the therapy [14, 15]. Although the role of onabotulinumtoxinA in treatment of chronic migraine is well studied, it is not clear if its beneficial effect is dose dependent. In the Phase 3 REsearch Evaluating Migraine Prophylaxis Therapy (PREEMPT) trial, providers were allowed to increase the administered dose of onabotulinumtoxinA by 40 units which roughly equals 25 percent of the minimum injected dose [11]. Similarly, headache providers commonly modify the onabotulinumtoxinA dose, even though, thus far, there is no compelling evidence of a dose dependent response [16].

The highly disabling nature of chronic migraine dictates the need for more effective treatments. Overall, the prophylactic migraine treatments are underutilized, although their use results in lower healthcare costs [17, 18]. OnabotulinumtoxinA is not an exception here, and patients may benefit from higher doses of onabotulinumtoxinA. The aim of the current study is to better characterize the role of the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy in chronic migraine and determine whether its effect is dose dependent.

**Methods**

**Study population**

This is a retrospective paired comparison study conducted on patients who were receiving onabotulinumtoxinA for chronic migraine at Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN.

Chronic migraine was defined as ≥15 headache days per month, with headaches having migrainous features on ≥8 days per month, averaged over the previous three months [19]. Charts of the patients who visited our onabotulinumtoxinA clinic from 10/1/2020 till 12/31/2020 were reviewed. In order to be eligible for this study, patients should have at least three rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 150 units followed by at least three rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 200 units. In accordance with the State of Minnesota law, the individuals who declined the use of their medical records for research, were not included. Additionally, individuals with missing data, and those who did not show up within one week before or after the due date for their next onabotulinumtoxinA appointment, were excluded. This study was approved by Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board (registration number 20-012142).

**OnabotulinumtoxinA injection protocol**

At Mayo Clinic in Rochester, for migraine prophylaxis patients start with 150 units of onabotulinumtoxinA. The injections sites and the doses are shown in Figure 1. In summary, during onabotulinumtoxinA 150 units rounds, patients receive 5 units (1 injection site) in procerus muscle, 5 units (1 injection site) in each corrugator muscle, 5 units (2 injections sites) each frontalis muscle, 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each temporalis muscle, 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each occipitalis muscle, 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each splenius muscle and 25 units (3 injection sites) each trapezius muscle. If there is no optimal response after at least three rounds of 150 units, the onabotulinumtoxinA dose may be increased to 200 units. The injections sites and the doses for 200 units protocol are shown in Figure 2. In summary, during onabotulinumtoxinA 200 units rounds, patients receive 5 units (1 injection site) in procerus muscle, 5 units (1 injection site) in each corrugator muscle, 5 units (2 injections sites) each frontalis muscle, 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each temporalis muscle, 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each occipitalis muscle, 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each splenius muscle and 25 units (3 injection sites) each trapezius muscle.
25 units (4 injection sites) each temporalis muscle, 25 units (4 injection sites) each occipitalis muscle, 12.5 (2 injection sites) each splenius muscle and 25 units (3 injection sites) each trapezius muscle. Hence, the extra 50 units are divided and injected evenly into the temporalis and occipitalis muscles.

Data collection

The Electronic Medical Record system was used to collect the data, which included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), age of onset of migraine, headache location, aura, and family history of headache. The location of the most intense pain was categorized as 1: frontal, 2: temporoparietal, 3: occipitonuchal, and 4: holocephalic. The last clinic note before the start of the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy was used to record the number of the baseline headache days and severe headache days.

The numbers of the different preventative medications that patients were taking at 36 weeks (equal to three rounds) before the increase in the dose and at the time of the increase to 200 units were also collected. The considered preventative medications were propranolol, atenolol, metoprolol, verapamil, topiramate, sodium valproate, gabapentin, amitriptyline, nortriptyline, venlafaxine and calcitonin gene-related peptide monoclonal antibodies.

During the onabotulinumtoxinA injection appointments, patients are required to complete a questionnaire about the total number of the headache days and severe headache days they have each month. They are also inquired if they feel onabotulinumtoxinA wears off before their next appointment and if yes, how long before the appointment, onabotulinumtoxinA starts to wear off. Patients’ answers to these questions during each round of onabotulinumtoxinA therapy were recorded for the current study.

Statistical analysis

In order to study the efficacy of the higher dose of onabotulinumtoxinA, paired t-test was used to evaluate the trend of the number of the headache days, severe headache days and length of the wearing off period after the increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Total of 175 patients were included in this study. The principal characteristics of the individuals are shown in Table 1. The majority of the individuals were female (77.1%). The mean ± standard deviation (SD) age and BMI of the participants were equal to 47.31±13.45 years and 29.72±7.52 kg/m², respectively. Almost one-third of the patients had aura (34.9%) and two-thirds had a family history of migraine (70.9%). The most common headache location was frontal (41.7%), followed by temporoparietal (29.1%), occipitonuchal (16.0%) and holocephalic (13.1%).
Table 1
Principal characteristics of the enrolled subjects. (n=175)

| Characteristics                      | Values          |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age, year                            | 47.31±13.45     |
| Sex (Female)                         | 135 (77.1%)     |
| BMI, kg/m²                           | 29.72±7.52      |
| Age of onset, year                   | 23.15±13.68     |
| Aura                                 | 61 (34.9%)      |
| Family history of headache           | 124 (70.9%)     |
| Baseline headache days               |                 |
| Total number of headache days        | 25.85±5.73      |
| Number of severe headache days       | 15.20±7.96      |
| Headache location                    |                 |
| Frontal                              | 73 (41.7%)      |
| Temporoparietal                      | 51 (29.1%)      |
| Occipitonuchal                       | 28 (16.0%)      |
| Holocephalic                         | 23 (13.1%)      |

Data presented as mean ± SD, unless otherwise stated.

Figure 3 illustrates the preventative medication use during the course of the study. The percentages of the patients who were not on any preventative therapy other than the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy were not significantly different between 36 weeks before and the time of the increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose (46.3%, vs 42.3%, respectively).

Table 2 shows the trend of headache response to onabotulinumtoxinA. Number of the headache days and number of severe headache days significantly decreased after increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose. After 3 rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 200 units, the headache days and severe headache days decreased from 13.62±10.79 and 5.88±6.73 to 11.02±10.61 and 4.01±4.89, respectively (P < 0.001 for both comparisons). This trend persisted after individuals were divided based on the duration they were on 150 units of onabotulinumtoxinA (Table 3). Patients who were on onabotulinumtoxinA 150 units for at least 8 rounds (which roughly equals to 2 years), reported 12.79±9.77 and 6.67±7.48 headache and severe headache days per month, respectively, at the time of increase of the onabotulinumtoxinA dose. These numbers improved to 10.16±9.57 and 4.22±5.47 after 3 rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 200 units (P < 0.001 for both comparisons). In 22 patients, the onabotulinumtoxinA dose was increased to 200
units after just 3 rounds of 150 units therapy. These patients also tended to report less headaches after increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose, even though the $P$ value did not reach significant levels.

Table 2
Trend of the headache days after increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose. (n=175)

|                                         | Number of the headache days | Number of the severe headache days |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baseline                                | 25.85±5.73                  | 15.20±7.96                        |
| 36 weeks before the increase            | 14.22±10.81                 | 5.88±6.80                         |
| 24 weeks before the increase            | 13.58±10.91                 | 5.46±6.75                         |
| 12 weeks before the increase            | 13.71±10.62                 | 5.32±6.49                         |
| Day of the increase to 200 units        | 13.62±10.79                 | 5.88±6.73                         |
| 12 weeks after the increase             | 11.88±10.55 *               | 4.33±5.23 **                      |
| 24 weeks after the increase             | 12.38±10.69                 | 3.83±4.96 **                      |
| 36 weeks after the increase             | 11.02±10.61 **              | 4.01±4.89 **                      |

Data presented as mean ± SD; * $P<0.01$, ** $P<0.001$ for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.
Table 3
Trend of the headache days by the duration of onabotulinumtoxin150 units therapy.

| Patients who just had 3 rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 150 units before the increase to 200 units (n=22) | Number of the headache days | Number of the severe headache days |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baseline (36 weeks before the increase)                                                       | 25.86±5.45                 | 13.73±8.54                        |
| 24 weeks before the increase                                                                  | 19.41±9.49                 | 8.59±9.11                         |
| 12 weeks before the increase                                                                  | 17.40±11.13                | 7.27±9.15                         |
| Day of increase to 200 Units                                                                  | 15.95±11.02                | 6.59±6.90                         |
| 12 weeks after the increase                                                                   | 15.09±12.09                | 4.23±5.77                         |
| 24 weeks after the increase                                                                   | 13.59±10.89                | 4.27±3.99                         |
| 36 weeks after the increase                                                                   | 14.77±11.53                | 4.86±4.11                         |

| Patients who had less than 8 rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 150 units before the increase to 200 units (n=92) | Number of the headache days | Number of the severe headache days |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baseline                                                                                       | 24.84±6.13                 | 14.26±8.38                        |
| 36 weeks before the increase                                                                  | 17.54±10.90                | 6.92±7.07                         |
| 24 weeks before the increase                                                                  | 15.58±11.53                | 5.74±7.13                         |
| 12 weeks before the increase                                                                  | 14.89±11.22                | 5.08±6.42                         |
| Day of increase to 200 units                                                                  | 14.36±11.64                | 5.17±5.92                         |
| 12 weeks after the increase                                                                   | 12.86±11.36                | 4.10±4.90                         |
| 24 weeks after the increase                                                                   | 12.81±11.36                | 3.47±4.11 **                      |
| 36 weeks after the increase                                                                   | 11.79±11.47 *              | 3.83±4.32 **                      |

| Patients who had at least 8 rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 150 units before the increase to 200 units (n=83) | Number of the headache days | Number of the severe headache days |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baseline                                                                                       | 26.97±5.04                 | 16.25±7.36                        |
| 36 weeks before the increase                                                                  | 10.53±9.48                 | 4.72±6.34                         |
| 24 weeks before the increase                                                                  | 11.37±9.77                 | 5.16±6.33                         |
| 12 weeks before the increase                                                                  | 12.40±9.93                 | 5.59±6.59                         |
| Day of increase to 200 units                                                                  | 12.79±9.77                 | 6.67±7.48                         |

Data presented as mean ± SD; * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.
| Time After Increase | Number of Headache Days | Number of Severe Headache Days |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 12 weeks           | 10.79±9.52 *            | 4.59±5.59 **                  |
| 24 weeks           | 11.90±9.94              | 4.23±5.76 ***                 |
| 36 weeks           | 10.16±9.57 **           | 4.22±5.47 **                  |

Data presented as mean ± SD; * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.

Out of the 175 patients, 117 and 51 patients were followed for at least 60 (i.e. 5 rounds) and 84 weeks (i.e. 7 rounds) after the increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose, respectively (Table 4). On average these patients had 13.29 to 14.59 headache days per month while they were on 150 units of onabotulinumtoxinA. The number of the headache days reached as low as 10.51±9.74 (P < 0.001) and 10.21±9.919 (P < 0.01) days per month after the fifth and the seventh rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 200 therapy. Similar trend existed for severe headache days.
Table 4
Trend of the headache days in patients who were followed for over 36 weeks after the increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose.

|                                | Number of the headache days | Number of the severe headache days |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Patients who were followed for at least 60 weeks after the increase in onabotulinumtoxinA dose (n=117)** |                             |                                   |
| Baseline                       | 26.23±5.63                  | 15.31±7.83                        |
| 36 weeks before the increase   | 13.89±10.69                 | 5.37±6.01                         |
| 24 weeks before the increase   | 13.35±10.41                 | 5.34±6.40                         |
| 12 weeks before the increase   | 13.56±10.39                 | 4.99±6.27                         |
| Day of increase to 200 units   | 13.40±10.37                 | 5.28±6.03                         |
| 12 weeks after the increase    | 12.07±10.40                 | 4.47±5.69                         |
| 24 weeks after the increase    | 13.18±10.77                 | 3.80±4.98 **                      |
| 36 weeks after the increase    | 11.14±10.48 **              | 3.67±4.82 ***                     |
| 48 weeks after the increase    | 12.12±10.70                 | 4.02±5.51 *                       |
| 60 weeks after the increase    | 10.51±9.74 ***              | 3.55±4.93 ***                     |
| **Patients who were followed for at least 84 weeks after the increase in onabotulinumtoxinA dose (n=51)** |                             |                                   |
| Baseline                       | 27.21±4.86                  | 17.08±7.87                        |
| 36 weeks before the increase   | 13.29±10.78                 | 5.08±5.47                         |
| 24 weeks before the increase   | 14.33±10.55                 | 6.18±6.89                         |
| 12 weeks before the increase   | 13.61±10.70                 | 5.37±6.08                         |
| Day of increase to 200 units   | 14.59±10.63                 | 5.00±5.84                         |
| 12 weeks after the increase    | 13.67±10.81                 | 4.78±5.75                         |
| 24 weeks after the increase    | 14.84±10.73                 | 3.63±4.27                         |
| 36 weeks after the increase    | 11.41±10.51 *               | 3.61±4.39 *                       |
| 48 weeks after the increase    | 13.49±11.13                 | 3.57±3.53                         |
| 60 weeks after the increase    | 10.12±9.70 **               | 3.92±5.09                         |
| 72 weeks after the increase    | 11.72±10.84                 | 3.84±4.69                         |

Data presented as mean ± SD; * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.
Number of the headache days | Number of the severe headache days
---|---
84 weeks after the increase | 10.21±9.19 ** | 3.16±4.04 *

Data presented as mean ± SD; * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.

Table 5 reports headache days stratified by the location of the most intense pain. Overall, patients who did not report a specific location for their headaches and had holocephalic pain tended to have more intense headaches compared to the people who were able to identify a location with most intense pain. The favorable effect of the 200 units compared to the 150 units of the onabotulinumtoxinA remained statistically significant in the frontal and temporoparietal headache groups (P< 0.05). In patients with occipitonalchial and holocephalic headaches, onabotulinumtoxinA 200 units tended to be superior to 150 units, even though the difference did not reach significant levels. When these two groups were combined and analyzed together, the difference again became statistically significant (P< 0.05).
| Location                  | Number of the headache days (Mean ± SD) | Number of the severe headache days (Mean ± SD) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Frontal (n=73)**        |                                        |                                               |
| Baseline                  | 26.11±5.23                             | 15.42±8.15                                    |
| 36 weeks before the increase | 14.51±11.09                            | 5.90±6.74                                     |
| 24 weeks before the increase | 14.01±11.27                            | 5.11±6.15                                     |
| 12 weeks before the increase | 13.08±11.47                            | 5.83±7.44                                     |
| Day of increase to 200 units | 13.19±11.33                            | 5.84±7.31                                     |
| 12 weeks after the increase | 12.05±11.15                            | 4.68±6.33  *                                  |
| 24 weeks after the increase | 13.41±11.11                            | 4.57±6.44                                     |
| 36 weeks after the increase | 11.37±11.25  *                         | 4.08±5.77  **                                |
| **Temporoparietal (n=51)** |                                        |                                               |
| Baseline                  | 26.16±5.63                             | 15.37±7.80                                    |
| 36 weeks before the increase | 14.06±10.85                            | 5.25±5.69                                     |
| 24 weeks before the increase | 12.35±10.28                            | 5.20±5.44                                     |
| 12 weeks before the increase | 12.45±9.62                             | 5.08±5.04                                     |
| Day of increase to 200 units | 14.16±10.43                            | 6.00±5.16                                     |
| 12 weeks after the increase | 11.86±9.78  *                         | 4.18±4.59  *                                  |
| 24 weeks after the increase | 11.02±10.24  **                        | 2.98±3.66  ***                                |
| 36 weeks after the increase | 11.14±9.77  *                         | 4.02±4.10  *                                  |
| **Occipitonuchal (n=28)** |                                        |                                               |
| Baseline                  | 23.50±6.75                             | 13.39±6.62                                    |
| 36 weeks before the increase | 10.75±9.59                             | 3.93±4.33                                     |
| 24 weeks before the increase | 12.86±11.13                            | 5.36±7.36                                     |
| 12 weeks before the increase | 12.53±10.24                            | 3.82±5.77                                     |
| Day of increase to 200 units | 11.57±9.55                             | 4.36±6.59                                     |
| 12 weeks after the increase | 8.61±9.15                              | 3.07±3.94                                     |

Data presented as mean ± SD; * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.
|                                                                 | Number of the headache days | Number of the severe headache days |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 24 weeks after the increase                                     | 9.78±9.65                   | 2.61±2.38                         |
| 36 weeks after the increase                                     | 7.39±9.01                   | 2.79±3.33                         |
| Holocephalic (n=23)                                             |                             |                                   |
| Baseline                                                       | 27.22±5.71                  | 16.35±9.26                        |
| 36 weeks before the increase                                    | 17.87±10.54                 | 9.56±10.04                        |
| 24 weeks before the increase                                    | 15.83±11.10                 | 7.30±8.45                         |
| 12 weeks before the increase                                    | 16.74±10.73                 | 6.04±6.95                         |
| Day of increase to 200 units                                    | 16.26±11.30                 | 7.65±7.92                         |
| 12 weeks after the increase                                     | 15.35±11.26                 | 5.09±3.89                         |
| 24 weeks after the increase                                     | 15.30±11.03                 | 4.83±3.93                         |
| 36 weeks after the increase                                     | 14.04±11.53                 | 5.26±4.97                         |
| Occipitonuchal and holocephalic (n=51)                          |                             |                                   |
| Baseline                                                       | 25.18±6.51                  | 14.72±7.98                        |
| 36 weeks before the increase                                    | 13.96±10.55                 | 6.47±7.91                         |
| 24 weeks before the increase                                    | 14.20±11.10                 | 6.23±7.85                         |
| 12 weeks before the increase                                    | 14.43±10.57                 | 4.82±6.36                         |
| Day of increase to 200 units                                    | 13.69±10.54                 | 5.84±7.34                         |
| 12 weeks after the increase                                     | 11.65±10.61                 | 3.98±4.01                         |
| 24 weeks after the increase                                     | 12.27±10.56                 | 3.61±3.33 *                       |
| 36 weeks after the increase                                     | 10.39±10.66 *               | 3.90±4.29 *                       |

Data presented as mean ± SD; * \( P<0.05 \), ** \( P<0.01 \), *** \( P<0.001 \) for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.

Total of 146 patients were on the same number of preventative medications 36 weeks before and at the time of escalation of the onabotulinumtoxinA dose. As shown in Table 6, onabotulinumtoxinA 200 units remained to be superior to 150 units irrespective to the change in the number of the headache preventative medications \( P<0.05 \).
Table 6
Trend of the headache days by change in the number of the headache preventative medications.

| Time of Assessment          | Number of the headache days | Number of the severe headache days |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| **Patients who were on the same number of the preventative medications (n=146)** |                             |                                     |
| Baseline                   | 25.94±5.77                  | 15.54±8.04                         |
| 36 weeks before the increase | 13.55±11.04                | 5.66±6.97                          |
| 24 weeks before the increase | 12.67±10.78                | 5.19±6.86                          |
| 12 weeks before the increase | 13.04±10.73                | 5.00±6.46                          |
| Day of increase to 200 units | 12.81±10.66                | 5.42±6.26                          |
| 12 weeks after the increase | 10.85±10.36 **             | 3.77±4.71 ***                      |
| 24 weeks after the increase | 11.70±10.45                | 3.59±4.73 ***                      |
| 36 weeks after the increase | 10.36±10.46 **             | 3.70±4.73 ***                      |
| **Patients who were on different number of the preventative medications (n=29)** |                             |                                     |
| Baseline                   | 25.41±5.56                  | 13.52±7.44                         |
| 36 weeks before the increase | 17.55±9.02                 | 7.00±5.85                          |
| 24 weeks before the increase | 18.17±10.51                | 6.83±6.08                          |
| 12 weeks before the increase | 17.07±9.89                 | 6.90±6.49                          |
| Day of increase to 200 units | 17.69±10.69                | 8.21±8.47                          |
| 12 weeks after the increase | 17.07±10.12                | 7.17±6.74                          |
| 24 weeks after the increase | 15.79±11.42                | 5.00±5.96 *                        |
| 36 weeks after the increase | 14.34±10.92 *              | 5.55±5.43 *                        |

Data presented as mean ± SD; * \( P<0.05 \), ** \( P<0.01 \), *** \( P<0.001 \) for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.

Table 7 reports the wearing off period after different doses of onabotulinumtoxinA. After excluding new patients and those who had >25 headache days at the time of increase and/or 36 weeks after the increase of the onabotulinumtoxinA, patients reported less wearing off days while they were on 200 units of onabotulinumtoxinA (14.68±9.21 vs. 16.91±10.36 days, \( P<0.05 \), Table 7).
Table 7
Trend of the wearing off periods after increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose. (n=113)

| Wearing off period, days |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| 36 weeks before the increase | 16.11±9.11 |
| 24 weeks before the increase | 15.67±11.08 |
| 12 weeks before the increase | 16.54±11.94 |
| Day of increase to 200 Units | 16.91±10.36 |
| 12 weeks after the increase | 14.00±10.75 * |
| 24 weeks after the increase | 13.26±9.13 ** |
| 36 weeks after the increase | 14.68±9.21 * |

Data presented as mean ± SD; * \( P<0.05 \), ** \( P<0.01 \) for the paired t-test compared to the day of increase to 200 units.

Discussion

The data presented in this paired comparison study favor the onabotulinumtoxinA 200 units over 150 units in headache control. The beneficial effect of the higher dose of onabotulinumtoxinA persisted after patients were stratified by different confounding variables like duration of the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy, headache location and change in the number of the headache preventative medications. The favorable effect of the higher dose of onabotulinumtoxinA extended to the wearing off period as well.

In the PREEMPT 1, onabotulinumtoxinA therapy led to a significant improvement in the headache days (-7.8 and -6.4 days after onabotulinumtoxinA and placebo treatments, respectively) and migraine days (-7.6 and -6.1 days after onabotulinumtoxinA and placebo treatments, respectively) [20]. Similarly, PREEMPT 2, demonstrated significant decrease in the headache days (-9.0 and -6.7 days after onabotulinumtoxinA and placebo treatments, respectively) and migraine days (-8.7 and -6.3 days after onabotulinumtoxinA and placebo treatments, respectively) [21]. Although both trials favored onabotulinumtoxinA therapy at the dose of 155-195 units for headache control, individuals in placebo arms also experienced significant improvement in the headache related variables [20, 21]. In a small study, Cady et al. reported 10.1 and 5.2 day decrease in the number of migraine days in the onabotulinumtoxinA and placebo groups, respectively [22]. In another study, onabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients had 2 less headache days than those who received placebo treatment [23]. A systematic review on onabotulinumtoxinA therapy in migraine patients concluded that onabotulinumtoxinA reduces the number of the migraine days by 2 days per month [24]. Interestingly, our results showed that increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose improves the number of headache days by around 2 days. This value is similar to the amount of the improvement after onabotulinumtoxinA vs. placebo treatments in the abovementioned trials. The improvement after higher dose of onabotulinumtoxinA persisted and even
gradually progressed as patients continued to receive the higher dose. Sensitivity analysis showed that the subjects, who have been on onabotulinumtoxinA 150 units for over 2 years and have reached a steady state in terms of the number of the headache days, also experience a significant drop in their headache days after increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose.

There are controversial data about the onabotulinumtoxinA effective dose in headache prevention. In PREEMPT trials, authors did not evaluate the efficacy of the different doses of onabotulinumtoxinA, instead they compared the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy at the doses ranging from 155 to 195 units to the placebo treatment [20, 21]. Silberstein et al., in a randomized clinical trial compared different doses of the onabotulinumtoxinA (i.e. 75, 150 and 225 units) to the placebo treatment [25]. After 240 days, patients who received 150 or 225 units of onabotulinumtoxinA experienced significant decrease in the headache frequency. This difference did not reach significant levels for the group treated with onabotulinumtoxinA 75 units. Nevertheless, no group was consistently superior in their study [25]. In another study, patients who did not respond to the conventional onabotulinumtoxinA therapy (mean dose ± SD: 170 ± 10 units) were enrolled to receive six rounds of IncobotulinumtoxinA 200 units followed by two rounds of 200 units of onabotulinumtoxinA [26]. In that study, higher dose of botulinumtoxinA therapy showed sustained benefit in the frequency and severity of the monthly headache episodes [26]. Similarly, 195 units of onabotulinumtoxinA was superior to 155 units in a small study on Russian women [27]. Our findings confirm the dose-dependent response to onabotulinumtoxinA therapy. Notably, in our patients after three rounds of onabotulinumtoxinA 200 units, the decrements in the total number of the headache days and severe headache days were similar and were around 2 days. This suggests that the higher onabotulinumtoxinA dose primarily reduces the severe headache days rather than the milder headaches which are less debilitating.

After dividing the patients by the headache location, the beneficial effect of the higher onabotulinumtoxinA persisted in patients with frontal and temporoparietal headaches. In patients with occipitonuchal and holocephalic headaches, although there was a trend favoring higher dose of onabotulinumtoxinA, the difference did not reach significant levels; perhaps because of the small sample sizes and limited statistical power. When these two groups were combined and analyzed together, again the difference between 200 and 150 units of onabotulinumtoxinA became statistically significant. Based on the PREEMPT injection protocol, it has been recommended that clinicians use the “follow-the-pain” strategy and inject up to 40 additional units of onabotulinumtoxinA in 8 different injection sites [11]. Our study suggests that the beneficial effect of the higher dose of onabotulinumtoxinA is independent from the headache location and the sites of the injection. For instance, patients with frontal headaches reported less headaches on 200 units of OnabotulinumtoxinA, even though the extra 50 units were injected into their temporalis and occipitalis muscles and they continued to receive the same dose of onabotulinumtoxinA on their forehead. Our results dispute the “follow-the-pain” strategy. We suggest clinicians do not necessarily need to use the “follow-the-pain strategy” in order to improve the headache control. This is especially important when injecting extra units of onabotulinumtoxinA to a certain location like forehead, can increase the risk of adverse events such as ptosis.
The antinociceptive duration of onabotulinumtoxinA therapy is not well known. It is supposed that the duration is similar to the neuromuscular blockade effect of onabotulinumtoxinA (i.e. 12 weeks), even though its analgesic effect is unrelated to the inhibition of the neuromuscular transmission [28, 29]. About half of the patients with chronic migraine report sooner than expected wearing off of the antinociceptive effects of the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy [30]. In this subgroup of patients, the wearing off period is estimated to be around 18 days, and is associated with increased consumption of the analgesic medications [30]. Although individual-based adjustment of the treatment interval is suggested for certain neurological conditions, shorter onabotulinumtoxinA treatment interval increases the risk of neutralizing antibody formation and may result in the treatment failure [31, 32]. Our results show that the wearing off period is inversely associated with the dose of the onabotulinumtoxinA. Because patients with poor headache control may not be able to reliably report the wearing off period, we excluded those who had over 25 headache days at certain times during the course of the study. Given that patients with long wearing off periods are generally recommended against having onabotulinumtoxinA sooner than the regular 12 week interval schedule, increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA may be considered as an alternative approach to improve the headache control in this subset of patients.

The financial burden of migraine is substantial on patients and their employers. The total annual direct plus indirect healthcare costs are around $9000 higher in migraine patients compared to their non-migraine counterparts [33]. Chronic migraine is also associated with higher healthcare cost than episodic migraine [34]. The migraine indirect costs are mostly related to the loss of productivity during the patients’ peak employment years [33], and have larger economic impact than direct costs especially in patients with severe migraine [35]. In chronic migraine, indirect costs constitute around 70% of the total migraine related costs [36]. Hu et al. estimated $13.3 billion migraine related indirect costs and 3.8 and 8.3 missed workdays and 7.5 and 7.6 impaired working days annually in American men and women, respectively [37]. In a Canadian population based study, 77% of migraineurs reported restriction in their functional ability, 50% had to discontinue the normal activities, 30% had to cancel family or social activities, and 19% reported missing work time during their last migraine attack [38]. Severe headache days have more impact on the function and productivity of patients and hence impose higher financial burden on the patients and employers. We found that increase in the dose of onabotulinumtoxinA, reduces the number of severe headache days by around 2 days or 30%. This improvement can lead to less missed and impaired working days, which by itself improves the productivity of patients and migraine costs.

The main limitation of the current study is that the patients were not blinded to the dose of the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy. We controlled this potential source of bias, by following a subset of our patients for almost two years after the increase in the onabotulinumtoxinA dose. On the contrary to the placebo like effects, which would decrease with continuation of therapy; our patients improved as they continued to receive the higher dose of the onabotulinumtoxinA.

Conclusions
This is the first paired comparison study, which evaluates the response to different doses of the onabotulinumtoxinA therapy. We found higher dose of onabotulinumtoxinA leads to sustained improvement in headache control. The dose dependent effect of onabotulinumtoxinA is independent from duration of the therapy, and headache location. Hence, patients with unsatisfactory response to the conventional dose of onabotulinumtoxinA may gain benefit from higher doses.

**Abbreviations**

BMI, Body mass index; PREEMPT, Phase 3 REsearch Evaluating Migraine Prophylaxis Therapy; SD, Standard deviation.

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN (registration number 20-012142). In this study, the requirement for informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board of Mayo Clinic. During the data collection, patients’ data were de-identified. In accordance with the State of Minnesota law, the individuals who declined the use of their medical records for research, were not included in this study.

Informed written consent for publication was obtained from the individual whose photographs were used in Figures 1 and 2.

**Consent for publication**

Informed written consent for publication was obtained from the individual whose photographs were used in Figures 1 and 2.

**Availability of data and materials**

The anonymized patient level data are available upon request from the corresponding author, Fred Michael Cutrer.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Funding**

No funding was received for this research.

**Authors’ contributions**
AZ contributed to the design of the study, collected and analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. FMC contributed to the design of the study, edited and revised the manuscript and supervised the study. Both authors approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the individual who let us use her pictures in Figures 1 and 2 of this article.

Authors’ information

AZ: Assistant Professor of Neurology, Department of Neurology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1685 Highland Avenue, Madison, WI 53705 USA. Phone: +1 (608) 263 5448. Fax: +1 (608) 263 0412. Email: Zandieh@wisc.edu

FMC: Corresponding author. Professor of Neurology, Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, MN 55905 USA. Phone: +1 (507) 284 4961. Fax: +1 (507) 266 4419. Email: Cutrer.michael@mayo.edu.

References

1. Finley CR, Chan DS, Garrison S, Korownyk C, Kolber MR, Campbell S, Eurich DT, Lindblad AJ, Vandermeer B, Allan GM. What are the most common conditions in primary care? Systematic review. Can Fam Physician. 2018;64:832–840

2. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392:1789–1858

3. Robbins MS, Lipton RB. The epidemiology of primary headache disorders. Semin Neurol. 2010;30:107–119

4. Castillo J, Muñoz P, Guitera V, Pascual J. Kaplan award 1998. Epidemiology of chronic daily headache in the general population. Headache. 1999;39:190–196

5. Scher AI, Stewart WF, Liberman J, Lipton RB. Prevalence of frequent headache in a population sample. Headache. 1998;38:497–506

6. Zebenholzer K, Lechner A, Broessner G, Lampl C, Luthringshausen G, Wuschitz A, Obmann SM, Berek K, Wöber C. Impact of depression and anxiety on burden and management of episodic and chronic headaches - a cross-sectional multicentre study in eight austrian headache centres. J Headache Pain. 2016;17:15

7. Lipton RB, Bigal ME. Chronic daily headache: Is analgesic overuse a cause or a consequence? Neurology. 2003;61:154–155

8. Diener HC, Limmroth V. Medication-overuse headache: A worldwide problem. Lancet Neurol. 2004;3:475–483
9. Göbel H, Heinze A, Reichel G, Hefter H, Benecke R. Efficacy and safety of a single botulinum type a toxin complex treatment (dysport) for the relief of upper back myofascial pain syndrome: Results from a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre study. Pain. 2006;125:82–88

10. Ranoux D, Attal N, Morain F, Bouhassira D. Botulinum toxin type a induces direct analgesic effects in chronic neuropathic pain. Ann Neurol. 2008;64:274–283

11. Dodick DW, Turkel CC, DeGryse RE, Aurora SK, Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, Diener HC, Brin MF. Onabotulinumtoxina for treatment of chronic migraine: Pooled results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phases of the preempt clinical program. Headache. 2010;50:921–936

12. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/103000s5236lbl.pdf

13. Lipton RB, Varon SF, Grosberg B, McAllister PJ, Freitag F, Aurora SK, Dodick DW, Silberstein SD, Diener HC, DeGryse RE, Nolan ME, Turkel CC. Onabotulinumtoxina improves quality of life and reduces impact of chronic migraine. Neurology. 2011;77:1465–1472

14. Lipton RB, Rosen NL, Ailani J, DeGryse RE, Gillard PJ, Varon SF. Onabotulinumtoxina improves quality of life and reduces impact of chronic migraine over one year of treatment: Pooled results from the preempt randomized clinical trial program. Cephalalgia. 2016;36:899–908

15. Aurora SK, Winner P, Freeman MC, Spierings EL, Heiring JO, DeGryse RE, VanDenburgh AM, Nolan ME, Turkel CC. Onabotulinumtoxina for treatment of chronic migraine: Pooled analyses of the 56-week preempt clinical program. Headache. 2011;51:1358–1373

16. Begasse de Dhaem O, Gharedaghi MH, Rizzoli P. Modifications to the preempt protocol for onabotulinumtoxina injections for chronic migraine in clinical practice. Headache. 2020;60:1365–1375

17. Devine J, Hadsall R, Cline R, Schommer J, Virnig B. Effect of daily migraine prevention on health care utilisation in an insured patient population. J Headache Pain. 2007;8:105–113

18. Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Diamond M, Freitag F, Reed ML, Stewart WF. Migraine prevalence, disease burden, and the need for preventive therapy. Neurology. 2007;68:343–349

19. https://ichd-3.org/1-migraine/1-3-chronic-migraine/.

20. Aurora SK, Dodick DW, Turkel CC, DeGryse RE, Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, Diener HC, Brin MF. Onabotulinumtoxina for treatment of chronic migraine: Results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase of the preempt 1 trial. Cephalalgia. 2010;30:793–803

21. Diener HC, Dodick DW, Aurora SK, Turkel CC, DeGryse RE, Lipton RB, Silberstein SD, Brin MF. Onabotulinumtoxina for treatment of chronic migraine: Results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase of the preempt 2 trial. Cephalalgia. 2010;30:804–814

22. Cady R, Turner I, Dexter K, Beach ME, Durham P. An exploratory study of salivary calcitonin gene-related peptide levels relative to acute interventions and preventative treatment with onabotulinumtoxina in chronic migraine. Headache. 2014;54:269–277

23. Freitag FG, Diamond S, Diamond M, Urban G. Botulinum toxin type a in the treatment of chronic migraine without medication overuse. Headache. 2008;48:201–209
24. Herd CP, Tomlinson CL, Rick C, Scotton WJ, Edwards J, Ives N, Clarke CE, Sinclair A. Botulinum toxins for the prevention of migraine in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;6:CD011616
25. Silberstein SD, Stark SR, Lucas SM, Christie SN, Degryse RE, Turkel CC. Botulinum toxin type a for the prophylactic treatment of chronic daily headache: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Mayo Clin Proc. 2005;80:1126-1137
26. Fragoso G. Incobotulinumtoxina (xeomin®) and onabotulinumtoxina (botox®) for chronic migraine headache: Experience with higher doses and changes to the injection technique. Journal of Neurological Disorders. 2014;02
27. Naprienko MV, Smekalkina LV, Surnova EA. Efficacy of different doses of botox in treatment of chronic migraine. Zh Nevrol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova. 2017;117:44–48
28. Escher CM, Paracka L, Dressler D, Kollew K. Botulinum toxin in the management of chronic migraine: Clinical evidence and experience. Ther Adv Neurol Disord. 2017;10:127–135
29. Ramachandran R, Yaksh TL. Therapeutic use of botulinum toxin in migraine: Mechanisms of action. Br J Pharmacol. 2014;171:4177–4192
30. Khan FA, Mohammed AE, Poongkunran M, Chimakurthy A, Pepper M. Wearing off effect of onabotulinumtoxina near the end of treatment cycle for chronic migraine: A 4-year clinical experience. Headache. 2020;60:430–440
31. Dressler D, Tacik P, Saberi FA. Botulinum toxin therapy of cervical dystonia: Duration of therapeutic effects. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2015;122:297–300
32. Lange O, Bigalke H, Dengler R, Wegner F, deGroot M, Wohlfarth K. Neutralizing antibodies and secondary therapy failure after treatment with botulinum toxin type a: Much ado about nothing? Clin Neuropharmacol. 2009;32:213–218
33. Bonafede M, Sapra S, Shah N, Tepper S, Cappell K, Desai P. Direct and indirect healthcare resource utilization and costs among migraine patients in the united states. Headache. 2018;58:700–714
34. Messali A, Sanderson JC, Blumenfeld AM, Goadsby PJ, Buse DC, Varon SF, Stokes M, Lipton RB. Direct and indirect costs of chronic and episodic migraine in the united states: A web-based survey. Headache. 2016;56:306–322
35. Edmeads J, Mackell JA. The economic impact of migraine: An analysis of direct and indirect costs. Headache. 2002;42:501–509
36. Lanteri-Minet M. Economic burden and costs of chronic migraine. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2014;18:385
37. Hu XH, Markson LE, Lipton RB, Stewart WF, Berger ML. Burden of migraine in the united states: Disability and economic costs. Arch Intern Med. 1999;159:813–818
38. Pryse-Phillips W, Findlay H, Tugwell P, Edmeads J, Murray TJ, Nelson RF. A canadian population survey on the clinical, epidemiologic and societal impact of migraine and tension-type headache. Can J Neurol Sci. 1992;19:333–339
Figures

A) 5 units (1 injection site) in procerus muscle, 5 units (1 injection site) in each corrugator muscle, and 5 units (2 injection sites) each frontalis muscle. B) 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each temporalis muscle. C) 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each occipitalis muscle. D) 12.5 (2 injection sites) each splenius muscle. E) 25 units (3 injection sites) each trapezius muscle.

Figure 1

OnabotulinumtoxinA 150 units protocol. A) 5 units (1 injection site) in procerus muscle, 5 units (1 injection site) in each corrugator muscle, and 5 units (2 injections sites) each frontalis muscle. B) 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each temporalis muscle. C) 12.5 units (2 injection sites) each occipitalis muscle. D) 12.5 (2 injection sites) each splenius muscle. E) 25 units (3 injection sites) each trapezius muscle.
Figure 2

OnabotulinumtoxinA 200 units protocol. A) 5 units (1 injection site) in procerus muscle, 5 units (1 injection site) in each corrugator muscle, and 5 units (2 injection sites) each frontalis muscle. B) 25 units (4 injection sites) each temporalis muscle. C) 25 units (4 injection sites) each occipitalis muscle. D) 12.5 (2 injection sites) each splenius muscle. E) 25 units (3 injection sites) each trapezius muscle.
Figure 3

Pattern of the preventative medication use during the course of the study.