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**Background:** A wide spectrum of variation of the unilateral cleft lip deformity requires an individualized management. Current classifications for unilateral cleft lip are limited to incomplete or complete, and these descriptions do not address well this deformity. The soft tissue deficiency is not considered, which plays an important role in the surgical correction of the unilateral cleft lip. The author developed an innovative technique for surgical correction of unilateral cleft lip with severe soft tissue deficiency.

**Methods:** Since 2007, 168 patients with severe unilateral cleft lip have been operated on by the author, using the proposed surgical technique. The author’s classification of severity considers a severe unilateral cleft lip as a discrepancy between the non-cleft and cleft vertical height greater than 6 mm. The technique uses 2 Z plasties for the upper lip and 1 Z plasty for vermilion repair.

**Results:** This method lets the surgeon to achieve an adequate symmetry of the upper lip. A low rate of revision (14.88%) has been observed for 13 years, using the proposed surgical technique, by the author.

**Conclusions:** An innovative technique to address severe forms of unilateral cleft lip is presented in this article. This method represents a good alternative for cleft lips with increased lateral segment tissue deficiency, providing adequate lip symmetry. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2020;8:e3213; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000003213; Published online 4 November 2020.)
Surgical Technique (Figs. 1, 2).

Markings
Point 1. Right peak of the Cupid’s bow
Point 2. Middle point of the Cupid’s bow
Point 3. Left peak of the Cupid’s bow
Points 1’, 2’, and 3’. Similar as points 1, 2, and 3 but located over red line
Point 4. Midline point between supralabium and infralabium (Pool’s concept)
Point 5. Midpoint of the lip columellar crease
Points 6 and 7. Lateral junction of the columella border and lip columellar crease
Point 8. End’s point of the white roll
Point 8’. Same as point 8 but located over red line
Point 9. Intersection of the subalare crease and medial border of the lateral lip.
A and B. Alar bases. C and D. Oral commissures.

Upper Transposition
7ab Triangle. The base of this triangle is determined by the difference between the non-cleft lip height and the distance 9-c-e-8 at the cleft side. The height of this triangle is equal to the distance between points 9 and B. The rotational incision is the distance 9–B.

Middle Transposition
cde Triangle. The base of the triangle is measured as 3 mm and the height of the triangle is determined by the distance from the point 4 to the point f. Distance from the point 8 to the point e is the same as the distance 3–f. Finally, the rotational incision is the distance f–4.

Lower Transposition
gh8’ Triangle. The base of the triangle is determined by the difference between the cleft side’s vermilion height, and the height of the triangle is determined by the distance from the point 3’ to the point 2’. Distance from the point 8 to the point g is the same as the distance 3–3’. Finally, the rotational incision is the distance 3’–2’.

Final Formula:
e-8 = f-3
d-e = d-c = f-4
7-a = a-b = 9-B
9-c + c-e + e-8 + 7-b = 1-6
8-g + g-8’ = 1-1’

Surgical Procedure
The medial lip incision starts at point 4 and follows the line between 7 and 3’ and completed on the vermillion. A full-thickness incision is made through the cutaneous tissue and a small area of skin, vermillion, and mucosa is excised.

Dissection scissors frees the orbicularis oris muscle from the overlying skin, vermillion, and oral mucosa. After this, an appropriate downward rotation of the Cupid’s bow is completed.

Lateral segment incision starts at point 9 and follows the line to point 8 and finally 8’. This incision continues upward from point 9 following the lower piriform rim as necessary, depending on the width of the cleft. Finally, the advancement flap is released freeing the attachment of the base of the nasal ala from the piriform fossa.
The lateral lip segment incision is completed on the vermilion markings and upper buccal sulcus. These incisions and skin and vermilion resection create the 3 triangular flaps, 2 cutaneous and 1 in the vermilion; and 2 rectangular mucosal flaps are elevated from the cleft margins and used one above the other for oral mucosa repair.

The orbicularis oris muscle and the nasal fascicle of the levator labii superioris alaeque nasi are freed from their abnormal insertion in the alar base area and upper alveolar cleft margin and are turned down. Then the levator alaeque nasi is transposed and sutured to the caudal septum to correct the nostril sill.

Muscle and mucosa repairs are performed using 5-0 absorbable sutures, and dermal interrupted sutures are placed between medial and lateral skin segments. Finally, the skin closure is performed using absorbable (7/0 Vicryl or 6/0 catgut fast absorbing) skin sutures in a simple interrupted form. The nose deformity is repaired primarily using the V-Y-Z technique.

RESULTS

Demographic and other characteristics (number, age, gender, side of cleft, and cleft width) of the 168 patients included in this study are presented as supplemental information (see table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which lists the operative characteristics of the studied patients with severe unilateral complete cleft lip nose. [n: 168]; http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/B506). This method lets the surgeons achieve an adequate symmetry of the upper lip (Figs. 3, 4). Rates of non-desirable outcomes were low, and the most common were long lip and development of a hypertrophic scar. Low rate of lip revision and hypertrophic scar have been observed in the operative group of patients [25/168 (14.88 %) and 17/168 (10.11 %)], respectively.

DISCUSSION

During the first 10 years of my practice as cleft surgeon, the Millard technique was used, obtaining variable results. An increased rate of hypertrophic scar and lip’s asymmetry was observed during this period. Undesirable outcomes using this technique were primarily observed in patients with complete unilateral cleft lip with more tissue deficiency, and the same finding has been observed by Fisher, Tennison, and Randall.

A study published by the author compared three techniques for unilateral cleft lip repair (Millard’s, Millard’s modification, and triple unilimb Z-plasty) and concluded that the rotation advancement method is limited to addressing severe forms of unilateral cleft lip.

An individual management of unilateral cleft lip is required to achieve better surgical outcomes; therefore, the author’s protocol for unilateral cleft lip and palate considers a different technique for each type of cleft lip according to the severity of the cleft.

The main advantages of this innovative technique are preservation of lip tissues, improvement of lateral segment deficiency, and use of similar tissues for lip and nose repair. A limitation of the triple unilimb Z-plasty technique would be the difficulty for secondary repair due to the multiple scars. For these reasons, the technique must be carefully planned and the relevant areas be measured before performing a repair to prevent non-desirable outcomes.

The rate of lip revision is acceptable considering the degree of severity and compared with the rate of lip revisions published by different authors (15%–21%). Development of hypertrophic scar was low in the operative group of patients in comparison with the reported rate for Spanish patients (10.11 versus 32.2 %).

CONCLUSIONS

The presented technique is a good alternative to address severe forms of unilateral cleft lip. This method represents...
an alternative for cleft lips with increased lateral segment tissue deficiency, providing adequate lip symmetry.
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