Survey of Salmonellae occurrence in meat-producing rabbitries in Switzerland
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Abstract

Background: An outbreak of salmonellosis due to Salmonella Typhimurium was detected coincidentally in a Swiss meat rabbitry, given that surveillance of Salmonella in rabbits is not mandatory in Switzerland.

Methods: To assess the extent of potentially subclinical Salmonella carriage in meat rabbits, faecal pool samples of 50 farms (90% of Swiss commercial rabbitries) with ground covering litter and group housing were bacteriologically tested. Additionally, 236 rabbits showing clinical signs compatible with intestinal diseases, such as salmonellosis, were examined postmortem and analysed bacteriologically. Salmonella isolates were serotyped and analysed by whole genome sequencing (WGS).

Results: Salmonella Typhimurium was detected in three commercial farms (6.0% of all tested farms). The affected farms were directly linked to the animal trade and Salmonella isolates were shown to be identical by WGS.

Conclusion: There is no increased hazard for Salmonella carriage in the animal welfare-friendly Swiss husbandry systems in general, despite risk factors such as ground covering litter.
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INTRODUCTION

In 2018, an outbreak of salmonellosis was detected on a Swiss meat rabbitry because of increased mortality rates in fattening and breeding rabbits. Rabbits of all types and ages, with or without clinical signs, were tested positive for Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium (Salmonella Typhimurium). While Salmonella Typhimurium occasionally causes severe outbreaks of salmonellosis with fever, enteritis, abortion and increased mortality,1–5 rabbits may also be subclinical carriers of several Salmonella serovars.2,6–9 Salmonella carriage in clinically healthy livestock can result in contamination of carcasses at slaughter and thereby poses a hazard for meat-borne infections in humans.10–12 In the European Union, salmonellosis ranks second in zoonoses in humans with Salmonella Enteritidis and Salmonella Typhimurium being the two serovars with the highest prevalence.13 Salmonella control programmes implemented in Switzerland mainly focus on poultry, cattle and pigs. They do not consider less abundant food-producing species, such as meat rabbits. While two studies, focussing on suckling mortality14 and on faecal samples of rabbits at slaughter,11 did not detect Salmonella in Swiss rabbits. The current study, with reference to the findings in Farm A, raised the question, whether the extent of Salmonella carriage in commercial rabbits might be underestimated.
In commercial rabbit husbandries in Switzerland, housing on wire mesh floors and single housing of fattening rabbits at the age of less than 8 weeks is prohibited. In this study, the participating farms represent the majority of the commercial production in Switzerland, with husbandry systems exceeding the minimal requirements of the legislation, providing ground covering litter for all rabbits and partly group housing for breeding does. These high-level housing conditions regarding animal welfare may be challenging concerning hygiene management and the prevention of disease transmission. Hence, the objective of this study was to assess the occurrence of Salmonella in Swiss commercial rabbitries under these animal welfare-friendly husbandry conditions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

History of Salmonella positive farm (farm A)

The initial detection of Salmonella Typhimurium on farm A was based on nine submissions of rabbits for postmortem examination at the National Reference Centre for Poultry and Rabbit Diseases (NRGK) in October 2018. During subsequent sanitation efforts on the farm, faecal and environmental samples were examined. Resulting Salmonella isolates were stored.

Study farms and faecal sample collection

A total of 50 Swiss commercial rabbitries were tested for Salmonella (Table 1). At least 60 g of fresh faeces were collected randomly in at least five different pens (both breeding and fattening) on each farm. During the first sampling period (April–December 2019), rabbits were assessed on-site for clinical signs consistent with salmonellosis by the same veterinarian; husbandry and management data were collected. Rabbitries initially testing negative were re-tested in a second sampling period (February–August 2020). On positive farms, animals other than rabbits were also tested to exclude cross-contamination by methods authorized by the Swiss Federal Veterinary Office.

Postmortem examination

In total, 67 meat rabbits from farms testing positive for Salmonella in the faecal sample were examined postmortem at the NRGK. In addition, 193 rabbits of all ages and purposes (fattening, hobby and fancy) from 181 additional husbandries, were analysed during the period of the study to determine the cause of death and also tested for Salmonella – thus serving as a reference group. Macroscopically altered organs were cultured aerobically/anaerobically on Columbia agar with 7% sheep blood and aerobically on bromothymol blue-lactose agar (Oxoid; Thermo Fisher Scientific) all for 24 h at 37°C. Bacterial identification was performed using the Biotyper Matrix-assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization-Time of Flight-Mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) System (Bruker Daltonics). Intestinal samples were screened for the presence of Salmonella as described below.

Salmonella detection by culture

Qualitative detection in animal faeces and organs was carried out according to ISO 6579-1:2017. Following identification with MALDI-TOF-MS, Salmonella serotyping was carried out by the Swiss Reference Laboratory (ZOBA, University of Berne) according to the White-Kaufmann-Le Minor scheme.

Whole genome sequencing

Whole genome sequencing on Salmonella Typhimurium isolates from environmental samples from farm A (101, 122, 143, 170, 215, 257, 261, 288, 514, 540, 547, 658) and from faecal samples of farms B (N19-2115/19-S3101) and C (N-19-2269/19-S3402) was performed using a MiSeq Illumina system (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Briefly, the strains were grown on sheep blood agar at 37°C overnight prior to DNA isolation using the DNA blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland). The DNA libraries were prepared using a Nextera DNA Flex Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina). The Illumina-reads files passed the standard quality checks using the software package FastQC0.11.7 (Babraham Bioinformatics, Cambridge, UK) and were assembled using the Spades 3.14.1 based software Shovill 1.0.4. Core genome multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (cgMLST) analyses, including a minimum spanning tree, were done in Ridom SeqSphere+ version 5.1.0.

RESULTS

In the first screening period, faecal samples of rabbits from 47 farms were negative for Salmonella, while Salmonella Typhimurium was detected in 3/50 farms (6%), that is, farms A, B and C (Table 1). As three rabbitries ceased their business and seven farms did not send the second sample, consecutive faecal samples of 37 farms were analysed, all with negative results.

On farm A, Salmonella Typhimurium was detected in breeding, restocking and fattening rabbits of all ages. Furthermore, environmental samples, including soil, hay or dust from stables, were also positive (positive faecal samples of breeding does 40/545 (7.3%), bucks 0/14 (0%), positive environmental samples 20/32 (62.5%), Table 1). On farms B and C, neither clinical signs compatible with salmonellosis nor increased mortality rates in the fattening units were noticed at the time of the positive sampling. Faecal samples of broilers on farm C and laying hens on farm B tested Salmonella-negative. Given that both farms ceased their business, no consecutive samples were available. Farms B and C were directly linked to farm A by animal trade (Table 1). The spreading of one clone of Salmonella Typhimurium among the three farms was corroborated by whole genome sequencing. Salmonella Typhimurium isolates from the three farms showed only 1–2 allele differences and were therefore grouped within one phylogenetic cluster (Figure 1).

Salmonella was not detected in any of the 193 rabbits that were examined postmortem for diagnostic purposes during the study period. The motivation for the postmortem examinations was pre-existing sickness or unsolved cause of death.
Table 1: Production data of 50 Swiss rabbitries (nine breeding farms, 13 fattening farms and 28 combined farms) and results of pathological and microbiological examination of rabbits originating from Salmonella Typhimurium-positive farms A, B and C

| Farms | Salmonella Typhimurium positive rabbitries | Salmonella Typhimurium negative rabbitries |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|       | Farm A | Farm B | Farm C | Other farms (n = 47) |
| **Salmonella Typhimurium isolates [sample designation]** | Faecal and environmental samples, organs [101, 143, 170, 257, 261, 288, 514, 540, 547] | Pooled faecal sample of both breeding and fattening unit [N19-2115/19-S3101] | Pooled faecal sample [N19-2269/19-S3402] | n.a. |
| **Husbandry** | | | | |
| Breeding does | Number | 560 | 250 | 0 | 3,586 animals (6-360 per farm) |
| | Continuous, group housing | yes | yes | n.a. | 34% (12/35) |
| | Single housing system | no | no | n.a. | 66% (23/35) |
| Fattening rabbits | Number | 10,000 | 1000 | 1200 | 36,348 animals (120-4000 per farm) |
| | All-in/all-out, group housing | yes | yes | yes | 29% (11/38) |
| | Continuous, group housing | no | no | no | 71% (27/38) |
| Mortality | 25.29% | 14.10% | 16.17% | n.a. |
| **Hygiene** | | | | |
| Regular disinfection of pens | Breeding units | no | no | n.a. | yes: 37% (13/35) |
| Fattening units | yes | yes | yes | yes: 63% (24/38) |
| Hygiene at entry | yes, but regular biosecurity breaches | no | no | yes: 13% (6/47) |
| Rodent control | yes | no | yes | yes: 72% (34/47) |
| **Animal movement** | | | | |
| Breeding does | purchase and sale | purchase (including does from farm A) | n.a. | 89% (31/35) purchase; no sale |
| Fattening rabbits | purchase and sale | sale | purchase (from up to seven different breeders, including farm A) | 42% (16/38) purchase; 57% (20/35) sale |
| Other animals on the farm | none | laying hens (Salmonella: negative) | broilers (Salmonella: negative) | n.a. |
| **Postmortem examinations** | | | | |
| Rabbits submitted for postmortem examination | Total number of rabbits | 64 | 1 | 2 | n.a. |
| Diagnoses (number of cases) | | | | |
| Dysentery (15) | Pneumonia (12) | Pasteurellosis (6) | Septicaemia (6) | Salmonellosis (5) | Intestinal coccidiosis (5) | Mucoid enteropathy and enteritis (3) | Rhinitis (3) | Abscesses (2) | Cystitis (1) |
| (Continues)
TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Isolated pathogens (number of cases) | Farm A | Farm B | Farm C | Other farms (n = 47) |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| **Salmonella Typhimurium positive rabbitries** | **Salmonella Typhimurium negative rabbitries** |
| **Farms** | **Bordetella bronchiseptica** (5) | **Bordetella bronchiseptica** (1) | **Escherichia coli** (1) | n.a. |
| Farm A | **Clostridium perfringens** (4) | **Clostridium perfringens** (1) | | |
| | **Escherichia coli** (19) | **Escherichia coli** (1) | | |
| | **Klebsiella pneumoniae** (4) | **Pasteurella multocida** (1) | | |
| | **Pasteurella multocida** (1) | | | |
| | **Staphylococcus aureus** (3) | | | |
| | **Salmonella Typhimurium** (5) | | | |

1 Time periods for the recording of mortality in fattening rabbits: farm A: whilst carrying out postmortem examinations; farms B and C: whilst purchasing rabbits originating from farm A, that is, the time of probable introduction of Salmonella.

n.a. = not applicable.

FIGURE 1 Evolutionary grouping of *Salmonella Typhimurium* isolates from rabbitry samples based on core genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST) allelic profiles in a minimum spanning tree. Farm A: 101, 122, 143, 170, 215, 257, 261, 288, 514, 540, 547, 658; farm B: N19-2115; farm C: N19-2269; numbers of strains correspond to Table 1. Each circle represents an allelic profile based on sequence analysis of >1500 cgMLST target genes. The numbers on the connecting lines illustrate the number of core genes with differing alleles. The smaller the number of the differing alleles, the closer the samples are related to each other. Allele differences ≤8 are considered as genetically closely related strains which thus can be classified as evolutionary groups within phylogenetic clusters (here: one cluster).

death in individual animals or of several animals in the context of herd health monitoring. Clinical signs compatible with salmonellosis or macroscopically conspicuous tissues were observed in 114 cases. At least one of the following causes of disease per rabbit was found instead: dysentery (n = 66), coccidiosis (n = 16), dysbiosis (n = 8), mucoid enteropathy (n = 7), haemorrhagic and necrotizing typhilitis (n = 2), pasteurellosis (n = 15), pneumonia (n = 12), rabbit haemorrhagic disease virus type 2 (n = 7), encephalitozoonosis (1) and different non-infectious diseases (n = 59).

DISCUSSION

Following detection of *Salmonella Typhimurium* in commercial meat rabbits (farm A), consecutive testing revealed 94% (47/50) of Swiss commercial rabbitries to be free from *Salmonella*. The two farms additionally tested positive (B and C) were linked to outbreak farm A via animal trade (Table 1), by purchasing breeding does and/or fattening rabbits. According to cgMLST all isolates of the affected farms belonged to one cluster of genetically nearly identical *Salmonella Typhimurium* strains, displaying a single-linkage distance of no more than two core genes (Figure 1). The combination of cgMLST and epidemiological data (both temporal and spatial link) allows the conclusion that all isolates were derived from one common ancestor. The source of infection is unknown, it is most likely that the origin was farm A, due to insufficient hygiene and rodent management, especially biosecurity breaches at the stable entries; demonstrated by various environmental samples testing positive (Table 1). On farms B and C, *Salmonella Typhimurium* was found in the pooled faecal samples of the rabbits; laying hens (farm B) and broilers (farm C) kept in separate stables tested negative. Farm A was sanitized following a programme by the Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene; farms B and C ceased farming of rabbits.

The finding of 6% of farms positive for *Salmonella Typhimurium* in this study corresponds with the clinical occurrence of *Salmonella* of less than 8% reported in other studies.2–4,7–9 The prevalence in Switzerland might be even lower because the three positive farms correspond to a single outbreak that was detected with clinical surveillance. Among rabbits showing clinical signs compatible with salmonellosis, approximately 4% were positive for *Salmonella Typhimurium*13,14 – the serovar most often isolated in rabbits.2–4,6,7,24 In contrast, in this and previous Swiss studies, none of the clinically conspicuous or perished rabbits tested positive for *Salmonella*.14,22 This confirms that neither clinical signs nor postmortem findings indicative for salmonellosis are pathognomonic in rabbits but resemble different diseases of diverse origins.

The high standard of Swiss husbandry systems in terms of animal welfare is, to a certain extent, of concern regarding the transmission of infectious pathogens among rabbits housed in groups.2,25 Straw bedding is frequently used, which increases contact with faeces and urine – and thus with excreted *Salmonella*.25 While the present study demonstrated a low risk for Swiss rabbitries in general, this husbandry system might predispose in-farm dispersal when coinciding with biosecurity breaches or insufficient disinfection.
Principally, strict biosecurity and regular barn disinfection help to reduce the risk of *Salmonella* contamination and spreading within a farm. However, regular disinfection was neither carried out on *Salmonella*-positive farms A and B, nor in 63% of the breeding units on the negative farms. Only a minority of 14% (7/50) had established a hygiene barrier (entrance and exit area with the change of clothes and handwashing facility before entering the stable). In conclusion, despite partially insufficient biosecurity measures and different risk factors for an entry of *Salmonella* into the husbandry system, the prevalence of *Salmonella* detected in this study was not higher than the one reported from other countries.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank the staff of the involved institutes for their help with postmortem and bacteriological examinations and Nicole Cernela and Marc Stevens for their technical support with the sequencing of the *Salmonella* isolates. Thanks to Roger Stephan for critically reading the manuscript. This study was partly funded by the Swiss Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office, Swiss animal welfare; Coop group; Federation of Migros cooperatives; IP-Suisse (integrated production) and the Association of Swiss Rabbit Producers.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. The project was part of project 1.19.01 of the Swiss Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

All protocols were carried out in strict accordance with the Swiss Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office guidelines (Animal Protection Act, Animal Protection Ordinance). Approval number 30940; BE110/18.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

Data available on request from the authors.

**ORCID**

Julia Schädler @ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2072-8053

Sarah Albini © https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9498-0243

**REFERENCES**

1. Zanon F, Siliotto R & Facchin E. Salmonella Typhimurium infection in a commercial rabbitry. In: 6th World Rabbit Congress, Toulouse, France; World Rabbit Science Association, 1996, pp. 131–33. Available from: world-rabbit-science.com. Last Accessed 18 Oct 2021.

2. Agnoletti F, Lenarduzzi M, Ricci A, Marotta A. Isolation of *Salmonella* spp. from Italian commercial rabbitries. In: International Conference on Rabbit Production in Hot Climates, Zaragoza: CIHEAM; 1999, pp. 189–93. Available from: chewam.org. Last Accessed 18 Oct 2021.

3. Saco M, Pérez de Rozas A, Aloy N, Gonzalez J, Rosell JM, & Radaola JI. Salmonellosis in rabbits: Field and laboratory results during 1999–2001. In: Proceedings 10th World Rabbit Congress, Sharm El Sheikh, Egypt; World Rabbit Science Association, 2012, pp. 1165–68. Available from: world-rabbit-science.com. Last Accessed 20 Oct 2021.

4. Suelam IA, Reda LM. Isolation and identification of *Salmonella enterica* Typhimurium from rabbits. Egyptian J Rabbit Sci. 2015;25(2):337–48.

5. Hasan MS, Hussein M, Owain M, Yousif AA. Clinical signs following experimental *Salmonella Typhimurium* infection in rabbits. Online J Vet Res. 2018; 22(5): 369–73.

6. Belli P, Fontana E, Sommariva M, Scarpli L, Ricci C, Luzi F, et al. The Tunisian traditional rabbit breeding system versus the commercial system: an epidemiological perspective. World Rabbit Sci. 2008;16(4):221–28.

7. Borrelli I, Fioietti A, Ruggiero V, Santaniello A, Cringoli G, Ricci A, et al. *Salmonella typhimurium* DT104 in farmed rabbits. J Vet Med Sci. 2011;73(3):385–8. https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.10-0315

8. Linn JJ, Kim DH, Lee JJ, Kim DG, Min W, et al. Prevalence of *Lawsonia intracellularis* in domestic rabbits and *Salmonella* spp. in healthy and diarrheic pet rabbits. J Vet Med Sci. 2012;74(2):263–5. https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.11-0389

9. Kyle J, McEwen SA, Boerlin P, Reid-Smith RJ, Weese JS, Turner PV. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in fecal *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* in Canadian commercial meat, companion, laboratory, and shelter rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) and its association with routine antimicrobial use in commercial meat rabbits. Prev Vet Med. 2017;147:53–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2017.09.004

10. Rodríguez-Calleja JM, García-López I, García-López ML, Santos JA, Otero A. Rabbit meat as a source of bacterial foodborne pathogens. J Food Prot. 2006;69(5):1106–12. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-69.5.1106

11. Kohler R, Krause G, Beutin L, Stephan R, Zweifel C. Shedding of foodborne pathogens and microbiological carcass contamination in rabbits at slaughter. Vet Microbiol. 2008;121(1–2):149–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.04.020

12. de Freitas Neto OC, Penha Filho RAC, Barrow P, Barrow P, Berchieri Junior A. Sources of human non-typhoid Salmonellosis: a review. Braz J Pouc Sci. 2010;12(1):1–11.

13. European Food Safety Authority and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (EFSA and ECDC). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and foodborne outbreaks in 2017. EFA J. 2018;16(12):e05500.8

14. Leone-Singer A, Hoop R. Untersuchung zur Säuglingsmortalität bei Mastkaninchen in der Schweiz (Suckling mortality in fattening rabbits in Switzerland). Schweizer Archiv für Tierheilkunde-Swiss Archive Vet Med. 2003;145(7):329–35.

15. Schweizer Tierschutzverordnung (Swiss animal welfare legislation). Article 64 and 65. 2020. https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/2008/416/de. Last Accessed 18 Oct 2021.

16. Anforderungen an die Zucht und Mast von Kaninchen. (Particularly animal-friendly housing systems guideline: guideline Coop Swiss Rabbits. Requirements for breeding and fattening rabbits.) 2009. https://kontrolldienst-sts.ch/images/Dokumente/Tierhaltung/RL_BTSKaninchen_Coop_September2009.pdf. Last Accessed 18 Oct 2021.

17. Swiss Association of Integrated Producing Farmers (IP Suisse) IP-Suisse Richtlinien für Kaninchen. (IP Switzerland Guidelines for rabbits). 2016. https://www.ipsuisse.ch/richtlinien-kaninchen/. Last Accessed 18 Oct 2021.

18. O.E.E. Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2021, Chapter 3.10.7 Salmonellosis. http://www.oie.int/en/international-standard-setting/terrestrial-manual/access-online/. Last Accessed 18 Oct 2021.

19. Technische Weisungen über die Entnahme von Proben und deren Untersuchung auf Salmonella- Infektionen des Hausgeflügels. (Technical instructions on the taking and screening of samples for Salmonella infections in domestic poultry). 2018 https://www.bv.admin.ch/dam/bv/de/dokumente/tiere/tierkrankheiten-und-arzneimittel/technische-weisung-tw-salmonella.pdf/download/pdf/TW_Salmonella_10-08-09.pdf. Last Accessed 20 April 2021.

20. Grimont PAD, Weill FX. Antigenic formula of the *Salmonella* serovars. 9th ed. France: Institut Pasteur; 2007.

21. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov SB, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. 2012;19(5):455–77. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

22. Seemann T. Assemble bacterial isolate genomes from Illumina paired-end reads. 2019. https://github.com/tseemann/shemul. Last Accessed 18 Oct 2021.

23. Babraham Bioinformatics. FastQC documentation. 2020. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/. Last Accessed 18 Oct 2021.

24. Vieira-Pinto M, Morais L, Caleja C, Themudo P, Torres C, Igrejas G, et al. Salmonella sp. in game (Sus scrofa and *Oryctolagus cuniculus*). Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2011;8(6):739–40. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010.0742.25
25. Hoop RK, Ehrsam H, Keller B. 10 Jahre Kaninchensektionen - Eine Übersicht häufiger Krankheits- und Abgangursachen (10 years of rabbit autopsy - a review of frequent disease and mortality causes). Schweizer Archiv für Tierheilkunde - (Swiss Archive Vet Med. 1993;135:211-15.

26. Szendrő Z, McNitt JI. Housing of rabbit does: group and individual systems: a review. Livest Sci. 2012;150:1–10.

27. Huston CL, Wittum TE, Love BC, Keen JE. Prevalence of fecal shedding of Salmonella spp in dairy herds. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2002;220(5):645–9. https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2002.220.645

28. Cardinale E, Tall F, Guèye EF, Cisse M, Salvat G. Risk factors for Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica infection in senegalese broiler-chicken flocks. Prev Vet Med. 2004;63(3–4):151–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2004.03.002

29. Aury K, Chemaly M, Petetin I, Rouxel S, Picherot M, Michel V, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica contamination in French breeding and fattening turkey flocks at the end of the rearing period. Prev Vet Med. 2010;94(1-2):84–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2009.12.002

---

**How to cite this article:** Schädler J, Schwarz J, Peter-Egli J, Schüpbach-Regula G, Wiederkehr D, Albini S. Survey of *Salmonella* occurrence in meat-producing rabbitries in Switzerland. Vet Rec Open. 2022;9:e24. https://doi.org/10.1002/vro2.24