Molecular Umbrella—Amphotericin B Conjugates
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ABSTRACT: A tetrawalled and an octawalled molecular umbrella conjugate of amphotericin B (AmB) have been synthesized. Both conjugates exhibit high water solubility, a low tendency to aggregate, negligible hemolytic activity at 100 μM, and an ability to release a derivative of AmB under reducing conditions that exhibits high antifungal activity. Whereas the larger, octawalled conjugate shows slight adsorption to liposomal membranes and an ability to cross them by passive transport, the tetrawalled analogue shows significant adsorption and much lower bilayer transport activity. The potential of molecular umbrella—AmB conjugates as therapeutic agents is briefly discussed.

Amphotericin B (AmB) is an important antifungal agent that has been used to treat systemic fungal infections for more than half a century.1−4 Although considerable effort has been made to understand its mechanism of action, the means by which this macrolide antibiotic destroys fungal cells remain a matter of debate. In the most popular model, several AmB molecules are thought to combine with ergosterol to form water-filled pores (Figure 1).1 Subsequent thinning of the plasma membrane in the vicinity of this pore, or an alignment of two such pores across the membrane, is then presumed to result in the release of vital ions and ultimately cell death.

Recently, evidence has been obtained indicating that AmB may also act by simply forming a complex with ergosterol.5 Despite its efficacy in killing fungal cells, the use of AmB for treating fungal infections in the central nervous system (CNS) has proven challenging.6 In particular, the ability of AmB to penetrate the blood–brain barrier is known to be poor; i.e., concentrations of AmB in the CNS are barely measurable at the ng/mL level.7−9 To the best of our knowledge, no derivative of AmB has yet been reported that has exceeded the ability of AmB itself to cross the blood–brain barrier; i.e., underivatized AmB remains as the drug of choice for clinical use. In principle, any improvement in transporting AmB across the blood–brain barrier could lead to a significant advance in treating fungal infections in the CNS. With this ultimate goal in mind, we sought to explore molecular umbrella—AmB conjugates as potential therapeutic alternatives.10

The primary aim of the present investigation was to test the hypothesis that molecular umbrella—AmB conjugates would (i) exhibit relatively high critical micelle concentrations, (ii) be nonhemolytic in their monomeric form, (iii) cross lipid bilayers by passive transport, and (iv) be capable of releasing a derivative of AmB having high antifungal activity. In principle, the generation of a high concentration gradient of such conjugates across the blood–brain barrier should facilitate the delivery of this AmB derivative into the CNS by increasing the driving force (i.e., chemical potential) for transport.

In brief, molecular umbrellas are molecules that contain two or more facial amphiphiles (i.e., "walls") that are attached to a central scaffold.10 When immersed in a hydrophilic environ-
ment, these molecules have the potential for creating a hydrophilic exterior. Conversely, when immersed in a lipophilic environment, these same molecules have the potential for producing a lipophilic exterior. Since AmB is also facially amphiphilic, it too can act as wall. A stylized illustration of AmB that has been incorporated into a molecular umbrella is shown in Figure 2. In this illustration, the thermodynamically favored conformations that are expected in aqueous (I) and lipophilic (II) environments are depicted.

Previously, we and others have shown that the hemolytic action of AmB requires that it attack red blood cells in an aggregated form. We have also shown that the attack of a simple detergent (Triton X-100) on cholesterol-rich liposomal membranes can lead to a “catastrophic rupture” of the bilayer but only when the detergent is in an aggregated state. Since molecular umbrella–AmB conjugates may shield their lipophilicity by adopting conformation I, their ability to aggregate in water, and also their hemolytic activity, is expected to be greatly reduced. In addition, their potential for undergoing conformational transitions from I to II and then back to I offers a plausible mechanism for diffusion from an aqueous environment across a lipid bilayer into an adjoining aqueous compartment (Figure 2). As discussed, elsewhere, this has been our working hypothesis.

Two molecular umbrella–amphotericin B conjugates that were chosen as prototypes for the present investigation were the tetravalled conjugate 1 and an octavalled analogue 2 (Chart 1). Tetravalled and octavalved conjugates were selected based on our past success in using them to transport polar and nonpolar agents across lipid bilayers. A cleavable disulfide moiety was included in the molecular design to facilitate their synthesis and to confer prodrug properties. Thus, a thiol-bearing derivative of AmB, 3, was first synthesized as outlined in Scheme 1. Activation of previously reported Fmoc-protected AmB, 4, with \( N,N,N',N'-\text{tetramethyl-1,2-dihydrobenzo-1,2,3-triazineuronium tetrafluoroborate} (TDBTU) \) and condensation with 2-pyridyldithioethylamine afforded 5. Subsequent de-protection with piperidine and dithiothreitol (DTT) then afforded 3. As expected, 3 exhibited strong antifungal properties. With 100% inhibition of growth as an end point, 3 showed the following activities against four different fungi: Candida albicans, 2 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \); Candida glabrata, 2 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \); Cryptococcus neoformans, 1 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \); Cryptococcus gatti, 1 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \). Under similar experimental conditions, AmB showed 100% inhibition of growth values that were 0.5, 0.5, 0.25, and 0.25 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \), respectively. Preliminary experiments further indicate that 3, like AmB, is fungicidal.
By use of procedures similar to those previously described, a
tetrawalled and octawalled molecular umbrella precursor
bearing a cleavable 2-thiopyridine moiety (i.e., 6a and 7a,
respectively) were prepared (Chart 2). Both molecular
umbrellas were then persulfated by treatment with sulfur
trioxide pyridine complex to give 6b and 7b. Subsequent
coupling of 3 via thiol-disulfide interchange in methanol
afforded 1 and 2. Both of these molecular umbrella–AmB
conjugates showed excellent solubility in water and a signi-
ficant reduction in their tendency to aggregate relative to AmB. Also,
1 and 2 fully released 3 when subjected to reducing conditions,
i.e., when subjected to excess dithiothreitol in methanol.

In its monomeric state, AmB exhibits a characteristic
absorption at 409 nm with an apparent molar absorptivity
that decreases upon aggregation. As discussed previously, if
one lets (i) $T$, $m$, and $P$ represent the total, the monomeric, and
the micellar concentrations of this macrolide, respectively, (ii) $\varepsilon$
represent the apparent molar absorptivity, and (iii) $\varepsilon_m$ and $\varepsilon_P$
represent the molar absorptivity for the monomeric and
micellar components, respectively, then $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_P + (\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_P) m/T$. At concentrations that are in excess of its cmc, $m$ is
constant and $\varepsilon$ is expected to be inversely proportional to $T$. Thus, by measuring the apparent molar absorptivity as a
function of the reciprocal of the cmc concentration, one can estimate the cmc of the antibiotic from the intercept of two
straight lines. Such plots are shown for 1 and 2 in Figure 3. While a cmc for 1 is evident at $100 \mu M$, no aggregation was
found for 2 at concentrations as high as $330 \mu M$. To put these
numbers into perspective, AmB exhibits a cmc of 1.1
μM under similar conditions. A UV spectrum that was recorded for 2 at $330 \mu M$ is shown in Figure 4.

As expected, 1 and 2 showed no hemolytic activity at
relatively high concentrations. Thus, whereas 4 μM AmB was
sufficient to produce 50% hemolysis of sheep red blood cells ($4 \times 10^7$ cells/mL), no evidence of hemolysis was apparent for 1
and 2 at concentrations as high as $200 \mu M$. In contrast to 3,
which showed strong antifungal activity, both molecular
umbrella–AmB conjugates showed no antifungal activity up
to 100 μM against the same four fungi tested. The fact that
these conjugates exhibit no antifungal activity can be accounted
for by a shielding effect; i.e., the molecular umbrella covers the
AmB moiety, which prevents the formation of barrel stave structures and ergosterol-based complexes (Figure 1).

To gain insight into the transport properties of 1 and 2,
liposomes (200 nm, extrusion) were prepared from a mixture of
1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) and
1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylglycerol (POPG) [i.e., POPC/POPG, 95/5, mol/mol] in PBS
containing a given concentration of 1 or 2. After an initial
dialysis at 23 °C for 48 h to remove the conjugate from the
external aqueous phase, the loss of the residual conjugate from
the liposomes was then monitored at 37 °C (Figure 5).

On the basis of an average liposomal diameter of 200 nm
(dynamic light scattering) and the concentration of lipid used
(20 mg/mL), the theoretical percent capture of these molecular
umbrella–AmB conjugates is $\sim 17\%$. This assumes that there
is negligible absorption to the membrane. The percent capture
refers to the percentage of the aqueous phase that is used in
preparing the liposomes that becomes encapsulated within the
aqueous interior of the liposomes. As shown in Figure 5, the
apparent capture of 2 was slightly higher than this value, while
that of 1 was much higher, reflecting modest and strong
absorption to the liposomal membranes, respectively. Whereas
the release of entrapped 2 was essentially complete after
extended dialysis, the removal of entrapped and adsorbed 1 was
close to negligible over the same time period. Examination of
both liposomal dispersions before and after dialysis showed no

Figure 3. Plot of molar absorptivity ($\lambda_{max} = 409$ nm) as a function of
the reciprocal concentration of 1 (■) and 2 (△) in PBS at 37 °C.

Figure 4. UV absorption spectrum of 2 (330 μM) in PBS at 37 °C.
higher membrane affinity and higher transport activity showed the larger octawalled molecular umbrella. 

These results are similar to the transport behavior that we have reported previously for related tetrawalled and octawalled conjugates bearing a fluorescent dye (Cascade Blue); i.e., the larger persulfated molecular umbrellas crossed lipid bilayers more readily than smaller analogues. However, in sharp contrast, where the larger octawalled umbrella—dye conjugate showed higher membrane affinity and higher transport activity, the larger octawalled molecular umbrella—AmB conjugate (2) shows lower membrane affinity but higher transport activity. This finding further highlights the fact that the permeation across lipid bilayers is a multistep process consisting of adsorption, dehydration, diffusion, rehydration, and desorption and that depending on the nature of the molecule to be transported, as reflected by the number, type, and distribution of polar groups, its shape, and its hydrophilic/lipophilic balance, any one of these steps can become rate-limiting. The exact reason for this difference between these molecular umbrella-bound dye versus molecular umbrella-bound AmB conjugates is not presently clear.

The combination of high water solubility, a low tendency to aggregate, negligible hemolytic activity at 100 μM, an ability to cross lipid bilayers by passive transport, and release a potent antifungal agent (3) under reducing conditions provides considerable incentive for exploring the in vivo properties of 2 and related molecular umbrella—AmB conjugates in detail. Such studies are currently under active investigation.
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Experimental procedures used for chemical synthesis and physical measurements. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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