Comparison of liver enzymes and sonological grading in nonalcoholic fatty liver
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ABSTRACT

Background: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the leading cause of diffuse liver disease with a global prevalence of 25.24% and progresses to fibrosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). Diagnosing NAFLD requires demonstration of increased liver fat and ultrasound imaging is widely used for screening. Specific blood tests to diagnose NAFLD and NASH are not yet available and alanine transaminase (ALT) has been used as a marker in population based studies. Aims and Objective: This study was aimed to compare the liver enzymes and sonological grading in NAFLD. Materials and Methods: A prospective hospital based observational study was carried out in 85 patients presenting to medical outpatient department (OPD) with ultrasonographical evidence of nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) from January 2016 to December 2016. The patients were sent for liver enzymes and serum ALT, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT) were particularly correlated with the grade of NAFL. Data were collected on a preformed proforma and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results: In our study, mean age of the patients was 46.08 years and most of the patients were in age group of 40-60 years. Females (65.88%) were more affected than males (34.12%). There were 71 (83.5%) patients with grade 1 NAFL and 14 (16.5%) patients with grade 2 NAFL. The level of serum ALT increased with higher grades of NAFL. The mean ALT in grade 1 NAFL was 29.14 ± 19.41 and in grade 2 NAFL was 42.19 ± 2157; p = 0.027. Comparison of serum AST and GGT with ultrasonological grading did not show statistical difference. Conclusion: Serum ALT levels correlated with the ultrasonological grades of NAFLD (p = 0.027) whereas AST and ALT did not show statistical correlation with grades of fatty liver. Our study suggests for large sample size study for AST and GGT values relationship with ultrasonological grades.
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INTRODUCTION

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is defined as the presence of ≥5% of hepatic steatosis (HS), either by imaging or by histology, in the absence of secondary causes of hepatic fat accumulation, such as chronic viral hepatitis, medications that induce steatosis, and other chronic liver diseases, such as autoimmune hepatitis, hemochromatosis, Wilson’s disease, or significant alcohol consumption. Ongoing or recent alcohol consumption >21 standard drinks on average per week in men and >14 standard drinks on average per week in women is a reasonable threshold for significant alcohol consumption. In the majority of patients, NAFLD is associated with metabolic risk factors such as obesity, diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia.¹,²,³

NAFLD is the leading cause of diffuse liver disease. NAFLD ranges from liver steatosis to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) which may progress to liver cirrhosis with its complications, namely portal hypertension and hepatocellular carcinoma. It has been estimated that the global prevalence of NAFLD is 25.24%, with 40.76%...
progression to fibrosis and 0.09% mean annual rate of progression in NASH.\textsuperscript{2} Thus the estimation of hepatic fat accumulation is of utmost importance.

Diagnosing NAFLD requires demonstration of increased liver fat. Ultrasound imaging, which allows subjective estimation of fatty infiltration in the liver is widely used for screening but has a low performance for the detection of mild steatosis. To overcome this limitation, some semi-quantitative scores have been proposed.\textsuperscript{4}

Specific blood tests to diagnose NAFLD and NASH are not yet available. However, population-based studies that have used serum alanine transaminase (ALT) as a marker of liver injury indicate that study populations have ALT elevations that cannot be explained by excessive alcohol consumption, other known causes of fatty liver disease, viral hepatitis, or drug-induced or congenital liver diseases. Such elevations of ALT increase with body mass index (BMI) and it is presumed to be due to NASH.\textsuperscript{5} Our study was carried out to compare the pattern of liver enzymes with ultrasonological grading of fatty liver.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A prospective hospital based observational study was carried out in patients with ultrasonological findings of nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) presenting to medical OPD of Manipal Teaching Hospital in between 1\textsuperscript{st} January 2016 to 30\textsuperscript{th} Dec 2016, with an objective to compare and correlate their sonological grading of NAFL with liver enzymes. A sample size of 85 such patients was taken and any patients found to have NAFL in their ultrasound report were sent for liver enzymes after a detailed clinical evaluation. Serum ALT, aspartate transaminase (AST) and gamma-glutamyl transferases (GGT) were particularly correlated with the grade of NAFL. Data were collected on a preformed proforma which included the patients’ age, sex, grade of NAFL and values of serum ALT, AST and GGT. An informed consent was taken from each patient included in this study. Data were analyzed using the SPSS software for Windows (version 20, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were expressed using descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation for continuous variables, frequency, and percentage for categorical variables. Statistical analysis was done using unpaired t test for comparison of serum liver enzymes in between various grades of NAFL with 5% significance level.

**RESULTS**

There were total 85 patients with NAFL presenting to medical OPD of Manipal Teaching Hospital in between 1\textsuperscript{st} January 2016 to 30\textsuperscript{th} Dec 2016. Mean age of the patients was 46.08 (SD 11.93) and ranged between 25 to 78 years of age. Most of the patients with NAFL were in the age group 40-60 years (Figure 1). Females (65.88\%) were more affected than males (34.12\%) (Figure 2). Ultrasound report suggested that there were 71 (83.5\%) patients with grade 1 NAFL and 14 (16.5\%) patients with grade 2 NAFL. We didn't have any case with grade 3 NAFL. The mean ALT in grade 1 NAFL was 29.14±19.41 and in grade 2 NAFL was 42.19±21.57. The mean AST in grade 1 NAFL was 26.20±17.47 and in grade 2 was 33.44±19.25. The mean GGT in grade 1 NAFL was 38.84±30.59 and in grade 2 was 34.36±5.67. Serum ALT was the only liver enzyme showing statistical significant difference in between grade 1 and grade 2 NAFL (p=0.027) suggesting the level of serum ALT increased significantly with higher grades of NAFL (Table 1).

**Figure 1:** Histogram showing age distribution of patients with NAFL

**Figure 2:** Pie chart showing sex distribution of patients with NAFL
DISCUSSION

The mean age of the patients in our study was 46.08 years and ranged between 25 to 78 years of age. Macabuag-Oliva et al reported ages ranging from 20 to 76 years with a mean age of 51.27 years. In their study Mahale et al reported the mean age of 49.73 years. The majority of the cases in our study were in the age group 40 to 60 years. Similar findings were also reported in their study by Khanal et al, where majority of patients belonged to age group of 50–59 (32.1%), followed by 40–49 (28.8%). The prevalence of fatty liver increased in individuals with advancing age to maximum of 40-49, 50-59 years of age and then decreased with advancing age in the study by Nomura et al. As one’s lifestyle and dietary adherence changes with advancing age the prevalence of NAFLD also increases.

In our study most of the cases were grade 1 fatty liver. There were 71 (83.5%) patients with grade 1 NAFL and 14 (16.5%) patients with grade 2 NAFL. Khanal et al reported grade 1 fatty liver (68.8%), followed by grade 2 (28%) and 2.8% of grade 3 fatty liver. Similarly Mahaling et al reported that the prevalence of NAFLD grade 1 was 47.1%, grade 2 was 42.8%, and 10% had grade 3 fatty liver. Such difference can be attributed to random selection of study subjects.

We found that serum ALT was the only liver enzyme showing statistically significant difference in between grade 1 and grade 2 NAFL (p=0.027) suggesting the level of serum ALT increased significantly with higher grades of NAFL. Dixon et al found that a raised index of insulin resistance, hypertension and raised alanine aminotransferase were independent predictors of NASH.

In their study Macabuag-Oliva et al found that ALT level greater than 35 U/L has a sensitivity of 65% for detecting fatty liver and fibrosis in patients with a high probability and 56% for patients with moderate probability with a specificity of 45.95%. But Ultrasound, ALT and AST showed no correlation with fibrosis score. High serum ALT and AST levels were seen in 13.8% and 35.5% cases of NAFLD respectively in their study by Mahale et al. However these results were not statistically significant.

Nevertheless, ALT has been used as a biomarker for various scoring systems used in the non-invasive diagnosis of NAFLD and NASH.

Also, in our study we included cases from ultrasonological diagnosis but biopsy was not done for the confirmation of NAFLD which is the major drawback. In addition, various scoring systems were also not used to correlate our findings.

CONCLUSION

Serum ALT levels correlated with the ultrasonological grades to diagnose NAFLD. We suggest large sample size studies for AST and GGT values relationship with ultrasonological grades.
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