Neutrino mass from neutrinophilic Higgs and leptogenesis
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In a class of two Higgs doublet model, where one Higgs doublet generates masses of quarks and charged leptons whereas the other Higgs doublet with a tiny vacuum expectation value(VEV) generates neutrino Dirac masses, smallness of neutrino masses might be understand as the consequence of the small second Higgs VEV. In this framework, thermal leptogenesis scenarios work well at low energy scale and have several advantages as follows. Under the assumption of hierarchical right-handed neutrino masses, the lightest right-handed neutrino can be as light as $O(10^2) \text{ TeV}$. The required degeneracy for successful resonant leptogenesis also can be significantly reduced as small as $O(10^4)$. Availability of low scale thermal leptogenesis provides a novel solution to gravitino problem in supergravity models.
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1 Introduction

Various experiments have confirmed that neutrinos have tiny masses. Smallness of the neutrino mass is one of the important questions in particle physics. Several approaches to address this question have been proposed. A new class of two Higgs doublet models (THDM) called “neutrinophilic Higgs doublet model”, the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the additional Higgs $v_\nu$ is of much smaller energy scale comparing to the SM Higgs doublet \[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\], is also one of those candidates. Its various aspects such as phenomenology \[7, 8, 9\], vacuum structure \[10\], an extension to grand unification \[11\], astrophysical and cosmological implications \[12, 13\] have been intensively investigated. The idea of this class of models is that neutrino masses are much smaller than other fermion because those come from the different Higgs doublet with a smaller VEV, $v_\nu \ll v \simeq 246$ GeV. Masses of light neutrino are given by

$$m_{ij} = \sum_k y_{ik}^\nu v_\nu y_{kj}^\nu v_\nu^T M_k,$$

with $M_k$ being $k$-th right-handed Majorana neutrino mass. For $v_\nu \ll v$, neutrino masses can be small for relatively large neutrino Yukawa couplings $y^\nu$ and small right-handed neutrino masses $M_k$.

In modern cosmology and particle physics, one of important open problems is the origin of the baryon asymmetry in the Universe. Thermal leptogenesis \[14\] by heavy right-handed Majorana neutrinos for seesaw mechanism \[15\] is one of the most attractive scenarios for baryogenesis. The size of $CP$ asymmetry in a right-handed neutrino decay is, roughly speaking, proportional to the mass of right-handed neutrino. For hierarchical mass spectrum of right-handed neutrino, the lightest right-handed neutrino mass must be larger than $10^8$ GeV \[16, 17\].

Notice that neutrino Yukawa couplings in neutrinophilic Higgs doublet models do not need to be so small for lighter right-handed neutrinos. This fact has significant implication to leptogenesis. This opens new possibility of low scale thermal leptogenesis. Here, we will show that $CP$ asymmetry is enhanced and thermal leptogenesis suitably works in multi-Higgs models with a neutrinophilic Higgs doublet \[18\].

The resonant leptogenesis scenario \[19, 20\] also has been known as a possibility of leptogenesis at low energy scale, say TeV scale, where the $CP$ asymmetry is enhanced by a self energy of strongly degenerated right-handed neutrinos. However, we will show the masses of right-handed neutrinos can be reduced to 2 TeV and the required degeneracy becomes much milder as of order $O(10^4)$ in the minimal neutrinophilic Higgs doublet model \[21\].

The realization of low scale thermal leptogenesis is attractive particularly in supersymmetric models, because of “gravitino problem” \[22\]. Hence, thermal leptogenesis at a low energy scale in a neutrinophilic Higgs doublet model offers a new solution to
avoid gravitino problem \[18, 23\].

2 Minimal neutrinophilic THDM

Let us show the minimal neutrinophilic THDM model originally suggested by Ma \[1\]. In the model, one additional Higgs doublet $\Phi^\nu$, which gives only neutrino Dirac masses, besides the SM Higgs doublet $\Phi$ and a discrete $Z_2$-parity are introduced. Under the discrete symmetry, Yukawa interactions are given by

$$L_{yukawa} = y_u^u Q_L \Phi^U_R + y_d^d Q_L \tilde{\Phi}^D_R + y_l^l L \Phi^E_R + y_\nu^\nu L \Phi^\nu_N + \frac{1}{2} M N c N + h.c.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\tilde{\Phi} = i \sigma_2 \Phi^\ast$, and we omit a generation index. $\Phi^\nu$ only couples with a right-handed neutrino $N$ by the $Z_2$-parity so that flavor changing neutral currents (FCNCs) are suppressed. The Higgs potential of the neutrinophilic THDM is given by

$$V^{\text{THDM}} = m_\Phi^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi + m_\nu^2 \Phi^\nu_\dagger \Phi^\nu_\nu - m_3^2 (\Phi^\dagger \Phi^\nu_\nu + \Phi^\nu_\nu \Phi^\dagger_\nu) + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\Phi^\dagger_\nu \Phi_\nu)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)(\Phi^\dagger_\nu \Phi_\nu) + \lambda_4 (\Phi^\dagger_\nu \Phi_\nu)(\Phi^\dagger_\nu \Phi_\nu) + \lambda_5 \left[ (\Phi^\dagger_\nu \Phi_\nu)^2 + (\Phi^\dagger_\nu \Phi_\nu)^2 \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The $Z_2$-symmetry is softly broken by $m_3^2$.

3 Leptogenesis in neutrinophilic THDM

We consider leptogenesis in the neutrinophilic THDM with the extra Higgs doublet $\Phi^\nu$ described in Sec. 2. Under hierarchical right-handed neutrino mass spectrum, the $CP$ asymmetry,

$$\varepsilon \simeq -\frac{3}{16\pi} 10^{-6} \left( \frac{0.1 \text{GeV}}{v_\nu} \right)^2 \left( \frac{M_1}{100 \text{GeV}} \right) \left( \frac{m_\nu}{0.05 \text{eV}} \right) \sin \delta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

is significantly enhanced for a light right-handed neutrinos due to the tiny Higgs VEV, $v_\nu$. On the other hand, one should notice that, for lower $v_\nu$, the $\Delta L = 2$ lepton number violating washout processes become more significant.

All conditions for successful thermal leptogenesis is presented in Fig. 1. The horizontal axis is the VEV of neutrino Higgs $v_\nu$ and the vertical axis is the mass of the lightest right-handed neutrino, $M_1$. In the red brown region, the out of equilibrium decay of lightest right-handed neutrino is not possible. In turquoise region, $\Delta L = 2$ lepton number violating washout effect is too strong. The red and green line are contours of the $CP$ asymmetry of $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ and $10^{-7}$, respectively. Thus, in the parameter region above the line of $\varepsilon = 10^{-7}$, thermal leptogenesis easily works even with hierarchical masses of right-handed neutrinos.
4 Resonant leptogenesis in neutrino-similar THDM

Next, let us consider resonant leptogenesis in the neutrino-similar THDM. When two right-handed neutrinos are degenerate, the $CP$ asymmetry is approximately given by \[19, 20\]

\[
\varepsilon_i \simeq \frac{\text{Im} (y^{\nu \dagger} y^{\nu})_{ij}^2}{(y^{\nu \dagger} y^{\nu})_{ii} (y^{\nu \dagger} y^{\nu})_{jj}} \frac{\tilde{m}_j M_j M_i M_j}{8 \pi v^2 M_i^2 - M_j^2},
\]
\[
\tilde{m}_i \equiv \frac{(y^{\nu \dagger} y^{\nu})_{ii} v^2}{M_i},
\]

where the last factor expresses mass degeneracy of two right-handed neutrinos. For $M_1 < M_2$, here we define

\[
d_N \equiv \frac{M_1 M_2}{M_2^2 - M_1^2},
\]
to parameterize the degree of degeneracy. In neutrinophilic Higgs model, $v$ in Eq. (5) is replaced with $v_\nu \ll v$. Thus, from Eqs. (5) and (7), one can easily find a large enough $CP$ asymmetry can be obtained for smaller $d_N$. Figure 2 shows that the minimum degeneracy $d_N$ significantly reduces for larger $y_\nu$, equivalently smaller $v_\nu$.

Figure 2: Required $d_{N_{\text{min}}}$ for $K_1 = 10^{-2}$ and its $M_1$ dependence. The solid, dashed and dotted lines correspond to $y_\nu = 10^{-6}, 10^{-5},$ and $10^{-4}$, respectively. The red-thick-dashed line shows $d_N$ for the standard resonant leptogenesis [21].

Another consequence on resonant leptogenesis in neutrinophilic Higgs models is the enhancement of $\Delta L = 1$ washout effect. As mentioned above, small $v_\nu$ corresponds to large $y_\nu$. Such large Yukawa couplings bring $N_2$ in thermal equilibrium. This means that $N_2$ is relatively abundant when $N_1$ decays, as seen in Fig. 3. As the results, the $\Delta L = 1$ washout effect by the scattering between light lepton and $N_2$ tends to be large. In order to suppress this $\Delta L = 1$ washout, in other words to reduce relative abundance of $N_2$ to $N_1$ after $N_1$ decay, we need to delay $N_1$ decay time, which corresponds to a small decay parameter

$$K_1 = \frac{\Gamma_{N_1}}{H(T = M_1)},$$

with $\Gamma_{N_1}$ being the decay width of $N_1$.

To generate lepton asymmetry by $N_1$ decay before the sphaleron process ceases at $T_{\text{sph}} \simeq 100$ GeV, the mass of $N_1$ has to be somewhat heavier than $O(100)$ GeV. Fig. 3 shows the evolution of the lepton asymmetry for $M_1 = 2$ TeV. In this case, the generation of the lepton asymmetry is completed at around $z = M_1/T \simeq 20$ and in time for the sphaleron transfer of the lepton asymmetry into the baryon asymmetry.
5 Leptogenesis in a supersymmetric neutrinophilic model

Construction of a supersymmetric model with $\Phi_\nu$ is straightforward [18, 23]. By repeating the same analysis for leptogenesis in the previous section, we can find the availability of thermal leptogenesis and its results are summarized in Fig. 4. A sufficient $CP$ violation $\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ can be realized for $v_\nu = \mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV in the hierarchical right-handed neutrino with $M_1$ of $\mathcal{O}(10^5 - 10^6)$ GeV. This implies that the reheating temperature after inflation $T_R$ of $\mathcal{O}(10^6)$ GeV is high enough to produce right-handed neutrinos by thermal scatterings. Thus, this class of model with $v_\nu = \mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV is a solution to compatible with thermal leptogenesis in gravity mediated supersymmetry breaking with unstable gravitino.

![Figure 4: Available region for leptogenesis in a supersymmetric neutrinophilic model [23]. The blue dashed line denotes a reference value for the upper bound [24] of reheating temperature after inflation $T_R$.](image)

6 Conclusion

We have examined the possibility of thermal leptogenesis in neutrinophilic Higgs doublet models. We have found the available parameter region of thermal leptogenesis
where its washout effect is avoided as keeping the $CP$ asymmetry large enough.

We have shown the resonant leptogenesis works by a few TeV mass right-handed neutrino in the neutrinophilic THDM, with the mass degeneracy being of the order of only $O(10^4)$.

In a supersymmetric neutrinophilic Higgs doublet model, we have pointed out that thermal leptogenesis in supergravity works well at low energy by a light right-handed neutrino with the mass of $O(10^5)$ GeV. Hence the scenario is free from gravitino problem.
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