A central role for PI3K-AKT signaling pathway in linking SAMHD1-deficiency to the type I interferon signature
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The autoimmune disorder Aicardi-Goutières syndrome (AGS) is characterized by a constitutive type I interferon response. SAMHD1 possesses both dNTPase and RNase activities and mutations in SAMHD1 cause AGS; however, how SAMHD1-deficiency causes the type I interferon response in patients with AGS remains unknown. Here, we show that endogenous RNA substrates accumulated in the absence of SAMHD1 act as a major immunogenic source for the type I interferon response. Reconstitution of SAMHD1-negative human cells with wild-type but not RNase-defective SAMHD1 abolishes spontaneous type I interferon induction. We further identify that the PI3K/AKT/IRF3 signaling pathway is essential for the type I interferon response in SAMHD1-deficient human monocytic cells. Treatment of PI3K or AKT inhibitors dramatically reduces the type I interferon signatures in SAMHD1-deficient cells. Moreover, SAMHD1/AKT1 double knockout relieves the type I interferon signatures to the levels observed for wild-type cells. Identification of AGS-related RNA sensing pathway provides critical insights into the molecular pathogenesis of the type I interferonopathies such as AGS and overlapping autoimmune disorders.

Aicardi-Goutières syndrome (AGS) is a monogenic autoinflammatory disorder that overlaps phenotypically with congenital viral infection and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and is characterized by constitutive upregulation of type I interferon (IFN) in the serum and cerebrospinal fluid. While SLE is associated with more than 20 genes, AGS is caused by autosomal recessive mutations in one of several genes encoding enzymes involved in nucleic acid metabolism (TREX1, RNASEH2, ADAR and SAMHD1) or by gain-of-function mutations in the cytosolic RNA sensor IFIH1. Some children with AGS also display an early onset form of SLE. Given that the pathology of SLE is complex and heterogeneous, AGS could be an excellent model disease to study systemic autoimmunity and provide a clue to the pathogenesis of SLE.

SAMHD1 was identified initially as the human ortholog of the mouse IFN-γ-induced gene Mg11. Although SAMHD1 has been proposed to serve as a negative regulator of the innate immune response, studies have focused overwhelmingly on the inhibitory mechanism of SAMHD1 against HIV-1 replication because of an unexpected identification of SAMHD1 as an HIV-1 restriction factor. In mouse models, mouse SAMHD1 also seems to restrict the replication of certain retroviruses; however, Samhd1 knockout mice did not display AGS-like symptoms. SAMHD1 possesses dual enzymatic activities: deoxynucleoside triphosphohydrolase (dNTPase) and phosphorolytic 3′-5′ exoribonuclease. The physiological function of SAMHD1 under natural conditions remains poorly understood. In particular, the mechanism by which the mutations in SAMHD1 cause AGS needs to be determined. Recently, SAMHD1 was implicated in the DNA damage response and in preventing autoimmunity by maintaining genome integrity. Considering that all of the AGS-related genes associated with nucleic acid metabolism and nucleic acid sensing dysfunction implicated in autoimmunity, the elevated IFN signature observed in SAMHD1-related AGS patients might be caused by activation of the innate response.
immune response against dysregulated endogenous nucleic acids. In this study, we explored the role of SAMHD1 in regulating nucleic acid-mediated type I IFN signaling to understand the molecular pathogenesis of AGS and overlapping autoimmune disorders.

Results

**SAMHD1-deficient human monocytic cells display a heightened IFN signature.** AGS is a type of systemic inflammatory disease that has a type I IFN signature in human. However, Samhd1-deficient mice displayed neither significant upregulation of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) in their sera nor other systemic autoimmune phenotypes, although Samhd1-/- mice exhibited spontaneous induction of ISGs11,12. Therefore, we used human THP-1 cells, a well-established model of human monocytes, to study the molecular pathology of the SAMHD1-related AGS. We analyzed transcriptional signature of AGS using two independent SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells engineered by different clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) single guide RNAs (sgRNAs). Type I interferons including various subtypes of IFN-α and IFN-β, and ISGs (IFITM1, CXCL10, OASL, and MxA) were upregulated in SAMHD1-deficient cells (Fig. 1A and B), which was largely consistent with the results obtained from the samples of patients with AGS19. Notably, the level of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) was similar between SAMHD1-deficient and wild-type cells (Fig. 1A), suggesting that SAMHD1 is not involved in the nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) pathway. The transcriptional induction of type I IFNs resulted in increased synthesis of type I IFN proteins in SAMHD1-deficient cells, as assessed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) (Fig. 1C). To investigate the effect of the differentiation of THP-1 cells on the type I IFN signaling in SAMHD1-deficiency, we compared the expressions of type I IFN and ISGs in PMA-differentiated SAMHD1-deficient cells to those in PMA-differentiated wild-type cells (Fig. 1D). Interestingly, differentiation of THP-1 cells did not show a distinct IFN signature in spite of SAMHD1-deficiency, proposing that SAMHD1 related type I IFN response would be differentially displayed in cell type specific manner. Primary fibroblasts from SAMHD1-related AGS patients exhibited reduced proliferation and a delay in cell cycle progression17. In agreement with this observation, SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells also displayed delayed cell cycle progression (Supplementary Figure S1). To assess the relation of type I IFN response with a delayed cell cycle progression in SAMHD1-deficient cells, we regulated cell cycle progression by alternating the serum concentration in culture media19 (Supplementary Figure S2). Low serum conditioning could not induce a distinct IFN signature in both wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient cells (Fig. 1E), suggesting that cell cycle delay do not explain the autoimmune phenotypes in SAMHD1-deficient cells.

We then performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to ascertain the IFN signatures of AGS. SAMHD1-deficient cells showed distinct mRNA expression patterns among more than 1200 genes (Fig. 2A). Pathway enrichment analysis revealed that the upregulated genes were significantly associated with the immune system, IFN signaling and cytokine signaling pathways (Fig. 2B). Upstream regulator analysis predicted that various cytokines and transcription regulators related to the induction of type I IFN and ISGs would be activated (Supplementary Figure S3). In particular, a broad range of ISGs were upregulated in SAMHD1-deficient cells (Fig. 2C), which closely resembled the IFN signature observed for patients with SLE and AGS50. The expressions of several ISGs were validated by quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) (Fig. 2D). These results indicated that SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells show spontaneous autoimmune phenotypes.

**RNA enriched in the absence of SAMHD1 is a major source of the IFN-α response.** We examined whether inappropriate accumulation of nucleic acids in SAMHD1-deficient cells activates IFN responses. We isolated both DNA and RNA from wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient cells and examined their abilities to stimulate the IFN response in phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)-differentiated THP-1 cells. Interestingly, only RNA derived from SAMHD1-deficient cells, but not that from wild-type cells, highly activated IFN-α expression. On the other hand, DNA isolated from wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient cells had comparable abilities to activate IFN-α expression (Fig. 3A, left). RNA purified from SAMHD1-deficient cells had no discernible impact on the expression of IFN-β and IFITM1 mRNA compared with RNA from wild-type cells (Fig. 3A, middle and right). Considering that the isolated RNAs are likely composed of various RNA species, the IFN-β induction by RNA species that activate retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-I) or melanoma differentiation associated gene 5 (MDA5)-dependent pathways could mask the IFN-β induction by RNA substrates of SAMHD1. To investigate the detailed features of IFN-stimulatory RNA species, we isolated small (< 200 nt) and large (> 200 nt) RNAs in two separate fractions from each of the wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cell lines and then stimulated PMA-differentiated THP-1 cells with these RNAs. The large RNAs (> 200 nt) from SAMHD1-deficient cells activated IFN-α mRNA expression significantly (Fig. 3B, left) and showed similar effects on the induction of IFN-β or IL-6 mRNA compared with the large RNAs (> 200 nt) from wild-type cells (Fig. 3B, middle and right). Cell fractionation experiments also revealed that the cytoplasmic, but not the nuclear, RNA of SAMHD1-deficient cells induced IFN-α expression dominantly (Supplementary Figure S4). Our data suggested that the cytoplasmic RNA (> 200 nt) accumulated in the absence of SAMHD1 triggers the IFN response. To investigate the discrepancy of type I IFN response in the absence of SAMHD1 between undifferentiated and differentiated THP-1 cells, we repeated the experiment for RNA stimulation with RNA isolated from differentiated wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells. These RNAs did not show significant differences in activating type I IFN response (Supplementary Figure S5A), suggesting that the expression and accumulation of RNA substrates of SAMHD1 are differentially regulated after differentiation of monocytes21,22 and these differences cause the cell type specificity of SAMHD1 related autoimmune response. Furthermore, we performed the RNA stimulation with RNA purified from undifferentiated wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient cells into undifferentiated wild-type or SAMHD1-deficient cells. Consistent with the data with differentiated THP-1 cells, RNA derived from SAMHD1-deficient cells distinctly activated IFN-α expression (Supplementary Figures S5B and S5C). The activation of IFN response in undifferentiated cells was relatively mild compared to that of differentiated THP-1 cells.
cells. However, RNAs isolated from SAMHD1-deficient cells activated IFN-α expression about 3-fold higher than the RNAs isolated from wild-type cells in both undifferentiated wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells. Thus, it seems that SAMHD1 could not efficiently degrade the transfected RNA, even though SAMHD1 degrades endogenous RNA species via its RNase activity.

SAMHD1 possesses both dNTPase and RNase activity, both of which are relevant to nucleic acid metabolism. We examined which of the two SAMHD1 functions is involved in the regulation of the type I IFN response. The RNase activity of SAMHD1 in undifferentiated THP-1 cells has not been characterized; therefore, we first examined whether the SAMHD1 protein immunopurified from undifferentiated THP-1 cells possesses RNase activity. Immunopurified SAMHD1 protein was able to digest single-stranded RNA efficiently (Fig. 3C).

**Figure 1.** Knockout of SAMHD1 activates an immune response in human monocytic cells. (A,B) Relative mRNA levels for the indicated genes in SAMHD1-deficient cells, as assessed by qRT-PCR and normalized to β-actin expression. (C) ELISA of IFN-α production in cell extracts. ELISA of IFN-β production in supernatants. Conditioned media were concentrated using Amicon Ultra-15 before analysis. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of IFN-α, IFN-β, IFITM1 and MxA in PMA-differentiated wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells. (E) Wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells were incubated in basal media containing 10% FBS or reduced-serum media containing 4% or 2% FBS for 48 h, followed by qRT-PCR analysis of IFITM1 and MxA mRNA levels. Data were standardized to β-actin. In (A–E), data represent the mean ± SEM of triplicate independent experiments (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ns: not significant, two-tailed Student’s t-test).
for the observed RNase activity, we added Vpx-mediated SAMHD1 depleted controls (Fig. 3D). Vpx-mediated degradation of SAMHD1 significantly reduced the enzymatic activity of immunopurified SAMHD1 protein, suggesting that SAMHD1 possesses RNase activity in undifferentiated THP-1 cells. Previously, we identified SAMHD1 point mutations that caused loss of one or both functions; RNase−/dNTPase− SAMHD1_{D207N}, RNase+/dNTPase− SAMHD1_{D137N} and RNase−/dNTPase+ SAMHD1_{Q548A}.15. We reconstituted SAMHD1−deficient THP-1 cells with these SAMHD1 mutants using transient transfection and monitored the expression of IFN-α mRNA.

Figure 2. Identification and classification of DEGs in SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells. (A) RNA-seq MAplot of wild-type versus SAMHD1 knockout cells as indicated. Three biological replicates were analyzed for both data sets. Average gene expression is plotted on the x-axis and log2 fold-change is plotted on the y-axis; red dots: upregulated genes (log2 FC ≥ 1 and adjusted p-values < 0.01), green dots: downregulated genes (log2 FC ≤ −1 and adjusted p-values < 0.01), blue dots: ISGs. (B) Statistically significant signaling pathways for genes upregulated by over 2-fold in SAMHD1 knockout samples were obtained by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA). Blue bars indicate the ratio of the total number of genes involved in the specific pathway versus input list genes, while the orange squares show −log (p-value). (C) Heatmap of ISGs expressed in the indicated cells with the RNA-seq data. Gene expression levels (averaged reads per kilobase per million mapped reads (RPKM) values over 3 replicates) was standardized and clustered based on the dissimilarity values (1-Pearson correlation) between genes using the average linkage method as shown in the dendrogram. (D) The mRNA levels of ISGs in wild-type and SAMHD1−deficient THP-1 cells were determined by qRT-PCR. Data were normalized to the GAPDH level. Data represent the mean ± SEM of triplicate independent experiments (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, two-tailed Student’s t-test).
SAMHD1\textsubscript{D207N} and SAMHD1\textsubscript{Q548A}, which have no RNase activity, did not repress the IFN-\(\alpha\) induction. Notably, wild-type SAMHD1 and SAMHD1\textsubscript{D137N} reduced the expression of IFN-\(\alpha\) to the level observed in the mock control cells (Fig. 3E). These data supported the view that in SAMHD1-deficient cells, accumulation of incompletely digested RNAs leads to activation of IFN immune response.
To gain the insight into the nature of cellular immune stimulatory RNA, we performed high-throughput sequencing of RNA isolated by crosslinking immunoprecipitation (HITS-CLIP) analysis with SAMHD1. Immunoprecipitates of SAMHD1 protein and SAMHD1-RNA complex were successfully detected by western blot and autoradiography (Fig. 3F). A total of 5,500,000 peaks covering 38,000 genes including endogenous retroelements were called through SAMHD1 CLIP-seq. 60% of these peaks were mapped to intronic region, suggesting that SAMHD1 would function in nucleus during RNA processing or regulate RNA debris originated from intron in cytoplasm. 11% of peaks were mapped to 3′ UTR and only 8% of peaks were mapped to 5′ UTR and CDS region (Fig. 3G). Notably, 18% were repetitive elements that have been suggested as the source of endogenous immune stimulatory nucleic acid to account for AGS. Our data showed that significant portion of the retroelement RNAs that are identified in SAMHD1 CLIP-seq were upregulated in SAMHD1-deficient cells. We, thus, speculate that these retroelement RNAs could be the substrates of SAMHD1 and function as immune stimulatory RNAs in SAMHD1-deficient cells.

**ISG activation in SAMHD1-deficient cells is dependent on IRF3 & Type I IFN receptor.** We sought to identify the RNA sensing pathway associated with ISG induction in SAMHD1-deficient cells. RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs), which include RIG-I and MDA5, and intracellular Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are well known cytosolic RNA sensors. We assumed that one of these RNA sensors might be linked to the IFN signature in SAMHD1-deficient cells; therefore, depleted each of these sensors or downstream molecules independently using short interfering RNA (siRNA) treatment (Fig. 4A and Supplementary Figure S6A). All of the known RNA sensors and intermediate signaling molecules, except Interferon Regulatory Factor 3 (IRF3), were dispensable for the spontaneous IFN signature in SAMHD1-deficient cells (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Figure S6B). IRF3 depletion in SAMHD1-deficient cells abrogated the expression levels of wild-type THP-1 cells. Activation of almost known RNA sensing pathways requires the phosphorylation of TBK1, which acts upstream of IRF3. Notably, phosphorylated TBK1 levels were similar between wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient cells (Fig. 4A), suggesting that a novel RNA sensing pathway is responsible for the IFN response observed in SAMHD1-deficient cells.

To investigate whether the proteins secreted from SAMHD1-deficient cells boost ISG induction, we harvested the conditioned media from wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells. The conditioned media from both wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient cells did not exhibit any significant differential ability to stimulate IFN-α expression. However, wild-type THP-1 cells treated with the conditioned media from SAMHD1-deficient cells displayed significantly elevated ISG levels in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 4C). Both type I (IFN-α and IFN-β) and type III (IFN-λ) IFNs can lead to the similar spectrum of ISG induction. To identify the discrete contribution of type I and type III IFNs to ISG induction, SAMHD1-deficient cells were treated with neutralizing antibodies directed against the type I and III IFN receptor subunits, IFNAR1 and IL10Rαβ, respectively. Neither the anti-IFNAR1 nor anti-IL10Rαβ antibodies reduced the expression of type I IFN in SAMHD1-deficient cells. However, the expressions of ISGs (IFITM1 and MxA) were reduced to basal levels in cells treated with anti-IFNAR1, but not in those treated with the anti-IL10Rαβ antibody. Co-treatment with both IFNAR1 and IL10Rαβ antibodies did not show synergistic inhibitory effects on ISGs induction (Fig. 4D). Thus, we concluded that type I IFN is responsible for the IFN signature observed in SAMHD1-deficient cells. Type I IFN receptors associate with Janus activated kinases (JAKs), and activation of the Janus kinase/signal transducers and activators of transcription (JAK/STAT) pathway is indispensable for ISGs induction.27 JAK inhibitor treatment diminished ISG induction thoroughly (Fig. 4E). Taken together, these findings indicated that the type I IFN secreted by the SAMHD1-dependent novel RNA sensing pathway activates ISG induction, which could be responsible for AGS pathogenesis.

**The PI3K/AKT signaling pathway is involved in linking SAMHD1-deficiency to the IFN response.** Type I IFN can activate multiple signaling pathways, including the JAK/STAT pathway, the p38 and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathways, and the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT pathway.28 Pathway enrichment analysis of genes upregulated in SAMHD1-deficient cells showed a significant enrichment of genes related to the PI3K/AKT pathway (Fig. 2B). Indeed, STAT1 and AKT were highly activated in SAMHD1-deficient cells, as assessed by the phosphorylation levels of these molecules (Fig. 5A). IRF3 was also activated in SAMHD1-deficient cells, which agreed with the observation that depletion of IRF3 abrogates ISG induction (Fig. 4B). However, p38 and ERK pathways were not activated in SAMHD1-deficient cells (Supplementary Figure S7A). To ascertain the role of the PI3K/AKT pathway in the SAMHD1-related IFN response, we treated SAMHD1-deficient cells with wortmannin, a PI3K inhibitor. Wortmannin inhibited not only the induction of type I IFN and ISGs but also the activation of AKT and STAT1 (Fig. 5B and Supplementary Figure S7B). Treatment of SAMHD1-deficient cells with the pan-AKT inhibitor MK2206 or the AKT1 inhibitor A674563 reduced the IFN signature. By contrast, inhibition of the PI3K upstream tyrosine kinase using Lapatinib and inhibition of mTOR, a downstream effector of AKT, using rapamycin, did not affect the IFN signature (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Figure S7C). Consistent with these data, the activation of STAT1 is also abrogated by AKT inhibitors treatment (Fig. 5D). To confirm the data obtained from the pharmacological studies, we generated the SAMHD1/AKT1 double knockout cells via the CRISPR/Crispr associated protein9 (Cas9) system. Phosphorylation of STAT1 and IRF3 induced by SAMHD1 knockout was markedly diminished upon SAMHD1/AKT1 double knockout (Fig. 6A). Type I IFN production and ISGs induction also significantly decreased in SAMHD1/AKT1 double knockout cells (Supplementary Figure S8). Glycogen synthase kinase 3-β (GSK3-β) regulates TLR4 mediated IFN-β production negatively.29 The phosphorylation levels of GSK3-β were similar in the wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient cells (Fig. 5A); therefore, the SAMHD1-related type I IFN response is likely independent of the GSK3-β pathway. The PI3K/AKT pathway can be also activated by type I IFN; thus, we attempted to clarify the cause-effect relationship between type I IFN production and activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway. First, we
Figure 4. ISGs induction in SAMHD1-deficiency occurs through IRF3 and type I IFN receptor signaling pathway. (A,B) Wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells were transfected with control siRNA or specific siRNA for the indicated genes for 72h. Cell lysates were subjected to western blotting to analyze the protein levels. GAPDH was loaded as a control (A). The cellular mRNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR to determine the expression of IFITM1 and MxA relative to GAPDH (B). (C) qRT-PCR analysis of IFN-α and MxA in THP-1 cells incubated with conditioned media (Cond. Media.) from wild-type or SAMHD1-deficient cells for the indicated days. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of IFN-α, IFN-β, IFITM1 and MxA in wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells treated with control IgG or neutralizing antibodies against type I and III IFN receptor subunits as indicated. Results were standardized to β-actin levels. (E) The measurement of IFITM1 and MxA mRNA expressions in SAMHD1-deficient cells by qRT-PCR after treating SAMHD1-deficient cells with control dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) or 2μM Ruxolitinib for 24h. Data were standardized to GAPDH. In (B–E), these data represent the mean ± SEM of triplicate independent experiments. (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, ns: not significant, two-tailed Student’s t-test).
investigated whether treatment of AKT inhibitor abolishes the activation of IRF3. As reported, MK2206 inhibited AKT1 phosphorylation, while A674563, which blocks the phosphorylation of AKT downstream targets, does not. Both AKT inhibitors abrogate IRF3 phosphorylation (Fig. 5D). Neutralizing antibodies against the type I IFN receptor inhibited STAT1 phosphorylation, but not that of AKT or IRF3 (Fig. 6B). siRNA-mediated depletion of IRF3 diminished STAT1 phosphorylation, but not that of AKT (Fig. 6C), suggesting that the PI3K/AKT pathway functions upstream of IRF3 activation in the type I IFN-producing pathway. Taken together, these results showed that IFN production in SAMHD1-deficient cells occurs through the PI3K/AKT/IRF3 signaling axis.

The phosphorylation of AKT induced by SAMHD1 knockout was antagonized by ectopic expression of wild-type SAMHD1 or RNase+/dNTPase− SAMHD1 D137N. Expression of the RNase-defective mutants, SAMHD1 D207N and SAMHD1 Q548A, had little effect on AKT phosphorylation (Fig. 6D), which was consistent with the observation that the RNase activity of SAMHD1 is critical to suppress the spontaneous IFN response in SAMHD1-deficient cells (Fig. 3E). To explore the physiological relevance of our findings, we analyzed the role for SAMHD1 in regulating an IFN response using human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). In human PBMCs, not only siRNA-mediated SAMHD1 silencing (Fig. 6E) but also Vpx-mediated degradation of SAMHD1 (Fig. 6F, G) elicited the activation of STAT1 and AKT and the induction of ISGs, but had no effect on TBK1 activation, recapitulating the phenotypes seen in SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells. By comparison, knockout of SAMHD1 in HEK293T and HeLa cells did not result in activation of STAT1 and AKT or the induction of ISGs (Supplementary Figure S9), which suggested that the type I interferonopathy associated with SAMHD1-deficiency is cell type-specific.

Discussion

SAMHD1 had been identified as HIV-1 restriction factor in the last decade and it was initially reported to inhibit HIV-1 replication through its dNTPase catalytic activity. Later we reported that SAMHD1 restricts HIV-1 and retroviruses through its RNase activity. However, several studies reported that they have not observed the RNase activity of SAMHD1, even though SAMHD1 could bind nucleic acids such as ssRNA. To clarify this discrepancy, we recently showed that SAMHD1 is a phosphorolytic exoribonuclease with A or U base preference. Nevertheless, the existence of RNase activity of SAMHD1 is still being debated.

Figure 5. The PI3K/AKT pathway is responsible for linking SAMHD1-deficiency to the IFN response. (A) Western blotting analysis of cell extracts from wild-type and two independent SAMHD1-deficient cell lines using the indicated antibodies. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of IFN-α, IFN-β and MxA levels in wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells treated with control DMSO or 1 µM Wortmannin for 24 h. Data were normalized to GAPDH levels. (C,D) Wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells were treated with control DMSO, 1 µM MK2206, 1 µM A674563, 0.1 µM Lapatinib or 50 nM Rapamycin for 24 h. Cells were analyzed for the mRNA levels of IFN-α, IFN-β and MxA relative to GAPDH by qRT-PCR (C) and for the western blotting analysis to determine the phosphorylation status of STAT1, AKT and IRF3 (D). GAPDH served as a loading control. In (B) and (C), these data represent the mean ± SEM of triplicate independent experiments (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.001, ns: not significant, two-tailed Student's t-test).
The current study identified the signaling mechanism driving the chronic upregulation of type I IFN in AGS relating to SAMHD1-deficiency. Our findings showed that the RNA species larger than 200 nucleotides that accumulated in SAMHD1-deficient cells trigger a type I IFN response. More specifically, we observed that cytosolic RNA species larger than 200 nucleotides are responsible for the type I IFN induction. This type I IFN response does not involve any of the known signaling pathways converging on the activation of TBK1. Instead, the type I IFN response occurs via a novel PI3K/AKT/IRF3 signaling pathway. Consequently, ISGs are induced in bystander cells via a type I IFN receptor- and JAK/STAT-dependent manner (Supplementary Figure S10).

Figure 6. The PI3K/AKT functions upstream of IRF3 to activate type I IFN response. (A) The phosphorylation status of STAT1 and IRF3 in wild-type, SAMHD1 knockout and SAMHD1/AKT double-knockout THP-1 cells was determined by western blotting analysis. (B,C) Western blotting analysis of cell extracts from wild-type and SAMHD1-deficient cells treated with control IgG or a neutralizing antibody against a type I IFN receptor subunit for 48 h (B) or transfected with control non-specific siRNA or a specific siRNA for IRF3 (C). (D) Determination of the phosphorylation status of AKT after reconstitution of SAMHD1-deficient cells with wild-type and SAMHD1 mutants by western blotting analysis. Graph shown below indicates the ratio of phosphoAKT to wild-type control. (E) Isolated PBMCs from four donors were transfected with SAMHD1-specific siRNA or control non-specific siRNA for two cycles to enhance the knockdown efficiency. After 48 h of incubation, PBMCs were analyzed by western blotting to monitor the activation of STAT1 and AKT. Data are representative of four independent experiments with similar results. (F,G) PBMCs were infected with Vpx-loaded or control SIV VLPs. After 96 h of incubation, cells were analyzed for the western blotting analysis to determine the phosphorylation status of STAT1 and AKT (F) and for the mRNA levels of IFITM1, MxA and IFI44L relative to GAPDH by qRT-PCR (G). In (A–F), GAPDH served as a loading control. In (G), data represent the mean ± SEM of triplicate independent experiments (***p ≤ 0.001, two-tailed Student’s t-test).
In contrast to AGS patients with mutations in SAMHD1, Samhd1-deficient mice did not exhibit any distinct clinical phenotypes. The discrepancy between the mouse and human systems in terms of SAMHD1-related IFN responses might arise from differences in the putative nucleic acid substrates of SAMHD1. There are striking differences between the mouse and human ERVs/LTR elements in terms of their activities, tissue specific expression and temporal regulation during development. Given the propensity of these ERVs/LTR elements to affect gene expression, the differences of the repertoire of ERVs/LTR elements could account for the differential susceptibilities of humans and mice to SAMHD1-regulated IFN responses. Another possibility is that an unknown RNA sensor or other components involved in the constitutive IFN-induced signaling pathway could be missing in mice. Recently, a study reported that a cGAS/STING-dependent IFN response is triggered in Samhd1-deficient mice, although that study did not address which substrates are involved in the IFN response. Mice contain “active” LTR elements and significant ERV activity. By contrast, “active” ERVs/LTR elements in humans, while present in comparable numbers, have not been described. Thus, the reverse transcribed DNAs originating from the mouse ERVs/LTR elements might be a predominant source of the cGAS/STING-mediated IFN response. Furthermore, these disparities could cause the discrepancy of the source of IFN response between humans and mice, leading to the absence of systemic autoimmune phenotypes in Samhd1-deficient mice compared with SAMHD1-related AGS patients.

Notably, SAMHD1 specifically targets retroviral RNA for degradation. Even though SAMHD1-CLIP failed to identify dominant substrates and specific binding motifs of SAMHD1, many retroelement RNAs were bound to SAMHD1. Our RNA-seq analysis further displayed that in SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells, many endogenous retroelement RNAs, primarily LINEs and LTR elements, are upregulated significantly. Therefore, the accumulated retroelement-derived RNA transcripts could be direct substrates of SAMHD1, functioning as immunostimulatory RNAs to activate the type I IFN response. The fundamental question that still remains unanswered is which RNA sensors are involved in linking SAMHD1-deficiency to an IFN response. In addition, PI3K/AKT plays an important role in multiple signaling pathway and regulating various cellular function. AKT performs diverse tasks by controlling numerous downstream effectors. Therefore, downstream effector of AKT which directly activate IRF3 needs to be identified. On the other hand, we also observed that lots of genes are significantly regulated by SAMHD1-deficiency in direct or indirect manner and various RNA species, which include small nucleolar RNAs (snRNAs), are bound to SAMHD1 protein. snRNAs are known to guide the modification (2′-O-methylation and pseudouridylation) of RNAs represented by rRNAs and the incorporation of 2′-O-methylated nucleosides or pseudouridine into RNA diminishes immunostimulatory activity. SAMHD1 would indirectly affect the immunostimulatory activity of endogenous RNAs by regulating RNA modification, accounting for a partial role for entire type I IFN response in SAMHD1-deficient cells. Considering the phenotypical overlap of AGS with SLE, the pathology of SLE patients, in some cases, might be associated with the accumulation of aberrantly metabolized endogenous nucleic acids. A greater understanding of the pathogenesis of type I interferonopathies is not only of scientific interest but might lead to new therapies for autoimmune inflammatory diseases.

**Methods**

**Generation of knockout cell lines and human blood cell isolation.** Wild-type and mutants of SAMHD1 were amplified by PCR from previously described plasmids and inserted into the EGFP-N3 vector. SAMHD1-deficient THP-1, HEK239T, and HeLa cells were generated using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. The SAMHD1/AKT double knockout THP-1 cell line was established by transfecting SAMHD1-deficient THP-1 cells with single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) specific for AKT1. Immunoblotting and genomic DNA sequencing confirmed all the knockout cell lines. Guide RNA sequences of SAMHD1 and AKT1 are listed in Supplementary Table S1. THP-1 was cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM GlutaMAX-I, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. 293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM GlutaMAX-I, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. PBMCs were isolated from human blood of healthy donors using SepMate, according to the manufacturer's instruction (Stemcell). Isolated PBMCs were transfected with SAMHD1-specific siRNA or control non-specific siRNA for two cycles to obtain efficient knockdown and incubated in RPMI media. After 48 h of incubation, PBMCs were analyzed by western blotting analysis to monitor the activation of STAT1 and AKT.

**Reagents and antibodies.** The chemical reagents and antibodies used in this study were purchased from the following manufacturers: poly (I:C) and poly (dA:dT), Sigma; T4 Polynucleotide Kinase and T4 RNA ligase, Takara; T4 RNA Ligase 2 (truncated K227Q) and Antarctic Phosphatase, New England Biolabs (NEB); wortmannin and rapamycin, Sigma; MK-2206, A-674563, and Lapatinib, Selleckchem; Ruxolitinib, Invivogen; mouse monoclonal antibodies to IFNAR1 (Millipore) and SAMHD1 (OriGene); rabbit monoclonal antibodies to IRF3 (Santa Cruz), SAMHD1 (for immunoprecipitation, Bethyl Lab), GAPDH (Ab Frontier), MAYS, TRIF, IRF7, STAT1, Akt, Akt pho-S473, and GSK-3β (all from Cell Signaling Technology); rabbit polyclonal antibodies to IRF3 (Santa Cruz), SAMHD1 (for immunoprecipitation), Bethyl Lab), GAPDH (Ab Frontier), MAVS, TRIF, IRF7, STAT1, Akt, Akt pho-S473, and GSK-3β (all from Cell Signaling Technology); goat polyclonal antibody to hIL-10Rb (R&D systems).

**RNA interference and transfection.** All siRNAs were purchased from Dharmacon as ON-Target plus. THP-1 cells were transfected by electroporation with indicated gene-specific siRNAs or non-specific siRNAs using Neon (Invitrogen). Lysates were collected 72 h after transfection for western blotting and qRT-PCR analysis. For nucleic acid stimulation, PMA-differentiated THP-1 cells were transfected with 5 μg/ml of poly (I:C), poly (dA:dT), or isolated DNA or RNA, using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen) and undifferentiated THP-1 cells were transfected by electroporation with 10 μg/ml of isolated RNA using Neon. Cells were harvested 4 h post transfection, followed by RNA isolation. For reconstitution of SAMHD1-deficient THP-1, THP-1...
cells were transected by electroporation with expression vectors for wild-type or mutant SAMHD1 using Neon. Isolated PBMCs were transfected using Interferin transfection reagent (Polyplus-transfection Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Genomic DNA and RNA preparation.** Genomic DNA was purified using a Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen). Total RNA was isolated using the TRizol reagent (Invitrogen). Fractionation and extraction of small and large RNAs were performed using the NucleoSpin miRNA system (Macherey-Nagel). Separation and purification of cytoplasmic and nuclear RNAs were carried out using a Cytoplasmic & Nuclear RNA purification kit (Norgen). All the purification steps were processed according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

**In vitro nuclease assay by immunoprecipitation.** Pelleted THP-1 cells were lysed for 30 min at 4 °C in lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM PMSE, 0.05% NP-40, RNase inhibitor). After sonication, the lysates were centrifuged for 30 min at 13,000 × g at 4 °C. anti-SAMHD1 and anti-rabbit IgG antibodies were incubated with Dynabeads for 1 h at room temperature. The conjugated beads were washed sequentially twice each with buffer A (500 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.05% NP-40, RNase inhibitor) and buffer B (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.05% NP-40, RNase inhibitor). Cell lysates were incubated with the prepared conjugated Dynabeads for 1 h at 4 °C. The immunoprecipitates were washed five times with buffer B, followed by an in vitro nuclease assay. The in vitro nuclease assay was performed as described previously. In brief, immunoprecipitated proteins were incubated in 20 μl reaction mixtures containing phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and [γ−32P]-labeled RNA substrate (A20) at 37 °C for 30 min. The reactions were stopped by the addition of 20 μl of 2 × RNA loading buffer and then boiled at 95 °C for 5 min. RNA was separated on 8 M urea/15% polyacrylamide gels and analyzed using a BAS-2500 phosphorimager (Fujiﬁlm).
Ethical statement. Human blood samples were anonymously provided by the Blood Center of the Korean Red Cross, Seoul, under the approval of the Institutional Review Board of Korean Red Cross with consent for research use. Experiments involving human blood were approved by the Institutional Review Board at Seoul National University (SNUIRB No. E1512/001–004). All experiments were performed in accordance with Seoul National University guidelines.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 software. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student’s t-tests (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, ns: not significant).

Data availability. The datasets generated during or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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