A Comparative Study on the Treatment of Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures With Early Ambulation and at Least 1 Week of Absolute Bed Rest
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to determine whether absolute bed rest (ABR) is essential for the conservative treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCFs).

Methods: This study included 115 patients diagnosed with OVCFs. The patients in group A were allowed to ambulate as soon as possible, while those in group B underwent ABR for at least 1 week. X-ray images at baseline and 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months after trauma were obtained from both groups for assessment. In each group, ABR-related complications including constipation, indigestion, Foley catheter insertion, urinary tract infection, cough/sputum, dizziness, and neurasthenia were investigated.

Results: In both groups, the compression rates, Cobb angles, and visual analog scale scores did not differ significantly at baseline and the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth follow-ups. In terms of constipation, indigestion, dizziness, and neurasthenia, group A reported a significantly higher complication rate than group B (p<0.05).

Conclusion: The prognosis did not differ significantly between patients who underwent ABR for at least 1 week and those who started walking as soon as possible. The incidence of complications due to ABRs was lower in the early ambulatory group. Therefore, it may be helpful to start walking as early as possible during the conservative treatment of OVCFs.
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INTRODUCTION

Compression fractures are usually caused by osteoporosis, trauma, tumors, or infections. They are more common in older people since the bone mineral density (BMD) decreases with age and often result in spinal deformities, such as loss of vertebral height, kyphosis, and kyphoscoliosis. However, in patients with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCFs), the trauma is not severe or often occurs during walking. In the conservative management of OVCFs, absolute bed rest (ABR) and the use of orthoses for some time have been suggested to prevent the deterioration of the fractures and relieve pain. Nevertheless, a protocol for the conservative treatment of OVCF has not
yet been established. Different doctors have different approaches to conservative treatment of compression fractures. Some doctors recommend ABR for a certain period to prevent fractures from worsening, while some doctors recommend early ambulation for patients with mild pain, assuming that the complications from ABR are more serious. Some patients often complain that ABR is associated with some discomfort. Constipation, gastrointestinal problems, difficulty voiding, urinary tract infections, cough/sputum, dizziness, neurasthenia, etc., have been reported as complications of ABR. Considering that bed rest may be inconvenient and can cause various complications, the purpose of this study was to investigate the difference in prognosis and ABR-related complications between patients with OVCFs managed with bed rest and those allowed to ambulate as soon as they could, and to establish a protocol for conservative treatment of OVCF.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

From January 2019 to June 2020, a retrospective study was conducted on patients who were hospitalized in the Department of Neurosurgery and who were diagnosed with compression fractures. Cases of pathological fractures due to tumors, fractures due to infectious spondylitis, serious accidents (such as major traffic accident and falling from a height of 1.5 m or more), who underwent early surgery (post fixation/balloon kyphoplasty/vertebroplasty), spinal cord injury with neurological deficits, inability to move due to accompanying injuries (such as a fracture of the pelvis or lower extremity), or loss of consciousness due to head injury were excluded. A total of 115 patients were included in this study. They were divided into two groups: one group where patients were allowed to ambulate as soon as possible (group A, n=74), and the other group where patients had ABR for at least one week (group B, n=41) regardless of pain. In group B, patients were allowed to ambulate as soon as possible after at least one week. Patients admitted on even-numbered days were classified into group A, and patients admitted on odd-numbered days were classified into group B.

**Methods**

The changes in the patterns of the compression fractures, visual analog scale (VAS) scores, and complications were compared between the two groups. In each group, the subjects’ age, sex, length of hospital stay, BMD and fracture level, trauma history, route of visit, ABR period, VAS scores and compression rate, and ABR-related complications in each ABR period were investigated.

The compression rate of OVCFs was calculated as $100 - \left[\frac{b}{a + c/2}\right] \times 100$, where “a” and “c” represent the normal vertebral bodies one level higher and lower than the compression-fractured vertebral body, respectively, and “b” represents the height of the compression-fractured vertebral body (FIGURE 1). A kyphotic deformity was assessed by measuring the Cobb angle, which was measured as the angle between the superior endplate of the vertebral body above and the inferior endplate of the vertebral body below (FIGURE 1). The courses of the groups were monitored at baseline and at one week, two weeks, one month, three months, and six months after trauma using X-ray images to assess for the development of complications during the admission period. ABR-related complications included constipation, indigestion, Foley catheter insertion, urinary tract infections, cough/sputum, dizziness, and neurasthenia.
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS statistical software ver. 19.0.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the $\chi^2$ test, Fisher's exact test, and independent samples $t$-test were used. Statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$.

RESULTS

The male-to-female ratio was 27:73. The mean age was 71.1±10.7 years. The mean BMD was $−2.5±1.2$ (TABLE 1). The numbers of patients who visited the outpatient clinic and those who came into the emergency room were similar (TABLE 1). T12 and L1 fractures were the most common (>50%) (FIGURE 2). There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups. The start of ambulation was on day 3.0±1.2 for group A and day 9.0±1.5 for group B ($p<0.05$). The duration of hospital stay was 10.3±5.5 days for group A and 14.8±6.0 days for group B ($p<0.05$) (TABLE 1). Complications, such as constipation, gastrointestinal problems, difficulty voiding, urinary tract infections, cough/sputum, dizziness, and neurasthenia, were higher in group B (68.3%, 65.9%, 19.5%, 4.9%, 12.2%, 12.2%, and 24.4%, respectively) than in group A (44.6%, 33.8%, 8.1%, 0%, 5.4%, 2.7%, and 6.8%, respectively). In particular, 

| Characteristics                  | Group A (n=74) | Group B (n=41) | $p$-value |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| Sex (male:female)                | 18:56         | 13:28         | 0.309     |
| Age (years)                      | 72.00±10.19   | 69.44±11.59   | 0.222     |
| BMD (T-score)                    | −2.94±1.20    | −2.66±1.25    | 0.268     |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 20.39±3.10    | 22.02±3.16    | 0.308     |
| Trauma history                   |               |               |           |
| Stumble                          | 46 (62.2)     | 19 (46.3)     |           |
| Fall down                        | 10 (13.5)     | 7 (17.1)      |           |
| Sprain                           | 9 (12.2)      | 9 (22.2)      |           |
| Traffic accident                 | 9 (12.2)      | 6 (14.6)      |           |
| Visit route                      |               |               |           |
| Out patient department           | 38 (51.4)     | 18 (43.9)     |           |
| Emergency room                   | 36 (48.6)     | 23 (56.1)     |           |
| ABR period (days)                | 2.95±1.19     | 8.95±1.45     | 0.008†    |
| Hospitalization period (days)    | 10.32±5.51    | 14.81±6.04    | 0.02*     |

Data are shown as mean±SD and number (%). Data are shown as below: group A, ambulation as soon as possible; group B, 1 week ABR. Major traffic accidents causing severe injuries, including potential internal injuries, spinal cord injuries, traumatic brain injuries, and other major injuries up to and including death were excluded. BMD: bone mineral density, BMI: body mass index, ABR: absolute bed rest. *$p$-value<0.05; †$p$-value<0.01.
constipation, indigestion, dizziness, and neurasthenia were significantly higher in group B ($p<0.05$) (TABLE 2).

There were no differences in compression rates, Cobb angles, and VAS scores between the two groups at one week and two weeks after admission (TABLES 3-5). In group A, 4 subjects underwent surgery (vertebroplasty/balloon kyphoplasty); as did 3 subjects in group B, which

TABLE 2. Absolute bed rest -related complications

| Complications             | Group A (n=74) | Group B (n=41) | Total (n=115) | $p$-value |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| Constipation              | 33 (44.6)     | 28 (68.3)      | 61 (53.0)    | 0.019*    |
| GI trouble                | 25 (33.8)     | 27 (65.9)      | 52 (45.2)    | 0.001†    |
| Voiding difficulty        | 6 (8.1)       | 8 (19.5)       | 14 (12.2)    | 0.073     |
| Urinary tract infection   | 0 (0)         | 2 (4.9)        | 2 (1.7)      | 0.055     |
| Cough/sputum              | 4 (5.4)       | 5 (12.2)       | 9 (7.8)      | 0.194     |
| Dizziness                 | 2 (2.7)       | 5 (12.2)       | 7 (6.1)      | 0.041*    |
| Neurasthenia              | 5 (6.8)       | 10 (24.4)      | 15 (13.0)    | 0.009†    |
| Complications$^2$         | 45 (60.8)     | 35 (85)        | 80 (69.6)    | 0.007†    |

Data are shown as number (%).
* $p$-value<0.05; † $p$-value<0.01; $^2$ Number of patients with any complications.

constipation, indigestion, dizziness, and neurasthenia were significantly higher in group B ($p<0.05$) (TABLE 2).

There were no differences in compression rates, Cobb angles, and VAS scores between the two groups at one week and two weeks after admission (TABLES 3-5). In group A, 4 subjects underwent surgery (vertebroplasty/balloon kyphoplasty); as did 3 subjects in group B, which

TABLE 3. Compression rate after admission in both groups

| Compression rate               | Group A          | Group B          | $p$-value |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Initial compression rate (%)   | 17.10±15.82      | 19.19±10.92      | 0.122     |
| First follow up (1 week) (%)  | 20.64±16.03      | 20.15±11.17      | 0.089     |
| Second follow up (2 week) (%) | 25.56±19.34      | 25.66±13.75      | 0.065     |
| Third follow up (1 month) (%) | 28.08±15.88      | 33.77±11.81      | 0.175     |
| Fourth follow up (3 month) (%)| 31.11±15.77      | 34.08±13.43      | 0.566     |
| Fifth follow up (6 month) (%) | 33.38±21.48      | 34.25±13.31      | 0.051     |

Data are shown as mean±SD.

TABLE 4. Cobb angle after admission in both groups

| Cobb angle                   | Group A          | Group B          | $p$-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Initial Cobb angle (°)        | 14.02±9.41       | 15.77±11.01      | 0.253     |
| First follow up (1 week) (°)  | 15.67±9.19       | 16.18±7.34       | 0.056     |
| Second follow up (2 week) (°) | 15.76±10.33      | 16.68±6.94       | 0.103     |
| Third follow up (1 month) (°)| 15.95±9.84       | 16.72±7.32       | 0.137     |
| Fourth follow up (3 month) (°)| 16.73±10.83      | 17.68±7.64       | 0.130     |
| Fifth follow up (6 month) (°)| 17.33±10.82      | 17.78±8.88       | 0.387     |

Data are shown as mean±SD.
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**TABLE 5.** VAS score after admission in both groups

| VAS score                 | Group A       | Group B       | p-value |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Initial VAS score         | 6.42±9.41     | 6.15±1.04     | 0.207   |
| First follow up (1 week)  | 3.75±0.71     | 3.76±0.77     | 0.143   |
| Second follow up (2 week) | 2.55±1.21     | 2.64±0.96     | 0.229   |
| Third follow up (1 month) | 2.23±1.05     | 2.34±1.14     | 0.261   |
| Fourth follow up (3 month)| 2.75±1.94     | 2.50±1.57     | 0.540   |
| Fifth follow up (6 month) | 1.68±1.09     | 1.93±0.83     | 0.579   |

Data are shown as mean±SD. VAS: visual analog scale.

**TABLE 6.** Patients undergoing surgery during conservative treatment period

| Patients undergoing surgery | Group A (n=74) | Group B (n=41) | Total (n=115) | p-value |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| VP/BKP                      | 4 (5.4)        | 3 (7)          | 7 (6.1)       | 0.484   |
| Day to operation (days)     | 21.4±11.09     | 18.68±15.09    | 20.24±12.80   | 0.172   |

Data are shown as mean±SD and number (%). VP: vertebroplasty, BKP: balloon kyphoplasty.

figures show no difference between the groups \((p=0.484)\) (TABLE 6). Vertebroplasty/balloon kyphoplasty were performed 21.41±11.09 days after admission in group A and 18.68±15.09 days after the admission in group B \((p=0.172)\). There were no differences even up to six months after surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

Percutaneous vertebroplasty and balloon kyphoplasty are the main surgical treatments for vertebral compression fractures. However, many patients with OVCFs and mild kyphosis often choose conservative treatments because of their favorable results and for psychological or economic reasons. Nevertheless, a clear protocol for the conservative treatment of OVCFs has not yet been established.

Pain relief, vertebral stability, rehabilitation, and osteoporosis are general treatment goals for OVCF. The acute pain associated with OVCFs usually subsides within six to twelve weeks. During this period, orthoses, analgesics, and bed rest have been suggested as conservative treatments. In particular, bed rest can prevent bone resorption and secondary fractures. Several studies have investigated the optimal ABR period. A previous study showed that there was no statistical difference between two bed rest groups: one having ABR for one week and another having ABR for two weeks. Other studies include those in which bed rest was for three days, those in which the elderly had a longer bed rest period, and those that did not recommend bed rest. Nonetheless, these studies did not clearly suggest an optimal period of ABR. In this study, the group where patients started walking as soon as it was possible for them to (without a fixed ABR period) was compared with the group in which patients had an ABR period of one week or longer.

In the study conducted by Cha et al., groups A and B were put on ABR (one week for group A and two weeks for group B). The researchers investigated the correlations between several factors, such as sex, age, BMD, fracture level, clinical deterioration, and complications due to ABR. No statistically significant differences were observed in the incidence of clinical exacerbations between the one- and two-week ABR groups in this study. Since complications due to ABR occurred more frequently in the two-week ABR group, short-term ABR was recommended in this study.
In both groups, the compression rates were not significantly different at baseline and at one week, two weeks, one month, three months, and six months after trauma. In both groups, the proportion of female patients was higher than that of male patients, and the same trend was observed in other studies. The vertebral bodies that most commonly had compression fractures were T12 and L1 in both groups (FIGURE 1). The results of other studies were similar to those of this study because the thoracolumbar junction is the part where the stiff thoracic vertebrae with large rotational motion and the flexible lumbar vertebrae with large flexion motion meet.21

Complications of bed rest include constipation, indigestion, Foley catheter insertion, urinary tract infections, cough/sputum, dizziness, and neurasthenia.7 Psychotherapy, passive stretching, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, and early ambulation are recommended for the treatment of these complications.8 In addition, ambulation can also help prevent further injuries, realign the spine, and strengthen its axial strength.15,20 In this study, there were no serious life-threatening complications in either group. The most common complication in both groups was constipation, followed by indigestion. The incidence of all complications was higher in group B than in group A. Moreover, the incidence of constipation ($p=0.019$), indigestion ($p=0.001$), dizziness ($p=0.041$), and neurasthenia ($p=0.009$) significantly increased with one-week bed rest ($p<0.05$). Most of the complications were controlled with medications, and most of the symptoms disappeared when the patients started ambulating. The length of hospital stay was also significantly shorter in group A than in group B ($p<0.05$). Therefore, it is explained that there were fewer complications in the group with early walking than in the ABR group for 1 week.

However, as this was a retrospective study, the patients were not randomly divided into two groups, and there was a limitation of the follow-up period between groups not being constant. However, the 1st and 2nd follow-up periods were all almost similar at one and two weeks, and the follow-up intervals thereafter varied from patient to patient. Considering that the average hospitalization period of the patients was two to three weeks and the outpatient treatment after discharge was the same in both groups, this study, evaluating the presence of complications during hospitalization, is considered to be reliable.

CONCLUSION

There was no significant difference in prognosis between the cases that had ABR for at least one week and those that started walking as soon as possible. The incidence of complications due to ABR was lower in the early ambulatory group. In conclusion, it is considered helpful to start walking as early as possible in the conservative treatment of OVCFs.
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