Toxicity evaluation of *Eleutherine plicata* Herb. extracts and possible cell death mechanism
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**ABSTRACT**

*Eleutherine plicata* has been shown to be a promising medicinal plant, and its activity has been associated with naphthoquinones. The present study aimed at evaluating the cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, and oral toxicity of the ethanol extract (EEEp), dichloromethane fraction (FDMEp) of *E. plicata*, and isoeleutherin. For the cytotoxicity evaluation, the viability assay (MTT) was used. Genotoxicity was accessed through the Comet assay (alkaline version), acute and subacute oral toxicities were also evaluated. The antioxidant capacity of the samples in the wells where the cells were treated with *E. plicata* was evaluated. Furthermore, the participation of caspase-8 in the possible mechanism of action of isoeleutherin, eleutherin, and eleutherol was also investigated through a docking study. FDMEp and isoeleutherin were cytotoxic, with higher rates of DNA fragmentation observed for FDMEp and isoeleutherin, and all samples displayed higher antioxidant potential than the control. In the acute oral toxicity test, EEEp, FDMEp, and isoeleutherin did not cause significant clinical changes. In the subacute toxicity assay, EEEp and FDMEp also did not cause clinical, hematological, or biochemical changes. The three compounds bound similarly to caspase-8. Despite the results of cytotoxicity, *in vitro* studies demonstrated that the use of EEEp appears to be safe and cell death may involve its binding to caspase-8.

**Abbreviations:** ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BCRJ, Cell bank of Rio de Janeiro; BFS, bovine fetal serum; DARP, dopamine releasing protein; DMEM, Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; DPPH, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; EEEp, ethanol extract of *Eleutherine plicata*; FADD, Fas associated death domain; FDMEp, dichloromethane fraction of *Eleutherine plicata*; FrAE, ethyl acetate fraction of *Eleutherine plicata*; GA, Genetic Algorithm; GOLD, Genetic Optimization for Ligand Docking; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; IC_{50}, 50 % cytotoxic concentration; MTT, ([3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide]); MD, molecular dynamics; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; NMU, N-methyl-N-nitrosurea; OECD, Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development; PDB, Protein Data Bank; RPMI, Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium; ROS, reactive oxygen species; rpm, rotations per minute; RSMD, root mean square deviation; TLC, thin layer chromatography; TNFR, tumour necrosis fator receptor.
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1. Introduction

*Eleutherine plicata* is used in the Brazilian Amazon to treat parasitic diseases [1], diarrhea [2], bellyache, cramps, other intestinal problems [3,4], intestinal bleeding, vomiting with blood [5], stomachache [6], wound healing [7,8], anemia, and blood purification [9].

The following compounds were isolated from this species: reducing sugars, phenols, tannins, steroids, terpenoids, azulenols, coumarin derivatives, and mainly quinones (naphthoquinones and anthraquinones; [10]). Among the identified quinones are eleutherinone, isoeleutherol derivatives, and mainly quinones (naphthoquinones and anthraquinones; [11]), hongconine [12], elecanacin [11], chrysofanol [13], naphthol eleutherol, and naphthoquinones eleutherin and isoeleutherin. Studies suggest that the naphthoquinones eleutherol, eleutherin, and isoeleutherin are the major constituents of the plant and can serve as markers for it [16,14].

Notwithstanding, naphthoquinones have been reported to possess anti-inflammatory, anti-viral, anti-bacterial, anti-fungal properties [15], and could induce apoptosis through a mitochondrial-dependent pathway [16,17], involving a high concentration of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which result in macromolecular damage, growth arrest, apoptosis, and ultimately, cell death [17–20].

Mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis is produced by the loss of the potential of the mitochondrial membrane and proceeds through the release of cytochrome C and ROS. In the cytosol, cytochrome C forms a complex with apoptotic protease activating factor 1, ATP, and procaspase-9. This complex is known as apoposome and results in the activation of caspase-9, executing the cleavage and activation of executioner caspases - such as caspase-3, caspase-6, and caspase-7 - initiating a cascade of caspase activation that eventually ends in cell death [21,22].

Caspase-8 and caspase-10 are the initiator caspases for death receptors of the TNF receptor (TNFR) family, binding to the receptor Fas associated death domain protein (FADD), regulating the expression of IL-1β [23]. However, the biochemical mechanism that stimulates caspase 8-mediated pro-IL-1β processing is still undefined [24].

Bearing these mechanisms in mind, a study evaluated the *in vitro* antimalarial activity of the ethanol extract of *Eleutherine plicata* (EEEp), ethyl acetate fraction (FrAE; from which naphthoquinones and eleutherol were isolated), and the isolated molecules eleutherol, eleutherin, and isoeleutherin, against a chloroquine-resistant strain of *Plasmodium falciparum* (W2). Eleutherin and isoeleutherin showed the best activity (IC_{50} = 10.45 and 8.70 μg/mL, respectively). Furthermore, docking studies were carried out in the cytochrome bc1 complex binding cavity, with eleutherin and isoeleutherin also showing their interaction with conserved residues in the cytochrome bc1 complex binding cavity, similarly to the mechanism of action for atovaquone [25].

Moreover, another study evaluated the antimicrobial activity of the EEEp, FDMEp, FrAE, and isoeleutherin isolated from *Eleutherine bulbosa* (*E. plicata* synonym) against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Candida albicans*. In the agar diffusion assay, EEEp, FDMEp, and FrAE were active against *S. aureus*. In the micro-dilution test, the most promising sample was FDMEp (minimum inhibitory concentration = 125 μg/mL). For all samples, the Minimum Bactericidal Concentration was > 1000 μg/mL [26].

The antitumoral activity of EEEp, FDMEp, eleutherol, eleutherin, and isoeleutherin was also evaluated in *vitro* (using oral cancer-SCC-9 cell line and human keratinocytes – HaCaT, as a control normal cell line). The greatest inhibition of cell proliferation and selectivity index were observed with EEEp, and cell disaggregation was influenced by the exposure time and concentration of the extract [27].

The genotoxicity and mutagenicity of isoeleutherin and eleutherin were also evaluated in the bioassay with *Allium cepa*, both in *silo*, using the PreADMET software, and by binding to topoisomerase II, through molecular docking and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. In *silo* studies have demonstrated identical toxicity and mutagenicity profiles for algae, *Daphnia*, and fish. However, eleutherin proved to be more genotoxic, increasing the mitosis index, aberration frequency, and micronucleus, nuclear buds, and mitotic irregularities were observed during the metaphase. The results of docking and MD simulations showed that the compounds were able to interact with the residues present in the enzyme binding site. Throughout the MD trajectories, the compounds showed molecular stability and the free energy results proved that the compounds formed a stable complex with topoisomerase II [28].

It is noticed that this species has great medicinal potential, however, to date, no *in vitro* and *in vivo* toxicity studies have been found to support its medicinal application. Therefore, the present study evaluated the cytotoxicity of EEEp, FDMEp, and isoeleutherin, and their genotoxic potential through the comet assay. In addition, evaluations of acute and subacute oral toxicities of these compounds were performed in mice. The antioxidant potential of samples treated *in vitro* and the molecular docking of the caspase-8 binding capacity of isoeleutherin, eleutherin, and eleutherol were also evaluated.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material and extract preparation

*Eleutherine plicata* Herb. is a plant of the *Eleutherine* genus, belonging to the Iridaceae family and widely distributed in Brazil. This plant is a bulbous herbaceous plant with an approximate size of 20–30 cm in height, with simple entire leaves, longitudinally pleated and inflorescence in pink flower panicles. They also have styloid crystals, inferior ovaries, and flowers with three stamens. The bulbs are composed of onion-like scales, but with a burgundy color and exude white latex when cut [29,30].

Bulbs of *E. plicata* were collected in the municipality of Traqueata - PA, Brazil, BR 318, Lat. 1,1436 °, Long. 46,95511 °. The botanical identification was carried out by Dr. Mârilia Coelho, and the exsiccate was deposited in the Joao Murça Pires Herbarium (MG) of the Museu Paraense “Emílio Goeldi” under the MG registry no. 202631. The collected plant material was washed in running water and dried under forced air in an oven for two weeks, until it acquired constant weight. After this period, the dry material was crushed in a knife mill, yielding the powder from which 500 g was used for the preparation of the ethanol extract, which was obtained by maceration in 96% GL ethanol (171873; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis, USA) for seven days, with daily agitation. This process was repeated, monitoring the extraction of naphthoquinones by Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC), eluted with dichloromethane (34856; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis, USA).

From the bulbs of *E. plicata*, the EEEp, FDMEp, and a pure substance were obtained and characterized in another study of our research group [25,28]. The extract was obtained by maceration, followed by fractionation under reflux, and the dichloromethane fraction was fractionated in an open column of silica gel, using solvents of increasing polarity (hexane, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, methanol), thus obtaining four fractions: hexane (0.21 g), dichloromethane (0.65 g), ethyl acetate (1.10 g), and methanol (3.5 g). The substance was identified by High Performance Liquid Chromatographic (HPLC) and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR; [28]). After preparing the plant extract, it was dissolved in 0.05 % dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; 506008; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis, USA) for *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments on the day of treatment.

The components of *E. plicata* extracts were previously studied and characterized [10], and naphthoquinones isoeleutherin, eleutherin, and eleutherol are the majoritarian constituents. Moreover, the procedures taken for extraction and isolation in the present study were the same as described by those authors and verified by thin layer chromatography.
2.2. In vitro assays

2.2.1. Cultivation and subculture of cells for in vitro experiments

VERO cells were cultivated in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; PRD.0.ZQ5.1000003804; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA) supplemented with 10 % bovine fetal serum (BFS; 630111; Labordrin; Pinhais; Brazil), 100 μg/mL streptomycin (3894995; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA), and 60 μg/mL penicillin (3834217; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA). HepG2 cells were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute Medium - 1640 medium (RPMI; MFCDO02172820; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA; 1 % Triton X-100 - 2315025; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA) supplemented with 10 % BFS, 100 μg/mL streptomycin (3894995; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA) and 60 μg/mL penicillin. These strains were cultivated in culture bottles with a surface equal to 25 cm², stored in a CO₂ gas incubator (8539.29.10; Laboven; São Paulo; Brazil), at 37 °C in a humid atmosphere with 5 % CO₂, according to the recommendation of the protocol of the cell bank of Rio de Janeiro (BCRJ; Rio de Janeiro; Brazil), from where they were obtained.

For the subculture, the medium used by the cells was discarded and the cell monolayer (between 80 % and 90 % confluence) was washed twice with 1.0 mL of Hank’s balanced salt solution (0.4 g of KCl, 0.06 g of KH₂PO₄, 0.04 g of Na₂HPO₄, 0.35 g of NaHCO₃, 1.0 g of glucose, 8.0 g of NaCl, in H₂O sufficient quantity for 1000 mL; 60REAQMO016378; Quimica Moderna Formula; Jaraguá do Sul; Brazil). After washing, 0.125 g of trypsin (9002-07-7; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA), 0.02 g of EDTA (24907; Neon; São Paulo; Brazil) diluted in 100 mL of Hank’s solution (H6648; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA; Saint Louis; USA) was added to the cells, in the amount of 1.0 mL for each culture bottle for approximately 2 min to allow cell dissociation from them. Subsequently, trypsin was inactivated by adding 6.0 mL of culture medium containing 10 % BFS and the cell suspension was homogenized. This procedure applies to plating (transfer of cells to the culture plates).

2.2.2. Cytotoxicity assay

The method was developed according to Mosmann [31]. In a 96-well plate, VERO cells (BCRJ) were distributed (8 × 10³ cells/mL DMEM medium supplemented with 10 % BFS) and the plates were incubated at 37 °C in a humid atmosphere under 5 % CO₂. After 24 h of incubation, the cells were treated with increasing concentrations (12.5 μg/mL; 25 μg/mL; 50 μg/mL; 100 μg/mL, and 200 μg/mL) of EEEp and FDMEp from E. pilcata, as well as isoeleutheroïn, solubilized at the time of testing in culture medium, and compared to the positive control, N-methyl- N-nitrosurea (NMU); 684-93-5; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA). A 24 h of exposure, the supernatant was discarded and [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] (MTT; 4081397; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA; 1 % Triton X-100 - 2315025; Sigma-Aldrich; Saint Louis; USA; 10 % DMSO; pH 10) was added and protected from light for 24 h.

After removal of the lysis solution, the slides were placed in an electrophoresis tank. Electrophoresis was performed at 34 V x 300 mA for a period of 20 min. After this procedure, the slides were removed from the tube and dipped quickly into cold distilled H₂O (4 °C) and then transferred to a new dip in cold distilled H₂O for 5 min. The slides were fixed with 100 % ethanol for 3 min and then stained with 50 μL of ethidium bromide solution (20 μg/mL). In sequence, the slides were covered with coverslips for analysis [35]. Fluorescence microscope was used to visualize the slides at 400x, analyzing 100 cells per group. The analysis was performed by the pattern of scores according to Mota et al. [36], where the degree of injury suffered by the cells was evaluated according to the size and intensity of the comet’s tail, which represents the level of DNA fragmentation.

2.3. Acute and subacute oral toxicity tests

Sixty Balb C-An mice (Mus musculus; 25–30 g), from the vivarium of the Evandro Chagas Institute (IEC; Ananindeua-PA, Brazil), and kept in the vivarium of UPFA, were used. The present study was submitted to the Ethics Committee on the Use of Research Animals of the Federal University of Pará (CEUA/UPPA; Brazil) and approved under report no. 7464060618 (ID 001020). All procedures with animals followed the international guidelines of animal experimentation, according to the ethical principles and regulations of the Brazilian Society of Sciences in Laboratory Animals.

The animals were housed in cages (five mice per cage), under controlled conditions of temperature (25 ± 1 °C), and in an alternating cycle of 12 h of light/dark. Water and food were provided ad libitum during the experiments.

For acute toxicity tests, fixed dose procedures were used [37], as it uses much fewer animals than the lethal dose study (LD₅₀) and provides adequate information on the toxicity of substances. Furthermore, if the high dose does not cause mortality in the treated groups, there is no need to administer lower doses. The animals (12 females and 12 males) were divided into 4 groups (3 females and 3 males each) and treated as follows: the control group was treated with aqueous solution of DMSO (99:1 v/v); EEEp treated with 2000 mg/kg (1 mL/100 g of weight) of extract through a 4 cm stainless-steel gastric tube (Becton & Dickinson

1482
Co™); FDMEp was submitted to similar treatment conditions, but the dichloromethane fraction was used; ISO was treated, similarly to the other groups with isoeleutherin. All samples were solubilized in an aqueous DMSO solution (99.1 v/v).

The animals were observed for 14 days, with a Hippocratic evaluation or screening being carried out, providing a general estimative of the pharmacological and toxicological nature of the tested substance. The monitoring of possible signs and symptoms associated with toxicity was observed at 0, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min after administration of the substances. The animals were monitored twice a day for the next 14 days. Body weights were daily recorded. At the end of the observation period, the animals were euthanized by anesthesia with xylazine and ketamine, with subsequent collection of blood by cardiac puncture in an EDTA-coated tube. The blood was centrifuged at 3000 rpm. The separated plasma was frozen at –80 °C, and the serum immediately underwent biochemical analysis. The euthanized mice were subjected to gross necropsy [38,39].

For the assessment of acute toxicity of repeated doses of EEEp, FDMEp, and control, the methodology described in Guide 407 of the OECD guidelines [40] was applied, using the limit test with a dose of 1000 mg/kg of EEEp and FDMEp. The animals were divided into three groups (n = 5 females). The first group received an aqueous solution containing DMSO (99.1 v/v) for 28 days; the second group received a daily dose of EEEp (1 mL/100 g of weight; 1000 mg/kg) dissolved in water for 28 days; the third group received a daily dose of FDMEp (1 mL/100 g of weight; 1000 mg/kg) dissolved in an aqueous solution containing DMSO (99.1 v/v) for 28 days.

The monitoring of signs and symptoms associated with toxicity was observed at 0, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min after substance administration, and the animals were monitored twice a day for the next 28 days. Body weights were daily recorded. At the end of the observation period, the animals were euthanized as previously described, with subsequent collection of blood by cardiac puncture in an EDTA-coated tube. The blood was centrifuged at 3000 rpm and the separated plasma was frozen at –80 °C, after biochemical analysis of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), creatinine and urea, and hematological parameters of blood count and leukogram. The euthanized mice were subjected to gross necropsy [38].

2.4. Molecular docking

First, re-docking simulations using the co-crystallized ligand (DARP) were performed in the Genetic Optimization for Ligand Docking (GOLD) program to validate our docking protocol. The redocking simulations obtained a small root mean square deviation (RMSD) value equal to 1.792 Å when compared with the reference crystallographic structure (PDB: 2Y1L). The RMSD values obtained from the redocking simulation validated our docking protocol.

The 3D structure of caspase-8 of the Homo sapiens complex with dopamine releasing protein (DARP) was recovered from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 2Y1L, resolution: 1.80 Å), prepared by removing the water molecules, adding atoms of hydrogen, removing ions and other ligands from the active site.

The virtual screening was performed using the program GOLD version 5.1, which uses a genetic algorithm for flexible ligand docking experiments within protein binding sites [41,42].

Molecular docking is a methodology applied to study molecular behavior within protein binding sites, a tool widely used for the discovery of new drugs [43]. The virtual screening was performed by using the GOLD 2020.1 software, which performs docking simulations, using a Genetic Algorithm (GA) to generate and select conformers of flexible compounds that bind to the receptor site of a protein or DNA [41,42]. The GoldScore scoring function was used with the number of GA runs set at 100 % search efficiency [44].

The scoring function, the amino acid residue from which the interaction cavity is defined, and the radius of the interaction cavity for anchoring the ligands were chosen after redocking experiments, evaluating the deviation of the RMSD in relation to the position of the atoms of the inhibitor’s crystallographic ligand and their conformation. Docking calculations were performed within a 10 Å radius sphere. The crystal orientation and redocking confirmation of the inhibitor were compared with those obtained from the Protein Data Bank, PDB ID: 2Y1L. A visual inspection of the results was performed to assess the positional representation generated by the Poseview Program [45].

2.5. Statistical analysis

The data obtained in the tests were compared using the Student t-test with the software Prisma 5.0, and a significance level of 5 % (p < 0.05) was considered in all tests. The variables were analyzed and expressed in mean ± standard deviation.

3. Results

3.1. In vitro toxicity assessment

The cytotoxic evaluation test showed similar results for ethanol extract and dichloromethane fraction, while isoeleutherin displayed less toxicity. In all evaluations, the behavior of the results was identical, in which the 24 h results were more cytotoxic than at 48 h (Table 1).

In the antioxidant test, the results showed similar behavior for all samples: the higher the concentration of EEEp, FDMEp, and isoeleutherin, the lower the antioxidant potential of these samples, were inversely proportional. This means that at the highest concentration of EEEp, FDMEp, and isoeleutherin, the antioxidant capacity is lower (Table 2).

From the 50 % inhibitory concentrations determined in the MTT assay, it was possible to determine the concentrations to be used in the comet assay, which were performed in three concentrations for EEEp, FDMEp, and isoeleutherin. From them, we obtained the DNA damage index of the samples and we concluded that the higher the concentration of the samples, the higher this index will be, both for EEEp, FDMEp, and isoeleutherin fraction (Table 3). Isoeleutherin and FDMEp, at the highest concentrations, were responsible for a high level of DNA damage.

3.2. In vivo toxicity assessment

During the acute toxicity test period, all animals (males and females) in the groups treated with EEEp (2000 mg/Kg), FDMEp (2000 mg/Kg), isoeleutherin (2000 mg/Kg), and the control group (water) did not show any signs of overt toxicity, and none died during the fourteen-day follow-up period. Furthermore, the treatment of animals of both sexes did not interfere with the animals’ weight (Table 4). During the subacute toxicity test period, the females treated with EEEp (1000 mg/Kg), FDMEp (1000 mg/Kg), and the control group did not show any signs of evident toxicity, and there was no death of the animals during the twenty-eight days of experiment. Furthermore, the treatment in both groups did not interfere with the animals’ weight (Table 5).

Regarding the results of hematological parameters for the groups

| Samples | HepG2 (IC_{50} µg/mL) | Isoeleutherin from E. plicata |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 24 h    | 19.61 ± 0.78          | 25.00 ± 1.84                |
| 48 h    | 19.04 ± 1.15          | 32.50 ± 2.883               |

EEEp: ethanol extract of Eleutherine plicata; FDMEp: dichloromethane fraction of Eleutherine plicata; Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Table 2
Antioxidant capacity of extracts and isoeleutherin from Eleutherine plicata.

| Samples               | Concentration (µg/mL) | Antioxidant capacity (mM) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Negative Control      |                       |                           |
| EEEp                  | 9.80                  | 0.127 ± 0.007             |
| FDMEp                 | 4.90                  | 0.264 ± 0.002             |
| Isoleuetherin         | 2.45                  | 0.461 ± 0.005             |
|                      | 9.52                  | 0.250 ± 0.002             |
|                      | 4.76                  | 0.424 ± 0.004             |
|                      | 2.38                  | 0.495 ± 0.006             |
|                      | 15.55                 | 0.159 ± 0.006             |

Table 3
Damage Index found by the Comet Assay for extracts and isoeleutherin from Eleutherine plicata. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

| Samples               | Concentration (µg/mL) | Damage index (DI) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Negative Control      |                       | 0.77 ± 0.13       |
| Donorubicin           | 0.02                  | 2.22 ± 0.04       |
| EEEp                  | 9.80                  | 1.48 ± 0.05       |
| FDMEp                 | 4.90                  | 1.41 ± 0.01       |
| Isoleuetherin         | 2.45                  | 1.10 ± 0.08       |
|                      | 9.52                  | 1.96 ± 0.06       |
|                      | 4.76                  | 1.78 ± 0.02       |
|                      | 2.38                  | 1.69 ± 0.02       |
|                      | 15.55                 | 2.07 ± 0.02       |
|                      | 7.77                  | 2.05 ± 0.02       |
|                      | 3.88                  | 1.84 ± 0.03       |

Table 4
Weight assessment of mice treated with extracts and isoleuetherin from Eleutherine plicata.

| Days | Groups (males) | EEEp | FDMEp | Isoleuetherin | GC       | P  |
|------|----------------|------|-------|--------------|----------|----|
| 1    | 27.23 ± 0.52   | 26.5 ± 0.3 | 27.3 ± 0.65 | 26.6 ± 0.20 | 0.283 |
| 7    | 28.9 ± 1.56    | 28.6 ± 0.52 | 28.6 ± 0.42 | 27.8 ± 0.45 | 0.385 |
| 14   | 29.35 ± 0.34   | 29.1 ± 0.20 | 30.42 ± 0.54 | 29.3 ± 0.15 | 0.214 |

| Days | Groups (females) | EEEp | FDMEp | Isoleuetherin | GC       | P  |
|------|------------------|------|-------|--------------|----------|----|
| 1    | 26.2 ± 0.65     | 25.5 ± 0.82 | 25.6 ± 0.42 | 25.9 ± 0.40 | 0.225 |
| 7    | 28.75 ± 0.74    | 27.6 ± 0.56 | 28.8 ± 0.20 | 28.3 ± 0.27 | 0.348 |
| 14   | 29.58 ± 0.58    | 29.1 ± 0.20 | 30.24 ± 0.30 | 29.4 ± 0.53 | 0.256 |

Days = period of treatment. EEEp: ethanol extract of Eleutherine plicata; FDMEp: dichloromethane fraction of Eleutherine plicata; GC: Control group (99 % water + 1 % DMSO). Values expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). P was calculated using the T Student test.

Table 5
Weight assessment of female Balb/c mice treated orally with repeated doses of EEEp, FDMEp, and control.

| Days | Weight (g) | EEEp | FDMEp |
|------|------------|------|-------|
|      | GC         |      |       |
| 1    | 28.42 ± 1.13 | 27.54 ± 2.12 | 26.36 ± 1.27 |
| 7    | 29.56 ± 1.12 | 28.85 ± 1.52 | 28.56 ± 1.95 |
| 14   | 29.62 ± 1.41 | 29.39 ± 1.32 | 30.93 ± 1.48 |
| 21   | 31.27 ± 1.51 | 32.28 ± 0.87 | 31.82 ± 1.38 |

Days = period of treatment. EEEp: ethanolic extract of Eleutherine plicata; FDMEp: dichloromethane fraction of Eleutherine plicata; GC: Control group (99 % water + 1 % DMSO). Values expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 5).

Table 6
Hematological parameters of female Balb/c mice orally treated with repeated doses of EEEp, FDMEp, and control.

| Parameters               | EEEp | FDMEp |
|--------------------------|------|-------|
| Red Cells (10⁶/ mm³)     | 6.25 ± 1.23 | 6.0 ± 0.34 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)        | 38.6 ± 0.45 | 32.36 ± 2.45 |
| VCM (mm²)                | 51.5 ± 0.52 | 51.5 ± 1.65 |
| CHCM (10⁷/ mm³)          | 48.2 ± 11.0 | 29.3 ± 1.02 |
| Leukocytes (10⁶/mm³)     | 2.40 ± 0.15 | 2.185 ± 0.02 |

EEEp: ethanol extract of Eleutherine plicata; FDMEp: dichloromethane fraction of Eleutherine plicata; GC: Control group (99 % water + 1 % DMSO). Values expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 5), considering (p < 0.05) for the Student T test. Reference: [61].
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...is an intrinsic property of these compounds associated with other structural factors, such as resonance effects, that may contribute to cytotoxicity. Quinonoid carbonyls are susceptible to reduction, generating alkylating intermediates [48], which may be involved in DNA alkylation and consequently, cell death [49]. FDMEp has a higher content of naphthoquinones than EEEp [25]. Moreover, it has at least two associated naphthoquinones (eleutherin and isoeleutherin) and this may explain its greater cytotoxicity at 48 h [27]. An in vitro study on different cell lines, including U-251 (glioma), MCF-7 (breast), NCI/ADR-RES (ovary expressing multidrug resistance phenotype), 786-0 (kidney), NCI-H460 (lung, non-small cells), HT-29 (colon), and K562 (leukemia), showed that eleutherin was more cytotoxic in all tested strains, when compared to its isoeleutherin isomer [50].

The delayed effect is probably due to the slowness in the formation of covalent bonds by DNA alkylation. There is initially an attraction between the reduced agent and the DNA, then a covalent bond is formed, an effect that can easily occur in naphthoquinones, such as atovaquone [51].

When the damage to DNA was analyzed, the FDMEp damage rate was higher than that of EEEp. In another study, the result was similar, in which the dichloromethane fraction was more genotoxic than the ethanol extract [52]. This fact can be explained by the higher content of naphthoquinones in the fraction, which in addition to alkylation, can also stabilize the topoisomerase II-DNA complex, what is clarified under in silico studies by docking and molecular modeling [28].

Isoeleutherin, especially at the highest concentration, was responsible for a high level of DNA damage, as assessed by the comet assay. Another study using micronucleus assay, demonstrated relatively low genotoxic potential [28]. The comet assay assesses the rate of DNA damage before repair, while the micronucleus assesses the maintenance of damage after repair [53]. Therefore, the damage to DNA caused by isoeleutherin can be repaired, while the damage caused by the dichloromethane fraction does not seem to be repaired. This fact reafirms the hypothesis that toxicity must be related to another compound, such as eleutherin.

In a recent study with a naphthoquinone (1,4-naphthoquinone derivative-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)thio]-5,8-dimethoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone), the results demonstrated that it has the ability to induce apoptosis in nine gastric cancer cell lines with accumulation of reactive oxygen species, decreased effect when pre-treated with an antioxidant (N-acetyl-L-cysteine), thus the apoptotic effect of naphthoquinone seems to be mediated by oxidative stress, and the use of N-acetyl-L-cysteine significantly decreased levels of p-JNK, p-p38, and cleaved caspase-3, while increasing levels of p-ERK1/2 and pSTAT3 [54].

To assess whether eleutherin, eleutherol, or isoeleutherin can bind directly to proteins involved in apoptosis, molecular docking was performed using caspase-8. The results demonstrated the activation of caspase-8 by these molecules, due to the interaction with the Cys360 residue of this enzyme, being determined as key residues for the activity. Caspase-8 is involved in the extrinsic pathway of apoptosis, and activation of caspase-8 leads to the activation of caspase-3 directly, or through cleavage of proapoptotic members of the Bcl-2 family (B cell CLL/Lymphoma 2), promoting the release of cytochrome C from mitochondria, which has pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins [55]. In the cytoplasm, cytochrome c binds to apoptotic protease activating factor 1 (Apaf-1) and pro-caspase-9, forming the apoptosome complex and activating caspase-9, which in turn activates caspase-3, resulting in morphological and biochemical aspects of cell death [55,56]. Therefore, the cell death mechanism by eleutherin and isoeleutherin may involve the direct protein activation in the extrinsic pathway of apoptosis.

Quinones can generate reactive oxygen species because of their reduction products (semiquinones and hydroquinones), which can generate superoxide radical anions (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl radicals (OH$^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), and singlet oxygen (O$_2^*$) that can induce cell damage [57]. Many quinones also exhibit biological activity against cancer cells, due to this capacity that can interact with cell membrane structures, proteins in the cytoplasm, or even the nucleus, interacting with DNA. In the present study, however, the antioxidant capacity of these compounds was evaluated, but there were no significant differences in the antioxidant capacity among the samples, suggesting that oxidative stress is probably not the main route related to the cytotoxicity displayed by the naphthoquinones present in E. plicata. Perhaps, other

---

**Table 7**

Biochemical parameters of female Balb/c mice orally treated with repeated doses of EEEp, FDMEp, and control.

| Parameters | Groups | EEEp | FDMEp | Reference |
|------------|--------|------|-------|-----------|
| AST        | GC     | 89.23 ± 2.85 | 87.00 ± 3.25 | 91.00 ± 6.24 | 64.0-258.0 |
| ALT        | GC     | 46.00 ± 2.36 | 42.54 ± 2.14 | 51.22 ± 4.72 | 32.0-178.0 |
| UREA       | GC     | 44.61 ± 3.26 | 46.38 ± 3.32 | 45.65 ± 2.28 | 27.0-70.0 |
| CREATININE | GC     | 0.28 ± 0.04  | 0.31 ± 0.03  | 0.36 ± 0.05  | 0.2-0.9  |

**Fig. 1.** Molecular docking results with three naphthoquinones compounds and co-crystallized ligand (yellow).

**Fig. 2.** Interactions between ligands and caspase-8. Legend: A- eleutherin, B- isoeleutherin, C- eleutherol.
mechanisms, such as stabilization with the topoisomerase II-DNA complex [28], and the induction of apoptosis, are responsible for the cytotoxicity of these compounds.

In summary, the results suggest that the dichloromethane fraction is the most toxic, whereas the ethanol extract is the least toxic of the samples studied. Because of these results, it was decided to assess the safety of acute and repeated doses of oral administration of these samples through in vivo studies. In these results, clinical changes, weight gain, and repeated doses were also evaluated for hematological changes and tested for kidney and liver function parameters.

The results showed that both the use of one dose or repeated doses did not cause clinical or weight changes, and there were no significant differences between the hematological and biochemical data of the treated animals and the control group.

To obtain information to certify the safety of these candidates to medicine development, toxicity studies on animals were carried out [58]. Especially by the study of repeated doses, it is possible to characterize the toxicological profile and determine the adverse effects of a drug candidate. In addition, this test allows to identify changes in physiological, hematological, and biochemical parameters [59]. In the present study, no physiological, hematological, or biochemical changes were observed, suggesting that the use of EEEp and FDMEp in mice is safe.

Animal models can be good indicators of toxicity for humans, despite differences between species. The main differences are in toxicokinetics, with lab animals tending to metabolize toxic agents faster than humans; the perfusion rate of hepatocytes is higher, and the enzymatic activity of mammals increases with decreasing body weight. Other anatomical and physiological differences exist between Humans and animals [60], therefore, before conducting clinical studies, it is essential to demonstrate the safety of drug candidates in animal models.

When analyzing the results of in vitro and in vivo studies, EEp is the one with the least toxic potential. Another study showed that this extract displays antimalarial potential, however, the fraction containing naphthoquinones was a little more active. Nevertheless, when antimalarial activity is linked to toxicity data, perhaps EEp is the more promising fraction.

5. Conclusion

In vitro cytotoxicity study suggests that FDMEp, EEp, and iso-eleutherin display similar toxicity in treatment times. Isoeleutherin and FDMEp, especially at the highest concentrations, were responsible for a high level of DNA damage, as assessed by the comet assay. We believed that E. plicata cytotoxicity is related to naphthoquinones and it involves different signaling pathways, such as oxidative stress, inducing apoptosis, DNA alkylation, and stabilization of the topoisomerase II-DNA complex. This study demonstrated through molecular docking, for the first time, that naphthoquinones (eleutherin, eleutherol, and iso-eleutherin) can activate caspase-8 resulting in possible apoptosis, due to the interaction of these compounds with the enzyme.

The animals treated with EEp and FDMEp, with single and repeated doses, did not display any clinical, hematological, or biochemical alterations, suggesting they are safe. Our results showed that E. plicata has low toxic potential, being safe to use and, therefore, its compounds could be promising candidates for the development of antimalarials.
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