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Abstract Chemistry occurring at or near the surfaces of aqueous droplets and thin films in the atmosphere influences air quality and climate. Molecular dynamics simulations are becoming increasingly useful for gaining atomic-scale insight into the structure and reactivity of aqueous interfaces in the atmosphere. Here we review simulation studies of atmospherically relevant
aqueous liquid-air interfaces, with an emphasis on ions that play important roles in the chemistry of atmospheric aerosols. In addition to surveying results from simulation studies, we discuss challenges to the refinement and experimental validation of the methodology for simulating ion adsorption to the air-water interface, and recent advances in elucidating the driving forces for adsorption. We also review the recent development of a dielectric continuum theory that is capable of reproducing simulation and experimental data on ion behavior at aqueous interfaces.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .......................................................... 2

HALIDE IONS: CONSENSUS VIEW FROM SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENT 4

MODEL DEPENDENCE OF SIMULATION RESULTS ................... 6

ISSUES CONCERNING EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION ............... 7

POLARIZABLE ANION DIELECTRIC CONTINUUM THEORY .......... 10

AB INITIO MOLECULAR DYNAMICS .................................. 13

DRIVING FORCES FOR ION ADSORPTION .......................... 15

SOLUTIONS CONTAINING MIXTURES OF SALTS .................... 23

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK ............................................. 27

1 INTRODUCTION

Aqueous liquid-air interfaces are ubiquitous in the atmosphere. Common examples include the surfaces of cloud droplets, aqueous aerosols, thin films of water on boundary layer surfaces (soils, plant, buildings, etc.), and the quasi-liquid layer on ice and snow. These aqueous environments contain myriad chemical species
dissolved within them or adsorbed on their surfaces, such as inorganic atomic and molecular ions, acids and bases, and a wide variety of organic compounds. Chemical reactions occurring in these environments are involved in both the formation and removal of trace gases in the atmosphere, and hence contribute to the determination of air quality. The chemistry of aerosol particles modifies their optical properties and potency as cloud condensation nuclei, and hence is relevant to radiative forcing and climate.

During the last decade and a half, it has become increasingly apparent that many important atmospheric chemical processes occur at aqueous-air interfaces. Examples of such “heterogeneous” chemical processes include the hydrolysis of \( \text{SO}_2 \) in cloud droplets, which is involved in the production of acid rain \([7]\), the oxidation of \( \text{Cl}^- \) to \( \text{Cl}_2 \) in sea salt aerosol, which contributes to ozone formation in the polluted marine boundary layer \([2,8]\), and the destruction of tropospheric ozone via halogen chemistry in the Arctic \([9]\). It is also becoming increasingly clear that the aqueous liquid-air interface provides a unique setting that enables chemistry that does not occur or is too slow to be relevant in bulk solution \([2,10]\).

Experimental determination of the kinetics and mechanisms of interfacial chemical processes is particularly challenging because of the inherent difficulty of making measurements that provide a molecular-scale view of the composition, structure, dynamics, and chemistry at liquid interfaces. Consequently, much of the recent progress in the molecular-scale understanding of heterogeneous atmospheric chemistry has come from molecular dynamics (MD) simulation studies, often carried out in close collaboration with laboratory studies. In addition to providing insight into particular chemical processes relevant to atmospheric chemistry, MD simulations have also contributed to the development of fundamental theoretical
concepts that are broadly applicable to a wide variety of problems in interfacial chemistry, and are guiding the refinement of theories of aqueous solutions, such as dielectric continuum theory (DCT).

In this review, we present and discuss recent simulation studies and developments in dielectric continuum theory that are relevant to the heterogeneous atmospheric chemistry of aqueous ionic solutions in the environment. This is a large and rapidly growing area of research that cannot be covered completely here. We have chosen, therefore, to restrict our focus to the behavior of inorganic ions, with an emphasis on halide anions, at the air-water interface. For a more complete picture, we refer the reader to other recent reviews that present complementary perspectives [10–13].

2 HALIDE IONS: CONSENSUS VIEW FROM SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENT

Marine aerosols formed by bursting bubbles in seawater contain halide anions (Cl\(^-\), Br\(^-\), and I\(^-\)), which participate in numerous reactions with atmospheric trace gases, and it is now clear that some of the rich halide chemistry occurs on the surface of sea salt aerosol particles or snow on which sea salt has been deposited [9, 10, 14]. A prerequisite for an interfacial reaction is that the reactants (i.e., ions) must be present at the interface. Until about 20 years ago, this seemed paradoxical, because the conventional wisdom, discussed in more detail below, was that ions are repelled from the air-water interface. However, in the early 1990s MD simulations employing polarizable force fields predicted that the heavier halides prefer to be located on the surface of water clusters [15–21], and in the early 2000s simulations of alkali halide solutions with extended inter-
faces, modeled as slabs subjected to periodic boundary conditions, and employing similar force fields, predicted that the heavier halides can adsorb to the solution-air interface, with an adsorption propensity that follows the order Cl\(^-\) < Br\(^-\) < I\(^-\) \(\text{[22–25]}\) (Figure 1). These simulations inspired many new experimental investigations, the earliest of which included second harmonic generation (SHG) \(\text{[26–28]}\), vibrational sum-frequency generation (VSFG) \(\text{[29, 30]}\), and photoelectron spectroscopic (PES) \(\text{[31,32]}\) measurements, that essentially validated the predictions of the simulations. For reviews of the early simulations of halide ion adsorption and their experimental validation, see \(\text{[11,33–36]}\).

3 MODEL DEPENDENCE OF SIMULATION RESULTS

During the last decade, there has been explosive growth in the number of simulation studies of halide ion adsorption to the air-water interface. The majority of these studies fall roughly into two categories: (1) those that focus on intrinsic adsorption propensities via calculation of the potential of mean force (PMF) for single ion adsorption in the absence of counterions; (2) simulations of halide salt solutions at finite concentration. The growing body of recent work has exposed a significant level of model dependence of halide ion adsorption propensity. Nonetheless, there is general agreement on the order of halide adsorption propensity, that alkali cations and fluoride anions are repelled from the interface, and that iodide anions adsorb, although, as we will discuss below, the extent of the adsorption of the heavier halides (Br\(^-\) and I\(^-\)) is model dependent.

The empirical potential energy functions or “force fields” (FFs) that describe interatomic interactions in aqueous ionic solutions all contain terms that describe hard core repulsive and attractive dispersion interactions, typically modeled us-
ing Lennard-Jones potentials, and Coulomb interactions between fixed charges on the ions and water molecules. Ion electronic polarization is typically accounted for explicitly by induced dipoles \cite{15,19,24,38} or Drude oscillators \cite{20,21,39,40}, in which the atomic polarizabilities are key parameters. A variety of target data have been used to optimize force field parameters for ions, including quantum chemical calculations on ion-water complexes, and structural and thermodynamic properties of bulk electrolytes \cite{24,38,41}. Water models are generally not optimized for ion-water interactions and, hence, the resulting ion parameters are tied closely to the water model with which they are meant to be used. As has been emphasized in the recent literature, the parameterization of the halide anions is underdetermined, in the sense that there are degenerate sets of parameters that reproduce available target data equally well \cite{13,41,42}. Interfacial properties are not, in general, considered as target data. It is not surprising, therefore, that there is a wide range of variability in the extent of halide adsorption predicted by different models.

Ion adsorption propensity, quantified in terms of single ion PMFs, varies substantially among the different FFs that can be found in the literature. PMFs that display minima near the Gibbs dividing surface (GDS) imply that ion adsorption is favorable thermodynamically, and that the density or concentration of the ion is greater at the interface than in the bulk. Non-polarizable FFs typically predict that, among the halides, only iodide is stabilized at the interface (by \approx 0.5 kcal/mol), and that the lighter halides, while not stabilized at the interface are able to approach it more closely in the order F^- < Cl^- < Br^- (see, e.g., \cite{42}). Polarizable FFs tend to predict the same order of closeness of approach, and that, in addition to I^-, Br^- is also stabilized at the interface (see, e.g., \cite{24}, where single
ion PMFs show that Br$^-$ is stabilized by $\approx$1 kcal/mol and I$^-$ by $\approx$1.5 kcal/mol).

While systematic variation of force field parameters, such as charge, radius, polarizability useful for elucidating interactions that contribute to ion adsorption [42–45], for making predictions that are useful for understanding heterogeneous atmospheric chemistry, accuracy, as judged by direct comparison to experimental data, is desirable. How well do the disparate halide force fields perform? The answer to this question depends, unfortunately, on the data used for the comparison.

4 ISSUES CONCERNING EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

The surface tensions of inorganic salt solutions increase with concentration [46, 47]. Surface tension data for halide salts are available over a wide range of concentration, but surface tensions derived from MD simulations are difficult to converge [48, 49]. Dos Santos et al. compared surface tensions from MD simulations based on two non-polarizable potentials to experimental data for NaF and NaI, and noted only mediocre agreement [48]. For NaI at low concentration, they found that the surface tension was lower than that of neat water, in stark contrast to experimental data. D’Auria and Tobias computed surface tensions for 1 m and 6 m KF and NaCl solutions using both both polarizable and non-polarizable FFs and found a similar, mediocre level of agreement with experimental data for both [49]. Netz et al. used single ion adsorption PMFs in extended Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) calculations of ion concentration profiles, from which surface tensions were derived [42, 50]. Generally speaking, they found that non-polarizable FFs optimized for solution thermodynamic data led to better agreement with experimental surface tension data than a polarizable FF. When
comparing PB calculations to experimental data it is worth noting that the PB calculations appear to underestimate the extent of ion adsorption (and, hence, are expected to overestimate the surface tensions) compared to MD simulations for a given force field, at least for 0.85 M NaBr and NaI [42].

VSFG is a surface-sensitive, nonlinear vibrational spectroscopic technique that has been used extensively to probe solute-induced changes in water hydrogen-bonding at aqueous interfaces [33, 51, 52]. VSFG spectra of NaF and NaCl solutions are very similar to the spectrum of neat water, suggesting that \( \text{F}^- \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \) do not perturb the water surface [29, 30]. On the other hand, the spectra of NaBr and NaI solutions exhibit differences from the neat water spectrum [29, 30, 53], which have been attributed to the presence of the heavier halides in the interfacial region [29, 53]. Accurate calculation of VSFG spectra from MD trajectories is challenging [54–58], but a reasonable level of agreement with experimental VSFG data has been achieved for NaCl and NaI solutions using a polarizable model that predicted strong adsorption of \( \text{I}^- \) [59, 60].

SHG is a second-order spectroscopic technique that probes electronic transitions in interfacial settings. SHG has been used to probe bromide and iodide ions at aqueous interfaces [28, 36, 61]. To quantify ion adsorption propensities, the concentration dependence of the SHG response is fit to a Langmuir adsorption isotherm, which affords an adsorption free energy, albeit typically with considerable uncertainty. Values for \( \text{I}^- \) range from \(-6.2 \text{ kcal/mol} \) at low concentration (0.1 M to 2 M) to \(-0.8 \text{ kcal/mol} \) at higher concentration (> 2 M) [28, 36]. For \( \text{Br}^- \), the best fit to SHG data from 6 mM to 7 M gave an adsorption free energy of \(-0.3 \text{ kcal/mol} \) [61]. Thus, consistent with MD simulations employing polarizable FFs, analysis of SHG data suggests that both \( \text{Br}^- \) and \( \text{I}^- \) adsorb, and that, for
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I− at low concentration, the adsorption is much more favorable than single ion PMFs suggest.

Recent advancements in PES experiments carried out at synchrotrons have enabled species-selective depth-profiling of aqueous solutions [34, 62]. Depth-profiling is possible because as the incident photon energy is increased, electrons from deeper in the solution have sufficient kinetic energy to make it to the detector. Thus, photoelectron kinetic energy (PKE) is a measure of the probing depth. Unfortunately, a precise relation between PKE and depth in spatial units is not available [63]. Measurements of the anion/cation ratio as a function of PKE have been reported for highly concentrated alkali halide solutions. For KF solutions, the ratio is unity over a range of PKE probing from near the solution surface to the bulk [64]. At low PKE, which corresponds to the interfacial region, the ratio increases from 1.5 in NaCl to 2 in KBr to 4 in KI [32, 65]. Interfacial anion/cation ratios derived from MD simulations with polarizable FFs compare quite favorably with those derived from the experimental PES data [63, 66]. MD simulations have proven useful for enhancing the interpretation of PES data. For example, the anion/cation ratios obtained for NaCl and RbBr from PES data and MD simulations suggested no difference in the ion distributions in both salt solutions, but the MD simulations with polarizable FFs predicted that the Cl− interfacial propensity was greater in NaCl vs. RbCl, and this prompted measurements of Cl−/O distributions that confirmed the predictions of the simulation [65].

X-ray reflectivity (XRR) measurements probe electron density inhomogeneities at interfaces, and models are required to extract electron density profiles of the individual species in a multi-component system. Density profiles extracted from XRR data for concentrated chloride and iodide solutions suggested that these
ions are depleted from the solution-air interface \cite{67}, in contrast to predictions from MD simulations. Attempts have been made to reconcile MD simulations with XRR data via comparison of the structure factors rather than the model-dependent density profiles \cite{68-70}. These studies have been mostly inconclusive due to lack of quantitative agreement between the structure factors obtained experimentally and those computed from the MD trajectories. However, very recently, using a new polarizable force field, Dang et al. were able to compute structure factors that were in satisfactory agreement with XRR data for SrCl$_2$, thereby establishing that the strong Cl$^-$ interfacial enhancement observed in the simulation is not incompatible with XRR data \cite{70}.

Presently, on the whole, surface tension data tend to favor non-polarizable models of halide ions, while surface-sensitive spectroscopies tend to favor polarizable models. In order to make progress in the validation of FFs used to model halide solutions, the little or no adsorption inferred from surface tension data needs to be reconciled with the relatively strong adsorption implied by most available spectroscopic data. To this end, advances in methodology for calculating spectroscopic observables from MD simulations and more careful comparisons of simulations to spectroscopic data will be required.

5 POLARIZABLE ANION DIELECTRIC CONTINUUM THEORY

Dielectric continuum theories (DCT) for electrolyte solutions have a long and venerable history. Almost a century ago, Debye and Hückel (DH) developed the first simple DCT of bulk electrolytes \cite{71}. Within the DH theory, ions are treated as hard spheres with a fixed uniform surface charge $q$, and water is idealized
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as a continuum medium of dielectric constant $\epsilon_w$. DH theory was the first to successfully account for the osmotic properties of bulk electrolytes, and has served as the starting point for the development of more rigorous statistical mechanical theories of electrolyte solutions [72].

The development of a successful DCT of the electrolyte-air interface has proven to be difficult. The first observation that electrolytes affect the thermodynamics of the air-water interface has appeared in the pioneering work of Heydweiller, who noted that adding a strong electrolyte to water leads to an increase of the surface tension of the air-water interface [46]. An explanation of this phenomenon was advanced by Langmuir, who, appealing to the Gibbs adsorption isotherm suggested that, for reasons that were not very clear, ions preferred to stay away from the interfacial region [73]. The mechanism responsible for the ionic depletion from the interfacial region was elucidated by Wagner [74] and Onsager and Samaras (OS) [75]. Using the DCT of DH, these authors suggested that as an ion approaches the GDS, it is repelled by its image charge located on the air side of the interface. This results in ion-image repulsion, which drives ions away from the interfacial region. Using DH theory, OS calculated the ion-image repulsion potential assuming zero ionic radius. Substituting this expression into the Gibbs adsorption isotherm, they were able to obtain a limiting law for the excess surface tensions of electrolyte solutions, which agreed quite well with the experimental data for dilute NaCl solutions. OS also attempted to extend the range of validity of their expression for surface tension by including finite ionic radius. To this end, they adopted the interionic interaction potential derived by DH for bulk electrolytes. This improved the value of the calculated surface tension, making it agree with experiments up to 100 mM. At higher concentrations, however, strong
deviations from the experimental data appeared.

The problem of surface tensions of electrolyte solutions was reexamined by Levin and Flores-Mena at the turn of the previous century [76]. They included two new ingredients into the OS theory of surface tension: (i) ionic hydration, and (ii) the polarizability of the counterion screening cloud surrounding each ion. Ionic hydration was assumed to prevent ions from coming closer to the Gibbs dividing surface (GDS) than their hydrated radius, while the broken translational symmetry imposed by the interface was shown to lead to diminished screening of the ionic self-energy, resulting in an additional repulsion from the GDS. The theory proposed by Levin and Flores-Mena was shown to be in excellent agreement with experimental surface tension data for NaCl solutions up to 1M concentrations. However, when the same theory was applied to NaI solution it predicted a qualitatively incorrect behavior. Specifically, the surface tension of NaI was found to be larger than that of NaCl, which was contradicted by experiments. It was clear that some key ingredient was still missing from the complete description of the interfacial properties of electrolyte solutions.

A clue to the missing ingredient was provided by the polarizable force field simulations discussed above. The prediction that the populations of the large halides (Br\(^-\) and I\(^-\)) are enhanced at the interface vs. the bulk contradicted the very basis of the OS theory, and polarizability seemed to play a role in promoting ion adsorption to the interface. To understand why polarizability is so important to ionic solvation, one needs to compare the electrostatic self-energy of an ion in vacuum and in bulk water [77]. The self-energy of an ion of charge \(q\) and radius \(a\) in vacuum is \(U_v \approx q^2/2a\) while in water it is \(U_w \approx q^2/2\epsilon_w a\), where \(\epsilon_w \approx 80\) is the dielectric constant of water. To move an ion of radius \(a \approx 2\ \text{Å}\), from water to air,
therefore, requires $140k_B T$ of work. This huge energy cost will always favor ionic solvation in bulk water. Suppose, however, that we want to move an ion not all the way into the vapor phase, but only to the interface, so that half of it is still hydrated. The electrostatic work that is needed to bring a hard non-polarizable ion from bulk electrolyte to the surface is about $20k_B T$ \cite{78}. This is much less than the work needed to move the ion into the vapor, but is still so large that it makes it energetically improbable to find an ion located near the GDS.

Now consider a perfectly polarizable ion that can be modeled as a conducting spherical shell of radius $a$ and charge $q$, which is free to distribute itself over the surface of the ion. To minimize the electrostatic energy as a polarizable ion moves across the dielectric interface, its surface charge redistributes, so as to remain mostly hydrated. The work required to bring a polarizable ion toward the interface is approximately 10 times smaller ($\approx 2k_B T$) than that for a non-polarizable ion \cite{37,77}. Still, from the point of view of electrostatics, the interfacial ion location is unfavorable. What, then, drives large, highly polarizable halogen ions towards the air-water interface? To answer this question we must consider the energetics of ionic solvation. To move an ion from vacuum into bulk water, we must first create a cavity from which water molecules are excluded, and this is both entropically and energetically costly. MD simulations provide an estimate of the cavitational free energy, which for an ion of $a \approx 2 \text{ Å}$, turns out to be about $2.5k_B T$ \cite{77}. If the ion moves towards the surface, the cavitational free energy will decrease proportionately to the volume of the ion exposed to air. For hard, non-polarizable ions, this is too small to overcome the electrostatic self-energy penalty of exposing ionic charge to vacuum. For soft, polarizable ions, the situation is very different. For large halogens such as Br$^-$
and I\textsuperscript{−} the stabilization due to the cavitational energy competes against the electrostatic of self-energy penalty, since the two are now very similar in magnitude. Furthermore, the larger the ion the bigger will be the cavitational incentive for it to move towards the surface and the smaller will be the electrostatic self-energy penalty. This is the basic idea behind the polarizable anion dielectric continuum theory (PA-DCT) \cite{37,77}.

The general picture that emerges from PA-DCT is that, near the air-water or a general hydrophobic interface, anions can be divided into two categories: kosmotropes and chaotropes \cite{79}. Kosmotropes, such as F\textsuperscript{−} and Cl\textsuperscript{−} remain hydrated and are repelled from the GDS, while large, highly polarizable chaotropes such as Br\textsuperscript{−} and I\textsuperscript{−} shed some of their hydration shell and become stabilized at the interface by the cavitational and polarization contributions to the free energy (Figure 1). The PA-DCT affords the potential of mean force (PMF) for ion interactions with the interface \cite{77}, from which it is possible to obtain ion distributions and, via integration of the Gibbs adsorption isotherm, the surface tensions of various electrolyte solutions, which are found to be in excellent agreement with experimental data \cite{37,79}.

6 AB INITIO MOLECULAR DYNAMICS

\textit{Ab initio} or first-principles MD (FPMD) simulations, in which the forces are computed from the electronic structure, typically determined by density functional theory (DFT), are being applied increasingly more frequently to study ion behavior in interfacial settings. Until quite recently, the high computational cost of FPMD simulations limited their application in this area to ion-water clusters. For example, a FPMD simulation of a Cl\textsuperscript{−}(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{6} complex confirmed the surface
location of the Cl\(^{-}\) ion predicted by FF-based simulations, and provided insight into polarization effects and vibrational spectroscopy of the cluster \[80\]. Eight years ago, Kuo and Mundy pioneered the application of FPMD to an extended aqueous interface, specifically, an \(\approx 35 \, \text{Å} \) thick slab of 216 water molecules modeled with open interfaces in a \(15 \times 15 \times 70 \, \text{Å} \) cell with three-dimensional periodic boundary conditions \[81\]. In addition to affording unprecedented detail into the structure, hydrogen-bonding, and electronic structure of the air-water interface, Kuo and Mundy’s simulation defined the protocols required to maintain a stable interface with a relatively small system and to obtain converged results for hydrogen-bond populations and dynamics \[82,83\].

FPMD simulations hold great potential to elucidate complex chemistry that can occur in the vicinity of aqueous interfaces, but this potential can only be realized if the underlying electronic structure method is sufficiently accurate. Kuo and Mundy’s simulation of the air-water interface exposed additional weaknesses in a generalized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange-correlation functional, specifically, the BLYP functional, that was commonly used for aqueous systems. One problem that was immediately evident was that the equilibrium density of liquid water at ambient conditions was \(\approx 0.8 \, \text{g/cc}\), i.e., significantly less than the experimental value of 1 g/cc. This is a serious shortcoming because, without a proper bulk reference state, potentials of mean force quantifying interfacial propensity are questionable.

Nevertheless, the simulation protocols established by Kuo and Mundy have been used in studies of the free energetics of adsorption of F\(^{-}\) and ClO\(_4^{-}\) anions at the air-water interface. Despite the issue with the bulk density, excellent qualitative agreement with experimentally inferred interfacial propensities, which
follow the Hofmeister series [84], was obtained. Specifically, F$^-$ was found to be strongly repelled from the interface while ClO$_4$ was found to have a high interfacial propensity [64,85]. For a hydrated ion such as F$^-$, it is likely that the bulk density will not qualitatively affect the strong repulsion that an ion will feel at the air-water due to the fact that the tight solvation structure is preserved even at a reduced density. [86] However, problems were reported in the ClO$_4$ study due to the coupling of the anion to strong density fluctuations of the slab that were attributed to the incorrect bulk density. [85] Artifacts due to the incorrect bulk density have also been noticed in the solvation of OH$^-$ [87].

Recent FPMD studies have sought to fix one well known deficiency, namely, the lack of dispersion, and while this area of research remains active, it has already had a major impact on the protocol for DFT-based simulations of aqueous interfaces. With the inclusion of an empirical correction for dispersion due to Grimme [88], researchers showed that the density of liquid water utilizing GGA functionals is very close to 1 g/cc when simulated under constant temperature and pressure conditions (NpT ensemble) [89]. As expected, these results carried over to extended slabs, where excellent agreement with the NpT simulations was obtained [87,90]. Dispersion corrections also led to improvement of other properties of liquid water that were problematic previously, such as diffusion coefficients and radial distribution functions. The extent to which other equilibrium properties are affected by the addition of dispersion is presently an active line of inquiry. Obviously, this simple extension of DFT cannot be expected correct all of the known problems, but it does provide a more solid platform for launching new DFT-based simulation studies of complex phenomena at aqueous interfaces.

The use of DFT for the study of bulk aqueous systems is not without contro-
versy. Issues such as slow diffusion and over structuring of bulk aqueous systems have led to some skepticism. Additional experimental tests of DFT are highly desirable, and a couple of recent examples have yielded encouraging results. Modern applications of multi-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) techniques have allowed researchers to precisely determine local structure through the assignment of multiple scattering paths [91, 92]. Two recent FPMD studies of I\(^-\) and IO\(_3^-\) solvation have highlighted the importance of an \textit{ab initio} representation of the interaction potential to describe the solvation structure [93, 94]. In the case of I\(^-\), a head-to-head comparison of a high quality empirical polarizable potential to DFT revealed measurable differences in the first solvation shell [93]. Although the differences were subtle, they came into full relief when examining the statistics of hydrogen bonding. The differences between the two interaction potentials were traced to a larger induced dipole for the polarizable model (by a factor of two), which was also noted in an independent investigation [95]. This additional asymmetry under bulk solvation may have implications for surface propensity as well [96]. The study of IO\(_3^-\) revealed a surprising and novel solvation structure where the I center takes on a partial positive charge under bulk solvation and strongly coordinates three water molecules, while the negative partial charges on the oxygens remain weakly hydrated [97]. It was proposed that the strongly hydrated I atom is responsible for the surprising classification of IO\(_3^-\) as a kosmotrope, in agreement with the Jones-Dole B-coefficient based on viscosity studies of electrolytes. It would be a challenge to describe the complex solvation of IO\(_3^-\) revealed by DFT accurately with empirical potentials.

The favorable comparison with EXAFS data has established that FPMD simulations with dispersion corrections can reproduce subtle details of the solvent
shell accurately \[93, 97\]. The same DFT approach was used recently to calculate the PMF for I\(^-\) adsorption at the air-water interface \[94\]. Consistent with early studies that predicted I\(^-\) adsorption using polarizable FFs \[22, 24, 25\], the DFT PMF displays a local minimum at the interface (Figure 2). However, the minimum is much shallower than polarizable FFs predict, and actually resembles PMFs obtained from non-polarizable FFs much more closely \[42\]. Recall that the latter, when used in extended PB calculations, show reasonable agreement with surface tension data. The shallow DFT PMF is also strikingly similar to the result from the PA-DCT (Figure 2), which reproduces experimental surface tension and surface potential data remarkably well \[76\].

The similarity of the PMFs obtained using DFT, non-polarizable FFs, and PA-DCT is intriguing, and it raises a number of questions concerning the physics required to describe ion adsorption correctly. \textit{A priori} it is safe to say that the physics contained in DFT is the most complete, but residual inaccuracies in the functionals and relatively very limited sampling (i.e., compared to FF-based MD simulations) are causes for concern that will need to be addressed in future studies. While it is encouraging that the extended PB calculations and the PA-DCT reproduce thermodynamic data for ion adsorption, it is not obvious why the single ion PMF used in the former, which is derived from a non-polarizable FF-based MD simulation \[42\], is so similar to the PMF for the latter, which is obtained via a DCT for polarizable anions \[76\].

7 DRIVING FORCES FOR ION ADSORPTION

As evidence continues to mount from both simulation and experiment that certain ions have a propensity to adsorb at the air-water interface, the discussion has
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turned away from the question of whether or not ions adsorb and toward the question of what are the driving forces for ion adsorption. In light of the wealth of experimental and computational data, it seems that a consensus on the answer to this question ought to be on the horizon but, to the contrary, recent theoretical studies have come to quite different conclusions. In the earliest simulation studies that employed polarizable empirical force fields, electronic polarization and ion size were identified as having an important influence on the propensity for anions to adsorb to extended aqueous interfaces [43]. Until recently, it was assumed that ion adsorption is opposed by electrostatics, but that induction effects could reduce the electrostatic penalty, and favored by hydrophobic/cavitational forces [44, 98]. More recent studies, reviewed briefly below, have carefully evaluated these contributions and others, including relative importance of ion-water and water-water interactions, local solvation effects, and the surface potential. The starting point for most analyses of driving forces for ion adsorption is the single ion PMF, which is either decomposed into enthalpic and entropic components that are further dissected [99–102], or partitioned into free energy components [103, 104].

In the first approach, the entropic contribution to the free energy $-T \Delta S(z)$ is determined by subtracting the enthalpic contribution, which is essentially the average potential energy (assuming the $pV$ term is negligible), $\langle \Delta U(z) \rangle$, from the PMF, $\Delta A(z)$, where $z$ is a coordinate defining the position of the ion in the slab, and the $\Delta$ denotes a difference with respect to the bulk reference state. Assuming that the temperature dependence of the free energy of adsorption can be measured, all of these quantities are accessible experimentally. Caleman et al. recently reported a thermodynamic decomposition of single halide ion PMFs,
computed using MD simulations with polarizable FFs in large water clusters, into enthalpic and entropic contributions \[101\]. Contrary to previous assumptions, they found that the favorable adsorption of the heavier halides is favored by enthalpy and opposed by entropy. Otten et al. came to the same conclusion based on simulations of fractionally charged iodide-like anions \[102\]. They also employed resonant UV second harmonic generation spectroscopy to measure the adsorption of thiocyanate ions to the interface as a function of temperature \[102\]. Fitting the SHG response to a Langmuir adsorption model allowed them to obtain changes in free energy, enthalpy, and entropy for the adsorptive process. The experimental results confirmed the simulation results, namely, that the negative free energy of adsorption of the chaotropic SCN\(^-\) anion to the air-water interface is a consequence of the negative enthalpy of adsorption dominating the negative entropy of adsorption.

The enthalpy and entropy can be further decomposed into contributions from ion-water and water-water interactions. While the meaning of the individual terms in the enthalpic decomposition is clear, that of the entropic terms is not. Several authors have asserted that a “solvent-solvent” contribution to the solvation entropy, attributed to the reorganization of the solvent in the presence of a solute, is exactly cancelled by the solvent-solvent contribution to the enthalpy, i.e., the change in the solvent-solvent interaction energy that accompanies the insertion of a solute into the solvent (see, e.g., \[105\]-\[107\]). In this case, the solvation free energy of a single ion in neat water contains only contributions from changes in the ion-water interaction energy, and an ion-water contribution to the entropy whose physical meaning is not clear. In other words, changes in water-water interactions do not contribute to the solvation free energy, and hence do not
constitute a driving force for solvation. In this vein, Yagasaki et al. decomposed single halide ion PMFs, computed from both polarizable and non-polarizable FF-based simulations and QM/MM simulations in which the ions and their first solvent shell were modeled using Hartree-Fock theory with a 6-31G* basis set, into ion-water contributions to the enthalpy and entropy, the latter obtained as the difference between the free energy and the ion-water contribution to the enthalpy \[100\]. They found that, for all of the models of all of the ions considered (F\(^-\), Cl\(^-\), and I\(^-\)), ion adsorption is opposed by the ion-water contribution to the enthalpy, as expected, and favored by the contribution to the PMF from the ion-water entropy (Figure 3). Yagasaki et al. also found that polarization contributes to stabilizing the interfacial location of the heavier halides, presumably by delaying the enthalpic cost of exposing the charge to vacuum.

A quite different set of conclusions emerges when the water-water contributions to the enthalpy are kept in the picture. Recall that both Caleman et al. \[101\] and Otten et al. \[102\] determined that the total enthalpy of adsorption is negative for halide and halide-like anions. Furthermore, they decomposed the enthalpy into ion-water and water-water contributions, and found that the positive ion-water contribution (due to desolvation) is more than compensated by a negative contribution from water-water interactions (Figure 3). Thus, they singled out changes in water-water interactions as a driving force for ion adsorption. Otten et al. proceeded to show that water-water interactions are less favorable in the first solvation shell of the ion and at the air-water interface than they are in bulk water, and that, as an anion approaches the interface it sheds some of its solvation shell and displaces water from the interface into the bulk \[102\]. The negative water-water contribution to the adsorption enthalpy results, therefore, from a
loss of less favorable hydration shell and surface water-water interactions, and an increase in more favorable water-water interactions. Otten et al. concluded that the enthalpic driving force for ion adsorption arises from changes in local changes in solvation.

Otten et al. examined two possible explanations for the negative adsorption entropy \[102\]. The first, a change of the orientational statistics of water molecules in the ion hydration shell, led to an estimate of the adsorption entropy that erred both in sign and magnitude from the value extracted from the temperature dependence of SHG data. The second, a reduction of entropy due to pinning of capillary waves by an ion at the interface, estimated by applying a harmonic model to the interfacial height fluctuations, led to a value that had the correct sign and was within a factor of two of the experimental value for the adsorption entropy.

Rather than focusing on enthalpic and entropic contributions to the free energy, Arslanargin and Beck used a free energy partitioning approach to identify the predominant driving forces for anion adsorption \[103\]. According to their analysis, the stabilization of a non-polarizable iodide anion at the air-water interface results primarily from the reduction of the size of the cavity in water that accompanies adsorption, and a “far-field” electrostatic contribution corresponding to the ion interaction with the interfacial surface potential. Around the same time, Baer et al. came to a similar conclusion, and showed that anion adsorption free energies obtained from MD simulations could be accurately reproduced by a DCT that includes free energy terms for cavity formation, electrostatic self energy, and a modest electrochemical surface potential \(\approx -0.3 \text{ V}\), which is about half the value of the surface potential of the water model used in
The electric potential difference across the air-water interface arises because of the net orientation of water molecules in the interfacial region \[13\][108]. Surface potentials computed from MD simulations of point-charge water models tend to be around $-0.5$ V, and the analyses summarized above agree that the surface potential can be considered as a significant driving force for anion adsorption. The role of the surface potential becomes less clear, however, when results from FPMD simulations and PA-DCT are included in the discussion. The PMF for iodide adsorption derived from PA-DCT does not include a surface potential term, yet it agrees remarkably well with the iodide PMF computed from FPMD simulations (Figure 2), and reproduces experimental surface tension accurately \[37\]. This juxtaposition of results suggests that the electrochemical surface potential felt by an adsorbing ion is negligible. However, the surface potential calculated explicitly from FPMD simulations is large and positive \[109\], and, therefore, should strongly oppose anion adsorption. This is not what is observed, e.g., in the PMF for I$^-$ adsorption \[94\] (Figure 2), and reconciling this discrepancy is a subject of current research.

8 SOLUTIONS CONTAINING MIXTURES OF SALTS

While much has been learned about the distributions of ions near atmospherically relevant aqueous interfaces by studying single-component electrolyte solutions, aqueous solutions in the atmosphere are multi-component systems. For example, while the most prevalent ions in seawater are sodium cation and chloride anion, seawater also contains appreciable amounts of sulfate dianions and magnesium dications \[110\]. Bromide anions, while present in seawater in only trace amounts
(≈1/600 the chloride concentration), are important because they play a major role in the chemistry of sea salt aerosol \[111,112\]. Reactions of gaseous nitrogen oxides with halides in sea salt aerosols leaves behind nitrate anions, which can participate in photochemical reactions \[10\]. For a complete description of the heterogeneous chemistry of aqueous ionic solutions in the atmosphere, it is necessary to understand how the distribution of one species is affected by the presence of others. This issue has been addressed in several recent MD simulation studies.

Bromide enhancement at the air-water interface has been proposed to explain its high reactivity in sea salt aerosols and on snow \[9,10,14\]. In the first MD simulations of systems containing more than one polarizable halide, Jungwirth and Tobias \[23\] found that, in equimolar mixtures, chloride and bromide behaved as they did in single component solutions at low concentration (0.3 M), but at higher concentration (3.0 M), the interfacial population of bromide was strongly enhanced, and chloride ions were pushed into the bulk. Two subsequent studies employed a combination of PES and MD simulations to further explore ion surface propensities in solutions of chloride/bromide mixtures. Ghosal et al. \[113\] obtained PES data on deliquesced and dissolved NaCl crystals doped with 7% or 10% \(\text{Br}^-\) and found that, in each case, the \(\text{Br}^-:\text{Cl}^-\) ratio was greater at the solution interface compared to in the parent crystal. In the case of the crystal containing 7% \(\text{Br}^-\), they were also able to show that the \(\text{Cl}^-:\text{Na}^+\) ratio was significantly reduced (by ≈50%) at the surface of the solution vs. the crystal. The ion distributions derived from a simulation of a mixed NaCl/NaBr solution containing 10% \(\text{Br}^-\), and employing a polarizable force field, agreed qualitatively with the PES data. The simulation actually under-predicted the surface enhancement of \(\text{Br}^-\), and the discrepancy was attributed to differences in the total ion concen-
trations in the simulation and experiment, as well as uncertainties in converting the spatial distributions from the simulations to distributions as a function of photoelectron kinetic energy for direct comparison with the PES data. Ottosson et al. also used PES and MD simulations to determine ion distributions in solutions containing mixtures of Cl\(^-\) and Br\(^-\), and came to similar conclusions as the previous studies, namely, that Br\(^-\) is more surface enhanced in the mixtures vs. in a neat solution of Br\(^-\) at a given concentration, i.e., NaCl “salts out” Br\(^-\) to the surface, and this effect increases with concentration\(^{114}\). The preference of Cl\(^-\) for the interior and Br\(^-\) for the surface of the solution was rationalized in terms of the stronger hydration of Cl\(^-\) vs. Br\(^-\). A conclusion common to all the studies of Cl\(^-\)/Br\(^-\) mixtures is that, at high ion concentration, the behavior of individual ions in mixed salt solutions cannot be extrapolated from their behavior in neat solutions of a single salt.

In light of the recent appreciation of the importance of iodine in halogen chemistry and particle formation in coastal environments\(^{115,116}\), Gladich et al. performed a MD simulation study of solutions of ternary mixtures of NaCl, NaBr, and NaI modeled with a polarizable force field\(^ {117}\). In an equimolar mixture of the three components, the anion surface propensity followed the expected order: Cl\(^-\) < Br\(^-\) < I\(^-\). While increasing the concentration of Br\(^-\) (keeping the total ion concentration constant) did not result in changes of surface propensity, increasing the concentration of Cl\(^-\) led to strong surface segregation of Br\(^-\) and I\(^-\). Thus, consistent with simulations of Cl\(^-\)/Br\(^-\) mixtures, Cl\(^-\) was observed to “salt out” the heavier halides in the ternary mixtures. In a control simulation of the equimolar mixture with a non-polarizable force field, all of the ions behaved the same: none adsorbed to the interface, but rather all remained well-
solvated in the interior of the solution, underscoring, again, the profound role that polarization plays in driving ion adsorption in MD simulations.

Nitrate is one of the most abundant inorganic aerosol components in both the remote and polluted troposphere [118]. Nitrate photolysis is a source of OH [119, 120], the most important oxidant in the atmosphere [118]. While the quantum yield for nitrate photolysis in bulk solution is very low (≈0.06) [120], it appears that it is increased dramatically due to a reduced solvent cage near the air-water interface [5, 6]. Simulations have predicted that in neat nitrate solutions, while the nitrate anion makes occasional excursions to the air-water interface where it is undercoordinated, it has, on average, a relatively low intrinsic interfacial propensity (e.g., as compared to the heavier halide anions) in large clusters and at extended aqueous surfaces [121–124]. These predictions have been confirmed by SHG and PES experiments [125, 126]. However, in more realistic models of sea salt aerosol that contain mixtures of sodium nitrate and sodium halide salts, experiments have shown that the number of NO$_2$ molecules (a photolysis product) produced per NO$_3^-$ irradiated increased with the fraction of the halide ions in the solution [5, 6]. MD simulations employing polarizable force fields suggested that the electrical double layers formed by adsorbed halides followed by an excess of Na$^+$ ions draws NO$_3^-$ anions close to the solution surface where they are less solvated, and hence have reduced solvent cages, than in neat NaNO$_3$ solutions. Figure 4 shows how NO$_3^-$ ions are drawn closer to the air-water interface when NaNO$_3$ is mixed with NaBr. This is another example of a case where the behavior of ions in mixed salt solutions cannot be inferred from the their behavior in neat solutions.

Marine aerosols and inland aerosols originating from wind-blown dust contain
a substantial amount of magnesium salts \[10, 127\], e.g., after NaCl, the next most abundant component of seawater is magnesium chloride, with one MgCl\(_2\) for every eight NaCl \[110\]. Mg\(^{2+}\) is unusual in that it does not form ion pairs with Cl\(^-\) in aqueous solution, even at high salt concentration \[128\]. In two recent studies, Allen, Tobias, and co-workers used a combination of MD simulations and vibrational spectroscopy was to investigate whether or not the unique solvation of Mg\(^{2+}\) affects the distribution and solvation of Cl\(^-\) near the solution-air interface of neat MgCl\(_2\) over a range of concentrations (1.1 M to 4.9 M), and a model seawater solution composed of 4.5 M NaCl and 0.3 M MgCl\(_2\) \[128, 129\]. They found that, in spite of the fact that magnesium remains well-hydrated and has a strong influence on the bulk structure of its solutions, its presence does not have an appreciable effect on the distribution of chloride near the solution-air interface. On the other hand, they noted that the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) does affect the microsolvation of chloride in the interfacial region, and they suggested that this could, in turn, affect the heterogeneous reactivity of chloride.

9 SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

A little over a decade ago, MD simulations became established as a useful approach to gaining molecular-scale information on chemistry occurring at aqueous interfaces in the atmosphere. MD simulations exposed unexpected behavior of reactive ions near the air-water interface, namely, that some ions adsorb, contrary to longstanding conventional wisdom. Simulation studies are helping to elucidate the driving forces for ion adsorption, and are paving the way for new developments in theory, such as the polarizable anion dielectric continuum theory reviewed here. First-principles MD simulations have begun providing unprece-
dented details on the solvation of ions in bulk and interfacial settings, and have started to be applied to study chemical reactions involving ions and acids that are important in atmospheric chemistry (see, e.g., [130–134]). While this review stressed the relevance of the behavior of ions at aqueous solution-air interfaces to atmospheric chemistry, the discoveries reviewed here have led to new concepts that are much more broadly applicable, e.g., to the broad spectrum of specific ion effects commonly referred to as Hofmeister effects.

SUMMARY POINTS

1. Force field-based MD simulations and, increasingly, density functional theory-based MD simulations, are providing atomic-scale insight into the structure and reactivity of aqueous interfaces in the atmosphere.

2. In order to make progress in the validation of force fields used to model aqueous ionic solutions, the little or no adsorption inferred from surface tension data needs to be reconciled with the relatively strong adsorption implied by most available spectroscopic data.

3. The polarizable anion dielectric continuum theory affords the potential of mean force for ion interactions with the interface, from which it is possible to obtain ion distributions and, via integration of the Gibbs adsorption isotherm, the surface tensions of various electrolyte solutions, which are found to be in excellent agreement with experimental data.

4. Recent studies have carefully evaluated the driving forces that have been identified as having an important influence on the propensity for anions to adsorb to extended aqueous interfaces. There is still considerable debate regarding the relative importance of induction effects, cavitation, ion-water
and water-water interactions, local solvation effects, and the surface potential.

5. The role of the surface potential in promoting or opposing ion adsorption in point charge models of the air-water interface is beginning to be understood, but its role in \textit{ab initio} models of the interface, for which the surface potential has the opposite sign and is an order of magnitude larger, is not yet clear.

6. The behavior of ions in concentrated mixed salt solutions cannot be inferred from their behavior in neat solutions. Examples include the formation of electrical double layers by adsorbed halides and their counterions, and the enhanced interfacial population of \( \text{Br}^- \) in concentrated NaCl solutions.
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ACRONYMS

- **MD**: molecular dynamics
- **GDS**: Gibbs dividing surface
- **DCT**: dielectric continuum theory
- **PA-DCT**: polarizable anion dielectric continuum theory
- **SHG**: second harmonic generation
- **VSFG**: vibrational sum frequency generation
- **PES**: photoelectron spectroscopy
- **OS**: Onsager-Samaras
- **DFT**: density functional theory
- **EXAFS**: extended X-ray absorption fine structure
- **PMF**: potential of mean force

KEY TERMS

- **Aerosol**: stable suspension of particles in the atmosphere
- **Troposphere**: region of the atmosphere between ground level and about 15 km altitude
- **Gibbs dividing surface**: position in interface where the surface excess of solvent is zero
- **Poisson-Boltzmann theory**: mean field theory for ion distributions derived by combining Poisson’s equation with the Boltzmann distribution
- **Gibbs adsorption isotherm**: equation relating surface excess of a solute to derivative of the surface tension with respect to solute concentration
- **Generalized Gradient Approximation**: class of exchange-correlation functionals that includes the electron density and its gradient

- **Hofmeister series**: ordered ranking of ions according to their influence on a wide variety of chemical and biological processes.

- **QM/MM**: hybrid simulation technique in which one region is treated quantum mechanically while the rest of the system is modeled with molecular mechanics.

- **Surface potential**: electric potential difference across an interface that arises from broken symmetry

**ANNOTATED REFERENCES**

- A. Arslanargin and T. L. Beck. Free energy partitioning analysis of the driving forces that determine ion density profiles near the water liquid-vapor interface. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 136:104503, 2012. Decomposition of ion adsorption free energy into contributions from cavity formation, dispersion, and local and far-field electrostatics.

- M. D. Baer and C. J. Mundy. Towards an understanding of the specific ion effect using density functional theory. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2:1088–1093, 2011. PMF of transferring an iodide from bulk to interface is computed using density functional theory.

- C. Caleman, J. S. Hub, P. J. van Maaren, and D. van der Spoel. Atomistic simulation of ion solvation in water explains surface preference of halides. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108:6838–
Reports single halide ion PMFs and their decomposition into enthalpic and entropic contributions.

- S. Ghosal, J. C. Hemminger, H. Bluhm, B. S. Mun, E. L. D. Hebenstreit, G. Ketteler, D. F. Ogletree, F. G. Requejo, and M. Salmeron. Electron spectroscopy of aqueous solution interfaces reveals surface enhancement of halides. *Science*, 307:563–566, 2005.

Composition of the liquid/vapor interface is measured using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.

- P. Jungwirth and D. J. Tobias. Molecular structure of salt solutions: A new view of the interface with implications for heterogeneous atmospheric chemistry. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 105:10468–10472, 2001.

Pioneering molecular dynamics simulation study of ion distributions at extended sodium halide solution-air interfaces.

- Y. Levin. Polarizable ions at interfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 102:147803, 2009.

Presents a polarizable anion dielectric continuum theory that agrees well with experimental surface tension and surface potential data.

- D. Liu, G. Ma, L. M. Levering, and H. C. Allen. Vibrational spectroscopy of aqueous sodium halide solutions and air-liquid interfaces: Observation of increased interfacial depth. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 108:2252–2260, 2004.

Perturbation of interfacial water hydrogen-bonding by bromide and iodide
anions revealed for the first time by vibrational sum frequency generation.

- D. E. Otten, P. R. Shaffer, P. L. Geissler, and R. J. Saykally. Elucidating the mechanism of selective ion adsorption to the liquid water surface. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109:701–705, 2012.

UV second harmonic generation spectroscopy and simulations demonstrate enthalpic promotion and entropic opposition to anion adsorption.

- P. B. Petersen and R. J. Saykally. Confirmation of enhanced anion concentration at the liquid water surface. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 397:51–55, 2004.

First verification of enhanced concentration of anions at the solution interface by second harmonic generation.

- J. Schmidt, J. Vandevondele, I.-F. W. Kuo, D. Sebastiani, J. I. Siepmann, J. Hutter, and C. J. Mundy. Isobaric-isothermal molecular dynamics simulations utilizing density functional theory: An assessment of the structure and density of water at near-ambient conditions. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 113:11959–11964, 2009.

Shows that the Grimme empirical dispersion correction to DFT improves water density and structure in constant pressure MD simulations.
Figure 1: Ion density profiles in 1 M sodium halide solutions from MD simulations with polarizable force fields (a-d) and polarizable anion dielectric continuum theory (e-h). In (a-d) the water density profiles are drawn in blue. The density profiles are normalized by the bulk densities and plotted as a function of the distance from the air-water interface, defined as the Gibbs dividing surface, located at \( z = 0 \). The MD simulation and dielectric continuum theory provide the same qualitative picture of anion adsorption to the air-water interface, namely that large polarizable anions can be present at the air-water interface, but the extent of anion adsorption predicted by the polarizable ion dielectric continuum theory is substantially less than that predicted by the MD simulations.
Figure 2: Comparisons of the potentials of mean force for $\text{I}^-$ adsorption at the air-water interface (equivalent to the change in Helmholtz free energy accompanying the transfer of the ion from the middle of the water slab to a position $z$ in the direction normal to the interface). The interface (Gibbs dividing surface) is located at $z = 0$. The black curve is from the polarizable anion dielectric continuum theory [37], the yellow curve from density functional theory-based FPMD simulations [94], the red curve from an MD simulation using an empirical, polarizable potential [24], and the green curve from an MD simulations using an empirical, non-polarizable potential [42].
Figure 3: Thermodynamic decompositions of potentials of mean force for I$^-$/adsorption computed from MD simulations with polarizable force fields. The interface (Gibbs dividing surface) is located at $z = 0$. (a) Decomposition into ion-water enthalpy, $\Delta U_{iw}$, and entropy, $\Delta S_{iw}$, by Yagasaki et al. [100]. (b) Decomposition into the total enthalpy, $\Delta U$, and total entropy, $\Delta S$, by Caleman et al. [101]. (c) Decomposition of the enthalpy into ion-water and water-water contributions, $\Delta U_{iw}$ and $\Delta U_{ww}$, respectively, by Caleman et al. [101].
Figure 4: (a) Snapshot and (b) density profiles from a MD simulation of 4 M NaNO$_3$(aq). Both the Na$^+$ and NO$_3^-$ ions are, for the most part, repelled from the air-water interface ($z = 0$). (c) Snapshot and (d) density profiles from a MD simulation of a 4M mixture of NaNO$_3$ and NaBr(aq) with a mole fraction of NaBr equal to 0.9. NO$_3^-$ ions are depicted as purple spheres, Na$^+$ ions as green spheres, Br$^-$ ions as orange spheres, and water molecules as blue (oxygen atoms) and gray (hydrogen atoms) licorice. The NO$_3^-$ density is enhanced below the double layer formed by adsorbed Br$^-$ anions and Na$^+$ counterions. The coloring of the curves in panels (c) and (d) correspond to the atom coloring in panels (a) and (b).