Research please

Sir, in spring 2020, Public Health England evaluated the presence of air-borne SARS-CoV-2 as part of a wider investigation into environmental contamination within hospital settings where COVID patients were being treated. The authors reported detectable levels of virus in 7.3% of air samples. This study confirms the depth of research capability within the UK scientific community.

It is unclear to us why similar investigations have not taken place in dentistry. It would be important to assess the actual risk of air-borne SARS-CoV-2 transmission in dental practices. These findings may have very significant implications for the prospect of stepping-down current precautionary measures.

Efforts have been made to count airborne particles generated within the dental environment under differing experimental conditions. However, the clinical relevance of counting particles of indeterminate composition remains equivocal. The NHS has previously funded research into dental bio-aerosol. This was carried out by the Centre of Applied Microbiology & Research – a precursor constituent of Public Health England. We are unsure as to the motivation for the NHS to conduct research into dental bio-aerosol 20 years ago. Equally perplexing are reasons why this fleeting curiosity diminished as quickly as it appeared.

We invite our more learned colleagues to direct us to existing or in-progress studies which seek to resolve the outstanding question of the risks associated with dental treatment during a pandemic.

R. Vasant, A. Haigh, London, UK
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Oral health

Is sexual history important?

Sir, oral candidiasis (OC) is the most common opportunistic infection seen in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Where OC does not respond to local measures and appropriate drug regimens, or there is no identifiable cause, this is when underlying causes such as HIV should be considered. This makes sexual history an essential part of the history taking process, and potentially a vital piece of the diagnostic puzzle. A recent case of a 70-year-old male who was referred by his GP to our oral and maxillofacial surgery (OMFS) department with refractory OC and was then later diagnosed with HIV has prompted this letter.

The authors do not advocate HIV testing for every patient who walks into the clinic with OC, but rather recommend that in cases of refractory OC, where appropriate, a sexual history be taken. This will prove vital to the clinician in deciding whether a referral to a specialist in local OMFS department, or the patient’s GP for further investigation is required.

Often there are social barriers to taking a sexual history which include time constraints, fear of patient embarrassment, fear of intrusion, insufficient training, age and sex of patient relative to that of the practitioner and cultural difference. Often, it is useful to pre-empt the patient’s potential discomfort by initially informing them that they will be asked personal, and often embarrassing, questions.

OC may be the first presentation of an occult disease, and still remains the second AIDS-defining illness in Europe. Therefore, in refractory cases or where there is no identifiable cause, dentists should use an appropriate sexual history combined with a thorough medical and social history. This plays a vital role in determining if HIV screening is necessary and overall provides the firm basis for gaining information regarding the patient’s risk status in an environment where the patient is assured of the maintenance of privacy and confidentiality.

S. Duggal, C. Wanis, V. Oktselegolou, Bradford, UK
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Facial aesthetics

Jaw dropping

Sir, we wish to highlight a trend noticed in patients after improved facial aesthetics. Jaw size is advertised as a ‘face and neck toning’ device but in our opinion it could potentially cause negative effects for patients looking for a more square, prominent jaw. The device is effectively a rubber ball with or without upper and lower occlusal rests for the incisal teeth; these can be ‘custom fit’ akin to ‘boil and bite’ mouth guards. The consumer then bites up and down on the device for numerous repetitions and sets as they see fit throughout the day. The resistance of the devices ranges from 20 to 40 lbs (89 to 178 N). The average range of force exerted when eating carrots or meat is 70 to 150 N.

The website for the device has numerous claims without any medical backing including improved collagen stimulation, improved jaw function and relief of TMJD symptoms. It seems likely that the repeated use of this device will result in far more stresses on the TMJ and cause significant wear on the articular disc potentially triggering various TMJD symptoms from crepitus to complete dislocation. The effort exerted by use of this device could be compared to those of bruxists and could lead patients down the path of requiring neuromodulators to reduce the muscle activity of the masseter.

Healthy jaw rehabilitation emphasises gentle conditioning not inducing extreme stresses. Following a routine of simple resistance exercises with your own hands is regarded as a useful tool in conservative management of TMJD.

T. Nagpal, A. Gupta, London, UK
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