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Abstract

Background: Sulfonamide resistance is conferred by the sulI gene found on many Enterobacteriaceae R plasmids and Tn21 type transposons. The sulI gene encodes a sulfonamide insensitive dihydropteroate synthase enzyme required for folate biosynthesis. Transformation of tobacco, potato or Arabidopsis using sulI as a selectable marker generates sulfadiazine-resistant plants. Typically sulI-based selection of transgenic plants is performed on tissue culture media under sterile conditions.

Findings: A set of novel binary vectors containing a sulI selectable marker expression cassette were constructed and used to generate transgenic Arabidopsis. We demonstrate that the sulI selectable marker can be utilized for direct selection of plants grown in soil with a simple foliar spray application procedure. A highly effective and inexpensive high throughput screening strategy to identify transgenic Arabidopsis without use of tissue culture was developed.

Conclusion: Novel sulI-containing Agrobacterium binary vectors designed to over-express a gene of interest or to characterize a test promoter in transgenic plants have been constructed. These new vector tools combined with the various beneficial attributes of sulfonamide selection and the simple foliar screening strategy provide an advantageous alternative for plant biotechnology researchers. The set of binary vectors is freely available upon request.

Background

Genetic transformation is a valuable tool in plant research and crop improvement. Selectable marker systems are integral components facilitating the selection and identification of transformed cells [1]. Although in planta transformation of Arabidopsis is a relatively simple and efficient process [2,3] a versatile, non-labor intensive strategy enabling the straightforward identification of the transformed plants is still desirable. Commonly used selectable marker genes for plant transformation include the neomycin phosphotransferase II gene (nptII) [4-6] that confers resistance to aminoglycoside antibiotics such as kanamycin, neomycin, and G418, as well as the hygromycin phosphotransferase II gene (hptII) [7] and bialaphos resistance gene (bar) [8] that confer resistance to hygromycin and the herbicide glufosinate, respectively. A lesser known and less frequently utilized plant selectable marker is the sulfonamide resistance gene (sulI), which confers resistance to sulfadiazine and other sulfonamide chemicals [9]. Since the first demonstration of its utility in tobacco, others have utilized sulI for selection in potato [10,11] as well as Arabidopsis [12,13]. Arguably, sulI is used less often than nptII, hptII, and bar simply due to its infrequent inclusion as a marker in the commonly used plant transformation vectors. However, several attributes of a sulI selection strategy support its potential for increased use, including the stability and low human toxicity of sulfonamide chemicals, as well as their cost effectiveness. Sulfadiazine is significantly less expensive than either kanamycin or hygromycin. Sulfonamides also exhibit substantial potency as a plant selection agent; e.g. 5 mg/L sulfadiazine is sufficient for Arabidopsis selection [12].

Sulfadiazine and other sulfonamides are inhibitors of the enzyme dihydropteroate synthase (DHPS) (EC 2.5.1.15). This enzyme is part of the folic acid metabolic pathway present in microbes and plants (but not human cells) and catalyzes the formation of the intermediate
dihydropteroic acid [14,15]. Folic acid is required for a number of cellular processes including the biosynthesis of purine nucleotides and metabolism of the amino acids serine, glycine, histidine and methionine. The selective action of sulfonamide chemicals is the competitive inhibition of DHPS, which blocks folate biosynthesis in the cells [16]. Sulfadiazine is a structural analog to p-aminobenzoic acid, the normal substrate, and can function as an alternative substrate producing a non-functional sulfa-containing pteroate analog inhibiting the pathway [15]. The sull resistance gene from the R46 plasmid of E. coli encodes a DHPS that is insensitive to sulfonamides, and thus continues folate synthesis in the presence of sulfadiazine.

Selection of transgenic Arabidopsis with the antibiotics kanamycin or hygromycin is generally limited to screening of surface sterilized seed germinated under aseptic conditions in tissue culture and thus requires substantial effort, as well as specialized equipment and materials. Selection of transgenic Arabidopsis containing the bar gene can be performed either in culture with medium containing bialaphos or phosphinothricin, or can be performed on plants grown in soil using foliar application of glyphosate herbicides [17]. To facilitate plant biotechnology research, we were interested in identifying an alternative selection strategy that was inexpensive and versatile enough for high-throughput screening of soil grown plants as well as for screening plants grown in tissue culture.

Here we present the construction and validation of several novel Agrobacterium binary vectors containing a sull selectable marker cassette. These vectors can facilitate either the over-expression of candidate genes or the characterization of candidate promoters in transgenic Arabidopsis and potentially other dicot plants. In addition, we have developed a simple, inexpensive strategy for screening T1 plants grown in soil using a foliar spray of sulfadiazine that allows efficient, high-density selection and identification of transgenic Arabidopsis.

Materials and methods
Plasmid Constructs
The pK-sull, pCS4-BASK, pSUN and pSUNG plasmid vectors (Figure 1) and their derivatives utilized in this study are described below. All plasmids were introduced into bacteria using either a RbCl2 heat shock transformation method or via electroporation [18].

pK-Sull
The sull coding sequence including a truncated pea chloroplast transit peptide was PCR amplified (Phusion, NEB) from pJIT119 [9] using primers (Pea STD XhoI F6I 5’-agtcCTCGAGatggccccatcggccgc-3’ and sull XbaI R60 5’-agtcTCTAGACTagcatgatcaacccctggtcctc-3’). The amplicon was cloned between the CaMV double 35S promoter-35S terminator elements of the pKar6 vector (Robert Blanvillain and Patrick Gallois, unpublished data) using the Xhol and XbaI sites. This provided a highly expressed sull gene cassette that is flanked by HindIII, SphI and ShfI sites for ease of subcloning (Figure 1A).

pCS construction
The pcambia 390 binary vector (http://www.cambia.org) was modified by removing the pre-existing Nos3 terminator and Agrobacterium Right Border with a SpeI and SpfI digestion. The Agrobacterium Right Border region was PCR amplified (Phusion, NEB) using primers (RB SpeI F61 5’-aactACTAGTgtttgacaggatatggtgc-3’ and RB SpfI R62 5’-aacGCATGCCagggcactgac-3’). The amplicon was SpeI - SpfI digested and reintroduced into pcambia 390A, thereby removing the Nos3 terminator and reforming the Agrobacterium Right Border. Finally, the pK-Sull cassette (described above) was cloned into the HindIII site oriented towards the Left Border as shown in Figure 1B.

pCS4-BASK construction
The Solanum bulbocastanum 409s promoter was PCR amplified (Phusion, NEB) from pBINPLUS/ARS-409S [19] and cloned into the pACH20 vector [20] between the HindIII and BamHI sites. The bar coding sequence was excised by a BamHI and KpnI digestion and a BamHI/Ascl/Spel/KpnI (BASK) multiple cloning site inserted in its place. The pcambia 390 binary vector was modified by removing the pre-existing Ascl site by digestion and fill in with Klenow to create pcambia 390A. The 409s-BASK-Nos3 terminator cassette was excised from the pUC backbone by HindIII and EcoRI digestion and cloned into pcambia 390A (described above). This resulted in a LB-409s-BASK-Nos3-Nos3-RB configuration due to the presence of a pre-existing Nos3 terminator within the pcambia 390A vector. The extra Nos3 terminator was removed by MauI digest and religation. Finally, the pK-Sull cassette (described above) was cloned into the HindIII site in an inverted orientation relative to the 409s-BASK-Nos3 terminator expression cassette (Figure 1C).

pCS4-GFP construction
The GFP coding sequence was PCR amplified (Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase, New England Biolabs) using primers (GFP BHI F6o 5’-agtGCCATCCatggtgag-cagggcagg-3’ and GFP SpfI R60 5’-agtACTAGTt-caggctcagggcgc-3’) using the pIRES-EGFP (Clontech) construct as the template. The amplicon was digested and ligated into the BamHI and Spel sites of pCS4-BASK. Sequence analysis confirmed that GFP was
Figure 1 Schematic diagrams of five sulI vectors. (A) Plasmid map of the pK-SulI construct; a pUC-derived vector that contains the CaMV double enhanced 35S promoter (CaMV d35S) fused to the sulI coding sequence with the CaMV 35S terminator (35St). (B) Map of the pCS binary vector containing the CaMV d35S-sulI-35St cassette derived from pK-SulI. (C) Map of the pCS4-BASK constitutive expression construct. In addition to the db35S driven sulI selection gene, the T-DNA contains the Solanum bulbocastanum 409s promoter (Sb Ubi409s), intron and first ubiquitin monomer coding sequence and a nos 3’ terminator (nosT). A translational fusion to the ubiquitin monomer (Ubi) is required for overexpression. (D) Map of the pSUN binary vector which carries a nopaline synthase gene promoter (nosP) and terminator (nosT) to express the sulI selectable marker within the T-DNA. (E) Map of the pSUNG promoter testing construct, a pSUN-derived vector with a promoterless GUSPlus coding sequence and nos terminator located near the T-DNA right border. Unique and/or potentially useful restriction sites are shown. Gene coding sequences are drawn as blue arrows, promoters as green boxes, 3’ terminators/poly adenylation signals as red boxes, introns as yellow boxes and the vector origin or replication sequences as gray boxes. Vector maps are not drawn to scale. Plasmid sequences are available from GenBank, accession numbers: HQ593859 - HQ593863.
translationally fused to the ubiquitin monomer of the 409s expression cassette.

**pSUN construction**

The selectable marker cassette within the T-DNA region of pORE-03 [21] was removed by digestion with EcoRV and AvrII. The AvrII site was blunted using Klenow and an EcoRV flanked nos promoter-sulI-nos terminator cassette from a pGreen series vector [22] was inserted to create pSUN. The nos-sulI cassette is oriented towards the Left Border as shown in Figure 1D.

**pSUNG construction**

A promoterless reporter gene cassette was added to the pSUN vector. A GLUPlus coding sequence with a nos terminator from pCAMBIA1305.1 (http://www.cambia.org) was excised and cloned into the pUC19 vector, then subcloned into pSUN with HindIII and XbaI. The promoterless GLUPlus cassette is located near the Right Border within the T-DNA and is separated from the sulI selection marker cassette by a multiple cloning site as shown in Figure 1E.

**Sulfadiazine Selection of Transgenic Plants in Culture**

Seeds were collected in bulk from plants infiltrated with Agrobacterium harboring one of the previously described constructs. Dry seeds were either sown directly in soil or surface sterilized and placed on 150 mm agar plates containing 1 x MS salts plus B5 vitamins solidified with 2.0 g/L Bacto Agar as described [2], supplemented with 1 to 200 mg/L sulfadiazine (S8626, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).

**Arabidopsis thaliana growth and transformation**

*Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 and Ler ecotypes were used throughout this study. Seeds were stratified at 4°C for 2 days to synchronize germination and then grown in Sunshine mix #1 (SunGrow Horticulture Distribution, Bellevue WA) in a greenhouse or on media in a growth chamber with a 16 hour photoperiod, at constant temperature of 22°C and a light intensity of ~50 μE/m²/s. Plants were transformed using the floral dip method [2] with Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101.

**Sulfadiazine Foliar Application**

For spray selection, seeds were sown in soil and germinated under a dome to maintain high humidity. Seedlings at the cotyledon stage were uncovered and sprayed to fully wet the plants and surrounding soil with a solution containing 0.03% L-77 silwet and sulfadiazine at concentrations ranging from 50 to 500 mg/L. Following spraying, the seedlings were re-covered for 24-48 hours with a plastic dome to maintain high humidity. The sulfadiazine spray was reapplied similarly every third day for a total of one to four applications.

**CC1 promoter isolation and pSUNG-OsCC1 construction**

A 1.8-kb fragment of the rice *Cytochrome c* gene (OsCc1) promoter was PCR-amplified (Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase, New England Biolabs) from *Oryza sativa japonica* cv. Nipponbare genomic DNA using a forward primer including a BamHI site (OsCc1promFor1: 5’-aaGGATCC-gagatcttcgaaggtaggc-3’) and reverse primer including an NcoI site (OsCc1promRev1: 5’-aaCCATGGccgccgcgcgc-3’). The OsCc1 promoter sequence from *Oryza sativa indica* drives constitutive expression in transgenic rice [23]. The OsCc1 promoter used in our analyses is 80% identical to the indica sequence. pSUNG was digested with BamHI and NcoI, and the OsCc1 promoter was inserted upstream of GLUPlus forming a transcriptional fusion and generating the pSUNG-OsCc1 vector. pSUNG-OsCc1 was transformed into *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col-0 via floral dipping with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Seeds were selected on media containing 25 mg/L sulfadiazine. Resistant seedlings were cultivated in soil. T2 generation seeds were selected on media containing 25 mg/L sulfadiazine and histochemically stained for β-glucuronidase activity using a standard protocol [24].

**PCR analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted by grinding a single leaf in 400 μl of buffer (200 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS). After centrifugation, the isopropanol precipitated pellet was washed with 70% ethanol and resuspended in 50 μl of water. Two μl of genomic DNA in a 25 μl volume was used per PCR reaction. Primers used were: sulI F60 5’-atggtgacggtgctgctc-3’ and sulI R60 5’-cttagcagcatctcatacc-3’; hptII F62 5’-gtgtgcatcagtctcaac-3’ and hptII R62 5’-cgtgctgtcactacaagc-3’; nptII F57 5’-gattgaacaagattggtgcacgc-3’ and nptII R58 5’-ccacagtcgtaacctggacagc-3’.

**Southern blot analysis**

Genomic DNA was isolated using a rapid protocol [25] from randomly picked plants that survived sulfadiazine selection. The DNA samples were digested with HindIII, blotted to nylon membrane, and probed with a 32P-labeled sulI sequence amplified with the sulI F60 and sulI R60 primers described above. Probe hybridization was accomplished following the Rapid Hyb (Amersham) protocol. The membranes were washed at high stringency in 0.5XSSC and 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 15 minutes before exposing to X-ray film.

**Results and Discussion**

**Construction and characterization of the sulI plasmid vectors**

Several novel vectors have been constructed that utilize a sulI selectable marker cassette. The pK-sulI cloning vector [GenBank:HQ593859] contains a double enhanced CaMV 35S promoter and terminator sulI expression fragment.
flanked by convenient restriction sites (HindIII, SphiI and SbfI) that facilitate subcloning (Figure 1A). Four Agrobacterium binary vectors useful for plant transformation have also been generated. The pCS4-BASK vector [GenBank: HQ593861] contains the 35S sulI cassette from pK-SulI and a Solanum bulbocastanum Ubi 409s promoter, intron and ubiquitin monomer [19] separated by a multiple cloning site from a nos terminator sequence (Figure 1C). This construct is useful for the strong over-expression of a gene of interest as a translational fusion to the 409s ubiquitin monomer.

The pSUNG binary vector [GenBank:HQ593863] was also constructed. This plasmid is well suited for investigating the function of candidate promoter sequences. The pSUNG construct contains a promoterless GUSPlus reporter gene with a large multiple cloning site upstream and a nos promoter-sulI-nos terminator selectable marker (Figure 1E). The nos promoter was utilized in this vector to minimize undesirable interactions between the selectable marker promoter and the candidate promoter being tested. The CaMV 35S promoter/enhancer is known to promiscuously interact with nearby promoters in transgenic Arabidopsis, confounding reporter gene expression characterization studies [26-28]. Further analysis has demonstrated that the nos promoter, in contrast, does not alter the expression conferred by nearby promoters [27,28] and thus was chosen as the promoter to control sulI expression in pSUN.

In addition to the construction of the pCS4-BASK and pSUNG vectors, we also developed the precursor vectors pCS [GenBank:HQ593860] and pSUN [GenBank: HQ593862], respectively. These binary plasmids carry only a sulI selectable marker cassette and a multiple cloning site within the T-DNA. The maps of these adaptable ‘empty’ vectors are shown in Figures 1B and 1D. Although these vectors are already useful tools for generating plant transformation constructs, additional utility may be added in the future by generating Gateway®-compatible (Invitrogen) versions, which will enable high throughput cloning applications.

Validation of the sulI selection constructs

To demonstrate the utility of these novel vectors, numerous transgenic Arabidopsis plants were generated via Agrobacterium-mediated floral dip transformation. Initially the functionality of the sulI selectable marker was examined. Sulfadiazine screening was performed in tissue culture and multiple independent transgenic plant lines were identified for each construct. The results demonstrate that both the 35S expression cassette of the pCS-derived vectors and the nos promoter expression cassette present on the pSUN-derived constructs successfully generate transgenic plants resistant to 5 to 50 mg/L sulfadiazine when germinated in culture. To determine how effectively the pCS- and pSUN-derived vectors confer resistance, the initially isolated lines were subjected to a concentration gradient of 0 - 200 mg/L sulfadiazine. As expected from previous results [12], the pCS-derived plants exhibited resistance and grew well in media containing up to 200 mg/L sulfadiazine. The pSUN-derived plants however were less tolerant, exhibiting good growth at levels up to 50 mg/L, with a gradual loss of fitness at higher levels of selection (data not shown). Even though the pSUN plants exhibit a more modest level of resistance than the pCS-derived plants, the observed resistance in the nos-sulI transgenics is sufficient to provide a clearly distinct resistance phenotype compared to wildtype plants at levels from 5 to 50 mg/L sulfadiazine.

Southern blot analysis examining the T-DNA copy number of seven independent pCS4-BASK transgenic plants using the sulI sequence as a probe was performed and the result is shown in Figure 2. Four of the seven plants exhibit a single uniquely sized band suggesting...
that they each contain a distinct T-DNA insertion, while three other plants exhibited two to four bands and likely carry two or more T-DNAs. Taken together, these results support the conclusion that a single copy of the sulI selection marker in the pCS- and pSUN-derived vectors is sufficient for selecting transgenic plants.

Sulfadiazine foliar application

Although our results and those of others have established that a tissue culture germination screen is an effective approach for identifying sulI transgenic Arabidopsis, a potential alternative screening method was also investigated. The use of foliar application of a sulfadiazine solution supplemented with 0.03% of the surfactant L-77 silwet was assessed. Col-0 plants were grown directly in soil and sprayed with 50, 100 and 500 mg/L sulfadiazine solution every three days for a total of four applications. Plants from the 50 and 100 mg/L treatment were partially stunted but continued to grow normally once the treatment ceased (data not shown). However, the Col-0 wildtype plants that experienced four applications at 500 mg/L were severely stunted (i.e. true leaves never emerged) and did not recover once the treatment was discontinued (data not shown). To further examine whether the selective pressure of multiple applications of 500 mg/L sulfadiazine was necessary to inhibit growth, wildtype plants were sprayed with the sulfadiazine solution either one, two, three or four times at three day intervals. As shown in Figure 3A, the wildtype Col-0 plants exhibited stunted growth and subsequent chlorosis even after a single treatment, although a few plants continued to grow and develop. However, the results from two to four applications demonstrate that multiple foliar treatments with sulfadiazine are extremely effective at inhibiting the growth of Arabidopsis seedlings in soil.

The resistance to the foliar application of sulfadiazine was examined in several confirmed sulI transgenic plants. Seed from three independent pCS4-BASK and pSUN homozygous transgenic lines were sown in soil and treated with a 500 mg/L sulfadiazine foliar spray. Figure 3B and 3C illustrates that the pCS4-BASK transgenic plants were fully resistant to sulfadiazine and exhibited normal growth at 11 and 21 days after the initial treatment. The initial sign of sulfadiazine resistance under these conditions is the emergence of the double enhanced CaMV 35S promoter. Although we believe this is a likely explanation, other potential causes for this difference are also possible.

These results demonstrate that repeated foliar application of the sulfadiazine/silwet solution does not cause tissue damage to sulI resistant plants and can be successfully used to identify transgenic Arabidopsis. Under this foliar selection procedure, wildtype plants exhibit stunted growth but remain viable up to 14 days after the initial application, but by the end of three weeks, these plants are chlorotic and severely under-developed. In contrast, sulI transgenic plants continue to grow throughout subsequent treatments and are robust and healthy after three weeks. In fact, the sulI transgenic plants were frequently larger and healthier than even unselected wildtype plants grown side-by-side under the same conditions in the greenhouse.

A method of three foliar applications was chosen to screen a dense population of Arabidopsis seedlings (approximately 4,000 per flat) germinated in soil containing a mixture of nontransgenic plants with candidate pCS4-GFP T1 individuals generated from Agrobacterium-mediated floral dip transformation. Following germination, the seedlings were sprayed three times at three day intervals with a 500 mg/L sulfadiazine/0.03% L-77 silwet solution. Plant growth at day 21 is shown in Figure 4A. Clearly, there are numerous plants that exhibit tolerance to sulfadiazine, while the majority are substantially stunted and chlorotic. The frequency of resistant plants (approximately 1.0%) is well within the range expected for a typical transformation and selection. Genomic DNA was isolated from the plants that were judged sulfadiazine resistant and subsequently screened with sulI-specific primers. Thirty-eight of the 39 individuals screened were confirmed to contain the sulI sequence verifying that the foliar screening of soil grown plants is effective and allows few if any nontransgenic plants to escape selection (Figure 4C). The nontransgenic plant that survived selection appeared only weakly resistant to sulfadiazine and was one of the smallest surviving plants. If desired, the stringency of the selection could be heightened by increasing the number of foliar applications and/or by choosing only the largest healthiest plants, although this strategy would likely overlook transgenic plants with marginal levels of sulfadiazine resistance. To examine how the sulI-based selection compared to the nptII and hptII selectable markers, we germinated T1 seed on media containing 50 μg/ml kanamycin and 20 μg/ml hygromycin, respectively. Based on several independent experiments, we observed selection escape rates that ranged from 0% to 36% for kanamycin and 2% to 23% for hygromycin (data not shown). Taken together, these results illustrate that sulI foliar selection in soil performs
at least as well as selection with other frequently used screening methods.

Validation of the overexpression and promoter testing capabilities of the binary vectors

To characterize the pCS4-BASK and pSUNG vectors, we examined the functionality of the other components within the T-DNA. An enhanced GFP coding sequence was translationally fused downstream of the ubiquitin monomer in pCS4-BASK and transformed into Arabidopsis. As shown in Figure 5A, B and 5C, the 409s promoter conferred strong GFP expression that is clearly visible in dry seeds, in young seedlings and in the trichomes of mature leaves. This demonstrates that the pCS4-BASK construct offers a viable alternative to using the 35S promoter for the over-expression of genes in Arabidopsis and likely other dicot plant species.

To validate the utility of the reporter gene in pSUNG, we fused a japonica rice Cytochrome c (OsCc1) promoter to GUSPlus to generate the pSUNG-OsCc1 vector. The indica OsCc1 promoter confers constitutive reporter gene expression in transgenic rice [23]. Figure 5D...
illustrates the GUSPlus reporter expression controlled by the OsCc1 promoter in a transgenic Arabidopsis seedling. OsCc1 transgenic plants exhibited strong GUS histochemical staining in all the tissues of young seedlings including both the root and the shoot. Weaker staining was observed in mature leaves (data not shown). These results demonstrate that the reporter gene portion of the pSUNG vector functions as expected and validates the utility of this novel construct for promoter testing. In addition, it provides evidence that the rice OsCc1 promoter not only controls strong expression in rice, but Arabidopsis seedlings as well and thus may be a useful promoter for expression in a diverse array of species.

The rapid development of genetics and the desire to answer more complicated questions often demand the simultaneous use of two or more selectable markers. We investigated the feasibility of stacking sul1 with other selectable markers. As such, we chose to cross sul1 transgenic plants with a previously generated Arabidopsis line that was homozygous for both the nptII and hptII selectable markers [29]. Additional file 1 shows germinated seeds from the crossed plants screened on media supplemented with sulfadiazine (5 mg/L), hygromycin (10 mg/L), and kanamycin (50 mg/L). The triple transgenic seedlings grew normally, but the Col-0 wild-type failed to survive past the emergence of the radicle. These results indicate that simultaneous expression of the sul1, nptII and hptII genes is not detrimental to the health of the transgenic plants, and that a triple selection screen is feasible, since the three antibiotics can be successfully used together in selection media.

**Conclusions**

A novel foliar screening method for soil-grown plants has been developed and tested. This new selection method was demonstrated to be a robust, high throughput approach for identifying transgenic Arabidopsis. Several novel vectors utilizing the sul1 selectable marker have been constructed and shown to be useful for generating transgenic Arabidopsis plants. This new screening strategy and these useful vector tools, combined with the chemical stability and cost effectiveness of sulfonamide-based plant selection provide a desirable alternative to the existing transformation and selection methods. The plasmid vectors are available upon request.
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Figure 5 Reporter gene activity observed in sulI transgenic plants. (A) Green fluorescence observed in pCS4-GFP T1 seeds excited with blue light. Fluorescent seeds are marked with arrows. (B) Four-day-old pCS4-GFP and Col-0 seedlings visualized under blue light. (C) An adult leaf from a pCS4-GFP transgenic plant observed under blue light. The inset image shows the red autofluorescence observed in a nontransgenic leaf. (D) Histochemical GUS staining of a seven-day-old pSUNG-OsCc1 transgenic Arabidopsis seedling selected on medium containing 25 mg/L sulfadiazine.
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