HNF4A Haploinsufficiency in MODY1 Abrogates Liver and Pancreas Differentiation from Patient-Derived Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells

**HIGHLIGHTS**

- HNF4A is downregulated and predominantly mislocalized in the cytoplasm in MODY1.
- Foregut markers, pancreatic and hepatic genes, were downregulated in MODY1-HPPs.
- A reciprocal upregulation of hindgut HOX genes was observed in MODY1-HPPs.
- Mutant HNF4A resulted in loss of transcriptional activation of target genes.
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SUMMARY
Maturity-onset diabetes of the young 1 (MODY1) is a monogenic diabetes condition caused by heterozygous HNF4A mutations. We investigate how HNF4A haploinsufficiency from a MODY1/HNF4A mutation influences the development of foregut-derived liver and pancreatic cells through differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells from a MODY1 family down the foregut lineage. In MODY1-derived hepatopancreatic progenitors, which expressed reduced HNF4A levels and mislocalized HNF4A, foregut genes were downregulated, whereas hindgut-specifying HOX genes were upregulated. MODY1-derived hepatocyte-like cells were found to exhibit altered morphology. Hepatic and β cell gene signatures were also perturbed in MODY1-derived hepatocyte-like and β-like cells, respectively. As mutant HNF4A (p.Ile271fs) did not undergo complete nonsense-mediated decay or exert dominant negativity, HNF4A-mediated loss of function is likely due to impaired transcriptional activation of target genes. Our results suggest that in MODY1, liver and pancreas development is perturbed early on, contributing to altered hepatic proteins and β cell defects in patients.

INTRODUCTION
Maturity-onset diabetes of the young 1 (MODY1) is an autosomal dominant monogenic diabetes condition typically manifesting before the age of 25 years. This condition is caused by inactivating mutations in the hepatocyte nuclear factor 4A (HNF4A) gene (Yamagata et al., 1996) and is characterized by defects in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) from the pancreatic β cells (Byrne et al., 1995). Owing to the inaccessibility of human pancreatic tissue, rodent models have traditionally been used to study the molecular mechanisms underlying MODY1. Unfortunately, conditional knockout of Hnf4a in mouse pancreatic β cells did not result in a diabetic phenotype, although GSIS is impaired (Boj et al., 2010; Gupta et al., 2005; Miura et al., 2006). More importantly, Hnf4a−/− mice exhibit normal glucose tolerance (Stoffel and Duncan, 1997), indicating that rodent models do not accurately recapitulate the MODY1 phenotype in humans. Human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC)-based disease modeling strategies (Teo et al., 2013a) therefore provide opportunities to investigate the impact of MODY1/HNF4A mutation on the development of the foregut lineage in humans. In particular, the ventral foregut endoderm gives rise to progenitors that subsequently form the liver, whose development and function is heavily dependent on regulation by HNF4A, or the pancreatic β cells, which are known to be implicated in MODY1 pathophysiology.

HNF4A is a member of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily and functions as a transcription factor upon homodimerization (Sladek et al., 1990). Its expression is regulated by either the P1 (proximal) or P2 (distal) promoter. The usage of alternate promoters and presence of alternative splicing results in up to 12 known HNF4A isoforms that are expressed in a developmental stage- and tissue-specific manner (Eckhoute et al., 2003a; Harries et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2003; Tanaka et al., 2006). Therefore HNF4A expression is dynamically regulated to ensure proper formation and function of multiple organs, in particular, the liver and pancreas (Lau et al., 2018), which are the tissues we focus on in our study.

Knockout of Hnf4a in mice is dispensable for early development of the liver, whereas it is required for driving hepatic specification at later stages and in maintaining proper liver function (Li et al., 2000). In an early human pluripotent stem cell differentiation study, HNF4A was found to be necessary for establishing the hepatic gene regulatory network and induction of hepatic cell fate (DeLaForest et al., 2011). This
Figure 1. HNF4A Mutation (p.Ile271fs) Causes Impaired Foregut/Early Hepatopancreatic Progenitor (HPP) Development

(A) MODY1 family node showing non-diabetic control-hiPSCs (iN904-13 and iN904-7) and MODY1-hiPSCs (iN904-2 and iN904-1).

(B) p.Ile271fs mutation results in C-terminally truncated HNF4A that lacks part of the ligand-binding domain (LBD) and the entire F repressor domain (not drawn to scale).

(C) The 17-day differentiation protocol for generating foregut endoderm and HPPs.

(D) qPCR analysis of HNF4A expression during HPP differentiation.

(E) qPCR analyses of HNF4A transcripts and foregut endoderm markers such as HHEX, HNF1B, PDX1, GATA4, and RFX6 in control and MODY1-HPPs.
correlates with the observation that patients with an inactivating \textit{HNF4A} mutation exhibit alterations in liver function (Gardner and Tai, 2012; Pearson et al., 2005; Shih et al., 2000). In addition to the liver, Hnf4a is also expressed in the maturing pancreas in mice and is largely confined to the developing islet and acinar cells (Nammo et al., 2008). A recent study showed that MODY1/\textit{HNF4A} mutation does not prevent formation of INS$^+$ cells from \textit{in vitro} differentiations (Vethe et al., 2017). Nonetheless, the molecular and transcriptional impacts of heterozygous \textit{HNF4A} mutation on early foregut endoderm, liver, and pancreas development leading to disease onset in humans remain largely unexplored.

We hypothesized that the MODY1/\textit{HNF4A} mutation affects early human foregut development that can potentially lead to both liver and pancreas developmental defects. To circumvent the lack of access to human tissues during early development, we generated hiPSCs from members of a MODY1 family (with and without heterozygous \textit{HNF4A} mutation) and differentiated them into hepatopancreatic foregut endoderm (henceforth termed \textit{hepatopancreatic progenitors} [HPPs]), as well as hepatic and pancreatic \(\beta\)-like cells using independent, established protocols. Our data indicate that \textit{HNF4A} haploinsufficiency, as a result of a loss-of-function MODY1 mutation, affects early human foregut development and that this deficiency is propagated to both hepatic and pancreatic cell fates. Our human disease model provides a platform for investigating why patients with MODY1 have specific hepatic and \(\beta\) cell developmental defects.

\section*{RESULTS}

\textbf{Establishing a MODY1 Disease Model Using Patient-Derived iPSCs}

We previously reported the recruitment of two members of a MODY1 family harboring a heterozygous p.Ile271fs mutation in \textit{HNF4A} (Figure 1A) (Teo et al., 2013b) resulting in premature truncation of the protein (Figure 1B). To facilitate rigorous and comprehensive hiPSC-based MODY1 disease modeling, we recruited more members of the same family and rederived a total of nine hiPSC lines composed of MODY1-hiPSCs from two patients (iN904-2 and iN904-1A/B/C) and control-hiPSCs from two non-diabetic family members (iN904-13A/B and iN904-7A/B/C) (Figures 1A and S1). Using a previously published 17-day foregut endoderm differentiation protocol, we observed that \textit{HNF4A} expression peaked at day 14 (D14) (Teo et al., 2015b, 2016), and that \(\sim 70\%\) of D14 HPPs were \textit{HNF4A}+ (Figure S2A), thereby providing a suitable model for studying \textit{HNF4A} gene function and disease mechanisms underlying MODY1.

\textbf{HNF4A Mutation (p.Ile271fs) Causes Impaired Foregut/Early HPP Development}

To elucidate the effects of the p.Ile271fs mutation, we simultaneously differentiated control- and MODY1-hiPSCs into HPPs. Both control- and MODY1-hiPSCs were able to differentiate into definitive endoderm cells at day 3 of differentiation (Figure S2B). At D14 of differentiation, although we observed no obvious morphological differences between control- and MODY1-HPPs (Figure S2C), the MODY1-HPPs expressed significantly lower levels of total \textit{HNF4A} (Figure 1E). In fact, wild-type (WT) \textit{HNF4A} protein was expressed at markedly lower levels in MODY1-HPPs based on protein expression data despite the presence of one copy of the WT allele at \textit{HNF4A} (Figure S2D). To determine if P1- or P2-driven \textit{HNF4A} transcripts were affected, we carried out isoform-specific qPCR analyses and showed that both P1- and P2-driven forms of \textit{HNF4A} are potentially affected in the D14 HPPs (Figure S2E).

We further detected lower levels of foregut endoderm genes \textit{HHEX}, \textit{HNF1B}, \textit{PDX1}, \textit{GATA4}, and \textit{RFX6} in the MODY1-HPPs, whereas no differences were observed for other pancreas-related genes \textit{SOX9} or \textit{MINX1} (Figure 1E), reflecting a downregulation of specific gene targets of \textit{HNF4A} affected by the p.Ile271fs mutation rather than a global downregulation of pancreatic developmental genes. Downregulation of \textit{PDX1} and \textit{GATA4} was confirmed at protein level by immunofluorescence staining (Figure S2F). Subsequent immunofluorescence analyses additionally revealed that \textit{HNF4A} protein is largely sequestered in the cytoplasm of the MODY1-HPPs as opposed to the predominant nuclear localization observed in control-HPPs.
### KEgg Pathway

| Pathway | P value |
|---------|---------|
| O-Glycan biosynthesis | 1E-06 |
| Arginine and proline metabolism | 1E-05 |
| Pathways in cancer | 1E-04 |
| Drug metabolism | 1E-03 |
| Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450 | 1E-02 |
| ECM-receptor interaction | 1E-01 |
| Complement and coagulation cascades | 1E-01 |
| Maturity onset diabetes of the young | 1E-01 |

### Downregulated in MODY1 vs control

**A**

- **Keratinocyte differentation**
- **Drug metabolism**
- **Glycolysis**

**B**

- **Glycolysis**
- **Drug metabolism**
- **Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism**
- **Arginine and proline metabolism**

### Downregulated: liver-related genes; FC>1.5; P<0.05

- Control MODY1

### Upregulated: HOX genes; FC>1.5; P<0.05

- Control MODY1

---

**Notes:**
- Control vs mutant comparison.
- FC: Fold Change.
- P: Probability.
- *: Significance level.
Hnf4a marker whereas MODY1-hiPSCs did not (Figure S4B). In addition, MODY1 hepatic progenitors expressed significantly lower levels of key mature hepatocyte markers AFP and ALB on D24 as opposed to control cells (Figure 3B). Residual HNF4A protein expressed in the MODY1 hepatic progenitors appeared to localize largely to the cytoplasm when compared with the controls (Figure S4), mirroring our earlier observations in the HPPs (Figure 1F). These data indicated that the early HNF4A loss-of-function effects (despite having a WT HNF4A allele) propagated into longer-term consequences that affected subsequent hepatic differentiation. This is consistent with the effects

RNA Sequencing Analyses Reveal Downregulation of Pancreas- and Liver-Related Genes and Upregulation of Caudal HOX Genes

To thoroughly evaluate the genome-wide effects of the MODY1 mutation on foregut development, we performed RNA sequencing analyses on control and MODY1-hiPSC-derived D14 HPPs. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses revealed that genes involved in MODY and numerous liver functions were significantly downregulated (Figure 2A), consistent with the known functions of HNF4A target genes (Bolotin et al., 2010; Odom et al., 2004). The affected genes were involved in processes related to steroid metabolism and lipoprotein and sterol binding and transport (Figure S3A), providing clues to the role of HNF4A target genes. On the other hand, genes involved in DNA binding, transcription factor, and channel activity were upregulated, possibly due to compensatory regulatory mechanisms (Figure S3B).

Heatmap analyses revealed that a subset of pancreas-related genes was downregulated in MODY1-HPPs (fold change [FC] > 1.5; p < 0.05) (Figure 2B), including PDX1, the FOXA gene family, GATA4, RFX6, HNF1B, KRT19, and SOX17. In addition, numerous hepatic genes such as the apolipoprotein (apo) genes, AFP, TTR, and HHEX were also downregulated in the MODY1-HPPs (Figure 2C), consistent with findings from Hnf4a<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Li et al., 2000). In contrast, we were intrigued to observe an upregulation of numerous caudal HOX genes including HOXA10, HOXC11, HOXC12, HOXD11, HOXD12, and HOXD13 in the MODY1-HPPs (Figures 2D, 2E, and S3C). Although some of the changes were modest, likely due to the fact that the HPP protocol is suited for foregut, but not hindgut, differentiation, the trend toward increased levels of hindgut markers suggests a potential switch away from foregut specification. Indeed, these caudal HOX genes are typically upregulated only in differentiation conditions favorable for hindgut formation (high fibroblast growth factor 2 concentration) (Ameri et al., 2010) in which hindgut marker CDX2 is upregulated, but not foregut marker HNF4A (Figure S3D). The loss of the repressor domain in HNF4A owing to the p.Ile271fs-truncating mutation may account for this “derepression” phenomenon.

MODY1-Mediated Loss of HNF4A Transcriptional Function Affects Subsequent Hepatic and Pancreatic Development Signatures

Following the HNF4A loss-of-function observations in the HPPs, which are representative of a progenitor stage, we next investigated impacts on subsequent tissue development. We used established differentiation protocols that aimed to direct the differentiation of the hiPSCs into hepatocytes or pancreatic β-like cells (Hannan et al., 2013; Pagliuca et al., 2014), as these are more representative of the liver and β cell differentiation process. First, time course differentiation of control-hiPSCs into hepatocyte-like cells (Hannan et al., 2013; Pagliuca et al., 2014), as these are more representative of the liver and β cell differentiation process. First, time course differentiation of control-hiPSCs into hepatocyte-like cells (Hannan et al., 2013) revealed that HNF4A expression peaked on day 8 (D8), when 70%–80% HNF4A<sup>+</sup> cells may be obtained, whereas other hepatic genes displayed peak expression on days 16 (D16) or 24 (D24) (Figures 3A and S4A).

During hepatic differentiation, we noted that control-hiPSCs formed polygonal hepatocyte-like cells, whereas MODY1-hiPSCs did not (Figure S4B). In addition, MODY1 hepatic progenitors expressed significantly lower levels of HNF4A and HHEX on D8, leading to reduced expression of HNF1A and hepatoblast marker AFP on D16, and finally reduced levels of key mature hepatocyte markers APOA2, APOB, APOC1, APOE, and ALB on D24 as opposed to control cells (Figure 3B).

Figure 2. RNA SequencingAnalyses Reveal Global Transcriptional Changes Induced by the HNF4A Mutation in MODY1-HPPs at D14

(A–C) (A) Analysis of downregulated genes via the KEGG pathway. Heatmap analyses of (B) pancreas-related and (C) liver-related genes that are downregulated with fold change (FC) > 1.5, p < 0.05.

(D) Heatmap analyses of numerous caudal HOX genes involved in hindgut specification that are upregulated with FC > 1.5, p < 0.05. Colors in the heatmap depict gene expression in units of SD from the mean across all samples (upregulation in red, downregulation in blue). Controls indicated as 13A, 13B, 7A, 7B, and 7C, MODY1 indicated as 2, 1A–1C.

(E) qPCR analyses of caudal HOX gene expression in control and MODY1-HPPs. Data are represented as mean ± SD of n = 3, representative of four independent experiments. *p < 0.05 versus control samples by Student’s t test. See also Figure S3 and Table S1.

(Figure 1F). Mislocalization of the HNF4A protein could further account for the loss of its function as a transcription factor.
of shRNA-mediated knockdown of HNF4A in hPSCs that was reported previously (DeLaForest et al., 2011), although it is worth noting that a complete knockout of HNF4A in humans does not exist naturally.

We next investigated the impact of MODY1/HNF4A mutation on pancreatic β cell development using a published protocol for generating pancreatic β-like cells (Pagliuca et al., 2014). In differentiated WT β-like cells, HNF4A expression increased progressively over 35 days, together with other critical β cell transcripts such as PDX1, INS and PPARδ (Figure 3C). Again, no difference was detected between the specification of control and MODY1 iPSCs into definitive endoderm cells, before the rise in HNF4A expression (Figure S4D). However, in MODY1 β-like cells both HNF4A and HNF1A were significantly downregulated, although this was not the case for a number of other β cell genes tested at D35 (Figure 3D). Despite some reduction in INS transcript levels in MODY1-derived β-like cells (Figure 3D), we could detect the expression of C-peptide in both control- and MODY1-derived β-like cells (Figure S4E). To corroborate the results observed in the MODY1-HPPs (Figure 1E), we also assessed gene expression changes in the pancreatic progenitors generated using the 35-day β cell differentiation protocol. We observed that the expression of PDX1 and other progenitor markers was indeed reduced in the D13 pancreatic progenitors (Figure S4F), although PDX1 reduction at protein level was not always consistently observed (Figure S4G). We postulate that the early perturbations may be less apparent later in the differentiation owing to the exogenous stimuli that drive the differentiation of PDX1- and INS-expressing cells during β cell differentiation. Nonetheless, it was clear from our multiple differentiated cell models that loss in both HNF4A and HNF1A function in early hepatic and β cell development may contribute to the impaired tissue function in MODY1.

We then sought to define the molecular impact of HNF4A p.Ile271fs on its downstream targets including HNF1A, by evaluating the transcriptional potential of WT and mutant HNF4A in our hiPSC-derived hepatic differentiation models. As both P1- and P2-driven transcripts are present during foregut development (Figure S2E), the effects of both WT and mutant HNF4A2 and HNF4A8 (longest isoforms representative of P1- and P2-driven expression, respectively) were evaluated. When expressed in the D8 hepatic progenitors, WT but not mutant HNF4A2 resulted in activation of the APOB promoter and AFP enhancer (containing a HNF4A-binding motif) (Nakabayashi et al., 2004) (Figures 4B and 4C). The activation of the AFP enhancer by WT, but not mutant HNF4A2, was further replicated in HepG2 cells (Figure 4D), an AFP-producing human hepatoma cell line (Kawai et al., 2001). The lack of activation by mutant HNF4A may be explained in part by the reduced protein expression levels of mutant HNF4A compared with WT, although both HNF4A2/8 WT and mutants localized to the nuclei in the overexpression studies (Figures S5A and S5B). In all experiments, WT HNF4A8 exhibited a weaker transactivation potential when compared with HNF4A2, and in the case of the HNF1A promoter and AFP enhancer, the effect was only significant in MODY1-derived cells where endogenous HNF4A function is reduced (Figures 4A and 4C).

We further set out to investigate the regulation of HNF1A by HNF4A WT or mutants in human pancreatic β cells. As the suspension cell clumps generated from the pancreatic β cell differentiation were less amenable for in vitro assays unlike monolayer differentiation cultures, we used the human β cell line EndoC-βH1. Chromatin immunoprecipitation analyses in EndoC-βH1 showed that HNF4A was bound to the HNF1A promoter (Figure 4E), and subsequent knockdown of HNF4A by ~55% resulted in a corresponding reduction in HNF1A promoter activation (Figures 4F and 4G). This effect could be rescued by WT HNF4A overexpression but not mutant HNF4A (Figure 4G). Overall, we have shown that HNF4A directly regulates the transcription of key components of developing hepatic and pancreatic β cells, and that the MODY1/HNF4A mutation (p.Ile271fs) results in the inability to activate target promoters. Our patient-derived iPSC-based model thus provides an in vitro platform for the interrogation of the underlying disease mechanisms in the hepatic and β cells.
Figure 4. MODY1/HNF4A Mutation Results in Loss of Ability to Activate Downstream Target Promoters in Hepatic and Pancreatic β Cells

(A–D) Luciferase assays were performed to evaluate effects of WT or p.Ile271fs (Mut) HNF4A on the (A) HNF1A promoter, (B) APOB promoter, (C) AFP enhancer activity in hiPSC-derived hepatic cells, or (D) HepG2 cells. For (A–C), data are represented as mean ± SD n = 3, representative of two independent experiments. For (D), data are represented as mean ± SD of n = 12 from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05 versus GFP control in all hiPSC lines by two-way ANOVA; #p < 0.05 versus GFP control in mutant hiPSC lines only by two-way ANOVA. **p = 0.01 versus GFP control by Student’s t test.

(E) Chromatin immunoprecipitation qPCR analysis of HNF4A binding onto HNF1A promoter in EndoC-βH1 cells.

(F) Small interfering RNA-mediated knockdown of HNF4A in EndoC-βH1 cells.

(G) Luciferase assay evaluating HNF1A promoter activity upon knockdown of HNF4A and rescue. For (E–G), data are represented as mean ± SD of n = 12 from three to four independent experiments. *p < 0.05 versus IgG/GFP control as indicated by Student’s t test.

See also Figure S5.
MODY1 hiPSC-Derived Cells Express Both WT and Mutant HNF4A Transcripts and Do Not Exhibit Dominant Negativity

Finally, we sought to address the question of why the decrease in total HNF4A levels in MODY1-derived cells is beyond the expected 2-fold change given the presence of a WT allele in heterozygote carriers. We first determined the expression of WT and mutant HNF4A (p.Ile271fs) transcripts using a custom-designed allele-specific assay (Figure S5C). As observed across multiple differentiated cell types, both WT and mutant HNF4A transcripts are expressed in the MODY1-derived cells, confirming heterozygosity at mRNA level (Figures S5A–S5C). The detection of mutant transcripts indicated that there is an absence of complete nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) of the nonsense mutant transcripts (Zhang et al., 2009). Next, we checked if the MODY1 mutation could result in a dominant negative effect by co-expressing WT and mutant HNF4A to recapitulate a heterozygous condition. Gene regulatory assays showed that WT HNF4A was able to activate AFP enhancer activity normally in the presence of mutant protein, suggesting a lack of dominant negativity (Figure 5D). Given that HNF4A is also known to occupy its own promoter (Bolotin et al., 2010; Odom et al., 2004), we performed further gene regulatory assays involving both the HNF4A P1 and P2 promoters and demonstrated that HNF4A can activate both promoters and subsequently its own expression in a feedforward manner (Figure 5E). Therefore loss of HNF4A function or mislocalization may result in failure to undergo autoregulation, accounting for overall reduced HNF4A expression in MODY1.

DISCUSSION

Earlier studies have reported HNF4A nonsense or missense gene mutations leading to either a loss-of-function or a dominant negative effect (Laine et al., 2000; Lausen et al., 2000; Sladek et al., 1998). The p.Ile271fs mutation in our study introduces a frameshift and premature stop codon, which could lead to generation of unstable mRNA that may to some degree be degraded by NMD (Frischmeyer and Dietz, 1999), accounting for the overall lowered HNF4A levels in MODY1-hiPSC-derived cells. However, we did not observe complete NMD given that mutant transcripts were detectable in our MODY1-derived cells. At protein level, crystallographic studies have reported that several key residues of the ligand-binding domain are involved in charge-driven interactions that improve dimerization. Mutations in this region such as p.Ile271fs may therefore affect the formation of functional homodimers and impair DNA-binding activity, thereby abolishing transcriptional activity or coactivator recruitment (Eeckhoute et al., 2003b; Ek et al., 2005; Hani et al., 1998; Stoffel and Duncan, 1997) to affect downstream gene regulation. Our work conclusively showed that loss of HNF4A-mediated gene regulation due to the p.Ile271fs mutation in a heterozygous state in MODY1 affected foregut endoderm gene expression signatures.

Our observations on caudal HOX gene upregulation led us to hypothesize that HNF4A typically functions to suppress ectopic hindgut HOX gene expression to facilitate proper foregut endoderm development, whereas this suppressive effect is disrupted in cells carrying the p.Ile271fs mutation. Further studies are required to determine whether HOX gene derepression is indirect, or if HNF4A requires other co-factors for its repressor function. Future work should also determine whether p.Ile271fs affects the specific interaction of HNF4A with ligands or co-factors important for its function. We propose that the impact of HNF4A haploinsufficiency on the specification of the foregut versus hindgut lineage is cell autonomous given the well-established function of HNF4A as a transcription factor. Nonetheless, the possibility of non–cell autonomy cannot be ruled out as previous studies have provided evidence for non-cell-autonomous functions of homeobox genes and other early developmental genes (Balbinot et al., 2018; Becker et al., 2016). Future experiments that involve fluorescent labeling of the WT and mutant MODY1 hiPSCs followed by differentiation and fluorescence-activated cell sorting analyses may shed light on this.

HNF4A has been reported to be important for rodent hepatocyte development (Li et al., 2000) and is essential for specifying the early hepatic differentiation program (DeLaForest et al., 2011). However, the impact of MODY1/HNF4A mutation on hepatic development in humans has not been explored, given the intratability of human liver tissue. Here, we capitalize on our patient-derived iPSCs and ability to differentiate them into multiple relevant cell types to model cell-type-specific phenotypes and investigate underlying disease mechanisms. Our results indicated that the early loss of HNF4A expression in hepatoblasts propagated to long-term consequences on hepatic cell fate, as seen in the reduced expression of ALB and numerous APO genes. This is consistent with observations that patients with MODY1 with an inactivating HNF4A mutation exhibit reduced secretion of hepatocyte-specific proteins such as APOs (Lehto et al., 1999; Pearson et al., 2005; Shih et al., 2000). Nonetheless, these alterations in liver function may not be
Figure 5. MODY1 hiPSC-Derived Cells Express Both WT and Mutant HNF4A Transcripts

(A–C) Allele-specific qPCR analyses in (A) D14 HPPs, (B) D8 hepatoblasts, and (C) D35 β-like cells evaluating both WT and mutant HNF4A transcripts in MODY1-derived cells. Axes show relative fluorescence units for each allele-specific TaqMan probe, for a representative differentiation experiment.

(D) Luciferase assays were performed to evaluate effects of WT and mutant HNF4A in combination with AFP enhancer activity in HepG2 cells.

(E) Luciferase assays were performed to evaluate effects of HNF4A2 and HNF4A8 on HNF4A P1 and P2 promoter activities in Ad293 cells.

Data are represented as mean ± SD of n = 4 independent experiments. **p < 0.01 versus GFP control unless otherwise indicated by Student’s t test. See also Figure S5.
clinically significant given the lack of reports on liver deformities or severe liver dysfunction in these patients. Given the potential redundancy within the complex liver transcription factor network that HNF4A is involved in (Lau et al., 2018; Odom et al., 2004), there may be redundant mechanisms such as those involving HNF1A or ONECUT1 in the liver, or other compensatory mechanisms that enable largely normal liver development in vivo (Ober et al., 2006).

We also confirmed that although both P1- and P2-driven HNF4A are expressed during foregut differentiation, the primary isoform(s) that activates expression of key target genes such as HNF1A and AFP is likely encoded by the P1 promoter. These results are consistent with previous reports (Eeckhoute et al., 2003a) that P1-driven isoforms exhibit greater transcriptional potential than their P2-driven counterparts, and we show that this is the case in both human hepatic cells and β cells.

Besides a liver phenotype, patients with MODY1 are known to exhibit progressive β cell insulin secretory defects (Herman et al., 1997; Ryffel, 2001). Our studies provide valuable insights relating to the expression of early pancreatic genes affected by HNF4A haploinsufficiency such as HNF1B, PDX1, GATA4, and RFX6 in the pancreatic progenitors. Although key developmental genes may be perturbed at the progenitor stage, the terminally in vitro-differentiated β-like cells were still able to express select β cell markers and C-peptide. This observation is in line with a previous study also involving MODY1-derived cells (Vethe et al., 2017). However, the study did not provide data from any of the progenitor stages. In both our study and that by Vethe et al., the in vitro differentiations do not generate β-like cells that are functionally mature despite the presence of insulin, therefore the functional capacity of these cells cannot be appropriately elucidated. As HNF4A haploinsufficiency involves a heterozygous mutation, there could be compensatory effects that result in a reset of the regulatory network, therefore patients do not have pancreatic agenesis. Nonetheless, there is a distinctive decrease in HNF1A expression in our MODY1-derived β-like cells. These findings are also consistent with the prevailing notion that MODY1/HNF4A is clinically and genetically linked to MODY3/HNF1A considering that HNF4A directly regulates the expression of HNF1A (Ellard and Colclough, 2006; Lausen et al., 2000). Detailed assessment of how the HNF4A-HNF1A cross-regulatory circuit and downstream transcriptional network is perturbed in both MODY1 and MODY3 may shed further light on the convergent and divergent role of both genes in governing tissue function, especially in human β cells.

It is notable that mutations in HNF4A are not only relevant to MODY1 but also have been associated with the more commonly occurring type 2 diabetes (T2D). Specifically, single nucleotide polymorphisms in both the P2 and P1 promoter regions and those near or within the HNF4A gene have been linked to T2D susceptibility (Damcott et al., 2004; Ek et al., 2005; Hara et al., 2006; Kooner et al., 2011; Love-Gregory et al., 2004; Mahajan et al., 2018; Silander et al., 2004; Weedon et al., 2004). Pancreatic islets isolated from donors with T2D were also found to exhibit reduced HNF4A expression (Gunton et al., 2005). Collectively, we report the successful establishment of a MODY1 hiPSC model with HNF4A haploinsufficiency that arose from a naturally occurring heterozygous mutation. Our findings highlight MODY1/HNF4A as a developmental disease that begins in the foregut endoderm and extends to its derivatives—in particular the liver and the pancreas. Our approach and results will have important implications for the study and understanding of diabetes pathogenesis in the context of MODY and even T2D.

Limitations of the Study

In this study, we have validated our findings across multiple differentiation models that can generate known cell-type-specific markers, as well as established mechanisms in non-iPSC-based cell lines to substantiate our findings of HNF4A haploinsufficiency. However, there are well-recognized limitations of iPSC-based disease models that can affect the interpretation of results. First, the differentiation process is heterogeneous and therefore a bulk analysis approach may result in data with overall increased variability and reduced magnitude of effect. To circumvent this, single-cell studies may be used to interrogate cellular phenotypes at single-cell resolution (Petersen et al., 2017). Second, the use of isogenic controls generated using genome-editing tools may also help to reduce noise when compared with the use of family controls, which are still subject to differences in genetic background (Teo et al., 2015a). Next, directed differentiation protocols rely on the use of a cocktail of small molecules and growth factors to drive the differentiation process in vitro. This assumes that patient cells encounter these signals under an in vivo setting to drive tissue development. Therefore, an in vitro model may not accurately capture disease progression. On the contrary, currently available pancreatic β cell differentiation protocols are often unable to generate functional...
β cells in vitro and require transplantation into mice for in vivo maturation (Hrvatin et al., 2014; Loo et al., 2018). This hints at yet unknown molecular factors that are required to obtain β cells that can produce and secrete insulin in response to glucose stimuli. Therefore evaluation of the insulin secretory capacity of the MODY1-derived cells in the current differentiation model was not possible. Overcoming a number of these limitations will undoubtedly increase experimental robustness and reproducibility.

METHODS
All methods and can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.05.032.
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**Figure S1**, related to **Figure 1.** Characterization of MODY1-hiPSCs. (A) DNA sequencing to verify absence or presence of HNF4A p.Ile271fs mutation in control- and MODY1-hiPSCs. Control- and MODY1-hiPSCs (B) express pluripotency markers and (C) can give rise to cell types making up the three germ layers. Scale bars: 400 µm.
**Supplemental Figure S2: Ng et al.**

**A**

| Unstained | Mouse 2° Control 488 | Rabbit 2° Control 488 | HNF4A (N-terminal epitope) | HNF4A (C-terminal epitope) |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.2       | 0.4                  | 1.0                   | 1.1                         | 70.5                        |
| 99.2      | 0.2                  | 98.4                  | 0.1                         | 28.5                        |
| 594       | 594                  | 594                   | 594                         | 594                         |

**B**

- **Control**
  - iN904-13
  - iN904-7
  - iN904-2
  - iN904-1

- **Mutant**
  - iN904-13
  - iN904-7
  - iN904-2
  - iN904-1

**C**

- **Control**
  - iN904-13
  - iN904-7

- **Mutant**
  - iN904-2
  - iN904-1

**D**

- **Control**
  - iN904-13
  - iN904-7
  - iN904-2
  - iN904-1

- **Mutant**
  - iN904-13
  - iN904-7

**E**

**HNF4A P1- and P2-driven isoform expression**

**F**

- **Control**
  - iN904-13
  - iN904-7
  - iN904-2
  - iN904-1

- **Mutant**
  - iN904-13
  - iN904-7
  - iN904-2
  - iN904-1

---

**Legend**

- **PDX1+ cells**
  - Control (Yellow)
  - Mutant (Red)

- **GATA4+ cells**
  - Control (Yellow)
  - Mutant (Red)
Figure S2, related to Figure 2. Characterization of the differentiation of iPSCs into D14 HPPs.

(A) ~70% of control-hPSC-derived cells are HNF4A+ based on FACS analysis. (B) Co-expression of definitive endoderm markers SOX17 (Green, R&D AF1924) and CXCR4 (Red, BD 555976) was determined at day 3 of differentiation. (C) No obvious morphological differences were observed between control- and MODY1-HPPs after 14 days of differentiation. (D) Western blot analysis using an antibody against the HNF4A C-terminal epitope (that recognizes full-length but not C-terminally-truncated protein) shows markedly reduced levels of HNF4A WT protein in MODY1-HPPs. (E) Both HNF4A P1 and P2 promoter-driven transcripts are expressed by isoform-specific qPCR analysis. Data are represented as mean ± SD of n=3, representative of 3 independent experiments. (F) Expression of pancreatic progenitor markers PDX1 (R&D AF2419) and GATA4 (Thermo Fisher 6H10) was downregulated in MODY1-HPPs compared to controls. The percentage of PDX1- or GATA4-positive cells were quantified from at least 3 different images, each with 189-594 cells counted. Data are represented as mean ± SD of n=3. *p < 0.05 versus controls as indicated by Student’s t test. Scale bars: 100 μm.
**Supplemental Figure S3: Ng et al.**

**A**

**Downregulated in MODY1 vs control**

GO term category - Biological Process:
- Lipid localization
- Anatomical structure development
- Hormone metabolic process
- Regulation of multicellular organismal process
- Sterol transport
- Cholesterol transport
- Response to stress
- Organ development
- Steroid metabolic process
- Regulation of hormone levels
- Response to inorganic substance
- Response to external stimulus
- Response to wounding
- Regulation of biological quality

**Downregulated in MODY1 vs control**

GO term category - Molecular Function:
- Peptidase inhibitor activity
- Cell surface binding
- Sterol binding
- Phosphatidylcholine-sterol O-acyltransferase activity
- Endopeptidase inhibitor activity
- Cholesterol binding
- Lipoprotein binding
- Enzyme regulator activity
- Lipase inhibitor activity
- Carboxylic acid binding
- Receptor binding
- Lipid binding
- Calcium ion binding
- Steroid binding
- Enzyme inhibitor activity

**B**

**Upregulated in MODY1 vs control**

GO term category - Biological Process:
- Central nervous system development
- Anatomical structure morphogenesis
- Pattern specification process
- Neuron differentiation
- Cell-cell signaling
- Transmission of nerve impulse
- Nervous system development
- Multicellular organismal process
- Developmental process
- Nervous system development
- Anatomical structure development
- Multicellular organismal development
- System development

**Upregulated in MODY1 vs control**

GO term category - Molecular Function:
- Voltage-gated channel activity
- Alkali metal ion binding
- Metal ion transmembrane channel activity
- Cation channel activity
- Ion transmembrane transporter activity
- Voltage-gated cation channel activity
- Transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase activity
- Channel activity
- Substrate specific channel activity
- Gated channel activity
- Calcium ion binding
- Ion channel activity
- Transcription factor activity
- Sequence-specific DNA binding

**C**

**D14 hepato-pancreatic progenitors**

**D**

**FGF2 dose (ng/ml)***

| FGF2 dose (ng/ml) | HNF4A | CDX2 |
|------------------|-------|------|
| 0                |       |      |
| 0.5              |       |      |
| 1                |       |      |
| 1.5              |       |      |
| 2                |       |      |
| 2.5              |       |      |

**Relative expression (normalized to 50 ng/ml FGF2)**

| **Relative expression (normalized to 50 ng/ml FGF2)** | HOXA10 | HOXA11 | HOXA13 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| **HOXA10**                                          | 4      | 6      |       |
| **HOXA11**                                          | 2.5    | 2.5    |       |
| **HOXA13**                                          | 4      | 6      |       |

**FGF2 dose (ng/ml)**

| FGF2 dose (ng/ml) | HOXC10 | HOXC12 | Merge |
|------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| 0                |        |        |       |
| 0.5              |        |        |       |
| 1                |        |        |       |
| 1.5              |        |        |       |
| 2                |        |        |       |
| 2.5              |        |        |       |

**iN904**

| **iN904** | **Hoxc10** | **Hoxc12** | **Merge** |
|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Control   | iN904-13   | iN904-17   | iN904-23  |
| Mutant    | iN904-2    | iN904-4    | iN904-6   |
Figure S3, related to Figure 2 and Table S1. Gene ontology analyses of global transcriptional changes induced by the HNF4A (p.ile271fs) mutation in MODY1-HPPs.

(A) Downregulated genes were involved in biological processes related to steroid metabolism and sterol transport, and molecular functions related to enzyme inhibitor activity, lipoprotein and sterol binding. (B) Upregulated genes were important for developmental processes and molecular functions related to DNA binding and channel activity. (C) Expression of representative hindgut markers HOXC10 and HOXC12 were evaluated by immunofluorescent confocal microscopy in D14 HPPs. Blue: DAPI, Green: HOXC10, Red: HOXC12. Scale bar: 50 µm. (D) High dose of FGF2 treatment increases the expression of caudal HOX genes. Data are represented as mean ± SD of n=3, representative of 3 independent experiments. *P<0.05 versus 50 ng/ml FGF2 (F50) samples by Student’s t-test.
Supplemental Figure S4: Ng et al.
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B

D24 Hepatocyte-like cells

C

D8 hepatic progenitors

D

SOX17+CXCR4+ DE cells

E

D13 pancreatic progenitors

F

Relative expression (normalized to iN904-1)

G

PDX1+ pancreatic progenitors
Figure S4, related to Figure 3. Characterization of hiPSC-derived hepatic and pancreatic cells.

(A) FACS analysis using HNF4A antibodies targeting the N- or C-terminal regions showing that 70 – 80% of control-hPSC-derived hepatic progenitors are HNF4A+ at D8. (B) Brightfield images showing that hepatocyte-like cells derived from MODY1-hiPSCs lack a polygonal morphology after 24 days of differentiation. Scale bar: 100 µm. (C) Immunofluorescent confocal images showing that HNF4A protein is predominantly localized to the nuclei in control D8 hepatic progenitors but is largely sequestered in the cytoplasm of MODY1 hepatic progenitors, based on antibodies targeting the N- or C-terminal regions of HNF4A. Blue: DAPI, Green: HNF4A, Scale bars: 50 µm. Confocal images were acquired using similar scan settings across samples. (D) FACS analysis showed co-expression of definitive endoderm (DE) markers SOX17-PE (R&D IC19241P) and CXCR4-APC (BD 555976) indicated as ‘double+’, and expression of CXCR4 alone (‘CXCR4+ only’) in control- and MODY1-derived cells after 5 days of differentiation in suspension cultures, using the β cell differentiation protocol adapted from Pagliuca et al. Data obtained from one experiment. (E) Immunofluorescence staining revealed expression of C-peptide (Green, DSHB GN-ID4) in both control- and MODY1-derived day 35 β-like cells. Blue: DAPI; scale bar: 50 µm. (F) QPCR analyses of D13 pancreatic progenitors generated using the β cell differentiation protocol were used to compare gene expression changes between control- and MODY1-derived cells. Data are represented as mean ± SD of n=3, representative of up to 3 independent experiments. *P<0.05 vs controls by Student’s t-test. (G) FACS analysis showed expression of PDX1 (Abcam ab47308) in Day 13 pancreatic progenitors obtained using the β cell differentiation protocol. Data are represented as mean ± SD of n=2 independent experiments.
Figure S5, related to Figures 4 and 5. Validation of HNF4A WT and mutant overexpression.

Transient overexpression of WT and mutant (Mut) HNF4A2 and HNF4A8 constructs (N-terminal FLAG-tagged) are validated by (A) immunostaining in hiPSC-derived D16 hepatic progenitors and (B) western blot analysis in Ad293 cells. Blue: DAPI, Red: FLAG-tagged HNF4A. Scale bar: 50 µm. (C) Allele-specific qPCR analyses of mRNA from Ad293 transfected with HNF4A2 or HNF4A8 WT and/or mutant constructs, in triplicates, showed clear segregation among the genotypes. Axes show relative fluorescence units for each allele-specific TaqMan probe.
Table S1 (Excel table, related to Figures 2 and S3). Expression values (RPKM) of hiPSC-derived HPPs at D14 from RNA-Seq data. The first 2 columns indicate the gene ID and official gene symbol for all protein-coding genes in the dataset. FPKM values are shown for control-hiPSC-derived cells (13A, 13B, 7A, 7B, 7C) and MODY1-hiPSC-derived cells (2, 1A, 1B, 1C).
**Table S2 (Related to Methods, Figures 1, 2 and 3). Quantitative real-time PCR primers used in this study.**

| Gene | Accession Number | Forward Primer (5’ to 3’) | Reverse Primer (5’ to 3’) |
|------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ABCC8 | NM_001267174.1 | GGAGCAGCAGCCTTCTGTAC | TCGAGAATGAGGCCACCCG |
| ACTIN | NM_001101.3 | TTGGCAGAATCAGGCCGGCCGG | CCACTGACCCCACTACGACAAG |
| AFP  | NM_001134.2 | TGGCTCAGCATAGGCCAGG | TGGAGGCAGGCGCTCTG |
| ALB  | NM_002477.6 | CCTGCCCTTGGGCTAAGCGG | TGGGTCAAGGGGAGTCCG |
| APO2 | NM_001643.1 | AGCCCTGGAAGAGCTTTGGTCC | ACTGGGTGCGGCTCTG |
| APOB | NM_000384.2 | CCTGGGGGACTGTGTGATCGG | TGCGACGAGGGCTCTG |
| APOC1 | NM_001645.4 | TCTGTCGCTCCCGGTCTCGT | GAAACCACCTCCCGCATCTCC |
| APOE | NM_0013084.2 | CCAAGGACGACTGAGTACG | GTGAGGACACTGGGGCCAG |
| CYP3A4 | NM_001760.5 | CCCGCAAGAAGGACACACAGG | CAGAGGTCGAGGCCTGAGG |
| GATA4 | NM_00130893.1 | CAGAGGACACTGAGTACG | GTGAGGACACTGGGGCCAG |
| HNF1A | NM_001306179.1 | CTCTGCAAGAGGCCAGCTTACG | GGAGGAGCCAGCTCCAGG |
| HNF1B | NM_000458.3 | GGGAGGCCCGCTCCAGCAA | GGGGTCGCTGGTGATGAGG |
| HNF4A | NM_000457.4 | CCCAGTCCACCGGAGAGCAGG | GTTGAGGAGCCAGCTCCAG |
| HNF4A (P1) | NM_000457.4 | GTTGGAGGATGGGCAATGACG | CATGCAAGGGCAGTACCC |
| HNF4A (P2) | NM_001759.14.4 | CAGTGGAGAAGTTCTTACGCAAG | CTCTTGCAGCCGAATGCC |
| HOXA10 | NM_018951.3 | TGCCTGGGCAATTCAAAGGTG | AGGTGGACGCTGCGGTAA |
| HOXA11 | NM_005525.5 | TCCGCCGCCACACTGAGAGCAG | GTTGAGCATCGGCGACG |
| HOXA13 | NM_000522.4 | CACTCGTGCCCGACGTGTGCT | ACTGGGTGAAACGCAGG |
| HOXC10 | NM_017409.3 | CTCGGAATACGGAAGCGCAGG | TCTGCTGAAATCTCCAGG |
| HOXC11 | NM_014212.3 | CGGAGATTTCTGCGGACGAG | GTGGTGGACATCGGGGGGA |
| HOXC12 | NM_173766.1 | GGGGGTGCTTCCATACGGA | GTGAGGAGCCAGCTCCAG |
| HOXD11 | NM_021192.2 | ATGCTCAACCTCACTGGACTG | GGGGCTTCCTGGAAGCTCC |
| HOXD12 | NM_021193.3 | AGCGGAACCTACAAGGAGG | TGGATCGGTGCTGCTGAGT |
| HOXD13 | NM_000523.3 | GCTACCACTCCTGGCAGCTAC | GGGCAGGGTGGCCGAGTT |
| INS  | NM_000207.2 | CCTGCAAGTGGGCGAGGGAGGAGGG | CAGGGAACAGGGGACTGG |
| KCNJ11 | NM_000525.3 | AGTTGGGACAGGCGAGG | GTGGTGGACATCGGGGGGA |
| MAFA | NM_020589.3 | GGGCCCTGGCCATCGTACG | GAGGAGCAGGAGCGCTCCG |
| NKX6.1 | NM_000616.2 | AGCGACCGTGGCTGCCTGCTCCC | CCTCTTCGGGCCGCAAGTA |
| PDX1 | NM_000209.3 | CCTCCCGGAGGGCCGAGG | GTGAGGAGCCAGCTCCAG |
| RFX6 | NM_173560.3 | GCCGGCTGGAACAGAGGCG | AGAGTGAGGAGCCACCTC |
| SOX9 | NM_000346.3 | ACCAGGGCAGGGGAGGAGG | GGGTGGACCTGGCTCCAGG |
TRANSPARENT METHODS

Generation of hiPSCs

5 x 10^5 human fibroblast cells were seeded on a 6-well plate prior to transduction. After 24 hours, cells were replenished with medium containing non-integrative Sendai reprogramming vectors (polycistronic Klf4–Oct3/4–Sox2, L-Myc, and Klf4) according to the CytoTune-iPS 2.0 Sendai Reprogramming Kit (Thermo Scientific). All experiments with hiPSCs were approved by the Regional Committee of Medical and Health Research Ethics (REK 2010/2295), and all methods were performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Human PSC culture and differentiation

HiPSCs generated from human fibroblast cells and H9 cells were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO_2 in DMEM/F-12 with 15 mM HEPES (STEMCELL Technologies), 20% KnockOut™ serum replacement (KOSR), L-glutamine, NEAA (Life Technologies) and supplemented with 10 ng/ml FGF2 (Miltenyi Biotec). HiPSCs were seeded on irradiated CF-1 mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and hiPSC media was replaced every 24 hours.

HiPSCs or H9 cells were differentiated into HPPs as described previously (Teo et al., 2012), with some modifications. Briefly, cells were incubated with Dispase (STEMCELL Technologies) and Collagenase IV (Life Technologies) and collected by mechanical scraping. MEFs were removed by passing the suspension through a 70 µm cell strainer. Plated cells were differentiated 2 days later in RPMI-1640/2% B-27 (no vitamin A; serum-free chemically-defined medium) supplemented with 100 ng/ml Activin A (R&D Systems), 3 µM CHIR99021 (Tocris) and 10 µM LY294002 (LC
Labs). On day 3, differentiation medium containing 50 ng/ml Activin A was added. On day 5, differentiation medium containing 50 ng/ml FGF2 (Miltenyi Biotec), 3 μM all-trans-retinoic acid (RA) (WAKO), and 10 mM nicotinamide (Sigma) was added, followed by subsequent media changes and addition of 20 μM DAPT (Abcam) on days 10 and 12.

HiPSCs and H9 cells were differentiated into hepatocyte-like cells as described previously (Hannan et al., 2013), with some modifications. The basal differentiation media used was the same as that for the HPP differentiation protocol described above during the first 9 days. The same supplements were also used for the first 4 days of differentiation. On day 5, differentiation medium containing 50 ng/ml Activin A was added. From days 6-9, differentiation media supplemented with 20 ng/ml BMP4 (Miltenyi Biotec) and 10 ng/ml FGF10 (Miltenyi Biotec) was added and replaced daily. From days 10-24, the HCM Bulletkit (Lonza) differentiation media supplemented with 30 ng/ml Oncostatin M (Miltenyi Biotec) and 50 ng/ml HGF (Miltenyi Biotec) was added and replaced every other day.

HiPSCs and H9 cells were differentiated into β-like cells as described previously (Pagliuca et al., 2014), with some modifications. Cells were dissociated using Dispase (STEMCELL Technologies Inc) and Collagenase IV (Life Technologies), followed by mechanical scraping. MEFs were removed by passing the suspension through a 40 µm cell strainer. TrypLE Express (Life Technologies) was added for further dissociation into single cells. 1 x 10⁶ single cells in mTeSR1 (STEMCELL Technologies Inc) containing 10 μM of Rho-Kinase Inhibitor (Y27632) (STEMCELL Technologies Inc) were seeded into each well of a Corning® CoStar® ultra-Low attachment 6-well plate. After 24 hours, media was replaced with mTeSR1 without
Y27632 and cells were cultured for another 48h before initiation of differentiation (Pagliuca et al., 2014).

In general, 1–3 independent hiPSC lines per subject were used in experiments, with biological triplicates analysed for each line. Experiments were repeated at least thrice.

All hPSC lines used were tested mycoplasma-negative.

**FGF2 dose-response treatments**

H9 cells were differentiated into day 5 cells following the HPP differentiation protocol as described above. On day 5 onwards, cells were treated with differentiation medium containing increasing doses of FGF2 (Miltenyi Biotec) – 50 ng/ml, 100 ng/ml, 200 ng/ml, 300 ng/ml till day 14 before being harvested for analysis (Ameri et al., 2010).

**Clonal cell line culture**

HepG2 cells were purchased from ATCC (HB-8065) and cultured in DMEM/Low glucose (Hyclone) with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Life Technologies) and 1% NEAA (Life Technologies). EndoC-βH1 cells (Ravassard et al., 2011) were purchased from Univercell Biosolutions and cultured in DMEM/Low glucose (Life Technologies) supplemented with BSA (Sigma Aldrich), penicillin/streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM nicotinamide (Sigma Aldrich), 5.5 μg/ml transferrin (Sigma Aldrich) and 6.7 ng/ml sodium selenite (Sigma Aldrich) on plates coated with 2 μg/ml fibronectin (Sigma Aldrich) and 1% ECM (Sigma Aldrich). Ad293 cells were cultured in DMEM/High glucose (Hyclone) with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Life Technologies) and 1% NEAA (Life Technologies).
All cell lines used were tested mycoplasma-negative.

**Immunofluorescence staining**

Cell clumps were collected at the end of the differentiation and cryo-embedded in tissue freezing medium (Leica Biosystems). Cryo-embedded cells were sectioned and mounted onto glass slides. Sectioning was performed by the Advanced Molecular Pathology Laboratory (AMPL), A*STAR and stored at -80 °C. Cell sections or cells in monolayer culture were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes, permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-100 in DPBS, then blocked with 5% donkey serum or 5% bovine serum albumin in DPBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, before overnight incubation with primary antibodies at 4°C. Primary antibodies used are for the detection of HNF4A (C-terminal epitope, Santa Cruz, sc-6556), HNF4A (N-terminal epitope, Abcam, ab181604), FLAG tag (Sigma Aldrich, F1804), HOXC10 (Abcam, ab153904), HOXC12 (Life Technologies, MA5-19125), SOX17 (R&D, AF1924), CXCR4 (BD Biosciences, 555976), PDX1 (R&D, AF2419), GATA4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA5-15532) and C-peptide (DSHB, GN-ID4). Secondary antibody incubation was carried out followed by staining with DAPI. The secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor® 488 (Invitrogen, A11055), Alexa Fluor® 488 (Invitrogen, 21202), Alexa Fluor® 594 (Invitrogen, A21203) or Alexa Fluor® 488 (Invitrogen, A21270). Brightfield images were acquired with the Axiovert 200M inverted microscope using the Axiovision LE software. Confocal images were acquired with the Olympus FV1000 inverted confocal microscope using the Olympus Fluoview v3.1 software.
Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

Cells were harvested by mechanical scraping and dissociated into single cells following incubation with 0.25% Trypsin/EDTA at 37°C and passed through a 40 µm cell strainer. Cell clumps were dissociated into single cells using TrypLE Express (Life Technologies) at 37°C and passed through a 40 µm cell strainer. Single cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde on ice for 1 hour, then blocked in FACS buffer (5% FBS in DPBS) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 on ice for 1 hour, followed by incubation with primary antibodies for 1 hour at 4°C. Primary antibodies used are for the detection of HNF4A (C-terminal epitope, Santa Cruz, sc-6556), HNF4A (N-terminal epitope, Abcam, ab181604), SOX17-PE (R&D, IC19241P), CXCR4-APC (BD Biosciences, 555976), PDX1 (Abcam, ab47308) and INS (Abcam, ab7842). Cells were washed with FACS buffer containing 0.1% Triton X-100 cells and incubated with secondary antibodies in the dark for 1 hour at 4°C. The secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor® 488 (Invitrogen, A11055), Alexa Fluor® 488 (Invitrogen, 21202) or Alexa Fluor® 594 (Invitrogen, A11076). Finally, cells were washed in FACS buffer, resuspended in DPBS and analysed with the BD™ LSR II Flow Cytometer. Data analysis was performed using the FlowJo 7.0 software.

RNA extraction, reverse transcription and quantitative PCR

PrepEase RNA Spin Kit (Affymetrix) was used to extract total RNA from differentiated hiPSCs according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To remove genomic DNA from the preparation, DNase treatment was carried out for 15 minutes at room temperature. Purified RNA was reverse transcribed using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). QPCR was performed on the CFX384 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System with iTaq™ Universal
SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). Reported fold changes are based on relative expression values calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C(T)}$ method with normalization to actin expression for each sample. QPCR primers were custom-designed to span exon-exon junctions, wherever possible, using Primer-BLAST (NCBI). Sequences of primers used are listed in Table S2.

**Immunoblotting**

Cells were harvested by mechanical scraping on ice and lysed in M-PER (Thermo Scientific) in the presence of protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma Aldrich). Protein lysates were quantified using the BCA Assay (Thermo Scientific), separated with sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using the Mini-PROTEAN Tetra Cell system (Bio-Rad) and transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad). Primary antibodies against endogenous HNF4A protein (Cell Signaling, 3113), FLAG tag (Sigma Aldrich) or actin (Sigma Aldrich) were used, followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz). Chemiluminescent signals were detected using Super Signal West Dura Extended Duration substrate (Thermo Scientific).

**Generation of expression constructs**

The pCDH plasmid (System Biosciences) containing the CMV promoter, N-terminal FLAG tag coding sequence and ampicillin resistance gene was used as the expression vector for HNF4A2 and HNF4A8. HNF4A2 coding sequence was amplified from cDNA obtained from control D14 HPPs using the following primers: Forward primer 5’ ATGCGACTCTCCAAAACCCTC 3’; Reverse primer: 5’ CTAGATAACTTCTCCTGTTGGGTGA 3’. HNF4A8 coding sequence was amplified
from cDNA obtained from human islets (University of Alberta) using Phusion polymerase (Thermo Scientific) and the following primers: Forward primer 5’ ATGGTCAGCGTGAGCG 3’; Reverse primer: 5’ CTAGATAACTTCTGCTTGGTGA 3’. PCR products were inserted into the pCDH vector using the Quick Ligation Kit (NEB). The ligated plasmid was used to transform STBL3 competent cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Inserted sequences were verified by DNA sequencing. For site-directed mutagenesis, the following primers were used to generate the p.Ile271fs mutation by introducing an additional adenine base into the HNF4A coding sequence through a PCR using the Phusion polymerase (Thermo Scientific): Forward primer 5’ CTCAAAGCCATCAATCTTCTTTGACC 3’; Reverse primer: 5’ GTAGGCATACTCATTGTCATCGATC 3’. The parental strand was digested following incubation with Dpn1 (NEB). Introduced mutations were verified by DNA sequencing.

Luciferase reporter assays

The hHNF1A promoter (-605 to +18) and hAPOB promoter (-664 to +7) were cloned into the pGL4.10 vector. The hAFP enhancer region (-4730 to -3666) containing the HNF4A binding site was cloned into pGL4.23-Promoter vector. The hHNF4A P1 and P2 promoter constructs in the pGL4.10 vector were described previously (Teo et al., 2016). Cell lines or differentiated hiPSCs were co-transfected with the respective promoter construct, pRL-TK renilla vector, and an overexpression vector (Empty pCDH or pCDH-HNF4A WT or mutant) using Fugene 6 transfection reagent (Promega) or Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies). Cells were transfected in triplicate wells and each experiment was independently performed at least twice. For HNF4A knockdown in EndoC-βH1 cells, siRNA-mediated RNA interference was
carried out using 25 nM non-targeting (D-001810-10) or HNF4A-targeting (J-003406-09) ON-TARGETplus human siRNA (Dharmacon, GE Healthcare) with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Life Technologies) for 48 hours before transfection for luciferase experiments. Cells were harvested 24-48h after transfection, and luciferase activity was measured using the Dual Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity for each well.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**

EndoC-βH1 cells from 2 confluent 10 cm plates were cross-linked with 3.3 mg/ml of dimethyl 3,3'-dithiobispropionimidate and 1 mg/ml of 3,3'-dithiodipropionic acid di(N-hydroxysuccinimide ester) (both Sigma Aldrich) for 30 minutes at room temperature and with 1% formaldehyde (Amresco) for 15 minutes. The cross-linking reaction was quenched with 0.125 M glycine and cells were first lysed in cell lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 10 mM NaCl and 0.2% NP-40) and then in nuclear lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 10 mM EDTA and 1% SDS) on ice in the presence of protease inhibitors on ice. Nuclear lysates were diluted in IP dilution buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01% SDS and 1% Triton X-100) and sonicated for 30s on/45s off for 10 cycles using a Q500 sonicator (QSonica) with microtip probes at 30% power. Sonicated samples were pre-cleared using 10 µg rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz) and Protein A/G agarose beads. Agarose beads were removed by centrifugation and a portion of the supernatant was collected as the input control. Samples were divided equally and incubated with 10 µg of HNF4A antibody (Santa Cruz, sc-8987) or rabbit IgG overnight at 4°C. The following day, samples were incubated with Protein A/G agarose beads and the beads were recovered and
washed with IP wash buffer and Tris-EDTA buffer. The immunoprecipitated DNA was eluted from the beads using IP elution buffer (100 mM NaHCO3, 1% SDS, 100 mM DTT). Samples were successively treated with RNaseA, NaCl and Proteinase K. DNA was extracted by phenol/chloroform extraction. Finally, qPCR was carried out on the input, HNF4A pulldown and IgG samples using SYBR green (Bio-Rad), targeting the HNF1A promoter or a control region in GAPDH. QPCR data were quantitated using a standard curve based on the input DNA, and normalized against GAPDH. Results are expressed as fold change for HNF4A pulldown relative to IgG control.

**RNA sequencing and differential expression analysis**

Poly-A mRNA was enriched from 1 µg of total RNA with oligo-dT beads (Invitrogen). Up to 100 ng of poly-A mRNA recovered was used to construct multiplexed strand-specific RNA-seq libraries as per manufacturer’s instruction (NEXTflex™ Rapid Directional RNA-SEQ Kit, dUTP-Based, v2). Individual library quality was assessed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and quantified with a QuBit 2.0 fluorometer before pooling for sequencing on a HiSeq 2000 (1 x 101 bp read). The pooled libraries were quantified using the KAPA quantification kit (KAPA Biosystems) prior to cluster formation. Adapter sequences and low quality bases in Fastq read sequences were trimmed using Trimmomatic (v.0.33) (parameters: LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:36). The quality filtered Fastq sequence reads were then aligned to the human genome (hg19) using Tophat (v.2.0.14) (parameters: --no-coverage-search --library-type=fr-firststrand) and annotated with Ensembl gene IDs. The resulting bam files were used to generate feature read counts using the Python package-based htseq-count of HTSeq (v.0.6.1p1) (parameters: default union-
counting mode, --stranded=reverse). The read count matrix output from HTSeq was used to perform differential expression analysis using the edgeR package (available in R (v.3.1.3)) in both ‘classic’ and generalized linear model (glm) modes to contrast patient versus control. Procedures described in edgeR documentation were followed to calculate P-values, FDR adjusted p-values (q-values) and fold-changes. A false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff of 0.05 was used to filter significantly differentially expressed genes. These genes with Ensembl IDs were mapped to gene symbols.

**Allele-specific qPCR**

A custom TaqMan® assay (Applied Biosystems) was designed to target the human \( HNF4A \) mRNA sequence surrounding the p.Ile271fs mutation (Assay ID: ANRWJVF). QPCR was performed on the CFX384 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) using the TaqMan® SNP Genotyping MasterMix (Applied Biosystems), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. An allelic discrimination plot was generated on R using relative luciferase units (RFU) from the HEX (WT allele) and FAM (Mutant allele) probes.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical parameters, number of replicates (n) and independent experiments conducted are indicated in the figure legends. Data represent mean ± SD. Gene expression and ChIP qPCR data were analyzed using two-tailed unpaired Student’s \( t \)-test. Luciferase data were expressed as normalized relative Firefly/Renilla luciferase activity. Mean differences in relative activity were analyzed using two-tailed paired Student’s \( t \)-test for clonal cell line studies, while two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post tests (GraphPad Prism) was used for hiPSC-based studies to
analyze differences in relative activity segregated by MODY1 status and overexpression condition. Immunofluorescence data was quantified by cell counting using ImageJ across at least three representative images per condition. Results were considered to be significant at \( P<0.05 \).

**Data and software availability**

The accession number for the RNA-Seq data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE106335.

**SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES**

Ameri, J., Stahlberg, A., Pedersen, J., Johansson, J.K., Johannesson, M.M., Artner, I., and Semb, H. (2010). FGF2 specifies hESC-derived definitive endoderm into foregut/midgut cell lineages in a concentration-dependent manner. Stem Cells 28, 45-56.

Hannan, N.R., Segeritz, C.P., Touboul, T., and Vallier, L. (2013). Production of hepatocyte-like cells from human pluripotent stem cells. Nature protocols 8, 430-437.

Pagliuca, F.W., Millman, J.R., Gurtler, M., Segel, M., Van Dervort, A., Ryu, J.H., Peterson, Q.P., Greiner, D., and Melton, D.A. (2014). Generation of Functional Human Pancreatic beta Cells In Vitro. Cell 159, 428-439.

Ravassard, P., Hazhouz, Y., Pechberty, S., Bricout-Neveu, E., Armanet, M., Czernichow, P., and Scharfmann, R. (2011). A genetically engineered human pancreatic beta cell line exhibiting glucose-inducible insulin secretion. J Clin Invest 121, 3589-3597.

Teo, A.K., Lau, H.H., Valdez, I.A., Dirice, E., Tjora, E., Raeder, H., and Kulkarni, R.N. (2016). Early Developmental Perturbations in a Human Stem Cell Model of MODY5/HNF1B Pancreatic Hypoplasia. Stem Cell Reports 6, 357-367.

Teo, A.K.K., Ali, Y., Wong, K.Y., Chipperfield, H., Sadasivam, A., Poobalan, Y., Tan, E.K., Wang, S.T., Abraham, S., Tsuneyoshi, N., et al. (2012). Activin and BMP4 Synergistically Promote Formation of Definitive Endoderm in Human Embryonic Stem Cells. STEM CELLS 30, 631-642.