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Abstract. We consider the long-time behavior of solutions to the short-pulse equation. Using the method of testing by wave packets, we prove small data global existence and modified scattering.
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1. Introduction

We consider the Cauchy problem for the short-pulse equation
\[
\begin{align*}
t_{x} + u + (u^3)_{xx}, \\
u(0, x) = u_0(x),
\end{align*}
\]
where \( u = u(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is an unknown function, and \( u_0 \) is a given function. The short-pulse equation gives an approximate solution to Maxwell’s equation describing the propagation of ultra-short optical pulses in nonlinear media (see [16]).

We consider the previous results for the generalized Ostrovsky equation
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{align*}
t_{x} + u + (u^p)_{xx}, \\
u(0, x) = u_0(x)
\end{align*}
\end{align*}
\]
for \( p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \). The case in which \( p = 2 \) is known as the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation [1] or the short-wave equation [8]. Pelinovsky and Sakovich [15] showed global well-posedness in the energy space for \( p = 3 \) and small initial data. Stefanov et al. [17] showed local existence of a unique solution to (2) with \( u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \) when \( s > \frac{3}{2} \). They also proved global existence and scattering for \( p \geq 4 \) and small initial data \( u_0 \in H^5(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^{3,1}(\mathbb{R}) \). To confirm the global existence of a solution, we need to consider the smallness of the initial data.
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Liu et al. [12,13] demonstrated wave-breaking phenomena at $p = 2, 3, 4$, and, in particular, the existence of a blowing-up solution. Hayashi et al. [6] (see also [4]) provided the $L^\infty$ decay estimates and the solution scatters to a free solution for $p \geq 4$ and small initial data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 2$ and $x \partial_x u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In [7], they also proved the nonexistence of the usual scattering states for $p = 3$. Recently, Niizato [14] showed the existence of a modified scattering state of (1) for small initial data in $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 10$ and $x \partial_x u_0 \in H^5(\mathbb{R})$. In [5], they took the initial data that satisfy $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \partial_x u_0 \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > \frac{5}{2} + r$ and $r > \frac{3}{2}$. However, it appears that more regularity for the initial data is needed (see “Appendix” section).

In this paper, we use the method of testing by wave packets based on the work of Ifrim and Tataru [9,10] (see also [2,3]). This method in some sense interpolates between the physical and the Fourier side analysis of an asymptotic equation. Instead of localizing on either the physical or the Fourier side, we use a mixed wave packet style phase space localization. We prove small data global existence and modified scattering in a large class of initial data.

Let $L$ denote the linear operator of (1):

$$ L := \partial_t - \partial_x^{-1}. $$

We note that

$$ \partial_x^{-1} f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(y) dy $$

holds provided that $f \in \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\partial_x^{-1} := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{1}{i\xi} \mathcal{F}$. To obtain pointwise estimates for the solutions, we use the vector field

$$ J := x - t \partial_x^{-2}, $$

which satisfies $J = e^{i\partial_x^{-1}} x e^{-i\partial_x^{-1}}$. This is a powerful tool for studying the large time existence of nonlinear evolution equations (see [5–7,11,14] and references therein). Factorizing the symbol $x + \frac{t}{\xi^2}$ of $J$, we define

$$ J_\pm := \sqrt{|x|} \mp i\sqrt{t} \partial_x^{-1}. $$

Here $J_\pm$ is hyperbolic on positive frequencies and elliptic on negative frequencies. These operators are useful in our analysis.

The Eq. (1) is invariant under the scaling transformation

$$ u(t, x) \mapsto \lambda^{-1} u(\lambda^{-1} t, \lambda x) \quad (3) $$

for any $\lambda > 0$. The generator of the scaling transformation is given by

$$ S := -t \partial_t + x \partial_x - 1, $$

which is related to $L$ and $J$ as follows:

$$ S = -tL + J \partial_x - 1. $$
The free solution for (1) is written as follows:
\[ e^{i \partial_x^{-1} f(x)} = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{\frac{i}{\xi}} * f](x), \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{\frac{i}{\xi}}]\{x\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi - \frac{1}{\xi}} d\xi. \]

Because \( \partial_x x(\xi - \frac{t}{\xi}) = x + \frac{t}{\xi^2} \) becomes zero if and only if \( \xi = \pm \sqrt{\frac{t}{|x|}} \) and \( x < 0 \), the stationary phase method implies that the free solution \( e^{i \partial_x^{-1} f(x)} \) decays rapidly when \( x > 0 \) and oscillates when \( x < 0 \). As the solution to (1) with small initial data behaves like the free solution, this observation shows that modified scattering occurs when \( x < 0 \).

To state our main result, we introduce the norm with respect to the spatial variable
\[ \|u(t)\|_{X^s} := (\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H^{-1}}^2 + \|J\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
for \( s \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( s > 4 \). Assume that the initial data \( u_0 \) at time 0 satisfies
\[ \|u_0\|_{X^s} \leq \varepsilon \ll 1. \]
Then, there exists a unique global solution \( u \) that satisfies the bound
\[ \|u(t)\|_{X^s} \lesssim \varepsilon(t)^C, \]
as well as the pointwise bound
\[ \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon(t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \] (4)

Furthermore, there exists a unique modified final state \( W \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_-) \) such that, for large \( t \geq 1 \),
\[ u(t, x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} 1_{\mathbb{R}_-}(x) R \left( W \left( \frac{x}{t} \right) \exp \left( -2i \sqrt{t} |x| + 3i \sqrt{t} |W \left( \frac{x}{t} \right)|^2 \log t \right) \right) \]
\[ + O \left( \varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} \right) \]
holds uniformly with respect to \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), where \( 0 < \kappa < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{5}{8} \alpha^*, \frac{s-2}{2(s+1)} \right\} \)
and \( \alpha^* := \min \left\{ \frac{2}{45}, \frac{2}{2s+1}, \frac{2(s-4)}{3(s+1)} \right\} \).

We note that our initial data space has the norm
\[ \|u_0\|_{X^s} \sim \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{H^{-1}} + \|x\partial_x u_0\|_{L^2}. \]
Accordingly, modified scattering holds for a larger class of initial data than shown by previous results. In particular, we do not need the regularity of \( x\partial_x u_0 \).

We do not focus here on the upper bound of \( \kappa \). The crucial point is that the decay of the remainder part is faster than \( t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \), which is the decay rate of the free solution. In fact, Stefanov et al. proved the dispersive estimate
\[ \|e^{i \partial_x^{-1}} u_0\|_{L^p} \lesssim t^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \|u_0\|_{W^{\frac{3}{4},\frac{3}{4}, p'}}. \]
for $2 < p < \infty$ (Theorem 3 in [17]). Setting $p = \infty$ formally, we expect the decay rate of the $L^\infty$ norm of the free solution to be $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Roughly speaking, we will show the bound

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \|J\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}$$

for $s > 4$ (see Proposition 3.2 below), which implies (4). Here, the assumption $s > 4$ is almost optimal from the viewpoint of the scaling invariance. Indeed, the fraction

$$\frac{t^\frac{1}{2} \|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty}}{\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \|J\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}}$$

is invariant under the scaling transformation (3).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we show the energy estimates and the existence of the local in time solution to (1). In Sect. 3, we prove a priori estimates, which give the pointwise bounds. In Sect. 4, we construct a wave packet and observe its properties. In Sect. 5, by combining the estimates proved in previous sections, we prove our main theorem. In “Appendix” section, we provide a remark on the paper by Hayashi and Naumkin [5].

Finally, in this section, we present the notations used throughout this paper. We denote the space of all smooth and compactly supported functions on $\mathbb{R}$ by $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We denote the space of all rapidly decaying functions on $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. We define the Fourier transform of $f$ by $\mathcal{F}[f]$ or $\hat{f}$. We use the inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with the norm $\|f\|_{H^s} := \|\langle \cdot \rangle^s \hat{f}\|_{L^2}$, where $\langle \xi \rangle := (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We also use the homogeneous Sobolev norm $\|f\|_{H^s} := \|\|\cdot\|_s \hat{f}\|_{L^2}$.

In estimates, we use $C$ to denote a positive constant that can change from line to line. If $C$ is absolute, or depends only on parameters that are considered fixed, we often use $X \lesssim Y$ in place of $X \leq CY$. We then use $X \ll Y$ to denote $X \leq C^{-1}Y$ and $X \sim Y$ to denote $C^{-1}Y \leq X \leq CY$. We write $X = Y + O(Z)$ when $|X - Y| \lesssim Z$.

Let $\delta > 0$ be a small constant, which is needed only to demonstrate Proposition 4.3. For concreteness, we take $\delta = \frac{1}{1000}$. Let $\sigma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function with $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $\sigma(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\xi| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } |\xi| \geq 2\delta. \end{cases}$ For any $R, R_1, R_2 > 0$ with $R_1 < R_2$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_R(\xi) &:= \sigma(\frac{\xi}{R}), \\
\sigma_{\leq R}(\xi) &:= \sigma(\frac{\xi}{R}), \\
\sigma_{> R}(\xi) &:= 1 - \sigma_{\leq R}(\xi), \\
\sigma_{< R}(\xi) &:= \sigma_{\leq R}(\xi) - \sigma(R), \\
\sigma_{\geq R}(\xi) &:= \sigma_{> R}(\xi) + \sigma(R), \\
\sigma_{R_1 \leq \leq R_2}(\xi) &:= \sigma_{R_2}(\xi) - \sigma_{R_1}(\xi), \\
\sigma_{R_1 \leq \leq R_2}(\xi) &:= \sigma_{R_2}(\xi) - \sigma_{R_1}(\xi).
\end{align*}
$$

For any $N, N_1, N_2 \in 2^{\delta z}$ with $N_1 < N_2$, we define

$$
P_N f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\sigma_{N} \hat{f}], \quad P_{N_1 \leq \leq N_2} f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\sigma_{N_1 \leq \leq N_2} \hat{f}].$$
We denote the characteristic function of an interval $I$ by $1_I$. For $N \in 2\delta \mathbb{Z}$, we define the Fourier multipliers with the symbols $1_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\pm \xi)$ and $\sigma_N(\xi)1_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\pm \xi)$ by $P^{\pm}$ and $P_N^{\pm}$, respectively.

2. Energy estimates

The results in this section were essentially proved in [17] (see also [6,16]). For completeness, we give an outline of this proof.

First, we recall the energy estimate proved by Stefanov et al. [17].

**Lemma 2.1.** (Lemma 1 in [17]) Let $u$ be a smooth solution of the equation

$$u_{tx} = u + F(t,x)u_{xx} + G(t,x)$$

for $t > 0$, where $F$ and $G$ are smooth functions. Then, for every $s > 1$, we have

$$\partial_t \|u(t)\|^2_{H^s} \lesssim \|\partial_x F(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \|u(t)\|^2_{H^s} + \|u(t)\|_{H^s} (\|G(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|F(t,\cdot)\|_{H^s}).$$

A simple calculation yields the following equations:

$$[L,J] = 0, \quad [L,S] = -L, \quad [S,\partial_x] = -\partial_x, \quad S(fg) = Sf \cdot g + fSg + fg.$$  

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $s > 1$. Let $u$ be a solution to (1) in a time interval $[0,T]$ satisfying

$$\|u_0\|_{X^s} \leq \varepsilon \ll 1$$

and assume that there exists a constant $D$ with $1 < D \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq D\varepsilon(t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

Then,

$$\|u(t)\|_{X^s} \leq 10\varepsilon(t)^{D_* \varepsilon},$$

where $D_* \lesssim D$.

**Proof.** Integration by parts yields

$$\partial_t \|u(t)\|^2_{L^2} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\partial_x^{-1}u + \partial_x(u^3))dx = 0.$$  

Similarly, we have

$$\partial_t \|u(t)\|_{H^1}^2 = 6 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x(u^2u_{xx} + 2uu_x^2)dx = 6 \int_{\mathbb{R}} uu_x^3dx 
\leq 6 \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty}.$$  

From the integral equation for (1), we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}} \leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}} + \int_0^t \|u(t')^3\|_{L^2}dt' 
\leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}} + \int_0^t \|u(t')\|^2_{L^\infty} \|u(t')\|_{L^2}dt'. \quad (5)$$
For higher order derivatives, we apply Lemma 2.1 with $F(t, x) = 3u(t, x)^2$ and $G(t, x) = 6u(t, x)u_x(t, x)^2$. Note that

$$
\|\partial_x F(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 6\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \|F(t, \cdot)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|u(t)\|_{H^s},
$$

$$
\|G(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \|u(t)\|^2_{L^\infty}\|u(t)\|_{H^s} + \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}.
$$

Thus, by combining the above estimates and Lemma 2.1 with Gronwall’s inequality, we obtain

$$
\left(\|u(t)\|^2_{H^s} + \|u(t)\|^2_{H^{s-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon(t)^{D_s \varepsilon}.
$$

From $S = -tL + J\partial_x - 1$ and

$$
\|Lu(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\partial_x(u^3)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq 3\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq 3D^2 \varepsilon^3 (t)^{-1},
$$

the estimate of $\|J\partial_x u\|_{L^2}$ is reduced that of $\|Su\|_{L^2}$. By

$$
\partial_x\|Su(t)\|^2_{L^2} = 2 \int_R Su \cdot L Sudx = 2 \int_R Su \cdot (S - 1)\partial_x(u^3)dx
$$

$$
= 2 \int_R Su \cdot \partial_x(S - 2)(u^3)dx = 6 \int_R Su \cdot \partial_x(u^2 Su)dx
$$

$$
= 6 \int_R u\partial_x u(Su)^2 dx \leq 6\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|Su(t)\|^2_{L^2}, \quad (6)
$$

Gronwall’s inequality yields

$$
\|Su(t)\|_{L^2} \leq 2\varepsilon(t)^{D_s \varepsilon},
$$

which concludes the proof.

By combining the local existence in [17] with (5) and (6), we obtain the following.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let $s > \frac{3}{2}$ and $u_0 \in X^s$. Then, there exists an existence time $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^s})$ and a unique solution $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ to (1) satisfying

$$
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{X^s} \leq 10\|u_0\|_{X^s}.
$$

### 3. Pointwise decay estimates

We decompose $u$ into positive and negative frequencies:

$$
u = u^+ + u^- , \quad u^\pm := P^\pm u.
$$

Because $u$ is real valued, $u^+ = \overline{u^-}$ and $u = 2\Re u^+$. Moreover,

$$
\|u^+(t)\|_{X^s} = \|u^-(t)\|_{X^s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u(t)\|_{X^s}.
$$

We write $u_N := P_N u$ and $u^+_N := P^+_N u$. From $J\partial_x u_N = P_N(J\partial_x u) - \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\sigma_N)\xi \tilde{u}]$, we have

$$
\|u(t)\|_{X^s} \sim \left( \sum_{N \in 2^{2d}} \|u_N(t)\|_{X^s}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
For $t \geq 1$, we further decompose $u^+$ into its hyperbolic and elliptic parts
\[
u^\text{hyp,+} = \sum_{N \leq t} \nu^\text{hyp,+}_N, \quad \nu^\text{ell,+} = u^+ - \nu^\text{hyp,+},
\]
where, for $N \leq t$, we define
\[
u^\text{hyp,+}_N := \sigma^\text{hyp}_N u^+_N, \quad \nu^\text{ell,+}_N := u^+_N - \nu^\text{hyp,+}_N.
\]
Here, $\sigma^\text{hyp}_N(t, x) := \sigma^\text{hyp}_N t \leq x \leq \frac{t}{N^2}$, the number of scaled dyadic numbers $2^{\delta Z}$ satisfying $\frac{1}{3^Z} t \leq |x| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{\delta Z} t$ is less than $\frac{5}{3}$. Hence, $u^\text{hyp,+}(t, x)$ is a finite sum of $u^\text{hyp,+}_N(t, x)$.

The functions $\nu^\text{hyp,+}_N$ and $\nu^\text{ell,+}_N$ are frequency localized near $N$ in the following sense.

**Lemma 3.1.** For $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \geq 0$ and $a + c \geq 0$, and any $R > 0$, we have
\[
\| (1 - P^+_{\frac{2^k}{2^Z}} \leq 2^k N) |\partial_x|^a \| |x|^b \sigma R P^+_{N^2} f \| \|_{L^p} \lesssim_{a, b, c} N^{-c + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} R^{-a + b - c}} \| P^+_{N^2} f \|_{L^2}.
\]
Moreover, we may replace $\sigma_R$ on the left hand side by $\sigma_{> R}$ if $a + c > b + 1$ and $\sigma_{< R}$ if $a + c \geq 0$ and $b = 0$.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show the case $p = 2$, because the general case follows from the Gagliarido–Nirenberg inequality $\|f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_x f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the interpolation $L^p(\mathbb{R}) = (L^2(\mathbb{R}), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))[1 - \frac{1}{p}]$. We write
\[
\mathcal{F}[|x|^b \sigma_R P^+_{N^2} f](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi - \eta|^{- (a + c)} \mathcal{F}[|\partial_x|^{a + c} (|x|^b \sigma_R)](\xi - \eta) \widehat{P^+_{N^2} f}(\eta) d\eta,
\]
\[
|\xi|^b \sigma_R(x) = R^b (|x| \cdot |\sigma_1|) \left(\frac{x}{R}\right).
\]
Because $|\xi| \leq |\eta| + |\xi - \eta|$ and $|\xi - \eta| \geq 2^{-2\delta} (2^\delta - 1) N$ if $\xi \notin \left[\frac{N}{2^{2\delta}}, 2^{2\delta} N\right]$ and $\eta \in \text{supp} P^+_{N^2} f$, Young’s inequality yields
\[
\| (1 - P^+_{\frac{2^k}{2^Z}} \leq 2^k N) |\partial_x|^a \| |x|^b \sigma R P^+_{N^2} f \| \|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{-c} R^{-a + b - c} \|\mathcal{F}[|\partial_x|^{a + c} (|x|^b \sigma_1)]\|_{L^1} \| P^+_{N^2} f \|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{-c} R^{-a + b - c} \| P^+_{N^2} f \|_{L^2}.
\]
The same calculation is valid when we replace $\sigma_R$ with $\sigma_{< R}$ if $a + c \geq 0$ and $b = 0$. Because integration by parts implies $|\mathcal{F}[|\cdot|^b \sigma_{> 1}](\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k > b + 1$, we have
\[
\|\mathcal{F}[|\partial_x|^{a + c} (|x|^b \sigma_{> 1})]\|_{L^1} = \| |\xi|^{a + c} \mathcal{F}[|\cdot|^b \sigma_{> 1}](\xi)\|_{L^1} \lesssim 1
\]
provided that $a + c > b + 1$. Hence, we can replace $\sigma_R$ on the left hand side by $\sigma_{> R}$ if $a + c > b + 1$. \(\Box\)

The next proposition plays crucial role in our analysis.
Proposition 3.2. For $s > \frac{3}{2}$ and $0 < t < 1$, we have

$$|u(t, x)|, |u_x(t, x)| \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{X^s}.$$ 

For $s > \frac{5}{2}$ and $t \geq 1$, we have

$$|u^{\text{hyp.}}+(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \min \left\{ \left( \frac{|x|}{t} \right)^{\frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{2}}, \left( \frac{|x|}{t} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right\} \|u(t)\|_{X^s},$$

$$|u^{\text{hyp.}}_x(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \min \left\{ \left( \frac{|x|}{t} \right)^{\frac{4}{3} - 1}, \left( \frac{|x|}{t} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right\} \|u(t)\|_{X^s},$$

and

$$|u^{\text{ell.}}+(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{2s}{2s+3}} (1 + \log t) \|u(t)\|_{X^s},$$

$$|u^{\text{ell.}}_x(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{2s}{2s+3}} (1 + \log t) \|u(t)\|_{X^s}.$$

Proof. For $0 < t < 1$ and $s > \frac{3}{2}$, Sobolev’s inequality yields

$$|u(t, x)| + |u_x(t, x)| \leq \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x(t, x)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{H^s}.$$ 

Next, we consider the case of $t \geq 1$. This is the main focus of our work.

Lemma 3.3. For $t \geq 1$ and $N \leq t$, we have

$$\|J_+ \partial_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} N(\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} + \|J \partial_x u_N(t)\|_{L^2}),$$

$$\left\| \left\{ \frac{N^2 x}{t} \right\} u^{\text{ell.}}_N(t) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-1} N(\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} + \|J \partial_x u_N(t)\|_{L^2}).$$

Proof. For the hyperbolic estimate, we use the equation

$$\left\| \sqrt{\frac{|x|}{t}} \partial_x f \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2}^2 = t^{-1} \|J_- \partial_x f\|_{L^2}^2 + 23 \int_R \sqrt{\frac{|x|}{t}} f(x) \partial_x \overline{f}(x) dx. \quad (7)$$

We apply this to $f = J_+ \partial_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}}$. A direct calculation yields

$$J_- \partial_x f = J_- \partial_x J_+ \partial_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}} = -J \partial^2_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}}.$$ 

Because

$$J \partial^2_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}} = \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} P_{\frac{2s}{2s+3} \leq \leq 2s} (J \partial^2_x u_N) + \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[-i(\sigma_{\frac{2s}{2s+3} \leq \leq 2s})^2 \hat{u}_N]$$

$$+ 2 \partial_x (x \partial_x \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} u_N^+) - (2 \partial_x \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} + x \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} u_N^+),$$

Lemma 3.1 implies the following:

$$\|J \partial^2_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim N(\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} + \|J \partial_x u_N(t)\|_{L^2}) + \|(1 - P_{\frac{2s}{2s+3} \leq \leq 2s}) \partial_x (x \partial_x \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} u_N^+(t))\|_{L^2}$$

$$+ \|(1 - P_{\frac{2s}{2s+3} \leq \leq 2s}) \partial_x \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} u_N(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim N(\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} + \|J \partial_x u_N(t)\|_{L^2}). \quad (8)$$

From $\partial_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}} = \partial_x \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} u_N + \sigma_N^{\text{hyp}} \partial_x u_N^+$, we have

$$\|\partial_x u_N^{\text{hyp.}}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-1} N^2 \|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} + N \|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} \sim N \|u_N(t)\|_{L^2}.$$
This yields
\[
\|J_+ \partial_x J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim N(\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} + \|J \partial_x u_N(t)\|_{L^2}).
\]
The second expression on the right hand side of (7) becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F} \int_\mathbb{R} \sqrt{\frac{|x|}{t}} J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+}(t, x) \partial_x J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+}(t, x) dx \\
= t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F} \int_\mathbb{R} |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+}(t, x) \partial_x \left\{|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+}\right\}(t, x) dx \\
= -t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R} \int_\mathbb{R} \xi |\mathcal{F}[|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+}](t, \xi)|^2 d\xi.
\end{align*}
\]
From \(|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+} = \partial_x (|x|^{\frac{3}{2}} u_N^{hyp,+}) + \frac{3}{4} |x|^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_N^{hyp,+} - it^{\frac{1}{2}} |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} u_N^{hyp,+}\) and Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\|1 - P_{\frac{2}{2N}} \leq \leq 2^{\delta} N\right\| \partial_x |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} N\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2}.
\]
Taking \(f = J_+ \partial_x u_N^{hyp,+}\) in (7), we obtain the desired hyperbolic bound.

For the elliptic bound, we decompose \(u_N^{ell,+} = \sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+} + \sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+} + \sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+}\). We observe that the equation
\[
\left\| \frac{x}{t} f_{xx} \right\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} = t^{-2} \|J^2 f\|_{L^2} - 2 \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{x}{t} |\partial_x f(x)|^2 dx
\]
holds.

From \((a + b)^2 \leq (1 + \delta)a^2 + (1 + \delta^{-1})b^2\) and Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\left| \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{x}{t} |\partial_x (\sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+})(t, x)|^2 dx \right|
\leq \frac{2\delta}{3} \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{x}{t} |\partial_x (\sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+})(t)|^2 dx \\
\leq \frac{(1 + \delta)2\delta}{3} \left\| P_{\frac{2}{2N}} \leq \leq 2^{\delta} N \partial_x \left( \frac{x}{t} \partial_x (\sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+}) \right)(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
+ Ct^{-2} N^2 \left\| (1 - P_{\frac{2}{2N}} \leq \leq 2^{\delta} N) \left( x \partial_x (\sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+}) \right)(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\leq \frac{(1 + \delta)2\delta}{3} \left\| \frac{x}{t} \partial_x^2 (\sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+}) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + Ct^{-2} N^2 \|u_N(t)\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{align*}
\]
Because \(\text{supp} \partial_x \sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} N^2 \subset \{|x| \sim tN^{-2}\}\), the calculation used in (8) and Lemma 3.1 yields
\[
\|J^2 (\sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+})(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim N(\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} + \|J \partial_x u_N(t)\|_{L^2}).
\]
Taking \(f = \sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+}\) in (9), and by \(2(1 + \delta)2\delta/3 < 1\), we have
\[
\left\| \frac{x}{t} \partial_x^2 (\sigma \leq 3 \frac{1}{2} u_N^{ell,+})(t) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-1} N(\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} + \|J \partial_x u_N(t)\|_{L^2}).
\]
Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we have
\[ N^2 \left\| \frac{x}{t} \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} \mathcal{U}_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| P_{\frac{N^2}{2t^2}} \leq \leq \frac{2^4 N \partial_x^2 \left( \frac{x}{t} \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t) \right\|_{L^2} \\
+ t^{-1} N^2 \left\| \left(1 - P_{\frac{N^2}{2t^2}} \leq \leq \frac{2^4 N} \right) \left( x \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \frac{x}{t} \partial_x^2 \left( \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t) \right\|_{L^2} + t^{-1} N \| u_N(t) \|_{L^2} \leq t^{-1} N (\| u_N(t) \|_{L^2} + \| J \partial_x u_N(t) \|_{L^2}) \].

From \((a + b)^2 \leq (1 + \delta)a^2 + (1 + \delta^{-1})b^2\) and Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{t} |\partial_x \left( \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t, x)|^2 dx \right| \leq \frac{2^\delta}{3 N^2} \left\| \partial_x \left( \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\leq \frac{(1 + \delta)2^{3\delta}}{3} \left\| P_{\frac{N^2}{2t^2}} \leq \leq \frac{2^4 N} \right) \left( \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
+ C \frac{1}{N^2} \left\| \left(1 - P_{\frac{N^2}{2t^2}} \leq \leq \frac{2^4 N} \right) \partial_x \left( \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{(1 + \delta)2^{3\delta}}{3} \left\| \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+}(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + Ct^{-2} N^2 \| u_N(t) \|_{L^2}^2.
\]

From the calculation used in (8), we have
\[ \| J \partial^2 x \left( \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim N(\| u_N(t) \|_{L^2} + \| J \partial_x u_N(t) \|_{L^2}). \]

Taking \( f = \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \) in (9), and by \( 2{(1 + \delta)2^{3\delta}} \frac{3}{3} < 1 \), we have
\[ \| \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+}(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-1} N(\| u_N(t) \|_{L^2} + \| J \partial_x u_N(t) \|_{L^2}). \]

Because
\[- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{t} |\sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)|^2 dx < 0, \]
and applying the calculation used in (8) yields
\[ \| J \partial^2 x \left( \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} < 3 \frac{3}{N^2} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \right)(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim N(\| u_N(t) \|_{L^2} + \| J \partial_x u_N(t) \|_{L^2}), \]

taking \( f = \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} u_N^{\text{ell},+} \) in (9) gives
\[ \| \sigma_{\frac{3}{N^2}} < 3 \frac{3}{N^2} u_N^{\text{ell},+}(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-1} N(\| u_N(t) \|_{L^2} + \| J \partial_x u_N(t) \|_{L^2}). \]

Set \( \phi(t, x) := -2 \sqrt{t|x|} \). The Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality
\[ \| f \|_{L^2} \leq \| f \|_{L^2} \| \partial_x f \|_{L^2} \]
with $f = e^{-i\phi}u_N^{\text{hyp} +}$, $\partial_x(e^{-i\phi}u_N^{\text{hyp} +}) = e^{-i\phi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}}J_+\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}$, and Lemma 3.3 imply
\[
|u_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t, x)| \lesssim \|u_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t)\|_{L^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}}|J_+\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t)|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\sim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^\frac{1}{2}\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} \|J_+\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t)\|_{L^2}^\frac{1}{2} \\
\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2}^\frac{3}{2} \|u_N(t)\|_{L^2}^\frac{1}{2} + \|J_\partial_xu_N(t)\|_{L^2}^\frac{1}{2} \\
\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\min(N^{-\frac{1}{2}+1}, N^\frac{3}{2})\|u(t)\|_{X^0}.
\]

By
\[
J_+\partial^2_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t, x) = \partial_xJ_+\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{|x|}}\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t, x),
\]

Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 imply
\[
\|J_+\partial^2_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|P_{\frac{N}{2^s} \leq N \leq 2^sN}\partial_xJ_+\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t)\|_{L^2} + \|1 - P_{\frac{N}{2^s} \leq N \leq 2^sN}\partial_xJ_+\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t)\|_{L^2} \\
+ t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^2\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim N\|J_+\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t)\|_{L^2} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^2\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} \\
\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^2\|u_N(t)\|_{X^0}.
\]

Hence, we have
\[
|\partial_xu_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^2\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2}^\frac{3}{2}\|u(t)\|_{X^0}^\frac{1}{2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\min(N^{-\frac{1}{2}+2}, N^\frac{3}{2})\|u(t)\|_{X^0}.
\]

Because $u_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t, x)$ is a finite sum of $u_N^{\text{hyp} +}(t, x)$, we obtain the desired hyperbolic bounds.

Next, we show the elliptic bounds. We note that $u^{\text{ell} +} = \sum_{N \leq t} P_Nu_N^{\text{ell} +} + P_{>t}u^+$, where $P_{>t}u^+ := \sum_{N \geq 2^2N} P_Nu^+$ For the high frequency part, Sobolev’s inequality yields
\[
|P_{>t}u^+(t, x)| \lesssim \sum_{N \geq 2^2N} |u_N^+(N)|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{N \geq 2^2N} N^\frac{1}{2} \|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{2^s-1}{2}}\|u(t)\|_{H^s},
\]
\[
|P_{>t}u^+_x(t, x)| \lesssim \sum_{N \geq 2^2N} N \|u_N^+(N)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{N \geq 2^2N} N^\frac{3}{2} \|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{2^s-3}{2}}\|u(t)\|_{H^s}.
\]

For $|x| \leq \frac{t}{N^2}$, the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 yield
\[ |u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| = |\sigma \leq \frac{t}{N^2} u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| \leq |P_{\frac{t}{N^2}} \leq 2^{s} N \sigma \leq \frac{t}{N^2} u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| \leq (1 - P_{\frac{t}{N^2}} \leq 2^{s} N) \sigma \leq \frac{t}{N^2} u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sigma \leq \frac{t}{N^2} u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t)|_{L^2} + t^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_{N}\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-\theta} \|\partial_x \left[ \frac{1+2\theta}{1-2\theta} u_{N}(t) \right]_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|u_{N}(t)\|_{X^0}^{\theta} + t^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_{N}\|_{L^2}, \]

where \(0 < \theta < 1\). For \(|x| \geq \frac{t}{N^2}\), there exists \(M \in 2^{N\cup\{0\}}\) such that \(u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x) = \sigma \frac{1}{N^2} M(x) u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)\). The calculation used above leads

\[ |u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-\theta} \left( \frac{N^2 x}{t} \right)^{-\theta} \|\partial_x \left[ \frac{1+2\theta}{1-2\theta} u_{N}(t) \right]_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|u_{N}(t)\|_{X^0}^{\theta} + t^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_{N}\|_{L^2}. \]

Because

\[
\sum_{\frac{N}{2^{\delta}} \leq N \leq t} \left( \frac{N^2 x}{t} \right)^{-\theta} \min\left( N^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta}, 1 \right) \leq \sum_{\frac{N}{2^{\delta}} \leq N \leq 1} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta} + \sum_{\frac{N}{2^{\delta}} \leq N \leq t} \lesssim 1 + \log t
\]

by setting \(\theta = \frac{2s-1}{2s+2}\) and (10), we obtain

\[ |u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| \leq \sum_{\frac{N}{2^{\delta}} \leq N \leq t} |u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| + t^{-\frac{2s-1}{2s+1}} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim t^{-\frac{2s-1}{2s+2}} (1 + \log t) \|u(t)\|_{X^*}. \]

From

\[ \sigma \leq \frac{t}{N^2} (x) u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x) = \partial_x (\sigma \leq \frac{t}{N^2} u_{N}^{\text{ell},+})(t, x) - \frac{N^2}{t} \sigma' \left( \frac{N^2 x}{t} \right) u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x), \]

the calculation used for \(u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}\) yields, for \(0 < \theta < 1\),

\[ |\partial_x u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-\theta} \|\partial_x \left[ \frac{1+2\theta}{1-2\theta} u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t) \right]_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|u_{N}(t)\|_{X^0}^{\theta} + t^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_{N}\|_{L^2} \]

if \(|x| \leq \frac{t}{N^2}\) and

\[ |\partial_x u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-\theta} \left( \frac{N^2 x}{t} \right)^{-\theta} \|\partial_x \left[ \frac{1+2\theta}{1-2\theta} u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t) \right]_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|u_{N}(t)\|_{X^0}^{\theta} + t^{-1} N^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u_{N}\|_{L^2} \]

if \(|x| \geq \frac{t}{N^2}\). Hence, setting \(\theta = \frac{2s-3}{2s+2}\) and (11) give

\[ |u_{x}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| \lesssim \sum_{\frac{N}{2^{\delta}} \leq N \leq t} |\partial_x u_{N}^{\text{ell},+}(t, x)| + t^{-\frac{2s-3}{2s+1}} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim t^{-\frac{2s-3}{2s+2}} (1 + \log t) \|u(t)\|_{X^*}. \]

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.4.** For \(s \geq 0\) and \(t \geq 1\), we have

\[ \| \sqrt{|x|} J_{+} \partial_x u_{x}^{\text{hyp},+}(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{X^*}, \quad \| x J_{+} \partial_x u_{x}^{\text{hyp},+}(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{X^*}. \]
Proof. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 and the orthogonality,
\[
\|\sqrt{|x|}J_+ \partial_x u^{\text{hyp},+}_N \|_{L^2} \lesssim \left( \sum_{N \in 2^{\frac{5}{2}}} \|\sqrt{|x|}J_+ \partial_x u^{\text{hyp},+}_N(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
+ \sum_{N \in 2^{\frac{5}{2}}} \| (1 - P_{\frac{N}{2^5} \leq \cdot \leq 2^4 N}) \sqrt{|x|}J_+ \partial_x u^{\text{hyp},+}_N(t) \|_{L^2} 
\lesssim \left( \sum_{N \in 2^{\frac{5}{2}}} tN^{-2} \|J_+ \partial_x u^{\text{hyp},+}_N(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{N \in 2^{\frac{5}{2}}} t^{-1} \|u_N(t) \|_{L^2} 
\lesssim \|u(t)\|_{X^s}.
\]

Similarly, from
\[
\|xJ_+ \partial_x^2 u^{\text{hyp},+}_N \|_{L^2} \lesssim \left( \sum_{N \in 2^{\frac{5}{2}}} (tN^{-1} + 1)^2 \|J_+ \partial_x u^{\text{hyp},+}_N(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{N \in 2^{\frac{5}{2}}} \|u_N^{\text{hyp},+}(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
+ \sum_{N \in 2^{\frac{5}{2}}} \| (1 - P_{\frac{N}{2^5} \leq \cdot \leq 2^4 N}) |x|J_+ \partial_x^2 u^{\text{hyp},+}_N(t) \|_{L^2} 
\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}.
\]

\]

4. Wave packets

We consider the Hamiltonian flow corresponding to (1), which is given by
\[
(x, \xi) \mapsto (x - \frac{t}{\xi^2}, \xi).
\]
We expect solutions initially localized spatially near zero and in frequency near \(\pm \xi_v\), where \(\xi_v := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|v|}}\), to travel along the ray \(\Gamma_v := \{x = vt\}\) when \(v < 0\).

This produces a phase function
\[
\phi(t, x) := -2\sqrt{t|x|}
\]
associated with the linear propagator \(e^{it\partial_x^{-1}}\).

For \(v \in \mathbb{R}_-\), we define
\[
\Psi_v(t, x) := |v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right) e^{i\phi(t, x)},
\]
where \(\chi\) is a sooth function with \(\text{supp} \chi \subset [-1 + 2^{-\delta}, 1 - 2^{-\delta}]\) and \(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi dx = 1\).

The spatial support of \(\Psi_v\) is included in \([2^\delta vt, \frac{vt}{2^5}]\), provided that \(v \in \mathbb{R}_-\) and \(|v| \geq t^{-2}\).
Let
\[ \Omega_\alpha(t) := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}_- : t^{-\alpha} \leq -v \leq t^\alpha \} \]
for \( t \geq 1 \) and \( \alpha > 0 \). For \( v \in \Omega_2(t) \),
\[ \partial_t \Psi_v(t, x) = -\frac{x + vt}{2t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} - it^{-\frac{3}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{|x|} \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)}. \]

Integrating by parts three times gives
\[ \partial_x^{-1} \Psi_v(t, x) \]
\[ = -it^{-\frac{3}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{|x|} \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} - \frac{1}{2} t^{-1} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} \]
\[ + it^{-\frac{3}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} |x| \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} + it^{-\frac{3}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_x \left( |x| \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} \]
\[ - it^{-2} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_x^{-1} \left( \partial_x^2 \left| x \right| \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} \].

Hence, we have
\[ (L \Psi_v)(t, x) \]
\[ = t^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{4}} \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) + \frac{x - vt}{2t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right\} e^{i\phi(t,x)} \]
\[ - it^{-2} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_x \left( |x| \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} \]
\[ + it^{-2} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_x^{-1} \left( \partial_x^2 \left| x \right| \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} \]
\[ = t^{-1} (\partial_x \widetilde{\chi})(t, x) e^{i\phi(t,x)} + it^{-2} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_x^{-1} \left( \partial_x^2 \left| x \right| \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \right) e^{i\phi(t,x)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where
\[ \widetilde{\chi}(t, x) := \frac{x - vt}{2 |v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) - i \frac{|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}}{t |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right). \]

We show that \( \Psi_v(t, x) \) and the first part of \( L \Psi_v(t, x) \) are essentially frequency localized near \( \xi_v \). To state this more precisely, for \( v \in \Omega_2(t) \) we define by \( N_v \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \) the nearest scaled dyadic number to \( \xi_v \). Then, \( \frac{\xi_v}{2^\delta} < N_v < 2^\delta \xi_v \) holds.

**Lemma 4.1.** For \( t \geq 1 \) and \( v \in \Omega_2(t) \), we have
\[ \| (1 - P_{N_v}^+) \Psi_v(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim_c t^\frac{3}{4} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} (t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}})^{-c}, \]
\[ \| (1 - P_{N_v}^+) e^{i\phi(t)} (\partial_x \widetilde{\chi})(t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim_c t^\frac{3}{4} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} (t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{\frac{3}{2}})^{-c} \]
for any \( c \geq 0 \).
Proof. From Taylor’s theorem, we can write
\[ \phi(t, x) = \phi(t, vt) + \partial_x \phi(t, vt)(x - vt) + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2_x \phi(t, vt)(x - vt)^2 \]
\[ + \int_{vt}^{x} \frac{(x - y)^2}{2} \partial_x^2 \phi(t, y) dy \]
\[ = -2t\sqrt{|v|} + \frac{1}{|v|}(x - vt) + \frac{1}{4t|v|^2}(x - vt)^2 + R \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2}}, t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2} \right), \]
where
\[ R(x, a) := \frac{3x^3}{8a} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - \theta)^2}{(\theta \frac{a}{|v|} + 1)^\frac{5}{2}} d\theta. \]

We note that \( R(x, a) \) is well-defined provided that \( \max(x, 0) < a \). Changing the variable \( y = \frac{x-vt}{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2}} \), we have
\[ F[\Psi_v](t, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}}} \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^{ix(\xi - \xi_v)} dx \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(-2t\sqrt{|v|} + t|v|\xi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(y |v|^\frac{3}{2} \xi)} \chi(y) e^{\frac{1}{2}y^2 + iR(y, t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2})} dy \]
\[ = t^{\frac{3}{2}} \chi_1 \left( \frac{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{3}{2}}{t} (\xi - \xi_v), t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2} \right), \]
where
\[ \chi_1(\xi, a) := e^{i(a\xi - a^2)} F[e^{\frac{1}{4}x^2 + iR(x, a)} \chi](\xi). \]

By definition, \( \chi_1(\cdot, a) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \) for \( a \geq 1 \). From
\[ \| (\cdot - \xi_v) c t^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_1 \left( \frac{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{3}{2}(\cdot - \xi_v), t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2} \right) \|_{L^2_\xi} \leq c \frac{1}{t^\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{3}{2}}{t} \right)^{-c} \| \chi \|_{L^2_\xi} \]
and \( |\xi - \xi_v| \geq (1 - 2^{-\delta}) \xi_v \), provided that \( \xi \notin \left[ \frac{N_v}{2\pi}, 2^{2\delta} N_v \right] \), we obtain the \( L^2 \) bound.

Next, we focus on the estimate for \( \partial_x \tilde{\chi} \). Setting
\[ \tilde{\chi}_0(x, a) := \frac{x}{2} \chi(x) - i a^{-\frac{3}{2}} |x - a|^\frac{3}{2} \chi'(x), \]
we can write
\[ \tilde{\chi}(t, x) = t^{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{\chi}_0 \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2}}, t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2} \right). \]

Here, \( \tilde{\chi}_0(\cdot, a) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \) for \( a \geq 1 \). The calculation used for \( F[\Psi_v] \) yields
\[ F[e^{i\phi} \partial_x \tilde{\chi}](t, \xi) = t^{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{\chi}_1 \left( \frac{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{3}{2}}{t} (\xi - \xi_v), t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^\frac{1}{2} \right), \]
where
\[ \tilde{\chi}_1(\xi, a) := e^{i(a\xi - a^2)} F[e^{\frac{1}{4}x^2 + iR(x, a)} \partial_x \tilde{\chi}_0(x, a)](\xi). \]

This gives the desired bound, as above. \qed
For $v \in \mathbb{R}_-$, we define
\[
\gamma(t, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t, x) \overline{\Psi_v}(t, x) dx.
\]

Because $u_{\text{hyp}^+, \ell}$ and $u_{\text{ell}^+, \ell}$ are essentially frequency localized away from $\xi_v$, we can replace $u$ on the right hand side with $u_{\text{hyp}^+}$. Indeed, by applying Hölder’s inequality, Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1, and Proposition 3.2, we obtain

\[
\left| \gamma(t, v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\text{hyp}^+}(t, x) \overline{\Psi_v}(t, x) dx \right| \\
\leq \| u(t) \|_{L^2} \|(1 - P_{N_v}^+) \Psi_v(t) \|_{L^2} + 2 \| u_{\text{ell}^+}(t) \|_{L^{\infty}} \| \Psi_v(t) \|_{L^1} \\
+ \| P_{N_v}^+ \|_{\leq 2^s N_v} \| u_{\text{hyp}^+}(t) \|_{L^2} \| \Psi_v(t) \|_{L^1} \\
\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| v \|^{-\frac{3}{8}} \| u(t) \|_{L^2} + t^{-\frac{2s-1}{2s+3} + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \log t) \| u(t) \|_{X^s} \\
+ t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^\frac{3}{2} \| u_N(t) \|_{L^2} \\
\lesssim \left( t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{5}{8}} + t^{-\frac{2s-1}{2s+3} + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \log t) \right) \| u(t) \|_{X^s},
\]

provided that $s > \frac{5}{2}$, $t \geq 1$, and $v \in \Omega_2(t)$.

**Proposition 4.2.** For $t \geq 1$,
\[
\| \gamma(t) \|_{L^\infty_{\Omega_2}(\mathbb{R}_-)} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}} \| u(t) \|_{L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}_-}}.
\]

Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{2s+1}$. For $t \geq 1$ and $v \in \Omega_\alpha(t)$, we have the bounds
\[
|u(t, vt) - 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Re \{ e^{i\phi(t, vt)} \gamma(t, v) \}| \lesssim \left\{ t^{-\frac{3}{4}} |v|^{-\frac{5}{8}} + t^{-\frac{2s-1}{2s+3} + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \log t) \right\} \| u(t) \|_{X^s},
\]
\[
|u_x(t, vt) - 2t^{-\frac{3}{4}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \Re \{ ie^{i\phi(t, vt)} \gamma(t, v) \}| \\
\lesssim \left\{ t^{-\frac{3}{4}} |v|^{-\frac{5}{8}} + t^{-\frac{2s-3}{2s+3} + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \log t) \right\} \| u(t) \|_{X^s}.
\]

**Proof.** By direct calculation,
\[
\| \gamma(t) \|_{L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}_-}} \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}} \| u(t) \|_{L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}_-}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| \chi(x) \| dx \sim t^{\frac{1}{2}} \| u(t) \|_{L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}_-}}.
\]

We set $u_{\text{hyp}^+}(t, x) := e^{-i\phi(t, x)} u_{\text{hyp}^+}(t, x)$. As $u = 2\Re u^+$, from (13) and Proposition 3.2, we have
\[
u(t, vt) - 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Re \{ e^{i\phi(t, vt)} \gamma(t, v) \} \\
= 2 \Re \left\{ e^{i\phi(t, vt)} \left( w_{\text{hyp}^+}(t, vt) - t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_{\text{hyp}^+}(t, x) \chi \left( \frac{x-vt}{t^{\frac{1}{4}} |v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) dx \right) \right\} \\
+ O \left( \left( t^{-\frac{3}{4}} |v|^{-\frac{5}{8}} + t^{-\frac{2s-1}{2s+3} + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \log t) \right) \| u(t) \|_{X^s} \right).
\]
Here by changing the variable \( z = \frac{x - vt}{t} \),
\[
\left| w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, vt) - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, x) \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) dx \right|
\]
\[
= t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, vt) - w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, x) \right\} \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) dx
\]
\[
= t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, vt) - w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, t(z + v)) \right\} \chi(t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}}z)dz.
\]

From \( |z| \leq (1 - 2^{-\delta})t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}, v \in \Omega_2(t) \), and Corollary 3.4,
\[
\left| w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, vt) - w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, t(z + v)) \right|
\]
\[
= |tz| \left| \int_{0}^{1} \partial_x w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, vt + (1 - \theta)tz)d\theta \right|
\]
\[
\lesssim |tz|^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_x w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t) \|_{L^2([t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}, 2^{\delta}t|v|])}
\]
\[
\sim |z|^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-1} \left\| \sqrt{|x|} \partial_x u^{\text{hyp.}+}(t) \right\|_{L^2([t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}, 2^{\delta}t|v|])}
\]
\[
\lesssim |z|^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-1} \| u(t) \|_{X^s}.
\] (14)

Therefore, we obtain
\[
\left| w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, vt) - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, x) \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) dx \right|
\]
\[
\lesssim |v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |z|^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi(t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}}z) |dz| \| u(t) \|_{X^s}
\]
\[
\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4}}|v|^{-\frac{5}{8}} \| u(t) \|_{X^s}.
\]

Next, we show the approximation estimate of \( u_x \). By applying integration by parts and Proposition 3.2, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, x) \overline{\Psi_v(t, x)} dx
\]
\[
= -it^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{|x|} u_x^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, x) \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) e^{-i\phi(t,x)} dx
\]
\[
- it^{-1}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{|x|} u^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, x) \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) e^{-i\phi(t,x)} dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2}it^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} u^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, x) \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) e^{-i\phi(t,x)} dx
\]
\[
= -i|v|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{\text{hyp.}+}(t, x) \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) e^{-i\phi(t,x)} dx
\]
\[
+ O \left( t^{-\frac{5}{4}}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \min(|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}-1, |v|^{-\frac{3}{4}}) \| u(t) \|_{X^s} \right).
\]
Hence, from (13), $0 < \alpha < \min\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{2s+1}\right)$, and Proposition 3.2, we can write

$$u_x(t, vt) - 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Re\{ie^{i\phi(t, vt)}\gamma(t, v)\}$$

$$= 2\Re\left\{u_x^{\text{hyp,+}}(t, vt) - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{i\phi(t, vt)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{\text{hyp,+}}(t, x) \chi \left(\frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) e^{-i\phi(t, x)} dx\right\}$$

$$+ O\left((t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} + t^{-\frac{2s-2}{2s+1}}(1 + \log t)) \|u(t)\|_{X^s}\right).$$

For $|z| \leq (1 - 2^{-\delta})t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{\frac{3}{2}}$, $v \in \Omega_2(t)$, and by Corollary 3.4,

$$\|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|J_+ \partial_x u_x^{\text{hyp,+}}(t)\|_{L^2([\frac{t|v|}{2^s}, \frac{2s|v|}{2^s}t|v|])} \sim (t|v|)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|x|J_+ \partial_x u_x^{\text{hyp,+}}(t)\|_{L^2([\frac{t|v|}{2^s}, \frac{2s|v|}{2^s}t|v|])}$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}.$$ 

By the argument given above, we obtain the desired bound. \hfill \square

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $s > 4$ and $0 < \alpha < \min\left\{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{2s+1}, \frac{2(s-4)}{3(s+1)}\right\}$. If $u$ solves (1), then, for $t \geq 1$ and $v \in \Omega_\alpha(t)$, we have

$$\dot{\gamma}(t, v) = 3it^{-1}|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|\gamma(t, v)|^2\gamma(t, v) + O\left(t^{-\frac{2}{3}}(\|u(t)\|_{X^s} + \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^3)\right).$$

**Proof.** By (12),

$$\dot{\gamma}(t, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lu \cdot \overline{\psi}_v + uL\overline{\psi}_v)(t, x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x(u^3)(t, x)\overline{\psi}_v(t, x) dx + t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\phi(t, x)}u(t, x)\partial_x\overline{\chi}(t, x) dx$$

$$+ it^{-2}|v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\phi(t, x)}\partial_x^{-1}u(t, x)\partial_x^2\left\{|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}\chi'(\frac{x - vt}{t^\frac{1}{2}|v|^{\frac{1}{2}}})\right\} dx.$$ 

The calculation used in (13) yields

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\phi(t, x)}u(t, x)\partial_x\overline{\chi}(t, x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\phi(t, x)}u_x^{\text{hyp,+}}(t, x)\partial_x\overline{\chi}(t, x) dx\right|$$

$$\lesssim \|u_N(t)\|_{L^2} \|(1 - P^{+}_{\frac{2s}{2s+1}} \leq 2^s N_v)e^{i\phi}\partial_x \overline{\chi}\|_{L^2} + \|u^{\text{ell,+}}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x \overline{\chi}(t)\|_{L^1}$$

$$+ \|P^{+}_{\frac{2s}{2s+1}} \leq 2^s N_v u_x^{\text{hyp,+}}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x \overline{\chi}(t)\|_{L^1}$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} + t^{-\frac{2s-1}{2s+1} + \frac{1}{2}}(1 + \log t) \|u(t)\|_{X^s}$$

$$+ t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{N \in 2^s \mathbb{N}} N^\frac{1}{2}\|u_N(t)\|_{L^2}$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}$$

provided that $s > 4$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{5}$. From Corollary 3.4,

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x(e^{-i\phi(t, x)} u_x^{\text{hyp,+}}(t, x))\overline{\chi}(t, x) dx\right| \lesssim t^{-1}|v|^{-1} \sqrt{|x|}J_+ \partial_x u_x^{\text{hyp,+}}(t)\|L^2\|\overline{\chi}(t)\|L^2$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|^{-\frac{5}{8}} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}.$$
From
\[
\partial_x^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{t^2} \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right\} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \right) - 3 \frac{\sqrt{|x|}}{t^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \chi'' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{|x|^\frac{3}{2}}{t |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \chi''' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \right)
\]
we have
\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i \phi(t,x)} \partial_x^{-1} u(t,x) \partial_x^2 \left\{ |x|^\frac{3}{2} \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right\} \, dx \right|
\leq \| \partial_x^{-1} u(t) \|_{L^2} \| \partial_x^2 \left\{ |x|^\frac{3}{2} \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right\} \|_{L^2} \leq t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^\frac{3}{2} \| u(t) \|_{X^s}.
\]
This yields
\[
\dot{\gamma}(t,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (u^3)(t,x) \Psi_v(t,x) \, dx + O(t^{-\frac{6}{5}} \| u(t) \|_{X^s})
\]
as \( s > 4 \) and \( 0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{45} \).

Because
\[
\partial_x \Psi_v(t,x) = t^{-\frac{3}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{i \phi(t,x)} \chi' \left( \frac{x - vt}{t^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \right) + i \sqrt{\frac{t}{|x|}} \Psi_v(t,x)
\]
and Proposition 3.2 and \( 0 < \alpha < \min \left\{ \frac{2}{s+1}, \frac{2(s-2)}{3(s+1)} \right\} \) yield
\[
|u(t,vt)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \min\left( |v|^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}, |v|^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \| u(t) \|_{X^s},
\]
setting \( u^{hyp} = u^{hyp,+} + u^{hyp,-} \), we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (u^3)(t,x) \Psi_v(t,x) \, dx
\]
\[
= i |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^3(t,x) \Psi_v(t,x) \, dx + O \left( t^{-\frac{6}{5}} \| u(t) \|_{X^s}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)
\]
\[
= i |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u^{hyp})^3(t,x) \Psi_v(t,x) \, dx + O \left( t^{-\frac{6}{5}} \| u(t) \|_{X^s}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)
\]
provided that \( s > 4 \) and \( 0 < \alpha < \min \left\{ \frac{2}{s+1}, \frac{2(s-2)}{3(s+1)} \right\} \). Here, we observe that for
\[
\frac{|v|}{2^\delta} \leq \frac{|x|}{t} \leq 2^\delta |v|,
\]
\[
u^{hyp,+} = \sum_{N \in 2^{2^\delta} N_v} u^{hyp,+}_N
\]
\[
= P^+_N u^{hyp,+} \leq \sum_{N \in 2^{2^\delta} N_v} (1 - P^+_N) u^{hyp,+}_N.
\]
If the frequency support of
\[
(u^{hyp})^3 - 3 |u^{hyp,+}|^2 u^{hyp,+} = (u^{hyp,+})^3 + 3 |u^{hyp,+}|^2 u^{hyp,+} + u^{hyp,+}^3,
\]
From Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.2, the existence of a global solution to (1) is contained in $\left[\frac{N_e}{2\alpha}, 2^{2\delta} N_v\right]$, then at least one of $u^{\text{hyp}.+}$ on the right hand side is $(1 - P_{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}^{+} \leq 2^\delta N) u_N^{\text{hyp}.+}$. Accordingly, for $s > 4$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{15}$, Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1 and Proposition 3.2 imply

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u^{\text{hyp}.})^3(t, x) \bar{\Psi}_v(t, x) dx - 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u^{\text{hyp}.+}|^2 u^{\text{hyp}.+})(t, x) \bar{\Psi}_v(t, x) dx \right|$$

$$\lesssim t^{-1} \min(|v|^{-\frac{3}{2}}, |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \left( \|u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t)\|_{L^2} \|1 - P_{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}^{+} \leq 2^\delta N\| \Psi_v(t)\|_{L^2} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{N \in 2^{\delta} \mathbb{N}} \|1 - P_{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}^{+} \leq 2^\delta N\| u_N^{\text{hyp}.+}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Psi_v(t)\|_{L^1} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^2$$

$$\lesssim t^{-1} \min(|v|^{-\frac{3}{2}}, |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \left( t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^3$$

Moreover, $(14)$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{15}$ yield

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, x)(|u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, x)|^2 - |u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, vt)|^2) \bar{\Psi}_v(t, x) dx \right|$$

$$\lesssim t^{-1} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \min(|v|^{-\frac{3}{2}}, |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^2$$

$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \chi \left( \frac{x - vt}{\delta^2 |v|^\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right| |u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, x) - w^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, vt)| dx$$

$$\lesssim \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \chi \left( \frac{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} z}{\delta} \right) \right| |w^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, t(z + v)) - w^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, vt)| dz$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{-1} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |z|^\frac{1}{2} \left| \chi \left( \frac{t^\frac{3}{2} |v|^{-\frac{3}{2}} z}{\delta} \right) \right| dz$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^3 \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^3.$$}

Therefore, by Propositions 3.2 and 4.2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (u^3)(t, x) \bar{\Psi}_v(t, x) dx$$

$$= 3i |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, vt)|^2 \gamma(t, v)$$

$$+ 3i |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, x)(|u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, x)|^2 - |u^{\text{hyp}.+}(t, vt)|^2) \bar{\Psi}_v(t, x) dx$$

$$+ O \left( t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^3 \right)$$

$$= 3it^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^2 + O \left( t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{X^s}^3 \right).$$

Combining this with $(15)$, we obtain the desired bound. \hfill \square

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

From Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.2, the existence of a global solution to (1) follows from the a priori bound (4). The case of $0 < t < 1$ is a consequence of
Corollary 2.3 and the smallness of the initial data. We therefore consider the time interval $[1, T]$, for which we make the bootstrap assumption

$$|u(t, x)| + |u_x(t, x)| \leq D\varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here, $D$ is chosen with $1 \ll D \ll \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. From Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.2, we have

$$|u(t, vt)| \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2} + D_* \varepsilon} \left\{ \min(|v|^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}, |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + t^{-\frac{\alpha - 2}{2\alpha + 1}} (1 + \log t) \right\},$$

$$|u_x(t, vt)| \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2} + D_* \varepsilon} \left\{ \min(|v|^{\frac{1}{2} - 1}, |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + t^{-\frac{\alpha - 4}{2\alpha + 2}} (1 + \log t) \right\}. \quad (16)$$

As in the previous section, we consider

$$\Omega_\alpha(t) := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}_- : t^{-\alpha} \leq -v \leq t^\alpha \},$$

where $\alpha$ is a fixed constant satisfying $0 < \alpha < \min \left\{ \frac{2}{45}, \frac{2}{2\alpha + 1}, \frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{3(\alpha + 1)} \right\}$. Outside $\Omega_\alpha(t)$, (4) follows from the above bounds, provided that $s > 4$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

From Proposition 4.3, there exists a unique function $W$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_-$ such that for $t \geq 1$ and $v \in \Omega_\alpha(t)$,

$$\gamma(t, v) = W(v)e^{3i|v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |W(v)|^2 \log t} + O(\varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2} + 3D_* \varepsilon}). \quad (17)$$

Inside $\Omega_\alpha(t)$, from Proposition 4.2, we have

$$|u(t, vt) - 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Re \{ e^{i \phi(t, vt)} \gamma(t, v) \}| \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2} + D_* \varepsilon} \left\{ t^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{9}{8} \alpha} + t^{-\frac{\alpha - 4}{2\alpha + 2}} (1 + \log t) \right\}, \quad (18)$$

$$|u_x(t, vt) - 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Re \{ ie^{i \phi(t, vt)} \gamma(t, v) \}|$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2} + D_* \varepsilon} \left\{ t^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{9}{8} \alpha} + t^{-\frac{\alpha - 4}{2\alpha + 2}} (1 + \log t) \right\}.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that

$$|\gamma(t, v)| \lesssim \varepsilon (1 + |v|^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}$$

for any $v \in \Omega_\alpha(t)$. The crucial point here is that the implicit constant does not depend on $D$.

For $|v| \sim 1$, by (18), we have

$$|\gamma(t, v)| \lesssim \varepsilon$$

for $t \sim 1$, which implies that $|W(v)| \lesssim \varepsilon$. For $t \gg 1$, by (17), we have

$$|\gamma(t, v)| \lesssim |W(v)| + \varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

When $|v| \ll 1$, let $t_0 > 1$ be $|v| = t_0^{-\alpha}$. The estimates (16) and (18) yield

$$|\gamma(t_0, v)| \lesssim \varepsilon t_0^{D_* \varepsilon} |v|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}$$
as $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{2s+1}$. Solving the ordinal differential equation in Proposition 4.3 with initial data $t = t_0$, we have
\[
|\gamma(t, v)| \lesssim \varepsilon t_0^{D_\varepsilon^\alpha}|v|^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{s}{2}} + \int_{t_0}^\infty t^{\frac{5}{8} - \alpha \varepsilon} \varepsilon t^{3D_\varepsilon^\alpha} dt',
\]
provided that $s > 4$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

When $|v| \gg 1$, let $t_0 > 1$ be $|v| = t_0^\varepsilon$. The estimates (16) and (18) yield
\[
|\gamma(t_0, v)| \lesssim \varepsilon t_0^{D_\varepsilon^\alpha}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}}
\]
as $0 < \alpha < \frac{2(s-2)}{3(s+1)}$. Solving the ordinary differential equation in Proposition 4.3 with initial data $t = t_0$, we have
\[
|\gamma(t, v)| \lesssim \varepsilon t_0^{D_\varepsilon^\alpha}|v|^{-\frac{3}{4}} + \int_{t_0}^\infty t^{\frac{5}{8} - \alpha \varepsilon} \varepsilon t^{3D_\varepsilon^\alpha} dt',
\]
provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Finally, the modified scattering follows from (17) and (18).

**Appendix: Remark on the paper by Hayashi and Naumkin [5]**

In this appendix, we take $\delta = 1$. We denote the free propagator by $U(t)$, i.e., $U(t) := e^{it\partial_x^{-1}}$. Lemma 3.3 in [5] says that for $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$,
\[
\|i\partial_x\phi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|x\partial_x U(-t)\phi\|_{L^2}^{1+\rho} \|U(-t)\phi\|_{H^\frac{1}{2} \cap \frac{3}{2} - \rho H^\frac{3}{2}} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|U(-t)\phi\|_{H^\frac{5}{2}} \tag{19}
\]
holds, provided that the right hand side is finite. However, this estimate fails. More precisely, we give a counterexample to the inequality in which $\|i\partial_x\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ on the left hand side is replaced by $\|\partial_x\phi\|_{L^\infty}$.

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a smooth function with supp $\chi \subset [-1, 1]$. We set
\[
\phi(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\chi\left(\frac{\cdot - 2N}{N}\right)\right](x) = Ne^{i2Nx} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi](Nx)
\]
for sufficiently large $N \geq 1$. Then,
\[
\|U(-t)\phi\|_{H^s} = \|\phi\|_{H^s} \lesssim N^{s+\frac{1}{2}}
\]
for any $s \geq 0$. Because
\[
\mathcal{F}[xU(-t)\phi](\xi) = e^{-\frac{it}{N}} \left(\frac{t}{\xi^2} \chi\left(\frac{\xi - 2N}{N}\right) + i \frac{1}{N} \chi'\left(\frac{\xi - 2N}{N}\right)\right),
\]
we have
\[
\|x\partial_x U(-t)\phi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_x(xU(-t)\phi)\|_{L^2} + \|U(-t)\phi\|_{L^2} \lesssim tN^{-\frac{1}{2}} + N^\frac{1}{2}.
\]
Accordingly, the right hand side of (19) is bounded by
\[
t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(tN^{-\frac{1}{2}} + N^\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2} + \rho} N\left(\frac{2}{2s+1} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \rho\right) + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} N^3 \lesssim t^\rho N^{1-2\rho} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} N^3.
\]
Therefore, setting \( t = N^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho \), we obtain
\[
\text{R.H.S. of (19)} \lesssim N^{\frac{3}{2}} + N^2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho.
\]
Conversely, from \( \| \partial_x \phi \|_{L^\infty} \sim N^2 \), the left hand side of (19) is bounded below by \( N^2 \).

This counterexample is a reflection of the fact that the regularity \( \frac{2-2\rho}{1-2\rho} \) is very small. Hence, we need to replace \( \frac{2-2\rho}{1-2\rho} \) on the right hand side of (19) by \( \frac{4-2\rho}{1-2\rho} \), which is reduced to Lemma 2.3 with \( l = 1 \) in [6].

If we naively use the estimate
\[
\| (i \partial_x \phi) \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| x \partial_x U(-t) \phi \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\rho} \| U(-t) \phi \|_{H^{\frac{4-2\rho}{1-2\rho}}} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| U(-t) \phi \|_{H^{\frac{2}{5}}}
\]
instead of (19), then we need to replace the regularity conditions of the norm
\[
\| u(t) \|_{Y_T} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (t)^{-\gamma} (\| u(t) \|_{\dot{H}^{-1}} + \| u(t) \|_{H^m} + \| \partial_x J u(t) \|_{H^l}),
\]
namely \( m > \frac{5}{2} + l \) and \( l > \frac{3}{2} \), by \( m > 4 + l \) and \( l > \frac{3}{2} \). See the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [5]. More precisely, (19) is used to estimate \( \| u_{xx} \|_{L^\infty} \) and \( \| \partial_x^s u_{xx} \|_{L^\infty} \) in the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [5]. For example, if we use (20) to estimate \( \| \partial_x^s u_{xx} \|_{L^\infty} \) for \( 0 < s < \frac{1}{2} \), we get
\[
\| \partial_x^s u_{xx} \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_x^s \partial_x^2 J u \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\rho} \| u \|_{H^{s+\frac{4-2\rho}{1-2\rho}}} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| u \|_{H^{s+\frac{2}{5}}}.
\]

Therefore, it seems that the assumption \( u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-1} \cap H^m, x \partial_x u_0 \in H^l \) with \( m > \frac{5}{2} + l \) and \( l > \frac{3}{2} \) must be to replaced by \( m > 4 + l \) and \( l > \frac{3}{2} \), as \( s + 1 + \frac{4-2\rho}{1-2\rho} > s + 5 \).
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