Mutation of the Fas-promoter-670 gene, AA to GA in the normal cervix-epithelial-cells of high risk Indonesian mother: A case report
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ABSTRACT

Background: Normalities of the cervix-epithelial-cells (CEC) can be determined base on the cell morphology and their nucleus. If one of the cervix-epithelial-cells has gene mutations, it will form mutant clones, further growing, developing and malignant. The Fas-promoter-670 gene is allegedly associated with cervical cancer. Case Presentation: A 30-year-old of high-risk Indonesian mother, has two children, on April 21th 2016 has followed Pap smear examination with thin prep method. Subjects, in this case, had normal cervix-epithelial-cells. Characteristics of the cervix-epithelial-cells include cell biometrics among others cell length, cell width, cell area, cell perimeter, nucleus area, and nucleus perimeter. Fas-promoter-670 genotype in the lymphocytes-cells is AA (normal= wild type), whereas in the cervix-epithelial-cells is GA (heterozygous mutant).

Conclusion: Based on characteristic of cell biometrics, cervix-epithelial-cells in high-risk Indonesian mother is normal. The genotype of the Fas-promoter-670 gene in the lymphocyte cells is AA, whereas in the cervix-epithelial-cells has mutation to GA.

INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is still a health problem in Indonesia. Based on the comprehensive statistics in 2012, the incidence rate of cervical cancer in Indonesia is 17 per 100,000 women per year. Moreover, that incidence rate of cervical cancer in Southeast Asia is 16.6 and around the world 15.1, respectively. Also, Indonesia is the 4th country in Southeast Asia, with the highest incidence of cervical cancer after Cambodia, Myanmar, and Thailand. The mortality rate caused by cervical cancer in Indonesia is about 28%, lower than the world average mortality rate, furthermore 2.5% lower than the average death occurring in Southeast Asia.

The primary cause of cervical cancer is human papillomavirus (HPV) infection. HPV is transmitted among others through sexual activity. Therefore women who make these contacts are at high risk for cervical cancer. HPV DNA has many serotypes, but only a few serotypes are progressive to cervical cancer. It is further stated that women infected with HPV especially with HPV 16, some of which will increase to low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) 1, high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) or CIN 2, and become invasive cervical cancer (CIN3) in some areas. Oncogenic gene expression is also a major cervical cancer problem. Genes mutations that occur in one cervical cell, then forming a mutant clone, grow and malignant. We know that some of the oncogenic genes that cause cervical cancer, including the Fas-promoter-670 gene. Fas-promoter-670 gene in the humans have been mapped, lies on chromosome 10q24.1, which contains nine exons and eight introns. Fas (TNFRSF6/Apo-1/CD95) is a type I transmembrane receptor, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily (tumor necrosis factor=TNF). Result studies previously show that Fas-promoter-670 gene polymorphism in stem cells is also associated with cervical cancer in Japanese populations.

Papanicolaou (Pap) smear test is an effective method for early detection of cervical cancer. Microscopically, CEC with normal characteristics can be used to express no cervical cancer, but those with atypical and malignant cells are used as a marker of cervical cancer. The novelty, in this case, is the mutation of Fas-promoter-670 gene in the CEC normal of high-risk Indonesian mother, whereas in the lymphocyte cells (LC) is normal. Mutation of the Fas-promoter-670 gene can be used as an early marker of potential cervical cancer. Also, we presented the characteristics of normal CEC which focuses on the size of the cell and its nucleus.
We reported the genotype difference of Fas-promoter-670 gene in the LC compared with CEC in high-risk Indonesian mother. Light microscope was used to observe the CEC and its cell nucleus. We focus on the characteristics of the CEC namely cell biometrics among others length, width, area, and perimeter of the cells and its cell nucleus. Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) was used to performance of the Fas-promoter-670 gene in the LC and CEC samples.

**CASE REPORT**

A 30-year-old of high-risk Indonesian mother, has two children (P2Ao), on April 21th 2016 has followed Pap smear examination with thin prep method. The mother concerned is included in the community of Indonesian women living with high-risk HPV as indicated by evidence of laboratory examinations. Marriage history at the age of 18 years, and the mother has a history of free sex. Furthermore, the mother also explained that she worked as a commercial sex worker and had never received HPV vaccination. Observations to the CEC was done by used optilab and image raster three programs by three observers. The characteristics of CEC namely cell biometrics among others cell length (CL), cell width (CW), cell area (CA), cell perimeter (CP), nucleus area (NA), and nucleus perimeter (NP). Moreover, we calculated the nucleus length index (NLI), nucleus width index (NWI), nucleus area index (NAI) and nucleus perimeter index (NPI) (Table 1). Base on the results showed that the CEC is normal because no atypical and malignant cells were found (Figure 1). Figure 2 and 3 shown the results of CEC cell biometrics. RFLP was used to perform Fas-promoter-670 gene in the LC and CEC. Blood sample was obtained from vena cubiti by proteinase K digestion and the CEC collected by thin prep method. DNA extraction from blood sample and CEC was performed using chloroform (Figure 4). Also, were used BstN1enzyme and forward primer 5’-CTACCTAAGAGCTATCTACCGTTC-3’ and reverse primer 5’-GGCTGTCCATGTGGCTGC-3’. Genotyping result of the Fas-promoter-670 gene in the LC is AA (normal=wild type), whereas in the CEC has mutation to GA (heterozygous variant) (Figure 5). Our team suggested that Pap smear screening could be done two years later.

**DISCUSSION**

In the Pap smear method, the determination of CEC characteristics can be used as a reference in the diagnosis of cervical cancer. We used the light

---

**Table 1.** Characteristic of normal cervix-epithelial-cells in high-risk Indonesian mother

| Variable                              | Mean ± SD | Confidence interval 95% |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Cell length (CL): the longest cell diagonal that passes through the nucleus (µm) | 11.06 ± 1.57 | 10.49 – 11.66 |
| Cell width (CW): cell diagonal perpendicular to the diagonal of CL (µm) | 8.13 ± 2.14 | 7.32 – 8.99 |
| Cell area (CA) (µm²)                  | 73.74 ± 23.15 | 65.22 – 82.94 |
| Cell perimeter (CP) (µm)              | 32.62 ± 4.92 | 30.84 – 34.53 |
| Nucleus area (NA) (µm²)               | 1.40 ± 0.54 | 1.21 – 1.63 |
| Nucleus perimeter (NP) (µm)           | 4.30 ± 0.78 | 4.03 – 4.62 |
| Nucleus length (NL) (µm)              | 1.47 ± 0.25 | 1.38 – 1.57 |
| Nucleus width (NW) (µm)               | 1.18 ± 0.24 | 1.09 – 1.27 |
| Nucleus area index (NAI) = (nucleus area/cell area)*100 | 2.07 ± 0.88 | 1.75 – 2.40 |
| Nucleus perimeter index (NPI) = (nucleus perimeter/cell perimeter)*100 | 13.46 ± 2.96 | 12.33 – 14.56 |
| Nucleus length index (NLI) = (nucleus length/cell length)*100 | 13.59 ± 2.99 | 12.53 – 14.74 |
| Nucleus width index (NWI) = (nucleus width/cell width)*100 | 15.42 ± 4.86 | 13.59 – 17.22 |

Abbreviations: µm=milli micron ; µm²=milli micron square; SD=standard of deviation.

---

**Figure 1.** The Cervix-epithelial-cells of high-risk Indonesian mother available for observation (photomicroscope with optilab, objective 10x).
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In addition to the normal CEC morphology, the nucleus morphology of the CEC can also be used to distinguish of CEC type. Previous studies showed that nucleus morphology criteria of the CEC among others: area, length, diameter, and radius.\(^7\),\(^8\),\(^9\) The other result showed that CEC has numerous balloon-outs, which have an average size ranging from 0.1-0.5 to 1.2-1.3 milli-micron (μm), are visible on the cell surface under microscope.\(^10\) Beside that nucleus-cytoplasm ratio (N/C ratio) used to cytologic features of cervical cells. After the cells become malignant, the number of chromosomes in the nucleus will change, leading to changes in the shape of the nucleus. The length and diameter of the cell nucleus increased two fold, while the area increased by 4.5 fold.\(^11\) Furthermore, We agree that on examination of cervical cytologic specimens showing most low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (LSIL), some of the high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL) cannot be excluded. Also, metastatic cancer cells are distinguished from normal cells based on stiffness rather than shape. Cancer cells are more promising for improving cancer diagnosis. Moreover, those metastatic cancer cells were nearly four times softer than normal cells.\(^12\)

The BstN1 enzyme we used in RFLP to perform Fas-promoter-670 gene was able to digest DNA

---

**Figure 2.** Length and width measurement results of the cell and nucleus. A=length and width of the cell; B=length of the nucleus; C=width of the nucleus. Abbreviations: μm=milli micron.

**Figure 3.** Area and perimeter of the cell and nucleus. A=Area and perimeter of the cell; B=area and perimeter of the nucleus. Abbreviations: μm=milli micron; μm²=milli micron square.
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promoter-670 gene promotes the occurrence of cervical cancer compared to AA genotype. The result of research previously shows that G allele also increases the occurrence of cervical cancer. Two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (-1377G>A, rs2234767 and -670A>G, rs1800682) located in the promoter region of Fas gene were examined in association with some tumorigenesis. Also, polymorphism is also associated with metabolic abnormalities until the occurrence of pathological conditions.

In this case, the genotype of Fas-promoter-670 gene in the LC was different from CEC. The expression pattern of the Fas-promoter-670 gene in this study is expected to be an early marker of cervical cancer so that it can be prevented. This corresponds to the general principle that detection of cervical cancer is directed to the presence of oncogenic elements that promote cell transformation. Moreover that the failure of Fas gene regulation produces death signals, which are reported in some cancers. However, the correlation between this SNP and cancer susceptibility including the risk of gynecological malignancies needs to be studied more widely.

CONCLUSION

Based on characteristic of cell biometrics, the CEC in high-risk Indonesian mother was normal. The genotype of the Fas-promoter-670 gene in the LC is AA (normal= wild type), whereas in the CEC has mutation to GA (heterozygous variant). We recommend examining of Fas-promoter-670 gene on early screening for cervical cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Leaders and Staff of Prodia Laboratories who facilitate Pap smear examination. Also, thanks are also conveyed to the Leaders and staff of the Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Gadjah Mada which facilitates the genotyping examination of Fas-promoter-670 gene.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Directorate General of Higher Education, Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia by the letter of agreement on the assignment of research, number: 100 / K3 / KM / 2015, February 23, 2015.
CASE REPORT

ETHIC AND PATIENT CONSENT
The authors declare that: 1). They have obtained written, informed consent for the publication of the details relating to the patient in this report. 2). All possible steps have been taken to safeguard the identity of the patient. 3). The ethical clearance of this study was approved by the Commission on Research Ethics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia, number 60/KER/FK/05/2013.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS
MLEP: images review and processing, writing of the manuscript and reviewing the final text. WR, AG, K: literature review and writing of the manuscript.

REFERENCES
1. HPV Information Centre. ICO/IARC Information Centre on HPV and Cancer. 2015.
2. Guan P, Howell-Jones R, Li N, Bruni L, de Sanjose S, Franceschi Sand Clifford GM. Human papillomavirus types in 115,789 HPV-positive women: a meta-analysis from cervical infection to cancer. Int J Cancer 2012,131:2349-2359.
3. Ueda M, Terai Y, Kanda K, Kanemura M, Takehara M, Yamaguchi H, Nishiyama K, Yakomoto Y and Noda S. Genetic polymorphism of cancer susceptibility genes and HPV infection in cervical carcinogenesis. Patholog Res Int 2011: 3640-69.
4. American Cancer Society. Cervical Cancer Prevention and Early Detection. Atlanta: American Cancer Society pub, 2014. [cited 20 July 2015]. Available from: http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/cid/documents/webcontent/003167-pdf.pdf
5. Park S and Lee YI. AFM-based dual nano-mechanical phenotypes for cancer metastasis. J Biol Phys 2014, 40(4):413-419. doi: 10.1007/s10867-014-9353-0. Epub 2014 Jun 30.
6. Zuk AK, Wen X, Dilworth S, Li D and Ghali L. Modeling and validating three-dimensional human normal cervix and cervical cancer tissues in vitro. J Biomed Res 2017, 31(3): 240-247.
7. Yang L, Liu W, Wu H, Wang C, Ping B and Shi D. Separation of Normal and Premalignant Cervical Epithelial Cells Using Confocal Light Absorption and Scattering Spectroscopic Microscopy Ex Vivo. J Biomed and Biotechnol 2011, Article ID 214781, 5 pages doi:10.1155/2011/214781.
8. Zheng B, Yoon SW and Lam SS. Breast cancer diagnosis based on feature extraction using a hybrid of K-means and support vector machine algorithms. Exp Syst with Appl 2014, 41(4):1476-1482.
9. Prinyakupt J and Pluemphitwiryawee C. Segmentation of white blood cells and comparison of cell morphology by linear and na’ive Bayes classifiers. BioMed Eng Online 2015, 14(1), article 63.
10. Paizeiev A. The Morphological Features of a Cervical Cancer Cells Membrane Under Reflected Light Microscope. GRF Davos Planet@Risk 2014, 2(4): 289-292. Special Issue on One Health (Part II/II).
11. Su J, Xu X, He Y and Song J. Automatic detection of cervical cancer cells by a two-level cascade classification system. Analyst Cell Pathol 2016, Article ID 9535027, 11 pages. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/9535027.
12. Cross SE, Jin YS, Rao J and Gimzewsik JK. Nanomechanical analysis of cells from cancer patients. Nat Nanotechnol 2007 Dec. 2(12):780-783. doi: 10.1038/nnano.2007.388. Epub 2007, Dec 2.
13. Nunobiki O, Ueda M, Toji E, Yamamoto M, Akashi K, Sato N, Izuma S, Torii K, Tanaka I, Okamoto Y and Noda S. Genetic polymorphism of cancer susceptibility genes and HPV infection in cervical carcinogenesis. Patholog Res Int 2011: 3640-69.
14. Farre L, Bittencourt AL, Silva-Santos G, Almeida A, Silva AC, Decanine D, Soares GM, Alcantara Jr LC, Van Dooren S, Galvan-Castro C, Vandamme AM and Van Weyenbergh J. Fas–670 promoter polymorphism is associated to susceptibility, clinical presentation, and survival in adult T cell leukemia. J Leukocyte Biol 2008, 83: 220-223.
15. Parwanto MLE, Suweino S, Tjahjadi D, Senjaya H, Edy HJ, Pakpahan A. The effect of sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) protein polymorphism on the levels of SHBG, testosterone, and insulin in healthy Indonesian men. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2016;5:799-806.
16. Parwanto MLE and Senjaya H. Dietary intake of mother in childbearing age with BMI <18.5 kg/m2 and has heterozygous variant D327N SHBG genotype (w/v). Int J Community Med Public Health. 2017;4:409-17.
17. Shimonishi T, Isse K, Shibata F, Aburatani, Tsuneyama K, Sabit H, Harada K, Miyazaki K, and Nakanuma Y. Up-regulation of fas ligand at early stages and down-regulation of Fas at progressed stages of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma reflect evasion from immune surveillance. Hepatol 2000, 32: 761-769.