Association of Ulcerative Colitis with \textit{FOXP3} Gene Polymorphisms and Its Colonic Expression in Chinese Patients
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Abnormalities of forkhead box P3 (FOXP3) are implicated in various autoimmune diseases. This study is aimed at investigating the association of ulcerative colitis (UC) with \textit{FOXP3} polymorphisms and its colonic expression in Chinese patients. Polymorphisms of rs3761548, rs2232365, rs2294021, and rs3761547 were examined in 472 UC patients and 525 healthy controls using the SNaPshot method. The colonic expression of \textit{FOXP3} mRNA and protein was assayed in inflammatory mucosa of 34 UC patients and normal mucosa of 36 patients with benign sigmoid polyps (normal controls) using real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction and immunohistochemical analysis. All data were handled separately for females and males. As a result, the carrier frequencies with at least one variant allele of rs3761548, rs2232365, and rs2294021 increased in female and male UC patients compared with healthy controls. Significant differences in these carrier frequencies were also observed between patients with mild and moderate UC and patients with severe UC. The expression of \textit{FOXP3} was higher in UC patients (both males and females), especially those with severe UC, than in normal controls. The expression of \textit{FOXP3} was downregulated in UC patients having at least one variant allele compared with UC patients having no variant allele of rs3761548, rs2232365, and rs2294021. Male gender ($\beta = -0.341$), rs2294021 variation ($\beta = -0.503$), and severe UC ($\beta = 0.361$) were independently related to the mRNA expression of \textit{FOXP3} in UC patients. Together, our findings indicated that \textit{FOXP3} (rs3761548, rs2232365, and rs2294021) variations increased the risk of UC and were associated with the lower colonic expression of \textit{FOXP3} in UC patients.

1. Introduction

Regulatory T cells (Tregs), especially those that coexpress CD4 and CD25, are crucial in the suppression of immune response and orchestration of immune tolerance. Several lines of evidence suggest that Tregs may modulate potentially self-reactive T cells through the secretion of suppressive cytokines [e.g., interleukin- (IL-) 10 and IL-35], expression of inhibitory receptors, and direct cell-contact-dependent mechanisms [1, 2]. The numerical or functional abnormality of Tregs is further confirmed to cause a breach in self-tolerance and hence is closely involved in a range of immune-related diseases, including systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), multiple sclerosis (MS), and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). IBD mainly comprises two phenotypes: ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) [3, 4]. Maul et al. revealed that the number of Tregs was higher in the inflamed mucosa of patients with IBD than in healthy individuals, but significantly lower compared with other inflammatory conditions, such as diverticulitis [5]. Notably, antitumor necrosis factor treatment, a well-described and effective approach to treating IBD, has been demonstrated to facilitate the increase in the number of Tregs in the circulation and colonic mucosa of patients with IBD [6, 7], highlighting that dysregulation of Tregs may have an impact on the progression of IBD.

As a member of the forkhead–winged helix family of transcription regulators, forkhead box P3 (FOXP3) is identified to be a lineage-specifying factor responsible for the development, lineage commitment, and regulatory functions of Tregs. The current study confirmed that FOXP3 might regulate the expression of critical immunosuppressive molecules via binding to their relative target genes, such as...
cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4) [8]. In addition, FOXP3 can also promote the conversion of naïve T cells to Treg-like cells with suppression activity and affect the differentiation and function of Tregs [8–10]. Hori et al. previously noted that the ectopic expression of FOXP3 in CD4+ CD25+ T cells was able to endow a Treg phenotype to prevent IBD [11]. Conversely, the downregulation or deletion of the expression of FOXP3 was shown to result in an impaired Treg function [12]. Numerous studies to date have implicated the aberrant expression of FOXP3 in multiple immune-related diseases, such as SLE, RA, and MS [10].

The human FOXP3 gene, which contains 12 exons and 11 introns, is mapped on the small arm of the X chromosome (p11.23–13.3) [13]. The existing literature shows that some polymorphisms in the promoter region of FOXP3 can potentially modify gene expression by changing the sequence of transcription factor-binding sites and/or by modulating the kinetics of the transcription process [9]. For example, rs3761548 was reported to have functional consequences in the kinetics of the transcription process [9]. It was noted that the four SNPs are the most common polymorphic loci of FOXP3 in an Asian population [14]. This study focused on four single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs): rs3761548 (-3279, C/A), rs2294021 (-924, A/G), rs2294021 (C/T), and rs3761547 (-3499, C/A), which are positioned at or near the promoter region of FOXP3. Importantly, previous studies have demonstrated that the four SNPs are the most common polymorphic loci of FOXP3 in a Chinese population [15–19]. Besides, the expression of FOXP3 mRNA and protein in colonic tissues was examined to address the association of UC with FOXP3 polymorphisms and its colonic expression in this cohort of Chinese patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subjects. A total of 472 patients with UC and 525 healthy individuals were recruited from January 2008 to December 2016. The diagnosis of UC was established based on clinical, endoscopic, radiological, and histopathological findings in accordance with Lennard-Jones criteria. The severity of UC was evaluated using the Truelove and Witts Activity Index (Supplementary Table 1), and the location was assessed using colonoscopy at the initial diagnosis [20]. Individuals with any other immune-related diseases, including SLE, RA, MS, and autoimmune hepatitis were excluded. The demographic data of patients with UC and healthy controls are presented in Table 1. Besides, 34 patients with UC (18 females and 16 males, 40.17 ± 14.21 years) were selected and 36 patients with benign sigmoid polyps (20 females and 16 males, 41.02 ± 13.85 years) were simultaneously recruited as normal controls for comparing the colonic expression of FOXP3. The sigmoid was uniformly collected from 34 patients with UC during the colonoscopy examination. Similarly, specimens of normal sigmoid mucosa were obtained from each of the patients with benign sigmoid polyps (normal controls). All study subjects were recruited from The Second Affiliated Hospitals of Wenzhou Medical University in Zhejiang Province of southeast China.

2.2. Genomic DNA Extraction and Genotype Analysis. Approximately 1 mL of peripheral venous blood from each study individual was collected into ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) anticoagulated tubes. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) and then stored at −20°C for further analysis.

Genotypes of FOXP3 (rs3761548, rs2232365, rs2294021, and rs3761547) were detected using the SNaPshot assays by Applied Biosystems (CA, USA) as described previously [21]. Briefly, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) protocol was carried out in 10 μL as follows: 1 μL genomic DNA (10 ng), 1 μL 10× PCR buffer with MgCl2 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), 1 μL dNTPs (Promega, WI, USA), 0.5 U of FastStart Tag DNA polymerase (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), and a defined concentration (0.1 μmol/L) of each amplification primer. Primer sequences are provided in Supplementary Table 2. The amplification was performed in an ABI 9700 thermal cycler using an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 65°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min, and finally 72°C for 10 min. The purification was conducted on 7.4 mL volume including 2 mL PCR product, 2 U of Exonuclease I (TaKaRa, Dalian, China), and 1.5 U of SAP (New England Biolabs, MA, USA), which were incubated for 80 min at 37°C and enzyme-inactivated at 85°C for 15 min. The SNaPshot multiplex sequencing reaction was performed in a final volume of 7 μL containing 2 μL PCR purification product, 1 μL SNaPshot Multiplex Mix, 1 μL 5× seq buffer, and 0.2 μM of specific primer. The reaction was performed as follows: 96°C for 1 min, followed by 28 cycles of 96°C for 10 s, 52°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 30 s. Purification was carried out to degrade ddNTPs by adding 1 U of SAP, followed by an incubation at 37°C for 60 min and a step at 75°C for 15 min. The SNaPshot extension products were separated using capillary electrophoresis (3730xl Genetic Analyzer, Applied Biosystems) and analyzed using GeneMapper 4.0 (Applied Biosystems).

2.3. Real-Time Quantitative PCR (qPCR) Analysis for mRNA Expression of FOXP3. Total RNA was isolated from intestinal biopsies using the TRIzol™ Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). The cDNA was prepared using the cDNA reverse transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). The primers were synthesized by the Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China): 5′ AAGAGCTAGAGCTCGCTGAC 3′ (forward), 5′ GTATGTTCTGGATGCACACAG 3′ (reverse) for β-actin and 5′ GAAACAGCATTCCAGATTTCC 3′ (forward) 5′ ATGGGCCACCGGATGAG 3′ (reverse) for FOX3. qPCR was performed in triplicate, using a Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). PCR conditions for gene amplification began with 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Amplification was performed in a total volume of 25 μL. The expression of FOX3 mRNA was normalized to the expression of β-actin. Relative gene expression was calculated using the ΔΔCt method.

2.4. Immunohistochemical Analysis for the Expression of FOXP3. The expression of FOXP3 in colonic tissues was
measured using Immunohistochemical analysis as described previously [22]. Biopsy specimens were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. These embedded specimens were cut into 2 mm sections and boiled in Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 9.0) in a cooker-cooler for 25 min. After blocking in endogenous peroxidase with 3% hydrogen peroxide solution at room temperature for 25 min, the slides were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) three times for 5 min. The sections were incubated with 3% bovine serum albumin (Solarbio, Beijing, China) for 30 min to block unspecific antibody binding. Then, the sections were incubated with mouse anti-human FOXP3 antibody (Clone 236A/E, Abcam, Cambridge, UK; dilution 1:100) for 24 h at 4°C and with a secondary antibody (Invitrogen, CA, USA) for 30 min in a 37°C water bath. Detection was performed following treatment with immunoperoxidase using the EnVision system (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). The expression of FOXP3 was quantitatively evaluated using the Image-Pro Plus 6.0 analysis system (Media Cybernetics, MD, USA) by calculating the mean density, which was the integrated optical density (IOD) divided by the area of interest. Three fields of each slide at 200x magnification were randomly selected, and the mean density was obtained for further statistical analysis.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. All data were dealt with using SPSS 17.0 software for Windows (IL, USA). Patients with UC and healthy controls were divided into female and male groups separately for analyzing allelic and genotypic frequencies, as males are hemizygous for the four SNPs of FOXP3. An unconditional regression analysis was employed to address the association of FOXP3 polymorphisms with UC susceptibility and their influence on the clinical features of patients with UC after adjusting for age, gender, and smoking. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were applied for logistic regression analysis. Analyses for linkage disequilibrium (LD) and haplotype were conducted using the Haploview 4.2 software (MA, USA). The comparison of the expression level of FOXP3 in the colonic tissue was performed using the Student t test. The association between the colonic expression of FOXP3 and the clinico-pathological features of patients with UC, including gender, age, and disease severity, together with the four SNPs of FOXP3, was assessed using the linear regression analysis (stepwise). A two-tailed P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

2.6. Ethical Considerations. This study protocol was in line with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of The Second Affiliated Hospitals of Wenzhou Medical University. The informed consents were obtained from all study subjects.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of FOXP3 Polymorphisms between Patients with UC and Healthy Controls. As shown in Table 2, frequencies of variant alleles and genotypes of FOXP3 (rs3761548, rs2232365, and rs2294021) were obviously higher in female patients with UC than in female healthy controls (all P < 0.05). When male patients with UC were compared with male healthy controls, the same results were obtained for variant alleles of the three SNPs (all P < 0.05) (Table 2). Patients

| Characteristics                  | UC patients (n = 472) | HC (n = 525) | P     |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------|------|
| Gender (female/male)             | 232/240              | 270/255    | 0.473|
| Age (years) (mean ± SD)          | 41.22 ± 14.82        | 40.52 ± 15.33 | 0.768|
| Smoking                          |                      |            |      |
| Current or ex-smoker [frequency (%)] | 189 (40.0)          | 201 (38.3) | 0.570|
| Never smoked [frequency (%)]     | 283 (60.0)           | 324 (61.7) |      |
| Extent of UC* [frequency (%)]    |                      |            |      |
| Proctitis                        | 106 (22.5)           |            |      |
| Left-sided                       | 202 (42.8)           |            |      |
| Extensive                        | 164 (34.7)           |            |      |
| Severity of UC [frequency (%)]   |                      |            |      |
| Mild                             | 166 (35.2)           |            |      |
| Moderate                         | 151 (32.0)           |            |      |
| Severe                           | 155 (32.8)           |            |      |
| Treatment [frequency (%)]        |                      |            |      |
| Sulfasalazine/5-aminosalicylic acid | 379 (80.3)          |            |      |
| Prednisone                       | 183 (38.8)           |            |      |
| Antibiotics                      | 136 (28.8)           |            |      |
| Immunosuppressive                | 12 (2.5)             |            |      |
| Colectomy                        | 10 (2.1)             |            |      |

*Distal colitis was defined as disease lesion distal to spleen flexure, and extensive colitis was defined as disease lesion location beyond spleen flexure.
with UC were divided into two subgroups based on the disease severity. The variant alleles and genotypes of FOXP3 (rs3761548, rs2232365, and rs2294021) were more prevalent in female patients with severe UC than in female patients with mild and moderate UC (all \( P < 0.05 \)) (Table 3). The allele differences in the three SNPs were also detected between the two subgroups in males (all \( P < 0.05 \)) (Table 4).

Since FOXP3 is located on the X chromosome and males have only one copy of the X chromosome, their LD degree was assigned with 100% probability in this study. Thus, the haplotypes in males were sole and could be directly observed. For females, LD and haplotype were evaluated using the Haploview 4.2 software. As illustrated in Figure 1, the four polymorphic sites of rs3761548, rs2232365, rs2294021, and rs3761547 were also in a strong LD with each other in females. Unfortunately, no significant association of each haplotype with UC was observed in either females or males (all \( P > 0.05 \)) (data not shown).

### 3.2. Comparison of the Expression of FOXP3 between Inflamed Mucosa of Patients with UC and Normal Mucosa of Patients with Benign Sigmoid Polyps (Normal Controls).

As depicted in Figure 2, the average expression of FOXP3 mRNA and protein was upregulated in patients with UC compared with that in normal controls (in females or males) (females: mRNA: \[0.020 \pm 0.004\] vs. \[0.016 \pm 0.005\], \( P = 0.023\); protein: \[0.395 \pm 0.055\] vs. \[0.349 \pm 0.035\], \( P = 0.004\); males: mRNA: \[0.018 \pm 0.004\] vs. \[0.015 \pm 0.004\], \( P = 0.037\); protein: \[0.394 \pm 0.059\] vs. \[0.336 \pm 0.045\], \( P = 0.003\)). Likewise, the average expression of FOXP3 mRNA and protein was higher in the corresponding patients with severe UC compared with that in female and male patients with mild and moderate UC (females: mRNA: \[0.023 \pm 0.004\] vs. \[0.019 \pm 0.003\], \( P = 0.032\); protein: \[0.439 \pm 0.026\] vs. \[0.378 \pm 0.054\], \( P = 0.031\); males: mRNA: \[0.022 \pm 0.004\] vs. \[0.016 \pm 0.003\], \( P = 0.010\); protein: \[0.435 \pm 0.057\] vs. \[0.370 \pm 0.047\], \( P = 0.028\)). However, there was no significant difference in colonic expression of
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### Table 2: Allelic and genotypic distribution of FOXP3 polymorphisms in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and healthy controls (HC) [frequency (%)].

| FOXP3 | Female HC (\( n = 270 \)) | Female UC (\( n = 232 \)) | Male HC (\( n = 255 \)) | Male UC (\( n = 240 \)) |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| rs3761548 |
| CC | 172 (63.7) | 126 (54.3) | | |
| CA | 77 (28.5) | 73 (31.5) | | |
| AA | 21 (7.8) | 33 (14.2) | | |
| CA + AA | 98 (36.3) | 106 (45.7) | | |
| C | 421 (78.0) | 325 (70.0) | 204 (80.0) | 172 (71.7) |
| A | 119 (22.0) | 139 (30.0) | 51 (20.0) | 68 (28.3) |
| rs2232365 |
| AA | 111 (41.1) | 72 (31.0) | | |
| AG | 110 (40.7) | 105 (45.3) | | |
| GG | 49 (18.2) | 55 (23.7) | | |
| AG + GG | 159 (58.9) | 160 (69.0) | | |
| C | 332 (61.5) | 249 (53.7) | 174 (68.2) | 138 (57.5) |
| G | 208 (38.5) | 215 (46.3) | 81 (31.8) | 102 (42.5) |
| rs2294021 |
| CC | 80 (29.6) | 50 (21.5) | | |
| CT | 108 (40.0) | 93 (40.1) | | |
| TT | 82 (30.4) | 89 (38.4) | | |
| CT + TT | 190 (70.4) | 182 (78.5) | | |
| C | 268 (49.6) | 193 (41.6) | 113 (44.3) | 83 (34.6) |
| T | 272 (50.37) | 271 (58.4) | 142 (55.7) | 157 (65.4) |
| rs3761547 |
| CC | 27 (10.00) | 16 (6.9) | | |
| CA | 63 (23.33) | 58 (25.0) | | |
| AA | 180 (66.67) | 158 (68.1) | | |
| CA + AA | 243 (90.00) | 216 (93.1) | | |
| C | 117 (21.67) | 90 (19.4) | 52 (20.4) | 50 (20.8) |
| A | 423 (78.33) | 374 (80.6) | 203 (79.6) | 190 (79.2) |

\*All listed \( P \) and OR values were calculated for each SNP analyzed using logistic regression after adjusted for age, gender, and smoking. \( \* P = 0.033 \), OR = 1.477, 95% CI 1.032-2.112. \( \* P = 0.004 \), OR = 1.513, 95% CI 1.139-2.011. \( \* P = 0.020 \), OR = 1.551, 95% CI 1.073-2.244. \( \* P = 0.012 \), OR = 1.378, 95% CI 1.072-1.773. \( \* P = 0.040 \), OR = 1.533, 95% CI 1.020-2.304. \( \* P = 0.011 \), OR = 1.383, 95% CI 1.077-1.777. \( \* P = 0.031 \), OR = 1.581, 95% CI 1.043-2.397. \( \* P = 0.014 \), OR = 1.588, 95% CI 1.100-2.293. \( \* P = 0.027 \), OR = 1.505, 95% CI 1.047-2.164.

3.2. Comparison of the Expression of FOXP3 between Inflamed Mucosa of Patients with UC and Normal Mucosa of Patients with Benign Sigmoid Polyps (Normal Controls). As depicted in Figure 2, the average expression of FOXP3 mRNA and protein was upregulated in patients with UC compared with that in normal controls (in females or males) (females: mRNA: \[0.020 \pm 0.004\] vs. \[0.016 \pm 0.005\], \( P = 0.023\); protein: \[0.395 \pm 0.055\] vs. \[0.349 \pm 0.035\], \( P = 0.004\); males: mRNA: \[0.018 \pm 0.004\] vs. \[0.015 \pm 0.004\], \( P = 0.037\); protein: \[0.394 \pm 0.059\] vs. \[0.336 \pm 0.045\], \( P = 0.003\)). Likewise, the average expression of FOXP3 mRNA and protein was higher in the corresponding patients with severe UC compared with that in female and male patients with mild and moderate UC (females: mRNA: \[0.023 \pm 0.004\] vs. \[0.019 \pm 0.003\], \( P = 0.032\); protein: \[0.439 \pm 0.026\] vs. \[0.378 \pm 0.054\], \( P = 0.031\); males: mRNA: \[0.022 \pm 0.004\] vs. \[0.016 \pm 0.003\], \( P = 0.010\); protein: \[0.435 \pm 0.057\] vs. \[0.370 \pm 0.047\], \( P = 0.028\)). However, there was no significant difference in colonic expression of
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FOXP3 mRNA and protein between normal controls and patients with mild and moderate UC (in females or males) (all $P > 0.05$).

### 3.3. Effect of FOXP3 Polymorphisms on the Colonic Expression of FOXP3 in Patients with UC

The average expression of FOXP3 mRNA and protein in female patients carrying variant genotypes (CA + AA), (AG + GG), and (CT + TT) was downregulated compared with that in female patients with UC having wild homozygote (CC) of rs3761548, (AA) of rs2232365, and (CC) of rs2294021, respectively (mRNA: $P = 0.028$, 0.035, 0.004; protein: $P =$...
similar conclusions were drawn for male patients with variant allele (A) of rs3761548, (G) of rs2232365, and (T) of rs2294021 compared with those with wild allele (C), (A), and (C), respectively (mRNA: $P = 0.011$, 0.003, and 0.011; protein: $P = 0.028$, 0.006, 0.028, respectively) (Figure 3).

Finally, a linear regression analysis was applied to address the association of the expression of FOXP3 with the clinicopathological features of patients with UC. The included parameters were as follows: gender, age, severity and location of UC, and the four SNPs of FOXP3. Consequently, male gender ($\beta = -0.341$, $P = 0.013$), rs2294021 variation ($\beta = -0.503$, $P = 0.001$), and severe UC ($\beta = 0.361$, $P = 0.013$) were shown to be independently associated with the colonic mRNA expression of FOXP3 in patients with UC (Table 5).

**4. Discussion**

The present study provided preliminary evidence that rs3761548, rs2232365, and rs2294021, rather than rs3761547, were likely to be risk loci affecting the predisposition of UC in the Chinese population. Theoretically speaking, rs3761548 and rs2232365 are located in the supposed DNA-binding sites of the promoter region of FOXP3 and are therefore speculated to participate in the modulation of the expression of FOXP3 [23]. More specifically, rs3761548 is located on FOXP3 at position -3279, while rs2232365 is positioned at -924. Currently, both of them have been demonstrated to interfere with the interaction of some transcription factors, such as specificity protein 1 (Sp1), with the binding region within the FOXP3 promoter, thereby having an impact on the transcriptional activity of FOXP3 [24–26]. As for rs2294021, conclusive evidence exists that this SNP may directly influence the transcription of FOXP3 mRNA by forming a LD with other functional loci of FOXP3, such as rs3761548 and rs5902434 [27]. Saxena et al. observed that FOXP3 (rs3761548, rs2232365, rs2294021, and rs5902434) variations, together with the haplotype (A-G-C-ATT), could increase individual susceptibility to idiopathic recurrent miscarriages in an Indian population [27]. Another study in Poland Cauca- sians described that individuals harboring variant genotype and allele of rs3761548 or rs2232365, as well as haplotype AG constructed by the two SNPs, were more prone to RA [28]. Nevertheless, Gao et al. reported that genetic variation of rs3761548, but not of rs2232365, engendered a higher risk of psoriasis in the Chinese population [15]. The differences in the results of various studies implied the divergent impact of FOXP3 polymorphisms on the immune-related diseases, especially in those with a different genetic background. Two likely explanations for this phenomenon were that (1) FOXP3 polymorphisms may interact differentially with other genetic and environmental factors that change the biological context of FOXP3 in different individuals and (2) there may be some loci which predispose individuals to disease in general and other loci that determine which class or more specifically which disease an individual is more likely to get.

Furthermore, the colonic expression of FOXP3 mRNA and protein was found to be evidently upregulated in patients with UC and positively related to the severity of UC. These findings were basically consistent with the results of two previous studies by Iboshi et al. and Velikova et al., in which the higher colonic expression of FOXP3 was more prevalent in patients with UC compared with controls and was linked to a higher activity of the disease [29, 30]. Studies have demonstrated that FOXP3, mainly expressed in Tregs, is responsible for the differentiation, function, and phenotypic commitment of Tregs. Although FOXP3+ Treg accumulation in the inflamed mucosa of IBD has been observed in some studies, such an increase was not as apparent as in other intestinal inflammatory diseases, such as diverticulitis [5]. Concomitant to the evaluated frequency of colonic FOXP3+ Tregs, however, the percentage of circulating FOXP3+ Tregs was found to be obviously diminished. Therefore, this differential distribution of FOXP3+ Tregs between colonic mucosa and circulation could be partially attributed to an active recruitment and expansion of FOXP3+ Tregs in inflamed areas in an attempt to inhibit the intestinal inflammation. Unfortunately, the excessive intestinal inflammation seemed to be far beyond the compensation of FOXP3+ Tregs in patients with UC.

On the basis of the aforementioned findings, the present study further analyzed the influence of FOXP3 polymorphisms on the colonic expression of FOXP3 in patients with UC. As a consequence, the variations of rs3761548, rs2232365, and rs2294021, rather than rs3761547, were found to be related to the lower expressions of FOXP3 in colonic tissues. Subsequently, the multivariate analysis suggested that the rs2294021 variation still had a negative impact on the colonic expressions of FOXP3, even after adjusting for the clinicopathological features of patients with UC. As mentioned earlier, rs3761548 and rs2232365 exist in the promoter region of FOXP3, and a strong LD exists between rs3761548, rs2232365, and rs2294021. To be more specific,
rs3761548 is located in the core “GGCGGG” sequence of the putative binding site of some transcription factors within FOXP3, such as Sp1 [26]. Rs2232365 is mapped on FOXP3 within the putative DNA-binding site of the transcription factor GATA-3 [31]. Since Sp1 and GATA-3 are essential for the modulation of the expression of FOXP3 and Tregs function via interplay of the regulatory regions in FOXP3, it is believed that such two SNPs can affect the transcriptional activity of FOXP3 and its protein expression [32, 33]. As for rs2294021, it has been suggested that this SNP may indirectly influence the transcription of FOXP3 mRNA by forming a LD with other functional loci of FOXP3, such as rs3761548 [27]. Indeed, Zheng et al. reported that the rs3761548 mutation not only resulted in a higher risk of GD but also contributed to the reduced relative luciferase activity of the FOXP3 promoter as well as the decreased mRNA expression of FOXP3 in patients with GD [14].

It was worth mentioning that gender was an independent factor affecting the colonic expression of FOXP3 in the present study. A similar result was also obtained from a study on rat kidney, where females had greater numbers of FOXP3+ Tregs than males [34]. Wildin and Freitas found that genes on the alternative X chromosome could interact with the FOXP3 locus through its influence on X inactivation, thereby modifying the expression of FOXP3 [35]. Moreover, the effects of gender-specific hormones (e.g., estrogen) or imprinting, an epigenetic phenomenon where allelic expression relies on the gender of the parent from whom this special allele is inherited, cannot be ignored [33, 35]. Interestingly, it has recently been shown that Y-chromosome-linked
polymorphisms may differentially regulate the expression of X-linked genes [36]. Thus, hypothetically, FOXP3 gene expression may be differentially modulated in females and males through some suppressive or activation mechanisms mediated by the Y chromosome.

In conclusion, the present study suggested that FOXP3 (rs2232365, rs2294021, rs3761548, and rs3761547) variations increased the risk of UC and were related with the lower colonic expression of FOXP3 in UC patients. Moreover, male gender, rs2294021 variation, and severe UC were independently related to the colonic expression of FOXP3 in this cohort of Chinese patients with UC. Nevertheless, the cellular and molecular mechanisms by which FOXP3 polymorphisms affect the colonic expression of FOXP3 are still not entirely clear. In addition, other types of colitis, such as infectious colitis and ischemic colitis, were not included in this study to exclude the impact of other inflammation on the colonic expression of FOXP3. Finally, the colonic expression of FOXP3 was not measured in all patients, but only in subgroups. It was impossible to confirm whether the subgroups
used for examining the expression of FOXP3 were representative of the full cohort of study subjects in terms of the influences of gender, FOXP3 polymorphisms, or severity of UC in patients. Therefore, large-sample studies and subsequent in vivo and in vitro researches on the function of FOXP3 polymorphisms are imperative.
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