Case report of a KIT-mutated melanoma patient with an excellent response to apatinib and temozolomide combination therapy
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Abstract: Malignant melanoma is one kind of malignant disease which has high rates of mortality, metastasis, and poor prognosis. The therapeutic landscape is rapidly changing with the development of novel agents in recent decades, such as anti-PD-1 agents, anti-CTLA-4 agents, and BRAF inhibitors. However, since most of these novel agents are very expensive, not all patients can afford them. Apatinib is a novel oral small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting the intracellular domain of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2) and may also be effective on Ret, c-KIT, and c-src. Temozolomide (TMZ) is a second-generation alkylating agent and a cytotoxic drug for melanoma treatment. In this work, we reported a case of metastatic melanoma with an excellent response to apatinib/TMZ combination therapy with progression-free survival for more than one year. This patient showed high expression of CD117, VEGFR-3, and KIT mutation in exon 11, suggesting that apatinib may induce clinical response via inhibiting VEGFR and c-KIT. Apatinib/TMZ combination therapy could be a new option for the treatment of advanced melanoma with KIT mutation.
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Introduction
Malignant melanoma (MM) has characteristics of high mortality, high metastasis, and drug resistance. MM accounts for only 4% of all dermatological malignancies, but it is responsible for about 80% mortality of skin tumors. Many melanoma patients exhibited genetic mutations including BRAF, NRAS, KIT, PTEN, GNAQ, GNA11, and CDKN2A mutations.1–3 Despite the fact that many novel agents (eg, anti-PD-1, anti-CTLA-4, and BRAF inhibitor) have promising effects on MM treatment while the response rate to cytotoxic agents is low in melanoma patients (<20%) and many patients eventually become resistant to chemotherapy, chemotherapy is still the main palliative treatment for advanced MM in many countries due to its lower cost and accessibility.4,6 Temozolomide (TMZ) is a novel second-generation alkylating agent and an analog of dacarbazine (DTIC). The efficacy of TMZ is similar to that of DTIC, but TMZ provides improved quality of life and better physical function for the patients.4 It is recommended as the first-line therapy for MM in many countries due to its oral route of administration with nearly 100% bioavailability and extensive tissue distribution.4,5

In addition, MM is a highly angiogenic type of tumor, suggesting anti-angiogenesis as a way of treatment.7 Previous trials indicated that the combination of antiangiogenesis inhibitor and cytotoxic agent is a promising strategy for MM treatment, such as endostar with DTIC or bevacizumab with TMZ.8–11
Apatinib (YN968D1) is a novel potent oral small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting the intracellular domain of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2), and it may also be effective on Ret, c-KIT, and c-src.12 It has been proved that apatinib showed a survival benefit in gastric cancer and non-small-cell lung cancer. In the meantime, its benefit is under investigation in multiple tumor types (eg, breast cancer and liver cancer). Due to low side effects and improved outcomes, apatinib has a substantial potential to be a new therapeutic option in a variety of tumor types. Moreover, previous studies have shown that apatinib can reverse multidrug resistance (MDR), indicating a promising landscape of apatinib/cytotoxic agent combination therapy.13

In this study, we reported a promising case of a metastatic melanoma patient treated with apatinib plus TMZ as a third-line therapy.

**Case report**

On June 10, 2015, a 41-year-old man came to our hospital with a complaint of enlarged right inguinal nodules after a melanoma surgery on his right planta pedis 4 years ago. The pathology diagnosis indicated MM with immunophenotype: HMB45 (++), S-100 (+), Vim (+), EMA (+), SMA (+), Ki-67 (+50%), and Melan-A (+++). Except for multiple nodules in the right inguinal region, other metastases were ruled out by ultrasound, computed tomography (CT) scan, and MRI. Since being evaluated as a stable disease (SD), after two cycles of chemotherapy (Table 1), he went through a lymph node dissection in a local hospital.

Unfortunately, no further treatment was taken after surgery due to patient’s personal reasons. Three months after the surgery, chest CT scan showed multiple metastatic nodules in both lungs. Thus, a second-line chemotherapy of paclitaxel and carboplatin was then performed (Table 1). The patient continued to use apatinib and TMZ as a maintenance therapy. A progression-free survival (PFS) time of >1 year has been achieved.

The main toxicities the patient experienced were grade II hand-foot syndrome and grade II–III aphthous stomatitis. No other toxicities were complained and detected.

Next-generation sequencing (NGS; also known as high-throughput sequencing) analysis for the patient’s blood sample after 11 months of this new treatment revealed KIT mutation in exon 11, but didn’t show other genomic mutations, such as BRAF, NRAS, PTEN, or CDKN2A. The protein expressions of CD117 and VEGFR3 were high (Figure 2). As the patient experienced multiple drugs treatment that might change gene mutation, we further tested the inguinal lymph node tissue samples before the treatment. The result also revealed only KIT mutation in exon 11.

**Discussion**

Gene mutation plays a pivotal role in melanoma. Reported mutated genes include BRAF, NRAS, and KIT. KIT mutations

| Regimen | Beginning | Cycles | Evaluation | Efficacy |
|---------|-----------|--------|------------|---------|
| DTIC (400 mg/d D1–3) + cisplatin (45 mg/d D1–3) + endostar (15 mg/d D1–14) Q21D | After being diagnosed of inguinal lymph node metastasis | 2 | After 2 cycles of chemotherapy | SD |
| Paclitaxel (270 mg D1) + carboplatin (600 mg D1) Q21D | 5 months after first-line chemotherapy | 1 | 1 month after first administration of second-line chemotherapy | PD |
| Apatinib (500 mg QD) + TMZ (200 mg D1–5, Q28D) | After being evaluated as progressive disease | – | After 2 months’ and 5 months’ administration of combination therapy | PR |

**Abbreviations:** D, day(s); DTIC, dacarbazine; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; Q, every; SD, stable disease; TMZ, temozolomide.
occur in about 3% of patients with melanoma, especially in acral, mucosal, and chronic sun damage melanoma. The most common KIT mutation identified in MM is located in exons 11 (~70%), 13 (13% or so), and 17 (9% or so). As mentioned above, the patient with acral melanoma reported in this work had KIT mutation in exon 11, which may account for melanoma developing. KIT is found to be dispensable for growth, differentiation, migration, and proliferation in melanocytes systems. Besides, data show that abnormal activation of KIT signaling leads to increased growth and survival behavior and increased oncogenic potential in melanoma cells, indicating the reason why this patient progressed.14,15

Regimens in melanoma treatment include checkpoint immunotherapy, BRAF-targeted therapy, high-dose interleukin-2, chemotherapy, biochemotherapy, and clinical trials. For those who have KIT mutation, imatinib is also a good option. Results from one trial of imatinib in Chinese patients harboring KIT mutation or amplification showed the median PFS of 3.5 months, and the median PFS for patients who had PR or SD versus PD was 9.0 versus 1.5 months.16 Apart from the regimens mentioned above, the landscape of anti-angiogenesis agents in MM treatment has aroused increasing attention. Results from a Phase II trial led by Chinese Professor Guo showed that median PFS in the endostar/DTIC arm was 4.5 months versus 1.5 months in placebo/DTIC arm (p=0.013).4 There were statistically significant improvements in median overall survival (OS; 12.0 vs 8.0 months, p=0.005) in favor of endostar/DTIC arm.
Based on this trial, the Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology recommends endostar plus DTIC as the first-line setting for advanced MM treatment. Besides, a number of Phase II clinical trials have shown the efficacy of bevacizumab/cytotoxic agent combination therapy in melanoma treatment.\textsuperscript{9-11} For example, SAKK50/07 trial evaluated the efficacy of bevacizumab/TMZ combination therapy in 62 patients, and the results showed that overall response rate was 16.1% (complete response: 1 patient and PR: 9 patients), and median PFS and OS were 4.2 and 9.6 months, respectively.\textsuperscript{11} All the information gave us a hint that the combination of anti-angiogenesis inhibitor and cytotoxic agent could be effective on MM treatment.

Apatinib is an anti-angiogenesis agent that preferably targets VEGFR-2. Besides, one previous study has shown that apatinib could also potently inhibit the activities of Ret, c-KIT, and c-src.\textsuperscript{12} Multiple clinical trials have shown the survival benefit of apatinib in tumors such as gastric cancer and non-small-cell lung cancer. Apart from the ongoing trials, some case reports also showed the promising landscape of apatinib in various solid tumors such as advanced angiosarcoma and myxoid/round cell liposarcoma.\textsuperscript{17,18} It is also worth noticing that some preclinical studies show that apatinib can reverse MDR with other conventional antineoplastic drugs by inhibiting the efflux function of ABC transporters such as P-gp (ABCB1), MRP1 (ABCC1), and BCRP (ABCG2), suggesting that the combination of apatinib and chemotherapeutic agents may be a promising strategy in clinic.\textsuperscript{15}

In this case, the patient with advanced melanoma failed two lines of chemotherapy, and he refused to accept expensive novel drugs. Therefore, after getting a written informed consent from the patient, we gave him a combination regimen of an anti-angiogenesis apatinib and one alkylating agent TMZ. Surprisingly, he showed a longer PFS of >1 year. Compared with the results of therapies mentioned above such as endostar plus DTIC, bevacizumab plus TMZ, and imatinib, our combination regimen showed better efficacy than TMZ or imatinib monotherapy or TMZ combination therapy reported previously. Besides, this treatment is also convenient for patients since both of them are oral drugs. In addition, the main adverse events were tolerable with mild hand-foot syndrome and aphthous stomatitis.

Considering that many melanoma patients have gene mutations and apatinib not only targets VEGFR but also works on Ret, c-KIT, and c-src, some tests were done to see whether there were any abnormalities in this patient. Because the sample of his right planta pedis was poorly stored and it took time to test every piece of the inguinal lymph node sample, we preferentially tested the patient’s blood first hoping to find something. The patient’s NGS analysis revealed only KIT mutation in exon 11. It was really surprising to find KIT mutation since it was even rare in tumors with high prevalence of KIT mutation like gastrointestinal stromal tumor, let alone in melanoma with <3% mutation rate of KIT. The reason why KIT mutation could be detected in blood was that tumor cells entered into the vessels and then released DNA, which explained how the patient developed metastasis. The excellent response in this patient with a KIT-mutant melanoma suggests that routine screening of acral melanoma for KIT mutation may be critical in identifying patients who may benefit from apatinib. Besides, tests of the inguinal lymph node sample were done later and revealed high expression of CD117 and VEGFR-3, suggesting that apatinib may work for the patient by inhibiting VEGFR and c-KIT. However, it still needs more large prospective trials to verify the efficacy and safety of this treatment.

**Conclusion**

In this case report, the combination of apatinib and TMZ exerted good efficacy and safety in the treatment of a melanoma patient with KIT mutation in exon 11, who had progressed after the second-line chemotherapy. As NGS analysis did not find any other gene mutations, KIT might be the patient’s driver gene, suggesting that routine screening of acral melanoma for KIT mutation may open up new treatment choice for those patients. Considering apatinib has multiple mechanisms in anti-tumor effect, it is possible that apatinib plus TMZ might be a feasible option in metastatic melanoma with KIT mutation in exon 11. Large prospective trials are needed to confirm the efficacy and safety of apatinib and TMZ combination therapy for MM treatment in the future.
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