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ABSTRACT The output power from a solar power generation system (SPGS) changes significantly because of environmental factors, which affects the stability and reliability of a power distribution system. This study proposes a SPGS with the power smoothing function. The proposed SPGS consists of a solar cell array, a battery set, a dual-input buck-boost DC-AC inverter (DIBBDAI) and a boost power converter (BPC). The DIBBDAI combines the functions of voltage boost, voltage buck and DC-AC power conversion. The BPC acts as a battery charger between the solar cell array and the battery set. For the proposed SPGS, the DC power that is provided by the solar cell array or the battery set is converted into AC power through only one power stage. The solar cell array also charges the battery set through only one power stage. This increases the power conversion efficiency for the solar cell array, the battery set and the utility. The battery set is charged/discharged when the output power of the solar cell array changes drastically, in order to smooth the output power from the SPGS. In addition, the DIBBDAI can suppress the leakage current that is induced by the parasitic capacitance of the solar cell array. The proposed power conversion interface increases power efficiency, smooths power fluctuation and decreases leakage current for a SPGS. A hardware prototype is completed to verify the performance of the proposed SPGS.

INDEX TERMS Solar power generation, power smoothing, buck-boost DC-AC inverter.

I. INTRODUCTION

Extreme climate change has created global warming. In order to prevent irreversible climate change, the United Nations promotes the international convention on greenhouse gas emission reduction. Most countries are actively developing renewable power generation to reduce the environmental impact of greenhouse gas emissions. Renewable energy from solar energy and wind energy involves mature technology and is widely used to generate electricity. In the past, renewable power generation was expensive and depended on government subsidies but the cost of renewable power generation has decreased rapidly due to developments in manufacturing technology. The cost of renewable energy power generation in many countries is close to or less than the price of electricity that is generated using fossil fuels so an increasing number of renewable power generation systems are being integrated into the grid to generate electricity.

The output power from a solar power generation system (SPGS) changes significantly due to environmental factors [1]–[12]. These environmental factors change with the weather and seasons and cannot be controlled. As the penetration of SPGSs increases, drastic changes in their power generation will affect the voltage and frequency of distribution power system and can cause power outages. This reduces the power quality of distribution power systems.

Several control strategies for the power conversion interface are used to alleviate the fluctuation in the output power from a SPGS [1]. However, these control strategies only limit the increase in power from the SPGS by giving up maximum power tracking, and they only suppress the upward power fluctuations for the SPGS. In addition, the power that is generated by the SPGS is also decreased. To suppress upward and downward fluctuations of the SPGS, the rapid power regulation technology is required to temporarily store and release power to stabilize the power output from the SPGS. Since battery set has the advantages of small size, quick absorption and release of electrical energy and flexible operation, it has
considerable potential as a power regulation device for the SPGS [2]–[16]. In general, the control concept for smoothing the output power of SPGS is that the battery energy storage system supplies the difference between the average value and the instantaneous value for the output power of SPGS. The average value for the output power of SPGS can be calculated by low-pass filters [3]–[6], moving average filters [3], [4], [7], Savitzky–Golay filtering [8] and moving regression filter [9]. Since the instantaneous value for the output power of SPGS is rare equal to its average value, the charging/discharging time of the battery set is long.

The output from a solar cell array is DC power and the battery set stores power in DC form, so a power conversion interface is needed for integrating solar cell array or battery set into the power grid for DC-AC power conversion [1]–[23].

The configuration of SPGS and battery energy storage system can be divided into AC coupling [9]–[12] and DC coupling [4], [8], [13]–[16]. For the AC coupling configuration, the SPGS and the battery energy storage system (BESS) are respectively connected to the grid. Therefore, the SPGS and the BESS have their own DC-AC power converter and the circuit structure is more complicated. For the DC coupling configuration, the SPGS and the BESS share a common DC-AC power converter so the circuit structure is relatively simple.

Small capacity SPGSs or BESS use fewer solar modules or batteries so the DC voltage is lower. A DC-AC power converter with a traditional bridge architecture or multi-level architecture is derived from a buck power converter so the DC bus voltage for the DC-AC power converter must be greater than the peak value of grid voltage [1]–[18]. A boost power converter (BPC) must be inserted between the DC-AC power converter and the solar cell array or battery set and all power from the solar cell array or battery set must be processed using two power conversion stages. For the AC coupling configuration of SPGS and battery energy storage system, it needs four power stages for power conversion. The number of power processing stage is reduced to three for the DC coupling configuration of SPGS and battery energy storage system. Hence, the power circuit is more complicated and the power efficiency is degraded. In addition, the charging power from solar cell array to the battery set should also be processed using two DC-DC power converters for integrating the BESS to perform the power smoothing function for a SPGS.

An Z-source DC-AC power converter shifts the filter inductor from the AC side of the traditional bridge architecture to the DC side so the power electronic switches for the same arm in the bridge architecture can be turned on at the same time to boost the voltage [19], [20], [24], [25]. As a result, the DC input voltage can be less than the peak value of grid voltage. However, the boosting voltage gain is limited and the control is more complicated. A boost DC-AC power converter uses two BPCs for DC-AC power conversion [26], [27]. Each BPC generates an AC voltage with a DC offset. The output voltage is the difference between the output voltages of the two BPCs and the DC offsets will be canceled each other. However, the output voltage has a higher peak value due to the DC offset. As a result, the voltage rating and the switching loss for the power electronic switches are increased. In addition, the Z-source DC-AC power converter and the boost DC-AC power converter cannot solve the problem of leakage current for the applications of SPGS. In [28], [29], a buck-boost converter is integrated to the AC side of bridge architecture. The bridge architecture is switched in synchronous with the grid voltage to generate a square voltage, and the buck-boost converter is used to step up or down voltage and control the output current. The bridge architecture can be replaced by cascaded bridge architecture [30]. The power electronic switches used in the buck-boost converter should be bidirectional, which are composed of two conventional power electronic switches in series. The buck-boost converter can also be integrated to the DC side of bridge architecture [31], [32]. However, the power efficiency for the buck-boost converter is degraded because all of conversion power should be stored to and then released from the inductor. Moreover, these DC-AC power converters process only one DC power source.

This study proposes a SPGS with a power smoothing function. The proposed SPGS uses a dual-input buck-boost DC-AC inverter (DIBBDAI) and a BPC to integrate a solar cell array and a battery set to generate power injecting into the grid. The DIBBDAI integrates two DC power sources. For the proposed SPGS, the DC power that is provided by either the solar cell array or the battery set is converted into AC power using only the DIBBDAI, and the battery set is charged from the solar cell array only using the BPC. The battery set is charged/discharged when the output power from the solar cell array changes significantly, in order to smooth the output power from the SPGS. The negative terminal voltage for the solar cell array contains little high frequency components, so the leakage current that is induced by the parasitic capacitance of the solar cell array is smaller.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the circuit configuration is presented. In Sections III and IV, the operations of the DIBBDAI and BPC are addressed. The operation flowchart for the proposed SPGS with power smoothing function is explained in Section V. The control block for DIBBDAI is introduced in Section VI. In Section VII, several experimental results are provided to verify the proposed SPGS. Finally, some conclusions are summarized in Section VIII.

II. CIRCUIT CONFIGURATION

The circuit configuration for the proposed SPGS is shown in Fig. 1. The DC coupling configuration is used for the proposed SPGS. As seen in Fig. 1, the proposed SPGS is composed of a solar cell array, a battery set and the power conversion interface. The power conversion interface consists of a DIBBDAI and a BPC. The BPC is connected between the solar cell array and the battery set to control the power from the solar cell array that charges the battery set. In order to reduce the capacity of battery set, the battery set only operates when the power variation for the solar cell array exceeds the...
specified range. The battery set is only charged by the solar cell array so the power flow of BPC is unidirectional.

The DIBBDAI performs the functions of voltage boost, voltage buck and DC-AC power conversion. The DIBBDAI BPC operate at the same time to convert the power from the solar cell array for injecting into the grid and for charging the battery set, respectively.

III. OPERATION OF DIBBDAI

The two input terminals of the DIBBDAI are respectively connected to the solar cell array and the battery set. The operation of the DIBBDAI can be divided into the boost mode and the buck mode. The two input terminals of the DIBBDAI are respectively connected to the power electronic switches $S_1$ and $S_2$. Since the voltage of the solar cell array is lower than that of the battery set, $S_1$ should further contain a diode to form a unidirectional switch to prevent the battery voltage from affecting the voltage of the solar cell array. Power electronic switches $S_1$ and $S_2$ are used as switches during the buck mode.

For the proposed SPGS, the solar cell array and the battery set will not release the power at the same time; hence, the power electronic switches $S_1$ and $S_2$ will not operate at the same time. The DIBBDAI also includes a bridge structure that is composed of $S_3$-$S_6$ for commutation, in order to generate an AC output current. Among them, $S_3$ and $S_4$ further contain a diode to form a unidirectional switch to avoid short circuit in the grid. $S_3$ or $S_4$ are also used as switches during the boost mode. $C_{f1}$, $C_{f2}$, $R_f$ and $L_f$ create a damped second-order low-pass filter.

If the solar cell array is operating and the battery set is disabled, $S_1$ is activated and $S_2$ is turned off. If the grid has two DC input terminals, which are respectively connected to battery set and the solar cell array, and both the battery set and the solar cell array can directly use the DIBBDAI to convert DC power into AC power for supplying to the grid.

When the output power from the solar cell array is stable, the DIBBDAI converts the power from the solar cell array to the grid, so the BPC is disabled and the battery set is in standby mode. The DIBBDAI converts the power from the battery set to the grid when the power of solar cell array suddenly decreases, and the BPC tracks the maximum power of solar cell array to charge the battery set. When the power of solar cell array suddenly increases, the DIBBDAI and voltage is lower than the voltage of the solar cell array, the DIBBDAI is operated in the buck mode. At this time, $S_1$ is operated in pulse width modulation (PWM) switching and $S_3$-$S_6$ are operated in accordance with the polarity of the grid voltage. The operation of buck mode can be divided into four modes.

Mode Bk-1: During the positive half cycle of the grid voltage, $S_1$, $S_3$, and $S_6$ are turned on, and $S_4$, $S_5$ and $D$ are turned off. The change rate for the inductor current is:

$$\frac{di_L}{dt} = \frac{V_{sol} - v_s}{L}$$

where $v_s$ and $V_{sol}$ are the grid voltage and the voltage of the solar cell array, respectively. The solar cell array releases energy to the inductor and the grid.

Mode Bk-2: During the positive half cycle of the grid voltage, $S_3$, $S_6$ and $D$ are turned on, and $S_1$, $S_4$, and $S_5$ are turned off. The change rate for the inductor current is:

$$\frac{di_L}{dt} = -\frac{v_s}{L}$$

The inductor releases energy to the grid.

Mode Bk-3: During the negative half cycle of the grid voltage, $S_1$, $S_4$ and $S_3$ are turned on, and $S_5$, $S_6$ and $D$ are turned off. The change rate for the inductor current is:

$$\frac{di_L}{dt} = \frac{V_{sol} + v_s}{L}$$

The solar cell array releases energy to the inductor and the grid.

Mode Bk-4: During the negative half cycle of the grid voltage, $S_4$, $S_5$ and $D$ are turned on, and $S_1$, $S_3$ and $S_6$ are turned off. The change rate for the inductor current is:

$$\frac{di_L}{dt} = -\frac{v_s}{L}$$

The inductor releases energy to the grid.

The equivalent circuit for the DIBBDAI in the buck mode is shown in Fig. 2, where S is $S_1$. The grid voltage is converted...
to its absolute value by the operation of $S_3$-$S_6$ and then added to the output of conventional buck power converter. As a result, the DIBBDAl operates as a conventional buck power converter, and the inductor current can be controlled by the switching of $S$.

If the grid voltage is higher than the voltage of the solar cell array, the DIBBDAl operates in the boost mode. At this time, $S_1$ is always on, $S_4$ and $S_3$ are respectively operated in PWM switching during the positive and negative half cycles for the grid voltage and $S_6$ and $S_5$ are operated in accordance with the polarity of the grid voltage. The operation of boost mode can also be divided into four modes.

**Mode Bt-1:** When the grid voltage is in the positive half cycle, $S_3$, $S_4$ and $S_6$ are turned on and $S_5$ is turned off. The change rate for the inductor current is:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \frac{V_{sol}}{L}$$

The solar cell array releases energy to the inductor.

**Mode Bt-2:** When the grid voltage is in the positive half cycle, $S_3$ and $S_6$ are turned on and $S_4$ and $S_5$ are turned off. The change rate for the inductor current is:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \frac{V_{sol} - v_s}{L}$$

Both the solar cell array and the inductor release energy to the grid.

**Mode Bt-3:** When the grid voltage is in the negative half cycle, $S_3$, $S_4$ and $S_5$ are turned on and $S_6$ is turned off. The change rate for the inductor current is:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \frac{V_{sol}}{L}$$

The solar cell array releases energy to the inductor.

**Mode Bt-4:** When the grid voltage is in the negative half cycle, $S_4$ and $S_5$ are turned on and $S_3$ and $S_6$ are turned off. The change rate for the inductor current is:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \frac{V_{sol} + v_s}{L}$$  (8)

Both the solar cell array and the inductor release energy to the grid.

The equivalent circuit for the DIBBDAl operating in the boost mode is shown in Fig. 3. The grid voltage is converted to its absolute value and then added to the output of conventional BPC by the operation of $S_3$-$S_6$. In Fig. 3, switch $S$ is $S_4$ or $S_3$ and the diode is the body diode of $S_3$ or $S_4$ depending on the polarity of the grid voltage. The DIBBDAl operates as a conventional BPC and the inductor current is controlled by switching $S$.

When the DIBBDAl is powered by the battery set, $S_2$ is activated and $S_1$ is turned off. At this time, the DIBBDAl operates similarly to when the solar cell array is operated, and it is not repeated.

The operation of $S_1$-$S_6$ is summarized in Tab. 1 where PHC and NHC mean the positive half cycle and negative half cycle. Fig. 4 shows the control signals $S_1$-$S_6$ and operation voltage during a grid cycle when the solar cell array supplies power to the DIBBDAl. As can be seen in Fig. 4, only one power electronic switch is operated in PWM switching at any time, and $V_{AN}$ and $V_{BN}$ are close to the positive cycle voltage and the negative cycle voltage of grid, respectively.

Fig. 5 shows the equivalent circuit of the DIBBDAl for the leakage current analysis [33], [34]. In Fig. 5, $C_{PV}$ and $R_g$ are the stray capacitor for the solar cell array and ground resistor for the grid, respectively. $V_{AN}$ and $V_{BN}$ are the voltages from terminals A and B to the negative terminal N of the solar cell array, respectively. Since $C_{f1}$, $C_{f2}$ and $R_f$ are connected across terminals A and B, these components do not affect the leakage current. $S_5$ and $S_6$ are switched synchronously with the grid voltage. $S_6$ is turned on and $S_5$ is turned off when the grid voltage is in the positive half cycle, and:

$$V_{AN} = v_s + v_{LF}$$

$$V_{BN} = 0$$

where $v_{LF}$ is the voltage of $L_f$. Since $V_{BN}$ is equal to 0, the voltage across the loop of $C_{PV}$ and $R_g$ is 0 and the leakage current is 0.

When the grid voltage is in the negative half cycle, $S_5$ is turned on and $S_6$ is turned off and

$$V_{AN} = 0$$

$$V_{BN} = -(v_s + v_{LF})$$

The voltage across the loop of $C_{PV}$ and $R_g$ is $-(v_s + v_{LF})$. Since $v_s$ is a sinusoidal voltage of 60Hz and the impedance of the stray capacitor is very large at 60Hz, the leakage current induced by $v_s$ is very small. $v_{LF}$ contains a switching-frequency component, which is caused by the switching-frequency ripple in the output current. However, the switching-frequency component of $v_{LF}$ is effectively suppressed by $C_{f1}$, $C_{f2}$ and $R_f$. As can be seen in
TABLE 1. Operation of $S_1$-$S_6$.

| Power Source | Voltage Range | $S_1$ | $S_2$ | $S_3$ | $S_4$ | $S_5$ | $S_6$ |
|--------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Solar cell array | $V_L<V_{dc}$ | PWM | off | on | off | on | on |
| Solar cell array | $V_L>V_{dc}$ | on | off | on | PWM | off | on |
| Battery | $V_L<V_{dc}$ | off | on | off | PWM | on | on |
| Battery | $V_L>V_{dc}$ | on | off | on | PWM | on | off |

Fig. 4 and (h). $V_{AN}$ and $V_{BN}$ are respectively close to the positive cycle voltage and the negative cycle voltage of grid, and it is consistent with the analysis. Accordingly, the leakage current induced by $v_{LF}$ is still small even the impedance of the stray capacitor at the switching frequency is small. Therefore, the leakage current contains a small switching-frequency component and a small fundamental component during the negative half cycle.

**IV. OPERATION OF BPC**

The BPC is inserted between the solar cell array and the battery set and is used when the battery set is charged by the solar cell array. The BPC is disabled when the power variation for the solar cell array is within the specified range. The BPC performs maximum power tracking (MPPT) for the solar cell array if the power variation for the solar cell array exceeds the specified range. The BPC is designed to be operated in continuous-conduction mode, and the relationship between the voltage of the solar cell array and the voltage of the battery set is:

$$\frac{V_{sol}}{V_{bat}} = \frac{1}{1 - D_{Sb}}$$

where $D_{Sb}$ is the duty cycle for $S_b$.

**V. SMOOTHING OPERATION**

If the output power of the solar cell array is stable, the output power from the solar cell array is converted into AC power by the DIBBDAl. At this time, the DIBBDAl performs MPPT using the perturbation and observation (P&O) method. The output voltage from the solar cell array is disturbed, and then the change in the power from the solar cell array is observed to determine the direction in which the output voltage from the solar cell array is disturbed until the maximum power point is tracked. The output power smoothing mechanism is activated when the power variation for the solar cell array significantly exceeds the specified range. The state of charge (SOC) of the battery set is specified as between 30% and 90% to avoid over-charging or over-discharging, which decreases the life of the battery set. Considering the upward and downward power fluctuations of SPGS, the desired SOC of the battery set is at 60% when the battery set is in the stand-by mode. Since this study concerns the smoothing operation for the output power from the SPGS, the SOC of the battery set is estimated simply using the terminal voltage.

The output power from the SPGS is smoothed when the power variation ($\Delta P_{PV}$) for the solar cell array exceeds the specified value $P_1$. $P_1$ is determined by the power variation of regulation. The DIBBDAl is still powered by the solar
cell array but MPPT is performed by the BPC using the P&O method. Consequently, the output current amplitude of DIBBDAI is controlled to be increased linearly along a specified gradient, driving the output power ($P_{out}$) from the SPGS also linearly increases along the specified gradient. The specified gradient for the output current amplitude depends on the allowable maximum power variation for $P_{out}$. At this time, the difference between the $P_{PV}$ and $P_{out}$ is positive. This power difference is automatically injected into the battery set through the BPC and the SOC of battery set is increased. When $P_{out}$ exceeds $P_{PV}$ by $P_2$, the DIBBDAI controls the output current amplitude to be a fixed value. The BPC still performs MPPT. At this time, the difference between $P_{PV}$ and $P_{out}$ becomes negative and the power difference is automatically supplied from the battery set to decrease the SOC of the battery set. If $P_{PV}$ remains stable, the BPC stops operating when the SOC of the battery decreases to 60%. The DIBBDAI performs MPPT. At this moment, the power variation in $P_{out}$ is $P_3$. $P_2$ must be less than or equal to $P_1$ to avoid exceeding the power variation of regulation. When the output power $P_{out}$ is still less than $P_{PV}$ and the SOC of the battery set has been equal to 90%, the BPC stops and the power smoothing function is disabled, in order to prevent the battery set from over-charging.

The output power from the SPGS is also smoothed when $\Delta P_{PV}$ for the output power from the solar cell array decreases to less than the specified value $−P_1$. At this time, the DIBBDAI is powered by the battery set and the BPC performs MPPT. The solar cell array charges the battery set through the BPC. The DIBBDAI decreases the amplitude of the output along the specified gradient, so $P_{out}$ also linearly decreases along the specified gradient. At this time, the difference between $P_{out}$ and $P_{PV}$ is automatically supplied from the battery set and the SOC of the battery set is decreased. When $P_{PV}$ remains stable, the BPC stops operating when the SOC of the battery is increased. If $P_{PV}$ remains stable, the BPC stops operating when the SOC of the battery set is increased to 60%. The DIBBDAI is powered by the solar cell array and performs MPPT. At this moment, the power variation in $P_{out}$ is $P_2$. If $P_{out}$ is not less than $P_{PV}$ and the SOC of the battery set has been equal to 50%, the BPC stops smoothing the power output of the SPGS, in order to prevent the battery set from over-discharging. The DIBBDAI is powered by the solar cell array and performs MPPT.

The operation flowchart for the proposed SPGS with power smoothing function is shown in Fig. 6. No matter $P_{PV}$ increases or decreases drastically, $P_{out}$ increases or decreases linearly along the specified gradient to smooth the output power from the SPGS. Since the battery set is actuated only when the absolute value of power variation ($\Delta P_{PV}$) for the solar cell array exceeds the specified value $P_1$, the charging/discharging time of the battery set is much less than other smoothing methods [3]–[9]. Moreover, regardless of whether the battery set is charged or discharged to smooth the output power from the solar cell array, the battery set will immediately discharge or charge to maintain its SOC close to 60% when $P_{out}$ catches up with $P_{PV}$. As a result, the capacity of battery set can be reduced.

**VI. CONTROL BLOCK**

Fig. 7 shows the control block for the DIBBDAI. The output voltage and the output current from the solar cell array are sent to the MPPT block to calculate $P_{PV}$ and to generate a predicted voltage for the solar cell array. The predicted voltage and the detected voltage for the solar cell array are sent to a proportional integral (PI) controller to generate a MPPT control signal. The power variation ($\Delta P_{PV}$) for the solar cell array is also calculated in the MPPT block. $\Delta P_{PV}$ is sent to comparison block I and compared with ($P_1$, $−P_1$) to generate an amplitude gradient control signal, $S_{sl}$. When $P_{PV}$ is greater than $P_1$, $S_{sl}$ is $+V_{sl}$. $S_{sl}$ is $−V_{sl}$ when $P_{PV}$ is less than $−P_1$. When $P_{PV}$ is between $P_1$ and $−P_1$, $S_{sl}$ is 0. $S_{sl}$ is sent to an integrator. When $S_{sl}$ is $+V_{sl}$, a linearly increasing signal is generated. A linearly decreasing signal is generated if $S_{sl}$ is $−V_{sl}$. Therefore, $V_{sl}$ controls the gradient of the amplitude control signal for the output current to determine the gradient in $P_{out}$ when the smoothing function is actuated. When $P_{PV}$ is stable, $S_{sl}$ is 0 and the amplitude control signal is determined by the MPPT control signal. The MPPT control signal remains unchanged and $V_{sl}$ controls the gradient of the amplitude control signal for the output current if $P_{PV}$ changes significantly. The amplitude control signal and a unity sinusoidal signal are sent to a multiplier and an absolute value block to generate the output current reference signal. The unity sinusoidal signal is generated by a phase-locked loop (PLL) and a sinusoidal table to ensure the unity sinusoidal signal is a sine-wave and in phase with the grid voltage. Because the DIBBDAI can be operated in the buck mode and the boost mode, the current control involves two parts. The detected output current is sent to an absolute value block. In buck mode, the detected absolute output current is compared with the output current reference signal and sent to the current controller I. The output from current controller I is added to the feedforward control signal $v_{f,bk}$ to generate a buck modulation signal and then sent to the PWM block I to generate a buck PWM signal $PWM_{bk}$. The carrier based PWM technology is used in the PWM block I. The buck modulation signal is compared with a triangle carrier in the PWM block I to generate a buck PWM signal $PWM_{bk}$. The feedforward control signal $v_{f,bk}$ is:

$$v_{f,bk} = \frac{|v_s|}{k_{PWM1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

and,

$$k_{PWM1} = \frac{V_{dc}}{V_{tri}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $V_{tri}$ is the peak value for the triangular carrier and $V_{dc}$ is the input voltage for the DIBBDAI, which is the voltage of either the solar cell array or the battery set.

Since the output current from the DIBBDAI contains large high-frequency switching harmonics in the boost mode, the
The detected absolute output current is sent to an extra low-pass filter. The output from the low-pass filter is compared with the output current reference signal and then sent to the current controller II. The output from the current controller II is added to the feedforward control signal \( v_{f,b} \) to generate a boost modulation signal and then sent to the PWM block II. The carrier based PWM technology is also used in the PWM block II. The boost modulation signal is compared with a triangle carrier in the PWM block II to generate a boost PWM signal \( \text{PWM}_{bt} \). The feedforward control signal \( v_{f, bt} \) is:

\[
 v_{f, bt} = \frac{|v_{dc}|}{|v_s|} V_{pri} \tag{16}
\]

VII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify the performance of the proposed SPGS, an 800VA prototype was created for practical experiments. Fig. 8 is the prototype of proposed SPGS. The circuit parameters for the prototype are shown in Tab. 2. The prototype is connected to a single-phase utility that operates at 110V and 60Hz. The battery set contains ten batteries connected in series, and the nominal voltage of battery is 12V.

In order to facilitate the experiments, the solar cell array is replaced with a power supply for steady-state test. Fig. 9 shows the experimental results for the DIBBDAI. The output voltage from the power supply is 100V. Fig. 9(b) shows that the output current for the DIBBDAI is a sine wave that is in phase with the grid voltage. Fig. 9(c) shows that the current for the inductor \( L \) is similar to the output current in buck mode. However, the current for the inductor \( L \) and the output current have a relationship of \( (1-D) \) times in boost mode. The grid voltage is sent to the comparison block II and compared with 0 to generate a control signal, \( S_p \). \( S_p \) is 1 and 0 during the positive and negative half cycles of the grid voltage, respectively. The absolute value of the grid voltage is also compared with \( V_{dc} \) to generate a control signal, \( S_b \). When the absolute value of the grid voltage is greater than \( V_{dc} \), \( S_b \) is 1, and the DIBBDAI operates in the boost mode. On the contrary, \( S_b \) is 0, and the DIBBDAI operates in the buck mode. Finally, \( S_p \), \( S_b \), \( \text{PWM}_{bk} \) and \( \text{PWM}_{bt} \) are sent to the logic processing block to create the control signals for \( S_1\text{-}S_6 \). The control signals for \( S_1\text{-}S_6 \) are:

\[
 S_1 = (\bar{S}_b \cdot \text{PWM}_{bk} + S_b) \cdot S_o \tag{17}
\]

\[
 S_2 = (\bar{S}_b \cdot \text{PWM}_{bk} + S_b) \cdot \bar{S}_o \tag{18}
\]

\[
 S_3 = \bar{S}_b \cdot S_b \cdot \text{PWM}_{bt} + S_p \tag{19}
\]

\[
 S_4 = S_p \cdot \bar{S}_b \cdot \text{PWM}_{bt} + \bar{S}_p \tag{20}
\]

\[
 S_5 = \bar{S}_p \tag{21}
\]

\[
 S_6 = S_p \tag{22}
\]

where \( S_o \) depends on the input source for the DIBBDAI. \( S_o \) is 1 if the solar cell array provides power and 0 if the battery set provides power.

The BPC is controlled by current control, which uses the MPPT control signal from the solar cell array and the current from the inductor \( L \), and the output of current control is sent to the PWM block to create the control signal for \( S_D \).

The feedforward control signals \( v_{f,bk} \) and \( v_{f,b} \) will generate the major part of modulation signal, and the current controller I and the current controller II are used to fine tune the modulation signal to ensure that the detected absolute output current can trace the output current reference signal to be an absolute sine-wave.

TABLE 2. Circuit parameters of the prototype.

| BPC      | DIBBDAI |
|----------|---------|
| \( L_a \) | 1mH     | switching frequency | 20kHz |
| \( C_{n1}, C_{n2} \) | 9.4µF  | \( I_c \)          | 0.5mH |
| \( C_{n3} \) | 1000µF |                   |       |

FIGURE 6. Operation flowchart for the proposed SPGS with power smoothing function.

FIGURE 7. Control block for the DIBBDAI.

FIGURE 8. Prototype of proposed SPGS.
mode, where D is the duty cycle of S in the equivalent circuit for the boost mode. Since D is not a constant, the relationship between the current for inductor L and the output current is nonlinear. Fig. 10 shows the experimental results for the leakage current for the DIBBDA. A capacitor of 60nF and a resistor of 5Ω are respectively used to simulate the stray capacitance for the solar cell array and the ground resistor for the grid. Fig. 10 shows that the leakage current is almost zero during the positive half cycle for the grid and there is a small high-frequency component in the leakage current during the negative half cycle for the grid. These experimental results are consistent with the analysis in Sec. III. The RMS value of the leakage current is 10.15mA in Fig. 10.

Since the DIBBDA can be powered by the solar cell array or the battery set, the input DC voltage will be changed instantly when the input power source is changed. Fig. 11 shows the experimental results when the input DC voltage for the DIBBDA is changed. In order to facilitate the observation of the impact on the input DC voltage change, the input power source is not changed but the input DC voltage is changed from 87V to 125V. Fig. 11(c) shows that the output current from the DIBBDA is almost unaffected by a change in the input DC voltage. However, the maximum value for the current of inductor L, which is shown in Fig. 11(b), is reduced because the interval for the boost mode decreases. Fig. 12 shows the experimental results for the BPC, where the solar cell array charges the battery set. Fig. 13 shows the power efficiency of the DIBBDA for different input DC voltages. The higher the input DC voltage is, the higher the power efficiency of DIBBDA will be.

The solar cell array is composed of three solar modules connected in series. The maximum power, open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current of solar module are 255W, 38.08V and 8.65A, respectively. The PV simulator is used in the experiments, and the setting of PV simulator is according to the practical solar cell array. Fig. 14 shows the output power from the solar cell array where the short-current is set at about 6A to simulate 700W/m² illumination. Fig. 14(a) shows the power sweep curve of the solar cell array, where the voltage of solar cell array changes with time. As can be seen, the maximum power is about 550W. Fig. 14(b) shows the output power from the solar cell array when the solar cell array is connected to the input of the DIBBDA. From Fig. 14(b), it is seen that the output power from the solar cell array gradually increased, and finally maintained at 550W. This proves the MPPT performance of the DIBBDA. Figs. 15 and 16 show the transient results when the power variation for the solar cell array significantly exceeds the specified value. The parameters P₁ and P₂ in the operation flowchart are 80W/min and 65W, respectively. In Fig. 15, the output power from the solar cell array is suddenly increased from 300W to 500W. As can be seen, the output power from the SPGS increases along the specified gradient and excess
Power from the solar cell array will charge the battery set. The specified gradient for the output power from the SPGS is about 80W/min, which equates to a 10% variation in the rated power per minute. The output power from the SPGS is increased to more than the output power from the solar cell array by the setting power $P_2$ so as to discharge the battery set. The output power from the SPGS then decreases to be close to the output power from the solar cell array when the discharging power is almost equal to the charging power for the battery set. In Fig. 16, the output power from the solar cell array is suddenly decreased from 500W to 300W. As can be seen, the output power from the SPGS decreases along the specified gradient, and the insufficient power will be discharged from the battery set. The output power from the SPGS decreases to less than the output power from the solar cell array by the setting power $P_2$ so as to charge the battery set. The output power from the SPGS then increases to be close to the output power from the solar cell array when the charging power is almost equal to the discharging power for the battery set.

**VIII. CONCLUSION**

This study proposes a SPGS with a power smoothing function. The proposed SPGS uses a DIBBDAI to integrate the solar cell array and the battery set for outputting a smoother power. The proposed SPGS has the following innovative features.

1. The SPGS integrates two input power sources, solar cell array and battery set, which can be changed seamlessly. The battery set acts as an energy buffer to smooth the power variation from the SPGS. The proposed SPGS using only two power stages, hence, the power circuit is simplified.
2. Regardless of whether the input power source is the solar cell array or the battery set, only one power stage is required to convert DC power to AC power. Besides, the power for charging the battery set from the solar cell array is only through one power stage.

3. The leakage current induced by the stray capacitance of the solar cell array is suppressed due to the use of the proposed SPGS.

The experimental results show that the proposed SPGS outputs a sinusoidal current in phase with the utility voltage and smooth the power variation caused by the power fluctuation from the solar cell array. In addition, the leakage current of solar cell array is effectively suppressed. Therefore, it verifies that the major concerns of power fluctuation and leakage current can be solved by using the proposed SPGS. An evaluation for the capacity of battery set required for smoothing and comparison with other smoothing methods in the practical application will be further studied in the future.
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