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**Abstract**

There is an emerging scientific need for reliable tools for monitoring membrane protein transport. We present a methodology leading to the reconstitution of efflux pumps from the Gram negative bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a biomimetic environment that allows for an accurate investigation of their activity of transport. Three pre-requisites are fulfilled: compartmentation in a lipidic environment, use of a relevant index for transport and generation of a proton gradient. The membrane protein transporter is reconstituted into liposomes together with bacteriorhodopsin, a light-activated proton pump that generates a proton gradient that is robust as well as reversible and tunable. The activity of the protein is deduced from the pH variations occurring within the liposome, using pyranin, a pH-dependent fluorescent probe. We describe a step-by-step procedure where membrane protein purification, liposome formation, protein reconstitution and transport analysis are addressed. Although they were specifically designed for an RND transporter, the described methods could potentially be adapted for use with any other membrane protein transporter energized by a proton gradient.

**Introduction**

Integral membrane proteins represent up to 30% of the genes encoded in eukaryotic genomes. They share pivotal roles such as gate keeping (receptors), transporting nutrients, ions or noxious compounds (transporters) or maintaining of the permeability across membrane bilayers (channels) amongst all living cells. Efflux pumps have a central role in resistance against antibiotic therapy. In the Gram-negative bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which is protected by an outer membrane, efflux transporters are organized as tripartite systems where MexB, the efflux pump located in the inner membrane, works in conjunction with MexA, a periplasmic protein, and OprM, an outer membrane channel. The cytoplasmic inner membrane protein acts as an energy-dependent pump with broad substrate specificity. The outer membrane protein acts as a porin whereas the third one is located in the periplasmic space and is thought to stabilize the whole complex. In the following, we focus on the design of a functional assay for the MexA MexB assembly.

Structural information has accumulated over the last 5 years thanks to the X-ray determination of the homologous protein AcrB from *Escherichia coli*. Although it is clearly important to couple such information with dynamic and kinetic data, designing a functional assay for this transporter is a real challenge. Indeed, the membrane proteins must be maintained in a membranous environment and a closed compartment must be maintained for a vectorial transport of substrate to be achieved.

Reconstitution of membrane proteins into proteoliposomes has been extensively presented and reviewed (see e.g ref. 9). Such protocols allow for the monitoring of membrane proteins activity, for instance by following the substrates across the liposome membrane. In this case limitations arise from the availability of titra table substrates (fluorescent, radioactive ...) and from the fact that very often these substrates are hydrophobic and have a tendency to cross membranes irrespective of the presence of the transporter. As an alternative, one can follow the spectroscopic variations of a reporting dye sensitive to chemical changes that occur as a consequence of transport. As stated above, protons energize transport via MexB. Hence, a relevant option is to monitor the spectroscopic variations of a pH-sensitive reporting dye to follow pH variations due to proton-driven substrate transport. The choice of a fluorescent dye avoids any artifactual effects due to the hydrophobic nature of the substrate.

An additional difficulty is the generation of a proton gradient. Several protocols can be found in the literature. Among them, the use of valinomycin technique is widespread but we have shown that it is tedious to perform. Moreover it is not reproducible and it is not reversible. We have resorted to the use of bacteriorhodopsin (BR), a light-activated proton pump from *Halobacter salinarium*, a halophilic marine Gram-negative obligate aerobic archaeon. This protein is co-reconstituted into liposomes with MexB and, upon illumination, BR pumps protons inside of the liposomes and hence creates the ΔpH (acidic inside) needed by the protein to transport the substrate.
1. **Protein production and purification**

1. **MexA, MexB from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa***
   
   1. Transform the plasmids harboring the mexA and mexB genes in an *E. coli* production strain (*e.g.* C43 DE3). Plate on LB Agar medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic. Pick a single colony to inoculate an overnight pre-culture. The next morning, inoculate the pre-culture in 1 L of LB and grow at 37°C under agitation (240 rpm).
   
   2. Once the cells have reached the exponential phase (OD$_{600nm}$=0.6-0.8) induce with 1mM IPTG. Perform induction for 2-3 hours at 30°C under agitation.
   
   3. Centrifuge cells (9,000g for 20 minutes) and resuspend them each pellet in 30 ml Tris HCl 20 mM, pH8, NaCl 150mM.
   
   4. Disrupt cells using a French press (10,000 psi, 4 pass). Discard unbroken cells and inclusion bodies by centrifuging 9,000g for 20 minutes.
   
   5. Pellet membranes 1h at 100,000 g and resuspend each pellet in 30ml Bis-Tris 10mM pH7.4, glycerol 20% (w/v), imidazole 10mM, NaCl 500mM.
   
   6. Determine the total membrane protein concentration using the BCA colorimetric assay and solubilize the membranes overnight with 2% dodecyl maltoside (DDM) in a final volume determined so that detergent : protein is 1:1.5 (w/w).
   
   7. Ultracentrifuge at 100,000 g for 1h to discard non solubilized and aggregated material.
   
   8. Incubate for 2h at 4°C the supernatant with a Nickel resin (MexA purification) or a Cobalt resin (MexB purification) equilibrated with Bis-Tris 10mM pH7.4, glycerol 5% (w/v), imidazole 10mM, NaCl 500mM, DDM 0.2%.
   
   9. Pour the resin in an empty column. Collect the Flow Through.
   
   10. Wash the resin with 50 ml of Bis-Tris 10mM pH7.4, glycerol 20% (w/v), imidazole 10mM, NaCl 500mM, DDM 0.2%, then with 25 ml of Bis-Tris 10mM pH6, glycerol 5% (w/v), imidazole 10mM, NaCl 500mM, DDM 0.2%.
   
   11. Elute the protein with 20 ml Bis-Tris 10mM pH7.4, glycerol 5% (w/v), imidazole 300mM, NaCl 500mM, DDM 0.2%.
   
   12. Concentrate with the protein with an ultrafiltration device down to 3ml and load on a desalting column equilibrated with an imidazole-free buffer. Just before reconstitution (see below), ultracentrifuge the solubilized proteins (100,000 g for 20’) to get rid of lipids and unsolubilized proteins. Then concentrate the protein again to 0.3 ml.

Note: The native membranes are naturally enriched in BR so no further purification is needed.

2. **Bacteriorhodopsin from *Halobacter salinarium***

   1. Grow *Halobacter salinarium* cells under illumination at 37°C for 10 days in a liquid growth medium containing NaCl 4 M, MgSO$_4$ 150 mM, citrate 10 mM, KCl 30 mM, yeast extract 5 g/L, and peptone 5 g/L.
   
   2. Disrupt cells by dialyzing against deionized water.
   
   3. Purify the purple membrane on a 30-40 % (w/v) sucrose gradient (100,000 g for 17h).
   
   4. Solubilize the membrane suspension with 2% octylglucoside for 24h at 37°C (volume for the solubilization in order to resuspend the membrane at a 2:1 (w/w) detergent : protein ratio). Estimate the concentration of solubilized BR using ε (570 nm) = 54000 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$. Just before reconstitution (see below), ultracentrifuge the solubilized BR (100,000 g for 20’) to get rid of lipids and unsolubilized proteins.

   5. Pellet membranes 1h at 100,000 g and resuspend each pellet in 30ml Bis-Tris 10mM pH7.4, glycerol 20% (w/v), imidazole 10mM, NaCl 500mM, DDM 0.2%.

2. **Preparation of proteoliposomes**

1. **Liposome formation:** Put 400μL of DOPC dissolved in chloroform (25mg/mL) in a glass beaker and add 1.5mg of Cholesterol stored as a powder. Dry them just before manipulation under a steam of nitrogen or vacuum for at least one hour in a glass beaker. The DOPC: Cholesterol molar ratio is 3.3:1.

   1. After they have dried, hydrate the lipids with 1mL of HEPES 25mM pH7, K$_2$SO$_4$ 100 mM, MgCl$_2$ 2mM, pyranine 2mM. The suspension should appear turbid at this stage.
   
   2. Heat the solution for 10 minutes at 37°C. Sonicate for 10 min at 40W with 30 sec pulse, 30 sec pause cycles at room temperature. The suspension should appear clear at this stage.
   
   3. To obtain a monodisperse population of liposomes, perform two cycles of extrusion and for each cycle, pass the liposome suspension through the filter at least 11 times. For the first cycle, use a membrane pore size of 200 nm and for the second cycle, use a membrane pore size of 100 nm. Dynamic Light scattering measurements can be performed at this step to check the homogeneity of the suspension.

2. **Protein incorporation**

   **Principle:** membrane proteins can be reconstituted in liposomes thanks to the solubilizing effect of detergents. Detergent-solubilized liposomes are incubated with the detergent-solubilized membrane protein and formation of the proteoliposomes is triggered by rapid elimination of the detergent with polystyrene beads.

   1. Add 28 mg of Triton X-100 (0.56% final) and incubate overnight at 4°C (the solubilization temperature can be adapted to the protein being studied).
   
   2. Add the detergent-solubilized protein (BR, MexB and MexA) to the solubilized liposomes and incubate 15 minutes at 4°C. Add the proteins to the solubilized liposome suspension at the following ratios (w/w): lipids/MexB=20, MexB/MexA=2.5, and lipids/BR=30.
   
   3. Add polystyrene beads at a 30:1 beads : detergent ratio (w/w, considering the total weight of detergent, including detergent added with the purified proteins) and incubate 5h, in the dark (because of the BR) at room temperature under gentle stirring. Prior to this procedure, activate the biobeads with methanol and ethanol incubation and extensively wash with water.
4. Purify the proteoliposomes protein and free substrates using a PD-10 desalting column equilibrated with HEPES 25 mM pH 7, K2SO4 100 mM and MgCl2 2 mM.
5. Store the proteoliposomes at 18°C in the dark for up to 2-3 weeks.

3. Assessment of the reconstitution efficacy on sucrose gradient.

To check that both the MexB and the BR have been reconstituted in liposomes, purify the proteoliposome suspension on a discontinuous sucrose gradient.

1. Gently settle the proteoliposomes on a five-layer gradient of sucrose (60%, 20%, 10%, 5% and 2.5%, w/v).
2. Ultracentrifuge for 17h at 100,000 g (with minimal acceleration and deceleration rates).
3. Carefully collect the various gradient fractions (especially the interfaces between each layer of sucrose) and analyze them on SDS-PAGE (10%) using Coomassie staining or Western blotting. Aggregated proteins are found at the bottom of the tube, while non-incorporated, detergent-solubilized proteins are found at the top of the tube. Proteoliposomes and liposomes are found at sucrose interfaces that correspond to their intrinsic density. Empty liposomes are recovered farther up in the gradient than the proteoliposomes.

3. Fluorescence measurement

1. Perform fluorescence measurements at 25.0°C using a spectrofluorimeter allowing for dual-wavelength measurements (Xe lamp, 150 watt). Alternate illumination periods (to activate BR with excitation / emission wavelengths of 550 nm / 550 nm) and fluorescence measurements with excitation / emission wavelengths of 455 nm / 509 nm for 2'' to measure the pyranine fluorescence. Set the excitation and emission bandwidths to 5 nm. Perform the measurements in the presence of 50 nM valinomycin to prevent the formation of a reverse membrane potential ΔΨ.

   Note: Indeed, because the BR pumps protons, there are more positive charges inside of the liposome than outside. This charge gradient can be discarded using valinomycin, which is a K+ selective ionophore. Once added to the liposomes suspension valinomycin, as a hydrophobic molecule, will insert into the liposome membrane, passively transport K+ and therefore dissipate the membrane potential ΔΨ.

Representative Results

The assay consists of monitoring pyranine fluorescence as a function of time while a proton gradient is generated. To that purpose, samples are subjected alternatively to illumination (hence proton pumping by the BR is triggered) and then to fluorescence measurement using the excitation and emission wavelengths of pyranine (λex=455 nm and λem=509 nm).

Figure 1 shows a representative control obtained with the assay, in the absence of MexA/MexB, verifying that the proton gradient generated by the BR is reversible. After one cycle of acidification, proteoliposomes are kept in the dark for 45 minutes in order for the BR to stop pumping protons (protons diffuse slowly and passively across lipid bilayers following their concentration gradient). After this recovery time, activation of BR is possible, simply by illuminating the same suspension again.

Figure 2 corresponds to an actual transport measurement. A negative control with protein free liposomes shows that, as expected, the pH is constant upon illumination (Figure 2A and 2B, orange circles). However, proteoliposomes containing BR in their membranes do pump proton, thus the pH inside the liposome decreases and a stable gradient is built (Figure 2A and 2B, purple squares). Grey triangles and red diamonds (Figure 2A) represent pH inside of proteoliposomes containing BR and MexB, in the presence or in the absence of Hoechst 33342, respectively. We observe a substrate-independent activity where the proton gradient is only partially dissipated by MexB counter-transport. We attribute this observation to a basal activity of MexB.

In order to test the effect of MexA on the activity of MexB, MexA was added to the reconstitution, first in the absence of any substrate (Figure 2B, blue diamonds). Again, the proton gradient generated by the BR is only partially dissipated. The actual transport measurement is realized on the very same sample. Beforehand, the proton gradient must be reinitialized and the substrate must be added in the suspension in order to reach its binding site in the protein. To that purpose the suspension is incubated in the dark in the presence of the substrate for 45 minutes. Upon subsequent illumination, one can see that the proton gradient generated by the BR is now totally dissipated by MexAB (Figure 2B, green triangles), as a consequence of substrate transport by the pump.
Figure 1. Reversibility of the proton gradient built by BR illumination: Pyranine fluorescence of BR proteoliposomes measured as a function of time. From 0’ to 15’, BR pumps protons as a result of light activation. From 15’ to 60’, proteoliposomes are incubated in the dark; during this time, protons passively move out of the liposomes until the intravesicular pH and extravesicular pH are equal. From 60’ to 75’, BR is still functional and pumps protons upon illumination.
Discussion

We describe a reconstitution procedure designed for assaying membrane protein transport. Once established, the protein reconstitution procedure leads to measurements that are very reproducible. However, the exact conditions for reconstituting the protein will vary from one protein to the other. Much care must be taken in optimizing the following parameters: i) quality of the purification (purity and absence of aggregated material); ii) efficiency of the detergent solubilizing step (when possible, low cmc detergent should be preferred because they are easier to get rid of); iii) desorption of the detergent (it is for instance possible to combine the use of polystyrene beads with a dialysis step but this may affect the rate of desorption, a critical parameter for the subsequent activity of the protein, see ref [9]); iv) lipid reconstitution (the chemical
nature of the lipid, the lipid to protein ratio, the presence of additional amphiphiles are critical parameters that must be varied and optimized. In addition temperature and incubation time affect all these issues.

Quality control is thus primordial at each step of the reconstitution procedure. From this point of view, light scattering is a very convenient technique because it is rapid, non-destructive, and it only requires 20μL of sample at a concentration in the micromolar range. Once the proteoliposomes are formed, sucrose gradient is also of great help because it opens the way towards systematic verification of the reconstitution: qualitative (is the protein indeed embedded into the liposome membrane) as well as quantitative (how many protein in one liposome). The latter would be addressed by precisely measuring the protein and lipid concentrations.

Our assay does not rely on the titration of the substrate itself and this avoids uneasy considerations regarding possible artefactual passive diffusion of the molecule due to hydrophobic partitioning in the membranes. The assay exploits properties of pyranine as a reliable and quantitative probe for monitoring pH changes. Our results are in agreement with results obtained with another procedure where proton gradient formation was performed using valinomycin\(^10\). But it allows a more robust and reproducible gradient\(^11\). In addition, the proton gradient can be precisely tuned, simply by varying the concentration of the buffer (for further detail see\(^12\)).

In the procedure a control measurement is first performed in the absence of substrate (this gives access to the passive activity of the protein). The actual membrane protein transporter activity is then measured after the substrate has been added in the same cuvette. This is truly an asset because the experiment can be considered as a genuine, non ambiguous, substrate-induced outcome.

The protocol could be adapted to high-medium throughput (for instance 96 wells measurements) automatization because illuminating the sample triggers the reaction. Automation and parallelization would consist in preparing a large batch of proteoliposome, and in dispensing aliquots in a 96-wells plate. Substrates (and also possible inhibitors) would then be added and after incubation in the dark for 45 minutes the plate would be subjected to illumination / pyranine fluorescence measurement cycles on a microplate reader.

For additional information on the protocol, readers are invited to read references \([12\) and \([13]).
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