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Association between Dietary Habits and Physical Function in Brazilian and Italian Older Women
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Abstract: The present study investigated and compared the patterns of dietary protein intake and physical function in Brazilian and Italian older women. Seventy-five Brazilian older women were recruited in a community senior center. Fifty-three age-matched Italian older women were selected from participants of the Longevity check-up (Lookup) + study. In both samples, physical performance was evaluated by isometric handgrip strength (IHG) and five-time sit-to-stand (5 × STS) tests, while diet was assessed through 24-h recall. Results indicated that Brazilian women had a higher intake of plant-based protein (52.7% vs. 30.5% kcal), while Italian women consumed greater amounts of animal-derived protein (29.7% vs. 41.5% kcal). In Brazilian women, the binary logistic regression analysis indicated that body weight-adjusted protein consumption was associated with IHG adjusted by body mass index and with 5 × STS performance. In the Italian sample, the intake of isoleucine, leucine, and valine was significantly associated with 5 × STS performance. Our findings indicate that Brazilian and Italian community-dwelling older women show different patterns of protein intake, with higher consumption of plant-based protein in the Brazilian sample and higher ingestion of animal-derived protein in the Italian subgroup. These dietary patterns may differentially impact the relationship between physical function and protein intake observed in Brazilian and Italian older women.
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1. Introduction

Physical function involves the ability to integrate and convert physiological stimuli into a muscular action (e.g., walk) that allows interaction between the person and the environment. Dramatic changes are observed in physical function over the course of life, such that motor abilities are significantly improved during childhood and adolescence [1], whereas they progressively decline past the age of
Age-related physical dysfunction is closely associated with a wide range of negative health-related outcomes, such as falls, cognitive impairment, loss of independence, disability, nursing-home placement, and death [5–9]. This evidence instigated the World Health Organization to indicate the maintenance of physical function as a central element to foster healthy aging [10].

Nutrition is argued as one of the main strategies to counteract the loss of physical function with aging [11,12]. In particular, adequate protein intake may help maintain muscle mass and possibly physical function through fueling muscle protein synthesis [13,14]. Several studies have investigated the relationship between the quantity and quality of dietary protein and physical function in older adults [15–19]. This knowledge allowed for the generation of nutritional recommendations for older adults [20–22]. However, such recommendations only provide general directions, without considering cultural and regional factors [23–25] that may impact adherence to nutritional advices [26]. Hence, guidelines accounting for region-specific dietary habits are needed to optimize nutrition in older adults.

As an initial attempt to fill this gap in knowledge, the present study was undertaken to determine possible differences in dietary protein intake and physical function between Brazilian and Italian older women living in the community. We also explored whether physical function was associated with specific protein ingestion patterns in the two participant subsets.

2. Materials and Methods

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade de Mogi das Cruzes (Mogi das Cruzes, Brazil; protocol no: 621–614) and by the Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Ethics Committee (Rome, Italy; protocol no: A.1220/CE/2011). All study procedures were conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Resolution 196/96 of the National Health Council. All participants were thoroughly informed about the study procedures before providing written consent.

2.1. Study Participants

2.1.1. Brazilian Study Sample

Brazilian older women were recruited by convenience from the Community Senior Center of City of Poá “Cantinho do Idoso” (CCI) located in Poá, Brazil. The CCI is a major initiative to foster physical activity in older adults in the region of Poá and is managed by the municipal government. The study was advertised through posters placed in the CCI. People were also invited to participate by direct contract. Those who accepted the invitation were requested to provide informed written consent. Afterwards, candidate participants were asked to schedule an appointment at the CCI to perform the evaluations. Poá is a city located in the southern area of São Paulo with a population of approximately 100,000 people, of whom ~3.5% are 60 years or older [27]. Nearly 2000 older adults attend the center weekly to perform flexibility, aquatic and/or multicomponent physical exercises, dance classes, adapted sports, cognitive stimulation therapy, and/or to receive nursing and medical care. There is no attendance control.

Candidate participants were considered eligible if they were 60 years or older, lived independently, possessed sufficient physical and cognitive abilities to perform all the measurements required by the protocol, and provided written informed consent. Exclusions occurred if candidates had suffered a cardiac or cerebrovascular event in the previous year or if they were on hormone replacement, nutritional therapy, or psychotropic drugs. Older women who consumed dietary supplements were also excluded.
2.1.2. Italian Study Sample

Italian older women were selected from the Milan EXPO 2015 database of the Longevity check-up (Lookup) 7+ project [3,28–30]. The Lookup 7+ project is an ongoing initiative developed by the Department of Geriatrics of the Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore. The project started on 1 June 2015 and was designed to promote the adoption of healthy lifestyles by raising awareness in the general population on major lifestyle behaviors and risk factors for chronic diseases [28]. A team of medical doctors, researchers, and nutritionists assess people visiting public places (e.g., malls, exhibition centers) and those adhering to prevention campaigns launched by the Department of Geriatrics of the Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore. During Milan EXPO 2015, 3206 persons from different Italian regions were evaluated in two separate periods: 1 June 2015–15 June 2015 (Marche Region pavilion) and 1 September 2015–31 October 2015 (Casa Ferrarini pavilion).

For the present study, candidate participants were considered eligible if they were 60 years or older and provided written informed consent. Exclusions occurred if candidates were unable to perform the functional tests. To ensure that the two groups were comparable, Italian older women were matched with the Brazilian sample for mean age (within 5 years). Individuals were filtered in the database according to nationality (i.e., Italian), sex (i.e., female), and age (70.5–80.5 years).

2.2. Anthropometric Measurements

In both samples, analogue medical scales and standard stadiometers were used to measure body weight and height, respectively. The body mass index (BMI) was subsequently calculated as body weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m).

2.3. Dietary Assessment

In both samples, food intake was assessed using a 24-h recall. This method provides a quantitative and subjective estimation of food consumption during the previous 24 h. Trained researchers asked participants to recall all foods consumed on a meal-by-meal basis, including snacks, in the last day. Interviews occurred on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Thursdays, and Fridays to avoid a possible bias associated with the weekend. Participants were requested to describe cooking methods (e.g., fried, grilled, roasted), amounts in portions, product brands, sauces, spices, and condiments consumed, and the use of dietary supplements. The amounts of beverages consumed were also recorded, and participants were asked to specify if and how beverages were sweetened. Diet composition was estimated using the NutWin software, version 1.5 (Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Brazil) for the Brazilian subset [31,32] and MètaDieta (ME.TE.DA, LLC, San Benedetto del Tronto, Italy) for the Italian sample [33].

2.4. Physical Function Assessment

2.4.1. Isometric Handgrip Strength Test

Isometric handgrip strength (IHG) was measured using a Jamar® (Sammons Preston, Bolingbroke, IL, USA) and a North Coast hydraulic dynamometer (North Coast Medical, Inc., Morgan Hill, CA, USA) in Brazilian and Italian older women, respectively. Participants performed one familiarization trial and one measurement trial with each hand, and the result from the stronger side was used for the analyses [2,3,29].

2.4.2. Five-Time Sit-To-Stand Test

In both samples, participants were thoroughly instructed on the requirement of the five-time sit-to-stand (5 × STS) test by trained assessors. Following a single-repetition demonstration by the assessor, participants were invited to stand up once from the chair with their arms folded across the chest. Then, participants were asked to stand up from the chair five times in a row as quickly as
possible. A standard armless chair, 43–47 cm in height was used. The chair’s back was placed against a wall to ensure safety and stability. A digital stopwatch was started when the participant raised their buttocks off the chair and was stopped when the participant was seated at the end of the fifth stand [2,3,29,34].

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The normality of data was ascertained using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. All variables were normally distributed, except for data on animal protein intake, both absolute and relative to total kcal, in the Brazilian sample. Outlier detection was performed by visual inspection of histograms. Daily animal- and plant-based protein intake was furthest from the rest in one Brazilian and one Italian participant, respectively. However, values were still plausible and were therefore retained in the analysis. Differences in continuous variables between groups (i.e., Brazilians and Italians) were assessed by the Student’s t-test for independent samples or Mann–Whitney U test, as appropriate, while the χ² test was used to investigate differences in categorical variables. The median values were chosen as the cutoffs, as following: IHG (Brazilians: 22 kg; Italians: 14 kg), IHG/BMI (Brazilians: 0.8; Italians: 0.5), 5 × STS (Brazilians: 11.3 s; Italians: 14.7 s), age (Brazilians: 66 years; Italians: 77 years), BMI (Brazilians: 28.7 kg/m²; Italians: 29.7 kg/m²), relative protein consumption (Brazilians: 23.6%; Italians: 17.7%), relative animal-based protein intake (Brazilians: 41.4%; Italians: 67.3%), relative plant-based protein intake (Brazilians: 53.7%; Italians: 29.0%), body weight-adjusted daily protein consumption (Brazilians: 1.0 g·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹; Italians: 1.0 g·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹), total protein intake (Brazilians: 68.8 g; Italians: 64.6 g), animal protein intake (Brazilians: 29.0 g; Italians: 41.8 g), plant-based protein intake (Brazilians: 34.8 g; Italians: 18.3 g), isoleucine intake (Brazilians: 2232.9 mg; Italians: 2480.8 mg), leucine intake (Brazilians: 4184.6 mg; Italians: 4646.2 mg), valine intake (Brazilians: 2573.7 mg; Italians: 2943.2 mg). Independent variables with a p value <0.05 at the χ² test were included in a univariate logistic binary analysis. To be considered as an independent variable associated with physical function, results were required to have a p value <0.05 and a 95% confidence interval (CI) that did not encompass the value of 1. All analyses were conducted using the IBM SPSS Statistics, version 20.0, software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Study Participants

Data from 128 older women, 75 Brazilians and 53 Italians, were analyzed. The main characteristics of study participants according to country are shown in Table 1. Brazilian women had better performance on the IHG (p < 0.001), IHG/BMI (p = 0.081), and 5 × STS (p < 0.001) tests, as well as higher total (p = 0.043) and relative (p < 0.001) daily protein intake. A differential pattern of protein intake was observed between groups, with Italian women reporting higher absolute and relative intake of animal protein (p < 0.001 for both). On the other hand, Brazilian women reported a greater absolute and relative consumption of plant-derived protein (p < 0.001 for both).

| Variables               | Brazilians (n = 75) | Italians (n = 53) |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| General characteristics |                    |                   |
| Age (years)            | 75.2 ± 7.5 (60–85) | 77.6 ± 5.5 (70–80) |
| Height (m)             | 1.57 ± 0.1 (1.4–1.7)| 1.54 ± 0.1 (1.4–1.6)|
| Body weight (kg)       | 70.8 ± 12.8 (39–98) | 70.2 ± 13.3 (44.5–96) |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 28.6 ± 5.2 (16.2–38) | 29.8 ± 5.6 (18.5–42.4) |
| Physical function      |                    |                   |
| IHG strength (kg)      | 20.0 ± 10.9 (7–42)  | 13.1 ± 6.8 (4–32) * |

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants according to country.
### Table 1. Cont.

| Variables                        | Brazilians (n = 75)       | Italians (n = 53)       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| IHG/BMI                          | 1.2 ± 3.1 (0.2–1.6)       | 0.4 ± 0.2 (0.1–1.2) *   |
| 5 × STS (s)                      | 11.9 ± 3.3 (7–22)        | 16.7 ± 6.0 (4–14) *     |
| **Diet**                         |                           |                         |
| Total daily protein intake (g)   | 72.7 ± 26.8 (41–129)     | 63.9 ± 19.2 (26–63) *   |
| Body weight-adjusted daily protein consumption (g kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) | 1.04 ± 0.41 (0.2–2.4) | 1.09 ± 0.44 (0.4–1.2)   |
| Relative daily protein consumption (% kcal) | 22.9 ± 5.3 (13.9–38.3) | 17.6 ± 4.7 (9–43) *     |
| Daily animal protein intake (g)  | 29.7 ± 17.2 (4–71)       | 41.5 ± 17.7 (11–43) *   |
| Relative daily animal protein intake (% kcal)   | 39.7 ± 18.5 (6.5–82.4) | 63.9 ± 16.2 (17–94) *   |
| Daily plant-based protein intake (g)   | 37.9 ± 17.2 (10–76)     | 19.0 ± 8.4 (2–29) *     |
| Relative daily plant-based protein intake (% kcal) | 52.7 ± 16.4 (6.7–89.8) | 30.5 ± 13.7 (7–47) *    |
| Daily isoleucine intake (mg)       | 2409 ± 1155 (835–9138)   | 2512 ± 921 (1119–4557)  |
| Daily leucine intake (mg)          | 4437 ± 2142 (1601–9725)  | 4516 ± 1607 (1816–9655) |
| Daily valine intake (mg)           | 2744 ± 1314 (1066–6861)  | 2934 ± 1047 (1160–6508) |

Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation (min-max); *p < 0.05 vs. Brazilians. Abbreviations: 5×STS, 5-time sit-to-stand; BMI, body mass index; IHG, isometric handgrip strength.

#### 3.2. Pearson’s Correlation between Physical Function and Dietary Characteristics According to Country

Relationships between physical function and dietary characteristics according to country are shown in Table 2. Performance of the IHG strength (p = 0.04) and 5 × STS tests (p = 0.01) was associated with BMI in Brazilian older women. In addition, body weight-adjusted daily protein intake was associated with IHG/BMI (p = 0.002) and 5 × STS tests (p = 0.04). In Italian women, isoleucine (p = 0.03), leucine (p = 0.03), and valine (p = 0.02) intakes were associated with the 5 × STS test performance.
Table 2. Distribution of Brazilian and Italian older women according to physical function levels (low vs. high).

|                                | Brazilians | Italian     |                              |                              |                              |                              |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                | Low        | High        | Low/BMI                      | 5 x STS                      | Low/BMI                      | 5 x STS                      |
| **Age (years)**                |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 13 (17.3%) | 22 (29.3%)  | 17 (22.7%)                  | 18 (24.1%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  | 18 (24.1%)                  |
| High                           | 22 (29.3%) | 18 (24.1%)  | 26 (34.6%)                  | 14 (18.6%)                  | 20 (26.7%)                  | 20 (26.7%)                  |
| **BMI (kg/m²)**                |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 22 (29.3%) | 16 (21.3%)  | 20 (26.7%)                  | 18 (24.1%)                  | 24 (32.0%)                  | 14 (18.6%)                  |
| High                           | 13 (17.3%) | 24 (32.1%)  *| 23 (30.6%)                  | 14 (18.6%)                  | 13 (17.3%)                  | 24 (32.0%)                  *|
| **Total protein intake (g·day⁻¹)** |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 16 (21.3%) | 22 (29.3%)  | 24 (32.0%)                  | 14 (18.6%)                  | 18 (24.0%)                  | 20 (26.7%)                  |
| High                           | 19 (25.3%) | 18 (24.1%)  | 19 (25.3%)                  | 18 (24.1%)                  | 19 (25.3%)                  | 18 (24.0%)                  |
| **Body weight-adjusted protein intake (g·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹)** |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 14 (18.7%) | 15 (20.0%)  | 23 (30.7%)                  | 6 (8.0%)                    | 10 (13.4%)                  | 19 (25.3%)                  |
| High                           | 21 (28.0%) | 25 (33.3%)  | 20 (26.7%)                  | 26 (34.6%)                  *| 27 (36.0%)                  | 19 (25.3%)                  *|
| **Relative protein intake (%)** |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 17 (22.6%) | 21 (28.0%)  | 24 (32.0%)                  | 14 (18.6%)                  | 20 (26.6%)                  | 18 (24.1%)                  |
| High                           | 18 (24.1%) | 19 (25.3%)  | 19 (25.3%)                  | 18 (24.1%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  | 20 (26.6%)                  |
| **Animal protein intake, (g·day⁻³)** |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 18 (24.1%) | 21 (28.0%)  | 22 (29.3%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  | 20 (26.7%)                  | 19 (25.3%)                  |
| High                           | 17 (22.6%) | 19 (25.3%)  | 21 (28.0%)                  | 15 (20.0%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  | 19 (25.3%)                  |
| **Relative animal protein intake (%)** |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 16 (21.3%) | 22 (29.3%)  | 19 (25.3%)                  | 19 (25.3%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  | 21 (27.9%)                  |
| High                           | 19 (25.3%) | 18 (24.1%)  | 24 (32.1%)                  | 13 (17.3%)                  | 20 (26.7%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  |
| **Plant-based protein intake (g·day⁻¹)** |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 15 (20.0%) | 21 (28.0%)  | 23 (30.7%)                  | 13 (17.3%)                  | 15 (20.0%)                  | 21 (28.0%)                  |
| High                           | 20 (26.7%) | 19 (25.3%)  | 20 (26.7%)                  | 19 (25.3%)                  | 22 (29.3%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  |
| **Plant-based protein (%)**    |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 20 (26.7%) | 18 (24.1%)  | 25 (33.3%)                  | 13 (17.3%)                  | 18 (24.0%)                  | 20 (26.7%)                  |
| High                           | 15 (20.0%) | 22 (29.3%)  | 18 (24.1%)                  | 19 (25.3%)                  | 18 (24.0%)                  | 13 (24.5%)                  |
| **Isoleucine intake (mg)**     |            |             |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Low                            | 16 (21.4%) | 21 (28.0%)  | 22 (29.3%)                  | 15 (20.0%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  | 20 (26.6%)                  |
| High                           | 19 (25.3%) | 19 (25.3%)  | 21 (28.0%)                  | 17 (22.7%)                  | 20 (26.6%)                  | 18 (24.1%)                  |
|                     | Brazilians |              |              | Italians |              |              |
|---------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------|
|                     | IHG        | IHG/BMI      | 5 × STS      | IHG      | IHG/BMI      | 5 × STS      |
|                     | Low        | High         | Low          | High     | Low          | High         |
| Leucine intake (mg) |            |              |              |          |              |              |
| Low                 | 16 (21.4%) | 21 (28.0%)   | 23 (30.7%)   | 14 (18.6%) | 21 (28.0%)   | 11 (20.8%)   |
| High                | 19 (25.3%) | 19 (25.3%)   | 20 (26.7%)   | 18 (24.0%) | 21 (28.0%)   | 17 (22.7%)   |
| Valine (mg)         |            |              |              |          |              |              |
| Low                 | 16 (21.4%) | 19 (25.3%)   | 22 (29.3%)   | 13 (17.3%) | 15 (20.0%)   | 20 (26.6%)   |
| High                | 19 (25.3%) | 21 (28.0%)   | 19 (25.4%)   | 18 (24.1%) | 9 (17.0%)    | 17 (32.1%)   |

* *p < 0.05; Abbreviations: 5 × STS, 5-time sit-to-stand; BMI, body mass index; IHG, isometric handgrip strength.
3.3. Binary Logistic Regression for Physical Function and Dietary Characteristics According to Country

Odds ratios and 95% CI for physical function are reported in Table 3. The binary logistic regression analysis indicated that, in Brazilian women, body weight-adjusted protein intake was associated with IHG/BMI (p = 0.003) and the 5 × STS test (p = 0.04). In Italian women, isoleucine (p = 0.04), leucine (p = 0.04), and valine (p = 0.02) intakes were significantly associated with the 5 × STS test.

Table 3. Unadjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for physical function in Brazilian and Italian older women.

| Variable                        | Brazilians |             |          | Italians |             |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------------|
|                                 | IHG/BMI    | 5 × STS     |          | IHG/BMI  | 5 × STS     |
| Body weight-adjusted protein intake | Unadjusted OR | 95% CI      | Unadjusted OR | 95% CI      |
| High                            | 4.95       | 1.71–14.54  | 0.37     | 0.14–0.97 *|
| Low                             | Reference  | Reference   | Reference |          |             |

| Variable            | Unadjusted OR | 95% CI |
|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| Isoleucine intake   | 0.31          | 0.10–0.96 *|
| Leucine intake      | 0.31          | 0.10–0.96 *|
| Valine intake       | 0.26          | 0.09–0.83 *|

* p < 0.05; Abbreviations: 5 × STS, 5-time sit-to-stand; IHG/BMI, isometric handgrip strength adjusted for body mass index.

4. Discussion

The present study investigated differences in physical function and dietary characteristics between Brazilian and Italian older women living in the community. Our results revealed that Brazilian older women had better physical function and higher intake of plant-based protein, while higher animal protein consumption was observed in Italian older women. Furthermore, we tested the hypothesis that physical function would be differentially associated with specific patterns of protein intake. In this regard, we observed that body weight-adjusted protein consumption was significantly associated with IHG/BMI and 5 × STS tests in the Brazilian sample. Intake of the branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) isoleucine, leucine, valine was associated with 5 × STS performance in Italian participants.

These results provide an interesting perspective about the influence of sociocultural factors on dietary consumption and physical function. In Brazilian women, the higher intake of plant-based protein may reflect the consumption of meals rich in legumes and vegetables, as it is commonly observed in this country [35,36]. Beans, for example, are among the most frequently consumed foods in Brazil [36], were present in at least one of the main meals (i.e., lunch or dinner) in Brazilian participants, and are a major source of plant protein [37]. An additional explanation for the higher consumption of non-animal protein in Brazilian women may be linked to the fact that meat has long been attributed a great symbolic value due to its high cost, making it synonymous with high social status food [38]. This traditional connotation of meat might still have an impact on food choices in Brazil [38].
One would have expected that the dietary pattern observed in the Brazilian sample was instead more likely to be found in Italian women, because legumes and vegetables are major elements of the Mediterranean diet [39]. However, our Italian sample was mainly composed of people living in northern Italy, whereas adherence to the Mediterranean diet is higher in the south [23].

As previously mentioned, the different patterns of protein consumption may have influenced the relationship between protein intake and physical function. Indeed, in the Brazilian sample, a body weight-adjusted protein consumption $\geq 1.0 \text{ g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$ was significantly associated with the performance on the IHG/BMI and $5 \times$ STS tests.

A possible explanation for these findings may reside in the fact that plant-based protein contains smaller amounts of essential amino acids and stimulates protein synthesis as well as inhibits protein breakdown to a lesser extent than animal-based protein [40]. This observation has led to the hypothesis that greater amounts of plant-based protein may be necessary to elicit the same muscle protein anabolism evoked by smaller quantities of animal-based protein [37]. In this regard, we recently observed that plant-based protein intake was significantly associated with walking speed in Brazilian older adults who consumed two-fold more vegetable protein than the Framingham cohort [31,41].

On the other hand, physical function was significantly associated with the intake of isoleucine, leucine, and valine in Italian older women. Notably, animal protein, which made up approximately 60% of protein consumed by Italian women, is the main source of BCAAs [42]. Once absorbed, BCAAs, especially leucine, stimulate muscle protein synthesis [43] via activation of mammalian target of rapamycin [44]. BCAAs have been attributed a key role in the maintenance of muscle mass and physical function during aging [37]. Furthermore, lower systemic concentrations of BCAAs have been found in older adults with sarcopenia [44]. It may therefore be hypothesized that the association between BCAA intake and physical function in Italian older women was linked to a high intake of animal-based protein.

It is worth mentioning that the median values of body weight-adjusted protein intake in Brazilian older women were higher than the estimated average requirement (EAR, 0.66 g·kg$^{-1}$·day$^{-1}$) and recommended dietary allowance (RDA, 0.8 g·kg$^{-1}$·day$^{-1}$). This finding is in line with a recent systematic review and meta-analysis that found better physical performance in older adults whose protein intake was higher than the RDA [15]. Indeed, while the RDA of protein is sufficient to avoid protein inadequacy in inactive or low-active adults, it may not provide an adequate amount of protein to maintain functional status in older adults [20]. Noticeably, the extraction of dietary amino acids by the splanchnic bed is increased in old age, which translates into lower peripheral amino acid availability in the postabsorptive state [45]. Moreover, the aged muscle shows a state of anabolic resistance such that protein synthesis in response to protein ingestion or amino acid infusion is blunted [46]. Altogether, these findings support the need of increasing the protein RDA for older adults to maintain health and maximize function [47,48], taking into account region-specific dietary patterns and cultural factors.

Some limitations of the present study need to be acknowledged. First, different recruitment strategies were used for the two participant cohorts, such that Brazilian women were enrolled in a single city, while the Italian sample included people from different regions. The possibility cannot be ruled out that a random multicenter Brazilian sample might have produced different results. In addition, analyses were conducted without a priori power calculation in a relatively small number of participants. Body composition [18], physical activity levels [17], frailty status [16,19], and oral health [49], which are associated with protein consumption and physical performance in older adults, were not controlled for in the analyses. Moreover, the use of nutritional supplements was an exclusion criterion in the Brazilian sample, but not in Lookup 7+. It should also be mentioned that the cutoff value for body weight-adjusted protein intake was set at 1.0 g·kg$^{-1}$, but studies have reported that higher values may be associated with better health status and physical performance in old age [15,50]. However, the relatively small sample size did not allow us to test different cutoffs. Furthermore, the lack of repeated assessments prevented establishing measurement accuracy and precision. Finally, dietary assessment through 24h recall might have impacted the estimation of protein intake because...
of recollection bias and/or misinterpretation by the study staff. Hence, dietary history (e.g., food frequency questionnaire), multiple 24h recalls, or dietary records should be used in future studies to provide more accurate estimates [51].

5. Conclusions

Findings from the present study indicate that Brazilian and Italian community-dwelling older women show differential patterns of protein consumption, with higher intake of plant-based protein in the Brazilian sample. These patterns may have an influence on the relationship between physical function and protein intake. Indeed, body weight-adjusted protein consumption was associated with IHG/BMI and 5 × STS tests in Brazilian women. BCAA intake was instead associated with 5 × STS performance in Italian participants.
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