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ABSTRACT: Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), a subclass of nanoporous coordination polymers, have emerged as one of the most promising next-generation materials. The postsynthetic modification method, a strategy that provides tunability and control of these materials, plays an important role in enhancing its properties and functionalities. However, knowing adjustments which leads to a desired structure–function a priori remains a challenge. In this comprehensive study, the intermolecular interactions between 21 industrially important gases and a hydrostable STAM-17-OEt MOF were investigated using density functional theory. Substitutions on its 5-ethoxy isophthalate linker included two classes of chemical groups, electron-donating (−NH₂, −OH, and −CH₃) and electron-withdrawing (−CN, −COOH, and −F), as well as the effect of mono-, di-, and tri-substitutions. This resulted in 651 unique MOF–gas complexes. The adsorption energies at the ground state and room temperature, bond lengths, adsorption geometry, natural bond orbital analysis of the electric structure, HOMO−LUMO interactions, and the predicted zwitterionic properties are presented and discussed. This study provides a viable strategy for the functionalization, which leads to the strongest affinity for each gas, an insight into the role of different chemical groups in adsorbing various gas molecules, and identifies synthetic routes for moderating the gas adsorption capacity and reducing water adsorption. Recommendations for various applications are discussed. A custom Python script to assess and visualize the hypothetical separation of two equal gas mixtures of interest is provided. The methodology presented here provides new opportunities to expand the chemical space and physical properties of STAM-17-OEt and advances the development of other hydrostable MOFs.

INTRODUCTION

The desire for technological breakthroughs and materials with advanced functionality has fueled the demand for novel materials. Among them are metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), porous crystalline polymers that have emerged as a promising next-generation material. They are modular and composed of both organic and inorganic molecules that provide a multitude of combinatorial possibilities. MOFs garner a great deal of attention, which is reflected by the continued upward trend of research articles published on the topic in the past 10 years. Their commercial implementation is accelerating. Applications include MOF-based natural gas storage for powering vehicles by BASF chemical company,¹−³ ION-X⁴−⁵ sub-atmospheric dopant gas storage and delivery system by NuMat Technologies for ion implantation, and the hydrogen fuel tank-powered Mercedes-Benz F125⁶ concept car. They have been studied for applications in electrocatalysis,⁷,⁸ drug delivery,⁹ thin-film devices,¹⁰ and batteries.¹¹,¹² Their interconnected metal–ligand structure provides an extraordinarily large internal surface area and pore volume, more so than their counterparts: activated carbons,¹³,¹⁴ zeolites,¹⁵,¹⁶ and silica.¹⁷,¹⁸ The pore sizes can range from ~1 to 10 nm,¹³ which makes them suitable for holding guest molecules of different sizes. With growing global environmental concerns,¹⁹ arising from industrial processes releasing carbon dioxide,²⁰−²² nitrogen dioxide, and sulfur dioxide,²³−²⁵ MOFs are being studied as transformative²⁶ candidates for gas separation,²⁷−²⁹ and hydrogen³⁰,³¹ and methane³² gas storage technologies.

Postsynthetic modification strategies allow MOF constructs to be altered with different reagents after the synthesis of the main structure; both the metal ions and/or organic linkers can be exchanged, allowing the opportunity to tailor the pore structure and size.³³ This approach provides tunability and control of MOFs’ chemical and physical properties and has been key in expanding its design flexibility.³⁴−³⁶ Aside from the experimental investigations on MOFs, first-principles calculations have been used extensively to probe the capabilities of...
MOFs and enhance their adsorption properties by ligand functionalization or metal substitution. However, despite the abundance of experimental and theoretical studies, designing and synthesizing materials with the desired structure—a priori remains a challenge. A detailed investigation of the relative stabilities of adsorbed gas molecules on MOFs can provide the knowledge needed for tailoring the desired adsorption capacity and selectivity. Thus, quantum mechanical analysis using density functional theory (DFT) can help understand interactions that are difficult to observe through experiments.

Other factors that need consideration, especially for commercial applications, are the cost of the material and stability. One area that has received less research focus and is difficult to study experimentally is water stability. MOFs are moisture sensitive and are unstable at high humidity.

Though there are many excellent reviews and fundamental studies that show how a wide range of properties can be achieved by modifying the framework, the impact that linker and/or metal substitution has in water adsorption has not been fully addressed. As the MOF is modified to increase gas adsorption and selectivity, it is likely to increase its affinity toward water. In addition, metal–ion exchange is known to enhance MOF’s gas adsorption properties; however, this could influence the stability of the MOF. For example, MOF-5 (IRMOF-1) has a tetrahedral arrangement and has been considered for various applications. Yet, MOFs that have only Zn2+ tetrahedral arrangements are known to be chemically unstable. A material that has high gas adsorption capacity or selectivity cannot have commercial applications if it is unstable in humid environments or is too expensive to produce. The knowledge of the adsorption energy of the MOF with H2O is crucial and thus considered as a reference point in this study. We aim to provide here a more comprehensive analysis to demonstrate the importance stability has on the final structure.

This study focused on a copper-based MOF, Cu(C10O5H8)·1.6H2O, called St. Andrews Material (STAM-17-OEt), in which Cu2+ is the central cation and the linker comprises a 5-ethoxy isophthalate moiety. STAM-17-OEt is the ideal MOF for this study because there are three available positions on the linker functionalization. Unmodified STAM-17-OEt also serves as a reference for MOF possibilities, but the adsorption of these gases on the modified STAM-17-OEt also serves as a reference for comparison of the interactions after linker functionalization.

STAM-17-OEt Structure. The optimized structure parameters of STAM-17-OEt have not been reported in previous literature. Table 1 and Figure 1 show the calculated bond lengths of STAM-17-OEt after optimization. The computed bond lengths compare well with the values obtained from the powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) experiment, with a deviation of ∼0.1 Å or less. These results suggest that using an LC-ωPBE exchange functional with cc-pVDZ and 6-311G(d, p) basis sets is reliable.

Adsorption Energies of Gas Molecules on STAM-17-OEt. At the time of writing, a study of the adsorption capabilities of STAM-17-OEt over a range of gas molecules has not been reported. Therefore, to evaluate the performance of STAM-17-OEt for gas adsorption, 21 various gas molecules were adsorbed on the Cu center of the MOF. The choice of guest molecules are ones commonly found in industrial processes. Not only does this arrangement fully exploit the MOF possibilities, but the adsorption of these gases on the unmodified STAM-17-OEt also serves as a reference for comparison of the interactions after linker functionalization.

The adsorption energies ΔEads of the various gas molecules considered in this study (C2H2, C2H4, C2H6, C3H8, C4H4S, C6H6, C2H4S, C3H8, N2, NH3, NO2, O2, and SO2) are summarized in Figure 2 and Table 2. Negative energies and enthalpies correspond to an exothermic adsorption interaction. The larger negative value of binding energy indicates a more stable complex. There is diversity and a magnitude of differences between energies. By comparing the top five and bottom five adsorption energies, it follows this trend: NH3 ≫ C3H8 > HCN > H2O > H2S have the strongest binding energies (−91.0, −69.3, −69.0, −65.0, and −60.2, respectively), while NO2 ≪ C2H6 ≪ C3H8 < CH4 < CO gases have relatively weaker binding energies (117.6, −9.4, −10.4, −11.6, and −12.6, respectively). It is not surprising that the strongest adsorption energy is seen with NH3, H2S, and H2O

Table 1. Computed Bond Lengths of the Optimized STAM-17-OEt Compared to Bond Lengths Obtained from PXRD

| DFT method                      | bond length (Å) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
|                                  | Cu–Cu           | Cu–O            |
| LC-ω-PBE/6-311G(d,p)/cc-pVDZ    | 2.70            | 2.00 (2)/2.02 (2)| 1.96 (2)/1.99 (2) |
| experiment                      | 2.57            | 1.87 (2)/2.04 (2)| 1.98 (2)/2.00 (2) |

Figure 1. Optimized geometry of STAM-17-OEt.
removal, and STAM-17-OEt was reported to have a high uptake of NH$_3$ in an experiment. All the adsorption energies are negative except for NO$_2$, meaning that the interaction between the Cu surface and NO$_2$ is repulsive and there is no adsorption.

Zero Point and Thermal Energy Contributions. Due to the simplicity of the model, it is not meaningful to directly compare calculated $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ to experimental data. However, by including zero-point vibrational energy and thermal energy correction calculations, enthalpies of adsorption $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ can be compared to experimental adsorption energies. The calculated $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ are presented in Table 2. The predicted $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ for CO$_2$ on a STAM-17-OEt of $-19.3$ kJ/mol is in reasonable agreement with an experimental isometric heat of adsorption of $-16.2$ kJ/mol and at a higher pressure of $-27.6$ kJ/mol. In the absence of additional experimental data, a definitive conclusion could not be reached for the other gases. However, the calculated $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ still gives us a sense of how STAM-17-OEt will perform under experimental conditions at 298 K.

Gas molecules typically interact on metal surfaces by one of the two possible adsorption mechanisms. The first is physisorption and it is the weakest interaction. The magnitude of the interactions $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$, the range between $-10.0$ and $-20.0$ kJ/mol and is dominated by van-der-Waals forces. The second is chemisorption; it is the strongest interaction and the gas undergoes a covalent chemical reaction. The $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ ranges between $-80.0$ and $-500.0$ kJ/mol.

The results suggest that almost all of the gases $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ except for NO$_2$, which is noninteracting, fall within the physisorption range of $-3.3$ to $-61.8$ kJ/mol. With the understanding gained from the DFT functional benchmark analysis, the LC-ωPBE functional somewhatunderestimates $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$; therefore, it is possible that NH$_3$ $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ of $-73.8$ kJ/mol may be in the chemisorption region.

Ligand-Functionalized STAM-17-OEt. In this investigation, two categories of functional groups were considered, electron-donating groups ($-\text{NH}_2$, $-\text{OH}$, and $-\text{CH}_3$), and electron-withdrawing groups ($-\text{CN}$, $-\text{COOH}$, and $-\text{F}$), as well as mono-, di-, and tri-substitutions of those groups. The functional groups above are listed in the order of their strength. The different classes of functional groups are meant to provide a general strategy to vary the degrees of electronegativity, which can then be elaborated to tune electronics and steric of the MOF for maximizing adsorption of a molecule, controlling gas selectivity, and adjusting the adsorption strength to the desired range. The results for the functionalization that yields the highest adsorption energy are summarized in Figure 3.
Di-ortho-substituted (R4, 6) linker decreased adsorption for H2 adsorption capacity in comparison to the unmodified MOF. This suggests that the substituted positions may be used to influence and fine-tune adsorption capacity and selectivity. This concept is explored in more detail in the next section.

Table 3. ΔH_ads (298 K) on Functionalized STAM-17-OEt
Obtained from DFT Calculations

| gas molecule | linker substitution | functionalized | ΔH_ads (kJ/mol) |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| C2H2        | NH2-R2              |                | -146.12        |
| C2H4        | NH2-R2              |                | -92.85         |
| C2H6        | NH2-R2              |                | -102.01        |
| C2H8        | NH2-R2              |                | -152.38        |
| C3H6        | NH2-R2              |                | -103.89        |
| C4H6S       | NH2-R2              |                | -136.65        |
| C5H6        | NH2-R2              |                | -130.75        |
| CH4         | NH2-R2              |                | -106.45        |
| Cl2         | NH2-R2              |                | -112.84        |
| CO          | NH2-R2              |                | -107.26        |
| CO2         | NH2-R2              |                | -114.98        |
| H2O         | NH2-R2              |                | -102.35        |
| H2S         | NH2-R2              |                | -150.25        |
| H2Se        | NH2-R2              |                | -134.22        |
| HCN         | CN-R2, 4, 6         |                | -134.21        |
| N2          | NH2-R2              |                | -72.06         |
| NH3         | NH2-R2              |                | -126.33        |
| NO2         | NH2-R2              |                | -173.90        |
| NO3         | NH2-R2              |                | -21.88         |
| O2          | NH2-R2              |                | -144.38        |
| SO2         | NH2-R2              |                | -123.63        |

The other NH2 substituted positions on the 5-ethoxy isophthalate linker showed moderate and sometimes decreased adsorption capacity in comparison to the unmodified MOF. Di-ortho-substituted (R4, 6) linker decreased adsorption for H2 from -18.4 to -9.4 kJ/mol but showed moderate improvement for mono- and tri-substitution (R6, R2, 6 and R2, 4, 6) at -19.9, -19.2, and 20.2 kJ/mol, respectively. Most notably, C2H4, C3H6, CH4, CO, and H2S showed a ~20% reduction or more in adsorption energy compared to the unmodified MOF. Their ΔE_ads is as follows: 1.12 kJ/mol (R4, 6) and -9.4 kJ/mol (R2, 4, 6); -4.0 kJ/mol (R4, 6); -4.2 kJ/mol (R4, 6); -7.1 kJ/mol (R4, 6); and -45.8 (R2, 4, 6), respectively. This suggests that the substituted positions may be used to influence and fine-tune adsorption capacity and selectivity. This concept is explored in more detail in the next section.

What is interesting is that the amino ortho-substitution (NH2–R2) of the linker could result in a novel zwitterion intermediate. Zwitterions are neutral compounds that contain both positively and negatively charged functional groups. What structure predominates depends on the pH. At neutral pH, STAM-17-OEt could exist as a zwitterion, where the amino group is protonated to yield ammonium (−NH3+) and the carboxyl is deprotonated to yield a carboxylate anion (−COO−). In an acidic solution, both the amino and carboxylate functional groups are protonated to form −NH4+ and −COOH. In a basic solution, they both are deprotonated to yield −NH2 and −COO−. These substituent properties open the door for additional strategies to control the MOF functionality with pH. Ionic MOFs offer an additional opportunity for gas selectivity.60

Linker functionalization made the interaction of NO2 on the surface energetically more favorable from 117.6 to 10.0 kJ/mol; however, it remained repulsive. This could be due to the structural degradation of the MOF. The capture of acidic gases such as NO2, SO2, and CO2 is challenging due to their highly corrosive and oxidizing nature. In particular, NO2 is known to react and degrade MOF materials. Copper-based HKUST-1 MOF is unstable in NO2 conditions. After NO2 adsorption, the gas reacts with the copper node and forms copper nitrate [Cu(NO3)2], causing the MOF crystalline structure to collapse and reduce the adsorption capacity.61,62

All the thermodynamic quantities are not reported in Table 3 for the other functional groups and gases; however, they have been calculated. The DFT raw data output files to determine additional ΔE_ads (298 K) and ΔH_ads (298 K) with eqs 2 and 3.
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Figure 4. (a) Cu–Cu distance and (b) Cu–gas distance after gas adsorption. The starting geometry refers to the Cu–Cu distance and the Cu–gas distance before adsorption. Adsorbed gas ΔE_ads of the unmodified MOF are listed in an increasing order on the x-axis.
respectively, are publicly available on the NoMaD Repository.

**Gas Adsorption Configurations of Unmodified and Functionalized MOF.** Adsorption geometry and stability of gas molecules on metal surfaces can be key parameters for determining the properties, functions, and adsorption strengths of MOFs. The MOF structure can be flexible or rigid. The flexible MOFs exhibit reversible adsorption–desorption structural transformations that are classified as “breathing.”

There is shrinkage or expansion of the unit cell volume and shortening or elongation of the distance between the metal node and linkers or twisting mechanisms. This mechanical behavior makes these particular types of MOFs enticing for use as stimulus-responsive MOFs, such as chemical sensors to diagnose diseases such as diabetes or detect toxic gases. The following results suggest that STAM-17-OEt exhibits expansion and contraction in the structure for certain gas molecules that are indicative of the motion present in some breathing MOFs.

The effect of adsorbing different gases on the unmodified and functionalized STAM-17-OEt is illustrated in Figure S1. These are the configurations that correspond to the adsorption energies presented in Tables 2 and 3. All the gas molecules had the same starting geometry and distance from the Cu surface, as shown in the Methodology section. The gas molecules adopt a unique geometry when adsorbed on the Cu surface. The unsaturated bonds of C₂H₂ and C₂H₄ are parallel to the Cu surface before and after the MOF is functionalized. CO₂ has a bidentate adsorption geometry, parallel to the surface, but tilts slightly after functionalization. Surprisingly, C₂H₄, C₄H₈, CH₄, N₂, NH₃, and NO₂ adsorption geometries remained unchanged, even though their adsorption energies doubled after functionalization. The HCN structure also remained relatively unchanged although it only showed a 17% improvement in adsorption energy after functionalization. Therefore, the relationship between the adsorption geometry and energies is inconclusive.

To better visualize the structural transformation in a STAM-17-OEt paddle-wheel structure, the Cu–Cu and Cu–gas distances for the unmodified and functionalized MOF are plotted in Figure 4a,b and the values are reported in Table S2. The gas molecules are ordered from the lowest to the highest adsorption energy on the x-axis. The bond distances vary between the different MOF–gas complexes. The binding strength appears to be independent of the Cu–Cu distance. For most of the gases, upon adsorption, the Cu–Cu bond distance widened, going from a starting geometry of 2.7 Å to as wide as 3.37 Å for the unmodified MOF (C₂H₂) and 3.36 Å after functionalization (HCN). C₂H₄ was the only gas that dropped to 2.5 Å after modification.

Similarly, for the Cu–gas complex, the adsorption strength appears to be independent of the distance. NO₂, which was...
noninteracting, and NH₃, with the highest adsorption energy, have the shortest average Cu–gas distances at 2.03/2.01 Å and 2.12/2.14 Å, for the unmodified and functionalized MOF, respectively. However, saturated hydrocarbons did show some similarities. C₂H₄, C₆H₆, and CH₄ have equivalent Cu distances and the same trend is observed for the Cu–Cu bond distance.

The adsorption of gases with various sizes and geometry has revealed STAM-17-OEt structural flexibility and the ability to adapt to different gases through a range of different conformational changes. With many MOF applications requiring structural pliancy, STAM-17-OEt should be investigated further with periodic DFT calculations to explore its breathing behavior and quantify its mechanical properties. Most notably, C₂H₆, C₆H₆, and CH₄, which exhibited large deformations upon gas adsorption in both the unmodified and functionalized MOF, may be good candidates for gas sensing.

**HOMO–LUMO Energy Band Gap.** To obtain a qualitative understanding of the influence of the adsorbed gas molecules and functionalization on the electric charge, the energy gap was analyzed. The HOMO and LUMO describe the ability to donate an electron and obtain an electron, respectively, and can help predict electronic stability and gas adsorption behavior. The results show that the Cu²⁺ ion coordination with oxygen is mainly on the 4s, 3d, and 4p orbitals. The calculated natural charges on the copper atom in the complex are (+0.7 e) and (+1.0 e); this is smaller than the idealized formal charge of +2, indicating a charge transfer from the electron donor atoms of the linker to the copper metal ion. The results reveal that one Cu atom is oxidized less than 1+ (+0.7 e) and adsorption the electron density is well concentrated on the C–O bond of the methoxy and while the LUMO is concentrated on the oxygen of the Cu–O bond of the linker. After adsorption, both the LUMO and HOMO change corresponding to the different configurations observed in the earlier section.

**Natural Bond Orbital Analysis.** Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was carried out to gain further insights into the structure and guest molecule interactions that occur before and after amino (NH₃–R₂) and cyano (HCN–R₂, 4, 6) substitutions. The calculated natural atomic charges and the natural electron configuration for STAM-17-OEt were obtained from an NBO analysis. The analysis of the bare MOF and the MOF–gas complexes are reported in Table S3. The selected results that include the bare unmodified and functionalized MOFs and the MOF–gas complexes for the highest (NH₃) and lowest (NO₂) adsorbing gas molecules are reported in Table 4. The natural electron configurations of the two Cu atoms in the bare unmodified MOF are \( [\text{core}]^{−} 3d(9.77) 4p(0.27) 4d(0.01) \) and \( [\text{core}]^{−} 4s(0.36) 3d(9.30) 4p(0.36) 4d(0.01) 6p(0.01) \). The 0.01 electrons in 4d and 6p orbitals are relatively smaller than the other orbitals and make a negligible contribution and therefore are omitted from the discussion. The results show that the Cu²⁺-ion coordination with oxygen is mainly on the 4s, 3d, and 4p orbitals. The calculated natural charges on the copper atom in the complex are (+0.7 e) and (+1.0 e); this is smaller than the idealized formal charge of +2, indicating a charge transfer from the donor atoms of the linker to the copper metal ion. The results reveal that one Cu atom is oxidized less than 1+ (+0.7 e) and...
Table 4. Natural Atomic Charges and Natural Electron Configurations of Bare STAM-17-OEt MOF and MOF–Gas Complexes Obtained from Natural Bond Analysis

| systems           | atom | natural charge | natural electron configuration     |
|-------------------|------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| bare MOF (unmodified) | Cu (1) | 0.7 | [core]4S(0.24)3d(9.77)4p(0.27) |
|                   | Cu (2) | 1.0 | [core]4S(0.36)3d(9.30)4p(0.36) |
|                   | O (1)  | -0.6| [core]2S(1.61)2p(4.99)3d(0.01) |
|                   | O (2)  | -0.6| [core]2S(1.61)2p(4.99)3d(0.01) |
|                   | O (3)  | -0.6| [core]2S(1.71)2p(4.87) |
|                   | O (4)  | -0.6| [core]2S(1.71)2p(4.87) |
|                   | O (5)  | -0.7| [core]2S(1.66)2p(4.98)3p(0.01) |
|                   | O (6)  | -0.7| [core]2S(1.66)2p(4.98)3p(0.01) |
|                   | O (7)  | -0.6| [core]2S(1.68)2p(4.90)3p(0.01) |
|                   | O (8)  | -0.6| [core]2S(1.68)2p(4.90)3p(0.01) |
|                   | O (9)  | -0.6| [core]2S(1.58)2p(4.98)3p(0.01) |
|                   | O (10) | -0.6| [core]2S(1.58)2p(4.98)3p(0.01) |
|                   | O (11) | -0.7| [core]2S(1.71)2p(4.95)3p(0.01) |
|                   | O (12) | -0.7| [core]2S(1.71)2p(4.95)3p(0.01) |
|                   | O (13) | -0.7| [core]2S(1.66)2p(5.00)3d(0.01) |
|                   | O (14) | -0.7| [core]2S(1.66)2p(5.00)3d(0.01) |
|                   | O (15) | -0.6| [core]2S(1.69)2p(4.85)3d(0.01) |
|                   | O (16) | -0.6| [core]2S(1.69)2p(4.85)3d(0.01) |

(+1.0 e). These results are consistent with the HOMO–LUMO results in Figures 6 and S2, where the HOMO consists of π orbitals of the benzene ring and carbonyl and LUMO are composed of π orbitals of oxygen. The Cu dimer is complexed with very electronegative oxygen atoms and is most likely the major contributor to the charge transfer.

The natural electron configurations of Cu²⁺ ions in the bare amino-functionalized MOF (NH₃−R₂) are [core]4S(0.18)3d(9.88)4p(0.20) and [core]4S(0.33)3d(9.41)4p(0.36)6p(0.01). The calculated natural charge on the copper atom in the functionalized complex is (+0.7 e) and (+0.9 e). Again, the orbitals of all Cu atoms show a gain of electrons (less positive). However, there is a greater charge transfer from the functionalized ligand than the unmodified, presumably from the amino functional. Moreover, the results are further supported by Mulliken population analysis and Pipek–Mezyé criteria, with the formal charges of the two Cu(II) complexes of (+0.4 e, +0.6 e) and (+0.4 e, +0.6 e) for the unmodified and (+0.4 e, +0.6 e) and (+0.4 e, +0.6 e) for the amino-substituted, respectively. The unmodified and functionalized MOF could be described as ligand-to-metal charge-transfer complexes, which show potential for photosynthetic applications.

The calculated natural charge and natural electron configurations for the bare unmodified and functionalized MOF and the MOF–gas complex of the highest (NH₃) and lowest (NO₂) adsorption energies are reported in Table 4. Looking at the bare unmodified MOF, the calculated natural charge of +0.7/+1.0 e on the Cu atoms is smaller than the formal charge Cu²⁺. This value is expected because the MOF paddle wheel structure is a dimer and the charge is split between the two Cu atoms. In comparison to the NH₃ and CN-functionalized bare MOF, the calculated natural charges are +0.7/+0.9 e and +0.8/+1.1 e. Interestingly, one of the Cu atoms is more positive and less electron dense than the other Cu atom for the unmodified and CN-functionalized MOF.

After adsorption, the charge analysis shows a net charge on the Cu atom in both the unmodified and functionalized MOF–NH₃ gas complexes. It indicates a reduction in electron density on the Cu atom and a more positive natural. The differences in partial charges after adsorption reveal the transfer from the Cu to the NH₃ gas molecules. In contrast, the electron density for both the unmodified and functionalized MOF–NH₃ gas complexes increased around the Cu and it becomes more negative. This indicated that there is a charge transfer from the NO₂ gas molecules to the Cu atoms. This could be the explanation as to why NO₂ is noninteracting and not adsorptive to the MOF.

Tuning Adsorption Strength and Selectivity by Ligand Functionalization. What was reported in the previous section were the functionalized positions and number of substitutions on the STAM-17-OEt linker yielded to yield the strongest adsorption energies when compared to its unmodified structure. However, for some industrial applications, the strongest adsorption energy may not be ideal or more flexibility in the design is required for extreme conditions (e.g., elevated temperature and pressure). Sometimes this is overlooked in computational studies that evaluate MOFs for gas capture.

For example, postcombustion capture of CO₂ lies between physisorption and chemisorption, between the range of –25.0 to –40.0 kJ/mol.⁷¹ Physisorption materials for the postcombustion capture of CO₂ can operate at temperatures above 200 °C and high-pressure environments, the low ΔH_ads reduces the energy cost required to regenerate CO₂.⁷² For chemisorption, amine can be incorporated on the surface to increase the affinity of CO₂; however, this process can increase the amount of energy needed to desorb CO₂, and the material tends to degrade at temperatures above 120 °C.⁷¹,⁷² To achieve more efficient processes, MOF material development should be tightly linked to minimizing the ΔH_ads while maximizing the adsorption capacity and providing the flexibility to accommodate different operating conditions. The strategy to include mono-, di-, tri-, and ortho/para substitutions in the study offers the opportunity to moderate MOF adsorption strength for the desired application.

It is clear from Figure 7 that an amino-functionalized STAM-17-OEt linker yields the highest adsorption energies,
but for high-temperature or pressure environments that are known to increase adsorption putting the adsorption energy in the chemisorption range may not be the best option. Instead, using polar EWG CN or COOH can reduce the adsorption energy for most gases. For NH₃ gas adsorption, using a polar EWG reduces it by almost 50%. O₂ adsorption energy can best be reduced by using a non-polar EDG CH₃.

**Tuning Gas Selectivity by Selecting the Substituted Position.** Much of the work presented here has been fundamental and general, with a wide range of technological applications. However, for concreteness, this section will describe a specific application relating to gas selectivity. Selective adsorption can be achieved based on the difference in adsorption energy between the two gases of interest. Tuning the affinity of STAM-17-OEt toward particular gas of interest is crucial for optimizing its adsorptive properties for commercial applications. Figure 8a–f illustrates idealized theoretical cases of an equal mixture of gas of two gases separated with STAM-17-OEt. Using the difference in adsorption energies of the two gases as a basis for selectivity, Figure 8a–c suggests that the MOF could separate H₂S from CO₂, C₂H₂ from CO₂, and CO₂ from CH₄. Figure 8a shows
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that the best separation of H₂S/CO₂ can be obtained by using either a di- or tri-substitution with a polar EWG (R₂, 4, 6 COOH, or R₂, 4, 6 CN). Figure 8b, C₂H₄/CO₂ separation can best be obtained by using substitutes with a COOH polar EWG or with di-substitution with a NH₂ polar EDG (R₆, 6 NH₂).

There are scenarios where the MOF’s selectivity can be switched by using different functional groups or by regioselectivity. The resulting plots for C₃H₆/C₄H₄/C₆H₆ and C₄H₄/C₆H₆, are shown in Figure 8d–f. In Figure 8d, most of the functional groups can be used to make C₂H₄ more selective than C₆H₆ except for the ones that fall on the dotted line. However, a di-ortho substitution with polar EDG NH₂ (R₆, 6) can shift selectively to C₂H₆. C₂H₆ and C₂H₄ are very close in adsorption energies, but by selecting a mono-ortho COOH substitution, the MOF can be selective for C₆H₆ by selecting di-ortho CN (R₂, 6) substitution. In a final example, the MOF could be made more selective for C₂H₂ with OH di- and tri-substitutions but could possibly be switched to C₂H₄ with mono-ortho OH substitution (R₆).

Additional illustrations on the possible selectivity and separation of two gases on this MOF material are displayed in Figure S3. The Python-based plotting script that was developed to easily separate and visualize the effect of the mono-, di-, and tri-substitutions is available on the first author’s GitHub. While these predictions can provide some useful information regarding the adsorption selectivity of a particular functionalized MOF, further investigations under real industrial conditions are still needed for its full assessment.

Functionalization for Stability in Moisture Environments. Although STAM-17-OEt is highly stable in water, the gas adsorption capacity and strength may be sensitive to water or humid environments. From the results in the earlier section, it is evident that water adsorbs more strongly than most of the gases. Other theoretical studies functionalize MOFs to improve adsorption without also considering the increase in the MOF’s affinity for water. However, in real industrial applications, moisture could adversely affect the adsorption of gases. As it will be shown in the following, maintaining the balance of low water adsorption and high gas affinity is difficult.

Earlier, it was determined that amine- and cyano-functionalized MOFs enhanced gas adsorption for all gases including H₂O. To counteract this effect, the STAM-17-OEt linker could be functionalized with different strategies that could lower the water affinity while still increasing or maintaining gas adsorption capacity. In this section, three substitution strategies are investigated to demonstrate an approach to reduce water adsorption.

Strategy 1: functionalization of the linker with a different amino or cyano substitution patterns. In this strategy, tri-amine substitution (NH₃−R₂, 4, 6) for the 20 gases and cyano substitution (CN−R₂, 4, 6) for HCN gas yield lower H₂O adsorption energy. Strategy 2: functionalization of the linker with tri-methyl substitution (CH₃−R₂, 4, 6). For this strategy, this substitution pattern is the most unfavorable for H₂O and yields the lowest adsorption energy. Strategy 3: functionalization of the linker with a nonpolar functional group, while selecting the situation pattern that gives the highest gas adsorption. In this strategy, nonpolar functional groups yield low water adsorption and good gas adsorption energies.

The results are illustrated in Figure 9. The adsorption energies of the unmodified MOF and the predicted most favorable positions, (NH₃−R₂) and (CN−R₂, 4, 6) are included as a reference. The filled plot markers indicate that H₂O is adsorbing more strongly than the gas molecules. If strategy 1 is applied, H₂O adsorption is reduced from −165.4 to −64.3 kJ/mol. HCN gas adsorption is unchanged because tri-cyano substitution also gives lower H₂O adsorption energy. Because amino substitution (NH₃−R₂) improved the adsorption energy of 20 gases and cyano substitution (CN−R₂, 4, 6) for the HCN gas, selecting a different substitution pattern on the linker would decrease water adsorption. However, strategy 1 still results in H₂O adsorbing more strongly than gas molecules. If strategy 2 is applied, tri-methyl substitution (CH₃−R₂, 4, 6) will give the lowest H₂O adsorption energy. This substitution pattern decreased H₂O adsorption energy from −165.4 and −101.4 to −60.1 kJ/mol. However, in strategy 2, the difference between the H₂O and gas adsorption energies remained relatively unchanged and H₂O still adsorbed more strongly than gas molecules. If strategy 3 is applied, the linker is functionalized with either a CH₃ or F nonpolar functional group because they yield lower H₂O adsorption. The choice of a nonpolar functional group was determined by the one that gave the highest gas adsorption. By applying strategy 3, tri-fluoro substitution (F−R₂, 4, 6) for the C₂H₂ gas, ortho-fluoro substitution (F−R₆) for the C₂H₄ gas, ortho-methyl substitution (CH₃−R₆) for the HCN gas and di-fluoro substitution (CH₃−R₂, 6) for the O₂ gas, their adsorption...
energies are almost equal to H₂O. By applying an ortho-fluoro substitution pattern (F−R₆) for the SO₂ gas, the difference between H₂O adsorption energy and SO₂ was reduced from −32.7 to −16.8 kJ/mol. This makes H₂O a little less competitive than SO₂. C₆H₄ improved the most under strategy 3. By using a di-ortho fluor substitution pattern (F−R₆,6), the difference between H₂O adsorption energy and C₆H₄ gas was reduced from −58.3 to −9.0 kJ/mol. This also makes H₂O less competitive. Strategy 3 is a more balanced approach; it considers the effect functionalization will have on both H₂O and gas adsorption and appeared to be the most effective.

### CONCLUSIONS

In this comprehensive study, DFT modeling was carried out to postsynthetically modify STAM-17-OEt linkers with various functional groups to investigate the suitable linker substitution (s) to enhance or moderate gas adsorption and selectivity. The initial benchmark study revealed that the LC-ωPBE density functional with mixed basis sets cc-pVDZ and 6-311G(d,p) was reliable and in good agreement with previous experimental results. Furthermore, it was found that amino- and cyano-functionalization led to the most increase in adsorption capabilities. The calculation predicted zwitritonier properties, which provide additional fine-tuning and optimization of the MOF material. Selecting the appropriate functional group and substituted position on the aromatic ring can lead to additional favorable interactions, such as water affinity reduction and switching gas selectivity from one gas to another. Given the initial promising results, this should strongly motivate continued efforts for this strategized functionalization approach. NBO and HOMO–LUMO analyses were performed to help correlate interaction properties to charge distribution and transfer. The results suggest that the MOF exhibits LMCT because of the electron delocalization in the linker and oxidation of the Cu²⁺ cation. Thus, a theoretical post-synthetic modification of the existing STAM-17-OEt materials represents an effective and efficient way to improve the gas capture performance. These efforts are intended to guide the design strategies and advancement of MOFs for gas adsorption and separation applications.

### METHODOLOGY

**Molecular Cluster Model.** Due to the large unit cell of the STAM-17-OEt crystal structure, which contains 1217 atoms, a molecular cluster model strategy was applied where 94 atoms were cut from the bulk material (Figure 10). The cluster model was chosen for this study over a periodic model, where a three-dimensional unit cell is repeated for a full MOF structure representation. This allows for the use of a higher-level electronic structure method and basis set to capture any weak contributions from the different linker substitutions. The molecular cluster model strategy is similar to those in prior studies and they have determined that the choice of the size of a MOF cluster has minimal effect on the adsorption energy and the cluster model is sufficient to describe localized interactions. 37,73,75 The relative adsorption trends over a range of gasses are consistent in periodic and cluster models, 73 as long as the same conditions are applied to all the MOF–gas complex calculations. Because this study considers only the local effect of functionalization on the open-metal site copper, a cluster modeling approach was suitable. The quality of the results is determined mainly by the type of functional, size of the basis set used to describe the atomic orbital, and proper application of dispersion effects, which are considered and described in the next section.

**Computational Details.** All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 16 package. 76 Geometry optimization of STAM-17-OEt structures and molecular adsorption energy calculations were carried out using the DFT of the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange–correlation functional. 77 The long-range dispersion corrected version of PBE (LC-ωPBE) 78–80 was used in conjunction with the cc-pVDZ split-valence 81,82 basis set for the Cu atoms and 6-311G(d,p) 83–85 basis set for the remaining atoms. Dunning’s correlation consistent basis sets were chosen to correct the basis set superposition error. 82,86,87 The choice of the DFT method and basis set was determined by a comparative study. The accuracy of eight DFT functionals was assessed with respect to experimental enthalpies for CO₂ on [Cu₄(TMA)₃(H₂O)]₄, MOF or commonly referred to as HKUST-1. 88 LC-ωPBE functional with both cc-pVDZ and 6-311G(d,p) basis sets produce the best results of −14.3 kJ/mol, which is within the range of experimental enthalpy (∆H_ads) of 14.6 ± 0.5–25.6 kJ/mol. LC-ωPBE treated the adsorption carefully and accounted for weak long and medium-range noncovalent forces without overestimation. The bond lengths were comparable to the experimental parameters with an average divergence of 0.01 Å. The full results that include the computed thermodynamic energies and bond lengths compared to published experimental data are reported in the Supporting Information (Table S4 and Figure S4). The same method and basis set was used to calculate the harmonic vibrational frequencies of the optimized geometries to confirm that all local minima were positive frequencies and used to obtain zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections. The self-consistent field parameters used was a tight convergence criterion of 10⁻⁸ Hartree.

**Molecular Cluster Optimization.** Geometry optimizations were performed on a truncated molecular cluster cut from an experimentally resolved dehydrated crystal structure of STAM-17-OEt as described in the earlier section. LC-ωPBE with a cc-pVDZ basis set was used for the Cu and 6-311G(d,p) basis set for the other atoms. The cleaved cluster exposed charged carboxylic groups on the linkers, so hydrogen atoms were added to the oxygen atoms to maintain charge neutrality (Figure 11a,b). After the addition of hydrogen atoms to the

---

**Figure 10.** Illustration of the crystal structure of STAM-17-OEt viewed along the z-axis, based on the crystallographic information file obtained from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (reference: 1566115). 52 The magnified circle contains the copper paddle-wheel molecular cluster model, composed of two copper atoms, linked by four 5-ethoxy isophthalate linkers. Color key: Cu (gold), O (red), C (gray), and H (off white).
carboxylate groups, the hydrogens were optimized while keeping the rest of the structure fixed. All STAM-17-OEt models were optimized using the spin-restricted singlet state ($S = 0$). Cu–dimer complexes are known to have antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic behaviors,

however, the singlet-triplet gap is small

and adsorption is not dependent on the spin state of Cu.

The optimized structure was then functionalized as described in the next section.

**Linker Functionalization and Optimization.** The optimized STAM-17-OEt molecular clusters were functionalized by replacing the hydrogen atoms of the aromatic ring with the functional groups of interest. Figure 12 illustrates the general scheme used to functionalize the MOF. The chemical modifications were achieved by introducing polar/strong electron-donating groups (EDG) (–NH$_2$ and –OH), non-polar EDG (–CH$_3$), polar/strong electron-withdrawing groups (EWG) (–CN and –COOH), and non-polar EWG (F halogen), as well as the number of substituents. For clarity, we use R to denote the different chemical groups introduced into the linkers ($R = NH_2$, OH, CH$_3$, CN, COOH, and F). R with a subscript indicates the position (ortho, para) and/or the number of substitutions (mono-, di-, tri-). For example, ortho substitution is represented as ($R_2$, $R_6$), di-ortho substitution is represented as ($R_{2,6}$ and $R_{4,6}$), and tri substitution is represented as ($R_{2,4,6}$). $R_4$ and $R_{2,4}$ were not considered because they have similar chemical environments as $R_6$ and $R_{2,6}$, respectively. The newly functionalized structure was partially re-optimized; the R groups were optimized while the rest of the structure was fixed.

**Adsorption Energies and Thermodynamic Properties.** For the adsorption of a gas on STAM-17-OEt calculations, two optimized gas molecules were placed directly above the center of mass of each of the Cu atoms (Figure 13).

Two gas molecules were used to retain the MOF’s rigidity and prevent distortion during optimization. In a test calculation, where a single CO$_2$ gas molecule was absorbed on only one side of the copper wheel, the difference in energy was not significant, but it deformed the MOF structure too much in one direction (Table S5), presumably due to the Jahn–Teller distortion.

The apical Cu–O bonds are longer than Cu–O bonds in the basal plane. The DFT calculations reveal that the Jahn–Teller distortions are enhanced upon CO$_2$ gas adsorption and apical bond elongation is observed. The $e_g$
orbits involved in the degeneracy point toward the CO$_2$ molecule, so the distortion results in energetic stabilization. These observations are consistent with an earlier study.\textsuperscript{73}

Furthermore, the effect of the distortion on the Cu$^{2+}$ coordination environment provides unique properties. The adsorption capability of MOFs depends on the availability of the metal site. Cu$^{2+}$ ions, which exhibit a Jahn–Teller distortion, have fully desorbed and exposed metal sites which increase adsorption potential and gas selectivity.\textsuperscript{94}

During the optimization, Cu–Cu and the gas molecule were free to move, while the remaining structure was constrained. This allows for the structural transformations that occur during adsorption,\textsuperscript{95,96,97} while minimizing computational cost. The geometry optimization strategy was validated by comparing a fully optimized MOF–gas complex without restrictions (CO, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O) to the partially optimized structure, where only the Cu atoms and gas molecules were free to move. The differences in the adsorption energies of the fully optimized structures compared to the partially optimized structures are small, $-3.0$, $-3.0$, and $-2.4$ kJ/mol, respectively. The relative adsorption trends of the fully and partially optimized are consistent, H$_2$O $>$ CO$_2$ $>$ CO (Table S6).

The optimized geometry of the adsorbate–cluster complex was confirmed to be a true minimum by vibrational frequency calculations. Adsorption energy ($\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$) per adsorbate gas molecule was computed via:

$$ \Delta E_{\text{ads}} = \frac{1}{2}(E_{\text{gas/MOF}} - 2E_{\text{gas}} - E_{\text{MOF}}) $$

(1)

where $E_{\text{MOF}}$ is the energy of the bare MOF, $E_{\text{gas}}$ is the energy of the gas molecule, and $E_{\text{ads}}$ is the energy of the gas molecule adsorbed on the MOF. Because there are two adsorbents on either side of the Cu atom, the total energy is obtained by dividing by two.

For reference, other thermodynamic properties were also computed. $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ at 298 K is

$$ \Delta H_{\text{ads}}(298) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}(0 \text{ K}) + 2\Delta ZPE + 2\Delta T_{\text{E}}) $$

(2)

Analogous to the enthalpy, $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ at 298 K can be found from

$$ \Delta E_{\text{ads}}(298) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta E_{\text{ads}}(0 \text{ K}) + 2\Delta ZPE + 2\Delta T_{\text{E}}) $$

(3)

where $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$, $\Delta ZPE$, and $\Delta T_{\text{E}}$ are the sum of the electronic energy, zero-point vibrational energy, and thermal correction to the internal energy at 298 K, respectively. With these definitions, atomic heats of formation at 0 K can be converted to those at 298 K.

**Charge Distribution Analysis.** Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was performed to gain more insights into the stabilization and charge delocalization of the electron density, which can enhance the understanding of intra-/intermolecular interactions that occur during adsorption. To calculate the second-order interactions between the filled and unfilled orbitals, NBO calculations were performed using NBO version 3.1,\textsuperscript{98,99} as implemented in the Gaussian 16 package\textsuperscript{20} with LC-oPBE and basis sets described in the earlier sections. This long-range-corrected DFT satisfies Koopman’s theorem and accurately calculates orbital energies and HOMO–LUMO energy gaps for molecular systems.\textsuperscript{100} Alternative orbital localization algorithms, the Mulliken population analysis, and Pipek-Mezey\textsuperscript{100} localization criteria, using Gaussian keyword IOp(4/9=20212), were also implemented. Visualization for Electronic and Structural Analysis (VESTA)\textsuperscript{109} software was used to generate the orbital visualizations.
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