Inhibition of herpes simplex virus type 1 by small interfering RNA
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Summary

Background. RNA interference, a conserved mechanism in which a sequence-specific gene-silencing process is mediated by small interfering RNA (siRNA), is a promising method of gene therapy in treating a variety of viral diseases.

Aim. To investigate the antiviral effects of siRNA on herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) replication in Vero cells.

Methods. The antiviral effects of siRNA duplexes targeting the VP16 and DNA polymerase genes of HSV-1 were evaluated by yield-reduction and plaque-reduction assays. The effect of siRNA on the expression of target genes was measured by real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR.

Results. Two siRNA duplexes (siRNA-1, targeting VP16, and siRNA-4, targeting DNA polymerase), were found to be highly effective in inhibiting HSV-1 replication. siRNA-1 and siRNA-4 reduced HSV-1 replication by around 2 log10 and 1 log10 in the yield-reduction assay and by ~85% and ~70% in the plaque-reduction assay, respectively. Significant decreases in the mRNA level of VP16 and DNA polymerase genes were detected after viral infection in the Vero cells pretreated with siRNA-1 and siRNA-4, respectively.

Conclusion. These results indicate that siRNA can potently inhibit HSV-1 replication in vitro, suggesting that siRNA-based antiviral therapy may be a potential effective therapeutic alternative for patients with HSV-1 infection.

Introduction

Herpes simplex virus (HSV), a linear double-stranded DNA virus, primarily affects the mucocutaneous surfaces, causing conditions such as gingivostomatitis, pharyngitis, herpes labialis and genital herpes, and occasionally infects the central nervous system or visceral organs. It can cause a variety of diseases ranging in severity from mild to severe, and may even be life-threatening in immunocompromised patients.1,2 The major clinical significance of HSV types 1 and 2 lies in their ability to cause acute primary infection and to reactivate periodically from latency, causing recurrent infection. Aciclovir and other nucleoside derivatives ( penciclovir, famciclovir, valaciclovir and ganciclovir) have been approved worldwide for the treatment of herpetic infections. However, problems associated with toxicity and drug resistance have been reported,3,4 and currently there is no specific drug available to eliminate established life-long infection.

RNA interference (RNAi) may be a new approach to HSV therapy. RNAi is a gene-silencing mechanism based on sequence-specific targeting and post-transcriptional mRNA degradation, which is induced by double-stranded RNA.5 Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), double-stranded RNAs of 21–25 nucleotides in length, generated by the ribonuclease III enzyme Dicer, act as functional intermediates in RNAi to induce target mRNA cleavage by the RNA-induced silencing complex.6 This powerful technology has been widely used to manipulate gene expression, identify gene functions on a whole-genome scale, and
develop antiviral strategies for both prevention and treatment of human viral diseases. There have been many reports showing that siRNA can specifically and potently inhibit a number of viruses, including human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B and C viruses, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-associated coronavirus and influenza virus.

In this study, we examined the effects of siRNA on HSV-1 replication in Vero cells. We found that siRNA-1, targeting HSV-1 VP16, and siRNA-4, targeting DNA polymerase, significantly inhibited HSV-1 replication.

**Materials and methods**

**Generation of siRNA duplexes**

The siRNA duplexes corresponding to the VP16 and DNA polymerase genes of HSV-1 (GenBank accession numbers K03350 and AX358316, respectively) were designed and synthesized by Genepharma (Shanghai, China). The sequences of the corresponding siRNA duplexes are shown in Table 1.

**Cells, virus and transfection**

Vero cells, an African green monkey kidney cell line (Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China), were cultured in RMPI 1640 medium (Gibco, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum at 37 °C in 5% CO2. HSV-1 strain F (Jinan University, Guangzhou, China) was propagated in Vero cells and the infectious titre in Vero cells measured using a plaque assay.

For transfection with the siRNA duplexes, Vero cells were seeded into 24-well plates at a concentration of 8 x 10^4 cells/well and grown at 37 °C overnight. When the growth of Vero cells reached ~90% confluency, 30 pmol/L of siRNA duplex mixed with 2 μL of lipofectin (Top Genomics, Guangzhou, China) was added to the culture medium. At 4 h after transfection, the cells were washed and overlaid with fresh RMPI 1640 medium with 5% fetal calf serum. Both prophylactic and therapeutic effects of siRNA were investigated according to previously described methods. Briefly, in the prophylactic study, Vero cells were infected with HSV-1 at 0.02 plaque-forming units (pfu)/cell 3–4 h after siRNA transfection, and in the therapeutic study, Vero cells were infected with HSV-1 1 h prior to siRNA transfection.

**Antiviral assays**

The inhibitory effects of siRNA on HSV-1 replication were evaluated as previously described. For the yield-reduction assay, when the cells in the virus control wells demonstrated complete cytopathic effect (CPE), the virus-infected cell cultures were harvested by subjecting them to three freeze/thaw cycles and spinning them at 500 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were collected and stored at −80 °C until virus titration, which was performed by the limiting-dilution method, using a 96-well plate with 4 replicates per dilution. The virus titre was expressed as 50% tissue culture infectious doses (TCID50)/mL. For plaque-reduction assay, the inocula that yielded 20–30 plaques per well were removed after a 1-hour absorption period and the infected cells were incubated in RMPI 1640 containing 1.2% methylcellulose (4000 CP; Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) for 2–3 days. The cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline and stained with 1% crystal violet in methanol for 5–10 min. Following several washes with water, the number of viral plaques was counted.

**RNA extraction and real-time reverse transcription PCR**

The intracellular mRNA level of VP16 and DNA polymerase was quantified by real-time quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. Commercial kits were used to extract total RNA (RNase-free DNase Kit; QiaGen, Hilden, Germany), remove trace DNA (RNase-free Mini Kit; Qiagen), and synthesize cDNA (RT Reagent...
Kit; Takara, Dalian, China) with random primers according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The reverse transcription product and primers (Table 2) were added to a buffer (SYBR Premix ExTaq buffer; Takara) containing Taq DNA polymerase, dNTPs and SYBR green I for real-time PCR. β-actin was used as control to normalize the expression level between samples. The conditions for real-time PCR were 30 s at 95 °C for initial denaturation, followed by 40 cycles of 5 s at 95 °C for denaturation, and 35 s at 60 °C for annealing and extension.

**Table 2** The primer sequences used for real-time PCR.

| Gene          | Primer sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|
| VP16          |                             |
| Forward       | GGACTCGTATTCCAGCTTC         |
| Reverse       | CGTCCTCGCCGTCTAAATG         |
| DNA polymerase|                             |
| Forward       | GGCCTTGAACAGCTCTTG          |
| Reverse       | ATGTTGCTGCGCATCTGTC         |
| β-Actin       |                             |
| Forward       | GGACTTCGAGCGAGGAGATG        |
| Reverse       | CAGGAAGGAAAGTTGGAAGAG     |

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed by Student’s t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett’s posthoc test when appropriate. SPSS software (version 11.5; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the calculations, and statistical significance set at *P* < 0.05.

**Results**

**Antiviral effects of siRNA**

Six different siRNA duplexes were screened for antiviral activity. The siRNA duplexes were introduced into Vero cells followed by infection with HSV-1. The CPE of infected cells was evaluated at 40–48 h after infection, when the CPE induced in controls reached ~100%. One VP16-specific siRNA, siRNA-1, demonstrated the highest potency for reduction of virus-induced CPE (~90% inhibition, data not shown). Another siRNA that targeted DNA polymerase, siRNA-4, also showed a significant reduction in CPE (> 70%). The other four siRNA duplexes demonstrated only moderate (~40–70%) or slight (< 30%) reductions in CPE (data not shown).

Both prophylactic and therapeutic effects of siRNA-1 and siRNA-4 on HSV-1 replication were then investigated further. Compared with the control siRNA (siRNA-C), the HSV-1 yield in the groups treated with siRNA-1 and siRNA-4 decreased by 2 log_{10} and 1 log_{10}, respectively (Fig. 1a), and the viral plaque number decreased by ~85% and 70%, respectively, in the plaque-reduction assay (Fig. 1b, fig 2). siRNA-1 and siRNA-4 reduced HSV-1 yield by around 2 log_{10} and 1 log_{10} in the yield-reduction assay compared with the control, respectively (Fig. 1c).
Antiviral effects of multiple siRNAs

The two active siRNA duplexes were used in combination for the viral-inhibition study. The same total dosage of single siRNA duplex was used as control. As shown in Fig. 3, two doses of siRNA-1, siRNA-4 and combined siRNAs significantly inhibited HSV-1 replication. The combined siRNA duplexes did not demonstrate any improved potency of the antiviral effect compared with siRNA-1 and siRNA-4 ($P > 0.05$) in both prophylactic and therapeutic studies (Fig. 3a,b).

Effect of siRNA on the expression of target genes

The mRNA level of VP16 and DNA polymerase was measured by real-time RT-PCR 6 h and 12 h after infection of siRNA-pretreated cells. siRNA-1 resulted in $\sim 85\%$ decrease of HSV-1 VP16 mRNA at 6 h post-infection and $\sim 95\%$ decrease at 12 h after infection (Fig. 4a). The DNA polymerase mRNA level was reduced by $\sim 60\%$ and $\sim 80\%$ in siRNA-4-pretreated cells at 6 h and 12 h postinfection, respectively (Fig. 4b).

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the inhibitory effects of synthesized siRNA duplexes corresponding to HSV-1 VP16 and DNA polymerase genes on HSV-1 replication. VP16 (encoded by the UL48 gene) is a 65-kDa HSV-1 virion phosphoprotein synthesized during the late phase of HSV-1 gene expression. In lytic infection, VP16 activates transcription of the immediate-early genes and results in a cascade of gene transcription, in which early genes and late genes are transcribed in an ordered temporal pattern. Because of its ability to transactivate expression of viral immediate-early genes, VP16 is considered to be essential for efficient viral replication. DNA polymerase is another key enzyme in the replication of all herpesviruses. Most drugs available to treat herpesvirus infections target the viral DNA polymerase. In our experiments, we showed that the siRNA duplexes targeting VP16 and DNA polymerase genes potently inhibited HSV-1 replication. Therefore, HSV-1 VP16 and DNA polymerase may be effective target genes for inhibition of HSV-1 replication by RNAi.

Previous studies have shown that combination of multiple siRNAs targeting different genes represent a potent way to enhance the antiviral activity compared with targeting a single gene. The enhanced antiviral effect was observed with cotransfection of $\geq 2$ siRNAs targeting the viral genes of nsp-12, -13- and -16 and the Spike protein in SARS coronavirus infection. This effect could be explained by the specific binding of certain siRNAs that may change the secondary structure of RNA and therefore result in more accessible sites for other siRNA molecules. However, this is not a
universal finding as no additive or synergistic effect on antiviral activity was observed in a study when using any combination between the specific siRNA targeting viral protease 2A and any other siRNAs targeting the 5′ untranslated region, start codon and RNA polymerase 3D of coxsackie virus B3. Interestingly, in the present study, no synergistic effect was noted when two siRNA duplexes were used. The reason for the discrepancy between the studies is not clear; however, the use of multiple siRNAs concurrently remains an attractive approach in the development of clinical antiviral agents because of its potential to limit viral escape due to mutation.

Our data showed that siRNAs achieved potent inhibition of HSV-1 replication both before and after viral infection. The observed effective prophylactic inhibitory activities of siRNAs suggest the potential value of siRNAs as a preventative measure against HSV-1 infection and recurrent infection caused by virus reactivation. Moreover, siRNAs could be developed as an antiviral agent in the treatment of HSV-1 infection, because of the strong therapeutic effect observed in vitro.

Although siRNAs appeared to be a very promising antiviral modality, this technology has several limitations for clinical application. Delivery is probably the biggest obstacle to the development of siRNA-based therapeutic agents. Direct administration would require siRNAs that are modified to be resistant to nucleases and perhaps conjugated with a ligand to target the siRNA to specific tissues. In addition, the problems of identification of effective target sites in the target gene, activation of interferon triggered by siRNAs and the probability of emerging escape mutants need attention.

In summary, we have demonstrated that chemically synthesized siRNA duplexes, siRNA-1 (targeting VP16) and siRNA-4 (targeting DNA polymerase), exhibited excellent antiviral activity in inhibiting HSV-1 replication in Vero cells. This provides a foundation for further studies in using siRNAs as effective antiviral agents against HSV-1 infection.
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