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Little is known about the acute effects of ozone on morbidity risk in China. We conducted a time-series study to examine the association between ozone and daily emergency room visits (ERVs) in Beijing, China. We identified 7,088,309 ERVs between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2015. A generalized additive model with Poisson regression incorporating penalized spline functions was employed to analyze ERVs in association with daily 8-h maximum ozone concentrations. An increase of 10 $\mu g/m^3$ of same-day ozone concentration was significantly associated with a 0.24% (95% CI, 0.21%–0.26%), 0.31% (95% CI, 0.27%–0.35%), and 0.43% (95% CI, 0.36%–0.50%) increase in daily ERVs for the whole study period, days when the daily 8-h maximum ozone met the Chinese Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) Grade II standard, and days that met the CAAQS Grade I standard, respectively. These results were robust when considering the potential confounding effects of PM2.5, PM10, NO2, SO2, and CO.

In conclusion, our findings suggested significant effects of ozone exposure on daily ERVs in Beijing. Improving air quality with even lower ozone level than the current CAAQS could yield important public health.

Short-term exposure to tropospheric ozone has been linked to increased mortality and morbidity\(^1\)–\(^4\), accounting for an estimated 254,000 deaths globally in 2015\(^5\). The primary of previous studies evaluating the ozone-related health effects were conducted in Europe and North America\(^6\)–\(^12\). Few studies have assessed the health effects of ozone exposure in developing countries. Given the considerable differences in ozone levels, weather patterns, topography, and population susceptibility across different geographic regions, an urgent need remains to assess the health effects of ambient ozone in developing countries.

China, the largest developing country, may have the severest ambient ozone pollution in the world\(^13\). Several studies have addressed the association between ozone and mortality in China\(^14\)–\(^17\). However, little is known about impacts of ozone on morbidity outcomes in China. Emergency room visits (ERVs), an important measure of morbidity, greatly outnumber mortality events, thus having a greater statistical power to detect the air pollution-related health effects. In addition, ERV is almost impervious to external factors, such as availability of hospital beds and scheduled appointments with physicians. ERV can better test the temporal association between clinical presentation of disease and exposure to air pollution\(^18\). Therefore, ERV is a good indicator in evaluating the health effects associated with air pollution. Characterizing the association between exposure to ozone and ERV may help better delineate the scale and breadth of ozone’s impacts.

In 2012, the China’s Ministry of Environmental Protection proposed revisions to the Chinese Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) for ozone, adding a standard for the daily 8-h maximum ozone concentration. A key scientific issue in evaluating the ozone-associated health effects is whether a threshold concentration exists below which no adverse impacts are expected\(^1\). However, no study has specifically evaluated the health effects of ozone on morbidity risk at levels below the current CAAQS.

In this study, we aimed to test the relationship between short-term exposure to ozone and daily ERVs, and to explore whether ozone at levels below the current CAAQS has adverse effects on morbidity risk in Beijing, the capital of China.
slightly non-linear with a sharp response at high levels. To explore the variability effects of sex, age (18–64 and ≥ 65 years), and season (warm: April–September; and cool season: October–March). A Z-test was employed to assess the statistical significance of differences between subgroups. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the effect of df on the regression results. We also applied two-pollutant models to estimate the robustness of the association.

To explore whether there is evidence of a causal effect of ozone on morbidity even among individuals with ozone exposure at levels below the current CAAQS, we performed a subset approach that only includes days that meet the standards (Grade I standard of 100 μg/m³ and Grade II standard of 160 μg/m³). All analyses were conducted in R 3.2.2 using the “mgcv” and “nlme” packages (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The results are expressed as percentage change and 95% confidence interval (CI) in daily ERVs per 10 μg/m³ increase of daily 8-h maximum ozone concentration.

### Results

Table 1 shows the basic characteristics for this study. A total of 7,088,309 ERVs between 2014 and 2015 in Beijing formed the basis for this study. There were 44.1% male patients, and 20.9% patients were ≥ 65 years old. Table 2 presents the summary statistics for daily ERVs and exposure variables during the study period. The mean (SD) daily ERV count was 9,710 (1070). The overall mean daily 8-h maximum ozone concentration was 103.1 μg/m³ with a range from 2.0 μg/m³ to 281.0 μg/m³. The means (SD) daily temperature and relative humidity were 15.9 °C (5.9) and 55.0% (20.0%), respectively. Daily 8-h maximum ozone levels were weakly correlated with NO₂, SO₂, and CO concentrations (correlation coefficient r = −0.34–−0.29), while were highly positively correlated with PM₂.5 and PM₁₀ (Table 3).

As shown in Fig. 1, the exposure-response curve for daily 8-h maximum ozone concentrations and ERV was slightly non-linear with a sharp response at < 150 μg/m³, and a tiny fluctuation at higher levels. Table 4 shows the association between ERVs and ozone for different lag structures. We observed significant effects of ozone on daily ERVs for the whole study period, days when the daily 8-h maximum ozone met the CAAQS Grade II standard, and days that met the CAAQS Grade I standard. A 10 μg/m³ increase in ozone concentration on the
same day corresponded to a 0.24% (95% CI, 0.21%–0.26%), 0.31% (95% CI, 0.27%–0.35%), and 0.43% (95% CI, 0.36%–0.50%) increase in ERVs for the three study periods, respectively.

The estimated effects varied with season and age groups (Table 5). A stronger association between ozone and ERVs was found in the warm season. The observed effects were larger for younger population. Altering the dfs for time and meteorological smoothers did not alter the estimated effects (Table 6). The estimated ozone effect on daily ERVs was robust when controlling for PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and CO (Table 7).

Table 2. Summary statistics for daily counts of emergency room visits and exposure variables in Beijing, 2014–2015. PM$_{2.5}$ = particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <2.5 μm; PM$_{10}$ = particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <10 μm; SO$_2$ = sulfur dioxide; NO$_2$ = nitrogen dioxide; CO = carbon monoxide.

| Variable            | Mean ± SD     | Minimum | Percentile | 25th  | 50th  | 75th  | Maximum |
|---------------------|---------------|---------|------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Emergency room visits | 9770 ± 1070   | 7,591   | 9,166      | 10,388| 15,527|
| Ozone (μg/m$^3$)    | 103.1 ± 65.3  | 2       | 52         | 91    | 150   | 281   |
| PM$_{10}$ (μg/m$^3$) | 74.6 ± 61.7   | 5.2     | 28.9       | 56.6  | 104.3 | 328.3 |
| PM$_{2.5}$ (μg/m$^3$) | 97.9 ± 67.8   | 8.9     | 46.2       | 83.6  | 131.4 | 375.5 |
| SO$_2$ (μg/m$^3$)   | 11.7 ± 13.3   | 2.0     | 3.4        | 6.9   | 14.1  | 79.0  |
| NO$_2$ (μg/m$^3$)   | 48.2 ± 22.5   | 10.3    | 33.0       | 43.1  | 57.5  | 135.9 |
| CO (mg/m$^3$)       | 1.15 ± 0.84   | 0.22    | 0.62       | 0.94  | 1.34  | 6.82  |
| Temperature (°C)    | 15.9 ± 10.4   | −5.9    | 6.0        | 18.5  | 25.3  | 32.6  |
| Relative humidity (%)| 55 ± 20       | 8       | 41         | 56    | 70    | 99    |

Table 3. Spearman correlation coefficients among the exposure variables. PM$_{2.5}$ = particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <2.5 μm; PM$_{10}$ = particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <10 μm; SO$_2$ = sulfur dioxide; NO$_2$ = nitrogen dioxide; CO = carbon monoxide; Temp = temperature; RH = relative humidity.
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Figure 1. The exposure-response curve of same-day ozone concentrations and emergency room visits in Beijing, China. Note: The X-axis is the same-day 8-h maximum ozone concentrations (μg/m$^3$). Y-axis is the predicted log (relative risk (RR)), after adjusting for temperature, relative humidity, day of week, public holiday, and calendar time, is shown by the solid line, and the dotted lines represent the 95% confidence interval.

same day corresponded to a 0.24% (95% CI, 0.21%–0.26%), 0.31% (95% CI, 0.27%–0.35%), and 0.43% (95% CI, 0.36%–0.50%) increase in ERVs for the three study periods, respectively.

The estimated effects varied with season and age groups (Table 5). A stronger association between ozone and ERVs was found in the warm season. The observed effects were larger for younger population. Altering the dfs for time and meteorological smoothers did not alter the estimated effects (Table 6). The estimated ozone effect on daily ERVs was robust when controlling for PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and CO (Table 7).
Discussion

Evidence gained in this analysis indicated that the current level of ozone in Beijing was significantly associated with increased daily ERVs, even at ozone levels below the current CAAQS. The association was robust when considering the potential confounding effects of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and CO. The ozone-related health effects are of increasing concern to the public in China. Our findings should contribute to the limited scientific data and may have some implications for policy making and standards setting in China.

The effect of ambient ozone on mortality risk has been extensively reported in previous studies. However, the association between ozone and morbidity risk is less studied and the findings are inconsistent. In Canada, a study involving 720,519 hospitalizations for respiratory disease in 16 cities reported significant effects of previous day’s 1-hour maximum ozone concentration on morbidity risk in the April to December period. In contrast, a study in Windsor, Ontario, Canada, failed to find a significant association of ozone with respiratory admissions. A time-series study in 8 French cities suggested that short-term exposure to ozone was not associated with daily hospitalizations for cardiovascular diseases. Similarly, Barnett et al. demonstrated that ozone showed no significant association with cardiovascular disease admissions in subjects aged 65 years and over in 7 Australian and New Zealand cities. Son et al. reported increased daily hospital admissions for allergic disease, asthma and selected respiratory disease in relation to ozone exposures in 8 Korean cities. A meta-analysis demonstrated significant associations of ambient ozone with various types of respiratory hospitalizations, although heterogeneity across studies’ results was observed. The heterogeneity of findings may reflect differences in the characteristics of ozone pollution, outcome definitions, weather conditions, and population susceptibility.

Overall, we found a significant association between ozone and ERVs, which is consistent with previous studies on the effects of ozone on mortality risk in China. A meta-analysis of five studies on the association between ozone and mortality in China demonstrated that a 10 µg/m$^3$ increase in daily 8-h maximum ozone concentrations
was associated with a 0.42% (95% CI, 0.32%–0.52%), 0.44% (95% CI, 0.17%–0.70%), and 0.50% (95% CI, 0.22%–0.77%) increase in daily non-accidental, cardiovascular, and respiratory mortality, respectively. However, few studies have assessed the acute effects of ozone on morbidity risk in China, perhaps due to the lack of ozone monitoring and morbidity data.

In this study, we found that the ambient ozone had stronger effects on ERVs in the warm season than in the cool season. This finding is consistent with most previous studies in western countries, but contradicts several studies in China that reported stronger mortality effects of ozone in cool season. In this analysis, the correlation analysis showed that ozone levels were highly positively correlated with temperature. The overall mean daily 8-h maximum ozone concentration during warm season was much higher than that during cool season (147.8 μg/m³ vs. 50.2 μg/m³). It is plausible that the greater ozone effects in the warm season may attributable to the higher ozone levels during warm season. This hypothesis is supported by a study conducted in the Pearl River Delta of southern China demonstrating higher estimated effects of ozone on total and cardiovascular mortality during peak exposure periods (September through November). In addition, differences in window opening frequencies and outdoor activity patterns during warm and cool season may also be responsible for the seasonal pattern of ozone effects.

The subset analysis showed that the estimated ozone effects were stronger in days with lower ozone levels, which is complemented by the sharp slope at lower ozone levels shown in the exposure-response curve. Generally, our findings were consistent with previous studies on the health effects of air pollution. For example, a recent nationwide time-series study in 272 Chinese cities demonstrated that the association between PM$_{2.5}$ and mortality risk were stronger in cities with lower PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. The relatively weaker effects at higher ozone levels might be related to "harvesting effect" in that people who are susceptible to ozone exposure might have developed symptoms and went to hospitals before ozone concentration reached a fairly high level.

In 2012, a standard for the daily 8-h maximum ozone concentration was established in China. However, no study to date has characterized the health effects of ozone on morbidity risk at levels below the regulatory standard. In this study, we found that short-term exposure to ozone could still significantly increases daily ERVs for days that met the current CAAQS. A recent study conducted in Jiangsu Province, China, indicated that short-term exposure to ozone could increase mortality risk, even for ozone levels not exceeding the current CAAQS Grade II standard. These evidences indicate that reduction in ozone levels in Beijing, even in cities that meet the current air quality standard, would generate substantial health benefits.

| Variable | df | Percentage change | 95% CI | P value |
|----------|----|------------------|--------|---------|
| Calendar time | 5 | 0.27 | 0.24–0.29 | <2e-16 |
| Temperature | 2 | 0.24 | 0.21–0.26 | <2e-16 |
| Relative humidity | 2 | 0.24 | 0.21–0.26 | <2e-16 |
| Adjust PM$_{2.5}$ | 0.23 | 0.20–0.25 | <2e-16 |
| Adjust PM$_{10}$ | 0.23 | 0.20–0.25 | <2e-16 |
| Adjust SO$_2$ | 0.24 | 0.22–0.27 | <2e-16 |
| Adjust CO | 0.25 | 0.20–0.27 | <2e-16 |

Table 6. Percentage changes with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) in emergency room visits associated with a 10 μg/m³ increase in same-day ozone concentration, by different degree of freedom (df) per year for calendar time, temperature, and relative humidity. The df value used in this study model.

Table 7. Percentage change with 95% CI in emergency room visits associated with a 10 μg/m³ increase in same-day ozone concentration in two-pollutant models.
A critical issue concerning the association between ozone and daily ERVs is the extent to which this association was confounded by other air pollutants. In this analysis, daily ozone concentrations were weakly correlated with PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, SO$_2$, and CO (correlation coefficient $r = -0.34 – 0.03$), thus reducing their likelihood as confounders. A prior study has demonstrated that the correlation between ozone and other air pollutants is often low, thus the effects of ozone and other air pollutants can be separately relatively easily. In addition, we fitted two-pollutant models to estimate the robustness of the association between ozone and daily ERVs. The effect estimates changed little after adjustment of other air pollutants. The robustness of the effect estimates with inclusion of co-pollutants suggested independent effects of ozone on daily ERVs, which is consistent with previous findings.

The estimated ozone effects were relatively lower in the elderly in this analysis, which contradicts the primary of previous studies. Most studies reported stronger effects of ozone in the elderly, while two nationwide studies in the U.S. and China did not find evidence for effect modification by age. Moreover, a study conducted in Shanghai, China examined the association between short-term exposure to ozone and daily mortality, and found that the effect estimate was lower in people aged $\geq$65 years than in people aged 45–64 years. In China, the elderly are advised to minimize or avoid outdoor activity or wear a face mask outdoors when air pollution is severe, thus decreasing personal exposure. In contrast, younger populations are expected to spend more time outdoors, thus monitoring measurements could more accurately reflect personal exposure. This may cover up the real age-specific effect of ozone. In addition, the results for age class could be driven by the inclusion of all-cause emergency room visits. The patterns for age-specific ozone effects may vary by specific diseases. A meta-analysis explored the modifiers of ozone effects, and found that estimates for cardiovascular diseases were higher for older group than for younger group, but the estimates for respiratory mortality and asthma admission were lower for older groups. Future studies are warranted to explore the age-specific effects of ozone on ERVs for specific diseases. The ozone exposure showed a marked temporal association with ERVs. The strongest effects were observed at lag 0, with this association diminishing at longer lag times. Although the magnitude of risk estimates was relatively small, the health burden attributable to ambient ozone pollution in China may be heavy because the overwhelming majority of the public is exposed to ozone, indicating potentially large public health implications.

There are several limitations in the present study. First, the use of citywide average ozone levels to represent individual exposure is expected to cause exposure measurement error. A study has demonstrated that this exposure measurement error would most likely to bias the risk estimates downward. Second, we were not able to analyze the association between ozone and cause-specific disease because data on clinical diagnosis were not available in our database. Another limitation is our inability to adjust for the confounding role of flu epidemics in the association between short-term exposure to ozone and health. Finally, the only inclusion of active urban employers and retired subjects with basic medical insurance might introduce a selection bias. This bias could lead to an underestimation of the real effect.

In conclusion, we estimated significant increase in daily ERVs associated with ozone exposure in Beijing, even for exposure levels below the current CAAQS. Our findings strengthen the rationale for further limiting ambient ozone levels in Beijing.
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