The Structural and Dynamic Basis of Ets-1 DNA Binding Autoinhibition*
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The transcription factor Ets-1 is regulated by the allosteric coupling of DNA binding with the unfolding of an α-helix (HI-1) within an autoinhibitory module. To understand the structural and dynamic basis for this autoinhibition, we have used NMR spectroscopy to characterize Ets-1ΔN301, a partially inhibited fragment of Ets-1. The NMR-derived Ets-1ΔN301 structure reveals that the autoinhibitory module is formed predominantly by the hydrophobic packing of helices from the N-terminal (HI-1, HI-2) and C-terminal (H4, H5) inhibitory sequences, along with HI of the intervening DNA binding ETS domain. The intramolecular interactions made by HI-1 in Ets-1ΔN301 are similar to the intermolecular contacts observed in the crystal structure of an Ets-1ΔN300 dimer, confirming that the latter represents a domain-swapped species. 15N relaxation studies demonstrate that the backbone of the N-terminal inhibitory sequence is mobile on the nanosecond-picosecond and millisecond-microsecond time scales. Furthermore, hydrogen exchange measurements reveal that amide protons in helices HI-1 and HI-2 exchange with water at rates only ~15- and ~75-fold slower, respectively, than predicted for an unfolded polypeptide. These findings indicate that inhibitory helices are only marginally stable even in the absence of DNA. The energetic coupling of DNA binding with the facile unfolding of the labile HI-1 provides a mechanism for modulating Ets-1 DNA binding activity via protein partnerships, post-translational modifications, or mutations. Ets-1 autoinhibition illustrates how conformational equilibria within structural domains can regulate macromolecular interactions.
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Gene expression can be controlled by modulating the DNA binding affinity of sequence specific transcription factors. Similar to several other transcription factors, the DNA binding of Ets-1 is modulated by an autoinhibitory module that provides a route to biological regulation (1). The Ets-1 inhibitory module is composed of sequences flanking the winged helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA binding ETS domain. The intramolecular interactions made by HI-1 in Ets-1ΔN301 are similar to the intermolecular contacts observed in the crystal structure of an Ets-1ΔN300 dimer, confirming that the latter represents a domain-swapped species. 15N relaxation studies demonstrate that the backbone of the N-terminal inhibitory sequence is mobile on the nanosecond-picosecond and millisecond-microsecond time scales. Furthermore, hydrogen exchange measurements reveal that amide protons in helices HI-1 and HI-2 exchange with water at rates only ~15- and ~75-fold slower, respectively, than predicted for an unfolded polypeptide. These findings indicate that inhibitory helices are only marginally stable even in the absence of DNA. The energetic coupling of DNA binding with the facile unfolding of the labile HI-1 provides a mechanism for modulating Ets-1 DNA binding activity via protein partnerships, post-translational modifications, or mutations. Ets-1 autoinhibition illustrates how conformational equilibria within structural domains can regulate macromolecular interactions.
X-ray crystallographic studies have extended the framework for understanding the autoinhibition phenomenon. A crystal structure of Ets-1ΔN280 in complex with DNA demonstrated that helix HI-1 is disordered, whereas HI-2, H4, and H5 are coupled and contact the core ETS domain via H1 (12). The crystal structure of a second, partially inhibited fragment, Ets-1ΔN300, solved in the absence of DNA, showed a conformation similar to bound Ets-1ΔN280, but with a folded H1-12. Ets-1ΔN300, however, crystallized as a dimer, with HI-1 of one subunit interfaced with HI-2, H4, and H5 of an adjacent subunit. This dimeric state was not expected, and indeed, subsequent mutagenic analyses suggested that the \textit{in trans} position of HI-1 in this crystal approximated its \textit{in cis} position in the monomeric protein in solution. Thus, Ets-1ΔN300 appeared to crystallize as a three-dimensional domain-swapped dimer (13).

Both of these structures also displayed features of the ETS domain-DNA interface similar to those observed in several investigations of related Ets proteins (14–17), including the role of H3 in major groove binding and direct sequence recognition, along with the involvement of H1 in a hydrogen-bonded phosphate contact (11, 18, 19).

Although these extensive structural and functional studies have laid a foundation for understanding the mechanism of autoinhibition, several key questions remained unanswered. What is the structure of the inhibitory module in the unbound state of the native Ets-1 monomer? What are the features of HI-1 that allow a facile helix-coil transition, and does this transition occur even in the absence of DNA? Finally, what couples DNA binding to the inhibitory action of helices that lie distant from the recognition helix of the ETS domain?

In this study, we have solved the partially-inhibited Ets-1ΔN301 in its DNA-free form using NMR spectroscopy. Our findings yielded the first high-resolution structure of a monomeric Ets-1 fragment that displays the intramolecular packing of all inhibitory helices. In parallel, backbone amide \(^{15}\text{N}\) relaxation and hydrogen exchange data for Ets-1ΔN301 demonstrate that HI-1 is only marginally stable and thus poised to unfold. Additional regions of this protein, including the DNA binding interface, also display conformational mobility suggestive of a role in the allosteric mechanism of autoinhibition.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Sample Preparation**—The gene encoding Ets-1ΔN301 (residues 301–440 of murine Ets-1) was constructed by ligation of a PCR-amplified NdeI/EcoRI fragment of the wild-type ets-1 gene (corresponding to residues 301–352) with an EcoRI/HindIII fragment of a synthetic, codon-optimized ets-1 gene into pET22b (Invitrogen). The final Ets-1ΔN301 construct encoded an additional Met and Gly at its N terminus, and unless stated otherwise, a surface C416S substitution to help limit oxidative cross-linking. This mutation causes minimal NMR spectral, mass spectrometry and Edman sequencing of purified Ets-1ΔN301 to 30%

**NMR Spectral Assignments**—NMR experiments were performed on Varian Unity 500 MHz, Inova 600 MHz, and Inova 800 MHz spectrometers. Spectra were acquired at 298 °C with protein concentrations ranging from 0.3–0.8 mM in an NMR sample buffer containing 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.5), 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.02% NaN	extsubscript{3}, and ~10% D	extsubscript{2}O. The high ionic strength was critical for protein solubility. Spectral processing and analysis were performed using Felix (Accelrys, Inc.), NMRPipe (23), and Sparky (24).

Assignments of the Ets-1ΔN301 backbone and side chain \(^{1}\text{H}\), \(^{13}\text{C}\), and \(^{15}\text{N}\) resonances were acquired using an extensive set of multidimensional NMR experiments (25) acquired on protein samples uniformly \(^{1}\text{H}, \ ^{13}\text{C}\)\textsuperscript{2}, or \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{C}, ^{15}\text{N}\) labeled, as well as selectively labeled with \(^{13}\text{C}\)\textsuperscript{0}-Ala or with ring-deuterated Tyr, Phe, or Trp. Full assignments of only nine residues, located within the HI-1/2-2 loop (Lys\textsuperscript{354}, Pro\textsuperscript{355}, Ile\textsuperscript{356}, Pro\textsuperscript{357}, His\textsuperscript{2}, Thr\textsuperscript{358}, H3 (Tyr\textsuperscript{397}, Asp\textsuperscript{398}), and between H3 and S3 (Lys\textsuperscript{399}) were not obtained because of exchange broadening and/or spectral overlap. Stereocchemical assignments of the signals from non-degenerate \(\beta\)-methylene protons (37 of 104 possible) were based upon HNHB and short mixing time \(^{15}\text{N}\)-TOCSY-HSQC \((\tau_{m} = 38\) ms) experiments, while those of side chain Glu and Asn amide protons (7 of 8 pairs) were obtained from an EHZ-MHC-\(^{15}\text{N}\) spectrum (26).

**Structure Calculations**—Structural calculations were performed using ARIA/CNS version 1.2 with torsional angle dynamics (27, 28). NOE restraints were determined from a \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{N}\)-NOE-HSQC \((\tau_{m} = 100\) ms) recorded on \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{N}\)-labeled Ets-1ΔN301, a \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{N}, ^{15}\text{N}\)-labeled Ets-1ΔN301, and a similar \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{N}, ^{15}\text{N}\)-HSQC \((\tau_{m} = 200\) ms) recorded on \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{N}\)-labeled Ets-1ΔN301; and a simultaneous \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{C}, ^{15}\text{N}\)-HSQC \((\tau_{m} = 100\) ms) for aromatic side chains, and a simultaneous constant time methyl \(^{1}\text{C}, ^{13}\text{C}, ^{15}\text{N}\)-NOESY \((\tau_{m} = 140\) ms), all recorded on a uniformly \(^{13}\text{C}\)-labeled protein sample in \(\text{H}_{2}\text{O}\) buffer (29). Most NOE cross-peaks were manually picked and assigned prior to intensity calibration in ARIA.

Backbone dihedral angles were determined from \(\psi, \phi, \chi\), and \(\chi'\) shifts using TALOS (30). The \(\chi\) and \(\chi'\) angles for residues with \(\beta\)-methylene protons were determined from a conservative examination of short mixing time \(^{15}\text{N}\)-TOCSY-HSQC \((\tau_{m} = 38\) ms) and HNHB spectra, according to a staggered rotomer model. Side chain \(\chi\) dihedral angles for Ile, Val, and Thr residues were derived on the basis of \(\psi, \phi, \chi\), and \(\chi'\) coupling constants measured from \(^{1}\text{C}, ^{13}\text{N}\)- and \(^{1}\text{C}, ^{13}\text{C}\)-spin echo difference CT-HSQC spectra (31). All Xaa-Pro \(\psi\) and \(\chi\) constraints were derived from a \(^{13}\text{C}, ^{15}\text{N}\)-C\textsuperscript{13}\textsuperscript{15}\textsuperscript{N}\)-HNOEs-HSQC (\(\tau_{m} = 100\) ms) focussed NOE-HSQC \((\tau_{m} = 100\) ms) for aromatic side chains, and a simultaneous constant time methyl \(^{1}\text{C}, ^{13}\text{C}, ^{15}\text{N}\)-NOESY \((\tau_{m} = 140\) ms), all recorded on a uniformly \(^{13}\text{C}\)-labeled protein sample in \(\text{H}_{2}\text{O}\) buffer (29). Most NOE cross-peaks were manually picked and assigned prior to intensity calibration in ARIA.

Residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) were acquired on a uniformly \(^{13}\text{C}\)-labeled protein sample diffused into a 5% acrylamide (29:1 acrylamide:bisacrylamide stock) (33). The gel was cast at a 6 mm diameter and ~20 mm length, dialyzed against NMR sample buffer, and soaked in a solution containing labeled protein overnight, giving a calculated final Ets-1ΔN301 concentration of ~0.1–0.2 mM. Prior to spectral acquisition, the pellets were loaded into a 5-mm sized bottomless NMR tube using a gel stretching apparatus (34) purchased from New Era Enterprises, Inc. The gel stretched ~2.1 times its original length, yielding splittings of ~5 Hz in a \(^{1}\text{H}-\text{NMR}\) spectrum of the \(\text{H}_{2}\text{O}\)-lock solvent. Backbone \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{N}\)-RDCs were measured from the \(^{1}\text{H}, ^{13}\text{N}, ^{15}\text{N}\)-HSQC spectrum (35) of the partially aligned protein, relative to that of a reference spectrum recorded for unaligned Ets-1ΔN301. 49 RDC restraints, ranging from ~17.8 to ~11.5 Hz for amides in the helical and \(\beta\)-sheet regions of Ets-1ΔN301 with well resolved NMR signals, were used for the structural calculations. Axial and rhombic parameters were estimated using a histogram method, followed by a grid search to determine the optimal values of \(D_{\perp}\) (8.9 Hz) and \(R\) (1.8 Hz) resulting in unambiguous and low NOE violations during the final energy minimization step of ARIA/CNS (36).

The Ets-1ΔN301 structure ensemble was calculated using a two-step ARIA protocol. An initial round of ARIA (it1 → it8) started with an unfolded polypeptide, was completed with only dihedral angle and hydrogen bond restraints and uncalibrated NOE data. A second full ARIA calculation (it1 → it8) followed, with RDC restraints included, using the prefolded structures and the unambiguous and ambiguous
NOE restraint sets from the previous round as the starting parameters. A total of 50 structures were calculated per iteration during each round, of which the energetically top 25 from the final iteration were further refined using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. The ARIA-derived unambiguous and ambiguous NOE distance restraints were evaluated using VMD-Xplor (38). Analyses of the structures were performed using MolMol (39), InsightII (Accelrys, Inc.), and Procheck-NMR (40). Secondary structure boundaries for the ensemble were defined according to Promotif (41) and Vadar (42).

NMR Relaxation Measurements—Amide $^{15}$N relaxation parameters for $^{15}$N-labeled Ets-1 N301 were acquired on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 28 °C using water-selective pulse sequences (42). Data points for the $T_1$ (11, 56, 122, 200, 333, 522, and 1066 ms) and $T_2$ (17, 33, 50, 67, 84, 100, 117, and 150 ms) experiments were collected in random order with one duplicate each to facilitate error analysis. Steady-state heteronuclear $^{1}H$-$^{15}N$-NOE spectra were acquired with and without $3 \text{s}$ of $^{1}H$ saturation and a total recycle delay of $5 \text{s}$. Relaxation rates and $1H$ saturation and a total recycle delay of $5 \text{s}$. Relaxation rates (28 °C, pH* 6.5) were measured from a series of sensitivity-acquired with relaxation-compensated $1H$-$^{15}N$ CPMG-HSQC experiments (43). The resulting model-free parameters are tabulated in Supplemental Table S1.

Amide $^{15}$N relaxation-dispersion parameters for Ets-1 N301 were acquired with relaxation-compensated $^{15}$N CPMG-HSQC experiments recorded using 600 MHz and 800 MHz NMR spectrometers (44). The constant time CPMG-$T_2$ delays were set to 40 msec, whereas spectra corresponding to the effective $B_0$ fields, $v_{CPMG}$ (600 MHz: 50, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, and 1000 Hz; 800 MHz: 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, and 1000 Hz), were collected in random order with three duplicate data points. Relaxation-dispersion parameters were calculated from a fit of $R_{\text{ax}}^2$ versus $v_{CPMG}$ using a Matlab (The MathWorks, Inc.) module provided by O. Millet (45).

Amide Hydrogen Exchange—Slow amide proton-deuterium exchange rates at 28 °C and pH 6.86 to 7.74 were obtained from $^{1}H$-$^{15}N$ HSQC spectra of Ets-1 N301 labeled with $D$-$^{15}N$ for 1H) for residues within H1 and the C-terminal inhibitory bundle and a four-stranded, anti-parallel $\beta$-sheet. Helices H2 and H3 form the $\beta$-sheet motif, whereas the $\beta$-strands provide the "wing" for this well characterized DNA binding domain. The NMR-derived Ets-1 N301 ETS domain structure superimposes well with the corresponding region of the crystallographically determined Ets-1 N300 structure (12). A comparison of the solution structure ensemble over residues 331–415 with the corresponding crystallographic coordinates (PDB accession code 1MD0) yields r.m.s.d. values of 1.7 ± 0.1 and 1.3 ± 0.1 Å between all backbone atoms and those contained within helices and strands, respectively. Minor differences between the structures lie primarily within flexible exposed regions, such as the turn of the HTH motif and the S3/S4 $\beta$-hairpin loop.

The Ets-1 N301 structural ensemble extends previous studies by providing the first picture of the intramolecular packing between the autoinhibitory module and the ETS domain. The N- and C-terminal inhibitory helices (H1-1, H1-2, H4, and H5) are structurally coupled to one another and pack with the first helix (H1) of the ETS domain (Fig. 2, A and B). The N-terminal inhibitory helix, H1-1, lies approximately anti-parallel to H1-2, parallel to H1 and H5, and abuts H4 in a tail-to-head fashion. This tertiary packing is consistent with comparisons of the HSQC spectra of Ets-1 N280 and Ets-1 N331 (9) and of Ets-1 N301 and Ets-1 N331 (data not shown), which reveal significant amide chemical shift perturbations (i.e. $\Delta \delta > 0.1 \text{ppm}$ for $^{1}H$) for residues within H1 and the C-terminal inhibitory sequence because of the presence of the N-terminal inhibitory sequence. In contrast, the chemical shifts of amides within the remainder of the ETS domain correspond more closely between residues 280–300. While essential for full repression (2), these residues are conformationally disordered (9) and their deletion substantially improved the amenability of the resulting Ets-1 N301 toward detailed NMR spectroscopic studies.

Nearly complete backbone and side chain $^{1}H$, $^{13}C$, and $^{15}N$ resonance assignments of Ets-1 N301 were obtained through a suite of $^{1}H$-$^{13}C$-$^{15}N$ scalar and NOE-correlated experiments (Fig. 1B). Critical to this process was uniform $^{1}H$ isotopic labeling, which helped to enhance spectral sensitivity and resolution. Selective $^{1}H$ isotopic labeling of aromatic residues also aided in the resonance assignments of their side chain nuclei, while selective $^{13}C$-$^{15}N$ labeling of aromatic residues 280–300. While essential for full repression (2), these residues are conformationally disordered (9) and their deletion substantially improved the amenability of the resulting Ets-1 N301 toward detailed NMR spectroscopic studies.

In agreement with previous NMR spectroscopic and x-ray crystallographic analyses (9, 12), the calculated Ets-1 N301 structure ensemble consists of seven $\alpha$-helices (H1-1: residues 304–310, HI-2: 323–330, H1: 337–346, H2: 368–378, H3: 386–398, H4: 418–422, H5: 426–432) and four anti-parallel $\beta$-strands (S1: 354–356, S2: 362–365, S3: 402–405, S4: 411–414). The distorted single-turn H4 was observed, but not explicitly defined as a helix in our earlier NMR studies of Ets-1 N280 and Ets-1 N331 (9, 10). The ETS domain, residues 331–415, folds as a winged HTH composed of a three-helix bundle and a four-stranded, anti-parallel $\beta$-sheet. Helices H2 and H3 form the $\beta$-sheet motif, whereas the $\beta$-strands provide the "wing" for this well characterized DNA binding domain. The NMR-derived Ets-1 N301 ETS domain structure superimposes well with the corresponding region of the crystallographically determined Ets-1 N300 structure (12). A comparison of the solution structure ensemble over residues 331–415 with the corresponding crystallographic coordinates (PDB accession code 1MD0) yields r.m.s.d. values of 1.7 ± 0.1 and 1.3 ± 0.1 Å between all backbone atoms and those contained within helices and strands, respectively. Minor differences between the structures lie primarily within flexible exposed regions, such as the turn of the HTH motif and the S3/S4 $\beta$-hairpin loop.

The Ets-1 N301 structural ensemble extends previous studies by providing the first picture of the intramolecular packing between the autoinhibitory module and the ETS domain. The N- and C-terminal inhibitory helices (H1-1, H1-2, H4, and H5) are structurally coupled to one another and pack with the first helix (H1) of the ETS domain (Fig. 2, A and B). The N-terminal inhibitory helix, H1-1, lies approximately anti-parallel to H1-2, parallel to H1 and H5, and abuts H4 in a tail-to-head fashion. This tertiary packing is consistent with comparisons of the HSQC spectra of Ets-1 N280 and Ets-1 N331 (9) and of Ets-1 N301 and Ets-1 N331 (data not shown), which reveal significant amide chemical shift perturbations (i.e. $\Delta \delta > 0.1 \text{ppm}$ for $^{1}H$) for residues within H1 and the C-terminal inhibitory sequence because of the presence of the N-terminal inhibitory sequence. In contrast, the chemical shifts of amides within the remainder of the ETS domain correspond more closely between residues 280–300. While essential for full repression (2), these residues are conformationally disordered (9) and their deletion substantially improved the amenability of the resulting Ets-1 N301 toward detailed NMR spectroscopic studies.

Nearly complete backbone and side chain $^{1}H$, $^{13}C$, and $^{15}N$ resonance assignments of Ets-1 N301 were obtained through a suite of $^{1}H$-$^{13}C$-$^{15}N$ scalar and NOE-correlated experiments (Fig. 1B). Critical to this process was uniform $^{1}H$ isotopic labeling, which helped to enhance spectral sensitivity and resolution. Selective $^{1}H$ isotopic labeling of aromatic residues also aided in the resonance assignments of their side chain nuclei, while selective $^{13}C$-$^{15}N$ labeling of aromatic residues 280–300. While essential for full repression (2), these residues are conformationally disordered (9) and their deletion substantially improved the amenability of the resulting Ets-1 N301 toward detailed NMR spectroscopic studies.
the two fragments, indicating that the inhibitory module, located on the opposite face of the molecule, does not markedly perturb the structure of the winged HTH DNA binding motif.

An extensive network of hydrophobic interactions stabilizes the inhibitory module. This network is composed mainly of non-polar side chains of residues in HI-1 (Phe304, Tyr307, Val308), the HI-1/HI-2 loop (Ala312, Leu314, Pro319, Val320, Ile321, Pro322), HI-2 (Ala323, Ala324, Leu326, Ala327, Gly328, Tyr329), H4 (Leu422), and the H4/H5 loop (Tyr424). Remarkably similar intermolecular interactions involving these three hydrophobic residues from helix HI-1 are observed in the Ets-1/H9004 crystal structure (12), indicating that the salient features of the crystalline dimer closely resemble those observed in the Ets-1/H9004 crystal structure (12). The only noteworthy structural differences are observed near the C-terminal end of HI-1 and the start of the HI-1/HI-2 loop (11RADLNKDK118). This segment adopts a relatively extended conformation in the crystal dimer, running antiparallel with respect to its counterpart, to serve as an intermolecular bridge between the two monomer subunits.

Conversely, the same sequence packs against the inhibitory helices in the solution structure of monomeric Ets-1/H9004. The inhibitory module structural ensemble also exhibits several notable polar interactions. First, HI-1 and H4 lie in a skewed tail-to-head arrangement at an angle of 50.3°, such that the N terminus of HI-1 directly abuts the C terminus of H4 (Fig. 2, A and B), likely allowing a favorable interaction between the two helical macrodipoles. Furthermore, based on
TABLE I

| Summary of restraints |  |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| NOEs: unambiguous (ambiguous) ARIA restraints |  |
| Intraresidue | 1004 (27) |
| Sequential | 681 (73) |
| Medium range (1 < |i - j| < 5) | 474 (83) |
| Long range (|i - j| ≥ 5) | 1123 (354) |
| Total | 3268 (537) |
| Dihedral angles | 93, 93, 51 |
| Hydrogen bonds | 40 × 2 |
| Residual dipolar couplings | 49 |

Deviation from restraints

| NOE restraints, Å | 0.0573 ± 0.0012 |
| Dihedral restraints, degree | 1.16 ± 0.05 |
| Residual dipolar coupling restraints, Hz | 0.72 ± 0.03 |
| Residues in allowed regions of Ramachandran plot | 98.5% |

Deviation from idealized geometry

| Bonds, Å | 0.0049 ± 0.00012 |
| Angles, degree | 0.65 ± 0.01 |
| Improper angles, degree | 1.83 ± 0.06 |
| Mean energies, kcal-mol⁻¹ |  |
| E_bonds | -405.11 ± 15.57 |
| E_angle | 55.92 ± 2.84 |
| E_imper | 268.42 ± 10.73 |
| E_NOE | 161.60 ± 8.42 |
| E_coil | 341.03 ± 15.95 |
| E_total | 19.42 ± 1.80 |
| E_helix | 25.17 ± 1.99 |

r.m.s.d. from average structure

| Ets domain 331–415 | Residues 301–436 |
|---------------------|------------------|
| Backbone (N, Cα, C΄) | 0.29 ± 0.05 |
| All heavy atoms, Å | 0.68 ± 0.05 |

* Calculated from Procheck-NMR (39).

NOE interactions involving surrounding residues, potential carbonyl oxygen-amide nitrogen hydrogen bonds between Leu and Phe, and between Leu and Lys in these two helices are consistently observed in the calculated Ets-1 N301 structure ensemble. Strikingly, in the crystalline Ets-1 N300 dimer model, HI-1 of one monomer and HI-4 of the other appear to form a continuous helix, with a bend of 18.6° ± 0.8°, that is also stabilized by hydrogen bonds between Leu and Phe, and Leu and Lys. Second, in addition to this helix-helix stacking, the Lys and Glu side chains appear to link HI-1 and H5 via a potential salt bridge observed within many members of the NMR-derived Ets-1 N301 structure ensemble (Fig. 2C). A similar intermolecular electrostatic interaction is also found in the crystalline Ets-1 N300 dimer. Finally, Lys and Lys in the HI-H2 loop may form salt bridges with Glu and Asp, respectively, along helix H1. These later interactions are not observed in the crystal dimer due to the role of this loop as an intermolecular bridge.

In conclusion, the NMR-derived structure of Ets-1 N301 defines the fold and stabilizing interactions of the native monomeric form of Ets-1 inhibitory module. The intramolecular packing of helix HI-1 with the other inhibitory helices is consistent with experimental data regarding the architecture and function of the inhibitory module. Furthermore, the similarities between the intramolecular interactions in Ets-1 N301 and the intermolecular interactions in crystalline Ets-1 N300 validate the proposal that the latter represents a three-dimensional domain-swapped dimer with the in trans position of HI-1 recapitulating its in cis position in a monomeric state.

Helix HI-1 Unfolds in the Ets-1 N301/DNA Complex—The mechanism of DNA binding autoinhibition is proposed to involve the unfolding of inhibitory helix HI-1. The hypothesis was developed based on the observed increase in the susceptibility of Ets-1 to tryptic cleavage at the C terminus of HI-1 (Arg and Arg) and a loss of α-helical signal in its CD spectrum upon binding to DNA (2, 3). In support of this model, residues 280–308 are disordered in the crystal structure of the Ets-1 N280/DNA complex, whereas residues 310–318 have poorly defined electron density (12). To further investigate this conformational transition in solution, we prepared a complex of Ets-1 N301 and a 16-bp DNA duplex encoding a high affinity binding site. NMR-monitored titrations of Ets-1 N301 with this DNA confirmed that a tight 1:1 complex formed in the slow-exchange regime relative to the chemical shift time scale (data not shown).

DNA binding dramatically alters the NMR spectrum of Ets-1 N301. Although not assigned, the 1H-15N HSQC spectrum of the Ets-1 N301/DNA complex (Supplemental Fig. S2) reveals well-dispersed amide resonances that can be attributed to the folded ETS domain by way of comparison with data reported for a minimal-sized (residues 320–415) human Ets-1 ETS domain/DNA complex (55). However, the spectrum also contains resonances with poorly resolved amide chemical shifts characteristic of a random coil polypeptide that are not observed with uncomplexed Ets-1 N301. Furthermore, these amides exhibit low heteronuclear 1H-15N-NOE values indicating that they are conformationally mobile on the sub-nanosecond time scale (44). In contrast, a study of selectively 15N-Tyr-labeled Ets-1 N301 demonstrated that Tyr, which lies in the C-terminal inhibitory region, is not perturbed upon DNA binding (56). These results suggest the model that HI-1 is predominantly unfolded in the Ets-1 N301/DNA complex, whereas the C-terminal inhibitory helices are intact. The susceptibility of HI-2 toward cleavage by chymotrypsin increases in the presence of DNA, suggesting that it may become at least transiently unfolded (57). However, because of the lack of spectral assignments for DNA-bound Ets-1 N301, we are unable to determine if the conformation of HI-2 is also perturbed or if it remains folded, as observed in the Ets-1 N280/DNA crystal complex.

Dynamic Properties of Ets-1 N301—The fully assigned HSQC spectrum of Ets-1 N301 provided an opportunity to further investigate the dynamic properties of the inhibitory helices in the absence of DNA. The modest ~10- and ~2-fold inhibition of DNA binding by Ets-1 and Ets-1 N301, respectively, predicts that the helix-coil transition of the N-terminal inhibitory region is relatively facile. A corollary of this prediction is that helix HI-1 is only marginally stable, even in the absence of DNA. To test these hypotheses, 15N heteronuclear relaxation, relaxation-dispersion, and backbone amide hydrogen exchange measurements were performed to investigate the dynamic properties of Ets-1 N301.

(a) 15N Heteronuclear Relaxation: To investigate the global hydrodynamic properties and fast time scale internal dynamics of Ets-1 N301, 15N T1 and T2 lifetimes and steady-state 1H-15N-NOE values were measured for 125 of the 132 backbone amides in this protein (Fig. 3A) (42, 44). Excluding amides exhibiting anomalous T1/T2 ratios or 1H-15N-NOE values indicative of internal mobility, the average T1 and T2 lifetimes measured at a 1H frequency of 500 MHz were 598 ± 31 ms and 82 ± 8 ms, respectively. These values correspond to an effective correlation time of 9.7 ± 0.05 ns for the global tumbling of Ets-1 N301, confirming that this 16.3 kDa protein is monomeric in solution (58). After consideration of anisotropic diffusion, the internal dynamic properties of the Ets-1 N301 backbone can be described by the extended Lipari-Szabo model-free formalism in terms of a generalized order parameter, S2, which decreases from 1 to 0 with decreasing spatial restriction of the NH bond vector, as well as by additional terms including those accounting for the effects of slower conformational exchange transitions.
FIG. 2. The NMR-derived structural ensemble of DNA-free Ets-1ΔN301. Shown are the average water-refined structure (A) and a stereo view of the backbone of the 25 energetically best Ets-1ΔN301 structures (B), superimposed over the ETS domain (residues 331–415). The α-helices and winged β-sheet of the ETS domain are indicated in red and cyan, respectively, while the inhibitory helices in gold and the highly flexible loop between HI-1 and HI-2 in green. The lower figures are rotated 120° about the vertical axis relative to the upper figures. The orientation of H5 with respect to the ETS domain is reversed from that initially calculated for the NMR-derived Ets-1ΔN331 structure (PDB accession code 1ETC) because of misinterpretations of the poorly resolved spectra of the latter protein recorded with a 500 MHz spectrometer (56). C, surface view of the average Ets-1ΔN301 water-refined structure, focusing on the inhibitory module. Residues from HI-1 are indicated in orange, HI-2 in cyan, H1 in red, H4 in dark blue, and H5 in light yellow. The loop between HI-1 and HI-2 is displayed in gold, whereas the loop linking H4 and H5 is colored blue. Note that as drawn, the DNA binding interface, centered on the H2-turn-H3 motif, is on the backside of Ets-1ΔN301. D, ribbon diagram showing primarily the side chains contributing to the hydrophobic core of the inhibitory module. The coloring corresponds to that of panel C, while the molecule is rotated left about the vertical axis by ~90°. The figures were generated with MolMol (38).
The flexibility of this exposed polypeptide segment is consistent with its ability to serve as an intermolecular bridge within the solution structure ensemble (Fig. 2 and Supplemental Fig. S1). More ordered regions (average 0.22 Å) within the ETS domain, display significant contributions to transverse relaxation, indicating that these regions are conformationally flexible on a millisecond-microsecond time scale. As expected for conformational exchange broadening, these \( R_{ex} \) terms are larger when measured at higher magnetic fields.

Local differences in the \( ^{15}N \) relaxation parameters measured for Ets-1ΔN301 reveal diversity in backbone mobility between different regions of the molecule. Within the N-terminal inhibitory sequence, two segments exhibit \( ^{15}N \) relaxation properties indicative of local dynamic properties that are distinct from the overall rate of nitrogen transverse relaxation, suggesting that HI-1 undergoes intermediate time scale (millisecond-microsecond) motions. This mobility, characterized further by relaxation dispersion measurements discussed below, demonstrates that even in the absence of DNA, HI-1 is conformationally dynamic relative to the remaining elements of secondary structure forming the inhibitory module and ETS domain.

Detailed inspection of the model-free analysis results for the Ets-1ΔN301 ETS domain and the C-terminal inhibitory sequence (Fig. 4B) also reveals reduced order parameters for amides within turns or loops (average \( S^2 = 0.79 \pm 0.14 \)) relative to those in helices or \( \beta \)-strands (0.92 ± 0.05). This expected behavior, indicative of enhanced backbone motions on the nanosecond-picosecond time scale, is particularly noteworthy for residues near the Ets-1 DNA binding interface, specifically those preceding HI-1, in the turn of the ITH motif, and in the H3/S3 and S3/S4 loops. A similar pattern of elevated amide nitrogen thermal factors (B values) is observed for these residues in the Ets-1ΔN300 crystallographic coordinates. Furthermore, a comparable profile of local backbone mobility was detected in an \( ^{15}N \) relaxation analysis of the related PU.1 ETS domain (59).

(b)\(^{15}N \) Relaxation Dispersion: To further characterize intermediate time scale (millisecond-microsecond) motions of helix HI-1 revealed by the model-free analysis, \( ^{15}N \) relaxation-dispersion measurements were performed at two static magnetic
field strengths. These measurements sensitively detect the contribution of conformational exchange to the effective decay of transverse $^{15}$N signal (48, 60). As summarized in Fig. 3C, signals corresponding to 115 backbone amides in Ets-1ΔN301 were collected and processed. Consistent with the anomalously short $T_2$ lifetimes measured for amides within HI-1, significant relaxation dispersion $R_m$ contributions were detected for this segment of the inhibitory module. The agreement of these complementary experimental approaches strongly indicates that in DNA-free Ets-1ΔN301, the backbone of helix HI-1 is conformationally mobile within the millisecond-microsecond time regime. Importantly, conformational exchange broadening was also detected by the relaxation-dispersion measurements for amides within the remainder of the inhibitory module (HI-2, and to a lesser extent, H4 and H5), as well as in helix H1 of the ETS domain with which they are interfaced. Furthermore, residues forming the DNA binding surface of Ets-1, including the turn of the HTH motif, the recognition helix H3, the loop following H3, and the S3/S4 loop also exhibited $R_m$ terms. These measurements reveal that the backbone of the Ets-1ΔN301 DNA binding interface, as well as the inhibitory module, undergo motions on a millisecond-microsecond time scale.
Further evidence for these motions lies with the observation of anomalously weak 1H-15N HSQC peaks from residues in both the inhibitory module (Asp306, Val308, Arg309, Lys318, Ile321, Ala323, Ala324, Ala325, Ala327, Thr330, Leu418, Leu422) and the ETS domain (Try338, Lys379, Tyr386, Tyr397, Asp398, Lys399, Ile401) of Ets-1ΔN301 (Fig. 1B). Together, these relaxation data suggest that regions of Ets-1ΔN301 beyond helix HI-1 are also in a conformational equilibrium in the absence of DNA.

(c) Amide Hydrogen Exchange: As a complementary approach, amide hydrogen exchange (HX) studies were undertaken to probe the stability and dynamics of DNA-free Ets-1ΔN301. The rate at which an amide hydrogen exchanges with water depends on its structural and electrostatic environment, as well as conformational fluctuations allowing contact with the solvent. Of primary importance are those motions involving the disruption of backbone hydrogen bonds, such as those in regular secondary structural elements. (61) According to standard models of protein HX in the pH-dependent EX2 regime, the protection factor for a given amide, defined as the ratio of its predicted exchange rate in a random coil polypeptide, to its measured value in a folded protein, can be interpreted as the inverse of an equilibrium constant describing fluctuations between a closed, non-exchangeable state and a transiently exposed, exchange-competent state. Thus, protection factors provide a measure of the residue-specific free energy changes governing local or global conformational equilibria allowing exchange.

Slow proton-deuterium HX rate constants measured for Ets-1ΔN301 are summarized in Fig. 4A. As observed previously for Ets-1ΔN331 (20) and Ets-1ΔN280 (9), hydrogen-bonded amides within all of the α-helices and β-strands of the ETS domain, except surprisingly H3, were significantly protected from exchange. The slowest exchanging amides are located in H1, suggesting that this helix forms the most stable core of the protein. With protection factors >105 for these amides, (Fig. 4C), the free energy for the global unfolding of Ets-1ΔN301 under native conditions is >8 kcal/mol. Amides in helices H4 and H5 and the intervening H4/H5 loop showed moderate protection factors of 103 to 104, indicative of exchange through sub-global fluctuations. In striking contrast, none of the amides in HI-1, HI-2, or H3 were measurably protected from exchange within the 8 min dead time of this experimental approach. Thus the C-terminal inhibitory helices are significantly more stable than the N-terminal inhibitory helices and the DNA recognition helix.

Rapid proton-proton HX rate constants for Ets-1ΔN301, measured as magnetization transfer from water using the CLEANEX method, are also presented in Fig. 4B. To expand the number of amides showing detectable exchange by this approach, experiments were carried out at four pH values. Within the ETS domain, residues clustering near the DNA binding interface exhibited rapid HX with kex values ranging up to ~60 s⁻¹. These include Lys379, Glu385, forming the turn of the HTH motif, and Ala406, Arg409 in the S3/S4 loop or wing. The low protection factors for these residues are consistent with their solvent exposure and high r.m.s.d. within the structural ensemble of Ets-1ΔN301, as well as with their flexibility detected by 15N relaxation measurements. More strikingly, reliable kex values of ~1 to 2 s⁻¹ at pH 8.25, corresponding to protection factors of ~400, were measured for amides in helix H3. This indicates a significant degree of conformational fluctuations and limited local stability for the DNA recognition helix of Ets-1ΔN301. Interestingly, amides in H3 of the Fli-1 ETS domain also show little protection from HX (63), whereas in PU.1, amides in H3 exchange more slowly than those in the first helix of the HTH motif, H2 (59).

Residues throughout the N-terminal inhibitory sequence, but not the C-terminal inhibitory sequence, are characterized by relatively rapid HX. Amides located in the HI-1/HI-2 (Arg311-Lys318) and HI-2/H1 (Gly351-Gln356) loops underwent exchange with protection factors of only ~2–20. This corroborates structural and 15N relaxation measurements, indicating that these regions of Ets-1ΔN301 are highly dynamic and readily solvent-exposed. More significantly, amides in HI-1 exchanged readily with water and exhibited a progressive decrease in kex values from the ends to the middle of this helix, indicative of fraying. HI-2 also showed measurable proton-proton HX, particularly toward its C-terminal end. The measured kex values, corresponding to average protection factors of only ~15 for HI-1 and ~75 for HI-2, demonstrate that, even in the absence of DNA, the N-terminal inhibitory helices of Ets-1ΔN301 are only marginally stable relative to their unfolded states (ΔG⁰HX ~1.6 kcal/mol and 2.6 kcal/mol, respectively, for unfolding). It is also noteworthy that, whereas in an isolated helix the N-terminal three amide protons are not involved in intrahelical hydrogen bonds and thus are expected to show little protection from HX, in the structure ensemble of Ets-1ΔN301, HI-1 abuts H4 such that the amide protons of Phe304 and Lys305 at the N terminus of HI-1 are positioned to hydrogen bond to carboxyl groups in H4. However, the low protection factors measured for these two residues indicate that such potential hydrogen bonds contribute little to the stability of HI-1 and its packing against H4. Taken together, these results support the hypothesis that helix HI-1, and possibly HI-2, are conformationally dynamic and poised to unfold as part of the allosteric mechanism of Ets-1 autoinhibition.

**DISCUSSION**

**Structure of the Inhibitory Module**—The NMR-derived structural ensemble of a partially-repressed Ets-1 fragment, Ets-1ΔN301, provides a complete view of the interactions between the ETS domain and inhibitory module. Four structurally coupled inhibitory helices, HI-1, HI-2, H4, and H5 pack intramolecularly with each other and with the first helix of the ETS domain (H1). This model is consistent with: (i) the role of H1 in both ETS domain DNA binding and autoinhibition (11); (ii) the previously determined secondary structure and amphiphatic nature of the inhibitory helices (9); (iii) the hypothesized tertiary packing of these helices derived from the chemical shift differences between fully-repressed Ets-1ΔN280 and activated Ets-1ΔN331 (9); (iv) backbone amide 15N relaxation measurements from this report indicating that Ets-1ΔN301 behaves as a well-folded globular protein; and (v) deletion and mutational analyses, including that of v-Ets, which establish the structural and functional coupling between the N- and C-terminal inhibitory sequences flanking the ETS domain (3–6,12). Furthermore, the ensemble of solution structures of nonomeric Ets-1ΔN301 explains the intermolecular packing of HI-1 in the crystal structure of the three-dimensional domain-swapped Ets-1ΔN301 dimer (12). The ETS domain and the inhibitory module of both structures share similar features including the hydrophobic contacts between HI-1 and the remainder of the inhibitory module, as well as the tail-to-head alignment of H4 and HI-1. Predictably, the solution and crystal-state structures differ in the position of the flexible HI-1/HI-2 loop, which serves as a hinge between the two subunits of the crystalline dimer.

The architecture of the Ets-1ΔN301 ETS domain and C-terminal inhibitory helices also closely matches that found in the structural ensemble of the ETS protein GABPα (15). GABPα interacts with a heterotypic partner, GABPβ, that itself does not bind DNA. Interestingly, the ankyrin repeats of GABPβ provide hydrophobic interactions, to helix H1 of the ETS domain and to helices C-terminal to this domain in GABPα, that
resemble those made by the N-terminal inhibitory sequence of Ets-1ΔN301. However, in contrast to a role in the negative regulation of Ets-1, within the context of the GABPα/β heterodimer, these contacts enhance DNA binding activity (64).

**Dynamics and Stability of the Inhibitory Module**—The dynamic measurements reported here describe a labile inhibitory module. The evidence for limited stability and flexibility of the N-terminal inhibitory helices is provided through three experimental avenues: $^{15}$N relaxation, $^{15}$N relaxation-dispersion, and amide HX studies. The findings are consistent with previous chymotrypsin and trypsin proteolysis studies of Ets-1ΔN280 (2, 3) and Ets-1ΔN301 (57) $^4$ demonstrating cleavage at residues in or adjacent to HI-1 (Phe$^{304}$, Tyr$^{307}$, Arg$^{309}$, Arg$^{311}$) and HI-2 (Leu$^{326}$, Tyr$^{329}$), even in the absence of DNA. Such cleavage is indicative of structural fluctuations, allowing access of the polypeptide chain to the active site cleft of the protease. These observations support the hypothesis that Ets-1 autoinhibition involves a marginally stable inhibitory module with helices HI-1, and possibly HI-2, undergoing a facile helix-coil transition.

Amide $^{15}$N relaxation measurements revealed local backbone motions in HI-1, as well as in regions of Ets-1ΔN301 beyond this marginally stable helix. The HI-1/HI-2 loop exhibits sub-nanosecond conformational mobility, while the remainder of the inhibitory module is relatively well ordered on this time scale. However, relaxation-dispersion measurements indicate that HI-1 is undergoing intermediate millisecond-microsecond time scale motions leading to amide chemical shift exchange broadening ($R_{es}$ terms). Additional regions also show relaxation-dispersion properties indicative of intermediate time scale mobility, including HI-2, and to a lesser extent, H4 and H5 of the inhibitory module. Residues forming the DNA binding surface of ETS domain, specifically helix H1, H3, the HTH turn, and the S3/S4 loop, also display similar properties. We propose that the inhibitory module and helix H1, with which it directly interfaces, are in an equilibrium between different conformational states even in the absence of DNA. This conformational equilibrium may extend to the DNA binding interface of Ets-1 through van der Waals packing of residues in the ETS domain. Future studies will address whether these $R_{es}$ terms reflect fluctuations within a conformational ensemble sampled by the intact inhibitory module and/or excursions of the N-terminal inhibitory helices HI-1 and HI-2 and possibly the DNA recognition helix H3 to unfolded states detectable by HX measurements. Nevertheless, these findings are consistent with a growing body of evidence that internal motions of proteins on the millisecond-microsecond time scale are important for their allosteric regulation (65, 66).

Amide HX experiments complemented NMR relaxation measurements in providing a view of the local stability of the inhibitory module and ETS domain. Fast HX studies revealed that residues in helices HI-1 and HI-2 in Ets-1ΔN301 have amide proton exchange rates only ~15- and ~75-fold slower, respectively, than expected for a random coil polypeptide. Even lower protection from exchange is observed for the exposed amides in the intervening HI-1/HI-2 loop. Thus, the N-terminal inhibitory helices readily undergo local conformational fluctuations, allowing base-catalyzed hydrogen exchange with the water. In contrast, slower proton-deuterium HX measurements yielded protection factors of ~10$^3$ to 10$^4$ for amides in the C-terminal inhibitory helices H4 and H5, and >10$^4$ for those in H1 of the ETS domain. Therefore, helices H1, H4, and H5 appear to be a stable scaffold upon which the more labile helices HI-1 and HI-2 pack to form the inhibitory module. Indeed, in fully activated Ets-1ΔN301, which lacks the entire N-terminal inhibitory sequence, helices H1, H4, and H5 are folded (56).

Several plausible explanations for the limited stability of HI-1 and HI-2 can be garnered from consideration of the structure of Ets-1ΔN301. The hydrophobic interface between amphipathic helix HI-1 and the remainder of the N- and C-terminal inhibitory sequences buries only a modest amount of non-polar surface area (<800 Å$^2$) and the remains of the first 20 residues in Ets-1ΔN301, half have ionizable side chains, yielding a high charge density on HI-1 and the HI-1/HI-2 loop. In particular, this may lead to unfavorable (i,i+4) charge-charge interactions between Lys$^{305}$-Arg$^{309}$ and Asp$^{306}$-Asp$^{310}$ when these pairs of residues become aligned along helix HI-1 (67). The side chain carboxylate of Asp$^{310}$ at the HI-1 C terminus might also repel the negative end of the helix macrodipole (68). In contrast to HI-1, the stability of the non-polar alanine-rich helix HI-2 may be limited by its packing across the relatively polar surface of helix H1, including the side chain of Gin$^{339}$.

**Dynamics of the DNA Binding Interface**—The dynamic studies of Ets-1ΔN301 also reveal a flexible DNA binding interface. As expected due to their surface exposure, the turn of the HTH motif and S3/S4 loop or wing exhibit high r.m.s.d. within the NMR-derived structure ensemble and elevated thermal B-values in the crystallographically derived structure of this protein, along with reduced $S^2$ order parameters and limited protection from amide HX. Consistent with this flexibility, crystallographic studies of Ets-1 show that the conformations of the HTH turn and S3/S4 loop change upon major groove binding by the recognition helix H3 in order to provide flanking contacts to the DNA phosphodiester backbone (12, 19). Surprisingly, H3 itself also exhibits significant conformational mobility as evident by HX protection factors of only ~400 and by millisecond-microsecond motions detected through relaxation-dispersion measurements. This flexibility, which is an emerging theme with many DNA-binding proteins (66, 69), could facilitate specific DNA recognition since alternative conformations of contact residues have been shown to play a role in target site selection by ETS domain proteins (19, 70).

**Allosteric Mechanism of Ets-1 Autoinhibition**—A combination of conformational and dynamic data extends the previously hypothesized allosteric model of Ets-1 autoinhibition. The NMR-derived structural ensemble of monomeric Ets-1ΔN301 confirms that the inhibitory module is distal from the HTH DNA binding interface of the ETS domain. This is consistent with previous thermodynamic and kinetic studies, which revealed that DNA binding by Ets-1 is neither sterically blocked nor modified in the number or nature of protein-DNA contacts made due to the presence of the inhibitory sequences (3, 71). Together with proteolysis studies, CD spectroscopic measurements, as well as crystallographic and NMR spectroscopic structural studies of Ets-1/DNA complexes, these data lead to an allosteric model of Ets-1 autoinhibition in which unfolding of at least helix HI-1 in the N-terminal inhibitory sequence accompanies DNA binding, while refolding of this helix favors complex dissociation (Fig. 5). Dynamic measurements by NMR relaxation and amide hydrogen exchange, combined with previous proteolysis studies (2, 3), provide two additional dimensions to this model: (i) HI-1 has low stability and thus is poised to unfold; and (ii) regions of the inhibitory module, as well as of the ETS domain, appear to undergo exchange between conformational states on a millisecond-microsecond time scale, even in the absence of DNA. These data also provide insights into the energetic coupling of DNA binding with the HI-1 helix-coil transition. Based on HX protection factors of ~15, $\Delta G^\text{HX} \sim 1.6$ kcal/mol for the transient unfolding of HI-1 in DNA-free Ets-1ΔN301. This small energetic penalty is consist-

---

$^4$ J. Pot, unpublished data.
ent with $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}^\circ \sim 0.4$ kcal/mol corresponding to the ~2-fold inhibition of DNA binding by Ets-1N301 relative to the fully activated truncation fragment, Ets-1N331.

Based on its structural role in both the ETS domain and the inhibitory module, we have proposed that helix H1 is central to the allosteric mechanism of autoinhibition (11). This proposal is supported by several lines of evidence. X-ray crystallographic analyses demonstrated that H1 must be positioned precisely to form a hydrogen bond between the amide of Leu$^{337}$ at its N terminus and the DNA phosphodiester backbone. This hydrogen bond is likely augmented by orienting the positive end of the macrodipole of this helix adjacent to the negatively charged nucleic acid backbone (12, 19). The importance of the interactions involving H1 toward DNA binding and autoinhibition was confirmed by mutational analyses of both Ets-1N280 and its target DNA (11).

Flexibility in both the ETS domain and autoinhibitory module appears to accommodate the structural changes that accompany DNA binding. The crystal structures of free Ets-1N300 and DNA bound Ets-1N280 are very similar, with the dramatic exception of H1 being domain-swapped or unfolded, respectively (12). However, important conformational changes between these species are detectable using a C$^\alpha$ difference distance matrix approach that is independent of the choice of co-ordinate superimposition (72). These changes can be divided into two categories, those that accommodate DNA binding, and those that affect the conformation of the inhibitory module. Exemplifying the former, the turn of the HTH motif and the loop between $\beta$-strands S3 and S4 shift to facilitate nucleic acid contacts. In the latter category, the relative positions of helix HI-2 and the HI-2/H1 loop change by over 1 Å with respect to the ETS domain. This perturbation is accompanied by pronounced alterations in the backbone conformation of the HI-2/H1 loop, as well as the disruption of a potential hydrogen bond between the side chains of Ser$^{332}$ in this loop and Gln$^{339}$ in helix H1. These observations suggest that, in order for helix H1 to precisely interact with DNA, the preceding HI-2/H1 loop must be shifted relative to its position in the free protein. This in turn would require the displacement of the non-polar alanine-rich helix HI-2 away from the DNA and across the relatively polar surface of H1 that is defined in part by Gln$^{339}$. Such a displacement would likely be coupled to a disruption of the hydrophobic packing of the N-terminal inhibitory region and thus linked with the unfolding of the marginally stable HI-1.

Each of these categories of conformational change is consistent with regions of flexibility within Ets-1N301 detected by $^{15}$N relaxation and HX measurements.

NMR measurements complement the insights gained from static Ets-1 structures and provide new dynamic views of the mechanism of autoinhibition. In addition to the limited stability of HI-1 and HI-2 detected by fast HX measurements, motions on the millisecond-microsecond time scale, leading to $R_{\text{ex}}$ terms, were also detected for amides within both the inhibitory module and the ETS domain. A simple interpretation of these data is that DNA-free Ets-1N301 is in conformational equilibrium that extends beyond fluctuations of the N-terminal inhibitory region. We speculate that this pre-existing equilibrium may be an additional feature of the allosteric coupling of DNA binding by the ETS domain with the helix-coil transition of the inhibitory module. For example, in addition to the postulated link between the unfolding of HI-1 and the precise positioning of H1 and the HI-2/H1 loop, it is possible that conformational mobility of the winged HTH motif of the ETS domain is required for high affinity DNA binding and that this mobility is coupled to the dynamic behavior of the inhibitory module. Consistent with studies in other systems, the millisecond-microsecond time scale of these motions appears to be a hallmark of protein regulatability (65, 66, 73). In the case of Ets-1, this regulation is versatile. Cellular conditions that trap an unfolded helix would result in activation of DNA binding, whereas those that limit the unfolding of this helix would result in increased inhibition. In this way, the N-terminal inhibitory elements, distinct from the more stable C-terminal helices, serve as the control point or effector of signals that regulate DNA binding affinity.

In summary, we have used NMR spectroscopy to characterize the structure and dynamics of a partially inhibited fragment of Ets-1. These studies provided the first description of the intramolecular packing of the inhibitory module, identified a potential conformational exchange equilibrium linked to the allosteric mechanism of autoinhibition, and defined the marginally stable nature of the N-terminal inhibitory helices. Together, these results establish a molecular framework necessary for understanding how protein partnerships, post-
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translational modifications, or mutations alter the stability of the inhibitory module and thereby regulate the affinity of Ets-1 for its target promoter sequences.
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