Abstract: We study the $L \rightarrow l l' \ell' \nu L$ decays ($L = \tau, \mu$; $l, l' = \mu, e$) in the Standard Model (SM) and in the effective field theory (EFT) description of the weak charged current at low energy, both for polarized and unpolarized $L$, keeping the $l, l'$ mass dependence. We clarify the discrepancy between two previous SM calculations of the decay rate improving their precision. The recent $3.5 \sigma$ anomaly found in $\tau \rightarrow e \gamma \nu \bar{\nu}$ could be checked using our precise prediction for the $\tau \rightarrow e e e \nu \nu$ decays, which shall be measured analyzing already existing data from the first generation B-factories. It is shown how measurements of the $d$-$l'$ mass distribution (with appropriate cuts) and $T$-asymmetries are able to reveal the corresponding lepton flavor violating (LFV) processes without neutrinos in the final state.
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1 Motivation

(Multi)lepton lepton decays are interesting for a plethora of reasons. Historically, muon decays were essential in determining the V-A character of the weak charged interactions. Precise studies of deviations from this Lorentz structure motivated the development of the Bouchiat-Michel-Kinoshita-Sirlin parameters [1–3] which now place stringent limits on the corresponding new physics effects.

Radiative $L \rightarrow \ell \nu \nu L \gamma$ decays carry information about the $\ell$ spin state which gives access to the Michel-like parameter $\tilde{\eta}$ [4,5]. Recent and ongoing experiments measuring the radiative muon and tau lepton decays [6,8] will reach up to 1% sensitivity on $\tilde{\eta}$ extracted from $\tau \rightarrow \ell \nu \nu \gamma$ decays at Belle-II. In our case, where the photon undergoes lepton pair conversion, the corresponding Michel-like parameters [5] could be measured up to 3% precision.

The recent measurement by BaBar of the branching ratio for the $\tau \rightarrow e \gamma \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays [10] disagrees at 3.5 $\sigma$ with the SM computation at NLO [11], while agreement at the one sigma level is found for the muon mode (which should exhibit a larger deviation). An obvious way to test this anomaly shall be possible through Belle’s forthcoming analysis of the $\tau \rightarrow \ell \nu \nu \gamma$ decays. An indirect way to verify it can be obtained by confronting our precise SM prediction of the $\tau \rightarrow 3e \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays [12] with BaBar and Belle branching ratios (both first generation B-factories shall be able to measure the corresponding branching fraction $\sim 4 \cdot 10^{-5}$).

Another important motivation for our study comes from the fact that the considered processes are the most important irreducible backgrounds in searches for the LFV $L \rightarrow l l' \nu$ decays. Therefore, we aim to provide precise predictions of the SM five-lepton $L$ decays so that the TAUOLA Monte Carlo generator [13,14] can include our matrix elements to help these searches using BaBar and Belle(-II) data.

Finally, let us also mention that a possible explanation to the MiniBooNe and LSND anomalies was proposed [15] by a long-living sterile neutrino that could be easily searched for in the considered decays, due to its characteristic signature of a displaced vertex of several cm. For all these reasons, we have performed the first SM computation of the $L \rightarrow l l' \nu \nu L$ decays keeping daughter lepton masses (as required by the precise B-factories data) for the (un)polarized $L$ cases and generalize the Michel-like formalism developed in Ref. [6] accordingly, including $L$ polarization for the first time. We have also made the corresponding computations in the most general EFT of the weak interactions at low energies and dis-
discussed in detail how to overcome the backgrounds from these SM processes in searches for LFV $L \rightarrow ll'l''$ decays.

2 SM description

At leading order, the considered decays proceed through photon emission (followed by lepton pair conversion) from the charged leptons in the well-known $L^- \rightarrow \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell \nu_L$ processes. Our notation for momenta is

$$L^-(Q) \rightarrow \ell^- (p_1) \ell'^- (p_2) \ell^+ (p_3) \bar{\nu}_\ell (p_4) \nu_L (p_5).$$

The masses of the charged leptons will be denoted by $M$, $m_\ell$, $m_\ell$ ($m_2 = m_3 = m$), where $M$ stands for the mass of the decaying lepton. The two diagrams in the $l \neq l''$ case double in the identical particle case giving rise to two extra terms that are obtained from the original ones by (antisymmetric) exchange of $p_1$ and $p_2$.

Since neutrinos are massless, the decay matrix element can be written as (both for the unpolarized and polarized $L$ cases)

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{pols}} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = T_{\alpha\beta} \bar{p}_\alpha p_\beta,$$

where $T_{\alpha\beta}$ will denote the polarized case, with $s$ referring to the $L$ helicity.

Being five-body decays, the corresponding squared (un)polarized amplitude $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ depends upon 8 independent kinematical variables that we choose following the invariants proposed in Ref. [16]. Since precise numerical integrations in the multi-particles case are far from trivial, instead of integrating over phase space as in Ref. [17] (employing the factorized expression eq. (2) to integrate neutrinos phase space first and then integrating over the remaining variables), our integration following the optimized variables in Ref. [16] allows for a completely independent evaluation of the observables.

Analytic results for the matrix elements in the (un)polarized case can be found in the appendices of our paper [12].

Branching ratios for the different modes are shown in Table I where our predictions are compared to previous results and available experimental data. The quoted errors arise from VEGAS [20] integration, used in our numerical calculations and in Ref. [17]. This comparison shows good agreement between our results and those in Ref. [17], while sizable discrepancies are found with the results in Ref. [18]. Moreover, the closer agreement (at the one $\sigma$ level) with Ref. [17] in the modes with two or three electrons than in the modes with two or three muons (about three $\sigma$) could be attributed to the different tau mass values used in both works (the heavier the daughter particle, the larger the effect). Remaining tiny differences can be explained because of the overall effect of different tau lifetimes employed in these analyses.

| Channel                      | Ref. [17]      | Ref. [18]      | This work      | PDG [19]      |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $\text{BR}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_e) \times 10^5$ | $4.15 \pm 0.06$ | $4.457 \pm 0.006$ | $4.21 \pm 0.01$ | $2.8 \pm 1.5$ |
| $\text{BR}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_e) \times 10^7$ | $1.257 \pm 0.003$ | $1.347 \pm 0.002$ | $1.247 \pm 0.001$ | - |
| $\text{BR}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_e) \times 10^5$ | $1.97 \pm 0.02$ | $2.089 \pm 0.003$ | $1.984 \pm 0.004$ | $< 3.6$ |
| $\text{BR}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_e) \times 10^7$ | $1.190 \pm 0.002$ | $1.276 \pm 0.004$ | $1.183 \pm 0.001$ | - |
| $\text{BR}(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_e) \times 10^5$ | $3.60 \pm 0.02$ | $3.605 \pm 0.005$ | $3.597 \pm 0.002$ | $3.4 \pm 0.4$ |

Table 1. Branching ratios for the five-lepton decays of taus and muons. Some of the previous calculations are shown in the middle columns. Experimental data are rather scarce.

The normalized differential decay width (with respect to the decaying lepton decay width) versus $q^2 = m_{\ell^+\ell^-}^2$ is plotted in fig. 1 for the considered channels. All of them peak at low $m_{\ell^+\ell^-}^2$ values given the low virtuality of the photon close to threshold.
Inclusion of $L$ spin effects is straightforward. After
integrating over neutrinos phase space, the differential
decay width reads
\[
\frac{d\Gamma_s}{dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4} = \frac{M^2|\mathbf{p}_1||\mathbf{p}_2||\mathbf{p}_3|}{3 \cdot 2^{21} \pi^{10}} T_{\alpha\beta} I^{\alpha\beta}(P),
\]
where the generalized form factors $F, L, G_1$ and $G_2$
depend only upon scalar products of charged leptons
momenta.

The most convenient writing of the differential decay
width is in terms of the normalized charged daughter
lepton energies and solid angles:
\[
\frac{d\Gamma_s}{dx_1dx_2dx_3} = \frac{M^2|\mathbf{p}_1||\mathbf{p}_2||\mathbf{p}_3|}{3 \cdot 2^{21} \pi^{10}} T_{\alpha\beta} I^{\alpha\beta}(P),
\]
with $x_i = 2E_i/M$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). In our paper [12], we have
derived the first formulation in terms of Michel-like pa-
rameters for these decays in the polarized $L$ case keeping
charged leptons masses throughout (which are first kept
in our work in the unpolarized case).

3 EFT analysis

The precise predictions given in table 1 allow for a
stringent test of the $V - A$ character of the charged weak
interactions. With this purpose, we have made an EFT
analysis (valid at energy scales much smaller than that
of electroweak symmetry breaking, as it is the case in $L$
decays) of these processes.

The most general lepton-flavor conserving Lagrangian
describing four-lepton interactions (local and without
derivatives) is [1,3,5,7,21-22]
\[
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i,\lambda,\rho} g_{i,\lambda\rho}^{\lambda\rho} \left[ \bar{\nu}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{F}^\dagger\nu_{\rho})_\nu \right] \left[ ( \nu_{\nu})_\nu (\nu_{\rho})_\nu \right].
\]

The Lorentz structure of the weak currents is indicated
by means of $i = S, V, T$; $\Gamma^S = I, \Gamma^V = \gamma^\nu, \Gamma^T = e^\nu/\sqrt{2}$
and $\lambda, \rho = L, R$ for the charged lepton’s helicity
(analogously $\xi$ and $\kappa$ for neutrinos). The 10 indepen-
dent complex coefficients ($4$ scalar, $4$ vector and the two
tensor couplings $g_{LL}^{S}$ and $g_{RR}^{S}$) give rise to 19 independent
real couplings (removing an unphysical global phase).
The relevant sum over the $|g_{i,\lambda\rho}^{\lambda\rho}|^2$ in eq. (5) is fixed by the
total decay width $\Gamma$
\[
1 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\lambda,\rho} |g_{L\lambda\rho}^{L\rho}|^2 + \sum_{\lambda,\rho} |g_{L\lambda\rho}^{S\rho}|^2 + 3(|g_{RL}^{L\rho}|^2 + |g_{LR}^{L\rho}|^2).
\]

In the Standard Model, $|g_{LL}^{L\rho}| = 1$ is the only non-
vanishing coupling. Moreover, in the limit of massless
left-handed neutrinos, only $g_{RR}^{S\rho}$ survives, leaving the inter-
ference between these two contributions as the most
promising signature of new physics affecting these de-
cays.

The presence of the tau polarization vector could a pri-
or allow for six spin-dependent generalized form factors
in such a way that the eq. (3) would generalize to
\[
T_{\alpha\beta} I^{\alpha\beta}(P) = e^4 G_F \left[ F - L \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{s} - G_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{s} - G_2 \mathbf{p}_3 \cdot \mathbf{s} \right]^2,
\]
\[
+ H_1 \mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_2) + H_2 \mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 \times \mathbf{p}_3) + H_3 \mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_3).
\]

However, since CP violation (through $T$ violation, in this
case) effects are suppressed (analog of the Jarlskog [23] in-
variant involving, in particular, the product $(m_2^2 - m_3^2)(m_2^2 -
\lambda_{\nu})$; again neutrino masses make this effect im-
messably and the only non-vanishing spin-
dependent form factors in this limit are $L, G_1$ and $G_2$.
Their corresponding expressions can be found in the ap-
dendices of our paper [12].

On the contrary, since CP violation effects are not sup-
pressed in the LFV $L \to l l l'$ decays [24, 25] ded-
cated searches of $T$ violating effects in processes with a
lepton decaying into three charged leptons could unveil
LFV.

4 LFV $L \to l l l'$ decays

The considered five-lepton lepton decays constitute
the most difficult irreducible background in the searches
for LFV $L \to l l l'$ processes, particularly in the configu-
ration where both neutrinos carry away so little energy
that they cannot be detected. It is thus interesting to
compare our predictions for different observables to the
expected signal of the LFV processes in order to gain
insight for the experimental searches of the latter.

In this spirit, we have considered the three benchmark
scenarios proposed in Ref. [26] for dipole, scalar and vec-
tor interactions originating the LFV processes. We have
considered the differential decay width as a function of
one of the lepton energies and of the same- and opposite-
sign lepton pair. It is concluded that the distributions
in $m_\ell^2$ are best suited to split signal from background
with a moderate cut between 0.5 and 1 GeV$^2$ depending
on the considered tau decay channel [12]. In the case of
muon decays, huge statistics and an exquisite control of
SM backgrounds will be needed to compensate the tiny
signal to background ratio given in table 2.

---

Footnote: $A$ is a $T$ asymmetry as large as 15% can be found [24] for $\mu \to 3e$ decays in specific GUTs.
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Fig. 2. Comparison of opposite-sign lepton-pair invariant mass distribution in five-body and LFV decays of tau leptons. Three benchmark scenarios of new physics in $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ are used, according to Ref. [26], and $S/B \sim 0.1$ (about the current upper limit) is assumed.

| Channel | Current upper limit (UL) [19, 27] | S/B (UL) | Expected UL [28] |
|---------|----------------------------------|----------|------------------|
| BR($\tau^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-$) | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $\sim 10^{-6}$ |
| BR($\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^-$) | $1.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $\sim 0.1$ | $\sim 10^{-6}$ |
| BR($\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ e^-$) | $1.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $\sim 6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $\sim 10^{-6}$ |
| BR($\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$) | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $\sim 0.1$ | $\sim 10^{-6}$ |
| BR($\mu^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-$) | $1.0 \cdot 10^{-12}$ | $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $\sim 10^{-16}$ |

Table 2. Current and expected UL and signal to background ratios in LFV $L^- \rightarrow \ell\ell' \ell\ell'$ searches.

5 Conclusions and outlook

We have made the first analysis of the five-lepton lepton decays keeping daughter masses for the (un)polarized parent lepton cases. Our results agree with one of the earlier references in the literature improving substantially the precision. We have derived analytic expressions for the Michel-like parameters useful for accurate tests of the V-A character of the charged weak interactions including decaying lepton spin effects. Our precise results would also allow to test the 3.5 $\sigma$ anomaly found by BaBar in $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma\bar{\nu}_{\ell}\nu_{\ell}$ decays by analyzing (with first generation B-factories data) the $\tau \rightarrow 3e\bar{\nu}_{\ell}\nu_{\ell}$ processes. We have also extended our computations to an EFT description of these at low energies and show that, even in this case, $T$-violation is suppressed by the tiny neutrino masses. Therefore, a wise way of searching for LFV $L \rightarrow \ell\ell'\ell\ell'$ is to measure non-vanishing $T$-odd correlations involving charged leptons, which would point to the beyond the SM process immediately. Finally, we have shown that reasonable cuts in $m_{\ell'\ell'}$ are very efficient in splitting signal from background in these new physics searches.
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