Acid mine drainage biogeochemistry at Iron Mountain, California
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The Richmond Mine at Iron Mountain, Shasta County, California, USA provides an excellent opportunity to study the chemical and biological controls on acid mine drainage (AMD) generation in situ, and to identify key factors controlling solution chemistry. Here we integrate four years of field-based geochemical data with 16S rRNA gene clone libraries and rRNA probe-based studies of microbial population structure, cultivation-based metabolic experiments, arsenopyrite surface colonization experiments, and results of intermediate sulfur species kinetics experiments to describe the Richmond Mine AMD system. Extremely acidic effluent (pH between 0.5 and 0.9) resulting from oxidation of approximately $1 \times 10^3$ to $2 \times 10^5$ moles pyrite/day contains up to 24 g/l Fe, several g/l Zn and hundreds of mg/l Cu. Geochemical conditions change markedly over time, and are reflected in changes in microbial populations. Molecular analyses of 232 small subunit ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA) gene sequences from six sites during a sampling time when lower temperature (<32 °C), higher pH (>0.8) conditions predominated show the dominance of Fe-oxidizing prokaryotes such as Ferroplasma and Leptospirillum in the primary drainage communities. Leptospirillum group III accounts for the majority of Leptospirillum sequences, which we attribute to anomalous physical and geochemical regimes at that time. A couple of sites peripheral to the main drainage, “Red Pool” and a pyrite “Slump,” were even higher in pH (>1) and the community compositions reflected this change in geochemical conditions. Several novel lineages were identified within the archaeal Thermoplasmatales order associated with the pyrite slump, and the Red Pool (pH 1.4) contained the only population of Acidithiobacillus. Relatively small populations of Sulfabacillus spp. and Acidithiobacillus caldus may metabolize elemental sulfur as an intermediate species in the oxidation of pyritic sulfide to sulfate. Experiments show that elemental sulfur which forms on pyrite surfaces is resistant to most oxidants; its solubilization by unattached cells may indicate involvement of a microbially derived electron shuttle. The detachment of thiosulfate ($S_2O_3^{2-}$) as a leaving group in pyrite oxidation should result in the formation and persistence of tetrathionate in low pH ferric iron-rich AMD solutions. However, tetrathionate is not observed. Although a $S_2O_3^{2-}$-like species may form as a surface-bound intermediate, data suggest that Fe$^{3+}$ oxidizes the majority of sulfur to sulfate on the surface of pyrite. This may explain why microorganisms that can utilize intermediate sulfur species are scarce compared to Fe-oxidizing taxa at the Richmond Mine site. © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1769131]

INTRODUCTION

Relevance of the study site

The enhanced oxidation of sulfide minerals principally pyrite (FeS$_2$), by mining activities is a worldwide problem of significant environmental interest because it leads to the generation of acidic, metal-rich waters. The Richmond Mine, at Iron Mountain in northern California, USA, represents a rare opportunity to study the processes of acid mine drainage underground within an actively oxidizing pyritic body. Where many AMD sites are characterized by precipitation of iron oxyhydroxides, this site is characterized by the dissolved chemical species resulting from microbial pyrite oxidation. Specifically, this field site permits investigation of chemical and microbial factors important in the oxidation reactions that form acidic mine drainage isolated from the part of the system where precipitation of secondary oxyhydroxides occurs. An access tunnel intersects four mine tun-
nels within the Richmond ore deposit at a junction referred to as the “5-way” (Fig. 1). Essentially all solutions draining from the mine are collected at the 5-way, making it possible to determine and monitor the flux of metals and sulfur from the system. Previous studies of the geology, water chemistry, and microbial communities in the vicinity of the 5-way provide the basis for ongoing work at the site.

Site location and history

Iron Mountain is located approximately 9 miles northwest of the city of Redding, California (Fig. 1). Access to the Richmond Mine is provided by a 430 m long horizontal access tunnel that is maintained as part of the United States Government Superfund program remediation effort. The area of the 5-way is located at the edge of the main body of the Richmond deposit, a large, lenticular body that was originally over 800 m long, 60 m wide, and 60 m thick. The body contains approximately 90–95% pyrite (FeS₂), locally enriched with ore minerals. The mine is within a Kuroko-type volcanogenic massive sulfide deposit that contains chalcopyrite (CuFeS₂), sphalerite (ZnS), galena (PbS), bornite (Cu₃FeS₄), arsenopyrite (FeAsS), and tetrathedrite–tennantite (Cu₁₂Sb₄S₁₃–Cu₁₂As₄S₁₃), as well as massive pyrite and late-stage veins of fine-grained pyrite. The ore is hosted in Devonian-age Balaklala Rhyolite composed of quartz, albite, chlorite, and sericite, characterized by very limited acid-buffering capacity. Assays of the pyritic material at the Richmond Mine Complex average 1% copper and 2% zinc. Secondary sulfate minerals associated with the deposit include a variety of Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺–Fe⁴⁺, and Fe⁵⁺ sulfates (for details see Alpers et al.). Several mines were operated intermittently between the 1860s and 1962 for Au, Ag, Cu, Fe, Zn, and pyrite. Of the original reserve estimated at 11.5 million tons, approximately 3.5 million tons were removed. Acidic effluent from the mine was blamed for significant fish kills in the Sacramento river before treatment began. The site has been listed under the National Priorities list for EPA Superfund since 1983 and all effluent from the mine is now collected and treated on site by addition of lime (CaO) to remove metals to below the U.S. E.P.A. drinking water standards for Cu.

Pyrite oxidation and AMD solutions

The oxidation of pyrite proceeds by a transfer of 15 moles of electrons per mole of FeS₂ (converting pyritic sulfide, S¹⁻, to sulfate, S⁶⁺, and Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺). Redox reactions occur in increments involving 1 or 2 electrons. Thus, the overall pathway of sulfide oxidation must be composed of many steps. All of the electrons are lost via a series of anodic (oxidizing) half reactions, the sum of which may be represented by:

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + 8 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + 2 \text{HSO}_4^- + 16 \text{H}^+ + 15e^- \tag{1} 
\]

where the product bisulfate is used to represent this reaction occurring below pH 2 [pK₂ of sulfuric acid=1.99 (Ref. 11)] as it likely does at the site. Anodic reactions must be coupled to a cathodic reaction (in the absence of an applied potential). A subset of possible anodic and cathodic reactions describing pyrite oxidation are given in Table I.

| Anodic half reactions | Cathodic half reactions |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| \(\text{FeS}_2 + 8 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + 2 \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 16 \text{H}^+ + 15e^-\) | \(\text{O}_2 + 4e^- + 4 \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}\) |
| \(\text{FeS}_2 + 3 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{S}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 6 \text{H}^+ + 6e^-\) | \(\text{Fe}^{3+} + 1e^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+}\) |
| \(\text{FeS}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + 1/2 \text{S}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + e^-\) | \(\text{FeOOH} + 3 \text{H}^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}\) |
| \(\text{FeS}_2 + 3 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + 3/2 \text{S}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 6 \text{H}^+ + 7e^-\) | \(\text{MnO}_4^- + 4 \text{H}^+ + 2 e^- \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{2+} + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}\) |
| \(\text{FeS}_2 + 6 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + 2 \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 12 \text{H}^+ + 10e^-\) | |
Not all coupled redox reactions in the pyrite oxidation pathway generate protons. The reaction producing elemental sulfur, a commonly observed partial product of pyrite dissolution, \(^{12-14}\) generates no protons:

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + 2 \text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow 3 \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2 \text{S}^0. \tag{2}
\]

This reaction represents formation of elemental sulfur directly through the sulfidic sulfur in pyrite. It has been suggested that thiosulfate production at low pH can yield significant elemental sulfur, \(^{15}\) but recent studies \(^{16}\) concerning this reaction in laboratory studies of pyrite oxidation in acidic conditions with excess ferric iron clearly indicate that significant elemental sulfur cannot form from thiosulfate under those conditions. The observation of up to 20% elemental sulfur product necessitates the regeneration of elemental sulfur through another source such as via oxidation of pyritic sulfide.

Thiosulfate has been invoked to be the first product of pyrite oxidation by several researchers. \(^{17-21}\) Reaction of pyrite over several steps to form thiosulfate (outlined by Luther \(^{17}\)), which subsequently forms tetrathionate via reaction with \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) \(^{16,22}\) may be represented by the overall reaction:

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + 7 \text{Fe}^{3+} + 3 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 8 \text{Fe}^{2+} + 0.5 \text{S}_4\text{O}_6^{2-} + 6 \text{H}^+. \tag{3}
\]

Reaction of pyrite to form tetrathionate, another intermediate species detected in laboratory pyrite oxidation experiments over a range of conditions, \(^{18,19,21}\) generates 6 moles of protons per mole of pyrite.

The reaction for the total oxidation of pyrite, assuming that ferric iron is the electron acceptor for all steps, is typically written (for reactions occurring below pH 2):

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + 14 \text{Fe}^{3+} + 8 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 15 \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2 \text{HSO}_4^- + 14 \text{H}^+. \tag{4}
\]

There is no direct source of ferric iron in AMD systems, so ferric iron must be regenerated from oxidation by oxygen according to the reaction:

\[
14 \text{Fe}^{2+} + 3.5 \text{O}_2 + 14 \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 14 \text{Fe}^{3+} + 7 \text{H}_2\text{O}. \tag{5}
\]

Some reservoirs of \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) exist, as pools of dissolved \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) and as different ferric sulfate and oxide minerals, which may essentially store this oxidant for varying times before movement/dissolution carries the \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) into contact with pyrite surfaces. If reactions (4) and (5) are summed, the overall reaction for pyrite dissolution is given by

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + 3.5 \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2 \text{HSO}_4^- . \tag{6}
\]

If reactions (4) and (5) are exactly balanced to yield reaction (6), the ratio of total iron:protons:sulfate should be 1:2:2. The molar ratio of iron:protons:sulfate will reflect complete oxidation of pyrite if the iron and sulfur are properly accounted (i.e., if no sulfate minerals or iron oxyhydroxide minerals precipitate before sampling the water) and if protons can be properly accounted (i.e., if speciation of protonated forms of carbon, silica, iron, sulfate, etc., can be accounted for). That minerals with mixed-iron valences can precipitate in these waters and that variations in iron oxyhydroxide precipitation can also affect S molar ratios (through precipitation of schwertmannite or sorption of \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) on goethite, for example) emphasize the care required to interpret compositional data.

Although it is clear that proton production is coupled to sulfur oxidation, solution pH is determined by the excess of protons over that required to complex anions (primarily sulfate). If the system is described by reaction (6), sufficient protons would be available to speciate sulfate as \(\text{HSO}_4^-\), implying a pH around the \(\text{pK}_1\) for \(\text{HSO}_4^-\), i.e., \(\sim\)pH 2. However, not all protons generated by reactions (5) and (6) are required to form complex sulfate due to formation of other sulfate complexes (notably \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\)–sulfate species). Evaporative concentration and precipitation of certain sulfate minerals [e.g., jarosite, \(\text{KFe}_3\text{SO}_4\text{(OH)}_6\)] drives the pH down whereas iron oxidation drives the pH up \(^{23}\) for solutions at low pH. Modeling results indicate pyrite oxidation can account for lowering pH to approximately 0.0. \(^{24}\) Under some conditions, extremely low pH values may be attained by evaporative concentration. The lowest pH ever recorded for an environmental sample (~3.6) came from an evaporative pool within the Richmond deposit. \(^{25}\)

Although oxygen supply ultimately controls pyrite oxidation in the environment, ferric iron is the most efficient oxidant. The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) is lower in energy than the LUMO of \(\text{O}_2\) (which typically yields a lower activation energy barrier), which explains why ferric iron is the most effective oxidant. \(^{26}\) Additionally, \(\text{O}_2\) is not as efficient an oxidant due to the spin-restriction of reacting paramagnetic \(\text{O}_2\) (2 unpaired electrons) with diamagnetic pyrite (all electrons paired). \(^{18}\)

Because the oxidation of pyrite by \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) is so much faster than by \(\text{O}_2\), and in view of slow inorganic \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) reoxidation rates at low pH, it was proposed that \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) reoxidation limits the rate of pyrite oxidation in AMD solutions. \(^{27}\) Since microorganisms catalyze the oxidation of ferrous iron it was hypothesized that they control pyrite oxidation rates in the environment. \(^{27}\) Organisms which utilize intermediate sulfur species for metabolic energy are also active in pyrite-oxidizing environments. \(^{28}\) Microbial populations may change significantly in response to the local environment, \(^{4,29}\) and between sites of varying acidity. \(^{3}\) The intermediate reactions governing oxidation of sulfur species may vary with pH, \(\text{O}_2\), and \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) concentrations as the kinetics of competing reactions depend on the availability of oxidants or the pyrite surface composition. Therefore, understanding differences in the pyrite oxidation pathway as a function of pH may yield better understanding of the interdependence between microbial activity and redox kinetics in acidic environments.

**Previous studies of the microbiology of the Richmond deposit**

The importance of microbial activity in pyrite dissolution and AMD formation is well documented. \(^{1,19,23,27,30-33}\) To date, the majority of microbiological studies of AMD systems have been based on physiology and characterization of cultivated organisms. Nordstrom and Southam \(^{32}\) and Johnson \(^{33}\) review the concepts that have emerged from these
studies. The key finding is that microorganisms oxidize ferrous to ferric iron, increasing the rate of supply of this important oxidant to the surfaces of dissolving sulfide minerals.

Nordstrom first noted the potential importance of microorganisms at Iron Mountain. Several subsequent studies at the site have applied molecular biological methods to describe the microorganisms present and to correlate microbial community structure with the geochemistry of their environments. Rodgers et al. created the first small subunit ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA) gene library from the site (see Edwards). Subsequently, a fluorescent in-situ hybridization (FISH)-based study by Schrenk et al. determined the relative abundance of archaea, bacteria, and eukaryotes. Schrenk et al. also confirmed the findings of Rodgers et al. (that Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans is not a significant organism in active AMD generating regions of the ore deposit).

Edwards et al. tracked microbial populations over one year and further established the restricted distribution of At. ferrooxidans. This study also suggested that another well known Fe-oxidizing acidophile, Leptospirillum ferrooxidans, could not account for the majority of microorganisms in most communities sampled. Edwards et al. established that archaea are abundant, especially in low-pH, high-ionic strength environments.

A novel, microaerophilic iron-oxidizing archaeon was isolated from the site by Edwards et al. This organism comprises up to 85% of the microbial cells in some samples. An isolate, referred to as Ferroplasma acidarmanus (strain fer1), is the subject of current detailed physiological (Bond et al.) and genomic-based (Allen et al., in prep.) studies. Bond et al. analyzed 16S rRNA gene diversity of several specific microenvironments within the Richmond mine. In contrast to Rodgers et al., who targeted planktonic species in AMD solutions and sediments, Bond et al. primarily sampled biofilms. The diversity of known archaea, specifically within the order Thermoplasmales, was broadened by this study. Members of the Thermoplasmales, which include clones from the public databases, have been named the “alphabet plasmas.” Bond et al. also identified three distinct phylogenetic groups of Leptospirillum (groups I, II, and III). Group II was subsequently named Leptospirillum ferriphilum. These findings laid the groundwork for more detailed studies.

METHODS

Geochemical analyses

Field work at the Richmond Mine at Iron Mountain in northern California was conducted over 4 years in the present investigation, as part of a 10-year effort to study the microbial activity associated with metal-sulfide oxidation at this site. Water at the Richmond Mine offers some interesting challenges to the analytical techniques employed in conventional water analyses. Notably, pH standards require special attention to the activity coefficient of H⁺ in samples of high ionic strength and oxygen concentration measurements are virtually impossible using standard membrane electrodes or titration methods. On-site analysis of water samples for Eh, conductivity, and temperature were conducted routinely, after established USGS protocols. Standards for pH measurements were prepared from H₂SO₄ stock after the method for measuring extremely low-pH waters developed at the same location by Nordstrom. A ZoBell’s solution was prepared immediately before analysis for a check of the platinum electrode. As noted by Nordstrom, Eh measurements were highly consistent with the Eh calculated from the Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ couple (typically within 5%).

Water samples were collected with sterile 60 ml syringes and filtered through 0.2 μm syringe filters into several splits for later analyses. Samples were stored in 15 ml Falcon tubes, filled to occlude any headspace gas, and stored on ice and in a refrigerator until analyses were complete. Ferrous iron was measured on site using a 1,10 phenanthroline method and total iron was measured using a Ferrozine method with a Hach portable digital spectrophotometer. In the lab, duplicate splits were measured for Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ directly, using the method of To et al. and an Ocean Optics UV-Visible spectrophotometer (2000S series). Iron measurements using both methods were consistent within analytical uncertainty, which is primarily due to the high level of dilution necessary for spectrophotometric analyses of these samples. Chromatographic analyses of collected samples for anion determinations were performed on a Dionex Series 500 ion chromatograph equipped with a conductivity detector and UV-Vis detector collected at 230 nm. Sulfate, sulfite, thiosulfate, dithionate, and sulfide were detectable at tens of micromolar levels using an isocratic 5 mM NaHCO₃/5 mM Na₂CO₃ eluent at a 1.0 ml/min flow rate through an IonPac AS16 column. Although chloride, phosphate, nitrate, nitrite, and fluoride are also resolvable using chromatographic methods, the extremely high sulfate concentration made quantitative analysis of these ions impossible, given the relatively low concentrations of these species compared to sulfate.

Attempts to measure polythionates were made using an isocratic 30% acetonitrile:H₂O eluent containing 2 mM tetra-butylammonium hydroxide, buffered with 3 mM NaHCO₃/3 mM Na₂CO₃ and run through an IonPac NS1 column, modified after several advances in individual polythionate detection by chromatography. Tri-, tetra-, and penta-thionate were all resolvable down to 5 μM concentrations using a 25 μl sample loop. Water samples collected directly from mine effluent, supernatants of samples centrifuged (~4000 rpm) in the field, and water samples preserved on an anion exchange resin after the method of Druschel et al. were all analyzed for polythionates and thiosulfate. Elemental sulfur was extracted and analyzed by HPLC using a Shimadzu system UV-Vis at 254 nm, an Alltech C18 column, and an isotopic 95% Methanol:H₂O eluent at 1.0 ml/min after the method detailed in McGuire and Hammers. Trace metals, cations, and silica were analyzed by ICP-MS (VG PlamsaQuad 3), operated in peak jumping mode at the University of Windsor Dept. of Geology. All standards, blanks, and samples were prepared in ultrapure (distilled) 2% HNO₃. Multiple internal standards were used to correct for machine drift that was less than 2% across the mass range.
Mineral samples from the Iron Mountain Mine site were analyzed by XRD using a Scintag PadV x-ray diffractometer (XRD). Peak matching utilizing the JCPDS Powder diffraction file was used to identify minerals in samples analyzed by XRD. Selected aliquots of cleaned minerals were also analyzed by a LEO 1530 scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and a Cameron SX50 electron microprobe (EMP). Back-scattered electron images and energy-dispersive spectrometer analyses in EMP were utilized to determine enrichments of As, Cu, and Zn in pyritic material.

Geochemical modeling

Geochemical modeling using the Geochemist’s Workbench suite of programs was used to calculate speciation in the solutions. Waters from the Richmond Mine have a very high ionic strength. Therefore, proper calculation of the activity coefficients for species of interest requires application of models able to account for interactions beyond simple bimolecular electrostatic interactions, such as the Pitzer equations or HMW models. Speciation calculations of the AMD solutions were carried out using the PHRQPITZ database, which is more accurate in the calculation of activity coefficients for the high-ionic strength solutions at Iron Mountain. However, this database does not include all appropriate ion pairs, especially FeSO₄(aq) species. Speciation calculations using PHRQPITZ were carried out using thermodynamic data including available virial coefficients. In an attempt to assess what the errors are with using a database which is missing potentially important species (especially FeSO₄(aq)), additional and separate calculations were made on these waters using the thermo.dat database provided with the React code of the GWB 3.1.

Particular attention to the total moles of iron, sulfur, and protons in the solution was paid in order to assess iron and sulfur transport and potential sinks relative to the pathways controlling pyrite oxidation and microbial activity in the mine. Analytically, the measured amounts of iron and sulfate accurately reflect the amount of each element in solution. Total H⁺ in solution generated from pyrite oxidation is derived from both the free H⁺ in solution (from pH and H⁺ activity coefficient) and the molar concentrations of protonated species such as bisulfate (which is strongly affected by the abundance of the FeSO₄(aq) complex). Bisulfate available to complex H⁺ is also affected strongly by iron speciation, as ferrous iron more strongly complexes SO₄²⁻ than does H⁺. Results reported for total H⁺ are calculated based on the speciation results and are functionally equivalent to the sum of free H⁺ and HSO₄⁻ in solution. There are other species in these solutions, but over 99% of the H⁺ is contained in these two species. As such this calculation is slightly different than would be found from an acidity titration because cations which would contain or take up OH⁻ are not explicitly considered.

Use of GWB 3.1 and the thermo.dat thermodynamic data will result in errors due to extrapolation of equilibrium constants well outside their useful range of ionic strength. There is no current modeling protocol to arrive at a better solution, however. We use H⁺ values resulting from these calculations in a strictly qualitative sense, as the error can be greater than 50%, based on comparison of activity coefficients for H⁺, HSO₄⁻, and FeSO₄(aq). Errors in the PHRQPITZ calculations in which total H⁺ is comparable to 30-50% of the sulfur species present. Caution should be applied when attempting to use these numbers in anything but a qualitative sense.

Arsenopyrite dissolution and surface colonization studies

The surface colonization and dissolution of pyrite and arsenopyrite by iron- and sulfur-oxidizing micro-organisms from the Richmond Mine have been reported (e.g., Edwards et al. Surface-sensitive spectroscopic studies using Raman spectroscopy revealed that elemental sulfur is an important product of the dissolution of pyrite and arsenopyrite. In the case of abiotic arsenopyrite dissolution, the majority of the sulfide oxidized is converted to highly insoluble S₈, which accumulates at the mineral surface. Thus, in this study, we conducted experiments designed to explore the interaction between sulfur-oxidizing micro-organisms and the dissolving arsenopyrite surface. These experiments used At. caldus because this sulfur-oxidizing organism cannot impact sulfide dissolution via Fe²⁺ oxidation, and thus its primary biogeochemical effect is on the oxidation of intermediate sulfur species such as S₈. An important question to be resolved is whether microbial utilization of sulfur influences sulfide dissolution rates by removal of a diffusion-limiting S₈ coat through. Cylindrical Teflon chambers were assembled for three parallel experiments. Chamber 1 was configured with a 0.22 μm pore-size polycarbonate membrane dividing the chamber into two compartments. Each end of the chamber was fitted with a port for removal and addition of material to the compartments. Chambers 2 and 3 were configured in the same manner as Chamber 1, but with no membrane partition in place.

Arsenopyrite preparations and surface-area measurements were carried out as described by McGuire et al. Equal portions (by mass) of crushed arsenopyrite were added such that each chamber contained approximately 0.015 m² of material. Sections of arsenopyrite polished and cut into 3×3×1 mm coupons were added to Chamber 2 for cell attachment studies. These slabs were placed in perforated 0.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes attached to a Teflon wire extending through the chamber port. The crushed arsenopyrite and the polished coupons were placed into the experiment chambers prior to sterilization by autoclaving. The sterilization techniques likely oxidized the surface of the minerals, but the long-term nature of these experiments with microbial cultures incapable of reduction should not be significantly affected by this.

Each sterilized chamber containing arsenopyrite was filled to half capacity with a total of 210 ml of growth medium. We prepared 9 K medium, modified by omission of FeSO₄ and amended with 0.01% (w/v) yeast extract. The medium was adjusted to pH 1.6 and was autoclaved prior to use. Cultures of At. caldus TC1 were prepared as previously described to serve as inoculum. The compartment of
Chamber 1 isolated from the arsenopyrite material was inoculated with *At. caldus* cells. Chamber 2 was also inoculated with the same volume of culture (in contact with arsenopyrite material). Chamber 3 was left sterile to serve as an abiotic control. The chambers were incubated in a horizontal position at 37 °C.

Samples for total dissolved iron and cell density measurements were taken from each chamber at prescribed time intervals. One arsenopyrite coupon was retrieved from Chamber 2 during each of the 23, 67, 161, 286, 384, and 477 hour sampling sessions. The coupons were fixed in 3% (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 4 h, rinsed with PBS (phosphate buffering solution), and stored in a 1:1 PBS:ethanol solution at −20 °C.

Total dissolved iron was measured by the FerroZine method. Direct counts of cells in suspension were performed by light microscopy using a Petroff-Haussser counting chamber. Cells attached to arsenopyrite coupons were visualized by staining with DAPI and were viewed using an epifluorescence microscope. Digital images were captured using a CCD camera and the NIH Image software. The area of one image at 1000× was calibrated and 15 random images were counted and averaged for cell density determinations.

**Microbial sampling at Richmond Mine**

In order to extend prior studies of correlations between microbial populations and geochemical habitats, samples were collected from six sites in the A-, B-, C-drifts and 5-way area (Fig. 1) in January 2001. These were taken from the following locations in the mine; a damp biofilm growing on the surface of a pyrite pile in the A-drift referred to as the ``A-drift Slump'' (01IM1Aslump, pH 1.1), the “A-drift Red Pool” (01IM1red pool, pH 1.4), “A-drift Slime Streamers,” below the waterfall that separates the “A-drift Weir” (01IM1A1, pH 0.9) from the “5-way” (01IM1A1, pH 0.89), and biofilms on the “B-drift Weir” (01IM1B1, pH 0.75) and “C-drift Weir” (01IM1C1, pH 0.85).

**Microbiological cultivation**

All enrichments contained a basal media consisting of 0.8 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.4 g/l KH₂PO₄, and 0.16 g/l MgSO₄. As carbon sources 0.25 g/l trycase soy broth (TSB) and 0.2 g/l yeast extract were supplemented to 20 g/l FeSO₄ and incubated in 250 ml flasks with vented covers. To enrich for acidophiles to remove extracellular ions. The samples were then resuspended in pH 7.0 PBS, and DNA extractions were carried out as previously described with an additional chloroform wash to remove residual phenol that might inhibit PCR reactions. A suite of domain level primers (27F5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTGTCAG-3’; 21Fa5’-TCCGTTGATCCYGCGGAA-3’; A16F235’-TCYGGTGTGAT CCTGCC-3’; and 1492R5’-GGWDACCTTGTACGACTT-3’) were utilized to amplify 16S rRNA genes from total genomic DNA extract of each of the samples. Specifics of 16S RNA gene amplification, cloning, sequencing, and analyses of the libraries are provided elsewhere. A few chimeric sequences were found via dual tree comparisons and not included in the final phylogenetic analyses. Sequences were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers AF543496 through AF543512. Phylogenetic trees were generated using ARB software package and the topologies were confirmed using bayesian inferences as described previously.

**FISH (fluorescence in situ hybridization) analyses**

Samples collected from the same sites, and at the same time as those used for clone library construction, were washed with pH 1.2 PBS buffer and fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde within 12 hours of collection. Hybridization was done following protocols previously reported. Details of all probe synthesis, sequences, and specificity are described elsewhere. Background staining was done to all FISH slides with a DNA stain, DAPI (4’,6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole Dihydrochloride). Slides were viewed using a Leica LEITZ DMRX epifluorescence microscope. Images were captured with a Hamamatsu digital charge-coupled-device camera using Axiovision software.

**RESULTS**

**Mineralogy**

Much of the pyrite ore is fine grained, thus readily liberated from the ore deposit by dissolution. This fine-grained material accumulates as sediment in slumps and on the tunnel floors in layers up to several meters thick in some areas. Analysis by optical and scanning electron microscopy and electron microprobe analyses indicated the sediment is predominantly pyrite with an average particle diameter of ~10–1000 μm. The sediment also contains a few percent quartz. Particle surfaces are roughened by dissolution (Fig. 2). Sulfate minerals (including blue–green melanterite, yellow–brownish jarosite, copiapite, green–blue chalcanthite, pinkish coquimbite, and a compound with cubic crystal form and composition consistent with voltaite) form by evaporative concentration and are locally abundant (inset in Fig. 2). Electron microprobe data indicate that the pyrite particles contain regions that are locally enriched in Zn, Cu, and As. These impurities may account for several percent of the cations in pyrite. No discrete metal sulfide inclusions were observed and no other sulfides were detected in this sediment (although other sulfate phases do occur in the deposit). It is conceivable, however, that the zones of observed Zn, Cu, and...
As enrichment were small inclusions of ZnS, CuFeS, and FeAsS too small to be imaged in the electron microprobe. Some surfaces of pyrite from the sediments contain deep euhedral pits (Fig. 3). Occasionally very thin, elongated cells occur on the pit base and pit walls. These pitmicrobe associations are very similar to those noted by Edwards et al. in a SEM study of polished pyrite surfaces of in-situ experiments retrieved from the field. In general, the sediment pyrite surfaces are colonized by cells with a limited morphological diversity, suggesting direct interactions involve only a few species.

**Arsenopyrite dissolution and surface colonization studies**

*Acidithiobacillus caldus* cells were allowed to directly contact the arsenopyrite in some experiments and were kept separate from the mineral substrate by a 0.2 μm filter in others. As shown by total soluble iron measurements, arsenopyrite dissolution rates were only slightly higher with cells present relative to the abiotic controls [Fig. 4(a)]. Dissolution was most rapid in Chamber 2 where cells were allowed to contact the mineral surfaces. However, the difference in rates between the two biologic and the abiotic reactions is minimal. Given that previous experiments have shown that α-S₈ builds up on the surface during arsenopyrite dissolution, the similarity between the abiotic and biotic rates (measured with FeT as the reaction progress variable) indicates the absence of dissolution-inhibiting surface products. *At. caldus* cells were observed to grow regardless of attachment to the mineral surface [Fig. 4(b)]. This observation suggests that a microbial product may be involved in converting elemental sulfur, which is extremely insoluble under the experimental conditions, into a bioavailable form. This compound may be a shuttle that moves electrons from elemental sulfur to the cell surface. It is unlikely that iron is the electron shuttle as *At. caldus* is unable to oxidize Fe²⁺ and inorganic iron oxidation kinetics are extremely slow under the experimental conditions.

![FIG. 2. SEM image of secondary sulfate mineral formation in samples collected within the study site at the Richmond Mine 5-way area. Note sulfate mineral formation on cubic pyrite crystal in the inset.](image1)

![FIG. 3. SEM image of pyrite collected with in study site at the Richmond Mine 5-way area. Note pitted texture of the actively oxidizing pyrite.](image2)

![FIG. 4. Results of FeAsS oxidation experiments in which *Acidithiobacillus caldus* was added to reaction vessels and allowed either direct contact with the mineral, or was separated from the mineral with a 0.2 μm filter.](image3)
Microbiology

Biofilms, and subaqueous and water surface streamer occur in the 5way area (Fig. 5). Microscopic observations indicate variable numbers of micro-organisms associated with the pyritic sediment. No simple trend between cell numbers and depth of sample site within the sediment was noted.

Tables II and III list the Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, SO₄²⁻, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, As³⁺, Ca²⁺, Cd²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn³⁺, Na⁺, Pb²⁺, and SiO₂ concentrations at the sites where microbial samples were collected. Insufficient fluid was available for analysis at the A-drift slump in 2001, and only one sample was collected from the A-drift samples because they are separated by only a few meters of flow path.

Because of PCR bias, clone abundances cannot be used as a proxy for species abundance. Consequently, in-situ hybridization studies using probes designed to bind specifically to RNA of organisms detected via clone library analysis were conducted. Due to the high cell density of most samples, the probe-based studies are only semiquantitative. A representative image is shown in Fig. 6, which highlights the *Sulfolobus* cells in a biofilm. Results confirm earlier deductions that the number of distinct organism types (a type being a phylotype with generally >97% homology in the sequence of the 16S rRNA) is small.

Cultivation-based studies

After several months of incubation on selected intermediate sulfur compounds (thiosulfate, tetrathionate, and S⁰) no growth was detected. Enrichments containing yeast extract and TSB often resulted in growth. Dilutions of these cultures largely resulted in isolation of *Ferroplasma*. Some *Sulfolobus* isolates were obtained on yeast extract and FeSO₄. Figure 7 shows the phylogenetic placement of three isolates obtained on yeast extract as a carbon source media at 37 °C from the mine (SB6 and SB37) that are very closely related to previously characterized organisms (*S. thermosulfidooxidans* VKM and *S. disulfidooxidans*) and clones (see below, BW7). Attempts to grow isolate SB37 on S⁰ were unsuccessful.

Cultivation-independent molecular studies

As shown in Table IV, 232 clones from six libraries were obtained from spatially separate microenvironments sampled in January 19, 2001. No more than six distinct organism lineages were detected in any sample. Previous studies have also shown that AMD communities are characterized by a

| Sample name     | Date       | Total H | Fe²⁺ | Fe³⁺ | Fe⁰ | Eh (mV) | Cu | Zn | SO₄²⁻ |
|-----------------|------------|---------|------|------|-----|---------|----|----|-------|
| 99IM25-way      | May-99     | 45      | 0.71 | 740  | 344 | 31      | 375| 708| 6.2   |
| 00IM15-way      | June-00    | 36      | 0.60 | 761  | 159 | 23      | 182| 720| 3.2   |
| 01IM15-way      | January-01 | 29     | 0.84 | 558  | 64  | 165     | 229| 794| 2.0   |
| 02IM15-way      | March-02   | 42     | 0.83 | 587  | 297 | 20      | 317| 700| 4.0   |
| 99IM2A1         | May-99     | 38     | 0.85 | 566  | 360 | 27      | 387| 703| 6.4   |
| 00IM1A1         | June-00    | 38     | 0.60 | 688  | 107 | 9       | 115| 705| 3.1   |
| 01IM1A1         | January-01 | 30     | 0.89 | 441  | 81  | 177     | 258| 790| 2.1   |
| 02IM1A1         | March-02   | 42     | 0.83 | 579  | 269 | 50      | 319| 727| 4.1   |
| 99IM2B1         | May-99     | 38     | 0.52 | 1167 | 380 | 41      | 420| 713| 5.4   |
| 00IM1B1         | June-00    | 31     | 0.60 | 1000 | 209 | 61      | 271| 738| 3.5   |
| 01IM1B1         | January-01 | 27     | 0.75 | 715  | 55  | 257     | 312| 810| 1.4   |
| 02IM1B1         | March-02   | 47     | 0.78 | 582  | 261 | 13      | 274| 692| 2.2   |
| 99IM2C1         | May-99     | 48     | 0.76 | 805  | 372 | 30      | 403| 705| 6.0   |
| 00IM1C1         | June-00    | 44     | 0.60 | 858  | 170 | 25      | 196| 721| 3.3   |
| 01IM1C1         | January-01 | 32     | 0.85 | 503  | 47  | 195     | 243| 806| 2.0   |
| 02IM1C1         | March-02   | 50     | 0.76 | 800  | 281 | 7       | 288| 676| 4.7   |
| 02IMM B-back    | March-02   | 45     | 0.83 | 544  | 240 | 1       | 241| 638| 2.3   |
| 02IM1 C-back    | March-02   | 50     | 0.82 | 583  | 274 | 12      | 286| 689| 4.5   |
| 00IM1 A-slump   | June-00    | 32     | 1.10 | 190  | 26  | 21      | 46 | 764| 0.9   |
| 01IM1 A red pool| January-01 | 30     | 1.38 | 115  | 10  | 25      | 35 | 793| 0.3   |
| 02IMM A-back    | March-02   | 42     | 0.83 | 675  | 363 | 16      | 380| 690| 6.0   |
limited number of taxonomic groups.\textsuperscript{38} Clones belonging to *Nitrospira, Bacillales, Alphaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria,* and the archaeal “alphabet plasma” groups were identified and near complete 16S rRNA gene sequences were obtained (Fig. 7). Some of these lineages are closely related to sequences that were previously published from the Richmond mine\textsuperscript{37} and a forested wetland site (U.S. Dept. of Energy’s Savannah River Site) impacted by acid solutions derived from coal\textsuperscript{56} (see coal refuse clones in Fig. 7).

The novel *Deltaproteobacteria* were found solely in A-drift Slump and Red Pool samples. *Leptospirillum* group III was ubiquitous in the communities sampled in this study. *L. ferriphilum* (group II) clones were only found in the A-drift Slump sample. The result distinguishes the microbial communities present at these locations in January 2001 from those detected in previous work.\textsuperscript{5}

Clones significantly divergent from those previously identified (>93% 16S rRNA gene sequence identity) within the order *Thermoplasmatales* were sequenced from A-drift Slump and A-drift Slime Streamer samples. All of these clones fall within the A, B, C, and “Dplasma” subgroups (Fig. 7) as defined by Baker and Banfield.\textsuperscript{38} These “alphabet plasma” are restricted to the lowest pH environments (i.e., they were not found in the pH 1.4 A-drift Red Pool). Other novel lineage related to the division *Actinobacteria* were detected, including clone ASL8 which has 91% 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with that of a Rheims et al.\textsuperscript{57} clone TM214.

*Sulfobacillus acidophilus*-like clones were recovered from all but the A-drift Slump and Slime Streamers. FISH analyses confirmed their presence in several locations, including the A-, B-, C-drift Weir communities (Fig. 6). A lineage not previously associated with the Richmond Mine, closely related to *Sulfobacillus disulfidoxidans* SD-11, was detected in the clone library from the B-drift Weir sample. Furthermore, an isolate belonging to this group was obtained (SB37).

A new group of *Alphaproteobacteria* was identified in the A-drift Red Pool and A-drift Slump libraries. The *Alphaproteobacteria* are directly associated with the protists.\textsuperscript{52}

The 16S rRNA gene library from the more oxidized, pH 1.4, A-drift Red Pool is distinct in that it contains a high abundance (21 of 37 total) of *At. ferrooxidans* (Table IV). The Red Pool is also the only location where group I *Leptospirillum,* and *Acidobacteria* were detected. Fluorescent in-situ hybridization (FISH) analyses confirmed that *At. ferrooxidans* predominates and that *Acidobacteria* were present in low numbers in the Red Pool (data not shown). Finding *At. ferrooxidans* only in higher pH environments is consis-

---

**TABLE III.** Water chemistry of samples collected within the study site at the Richmond Mine 5-way area (at the 5-way, A, B, C weirs, and at the A-slump and red pool in 2001). Concentrations are reported in millimolar units, nd=not determined.

| Sample name       | Date     | Al  | As  | Ca  | Cd  | K   | Mg  | Mn  | Na  | Pb  | SiO$_2$ |
|-------------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| 99IM25-way        | May-99   | 54  | 1.6 | 5.9 | 0.14| nd  | 32  | 0.31| 7.8 | 0.017| nd      |
| 00IM15-way        | June-00  | 29  | 0.9 | 2.9 | 0.07| nd  | 17  | 0.15| 4.5 | 0.009| nd      |
| 01IM15-way        | January-01| nd  | 0.316| nd  | 0.067| nd  | nd  | nd  | 0.596| nd  | 0.2     |
| 02IM15-way        | March-02 | 64  | 2.0 | 8.1 | 0.11| nd  | 32  | 0.31| 11.8| 0.026| nd      |

---

**FIG. 6.** FISH analyses of *Sulfobacillus* rods in B-drift Weir biofilm on January 2001. Sul228 probe (Ref. 53) is shown in red and DAPI in blue.
Microscopic investigations of the A-drift Red Pool samples also revealed the presence of protists. This sample and others are the focus of current eukaryotic studies. The presence of protists was confirmed using oligonucleotide probe-based studies of Schrenk et al. Microscopic investigations of the A-drift Red Pool samples also revealed the presence of protists. This sample and others are the focus of current eukaryotic studies.

**Water chemistry**

Tabulated results of field and laboratory analyses of water samples collected from the Richmond Mine on 05/11/1999, 06/12/2000, 01/19/2001, and 03/12/2002 are presented.
TABLE IV. List of 16S rRNA gene sequences and their taxonomic affiliations (based on BLAST searches of NCBI GenBank database) from the January 19, 2001 sampling. n.i. = not included in phylogenetic analyses.

| Mine site          | Clones in Fig. 7                  | Closest match                      | Similarity | Classification                      | No. of clones |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| 01IM1-A drift “red pool” | n.i.                             | Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans     | 99%        | Gammaproteobacteria                 | 21            |
| BA33               | Bond clone BA29 (Leptospirillum group III) | 97%                                | Nitrospira | 7                                   |               |
| BA24               | Leptospirillum ferrophilum         | 97%                                | Nitrospira | 4                                   |               |
| BA39               | Leptospirillum ferrooxidans strain 49879 | 99%                                | Nitrospira | 3                                   |               |
| BA8                | Sulfolobus sp. Fra1, AF213055      | 94%                                | Bacillales | 3                                   |               |
| BA9, BA31, BA71    | Iron Mountain Bond clone BA71      | 99%                                | Deltaproteobacteria | 3               |
| BA2                | Uncultured eubacterium WD247, AJ292581 | 95%                                | Acidobacteria | 1               |
| A drift slump      | AS10                             | Uncultured coal refuse clone ARCP1-21 | 96%        | Bplasma, Thermoplasmales            | 6             |
| AS1, AS7           | Uncultured coal refuse clone ARCP1-21 | 93% and 95%                  | Cplasma, Thermoplasmales | 5               |
| AS4                | Uncultured coal refuse clone ARCP1-27 | 98%                                | Dplasma, Thermoplasmales | 4               |
| AS9                | Bond clone BA29 (Leptospirillum group III) | 98%                                | Nitrospira | 7                                   |               |
| AS6                | Iron Mountain Bond clone BA18      | 98%                                | Deltaproteobacterial | 3               |
| n.i.               | Iron Mountain Bond clone BA71      | 99%                                | Deltaproteobacterial | 1               |
| n.i.               | Leptospirillum ferrophilum         | 97%                                | Nitrospira | 2                                   |               |
| Several (Ref. 38)  | Ferroplasma acidarmanus           | >98%                               | Thermoplasmales | 1               |
| AS9                | Bond clone BA29 (Leptospirillum group III) | 98%                                | Nitrospira | 18                                  |               |
| ASL1, ASL32        | Uncultured coal refuse clone ARCP1-28 | 97% and 98%                   | Aplasma, Thermoplasmales | 8               |
| ASL8               | Rheims clone TM214                | 91%                                | Actinobacteria | 3               |
| ASL4               | Ferromicrobium acidophilum         | 98%                                | Actinobacteria | 1               |
| Baker et al. (Ref. 52) | Endosymbiont of Acanthamoeba sp. TUMK23 | 92%                                | Alphaproteobacteria | 2               |
| 01HMA1 (A drift weir) | Several (Ref. 38)               | Ferroplasma acidarmanus           | >98%       | Thermoplasmales                     | 14            |
| AW1, AW4           | Bond clone BA29 (Leptospirillum group III) | 98% and 99%                | Nitrospira | 13                                  |               |
| Baker et al. (Ref. 52) | Endosymbiont of Acanthamoeba sp. TUMK23 | 92%                                | Alphaproteobacteria | 2               |
| n.i.               | Sulfolobus sp. Fra1, AF213055      | 94%                                | Bacillales  | 1                                   |               |
| 01IM1B1 (B drift weir) | Several (Ref. 38)               | Ferroplasma acidarmanus           | >98%       | Thermoplasmales                     | 26            |
| n.i.               | Bond clone BA29 (Leptospirillum group III) | 98%                                | Nitrospira | 21                                  |               |
| n.i.               | Sulfolobus sp. Fra1, AF213055      | 94%                                | Bacillales  | 2                                   |               |
| BW7                | Sulfolobus disulfidans SD-11, U34974 | 99%                                | Bacillales  | 1                                   |               |
| 01IM1C1 (C drift weir) | Several (Ref. 38)               | Ferroplasma acidarmanus           | >98%       | Thermoplasmales                     | 18            |
| n.i.               | Bond clone BA29 (Leptospirillum group III) | 98%                                | Nitrospira | 18                                  |               |
| Several (Ref. 38)  | Ferroplasma acidarmanus           | >98%                               | Thermoplasmales | 13                                  |               |
| n.i.               | Sulfolobus sp. Fra1, AF213055      | 94%                                | Bacillales  | 5                                   |               |

in Tables II and III. No intermediate sulfur species (including aqueous sulfide, thiosulfate, polythionates, or elemental sulfur) were within detection limits in any of the samples.

Table V lists the Fe:S:H⁺ ratios calculated using the Geochemist’s Workbench for several samples at the mine. Total H⁺ was calculated using the GWB 3.1 program React, but the ability of the algorithms to accurately represent the H⁺ load is hampered by errors in the calculation of the activity coefficient for H⁺ (see discussion in methods section, above). Comparing speciation for calculations using the thermo.phrqitz database and the thermo database indicates that only a first-order determination of the total H⁺ in the solutions can be made.

**DISCUSSION**

**Microbial communities in the Richmond Mine**

The study sites located within the mountain are colonized by microbial communities sustained by iron and sulfur oxidation. The microorganisms derive all essential nutrients (phosphate and other ions), materials (CO₂, O₂, N₂), and energy from air, pyrite, and the acid mine drainage solutions. Although the mixture of organisms in individual biofilms varies, most communities are constructed from the same relatively limited set of organism types, many of which have cultivated representatives. Based on physiological measurements for isolates from the Richmond Mine (F. acidarmanus, and Baumler et al.; L. ferrophilum group II, Smriga, unpublished; Lo et al. unpublished; Sulfolobus spp. Baker et al., unpublished; At. caldus), published measurements for closely related species (Acidithiobacillus spp., Acidimicrobiurn spp., Ferromicrobiurn spp., L. ferrophilum spp., and inferences based on phylogenetic placement (Leptospirillum group III and the “alphabet plasma”), most of the prokaryotes contribute to AMD generation, either through regeneration of ferric iron oxidant or via metabolism of intermediate sulfur compounds.

We have documented changes in microbial community structure between locations within the mine, and over time. The structure of communities and levels of activity of members is certainly closely tied to geochemical factors, which vary with the seasons. For example, periodically high temperatures typically correspond with periods of high rainfall whereas high ionic strength correlates with low-flow periods. The community structure can also be shaped by non-
geochemical factors related to biomass concentration, including fungal growth, phase predation, and grazing by protists. These are in turn affected by temperature and solution concentration. In order to evaluate the coupling between the many processes that control AMD generation rates we examine the physical and chemical processes that are the foundation for the biogeochemical system.

The net effect of geochemical and microbial processes

The Richmond Mine AMD effluent is extremely acidic and metal rich because the hydrologic, microbiological, and geologic conditions favor rapid oxidation of large amounts of pyrite. The low pH (~0.5) of the AMD discharge was modeled by Alpers et al., who noted that approximately 2000 tons of pyrite is dissolved from the Richmond deposit per year (~1.6 × 10⁷ moles or a daily average flux of ~4.4 × 10⁴ moles/day). Using the flow data available at the mine (recorded on days of sampling at the Richmond Mine outflow pipe—collected primarily at the 5-way) and the measured iron concentrations for 5-way samples, the flux of metal coming out of the mine at the 5-way may be determined for that specific sampling period. For the four sampling points in our study, the flux at the 5-way is equivalent to dissolution of between approximately 1 × 10⁸ to 2 × 10⁸ moles FeS₂/day, in good agreement with the average values of Alpers et al. considering the significant variability of these data over seasonal scales.

Fe:S:H⁺ ratio

As noted above and in Eq. (6), the ratio of iron to sulfate to protons predicted for the complete oxidation of pyrite to sulfuric acid is 1:2:2. Using the chemical data in Tables III and IV (where total H⁺ was calculated with GWB as noted in the methods section), we calculated the Fe:S:H ratio at the study sites for each sampling trip. The Fe:S:H⁺ values in Table V have an error of approximately ±0.4 for the H⁺ value in the ratio for most samples. It is critical to again note that due to the difficulties of properly defining total H⁺ only a qualitative discussion of gross differences in this ratio between different sampling times and between different locales sampled at the same time is possible. As an example, comparing ratios of 1:2.1:2.0 with 1:2.5:2.0 would be inappropriate whereas comparing ratios of 1:2.1:2.0 with 1:4.1:4.2 would be appropriate given the error associated with the H⁺ calculations.

For most sites at most times the ratio Fe:S:H⁺ is close to 1:2:2. Deviation of this value (outside experimental and calculational error) from 1:2:2 may be due to

1. Incomplete oxidation of the pyritic sulfide (e.g., to α-S₈ or another sulfoxyanion less oxidized than SO₄²⁻),
2. Incomplete reoxidation of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺,
3. Net precipitation or dissolution of one of the many iron sulfate minerals found in the Richmond Mine,
4. Dissolution or precipitation of silicates, clays, other sulfate minerals,
(5) precipitation or dissolution of iron oxyhydroxide minerals, or
(6) mixing with another solution affected by (1)–(4).

Incomplete oxidation of pyritic sulfur (e.g., formation of elemental sulfur) can significantly change the H⁺ balance in solution [e.g., Eqs. (2) and (3)]. Large amounts of S₈ retained on surfaces may also affect the solution Fe₂:SH⁺ (most other intermediate sulfur species are quite soluble). Incomplete re-oxidation of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ (as the primary oxidant) increases the amount of H⁺ in the system [Eq. (5)], and would raise the H⁺ ratio by 1:2:2+x.

Sulfate mineral precipitation/dissolution may affect Fe, S, and/or H⁺ budgets, depending on which sulfate minerals are involved. There are a variety of sulfate minerals that have been observed in the study area (for a thorough review, see Jambor et al.⁵⁵) and each may affect overall solution chemistry very differently. For example, jarosite (KFe₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₆) precipitation will drive pH down, while rhomboclase precipitation ((H₂O)Fe(SO₄)₂·3H₂O) will drive pH up. Perturbation of the Fe:S ratio is more significant however, as sulfate minerals are either 1:1 ferrous salts or 1:x:1 mixed ferrous–ferric sulfate minerals (no combination approaches the 1:2 ratio of pyrite, as the charge of sulfate is twice that of pyritic sulfide).

Other minerals associated with the Balakla rhyolite and mineralization events are also dissolved, as evidenced by the amounts of soluble Al³⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and other ions in these samples. Rhyolite dissolution does affect the proton budget of the solutions; for example, the reaction of anorthite would consume 8 protons per mole, releasing 1 mole of Ca²⁺, 2 moles of Al³⁺, and 2 moles of SiO₂(aq). The significant amount of Al³⁺ in these waters may impact the Fe₂:SH⁺ ratio by consuming some of the H⁺ (up to approximately 200–250 mmolar, which can be up to ~30–50% of the total H⁺ in some samples).

Ferric oxyhydroxide precipitation/dissolution will affect the Fe₇ reservoir and pH. However, most solutions observed at Iron Mountain are at a pH well below that corresponding to ferric oxyhydroxide solubility, even with the very high levels of iron present. Only in the A-drift Red Pool (pH 1.4, Fe₂:SH⁺ ratio=1:4:1.3:3.3) and in higher pH environments outside the ore deposit was evidence for FeOOH accumulation noted (see below). The mine there has an overlying gossan layer, indicating remobilization of iron into oxyhydroxide phases in times before mining. The 5-way area differs from most AMD sites because sampling can occur before solutions have had a chance to neutralize and precipitate significant ferric oxyhydroxide minerals.

The observation that the predicted ratio of Fe₂:SH⁺ of the AMD solutions generally matches that expected based on the overall stoichiometry suggests that the reservoir of sulfate minerals within the mountain is relatively constant, i.e., oxidation of 2000 tons of pyrite each year is not generating a fast-growing sulfate mineral deposit. Sulfate mineral deposits are known to exist within the mine, but the mine also significantly floods on a seasonal basis, dissolving at least part of that away.⁸⁹ The Fe₂:SH⁺ ratio was significantly different in the 06/12/2000 sampling trip (closer to 1:4:4). Jarosite precipitation can sequester Fe and S at about a 1:1 ratio, and generate more H⁺ to maintain the 1:1 ratio between S and H⁺. Yellow materials identified through XRD analysis as jarosite, copiapite, chalcantite, and coquimbite were found in several places on the floor of the mine in 2000 (data not shown). The 2000 Fe₂:SH⁺ ratio likely reflects significant sulfate mineral precipitation and indicates that sulfate accumulation is heterogenous over time.

**Oxygen flux**

Overall Fe₂:SH⁺ flux out of the mine is ultimately determined by O₂ supply [Eq. (6)]. The requirement for 3.5 moles O₂ per liter of solution to dissolve pyrite and generate 1 M Fe₂⁺ solutions puts the oxygen demand in perspective [see Eq. (6)]. The solubility of O₂ at 3000 m elevation, 40 °C, in a 1 molal ionic strength solution is approximately 140 μM.⁶⁶ This means that over the course of fluid flow from recharge to discharge at the 5-way, every liter of water must be completely re-oxygenated about 7500 times.

Though the fact that Iron Mountain “breathes” is well established and necessary as part of the development of the AMD solutions at the site,²²⁵ we know little about the hydrogeology of the Richmond Mine. Certainly there are a number of deficient hydrogeologic domains. Solutions may move along fracture-controlled flow paths, open channels, percolate through the vadose zone in piles of sediment, or move via saturated subsurface flow. The time it takes for a fluid pulse following a rainfall event to be observed at the 5-way was estimated to range from hours to months (P. Ekonik, private communication) depending upon the saturation state of the cracks through the solid rock and the pores in the pyritic sediment covering the tunnel floors. However, the transit time for individual pulses may be quite variable and the upper limit is possibly on the scale of years to decades for paths through saturated sediment (D. Dodds, private communication).

Based on historical maps, a fluid path length in the hundreds of meters to several kilometers may be approximated. Using this range, we estimate that the solution must be re-oxygenized every 1–100 cm along its flow path. Movement of fluids along this path may be very irregular (fluids may sit in pools or pores for long periods of time prior to flushing or move through the deposit quickly), thus the rate and mechanism of reoxidation may vary considerably.

The mechanisms by which O₂ molecules are dissolved into the solution include (i) diffusion: Calculations using estimates of diffusion indicate O₂ penetration distances in the pyrite sediment in the range of mm to cm (the uncertainties are high); (ii) evaporation–condensation. At times of the year when temperatures are high, steam is associated with flowing AMD. Deeper in the mountain where temperatures exceed 50 °C, this may represent an effective water reoxygenation mechanism. Additionally, the rate of reoxygenation in any fluid is affected by the flow and turbulence of the fluid, therefore any calculation of diffusion rates would be a minimum (slowest) estimate of rate.

The shortest residence time for water in the mine is probably in the range of a day to a month; the upper limit may be years to decades. For a transit time of one day, re-
oxidation of the water along the flow path must occur on the order of once every 10 seconds in order to generate a 0.3 M FeSO₄ solution. If residence time is on the order of a month, the reoxidation must occur on the order of once every 5 minutes. Assuming a diffusion gradient of 140 μM/m (assuming the O₂ profile goes from saturated to anaerobic in 1 mm), and taking the diffusion coefficient of O₂ into water as \(2.1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}\), Fickian diffusion of O₂ into water would occur at approximately 3 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). To consider the timing of reoxidation another way, if a liter of water has a surface area of \(100^2 \text{ cm}^2\) (1 liter with geometry 100 cm \(\times\) 100 cm \(\times\) 1 mm depth), reoxidation of 140 μmol O₂ would require about 45 seconds. A liter of water with a surface area of \(10^2 \text{ cm}^2\) (1 liter with geometry 10 \(\times\) 10 \(\times\) 10 cm depth) would require over an hour to reoxidize 140 μmol O₂. Oxygen flux into the sediment may then be fast enough to support short residence times only if the gradient is extremely steep, which must then be supported by a process that maintains that steep gradient. Such a process is likely a microbial driven oxidation, though qualitative analysis of this is not possible due to the uncertainties involved with knowing cell numbers, diffusive flux, advective transport effects, and the rates of oxidation.

**Heat balance**

The temperature of the Richmond solutions at the 5-way typically ranges between 30 °C–50 °C (Table III). Deep within the mine temperatures higher than 56 °C are encountered. It has been suggested previously that the heat is generated as the result of exothermic pyrite oxidation. Using the average [Fe]\(_{\text{aq}}\) as 0.23 M, \(-350 \text{ kJ} (=83 \text{ calories})\) of heat is liberated per liter of solution due to dissolution of 0.23 moles of pyrite. Assuming the heat capacity for these waters is not significantly different from that of pure water and that all heat accumulates in solution, pyrite oxidation can easily account for the temperature of the AMD fluids.

There are several other processes which may contribute to the overall heat budget in the mine, and especially to temperature changes observed in the system associated with high rainfall and increased flow within the system. Assuming that it takes at least a year for the bulk of the fluid to move from the surface to the 5-way, the high temperatures at the 5-way soon after high rainfall (without a significant pH change) could simply be attributed to recharge-driven movement of fluids from hotter regions of the system.

If there are rapid fluid flow pathways that allow mixing between dilute rain water and more acidic solutions, heats of mixing may also be a source of thermal energy. Calculation of the heat generated by mixing of two different solutions may be estimated by

\[
H_{\text{mix}}^{(1,2\rightarrow 3)} = H(x^{(1)}) - [X^1 H(x^{(1)}) + X^2 H(x^{(2)})],
\]

where the enthalpy of mixing is derived from enthalpies of solutions 1 and 2 of some mole fraction \(x\) mixed at some mole fraction \(X\) of the total solution, 3 (after Zeleznik\(^{29}\)). Based on the mole fractions of H₂SO₄ for some of the extremely low pH solutions reported by Nordstrom et al.\(^{25}\) and higher pH solutions more typically found in other AMD areas, the heat from mixing for several hypothetical scenarios can be calculated. A significant amount of very low pH solution mixing with higher pH solution (50% pH \(-3 +50%\) pH 2) would be required to even approach the 20 calories per liter needed to raise the solution to observed temperatures. This level of mixing decreases the resulting solution pH more than that observed for the majority of water flowing out of the mine. An alternative scenario might involve mixing of circumneutral rainwater (transported rapidly from the surface without significant reaction or mixing) with films of very low pH pyrite sediment pore solution. In this scenario, 5% pH \(-3\) solution +95% pH 7 solution results in a solution pH of approximately 0.5, but only contributes 1.7 cal to the solution (i.e., enough to raise 1 liter of water 1.7 °C). Therefore, it is assumed that heat from mixing of different solutions at the Richmond Mine is not a significant contributor to the elevated temperatures.

A temperature pulse may also be induced when rainfall which flushes standing pools of oxidized water into adjacent fine-grained pyrite sediment. These pools may be analogous to the red pool (this study) or to evaporative pools, some of which contain 300 mM Fe\(^{3+}\). Flushing of previously stagnant large pools of Fe\(^{3+}\)-rich solutions could contribute large amounts of heat to the system through localized, increased amounts of pyrite oxidation. This process may explain the significant temperature differences observed at different times within the Richmond Mine site.

Significant evaporation that occurs within the mine may be a heat sink. At 40 °C, it requires 10.36 calories to evaporate 1 mole of pure H₂O. However, as the volume of air in the mine is not well constrained and because we have no idea of the rate of evaporation–condensation in these systems, it is impossible to evaluate the role this process plays on the heat budget within the mine.

**Pathways for pyrite oxidation and implications for microbial metabolism**

The microbial community structure is impacted by the pathways for pyrite oxidation, as these determine the number and type of substrates for growth. Microbes that utilize Fe\(^{2+}\) as a substrate depend on inorganic and biological reactions that reduce Fe\(^{3+}\) (by reaction with sulfur or organic compounds) to close the cycle. The reactivity of different intermediate sulfur species with Fe\(^{3+}\) will impact the rate at which Fe\(^{2+}\) is regenerated for microbial use as a substrate.

The mechanism of pyrite oxidation has been intensively studied over a range of conditions utilizing a wide array of techniques and theory. Figure 8 illustrates several potential pathways through which pyritic sulfide may oxidize to sulfate. We will examine sulfur oxidation at low pH and consider the potential roles that microorganisms may play. For reference, Table VI (and Fig. 9) presents inferences about metabolisms for each species/group of organisms detected at the Richmond Mine site.

Several studies have observed the formation of polysulfides (see Fig. 8) on the pyrite surface. Hu suggested that polysulfide is a precursor to elemental sulfur formation on pyrite surfaces exposed to ferric iron at low pH. Elemental sulfur has been shown to form in many pyrite oxidation
experiments in variable amounts.\textsuperscript{12–14} It has also been shown that the surface-normalized rate of elemental sulfur oxidation under low pH conditions is up to several orders of magnitude slower than the rate of pyrite oxidation.\textsuperscript{72} Thus, elemental sulfur should be available for microbial utilization.

There is no evidence for the accumulation of elemental sulfur on pyrite surfaces at the Richmond Mine.\textsuperscript{7} \textit{L. ferrooxidans} groups I and II, which comprise the majority of the community, have not been shown to utilize any S species (Table VI). The \textit{Sulfobacillus} spp. isolates utilize a variety of sulfur compounds as well as organic compounds and other substrates. Although there is evidence for the existence of \textit{Sulfobacillus} spp. in biofilms within AMD solutions and at the solution–air interface, FISH work on sediments did not find significant \textit{Sulfobacillus} populations.\textsuperscript{5} \textit{At. caldus} has been isolated from the site (although not commonly detected in clone library-based studies). \textit{At. caldus} can oxidize elemental sulfur without contacting the mineral, suggesting that a shuttle mechanism is important in elemental sulfur oxidation. Electron shuttles refer to small, redox-active organic molecules capable of carrying electrons between reduced and oxidized species as a general mechanism by which microbes may derive energy for cell growth and/or maintenance.\textsuperscript{73} Druschel\textsuperscript{72} reviews the criteria for potential electron shuttles in this system. Ongoing work is targeted toward identifying and characterizing potential shuttles.

Oxidation of pyrite through the leaving group thiosulfate (A in Fig. 8) is one of the most widely accepted models for the oxidation of pyrite, and is based on experimental evidence\textsuperscript{18,21} coupled with a molecular orbital theory description.\textsuperscript{17} Subsequent work on the stability of thiosulfate in low-pH solutions similar to those generated during the course of pyrite oxidation indicated thiosulfate decomposition through separate pathways:\textsuperscript{15,22,74}

\begin{align}
4 \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} + 4 \text{H}^+ &\rightarrow \text{S}_8 + 4 \text{HSO}_3^- \\
2 \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} + 2 \text{Fe}^{3+} &\rightarrow \text{FeS}_2\text{O}_3^+ + \text{FeS}_2\text{O}_3^+ \rightarrow \text{S}_4\text{O}_6^{2-} + 2 \text{Fe}^{2+}, \\
2 \text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-} + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + 2 \text{H}^+ &\rightarrow \text{S}_4\text{O}_6^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(pyrite-catalyzed)}.
\end{align}

\textbf{FIG. 8.} Diagram representing some of the major potential pathways of pyrite oxidation at low pH.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Organism & Metabolic niche in Fig. 9 & Number & Autotrophy & OrgC/O_2 & Fe^{2+}/O_2 & S^-/O_2 & S_{Oyn}/Fe^{3+} & OrgC/Fe^{3+} \\
\hline
Alphabet plasma & many & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. \\
Ferroplasma acidarmanus & 1, 2 & many & yes & yes & YES & yes & yes & n.a. \\
Acidimicrobium & 1, 2 & few & yes & yes & yes & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. \\
Ferromicrobium & 1 & few & n.a. & yes & yes & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. \\
Sulfobacillus & 1, 2, 4, 7, 8 & few & yes & yes & yes & YES & YES & yes \\
Leptospirillum ferrooxidans & 2, 3 & few & yes & no & yes & no & no & no \\
Leptospirillum ferriphilum & 2, 3 & many & yes & no & yes & no & no & no \\
Leptospirillum group III & many & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. & n.a. \\
Fungi, protists & 5, 6 & many & no & YES & no & no & no & no \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Microbial metabolisms prevalent in micro-organisms described in the Richmond Mine and their relative abundances defined by FISH and clone library results.}
\end{table}

\textbf{FIG. 9.} Schematic diagram of microbial metabolisms prevalent at the Richmond Mine study site.
Kinetic modeling of the fate of thiosulfate in solutions analogous to those found at the Richmond Mine indicates that any thiosulfate formed in this environment would oxidize to tetraitonate almost immediately. The fate of polythionates in solution was studied in detail by Druschel et al. Results illustrated that the kinetics of polythionate oxidation coupled to Fe$^{3+}$ or O$_2$ reduction are slow under acidic conditions. The lack of any observed polythionate species at the Richmond Mine suggests that either pyrite does not oxidize through this pathway, or that microorganisms are present which are able to quickly oxidize the species. Results from the clone libraries (Table IV) and culturing results do not clearly indicate a significant population of microorganisms that directly utilizes intermediate sulfur species. However, it is possible that Ferroplasma spp., the “alphabet plasma,” and/or Leptospirillum group III can couple intermediate sulfur oxidation to reduction of alternate electron acceptors in microaerophilic environments. Based on results for Ferroplasma strain MT17, F. acidarmanus may oxidize intermediate sulfur compounds. Okibe et al. suggest that Ferroplasma strains MT16 and MT17 are able to utilize tetrathionate as an electron acceptor due to the observation of decreased tetrathionate concentrations after a significant lag phase. However, the growth of one Ferroplasma strain in that study ceased at the same time the polythionate concentration decreased and the strains found in the Richmond mine have not demonstrated the ability to utilize intermediate sulfur species as substrate.

The crux of the pyrite oxidation model, where thiosulfate detaches from the surface (Fig. 8), is based on the relative bond strengths of the S–S and Fe–S bonds. The comparisons have been made based on bulk values and general observations concerning electron density shifts as a result of oxidation. The strengths of these bonds would certainly be affected by both oxidation processes (bonds affected by loss of e- and a decrease in symmetry) and as a result of being at the surface (symmetry decrease). Electron redistribution as a result of these interactions will affect the relative strength of the Fe–S and S–S bonds, which will determine whether S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$ will detach as a free ion. Experimental observations of the force required to remove S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$ from a surface, and the lack of significant observed S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$ or S$_2$O$_5^{2-}$ at low pH; suggest that the Fe–S bond is stronger than the S–S bond at low pH. Borda et al. have detected thiosulfate-like surface-bound groups on actively oxidizing pyrite, but found no evidence that the species detaches and oxidizes to sulfate in solution. Thus, it seems likely that although the molecular orbital argument of Luther may be correct in large part, the fate of the S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$-like surface group (Fe–S–S–O$_3$) may not result in appreciable free S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$ in low-pH conditions. Limited (or no) S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$ in solution suggests fundamental changes at this step in the oxidation pathway of pyrite as a function of pH. Consequently, microbial utilization of thiosulfate may be affected by whether it is surface bound or released to solution. If thiosulfate remains bound to the pyrite surface (e.g., as Fe–S–S–O$_3$), then either cells must attach or some other step is required to liberate thiosulfate into solution.

Another possible pathway for pyrite oxidation involves the formation of intermediate oxygen radical species (Fig. 8). Hydroxyl radicals (OH*) form at the pyrite surface due to dissociation of water (present either as a free species in solution or as part of the hydration sphere of iron) following reaction with a hole (vacancy of an e- in a filled energy level; the hole is filled by the electron lost from water). The hole may be created by an oxidation reaction (in solution or in air), a defect, or by a dopant in the mineral. Natural pyrite may include significant impurities, and examples of both n- and p-type pyrite (p-type pyrite has extra holes) are abundant in natural deposits.

Oxidation of pyrite by OH* generates sulfoxyl species in which the oxygen is derived from water (consistent with above mentioned isotopic results):

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + Fe^{3+} \rightarrow \text{FeS}_2 + h^+ + Fe^{2+},
\]

\[
H_2O + h^+ \rightarrow \text{OH}^* + H^+, \quad (11)
\]

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + \text{OH}^* \rightarrow \text{FeS}_2O^- + H^+, \quad (13)
\]

where $h^+$ is a hole site in the pyrite. In this pathway, oxidation of intermediate sulfur species is rate-limited by the formation of OH*. The formation of OH* is due to intrinsic defects and/or p-type dopants is a sulfur oxidation pathway that is independent of oxygen supply.

Most intermediate S species will react with hydroxyl radicals very quickly, with the notable exception of elemental sulfur. The abiotic rate of oxidation of many intermediate sulfur species with OH* is on the scale of microseconds or faster. Thus, the hydroxyl radical pathway in environmental systems may out-compete micro-organisms for the potential energy available from intermediate sulfur species, possibly explaining the apparent scarcity of these organisms within the Richmond Mine system. Generation of additional hydroxyl radicals may be associated with wetting of oxidized surfaces. This source of OH* may also affect the distribution of intermediate sulfur species in the mine during times of flooding after dry periods.

As seen in Table I, the source of oxygen in sulfate formed from pyrite-derived sulfide is H$_2$O for all cathodic reactions except where O$_2$ is the primary oxidant. Isotopic analyses indicate that the source of most of the oxygen in sulfate derived from pyrite oxidation under laboratory and natural conditions is H$_2$O and not O$_2$. However, at low pH sulfite—water isotopic exchange is thought to be very fast and any record of direct O$_2$ oxidation on previous intermediate sulfur species formed in the course of pyrite oxidation could be erased and is thus not a good indicator of reaction pathways at Iron Mountain.

**Toxic metals**

Arsenic, Cu, or Zn in the water-transported pyrite accumulations (sediment) in the tunnels may exist as separate mineral grains, small inclusions of discrete minerals within pyrite grains, or as local enrichments. Additionally, these elements are probably heterogeneously distributed in the ore body and may be more abundant in recently exposed ore than in the more weathered sediments.
Table VII. Correlation table of selected ions in the 5-way, A, B, and C drifts over the sampling times in Tables II and III. A value of 1.00 is the maximum correlation, and the sign indicates positive or inverse correlation.

| T (C) | pH | Total H⁺ | Fe²⁺ | Fe³⁺ | FeT | Eh | Cu | Zn | SO₄²⁻ | Al | As | Ca | Cd | Mg | Mn | Na |
|-------|----|----------|------|------|-----|----|----|----|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| -0.02 | -0.86 | 0.11 | 0.41 | -0.71 | 0.28 | 0.25 | -0.07 | 0.39 | -0.68 | 0.68 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.54 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.94 | 0.45 | 0.79 |

Galvanic interactions between sulfide minerals lead to rapid loss of arsenopyrite, chalcopyrite and sphalerite. This galvanic coupling would protect the pyrite cathode while selective anodic dissolution of the other sulfides proceeds. Localized As, Cu, and Zn impurities revealed by EMP analysis will change the pyrite reactivity by affecting its local electronic structure. Selective oxidation of inclusions or enrichment areas may lead to localized pitting, such as observed in Fig. 3, and influence patterns of microbial attachment. The concentrations of toxic metals such as As, Cu, Cd, Hg, and Ag can also influence microbial community structure because different species and strains may have different metal tolerances.

Spatial and temporal variability

Geochemical data from the sampling sites at each weir (A-, B-, and C-drifts) and the 5-way area on four sampling dates are shown in Table II and Table III and the correlation matrix is represented in Table VII. This table lists the Pearson correlation coefficients for each variable vs every other statistically significant variable. Strong correlation of temperature with Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Eh illustrates that the heat of pyrite oxidation controls temperature. Strong correlation of H⁺ with SO₄²⁻ illustrates the dependence of proton generation on the oxidation of sulfur species in the pyrite-oxidation pathway. Interestingly, Cu correlates with Fe²⁺, while Zn does not, suggesting different factors control their concentrations. This correlation may indicate release by galvanic reaction, or it could be caused by melanterite dissolution, as the melanterite in this mine is known to contain significant Cu and Zn.

Over time, Eh varies in the drifts in the same manner (Table II). This suggests that perturbations due to seasonal changes affect the entire system consistently, and implies that similar processes are occurring in all drifts and associated stopes.

Anomalously high Eh was observed in 2001. The high rainfall one week prior to the sampling trip may have caused flushing of sulfuric acid-rich films formed on pyrite surfaces and of pools created during dry months, dissolution of sulfate minerals, and introduction of O₂. In time, Fe³⁺ consumption due to pyrite oxidation returned the Eh value to that determined by the balance between O₂ diffusion, surface oxidation reactions, and microbial activity.

The ~0.14 moles/L excess Fe³⁺ observed in solution in January 2001 compared to prior sampling times requires supply of an additional 3.5×10⁻² moles O₂/L. Even if the entire volume of solution was new rainwater (with 2.5×10⁻⁴ moles O₂/L) there is a more than two orders-of-magnitude deficit in O₂ supply. In fact, in order to provide the 3.5×10⁻² moles O₂/L it is necessary to reoxygenate the solution over 200 times, given the solubility of O₂ in AMD with 2.5 moles O₂/L it is necessary to reoxygenate the solution over 200 times, given the solubility of O₂ in AMD of <1.40×10⁻⁴ M. Thus, rainfall alone cannot explain the high Fe³⁺ compared to other sampling times. Other factors that could raise the Eh of the system include alteration of the ferrous/ferric iron ratio due to changes in the rate of advection through the system or in the activity level of Fe-oxidizing prokaryotes.

Geochemical factors controlling microbial community structure

Iron-oxidizing microorganisms are abundant in all communities studied (Bond et al. and this study). Aerobic iron-oxidizing microorganisms form conspicuous biofilms (often dominated by Leptospirillum spp.) at the sediment- or solution–air interface. Activity within these biofilms generates solutions in which the aqueous ferric iron concentrations are typically several of orders magnitude greater than oxygen concentrations. These solutions percolate into sediments where they induce anaerobic oxidation of pyrite and associated intermediate sulfur compounds. This supply of Fe³⁺ is important for organisms that couple oxidation of S compounds (or organic carbon) to reduction of Fe³⁺ (Fig. 9).
decoupling of oxygen diffusion from oxidation reactions suggests that oxygen-saturated pyrite sediments are probably populated by microbial communities that are distinct from those growing in aerobic or microaerophilic regions.

Some differences in microbial population composition are observed among the different sampling locations for the January 19, 2001 trip (Table IV). Microbially dominated communities within the more oxidized A-drift Red Pool and at the A-drift Slump are associated with higher pH solutions with generally lower metal and sulfate concentrations (up to 5–10 times lower, see Tables II and III). The geochemical and microbial characteristics of the Red Pool are most distinctive. The A-drift Red Pool contains the only populations of At. ferrooxidans, L. ferrooxidans, and Acidobacteria, consistent with the known pH and temperature optima for these bacteria.\textsuperscript{39,60,86} The A-drift Slump area contains significant populations of “alphabet plasma” (Table IV). These are also present in the A-drift Slime Streamers and the Slump (Table V). As the “alphabet plasma” clones are significantly divergent (>7%) from characterized Thermoplasma spp. isolates (Fig. 7), which are thermophilic facultative anaerobes,\textsuperscript{57} it is not possible to deduce their metabolic roles based on phylogenetic position. Factors that may contribute to proliferation of “alphabet plasma” in A-drift Slump slimes are the lower temperatures, higher pH, and high abundance of biofilm polymers. Phylogenetically related Thermoplasma have been reported from a wetland impacted by coal refuse with a pH and temperature similar to that of the A-drift Slump.\textsuperscript{56}

The clone libraries and FISH results for all sites in the B- and C-drifts are similar, as expected based on general similarities in geochemistry and temperature. Populations are dominated by Ferroplasma acidarmanus and Leptospirillum (group III) microorganisms. The predominance of Leptospirillum group III in January 2001 distinguishes these communities from those previously documented at these sites\textsuperscript{2} and Tyson et al.\textsuperscript{88}). The most likely geochemical control is the unusually high Fe\textsuperscript{3+}:Fe\textsuperscript{2+} in solutions in January 2001, which may imply that the redox potential for electron transport chain enzymes involved in iron oxidation differs between the Leptospirillum groups.

It has been noted previously that microbial populations at the site vary substantially in response to seasonal rainfall, which correlates with changes in ionic strength and temperature. Generally, archaeal populations predominate during the drier months when pH and ionic strength are higher, and bacterial and eukaryotic populations are more significant in wetter months.\textsuperscript{29,89} The relatively low abundance of archaeal populations in January 2001 is consistent with this conclusion.

CONCLUSIONS

Rainfall, primarily occurring early in the year, introduces a relatively small amount of oxygen that is rapidly consumed by reaction with pyrite within the mine workings and by microorganisms that couple its reduction to oxidation of ferrous iron. The evolving AMD solution must be reoxidized thousands of times along its flow path in order for it to acquire the metal and sulfur load observed as it exits the system. Oxygen diffusion into solution is promoted by microbial respiration. A key role for iron-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in AMD generation is acceleration of the otherwise slow Fe-oxidation reaction (which is particularly slow at low pH), which promotes pyrite dissolution and leads to rapid accumulation of Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}, and H\textsuperscript{+} along the flow path. Exothermic pyrite oxidation is the predominant source of heat. However, flushing of very oxidized solutions (formed over the dry summer and fall months) into pyrite sediments may contribute to the heat spike following high rainfall. The ratio of Fe:S:H\textsuperscript{+} indicates no consistent accumulation of sulfate minerals, elemental sulfur, or other sulfoxy compounds over extended periods of time. However, seasonal variations may be attributed to sulfate mineral accumulation and dissolution, as noted previously.\textsuperscript{9} These results indicate that sulfate minerals in the mine precipitate and dissolve over seasonal time frames, but averaged over time, the effluent generally reflects complete pyrite oxidation.

Microbial communities contain a relatively small number of distinct taxa, as discussed previously.\textsuperscript{37,38} Cultivation-independent surveys of microbial communities revealed a few newly recognized lines of descent. Much of the novelty lies within the Thermoplasmales order and Actinobacteria, detected primarily within the A-drift subaerial habitats. Only in higher pH, lower metal and sulfate concentration environments were groups such as Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans, Acidobacteria sp., and Leptospirillum ferrooxidans found. The apparent predominance of Fe-oxidizing organisms suggests that abiotic pathways of sulfur oxidation are rapid due to the high flux of ferric iron and possibly due to formation of oxygen radicals. However, further metabolic characterization of as yet uncultivated “alphabet plasma” and Leptospirillum group III species is required in order to test for their ability to oxidize intermediate sulfur species.

At the Richmond Mine, the dominance of iron-oxidizing microorganisms (Ferroplasma acidarmanus and Leptospirillum spp.) ensures a continual supply of ferric iron, making it likely that all steps along the oxidation pathway of pyrite to SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} involve the oxidation of intermediate sulfur species by ferric iron. The kinetics of thiosulfate and tetrathionate oxidation at these conditions,\textsuperscript{22,74–76} suggest that the pathway of pyrite oxidation does not significantly progress through the detachment of thiosulfate in low-pH environments. Bioavailability of elemental sulfur as an intermediate sulfur species is possible even if the mechanisms of pyrite oxidation include generation of oxygen radicals because elemental sulfur is not readily dissolved via radical pathways tested to date. Some subset of intermediate products (such as elemental sulfur) are resistant to reaction with Fe\textsuperscript{3+} and is open to utilization by Sulfolobus spp. and Acidithiobacillus caldus, either through direct contact or through an enzymatic shuttling process that allows the organisms to keep some distance away from the pyrite surfaces. This speculation requires further analysis.
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