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ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate visceral leishmaniasis (VL) deaths and risk factors in two VL endemic areas of Bangladesh.

Design: Retrospective cross-sectional.

Setting: Two geographically and culturally different VL endemic subdistricts, Godagari in the district of Rajshahi and Trishal in the district of Mymensingh in Bangladesh, August 2009–December 2011.

Participants: 51 094 inhabitants from randomly selected Unions in the two subdistricts.

Main outcome measures: VL deaths, confirmed independently by qualified physicians using the verbal autopsy procedure ICD10 guideline.

Results: The total number of people screened for VL deaths was 51 094 from 12 032 households from Godagari and Trishal subdistricts. About 16% of the people from Godagari were Tribals. The average age of the study population was 25.6 years (SD 18.4) and 49.7% were females. The VL case fatality rate averaged 6.12% (12/196) including 2/137 in Trishal and 10/59 in Godagari. Most of the VL deaths (9/12, 75%) occurred at home and the rest in tertiary hospitals. None of these deaths had been reported in the national VL surveillance system. The VL case fatality rate in the Tribal ethnic (22.2%) population was about 17 times higher than that in the Bangali ethnic (1.3%) population (p<0.0001). Tribal ethnicity had an 18 times (OR=18.1, 95% CI 3.6 to 90.6) higher risk for VL deaths compared with Bangali ethnicity (p<0.0001).

Conclusion: VL deaths were found to be high in the study areas and were under-reported. The Tribal ethnic population was at the highest risk for VL deaths. The national VL Elimination Programme should give special attention to the tribal community in the endemic areas, especially for those in Rajshahi, and should strengthen VL surveillance by including tertiary hospitals in the national surveillance system.

INTRODUCTION

Leishmaniasis is one of the most important vector-borne diseases with an estimated 1.98 million disability-adjusted life years and 20 000–40 000 deaths per annum.1 2 Recent empirical estimates found the annual incidence of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) and cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) to be 0.2–0.4 and 0.7–1.2 million cases, respectively.3 Ninety per cent of the global burden of VL occurred in India, Bangladesh, Sudan, South Sudan, Brazil and Ethiopia.4 In the Indian subcontinent, the estimated population at risk for VL, annual VL incidence and VL death are 200 million, 25 000 to 40 000 cases and 200 to 300 deaths respectively.5 For many years, there have been a substantial number of VL cases that have never been reported due to the lack of proper surveillance systems which contributes to the neglect of this disease.

In Bangladesh, VL re-emerged in the early 1980s, and since then more than 90 000 cases have been documented.4 5 Since 1996, the reported VL incidence in Bangladesh has ranged from 7000 to 9000 cases per year, but this is likely to be underestimated.6 After adjusting the substantial under-reporting factor, the recent estimated VL incidence in Bangladesh ranges from 12 400 to 24 900 cases per year.1 The disease is prevalent in 45 districts of Bangladesh; however, most of the cases are reported from the Mymensingh district.4 5 The female Phlebotomus argentipes sandfly is the only vector; humans are the only reservoir and Leishmania donovani is the only species responsible for VL in the Indian subcontinent, including Bangladesh.3 5
disease is fatal if not treated. Even with treatment, the mortality rate is high (10%). In 2005, a national VL Elimination Programme has been launched jointly by the Health Ministries from Bangladesh, India and Nepal to eliminate VL by 2015. In Bangladesh, the goal of the elimination programme is to reduce VL cases below 1 per 10 000 people in the VL endemic subdistricts (known as Upazila). The strategies for VL elimination include active detection of VL and post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL) cases, adequate treatment of VL and PKDL cases, interruption of disease transmission through integrated vector management, and social mobilisation. Since 2006, several operational research activities have been conducted by government and non-government organisations to support the national elimination programme in Bangladesh. These programmes revealed that the passive case detection (existing surveillance system) is highly underestimated in VL cases in Bangladesh. In 2008, a study conducted in the Rajshahi district showed that the incidence of VL was 27 times higher than the elimination target. It also found that the knowledge of the community people about VL and its vector was poor and their health seeking behaviour was also unsatisfactory.

Similar to VL case reporting, it can be assumed that the mortality rate of VL is also highly underestimated in Bangladesh. Until now, there is no well-designed study to measure VL mortality in highly VL endemic areas of Bangladesh. According to the Directorate General of Health Services, the reported annual number of VL deaths varied from 6 to 36 during 1999–2009. This appears to be highly underestimated because a community-based study in a small endemic area reported a high VL mortality rate (about 10% in 2003). The possible reason for the high underestimation of VL deaths might be a shortfall in the passive reporting system which does not include tertiary hospitals under VL case surveillance. VL patients who are poor and living in remote communities far from healthcare facilities often die at home without seeking treatment or prefer traditional healers for their healthcare and do not report to the public health facilities. Underestimation of deaths contributes to VL remaining a major neglected tropical disease. Thus, there is a need to investigate the magnitude of VL deaths and its risk factors through a well-designed study and by using adequate tools for investigation of death. Culturally, autopsy is not well accepted in Bangladesh. Verbal autopsy (VA) could be the alternative since it is the best available approach for assessing causes of death in the communities where most deaths occur at home. Until now, no study has been conducted to investigate VL deaths in the Indian subcontinent including Bangladesh after the start of the VL Elimination Programme. We have therefore undertaken this study to fill this knowledge gap in Bangladesh. The objective of this study is to estimate the VL mortality and risk factors in two geographically and culturally different and highly VL endemic areas of Bangladesh.

METHODS

Study sites and population

The study was carried out in the two different VL endemic areas of Bangladesh, the Godagari subdistrict in the district of Rajshahi and the Trishal subdistrict in the Mymensingh district. Both Trishal and Godagari are highly endemic for VL. The study activities were performed from July to December 2011. Mymensingh district reports more than 60% of the total VL cases in the country. Trishal is one of the five most VL endemic subdistricts in Mymensingh and is located at 24.579272°N 90.3950388°E. Trishal consists of 12 unions and 160 villages and all the unions are endemic for VL. Godagari is one of the highest VL endemic subdistricts in Rajshahi, which is located at 24.4667°N 88.3306°E with 9 unions and 396 villages and has a significant tribal population. Godagari was included in the study to investigate VL deaths among the tribal population and to compare them with those of the Bangali ethnic population. Based on our past experiences, we assumed that the tribal population was at higher risk for VL deaths.

Sample size estimation

It was reported that the VL case fatality rate (CFR) was about 10% in 2003 in VL endemic areas in Mymensingh district. We assumed a significant reduction of CFR along with the reduction of VL incidence as a benefit of the VL Elimination Programme in Bangladesh. We therefore calculated the sample size assuming an average of 6.5% CFR with ±3.0% precision and 95% CI. A total of 191 VL cases were needed to obtain a 6.5% CFR in the study areas. To obtain a minimum of 191 past VL cases in the past 2 years, the survey required a total of 47 750 people (considering an annual VL incidence of 20/10 000). The study areas included a population of 51 094 (24 934 from Trishal and 26 160 from Godagari).

Study design and sampling

The study design was retrospective cross-sectional. As mentioned above, we selected Trishal and Godagari subdistricts and one endemic union from each subdistrict was taken randomly (figure 1). The trained field research assistants (FRAs) visited each household, made household rosters and collected sociodemographic information from the head of the household. During their visit, FRAs also identified households with past cases of VL, any death and suspected VL deaths within the past 2 years. To minimise recall bias, the FRAs used probing with different events (mainly religious festivals) that occurred during the past 2 years from the date of the interview. We defined a suspected VL death as a death with a history of VL treatment or a death with an illness associated with two or more weeks of fever, plus at least one of the following: weight loss, enlarged abdomen, abdominal pain and/or skin darkening. Then the VA method was applied to confirm the suspected VL death (please see below). A household with a VL death.
confirmed by VA was defined as a VL death household. The household with a history of VL but no deaths in the past 2 years was defined as a VL cured household (Figure 1). Household heads from both VL death and VL cured households were interviewed by the FRAs with a structured questionnaire for collection of information about the households’ socioeconomic status, knowledge about VL and its vector, and for information about the treatment seeking behaviour used. At the same time, the coordinates (longitude and latitude) of all the households in the study area were recorded using eTrex Venture Garmin single handheld GPS receivers.

**VA at suspected VL death household**

We developed an interview questionnaire for VA followed by an ICD 10 VA guideline and the questionnaire was reviewed by a VL expert. We also translated the questionnaire into the local language, and it has been further reviewed, revised and approved by the icddr,b Ethical Review Committee. Briefly, the tool assessed the identity of the deceased and established the sequences of the events leading to death including signs and symptoms of the illness before death. It is recommended that VA should be performed within 3 months to 2 years to minimise recall bias. After identifying the household with a suspected VL death, a VL expert physician visited the household immediately with an FRA and conducted the VA. Respondents for the interview were household heads/close relatives. Studies with VA showed that close relatives rarely refuse to give information and in some situations the response rates were 100%. A second VL expert physician reviewed each VA questionnaire independently. In case of disagreement between the first and the second physician, the VA questionnaires were reviewed by a third expert physician and his verdict was final.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were entered and stored in a well-checked data entry program developed by EPI Info software (Centers
for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA). Data were cleaned and checked for duplicates. We explored the descriptive statistics to consider the nature of data. We calculated the CFR and compared the rates between different study sites (Godagari vs Trishal) and ethnic groups (Tribal vs Bangali) with the Z-test. We dichotomised socioeconomic, knowledge about VL, and health seeking behaviour variables for bivariate analysis. Variables which showed significant ($p \leq 0.05$) association with VL death in the bivariate analysis (Pearson’s $\chi^2$ and Fisher exact tests, where applicable) were included in the logistic regression model. The final model was obtained by a backward selection strategy recommended by Kleinbaum et al. The statistical software SPSS V.13.0 for windows (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used to carry out all the analyses. We constructed the study area map with spatial distribution of households in the study areas by using ArcView GIS V.3.2 (ArcGIS, ESRI, Redlands, California, USA).

RESULTS
Sociodemographic characteristics of the study population
A total of 51,094 people (12,032 households) were studied where 26,160 (65,66 households) came from the Rishikul Union in the subdistrict of Godagari in the district of Rajshahi and the rest of the people from the Sakhua Union in the subdistrict of Trishal in the Mymensingh district. The average age of the study population was 25.6 years (SD 18.4) and 49.7% were females (table 1). About 16% (n=4,243) of the population was tribal in Godagari, whereas all the people are non-tribal (table 1). The level of knowledge about VL was, however, higher in Trishal (0.5%, 137/24,934) compared with Godagari (0.2%, 59/26,160). Trained FRAs suspected a total of 25 VL deaths and VL expert physicians confirmed VL deaths in 12/25 by VA. The VL deaths in two areas averaged 6.12% (12/196) and accounted for 3.14% (12/382) of the total deaths in the study areas. The VL CFR differed significantly from Godagari than Trishal and was 17% (10/59) and 1.5% (2/137), respectively ($p<0.0001$)

TABLE 1 Sociodemographic characteristics and history of VL and death in the study population

|                          | Trishal, Mymensingh | Godagari, Rajshahi | Total       |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Total population         | 24,934              | 26,160             | 51,094      |
| Total household          | 5,466               | 6,566              | 12,032      |
| Mean age (SD)            | 24.53 (18.91)       | 26.70 (17.92)      | 25.65 (18.44)|
| Age ratio (years)        |                     |                    |             |
| <17                      | 10,868 (43.6)       | 9,309 (35.6)       | 20,177 (39.5)|
| 17–45                    | 10,091 (40.5)       | 12,895 (49.3)      | 22,986 (45.0)|
| >45                      | 3,975 (15.9)        | 3,956 (15.1)       | 7,931 (15.5)|
| Male population          | 12,958 (52.0)       | 13,267 (50.7)      | 26,225 (51.3)|
| Ethnicity                |                     |                    |             |
| Bangali                  | 24,934 (100.0)      | 21,917 (83.8)      | 46,851 (91.7)|
| Tribal                   | 00 (0.0)            | 4,243 (16.2)       | 4,243 (8.3) |
| Past h/o VL in the past 2 years | 137 (0.5) | 59 (0.2) | 196 (0.4) |
| Total deaths reported by respondent in the past 2 years | 208 | 174 | 382 |
| Total suspected VL deaths in the past 2 years | 3 | 22 | 25 |
| Total VL deaths in the past 2 years confirmed by VA | 2 | 10 | 12 |

TABLE 1 Sociodemographic characteristics and history of VL and death in the study population

TXL-associated mortality based on VA

During the period August 2009–December 2011, VL affected 0.4% (196/51,094) of the people in the study areas. The proportion of the VL affected population was about 17 times higher than the non-tribal/Bangali ethnic (1.3%) population ($p<0.0001$). Among the 12 confirmed VL deaths, 50% (6/12) were children aged below 17 years and 75% (9/12) were male. Most of the deaths occurred in tribal ethnic populations, which accounted for 83.3% (10/12) of total VL deaths. About 33.3% (4/12) of all confirmed deaths did not receive treatment during their illness that led to death. Tuberculosis as an associated illness was found in 8.3% (1/12) of the deceased. The majority of the deaths occurred at home and the rest were in the tertiary hospital (table 3). While checking the existing VL surveillance data, none of these 12 VL deaths were found. The spatial distribution of households with VL and VL deaths during August 2009–December 2011 is shown in figure 2.

Factors associated with VL death

To identify factors associated with VL death households, we compared household-related information between 11 VL death households and 154 VL cured households. Bivariate association showed that households with a VL death differ significantly between Tribal-ethnic and Bangali-ethnic groups ($p<0.0001$). The VL death households were socioeconomically poorer than VL cured households as daily labour household head, low monthly household income, and precarious housing structures were significantly more in VL death households. (table 4). The level of knowledge about VL was, however,
almost the same between the two groups (table 4). Although the general health seeking behaviour of VL death and VL cured households was the same (p=0.431), their VL health seeking behaviour differed significantly (p=0.013; table 4). About 92.2% (142/154) of VL cured households visited qualified doctors when members of the family had VL, whereas only 63.6% (7/11) of VL death households visited qualified doctors for the same.

The logistic regression model showed that ethnicity was the only independent risk factor for VL death. The adjusted model showed that households of Tribal ethnicity were at 18 (OR=18.1, 95% CI 3.6 to 90.6) times higher risk of having a VL death than households of Bangali ethnicity (p<0.0001) (table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study is the first to estimate the actual mortality of VL in Bangladesh through VA, and the major findings of the study are as follows: VL mortality in the endemic community remains high, the tribal population is at higher risk for VL deaths and there is under-reporting of VL deaths by the existing VL surveillance system. The findings of our study are very important for the National VL Elimination Programme, because it may help the programme to make better plan to further reduce VL related mortality.

**Comparison with other studies**

It is alarming that the VL CFR is very high in the Tribal community in Rajshahi; it was found to be about 22%. The probable cause of the high VL CFR in that community could be their poor health seeking behaviours as they rarely visit government hospitals due to cultural beliefs and prefer traditional healers. As a result, VL patients in that community remain untreated and die at home. We found that about 33% confirmed that VL deaths were not treated before dying and that all those untreated belonged to the Tribal community in Rajshahi. A previous study conducted on the same community also identified a lack of access to proper healthcare, including high delay in VL diagnosis and treatment. Although Mymensingh is highly endemic for VL, the CFR of VL patients living in Mymensingh (all are of Bangali ethnicity) was not as high as that of those in Rajshahi district. Additionally, we found low VL mortality in Mymensingh as compared with the reported VL mortality in 2003. The lower VL mortality in Mymensingh can be explained by the more focused attention paid to this highly endemic area by the national VL Elimination Programme of the country.

The hospital-based VL CFR is substantially underestimated in the Indian subcontinent, including Bangladesh. In Bangladesh, the existing hospital based surveillance system is mainly based on the passive reporting system. There is no active follow-up of VL patients who had been treated in the hospital. As a result, the patients who died in the community/home are missed.
by the existing surveillance systems. Until recently, the tertiary hospitals did not report VL cases to the national programme. Even very recently, only two tertiary hospitals started reporting VL cases to the national surveillance system. Our study results complied with these facts. Forty-two per cent (5/12) of VL deaths occurred at home, although they had been treated in the hospitals. Twenty-five per cent (3/12) died in the tertiary hospitals and the rest 33% (4/12) died at home without treatment. It is surprising that none of these 12 VL deaths were reported by the VL national programme even when the deaths occurred in the tertiary hospital.

| Table 3 Characteristics of VL deceased confirmed by verbal autopsy |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Male             | Female           | Overall         |
|                                 | N=9              | N=3              | N=12            |
| Age group (years)               |                  |                  |                 |
| <17                             | 4 (44.4)         | 2 (66.7)         | 6 (50.0)        |
| 17–45                           | 5 (55.6)         | 1 (33.3)         | 6 (50.0)        |
| >45                             | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)         |
| Ethnicity                       |                  |                  |                 |
| Tribal                          | 8 (88.9)         | 2 (66.7)         | 10 (83.3)       |
| Bangali                         | 1 (11.1)         | 1 (33.3)         | 2 (16.7)        |
| Received treatment before death | 5 (55.6)         | 3 (100.0)        | 8 (66.7)        |
| Having TB co-infection          | 0 (0.0)          | 1 (33.3)         | 1 (8.3)         |
| Place of death                  |                  |                  |                 |
| Home                            | 7 (77.8)         | 2 (66.7)         | 9 (75.0)        |
| Hospital (tertiary)             | 2 (22.2)         | 1 (33.3)         | 3 (25.0)        |

TB, tuberculosis; VL, visceral leishmaniasis.

Figure 2 Study area map including spatial distribution of households, households with visceral leishmaniasis (VL) and VL deaths during August 2009–December 2011 (HH, household).
Thus, VL deaths are highly under-reported. Therefore, there is an urgent need for improvement of the existing surveillance system, implementation of active surveillance of VL patients under treatment and inclusion of the tertiary hospitals (especially those in the VL endemic areas) under a routine VL case reporting mechanism.

Another important finding of the study is that about 8% of the VL deceased had tuberculosis, which indicates the existence of VL-tuberculosis coinfection in VL endemic areas of Bangladesh. The evidence of VL associated with tuberculosis has been reported in several VL endemic countries, including India. However, this type of data has not been previously reported from Bangladesh.

Although there are previous reports on clinical risk factors for VL death, so far our study is the first where household-level risk factors were explored. Households with VL deaths were found to be socioeconomically poorer than those without VL deaths. Moreover, poorer VL health seeking behaviour was observed in households with VL deaths. Tribal ethnicity was the only independent risk factor for VL death in the adjusted regression model. This is because the tribal ethnic populations are comparatively poorer, less educated and less aware about healthcare compared with the Bangali ethnic population. The VL Elimination Programme should therefore take the necessary steps to improve the VL health seeking behaviour of the tribal population, especially those in the Rajshahi VL endemic areas, to minimise their risk from VL death.

### Table 4
VL death and VL cured households and the bivariate analysis for socioeconomic, VL knowledge and health seeking behaviour-related information

|                   | VL death HH |                  | VL cured HH |                  | p Value |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
|                   | N=11 HH n (%) |                  | N=154 HH n (%) |                  |         |
| Study site        |             |                  |             |                  |         |
| Mymensingh        | 2 (18.2)    |                  | 115 (74.7)  |                  | <0.0001 |
| Rajshahi          | 9 (81.8)    |                  | 39 (25.3)   |                  |         |
| Socioeconomic status |          |                  |             |                  |         |
| Illiterate HH head| 6 (54.5)    |                  | 74 (48.1)   |                  | 0.677   |
| Daily labour HH head| 10 (90.9)   |                  | 64 (41.6)   |                  | 0.003   |
| Income about <US$ 100 | 11 (100.0)  |                  | 101 (65.6)  |                  | 0.017   |
| Precarious house | 10 (90.9)   |                  | 83 (53.9)   |                  | 0.024   |
| Living room with <2 | 7 (63.6)    |                  | 67 (43.5)   |                  | 0.223   |
| Family size with <5 members | 2 (18.2) |                  | 57 (37.0)   |                  | 0.331   |
| Having bed-nets | 11 (100.0)  |                  | 152 (98.7)  |                  | 1.00    |
| Having domestic animals | 10 (90.9) |                  | 130 (84.4)  |                  | 1.00    |
| Knowledge about VL |          |                  |             |                  |         |
| Symptoms | 11 (100.0) |                  | 136 (88.3)  |                  | 0.611   |
| Transmitted by mosquito/sandfly | 6 (54.5) |                  | 71 (46.1)   |                  | 0.588   |
| The disease is curable | 11 (100.0) |                  | 147 (95.5)  |                  | 1.000   |
| Health seeking behaviours |          |                  |             |                  |         |
| Visit qualified doctor when get any sick | 1 (9.1) |                  | 7 (4.5)     |                  | 0.431   |
| Visit qualified doctor when get VL | 7 (63.6) |                  | 142 (92.2)  |                  | 0.013   |
| Ethnicity |             |                  |             |                  |         |
| Bangali | 2 (18.2) |                  | 127 (82.5)  |                  | <0.0001 |
| Tribal   | 9 (81.8)    |                  | 27 (17.5)   |                  |         |

HH, household; VL, visceral leishmaniasis.

### Table 5
Independent risk factor on visceral leishmaniasis death (OR adjust using stepwise logistic regression)

| Variable                        | VL death HH | VL cured HH | OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|---------|
| Ethnicity                       |             |             |             |                      |         |
| Tribal                          | 9           | 27          | 21.2 (4.3 to 103.5) | 18.1 (3.6 to 90.6) | <0.0001 |
| Bangali                         | 2           | 127         | 1.00        | 1.00                 |         |
| VL health seeking behaviour     |             |             |             |                      |         |
| Unqualified doctor              | 4           | 12          | 6.8 (1.7 to 26.4) | 4.3 (1.00 to 20.5)  | 0.063   |
| Qualified doctor                | 7           | 142         | 1.00        | 1.00                 |         |

*Adjusted by study site, household head occupation, income, housing structure.
VL, visceral leishmaniasis; HH, household.

### Table 6
Independent risk factor on visceral leishmaniasis death (OR adjust using stepwise logistic regression)

| Variable                        | VL death HH | VL cured HH | OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|---------|
| Ethnicity                       |             |             |             |                      |         |
| Tribal                          | 9           | 27          | 21.2 (4.3 to 103.5) | 18.1 (3.6 to 90.6) | <0.0001 |
| Bangali                         | 2           | 127         | 1.00        | 1.00                 |         |
| VL health seeking behaviour     |             |             |             |                      |         |
| Unqualified doctor              | 4           | 12          | 6.8 (1.7 to 26.4) | 4.3 (1.00 to 20.5)  | 0.063   |
| Qualified doctor                | 7           | 142         | 1.00        | 1.00                 |         |

*Adjusted by study site, household head occupation, income, housing structure.
higher risk for VL death. A substantial number of VL patients never visit hospital for proper healthcare and die at home. The national VL Elimination Programme should give special attention to the tribal community in the VL endemic areas of Bangladesh and the existing surveillance systems must be improved by integrating active case detection as well as the active follow-up of the treated patients.
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