INTRODUCTION

Frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) is the third most common form in patients with dementia. There are three subtypes of FTLD: behavioral variant FTD, semantic variant primary progressive aphasia, and non-fluent agrammatic primary progressive aphasia (naPPA); each account for 55%, 20%, and 25% of all FTLD cases. Among them, naPPA is characterized by non-fluent speech disorder, which is preceded with a grammatical error rather than other cognitive disorders. It is mostly known to be associated with taupathy including corticobasal degeneration (CBD). The main clinical symptoms of CBD are asymmetrical rigidity, apraxia, cortical dysfunction (alien limb phenomenon, cortical sensory loss, myoclonus), and basal nucleus dysfunction (limb dystonia, bradykinesia, tremor). These symptoms are often manifested in patients with naPPA. Here, we report a case who visited us with language impairment, and was diagnosed as naPPA with CBD.

CASE REPORT

A 58-year-old female visited the Cognitive Disorders and Dementia clinic in March 2016, with a 10-month history of gradually progressive language disturbance. The initial symptoms appeared 4 years ago, when the patient started talking gibberish during conversations at work, without any perception. These events caused some trouble with her colleagues, and lead her to quit the job. Recently, 10 months ago, her family found she accidentally said wrong but similar words in the middle of conversations. The disease was gradually worsened. From 6 months ago, she also began complaining of having trouble in reading. In recent a few months, her speech and behavior had begun to slow. In addition, memory deterioration was observed since the past 4 months, and she intermittently cried alone. Two months prior to the visit, she could speak only one or two words, but was unable to construct complex sentences. She spoke less than before, and almost didn't
talk. She was taking anti-hypertensive drugs without having any specific family history. She had no past history of smoking and alcoholism.

Neurological examination during the first visit revealed right upper and lower limbs bradykinesia, mild rigidity in both sides of upper limbs, and ideomotor apraxia on both sides. The arm swing was reduced during walking. There were no symptoms of rigidity, myoclonus, alien hand, or cortical sensory loss. There were no abnormalities in eye movement and postural instability. Fluency of speaking was very poor, and she frequently answered “Don't know” to complex instructions. Her repetition was impaired to some long sentence.

For overall evaluation of patient's cognitive impairment, neuropsychological assessment and Korean version of Western aphasia battery was carried out on the second day of hospitalization. She had 6 years of education and was right-handed. She achieved 7 point in the Korean version of Mini-Mental State Examination. In most of the tests such as Backward digit span, Seoul verbal learning test, Rey complex figure test (RCFT) copy, RCFT recall, controlled oral word association test (COW- AT), and Stroop test, she was unable to understand the instructions, or got low grades (Table 1). In the results of the K-WAB, the fluency score was 14/20 (61 percentile), and slow, effortful and hesitant speech were accompanied with agrammatism and intermittent phonemic paraphasia. The comprehension score was 128/200 (56 percentile). The score was relatively lower than the fluency score, but she had comprehension disabilities about grammatically complex instructions during the command performance test and the Yes/No test, while performed well in simple instructions. The Naming score

| Cognitive domain            | Neuropsychological tests          | Results (2016.4) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Attention                   | Forward digit span                | 4 (9.58 percentile) |
|                             | Backward digit span               | 0 (<0.01 percentile) |
| Language & related function | Spontaneous speech                | Non-fluent |
|                             | Auditory comprehension            | Abnormal |
|                             | Repetition                        | 8 (<5 percentile) |
|                             | Naming (K-BNT)                    | 27/60 (0.06 percentile) |
|                             | Reading                           | Abnormal |
|                             | Writing                           | Abnormal |
|                             | Calculation                       | 0 (<5 percentile) |
|                             | Finger naming                     | Abnormal |
|                             | Right-left disorientation         | Abnormal |
|                             | Body part identification          | Abnormal |
|                             | Praxis                            | 0 (<5 percentile) |
| Visuospatial function       | RCFT copy score                   | 0 (<0.01 percentile) |
| Memory                      | SVLT immediate recall             | 2 (<0.01 percentile) |
|                             | SVLT delayed recall               | 0 (0.15 percentile) |
|                             | SVLT recognition                  | 66.67 (0.42 percentile) |
|                             | RCFT immediate recall             | 0 (1.50 percentile) |
|                             | RCFT delayed recall               | 0 (0.96 percentile) |
|                             | RCFT recognition                  | 54.17 (0.02 percentile) |
| Frontal/executive function  | Motor impersistence               | Normal |
|                             | Contrasting program               | 1 (<5 percentile) |
|                             | Go-No-Go test                     | 1 (<5 percentile) |
|                             | Fist-edge-palm                    | Abnormal |
|                             | Alternating square and triangle    | Perseveration |
|                             | Lulia loop                        | Deformed |
|                             | Semantic word fluency             | 1 (<0.01 percentile) |
|                             | Phonemic word fluency             | 0 (0.56 percentile) |
|                             | Stroop test: word reading         | 4.80 (<0.01 percentile) |
|                             | Stroop test: color reading        | Uncheckable |

K-BNT: Korean version of Boston Naming Test, RCFT: Rey Complex Figure Test, SVLT: Seoul verbal learning test.
was 54/100 (66 percentile) and there was limited response at the COWAT, sentence completion, and sentence response. The repetition score was 44/100 (36 percentile).

Brain MRI showed left parieto-temporal cortical atrophy (Fig. 1A). F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography showed diffuse hypometabolism in the left fronto-parieto-temporo-limbic lobes, and in the left striatum & thalamus (Fig. 1B). In addition, the F-18 FP-CIT PET showed a decrease of uptake in the left striatum. Subsequent evaluation by F-18 florbetaben PET revealed brain amyloid plaque load score 1 (negative finding) (Fig. 2), and it confirmed the beta amyloid deposition, which represents Alzheimer’s disease (AD)-related pathology was not combined.

**DISCUSSION**

naPPA is a progressive neurodegenerative condition characterized by slow, effortful, and non-fluent speech in the early stage. Patients show symptoms of reduced words per minutes, grammatical simplification, and errors in grammatical comprehension and expression. Mutism is observed when the symptoms are more progressed. Left perisylvian area (inferior frontal and anterior-superior temporal) atrophy are observed in structural neuroimaging studies, and hypometabolism/hy-
poperfusion is observed in the region using functional neuroimaging studies. Several other pathologies can result in naPPA, thus confirming the underlying pathology is one of the most important issues in the assessment of patients with naPPA. Most naPPA patients are related to FTLD-tau pathology, but up to 30% of patients with naPPA may have underlying AD pathology, and proper evaluation is required. naPPA is mainly associated with taupathy, and since overlapping clinical features are observed in CBD or progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), there is a recent hypothesis that naPPA, CBD and PSP are considered as a different point in a single disease spectrum of tauopathy.

CBD is characterized by the following clinical symptoms: asymmetrical parkinsonism, alien limb, cortical sensory loss, apraxia, and myoclonus. Parkinsonian signs such as asymmetrical rigidity (57%) and clumsiness of hands (48%) are common signs in CBD patients as the presenting symptoms. However, the presentation of CBD could be expressed as a language disturbance in 40% patients, particularly naPPA is not uncommon. This is an overlapping syndrome of tau spectrum disorders.

In the present case, language impairment was the first symptom, and fluency was the most prominent symptom. Based on these clinical manifestations, diagnosis of naPPA was reasonable. In addition, once the disease gradually worsened, symptoms of right upper and lower limbs bradykinesia and apraxia were observed with other cognitive disorders. From the above mentioned conditions, the diagnosis of possible CBD was reasonable, according to the Armstrong criteria. In addition, F-18 flortetaben PET identified that there was no significant fibrillar amyloid beta depositions, thus naPPA and CBD by taupathy was the final diagnosis.

In cases of patient with the language impairment and diagnosed as naPPA, it is necessary to observe whether there are other accompanying neurological symptoms such as parkinsonism, and to consider the possible overlapping syndrome of tau spectrum disorders. To estimate the underlying pathology, additional work-up can be helpful for the proper diagnosis and planning of future treatment.
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