Molecular Evidence for Lessepsian Invasion of Soritids (Larger Symbiont Bearing Benthic Foraminifera)

Gily Merkado¹°, Maria Holzmann², Laure Apothe´loz-Perret-Gentil², Jan Pawlowski², Uri Abdu¹, Ahuva Almogi-Labin³, Orit Hyams-Kaphzan³, Anna Bakhrat¹, Sigal Abramovich¹*

1 Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel, 2 Department of Genetics and Evolution, University of Geneva, Genève, Switzerland, 3 Geological Survey of Israel, Jerusalem, Israel

Abstract

The Mediterranean Sea is considered as one of the hotspots of marine bioinvasions, largely due to the influx of tropical species migrating through the Suez Canal, so-called Lessepsian migrants. Several cases of Lessepsian migration have been documented recently, however, little is known about the ecological characteristics of the migrating species and their aptitude to colonize the new areas. This study focused on Red Sea soritids, larger symbiont-bearing benthic foraminifera (LBF) that are indicative of tropical and subtropical environments and were recently found in the Israeli coast of the Eastern Mediterranean. We combined molecular phylogenetic analyses of soritids and their algal symbionts as well as network analysis of Sorites orbiculus Forskål to compare populations from the Gulf of Elat (northern Red Sea) and from a known hotspot in Shikmona (northern Israel) that consists of a single population of S. orbiculus. Our phylogenetic analyses show that all specimens found in Shikmona are genetically identical to a population of S. orbiculus living on a similar shallow water pebbles habitat in the Gulf of Elat. Our analyses also show that the symbionts found in Shikmona and Elat soritids belong to the Symbiodinium clade F5, which is common in the Red Sea and also present in the Indian Ocean and Caribbean Sea. Our study therefore provides the first genetic and ecological evidences that indicate that modern population of soritids found on the Mediterranean coast of Israel is probably Lessepsian, and is less likely the descendant of a native ancient Mediterranean species.
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Introduction: The Lessepsian Invasion

In the last few decades, we have been witnessing a dramatic and rapid change in the composition of the marine biota of the eastern Mediterranean due to invasion of alien species [1–3]. The majority of the invasive species are tropical, of Indo-Pacific origin, which migrated from the Red Sea to the Mediterranean through the Suez Canal. These organisms, called Lessepsian migrants constitute a growing component of the biodiversity in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. There are three main factors that promote this Lessepsian invasion phenomenon. First, the opening of the Suez Canal in 1869 that created an artificial connection between the Mediterranean and the Indo-Pacific realm via the Red Sea (Figure 1). Second the ongoing warming of sea surface temperatures in the last decades [4,5]. This process enables tropical species to survive, mostly in the Eastern Mediterranean basin, with a potential to spread also to the western basin with the continuation of the warming trend. Third, the damming of the Nile River in 1965 by the high Aswan Dam in Egypt. The dam has blocked nutrients from discharging into the Eastern Mediterranean and created hypoor oligotrophic conditions there, suitable for many tropical species [6–8]. Until now more than 500 alien species have been reported, and many more are being discovered each year, making the Mediterranean a hotspot of marine bioinvasions [1,3,9]. The ecological, environmental and economic aspects of this phenomenon have received a great scientific interest in past few years (see discussion in [10]; www.ciem.org/atlas).

The Lessepsian invaders have a significant impact on the species composition and the ecosystem in the receiving environment. Studies have shown that the invasive population can outcompete the indigenous population, and become dominant in a certain habitat (see examples in [11]). Other studies showed that the appearance of a new species might change the foraging patterns of certain species, due to the introduction of new prey [10,12]. Introduction of new species to the Mediterranean, such as the jellyfish Rhopilema nomadica had a major impact on the fisheries, tourism and coastal installations [11]. Among the Lessepsian invaders, are larger symbiont-bearing benthic foraminiferal species (LBF) that often serve as sensitive marine bioindicators of ecological stress [13,14]. LBF defines a group with shell size generally larger than 2 mm in diameter that are typical to warm and shallow oligotrophic tropical and subtropical oceans [13,15]. Species of this group
are known to respond to rising temperatures over a certain level in a similar way as corals, by losing their endosymbionts in a phenomenon known as bleaching [16,17]. The tempo and scale of their invasion from the Red Sea into the Eastern Mediterranean provides a unique opportunity to evaluate the processes and mechanism that promotes tropical invasion of coral reef associated organisms. In this study, we used Red Sea soritids (LBF) that have recently invaded the Eastern Mediterranean as a model system for unique characterization of the ongoing Lessepsian invasion. Soritids are larger benthic miliolids that inhabit recent tropical and subtropical oligotrophic water, and are characterized by a large porcelaneous discoidal test and dinoflagellate symbionts belonging to the *Symbiodinium* species complex. In this study, we combined molecular phylogenetic analysis of the soritids and their algal symbionts to compare their populations from the Gulf of Elat, northern Red Sea and from the Mediterranean locality off Shikmona. Our data provide the first evidence on the source of the living populations of soritids in the Eastern Mediterranean coast.

**Methods**

**The Study Area**

*The Eastern Mediterranean.* The Eastern Mediterranean is defined by its extreme oligotrophy and higher salinity and temperature values: Summer sea surface temperatures (SST) reach a tropical value of 30°C with salinity as high as 39.7 [18,19]. Over the past 44 years, an increase of >2°C has been recorded in the Eastern Mediterranean, most of which occurred since the 80’s. The rate of warming in the Mediterranean Sea, based on satellite data from 1990–2006, is 0.067°C per year, more than double the global forecasts [4,20].

Soritids, namely *Sorites orbiculus* were once common in the Tethyan Mediterranean and were found in cores from Ashqelon (Southern Israel), in sediments from marine isotopic stage 9 and 8, 320-250 ka BP [21,22]. This species was also found in Holocene cores (2 ka BP) taken from the inner harbor in Caesarea (Northern Israel) [23]. Recent soritids have been reported from various sites in the Mediterranean and have been considered as aliens [3,8,24,25]. Living specimens of soritids are now found throughout

**Figure 1.** The two study localities in the Gulf of Elat, northern Red Sea represent different environments: Halophila stipulacea seagrass meadows at Tur Yam, and the shallow water environment with pebbles in front of the IUI station. In the Mediterranean, living specimens were studied from a known hotspot of soritids in Shikmona, Haifa. The longshore current dictates the anticlockwise distribution of the Lessepsian invaders.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077725.g001
the rocky environments in the region and forming a known hotspot in Shikmona (northern Israel, N32 49.450 E034 57.273), which was selected as the target area of this study. At this location specimens were collected from pebbles from abrasion platforms, at a water depth of ~20 cm (Figures 1–2).

The Gulf of Elat. The Gulf of Elat is a morpho-tectonic branch of the Red Sea, which is a part of the Syrian African rift system. It is situated in the desert between the Sinai and the Arabian peninsulas, an area characterized by high evaporation rates due to high temperatures and dry air. There are minor seasonal fluctuations in the water temperature (20.5–27.4°C) and salinity (40.3–41.6). Dissolved oxygen values are close to 100% for the entire water column, and the gulf’s water is considered to be oligotrophic [26].

Soritids are abundantly found in the Gulf of Elat, represented by three morphospecies: Sorites orbiculus Forskål, Sorites variabilis Lacroix, and Amphisorus hemprichii Ehrenberg, genetically assigned to three phylotypes with some additional branching of S. orbiculus [27]. They occupy various habitats including seagrass and macroalgae on rocks up to 35 meters depth [26,28]. Specimens collected for this study were taken from two stations that represent different habitats of soritids in the Gulf (Figures 1 and 2); 1. Tur Yam characterized by Halophila stipulacea seagrass meadow, south of the port of Elat (N29 31.042 E034 55.590), at a water depth of 5 meters. 2. The Interuniversity Institute of Marine Sciences in Elat (IUI) characterized by pebbles at water depths of 5 meters and less than 2 meters (N29 30.653 E034 55.454).

Specimen Collection

Living specimens of the soritids were collected from various depths along the Israeli Mediterranean coast and the Gulf of Elat, under the official approval of Israel Nature and Parks Authority (Figure 1, Table 1). The morphological distinction of the species followed the taxonomical conception of [29]. Specimens were collected alive with the substrate they lived on (seagrass or pebbles, Figure 2). In the laboratory, each specimen was examined under the binocular in order to see the algal symbionts and the pseudopods emerging from the thickened peristomal-rimmed apertures at the peripheral margin, confirming that the specimen is indeed alive. The living foraminifera were cleaned of food remains, sand and algae by a delicate brush and photographed with a digital microscope color camera (Leica, DFC290HD).

We also reexamined the morphology of dead soritids specimens that were collected from recent sediments along the Israeli Mediterranean shelf in previous studies [6,22,30] in order to...
estimate whether a morphological variation can be detected between recent and fossil soritids (Table 1).

DNA Extraction, Amplification and Sequencing

The DNA was extracted from single specimens using guanidine buffer as described in [31]. Part of the test was kept for the morphological documentation using a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). For each genetic type, multiple specimens were sequenced.

A fragment of the SSU rDNA (~800 bp) was amplified by semi nested PCR in two overlapping fragments. For the first PCR 1 μl of the purified DNA was used in a total volume of 50 μl, with primers s14F3-NewB (Table 2). Thermal cycles used were: 30 seconds at 98°C, 5 seconds at 98°C, 20 seconds at 60°C and 30 seconds at 72°C. This cycle was repeated 35 times. The last cycle was followed by 5 minutes at 72°C for final elongation. For the second PCR, 1 μl of the first PCR product was used in a volume of 50 μl with primers s14F1-NewB (Table 2) and the same thermal cycles as the first PCR.

The amplified products were purified from gel and ligated into a pJET1.2 Plasmid using clone JET PCR cloning kit. For each specimen at least two clones were sequenced in order to look for variability within the specimen.

DNA amplification and reamplification of symbionts followed the same protocol as used for the PCR of soritid rRNA genes, primers are given in Table 2. A fragment of about 1100 bp comprising 5.8S rDNA, ITS2 and partial LSU rDNA was obtained for dinoflagellate symbionts of different host extractions (Table 3). The amplified PCR products were purified using High Pure PCR Purification Kit (Roche Diagnostics), cloned with the TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions and transformed into competent Escherichia coli. Up to three Symbiodinium clones were sequenced for each host specimen. Sequencing reactions were performed using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) and analyzed on a 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The new sequences reported in this paper were deposited in the EMBL/GenBank database and their accession numbers are listed in Tables 3 and 4.

Sequence Analyses

The soritids sequences were automatically aligned with soritids sequences from NCBI GenBank (published by [27]) using Seaview 4.3.3. [32]. Phylogenetic analysis was based on the maximum likelihood (ML) method using PhyML3.0 program [33] under the GTR+G model based on MEGA5 [34]. The Bayesian analysis was performed with MrBayes 3.1.2 [33]. The tree was rooted on Parasorites Douville the closest sister group to Soritinae [27] (Figure 3). The analysis consisted of four simultaneous chains that were run for 1,100,000 generations, and 4400 trees were sampled, 1100 of which were discarded as burn-in. Posterior probabilities at all nodes were estimated for the remaining trees.

Median joining network was drawn using Network software (www.fluxus-engineering.com) according to [36]. The analysis was based on all mutations (indels and substitutions) of S. orbiculus (Figure 4). In the first run all mutations were equally

Table 1. Sampling locations, depths, habitats and abundances of live and dead Soritids that were found in the Mediterranean and Gulf of Elat.

| Station/Sampling year | Coordinates | Water Depth (m) | Habitat |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| Live specimens        |             |                |         |
| Gulf of Elat          |             |                |         |
| Tur Yam (2011–2012)   | N29 31.04 E34 55.59 | 5 | Halophila stipulacea sea grass |
| IUI (2011–2012)       | N29 30.65 E34 55.45 | 2 | Pebbles and rocks |
| IUI (2011–2012)       | N29 30.65 E34 55.45 | 5 | Pebbles and rocks |
| Mediterranean         |             |                |         |
| Shikmona (2011–2012)  | N32 49.45 E34 57.27 | 0.2 | Pebbles and rocks, abrasion platform |
| Recently dead         |             |                |         |
| Mediterranean         |             |                |         |
| Akhziv (1999)         | N33 04.15 E35 06.49 | 3–30 | Carbonate rich-substrate (rocky or sandy) with macroalgae |
| Dado, Haifa (1996)    | N32 47.03 E34 55.52 | 25 | Sandy |
| Dor (1998)            | N32 36 30 E34 54 17 | 15 | Sandy |
| Herzeliyya (1996)     | N32 08 09 E34 46 26 | 9 | Sandy |
| Shikmona (2003–2004)  | N32 49.34 E34 57.15 | 1–1.5 | Macroalgae: Cystoseira sp. |
| Fossil record         |             |                |         |
| Mediterranean         |             |                |         |
| Ashqelon (2000)       | N31 63.10 E34 50.77 | 69.5–84.5 m bsl | Carbonate rich-substrate (rocky or sandy) with macroalgae |
| Ashqelon (2000)       | N31 62.53 E34 49.36 | 83.4–90.4 m bsl | Carbonate rich-substrate (rocky or sandy) with macroalgae |
| Caesarea (1994)       | N32 30.06 E34 53.28 | +0.86 to −2.29 m bsl | Sandy substrate probably with vegetation |

m bsl = meters below sea level.

1This study;
2Hyams et al., 2002;
3Lazar, 2007;
4Gruber et al., 2007;
5Avidal, 2002;
6Toueg, 1996.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077725.t001
weighted. In the second run sites were weighted inversely proportional to their frequency in order to take account of recurrent mutations.

The *Symbiodinium* sequences were aligned to an existing database using Seaview 4.3.3 [32]. Based on MEGA5 [34], a GTR+G model of evolutionary changes was selected. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using RAxML as implemented in BlackBox [37] (Figure 5). Bayesian analysis was performed with MrBayes 3.2.1 [35]. The analysis consisted of four simultaneous chains that were run for 10,000,000 generations, and 10,000 trees were sampled, 2000 of which were discarded as burn-in. Posterior probabilities at all nodes were estimated for the remaining trees.

### Results

**Habitat Characterization and Morphological Distinctions**

Living specimens of *A. hemprichii* and *S. variabilis* were found only in the Gulf of Elat attached to rock pebbles at variable water depths (typically >1 m) or to *Halophila* leaves or stalks. *Sorites variabilis* mainly differs from *A. hemprichii* by having a much thinner test (6120 mm) and a single row of apertures. Both *S. variabilis* and *A. hemprichii* are morphologically distinguishable from *S. orbiculus* by the appearance of faint or no rim surrounding their apertures (Figures 6–7).

*Sorites orbiculus* was found alive in the Gulf of Elat as well as in high numbers in Shikmona. In Elat, it was found attached to rock pebbles at variable water depths.

### Table 2. PCR primers used for amplification and reamplification of soritid SSU genes and Symbiodinium ITS and LSU genes.

| Name    | Sequence                  | Forward | Reverse | Amplification | Reamplification |
|---------|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------------|-----------------|
| 14F3    | 5’acgcaacggttgaacttg      | X       |         | X             | X               |
| 14F1    | 5’aagggcaccacaagaacgc     | X       |         |               |                 |
| New B   | 5’tgcctttgctgacacctc      |         | X       | X             |                 |
| S-Dino  | 5’cgcctgcacggtagtga       | X       |         |               |                 |
| L0      | 5’gctaatcctgaglgaacactcg  |         | X       | X             |                 |
| ITS-Dino| 5’gtaatgtagcagacctc       | X       |         |               |                 |

| Host species | Host isolate | Sampling locality | Nr. of sequenced clones | Symbiont type | Symbiont accession nr. |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| *A. hemprichii* | 1363         | Elat              | 2                       | F5            | HF582847, HF582848     |
| *A. hemprichii* | 12985        | IUI, Elat         | 3                       | F5            | HF582823, HF582832, HF582833 |
| *A. hemprichii* | 12986        | IUI, Elat         | 2                       | F2, F5        | HF582801, HF582821     |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 29           | Shikmona          | 3                       | F5            | HF582834, HF582835, HF582836 |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 55           | Shikmona          | 3                       | F5            | HF582837, HF582838, HF582839 |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 65           | Shikmona          | 2                       | F5            | HF582840, HF582841     |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 70           | Shikmona          | 3                       | F5            | HF582822, HF582830, HF582831 |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 71           | Shikmona          | 1                       | F5            | HF582842               |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 72           | Shikmona          | 1                       | F5            | HF582843               |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 73           | Shikmona          | 3                       | F5            | HF582844, HF582845, HF582846 |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 206          | Safaga, Red Sea   | 3                       | F2            | HF582798, HF582799, HF582800 |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 1283         | Elat              | 2                       | F5            | HF582849, HF582850     |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 1284         | Elat              | 3                       | F5            | HF582851, HF582852, HF582853 |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 13461        | Tur Yam, Elat     | 2                       | F5            | HF582820, HF582824     |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 13464        | Tur Yam, Elat     | 3                       | F5            | HF582825, HF582826, HF582827 |
| *S. orbiculus*  | 13467        | Tur Yam, Elat     | 2                       | F5            | HF582828, HF582829     |
| *S. variabilis* | 1275         | Elat              | 2                       | F2            | HF582805, HF582806     |
| *S. variabilis* | 12979        | IUI, Elat         | 3                       | F2            | HF582809, HF582810, HF582811 |
| *S. variabilis* | 12981        | IUI, Elat         | 1                       | F2            | HF582812               |
| *S. variabilis* | 12982        | IUI, Elat         | 1                       | F2            | HF582813               |
| *S. variabilis* | 13473        | IUI, Elat         | 2                       | F2            | HF582814, HF582815     |
| *S. variabilis* | 13478        | IUI, Elat         | 3                       | F2            | HF582816, HF582817, HF582818 |
| *S. variabilis* | 13479        | IUI, Elat         | 3                       | F2            | HF582802, HF582803, HF582804 |
| *S. variabilis* | 13480        | IUI, Elat         | 2                       | F2            | HF582806, HF582807,    |
| *S. variabilis* | 13481        | IUI, Elat         | 1                       | F2            | HF582819               |
**Table 4.** Details on soritid sequences obtained in this study and published data.

| Species                  | Isolate | Sampling locality | Sampling depth (m) | Habitat     | Nr. of sequenced clones | Accession nr.                  | Haplotype |
|--------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 12987   | IUI, Elat         | 5                 | Pebbles     | 2                       | HF582900, HF582903             |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 12985   | IUI, Elat         | 5                 | Pebbles     | 1                       | HF582901                        |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 12986   | IUI, Elat         | 5                 | Pebbles     | 1                       | HF582902                        |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 26      | Tur Yam, Elat     | 5                 | Seagrass    | 1                       | HF582904                        |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 92      | Tur Yam, Elat     | 5                 | Seagrass    | 1                       | HF582905                        |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 87      | Tur Yam, Elat     | 5                 | Seagrass    | 3                       | HF582906, HF582907, HF582908   |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 1305    | Taba              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278052                        |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 1366    | Elat              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278048                        |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 1361    | Elat              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ404315                        |           |
| Amphisorus hemprichii    | 1363    | Taba              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278049                        |           |
| Amphisorus kudakajimaensis | 1635  | Guam              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278050                        |           |
| Amphisorus kudakajimaensis | 337   | Japan: Sesoko     | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278051                        |           |
| Marginopora vertebralis | 500     | Australia: Lizard Island | not identified | not identified | 1                       | AJ278058                        |           |
| Marginopora vertebralis | 478     | Australia: Lizard Island | not identified | not identified | 1                       | AJ278060                        |           |
| Marginopora vertebralis | 499     | Australia: Lizard Island | not identified | not identified | 1                       | AJ404312                        |           |
| Marginopora vertebralis | 1610    | Guam              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278059                        |           |
| Marginopora vertebralis | 1686    | Guam              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278062                        |           |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 1645    | Guam              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278061                        |           |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 13464   | Tur Yam, Elat     | 5                 | Seagrass    | 2                       | HF582855, HF582856              | H2        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 13467   | Tur Yam, Elat     | 5                 | Seagrass    | 1                       | HF582857                        | H2        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 13461   | Tur Yam, Elat     | 5                 | Seagrass    | 1                       | HF582858                        | H2        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 29      | Shikmona          | 0.2               | Pebbles     | 3                       | HF582859, HF582864, HF582865   | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 55      | Shikmona          | 0.2               | Pebbles     | 3                       | HF582860, HF582862, HF582866   | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 85      | IUI, Elat         | 2                 | Pebbles     | 2                       | HF582861, HF582879              | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 65      | Shikmona          | 0.2               | Pebbles     | 3                       | HF582863, HF582867, HF582868   | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 70      | Shikmona          | 0.2               | Pebbles     | 1                       | HF582869                        | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 71      | Shikmona          | 0.2               | Pebbles     | 3                       | HF582870, HF582871, HF582880   | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 72      | Shikmona          | 0.2               | Pebbles     | 1                       | HF582872                        | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 73      | Shikmona          | 0.2               | Pebbles     | 1                       | HF582873                        | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 76      | Shikmona          | 0.2               | Pebbles     | 2                       | HF582874, HF582875              | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 80      | IUI, Elat         | 2                 | Pebbles     | 2                       | HF582876, HF582881              | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 81      | IUI, Elat         | 2                 | Pebbles     | 2                       | HF582877, HF582882              | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 84      | IUI, Elat         | 2                 | Pebbles     | 2                       | HF582878, HF582885              | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 82      | IUI, Elat         | 2                 | Pebbles     | 1                       | HF582883                        | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 83      | IUI, Elat         | 2                 | Pebbles     | 1                       | HF582884                        | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 1678    | Guam              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278055                        | H4        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 1629    | Guam              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278053                        | H3        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 751a    | USA: Florida Keys | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278056                        | H3        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 1282    | Elat              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278057                        | H1        |
| Sorites orbiculus        | 1305    | Taba              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ404310                        | H2        |
| Sorites sp.              | 1591a   | Guam              | not identified    | not identified | 1                       | AJ278054                        |           |
| Sorites sp.              | 489     | Australia: Lizard Island | not identified | not identified | 1                       | AJ404309                        |           |
Table 4. Cont.

| Species | Isolate | Sampling locality | Sampling depth (m) | Habitat | Nr. of sequenced clones | Accession nr. | Haplotype |
|---------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------|----------|
| Sorites sp. | 1368 | Elat | not identified | not identified | 1 | AJ404311 |
| Sorites sp. | 836 | Florida Keys | not identified | not identified | 1 | AJ404308 |
| Sorites variabilis | 12982 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 2 | HFS82887 HFS82888 |
| Sorites variabilis | 13472 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 1 | HFS82889 |
| Sorites variabilis | 13480 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 2 | HFS82890 HFS82896 |
| Sorites variabilis | 13478 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 1 | HFS82891 |
| Sorites variabilis | 13479 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 1 | HFS82892 |
| Sorites variabilis | 13481 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 1 | HFS82893 |
| Sorites variabilis | 13477 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 1 | HFS82894 |
| Sorites variabilis | 13473 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 1 | HFS82895 |
| Sorites variabilis | 12979 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 3 | HFS82897, HFS82886 HFS82898 |
| Sorites variabilis | 12981 | IUI, Elat | 5 | Pebbles | 1 | HFS82899 |
| Sorites variabilis | 1333 | Elat | not identified | not identified | 1 | AJ404313 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077725.t004

Genetic Analysis of the Foraminifera

A fragment of the SSU rDNA, (800 basepairs) was obtained from 32 specimens of the morpho species S. orbiculus, S. variabilis and A. hemprichii collected from the different stations in the Gulf of Elat and Shikmona (Figure 3, Table 4). The obtained sequences were compared to sequences from the NCBI GenBank of 27 specimens of the three species plus Marginopora vertebralis and Parasorites sp., used as outgroup (Table 4). The phylogenetic tree constructed from these sequences shows that the soritids cluster into two main clades: S. variabilis/A. hemprichii and M. vertebralis/Sorites spp. (92% BV and 96% BV, respectively). The S. variabilis/A. hemprichii clade is divided into two distinct subclades corresponding to A. hemprichii and S. variabilis (each supported by 100% BV), that were found only in Elat. The M. vertebralis/Sorites spp. clade comprises two distinct clades M. vertebralis and S. orbiculus (97% BV and 81% BV, respectively).

As the phylogenetic analyses of soritids do not show a significant support of the S. orbiculus clade we added a population genetic analysis to investigate intraspecific relationships in this species (Figure 4) as suggested for such cases by [38]. The network was drawn using all sequences (57) of S. orbiculus with a total of 28 mutated sites. Sequences present in each haplotype are reported in Table 4. Our results indicate that S. orbiculus splits into four different haplotypes. Haplotype H1 and H3 each occur in two different localities. The haplotypes H2 and H4 are present in one locality only.

Specimens of S. orbiculus from the Gulf of Elat and Shikmona split into two distinct haplotypes, each one present in a different type of habitat: shallow water pebbles (H1) and the seaweed H. stipulacea (H2). All specimens of S. orbiculus from Shikmona were found to be genetically identical to the specimens from the shallow water pebbles at Elat (H1).

Genetic Analysis of the Algal Symbionts

Congruent results are obtained by RAXML and Bayesian analyses of combined partial ITS and LSU rDNA sequences of Symbiodinium. The tree comprises 14 symbiont clades and is rooted in the free-living dinoflagellate genera Protodinium, Polarella and Pelaogondium (Figure 5). The 56 symbiont sequences of soritids from the Red and Mediterranean Seas obtained for this study are belonging either to clade F2 or F3, which are both well supported (100% BV, 1PP). All symbionts of S. variabilis belong to the F2 clade while symbionts from S. orbiculus and A. hemprichii are assigned either to the clade F2 or the clade F5. One specimen of A. hemprichii (isolate nr. 12986) hosts both types of symbionts. All symbionts from S. orbiculus collected in the Mediterranean Sea branch within the F5 clade.

Clade A branches at the base of all other symbiont clades, followed by clades E, D1, D2, G3, G1, G2, H, B and C. Clade C is branching off a large group containing F2, F3 and F4 that are all sisters to F5. Most of the symbiont clades are specific to foraminifera (G1, G2, H, F2, F3, F4, C). Only two clades are present in foraminifera as well as cnidarians (D2, F3) and four symbiont types are characteristic for cnidarians (A, E, D1, G3).

Discussion: Evidence for Lessepsian Invasion of Soritids

Recent studies by Langer and colleagues [8,13,15] provided the most comprehensive biogeographical documentation of the expansion of larger benthic foraminifera (LBF) in the Mediterranean. In general, the abundance and species richness of LBF are increasing steadily in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. This
Figure 3. Bayesian phylogenetic tree (GTR+I+C) showing the phylogenetic position of 72 partial SSU 18S rDNA of soritids. The 52 sequences obtained for this study are marked by their DNA isolate numbers. Numbers at nodes indicate (from left to right) posterior probabilities (BI) and bootstrap values (ML). The tree is rooted on Parasorites sp.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077725.g003

Figure 4. Median joining network for four haplotypes of Sorites orbiculus based on 37 sequences. Numbers in light grey correspond to mutated positions. Circle sizes of haplotypes H1 to H4 are proportional to the number of identical sequences they contain. Each color corresponds to a different locality. Red dots indicate missing haplotypes (extinct or not sampled).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077725.g004
increase has been attributed to the hyper-oligotrophy together with the increasing temperatures that allow the LBF to settle and thrive and often take over the native fauna in many ecological niches, as documented in the shallow rocky environments of the Israeli Mediterranean inner shelf [6,22,30]. Some of these species have now become extremely abundant in these environments. It is assumed that the expansion of LBF in the Mediterranean is a consequence of the opening of the Suez Canal, which promoted a unidirectional invasion either by natural dispersal or by anthropogenic factors [8]. Yet, some fossil LBF species are known from subsurface Pleistocene marine sediments that were recovered from the Israeli coastal plain and inner shelf [22,39] (Figure 6). Their occurrences imply that these species originated from a tropical Atlantic source and colonized the Eastern Mediterranean mainly during warmer glacial and warm interglacial periods [22]. This raises the question whether the recent populations of LBF observed in the studied hotspot represents reviving of native Mediterranean fauna, recent invasion from the Atlantic or a pure introduction of new Lessepsian invaders.

Because the morphological variability observed within the specimens of soritids investigated in this study was found to be greatly inconsistent, making it impossible to distinguish living, dead and fossil specimens based on traditional taxonomic features [8]. Yet, some fossil LBF species are known from subsurface Pleistocene marine sediments that were recovered from the Israeli coastal plain and inner shelf [22,39] (Figure 6). Their occurrences imply that these species originated from a tropical Atlantic source and colonized the Eastern Mediterranean mainly during warmer glacial and warm interglacial periods [22]. This raises the question whether the recent populations of LBF observed in the studied hotspot represents reviving of native Mediterranean fauna, recent invasion from the Atlantic or a pure introduction of new Lessepsian invaders.
Figure 6. Specimens of Sorites orbiculus Forskal from the Gulf of Elat and the Eastern Mediterranean Israeli coast, showing the large variability of apertural shapes and arrangement among specimens and also within single specimens. 1–4. Gulf of Elat: 1. Lateral view of live individual (IUI, 2 m, rocks/pebbles), digital camera, scale 500 μm; 2. Details of chambers with symbionts, digital camera, scale 100 μm; 3. Oblique apertural view (Tur Yam, 5 m, seagrass), SEM, scale 20 μm; 4. Oblique apertural face showing variation in apertural shapes from a single row of 8-shapped aperture to two separate aperture rows, in early growth stage bordered with a thickened peristomal rim, SEM, scale 100 μm; 5–8. Shikmona (0.5–1.5 m). 5. Lateral view of live individual 0.2 m, rocks/pebbles, digital camera, scale 500 μm; 6. Detailed apertural face with pitting and two separate rows of apertures in alternating radial position and auxiliary aperture, SEM, scale 100 μm; 7. Apertural face in early growth stage with 8-
Gulf of Elat (H1, Figure 4). Our results also demonstrate that the population in Shikmona retained the same ecological/habitat preferences as in the Red Sea (Figure 2, Figure 4, Table 4).

The *S. orbiculus* clade consists of great number of highly divergent haplotypes [27,40], which demonstrates that the seemingly cosmopolitan distribution of this species is an artifact of morphological conservation. The observed branching between *S. orbiculus* from the *Halophila* leaves and the pebbles in IUI and Shikmona (Figure 4) indicates that genetic divergence also exists between habitats and not only between different geographic regions. The divergence between the shallow water pebbles population of IUI and Shikmona from those of Florida and Guam indicates that they are genetically separated and therefore are not directly related (Figure 4). It is further reasonable to postulate that if the population in Shikmona is a relict of a native Mediterranean source (i.e. post Messinian Atlantic origin) it should have been branched separately from the modern Red Sea population.

It is important to note that not all Red Sea soritids successfully invaded the Mediterranean [8] and compare with [29]). A distinct selectivity in the invasion process suggests that the environmental differences between the two seas act as a filter allowing only certain haplotypes to settle in the Eastern Mediterranean. This is supported by the fact that no specimens of the two morphologically distinctive species *S. variabilis* and *A. hemprichii* were found alive at the various sites along the coast of Israel or elsewhere in the Mediterranean [3]. Our combined genetic and morphological analyses revealed that these species share the morphological feature of apertures with faint rim that signifies their evolutionary branching from the lineage of *S. orbiculus* (Figures 3, 7). This finding indicates that the genus *Sorites* is polyphyletic and consequently *S. variabilis* should be amended as species of the genus *Amphisorus*. The common evolutionary history of *S. variabilis* and *A. hemprichii* implies that they may share some physiological constrains that presently limit their ability to successfully invade the Mediterranean.

Previous reports show that *S. orbiculus* occupies the entire eastern Levantine Basin, as well as the Adriatic and Tyrrhenian seas [8,13,41]. Its distribution was attributed to the ability to tolerate temperatures below 14°C [13]. The very shallow depth habitats of *S. orbiculus* recognized in this study imply that it may also tolerate temperatures beyond the typical range of this group. Our results do not rule out the possible existence of other *S. orbiculus* haplotypes somewhere else in the Mediterranean, but it does prove dominance of a single invasive haplotype in the Israeli coast.

The selectivity of invasive species also concerns their symbionts. All *Symbiodinium* from *S. orbiculus* collected in Shikmona belong to the F5 clade. Interestingly, the *Symbiodinium* phylotype F2, endemic to the Red Sea has not been found in the specimens from Shikmona. Clade F5 was also recognized from the *S. orbiculus* haplotype collected from the shallow water pebbles in the Gulf of Elat (Figure 5, Table 3). This clade is known to dominate in the Red Sea and also has been found in the Indian Ocean and the Caribbean Sea [40]. Its worldwide distribution may reflect easier adaptation to different environmental conditions, which certainly helps the migration to new areas.

Conclusions

Our study shows the potential of using LBF in combined genetic and morphological studies to characterize a Lessepsian invasion. It provides the first genetic and ecological evidence that the modern Eastern Mediterranean population of *S. orbiculus* is likely Lessepsian; it occupies very similar habitats in both regions, and keeps their original symbionts. The mechanism responsible for the migration of the *S. orbiculus* population from the Red Sea and its establishment in Shikmona could be due to either natural dispersal or anthropogenic factors. A further study is needed to conclude if all the Mediterranean populations of *S. orbiculus* originated from the same source of genotype that was identified in the present study or whether there are some areas that still contain the native fauna or other Red Sea haplotypes.
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Figure 7. Specimens of *Sorites variabilis* and *Amphisorus hemprichii* showing a faint rim around the apertures. 1–2. *S. variabilis*. 1. Lateral view of live specimen, digital camera, scale 100 μm; 2. Apertural face with faint rim around the apertures, SEM scale 50 μm; 3. Apertural face of *A. hemprichii* with faint rim around the apertures, SEM scale 50 μm.
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