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ABSTRACT

Background: Bacterial sepsis is one of the major causes of mortality in newborn infants. Mortality increases when sepsis is associated with neutropenia. Materials and Methods: We conducted a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor on preterm neonates (gestational age (GA) <34 weeks) with sepsis and absolute neutrophil count (ANC) of <1500 cells/mm³. Mortality, duration of Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) stay, hematological parameters (ANC, platelet count, and total leukocyte count) were compared between the two groups. The GCSF group (n=39) received GCSF intravenously in a single daily dose of 10 μg/kg/day in a 5% dextrose solution over 20-40 min for three consecutive days, while the control group (n=39) received placebo of an equivalent volume of 5% dextrose. Results: Baseline demographic profile among the two groups was comparable. Mortality rate in the GCSF group was significantly lower than in the control group (10% vs. 35%; P<0.05). By day 3 of treatment, ANC in the GCSF group was significantly higher (3521±327) compared to 2094±460 in the control group, with P value being <0.05. Duration of NICU stay also decreased significantly in the GCSF group. Conclusion: The administration of GCSF in preterms with septicemia and neutropenia resulted in lower mortality rates. Further studies are required to confirm our results and establish this adjunctive therapy in neonatal sepsis.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacterial sepsis is one of the major causes of mortality in newborns. The mortality rate of neonates with bacterial sepsis varies between 15% and 75%, depending on the organism and other associated complications. Neutropenia is a common association of neonates with sepsis and is associated with increased risk of death. Compared to adults, the unique susceptibility of neonates to sepsis associated neutropenia is due to a smaller neutrophil storage pool, reduced capacity of neutrophils to be mobilized from bone marrow, and a slower regeneration of neutrophils from bone marrow. Also, neutrophil functioning abnormalities may co-exist in neonates and these neonates fail to mount an adequate immune response during overwhelming bacterial sepsis. As a result, in addition to the conventional therapy for neonatal sepsis with antibiotic medications and supportive care, several new modes of immunotherapy such as granulocyte transfusions and intravenous immunoglobulin administration have been used to reduce mortality without any proven positive result. Intravenous immunoglobulin have failed to create a major impact in reducing sepsis-related mortality and now the attention is more on the potential enhancement of phagocytic immunity using the hemopoietic colony-stimulating factors. Studies have shown that granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) can prime neutrophils for increased respiratory burst and chemotactic responses. In addition, in newborns with sepsis, short-term therapy with GCSF increased the neutrophil count and improved survival. GCSF therapy in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants was demonstrated to be safe and tolerance is good. Clinical trials in neonates have been preceded by extensive in vitro and animal studies because of the concern about acute and long-term toxicities of such agents in neonates. There is a dearth of studies and clinical trials in this field and there is a pressing need of such studies to establish or to reject the use of GCSF to improve survival in neonatal sepsis. The aim of this study was to determine whether the administration of GCSF is effective in reversing neutropenia and lowering mortality rates in preterms with sepsis.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and patient selection
A prospective, randomized, controlled double-blind trial was conducted in the Neonatal Intensive Care unit (NICU) of Medical College, Kolkata, India, from September 2011 to January 2012, with the approval of the institutional ethics committee, registered with the Clinical Trials Registry of India (CTRI) (2011).

An informed consent had been taken from each of the participating neonate's parents. Sepsis was diagnosed when a neonate had new-onset symptoms (e.g., respiratory distress, new apnea, temperature instability, inability to suck, lethargy, or other accepted clinical signs of sepsis),

a positive sepsis screen based on total leukocyte count, absolute neutrophil count (ANC), immature to total neutrophils ratio, micro-ESR, C-reactive protein (CRP), and at least one positive blood culture in 1st 28 days of life. Blood cultures were repeated in all patients 72 h after randomization. Neutropenia was defined as a total neutrophil count <1500 cells/mm³ using a stringent adaptation of the criteria of Manroe et al.

Inclusion criteria
a) All premature infants of gestational age (GA) <34 weeks were eligible for the study if they had a peripheral blood neutrophil count <1500 cells/mm³ for at least 24 h during the 1st 3 weeks of life
b) Positive clinical signs of sepsis
c) A positive sepsis screen and
d) At least a single positive blood culture
e) Weighing between 1100 and 2500 g
f) Adequate renal and liver function.

Exclusion criteria
a) Major congenital anomaly,
b) Stigma of congenital infection, and
c) Severe lesions diagnosed by cranial ultrasound (e.g., intraventricular hemorrhage grade 3 and 4 and major ischemic lesions)
d) Newborns with altered liver and renal functions were excluded.

The included infants were randomized to receive either recombinant GCSF (rGCSF) (Filgastrim (Grafeel) batch no. GFAV00511 mfg date March 2011) or placebo using a randomly generated (computer-generated) predetermined schedule. Investigators were divided into two teams – blinded and unblinded. Those collecting the data and following babies during the study period were blinded to the study assignment. A computer-generated random number table was followed and an allocation number was assigned to each random number. Corresponding allocation numbers were also present concealed in the cover of each medication/placebo, which were not accessible to the blinded team. The unblinded team administered the medications following randomization. We followed the schedule specified by Kocherlakota and La Gamma.

The product was infused intravenously and slowly in a single daily dose of 10 μg/kg/day in a 5% dextrose solution over 20-40 min for 3 consecutive days, starting no longer than 48 h after neutropenia was diagnosed. Placebo consisted of an equivalent volume of 5% dextrose. The total volume injected in the both cases was 0.66 ml/kg.

Outcome
Primary
GCSF significantly increased the ANC in preterm babies with neutropenia and sepsis.

Secondary
Mortality and duration of NICU stay was also significantly reduced in those babies with sepsis and neutropenia treated with GCSF.

The included infants showed no signs of disturbance in respiration, heart rate, or blood pressure during administration of the study medicine. Routine examination was performed daily, and vitals and all systems were closely monitored until discharge. ANC was monitored before the third dose and it was held if ANC exceeded 20,000.

Prior to the study, maternal characteristics, approximate gestational age, anthropometry, neonatal vitals, serum biochemistry, CRP, blood sugar, and electrolytes were recorded. Gestational age was confirmed by New Ballard scoring system. Both groups (n=39) each were treated with appropriate conventional therapeutic interventions. Antibiotic regimens were modified subsequently according to blood culture reports and sensitivity patterns, and those with negative blood cultures on all occasions were excluded from the study. Complete blood counts were obtained by counter autoanalyzer machine at study entry, and after treatment at 48 h, 72 h, and 7 days. White blood cells (WBCs) were also counted on a hemocytometer, and differential count was obtained by manual counting from Wright stained blood films. The ANC was determined by the percentage of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMLs) and band forms identified manually. There was no significant difference in the two forms of determination. Metamyelocytes and myelocytes were not included as they contribute minimally to the total count, being functionally inactive.

All WBCs were corrected for the presence of nucleated red blood cells (RBCs). Hemoglobin and platelet counts were measured pre- and post-treatment. All infants received antibiotics for at least 5 days.
Statistical analysis
As we are taking placebo as control, we are doing superiority trial, parallel design with equal group allocation. Sample size was determined by convenience.

Groups were made for weight on admission, demographic characteristics, hematological responses, NICU stay, and mortality. Data were expressed as numbers (%), median range, and mean±SD. P value <0.05 was taken as significant. For the continuous data, normal distribution was used for comparison of mean values and Fisher's exact t test was used; for binary data, binomial distribution was presumed and t test was used. Statistical analysis was done using the software STATA version 12.

RESULTS
Baseline demographic profile and study flowchart
A total of 1748 preterm babies weighing ≤2500 g were admitted at the institute during the study period, of which 653 were admitted in NICU with features of sepsis, hence assessed for eligibility. Figure 1 shows the flow of subjects through the study. A total of 78 babies were enrolled and randomized to receive either GCSF (n=39) plus conventional care or placebo with 5% dextrose solution plus conventional care. All babies completed the study. Table 1 shows the baseline demographic profile of the babies in the two treatment groups.

Microorganisms isolated at study entry in the blood culture of GCSF group were Klebsiella pneumoniae (n=18), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n=12), Enterobacter sp. (n=4), Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (CONS) (n=4), and Acinetobacter sp. (n=1). Microorganisms at study entry in the other group were K. pneumoniae (n=16), P. aeruginosa (n=13), Enterobacter sp. (n=2), CONS (n=5), Candida sp. (n=1), and Acinetobacter sp. (n=2).

Hematological indices
At the study entry, the ANC in the GCSF group was 204 ± 460 in the other group, with the other group. ANC in the GCSF group was 327 ± 1349 in the other group.

By Day 3 (72 h) of starting the intervention, the GCSF group had significantly higher ANC compared to the other group. ANC in the GCSF group was 3521 ± 1266 compared to 1499 ± 226 in the other group, which was significantly low (P<0.05).

By Day 5, the GCSF group had an ANC of 4872±913 compared to 204±460 in the other group, with a P value <0.05.

At Day 7, the GCSF group’s ANC was 5917±1047 compared to 5034±936 in the other group.

Mortality
There were 4 (10%) deaths in the GCSF group compared to 14 (35%) in the other group, which was significantly low (P<0.05).

Treatment group
P value: The probability of survival for the treatment group (GCSF)=0.9
Mean survival=35.1
Variance: Variance around this P=0.09
Variance around this mean=1.8735

Figure 1: Flow of patients through the trial

Table 1: Baseline demographic profile of participants in two groups

| Variable | GCSF group (n=39) (%) | Control group (n=39) (%) | P value |
|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Male | 22 (56) | 24 (61) | >0.05 |
| Birth weight (mean±SD) | 1626.79±364.61 | 1614.79±362.32 | >0.05 |
| Gestational age (mean±SD) (weeks) | 30.7±1.2 | 30.6±1.7 | >0.05 |
| Intrauterine growth restriction | 6 (15) | 5 (12) | >0.05 |
| Age at start of sepsis (days) | 3.26±1.1 | 3.37±1.08 | >0.05 |
| Maternal characteristics | | | |
| Received antenatal care | 9 (23) | 10 (25) | >0.05 |
| H/o premature rupture of membranes | 4 (10) | 4 (10) | >0.05 |
| Cesarean delivery | 8 (20) | 9 (23) | >0.05 |
| Pregnancy-induced hypertension | 7 (17) | 6 (15) | >0.05 |
| H/o maternal fever | 3 (7) | 4 (10) | >0.05 |
| Twin pregnancy | 3 (7) | 3 (7) | >0.05 |
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Neutropenia, when associated with neonatal sepsis, worsens the prognosis. An immaturity in the quantitative and qualitative aspects of phagocytic immunity contributes to a state of relative immunodeficiency in newborn infants. GCSF is a physiological regulator of myelopoiesis and an activator of mature effective neutrophil function. It supports the clonal growth of neutrophil progenitors, primes neutrophils to increased expression of chemotactic receptors, and enhances antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity. Compared to adults, newborns do not seem to generate GCSF effectively. Estimates suggest that when sepsis is associated with severe neutropenia, mortality exceeds 50%. Relative neutropenia, though, is a low-risk group in developed countries; in developing countries with resource-limited settings, sepsis-related neonatal neutropenia is a significant cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity. In addition, in developing countries, the microbiological organisms causing septicemia are different from those in developed nations; in developing countries organisms are mostly gram negative such as Klebsiella and Pseudomonas.

There have been studies on the use of GCSF both as an adjunctive to treatment in neutropenic septicemic neonates and also its prophylactic use in preterm neonates, but all these studies are heterogeneous with regard to patient selection, duration of intervention, dosage and route of intervention, and outcome criteria. Duration of intervention varies widely in studies, mostly between 5 and 7 days. Our study utilized 3 days which has been shown to be effective in increasing ANC and reducing mortality with minimum possible intervention, thereby reducing the cost of treatment and any possibility of side effects.

Among the different studies, most are with positive outcomes; but those with negative outcomes are a recently published multicenter randomized control trial exploring the use of prophylactic GCSF, and there was no significant difference between two groups in terms of mortality, short-term morbidity, or sepsis-free interval and another study on prophylactic GCSF by Cairo et al., which did not show any significant difference.

There have been debates on whether there are subgroups of infants who are better candidates for the study, as less mature infants benefit more than older infants. Time dependence of response is very important as in a vast majority of cases where neutropenia occurs early, a second course of treatment is not indicated as infants who are neutropenic by 2nd week of life do not have increased risk of infection. A Cochrane review of this effect found no evidence of adding GCSF or (GMCSF) Granulocyte Macrophage Colony Stimulating Factor to antibiotic therapies adjuvant therapy reduced immediate cause of mortality.
Our study showed remarkable results both in terms of mortality and duration of NICU stay with the use of GCSF, and since conflicting results have been obtained in different studies, we suggest that there is an urgent need of such studies to include or discard totally the use of GCSF as an adjunctive to conventional treatment of sepsis in preterm neonates.
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