We hypothesize that melanocortin receptors (MC) could activate tissue protective circuit in a model of streptozotocin- (STZ-) induced diabetic retinopathy (DR) in mice. At 12–16 weeks after diabetes induction, fluorescein angiography (FAG) revealed an approximate incidence of 80% microvascular changes, typical of DR, in the animals, without signs of vascular leakage. Occludin progressively decreased in the retina of mice developing retinopathy. qPCR of murine retina revealed expression of two MC receptors, \textit{Mc1r} and \textit{Mc5r}. The intravitreal injection (5 \(\mu\)L) of the selective MC \textit{1} small molecule agonist BMS-470539 (33 \(\mu\)mol) and the MC \textit{5} peptidomimetic agonist PG-901 (7.32 nM) elicited significant protection with regular course and caliber of retinal vessels, as quantified at weeks 12 and 16 after diabetes induction. Mouse retinahomogenatessettingsindicatedaugmentedreleaseofIL-1\(\alpha\), IL-1\(\beta\), IL-6, MIP-1\(\alpha\), MIP-2\(\alpha\), MIP-3\(\alpha\), and VEGF from diabetic compared to nondiabetic mice. Application of PG20N or AGRP and MC \textit{5} antagonist, respectively, augmented the release of cytokines, while the agonists BMS-470539 and PG-901 almost restored normal pattern of these mediators back to nondiabetic values. Similar changes were quantified with respect to Ki-67 staining. Finally, application of MC \textit{3}-MC \textit{4} agonist/antagonists resulted to be inactive with respect to all parameters under assessment.

1. Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy is a leading cause of adult blindness and is the most common complication of diabetes. It affects more than 90% of people with diabetes, ultimately leading to retinal edema, neovascularization, and, in some patients, vision loss [1, 2]. Systemic control of blood glucose can slow down the progression of diabetic retinopathy but fails to stop or reverse clinical signs of it [3, 4]. Hence understanding the molecular pathways governing the pathophysiology of DR and targeting them is essential to the prevention of catastrophic visual loss arising from vision-threatening complications of diabetic retinopathy such as macular edema, vitreous hemorrhage, and tractional retinal detachment.

Melanocortins are endogenous peptides that possess a wide range of biological activities, including inhibition of leukocyte activation, promotion of inflammation resolution, and the ensuing tissue protection [5–12]. These effects on the immune response are brought about by five distinct melanocortin receptors, termed from MC \textit{1} to MC \textit{5}, which are
ubiquitously expressed except for the MC2 which is localised to the adrenal glands [13]. Within the eye, MC3, MC4, and MC5 are expressed in the inner neural retinal layers [14, 15], with MC3 and MC4 expression being reported also in the layer of retinal ganglion cells [14, 15]. MC4 alone has been detected in the neural outer plexiform layer, whilst MC3 and MC4 are detected in retinal pigment epithelial cells [16, 17]. There is scant knowledge on the biology associated with these receptors in the eye. Work is limited to the most common melanocortin peptide, α-melanocyte stimulating hormone (α-MSH), which activates all MC receptors (except MC5), controls the development and neurotrophism of the ocular tissues [18–20], and exerts protective effects on the retinal vascular endothelial cells [21, 22].

The present study aimed at establishing the efficacy of melanocortin peptides in the prevention of DR and characterizing the MC subtypes engaged. We made use of a mouse model of STZ-induced DR, an experimental system suitable for replicating the early signs of nonproliferative DR, such as loss of retinal pericytes and capillaries, thickening of the vascular basement membrane and increased vascular permeability [23]. Using a combination of biochemical and functional analyses, we identify novel therapeutic circuits in DR mediated by specific MC receptors.

2. Materials and Methods

Streptozotocin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (city, country), MTII from Bachem Ltd. (Saffron Walden, Essex, UK), and SHU9119 from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals (Karlsruhe, Germany). Other compounds were supplied (BMS-470539, AGRP) or synthetized (PG-901, PG20N) by Professor Grieco (University of Naples Federico II). All compounds were stored at −20°C before use and dissolved in sterile PBS, pH 7.4.

2.1. Animals and DR. This study was performed according to the guidelines of the Ethic Committee for animal experiments at the second University of Naples. C57BL/6 mice (Harlan, Italy) aged 7 to 10 weeks were rendered diabetic with one intraperitoneal injection of STZ (65 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) freshly dissolved in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 4.5). Development of diabetes (defined by blood glucose greater than 250 mg/dL) was verified 1 week after the STZ injection (Glucometer Elite XL; Bayer Corp., Elkhart, IN). Blood glucose levels were checked intermittently throughout the study in order to confirm the maintenance of the diabetic condition.

C57BL/6 mice were divided into 8 groups (n = 10 animals per group), labelled consecutively from 1 to 10 to repeat the fluorescein angiography (FAG) to the same animal at each time point considered. Mice were randomised into the following experimental groups: (1) nondiabetic mice; (2) diabetic mice; (3) diabetic mice treated with intravitreal injection of the MC3 receptor agonist BMS-470539 [24]; (4) diabetic mice treated with intravitreal injection of the mixed MC3-MC4 receptor agonist MTII [25]; (5) diabetic mice treated with MC3 receptor antagonist agouti related protein (AGRP; [26]); (6) diabetic mice treated with intravitreal, MC5 agonist PG-901 [26]; (7) diabetic mice treated with intravitreal MC3-MC4 receptor antagonist SHU9119, [27]; (8) diabetic mice treated with intravitreal MC5 receptor antagonist PG20N, [28].

In all cases, animals were monitored over a 16-week period for the development of diabetes, with specific analyses at weeks 8, 12, and 16 when fluorescein angiography was conducted. At the end of each time course the animals were sacrificed and the eye ball was displaced forward by placing curved forceps around the posterior part and cut in two halves. On one half of each eye the cornea was cut using a sharp blade or scalpel, and the retina was squeezed through the cut together with residual pigment epithelium and lens by applying gentle pressure with the forceps. Dissected retina was placed in cooled PBS, freed from nonretinal tissue using the forceps, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for subsequent biochemical analysis. The other half of each eye was fixed by immersion in 10% neutral buffered formalin and paraffin-embedded for immunohistochemistry.

Intravitreal Injections. Seven days after the development of diabetes the mice were anesthetized by pentobarbital (45 mg/kg in saline). Tropicamide (5%) was instilled into the right eye of each animal, in order to induce dilatation of pupils, and tetracaine (1%) was injected for local anaesthesia. Physiological saline or MC receptor ligand preparations (5 μL volume) were administered via intravitreal injection into the right eye using sterile syringes fitted with a 30-gauge needle (Microfine; Becton Dickinson AG, Meylan, France), as previously described [23]. The following MC receptor ligands were used, at the indicated dose as selected from the reported publications: BMS-470539, 33 μmol [24]; MTII, 9.3 nmol [25]; SHU 9119, 9 nmol [27]; PG-901, 732 nM [29]; PG20N, 130 nM [28]; agouti related protein or AGRP, 1 μg [27]. Each compound was injected every 4 weeks from the development of diabetes.

2.2. Fluorescein Angiography (FAG). FAG was performed by using a Topcon TRC-50DX apparatus (Topcon, Tokyo, Japan) following intraperitoneal injection of 10% fluorescein sterile solution (1 mL/kg body weight, AK-Fluor; Akorn, Inc.). Fundus photographs were captured in order to display the retinal vasculature and to evaluate the early typical alterations of diabetic microangiopathy.

2.3. ELISA Assay for Endogenous α-MSH within the Retina. A commercial kit (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Karlsruhe, Germany) was used following the manufacturer protocol in order to assess the levels of the protein within the retina of nondiabetic and diabetic mice with retinopathy.

2.4. Real Time PCR. Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, West Sussex, UK), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Contaminating DNA was removed from RNA preparations using the Ambion Turbo DNA-free system (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) using
manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration and purity of the RNA were then analysed using the Nanodrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was obtained by reverse transcription (RT) of 1 μg of total DNase-treated RNA, using the Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and oligo(dT) primers following manufacturer’s protocol.

Conventional PCR was performed for detecting the expression of murine Mc1r, Mc3r, and Mc5r genes using cDNA (150 ng/reaction), specific primers (QuantiTect Primer Assays, Qiagen, West Sussex, UK), and Thermo Scientific 1× ReddyMix PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK). The absence of unspecific products was confirmed by analyzing the included dissociation end step. Cycle threshold (Ct) values were measured for 65 s, followed by final elongation step at 72 °C for 5 min.

Melanocortin receptor quantification was performed using the predesigned Quantitect Primers (ABI Prism 7900 Sequence Detection System; Applied Biosystems Inc.) and 2× Power SYBR Green Mastermix (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Paisley, UK). The presence of unspecific products was confirmed by analyzing the included dissociation end step. Cycle threshold (Ct) values were measured and calculated by the Sequence Detector software. Relative amounts of mRNA in diabetic retinas were normalized to endogenous control (Gapdh) and to the healthy controls. Relative mRNA contents were calculated using the \( \frac{2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}}{2} \) equation.

2.5. Western Blotting Analysis. Western blot was performed on the retinal tissues 16 weeks after the onset of diabetes, monitoring the M2 marker, the mannose receptor CD206, and the M1 marker, the integrin αX/CD11c, according to Di Filippo et al. [30]. Retinal samples were homogenized on ice using RIPA buffer (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Milan, Italy), containing a protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 g and the supernatant was collected. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Milan, Italy). A total of 100 μg of proteins were separated on denaturing 8% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membrane. The following primary antibodies were used: anti-M2 mannose receptor (CD206) (1:400, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-M1 Integrin Alpha X/CD11c (1:200, Bios, USA). Donkey anti-rabbit monoclonal IgG (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and goat anti-mouse polyclonal IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) secondary antibodies were used at concentration of 1:1000 and 1:2000, respectively.

2.6. Cytokines Array. A specific kit (ARY006, R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK) was used for the simultaneous measurement of the production of a number of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines from mouse retinas.

2.7. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). To assess the levels of occludin and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in the retina of diabetic mice, the Quantikine ELISA kits (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK) were used, according to the manufacturer's protocol.

2.8. Immunohistochemistry. Ocular tissue sections (5 μm) were serially cut from paraffin-embedded tissue and labelled for the detection of Ki-67 by immunohistochemistry, according to previous published protocol [31]. Briefly, sections were incubated with primary mouse monoclonal anti-Ki67 (PP-67) antibody (dilution 1:250, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for 30 min at room temperature. Sections were then washed with PBS and incubated with biotin-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies and avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (DBA, Milan, Italy).

2.9. Statistical Analysis. For the in vivo experiments, all values are expressed as mean ± SEM of \( n = 10 \) mice. Statistical analyses were assessed either by Student’s t-test (when only two groups were compared) or one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test (more than two experimental groups). A probability of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered sufficient to reject the null hypothesis.

3. Results

Intraperitoneal injection of STZ (65 mg/kg) to C57BL/6 mice caused an elevation of the glycemia levels, which remained almost constant throughout the duration of the 16-week observation (Table 1). These levels were not affected by drug treatment at any of the time points under investigation. In contrast, the endogenous levels of α-MSH within the retina were significantly reduced after 16 weeks of diabetes (nondiabetic, 72 ng/mL ± 7; diabetic, 27 ng/mL ± 11).

3.1. STZ-Induced Diabetes Causes Structural and Microvascular Changes in Mouse Retinas. Fluorescein angiography
4 Mediators of Inflammation

(FAG) analysis performed over the 16 weeks of diabetes showed structural changes in the retinal vessels with an increased vascular tortuosity and microvascular changes in 8 of the 10 mice analyzed (data at week 12). These alterations became more evident at week 16 after STZ. Indeed, an irregular retinal vessel caliber and microaneurysms were seen at both time points (Figure 1). No signs of deviation from the normal retina vascularization were seen after 8 weeks of diabetes (Figure 1).

3.2. Melanocortin Receptors Are Expressed in the Retina of Mice Suffering from Diabetic Retinopathy. Next we determined the expression patterns of selected MC receptor expression in the retina of diabetic mice that had developed retinopathy, with a focus on MC₁, MC₃, and MC₅ receptors since implicated in the process of inflammation and tissue protection. By conventional PCR we could detect the MC₁ and MC₅ signals, but not the MC₃ (Figure 2). Using qPCR, MC₁ and MC₅ displayed a plastic response to diabetes, with elevated expression being quantified by week 16 (Figure 2).

3.3. Melanocortin Receptor Activation Modulates the Development of Diabetic Retinopathy. Intravitreal injections of the MC₁ receptor agonist BMS-470539 (33 μmol) or MC₅ receptor agonist PG-901 (7.32 nM) decreased retinal damage, as demonstrated by FAG. Indeed, regular course and caliber of retinal vessels without microvascular changes or vessel leakage were present at each time point considered after intravitreal injection of MC₃ receptor agonist BMS-470539 and MC₅ receptors agonists PG-901, as compared to the untreated diabetic mice with retinopathy (Figure 3).

To investigate a potential involvement of the MC pathway by endogenous peptides, we tested the effect of receptor antagonists. Intravitreal injection of PG20N (MC₅ antagonist) and AGRP (MC₁ antagonist) worsened the retinal injury with evident changes already after 8 weeks after induction of diabetes. Due to the presence of a venous loop (Figure 4) and an extensive retinal vessel leakage with progressive dye diffusion (Figure 4) at 16 weeks after induction, hyperfluorescent areas were observed after treatment with either of the two compounds. Of interest, intravitreal injection of molecules that activate MC₃, like the agonist MTII (dual MC₃-MC₄ agonist) or the antagonist SHU9119 (dual MC₃-MC₄ antagonist), did not produce changes of the microvascular bed into the retina of diabetic mice (Figure 5).

3.4. Melanocortin Receptor Activation Modulates Levels of Retinal Occludin. In diabetic mice suffering from retinopathy, cellular tight junctions were damaged as demonstrated

Figure 1: Representative images of FAG performed at baseline and after 8, 12, and 16 weeks from diabetes induction. There were no alterations worthy of note at 8 weeks. At 12 weeks from diabetic induction there was an increase in vascular tortuosity with some microvascular changes such as microaneurysms that were also evident after 16 weeks of diabetes. Each group consisted of 10 mice in which 8 developed clear signs of retinopathy. Ma = microaneurysms.
by the low levels of occludin detected in retinal homogenates (Figure 6). Of interest, levels of this cell junction marker progressively decreased with the development of retinopathy, reaching the lowest level detected at week 16 after STZ (Figure 6). Such a nadir was further reduced following intravitreal injection of the MC1 and MC2 receptor antagonist AGRP and PG20N, respectively, over the different time points (Figure 6). Conversely, administration of BMS-470539 or PG-901 increased the retinal occludin levels at 8 weeks, 12 weeks (data not shown), and 16 weeks, compared to untreated diabetic mice ($p < 0.01$, Figure 6), demonstrating a protective effect downstream activation of MC1 or MC5, respectively. Modulation of MC3 signals was without effects (Figure 6).

### 3.5. Melanocortin Receptor Activation Modulates Levels of VEGF in the Retina of Diabetic Mice

As we observed profound alterations in the microcirculation, visual images complemented by the loss of tight junction proteins (of which occludin was selected as faithful marker), we then measured expression of a fundamental angiogenic factor. Retinal levels of VEGF were increased (+66 ± 3%) in diabetic mice suffering from retinopathy at 16 weeks after STZ ($p < 0.01$ versus nondiabetic; Figure 6). These levels were further increased following intravitreal injection of the MC5 antagonist PG20N (+35 ± 2.2% on top of diabetic values, $p < 0.01$ versus diabetic; Figure 6) or MC1 antagonist AGRP (+36.2 ± 1.8%, $p < 0.01$ versus diabetic; Figure 6). Agonism at MC1 or MC5 decreased levels of VEGF in the retina of diabetic mice back to levels detected in retinas of untreated diabetic mice ($p < 0.01$; Figure 6).

MC3-MC4 appear not be involved in this protective effect, as the compounds MTII and SHU9119 failed to modulate VEGF levels assayed during the development of retinopathy in diabetic mice ($p > 0.05$; Figure 6).

In line with this trend, immunohistochemistry for ki-67 showed a decrease in the percentages of positive stained area/total stained area following intravitreal injection of BMS-470539 (MC1 agonist, −64.2 ± 6% versus diabetic) or PG-901 (MC5 agonist, −68.6 ± 7%) as calculated against the values quantified in week 16 diabetic mice (Figure 7).

### 3.6. Melanocortin Receptor Activation Changes Macrophage Phenotype Polarizations

Western blotting of retina
homogenates showed that the presence of the CD11c marker for M1 macrophages was increased in diabetic mice at all time points considered, compared with healthy nondiabetic mice (Figure 8). There was a good correlation between the number of M1 macrophages and the levels of occludin in the retina with an $r^2 = 0.9732$. The number of M1 macrophages was further increased by intravitreal injection of the MC1 or MC5 antagonist (Figure 8). In contrast, intravitreal injection of the MC1 or MC5 agonist in diabetic mice affected by retinopathy decreased the binding for CD11c (Figure 8).

To complement these analyses, we then also assessed expression of an M2 marker, the mannose receptor CD206. Intravitreal treatment with BMS-470539 or PG-901 increased CD206 detection, when compared to diabetic mice (Figure 8), in line with the improvement of the ocular signs recorded with the FAG. This suggests an elevated presence of M2 subtype macrophages.

### 3.7. Melanocortin Receptor Activation Modulates Cytokine and Chemokine Expression Profiles

Around 40 cytokines and chemokines were analysed using the retinal extracts. The proinflammatory cytokine IL-1β was increased by ~61% in response to the development of retinopathy ($p < 0.01$ versus nondiabetic mice without retinopathy; Figure 9). The melanocortin receptor agonists AGRP and PG20N further increased expression levels for IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, MIP-1α, MIP-2α, and MIP-3α (Figure 9). For example, IL-1β was increased by 26 ± 2% and 28 ± 1.8%, respectively, for AGRP and PG20N ($p < 0.01$ versus diabetic). Administration of BMS-470539 or PG-901 significantly diminished IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, MIP-1α, MIP-2α, and MIP-3α in the retina ($p < 0.01$ versus diabetic; Figure 9). MTII and SHU9119 did not significantly affect the expression of these proinflammatory mediators (Figure 9).

A similar trend was observed for the proinflammatory chemokines MIP-1α, MIP-2α, and MIP-3α, where the highest levels were reached in diabetic mice 16 weeks after diabetes induction (Figure 9). Modulation of MC1 and MC5 receptor signaling by means of agonists and antagonists significantly modified MIP-1α, MIP-2α, and MIP-3α levels (Figure 9).

Finally, and of further interest, while IL-10 levels were low in the retina of diabetic mice with retinopathy, the expression of this anti-inflammatory cytokine was significantly increased after the injection of either MC1 or MC5 agonist, for example, +64 ± 7% and +56 ± 4.2% for BMS-470539 and PG-901, respectively ($p < 0.01$ versus diabetic mice) (Figure 9).

### 4. Discussion

In this study we investigated the putative protective effect of intravitreal injection of melanocortin agonists in a model of streptozotocin- (STZ-) induced diabetic retinopathy (DR) in mice. This is in light of the incomplete and still ongoing knowledge of the pathogenetic molecular mechanism underlying DR, the lack of structural, functional, and biochemical studies in human subjects, and the need of new local therapeutic options.
Figure 4: FAG performed at baseline (nondiabetic) and after 8, 12, and 16 weeks from diabetes induction after the intravitreal injection of the MC₁ receptor antagonist AGRP, and the MC₅ receptor antagonist PG20N. At baseline no vascular alterations were present 8 weeks following diabetic induction, FAG depicted an increased vascular tortuosity with hyperfluorescent area due to the presence of a venous loop in the retinal inferior nasal area. The first retina damage appears 8 weeks following diabetic induction and was characterized by an extensive hyperfluorescent area of vascular leakage. At 12 and 16 weeks this hyperfluorescent area was extended with progressive dye diffusion. The number of mice for each group was \( n = 10 \) nondiabetic mice and 8 diabetic mice with retinopathy. VL = venous loop.

Figure 5: Representative FAGs after intravitreal MC₃-MC₄ receptor antagonist SHU9119, and MTII, MC₃-MC₄ receptor agonist in diabetic mice with retinopathy. Evident was a progressive increase of the vessel irregularity during the follow-up without microvascular abnormalities or vessel leakage. The number of mice for each group was \( n = 10 \) nondiabetic mice (baseline) and 8 diabetic mice with retinopathy. VI = vascular irregularity.
Figure 6: Occludin and vascular endothelial growth factor levels into the retina of STZ-diabetic mice. A Quantikine ELISA kit was used in order to assay after 16 weeks of diabetes the levels of occludin into the retina of nondiabetic and diabetic mice following intravitreal administration of selective melanocortin receptor agonists/antagonists: MC₁ receptor agonist BMS-470539; MC₃-MC₄ melanocortin receptor agonist MTII; MC₁ receptor antagonist agouti related protein (AGRP); MC₅ melanocortin receptor agonist PG-901; MC₃-MC₄ melanocortin receptor antagonist SHU9119; MC₅ melanocortin receptor antagonist PG20N. The values represent the mean ± SEM of 8–10 observations. Significant differences against nondiabetic mice are expressed as *p < 0.01. Significant differences versus diabetic are expressed as ∗p < 0.01.

Figure 7: Representative immunohistochemistry after 16 weeks of diabetes for ki-67 in the retina of nondiabetic mice (nondiabetic), STZ-diabetic mice with retinopathy (diabetic) after intravitreal treatment or not with MC₁ receptor agonist BMS-470539; MC₃-MC₄ melanocortin receptor agonist MTII; MC₁ receptor antagonist agouti related protein (AGRP); MC₅ melanocortin receptor agonist PG-901; MC₃-MC₄ melanocortin receptor antagonist SHU9119; MC₅ melanocortin receptor antagonist PG20N. Percentage of positive stained area/total area with significant differences against nondiabetic mice is expressed as *p < 0.01. Significant differences versus diabetic are expressed as ∗p < 0.01. R = retina; arrows indicate the positive immunostaining.
The data presented here indicates that mice suffering from prolonged (16 weeks) diabetes develop retinal alterations typical of nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (DR), such as microaneurysms with irregular vascular course and vessel leakage, appearing from 12 weeks after the onset of diabetes. These alterations were markedly reduced by the intravitreal injection of the MC1 and MC5 melanocortin receptor agonists BMS-470539 and PG-901, respectively. These compounds preserved a regular course and caliber of the vessel with no signs of leakage even 16 weeks after diabetes induction. In contrast, animals treated with the MC3 antagonist AGRP, or the MC5 antagonist PG20N, showed worsening of DR clinical signs. As early as 8 weeks after induction of diabetes, approximately 80% of mice treated with MC1–MC5 antagonists display appearance of venous loop with marked leakage, due to an increased vascular permeability, and vascular tortuosity. Therefore, these antagonists enable identification of a “protective melanocortin tone” in the eye during DR.

DR is clinically divided in two types: nonproliferative (NPDR) and proliferative (PDR) [20]. The two types exhibit typical and distinctive clinical signs. Thus, NPDR is characterized by microaneurysms, dot and blot hemorrhages, and, in severe cases, retinal microvascular damage and intraretinal microvascular abnormalities [32]. PDR, on the other hand, is characterized by abnormal retinal neovascularization due to capillary nonperfusion, and retinal ischemia [33]. Vascular changes characteristics of diabetic retinopathy in humans have been widely documented in diabetic rats, dogs, and cats [34–39], including the breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier, damage in nonvascular retinal neurons and Müller glial cells, thickening of the capillary basement membrane, reduction in the number of pericytes, and an increase in the number of acellular capillaries [34–39].

The model we used here replicates the early signs of nonproliferative DR, such as loss of retinal pericytes and capillaries, thickening of the vascular basement membrane, and increased vascular permeability [23], signs that were significantly reduced by activation of retinal MC1 and MC5 receptors. These receptors are part of the 4 receptors that are differentially expressed in the neuroretina layers [12, 16]; as MC2 and MC4 receptors are localized in the layer of retinal ganglion cells, MC3 receptors are expressed in the neural outer plexiform layer, whilst the retinal pigment epithelial cells express MC1 and MC5 receptors [16, 17]. They translate the actions of melanocortins in ocular immunity, development, and health, and in neurotrophism of eye tissues [18–20], together with biomolecular changes relating to cell protection. MC3 and MC5 do not appear to transduce the protective effects of melanocortin peptides, at least in these
Figure 9: Array of cytokines and chemokines released in the retina of diabetic mice. A specific ELISA kit was used in order to quantify the levels of cytokines and chemokines after 16 weeks of diabetes in mice treated or not with the melanocortin receptor agonists/antagonists as in Figure 8. The values represent the mean ± SEM of 8–10 mice per group. Significant differences against nondiabetic mice are expressed as * \( p < 0.01 \); significant differences versus diabetic are expressed as ** \( p < 0.01 \).
setting and with respect to the markers under analysis in our setting. DR is a pathology initiated by hyperglycemia which overall (i) increases polyol pathway flux; (ii) increases advanced glycation end-product (AGE) formation; (iii) activates protein kinase C (PKC) isoforms; and (iv) increases hexosamine pathway flux [40]. These events lead to upregulation of VEGF, insulin-like growth factor (IGF), angiopoietins (Ang-2), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and IL-6 [41–43], responsible for a florid inflammatory response within the eye. Modulation of melanocortin receptor activity did not affect systemic glycemia, suggesting therefore local activation of protective mechanisms. Although we cannot rule out potential off-target activity of the melanocortin receptor agonists that may influence the results, we propose that specific activation/deactivation of inflammatory and oxidative signaling regulated by selective melanocortin receptor agonists may constitute an alternative approach for the treatment of DR, affording protection of retinal vessels, slowing down, and/or preventing the onset of early vascular changes typical of DR.

Congruent with these effects, and the notion of localised responses, functional actions of MC₃ -MC₅ evoked changes in the main mediators of the inflammatory response including cytokines (such as IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10) and chemokines (such as MIP-1α, MIP-2α, and MIP-3α). These actions were married to changes of the main markers of vessel proliferation such as VEGF and ki-67. This evidence is supported by previous and almost extensive studies on melanocortin activities in a wide range of settings [14], including their protective effects in chondrocytes [44, 45] and human primary cells [46] and in vivo models of diseases like rheumatoid arthritis, colitis, allergic airway inflammation, or ischemia reperfusion injury [12, 16, 36, 47]. These multiple properties are likely due to the ability of melanocortins to reduce production of proinflammatory cytokines by inhibiting NF-κB translocation to the nucleus as demonstrated by Manna and Aggarwal [5] or production of anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 from monocytes as demonstrated by Redondo et al. [8], Grabbe et al. [9], and ourselves here. Further support to the data presented and discussed here derives from the protective and anti-inflammatory properties of melanocortins in experimental models of exogenous uveitis [20, 48] and of retinal degeneration [19]. Retinal MC₃-MC₅ activation also modified the retinal macrophage population commonly associated with the released cytokines, and with the disruption of normal retina structure. In this context we observed a high level of M1 macrophages within the retina of diabetic mice that developed retinopathy, as evidenced by the western blotting performed with the specific M1 marker CD11c, with respect to the mice that developed diabetes only without retinopathy. These macrophages are notoriously characterized by a strong propensity to the production of cytokines and nitric oxide and reactive oxygen [49], which contribute to the retinal vascular damage. M2 macrophages were abundantly present into the retina after the stimulation of the MC₃ or MC₅ for 8 weeks. Noteworthy, these macrophages are associated with resolution of the immune-inflammatory responses into tissues activated by previous insults [50, 51] and into the consequent tissue regeneration.

Although clinically DR can be managed through the control of the metabolic glucose pathway, we conclude this study by proposing that stimulation of the endogenous melanocortin system in the eye through the local activation of MC₃ and MC₅ may reduce the retinal damage caused by diabetes. This may be the start of a melanocortin-based therapy for DR, especially when considering that several natural and synthetic melanocortin receptor agonists are under clinical experimentation [52, 53].
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