Gastrointestinal Colonization with Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci In Hospitalized and Outpatients
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The incidence of infection and intestinal colonization with vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) is increasing in many countries in the last decade. Concerning the difficult antimicrobial treatment of infections caused by VRE, decreasing the incidence and prevalence of these infections is an important factor in VRE-induced morbidity and mortality control.

AIM: To determine the prevalence of gastrointestinal colonization with vancomycin resistant enterococci in hospitalized and outpatients, and to determine the genetic base of the vancomycin resistance in VRE isolates.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: Seven hundred and eighty stool specimens were investigated for the gastrointestinal carriage of vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE). Susceptibility to vancomycin was tested in all isolates by disk-diffusion test and E-test (AB Biodisk, Sweden). Determined vancomycin resistant enterococci were then tested for detection of vanA, vanB and vanC genes by PCR.

RESULTS: Vancomycin resistant strains of enterococci were isolated from 46 (16.1 %) of the 285 hospitalized patients and 5 (7.7 %) of the 65 patients living in the community (p < 0.05). The most of the highly resistant enterococci strains to vancomycin (95.2 %), were identified as E. faecium. Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) to vancomycin in all 39 vanA genotypes of E. faecium and two vanA genotypes of E. faecalis were > 256 µg/ml. Three vanB genotypes of E. faecalis and one vanB genotype of E. faecium had MICs of 32 µg/ml. All six vanC genotypes of E. gallinarum had MICs of 8 µg/ml. All vanA genotypes of VRE were highly resistant to vancomycin, with MICs above 256 µg/ml. Three vanB genotypes of VR E. faecium and one VR E. faecalis were resistant, with MICs 32 µg/ml. vanC genotypes of VR E. gallinarum were intermediate resistant to vancomycin with MICs of 8 µg/ml.

CONCLUSIONS: The prevalence of vancomycin resistant enterococci in Republic of Macedonia was 2-fold higher in hospitalized than in outpatients. VanA genotype was dominant in isolates of E. faecium and it was highly associated with the MIC values above the 256 µg/ml. Since most of the enterococcal infections are endogenous, there is a need for screening the colonization of patient’s intestinal flora with VRE at the hospital entry. Identification and genotyping of faecal enterococci, together with their susceptibility testing to vancomycin, could be useful marker for the infection control.

Introduction

Enterococci are inhabitants of the gastrointestinal tract which can colonize particular parts of the human body and cause: intra-abdominal infections, urinary tract infections, wound infections, endocarditis, bloodstream infections and sepsis [1-3]. Enterococci are quite resistant microorganisms, surviving in both animate and inanimate surfaces: bathroom sinks, thermometers, bed rails, night tables and other hospital equipment, for extended period of time [4]. This feature of enterococci increases their potential for spreading in the surrounding and for their transmission from person-to-person very easily.

With the increasing resistance of enterococci to penicillins and aminoglycosides, the addition of resistance to vancomycin severely limitate therapeutic options for those persons who develop infections with
these highly resistant strains [5]. Many reports from different countries worldwide emphasize the rapid increase in the incidence of infection as well as colonization with vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) in the last five years [6]. Concerning the difficult antimicrobial treatment of infections caused by VRE, their decreasing is an important factor in VRE-induced morbidity and mortality control. It seems that the high rate of patient’s VRE colonization is an important factor in infection control. Many risk factors play an important role in colonization and infection with VRE: prolonged hospitalization, serious medical conditions, intensive care unit stays, abdominal and thoracic surgery, and urinary catheterization. Use of vancomycin, third generation of cephalosporins and antibiotics with anaerobic activity (imipenem, metronidazole and clindamycin) significantly associate with colonization and infection with VRE. Many studies have shown that intestinal colonization of VRE depends on geographic factors [7].

Since the prevalence of VRE as a health marker for infection control in hospitals has not been investigated in Republic of Macedonia, the objectives of the study was to determine the prevalence of gastrointestinal colonization with Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci in hospitalized and outpatients, and to determine the genetic base of the vancomycin resistance in VRE isolates.

**Material and Methods**

In a period of 3 months (August to October 2013), the Laboratory for enteric infections at the Institute for Microbiology and Parasitology, Medical Faculty of the Ss Cyril and Methodius in Skopje, R. Macedonia, has received 585 stool specimens from patients between 40 and 70 years of age, hospitalized at the internal and surgery clinics, as well as clinic for infectious diseases. For each patient age, sex and length of hospital stay were recorded. In the same period, 195 stool specimens were received from the patients living in the community, with the same range of age as hospitalized patients. All 780 specimens were received for examination of bacteria as etiologic agents of gastrointestinal infections, and for investigation of the gastrointestinal carriage of vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE). For that purpose specimens were inoculated on 5% sheep blood agar and incubated at 36-37°C for 24 hours in an aerobic conditions. Suspected colonies of enterococci were selected and identified by morphology and biochemical tests: catalase (negative) and esculin (positive) reaction.

**Susceptibility testing**

Initial susceptibility to vancomycin was tested by disk-diffusion test according to the recommendations of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards [8]. It was confirmed by E-test (AB Biodisk, Sweden). Bacterial suspensions of enterococci prepared with a turbidity-adjusted to achieve a final inoculum of 105 CFU/ml, were inoculated at Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood. They were incubated under the same conditions as during their initial isolation. The susceptibility of isolated enterococci to vancomycin was analysed according to the following criteria: MIC < 4 mg/ml was considered as sensitive to vancomycin, MIC between 4 and 16 mg/ml was considered as intermediate resistant to vancomycin, MIC equal or above 32 mg/ml was considered as resistant to vancomycin and MIC = 256 mg/ml was considered as highly resistant to vancomycin. Data were analyzed with SPSS (Version 13) software using chi-square test.

**DNA isolation**

Colonies of isolated enterococci were suspended in TEG buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Glucose). A lysosime solution (10 mg/liter) was added and the mixture was incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. DNA was eluted with 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH=8.0).

**PCR assay for vanA, vanB and vanC genes**

10 µl of DNA was added to a 90 µl PCR mixture containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH=8.0), 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM (each) the four deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates, 50 pmol of each primer from the three different primer couples (Table 1) and 1.2 U of Taq DNA polymerase.

| Gene  | Primer sequence | Product size (bp) |
|-------|-----------------|------------------|
| vanA  | 5‘ GGG AAA ACG ACA AAT GC 3’ | 792 |
| vanA  | 5‘ GTA CAA TGC GGC GTG TA 3’ | 433 |
| vanB  | 5‘ GTG ACA AAC CGG AGG AGA GGA GGA 3’ | 32 |
| vanB  | 5‘ CGG CAT CCT CCT GCA AAA AA 3’ | 439 |
| vanC  | 5‘ CTC CTA CTA CGA TCT TCT TG 3’ | 439 |
| vanC  | 5‘ CGA GCA AGA CCT TTA AG 3’ | 439 |

Amplification of DNA was performed in an Applied Biosystems thermocycler by using denaturation of DNA at 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 30 cycles of 1 minute of denaturation at 94°C, 1 minute of primers annealing at 54°C and 1 minute of extension at 72°C. Amplicons were analyzed by UV illuminator after running electrophoresis on a 1.5 % agarose gel containing 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide.
Results

One- hundred- twenty- nine (45.2 %) of the 285 hospitalized patients and 19 (29.2 %) of 65 patients living in the community carried enterococci in their gastrointestinal tract (p < 0.05). The mean age of the study participants was 53.6 (SD=25.2) years. 58.5% of all participants were male. Fifty-two (40.3 %) out of 129 enterococci isolated from hospitalized patients and 6 (31.5 %) out of 19 enterococci isolated from outpatients respectively, were identified as Enterococcus faecium (p < 0.01) (Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution of Enterococcus spp. and E. faecium in hospitalized and outpatients.

| Number of patients | No. and % of Enterococcus spp. | No. and % of Enterococci isolates identified as E. faecium |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Hospitalized (285) | 129/285 (45.2 %) | 52/129 (40.3 %) |
| Outpatients (65)   | 19/65 (29.2 %)  | 6/19 (31.5 %)  |
| Total No. of patients (350) | 148/350 (42.3 %) | 58/148 (39.2 %) |

Therefore, E. faecium was isolated from 52 (18.2 %) out of 285 hospitalized patients and 6 (9.2 %) out of 65 patients living in the community (p < 0.05) (Table 3). Seventy-seven (59.7 %) out of 129 enterococci isolated from hospitalized patients and 13 (68.4 %) out of 19 enterococci isolated from outpatients belonged to Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus gallinarum. Enterococcus faecalis was identified in 56 (43.4 %) out of 129 isolates of enterococci from hospitalized patients, and in 10 (52.6 %) out of 19 isolates of enterococci from outpatients. Enterococcus gallinarum was identified in 21 (16.3 %) out of 129 isolates of enterococci from hospitalized patients, and in 3 (15.8 %) out of 19 isolates of enterococci from outpatients (Table 3).

Table 3: Distribution of three different species of enterococci in hospitalized and outpatients.

| Number of patients | E. faecium | E. faecalis | E. gallinarum |
|--------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Hospitalized (285) | 51/52 (98.1 %) | 1/1 (100 %) | 0/0 (0 %) |
| Outpatients (65)   | 6/6 (100 %)  | 0/0 (0 %)  | 0/0 (0 %)  |
| Total No. of patients (350) | 57/58 (16.5 %) | 1/1 (100 %) | 0/0 (0 %) |

Vancomycin resistant strains of enterococci were isolated from 46 (16.1 %) of the 285 hospitalized patients, and 5 (7.7 %) of the 65 patients living in the community (p < 0.05). Forty (86.9 %), 4 (8.69 %) and 2 (4.34 %) vancomycin resistant isolates of E. faecium, E. gallinarum and E. faecalis respectively, belonged to the hospitalized patients. Two (40 %), 2 (40 %) and 1 (20 %) vancomycin resistant isolates of E. faecium, E. gallinarum and E. faecalis respectively, belonged to the patients living in the community (Table 4).

According to the MICs, 97 (65.6 %) out of the 148 enterococci isolated from both hospitalized and outpatients were susceptible to vancomycin (VSE), with MIC < 4 µg/ml. Eighty-three (64.3%) out of 129 VSE isolates belonged to hospitalized patients and 14 (73.5 %) out of 19 VSE isolates belonged to outpatients. In 51 (35.4 %) out of 148 isolated enterococci was detected resistance to vancomycin (vancomycin-resistant enterococci, VRE). On the basis of MICs, VRE isolates were separated into 3 groups: 6 (11.76 %) revealed intermediate resistance to vancomycin (group 1), 4 (7.84 %) were resistant to vancomycin (group 2) and 41 (80.40%) were highly resistant to vancomycin (group 3) (Table 4). The most of highly resistant VRE (95.2 %) were identified as E. faecium, and the other 0.8 % belonged to E. faecalis. Three isolates of resistant VRE were E. faecium and only one E. faecalis. All intermediate resistant VRE were identified as E. gallinarum (Table 5).

Table 4: Three different species of Vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) isolated from hospitalized and outpatients.

| Three different species of VRE | VRE isolated from hospitalized patients No. and (%) | VRE isolated from outpatients No. and (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| E. faecium                    | 40 (86.9 %)                                      | 2 (40 %)                                 |
| E. gallinarum                 | 4 (8.69 %)                                       | 2 (40 %)                                 |
| E. faecalis                   | 2 (4.34 %)                                       | 1 (20 %)                                 |
| Total                         | 46 (100.0 %)                                     | 5 (100 %)                                |

Molecular analysis showed the presence of three genotypes of Enterococcus: vanA, vanB and vanC (Fig. 1).

Figure 1: Detection of vanA, vanB and vanC genes by PCR. (Lines: M -> PCR markers; Lines: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 -> vanB ; Line: 8 -> vanC; Lines: 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 -> vanA).

Fourth-one (80.4 %), 4 (7.8 %) and 6 (11.8 %) out of 51 VRE isolates has vanA, vanB and vanC genotype, respectively. VanA genotype was detected in 39 (92.85 %) out of 42 isolates of Enterococcus faecium, and 2 (66.6 %) out of 3 isolates of Enterococcus faecalis. VanB genotype was detected in 3 (7.15 %) out of 42 isolates of E. faecium and 1 (33.3%) out of 3 isolates of E. faecalis. VanC was detected in all 6 (100 %) isolates of E. gallinarum (Table 6).
Table 6: Three different genotypes in Vancomycin Resistant Enterococcus (VRE) isolates.

| van genotypes in VRE isolates | van genotypes in VRE isolates No. and (%) | E. faecium No. and (%) | E. faecalis No. and (%) | E. gallinarum No. and (%) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| vanA                          | 41 (86.4)                                | 35/42 (92.85)          | 2/3 (66.6)             | 0/0 (0.0)                |
| vanB                          | 4 (7.8)                                  | 3/42 (7.15)           | 1/3 (33.3)            | 0/0 (0.0)                |
| vanC                          | 6 (11.8)                                 | 3/37 (8.10)           | 1/3 (33.3)            | 1/6 (16.6)               |
| Total number of VRE isolates  | 51 (100)                                 | 35 (68.6)             | 2/6 (33.3)            | 0/6 (0.0)                |

Discussion

The increasing incidences of colonization and infection with VRE have caused serious concern to physicians [9]. This study documents the prevalence of intestinal colonization in selected patients hospitalized at the internal clinics, surgical clinics, clinic for infective diseases, as well as patients living in the community (outpatients). Enterococci were found in 45.2 % of hospitalized patients and in 29.2 % of outpatients, and these results are in agreement with the findings in the literature [10]. E. faecium was isolated from 40.3 % of hospitalized and 31.5 % of outpatients, which is in agreement with previous findings of E. faecium [11]. In our study was not found significant difference between the prevalence of gastrointestinal tract colonization with E. faecium and E. faecalis in hospitalized patients, but the results have shown that E. gallinarum was significantly less common gastrointestinal tract colonizer in hospitalized patients (p < 0.05). In the group of outpatients was found the highest prevalence of gastrointestinal colonization with E. faecalis. The prevalence of VRE in hospitalized patients (16.1 %) was much higher than in outpatients (7.7 %) (p < 0.05). The rate of VRE colonization varies widely in different studies. The study of Gambarotto et al. showed the prevalence of VRE in hospitalized patients of 37 % [12], but in the study of Wisplinghoff et al. was shown that the prevalence of vancomycin resistant enterococci in hospitalized patients was 2 % and 60 % for E. faecalis and E. faecium, respectively [13]. The colonization level with VRE in outpatients from Europe parallels the colonization level of animals with this resistant microorganism [14]. We have no official data about the usage of vancomycin as a growth promoter in animals in the Republic of Macedonia, but on the bases of our findings regarding the low prevalence of VRE in outpatients with only 7.7 %, we can presume that it is not the case. n this study, we found a significant difference in the prevalence of particular species of VRE. The most prevalent species, with 86.9 % in hospitalized patients, was E. faecium, against the prevalence of vancomycin resistant E. gallinarum and vancomycin resistant E. fecalis with 8.69 % and 4.34 %, respectively (p < 0.05). These data agree with those found in the literature [15, 16]. Analyzing the genotypes in VRE, we found that the most prevalent genotype was vanA (80.4 %), against vanB and vanC genotypes, present in 7.8 % and 11.8 % of VRE isolates, respectively. VanA genotype was dominant in isolates of E. faecium, presenting 39 (92.85 %) out of 42 isolates. VanB genotype was detected in 3 and 1 isolate of E. faecium and E. fecalis, respectively. VanC was detected in 6 isolates of E. gallinarum. Knowledge of the type of resistance is critical for infection control purposes. As transferable genes, vanA and vanB can spread from person to person and in that manner they can increase acquired resistance. Determination of the investigated VRE strains as vanA and vanB genotypes confirmed that the most isolates of VRE had acquired resistance. In contrast, taking in consideration that vanC genes are nontransferable and mediates low level of vancomycin resistance, they are not associated with serious infections and outbreaks and should not be considered important from an infection control point of view. Our findings of vanA and vanB genotypes resistancy agree with those found in the literature [17-19].

In conclusion, this study showed that the prevalence of vancomycin resistant enterococci in R. Macedonia was 2-fold higher in hospitalized than in outpatients. This high rate of VRE colonization is an important factor in infection control. VanA genotype was dominant in isolates of E. faecium and it was highly associated with the MIC values above 256 μg/ml. Since most of the enterococcal infections are endogenous, there is a need for screening the colonization of patient’s intestinal flora with VRE at the hospital entry. Identification and genotyping of fecal enterococci, together with the investigation of their susceptibility to vancomycin, could be useful marker for the infection control.
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