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Abstract

Ziziphus mauritiana Lamk, is commonly known as Indian jujube, is an underutilized fruit and native to India. Fruits are small, round to oblong shaped fruits, with thin and glossy skin. The ber fruits contain have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antibacterial properties. Ber fruits deteriorate rapidly after harvest and can only be stored for 2-4 days. Therefore, the present study was conducted to extend the storability and preserve the ber quality during storage at ambient conditions. An experiment was conducted to study the effect of pre-harvest application of salicylic acid (200, 400, 600 ppm) and propyl gallate (150, 300 and 450) ppm on storage quality of ber cv Banarasi Karaka. Fruits of uniform in size were harvested at physiological maturity stage (pea, marble and 15 days before harvesting). Treated fruits were packed in CFB and boxes stored at room temperature. Stored fruit were evaluated after 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 days. The maximum retention of fruit quality attributes were recorded in SA (600 ppm) TSS, acidity, Vitamin C and total sugar which was followed propyl galalte (450 ppm) treated fruits. Both TSS, ascorbic acid and total sugar showed similar trends of increase up to 6th days of storage followed by a decrease. However, acidity decreased continuously with enhancement of storage period. At the end of the storage, maximum TSS, total acidity, ascorbic acid and total sugars were noted under salicylic acid (600 ppm) treated fruits, followed by fruits treated with propyl gallate (450 ppm). Studies revealed that salicylic acid (600 ppm) treatment have potential to maintain ber fruit maintained quality up to 8days of storage.
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Introduction

In Indian, the arid zone covered the around 12% of country geographical are occupying 31.8 million hectares. Ber (Zizyphus mauritiana Lamk.) is also known as Indian jujube, it is called king of arid zone and also as poor man’s apple and belong to family Rhamnaceae. The area under cultivation with this fruit 5 million hectare with an annual production 6.3 MT (NHB, 2017). The ripe ber fruit have great medicinal value and support to blood pressure, lowering aid stomach and diarrhea infection (Dalal et al., 2019) and pulp has contained high sugar content (Sucrose, glucose fructose and starch) which
provide energy and also contain many amino acids like, glutamic acid, aspartic acid serine and threonine (Bal, 1981). It also rich in calorific value and ascorbic acid in comparison to apple and orange and also rich in calcium, phosphorus and protein (Jawanda and Bal 1978). The genus Ziziphus has around 170 species (Islam and Simmons, 2006), of which 17 are native of India (Watt, 1883; Bailey, 1947; Singh et al., 2000). It is an ideal fruit crops to be grown in the arid and semi-arid zones of northern India, because of its very low irrigation requirement in the hot and dry months, particularly in May and June, when it sheds its leaves and enters into a period of dormancy. Due to high economic returns, improved budded varieties of ber are being cultivated on a commercial scale in Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh. It thrives well even under adverse conditions, viz., salinity, drought and waterlogging.

Ber fruits are highly perishable in nature and cannot be stored for long periods under ambient conditions (Salunkhe and Kadam, 1995). This concept to growers to sell their produce in the local market at a low price. Therefore, it is need of time to enhance shelf life of ber fruits in order to make its cultivation profitable to growers through use of chemicals. Propyl Gallate is a phenolic antioxidant widely used in food, cosmetic and pharmaceuticals.

It is a free radical scavenger and inhibits the germination of seed because not osmotic effect (Chaudhary and Kar, 2008). So it is used as preservative and increased postharvest life of fruits. Salicylic acid (SA), an endogenous plant growth regulator and phenolic compound, which is generates metabolic and physiological responses in plants without affecting their growth and development. It delays the ripening of fruits due to inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis and maintains the postharvest quality of fruits (Srivastva and Diwedi 2000). Synthesis of SA in plants by glycosylated or methylated. In glycosylated, Glucose conjugation at the hydroxyl group and produces SA glucoside [SA 2-β-D-glucoside] as a major conjugate, while glucose conjugation at the SA carboxyl group produces the SA glucose ester in minor amount. The present investigation was therefore, undertaken Pre-harvest application of salicylic acid and propyl gallate to preserve postharvest quality of ber.

**Materials and Methods**

The present investigation entitled the present investigation was therefore, undertaken to Pre-harvest application of salicylic acid and propyl gallate to preserve postharvest quality of ber (Ziziphus mauritiana Lamk) fruits cv Banarasi Karaka, which was carried out at Horticulturist Unit, BHU, Varanasi during 2016-17 and 2017-18. Treated ber fruits of uniform size were harvested at optimum maturity from the trees. The Ber trees were sprayed with salicylic acid @ 200, 400 and 600 ppm and propyl gallate @ 150, 300 and 450 ppm, at maturity (pea, marble and 15 days before harvesting) before harvesting. the growth to find out the effect of different treatments on shelf life of ber fruits. The investigation was laid out in CRD (Complete Randomized Design) with three replications. Each replication comprised two-kilogram of fruit. The fruits were analyzed for different chemical attributes like Total soluble solids, acidity, ascorbic acid and total sugar, after 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 days of storage.

TSS was determined from the juice extracted from the fresh pulp of fruits. The fruits were taken randomly on each date of data recording. It was measured by digital refractometer. The recorded reading were corrected with the help of temperature correction chart at 20°C temperature and expressed as °Brix (AOAC, 2000).
Titrable acidity was estimated by titrating juice against 0.1 N sodium hydroxide solution using phenolphthalein as internal indicator and expressed as percent anhydrous citric acid (AOAC, 2000). Ascorbic acid content was determined by titrating the juice against 2, 6-dichlorophenol indophenol dye solution to a light pink color which persisted for 15 seconds. Finally, results were expressed as mg/100g of fruits (A.O.A.C, 2000). The total sugar content was determined by titrating boiling Fehling’s solution (5ml A+5ml B) against aliquot using methylene blue as indicator (A.O.A.C., 2000)

**Results and Discussion**

The analyzed data presented in Table 1 showed statistically significant differences at p≤0.05, effect of treatments, storage period and their interaction on TSS was found non-significant in the fruits. The fruits showed TSS (15.39 and 15.80 °Brix) of both years respectively treated with SA 600 ppm as compared to all the treatments and minimum TSS (13.11 and 13.74 °Brix) recorded in control. The quality of fruits decreased with the enhancement of storage period. At the end of the storage fruits treated with salicylic acid retained maximum fruit quality characters. The enhancement in fruit quality may owe to delay in ripening of fruits through reduction in ethylene biosynthesis and action (Srivastava and Dwivedi, 2000). Similar results were also found in kiwifruit (Zhang et al., 2003). The TSS content of fruits increased up to 6 days of storage in all the treatments. However, after 8 days of storage there was appreciable decreases in TSS content in all the treated fruits. Similar trend was also noticed by Madhav et al., (2018) in guava fruits. Among all the treatments, salicylic acid (600 ppm) treated fruits noted were maximum TSS at the end of storage which was closely followed by propyl gallate (450 ppm) treated fruits.

Further, the increases in TSS may be attributed to conversion of starch to sugar during ripening. Higher concentrations of salicylic acid and propyl gallate might have suppressed the catabolic process such as respiration and ethylene production in treated ber fruits, which led to slow increase in TSS. However, decline in TSS content at 8th days of storage might be because of utilization of the primary substrate for respiration (Wills et al., 1980). Karlidag et al., (2009) reveled that salicylic acid treated fruit increased the TSS in strawberry fruits. Salicylic acid had a significant effect on apple in TSS (Shirzadeh and Kazemi, 2012)

The analyzed data presented in Table 2 showed statistically significant differences at p≤0.05 effect of treatments, storage period and their interaction on acidity was found non-significant in the fruits. The fruits show acidity (0.156 and 0.143 %) of both years respectively treated with SA 600 ppm as compared to all the treatments and minimum acidity (0.122 and 0.120 %) recorded in control. The decrease in acidity might be attributed to conversion of acids to sugars and then utilization of it in the respiration process (Pool et al., 1972). Sandbhor and Desai (1991) also reported a gradual decrease in acid content in ber fruit during storage. On 8th day of storage, the lowest acidity was recorded in control while it was highest in salicylic acid (600 ppm) followed by propyl gallate (450 ppm) treated fruits. The maintenance of the higher acidity during storage was due to reduction in respiration rate. The findings of present investigation corroborate the findings of Dastjerdi et al., (2014).The results in this line (Lu et al., 2011) in pineapple. The analyzed data presented in (Table 3) showed statistically significant differences at p≤0.05 regarding the effects of treatments, storage periods and their interaction on vitamin C contents in the fruits.
Table 1 Effect of salicylic acid and propyl gallate on total soluble solids of ber fruits during storage

| Treatments          | TSS (°Brix) | 2017 | 2018 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|---------------------|-------------|------|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                     |             | Days after storage | MEAN | MEAN | 0 | 2nd | 4th | 6th | 8th | MEAN | 0 | 2nd | 4th | 6th | 8th | MEAN |
| Control             |             |                  |      |      | 12.14 | 13.25 | 14.34 | 13.09 | 12.75 | 13.11 | 13.37 | 13.88 | 14.92 | 13.45 | 13.10 | 13.74 |
| (SA,200 ppm)        |             |                  |      |      | 12.45 | 13.45 | 14.94 | 15.34 | 13.89 | 14.01 | 13.82 | 14.59 | 15.34 | 15.88 | 14.10 | 14.74 |
| (SA, 400 ppm)       |             |                  |      |      | 12.73 | 14.40 | 15.45 | 15.89 | 14.53 | 14.60 | 14.25 | 15.13 | 15.65 | 16.34 | 14.34 | 15.14 |
| (SA, 600 ppm)       |             |                  |      |      | 13.27 | 15.73 | 16.33 | 16.65 | 15.00 | 15.39 | 14.55 | 15.65 | 16.44 | 17.28 | 15.12 | 15.80 |
| (PG,150 ppm)        |             |                  |      |      | 12.28 | 13.60 | 14.77 | 14.88 | 13.32 | 13.77 | 13.66 | 14.20 | 15.15 | 15.70 | 13.77 | 14.49 |
| (PG, 300 ppm)       |             |                  |      |      | 12.54 | 13.92 | 15.28 | 15.45 | 14.10 | 14.26 | 14.15 | 14.80 | 14.50 | 16.14 | 14.67 | 14.85 |
| (PG,450,ppm)        |             |                  |      |      | 13.17 | 14.98 | 15.72 | 15.90 | 14.45 | 14.84 | 14.45 | 15.31 | 16.20 | 16.90 | 14.80 | 15.53 |
| MEAN                |             |                  |      |      | 12.65 | 14.19 | 15.26 | 15.31 | 14.00 | 14.03 | 14.79 | 15.45 | 15.95 | 14.27 |      |      |
| CD VALUE            |             |                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| DAYS                |             |                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.431 |
| TREATMENT           |             |                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.364 |
| TREATMENT X DAYS    |             |                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.402 |
| TREATMENT X DAYS    |             |                  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | N/A   |

Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. (2020) 9(4): 2914-2923
Table 2 Effect of salicylic acid and propyl gallate on acidity % of ber fruits during storage

| TREATMENT     | 2017             |          |          |          |          | 2018             |          |          |          |          | MEAN          |
|---------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|
|               | Days after storage |          |          |          |          | Days after storage |          |          |          |          | MEAN          |
|               | 0 | 2nd | 4th | 6th | 8th | 0 | 2nd | 4th | 6th | 8th | MEAN |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Control       | 0.134 | 0.125 | 0.119 | 0.116 | 0.114 | 0.122 | 0.125 | 0.122 | 0.120 | 0.116 | 0.112 | 0.120 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| (SA, 200 ppm) | 0.143 | 0.138 | 0.132 | 0.129 | 0.128 | 0.134 | 0.136 | 0.132 | 0.129 | 0.125 | 0.121 | 0.129 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| (SA, 400 ppm) | 0.154 | 0.149 | 0.144 | 0.141 | 0.139 | 0.146 | 0.144 | 0.141 | 0.138 | 0.132 | 0.129 | 0.137 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| (SA, 600 ppm) | 0.160 | 0.158 | 0.156 | 0.152 | 0.151 | 0.156 | 0.152 | 0.148 | 0.143 | 0.140 | 0.133 | 0.143 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| (PG, 150 ppm) | 0.140 | 0.135 | 0.130 | 0.128 | 0.127 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.129 | 0.125 | 0.122 | 0.118 | 0.125 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| (PG, 300 ppm) | 0.147 | 0.143 | 0.139 | 0.137 | 0.135 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 0.136 | 0.133 | 0.128 | 0.124 | 0.132 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| (PG, 450, ppm)| 0.157 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0.150 | 0.148 | 0.152 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.140 | 0.134 | 0.130 | 0.139 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| MEAN          | 0.148 | 0.143 | 0.139 | 0.136 | 0.135 | 0.140 | 0.136 | 0.133 | 0.128 | 0.128 | 0.124 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| CD VALUE      | DATES |          | 0.009    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|              | TREATMENT |          | 0.008    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|              | TREATMENT X DATES |          | N/A    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
**Table 3** Effect of salicylic acid and propyl gallate on ascorbic acid content of ber fruits during storage

| Treatments | 2017 Days after storage | 2018 Days after storage | CD VALUE | TREATMENT | TREATMENT X DAYS |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|
|            | 0 | 2nd | 4th | 6th | 8th | MEAN | 0 | 2nd | 4th | 6th | 8th | MEAN |
| Control    | 84.18 | 86.32 | 90.23 | 83.12 | 80.90 | 84.86 | 93.15 | 93.80 | 94.45 | 93.22 | 87.24 | 93.43 |
| (SA, 200 ppm) | 88.92 | 89.12 | 93.45 | 95.50 | 93.45 | 92.09 | 98.83 | 99.27 | 100.75 | 101.34 | 100.34 | 100.77 |
| (SA, 400 ppm) | 92.45 | 92.89 | 97.22 | 99.32 | 97.33 | 95.84 | 100.52 | 100.90 | 101.22 | 102.10 | 101.45 | 102.05 |
| (SA, 600 ppm) | 96.71 | 96.92 | 101.55 | 102.42 | 100.79 | 99.68 | 101.40 | 101.91 | 102.46 | 102.96 | 101.80 | 102.73 |
| (PG, 150 ppm) | 86.45 | 87.12 | 91.40 | 92.68 | 88.42 | 89.25 | 95.52 | 96.40 | 96.87 | 98.22 | 97.75 | 97.26 |
| (PG, 300 ppm) | 90.42 | 91.40 | 94.18 | 95.35 | 91.34 | 92.54 | 99.87 | 100.12 | 100.73 | 101.28 | 100.93 | 101.18 |
| (PG, 450 ppm) | 94.51 | 94.88 | 99.34 | 101.63 | 99.85 | 97.95 | 100.88 | 101.44 | 102.20 | 102.80 | 101.50 | 102.38 |
| MEAN       | 90.52 | 91.23 | 95.37 | 95.65 | 93.09 | 98.59 | 99.12 | 99.69 | 101.11 | 99.95 |

MEAN

CD VALUE

DAYS

0.573

0.585

TREATMENT

0.484

0.494

TREATMENT X DAYS

1.28

1.308
Table 4 Effect of salicylic acid and propyl gallate on total sugars content (%) in ber fruits during storage

| TREATMENT          | 2017 Days after storage | 2018 Days after storage |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                   | 0  | 2nd | 4th | 6th | 8th | MEAN | 0   | 2nd | 4th | 6th | 8th  | MEAN  |
| Control           | 9.32| 9.80| 10.54| 9.80| 9.51| 9.80 | 9.65| 10.22| 10.75| 10.40| 10.04| 10.21 |
| (SA, 200 ppm)     | 9.72| 10.28| 10.84| 11.26| 10.62| 10.54 | 10.02| 10.64| 11.16| 11.56| 10.97| 10.87 |
| (SA, 400 ppm)     | 10.12| 10.80| 11.40| 11.71| 11.36| 11.07 | 10.69| 11.29| 11.69| 12.07| 11.84| 11.51 |
| (SA, 600 ppm)     | 10.99| 11.52| 11.87| 12.55| 12.16| 11.81 | 11.48| 11.78| 12.23| 13.04| 12.61| 12.22 |
| (PG, 150 ppm)     | 9.48| 10.12| 10.61| 11.19| 10.53| 10.38 | 9.84 | 10.47| 10.99| 11.38| 10.92| 10.72 |
| (PG, 300 ppm)     | 19.94| 10.60| 11.27| 11.44| 11.06| 10.86 | 10.35| 10.94| 11.46| 11.84| 11.44| 11.20 |
| (PG, 450 ppm)     | 10.65| 11.29| 11.62| 12.17| 11.75| 11.49 | 11.20| 11.49| 11.94| 12.62| 12.22| 11.89 |
| MEAN              | 10.03| 10.63| 11.16| 11.45| 10.99| 10.46| 10.97| 11.46| 11.84| 11.43 |
| CD VALUE          | DAYS | 0.521 | DAYS | 0.851 |
|                   | TREATMENT | 0.440 | TREATMENT | 0.721 |
|                   | TREATMENT X DAYS | N/A | TREATMENT X DAYS | N/A |
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The fruits show the higher vitamin C (99.68 and 102.72 mg/100g) under SA @600 ppm as compared to other treatments while minimum in control (84.86 and 93.43 mg/100g) in both years respectively. It is obvious from the data that increase in vitamin C content of ber fruits coincided with ripening process being maximum on 6th day of storage.

However, there was decline in ascorbic acid content of fruits at further enhancement in storage period. The delay in attainment of the maximum ascorbic acid content might be due to delayed ripening process in response to the treatment of the SA and PG the gradual increase in ascorbic acid content in ber fruits might be owing to fact that during ripening stages ascorbic acid was synthetized from uronic acid a component of pectin degradation (Hegedűs et al., 2011). SA treated fruit might have delayed pectin degradation by inactivating cell wall degrading enzymes (Li and Han 1999; Srivastava and Dwivedi, 2000). Shirazadeh and Kazemi (2012) reported that SA had significant effect on apple. Shafiee et al., (2010) revealed ascorbic acid delayed strawberry and similar finding were found in pineapple fruits (Lu et al., 2011).

Statistically significant results were found at p≤0.05 regarding the effects of treatments, storage periods and their interaction on total sugar content Table 4. The higher sugar found in (11.81 and 12.22 %) under SA @600 ppm in two years while minimum in control (9.80 and 10.21 %) in two years respectively.

Total sugars showed an increasing trend up to storage in all the treated fruits except in control, but decreased after 6th day of storage. Similar results were also reported by Jayachandran et al., (2005) in guava fruits. The increase in sugar content might be due to conversion of certain cell wall materials like pectin and hemicellulose into reducing substances during storage (Stahl and Camp, 1971). The increase in soluble sugar might be owing to action of sucrose phosphate synthases (SPS) resulted in the sucrose biosynthesis. The increased sucrose phosphate synthase increased activity in invertase enzyme and decreased activity of sucrose synthase as reported Cordenunsi and Laljolo (1995). These results agreed with findings of Hubbard et al., (1991) in strawberry fruits and longan fruits. Similar results were also reported by Sayari et al., (2009) postharvest management of pomegranate fruit treated SA.

Overall the results indicated that cv of ber Banarasi Karaka remained in better conditions after 6 day of storage at room temperature. SA @ 600 ppm effective in minimize loss of firmness, colour change and respiration rate and ethylene production rate, which helped in improving the shelf-life and maintained the quality of ber fruits during storage. Plant growth regulators are good prospective to increase productivity of fruits by bringing out a change in hormonal status of the plant which provide significant advantage to the growers. Exogenous application of Salicylic Acid at nontoxic concentration of susceptible fruit could enhance resistant to pathogen and control postharvest decay.
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