Antagonistic Activity of Fungal Endophytes Isolated from *Garcinia atroviridis* against *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*
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1. Introduction

*Garcinia atroviridis* is locally called as Asam gelugur. Belongs to the family of Clusiaceae, it can be found in Malaysia, Myanmar, India and is widely cultivated in Sumatera Island as one of export commodity purposes (MyBIS, n.d; Bayu *et al.* 2018). Other than being used in cooking as flavouring agent to replace tamarind, *G. atroviridis* is also being used traditionally in many ways to promote human health (Sultana *et al.* 2014). The plant parts has been used by the old folks as an abdominal reliever during pregnancy, cough and throat irritation remedy, to combat dandruff problem and can enhance better blood circulation in body (Taher *et al.* 2017). Based on Sultana *et al.* (2014); Taher *et al.* (2017); Bayu *et al.* (2018), the fruit of this plant contains many organic compounds but the most interesting is the hydroxycitric acid. This acid has been commercialized under weight management product. Besides that, the extracts from different plant parts have antibacterial, antifungal, antioxidants, and antitumor potential. However, investigations based on endophytic microorganism associated with *G. atroviridis* is still limited and needs to be explored (Sim *et al.* 2010).

Anthracnose is one of the most problematic and economically harmful plant diseases occur on a variety of crops. This disease infected most of the plant parts such as fruits, leaves, stems, and flowers (Kimaru *et al.* 2018). Anthracnose is favoured by humid, wet, warm condition and transmitted by rain splash, moist wind and infected seeds (Sharma and Kulshrestha 2015). There are several causal agents of this disease that have been documented, but the most commonly known is species of the genus *Colletotrichum* including *C. gloeosporioides*, *C. acutatum*, *C. capsici*, and *G. musae* (Than *et al.* 2008; Abd-Elsalam *et al.* 2010; Sarkar 2016; Waghunde *et al.* 2008)
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These species cause considerable damage to large numbers of crops and tropical fruits such as coffee, chili, strawberry, mango, banana, and avocado (Freitas et al. 2013; Oo and Oh 2016; Uddin et al. 2018). It is characterized by the development of dark sunken necrotic lesions on affected plant parts (Crump 2009). The critical phases for disease control are during flowering and fruit set, and after harvest which requires both pre and post treatments.

Commercial synthetic fungicides such as propineb, difenoconazole, chlorothalonil, propiconazole, and mancozeb are used to overcome anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum* sp. on various fruits and vegetables (Crump 2009). These chemicals are undoubtedly effective in controlling the disease, however, many of them have been banned in some countries due to their debits such as causing acute poisoning, birth defects, and endocrine disruption (PAN 2017). Hence, interest has been developed to create an alternative way that is safer and effective to be used in plant disease management. Replacing fungicides with biological control agents (BCAs) has been acknowledged for years as it could offer better results and cause no effect towards environment (Talapatra et al. 2017). It primarily relies on the use of antagonistic organisms that universally or specifically target the pathogen.

Endophytes are microorganisms that colonize within the plant cells without causing any harm and eventually establish mutualistic relationships with their plant host (Padhi et al. 2013). The host supplies nutrients and protection to endophytes while in return, endophytes produce novel bioactive metabolites which help in improving the host’s ability to tolerate biotic and abiotic stresses, promoting the plant growth and enhancing the resistance of its host to various types of pathogens (Tan and Zou 2001; Patra et al. 2016). Studies done by the researchers demonstrated that endophytic microorganisms could be recognized successful biopesticides in controlling plant damage caused by the phytopathogens (Phongpaichit et al. 2006; Bivi et al. 2010; Landum et al. 2016; Marcellano et al. 2017). To date, all plants that have been studied from various habitats, harbour one or more endophytic microorganisms (Jia et al. 2016). Therefore, the focus of this study was to test antagonistic activity of endophytic fungi isolated from *Garcinia atroviridis* against *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (*C. gloeosporioides*).

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Samples Collection

Sampling activity was carried out by following method by (Martin and Dombrowski 2015). Different parts (leaf, petiole, branch, fruit) of healthy host plants, *Garcinia atroviridis* were collected from Nasuha Herbal Farm, Muar, Johor, Malaysia. Samples were stored in an aseptic zipper bags separately during collection.

### 2.2. Isolation of Fungal Endophyte

All the plant tissues were thoroughly washed with running water and cut into 0.5 cm pieces. The method described by Zheng et al. (2017) was slightly modified and used for surface sterilization. The samples were surface sterilized by following series of immersion sequential steps: 70% Ethanol for 2 minutes, 2% Sodium Hypochlorite for 3 minutes, sterile distilled water for three times and allowed to dry on sterilized filter paper. After drying, the small pieces (3–5) of each plant parts were placed on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) supplemented by chloramphenicol. The plates were invertedly incubated for 2 weeks at 28°C for fungal development. Each colony that appeared from the edge of tissues was continuously sub-cultured in order to obtain pure culture. Colonization rate was calculated by dividing the total number of plant segments infected by fungal endophyte over total number of segments incubated. Isolation rate was determined as the number isolates obtained from plant segments divided by the total number of segments incubated (Sun et al. 2008; Pal et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2019).

### 2.3. Preparation of Pathogenic Fungus

Antagonistic activity of all endophytic fungi was evaluated against *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (FRIM 1319), which was obtained from Laboratory of Mycology and Pathology, Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong. The fungal pathogen was sub-cultured on PDA plate and incubated at 28°C. The mycelial plugs of fungal pathogen were stored in 30% glycerol at -80°C for long term preservation.
2.4. In vitro Evaluation of Endophyte Fungi against Colletotrichum gloeosporioides

The antagonistic potential of endophytic fungal isolates was assessed through direct confrontation method in accordance to Katoch and Pull (2017). The experiment was performed in triplicate and the mean values were recorded. A 5 mm agar plug of 7 day old endophyte fungus and pathogen were co-cultured at opposite site in PDA plate. The pathogen alone (without endophyte) was served as control. All the plates were invertedly incubated at 28°C for two weeks. The radial growth of pathogen cultured with/without endophyte fungal was measured daily. Then, the data was transformed into percentage inhibition of radial growth (PIRG) using the formula:

\[
PIRG (%) = \frac{CDC - CDT \times 100}{CDC}
\]

Where,
- CDC: radial growth of pathogen colony in the absence of endophyte (measured in cm)
- CDT: radial growth of pathogen colony in the presence of endophyte (measured in cm)

The data obtained from the observation on the fungal colony radial was subjected to analysis of variance (2-way ANOVA). The means were separated by Tukey's test at p<0.05 with SPSS statistical software.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation of Fungal Endophytes

A total of ninety two endophytic fungi were successfully isolated from different plant parts (branches, fruits, leaves, and petioles) of Garcinia atroviridis. Figure 1 shows the colonization frequency of endophytic fungi in branch (96.3%) was higher as compared to petiole (92.6%), leaf (77.85%), and fruit (44.4%). Similarly, endophytic fungi in branch recorded highest isolation rate (1.14), followed by petiole (1.11), leaf (0.67), and fruit (0.48) (Figure 2).

3.2. Screening of Endophytic Fungi against Colletotrichum gloeosporioides in Dual Culture Assay

The potential antagonistic activities of all endophytic fungi against C. gloeosporioides pathogen were investigated in dual culture assay. In dual culture plate, the percentage of inhibition radial growth (PIRG) of endophytes towards C. gloeosporioides was observed on 7th days and 14th day of incubation. All the endophyte isolates showed different degrees of inhibition toward the mycelial growth of pathogen, ranging from 0.00% and 67.38% (Figure 3). Generally, all endophyte isolates showed inhibitory activity on the pathogen except for the seven isolates which were F7, F50, F61, F68, F76, F78, and F85. From all the tested endophyte isolates, only 14 endophytic fungi showed >50% of PIRG and the others were categorised <50% of PIRG (Table 1). The highest PIRG value was observed in F14 (67.38%) and F65 isolates was recorded as the lowest PIRG value (5.08%).

4. Discussion

Medicinal plants have been targeted for their endophyte microorganisms as the endophytes have capability of producing similar secondary metabolites as their plant host (Zhao et al. 2010; Nazir and Rahman 2018). These fungal endophytes are now great used by practitioners in biological control practices against plant pathogens and pests. They have been investigated as potential biocontrol agents and proved to be successful antagonists towards...
In this study, about 92 endophytic fungi were cultivated from *G. atroviridis*. The colonization rate was calculated on the purpose of comparing the degrees of infected by fungal endophytes between different plant parts while the isolation rate could demonstrated the fungal richness in a given sample of plant parts (Sun et al. 2008). Based on the results, branches demonstrated the highest isolation and colonization of endophyte isolates followed by petioles, leaves and fruits. The result was align with the study made by Sun et al. (2008) which reported that the colonization of endophytic fungi in branches is higher than the leaves. The same results were pointed out by Phongpaichit et al. (2006). In contrast to these studies, Yu et al. (2018) reported that endophytic fungi isolated from *Camellia oleifera* was highest in leaves compared to other parts of

Table 1. Mean PIRG (%) of antagonistic potential of endophytic fungi against *C. gloeosporioides* on dual culture test

| Endophytic fungi | Day 7 (%) | Day 14 (%) |
|------------------|-----------|------------|
| F3               | 30.95±3.37| 58.36±0.66 |
| F4               | 30.95±3.37| 53.95±5.58 |
| F13              | 38.69±4.21| 51.32±1.86 |
| F14              | 47.62±4.12| 67.39±4.22 |
| F15              | 52.78±3.37| 64.39±1.67 |
| F19              | 38.10±6.73| 56.47±4.99 |
| F20              | 36.91±4.30| 53.95±5.58 |
| F21              | 44.44±4.81| 62.33±3.17 |
| F47              | 20.63±5.62| 51.86±1.62 |
| F53              | 28.57±6.19| 51.56±4.08 |
| F57              | 42.26±0.84| 59.41±0.83 |
| F63              | 21.03±4.18| 51.25±9.48 |
| F70              | 41.67±6.87| 61.45±5.03 |
| F95              | 50.00±0.84| 64.71±2.41 |

Values of PIRG (%) are means from 3 replications. Means followed by the same letter in each row are not significantly different at p<0.05 according to Tukey's test.
the plant. It can be said that the potential of fungal endophytes to colonize inter- or intracellular of plant is depends on their capability to utilize different substrates synthesize in different parts of the plant host (Sun et al. 2008; Pandey et al. 2014). This specific distribution has been looked as a strategy in order to reduce intense rivalry between the endosymbionts and preventing the plant host from an excessive population of endophytic microorganisms (Gimenez et al. 2007).

Dual culture assay is the basic method in order to assess antagonistic the pathogen tested (Rahman et al. 2010). This technique has been used in the studies done by (Devi and Singh 2015; Naidu et al. 2016; Yasmin et al. 2017). Results of direct inhibition test showed that 85 out of 92 endophytic fungi isolated from Garcinia atroviridis have the ability to inhibit the growth of C. gloeosporoioides. In this study, fourteen endophytic fungi (Figure 1) were classified as strong antagonist that might have potential to be used in biocontrol management plan to combat anthracnose disease caused by the pathogen. Similarly, Ting et al. (2009) and Naidu et al. (2016) reported in their studies that endophyte isolates with percent of inhibition radial growth (PIRG) above 50% against the pathogens were grouped as great antagonist. Although at first both endophytes and the pathogen were co-cultured in opposite direction, most of the endophyte mycelium grows towards the pathogen as the incubation period started and showed different patterns of interaction after two weeks as reported by Begum et al. (2008) and Naidu et al. (2016). Endophytic fungi have several mechanisms in order to reduce or suppress the growth of fungal pathogens such as competing nutrient or space, mycoparasitism and producing extracellular metabolites (Scott 2016; Hamzah et al. 2018). The hyperparasitism action of F14 isolate can be seen on the fifth day as its mycelium begun to in contact with the mycelial of the pathogen. The endophyte then started to overgrow the pathogen on day 8 and giving the highest PIRG (67.39%) on day 10. The same mechanism reported by Lahlali and Hijri (2010) as the R. solani colony was entirely invaded by fungal endophyte of potato plant, T. atroviride. On the other hand, confrontational activity of Xylaria sp. and Phoma sp. on F. solani were demonstrated by secreting secondary metabolite into the agar which have adverse effect on the pathogen’s growth (Hamzah et al. 2018).

5. Conclusion

Overall, there were ninety-two endophytic fungi were successfully isolated from the branches, petioles, leaves and fruits of Garcinia atroviridis. A total of 85 fungal endophytes demonstrated different degrees of antagonistic properties against anthracnose pathogen, Colletotrichum gloeosporoioides, where the F14 isolates could be the potential biocontrol agent for C. gloeosporoioides as it has the highest PIRG (67.39%). Finding in this study suggested the initial step in utilizing the isolates for inhibitory application in agriculture and forestry in the region.
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