Simulation Studies of a “Nanogun” Based on Carbon Nanotubes
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ABSTRACT

Quantum mechanical molecular dynamics simulations show that electrically neutral carbon nanotubes or fullerene balls housed in an outer carbon nanotube can be driven into motion by charging the outer tube uniformly. Positively and negatively charged outer tube are found to have quite different actions on the initially neutral nanotubes or fullerene balls. A positively charged tube can drive out the molecule inside it out at speeds over 1 km/s, just like a “nanogun”, while a negatively charged tube can drive the molecule into oscillation inside it and can absorb inwards a neutral molecule in the vicinity of its open end, like a “nanomanipulator”. The results demonstrate that changing the charge environment in specific ways may open the door to conceptually new nano/molecular electromechanical devices.
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Introduction

The ability to drive or power functional molecular devices based on unconventional operating principles is of essential interest in various scientific disciplines and technologies [1–5]. A large variety of driving mechanisms have been found by using electromagnetic, electrostatic, or domain-switching principles for devices containing a net charge [6], magnetic moment [7] or electrical domains [8]. Based on such principles, external magnetic or electric fields have been used to provide the driving force. However, neutral molecules have no net charge, magnetic moment or electrical domain, and hence finding a way of driving them remains a challenge [9, 10]. In contrast to artificial systems, biomolecular systems such as protein-motors [11, 12], voltage-gated ion channels [13], and ATP-triggered guest releasers [14] are surprisingly efficient and can work in response to very slight changes in charge [15] or other factors [16].

When size is reduced to the nano or molecular scale, the local fields of matter or structure play an important role, comparable to external fields, and novel driving mechanisms may become dominant. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are well known for their exceptional mechanical and electronic properties [17], and recent research has also shown that CNTs and fullerenes are very sensitive to external charge environments or applied fields as the sp2 carbon atoms have a rich π-electron cloud [18, 19]. High quality multiwalled and biwalled CNTs and CNT structures containing fullerene balls or bamboo sections can be easily fabricated [20, 21]. These carbon structures can be independent and free to move inside a housing nanotube with ultra-low friction [22–
24]. The outer tube can be engineered to be open one or both ends, be separate or in bulk films, and also can be fixed to an electrode [24]. Without an applied charge or external field, the core tube or fullerene will remain held in the housing tube by virtue of van der Waals interactions [22].

Here we show by extensive quantum mechanical molecular dynamics simulations on an ideal model that an electrically neutral fullerene ball or carbon nanotube inside an outer housing carbon nanotube can be driven to move when the housing tube is uniformly charged. A positive charge on the house can drive out the neutral core tube or fullerene ball at high speed like a bullet, while a negative charge can drive it to oscillate within the housing tube.

1. Modelling and methods

The typical model considered in this work is a short capped (5, 5) CNT core or a C_{60} molecule in an outer housing (18, 0) CNT with one end capped and the other open. The initial system is firstly optimized by the AMBER molecular mechanics force field, the outer part is then fixed and an energy minimization is performed using the parametrization 3 of the modified neglect of diatomic orbital (PM3) quantum mechanics (QM) method for the inner part. The MM+ molecular mechanics force field (the extension of version 2 of Allinger’s molecular mechanics force field) can also be used for the energy minimization of the initial system and no essential difference was found in the subsequent QM simulations. Finally, the outer housing tube is charged with a specific uniform density and the core part is calculated using the QM method to provide the driving force on each atom. Once the energy parameters of the atoms are obtained at one time step, the motion parameters for each atom in the next time step can be determined by Newton’s laws of motion and the velocity and acceleration of the center of mass of the core can be obtained. All the QM molecular dynamics (QMMD) simulations were performed using a Verlet algorithm with a time step of 1 fs at zero initial temperature within a constant energy assumption. The total energies of the whole system are guaranteed to converge to $10^5$ kcal/mol for all the QM calculations.

In the QMMD simulations, the positions and velocities of the atoms in the system are determined by Newton’s laws of motion, while the interactions between the atoms are calculated by solving the Schrödinger equation

$$H \Psi = E \Psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $H$ is the Hamiltonian operator, $E$ is the total energy of the system, and $\Psi$ is the system wavefunction. The Schrödinger equation is difficult to solve exactly for large molecular systems; however, it is possible to solve the equation in accordance with the Born–Oppenheimer approximation that the motion of the electrons is decoupled from the motion of the nuclei and the Hartree–Fock approximation that a many-electron problem is translated into a single electron problem. Thus,

$$H_i \Psi_i = \varepsilon_i \Psi_i$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $H_i$ is an effective one-electron Hamiltonian, $\Psi_i$ is a molecular orbital, and $\varepsilon_i$ is the orbital energy of an electron in the orbital $\Psi_i$. When the linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) assumption is used, $\Psi_i = \sum_{\mu} C_{\mu i} \phi_{\mu}$ in which $\phi_{\mu}$ is the $\mu$th atomic orbital and $C_{\mu i}$ is the coefficient. The Hartree–Fock (H–F) equation can be conveniently written as a matrix form, or the Roothaan–Hall equation

$$FC−SCE$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

with the Fock matrix $F$ in the form

$$F_{\mu \nu} = \int dv \phi_{\nu} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 - \sum_{\lambda \alpha} Z_{\lambda \alpha} \right] \phi_{\mu} + \sum_{\lambda \alpha} P_{\lambda \alpha} \left( \mu \nu | \lambda \alpha \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\mu \lambda | \nu \alpha) + V_{\mu \nu}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where the first term is the core energy, and the second term is the energy arising from the Coulomb and exchange interactions, $P$ is the charge density matrix and $P_{\lambda \alpha} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{\lambda i} C_{\alpha i}$, $V_{\mu \nu}$ represents the influence of external fields, $S$ is the overlap integrals matrix and $S_{\mu \nu} = \int dv \phi_{\mu} \phi_{\nu}$, $C$ is the coefficient matrix, and $E$ is the orbital energy diagonal matrix. $(\mu \nu | \lambda \alpha)$ and $(\mu \lambda | \nu \alpha)$ are two-electron integrals that may involve up to four different basis functions ($\phi^A_{\mu \nu}, \phi^B_{\mu \nu}, \phi^C_{\mu \nu}, \phi^D_{\mu \nu}$), which may in turn be located at four different centers.

As the whole system contains a large number of atoms and the interaction between the “bullet” and the outer tube housing at the van der Waals distance cannot be described properly by either density
functional theory (DFT) or the Hartree-Fock method [25], a special method called the Mixed Model is used to describe the “nanogun” system. In this method, the outer tube is treated by a classical mechanical model, where the positions and charges of the atoms are fixed and the Slater exponents of s orbitals are used for all the charges; the core part is described by the semi-empirical quantum mechanics method PM3 [26, 27], which considers only the valence electrons of the system and neglects the three- or four-center integrals in the Fock matrix. The interaction between the charges and nuclei of the “bullet” and the housing are considered using the core Hamiltonian correction between the quantum mechanical “bullet” and the classical outer tube housing as follows

\[ \Delta H = - \sum_B (Z_B - Q_B) (\mu_A \sigma_A | s_A s_B) \]  

(5)

The interaction energy between the nuclei of the atoms of the “bullet” and all the nuclear and electronic charges on the atoms of the housing is

\[ \Delta E = \sum_{(A,B)} \left[ Z_A Z_B (s_A s_A | s_B s_B) \left( 1 + \exp\left(-a_A R_{AB}\right) \right) - Z_A Q_B (s_A s_A | s_B s_B) \right] \]  

(6)

with

\[ (s_A s_A | s_B s_B) = \left[ R_{AB}^2 \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{AM_A} + \frac{1}{AM_B} \right) \right]^{1/2} \]

where the subscript A represents atoms on the “bullet” and B represents atoms on the housing, s is the s-type orbital, R_{AB} is the distance between atom A and atom B, and AM are the monopole-monopole interaction parameters. Z is the nuclear charge, Q is the electronic charge, and a_A is a monatomic parameter of atom A.

To check the validity of the PM3-based Mixed Model, both H-F ab initio and Kohn-Sham DFT single point calculations were performed on the “nanogun” model used in the PM3 molecular dynamics simulations. Comparison of the calculated dipole moments using the three methods for a C_{60} fullerene “bullet” within a (18, 0) carbon nanotube housing charged with uniform distributed positive charge density of +0.001 e/atom is presented in Fig. 1(a). It can be seen that the variations in dipole moment with position of the “bullet” in the housing show the same trend for all three methods. The curves obtained using the H-F ab initio and the DFT methods are almost the same. The values obtained using the PM3 method are slightly higher, but agree quite well with those of the H-F ab initio and DFT methods. The PM3-based Mixed Model has also been shown to be valid for calculation of the interaction energy. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the variation in the interaction energy of two parallel benzene molecules as a function of separation was calculated and the results compared with those in the literature [28]. The Mixed Model predicts a similar trend to that of the CCSD(T) method, which is thought to be one of the most precise QM methods.
The interaction energy and the dipole moment are directly related to the movement of the core part. The agreement between the interaction energies and the dipole moments with the results obtained by other methods with much higher precision demonstrates that the semiempirical Mixed Model is valid for QMMD simulations of “nano-gun” systems, at least for the models used in this work.

2. Results and discussion

When we charged the housing tube with a positive charge, our QMMD numerical calculations suggested that the encapsulated neutral core tube or fullerene ball can be driven out. A typical total energy curve of a neutral C_{60} molecule moving in a positively charged (18, 0) housing tube with a uniform charge density of 0.001 e/atom is presented in Fig. 2. It can be seen that there are two sharp drops in energy when the fullerene ball leaves the bottom and the open end of the housing tube, while in the middle part of the housing, the energy remains relatively stable. This means that there are two acceleration stages corresponding to the two energy drops which force the ball out. Similar energy curves can be obtained for a CNT core in the charged housing.

Snapshots every 0.5 ps of the driving processes obtained by the QMMD simulations on three typical systems of neutral CNTs or fullerene in charged housing CNTs are shown in Fig. 3(a) with time increasing from top to bottom. In all three cases, the outer tube housings are positively charged with uniform density of +0.001 e/atom. Case A shown in Fig. 3(a) shows a capped (5, 5) core tube with length about 2 nm shooting out from the open end of a fixed (18, 0) housing tube with length about 3.7 nm. Case B in the same figure involves the same core tube in a shorter housing of about 2 nm, and case C involves a neutral C_{60} ball in the 2 nm housing. The speed of ejection of the neutral core in each case is over 1 km/s as shown in Fig. 3(b). Thus, the system resembles a “nanogun” which drives the neutral “bullet” electrically. The velocity curve of the (5, 5) 2 nm/(18, 0) 3.7 nm system (case A) contains four distinct regions: the acceleration region I is the initial stage with a displacement of less than about 0.3 nm, with acceleration up to 2.6×10^{15} m/s^2 and a corresponding driving force of 52 pN/atom; in the following region II, the core tube moves in the housing with relatively stable speed, and when the core reaches the open end of the housing there comes the second acceleration region, region III, with an acceleration of 4.3×10^{14} m/s^2 and driving force of 8.6 pN/atom; when the core is completely out of the outer tube, region IV, the velocity reaches its maximum value of about 1540 m/s. The velocity curve of the C_{60}/(18, 0) 2 nm system (case C) has a similar shape with four regions, while the accelerations in the regions I and III are slightly higher with the maximum final velocity being 1340 m/s. The reason for the lower final velocity of C_{60} is that the acceleration region III is significantly shorter than that available to the 2-nm CNT core. When the outer tube is longer than the core, as in the cases A and C, the two acceleration stages are distinctly separated by a transition region II where the speed is relatively stable. However, when the neutral “bullet” is comparable in length to or longer than the housing, the transition region II disappears and the two acceleration stages merge, as shown in Fig. 3(b) for
case B in which the “bullet” has the same length as the housing. The accelerations in the merged regions I and III are markedly lower, being $1.3 \times 10^{15}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{14} \text{ m/s}^2$, respectively. For each of the three cases, the accelerations in stages I and II of the order of $10^{15}$ and $10^{14} \text{ m/s}^2$, respectively correspond to driving forces on the neutral “bullet” of the order of $1$–$10 \text{ pN/atom}$, which is about 2 to 3 orders of magnitude higher than the friction force between the core and the housing which is typically $10^{-14} \text{ N/atom}$ [22-24]. For a given charge density, the maximum velocity of the neutral “bullet” acquired in the two acceleration stages is dependent on the length of both the housing and bullet.

Increasing the density of the positive charge on the housing tube from $+0.001$ to $+0.005 \text{ e/atom}$ monotonically increases the speed of the neutral “bullet” in the $(5, 5)$ 2 nm/(18, 0) 2 nm “nanogun” (case B) from 1150 to about 2200 m/s as shown in Fig. 4(a). Unlike the electrostatic operating principle used in many previous nanodevices [20, 24], the operating mechanism in the present systems is a quantum response of the neutral nanostructure of CNTs or fullerenes to the electrical environment created by the positively charged CNT house. Detailed quantum mechanical analyses show that the “bullet” changes its electronic structure and energy bands, and can be easily polarized in the charged housing; increasing the charge density on the house causes an increase in dipole moment in the “bullet” as shown in Fig. 4(b). For a given positive charge density, the dipole moment first increases and then decreases phase when the “bullet” leaves the housing. Therefore, the driving process is a quantum electrodynamic process. Further numerical calculations demonstrate that a positively charged housing tube with a charge density of $+0.001 \text{ e/atom}$ can shoot out more than one neutral “bullet” with speeds of over 1 km/s.

For a commensurate set-up of the zigzag housing and zigzag “bullet” system, a positively charged housing CNT can also shoot out the neutral “bullet” at speeds comparable to that of the incommensurate system, as shown in Fig. 4(c). Therefore, the chirality of the system has only a slight effect on the driving process.

Figure 5 shows the different effects of positively and negatively charged housing on a neutral C$_{60}$ ball. It was unexpectedly found that only the positively charged housing tube can drive the ball out, while a negatively charged housing tube only drives the ball into periodic oscillating motion within it. The
open end of the negatively charged housing creates a strong force field pushing the ball back inside it and also an absorbing force field in the vicinity of its open end. A fullerene ball put in front of the open end of a negatively charged housing can be absorbed inwards. The inset A in Fig. 5 shows that the ball can achieve inward acceleration in the vicinity of the entrance of the housing tube, except for a very small repulsive region where a slight outward acceleration exists. Therefore, a negative charge can be applied to the housing tube to refill “bullets”. This absorbing–releasing cycle can serve as a novel nano manipulation technology. The different charge driving mechanisms operating at the nanoscale can be understood by the asymmetrical response of the electron orbitals to the charged housing environment. As shown in inset B in Fig. 5, when the C_{60} moves along the housing tube, distinct distributions of its highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) can be found for the positively and negatively charged
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situations. This asymmetrical behaviour is responsible for the asymmetrical interaction force between the housing and the core part.

Controlling the charge of the system with an appropriate distribution in order to drive the core tube in a controllable way remains an intriguing technical issue. A conceivable way is electrostatic charging. When a static potential voltage is applied to the carbon nanotube, it will be electrically charged with the density proportional to the applied voltage [29]. Ion or electron beam irradiation may also be a possible way [30]. For example, after Ga$^+$ ion irradiation, the housing tube becomes positively charged [31].

3. Conclusions

In summary, based on QMMD simulations, we use an ideal model to show that a neutral C$_{60}$ or CNT contained in an outer CNT can be driven into motion by arranging a charge distribution on the outer housing CNT. Positively charging the housing CNT with a uniform density of 0.001 e/atom can shoot the neutral core out with speeds of over 1 km/s, like a “nanogun”. Increasing the charge density can shoot the core out at higher speeds. A negatively charged housing tube can absorb an electrically neutral molecule in the vicinity of its open end and drive an encapsulated neutral core tube or ball into oscillation inside it, but cannot drive the core out. Thus the “nanogun” can be refilled or serve as a “nanomanipulator”. This “nanogun” may have potential applications as drug or gene deliverers, switches, or nano-thrusters, and may open the door to conceptually new nano/molecular electromechanical devices.
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