A transparent broadband ultrasonic detector based on an optical micro-ring resonator for photoacoustic microscopy
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Photoacoustic microscopy (PAM) does not rely on contrast agent to image the optical absorption contrast in biological tissue. It is uniquely suited for measuring several tissue physiological parameters, such as hemoglobin oxygen saturation, that would otherwise remain challenging. Researchers are designing new clinical diagnostic tools and multimodal microscopic systems around PAM to fully unleash its potential. However, the sizeable and opaque piezoelectric ultrasonic detectors commonly used in PAM impose a serious constraint. Our solution is a coverslip-style optically transparent ultrasound detector based on a polymeric optical micro-ring resonator (MRR) with a total thickness of 250 μm. It enables highly-sensitive ultrasound detection over a wide receiving angle with a bandwidth of 140 MHz, which corresponds to a photoacoustic saturation limit of 287 cm−1, at an estimated noise-equivalent pressure (NEP) of 6.8 Pa. We also established a theoretical framework for designing and optimizing the MRR for PAM.

Despite the rapid progress in developing a wide range of optical microscopic imaging technologies, photoacoustic microscopy (PAM) remains the only choice for directly imaging optical absorption contrast in biomedicine without the need of contrast agents1. Compared with other existing high-resolution optical imaging modalities, including confocal microscopy2, two-photon microscopy3,4, and optical coherence tomography5, PAM can extract three-dimensional physiologically specific optical absorption properties in biological tissue at a lateral resolution that is subject only to the optical diffraction limit6. In PAM, a short-pulsed laser beam is focused onto biological tissues. The absorbed laser energy leads to a transient thermo-elastic expansion and, subsequently, launches ultrasonic waves containing a wide-range of frequency components, which can be detected to construct optical absorption contrast-based images. The amplitude of the induced ultrasonic wave is proportional to the product of the local optical fluence and optical absorption coefficient. The traveling time of the induced ultrasonic wave is proportional to the distance between its origin and the ultrasonic detector7. PAM can image either endogenous sources, such as hemoglobin and melanin, or exogenous contrast agents, such as chemical dyes, nanoparticles, and reporter gene products1. The broad range of available contrast sources allows PAM to reveal abundant anatomical and functional information, such as intracellular thermal transmission8, energy difference in molecular vibrational bands9, tumor angiogenesis10, melanoma10, brain cortex functions11, water and lipid concentration12,13, and metabolic rate of oxygen14,15.

Although unique and versatile, PAM is not capable of imaging samples that exhibit poor optical absorption. To investigate more sophisticated physiological processes, integrating PAM with other well-established optical microscopic imaging modalities becomes necessary for providing a comprehensive tool that can simultaneously capture multiple optical contrasts in tissue; however, previous efforts to integrate PAM with confocal microscopy16,17 and optical coherence tomography18–20 were found to have rather limited lateral and axial resolutions. The lateral resolution was fundamentally limited by the small numerical aperture (NA) being used due to the long working distance required to accommodate sizeable piezoelectric detectors. The axial resolution was constrained by the finite detection bandwidth of commonly used piezoelectric detectors. Due to the limitations of these piezoelectric detectors, it becomes technically difficult to integrate PAM with a conventional high-resolution optical microscopic system in which the working distance of an objective lens is normally less than 1 mm. Although a piezoelectric detector with openings at the center was created to reduce the obstruction to the optical imaging path21,22, it often reduced axial resolution due to the decreased bandwidth and detection angle23,24. To this end, optical-based ultrasonic detection techniques may offer a more desirable solution. The rapid progress in integrated photonic circuits permits an opportunity for creating miniaturized and transparent ultrasonic detec-
Figure 1 | Geometry and working principle of the MRR ultrasonic detector. (a) Scanning electron micrograph of the MRR. (b) High-magnification view shows the square-shaped cross-section of the waveguide with a side length of 800 nm. (c) Calculated electric field distribution of the TM mode when the waveguide is immersed in water. (d) Close-up view of the gap between the ring and bus waveguides. (e) Transmission spectrum shows the dip caused by the strong WGM-induced optical resonance. Black line: experimental data; red dashed-line: fitted curve based on Lorenzian model. \( \lambda_r = 767.27 \text{ nm} \). (f) The normalized transmission change with respect to the resonance shift derived by the first derivative of the transmission spectrum. Black line: experimental data; red dashed-line: calculated curve from the fitted result in the panel (e). The probing wavelength \( \lambda_p \) indicated by arrow is set to the maximum sensitivity point of PA detection at 767.31 nm.

tors, which are the necessary features for integrating PAM with optical microscopic systems. In addition, because light oscillates more than five orders of magnitude faster than ultrasonic waves, optical-based detection methods further allow more sensitive ultrasonic detection over a much wider frequency band.

A variety of optical-based ultrasound detection methods have been developed in the past\(^{25-42} \), and the implementations generally fall into three categories: 1) free-space approaches that use a Michelson interferometer\(^ {25,28} \), Fabry-Perot optic-film\(^ {26,28} \), or confocal Fabry-Perot interferometer\(^ {27,28} \); 2) prism-based approaches that rely on surface-bonded photonic modes, such as total internal reflection\(^ {29} \), surface plasmon resonance\(^ {30-33} \), photonic crystal surface wave\(^ {34} \), and metamaterial\(^ {35} \); and 3) integrated photonic approaches, such as intrinsic optical fiber interferometer\(^ {36} \), waveguide Mach-Zehnder interferometer\(^ {37} \), Fabry-Perot cavity\(^ {38,39} \), and MRR\(^ {39-42} \).

Among all these methods, MRR exhibits its unique advantages. First, the highly sensitive ultrasound detection can be achieved using a sub-millimeter-size MRR with the effective optical path length magnified more than one thousand-fold through strong optical resonance; thereby helping to minimize the interference to the optical path of the optical microscope system. Second, MRR offers a much broader ultrasonic detection bandwidth that subsequently improves the saturation limit\(^ {40} \) and axial resolution in functional photoacoustic (PA) imaging\(^ {41} \). Optical frequency is much higher than ultrasonic frequency, so even at reduced temporal response due to resonance, MRR is still capable of offering a remarkably broader detection bandwidth compared with traditional piezoelectric detectors\(^ {36} \). Finally, the miniaturized MRR detector permits sensitive ultrasound detection within a larger detection angle\(^ {44} \), which is favorable for increasing the field-of-view (FOV) in laser-scanning PAM systems\(^ {36} \). Although MRRs fabricated on silicon substrate have been studied extensively, these MRRs are optically opaque\(^ {25-42} \); therefore, the resulting PAM system can only be implemented in a transmission configuration, which is not practical for imaging thick biological samples\(^ {36} \) and shows only marginal improvement over existing PAMs. Hence, an optically transparent MRR ultrasonic detector has yet to be developed.

In this paper, we designed and fabricated a miniaturized, optically-transparent ultrasonic detector consisting of a polymeric MRR on a 250 \( \mu \text{m} \)-thick microscope coverslip. We systematically analyzed the principle of MRR ultrasonic detection and formulated a general designing guideline. We fabricated the MRR detector using well-established nanofabrication methods and characterized its performances experimentally. After employing the MRR ultrasonic detector in a PAM system, we demonstrated that: 1) the MRR ultrasonic detector is fully compatible with microscopic imaging systems and can be used with high-NA objective lenses; 2) an axial resolution of 5.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) has been obtained experimentally as a result of the MRR’s ultra-wide frequency response; and 3) there can be a nearly two-fold improvement in the PA saturation limit compared with piezoelectric detectors. The convenience in integrating the MRR detector with commercially available optical microscopic systems may open up a new gateway for both biomedical research and clinical diagnoses.

**Results**

The working principle of the MRR ultrasonic detector is illustrated in Fig. 1. The MRR detector consists of a bus waveguide and a ring-shaped waveguide separated by a low-dielectric gap (Fig. 1a). The bus waveguide serves as the input and output ports, and the coupling to the ring waveguide is accomplished by evanescently tunneling across the low-dielectric gap. The light wave circulating inside the ring waveguide leads to a strong optical resonance supported by the whisper gallery mode (WGM) with an extremely high-quality factor (Q-factor) exceeding 10\(^ {10} \) being experimentally demonstrated\(^ {46} \). The resulting optical resonance can be characterized by the narrow dip in the light transmitted through the bus waveguide due to destructive interference associated with optical resonance. Theoretically, zero transmission can be achieved when the intrinsic loss of the ring resonator matches with the coupling loss, which is normally referred to as critical coupling condition\(^ {46} \).

Built upon such a strong optical resonance, an MRR made from soft polymeric materials can be used as an ultra-sensitive detector that converts ultrasonic pressure wave-induced deformation of MRR into a measurable quantity in the shift of its optical resonance modes. The deformation causes changes in both the geometry and refractive index (RI) of the polymer MRR and subsequently alters the corresponding effective refractive index \( n_{eff} \) of the guided mode. The resulting changes in the optical path length of the MRR are accumulated while the lightwave is circulating inside. The amplified optical path length changes can then be quantified by measuring the wavelength shift of the resonance modes. Using a narrow-band laser source, such a resonance shift can be measured as the modulation of the transmitted optical intensity at given wavelength\(^ {39} \).

The sensitivity of detecting pressure variation using MRR can be defined as\(^ {39,47,48} \):

\[
S = \frac{dT}{dP} = \frac{d n_{eff}}{dP} \frac{d \lambda_r}{d \lambda_r} \frac{d \lambda_r}{d \lambda_r} \frac{dT}{d \lambda_r}.
\]

where \( T \) is the transmission through the bus waveguide; \( P \) is the ultrasonic pressure; \( \lambda_r \) is the resonance wavelength. The first term
$dn_{\text{eff}}/dP$ defines the pressure-induced effective refractive index change in the polymer waveguide that takes into account the Young’s modulus and the elasto-optic coefficient of the polymer as well as the cross-sectional shape of the waveguide (see Supplementary Information for simulation details). The second term $d\lambda/dn_{\text{eff}}$ is the resulting shift of the resonance wavelength due to changes of $n_{\text{eff}}$. The resonance wavelength can be derived from the standing wave condition of the WGM:

$$m\lambda_r = 2\pi R n_{\text{eff}},$$

where $m$ is the order of the resonance mode and $R$ is the radius of the ring resonator. Considering a small perturbation in $n_{\text{eff}}$, we have

$$\frac{dn_{\text{eff}}}{dn} = \frac{2\pi R n_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda_r}.$$

The optimal sensitivity can be obtained at the rising or falling edge of the resonance dip; therefore, $d\lambda/dn_{\text{eff}}$ can be defined as the slope of its linear region, which can be approximated as linearly proportional to the Q-factor. The maximum detectable PA pressure is also constrained by the wavelength range of the linear region, which is inversely proportional to the Q-factor. Overall, the detection sensitivity can be simplified as

$$S = A_J \Delta Q,$$

where $A$ is a design-specific parameter that is collectively determined by the materials properties and the guided mode profile of the polymeric waveguide. It can be treated as a constant for a given polymer material and the cross-sectional shape of the waveguide. Therefore, an MRR with a higher Q-factor and a longer wavelength will favorably increase its ultrasonic detection sensitivity.

Theoretically, the detection bandwidth is determined by the temporal response of two concurrent processes: the optical resonance due to the WGM and the propagation of ultrasonic wave in the surrounding media. From the perspective of optical resonance, when ultrasonic pressure induces resonance mode change, it takes time for the WGM to gradually accumulate energy to reach a steady state again. The corresponding time constant for reestablishing a steady state is comparable to the effective photon life time in resonator $\tau = Q/\omega$, where $\omega$ is the angular frequency of the light wave. When MRR is used for ultrasonic detection, the resulting resonance-limited cutoff ultrasonic frequency ($f_0$) is inversely proportional to the time constant $f_0 = 1/\tau = \omega/Q^{0.59}$.

The ultrasonic pressure-induced effective refractive index change can be defined as

$$\frac{dn_{\text{eff}}}{dP} = \frac{2\pi R n_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda_r}.$$

The pressure-induced resonance shift ($d\lambda/dP$) of the polymeric waveguide is constrained by the quasi-static limit\(^\text{18}\). Since acoustic scattering by the MRR itself can be negligible, the resulting geometry-limited cutoff frequency ($f_c$) can be approximated as

$$f_c = \frac{v}{2h},$$

where $v$ is the ultrasound speed in water and $h$ is the waveguide thickness\(^\text{27}\). A planar ultrasonic sensor with finite dimension often exhibits angular-dependent sensitivity to a point PA source\(^\text{41}\). For a ring-shaped detector, the angular-dependent sensitivity follows

$$D(\theta) = J_0(kR\sin\theta),$$

where $\theta$ is the incident angle of the ultrasound wave; $J_0$ is the 0th order Bessel function of the first kind; and $k = 2\pi n/v$ is the ultrasonic wave vector at a given ultrasound frequency $f$. The full-width-half-max (FWHM) of the angular-dependent sensitivity is inversely proportional to the radius of the ring resonator $R$; thus, a smaller MRR permits sensitive ultrasound detection over a wider incident angle, which, in turn, allows a larger FOV in laser-scanning PAM systems\(^\text{34}\).

We fabricated the polymeric MRR and the matching bus waveguide on a fused quartz microscope coverslip (GE124, Ted Pella) using the E-beam lithography process (Fig. 1a, detailed procedure is available in Methods). The optical waveguide has a square-shaped cross-section with a side length of 800 nm. The corresponding scanning electron microscope (SEM) image and the calculated mode profile at 765 nm (Comsol Multiphysics) are shown in Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c, respectively. Our MRR is designed to support a fundamental mode over the tunable wavelength range between 765–780 nm of the narrow-band laser (TLB-6712, New Focus). This wavelength range was selected to minimize optical absorption by polymer and water. The gap between the ring and the bus waveguides was experimentally optimized to 150 nm to achieve critical coupling, as shown in Fig. 1d.

To prepare the MRR ultrasound detector for PAM, we experimentally quantified its key characteristics, including NEP, detection bandwidth, angular sensitivity, and the corresponding PA saturation limit. The packaged MRR ultrasound detector is illustrated in Fig. 2a. We used two tapered fiber tips to couple light in and out of the bus waveguide by closely matching the mode-profile\(^\text{39}\). The bus waveguide features a 90-degree bend to minimize cross-talk between the input and output ports. In contrast to the previously reported butt-coupling method, which brings a cleaved fiber facet to the open end of the polymer waveguide\(^\text{39}\), our method requires placing the tapered fiber probes only to the ends of the waveguide. This simplifies the packaging procedure by eliminating the intricate process of dicing the non-crystalized-fused quartz substrate, and it could potentially yield better coupling efficiency due to better mode matching between the taper fiber tip and the polymer waveguide\(^\text{39}\).

Fig. 2b shows an experimental PAM setup. Testing samples were placed at the bottom of a water tank mounted on a two-dimensional translational stage. The MRR ultrasound detector was attached to an adjustable holder and was immersed in water. A pulsed laser (output wavelength: 532 nm; pulse duration: 1 ns; Elforsight Ltd.) was focused onto the samples by a 10× objective lens (NA 0.25, Mitutoyo) through the transparent MRR ultrasound detector. A narrow-band tunable laser (TLB-6712, New Focus) with an output wavelength range of 765 nm–780 nm was coupled into the bus waveguide through a tapered fiber. The transmitted light was collected by another tapered fiber and measured by an avalanche photodetector (APD, bandwidth: 5 MHz–1 GHz, APD210, Menlo Systems). The detected signal was amplified by 28 dB (ZFL500NL+, Mini-circuits; bandwidth: 10 MHz–500 MHz) and digitized by a PC-based data acquisition system (CobraMax high-speed PCI digitizer, GaGe). As previously described, we detected PA signals by capturing changes in the transmission intensity of MRR caused by ultrasound-induced deformation. The optical axis of the PA illumination was carefully aligned with the center of the MRR. PA imaging was obtained by raster-scanning the samples, and the MRR ultrasonic detector was kept stationary.
We characterized the frequency-dependent sensitivity of the MRR ultrasound detector using a PA point source. The PA point source was created by focusing the excitation light onto a 1.5-μm-thick carbon black thin film spin-coated on a glass substrate. Fig. 3a shows the detected time-resolved PA impulse response. The corresponding Fourier-transformed frequency spectrum shows a 3-dB bandwidth of 140 MHz (Fig. 3b), which is considered sufficient for imaging biological samples using PAM due to significant ultrasonic attenuation in the tissue at frequencies higher than 100 MHz.

The ultrasonic sensitivity of MRR is calculated to be 75.0 mV/MPa using the APD210 and 47.3 V/MPa after being amplified by 28 dB based on the measured transmission power, geometry, and the Q-factor of the MRR. The noise level directly measured from Fig. 3a is 0.32 mV, which corresponds to an NEP of 6.8 Pa. The NEP obtained from this study is several orders of magnitude better than conventional piezoelectric transducers with comparable size, and it is comparable with the best optical detectors reported in the literature.

In order to achieve functional PAM imaging of, for example, hemoglobin oxygen saturation (sO2) in tissue, PA saturation should be avoided so that spectroscopic PA measurements can be used for inverse calculation. When a sample exhibits strong optical absorption, the optical penetration depth decreases and, therefore, leads to a temporal compression of the PA signal. PA saturation occurs when the detector’s bandwidth cannot match the induced PA signal bandwidth, which leads to a reduced amplitude in the measured PA signal.

In a semi-infinite optical absorbing medium, the amplitude of a PA signal $A_{PA}$ can be approximated as:

$$A_{PA} = k_1 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\mu_a k_2 \lambda_c\right)\right]/k_2 \lambda_c,$$

where $\alpha$ is the detection sensitivity; $F_0$ is the optical fluence on the surface of the medium; $k_1$ is the converting efficiency from absorbed energy to PA signal; $\mu_a$ is the optical absorption coefficient; $k_2$ is a dimensionless coefficient (0.8–0.92); and $\lambda_c$ is the cutoff wavelength of the ultrasound detector. In the low-absorption limit ($\mu_a \rightarrow 0$), PA amplitude ($A_{PA} \approx k_1 k_2 \lambda_c F_0$) is linearly proportional to the optical absorption coefficient. With an elevated $\mu_a$, the increases of $A_{PA}$ will gradually approach an asymptotic limit, $k_1 k_2 \lambda_c F_0$; thus, the PA saturation limit is defined as the value of the optical absorption coefficient when the reduction of $A_{PA}$ reaches 1/e of the asymptotic limit. Such a saturation condition is fulfilled when $\mu_a = 1/k_2 \lambda_c$, which is linearly proportional to the cutoff frequency of the ultrasound detector. Therefore, detectors with larger bandwidth can better quantify samples with high-absorption coefficients.

To quantify the PA saturation limit of our MRR ultrasonic detector, a plastic tube filled with black ink was used to mimic a blood vessel for PA measurements. Black ink with gradually increased concentrations created a variation in $\mu_a$. Besides the MRR detector, four piezoelectric transducers with different center frequencies (10 MHz, 15 MHz, 20 MHz, and 40 MHz) were also used for comparison. Fig. 4 shows that the experimentally measured saturation curves are in good agreement with the theoretical model for the five different ultrasonic detectors. As expected, the broadband MRR detector exhibits a higher saturation limit and enables functional PAM imaging with wider linear response range for quantitative analysis of optical absorption properties.
The black lines are the 3-dB cut-off line calculated from Eq. 6. The experimental data fits well with the theoretical estimation.

High-frequency components in the PA signal are essential in achieving a high-axial resolution in PAM. In laser-scanning PAM, the detected PA signal amplitude and the imaging FOV highly depend on the angular detection range\(^6\). One should notice the angular detection range is inversely proportional to the detection bandwidth. In this particular case, an MRR detector with a ring diameter of 60 μm exhibits a ±4.9 degree angular-detection range at a detection bandwidth from DC to 140 MHz. If an MRR detector is placed 1.6 mm away from the sample, the corresponding FOV will have the diameter of 0.27 mm, which is sufficiently large for a high-magnification, long working distance objective lens. For example, a 100× objective lens with an NA of 0.8 and a working distance of 2 mm provides an FOV of 0.26 mm in diameter.

Taking advantage of the broadband MRR detector, we further achieved high-axial resolution volumetric PAM imaging and quantified its voxel size by imaging a fabricated carbon black thin film target (see Methods and Supplementary Information for fabrication details and SEM images). To quantify the lateral resolution, we obtained the edge-spread-function (ESF, Fig. 6a) by imaging an edge of the target (as highlighted in Fig. S2b). We then calculated the corresponding line-spread-function (LSF, Fig. 6b) by taking the first derivative of the ESF. The LSF suggests a lateral resolution of 2.0 μm, which agrees with the theoretical diffraction-limited resolution at NA = 0.25. The axial resolution was estimated from the time-resolved PA A-line signal using a ‘shift and sum’ method\(^{45,55}\). The axial resolutions of three commercial piezoelectric transducers with different bandwidths and the MRR detector are plotted in Fig. 6c for comparison. Using the MRR detector, we reached an axial resolution of 5.3 μm, which shows a nearly two-fold improvement over the piezoelectric transducer with a center frequency of 75 MHz. Fig. 6d shows the 3D visualization of the PA image from the target. Its maximum amplitude projection (MAP) image in the x-y plane and a cross-sectional image along the highlighted plane are shown in Fig. 6e and 6f, respectively. In the MAP image, the 8-micron-wide feature in the target can be clearly resolved. The cross-sectional image shows that the mean imaged full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) thickness of the thin film is 7.6 μm, which is reasonable when accounting for the actual 1.5-μm thickness of the carbon black film and the 5.3-μm axial resolution.

The transparent ‘coverslip’ MRR detector demonstrated here offers significant improvement in both lateral and axial resolutions. The lateral resolution is determined by the focal spot size of the laser excitation and can be improved by using high-NA objective lenses or reducing the optical excitation wavelength; however, high-NA
Objective lenses have a very limited working distance, which imposes challenges to PAM using piezoelectric transducers unless a transmission scheme is used. The thin transparent detector can easily fit into the tight working distance between the samples and objective lenses and, thus, permits the use of high-NA objective lenses to dramatically improve PAM’s lateral resolution. On the other hand, the MRR detector can be optimized to provide sufficiently large detection bandwidth to improve PAM’s axial resolution. Ultimately, using the MRR, PAM will be able to provide isometric voxels in volumetric imaging, which greatly simplifies the spatial image registrations with other imaging modalities such as confocal microscopy and two-photon microscopy. One should note, however, that the imaging depth will be sacrificed due to the strong frequency-dependent ultrasonic attenuation when the ultrasonic frequency is too high (such as ~100 MHz); as a result, the high-axial resolution needed for producing isometric voxel can only be maintained within a limited depth comparable to one optical transport mean free path. Although, isometric voxel cannot be achieved within a large FOV when high-NA objective lenses are used, it is nevertheless possible for a lower-NA imaging system such as retinal imaging.\(^5\) Finally, the sub-millimeter-sized MRR offers opportunities to miniaturize the PAM system to fit, for example, into small catheters for endoscopic applications.

**Conclusion**

In summary, a miniaturized, optically transparent, “coverslip”-type ultrasonic detector based on polymeric MRR was fabricated and systematically characterized. We demonstrated that the device has a high sensitivity, a low NEP, a larger sensitivity angle, and an ultra-broad linear frequency bandwidth from DC to 140 MHz. Such a performance led to a great improvement in axial resolution and a much higher PA saturation limit for functional PAM. To further enhance imaging performance, better sensitivity of the MRR detector can be obtained by using relatively softer materials to increase the pressure-induced effective RI change of the waveguide and increase the Q-factor of the MRR. The latter can be improved by using materials with a lower optical absorption coefficient to reduce the propagation loss, or by introducing onsite reflow technology to smooth the waveguide surface and hence suppress the scattering loss.\(^4\)

The potential to conveniently employ this transparent MRR detector with a broad range of well-established imaging modalities,
such as confocal fluorescence microscopy, optical coherence tomography, and miniaturized fiber optic endoscopy, may lead to increased applications for PAM for cancer research, neuroscience, and ophthalmology. MRR also can be mass-manufactured and potentially fabricated on various substrates, including flexible plastic film. MRR offers a promise for flexible and disposable ultrasonic detectors, which is highly desired in endoscopy and ophthalmoscopy.

**Methods**

Fabrication of a cover-slip-type MRR ultrasound detector. The optically transparent MRR ultrasound detector, which consists of a circular and a matching bus waveguide, was fabricated on a fused quartz coverslip (Ted Pella). The coverslip was pre-cleaned with piranha solution. Then, an 800 nm black SU-8 (MicroChem) layer was spin-coated (Laurell WS-650-23) on the coverslip at 800 rpm for 30 seconds. It was further soft-baked on a hot plate at 65 °C for 1 min and 95 °C for 1 min to evaporate extra solvent. After patterning with an electron beam lithography system (FEI Quanta 600F®), the sample was followed by a post-exposure bake process at 65 °C for 1 min and 95 °C for 1 min, and then immersed in SU-8 developer (MicroChem) for 1 min to dissolve the unexposed region. Finally, the sample was cleaned with isopropyl alcohol and air-dried.

**Patterning absorption targets on carbon black thin film.** Carbon black (CB) particles with a nominal radius of 50 nm were mixed with photoresist SU-8 in a cyclopentanone solvent. The volume fraction of CB is 3%. The mixture was spin-coated on a glass substrate and soft-baked to achieve a thin layer 1.5 μm-thick. The pattern consists of three rows of elements alternately arranged by two sets of bars at right angles and a solid square. Each set of bars consists of three bars separated by an interval equivalent to its width. Each bar has a width of 8 μm and a length of 40 μm. After patterning the targets with electron beam lithography, the sample was further coated with a 1.5 μm SU-8 thin layer for reinforcing the mounting of CB targets.
56. Jiao, S. et al. Photoacoustic ophthalmoscopy for in vivo retinal imaging. Opt. Express 18, 3967–3972 (2010).
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