bectomy during PPCI. In particular, data on long-term clinical outcomes are still inconsistent. In this review, we have carefully analyzed literature data on thrombectomy during PPCI, taking into account the most recent studies and meta-analyses.
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Core tip: Distal coronary embolization occurs predominantly at the time of the initial balloon or stent inflation, so thrombus burden reduction by thrombectomy devices before percutaneous coronary intervention may decrease the dangerous phenomenon of no-reflow. Manual aspiration catheters are the most commonly used devices. Several randomized trials have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of pretreatment with manual thrombectomy during primary percutaneous coronary intervention. There are some unanswered questions about thrombus aspiration, including whether there is truly a mortality benefit, which subgroups may or may not benefit from aspiration, and whether patients with a large thrombus burden are better treated with mechanical thrombectomy.

INTRODUCTION

The final objective of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is successful myocardial reperfusion. Apart from restoration of flow in the epicardial coronary
artery, the importance of cardiac muscle microcirculation has been emphasized. Myocardial reperfusion failure has been associated with larger infarct size, increased predisposition to ventricular arrhythmias, heart failure, cardiogenic shock, recurrent myocardial infarction, and cardiac death. Different mechanisms are responsible for microvascular injury after PCI, such as local formation of a thrombus, generation of oxygen-free radicals, myocyte calcium overload, cellular and interstitial edema, endothelial dysfunction, vasoconstriction, and inflammation. However, distal embolization seems to play a pivotal role, and thrombus burden is a predictor of the no-reflow phenomenon and an independent predictor of adverse outcomes.

Distal coronary embolization occurs predominantly at the time of initial balloon or stent inflation, so thrombus burden reduction by thrombectomy devices before balloon/stent inflation may decrease the dangerous phenomenon of no-reflow. Manual aspiration catheters are the most commonly used devices because they are easy and safe to use, even in the elderly, and are relatively inexpensive compared with rheolytic thrombectomy. Moreover, myocardial salvage is measured and studied in trials through different parameters: angiographic thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) and myocardial blush grade (MBG), electrocardiographic ST-segment resolution (STR), functional (reduction of infarct size) and clinical (enhanced survival free from heart failure events). Several randomized trials have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of pretreatment with manual thrombectomy during PCI. Most of the studies in the literature, including meta-analyses, randomized trials or registries, conclude that thrombectomy improves the parameters of myocardial reperfusion, with a rapid and effective STR. The Thrombus Aspiration during Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Acute myocardial infarction (TAPAS) Trial, the impact of thrombectomy with EXPort catheter in Infarct-Related Artery during Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (EXPIRA) Trial and some meta-analyses found that aspiration thrombectomy during ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) improves myocardial reperfusion and procedural outcomes, reducing no-reflow, mortality and distal embolization. There are some unanswered questions about thrombus aspiration including whether there is truly a mortality benefit, which subgroups may and may not benefit from aspiration, and whether patients with large thrombus burden are better treated with mechanical thrombectomy.

**RATIONALE AND INDICATION**

There are many ways to treat the coronary thrombus burden at the time of PCI: pharmacologic strategies (typically glycoprotein IIb/IIIa platelet inhibitors), embolic protection devices (filters and distal balloon occlusion with aspiration), mechanical thrombectomy, and manual or aspiration thrombectomy devices. This paper reviews the role of manual thrombectomy in patients with STEMI. The evidence supporting the benefit of aspiration thrombectomy on surrogate outcomes (TIMI flow, MBG and STR) and angiographic outcomes (distal embolization and no-reflow) is strong and convincing, while the benefit in reduction of mortality is not strong and has limitations.

All randomized trials of aspiration thrombectomy have been performed in “all comers” with STEMI, and it is not clear which subgroups may benefit more and which subgroups may not benefit at all. In the EXPIRA Trial, 175 patients with STEMI were randomized to PCI alone vs PCI with manual thrombectomy and a significant improvement was shown in the primary endpoints of MBG 3 and complete STR. This study was the first to evaluate infarct size by magnetic resonance imaging, and it found that the extent of microvascular obstruction was less in the acute phase with aspiration (1.7 g vs 3.7 g, P = 0.0003), and an improvement in infarct size at 3 months was seen with aspiration (17% to 11%, P = 0.004) but not in the control group (14% to 13%, P = NS). These data are confirmed by the results of the INFUSE-AMI Trial (Intracoronary Abciximab Infusion and Aspiration Thrombectomy in Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Anterior ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction) in which the group with thrombectomy plus intracoronary abciximab had a better prognosis.

Based on the TAPAS Trial and the above meta-analyses, the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guidelines and the European Society of Cardiology Guidelines have given aspiration thrombectomy a Class IIa (Level of Evidence B) indication in PCI for STEMI. The committee did not consider the evidence for benefit on clinical outcomes strong enough to warrant a Class I indication.

The literature and clinical practice clearly show that the impact of thrombectomy on all outcomes is linked to multiple factors during STEMI, in particular time from symptom onset to PCI, and infarct-related coronary artery and intracoronary thrombus burden. Sianos et al. have shown that both angiographic and clinical outcomes are poorer in patients with a large thrombus burden (>2 vessel diameters) in a new thrombus classification. A large thrombus burden is associated with a greater frequency of major adverse cardiac events, and is a strong independent predictor of late mortality. Moreover, Napodano et al. found that patients with right coronary artery infarcts, long lesions and a high thrombus score had the highest frequency of distal embolization. We might expect these subgroups to benefit most from thrombectomy, but data from the TAPAS trial do not support this. Improvement in MBG with aspiration was no better in patients with right coronary artery (RCA) infarcts vs non-RCA infarcts, and was no better in patients with a visible thrombus compared with patients without a visible thrombus. There was a trend for greater
benefit in patients with a reperfusion time of less than 3 h, but there were no differential benefits in patients stratified by pre-PCI TIMI flow [17]. Overall, there are few current studies to support selective use of aspiration thrombectomy in any subgroup of STEMI patients treated with PPCI [28-30].

Recently, the TASTE Trial (Thrombus Aspiration in ST-Elevation myocardial infarction in Scandinavia), a randomized study using a platform of a clinical registry, enrolled 7244 STEMI patients who were treated with standard PPCI or manual thrombectomy before PCI. This trial had an ambitious primary endpoint, that is, to reduce 30-d all-cause mortality, and it concluded that routine thrombectomy in PPCI does not reduce this event [31]. In our opinion, in this study, it was excessive to expect a mortality reduction at 30 d, and would have been more logical to have a primary end-point with a mean follow-up of at least 1 year, as in TAPAS. The TASTE trial design was based on national heart registries and on a secondary randomization that could introduce an initial bias; moreover, there were no reported procedural data such as TIMI flow post-aspiration, MBG or STR. Finally, the frequency of thrombus score greater than 3 was very low (32%) in the total population (54% of patients in the TASTE trial). Instead in the EXPIRA trial, an important inclusion criteria was a higher visible thrombus burden (score ≥ 3) identifying patients at highest risk of coronary distal embolization. Data reported in the literature and guidelines indicate that manual thrombus aspiration should always be considered during PPCI to reduce the risk of distal embolization, in particular in cases of intraluminal thrombosis with a score ≥ 3.

Aspiration thrombectomy has limited ability to remove a large thrombus and may sometimes be associated with incomplete thrombus removal, no-reflow, and/or distal emboli. There is previous and very recent evidence that mechanical thrombectomy may effectively improve outcomes in patients with a large thrombus burden. Whether mechanical thrombectomy is preferable to aspiration thrombectomy in patients with a large thrombus burden remains an unanswered question [32].

**CONCLUSION**

In our opinion, based on the literature and clinical practice, manual thrombectomy can be used as first approach during PPCI to prevent distal embolization in the case of a visible thrombus burden. As demonstrated in the RET-AMI trial, the new generation manual thrombectomy devices are superior to the first generation tools to remove a greater thrombotic burden, providing higher post-thrombectomy epicardial flow and better post-stenting microvascular reperfusion [33].

From a “real world point of view”, to perform a good manual thrombectomy, the culprit vessel diameter could be > 2.5 mm with a TIMI flow 0-1 and a visible thrombus (score > 3). The device, however, has to advance delicately over the thrombotic occlusion to perform continuous intracoronary blood suction. In the case of a large thrombus burden, it is now possible to use a 7 Fr intracoronary manual thrombectomy device or rheolytic tools with greater suction force (Figure 1). In conclusion, in the treatment of acute myocardial infarction, thrombectomy should be considered as one of the most important therapeutic tools, with the purpose of cardioprotection and myocardial salvage.
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