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With the acceleration of urbanization in China, more underpasses will be constructed in big cities to alleviate the great traffic pressure. The prefabricated and assembly construction method has been introduced to replace the traditional cast-in-place method to achieve quick construction. However, for a fully prefabricated and assembled underground structure (PAUS) with large cross section, the structure must be cut into segments in the transverse direction to reduce the size and weight for easy transportation and assembly. Therefore, how to develop an optimal partition scheme is a new problem to be studied. Firstly, three preliminary partition schemes were proposed based on the internal force distribution and completed engineering practices. Then, the three schemes were compared in terms of bending moment, shear force, and axial force. The construction efficiencies were also compared with special emphasis on difference of the build period. Finally, an optimal partition scheme was determined and successfully applied in the real project. Furthermore, the construction period of this partition scheme was 1/3 of the traditional cast-in-place method. The results of the current paper can provide some design guidance to large cross-sectional underpasses and other underground structures in the partition stage.

1. Introduction

With the fast development of urbanization in China, traffic congestion has become an urgent problem in big cities. To relieve the traffic pressure, many underpasses have been constructed in these areas. However, the construction of most underpasses is based mainly on traditional cast-in-place concrete technology, which has both adverse environmental and social adverse effects, such as long construction time, large construction space, and environmental pollution. Thus, due to the improvements in building technology, the increasing public awareness of the importance of protecting the environment, and the need for construction to take place quickly, the national and local governments have issued policies that vigorously promote the use of prefabricated buildings. Therefore, in the coming decades, the traditional cast-in-place structures will be replaced by prefabricated assembly structures (PAS). Van Casteren studied the feasibility of placing PAUs under the railway, and it was concluded that PAUs were both technically and economically feasible [1].

Prefabricated and assembly technology has a long history in the construction of underground structures, and it has been used in many practical projects in some developed countries, such as Japan, Tashkent subway Soviet Union, Netherlands, and France [2–6]. In recent years, with the advancements of both machinery and building technology, several PAUs have been built successfully in China, for example, the Shugang road municipal underpass beneath Xianyue road and a prefabricated utility tunnel [7].

When the method used to construct underpass changed from the traditional cast-in-place method to the use and assembly of prefabricated components, it was inevitable that new problems would arise. These problems include (1) determining how to cut underpasses with large cross sections into segments, (2) determining where to locate the
joints, and (3) and determining the behaviors of joints under different loads. Shield tunnels are the most widely and extensively used type of fabricated and assembly tunnels.

For circular shield tunnels, the partition scheme is often determined by the external diameter of the tunnel. The external diameter of most metro shield tunnels is around 6.5 m, and the number of segments is 6 (3 + 2 + 1), which includes 3 standard segments, 2 adjacent segments, and 1 key segment. There are two main reasons for 4 or 5 segments schemes: one is that it is difficult to design hand holes and the other is that the key segment must be assembled in the radial direction [8]. For shield tunnels with diameter around 11 m, the number of segments is generally 8 to 10. Based on the project of Wuhan crossing Yangtze River shield project, Lu studied 5 partition schemes of the external diameter of 11.38 m shield lining in terms of internal force and deformation of the lining structure and decided the ultimate partition scheme as the 9 segments, with every segment having an arc center angle of 40° [9]. Yan et al. studied the structural parameters of shield tunnel lining with large cross section using statistical methods and found that there was a positive correlation between the number of segments and the diameter of shield tunnel [10]. Based on a double-shield TBM highway tunnel with an excavation diameter of 9.3 m, two partition schemes of the segments were compared by numerical simulation; the results showed that the mechanical properties of the segment structure in the “X + 1” mode are better than those of the one in the “equal parts” mode, and the “6 + 1” scheme was adopted in the project [11]. Shi studied a large diameter (11 m) crossing river shield in terms of structural performance and found that, compared to “8 + 1” scheme, “7 + 1” partition scheme can improve the assembly rate of the lining, and the crack of segments can be reduced by incorporating PP fibers in the concrete [12]. The above studies are mainly on circular shape shield tunnel and indicate that, for tunnels with a diameter less than 6 m, the partition number of segments is 6 and, for shield tunnel with a diameter between 8 and 11 m, the partition number of segments is 8–10. Wang based on rectangular shield tunnel proposed partition principles and guidelines in terms of structural force distribution, number of segments, transportation, and assembly [13]. Based on a quasi-rectangular shield tunnel, Wang et al. studied the structural behavior of the lining with 11 segments by a field test [14]. These studies got fruitful results in agreement with the lining partition scheme for circular shield tunnel with diameters around 6 m, which was 1 wedge key segment, 2 adjacent segments, and 3 standard segments. For circular shape shield tunnels with diameter between 8 and 15 m, the number of segments is from 8 to 10. However, these studies of partition schemes of lining segments mainly concentrated on shield tunnels which consider the limitation of the assembly space due to the construction method. For prefabrication and assembly underpass in urban areas with cut-and-cover construction method, the primary factor that should be considered in the partition scheme is not the assembly space at the site but the transportation of the segments, including the weight and dimension. The prefabricated and assembled underpass with large cross section is limited, as reported in existing literatures.

In our case, the dimension of the 22.3 m × 8.2 m, its cross section is much bigger than the underpass of Xianyue road undercrossing Shugang road [15]. In recent years, prefabricated subway stations have appeared in China, and many literatures focused on the performance of the station under seismic loads by model tests and numerical simulation. However, these studies rarely considered the partition schemes which are significant to the transportation, assembly, and joints performance [16–19].

Motivated by this research status, we focused on the partition schemes of a prefabrication and assembly underpass with large cross section. The case of Mozi Bridge underpass was taken as the engineering background in this paper. Based on this engineering case, a series of numerical simulations were conducted of three partition schemes. According to the numerical results and other pros and cons, an optimized partition schemes was proposed. The results of this study have been successfully used in an engineering practice.

2. Engineering Background

The local government planned an underpass in the center of the city of Chengdu, China, capital of Sichuan Province, to relieve traffic congestion, and its location is shown in Figure 1. To shorten its construction period, the underpass was designed and built as a PAU.

The total length of the underpass is 980 m, 230 m of which is constructed in precast and assembly underpass structure, the rest of which is constructed in cast in place manner. The cross section of the underpass is 22.3 m in width and 8.2 m in height. The thicknesses of the top slab and floor slab are 1 m, the width of the column is 0.6 m, and the width of the lateral walls is 0.75 m. Figure 2 shows a cross section of the underpass.

The average buried depth of the underpass is 3 m. The prefabricated segments have a strength of C50. The waterproof capacity of the segments of the PAU is P12 (i.e., it is designed to resist a water pressure of 12 m). The underpass was constructed by the cut-and-cover method, the foundation trench is supported by the retaining walls and a pile system, the floor of the trench was constructed of plain concrete C30 with a thickness of 0.5 m, and the segments were fabricated in a factory, transported to the construction site, and assembled.

Some basic conditions must be met before designing a preliminary partition scheme. The weight of the whole ring of the tunnel is 150 t/m. The current largest crane capacity is 250 t; hence, the dimension in the longitudinal direction of the tunnel was designed at 1.5 m.

The maximum allowable height and axle of the transport route had to be determined prior to designing the partition scheme. The height limit and the maximum allowable axle weight of the road, which the transportation of segments passed, are 5 m and 20 t, respectively.
3. Numerical Models

The numerical simulation has two parts, that is, (1) the internal force distribution on the entire structure and (2) stress and relative deformation at the joints of the preliminary design of the division scheme.

3.1. The Distribution of the Internal Force on the Whole Structure and the Layouts of Elements in the Preliminary Design. When one considers stress, it is apparent that the joint is a weak link in the structure. The main functions of the connector are to transmit force and resist leakage. Pressure-resistant joints are easier to set up and are less important. When the joint has to transmit more complex forces, its design will be more complicated. Therefore, from the perspective of force, the joint should be set in a position with a small bending moment. According to Metro Design Code (TB 10003-2005) [20], an overall force analysis of the structure is needed in order to divide the components according to the force angle of the structure, and this analysis is done by using the structure-load model of the tunnel structure mechanics analysis in ANSYS software. The dimensions and material parameters of the model are described in the last section. The elastic resistance coefficient of the ground is 30 MPa/m. The load includes the weight of the structure, the weight of the overlying soil, and the weights of the vehicles. In the structure-load model, the beam element identified as BEAM 3 usually is used to simulate the structure of the tunnel, and spring element COMBINATION 14 is used to simulate the interaction between the structure of the tunnel and the surrounding rock or soil. Figure 3 shows the distribution of the bending moment.

To obtain the optimal distribution of the stress, the joint should be placed at the position with the smallest bending moment. Hence, Figure 4(a) shows that we proposed layout 1 with the joints located at the position with 0 bending moment.

The fully prefabricated two-way rectangular underground structure was used in the Sendai subway project, and the whole structure was divided into five segments, that is, the top slab, the bottom slab, one central column, and two lateral walls [5]. This structure has a cross section that measures 11 m × 7.5 m. According to this partition principle, partition scheme 2 of the project was proposed as shown in Figure 4(b).
In 2012, a precast underpass was constructed to alleviate the traffic congestion on two main roads (express roads), that is, Shugang road and Xianyue road in Xiamen, Fujian Province [7]. Figure 4(c) shows that the cross section of the fully prefabricated tunnel is 17.3 m × 7.2 m.

3.2. Simulation of the Three Preliminary Division Schemes.
To study the mechanical behavior of the structure and the joints of the 3-element layouts, 3 corresponding models were established in the ABAQUS code (version 2016) [21]. Figure 5 shows the dimensions of the models. Shear keys were set in the joints at the distance of 0.4 m apart. The dimensions of the shear box are 28 cm × 28 cm × 100 cm. The thickness of the shear box is 20 mm, and the material is Q345 steel, which has a yield strength of 345 MPa.

The dimension of the model is 43.8 m × 10 m × 21.7 m (X × Y × Z). The bottom boundary of the model is set as rigid constraints in X, Y, and Z directions. The front and back boundaries of the model are set as rigid in Y direction. The left and right boundaries are set as rigid in X and Y directions. The loads conclude the weight of concrete segments, surround backfill soil, and vehicle loads (the five concentrated forces on the ground surface). The mechanical and physical parameters of the plain fill and concrete lining are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The prestress between the joints was set at 0.5 MPa, applied by the 5 pairs of concentrated forces in the models. The load of the model contained weights of the lining of the underpass and the soil, as well as the loaded vehicles on the surface. The load of every axle was set at 140 kN.

In the three models, the shear keys between the elements were set as linear elastoplastic material. The type “hard contact” (ABAQUS 2016) model was used to simulate the interaction between contact surfaces of segments. This kind of contact does not allow segments to penetrate each other at compression state. The friction coefficient was set at 0.4, according to laboratory test. Contact surfaces between shear keys and tunnel segments were set as surface-to-surface contact type, and the friction coefficient was set at 0.2. The “tie” mode was used to define the interaction between soil and the underpass lining. Parameters in the numerical model were the same as the real project as listed in Tables 1 and 2. In the finite element model, prestress mentioned in the last section was applied by 5 pairs of concentrated force at the top and bottom of the model for each radial joint.

4. Optimization of Division Schemes
The partition scheme of a PAU plays an important role during the design the stage. Thus, an optimal partition scheme was proposed based on the numerical simulation and empirical experience by comparing the number of joints, the directions of prestresses, and the stability during erection of three candidate schemes. The maximum load capacity of bridges and subgrades along the transport line was 20 t, which guaranteed that the roads and bridges were safe for the 15 t transport vehicle. The speed of the vehicle on straight roads was about 15 km/h, and the speed when turning was about 5 km/h.

4.1. The Displacements of the Three Preliminary Schemes.
From the results of computations (see Figure 6), we found that the vertical displacement of different division schemes of segments was that layout 2 has the maximum, layout 3 has the medium, and layout 1 has the minimum value. This trend was consistent with the distribution of bending moment of the entire structure. Hence, from this perspective, the optimal partition scheme of the segments is scheme 1. The maximum vertical displacement was in layout 1, and it was at the position of joint. But, for a practical project, there are still many factors involved in putting the chosen scheme into practice, such as the number of joints, stability during the assembly, the labor requirements, and the time required for the assembly. In the following subsection, we will compare the other factors of the three preliminary partition schemes.
4.2. Comparison of the Layouts of the Elements of the Underpass. In addition to vertical displacement, there are also other criteria for an optimal partition scheme, for example, the number of elements, the number of prestress directions, stability during assembly, the number of joints, and transportation. Apart from the displacement of the structure with different partition schemes. We will evaluate the advantages and disadvantages in these aspects.

The number of segments influences the number of transports, joints required, and the time required to complete the construction. Stability during construction means that the segment can maintain its stability without any additional measures, such as temporary prestress and rigid connections. Prestresses are used to ensure stability and waterproofness of the PAU. In the design stage, effort should be made to identify the direction that requires the least

Figure 4: Preliminary division schemes: (a) scheme 1, (b) scheme 2, and (c) scheme 3.
Figure 5: Dimensions of the simulation model: (a) whole model, (b) underpass structure, and (c) shear keys.

Table 1: The mechanical and physical parameters of the plain fill.

| Parameters      | Thickness (m) | Density (kg/m³) | Elastic modulus (MPa) | Poisson’s ratio | Friction angle (°) | Cohesion (KPa) |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
amount of prestressing. Prestressing in the longitudinal direction is necessary for all division schemes, so this topic is not discussed in this paper. In the analysis, the division of the segments which do not need additional prestress is preferable. With those criteria, the three preliminary partition schemes were compared.
the joints are very important during the optimal structural

transfer the minimum bending moment. Both the size of the
segment and its weight are the minimum values. There were
7 segments and 8 joints in this scheme. As the number of
segments increases, more time is required to operate the
cranes and to assemble the segments. The joints were set at
the top and bottom slabs, and this required temporary
prestressing in the horizontal direction to ensure stability
during the assembly and a permanent prestress to ensure
that the joints were waterproof.

The application of the horizontal prestress requires more
space, which means that a wider foundation pit is needed,
even though it is difficult to prestress the top slab.

4.2.1. Partition Scheme 1 (Seven-Segment Scheme). The
weight of the largest segment per meter is 35 t/m. The
maximum height of the segment is 1.5 m (the dimension in
the longitudinal direction). The most significant advantage
of this scheme is that the joints at the most favorable position
transfer the minimum bending moment. Both the size of the
segment and its weight are the minimum values. There were
7 segments and 8 joints in this scheme. As the number of
segments increases, more time is required to operate the
cranes and to assemble the segments. The joints were set at
the top and bottom slabs, and this required temporary
prestressing in the horizontal direction to ensure stability
during the assembly and a permanent prestress to ensure
that the joints were waterproof.

The application of the horizontal prestress requires more
space, which means that a wider foundation pit is needed,
even though it is difficult to prestress the top slab.

4.2.2. Partition Scheme 2 (Five-Segment Scheme). The weight
of the largest segment is 67 t/m. The maximum height of the
segment is 1.5 (the dimension in the longitudinal direction).
There were 7 segments and 8 joints in this scheme. There are
5 segments and 6 joints in this division scheme. The position
of joints in this scheme should transfer the maximum
bending moment in the three schemes.

4.2.3. Partition Scheme 3 (Two-Segment Scheme). The weight
of the largest segment in this scheme is 75 t/m. The max-
imum height of the segment is 1.5 m (the dimension in the
longitudinal direction). There are 2 segments and 3 joints in
this scheme. The prestress should be applied in the vertical
direction. The columns are not stable during the assembly
and additional measures are required for safety purpose.
Wang et al. [22] reported that the location of joints cannot
affect the distribution of the bending moments of the whole
structure significantly.

The comprehensive comparison of the candidate
schemes is significant to optimize partition scheme. The
internal forces of the three schemes at the center of the three
walls are listed in Table 3. The internal forces are nearly
the same for the three schemes in addition to the fact that
scheme 3 is the biggest one. This is mainly due to the fact
that the little deformation occurs at the joints. The maximum
bending moment of all the three schemes is at the right side
of the central column. This is mainly induced by the
asymmetrical property of the structure. The three candidate
schemes have no obvious difference in terms of distribution
of internal force for the prestress and the shear boxes in the
joints. For the partition scheme, the internal forces around
the joints are very important during the optimal structural
partition. However, in a real project, there are other factors
such as segment weight, the maximum dimension, assembly
time, the requirement size of the foundation pit, and the
numbers of the prestress directions and joints. The com-
parison of these factors is given in Table 4. Scheme 1 has the
minimum segment weight and span but the most segments
and joints. Therefore, this scheme needs the longest as-
sembly period, and for the horizontal joints the additional
prestress in this direction is needed, which requires a wider
size of the foundation pit and longer build time. In schemes 2
and 3, the only difference in partition is that in scheme 2 all
the walls are cut into 3 parts, while in scheme 3 the structure
is cut into two symmetry segments. The additional rect-
angular segments need much more time in assembling for
their poor stability, which costs longer assembled time. In all
candidate schemes, the size and weight of the biggest seg-
ment can satisfy the transportation and lifting. Scheme 3 has
the fastest build speed and least prestress directions. For the
comprehensive comparison and the engineering desire of
fast construction, scheme 3 is the best partition scheme.

4.3. Sensitivity Analysis of the Three Schemes. As described in
Section 3, the weights and dimensions of the three partition
schemes can satisfy the requirement of current trans-
portation and crane ability. Hence, the size and weight are
not considered in the sensitive analysis. A radar chart was
used to analyze the sensitivity of the internal force at joints,
the number of joints, the prestress directions, and the sta-
bility during assembly.

In the radar chart, the colored points indicate the in-
fluence of the criteria. The influence of each criterion in-
creasing means that the position of the point locates outward
the ring and vice versa. The score of each criterion ranges
from 1 to 3. The lines connecting the points indicate the pros
and cons of the schemes. In Figure 7, scheme 3 covers the
largest area, which means that this is the best partition
scheme. Apart from the aforementioned criteria, in scheme
3, the dimensions of the two segments are the same, and they
can be prefabricated by the same mold.

5. Construction of the Fully Prefabricated and
Assembled Underpass

After the design is completed, support of the foundation pit
was provided, and the segment mold was fabricated. Also, a
retaining wall was constructed before the trench was ex-
cavated. Afterwards, the complete foundation of the PAU
was poured, and three tracks were assembled. Figures 8(a)–
8(c) show the prefabrication of the segments, demolding,
Table 4: The comprehension comparison of the three schemes.

| Scheme | Weight (t) | Size (m) | Span (m) | No. of joints | Build speed (Ring/day) |
|--------|------------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------------------|
| 1      | 35         | 2.5      | 8.5      | 8             | 0.4                   |
| 2      | 67         | 2.5      | 22.3     | 6             | 0.8                   |
| 3      | 75         | 4.1      | 22.3     | 3             | 1.5                   |

![Figure 7: Comparison of the three schemes.](image)

Figure 8: Prefabrication of the segments and construction of the trench: (a) segments mold, (b) prefabricated segments, (c) segment layout, and (d) trench excavation and support.
Figure 9: Special design of transportation: (a) the steering system; (b) automatic vertical balancing device.

Figure 10: Working principles diagram of the steering system.
and the layout, respectively. The excavation of the trench was shown in Figure 8(d).

As shown in Figures 9 and 10, the steering system is a pair of hydraulic flat turntable mechanisms. Through the adjustment of the steering hydraulic system and the reasonable arrangement of the connecting rods, the vehicle can achieve splay steering, thereby minimizing the turning radius. To ensure that the segments maintain a uniform height, a hydraulic cylinder is set to make the frame free lift, as shown in Figure 9(b). All suspension hydraulic cylinders are connected unilaterally through the hydraulic oil tubes.

6. Conclusions

This paper investigated the partition schemes of a prefabricated and assembled underpass (PAU) with large cross section. Three preliminary schemes were proposed by the internal force distribution and completed similar projects. The size and weight of the maximum segments were satisfied with the transportation and hoisting requirement among all the three schemes. The three schemes were compared in terms of internal force distribution with the connection of the joints, number of joints, number of prestress directions, and construction efficiency. Results show that the partition scheme of two segments is the optimized one, and the internal forces are nearly the same as the seven-segment scheme, but, with the shortest construction time, five-segment scheme needs more assembling time, and the three columns have poorer stability during erection. For a PAU, the construction speed is the primary factor to be considered, provided that other mechanical requirements are met. In addition, the construction procedure was also introduced briefly.
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