Morphometric Variations of Mandibular Foramen and Lingula of Mandible with Gender and Age Using Cone Beam Computerised Tomography
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mandibular foramen (MF) is located on the medial surface of mandibular ramus. The inferior alveolar nerve and vessels pass through it and supply the mandibular teeth. Along with the lingula of the mandible, it forms an important bony landmark during oral and maxillofacial surgical procedures and for identifying the site for injection of local anaesthetics.

Objective: To study the morphometric variation in the position of the mandibular foramen and lingula and to compare the above measurements in males and females and age groups of < 20 years and > 20 years.

Methods: 100 cone beam computerised tomography (CBCT) scans of full skull of both the genders and age group were studied in Ramaiah dental hospital and the location of the mandibular foramen and lingual were measured from the reference points: Base of the mandible, anterior and posterior borders of the ramus of the mandible, sigmoid notch and third molar.

Results: The parameter that showed significant variation was; the mandibular foramen and lingula in females was more towards the base than males and among the age group of below 20 years of and adults above 20 years of age, children have their mandibular foramen nearer to the mandibular notch and the posterior border; indicating that the foramen moves downwards and anteriorly with growth.

Conclusion: The position of both the mandibular foramen and lingula will vary with age and sex hence the surgeons should consider the age and sex of the patient during osteotomies and nerve block.
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INTRODUCTION

Several dental procedures such as apical curettage of mandibular premolars, amalgam filling, implant treatment, mandibular osteotomies and periodontal surgeries, dental extractions and correction of various jaw abnormalities require the use of local anesthesia during the surgeries. The most common route of local anesthesia is by giving a nerve block to the inferior alveolar nerve which provides anesthesia of teeth, jaw, lip, gingiva and mucous membrane.1

The mandibular foramen (MF) is located on the medial side of the ramus of the mandible through which the neurovascular bundle containing the inferior alveolar nerve and vessels passes. It forms an anterior loop in the mandibular canal of the lower jaw and leaves the canal after splitting into mental and incisive nerves from the mental foramen in the anterior wall of the alveolar bone.2,3 The failure rate of the anaesthesia is reported to be 20 to 25% and the cause being inaccurate positioning of the mandibular foramen.4,5

The lingula is a tongue-shaped bony projection covering the mandibular foramen. Lingula and MF act as important landmark for the correction of deformities like prognathic, orthognathic and retrognathia by conducting osteotomy procedures such as bilateral sagittal split ramus and intraoral vertical sagittal ramus surgeries. In the above procedures, the excision of bone should be at the level of the lingula to avoid injury to an inferior alveolar nerve.6

Thus locating these anatomical positions accurately is critical to achieve more successful nerve block and prevent common complications like paraesthesia, skin necrosis and haemorrhage during orthognathic surgery.4,7,8 Variations concerning...
the individual, gender, age, race, assessing technique and degree of edentulous alveolar bone atrophy has also been noted in the mandibular incisive canal, mental foramen and associated neurovascular bundles.\textsuperscript{9}

In children, a variation in the difference between the distance from the mandibular foramen to the anterior border and the posterior border is observed. This variation is caused by regional growth in different directions.\textsuperscript{10,11} Hence in the above-mentioned procedures, the exact site of operation and anaesthesia will differ in children and adults.

Radiographic images are obtained clinically to identify the position of the mandibular foramen. However, it has the disadvantage of being less accurate. Computed tomography (CT) scans are accurate but expensive and have a high dose of radiation exposure to the patients. On the other hand, Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans are less expensive and have low radiation exposure doses\textsuperscript{12} hence is more beneficial to the patients with accurate results.\textsuperscript{13-15}

Moreover, studies conducted on dry bone cannot determine the age and sex of the individual which was the main aim of this study. Hence CBCT scan was used to study the morphometry and variations in the mandibular foramen and lingula in two age group and males and females. This study aimed to compare the position of the mandibular foramen and lingula in both the sexes and in age groups of below 20 years and above 20 years.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

100 CBCT scans of full skull of both genders were taken from the Department of oral medicine and radiology in Raqiah dental hospital in the period 2014-2017. 50 were of below 20 years and 50 were after 20 years of age. Scans were taken using a Carestream CS 9300 machine at 90 kVp and 6mA with 17*13.5cm field of view. The age, name and gender of the patient were noted. The scan was viewed in cross-sectional slices of 25.5 mm thickness in the sagittal plane. The mandibular foramen on the medial side of the ramus was identified. The shape of the lingula was noted. The presence of a third molar was noted. The location of the foramen and the lingula was assessed by measuring the distances using DIACOM Software. The distance of MF and lingula were measured from the reference points such as base of the ramus, mandibular notch, anterior border of the ramus, posterior border of the ramus, and third molar tooth. The mean of three measurements of each parameter was taken by the same observer to avoid interobserver and intraobserver variations.

Ethical clearance number- DRP/IFP/171- 2/8/2017

Variations in the shape of lingual\textsuperscript{16,17} were also noted and were classified into:

- a. Triangular (with a wide base and a narrow rounded or pointed apex, rounded or pointed apex)
- b. Truncated (lingula with somewhat quadrangular bony projection on its top)
- c. Nodular (lingula is nodular and of variable size, almost the entire lingula except for its apex which was merged into the ramus)
- d. Assimilated (lingula is completely incorporated into the ramus) (Figure 1- 4)

**RESULTS**

The study showed the mean distance of mandibular foramen from the base of the ramus was 25.55±3.35 mm in the males and 23.6±2.75 mm in females, from the mandibular notch, was 22.25±4.35 mm in males and 20.4±2.85 mm in females, anterior border of the ramus of mandible was recorded as 15.5±2.5 mm in males and 16.00±1.9 mm in females, from the posterior border was recorded as 9.4±2.25 mm in males and 9.15±1.85 mm in females, from third molar tooth 19.1±1.9 in males and 18.25±1.9 in females.

The mean distance of lingula from the base of the ramus was 32.05±3.95 mm in the males and 29.1±3.9 mm in females, from mandibular notch was 16.15±3.05 mm in males and 15.6±2.6 mm in females, anterior border of the ramus of mandible was recorded as 15.5±2.5 mm in males and 16.00±1.9 mm in females, from the posterior border was recorded as 14.55±2.1 mm in males and 13.85±2.0 mm in females, from third molar tooth 18.8±1.4 in males and 17.75±1.65 in females.

The shape of lingula was found to be truncated in 37% on the right side and 34% on the left side, nodular on 22% on the right side and 28% on the left side, assimilated in 25% on the right side and 20% on the left side and triangular in 16% on the right side and 18% on the left side (Figure 5-8).

The measurements from mandibular foramen to the base and mandibular notch and also the distance from lingual to the base of the mandible showed significant variations in males and females with a p-value of 0.001, 0.011 and 0.0 respectively.

The measurements that showed significant variations in the age groups below 20 years and above 20 years with p-value <0.05 are the distance of mandibular foramen to the base, mandibular notch, posterior and anterior borders and the distance of lingula to the base, posterior and anterior border (Table 1 and 2). The study and its statistical analysis showed that among males and females, females have their mandibular foramen and lingula more towards the base than in males. Among the age groups i.e. group below 20 years of age and adults above 20 years of age, children have their mandibular foramen nearer to the mandibular notch and the posterior border;
indicating that the foramen moves downwards and anteriorly with growth.

**DISCUSSION**

The mandibular ramus and the body are developed from intramembranous ossification. A groove containing nerve and blood vessels develops during the 24th week of the embryonic stage. Initially, 3 inferior alveolar nerves are formed in the embryo innervating each of the 3 groups of mandibular teeth. The fusion of these 3 nerves will result in the formation of a single inferior alveolar nerve. The failure of fusion of any of the two nerves may result in the double mandibular canal.4,13

In 2010, R Shalini et al. in their study found that 21.74±2.74 mm and 21.92±3.33 mm were the distance of mandibular foramen from the mandibular notch on the right and the left side respectively, while 22.33±3.32 mm and 25.35±4.5 mm were the distance from the mandibular foramen to the base of the ramus on the right and left side respectively. The mean distance of mandibular foramen from the anterior border of the ramus of the mandible was 17.11±2.74 mm on the right side and 17.41±3.05 mm on the left side, while from the posterior border was 10.47±2.11 mm on the right side and 9.68±2.03 mm on the left side.4

In 2014, Padmavathi G et al. studied 65 human dry mandibles, wherein lingula was truncated in shape in 33.84%, triangular in 29.23%, nodular 19.23% and assimilated in 17.70% of the mandibles. The mean distance of 21.3±4.12 mm and 19.6±3.30 mm was recorded from the lingula to the anterior and posterior borders of the mandibular ramus respectively. The mean distance of the lingula from the mandibular notch and base of the mandible was 18.6±3.71 mm and 36.1±4.12 mm respectively.18

In 2013, according to a study done by Prajna PS et al. on 60 adult human mandibles, the mean distance of mandibular foramen from the posterior border was recorded as 10.47±2.11 mm on the right side and 9.68±2.03 mm on the left side, from the anterior border of the ramus of mandible was recorded as 17.11±2.74 mm on the right side and 17.41±3.05 mm on the left side. The mean distance of mandibular foramen from the base of the ramus was 22.33±3.32 mm on the right side and 25.35±4.5 mm on the left side and from mandibular notch was 21.74±2.74 mm on the right side and 21.92±3.33 mm on the left side. Accessory mandibular foramen was present in 32.36% of mandibles.19

In 2010, 80 dry mandibles were studied by Lopes Paulo Ta-deu Campos et al. the triangular shape of the lingula was found on 66 sides (41.3%), the truncated lingula was present on 58 sides (36.3%), and the nodular lingula was seen on 17 sides (10.5%) and the assimilated lingula it was seen on 19 sides (11.9%). Accessory foramina were seen in 11.3 and 3.8% of the right and left mandibular rami respectively.20

A total of 100 mandibular foramina and 18 accessory foramina were observed in the 100 mandibles, in a study held by Gopalakrishna et al. The mean distance of mandibular foramina to the anterior border of ramus was reported to be 14.63±3.16 mm on the right side and 15.31±3.11 mm on the left side. The distance of the mandibular foramen to the posterior border was 12.34±3.10 mm on the right side and 13.51±3.92 mm on the left side. The distances between the foramen and the mandibular notch are 21.23±4.56 mm on the right side and 21.16±3.12 mm on the left side, between the foramen and angle of mandible, was 22.14±3.18 mm on the right side and 22.1±4.12 mm on the left side, while between the foramen and posterior border of 3rd molar tooth was recorded as 14.37±3.16 mm on the right side and 19.26±2.57 mm on the left side.21

O Oguz et al. did a study on 34 Turkish adult male mandibles. The results showed that the distance of the mandibular foramen to the angle of the anterior ramus was 16.9 mm on the right and 16.78 mm on the left. The distance to the posterior side of the ramus was found to be 14.09 mm on the right and 14.37 mm on the left. The distance of the mental foramen to the inferior border of the mandible was found to be 14.61 mm and 14.29 mm on the right and left, respectively. Its distance to the superior border was found to be 13.62 mm on the right and 14.62 mm on the left.22

According to the results of a study done by Jansisyanont on 92 dried mandibles, the truncated lingulae were most frequently found (46.2%) and most appeared to be bilateral (71.7%). Triangular, nodular, and assimilated shapes presented in 29.9%, 19.6%, and 4.3%, respectively. The mean angular height was reported to be 8.2+/−2.3 mm. The lingula was located at 20.6+/−3.5 mm from the anterior border of the mandibular ramus and 16.6+/−2.9 mm from the mandibular notch (Table 3 and 4).

In addition to the above-mentioned measurements, the present study also showed significant variation in the measurements of mandibular foramen to the anterior border, mandibular notch and to the base, and also measurements of lingula to base, posterior and anterior borders of mandible among the age groups of below 20 years and above 20 years.

**CONCLUSION**

The study and its statistical analysis showed that females have their mandibular foramen and lingula more towards the base than males. Among the age groups, children have their mandibular foramen nearer to the mandibular notch and the posterior border indicating that the foramen moves downwards and anteriorly with growth. The knowledge of the variation of the position of mandibular foramen concerning age
and gender is important to improve the efficacy of the nerve block or plane of surgery being performed. Hence the surgeons should consider the age and gender before beginning such procedures to avoid injury to neurovascular bundles.
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Table 1: Tables showing the measurements of mandibular foramen from the reference points below 20 and above 20 years of age on right and left side

| Distance measured          | Age       | RIGHT Mean ± Standard deviation (mm) | P Value | LEFT Mean ± Standard deviation (mm) | P value |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| MF to base                 | Below 20  | 23.4±3.0                             | 0.001*  | 23.7±2.9                           | 0.004*  |
|                            | Above 20  | 25.5±3.2                             |         | 25.5±3.1                           |         |
| MF to notch                | Below 20  | 20.4±3.2                             | 0.003*  | 20.1±3.3                           | 0.005*  |
|                            | Above 20  | 22.6±3.9                             |         | 22.2±4.1                           |         |
| MF to anterior border      | Below 20  | 15.3±2.7                             | 0.120   | 15.3±1.8                           | 0.007*  |
|                            | Above 20  | 16.1±2.2                             |         | 16.3±2.0                           |         |
| MF to posterior border     | Below 20  | 8.8±2.2                              | 0.037*  | 9.2±2.1                            | 0.598   |
|                            | Above 20  | 9.7±2.0                              |         | 9.4±2.0                            |         |
| MF to Third molar tooth    | Below 20  | 18.3±1.4                             | 0.001*  | 18.0±1.8                           | 0.001*  |
|                            | Above 20  | 18.6±2.3                             |         | 19.1±1.7                           |         |

(MF= Mandibular foramen)

Table 2: Measurements of lingula from the reference points below 20 and above 20 years of age on the right and left side

| Distance measured          | Age       | RIGHT Mean ± Standard deviation (mm) | P value | LEFT Mean ± Standard deviation (mm) | P value |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Lingula to notch           | Below 20  | 15.7±3.2                             | 0.305   | 15.3±2.4                           | 0.115   |
|                            | Above 20  | 16.3±2.9                             |         | 16.1±2.9                           |         |
| Lingula to base            | Below 20  | 28.6±4.4                             | 0.000*  | 29.3±3.4                           | 0.00*   |
|                            | Above 20  | 32.2±4.0                             |         | 32.1±3.7                           |         |
| Lingula to posterior border| Below 20  | 13.6±1.9                             | 0.004*  | 13.8±1.9                           | 0.108   |
|                            | Above 20  | 14.8±2.1                             |         | 14.5±2.1                           |         |
| Lingula to anterior border | Below 20  | 15.3±2.7                             | 0.118   | 15.3±1.8                           | 0.007*  |
|                            | Above 20  | 16.1±2.2                             |         | 16.3±2.0                           |         |
| Lingula to Third molar tooth | Below 20 | 18.3±2.0                             | 0.001*  | 17.7±1.5                           | 0.001*  |
|                            | Above 20  | 18.2±1.6                             |         | 18.5±1.5                           |         |

Table 3: Comparison of parameters of the present study with the previous studies done using CBCT scan

| Researcher                 | MF to Base (mm) | MF to notch (mm) | MF to the posterior border (mm) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
|                            | Male            | Female           | Male                            | Female             | Below 20 years | Above 20 years |
| Aglarci O S et al          | 24.53 ± 4.35    | 23.56 ± 4.38     | 17.20 ± 3.36                    | 16.37 ± 3.18       | 7.18 ± 1.50    | 8.19 ± 2.26    |
| Abbas Shokri et al         | 24.6 ± 4.0      | 23.0 ± 2.6       | 11.48 ± 3.39                    | 10.09 ± 2.97       | 8.8 ± 2.2      | 9.7 ± 2.0      |
| Present study              | 25.6 ± 3.4      | 23.4 ± 2.7       | 22.4 ± 4.2                      | 20.5 ± 2.9         | 8.8 ± 2.2      | 9.7 ± 2.0      |

(MF= Mandibular foramen)
Table 4: Comparison of parameters of present study with the previous studies done using CBCT scans

| Researcher            | Lingula to anterior border (mm) | Lingula to posterior border (mm) | Lingula to notch (mm) | Lingula to base (mm) | Most common shape of lingula |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Senel et al 24        | 18.5 ± 2.3                      | 16.9 ± 3.5                      | 18.1 ± 3.6           | 38.3                 | Nodular                       |
| Arun Kumar et al 25   | 14.06                           | 12.92                           | 14.75                | 14.70                | Truncated                      |
| Ahmet ES 26           | 16.7 ± 2.7                      | 13.0 ± 2.3                      | 15.3 ± 2.4           | -                    | Nodular                       |
| Present study         | 16.1 ± 2.2                      | 14.8 ± 2.1                      | 16.3 ± 2.9           | 32.2 ± 4.0           | Truncated                      |

**Figure 1:** The reference points from mandibular foramen used for taking measurement.

**Figure 2:** The reference points from the lingula used for taking measurement.

**Figure 3:** 3D image of CBCT scan showing 1 mandibular foramen and 2 lingula.

**Figure 4:** CBCT scan image showing the measurement from mandibular foramen.
Figure 5: Assimilated type of lingula.

Figure 6: Triangular type of lingula.

Figure 7: Nodular type of lingula.

Figure 8: Truncated type of lingula.