Chronic lateral ankle instability: a current concepts review
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Abstract

Injuries to the lateral ankle ligaments are quite common, with a reported incidence of up to 22% of all sports injuries, and 85% of all ankle sprains. Most of these are effectively managed using nonoperative measures in the acute setting. Approximately 20% of patients will, however, develop chronic lateral ankle instability (CLAI).

Although the anatomy and biomechanics are well documented, more recently, the concepts of the lateral talofibular calcaneal ligament (LTFCL) and microinstability have been described.

For those who develop CLAI, a full assessment is mandatory to not only search for correctable risk factors (malalignment), but also to differentiate between functional and mechanical instability. Associated injuries need to be excluded, such as osteochondral lesions of the talus. Rotational ankle instability is a new concept that needs to be considered.

Patients who present with CLAI are initially managed conservatively in the form of functional rehabilitation. This management is especially effective in patients with objective mechanical instability. The elite athlete is a relative indication to performing surgery early.

The choice of surgical procedure is made on an individualised basis, although open anatomical procedures remain the gold standard. Non-anatomical procedures are no longer recommended.

Ligamentous injuries of the ankle, commonly called ankle sprains, are very common. There is a reported incidence of up to 22% of all sports injuries. An injury of the lateral ligamentous complex from an inversion sprain accounts for 85% of ankle sprains. This injury occurs when the foot is forced into inversion while the ankle is plantarflexed. Most of these injuries will present to casualty or primary healthcare settings for evaluation.¹⁻³

Most acute injuries, if treated appropriately with conservative management, will recover.⁴ There are, however, those that do go on to have chronic problems. Residual pain and instability are the most common complaints as confirmed in a cohort of athletes by Yeung et al.⁵ The incidence was 30.2% and 20.4% respectively. The authors also reported that as the number of recurrent sprains increased, so did the frequency of residual symptoms.¹⁻⁵

Approximately 20% of patients can proceed to develop chronic instability. Recommended management for chronic lateral ankle instability (CLAI) is conservative, with operative treatment being indicated for failed conservative management. Recent literature reported poor response to conservative management in patients with mechanical instability, unlike functional instability.⁶⁻⁸ There is much renewed interest in the surgical management of CLAI. This is partly due to the recent advances in minimally invasive and arthroscopic surgery. This was described as ‘a big wave is coming’ by authors of a recent editorial.¹⁰

Anatomy

The ankle joint is stabilised by a combination of the bony mortise and the supporting soft tissue. This creates a hinge-like joint with the talus gliding in the mortise where the wedge shape of the trochlea...
of the ankle allows the talus to internally rotate and supinate as the ankle plantar flexes. This sets up a complex mechanical interaction between bones, ligaments and tendons.\textsuperscript{7,11} 

Soft tissue stability is also provided by three ligamentous complexes: the deltoid, the syndesmosis and the lateral collateral ligament complex. The lateral complex is made up of three ligaments: the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL), calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) and posterior talofibular ligament (PTFL) (Figure 1). The ATFL is the weakest of the three with a tensile strength of about 140 N. It lies almost horizontal, with the ankle in a neutral position, as it runs from the anterior part of the lateral malleolus to the talar neck. As the ankle moves into plantar flexion the ATFL tightens and comes to lie almost vertical. In this position it is vulnerable to injury under excessive internal rotation stress or inversion and is the first of the ligaments injured in a sprain. The CFL runs from the tip of the lateral malleolus to the calcaneus in a slightly posterior oblique direction forming an angle of approximately $105^\circ$ to the ATFL.\textsuperscript{12} Progression of the injury leads to CFL injury in about 20% of cases. Injury to the CFL has also been implicated in subtalar instability.\textsuperscript{13} The ATFL is intracapsular while the CFL is extracapsular. The PTFL is injured in less than 10% of cases.\textsuperscript{11} Besides these static structures, the tendons around the ankle also aid in the stability of the ankle and are the focus in conservative management.\textsuperscript{7} 

With the advent of minimally invasive surgery, there has been a renewed interest in the anatomy of the ligamentous complex by various authors. Matsuji et al., in a meta-analysis of cadaver studies, looked at anatomical features for the development of endoscopic and minimally invasive reconstructions. The ATFL was found to have one bundle in 61.6% of specimens, two bundles in 35.7% and three bundles in 2.7%. The fibular origin was on the inferior part of the anterior border of the fibula, approximately 50% between the anterior tubercle and inferior tip. The obscure tubercle, or anteroinferior tubercle of the fibula, may be used as a landmark for this point. The talar insertion is anterior to the lateral articular surface. The fibular origin of the CFL is just distal to that of the ATFL, with both ligaments sharing a single confluent footprint on the anterior border of the fibula. The CFL runs from the tip of the fibula, under the peroneal tendons, and attaches to the lateral surface of the calcaneus.\textsuperscript{13} 

Vega et al. described the anatomy of the ATFL and CFL in 32 cadaveric specimens. All specimens showed the ATFL to have two fascicles, superior and inferior. The superior fascicle was shown to be a distinct anatomical structure that tightened in plantarflexion. The inferior fascicle was shown to be isometric with the CFL and did not change in length with plantarflexion. Furthermore, the inferior fascicle is joined to the CFL by arciform fibres. The authors stated that this was one structure and called it the lateral talofibular calcaneal ligament (LTFCL). The superior fascicle was found to be intracapsular and therefore thought to have poor healing potential after a rupture. The inferior fascicle and CFL are extracapsular. The authors hypothesised that a tear of the LTFCL causes classic instability while an isolated tear of the superior fascicle results in microinstability. This theory is based on the supposition that the superior fascicle, being intra-articular, will probably not heal. The authors also suggested that the LTFCL complex explains why an isolated ATFL repair gives good results despite there being involvement of both ATFL and CFL.\textsuperscript{14} 

**Pathomechanics** 

Sprains of the lateral ligaments have varying degrees of injury. Acutely this is graded as stretching, partial tear or complete tear of the ligament.\textsuperscript{2} Acute sprains do not only cause injury to the ligaments, but also disrupt normal neural processes. This is caused by disruption of capsular mechanoreceptors that can lead to the loss of afferent nerve function and ankle motor coordination. These disrupted neural processes can result in functional CLAI.\textsuperscript{15,16} 

CLAI can result from functional or mechanical causes. Functional instability is present in patients complaining of the ankle giving way but have no clinical and radiographic evidence of instability. These patients therefore have a perceived sense of instability with no anatomical deficit. Factors leading to this may include impaired proprioception, diminished neuromuscular control, compromised strength, decreased postural control, tight Achilles tendon and weak peroneal muscles. In contrast, with mechanical instability there is clinical evidence of instability which is due to the lateral ligaments being incompetent or stretched out. These patients will have positive stress tests on clinical examination and obvious instability on radiography due to the incompetent anatomical structures. Surgical management is not indicated for isolated functional instability, and this is treated with nonoperative measures, including proprioceptive rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{4} However, in reality, CLAI tends to be due to a combination of both mechanical and functional instability.\textsuperscript{4} This supports the concept of initial conservative management and surgery being reserved for failed conservative management.\textsuperscript{17} 

The concept of rotational ankle instability (RAI) has been described by Buchhorn et al. and Vega et al. as a combination of lesions in the medial (anterior deltoid ligament) and lateral ligament complex. The condition is defined as an abnormal increase of talar rotation within the tibiofibular mortise due to an injury in the most anterior component of the deltoid ligament secondary to a chronic deficiency of the lateral collateral ligaments. This is observed during arthroscopy as a deltoid ‘open book’ tear of the most anterior component of the deltoid ligament as it separates from the medial malleolus while proximal attachments remain intact, when applying passive internal rotation of the tibio-talar joint.\textsuperscript{18,19} 

A limited number of patients with CLAI may have a partial deltoid injury; the authors highlight the high index of suspicion in recognising this injury. It is often difficult to assess clinically especially in the absence of medial symptoms, only to become apparent once isolated repair of the lateral ligament is performed. There is no specific clinical test to diagnose RAI. An MRI is imperative when RAI is suspected in patients with CLAI and arthroscopic evaluation of the deltoid ligament is advisable prior to treating the torn lateral ligament. The benefit of repairing both ligaments is emphasised in studies by Buchhorn et al. and Vega et al.\textsuperscript{18,19} 

Ankle sprains may be associated with several other pathologies. There is an overall incidence of 93% of associated injuries.\textsuperscript{4} DiGiovanni et al., in a retrospective review of 61 patients with CLAI, found that no patient had isolated ligament injuries. Peroneal tendon and retinacular pathologies, and anterolateral impingement
occurred most often. Their findings are summarised in Table I. Of note is a 23% incidence of osteochondral lesions of the talus (OLT).20 The incidence of OLT has been reported as high as 98%.21

| Injury                             | Incidence |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Peroneal tenosynovitis             | 77%       |
| Attenuated peroneal retinaculum    | 54%       |
| Peroneus brevis tear               | 25%       |
| Anterolateral impingement lesion   | 67%       |
| Ankle synovitis                    | 49%       |
| Intra-articular loose body         | 26%       |
| Talar osteochondral lesions        | 23%       |
| Medial ankle tendon tenosynovitis  | 5%        |

Table I: Associated injuries20

Clinical evaluation

History

Patients usually present with a history of giving way or rolling of the ankle. There is often a preceding history of trauma. Important questions in the history that need to be asked are related to the chronology of the sprains and the frequency of recurrent sprains, as well as inciting events like sport or uneven surfaces. Associated symptoms may include swelling, pain and sometimes locking of the ankle especially if there is an associated osteochondral lesion. A past medical history and family history of neuromuscular and ligamentous problems is important. Footwear and orthotics should not be forgotten. Lastly, a history of previous treatment modalities or interventions should be asked about, as well as any problems with the opposite ankle. An occupational and sporting history is mandatory.6

Examination

Gait is usually normal but may be antalgic if a recent sprain has occurred. In this case there will be associated swelling around the lateral malleolus but may extend along the joint line of the ankle. Assess for hindfoot varus malalignment. This deformity may be subtle, and the presence of a ‘peek-a-boo’ sign (Figure 2) and lateral foot callosities should be checked for. The Coleman block test is important to assess for the forefoot-driven cavovarus foot. It is very important that a varus malalignment is adequately corrected when associated with CLAI.22

Tenderness is usually present around the anatomical areas of the ligaments but should also be checked for along the ankle joint line, medial malleolus, syndesmosis and base of fifth metatarsal. Range of motion, assessing for any tendinopathy, strength in the muscle groups around the foot and ankle and, most importantly, a neurovascular assessment needs to be performed.

The lateral ligaments are tested for stability using the anterior drawer and varus stress tests (Figure 3). The anterior drawer test (assess ATFL integrity) is performed by stabilising the tibia with one hand and holding the calcaneus with the other while pulling the foot forward. The varus stress test (assess CFL integrity) is performed by inverting the talus relative to the tibia while maintaining the ankle in neutral. The degree of movement and the quality of the endpoint should be assessed and compared to the opposite side. These tests are thought to be reasonably accurate for diagnosing ligament injury. The sensitivity of the anterior drawer test is reported at 58% to 84% and specificity at 96% to 100%. Sensitivity of the varus stress test is 50% and specificity 88%,23,24 They are, however, unable to objectively quantify the severity of the instability.6

Investigations

X-rays

In the acute sprain, ankle views should be used to exclude fractures according to the Ottawa rules. For CLAI, stress radiography is
done which includes anterior talar displacement (drawer) view and varus talar tilt view (Figure 4). Stress may be applied manually or with stress devices like the Telos SE 2000® (Telos GmbH, Marburg, Germany). Some authors have questioned the usefulness of the stress X-rays stating that there is not much more that can be gained from them as compared to clinical assessment. Despite this, stress radiography is the ‘gold standard’ for diagnosing mechanical instability. Karlsson et al. have been quoted as defining an anterior translation of 10 mm or a talar tilt of 9° as diagnostic. Furthermore, a draw of 3 mm or a tilt of 3° greater than the opposite side is significant. Other authors have defined instability as 2 mm translation on the anterior drawer view, and 10° degrees of tilting or 5° greater than the opposite side on the varus tilt view. Malliaropoulos et al. also added stress radiography to their staging to cater for an unstable injury. Instability on the talar tilt test has been shown to correlate with changes on the MRI. This suggested that the talar tilt test is a useful screening tool for the status of the ligament and the decision of a reconstruction versus a repair. The authors recommend stress radiography as a diagnostic tool. However, it needs to be done correctly, and preferably by the attending orthopaedic surgeon.

Ultrasound

Ultrasound is a cheap, effective way to assess tears as well as the quality of the ligaments, with the benefit of being a dynamic assessment. Ultrasound is operator-dependent and a musculoskeletal-trained ultrasonographer is preferred. In experienced hands, accuracy ranges between 85 and 95%. The quality of ligaments can be assessed to help decide between performing a reconstruction or repair.

MRI

MRI can be used to assess for ruptures and quality of the ligaments. Morphology of the lateral ligaments has been described in various papers as absent, thin, hypertrophied or thickened, and wavy or irregular. Ligaments can also be assessed for continuity by the presence of an intraligamentous signal. Normal thickness is defined between 1 and 3.2 mm. This can assist in preoperative decision-making as to whether ligaments are reparable or if a reconstruction is required. Reconstruction would be needed if ligaments are thin (<1 mm thickness) or absent. The intraobserver reliability is around 80% (77.3–81.8) and interobserver reliability is between 68.2% and 90.9%. The decision-making based on MRI findings, however, is not well set out or validated yet, and the final decision is dictated by the surgeon’s experience and findings at the time of surgery. Besides assessment of the ligaments, associated pathologies like OLTs and anterolateral impingement can also be identified. A drawback of MRI is that it gives a static assessment of the quality of the ligaments with no direct assessment of its mechanical properties.

Management

Nonoperative management

Nonoperative management is the mainstay for management of LAI in the acute setting. Broström stated that primary surgical repair should not be the routine in acute injuries. Surgical repair has only been recommended in patients undergoing surgery for an associated injury or fracture, in the acute setting. Surgery may also be considered in elite athletes but this is controversial. Therefore, acute lateral ligament injuries should be managed conservatively. Management and rehabilitation protocols follow a stepwise regimen that follows the phases of ligament healing. Initially, management of the inflammation is focused on reduction of swelling and pain. Most will tend to follow the RICE protocol. This involves rest, ice, compression and elevation. Protection and immobilisation in the form of a cast or moonboot may be used for a short period, noting that prolonged immobilisation is not recommended. Immobilisation is known to cause loss of ligament strength and muscle mass and should not be continued beyond two weeks. Braces, strapping and orthotics have also been used. Inflammation commonly lasts about ten days.

The next phase of healing is proliferation. Rehabilitation generally focuses on motion, strengthening, coordination and proprioception. This can last up to eight weeks. This usually involves the use of eversion strengthening and inclines. The evertors have been shown to be an excellent dynamic splint to inversion. Lastly, during remodelling, the emphasis turns to endurance and balance. This can be achieved with trampolines, balance boards and wobble boards. Aerobic fitness is maintained with cross-training. Activity-specific exercises with progression from low level to full activity specific exercises are started from week 10.

In the chronic setting, the emphasis has been on initial nonoperative management, with most studies stating that patients receive full conservative management before surgery is considered. This is especially important for cases of functional CLAI. Karlsson et al. have been quoted as reporting improvement in 50% of patients with CLAI using a structured rehabilitation programme, with the emphasis on functional rehabilitation. Peroneal reaction times were found to be longer in patients with CLAI and needed to be addressed, as were proprioception and coordination. Patients with functional instability benefit more than those with mechanical instability from nonoperative treatment. A delay in surgery for CLAI has not been shown to adversely affect outcomes.

Operative management

Operative management of CLAI is indicated for obvious mechanical instability and failed conservative management (Figure 5). The patient must have both symptoms and objective findings of

Figure 4. Radiological stress views comparing both ankles. A – anterior talar displacement (drawer) view; B – varus talar tilt view

Figure 5
instability. Many procedures have been described. The procedures can be divided up into anatomical and non-anatomical, depending on whether they restore or replicate normal anatomy or not. Further subdivisions can be made regarding repair versus reconstructions, and recently, open versus percutaneous or arthroscopic procedures.4,12,32

Management of CLAI is incomplete without addressing varus malalignment of the tibia or hindfoot. Failure to address this will lead to excessive stress on the repair and will lead to failure. Associated pathologies need to be treated at the same time. It is imperative that these problems are addressed for a successful outcome.33

Contraindications to CLAI surgery include neuroarthropathy, spasticity, peripheral vascular disease and a noncompliant patient.

**Open techniques**

**Anatomical**

This group of procedures either repair the native ATFL and CFL or use a tendon graft reconstruction to restore the normal anatomical configuration of the ligaments. By restoring the normal anatomy, it allows for normal ankle and subtalar joint kinematics to be maintained. The Broström procedure, which was described in 1966, is arguably the most reported procedure for the management of CLAI and has been the basis of many of the procedures used today. It is a suture repair of primarily the ATFL but can include the CFL if it is also involved (Figure 6). Broström himself reported good results with the procedure. Many, however, felt the need to augment the repair and protect it. This resulted in what is today the gold standard in surgical repair of CLAI, known as the Broström–Gould procedure. The Broström repair is augmented with the inferior extensor retinaculum which is pulled up over the repair in a pants-over-vest fashion; this is known as the Gould modification. The Gould modification has been shown to increase the biomechanical strength of the repair by 60% and more closely restore ankle joint contact pressures. Since the inferior retinaculum attaches to the calcaneus distally, by advancing it onto the fibula, the subtalar joint is also stabilised.17,33,34

Over the years, many modifications have been made to the Broström technique and many different augments have also been used. One of the more recently described is the Internal Brace® (Arthrex, Naples, FL). The Internal Brace® is a suture tape that is placed extracapsularly to protect the ligament repairs by acting as a check-rein (Figure 7). Biomechanical studies have shown increased ultimate load to failure as compared to isolated repairs. Cho et al. have reported improved isokinetic peroneal strength...
and postural control when a suture-tape is added to the repair. These
augments have resulted in significant improvements in outcome scores as
well as decreased talar tilt and anterior translation.\textsuperscript{35,36} These studies were not
comparative studies. These results have to be tempered against the
potential for surgical complications and long-term effects of this foreign body.
Appropriate indications for using the Internal Brace\textsuperscript{6} include hyperlaxity and
revision cases.\textsuperscript{4,30} The Internal Brace\textsuperscript{6} must be used judiciously and not as a
matter of routine. There must be decent quality ligament available for repair
as these artificial augments are not a replacement for absent soft tissue.

In certain cases, repair of the liga-
ments is just not possible. The quality of
the tissue may be too poor for an adequate repair, or the ligaments
may be completely absent. This is likely to be the case in patients
with long-standing instability with many recent sprains, or those
with failed previous surgery. In these cases, a reconstruction is
needed. This can be achieved with a tendon autograft or allograft,
(Figure 8) placed anatomically at the footprints, to replicate the
normal anatomy of the native ligaments.\textsuperscript{12,30} As a group, anatomical
repairs and reconstructions have shown high satisfaction and
function rates by Li et al. in a 5–10 year follow-up study.\textsuperscript{37} In a
systematic review, Brambilla et al. have shown no evidence of
superiority of either autograft and allograft, but noted a lack of good
quality studies.\textsuperscript{38}

**Non-anatomical**

Non-anatomical procedures do not re-create the normal anatomy of
the ligaments. Most procedures use the peroneus brevis as a
transfer. It is interesting to note that these procedures pre-date the
Broström procedure and were the first procedures described for
CLAI. The most reported on non-anatomical procedures include
the Watson–Jones and Chrisman–Snook. They were thought to
be more useful in patients with hyperlaxity or obesity and revision
cases.\textsuperscript{12,31} As a group they alter kinematics in the ankle
and subtalar joints and have shown to produce stiffness resulting in
unsatisfactory long-term results.\textsuperscript{39} As a result, these procedures
have fallen out of favour in preference to anatomical procedures.\textsuperscript{39}

**Minimally invasive**

Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) has become the latest buzz
word in foot and ankle surgery. With regard to CLAI, this is no
different. This phenomenon has been described as a new wave
in the treatment of CLAI\textsuperscript{10} and part of the natural progression in
surgical management of CLAI. Arthroscopic techniques have the
advantage of dealing with other associated pathologies like OLIs
through the same approach.\textsuperscript{40} There is a lot of hype around these
techniques currently, with many articles being published regarding
MIS for CLAI. Potential advantages are shorter rehabilitation
times and smaller incisions. Development of these techniques has
rejuvenated the interest in basic anatomy and biomechanics to
help refine and develop these techniques.\textsuperscript{13,14,41-43}

Minimally invasive procedures can be separated into arthro-
scopic and percutaneous. The arthroscopic procedures include
repairs and reconstructions, while the percutaneous group includes
only reconstructions. The repairs are essentially arthroscopic
modifications of the Broström. One of the techniques even bears
the name Arthroscopic Broström.\textsuperscript{44} The procedures can be divided
into three categories, namely, arthroscopically assisted, all-
inside and inside-out techniques. Reconstructions can be done
arthroscopically or percutaneously, using either allografts or
autografts. The percutaneous techniques are thought to be easier
than their arthroscopic counterparts, with the disadvantage of not
being able to manage associated intra-articular pathologies without
the addition of an arthroscopy prior to doing the reconstruction.
Arthroscopy or fluoroscopy is used to find the relevant footprints
for graft placement in these minimally invasive techniques. These
techniques show a lot of promise.\textsuperscript{38,45-47}

Most papers, however, are low level studies, or papers written by
the developers of these techniques. We found no level 1 studies
to promote these techniques over open procedures.\textsuperscript{16,22,33,39,40,43,48-52}
A systematic review has also borne this out and concluded that
there was no clinical evidence to support the advantages of
arthroscopic techniques. Added to this, a higher complication rate
was reported as compared to open Broström–Gould procedures
(11.5% compared to 5.4%). The most commonly reported compli-
cation was superficial peroneal nerve injury (4%), which included
neuritis, damage and numbness.\textsuperscript{52} Evidence supporting the use of
arthroscopic procedures is limited at present.\textsuperscript{36}

Matsui et al. also concluded that there is limited and poor-
quality evidence which is insufficient to allow a high grade of
recommendation to support the use of MIS in treating CLAI. Of 33
studies which met their inclusion criteria, most of them were level 4
and 5 evidence, with only two being level 3.\textsuperscript{39}

Arthroscopy is advisable prior to the ligament repair. It must
definitely be considered in patients complaining of a dull deep
ankle pain, the MRI reporting intra-articular pathology and to
assess for RAI if suspected. A note of caution when considering
a scope followed by an open procedure; the extravasation of fluid
may waterlog the tissue and distort the anatomy.

## The elite athlete

Up to 40% of all athletics-related injuries involve the lateral ankle
ligaments and are commonly seen in sports such as football,
basketball, running and dance activities.\textsuperscript{21,52,55}

As with most sports injuries, most studies regarding ankle liga-
ment injuries are reported on the athletic population resulting in
most of the advances in management. The demanding nature
of professional sport, the fact that the athletes are extremely
motivated and have access to excellent rehabilitation teams, results
in the boundaries being pushed and necessitating more innovative
strategies and research. Unfortunately, this puts immense
pressure on the treating physician. The need to return to play as

---

Figure 8. Allograft reconstruction
soon as possible is enormous and the danger of ending a career is always present. Controversies centre around management of acute sprains, particularly grade III injuries. Indications for surgery in this group include the ability to deal with associated injuries and shortened time for return to play. There is controversy around timing of surgery and the extent to which nonoperative management should be pursued prior to doing surgery.21,56,57

In the elite athlete the mainstay of treatment, as in the general population, is functional treatment/exercise training with additional mechanical bracing. Up to 30% of patients sustaining a grade III injury to the lateral collateral ligament complex can experience ongoing symptoms namely, pain, swelling and instability. In attempting to avoid these complications, early surgical treatment of these high-grade injuries is considered in the athlete.31,58 This, however, needs to be balanced against the potential risks of surgery.58,59

Most treating physicians agree that in grade I and II injuries in the elite athlete, a trial of nonoperative treatment should be initiated due to ease of implementation, lower cost, and avoidance of surgical complications. In the elite athlete with a grade III injury, early surgery to allow faster return to play can be considered on a case-by-case basis.56,58 Identifying which athletes will do better with acute surgical intervention as opposed to a trial of nonoperative management is difficult. The decision eventually boils down to imaging results, the athlete’s symptoms and the clinician’s experience that the healing response would be inadequate for that athlete.55,56,58

Lateral ankle ligament repair has been reported to be safe and effective for grade III injuries in the elite athlete.31,58,60 It provides a stable ankle, with level 3 studies showing a return to sport at approximately three months. Where surgery gave longer return times than functional rehabilitation in the past, they are now comparable. These studies are, however, done in high-volume centres with experienced surgeons, highly motivated patients, and accelerated rehabilitation protocols. It should also be kept in mind that even though it is attractive to surgically address acute injuries in the elite athlete, there is no medical urgency as the results of delayed repair or reconstruction are comparable and satisfactory.31,58,60

**Conclusion**

Injuries to the lateral ankle ligaments are common. Most of these are effectively treated by nonoperative measures in the acute setting. The few that do develop CLAI should first undergo a full assessment to differentiate between functional and mechanical instability. Patients with functional instability are best managed with functional rehabilitation. Patients with objective mechanical instability are managed surgically. The exact procedure should be decided on an individualised basis. An anatomical repair such as the Broström–Gould is still the gold standard; however, newer minimally invasive techniques show promise in surgeons experienced with arthroscopic techniques. If poor or inadequate tissue is available to repair, an anatomical reconstruction using a tendon graft is advised. The elite athlete may benefit from early surgical intervention for acute grade III injuries.
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