Study of the functional outcome of unstable and displaced proximal humerus fracture in elderly treated with closed reduction and multiple percutaneous K wire fixation
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Abstract

**Background:** Proximal humeral fractures are responsible for approximately five percent of all the fractures. It is difficult to attain a good anatomical reduction by closed reduction and may lead to possible complication of developing pseudoarthrosis at a very alarming rate.

**Aims and objectives:**
1. To study the functional outcome of displaced and unstable proximal humerus fractures treated with closed reduction with multiple percutaneous k wire fixation.
2. To evaluate the complications of this modality.

**Materials and Methods:** The study is a prospective observational study. 33 patients with displaced and unstable proximal humerus fractures in elderly >55 years with closed proximal humerus fractures and medically fit for surgery were included in the study. After operation, the outcome of this modality was assessed by applying Constant Shoulder Scoring System.

**Results:** In most of the patients, flexion was 80 to 110 degrees, and abduction was 80 to 120 degrees. ER and IR points in most of the patients was more than 6 degrees. Most of the patients were having VAS scale 6-10 was seen in 14 cases (51.85%) followed by VAS score of 0-5 was seen in 10 cases (37.03%) and VAS score of 11-15 was seen in 3 cases (11.11%). Most of the cases, 10 (37.03%) cases were showing excellent results (Score 86-100). Pin track infection was seen in 3 (6.25%) cases and k wire migration 2 cases (9.38%)

**Conclusion:** Multiple percutaneous k wire fixation is good option in an unstable and displaced proximal humerus fracture.
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Introduction

The proximal humeral fractures are responsible for approximately five percent of all the fractures that take place and among them most often the fractures occur in the aged people whose bones are osteoporotic [1]. In the proximal humerus fracture, it is difficult to attain a good anatomical reduction by closed reduction and this has the possible complication of developing pseudoarthrosis at a very alarming rate [2, 3]

The closed proximal humeral fractures have been treated with a wide range of options, namely non-operative, open reduction internal fixation, external fixation, closed K-wire fixation, percutaneous screw fixation, and tension band fixation. Each procedure is having some limitations and complications [4, 5]. Extensive exposure and the insertion of implants increase the risk of the development of AVN therefore limited exposure and dissection of the soft tissues at the fracture site with minimal internal fixation have been recommended [6].

The data that is available in literature suggests that for the management of proximal humerus fracture by closed reduction with multiple percutaneous k wire fixation results in reduction in the degree of post-operative stiffness, minimal intra-operative blood loss, less soft tissue stripping and furthermore, the percutaneous k wires are easily removed after few weeks thus no metalwork remains in the proximal humerus.

In view of all this said in the above, we conducted a study to evaluate the method of closed reduction and stabilization of displaced and unstable proximal humerus fracture with multiple percutaneous k wire is done under image intensifier.
Aims and Objectives
1. To study the functional outcome of displaced and unstable proximal humerus fractures treated with closed reduction with multiple percutaneous k wire fixation.
2. To evaluate the complications of this modality.

Materials and Methods
The study is a prospective observational study. After obtaining informed consent, 33 patients with displaced and unstable proximal humerus fractures in elderly >55 years with closed proximal humerus fractures and medically fit for surgery were included in the study. Patients having fractures due to Malignancy, Open fracture, Medical contraindication to surgery, Patient less than 55 years of age and with distal neurovascular deficit were excluded. Anteroposterior and axial radiographs were taken to assess the type and severity of affected shoulder joint and type of fracture is classified by NEER’s classification. Accordingly, patient was prepared for the surgery. Every patient was operated as early as possible or within 2 weeks of injury depending upon local condition of skin, vitals and anesthetic fitness of the patients. The outcome of this modality was assessed by applying CONSTANT SHOULDER SCORING SYSTEM.

Observations and Results
In the present study, most of the patients, 18 cases (54.54%), were in age group 51-60 years, 7 cases (21.21%) were in the age group 61-70 years, 4 cases (12.12%) were in the age group 71-80 years and >80 years each. 16 (48.48%) were males and 17 (51.51%) were females. The mode of injury was self-fall in 25 cases (75.75%), RTA in 7 cases (21.21%) and electrocution in only 1 case (3.03%). 2 parts type of fracture in 5 cases (15.15%), 3 parts type of fracture in 22 cases (66.66%), 4 parts type of fracture in 6 cases (18.18%).

Functional Outcome

Table 1: Flexion and Abduction

| Angles  | Flexion | Abduction |
|---------|---------|-----------|
|         | No. of Patients | Percentage | No. of Patients | Percentage |
| 80 degrees | 5 | 18.51% | 70 degree | 3 | 11.11% |
| 90 degrees | 1 | 3.70% | 80 degree | 2 | 07.40% |
| 100 degrees | 2 | 7.40% | 90 degree | 6 | 22.22% |
| 110 degrees | 2 | 7.40% | 100 degree | 6 | 22.22% |
| 120 degrees | 0 | 00.00% | 110 degree | 1 | 03.70% |
| 130 degrees | 4 | 14.81% | 120 degree | 4 | 14.81% |
| 140 degrees | 2 | 7.40% | 130 degree | 0 | 00.00% |
| 150 degrees | 2 | 7.40% | 140 degree | 0 | 00.00% |
| 160 degrees | 7 | 25.92% | 150 degree | 4 | 14.81% |
| 170 degrees | 2 | 7.40% | 160 degree | 0 | 00.00% |
| TOTAL | 27 | 100.00% | 170 degree | 1 | 03.70% |

Table 2: ER and IR Points

| Angles   | ER-Points | IR-Points |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage |
| 4 degrees | 2 | 07.40% | 3 | 11.11% |
| 6 degrees | 7 | 25.92% | 7 | 25.92% |
| 8 degrees | 11 | 40.74% | 12 | 44.44% |
| 10 degrees | 7 | 25.92% | 5 | 18.51% |
| TOTAL | 27 | 100.00% | 27 | 100.00% |

Table 1 shows in most of the patients, flexion was 80 to 110 degrees, and abduction was 70 to 160 degrees. ER and IR points in most of the patients was more than 6 degrees.
The above graph shows the degree of pain following treatment of the cases in the study. Most of the patients were having VAS scale 6-10 was seen in 14 cases (51.85%) followed by VAS score of 0-5 was seen in 10 cases (37.03%) and VAS score of 11-15 was seen in 3 cases (11.11%).

| Table 3: Activities of Daily Living |
|------------------------------------|
| Score  | Number of Patients | Percentage |
|--------|---------------------|------------|
| Score 5| 1                   | 03.70%     |
| Score 7| 2                   | 07.40%     |
| Score 8| 2                   | 07.40%     |
| Score 9| 2                   | 07.40%     |
| Score 12| 2             | 07.40%   |
| Score 13| 3                | 11.11%   |
| Score 14| 1                | 03.70%   |
| Score 15| 2                | 07.40%   |
| Score 16| 2                | 07.40%   |
| Score 17| 3                | 11.11%   |
| Score 18| 3                | 11.11%   |
| Score 19| 2                | 07.40%   |
| Score 20| 3                | 11.11%   |
| Total  | 27                | 100.00%   |

The above graph and table show the activities of daily living following treatment of the cases in the study.

We had Excellent results (constant score >86) in 10 (37.03%) cases, Good results (Score 71-85) in 6 (22.22%) cases, Moderate results (Score 56-70) in 6 cases (22.22%), Poor results (Score <55) in 5 cases (18.51%).

Complications
We had pin track infection in 3 cases and k wire migration 2 cases. Chandan Kumar et al. [14] encountered in this series were Varus mal-reduction in 17% (n = 7), screw perforation in 10% (n = 4), plate impingement in 12% (n = 5), infection in 2% (n = 1), and nonunion in 2% (n = 1) of cases. Akshat Vijay et al. [15] had 17 complications (K-wire migration-2, infection- 2, malunion-4, stiff shoulder-6, and pain in shoulder-3) were seen in 10 patients (41.1%) fixed with K-wire.

Constant Score
Chandan Kumar et al. [15] had Constant scores for 2-part (79.83 ± 6.95) and 3-part fractures (74.22 ± 12.53) were significantly superior to those of 4- part fractures (61.09 ± 14.29) We had Excellent results in 5 cases 15.15%, Good results in 4 cases 12.12%, Medium results in 11 cases 33.33%, Poor results in 7 cases 21.21%.

Conclusion
Multiple percutaneous k wire fixation is a better option for patients having unstable and displaced proximal humerus fracture. Key to success are careful reduction to restore the anatomy, a biomechanically sound pin configuration to maximize fixation, appropriate aftercare to achieve healing. Advantages of this technique is its minimal invasive, less blood loss, less exposure and soft tissues stripping and usefulness in elderly patients. Furthermore, the percutaneous pins are easily removed after few weeks thus no metalwork remains in the proximal humerus.
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