A haplotype variation affecting the mitochondrial transportation of hMYH protein could be a risk factor for colorectal cancer in Chinese
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Abstract

Background: The human MutY homolog (hMYH), a DNA glycolsylase involved in the excision repair of oxidative DNA damage, is currently studied in colorectal cancer (CRC). We previously demonstrated a haplotype variant c.53C>T/c.74G>A of hMYH (T/A) increasing the risk for gastric cancer in Chinese. However, most investigations on correlation between hMYH and CRC are conducted in Western countries and the underlying mechanism has been poorly understood.

Methods: To determine whether the haplotype T/A variant of hMYH was related to colorectal carcinogenesis, we performed a case-control study in 138 colorectal cancer (CRC) patients and 343 healthy controls in a Chinese population. Furthermore, the C/G for wild-type, C/A or T/G for single base variant and T/A for haplotype variant hMYH cDNAs with a flag epitope tag were cloned into pcDNA3.1+ vector and transfected into cos-7 cell line. Their subcellular localizations were determined by immunofluorescence assay.

Results: It was found that the frequency of haplotype variant allele was statistically higher in CRC patients than that in controls ($P = 0.02$, odds ratio = 5.06, 95% confidence interval = 1.26 – 20.4). Similarly, significant difference of heterozygote frequency was indicated between the two groups ($P = 0.019$), while no homozygote was found. In addition, immunofluorescence analysis showed that hMYH protein with haplotype T/A variation presented in both nucleus and mitochondria, in contrast to the wild-type protein only converging in mitochondria. However, neither of the single missense mutations alone changed the protein subcellular localization.

Conclusion: Although preliminarily, these results suggest that: the haplotype variant allele of hMYH leads to a missense protein, which partly affects the protein mitochondrial transportation and results as nuclear localization. This observation might be responsible for the increased susceptibility to cancers, including CRC, in Chinese.
Background

Base excision repair (BER) is a major mechanism for the repair of DNA base damage by reactive oxygen species (ROS) [11]. The most stable product of oxidative DNA damage, 8-oxo-guanine (8-oxoG), tends to mispair with adenine, which would lead to a transversion of G:C to T:A [2, 3]. The MutY DNA glycosylase initiates the repair pathway by recognizing and removing the adenine misincorporated with 8-oxoG [4]. A series of biochemical studies demonstrate that the E. coli strain lacking MutY is a mutator for G:C to T:A transversions [5, 6]. Moreover, it is recently discovered that the germline mutations of the human MutY homolog (hMYH) increase the susceptibility to develop colorectal cancers (CRC) associated with adenomatous polyposis [7, 8].

Our previous study detected 2 heterozygous base pair substitutions in Chinese, c.53C>T and c.74G>A, in hMYH gene [9]. Further cloning-sequencing showed that the mutations occurred at the same allele (haplotype T/A variation). The frequency of variant allele in suspected hereditary gastric cancer patients was significantly higher than that in the control group, which indicated that the T/A haplotype might form a partial genetic basis for the familial GC susceptibility in Chinese population. Interestingly, similar mutants have only been described in East Asian region. Shinmura et al. [10] has reported the 2 somatic mutations of MYH gene from lung cancer tissues from Japanese. However, no more analysis was made to clarify whether these two occurred on a same allele. Kim et al. [11] identified the germline haplotype T/A variation in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and showed tentative association with the development of FAP in Korean population.

On the other hand, germline mutations of hMYH have been extensively studied as risk factors for sporadic CRC in Caucasian populations [7, 12, 13]. In China, CRC has remained the fifth most common cancer and its morbidity has risen rapidly in recent years [14]. Based on the association regarding hMYH mutation and colorectal tumours, we therefore hypothesized that the haplotype T/A variation might be related with the pathogenesis of CRC in Chinese.

In addition, amino acid sequence analysis of hMYH protein illustrates that the haplotype T/A substitutions is predicted to generate missense mutations of p.Pro18Leu and p.Gly25Asp, respectively, and then mapped near to the functional N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequences (MTS) domain [15, 16]. This targeting sequence has been widely studied with a focus on mitochondrial transportation of protein, which is required for maintenance of the mitochondrial DNA repair capacity and genome stability [17, 18]. Increasing evidences have suggested that mitochondrial oxidative damage contributed to human diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease, diabetes and cancer [19-22]. To elucidate the functional consequence of the haplotype T/A variation of hMYH, we constructed the recombinant cDNA with mutations, expressed them in cultured cos-7 cells and checked the mutant protein subcellular localization, and thereby investigated whether the haplotype variant of hMYH gene was associated with human colorectal carcinogenesis.

Methods

Patients and controls

A total of 138 Chinese patients with sporadic CRC had been enrolled from Jiangsu, China. CRC was diagnosed by histopathological examination using established clinical criteria and the clinical stage was evaluated on the basis of the TNM classification system of the UICC [23]. The distribution of CRC was categorized into three segments: the proximal colon (cecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, transverse colon), the distal colon (splenic flexure, descending colon, sigmoid colon), and the rectum above the anal canal. It was confirmed that these patients were not familial cases after the structured assessment including documentation of family history. Three hundred forty-three healthy individuals without any apparent cancer phenotype or history in the same geographic origin were taken as the control group. The demographic features and clinical manifestations of the subjects were shown in Table 1. No characteristic difference was observed in association between the cases and controls. Total genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood lymphocytes using QIAamp DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen, Table 1: Demographic features and clinical manifestations of CRC patients and normal controls.

|                | Normal controls (n = 343) | CRC patients (n = 138) |
|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Age, years     | 57.9 ± 14.5               | 59.6 ± 13.6            |
| Gender (male/female [%]) | 207/136 (60.3/39.7) | 85/53 (61.6/38.4) |
| Location       |                           |                        |
| Proximal colon | 16 (11.6)                 |                        |
| Distal colon   | 44 (31.9)                 |                        |
| Rectum         | 78 (56.5)                 |                        |
| TNM stages     |                           |                        |
| T-stage (%)    |                           |                        |
| T1             | 3 (2.17)                  |                        |
| T2             | 33 (23.9)                 |                        |
| T3             | 88 (63.8)                 |                        |
| T4             | 14 (10.1)                 |                        |
| N-stage (%)    |                           |                        |
| N0             | 71 (51.4)                 |                        |
| N1             | 44 (31.9)                 |                        |
| N2             | 23 (16.7)                 |                        |
| M-stage (%)    |                           |                        |
| M0             | 115 (83.3)                |                        |
| M1             | 23 (16.7)                 |                        |
Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Informed consents were obtained from all the subjects and the study was approved by the ethics committee of the Nanjing University School of Medicine.

**Mutation screening in hMYH gene**

The primers were designed to amplify the exon 2 of hMYH gene, where the T/A haplotype was located in: forward, 5'-AGCTATCACCCTTGGAGGC -3', and reward, 5'-GTCTTGATACGTATCACAATCC -3'. The PCR products were tested by denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) (WAVE system, USA) [24]. For the samples presenting an aberrant peak on DHPLC analysis, the nucleotide sequence was determined by direct sequencing of PCR products (ABI 3100 DNA sequencer, Applied Biosystems). The repeated PCR product would be cloned into the PMD-18-T vector (Takara) and amplified in *E. Coli* top10 for further sequencing analysis, if the two variations occurred in a sample.

**Construction of expression vectors**

The wild-type hMYH (type 1) cDNAs, presented by Prof. Haruhiko Sugimura of the Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, was C-terminally tagged with a FLAG sequence and introduced into a mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1+ [25]. Overlapping PCR site-directed mutagenesis was used to construct the haplotype T/A variation and either of the single base pair substitutions, T at nucleotide 53 or A at 74, into hMYH cDNA. This method was performed in two steps [26]: 1) complementary oligodeoxyribonucleotide primers were used to generate two DNA fragments (named "a" and "b") having overlapping ends; and 2) these fragments were combined in a subsequent 'fusion' reaction in which the overlapping ended anneal. Specific alterations in the nucleotide sequence were introduced by incorporating nucleotide changes into the overlapping oligo primers. The oligonucleotides designed for fragments "a" and "b" in the first PCR were listed in Table 2. The mutant constructions were confirmed by sequencing (ABI 3100, USA).

**Immunofluorescence analysis**

The expression vector was transfected into the cos-7 cell line, cultured on the slide glass, with the LipofectAMINE 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, USA). After 24 h, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed with methanol at -20°C for 30 min. The cells were subsequently washed once, treated with 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, washed twice, and incubated with anti-FLAG M2 polyclone antibody (Sigma, USA) 40 μg/ml, at 4°C for overnight. Indirect immunofluorescence labelling was performed with a Fluorescence-5-isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated antibody IgG second antibody (Sigma, USA) at room temperature for 60 min, and the mitochondria was stained with MitoTracker Red CMXros (Molecular Probes, USA). Fluorescence images were collected and analyzed by laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSM510, Zeiss).

**Statistical analysis**

The SPSS 11.0 program was used to conduct the statistical analysis. For testing of significance of differences between 138 CRC patients and 343 healthy controls, nonparametrical Mann-Whitney U test (unpaired) was applied. The observed genotype frequencies were compared with a chi-square ‘goodness-of-fit’ test to determine whether they were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The Fisher’s exact tests were used to assess the genotype and allele distribution between two groups. The genotypic-specific risks were estimated as odds ratio (OR) with associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) by unconditional logistic regression and the ORs were adjusted for age and sex. For the statistical calculations, wild-type genotypes were assigned as “0” and heterozygous variant genotypes as “1”. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

| Mutation Oligos for fragments "a" and "b" in the 1st. PCR (5’→3’) * |
|-----------------|------------------|
| p.Pro18Leu- p.Gly25Asp c.53C>T c.74G>A | Fa:GGCGTGGATAGCGGTTTGA Rb: CAACCGGCAAGGAGGAGCAC |
|                              | Fa:CACTCCCGGAGGTCGTGCTGTCATG Rb:TGAAATTCCTCCGCTGAGC |
|                              | Fa:CGAGCAGGGGCTGGAAGT GaTCACAGGAAGCA Rb: TGAAATTCCTCCGCTGAGC |
| p.Pro18Leu c.53C>T | Fa:GGCGTGGATAGCGGTTTGA Rb: CAACCGGCAAGGAGGAGCAC |
|                              | Fa:CACTCCCGGAGGTCGTGCTGTCATG Rb:TGAAATTCCTCCGCTGAGC |
|                              | Fa:CGAGCAGGGGCTGGAAGT GaTCACAGGAAGCA Rb: TGAAATTCCTCCGCTGAGC |

*Three bolded letters in the sequence represent the code of a mutated amino acid. The lowercase letters are the substituted nucleotide residues.
Results

Association analysis of the c.53C>T/c.74G>A variation with sporadic CRC

An abnormal DHPLC peak was found in exon 2 of hMYH gene. The PCR product with aberrant elution profiles in DHPLC were sequenced directly and showed 2 heterozygous substitutions c.53C > T and c.74G > A (Figure 1A &1B). Repeated PCRs were cloned and transfected into E. Coli top10. Ten randomly picked clones for each sample were subjected to sequence and revealed that both of the substitutions affected a same allele with a wild-type allele remained (Figure 1C).

A total of 138 CRC patients and 343 healthy controls were screened for the haplotype variation (Table 1). The allele and genotype frequencies among controls were consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05). The heterozygous frequencies of the hMYH mutation were detected at 4.35% in CRC group and 0.87% in control (Table 3), while no homozygote was found in the present study.

The frequency of the variant allele detected in CRC patients (2.17%) was significantly higher than that in healthy individuals (0.44%), (P = 0.020, OR = 5.06 and 95% CI = 1.26 – 20.4). Table 3 also showed that the frequency of heterozygous hMYH genotype was statistically different between CRC patients and healthy controls (P = 0.019). Moreover, the heterozygous haplotype T/A variant genotype was not significantly associated with any clinical characteristics of CRC, while 5/6 CRC patients carrying this variant had a cancer at rectum.

Distinct subcellular localization of wild- and variant-type hMYH protein

The transcript of hMYH gene detected in the cases with the haplotype variant encoded a missense protein of p.Pro18Leu/p.Gly25Asp, resulting in the substitutions c.53C>T/c.74G>A, located near to the functional N-terminal MTS sequence, as shown in Figure 2A. The hMYH type 1 protein was reported to be focused in the cell mitochondria [27]. We went on to investigate whether the structural changes actually affected its subcellular localization.

Overlapping PCR was used to construct three mutants from hMYH cDNA (type 1), the complex mutation c.53C>T/c.74G>A, and either of the single base pair substitution, c.53C>T or c.74G>A, in hMYH. Three kinds of mutants and wild-type hMYH cDNA were cloned into the pcDNA3.1 mammalian expression vector, with the FLAG sequence at the C-terminus, and transfected into cos-7 cell line respectively. We checked the fluorescence microscopic images of the transfected cells to identify the subcellular localization of the exogenous hMYH-FLAG proteins.

Consistent with the results previously reported [27], wildtype protein of hMYH was localized in the mitochondria (shown in Figure 2B–a). However the mutant protein with p.Pro18Leu/p.Gly25Asp has changed its subcellular localization.

Identification of haplotype variation c.53C>T-c.74G>A in hMYH gene

Figure 1

Identification of haplotype variation c.53C>T-c.74G>A in hMYH gene. (A) DHPLC chromatogram of exon 2 of hMYH gene showed the wild type and the mutant pattern. The arrow was pointed to the aberrant peak in patients with variant. (B) Partial sequence of exon 2 of hMYH gene (forward sequencing). Direct sequencing depicted 2 nucleotide substitutions (c.53C > T and c.74G > A). (C) Sequencing of cloned PCR product revealed the 2 nucleotide substitutions C > T and G > A on the same allele. Arrows showed the mutant sites.
localization and rather presented both in the nucleus and mitochondria of the cos-7 cells (Figure 2B–b). Interestingly, both of the two single base mutant hMYH-FLAG protein remained to be mitochondrial localized (Figure 2B–c &2B–d). It suggested that the haplotype variation of hMYH affected the localization of the gene product from the mitochondrial to the dual localization, and the protein transportation of the T/A variant-type hMYH protein was partly impaired, whereas single base mutant types were free from the influence.

**Discussion**

ROS is the most prevalent source of DNA lesions in aerobic organisms, and mammalian cells have developed several repair pathways to cope with the resultant oxidative DNA damage [28]. The BER protein hMYH is essential in protecting against such damage and inherited defects within the protein lead to predisposition to carcinogenesis in humans [1,29]. Our previous study have demonstrated that a haplotype variation of *hMYH* (c.53C>T-c.74G>A, T/A) might be a genetic factor for the gastric cancer susceptibility in Chinese [9].

CRC is one of the major causes for cancer mortality in the world, and is becoming more prevalent in Asian countries [14]. In China, the incidence of CRC was initially low, but in recent years, the rate is increasing due to the changes of life style and nutritional habits. According to the report of the Health Ministry of China in 2002, the incidence rate of CRC is approx 0.037% and is the fifth leading cause of cancer mortality [30]. CRC is a collective term for cancers of the colon and rectum. Most of CRC occurs at colon in Caucasian, while the rectal cancer appears a higher frequency in Chinese. In the present study, the percentage of cancer was 11.6% in the proximal colon, 31.5% in the distal colon, and 56.5% in the rectum, respectively. Although the issue whether colon and rectal cancer should be considered as a single or two distinct entities is still being debated [31], cancers of the colon and rectum share many common features [32] and show little different in our results, and thus were discussed together in this study.

The heterozygous T/A haplotype variant configuration was discovered in 6/138 (4.35%) sporadic CRC cases and 3/343 (0.87%) controls. The frequency of variant T/A haplotype in patients was significantly higher than that in the control group (*P* = 0.019). It suggested a correlation between such *hMYH* variation and sporadic CRC susceptibility in Chinese. As oxidative DNA damage plays an important role in the carcinogenesis, we proposed that the variation of the BER gene *hMYH* might be commonly associated with the processes. It should be pointed out that we could not formally exclude the possibility that selection bias contributed to the observed differences between the two groups, due to a low frequency of this variant allele found.

Eukaryotic cells have nuclear and mitochondrial genomes and thus the cells have necessarily to develop either two distinct repair enzymes or a transport system to deliver the same enzymes into separate organelles [25,33]. The human *MutY* homolog comprises the entire *MutY* sequence flanked by extended N- and C-terminal domains, which are involved in subcellular targeting of hMYH: e.g. MTS and nuclear localization sequence (NLS) to the N- and C-terminus, respectively (Figure 2A) [34]. It suggests that the same hMYH protein presents differential subcellular localizations in human cells.

| Genotype               | Control (%) | Case (%) | *P* value | OR (95% CI) |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| Genotype               | No. (%)     | No. (%)  |           |             |
| Wide-type genotype     | 340 (99.1%) | 132 (95.7%) | 0.019     | 5.15 (1.27–20.9) |
| Heterozygous genotype  | 3 (0.87%)   | 6 (4.35%) |           |             |
| Allele                 |             |          |           |             |
| Wild-type allele       | 683 (99.6%) | 270 (97.8%) | 0.020     | 5.06 (1.26–20.4) |
| Variant allele         | 3 (0.44%)   | 6 (2.17%) |           |             |

**Table 3: Distribution of the *hMYH* haplotype c.53C>T-c.74G>A mutation in the cases and controls.**
Sumably elevated oxidative potential in the mitochondria, MTS of hMYH presented its dominant function, and some unidentified mitochondrial factors would recognize this domain and transport hMYH from the nuclei to the mitochondria.

As it has been described above, the type 1 transcription of hMYH is concentrated in the mitochondria in cos-7 cells and recombinant hMYH has essentially similar activities to the partially purified protein [36]. This allows us to analyze the role of variation on MTS domain in the cos-7

**Figure 2**

Differences in structure and subcellular localization between the wild- and variant-type hMYH proteins. (A) Schematic diagrams showed the structures of the wild-type hMYH type I protein (upper) and the hMYH protein with haplotype variation predicted a missense protein of p.Pro18Leu – p.Gly25Asp (lower). Dots represented the polymorphic amino acids, which was mapped to the N-terminus of the MTS domain. (B) The distinct subcellular localization of the wild- and variant-type of hMYH proteins. The wild-type (a) and variant-types (b, c, d) hMYH with the FLAG epitope tag at the C-terminus were expressed in cos-7 cells and stained with anti-FLAG M2 as the first antibody and FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgG as the second antibody. The immunofluorescence microscopic image of FITC (green)-stained cells showed the localization of exogenous hMYH protein. The mitochondrias were counterstained with CMXros (red). The merged FITC and PI stained images showed overlapping yellow signals in the mitochondrias. Only the hMYH protein with haplotype variation (b) presented dual localization in nuclei and mitochondrias.
cell model. We found that the hMYH protein with both missense mutations, that we detected previously, was dually localized in nucleus and mitochondria, and not focused in the mitochondria any more [38]. It indicated that the mutation affected the ability of hMYH protein to be transported into mitochondria. One rational explanation for it is that the p.Pro18Leu – p.Gly25Asp missense mutation in the N-terminus of hMYH influences the function of MTS domain, and then the MTS domain is difficult to be recognized. As a result, a proportion of the mutative hMYH protein would not be transported into mitochondria, and display the nuclear distribution instead [36]. Interestingly, immunofluorescence showed that the hMYH proteins with single missense mutation, p.Pro18Leu or p.Gly25Asp, remained in the mitochondria, similar to the wild-type protein. It seems that the effect of the mutations are additive and either one of the missense mutations in the haplotype is not enough to impair the function of MTS domain.

Mitochondrial oxidative energy metabolism is the major intracellular source of ROS and mitochondrial biomolecules including mitochondrial DNA are constantly exposed to a high level of ROS [39]. Almost all the studies performed to date have found increased oxidative damage in mitochondrial DNA and it is consistent with the observation that mitochondrial DNA mutations accumulate [40]. Mitochondrial DNA mutations have been reported in 10% to 70% of CRC and the presence of tumour mitochondrial DNA mutations seems to be a prognostic marker and a relevant predictive factor of CRC [21]. On the other hand, mitochondrial DNA repair enzymes involved in BER system play an important role in mitochondrial genome stability and hMYH protein is the requisite enzymes in this system [18,41,42]. Thus, it is possible that the haplotype variant allele of hMYH impairs the ability of oxidative damage repair in mitochondria and increases the instability of the mitochondrial genome, thus contributes to the carcinogenesis, including CRC. However, the glycosylase activation of hMYH protein in mitochondria has not been directly analyzed in this study. Another point should be mentioned that, as no homozygous variation was detected in the population investigated, the impact of the heterozygous variant genotype on the hMYH gene will be explored in the following study.

Conclusion
In conclusion, the hMYH variation affecting protein transport is likely to associate with cancer susceptibility. A portion of hMYH protein arising from the haplotype allele was not able to be transported into mitochondria, and the variation might be responsible for the increased risk for developing CRC. We are aware that these data are still preliminary due to limited sample size. Furthermore, the adenine glycosylase activity of the mutants in mitochondria and mitochondrial genome stability under the influence of the mutants have not been directly investigated. Thus, validation of these results on larger cohorts and further functional studies are imperatively necessary.
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hMYH: human MutY homolog; CRC: colorectal cancer; MTS: mitochondrial targeting sequences; BER: base excision repair; DHPLC: denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography.
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