Chapter

Deprivation of Social Play: Implications for the Mechanisms of Autism Spectrum Disorders

Huyen Thi Ngoc Tran and F. Scott Hall

Abstract

Social play emerges in early adolescence and is one of the primary types of social interaction seen early in life in mammals. The experience of social play is essential for the normal trajectory of social and cognitive development. Adolescent mammals deprived opportunities for social interaction at this age display neurodevelopmental abnormalities. Social deprivation alters adult behavioral patterns, neuroanatomy, and neurochemistry in ways that resemble autism spectrum disorder (ASD). These deficits include impairments in communication, social perception, and social behavior. However, the symptom most characteristic of the earliest stage of ASD is decreased interest in social objects. Understanding the role of early social experience, especially play, in the development of social, cognitive, and emotional functioning will provide insight into the development of ASD. In order to understand how social deprivation can affect behavior, researchers isolate animals during early adolescence. Most studies have looked at rodents since it appears that isolation-rearing of rodents has detrimental effects on social development, making it a valid model of ASD. This chapter will consider the potential of this model as a model of ASD, and how it can inform understanding of ASD and the neurodevelopmental mechanisms altered by reduced social interactions early in life.
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1. Introduction

Animal models provide a means to study behavioral and psychiatric disorders that can reveal underlying mechanisms that we are unable to address in studies in human subjects. The genetic, anatomical, biological, and behavioral characteristics of rodents (primarily rats and mice) closely resembles those of humans, making them a powerful tool for modeling psychiatric diseases [1], providing a means to examine underlying processes, as well as to test potential therapeutic approaches. Like many other conditions, attempts to develop animal models have been pursued for ASD, including those based on environmental factors such as maternal immune activation [2], as well as genetic variation [3]. The similarity between the behavioral sequelae of different types of deprivation of early social experience were long ago compared to ASD [4]. Deprivation of early social interactions, often including deprivation throughout many portions of early development resulted in impaired social and emotional functioning, and repetitive, stereotyped behavior. Over time, experimental studies that deprived animals of specific types of social experience
suggested that different outcomes result from deprivation of different types of experiences at different ages [5], indicating that specific neurodevelopmental programs produce different biological and behavior phenotypes through epigenetic programming [6, 7]. In terms of understanding ASD, this suggests that genetic contributions to ASD may act, at least in part, by altering how these epigenetic programs interact with their mediators, while experiential and environmental contributions to ASD may affect those mediators. In either case, a great deal of insight might be drawn from identifying those mediators.

Play is a behavior found throughout much of the animal kingdom [8], but differs in prevalence and complexity across lineages and even between more closely related species. Descriptions and conceptualizations of play have emphasized its apparent non-utilitarian nature, and it has often been difficult to define, but this description captures its essence: “Play is repeated, seemingly non-functional behavior differing from more adaptive versions structurally, contextually, or developmentally, and initiated when the animal is in a relaxed, unstimulating, or low stress setting” [9].

Play has been broadly divided into three types: (1) solitary locomotor-rotational play, (2) object play, and (3) social play [10]. Perhaps not surprisingly, social play is most prominent and characteristic of mammals. Social play is often described as “rough-and-tumble” play, describing the physical nature of the interactions, although there are certainly other aspects of social play that are not fully captured by this description. A key point regarding definitions of play regard its seemingly non-utilitarian nature. It is in fact thought to have a purpose, and many reasons for social play have been suggested [11], that include the development of faculties necessary for successful adult life, including aspects of communication, social cognition, and emotional regulation [12]. It even has been suggested to be important in developing a moral sense of fair play [13]. This characterization is based largely upon analysis of rough-and-tumble play, one of the main types of social play in young mammals, although other types of play might certainly contribute to the development of these faculties. It is impossible to miss the correspondence between these faculties (communication, social cognition and emotional regulation) and the sorts of deficits that are observed in individuals with ASD. On this basis alone it might be thought that play (or lack of play) might have a fundamental role in the development of ASD, perhaps due to basic alterations in social motivation in ASD [14]. It must be noted that ASD may be associated with alterations to both social and non-social rewards [15].

In emphasizing the non-utilitarian nature of play, a large portion of experience is ignored that is less apparent by simple direct observation, in particular emotional and cognitive experience. Social play has somewhat obvious purposes in terms of learning about social interaction and social perception, but play still has many distinctive features that are quite different from adult behavior. This would suggest that play in not simply a “rehearsal” for adult behaviors, although it may still set the stage, in many ways, for later behavior. Play is one of the earliest non-maternally focused social behaviors seen in animals, occurring at a time when the brain is more plastic than it subsequently is in adults. Consequently, in a broader sense, one of the main functions of play may be to guide and regulate neural and behavioral development. Play is most prevalent during the adolescent phase [8, 16], although it does continue in some manner or form into adulthood. Nonetheless, adolescent play would appear to have distinct purposes in adolescence, making the peak period of play in adolescence the ideal window to study the behavior. This review will focus on play during this period, but it should be noted that once sexual maturity is reached, play behavior may be used for different purposes and is not completely absent [8, 17]. Play of other types and at other points of the lifespan are certainly important to study as well. Several reasons have been stated for the focus in this
chapter on adolescent play, but others include the consequences of deprivation of play during this time-period, which are discussed in a subsequent section.

There are various methods used to study play in rodents, but most are based on observational measures of interactions between dyads of animals. A number of factors influence play behavior, both quantitatively and qualitatively, including age, sex, novelty of the actors, novelty of the environment, etc. Moreover, one of the factors that is used to increase the motivation to engage in social play, as well as the quantity of social play, is the immediate social circumstance of the animals [18]. Social isolation for 24 hours increases the intensity of social play, and the motivation to engage in social play, and for this purpose is commonly used to study social play. However, it may also change qualitative aspects of play as well. This will be discussed in a bit more detail in a subsequent section but suffice it to say that the extent of play that is observed in any particular encounter depends on a variety of factors that would subsequently affect the response to the play experience. There are also differences in play behavior between mice and rats. This chapter will focus on social play behavior in mice and the implications of play experiences for developmental disorders, specifically when mice are deprived of social interaction.

In considering the potential implications of adolescent play for the development of social, emotional and communicative faculties, it must be stated that most play studies have focused upon physical interactions between dyads. Other behaviors that may have great importance in the development of social communication have not been as extensively explored, including vocal communications. Mice communicate with one another through the use of ultrasonic vocalization (USV). The use of USV can vary depending on the behavioral context the animal is experiencing: mother-pup retrieval [19], juvenile interaction [20], as well as opposite sex [21] and same sex [22] social encounters, that also differ according to age and other characteristics of the actors. There has been an increase in interest in understanding role of USV because of its implication in communication in mice. In the context of the present discussion it should also be added that experience with USV as a part of social interactions early in life are likely to have important developmental consequences that affect social behavior, and social perception and communication abilities later in life. Although there have been several studies conducted on behavioral effects of social isolation, very little information is known regarding how USV is affected by social isolation. Examination of this means of communication as a part of studying adolescent social encounters can potentially allow researchers to characterize the purpose of calls, both in a proximate sense in terms of the factors on ongoing behavior, as well as in an ultimate (i.e. neurodevelopmental) sense in terms of its influence on the development of social abilities and social competencies.

2. Autism overview

The perspective put forward in this chapter is that the study of play, and an understanding of the neurodevelopmental consequences of play experiences, will inform our understanding of ASD, and perhaps other neurodevelopmental disorders. The incidence of ASD has risen dramatically in the last few decades, no doubt in part due to increased diagnosis, due in part to changes in diagnostic criteria between DSM-IV-TR and DSM-5 [23], and perhaps over-diagnosis, but also truly increased incidence of the disorder based on a variety of environmental factors [24]. Among these environmental factors is in utero exposure to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) [25], which is also seen in animal models of hyperserotonemia [26]. Increasing concern about ASD comes it is one of the fastest growing developmental disorders affecting the ability to socialize and communicate, with
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devastating effects that make many individuals unable to function in society on their own. According to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the disorder affects 1 in 59 children, with boys being four times more likely to develop ASD compared to girls [27]. Life-long disabilities in these patients significantly impacts several areas of functioning including communication, social interaction, social perception, emotional regulation and sensory processing, and individuals with ASD often exhibit repetitive, and sometimes self-injurious, behaviors [28]. Currently there is no pharmacological treatment that specifically targets the main symptoms of ASD, although individuals with ASD are often on a variety of medications to treat various secondary symptoms [29]. Primary treatment modalities include different types of psychological and occupational therapies [30]. These therapies could certainly be complemented by effective pharmacotherapies, but they are not yet available, and even approaches to identify potential treatments are in need of development. Part of the difficulty here is the complex causal web that underlies ASD, as well as the broad spectrum of symptom occurrence and severity that occur in individual patients. The factors contributing to ASD include environmental, genetic and gene-environment interactions [31], but each particular contribution appears to be small, particularly for genetic factors. Considerable efforts are now focused on understanding the genetic causes of autism and using the genetic findings to select rational targets for effective treatments [32–34]. As with other psychiatric disorders with a complex causality, there is some thought that understanding the genetic and environmental factors contributing to the development of ASD will lead to the identification of convergence of these factors on common systems. An alternative approach is to identify the systems underlying the neurodevelopmental processes upon which genetic and environmental variation may act. Therefore, there is a need to study the neurodevelopment of social behavior to better understand the shift in brain development in patients with ASD.

One of the longest-standing debates in the field of autism treatment involves when the disorder can be initially diagnosed. Although the age at diagnosis is generally between 3 and 6 years of age, diagnoses can be made under the age of 3 [35]. Autism diagnoses and symptoms are also highly stable over time, even from an early age [36]. Given the age of developmental emergence of the behavioral functions altered in ASD, it should be expected that certain symptoms might be observable very early in life. Infants show socialization skills, and more importantly social motivation, by gazing at faces, turning towards voices, and smiling within the first couple of months of age. Children with ASD have difficulty engaging in everyday social interactions even from this early age, and show deficits in the earliest aspects of social interaction such as eye gaze [37]. Lack of response to names, reduced interest in people, and delayed speech are some early symptoms of the disorder that are observable by as early as 8 to 10 months of age. People with ASD have difficulty interpreting what others are thinking and often miss social cues such as a smile, frown, or extending an arm for a hug. Social anxiety is also common, but to a certain extent social signals are just not understood by individuals with ASD. Being unable to interpret gestures and facial expressions makes it difficult for people with ASD to see things from another person's perspective, which in turn can interfere with the ability to predict or understand a person's actions. This difficulty with emotions is also characteristic of their own emotions. It is common for those with autism to have difficulty regulating their emotions, leading to disruptive and sometimes physically aggressive behavior. Speech and gestural communication are usually delayed in children with ASD and some have difficulty forming meaningful sentences and may speak only single words or repeat the same phrases. Slight changes can be stressful on the individual which may lead to outbursts. Evaluating these symptoms early on can help alleviate the challenges the individual faces.
throughout their life. The symptoms described here represent a range of psychological and behavioral functions that emerge over the first few years of life, that build upon each other to form the basis of social interactions, the core of which involve the ability to understand and communicate with others. Part of the emphasis upon early diagnosis and treatment presumes that early intervention will produce better outcomes. One reason for this assumption is that interventions alter early life social experiences which shape later behavior, and behavioral capacity.

One of the fundamental reasons for the description of autism as a spectrum is that symptoms vary substantially between individuals in terms severity, but also qualitatively, as well as having highly variable co-morbidities. Given this variability in presentation it is not surprising that ASD cannot be explained by a single underlying cause, but rather has a highly multifactorial etiology [32, 38, 39]. Although the causes of autism are not completely clear, there is evidence that environmental factors before and after birth contribute to the risk of developing ASD, including advanced paternal and maternal age, maternal illness during pregnancy, extreme prematurity, low birth weight, and exposure to high levels of pesticide and air pollution during pregnancy [40]. However, these factors by themselves do not cause autism, but rather act in combination with genetic risks [41, 42], resulting in common underlying transcriptomic changes associated with alterations in neural function and connectivity [43]. Both the genetic and the environmental (epigenetic) contributions to ASD are numerous, but as an example childhood abuse alters methylation patterns in the brain associated with epigenetic changes regulating gene expression [44, 45]. Although much of the research on early childhood trauma focusses upon stress as a causal outcome of early trauma, there is much more evidence that adverse early environments alter neurodevelopmental mechanisms associated with social experiences [7]. Of most relevance to the current discussion, evidence from the study of social isolation in experimental animals suggests that there are two developmental trajectories, one associated with social experience and one associated with its absence. Indeed, the epigenetic changes that are involved in neurodevelopmental disorders primarily affect cell differentiation, tissue specification, and cell maintenance [46]. Although much research has focused on the genetic and environmental/epigenetic factors that may contribute to ASD, much less focus has concentrated on the potential of these factors to act through underlying neurodevelopmental programs, programs that are regulated by early social experience, in particular social play.

3. Social play behavior

Long-standing interests in social play have focused on the pathological consequences of its absence. Experimental approaches to the study of social play behavior have focused on rodent models. Early studies of adolescent social deprivation demonstrated that it was specifically deprivation of play experiences that primarily drove the consequent long-term outcomes ([47], for review see [5]). Moreover, it was clear that even a short period of social deprivation increased motivation to engage in social play [48]. Indeed, this effect of isolation became a fundamental part of approaches to study adolescent social interactions. Social play, also called “rough-and-tumble play” or “play-fighting,” is one of the earliest forms of non-mother-directed social behavior observed in mammals. In rodents, this occurs when one adolescent rodent grabs, holds, bites, or otherwise contacts another adolescent rodent. Unlike serious fighting, the behavior occurs in the absence of functional consequences such as resource acquisition or protection and when the needs of the animal are fully met. Although the range of play behavior is extensive, researchers generally define it as an activity that is voluntary and highly reinforcing. The suggestion that males engage in
social play more than females [49] results in part on an emphasis upon play-fighting, which males exhibit more frequently and for a longer duration than females. Social play is suggested to be an affiliative form of behavior functioning to facilitate social development, and the neural changes that underlie that development. Outside of its primary context, play appears to have an obvious benefit that serves to develop physical, cognitive and social capacities for adulthood [50]. The multifunctional role of play may also facilitate the development of other non-social cognitive and emotional abilities. During rough-and-tumble play, rodents engage in a series of behaviors that include dorsal pinning, nose to nose sniffing, anogenital sniffing, and following (See Figure 1 for some examples). Some of these behaviors may produce specific types of unique sensory experiences—for example, anogenital sniffing may expose juvenile animals to pheromones. Much focus has been on other types of behavior that may be related to dominance—and consequently more male oriented, such as dorsal pins. This might help to create the impression that males engage in more play, but in fact this may come from the definition of “play”. In any case, with regard to dorsal pins and similar types of juvenile “wrestling”–like behavior, there will be circumstances where the winners and losers become more consistent until they assume distinct phenotypes that researchers can categorize as “dominant” or “subordinate” [7]. There is some doubt as to whether this necessarily translates into adult forms of dominance behavior. Nonetheless, there has been substantial emphasis on this type of behavior in play research. Graham and Burghardt [8] categorized play behavior based on five criteria:

1. It is incompletely functional in the context in which it appears.

2. It is spontaneous, pleasurable, rewarding, or voluntary.

3. It differs from other more serious behaviors in form (e.g., exaggerated) or timing (e.g., occurring early in life before the more serious version is needed).

4. It is repeated, but not in an abnormal or unvarying stereotypic form (e.g., rocking or pacing).

5. It is initiated in the absence of stress.
Again, it is important to note that play behavior is sexually dimorphic in rodents (and other mammals), with males exhibiting more “rough-and-tumble” behavior compared to females. This does not mean that females do not play, but rather that they play differently. Females significantly spend more time exploring than males and are more likely to engage in nonsocial behaviors [51]. In addition, it is also suggested that females investigate and approach their partners more than males. Males, on the other hand, spent the majority of their time in close physical contact with play partners. Although sex differences have been noted, the majority of animal research studies investigating play have focused on males. Single-sex studies in males have outnumbered single-sex studies in females by a ratio of 5.5:1 [52]. Since play behavior is different in males and females, it is quite likely that it helps shape the brain and behavior in different ways, so that the consequences of the loss of social play are different.

Depriving young animals of maternal and peer social contact has long-lasting effects that persist into adulthood [53–56]. Indeed, it is perhaps of fundamental importance to determine whether the consequences of different types of early life experience are permanent. If such effects are deleterious, either in the sense of creating abnormal behavior, or in terms of preventing the development of certain types of behavior, it might be essential to intervene early in life. This description certainly fits ASD and other developmental disorders of childhood, where it has long been recognized that earlier interventions produce better outcomes. This is certainly true for language function, which has absolute critical periods. There is an intense period of social play in adolescence that is often depicted by an inverted U-shaped curve. Social play is most prominent in adolescent rodents and the behavior declines as they approach sexual maturity (Figure 2). Other behaviors certainly emerge at this time but have been less well-studied compared to either early adolescence or adulthood. Based on the viewpoint that play and other social behaviors early in life constitute a “dress rehearsal”, so to speak, for adult behaviors, much research has focused on how adolescent play experiences might enhance or diminish social competency and performance later in life [57], in particular mating and dominance behaviors.

Social approach is at the core of virtually all social interactions, including those between animals of the same sex and different sexes, and between familiar and unfamiliar animals. Indeed, social approach can provide important information about the relationship of animals involved in a social interaction. For example, in some situations the social approach is associated with a functional outcome (e.g. mating), while others the motivation to approach a conspecific is independent of a specific benefit [58]. There are two primary hypotheses as to why animals play: (1) it occurs because it is intrinsically rewarding in and of itself (and only occurs if the animals are happy and stress free) and (2) it occurs because it offers some type of beneficial outcome such as refinement of motor skills. However, the two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive [59], and the

**Figure 2.** Developmental periods in mice noting the peak period of social play during adolescence, occurring between 25 and 35 days of age.
benefits of play may depend on developmental stage (e.g. pre-weaning, post-
weaning, juvenile, early-adolescent, late-adolescent) and might consequently
result in different outcomes.

4. Social deprivation/isolation

The opportunity to engage in social play is essential for social and cognitive
development in animals and those deprived of the interaction display neurode-
velopmental consequences [60]. Social deprivation produces alterations in adult
behavioral patterns, neuroanatomy, and neurochemistry [61]. The most common
approach used to study the consequences of deprivation of early social isolation
involves permanent isolation from weaning, or isolation rearing. Before 21 days of
age, rodent behavior is largely dependent on the mother; however, after weaning
it becomes primarily focused on same-sex conspecifics. Researchers often perform
experiments after weaning and during the juvenile period, between 21 and 40 days
of age. Some effects of isolation rearing include weight gain and enhanced aggres-
sion [7], which might be thought to be characteristic of dominant animals, as if this
is a type of default developmental trajectory. However, many other behavioral out-
comes are observed, that include locomotor hyperactivity [62], increased explora-
tory tendencies (or decreased habituation) [63], and impairment of pre-pulse
inhibition [64]. These behavioral changes are thought to be indicative of enhanced
dopamine function [7]. Other changes include increased anxiety [65] that may be
indicative of reduced serotonin function. Many other changes have been observed
in isolation reared rodents (for review see [5, 7]). Many of these changes have been
shown to be permanent, persisting in adulthood, and developmentally specific,
only occurring after social isolation in adolescence. Although some data indicates
that male mice are more susceptible than females to neurodevelopmental interfer-
ence induced by early social deprivation [66, 67], this may be in part because of a
focus on behavioral outcomes that are more relevant to males (as well as a simple
failure to study females, and especially to compare the effects of adolescent social
deprivation in males and females in the same study under the same experimental
conditions).

5. Role of serotonin in social isolation and social play

Serotonin neurons are well known to be critical regulators of mood and many
other functions that are disrupted after isolation rearing. Consequently, it is not sur-
prising that chronic social isolation from weaning [68] (for review see [69]), as well
as deprivation solely during adolescence followed by subsequent social housing [70],
disrupts serotonin function in a variety of ways. Broadly speaking, this can be charac-
terized as reduced serotonin function as determined by tissue serotonin levels or the
ratio of tissue serotonin to 5-hydroxytryptamine levels [71, 72], but also as reduced
serotonin release as determined by in vivo microdialysis [68, 73, 74]. Generally speak-
ing this might result from adaptations resulting from elevated serotonin function
early in life. Consequently, it has been suggested that dorsal raphe nucleus, a major
site for the origin of forebrain serotonin projections, is less responsive after adolescent
social deprivation [75]. The adaptations are quite diverse, and include reductions
in the expression of numerous 5-HT system-related genes in the prefrontal cortex
of isolation-reared mice (including 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B, 5-HT2A, 5-HT2C, 5-HT3A,
5-HT6, and 5-HT7 receptor genes) [76]. These effects were region-dependent, the
hypothalamus and midbrain having more restricted reductions, while 5-HT6 gene
expression was up-regulated in the hippocampus. The disruption of serotonin in different regions of the brain suggests that serotonin functions are not altogether reduced, but rather there are shifts the responsiveness of different components of the serotonin system. The origins of these disruptions in serotonin systems are unknown, and there is quite likely to be a complex interplay with changes in other systems that are also affected by adolescent social isolation, in particularly dopaminergic changes [77]. However, serotonin alterations are likely to play some type of primary role in the effects of adolescent social isolation since it has a role in adolescent play behavior.

Serotonin has also been shown to be fundamentally involved in play behavior [78, 79], but these effects have been suggested to be part of a broad modulation of social behavior [80]. Nonetheless, genetic or pharmacological treatments that elevate serotonin levels reduce social play in adolescent rats [81]. Similar effects were seen to occur after prenatal fluoxetine exposure [82]. Given the data on social isolation that was previously discussed, this raises questions about whether such effects entirely result from elevations in serotonin function, or perhaps result from adaptations to elevated serotonin function. It has been suggested, however, that the consequences of elevated serotonin may be bidirectional [83]. This observation might reflect the degree of perturbations in serotonin function, in a manner similar to serotonin depletions with 5,7-dihydroxytryptamine [84]. Indeed, moderate depletions produced elevations in basal extracellular serotonin levels and increased anxiety, while more severe depletions reduced extracellular serotonin levels and reduced anxiety.

Loss of the opportunity to play is thought to be central to the effects of adolescent social deprivation [47], and results in an increased motivation to engage in play in adolescence [48, 85]. However, as adults, isolation-reared rodents demonstrate a variety of deficiencies in social behavior that include impaired social recognition [86]. Indeed, the broad social incompetence of isolation-reared rodents may lead to aggression being directed towards them under ethologically relevant conditions because they do not respond appropriately during social encounters [87]. The potential comparison to ASD should be obvious, and, moreover suggest that many of the social impairments in ASD result in a similar manner, from the lack of social experience early in life, which drives the development of underlying biological mechanisms that support appropriate social behaviors. Another similarity is also found between the broader behavioral impacts of isolation-rearing upon anxiety [88] and stereotyped behavior [89], both of which are characteristic of ASD.

Perturbations in serotonin function have also been described in ASD. It has been repeatedly found that hyperserotonemia, an increased level of serotonin in the blood, is reported in 30% of autistic patients [90, 91]. The causes of hyperserotonemia, and whether this reflects similar changes in central serotonin function in this wider population of ASD patients are not known, but it is known that serotonin (5-HT) transporter gene (SLC6A4; SERT) variants modulate SERT reuptake function, thereby influencing the occurrence of hyperserotonemia in some autistic patients [92]. Research examining hyperserotonemia in ASD patients highlights the importance of classifying study groups for cognitive impairment, age, and pubertal status. For example, it was found that autistic children during pre-puberty showed a significant elevation in plasma 5-HT compared to healthy controls, whereas plasma 5-HT was not significantly elevated in a post-pubertal autistic group compared to control subjects [93]. Although peripheral 5-HT dysfunctions are consistently found in ASD patients, the biological traits that underlie these changes and how these changes may be connected to brain development and brain function have yet to be determined. Different underlying causes, both genetic and environmental, might ultimately contribute to this outcome. However, it has already been noted that genetic impairments in SERT function are observed in at least some patients.
with ASD [92]. Additionally, decreased binding affinity for SERT has been observed in the brains of adults with ASD [94]. In confirmation of this relationship, ASD-related social deficits are observed in SERT heterozygous (SERT +/−) and homozygous (SERT −/−) knockout mice [26]. Deficits were observed in a standard social interaction task, as well as the social preference task. These deficits were more severe in SERT −/− mice than in SERT +/− mice, but social impairments were observed in both groups compared to littermate controls (SERT +/+ mice). Furthermore, it is interesting to note that although both SERT +/− and SERT −/− mice both had deficits in social behavior, only SERT −/− mice had increased anxiety behavior in standard tests. This would seem to suggest that these mice, in some respects, may reflect the severity of ASD, with a spectrum of effects that involve only social behavior in less severe cases, but includes more and more psychiatric co-morbidities and cognitive impairment in more severe cases. If these changes in serotonin function are critical mediators of social impairments, modulating serotonin function should improve ASD symptoms. This might be accomplished either by reducing serotonin release or by inhibiting serotonin receptors. As proof of this principle, social deficits were reversed in SERT +/− and SERT −/− mice by reducing intake of dietary tryptophan, the essential amino acid precursor of serotonin. Extracellular 5-HT levels in the brain were also decreased.

6. Communication

Given the importance of communication deficits in ASD, it would be important to explore such deficits in animal models that might reflect aspects of ASD, such as SERT +/− or SERT −/− mice, or animals that have been socially isolated. Animals use species-specific vocalization to communicate information to one another regarding identity, (individual or group), group status or mood (dominance, submissive, fear, or aggression), their next likely behavior (approach, flee, play, groom, etc.), environmental conditions (presence of predators or location of food), and in mother-offspring interactions that are likely to be involved in many roles in development, as well as facilitating maternal care. Different types of information can be conveyed through vocalization and inform us of the animal’s physical, environmental, or social condition. The acoustic signal can range from simple to complex tonal signals depending on the animal’s situation. Information appears to be conveyed both by the frequency of sounds, as well as the tonal pattern. Each species emits sounds in a different frequency range that is attuned with their hearing abilities. Ultrasonic vocalization is a fundamental social behavior in rodents, and understanding its evolution, and genetic and neural mechanisms will provide researchers more insight about its role in animal behavior.

Based on the use of USV to communicate, in particular during the neonatal and adolescent periods of life, mice could be a potential model for the genetic basis of human communication disorders such as autism, but to increase the utility of such studies, the role of specific aspects of USV in communication and behavior must be determined. Social deprivation produces changes in USV that seem to be related to alterations in social behavior [95–98]. Rodents are known to emit a diverse range of USV depending on the social context. When isolated from the nest, pups emit a USV that stimulates the mother to retrieve the pup [99]; this suggests that this communication signal serves a specific purpose [100]. These USVs are typically characterized by a frequency of 30–50 kHz and a duration of 10–200 ms [98], and are often termed “distress” calls, which may underestimate their purpose and meaning. As the study of USVs is still an emerging field, approaches to categorizing vocalization patterns are still being developed, but most approaches are based
upon the shape of USV calls. Each call has a name based on its shape: ascending, descending, inverted u-shape, flat, modulated, complex, and frequency “jumps”. A common approach is to group the calls based on sequence (bouts), shape, frequency (50 vs. 70 kHz), duration: 5-10 ms (short), or 20–50 ms (long), or by behavioral contexts: vocalization during mating, isolation, restraint, and so forth [101]. Given the number of categorizations involved in USV, there needs to be a standard way of classifying the measurements since it appears that various articles categorize the calls differently. This consensus has yet to completely emerge. Examples of USVs from dyadic encounters between pairs of wildtype C57BL/6 J mice and dopamine transporter heterozygous knockout mice are shown in Figure 3. Differences based on sex and genotype are clear, but most USV types are clearly evident.

There is evidence that mouse vocalizations are associated with specific affective states such as distress or pleasure, and that these features can be sensitive to differences in specific genetic variants or the broader genetic background. Mice vocalize in the range of frequencies that extend from human-audible range (squeaks that can be heard when handling mice) and higher into the ultrasound range, above the limit of human hearing (20 kHz and higher). While rats clearly emit ultrasonic vocalizations in response to aversive and rewarding stimuli, USV in mice are not correlated with aversive or positive state, but rather may be more likely to facilitate or inhibit social interactions [102].

Adult male mice use USV for courtship behavior in order to attract or maintain close contact with a female to facilitate mating. Both 70- and 40-kHz USVs have been found to be associated with courtship, but 40-kHz calls were also observed during mounting behavior [103], as were 50 kHz calls [95]. During male-male interactions USV is most closely related to social investigation prior to any closer agonistic or aggressive encounters [104]. More details on the information content and roles of USV in social encounters are certain to emerge. For instance, it has been suggested that USVs in adult male mice are organized as a sequence of multisyllabic call elements (or syllables) similar to songbirds [105]. The multisyllabic repertoire might allow the emitter to combine and organize syllables in different ways to increase the potential information carried [21, 106], giving mice the ability to change the relative composition of syllable types during quickly changing social encounters [103, 107, 108].

Figure 3. Ultrasonic vocalizations emitted during social interactions between mouse dyads: an isolate mouse (deprived of social interaction for 24 hours) and a social mouse. (A) Male dopamine transporter +/- pairing. (B) Female wildtype pairing. (C) Female dopamine transporter +/- pairing. Differences are seen resulting from sex and genotype.
Although not studied as often as males, the USV emitted by female mice has a similar complexity to what has been observed in males, suggesting an important role in communication. It has been observed that female mice spend more time close to males that vocalize compared to devocalized mice, suggesting that the calls are a component of male courtship behavior [108], or perhaps a “pre-courtship” behavior that initiates proximity. Another study suggests that the USVs are emitted more during female-female interaction than in opposite-sex encounters, potentially contributing to the establishment of dominance hierarchies [109]. A considerable number of 70 kHz calls are found to be emitted by female-female dyads during social encounters, suggesting that it may be modulated by the motivational state of the emitter [110]. Regrettably, the function of USV in females are still poorly understood and further research is needed to improve our understanding of the roles of USV in female mice.

Perhaps of even more importance for the current discussion, there has been no investigation of vocalization in adolescent mice during the period of most relevance to ASD. Particularly since vocalizations in adults often appear to facilitate or initiate social interactions and may also reflect the motivation to engage in social interactions, this would be of especial importance. In studying adolescent mice new patterns and functions of USV are likely to emerge. Moreover, it will be particularly important to establish the relationship between USV and ongoing behavior. Although there are distinct patterns within USV emissions in many circumstances, the correlation of the calls to specific behaviors have yet to be determined with any degree of specificity. Varied USV patterns and bouts observed in USV emission have also made the interpretation of the calls difficult at times. There are few articles addressing the limitations of studies that involve USV calls when two or more animals are placed within a cage; it is impossible to determine which animal of the two is emitting the USV under social conditions. Establishing methods for doing this will be of critical importance for advancing the field.

7. Conclusion

The effects of social isolation have been studied for decades and although there is a quantitative way to analyze behavioral aspects of social play, the implications of USV during social interaction is still an emerging field with limited data. Ultrasonic vocalization quantification will provide us with insights on how communication is affected during social play, and how this is affected by social isolation and other models that may inform us about the underlying mechanisms of ASD. Current approaches to studying dyads of mice with different attributes (e.g. experiences or genetic differences) are certainly informative, but future studies will need to address the challenge of identifying individual mice emitting USV during social encounters.
Author details

Huyen Thi Ngoc Tran and F. Scott Hall*
Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio, USA

*Address all correspondence to: frank.hall@utoledo.edu

IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
References

[1] Morse HC III. Building a better mouse: One hundred years of genetics and biology. In: The Mouse in Biomedical Research. 2nd ed. Waltham, MA, USA: Academic Press; 2007. pp. 1-11

[2] Bergdolt L, Dunaevsky A. Brain changes in a maternal immune activation model of neurodevelopmental brain disorders. Progress in Neurobiology. 2019;175:1-19

[3] Dalla Vecchia E et al. Cross-species models of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder: Lessons from CNTNAP2, ADGRL3, and PARK2. Psychiatric Genetics. 2019;29(1):1-17

[4] Harlow HF, Suomi SJ. Production of depressive behaviors in young monkeys. Journal of Autism and Childhood Schizophrenia. 1971;1(3):246-255

[5] Hall FS. Social deprivation of neonatal, adolescent, and adult rats has distinct neurochemical and behavioral consequences. Critical Reviews in Neurobiology. 1998;12(1-2):129-162

[6] Bludau A et al. Epigenetic regulation of the social brain. Trends in Neurosciences. 2019;42(7):471-484

[7] Hall FS, Perona MT. Have studies of the developmental regulation of behavioral phenotypes revealed the mechanisms of gene-environment interactions? Physiology & Behavior. 2012;107(5):623-640

[8] Graham KL, Burghardt GM. Current perspectives on the biological study of play: Signs of progress. The Quarterly Review of Biology. 2010;85(4):393-418

[9] Burghardt GM. A brief glimpse at the long evolutionary history of play. Animal Behavior and Cognition. 2014;1(2):90-98

[10] Fagen R. Animal Play Behavior. New York: Oxford University Press; 1981. p. xvii, 684

[11] Beach FA. Current concepts of play in animals. The American Naturalist. 1945;79(785):523-541

[12] Palagi E et al. Rough-and-tumble play as a window on animal communication. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society. 2016;91(2):311-327

[13] Schank JC, Burghardt GM, Pellis SM. Toward a theory of the evolution of fair play. Frontiers in Psychology. 2018;9:1167

[14] Lin A, Rangel A, Adolphs R. Impaired learning of social compared to monetary rewards in autism. Frontiers in Neuroscience. 2012;6:143

[15] Clements CC et al. Evaluation of the social motivation hypothesis of autism: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2018;75(8):797-808

[16] Vanderschuren LJ, Achterberg EM, Trezza V. The neurobiology of social play and its rewarding value in rats. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2016;70:86-105

[17] Pellis S, Pellis V. The Playful Brain: Venturing to the Limits of Neuroscience. UK: Oneworld Publications Oxford; 2009

[18] Panksepp J, Beatty WW. Social deprivation and play in rats. Behavioral and Neural Biology. 1980;30(2):197-206

[19] Barnes TD et al. Group and individual variability in mouse pup isolation calls recorded on the same day show stability. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience. 2017;11:243

[20] Panksepp J. Affective Neuroscience: The Foundations of Human and Animal...
Emotions. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998

[21] Yang M et al. Male mice emit distinct ultrasonic vocalizations when the female leaves the social interaction arena. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience. 2013;7:159

[22] Holy TE, Guo Z. Ultrasonic songs of male mice. PLoS Biology. 2005;3(12):e386

[23] Baio J et al. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years - autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2014. MMWR Surveillance Summaries. 2018;67(6):1-23

[24] Campisi L et al. Autism spectrum disorder. British Medical Bulletin. 2018;127(1):91-100

[25] Morales DR et al. Antidepressant use during pregnancy and risk of autism spectrum disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Systematic review of observational studies and methodological considerations. BMC Medicine. 2018;16(1):6

[26] Tanaka M et al. Brain hyperserotonemia causes autism-relevant social deficits in mice. Molecular Autism. 2018;9:60

[27] CDC. Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). 2017. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/autism/data.html [Accessed: June 20, 2017]

[28] Kanne SM et al. The role of adaptive behavior in autism spectrum disorders: Implications for functional outcome. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2011;41(8):1007-1018

[29] Kumar B et al. Drug therapy in autism: A present and future perspective. Pharmacological Reports. 2012;64(6):1291-1304

[30] Oono IP, Honey EJ, McConachie H. Parent-mediated early intervention for young children with autism spectrum disorders (ASD). Evidence-Based Child Health: A Cochrane Review Journal. 2013;8(6):2380-2479

[31] Amaral, D.G. Examining the causes of autism. Cerebrum. 2017;1:1-12

[32] Miles JH. Autism spectrum disorders—A genetics review. Genetics in Medicine. 2011;13(4):278

[33] El-Fishawy P. The genetics of autism: Key issues, recent findings, and clinical implications. Psychiatric Clinics. 2010;33(1):83-105

[34] Yoo H. Genetics of autism spectrum disorder: Current status and possible clinical applications. Experimental Neurology. 2015;24(4):257-272

[35] Landa RJ. Diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders in the first 3 years of life. Nature Clinical Practice Neurology. 2008;4(3):138-147

[36] Bieleninik L et al. Tracing the temporal stability of autism spectrum diagnosis and severity as measured by the autism diagnostic observation schedule: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2017;12(9):e0183160

[37] Thorup E et al. Altered gaze following during live interaction in infants at risk for autism: An eye tracking study. Molecular Autism. 2016;7:12

[38] Tordjman S et al. Gene×environment interactions in autism spectrum disorders: Role of epigenetic mechanisms. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2014;5:53

[39] Grafodatskaya D et al. Autism spectrum disorders and epigenetics. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 2010;49(8):794-809
[40] Dietert RR, Dietert JM, DeWitt JC. Environmental risk factors for autism. Emerging Health Threats Journal. 2011;4(1):7111

[41] Wisniowiecka-Kowalnik B, Nowakowska BA. Genetics and epigenetics of autism spectrum disorder—current evidence in the field. Journal of Applied Genetics. 2019;60(1):37-47

[42] Ayhan F, Konopka G. Regulatory genes and pathways disrupted in autism spectrum disorders. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry. 2019;89:57-64

[43] Quesnel-Vallieres M et al. Autism spectrum disorder: Insights into convergent mechanisms from transcriptomics. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2019;20(1):51-63

[44] McGowan PO et al. Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. Nature Neuroscience. 2009;12(3):342

[45] Reaume CJ, Sokolowski MB. Conservation of gene function in behaviour. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B: Biological Sciences. 2011;366(1574):2100-2110

[46] Gropman AL, Batshaw ML. Epigenetics, copy number variation, and other molecular mechanisms underlying neurodevelopmental disabilities: New insights and diagnostic approaches. Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics. 2010;31(7):582-591

[47] Einon DF, Morgan MJ, Kibbler CC. Brief periods of socialization and later behavior in the rat. Developmental Psychobiology. 1978;11(3):213-225

[48] Ikemoto S, Panksepp J. The effects of early social isolation on the motivation for social play in juvenile rats. Developmental Psychobiology. 1992;25(4):261-274

[49] Pellis SM et al. Multiple differences in the play fighting of male and female rats. Implications for the causes and functions of play. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. 1997;21(1):105-120

[50] Trezza V, Baarendse PJ, Vanderschuren LJ. The pleasures of play: Pharmacological insights into social reward mechanisms. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences. 2010;31(10):463-469

[51] Cox KH, Rissman EF. Sex differences in juvenile mouse social behavior are influenced by sex chromosomes and social context. Genes, Brain and Behavior. 2011;10(4):465-472

[52] Beery AK, Zucker I. Sex bias in neuroscience and biomedical research. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2011;35(3):565-572

[53] Marco EM et al. Detrimental psychophysiological effects of early maternal deprivation in adolescent and adult rodents: Altered responses to cannabinoid exposure. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2009;33(4):498-507

[54] Medendorp WE et al. Altered behavior in mice socially isolated during adolescence corresponds with immature dendritic spine morphology and impaired plasticity in the prefrontal cortex. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience. 2018;12:87

[55] Kota D et al. Nicotine dependence and reward differ between adolescent and adult male mice. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics. 2007;322(1):399-407

[56] Logue S et al. Adolescent mice, unlike adults, consume more alcohol in the presence of peers
Deprivation of Social Play: Implications for the Mechanisms of Autism Spectrum Disorders
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.88311

than alone. Developmental Science. 2014;17(1):79-85

[57] Robert F. The Significance of Play. (Book Reviews: Animal Play Behavior). Science 212. 1981:1493-1494

[58] Panksepp JB, Lahvis GP. Social reward among juvenile mice. Genes, Brain and Behavior. 2007;6(7):661-671

[59] Pellis SM, Pellis VC, Bell HC. The function of play in the development of the social brain. American Journal of Play. 2010;2(3):278-296

[60] Argue KJ, McCarthy MM. Utilization of same-vs. mixed-sex dyads impacts the observation of sex differences in juvenile social play behavior. Current Neurobiology. 2015;6(1):17

[61] Robbins T, Jones G, Wilkinson LS. Behavioural and neurochemical effects of early social deprivation in the rat. Journal of Psychopharmacology. 1996;10(1):39-47

[62] Sahakian B, Robbins T, Iversen S. The effects of isolation rearing on exploration in the rat. Animal Learning & Behavior. 1977;5(2):193-198

[63] Paulus MP, Bakshi VP, Geyer MA. Isolation rearing affects sequential organization of motor behavior in post-pubertal but not pre-pubertal Lister and Sprague-Dawley rats. Behavioural Brain Research. 1998;94(2):271-280

[64] Ibi D et al. Social isolation rearing-induced impairment of the hippocampal neurogenesis is associated with deficits in spatial memory and emotion-related behaviors in juvenile mice. Journal of Neurochemistry. 2008;105(3):921-932

[65] Hellemans KG, Benge LC, Olmstead MC. Adolescent enrichment partially reverses the social isolation syndrome. Developmental Brain Research. 2004;150(2):103-115

[66] Guo M et al. Sex difference in psychological behavior changes induced by long-term social isolation in mice. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry. 2004;28(1):115-121

[67] Pietropaolo S et al. The postweaning social isolation in C57BL/6 mice: Preferential vulnerability in the male sex. Psychopharmacology. 2008;197(4):613-628

[68] Muchimapura S, Mason R, Marsden CA. Effect of isolation rearing on pre- and post-synaptic serotonergic function in the rat dorsal hippocampus. Synapse. 2003;47(3):209-217

[69] Hall FS, Perona MTG. The role of serotonin in the neurodevelopmental consequences of early social experience. In: Hall FS, editor. Serotonin: Biosynthesis, Regulation and Health Implications. New York: NOVA Science Publishers; 2013. pp. 168-187

[70] Lukkes JL et al. Post-weaning social isolation of female rats, anxiety-related behavior, and serotonergic systems. Brain Research. 2012;1443:1-17

[71] Jones GH et al. Dopaminergic and serotonergic function following isolation rearing in rats: Study of behavioural responses and postmortem and in vivo neurochemistry. Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior. 1992;43(1):17-35

[72] Yanai J, Sze PY. Isolation reduces midbrain tryptophan hydroxylase activity in mice. Psychopharmacology. 1983;80(3):284-285

[73] Bickerdike MJ, Wright IK, Marsden CA. Social isolation attenuates rat forebrain 5-HT release induced by KCl stimulation and exposure to a novel environment. Behavioural Pharmacology. 1993;4(3):231-236
[74] Dalley JW et al. Specific abnormalities in serotonin release in the prefrontal cortex of isolation-reared rats measured during behavioural performance of a task assessing visuospatial attention and impulsivity. Psychopharmacology. 2002;164(3):329-340

[75] Sargin D, Oliver DK, Lambe EK. Chronic social isolation reduces 5-HT neuronal activity via upregulated SK3 calcium-activated potassium channels. eLife. 2016;5:pii: e21416

[76] Bibancos T et al. Social isolation and expression of serotoninergic neurotransmission-related genes in several brain areas of male mice. Genes, Brain and Behavior. 2007;6(6):529-539

[77] Hall FS et al. Isolation rearing in rats: Pre- and postsynaptic changes in striatal dopaminergic systems. Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior. 1998;59(4):859-872

[78] Knutson B, Panksepp J. Effects of serotonin depletion on the play of juvenile rats. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1997;807:475-477

[79] Normansell L, Panksepp J. Effects of quipazine and methysergide on play in juvenile rats. Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior. 1985;22(5):885-887

[80] Siviy SM, Panksepp J. In search of the neurobiological substrates for social playfulness in mammalian brains. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. 2011;35(9):1821-1830

[81] Homanberg JR et al. Acute and constitutive increases in central serotonin levels reduce social play behaviour in peri-adolescent rats. Psychopharmacology. 2007;195(2):175-182

[82] Olivier JD et al. Fluoxetine administration to pregnant rats increases anxiety-related behavior in the offspring. Psychopharmacology. 2011;217(3):419-432

[83] Kiser D et al. The reciprocal interaction between serotonin and social behaviour. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. 2012;36(2):786-798

[84] Hall FS et al. Effects of 5,7-dihydroxytryptamine depletion of tissue serotonin levels on extracellular serotonin in the striatum assessed with in vivo microdialysis: Relationship to behavior. Synapse. 1999;33(1):16-25

[85] Douglas LA, Varlinskaya EI, Spear LP. Rewarding properties of social interactions in adolescent and adult male and female rats: Impact of social versus isolate housing of subjects and partners. Developmental Psychobiology. 2004;45(3):153-162

[86] Zhao XH et al. Isolation rearing induces social and emotional function abnormalities and alters glutamate and neurodevelopment-related gene expression in rats. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry. 2009;33(7):1173-1177

[87] Luciano D, Lore R. Aggression and social experience in domesticated rats. Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology. 1975;88(2):917-923

[88] Gamallo A et al. Stress adaptation and adrenal activity in isolated and crowded rats. Physiology & Behavior. 1986;36(2):217-221

[89] Sahakian BJ et al. The effects of psychomotor stimulants on stereotypy and locomotor activity in socially-deprived and control rats. Brain Research. 1975;84(2):195-205

[90] Hranilovic D et al. Hyperserotonemia in adults with autistic disorder. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2007;37(10):1934-1940
[91] Veenstra-VanderWeele J et al. Autism gene variant causes hyperserotonemia, serotonin receptor hypersensitivity, social impairment and repetitive behavior. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2012;109(14):5469-5474

[92] Coutinho A et al. Variants of the serotonin transporter gene (SLC6A4) significantly contribute to hyperserotonemia in autism. Molecular Psychiatry. 2004;9(3):264

[93] McBride PA et al. Effects of diagnosis, race, and puberty on platelet serotonin levels in autism and mental retardation. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 1998;37(7):767-776

[94] Nakamura K et al. Brain serotonin and dopamine transporter bindings in adults with high-functioning autism. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2010;67(1):59-68

[95] Keesom SM et al. Early-life social isolation influences mouse ultrasonic vocalizations during male-male social encounters. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):e0169705

[96] Mun H-S, Lipina TV, Roder JC. Ultrasonic vocalizations in mice during exploratory behavior are context-dependent. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience. 2015;9:316

[97] Ey E et al. The autism ProSAP1/Shank2 mouse model displays quantitative and structural abnormalities in ultrasonic vocalisations. Behavioural Brain Research. 2013;256:677-689

[98] Scattoni ML, Crawley J, Ricceri L. Ultrasonic vocalizations: A tool for behavioural phenotyping of mouse models of neurodevelopmental disorders. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2009;33(4):508-515

[99] Brudzynski SM, Kehoe P, Callahan M. Sonographic structure of isolation-induced ultrasonic calls of rat pups. Developmental Psychobiology. 1999;34(3):195-204

[100] Koch M, Ehret G. Estradiol and parental experience, but not prolactin are necessary for ultrasound recognition and pup-retrieving in the mouse. Physiology & Behavior. 1989;45(4):771-776

[101] Grimsley J et al. Contextual modulation of vocal behavior in mouse: Newly identified 12 kHz “mid-frequency” vocalization emitted during restraint. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience. 2016;10:38

[102] Portfors CV. Types and functions of ultrasonic vocalizations in laboratory rats and mice. Journal of the American Association for Laboratory Animal Science. 2007;46(1):28-34

[103] White NR et al. 40-and 70-kHz vocalizations of mice (Mus musculus) during copulation. Physiology & Behavior. 1998;63(4):467-473

[104] Chabout J et al. Adult male mice emit context-specific ultrasonic vocalizations that are modulated by prior isolation or group rearing environment. PLoS One. 2012;7(1):e29401

[105] Guo Z, Holy TE. Sex selectivity of mouse ultrasonic songs. Chemical Senses. 2007;32(5):463-473

[106] Hanson JL, Hurley LM. Female presence and estrous state influence mouse ultrasonic courtship vocalizations. PLoS One. 2012;7(7):e40782

[107] Hammerschmidt K et al. Female mice respond to male ultrasonic ‘songs’ with approach behaviour. Biology Letters. 2009;5(5):589-592
[108] Pomerantz SM, Nunez AA, Bean NJ. Female behavior is affected by male ultrasonic vocalizations in house mice. Physiology & Behavior. 1983;31(1):91-96

[109] Maggio JC, Whitney G. Ultrasonic vocalizing by adult female mice (Mus musculus). Journal of Comparative Psychology. 1985;99(4):420

[110] Moles A et al. Ultrasonic vocalizations emitted during dyadic interactions in female mice: A possible index of sociability? Behavioural Brain Research. 2007;182(2):223-230