Stress level and quality of life of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis during brace treatment
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate the effect of brace treatment on the stress level and quality of life (QoL) of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis.

Patients and methods: A total of 194 adolescent individuals were evaluated in two groups: the adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) group with 97 patients (20 males, 77 females; mean age: 13.9±1.8 years; range 10 to 18 years) and the control group with 97 age- and sex-matched participants (20 males, 77 females; mean age: 14.3±1.7 years; range 10 to 18 years) with no spinal deformity. The AIS group wore the Milwaukee brace or a thoracolumbosacral orthosis based on the location of the apical vertebra. All participants of the AIS group filled the Persian versions of the revised Scoliosis Research Society 22-item questionnaire (SRS-22r), the eight-item Bad Sobernheim Stress Questionnaire (BSSQ)-Deformity, and BSSQ-Brace. The control group only answered the first 20 items (subtotal items) of the SRS-22r. The brace-related QoL and stress level were assessed based on sex, brace, and deformity types.

Results: The subtotal score of the SRS-22r in the AIS group was significantly lower than the control group (p<0.001). There was a significant difference between deformity-related stress and brace-related stress (p<0.001). Regarding the type of treatment, there were no significant differences in QoL and stress level between the Milwaukee brace and thoracolumbosacral orthosis groups (p>0.05). Moreover, there was a weak correlation between the BSSQ-Brace and the self-image, mental-health scores, and the total scores of the SRS-22r (r=0.39 to 0.42, p<0.001); the low level of perceived stress was associated with a high level of perceived QoL.

Conclusion: The stress due to brace treatment can decrease function/activity and self-image of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis.
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In the assessment of the brace treatment’s effectiveness in AIS, several radiological and clinical parameters, including skeletal maturity, curve flexibility, curve magnitude, and curve pattern, must be considered. In addition, we should consider health-related quality of life (QoL) variables of AIS cases to evaluate the outcomes of treatment types as clinical and radiological parameters.

Due to an individual’s concern about their body development and the significance of peer pressure in adolescence, scoliosis is a significant risk factor in creating psychosocial issues that may lead to alcohol consumption and suicidal thoughts. The self-image of AIS patients can affect their cognition, thinking, and behavior. Therefore, QoL in AIS patients is mainly associated with psychological coping mechanisms rather than radiological parameters.

The effect of brace treatment on body function, mental health, and psychosocial parameters in adolescents with AIS is still in debate. Some studies showed that brace treatment may increase the patients’ level of anxiety, stress, and depression and decrease self-esteem and QoL. Increased stress level is related to decrease in brace compliance in different age groups, particularly adolescent girls. In addition, some studies suggest that brace treatment has no significant effect on health-related QoL of AIS patients. Cultural differences, brace type, and sex can be some of the reasons for these discrepancies in the previous studies.

This study aimed to assess the effect of brace type and its treatment on stress levels and QoL of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis compared to adolescents with no spinal deformity.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional case-control study was conducted between November 2020 and January 2021. A total of 194 adolescents were evaluated in two groups: the AIS group with 97 patients (20 males, 77 females; mean age: 13.9±1.8 years; range 10 to 18 years) and the control group with 97 participants (20 males, 77 females; mean age: 14.3±1.7 years; range 10 to 18 years) without spinal deformities (Table 1). The mean age of male participants was 14.3±2.5 and 16.1±1.3 years in the AIS and control groups, respectively. The mean age of female participants was 13.9±1.7 and 13.8±1.4 years in the AIS and control groups, respectively. The inclusion criteria of the AIS group were having idiopathic scoliosis, a major Cobb angle of 20° to 45°, having worn a brace for at least three months, and having no history of spinal surgery. The control group included participants matched with the AIS group based on sex and age. Based on the location of the major curve, the patients were prescribed a Milwaukee brace or thoracolumbosacral orthosis (TLSO). The Milwaukee brace was prescribed to patients in whom the apex of the curve was at or above the T8 vertebra. The TLSO was prescribed to those in whom the apex of the curve was below the T8 vertebra. All braces were fabricated for each patient by a qualified orthotist, and their appropriateness was confirmed by the prescribing surgeon. The patients were asked to wear the braces for 23 hours a day. Patients in the AIS group filled the Persian versions of the revised Scoliosis Research Society 22-item questionnaire (SRS-22r) and the eight-item Bad Sobernheim Stress Questionnaire (BSSQ). The participants in the control group were asked to respond to the first 20 items (subtotal items) of the Persian SRS-22r. All female participants wore a hijab as part of their faith. Hijab refers to a modest dressing, covering the head and body using a headscarf and a long coat or manteau.

The SRS-22r is a disease-specific and patient-reported questionnaire that has five subsections: (i) function/activity (five items); (ii) pain (five items); (iii) self-image/appearance (five items); (iv) mental health (five items); and (v) satisfaction with treatment (two items). It is scored on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (worst) to 5 (best). For each subsection, the scores range from 5 to 25 (except for the satisfaction with treatment subsection that ranges from 2 to 10). The average scores of the function/activity, pain, self-image/appearance, and mental health subsections can be a maximum subtotal score of 100. The total score ranges from 22 to 110. A higher score means a better QoL.

The patients in the AIS group were asked to answer all of the questions in the SRS-22r, while the items in

**TABLE 1**

| Variables                  | Adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis (n=97) | Adolescents without spinal deformity (n=97) | p  |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----|
| Age (year)                | 13.9±1.8                                    | 14.3±1.7                                   | 0.18|
| Weight (kg)               | 48.7±12.0                                   | 54.4±12.9                                  | 0.002|
| Height (cm)               | 159.8±11.0                                  | 163.9±11.7                                 | 0.01|
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 19.0±3.1                                    | 20.3±4.0                                   | 0.01|

SD: Standard deviation; BMI: Body mass index.
the satisfaction with treatment subsection (question 21 and 22) were removed from the questionnaire for the control group.

The deformity-and brace-related stress of AIS patients was evaluated using the Persian version of the BSSQ-Deformity and BSSQ-Brace, respectively. The deformity version focuses on the impact of deformity on a patient’s mood, acceptance, and interactions with society. The brace version focuses on the brace-related mood, social interactions, and subsequent stress levels. The BSSQ has eight items with a 4-point Likert scale from 0 (highest level of stress) to 3 (lowest level of stress). The total score ranges from 0 to 24. The total score can be divided into three levels according to the level of stress: a score of 0 to 8 reveals a high level of stress, 9 to 16 indicates a moderate level of stress, and 17 to 24 demonstrates a low level of stress. All the participants of the AIS group answered the BSSQ-Deformity and BSSQ-Brace.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS version 20.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The sample size was determined by analyzing the differences between the two independent means of the two groups. Therefore, a priori analysis revealed that for a power of 0.95, α error of 0.05 and effect size of 0.5, a minimum of 88 cases are needed in each group. Descriptive statistics were reported as means and standard deviations. Data normality was checked with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The independent samples t-test was used to compare the brace-related QoL and stress level scores regarding participants’ sex, brace type, and presence of spinal deformity.

We used Pearson’s correlation coefficient to evaluate the relationship between the subsections of SRS-22r and BSSQ. The relationship strength was considered as strong (r>0.75), moderate (0.50<r<0.75), weak (0.25<r<0.50), and little to no correlation (r<0.25). A threshold of 0.05 was considered the statistical significance level, with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for all correlation coefficients. The graphs were created with the GraphPad Prism version 8.0 software (GraphPad Prism Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

RESULTS

The mean Cobb angle at the time of brace treatment was 33.04±7.21° (24° to 45°). The mean brace wearing time for all AIS cases was 17.84±16.62 (range, 4 to 84) months. At the beginning of brace treatment, 21 (21.6%) participants had thoracic curve, 12 (12.4%) participants thoracolumbar curve, 14 (14.4%) participants lumbar curve, and 50 (51.6%) participants double major curve. There was a significant difference in subtotal scores of SRS-22r (the average scores of the function/activity, pain, self-image/appearance, and mental health subsections) between AIS patients (3.27±0.51) and...
the control group (4.06±0.54) (p<0.001). In addition, compared to adolescents without spinal deformity, function/activity and self-image subsection scores were significantly lower than those of patients with AIS (p<0.001) (Figure 1). Additionally, there was a significant difference between deformity-related stress (15.11±4.53) and brace-related stress (11.89±4.65) (p<0.001) (Figure 2). The mean increase in stress level score was 3.22 with a 95% CI ranging from 2.30 to 4.15.

The total and subsection scores of the SRS-22r and BSSQ in respect to Milwaukee brace and TLSO are shown in Table 2. There were no significant differences in QoL and stress level between those who wore Milwaukee brace and TLSO (p>0.05).

| Parameters                      | Milwaukee brace (n=71) | TLSO (n=26) | p  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|----|
| SRS-22r Function/activity       | 3.8±0.6                | 3.9±0.7     | 0.51|
| SRS-22r Pain                    | 4.2±0.6                | 4.3±0.5     | 0.51|
| SRS-22r Self-image/appearance   | 3.2±0.8                | 3.1±0.6     | 0.36|
| SRS-22r Mental-health           | 3.7±0.8                | 3.9±0.8     | 0.77|
| SRS-22r Satisfaction            | 3.8±0.8                | 4.0±4.7     | 0.26|
| SRS-22r Total                   | 3.7±0.5                | 3.8±0.5     | 0.38|
| BSSQ-Deformity                  | 15.1±4.7               | 15.0±4.1    | 0.68|
| BSSQ-Brace                      | 11.9±4.9               | 11.9±3.9    | 0.35|

QoL: Quality of life; TLSO: Thoracolumbosacral orthosis; SD: Standard deviation; SRS-22r: Scoliosis Research Society 22-item questionnaire; BSSQ: Bad Sobernheim Stress Questionnaire.

| Questionnaires                  | SRS-22r                |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                 | Function | Pain | Self-image | Mental-health | Satisfaction | Total |
| BSSQ-Brace                      | r        | p-value |           |              |              |       |
|                                 | 0.25     | 0.01   | 0.42       | 0.39          | 0.19         | 0.41  |
|                                 | p-value  |         | <0.001     | <0.001        | 0.06         | <0.001|
|                                 | 95% CI   |         | 0.08 to 0.41 | -0.002 to 0.33 | 0.23 to 0.61 | 0.26 to 0.58 |

BSSQ: Bad Sobernheim Stress Questionnaire; QoL: Quality of life; SRS-22r: Scoliosis Research Society 22-item questionnaire; CI: Configuration item.

| Parameters                      | Boys (n=20) | Girls (n=77) | p  |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----|
| SRS-22r Function/activity       | 4.1±0.5     | 3.7±0.7      | 0.33|
| SRS-22r Pain                    | 4.3±0.5     | 4.2±0.6      | 0.75|
| SRS-22r Self-image              | 3.4±0.6     | 3.1±0.7      | 0.45|
| SRS-22r Mental-health           | 4.0±0.6     | 3.7±0.8      | 0.08|
| SRS-22r Satisfaction            | 3.7±0.9     | 3.9±0.7      | 0.06|
| SRS-22r Total                   | 3.9±0.4     | 3.7±0.5      | 0.08|
| BSSQ-Deformity                  | 17.6±3.2    | 14.5±4.6     | 0.10|
| BSSQ-Brace                      | 13.1±5.1    | 11.6±4.5     | 0.93|

AIS: Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis; SD: Standard deviation; SRS-22r: Scoliosis Research Society 22-item questionnaire; BSSQ: Bad Sobernheim Stress Questionnaire.
The relationship between perceived brace-related stress (measured with BSSQ-Brace) and perceived QoL (measured with SRS-22r) was evaluated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. There was a weak, positive correlation between BSSQ-Brace and the self-image, mental health, and the total scores of SRS-22r (r range of 0.39 to 0.42, p<0.001; with a lower level of perceived stress associated with a higher level of perceived QoL) (Table 3).

Considering the perceived stress level and QoL regarding the participants’ sex, results of the independent samples t-test demonstrated that there were no significant differences between males and females in BSSQ and SRS-22r scores (p>0.05) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study aimed to assess the effect of wearing braces on stress levels and QoL of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis, revealing that they have a lower QoL compared to their age- and sex-matched peers with no spinal deformity. Furthermore, the stress level associated with the brace was higher than the stress level associated with the deformity. However, there was a moderate perceived stress level between those who wore the Milwaukee brace and TLSO. There were no significant differences between males and females in BSSQ and SRS-22r scores.

The image of body deformity is a prime concern in AIS. Adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis may notice changes in their bodies and compare themselves with people without a spinal deformity. Having a negative self-image may lead to various reactions, such as dissatisfaction with one’s body, social isolation, and mental health disorders, all of which may be aggravated by wearing a brace. Reichel and Schanz reported that a great concern among females with AIS is to receive negative comments and statements from others when they are wearing a brace. Another factor affecting QoL and stress levels in adolescents with AIS is the patient’s sex. Gratz et al. showed that due to a negative body image, female AIS patients are more prone to stop doing their favorite activities or having social interactions compared to male patients. Sapountzi-Krepia et al. found that female AIS patients have more self-image disorders compared to males, and lower happiness and life satisfaction compared to normal healthy girls. Moreover, Aulisa et al. determined that males are in better conditions compared to females from different aspects, such as social relevance and function, stress level, school activity, emotional functioning, self-image, vitality, and pain. Nonetheless, our findings are different from the previous studies regarding sex. We discovered that subsection scores of SRS-22r, BSSQ-Deformity, and BSSQ-Brace are not significantly different between the sexes. A possible cause could be the female clothing styles in our country. Another possible reason might be the number of males who participated in our study. Therefore, this findings should be interpreted with caution. Another study conducted on 928 females and 277 males with and without AIS also reported similar total SRS-22r scores in both sexes.
Since wearing a brace can affect the stress level and QoL of patients with AIS, we assumed that there should be a significant relationship between the subsections of SRS-22r, BSSQ-Brace, and BBSQ-Deformity. There was a significant relationship between the subsections of SRS-22r and the brace and deformity-related stress except for the pain and satisfaction subsections. The strongest relationship was between BSSQ-Brace and self-image and the total scores of SRS-22r. These findings are in line with those of Misterska et al.\[12\] Appearance has an important role in social interactions and experiences. A brace can influence the self-perception of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis. A negative self-perception can lead to increased stress of anxiety, lower self-esteem, QoL, and depression. People who have different appearances than the norm might experience different social behaviors, including being stared at or pointed out in public. Studies show that stress, timidity, and anxiety resulting from visible dissimilarity can lead to social isolation.\[38\] This is a crucial issue for future research.

One of the limitations of the study is that there were more females included than males in both groups, which could be due to the higher prevalence of AIS in females.\[39\] In addition, AIS patients with a curve magnitude of more than 45° were not included in this study. Recently, some authors concluded that bracing is an effective strategy for controlling the AIS curves.\[40\] Future studies on the brace-related stress and QoL in patients with AIS curves higher than 45° are therefore recommended. In addition, an issue that was not addressed in this study was whether the difference of body mass index (BMI) values between AIS patients and adolescents with no spinal deformity could affect QoL scores. To our knowledge, there are no data on the effect of BMI on the QoL of braced adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis. In their study, Cheung et al.\[27\] showed that the SRS-22r scores of adolescents with AIS undergoing brace treatment are not significantly different from adolescents with no spinal deformity regarding BMI.

In conclusion, the stress due to brace treatment can decrease function/activity and self-image of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis compared to age-and sex-matched adolescents without spinal deformity.
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