Maturation of neurotransmission in the developing rat cochlea: immunohistochemical evidence from differential expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2
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Abstract

Synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 associate closely with packaging and storage of synaptic vesicles and transmitter release, and both play important roles in the development of rat cochlea. We examined the differential expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the developing Sprague-Dawley rat cochlea, and investigated the relationship between their expression and auditory development. The expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 was not observed in Kollikier’s and Corti’s organ at postnatal day 1 (P1) and P5, and the top turn of the cochlea at P10. Expression was detected in the outer spiral bundle (OSB), the inner spiral bundle (ISB), and the medial wall of the Deiters’ cell of the cochlea at P14, and P28, and in the middle or the basal turn of Corti’s organ at P10. Synaptobrevin 2 was expressed in the top of the inner hair cells (IHCs) in Corti’s organ of both P14 and P28 rats. All spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) were stained at all ages examined. The localization of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the cochlea was closely associated with the distribution of nerve fibers and neural activity (the docking and release of synaptic vesicles). Synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 were expressed extensively in the cochlea in areas such as the spiral bundle,1-2 peripheral support cells and spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs).4 Using RT-PCR and in situ hybridization, synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 were confirmed to be expressed in the cochleae of rodents.5-7 However, these results were found in mature cochleae; the expression patterns and mechanism of interaction in the developing rat cochlea are still not clear.

In the present study, we have attempted to characterize the expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the developing Sprague-Dawley rat, in hopes of understanding the potential significance of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 interactions in cochlear maturation.

Material and Methods

Specimen preparation

Sprague-Dawley rats (Super-B&K, China), which were one day (P1), five days (P5), ten days (P10), 14 days (P14) and 28 days (P28) after parturition, were chosen (5 rats in each age group). All adult rats or the paternal parents of infant rats had a normal pinna reflex.

All experiments conformed to the regulations of Shanghai Animal Management Committee. The rats were decapitated and the cochleae were harvested from the temporal bones and further microdissected in cold 4% paraformaldehyde. The cochleae were then perfused through the round window with the same fixative solution, post-fixed overnight and then rinsed for 24-240 h in phosphate buffer containing 10% EDTA and 4% paraformaldehyde. They were then washed for 3 h in phosphate buffer containing 15% sucrose followed by phosphate buffer containing 30% sucrose overnight. The cochleae were frozen in optimum cutting temperature compound (Sakura, Torrance, CA, USA) at 4°C and cut on a cryostat into 10 µm-thick sections along the modiolus. The glass slides were precoated with poly-D-lysine to avoid detachment. The sections were stored at -20°C until use.

Immunochemistry

Frozen sections were dried for 2 h at room temperature. After three rinses with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4), the sections were incubated in PBS supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 40 min at 37°C and then with a blocking solution containing 10% normal goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for
30 min at room temperature. Incubation with a mixture of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies against synaptophysin (1:200, Mouse Monoclonal Anti-Synaptophysin Receptor; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and synaptobrevin 2 (1:200, Rabbit Polyclonal Anti-synaptobrevin 2 Receptor; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) diluted in PBS was performed overnight at 4°C. For the control group, PBS was used instead of primary antibodies. Immunofluorescence was detected with Alexa Fluor 555 F(ab’)2 Fragment-labeled goat anti-mouse antibody (1:400, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and FITC-labeled goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:100, Beyotime, Haimen, China) and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

**Results**

**Kolliker’s organ**

Kolliker’s organ is a temporary structure in the development of the cochlea, and degenerate after the rat grow into adult. In the present study, we found that Corti’s organ appeared in the cochleae of P1 and P5 animals, but the immunoreactivity of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 was undetectable (Figures 1 and 2).

**Corti’s organ**

Immunoreactivity to synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 antibodies in Corti’s organ was maturation-dependent and undetectable in the immature cochleae of P1 and P5 animals (Figure 1 and 2). At P10, Corti’s organ had already formed its basic structure (Figure 3), but the expression of synaptophysin was not yet apparent in the top turn of the cochlea (Figure 3A). However, synaptophysin expression was found in the outer spiral bundle (OSB), under outer hair cells (OHCs), and the inner spiral bundle (ISB) under inner hair cells (IHCs) weakly in the middle or the basal turn of Corti’s organ at P10 (Figure 3B). At

**Figure 1.** Immunostaining of synaptophysin in Corti’s organ and Kolliker’s organ of P1 and P5 group. (A) 200×. There are immature supporting cells and hair cells in Corti’s organ and Kolliker’s organ of cochleae. Positive staining of synaptophysin is not found in Corti’s organ and Kolliker’s organ in P1 group. (B) 200×. Positive staining of synaptophysin is not found in Corti’s organ and Kolliker’s organ in P5 group either. (IHC, inner hair cell; OHC, outer hair cell; DC, deiters cell; SV, stria vascularis; SL, spiral ligament; SGN: spiral ganglia neuron).

**Figure 2.** Immunostaining of synaptobrevin 2 in Corti’s organ and Kolliker’s organ of P1 and P5 group. (A) 200×. There are immature supporting cells and hair cells in Corti’s organ and Kolliker’s organ of cochleae. Positive staining of synaptobrevin 2 is not found in Corti’s organ and Kolliker’s organ in P1 group. (B) 200×. Positive staining of synaptobrevin 2 is not found in Corti’s organ and Kolliker’s organ in P5 group either.

**Figure 3.** Immunostaining of synaptophysin in Corti’s organ of P10, P14 and P28 group. (A) 400×. The Corti’s organ of the top turn of P10 has already formed the essential structure, but the expression of synaptophysin did not appear in Corti’s organ. (B) 400×. Low immunoreactivity of synaptophysin is seen in the OSB and ISB in the middle turn of cochlea of P10. (C) 400×. The Corti’s organ has already matured in P14 group, and the expression of synaptophysin is visible in the OSB, ISB and the medial wall of the Deiters’ cell. (D) 200×. Strong punctuate synaptophysin expression is found strong punctate expression in the ISB, and cup-shaped expression is found in the OSB. The association of the tunnel spiral bundle and the ISB, also and the inner medial wall of the Deiter’s cell show weak expression. (CC, Claudius’ cell; ISB, inner spiral bundle; OSB, outer spiral bundle; IPC, inner pillar cell; OPC, outer pillar cell; ISC, inner sulcus cell; TSB, tunnel spiral bundle).
P14, Corti’s organ had almost reached its mature morphology. Synaptophysin expression was detected in the OSB, the ISB, and the medial wall of the Deiters’ cell of the cochlea, which was found to be expressed in a clustered pattern stronger than that at P10 (Figure 3C). At P28, punctate synaptophysin expression was found in the ISB, whereas a cup-shaped pattern was present in the OSB of Corti’s organ. Expression at this age was the strongest of all the groups (Figure 3D). The association of the channel spiral bundle and the ISB, and also the inner medial wall of the Deiters’ cell, showed weak expression of synaptophysin (Figure 2D).

Synaptobrevin 2 expression in Corti’s and Kolliker’s organ was not found in the cochleae of P1, P5 or P10 rats (Figures 2 and 4A). The expression sites of synaptobrevin 2 were almost the same as that of synaptophysin in Corti’s organ of P10, P14 and P28 rats (Figures 3 and 4), and there was punctate expression in the top of the IHCs in Corti’s organ of both P14 and P28 rats (Figures 4B and 4C).

Spiral ganglion neurons

In the P1 and P5 experimental groups, the neurons were arranged closely in a cluster-like pattern, whereas the expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 was weaker compared with P10, P14, and P28. By P10 and P14, the phenomenon of clustering was diminished, and the expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 was enhanced compared with P1 and P5. By P28, the expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 was the...
strongest in all the experimental groups. During the development of the cochleae, all SGNs were stained in different aged cochleae, and the expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in cytoplasm of SGNs was more intense as the cochleae went more mature. The expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 was the most intense in P28 group (Figures 5 and 6).

**Discussion**

**Expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the cochlea and their correlated function**

The development of the mammalian nervous system involves a number of synchronous events, including neurite growth towards appropriate targets, the formation of new synaptic connections, plasticity to reapporoty synaptic contacts and axon retraction to remove inappropriate innervation and synapses. In the developing cochlea, these events are likely to play a crucial role in establishing the correct afferent innervation pattern.20-22 Before the cochlear afferent innervation reaches its mature configuration, there is an initial mismatch, where both type I and type II SGNs innervate both types of sensory hair cells. During the development of the cochlea, type I SGN innervation is eliminated from the OHCs and type II SGN innervation is eliminated from the IHCs. This reorganization of nerve fibers occurs in rodents after birth and before the onset of hearing.23 Meanwhile, the growth and branching of the SGNs is supported by neurotrophic factors secreted by hair cells.

The development of the auditory system is a very complex process, which is subject to detailed mechanisms of regulation and control. In this process, various kinds of structural proteins related to cochlear function are expressed in specific areas of the cochlea. Synaptophysin is a membrane protein specific to synaptic vesicles and correlates directly with the presence of neurotransmitter. Therefore, it also serves as a specific synaptic marker. Synaptobrevin 2 is one of the major components of the SNARE protein complex, and plays a key role in vesicle docking and fusion. Together, synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 play an important role in regulating the process of synaptic vesicles exocytosis. Whereas in most vertebrates, the general morphology and structure of the cochleae has been formed prior to birth, and subsequent postnatal periods of cellular differentiation occur before developmental maturity is attained. This is particularly true in rodents, due to the presence of a shorter embryonic period.

Therefore, the cochlea will not reach full maturity until two weeks after birth.24 During this process, the differences in cochlear expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 have so far not been reported in the literature. The findings of this study therefore allow us to speculate on the functions of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the developing cochlea.

**Expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the outer spiral bundle**

It was found that the hair cells and nerve terminals of rat cochlea undergo reorganization during the first week after birth, and the mature mode is not established until the second week.25 We found the immunostaining of synaptophysin or synaptobrevin 2 was not observed in P1 or P5 OSB or the apical turn at P10. Expression was consistent in the OSB at P14 and P28 and in the basal or middle turn at P10. The different immunostaining levels at P10 are consistent with the basal-apical gradient. In OSB, the immunostaining of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 was highest at P28, and moderate-to-low from P14 to P10. It was reported that synaptophysin interacts with synaptobrevin 2 only in mature nerve terminals20 which was consistent with the absence of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in Koller’s organ or Corti’s organ at P1, P5 and P10. The differences in the distribution at P10 and P14 in OSB indicated that the mature synaptic connections were established between OHCs and nerve terminals; this phase was also consistent with the onset of hearing.22 The distribution differences between groups showed that the OHCs were controlled by the SGNs, which released neurotransmitters, but the concrete mechanism requires further investigation. Similarly, changes in hair cell function during neurite retraction and synapse pruning may also contribute to variation in synaptic stability. It was reported that glutamate receptors are expressed by type I fibers at this stage of development,22 suggesting that these temporary synapses are at least capable of functional neurotransmission with the OHCs.

**Expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the inner spiral bundle**

Most of the SGNs (90-95%) form synapses on IHCs; more than 35% of the information transmission in the cochlea relies on IHCs and the synaptic complex, which is regulated by SGNs from the central nervous system (CNS).26 We found that the expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 was similar within each age group. We were unable to demonstrate expression in the ISB at P1 and P5 and the top turn at P10. They were expressed in the ISB at P14 and P28 and in the middle and the basal turn at P10. The extent of expression was wider than in the OSB in the same age group. The greater abundance of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the ISB is consistent with the fact that IHCs are considered to be more active than OHCs, which confirms that the efferent synapses release neurotransmitters at a synaptic complex with the ISB to produce a marked effect. Glutamic acid and acetylcholine are found to be the main neurotransmitters in the efferent synapse in the synaptic complex below the IHCs,27 and they play an important role in a variety of physiological functions of the hair cells, such as mechanical-electrical conversion.

**Expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in hair cells**

In contrast with the central nervous system, the terminal of hair cells has a specialized structure, the synaptic ribbon. The synaptic vesicles are attached to the synaptic ribbon, through which hair cells can maintain a high and sustained release of neurotransmitters.24 Consistent with previous studies,28 we found that at certain ages hair cells lack synaptophysin. This is also a major difference between cochlear hair cell synapses and CNS synapses,29 and suggests that an unconventional mechanism may be involved in the neurotransmitter release at ribbon synapses.

There was punctate expression in the top of the IHCs in Corti’s organ in both P14 and P28 rats, which was different from the synaptophysin expression in hair cells. This may suggest an additional role for synaptobrevin 2 in hair cells, or may simply reflect a targeting mechanism in hair cells that does not involve a direct route to the synaptic area. Synaptophysin/synaptobrevin 2 interaction may have a regulatory role in SNARE complex formation and affect vesicle exocytosis. The absence of synaptophysin, but not synaptobrevin 2, in IHCs raises the possibility of the existence of an unknown synaptophysin isoform, which interacts with synaptobrevin 2.

**Expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 in the medial wall of the Deiters’ cells**

The clustered expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 occurred in the medial wall of Deiters’ cells, and the expression, which was gradually weakened from inside to out, was weakest in the medial wall of the lateral Deiters’ cells. The expression site of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 at P28, which could be detected only in the medial wall of the medial Deiters’ cells, was less intense than that at P14. No immunoreactivity was detected around the supporting cells in other
The course of most vesicles fused with cell membrane is catalyzed by non-specific vesicles. While the docking and fusing course of vesicles, which is a process of targeted vesicle transport, is determined by specific membrane proteins. An increase in intracellular Ca2+ would make the pre-synaptic Ca2+ binding protein transfer information to synaptobrevin 2, which is an important protein in mediating membrane fusion. Synaptobrevin 2 then forms a tight complex with two other plasma membrane proteins, better known as the SNARE complex, which is a prerequisite for membrane fusion. The SNARE complex would be necessary for the presynaptic membrane and the synaptic vesicle membrane to come into proximity and fuse and further to release neurotransmitter into the synaptic cleft through the fusion pore. For synaptobrevin 2, the only non-SNARE binding partner protein discovered so far is synaptophysin. The combination of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 can accommodate vesicular exocytosis activity. In this study, the expression of synaptophysin and synaptobrevin 2 were seen at P14 and P28, and in the middle or the basal turn of Corti’s organ at P10. They were expressed in the ISB, OSB, the medial wall of Deiter’s cells and the SGNs. Their co-expression suggests that the synaptophysin-synaptobrevin 2 complex might be present in the cochlea of rats. Synaptophysin is a major protein of the synaptic vesicle membrane, and its binding to synaptobrevin 2 is specific and exclusive. Synaptobrevin 2 is bound with synaptophysin and cannot enter the SNARE complex. Likewise, synaptobrevin 2 is a part of the SNARE complex and cannot interact with synaptophysin either. These findings lead to the proposal that synaptophysin serves as a control protein that regulates the availability of synaptobrevin 2 for engaging with its SNARE partners before membrane fusion. The relative instability of the synaptophysin/synaptobrevin 2 complex may ensure that its formation is readily reversible. The main role of the complex formation is to provide a rapidly available pool of vesicular synaptobrevin 2 for exocytotic membrane fusion. The synaptophysin/synaptobrevin 2 complex will dissociate in a process driven by ATP, and provide a high volume of synaptobrevin 2 in a very short period of time for the biological activity of neural synapses, and further to form a SNARE protein complex with other membrane proteins. Then, a fusion pore is formed in the cell membrane at the target sites to prepare for the quantum release of vesicles. Synaptophysin may fine-tune the synaptic responses by regulating the availability of synaptobrevin 2 to the SNARE complex in a positive manner and by regulating the function of hair cells and supporting cells in cochlea.
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