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The optimal medical therapy of patients with chronic stable angina is a controversial issue. While the management of stable angina has certainly improved during the last decade, a number of challenges remain. The European Survey showed that up to 30% of patients do not receive antiplatelet drugs, 50% do not receive a beta-blocker, and 25% do not use a lipid-lowering agent [1]. Furthermore, guidelines for the management of stable angina are based on therapies that, at variance with other diseases, have not been extensively evaluated in large randomized trials. Also, both American and European guidelines have been published years ago, and therefore do not take into consideration the role of newer treatment options in management algorithms. As a consequence, many patients at greatest risk receive less than optimal treatment nowadays.

Current Guidelines

The trials of medical therapy vs. interventional approaches in stable angina were carried out almost three decades ago, when routine management differed greatly from those currently adopted. Given that European [2] and American [3] guidelines were published in 2006 and 2007, respectively, review is expecting soon. In 2011, the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) published the recommendations for the management of stable angina [4]. According to the UK document, optimal medical therapy should comprise one or two anti-anginal agents as needed, without distinguishing between beta-blockers or calcium channel blockers as first-line anti-anginal therapy. The guidelines recommend switching between these treatments if needed, or combining them if symptoms are not satisfactorily controlled. The major limitations of NICE guidelines are twofold: (i) they equally support the use of beta-blockers and calcium-antagonists, despite the latter have been studied in the INVEST trial only in 2003 [5]; (ii) they allow the newer antiangiinal drugs, i.e. ivabradine, ranolazine and nicorandil, to be used only when older drugs fail.

The Newer Anti-Anginal Treatments

There is now evidence to suggest the possibility that the newer anti-anginal treatments may act as ‘disease modifiers.’

Ivabradine acts on the If current, which regulates the intrinsic pacemaker activity of the sinoatrial node, resulting in reduction in heart rate and myocardial oxygen demand. Ivabradine has a proven antianginal efficacy and is effective beyond beta-blockers, as it increases coronary blood flow and preserves myocardial contractility and relaxation [6].

Ranolazine inhibits the late sodium influx across the sarcolemma, thereby attenuating abnormalities in ventricular repolarisation and contractility associated with myocardial ischemia, as well as preventing calcium overload that causes cardiac ischemia. Several studies have already demonstrated the antiischamic and anti-ischemic activities of ranolazine [7]. At variance with beta-blockers and calcium-antagonists, ranolazine does not significantly alter heart rate or increase systolic blood pressure, thus explaining its relevant role in those with angina refractory to maximal tolerated doses of other anti-anginal medications. In addition, ranolazine has the potential of limiting myocardial ischemia, thus playing a role in the pathophysiology of peri-procedural myocardial infarction during percutaneous coronary intervention. A recent randomized, pilot study has demonstrated that pretreatment with ranolazine 1,000 mg twice daily for 7 days significantly reduced procedural myocardial injury in elective PCI [8].

Nicorandil is a potassium channel activator, as well as acting as a nitrate-like epicardial coronary vasodilator, lowering preload through venodilation. Treatment is associated with protection of the myocardium during ischemia, shortening action potential duration and preventing intracellular calcium toxicity [9].

Future Perspectives

First-line treatment should not only provide symptomatic relief, but also address the underlying disease mechanism(s). Beta-blockers are here to stay as first-line therapy of stable angina, as they have disease-modifying properties and exert favourable effects on arrhythmias, heart failure and prevention of sudden death. In contrast, there is a lack of evidence that calcium channel blockers are disease-modifying and their therapeutic role will be probably reduced in the near future. Long-acting nitrates are used on an empirical basis as randomised controlled trials are lacking, and are therefore expected to be replaced by the newer anti-anginal treatments.

Ranolazine, ivabradine or nicorandil are already indicated as second line options in patients inadequately controlled by first-line treatments or in whom such treatments are contraindicated or not tolerated. Ranolazine and ivabradine, which are associated with very low rates of side effects, will have increased roles in the management of stable angina patients that are older and with co-morbidities.

Conclusion

Chronic stable angina is still a major therapeutic challenge. Despite the UK guidelines in 2011, a number of unresolved issues remain, specifically disparities in the management of the older patient with multiple co-morbidities. It is expected that the newer anti-anginal treatments ranolazine and ivabradine will play an increasing role in the future clinical pathways for the management of stable angina.
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