Macrolide antibiotics, such as erythromycin and josamycin, are natural polyketide products harboring 14- to 16-membered macrocyclic lactone rings to which various sugars are attached. These antibiotics are used extensively in the clinic because of their ability to inhibit bacterial protein synthesis. More recently, some macrolides have been shown to also possess anti-inflammatory and other therapeutic activities in mammalian cells. To better understand the targets and effects of this drug class in mammalian cells, we used a genome-wide shRNA screen in K562 cancer cells to identify genes that modulate cellular sensitivity to josamycin. Among the most sensitizing hits were proteins involved in mitochondrial translation and the mitochondrial unfolded protein response, glycolysis, and the mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling cascade. Further analysis revealed that cells treated with josamycin or other antibacterial agents exhibited impaired oxidative phosphorylation and metabolic shifts to glycolysis. Interestingly, we observed that knockdown of the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 4 (MAP3K4) gene, which contributes to p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling, sensitized cells only to josamycin but not to other antibacterial agents. There is a growing interest in better characterizing the therapeutic effects and toxicities of antibiotics in mammalian cells to guide new applications in both cellular and clinical studies. To our knowledge, this is the first report of an unbiased genome-wide screen to investigate the effects of a clinically used antibiotic on human cells.

A subgroup of macrolide antibiotics, characterized by 14- to 16-membered macrocycles to which various sugar substituents are attached, are among the most widely used antibacterial agents to date (Fig. 1A). In the early 1950s, erythromycin, a 14-membered macrolide, was isolated from *Sarcinopolyspora erythraea* and became the first macrolide adopted clinically (1). Usually serving as bacteriostatic agents, macrolides bind the bacterial 50S ribosomal subunit within the polypeptide exit tunnel and inhibit protein synthesis in the pathogen (2). In addition to broad-spectrum activity against Gram-positive and atypical bacteria, these antibiotics have excellent tissue penetration, especially newer antibacterial agents, such as azithromycin and clarithromycin (1, 3). They accumulate in lung epithelial fluid and immune cells at concentrations of up to several hundred times greater than in extracellular fluid, granting these molecules rapid access to sites of infection (1, 4). For these reasons, they are widely prescribed for respiratory tract infections as well as skin, gastrointestinal, sexually transmitted, and other infections (3, 5) and play important roles in veterinary medicine (6). In addition to the three main macrolide antibiotics approved for human use in the United States, at least five others, including spiramycin and josamycin (also known as leucomycin A3), are used clinically in other parts of the world (Fig. 1A) (7).

Beyond antibacterial activity, macrolides have been extensively investigated and are sometimes prescribed for their immunomodulatory and other therapeutic properties, especially for respiratory conditions (1, 8–13). In the 1980s, low-dose erythromycin led to impressive survival benefits in patients with diffuse panbronchiolitis by a mechanism seemingly unrelated to its antibacterial effect (11, 14, 15). Since then, macrolides have been evaluated in many clinical studies of respiratory conditions such as asthma (16), cystic fibrosis (17), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (11, 18). In parallel, targeted *in vitro* analyses of immune and other cells exposed to macrolides have ascribed the observed immunomodulatory effects to changes in cytokine production, adhesion molecule expression, neutrophil chemotaxis, and more; mechanisms proposed to explain these changes include shifts in intracellular calcium levels, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling, and the activity of transcription factors such as NF-κB (1, 9). More recently, researchers have conducted more extensive...
sive, unbiased characterizations of the effects of antibiotics in mammalian cells. Examples range from examining global gene expression changes in human cells treated with azithromycin or doxycycline (19, 20) to characterizing tetracycline toxicities in various model organisms (21). These works are timely, given a growing appreciation for and cautious interest in repurposing antibiotics for their alternative therapeutic effects (11–13, 22). More research regarding the targets and effects of macrolides in mammalian cells can guide new applications in cellular and clinical studies.

Over the past few years, many unbiased proteomic and genomic methods have been advanced to interrogate the interactions of bioactive small molecules with cellular protein targets. These approaches can generally be classified into affinity-based biochemical methods, computational inference methods based on gene expression or ligand similarity, and functional genomic methods (23). Within the last category, high-throughput screens utilizing genome-wide shRNA knockdown (KD) and CRISPR-Cas9 KO libraries have become especially popular (24). By characterizing how gene KD or KO affect cellular sensitivity to a drug, one can glean insights into both a drug’s direct subcellular binding targets as well as its indirect interactions and effects on cellular signaling and processes. We previously conducted parallel shRNA and CRISPR-Cas9 screens to successfully identify genetic modifiers of cellular sensitivity to the host-targeting antiviral molecule GSK983 (25). In that study, the primary direct binding target of GSK983 was identified among the top sensitizing genes of the shRNA screen rather than the CRISPR-Cas9 screen (25). Other drug targets and mechanisms of action identified through shRNA screens include those of the anti-leukemic agent STF-118804 (26) and the anti-depressive agent ISRIB (27). shRNA screens have also helped to map out genes that modify cellular sensitivity to anticancer drugs, including the MDM2 inhibitor Nutlin-3 (28), the BCR-ABL inhibitor imatinib (29), the topoisomerase inhibitor etoposide (30), and the bioactive natural product aurilide B (31).

We chose josamycin, a first-line treatment for respiratory infection in Russia and a second-line treatment for *Chlamydia trachomatis* in Europe (32), as our primary target for characterization on the basis of its unusual immunomodulatory characteristics (33, 34) and impressive host-targeting antiviral activity (35). Here we conducted a genome-wide shRNA screen with josamycin in the well-studied K562 human erythroleukemia cell line. Although human targets of macrolide antibiotics have been investigated for over 50 years, to our knowledge, our study is the first to address this question using an unbiased functional genomics platform.

**Results**

**Unbiased genome-wide shRNA screen for targets of josamycin in K562 cells**

We previously optimized a high-density shRNA library (~25 shRNAs per protein-coding gene and ~10,000 negative controls) and validated its performance in pooled genetic screens (36, 37). Using this shRNA library, we conducted a genome-wide screen to identify gene knockdowns that greatly altered the sensitivity of the human K562 erythroleukemia cell line to josamycin. Because sensitivity to macrolide antibiotics varies based on drug and cell type (35, 38), josamycin was titrated into a K562 cell culture and found to reduce cell growth with an IC50 of 39 μM (Fig. 1B). Upon stable infection of K562 cells with the shRNA library, these cells were split and cultured in the absence or presence of 10 μM josamycin for 14 days (Fig. 1C). To identify which cells (and therefore genes) were selectively depleted or enriched in the josamycin-treated population, genomic DNA was isolated from each population after 14 days. The shRNA-encoding cassettes from each population were amplified and subjected to deep sequencing (39). The individual genes con-
ferring sensitivity to or protection from josamycin were ranked according to a maximum likelihood estimator that incorporates results across the entire set of shRNAs targeting each gene (Table S1) (25). To improve statistical confidence in the identified hits, a subsequent batch shRNA screen was performed on a smaller scale with a custom-synthesized library that was comprised of the top 500 genes identified from the genome-wide screen to obtain higher coverage (Table S2).

**Genes involved in mitochondrial translation, central carbon metabolism, and MAPK signaling sensitize K562 cells to josamycin**

Gene knockdowns that modify cellular sensitivity to josamycin provide insight into the drug’s direct subcellular binding targets as well as its indirect effects on cellular signaling and processes. Analysis of the top 40 sensitizing genes identified in the secondary screen revealed that major pathways sensitizing K562 cells to josamycin were involved in mitochondrial function and central carbon metabolism (Fig. 2A and Fig. S1A). In fact, the genes that most strongly sensitized cells to josamycin included those responsible for critical steps in mitochondrial protein translation, including initiation (MTIF2 and MTIF3), formylation (MTFMT), and termination (GFM2). Other macrolide antibacterial agents as well as nonmacrolide inhibitors of bacterial translation (e.g. chloramphenicol, Fig. 1A) are known to affect mitochondrial function, presumably by directly binding to mitochondrial ribosomes, given their strong similarity to...
bacterial ribosomes (40, 41). Therefore, it is almost certain that the mitochondrial ribosome is a direct binding target of josamycin, given prior literature and the top hits in our screen.

Other notable mitochondrial hits included genes involved in mitochondrial protein quality control and unfolded protein response (CLPP and CLPX) as well as anion (VDAC1 and VDAC2) and phosphatidic acid (TRIAP1) transport. A second major category of sensitizing hits was genes involved in central carbon metabolism, including glycolytic enzymes (GPI, PFKP, and HK2) as well as enzymes responsible for oxidative carbon metabolism (ME2, CS, and ACL1) and monocarboxylate transport (SLC16A1). This suggests that josamycin greatly alters cellular metabolism. Components of the MAPK signaling cascade (MAP3K4, MAP2K3, and GADD45A) also emerged among the most sensitizing genes; this pathway has been implicated previously in the mode of action of macrolide antibiotics in mammalian systems (9). Various genes involved in mRNA surveillance (SMG1 and SMG7), ribosome biogenesis (NPM1 and ARFI), ubiquitination (FBOX11 and UBAP2L), mitotic organization (TPX2, ATRX, PCM1, and NPM1), and phosphatase activity (PPP1R15B and PPP2R2A) also appeared prominently among the top 40 sensitizing hits. Some gene knockdowns showed a protective effect and were enriched in the josamycin-treated population (Fig. S1A and Table S2). These included genes involved in DNA damage and repair (PRKDC), cytosolic protein translation (EIF2AK1 and EIF4G1), RNA processing (DAZAP1, STRAP, and HNRPA1), transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II (C14orf166, TAF3, and TAF4), and oxidative phosphorylation (ATPSO, ATP5H, ATP5F1, ATP5B, and NDUF8).

Individual shRNA validation

To validate our findings on an individual gene level, we performed competitive growth assays to compare the growth rate of a given KD cell line relative to its WT counterpart under untreated and josamycin-treated conditions. We established mCherry-positive K562 KD cell lines using the top two sensitizing shRNA constructs for each gene (Table S3). Suppression of mRNA target genes in KD cells was confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) (Fig. S2, A and B, and Table S4). We then initiated cocultures of a given KD cell line with WT K562 cells at a 1:1 ratio under untreated and josamycin-treated conditions. By comparing the KD/WT ratio in the two cocultured populations over time, we observed whether a KD cell line was specifically depleted under josamycin treatment. This design detects and corrects for any non-drug-related growth defects of a construct relative to WT K562 cells in a controlled fashion over the many days it takes for some phenotypes to emerge. We confirmed that MTIF2, GFM2, MTIF3, MTFFM, PFKP, GPI, HK2, and MAP3K4 knockdown cells were specifically depleted under josamycin treatment relative to untreated cocultured controls after 10 days (Fig. 2, B–D). These results confirm the findings of our pooled genetic screens. In contrast, KD cells harboring nontargeting shRNA constructs were not depleted under josamycin treatment (Fig. 2D). Additionally, the effects of knocking down two highly protective genes, PRKDC and EIF2AK1, were also validated in this assay (Fig. 1B). These KD cell lines were specifically enriched under josamycin treatment relative to untreated cocultured controls (Fig. 1B).

Differential cellular effects of josamycin compared with other antibiotics

To determine whether these hits were unique to josamycin, we tested whether knocking down MTIF2, GPI, and MAP3K4 similarly sensitized cells to another macrolide, clarithromycin, as well as a structurally unrelated inhibitor of the bacterial ribosome, chloramphenicol (Fig. 1A). Josamycin was a slightly more potent inhibitor of K562 cell growth than clarithromycin or chloramphenicol (Fig. 1B). We therefore conducted the KD/WT assay at three drug concentrations. Interestingly, although knockdown of MTIF2 and GPI sensitized cells to josamycin, clarithromycin, and chloramphenicol at the three tested concentrations in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3, A and B), knockdown of MAP3K4 sensitized cells to only josamycin and was not dose-dependent (Fig. 3C). This suggests that MAP3K4 is a unique target of josamycin. In the MAP3K4 coculture experiments, the KD/WT ratio increased unexpectedly with josamycin concentration. At high concentrations, we speculate that josamycin-mediated alterations in MAPK signaling, especially in MAP3K4 KD cells, may lead to compensatory cellular signaling and feedback, as observed previously in this pathway (42). Whether josamycin directly binds a MAPK protein or indirectly induces changes in cellular processes that impact MAPK signaling and cell growth remains unknown. None of the antibiotics showed a sensitizing effect in cells infected with a nontargeting shRNA construct (Fig. 3D).

Josamycin inhibits oxidative phosphorylation and induces a metabolic shift to glycolysis

Although most mitochondrial proteins are encoded by nuclear genes, 13 crucial proteins in respiration, including COX1, COX2, and COX3 are mitochondrially encoded and translated (43, 44). Because our data suggested that josamycin directly binds the mitochondrial ribosome, we tested whether josamycin induced mitochondrial dysfunction at early time points. To do so, we conducted real-time measurements of the oxygen consumption rate using a Seahorse XFp analyzer. Treatment of K562 cells with the genome-wide screening concentration of 10 μM josamycin for 16 h induced significant inhibition of mitochondrial basal and maximal respiration (Fig. S3, A and B).

We next treated K562 cells with josamycin, clarithromycin, and chloramphenicol at a common concentration of 15 μM, observed previously to cause growth defects for all three drugs. Basal and maximal respiration were significantly inhibited by josamycin and chloramphenicol after 16 h and by clarithromycin to a lesser extent (Fig. 4, A and B). Overnight treatment with josamycin or chloramphenicol also caused marked decreases in the total ATP production rate, attributable to oxidative phosphorylation (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, josamycin-treated cells demonstrated a significant increase in the ATP production rate, attributable to glycolysis (Fig. 4C), with its percentage of the overall ATP production rate also rising sharply, from 38% to 59%, indicating a strong cellular metabolic shift to glycolysis.
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Figure 3. Testing the relevance of gene hits identified with josamycin across a panel of bacterial ribosome inhibitors. A, comparison of knockdown of MTIF2 on cellular sensitivity to josamycin, clarithromycin, and chloramphenicol after 9 days. The KD/WT ratio is the proportion of knockdown to WT K562 cells in an untreated versus drug-treated population at concentrations of 6, 12.5, and 25 μM after 9 days of coculture initiated at a 1:1 ratio. At these concentrations, all drugs caused decreases in cell growth, as assessed by flow cytometry. B, comparison of knockdown of GPI on cellular sensitivity to various drugs after 9 days. C, comparison of knockdown of MAP3K4 on cellular sensitivity to various drugs after 9 days. D, comparison of the nontargeting shRNA construct (negative control) on cellular sensitivity to various drugs after 9 days. In A–D, error bars indicate mean ± S.D., n = 2, and dots represent individual values.

Discussion

Identifying host targets of macrolide antibacterial agents is a
prerequisite for understanding the mechanisms of their nonan-
tibiotic effects or uses (46). Here we report the first unbiased
and comprehensive genome-wide screen in a human cell line to
determine cellular modulators of sensitivity to a clinically used
antibiotic, josamycin.

Illustrating the power of genome-wide approaches to drug
target identification, the most sensitizing genes to emerge from
our screen were involved in mitochondrial translation; an
expected mammalian target, given the similarity between the
mitochondrial and bacterial ribosome (Fig. 2A) (44). The mito-
ochondrial DNA genome encodes 22 tRNAs, two rRNAs, and 13
of the 90 proteins in the electron transport chain; these gener-
ally hydrophobic proteins are translated in the mitochondrial
matrix (44, 47). Although mitochondrial ribosomes utilize
tRNAs and translation factors that are distinct from bacteria,
the core structure of the peptidyl transferase center is con-
served between their ribosomes (44). It is key amino acid differ-
ences in the polypeptide exit tunnel that increase the selectivity
of macrolide antibiotics (48). However, bacterial protein syn-
thesis inhibitors, including macrolides, tetracyclines, and
chloramphenicol, can distinctly impact mitochondrial transla-
tion and function in vitro (49, 50), in cells (38, 40, 51), in vivo
(52), and, in the case of aminoglycosides, in the clinic (53). In
K562 cells, the oxazolidinone eperezolid slowed growth exclu-
sively though this mechanism (54). Previously, josamycin itself
has been shown to inhibit protein synthesis in vitro in a bovine
mitochondrial translation system (IC_{50} = 12.3 μM) (50) and
also to down-regulate mitochondrially encoded proteins in
transformed human liver epithelial cells after 96 h (43). We also
observed changes in mitochondrial function upon antibiotic
treatment in both cancer and primary cells (Fig. 4, A–E).

Given all of this, it is almost certain that the mitochondrial ribosome is
a direct binding target of josamycin and that this interaction is
at least partially responsible for its effects on mitochondrial
function.
Genetic factors identified in antibiotic target identification screens may be distinct to drug and/or cell type. Our shRNA screen also identified knockdown of glycolytic genes as highly sensitizing to antibiotic treatment, even in a cancer cell line like K562 (Fig. 2A). Previous research has shown that doxycycline can induce glycolysis in MCF12A cells (20). Duewelhenke et al. (40) also reported that bacterial protein synthesis inhibitors impaired mitochondrial energetics and increased extracellular lactate production. Here we observed a shift toward glycolysis, as measured by real-time respiration, in antibiotic-treated K562 cells (Fig. 4C) and impaired respiration in primary HUVECs (Fig. 4E). Interestingly, we also observed that knockdown of components of the electron transport chain, including ATP5O, ATP5H, ATP5F1, ATP5B, and NDUFB8, slightly protected cells from josamycin (Fig. S1A and Table S2). We hypothesize that knockdown in the electron transport chain may promote a stronger glycolytic state. Beyond josamycin, knockdown of GPI also broadly sensitized cells to clarithromycin and chloramphenicol (Fig. 3B).

Clinically useful antibiotics like josamycin, chloramphenicol and erythromycin are not potent inhibitors of cell growth (55); however, our 14-day shRNA screen also identified interesting classes of human targets with subtle growth inhibition. For example, we observed that knockdown of components of the electron transport chain, including ATP5O, ATP5H, ATP5F1, ATP5B, and NDUFB8, slightly protected cells from josamycin (Fig. S1A and Table S2). We hypothesize that knockdown in the electron transport chain may promote a stronger glycolytic state. Beyond josamycin, knockdown of GPI also broadly sensitized cells to clarithromycin and chloramphenicol (Fig. 3B).
phenotypes, some of which are highlighted below. In addition to altered cellular bioenergetics, the antiproliferative effects of mitochondrial ribosome-targeting antibiotics have been attributed to retrograde mitochondrion-to-nucleus signaling (51). In our study, knockdown of the mitochondrial protein quality control genes CLPP and CLPX sensitized cells to josamycin (Fig. 2A). Previously, inhibition of the mitochondrial ATP-dependent proteasome CLPX/CLPP complex has been shown to impair oxidative phosphorylation (56); conversely, overexpression of CLPX can stimulate a mitochondrial unfolded protein response that up-regulates mitochondrial metabolic genes (57). Especially because our screen only highlights a distinct subset of mitochondrial proteins, our results suggest that josamycin induces the mitochondrial unfolded protein response and that this affects growth.

Our screen also identified a growth phenotype associated with impaired cytoplasmic protein translation. Specifically, knockdown of PPP1R15B was sensitizing, whereas knockdown of EIF2AK1 was protective (Fig. 2A and Fig. S1A). Because EIF2AK1 slows cytosolic protein translation in response to stress in opposition to the constitutive phosphatase PPP1R15B, our screen suggests that impaired cytoplasmic translation further sensitizes cells to josamycin.

The most protective shRNAs were against PRKDC, a DNA damage response gene with emerging roles in mitochondrial genome maintenance and function (Fig. S1B) (56–60). Interestingly, a proteomics study exploring the antibiotic doxycycline (another mitochondrial translation inhibitor) as a potential cancer therapy found that PRKDC was the most down-regulated protein upon 3 days of treatment with doxycycline in MCF7 cells (59). As researchers cautiously attempt to repurpose antibiotics for cancer treatment because of their off-target activity inhibiting mitochondrial biogenesis, understanding the cellular modifiers of sensitivity to this process may help delineate mechanisms of response or resistance (22).

In terms of anti-inflammatory activities, josamycin-mediated inhibition of p38 MAPK signaling may partially explain its effects on neutrophils and other immune cells (34) and its anti-inflammation effects in vivo (35). Knockdown of the MAPK signaling components GADD45A, MAP3K4, and MAP2K3 sensitized cells to josamycin (Fig. 2A); these genes can act together to activate p38 MAPK signaling (61, 62). Whether josamycin directly binds a MAPK protein or indirectly induces changes in cellular processes that impact MAPK signaling and cell growth remains a subject of further study.

The p38 MAPK pathway has known roles in pulmonary inflammation (63, 64) and influenza infection (65–67). Additionally, other macrolide antibacterial agents are known to affect MAPK activation, leading to changes in the release of cytokines like IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, tumor necrosis factor α, and granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (1, 9). Importantly, the sensitizing effect of MAP3K4 was not observed in K562 cells treated with chloramphenicol, suggesting that this effect is macrolide-specific, although it may be cell type–specific (Fig. 3C) (68). Downstream physiological effects of p38 MAPK signaling will differ between immune cells and K562 cells, an erythroid and megakaryocytic progenitor. Potential compensatory cell signaling and feedback to josamycin-mediated alterations of MAPK signaling may also drive cell growth and inflammation phenotypes (Fig. 3C) (42). Additionally, the inhibitory effects of josamycin on neutrophil degranulation (34, 69–71) and inflammation (72–74) are somewhat variable, hinting that a more complicated mechanism may be at work. Of course, it remains possible that josamycin exerts its anti-inflammatory and anti-influenza activities in vivo through cell type interactions that cannot be recapitulated using cell culture.

As increasing numbers of bioactive natural products and preclinical drug candidates are identified, determining their biological mechanism of action remains a challenge. This work demonstrates the power of genome-wide screens as a robust platform for drug target identification. Our study also represents the first systematic effort to identify mammalian targets of macrolide antibiotics, which possess interesting biological activity attractive for various therapeutic applications (11, 13, 35). The unique effect on p38 MAPK signaling with josamycin also suggests a path forward to using genetic screens to distinguish host targets for different drugs with the same primary modes of action.

**Experimental procedures**

**Chemicals and reagents**

Josamycin and clarithromycin were from Sigma-Aldrich. Chloramphenicol was from Gold Biotechnologies. Chemicals were dissolved in DMSO, aliquoted, and stored at −20 °C.

**Cell culture and dose–response curves**

K562 cells were cultured in RPMI medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), penicillin (10,000 IU/ml), streptomycin (10,000 IU/ml), and l-glutamine (2 mM) (25). HUVECs were cultured in EGM-2 endothelial cell growth medium (Lonza, CC-3162). Cells were maintained in log phase in a humidified incubator kept at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. To test the effects of various drugs on cell growth, K562 cells were seeded in 24-well plates at 100,000 cells/well and HUVECs at 50,000 cells/well. Density and viability at various time points were assessed by flow cytometry with a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer as described previously (25).

**Genome-wide and batch shRNA analyses**

A genome-wide shRNA screen was conducted as described previously (25). Briefly, K562 cells were infected with a genome-wide shRNA library (25). When cells were appropriately selected and recovered to ensure that knockdown constructs were expressed, this pooled population was split and grown in the presence or absence of 10 μM josamycin for 14 days. This concentration leads to a ~30% reduction in cell growth after 48 h. Each day, both populations were diluted to 500,000 cells/ml as needed. At the end of the screen, genomic DNA from each population was isolated and sequenced (25). The ratio of the frequency of a particular shRNA-encoding construct in untreated and josamycin-treated cells was determined using the CasTLE framework developed in our laboratory (25). The results of this analysis are summarized in Table S1. A similar screen was repeated in K562 cells using the top ~500 genes.
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identified from the genome-wide screen and is summarized in Table S2.

Individual shRNA validation

To validate results from the genome-wide screen, individual shRNA hits were retested with the two most sensitizing shRNAs from the batch screen; their sequences are listed in Table S3. Oligonucleotides corresponding to these shRNAs (Elim Biopharma) were ligated into pMCR309 using restriction digest cloning as described previously (25). pMCR309 harbors two BstXI sites, a puromycin resistance cassette, and an mCherry fluorescent reporter gene. The resulting constructs were combined with third-generation lentiviral packaging plasmids to produce the lentivirus, as described previously (25). To generate cell lines harboring knockdowns in a particular gene, K562 cells were infected with the appropriate lentivirus and selected, as detailed previously (25).

For competitive growth assays, 50%–50% mixtures of WT K562 cells and K562 cells expressing a nontargeting or a targeting shRNA were seeded into 24-well plates at 500,000 cells/ml. These mixtures were grown in the presence or absence of 10 μM josamycin for 9–10 days and maintained at roughly their starting density of 500,000 cells/ml. Monitoring the percentage of mCherry-positive cells under treatment and control conditions allowed us to compute the ratio of KD/WT cells. For comparisons between different antibiotics, experiments were performed at three drug concentrations with a single shRNA, and the extent of mCherry depletion was similarly monitored in the three populations across drugs. The most sensitizing MTIF2, GPI, and MAP3K4 shRNAs from the batch screen were used along with the control shRNA NegCtrl_3. The statistical significance of the difference in the KD/WT ratio between DMSO- and drug-treated cells were calculated using a t test adjusted for multiple comparisons (Holm–Sidak) in GraphPad Prism 8.0.2.

Mitochondrial membrane potential measurements

The JC-1 dye (Thermo Fisher) is membrane-permeable and undergoes a fluorescence emission shift from red to green upon mitochondrial depolarization, typically an early feature of apoptosis (75). K562 cells were plated in 24-well plates (1 ml) and exposed to josamycin or a control drug (antimycin A or carbonyl cyanide p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP)) at various concentrations and times. JC-1 was added to cells at 2.5 μg/ml with slight vortexing, and the cells were incubated for 25 min at 37 °C. Subsequently, cells were centrifuged, washed with drug-containing PBS, and resuspended in 200 μl of drug-containing PBS. Fluorescence shifts were measured on a BD Accuri C6. Statistical comparisons of log-transformed red/green (585/533 nm) ratios under different conditions were compared with an untreated control using one-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s test in GraphPad Prism 8.0.2.

Mitochondrial superoxide generation and acidity assays

The MitoSox (Molecular Probes; Thermo Fisher) dye selectively accumulates and detects superoxide generated in the mitochondria of live cells (76). As this localization depends on mitochondrial potential, we confirmed that drug-treated cells exhibited no changes in mitochondrial potential at early time points. Briefly, K562 cells were resuspended in RPMI at a density of 10^6 cells/ml. The MitoSox dye was then added to cells at 5 μM for 20 min at 37 °C in the dark. Cells were washed twice in Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution containing Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+} and the drug of interest, and resuspended in 300 μl of this buffer prior to flow cytometric analysis. Antimycin A was used to confirm that mitochondrial superoxide production generated a strong fluorescence signal shift. A cell-permeable SNARF-1 AM ester dye (Molecular Probes; C-1272) was used to study the effects of josamycin on cytoplasmic and endosomal acidification. K562 cells were plated overnight (16 h) with drug. The next day, cells were resuspended in PBS containing 7 μM of SNARF-1 AM ester dye for 30 min. For calibration, the ionophore nigericin was used in a high K^+ buffer, as previously described (77). Using a BD Accuri C6, we detected 670 nm/585 nm fluorescence ratios; lower ratios correspond to lower intracellular pH. Statistical comparisons of log-transformed base/acid (670/585 nm) ratios under different conditions were compared with an untreated control using one-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s test in GraphPad Prism 8.0.2.

Western blot reagents and procedures

For mitochondrial translation blot experiments, Mtc02 (Abcam, 110258) and Cox4 (sc-517553) were used, along with the secondary antibody HRP goat anti-mouse (minimal cross-reactivity) Poly 4053 clone (BioLegend, 405306). Cells were washed twice in cold PBS and lysed in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer (Fisher Scientific) containing HALT protease and phosphatase inhibitor mixture (Fisher Scientific) on ice for 20 min. After centrifugation, lysates were boiled for 5–10 min in Laemmli buffer containing reducing agent, and ~15 μg/sample per well was loaded onto two parallel SDS-PAGE gels. Semi-dry transfer to a PVDF membrane was performed with the Trans-Blot Turbo System (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked with 5% BSA in phosphate buffer saline, 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST) for 1 h at room temperature and stained with primary antibody (generally 1:1000 concentration) overnight at 4 °C. Membranes were washed (three times, 5 min) in PBST before and after secondary antibody incubation (1:2000 concentration for 1 h, room temperature). Membranes were incubated with Pierce ECL Plus Western blot substrate (Thermo Fisher).

RT-qPCR reagents and procedures

RT-qPCR was used to confirm the efficacy of shRNA-mediated knockdown of target mRNA levels. Total RNA was isolated from multiple nontargeting shRNA control cell lines and knockdown cell lines in parallel using the RNEasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) with the RNase-free DNase set (Qiagen). Briefly, 150 ng of total RNA was reverse-transcribed and amplified using the QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR Kit in 20-μl reactions containing total RNA (3 μl), 2× SYBR Green Mix (10 μl), 0.5 μM gene-specific primers (0.2 μl), QuantiTect RT Mix (0.2 μl), and RNase-free water (5.6 μl), following the manufacturer’s recommended protocols. One-step RT-qPCR was carried out on a QuantStudio3 (Applied Biosystems) under the following conditions: 30 min at 50 °C followed by 95 °C for 15 min and then 40 cycles of 15 s denaturation at 94 °C, 30 s of annealing at
53.5 °C, and 30 s extension at 72 °C. Reaction products were confirmed by DNA gel electrophoresis.

Target gene primers were designed in PrimerQuest (IDT), and sequences are given in Table S4. Gene expression across nontargeting shRNA control cell lines and knockdown cell lines was first normalized to levels of the ribosomal protein RPL19 using a primer and method described previously (25). Normalized, log-transformed gene expression values (cycle thresholds) were used for statistical analyses. Expression of a target gene in the knockdown cell lines was compared with expression in the two negative control cell lines using one-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s test in GraphPad Prism 8.0.2.

**Cellular bioenergetic assays**

The Seahorse XF analyzer (Agilent) allows real-time measurements of the cellular oxygen consumption rate and extracellular acidification rate. The Cell Mito Stress Test Kit and Real-Time ATP Rate Assay Kit were used to probe cellular mitochondrial function and metabolic changes, respectively, upon macrolide administration. All drug and medium reagents were from Agilent. Calibration, and procedures were performed as specified by the manufacturer. Briefly, K562 or HUVECs were treated with drug (or vehicle control) for 16 h overnight in RPMI medium or EGM-2. Cells were then washed twice in PBS containing the appropriate drug or control and resuspended in Seahorse XF RPMI medium or DMEM (pH 7.4) supplemented with 10 mM glucose, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 4 mM glutamate, and the appropriate drug or control. K562 cells were seeded in triplicate at 50,000 cells/well in poly-l-lysine–coated Seahorse XFp cell culture miniplates. HUVECs were seeded and spun down at 30,000 cells/well in noncoated plates. Adhesion was confirmed visually, and the plate was incubated in a non-CO₂ incubator for at least 30 min. For the Mito Stress Test Kit, all drugs (oligomycin, FCCP, rotenone, and antimycin A) were used at 1 μM. The real-time ATP rate assay was conducted in the presence of 1.5 μM oligomycin and 0.5 μM rotenone or antimycin A. The online Seahorse Report Generator aided graphical analysis of mitochondrial parameters. The statistical significance of the difference in basal and maximal respiration between DMSO- and drug-treated cells was calculated using a test adjusted for multiple comparisons (Holm–Sidak) in GraphPad Prism 8.0.2. The statistical significance of differences in the individual ATP production rates attributable to glycolysis or oxidative phosphorylation between DMSO- and drug-treated cells was calculated similarly.
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