Optical conductivity of nodal metals
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Fermi liquid theory is remarkably successful in describing the transport and optical properties of metals; at frequencies higher than the scattering rate, the optical conductivity adopts the well-known power-law behavior \( \sigma(\omega) \propto \omega^{-\gamma} \). We have observed an unusual non-Fermi liquid response \( \sigma(\omega) \propto \omega^{-1.2} \) in the ground states of several cuprate and iron-based materials which undergo electronic or magnetic phase transitions resulting in dramatically reduced or nodal Fermi surfaces. The identification of an inverse (or fractional) power-law behavior in the residual optical conductivity now permits the removal of this contribution, revealing the direct transitions across the gap and allowing the nature of the electron-boson coupling to be probed. The non-Fermi liquid behavior in these systems may be the result of a common Fermi surface topology of Dirac cone-like features in the electronic dispersion.

\[
\text{In a Fermi liquid, the complex conductivity } \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma_1 + i\sigma_2 \text{ can be expressed through the generalized Drude model, } \tilde{\sigma}(\omega) = \left( \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega} \right) / \left( 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega} \right) \text{ (in units of } \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{), where } \omega_p = 4\pi e^2/\epsilon m \text{ and } m = m_0/\omega^2 \text{ are the plasma frequency, frequency-dependent scattering rate and mass enhancement, respectively, where } n \text{ is a carrier concentration and } m_0 \text{ is the band mass. At low-temperature the scattering rate will vary quadratically with frequency and temperature, } 1/\tau(\omega, T) = 1/\tau_0 + A(\hbar \omega)^2 + (2\hbar k_0 T)^2, \text{ where } A \text{ is a constant that varies with the material}^{12}. \text{ In the frequency domain, for } \omega \tau < 1 \text{ the conductivity varies slowly, but for } \omega \tau > 1 \text{ the conductivity adopts a power-law behavior, } \sigma_1 \propto \omega^{-1.2}; \text{ however, deviations from this behavior may be observed in strongly-correlated electronic systems}^{2}. \]

**Results**

The temperature dependence of the optical conductivity of optimally-doped \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \), one of the most thoroughly studied cuprate high-temperature superconductors, is shown versus wave number (photon energy) in a log-log plot in Fig. 1a for light polarized along the crystallographic a axis. Just above \( T_c \), it may be argued that the optical properties are consistent with those of a Fermi liquid (see Supplementary Information and Fig. S1 online for a discussion of different models for the optical conductivity and the frequency-dependent scattering rate); this statement is in keeping with the proposed phase diagram for the high-temperature superconductors. Below \( T_c \), there is a rapid reduction of the low-frequency conductivity or spectral weight, which is defined as the area under the conductivity curve; this ‘missing spectral weight’ is the optical signature for the formation of a superconducting condensate. However, even down to the lowest measured temperature there is still a significant amount of low-frequency residual conductivity. This is because, unlike a conventional s-wave superconductor in which the entire Fermi surface is completely gapped below \( T_c \), the cuprate materials have a momentum-dependent d-wave gap that contains nodes12-15, \( \Delta(k) = \Delta_0 \left[ \cos(k_x a) - \cos(k_y a) \right] \), where \( \Delta_0 \) is the gap maximum.

The presence of nodes allows pair-breaking out of the superconducting state resulting in unpaired nodal quasiparticles. For low photon energies (\( \hbar \omega \ll 2\Delta_0 \)) only the nodal structure of the d-wave gap is probed and the Fermi surface topology is similar to that of the Dirac cone observed in graphene and other quantum materials. The rapid collapse of the quasiparticle scattering rate12 below \( T_c \) indicates that in the far-infrared region \( \omega \tau \gg 1 \), so \( \sigma_1 \propto \omega^{-1.2} \) should be clearly revealed. Surprisingly, what is observed instead is that below \( T_c \) low-frequency residual optical conductivity forms a family of lines with the same non-Fermi liquid fractional power law behavior \( \sigma_1 \propto \omega^{-1.2} \), in metallic systems at low-frequency where \( \sigma_1 \gg \sigma_2 \), this is approximately equivalent to the scattering rate having a fractional power law behavior \( 1/\tau \propto \omega^{1.2} \). Another family of cuprates that has been extensively investigated are the \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7+y} \) materials. The optical conductivity of optimally-doped \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7+y} \) is shown in Fig. 1b for light polarized along the a axis; this crystallographic axis is transverse to the copper-oxygen chains and should therefore probe the dynamics of only the copper-oxygen planes. Well below \( T_c \), the observed power law for the residual conductivity \( \sigma_1 \propto \omega^{-1.2} \) is identical to the response observed in optimally-doped \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \). The underdoped \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.60} \) sample is of particular interest due to the...
formation of a pseudogap in the normal state and the commensurate reduction of the Fermi surface around the nodal regions, a condition that has been referred to as ‘a nodal metal’. The optical conductivity for this material is shown in Fig. 1c for light polarized along the a axis. Just above \( T_c \) in the normal state the low-frequency optical conductivity may be described using a non-Fermi liquid power-law, \( \sigma_1(\omega) \propto \omega^{-\gamma} \); however, what is fascinating is that well below \( T_c \) the response of the unpaired quasiparticles displays the identical fractional power law. This indicates the (unpaired) quasiparticles appear to behave in the same way regardless of whether it is the pseudogap that results in the reduction of a large Fermi surface to a small arc or pocket, or the formation of a d-wave superconducting energy gap resulting in nodes. This non-Fermi liquid power-law behavior in the underdoped material has been previously observed in the microwave experiments done in the microwave limit [1 \( \omega \approx 1 \) limit, suggesting that the relaxation processes in these two regimes may be different. Surprisingly, recent results on the single-layer, underdoped cuprate HgBa\(_2\)CuO\(_4\) demonstrate that it displays Fermi-liquid-like behavior, indicating that the nature of the underdoped (pseudogap) region in the cuprate materials is still controversial.

Interestingly, an almost identical behavior has also been observed in the AF\(_{\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2}\) (\( A = \text{Ba and Ca}) \) iron-arsenic compounds. In Ba\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_2\)As\(_2\) a spin-density-wave (SDW) state develops below \( T_{\text{SDW}} \approx 138 \) K, resulting in the formation of a Dirac-like cone in the electronic dispersion close to the Fermi surface with small pockets or puddles. The frequency-dependent scattering rate has a clear quadratic component just above \( T_{\text{SDW}} \), suggesting the non-magnetic state of this material may be described as a Fermi liquid (see Supplementary Fig. S2a online); when the SDW transition is removed by Co substitution, the quadratic behavior persists from 295 K down to 27 K (see Supplementary Fig. S2b online). The optical conductivity of Ba\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_2\)As\(_2\) is shown in Fig. 1d; for \( T \ll T_{\text{SDW}} \) we once again observe the fractional power law in the residual low-frequency optical conductivity, \( \sigma_1 \propto \omega^{-1.2} \), similar to that seen in the ground state of several of the cuprates. The identical power law is also observed in Ca\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_2\)As\(_2\) for \( T \ll T_{\text{SDW}} \) (see Supplementary Fig. S3 online).

**Discussion**

One important aspect of the fractional power law lies in its ability to remove the nodal quasiparticle (residual) response that masks the gap. In a superconductor, the real part of the optical conductivity at low frequencies may be expressed as the linear combination \( \sigma_1(\omega) = \sigma(0) + \sigma_{\text{qp}} + \sigma_{\text{gap}} + \cdots \), where \( \sigma(0) \) is the zero-frequency component that corresponds to the superfluid density, \( \sigma_{\text{qp}} \) is the conductivity due to the unpaired quasiparticles, and \( \sigma_{\text{gap}} \) is the contribution due to direct excitations across the gap. [In the normal state, \( \sigma(0) \) is absent and \( \sigma_{\text{qp}} \) is just the quasiparticle response from the whole Fermi surface.] Because we now have an explicit functional form for \( \sigma_{\text{qp}} \) for various materials, then for \( \omega > 0 \) we neglect \( \sigma(0) \) and the low-frequency response is \( \sigma_{\text{gap}} = \sigma_1(\omega) \). The conductivity due to the superconducting energy gap may be described phenomenologically using a Kubo-Greenwood approach in which all the zero-momentum transitions across the gap in the Brillouin zone are considered; in general terms, the optical conductivity due to the gap is a reflection of the joint density of states of the photo-excited electron and hole pairs. In a conventional superconductor with an isotropic energy gap \( \Delta \) and weak coupling to phonons (or any other exchange boson), then for \( T < T_c \) in systems at or close to the dirty limit \( [1/\tau_0 \approx 2\Delta \text{ where } 1/\tau_0 = 1/\tau(\omega \rightarrow 0) \), the onset of absorption will occur at \( \omega \approx \Delta \); for modest coupling, this onset shifts to \( \Omega_0 + 2\Delta \), where \( \Omega_0 \) is the energy of the boson. Similarly, in a d-wave superconductor in the dirty limit with weak coupling, the onset would be expected at \( \omega \approx \Delta \); however, for moderate coupling the onset should shift to \( \Omega_0 \) with a local maximum at \( \approx \Omega_0 + 2\Delta \).

The result for the removal of the quasiparticle contribution, \( \sigma_1(\omega) \) \( \sim \sigma_{\text{qp}} \) is shown in Fig. 2 for \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \) at \( \approx 6 \) K, well below \( T_c \); the resulting conductivity is effectively zero at low frequency and the onset of conductivity does not begin until \( \omega \approx 400 \) cm\(^{-1} \). This corresponds to the bosonic excitation at \( \Omega_0 \), the frequency above which a change occurs in the optical conductivity due to the strong renormalization of the scattering rate (see Fig. 1a). This indicates that there is at least moderate electron-phonon coupling in this material...
that the local maximum in the conductivity will be at \( \Omega_0 + 2\Delta_0 \). The inferred values of \( \Omega_0 \approx 50 \text{ meV} \) and \( \Delta_0 \approx 35 \text{ meV} \) are in good agreement with estimates for these quantities based on angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES), and are consistent with optical inversion techniques. This procedure may also be successfully applied to the YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+y}\) materials (see Supplementary Fig. S4 online), as well as the iron-based BaFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) and CaFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) materials in their SDW states (see Supplementary Fig. S5 online).

The significance of our finding is the common fractional power law behavior of the low-frequency optical conductivity (THz and far-infrared regions) in materials with Dirac cone-like electronic dispersion and nodal Fermi surfaces. More generally, the fractional power law behavior signals the importance of many-body effects in quantum materials with this unique electronic dispersion, where the fractional power law in conductivity is roughly equivalent to a nearly-linear frequency dependence of the scattering rate. Similar results have been found in single layer graphene in the linear dependence of the resistivity which is the result of electron-phonon (acoustic phonon) coupling. However, in the materials discussed here, the electron-phonon coupling is weak. The power-law behavior observed in this work is likely the result of the scattering of nodal quasiparticles by low-energy (bosonic) excitations, or possibly some unique self-energy effect of the Dirac-like quasiparticles. What is common for these systems is the existence of antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations (or over-damped spin density waves in the SDW materials), which may be the underlying mechanism that gives rise to the nearly linear frequency dependence of the scattering rate.

**Methods**

The temperature dependence of the absolute reflectance was measured at a near-normal angle of incidence over a wide frequency range using an in situ evaporation method. In this study mirror-like as-grown crystal faces have been examined. The complex optical properties were determined from a Kramers-Kronig analysis of the reflectance. The Kramers-Kronig transform requires that the reflectance be determined for all frequencies, thus extrapolations must be supplied in the \( \omega \rightarrow 0 \), \( \pm \infty \) limits. In the metallic state the low frequency extrapolation follows the Hagen-Rubens equation, \( R(\omega) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\sigma_{\text{opt}}} \), while in the superconducting state \( R(\omega) \propto 1 - \omega^2 \) is typically employed; however, it should be noted that when the reflectance is close to unity the analysis is not sensitive upon the choice of low-frequency extrapolation. The reflectance is assumed to be constant above the highest measured frequency point up to \( \approx 1 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), above which a free electron gas asymptotic reflectance extrapolation \( R(\omega) \propto 1/\omega^2 \) is employed.
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