Age at Menopause in Relationship to Lipid Changes and Subclinical Carotid Disease Across 20 Years: Study of Women’s Health Across the Nation
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BACKGROUND: Younger age at final menstrual period (FMP) is associated with increased risk for cardiovascular disease events. This paper evaluated whether older age at FMP is associated with more favorable patterns of lipid changes during the menopause transition and whether these changes are associated with less subclinical carotid disease in the postmenopausal years.

METHODS AND RESULTS: Lipids and lipoproteins were measured repeatedly among 1554 premenopausal women who had a natural menopause during follow-up years (median=18.8 years); a subset of 890 women also had measures of carotid intima media thickness, adventitial diameter, and plaque. Women who had an older FMP age had less adverse changes in cholesterol from 1 to 3 years after FMP, and in triglycerides from FMP to 3 years after FMP, but they had more adverse changes in ApoB and Apo A1 from 3 years before to 1 year after the FMP. Increasing cholesterol and ApoB from 1 to 3 years after FMP were associated with greater intima media thickness and adventitial diameter, and the greater likelihood of a plaque score >2 the older the age at FMP.

CONCLUSIONS: Despite the epidemiological literature showing early age at FMP is associated with elevated risk for cardiovascular disease events, older age at FMP had inconsistent associations with less adverse lipid changes in midlife, which did not translate into less risk for subclinical carotid disease and in some cases more risk. These findings are restricted to women who experience FMP in the normative age range for the menopausal transition.
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Younger age at menopause is associated with greater risk for coronary heart disease (CHD) and all-cause mortality. A meta-analysis of 32 studies showed that women who were younger than 45 years at the onset of menopause had a higher risk of CHD, cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality, and all-cause mortality compared with women who were aged >45 years at onset of menopause.1 However, the analysis also showed that women who reported menopause onset before 50 years of age with those who reported menopause at age 50–54 years showed no significant differences in risk for CVD and all-cause mortality. A recent analysis pooling individual data from 15 observational studies found that compared with women who reported natural menopause at ages 50–51 years (median age of menopause in most studies), women who had a younger age at menopause had increased risk for non-fatal CVD, CHD, and stroke before the age of 60 years; in contrast, women who had an older age at menopause after age 52 had a lower risk...
of CVD events.³ However, these relationships were attenuated for first-time CVD events between the ages of 60 and 70 years, and not apparent for first-time events at 70 years or later. These findings suggest that the impact of younger age at menopause may diminish with aging or time, or that risk is increased only for a window of time following menopause.

Traditional CVD risk factors may enhance our understanding of why age at menopause has an impact on women’s risk for CVD events. Risk factor trajectories may also change adversely during the menopause transition, such that women who have an earlier menopause have longer cumulative exposure to elevated CVD risk factors in the postmenopausal years. This suggests that risk factor changes are not an acute response to menopause but long-lasting, ie, continue to show adverse effects for many years. Several studies suggest that lipids increase around the time of the final menstrual period (FMP) and presumably continue to have an adverse effect thereafter.⁷⁻⁹ However, it is not known whether the magnitude of increase differs by age at FMP, ie, whether women who have an early age at menopause are not only exposed earlier in life to adverse lipid changes but that the changes are larger compared with women who have a later age at menopause, or conversely whether women who have a later age at menopause have smaller or less adverse changes in lipids and are thereby more protected.

In the SWAN (Study of Women’s Health Across the Nation) study, women’s total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and ApoB increased within the period from 1 year before and 1 year after the FMP, relative to the years before or after this 2-year interval.¹⁰ Similarly, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and Apo A1 also increased in the years approaching the FMP, relative to after the FMP. The magnitude of changes in LDL-C within 1 year of FMP was clinically relevant. Greater increases in LDL-C were related to greater likelihood of carotid plaque within the follow-up period.¹¹ In the Tromso Study, retrospectively assessed earlier age at menopause was associated with increased prevalence of carotid atherosclerosis in postmenopausal women.¹² The Pittsburgh Healthy Women Study also reported premenopausal levels of LDL-C, HDL-C, and triglycerides were strong predictors of postmenopausal carotid intima media thickness (IMT) and plaque, with change in LDL-C from premenopause to first year postmenopause tending to be larger among women with elevated postmenopausal plaque scores.¹³ Leveraging longitudinal SWAN data, the current paper used prospective measures of the menopause transition and lipids, followed by carotid assessment later in life, to address 2 inter-related questions: (1) Do women who are older at FMP have less adverse lipid changes around the FMP and thereafter into the postmenopausal years? (2) Do the hypothesized less adverse changes associated with older age at FMP predict less carotid plaque, IMT, and adventitial diameter measures later in life?

**METHODS**

**Participants**

SWAN is a multi-site observational study of women who were recruited when premenopausal and
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**Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- AD: adventitial diameter
- FMP: final menstrual period
- IMT: intima media thickness
- SWAN: Study of Women’s Health Across the Nation
- TC: total cholesterol
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**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

**What Is New?**

- Younger age at menopause is associated with greater risk for coronary heart disease but the role of lipid changes associated with age at menopause is not established.
- In this longitudinal study of midlife women, those who had an older age at their final menstrual period had less adverse changes in cholesterol and triglycerides in the early postmenopausal years but more adverse changes in apolipoproteins during the menopause transition.
- Unexpectedly, the magnitude of associations between adverse changes in lipids and apoB during the early postmenopausal years and later subclinical carotid disease increased with older age at menopause.

**What Are the Clinical Implications?**

- Age at final menstrual period has little overall benefit on lipid patterns during the transition.
- It is unlikely that an early age at menopause is related to elevated risk of cardiovascular disease through final menstrual period age-related lipid patterns.
- Lipid changes should be monitored frequently as women approach the menopause, regardless of their age.

---

**AD**

adventitial diameter

**FMP**

final menstrual period

**IMT**

intima media thickness

**SWAN**

Study of Women’s Health Across the Nation

**TC**

total cholesterol
between the ages of 42 and 52 years, not pregnant or breastfeeding, not on hormone therapy (HT) including birth control medications, had at least 1 menstrual period in the 3 months before study entry, and identified with a site’s designated race/ethnic groups. All sites enrolled White women and 1 of the 4 ethnic/racial groups: Black (Boston, MA; Detroit area MI, Chicago, IL; and Pittsburgh, PA); Chinese (Oakland, CA); Japanese (Los Angeles, CA), and Hispanic (Newark, NJ). A total of 3302 participants were recruited between 1995 to 1997 and followed approximately annually thereafter with longer between evaluation intervals in the later follow-up years. Each site’s Institutional Review Board approved the study protocols, and all women gave written informed consent before participation.

All supporting data are available within the article (and its Data Supplement). SWAN provides access to public use data sets that include data from SWAN screening, baseline, and follow-up visits (https://agingresearchbiobank.nia.nih.gov/ and http://www.swansudy.org/swan-research/data-access/). To preserve participant confidentiality, some, but not all, of the data are contained in the public use data sets. Investigators who require assistance accessing the public use data set may contact the SWAN Coordinating Center (swanaccess@edc.pitt.edu).

The present analyses are based on 2 analytic samples selected from the 3299 women who had at least 1 lipid value during the SWAN protocol out of the total 3302 SWAN women. The first analytic sample addressed the association of age at FMP on lipid changes from the baseline visit (1995–1997) to visit 15 (2015–2017) among 1554 women who had natural menopause with an observed FMP date (defined below). Of the women who had no observed FMP or lipid values, 541 had dropped out of the study when still menstruating and 262 had a hysterectomy or bilateral oophorectomy. The second analytic sample was based on a subset of the 1554 women in the first sample and addressed the association of age at FMP on the relationships between lipid changes and carotid measures. This sample included 890 who had carotid ultrasound measurement available at visit 12 (n=862) or 13 (n=28) (2009–2013).

Menopausal Status and Date of FMP

Menopausal status was assessed at each evaluation based on bleeding patterns and medical history. Natural menopause was defined as no bleeding for at least 12 months not attributable to hysterectomy or oophorectomy; FMP was identified as the date of the last menstrual period reported in the visit immediately before the first visit that a woman was classified as postmenopausal.

Carotid Ultrasound Measures

Carotid ultrasound measures were obtained at 6 of the 7 SWAN sites using a Terason t3000 Ultrasound System (Teratech Corp, Burlington, MA) equipped with a variable frequency 5–12 MHz linear array transducer. Two digitized images for later reading were obtained of the near and far wall from each of the left and right distal common carotid artery, 1 cm proximal to the carotid bulb. IMT measures were obtained by electronically tracing the lumen-intima interface and the media-adventitia interface across a 1-cm segment for each of these 4 segments; 1 measurement was generated for each pixel over the area, for a total of ~140 measures for each segment. The average values for these measures were recorded for all 4 locations, with the mean of the average at all 4 locations used in analyses. Presence and extent of plaque were evaluated in each of 5 segments of the left and right carotid artery (distal and proximal common carotid artery, carotid bulb, and proximal internal and external carotid arteries). Consistent with the Mannheim definition of plaque, plaque was defined as a distinct area protruding into the vessel lumen that was at least 50% thicker than the adjacent IMT and summarized as the presence or absence of any plaque. For each segment, the degree of plaque was graded as follows: 0 (no observable plaque), 1 (1 small plaque, <30% vessel diameter); 2 (1 medium plaque between 30%–50% of vessel diameter or multiple small plaques); and 3 (plaque covering ≥50% of the vessel diameter). The grades from all segments of the combined left and right carotid artery were summed to create the carotid plaque index. Technicians at each of the 6 study sites were trained by the University of Pittsburgh Ultrasound Research Laboratory and monitored during the study period for reliability. Carotid scan images were read centrally at the SWAN Ultrasound Reading Center using the AMS software developed by Dr. Thomas Gustavsson that has an edge detection algorithm. Reproducibility of IMT and plaque measures was excellent.

Sample Characteristics and Lipid Measures

Self-identified race/ethnicity and education attainment (college degree, some college, and high school or less) were determined from the SWAN screening interview. Age, smoking status (current, past, or never), chronic health conditions and major surgeries, medications, physical activity, and menopausal status were derived from questionnaires and interviews administered during the clinic visits, including the visit concurrent with the carotid measures. Self-reported cardiovascular events recorded were myocardial infarction, stroke, and angina. Women were defined as ever user of HT if they reported use of HT at any time point in the study.
up to visit 15. Height and weight were measured at all visits and body mass index was calculated in kg/m². After women were seated for 5 minutes with feet flat on the ground and legs uncrossed, their blood pressure was measured twice by trained and certified staff using an appropriately sized arm cuff. Blood pressure was the average of 2 measures. Current medications were coded into the following categories: antihypertensive, anti-diabetic, and lipid-lowering.

Phlebotomy was performed in the morning following overnight (min 10-hour) fast. Blood was separated, frozen (−80 °C), and sent on dry ice to Medical Research Laboratory, Cincinnati for clinical visit 0–7, and visits 9, 12, 13 and 15 to University of Michigan Pathology Laboratory, both are CLIA-certified and accredited by the College of American Pathologists. The exception to the above was that Apo A1 and ApoB for visits 12 and 13 were measured at the University of Pittsburgh Heinz Laboratory, which is also CLIA-certified. Lipid fractions were determined from EDTA-treated plasma. TC and triglycerides concentrations were determined by coupled enzymatic methods. HDL-C was isolated based upon the method of Izawa et al. LDL-C was calculated based on the Friedewald equation for values with triglycerides <400 mg/dL. Apo A1 and ApoB were measured by immunonephelometry calibrated with a World Health Organization traceable standard. Because of fiscal issues, lipid values were not available at visit 2, 8, and 10; visits 11 and 14 did not include a blood draw.

Calibration analyses were conducted because several different laboratories performed the assays over time. In brief, a random sample was drawn across the full range of values, with checks for the distribution of the selected sample by menopausal status, race/ethnicity, and study visit to assure adequate representation of the full cohort. For TC, LDL-C, and triglycerides, 340 samples were drawn, and for Apo A1 and B, 100 samples were drawn. Based on these analyses, correction factors were applied to convert the University of Michigan and University of Pittsburgh results to those of the Medical Research Laboratory.

Blood for estradiol was drawn during the early follicular phase in regularly menstruating women and within 90 days of the recruitment anniversary date for women who were unable to provide a follicular phase sample or had ceased menstruating. At the University of Michigan laboratory only, estradiol assays were conducted in duplicate and measured with a modified, offline ACS-180 (E2–6) immunoassay.

**Statistical Analysis**

Our first step was to compare summary statistics of the characteristics of women in the first analytic sample to (1) those who were not, and (2) to women in the second analytic sample.

In the first analytic sample, we used an empirical method to visually identify slopes over time of lipid and lipoprotein levels and the time points at which the slopes changed, with time anchored relative to the FMP. The total number of observations 11 years before the FMP and up to 16 years after the FMP for the lipid parameters across all women ranged from 13 245 to 11 776. Using LOESS plots with smoothing set at 0.70 and values anchored at the FMP, we visually identified 3 time points demarcating change in slope for TC, LDL-C, and ApoB at 3 years before FMP, at 1 year after FMP, and 3 years after FMP; and 2 time points for triglycerides and ApoA1 at FMP and 3 years after FMP. HDL-C had no obvious change in slope and increased linearly across time (see Figure S1 for LOESS plots). The chosen segments can be mapped onto menopausal stages of late reproductive, early transition, late transition, early postmenopause (starting after FMP), and into late postmenopause based on the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop +1024 (Figure 1).

After the time points were visually identified, we tested whether slopes within the time points differed using piece-wise linear mixed effect models. To be in this analysis, women had to have at least 2 lipid measures for a

**Figure 1.** Illustration of menopausal stages based on the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop+1024 in relationship to changes in slope of lipids in present analysis.

FMP indicates final menstrual period; and LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
given lipid parameter. Note that a significant beta within segment means that the slope differs from 0. A linear mixed effect model was used to estimate the slope for HDL-C since the association appeared to be linear relative to the FMP. We also calculated lipid slopes in the women in the carotid analysis and found the same results as in the full sample (data not shown).

The next step in the analyses examined whether age at FMP influenced the magnitude of change in lipid parameter within each time segment as noted above. Piece-wise linear mixed effect models tested the interaction of age at FMP and time segment (including age at FMP and time segment) with no additional adjustment for covariates (model 0), adjustment for site, race/ethnicity, education, and age at baseline (model 1), and model 1 covariates plus time varying lipid lowering medications, CVD events, smoking status, body mass index, and HT (model 2). A sensitivity analysis also adjusted for time-varying estradiol levels. They showed no differences in results and are not discussed further.

To assess whether the changes in lipids would be related to subclinical measures of IMT, adventitial diameter (AD), and carotid plaque ≥2 measured at visit 12/13, woman-specific annual changes in the lipid in each of the identified time segment were estimated using the random effects from piece-wise linear mixed effect models, adjusted for study site and ethnicity/race, and then regressed on each subclinical measure of carotid outcome using either linear or logistic regression as appropriate. For each subclinical carotid outcome, the interaction term of age at FMP by woman-specific annual changes in lipids in each menopausal segment was then tested. Model 0 was conducted including age at FMP and annual changes in lipids in each menopausal segment means that the slope differs from 0. A linear mixed effect model was used to estimate the slope for HDL-C since the association appeared to be linear relative to the FMP. We also calculated lipid slopes in the women in the carotid analysis and found the same results as in the full sample (data not shown).

Patterns of Change in Lipids in Relationship to FMP
Piece-wise mixed effect models with adjustments for study site and ethnicity/race showed that for TC, LDL-C, and ApoB, there was little or no statistically significant change up to 3 years before the FMP, but a substantial increase from 3 years before to 1 year after the FMP (see Figure 2 and Table 2). Thereafter, ApoB continued to increase from 1 year after FMP through the end of the follow-up period, whereas TC and LDL-C declined. Triglyceride levels increased through 3 years post-FMP, and then declined, whereas Apo A1 levels increased in the period approaching FMP, flattened, and then declined 3 years after FMP. The pairwise comparisons of each segment within woman demonstrated that changes for TC, LDL-C, and ApoB were larger in the time period 3 years before the FMP to 1 year compared with the time segments immediately before or after that segment (Table 2 right columns). HDL-C increased in a linear fashion over the follow-up period.

Patterns of Change in Lipids According to Age at FMP
Age at FMP was associated with the change in lipid levels at some time segments relative to FMP in fully adjusted models (Models 2, Table 3). Age at FMP was unassociated with the increase in TC, LDL-C, and triglycerides during the years immediately before FMP and in the case of TC and LDL-C 1 year after FMP as well. However, older age at FMP was associated with a greater decline in TC and LDL-C from 1 to 3 years post-FMP and in triglycerides from FMP to 3 years post-FMP. For example, during this early postmenopausal period, the annual rate of change of TC is estimated to be 0.603 mg/dL lower for each additional year of age at FMP. The older the age at FMP the greater the increase in ApoB in the segments up to 3 years before the FMP and from 3 years before to

RESULTS
Sample Characteristics
Compared to all excluded women at baseline (n=748; data not shown), women in the first analytic sample (n=1554) were more likely to be Chinese and Japanese Americans and less likely to be Hispanic or current smokers; there were no differences in educational attainment, age, body mass index, estradiol, or lipid measures, except that triglyceride levels were slightly lower, P=0.06.

In the first analytic sample, the largest racial/ethnic group was White women, followed by Black, Japanese, Chinese, and Hispanic women (Table 1 left column). As a group, the women were well educated with the majority having at least some college. At baseline, they tended to be overweight, and few were on lipid-lowering medications or were current smokers. Lipid values on average were within the normal range. The baseline characteristics of the second analytic sample (n=890) were similar to those of the first analytic sample except that Japanese women were not included.
1 year after the FMP, whereas the older the age at FMP the smaller the increase and/or greater the decline in Apo A1 before FMP and for 3 years thereafter. The pattern of significant interaction results with FMP age (continuous) is illustrated in Figure 3 for women categorized into 3 FMP age groups. Taken together, women who had an older age at FMP had less adverse changes in TC, LDL-C, and triglycerides after FMP, but worse changes in ApoB and ApoA1 during the menopausal transition.

Association of Woman-Specific Lipid Changes With Subclinical Carotid Measures According to Age at FMP
Mean IMT and AD were 0.79 mm (SD=0.12) and 7.20 mm (SD=0.66), respectively. The number of women with carotid plaque index scores <2 and ≥2 was 658 (74%) and 227 (26%), respectively.

Tests for interactions of age at FMP and woman-specific slopes in TC, LDL-C, and ApoB were significant only for the period 1–3 years post-FMP in relationship to subclinical carotid measures (Table 4). Interactions with age at FMP for these lipid measures during other time segments or for other lipid measures were not related to subclinical CVD measures. The positive beta coefficients indicate that greater lipid increases (or smaller declines) from 1 to 3 years postmenopause were associated with increasingly greater IMT, AD, and risk for carotid plaque with every year older age at FMP. Figure 4 illustrates the pattern of results for 3 women categorized into 3 FMP age groups and to whether they were in the highest, medium, and

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Women With an Observed FMP With At Least 1 Lipid Value and Its Subset Also With At Least 1 Subclinical Cardiovascular Measure

| Variables                      | Women With Observed FMP Plus Lipids (n=1554) | Women With Observed FMP Plus Lipids and Subclinical CVD Measure (n=890) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age at baseline, mean (SD), y  | 46.41 (2.70)                                  | 46.28 (2.66)                                                             |
| Age at final menstrual period, mean (SD), y | 52.07 (2.85)                                  | 52.15 (2.87)                                                             |
| Race, n (%)                    |                                                |                                                                          |
| White                          | 668 (43.0%)                                    | 407 (45.7%)                                                              |
| Black                          | 459 (29.5%)                                    | 285 (32.0%)                                                              |
| Chinese                        | 147 (9.5%)                                     | 136 (15.3%)                                                              |
| Hispanic                       | 108 (7.0%)                                     | 62 (7.0%)                                                                |
| Japanese                       | 172 (11.1%)                                    | 0                                                                        |
| Education, n (%)               |                                                |                                                                          |
| <High school                   | 378 (24.55)                                    | 208 (23.64)                                                              |
| Some college                   | 492 (31.95)                                    | 273 (31.02)                                                              |
| College/Postgraduate           | 670 (43.51)                                    | 399 (45.34)                                                              |
| Menopausal status, n (%)       |                                                |                                                                          |
| Premenopause                   | 884 (57.1%)                                    | 521 (58.8%)                                                              |
| Early perimenopause            | 663 (42.9%)                                    | 365 (41.2%)                                                              |
| Lipid-lowering medications, n (%) yes | 14 (0.9%)                                      | 7 (0.8%)                                                                |
| Body mass index, mean (SD), kg/m² | 28.22 (7.39)                                   | 28.33 (7.15)                                                             |
| Smoking                        |                                                |                                                                          |
| Never                          | 913 (58.8%)                                    | 564 (63.4%)                                                              |
| Former                         | 387 (24.9%)                                    | 200 (22.5%)                                                              |
| Current                        | 253 (16.3%)                                    | 126 (14.2%)                                                              |
| Estradiol, median (q1, q3), pg/mL | 55.12 (32.58, 87.08)                          | 55.12 (34.05, 86.25)                                                    |
| Self-reported prior angina or myocardial infarction | 20 (1.3%)  | 0                                                                        |
| Total cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL | 194.79 (34.49)                               | 192.92 (33.67)                                                           |
| High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL | 56.39 (14.21)                              | 56.55 (13.81)                                                            |
| Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL | 116.34 (31.21)                             | 114.74 (30.22)                                                           |
| Apo A1, mean (SD), mg/dL       | 149.91 (24.05)                                 | 150.00 (24.02)                                                           |
| ApoB, mean (SD), mg/dL         | 111.54 (30.07)                                 | 109.96 (29.25)                                                           |
| Triglycerides, median (q1, q3), mg/dL | 89 (65.00, 128.00)                         | 89 (64.00, 127.00)                                                      |

CVD indicates cardiovascular disease; and FMP: final menstrual period.
lowest tertile of lipid slopes in the early postmenopausal period. Results were consistent with the significant interactions with FMP age as a continuous variable. In the oldest FMP age group, IMT and AD increased with increasing slopes of TC, LDL-C, and ApoB, whereas in the youngest FMP group the opposite pattern was demonstrated. For plaque index score >2 a less striking pattern was observed for oldest age at FMP group with increasing slopes of TC and LDL-C. Thus, despite older age at FMP being associated with greater declines in TC, LDL-C, and triglycerides during the early postmenopausal period, lipid increases during this period were still associated with higher risk for subclinical carotid disease to a greater extent among women who had an older age at FMP. Stated differently, lipid increases were associated with lower risk for subclinical carotid disease among women who had a younger age at FMP.

DISCUSSION

The paper addresses whether age at FMP is associated with the pattern of change in lipids during the menopausal transition and the post-menopausal years and whether the pattern of changes associated with age at FMP is associated with subsequent risk of carotid atherosclerosis.

Patterns of Change Across the Menopause Transition

Results showed that the lipid changes did not yield just 1 pattern. HDL-C exhibited a linear increase across the follow-up period with no obvious change relative to FMP. TC and LDL-C levels increased dramatically throughout the menopausal transition up to the first-year postmenopause, followed by a gradual small decline in the postmenopausal years. ApoB increased during the menopausal transition but, in contrast to cholesterol and LDL-C, the increases continued into the postmenopausal years. Triglycerides and Apo A1 both exhibited significant increases until 3 years post-FMP and then declined. Of interest is that ApoB, Apo A1, and triglycerides showed differences in the magnitude of annual change in the years 1–3 post-FMP versus >3 years post-FMP, pointing to the importance of gaining better scientific understanding of the postmenopausal stages of reproductive aging and their distinct relevance for CVD risk. Taken together, with the exception of HDL-C, the lipid changes that occur in the perimenopause and beyond are consistent with the adverse effect of reproductive aging on changes in lipid parameters.
Is Age at FMP Associated With Lipid Changes Across the Menopause Transition and Subclinical Carotid Disease?

We expected that later age at FMP would result in smaller increases in TC, LDL-C, and ApoB during the menopausal transition and perhaps smaller increases or greater declines in the postmenopausal period. This hypothesis was only partially confirmed. Consistent with expectations, TC, LDL-C, and triglycerides declined to a greater extent in the early postmenopausal years among women with an older age at menopause. Unexpectedly, women with a later age at FMP had greater increases in ApoB from the first observation to 1 year after the FMP and greater declines in ApoA1 from the first observation to FMP and 3 years post-FMP. These results do not yield a consistent picture that older age at FMP positively affects lipid changes during the menopause. Our findings are consistent with an analysis in the UK Medical Research Council Survey of Health and Development. In that analysis, age at FMP was not related to trajectories of log triglycerides, LDL-C, and HDL-C across 3 time points from ages of 53–69 years.25

Is Age at FMP Associated With Lipid Changes at Different Menopausal Stages?

Yes, but opposite to expectations. Among women who had an older age at FMP, the magnitude of changes in TC, LDL-C, and ApoB 1–3 years after FMP predicted greater carotid IMT, AD, and plaque index scores. Clearly, older age at FMP was not protective in terms of lipid changes associated with subclinical carotid disease during the postmenopausal period, although our earlier work showed that without consideration of age, older age at FMP was associated with greater carotid IMT, AD, and plaque index scores. Clearly, older age at FMP was not predictive in terms of carotid disease progression. Instead, our findings are consistent with an analysis in the UK Medical Research Council Survey of Health and Development. In that analysis, age at FMP was not related to trajectories of log triglycerides, LDL-C, and HDL-C across 3 time points from ages of 53–69 years.25

Table 2. Annual Changes in Lipids (mg/dL) Within Each Time Segment in Relationship to the FMP Adjusted for Study Site and Ethnicity and Their Comparison Within Participant From Piece-Wise Mixed Effect Models With Random Intercept (n=1554 Women)

| Lipid           | A. >3 y Before FMP Beta (SE) | P Value | B. 3 y before FMP to 1 y after FMP Beta (SE) | P Value | C. 1–3 y Post FMP Beta (SE) | P Value | D. >3 y Post FMP Beta (SE) | P Value | A vs B P Value | B vs C P Value | C vs D P Value |
|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Total cholesterol | 0.375 (0.167)                | 0.025   | 4.644 (0.240)                              | <.0001  | -1.438 (0.469)              | 0.002   | -0.650 (0.106)              | <.0001  | <.0001         | <.0001         | <.0001         |
| LDL-C           | -0.221 (0.156)               | 0.157   | 3.166 (0.209)                              | <.0001  | -1.455 (0.403)              | <.001   | -0.825 (0.084)              | <.0001  | <.0001         | <.0001         | <.0001         |
| ApoB            | -0.192 (0.134)               | 0.154   | 2.923 (0.188)                              | <.0001  | 1.854 (0.381)               | <.0001  | 0.401 (0.082)               | <.0001  | <.0001         | 0.034          | <.0001         |
| Log triglycerides | 0.015 (0.002)                | <.0001  | 0.014 (0.003)                              | <.0001  | -0.009 (0.001)              | <.0001  | 0.7148                      | <.0001  |                |                |                |
| Apo A1          | 2.504 (0.092)                | <.0001  | 0.287 (0.023)                              | <.001   | -0.710 (0.077)              | <.0001  |                | <.0001  |                |                | <.0001         |
| HDL-C           | 0.488 (0.018)                | <.0001  |                                            |         |                            |         |                            |         |                |                |                |

Bold text indicates p values that are less than .05.

FMP indicates final menstrual period; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; and LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
Table 3. Regression Coefficients Testing the Interaction between Age at FMP and Time Segments From the Mixed Effect Model Regression Analyses

| Age at FMP by menopause status | Total cholesterol | LDL-cholesterol | ApoB | Log triglycerides | Apo A1 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|------------------|--------|
|                               | β (SE)            | P Value         | β (SE) | P Value           | β (SE) | P Value |
| Model 0                       |                  |                 |      |                  |        |        |
| First observation to 3 y before FMP | 0.062 (0.063) | 0.33            | −0.027 (0.058) | 0.65 | 0.103 (0.053) | 0.051 |
| 3 y before to 1 y after FMP    | 0.0121 (0.086)  | 0.156           | 0.080 (0.072) | 0.27 | 0.229 (0.069) | <.001 |
| 1–3 y post-FMP                | −0.603 (0.162)  | <.001           | −0.497 (0.139) | <.001 | −0.223 (0.136) | 0.010 |
| >3 y post-FMP                 | −0.046 (0.041)  | 0.26            | −0.044 (0.033) | 0.184 | −0.102 (0.032) | 0.001 |
| Model 1                       |                  |                 |      |                  |        |        |
| First observation to 3 y before FMP | −0.051 (0.064) | 0.43            | −0.019 (0.058) | 0.75 | 0.110 (0.053) | 0.037 |
| 3 y before to 1 y after FMP    | 0.018 (0.086)   | 0.168           | 0.075 (0.073) | 0.30 | 0.228 (0.069) | 0.001 |
| 1–3 y post-FMP                | −0.611 (0.163)  | <.001           | −0.506 (0.139) | <.001 | −0.241 (0.136) | 0.077 |
| >3 y post-FMP                 | −0.043 (0.041)  | 0.29            | −0.040 (0.033) | 0.231 | −0.098 (0.032) | 0.002 |
| Model 2                       |                  |                 |      |                  |        |        |
| First observation to 3 y before FMP | −0.032 (0.056) | 0.57            | −0.010 (0.051) | 0.85 | 0.129 (0.046) | 0.005 |
| 3 y before to 1 y after FMP    | 0.058 (0.081)   | 0.052           | 0.105 (0.069) | 0.126 | 0.250 (0.067) | <.001 |
| 1–3 y post-FMP                | −0.525 (0.155)  | <.001           | −0.384 (0.131) | 0.003 | −0.009 (0.137) | 0.95 |
| >3 y post-FMP                 | −0.071 (0.050)  | 0.154           | −0.061 (0.040) | 0.131 | −0.059 (0.053) | 0.27 |

Model 0: Adjusted for age at FMP and woman-specific slope within time segments.
Model 1: Model 0 plus site, race, education, age at baseline.
Model 2: Model 1 plus ever smoking at baseline and time-varying lipid-lowering medication, cardiovascular events (stroke, heart attack, and angina), current smoker, body mass index, and hormone use.

Bold text indicates p values that are less than .05.
CVD indicates cardiovascular disease; FMP, final menstrual period; and LDL, low-density lipoprotein.
associated with higher IMT close to menopause but with lower IMT later in life. Thus, HDL-C and CVD risk may switch from protective to harmful during the transition.

Another puzzling finding is that despite the apparent benefit of later age at menopause for cholesterol and triglycerides levels in the first few postmenopausal years, this benefit did not translate into a reduced risk for subclinical carotid disease. In fact, it appears harmful. Several possibilities come to mind. One is that the benefit on lipid declines is too brief and small in magnitude and perhaps in later postmenopausal years one would observe some benefit. However, this would not explain why women who had a later age at FMP had elevated IMT, AD, and plaque index scores. Another possibility is that ApoB or the ratio of ApoB/Apo A1 is a more important determinant of later CVD risk than cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations in midlife women. In our analysis, women with a later age at FMP had greater annual increases in ApoB and greater declines in Apo A1 during the menopausal transition and did not differ thereafter from those with an earlier age at FMP. These relative changes of ApoB/Apo A1 may have offset any benefit of declines in total and LDL-C in the early postmenopausal period. Finally, the dissociation of LDL-C and ApoB changes after FMP may be because of smaller denser ApoB rich LDL particles being more frequent in postmenopausal women, while larger and buoyant LDL are decreased.

The study has a number of strengths. First, it had frequent sampling of lipids and lipoproteins through the natural menopause transition, resulting in a large number of observations on which to base its conclusions. Second, it controlled for significant covariates that would have an impact on both lipid trajectories and development of subclinical carotid disease. However, cardiovascular events were based on self-report because SWAN did not begin to adjudicate events until later in the follow-up. Third, it had prospective measures of menopausal status based on frequent assessments of menstrual bleeding and timing, and a multi-ethnic cohort of women participated. Fourth, it considered the influence of aging through its analytic approach.

Limitations include that a large minority of women were not classified as having a natural menopause because of surgery, use of HT, or withdrawing from SWAN before having an FMP. Thus, conclusions are restricted to women who had a natural menopause. Women who had an early menopause, ie, before aged 42 years, were not recruited for SWAN, and the epidemiological literature suggests that early menopause, ie,
perhaps before 40 or 45 years of age, might be most highly related prospectively to CVD events. This fact may also have affected the results of the UK Health and Development Study, which measured lipids only after the age of 53 years. It did not contrast the value of lipids measured at a younger age versus after the...
FMP, which may be useful in a future analysis. In the Healthy Women Study, premenopausal risk factors even in the normal range were strong predictors of postmenopausal coronary and aortic calcification.\textsuperscript{15,31} In fact, postmenopausal risk factors were unrelated to calcification in models that included premenopausal risk factors (except for blood pressure), suggesting that risk factors at presumably low risk levels at younger ages should be key targets for intervention.

In summary, the present study suggests that older age of menopause has little benefit on lipid patterns during the transition and may have some benefit post-FMP. However, those post-FMP benefits in lipids are paradoxically associated with risk for subclinical carotid disease. These findings are restricted to women who have a natural menopause within the normal age range of FMP. They suggest that it is unlikely that early age at menopause is associated with elevated risk for CVD events through more adverse changes in lipids.
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Figure S1. LOESS estimated means smoothed at .70 across the SWAN follow-up period relative to years since final menstrual period (FMP) using all available observations; solid line is the mean and dashed lines are 95% confidence intervals.

APO: apolipoprotein; FMP: final menstrual period; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein – cholesterol; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein – cholesterol