Synthesis and Characterization of Trimetallic Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite Composite Nanostructures
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The facile chemical synthesis method was used for preparing Trimetallic Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite composite nanostructures. The structural functionalities and morphological observations were obtained using characterization techniques of field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transfer infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). The results indicated the formation of irregular and no-shaped nanostructures for both Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite composite nanostructures. The optical characterization was conducted by UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-Vis DRS). The optical studies were revealed band-gap energies equivalent to 3.0 and 3.5 eV for Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite samples. The morphological features of sample were investigated with a Zeiss (EM10C-Germany) transmission electron microscope (TEM) operating at 100 kV respectively.
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1. Introduction

With the development of nanotechnology, catalysts made of transition metals have drawn a lot of attention due to their application in various areas including catalytic hydrogenation, rechargeable batteries, and wastewater treatment. Transition metals (Co, Fe, Ni, Mo, Mn, and Zn, etc.) exhibit significant advantages such as being inexpensive, non-toxic, and abundant in the earth. In this regard, Bonin et al. reviewed recent developments of electrochemical and photochemical CO2 reduction molecular catalysis with a metal-based Fe and Co complex. He et al. increased atomic utilization and electronic regulation for promoting ORR catalysis and proposed a practicable strategy for the Pt-Fe intermetallic catalysts’ synthesis. Youn et al. described the morphological evolution of Fe-Mo bimetallic catalysts for the vertically aligned carbon nanotubes’ diameter and density features modulation. The applications of N’O (ethylimino-methyl) phenol Fe(II) and Co(II) complexes in ethylene oligomerization catalysis and their structural elucidation were studied by Ngcobo et al. In artificial photosynthetic systems, hydrogen is generated with molecular catalysts of Co, Ni, Fe, and Mo. Du et al. carried out theoretical and experimental studies on metal-organic framecoated (M=Fe, Ni, Zn, and Mo) which were doped into Co3S2 nanoarrays as an efficient electrocatalyst for water splitting.

In the last few decades, various pollutants released into water environments have brought serious threats to human health and aquatic ecosystems. Therefore, several efforts have been dedicated to the synthesis of metallic nanoparticles as effective catalysts for the removal of such hazardous pollutants. Rahim Pouran et al. described a novel adsorbent and heterogeneous catalyst for wastewater treatment in a review study. They studied transition metal-substituted magnetite, H2O2, and formic acid were utilized as oxidants for the analyzing Fe (highly cost-effective and efficient metal) incorporation to Co or Ni-based Mo/Al2O3 catalysts in oxidative desulfurization (ODS) of Dibenzo thiophene (DBT). Xu et al. studied photoredox degradation of different water pollutants by using Fe-N-S-tri-doped TiO2 nano photocatalyst prepared by a novel chemical method. Kim et al. used Fe and Al electrodes and demonstrated the removal mechanism of heavy metals. Allaedini et al. synthesized trimetallic catalyst nanoparticles (Fe-Ni-Ce) to examine the catalytic degradation of methylene blue (MB) dye. Pirsaheb et al. defined the methyl orange removal from aqueous media with immobilized scoriaNi/TiO2 nanoparticles.

A combination of metallic and acidic sites in a single nanocomposite material has been attractive for catalytic application for several decades. High thermal stability, high acidity, and unique nanometric porous network of the zeolites made them the best candidates for introducing an acidic function. There are several catalytic reactions where metal-zeolite composite materials have been efficiently used. Zeolites with properties of porous structures have received a possibility as suitable hosts for the synthesis of nano-sized particles. Zeolite materials can be obtained via either the mining of naturally occurring phases or laboratory routes. They consist of alumina (Al2O3) and silica (SiO2) with
alkali or alkaline-earth metals, and water trapped molecules in gaps. Zeolites possess regular microspheres of one-, two- and three-dimensions creating a vast network of channels and cages with well-defined sizes and shapes. In this regard, Xu et al.17 presented a review report on the encapsulation of metal nanoparticle catalysts. Farrusseng and Tuel18 also exhibited perspectives and applications of zeolite-encapsulated metal nanoparticles in catalysis. Wu et al.19 explained the noble nanometals confinement in a zeolite matrix. They showed significant benefits in size and site adjustment, and nano-architecture design. A novel supercluster with a structural geometry was the result of CdS nanoparticles’ direct synthesis.20 Wang and Herron21 reported the preparation and optical studies of CdS and PbS semiconductor clusters encapsulated in zeolite structures. Pt-Sn sub-nanometer clusters in sinusoidal zeolite channels were described by M. Weckhuysen22 and lead to the improved and more stable propane dehydrogenation catalysts. The activity and stability of H-ZSM-5 zeolite considering the impact of Fe-Mo, Co-Mo, and Ni-Mo bimetallic additives was investigated for the isomerization of 1-hexene. Ito et al.24 reported the removal of sulfamethazine with TiO2–zeolite composites in good yield. Jagannatha et al.25 reported the ZnO Zeolite Nanocomposite synthesis for the Benzophenone and Caffeine Photocatalytic removal. It showed 85% caffeine degradation and 78% of BP-3 degradation. 0.7 gm/L was the optimum catalyst load for the degradation of pollutants, as evidenced by the studies.

In recent years, several researchers have sought the effect of trimetallic catalysts made of transition metals on catalytic capabilities. However, no studies have been found which survey the synthesis of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite composite nanostructures. In continuation of our interest in the synthesis of new nanostructure compounds that have an application for synthesis of new metal-organic frameworks and wastewater removal.26,27. We used this method for the synthesis of these new composite compounds corresponded to deposition methods mentioned in reported articles.28,29

Herein, we report the preparation of trimetallic Fe-Co-V and Fe-Co-Mo structures on zeolite materials as host beds by a facile chemical synthesis route and the characterization of synthesized samples using XRD, FT-IR spectroscopy, FESEM and UV-Vis DRS. We used these nanocomposites based on zeolite for the removal of selected organic dyes from wastewater in the following and planning the next works.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

To carry out this research, reagents, vanadium pentoxide (V2O5), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), iron (III) nitrate (Fe(NO3)3), and cobalt (II) nitrate (Co(NO3)2), Ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate (NH4)6Mo7O24·4H2O purchased from Merck company and used without any purifications. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH), nitric acid HNO3, and zeolite (NaA) were purchased from Merck Company and prepared according to the requested method in the manuscript. Distilled Water is used for these synthesis methods.

2.2. Instruments

XRD measurements were carried out using a Ultima IV Multipurpose X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku company, USA) 3 kW sealed X-ray tube, D/teX Ultra silicon strip detector, equipped with Cu Kα (λ = 0.15406 nm) radiation. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) patterns were obtained on a Perkin Elmer BX-II spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Company, USA) 400-4000 Cm⁻¹. A FESEM, Zeiss SIGMA VP-500 (sigma company, USA) equipped with side detectors of EDS and high-resolution elemental mapping was utilized to characterize surface morphology and elemental compositions. UV-Vis DRS was performed by a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Avaspec-2048-TEC. 200 - 1100 nm. Avantes company, Holland). The morphological features of sample were investigated with a Zeiss (EM10C –Germany) transmission electron microscope (TEM) operating at 100 kV.

2.3. Preparation of samples

A facile chemical synthesis method was employed to fabricate trimetallic Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite composite nanostructures (CNs). In a typical preparation process for the fabrication of the Fe-Co-V/Zeolite sample, 3 mmol (0.54 gr) of vanadium pentoxide (V2O5) was first dissolved into 1 mL of 1 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) aqueous solution. The resultant yellowish product was then filtered to remove solid impurities and treated with adding nitric acid (HNO3) (10 ml) to reach a solution containing V6O28⁻. The obtained pinkish solution along with a 0.35 gr zeolite was placed into a flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer. The resulting mixture was heated at 60º C for 24 h to be completely absorbed V6O28⁻ ions on the surface of the zeolite material. After that, iron (III) nitrate Fe(NO3)3, and cobalt (II) nitrate Co(NO3)2 solutions with the same molarity were added drop by drop into the above solution under continuous stirring at 60º C for 5 h. The final product was filtered, dried at room temperature, and calcined at 600º C for 5 h. The dried powder was finally treated in a reactor under pressure 20 bar at 500º C for 5 h.

A similar synthesis route was utilized to prepare a trimetallic Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite sample. Briefly, 3 mmol (3.48 gr) Ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate (NH4)6Mo7O24·4H2O was dissolved into 2 mL of distilled water and added into 0.4 gr of zeolite. The obtained mixture was stirred at 60º C for 24 h to be coated heptamolybdate ions on the surface of the zeolite. The obtained product was then processed exactly according to the method previously described for the fabrication of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite CNs.

3. Results and Discussion

Phase compositions of synthesized samples cleared using the XRD technique. Figure 1 shows XRD patterns of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs. It can be found from Figure 1 that both samples indicate the presence of zeolite crystals by showing cubic Sodium Aluminosilicate Hydrate (Na2Al2Si2O7·nH2O) with space group Im3m (Card No. 00-018-1198). The XRD pattern of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite CNs also presents phases relevant to FeCoO3 (01-076-0958), Fe3O4 (00-025-1402), V2O5 (00-003-0206), and CoFe2O4 (01-079-1744) structures due to the existence
of Fe, Co, V, and Na elements, respectively. It is clear from Figure 1 that Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite sample consists of Fe, (MoO₃) (00-035-0183), FeO₃ (00-025-1402), MoO₃ (01-084-1360), and CoFe₂O₄ (01-079-1744) phases confirming the presence of Fe, Co, Mo, and Na elements.

The chemical bond types and vibrational properties of as-synthesized samples were investigated using FT-IR spectroscopy. Figure 2 presents the FT-IR spectra of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs in the wavenumber ranged from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹. The bands at 458 and 463 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the bending vibrations of the T-O (T=Al, Si groups) in the zeolite. This band also depicted the bending vibration of internal tetrahedron TO₄ of the zeolite structure.

Table 1. Quantitative EDS analysis of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs.

| Sample               | Al Wt% | σ | Si Wt% | σ | Fe Wt% | σ | Co Wt% | σ | O Wt% | σ | V Wt% | Σ | Mo Wt% | σ |
|----------------------|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|-------|---|-------|---|--------|---|
| Fe-Co-V/Zeolite      | 12.3   | 0.2 | 10.1   | 0.2 | 5.2    | 0.8| 6.4    | 0.6| 52.7  | 0.7| 13.2  | 0.5| ------ | ---|
| Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite     | 14.2   | 0.2 | 15.6   | 0.2 | 2.6    | 0.6| 3.7    | 0.4| 32.7  | 0.4| ------ | ---| 31.2   | 0.6|

This also showed the presence of Al³⁺ and Si⁴⁺ in the zeolite. The bands at 665 cm⁻¹ can refer to Si-O-Si, while bands at 715 and 728 cm⁻¹ can be due to the symmetrical stretching (T-O-T, T= Si, Al)²³. The peaks at 835 and 837 cm⁻¹ can be ascribed to Fe-OH bending vibrations³⁵. The strong bands observed around 995 and 999 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to overlapping the symmetric vibrations of Si-O (bridging) and Si-O (non-bridging) bonds³⁵. The peaks at 1383 and 1388 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the C-N bending vibrations³⁶. For the Fe-Co-V/Zeolite, a weak peak observed could due to weaker interaction of V metal with the zeolite surface. The bands located at 1530 and 1622 cm⁻¹ can be related to OH bending vibration of water molecules, while those appeared at 3416 and 3462 cm⁻¹ are due to OH stretching vibrations of water³⁷.

Spectra at 2355 cm⁻¹ could be due to the interaction between Fe-Co-Mo, Fe-Co-V ions, and TO₄⁻ in the zeolite during the doping process. Because of weak dative covalent bonding in the V metal, this peak observed very weak in this spectrum³⁵,³⁶.

The as-synthesized samples morphological characteristics were were studied by FESEM. Figure 3 displays FESEM images of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs in two different magnifications. Figure 3a presents the overall image of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite CNs consisting of an aggregation of irregular shapes. The high-resolution image is shown in Figure 3b indicates rough surfaces for Fe-Co-V/Zeolite CNs due to the formation of spherical nanoparticles with a size ranging from 20 to 60 nm. It can be also seen in Figure 3c that Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite sample includes no-shaped structures composed of nanoparticles with a particle size of 20 to 110 nm (Figure 3d).

The surface elemental distribution of as-synthesized samples was examined by FESEM-EDS mapping. Figure 4 shows FESEM images and the corresponding elemental mappings for Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs. Figure 4b exhibits the uniform existence of Al, Si, Fe, Co, O, and V elements in the boxed surface area for Fe-Co-V/Zeolite sample (Figure 4a). It can be also seen in Figure 4d that Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite sample presents a uniform distribution of Al, Si, Fe, Co, O, and Mo elements in the surface area selected in Figure 4c. The quantitative elemental analysis for Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite samples was also carried out using recording EDX spectra as shown in Figure 5. Resulted spectra indicate the presence of Al, Si, Fe, Co, O, and V elements and Al, Si, Fe, Co, O, and Mo elements in Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs, respectively. Table 1 summarizes the numerical analysis of EDX spectra for as-synthesized samples.

V-Vis DRS was performed to investigate the absorption characteristics of as-synthesized samples. Figure 6a plots DRS patterns of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs in the wavelength range of 200 to 800 nm. Fe-Co-V/
Zeolite sample demonstrates the strong UV absorption with two recognizable peaks at 240 and 270 nm, which can be assigned to zeolite structures. The spectral pattern of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite CNs also presents milder absorption in the visible region due to the presence of Fe, Co, and V elements. A relatively similar spectrum is also detected for Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite sample with indicating a strong absorption peak at 248 nm. A careful look at the spectral pattern of the Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite sample also reveals a milder band along with a recognizable peak at 648 nm related to Fe, Co, and Mo elements.

The recorded DRS patterns can be analyzed to estimate band-gap energies of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs using Kubelka-Munk theory as $37-39$:

Figure 3. FESEM images of (a, b) Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and (c, d) Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs.

Figure 4. (a, c) FESEM map images and (b, d) the corresponding elemental mappings for (a, b) Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and (c, d) Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs.

Figure 5. EDS spectra of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs.
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\[(ah\theta) = A(h\theta - E_g)^{1/2} \]  

Where \(a\) is the absorption coefficient, \(h\) is Planck’s constant, \(\nu\) is the light frequency, and \(A\) is the proportionality constant. The optical band-gap is calculated from the extrapolation of \((ah\nu)^2\) versus \(h\nu\) to zero. Tauc plots for Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite samples are shown in Figure 6b. As shown in Figure 6b, band-gap energies are estimated to be about 3.0 and 3.5 eV for Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite samples, respectively.

The morphological features of sample were investigated with a Zeiss (EM10C -Germany) transmission electron microscope (TEM) operating at 100 kV. These images were prepared as follows: The dilute aqueous solution of the sample was sonicated for 15 min. Then, a portion of sample (20 μL) was dropped onto holey carbon film on copper grid 300 mesh (EMS-USA) and dried thoroughly at room temperature.

For the closer look of synthesized microstructures, used of transmission electron microscope (TEM). Transmission electron microscope image of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs, showed in the Figure 7. In the Figure 7a, TEM image of Fe-Co-V/Zeolite CNs to be observed with the 50 nm magnification. According to this figure, it can be said that the particles have an almost spherical surface morphology with the different particle sizes. TEM image of Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite CNs nano particles (Figure 7b), to be observed with the 100 nm magnification. This image clearly shows that nano particles have an irregular surface morphology.

4. Conclusions

A facile chemical synthesis method was utilized to prepare trimetallic Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite composite nanostructures. The formation and elemental compositions of composite structures were studied using a series of spectroscopic techniques including XRD, FT-IR, FESEM, and EDS. The UV-Vis was used to investigate linear optical characteristics indicating a strong absorption in the UV region with band-gapes of about 3.0 and 3.5 eV for Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite composite nanostructures, respectively.

In conclusion, zeolite nanocomposites synthesized for the first time by the continuous one step that resulted in the formation of trimetallic Fe-Co-V/Zeolite and Fe-Co-Mo/Zeolite composite nanostructures. Resulted in nanostructured composites were in nano-sized form and stable in the synthesis process. Zeolite nanocomposites are provided by the simple method of cold impregnation. It is established that the physicochemical properties (Composition of nanoparticles, degree of amorphicity, size of crystallites and nanoparticles, and specific surface area) these nanocomposites and the characteristics of zeolites, significantly affect the interaction of used metals nanoparticles with zeolites. It is found that the application of this method during the synthesis of nanocomposites leads to a decrease in the size of crystallites, an increase in the number of spherical formations when compared to the samples without treatment, and their aggregation into larger formations. These zeolite nanocomposites have a potential for use as a catalyst for organic synthesis and absorbent in waste treatment according to large surface area and existence of metals with the oxidant activity.
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