Imbabura and Chimborazo at the cradle of Biogeography in the South American Andes

Abstract

The biogeographic profiles produced between 1802 and 1803 by Alexander von Humboldt and Francisco José de Caldas can be postulated as synchronous, though dissimilar, infographics, centered respectively on two volcanoes in Ecuador: Imbabura and Chimborazo. This short article presents documentary and iconographic evidence to support this appreciation.
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Introduction

In the first months of 1803, both Alexander von Humboldt³ born in Prussia -nowadays Germany- and Francisco José de Caldas⁴ born in New Granada-nowadays Colombia- wrote independent manuscripts on biogeography under the respective titles of “Geography of plants” and “Levelling of plants”. These manuscripts were supplemented by corresponding bio geographical profiles, each one drawn by their own hands.⁴

Discussion

Both Humboldt and Caldas, illustrated explorers in the turn of the 18th to the 19th century, had developed their bio geographical syntheses on two different volcanic milestones: Chimborazo in the case of Humboldt, and Imbabura in the case of Caldas. Their primary manuscripts support a simultaneous emergence and evolution of the concept of biogeography in the minds of the Prussian and the Neogranadian. In particular, the drawing entitled “Levelling of 30 species of plants on the western view of Imbabura, mountain near Ibarra” (Figure 1), should be understood as an alternative reference at the dawn of biogeography when compared with Alexander von Humboldt’s “Tableau physique des Andes et pays voisins” (Figure 2), published in its engraved version in 1807 as an iconic illustration for his Essai sur la géographie des plantes près de l’équateur.

Documentary evidence indicates that Caldas’ phyto geographic drawing on Imbabura would have been conceived at least a year and a half before its physical execution in the second semester of 1803. It sustains both the simultaneity and the implicit and explicit differences of pioneering approaches of Caldas and Von Humboldt to biogeography. Furthermore, Caldas would have started working in biogeography at least eight years before, as he explicitly registered 1796 as the year of his first measurements of plant geographical distributions while he traveled from Bogotá to Popayán.

On April 21 1802, after his personal acquaintance with Von Humboldt and Bonpland, Caldas proposed a project of botanical exploration to José Celestino Mutis,⁵ the leader of the Spanish Royal Botanical Expedition in the New Kingdom of Granada. In this proposal, In this proposal, he commented on the novelty of his idea of bio geographical research in the following terms: “Would not it be new, and at the same time beautiful, to divide into 12 zones of an inch in the barometer wide each, all the part of the earth that is able to vegetate? Would it not be new to assign to each plant its limits, and to vegetate? Would it not be new to assign to each plant its limits, and so the others?”⁶

This idea had an experimental background, like the one Caldas himself referred to in an unpublished notebook of 1802. Here, he made explicit his idea of the effect of latitude on the geography of plants, a notion that Von Humboldt would only publish in extenso in 1817–one year after the death of Caldas—in his De distributione geographica plantarum.

Caldas wrote a letter to Von Humboldt on his geographical and botanical works in Otavalo near Quito, on November 17, 1802. Was this report a stimulus for Von Humboldt for his configuration of bio geographical research in the following terms: “Would not it be new, and at the same time beautiful, to divide into 12 zones of an inch in the barometer wide each, all the part of the earth that is able to vegetate? Would it not be new to assign to each plant its limits, and so the others?”⁶

By comparing the profiles of Caldas’s Imbabura and Von Humboldt’s Chimborazo, it should be noted that Caldas persisted–more than six months after having received Von Humboldt’s drawing–in his preliminary idea of dividing it into 12 inches. Caldas did not use any additional graphic elements from the Prussian’s watercolor. The graphical syntheses of Caldas and Humboldt are, therefore, very different, although coincident in their bio geographical basis.
Figure 1 “Leveling 30 species of plants, on the western view of Imbabura, a mountain near Ibarra, by F. J. de Caldas” (1803). Archive of the Royal Botanical Garden of Madrid, AJB, Division III, M00529.

Figure 2 “Geography of plants near the equator. Physical table of the Andes and neighboring lands, based on observations and measurements made on the spot in 1799-1803” (1803). Museo Nacional de Colombia, M1204.
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Conclusion

The documentary evidence shown in this article, added to the notes and revisions that Caldas made on the work of Humboldt and published six years later in his *Semanario del Nuevo Reyno de Granada* in Bogotá, allows to support both the simultaneity and the implicit and explicit differences in the pioneering endeavors of Caldas and Humboldt in the field of biogeography.
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