Polymeric Systems for Cancer Immunotherapy: A Review
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Immunotherapy holds enormous promise to create a new outlook of cancer therapy by eliminating tumors via activation of the immune system. In immunotherapy, polymeric systems play a significant role in improving antitumor efficacy and safety profile. Polymeric systems possess many favorable properties, including magnified biocompatibility and biodegradability, structural and component diversity, easy and controllable fabrication, and high loading capacity for immune-related substances. These properties allow polymeric systems to perform multiple functions in immunotherapy, such as immune stimulants, modifying and activating T cells, delivery system for immune cargos, or as an artificial antigen-presenting cell. Among diverse immunotherapies, immune checkpoint inhibitors, chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell, and oncolytic virus recently have been dramatically investigated for their remarkable success in clinical trials. In this report, we review the mono-therapy status of immune checkpoint inhibitors, CAR-T cell, and oncolytic virus, and their current combination strategies with diverse polymeric systems.
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1 INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), cancer is among the top leading causes of nearly 10.0 million deaths worldwide in 2020 (1, 2). Even though traditional treatment methods, such as invasive surgery, chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and radiation, have prevailed and made tremendous progress in the clinical setting, these regimens still face some inherent limitations in terms of therapeutic efficacy and safety (3–6). To address these issues, cancer immunotherapy, also known as immuno-oncology, has stepped into the spotlight and many kinds of immune therapeutics are investigated in the research and development stage (7, 8). Some of them have even been commercialized (9, 10). Cancer immunotherapy is a type of biological therapy that utilizes the body’s immune system to generate the attacking response of the tumor cells and thus produce an anti-tumor effect (11, 12). It can train the immune system to recognize and strike specific cancer cells and boost immune cells to help them eliminate cancer. It is noteworthy that cancer immunotherapy targets not only the primary tumor but also the secondary tumor metastasis by stimulating systemic immune response (13, 14). Further, it can inhibit tumor recurrence through cancer-specific memory immune response which will be reactive when encountering tumor associate antigens (TAA) (15, 16).
There have been diverse cancer immune therapeutics, such as cancer vaccines, antibody therapy, cytokines, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI), adoptive cell transfer (ACT), and oncolytic viruses (OV) (17, 18). Among those immune therapeutics, remarkable success in the commercialization of ICI and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cells has driven cancer immunotherapy into the limelight (19–22). ICI, which blocks the binding of checkpoint proteins with their partner proteins and allows T cells to kill cancer cells, has been revolutionarily developed, since Yervoy, the first ICI and CTLA-4 inhibitor, was approved by the U.S. FDA in 2011. CAR-T is a genetically engineered T cell to express artificial T cell receptors and specifically target tumor cells. In addition to ICI and CAR-T, the utilizatns of OV have rapidly expanded in the past few years since the U.S. FDA approved the first OV, Imlygic, in 2015 (23). OV has been recognized as a novel therapeutic platform due to its unique feature, selectively replicating in and eradicating cancer cells, and allowing the easy conjugation of pharmaceutical drugs to polymer systems through specific ligands. Moreover, the fabricable nano size system can allow the polymeric system to stay in the blood circulation and induce passive tumor targeting. Due to these advantages, the polymeric systems are considered a promising strategy to efficiently apply for diverse immunotherapies (Figure 1).

In this review, we focus on representing immunotherapeutic strategies including immune checkpoint inhibitors, CAR-T cells, and oncolytic viruses, and their combined application with polymeric systems. First, we would like to introduce the recent development of immune checkpoint inhibitor strategies and then discuss relevant polymeric systems applied with each ICI type. Second, we describe adoptive cell transfer immunotherapy and its representative which is a CAR-T cell. We analyze the current manufacturing methods of the CAR-T cell and its limitations. We then demonstrate how application of polymeric systems can reduce these limitations and innovate CAR-T cell to a new clinical efficacy. Finally, we will present the ongoing possibility of the oncolytic viruses in immunotherapy. We intensify on adenoviruses which are the most extensively studied virus type. We begin by describing basic oncolytic adenovirus biology and analyze their advantages as well as their limitations. We then introduce some typical modifications with polymeric systems that can be used to promote better anti-tumor inhibition.

2 DIFFERENT IMMUNOTHERAPIES AND POLYMERIC SYSTEMS APPLYING FOR THESE STRATEGIES

2.1 Immunological Checkpoint Inhibitors

2.1.1 Monotherapy of ICI

Immunological checkpoint inhibitors currently have been dramatically investigated and the most reported inhibitors are cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) and programmed death receptors 1/programmed death receptor-ligand 1 blockade (PD-1/PD-L1). The body's immune system uses the immune checkpoints to control the corporeal immune balance and maintain self-tolerance (63). In normal conditions, the activated T cells express PD-1 to recognize abnormal or cancerous cells and then eliminate them to protect the body from their development (64, 65). However, the tumor cells might up-regulate the expression of PD-L1 or PD-L2 that bind to PD-1 to evade recognition and attack of immune cells (66, 67). Therefore, anticancer immunotherapy can be achieved by using blocking inhibitors of PD-1 or its ligand. Another immune checkpoint is CTLA-4, which diminishes the T-cell activity and assists the
The anti-CTLA-4 antibody has been used to block the CTLA-4 to induce T cell activation, which inhibits tumor growth. Nonetheless, many challenges remain with these checkpoint blockade strategies that limit their application for complete cancer treatment. As mentioned above, the administration of immunological checkpoint inhibitors can cause severe harm to different normal organs (69, 70). Moreover, only a small portion of patients show positive effectiveness of checkpoint inhibitor treatments, this phenomenon is still under study (71, 72). In addition, the extracellular matrix and microenvironment of different tumors suppress the immune recognition and activation (73). With the new development of polymers and biomaterials science, these challenges can be overcome by utilizing various polymers to obtain targeting tumor delivery (Table 1).
### TABLE 1 | Summary of recent research on different polymeric systems for immunological checkpoint inhibitors.

| Type of immuno-therapy | Polymer systems | System properties* | Payloads | Affected immune cell | Cancer cell model | Key findings | Ref. |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|------|
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (anti-PD1) | Folic acid (FA)-and PEG functionalized polyethylenimine (PEI) polymers | D: 167 nm Z: 22.8 mV | PD-L1 siRNA | T-cells are engineered to co-express a CAR (T1E28z) that targets the extended ErbB family | CD8+ T cells | SKOV-3-Luc cells (epithelial ovarian cancer cells) successfully delivered PD-L1 siRNA into EOC cells and blocked PD-1/PD-L1 interactions with T cell. FA targeted EOC cell and lowered cytotoxicity of PEI | (74) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (TGFR1 inhibitor) | F(ab′)2-Mal-PEG-PLGA | D: 267-273 nm | R848, SD-208 | B16 melanoma cells, MC38 cells | Fab2-Mal-PEG-PLGA targeted specific T cell subsets and functionally neutralized co-inhibitory receptors | (50) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (TGFR1 inhibitor) | PEG2k-PLA11k and BHEM-Chol | D: 141.6 ± 6.1 nm | CTLA-4 siRNA | CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, Tregs | B16 melanoma cells | Nanoparticles efficiently delivered siRNA into T cells; increased the number and percentage of effector CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells and decreased the ratio of CD4+ FOXP3+ Tregs | (75) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (IDO inhibitor) | MPEG-PCL | D: 43 ± 3.2 nm | IR780, NLG919 | T cells, Tregs | MCF-7, 4T1 breast cancer cells | NLG919/IR780 micelles inhibited the activity of IDO, accumulated in the tumor site via passive targeting and migrated to the lymphatic system, increased the infiltrated T cells in tumor tissue | (51) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (IDO inhibitor) | PEG2k-Fmoc-NLG | D: ~100 nm | PTX | CD8+ T cells | 4T1.2 breast cancer cells | PEG2k-Fmoc-NLG alone enhanced T-cell immune responses. Systemic delivery of paclitaxel (PTX) using the PEG2k-Fmoc-NLG nanocarrier improved antitumor response in both breast cancer and melanoma mouse models | (76) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (IDO inhibitor) | POEG-b-PSSNLG prodrug (PSSN10) | D: 134.7 - 175.1 nm | DOX | CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, Tregs, G-MDSCs, M1, M2 | 4T1.2 breast cancer cells | PSSN10 efficiently delivered both NLG and DOX to the tumor tissue. PSSN10 stimulated higher percentage of functional T cells (CD4+ and CD8+) and lowered percentages of Treg cells and MDCSCs with DOX or DOXIL | (77) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (IDO inhibitor) | POEG-b-PVBIND | D: 17.90 ± 0.45 nm and 50.63 ± 1.25 nm (with DOX) Z: -1.23 ± 1.25 mV and -2.34 ± 2.48 (with DOX) | DOX | CD8+ T cells | 4T1.2 breast cancer cells | DOx-triggered ICD promoted intra-tumoral infiltration of CD8+ T cells and IFN-γ-production by CD8+ T cells. Cleaved indoximod significantly increased CD8+ T cell infiltration while reducing the immunosuppressive T regulatory cells (Tregs) | (78) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (IDO inhibitor) | PEG2k-Fmoc-1-MT prodrug | D: 164.3 - 298.4 nm Z: -0.237 to 0.672 mV | DOX | CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, Tregs | 4T1 breast cancer cells | PEG2k-Fmoc-1-MT prodrug inhibited ability of IDO and effectively deliver DOX and 1-MT to tumours, subsequently enhancing immune responses | (79) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (IDO inhibitor) | PEG-P(MLT) Block copolymer | D: ~80 nm | None | THP-1 cells | None | PEG-P(MLT) can release active MLT after enzymatic degradation, toward establishing superior antitumor immunotherapies | (80) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (anti-CTLA-4) | pLHMGA | D: 11-15 mm | Anti-CTLA-4, CD40 agonistic antibody | No data | MC-38 cells | pLHMGA microparticles excellently delivered CTLA-4 and CD40 and provided long-lasting and non-toxic antibody therapy for immunotherapy of cancer | (81) |
| Immunological checkpoint inhibitors (anti-CTLA-4) | H-2Kb/TRP2-IgDimer-antiCD80 coupled PLGA- microparticles | D: 4.5 ± 1.2 µm Z: 36.2 ± 5.6 mV | IL-2, anti-CTLA-4 | CD8+ T cells | B16 melanoma cells, S180cells | PLGA-microparticles sustained co-release of IL-2 and anti-CTLA-4, synergistic effects in activating and expanding tumor antigen-specific T cells both in vitro and in vivo | (82) |

(Continued)
2.1.2 Combination ICI Therapy With Polymer Systems

One of the typical strategies in ICI is applying siRNA gene transfection to express the protein knockout of the PD-1/PD-L1 immunosuppressive pathway. This strategy has performed promising results for cancer treatment (84). Specifically, even though adoptive T cell immunotherapy performs promising results in epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) treatment, the EOC cell-expressed PD-L1 can interact with PD-1 from T cells and induce undesired immunosuppression resulting in low therapeutic effect (85). To overcome this problem, Teo et al. have designed a folic acid (FA) functionalized PEI polymer complexed with PD-L1 siRNA. The research showed the polyplex, which consisted of FA/polymer/siRNA, has successfully blocked the PD-1 and PD-L1 pathways and repelled the immunosuppression of T cells, leading to promote the recognition of T cells toward EOC cells (74). It is important that the FA not only lower the cytotoxicity of the PEI but also effectively enhance specificity of uptake into EOC tumor cells.

On the other hand, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) mediated tumor microenvironment also plays an important role in immune suppression besides the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway (86, 87). Unfortunately, the TGF-β signaling is necessary for many cellular processes so utilizing TGF-βR1 inhibitors can cause severe side effects such as hemorrhagic, degenerative, and inflammatory lesions in heart valves (88). To overcome this problem, diverse polymeric nonviral vectors have been generated to encapsulate TGF-βR1 inhibitors and target them to the tumor site. In 2017, Schmid et al. introduced a CD8+ T cell-specific nanoparticle system based on PLGA-PEG polymer conjugated with anti-CD8a F(ab')2 fragments to encapsulate TGF-βR1 inhibitor SD-208 (50). The nanoparticles system successfully reduced the cytotoxicity of TGF-βR1 inhibitor SD-208 to normal cells and recover the immune function of T cells. Moreover, the PD-1-PLGA-PEG nanoparticle co-delivery of Toll-like receptor (TLR7/8) agonist (R848) showed recruited a significantly high number of T-lymphocytes at the tumors. In addition, a nanoparticle system containing poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(D,L-lactide) (PEG5k–PLA11k) and the cationic lipid N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-N-methyl-N-(2-cholesteryoxycarbonyl-aminoethyl) ammonium bromide (BHEM-Chol) has been used to deliver immunosuppressive factor siRNA to a tumor (75). The siRNA encapsulated in the copolymer-based nanoparticles not only was protected from enzymatic degradation but also enhanced the cell internalization compared with negatively charged bare siRNAs. The system exhibited a favorable modulation implementation in tumor invasive CTL. The loaded CTL-associated molecule-4-siRNA nanoparticles (NPs siCTLA-4) effectively stimulate T cells’ activation and hinder tumor growth in melanoma mice.

To overcome the limitations of checkpoint blockers, the polymeric micelles have also been a promising system. According to their special structure which consists of polymeric amphiphiles, micelles can carry and deliver manifold hydrophobic drugs to the target tumor. Recently, Peng et al. introduced a polymeric micelle system for tumor immunity post photothermal therapy (PTT) based on amphipathic polymer MPEG-PCL to co-deliver photosensitizer IR780 and NLG919 (an indoleamine 2,3-
dioxxygenase (IDO inhibitor) (51). This nano system has shown sufficient accumulation at the tumor site and shifts to lymph nodes to promote the activation of T lymphocytes. In another research, an immunostimulatory dual-functional nanocarrier based on a prodrug conjugate of PEG with NLG919 was studied by Chen et al. The system was also equipped with a Fmoc group, a drug-responsive motif for enhancing drug loading capacity and formulation stability. The PEG2k-Fmoc-NLG alone showed greatly stimulated T-cell immune responses and excellent tumor inhibition in vivo. It is noteworthy to mention that the systemic administration of paclitaxel (PTX) loaded PEG2k-Fmoc-NLG nano system exhibited significant tumor inhibition in both melanoma and breast cancer mouse models (76). A redox-responsive immunostimulatory polymeric prodrug carrier which can controllably co-deliver chemotherapeutic DOX and immune checkpoint inhibitor NLG was introduced by Sun et al. (77). The system, which is called POEG-b-PSSNLG prodrug (PSSN10), was a synthesized poly(oligo(ethylene glycol) methacrylate)-poly(N,N'- (butyroxycarbonyl)cystamine) copolymer conjugated NLG919 prodrug which can self-assemble into nano-sized micelles. The PSSN10 carrier can improve cell immune responses in the lymphocyte-Panc02 co-culture experiments and exhibited significant anti-tumor activity in vivo. Moreover, the DOX/PSSN10 micelles displayed higher efficacy in the tumor growth inhibition and longer survival rate of 4T1.2 tumor-bearing mouse model compared with free DOX or a clinical formulation of liposomal DOX (DOXIL). Another IDO inhibitor, indoximod, was also co-delivered with DOX in a synthesized copolymer POEG-b-PVBIND micelles by Wan et al. in 2019 (78). The indoximod conjugating copolymer micelles effectively promoted the anti-tumor immunity resulting in an extreme tumor inhibition effect in a preclinical breast cancer model. In a different concept, Lan et al. developed a dual functional indoximod-based carrier PEG2k-Fmoc-1-MT also for breast cancer chemo and immunochemotherapy (79). The polymeric micelle itself successfully inhibited IDO effect with decreased kynurenine (KYN) production leading to the proliferation of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. The DOX/PEG2kFmoc-1-MT micelles can generate an immunogenic cell death process, subsequently secreting many cytokines [such as interferon (IFN)-γ, IL-2, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α)] inducing later T cell-mediated immunity. The 4T1 murine breast cancer model tumor inhibition profile of DOX/PEG2kFmoc-1-MT micelles was dramatically high with long survival time compared with other groups. Another amphiphilic PEGpoly(-1-Methyl-1-Tryptophan (MLT) block of copolymer self-assembled polymeric micelles was investigated by Huang et al. which showed effectively reduced levels of KYN in activated macrophages (80).

As mentioned above, CTL-4 can suppress the activation of T cells and promote self-tolerance. The anti-CTLA-4 antibody can be used for blocking the CTLA-4 and stimulating the activity of T cells toward the tumor. CTLA-4 antibodies have been loaded in poly(lactic-co-hydroxymethyl-glycolic acid) (PLHMGA) by Sima et al. for cancer immunotherapy. The nanoparticle system has been proven to block inhibitory receptors on T cells and obtained promising therapeutic efficacy than the IFA formulation in colon carcinoma tumor model (MC-38) (81). Lei Zhang et al. reported a study on PLGA microparticles to co-deliver IL-2 and CTLA-4 antibodies. The surface of the PLGA microparticles was conjugated with a H-2Kb/TRP2-Ig dimer and anti-CD28. The polymeric microparticles successfully co-released IL-2 and anti-CTLA-4 inducing dual effects in activating and promoting tumor antigen-specific T cells. The systems exhibited enhancement in anti-tumor efficacy in a mouse melanoma model (82).

Similar to CTL-4, diverse PD-1/PDL-1 inhibitors have been used for combinatorial therapy in recent clinical trials (89). Different kinds of polymers have been exploited with PD-1/PDL-1 blockade for cancer immunotherapy presently. Especially, utilizing the synergistic effect of diverse types of delivery systems can exploit the maximized potential of immune therapeutics. For example, Chao et al. reported a hyaluronic acid (a biocompatible natural polymer) microneedle integrated with pH-sensitive dextran nanoparticles (NPs) that can encapsulate and release PD-1 antibodies in a controlled manner to melanoma tissue. The report showed that this self-degradable microneedle encapsulated PD-1 antibody system generated a higher robust immune response compared to free anti-PD-1 antibody at the same dose in a B16F10 melanoma model (83). Another study conducted by Ye et al. has also produced immunotherapeutic nanoparticles from hyaluronic acid but modified with 1-methyl-DL-tryptophan (1MT) to deliver anti-PD-1 antibody. The particles combined with microneedle successfully sustain release and increased the accumulation of anti-PD-1 antibodies in the TME. The system indicated the improvement in tumor growth inhibition and lowered the immunosuppression in a B16F10 melanoma model (52). In addition, the specific tumor targeting nanoparticles can possibly enhance the performance of antitumor immunity. For example, a pH and metalloproteinase dual-sensitive micellar nanocarrier which can spatiotemporally control the release of anti-PD-1 and PTX in solid tumors has been developed by Su et al. (53). The report interestingly indicated that the PTX-induced immunogenic cell death (ICD) can activate the antitumor immunity along with the blocking of the PD-1/PD-L1 axis from anti-PD-1. Together, they hinder the immune escape of tumor cells due to PTX-induced PD-L1 up-regulation. Of note, the pH-sensitive polyethylene glycol (PEG) shell could be sheddable at the tumor acidity site resulting in release of anti-PD-1 and PTX. In general, polymer-based material can be utilized as a superlative and effective delivery system to sustain biocompatible antibodies and other immunological checkpoint inhibitors in cancer immunotherapy (Figure 2).

2.2 Chimeric Antigen Receptor-T Cells

2.2.1 Monotherapy of CAR-T Cells

The term “adoptive cell transfer” (ACT) involves a group of cell-based anticancer immunotherapies and is very attractive for its smart and patient-tailored strategies (90, 91). ACT contains different steps to induce immune-mediated clearance of cancer. First, the circulating or tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are
collected from the patient. Then the cells are elected, activated \textit{ex vivo}, genetically modified to express a cancer-targeting receptor, and multiplied to a therapeutic quantity. Finally, the cells are reinjected into the treated patient to recognize and eliminate cancer cells.

CAR-T cell is the most representative of ACT recently and has obtained optimistic clinical success. This therapy utilizes CAR to engineer autologous T cells for achieving immune activation without major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-restriction. The CAR is mainly composed of an extracellular antibody-derived antigen binding domain for cancer targeting and a one or more linked intracellular signaling domain. The extracellular binding domains are commonly constructed from single-chain variable fragments (scFvs) derived from tumor antigen-reactive antibodies (92). The intracellular signaling domain comprises CD3\(\zeta\) chain domain and co-stimulatory domains, such as CD28 and/or 4-1BB to provide costimulatory signals for promoting CAR-T cell expansion, persistence, and function (93).

However, the manufacturing of CAR-T cell is time-consuming, expensive, and technically complex compared to other small therapeutic drugs. There are multiple aspects that need to be carefully controlled to archive a secure, therapeutically safe and effective CAR-T cell therapy, such as balanced CD4/CD8 ratio, the viability of differentiated CD3+CAR+ cells, and in vitro cytotoxicity and cytokine release against cells expressing the target antigen, which makes it costly and limits its widespread use (94). For instance, the list cost of Kymriah is US$475,000 and of Yescarta is US$373,000 which is higher than the current common cancer therapies’ cost (95). The manufacturing time to archive enough therapeutic cell numbers is from 3 to 4 weeks at least depending on different methods (96–98). It is noteworthy that the consistency of cell products plays a significant role in the tumor clearance effect of the patient. An optimum manufacturing of engineered T-cell process is required for reducing the cost and escalating clinical translation of CAR-T cell therapy.

Notably, utilizing engineered polymers can improve and streamline CAR-T cell manufacturing process. Diverse kinds of polymers have been synthesized and modified to apply in many medical engineering processes including cell culture (99), tissue engineering (100), separation (101), and drug and gene delivery (102). Similarly, many polymeric systems have been used to optimize streamlined CAR-T cell manufacturing process, mainly focusing on activation and genetic modification of the CAR-T cell.

\subsection*{2.2.2 Polymeric Systems Utilized in CAR-T Cell Activation}

The \textit{ex vivo} CAR T-cell activation consists of three central signaling steps, which are T cell receptor (TCR) stimulation, CD28 co-stimulation, and cytokine signaling. Usually the T cell receptor (TCR) stimulation and CD28 co-stimulation utilize independent anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) adhered to solid materials for receptor clustering. On the other hand, the cytokine signaling commonly uses soluble cytokines dissolved in the culture medium. Therefore, an activation platform should match the above requirements and these following criteria. The platform first needs to be “friendly” and can promote T cells to multiply to around 1 to \(5 \times 10^6\) CAR+ T cells for one patient (103), and maintain the stably therapeutic state \textit{in vivo}. It is favorable that the platform can keep the balance number of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in expansion (104). One
more crucial point is the activation materials should be convenient to process and easily separate from T cells.

In this part, we discuss different polymeric T cells activation systems and their properties for adequately activating and expanding T cells.

2.2.2.1 Nanoscale Activation Polymers and Particles
A commercial reagent to activate and expand human T cells via CD3 and CD28 was developed by Miltenyi Biotech which called it TransAct. According to the Miltenyi Biotech specification, TransAct has a nano core-shell structure where the core is iron oxide crystal and the shell is biodegradable polysaccharide matrix conjugated to humanized CD3 and CD28 agonists (105, 106). The size of TransAct is around 100 nm and can be filtered sterilized, the excess reagent can be cleared by centrifugation with the following conventional supernatant replacement or simply by a medium wash. Many studies have investigated and compared the effectiveness in expansion, differentiation, CAR transduction, and functions of activated T cells by TransAct and the results suggest that TransAct can be used for clinical-scale T-cell activation (107, 108).

In 2013, Mandal et al. synthesized a semiflexible synthetic dendritic cell for T cell activation (62). The semi-stiff poly (isocyanate peptide) has been coupled with BCN-functionalized streptavidin (Sav) and then conjugated with biotinylated αCD3 antibodies to produce αCD3- “synthetic dendritic cells” (sDCs). The flexibility of this system allows the effector molecules on it which can effectively bind to the receptors of the targeted T cell. With the size around 150–200 nm, the αCD3-sDCs showed higher efficacy in expanding T cells compared to spherical αCD3–PLGA particles (1.8 μm diameter) or free αCD3 antibodies with the same antibody amount. The group then continuously developed this semiflexible synthetic mimic dendritic cells system with co-carrying anti-CD3 antibodies (αCD3) for triggering the T cell receptor and anti-CD28 antibodies (αCD28) as a costimulatory signal (109). The bifunctional αCD3/αCD28-sDC significantly stimulated T cell activation at a considerably lower antibody concentration than free soluble antibodies. Interestingly, the highest level of polyclonal T cell activation was only achieved when the sDCs carry both αCD3 and αCD28 antibodies on the same polymer. Utilizing different polymers αCD3-sDC and αCD28-sDC did not improve any T cell activation compared with αCD3-sDC alone. These results suggested that polymer flexibility and multiple signals equipped on one polymer are crucial for mimicking the endogenous receptor clustering needed for optimal T-cell activation.

2.2.2.2 Microscale Artificial Antigen-Presenting Cells
On the other hand, different from nanoscale platforms, the microscale activation platforms imitate the original scale of endogenous antigen presenting cells and their immunological synapses with T cells. One of the first synthetic aAPCs design was based on latex (polystyrene) beads in the 1990s (110, 111). They have been used for exploring elemental features of T cell biology (112–114), and also as translational platforms for adoptive immunotherapy (115–118). These latex platforms are generated by chemically functionalized polystyrene surfaces with soluble proteins, or by binding avidin coated particles with biotin-labeled T cell activating proteins. Furthermore, MHC presented on the solid microparticle platforms by glutaraldehyde can induce stronger activation signal for T cells than the MHC presented on a cell membrane (119). Interestingly, hybrid lipid-latex particles, which utilize both the potential advantages of a solid particle and a flexible membrane, can be incorporated by coating polystyrene microsphere with plasma membrane vesicles (120–122). The studies suggested that this type of platform might effectively improve the efficacy of tumor immunotherapy with antigen. However, the main application of these polystyrene-based microparticle systems was for expansion of T cells in vitro because of its biodegradation and biocompatibility problems. The intravenous administration of larger 3-5 μm solid particles can accommodate in small capillary beds and cause capillary infarction (123, 124). Because of these issues, more advanced microparticle systems which are removable or biodegradable after the culturing period need to be developed.

In recent years, many biodegradable polymer-based microspheres have been utilized as vehicles for drug delivery (125, 126). The variety of these systems is varied in sizes in the range of hundreds of nanometers to 10 μm and can be generated from various polymers, such as poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), poly(lactic acid) (PLA), or their copolymer, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid). After administration to the body, the biodegradable polymer particles are degraded to nontoxic substances and release the encapsulated drugs in several hours or weeks, depending on their design. Based on their favorable biocompatibility and biodegradability, the biodegradable polymer particles are an attractive candidate for aAPCs platforms that can deliver in vivo (127, 128). Notably, they can be formulated to release cytokine signals as they degrade and integrate these signals into designed aAPC (129, 130).

An ellipsoidal PLGA microparticle system was generated by Sunshine et al. as an aAPCs with 4.3 μm average diameter. Different aspect ratios have been investigated in T cells expansion efficacy (61). Compared with a spherical shape, ellipsoidal aAPCs dramatically improved T cell proliferation both in vitro and in vivo, especially at higher aspect ratios. The same tendency was observed with nanoscale aAPCs (131). On the aspect of surface topography, Fadel et al. developed an aAPC platform based on carbon nanotube–polymer composite (CNP) with the average size around 20–40 μm (132). The surface of the CNP possessed many defects that induced high surface area for attaching the stimuli for T cells. IL-2, a cytokine for T-cell cluster initiation and persistence after antigen priming, was encapsulated in biotinylated PLGA nanoparticles together with magnetite. These CNP aAPCs facilitated T cell expansion, differentiation, and the number of obtained T cells was proportionated to a level that would require at least 1000-fold less soluble IL-2 under conventional culture conditions. In addition, the magnetite in the PLGA nanoparticles allowed magnetic removal of CNP aAPCs, which contained the utmost physically and chemically stable carbon nanotubes.
2.2.3 Polymeric Systems Utilized in CAR-T Cell Genetic Modification

Compared to viral transduction and nucleofection with expensive cost and safety issues, polymer-based nonviral gene delivery systems have recently become a potential candidate for CAR T-cell genetic modification. Cationic polymers which can electrostatically interact with negatively charged DNA and RNA to form polyplexes are the most favorable polymers for this purpose. Moreover, the positive charge of polyplex facilitates cellular uptake by the ionic interaction with negatively charged proteoglycans on the cell surface (133). Especially, if the cationic polymers contain amine groups, the amine groups will be protonated in the pH range of 5.0 to 6.8 and induce the proton sponge effect resulting in endosomal escape (134).

Numerous polymers have been exploited such as poly(β-amino ester) (PBAE) (135), poly(2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate) (pDMAEMA) (136), polyamidoamine (PAMAM) (137), and branched poly(ethyleneimine) (bPEI) (138).

In this part, we report different polymer architectures for nonviral gene delivery to T cells, arranging them by ex vivo and in situ application. We also review main key barriers that require further improvement to achieve more efficient transfection with these systems.

2.2.3.1 Ex Vivo Gene Delivery With Polymeric System

In 2018, Pun’s group investigated the transfection efficiencies of different synthesized polymers and their concomitant toxicity to T cells (139). They compared the transfection efficiency and cytotoxicity of branched polyethyleneimine (bPEI), VIPER (virus-inspired polymer for endosomal release), linear pDMAEMA290, linear-branched (comb), and cyclic-branched (sunflower) polymers with varying pH values and SMA branch lengths in the Jurkat human T cell line. VIPER is an amphiphilic polymeric system for endosomal release, linear pDMAEMA290, and branched polyethyleneimine (bPEI).

In this part, we report different polymer architectures for nonviral gene delivery to T cells, arranging them by ex vivo and in situ application. We also review main key barriers that require further improvement to achieve more efficient transfection with these systems.

2.2.3.2 In Situ Gene Delivery With Polymeric System

It is worth mentioning that for covering the expensive and laborious ex vivo CAR-T cell generation, in situ polymer-based gene delivery strategies have been developed. In 2017, Matthias T. Stephan’s research group utilized the polyglutamic acid (PGA)-conjugated antibody decorated poly(β-amino ester) (PBAE)-DNA polyplexes to target the T cell and genetically modify host T cells with leukemia-specific CAR genes in vivo (145). The nanocarrier has the core-shell structure, where the core was plasmid DNA complexed with PBAE polymers grafted peptides containing microtubule-associated sequences (MTAS) and nuclear localization signals (NLS) to facilitate nuclear plasmid import of their genetic cargo via the microtubule transport machinery under resting T-cell conditions. They used two plasmids, one with the leukemia-specific 194-1BBz CAR and one for coding the hyperactive iP7B transposase for stable CAR integration. The shell of the nanocarrier was poly(l-glutamic acid) (PGA)-modified anti-CD3ε f(ab′)2 for T cell targeting. The nanocarriers were systemically delivered into mice and the result indicated 34% (± 5.1%) of the circulating T lymphocytes bound CD3-targeted nanoparticles after 4 h, whereas the signals from off-target cells were 5.9 ± 2.8% after 4 h. To investigate the in-situ reprogramming circulating T cells ability of the nanocarrier system, five sequential doses of 3 × 10^11 nanoparticles were intravenously injected into mice bearing B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Interestingly, only the injected nanoparticles co-encapsulated 194-1BBz and iP7B transgenes groups showed rapidly and efficiently programmed peripheral T cells to recognize leukemia cells. After that, these T cells robustly replicated and differentiated to effector phenotypes while keeping a high-level expression of the CAR transgene over 24 d, then...
achieved a CD44^high^ CD62L^+^ memory phenotype. Remarkably, the tumor-inhibition profile of in situ nanocarrier-programmed CAR-T cells was commensurate with high-dose adoptively transferred CAR-T cells.

In summary, the engineering and manufacturing of CAR-T remain a challenge for the widespread adoption of this technology. To overcome these challenges, we have introduced two main strategies using polymer systems to engineer T cells into CAR-T cells. As T cells need to be expanded for the engineering to CAR-T cells, novel biodegradable polymeric systems that act as synthetic dendritic cells can be utilized. Further, the use of branched cationic polymers and advanced polymeric nanocarriers can improve the genetic transfection of CAR genes into T cells (Figure 3).

2.3 Oncolytic Virus

2.3.1 Monotherapy of Oncolytic Virus

Oncolytic virotherapy is the most auspicious access for tumor immunotherapy. The vital advantage of oncolytic virotherapy is based on the ability of replication-competent viruses that can proliferate selectively at tumor cells (146). Among many different viruses, adenoviruses can be represented as an example for illustrating oncolytic viruses as a whole. In 2005, the State Food and Drug Administration of China approved Oncorine, a replicative, oncolytic recombinant ad5 (rAd5-H101) for treating refractory nasopharyngeal cancer. This was considered the first approved oncolytic virotherapy for clinical use in the world (147, 148). Many types of cancer cells lose the p53 gene which causes drug resistance and lower survival rates in cancer patients (149). The p53 gene inactivation cell can halt the activation of apoptotic pathway. The Oncorine is a human serotype 5 adenovirus which is deleted by the E1B 55K gene. The elimination of the E1B 55K gene prohibits viral proliferation in normal cells, tolerating only multiples in the p53-lacking host cells. Therefore, the rAd5-H101 selectively proliferates in tumor cells and causes cancer cell lysis. The newly generated viruses release and infect surrounding cancer cells which leads to a chain-reaction of ultimate cancer cell destruction (150). The Oncolytic Adenovirus (oAd) recently become one of the most interesting generic immunotherapies for cancer in numerous phases of clinical trials (151, 152). The adenovirus (Ad) possesses several advantages that are beneficial for generic immunotherapy, such as the transduction ability of dividing and non-dividing cells with high efficacy, high loading capacity, easy modification of Ad genome, high production of viral progenies, and subsequent spreading of progenies to adjacent cancer cells (153–156).

It is noteworthy that the virus propagation inducing cell lysis is an extremely immunogenic process (157). This aspect is crucially relevant considering that the cancer cells usually disguise themselves from the host immune systems. The cell lysis releases multiple immunogenic molecules, such as abundant tumor-associated antigens for presenting to dendritic cells. The released virus genomes induce immunological danger signals through pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) and
damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) receptors. These simultaneous actions stimulate the adaptive immune system, including helper CD4+ T cells and cytotoxic CD8+ T cells, toward the tumor resulting in disabling the tumor immunosuppression (158). Moreover, the T cell immunity toward the replicated adenovirus can enhance the overall antitumor process (159). The adenovirus infection can also indirectly activate the nature killing cells response for further immunogenic tumor inhibition (160). Indeed, many reports have investigated and confirmed the ability to inhibit the growth of different tumors of locally administered oAds, both in preclinical and clinical cases (161–166). The Oncorine is representative of oAds that have been used for clinical cancer treatment. However, the oAds still face certain challenges that narrow the therapeutic effectiveness for clinical trials.

One of the major hurdles for oAds’ efficacy is the pre-existing humoral immunity of the host body. Nowadays the human adenovirus serotype 5 (Ad5) is the most popularly used for adenoviral virotherapy and many reports showed that high percentages of the general population possess anti-Ad5 neutralizing antibodies (Nabs) which can easily terminate the bioactivity of Ad5. On the other hand, another utmost limitation of utilizing oAds for cancer therapy is the internalization of oAds dramatically depends on appropriate receptors, such as the Coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor (CAR) on the surface of targeted cells. The CAR is a protein that belongs to a type I membrane receptor for subgroup C adenoviruses. CAR protein is expressed in many human tissues, including brain, heart, and some endothelial and epithelial cells (167–169). The efficiency of adenoviral transgene expression and CAR expression have been corresponded in numerous studies, suggesting that adenoviral binding and entry into target cells play an important role in achieving successful adenoviral gene expression (170, 171). Unfortunately, several cancer cell lines and clinical cancer tumors have been frequently observed in the loss of CAR expression, preventing attempts to achieve adequate oncolytic adenovirus virotherapy for cancer patients (172–175). It is impossible for oAds to obtain satisfactory remedial efficacy without overwhelming this CAR-dependent internalization. To overcome this, most currently ongoing clinical trials of oAds have been genetically modified to equip the beneficial fiber region. This adjusted fiber region can improve cellular internalization of the adenovirus and is independent of CAR expression level in heterogenic clinical tumor or tumor-specific internalization (176–178). However, processing genetic engineering for optimization of fiber-modified virus is risky and contains various disadvantages such as excessive cost, time, and labor-consuming. Inappropriate genetic editing can cause viral replicability loss, viral inactivity, and inadequate gene sequence expression (163).

To overcome these severe limitations of both local and systemic administration of oAd, many advanced polymer-based delivery techniques have been developed in recent years. The polymer-based delivery techniques can enhance the bioavailability while at the same time provide the necessary (Figure 4) protection of oAd. The delivery and tumoral targeting profile of encapsulated oAd can be adapted to the specific medical goals by choosing a proper encapsulation polymer (179, 180). By covering the outer surface of oAd, the polymer-based delivery systems can avoid adverse problems caused by the viral capsid. Furthermore, the applied materials can sufficiently equip the oAd with beneficial properties and override the natively disadvantageous attributes of viral vectors, which efficiently contribute to improving the tumor-specific accumulation of oAd (58, 181–183) (Table 2).

2.3.2 Combination Oncolytic Adenovirus Therapy With Polymer Systems

2.3.2.1 Overcoming CAR-Dependent oAd Uptake by Cationic Polymers

The Ad surface interestingly possesses negative charge (188, 189). Therefore, the anionic surface of Ad can electrostatically interact with the cationic polymer to form an Ad/polymer complex. Because of the cationic charge of the polymer, the complex surface is positive, therefore, the complex can enhance the cellular uptake and transgene expression of Ad. Various kinds of polymers have been used for complexing with Ad, most of them based on the positively charged amine groups in the backbone of the polymers. It is noteworthy that the secondary and tertiary amines additionally have high buffering capacity, which compellingly promotes the escape from endosomes into the cytosol of the virus due to the proton sponge effect (190, 191). The polymer structure can be beneficially designed and easily controlled to obtain the advanced bio-function for improving oAd tumor-inhibition efficacy. The method to generate oAd/polymer complex is also straightforward and effortless compared to genetic editing or chemical modification of the virus structure. The oAd/polymer complex can be formed in an aqueous buffer without any additional steps or chemicals. Notably, the original bioactivity of the oAd does not change and is preserved in the complex. On the other hand, the remaining limitations of this method are that the cationic oAd/polymer complex not only can specifically internalize to the cancer cells but also uptake to the body’s healthy cells, subsequently increasing cytotoxicity toward the body. Moreover, the electrostatic interaction of the complex can easily be dissociated in the bloodstream through intravenous injection via associating the cationic polymer with the negatively charged serum protein. The association with some specific serum protein can also trigger the interaction with macrophages and monocytes (192, 193).

2.3.2.1.1 Engineered Poly(Ethyleneimine) for oAd. In the history of developing transfection reagents, beside the polylysine, the poly (ethyleneimine) (PEI) was the second polymeric transfection agent discovered (194–196). The repeating unit of PEI consists of the amine group and two carbon aliphatic spacers. Depending on whether the PEI is linear, branched, or dendrimer form, the structure of PEI can contain primary, secondary, or tertiary amino groups. The PEI (25 kDa) is considered as a standard model for transfection reagent because of its high transgene expression (197, 198). Though the PEI performs high cytotoxicity by two mechanisms, the cationic charge of PEI can possibly disrupt the cell membrane and lead to necrotic cell death or disrupt the mitochondrial membrane after cytosol internalization leading to apoptosis. Many attempts have been made to
reduce the PEI cytotoxicity, including modified with non-toxic polymers such as polyethylene glycol (PEG) or other biopolymers, or cholesterol (199–203). Among these attempts, various bioreducible PEIs have been studied for applying cancer therapy (204–206). The bioreducible PEI contains the disulfide moiety in the copolymer blocks, which will degrade via a reductive environment in the cytoplasm and release the therapeutic materials. The degraded fragments can be clearly excreted by the host body's excretory system producing low systemic cytotoxicity. In 2015, a new low molecular weight PEI multi cross-linked to bioreducible disulfide cystamine core (rPEI) had been generated by Choi et al. and complexed with Ad (54). The Ad/rPEI complex showed remarkably higher transduction efficiency compared to naked Ad in both CAR-positive and -negative cancer cells, which suggests that the complex can independently transduce to CAR expression cancer cells. Moreover, the GFP intensity of GFP-expressing Ad in the 16kDa rPEI complex was manifold higher than Ad/25 kDa PEI in all A549 (7.7-fold), HT1080 (2.9-fold), and MCF7 cells (2.0-fold), which exhibited the remarkable transduction efficiency of the Ad/rPEI. The oncolytic Ad expressing short hairpin RNA against c-Met mRNA complexed with rPEI, demonstrated more efficient cancer cell killing effect, suppression of Met and VEGF level, and viral production than naked Ad. In recent times, Lee et al. developed a bile acid-conjugated 1.8 kDa PEI (DA3). The VEGF inhibitory gene (KOX) expressing-oncolytic Ad was complexed with DA3 (KOX/DA3) and showed a higher transduction efficiency than naked oAd in both CAR-positive and -negative cancer cells (184). Interestingly, the internalization mechanism of the Ad/DA3 complex and naked Ad were investigated and the results indicated that the mechanism of cellular uptake of the Ad/DA3 complex differed from that of naked Ad. The Ad/DA3 complex appeared to be transduced via clathrin-, caveolae-, and macropinocytosis-mediated endocytosis, whereas the naked Ad appeared to internalize cells mainly by clathrin-mediated endocytosis. The KOX/DA3 exhibited an improved antitumor efficacy compared with naked KOX. The data suggest the DA3 can facilitate the amplification and active replication of KOX.

2.3.2.1.2 Biodegradable/Reducible Polymers Coated oAd. In 2013, a biodegradable methoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly[N-[N-(2-aminoethyl)-2-aminoethyl]- L-glutamate (PNLG) poly-
mer was generated and used to coat oncolytic Ad (Ad-ΔB7-U6shIL8) (oAd/PNLG) by our group (185). The GFP-expressing Ad complexed PNLG showed improvement in transgene expression in both positive and negative CAR-expressing cells than naked Ad and Ad/bPEI in vitro. In addition, the oAd/PNLG showed better cancer cell killing efficacy in vitro than naked Ad, Ad/PEI. The biodistribution result demonstrated higher tumor accumulation when systemically administered oAd/PNLG compared with naked Ad and Ad/PEI. The oAd/PNLG showed 1229-fold higher tumor-to-liver ratio than the naked oAd. It is noteworthy that the oAd/PNLG also showed significantly lower innate and adaptive immune responses than the naked Ad. Another cationic polymer was introduced by our group in 2014 especially containing arginine moieties that enable promotion of cellular internalization in both low and high CAR-expressing cells (55). The polymer, mPEG-PEI-g-Arg-S-S-Arg-g-PEI-mPEG (PPSA), contains multiple arginine functional moieties for increasing transgene expression and introduced a bioreducible disulfide bond to lower cytotoxicity. The oncolytic Ad (DWP418) was complexed with PPSA (DWP418/PPSA) and intratumorally injected into CAR negative MCF7 xenograft mice. The result revealed that the DWP418/PPSA provided more effective anti-tumor responses compared with naked DWP418. Moreover, the results also indicated the DWP418/PPSA-treated mice produced less innate immune response and oAd-specialized neutralizing antibodies than the only DWP418-treated group but produced more viral replication and viral cancer cell lysis in tumor tissues. The optimistic results demonstrate the advantages of utilizing the bioreducible and biodegradable polymer masked oAd in cancer treatment.

### TABLE 2 | Summary of recent research on different polymeric systems for oAd.

| Methods          | Polymer systems                  | System properties$^{a)}$ | Oncolytic adenoviruses | Cancer cellmodel | Efficacy                                                                 | Ref. |
|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Physical interaction | Multidegradable bioreducible core- cross-linked polyethyleneimine (rPEI) | D: 192.9nm Z: 24.3mV     | RdB/shMet              | MCF7, A49, HT1080 | Improved transduction efficacy and achieved CAR-independent cell internalization. | (54) |
| Physical interaction | Bile acid-conjugated poly(ethylenimine) (OA3) | D: 324 ± 3.08 nm Z: 10.13 ± 0.21 mV | RdB-KOX                | HT1080           | Hindered tumor angiogenesis and enhanced anti-tumor efficacy         | (184) |
| Physical interaction | Methoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly (N-(2-aminoethyl)-2-aminoethyl-L-glutamate) (PNLG) | D: 130-140 nm Z: 19 mV     | Ad-DB7- U6shIL8        | HT1080           | Highly enhanced tumor accumulation and anti-tumor efficacy, preserved bioactivity of Ad at 37°C | (185) |
| Physical interaction | mPEG-PEI-g-Arg-S-S-Arg-g-PEI-mPEG (PPSA) | D: 200 nmZ: 19.6 ± 0.9 mV | DWP418                 | MCF7             | Increased transduction efficacy and obtained CAR-independent cell internalization, improved anti-tumor efficacy | (55) |
| Chemical interaction | Polyethylene glycol (PEG) | D: 122.8-138.5nm Z: 19.6 ± 0.9 mV | Ad-GL                  | Hep3B, LNCaP, HCC | 20-kDa PEGylation of oAd reduced transduction of the liver and toxicity, improved anti-tumor efficacy | (56) |
| Physical interaction | Poly(amicodiamine) dendrimer (PAMAM) | No data                  | Ad5-CMV/NIS           | FDC            | Lowered hepatic accumulation, significantly delayed tumor growth and extended survival | (186) |
| Physical interaction | Amphiphilic polyphenylene dendrimer (PPD) | D: 200nm Z: -40 mV       | Ad5                    | CHO-K1          | Increased internalization into CAR-negative cells and introduced new concepts and a possibility for binding cancer cell targeting groups | (57) |
| Physical interaction | poly(CBA-DAH)-PEG-RGD | D: 267.6 ± 54.8 nm Z: 10.13 ± 0.9 mV | ADB7- U6shIL8        | HT1080, MCF7     | Increased both transduction and achieved CAR-independent, only need integrins for targeting cancer cell transduction | (182, 187) |
| Physical interaction | Chitosan–PEG-folic acid | D: 140 nm Z: 2.1 mV | Hmt                    | KB              | Targeted and increased tumor accumulation at follic acid receptor overexpress cancer cell model, increase the anti-tumor efficacy | (58, 181) |

$^{a)}$D, diameter; Z, Zetapotential.

#### 2.3.2.2 Tumor Targeting by Oncolytic Ad/Polymer

On the other hand, the complexes of oAd with cationic polymer still face diverse obstacles for clinical translation, such as the cationic Ad/polymer complex not only non-specifically internalizing the cancer cells but also uptaking the normal tissues, subsequently causing cytotoxicity. The electrostatic interaction of the complex can also easily be dissociated in the bloodstream through intravenous injection via associating the cationic polymer with the negatively charged serum protein (207–209).

#### 2.3.2.2.1 PEGylation of Oncolytic Ad

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a biocompatible, synthetic, hydrophilic polyether, composed of CH₂CH₂O repeat units. Abundant therapeutic drugs are frustrated by short half-lives via associating the cationic polymer with the negatively charged serum protein (207–209).
The 20kDa PEG conjugated oAd dramatically reduced liver accumulation and hepatotoxicity by systemic administration. Compared with naked and 5-kDa PEGylated oAd, the 20-kDa PEGylated oAd showed reduction hepatocyte transduction by 19- or 90-fold, respectively, in hepatocarcinoma xenograft tumor models. Moreover, the 20-kDa PEGylated oAd administered mice possessed average survival rate double to only the naked oAd group. The effectiveness of higher molecular weight PEGylated oAd can be explained by PEGylated oAd that has a higher molecular weight and larger hydrodynamic radius than the naked oAd and cleared from the body at a much slower rate by kidney or Kupffer cells in the liver, subsequently increasing the half-life and passive tumor targeting through EPR effect. These positive advantages promise that high molecular weight PEGylation can benefit the therapeutic and survival efficacy of oncolytic adenovirus.

2.3.2.2.2 Dendrimer-Coated Ad. Dendrimers are highly ordered, symmetric, branched polymeric molecules. Many reports have shown that dendrimers potentially transfer genes into cells without damaging or deactivating the DNA (215–217). Poly(amidoamine), or PAMAM, is the most popular dendrimer, which used ethylene diamine or ammonium as a core molecule. In 2013, amine-terminated generation 5 PAMAM dendrimer was used to coat sodium iodide symporter (NIS) expressing oncolytic Ad (Ad5-CMV/NIS) (186). This research conducted by Gruanwald et al. showed the complex improved the transduction efficacy in CAR-negative cells and preserved the activity of Ad against neutralizing Abs. Moreover, the \textsuperscript{123}I scintigraphy of mice from biodistribution results demonstrated systematically administered PAMAM-complexed Ad5-CMV/NIS significantly diminished transgene expression and induced lower liver toxicity than naked Ad5-CMV/NIS. Further, the \textit{in vivo} antitumor study indicated the PAMAM-complexed Ad5-CMV/NIS possessed higher tumor inhibition efficacy and survival rate than the naked Ad. Through the research, the PAMAM complex displayed improvement in tumor targeting and reducing liver accumulation of oAd, therefore suggesting potential application of oncolytic virotherapy by systemic administration. Interestingly, in 2020 Wagner et al. reported an amphiphilic polyphenylene dendrimer (dendron) to complex with adenovirus which contains a propargyl-modified triethylene glycol linker at the core (57). This linker of the dendron provides for the complex system high aqueous solubility and the possibility to introduce chemical modifications on the viral surface without directly covalently modifying the virus particles. The research showed that the dendrons link to the surface of the adenovirus through their polar and nonpolar surface groups. The report indicated the masking dendrons can promote the internalization of the Ad/dendron complexes into CAR-negative CHO-K1 cells. Even though the research did not proceed any further \textit{in vivo} experiments, it did introduce a new concept and a possibility for binding cancer cell targeting groups, subsequently expanding the therapeutic potential of oAd.

2.3.2.2.3 Oncolytic Ad Complexed CD-PEG-cRGD. Even though utilizing cationic polymer masking oAd can improve the therapeutic efficacy, there are still several limitations for the clinical setting. Some nano complexes have low diffusion and the positive charge of the complex can induce normal cell internalization or interact with RES, leading to low tumoral accumulation (207).

Our group has developed an Arg-Glye-Asp (RGD) peptide domain conjugated poly(cystaminebisacrylamine-diaminohexane) [poly(CBA-DAH)] (CD) for modifying oAd to target the tumor side (187). The new biodegradable polymer CD possesses the disulfide bonds which allow the polymer to be smoothly cleaved into harmless fragments when reaching the reductive environment of the cytoplasm. The cyclic RGD, on the other hand, can specifically target avb3 and avb5 integrins which were overexpressed in abundant types of tumor cells (218, 219). The oAd/cRGD-conjugated CD complex increased both transduction and cancer cell killing effect with high specificity in a dose-dependent manner \textit{in vitro}. The competition assay, which used anti-CAR and anti-integrin antibodies, demonstrated that the oAd/cRGD-conjugated CD complex achieved CAR-independence and only needed integrins for targeting cancer cell transduction, contracted to naked Ad which needs both of CAR and integrins to infect. Furthermore, the oAd/cRGD-conjugated CD complex also showed dramatically induced apoptosis and necrosis besides reduced VEGF and IL-8 secreting in cancer cells compared to naked Ad. The \textit{in vivo} antitumor efficacy of the oncolytic Ad/CD-PEG-cRGD complex was further reported in a lung orthotopic tumor model in 2014 (182). The oAd was successfully covered by the bioreducible CD-PEG-cRGD polymer help to avoid anti-viral immune responses resulting in decreased hepatotoxicity. More importantly, the length of PEG moiety showed a large impact on the therapeutic effect of the system. The CD-PEG\textsubscript{2000}-cRGD, which has 2000 Da PEG length, coated with oAd obtained a better tumor growth inhibition than the CD-PEG\textsubscript{500}-cRGD coated oncolytic Ad, illustrating that PEG with longer length could improve the pharmacokinetic and tumoral accumulation of the complex. These reports have indicated the cRGD and CD-PEG-cRGD potentially enhanced the transduction and tumoral accumulation of the oAd according to the interaction between tumor homing peptides and integrins.

2.3.2.2.4 Folate Receptor Overexpressed Cancer Therapy With Oncolytic Ad/Chitosan PEG-FA. In recent years, folic acid (FA) has been wildly investigated as an active targeting moiety for cancer therapy according to a large number of cancer cells overexpress folate receptors on their surface (220–222). To utilize the tumoral targeting property of FA, our group has developed an oAd/chitosan-PEG-FA complex by the advanced electrospinning technique (58, 181). After the electrospinning process, the chitosan-PEG-FA coating on the Ad was confirmed by the size and the \( \zeta \)-potential of the complex as well as the biological activity of the Ad was preserved. Furthermore, the oAd/chitosan–PEG–FA obtained the blood retention time 48.9-fold higher than the naked oAd and the liver uptake was also 378-fold reduced. This suggested the PEG moiety can lower the non-specific liver uptake dramatically. It is noteworthy that the oAd/chitosan–PEG–FA significantly increased the tumor-to-
liver ratio by $1.08 \times 10^5$-fold compared to naked oAd. This strongly exhibited the excellence in tumor selectivity of oAd/chitosan–PEG–FA complex in vivo. The antitumor efficacy result confirmed the oncolytic Ad/chitosan–PEG possessed more novel therapeutic effect than the oncolytic Ad/chitosan–PEG.

### 2.3.2.3 Combined With Immune Cell Therapy by Polymeric Hydrogel

Another interesting utilization of a polymeric system is hydrogel. The hydrogel is the polymer matrix which contains a high amount of water or biological fluid. Therefore, the hydrogel can be utilized to deliver several types of therapeutic agents, including cells or viruses. One researcher of our group in 2017 applied gelatin-hydroxyphenyl propionic acid (GHPA)-based hydrogel to co-deliver oAd and dendritic cells (DCs) for combined immunotherapy (223). The DCs can present TAA to cytotoxic T cells to induce tumor-specific immunity while the oAd can co-express interleukin (IL)-12 and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) to elicit synergistic tumor growth inhibition. The hydrogel system successfully protected the biological activity and released both oAd and DCs in a controlled manner, leading to a long retention time of both therapeutics in the tumor site. Moreover, the expression level of IL-12, GM-CSF, and interferon-γ (IFN-γ) in the tumor treated with oAd- and DC-loaded gel (oAd + DC/gel) was dramatically higher than oAd or DC only, or the dual injection without gel (oAd + DC). As a result, the number of both activated endogenous and exogenous DCs, the number of DCs migrated to lymph nodes, and the tumor infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells are remarkably high in the (oAd + DC/gel) samples. Further, the tumor inhibition profile of the (oAd + DC/gel) group indicated the best antitumor performant which demonstrated the novelty of this method. By utilizing gelatin-based hydrogel, the research showed the potential of co-delivery oAd and DCs to the tumor tissue not only can preserve but also induce synergistic immune response with a single dose for a relatively long time.

In summary, systemic delivery of oAd has shown limited therapeutic efficacy due to hepatotoxicity, immunogenicity, and CAR-dependent transduction of Ad. Therefore, surface modification of oAd with polymeric systems provides a novel delivery strategy which can reduce immunogenicity, nonspecific liver sequestration and hepatotoxicity, and enhance transduction efficacy. Furthermore, it prolongs blood retention time and enhances overall intratumoral accumulation of Ad, ultimately leading to potent therapeutic efficacy.

### 3 CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Cancer immunotherapies using ICI, CAR-T, and OV are very new and promising treatment strategies to eradicate tumors and inhibit tumor metastasis by activating the immune system. These strategies can be considered as a game-changer for modern cancer treatment in the next coming time. Despite abundant advancements and excellent clinical outcomes, many challenges are remaining and need to be overcome, relating to low antitumor efficiency, costly processes, and side effects (224, 225). In this review, we have summarized divergent polymeric systems for improving the overall therapeutic efficacy of mentioned cancer immunotherapies. Through utilization of appropriate polymeric systems, the above-mentioned disadvantages of immunotherapies can be resolved and can further enhance therapeutic efficacy, biocompatibility, and high specificity. Polymeric systems provide a novel delivery method with numerous benefits such as low toxicity, excellent biodegradability, and flexible surface and size modification for the conjugation of immune ligands and the loading of immunotherapeutic agents. It is notable that polymeric systems can protect and preserve the bioactivity of bioactive agents, insulating them from the unfavorable immune reaction or stimulate the favorable one in the body condition.

Regardless of these polymeric systems-mediated delivery of immunotherapy methods, there are still hurdles that remain before the application to patients in the clinic, such as low treatment efficacy, resistance to cancer immunotherapies, patient safety issues, and expensive treatment costs. Therefore, further research must be conducted to improve current delivery strategies. Delivery systems must yield a more effective and reliable approach for the delivery of immunotherapy agents. New methods to proliferate and engineer immune cell therapies ex vivo should also be developed with lower-cost manufacturing methods. For these purposes, it is expected that in the future, polymeric systems will be more extensively and ingeniously fabricated for cancer immunotherapies, hence enhancing their efficacy, and lowering immune-related side effects. Moreover, for the development of the clinical translation of nanomedicine, extra investigation on whole-body biocompatibility and the effects of various polymer systems on different organs is necessary. Furthermore, the next generation of polymer nanotechnology-based immunotherapy should supremely possess multiple functions, including targeting capability, smart responsiveness, and convenient applicability. Especially the personalized immune treatment with the assistant of polymer nanoparticles will be a critical and promising research trend in the future.
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