Implementation of a Nurse-Driven Asthma Pathway in the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
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INTRODUCTION

Asthma is the most common chronic disease of childhood in the United States, affecting 6.2 million children with over 135,000 hospital admissions per year and one of the most common reasons for admission to the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU). Its economic burden has been estimated to be $56 billion annually. Rates of admissions to PICUs have increased dramatically over the past decade for severe asthma exacerbations. Despite the volume of children and adolescents treated in PICUs, there remain significant variations in the care delivered and outcomes achieved.

Acute severe asthma, also known as critical asthma and/or status asthmaticus, represents a proportion of hospitalized asthmatics who are unresponsive to traditional asthma therapies on presentation, including repeated doses of beta-agonists. This distinct patient population typically requires continuous bronchodilator therapy and is at risk for acute respiratory failure from severe bronchospasm. Continuous nebulized albuterol therapy is safe and effective in acute severe asthma, though there remains little evidence on effective dosing and strategies of stepwise weaning.

To achieve decreased variability and improve care, many pediatric hospitals have implemented standardized asthma pathways. These pathways have been developed in the emergency department, and inpatient settings, and have decreased LOS, costs, and exposure to medications. Recently, quality improvement efforts in PICUs have shown promise to decrease the LOS and length of time spent receiving continuous nebulized bronchodilator...
treatments. These studies have used various objective asthma scores combined with standardized algorithms to de-escalate care.

In our PICU, patients with acute severe asthma are cared for by a team of attending pediatric intensivists, pediatric critical care fellows, pediatric and emergency medicine residents, critical care nurses, and respiratory therapists (RTs). Nurses complete assessments at least hourly on all patients, providing bedside nurses the best perspective on patients’ overall clinical trajectory. Many other areas of critical care management have been improved by implementing nurse-driven protocols, including reductions in catheter-associated urinary tract infections, optimized sedation management, and earlier initiation of complete enteral nutrition.

Further, incorporating these algorithms and protocols into the electronic medical record (EMR) as clinical decision support (CDS) tools has improved process measures. We developed a quality improvement project to develop a standardized asthma pathway with a nurse-driven weaning algorithm for continuous nebulized albuterol therapy. Our overall goal was to reduce PICU LOS, total hospital LOS, and time on continuous albuterol therapy.

METHODS

Setting

MassGeneral for Children is a quaternary care pediatric hospital within Massachusetts General Hospital in Boston, Massachusetts, with a 14-bed medical/surgical PICU that admits over 1100 patients each year. The PICU is staffed by a multidisciplinary team as described above. The nursing to patient ratio is never more than 2:1.

MassGeneral for Children transitioned its EMR to a new system in April 2016. The EMR contains pediatric-specific flowsheets, which allow the documentation of separate components of an objective asthma scoring tool known as the WARME. The WARME score was adapted from the Cincinnati Children’s Hospital bronchiolitis score and represented a collection of validated components of other objective asthma scoring tools. This score involves the assessment of wheezing, air exchange, respiratory rate, and expiratory time.

Eligible patients in the quality improvement pathway were between the ages of 12 months and 18 years, admitted to the PICU with a primary diagnosis of asthma, and required continuous albuterol therapy for more than 6 hours. We deliver continuous albuterol in our institution through the use of a high-flow nasal cannula system. We excluded patients if they required noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (separate from high-flow nasal cannula), intubation and mechanical ventilation, or escalation in therapy beyond continuous albuterol, including starting on terbutaline, theophylline, or ketamine infusions. We also excluded patients if they had a history of congenital or acquired cardiovascular disease, cystic fibrosis, or bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Patients on continuous albuterol for less than 6 hours were not included to account for rapidly improved patients. These patients may not have initially required continuous treatments but were receiving albuterol on admission and then quickly transitioned off following assessment by the PICU team. Patients in our unit receiving continuous albuterol are also initiated on intravenous steroids and nebulized ipratropium bromide.

Intervention

We separated our quality improvement project into two major “Plan, Do, Study, Act” (PDSA) cycles between September 2016 and April 2019 and obtained baseline data from November 2016 through August 2017. A multidisciplinary group of pediatric critical care physicians, pediatric residents, pediatric critical care nurses, and RTs designed the quality improvement pathway based on available evidence, current institutional practices, and existing guidelines used in the emergency department and inpatient floors. In addition, we developed a key driver diagram to assist with designing interventions (Fig. 1).

The first part of our project involved standardizing workflow with selecting and implementing an objective asthma scoring tool to be used by physicians, nurses, and PICU RTs. We chose the WARME score based on its use elsewhere within our institution and its combination of elements of other commonly validated asthma scores, which RNs could quickly adopt in our unit. Nurses and RTs initially completed scoring on paper forms at the patient’s bedside, and then subsequently, they were adapted into the EMR in November 2016. Scores were documented by nursing at least every 2 hours on all patients receiving continuous albuterol.

Following standard scoring assessment, we developed a weaning algorithm as our first PDSA cycle. The existing practice was to wean continuous albuterol in increments of 5 mg/h until down to 5 mg/h and requiring a physician assessment and specific order for each change followed by a transition to every 2-hour nebulized albuterol. The new protocol included inclusion/exclusion criteria described above and made additional recommendations for weaning (Fig. 2). The algorithm specified nursing assessments at least every 2 hours based on an existing policy with protocol orders based on the WARME score. The WARME scores range from 0 to 8, with a score < 4 indicating the ability of the nurse and RT to decrease continuous albuterol by 5 mg/h. Decreases are also indicated with two consecutive scores equal to 4. WARME score > 6 prompts contacting the responding clinician to consider an increase in therapy. Continuous albuterol infusion is weaned in increments of 5 mg/h until reaching 5 mg/hour. From 5 mg/h, the next wean is the discontinuation of the infusion and initiation of nebulized albuterol every 2 hours from infusion cessation as per existing policy. Initiation of the weaning protocol order during this PDSA was performed by entering a nurse communication order.
when the patient’s physician felt the patient was ready to begin the weaning process.

The second PDSA cycle involved modifying the existing asthma orders in our EMR and integrating the new weaning algorithm into electronic documentation. This change included adding a titratable continuous albuterol infusion order that triggers a CDS tool that delivered an alert following every WARME score entered in the EMR flow sheet with instructions of what to do with the albuterol infusion based on the developed protocol.

**Measures**

The process measure for this improvement initiative was the duration of continuous albuterol therapy, measured in hours. We extracted time from the EMR through orders and flow sheet documentation review at set intervals throughout the study to test each change. Long-term outcomes of interest included length of stay (LOS) in the PICU, the total dose of continuous albuterol received, and total weight-based dosing of continuous albuterol. The balancing measure was total hospital LOS, as PICU interventions may change the dynamics of the patient transfer to the inpatient ward. We tracked our measures monthly through the improvement cycles.

**Analysis**

Statistical process control charts were used to monitor outcomes, with time-based data aggregated monthly into subgroups into an Xbar-S chart. Standard rules for identifying special cause variation were applied with two measures of special cause the focus; either eight consecutive points above or below the centerline or any point outside three-sigma control limits. In aggregate PDSA cycle periods, we calculated means and standard deviations for patient demographics and outcomes compared with ANOVA. \( P < 0.05 \) (2-sided) were considered significant. Control charts were created and analyzed with QI Macros (2019 KnowWare International, Inc, Denver, Colo.). Statistical analyses were performed in SAS Software (Version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C.).

**RESULTS**

During the baseline data collection (November 2016–August 2017) and PDSA cycle time frame (November 2017–April 2019), 126 PICU patients met inclusion criteria, with 32 (25.4%) during baseline collection, 60 (47.6%) after weaning algorithm development and implementation (PDSA#1), and 34 (25.3%) after CDS
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implementation (PDSA#2). The mean age and weight of all patients were 6.62 years (range 1–17 years) and 29.4 kg. The starting dose of continuous albuterol ranged from 10 mg/h to 20 mg/h with a mean dose by weight across the study period of 0.66 mg/kg/h (Table 1).

The statistical process chart for continuous albuterol duration is shown in Figure 3. PDSA cycles 1 and 2 are noted; Special cause variation was met in July 2018 just before implementing the CDS tool with a mean decrease of 45.9 to 29.2 hours and smaller control limits highlighting a less variable process.

Standard statistics revealed a mean ICU LOS of 2.96 days (±1.76) (Table 2), with no difference observed in ICU LOS across the PDSA cycles (P = 0.32). The SPC chart, shown in Figure 4 with PDSA cycles noted, shows no evidence of special cause. Hospital LOS increased following the baseline period to a mean of 4.12 days (±1.76) during PDSA #1 but decreased after PDSA #2 to a mean of 3.85 days (±1.44) (P = 0.88). Total albuterol dose varied from a mean of 439 to 463 mg without significant change. When weight-adjusted, total albuterol dose also did not differ across PDSA cycles (P = 0.77).

DISCUSSION

Severe acute asthma is a leading cause for PICU admission, and standardized pathways can help optimize quality measurement and possibly patient outcomes.13,15,24 Our project implemented a nurse-driven pathway involving a standardized respiratory score, a weaning algorithm, and

| Table 1. Patient Demographics |

| PDSA #, mean (SD) | Baseline | 1 | 2 | Total | P |
|-------------------|----------|---|---|-------|---|
| Age (years)       | 6.16 (3.36) | 7.14 (3.96) | 8.89 (16.6) | 6.62 (3.85) | 0.93 |
| Weight (kg)       | 29.8 (18.6) | 30.8 (19.8) | 26.4 (15.5) | 29.1 (18.9) | 0.44 |
| Starting albuterol dose (mg) | 15 (5.98) | 14.9 (5.86) | 14.8 (5.43) | 14.9 (5.72) | 0.92 |
| Starting albuterol dose (mg/kg) | 0.71 (0.50) | 0.60 (0.32) | 0.72 (0.45) | 0.66 (0.41) | 0.89 |
| Total             | 32       | 60       | 34       | 126     |    |
a CDS tool that decreased time on continuous bronchodilators measured by QI methodology. This asthma weaning approach is unique in using bedside nurses as the leaders in pathway implementation, using a standardized protocol and titratable order embedded in the nursing-specific flowsheets of the EMR. Just as ICU nurses titrate vasoactive medications to specific goals with titratable orders, we applied the same principles to continuous albuterol therapy. Nurse-driven pathways have been successful with asthma management on pediatric floors in similar studies.12 With consistent collaboration among the multi-disciplinary team, including nurses, RTs, pharmacists, and physicians, protocolized asthma management can be performed with possible improvements in healthcare costs and resources in the ICU setting.

Brennan et al. were some of the first to show that implementing an asthma pathway in the PICU could improve outcomes.13 Their study used a de-escalation pathway and a standardized asthma score to allow RTs to wean albuterol. As a result, they demonstrated reduced variability in PICU LOS and decreased time on continuous albuterol. Another study in the PICU also utilized RTs as the main providers utilizing a weaning algorithm. Still, the investigators could not show any significant LOS changes or time on continuous albuterol therapy. Our study was similar in using a standardized assessment score, but we also incorporated nursing as the primary decision-makers in scores and weaning. As the clinicians with the most bedside presence, the nurse’s assessment is likely to be more frequent and potentially with improved reliability than periodic assessments by RTs and physicians.

Melendez et al similarly showed that a pathway with standardization of both escalation and weaning of asthma therapies led to decreased hospital LOS and time on continuous albuterol.15 Their study utilized one weight-based dose for continuous albuterol and the routine use of Heliox for severe disease, which are not routine therapies or methods in our unit. Additionally, RTs and nurses led their pathway. Most recently, Miksa et al utilized a nurse and respiratory therapist-driven pathway leading to decreased PICU and hospital LOS and a decreased time to resolution of symptoms.24 Unlike our study, they included patients on noninvasive positive pressure ventilation, including BiPAP and CPAP. Our study focused on safe de-escalation of care and thus excluded those who required advanced therapies or support other than high-flow nasal cannula through which we deliver albuterol.

An outcome in many PICU-based asthma studies is the decrease in time spent receiving continuous albuterol therapy.13,24 Decreased time on continuous albuterol eliminates the need for a dedicated respiratory therapist and a requirement for ICU level of care in many hospitals,

![XBar-S Chart demonstrating the duration of continuous albuterol (in hours) over the study period; control limits in red, data in blue.](image)

### Table 2. Outcomes of Quality Improvement Efforts

| Outcome                               | Baseline            | 1                | 2                | Total               | P     |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Time on continuous albuterol (h)      | 42.4 (30.6)         | 41.4 (30.0)      | 35.2 (27.6)      | 40.0 (34.0)         | 0.32  |
| Total continuous albuterol received (mg) | 439 (402)          | 493 (471)       | 463 (453)       | 471 (446)          | 0.83  |
| Total continuous albuterol received (mg/kg) | 20.1 (24.6)       | 19.0 (20.3)     | 18.7 (13.4)     | 19.2 (19.9)        | 0.77  |
| ICU length of stay                    | 3.44 (1.79)         | 2.68 (1.59)      | 3.0 (1.25)       | 2.96 (1.58)        | 0.28  |
| Hospital length of stay               | 3.78 (1.84)         | 4.12 (1.76)      | 3.85 (1.44)      | 3.96 (1.70)        | 0.88  |
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thus freeing up resources and eventually moving toward earlier transfer. While our study did not show significant changes in the LOS, we measured this in days, potentially not capturing more minor changes. Other factors beyond clinical improvement may drive the timing of the transfer, including floor bed availability, PICU census, and time of day. We hypothesize that continued use and adherence to our pathway will further decrease time on continuous therapy and lead to decreased ICU LOS.

A unique aspect of our quality improvement approach not described in other asthma improvement reports is using a CDS within the EMR to promote pathway use for nursing and ordering providers. The use of CDS tools has had variable effects on healthcare outcomes in prior research. For our quality improvement study, before implementing the CDS within the EMR, providers had to refer to the weaning algorithms in different places and use workarounds to order continuous albuterol as a titratable drug with range-objective goals. Integration of the algorithm within the EMR allows for immediate feedback upon entering a WARME score into a flowsheet by the bedside nurse; this allows for easier weaning of the drug immediately without seeking separate reference tools or contacting the ordering physician. Our results demonstrated that after the phased introduction of different pathway elements, the CDS implementation was associated with a continued decrease in time receiving continuous albuterol. While it is possible this occurred because it was part of our final PDSA cycle, it also allowed for widespread use of our algorithm. It also promoted nurse autonomy which likely contributed to its success. The use of CDS tools also allows our intervention to be sustainable and serves as a model for implementation in similar units.

Our study has several limitations. As we performed this study in a single institution using existing therapies and practices, it may not be generalizable to other larger units. We did not include variables to help describe the severity of illness over our different PDSA cycles. However, we captured data over several years and during similar seasons to capture the expected and real-world seasonal variability in asthma exacerbations in our region. We also were unable to capture deviations from the pathway, which likely occurred. These deviations are typically physician-specific changes in which a particular patient is deemed, in their opinion, not to meet criteria for weaning or is weaned outside the typical algorithm. These deviations could have led to patients remaining on continuous bronchodilators for longer. However, our results demonstrate that positive change can increase awareness and renewed education even with the introduction of a pathway.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study adds to the growing body of literature on the feasibility and success of protocolized asthma management in the ICU setting. With a collaborative approach to patient care and continued reassessment, improved outcomes are possible. The use of bedside nurses as primary providers in the titration of critical care medications has long proved beneficial. Our study also shows this to be the case with respiratory medications. Future work is necessary to discern which parts of our protocol had the most success and determine the most effective pharmacotherapy in acute severe asthma.

DISCLOSURE

The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
The authors thank the pediatric critical care nurses, RTs, and pediatric and emergency medicine residents who assisted with the implementation of this study.

REFERENCES
1. Hasegawa K, Tsugawam Y, Brown D, et al. Childhood asthma hospitalizations in the United States, 2000–2009. J Pediatr. 2013;163:1127–1133.
2. Barnett SB, Nurmaganbetov TA. Costs of asthma in the United States: 2002-2007. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011;127:145–152.
3. Hartman ME, Linde-Zwirble WT, Angus DC, et al. Trends in admissions for pediatric status asthmaticus in New Jersey over a 15-year period. Pediatrics. 2010;126:e904–e911.
4. Bratton SL, Newth CJK, Zuppa A, et al. Critical care for pediatric asthma: wide care variability and challenges for study. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2012;13:407–414.
5. Papo MC, Frank J, Thompson AE. A prospective, randomized study of continuous versus intermittent nebulized albuterol for severe status asthmaticus in children. Crit Care Med. 1993;21:1479–1486.
6. Phumeetham S, Bahk TJ, Abd-Allah S, et al. Effect of high-dose continuous albuterol nebulization on clinical variables in children with status asthmaticus. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2015;16:e41–e46.
7. Federico Fernandez Nievas I, Anand KJS. Review article Severe acute asthma exacerbation in children: a stepwise approach for escalating therapy in a pediatric intensive care unit. J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther. 2013;18:88–104.
8. Johnson KB, Blaisdell CJ, Walker A, et al. Effectiveness of a clinical pathway for inpatient asthma management. Pediatrics. 2000;106:1006–1012.
9. O’Riordain MA, McDowell KM, Kercsmar CM, et al. A cost-saving algorithm for children hospitalized for status asthmaticus. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2013;167:977–984.
10. Pestian JP, Finch AB, Strope GL, et al. Improved outcomes for hospitalized asthmatic children using a clinical pathway. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2010;84:509–516.
11. Bansiai NC, Meadows-Oliver M. Inpatient asthma clinical pathways for the pediatric patient: an integrative review of the literature. Pediatr Nurs. 2004;30:447–450.
12. Sjoerdsmia MH, Bongaerts THG, van Lente L, et al. Nurse-driven clinical pathway based on an innovative asthma score reduces admission time for children. Pediatr Qual Saf. 2020;5:e344.
13. Brennan S, Lowrie L, Wooldridge J. Effects of a PICU status asthmaticus de-escalation pathway on length of stay and albuterol use. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2018;19:1.
14. Wong J, Agus MS, Graham DA, et al. A critical asthma standardized clinical and management plan reduces duration of critical asthma therapy. Hosp Pediatr. 2017;7:79–87.
15. Melendez E, Dwyer D, Donnelly D, et al. Standardized protocol is associated with a decrease in continuous albuterol use and length of stay in critical status asthmaticus. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2020;21:451–460.
16. Gervais LB, Larsen BMK, Garros D, et al. Adherence to a nurse-driven feeding protocol in a pediatric intensive care unit. J Parent Enter Nutr. 2017;42:014860711769275.
17. Rose K, Jean R, Fidellaga A, et al. Nurse-driven, protocol-directed weaning from mechanical ventilation improves clinical outcomes and is well accepted by intensive care unit physicians. J Crit Care. 2012;28:433–441.
18. Durant DJ. Nurse-driven protocols and the prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections: a systematic review. Am J Infect Control. 2017;45:1331–1341.
19. Dreyfus L, Javouhey E, Denis A, et al. Implementation and evaluation of a paediatric nurse-driven sedation protocol in a paediatric intensive care unit. Ann Intensive Care. 2017;7:36.
20. Bright TJ, Wong A, Dhurjati R, et al. Effect of clinical decision-support systems: a systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2012;157:29–43.
21. Whalen K, Bavuso K, Bouyer-Ferullo S, et al. Analysis of nursing clinical decision support requests and strategic plan in a large academic health system. Appl Clin Inform. 2016;7:227–237.
22. Whalen K, Lynch E, Moawad I, et al. Transition to a new electronic health record and pediatric medication safety: lessons learned in pediatrics within a large academic health system. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2018;25:848–854.
23. Mussman GM, Sahay RD, Destino L, et al. Respiratory scores as a tool to reduce bronchodilator use in children hospitalized with acute viral bronchiolitis. Hosp Pediatr. 2017;7:279–286.
24. Miksa M, Kaushik S, Antovert G, et al. Implementation of a critical care asthma pathway in the PICU. Crit Care Explor. 2017;3:1–9.