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*Streptococcus pyogenes* (GAS) is a human pathogen that causes millions of infections worldwide. Comparison between GAS strains isolated in different laboratories all over the world is often difficult. Three usually used methods have either low resolution (*emm* typing), are expensive (MLST) or time- and labor-consuming (PFGE). Our laboratory recently developed new, inexpensive methods of GAS typing—VF (virulence factor profiling) and PP (phage profiling). To improve the typing scheme developed for GAS, we recently proposed a new typing method. Here we present detailed protocol for MLVF analysis.

**Introduction**

*Streptococcus pyogenes* (GAS) is a human pathogen that causes millions of infections worldwide. Comparison between GAS strains isolated in different laboratories all over the world is often difficult. Currently three major methods are used to determine relationships between GAS strains: (1) *emm* typing, which allows for classification of strains into ~150 serotypes, (2) multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and (3) restriction analysis combined with pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). All three methods have either low resolution (*emm* typing), are expensive (MLST) or time- and labor-consuming (PFGE). Our laboratory recently developed new, inexpensive methods of GAS typing. The first method (VF), allows detection of 20 virulence factors, the second method is based on PCR detection of the mobile genetic elements (MGE) integration sites within *S. pyogenes* genome (PP, phage profiling). Nevertheless, both methods are more focused on mobile portion of the genome. To improve and balance the typing scheme developed for GAS and to reflect variability of a core (non-MGE related) genome, we recently proposed a new typing method.

Multilocus variable tandem repeat analyses (MLVA and MLVF) are methods based on the detection of repeated sequences within bacterial genomes. MLVF (multiple locus variable tandem repeat fingerprinting) is based on the amplification of several loci of variable size and comparison of generated band patterns with a reference. MLVA (multiple locus variable number tandem repeat analysis) is based on the same principles as MLVF, but instead of pattern analysis, number of repeated sequences within each locus is used to generate unique code that can be stored in the database. Both methods are cheap, fast and do not require specialized equipment, except a thermocycler and electrophoresis tank. Informative results, comparable with PFGE analysis, can be available in less than 10 h.

The method we developed is based on the size variation within seven loci. The number of the size variants varies from several to tens for tested genes. As a result, about 40,000 MLVF patterns can be generated. The method is used for routine work and *S. pyogenes* typing in our laboratory. We analyzed over 700 strains using the procedure described below and observed that homogenous populations, which belong to the same M type and exhibit the same PFGE pattern and MLST profile, can be further differentiated using MLVA typing.
**Reagents**

1. RNase 10 mg/ml (Sigma-Aldrich, R5503)
2. Lysozyme 20 mg/ml (Sigma-Aldrich, L6876)
3. Mutanolysin 10 U/ml (Sigma-Aldrich, M9901)
4. 1 mM stock of four dNTP prepared from 100 mM stock solutions of individual dNTPs (Sigma-Aldrich, DNTP100)
5. Taq polymerase (Fermentas, EP0402)
6. 10 mM Taq buffer with (NH₄)₂SO₄ (Fermentas, B33)
7. 25 mM MgCl₂ stock solution (Fermentas, R0971)
8. Starters (Table 1; Genomed, www.genomed.pl)
9. 2.5% wt/vol NuSieve agarose (Lonza, 50094) (in 1× TBE)
10. 1× TBE electrophoresis buffer

**Equipment**

1. Veriti PCR cycler (Life Technologies).
2. Gel electrophoresis tank (BioRad, 170-4511) with 26 well combs (BioRad, 170-4525)

**Setup**

**Template.** As a template for PCR reaction we use chromosomal DNA isolated using commercially available kits. We have good results with columns Genomic Mini produced by A&A Biotechnology (116-250), but kits available from other manufacturers may be used as well. *S. pyogenes* cells for DNA isolation are grown on half of the agar plate (Columbia with 5% sheep blood, multiple manufacturers). Prior purification bacteria are scraped from the plate and re-suspended 200 μl of TE buffer. Bacteria are treated with 10 μl of lysozyme, 5 μl of RNase and 2.5 μl of mutanolysin for about 30–45 min at 37°C. Purified DNA used as a template should be diluted 10× to a -10 ng/μl concentration. We usually run multiple analyses in 96-well plate format, so we dispense diluted DNA to standard 96-well plate and use it in further reaction setup. Diluted template DNA should be kept at 4°C to avoid freezing/thawing cycles.

**Starters.** Because the amplification efficiency of designed starters varies, we combine various amounts of individual 100 mM primers stocks to prepare primer mastermix that used in the PCR reaction will yield products of comparable intensity. We mix 1 volume of primers 1–6, 0.5 volume of primers 7–10, 2 volumes of primers 11 and 12 and 4 volumes of primers 13 and 14. For example: 30 μl of primers 1 to 6, 15 μl of primers 7 to 10, 60 μl of primers 11 and 120 μl of primers 13 and 14. Primer mix should be aliquoted into 25–250 μl portions and stored at −20°C until use. Each primer mix portion should never be thawed/frozen more than 2 times. (Problem 1)

**Reaction setup.** (1) Prepare diluted template DNA (as described above), preferably in 96-well format, or 8-well strips. Template DNA dispensed into wells/strip can be easily transferred to reaction wells with a multichannel pipette.

2. Mix together all reagents for PCR mastermix according to Table 2.

3. Dispense 9 μl of prepared mastermix to each of the reaction wells or PCR tubes.

4. Transfer 1 μl of DNA template from template DNA plate to the reaction plate with multichannel pipette.

| Table 1. Starters used for MLVA typing |
|----------------------------------------|
| **Primer number** | **Primer designation** | **Primer sequence** |
| 1 | Spy1_MLVA_F | AGTTTGCGGTGTCAGCATCAG |
| 2 | Spy1_MLVA_R | AGGCCAGACGCTGTAAGAGA |
| 3 | Spy4_MLVA_F | CCGTACCATATTAGTAAGAGCATCAC |
| 4 | Spy4_MLVA_R | ACTGCATGATGACTGGTACAC |
| 5 | Spy2_MLVA_F | CGACTGCTGAGATGGCTGAG |
| 6 | Spy2_MLVA_R | CCGTTGGGTAACGAGATAGC |
| 7 | Spy3_MLVA_F | AGGTGCAAGTGGCCGTAAGG |
| 8 | Spy3_MLVA_R | GTGCTGAGCTGCCGTTGTTT |
| 9 | Spy6_MLVA_F | CTACGTAAAGCGCTGAG |
| 10 | Spy6_MLVA_R | CTCCCAAGACACGTGCTC |
| 11 | Spy7_MLVA_F | TAAAATCTCAACATCTTACGTTTCATTTTGAG |
| 12 | Spy7_MLVA_R | CTCAAAACACTGATGATGCTGACAGACAGAT |
| 13 | Spy5_MLVA_F | GCCAACATAAGTTCAGTCAG |

| Table 2. Composition of PCR mastermix |
|---------------------------------------|
| **PCR mastermix** | 1× per single reaction (μl) | 55× per half of 96 well plate (μl) | 110× per 96 well plate (μl) |
| Primer mix | 2.5 | 137.5 | 275 |
| Buffer 10× | 1 | 55 | 110 |
| 25 mM MgCl₂ | 1.6 | 88 | 176 |
| 1 mM dNTP | 1.6 | 88 | 176 |
| Taq polymerase | 0.1 | 5.5 | 11 |
| H₂O | 2.2 | 121 | 242 |
(5) Close tubes or seal the plate.
(6) Mix well and spin for 15 sec (tubes) or 1 min at 500 rpm (plates).
(7) Place the prepared plate/tubes in the thermocycler and run the program.

Equipment setup. No special setup is required. We usually store saved PCR amplification program listed below.

Procedure

(1) PCR amplification. Initial denaturation, 95°C, 5 min
40 cycles of: denaturation, 95°C, 15 sec; annealing, 64°C, 30 sec; elongation, 72°C, 4 min 30 sec
Final elongation, 72°C, 7 min
(2) Gel electrophoresis. The products of multiplex PCR reactions are analyzed by electrophoresis in 2.5% (wt/vol) NuSieve agarose gel with ethidium bromide, in standard TBE buffer. We usually use 50 bp and 100 bp ladder (Fermentas, SM0371, SM0321), which allows precise fragment size determination.
Electrophoresis is performed with constant current 100mA in Sub-Cell Model 192 electrophoresis cell for 3.5 h. Gels are visualized under UV light and photographed.
(3) Gel analysis. Pattern analysis can be performed on multiple levels. (1) When MLVF is simply used to check if two or more strains are identical, visual band matching of two or multiple patterns can be performed. (2) In case of multiple samples that generate variable patterns, we recommend more detailed analysis. Usually, based on the photograph, we estimate size of the amplified products with the imaging software that allows automatic determination of the product size (in our case Molecular Imaging Software by Kodak, supplied with the GelLogic 2000 imaging system). It is much easier to compare product sizes than patterns on the gel photograph. (3) To compare MLVF patterns of samples run on multiple gels we use BioNumerics software. The software allows to normalize patterns between multiple gels/runs, compare them to each other, and cluster similar patterns.
We consider two strains as closely related if they differ by no more than single band within the profile from the reference strain (size or present/absent) (Problem 2).

Timing
(1) DNA isolation - 90 min
(2) Reaction setup - 15 min
(3) PCR - 4 h
(4) Electrophoresis - 3.5 h

Problem Handling

Problem 1. We sometimes observe low yield of PCR products. This is a result of non-efficient PCR amplification that is caused either by low quality of primers or template. Primers and the template used in the reaction are often degraded after multiple freezing/thawing cycles. To maximize PCR efficiency primer mastermixes are kept at –20°C in small portions and are never frozen/thawed more than two times. Also diluted chromosomal DNA is kept in the fridge to avoid multiple freezing cycles.
Problem 2. In some patterns, very strong bands can be observed among weaker ones. It is a result of multiple bands of similar size. Double, more intense bands are often observed for M1 (about 750 bp in size) and M28 (about 630 bp) serotype strains. Depending on the electrophoresis equipment, for better separation of PCR products, time of electrophoresis could be extended.

Anticipated Results

The gel presented in Figure 1 shows typical results of MLVA analysis of multiple strains. MLVA patterns in lanes 6–7 are identical and represent two strains of serotype M89 with identical virulence factor profiles (VF) and phage profiles (PP), patterns 8 and 9 are related and represent two M95 strains with identical virulence factor profile (VF). Patterns 7 and 8 are not related. All bands in these patterns differ in size or are not present.
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