Optical Coherence Tomography Retinal Nerve Fiber Analysis: A Measure of Axon Loss in Multiple Sclerosis

Aileen Antonio-Santos M.D., Eric R. Eggenberger*, Fiona Costello M.D., Laura Balcer M.D.

Department of Neurology & Ophthalmology, Michigan State University, USA

Abstract

Objective to investigate whether optical coherence tomography (OCT) could demonstrate axonal loss through thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) in multiple sclerosis (MS) patients. Furthermore, the degree of RNFL loss was compared in the different MS subgroups (with or without optic neuritis, affected or fellow eye, single episode or recurrent optic neuritis, relapsing remitting or progressive MS). RNFL thinning was also determined in MS patients who had serial OCT without any intervening clinical optic neuritis. Design: Retrospective chart review. Setting: Academic tertiary care MS centers. Participants: 177 patients (334 eyes) with multiple sclerosis, with or without optic neuritis, and 159 healthy controls that underwent OCT RNFL measurements. Main Outcome: Retinal nerve fiber layer measurements by OCT. Results: Average RNFL measurements were thinner in MS patients (90 μm) compared to controls (105 μm), p <0.0001. RNFL was significantly reduced in MS patients with optic neuritis (87 μm) versus those without optic neuritis (94 μm), p <0.0001. Among the different quadrants, the degree of RNFL loss was greatest in the temporal quadrant of MS patients (22%). Progressive (primary and secondary progressive) MS patients had thinner RNFL (82 μm) compared to all relapsing remitting MS patients (90 μm), p <0.0001. Greater RNFL loss was seen in SPMS patients (77 μm) versus PPMS (88 μm), p = 0.004. In the 45 MS patients without any intervening clinical optic neuritis, serial OCT (mean of 2 OCT scans per patients, averaging 11 months apart) showed that RNFL decreased by 3.7 μm per year. Conclusion: Retinal nerve fiber layer is significantly reduced in patients with multiple sclerosis. Progressive MS subtypes showed more marked RNFL thinning than relapsing remitting MS. This study reflects the role of OCT in MS patient monitoring and its potential as a surrogate marker in MS therapeutic trials.
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in-vivo non-contact instrument that qualitatively and quantitatively measures the changes of the peripapillary RNFL and optic nerve in an accurate, precise and reproducible manner.\textsuperscript{23,24} [figure 1]. Cross-sectional micron-resolution imaging of the internal ocular tissues by measuring the echo time delay of back-scattered infrared light using an interferometer and a low-coherence light source is produced. The technology is similar to ultrasound, but it uses light instead of acoustic energy.

Our aim was to investigate whether optical coherence tomography could demonstrate axonal loss through thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer of patients with multiple sclerosis. We also compared the degree of RNFL loss among the different subgroups of MS patients (relapsing-remitting MS and progressive MS), in MS patients with a single episode or recurrent optic neuritis to those without a history of optic neuritis, and the eyes affected with optic neuritis to the fellow eye.

2. Methods

Patients

This is a retrospective chart review of 177 patients with multiple sclerosis, meeting the Poser\textsuperscript{25} or McDonald\textsuperscript{26} criteria, with or without optic neuritis, seen at the Neuro-Ophthalmology Clinics at the Michigan State University or at the University of Ottawa from 2003 to 2007. All patients were evaluated by a neuro-ophthalmologist (EE or FC). Subjects were excluded if they had an anomalous optic nerve, concomitant retinal or non-optic neuritis optic nerve disease or had poor OCT scan quality. Healthy controls were recruited from family members of patients and from hospital staff; those with known ophthalmologic or other neurologic disease were excluded. Approval for the study was obtained from the respective institutional review boards.

Optical Coherence Tomography

Retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) measurements were performed with the Stratus OCT (model 3000, software version 4.0; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA). The basic principle and technical characteristics of Stratus OCT have been reported previously.\textsuperscript{27-29} The fast retinal nerve fiber layer thickness algorithm, consisting of three 360º circular scans with a diameter of 3.4 mm centered on the optic disc, was performed. If the pupils of the patient were large enough to allow adequate OCT imaging, scanning was completed without pupil dilatation; otherwise the pupils were dilated. Eyes affected with clinical optic neuritis were scanned at least nine months after the attack to allow for resolution of acute inflammation and evolution of atrophy; further recovery of the optic nerve is less likely after this time period.\textsuperscript{30} OCT scans were accepted only if: the fundus image was clear enough to see the optic disc centered in the scan circle, color saturation was even and dense across the entire scan with red in the retinal pigment epithelium and RNFL, there were no missing or blank area within the scan pattern, and signal strength of at least 6.18.\textsuperscript{23} The following RNFL parameters were analyzed: average thickness (360º measurement), temporal quadrant thickness (316º to 45º), superior quadrant thickness (46º to 135º), nasal quadrant thickness (136º to 225º), and inferior quadrant thickness (226º to 315º).

Figure 1. OCT images in a case of optic neuritis 9 months after onset with recovered acuity of 20/20 demonstrate regional atrophy (red wedges) and borderline thinning (yellow wedges) in sectoral (left) and quadrant (right) images

Statistical Analysis

Data analyses were performed using Stata 10.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Two-sample t-tests were used to compare groups of eyes with respect to RNFL thickness.

3. Results

Demographics

A total of 338 eyes (177 patients with multiple sclerosis) was analyzed, 54% from the Michigan State University and 46% from the University of Ottawa. The patients' mean age was 43.4 ± 10.95 years (range: 14 - 76), and 62% were females. A prior history of optic neuritis was present in 92 (53%) of this cohort. Of these, 15 patients (24 eyes) had
recurrent attacks of optic neuritis, with a range of 1 to 5 recurrences. Relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) was diagnosed in 150 patients (85%), primary progressive MS (PPMS) in 12 (7%) and secondary progressive MS (SPMS) in 15 (8%). At the time of the study, 63% of patients were receiving treatment: 34% on interferon and 22% on Glatiramer.

Optical Coherence Tomography Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer (OCT RNFL) Thickness

Overall, the average RNFL thickness was significantly reduced in patients with MS (mean = 90.25 ± 17.08 μm) compared to controls (mean = 105.02 ± 11.10), p < 0.0001. All quadrant RNFL measurements were also thinner in MS patients compared to controls, p <0.0001 (Table 1).

Optical Coherence Tomography Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer (OCT RNFL) Thickness in MS Patients with or without Optic Neuritis

The average RNFL thickness was decreased in MS patients without optic neuritis (MS sans ON) (mean = 94.41 ± 15.36 μm) versus controls (mean = 105.02 ± 11.10 μm), p <0.0001 and was even thinner in MS patients affected with optic neuritis (MSON) (mean = 86.84 ± 17.53 μm) versus controls (mean = 105.02 ± 11.10 μm), p <0.0001. The average RNFL thickness was reduced by 25 μm (15%) in MSON and 11 μm (10%) in MS sans ON vs. controls. The RNFL thickness in all quadrants was within normal limits, defined as being within the 5th to 95th percentile of age-matched normative control eyes, in 48% of MS w/o ON and in 23% of MSON.

Table 1. OCT RNFL measurements in control, all MS patients, MS sans optic neuritis, MS with optic neuritis, MS affected eye, MS fellow eye, MS recurrent optic neuritis

| OCT-RNFL | Control (n = 159) | All MS patients (n = 334) | MS sans ON (n = 169) | MS with ON (n = 159) | MS affected eye (n = 99) | MS fellow eye (n = 70) | MS recurrent ON (n = 27) |
|----------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Average thickness | 105.02 ± 11.10 | 90.25 ± 17.08 p<0.0001 | 94.41 ± 15.36 p<0.0001 | 86.84 ± 17.53 p<0.0001 | 80.26 ± 17.02 p<0.0001 | 95.08 ± 14.59 p<0.0001 | 77.17 ± 13.09 p<0.0001 |
| Superior | 127.78 ± 17.78 | 115.43 ± 22.55 p<0.0001 | 119.11 ± 20.31 p<0.0001 | 113.27 ± 24.14 p<0.0001 | 105.95 ± 24.08 p<0.0001 | 121.63 ± 20.70 p<0.0001 | 105.00 ± 19.56 p<0.0001 |
| Inferior | 132.59 ± 18.31 | 113.54 ± 24.64 p<0.0001 | 118.51 ± 20.91 p<0.0001 | 109.05 ± 26.86 p<0.0001 | 98.81 ± 25.91 p<0.0001 | 121.09 ± 22.93 p<0.0001 | 94.24 ± 20.16 p<0.0001 |
| Nasal | 85.57 ± 19.38 | 74.35 ± 19.80 p<0.0001 | 77.57 ± 18.51 p<0.0001 | 71.73 ± 20.16 p<0.0001 | 66.96 ± 17.96 p<0.0001 | 78.74 ± 21.71 p<0.0001 | 64.41 ± 18.86 p<0.0001 |
| Temporal | 74.35 ± 12.31 | 58.17 ± 18.09 p<0.0001 | 63.33 ± 17.14 p<0.0001 | 53.39 ± 17.45 p<0.0001 | 48.01 ± 17.37 p<0.0001 | 59.48 ± 15.19 p<0.0001 | 45.00 ± 16.29 p<0.0001 |

Values are mean ± SD (μm). Pa are comparisons between MS eyes and controls. Pb are comparisons between MS with ON and MS sans ON. Pc are comparisons between MS affected eye and fellow eye.
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Percent difference = (mean RNFL_{MS \text{ patients}} – mean RNFL_{controls}) / mean RNFL_{controls}.

Figure 2. Percent difference of temporal RNFL measurements in the different MS subcohorts versus controls.

Table 2. OCT RNFL measurements in RRMS, all progressive MS (PPMS + SPMS), PPMS, SPMS.

| OCT RNFL     | RRMS (n = 446 eyes) | All progressive MS (n = 87 eyes) | PPMS (n = 43 eyes) | SPMS (n = 44 eyes) |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|              | Average thickness   | 89.65 ± 16.99                   | 82.49 ± 14.72     | 87.83 ± 11.73     | 77.25 ± 15.57     |
|              |                     | p<0.0001                        | p=0.4             | p<0.0001          | p=0.0006          |
| Superior     | 113.93 ± 22.92      | 107.45 ± 21.52                  | 113.71 ± 17.69    | 101.09 ± 23.09    | p<0.0009          |
|              |                     | p=0.01                          | p=0.9             | p=0.0009          | p=0.0006          |
| Inferior     | 112.12 ± 25.10      | 105.79 ± 21.86                  | 114.44 ± 15.58    | 97.93 ± 24.13     | p<0.0005          |
|              |                     | p=0.02                          | p=0.4             | p=0.0005          | p=0.0003          |
| Nasal        | 73.30 ± 19.51       | 68.53 ± 17.85                   | 73.07 ± 19.56     | 63.39 ± 13.74     | p<0.0001          |
|              |                     | p=0.03                          | p=0.9             | p=0.0001          | p=0.01            |
| Temporal     | 59.68 ± 19.95       | 48.17 ± 14.13                   | 50.37 ± 12.14     | 46.30 ± 15.76     | p<0.0001          |
|              |                     | p<0.0001                        | p=0.0001          | p=0.01            |

Values are mean ± SD (μm). P< are comparisons between RRMS and all progressive MS eyes. P< are comparisons between RRMS and SPMS. P< are comparisons between PPMS and SPMS.

Optical coherence tomography retinal nerve fiber layer (OCT RNFL) thickness in MS patients with recurrent optic neuritis

In MS patients with recurrent optic neuritis (MS recON), the RNFL was significantly reduced compared to controls, p-value range <0.0001 to 0.0015 (Table 1). Compared to MS patients with a single episode of optic neuritis, the following measurements were significantly thinner in MS recON patients: average thickness (p = 0.006), inferior (p = 0.003) and temporal quadrant (p = 0.003).

Percent difference of quadrant RNFL measurements versus controls

Looking at the percent differences of the quadrant measurements from the healthy controls (percent difference = (mean RNFL_{MS \text{ patients}} – mean RNFL_{controls}) / mean RNFL_{controls}), the temporal quadrant in all MS patients had the greatest thinning of 22% among all quadrants (superior 10%, inferior 14%, nasal 13%) and to the average thickness (14%). The degree of temporal quadrant RNFL thinning was least affected in the eyes of MS patients without optic neuritis (15%) and was most severe in the eyes of MS patients with recurrent optic neuritis (39%) (Figure).

Serial optical coherence tomography retinal nerve fiber layer (OCT RNFL) thickness in MS patients

There were 45 MS patients who had serial OCT without any intervening clinical optic neuritis. A total of 109 OCT scans were performed in this subcohort, with a mean of 2 OCT scans per patient, averaging 11 months apart. RNFL decreased by 3.4 μm every 11 months (3.7 μm decrease per annum). In this subcohort, no significant difference was seen
in the OCT RNFL measurements of those who have received MS therapy compared to those who have not been treated, except in the nasal quadrant (p = 0.03).

Optical coherence tomography retinal nerve fiber layer (OCT RNFL) thickness in retrobulbar optic neuritis vs anterior optic neuritis

Papillitis was observed in 37 (40%) of MS patients with optic neuritis. There was no significant difference in RNFL thickness measurements between MS patients with retrobulbar optic neuritis and those with papillitis, measured at least 9 months from the onset of clinical optic neuritis.

Optical coherence tomography retinal nerve layer (OCT RNFL) in MS subtypes

Progressive (primary progressive and secondary progressive) MS patients had significantly thinner OCT RNFL measurements compared to all relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) patients: average thickness (p <0.0001), superior quadrant (p = 0.01), inferior quadrant (p = 0.02), nasal quadrant (p = 0.03), and temporal quadrant (p <0.0001) (Table 2). OCT RNFL measurements in secondary progressive MS (SPMS) patients were significantly thinner compared to RRMS patients: average thickness (p <0.0001), superior quadrant (p = 0.009), inferior quadrant (p = 0.005), nasal quadrant (p <0.0001), and temporal quadrant (p <0.0001). PPMS patients had more OCT RNFL loss, except in the inferior quadrant, compared to RRMS patients, and was significantly thinner in the temporal quadrant (p <0.0001). SPMS patients had significantly thinner OCT RNFL measurements compared to the PPMS group (average thickness p = 0.0006, superior quadrant p = 0.006, inferior quadrant p = 0.003, nasal p = 0.01), but not the temporal quadrant, p = 0.18.

4. Comment

We investigated optical coherence tomography (OCT) demonstrable axonal loss in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) through measurements of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) of the optic nerve. The optic nerve, a frequent target in multiple sclerosis, is a useful in-vivo model of central nervous system axonal loss because within the retina, it is devoid of myelin.31, 32 and can be easily evaluated through rapid non-invasive reproducible methods. As early as 1974, Frisén and Hoyt, 33 employed direct ophthalmoscopy to retrobulbar optic neuritis and those with papillitis, measured at least 9 months from the onset of clinical optic neuritis.

Although all RNFL quadrant measurements were significantly thinner in MS patients compared to controls, this was most severe in the temporal quadrant. This finding is in agreement with data from prior OCT studies; 38, 41, 42 similarly, dilated funduscopy using a 90-diopter lens in eyes affected with ON43 and histopathologic studies of MS eyes reveal selective atrophy of the temporal portion of the optic nerve head.34 Sepulcre et. al, 39 studied a cohort of 61 patients with mild to moderate MS and noted significant RNFL thinning in all quadrants except the nasal; this finding may be confounded by age-related thinning of the RNFL across all quadrants,44-46 as the cohort was not compared to controls. Moreover, recurrent attacks of optic neuritis have more advanced RNFL thinning (average thickness = 78 µm, temporal quadrant = 46 µm).

The OCT software calculates the average RNFL thickness and compares these measurements with a normative age-matched database for patients aged 18 years or older. Based on this normative database, average and quadrant RNFL measurements are assessed as either normal (within the 5th to 95th percentile), above normal (>95th percentile), or below normal (<5th percentile). Our study has shown that only 23% of MS ON eyes were normal in all quadrants. RNFL loss occurs even in the absence of overt clinical optic neuritis.

Serial OCT was performed 45 MS patients without any intervening clinical ON in this cohort and revealed an overall average RNFL decrease of 3.7 µm per annum. This number is greater than the normal RNFL thinning associated with age.44 Longitudinal studies are ongoing to determine the patterns of RNFL thinning over longer follow-up periods in MS, particularly in the absence of acute ON.

Progressive subtypes of MS show significantly thinner RNFL compared to RRMS, consistent with the theory that there is ongoing axon loss in progressive MS. Interestingly, SPMS patients lose significantly more RNFL than RRMS patients, but PPMS patients do not. This finding reflects the natural history of the disease subtypes. PPMS presents with myelopathy in 80% of cases, and a visual presenting symptom is uncommon; whereas in RRMS, and subsequently in SPMS, optic neuritis is the presenting symptom 25% of the time.47

Multiple sclerosis is a disease of both demyelination and axonal loss. 48, 49 Our study demonstrates that measurement of the retinal nerve fiber layer using optical coherence tomography (OCT) documents optic nerve axon loss in patients with MS. OCT is a promising as non-contact, rapid, inexpensive, accurate, reproducible, readily available method to assess a common MS target. Since the OCT
allows direct visualization of the RNFL, it enables the clinician to correlate structure to function by measuring other parameters of vision (e.g., visual acuity, electrophysiology, color vision, contrast sensitivity, low-contrast acuity, and visual fields), although these traditional measures of visual function often return to near normal despite RNFL loss (clinical – OCT visual "paradox") which reflects insensitivity of these conventional measures of visual function. Future studies should look into the role of OCT in following the pace of RNFL loss after optic neuritis and to establish its relationship with other clinical, laboratory and magnetic resonance imaging biomarkers. Finally, the OCT has the potential of becoming a surrogate marker for neuroprotective or disease-modifying drugs studies for MS, and with greater segmentation detail, will provide additional anatomic details about the course of MS.
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