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Abstract
Allergic Rhinitis is one of the most common disease causing much debility and loss of efficiency of an individual. Allergic rhinitis can occur continuously or intermittently throughout the year by an allergen to which the individual is more or less always exposed, characterized by sudden attack of sneezing, swelling of nasal mucosa, profuse watery discharge, itching of eyes and lachrymation. This study is to know the effectiveness of Homoeopathic medicines in the treatment of Allergic Rhinitis.
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Introduction
Allergic rhinitis is an allergic inflammation of the nasal airways. Allergic Rhinitis is an IgE mediated hypersensitivity disease of the mucous membrane of the nasal airways characterized by sneezing, itching, watery nasal discharge and a sensation of nasal obstruction [1]. Allergens of importance include seasonal pollens and molds, as well as perennial indoor allergens, such as dust mites, pets, pests, and some molds [2]. Diagnosis is based on evidence of sensitization, measured either by the presence of allergen-specific IgE in the serum or by positive epicutaneous skin tests (i.e., wheal and flare responses to allergen extracts) and a history of symptoms that correspond with exposure to the sensitizing allergen.

Incidence
Although allergic rhinitis may have its onset at any age, the incidence of onset is greatest in children at adolescence, with a decrease in incidence seen in advancing age. Occasionally, however, symptoms may appear first in middle or advanced age and in infants as young as 6 months of age. In most cases and individual requires two or more seasons of exposure to a new antigen before exhibiting the clinical manifestations of allergic rhinitis. It appears equally in both males and females. Heredity seems to be an important role in the occurrence of allergic rhinitis. The mechanisms permitting the development of hay fever are governed by an autosomal dominant with incomplete penetrance. The severity of symptoms, however, may vary from year to year, depending on the season [4].

Pathophysiology
On exposure to the foreign protein the allergen combines with cell-bound reaginic antibodies to release histamine and similar amines. This leads to local vasodilatation, but more importantly increase in capillary permeability resulting in local oedema. These are a cellular infiltration of eosinophils and, in long standing cases, also plasma cells. The seromucinous glands of the nasal mucosa are stimulated to increased activity resulting in down pouring of thin mucous discharge.

Objectives
To analyse the common allergens and aetiopathology of allergic rhinitis and to evaluate the efficacy of homoeopathic medicines in treatment of Allergic rhinitis by assessing the change in the levels of Serum IgE.

Materials and methods
Source of data
Patients will be collected from In-Patient and Out-Patient Departments, Peripheral Health
Centres, Rural Health Camps, School Screening Camp and Medical Camps conducted by Vinayaka Missions Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital and Vinayaka Mission’s Kirubananda variyar Medical College Hospital, Salem.

Method of collection of the data
Inclusion criteria fixed for the study
- All age groups with both males and females.
- Detailed case history by interview as per the Performa prepared for the topic will be taken. Treatment was started on the basis of the Homoeopathic totality.
- Follow up will be taken, depending upon need of the patient.
- Cases were followed for a period of 12 months duration.
- Sample size was 30 in number.
- No particular sampling procedure was adapted.

Exclusion criteria fixed for the study
- Seasonal type allergic rhinitis.
- Cases associated with other systemic illness will be excluded.
- Complications to lower respiratory tract infection will be excluded.

Methodology
Thirty clinically diagnosed cases of Allergic rhinitis were taken up for this study. The patients included in this study were between the ages of 9 - 65 years. Patients of both sexes were treated. A detailed case history by interview as per the performa was done and the homoeopathic totality was arrived after careful study of mental generals, physical generals and characteristic particulars of the patient. Repertorization was done using Kent, Schroyen synthesis and Robin murphy repertory respectively.

Observation and Results

Table 1: Age distribution of Allergic rhinitis patients (n = 30)

| S. No | Age group (In Yrs) | Number of Patients | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1.    | Below 20          | 4                  | 14.67%     |
| 2.    | 20-29             | 10                 | 34.67%     |
| 3.    | 30-39             | 6                  | 20%        |
| 4.    | 40-49             | 2                  | 7.37%      |
| 5.    | Above 50          | 5                  | 16.66%     |

The most affected patients belonged to the age group between 20-29 i.e 10 (34.67%), the age group of 30-39 were 6(20%), age group above 50 yrs were 5(16.66%), age group below 20 were 4(14.67%) and age group of 40-49 were 2(7.37%) respectively.

Table 2: Sex distribution of allergic rhinitis patients (n = 30)

| S. No | Sex     | Number of Patients | Percentage |
|-------|---------|--------------------|------------|
| 1.    | Females | 12                 | 40%        |
| 2.    | Males   | 18                 | 60%        |

Males are affected more than females.
### Table 3: Distribution according to predominant miasm (n=30)

| Miasm                      | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Psora                      | 29        | 96.65%     |
| Sycosis                    | 1         | 3.33%      |
| Syphilis                   | Nil       | -          |
| Psora + Syphilis           | Nil       | -          |
| Psora + Sycosis            | Nil       | -          |
| Psora + Tubercular         | Nil       | -          |
| **Total**                  | **30**    | **100**    |

Most of the cases were showing psoric miasm. While 29 patients were predominantly presenting with psoric symptoms, 1 case showed sycotic miasm.

![Miasmatic distribution of cases](image1.png)

**Chart 3: Miasmatic distribution of cases**

### Table 4: Medicines used in the study

| S. No | Medicine            | Total No. of cases | Success Rate (%) |
|-------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 1.    | Arsenicum Album     | 6                  | 100              |
| 2.    | Apis mellifica      | 1                  | 100              |
| 3.    | Bromium             | 1                  | 100              |
| 4.    | Hepar Sulph         | 1                  | 100              |
| 5.    | Kaliumcarbonica     | 3                  | 100              |
| 6.    | Kali Bichromicum    | 1                  | 100              |
| 7.    | Lachesis Mutus      | 1                  | 100              |
| 8.    | Lycopodium Clavatum | 1                  | 100              |
| 9.    | Natrum carb         | 1                  | 100              |
| 10.   | Natrium muriaticum  | 2                  | 100              |
| 11.   | Nux vomica          | 1                  | 100              |
| 12.   | Pulsatilla Nigricans| 7                  | 100              |
| 13.   | Phosphorus          | 4                  | 100              |

The remedies indicated for the patients were as follows: Pulsatilla nigricans was indicated in 7 cases, Arsenicum Album in 6, Phosphorus in 4, Kali carbonicum in 3 and Natrium muriaticum in 2 cases. The other remedies which were also indicated were Bromium in 1, Kali Bichromicum in 1, Lycopodium in 1, Hepar sulph in 1, Nux vomica in 1, Lachesis Mutus in 1 and Natrum carb in 1.
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**Chart 4: Distribution according to medicines used in the study**
Table 5: Distribution of cases according to outcome of the treatment

| Outcome              | No. of patients | Percentage |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Mild Improvement     | 2               | 6.6%       |
| Moderate improvement | 8               | 26.67%     |
| Marked improvement   | 20              | 66.67%     |
| No improvement       | 0               | 0          |

Out of thirty cases 28 cases (93.3%) showed improvement, in this 2 (6.6%) have mild improvement, 8 cases (26.67%) showed moderate improvement, 20 cases (66.67%) showed marked improvement.

Summary and Conclusion

This study has provided sufficient confirmation that the Homoeopathic approach of individualization is an effective approach to the treatment of Allergic rhinitis. The commonly affected age group according to my study were 20-29 (34.67%), 30-39 years (20%). Males are most commonly affected, which was evidenced by this study which shows presence on 60% of cases. The fundamental miasm which covered mostly was Psora and Sycosis in my study. The Dominant miasm which covered mostly was Psora in my study. Pulsatilla and Arsenicum album were found to be most frequently indicated in this study. Most of the patients got symptomatic relief, as well as general well-being. My study shows that Allergic rhinitis can be effectively treated with Homoeopathic medicines.
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