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ABSTRACT
This study investigated the effects of Van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy on retention of achievement among pre-service mathematics teachers in Niger State, Nigeria. It also examined the influence of gender and interaction effects of teaching method and gender on retention of achievement. The study adopts a two-by-two (2X2) pretest posttest quasi experimental factorial design. H9 pre-service mathematics teachers from two colleges of education in Niger State were purposively selected for the study. Achievement Geometry Test (GAT) was used for data collection. The reliability coefficient of 0.78 was obtained using Cronbach alpha. GAT was administered to pre-service mathematics teachers as pretest, posttest and retention test. The pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention test scores were analysed using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). The results indicated that the pre-service mathematics teachers’ taught geometry using van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy retained significantly what was taught better in retention test than their counterparts taught geometry using conventional teaching strategy. However, there was no significant difference reported in the retention test performance scores based on gender and interaction effect. It was however suggested that since the treatment (van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy) were found to be effective in enhancing pre-service teachers’ retention of achievement, it should therefore be used by lecturers in teaching not only geometry but mathematics in general.

This study investigated the effects of Van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy on retention of achievement among pre-service mathematics teachers in Niger State, Nigeria. It also examined the influence of gender and interaction effects of teaching method and gender on retention of achievement. The study adopts a two-by-two (2X2) pretest posttest quasi experimental factorial design. H9 pre-service mathematics teachers from two colleges of education in Niger State were purposively selected for the study. Achievement Geometry Test (GAT) was used for data collection. The reliability coefficient of 0.78 was obtained using Cronbach alpha. GAT was administered to pre-service mathematics teachers as pretest, posttest and retention test. The pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention test scores were analysed using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). The results indicated that the pre-service mathematics teachers’ taught geometry using van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy retained significantly what was taught better in retention test than their counterparts taught geometry using conventional teaching strategy. However, there was no significant difference reported in the retention test performance scores based on gender and interaction effect. It was however suggested that since the treatment (van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy) were found to be effective in enhancing pre-service teachers’ retention of achievement, it should therefore be used by lecturers in teaching not only geometry but mathematics in general.
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INTRODUCTION

Mathematics as a discipline has since become the focal point of all the technological field of studies (Gambari & Adegbemo, 2014). It is an area of studies that cannot be avoided as a result of its significance in shaping individual reasoning faculty most especially in this fast-changing world (Mata, Monteiro, & Peixoto, 2012). In recent times, advances and reforms particularly in mathematics education has produced new innovations and claims with them (Uygun & Tertemiz, 2014). Most notable of these claims and innovations are that it offers learners at all levels a considerable sphere of activities that gave rise to opportunities to reason and share information during the instructional processes (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics [NCTM],...
Gender and retention levels of pre-service mathematics teachers are one more area that was investigated in this study. It is a unique area of study which has been respectively recurring all over literatures in mathematics education and academic studies in general (Mata, Monteiro, & Peixoto 2012). According to Hassan (2015), “the term gender is important in Science, Technology, and Mathematics (STM) because, it described the social definition of sex role rather than distinct biological distinction itself, STM is seen as subject of male and the female” (p. 42). Mathematics generally is frequently taking into consideration as one area in which males are considered to be higher achievers, in terms of both attitudes and self-concept (Mata, 2012). On the other hand, Lindberg, Hyde, Petersen, and Linn, (2010) and Scafidi and Bui (2010) in their separate results indicates that there is no substantial difference on mathematics achievement and grades based on gender. Finding relating to gender differences on attitudes is not stable. Few studies have stated significant differences upon comparing attitudes towards mathematics based on gender (Asante, 2012; Eshun, 2004). However, there exist quite a number of studies in which these differences are not noticeable (Mohamed & Waheed, 2012). Therefore, there is need to examine the interaction effect of gender and geometry retention level within the Nigerian school context on students’ geometry achievement.

In view of this, the study attempted to determine the effects of van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy and gender on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry in Niger state, Nigeria.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Failure on the part of the learner to recall or retain what was learnt, has been stressed from previous studies (e.g., Gambari, Falode, & Adegbenro, 2014; Udousoro, 2002) as unique reason influencing learners’ poor academic achievement in mathematics. Kurumeh, Onah, and Mohammed (2012) in their views maintained that incorrect, insufficient and restricted teaching strategy employed by mathematics teachers is responsible for learners’ inability to comprehend and recollect the rudimentary mathematical principles and logical facts. As a result, this approach gave rise to learning by rote or memorization which subsequently resulted to poor retention, poor academic achievement and negative attitude towards mathematics. Gambari, Falode, and Adegbenro (2014), and Omenka (2010) ascribed the poor academic achievement of learners and retention level in mathematics predominantly among genders to teaching strategy employed by teachers. In view of this, appropriate teaching strategy was suggested to increase learners’ retention and achievement.

Researching on retention and achievement in mathematics, Obi, Agwagah, and Agah (2014) investigated the effects of Origami teaching strategy on Junior secondary I students’ retention in geometry. A quasi-experimental non-equivalent pretest, posttest, control group design was adopted. A sample of 101 junior secondary I students was involved (65 female and 36 male). The instrument for data collection was geometry retention test (GRT). Data collected were however, analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics such as mean, standard deviation and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The result of the study revealed that use of Origami significantly enhanced retention of achievement of geometry among junior secondary school students. The study also revealed no statistically significant difference based on gender.
on retention of achievement. In addition, there was a significant interaction effect between gender and treatment on retention of the concepts taught during the study. Based on the findings, the researchers recommended that use of Origami should be adopted in the teaching of geometry (mathematics) in primary, secondary, and tertiary levels of education system.

In the study conducted by Khurana (2018) in Delhi India, to find out the impact of hands-on activities in mathematics in relation to achievement and concept retention. 164 pupils form the sample of the study. A self-made test of concept retention was employed for data collection and t-test statistics was used in data analysis. The result of the study indicates a significant difference favouring the experimental group. For the retention of achievement, a significant difference was also noticed in favor of experimental group. Confirming the work of Khurana (2018), Aliustaoglu and Tuna (2018) affirmed that mathematics is seen by learners to be one of the abstract courses, then, non-application of suitable strategy might give rise to learners to inappropriately believe that mathematics is not important in day-to-day life, and as such restricted to textbooks only. To arrest the situation, transformations were made by introducing models in teaching and learning process. One of such models is “4MAT model”. It was on this note that Aliustaoglu and Tuna (2018) sought to find out the effects of 4MAT model in the teaching of “Transformation Geometry”. 4MAT model, is one of the current instructional strategy which provides basis for learners to perceiving and processing knowledge. It was planned by taking into account the four learning styles in a way learner can discover a time interval appropriate for them. 61 high school students in a northern province of Turkey form the sample for the study. The study which lasted for three weeks adopted a pretest-posttest control group quasi-experimental design. The period of teaching was decided having in mind the time suggested in the textbook and the time assigned for field of activities in studies carried out on 4MAT model. Lesson plans for 4MAT model was employed in teaching the treatment group while control group was taught using 7th grade textbook. Transformation Geometry Knowledge Test designed by the researcher was the instrument used for data collection. The result of the study in relation to academic achievement and retention revealed a substantial difference favoring the treatment group.

Back in Benue state Nigeria, the study by Kurumeh, Onah, and Mohammed (2012) aimed at investigating the effect of the ethnomathematics teaching strategy and gender on Junior Secondary three (JS3) students’ retention in statistics employed quasi-experimental design. Intact classes were used for both the experimental and control groups. Ethnomathematics teaching strategy was employed in teaching experimental group while control group was taught using conventional teaching strategy. The instrument, the Statistics Retention Test (SRT) with reliability coefficient of 0.80 was employed for data collection. In analyzing the data, descriptive and inferential statistics such as Mean and Standard deviation and ANCOVA were used to test the research questions and null hypotheses at a 0.05 level of significance. The result of the study revealed that there was significant difference on retention between student taught using ethnomathematics teaching strategy and conventional teaching strategy in favour of ethnomathematics teaching strategy. In relation to gender achievement, there was no significant difference between ethnomathematics teaching approach and conventional approach based on gender. Thus, it was recommended that ethnomathematics teaching strategy be embraced in schools as it has demonstrated to be a workable alternative in supporting meaningful learning and positively affected students’ retention of achievement.

Likewise, the study in Ilorin, Nigeria, performed by Adeniji, Ameen, Dambatta, and Orilениse (2018), on the impact of mastery learning on senior secondary school students’ achievement and retention in circle geometry. The study employed a quasi-experimental design. 172 high school students were selected through a multi-stage sampling technique. Circle Geometry Achievement Test (CGAT) was the instrument used for collecting data. The study was however authenticated of its validity by an expert and test retest was employed for determining the reliability of the instrument, 0.82 was however obtained which is reliable. The result of the study indicated a substantial difference in favors of treatment group. No gender difference was noticed on the achievement. Similarly, no difference was noticed on the achievement of low, medium and high scoring students in the treatment group. In the same vain, in the posttest mean achievement and retention score a substantial difference was found. In the light of the above, it was therefore suggested that mathematics teachers should be trained on the effective utilization of mastery learning technique for improved mathematics achievement.

Furthermore, Makinde and Yusuf (2018) in Lagos state of Nigeria, investigated the impact of flipped classroom among high school students’ achievement and retention in mathematics. The study employed a quasi-experimental design with sample drawn from 268 high school students randomly assigned to experimental and control groups (145 in experimental group and 123 in control group). Performance test was the instrument employed for data collection with reliability coefficient of 0.88 using Cronbach Alpha. ANCOVA was however used for data analysis. The result of the study shows a substantial difference favoring treatment group. The result also revealed treatment improves learners’ retention of achievement in mathematics. It was in view of this that flipped classroom approach was recommended as it enhanced students’ academic achievement and retention.

Earlier before the above study, Agwagah (1994) studied the effect of instruction in mathematics reading on pupil’s achievement and retention in mathematics. The objective of the study was to find out the effect of instruction in mathematics reading on the achievement and retention of rural primary school pupils in addition and subtraction of numbers. Two research hypotheses guided the study and quasi-experimental research design was adopted. 142 primary four pupils randomly selected from two rural primary schools, one each from Anambra and Enugu states of Nigeria form the sample for the study. ANCOVA was used in analysing the data collected and findings indicated that those students who were taught mathematics reading performed better and retained more of the content taught. These study by Agwagah (1994) is related to the present study in terms of design and approach to data analysis. Conversely, it differs in scope and sample.

The study of Haghigi (2013) targeted at finding out the effects of brain-based learning in sophomore students’ academic achievement and retention. Quasi experimental design was employed to Civil Aviation Technology College in Tehran, Iran. The study lasted for 16 weeks. The experimental group was taught using brain-based learning approach, while the control group was taught with conventional teaching approach. The finding of the study revealed that there was substantial difference on achievement and retention in favour of brain-based learning.
Stimulated by the aforementioned studies related to the retention and academic achievement, the present study intended to investigate on the possible positive effect of van Hiele phased-based teaching strategy on retention of achievement of pre-service mathematics teacher in geometry. This will therefore serves to assist learners in the recall of geometry ideas, thereby enhancing and promoting learners’ overall academic achievement in geometry.

Objectives of the Study

The purpose of this study is to determine the effects of van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy and gender on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry in Niger state, Nigeria.

Specifically, the research objectives were as follows:
1. Determine the main effect of teaching method on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry.
2. Determine the main effect of gender on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry.
3. Determine the interaction effect of teaching method and gender on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry.

Null Hypotheses

The null hypotheses of the study were as follows:

$H_{01}$ There is no significant main effect of teaching method on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry.

$H_{02}$ There is no significant main effect of gender on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry.

$H_{03}$ There is no significant interaction effect of teaching method and gender on Pre-service Mathematics Teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

In this study, pretest and posttest quasi experimental design using a two-by-two (2x2) factorial design was employed with one experimental and control group. This design indicates two levels of treatment (van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy and conventional teaching strategy) and two levels of gender (male and female).

The sample of this study involved 149 pre-service mathematics teachers presently registered (2017/2018 session) in MAT 122 (coordinate geometry) from two purposively selected colleges of education in Niger State, Nigeria. Purposive sampling technique was employed to get the 100 level pre-service mathematics teachers. The motive for selecting 100 level pre-service mathematics teachers is for the reason that MAT 122 is a course to be studied at that level. In addition, to make ready the pre-service mathematics teachers to teach learners based on secondary school content. Two course lecturers handling MAT 122 were purposively and carefully chosen, one each from the choosen colleges. The sample size of the pre-service mathematics teachers in the experimental and control group are 86 (62 male and 24 female) and 63 (54 male and 9 female) respectively captured from the intact class.

Cognitive Development and Achievement in Geometry (CDAG) adapted from Usiskin (1982) was used for data collection. It is a very popular geometric test instrument which has previously been employed in quite a lot of studies (Atebe, 2008; Baffoe & Meroku, 2010; Burger & Shaughnessy, 1986; Usiskin, 1982). In research work studying van Hiele level of understanding and achievement in geometry, CDAG was employed and demonstrated to be effective (Alex & Mammen, 2012; Halat & Sahin, 2008). The test instrument was validated by two senior lecturers of mathematics education department one each from sampled colleges and one expert in the field of mathematics education from the university. The items were corrected and modified on the basis of suggestions and recommendations by the experts. The reliability coefficient for the GAT was found to be 0.79 which is considered reliable (Pallant, 2010; Wells & Wollack, 2003).

The entire study lasted for 12 weeks. The first week was spent on administering pre-test on GAT to the two colleges involved in the study, the College of Education A and College of Education B. This was followed by application of treatment; the van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy by the assigned mathematics lecturer to the experimental group and conventional teaching strategy to the control group for six weeks. Both groups were taught the same contents. The posttest, which is identical to pretest, was administered at the eight weeks of the experiment. Lastly, the retention test was administered four weeks after the retention test.

Descriptive statistics such as mean and standard deviation was used to answer all the research questions. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) statistics in Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0 was used to test all the hypotheses at 0.05, alpha level of significance.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the retention mean achievement scores and standard deviation of experimental and control groups. From the table, the retention mean score and standard deviation of pre-service mathematics teachers in the experimental group is 55.49 and 10.29 while the control group had mean retention score and standard deviation of 42.57 and 13.67 respectively. The retention score of experimental groups is higher mean than that of the control group. This implies that the experimental group has a higher mean retention test score than the control group. As a result of this detected difference in mean retention scores, hypothesis $H_{01}$ was tested at 0.05 level to determine if the observed difference was significant.

Table 2 presents the mean and standard deviation of retention test based on gender. From the table, the retention mean score and standard deviation of male pre-service mathematics teachers is 49.20 with a standard deviation of 13.38 while for the females, the mean attitude scores and standard deviations were 52.94 and 13.36 respectively. This implies that female achieved higher than males considering their higher mean scores at retention test. As a result of this observed difference in mean retention test scores, hypothesis $H_{02}$ was tested at 0.05 levels to determine if the observed difference was significant.

| Table 1. Mean and Standard Deviation of Experimental and Control Groups on GAT Retention Test Scores |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Treatment** | **N** | **X̄** | **SD** |
| Exp group | 86 | 55.49 | 10.29 |
| Contr group | 63 | 42.57 | 13.67 |
| **Total** | **149** | **50.03** | **13.42** |

Table 2 presents the mean and standard deviation of retention test based on gender. From the table, the retention mean score and standard deviation of male pre-service mathematics teachers is 49.20 with a standard deviation of 13.38 while for the females, the mean attitude scores and standard deviations were 52.94 and 13.36 respectively. This implies that female achieved higher than males considering their higher mean scores at retention test. As a result of this observed difference in mean retention test scores, hypothesis $H_{02}$ was tested at 0.05 levels to determine if the observed difference was significant.
Table 2. Mean and Standard Deviation of GAT Retention Test Scores by Gender

|          | N  | mean | SD  |
|----------|----|------|-----|
| Male     | 116| 49.20| 13.38|
| Female   | 33 | 52.94| 13.36|
| Total    | 149| 50.03| 13.42|

Table 3. Retention Means Scores on the Basis of Interaction Strategies (Treatment and Gender)

| Treatment  | Gender | Retention score Mean (X̄) |
|------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Experimental group | Male   | 58.83                    |
| Control group    | Male   | 38.70                    |
|                  | Female | 34.05                    |

Table 4. Summary of Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) Result of GAT Retention of Achievement Scores of Experimental and the Control Group

| Source                  | Type III Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F     | Sig. | Partial Eta Squared | Partial Eta Squared |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----|-------------|-------|------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Corrected Model         | 21059.987               | 4  | 5264.997    | 135.582 | .000 | .790                |                     |
| Intercept               | 9883.171                | 1  | 9883.171    | 254.507 | .000 | .639                |                     |
| Prechieve               | 14919.799               | 1  | 14919.799   | 384.207 | .000 | .727                |                     |
| Treatment               | 9641.710                | 1  | 9641.710    | 248.289 | .000 | .633                |                     |
| Gender                  | 118.263                 | 1  | 118.263     | 3.045  | .083 | .021                |                     |
| Error                   | 5591.905                | 144| 3.833       |       |      |                     |                     |
| Total                   | 399552.000              | 149|             |       |      |                     |                     |

Table 3 shows mean retention scores of pre-service mathematics teachers on the basis of the interaction strategy of treatment and gender. An examination of the table revealed that male pre-service mathematics teachers in experimental group (van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy) outperformed male pre-service mathematics teachers in control group (conventional teaching strategy) in the retention scores. This is shown by the mean achievement score of 58.83 against 38.70. This trend was also observed for female pre-service mathematics teachers with mean achievement scores of 58.76 against 34.03 respectively. In view of this, male pre-service mathematics teachers performed better across different interaction strategies confirming the absence of any interaction between gender and instructional strategy in the retention test scores. Nevertheless, to determine if these observed differences were significant, hypotheses H03 was tested at 0.05 levels of significance.

Table 4 showed the ANCOVA result of the comparison of retention achievement scores of pre-service mathematics teachers in Experimental and Control Group using the pretest as a covariate. An examination of the table shows retention test scores for the main effect of treatment (i.e., teaching method), F(1, 144) = 248.29, p < 0.05, partial eta squared = .63. On the basis of this, hypothesis H01 was rejected. This therefore suggest that there was significant difference between retention test scores of pre-service mathematics teachers taught using van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy and those taught using conventional teaching strategy. In other word, those taught through van Hiele’s phase based teaching strategy retained significantly more of what was taught than those taught through conventional teaching strategy. The main effect of gender on pre-service teachers’ retention of geometry was found to be non-significant given by F(1, 144) = 3.05, p > 0.05, partial eta squared = .021 which implied that there is no significant main effect of gender on the retention achievement scores of pre-service mathematics teachers. Thus, hypothesis H02 was failed to be rejected.

Similarly, there was no significant interaction effect of treatment and gender on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention scores given by F(1, 144) = 2.91, p > 0.05, partial eta squared = .020. Therefore, hypothesis H03 was failed to be rejected. In other word, the effect of treatment (i.e., teaching method) on retention achievement in geometry of the pre-service mathematics teachers on retention test scores does not depend on the gender of the pre-service mathematics teachers. This therefore suggest that, irrespective of gender, learning through van Hiele’s phase based teaching strategy was significantly more effective in retaining the geometry achievement of pre-service mathematics teachers in comparison with conventional teaching strategy.

DISCUSSION

The effect of van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry was investigated by hypotheses H01, H02, and H03. The result shows that significant differences existed between the retention test scores of the two groups which is indicated in Table 1 with mean scores of 55.49 against 44.57 for experimental and control group respectively. This is further confirmed by ANCOVA result in Table 4 which shows that the retention of achievement of experimental and control groups differ significantly. The result indicated that treatment using van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy produced significant difference on pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry.

It is on the basis of the above results that it was indicated by Kurumeh, Onah, and Mohammed (2012) that incorrect, insufficient and restricted teaching strategy employed by mathematics teachers is responsible for learners’ inability to comprehend and recollect the rudimentary mathematical principles and logical facts. In view of this, Iji (2005) added that man is gifted with restricted ability for memorizing, therefore, to properly and efficiently apply all that was learnt, retention must have to play an important role. It is on the account of this that Van Hiele’s phase-based teaching strategy was employed in this study to see if it will increase learners’ retention of achievement in geometry. Nevertheless, the teaching strategy however proved to improve retention of achievement. The observed improvement may be credited to the uniqueness of the treatment administered. This result on retention is in consonance with the study of Khurana (2018) initiated in Delhi, India, to find out the impact of hands-on activities in mathematics in relation to achievement and concept retention. 164 pupils form the sample of the study. A self-made test of concept retention was employed for data collection and t-test statistics was used in data analysis. The result of the study indicates a significant difference favouring the experimental group. For the retention of achievement, a significant difference was also noticed in favor of experimental group.

Similarly, the result of this study confirms the study carried out by Aliustaoglu and Tuna (2018) which sought to find out the effects of
4MAT model on the teaching of “Transformation Geometry”. 4MAT model, is one of the current instructional strategy which provides basis for learner towards perceiving and processing knowledge. It was planned by taking into account the four learning styles in a way learner can discover a time interval appropriate for them. 61 high school students in a northern province of Turkey form the sample for the study. The study which lasted for 3 weeks adopt a pretest-posttest control group quasi-experimental design. The period of teaching was decided having in mind the time suggested in the textbook and the time assigned for field of activities in studies carried out on 4MAT model. Lesson plans for 4MAT model was employed in teaching the treatment group while control group was taught using 7th grade textbook. Transformation Geometry Knowledge Test designed by the researcher was the instrument used for data collection. The result of the study in relation to academic achievement and retention revealed a substantial difference favoring the treatment group.

Similarly, the result is in compliance with the study of Haghighi (2013) targeted at finding out the effects of brain-based learning in sophomore students’ academic achievement and retention. Quasi experimental design was employed to Civil Aviation Technology College in Tehran, Iran. The study lasted for 16 weeks. The experimental group was taught using brain-based learning approach, while the control group was taught with conventional teaching approach. The finding of the study shows a substantial difference on achievement and retention in favour of brain-based learning. Also supporting this current study is the results of Kurumeh, Onah, and Mohammed (2012), Agwagah (1994), and Obi, Agwagah, and Agah (2014) whose studies were respectively meant to investigate the impact of the van Hiele model over conventional strategy on retention of achievement.

Likewise, in consistency with this study is the study carried out in Ilorin, Nigeria, by Adeniji, Ameen, Dambatta, and Orilonise (2018), on the impact of mastery learning on senior secondary school students’ achievement and retention in circle geometry. The study employed a quasi-experimental design. 172 high school students were selected through a multi-stage sampling technique. Circle Geometry Achievement Test (CGAT) was the instrument used for collecting data. The study was however authenticated of its validity by an expert. Through test retest 0.82 was obtained as its reliability. The result of the study indicated a substantial difference in favor of treatment group. In the same vain, in the posttest mean achievement and retention score a substantial difference was found. It is on this note that it was suggested that mathematics teachers should be trained on the effective utilization of mastery learning technique for improved mathematic achievement.

The result of this study favours treatment group could be attributed to view of Kurumeh (2012) that maintained that incorrect, insufficient and restricted teaching strategy employed by mathematics teachers is responsible for learners’ inability to comprehend and recollect the rudimentary mathematical principles and logical facts.

The ANCOVA comparison of the retention mean scores based on gender was determined using hypothesis H0: indicated in Table 4. The result indicated no significant difference existed between the retention score of male and female. Therefore, the result shows that gender had no influence on the retention of pre-service mathematics teachers. It can be deduced that the use of van Hiele phase-based teaching strategy enhanced the retention of both male and female pre-service mathematics teachers because of the uniqueness of the treatment instrument. This concurs with the result of Kurumeh et al. (2012) on the effect of the ethnomathematics teaching approach and gender on junior secondary three student retention who confirmed no substantial difference between treatment and control group. Supporting the above finding, the work of Obi, Agwagah, and Agah (2014) on effect of origami instructional approach is in agreement with the current study. The result revealed that the use of origami had no statistical differential effect on male and female.

CONCLUSION/RECOMMENDATION

Based on the finding of this study, significant difference existed on retention of achievement between pre-service mathematics teachers taught geometry using van Hiele phase-based teaching strategy and those taught using conventional teaching. To be specific, Van Hiele phase-based teaching strategy was found to be more effective in improving pre-service mathematics teachers’ retention of achievement in geometry concepts. It was therefore concluded that the teaching strategy seems to be a solution to the poor academic achievement problem. In view of this, it was recommended that VPBTS should therefore be use by lecturers in teaching not only geometry but mathematics in general.
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