Experimental investigation of the effect of insulator sleeve length on the time to pinch and multipinch formation in the plasma focus facility
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Abstract The length of insulator sleeve is varied to investigate its effect on the pinch formation in the plasma focus facility. In this paper, the effect of insulator length on the time to pinch at various pressures and working voltages in the 1.15 kJ Mather type plasma focus is investigated. The results show that with 4.5 cm insulator length the time to pinch at all pressures is minimum. Other results also confirm that with increasing of pressure the time to pinch is increased. Moreover, with increasing working voltage the time to pinch is decreased. Pictures, captured using a digital single lens reflex (DSLR) Canon EOS 7D system, show that multipinch phenomenon is formed.
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Introduction

Plasma focus device (PFD) is an effective device in laboratory for production of high-temperature (≈1 keV) and high-density (~10^{25}–10^{26} m^{-3}) plasma. PFD was developed in the early 1960s in the former Soviet Union (Filippov type) [1] and in the USA (Mather type) [3] independently. The PFD was initially considered as a fast neutron source [3, 4]. It is also a rich source of soft and hard X-rays [5, 6], highly energetic ions [7, 8] and relativistic electrons [9]. The X-ray emission from PFD has been used for defectoscopy, X-ray lithography activation of enzymes, micro-machining and radiography [10–13]. The energetic ions have been used for material processing such as ion implantation and thin films [14–16]. PFD has also been used as a pump source for lasers [17]. Plasma produced in PFD can be affected with the plasma focus insulator sleeve length and PFD working voltage and pressure. Recently, experimental studies have been carried out on the effects of insulator sleeve length and pressure on time to pinch and current sheath structure [18, 19]. The effect of insulator sleeve length on X-ray emission has also been reported by Rawat et al. [20]. In [21, 22] Zhang et al. and Zakaullah et al. show that the X-ray and neutron yield is affected by insulator sleeve length. The current sheath formation dynamics and its structure for different insulator lengths in plasma focus device are investigated by Seng et al. [23]. The current sheath dynamics and multipinch phenomena have also been reported by Mohammadi et al. [24].

In this study, we have shown that the change in insulator sleeve length, pressure and working voltage affects the pinch time. The formation of multipinch phenomena is also reported.

Experimental setup

The present investigation was performed on a simple single capacitor DPF device designated at the Shahrood University (SHUPF). It is a Mather type focus device, energized by a single 16 μF, 12 kV fast discharging capacitor, with a maximum energy storage of 1.15 kJ. In our investigation, the device was operated at a charging voltage ranging between 7 and 9 kV. In our plasma focus, SHUPF,
cylindrical anode made of copper has 60 mm in length and 20 mm in diameter. The cathode was built in six brass rods each of 10 mm diameter and 60 mm length and symmetrically located around the anode. The device was evacuated to a vacuum (~0.005 Torr) by a rotary pump and was filled with argon gas to a different pressure (1–1.6 Torr) before the operation.

An insulator sleeve of Pyrex glass with fixed 24 mm outer diameter, in different effective lengths 35, 40, 45 and 50 mm, separates anode and cathode, as shown in Fig. 1. For determination of the temporal pinch zone, high-voltage probe is used. All data are captured with the GPS 200 MHz digital oscilloscope. A digital single lens reflex (DSLR) Canon EOS 7D was used for the time-integrated plasma column photography. The open shutter camera with an analyzer and polarizer was fixed at a distance of 15 cm in front of the window.

Results and discussion

In Fig. 2 typical signal of voltage probe is shown. The first peak (I) of signal coincides with breakdown phase and the second and third peaks (II, III) are pinch signals. Time distance between I and II (the time from start of discharge to the pinch) is time to pinch. In Fig. 3 variation of time to pinch versus insulator sleeve for different pressure is shown. As seen, the minimum and maximum time to pinch are $4.99 \pm 0.04$ (µs) to 4.5 cm at 1 Torr and $7.36 \pm 0.06$ (µs) for 3.5 cm at 1.4 Torr, respectively. At 1.6 Torr pressure with 3.5 cm insulator sleeve length pinch is not formed. At all pressure, the time to pinch for insulator with 4.5 cm is minimum. This result confirms that at all insulators, there is one pressure, which time to pinch is minimum. At all pressures when we deviate from an insulator sleeve with 4.5 cm length the time to pinch increases. This means that this insulator sleeve length is the optimum length of this plasma focus facility. In Fig. 4 variation of time to pinch versus insulator sleeve length for different working voltage is shown. This figure shows that with increasing of voltage for all insulator sleeve length time to pinch is decreased. With increasing of voltage the current is increased, and then the Lorentz force for driving current sheath is increased. Also the result of this figure shows that time to pinch at insulator with 4.5 cm length for all voltages is minimum. This means that the optimum length is independent of working voltage and pressure. When the insulator length is increased or decreased from the optimum value (4.5 cm length) the time to pinch is increased. The modification factor is defined as follows [25]:

![Fig. 1 The schematic view of SHUPF](image1)

![Fig. 2 Typical signal of voltage probe](image2)

![Fig. 3 Variation of time to pinch with insulator sleeve length for different pressure](image3)

![Fig. 4 Variation of time to pinch with insulator sleeve length for different voltage](image4)
where $f_c$ and $f_m$ are fraction of current and mass swept factor driving the plasma sheath, respectively. With the deviating of optimum value of insulator sleeve length, the leakage current will be increased and the modification factor is decreased. With considering of modification factor the current sheath velocity equation at axial velocity is defined as [26]

$$U_a = \frac{F}{l} \ln \frac{C^4}{p^2 C^2} \frac{I_0}{a \sqrt{p}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

This equation shows that with decreasing the modification factor the axial velocity is decreased, so the time to pinch is increased. The optimum insulator sleeve length corresponds to the conditions for uniform discharge development and its take off across the insulator sleeve surface. When the sleeve is too long the increased inductance may cause the current sheath to remain at the sleeve surface for longer period of time. When the sleeve is too short, the rapid current sheath development may cause spoke formation. As a result, when the insulating sleeve is not of appropriate length, the current sheath no longer remains uniform, and the so-called filaments or spokes are developed [26].

The sheath formation time is given as [27]

$$t_f = \left(\frac{2 \pi r_s d_s L_i}{\eta w_{is}}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{U}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $U$ is the working voltage, $r_s$ and $l_s$ are the radius and the length of insulator, respectively; $L_i$ is the inductance; $d_s$ is the sheath thickness; $\eta$ is the efficiency of energy fed to the discharge and $w_{is}$ is the energy density. When the insulator sleeve length is longer than the optimum value, the sheath formation time is increased and then the time to pinch is increased. Equation 3 shows that with increasing of working voltage the sheath formation time decreased, which is confirmed by Fig. 4.

For the time-integrated study of plasma column a digital single lens reflex (DSLR) Canon EOS 7D is used. Time-integrated picture of pinch zone is shown in Fig. 5. This figure shows that the multipinch is formed on top of the anode surface. The II and III peaks of the voltage probe signal, which is shown in Fig. 2, also confirm that the multipinch is formed. The multipinch formation can be explained as follows: in the Lee model the mass carried by current sheath at the position $z$ is [28]

$$\rho \pi (b^2 - a^2) m_f z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

In this equation $\rho$, $b$ and $a$ are gas density, cathode radius and anode radius, respectively. $m_f$ is the mass factor being less than one. This equation explains that the current sheath cannot carry 100% of gas, but some gas is left back.
near the insulator sleeve. After the first pinch/compression phase, indicated by the first peak in the voltage probe signal, shown in Fig. 2, another discharge on the insulator is produced and a second current sheath is produced which, owing to the low density of gas in front of it moves much faster and collapses at the anode top as the second pinch/compression phase.

**Conclusion**

Pinch formation time with the various insulator sleeve length is investigated. It was found that at all insulators we have one minimum time to pinch. It was obtained that with insulator with 4.5 cm length the time to pinch at all pressure is minimum. The average pinch time with different pressure shows that with increasing of pressure the time to pinch increased. Experimentally, it was shown that at a higher voltage the time to pinch is decreased. Experiments demonstrate that the multipinch phenomenon is formed.
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