Total electrolyte balance for laying hens
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ABSTRACT: This study was done to determine the best value of the total electrolyte balance (BET) concerning the variables of performance and egg quality of the laying hens. We investigated 240 Hy-Line Brown laying hens, of 30 to 46 weeks of age, adopting the completely randomized experimental design, which included five treatments (1000, 1250, 1500, 1750 and 2000 μeq/kg). The performance and quality of the birds’ eggs were evaluated in the different treatments. The results were subjected to the analysis of variance and, wherever significant effect was noted, the regression equations were estimated, taking into account the electrolyte balance (μeq/kg) as a concomitant variable, applying the SAS statistical program (2001). The consumption, production, and feed conversion variables by dozen eggs, final bird weight, egg density, yolk color, Haugh Unit, albumen weight, shell weight, and egg weight were found to be unaffected by the experimental BET values. The values of the feed conversion per egg mass, yolk weight and uniformity were affected by the different BETs. The electrolyte balance values showing minimum feed conversion, higher yolk weight, and better uniformity were, respectively, BET = 1400, BET = 1330, and BET = 1250 in μeq/kg of loads at the different temperatures. From the regression equations, the value indicated was BET = 1390 for the 30- to 46-week-old laying hens. The electrolyte balance of the diet was found to affect the laying hens in terms of performance and egg quality.
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The electrolytes present in the birdfeed were observed to alter the acid-base balance and, thus affect the metabolic processes concerned with growth, production, health, stress, and well-being (WANG et al., 2020).

This balance is linked to the electrolyte intake by the hens. The total electrolyte balance (BET) can affect growth, consumption, bone development, thermal stress, and cellular and osmotic metabolism (PATIENCE, 1990). Therefore, to avert acid-base imbalance, the rations needed to be supplemented with electrolytes like sodium bicarbonate and potassium chloride, which have been employed in the warm climate zones. The present diet contains many ingredients that can affect the electrolyte balance (BE); however, these are excluded in the model proposed by Mongin (1981), for example, the synthetic amino acids.

It was ARAÚJO et al., (2011) who proposed a new method of determining the electrolyte balance where these electrolytes were counted as the total electrolyte balance (BET). As the knowledge regarding these electrolytes and the influence of their μeq/kg on the metabolism of birds advanced, it was needful to account for them while calculating the electrolyte balance. Thus, the objective of this study was to assess the value of the best total electrolytic balance (BET) for the variables of performance and quality of the eggs in the laying hens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the poultry nutrition laboratory of the Federal Institute of Northern Minas Gerais, in Januária, Minas Gerais. The proposed objectives were achieved by adopting five diets, prepared to utilize the same electrolyte zones. The present diet contains many ingredients that can affect the electrolyte balance (BE); however, these are excluded in the model proposed by Mongin (1981), according to Equation 1.

Equation 1: BE, μeq/kg of the feed = (mg/kg of Na+ of the feed / 22.990) + (mg/kg of K+ of the feed / 35.453) - (mg/kg of Cl- of the feed / 22.990) + (mg/kg of HCO3- of the feed / 35.102) – ((μeq/kg of PO43- + μeq/kg of K+ Glu + μeq/kg of K+ Met + μeq/kg of K+ Trp + μeq/kg of K+ Val + μeq/kg of K+ Chol) – (μeq/kg of K+ PO43- + μeq/kg of K+ SO42- + μeq/kg of K+ Cl- + μeq/kg of K+ HCO3-) + (μeq/kg of K+ Lys + μeq/kg of K+ Met + μeq/kg of K+ Tre + (μeq/kg of K+ Glu + μeq/kg of K+ Val + μeq/kg of K+ Trp + μeq/kg of K+ Met + μeq/kg of K+ Lys + μeq/kg of K+ Val + μeq/kg of K+ Trp + μeq/kg of K+ Met + μeq/kg of K+ Lys + μeq/kg of K+ Glu + μeq/kg of K+ Tre)

In this experiment, 240 laying hens of the Hy-Line Brown lineage in the 30- to 46-weeks-of-age class were used, with 4 periods of 28 days each, in completely randomized distribution design. Five treatments (1000, 1250, 1500, 1750, and 2000 μeq/kg), were done in total, with eight replicates, using six birds in each experimental unit.

The laying hens were standardized based on weight and production and accommodated in a screened masonry shed covered with clay tiles (5 m wide and 2 m in height), using galvanized wire cages with four 25 × 45 compartments. × 40 cm, and were laterally distributed on two floors, 0.80 m above the floor level. A galvanized channel type of feeder and drinker were used, covering the entire front extension of the cages. Diets were calculated based on the levels reported by ROSTAGNO et al. (2011) (Table 1).

The birds were provided free access to feed and water and 17 hours of light per day throughout the experimental period, by the management recommendations of the lineage manual (MANUAL ISA POULTRY, 2010). The birds and the rations were weighed at the commencement and completion of the experimental period to confirm the weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion per dozen eggs, and egg mass.

The following characteristics were assessed: feed consumption (g / bird / day), egg production (% / bird / day), egg weight (kg), feed conversion per egg mass (kg / kg), feed conversion per dozen of eggs (kg / dozen), final weight of birds (g) and uniformity (%), Density (kg / L), Haugh Unit, Yolk (g), Albumin (g), Shell (g) and Total Egg Weight (g).

The specific gravity was determined by immersing the eggs in saline solutions of density in the range of 1.070 to 1.095 g / cm³, with a 0.005 g / cm³ interval, appropriately calibrated using a densimeter (OM-5565, Incoterm).

The weights of the yolk, albumen and shell were also determined, to accomplish which six eggs were randomly drawn from each repetition, from all the eggs collected over the last three days of each period. These were identified, weighed on a scale with 0.001 g accuracy. Then after breaking the egg, the shell was separated, washed, and dried in the open air for weighing at a later time.

The yolk was weighed immediately after breaking the egg, and the albumen weight was calculated as the difference between the total egg weight and yolk and shell weight. Statistical evaluation was then done using the analysis of variance and, when the significant effect was noted,
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Table 1 - Percentage and chemical compositions of experimental diets formulated according to ROSTAGNO et al., (2011) and their respective electrolyte balances.

| Ingredients (%) | Electrolyte balances (μeq/kg) | Electrolyte balances (μeq/kg) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                 | 1000                          | 1250                          | 1500                          | 1750                          | 2000                          |
| Corn            | 56.502                        | 56.486                        | 56.461                        | 56.428                        | 57.028                        |
| Soybean meal    | 23.475                        | 23.470                        | 23.470                        | 23.480                        | 22.100                        |
| Soybean oil     | 4.455                         | 4.460                         | 4.460                         | 4.480                         | 4.335                         |
| Potassium carbonate | 1.200                    | 1.200                         | 1.200                         | 1.200                         | 1.358                         |
| Calcium bicarbonate | 1.945                    | 1.495                         | 1.040                         | 0.588                         | 0.127                         |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 2.760                     | 2.750                         | 2.750                         | 2.750                         | 2.768                         |
| Limestone       | 8.430                         | 8.905                         | 9.370                         | 9.840                         | 10.310                        |
| Sodium chloride | 0.477                         | 0.477                         | 0.477                         | 0.477                         | 0.477                         |
| DL-Methionine 99% | 0.268                     | 0.268                         | 0.268                         | 0.268                         | 0.282                         |
| L-Lysine HCl 99% | 0.080                       | 0.080                         | 0.080                         | 0.080                         | 0.123                         |
| L-Tryptophan    | 0.014                         | 0.014                         | 0.014                         | 0.014                         | 0.022                         |
| Vitamin supplement 1 | 0.100                   | 0.100                         | 0.100                         | 0.100                         | 0.100                         |
| Mineral supplement 2 | 0.100                  | 0.100                         | 0.100                         | 0.100                         | 0.100                         |
| Choline chloride 60% | 0.100                   | 0.100                         | 0.100                         | 0.100                         | 0.100                         |
| Antioxidant 3   | 0.020                         | 0.020                         | 0.020                         | 0.020                         | 0.020                         |
| Metabolizable energy, kcal/kg | 2850                  | 2850                          | 2850                          | 2850                          | 2850                          |
| BE4, μeq/kg (Mongin, 1981) | 250                      | 250                          | 250                           | 250                           | 250                           |
| BE4, μeq/kg (Araljo, 2011) | 1000                   | 1250                         | 1500                          | 1750                          | 2000                          |
| Crude protein   | 15.603                        | 15.601                        | 15.601                        | 15.600                        | 15.604                        |
| Digestible lysine (%) | 0.780                    | 0.780                         | 0.780                         | 0.780                         | 0.780                         |
| Digestible methionine (%) | 0.490                    | 0.490                         | 0.490                         | 0.490                         | 0.496                         |
| Methionine + digestible cystine (%) | 0.710                  | 0.710                         | 0.710                         | 0.710                         | 0.710                         |
| Digestible threonine (%) | 0.593                    | 0.593                         | 0.593                         | 0.593                         | 1.226                         |
| Digestible tryptophan (%) | 0.179                    | 0.179                         | 0.179                         | 0.179                         | 0.179                         |
| Glycine+Total Serine (%) | 1.384                    | 1.383                         | 1.384                         | 1.384                         | 1.330                         |
| Digestible valine (%) | 0.651                       | 0.651                         | 0.651                         | 0.651                         | 0.626                         |
| Digestible isoleucine (%) | 0.598                    | 0.597                         | 0.598                         | 0.598                         | 0.573                         |
| Arginine Dg (%) | 0.955                         | 0.954                         | 0.954                         | 0.955                         | 0.913                         |
| Phenylalanine+ Digestible Tyrosine (%) | 1.196                    | 1.196                         | 1.196                         | 1.196                         | 1.150                         |
| Digestible histidine (%) | 0.396                       | 0.396                         | 0.396                         | 0.396                         | 0.382                         |
| Linoleic acid (%) | 1.337                         | 1.337                         | 1.336                         | 1.335                         | 1.343                         |
| Calcium (%) | 4.759                         | 4.761                         | 4.759                         | 4.761                         | 4.760                         |
| Match available (%) | 0.601                       | 0.599                         | 0.599                         | 0.599                         | 0.600                         |
| Sodium (%) | 0.206                         | 0.206                         | 0.206                         | 0.206                         | 0.206                         |
| Crude fiber (%) | 2.647                         | 2.643                         | 2.643                         | 2.642                         | 2.630                         |
| Neutral detergent fibre (%) | 10.584                   | 10.576                        | 10.574                        | 10.571                        | 10.541                        |
| Acid detergent fibre (%) | 4.450                       | 4.445                         | 4.444                         | 4.443                         | 4.422                         |

1 Vitamin mixture (kg of product): vit. A - 10,000,000 I.U.; Vit. D3 - 2,000,000 I.U.; Vit. E - 30,000 U.I.; Vit. B1 - 2.0 g; Vit. B2 - 6.0 g; Vit. B6 - 4.0 g; Vit. B12 - 0.015 g; ace. pantothenic - 12.0 g; biotin - 0.1 g; Vit. K3 - 3.0 g; ace. folic - 1.0 g; ace. Nicotinie - 50.0 g; If - 250.0 mg; 2Mineral mixture (kg of the product): Fe - 80 g; Cu - 10 g; Co - 2 g; Mn - 80 g; Zn - 50 g; 1-1 g; 3 Antioxidant: BHT (Butylhydroxy toluene). 4 Electrolytic Balance.

the regression equations were performed taking into account the electrolyte balance (μeq / kg as a concomitant variable), through the PROC MIXED in the statistical program SAS (2001). Later, the BET effects were tested using Dunnett’s test at 5% probability, by comparison of the control treatment with the others. The R² value was achieved from the data noted in each of the experimental repetitions.
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this experiment, we observed the maximum and minimum temperature to be 32.7 ºC and 19.4 ºC, on average, respectively, and the relative humidity on average, as 47.75%. The variables of consumption, egg production, feed conversion per dozen eggs, the final weight of the birds remained unaffected (P>0.05) according to the experimental BET (Table 2).

CALDERON & JENSEN (1990) reported no significant differences for the egg production of the laying hens fed on different quantities of protein in the feed. These results concur with the findings of MANJU et al., (2015) who, in their study on crude protein levels (12, 14, 16, 18%) and lysine content (0.85 and 1.0%), also discovered that the egg production, consumption of ration and egg quality remained unaffected.

The findings from this experiment correspond with those of some authors such as SILVA et al., (2006) who reported no difference in feed conversion per dozen eggs. In their studies, LAURENTIZ et al., (2005) recorded that the birds fed with 16.4% of CP showed improvement in the variables of feed conversion by dozen birds, egg production and weight of the eggs when the AAST levels were boosted from 0.45% to 0.60% in the diets for the Lohmann Brown hens in the second production cycle.

For the variable, the final weight of the birds, the BET treatment 1250 μeq / kg, showed an improved result of 1678 g (P>0.05), a value very close to that of the treatment using 1000 μeq / kg. A BE value below 180 μeq / kg and above 300 μeq / kg lowers the weight of the birds (JOHNSON & KARUNAJEEWA, 1985). HULAN et al., (1987) in their research on the effect of diets containing Na+ + K+ - Cl- in different doses, revealed that the lowest and the highest weight gains were achieved with 174 and 215 μeq / kg. Also, BORGES et al., (1999) observed that the best electrolyte balance was noted in concentrations of 199 μeq / kg and the Na+ and Cl- ions.

The feed conversion per egg mass and uniformity values were influenced by the different BET values (Table 2, P<0.05). For uniformity, birds given the BET treatment 1250 μeq / kg, showed the best result. Therefore, for this parameter, the birds revealed an excellent electrolyte balance with 1250μeq / kg, reiterating that they were more adapted to this relationship in the diet than with the other treatments.

The following equations were adjusted for the feed conversion by mass of the eggs (CAM), respectively: CAM = (-4.59x10 -7 BE²) + (0.0014 BE) + 3.0815, (R² = 0.47), achieving a BET value = 1525μeq / kg. However, these results differ from those reported by LOPES et al., (2011) who found no significant effect for this parameter, in their study. By contrast, MORAES et al., (2019) reported a significant effect (P <0.05) concerning the electrolyte balance, indicating that the more quantity of NaHCO3 included in the diet the greater the feed conversion. These studies have considered only a small portion of the dietary electrolytes applying the classic BE calculation (MONGIN, 1981).

Regarding the variables of quality as shown in table 3: Colorimetric fan, density, Haugh unit, albumen weight, shell weight, and total egg weight, remained unaffected by the experimental BET.

The yolk weight alone was influenced by the different BET (P <0.05). The birds that were given the BET treatment 1250 μeq / kg, revealed better yolk weights, which indicates that this electrolytic balance with 1250μeq / kg was more effective in this situation, with the objective being to raise the yolk

| Table 2 - Performance of laying hens under different BET in diets. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables | 1000 | 1250 | 1500 | 1750 | 2000 | SEM | CV | P-value |
| Consumption (g/bird/day) | 116 | 111 | 114 | 111 | 2.55 | 0.045 | 0.172 |
| Egg production (%) | 84.7 | 84.9 | 82.3 | 85.1 | 81.66 | 21.72 | 0.051 | 0.369 |
| Feed conversion per egg mass (kg/kg) | 2.19 | 2.06 | 2.10 | 2.09 | 2.19 | 0.026 | 0.016 | 0.022 |
| Feed conversion per dozen eggs (kg/dozen) | 1.65 | 1.57 | 1.61 | 1.60 | 1.64 | 0.071 | 0.044 | 0.267 |
| The final weight of birds (g) | 1676 | 1678 | 1625 | 1657 | 1640 | 0.11 | 0.066 | 0.074 |
| Uniformity (%) | 89.6 | 93.8 | 85.4 | 89.6 | 89.6 | - | - | - |

SEM= Standard error mean; CV= Coefficient of Variation; P-value= Probability Value; BET in μeq/kg= Total Electrolyte Balance in μeq/kg; \* Significant effect (P<0.05). CA egg mass = (-4.59x10 -7 BE²) + (0.0014 BE) + 3.0815, (R²=0.47).
weight, which ranks among the foremost features desired by the consumers.

Strikingly different from this study, LIMA et al., (2015) in their work using the sodium levels in quail diets to stabilize the electrolyte balance, concluded that the 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25 and levels did not significantly affect (p> 0.05) egg performance and quality. JUNQUEIRA et al., while (2000) assessing the effects of the sources and levels of sodium, chlorine, and potassium, as well as the (Na + K) / Cl ratio, on the performance and characteristics of the hen laying blood plasma also reported no change (p> 0.005) in the variables of the quality of eggs.

Regarding the yolk weight the equations mentioned were adjusted, Yolk = (1.10x10^-8 BE^3) - (5.24x10^-5 BE²) + (0.078 BE) - 21.73 (R² = 0.59). Achieving the values of BET = 1330 μeq / kg and BET = 2000 μeq / kg to attain maximum values of yolk weight are described by the cubic equation. However, the weight values of the buds estimated under BET = 1330 μeq / kg were even greater than those below BET = 2000 μeq / kg.

With respect to the colorimetric range, the yolk pigmentation in the treatments revealed color intensities that were very close. In fact, OLIVEIRA et al., (2007) reported that the higher yolk pigmentation may have some connection to the inclusion of more corn in the diet because corn possesses natural xanthophylls that intensify the pigmentation. In terms of density, all the eggs were assessed in different NaCl solutions, and the treatment that attained the highest value was BET 1000 μeq / kg, with a solution of 1.108 kg / L (P> 0.05). In their studies, SILVA et al., (2006) reported that these data did not fall within the normal values for laying hens, which are in the range of 1.080 to 1.090 g / cm3.

Regarding the Haugh unit, the BET 1500 μeq / kg treatment produced numerically improved results (P<0.05). The result drawn from the Haugh Unit revealed excellent egg quality, as it exceeded the minimum value of 72 recommended by the EGG - GRADING MANUAL (2000).

Considering albumen weight, BET 1750 μeq / kg demonstrated a better numerical result (P>0.05) compared to the other treatments. These data corroborate those found by PINTO et al., (2002), egg weight is dependent upon the quality and quantity of protein consumed by the laying hens from their diet and can be a useful reference to guarantee their amino acid requirements. The high protein level and amino acid content of the diet remarkably influenced the egg size (ATTIA et al., 2020). In this study, the BET treatment 1250 μeq / kg enabled a good total egg weight (P<0.05) to be attained. A comparison was made of these results with those of MAKLED and CHARLES (1987) who reported that egg production remains unaffected when NaHCO3 is added to the diet.

These findings are exceptional from those found in the literature for the method of BE calculation used, according to ARAÚJO et al. (2011). In fact, MURAKAMI et al. (2006) in their evaluation using the classic BE method for the first and second

| Table 3 - Quality of eggs of laying hens under different BET in diets. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables                  | 1000 | 1250 | 1500 | 1750 | 2000 | SEM  | CV      | P-value |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Colorimetric range (Roche) | 4.2  | 4.2  | 4.2  | 4.3  | 4.4  | 0.878| 0.206   | 0.855   |
| Density (kg/L)             | 1.108| 1.107| 1.104| 1.107| 1.102| 0.004| 0.004   | 0.058   |
| Haugh Unit                 | 129.7| 128.8| 130.4| 129.8| 130.1| 1.19 | 0.009   | 0.071   |
| Yolk (g)                   | 15.2 | 16.2 | 15.3 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 0.809| 0.053   | 0.022   |
| Albumin (g)                | 36.8 | 38.2 | 38.5 | 38.8 | 38.2 | 1.74 | 0.046   | 0.211   |
| Egg shell (g)              | 5.7  | 5.9  | 5.7  | 5.7  | 5.5  | 0.338| 0.059   | 0.265   |
| Total egg weight (g)       | 62.1 | 65.8 | 64.0 | 63.8 | 62.9 | 4.32 | 0.068   | 0.589   |

SEM= Standard error mean; CV= Coefficient of Variation; P-value= Probability Value; BET in μeq/kg= Total Electrolyte Balance in μeq/kg. Significant effect(P<0.05). Yolk = (1.10x10^-8 BE^3) - (5.24x10^-5 BE²) + (0.078 BE) - 21.73 (R² = 0.59).
cycles of the hen layers, reported ideal values of 205 μeq/kg, for the first production cycle, and 174 μeq/kg, for the second one. However, in this methodology, a much lower electrolyte volume than the one used in this study was evaluated.

CONCLUSION

The different BET values used were observed to affect the performance of the hens. The electrolyte balance values which gave the lowest possible feed conversion, improved yolk weight, and induced better uniformity were, respectively, BET = 1525, BET = 1330 and BET = 1250 in μeq/kg of the feed loads. From the regression equations, the BET value of 1390 was identified as ideal, for laying hens 30 to 46 weeks of age.
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