Chloroplast phylogenomic analyses resolve multiple origins of the *Kengyilia* species via independent polyploidization events
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Abstract

Background

*Kengyilia* is a group of allohexaploid species that arose from two hybridization events followed by genome doubling of three ancestral diploid species with different genomes *St*, *Y* and *P* in the wheat tribe. Estimating phylogenetic relationship in resolution of the maternal lineages has been difficult, owing to the extremely low rate of sequence divergence. Here, phylogenetic reconstructions based on the plastome sequences were used to explore the role of maternal progenitors in establishment of *Kengyilia* polyploid species.

Results

The plastome sequences of 11 *Kengyilia* species were analyzed together with 11 tetraploid species (*PP*, *StP*, and *StY*) and 33 diploid taxa representing 20 basic genomes in the Triticeae. Phylogenomic analysis and genetic divergence patterns suggested that (1) *Kengyilia* is closely related to *Roegneria*, *Pseudoroegneria*, *Agropyron*, *Lophopyrum*, *Thinopyrum*, and *Dasypyrum*; (2) both the *STY* genome *Roegneria* tetraploids and the *PP* genome *Agropyron* tetraploids severed as the maternal donor during the speciation of *Kengyilia* species; (3) the different *Kengyilia* species derived their *STY* genome from different *Roegneria* species.

Conclusion

Multiple origins of species via independent polyploidization events have occurred in the genus *Kengyilia*, resulting in a maternal haplotype polymorphism. This helps explain the rich diversity and wide adaptation of polyploid species in the genus *Kengyilia*.

Background

Polyploidy, defined as the possession of two or more sets of homologous chromosomes following whole-genome duplication, is a major mechanism in plant evolution and speciation [1, 2]. Recent studies even suggested that multiple origins (including independent origin) of polyploid species are the rule rather than the exception [3–6]. Polyploidy promotes variability through change in the chromosomal number per se, increased genetic diversity and genomic reorganization, leading to benefits in new phenotypes and evolutionary innovation in physiological and ecological flexibility [7,
However, a clear and appropriate identification of phylogenetic relationships among taxa and genomics is needed [5].

Kengyilia Yen et J.L. Yang, a polyploid perennial genus in the wheat tribe (Poaceae: Triticeae), includes about 22 perennial species that distributed in a different range of natural habitats over the upper and middle mountain ranges of Central Asia and the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau [9]. A comparison of the morphological features among the genus Kengyilia, Roegneria, Elymus, and Agropyron suggested that the species in Kengyilia is intermediate between species of Roegneria C. Koch and Agropyron Gaertn, but with some distinct morphological divergence in spikelet characters between Kengyilia and Roegneria and between Kengyilia and Agropyron [10]. Kengyilia species exhibit variation with high (K. grandiglumis) to low (K. thoroldiana) plants, lax (K. rigidula) to dense (K. hirsuta) spikes, adnate (K. longiligumis) to incohesive (K. stenachyra) spikelets to rachis, yellow (K. gobicola) to black (K. melanthera) anthers. All the species of Kengyilia are allohexaploids (2n = 6x = 42) with StYP genomes [9, 11]. The St and P genomes are originated from Pseudoroegneria (Nevski) Á LÖve and Agropyron Gaertn., respectively [12]. It is unknown where the Y genome originates, although it is a fundamental Kengyilia genome [5, 11]. Cytogenetic evidence suggested that speciation of the Kengyilia polyploid was derived from hybridization between tetraploid Roegneria C. Koch (2n = 4x = 28, StY) and diploid Agropyron (2n = 2x = 14, P) species [5, 11]. Analysis of nuclear single-copy Pgk1 gene sequences suggested that Kengyilia species from the Central Asia and the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau have independent origins with geographically differentiated P genome donors [13]. Data from chloroplast trnL-F [14], matK [15], rbcL [15], trnH-psbA [15], and mitochondrial CoxII [16] suggested that different species of Kengyilia have derived their maternal lineages from either the species of Pseudoroegneria or the species of Agropyron or unknown donor. Analysis of trnL-F [14] and matK and rbcL [15] sequences showed that four species of Kengyilia (K. kokonorica, K. melanthera, K. mutica, and K. thoroldiana) were related to species of Agropyron, and the remaining sampled species were close to species of Pseudoroegneria. In trnH-psbA tree, four species of Kengyilia (K. grandiglumis, K. hirsuta, K. laxiflora, and K. rigidula) were grouped with Agropyron, which is inconsistent with the maternal relationship presented by the trnL-F [14] and the matK and rbcL [15]
sequence data. Moreover, analysis of CoxII suggested that some species of Kengyilia (e.g.: K. batalinii), Agropyron, and Pseudoroegneria formed a paraphyletic grade with zero-length branches [16]. While these studies added our understanding of phylogenetic relationship of Kengyilia, the molecular phylogenies based on published chloroplast DNA (trnL-F, matK, rbcL, trnH-psbA) and mitochondrial sequences in resolution of the maternal lineages of Kengyilia species are still in dispute due to either the unresolved gene tree with polytomies or incongruence among cytoplasmic gene data [14-16]. Moreover, the processes that have driven polyploid diversification and speciation, especially with regards to which tetraploid and diploid species as maternal progenitors were involved in hexaploid evolution in Kengyilia, remain unclear. Thus, to better understand the maternal contribution to the species of Kengyilia, it is essential to obtain a well comparative study of chloroplast genome-wide in Kengyilia and its relatives covering nearly all of the genomic combinations in Triticeae.

Here, integrating 38 newly and 18 previously sequenced plastomes representing the StYP genomes and its related tetraploid and diploid genomic types in Triticeae, this study applies phylogenetic reconstruction methods in combination with estimate of genetic distance among coding region to clarify maternal lineage relationships. Our objectives are to demonstrate a phylogenomic framework for illustrating the maternal donor of Kengyilia polyploids and to explore the role of maternal progenitors in establishment of Kengyilia polyploid.

Results

Characteristics of chloroplast genomes and genes

All sequenced genomes are very similar to published cp genomes of Triticeae [17, 18] and rather conservative in genome structure and gene content. Their genome size ranges from 134,985 in R. ciliaris to 135,489 bp in A. cristatum (ZY09064). All plastomes exhibited a typical quadripartite structure that included a pair of IRs separated by a large single copy region (LSC) and a small single copy region (SSC) and contained a total of 109 genes (including 76 protein coding genes, 29 tRNA genes and 4 rRNA genes). Assemblies in genus Kengyilia averaged 135,113 bp, with an estimated 0.064% insertion data (compared to Pseudoroegneria libanotica reference); genus Roegneria
assemblies averaged just less than 135,079 bp (0.039% estimated insertion data, compared to Pse. libanotica reference).

Analysis of co-linearity is inferred for two diploid taxa representing St and P genomes, one tetraploid species with the StP genome, one tetraploid species with the StY genome, and three hexaploid species with the StYP genome (Fig. 1). Despite a high degree of co-linearity among these genomes due to the conservative in chloroplast genome structure and gene content, five big indels (at position 17819-18278 bp, 56172-56963 bp, 62664-63130 bp, 83590-84338 bp, 130804-131592 bp, respectively) were detected between the St- and P-containing lineages, which is an indicative of high genetic divergence between them.

The features of each of the 76 protein-coding gene in the diploid-polyploid plastome data are summarized in Table S1. The lengths of each gene ranged from 90 (petN) to 4,440 (rpoC2) bp. The proportion of variable sites (variable sites/total sites, V/T) varied from 0 (e.g. petG) to 3.36% (rpl32). The ratio of parsimony-informative characters per total aligned characters was greatest for petL (2.08%) and lowest for petG, psbF, and rpl23 (0).

Phylogenetic analyses
Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction of the plastome data under the GTR + G + I model resulted in a tree with high posterior probability support across most clades. ML analyses in IQ-Tree under the TVM + F + R3 model recovered the same topology as the Bayesian analyses. The tree illustrated in Fig. 2 was the BI tree with statistic supports (UFboot, SH-aLRT, and PP) above branches. The phylogenetic tree showed that the plastome sequences of Kengyilia were split into two major clades (Clade I and II) with consistent statistical support (100% UFboot and SH-aLRT; 1.0 PP). The Clade I included Thinopyrum (E^B), Lophopyrum (E^E) ♦ Daspyrum (V), Pseudoroegneria (St), and all the sampled St-containing (Douglasdeweya, StP; Roegneria, StY; Kengyilia, StYP) polyploid species (except for Kengyilia melanthera) with consistent statistical support (100% UFboot and SH-aLRT; 1.0 PP). In this clade, Thinopyrum ♦ Lophopyrum ♦ Daspyrum, Douglasdeweya, four species of Pseudoroegneria (Pse. stipifolia, Pse. cognata, Pse. libanotica and Pse. tauri), two species of Roegneria (R. grandis and R. ciliaris), and four species of Kengyilia (K. alatavica, K. hirsuta, K. laxiflora, and K. batalinii) were in one...
subclade (99.8% UFboot, 100% SH-aLRT, and 1.0 PP). Kengyilia alatavica from central Asia formed a paraphyletic grade with Thinopyrum Lophopyrum Dasypyrum, Douglasdeweya, and two species of Pseudoroegneria (Pse. stipifolia and Pse. cognata). Two Kengyilia species from central Asia (K. hirsuta and K. batalinii) and one Kengyilia species from the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (K. laxiflora) were clustered with two species of Roegneria (R. grandis and R. ciliaris) (95% UFboot, 95% SH-aLRT, and 1.0 PP). Kengyilia kokonorica from the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and two species of Pseudoroegneria (Pse. libanotica and Pse. tauri) formed a paraphyletic grade in the subclade. Five species of Kengyilia from the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (K. thoroldiana, K. grandiglumis, K. mutica, K. stenachyra, and K. rigidula) were grouped with one species of Roegneria (R. longearistata) (100% UFboot, 100% SH-aLRT, and 1.0 PP), and this group sister to two accessions of Pseudoroegneria spicata (99.6% UFboot, 100% SH-aLRT, and 1.0 PP). The clade II contained all sampled Agropyron species (A. cristatum and A. mongolicum) and K. melanthera from the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (100% UFboot, 100% SH-aLRT, and 1.0 PP).

Statistic of K2-p distance matrix

A distance matrix including 1,664 genetic values was generated to investigate the relationship between the plastomes of Kengyilia and those of its closely relatives (Table S2). The Hopkins statistic was found to be 0.2057, indicating that the data is highly clusterable (Fig. 3A). Analysis of both bivariate cluster and clustering dendogram based on the method of the hierarchical agglomerative clustering shows four major clusters (Fig. 3B and 3C), which correspond to the four genomic types (P/STYP, E⁰/Eᵇ, STY, and ST/STP/STY/STYP). This is also well congruent with the groupings in phylogenomic tree inferred from the plastome data including all sampled Triticeae plants. The first cluster included all sampled Agropyron species (A. cristatum, ACR; A. mongolicum, AMO) and K. melanthera (KME). The second cluster contained one species of Roegneria (R. longearistata, RLO) and five species of Kengyilia (K. stenachyra, KST; K. rigidula, KRI; K. grandiglumis, KGR; K. mutica, KMU; K. thoroldiana, KTH). The third cluster consisted of Thinopyrum (TBE) and Lophopyrum (LEL). The forth cluster comprised all the sampled Pseudoroegneria (Pse. spicata, PSP; Pse. stipifolia, PST; Pse. cognata, PCO; Pse. libanotica, PLI; Pse. tauri, PTA), Douglasdeweya (DDE), two species of Roegneria
(R. grandis, RGR; R. ciliaris, RCI), and four species of Kengyilia (K. hirsuta, KHI; K. laxiflora, KLA; K. alatavica, KAL; K. batalinii, KBA).

Discussion

The cpDNA-based (trnL-F, matK, rbcL, and trnH-psbA) phylogeny of the genus Kengyilia, especially with regard to the origin of maternal donor during hexaploid polyploidization events, were largely unresolved due to the occurrence of many polytomies and incongruence among published gene tree [14, 15]. Ma et al. [19] pointed out that despite missing samples, phylogenetic analysis of plastome sequences can offer the greatest phylogenetic resolution. In this study, a resolved tree with highly statistic support was inferred from the plastome sequences of Kengyilia and those of its relatives in Triticeae, allowing the relationship regarding to the maternal lineages of Kengyilia to be clarified.

In phylogenomic tree, ten species of Kengyilia (K. alatavica, K. hirsuta, K. laxiflora, K. batalinii, K. kokonorica, K. thoroldiana, K. grandiglumis, K. mutica, K. stenachyra, and K. rigidula), Roegneria, and Pseudoroegneria were in one group with consistent support, indicating that Pseudoroegneria is likely to be the maternal donor of these ten StYP genome Kengyilia species and the sampled StY genome Roegneria species. Since Kengyilia species arose from two hybridization events followed by three genome doublings (the St, Y and P genomes), with one firstly generating the StY genome Roegneria and the other forming the StYP genome Kengyilia [11, 13], Roegneria severed as the maternal donor during the speciation of the ten Kengyilia species.

Analysis of trnL-F suggested that four species of Kengyilia (K. kokonorica, K. melanthera, Kengyilia mutica, and Kengyilia thoroldiana) were closely related to species of Agropyron [14]. A similar deep-level relationships regarding to maternal lineages is also presented by Luo et al. [15], although molecular characters (including matK, rbcL, and trnH-psbA) and more taxa were sampled from Kengyilia. In this study, only K. melanthera was grouped with the species of Agropyron, and the remaining three species (K. kokonorica, K. mutica, and K. thoroldiana) were placed into the clade including St-containing species. Moreover, the plastome sequence of K. melanthera and Agropyron are obviously distinct from those of the St-containing species. Thus, the molecular phylogenies based on published cpDNA fragments and the present plastome sequence data in resolution of the
placement of K. kokonorica, K. mutica, and K. thoroldiana led to apparently contradictory results. Discordances among phylogenetic trees result from methodological artifacts (e.g., sampling error and/or a failure of molecular characters) and the complex dynamics of the evolutionary processes in organisms (e.g., hybridization and/or ancestral polymorphisms) [6, 20]. Sampling error is likely to be the candidate for the current incongruences because our samples for the comparative phylogenies with Kengyilia species included nearly all of the monogenomic genera accepted in genome-based classifications of the Triticeae, and most monogenomic genera were not covered in previous study [14, 15]. It is well known that molecular characters can affect the accuracy of phylogenetic estimates [19]. Incongruences would also be the result of lack of molecular characters. Less molecular characters in cpDNA regions, as indicated by our estimate for the variable features of each chloroplast protein-coding genes (Table S2), together with its slowly evolving rates in chloroplast genome, would not only provide a few variable information for the accuracy of phylogenetic reconstruction but also result in the occurrence of polytomies in phylogenetic tree. On the contrary, the plastome data offer enough molecular characters for the accuracy of phylogenetic estimates with well-supported topology. Both hybridization and ancestral polymorphisms acting alone or in concert can generate discordance and therefore are the principal processes to explain the phylogenetic incongruence in Triticeae species [6, 21]. Analysis of genetic distance matrix based on the 52 protein-coding genes suggested that Lophopyrum and Thinopyrum are closely related to the St-containing species. In phylogenomic tree inferred from complete chloroplast genome, Lophopyrum, Thinopyrum, Dasypyrum, and two species of Pseudoroegneria (Pse. stipifolia and Pse. congnata) form a monophyletic group. These results indicated Lophopyrum, Thinopyrum, Dasypyrum, Pseudoroegneria (most likely Pse. stipifolia and Pse. congnata) shared ancestral polymorphisms due to incomplete diversification of common maternal ancestry. Such ancestral polymorphisms could be genetically transmitted to some polyploid species (e.g.: StP, StY, StYP) via the hybridization between Pseudoroegneria as female parent and the donors with Y and/or P genomes. The hypothesis of hybridization is also a likely candidate to explain the conflict because different polyploid species with the same genotypes could derive from different parental donors via independent hybridization events,
generating a diverse array of polyploid genotypes in Triticeae [5, 22]. The present plastome data also provides support for the independent origin some polyploid species, which can be shown by different Kengyilia species that was grouped with different Roegneria species in a phylogenetic tree. For example, in the clade I of phylogenomic tree, three Kengyilia species (K. hirsuta, K. laxiflora, and K. batalinii) were clustered with R. grandis with strongly statistic support (100% UFboot, 100% SH-aLRT, and 1.0 PP), and five Kengyilia species (K. thoroldiana, K. grandiglumis, K. mutica, K. stenachyra, and K. rigidula) were grouped with R. longearistata (100% UFboot, 100% SH-aLRT, and 1.0 PP). Analysis of genetic distances based on 52 protein-coding sequences also presented similar results. Sympatric distribution among R. grandis, R. longearistata and Agropyron species have provide an opportunity in physical proximity for hybridization events. It is thus suggested that the different Kengyilia species derived their StY genome from different Roegneria species. Our data also indicated that Agropyron species severed as the maternal donor during the speciation of K. melanthera, providing additional support for the independent origin of different Kengyilia species. However, it seems unlikely that the maternal Agropyron lineage in K. melanthera resulted from hybridization between high ploidy Roegneria species with StY genomes (served as paternal donor) and diploid P genome Agropyron species. One possible explanation is that the P genome of K. melanthera originated from the tetraploid Agropyron lineage as the female parent. Given the present data, multiple origins of polyploid species result in a maternal haplotype polymorphism and could explain the rich diversity and wide adaptation of polyploid species in the genus Kengyilia [11].

Conclusions
The present analysis of phylogenetic relationships in Kengyilia based the plastome sequences revealed that both Roegneria and Agropyron tetraploid species severed as the maternal donor during the speciation of Kengyilia species, and different Roegneria species contributed their StY genome to different Kengyilia species. This is an indicative of independent origin of different Kengyilia species, which shed new light on our understanding of the maternal lineages, polyploidization events and speciation process of Kengyilia.

Methods
Plant materials

A total of 22 polyploids, comprising 11 Kengyilia (StYP genomes) species, seven Agropyron (PP) tetraploids, one Douglasdewya (StP genomes) species, and three Roegneria (StY genomes) species, were analyzed together with 33 diploid taxa representing 20 basic genomes in the Triticeae (Table S3). Brachypodium distachyon was used as outgroup. The chloroplast genome sequences of Triticum-Aegilops complex, Secale, Pseudoroegneria cognata, Pseudoroegneria stipifolia, Pseudoroegneria strigosa, and Pseudoroegneria tauri were from the published data [17, 18]. The remaining sequences in Table S3 in Triticeae were newly sequenced. Sample information including accession numbers, origins, genome type, ploidy, and GenBank accession data were also listed in Table S3. The seed materials with PI and W6 numbers were kindly provided by American National Plant Germplasm System (Pullman, Washington, USA). The seed materials with ZY and Y numbers were collected from the Triticeae species that are not endangered, and they grow in public area where no permission and formal identification for collection is needed in China. The plants used for sequencing and voucher specimens are deposited at Herbarium of Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, China (SAUTI).

Plastome sequencing and data assembly

DNA extractions were performed on young green leaf material dried in silica using the DNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions after a liquid nitrogen homogenization step. The plastomes were amplified in overlapping fragments using the long-range PCR method of Yang et al. [23]. The PCR products was fragmented into short insert (400–600 bp) to construct the sequencing paired-end library according to the NEBNext® protocol. DNA from each individual was indexed using tags and pooled together in one lane of an Illumina Hiseq 4000 PE150 for sequencing. The sequenced plastomes were assembled and annotated following Yang et al. (2014). The plastome of Pseudoroegneria libanotica (GenBank accession number KX822019) and Agropyron cristatum (GenBank No. KY126307) were used as the reference genomes for assembly of all new sequenced accessions.

Sequence alignment and analysis
The complete chloroplast sequences were aligned with MAFFT v. 7 [24] using the default settings. All alignments were visually inspected in MEGA 6.0 [25] and manually adjusted where needed. We also conducted a co-linear analysis using the software LASTZ, and the results were visualized using AliTV [26]. Multiple alignment of protein-coding sequence was conducted using ClustalW in MEGA 6 [25], with manual adjustment. Amino acid translations were used to guide the nucleotide alignments. The sequence statistics, including nucleotide substitutions, Kimura 2-parameter (K2-p) distances, transition/transversion ratio, and variability of the sequences, were calculated by MEGA 6 [25].

To estimate the genetic differentiation of protein-coding sequences between Kengyilia and its closely relatives, K2-p model was used to calculate the genetic distances of each protein-coding sequences of fifty-two genes (76 unique genes, excluding 24 which have no variable nucleotide sites and/or are < 200 bp) between Pasthyrostachys as the outgroup and the samples including Kengyilia and its closely relatives. A total of 1,664 (32 samples and 52 protein-coding genes) genetic distances were used to estimate genome relationship using hierarchical agglomerative clustering in R (Version 3.4.2; Vienna, Austria). Hopkins statistic was used for the evaluation of clustering tendency. The optimal number of clusters was determined by "fviz_dend" algorithm in the R package ‘factoextra’ Version 1.0.5.

Bivariate cluster (k-means clustering) analysis based on genetic distances and agglomerative hierarchical clustering was performed by the ‘clustplot’ function in the R package “cluster” package (Version 2.0.6).

Phylogenetic analysis

Because complete chloroplast genome sequences offer the greatest phylogenetic resolution [19], phylogenomic trees were generated from all sampled complete chloroplast genomes. Phylogenomic analyses were conducted using maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI). ML analysis was performed using the IQ-Tree software (http://www.cibiv.at/software/iqtree/). The TVM + F + R3 model was selected for ML analysis according to ModelTest implemented in IQ-Tree. To assess branch support, the IQ-Tree analyses used the ultrafast bootstrap approximation (UFboot) with 10000 replicates [27] and the SH-like approximate likelihood ratio test (SH-aLRT) with 1000 bootstrap replicates [28].
The evolutionary model used for BI analysis was determined using ModelTest v3.7 [29] with Akaike information criterion (AIC). BI analysis was performed using MrBayes v3.2 [30] under the GTR + G + I model that was identified as the best fit by ModelTest. Four MCMC (Markov Chain Monte Carlo) chains (one cold and three heated), applying MrBayes default heating values (t = 0.2), were run 1,000,000 generations for plastome data, with each sampled in each data set every 100 generations. Fifty percent of majority consensus trees were generated with a relative burn-in of 25%. The statistical confidence in nodes was estimated by posterior probabilities (PP). A PP-value less than 90% was not included in figures.

**Abbreviations**
cp, chloroplast; LSC, large-single-copy; SSC, small-single-copy; UFBoot, ultrafast bootstrap; SH-aLRT, Shimodaira–Hasegawa approximate likelihood ratio test; PP, posterior probabilities.
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Table S2. A distance matrix including 1,664 genetic values calculated from 59 protein-coding genes.
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Figures
Figure 1

Co-linear analysis of the chloroplast genomes of Kengyilia species and its closely relatives.
Figure 2
Bayesian tree inferred from the whole complete cp genome sequences for Kengyilia species and its diploid relatives in the Triticeae. Numbers above branches are the values of statistic support values, and values are indicated only if deemed robust as follows: UFboot $\geq$ 95%/SH-aLRT $\geq$ 80%/PP $\geq$ 0.9. The capital letters in bracket indicate the genome type of the species. Different color labeled the geographic information Kengyilia species.

Figure 3
Cluster analysis based on a distance matrix including 1,664 genetic values calculated from 52 chloroplast protein-coding genes of three sampled Kengyilia specie and its closely relatives. (A) The Hopkins statistics. (B) The bivariate cluster plot based on the method of the hierarchical agglomerative clustering. (C) The clustering dendogram based on the method of the hierarchical agglomerative clustering.
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