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The persistence and movement of methabenzthiazuron, metazachlor, trifluralin, iprodione, fenitrothion, fenvalerate and furathiocarb was studied in one growing season in cultivated clay, finesand and organic soils in southern Finland. Trifluralin was studied for two growing seasons.

Methabenzthiazuron was the most mobile pesticide and metazachlor was almost as much mobile. They leached at least 15 cm in a month. Fenitrothion and fenvalerate were the most immobile.

Trifluralin was the most and fenitrothion the least persistent. The concentrations of iprodione and fenvalerate in the top soils increased towards the autumn, presumably due to leaching of pesticides from plants. The residues of trifluralin measured in the surface layer of the mineral soils at the end of the growing season might have been harmful to plants sensitive to trifluralin. The residues of trifluralin in the peat soil surface 1.5 years after the treatment were still high. Also methabenzthiazuron, iprodine and fenvalerate residues may in all probability have been detected in the following spring. If the half-life of a pesticide is over 80 - 100 days, it is likely that residues of it may be found in the spring following the application in cultivated Finnish soils.
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Introduction

The evaluation of the fate of pesticides in Finnish environmental conditions using results obtained in foreign research work is difficult. The most valuable sources for assessments have been laboratory studies made in low soil temperatures, as well as field studies about the behaviour of pesticides in soils in other Nordic countries and Canada.

For example Walker (1987 a) has calculated that if the half-life of a pesticide is 30 days in a laboratory study in 20 °C, its half-life estimated with a computer simulation model for the climatic conditions of northern Europe would be 1 - 2 month in summer and 4 - 6 months in winter. However, he states that in practice pesticides have been less persistent in field conditions than estimates for their persistence based on laboratory results. Generally it is estimated that as the temperature raises ten degrees, the degradation rate increases 2.5 - 3 fold (Arnold and Briggs 1990). Heinonen-Tanski (1989) observed in a laboratory study with conditions approximating the climatic conditions of northern Finland that the degradation rate of glyphosate was reduced to one-tenth or one-fifteenth of the initial value when the temperature decreased by ten degrees. On the other hand, when the temperature was raised by ten degrees, the degradation rate increased by 3.7 - 4 fold.

As pesticide studies applicable for Finnish environmental conditions are only few at the moment, there is a great demand for such field
Moreover it is important to study also the fate of the degradation products of pesticides in local conditions, as there is generally even less information available about them than for active ingredients.

The objective of this study was to compare the fate of three herbicides, one fungicide and three insecticides and some of their degradation products in Finnish cultivated soils with the results of similar foreign laboratory and field studies. Methabenothiazuron is a selective herbicide used for annual weeds. In Finland it is recommended that its use in consecutive years in the same field should be avoided, because it may remain in the soil until the following growing period. The acetanilide herbicide metazachlor is used to control grasses and broad-leaved weeds. It is not recommended for use in organic soils in Finland. Trifluralin is recommended for the pre-emergence control of annual grasses and broad-leaved weeds incorporated in the soil. It is not effective enough when the contents of organic material is more than 10% in the soil. In Finland it is recommended that its use in consecutive years in the same field should be avoided, because it may remain in soil until the following growing season. Iprodione is a fungicide especially effective in the control of Botrytis, Monilia and Sclerotium species. In Finland it should not be used in consecutive years in the same field. RP-30228 is a degradation product of iprodione. Fenitrothion is an organophosphorus contact insecticide against wide range of pests. Its major breakdown product is 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol. Fenvalerate is a synthetic pyrethroid contact insecticide. Furathiocarb is a systemic carbamate insecticide effective against soil-dwelling insects and early-season pests. It is used in Finland as a seed pellet treatment. Furathiocarb degrades mainly to carbofuran which is also a systemic acaricide, insecticide and nematocide.

**Material and methods**

The field studies were made during the years 1985 - 1988 at the Institute of Plant Protection of the Agricultural Research Centre in Jokioinen, Southern Finland (60° 49' N and 23° 30' E). The pesticides were studied in three soils: clay, fine sand and organic soils (humus or peat soils). The metazachlor studies were made in two different clay soils and in a finesand soil. All the soils contained at least 3 - 6% of humus. The properties of the soils analyzed at the Institute of Crop and Soil Science of the Agricultural Research Centre are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The properties of the soils investigated. The concentrations are presented as mg/l in dry weight or percentage per weight. A slash (-) indicates that there is no analysis made.

| Study plot/Soil type                | Clay | Humus | pH  | Ca   | K    | Mg   | P    |
|------------------------------------|------|-------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| Metazachlor (1985)                 |      |       |     |      |      |      |      |
| Finesand                          | 23   | 3.9   | 6.3 | 1550 | 200  | 145  | 29   |
| Clay                              | 62   | 4.7   | 6.1 | 2550 | 240  | 660  | 5.4  |
| Mud clay                          | 71   | 7.8   | 6.0 | 2550 | 220  | 360  | 10   |
| Iprodione and fenvalerate (1986)  |      |       |     |      |      |      |      |
| Finesand                          | 18   | 4.4   | 6.5 | 3600 | 320  | 900  | 16   |
| Heavy clay                        | 59   | 6.0   | 6.9 | 3050 | 170  | 420  | 33   |
| Mould soil                        | 26   | 26    | 6.3 | 3000 | 400  | 520  | 17   |
| Methabenothiazuron and trifluralin (1987) |  |       |     |      |      |      |      |
| Finesand                          | 15   | 4.1   | 6.7 | 2120 | 410  | 140  | 58   |
| Heavy clay                        | 64   | 9.2   | 5.8 | 2400 | 320  | 540  | 7.5  |
| Carex peat                        | 41   | 5.5   | 5.5 | 2750 | 240  | 520  | 8.8  |
| Fenitrothion and furathiocarb (1988) |  |       |     |      |      |      |      |
| Finesand                          | 14   | 3.9   | 6.3 | 2020 | 210  | 380  | 9.5  |
| Clay                              | 54   | 5.8   | 5.8 | 2200 | 210  | 520  | 6.5  |
| Mould soil                        | 25   | 6.3   | 2300| 170  | 500  | 9.0  |      |
The pesticides studied had not been used for at least the four previous years on the study plots.

For each pesticide two plots of c. 60 - 70 m² were established for each soil type in cultivated fields. Only the fenitrothion and furathiocarb studies were made in the same field plots. One of the plots was treated with the pesticide according to instructions for use for the pesticide concerned. The other was kept as an untreated control plot for the chemical analysis. The study plots were sown with turnip rape except the methabenzthiazuron plot on which turnip planting sets were grown. The potential maximum initial concentrations of the pesticides in the top soils (Table 2) were calculated from the amount of pesticide applied divided by the amount of the soil layer 0 - 5 cm deep. The other details of the treatments are also shown in Table 2. The weather conditions during the field experiments are presented in Table 3.

The soil samples were taken a day and a month after the treatment and when the growing season had terminated. In the furathiocarb study the first samples were taken 43 days after the start of the study. Peat soil treated with trifluralin was also sampled in the autumn of the year after the treatment, till which time the plot was neither tilled nor cultivated. Samples were taken from each plot from three soil layers: 0 - 5 cm, 5 - 15 cm and

Table 2. Details of the pesticide treatments. The amount of pesticide applied is given as kg/ha for the active ingredient, except for furathiocarb g/kg of seeds. The maximum initial concentrations in the top soil (0 - 5 cm) are calculated as mg/kg for dry weight. Trifluralin was incorporated in the soil about 0 - 5 cm deep after the treatment. The spraying of iprodione was done for a flowering field plot. In the fenvalerate study permethrin was also applied in 12.6. The fenitrothion and furathiocarb studies were done in the same field plots. The sowing dates for metazachlor has not been registered. For furathiocarb the date of treatment is the sowing date.

| Pesticide/Soil type | Formulation/Trade mark | Date of sowing/planting | Date of treatment | Amount applied | Maximum initial concentration (mg/kg) |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| Methabenzthiazuron  | Tribunil 700 g/kg      | 28.5.1987               | 2.6.1987         | 1.75 kg/ha    | 3.9                                 |
|                     | Clay                   | 25.5.1987               | 28.5.1987        | 3.5           |                                     |
|                     | Finesand               | 28.5.1987               | 2.6.1987         | 8.8           |                                     |
| Metazachlor         | Butisan S 500 g/l      | 24.6.1985               | 1.0 kg/ha        | 2.2           |                                     |
|                     | Clay                   | 24.6.1985               | 24.6.1985        | 2.0           |                                     |
|                     | Finesand               | 18.6.1985               | 2.2             |               |                                     |
|                     | Mud clay               | 28.5.1987               |                 |               |                                     |
| Trifluralin         | Super Treflan 480 g/l  | 2.6.1987                | 0.96 kg/ha       | 2.1           |                                     |
|                     | Clay                   | 25.5.1987               | 1.9             |               |                                     |
|                     | Finesand               | 1.6.1987                | 4.8             |               |                                     |
| Iprodione           | Rovral-neste 250 g/l   | 23.5.1986               | 0.75 kg/ha       | 1.7           |                                     |
|                     | Clay                   | 23.5.1986               | 1.5             |               |                                     |
|                     | Finesand               | 23.5.1986               | 2.5             |               |                                     |
|                     | Humus                  | 23.5.1986               |                 |               |                                     |
| Fenitrothion        | Folition 500 g/l       | 20.5.1988               | 0.50 kg/ha       | 1.1           |                                     |
|                     | Clay                   | 20.5.1988               | 1.0             |               |                                     |
|                     | Finesand               | 20.5.1988               | 1.7             |               |                                     |
|                     | Humus                  | 20.5.1988               |                 |               |                                     |
| Fenvalerate         | Sumicidin 100 g/l      | 4.6.1986                | 0.1 kg/ha        | 0.22          |                                     |
|                     | Clay                   | 4.6.1986                | 0.20            |               |                                     |
|                     | Finesand               | 4.6.1986                | 0.33            |               |                                     |
|                     | Humus                  | 4.6.1986                |                 |               |                                     |
| Furathiocarb        | Seeds pelleted with    | 20.5.1988               | 8.0 g/kg seeds   | 0.18          |                                     |
|                     | Clay                   | 20.5.1988               | 0.16            |               |                                     |
|                     | Finesand               | 20.5.1988               | 0.28            |               |                                     |
Table 3. Mean monthly temperatures (°C) and rainfall (mm) during the pesticide studies in Jokioinen during the years 1985 - 1988 and the average long term means from the years 1931 - 1960. Data was obtained from the Jokioinen observatory (Månadsöversikt... 1985-1988).

| Years 1931 - 1960 | May | June | July | August | September |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|
| Mean temperature  | 8.8 | 13.7 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 9.7       |
| Rainfall          | 39  | 42   | 70   | 74    | 61        |

| Year 1985         |     |      |      |       |          |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|-------|----------|
| Mean temperature  | 8.4 | 13.2 | 15.3 | 15.5  | 8.9      |
| Rainfall          | 43  | 41.4 | 55.4 | 119.2 | 51.3     |

| Year 1986         |     |      |      |       |          |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|-------|----------|
| Mean temperature  | 10.8| 16.3 | 16.2 | 12.9  | 6.4      |
| Rainfall          | 52  | 11   | 64.9 | 109.8 | 102.4    |

| Year 1987         |     |      |      |       |          |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|-------|----------|
| Mean temperature  | 7.6 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 11.7  | 8.4      |
| Rainfall          | 37.5| 81.3 | 67.5 | 82.9  | 119.9    |

| Year 1988         |     |      |      |       |          |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|-------|----------|
| Mean temperature  | 11.4| 16.5 | 19.0 | 14.1  | 10.8     |
| Rainfall          | 43.5| 24.8 | 127.7| 79.3  | 85.1     |

15 - 25 cm deep. 4 - 5 subsamples were taken from each layer by a simple random sampling using a shovel and taking samples with the same surface area. In the finesand soils, samples were taken with the help of a metal frame to avoid flowing of the soil. In the metazachlor study only one composite sample 0 - 5 cm deep was taken one day after the treatment. The 0.5 - 1 liter (0.5 - 1 kg) subsamples were mixed and homogenized, each soil layer in its own vessel. Finally a composite sample of c. 1 kg was extracted in a plastic bag and frozen.

The chemical analysis of the pesticides were made at the State Institute of Agricultural Chemistry according to the methods described in Table 4. The analysis were generally made on moist soil samples. The results were calculated using dry weight which was determined after drying in 105 °C for four hours. The samples from the control plots were used as blanks in order to eliminate the effects of interfering compounds. These samples were also used to obtain a standard curve with a standard addition method. The results are expressed as a mean of two parallel determinations.

Table 4. The gas chromatographic analysis methods used by the State Institute of Agricultural Chemistry for the studied pesticides and their degradation products. Some details of the analysis may have been slightly different from the referred methods. The determination limits for the organic soils are presented in parenthesis.

| Pesticide/transformation product | Solvent             | Detector                  | Determination limit (mg/kg) | Reference |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Methabenzthiazuron              | acetone-dichloromethane methanol | nitrogen-phosphorus detector | 0.005 (0.02) | JARCZYK 1972 |
| Metazachlor                     | methanol            | electron capture detector | 0.002         | Determination by... 1978 |
| Trifluralin                     | methanol/acetone    | electron capture detector | 0.005 (0.01) | TEPE & SCROGGS 1967, LEE & CHAU 1983 |
| Iprodione/                      | acetone             | electron capture detector | 0.005 (0.02) | Determination of... 1985, WALKER et al. 1986 |
| RP-30228                        |                     | electron capture detector | 0.005; finesand: 0.003 | |
| Fenitrothion/3-methyl-4-nitrophenol | dichloromethane-acetone | nitrogen-phosphorus detector | 0.005 | TAKIMOTO & MIYAMOTO 1976 |
| Fenvalerate                     | hexane-acetone      | electron capture detector | 0.02 (0.05) | HILL 1981 |
| Furathioicarb/carbofuran        | methanol            | mass selective detector | 0.01 (0.04) | Gas chromatographic... 1983 |
|                                  |                     |                           | 0.005; finesand: 0.01 | |
Table 5. The disappearance times and the concentrations of the pesticides (c) and their degradation products in the different layers of the studied soils at the sampling times (indicated in parenthesis as days after the pesticide treatment). $T_{50}$ is the half-life of concentration of the compound at the sampling time, given in days. The concentrations are calculated as mg/kg for dry weight. n.d. indicates a value under the determination limit or that the result could not be calculated, and an asterisk (*) a missing value. Exceptional sampling times are given in parenthesis following the results.

### Methabenzthiazuron

| Soil type   | c (1 d) | $T_{50}$ (1 d) | c (30 d) | $T_{50}$ (30 d) | c (132 d) |
|-------------|---------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Clay soil   |         |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 3.0     | 21             | 0.95     | 180             | 0.42      |
| 5 - 15 cm   | n.d.    | n.d.           | 0.007    | n.d.            | 0.015     |
| 15 - 25 cm  | n.d.    | n.d.           | n.d.     | n.d.            | 0.006     |
| Finesand soil |       |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 1.6     | 23             | 0.60     | $\infty$       | 0.60      |
| 5 - 15 cm   | 0.03    | n.d.           | 0.13     | n.d.            | n.d.      |
| 15 - 25 cm  | 0.01    | n.d.           | 0.07     | n.d.            | n.d.      |
| Peat soil   |         |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 2.7     | n.d.           | 3.2      | 57              | 0.19      |
| 5 - 15 cm   | 0.04    | n.d.           | 0.04     | n.d.            | n.d.      |
| 15 - 25 cm  | 0.11    | n.d.           | n.d.     | n.d.            | n.d.      |

### Metazachlor

| Soil type   | c (1 d) | $T_{50}$ (1 d) | c (31 d) | $T_{50}$ (31 d) | c (86 d) |
|-------------|---------|----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Clay soil   |         |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 0.46    | 29             | 0.22     | 36              | 0.05     |
| 5 - 15 cm   | *       | *              | 0.01     | 46              | 0.004    |
| 15 - 25 cm  | *       | *              | 0.01     | n.d.            | n.d.     |
| Finesand soil |       |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 0.30    | 47             | 0.21     | 29              | 0.012    |
| 5 - 15 cm   | *       | *              | 0.028    | n.d.            | n.d.     |
| 15 - 25 cm  | *       | *              | 0.017    | n.d.            | n.d.     |
| Mud clay soil |       |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 0.55    | 63             | 0.53     | 35              | 0.06     |
| 5 - 15 cm   | *       | *              | 0.03     | 44              | 0.009    |
| 15 - 25 cm  | *       | *              | 0.05     | 32              | 0.002    |

### Trifluralin

| Soil type   | c (1 d) | $T_{50}$ (1 d) | c (32 d) | $T_{50}$ (32 d) | c (140 d) |
|-------------|---------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Clay soil   |         |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 2.7     | 280            | 2.1      | 310             | 1.8       |
| 5 - 15 cm   | 0.05    | n.d.           | 0.10     | 85              | 0.04      |
| 15 - 25 cm  | 0.04    | n.d.           | 0.005    | n.d.            | 0.01      |
| Finesand soil |       |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 1.6     | 210            | 1.3      | 234             | 1.0       |
| 5 - 15 cm   | 0.07    | 46             | 0.04     | 62              | 0.005     |
| 15 - 25 cm  | 0.005   | $\infty$       | 0.005    | n.d.            | n.d.      |
| Peat soil   |         |                |          |                 |           |
| 0 - 5 cm    | 7.0     | 220            | 6.3      | 250             | 4.0       |
| 5 - 15 cm   | 0.09    | n.d.           | 0.77     | 58              | 0.05      |
| 15 - 25 cm  | 0.08    | 21             | 0.02     | n.d.            | 0.06      |
Iprodione

Soil type & c (1 d) & $T_{50}$ (1 d) & c (32 d) & $T_{50}$ (32 d) & c (64 d) \\
--- & --- & --- & --- & --- & --- \\
Clay soil & & & & & \\
0 - 5 cm & 0.06 & n.d. & 0.35 & n.d. & 0.40 \\
5 - 15 cm & n.d. & n.d. & 0.03 & 32 & 0.005 \\
15 - 25 cm & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. \\
Finesand soil: for concentrations, see Fig. 4 \\
Humus soil & & & & & \\
0 - 5 cm & 0.04 & n.d. & 0.30 & n.d. & 0.60 \\
5 - 15 cm & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. \\
15 - 25 cm & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. \\

RP-30228 (degradation product of iprodione)

Soil type & c (1 d) & $T_{50}$ (1 d) & c (32 d) & $T_{50}$ (32 d) & c (64 d) \\
--- & --- & --- & --- & --- & --- \\
Clay soil & & & & & \\
0 - 5 cm & n.d. & n.d. & 0.20 & $\infty$ & 0.20 \\
5 - 15 cm & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. \\
15 - 25 cm & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. \\
Finesand soil: for concentrations, see Fig. 4 \\
Humus soil & & & & & \\
0 - 5 cm & n.d. & n.d. & 0.30 & 96 & 0.60 \\
5 - 15 cm & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. \\
15 - 25 cm & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. & n.d. \\

Fenitrothion and 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol (degradation product of fenitrothion): for concentrations, see Fig. 5 \\

Fenvalerate: for concentrations, see Fig. 6 \\
Furathiocarb: for concentrations, see Fig. 7 \\
Carbofuran (degradation product of furathiocarb): for concentrations, see Fig. 7 \\

Soil type & $T_{50}$ (43 d) & $T_{50}$ (73 d) \\
--- & --- & --- \\
Clay soil & & \\
0 - 5 cm & 30 & n.d. \\
5 - 15 cm & n.d. & n.d. \\
Humus soil & & \\
0 - 5 cm & 60 & n.d. \\
5 - 15 cm & $\infty$ & n.d. \\
In the finesand soil and in all 15 - 25 cm layers no residues were found.
Results and discussion

The results are presented as mg/kg, and also as mg/dm³. The amount of pesticide distributed per unit area was the same in all plots and consequently their concentrations in different soil types were comparable when calculated per unit volume (Kurki et al. 1965). This was done by using the following densities of the soils: clay 0.9, finesand 1.0, humus 0.6, and peat 0.4 kg/dm³. This effectively eliminated errors due to the fact that the lighter peat soils have a greater surface area per weight unit and therefore higher concentrations of pesticides per unit weight than the mineral soils. The sampling times, the disappearance times and the concentrations of the pesticides and their degradation products in the soil samples are shown in Table 5.

A day after the treatment residues of the pesticides were detected at depths greater than 5 cm in the soils. This may be due to extremely quick leaching in finesand soils or transportation through cracks in clay soils, or also to contamination of the samples from the overlying soil layers.

Methabenzthiazuron

Methabenzthiazuron was hardly mobile at all in the clay soil. The highest concentration of methabenzthiazuron in the clay soil, 77 % of the calculated maximum initial concentration, was determined one day after the treatment. After that the concentration decreased rapidly. In the finesand
soil methabenzthiazuron was rather mobile (Fig. 1): the leaching was at least 15 cm a month. The amount of methabenzthiazuron of the surface of the finesand soil decreased quickly in the beginning, but later this disappearance was almost stopped. The highest concentration in the finesand soil was 46 % of the calculated maximum initial concentration one day after the treatment. In the peat soil surface layer the contents of methabenzthiazuron increased during the first month after the treatment up to 36 % of the calculated maximum initial concentration. The increase of the contents of methabenzthiazuron in the peat soil during the month after the treatment may have been due to leaching from the onions.

In this study methabenzthiazuron was most mobile in the finesand soil. PESTEMER (1977) has also found that the absorption of methabenzthiazuron increases with increasing humus content of a soil. KUBIAK et al. (1988) have noticed in their lysimeter study that methabenzthiazuron leached in a neutral clay loess soil less than in this study. Furthermore, in a laboratory study of BRUMHARD et al. (1988) methabenzthiazuron leached in a slightly acid humic sand and in a degraded loess soil at 21 °C much less than in this study. But, on the other hand, BRUMHARD et al. (1988) state that, according to the results of their outdoor lysimeter studies with the same soil type, the contamination of the groundwater by small amounts of methabenzthiazuron residues cannot be excluded.

The disappearance rate of methabenzthiazuron in this study was similar to that found by KUBIAK et al. (1988) in their field studies. On the other hand PESTEMER and AUSPURG (1987) in their field study on a sandy soil in Germany obtained a half-life for methabenzthiazuron longer than that of this study. PESTEMER (1988) detected after repeated application of methabenzthiazuron to the soil over several years a greater concentration of residues than in this study. The degradation of methabenzthiazuron is temperature dependent. FÖHR and MITTELSTAEDT (1979) observed in a degraded loess soil that a drop in temperature from 20 °C to 10 °C reduced the degradation rate by one third and at 0 °C the degradation stopped. Thus in this study some of the residues of methabenzthiazuron found in the autumn might have survived in the soil over the winter.

**Metazachlor**

Metazachlor leached at least 15 cm a month in all soil types. In the clay soil surface layer the disappearance of metazachlor slowed down after a month, but in the mud clay soil metazachlor initially vanished from the top soil slowly, the rate of its disappearance accelerated after a month (Fig. 2). In the finesand soil surface layer the disappearance time of metazachlor was about the same all the time. The highest detected top soil concentration of metazachlor was 21 % of the calculated maximum initial concentration in the clay soil, 15 % in the finesand soil and 25 % in the mud clay soil.

Metazachlor was more mobile in this study than in the field experiments of ROUCHAUD et al. (1989) in sandy and silty soils. On the other hand KREUGER and BRINK (1988) found metazachlor residues in Swedish river water samples near areas used for agricultural production. The rates of disappearance of metazachlor in this study were similar to those observed by NILSSON (1985) in Swedish field experiments and by ROUCHAUD et al. (1989) in Belgian field studies. In the British field studies of WALKER and BROWN (1985) the half life of metazachlor was as short as or shorter than in the clay soil of this study. As soil temperature and moisture affect the degradation of metazachlor (ALLEN and WALKER 1984) the disappearance of metazachlor in this study may have been accelerated in August 1985, when it rained heavily but the temperature was normal.

**Trifluralin**

Although trifluralin leached more than 15 cm a month in all soil types, the amounts leached were small. Trifluralin disappeared from the surface soils studied so slowly that its half life was longer
than the growing season. The contents of trifluralin decreased slower below 5 cm than in the surface layer. Only in the peat soil plot was the study period long enough to allow the half-life of trifluralin to be calculated. Trifluralin disappeared quite steadily during the first growing season. The disappearance rate in the peat soil slowed down during the next sampling period up to the end of the subsequent growing season (Fig. 3). The highest observed top soil concentration of trifluralin was 130 % of the calculated maximum initial concentration in the clay soil, 84 % in the finesand soil and 150 % in the mud clay soil.

The mobility of trifluralin in the clay and finesand soils of this study was less than found by DUSEJA and HOLMES (1978) in their field studies in clay and loam soils in the United States. Also HELLENG (1971) has classified trifluralin as immobile on the grounds of his laboratory experiments. In this study only the contents of trifluralin in the 5-15 cm layer of the peat soil a month after the treatment was exceptionally high. The slow degradation of trifluralin has been observed also in many earlier studies, e.g. PROBST et al. (1967) and LAFLEUR et al. (1978) in field studies in the United States and HAYDEN and SMITH (1980), JENSEN and KIMBALL (1980) and GROVER et al. (1988) in Canadian field studies. In the Canadian studies three stages in the degradation of trifluralin have been demonstrated as described by GROVER et al. (1988). These are a quick disappearance during about the first week, a slow disappearance during the first growing season and a winter period with hardly any degradation. In this study no period of quick disap-

Fig. 2. The concentration of metazachlor (mg/kg dw) in the mud clay soil during the growing season 1985.
The degradation of trifluralin is reduced in dry and cold conditions (Jacques and Harvey 1979). The weather during the study period of 1987 was exceptionally cold and rainy, therefore the disappearance of trifluralin may have been unusual. On the basis of the study of Duseja and Holmes (1978) the concentrations of trifluralin in the surface layers of all the soils of this study at the end of the first growing season might have retarded the growth of oat sprouts over 60%. In the peat soil the concentration of trifluralin was at a harmful level also at the end of the second growing season. But, on the other hand, trifluralin is strongly deactivated by organic material and it is not used in organic soils. However, this problem of phytotoxicity should be examined more precisely in different mineral soils in Finnish climatic conditions.

Iprodione

Both iprodione and its degradation product RP-30228 were most mobile in the finesand soil. The concentration of iprodione increased in all the soil types during the study period. The highest contents of iprodione was 24% of the calculated maximum initial concentration at the end of the growing season both in the clay and humus soils. In the finesand soil the highest contents was 20% of the calculated maximum initial concentration as early as a month after the treatment. The degradation product RP-30228 acted similarly to iprodione. Nevertheless the highest contents of RP-30228 were detected earlier than those of iprodione. In the clay soil iprodione disappeared from the 5 - 15 cm soil layer quicker than from the surface layer. In the clay soil RP-30228 was
discovered only in the surface layer, from which it disappeared faster than iprodione. In contrast, in the finesand soil the contents of iprodione and RP-30228 increased towards autumn also in the 5-15 cm layer and thus leaching of the compounds was greater than their disappearance (Fig. 4). In the finesand soil iprodione was also observed at depths between 15 and 25 cm. Both iprodione and RP-30228 were relative immobile in the humus soil: they were not detected deeper than 5 cm. Furthermore, at the end of the growing season in the humus soil surface layer RP-30228 vanished quicker than iprodione was transformed into RP-30228.

The elevation of the contents of iprodione during almost all the study period in all the soils is apparently due to leaching of the compound from vegetation. In the studied soils iprodione leached much less than in the sandy and silty soils in the laboratory study of Elmer and Stipes (1981, 1985). They also found that the disappearance of iprodione was slower at a lower temperature. Thus residues of iprodione in this study could have remained in the soils over the following winter. Moreover degradation of iprodione is enhanced when it is used repeatedly in the same field plot, and on the other hand, degradation is decreased in very acid soils (Walker et al. 1984, 1986, Walker 1987 b, Head et al. 1988). But as iprodione had not been used in these study plots for at least the four previous years and the soils were almost neutral, the degradation rate of iprodione should have not been altered for these reasons.

**Fenitrothion**

No residues of fenitrothion or its degradation product 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol were observed in
the humus soil. Fenitrothion and 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol also disappeared very quickly from the clay soil (Fig. 5). No residues of both of them were observed a month after the treatment. Either fenitrothion was retained in the surface soil or the residues which leached deeper also degraded in less than a month. The highest detected top soil concentration of fenitrothion a day after the treatment was 7.3 % of the calculated maximum initial concentration in the clay soil. In the finesand soil the disappearance of fenitrothion and 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol was slower than in the other soils. Apart from that fenitrothion was leached 5-15 cm deep in a month or the degradation product was leached deeper from the surface layer.

The contents of organic material in a soil affects strongly the absorption of fenitrothion (Baarschers et al. 1983, Sharma et al. 1985). Baarschers et al. (1983) also observed that the adsorption of 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol in a soil is increased with increasing contents of organic material. They found, too, that the more water-soluble 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol is also more mobile than fenitrothion in neutral and acid soils. Thus probably because of the strong absorption in organic material no residues of fenitrothion or its degradation product were detected in the humus soil and fenitrothion was also not leached in the clay soil in this study. Also it seems that it was probably 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol and not fenitrothion that might have leached in the finesand soil of this study. On the other hand

![Fig. 5. The concentration of fenitrothion and its degradation product 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol (mg/dm$^3$ dw) in the 0 - 5 cm layer of the clay and finesand soils during the growing season 1988. The compounds were not detected in the humus soil. A point (*) indicates a value below the determination limit and an asterisk (*) a missing value.](image-url)
Kreuger (1986) and Kreuger and Brink (1988) found fenitrothion residues in Swedish river water samples near cultivated areas. Takimoto et al. (1976) noticed in their laboratory studies at 25 °C that fenitrothion was leached to a great extent only in sandy soils and that its half-life was 12-28 days. Mikami et al. (1985) found that the half-lives of fenitrothion and 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol were shorter than seven days in similar conditions. These results give support for the rapid degradation of fenitrothion observed in the Finnish field conditions. Furthermore the degradation of fenitrothion may have been accelerated, as during this study period in July 1988 it rained heavily, and according to Aly et al. (1986) the degradation of fenitrothion is reduced by low soil moisture.

### Fenvalerate

In the clay and finesand soils fenvalerate was immobile. In the humus soil no residues were detected at all. In the clay and finesand soils the contents of fenvalerate increased during the study period (Fig. 6). More residues were discovered in the clay soil than in the finesand soil: the highest observed top soil concentration of fenvalerate was 36 % of the calculated maximum initial concentration in the clay soil and 20 % in the finesand soil.

Fenvalerate is almost immobile in the soil (e.g. Hill 1981), in agreement with what was observed also in this study. The concentration of fenvalerate in the clay and finesand soils of this study increased

![Fig. 6. The concentration of fenvalerate (mg/dm³ dw) in the 0 - 5 cm layer of the clay and finesand soils during the growing season 1986. The compound was not detected in the humus soil. A point (*) indicates a value below the determination limit.](image-url)
during the study period, presumably because it was leached from vegetation to soil. The amount of fenvalerate that leaches from the plants is more dependent on the total volume of rainfall than on its intensity (McDowell 1987). Thus also in this study fenvalerate was leached steadily during the summer as the amount of total rainfall increased. The leaching from the plants may have been quicker than normal because of the rainy end of summer 1986.

Hill (1981) found the half-life of fenvalerate in a sandy clay loam to be 42 days in the field and 36 days in the laboratory. In a Japanese study (Mikami 1987) the half-life at 25 °C was 29 - 53 days in a light clay soil and 68 - 95 days in a sandy clay loam. Also Bahadir et al. (1987) determined the half-life of fenvalerate as 88 days in a sandy clay loam at 22 °C. In this study fenvalerate accumulated more in the clay soil than in the finesand soil, which indicates that the degradation of fenvalerate was slower in the clay soil. The contents of organic matter in a soil may affect the degradation, because fenvalerate is adsorbed in it (Williams and Brown 1979, Chapman et al. 1981, Talekar et al. 1983). Degradation is also reduced in an acid, dry or cold soil (Talekar et al. 1983). Thus the exceptionally cold weather during the end of this study may have reduced the degradation of fenvalerate. On the other hand, no residues of fenvalerate were detected in the humus soil, so either it was very strongly adsorbed or it was quickly degraded. Hill (1981) observed in Canadian field experiments that the degradation of fenvalerate almost stopped during the winter and the next spring there were residues left (11 % of the original amount) in the 0 - 2.5 cm soil layer. In his field study in California Lee (1985) found 35 % of the fenvalerate remaining in loam soils a year after the treatment. Thus it seems that also in this study the fenvalerate residues detected in the autumn might have been still in the soil in the following spring. The residues would have been, nevertheless, rather insignificant for the next crop.

**Furathiocarb**

Furathiocarb and its degradation product carbofuran disappeared from all the soil types in less than four months (Fig. 7). In the finesand soil no residues were detected. The highest detected top soil concentration of furathiocarb in the clay soil was 11 % of the calculated maximum initial concentration. In the clay soil furathiocarb leached faster than in the other soils. It was leached into the 5-15 cm layer in 2.5 months. It seems that furathiocarb was leached faster from the surface layer than it degraded. On the other hand carbofuran disappeared slower than furathiocarb from the surface layer of the clay soil. The results give no information about the mobility of carbofuran in the clay soil. In the humus soil no residues of furathiocarb were observed, but there was far more carbofuran in the surface layer than in the other soils. Moreover either one or both of the compounds leached to the 5-15 cm layer in 1.5 months. The concentration of carbofuran in the 5-15 cm layer of the humus soil remained the same during the 1.5 - 2.5 months that followed sowing and disappeared in two months thereafter. This was slower than in the surface layer, in which carbofuran started to disappear 1.5 months after sowing. Thus furathiocarb degraded slower in the clay soil than in the other soils, but the disappearance rate of carbofuran was equal in the clay and humus soils.

There is little information about the mobility of furathiocarb. Pussemier (1988) has stated that furathiocarb is much less mobile than its degradation product carbofuran, because, according to him it is less soluble in water and has a greater adsorbability. Lichtenstein and Liang (1987) have also found carbofuran to be mobile in a silt loam and a sand soil in a laboratory experiment. According to Felsot and Wilson (1980), the less organic material and clay there is in the soil the more mobile carbofuran is. On the other hand, a low soil temperature and acidity reduce the mobility of carbofuran (Singh et al. 1987). Pussemier (1988) concluded that carbofuran rather
Fig. 7. The concentration of furathiocarb and its degradation product carbofuran (mg/dm³ dw) in the 0 - 5 cm layer (Fig. 7.1) and in the 5 - 15 cm layer (Fig. 7.2) of the clay and humus soils during the growing season 1988. The compounds were not detected in the finesand soil. A point (•) indicates a value below the determination limit.
than furathiocarb leaches. This was also observed in this study. Furathiocarb is hardly mobile at all. Only in the clay soil one and half months after the sowing furathiocarb residues were rather surprisingly found in the 5-15 cm layer. Carbofuran leached in this study as much or less than in the studies mentioned above. Because the acidity of the clay soil of this study may have diminished the mobility of carbofuran, the effect of organic material on the mobility is not very clear.

Pussemier (1989) found in a laboratory study that 50% of applied furathiocarb degraded to carbofuran in about 16 weeks in a clay soil, which is much the same as the half-lives observed in this study. According to several studies (Pesticide Manual 1987, p. 130), the half-life of carbofuran is 30-60 days which is similar to those found in this study. The acidity of a soil and the addition of organic material to a soil reduce the degradation of carbofuran (Somasundaram et al. 1987). This may explain the slower disappearance of carbofuran from the humus soil. But as the disappearance was quicker in the clay soil than in the humus soil, in this study the soil acidity may not have affected the disappearance as much as the contents of organic material. According to Hendry and Richardson (1988) the degradation of furathiocarb is enhanced if the soil has been treated with the compound earlier. This is also true for carbofuran (e.g. Chapman et al. 1986, Read 1986 and Camper et al. 1987). As the plots of this study had not been previously treated with furathiocarb or carbofuran, their degradation was not enhanced for this reason.

Of the studied pesticides residues of methabenzthiazuron, trifluralin, iprodione, and fenvalerate might have been present in the soils the following spring after the treatment. Their half-lives have been calculated to be at most between 80 and 100 days. Thus it can be assumed that if the half-life of a pesticide is equal to or more than this, there may be residues of the substance in Finnish cultivated soils the spring following the treatment.
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Eräiden torjunta-aineiden käyttäytyminen suomalaisissa viljelymaissa
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Ympäristöministeriön aloitteesta on vuodesta 1985 lähtien tutkittu vuosittain muutaman torjunta-aineen häviämistä ja kulkeutumista viljelymaiden maaperässä. Tavoitteena on olut selvittää torjunta-aineiden käyttäytymistä maaperässä Suomen olosuhteissa ja verrata tuloksia ulkomaisiin laboratori- ja kenttäkokkiisi.

Vuosina 1985 - 1988 tutkittiin metabentstiaturonin, metatsaklorin, trifluraliinin, iprodionin, fenitrotionin, fenvaleraatin ja furatiokarbin pysyvyyttä ja kulkeutumista. Kenttäkokeet tehtiin Maatalouden tutkimuskeskuksessa kasvinsuojeen tutkimuslaitoksessa Jokioisissa. Torjunta-aineet ja niiden hajoamistuotteet määritettiin kemiallisesti Valtion maatalouskemian laitoksella. Aineiden käyttäytymistä tutkittiin kolmessa eri maalajissa: savimaassa, hietamaassa ja runsaasti orgaanista aineesta sisältävässä maassa (multa- tai turvemaa). Maanäytteitä otettiin kolmesta eri syvyydestä yhdisteiden kulkeutumisen seuraamiseksi. Yhdisteiden häviämistä seurattiin yleensä yhden kasvukauden ajan.

Tarkasteluilta torjunta-aineista metabentstiaturonin kulkeutui eniten ja metatsaklori lähes yhtä paljon. Molemmat yhdisteet kulkeutuivat kuukaudessa yli 15 cm:n syvyyteen. Fenitrotioni ja fenvaleraatti kulkeutuivat vähiten. Trifluraliin oli torjunta-aineista kaikkein pysyvin ja fenitrotioni nopeimmin hajoava. Iprodionin ja fenvaleraatin pitoisuuDET maaperässä kasvoivat syksylää kohti. Tämä johtui ilmeisesti siitä, että osa näistä torjunta-aineista jää käsittelyssä kasvustoon, josta ne vähitellen huuhdoutuivat maahan.

Mineraalimaista kasvukauden lopussa pintamaista mitatut trifluraliinipitoisuuDET voivat olla haitallisia trifluraliinille herkille viljelykasveille. Turvemaan pintakerroksesta havaittiin vielä 1.5 vuoden kuluttua käsittelystä korkeahkoja trifluraliimijäämiä. Muista tarkasteluilta torjunta-aineista metabentstiaturonia, iprodionia ja fenvaleraattia olisi todennäköisesti ollut vielä määritettäviä pitoisuksia seuraavan keväänä. Trifluraliinin, metabentstiaturonin, iprodionin ja fenvaleraatin puoliintumisajoksi on saatu enimmillään noin 80 - 100 vuorokautta. Torjunta-ainetta voitaneen havaita seuraavana keväänä suomalaisissa viljelymaissa, jos yhdisteen puoliintumisaika on vähintään kyseisten arvojen suuruinen.