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**Abstract:** Ricin belongs to the group of ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs), i.e., toxins that have evolved to provide particular species with an advantage over other competitors in nature. Ricin possesses RNA N-glycosidase activity enabling the toxin to eliminate a single adenine base from the sarcin-ricin RNA loop (SRL), which is a highly conserved structure present on the large ribosomal subunit in all species from the three domains of life. The SRL belongs to the GTPase associated center (GAC), i.e., a ribosomal element involved in conferring unidirectional trajectory for the translational apparatus at the expense of GTP hydrolysis by translational GTPases (trGTPases). The SRL represents a critical element in the GAC, being the main triggering factor of GTP hydrolysis by trGTPases. Enzymatic removal of a single adenine base at the tip of SRL by ricin blocks GTP hydrolysis and, at the same time, impedes functioning of the translational machinery. Here, we discuss the consequences of SRL depurination by ricin for ribosomal performance, with emphasis on the mechanistic model overview of the SRL modus operandi.
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**Key Contribution:** This review summarizes the current knowledge of ricin-induced impairment of the ribosome and translation process and highlights important aspects in this field.

---

**1. Introduction**

Toxic proteins are naturally present in a wide variety of species [1]. It is thought that they have evolved to play a specific role in defense against animals, pathogens, and various insects, giving advantage in a particular niche [2,3]. One of the most toxic proteins known in nature are plant toxins from the class of ribosome-inactivating proteins. The mechanism of toxicity of plant toxins is of great interest because they are present in human foods [4,5] and used in ethnomedicine [6] and cosmetics [7]. Currently, they have attracted attention in broad biotechnological applications [8,9]. Importantly, they also pose a significant threat, as numerous plant toxins, such as ricin, have been recognized as a biological weapon [10] and are recently considered as an agent of bioterrorism [11]. Ricin is one of the most common and potent lethal biological molecules known [9]. Ricin and related proteins display one common feature, i.e. the ability to inhibit ribosomes, and this particular feature laid the foundation for the general name for all these proteins: “ribose-inactivating proteins” (RIPs) [12]. In general, all RIPs have been classified as single chain (type 1) and double chain (type 2) proteins [13]. Type 1 RIPs consist of an enzymatically active chain (A) and include for instance: pokeweed antiviral protein (PAP), trichosanthin, saporin, gelonin, and luffin. Ricin, abrin, and Shiga toxin are classified as type 2 RIPs, where the enzymatically active A-chain is disulfide-linked to a B-chain, which provides an active chain with higher ability to enter cells. Thus, type 2 RIPs are considerably more toxic than...
type 1 RIPs [13]. Also, a third class of RIPs, termed type 3, has been recognized. It includes only a few members, with the prominent example of jasmonate induced protein (JIP60) [14] and maize b-32 protein, which requires proteolytic process to become active [15]. In 2010, a new nomenclature has been proposed for RIPs, in which they are termed A (type 1), AB (type 2), AC (type 3, similar to JIP60), and AD (Type 3, similar to b32) [16]. The current model of the catalytic activity of ricin and other RIPs assumes that, using the N-glycosidase activity, the toxin removes a single adenine base from the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) located at the heart of the ribosomal GTPase associated center (GAC) recognized as a central ribosomal element responsible for fueling of the translational machinery [17]. The prime target of ricin is a structural element of rRNA and is called the sarcin–ricin loop (SRL) because this element is targeted by another ribo-toxin, α-sarcin; yet in this case, the SRL undergoes endonucleolytic cleavage [18]. The ricin-dependent depurination of the SRL exerts a deleterious effect, blocking the ribosome action and thereby hampering protein synthesis. It should be stressed that despite the high homology of the SRL within the three domains of life, mainly eukaryotic ribosomes undergo efficient depurination, however, several type I RIPs were shown to depurate prokaryotic ribosomes as well [19]. The extraordinary specificity of the RIP action is based on their interaction with unique eukaryotic proteins; in the case of ricin, the ribosomal P-proteins are regarded as a main docking part [20–22] while the L3 protein represents the main landing platform for the PAP [23,24]. However, regardless of the docking element, the eukaryotic ribosomal proteins are considered as guide molecules for RIPs, directing and activating the toxin toward its catalytic target - the SRL. The toxicity of ricin was mainly associated with inhibition of protein synthesis, but it should be stressed that there is no clear link between depurination of SRL, ribosome hampering and the toxic effect in the cell. The currently available information concerning ricin toxicity suggests that the toxic effect on cell metabolism is multifactorial and involves induction of numerous pathways that lead to cell death; however, molecular details elucidating the effect of ricin on cell metabolism are still elusive [25].

The numerous biochemical approaches [26–29] and especially current structural analyses have provided deep insight into the role of SRL in ribosome performance and cast light on the molecular consequences of its depurination-induced damage. Here, we are presenting the current understanding of the structure and function of SRL during the translational cycle, with particular focus on the molecular consequences of ricin-dependent adenine base removal on ribosome performance.

2. Ribosome Structure as A Prime Target for Ricin

2.1. Ribosome and Its Active Sites

Protein biosynthesis, being a critical biological pathway, provides a suitable target for many toxins or natural inhibitors with the ribosome as the main objective [30,31]. The ribosome is one of the most conserved and sophisticated macromolecular machines of the cell in all domains of life [32]. It is composed of a small and a large subunit, which together form a fully functional ribosome. Ribosomal subunits are composed of ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and a large number of ribosomal proteins, but it is the rRNA that plays the most critical functional role, defining the ribosome as a ribozyme [33]. The ribosome can be regarded as a scaffolding platform for many molecules, e.g., mRNA, aminoacyl-tRNAs (aa-tRNA), and protein factors, which together form the translational machinery converting genetic information into functional proteins [34]. The ribosome, being the central element of this machinery, carries out its task through several functional elements. The small subunit decodes the genetic information delivered by mRNA, whereas the large subunit hosts the catalytic peptidyl transferase center (PTC), where amino acids delivered by aa-tRNAs are linked into polypeptides [32]. Additionally, three tRNA binding sites can be recognized on the ribosome: aminoacyl (A), peptidyl (P), and exit (E) sites. The A site accommodates the incoming aa-tRNA, the P site binds the peptidyl-tRNA thus carrying the nascent polypeptide chain, and the E site binds deacylated tRNA before it dissociates from the ribosome. On the large ribosomal subunit there is also the GTPase associated center (GAC)
which belongs to the ribosomal elements responsible for administering the continuous motions of the ribosome along the translational cycle.

2.1.1. The GTPase Associated Center

The translation process to proceed efficiently and to comply with the metabolic needs of the cell requires many protein factors, which sequentially guide the ribosome through the protein synthesis cycle. The most critical group of factors are proteins that bind and hydrolyze GTP, called translational GTPases (trGTPases), which confer the unidirectional trajectory of the translational machinery at the expense of energy released from GTP hydrolysis [17]. The landing platform for all trGTPases is situated on the large ribosomal subunit and is called the GTPase associated center (GAC) (Figure 1) [35].

![GTPase Associated Center](image)

**Figure 1.** Model of GTPase associated center (GAC). Left panel: scheme of the 80S ribosome with the ribosomal P-stalk shown with extended C-terminal regions and the sarcin–ricin loop (SRL) (red). Right panel: fragment of 60S *S. cerevisiae* ribosome 25S rRNA (PDB code 3U5H) and 60S subunit (PDB code 3U5I); 25S rRNA and ribosomal proteins are indicated as light gray and dark gray colors, respectively. The fitted schematic structure of uL10 protein fragment in complex with the N-terminal domains of P1/P2-proteins (PDB code 3A1Y) from Archaea is depicted as dark blue and marine blue, respectively. The position of the yeast 60S subunit is oriented to show A3027 of the SRL and positions of uL11 (slate blue), uL40 (deep blue) and uL6 (sky blue) proteins. Model prepared with PyMol software (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.5.0.4, Schrödinger, LLC, NY, USA).

The GAC is responsible for recruitment of trGTPases and stimulation of factor-dependent GTP hydrolysis [36]. The center consists of two main functional elements: a conserved fragment of rRNA called the sarcin–ricin loop (SRL) and the ribosomal stalk, composed exclusively of ribosomal proteins [37]. Both elements are critical for activation of trGTPases [28,38], and mutual cooperativity of the SRL and the stalk elements has been shown to be pivotal in stimulation of GTP hydrolysis by trGTPases [39–41].

The Sarcin–Ricin Loop

The sarcin–ricin loop is one of the most conserved rRNA regions of the ribosome, which underlines its importance in ribosome function. It is located in helix 95, in domain VI of 23S/25S/28S rRNA (nucleotides 2646-2674 in *E. coli*, 3012-3042 in yeast) (Figure 2A).
A conserved fragment of 12 nucleotides is marked with a gray color. (Figure 2B) (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.5.0.4, Schrödinger, LLC, NY, USA) based on [42].

Unlike other rRNA regions, which form compact structures coordinated by rRNA–rRNA or rRNA–protein interactions, the SRL exists on the ribosome as an autonomous unit [43-45] and is exposed to the solvent [46]. This unique feature is critical for its accessibility for external factors like trans-GTPases. From the structural point of view, the SRL has the conformation of a distorted hairpin [47]. It consists of several well-organized elements (Figure 2B) [48]: the stem, the flexible region, the G-bulged cross-strand stack, and the GAGA loop [45] with the key adenine base (A2660/A3027—E. coli/S.cerevisiae numbering), which is a target for ricin activity. The SRL stem structure is formed mainly by classical Watson–Crick base pairs, whereas the rest of the structure is stabilized mainly by π-stacking interactions.

The critical element, the GAGA tetra-loop, forms a compact well-organized structure [43]. The spatial organization of the loop structure is determined by non-canonical interactions between base pairs, allowing the carbohydrate-phosphate backbone of RNA to form the atypical spatial form, which is recognized by translational factors [43]. The critical bases A2660, G2661, and A2662 in the GAGA loop (E. coli numbering) associate with each other via non-canonical π-stacking interactions that stabilize the loop structure [42,43]. The crucial A2660, located at the top of the hairpin structure, is stabilized via the stacking interactions with G2661 (Figure 2B). Importantly, A2660 is fully exposed and does not form any hydrogen bonds with other bases of the loop, making it easily accessible to external factors like ricin [49]. Biochemical studies on prokaryotic and eukaryotic ribosomes have shown that the SRL represents a crucial element responsible for the interaction and stimulation of all trans-GTPases activity [50,51]. Additionally, it has been early recognized that this structure represents the main target for numerous toxins [50,52–54]. Recent structural analyses have brought detailed insight into the intricate interplay between the SRL and trans-GTPases and at the same time cast light on the molecular aspects of ricin toxicity [28,29,40,55]. In general, all trans-GTPases interact with the SRL via the GTP-binding domain (G-domain) comprising the active site of the factor, responsible for GTP hydrolysis [17]. It should be stressed that the structure of the G-domain is evolutionarily conserved among all trans-GTPases, and biochemical and structural investigations support the notion that the mechanism of activation of GTP hydrolysis by the ribosome is universally conserved [17,56]. The trans-GTPases convert chemical energy
into mechanical forces at the expense of GTP hydrolysis, and this drives the ribosome through the translational cycle. The key role in catalysis is played by an invariant histidine residue (His84 in EF-Tu, His87 in EF-G, or His83 in SelB, or His108 in eEF2) [56–60]. This histidine may adopt two conformations: an inactive “flipped-out” state (pointing away from the γ-phosphate of GTP) and an active flipped-in state (reaching towards the γ-phosphate) (Figure 3). After joining the factor to the ribosome, the SRL is “inserted” into the catalytic center of the G-domain, and the phosphate moiety of A2662 coordinates, by means of electrostatic interactions, the catalytic histidine positioning to the active “flipped-in” position towards the γ-phosphate of GTP [28,38,61]. The positively charged histidine points towards the water molecule aimed at the nucleophilic attack on GTP γ-phosphate [29,38,58,62]. Additionally, the phosphate of A2662 coordinates a Mg2+ ion, important in positioning of the Asp residue (Asp21 in EF-Tu, Asp22 in EF-G, Asp10 in SelB), which is also crucial for GTP hydrolysis (Figure 3) [28,58].

Figure 3. Model of GTP hydrolysis activation with the aid of sarcin–ricin loop (SRL), with the EF-G as trGTPase. Left panel: the organization of the active site in isolated EF-G. Asp22 and His87 are in “flipped-out” state, pointing away from GTP; the “hydrophobic gate” formed by amino acids Ile63 and Ile21 prevents His87 from adopting the active conformation. Right panel: the reorganization of the active site of EF-G as a result of binding of EF-G to ribosome and inserting the SRL to the G-domain. Base A2660 interacts with His20, which induces Ile21 movement away from GTP and “hydrophobic gate” opening. The phosphate of A2662 directs His87 and Asp22 residues (through Mg2+) to “flipped-in” conformation which allows for water molecule activation and GTP hydrolysis (see the details in the text).

Recently, A2660 and G2661 within the tetraloop structure were distinguished as critical elements, directly involved in stimulation of the GTP hydrolysis process [28,38]. Interestingly, α-sarcin cleaves the bond between eukaryotic nucleotide equivalents to E. coli G2661 and A2662, which results in ribosome inactivation [63,64]. The A2660 base, which is cleaved-off by ricin, plays a distinct but weighty function, which could be named as the “power behind the throne” title role. As shown based on the structure of the EF-G–ribosome complex in a pre-translocation state, an intricate network of hydrogen-based interactions involving the G2661 and A2660 of the SRL, EF-G (Glu456, Arg560, Ser661 and Glu664), and ribosomal L6 (Lys175) is formed in the immediate vicinity of the GTPase active site, with A2660 being the central element of the network [40]. Thus, depurination of A2660 may prevent the surrounding elements from adopting the active conformation, which is required to bind the metal ions necessary to stabilize Asp22 and neighboring regions of EF-G in the activated form [55,65]. On the other hand, A2660, together with G2661, plays a crucial role in opening of a so-called hydrophobic gate, which prevents the invariant His residue in the free trGTPase from achieving an active state and spontaneous GTP hydrolysis. In the complex of the EF-G/ribosome, the bases A2660 and G2661 interact with His20 of EF-G, which in turn interacts with Ile21, forming a hydrophobic gate with Ile63. These interactions contribute to its opening and repositioning the His87 into its active position [40]. The structural analyses of the A2660 role are supported by biochemical insight. It has been shown that lack of the single exocyclic N6 amino group at position 2660 within tRNA inhibited GTP hydrolysis on the EF-G/ribosome complex. Importantly, the introduction of different exocyclic groups with dissimilar chemical groups, such as inosine, dimethyladenosine, or even 6-methylpurine, restored
the GTP hydrolysis activity of EF-G. The experimental biochemical data indicate that the critical favorable chemical feature is related to electron configuration that allows participation in the aromatic \(\pi\)-electron interaction system of the purine, which in turn facilitates the \(\pi\)-stacking effect [66]. It should be underlined that despite the vast number of data collected from only the bacterial model, the amino acid sequence, called PGH motif (with the invariant His residue) is universally conserved and present in EF-Tu, EF-G, IF2, and RF3, as well as archaeal and eukaryotic trGTPases [67]. What is more, the highly conserved \(A_{2660}\) base moiety (\(A_{3027}\) - yeast, \(A_{4324}\) - rat, \(A_{4605}\) - human) within the tetra-loop of SRL [56] represents the most crucial base which contributes to a cooperative interaction network, which stabilizes the active state of trGTPases, promoting GTP hydrolysis.

The Ribosomal Stalk

The ribosomal stalk represents a vital element within the ribosomal GTPase associated center. Stalk structure is composed of two distinct parts - the base of the stalk and its lateral elements [68]. The stalk base is constituted by conserved ribosomal proteins uL11 and uL10, which anchor the stalk to the rRNA [69,70]. The lateral part of the stalk has multimeric architecture and is built of multi-dimeric protein elements, which are unique for bacteria and eukaryotes. In bacteria, the bL12 proteins form a dimer, which is regarded as a basic structural element, and two, three, and even four dimers can form the lateral part anchored to the ribosome through uL10 [35,71]. In eukaryotes, the P1 and P2 proteins form a dimer, and two dimers are linked to the uL10 protein, forming pentameric architecture called the P-stalk, uL10-(P1-P2)\(_2\) [37,69,70,72–75]. It should be underlined that the stalk fulfills the same function on the ribosome, irrespectively of the life-domain origin, namely participation in stimulation of GTP hydrolysis [68]; however, the bL12 and P1/P2 proteins are not evolutionarily related and are regarded as analogous proteins [76,77]. The stalk is the only structure on the ribosome composed of multiple proteins. The eukaryotic stalk architecture has a complex nature. It is constituted by two P1/P2 protein dimers; each dimer is built of two domains: an N-terminal globular domain (NTD), responsible for dimerization and anchoring the dimer to uL10, and an unstructured C-terminal domain (CTD), regarded as a functional part interacting with trGTPases [70,73,78]. Both elements are connected through a highly flexible hinge region [73,79]. The most prominent feature of the eukaryotic P1/P2 stalk proteins is the highly conserved element present at the CTD, composed of a stretch of acidic and hydrophobic amino acids (EESEESDDDMGFGLFD) and regarded as the main functional element of the stalk. This element is involved in the interaction with trGTPases and toxins such as ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs) [22,80–84]. A unique feature of the eukaryotic stalk is multiplication of CTDs. The conserved CTD is also found on the uL10; therefore, five CTDs are present on the stalk: four coming from two P1/P2 dimers and one from uL10. The phenomenon of CTDs multiplication was functionally coupled with the qualitative aspect of ribosome action related to maintenance of translation accuracy [85]. It was proposed that the multiple CTDs might accelerate interaction with eEF1A, which is regarded as trGTPase with the highest GTP hydrolysis turnover. Interestingly, this feature has been hijacked by RIP toxins, and it has been shown that multiplication of P1/P2 proteins increase the interaction rate of the toxin [86].

2.2. Mode of Ricin Interaction with Ribosome

It has been established that ricin inhibits translation through its ability to remove/depurinate a specific adenine base of the universally conserved SRL [87], which is a crucial part of the GAC on the ribosome [27,38,88,89]. The SRL has been found as a primary target for ricin and other RIPs, and the specificity of the interaction with eukaryotic ribosomal proteins plays a critical role in ricin catalytic activity towards SRL. As shown over two decades ago, the efficiency of rRNA depurination in the intact ribosome is much greater than the depurination of isolated 28S rRNA. The \(k_{cat}\) of ricin against naked rRNA is more than 4 orders of magnitude lower than that of rRNA constituting a part of the ribosome [90–92]. Ricin depurinates the naked 23S rRNA from \(E. coli\) SRL, but not the intact ribosomes from \(E. coli\) [91], showing at the same time extraordinary specificity towards intact eukaryotic
ribosomes [20,21,93], what underlines the role of ribosomal proteins in the process. The same applies to other related RIPs, such as Shiga toxin 1 (Stx1) [93,94], Shiga toxin 2 (Stx2) [95,96], trichosanthin (TCS) [97–99], and maize RIP [100], which specifically depurinate the SRL on the eukaryotic ribosome. In the case of ricin, the mechanistic model of molecular recognition of the ribosome assumes a double-step mechanism, involving first slow and nonspecific electrostatic-based interactions with the ribosome and then fast specific interactions based on the ribosomal stalk interplay, leading to its attack on the SRL rRNA [90]. Although the SRL is highly conserved among ribosomes in all species, the P-proteins determine the specificity of ricin and other RIPs toward eukaryotic ribosomes [12,22]. The deletion of stalk P-proteins from ribosomes greatly reduces the depurination activity and cellular sensitivity to ricin, indicating that binding to the P-stalk is a critical step in depurination of the SRL and in the toxicity of ricin [101–103]. The structural investigations provide significant insights into the mode of interaction between P-proteins and the ricin or trichosanthin (TCS), which hijacks the translational factor recruitment function of the ribosomal P-stalk to reach its target site on the ribosome [22,84]. Especially, the interaction site of P-proteins with RIPs was mapped to a short conserved 11-mer peptide, SDDDMGFGLFD, present at the CTDs of all P-proteins [98]. This interaction is required for the full activity of ricin and other RIPs, and biochemical analyses confirmed that positively charged residues, especially the cluster of arginines, play a key role [101]. As was shown in a TCS study, the interaction of TCS is primarily mediated by the electrostatic interactions of K\textsubscript{173}, R\textsubscript{174}, and K\textsubscript{177} in the C-terminal domain of TCS with the conserved DDD residues in the CTDs of P-proteins. However, hydrophobic interactions also play a vital role in stabilization of the bilateral interplay between TCS and the conserved C-terminal peptide of P-proteins [93,99]. The tertiary structure of the catalytic subunit of ricin (RTA) with a short peptide corresponding to the last six conserved residues of the stalk proteins (GFGLFD) showed that the peptide docks into a hydrophobic pocket at the C-terminus of RTA [84,104]. The structural superposition of TCS-P-protein and RTA-P-protein complexes demonstrated that the short C-terminal peptide of P-proteins adopts distinct orientations and slightly different interaction modes with the two different RIPs, suggesting that the flexibility of the CTD facilitates accommodation of different class of RIPs to the ribosome [84,104]. The kinetic studies showed that the P1-P2 heterodimeric conformation of P-proteins in the stalk pentamer represents an optimal binding site for RTA, where individual P-protein CTDs play non-equivalent roles with a pivotal role of P1 CTD [65]. Additionally, previous results obtained using yeast as an experimental system showed that the two dimers, P1A-P2B and P1B-P2A, do not interact equally with RTA [102], suggesting that these dimers may have a different architecture and their CTDs may not be equally accessible to external factors, such as RTA or other RIPs. The high specificity of ricin interaction with the P-stalk is also reflected by the measured dissociation constant, which is in a nanomolar range [65,80,86]. Thus, the kinetic model of RTA interaction with the ribosome and SRL depurination assumes that the toxin initially interacts with the P-protein stalk, and it allows orienting the active site of the toxin toward the SRL, which in turn places it in correct orientation for binding to the target adenine. It is also proposed that the P-stalk binding event allosterically stimulates the catalysis of ribosome depurination by RTA, explaining the extraordinary specificity of the toxin toward eukaryotic ribosomes [101].

3. Toxic Action of Ricin on The Translational Process

Ricin is composed of two subunits, RTA and RTB, covalently linked through a disulfide bond. In the form of holotoxin, it does not exhibit catalytic activity toward ribosome [105]. When RTA is separated from RTB, the cluster of arginine residues located at the interface domain between RTA and RTB is exposed to the solvent and serves as an interaction platform for P-stalk proteins. The RTA-stalk interaction stimulates the toxin to trigger its enzymatic activity by orienting the active site of RTA (opposite to the arginine interface) toward the SRL [101]. RTA is an RNA N-glycosidase (EC 3.2.2.22) that hydrolyzes the N-glycosidic bond between a specific adenine on the SRL and the sugar backbone [91]. The specificity of rRNA depurination by RTA is determined by the conformation of the topological part of the SRL loop structure, and the GAGA sequence with the prominent key adenine
base is recognized as the major element [106,107]. During the catalysis of the SRL depurination process by ricin, the conserved adenine on the tip of the sarcin–ricin loop is inserted between two Tyr residues (in the RTA catalytic center - Tyr60 and Tyr123) to form π-stacking interactions [108,109]. Additionally, the adenine position is stabilized by hydrogen binding with RTA Gly121, Val61, Glu177, and Arg180 residues [110]. It has been shown that two RTA residues, i.e. Glu177 and Arg180, play a crucial role in the hydrolysis of N-glycosidic bonds by stabilizing the transition state during catalysis of the depurination reaction (Figure 4) [111,112].

As already discussed, the structural stability of the SRL is provided mostly by the π-stacking interaction network [52,113,114]. Removal of the key adenine at the tip of the SRL may destabilize this type of interactions, thereby affecting the SRL structure stability and abolishing an extended interaction network responsible for stabilization of the active state of trGTPases (Figure 4).

It was already observed in the 1970s that ricin inhibits translation in mammalian cells [115], as confirmed in an in vitro experimental system [53,116–119]. Early analyses in in vitro protein synthesis systems have shown that the presence of ricin blocks the synthesis of polypeptides, and this was mainly associated with the elongation step of the translational cycle [116,117,120–122]. It has also been reported that ricin does not affect the synthesis of peptide bonds [53,116,123,124], but a significant inhibition of GTP hydrolysis was associated with ricin action [53,54,116,124–130]. Numerous analyses have shown that eEF2, i.e. a factor involved in the translocation event during the elongation cycle, binds less efficiently to ribosomes modified by ricin [54,125,126,128,131]. Additionally, it has also been shown that treatment of ribosomes with ricin decreased the level of GTP hydrolysis by the eEF2 factor [53,116]. Further analyses demonstrated that ricin inhibited translocation, and the effect was dependent on the eEF2 concentration used [123]. Additional evidence for the inhibitory effects of ricin on translocation was provided by applying test with the use of diphtheria toxin, showing that the ribosomes treated with both toxins mainly paused at the beginning of the mRNA [119]. It was also shown that the rate of formation of pre-initiation complexes, i.e. the attachment of the 60S subunit to the pre-initiation complex 40S, was decreased under the influence of ricin [119]. Thus, these analyses have provided evidence that the modification of the 60S subunit by ricin resulted not only in inhibition of elongation at the translocation step, but also in reduction of the initiation rate [119]. In vitro analyses on the bacterial model have confirmed the experiments performed on the eukaryotic system, showing that ribosomes lacking adenine in the topical part of SRL are unable to stimulate GTP hydrolysis by EF-G, which is a bacterial trGTPase homologous to eukaryotic eEF2 [66]. All these experiments laid

Figure 4. Model of sarcin–ricin loop (SRL) depurination by RTA. Removal of key A2660 residue (E. coli numbering) impairs the intricate interaction network responsible for stabilization of the active state of trGTPases, resulting in the inhibition of translation process. The base of A2660 is bound to the catalytic center of RTA with π-stacking interactions and its position is stabilized by hydrogen bonds with Gly121, Val61 and Arg180 (green). Glu177 and Arg180 (green) play crucial role in catalysis by transition state stabilization. Arg180 residue protonates base of A2660 causing delocalization of ring electrons. Glu177 polarizes water molecule (blue) and resultant hydroxide ion attacks positive center on ribose which leads to hydrolysis of N-glycosidic bond; prepared based on [110].
the foundation for a general notion that depurination of the SRL brings deleterious effects for the translational machinery, linking the toxic effect of ricin with blockage of protein synthesis in the cell. However, in vivo analyses have shown that there is no clear cross-correlation between the ribosome depurination, translation inhibition, and cell death \[102,103\], leaving the issue of ricin toxicity at the molecular level as an open question. All currently available information concerning ricin toxicity suggests that the toxic effect on cell metabolism has a multifactorial nature, involving induction of numerous pathways leading to cell death \[25\], but the molecular trigger is still obscure.

4. Conclusions

Ricin, in particular its catalytic subunit RTA, targets one of the most important eukaryotic ribosomal catalytic centers—the GAC, which is responsible for conferring unidirectional trajectory for the translation apparatus at the expense of GTP hydrolysis driven by trGTPases. To access the GAC, ricin hijacks the ribosomal translation factor recruitment element, i.e., the P-stalk, to reach the target adenine base in the SRL on the ribosome. The stalk, composed of P-proteins, represents a unique eukaryotic element interacting with RTA, being responsible for the specificity of the toxin toward the eukaryotic ribosome. The RTA interaction with the stalk not only anchors and directs RTA towards SRL but also stimulates depurination of invariant adenine at the tip of the SRL. The SRL represents a critical ribosomal element responsible for triggering GTP hydrolysis by trGTPases. The $G_{2659} A_{2660} G_{2661} A_{2662}$ \((E. coli\) numbering) tetra-loop located on the tip of the SRL plays a key role here. Within this loop, two bases $A_{2662}$ and $G_{2661}$ are critical elements directly involved in stimulation of GTP hydrolysis \[28\]. On the other hand, $A_{2660}$, i.e., a base that is depurinated by RTA, is situated away from the trGTPase active site, being a center of cooperative interaction network, contributing to stabilization of the active state of trGTPases and promoting GTP hydrolysis. Thus, the $A_{2660}$, lying away from the main catalytic center, but coordinating the structural arrangement of the G domain of trGTPases, could hold the “power behind the throne” role. Therefore, depurination of solvent-exposed $A_{2660}$ impairs the intricate interaction network and destabilizes the active state of trGTPases, which finally blocks GTP hydrolysis and, at the same time, impedes the functioning of the translational machinery. However, the majority of biochemical experiments with in vitro depurinated ribosomes have shown unequivocally that removal of $A_{2660}$ blocks translation, especially at the elongation step of the translational cycle, linking the catalytic activity with ricin toxicity. Such a situation seems to be unusual inside the cell, where most of the toxin molecules are degraded during the ricin trafficking and, as it was estimated, only up to 5% of RTA molecules reach the endoplasmic reticulum \[132–134\]. Thus, ricin must have evolved to be extremely successful in winning the battle to hurt the GAC - the energetic heart of the ribosome; this is especially well demonstrated by the higher affinity towards the ribosomal P-stalk proteins \[21,86,102\] compared to translational factors \[82\]. Importantly, in vivo analyses did not find any clear link between the ribosome depurination, translation inhibition, and cell death, indicating that molecular events contributing to the so-called ‘cause and effect’ of the ricin modus operandi are still obscure.

Author Contributions: P.G. and M.T. conceived the review; P.G. and M.S. performed the literature review; P.G. and M.S. prepared the figures; P.G., M.S., P.H.-D. and M.T. wrote the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Science Centre in Poland, grant number UMO-2014/13/B/NZ1/00953 to M.T. and UMO-2017/01/X/NZ1/01674 to P.G.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Dang, L.; Van Damme, E.J.M. Toxic proteins in plants. *Phytochemistry* 2015, 117, 51–64. [CrossRef]
2. Girbes, T.; Ferreras, J.M.; Arias, F.J.; Stirpe, F. Description, distribution, activity and phylogenetic relationship of ribosome-inactivating proteins in plants, fungi and bacteria. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 2004, 4, 461–476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Di Maro, A.; Citores, L.; Russo, R.; Iglesias, R.; Ferreras, J.M. Sequence comparison and phylogenetic analysis by the Maximum Likelihood method of ribosome-inactivating proteins from angiosperms. *Plant. Mol. Biol.* 2014, 85, 575–588. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
4. Chan, T.Y. Aconitum alkaloid poisoning related to the culinary uses of aconite roots. *Toxins (Basel)* 2014, 6, 2605–2611. [CrossRef]  
5. Barbieri, L.; Polito, L.; Bolognesi, A.; Ciani, M.; Pelosi, E.; Farini, V.; Jha, A.K.; Sharma, N.; Vivanco, J.M.; Chambry, A.; et al. Ribosome-inactivating proteins in edible plants and purification and characterization of a new ribosome-inactivating protein from Cucurbita moschata. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2006, 1760, 783–792. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
6. Ma, L.; Gu, R.; Tang, L.; Chen, Z.-E.; Di, R.; Long, C. Important poisonous plants in tibetan ethnomedicine. *Toxins (Basel)* 2015, 7, 138–155. [CrossRef]  
7. Hsiao, Y.P.; Lai, W.W.; Wu, S.B.; Tsai, C.H.; Tang, S.C.; Chung, J.G.; Yang, J.H. Triggering apoptotic death of human epidermal keratinocytes by malic Acid: Involvement of endoplasmic reticulum stress- and mitochondria-dependent signaling pathways. *Toxins (Basel)* 2015, 7, 81–96. [CrossRef]  
8. Di, R.; Tumer, N.E. Pokeweed antiviral protein: Its cytotoxicity mechanism and applications in plant disease resistance. *Toxins (Basel)* 2015, 7, 755–772. [CrossRef]  
9. Walsh, M.J.; Dodd, J.E.; Hautbergue, G.M. Ribosome-inactivating proteins: Potent poisons and molecular tools. *Viralenace* 2013, 4, 774–784. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
10. Christopher, G.W.; Cieslak, T.J.; Pavlin, J.A.; Eitzen, E.M., Jr. Biological warfare. A historical perspective. *JAMA* 1997, 278, 412–417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
11. Audi, J.; Belson, M.; Patel, M.; Schier, J.; Osterloh, J. Ricin poisoning: A comprehensive review. *JAMA* 2005, 294, 2342–2351. [CrossRef]  
12. Tumer, N.E.; Li, X.P. Interaction of ricin and Shiga toxins with ribosomes. *Toxins (Basel)* 2015, 7, 3925–3936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
13. Stirpe, F.; Barbieri, L. Ribosome-inactivating proteins up to date. *Curr. Top Microbiol. Immunol.* 2012, 375, 1–18. [PubMed]  
14. Reinbothe, S.; Reinbothe, C.; Lehmann, J.; Becker, W.; Apel, K.; Parthier, B. JIP60, a methyl jasmonate-induced ribosome-inactivating protein involved in plant stress reactions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1994, 91, 7012–7016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
15. Hey, T.D.; Hartley, M.; Walsh, T.A. Maize ribosome-inactivating protein (b-32). Homologs in related species, effects on maize ribosomes, and modulation of activity by pro-peptide deletions. *Plant Physiol.* 1995, 107, 1323–1332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
16. Peumans, W.J.; Van Damme, E.J.M. Evolution of Plant Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins. *Toxic Plant Proteins* 2010, 18, 1–26.  
17. Maracci, C.; Rodnina, M.V. Review: Translational GTPases. *Biopolymers* 2016, 105, 463–475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
18. Olombrada, M.; Lázaro-Gorines, R.; López-Rodríguez, J.C.; Martínez-Del-Pozo, Á.; Oñaderra, M.; Maestro-López, M.; Lacadena, J.; Gavilanes, J.G.; García-Ortega, L. Fungal Ribotoxins: A Review of Potential Biotechnological Applications. *Toxins (Basel)* 2017, 9, 71. [CrossRef]  
19. Iglesias, R.; Citores, L.; Ragucci, S.; Russo, R.; Di Maro, A.; Ferreras, J.M. Biological and antipathogenic activities of ribosome-inactivating proteins from Phytolacca dioica L. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2016, 1860, 1256–1264. [CrossRef]  
20. Chiou, J.C.; Li, X.P.; Remacha, M.; Ballesta, J.P.; Tumer, N.E. The ribosomal stalk is required for ribosome binding, depurination of the rRNA and cytotoxicity of ricin A chain in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2008, 70, 1441–1452. [CrossRef]  
21. May, K.L.; Li, X.P.; Martínez-Azorín, F.; Ballesta, J.P.; Grela, P.; Tchórzewski, M.; Tumer, N.E. The P1/P2 proteins of the human ribosomal stalk are required for ribosome binding and depurination by ricin in human cells. *FEBS J.* 2012, 279, 3925–3936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
22. Choi, A.K.; Wong, E.C.; Lee, K.M.; Wong, K.B. Structures of eukaryotic ribosomal stalk proteins and its complex with trichosanthin, and their implications in recruiting ribosome-inactivating proteins to the ribosomes. *Toxins (Basel)* 2015, 7, 638–647. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
23. Hudak, K.A.; Dinman, J.D.; Tumer, N.E. Pokeweed antiviral protein accesses ribosomes by binding to L3. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1999, 274, 3859–3864. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Rajamohan, F.; Ozer, Z.; Mao, C.; Uckun, F.M. Active center cleft residues of pokeweed antiviral protein mediate its high-affinity binding to the ribosomal protein L3. *Biochemistry* 2001, 40, 9104–9114. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Fabbrini, M.S.; Katayama, M.; Nakase, I.; Vago, R. Plant Ribosome-Inactivating Proteins: Progresses, Challenges and Biotechnological Applications (and a Few Digressions). *Toxins (Basel)* 2017, 9, 314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Gao, Y.G.; Selmer, M.; Dunham, C.M.; Weixlbaumer, A.; Kelley, A.C.; Ramakrishnan, V. The structure of the ribosome with elongation factor G trapped in the posttranslocational state. *Science* 2009, 326, 694–699. [CrossRef]
27. Clementi, N.; Polacek, N. Ribosome-associated GTPases: The role of RNA for GTPase activation. *RNA Biol.* 2010, 7, 521–527. [CrossRef]
28. Fischer, N.; Neumann, P.; Bock, L.V.; Maracci, C.; Wang, Z.; Paleskava, A.; Konevetsky, A.G.; Schröder, G.F.; Grubmüller, H.; Ficner, R.; et al. The pathway to GTPase activation of elongation factor SelB on the ribosome. *Nature* 2016, 540, 80–85. [CrossRef]
29. Wallin, G.; Kamerlin, S.C.; Aqvist, J. Energetics of activation of GTP hydrolysis on the ribosome. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, 4, 1733. [CrossRef]
30. Polikanov, Y.S.; Aleksashin, N.A.; Beckert, B.; Wilson, D.N. The Mechanisms of Action of Ribosome-Targeting Peptide Antibiotics. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 2018, 5, 48. [CrossRef]
31. Wilson, D.N. Ribosome-targeting antibiotics and mechanisms of bacterial resistance. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2014, 12, 35–48. [CrossRef]
32. Liljas, A.; Ehrenberg, M. *Structural Aspects of Protein Synthesis*, 2nd ed.; World Scientific Publishing Company Incorporated: Hackensack, NJ, USA, 2012.
33. Nissen, P.; Hansen, J.; Ban, N.; Moore, P.B.; Steitz, T.A. The structural basis of ribosome activity in peptide bond synthesis. *Science* 2000, 290, 920–930. [CrossRef]
34. Rodnina, M.V.; Wintermeyer, W. The ribosome goes Nobel. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2010, 35, 1–5. [CrossRef]
35. Diaconu, M.; Kothe, U.; Schlünzen, F.; Fischer, N.; Harms, J.M.; Tonevitsky, A.G.; Stark, H.; Rodnina, M.V.; Wahl, M.C. Structural basis for the function of the ribosomal L7/L12 stalk in factor binding and GTPase activation. *Cell* 2005, 121, 991–1004. [CrossRef]
36. Rodnina, M.V.; Stark, H.; Savelsbergh, A.; Wieden, H.J.; Mohr, D.; Matassova, N.B.; Peske, F.; Daviter, T.; Gualerzi, C.O.; Wintermeyer, W. GTPases mechanisms and functions of translation factors on the ribosome. *Biol. Chem.* 2000, 381, 377–387. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Wahl, M.C.; Møller, W. Structure and function of the acidic ribosomal stalk proteins. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 2002, 3, 93–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Voorhees, R.M.; Schmeing, T.M.; Kelley, A.C.; Ramakrishnan, V. The mechanism for activation of GTP hydrolysis on the ribosome. *Science* 2010, 330, 835–838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Pallesen, J.; Hashem, Y.; Korkmaz, G.; Koripella, R.K.; Huang, C.; Ehrenberg, M.; Sanyal, S.; Frank, J. Cryo-EM visualization of the ribosome in termination complex with apo-RF3 and RF1. *Elife* 2013, 2, e00411. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Chen, Y.; Feng, S.; Kumar, V.; Ero, R.; Gao, Y.G. Structure of EF-G-ribosome complex in a pretranslocation state. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2013, 20, 1077–1084. [CrossRef]
41. Mohr, D.; Wintermeyer, W.; Rodnina, M. GTPase activation of elongation factors Tu and G on the ribosome. *Biochemistry* 2002, 41, 12520–12528. [CrossRef]
42. Correll, C.C.; Wool, I.G.; Munishkin, A. The two faces of the Escherichia coli 23 S rRNA sarcin/ricin domain: The structure at 1.11 A resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1999, 292, 275–287. [CrossRef]
43. Correll, C.C.; Munishkin, A.; Chan, Y.-L.; Ren, Z.; Wool, I.G.; Steitz, T.A. Crystal structure of the ribosomal RNA domain essential for binding elongation factors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1998, 95, 13436–13441. [CrossRef]
44. Ban, N.; Nissen, P.; Hansen, J.; Moore, P.B.; Steitz, T.A. The complete atomic structure of the large ribosomal subunit at 2.4 A. resolution. *Science* 2000, 289, 905–920. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Havrila, M.; Rõblová, K.; Žirbel, C.L.; Leontis, N.B.; Šponer, J. Isosteric and nonisosteric base pairs in RNA motifs: Molecular dynamics and bioinformatics study of the sarcin-ricin internal loop. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2013, 117, 14302–14319. [CrossRef]
46. Yusupov, M.M.; Yusupova, G.Z.; Baucom, A.; Lieberman, K.; Earnest, T.N.; Cate, J.H.; Noller, H.F. Crystal structure of the ribosome at 5.5 Å resolution. *Science* 2001, 292, 883–896. [CrossRef]

47. Szewczak, A.A.; Moore, P.B. The sarcin/ricin loop, a modular RNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1995, 247, 81–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Correll, C.C.; Benken, J.; Plantinga, M.J.; Lubbers, M.; Chan, Y.-L. The common and the distinctive features of the bulged-G motif based on a 1.04 Å resolution RNA structure. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2003, 31, 6806–6818. [CrossRef]

49. Heus, H.A.; Pardi, A. Structural features that give rise to the unusual stability of RNA hairpins containing GNRA loops. *Science* 1991, 253, 191–194. [CrossRef]

50. Moazed, D.; Robertson, J.M.; Noller, H.F. Interaction of elongation factors EF-G and EF-Tu with a conserved loop in 23S RNA. *Nature* 1988, 334, 362–364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Rodnina, M.V.; Savelsergh, A.; Wintemeyer, W. Dynamics of translation on the ribosome: Molecular mechanics of translocation. *FEBS Microbiol. Rev.* 1999, 23, 317–333. [CrossRef]

52. Munishkin, A.; Wool, I.G. The ribosome-in-pieces: Binding of elongation factor EF-G to oligoribonucleotides that mimic the sarcin/ricin and thistreptodon domains of 23S ribosomal RNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1997, 94, 12280–12284. [CrossRef]

53. Benson, S.; Olness, S.; Pihl, A.; Skorve, J.; Abraham, A.K. On the mechanism of protein-synthesis inhibition involved in translation. *Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol.* 2010, 152, e241 12 of 16.

54. Sperti, S.; Montanaro, L.; Mattioli, A.; Testoni, G. Relationship between elongation factor I- and elongation factor II-dependent guanosine triphosphatase activities of ribosomes. Inhibition of both activities by ricin. *Biochim. J.* 1975, 148, 447–451. [CrossRef]

55. Tourigny, D.S.; Fernández, I.S.; Kelley, A.C.; Ramakrishnan, V. Elongation factor G bound to the ribosome in an intermediate state of translocation. *Science* 2013, 340, 1235490. [CrossRef]

56. Murray, J.; Savva, C.G.; Shin, B.S.; Dever, T.E.; Ramakrishnan, V.; Fernández, I.S. Structural characterization of ribosome recruitment and translocation by type IV IRES. *Elife* 2016, 5, e15367. [CrossRef]

57. Daviter, T.; Wieden, H.J.; Rodnina, M. Essential role of histidine 84 in elongation factor Tu for the chemical step of GTP hydrolysis on the ribosome. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2003, 332, 689–699. [CrossRef]

58. Maracci, C.; Peske, F.; Dannies, E.; Pohl, C.; Rodnina, M.V. Ribosome-induced tuning of GTP hydrolysis by a translational GTPase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 14418–14423. [CrossRef]

59. Cunha, C.E.; Belardinelli, R.; Peske, F.; Holtkamp, W.; Wintemeyer, W.; Rodnina, M.V. Dual use of GTP hydrolysis by elongation factor G on the ribosome. *Translation (Austin)* 2013, 1, e24315. [CrossRef]

60. Adamczyk, A.J.; Warshel, A. Converting structural information into an allosteric-energy-based picture for the mechanism of translocation Tu activation by the ribosome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108, 9827–9832. [CrossRef]

61. Koch, M.; Flär, S.; Kreutz, C.; Ennifar, E.; Micura, R.; Polacek, N. Role of a ribosomal RNA phosphate oxygen loop in 23S RNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2011, 412, E2561–E2568. [CrossRef]

62. Liljas, A.; Ehrenberg, M.; Aqvist, J. Comment on “The mechanism for activation of GTP hydrolysis on the ribosome”. *Science* 2011, 333, 37. [CrossRef]

63. Endo, Y.; Wool, I.G. The site of action of alpha-sarcin on eukaryotic ribosomes. The sequence at the alpha-sarcin cleavage site in 28 S ribosomal ribonucleic acid. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1982, 257, 9054–9060. [PubMed]

64. Endo, Y.; Huber, P.W.; Wool, I.G. The ribonuclelease activity of the cytotoxin alpha-sarcin. The characteristics of the enzymatic activity of alpha-sarcin with ribosomes and ribonucleic acids as substrates. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1983, 258, 2662–2667.

65. Sperti, S.; Montanaro, L.; Mattioli, A.; Testoni, G. Relationship between elongation factor I- and elongation factor II-dependent guanosine triphosphatase activities of ribosomes. Inhibition of both activities by ricin. *Biochim. J.* 1975, 148, 447–451. [CrossRef]

66. Grela, P.; Li, X.-P.; Horbowicz, P.; Dźwirzyńska, M.; Tchorzewski, M.; Tumer, N.E. Human ribosomal P1-P2 heterodimer represents an optimal docking site for ricin A chain with a prominent role for P1 C-terminus. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 5608. [CrossRef]

67. Clementi, N.; Chirkova, A.; Puffer, B.; Micura, R.; Polacek, N. Atomic mutagenesis reveals A2660 of 23S ribosomal RNA as key to EF-G GTPass activation. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2010, 6, 344–351. [CrossRef]

68. Avarsson, A. Structure-based sequence alignment of elongation factors Tu and G with related GTPases involved in translation. *J. Mol. Evol.* 1995, 41, 1096–1104. [PubMed]

69. Liljas, A.; Sanyal, S. The enigmatic ribosomal stalk. *Q Rev. Biophys.* 2018, 51, e12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Ballesta, J.P.; Remacha, M. The large ribosomal subunit stalk as a regulatory element of the eukaryotic translational machinery. *Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol.* 1996, 55, 157–193. [PubMed]
70. Gonzalo, P.; Reboud, J.P. The puzzling lateral flexible stalk of the ribosome. *Biol. Cell* 2003, 95, 179–193. [CrossRef]
71. Davydov, I.I.; Wohlgemuth, I.; Artamonova, I.; Urlaub, H.; Tonevitsky, A.G.; Rodnina, M.V. Evolution of the protein stoichiometry in the L12 stalk of bacterial and organellar ribosomes. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, 4, 1387. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
72. Grela, P.; Gajda, M.J.; Armache, J.P.; Beckmann, R.; Krokowski, D.; Svergun, D.I.; Grankowski, N.; Tchórzewski, M. Solution structure of the natively assembled yeast ribosomal stalk determined by small-angle X-ray scattering. *Biochem. J.* 2012, 444, 205–209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
73. Tchórzewski, M. The acidic ribosomal P proteins. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2002, 34, 911–915. [CrossRef]
74. Grela, P.; Krokowski, D.; Gordiyenko, Y.; Krowarsch, D.; Robinson, C.V.; Otlewski, J.; Grankowski, N.; Tchórzewski, M. Biophysical properties of the eukaryotic ribosomal stalk. *Biochemistry* 2010, 49, 924–933. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
75. Krokowski, D.; Boguszewska, A.; Abramczyk, D.; Liljas, A.; Tchórzewski, M.; Grankowski, N. Yeast ribosomal P0 protein has two separate binding sites for P1/P2 proteins. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2006, 60, 386–400. [CrossRef]
76. Grela, P.; Sawa-Makarska, J.; Gordiyenko, Y.; Robinson, C.V.; Grankowski, N.; Tchórzewski, M. Structural properties of the human acidic ribosomal P0 proteins forming the P1-P2 heterocomplex. *J. Biochem.* 2008, 143, 169–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
77. Grela, P.; Bernado, P.; Svergun, D.; Kwiatowski, J.; Abramczyk, D.; Grankowski, N.; Tchórzewski, M. Structural relationships among the ribosomal stalk proteins from the three domains of life. *J. Mol. Evol.* 2008, 67, 154–167. [CrossRef]
78. Lee, K.M.; Yu, C.W.; Chiu, T.Y.; Sze, K.H.; Shaw, P.C.; Wong, K.B. Solution structure of the dimerization domain of the eukaryotic stalk P1/P2 complex reveals the structural organization of eukaryotic stalk complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012, 40, 3172–3182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
79. Bernado, P.; Modig, K.; Grela, P.; Svergun, D.I.; Tchórzewski, M.; Pons, M.; Akke, M. Structure and Dynamics of Ribosomal Protein L12: An Ensemble Model Based on SAXS and NMR Relaxation. *Biophys. J.* 2010, 98, 2374–2382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
80. Grela, P.; Helgstrand, M.; Krokowski, D.; Boguszewska, A.; Svergun, D.; Liljas, A.; Bernadó, P.; Grankowski, N.; Akke, M.; Tchórzewski, M. Structural characterization of the ribosomal P1A-P2B protein dimer by small-angle X-ray scattering and NMR spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* 2007, 46, 1988–1998. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
81. Murakami, R.; Singh, C.R.; Morris, J.; Tang, L.; Harmon, I.; Takasu, A.; Miyoshi, T.; Ito, K.; Asano, K.; Uchiumi, T. The Interaction between the Ribosomal Stalk Proteins and Translation Initiation Factor 5B Promotes Translation Initiation. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 2018, 38. [CrossRef]
82. Tanzawa, T.; Kato, K.; Girodat, D.; Ose, T.; Kumakura, Y.; Wieden, H.J.; Uchiumi, T.; Tanaka, I.; Yao, M. The C-terminal helix of ribosomal P stalk recognizes a hydrophobic groove of elongation factor 2 in a novel fashion. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018, 46, 3232–3244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
83. Ito, K.; Honda, T.; Suzuki, T.; Miyoshi, T.; Murakami, R.; Yao, M.; Uchiumi, T. Molecular insights into the interaction of the ribosomal stalk protein with elongation factor 1 alpha. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014, 42, 14042–14052. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
84. Fan, X.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, C.; Niu, L.; Teng, M.; Li, X. Structural insights into the interaction of the ribosomal P stalk protein P2 with a type II ribosome-inactivating protein ricin. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 37803. [CrossRef]
85. Wawiorka, L.; Molestak, E.; Szajwaj, M.; Michalec-Wawiorka, B.; Mrotek, M.; Borkiewicz, L.; Grela, P.; Boguszewska, A.; Tchórzewski, M. Multiplication of Ribosomal P-Stalk Proteins Contributes to the Fidelity of Translation. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 2017, 37, e00060-17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
86. Li, X.P.; Grela, P.; Krokowski, D.; Tchórzewski, M.; Tumer, N.E. Pentameric organization of the ribosomal stalk accelerates recruitment of ricin A chain to the ribosome for depurination. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2010, 285, 41463–41471. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
87. Endo, Y.; Tsurugi, K. RNA N-glycosidase activity of ricin A-chain. Mechanism of action of the toxic lectin ricin on eukaryotic ribosomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1987, 262, 8128–8130. [PubMed]
88. Agrawal, R.K.; Heagle, A.B.; Penczek, P.; Grassucci, R.A.; Frank, J. EF-G-dependent GTP hydrolysis induces translocation accompanied by large conformational changes in the 70S ribosome. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 1999, 6, 643–647. [CrossRef]
89. Shi, X.; Khade, P.K.; Sanbonmatsu, K.Y.; Joseph, S. Functional role of the sarcin-ricin loop of the 23S rRNA in the elongation cycle of protein synthesis. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2012, 419, 125–138. [CrossRef]
90. Li, X.P.; Chiu, J.-C.; Remacha, M.; Ballesta, J.P.G.; Tumer, N.E. A two-step binding model proposed for the electrostatic interactions of ricin a chain with ribosomes. *Biochemistry* 2009, 48, 3853–3863. [CrossRef]
91. McCluskey, A.J.; Bolewska-Pedyczak, E.; Srikumar, T.; Jeram, S.M.; Raught, B.; Gariépy, J. The catalytic subunit of shiga-like toxin 1 interacts with ribosomal stalk proteins and is inhibited by their conserved C-terminal domain. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2008, 378, 375–386. [CrossRef]
92. Endo, Y.; Mitsui, K.; Motizuki, M.; Tsurugi, K. The RNA N-glycosidase activity of ricin A-chain. The characteristics of the enzymatic activity of ricin A-chain with ribosomes and with RNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1988, 263, 8735–8739.
93. McCluskey, A.J.; Poon, G.M.; Bolewska-Pedyczak, E.; Srikumar, T.; Jeram, S.M.; Raught, B.; Gariépy, J. Charged and hydrophobic surfaces on the a chain of shiga-like toxin 1 recognize the C-terminal domain of ribosomal stalk proteins. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e31191. [CrossRef]
94. Shi, W.W.; Tang, Y.S.; Sze, K.H.; Wong, K.B.; Shaw, P.C. Crystal Structure of Ribosome-Inactivating Protein Ricin A Chain in Complex with the C-Terminal Peptide of the Ribosomal Stalk Protein P2. *Toxins (Basel)* 2016, 8, 296. [CrossRef]
95. Spooner, R.A.; Watson, P.D.; Marsden, C.J.; Smith, D.C.; Moore, K.A.; Cook, J.P.; Lord, J.M.; Roberts, L.M. Protein disulphide-isomerase reduces ricin to its A and B chains in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Biochem. J.* 2004, 383 Pt 2, 285–293. [CrossRef]
96. Endo, Y.; Gluck, A.; Wool, I.G. Ribosomal RNA identity elements for ricin A-chain recognition and catalysis. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1991, 221, 193–207. [CrossRef]
97. Gluck, A.; Endo, Y.; Wool, I.G. Ribosomal RNA identity elements for ricin A-chain recognition and catalysis. Analysis with tetraloop mutants. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1992, 226, 411–424. [CrossRef]
98. Ho, M.C.; Sturm, M.B.; Almo, S.C.; Schramm, V.L. Transition state analogues in structures of ricin and saporin ribosome-inactivating proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 20276–20281. [CrossRef]
99. Zhao, L.; Pruet, J.; Anslyn, E.V.; Robertus, J.D. Structure-based design of ricin inhibitors. *Toxins (Basel)* 2011, 3, 1233–1248. [CrossRef]
110. Wahome, P.G.; Robertus, J.D.; Mantis, N.J. Small-molecule inhibitors of ricin and Shiga toxins. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **2012**, *357*, 179–207.

111. Kim, Y.; Robertus, J.D. Analysis of several key active site residues of ricin A chain by mutagenesis and X-ray crystallography. *Protein Eng.* **1992**, *5*, 775–779. [CrossRef]

112. Kim, Y.; Mlsna, D.; Monzingo, A.F.; Ready, M.P.; Frankel, A.; Robertus, J.D. Structure of a ricin mutant showing rescue of activity by a noncatalytic residue. *Biochemistry* **1992**, *31*, 3294–3296. [CrossRef]

113. Seggerson, K.; Moore, P.B. Structure and stability of variants of the sarcin-ricin loop of 28S rRNA: NMR studies of the prokaryotic SRL and a functional mutant. *RNA* **1998**, *4*, 1203–1215. [CrossRef]

114. Chan, Y.L.; Sitikov, A.S.; Wool, I.G. The phenotype of mutations of the base-pair C2658.G2663 that closes the tetraloop in the sarcin/ricin domain of Escherichia coli 23 S ribosomal RNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2000**, *298*, 795–805. [CrossRef]

115. Lin, J.Y.; Kao, W.-Y.; Tserng, K.-Y.; Chen, C.-C.; Tung, T.-C. Effect of crystalline abrin on the biosynthesis of protein, RNA, and DNA in experimental tumors. *Cancer Res.* **1970**, *30*, 2431–2433.

116. Montanaro, L.; Sperti, S.; Stirpe, F. Inhibition by ricin of protein synthesis in vitro. Ribosomes as the target of the toxin. *Biochem. J.* **1973**, *136*, 677–683. [CrossRef]

117. Olsnes, S.; Pihl, A. Ricin - a potent inhibitor of protein synthesis. *FEBS Lett.* **1979**, *103*, 201–206. [CrossRef]

118. Olsnes, S.; Fernandez-Puentes, C.; Carrasco, L.; Vazquez, D. Ribosome inactivation by the toxic lectins abrin and ricin. Kinetics of the enzymic activity of the toxin A-chains. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1975**, *60*, 281–288. [CrossRef]

119. Osborn, R.W.; Hartley, M.R. Dual effects of the ricin A chain on protein synthesis in rabbit reticulocyte lysate. Inhibition of initiation and translocation. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1990**, *193*, 401–407. [CrossRef]

120. Olsnes, S.; Saltvedt, E.; Pihl, A. Isolation and comparison of galactose-binding lectins from *Abrus precatorius* and *Ricinus communis*. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1988**, *150*, 1032–1036. [CrossRef]

121. Endo, Y.; Tsurugi, K.; Lambert, J.M. The site of action of six di- and tetra-Lys-dipeptides on eukaryotic ribosomes: The RNA N-glycosidase activity of the proteins. *Biochemistry* **1989**, *28*, 3251–3253. [CrossRef]

122. Brigotti, M.; Rambelli, F.; Zamboni, M.; Montanaro, L.; Sperti, S. Effect of alpha-sarcin and ribosome-inactivating proteins on the interaction of elongation factors with ribosomes. *Biochemistry* **1992**, *31*, 111–117. [CrossRef]

123. Gessner, S.L.; Irvin, J.D. Inhibition of elongation factor 2-dependent translocation by the pokeweed antiviral protein and ricin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1980**, *255*, 3251–3253.

124. Nilsson, L.; Nygard, O. The mechanism of the protein-synthesis elongation cycle in eukaryotes. Effect of ricin on the ribosomal interaction with elongation factors. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1986**, *161*, 111–117. [CrossRef]

125. Montanaro, L.; Sperti, S.; Mattioli, A.; Stirpe, F. Inhibition by ricin of protein synthesis in vitro: 60 S ribosomal subunit as the target of the toxin. *Biochem. J.* **1973**, *136*, 813–815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

126. Nolan, R.D.; Grasmuk, H.; Drews, J. The binding of tritiated elongation-factors 1 and 2 to ribosomes from *Kreb II* mouse ascites-tumore cells. The influence of various antibiotics and toxins. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1976**, *64*, 69–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

127. Seggerson, K.; Moore, P.B. Structure and stability of variants of the sarcin-ricin loop of 28S rRNA: NMR studies of the prokaryotic SRL and a functional mutant. *RNA* **1998**, *4*, 1203–1215. [CrossRef]

128. Fernandez-Puentes, C.; Benson, S.; Olsnes, S.; Pihl, A. Protective effect of elongation factor 2 on the inactivation of ribosomes by the toxic lectins abrin and ricin. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1975**, *54*, 499–503. [CrossRef]

129. Cawley, D.B.; Hedblom, M.L.; Houston, L.L. Protection and rescue of ribosomes from the action of ricin A chain. *Biochemistry* **1979**, *18*, 2648–2654. [CrossRef]

130. Sperti, S.; Montanaro, L. Ricin and modeccin do not inhibit the elongation factor 1-dependent binding of aminoacyl-tRNA to ribosomes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1975**, *325*, 201–206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

131. Montanaro, L.; Sperti, S.; Mattioli, A.; Testoni, G.; Stirpe, F. Inhibition by ricin of protein synthesis in vitro. Inhibition of the binding of elongation factor 2 and of adenosine diphosphate-ribosylated elongation factor 2 to ribosomes. *Biochem. J.* **1975**, *146*, 127–131. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

132. Spooner, R.A.; Lord, J.M. How ricin and Shiga toxin reach the cytosol of target cells: Retrotranslocation from the endoplasmic reticulum. *Curr. Top Microbiol. Immunol.* **2012**, *357*, 19–40. [PubMed]
133. van Deurs, B.; Sandvig, K.; Petersen, O.W.; Olsnes, S.; Simons, K.; Griffiths, G. Estimation of the amount of internalized ricin that reaches the trans-Golgi network. *J. Cell. Biol.* **1988**, *106*, 253–267. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. van Deurs, B.; Petersen, O.W.; Olsnes, S.; Sandvig, K. Delivery of internalized ricin from endosomes to cisternal Golgi elements is a discontinuous, temperature-sensitive process. *Exp. Cell. Res.* **1987**, *171*, 137–152. [CrossRef]

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).