Iron tailing powder (ITP) is considered to have the potential to replace cement to manufacture ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC). However, the performance of UHPC with the addition of ITP after exposure to high temperatures is more complex. This investigation prepares seven UHPC formulations by introducing different contents of ITP and investigates the mechanical behavior (residual strength), bound water content, and microstructural properties (crystalline and amorphous phases, chemical structure, and morphology) of UHPC subjected to elevated temperatures. The experimental results show that the addition of ITP postpones the spalling of concrete when exposed to high temperatures. The concrete incorporating 15% ITP maintains 53.8% of its original strength at 800°C, unlike the concrete without ITP that maintains 31.6% of its original strength. The addition of ITP increases the number of micropores/cracks in concrete and helps release the vapor pressure caused by water evaporation. The findings of this investigation highlight the potential application of ITP for future UHPC design and manufacture.

1. Introduction

Concrete can endure high temperatures owing to its high specific heat and low thermal conductivity [1], which, however, does not imply that its performance is not degraded at all. Its compact microstructure is susceptible to explosive spalling due to the build-up of thermal stress at approximately 100°C of the release of vapor [2, 3]. Moreover, the internal physical/chemical changes of concrete at high temperatures affect its mechanical strength [3, 4]. It has been reported that the residual strength of concrete could significantly reduce when subjected to elevated temperatures [5]. In particular, ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC) has extremely low permeability and porosity [6, 7], which means that the build-up of thermal pressure that existed in the pores is extremely high. Correspondingly, the high thermal pressure could cause the concrete to explosive spalling [8]. Therefore, it is essential and urgent to address or avoid the negative impacts of elevated temperatures on the performance of concrete by taking preventive measures.

An effective approach towards improving the performance of concrete at high temperatures is the accurate selection of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) [9, 10]. For instance, Karahan [11] developed a high volume (0–90%) of fly ash/slag concrete and reported that the concrete incorporating ~30% fly ash and ~50% slag achieved the optimal performance at 800°C. Anwar [12] found that the concrete with 20% volcanic ash behaved a higher residual strength than the Portland cement concrete. However, the residual strength of concrete deteriorated sharply at 800°C due to a weakened interfacial transition zone. Burciaga-Díaz and Escalante-García [13] found that the concrete containing metakaolin obtained the highest strength losses of 42%, while the samples with 50% granulated blast furnace slag showed minor losses of ~20%. Most recently, Manjunath et al. [14] prepared alkali-activated slag concrete by
introducing 15% activated slag and pointed out that the concrete behaved better residual strength-sustaining than the ordinary concrete at 800°C. SCMs, especially fly ash [5], metakaolin [15], and slag [16], have recently attracted much attention due to their unique characteristics, such as the stabilization of calcium hydroxide (CH) released by pozzolanic reaction [1]. More importantly, the high temperature is conducive to the sintering of some components in concrete containing SCMs and enhances its strength [17].

The increasing demand for SCMs and effective recycling of industrial waste has been attracting wide attention for sustainability in high-performance concrete and green concrete [18]. The use of iron tailing powder (ITP) could be considered an ideal alternative cementitious material in concrete-related applications [19], because of its abundant pozzolanic reactivity [21, 22] and the filling effect concrete [19]. Therefore, the application of ITP in concrete is regarded as a promising way to improve its performance. Besides, silica fume, as a typical pozzolanic material, is one of the mandatory raw materials in UHPC production and has been proved to have the potential to improve the high-temperature resistance of concrete [7]. However, the combined effect of variable dosages of ITP with silica fume on mechanical and microstructural properties of UHPC at high temperatures is still not clear.

This investigation aims to investigate the combined effects of variable dosages of ITP with silica fume on the mechanical and microstructural properties of UHPC at high temperatures by (1) designing seven concrete formulations by introducing different contents of ITP (0–30%) and constant dosage of silica fume (20%); (2) characterizing their macro-performance by mechanical properties, residual strength, and bound water content; and (3) analyzing their microstructure properties by crystalline phases analysis, amorphous characterization, chemical structure detection, and morphology observation.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials. Ordinary Portland cement, silica fume, and ITP were used to prepare the UHPC mortar. The cement was produced by Qinling Factory (Shaanxi, China), whose physical properties were tested and presented in Table 1, meeting the requirements of Chinese National Standards GB 175-2007 [23]. The ITP was obtained from iron tailings after grinding for 45 minutes. The chemical composition analysis of ITP demonstrates that it has abundant calcium and silicon phase (Table 2). Particle size distribution (Malvern Instrument, Mastersizer 3000E, UK) of the raw materials showing that the ITP powder has the finest particle size (Figure 1(a)), indicating that the introduction of ITP particles help to form dense particle packing and has the potential to improve the strength of concrete [23]. The XRD patterns of ITP are presented in Figure 1(b). The silica fume had moisture of 0.58% and a density of 2.21 g/cm³.

Fine quartz sand had a maximum particle diameter of 0.80 mm and an apparent density of 2.542 g/cm³. Polycarboxylic superplasticizer (SP) was used to adjust the fluidity of the mixture [24]. It had a water-reducing of 35% and a solid content of 30%.

2.2. Mix Preparations. In this study, seven concrete formulations were mixed to investigate the combined effects of variable dosages of ITP with silica fume on the performance of UHPC mortars. It should be noted that the UHPC mortars were prepared to simulate the UHPC matrix, as recommended by Mo et al. [7]. Portland cement was substituted by ITP particles (wt. %) to prepare UHPC mortars, as presented in Table 3. For manufacturing UHPC mortars, all dry materials, including binders and sand, were firstly mixed at a low speed of 62 rpm for 2 min. Subsequently, the water containing dissolved SP was poured into the mixing pot and mixed at the same speed for another 3 min. Finally, another 5 min mixing was performed at a high speed of 125 rpm until a homogeneous fresh mixture was obtained.

2.3. Test Methods

2.3.1. Mechanical Properties of Concrete at Room Temperature. Mechanical properties of UHPC mortars at room temperature (RT) were tested according to GB/T 17671-1999 [25]. The UHPC samples were prepared with a dimension of 40 mm × 40 mm × 160 mm. A three-point bending test was adopted to identify their flexural strength, and the loading rate was 50 N/s. The final recorded value was obtained by the average of the three samples. After that, the compressive strength test of UHPC was conducted based on six broken specimens from the flexural strength test, and the average of the six reading was used to define the ultimate compressive strength.

2.3.2. Chemically Bound Water Content. The hardened cement paste specimens were obtained from the broken samples, as recommended by Han et al. [26]. The chemically bound water content of the specimen was determined as follows:

\[ W_{mc} = \left( \frac{(M_1 - M_2)/M_1}{1 - L_B} \right) \]

\[ L_B = F_c \times W_c + F_{ITP} \times W_{ITP} + F_{SF} \times W_{SF} \]
2.3.3. Residual Strength Testing. Residual strengths of UHPC mortars after exposure to high temperatures were determined by residual flexural strength and residual compressive strength, as recommended by Abaeian et al. [27]. For this purpose, the UHPC specimens (40 mm × 40 mm × 160 mm) were cured in water (20 ± 3°C) until 28 days age. After that, they were placed in an oven for heating at 60°C for 48 hours. Subsequently, an electrically controlled furnace (KRX, Henan, China) was employed to heat the UHPC mortars from RT to the set temperature of 200°C, 400°C, 600°C, and 800°C, respectively, with a heating rate of 3°C/minute. Finally, the specimens were kept in the target temperature for another 3 hours, and then the electrically controlled furnace was turned off and naturally cooled to the ambient temperature [28].

2.3.4. Microscopic Investigation. The specimens were soaked in ethanol and sealed continuously for seven days to stop the cement hydration. After that, these specimens were dried in a vacuum oven at 60°C for 48 h before testing.

X-ray diffraction (XRD, 6000, Daojin, Japan) was adopted to analyze the constituent of UHPC after exposure to elevated temperatures. For this purpose, the dry powders (<45 μm) were produced by grinding the selected crushed samples. XRD measurements were performed with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54 A) and carried out using constant pass energy (40 kV and 30 mA). The diffraction patterns were

---

### Table 1: Physical properties of cement.

|                | Flexural strength (MPa) | Compressive strength (MPa) | Specific surface area (m²/kg) | Density (g/cm³) |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
|                | 3 d                     | 28 d                       | 3 d                          | 28 d            |
| 4.3            | 8.2                     | 23.8                       | 46.8                         | 350             |
|                |                         |                            |                               | 2.875           |

### Table 2: Composition analysis of raw materials (%).

| Specimens        | CaO  | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | SO₃  | MgO  | Loss on ignition |
|------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------------------|
| Cement           | 57.58| 20.35| 6.12  | 4.23  | 2.19 | 2.59 | 2.59             |
| Silica fume      | 0.41 | 94.02| 0.27  | 0.11  | 0.11 | 0.34 | 2.86             |
| Iron tailing powder | 12.12| 51.85| 11.24 | 9.34  | 0.41 | 4.86 | 2.41             |

---

Figure 1: (a) The particle size distribution of raw materials and (b) the XRD patterns of iron tailing powder.

### Table 3: Mix proportions of UHPC mortar*.

| Concrete ID | Cement substituted by ITP (wt. %) | Mix proportion of concrete (kg/m³) |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|             | Cement | ITP | SF | Quartz sand | SP |
| CITP0       | 100    | 0   | 168| 1330        | 21 |
| CITP5       | 95     | 5   | 168| 1330        | 21 |
| CITP10      | 90     | 10  | 168| 1330        | 21 |
| CITP15      | 85     | 15  | 168| 1330        | 21 |
| CITP20      | 80     | 20  | 168| 1330        | 21 |
| CITP25      | 75     | 25  | 168| 1330        | 21 |
| CITP30      | 70     | 30  | 168| 1330        | 21 |

* w/b = 0.37.
obtained with a scanning rate of 10 degrees/min in a 2θ range of 10–70 degrees. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was applied to characterize the amorphous phases of UHPC. TGA experiment was conducted using a Discovery SDT 650 thermal analyzer (TA Instruments, USA). The dry powders were tested under an oxygen atmosphere, and the heating rate was 20°C/min. Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR, BRUKER TENSOR II, Germany) was adopted to measure the chemical structures of UHPC. For this purpose, the test powder samples (<45 μm) were carried out in the wavenumber range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹ [29]. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S4800, Hitachi, Japan) was adopted to explain the internal microstructure and morphology of UHPC. For this purpose, the surface of the samples was coated with Au to prevent charging effects before testing. The samples were dried under vacuum conditions, and the test voltage was 15 kV.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Compressive Strength. The compressive strength of UHPC mortars at 28 days all exceeds 120 MPa (Figure 2(a)); it can be concluded that the addition of ITP (within 30%) could obtain an excellent compressive strength. Moreover, the sample CITP15 reaches the highest strength value at 28 days (148.8 MPa). The addition of ITP accelerated the hydration of cement [22] and promoted the generation of hydration products [30]. Also, the fine ITP particles filled the voids and contributed to the strength of concrete [24, 26].

The compressive strength of CITP30 was lower than the CITP15 sample and even lower than the reference sample CITP0. Interestingly, the compressive strength of CITP30 slightly decreased by 3.2%, compared to the CITP0 at 28 days. The high substitution level of ITP adsorbed a lot of free water [18], increased the amount of unhydrated cement particles, and limited the strength formation of concrete [30]. Also, the hydration products generated by ITP were very limited due to the low reactivity as compared to the Portland cement [26].

3.2. Flexural Strength. The flexural strength of samples at 28 days all exceeds 20 MPa (Figure 2(b)). In particular, the flexural strength of the CITP10 sample slightly increased by 7.7%, compared to the sample CITP0 at 28 days. As 15% ITP was added, the flexural strength of UHPC mortars could be further increased by 26.1%. Besides, the flexural strength value of the CITP30 sample dropped to 20.3 MPa, a decrease by 1.5% compared to the reference sample CITP0.

3.3. Chemically Bound Water Content. As presented in Figure 3, the CITP15 obtains the highest chemically bound water content value (17.32%), slightly increased by 4.2% compared to the reference sample CITP0. The result was mainly because the sample CITP15 had the largest amount of C-S-H gel [19]. However, lower bound water content could be achieved in the CITP30 sample. The high replacement level of ITP (30%) decreased the number of hydration products. These results further verified that the optimal addition of ITP was 15% in concrete production.

It can be found that there was a subtle difference in the bound water content between the UHPC mortars with and without ITP. Also, for the CITP0 sample, the bound water content was slightly higher than that of the CITP25 and CITP30 samples, but slightly lower than that of samples CITP5, CITP10, and CITP15 samples. The result was consistent with the above compressive strength (Figure 2(a)). It indicated that the addition of ITP mainly exerted the nucleation effect and the filling effect.

3.4. Residual Strength. Two obvious stages of evolution of residual strength with temperature could be observed (Figure 4). The first stage corresponds to between RT and 200°C, and the second phase corresponds to the temperature between 200°C and 800°C.

3.4.1. Residual Compressive Strength. The first stage (below 200°C) shows a slight increase in residual compressive strength (Figure 4(a)). For example, the residual strength of CITP0 at 200°C increased by 9.7%, compared with the reference sample at RT. Some cement hydrates were decomposed at 200°C, which provided water to unhydrated cement particles that existed in capillary pores and contributed to the strength development [31]. As Portland cement was substituted by 10% ITP, the residual compressive strength increased to 149.5 MPa. Since the filling effect of ITP particles, as well as their chemical reactivity, was greatly stimulated by the high temperatures [1], some additional hydration products were generated and the denser microstructure was formed [32]. Residual compressive strength of CITP15 sample further increased to 156.3 MPa, which was caused by the outstanding filling effect of ITP. Similar conclusions pointed out that the additional amount of C-S-H gel with low Ca/Si was generated due to the presence of amorphous aluminosilicate in ITP [33]. Interestingly, the residual compressive strength of sample CITP30 decreased to 143.9 MPa, which suggested the importance of the replacement level of ITP in concrete preparation.

In the second stage (400–800°C), the residual compressive strength decreased with temperatures. The decreasing trend was roughly linear below 400°C. In comparison, a significant drop of residual strength was observed beyond 400°C, which was caused by the decomposition of CH and the desiccation of the pore system [13]. The CITP15 maintained 53.8% of its original strength after 3 hours of annealing at 800°C. While the CITP0 and CITP30 samples maintained 31.6% and 39.7% of their original strength, respectively. The addition of ITP significantly increased the number of micropores, microvoids, and microcracks, which helped to release the accumulated vapor pressure, thereby improving the residual strength of concrete [8]. Besides, the high strength of UHPC postponed the degradation of the microstructure caused by the free evaporation of water at high temperatures.
Residual flexural strength of concrete at 200 °C increased by approximately 15%, compared to the UHPC mortars at RT (Figure 4(b)). At 800°C, the residual flexural strength of samples significantly dropped to approximately 6.7–7.1 MPa, except for the CITP15 sample, which can still retain almost 8 MPa after 3 hours of annealing. This could also confirm that the addition of 15% ITP was most effective for improving the high-temperature resistance of concrete.

3.5. XRD. Typical reflections, such as portlandite (CH), alite (C₃S), and belite (C₂S), can be observed in Figure 5. Note that, C-S-H gel cannot be found due to its amorphous phase [26], which will be analyzed in TGA and FTIR discussions (will be illustrated in Sections 3.6 and 3.7).

The diffraction peak of CH of CITP15 is slightly stronger than the CITP0 sample. The introduction of ITP promoted the hydration of cement particles and generated more hydration crystals [34]. While the reactivity of ITP was lower as compared to the cement. Therefore, the number of hydration products was decreased when a higher portion of cement was replaced by ITP [30]. As a result, the addition of 30% ITP limited the further hydration of cement and resulted in the reduction of strength (Figure 2). The diffraction peaks C₂S and C₃S in sample CITP15 at 200°C became weaker than those of the sample CITP0 at RT. This was mainly due to some of the unhydrated cement particles that existed in the capillary pores rehydrated at 200°C [35]. In this process, the additional gel-like hydration products were formed [36]. The diffraction peak intensity of CH crystal gradually decreased at 400°C and disappeared at 800°C because the CH crystal began to decompose over a temperature range between 350 °C and 600°C [37]. Therefore, the residual strength of concrete decreased in this stage (Figure 4).

3.6. TGA. As presented in Figure 6(a), the first absorption peak (#1) that appears at approximately 90°C was mainly caused by the loss of free water and physically bound water [8]. Furthermore, a mass loss below 120°C was attributed to the decomposition of nearly amorphous C-S-H gel [13]. In the range of 120–200°C (#2), the mass loss was due to the dehydration of the C-S-H gel [8]. Note that, the second peak (#2) of CITP30 was lower than the CITP0, which suggested that the CITP30 sample had less C-S-H gel content than the sample CITP0. It was attributed to the less cement consumption and the limited pozzolanic reaction of ITP. The peaks were located at about 430°C (#3) and 690°C (#4) which corresponded to dehydration of CH and decarbonization of CaCO₃ [13], respectively. The CH content in the CITP15...
sample was slightly higher compared to CITP30; it indicated that the addition of ITP was involved in the chemical reaction. A similar conclusion has been confirmed by the earlier work [19], which indicated that if ITP does not participate in the chemical reaction, the sample incorporating ITP will show higher CH content than that of the sample without ITP. This result further demonstrated that the ITP participated in the reaction and some CH was consumed.

As evident from Figure 6(b), the first peak (#1) of sample CITP15-200°C is lower than that of the sample CITP15-RT. Note that, the water content further decreased with temperature and almost disappeared at 800°C. The content of CH (#3) showed a significant decrease at 400°C and

**Figure 4:** Residual strength of concrete: (a) residual compressive and (b) residual flexural strength.

**Figure 5:** XRD patterns of concrete: (a) the reference sample CITP0, CITP15, and CITP30 at RT and (b) the sample CITP15 after exposure to high temperatures.
disappeared at temperatures above 600°C. This was mainly because the CH crystal began to decompose over a temperature range between 350°C and 600°C [37]. These results were consistent with the above-discussed XRD results (Figure 5).

3.7. FTIR. The band around 3576.21 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the vibration of [O-H] in CH [30], as seen in Figure 7(a). The bands at 3380.04 cm$^{-1}$ and 1617.37 cm$^{-1}$ were attributed to the [O-H] stretching vibration of structural water and the vibration of [H-O-H] of interlayer water in hydration products, respectively [29]. The bands of [SiO$_4$] around 968.71 cm$^{-1}$ and 871.55 cm$^{-1}$ confirmed that some hydration products were formed in UHPC incorporating ITP [30]. It suggested that the ITP particles that participated in the chemical reaction and generated the additional hydration products.

For the CITP15 sample at RT, the band can be observed at about 3380.04 cm$^{-1}$, while it was not detected in sample CITP30 at high temperatures (Figure 7(b)). This indicated that the decomposition of AFt and some C-S-H gel in concrete after exposure to elevated temperatures. Besides, the bands of [SiO$_4$] at 968.71 cm$^{-1}$ and 871.55 cm$^{-1}$ in sample CITP15 became weaker. This also confirmed that the hydration products of concrete gradually disappeared.

3.8. Microstructure Observation. As presented in Figure 8, the CITP15 sample achieves a remarkably dense microstructure at RT. Several voids, microcracks, and unhydrated cement particles could be found in the reference sample CITP0 sample (Figure 8(a)) and CITP30 (Figure 8(c)). The fine ITP particles with high specific surface area (see Section 2.1) and adsorbed a lot of free water, which resulted in an increase in the amount of unhydrated cement in the CITP30 sample. On further magnification, some needle-like AFt could be observed in the reference sample (Figure 8(d)). The needle-like crystals overlapped each other and formed a network structure (Figure 8(e)). This could at least partially explain the CITP15 sample demonstrating the highest compressive
strength (Figure 2). Interestingly, several voids were observed in the CITP30 sample and some voids were filled with cement hydrates (Figure 8(f)). This was mainly due to the surface of the ITP particle was not smooth and linked with the cement hydrates.

Numerous micropores and microcracks were found in UHPC specimens at high temperatures (Figure 9). Besides, the hydration products gradually disappeared, unlike the CITP15 sample under RT that had several needle-like AFt (Figure 9(a)). The microstructure of the CITP15 sample at 200°C (Figure 9(b)) showed a denser structure compared with the sample at RT (Figure 9(a)). This was mainly because the hydration products were generated at 200°C and filled the pores [8, 14]. Up to 800°C, the CITP15 sample presented a relatively complete structure and had a small number of microcracks (Figure 9(e)), which helped to release the thermal stress and improved the high-temperature resistance of

![Figure 7: FTIR spectrum of concrete: (a) the reference sample CITP0, CITP15, and CITP30 at RT; (b) the sample CITP15 after exposure to high temperatures.](image1)

![Figure 8: Microstructure of concrete: (a) sample CIP0, (b) CIP15, and (c) CIP30 with magnification of ×1,000; (d) sample CIP0, (e) CIP15, and (f) CIP30 with magnification of ×5,000.](image2)
Some micropores/cracks could be observed in the CITP30 sample at 800°C (Figure 9(f)), which improved the high-temperature resistance of concrete [8]. Therefore, the concrete containing 30% ITP maintained 39.7% of its original strength at 800°C, higher than that of the concrete without ITP (Figure 4).

4. Conclusions

Mechanical behavior and microstructural properties of UHPC containing ITP at high temperatures were investigated in this study. Residual strength and bound water content of UHPC mortars were discussed. Also, their microscopic investigation was studied by XRD, TGA, FTIR, and SEM techniques. The main findings can be concluded as follows:

1. The addition of ITP particles has accelerated the hydration of cement and the filling effect of ITP refined the pore structure, which contributed to the strength development of UHPC mortars. As a result, the concrete with the addition of 15% ITP achieved the highest compressive strength of 148.8 MPa at 28 days.

2. The addition of ITP has postponed the spalling of UHPC mortars at high temperatures, because the number of micropores, microvoids, and microcracks has increased, which helped to release the accumulated vapor pressure, thereby improving the residual strength of concrete.

3. Some water released by the hydration products can be used to rehydrate the unhydrated cement particles, thereby slightly enhanced the strength of the concrete.
UHPC mortars at 200°C. Amorphous phases and microstructure results demonstrated that the ITP particles have participated in the chemical reaction and some CH has consumed.

(4) The outstanding mechanical strength of UHPC mortars due to the addition of ITP particles has alleviated the microstructure degradation caused by free water evaporation, thereby improving the high-temperature resistance of concrete.
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