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We study the performance of an electromechanical harmonic oscillator chain as an energy harvester to extract power from finite-bandwidth ambient random vibrations, which are modelled by colored noise. The proposed device is numerically simulated and its performance assessed by means of the net electrical power generated and its efficiency in converting the external noise-supplied power into electrical power. Our main result is a much enhanced performance, both in the net electrical power delivered and in efficiency, of the harmonic chain with respect to the popular single oscillator resonator. Our numerical findings are explained by means of an analytical approximation, in excellent agreement with numerics.

A huge development in the miniaturization capability of electronic devices has been observed in the last few years. However, the energy density available in batteries aimed at providing the powering for such devices has not reached the same rate of improvement when operated in stand-alone configurations. Among various possibilities to solve this, as well as other energy-management related issues, it has been proposed the harvesting of ambient micro-kinetic energy from the environment, mostly available in the form of random vibrations. In fact, a significant amount of kinetic energy is actually present as mechanical displacements characterized by periodic and stochastic components. Additionally, shrinking the dimension of mechanical elements down to the nanoscale results in an increment of the harvesting efficiency in terms of power density and in a significant reduction in mass fabrication costs. Kinetic energy harvesting requires a mechanical system that couples environmental displacements to a transduction mechanism for vibrational to electrical energy conversion. To date, various energy harvesters have been developed that rely on capacitive, inductive, and piezoelectric transduction mechanisms.

Regardless of the employed transduction mechanism, most of the vibrational energy harvesters—also known as vibration power generators—consider a linear spring or single harmonic oscillator as the mechanical element of the device and treat the external vibrations as sinusoidal vibrations. Thus the maximum power is generated when the resonant frequency of the generator matches the ambient vibration frequency, known as resonant energy harvesting. Nearly all current vibration transducers operate in this regime. However, this approach presents numerous drawbacks, being one of the most important ones that the linear harvester resonant peak is necessarily very narrow, which limits their application in real-world environments with stochastic fluctuations and a continuous spectrum of vibration frequencies.

To overcome these difficulties, a different approach based on the exploitation of the properties of non-resonant oscillators, i.e. characterized by a non-linear dynamical response, has been proposed. The main rationale behind this approach is to try to take advantage of the broad bandwidth frequency response associated with nonlinear systems as opposed to the resonant, narrow bandwidth, single-frequency response that characterizes purely harmonic oscillators. If the broadband ambient vibrations are modelled by Gaussian white noise, many important results have been obtained. For example, it has been shown that, if we consider bistable oscillators under proper operating conditions, they can provide better performances compared to those of a linear oscillator in terms of energy extracted from a generic wide spectrum vibration. It has also been established, using the Fokker-Planck equation to describe Duffing-type energy harvesters, that the mean power output of the device is not affected by the nonlinearity of the spring. Also, the upper bound on the power output of generic non-linear energy harvesters driven by Gaussian white noise has been obtained and it has been shown that, subject
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to mild restrictions on the device parameters, it is always possible to find an optimal linear device that attains the upper-bound performance of a nonlinear harvester.20

However, the concept of white noise is an idealisation that may not be valid in many practical situations. Random fluctuations acting on physical, chemical or biological systems actually have a finite correlation time. For example, in the classical Brownian process there is a timescale given by the typical collision time of the fluid molecules with the Brownian particle below which fluctuations cannot be considered uncorrelated. The existence of finite correlation times is even more important in complex fluids, where hydrodynamic fluctuations can be correlated over long time intervals. This is specially relevant for practical harvesting. Since an efficient harvester would require to have a response that peaks within the lower end of the frequency bandwidth, where most of the noise energy is concentrated—and considering that there are physical limits to the mass or string constants that can be used to tune the resonant frequency of such harmonic oscillator—it is unclear that the optimal harmonic harvester (see Ref.20) may be actually realizable in systems where environmental fluctuations are characterized by colored noise.

After some early experimental and simulation studies21,22, the power output of both a monostable18 and a bistable Duffing oscillator with a symmetric potential23 driven by Ornstein–Uhlenbeck noise was determined by approximate methods, and the exact analytical expressions for the net electrical power and conversion efficiency of power supplied by exponentially correlated noise into electrical power was derived for a linear electromechanical oscillator employed as an energy harvester24,25.

Notwithstanding the recent advances in nonlinear vibration energy harvesters, some important issues have remained unaddressed so far. The mechanical part of these systems is usually modelled with a harmonic potential plus a nonlinear one that can be considered as an effective potential that accounts for the degrees of freedom not explicitly considered in the linear description. This issue becomes relevant at nanometric scales wherein the detailed structure of the mechanical resonator has to be taken explicitly into account. This is not only to construct the model, but also to assess the influence of these non-accounted for degrees of freedom in the dynamics. Some examples in this direction consist in the studies of nanowire resonators26 and nanoribbons designed for vibrational energy harvesting processes27.

In this paper we propose a new energy harvester system that is able to effectively extract energy from the low end part of the environmental (colored) noise spectrum, where most energy is available, while being linear, simple, and amenable to analytical treatment. Our model consists of a N harmonic oscillator chain with one end in contact with the ambient reservoir, while the other end is attached to a transduction circuit. We show that this configuration is able to overcome the single harmonic oscillator efficiency, specially in the case of ambient noise with a finite correlation time. We find that the harmonic chain leads to a broad spectral response of the first oscillator—the one in contact with the ambient—that overlaps with that of the external noise. This leads to an optimal energy extraction from the latter, in sharp contrast with the narrow spectral response of single, linear-oscillator-based harvesters.

Furthermore, the harmonic lattice lends itself to analytical treatment. We have derived an analytical approximation that sheds light on the results of spectral analysis obtained by numerical simulations. Our analytical results for the harmonic chain help explaining why our proposed model outperforms the single oscillator case for the considered parameters, both in delivered power as well as in efficiency.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in “Model and methodology” section we present the model as well as our methodology. Numerical as well as analytical results are reported in “Results” section. Finally, in “Discussion and conclusions” section we discuss the results so far obtained and propose ways to continue this line of research.

Model and methodology

Single oscillator model. An energy harvester is a device that converts the power supplied by external noise into electrical energy. This process begins with the damped oscillator being driven by the external noise. Kinetic energy is then converted via a piezoelectric transducer mechanism into electrical energy that is then stored in a capacitor. We will begin reviewing the original implementation24, that from now on will be termed single-oscillator case. The mechanical part of the device is described by the dynamical equation for the momentum of the stochastically driven damped oscillator of mass $m$, which reads as

$$\dot{p} + \gamma \dot{q} + F_{\text{tran}}(q, V) + k q = \xi(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $q$ is the displacement from the equilibrium position and $p$ is the momentum, with the dot standing for temporal derivative. In this equation $k$ is the harmonic constant, $\gamma$ is the linear damping coefficient, $\xi(t)$ is the random driving force, and $F_{\text{tran}}(q, V)$ is the transducer force due to the motion-to-electricity conversion mechanism, which depends on the geometry of the transducer and on how the circuit that implements the energy conversion cycle operates. It opposes to the motion, just as the friction force, and has its origin in the energy loss that occurs when kinetic energy is converted into electric energy. The simplest expression for this function is $F_{\text{tran}}(q, V) = k_c V$, where $k_c > 0$ is a piezoelectric parameter and $V(t)$ is the electric voltage of the circuit. The dynamical equation for the voltage has the form $\dot{V} = F(p, V) - V/\tau_p$, where $\tau_p = R C$ is the time associated to the charging process of the piezoelectric element, which is larger than any other characteristic time of the system, $C$ is the capacitance of the piezoelectric component, $R$ is the load resistance, and $F(p, V)$ is the connecting function with the oscillator. In the following the latter will be taken as $F(p, V) = k_c p$, where $k_c$ is the coupling constant of the piezoelectric sample.

In this work we are considering a Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (OU) random force, with mean $\langle \xi \rangle = 0$ and correlation $\langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t-t'|/\tau_c)$, where $\sigma$ is the amplitude and $\tau_c$ is the correlation time of the ambient noise. Physically, $\tau_c$ corresponds to the typical time scale above which the noise becomes uncorrelated. The
limit $\tau_c \to 0$ and $\sigma^2 \to \infty$, with $D = \sigma^2 \tau_c$ constant, corresponds to the white noise limit\(^{28}\). In order to obtain a closed system of equations, it is a standard procedure to employ the equation

$$\dot{\xi} = -\frac{\xi}{\tau_c} + \zeta(t)/\tau_c,$$

where $\zeta(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and correlation $\langle \zeta(t)\zeta(t') \rangle = 2\sigma^2 \tau_c \delta(t-t')$. Therefore the complete set of equations reads as

$$\dot{q} = \frac{p}{m}, \quad (2a)$$

$$\dot{p} = -kq + \xi - \frac{\gamma}{m} p - k_v V, \quad (2b)$$

$$\dot{V} = \frac{k_c}{m} p - \frac{1}{\tau_p} V, \quad (2c)$$

$$\dot{\xi} = -\frac{\xi}{\tau_c} + \frac{\zeta}{\tau_c}. \quad (2d)$$

Since the total mechanical energy of the oscillator is $E = \frac{p^2}{2m} + U(q)$, where $U(q) = \frac{kq^2}{2}$ is the harmonic potential, the corresponding instantaneous power is given by its temporal derivative, i.e. $\dot{E} = \dot{q} [\dot{p} + U'(q)]$. If in this last expression we substitute Eq. (2b) and take the statistical average we obtain

$$\langle \dot{E} \rangle = \langle \dot{q} \xi \rangle - \gamma \langle \dot{q}^2 \rangle - k_v \langle \dot{q} V \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ implies both a time-average during the observation interval and an ensemble average over noise realizations. $\langle \dot{q} \xi \rangle$ is the power delivered by the noise, $\gamma \langle \dot{q}^2 \rangle$ is the power dissipated by friction, and $k_v \langle \dot{q} V \rangle$ is the power transferred from the oscillator to the transducer. Next, if in $dV^2 / dt = 2V \dot{V}$ we substitute Eq. (2c), take the statistical average, and consider that the system is in the stationary regime—thus $d\langle V^2 \rangle / dt = 0$—, we obtain the relation $\langle V^2 \rangle = k_c \tau_p \langle \dot{q} V \rangle$. The transducer’s efficiency in converting mechanical to electrical power is given by

$$\eta_{me} = \frac{\langle V^2 \rangle / \langle q \xi \rangle}{\langle \dot{q} \xi \rangle} = \frac{k_c \tau_p \langle \dot{q} V \rangle / \langle q \xi \rangle}{\langle \dot{q} V \rangle} \leq 1. \quad (4)$$

Since the transduction mechanism is not the object of the present study we can consider $\eta_{me} = 1$, without loss of generality; thus this value will be henceforth employed. The conversion efficiency of the power delivered by the noise to power transferred from the oscillator to the transducer is $\eta_{nm} = k_v \langle \dot{q} V \rangle / \langle \dot{q} \xi \rangle$; thus the overall conversion efficiency of power delivered by the noise to net electrical power can be written as

$$\eta = \eta_{me} \eta_{nm} = \frac{\langle V^2 \rangle / \langle q \xi \rangle}{\langle \dot{q} \xi \rangle}. \quad (5)$$

**Proposed model: harmonic oscillator chain.** As a mechanical resonator we propose a new setup consisting in a one-dimensional chain of $N$ nearest-neighbor identical harmonic oscillators of mass $m$, as sketched in Fig. 1. Within this scheme the first oscillator in the lattice $q_1$ is directly in contact with the stochastic signal $\xi(t)$ and the last one, $q_N$, is connected to the transducer. Thus the equations of motion can be written as

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{p_i}{m}, \quad (6a)$$

$$\dot{p}_i = F_i + \delta_{i1} \left( \xi - \frac{\gamma}{m} p_i \right) - \delta_{iN} k_v V, \quad (6b)$$

$$\dot{V} = \frac{k_c}{m} p_N - \frac{1}{\tau_p} V. \quad (6c)$$
\[
\dot{\xi} = -\frac{\xi}{\tau_c} + \frac{\zeta}{\tau_c} \tag{6d}
\]

where \( F_i = - F(q_{i+1} - q_i) + F(q_i - q_{i-1}) \) is the force exerted on the \( i \)th oscillator within the bulk, i.e. \( i \in \{2, N-1\} \), due to the nearest-neighbor interaction, whereas for the boundary oscillators \( F_1 = F(q_1 - q_2) \) and \( F_N = F(q_N - q_{N-1}) \). In all previous instances \( F(x) = -kx \) stands for the harmonic force.

The mechanical energy is defined through the expectation value of the lattice Hamiltonian, that is,

\[
\langle E \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m}{2} \dot{q}_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{k}{2} (q_{i+1} - q_i)^2 \right\rangle. \tag{7}
\]

It can be immediately shown, employing Eq. (6b), that

\[
\langle \dot{E} \rangle = \langle \dot{q}_i \xi \rangle - \gamma \langle \dot{q}_i^2 \rangle - k_v \langle q_i V \rangle, \tag{8}
\]

where \( \langle \dot{q}_i \xi \rangle \) is the power delivered by the noise, \( \gamma \langle \dot{q}_i^2 \rangle \) is the power dissipated by friction with the first oscillator in the lattice, and \( k_v \langle q_i V \rangle \) is the power transferred from the \( i \)th oscillator to the transducer. Since we have been able to maintain the analogy with the original single-oscillator case, we can infer that the total efficiency of the conversion process from power delivered by the external noise to final net electrical power can be defined as the quotient of both powers, and thus

\[
\eta = \frac{\langle V^2 \rangle / R_L}{\langle \dot{q}_i \xi \rangle} \tag{9},
\]

which is an expression completely analogous to the one previously employed in the literature\textsuperscript{24}.

**Results**

**Numerical simulations.** For the proposed energy harvester there are four independent parameters, these being \( m \), the lattice constant \( a \), the single-oscillator frequency \( \omega_0 \), and \( k_c \). The dimensions of all the physical quantities and parameters involved can be expressed as a proper combination of these four independent parameters. As a result, one can introduce a set of dimensionless variables by measuring displacements in units of \( a \), mass in units of a reference mass \( m_r \), time in units of \( \omega_0^{-1} \)—with \( \omega_0 = \sqrt{k/m_r} \)—, momenta in units of \( a \omega_0 m_r \), force in units of \( a \omega_0 m_r \), and voltage in units of \( k_c a \). In particular, Eqs. (2a–2d) and (6a–6d) for the single oscillator and harmonic chain energy harvesters respectively can be transformed into a dimensionless form if the linear damping coefficient \( \gamma \) is measured in units of \( m_r \omega_0 \), the pizoelectric parameter \( k_v \), in units of \( m_r \omega_0 ^2 k_c \), resistance in units of \( k_c \) and capacitance in units of \( m_r \omega_0 ^2 k_c ^2 \). The resulting equations are the same as Eqs. (2a–2d) and (6a–6d), wherein we have now \( k_c = 1 \). The remaining dimensionless parameters can, in principle, be chosen arbitrarily with the only restriction that the charging time of the pizoelectric element \( \tau_c \) should be larger than the inverse of the highest normal mode frequency; thus \( \tau_c^{-1} \). Therefore, for simplicity, we can set all parameters equal to unity—except \( R_L = 2 \) since \( \tau_p = R_L C \) has to hold if \( C = 1 \) is chosen—and focus on the effects of ambient noise correlation time \( \tau_c \) on the system. Furthermore, as we shall see in “Analytical results” section, our analytical calculations allow us to identify the relevant couplings that will determine the dominant behavior at small frequencies (large times), as given by Eqs. (18), (20), (21), and (22). These analytical expressions immediately show the effect of changing ambient noise amplitude \( \sigma^2 \) and the pizoelectric coupling constant \( k_c \). As one can see, these parameters do not change the qualitative system behavior at leading order, as all relevant quantities are simply proportional to these parameters. According to these calculations, other free parameters will only affect higher-order terms in the Taylor expansion. That \( \tau_c \) enters all expressions through the noise correlator \( \langle |\xi(\omega)|^2 \rangle = 2 \sigma^2 / [1 + (\omega \tau_c)^2] \), which is of utmost importance since it controls the overall form of the curves, see Eqs. (18), (20), (21), and (22). In particular, the effect of ambient noise intensity \( \sigma^2 \) is to increase the net electrical power generated by the circuit, as this is proportional to \( \langle |\xi(\omega)|^2 \rangle \) at leading order in \( \omega \to 0 \), as shown in Eq. (22). Hence the choice of \( \sigma^2 = 1 \) in all our simulations. In doing so, we will be able to actually compare our proposed chain of harmonic oscillators energy harvester with the single oscillator example under the same conditions of ambient noise intensity and varying correlation time.

The simulations are performed by solving numerically the Langevin Eqs. (2a–2d) and (6a–6d) by using the so-called Heun algorithm; trajectories are computed over an interval of 4096 time units after a transient of 10\(^3\) starting from a set of initial conditions given by \( q(0) = p(0) = V(0) = 0 \). An ensemble average over 10\(^3\) independent realizations has been performed for the chosen parameter set.

In Fig. 2a we present the behavior of the power delivered by the external noise as a function of the correlation time. For the single oscillator case it is clear that external energy can be significantly harvested only around a definite value of \( \tau_c \approx 1 \), and in a rapidly decreasing rate in both small and large correlation time limits. But in contrast, for the oscillator chain the energy harvested only drops in the white-noise limit, \( i.e. \) for very short correlation times. In the opposite limit the delivered power is markedly higher than that from the single-oscillator harvester for all system sizes and \( \tau_c \) values considered. On the other hand, the net electrical power \( \langle V^2 \rangle / R_L \), depicted in Fig. 2b presents, for the single oscillator instance, a very similar behavior as its corresponding delivered power: it has a maximum at a \( \tau_c \approx 1 \) value and is sub-optimal in the entire \( \tau_c \) value range. But for \( N > 1 \) sizes the net electrical power only decreases in the white-noise limit. By contrast, it seems to become independent of both \( \tau_c \) and \( N \) in the colored noise limit, \( i.e. \) large \( \tau_c \) values. And again, for all correlation time values considered the net electrical power is much higher than that delivered by the single oscillator case. Therefore the oscillator...
chain, even for a small value of $N = 2$, outperforms the single oscillator energy harvester both in delivering power to the system as well as in rendering net electrical power.

The efficiency dependence on $\tau_c$ is displayed in Fig. 3. For all the considered instances the highest efficiency figure is achieved in the large $\tau_c$ limit. However, the systems with $N > 1$ outperform the single-oscillator one in the whole studied value range, being particularly efficient in the range $\tau_c > 10^2$, wherein a decreasing $N$ dependence can be noticed. Furthermore, even if the single-oscillator $N = 1$ harvester has also an almost $\tau_c$-independent efficiency in that same value range, the corresponding net electrical power, see Fig. 2b, is insignificant, something that does not happen when larger system sizes are considered.

Figure 2. (a) Simulation results of the energy harvester harmonic chain. We plot the power delivered by the noise to the first element of the chain, $\langle \dot{q}_1 \xi \rangle$, for a wide range of values of the ambient noise correlation time $\tau_c$: the single oscillator with $N = 1$ (blue dashed line), and chains of length $N = 2$ (red), $N = 4$ (green), $N = 6$ (orange), and $N = 8$ (violet). (b) Total electric power harvested from the ambient fluctuations $\langle V^2 \rangle / R_L$ vs noise correlation time $\tau_c$. In both panels the parameters are $\sigma^2 = \gamma = k = k_c = k_v = C = 1$, $V_0 = 0$, $m = 1$, and $\tau_p = R_L = 2$ in all considered instances.

Figure 3. Simulation results of the energy harvester harmonic chain. We plot the efficiency $\eta$, given by Eq. (9), versus ambient noise correlation time $\tau_c$. Efficiency of the energy harvester measures the ratio between the power delivered by the noise and the net electric power generated by the device. The system sizes, parameter values, and color coding as in Fig. 2.
In order to elucidate the origin of the poor performance of the single-oscillator energy harvester we carry out a spectral analysis of all the dynamical variables involved. Thus in Fig. 4a we present the corresponding power spectra. Taking into account the average power balance in Eq. (8) it is clear that the relevant correlations correspond to those of $\dot{q}$ with the external noise $\xi$ and the voltage $V$, since they are related to the power delivered to the noise into the transducer and to the net electrical power, respectively. Now, in the low-frequency limit the velocity has a power-law dependence $\sim \omega^2$ that rapidly decouples its behavior to that of $\xi$, thus decreasing the amount of delivered power and reducing the performance of the device. The velocity is coupled to the voltage, but because the former is decoupled from the noise at low frequencies, the latter shares the aforementioned decay; thus a low net electrical power is obtained in this case. However, for $N = 2$ both $\dot{q}$ and $V$ are now coupled to the behavior of the external noise at low frequencies, which assures a significant correlation in that frequency regime. This spectral behavior explains the non-decaying correlations depicted in Fig. 2 and the sizable efficiency in Fig. 3 for $\tau_c > 10^2$ values. An additional advantage is that this result is robust for larger system sizes, since the spectra in Fig. 4c for $N = 4$ remain mostly unchanged.

**Analytical results.** Next, to gain a deeper understanding of the low-frequency behavior depicted in Fig. 4 we perform an analytical study of the harvester in the stationary regime. By taking a sufficiently long transient time we can neglect the contribution from the lattice initial state given that normal modes decay after some time. This allows us to solve Eqs. (2a–2d) via Fourier transform. Let us denote $\hat{X}(\omega) \equiv \int dt X(t) \exp(-i\omega t)$; then Eqs. (2b–2c) can be written as

$$-m\omega^2\hat{q}(\omega) + i\gamma\omega\hat{q}(\omega) + k_\nu\hat{V}(\omega) + k\hat{q}(\omega) = \hat{\xi}(\omega)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. (10a)}

$$i\omega\hat{V}(\omega) = ik_\nu\omega\hat{q}(\omega) - \frac{\hat{V}(\omega)}{\tau_p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. (10b)}

After substituting Eq. (10b) in (10a) we can calculate the power spectrum of $\hat{q}(\omega)$ as
\[ |\hat{q}(\omega)|^2 = \left( \frac{1}{A_0(\omega)^2 + B_0(\omega)^2} \right) \hat{\xi}(\omega)|^2, \quad (11) \]

where
\[ A_0(\omega) = (k - m\omega^2) + \frac{k_v k_c (\tau_p \omega)^2}{1 + (\tau_p \omega)^2} \quad (12) \]
and
\[ B_0(\omega) = \gamma \omega + \frac{k_v k_c \tau_p \omega}{1 + (\tau_p \omega)^2}. \quad (13) \]

From Eq. (11) it is clear that the behavior of the displacement and the external noise are closely correlated for any frequency value, as can indeed be corroborated from the data reported in Fig. 4a. In particular, in the low-frequency regime
\[ \frac{1}{A_0(\omega)^2 + B_0(\omega)^2} \sim \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 - C \left[ \frac{\tau_p \omega}{k} \right]^2 \right), \quad (14) \]
with the constant \( C = 2k(k_v k_c - m/\tau_p^2) + (\gamma / \tau_p + k_v k_c)^2 \). Then, from this last expression it is clear that, in the \( \omega \to 0 \) limit, \( |\hat{q}(\omega)|^2 \sim k^{-2}|\hat{\xi}(\omega)|^2 \). This is precisely the coupling of the displacement and external noise that can be appreciated in Fig. 4a. As for the momentum we have \( \hat{p}(\omega)|^2 \propto |\hat{q}(\omega)|^2 \sim (\omega/k)^2 |\hat{\xi}(\omega)|^2 \), which is again the behavior displayed in the aforementioned figure. From the close coupling between the displacement and voltage inferred from Eq. (10b) it is immediate to deduce that the output voltage will experience a drastic drop in the low-frequency region, which prevents the harvester to adequately perform in the long correlation time limit \( \tau_c \gg 1 \).

For the analytical treatment of the chain we employ the methodology recently developed in Ref. 29 based on the finite version of the so-called Z-transform, which allows to obtain closed expressions for \( \hat{q}_1(\omega) \) and \( \hat{q}_N(\omega) \) in terms of \( \hat{\xi}(\omega) \) that read as
\[ \hat{q}_1(\omega) = \frac{B(\omega)\hat{q}_N(\omega) - \hat{\xi}(\omega)}{\omega D(\omega)}, \quad (15a) \]
\[ \hat{q}_N(\omega) = E(\omega)\hat{q}_1(\omega) - \left( \frac{N - 1}{k} \right) \hat{\xi}(\omega), \quad (15b) \]
where
\[ B(\omega) = \frac{k_v k_c \omega \tau_p}{\tau_p \omega - 1}, \quad (16a) \]
\[ D(\omega) = m\omega N + i\gamma, \quad (16b) \]
\[ E(\omega) = 1 - i\gamma \frac{\omega}{k} (N - 1). \quad (16c) \]

These are the corresponding expressions of Ref. 29 in the low-frequency limit and particularized to our system.

Therefore, after substituting Eq. (15b) into Eq. (15a), now we can express \( \hat{q}_1(\omega) \) in terms of the power spectrum of the external noise as
\[ |\hat{q}_1(\omega)|^2 = \left| \frac{1 + B(\omega)(N - 1)/k}{\omega D(\omega) - B(\omega)E(\omega)} \right|^2 |\hat{\xi}(\omega)|^2. \quad (17) \]
After some algebra, it can be shown that the order of magnitude of the prefactor in the last equation has the form
\[ |\hat{q}_1(\omega)|^2 \sim \left( \frac{1 + O(\omega^2)}{O(\omega^2)} \right) |\hat{\xi}(\omega)|^2. \quad (18) \]

On the other hand, for the last oscillator, from Eq. (15b) we obtain
\[ |\hat{q}_N(\omega)|^2 = |E|^2 |\hat{q}_1|^2 + G^2 |\hat{\xi}|^2 - 2G \Re[\hat{E}\hat{q}_1\hat{\xi}^*]. \quad (19) \]
And again, after some lengthy algebra we obtain the expansion for \( \omega \to 0 \)
\[ |\hat{q}_N(\omega)|^2 \sim \left( \frac{1 + O(\omega^2)}{O(\omega^2)} + \text{const.} \right) |\hat{\xi}(\omega)|^2, \quad (20) \]
and the corresponding momenta of both boundary oscillators, at lowest order in \( \omega \), depend on the frequency as
which renders $|\hat{p}_{LX}(\omega)|^2 \propto |\xi(\omega)|^2$ as $\omega \to 0$, a behavior clearly corroborated by the simulation results in Fig. 4b,c.

Finally, since from Eq. (10b) we have that $\tilde{V}(\omega) = [k_c \omega r_p/(\omega r_p - i)] \hat{q}_0(\omega)$, employing Eq. (15b) we obtain the approximation

$$|\tilde{V}(\omega)|^2 \sim (k_c \tau_p) \bigg( 1 + O(\omega^2) \bigg) |\xi(\omega)|^2,$$

for $\omega \to 0$, which is in excellent agreement with the simulation results in Fig. 4b,c.

In summary, our analytical results show that, in the low-frequency limit, the single harmonic oscillator harvester is able to extract energy from the noise generating an electric potential with a power spectrum that decays as $|\tilde{V}(\omega)|^2 \sim \omega^2 |\xi(\omega)|^2$, leading to less energy being harvested at lower frequencies. In contrast, for the $N$-oscillator chain, Eq. (22), one has a flat spectrum $|\tilde{V}(\omega)|^2 \sim |\xi(\omega)|^2$. Since the total power transferred from the oscillators to the transducer is given by $\langle V^2 \rangle = \int d\omega |\tilde{V}(\omega)|^2$, these results explain the much better performance of the harvester based on a chain as compared with the single oscillator, as shown by the numerical results in Figs. 2b and 3.

Discussion and conclusions

Our results for the linear oscillator lattice electromechanical energy harvester interacting with an external finite-bandwidth ambient noise clearly show that its performance is enhanced, both in the net electrical power delivered and in its efficiency, compared with the single oscillator instance for any finite value of the noise correlation time $\tau_c$. For sufficiently large values of $\tau_c$, both net electrical power and efficiency become constant and take large values, in sharp contrast to the single oscillator energy harvester, where the combined goals of both maximum power and efficiency cannot be attained simultaneously. By means of spectral analysis we have elucidated the origin of the poor performance of the single oscillator energy harvester: a power-law frequency dependency $\sim \omega^2$ of the velocity power spectrum that renders its contribution negligible in the low-frequency limit. This, in turn, reduces significantly the power that can be harvested from the external noise. On the contrary, for the chain system resonance with the extra frequencies afforded by the additional degrees of freedom contributes to a non-decaying velocity/momentum power-spectrum in the low-frequency region, wherein most of the noise energy resides, thus rendering a consistent performance of the device for finite correlation time values. These numerical findings have been corroborated by an analytical approximation, with excellent agreement between both.

While most studies of energy harvesters typically consider uncorrelated environmental noise, the reality is that this limit is an idealisation to describe noises correlated over very short times. However, in many potential applications, like electromagnetic plasmas, non-Newtonian fluids or nanofluidics, the noise fluctuations may exhibit long correlation times. Therefore, energy harvesters that can take advantage of the low end frequency band without the need of fine tuning the device response frequency to the right bandwidth, are most welcome. In this respect, the chain of harmonic oscillators, with its flat response spectrum, can be a very effective, yet simple, way to harvest considerable amounts of energy.

As for possible experimental implementations we recall that the phonon mean-free path in graphene ($\sim 775$ nm near room temperature) is much longer than the sizes of various graphene nanostructures recently considered. Therefore, the intrinsic nonlinearity is insignificant and thus can be regarded as harmonic systems. Furthermore, since graphene has a very high thermal conductivity, its energy transport properties are quasi-ballistic, another property of harmonic systems. Besides graphene other materials with high thermal conductivity such as carbon nanotubes or carbyne could be considered. At these nanoscopic scales it is known, from studies of mechanical resonators based on carbon nanotubes and graphene sheets, that damping strongly depends on the amplitude of motion and is better described by a nonlinear rather than the linear damping force used in the present study. Such nonlinearity leads to a broadening of the resonance frequency that most certainly will have a significant influence on the performance of the herein proposed energy harvester.

Besides the potential benefit of using nonlinear or anharmonic resonators as chain elements, another interesting line of research would be to study the feasibility of energy harvesting from other ambient sources, such as the harmonic noise with which substantial power amplification has been observed across a wide value range of the excitation frequency. Another possibility could be to try harvesting energy from nonequilibrium fluctuations such as the non-negative biased noise in the form of generalized white Poissonian noise or dichotomous fluctuations with which the transport efficiency of an inertial Brownian particle is significantly enhanced. It is an enticing possibility that such fluctuations, in the context of the present investigation, could result in a substantial increment in either delivered power or efficiency.
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