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Abstract

The cytokines interleukin (IL)-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-7, and IL-15 have all previously been shown to inhibit resting T cell death in vitro. We have found a difference in the response of T cells to IL-6, depending on the activation status of the cells. IL-6 inhibited the death of naïve T cells, but had no effect on the death of either superantigen-activated T cells, or T cells bearing memory markers. This was true even when the resting and activated T cells were isolated from the same animal; thus, the determining factor for IL-6 insensitivity was the activation status or activation history of the cell, and not the milieu in the animal from which the cells were isolated. Activated T cells expressed lower levels of IL-6 receptors on their surfaces, yet there were sufficient levels of receptors for signaling, as we observed similar levels of signal transducer and activator of transcription (Stat)3 phosphorylation in resting and activated T cells treated with IL-6. However, there was profound inhibition of IL-6–induced Stat1 phosphorylation in activated T cells compared with resting T cells. These data suggest that there is activation-induced inhibition of IL-6 receptor signaling in T cells. This inhibition appears to be specific for some but not all of the IL-6-mediated signaling cascades in these cells.
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Introduction

Mouse T cells survive in vitro for only a few days, whereas the lifespan of resting mouse T cells in vivo is in the order of weeks to months (1–5). Therefore, T cells appear to have a default death mechanism, and sustained signaling from survival factors in vivo may promote the prolonged life span observed in animals. The death rate of activated T cells is very different from that of resting T cells (6–10). After activation, T cells die rapidly in vivo or in vitro by a process referred to as activation-induced cell death. Many researchers have focused on the mechanisms of the enhanced death rates of activated T cells, and several processes that lead to their death have now been described (11–15).

In our own experiments on this subject, we have used a system in which the death in culture of either resting or in vivo–activated T cells is used as a tool to screen cytokines and growth factors for their ability to provide survival signals to T cells (16–19). We used bacterial superantigens to activate easily trackable populations of T cells bearing particular TCR Vb\$ in normal mice or to activate the entire T cell population in TCR transgenic mice. We then followed the survival of these T cells in tissue culture in the presence or absence of various soluble or plate-bound factors. Although both resting and superantigen-activated T cells died in vitro, there was a substantial increase in the rate of death of activated T cells in vitro compared with the rate of death of resting T cells.

Experiments on the processes that led to the accelerated death of these activated T cells showed that, unexpectedly, the cells were not dying in vivo or in vitro because of engagement of Fas or TNF receptors and caspase activation. Instead, the cells were dying because they contained increased levels of reactive oxygen species which, directly or indirectly, led to...
the destruction of the cells (20). In unpublished work, similar results have been obtained for T cells stimulated with conventional antigens, so this caspase-independent death is not restricted to T cells activated with superantigens.

The search for the factors that regulate the survival of resting and activated T cells has implicated several mechanisms. Engagement of their TCRs by MHC has been reported to be required for the prolonged survival of resting T cells in vivo (21, 22). Engagements of CD28, other cell surface molecules, or the cytokines that bind the common γ chain cytokine receptor family appear to be capable of blocking activation-induced T cell death in several systems (23–26).

Using superantigen-activated T cells, we identified several cytokines that inhibited the death of T cells. These included members of the IL-2 family of cytokines, IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, and IL-15 (17, 19). IL-4 and IL-7 were more efficient inhibitors of resting T cell death than IL-2 and IL-15, probably because resting T cells do not bear high affinity receptors for these latter cytokines. Of these cytokines, IL-2 is known to make T cells in vivo more susceptible to activation-induced cell death (27). The fact that this cytokine prevents the death of activated T cells in vitro suggests that activated T cells may be dying for different reasons in animals than in tissue culture dishes. However, we do not believe this is so because we do not think that IL-2 is the effector of either death or survival of the activated T cells in animals. IL-2 is not being made in large quantities at the time the activated cells are about to die, and the activated T cells do not contain the high levels of Bcl2 characteristic of IL-2 family expression (28).

We previously showed that the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 prevented the death of resting T cells (16); however, we did not then study the effect of IL-6 on activated or memory T cells. Since we previously showed that an LPS-induced proinflammatory environment could provide rescue of activated T cells from in vivo deletion, it was of interest to us to determine if IL-6 could rescue activated T cells in vitro. IL-6 was singled out as a good candidate because none of the other proinflammatory cytokines we tested had been capable of rescuing the resting T cells.

In this paper, we report that IL-6 had no effect on the survival of T cells, either activated in vivo or bearing memory markers. Bystander resting T cells from mice containing activated T cells could be rescued from death by IL-6; therefore, T cell activation appeared to be the determining factor for IL-6 insensitivity. We found only a moderate loss of IL-6 receptors after in vivo T cell activation with superantigen. We show that the loss of IL-6 sensitivity in the activated cells was not due to a complete lack of IL-6 receptor signaling, as IL-6 induced phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (Stat)3 in the activated cells. There was a loss of Stat1 phosphorylation in response to IL-6 in the activated T cells, thus, T cell activation appears to elicit an inhibitor specific for Stat1 signaling.

In sum, these results show that activation has several effects on T cells. Activation reduces T cells' ability to respond to a survival signal, IL-6, supplied by activation of innate immunity while increasing their ability to respond to signals (provided in part by activated T cells themselves) that include some members of the IL-2 family of cytokines.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and Mice. r ecombinant mouse IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, and IL-7 were purchased from R & D Systems. FITC- and PE-coupled antibodies against TCR Vβ4, Vβ5, Vβ6, Vβ8, Vβ11, and Vβ14, biotinylated antibodies against IL-6 receptor α chain, and biotinylated isotype control antibodies (rat IgG2b) were purchased from PharMingen. B220-specific FITC- and Cyochrome®-coupled antibodies were purchased from Pharmingen. The secondary staining reagent streptavidin-Cyochrome® was also purchased from Pharmingen. The anti-TCR Vβ3 mAb KJ25a, the anti-TCR mAb H57-597 (H57), and the anti-SEA mAb Y3P were purified from hybridoma supernatants and FITC coupled in our laboratory. The phospho-Stat3 (Tyr705), phospho-Stat5 (Ser727), and phospho-Stat1 (Tyr701) rabbit polyclonal anti–mouse antibodies were from New England Biolabs. The rabbit polyclonal anti–mouse Stat3 and Stat1 antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The peroxidase-labeled goat anti–rabbit IgG antibody was from Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories. FITC–annexin V was purchased from R & D Systems. Propidium iodide (PI) was from Sigma Chemical Co. The protease and phosphatase inhibitors PM SF, Na3VO4, NaF, aprotinin, antitrypsin, and leupeptin were from Sigma Chemical Co.
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through cell strainers, and cells were enriched for T cells by passage through nylon wool columns. The cells were then stained with anti-B220–FITC, anti-MAC-1–FITC, anti-CD44–Cyochrome, and either anti-CD45R B–PE or anti-CD62L–PE. The cells were sorted using a MoFlo™ cell sorter from Cytomation. The cells were gated on live cells and all FITC⁺ cells were dumped. The remaining cells were sorted into naive (CD44highCD52R low or CD44lowCD62Lhigh) and memory (CD44highCD52R low or CD44lowCD62Llow) populations. The cells were cultured at 37°C for 30 h at 10⁵ cells per well in CTM containing heat-inactivated FBS and the cytokines indicated in the figures.

Cell death analysis. Immediately after isolation from the mouse or after in vitro culture under various conditions, cells were analyzed for death by PI exclusion or FITC–annexin V binding. In the PI exclusion assays, the cells were first stained on ice with FITC–coupled anti-TCR antibodies, washed, and then incubated on ice with 0.5 μg/ml PI for at least 15 min before analysis. In the FITC–annexin V assays, cells were stained with FITC–annexin V in annexin V binding buffer (BSS supplemented with 2.5 mM CaCl₂) at room temperature for 15 min. The cells were then washed with cold binding buffer and immediately put on ice until analyzed. Flow cytometry analysis was performed with a Becton Dickinson FACScan™ instrument (Becton Dickinson). Data were analyzed using either PC Lysis II™ software (Becton Dickinson) or CELLQuest™ software (Becton Dickinson).

IL-6 receptor staining. T cells were isolated from either untreated mice or mice injected with superantigen for the lengths of time indicated in the figure legends. Immediately after isolation from LNs, the cells were incubated on ice with either biotin-coupled anti-IL-6 receptor α chain mAb or a biotinylated isotype-matched rat IgG2b control antibody. The cells were washed twice and then incubated on ice with streptavidin–Cy-chrome. W estern blot analysis of Stat phosphorylation. T cells were isolated as described above from LNs of C57BL/10 female mice treated with 150 μg SEB for 2 d (phospho-Stat3 (Ser727) blot, phospho-Stat1 (Tyr701) blot) or 3 d (phospho-Stat3 (Tyr705) blot). The cells were sorted into resting and activated T cell populations using a MoFlo™ cell sorter. Only live gated cells were sorted and the cells were stained with anti-B220–Cy-chrome to dump residual B cells. In the phospho-Stat3 (Ser727) assay, the cells were stained with anti-Vp5×–PE to label resting T cells and anti-Vp5×–FITC to label activated T cells. In the phospho-Stat3 (Tyr705) assays, the cells were stained with a combination of FITC–coupled antibodies against Vp4, Vp6, Vp11, and Vp14 to label resting T cells and anti-Vp5×–FITC to label activated T cells. In the phospho-Stat1 (Tyr701) assays, the LN cells from SEB-treated C57BL/10 mice were stained with FITC–coupled anti-I-A⁺ mAb instead of B220 to gate out APCs and costained with anti-TCR Vp8×mAb F23.1 to sort out activated T cells. Resting T cells were sorted from untreated C57BL/10 LNs using FITC–coupled V3P and anti-TCR mAb H597. After sorting, the cells were pelleted once and resuspended into serum-free medium. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 20 min in the presence of medium alone or medium plus IL-6. Cells were pelleted and lysed on ice for 15 min in lysis buffer containing 1% NP-40, 10 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PM SF, 2 mM NαG-gemain, 10 mM NaF, 1 μg/ml aprotinin, 1 μg/ml aprotinin, and 1 μg/ml aprotinin. The lysates were then centrifuged at 4°C for 15 min, and supernatants were removed and immediately added to 4× SDS sample buffer containing β-ME. The lysates were boiled for 5 min and stored at –80°C. Lysates were run in 8% polyacrylamide gels. The gels were transferred onto Immobilon-P™ polyvinylidene difluoride mem-

Results

A dived T cells die more rapidly in vitro than resting T cells. To assess the effects of cytokines on the survival of resting or activated T cells, we used a system in which T cells were isolated from either normal mice or mice that had been treated 2–3 d previously with a superantigen (either SEA, which targets T cells bearing Vp3⁺, or SEB, which targets T cells bearing Vp88). These cells were cultured under various conditions and then stained with anti-Vp antibodies. PI was used as a costain to measure cell permeability and death. As shown in Fig. 1, Vp3⁺ T cells isolated from a SEA-treated B10.BR mouse were 10.5% dead at the start of the assay compared with 36.8% after 26 h in culture. The Vp3⁺ T cells isolated from a SEA-treated B10.BR mouse were 25.4% dead at the start of the assay and showed accelerated death compared with the resting cells, with 94.4% dead after 26 h in culture. The increase in dead cells seen at the start of culture in the SEA-activated population correlated with the in vivo deletion of target Vp3⁺ cells that has been previously shown to occur after day 2 of SEA activation (7). Fig. 1 illustrates both the in vivo expansion of the Vp3⁺ cells by SEA treatment (3.8% in the untreated vs. 13.0% in the SEA-treated) and the enhanced death that occurred in the SEA-activated T cells. Similar results have also been obtained using PI-saponin (DNA degradation) and FITC–annexin V methods to analyze death in this system and with Vp88⁺ T cells activated with SEB.

IL-6 inhibits in vitro death of resting but not activated T cells. To analyze the effect of IL-6 on superantigen-activated or resting T cells, we incubated T cells from either superantigen-treated or untreated B10.BR or C57BL/10 mice in the presence or absence of IL-6 (Table I). We found that IL-6 rescued resting T cells from death but did not inhibit the death of activated T cells. Table I shows six independent experiments that were completed to compare the effect of IL-6 on resting cells from untreated mice with its effect on resting and activated T cells from superantigen-treated mice. In all the experiments, the resting cell death was inhibited by IL-6.
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Inhibition of death averaged 39.5% for resting cells isolated from untreated mice, and 30.4% for resting cells isolated from superantigen-treated mice. The activated T cells, isolated from superantigen-treated mice, bearing the target TCR Vβ (Vβ3 for SEA, Vβ8 for SEB) were unaffected by IL-6 in these assays. Since the nontarget (resting) T cells from the superantigen-treated mice were rescued by IL-6, the insensitivity to IL-6 in the activated T cells could not have been solely mediated by cytokines expressed during activation. Therefore, these data suggest that signaling through the TCR rather than the cytokine milieu in the mouse was the determining factor for loss of IL-6 sensitivity in these survival assays.

Loss of IL-6 sensitivity was not unique to T cells activated in vivo by superantigens. Insensitivity to IL-6 could also be induced in vitro. For example, T cells activated in vitro with PMA and ionomycin were completely insensitive to IL-6 rescue, whereas their death was prevented by IL-2, IL-4, or IL-7 (data not shown). Thus, induction of insensitivity to IL-6 did not depend on a nonlymphoid phenomenon present in animals but not present in tissue culture.

Sensitivity to Various Cytokines for Survival Depends on Activation of the T Cells. Although the doses of IL-6 used in Table I were sufficient for death inhibition of resting T cells (16), it is possible that a greater concentration of IL-6 was required for survival of activated T cells. This question is addressed in Fig. 2, in which we assessed the dose–responses of resting and activated T cells to IL-6, IL-2, IL-4, and IL-7. As previously reported, IL-2 was effective at mediating survival of resting T cells only at higher concentrations (50 ng/ml or greater), whereas IL-4 and IL-7 effectively inhibited death of resting T cells at amounts as low as 100–500 pg/ml. Low concentrations of IL-6 also inhibited resting T cell death; however, the percentage of T cells rescued was lower than that rescued by IL-4 and IL-7, suggesting that there was a subpopulation of resting T cells that was IL-6 insensitive before activation.

IL-6 had no rescuing effect on activated T cells even at the highest concentrations added (0.5 μg/ml; Fig. 2 B). On the other hand the cytokines IL-2, IL-4, and IL-7 were very ef-

---

**Figure 1.** Death of resting and activated T cells in tissue culture. LN T cells isolated from an untreated B10.BR mouse (A and B) or a B10.BR mouse treated with SEA (C and D) were analyzed for death at the start of culture (A and C) and after 26 h in tissue culture (B and D). Cells were stained with FITC-anti-TCR Vβ3 and PI as described in Materials and Methods.

**Table I.** IL-6 Inhibits the Death of Resting but Not Activated T Cells

| Superantigen mouse strain | Time in culture | IL-6 treatment | Resting T cells from untreated mice | Resting T cells from superantigen-treated mice | Activated T cells from superantigen-treated mice |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                           |                 | h | % Dead | % Dead | % Dead |
| Exp. 1                    | SEA (3 d)       | 24 | - | 40.3 | 51.7 | 94.3 |
|                           | B10.BR          |    | + | 19.3 | 31.6 | 95.3 |
| Exp. 2                    | SEA (2 d)       | 24 | - | 46.7 | 53.7 | 91.2 |
|                           | B10.BR          |    | + | 31.5 | 39.7 | 94.9 |
| Exp. 3                    | SEA (2 d)       | 34 | - | 53.3 | 53.5 | 87.4 |
|                           | B10.BR          |    | + | 32.7 | 39.8 | 87.8 |
| Exp. 4                    | SEA (3 d)       | 26 | - | 36.8 | 41.9 | 94.7 |
|                           | B10.BR          |    | + | 21.5 | 26.6 | 95.8 |
| Exp. 5                    | SEA (2 d)       | 29 | - | 67.2 | 50.9 | 87.6 |
|                           | B10.BR          |    | + | 45.6 | 33.5 | 93.8 |
| Exp. 6                    | SEB (2 d)       | 27 | - | 51.6 | ND | 89.4 |
|                           | C57BL/10        |    | + | 30.9 | ND | 85.6 |

T cells were isolated from mouse LNs and cultured in vitro for the indicated times in the presence or absence of IL-6. Cell death was analyzed by PI exclusion in experiments (Exp.) 1, 3, 4, and 6. Cell death was analyzed by annexin V staining in experiments 2 and 5. The dose of IL-6 was either 10 or 100 ng/ml in each experiment.
fective at inhibiting the death of the activated T cells. These data suggest that the IL-6 insensitivity shown in Table I was not due simply to a requirement for a higher concentration of IL-6 by the activated T cells. These data also illustrate the different regulation of effects of the various cytokines depending on the activation state of the target cell. A higher dose of IL-2 was required to rescue resting cells than to rescue activated T cells. This is presumably due to the upregulation of high affinity IL-2 receptors on activated T cells.

Resting T cells bearing memory markers are also insensitive to IL-6 rescue. Since there was a subpopulation of resting T cells that was unresponsive to IL-6 (shown in Fig. 2), we were interested in determining if this subpopulation consisted of previously activated T cells. To address this possibility, we sorted naive and memory phenotype T cells from untreated, 6-mo-old BALB/c mice. The markers CD44, CD62L, and CD45RB have all been reported to be useful in discriminating memory from naive T cells (30–32). Memory T cells express higher levels of CD44 and lower levels of both CD62L and CD45RB compared with resting T cells. In Fig. 3, we cultured memory and naïve T cells in the presence or absence of 10 ng/ml IL-6 for 30 h. Cell survival was assessed in these assays by FITC–annexin V staining as described in Materials and Methods. Fig. 3A shows that CD44<sub>high</sub>CD45RB<sub>high</sub> (naive) T cells were rescued from apoptosis by IL-4, IL-2, and IL-6. In Fig. 3B the CD44<sub>high</sub>CD45RB<sub>low</sub> (memory) T cells were not rescued by IL-6, but were rescued by IL-2 and IL-4. When CD44<sub>low</sub>CD62L<sub>high</sub> (naive) T cells were compared with CD44<sub>high</sub>CD62L<sub>low</sub> (memory) T cells (Fig. 3, C and D), again only the naive T cells were rescued from death by IL-6, whereas IL-2 and IL-4 were capable of rescuing both naive and memory T cells. These results suggest that it is not just the activation state of a T cell, but perhaps the activation history of a T cell, that determines its sensitivity to IL-6 survival signaling. Although we identified a subpopulation of resting T cells (memory cells) that was unresponsive to IL-6, there was still a significant population of IL-6-unresponsive T cells in the naive population. Thus, there may be additional factors that can shut off sensitivity to IL-6. Alternatively, perhaps CD44 and CD45RB or CD62L levels do not define all memory T cells.

We were interested in determining the mechanism by which activated T cells lost sensitivity to IL-6 survival signaling. There were three possibilities. The first possibility was that protein(s) induced after T cell activation interfered with the IL-6 signaling cascades and thus blocked the ability of IL-6 to rescue cells from death. A family of intracellular suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) has recently been described (33–38). Of particular relevance to this paper were the reports demonstrating that SOCS-1 can suppress IL-6 signaling in M1 monocytic leukemia cells (34, 35, 37, 38). The second possibility was that the activated T cells lost expression of IL-6 receptors and were not receiving IL-6 signals at all. Loss of IL-6 receptor expression on T cells activated in vitro, or after long-term cell culture, has been described previously (39, 40). The third possibility was that IL-6 signaling was intact in the activated T cells and simply could not interfere with the mechanism that led to death of the activated cells. Such a hypothesis implies that activated and resting T cells die by different routes.

We addressed these three hypotheses by measuring SOCS-1 mRNA levels, IL-6 receptor expression, and IL-6 receptor signaling (Stat phosphorylation) in resting versus activated T cells.

A analysis of SOCS expression in activated versus resting T cells. We attempted to find out whether SOCS-1 played a role in the suppression of IL-6 signaling in activated T cells. Fig. 4 shows a reverse transcription (RT)-PCR analysis of SOCS-1 mRNA expression in resting and SEA-activated T cells. In this experiment, AD10 TCR transgenic mice were used as a source of T cells. T cells from these mice all express TCR Vβ3 and can therefore be activated with SEA. Like the B10.BR and C57BL/10 mice, only resting and not activated T cells from these mice could be rescued from death by IL-6 (data not shown). The AD10 mice were injected with 4 µg SEA; 47 h after injection total RNA was isolated from spleen and LN T cells, and cDNA was synthesized as described in Materials and Methods. We performed RT-PCR for the indicated cycles.
to compare the levels of SOCS-1 and control hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) mRNA expression in T cells from the activated and untreated AD10 mice. Fig. 4 shows that there was not an upregulation of SOCS-1 mRNA expression in the activated T cells. SOCS-1 was expressed in both the resting and activated T cells. The amount of SOCS-1 mRNA by comparison with that of the housekeeping gene, HPRT, was actually slightly higher in the resting than in the activated T cells. We also found SOCS-2 and SOCS-3 to be expressed in both populations (data not shown).

The SOCS data did not disprove the idea that there was active suppression of cytokine signaling, as other SOCS have recently been described and other mechanisms of cytokine receptor signaling downregulation such as STAT masking (41, 42) and induction of the protein inhibitor of activated Stat (PIAS)3 protein (43) have been reported. Instead of pursuing other potential mechanisms of inhibition of receptor signaling, we directly addressed the questions of whether the IL-6 receptor was expressed on activated T cells and, if so, whether it was capable of signaling at all.

Downregulation of IL-6 Receptor α Chain after T Cell Activation. We used flow cytometry to find out whether loss of sensitivity to IL-6 survival signals correlated with a loss of IL-6 receptor. To do this, T cells were isolated from normal B10.BR mice, or B10.BR mice 2 d after injection of SEA. The cells were stained with anti-Vb3 or anti-Vb4 antibody and antibody to the IL-6 receptor α chain. As shown in Fig. 5, the levels of IL-6 receptor α on some of the Vb3+ T cells were reduced by activation but remained normal on bystander resting Vb4+ T cells. Since resting T cells have a higher expression of both SOCS-1 and IL-6 receptor than do the activated T cells, we believe the ratio of SOCS-1 to IL-6 receptor in the two populations to be fairly similar. It seems unlikely to us that the partial downregulation of IL-6 receptor was fully responsible for the complete insensitivity of the activated T cells to IL-6. Therefore, we next addressed the question of whether this level of receptor was capable of signaling in the activated T cells.

IL-6 Induces Phosphorylation of Stat3 in Both Activated and Resting T Cells. One of the ways that IL-6 signals is via phosphorylation and activation of the kinases Janus kinase (Jak)2 and Tyk2. These kinases in turn phosphorylate Stat3 at Tyr705 (44, 45). To address whether IL-6 signaled in the activated T cells, we performed phospho-Stat3 Western blots of lysates from resting and activated T cells. LN T cells from SEB-treated mice were sorted into resting and activated populations as described in Materials and Methods. Like unsorted cultures of cells, these cultures showed IL-6 rescue only in the resting and not the activated populations, whereas IL-4 rescued both populations from death (data not shown). Fig. 6 shows that both activated and rest-

**Figure 3.** IL-6 rescues naive but not memory T cells from death in vitro. Splenic T cells were isolated from untreated BALB/c mice. The cells were sorted into naive and memory populations as described in Materials and Methods. The cells were plated at a density of 10^5 cells per well in a 96-well plate in the presence of medium alone, 20 ng/ml IL-4, 20 ng/ml IL-6, or 200 ng/ml IL-2. Cell death was measured by FITC–annexin V staining after 30 h in culture. (A) Naive (CD44lowCD45RBlow) T cells. (B) Memory (CD44lowCD45RBhigh) T cells. (C) Naive (CD44lowCD62Lhigh) T cells. (D) Memory (CD44lowCD62Llow) T cells. The figure represents one of two experiments with similar results.

**Figure 4.** Activated and resting T cells contain approximately the same amount of SOCS-1 mRNA. AD10 TCR transgenic mice were injected intraperitoneally with 4 μg SEA, and LN and spleen T cells were isolated and pooled from each untreated mouse or 47-h SEA–treated mice. Total RNA and cDNA were obtained from 5 × 10^7 cells as described in Materials and Methods. RT–PCR was performed with oligos specific for control HPRT or SOCS-1 for the indicated number of cycles. PCR products were separated in a 1.5% agarose gel and analyzed for ethidium bromide intensity using a nucleoscan Imaging Workstation from Nucleotech.
ing T cells phosphorylated Stat3 Tyr705 after a 20-min incubation with 10 ng/ml IL-6. Similar levels of total Stat3 were observed in all the lanes after stripping and reprobing of the same blot (Fig. 6, bottom).

Other signaling cascades, besides the Jaks, can be turned on after IL-6 receptor binding. Independent of Stat3, Tyr705 phosphorylation by Jak2 and Tyk2 and subsequent translocation of Stat3 to the nucleus Stat3 can also be phosphorylated on Ser727. This latter phosphorylation event is believed to be mediated by a mitogen-activated protein kinase-independent serine kinase (46). We show in Fig. 7 that both resting and activated T cells phosphorylated Stat3 on Ser727 after a 20-min exposure to 100 ng/ml IL-6, and thus this signaling cascade, like that involved in the tyrosine phosphorylation of Stat3, was apparently intact in the activated T cells. Fig. 7 (bottom) shows that similar levels of total Stat3 were present in all the lanes of the blot.

Inhibition of IL-6–induced Stat1 Phosphorylation in Activated T Cells. Another DNA-binding protein known to be phosphorylated in response to IL-6 is Stat1 (43, 47–50). We addressed whether Stat1 signaling was also intact in the activated T cells by performing anti–phospho Stat1 Western blots. LN T cells from untreated or SEB-treated mice were sorted into resting and activated populations, as described in Materials and Methods. Fig. 8 shows the levels of Stat1 phosphorylation and total Stat1 for the activated and resting T cells after a 20-min exposure to 10 ng/ml IL-6 or medium alone. There was no phosphorylation seen in either population in the absence of IL-6 treatment, but very significant phosphorylation was seen in the resting T cells treated with IL-6. Interestingly, the IL-6–induced Stat1 phosphorylation in the activated T cells was reduced to a barely detectable level. This result suggests that there is active inhibition of IL-6–mediated Stat1 signaling after T cell activation. Preliminary experiments show that this reduction in Stat1 phosphorylation after IL-6 exposure is also true for memory T cells (data not shown).

Since the activated T cells bear lower levels of IL-6 receptor, it was possible that Stat1 phosphorylation was simply delayed in response to IL-6 in activated T cells compared with their resting counterparts. To test this, we examined Stat1 and Stat3 phosphorylation in resting and activated T cells 2 h after addition of IL-6 (Fig. 9). As before, Stat1 was much less phosphorylated in activated than resting T cells 20 min after addition of IL-6. In fact, no phosphorylated Stat1 could be detected in either type of cell 2 h after addition of IL-6. In contrast, Stat3 was well phosphorylated in both types of cell 20 min after IL-6 addition.
Discussion

The fact that T cells die in vitro at a much faster rate than in vivo suggests that there are survival factors in vivo that are absent in standard tissue culture medium. Several extracellular and intracellular factors have been identified that are capable of blocking or delaying apoptosis of T cells in vitro (16, 17, 19, 23, 25, 51–53). Engagement of CD28 or any one of multiple cytokines can prevent or delay the death of T cells after activation. Several cytokines have also been reported to prolong survival of resting T cells in vitro. Although sufficient for survival in vitro, it is still unclear whether any of these factors are necessary for the survival of activated or resting T cells in vivo. It is likely that in vivo a T cell integrates multiple survival and death signals in its decision to proliferate, differentiate, survive, or die.

We previously showed that T cells activated in the presence of a proinflammatory environment induced by LPS were protected from deletion (18). Although the LPS effect on the activated T cells was indirectly mediated by the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1 α and TNF-α it was unclear whether the direct mediators of T cell survival were soluble or cell bound in this system. We previously reported that IL-6 produced by endothelial cells was a survival factor for resting T cells (16). Since IL-6 is a proinflammatory cytokine, we were interested in its effects on activated T cells. We show in this paper that IL-6 could rescue only naive resting T cells and was ineffective at promoting survival of activated T cells or T cells bearing memory markers. Thus, the IL-6 effect is unlike the effect of the IL-2 family of cytokines (IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, and IL-15), which does rescue activated T cells (19, 51) and memory T cells (Fig. 3).

It is possible that these effects of IL-6 were not due to direct action of IL-6 on resting T cells, but rather due to induction by IL-6 of another molecule that itself was responsible for the differential survival of resting versus activated T cells. However, we do not think this is the case for several reasons. First, the IL-6 helped purified resting T cells survive. Therefore, if the effects of IL-6 were mediated by a second molecule, this would have had to be induced by IL-6 on resting T cells. Second, as shown earlier and discussed below, survival of resting versus activated T cells was accompanied by differential phosphorylation of Stat1, a signal transduction protein known to be affected by the IL-6 receptor. Third, one of the best-characterized factors induced by IL-6 is IL-4 (39). Although IL-4 is a survival factor for resting T cells, it also, unlike IL-6, acts as such for activated T cells. Moreover, we have shown that IL-6 is a survival factor for resting T cells from IL-4 knockout mice. Therefore, we do not believe the effects of IL-6 reported here are secondary to induction by IL-6 of some other factor.

We addressed whether the loss of sensitivity to IL-6 was restricted to the T cells that engaged antigen. We found that bystander resting T cells, isolated from the same mouse as the activated T cells, were rescued by IL-6. These data strongly suggested that engagement of the TCR was required for the mechanism driving the IL-6 insensitivity, and that cytokines or upregulated adhesion molecules after T cell activation in the mouse were insufficient to promote the IL-6 insensitivity.

A lot is known about signaling via the IL-6 receptor. The binding of the IL-6 receptor α chain to IL-6 initiates association with gp130 (the IL-6 receptor signaling subunit) to form an IL-6-specific signaling complex on the cell surface. After homodimerization and phosphorylation of gp130, there is recruitment and activation of several kinases that in turn phosphorylate and activate DNA binding proteins such as the Stats (47, 54). IL-6 signaling is known to be mediated by the tyrosine kinases Jak1, Jak2, and Tyk2, as well as serine kinases (45, 47, 55). The activation of these kinases leads to phosphorylation of Stat1 on Tyr 701 (47–50, 56) and phosphorylation of Stat3 on both Tyr 705 and Ser 727 (46, 55–58). Immediately after phosphorylation, the Stat proteins form either homodimers or heterodimers and translocate to the nucleus where they bind DNA and drive transcription (47). Inhibition of IL-6 receptor signaling at any step before phosphorylation of either Stat1 or Stat3 could result in the inhibition of all or some of the IL-6 effects on a cell.

With this information in mind, we tested whether IL-6 fails to prevent the death of activated T cells because of a defect in its signaling pathway in these cells. Activated T cells bore about half the number of IL-6 receptors per cell than resting T cells. However, we do not think this was re-
sponsible for the failure of IL-6 to rescue activated cells because IL-6 binding induced the phosphorylation of Stat3 on both Tyr\textsubscript{705} and Ser\textsubscript{727} in both activated and resting T cells. Thus, the receptor on activated cells could deliver signals effectively.

Notably, engagement of IL-6 failed to induce phosphorylation of Stat1 tyrosines in activated T cells, whereas this phosphorylation occurred efficiently in resting T cells. This failure was not due to loss of Stat1 protein, and was thus caused by a real difference in signaling via the IL-6 receptor in activated T cells. The loss of Stat1 phosphorylation would prevent the transcription of genes that require Stat1 homodimer or Stat1/Stat3 heterodimer binding, but leave genes induced by Stat3 alone or in combination with other binding proteins intact. Thus, Stat1-induced genes are probably required for IL-6-mediated T cell survival. It is interesting to consider this result in light of our recent finding that type 1 IFNs slow the rates of death of activated but not resting T cells (59). As type 1 IFNs also induce Stat1 phosphorylation, perhaps T cell death can be slowed by some combination of signaling by Stat1 and another, unknown factor(s) which is induced in resting T cells by IL-6 and in activated T cells by type 1 IFNs.

Mechanisms of IL-6 receptor signaling downregulation such as Stat masking (41, 42), induction of the PIAS1 (60) and PIAS3 proteins (46), and the SOCS family of Jak binders (33–38) have been recently described. The Stat masking and PIAS mechanisms of inhibition do not prevent the phosphorylation of Stats, whereas the SOCS inhibitors do. SOCS-1 has been reported to be an effective inhibitor of IL-6-induced Stat phosphorylation in a myeloid leukemia cell line and was shown to be upregulated by several cytokines (35, 37, 38). We are interested in determining whether SOCS-1 induction after T cell activation was responsible for the lack of IL-6-mediated survival or Stat1 phosphorylation in the activated T cells.

We did not find an induction of SOCS-1 mRNA after T cell activation. SOCS-1 was expressed in the T cells even before activation and could not be ruled out as a potential mediator of the insensitivity to IL-6 signaling found in many of the resting T cells. It is also possible that the functional regulation of these SOCS proteins is posttranscriptional or posttranslational. Currently, we are investigating the possibility that a SOCS-like inhibitor is recruited to one of the IL-6 receptor–associated Jaks after T cell activation. The selective inhibition of Stat1 signaling in the activated cells may be due to a lack of activation or recruitment of some but not all of the Jaks normally associated with gp130. This type of inhibition would allow recruitment and activation of some Stat proteins but not others.

In sum, these results suggest that some aspects of the IL-6 receptor are unaffected by T cell activation, whereas at least one signaling pathway is lost. This suggests that T cell activation leaves the T cells able to go through some, but not all, of the differentiation processes associated with IL-6. This is consistent with previous reports showing that IL-6 can induce differentiation of activated CD\textsuperscript{8\textsuperscript{+}} T cells into cytotoxic T cells (61–64), can promote activated CD\textsuperscript{4\textsuperscript{+}} cell differentiation to the Th2 phenotype (39), and with the data shown here, cannot promote the survival of activated T cells.

A probable teleological reason for the lack of IL-6 rescue of activated cells is to provide selective protection of non-specific T cells during antigen-driven cell death in vivo. Many activated T cells die during immune responses to antigen, perhaps to avoid accumulation of once useful but now functionless cells, or to prevent shock during a second exposure to the same antigen. Insensitivity to IL-6 may contribute to the loss of activated cells, as their death would not be prevented by this cytokine. Naive T cells may depend on IL-6 for maintenance of survival in specific environments in vivo such as spleen, LN\textsubscript{s}, or during travel through the circulatory system. Many cell types, such as endothelial cells and fibroblasts, constitutively secrete low levels of IL-6, thus providing resting T cells with plenty of opportunities to engage IL-6 (16, 65–68).
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