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ABSTRACT

Background: Omicron (B.1.1.529), a variant of SARS-CoV-2 is currently spreading globally as a dominant strain. Due to multiple mutations at its Spike protein, including 15 amino acid substitutions at the receptor binding domain (RBD), Omicron is a variant of concern (VOC) and capable of escaping vaccine generated immunity. So far, no specific treatment regime is suggested for this VOC.

Methods: The three-dimensional structure of the Spike RBD domain of Omicron variant was constructed by incorporating 15 amino acid substitutions to the Native Spike (S) structure and structural changes were compared that of the Native S. Seven phytochemicals namely Allicin, Capsaicin, Cinnamaldehyde, Curcumin, Gingerol, Piperine, and Zingeberene were docked with Omicron S protein and Omicron S-hACE2 complex. Further, molecular dynamic simulation was performed between Crcumin and Omicron S protein to evaluate the structural stability of the complex in the physiological environment and compared with that of the control drug Chloroquine.

Results: Curcumin, among seven phytochemicals, was found to have the most substantial inhibitory potential with Omicron S protein. Further, it was found that curcumin could disrupt the Omicron S-hACE2 complex. The molecular dynamic simulation demonstrated that Curcumin could form a stable structure with Omicron S in the physiological environment.

Conclusion: To conclude, Curcumin can be considered as a potential therapeutic agent against the highly infectious Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2.

1. Introduction

After emerging in 2019 at Wuhan, China, the modern-day global pandemic COVID-19 is still a major health threat with more than 300 million cases and 5.5 million deaths to date [1]. With the error-prone RNA polymerase, we have witnessed the emergence of several SARS-CoV-2 variants in due time of viral evolution. WHO classified these variants into three categories; VOIs: variants of interests, VUMs: variants under monitoring, and the most important VOCs: variants of concern [2]. The most recent VOC, Omicron (B.1.1.529) has been designated by WHO as the fifth VOC after Alpha, Beta, Gamma and Delta variants. With the emergence in November 2021 in South Africa, this variant spread worldwide at an alarming rate and was found to be the most prevalent form among the VOCs including India [3]. Similar to other VOCs, several spike mutations had been observed in Omicron that enabled varying degrees of escape from neutralizing antibodies and confer increased transmissibility [4–6]. More than 60 different mutations accumulated in the Omicron variant (CoVariants GISAID), made it the variant with the largest number of mutations. These mutations, especially the ones in the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the viral Spike are responsible for the immune escape, disease progression and enhanced transmission of the virus [7]. Noteworthy mutations at positions N440K, N501Y are associated with greater infectivity and transmissibility [8,9]. Recent evidences suggested that some of the most used COVID-19 vaccines provide little or no protection against the infection caused by the Omicron variant [10]. Thus, the Omicron variant might undermine global efforts to control the COVID-19 pandemic by emerging as a potential threat to public health. In our previous study
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[11], we have predicted the accumulation of 12 mutations in a hypothetical spike protein which was expected to have greater binding affinity to the human Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 (hACE2). Four substitution positions at 477, 478, 484 and, 501 are the common mutations that are shared between our previously predicted and the newly emerged Omicron Spike proteins. The search for potent phyto-molecules against SARS-CoV-2 is in progress to directly target the viral proteins.

Identifying phytochemicals as effective drug candidates requires enormous time and capital investment. In this regard, screening drug molecules through target specific advanced computational approaches such as molecular docking, molecular dynamic simulation are in continuous demand that saves both time and resources [12,13]. Literature indicated that, a comprehensive approach in drug discovery can be achieved by combining multiple in silico databases and tools such Therapeutic Target Database, Drug Bank etc [14,15].

Recently, molecular dynamics (MD) simulation and binding free energy calculation by Molecular Mechanics-Poisson–Boltzmann Solvent-Accessible surface area (MM-PBSA) method coupled with molecular docking technique further facilitated advancement in drug discovery with high precision and accuracy [16-19].

Several phytochemicals were being tested by in silico methods that can act as potent drug components in treating SARS-CoV-2 infection [20] and proteins such as main protease and Spike protein were utilized as the drug targets [21,22]. However, Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) of the Spike protein is one of the most common targets for the inhibition of cellular entry of SARS-CoV-2 [11,23]. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, no reports are available to date regarding therapeutic phytochemicals specific to viral proteins of VOC Omicron. In our previous study, among seven compounds screened, two phytochemicals, piperine and curcumin, were found to have strong binding affinity for our predicted mutated Spike and expected to reduce the stability of Spike-hACE2 complex. Those observations led us to this present study, which aims to screen those seven phytochemicals and identify potential therapeutic candidate against the Omicron variant.

2. Experimental

2.1. Sequence retrieval of Omicron Spike (S), and sequence alignment with native S

The primary sequence of the Native Spike (S) protein (start position 333), was obtained from GISAID [24]. Further, 15 mutations were introduced into Native S by using PyMol 2.5 software and Omicron S was formed. The multiple sequence alignment of Native and Omicron S protein sequences were performed by Clustal Omega web server (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). The three dimensional structures of Native S (PDB id 6M0J, Chain E, X-Ray Diffraction, Resolution 2.45 Å) and hACE2 (PDB id 6M0J, Chain A) were optimized as per our previous study [11].

2.2. Structural comparison with native S

The effect of mutations on the Omicron variant of the Spike (S) protein was initially examined and visualized by UCSF Chimera software. This software was used to evaluate the conformation changes of Omicron S and compared with that of the Native S. Further, the flexibility of Native and Omicron S proteins were performed by CABS-flex 2.0 (http://biocomp.chem.uw.edu.pl/CABSFlex2) software. The effect on protein stability due to residual mutations of Omicron S protein was evaluated by Site Directed Mutator 2 (SDM2) server (http://marid.bioc.cam.ac.uk/sdm2). SDM2 uses knowledge based approach to predict effect of individual amino acid mutations in conformationally constrained environment-dependent amino acid substitutions. The results were expressed in a tabular format for both wild and mutant types with the parameters namely Occluded Surface Packing value (OSP), Residual depth, Residue relative to solvent accessibility (RSA %), and Predicted stability change (ΔΔG). While, Occluded surface of a given residue represents the 2.8 Å surrounding molecular surface of non-bonded atoms, OSP of a given residue is the function of Occluded surface area and average normal unit distance between non bonded atom molecular surface & neighboring van Der Waals surface. Residual depth of a given residue represents average distance between all atom depths and the nearest water molecule surface. Solvent accessibility of any residue is expressed by the term RSA (%). Finally, ΔΔG is the free energy difference between wild type and mutant type residues [25].

2.3. Protein-protein docking

Omicron S and hACE2 docking was performed by using ClusPro protein-protein docking web-server (https://cluspro.bu.edu/login.php). Cluspro rotates the ligand in 70000 combinations and selects the best 1000 low energy structures from those. The best result is suggested based on the nearest 9 Å position of the ligand [26]. For the prediction of binding energy and molecular interaction sites of the complex, PRODIGY (https://wemnr.science.uu.nl/prodigy/) was used.

2.4. Phytochemical-protein molecular docking

Three dimensional structures of the seven phytochemicals namely allicin (PubChem id 65036), capsaicin (PubChem id 1548943), Cinnamaldehyde (PubChem id 637511), Curcumin (PubChem id 969516), Gingerol (PubChem id 44279), Piperine (PubChem id 638024), Zingerberene (PubChem id 92776) and two control drugs namely Chloroquine (PubChem id 2719) and GR 127935 hydrochloride (PubChem id 107780) were docked with Omicron S protein and Omicron S-hACE2 complex by using Dockthor docking server (https://dockthor.lncc.br/v2/). Chloroquine is a common inhibitory molecule against Spike (S) protein in in silico studies [11,27], while GR 127935 hydrochloride is a control drug against hACE2 [11,28] in literature. Optimization was performed as per the procedure described by Nag et al. [11]. Dockthor utilizes inhouse flexible docking tools, namely MMFF Ligand and PdbThorBox. It is a powerful docking software, that amasses MMFF94S53 force field for the protein inputs [29]. The grid parameters were selected based on the interaction site between Spike protein and hACE2 and were set as x/y/z = -39.36/8 & size x = 24/29/20 and x/y/z = -42.25/12.621/-43.746 & size x/y/z = 34/20/21 for Omicron S and Omicron S-hACE2 complex respectively. The phytochemical docking results (binding energy kcal/mol) were compared with that of the spike (Chloroquine) and hACE2 (GR 127935 hydrochloride) controls. The interacting amino acids and bonds of the ligand-protein complexes were analyzed by BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer (Dassault Systems) software.

2.5. Docking validation by molecular dynamic (MD) simulation

The MD simulation of curcumin and Omicron S protein was performed by GROMACS-2019.2 [30] based bio-molecular package of Simlab, the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS), Little Rock, USA and as per the procedure described in our previous paper [11]. Briefly, set up parameters were SPC water, 0.15 M counter ions (Na+/Cl-), NVT/NPT ensemble temperature 300 K & atmospheric pressure (1 bar) and the ligand topology file was generated by PRODRG software [31]. The result was expressed based on the selected output parameters, namely Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD), the Radius of Gyration (Rg), Root Mean Square Fluctuation (RMSF), Solvent Accessible Surface Area (SASA), and H bonds. The simulation length was set as 100 ns.

2.6. Free energy analysis by MM-PBSA calculation

The free energies of Chloroquine-Omicron S and Curcumin-Omicron S complexes (∆G_Vander Waal, ∆G_Electrostatic, ∆G_Polar, ∆G_Non-
Polar, $\Delta G_{\text{Binding}}$ and residual contribution energy) were estimated by Molecular Mechanics–Poisson–Boltzmann Solvent-Accessible surface area (MM-PBSA) method using g-mmba package [32]. Following equation was used for calculating $\Delta G_{\text{Binding}}$ (KJ mol$^{-1}$):

$$
\Delta G_{\text{Binding}} = G_{\text{Comp}} - (G_{\text{Prot}} + G_{\text{Lig}})
$$

$\Delta G_{\text{Comp}}$ represents the energy of protein-ligand complexes, $G_{\text{Prot}}$ and $G_{\text{Lig}}$ are individual energy of protein and ligand respectively. The MMPBSA calculation was performed for 5 ns trajectory.

2.7. Structural changes in the Omicron S protein after binding of curcumin

The effect of Curcumin binding on the target protein Omicron S was evaluated by PyMol 2.5 software. A few amino acid residues were randomly flagged at different positions of the Omicron S and Omicron S + Curcumin proteins, and residual distances were measured & compared by the ‘Measurement Wizard’ function.

| Mutation | WT_RSA (%) | WT_Depth (Å) | MT_OSP | MT_RSA (%) | MT_Depth (Å) | MT_OSP | Predicted $\Delta \Delta G$ | Outcome |
|----------|------------|--------------|--------|------------|--------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|
| GLY399ASP | 89.0       | 3.4          | 0.28   | 100.1      | 3.3          | 0.16   | 0.17                       | Increased stability |
| SER371LEU | 46.3       | 3.3          | 0.31   | 61.6       | 3.5          | 0.24   | 0.60                       | Increased stability |
| SER373PRO | 71.9       | 3.5          | 0.19   | 66.9       | 3.4          | 0.17   | -0.62                      | Reduced stability  |
| SER375PH | 65.6       | 3.6          | 0.2    | 73.8       | 3.4          | 0.15   | 0.52                       | Increased stability |
| LYS417ASN | 47.6       | 3.6          | 0.3    | 49.0       | 3.9          | 0.3    | -1.34                      | Reduced stability  |
| ASN440LYS | 93.6       | 3.3          | 0.15   | 103.8      | 3.1          | 0.07   | 0.87                       | Increased stability |
| GLY446SER | 115.4      | 3.4          | 0.17   | 103.5      | 3.2          | 0.12   | -4.11                      | Reduced stability  |
| SER477ASN | 101.6      | 3.1          | 0.14   | 118.8      | 3.1          | 0.1    | 0.22                       | Increased stability |
| THR478LYS | 84.2       | 3.4          | 0.17   | 72.6       | 3.3          | 0.15   | 0.01                       | Increased stability |
| GLU484ALA | 58.3       | 3.5          | 0.22   | 60.7       | 3.1          | 0.17   | 0.42                       | Increased stability |
| GLN493ARG | 56.2       | 3.6          | 0.28   | 65.9       | 3.5          | 0.22   | -0.02                      | Reduced stability  |
| GLY496SER | 21.9       | 3.9          | 0.34   | 27.1       | 3.7          | 0.38   | -0.58                      | Reduced stability  |
| GLN498ARG | 40.1       | 3.6          | 0.39   | 42.4       | 3.6          | 0.4    | 0.09                       | Increased stability |
| ASN501TYR | 27.9       | 4.1          | 0.36   | 30.3       | 4.1          | 0.39   | 0.69                       | Increased stability |
| TYR505HIS | 65.4       | 3.5          | 0.29   | 60.0       | 3.5          | 0.31   | -0.06                      | Reduced stability  |

WT: Wild Type and MT: Mutant Type, RSA (%): Residue relative to solvent accessibility; OSP: Occluded Surface Packing value; $\Delta \Delta G$: Predicted stability change.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Sequence retrieval of Omicron Spike (S), and sequence alignment with native S

The sequence of Omicron S protein and its alignment with the Native S (Fig. S1) are shown in the supplementary file.

3.2. Structural comparison of Omicron and native S

Omicron S protein accommodates 15 mutations at its receptor binding domain (RBD). These point mutations significantly affected the structural conformation when compared with the Native S protein. We observed multiple helix-coil transitions in the Omicron S protein at multiple sites as represented in Fig. 1 A1 and A2. Structural deviation in the Omicron S protein could be attributed to some of these mutated amino acid residues such as ASN477, LYS478, ALA484, ASN417, ARG419, TYR501 and PHE375. In our earlier study [11], we prepared a hypothetical RBD domain of S protein and compared it with that of the original (Native) structure. The hypothetical S protein shared four common mutations as of the Omicron variant, and we also observed similar structural modifications. Residual flexibility is an important intrinsic property of protein, which allows a broad range of interaction with multiple targets [33]. When Omicron S was compared with the Native S, we observed a marginal increase in the residual flexibility in the regions of mutated residues as presented in Fig. 1 B1 and B2. Furthermore, Table 1 represents the effect of Spike mutations on the stability of the protein with respect to the wild type variant. Among the 15 mutations in the Omicron S, we observed nine residues (ASP339, LEU371, PHE375, LYS440, ASN477, LYS478, ALA484, ARG498, and TYR501) directly contributed to increase the stability of the protein, as shown by various parameters such as RSA%, Residual depth, OSP and free energy difference (ΔΔG). While free energy change represented the effect of stability of protein, earlier study showed that ΔΔG could be directly correlated with other structural parameters as mentioned above [34,35]. In agreement with our findings, previous literatures have reported that mutated amino acids such as ASP339, LEU371, PRO373 and PHE375 are unique residues which could significantly contribute towards the higher binding capacity of Spike protein to the hACE2 as well as invasion of antibodies [36–39]. Overall, these findings indicated that substitutions in the composition of amino acids of the Omicron variant might favour enhanced and improved binding potential to hACE2, which could result in higher transmissibility of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

3.3. hACE2-Omicron spike interaction

First reported in South Africa, the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 was reported to have higher transmissibility (three to six times) than the other prevailing strains, including Delta [40]. To investigate whether this transmissibility can be translated towards the higher ligand-protein interaction, we compared the binding energies of Native and Omicron S to the hACE2. Prodigy evaluation revealed the binding energy between hACE2 and Omicron S protein as −13.7 kcal mol⁻¹. In our previous study, we reported the binding energies of the Native and hypothetical mutated S proteins as −12.6 and −13.2 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively [11]. While the binding energies were comparable for our predicted S and Omicron S proteins, Native S showed weak binding potential with the hACE2 in comparison. Therefore, similar to our hypothetical S protein, Omicron S, which shared four common mutations with the former, had strong binding potential with the hACE2.

3.4. Phytochemical-protein (Omicron S/Omicron S+ hACE2) interaction

Docking results of seven phytochemicals (Alllicin, Capsaicin, Cinnamaldehyde, Curcumin, Gingerol, Piperine, and Zingerberene) with target proteins (Omicron S/Omicron S+ hACE2) were analyzed along specific controls for S and hACE2, namely chloroquine and GR 127935 hydrochloride respectively (Table 2). The result showed that the phytochemical curcumin could strongly bind with both Omicron S and Omicron S + hACE2 complex (−8.473 and 8.316 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively) compared with the respective control drugs (−7.698 and −5.479 kcal mol⁻¹). Curcumin had been consistently reported as the therapeutic agent against SARS-CoV-2 through blocking Spike protein. We earlier reported curcumin as the potential agent against SARS-CoV-2 S proteins, both Native and mutated [11]. Jena et al. [41] showed that this phytochemical could inhibit S protein and disrupt the S-hACE2 complex. Marin-Palma et al. [42], further demonstrated that curcumin could inhibit SARS-CoV-2 in vitro in Vero E6 cell line. In the current work, curcumin was found to bind with multiple mutated amino acid residues (SER114 [446], ARG161 [493], SER164 [496], and HIS173 [505]) of Omicron S protein along with other residues (Table 3). However, control drug Chloroquine could only bind with the mutated amino acid ARG161. This was indicative that Curcumin might have higher affinity towards Omicron S, than Chloroquine. Nevertheless, Chloroquine and the phytochemical Curcumin shared the same pocket of Omicron S consisting of common amino acids TYR117 [449], ARG161 [493], and SER162 [494]. In our previous work, we evaluated the interaction sites

## Table 2

| PubChem CID | Compounds          | Binding affinities (Kcal mol⁻¹) | Omicron Spike       | Omicron Spike + hACE2 |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 2719        | Chloroquine*       | −7.698                        | −7.460              |
| 107780      | GR 127935 hydrochloride** | NA                         | −5.479              |
| 65036       | Allicin            | −7.214                        | −6.880              |
| 1548943     | Capsaicin          | −8.072                        | −7.654              |
| 637511      | Cinnamaldehyde     | −6.737                        | −6.755              |
| 969516      | Curcumin           | −8.473                        | −8.316              |
| 442797      | Gingerol           | −7.896                        | −7.951              |
| 638024      | Piperine           | −7.439                        | −8.433              |
| 92776       | Zingerberene       | −7.868                        | −7.738              |

* Spike inhibitor as a control; ** hACE2 inhibitor as a control; Bold = highest binding affinity (Kcal mol⁻¹).

## Table 3

| Compound + Proteins | Interacting bonds | Binding affinities (Kcal mol⁻¹) |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Chloroquine + Omicron Spike | LEU160E, ARG161E, SER162E | LEU160E, ARG161E, SER162E |
| Chloroquine + Omicron Spike + hACE2 | LEU123E | LYS156A, GLU74E |
| GR 127935 hydrochloride + Omicron Spike + hACE2 | VAL18E, ILE70E, TYR157E, GLU148A | GLU174E, ASP476A |
| Curcumin + Omicron Spike + hACE2 | TYR117E, ARG71E | SER114E, ARG161E, SER162E, HIS173E |
| Curcumin + Omicron Spike + hACE2 | LYS156A, GLU174E, ASP476A, GLU74E | TRY121E |

**Bold:** mutated amino acid, Chain E: Omicron spike protein, Chain A: hACE2 protein.
of Curcumin with the Native S protein and SER162 [494] was found as a common interacting residue with that of Omicron S [11]. Further, we observed multiple interaction points of curcumin to the hACE2 in the Omicron-S complex. These residues are major hACE2 and Omicron S interacting amino acids (Fig. 2). Unlike the ACE control GR 127935 hydrochloride, curcumin formed pi-bond with the mutated spike residue.
quine showed average RMSD of 1.8 nm, Curcumin had the mean RMSD and presents the contact between protein residues and ligand. While, Chloroquine did not find any marked difference of Rg values between two complexes. RMSD values are comparable for both the complexes (Chloroquine 0.07 to 0.57 and Curcumin 0.06–0.47 nm). We observed marked fluctuations in the amino residue number zone of 150–170 of the target protein S, possibly due to binding and rotation of the ligands (Fig. 3c). Solvent accessible areas were comparable and stable throughout the simulation timeframe for both the complexes as seen in Fig. 3d. It was also found that minimum 1H bond was maintained throughout the Curcumin-Omicron S simulation, and the interaction was not robust for Chloroquine (Fig. S2). Overall, MD simulation revealed that Curcumin could form a stable structure with the Omicron S protein in the physiological environment and could possibly form stronger interaction than the control drug Chloroquine.

3.6. Free energy analysis by MM-PBSA calculation

Literature showed that, MM-PBSA method could effectively estimate the free energy of binding of the docked complexes. Although it requires high computational cost, it can still provide near accurate result than the conventional molecular docking technique [43,44]. The results showed that when compared with the control drug Chloroquine (ΔG binding -90.89 ± 12.33 kJ mol⁻¹), Curcumin had a very high binding affinity (ΔG -180.04 ± 15.16 kJ mol⁻¹) towards the receptor protein Omicron S. Further, this observation was supported by higher contribution of other energy terms namely ΔG Non polar, ΔG Electrostatic and ΔG van der Waals as shown in Table 4. Low contribution of unfavorable polar solvation energy was also seen for both the compounds. Both the complexes showed stable free binding energy profiles throughout the simulation cycle (Fig. 3e). Overall Curcumin showed higher affinity towards Omicron S, than that of the control drug Chloroquine. Finally, it was found that ASP339 (7), LYS440 (108), SER446 (114), LYS478 (146), ARG493 (161), SER496 (164), ARG498 (166), TYR501 (169), and HIS505 (173) are the major mutated amino acid residues contributed towards toward the enhanced binding of Curcumin to the target protein Omicron S (Fig. 3f).

3.7. Structural changes in the Omicron S protein after binding of curcumin

To understand the structural changes of Omicron S protein, due to binding of the ligand Curcumin, post MD simulation Curcumin-Omicron S complex was selected. Measured distance values between randomly flagged amino acids of the respective proteins (Omicron S with and without Curcumin) revealed the conformation changes of the protein (Fig. 4). The results indicated that, there was potential decrease among the amino acid distances of Curcumin complex when compared to that of the ligand free (A) and bound Omicron S, as determined by PyMol 2.0 software.

![Fig. 4. Structural comparison with ligand free (A) and bound Omicron S, as determined by PyMol 2.0 software.](image-url)
4. Conclusion

The present study reported curcumin as a potential therapeutic candidate against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant among seven phytochemicals studied. It inhibited the mutated Spike protein of Omicron S through interaction with various amino acids, including the substituted ones such as SER446 (114), ARG493 (161), SER496 (164) and HIS505 (173). Further investigation suggested that Curcumin could destabilize the ACE2-S complex, as well. Molecular dynamic simulation and MM-PBSA study finally revealed that Curcumin formed a stable structure with Omicron S protein through H bond formation.
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