Study of overweight and obesity and its risk factors among adults in an adopted urban slum area of Government Medical College, Miraj
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ABSTRACT

Background: Obesity and overweight are creating a global epidemic. In 2008, more than 1.4 billion adults were overweight worldwide. Rapidly changing diets & lifestyles are fueling the global epidemic. Once being considered as a problem related to affluence, obesity is now growing fast in many developing countries. The burden of slum population & magnitude of their health problems are on the rise. Thus, the present study was planned to determine the prevalence of overweight & obesity and its risk factors in the urban slum area.

Methods: A cross sectional study was carried out among adults aged 20 – 60 years. Persons willing to participate and who were residing more than 6 months in the study area were included. The sample of 320 was calculated with absolute error of 5%. Duration of study was from Feb 2014 to Dec 2014. Pretested Predesigned Proforma was constructed and Systematic random sampling method was used for data collection.

Results: In this study, the prevalence of overweight and obesity was 9.7% and 16.6% respectively. The study shows significant association between age, gender, occupation, family history of obesity, intake of calories and physical activity with overweight/obesity.

Conclusions: The prevalence of obesity was more in females. Obesity can occur at any age and generally increases with age. The prevalence was more in adults who had family history of obesity. Low levels of physical activity are associated with higher prevalence. Thus, participation in household activities and regular physical exercise could help in lowering the prevalence.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity may be defined as an abnormal growth of the adipose tissue due to an enlargement of fat cell size or an increase in fat cell number. Obesity is expressed in terms of Body Mass Index (BMI).1 The latest WHO projections indicate that at least one in three of the world's adult population is overweight and almost one in ten is obese.2 Worldwide, obesity has nearly doubled since 1980. In 2014, more than 1.9 billion adults were overweight. Of these over 600 million were obese. 39% of adults aged 18 years and over were overweight in 2014, and 13% were obese. Most of the world's population live in countries where overweight and obesity kills more people than underweight.3 India & many other countries in the South-East-Asia are currently going through the so called ‘nutrition transition’ which is associated with a change in the structure of the diet & rapid increase in the prevalence of obesity.4,5
Obesity and overweight are creating a global epidemic and has reached epidemic proportions in India in the 21st century with morbid obesity affecting 5% of the country’s population.6 According to National Family Health Survey-3 (NFHS-3) in India, obesity and overweight are 3 times higher in urban areas than in rural areas & more in women.7,8 The burden of slum population & magnitude of their health problems are on the rise. There is a rising prevalence of obesity among slum population.9 Rapidly changing diets & lifestyles are fueling the global epidemic. Once being considered as a problem related to affluence, obesity is now growing fast in many developing countries.10

In Asian population, the health risks which are associated with overweight & obesity occur at lower levels of BMI than in North America or Europe & lower cut-off levels for BMI are used to categorize overweight and obesity for Asian population (BMI above 23).9 The body mass index (BMI) has been traditionally promulgated by the World Health Organization as a useful indicator of obesity. Innumerable number of studies has documented the prevalence of obesity and overweight among different populations using this index.11

Overweight & obesity are associated with increased burden of Diabetes, Hypertension, Cardiac diseases, some type of cancers (endometrial, breast etc.) and premature mortality but also with social and psychological effects of excess weight.12 Hence, to assess the prevalence of overweight/obesity and its risk factors in an urban slum the present study was conducted.

Objectives

1. To determine the prevalence of overweight & obesity among the adults in an adopted urban slum area.
2. To find out certain risk factors associated with overweight & obesity among the study population.

METHODS

A cross sectional study was carried out in the adopted community of Urban Health centre of Community Medicine Department, Government Medical College Miraj among adults aged 20 – 60 years. Persons willing to participate and who were residing more than 6 months in the study area were included. Seriously ill, bed ridden persons and pregnant women were excluded. Total population of the adopted urban slum area was 3500 and the adult population of age group 20 – 60 years were 1528. (Source – updated register of the adopted slum area). In order to calculate the sample size, the prevalence of overweight/obesity among adults was taken according to national family health survey (NFHS-3), Maharashtra i.e. 27.5%.13 The sample of 320 was calculated with absolute error of 5%. Duration of study was from February 2014 to December 2014. A Systematic random sampling method was used for data collection. All the families residing in the locality were visited and the proforma duly filled on interviewing and examining all the persons of age 20-60 years. The house locked and those who were not available during visits were revisited and likewise all the study population was covered.

Systematic random sampling

Total no. of houses in study area = 738.
Sample size = 300.
Total Adult population (20 – 60 years) = 1528.

In order to select 320 persons, number of houses to be surveyed in the study area was

\[ \text{Sample size} = \frac{\text{Total no. of houses} \times \text{sample size}}{\text{Total adult population}} \]
\[ = \frac{738 \times 320}{1528} = 154 \]
Sampling Interval = \[ \frac{\text{Total no. of houses in study area}}{\text{No of houses to be surveyed}} \]
\[ = \frac{738}{154} = 5 \]

The houses in the slum were numbered. The first sample household was selected randomly by choosing a number (by a lottery method) within the sample interval. The next household was identified by adding the sampling interval with the first randomly chosen number. In the present study, the first randomly chosen number was 2, and the first household which was surveyed was house number 2. The second household was 2 + 5 = 7 i.e., the 7th household. The subsequent households were selected by the same method till the expected sample size was reached. Informed consent was obtained from the study subjects.

A Pretested Predesigned Proforma was used. It consisted of socio-demographic profile, family history, dietary history by 24 hour oral recall questionnaire method, physical activity and anthropometric measurements. Kuppuswamy Socio-economic classification was used.14 History of Physical activity of the study population was asked by using the International Physical Activity Questionnaires (IPAQ) and after analysis of IPAQ, study population were graded as Inactive, Minimal active and HEPA active (health enhancing physical activity; a high active category) by calculating the MET score (METs are multiples of the resting metabolic rate) to yield a score in MET Minutes.15

All study subjects were weighed in the clothes they had worn. The Weighing machine was regularly standardized with a known weight. The adults were instructed about the procedure. The scale was readjusted to zero after weighing each adult. They were made to stand erect with both feet together without any support, looking straight with vision fixed on a point on the opposite wall such that the plain of vision was perpendicular to their body and parallel to the ground. The measurements were recorded.
to the nearest of 0.5 kg. Measurements for height were plotted on the wall using a non-elastic measuring tape. Erect heights were obtained with the subjects standing barefoot on the flat surface, against the vertical wall with occiput, buttocks and heel touching the wall and arms hanging freely on the sides. A non-elastic plastic rular was used to localize the upper limit of height measurements. Heights measurements were recorded to the nearest of 0.5 cm. WHO Asian classification was used for Calculation of Body Mass Index (BMI) and is expressed as weight (kg) divided by height (m$^2$) (Table 1).16,17

Table 1: WHO Asian classification.

| Nutritional status | BMI (kg/m$^2$) |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Underweight       | <18.5         |
| Normal            | 18.5 - 22.9   |
| Overweight        | ≥23           |
| At Risk           | 23 - 24.99    |
| Obese I           | 25 - 29.99    |
| Obese II          | ≥30           |

The necessary permissions were obtained from Head of department of Community Medicine Department and Ethical committee. Analysis was done with appropriate statistical techniques using Microsoft excel, SPSS 21, Primer and Chi square value was calculated.

RESULTS

In this study, the prevalence of overweight was 9.7% and the prevalence of obesity was 16.6%. The prevalence of overweight was more in males (12.5%) as compared to females (6.3%) and the prevalence of obesity was more in females (25.7%) as compared to males (9.1%) (Table 2). 48.3% of the overweight/obese adults were in the age group of >50-60years (Table 3). It is found that there is very highly significant association between age and overweight/obesity (p <0.001) and also significant association was observed between gender and overweight/obesity (p <0.05). The development of obesity is statistically more in females as compared to males. It was seen from the Table 5, 44.9% overweight/obese were housewives. A highly significant association was noted between occupation and overweight/obesity (p <0.01). Out of 140 adults who had family history of obesity, 32.9% were overweight/obese (Table 4). It is seen that there is significant association between family histories of obesity with the development of obesity (p <0.05).

Table 2: Prevalence of overweight and obesity.

| BMI Category | Male | Female | Total |
|--------------|------|--------|-------|
|               | Frequency | %    | Frequency | %    | Frequency | % |
| Underweight  | < 18.5 | 10    | 5.7      | 24    | 16.7      | 34  | 10.6 |
| Normal       | 18.5 - 22.9 | 128  | 72.7     | 74    | 51.4      | 202 | 63.1 |
| Overweight   | 23 - 24.99 | 22   | 12.5     | 9     | 6.3       | 31  | 9.7  |
| Obese I      | 25 - 29.99 | 16   | 9.1      | 32    | 22.2      | 48  | 15   |
| Obese II     | ≥ 30   | 0     | 0        | 5     | 3.5       | 5   | 1.6  |
| Total        | 176    | 100   | 144      | 100   | 320       | 100 |

Table 3: Age and overweight/obesity.

| Age in completed years | Overweight/Obese | Non Obese | Total | p-value |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
|                        | BMI ≥ 23 | BMI < 22.99 |        |         |
| 20 – 30                | 24 (17.1)| 116 (82.9) | 140 (100)|         |
| > 30 – 40              | 18 (23.6)| 58 (76.3)  | 76 (100)|         |
| > 40 – 50              | 28 (37.3)| 47 (62.7)  | 75 (100)| <0.001  |
| > 50 – 60              | 14 (48.3)| 15 (51.7)  | 29 (100)|         |
| Total                  | 84 (26.2)| 236 (73.8) | 320 (100)|         |

No significant association was noted between religion, education, socio-economic status, type of family with overweight/obesity.

The dietary history was collected using 24 hour Recall Questionnaire method and calorie intake of every adult was calculated. The calorie requirement of the participants was calculated using per kg requirement according to expected body weight which was calculated by using Broca’s index. It is observed from table no.6 that, majority were of mixed type of diet and very highly significant association was noted with intake of calories and overweight/obesity (p <0.001). And also very highly significant association was found between eating salads and fruits with the development of obesity (p < 0.001). Also it is observed that that there is no significant association between intake of sweets, snacks and fast foods in between meals with the development of obesity (p >0.05).
Table 4: Gender, religion, type of family, family history of obesity and overweight/obesity.

|                     | Overweight/Obese | Non obese | Total | p-value |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
|                     | BMI ≥ 23         | BMI < 22.99 |       |         |
| **Gender**          |                  |           |        |         |
| Male                | 38 (21.6)        | 138 (78.4) | 176 (100) | 0.036   |
| Female              | 46 (31.9)        | 98 (68.1)  | 144 (100) |         |
| **Religion**        |                  |           |        |         |
| Hindu               | 56 (26.9)        | 152 (73.1) | 208 (100) | 0.709   |
| Muslim              | 28 (25)          | 84 (75)    | 112 (100) |         |
| **Type of family**  |                  |           |        |         |
| Nuclear             | 42 (27.5)        | 111 (72.5) | 153 (100) | 0.790   |
| Joint               | 24 (23.8)        | 77 (76.2)  | 101 (100) |         |
| Three Generation    | 18 (27.3)        | 48 (72.7)  | 66 (100)   |         |
| **Family history of obesity** |          |           |        |         |
| Yes                 | 46 (32.9)        | 94 (67.1)  | 140 (100) | 0.018   |
| No                  | 38 (21.1)        | 142 (78.9) | 180 (100) |         |

Figures in parentheses indicate percentage.

Table 5: Education, occupation, socio-economic status and overweight/obesity.

|                     | Overweight/ Obese | Non obese | Total | p-value |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
|                     | BMI ≥ 23         | BMI < 22.99 |       |         |
| **Education**       |                  |           |        |         |
| Illiterate          | 7 (38.9)         | 11 (61.1)  | 18 (100) | 0.328   |
| Literate            | 77 (25.5)        | 225 (74.5) | 302 (100) |         |
| **Occupation**      |                  |           |        |         |
| Profession/semi profession | 9 (21.4)   | 33 (78.6)  | 42 (100) | <0.001  |
| Skilled             | 10 (14.1)        | 61 (85.9)  | 71 (100)   |         |
| Semi-skilled        | 27 (27.8)        | 70 (72.2)  | 97 (100)   |         |
| Unskilled           | 3 (11.1)         | 24 (88.9)  | 27 (100)   |         |
| Unemployed          | 0 (0)            | 5 (100)    | 5 (100)    |         |
| Housewife           | 35 (44.9)        | 43 (55.1)  | 78 (100)   |         |
| **Socio-economic status** |              |           |        | 0.936   |
| Upper (I)           | 1 (50)           | 1 (50)     | 2 (100)    |         |
| Upper Middle (II)   | 39 (26.5)        | 108 (73.5) | 147 (100) |         |
| Lower Middle (III)  | 19 (24.7)        | 58 (75.3)  | 77 (100)   |         |
| Upper lower (IV)    | 23 (25)          | 69 (75)    | 92 (100)   |         |
| Lower (V)           | 2 (100)          | 0 (0)      | 2 (100)    |         |

Figures in parentheses indicate percentage.

Table 6: Dietary history and overweight/obesity.

| Dietary history | Overweight/Obese | Non obese | Total | p-value |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
|                 | BMI ≥ 23         | BMI < 22.99 |       |         |
| **Diet**        |                  |           |        |         |
| Veg             | 11 (25)          | 33 (75)   | 44 (100) | 0.622   |
| Non veg         | 6 (19.4)         | 25 (80.6) | 31 (100) |         |
| Mixed           | 67 (27.3)        | 178 (72.7) | 245 (100) |         |
| **Calories**    |                  |           |        | <0.001  |
| Excess          | 35 (63.6)        | 20 (36.4)  | 55 (100) |         |
| Deficit         | 29 (16.4)        | 148 (83.6) | 177 (100) |         |
| Appropriate     | 20 (22.7)        | 68 (77.3)  | 88 (100)   |         |
| **Salads and Fruits** |            |           |        | <0.001  |
| < 3times/week   | 64 (22)          | 227 (78)  | 291 (100) |         |
| > 3times/week   | 20 (31.1)        | 9 (68.9)   | 29 (100)   |         |
| **Sweets**      |                  |           |        | 0.983   |
| < 3times/week   | 79 (26.1)        | 224 (73.9) | 303 (100) |         |
| > 3times/week   | 5 (29.4)         | 12 (70.6)  | 17 (100)   |         |
| **Fast foods**  |                  |           |        | 0.505   |
| < 3times/week   | 75 (27.1)        | 202 (72.9) | 277 (100) |         |
| > 3times/week   | 9 (20.9)         | 34 (79.1)  | 43 (100)   |         |

Figures in parentheses indicate percentage.

33.3% adults who view TV while eating were overweight/obese and the association was found to be insignificant (Table 7). It is observed from table no.8 that, 65% of inactive and 25% of minimally active were overweight/obese. And out of 56 adults who were doing Health Enhancing Physical Activity-(HEPA), only 17.9% were overweight/obese. It shows that physical inactivity leads to obesity. The study result shows that the association between physical activity and obesity is very highly significant (p < 0.001).
Table 7: Watching TV while having food and overweight/obesity.

| Watching TV while Eating | Overweight/Obese BMI ≥23 | Non obese BMI <22.99 | Total | p-value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------|
| Yes                      | 32 (33.3)                 | 64 (66.7)            | 96 (100) | 0.059   |
| No                       | 52 (23.2)                 | 172 (76.8)           | 224 (100) |         |
| Total                    | 84 (26.2)                 | 236 (73.8)           | 320 (100) |         |

Table 8: Physical activity and overweight/obesity.

| Physical Activity | Overweight/Obese BMI ≥23 | Non obese BMI <22.99 | Total | p-value |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------|
| Inactive          | 13 (65.0)                 | 7 (35.0)             | 20 (100) | <0.001  |
| Minimal Active    | 61 (25.0)                 | 183 (75.0)           | 244 (100) |         |
| HEPA              | 10 (17.9)                 | 46 (82.1)            | 56 (100) |         |
| Total             | 84 (26.2)                 | 236 (73.8)           | 320 (100) |         |

Figures in parentheses indicate percentage

DISCUSSION

A cross-sectional epidemiological study of overweight & obesity and its risk factors among adults was conducted in Adopted urban slum area with the aim to determine the prevalence of overweight & obesity and certain risk factors associated with them.

In the present study, the prevalence of overweight and obesity was 9.7% and 16.6% respectively. The prevalence of overweight was more in males and the prevalence of obesity was more in females. Similarly, in a study by Sen et al, the prevalence of overweight was more in males (23.67%) as compared to females (20.33%) and the prevalence of obesity was observed to be high among females (29.33%) than males (9.67%).

Kumar et al found that the prevalence of overweight/obesity was 37% and among males it was 27.27% and in females it was 44.64%, and in a study of northern India in urban slum by Misra et al, obesity was more prevalent in females (15.6%) than in males (13.3%).

The prevalence of obesity was more in females as compared to males in the present study as well in other studies. Majority of the females in India are housewives, this may be one of the reason that females are more obese due to lack of physical activity.

It is observed that 26.2% adults were overweight/obese and it is found that prevalence increases with increasing age and there is statistically significant association between age and overweight/obesity. Similarly, in a cross-sectional analytical study in Jamnagar by Vadera et al, the prevalence was increased with the rise in age. It was highest in the age group of 50–60 years. From the above studies it is seen that there is association between age and development of obesity. It is also found that the development of obesity is more in females than males and there is association between gender and overweight/obesity and Sen et al also found that the prevalence of obesity was significantly associated with respect to sex.

The prevalence of overweight/obesity was 26.9% among Hindus and 25% in Muslims. In the present study as well as in study conducted by Anuradha et al and Shashidhar et al it was found that there was no significant association between overweight/obesity and religion.

38.9% of illiterates and 25.5% literates were overweight/obese. The study result shows that there is no significant association between education and overweight/obesity. In a study by Sen et al, the prevalence of obesity was significantly associated with educational status of the study population while the association between overweight and education was insignificant.

In our study we found that obesity was more in illiterate adults compared to literates.

Also overweight/obesity was seen in adults who have sedentary and minimal active work i.e., in housewives, clerk/shop owners, professions/semi-professions. The prevalence of overweight/obese was more in housewives (44.9%). The study result shows highly significant association between occupational status and obesity. Anuradha et al found no significant association between overweight/obesity and occupational status.

Majority of overweight or obese adults were from middle class but there was no association between socio-economic status and development of obesity. And also in a study of Shashidhar et al, there was increasing trend of overweight or obesity with increase of socio economical class although the difference was statistically not significant. Highest proportion of overweight or obesity was found in upper middle class.

The prevalence of overweight/obesity was more in adults belonging to nuclear type of family as compared to joint and three generation family. It is seen that there is no significant association between type of family and
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obesity. Sen et al also found that the differences in the prevalence of overweight and obesity was observed to be statistically insignificant in case of type of family.\textsuperscript{18}

In the present study, the prevalence of overweight/obese was more in adults who had family history of obesity and the association was significant. Similarly, Shashidhar et al also found that there was significantly more occurrence of overweight or obesity among the women with the family history compared to those not having the family history.\textsuperscript{22}

It is also found that overweight/obesity was more in adults whose diet was of mixed type adults who consume more calories than recommended. The association was insignificant between type of diet and obesity but the association was found to be significant between calorie intake and obesity. It is also found that the association between consuming fruits and salads with overweight/obesity was found to be highly significant but there was no association of intake of sweets and fast foods with overweight/obesity. Vadera et al found that the prevalence of overweight was higher among those who consumed more than recommended calories and the difference was found to be statistically significant. Also, Anuradha et al. found no significant association between overweight/obesity and the dietary pattern and also with type of diet. It was observed that overweight/obesity was more prevalent among those who consumed fruits less frequently and the difference was found to be statistically significant, but no significant association was found between vegetable intake and overweight/obesity.\textsuperscript{9} In a study conducted by Shashidhar et al, there was highly significant association between overweight and eating food while watching television, but in our study the association between watching TV while eating food with the development of obesity was insignificant.

In the present study majority of the adults were either inactive or minimally active. Also, it is seen that out of 56 adults who were doing health enhancing physical activity-(HEPA) only 17.9\% were overweight/obese. There is decrease in overweight/obesity with increase in Physical activity and the association was found to be statistically significant association between physical activities with the development of obesity. In a study of Taka et al in a slum of Mumbai, 92.1\% of the women never performed any kind of physical exercise other than household activities and the relationship between obesity and the physical exercise was statistically significant.\textsuperscript{25} Also, Goyal et al found that low levels of physical activity are associated with higher prevalence of overweight and obesity.\textsuperscript{24}

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of obesity was more in females as compared to males. Majority of the females in India are housewives, this may be one of the reason that females are more obese due to lack of physical activity. Obesity can occur at any age and generally increases with age, we found that obesity was more in Illiterate adults compared to literates. This may be due to that literate people are aware about the morbidities associated with obesity. And, the prevalence of overweight/obese was more in adults who had family history of obesity. This may be due to familial tendency i.e. obese parents will have obese children. The association between energy intake and development of obesity is found to be very highly significant, this shows that the low levels of physical activity are associated with higher prevalence of overweight and obesity. Thus, the participation in household activities and regular physical exercise could help in lowering the prevalence of overweight and obesity. Therefore, the role of physical activity, games, and sports should be emphasized.

Recommendations

Prevention of obesity is much more desirable than the treatment of the condition once it is established. Prevention should begin early in life, and should be based on development and maintenance of life long healthy eating and physical activity pattern. More attention should be given to strategies aimed at preventing weight gain and obesity, since these are likely to be more cost effective and have greater positive impact on the long term control of body weight. Obesity management programmes should be established within health care and community services to target individuals and subgroups of the population who have developed or are at risk of developing obesity and its co- morbidities. Training of all health care workers involved in management of obese patients should be improved.
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