Application of oxygen saturation variability analysis for the detection of exacerbation in individuals with COPD: A proof-of-concept study
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Abstract

Background: Individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) commonly experience exacerbations, which may require hospital admission. Early detection of exacerbations, and therefore early treatment, could be crucial in preventing admission and improving outcomes. Our previous research has demonstrated that the pattern analysis of peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2) fluctuations provides novel insights into the engagement of the respiratory control system in response to physiological stress (hypoxia). Therefore, this pilot study tested the hypothesis that the pattern of SpO2 variations in overnight recordings of individuals with COPD would distinguish between stable and exacerbation phases of the disease.

Methods: Overnight pulse oximetry data from 11 individuals with COPD, who exhibited exacerbation after a period of stable disease, were examined. Stable phase recordings were conducted overnight and one night prior to exacerbation recordings were also analyzed. Pattern analysis of SpO2 variations was carried examined using sample entropy (for assessment of irregularity), the multiscale entropy (complexity), and detrended fluctuation analysis (self-similarity).

Results: SpO2 variations displayed a complex pattern in both stable and exacerbation phases of COPD. During an exacerbation, SpO2 entropy increased ($p = 0.029$) and long-term fractal-like exponent ($\alpha_2$) decreased ($p = 0.002$) while the mean and standard deviation of SpO2 time series remained unchanged. Through ROC analyses, SpO2 entropy and $\alpha_2$ were both able to classify the COPD phases into either stable or exacerbation phase. With the best positive predictor value (PPV) for sample entropy (PPV = 70%) and a cut-off value of 0.454. While the best negative predictor value (NPV) was $\alpha_2$ (NPV = 78%) with a cut-off value of 1.00.

Conclusion: Alterations in SpO2 entropy and the fractal-like exponent have the potential to detect exacerbations in COPD. Further research is warranted to examine if SpO2 variability analysis could be used as a novel objective method of detecting exacerbations.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a global health burden estimated to affect 251 million people worldwide and carries with it high mortality (Husebo et al., 2014; Mathers & Loncar, 2006). In COPD, individuals commonly experience exacerbations of their illness leading to a sudden deterioration in their health (Al Rajeh et al., 2020). Patients report that exacerbations are the most disruptive aspect of living with COPD (Zhang et al., 2021). These data suggest that there is a significant exchange of information between \( S_pO_2 \) and other respiratory variables (i.e. tidal volume, minute ventilation, respiratory rate, end-tidal oxygen, and carbon dioxide pressure) during graded normobaric hypoxia in healthy participants (Jiang et al., 2021). Fluctuations in these respiratory variables were reflected in the \( S_pO_2 \) signal, specifically in \( S_pO_2 \) entropy (Jiang et al., 2021), a measure that describes the unpredictability and irregularity of these \( S_pO_2 \) signals (Richman & Moorman, 2000). Calculated using a well-established algorithm (Richman & Moorman, 2000), \( S_pO_2 \) entropy may reveal additional information about cardiorespiratory control in health and disease (Jiang et al., 2021).

To date, the usefulness of \( S_pO_2 \) variability analysis has not been studied extensively in COPD. Accordingly, this pilot study investigated the hypothesis that \( S_pO_2 \) variability would distinguish between the two phases of COPD (stable vs. exacerbation). As \( S_pO_2 \) entropy is easily computed and incorporated into bedside monitors or smart devices, this method could assist in the earlier detection of COPD exacerbations and, following faster access to the necessary treatment, ultimately result in an improved prognosis (Qureshi et al., 2014; Wilkinson et al., 2004).

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants

From September 2016 to January 2018, participants were recruited from COPD clinics and pulmonary rehabilitation...
classes at three separate sites in London. All participants were fully informed and submitted written consent forms. The UK Health Research Authority and Royal Free Hospital local committee granted ethical approvals on data collection (16/LO/1120). The inclusion criteria consisted of COPD diagnosis [smoking history ≥10 pack years and post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC <0.7 (suggesting a non-reversible obstructive lung disease pattern)], one or more self-reported moderate or severe exacerbations of their COPD in the last 12 months, and the ability to attend scheduled appointments and use study equipment. Individuals were excluded if they had an existing diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea either via self-report or results of STOP-Bang and Epworth questionnaires (Johns, 1991; Nagappa et al., 2015), and/or significant co-morbidities of obstructive sleep apnea either via self-report or results of overnight monitoring.

The clinical recordings used for analysis are credited to a recently published pilot randomized controlled trial regarding COPD exacerbation detection (Al Rajeh et al., 2020). The data in this analysis derive from one arm of this study looking at overnight monitoring of COPD (n = 44). Some of the data, including individual demographics and mean \( S_{pO_2} \), but importantly not any \( S_{pO_2} \) variability data, have already been published in the referenced study (Al Rajeh et al., 2020). In the original study, only 13 participants exacerbated in the time frame of the study and were included in the analysis. The quality of \( S_{pO_2} \) recording for two individuals was limited (less than 90 mins continuous \( S_{pO_2} \) signal) and therefore these participants were excluded from the analysis (n = 11). In the study, there were 7 male participants and 4 female participants (n = 11) with an average age (SD) of 71.8 (10.4) years. Of these participants, 3 were current smokers, with 8 ex-smokers. The baseline clinical characteristics for the population studied can be found in Table 1.

### Table 1: Summary of the baseline demographics of the study participants

|                      | Age         | BMI         | MRC Dyspnea Scale | FEV1 (%)    |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| All Participants     | 71.8 ± 10.4 | 24.6 ± 6.70 | 2.82 ± 0.874      | 47.7 ± 18.8 |
| (n = 11)             |             |             |                   |             |

All data are expressed as mean ±SD

2.3 \( S_{pO_2} \) variability

The longest duration of time that all individuals had of uninterrupted \( S_{pO_2} \) data was ~90 min, so the first available 90-min recording was used for the analyses. Well-established measures within this field to analyze the patterns of variability (Bhogal & Mani, 2017), including standard deviation (SD), sample entropy, Multiscale Entropy (MSE), and Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA) (Bhogal & Mani, 2017) were employed.

Details of these methods and associated algorithms are described in detail elsewhere [DFA (Peng et al., 1995), MSE (Costa et al., 2002), and sample entropy (Richman & Moorman, 2000)]. In brief, sample entropy looks at the complexity of a time series by analyzing the probability of repetition of a signal, with a particular length (\( m \)) and degree of tolerance (\( r \)). In this study, sample entropy was determined under the settings of \( m = 2 \) and \( r = 0.2 \) as previously described (Richman & Moorman, 2000). MSE looks at entropy at different time scales, and as such is seen as an extension of sample entropy. The trends of entropy change within this time scales provide further information on the complexity of a data set. For this analysis, MSE was used over five scales in accordance with current practice (Costa et al., 2002). Finally, to examine the fractality of the \( S_{pO_2} \) data, we employed DFA as it looks at the self-similarity of a time series providing information on the fractal-like dynamics present (Peng et al., 1995). In a DFA plot, the logarithm of fluctuation (standard deviation divided by the square root of the sampling rate) is plotted against the logarithm of the time scale. The long-term correlation exponent, which is the slope of the line on a log-log scale, provides information on the scaling properties of the signal.

The clinical recordings used for analysis are credited to a recently published pilot randomized controlled trial regarding COPD exacerbation detection (Al Rajeh et al., 2020). The data in this analysis derive from one arm of this study looking at overnight monitoring of COPD (n = 44). Some of the data, including individual demographics and mean \( S_{pO_2} \), but importantly not any \( S_{pO_2} \) variability data, have already been published in the referenced study (Al Rajeh et al., 2020). In the original study, only 13 participants exacerbated in the time frame of the study and were included in the analysis. The quality of \( S_{pO_2} \) recording for two individuals was limited (less than 90 mins continuous \( S_{pO_2} \) signal) and therefore these participants were excluded from the analysis (n = 11). In the study, there were 7 male participants and 4 female participants (n = 11) with an average age (SD) of 71.8 (10.4) years. Of these participants, 3 were current smokers, with 8 ex-smokers. The baseline clinical characteristics for the population studied can be found in Table 1.
deviation) of detrended time series is plotted against the logarithm of scale \((n)\). The slope of this line is known as the scaling exponent \((\alpha)\). Previous studies proved there to be a “cross-over” in \(S_pO_2\) DFA graph, thus the short-term and long-term scaling exponent, \(\alpha_1\) and \(\alpha_2\) are calculated separately as described elsewhere (Bhogal & Mani, 2017). All calculations were completed in MATLAB (Matworks R2020b).

### 2.4 Statistical analysis

All statistical tests were performed using MATLAB (Matworks R2020b) and SPSS software. A paired two-tailed Student’s t-test was employed for comparing the mean, SD, \(\alpha_1\) and \(\alpha_2\) of COPD individuals \((n = 11)\) during a stable phase to that of the same cohort a day prior to the clinical diagnosis of an exacerbation. A two-way ANOVA was used to analyze the results of MSE, with statistical significance taken as a \(p\)-value less than 0.05.
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**Figure 1** Representative 90-minute \(S_pO_2\) signals recorded from an individual with COPD at (a) stable phase and (b) a day prior to clinical diagnosis of exacerbation (exacerbation phase). X-axis is the data points of the pulse oximeter signals recording (1 sample every 4 seconds), and Y-axis is the \(S_pO_2\) (%)

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Pattern analysis of \(S_pO_2\) variability

The \(S_pO_2\) signals for exacerbation and stable phase show a complex pattern (see Figure 1). A summary of mean \(S_pO_2\) and the various variability indices are displayed in Table 2. Overall, mean \(S_pO_2\) during the stable phase was not statistically different to that of the exacerbation phase \((91.4 \pm 1.89\% \ vs. \ 90.6 \pm 2.11\%; \ p = 0.125)\), likewise, the mean SD of both phases were similar (Table 2).

Mean sample entropy increased \((0.395 \pm 0.101 vs. 0.505 \pm 0.159; \ p < 0.05)\) during exacerbation. This indicates an increased irregularity of the signal; however, in order to assess whether this change was random or complex, we analyzed the data using MSE (Bhogal & Mani, 2017). This difference is constantly observed across the increasing scale factor using MSE (Figure 2), where the values of mean sample entropy during exacerbation were all higher than that of the stable phase. Two-way ANOVA analysis showed that there was a significant difference in the MSE between the stable phase and exacerbation phase \((F_{\text{group}} = 8.63, \ p = 0.004)\), highlighting the increased amount of information and complexity during an exacerbation. Additionally, an increasing trend of sample entropy value from scale 1 to 5 in both COPD phases was observed (Figure 2), revealing that fluctuated \(S_pO_2\) time series is not a random process (Costa et al., 2002).

From DFA, the short-term scaling exponent, \(\alpha_1\) of the stable phase \((\alpha_1 = 1.17 \pm 0.110)\) and exacerbation \((\alpha_1 = 1.15 \pm 0.137)\) were between values expected from operating characteristic (ROC) curves of sample entropy at 5 scales, \(\alpha_1\) and \(\alpha_2\) of individuals were plotted by SPSS for further investigation of the differences in these indices between the two phases and their potential to detect early exacerbation (exacerbation phase). Area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and a cutoff value of each index were also determined from ROC curves.

![Table 2](image2.png)

**Table 2** Summary of \(S_pO_2\) mean and variability indices in 11 individuals with COPD during stable phase and exacerbation phase

All data are expressed as mean ±SD, and the \(p\)-value is calculated using a Student’s paired t-test. Bold values reflect a statistically significant difference between the groups \((p\)-value < 0.05).
Brownian noise ($\alpha = 1.50$) and 1/f dynamics ($\alpha = 1.00$); however, their values did not differ between phases ($p = 0.55$). However, the long-term scaling exponent, $\alpha_2$, of both phases approached closer to 1/f dynamics ($\alpha_2 = 1.04 \pm 0.114$ in stable and $\alpha_2 = 0.925 \pm 0.107$ in exacerbation), confirming that $S_{pO_2}$ fluctuations have a fractal-like pattern (Bhogal & Mani, 2017). Statistical significance was shown between $\alpha_2$ of exacerbation vs stable phase ($p < 0.01$), validating there was a slight reduction in scaling exponent and shift toward white noise dynamics during exacerbation. Two example graphs of the DFA analysis obtained from the $S_{pO_2}$ time series are shown in Appendix A.

### 3.2 | ROC analysis of $S_{pO_2}$

ROC curves assessing the sensitivity and specificity of $S_{pO_2}$ variability indices in classifying stable from exacerbation phase are presented in Figure 3. Sample Entropy and $\alpha_2$ exhibited a significant AUC with values of 0.702 and 0.777 respectively (Table 2). MSE indices at scales 2 and 3, also had a significant statistical AUC with values of 0.711 and 0.719 respectively (Table 2). The best positive predictor value (PPV) was for sample entropy (PPV = 70%) with a cut-off value of 0.454. The best negative predictor value (NPV) was for $\alpha_2$ (NPV = 78%) with a cut-off value of 1.00.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

This study tested the hypothesis that the pattern of $S_{pO_2}$ variations in overnight recordings of individuals with COPD would distinguish between stable and exacerbation phases. In support of the hypothesis, our novel findings suggest that sample entropy at different scales increases, while the long-term scaling exponent ($\alpha_2$) decreases, a day prior to the clinical diagnosis of an exacerbation of COPD. These indices were also different during the stable and

![FIGURE 2 Multiscale entropy (MSE) graph describing the overall complexity of the individuals with COPD at stable phase and exacerbation. The error bars are calculated sample error of the mean values](image)

![FIGURE 3 ROC curve for classifying COPD phase (stable or exacerbation) based on $S_{pO_2}$ variability indices](image)
exacerbation phases, while mean $S_pO_2$ remained stable throughout. Furthermore, in terms of sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV from ROC analyses, sample entropy of the original $S_pO_2$ time series and $\alpha_2$ of DFA appear to have the best diagnostic capabilities to support earlier detection of COPD exacerbations.

This study extends our earlier work in $S_pO_2$ variability analysis in healthy individuals after exposure to hypoxia to individuals with chronic lung disease (Bhogal & Mani, 2017; Costello et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2021). We have shown an increased sample entropy in healthy participants during exposure to normobaric hypoxia (Costello et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2021) and here we have similarly demonstrated a higher sample entropy 0.395–0.505, and in all scales in MSE, following COPD exacerbation. Interestingly, these previous reports established that there is an inverse correlation between mean $S_pO_2$ and $S_pO_2$ Sample Entropy under both normoxic and hypoxic environments in healthy individuals (Bhogal & Mani, 2017; Costello et al., 2020), with lower oxygen saturation correlated with higher $S_pO_2$ entropy. This relationship was not observed in the current study (Appendix B), which could suggest a compromise in the cardiorespiratory integrity in COPD (O'Donnell et al., 2020). Another consideration for the lack of correlation between mean $S_pO_2$ and sample entropy in our cohort; could be the wide range of $S_pO_2$ values included in the present study (individuals with COPD) versus the other reports in healthy participants. Nevertheless, future studies with a larger number of participants could test this hypothesis.

According to Pincus (1994), higher entropy signifies greater amounts of information being processed in a complex physiological system, reflecting the enhanced connections and communications across various components within that system (Pincus, 1994). In terms of the cardiorespiratory system and its homeostatic control of oxygen saturation, Jiang et al. (2021) provided further insight by using a network physiology approach to show that the information controlling oxygen saturation was communicated across several key components of the cardiorespiratory system. Therefore, when this system is under hypoxic stress either through a decrease in the fraction of inspired oxygen or in a clinical state (COPD); the transfer of information is increased across these components to maintain mean $S_pO_2$. This is demonstrated by the rise in sample entropy when healthy individuals are hypoxic (Costello et al., 2020), as well as during an exacerbation in COPD (see Figure 2 and Table 2).

The sample entropy in both stable and exacerbation phases of COPD (0.395 ± 0.101 vs. 0.505 ± 0.159) is notably less than the sample entropy value of healthy individuals (0.98 ± 0.28) with the same mean value of mean $S_pO_2$ (93.94 ± 1.85%) during hypoxic challenge (Jiang et al., 2021). This may be attributed to the disruption of functional connectivity within cardiorespiratory system when COPD is diagnosed (Donaldson et al., 2012). This is reflected in the impaired response to hypoxia and changes in ventilation that often lead to hypercapnia (Abdo & Heunks, 2012). This disruption in the control system limits the adaptive response to hypoxia during exacerbation, thus reflected by a limited increase in sample entropy. This hypothesis requires further examination with more stringent control of possible confounders such as age, lifestyle (e.g., smoking), and environment. For example, we have reported that aging reduces $S_pO_2$ entropy in otherwise healthy individuals (Bhogal & Mani, 2017). This supports the theory that the integrity of cardiorespiratory control system is affected by aging and chronic diseases such as COPD (O’Donnell et al., 2020; Strait & Lakatta,). However, future studies should aim to compare $S_pO_2$ entropy between age-matched healthy cohorts and COPD individuals in different phases to help explain the changes seen in an exacerbation and better predict future exacerbations.

It is now well-established that the DFA of $S_pO_2$ signals results in two scaled components, one representing short-term ($\alpha_1$) and the other long-term ($\alpha_2$) fractal-like fluctuations (Bhogal & Mani, 2017). Table 2 illustrates a statistically significant decrease in $\alpha_2$ upon exacerbation while $\alpha_1$ remains stable. Interestingly, this data trend contradicts a study assessing DFA’s usefulness in diagnosing childhood sleep apnea-hypopnoea (Vaquerizo-Villar et al., 2018). Like COPD, sleep apnea is also associated with hypoxia; however, it is due to episodic upper airway collapse during sleep (Stradling et al., 2004). By applying DFA in their study, Vaquerizo-Villar et al. (2018) observed an increased $\alpha_1$ with intensified apnea-hypopnoea severity while $\alpha_2$ was unaltered. These results are likely due to the different underlying pathophysiology in the two diseases. Despite both leading to dyspnea, apnea-hypopnoea is associated with acute episodic hypoxia reflected by alternation in the short-term scaling component ($\alpha_1$). While in COPD, individuals suffer from chronic hypoxia leading to changes in the long-term scaling component ($\alpha_2$) (Khatri & Ioachimescu, 2016). Furthermore, the faster breathing pattern in younger children, and the associated dynamics of apnea-hypopnoea occurrences, may also translate to shorter time scales being more sensitive than longer time scales in disease relative to adults.

Although the values of the ROC analysis of the sample entropy and $\alpha_2$ showed moderate levels of sensitivity and specificity, this was the first attempt to suggest their potential in supporting earlier diagnosis of COPD exacerbations. Judging from the ‘zigzag’ shape of the ROC analysis
4.1 | Limitations and future research

Like other pilot studies, the major limitation of the current study is the small sample size. The source of $S_pO_2$ recording data in this study was from a pilot randomized controlled trial testing the effectiveness of overnight physiological monitoring to predict COPD exacerbation (Al Rajeh et al., 2020). With the limited sample, there is a risk of low statistical power and type II error. However, despite the small sample size, our results reached statistical significance. This demonstrates the potential of $S_pO_2$ variability analysis in non-invasively detecting early exacerbations for timely treatment and the need for future studies with larger sample sizes. This method also has the potential to monitor exacerbation recovery and provide an objective tool for discharge in these individuals, and future studies can help determine this.

Since data were obtained from a randomized clinical trial with regular follow-up of the participants, the chance of selection bias is low. However, a possible source of bias in this study is the availability of long (>90 min) continuous $S_pO_2$ signal in the participants. In the present study, two participants had less than 90 min continuous $S_pO_2$ signals in their stable phase and were not included in this study. Future studies can investigate this limitation in a larger multicentre trial to assess the value of $S_pO_2$ pattern analysis in the prediction of exacerbation.

It is difficult to estimate an accurate cut-off for separation of stable versus exacerbation phase based on such a small sample size. To further test the validity of the cut-off value, we randomly selected samples from stable periods of the same participants recorded at different days and measured $S_pO_2$ Sample Entropy. The mean (±SD) of the randomly selected samples was 0.341 ± 0.134 ($n = 11$) which was not significantly different from data presented in Table 2 for the $S_pO_2$ Sample Entropy of stable phase (0.395 ± 0.101). Furthermore, the rate of false positive was 18% when the cut-off in Table 3 was used for the prediction of exacerbation. While these pilot results are promising, a comprehensive analysis of the reportability of $S_pO_2$ variability indices is required prior to the translation of these findings into clinical practice.

Another limitation of this study is the severity of exacerbation was not measured. An exacerbation was defined as the need for oral corticosteroids or antibiotics, as judged by the patient’s clinician or self-management plan.
This practical approach has its shortcomings as the prescription of oral corticosteroids/antibiotics following the worsening of respiratory symptoms may vary among practitioners and healthcare systems (Celli et al., 2021 Sep 27).

Hurst et al., previously reported that a combined oximetry score (i.e., the positive magnitude in standard deviation units of the fall in $S_O^2$ and the rise in heart rate) could predict the onset of an exacerbation, prior to clinical diagnosis (Hurst, Donaldson, et al., 2010). We had limited access to high quality continuous signals 2–3 days prior to diagnosis of exacerbation in the current study and could only include $S_O^2$ variability analysis one day prior to clinical diagnosis of exacerbation. Therefore, future studies can extend our pilot study by developing wearable devices suitable for long-term signal recording for $S_O^2$ fluctuation analysis. In addition, similar to this combined oximetry score, novel analytical methods (e.g., transfer entropy) have the potential to assess the interaction of heart rate and $S_O^2$ time series in order to develop a comprehensive physiomarker for the non-invasive assessment of patients with COPD. Application of these methods in healthcare warrant further investigations in larger studies.

5 | CONCLUSION

This is a proof-of-concept study demonstrating that $S_O^2$ fluctuation analysis has the potential to be used to support earlier detection of exacerbations in individuals with COPD. Specifically, the sample entropy increases and there is an alteration in fractal-like behavior of $S_O^2$ fluctuations during exacerbation. As pulse oximetry has recently been expanded beyond the measurement of absolute peripheral oxygen saturation, measurement of $S_O^2$ dynamics has the potential to be incorporated into smart devices to assist the early diagnosis of COPD exacerbations.
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APPENDIX A

Figure A1 Two examples of DFA graphs on \( S_pO_2 \) variability data showing the linear trend when plotting scale and detrended fluctuations on a log-log scale. This graph represents the stable phase (red dots) and the exacerbation phase (blue dots) of a participant with COPD. \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are short-term and long-term scaling exponent respectively.

APPENDIX B

Figure B1 (a) Correlation between mean \( S_pO_2 \) and \( S_pO_2 \) Sample Entropy in individuals with COPD in Stable phase. (b) Correlation between mean \( S_pO_2 \) and \( S_pO_2 \) Sample Entropy in individuals with COPD in Exacerbation phase. There is no significant correlation between mean \( S_pO_2 \) and \( S_pO_2 \) entropy in individuals with COPD. This is unlike previous reports in healthy individuals where Entropy of \( S_pO_2 \) exhibits a significant inverse correlation with mean \( S_pO_2 \). For more information please see (Bhogal & Mani, 2017; Costello et al., 2020). Sample Entropy is calculated at scale 1 with \( m = 2 \) and \( r = 0.2 \).
APPENDIX C

FIGURE C1 Bland-Altman plot of sample entropy (SampEn) in 90 min vs. 60 min $S_3O_2$ signal duration