Rapid Acting Antidepressants in Chronic Stress Models: Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms
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Abstract

Stress-associated disorders, including depression and anxiety, impact nearly 20% of individuals in the United States. The social, health, and economic burden imposed by stress-associated disorders requires in depth research efforts to identify suitable treatment strategies. Traditional medications (e.g., selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, monoamine oxidase inhibitors) have significant limitations, notably a time lag for therapeutic response that is compounded by low rates of efficacy. Excitement over ketamine, a rapid acting antidepressant effective in treatment resistant patients, is tempered by transient dissociative and psychotomimetic effects, as well as abuse potential. Rodent stress models are commonly used to produce behavioral abnormalities that resemble those observed in stress-associated disorders. Stress models also produce molecular and cellular morphological changes in stress sensitive brain regions, including the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus that resemble alterations observed in depression. Rapid acting antidepressants such as ketamine can rescue stress-associated morphological and behavioral changes in rodent models. Here, we review the literature supporting a role for rapid acting antidepressants in opposing the effects of stress, and summarize research efforts seeking to elucidate the molecular, cellular, and circuit level targets of these agents.
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Introduction

Depression is a devastating psychiatric disorder afflicting approximately 17% of individuals in the United States. Anxiety is commonly comorbid with depression and together these two illnesses represent approximately 50% of the disease burden associated with combined mental health and substance abuse disorders with burden peaking in early adulthood.
Current medication options for depressed patients are limited by low response rates and delayed therapeutic effects. This is particularly concerning given that suicide risk is elevated in depressed individuals. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention details a 25% increase in the suicide rate over the past 15 years and provides data suggesting that suicide is the second leading cause of death in early adulthood. Together, these points underscore why development of novel, more efficacious, and faster acting antidepressant treatments remains a significant unmet need. It is therefore not surprising that reports indicating that ketamine produces an antidepressant effect with rapid onset even in treatment resistant individuals has had a strong influence on the field of depression and spurred an abundance of preclinical mechanistic research.

Depression is a complex disorder associated with dysfunction in peripheral systems, as well as alterations to central nervous system structure and function. Numerous brain regions are affected by depression including the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex (PFC). Physiological changes in the PFC may be particularly important as this region is integral to top down regulation of behavior and control of stress reactivity. Clinical research has regularly noted volume loss in the PFC, as well as hippocampus of depressed individuals. Consistent with this, reduced neuron number and size, loss of synaptic contacts, changes in expression of synaptic proteins, and reductions in trophic factor expression are observed in postmortem analysis of tissue from depressed individuals.

Stress exposure is a risk factor for depression, and chronic stress is a common experimental model utilized to produce depression-like physiological and behavioral changes in animal models. These changes include altered neuronal structure and loss of trophic factor support. Conversely, there is evidence that a critical component of the therapeutic action of rapid acting antidepressants includes the induction of trophic factor signaling and subsequent synaptogenesis in the PFC. With ketamine, an NMDA receptor antagonist, these effects occur following a transient increase in glutamate neurotransmission within the PFC. The necessity for increased glutamate transmission and synaptic response has also been shown for another rapid acting antidepressant, scopolamine, an acetylcholine muscarinic (AChM) receptor antagonist.

The present review will detail and discuss the efficacy of rapid acting antidepressants in stress paradigms typically used to model depression in the laboratory. Following this, the focus will shift to a review of the molecular and cellular underpinnings of the rapid and sustained antidepressant effects observed in rodents after ketamine administration. A greater understanding of the biological response to rapid acting antidepressants will aid in developing new, safer, therapies for individuals suffering from depression and other stress-associated disorders.

**Rodent Stress Models and Rapid Acting Antidepressants**

A large body of evidence demonstrates that repeated stress exposure causes dysfunction of various cellular processes in stress-related brain circuits, contributing to abnormal behavioral outcomes. Various types of stress in rodents have relevance to psychiatric disorders. Though variability exists based on the stress paradigm implemented, broadly speaking,
stress exposure produces features of depression including, anhedonia, behavioral despair, increased anxiety, loss of weight, disrupted cognition, and aberrant social behavior. The ability of rapid acting antidepressants to reverse the effects of stress exposure, and even produce prophylactic effects in certain stress models, provides reverse-translational support for initial clinical results with ketamine. Importantly, these approaches also provide multiple models for extending our understanding of the biological response to rapid acting antidepressants and could potentially shed light on the pathophysiology of depression in humans.

Rapid Acting Antidepressant Efficacy in Chronic Unpredictable Stress (CUS) Models

Variants of the CUS exposure model are commonly used to produce a depression-like phenotype in rodents. The CUS paradigm consists of three weeks or more of exposure to a mix of stressors presented in a random fashion to prevent habituation that may occur upon repeated exposure to the same stressor. CUS exposure produces core behavioral symptoms of depression, notably anhedonia (i.e., reduced preference for a sweetened solution), and anxiety (i.e., in a novelty suppressed feeding test). Application of typical antidepressants in these behavioral models is associated with improvement but only after long-term (~3 weeks) treatment. In contrast, rapid acting antidepressants demonstrate behavioral improvement after a single dose. Ketamine or RO25-6981, an NR2B selective antagonist, reverse the anhedonia and anxiety caused by CUS exposure; this includes reversal of the deficit in sucrose preference and increased latency to feed in the novelty suppressed feeding test. GLYX-13, currently described as a partial NMDA receptor glycine site agonist, also rapidly blocks stress-associated changes in the sucrose preference and novelty suppressed feeding test, and also facilitates extinction of contextual fear which is typically prolonged by stress. Finally, a single dose of scopolamine partially restores sucrose preference after CUS, and completely rescued the CUS-associated deficit after administration of three doses, a regimen typically utilized when treating depressed patients. The effects of rapid-acting anti-depressants may also be long lasting, remaining up to 14 days after treatment in the case of ketamine. Beyond demonstrating rapid efficacy, these results suggest that the broad class of rapid acting antidepressants described may produce sustained effects through similar mechanisms as the behavioral profile after treatment shows broad overlap. A single dose of ketamine or RO25-6981 reverses the synaptic deficit in the PFC caused by chronic stress (Li et al., 2011). Therefore, synaptic remodeling may present a convergent mechanism that underlies the persistent behavioral changes produced by ketamine and other rapid acting antidepressants.

Synaptic Remodeling by Stress and Antidepressant Treatment

Alteration of synapse number and function is a hallmark of chronic stress exposure in rodents that may underlie the volume loss observed in depression. Atrophy of the dendritic field of the CA3 region of the hippocampus was initially described by McEwen and coworkers, where three weeks of restraint stress exposure produced a reduction in branching of the apical dendritic arbor of CA3 pyramidal neurons. Further work extended our understanding of neuroanatomical modeling by chronic restraint stress demonstrating dendrite atrophy and spine loss of pyramidal neurons in the PFC. In contrast, increased dendrite complexity of pyramidal neurons is observed in the amygdala and bed nucleus of
the stria terminalis regions critical for fear and anxiety-like behaviors and regulation of the
stress response. Other stress paradigms, such as CUS are also capable of producing
similar changes in neuronal morphology. Moreover, rapid acting antidepressant
administration is associated with reversal of stress-associated changes in neuronal
morphology, and increased levels of synaptic proteins including PSD-95, synapsin-1, and
GluA1, an α-amino-3-hydroxyl-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate (AMPA) receptor subunit
important in maintaining synaptic integrity. Importantly, these changes occur after a single
treatment. In contrast, typical antidepressants that are capable of reversing or protecting
against stress-associated neuronal remodeling require long-term (2–3 weeks)
treatment. Thus restoration, or maintenance, of synaptic integrity appears to be
critical for the behavioral response to both rapid and typical antidepressant treatments. The
proposed mechanisms underlying these effects are discussed further below.

Other Models: Social Defeat Stress (SDS), Learned Helplessness, and Chronic
Corticosterone

In the SDS model, subjects are repeatedly exposed to aggressive intruder males, resulting in
a phenotype of reduced social interaction time in a susceptible subset of the stressed
animals. Susceptible animals also demonstrate other depression-like behaviors, including
decreased sucrose preference and increased anxiety, and these behaviors are reversed
following a single dose of ketamine or GLYX-13. In a compelling study, Bagot et al. identified a subset of SDS susceptible animals that show a response to ketamine
administration with an increase in social interaction time. RNA sequencing after treatment
revealed a diverse array of effects in multiple limbic regions. Notably, a large proportion of
the differentially expressed genes regulated by ketamine in the PFC were associated with
genes that were differentially regulated between resilient and susceptible animals,
suggesting that ketamine treatment produces a transcriptional profile that more closely
resembles that of a resilient animal.

These findings are especially interesting given that recent reports demonstrate a prophylactic
effect of ketamine exposure in other stress models. For instance, ketamine has been shown to
reduce escape failures in the learned helplessness model of depression when given after
uncontrollable stress, or when given up to two weeks before uncontrollable stress exposure. The prophylactic effects of ketamine were also shown in the SDS and chronic corticosterone administration models of depression. Taken together, the results
demonstrate that rapid acting antidepressants effectively reverse the synaptic and behavioral
deficits in rodent stress models, including social defeat. Studies are being conducted to
further define the cellular mechanisms that promote stress recovery and resilience, as well as
susceptibility.

Research on rapid acting antidepressants have been extended to metabolites of ketamine,
demonstrating that (2R,6R)-hydroxynorketamine (HNK) is sufficient to produce the
behavioral and synaptic antidepressant effects of ketamine. These studies demonstrate that
ketamine is rapidly metabolized to (2R,6R)-HNK, that (2R,6R)-HNK itself is sufficient to
produce antidepressant effects in a chronic social defeat test, as well as in the forced swim
and learned helplessness tests, and that blockade of this metabolism can block the behavioral
effects of ketamine. These studies also demonstrate that (2R,6R)-HNK increases glutamate AMPA receptor insertion and function in the hippocampus, providing a potential point of convergence with other rapid acting antidepressants that also increase synaptic integrity through insertion of AMPA GluA1 receptors.

**Protein Synthesis is Critical for the Antidepressant Response to Rapid Acting Antidepressants**

Chronic stress has consistently been shown to reduce dendritic arborization and spine number in the rodent PFC and hippocampus. Reversal of these changes within the PFC appears to be a convergent mechanism underlying the rapid and sustained response to rapid acting antidepressants including ketamine, GLYX-13, and scopolamine. There are numerous lines of evidence demonstrating that spine formation following treatment with these rapid acting agents results in functional synapses that support restoration of synaptic inputs that are lost due to stress exposure. First, excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSC) produced by both application of hypocretin/orexin or serotonin (5-HT) are reduced after stress and restored after ketamine administration. These changes are evident in stress naive animals as well. Second, stressed animals typically show suppression of long-term potentiation, a cellular model of synaptic learning and memory, and GLYX-13 is effective in ameliorating this deficit. Finally, in vivo imaging has demonstrated elevated spine generation following ketamine that leads to persistent spines in the dorsal frontal cortex that are indicative of functional synapses.

Together, these findings indicate that rapid acting antidepressants effectively alter the ability of pyramidal cells in the PFC to receive afferent signals, thereby augmenting function in unstressed animals and even restoring function in stressed animals. The potential mechanisms behind these changes are discussed below. Included is a discussion of work conducted in the hippocampus that is likely relevant to PFC-associated changes as similar pathophysiological effects occur in each region with stress exposure.

**Role of Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Complex I Signaling**

The mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) pathway is a cellular integrator that regulates protein synthesis and thereby provides important control of cellular metabolism, growth, and survival. Activation of the mTORC1 pathway has been demonstrated to be a critical component of the response to rapid acting antidepressants. Rapamycin, a specific inhibitor of mTORC1 when administered acutely, has been shown to block the antidepressant effect of ketamine and GLYX-13. mTORC1 activation has multiple downstream effects, and its role in initiation of protein synthesis appears to be a necessary component for antidepressant activity. mTORC1 phosphorylates 4E-binding proteins (4E-BP) resulting in derepression of translation, and activates p70 ribosomal S6 protein kinases (p70S6K) resulting in direct stimulation of translation. Synaptoneurosome preparations collected 30 to 60 minutes after ketamine administration demonstrate increased phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 and p70S6K, both changes that would be expected to lead to increased protein translation. A similar effect is observed following administration of GLYX-13 and RO25-6981. The induction of mTORC1 signaling by
ketamine has been replicated by multiple laboratories, although there have also been negative reports (see Liu et al.49).

The synaptic proteins described above that are down-regulated by stress exposure (e.g., GluA1, PSD-95) are increased following rapid acting antidepressant administration, consistent with activation of the mTORC1 pathway resulting in increased protein translation, and reversal of the synaptic deficits caused by stress. Indeed, inescapable shock exposure,17 or three weeks of CUS exposure,25 caused reductions of multiple synaptic proteins that were rescued by NMDA antagonists in an mTORC1 dependent fashion.

Manipulations of effectors of the mTORC1 signaling pathway provide further support for its role in stress-associated outcomes. p70S6K has been reported to play an important role in depression-like behavior, demonstrated by viral manipulations capable of recapitulating stress-like outcomes (i.e., decreased p70S6K activity) or producing resiliency (i.e., increased p70S6K activity) in stress models.52 In addition, REDD1 (regulated in development and DNA damage response 1), an inhibitor of mTORC1 signaling,53 is increased by stress- and viral-mediated expression of REDD1 in the mPFC is sufficient to produce synapse loss and depressive-like behavior.12 Notably, in the same study, REDD1 was found to be increased in postmortem tissue from individuals with depression, consistent with the possibility that REDD1 could contribute to neuronal atrophy and depressive behaviors in patients.12 In contrast, REDD1 null mutant mice are resistant to the synaptic and behavioral deficits caused by chronic stress. Finally, inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3β, a negative regulator of mTORC1, has been shown to augment the effect of subthreshold ketamine.54 However, glycogen synthase kinase 3β inhibition alone at the doses of the selective antagonists used does not produce an antidepressant response in the absence of ketamine-induced mTORC1 signaling.55

Together, these findings indicate that a transient increase in protein translation occurring shortly after administration of ketamine or other rapid acting antidepressants is sufficient to produce the sustained synaptic effects described above. Synaptic protein translation through mTORC1 signaling is a compelling therapeutic target for stress-associated disease as it provides a convergent mechanism for rapid antidepressant action that is not observed with typical antidepressants.17

Role of BDNF Translation and Signaling

Numerous studies have reported that brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), a major neurotrophic factor in brain, is significantly decreased in the PFC and hippocampus by stress exposure.16 In addition, loss of growth/neurotrophic support is further demonstrated by studies reporting that the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and insulin like growth factor 1 are also decreased by stress exposure.16 BDNF plays diverse roles in the CNS, such as regulating neuronal number and guidance during development, but is also involved in neuronal plasticity, function, and survival in adult brain.56 Transcription, trafficking, translation, and release of BDNF is driven by neuronal activity mainly through activation of glutamate receptors and voltage-dependent calcium channels, and is critical for maintaining synaptic integrity.57 BDNF binds preferentially to the tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB) receptor to engage intracellular signaling pathways, including cascades that
result in activation of mTORC1. Clinical studies report that levels of BDNF in serum are decreased in depressed patients and then increased in response to treatment with typical antidepressants. Reduced BDNF is also observed in postmortem tissue of untreated depressed individuals. Additionally, a BDNF polymorphism exists at codon 66 (Val66-Met) that disrupts BDNF trafficking and activity dependent release. The Val66-Met polymorphism has been associated with depression, and reduced hippocampal volume supporting a critical role for BDNF in neuronal integrity.

Typical antidepressants, such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors, agents that modulate the levels of brain monoamines, have limited efficacy and a delayed therapeutic response of weeks to months. These typical antidepressants increase BDNF mRNA expression with a similar delayed time course of weeks. Other effective treatments such as electroconvulsive shock treatment rapidly increase BDNF and TrkB mRNA levels in the PFC and hippocampus, and greater increases are observed with chronic treatment. Exercise, an activity with stress-opposing effects, also increases BDNF expression, again along a time course that is consistent with the delay in antidepressant behavioral response in rodent models. Moreover, the antidepressant behavioral responses to monoamine-based antidepressant treatment and exercise require BDNF indicating that increased BDNF expression is a convergent mechanism of antidepressant action.

Given the requirement for BDNF signaling in the pathophysiology and treatment of depression, BDNF also received early attention in mechanistic studies of rapid acting antidepressants. Interestingly, these studies demonstrate a key role for BDNF, including evidence for rapid release and translation of this neurotrophic factor in the actions of ketamine rather than a delayed increase in mRNA expression. Ketamine produces a rapid paradoxical burst of glutamate in the mPFC and numerous reports demonstrate that the antidepressant behavioral response to ketamine requires AMPA receptor activity at the time of application. Glutamate-induced AMPA receptor activation and depolarization can stimulate BDNF release and lead to activation of TrkB-mTORC1 signaling. Evidence for ketamine stimulation of AMPA-dependent, BDNF release has been demonstrated in primary cortical cultures. BDNF release in these primary culture experiments is also sensitive to calcium signaling through voltage-gated calcium channels and NMDA receptors, and TrkB activation following BDNF release is necessary for mTORC1 pathway modulation. In addition, BDNF deletion or conditional TrkB knock down block the antidepressant response to ketamine. A requirement for BDNF release is demonstrated by studies reporting that the antidepressant response to ketamine is blocked by infusion of a BDNF neutralizing antibody into the mPFC prior to systemic administration of ketamine. In addition, BDNF Val66-Met mice that have impaired dendritic trafficking and release of BDNF do not show synaptogenic or antidepressant behavioral responses to ketamine.

There are also reports that ketamine rapidly stimulates the translation of BDNF in the hippocampus, independent of elevations in mRNA. This is thought to occur via inhibition of spontaneous NMDA receptor activity, which is linked to inactivation of eukaryotic elongation factor 2 (eEF2) kinase and increased BDNF protein translation. Notably, eEF2 kinase knock-out animals are insensitive to the acute effects of ketamine.
administration.\textsuperscript{68} It is currently unclear whether this mechanism is sufficient to produce the long-term effects of ketamine administration. Also, it is unclear if eEF2 kinase inhibition alone is capable of restoring behavior in chronic stress-depression models to nonstress levels, nor has this mechanism for BDNF translation been demonstrated in the PFC after ketamine (see Zanos et al.\textsuperscript{46}).

Activation of eEF2 kinase and mTORC1 signaling could function in concert as both stimulate the translation of BDNF as well as synaptic proteins. BDNF translation via eEF2 kinase inhibition may support BDNF release produced by the initial rapid burst of glutamate. Increased BDNF release would not only increase mTORC1 signaling but also act via additional signaling pathways to stimulate synapse formation,\textsuperscript{74} and reverse the synaptic deficits caused by chronic stress and depression. Increased translation of BDNF and synapse formation could also contribute to the long-term, sustained effects of ketamine by increasing the response to glutamate signaling (Figure 1).

**Circuit Level Response to Rapid Acti\nging Antidepressants**

The previous discussion implicates BDNF-TrkB and mTORC1 signaling as downstream, mechanistic targets of rapid acting antidepressants. These findings suggest that drilling down on synaptic changes associated with stress pathology will provide insight into novel therapeutic targets for stress-associated diseases. However, there are some brain regions, including the amygdala and nucleus accumbens, that unlike the mPFC exhibit increased complexity after stress exposure.\textsuperscript{36,37} Given the regionally complex nature of neuronal remodeling following stress, studies to identify cell and circuit specific alterations involved in the behavioral deficits caused by chronic stress should also aid in identifying novel targets.

**Critical Microcircuits in Rapid Antidepressant Responses**

A key question in the field of rapid acting antidepressants is what the initial cellular trigger is and whether this involves local indirect actions on microcircuits or direct effects on principle neurons (Turner and Hall, 2015; Duman et al., 2016).\textsuperscript{75,76} The neuronal population of the PFC is comprised of approximately 70\% pyramidal cells with the remainder consisting of a mixed population of GABAergic interneurons.\textsuperscript{77,78} The indirect hypothesis suggests that disinhibition of pyramidal cells, through antagonism of NMDA receptors on GABAergic interneurons, produces the rapid glutamate burst that is observed after ketamine administration. Increased glutamate causes activity-dependent BDNF release, which then produces downstream effects on mTORC1 signaling, synapse formation, and antidepressant behavioral responses. Alternatively, the direct mechanism posits that ketamine blocks NMDA receptors directly on principle glutamatergic neurons in the hippocampus and/or mPFC.\textsuperscript{18} This cellular trigger hypothesis is directly linked with blockade of spontaneous NMDA receptor activity and eEF2 kinase and increased BDNF translation. However, one difficulty with this hypothesis is that the rapid glutamate burst and AMPA receptor-mediated depolarization would eliminate the impact of spontaneous glutamate activity that occurs primarily in the absence of neuronal activity.
Beyond the rapid antidepressant response, GABAergic signaling is itself a compelling target for therapy in stress-associated diseases. Reduced GABA levels have been observed in depression, and a return to normal states upon remission during treatment.\textsuperscript{79,82} Low GABA levels have also been linked to susceptibility to post traumatic stress disorder.\textsuperscript{83,84} Thus, a rich literature is emerging, which demonstrates the importance of maintaining an appropriate balance of excitation and inhibition in the PFC for normal neuronal functioning. At the microcircuit level, there are interesting interactions between GABAergic interneurons and mPFC pyramidal cells that are only beginning to be investigated (Figure 2). There are numerous GABAergic interneuron subtypes that differ in functional properties and expression of signaling proteins (for review of interneuron subtypes and properties see earlier works).\textsuperscript{77,78}

Investigation of postmortem tissue has demonstrated a reduction in somatostatin (SST) content suggesting that the SST GABAergic subtype may be particularly impacted in depression.\textsuperscript{58,87} SST interneurons exert inhibitory effects on pyramidal cells, with GABAergic axons targeting the dendritic field allowing control of pyramidal cell responses to incoming signals. SST interneurons have also been demonstrated to potently suppress activity of microcircuits at rest\textsuperscript{88} suggesting that SST inhibition is critical for disinhibition of pyramidal cells. Manipulating the excitation/inhibition balance via regulation of SST GABA interneurons has been shown to play a role in depression-like behavior,\textsuperscript{89,90} and reductions of SST function and the resulting hyperactivity of glutamatergic neurons could lead to excitotoxicity,\textsuperscript{91} potentially contributing to the synaptic deficits in mPFC and hippocampus caused by stress. Consistent with the finding that SST neurons may potently limit microcircuit activity, removal of the AChM1 receptor subtype that is targeted by scopolamine to decrease SST interneuron activity blocks the antidepressant actions of this rapid acting agent.\textsuperscript{20} Studies are currently underway to determine if the actions of ketamine are also mediated by regulation of SST interneurons via NMDA receptors on SST inhibitory interneurons.

Pavalbumin (PV) interneurons gate pyramidal cell activity via axonal contacts with pyramidal cell somata. Inhibition of PV neurons does not appear to contribute as a target of ketamine as constitutive NMDA receptor knockdown on PV interneurons does not alter the antidepressant effects of ketamine\textsuperscript{92}; knockdown of AChM1 receptors on PV neurons also does not block the antidepressant actions of scopolamine.\textsuperscript{20} However, reduced excitatory drive onto PV cells has been reported in helpless animals after inescapable footshock, and chemogenetic inhibition of PV cells can render resilient animals helpless.\textsuperscript{93} Therefore, PV interneurons likely play an important role in behavioral output as determined by the balance of excitation and inhibition present in the PFC. Further, PV and SST interneurons directly interact, with SST interneurons providing inhibitory tone to PV cells\textsuperscript{91} so that manipulation of SST neurons could impact PV function. Further studies of these as well as other classes of GABA interneurons in stress and antidepressant actions will prove insightful and could help elucidate critical components of pathophysiology of stress and treatment responses.
MDD Circuits and Interactions With Other Brain Regions

The changes observed in the mPFC dendritic field suggest that rapid acting antidepressant administration may alter the balance between inhibitory and excitatory transmission making the mPFC more receptive to distal inputs. Moreover, these findings indicate that mPFC projections are also enhanced and lead to improved top down, cortical control of other brain regions and their functional output (Figure 2).

Dorsal raphe, serotonin, and stressor control circuits—Increased 5-HT sensitivity in the PFC has been observed 24 h after treatment with NMDA antagonists and scopolamine. 5-HT signaling is mediated by neurons located in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) that synthesize 5-HT and project to multiple forebrain regions. Numerous reports indicate that bidirectional activity between the PFC and DRN is necessary for the response to ketamine. PFC interactions with the dorsal DRN have long been implicated in the learned helplessness model of depression, particularly with regard to the controllability of the stress response. Stressor controllability limits subsequent behavioral deficits and limits later 5-HT release in the amygdala during stressful experience. In contrast, exposing a rodent to uncontrollable stressors leads to helpless, depressive behaviors and increases 5-HT release in the amygdala. Notably, exposure to uncontrollable stress does not engage the mPFC-DRN circuit in the same manner as controllable stress. Ketamine has recently been demonstrated to be protective in the learned helplessness model. Especially interesting is the finding that ketamine functionally alters PFC to DRN activity, allowing for engagement even when animals are exposed to uncontrollable stress. Understanding the cellular mechanisms underlying the sustained prophylactic effects of ketamine provides an interesting opportunity for identifying the cellular mechanisms that contribute to stress effects, including stress resistance and resilience.

Arousal, attention, and cognition circuits—Hypocretin terminals in the mPFC are evident on thalamocortical projections and have been implicated in arousal and attention processes. Ketamine as well as GLYX-13 administration increase hypocretin-induced EPSCs in the mPFC and are reported to enhance attention. In a mouse model of the serial reaction time task, ketamine or GLYX-13 administration (24 h earlier) reduces failures to respond on trials presented with a very brief stimulus. Notably, ketamine, but not GLYX-13 treated animals display more premature responses, a measure of impulsivity, when the time between stimuli is increased, and this is associated with increased 5-HT2A receptor sensitivity (i.e., induced EPSCs) observed after ketamine, but not GLYX-13. Numerous reports also suggest that administration of a single low dose of ketamine can affect cognition after the initial drug exposure period. Studies utilizing the Wisconsin card sorting task report an impairment in strategy switching in depressed individuals. In an analogous rodent task, attentional set shifting, chronic stress is reported to impair cognitive flexibility in both an orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and PFC dependent manner depending on the stressor utilized. The deficit in cognitive flexibility observed in the attentional set shifting task is rescued by ketamine treatment, in both OFC and PFC dependent paradigms. Notably, in the OFC dependent paradigm, ketamine’s beneficial effect appears to function in part through JAK/STAT3 signaling. Further investigation of...
reciprocal connections between the PFC and thalamus, and cortical-cortical connectivity between the OFC and PFC are necessary to better understand the impact of ketamine on attention and cognition.

Fear and emotion circuits—Ketamine has been shown to modulate corticotropin releasing factor mediated input to the mPFC from amygdala projections in a fashion that would be expected to reduce amygdala control of mPFC stress reactivity.\textsuperscript{107} mPFC connections to the amygdala may also be regulated by ketamine. Connections between the mPFC and amygdala are implicated in fear and anxiety states.\textsuperscript{108,109} Failure to extinguish fear memories is a hallmark of stress models. Extinction is enhanced by ketamine,\textsuperscript{110} GLYX-13,\textsuperscript{26} and scopolamine.\textsuperscript{111,112} The well-defined fear circuitry, particularly that between the mPFC and amygdala, could be an optimal circuit target for the increased synaptic changes that result from ketamine administration, which should facilitate extinction. Projections from the ventral hippocampus to the mPFC have also been implicated in the response to ketamine\textsuperscript{113} and are known to regulate anxiety-like behaviors often impacted by stress.\textsuperscript{114,115} Ventral hippocampal activity is critical for termination of the stress response and therefore plasticity in ventral hippocampus projections to mPFC could directly impact the stress response, though this remains to be tested after ketamine administration.

Conclusions

Mechanistic studies of the molecular, cellular, and circuit-level actions of rapid acting antidepressants have seen great progress since the early reports of rapid antidepressant behavioral responses to ketamine. The effectiveness of ketamine with treatment resistant patients and its single dose effectiveness in clinical studies and rodent stress models point to the need to further elucidate the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying the rapid actions of ketamine and to identify novel targets that offer safer alternatives. Studies demonstrating the synaptic pathways that mediate changes in the balance of excitation and inhibition after antidepressant treatment will be especially interesting. Recent work demonstrating that optogenetic excitation in the mPFC can recapitulate rapid antidepressant responses\textsuperscript{116} opens the door to cell type and circuit specific manipulations that will aid in mapping interacting brain areas that underlie these effects. Circuit-level manipulations together with cell type specific, inducible manipulations of signaling pathways provide tools that will continue to produce a better understanding of the rapid antidepressant response, particularly as it relates to stress pathology.
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Figure 1.
Proposed mechanisms for synaptic strengthening following ketamine administration. (a) The indirect hypothesis posits that ketamine produces a glutamate burst via blockade of NMDA receptors on GABAergic interneurons; this glutamate burst then causes activity dependent release of BDNF and activation of TrkB-mTORCl signaling that increases levels of synaptic proteins AMPA receptor insertion and function. (b) The direct hypothesis states that the effects of ketamine occur via blockade of NMDA receptors located on principal neurons that are activated by spontaneous glutamate release; this results in inhibition of eEF2K and increased translation of BDNF.
Figure 2.
Macro and microcircuits involved in the response to rapid acting antidepressants. Blockade of NMDA receptors on pyramidal neurons (PYR) and/or interneurons (somatostatin, SST; parvalbumin, PV) in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) increases glutamatergic transmission and facilitates BDNF and mTORC1 mediated synaptic strengthening. Modification of amygdala (AMG), thalamic (TH), ventral hippocampal (VH), and dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) inputs to the PFC are observed after ketamine treatment. It is also likely that reciprocal projections to these regions contribute to rapid-acting antidepressant responses, although further work is required to characterize these effects.