Thymus-expressed chemokine secreted by breast cancer cells promotes metastasis and inhibits apoptosis
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Abstract. The aim of the present study was to investigate the underlying mechanisms of thymus-expressed chemokine (TECK) autocrine signaling, and its effect on carcinogenesis and the development of breast cancer. The present study also assessed epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and cell migration, invasion, proliferation and apoptosis. Breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 were used in the present study, and TECK basic expression in cancer cells was investigated using western blotting (WB). EMT markers, Akt pathway molecules and apoptosis indicators were detected by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR or WB. In order to assess migration and invasion, wound healing and Matrigel invasion assays were performed. Moreover, flow cytometry was used to assess the rate of proliferation and apoptosis. In vivo experiments were conducted in nude mice to assess cancer growth. It was revealed that breast cancer cells could secrete TECK in an autocrine manner. Furthermore, TECK could increase cell migration and invasion by promoting EMT and inhibit apoptosis via the Akt signaling pathway.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the common type of cancer in females, and its morbidity rates have been increasing since the 1970s (1). Moreover, the health burden of breast cancer is increasing in China, with >1.6 million individuals being diagnosed and 1.2 million mortalities each year. Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer in Chinese female patients; cases in China account for 12.2% of all newly diagnosed cancers and 9.6% of all mortalities from breast cancer worldwide (2). Furthermore, in America, ~231,840 new cases of invasive breast cancer and 40,290 breast cancer mortalities were estimated to occur among women in 2015 (3). In addition, from 2012-2016, the breast cancer incidence rate increased by ~0.3% per year (4). Therefore, it is important to investigate the etiological factors and the related factors that may accelerate the progression of breast cancer.

It has been revealed that the immune system plays an important role in carcinogenesis and cancer progression. Chemokines, which are immune substances, can act as cancer promoters in tumor development (5), but also have an antitumor immune response function (6). Therefore, it is important to investigate dual-directional regulation substances, such as chemokines. Thymus-expressed chemokine (TECK), also known as CCL25, is an important chemokine. Its receptor is CCR9.

TECK is mainly expressed in the thymus and small intestine (7), however it can also be secreted from the spleen and pancreatic stellate cells (8). Li et al (9) revealed that TECK could be secreted from endometrial stromal cells and may mediate regulatory T-cell differentiation. Moreover, TECK plays a role in the development of T cells. TECK is a chemotactic factor that acts on thymocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells, and results in the recruitment of thymic precursors, migration of thymocytes within the thymus and recruitment of thymic dendritic cells (10).

Previous studies revealed that TECK is associated with survival rate (11) and drug-resistance in breast cancer (12). But as a chemokine expressed by the thymus, the role of TECK on carcinogenesis and progression of breast cancer is not fully understood. Furthermore, whether breast cancer cells can secrete TECK requires further investigation.

The aims of the present study were to investigate the role and underlying mechanism of TECK on cell migration, invasion, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, proliferation and apoptosis in breast cancer. Furthermore, the effect of TECK on carcinogenesis and progression in breast cancer was examined, which may facilitate the development of novel therapeutic strategies for breast cancer treatment.
Materials and methods

Cell culture and reagents. The two human breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Hyclone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences) with 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Both cell lines were stimulated with TECK (PeproTech, Inc.) at 0, 25, 50, 100 ng/ml to assess the effect of TECK on the expression of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) biomarkers, and cell migration and invasion. LY294002 (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) was used to inhibit the phosphorylation of Akt.

Immunohistochemistry. This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Shandong Provincial Hospital (NSFC: approval no. 2019-201). Thymus tissues from three healthy volunteers (37, 55 and 61 years old) were collected from Shandong University Qilu Hospital from June to July 2019, and written informed consents were obtained prior to sample collection. Tissues were embedded with paraffin and cut into 5 μm-thick sections. Tissue sections were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated in graded alcohol concentrations. Sodium citrate buffer was used for antigen retrieval. The endogenous peroxidase activity of tissues was blocked, and tissues were then incubated with the primary antibody anti-TECK (1:1,000; product code ab200343) overnight at 4°C; and the secondary antibody anti-rabbit (1:1,000; product code ab97080; both from Abcam). DAB was used to reveal the area targeted by the primary antibodies, and nuclei were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Interference and enhance of gene expression. MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded (1x10⁵) in a 6-well plate (NEST Biotechnology) and cultured overnight. Cells were transfected with TECK overexpression, silencing and negative control vectors, which contained green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene. Viral vectors Ubi-MCS-3FLAG-CBh-gcGFP-IRES-puromycin for overexpression and hU6-MCS-CBH-gcGFP-IRES-puromycin for silencing were used. The sequences for TECK silencing were: Small interfering RNA (si)TECK1, 3’-GCU CCU GGA UGC UCG AAA UTT-5’; siTECK2, 3’ -CCA AGU UGG UAA UTT-5’; and siTECK3, 3’-CCUCCUGAU AUACGCUAAUTT-5’.

RT-qPCR. Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol® (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was reverse transcribed from the mRNA using Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) following the manufacturer's protocols. mRNAs of GAPDH and TECK, and the EMT biomarkers E-cadherin, vimentin and Slug were detected by RT-qPCR using Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Data were obtained and analyzed using the Mx4000 Multiplex qPCR System (Strategene; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) equipped with version 3.0 software. RT-qPCR reaction conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 34 sec. The ΔΔCq method was utilized for the quantification of gene expression, with GAPDH as an endogenous control (13). The primers used were as follows: E-cadherin forward, 5'-CTGATCCTGATGTCGACCCAAAATA-3' and reverse, 5'-CACATGCACUGACCCAGAGC-3'; Snail forward, 5'-GAGCACGATTTTACGCTCCTC-3' and reverse, 5'-CACATCGGTACAGACCCAGGC-3'; TECK forward, 5'-TTTGAAGACTGTCGTCGCGG-3' and reverse, 5'-GTCTTC TTCTTAAACAGCC-3'; and GAPDH forward, 5'-GCCGCA TCTTTTTTGCGTCGC-3' and reverse, 5'-TCCCGTTTCT CATGCCGTACCAG-3'.

Western blotting. Cells were lysed by RIPA buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) with protease inhibitor cocktail (20X), ultrasonication was performed and then cells were centrifuged at 12,000 x g at 4°C for 30 min. The supernatant was collected and the concentration of protein was determined using the BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and then, loading buffer (5X) was added. The sample was separated with 10% SDS-PAGE gel (40 μg protein per lane) by electrophoresis. Then, proteins were electrotransferred onto a PVDF membrane (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The membrane was blocked with 5% BSA (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.), and incubated at 4°C overnight with the primary antibodies: Akt (1:1,000; product no. 4691), and phosphorylated (p)-Akt (1:1,000; product no. 4060; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), TECK (1:250; product code ab400343; Abcam), E-cadherin (1:1,000; product no. 3195), vimentin (1:1,000; product no. 5741), Snail (1:250; product no. 3879), β-actin (1:1,000; product no. 4970), cleaved caspase-3 (1:500; product no. 9664) and Bax (1:500; product no. 5203; all from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Membranes were washed with TBST three times (10 min per time), incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated Rabbit secondary antibodies (1:10,000; cat. no. ZB-2301; Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology; OriGene Technologies), and then washed thrice with TBST. Protein bands were visualized with an electrochemiluminescence reagent (Advansa, Inc.) and the software ImageJ (version 1.48; National Institutes of Health) was used for densitometry.

Wound-healing assay. Human breast cancer cells were cultured in 6-well dishes with RPMI-1640 medium (Hyclone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences) with 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). When the cells grew to >90% confluency, a scratch was performed with a 200 μl pipette tip to make a linear wound in the central area. Then cells were washed with PBS, and migrated cells were counted under a phase-contrast microscope (magnification x100) after 24 and 48 h.

Matrigel invasion assay. Matrigel (BD Biosciences) was thawed at 4°C overnight and diluted in RPMI-1640 cell culture media. Then, 60 μl diluted Matrigel was added into the upper chamber of a 24-well Transwell plate (Corning,
Inc.) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were seeded (5x10^5) on the Matrigel in the upper chamber with DMEM, which was supplemented with 1% FBS, and the lower chamber was coated with RPMI-1640 medium with 10% FBS. Non-invaded cells were removed with a cotton swab. The invaded cells, which adhered to the lower surface of the filter, were fixed with methanol at room temperature for 30 min and stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) at room temperature for 20 min. The number of invaded cells in five random fields (magnification x100) on the underside of the filter was counted under a light microscope (14).

**Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay.** Cells (5,000) with 100 µl medium were seeded in 96-well dishes and cultured for 48 h under different stimulations. Medium in each well was replaced with 100 µl serum-free medium containing 10 µl CCK-8 reagent and cultured for 2 h. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader.

**Annexin V/PI assay.** Cells were seeded (0.4 million in 6-well dishes. After 12 h, cells were treated with the blank, 100 ng/ml TECK, LY294002 +100 ng/ml TECK and LY294002 for 24 h. Cells were then treated with 750 µM H2O2 for 12 h in order to induce apoptosis. Cells were collected and stained according to the manufacturer's protocol. The ratio of apoptotic cells was detected with flow cytometry (BD Biosciences) and the data were analyzed using FlowJo software (version 7.6; BD Biosciences).

**Hoechst 33342 staining.** Cells were treated as aforementioned in the ‘Annexin V/PI assay’ section. Hoechst solution 33342 was purchased from Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology. Staining solution was added into wells to cover cells for 5 min. The staining solution was then removed and cells were washed thrice with PBS before observation under a fluorescence microscope. The apoptotic cells in five random fields were counted with at a magnification of x100.

**Cell cycle detection.** Cells were seeded (0.1 million) in 6-well plates. The treatment was the same as aforementioned in the CCK-8 assay. PI was used for DNA staining. The fluorescence signals were detected by flow cytometry and data were processed using ModFit (Windows Version 3.2; Verity Software House).

**Nude mice xenograft model.** Forty-eight female nude mice (4 weeks old) were purchased from Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University and housed four animals per cage under standard conditions (24°C±2°C, 50±10% relative humidity, 12 h light/dark cycles) and with unlimited access to standard rodent maintenance feed (KEAO XIELI FEED Co., Ltd.) and water. Hygienic conditions were maintained by weekly cage changes. Animal health and behavior were monitored every day and body weights were assessed weekly over the course of the study. The animals were divided into 6 groups (MCF-7: TECK-OE, NC and siTECK; MDA-MB-231: TECK-OE, NC and siTECK) with 8 animals in each group. Newly digested cells (2x10^6) were injected to the oxter region of the animal. The tumors were measured every other day and the tumor volume was estimated according to the following formula: Volume=length x width^2 x 0.52. All animals were sacrificed by overdose (>120 mg/kg body weight) intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital after 40 days. The death was verified by loss of spontaneous breathing. All procedures were approved by The Use Committee for Animal Care of Shandong University.

**Statistical analysis.** Data from experiments are presented as the mean ± SD. The comparisons among different groups (>2 groups) were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) with a Tukey's post hoc test, while Student's t-test was used for comparisons between 2 groups. SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp.) was used for statistical analysis.

**Results**

**TECK is secreted by breast cancer cells in an autocrine manner, and is highly expressed in adult atrophic thymus tissue.** Western blotting was used to detect the protein expression of TECK in breast cancer cells, and IHC was used to detect TECK expression in thymus tissue. It was revealed that adult atrophic thymus tissue had high TECK expression (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, it was demonstrated that breast cancer cells could synthesize and secrete TECK (Fig. 1B). Based on analysis of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, the present results indicated that primary breast cancer tissues have a high TECK expression compared with healthy breast tissues (Fig. 1C; P=0.0067).

**TECK promotes cell migration and invasion via the Akt signaling pathway.** The present results indicated that TECK significantly promoted cell migration in breast cancer (Fig. 2A and B). Furthermore, the percentage of migrated cells in the TECK treatment group was increased compared with the control group, and the effect could be suppressed by blocking the phosphorylation of Akt using LY294002 (TECK group vs. LY294002+TECK group) (Fig. 2C). Thus, TECK may promote cell migration via the Akt signaling pathway in breast cancer. The present results also indicated that TECK treatment promoted cell invasion compared with the control group, and similarly, this effect could also be suppressed by blocking the Akt signaling pathway (TECK group vs. LY294002+TECK group) (Fig. 2D and E).

**TECK promotes the EMT process in a dose-dependent manner.** To investigate whether TECK could affect the process of EMT in breast cancer, MCF-7 and MBA-MD-231 cells were treated with TECK (0, 25, 50 and 100 ng/ml) for 48 h. Then, the expression levels of the EMT biomarkers E-cadherin, vimentin and Snail were detected by western blotting. It was revealed that there was a dose-dependent relationship between TECK treatment and the EMT process, as, EMT was enhanced as the dose of TECK increased. Moreover, this effect was demonstrated by the decreased protein expression of E-cadherin, and the increased expression levels of vimentin and Snail (Fig. 3A and B).

**TECK promotes the EMT process via the Akt pathway.** In order to further examine the possible signal molecule underlying the
effect of TECK on EMT, the Akt phosphorylation inhibitor LY294002 was used to block signal transduction. Moreover, the biomarkers of EMT Akt and p-Akt were detected by western blotting. It was revealed that 100 ng/ml TECK treatment could significantly increase the level of EMT compared to the control group, and the promotion could be suppressed by Akt phosphorylation inhibitor LY294002. Therefore, the present results indicated that TECK promoted the EMT process via the Akt signaling pathway (Fig. 3C and D). Furthermore, similar results were obtained from the RT-qPCR results, which detected the mRNA expression levels of EMT markers. As revealed in Fig. 3E and F, EMT was promoted by TECK treatment (TECK group vs. control group), which could be suppressed by CCR9 interference (siCCR9+TECK
Overexpressing or inhibiting TECK expression can promote or inhibit EMT. The transfection efficiency of three different siRNAs was detected using western blotting, and it was revealed that siTECK-1 was the most effective at silencing TECK expression (Fig. 4A and B). Furthermore, the effect of siTECK was detected at the mRNA expression level (Fig. 4C and D) and similar effects were observed, thus siTECK-1 was used in follow-up experiments. The present results indicated that TECK expression, exogenous TECK or overexpressing TECK, contributed to increased EMT, marked with E-cadherin decrease and Snail increase compared to the control, while silenced TECK expression revealed a decrease of the EMT level (Fig. 4E and F).

TECK expression affects the growth of breast cancer in vivo. For the tumor-bearing model experiment, there was no mice found dead over the course of the study and it was revealed that after 40 days the TECK overexpression xenograft volume was the largest, while the silenced TECK xenografts were the smallest (Fig. 6B and C). Furthermore, the same trend was identified with regards to the tumor weight (Fig. 6D; P<0.05). From the result of the relative tumor volume (Fig. 6E), it was observed that TECK was more effective in the MDA-MB-231 cell line than the MCF-7 cell line.

**Figure 3.** TECK promotes EMT mediated by the Akt signaling pathway in a dose-dependent manner in breast cancer cells. (A) MDA-MB-231 and (B) MCF-7 were treated with TECK 0, 25, 50 and 100 ng/ml, and then the expression levels of E-cadherin, vimentin and Snail were detected by western blotting. ImageJ was used for densitometry. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 compared with the control group. (C) MDA-MB-231 and (D) MCF-7 cells were treated with the Akt inhibitor LY294002 before adding TECK. The expression levels of E-cadherin, Snail, Akt and p-Akt were detected by western blotting after 24 h 100 ng/ml TECK stimulation. (E and F) Cells were treated with the Akt inhibitor LY294002 or CCR9 siRNA before adding TECK. The expression levels of E-cadherin and Snail were detected by RT-qPCR after 24 h 100 ng/ml TECK stimulation. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. TECK, thymus-expressed chemokine; EMT, epithelial-mesenchymal transition.
Discussion

EMT is an important biological process in tumorigenesis and cancer progression, and during this process epithelial cells gain mesenchymal phenotypes (15), which is characterized by the reduction of an epithelial marker and increased mesenchymal markers. In the EMT process, epithelial cells lose cell polarity and cell-cell adhesion, and also acquire migratory and invasive

Figure 4. TECK silencing or overexpression can decrease or increase EMT levels in breast cancer cells. (A and B) Effect of three different TECK siRNAs was detected by western blotting. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. (C and D) Effect of three different TECK siRNAs was detected by RT-qPCR. **P<0.01. (E) MDA-MB-231 and (F) MCF-7 cells were treated with 100 ng/ml TECK. The expression levels of E-cadherin and Snail in TECK stimulation, TECK silencing and TECK overexpression groups were detected by western blotting. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. TECK, thymus-expressed chemokine; EMT, epithelial-mesenchymal transition.
Figure 5. TECK stimulation increases the number of cells by inhibiting apoptosis, instead of influencing the cell cycle. (A) MDA-MB-231 and (B) MCF-7 cells were treated with/without LY294002 before 48 h TECK stimulation. The OD450 was measured to determine the number of cells. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

(C and D) Cells were treated with/without LY294002 before 48 h TECK stimulation, and stained with PI. Fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry and data were processed with ModFit. (E) MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells were treated with blank, 100 ng/ml TECK, LY294002+100 ng/ml TECK and LY294002 for 24 h, and then treated with 750 µM H2O2 to induce apoptosis. After 12 h, the cells were stained with Hoechst33342. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. (F) Cells were treated with/without LY294002 before 48 h TECK stimulation. Then, cells were stained using an Annexin V/PI kit and detected with flow cytometry. *P<0.05. TECK, thymus-expressed chemokine.
properties similar to mesenchymal stem cells (16). EMT can contribute to many cellular activities to enhance survival and improve the metastasis capacity of cancer cells. Moreover, previous studies have reported that EMT is associated with breast cancer stem cell development and multi-drug resistance (17), which are the primary reasons for failure of cancer treatment. Therefore, EMT is a target for clinical oncology therapy (18). The present results indicated that TECK could...
promote the EMT process in a dose-dependent manner. The
relationship between the capacity of the migration and inva-
sion and EMT was also investigated, and it was revealed that
TECK affected cell migration and invasion. Moreover, TECK
could promote the EMT level in breast cancer and increase
cell migration and invasion.

TECK has been widely studied in relation to the immune
system and immune cells (19-22), but its effect in cancer cells
requires further investigation. Heinrich et al (4) reported that
pancreatic stellate cells can secrete TECK to activate pancre-
atic cancer cells. Furthermore, it has been revealed that serum
TECK expression is elevated in patients with non-small cell
lung cancer (23). However, it is not fully understood whether
TECK can be secreted by cancer cells, and the role of TECK
in cancer development remains unknown.

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first
to demonstrate that breast cancer cells could secrete TECK
in an autocrine manner, and that this secretion can promote
breast cancer cell development. Thus, breast cancer cells may
be activated by autocrine release of TECK.

As an endogenous immune substance, TECK can be
secreted from thymus tissues, and a small amount from the
small intestine and endometrial stromal cells. The results of
IHC also demonstrated that an adult thymus secretes large
amounts of TECK. The limitation of the present study is that a
negative control was not set in the IHC experiments although
we all know that thymus tissues can secrete TECK. TECK is
important for immune cell development, but can also promote
carcinogenesis and progression in cancers (24). However, breast
cancer cells can secrete TECK themselves, and TECK expres-
sion may be associated with survival rate (11). Therefore, it is
important to investigate the effect of TECK in breast cancer.

The present results indicated that TECK could play a role
in breast cancer progression, the EMT process, and cell migra-
tion, invasion and apoptosis, which were mediated by the Akt
signaling pathway. Thymus tissues are replaced by adipose
tissues in adults, but thymus tissues can still secrete abundant
levels of TECK. Furthermore, TECK can be transported to
the cancer site via the blood flow, which acts as a stimulation
factor to breast cancer cells.

Metastasis and drug-resistance are the main reasons for the
failure of treatment for breast cancer. A previous study revealed
that TECK was associated with breast cancer metastasis and
drug-resistance (12), and it could also regulate immune cell
functions around the cancer cells. Common metastatic sites
include the lymph nodes, lung, bone and brain, however small
intestine and thymus metastases are often identified in patients
with breast cancer, with a reported rate of 16 and 11%, respect-
ively (25), but the mechanism of this is not fully understood.

Studies have shown that chemokines cause the transfer
of cancer cells to specific organs in the same way as causing
movement and displacement of leukocytes given their chemo-
taxis properties (26,27). TECK, which is also a chemokine,
may have a potential role in the process of breast cancer cell
metastasis to specific organs, which is thought to be similar to
the mechanism of leukocytes chemotaxis. Thus, it is specu-
lated that TECK may be a key substance in the process of
breast cancer cell metastasis to the thymus and small intestine,
where a high expression level of TECK was identified, which
may be related to its strong chemotaxis ability.

During carcinogenesis and the progression of breast
cancer, the immune organ, thymus and its secretory protein
TECK may act as helpers, despite the primary function of the
immune system to attack cancer cells.

The present results revealed that TECK could regulate
several cellular functions in breast cancer cells via the Akt
signaling pathway (Fig. 7). The present results may facilitate
the development of novel therapeutic strategies to treat breast
cancer. It may be possible to develop new drugs to block TECK
secretion or function, or inhibit the phosphorylation of Akt,
which cannot only reduce the level of EMT, but also inhibit
cell migration and invasion in breast cancer.

Currently, there are few effective therapies to treat
triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), and the present results
indicated that TECK was most effective in the TNBC cell
line, particularly in the apoptosis assay and xenograft model.
Therefore, the present results could provide a basis for effec-
tive treatments for patients with TNBC. Moreover, inhibition
of TECK may be a key target to prevent breast cancer specific
organ-oriented metastasis to the small intestine and thymus.
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