Risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting after thoracoscopic pulmonary wedge resection: pitfalls of an increased fentanyl dose
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Background: Thoracoscopic pulmonary wedge resection (TPWR) is a surgical procedure that can maintain lung function and is less physically invasive to a patient. However, the risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) following TPWR remain unknown. We aimed to evaluate multiple risk factors of PONV after TPWR and the impact of PONV on postoperative outcomes.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed consecutive patients who underwent TPWR for malignant pulmonary tumors at our institution between October 2017 and March 2020. We assessed the differences in the clinical and perioperative parameters between the PONV and non-PONV groups.

Results: We reviewed 160 patients, of whom 27 (16.9%) had PONV. Sixteen (59.3%) patients with PONV required postoperative antiemetics. Failed mobilization was associated with PONV requiring postoperative antiemetics (P=0.048). In the multivariate analysis, increased fentanyl dose was an independent risk factor for PONV (P=0.022). Using the receiver operating characteristic curve, the optimal cut-off value for PONV was 3.58 μg/kg/hr (area under the curve =0.665; sensitivity =85.2%; specificity =53.4%; 95% confidence interval: 0.562–0.768; P=0.007). For example, in a case of a 50-kg patient who underwent a 70-min operation (our median operative time), the total dose of fentanyl within 208 μg was the cut-off value for preventing PONV.

Conclusions: An increased dose of fentanyl/kg/h was the strongest risk factor for PONV during TPWR. The optimal cut-off value for PONV was 3.58 μg/kg/hr. It is important to avoid the inadvertent administration of intraoperative fentanyl.
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Introduction

Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) are costly and burdensome to both hospitals and patients worldwide (1). Many studies on risk scoring and guidelines for PONV have been published (2-7). The risk factors of PONV can be classified into three categories: patients, anesthesia, and surgery (2). Female sex, non-smoking status, history of PONV, motion sickness, young age, history of motion sickness, and postoperative opioid use were identified as patient characteristics that are risk factors for PONV.
(2,3,8-10). Duration of anesthesia, use of opioids, inhalation anesthesia, no antiemetic prophylaxis, surgery over 60 min, gynecological surgery, laparoscopic surgery, and cholecystectomy have been identified as surgical and anesthetic risk factors of PONV (2-4,9,10).

Thoracoscopic pulmonary wedge resection (TPWR) is a surgical procedure that can preserve lung function and is less physically invasive to a patient than lobectomy. However, the risk factors of PONV following TPWR remain unclear. To our knowledge, this investigation is the first literature to evaluate multiple risk factors for PONV after TPWR. We also evaluated the impact of PONV on postoperative outcomes. Subsequently, we examined the pitfalls of anesthetic use in actual clinical practice.

We have completed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guideline checklist. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-21-296).

**Methods**

**Patients**

This study retrospectively reviewed the data of 220 consecutive patients who underwent TPWR at our institution between October 2017 and March 2020. Finally, we evaluated the TPWR procedures for malignant pulmonary tumors. The exclusion criteria were patients who underwent a thoracotomy, had benign tumors, and had incomplete data (Figure 1).

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the Review Board of Aichi Cancer Center (approval number: 2020-1-419) and informed consent was taken from all the patients.

**Surgical indication**

The indications for TPWR included suspected adenocarcinoma in situ or minimally invasive adenocarcinoma, insufficient tolerance for anatomical pulmonary resection, and suspicious metastatic disease near the visceral pleural lesion.

**Surgical and anesthetic technique**

We performed operations using staplers via a 3- or 4-port approach without rib spreading or direct visualization. Surgeons used staplers in TPWR. Lymph node dissections were not performed in all patients. Surgeons performed intercostal nerve blocks from the thoracic cavity using 0.25–0.375% ropivacaine instead of epidural anesthesia. Anesthesiologists routinely used fentanyl as an opioid. Patients at our institution receive 100 μg of fentanyl at induction. Additional fentanyl was intermittently
administered with 50 or 100 µg. However, there was no uniform protocol for the interval of added fentanyl, use of inhalation anesthesia, steroid, antiemetic drugs, or intraoperative infusion, and this was determined according to the anesthesiologist’s preference. Sevoflurane was used for inhalation anesthesia, propofol was used for intravenous anesthesia. Premedication was not performed in all patients.

**Data and statistical analyses**

We collected the following patient data: age, sex, body mass index, smoking index, history of cancer chemotherapy, plasma creatinine, and spirometry test results. Smoking index was calculated as follows: cigarettes per day × years of smoking. We could not review the history of PONV or motion sickness; female non-smokers were evaluated as predictive risk factors according to the Apfel score (2). We also evaluated polypharmacy as a risk factor (total number of drugs ≥6), because drugs are included in the health condition component and are related to the body function and structure, activity, and participation components (11). The operative outcomes included operative time and surgical blood loss. Anesthetic parameters were as follows: dose of fentanyl per kg per hour, remifentanil, dexamethasone, droperidol, ketamine acid, prochlorperazine maleate, inhalation anesthesia (including temporary usage), and intraoperative infusion index (IOII). The IOII was calculated as follows: [amount of infusion (mL) – intraoperative total output (mL)]/(operative time (hour) × body weight (kg)) (12). We had developed the protocol of early postoperative mobilization and chest drain removal within 4 hours after the operation since January 2017 (13). We evaluated the impact of PONV on postoperative mobilization within 4 hours after surgery, and postoperative hospitalization.

PONV was determined when awakening from anesthesia. The surgery team and nursing staff evaluated PONV every hour for 6 hours after surgery and every 3 hours until the next morning after 6 hours. Antiemetics were administered at the patient’s wishes or at the time of vomiting by the judgments of medical staff.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 21.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A two-sided P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. We assessed the differences in the clinical and perioperative parameters between the PONV (P group) and non-PONV (N group) groups. The values are expressed as median ± standard deviation (SD) with interquartile range. The quantitative continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. The χ² and Fisher’s exact tests were used to compare categorical variables. Parameters with P<0.1 in the univariate analysis were selected for inclusion in the multivariable logistic regression analysis.

**Results**

Sixty patients were excluded due to the following: 19 patients underwent thoracotomies, 32 patients had benign tumors, 6 patients had a pneumothorax, and 3 patients had incomplete data. Finally, 160 patients were reviewed. A total of 27 patients (16.9%) were in the P group, and 133 patients (83.1%) were in the N group (Figure 1). Sixteen (59.3%) of the 27 patients in the P group required postoperative antiemetics. The median duration from cancer chemotherapy to surgery was 17.5±34.5 months (range 0–169 months). Thirty-two patients (20%) had polypharmacy. In this study, there was no patient with severe comorbidities, such as chronic heart failure, chronic kidney disease requiring dialysis, or liver cirrhosis of Child-Pugh Class B or higher. Four patients (2.5%) had postoperative complications. Class I complications according to the Clavien-Dindo classification were as follows: prolonged air leakage (drainage period of ≥7 days) in 2 patients, both of whom needed reinsertion of chest drains for air leakage on 2 postoperative day (POD), and cerebral infarction on POD1 in 1 patient (14). Class IIIb complications included subglottic laryngitis requiring emergent tracheostomy on POD2 in 1 patient. None of the patients required conversion to a thoracotomy, readmission, or had a 90-day postoperative mortality.

**Tables 1 and 2** summarizes the results of the univariate analysis. Less smoking and an increased dose of fentanyl were related to PONV (P=0.046 and 0.007, respectively). Although female non-smokers, and lower plasma creatinine levels were not statistical risk factors of PONV, they tended to be associated with PONV (P=0.075 and 0.055, respectively). History of cancer chemotherapy, history of cancer chemotherapy within 6 months before surgery, and polypharmacy were not risk factors of PONV (P=0.153, 0.538, and 0.46, respectively). Other perioperative parameters were also not risk factors of PONV. In this study, none of the antiemetic prophylaxis administered was effective in preventing PONV. Statistically, PONV did not affect failure of early mobilization; however, failed mobilization was associated with PONV requiring postoperative antiemetics [successful mobilization vs. failed mobilization: 8% vs. 22%; P=0.003].
Table 1  Univariate analysis of postoperative nausea and vomitus

| Variables                               | P group (n=27) | N group (n=133) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age (year old)                          | 68±13.1 (34–86) | 70±13.7 (17–86) | 0.935a  |
| Sex (male/female)                       | 15/12 (55.6/44.4%) | 92/41 (69.2/31.8%) | 0.17b   |
| Smoking index                           | 4±598 (0–2,160) | 400±685 (0–3,300) | 0.046c  |
| Female non-smoker                       | 17 (63%)        | 28 (21.1%)      | 0.075b  |
| Body mass index                         | 21.9±3.3 (16.6–31) | 22.1±4 (14.5–37.1) | 0.221a  |
| Total number of drugs ≥6                | 4 (14.8%)       | 28 (21.1%)      | 0.460d  |
| History of cancer chemotherapy          | 15 (55.6%)      | 54 (40.6%)      | 0.153b  |
| Hemoglobin (mean, g/dL)                 | 13.4±1.3 (11.1–16.1) | 13.3±1.5 (8.6–16.4) | 0.405e  |
| Albumin (g/dL)                          | 4.1±0.3 (3.6–4.6) | 4.1±0.4 (2.6–4.8) | 0.654e  |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)                      | 0.7±0.2 (0.5–1.4) | 0.8±0.3 (0.5–2.4) | 0.055e  |
| Spirometry test                         |                |                 |         |
| %VC                                     | 94.8±11.9 (76.3–124) | 96.4±15.7 (45.2–139.4) | 0.702a  |
| FEV1.0%                                 | 79.3±15.1 (61.5–122.5) | 83.7±17.1 (40.1–130.2) | 0.649a  |
| DLCO (mL/min/mmHg)                      | 18±5 (7.1–28.5) | 18±5.8 (8–38.9) | 0.610e  |

Data are shown as n (%) or median ± SD. P group, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) group; N group, non-PONV group; a, Mann-Whitney test; b, Chi-square test; c, Student t-test; d, Fisher exact test; %VC, percent of vital capacity; FEV1.0%, forced expiratory volume in 1 second as a percent of forced vital capacity; DLCO, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide.

Table 2  Univariate analysis of postoperative nausea and vomitus

| Variables                               | P group (n=27) | N group (n=133) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Operative time (minute)                 | 73±37.9 (42–197) | 71±33.2 (20–260) | 0.262a  |
| Blood loss (mL)                         | 0±21.2 (0–80)   | 0±21.2 (0–100)  | 0.970a  |
| IOII                                    | 9.6±4 (3–19.8)  | 10±12.1 (-9.3–36.8) | 0.721a  |
| Fentanyl (μg/kg/Hr)                     | 4.7±1.6 (1.6–8) | 3.4±1.9 (0–10.3) | 0.007a  |
| Remifentanil                            | 25 (92.6%)      | 126 (94.7%)     | 0.649d  |
| Dexamethasone                           | 1 (3.7%)        | 10 (7.5%)       | 0.415d  |
| Droperidol                              | 4 (14.8%)       | 9 (6.8%)        | 0.155b  |
| Ketamine acid                           | 3 (11.1%)       | 9 (6.8%)        | 0.332b  |
| Prochlorperazine maleate                | 3 (11.1%)       | 24 (18%)        | 0.073b  |
| Inhalation anesthesia                   | 10 (37%)        | 45 (33.8%)      | 0.749b  |
| Failed 4 hours postoperative mobilization | 6 (22.2%)    | 15 (11.3%)      | 0.117d  |
| Postoperative hospitalization (day)     | 2±2             | 3±1             | 0.221b  |

Data are shown as n (%) or median ± SD. P group, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) group; N group, non-PONV group; a, Mann-Whitney test; b, Chi-square test; c, Student t-test; d, Fisher exact test; IOII, intraoperative infusion index.
Discussion

The predictive risk factors of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) following thoracoscopic pulmonary wedge resection (TPWR) remain unclear. Approximately twenty years ago, PONV was observed in 30%, and it is up to 70% in high-risk patients undergoing general anesthesia (15). In recent reports, the overall incidence of PONV was 13–26% (8,16,17). PONV can cause delayed recovery and life-threatening complications such as hospital-acquired pneumonia and thromboembolic events (18). More recent studies have described the effectiveness of preventing PONV; however, this is difficult to clarify in further detail during lung surgery (6,7). In this literature, we could detect the risk factor of PONV after TPWR and the impact of PONV on postoperative outcomes. TPWR is a less invasive surgery performed to preserve physical function and often accomplished within a shorter anesthetic period than general thoracic surgery. However, in this study, 16.9% of patients had PONV in total, and a failed first mobilization was associated with PONV requiring postoperative antiemetics (P=0.048). PONV was associated with poorer outcomes.

The risk factors for PONV have been previously reported (2,3,8-10). This article is the first investigation to evaluate multiple risk factors of PONV, including history of cancer chemotherapy and polypharmacy following TPWR. However, history of cancer chemotherapy and polypharmacy were not risk factors of PONV. On the univariate analysis, less smoking and a higher dose of fentanyl was associated with PONV (P=0.046 and 0.007, respectively). These outcomes could be risk factors of PONV, similar to previous reports. Female non-smokers, and lower plasma creatinine levels tended to be associated

Table 3 Multivariate analysis of postoperative nausea and vomiting

| Variables               | Odds ratio | Confidence interval 95% | P value |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Female non-smoker       | 1.386      | 0.446–4.305             | 0.572   |
| Smoking index           | 0.999      | 0.999–1.000             | 0.220   |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)      | 0.171      | 0.011–1.277             | 0.156   |
| Fentanyl (μg/kg/Hr)     | 1.295      | 1.043–1.606             | 0.022   |

Figure 2 The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for total dose of fentanyl. According to the area under the ROC curve, the optimal cut-off value for PONV was 3.58 μg/kg/hr (area under the curve =0.665; sensitivity =85.2%; specificity =53.4%; 95% confidence interval: 0.562–0.768; P=0.007).

(n=11 of 138) vs. 22.7% (n=5 of 22); P=0.048]. PONV and PONV requiring postoperative antiemetics were not associated with postoperative hospitalization (P=0.221 and 0.810, respectively).

In the multivariate analysis, the dose of fentanyl was an independent risk factor of PONV (P=0.022) (Table 3). To assess the dose of fentanyl (μg/kg/h), we conducted a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis to determine the optimal cut-off value for this parameter. According to the area under the ROC curve, the optimal cut-off value for predicting PONV was 3.58 μg/kg/hr (area under the curve =0.665; sensitivity =85.2%; specificity =53.4%; 95% confidence interval: 0.562–0.768; P=0.007) (Figure 2). For example, in a 50 kg patient who underwent a 70-min operation (our median operative time), the total dose of fentanyl within 208 μg was the cut-off value for preventing PONV.

Discussion

The predictive risk factors of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) following thoracoscopic pulmonary wedge resection (TPWR) remain unclear. Approximately twenty years ago, PONV was observed in 30%, and it is up to 70% in high-risk patients undergoing general anesthesia (15). In recent reports, the overall incidence of PONV was 13–26% (8,16,17). PONV can cause delayed recovery and life-threatening complications such as hospital-acquired pneumonia and thromboembolic events (18). More recent studies have described the effectiveness of preventing PONV; however, this is difficult to clarify in further detail during lung surgery (6,7). In this literature, we could detect the risk factor of PONV after TPWR and the impact of PONV on postoperative outcomes. TPWR is a less invasive surgery performed to preserve physical function and often accomplished within a shorter anesthetic period than general thoracic surgery. However, in this study, 16.9% of patients had PONV in total, and a failed first mobilization was associated with PONV requiring postoperative antiemetics (P=0.048). PONV was associated with poorer outcomes.

The risk factors for PONV have been previously reported (2,3,8-10). This article is the first investigation to evaluate multiple risk factors of PONV, including history of cancer chemotherapy and polypharmacy following TPWR. However, history of cancer chemotherapy and polypharmacy were not risk factors of PONV. On the univariate analysis, less smoking and a higher dose of fentanyl was associated with PONV (P=0.046 and 0.007, respectively). These outcomes could be risk factors of PONV, similar to previous reports. Female non-smokers, and lower plasma creatinine levels tended to be associated
with PONV ($P=0.075$ and 0.055, respectively). We considered that lower plasma creatinine level may depend on less muscle mass. Due to the slight difference, it had little clinical significance. Finally, on the multivariate analysis, the dose of fentanyl was the strongest risk factor for PONV following TPWR ($P=0.022$).

Several authors studied the impact of fentanyl dose or method of administration on PONV (19,20). They are still controversial, and further studies are needed to establish the safe administration of fentanyl to prevent PONV. In this study, we assessed the optimal cut-off dose of fentanyl for predicting PONV, which was 3.58 μg/kg/hr. In a patient weighing 50 kg who underwent a 70-min operation (our median operative time), the total dose of fentanyl within 208 μg was the cut-off for PONV. In several literatures, intraoperative administration of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) was effective against postoperative stress (21,22). In thoracoscopic surgery, intraoperative administration of NSAIDs may prevent overdose of fentanyl, however, there is still insufficient evidence. Jin reported that dexamethasone could significantly reduce PONV, and perioperative fentanyl consumption in the dexmedetomidine group was also reduced significantly (23). It has been not covered by insurance for general anesthesia in some countries. Thus, it is important to avoid the inadvertent administration of intraoperative opioids.

In this study, there was no protocol for antiemetic prophylaxis. Prochlorperazine maleate was more frequently used as an antiemetic prophylaxis, but none of the antiemetic prophylaxis administered was significantly effective in preventing PONV. For each antiemetic, a small number of patients had to be evaluated, which resulted in an inadequate evaluation of the antiemetic. Considering that antiemetics with a sedative effect increases the risk of failure in our early mobilization protocol, we could not include this into our protocol. Dexamethasone was recommended as an antiemetic, but this is also not covered by insurance in some countries (5,18). There is a gap between the current guidelines and clinical practice. However, in the future, permission for its conditional use in high-risk patients should be considered.

This study has several limitations. First, this investigation was a retrospective observation in a single facility, and the generalizability of the findings is limited. We could not obtain information about alcohol. Second, there was no protocol for anesthesia, and anesthetic management was varied compared with a well-planned prospective study. Risk assessment for PONV and use of antiemetics were varied on each anesthesiologist, which may make it difficult to accurately determine the effectiveness of antiemetics. It is therefore possible that the inevitable bias associated with the study design may have affected our analysis. Third, because our facility is a cancer hospital and benign diseases are minor, we analyzed without benign diseases in this study. Further prospective studies with more accurate data are necessary to confirm our findings.

**Conclusions**

An increased dose of fentanyl/kg/h was the strongest risk factor for PONV during TPWR. The optimal cut-off value for PONV was 3.58 μg/kg/hr. It is important to avoid the inadvertent administration of intraoperative fentanyl.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank Editage (https://www.editage.jp) for English language editing. **Funding:** None.

**Footnote**

**Reporting Checklist:** The authors have completed the STROBE reporting checklist. Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-21-296

**Peer Review File:** Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-21-296

**Data Sharing Statement:** Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-21-296

**Conflicts of Interest:** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-21-296). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Ethical Statement:** The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.
(as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the Review Board of Aichi Cancer Center (approval number: 2020-1-419) and informed consent was taken from all the patients.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References
1. Dzwonczyk R, Weaver TE, Puente EG, et al. Postoperative nausea and vomiting prophylaxis from an economic point of view. Am J Ther 2012;19:11-5.
2. Apfel CC, Heidrich FM, Jukar-Rao S, et al. Evidence-based analysis of risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting. Br J Anaesth 2012;109:742-53.
3. Koivuranta M, Läärä E, Snåre L, et al. A survey of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anaesthesia 1997;52:443-9.
4. Dewinter G, Staelens W, Veef E, et al. Simplified algorithm for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting: a before-and-after study. Br J Anaesth Jan 2018;120:156-63.
5. Batchelor TJ, Rasburn NJ, Abdelnour-Berchtold E, et al. Guidelines for enhanced recovery after lung surgery: recommendations of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS). Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2019;55:91-115.
6. Gan TJ, Belani KG, Bergese S, et al. Fourth Consensus Guidelines for the Management of Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting. Anesth Analg 2020;131:411-48.
7. Elvir-Lazo OL, White PF, Yumul R, et al. Management strategies for the treatment and prevention of postoperative/postdischarge nausea and vomiting: an updated review. F1000Res 2020;9:F1000 Faculty Rev-983.
8. Yi MS, Kang H, Kim MK, et al. Relationship between the incidence and risk factors of postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients with intravenous patient-controlled analgesia. Asian J Surg 2018;41:301-6.
9. Kenny GN. Risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anaesthesia 1994;49:6-10.
10. Lerman J. Surgical and patient factors involved in postoperative nausea and vomiting. Br J Anaesth 1992;69:24S-32S.
11. Wakabayashi H. Rehabilitation pharmacotherapy: a combination of rehabilitation and pharmacotherapy. J Gen Fam Med 2018;19:43-4.
12. Nakada T, Shirai S, Oya Y, et al. Four Hours Postoperative Mobilization is Feasible After Thoracoscopic Anatomical Pulmonary Resection. World J Surg 2021;45:631-7.
13. Kuroda H, Sugita Y, Watanabe K, et al. Successful postoperative recovery management after thoracoscopic lobectomy and segmentectomy using an ERAS-based protocol of immediate ice cream intake and early ambulation: a 3-year study. Cancer Manag Res 2019;11:4201-7.
14. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 636 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg 2004;240:205-13.
15. Apfel CC, Läärä E, Koivuranta M, et al. A simplified risk score for predicting postoperative nausea and vomiting: conclusions from cross-validations between two centers. Anesthesiology 1999;91:693-700.
16. da Silva HB, Sousa AM, Guimarães GM, et al. Does previous chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting predict postoperative nausea and vomiting? Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2015;59:1145-53.
17. Chimbira W, Sweeney BP. The effect of smoking on postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anaesthesia 2000;55:540-4.
18. Hamilton E, Ravikumar R, Bartlett D, et al. Dexamethasone reduces emesis after major gastrointestinal surgery (DREAMS). Trials 2013;14:249.
19. Mauermann E, Clamer D, Ruppen W, et al. Association between intra-operative fentanyl dosing and postoperative nausea/vomiting and pain: A prospective cohort study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2019;36:871-80.
20. Dutta A, Sethi N, Choudhary P, et al. The impact of preinduction fentanyl dosing strategy on postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Opioid Manag 2018;14:283-93.
flurbiprofen axetil provides enhanced analgesic effects after radical thyroidectomy: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Anesthesiol 2019;19:167.

23. Jin S, Liang DD, Chen C, et al. Dexmedetomidine prevent postoperative nausea and vomiting on patients during general anesthesia: A PRISMA-compliant meta analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicine 2017;96:e5770.

24. Sanjay OP, Tauro DI. Midazolam: an effective antiemetic after cardiac surgery--a clinical trial. Anesth Analg 2004;99:339-43.

Cite this article as: Nakai A, Nakada T, Okamoto S, Takahashi Y, Sakakura N, Nakada J, Kuroda H. Risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting after thoracoscopic pulmonary wedge resection: pitfalls of an increased fentanyl dose. J Thorac Dis 2021. doi: 10.21037/jtd-21-296