RMP promotes the proliferation and radioresistance of esophageal carcinoma
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Abstract

RMP is a RNA polymerase II Subunit RPB-5 associated protein shown to act as an oncogene in several cancer. However, the mechanism of the involvement of RMP in esophageal cancer (EC) remains unclear. We analyzed RMP expression in EC cell lines and EC tissues. The connection between RMP and clinical pathological features of EC was also elucidated. To investigate the role of RMP in EC, We performed CCK-8 assay to evaluate cell proliferation, and Annexin V/PI double-staining to evaluate cell apoptosis. Effect of RMP on tumor progression in nude mouse models was assessed by measurement of volume and weight of tumors. Expression of RMP, CEA and CA199 in vivo were measured by Immunohistochemical staining. First of all, our study showed that RMP was highly expressed in EC cell lines (compared with normal cells) and tumor tissues (compare with corresponding normal tissues). Then, we found that RMP was bound up with the status of nodal and T stage which indicating that RMP may be related to the growth and malignant degree of EC. Moreover upregulation of RMP could contribute to tumor growth in vitro and vivo. In addition, the results also showed that overexpression of RMP could significantly reduce the susceptibility to radiotherapy. Taken together, all these further suggested that RMP would play a chance–promoting in EC which may provide us a powerful goal for gene targeting treatment of esophageal cancer.
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Introduction

Esophageal cancer is one of the most common malignancies worldwide (1). It kills about 386,000 people each year (2). There are two main subtypes of esophageal cancer, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) and esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC), with ESCC being the most frequent type of esophageal malignancy (3). Despite the rapid advancement in diagnosis and therapy for EC, the average 5-year overall survival has remained at 10–20% because of the proliferation and invasion of cancer cells (4). Therefore, to improve the survival rate and the life quality of EC patients, it is urgent for us to have a good understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the development of EC.

RMP is a RPB5-associated protein. The RMP gene was first isolated and cloned from a human HepG2 cDNA library more than a decade ago (5). The unconventional prefoldin RPB5 interactor (URI), an alternative form of RMP, was shown to participate in a nutrient-related signaling pathway that is required for gene expression (6). More recently, URI has been shown to interact with the tumor suppressor protein parafibromin, a component of the PAF1 complex (7). URI-1 is the RMP homolog in Caenorhabditis elegans. It has been demonstrated that URI-1 is required to maintain genome stability by playing an important role in transcriptional regulation and genome integrity maintenance (8). Dr Wei team has shown previously that RMP associates with RPB5,
suppressing transcriptional activation via HBx. In addition, they have shown that the overexpression of HBx releases the inhibitory effect of RMP on transcriptional activation (10, 11). RMP/URI was amplified and overexpressed in tissues and cell lines of human ovarian carcinomas (12). Moreover, RMP has shown to be an oncogene in cervical cancer, endometrioid adenocarcinoma and multiple myeloma (13-15).

In our study, we have detected that RMP was highly expressed in EC tissues and cell lines. Furthermore, we found that RMP was related to the growth and malignant degree of esophageal cancer and overexpression of RMP could reduce the susceptibilities of EC cells to irradiation. In addition, we have shown that promote tumor growth in vitro and vivo. Therefore, our study indicates that targeting RMP could be a promising treatment for EC patients.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Three EC cell lines (ECa-109, TE-1 and EC-9706), and a normal human esophageal epithelial cell line (HEEC) were maintained in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, USA) at 37°C with 95% air and 5% CO2.

Human tissue specimens

A total of 96 pairs of EC and adjacent non-tumor tissues were collected by the Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University between the year of 2011 and 2015. The tissues were immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The patients had not been pretreated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy prior to surgery without other additional criteria. Clinicopathological data were obtained by reviewing their pathology records. Both tumor and corresponding normal tissues were histologically examined by pathologists. The distances between the corresponding adjacent normal tissues and EC lesions were at least 3-4 cm. The use of the tissues for all assays was obtained with informed consent and this project was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University.

RNA extraction and quantitative Real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from the cell lines and human tissues with the Trizol (Invitrogen, USA). Using a RMP specificity qRT-PCR detection kit (Stratagene, USA), qRT-PCR assays were performed on an ABI 7500 fast real-time PCR system (Applied, Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The experiment was repeated three times. Primers for RMP were 5’-TCCGAATAAAA TACTGAAAG-3’ and 5’-AAGGCTCTGTAATGTC TGC-3’. Primers for Bax were 5’-TTTGGCTTCAGGGTT TTCATC-3’ and 5’-GACACCTGGCTAGCTCTTG TGC-3’. Primers for Bcl-2 were 5’-GTTGGGAG AGGGAG GAAGAA-3’ and 5’-CGCAGAAGGATCCACATCTG TGC-3’. Primers for GAPDH were 5’-GACCTGTGGTGTGCTGT-3’.

For Real-Time PCR data analysis, cycle threshold (Ct) difference (ACT) was used for making comparisons between samples. The relative mRNA expression (FAM196B/ GAPDH) was determined by the 2^-Delta Ct method.

Plasmid construction

All the plasmids were obtained from the laboratory of the Department of Cell Biology at Soochow University. The plasmids pGPU6/Neo-SCR, pGPU6/Neo-RMPI for RMP depletion and pFlagCMV4-RMP for RMP overexpression were constructed as described previously (16).

Cells transfection

Cells were divided into four groups according to the treatment and named as follows: Unt group (untreated), Vector group (transfected with pGPU6/Neo-SCR), RPMo group (transfected with pFlagCMV4-RMP) and RPMi group (transfected with pGPU6/Neo-RMPI). Plasmids (3-4 µg) were transfected into cells at 37°C for 48h using Opti-MEM (Gibco, USA) and Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cells (1x106 cells/ml) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. The transfection efficiency was visualized by using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) at magnification, x200. The protein expression of ARTS was confirmed by western blotting.

Western blot

Total protein was extracted from cultured cells by using RIPA Lysis Buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The protein concentration was determined through the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad, USA). Equal doses protein from each sample was applied to SDS-PAGE gels and then transferred onto PVDF membranes. After blocking with 5% non-fat milk in TBST for 2h, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with diluted primary antibody. Followed by washing with TBST solution three times, the membranes were incubated with the secondary antibody at room temperature (RT) while shaking on a rotary for 2 h. The signals were visualized using ECL reagents (Pierce). The primary antibodies included SNX1 (1:400, SantaCruz, USA) and β-actin.
Annexin V/PI double-staining assay

Apoptosis was analyzed by flow cytometry using Annexin V/PI double staining. Firstly ECa-109 or TE-1 cells were seeded in six-well plates. After transfected for 24h, cells of Unt group, Vector group, RMPo group and RMPI group were cultured in the presence of irradiation (6Gy) respectively for 48h. All the cells were collected and washed twice with cold PBS, and the cells were resuspended in 100ul of binding buffer and incubated with Annexin v/PI double staining solution (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) at 37°C in the dark for 15 min. The number of apoptotic and necrotic cells were calculated by flow cytometry (Becton-Dickinson Co, NJ, USA) and analyzed by Cell Quest Software.

Cell proliferation assay and tumor formation assay in nude mouse models

For analysis of cell proliferation, cells were seeded into 96-well plates at 2x10^3 cells per well. Cells were incubated with Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK8, Dojindo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The proliferation rate was measured at 0, 24, 48, and 72h after transfection. Absorbance was then determined at 450nm using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, USA). Five-week BALB/c nude mice were purchased from Experimental Animal Center of Soochow University, and all animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Treatment Committee of Soochow University. Tumor cells in the logarithmic phase were collected, washed twice with PBS, and resuspended at a concentration of 2x10^7 cells per milliliter of PBS. Then 0.1 ml suspending cells were subcutaneously injected into the armpit of each female nude mouse. The tumor volumes were examined every two days. After two weeks, animals were sacrificed and solid tumor tissues were removed and weighed.

Immunohistochemistry detection

Tissues from nude mice were subjected to formalin fixation, paraffin embedding and sectioning for immunohistochemistry assays as describe previously. The slides were blocked for 30 min in PBST containing 3% BSA (PBST-BSA) at 37°C and incubated overnight at 4°C with mouse monoclonal antibodies (1:500 in PBST-BSA). Slides were then washed 3 times in PBST (10 min at RT) and incubated for 2 h with the secondary antibody (anti-mouse HRP, 1:200) in PBST at RT. After incubation, slides were washed three times (10 min each) in PBST and mounted with 3 μl of Vectashield for further analysis. Sections were examined at high power (x 400) under a standard light microscope. Cell staining was regard as positive if nuclear was homogeneous stained or 10% or more of cytoplasm was heterogeneously stained.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviations (mean±SD). Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS software (version 21.0). Differences were evaluated using Student's t test. A value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

RMP expression is elevated in cancer cells and EC tissues

The expression of RMP in three EC cell lines (ECa-109, TE-1 and EC-9706) and a normal human esophageal epithelial cell line (HEEC) was analyzed by qRT-PCR. The results showed that RMP mRNA levels in three EC cell lines were higher than that in normal cells (Figure 1A). Then, we detected the expression of RMP mRNA in 96 EC tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues. RMP mRNA was significantly higher expressed in EC tissues compared with corresponding normal tissues (Figure 1B). Then we performed western blot to analyze RMP protein levels in four different cell lines, EC tissues and corresponding non-tumor tissues. The results showed that RMP protein expression was significantly increased three EC cell lines compared with the normal cells (Figure 1C). Analogously, the expression of RMP protein in EC tissues from 96 patients was much higher than that in the matched non-tumor tissues (Figure 1D). Therefore, RMP expression is elevated in cancer cells and EC tissues.

The connection between RMP and clinical pathological features of EC

We have implied that RMP was increased both in mRNA level and protein level. In order to explore the connection between RMP and clinical pathological features of EC, we summarized the patients’ detail information as shown in Table 1. Then we performed qRT-PCR to study whether the EC’s four clinical characteristics containing nodal status, T stage, tumor types and tumor location were relevant to RMP. Interestingly, the RMP mRNA level was up-regulated in Nodal (Positive) compared with in Nodal (Negative) (Figure 2A). What’s more, the RMP mRNA level was increased along with the T stage rose (Figure 2B). In contrast, RMP mRNA levels were no distinction in tumor types as well as in tumor locations (Figure 2C and D). So these data suggested that RMP was bound up with the status of nodal and T stage. In addition, it was also indicated that RMP
may be related to the growth and malignant degree of EC.

**RMP promotes EC cell growth in vitro**

To explore the effects of RMP on EC cells, we performed CCK-8 assays to further analyze the effect of RMP on cell proliferation. The effect of RMP on the cell proliferation was examined in ECa-109 and TE-1. Obviously, the growth of cancer cells was promoted by the over-expression of RMP (RMPo), while depletion of RMP by RNA interference (RMPi) distinctly reduced the proliferation of cancer cells, compared with the cells untransfected (Unt) or transfected with vector alone (Vector) (Figure 3A and B). Therefore, the results suggested that RMP could promote EC cell growth in vitro.
Table 1. The clinical information of 96 EC patients.

| Clinical characteristics | EC(n=96) |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Gender                   |          |
| Male                     | 52       |
| Female                   | 44       |
| Age at diagnosis         |          |
| Mean±SD                  | 61±14    |
| Median (range)           | 57(38-84)|
| T-stage                  |          |
| T1                       | 19       |
| T2                       | 39       |
| T3                       | 21       |
| T4                       | 17       |
| Nodal status             |          |
| positive                 | 50       |
| negative                 | 46       |
| Tumor types              |          |
| Squamous carcinoma       | 62       |
| Adenocarcinoma           | 34       |
| Tumor location           |          |
| Upper                    | 18       |
| Midpiece                 | 43       |
| Hypomere                 | 35       |

**Upregulation of RMP enhances the radioresistance of EC cells**

We further investigated the effect of RMP on the susceptibility of EC cells to radiotherapy. To study this phenomenon, we performed apoptosis assays to observe the apoptosis of EC cells that were treated with irradiation (6Gy). Compared with the Unt and Vector groups, RMPo group had a significantly decreased apoptosis rate whereas RMPi group increased the apoptosis rate dramatically (Figure 4A). Furthermore, we implemented qRT-PCR to explore the mRNA level of Bax (apoptosis related gene) and Bcl-2 (antiapoptosis related gene). As a result, overexpression of RMP could reduce the Bax mRNA level while increase the Bcl-2 mRNA level (Figure 4B). So these results indicated that RMP could promote radioresistance of EC cells through regulating the expression of apoptosis related genes and antiapoptosis related genes.

**Effect of RMP on tumor progression in vivo**

The CCK-8 assays have proved that RMP could promote cell proliferation in EC cells (Figure 3A and B). To further study the effects of RMP on tumor progression in vivo, we established four kinds of nude mouse models. The results showed that compared with the tumors in Unt or Vector groups, the volume and weight of tumors in RMPo group were obviously increased while distinctly decreased in RMPi group (Figure 5A, B and C). In addition, immunohistochemical staining showed that the expression of RMP was enhanced in the xenograft tumors from RMPo cells, while decreased in tumors from RMPi cells, compared with control groups. The expression of EC marker CEA and CA199 were also improved in RMPo tumors, while decreased in RMPi tumors (Figure 5D). Thus, RMP might work as an oncogene of EC in vitro and vivo.

**Discussion**

Esophageal cancer is one of the common malignancies worldwide, especially in the East Asia, Africa and North America (16). ESCC is the most common clinical type of esophageal cancer in China (17). Limited clinical treatments, rare early-stage diagnosis and frequent recurrence lead to poor outcomes of EC (18). The 5-year survival rate of EC is only approximately 25% (19). As a result, a comprehensive understanding of the factors contributing to the development and progression of EC should aim to identify potential therapeutic targets in clinical management. RMP has been reported to serve as an oncogene in Hepatocellular Carcinoma (20), ovarian cancer and cervical cancer (12,13). However, the function of RMP in EC is still unknown. So it is urgent for us to clarify the molecular mechanisms underlying the development of EC.

![Figure 3](http://www.jcancer.org)
In our study, the expression of RMP was much higher in EC tissues than in corresponding adjacent tissues. What’s more, overexpression of RMP could reduce the susceptibility of EC cells to radiotherapy. At present, despite improvements in the management of esophageal cancer patients, the resistance of tumor to anticancer drugs such as cisplatin or irradiation remains a major obstacle in the non-surgical anticancer treatment of esophageal cancer (21-23). At the same time, understanding the molecular of the RMP associated with other genes is critical in using it as a therapeutic tool in EC. The integration of growth factor and nutrient signals is achieved through mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), which coordinately controls cell growth by modulating the activity of a series of effector proteins. Among these is S6 kinase 1 (S6K1), which promotes protein synthesis as well as cell survival (24,25). Functional analysis of URI in yeast revealed that it acts downstream of TOR to control nutrient-sensitive gene expression. In mammalian cells, the phosphorylation state of URI is influenced by signals that affect mTOR activity insofar as insulin or IGF1 treatment produces hyperphosphorylated URI, an effect blocked by rapamycin (6). The tight correlation between URI phosphorylation and mTOR activity implies a potential role for URI in mTOR signaling in mammalian cells. In its un(der)phosphorylated form, URI is bound to PPIγ and functions as its inhibitor (26-28). Dissociation of PPIγ, from bound URI is initiated by S6K1-mediated phosphorylation of URI at Ser-371, which when liberated, proceeds to dephosphorylate S6K1 and possibly BAD (29,30). Therefore, according to these theories, the relationships among RMP, mTOR, S6K1 and PPIγ are worth researching, which may make us understand the pathogenesis of EC well.

In conclusion, the effects of RMP on proliferation and radioresistance have been verified in the present study. As a result, a new biomarker in EC is helpful in the development of a better therapy strategy for EC patients.

Figure 4. Upregulation of RMP enhances the radioresistance of EC cells. (A) Cell apoptosis analysis of ECa-109 and TE-1 cells in Unt, Vector, RMPo and RMPi groups; (B) Expression of Bax mRNA and Bcl-2 mRNA in Unt, Vector, RMPo and RMPi groups through RT-qPCR analysis.
Figure 5. Effect of RMP on tumor progression in vivo. (A) Nude mouse models in Unt, Vector, RMPo and RMPi groups; (B) Volume of tumors in Unt, Vector, RMPo and RMPi groups; (C) Weight of tumors in Unt, Vector, RMPo and RMPi groups; (D) Expression of RMP, CEA and CA199 in Unt, Vector, RMPo and RMPi groups by Immunohistochemical staining.
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