Advances on the Valorisation and Functionalization of By-Products and Wastes from Cereal-Based Processing Industry
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Abstract: Cereals have been one of the major food resources for human diets and animal feed for thousands of years, and a large quantity of by-products is generated throughout the entire processing food chain, from farm to fork. These by-products mostly consist of the germ and outer layers (bran) derived from dry and wet milling of the grains, of the brewers’ spent grain generated in the brewing industry, or comprise other types obtained from the breadmaking and starch production industries. Cereal processing by-products are an excellent low-cost source of various compounds such as dietary fibres, proteins, carbohydrates and sugars, minerals and antioxidants (such as polyphenols and vitamins), among others. Often, they are downgraded and end up as waste or, in the best case, are used as animal feed or fertilizers. With the increase in world population coupled with the growing awareness about environmental sustainability and healthy life-styles and well-being, the interest of the industry and the global market to provide novel, sustainable and innovative solutions for the management of cereal-based by-products is also growing rapidly. In that respect, these promising materials can be valorised by applying various biotechnological techniques, thus leading to numerous economic and environmental advantages as well as important opportunities towards new product development (NPD) in the food and feed industry and other types such as chemical, packaging, nutraceutical (dietary supplements and food additives), cosmetic and pharmaceutical industries. This review aims at giving a scientific overview of the potential and the latest advances on the valorisation of cereal-based by-products and wastes. We intended it to be a reference document for scientists, technicians and all those chasing new research topics and opportunities to explore cereal-based by-products through a circular economy approach.
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1. Introduction

A society without hunger but with quality and safe food for all is one of the largest challenges for humanity. It has been calculated that 1.3 billion tons of food loss and waste are generated every year from all stages of the food manufacturing process, chiefly food production and consumption [1]. The latter corresponds to approximately one-third of the food produced in the world for human consumption that is lost or becomes waste every year [2]. Paradoxically, an impressive one-third of
the world’s soil has been lost in the last 40 years, 2.3 billion square kilometres of land area are being
degraded and almost 5 million of people died from hunger just in 2020 [2].
These losses result from the whole food (and feed) value chain, i.e., from the harvest step,
passing through the postharvest processing, industrial processing and commercialization, to the final
consumption by the consumers (transportation, storage, home processing and waste). Food losses also
include food processing by-products, which remain as side streams during the production of target
products among all sectors of food production. Of course, the negative impact of these losses is even
greater if the required inputs such as land, energy and water resources are taken into consideration.
This scenario has raised a global interest and the Zero Hunger and the Responsible Consumption
and Production of food plans have been included in the seventeen Global Sustainability Goals of
the United Nations (UN), which represent an Agenda for global action up to 2030 and defines the
sustainable development at the economic, social and environmental dimensions [3]. The “Farm to Fork
Strategy—for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system” [4] is also a good example of the
European Union (EU) set of strategies and actions on the scope of “The European Green Deal” towards
the EU’s economy sustainable [5]. These policies are in line with the strategies and commitments of the
Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations towards a sustainable future.
Over decades, the EU has developed and put into force some world’s highest environmental
standards, dating back from 2008 when the EU waste management law established a legal framework
to treat wastes [6]. The directive 2008/98/EC [7] is of major importance since it gave priority to the
reduction of the waste at the source, followed by reuse, recycling, and recovery, i.e., the 3 R’s policy
following the hierarchy: Reduce, Reuse and Recycle. The 3 R’s policy is often replaced by the 5 R’s
concept, i.e., Reduce, Reuse, Recycle, Rethink and Renew. In that respect, the utilization of by-products
from Agro-food manufacturing industry affects industrial branches with underestimated capacity and
growing amounts of by-products, against the trends of sustainability principles [8].
The term “cereals” refers to members of the Gramineae family and determines nine species:
wheat (Triticum spp.), rye (Secale spp.), barley (Hordeum spp.), oat (Avena spp.), rice (Oryza spp.),
millet (Pennisetum spp.), corn (Zea spp.), sorghum (Sorghum spp.), and triticale (x Triticosecale
Wittmack)—which is a hybrid of wheat and rye. Furthermore, pseudocereals are non-grasses with
similar end-uses to cereals (or true grasses); these flours have gained high popularity among scientists, technicians, and consumers, and encompass the well-known buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum),
amaranth (Amaranthus spp.), quinoa (Chenopodium spp.), and others such as chia (Salvia spp.), cañihua and
pit seed goosefoot (Chenopodium spp.), breadnut (Brosimum alicastrum), celosia (Celosia spp.) and wattle
seeds (Acacia spp.).
For a majority of the world’s population, cereals represent a staple food in their daily diet. As depicted in Figure 1, a continuous increase—both in cereal production and corresponding harvested
area—is being perceived and can be mainly attributed to the growing demands due to the global
human’s demographic growth or human overpopulation, especially after World War II. Particularly
during 2018, an increase of 4.0% in the globally harvested area and 18.9% in the cereal production
occurred compared to 2009.
The three main cereals produced in the world during 2018 were maize (38.7%), rice (26.4%) and
wheat (24.8%), comprising 89.9% of the world’s production of cereals (Figure 2). Despite being rich in
nutrients, cereal by-products are still mostly being turned out in feed, substrates for bio-refineries or
waste. Cereal processing industry is striving to find alternative ways to minimize their volumes of
by-products and wastes. In that way, they are looking for new solutions and applications to valorise
their by-products by the means of their reprocessing in order to get valuable extractable compounds
presented therein or chemically, microbiologically and enzymatically converted compounds, so that
new applications and niches of markets are attained. In response to that, the scientific literature
reports a significant growing number of publications during the last twenty years dealing with cereal
by-products (Figure 3).
In response to that, the scientific literature reports a growing number of publications during the last twenty years dealing with cereals and by-products. This research work will be presented therein or chemically, microbiologically and enzymatically converted compounds formed by neutral, glyco, alternative for cereal processing.

Figure 1. World cereal harvested area and production during the time period of 2009–2018. Data source: FAOSTAT [9].

Figure 2. Percentage of world cereals production during 2018. Data source: FAOSTAT [9].

Figure 3. Number of yearly articles published on the topic of cereal by-products during the period 1920–2020, according to the Scopus database (https://www.scopus.com/home.uri). Last access on 09/07/2020; Document search: “cereal AND by-product”.

In cereal grains, the carbohydrates are the major constituents and encompass starch and soluble sugars as well as carbohydrates not digested by humans, i.e., the dietary fibres: hemicellulose (β-glucans and arabinoyxylans), cellulose, lignin, pectin, resistant starch and other complex polysaccharides. Starch is the most abundant cereal polysaccharide, contributing to ca. 40–70%. Dietary fibres include, for instance, the water-soluble β-glucan and water-soluble pentosans, whereas the water-insoluble fibres are the lignin, cellulose, water-insoluble hemicelluloses [10]. Extractable plant-based components include fibres, hemicelluloses, proteins, polysaccharides, lipids and phytochemicals. Proteins comprise...
7–12% of cereals whereas lipids are minor compounds comprising ca. 1.7–5.7% (depending on the type of cereal and flour extraction rates) and are mainly formed by neutral, glyco- and phospholipids [11–20].

We intend this scientific review article to give an original overview of the high value of cereal-based by-products and their processing derivatives. Based on the identification of their most valuable constituents, numerous innovative technological routes were identified and applied to virtually most industrial segments. Furthermore, the use of cereal-based by-products was treated in this research work not only from a scientific-technological point of view but rather in an integrated perspective of environmental, economic and social sustainability. It is anticipated that this review article may become a reference document for those scientists and technicians who need new insights on the topic of cereal-based by-product valorisation.

2. Processing of Cereals and the Derived By-Products

A detailed description of cereal processing and the resulting by-products can be obtained in some complementary literature [21–23]. In the present section, a brief description of the various alternatives for cereal processing will be presented and will include the most recent advances in the field.

Cereal by-products continue to represent an unexploited source of many compounds or fractions with high nutritional value and could serve as novel material not only for food and feed production but also for other non-food products. Besides the food industry, bioethanol industry represents an important alternative for the use of cereal by-products.

The milling industry is the major supplier of cereal by-products. The steps performed before milling result in a by-product called “grain screenings” mainly containing all the cereal seeds that do not fulfil grading specification. Besides the refined cereal flours, cereal bran and germ are separately obtained during milling, with the latter used subsequently for the production of cereal germ oil. Cereal bran is considered the main by-product from cereal milling. It contains the coat seed and aleurone layer from the milling of the cereal grains after sieving out the endosperm. Milling of cereals comprises steps such as cleaning and grading before breaking down the grains and sifting to obtain different fractions based on size-exclusion separation in sieves. Depending on the mill used, the cereal grains are broken into smaller pieces by grinding, cutting or crushing to any desired size specification, depending on the final use. Accordingly, different by-products are produced during cereal processing. According to Ma et al. [24], and as expected, flours with smaller particle size had higher amounts of damaged starch but also lower levels of sulphydryl content of gluten protein leading to alteration of the quality characteristics of the flours. Depending on the desired traits of the final product (i.e., refined flour, starch and gluten), appropriate milling processes and equipment may be applied, e.g., jet milling combined with successive grindings in cascade and air classification are employed to isolate starch from flours resulting in a final product containing <2% (dry basis, d.b.) of residual protein and very low amounts of lipids and pentosans [25].

Besides the refined wheat flours, nowadays the market requests the production of other types of flours such as wholemeal or enriched/biofortified flours with plant-based products. For whole wheat flour production, the wheat kernels are ground without separating the endosperm from germ and bran. Even though the consumers’ awareness and acceptance for the consumption of whole grain products is increasing, the industry still needs to focus on the optimization of such products due to the high number of whole grain-avoiders on the cause of poor organoleptic characteristics [26]. Different techniques such as physical, chemical and enzymatic have been applied to this purpose. Besides the increasing of the nutritional value of the final products, these methods aim at reducing negative effects as well as at improving food texture and sensory attributes. Wheat protein that represents a by-product from the industrial production of starch, finds an end-use in the bakery industry to enrich flours for bread and pasta.

Bran from rice, obtained after polishing brown rice, contains grain parts such as pericarp, aleurone and embryo. It is considered as having prebiotic potential because of the beneficial compounds presented therein, such as dietary fibres, essential fatty acids, polyphenols and antioxidants. Bran from
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Rice must be stabilized before use to avoid lipid oxidation and deterioration of its quality and organoleptic characteristics. Recently, it has been used in (micro/nano) encapsulate matrices for probiotics showing high encapsulation efficiency and enhanced protection to simulated gastrointestinal tract and storage conditions [27,28]. In addition, it was successfully added in yoghurts in order to increase its antioxidant activity, causing a decrease in syneresis and viscosity values [29]. Further treatment of rice bran can result in the extraction of valuable bioactive compounds such as phenolic compounds. Enzymatic treatments can be alternatively used to minimize the negative effects of traditional extraction methods (chemical degradation or disruption of plant cell wall matrices by acid or base hydrolyses) on the extractability and antioxidant activity of the phenolics. Kim and Lim [30] observed that commercially available carbohydrate enzymes increased the efficiency of phenolic acid extraction from rice bran suggesting the use of this enzymatic treatment to improve the antioxidant activity of the rice bran. In addition to the cereal bran, their oils and cakes are also considered cereal by-products. In the case of rice bran oil, the main components are unsaturated fatty acids (mainly oleic and linoleic acids), and phytochemicals such as phytosterols, vitamin E and γ-oryzanol, which have significant antioxidant activity and important role in the inhibition of some chronic diseases [30]. In terms of the nutritional value of cereal lipids, the importance is well recognized of the relative high content of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) with different chain lengths, present in free form (free fatty acids) and the acylated forms of triacylglycerols (TAG), diacylglycerols (DAG) and, in relative minor amounts, the monoacylglycerols (MAG). As DAG and MAG, the presence of polyunsaturated sterol esters (SE), as well as sterols and stanols, reveals the high nutritional value of cereals [12,14–20]. The extraction methods employed affect the quality of the resulting oil. Compared to the conventional methods, the environment-friendly supercritical carbon dioxide extraction (SC-CO$_2$) results in better quality bran oils with better physicochemical and antioxidant properties [31]. Rice bran oil can be used to enrich diets since it provides immune enhancement along with other bioactive properties [32]. From the industrial production of starch, rice protein is derived that is not considered for food purposes but only for animal feed [33].

Maize is processed by using two different milling techniques (dry and wet milling), depending on the desired product, giving rise to the production of maize bran/fibre. The dry milling method is employed to obtain the maize endosperm fraction to be used as meals, flours, or grits, resulting also in the production of maize bran fraction (from maize pericarp), while the maize germ remains with the latter, representing a by-product that can be used for the oil production. Besides bran, the cake from maize is considered a residual cereal by-product, obtained from the extraction of oil during the process of de-oiling maize germ. Aqueous extract of maize cake, rich in dietary fibres, that remains after obtaining maize oil, has been utilized for the fortification of cakes with edible fibres to produce health-promoting food products [34]. On the other hand, during wet milling of maize, the grain is first steeped in water and sulphur dioxide to soften the kernels and facilitate the separation of the desired products: starch and germ (for oil extraction). Wet milling of maize results in by-products such as maize fibre from the pericarp and maize protein, while various solids are obtained during steeping. The milling industry is facing with increasing amounts of maize bran obtained by the dry milling process of maize because of the growing demand of maize flour for the bakery industry. This by-product represents a potential source of polymers (typically composed by 50% heteroxylans and 20% cellulose) with high added value for the food industry. In addition, it contains ca. 4% phenolic acids, mainly ferulic and diferulic acids [35]. Further extraction of heteroxylans from maize bran results in water-soluble maize bran gum, another by-product for the use in the food industry [36]. In addition to numerous technological applications in the food, nutraceutical and pharmaceutical industries, maize bran gum can be used as a carrier of bioactive compounds in the intestine. It has the ability to form hydrogels that have the potential for transferring thermosensitive bioactive compounds in the food industry [37]. Besides the milling industry, maize is used as the largest source for bioethanol production and other renewable biofuels such as biodiesel and biogas. One of the significant by-products is the fraction of dried distiller’s grain with solubles (DDGS). They are used as a raw material for the
extraction of arabinoxylans (AXs) [38]. Theoretically, maize bran and fibres consist of the pericarp coating but in the case of maize fibres for starch production, maize pericarp is usually mixed with cell wall materials from the maize endosperm, whereas, during ethanol production, maize bran is mixed with soluble fractions from distiller’s grains [39].

Milling and malt-based alcholic beverage (mainly brewer’s grains) by-products are the main residual products from the barley processing industry. Only hull-less barley varieties are used for food purposes, whereas hulled barley is preferred for malting. Fine flour and course meal are obtained as milling by-products of pearled barley [39,40] whereas the spent grains are the main by-product from brewing. The spent grains have been used as a substrate for immobilization of different enzymes such as trypsin, lipases and laccases [41,42].

Bran from rye and triticale is considered as a by-product obtained after milling. Oat bran is also produced during milling to obtain flours for human consumption. It contains mainly hulls and residues from other processes performed also on the oats such as dehulling, rolling and flaking [40]. In general, endosperm moieties are found in cereal bran of these grains depending on the efficiency of the milling process.

In general, bran is used for the biofortification of refined wheat flour used for breadmaking and other bakery goods. Bread biofortification with bran or whole meal of maize, rice, oat, rye, barley and wheat results in technological changes such as the decrease in loaf bread volume, while the content of water, mineral content, fibres, phytates and antioxidant activity are increased and, in addition, the quality of volatile compounds is also affected [43–46]. The presence of bran in bakery is linked with increased water absorption and low loaf volume due to the weakening of the dough structure from the disruption of the gluten network. Bran processing is likely to be a route to optimize its incorporation in health-promoting foods with biological activity and/or technological functionalities. For instance, it was reported the enhancement of bread quality by lowering the whole wheat flour particle size [47]. As an alternative to the conventional milling processes referred earlier, recent processes such as microfluidization and micron technology are used to reduce the particle size. The microfluidization process is used to reduce the size of the bran particles to submicron scales and reorganize the fibre composition, as well as to open their dense microstructure, increasing health-beneficial properties such as hydration properties and bio-accessibility of bound phytochemicals, resulting in increased antioxidant activities [48,49]. Ortiz de Erive et al. [50] have successfully produced high-fibre bread with similar loaf volume to the control bread by replacing 20% of the wheat flour with microfluidized corn bran. Similar to the microfluidization process, micron technology is used to reduce the particle size of biomaterials and, consequently, to increase the level of released bioactive compounds. As a matter of fact, micron technology improves the functional properties and bio-accessibility of dietary fibres and other bioactive compounds [51]. In addition to the bakery, wheat bran can be used to yield functional nanomaterials from the derived polysaccharide arabinoxylan (AX). The chemically modified AX can be used as a functional nanomaterial for gene delivery [52] or to produce physically immobilized xylanases [42].

3. Dietary Fibres Extracted from Cereal Processing By-Products

Many studies found in the literature report the beneficial effects of dietary fibres against several chronic diseases and the contribution towards the promotion of human or animal health benefits [11,53,54]. The dietary fibres are recognized to contribute to the reduction of glycaemic response, regulation of blood lipids, and an increase in the antioxidant activity, favour weight loss, and promote favourable microbiota in the small intestine and colon [55]. The beneficial effects of dietary fibres were demonstrated in experiments performed in vitro, as well as in vivo in animal and human trials and led to the official recognition of the positive nutritional effects by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [56] and the EU [57]. In the European Union, food is considered as a “source of fibre” and “high content in fibre” if it provides an amount of fibre equal or above 3 and 6 g per 100 g of product, respectively [58]. According to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), whole grain cereals represent a major dietary source of dietary fibres [59]. Recent studies confirmed
that cereal fibre intake was inversely associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors [60], body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference and tended to be inversely associated with total and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol [61] and type-2 diabetes [62]. In cereals and pseudocereals, dietary fibres contain different compounds, mostly found in the outer layers of the grains and cell walls. These compounds are polymers such as cellulose, lignin, arabinoxylans and (1-3) (1-4)-β-glucans (referred as β-glucans), which are slowly digested or not digested in the human gastrointestinal tract. Alongside the prebiotic effect, some of these polymers have the ability to enhance the absorption of minerals, to lower the cholesterol levels and to slow down starch hydrolysis, thus improving blood sugar levels by flattening of postprandial blood glucose and insulin rise, to activate the immune responses resulting in a reduction of the risk of type-2 diabetes, CVD’s and colorectal cancers [63]. The health-promoting properties associated with dietary fibres resulted in an increased offer of fibre-rich products in the market.

Different dietary fibres lead to different properties, such as particle size, swelling and water retention capacity, water solubility and viscosity with direct impact on nutritional and health effects and, very importantly, in technological properties of food, such as texture, rheology and sensory features. Dietary fibres are divided into water-soluble and water-insoluble fibres. The latter are structural or non-viscous fibres such as lignin, cellulose, hemicelluloses and non-starch polysaccharides which also include some arabinoxylans not extractable by water. Vegetables and cereal grains are particularly rich in water-insoluble fibres, with the highest amounts found in wheat and corn. Unlike the latter, water-soluble dietary fibres are gel-forming or viscous compounds that encompass β-glucans, arabinoxylans, pectins, gums, mucilage and some hemicelluloses [64].

The most recognized water-soluble dietary fibres associated with health claims are the β-glucans and arabinoxylans. Beta-glucans are made of a linear chain of β-d-glucopyranosyl units linked by β-(1→4) and β-(121923) linkages. The β-(1→3) linkages, that occur alone, break-up the regular/linear cellulose-like type structure formed by up to 11 continuous β-d-glucopyranosyl units linked with β-(1→4) linkages, increasing their solubility in water and limiting chain interactions with other β-glucan chains. Over 90% of the polysaccharide chain comprises cellotriosyl (3 degrees of polymerization, DP3) and cellotetraosyl (DP4) units linked through β-(1→3) linkages [65]. Although present in all cereals, the highest levels of β-glucans are observed in oats (3–7%) and barley (3–11%) [66]. Their amount depends on a number of factors such as genetic, varietal, environmental, growing location, soil composition, etc. Moreover, β-glucans are found in greater amounts in oat bran fractions (sub-aleurone and aleurone layers), whereas in barley grains it is evenly distributed throughout the aleurone layer and endosperm [67,68]. The molecular weight of cereal β-glucans varies in a relatively wide range (from 20 to 3100 kDa) and depends on the botanical origin, genotype and agronomic factors as well as on the extraction protocols applied [65,69–72]. Higher molecular weight β-glucans present higher viscosity. A study revealed that the increase in β-glucan’s viscosity is associated with positive effects on postprandial glucose, insulin and gastric emptying [73]. Besides the molecular weight, chemical structural differences in β-glucans affect their physiochemical properties such as solubility, swelling and gelatinization (gel formation) capacity, as well as viscosity and water-binding properties. The ratio of DP3 to DP4 linkages and the presence of long cellulose-like fragments with high degrees of polymerization are also key-features when studying β-glucans [65,71].

It is accepted that beta-glucans lower the glycaemic index, blood sugar and LDL cholesterol, as well having antioxidant, anticancer and free radical scavenging properties [74]. It is believed that they play prophylactic roles against colorectal cancer due to their contribution to the increase in faecal and colon mass as a result of the enhanced resistance to digestion. Other effects of β-glucans also include diminished absorption of nutrients, prolonged postprandial satiety and increased stool bulk and relief of constipation. Regarding the prebiotic effects, water-soluble fibres are substrates for the development of desirable colonic microbiota with probiotic features which, in turn, lead to the production of several bioactive postbiotics, such as exopolysaccharides (EPS, e.g., dextrans, levens, fructans and reuterans), and to the growth of deleterious microorganisms. A healthy gut microbiome is
indeed crucial for a good immune system and nutrient metabolism, and to the concomitant prevention of several diseases [54,75,76]. Using pure preparations of β-glucans as a food ingredient has been limited because of the involved processing costs but the use of cereal-based by-products, the use of bulk fractions (instead of pure compounds) and the use of novel separation and purification processes may bring cost-effective solutions.

Arabinoxylans (AXs) are hemicelluloses from the primary and secondary plant cell walls, including woods and cereal grains and entailing co-polymers of two pentose sugars, arabinose and xylose [77]. AXs occur in percentages of ca. 1.5 to 2.5% in wheat flour and are considered the predominant cell wall polysaccharides in rye (8–12.1% of the whole grain) [78,79] and wheat (4.1–9%) [79] but they are also present in rice bran [80]. AXs are made of a linear chain backbone of β-D-xylopyranosyl (Xylp) units linked with (1→4) glycosidic bonds. Some of these units are linked by the oxygen (O) in O2, O3 or both positions simultaneously from α-L-arabinofuranosyl (Araf) units. Some Araf units are covalently linked with ferulic acid (FA) through an ester linkage at the C5 position [81,82]. Besides the molecular weight, the amount of attached Araf units as well as the degree of substitution (arabinose to xylose ratio, A/X) affects the physicochemical properties of arabinoxylans [79,83]. Interaction with other AX chains or other compounds within the cereal matrix through ferulic bonds affect the solubility and availability of these polysaccharides, factors connected to their biological activities and health benefits. Based on their solubility and extractability with water, arabinoxylans are categorized into water-extractable (WEAX) and water-unextractable (WUAX). Water extractable arabinoxylans are a minor constituent of AXs but with major influence in the technological and health effects. The molecular weight and AX structure vary according to the botanical origin of the cereals, the cultivars, the agronomic parameters as well as the part of the seed. In rice and maize, the structure is more complex than that found in wheat and rye grains since it contains xylopyranose, galactopyranose and glucuronic acid [36,84]. Moreover, the amount of AXs is higher in the bran fraction than in the starchy endosperm of the cereals [82]. The health beneficial effects of β-glucans and arabinoxylans from cereals make them valuable components of dietary fibres. Solubility, high water adsorption capacity and (as previously said) the ability to increase the viscosity and/or form gel in β-glucans and arabinoxylans depend on the molecular weight and structure of these polysaccharides [65,81,83], modulating their specific health promoting (and functional) effects. Just as an example, WEAX can protect against free radicals in food matrices and mammalian’s gastrointestinal tract [85].

Maize bran can be used to obtain water-soluble maize bran gum (alkali-extracted under mild conditions) with high arabinoxylan (74% w/w) content with an A/X ratio of 0.85 indicating a moderated branched structure [36]. In addition, maize bran gum contains glucose (5.1%), galactose (3.2%) and mannose (0.4%). In order to avoid negative effects on human health from the use of chemicals used for the extraction of the AXs present in maize bran, water can replace the alkaline medium, thus making their extraction more affordable and less toxic [80].

Processing of cereals such as milling and extrusion are considered responsible for the AX degradation—resulting in structural modifications with consequences in its functionality and health promoting effects [78,86]. Milling reduces the molecular weight of insoluble arabinoxylans with high molecular weight and increases significantly their solubility [86]. On the other hand, extrusion affects the AX fine structure and solubility of cereal dietary fibres [80,86].

It was hypothesized that extrusion cooking increases dietary fibre solubility, probably due to the reduction in particle sizes of extruded samples [87] and/or the breaking down of covalent and non-covalent bonds in larger molecules due to the high temperature applied [88]. It was reported that extrusion of rice bran increases the extractable levels of AXs but decreases the A/X ratio and molecular weight compared with non-extruded samples [80,89]. Such an effect is likely to be owing to the high shear-stress forces and high temperatures applied that can cause the release of ferulic acid, softening of lignin and AXs depolymerization. In other studies it was demonstrated that modification of extrusion conditions resulted in an increase in the WEAX and ferulic acid yields from corn and wheat bran [90,91].
Cereal arabinoxylan-oligosaccharides (AXOS) derived from arabinoxylans are generally acknowledged for their prebiotic potential in the colon of humans and animals [92,93]. Obtaining AXOS by enzymatic processes is of particular interest when producing functional foods since they are considered free of chemicals. Usually enzymes such as cellulases, β-glucanases and xylanases are involved in the solubilisation of arabinoxylans in wheat bran, aleurone fraction and outer pericarp fraction so that high yields are achieved [94]. Vangsøe, Sørensen and Bach Knudsen [94] noticed a substantial contribution of aleurone fraction to the production of AXOS in wheat bran. It was observed that among the structural features of the arabinoxylans, the A/X ratio affects the yield of AXOS [94]. On the other hand, modification of wheat bran particle size and tissue composition affects their prebiotic potential. Besides arabinoxylans and β-glucans in cereals, other polysaccharides can be found such as arabinogalactans. Wheat bran is richer in arabinogalactans than the respective whole flour (1.07–4.43% vs. 0.47–0.93%, respectively) [95].

Hydrolysis of residual lignocelluloses or starch yield fermentable sugars could be further used in multiple applications, e.g., single cell proteins (SCP) and oils (SCO); renewable biofuels, from bacteria, yeasts, moulds (Filamentous fungi) and microalgae; biofertilizers; chemicals; enzymes; [96–101] or microbial metabolites, such as fatty acids, bioactive exopolysaccharides and peptides, amino acids, pigments, organic acids and many other antioxidants and antimicrobials [53,54,75,76]. Analysing the most recent published literature, different techniques depicted in Table 1 were found to facilitate the extraction of non-starch polysaccharides in cereals.

### Table 1. Different techniques reported in recent published literature (2016–2020) to improve extraction of arabinoxylans and β-glucans from cereals.

| Compound      | Techniques                     | References          |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Arabinoxylans | Fermentation                   | [102–104]           |
|               | Ultrasonic/microwave           | [105,106]           |
|               | Enzymatic treatment            | [107–110]           |
|               | Milling fractions              | [111–113]           |
|               | Alkaline treatment             | [114–117]           |
|               | Nixtamalization                | [118]               |
|               | Starch removal                 | [119]               |
|               | Extrusion                      | [80,86,89,120]      |
|               | Subcritical water treatment    | [119,121]           |
| β-glucans     | Ultrasound                     | [122]               |
|               | Roller-milling/pearling        | [123]               |
|               | Milling                        | [124–126]           |
|               | Fermentation                   | [127,128]           |
|               | Enzymatic treatment            | [129,130]           |
|               | Extrusion                      | [131–134]           |
|               | Subcritical water treatment    | [119,135,136]       |

### 4. Phytochemicals Extracted from Cereal Processing By-Products

Cereal by-products are important sources of various phytochemicals. As stated by Wang et al. [137], rice bran contains high levels of various phytochemicals, such as γ-oryzanol, phytic acid, tocopherols, tocotrienols, carotenoids, γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), octacosanol, squalene, mono- (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), phytosterols and phenolic compounds [12–16]. From the above list, phenolics have gained major attention lately from scientists, nutritionists and technicians since they exhibit a diverse range of functional abilities (biological activities and/or technological functionalities),
such as antioxidant, antimicrobial, antiviral and anti-inflammatory properties [53,54,76]. In recent years, most attempts for the extraction and recovery of phenolic compounds from cereal by-products were based on conventional techniques of solvent extraction resorting to organic ones such as methanol, ethanol, propanol, acetone, ethylacetate, dimethylformamide and/or their combinations. These extraction methods have, however, several drawbacks since they are time-consuming, present low selectivity and low extraction rates and, most importantly, require the use of expensive, volatile and, sometimes, toxic solvents [138]. Recent works dealing with alternative methods for the extraction of phenolic compounds are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Recent advances for the extraction of phenolic compounds from various by-products.

| Extraction Method                             | Conditions                                                                 | Cereal By-Product                     | Reference |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Supercritical carbon dioxide                  | Pressure (15–35 MPa), temperature (40–60 ºC) and CO₂ + ethanol (0–60% ethanol concentration, v/v) | Brewer’s spent grain                  | [139]     |
|                                               | Temperature (40 and 60 ºC), pressure (30 and 40 MPa) and ethanol (0, 5 and 10%) | Rice bran                            | [140]     |
| Green method using glycerol                   | Temperature (40–70 ºC), concentration of glycerol (10–70%, v/v) and liquid-to-solid ratio (10–40 mL g⁻¹) | Rice bran                            | [141]     |
| Solvent extraction                            | Absolute methanol, 80% methanol, absolute ethanol, 80% ethanol, and 50% acetone | Wheat bran and barley husk            | [142]     |
| Thermal processing coupled with ultrasound extraction | Temperature 80 ºC for 10 min                                                  | Wheat and oat bran                    | [143]     |
| Pressurized solutions                         | Concentrations of ethanol in water (0–80%), temperature (130–160 ºC) and extraction times (20–60 min) | Wheat bran                            | [144]     |
| Ultrasonic-assisted extraction (UAE)          | Ethanol and limonene, temperature 51 and 60 ºC respectively                  | Rice berry bran                       | [145]     |
|                                               | Temperature (30–60 ºC), pH (2–4), solvent concentration 920–60%, time (10–60 min) | Black and purple rice bran            | [146]     |
|                                               | Time (10–30 min), ethanol concentration (30–70%), solvent to solids (mL g⁻¹) | Red sorghum bran                      | [147]     |
| Steam explosion-assisted extraction           | Temperature (20–90 ºC), time (1–25 min)                                     | Defatted oat (Avena sativa L.) bran   | [122]     |

The application of the environmentally friendly subcritical water extraction (SWE, i.e., extraction with water under pressure at temperatures above the boiling point at atmospheric temperature and at the sea level) for the hydrolysis and decomposition of rice bran has been applied in order to obtain phenolic compounds and other material of commercial interest. Research findings demonstrated the positive effect of temperature on the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity, while residence-time up to 15 min seemed to be the optimum conditions [149]. Recently, the effect of thermal processing (80 ºC for 10 min), coupled with ultrasound-assisted extraction on the antioxidant, antimutagenic and antimicrobial activities of wheat and oat bran was assessed. It was demonstrated that the thermal process improved the total phenolic content in wheat bran by +22.5% and in oat bran by +25.8% [143]. Likewise, the effective extrusion conditions to achieve the highest content of phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity in wheat bran were studied using response surface methodology, and the results were very promising as well [150].

Anthocyanins (a type of flavonoid) from black rice bran have also been isolated and characterized, and recently they have been incorporated into gelatine or chitosan nanocomposite films containing oxidized chitin nanocrystals for fish and seafood spoilage monitoring. In both cases the developed films were pH-sensitive and showed remarkable colour changes in buffer solutions, therefore allowing them to be used as smart food packaging [151,152]. Last but not least, it was found that up to 88% of
all extracts in maize fibres and up to 95% in rice husks are lipophilic compounds, i.e., n-fatty acids and acylglycerols (i.e., mono-, di- and triglycerides), accounting for 4.1% and 2.2% of their respective weight and making from these by-products an inexpensive source of valuable phytochemicals [17].

5. Proteins Extracted from Cereal Processing By-Products

It is widely known that the supply and demand of proteins for human consumption is going to be subject to a serious imbalance in the near future. There is an increasing trend towards the consumption of plant-based proteins, as well as resorting to other protein sources (e.g., insect proteins) and to innovative biotechnological processes such as the production of cultured meat (i.e., culturing animal cells in vitro) or, similarly, the production of eggs without chickens or milk without cows. Consequently, in an attempt to meet these needs for protein sources for human nutrition, existing resources have to be properly exploited. Cereals are considered a good source of proteins since their content can vary from approximately 10% in the case of corn to 17% in the case of oat. From this it becomes apparent that the utilization of proteins from cereal by-products is very likely a viable option to support and ensure a well-balanced global protein demand to supply ratio [8]. There are major differences regarding the composition of proteins (type and molecular weight) in the wheat endosperm and outer grain layer, since the first ones contain mainly glutenins and gliadins while albumins and globulins predominate in bran [153]. The higher nutritional value of bran proteins can be attributed to the higher levels of essential amino acids, since these proteins presented therein serve as the main source of amino acids during seed germination. However, cereals are usually deficient in certain amino acids such as lysine [154]. It is important to point out that since the milling process does not generate high temperatures or other detrimental conditions to a level that can cause protein denaturation, bran proteins can be viewed as native high-quality proteins. On the contrary, the proteins in brewer’s spent grains or dried distiller’s grains are degraded, aggregated or even denatured and, in certain cases, can be useful as a source of amino acids or nitrogen (N) [8].

The recovery of proteins from cereal processing by-products has been extensively reviewed recently by Balandran-Quintana [155]. Several extraction methods were described, and it was pinpointed that despite alkaline extraction coupled with isoelectric precipitation has been the most applied technique; there are some concerns about its negative effect on the protein properties. It was highlighted that rice bran as a by-product from cereal industry has received the foremost attention regarding extraction, characterization and proposed applications. Since rice bran proteins are hypoallergenic, they have been proposed for the production of gluten-free products while their hydrolysates have been used in bulk oil emulsions due to their antioxidant activity [156]. A very nutritious flour has been developed from corn oil rich sperm using an alternative method to the alkaline extraction, consisting in drying, aspiration, sieving, lipid extraction and milling. The resulting flour not only contained 30% protein but the essential amino acid balance as well as the protein efficiency ratio was similar to those of caseins [157]. Recently, the effect of three combinations of bioprocessing methods by lactic acid bacteria (LAB) fermentation, cell wall hydrolysing enzymes and phytase on the biochemical and functional properties of wheat bran protein isolates was studied. It was established that the bioprocessing increased the protein (up to 80%) and fat (up to 22.8%) content in the isolates, while additional proteins were identified by the electrophoretic pattern of the protein isolate bioprocessed with enzymes [158].

Despite the fact that several strategies have been employed for improving the extractability of cereal by-product proteins, there are still certain difficulties in their commercialization. Indeed, novel methods for extraction of proteins (or other applications) often face various technical, economic, environmental and legislative considerations. Novel extraction procedures can be energetically high demanding and/or the downstream processing requires further technological developments and improvements. New by-products can be generated with new processes requiring attention to find a viable end-use in a circular economy approach. Moreover, conversion of the processing units is typically difficult to implement in the industry because of natural inertia and existing economic lobbies or simply because some novel advanced technological solutions require substantial initial capital,
fixed- and/or variable costs. Finally, new technologies face frequently legislative voids or the need for approval from the regulatory authorities and standardisation bodies. These features represent typical technical, environmental and economic bottlenecks to be overcome before they can turn into energetically efficient, environmentally sustainable and economically feasible technologies and so that they can penetrate into the market.

6. Biopolymer-Based Packaging from Cereal By-Products

The synthetic petroleum-based plastics in food packaging systems have raised environmental concerns due to their non-biodegradability nature as well as the depletion of natural unrenewable resources [159]. In this respect, the use of biopolymers as packaging materials is rather promising and has received much attention in the last few decades. Various polymers have been examined in an attempt to improve the barrier, mechanical and thermal properties of the bio-polymer-based packaging films. Under this context, polymers obtained from renewable resources and utilization of agro-industrial waste and by-products are promising alternatives. Nowadays, their use is becoming even more attractive since the scientific advances over the last decade have diminished the costs of converting organic materials into chemicals that can be further supply other markets like the polymer industry [160].

Various natural resources and residues have been used for the production of cellulose nanofibers and nanowhiskers so far [159,161]. More studies are however being conducted to find new alternative sources to obtain nanocelluloses. In this regard, oat husk residues from cereal processing industry were converted into cellulose nanofibers and were used as a reinforcing agent in the production of bio-composites for packaging [162]. Moreover, wheat bran can be used as a source of phenolic and aromatic compounds, acting as key-intermediates for the production of biopolymers. Among all, ferulic and vanillic acids are the most available compounds in plant-based wastes and can be used for the production of natural polyesters [163]. One of the most promising applications though is the production of polylactic acid (PLA) by polycondensation of lactic acid. Various processing techniques can be applied to PLA for the formation of packaging materials (packaging, films and edible coatings) such as injection moulding, extrusion, blow moulding and thermoforming processing [159,164]. PLA packaging films have been thermoformed into trays for packaging of salads, ready-to-eat meals and deli products, among other uses. In comparison with non-renewable hydrocarbon-based polymers, PLA solutions unfolded by life-cycle assessments (LCA) lower product environmental footprints (PEFs), including lower carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) emissions. Since large amounts of CO$_2$ are absorbed when corn or other crops used for PLA production are cultivated, the use of PLA results in emissions of fewer greenhouse gases compared to the hydrocarbon-based polymers [159,165]. The appropriateness of lactic acid production from cereal-based by-products has already been demonstrated in distillery silages and wastes from bioethanol and beer production [166,167].

Recently, a novel bioplastic has been developed from rice straw, an agricultural waste that generally is not recovered. Interestingly, based on the environmental relative humidity, the developed material exhibited a dual mechanical behaviour that can be further exploited to obtain thin edible films and paper sheets or to drive shape memory effect [168]. In another recent study, wheat lignocellulosic by-products, i.e., straw and bran were used for the co-production of enzymes and bio-based materials, with a possible application as packaging via the compression moulding technique. In general, the straw films were stiffer than the bran derived ones, and the highest Young’s modulus was obtained for the biologically pre-treated bran [169].

The use of rice bran proteins in biodegradable films has also been studied [170–172]. However, these films possess inferior mechanical and gas barrier properties when compared to the synthetic ones. Wang et al. [173] evaluated the effect of protein aggregates on the physical, mechanical and thermal properties of the rice bran protein films and it was found that the formation of aggregates can have a positive impact on the produced films, especially at a pH value of 11. Furthermore, the use of AXs or AXOS for packaging applications has been investigated either alone or as part of composites. High molecular mass AXs or mixtures of AXOS and AXs were successfully added to chitosan-based films without affecting their morphology and their gas barrier properties. The incorporation of 0.2% of
AXs or AX/AXOS into the chitosan matrix allows obtaining films with added potential functionalities in addition to those of chitosan, namely prebiotic and/or dietary fibre properties of AXOS and/or AXs, respectively [174]. Finally, the topographical and mechanical properties, as well as the biodegradability, of films consisting of AXs from wheat and maize bran and dried distiller’s grains have been assessed, with promising results [175,176].

7. Valorisation of Cereal By-Products through New Product Development (NPD)

In our contemporary society, people are becoming more conscious about the importance of a good and balanced nutrition and adoption of a healthy life-style. At the same time, increasing epidemiological evidences have demonstrated that the consumption of sufficient amounts of cereal dietary fibres and phytochemicals could be beneficial against chronic and degenerative diseases [50,177].

The adequate daily total fibre intake (from food origin) recommended by the World Health Organization and the Food and Agriculture Organization is ca. 25–30 g. However, for the Western population the average daily fibre intake is still far below the recommended levels [174]. Moreover, new products, formulations and processes in the baking industry have numerous possibilities to be explored. Examples are the breads suitable for celiac patients, modified texture bread, biofortified bread with vegetable microgreens, leaves, minerals and vitamins, new healthy baked goods and patisserie formulations, ready-to-eat instant porridges, β-carotene-enriched sorghum porridges obtained by co-extruding sorghum flour with orange flesh sweet potato flour, high calorie puree or modified-texture food products. New products and formulations targeted to age groups are also an important market niche, viz. children, pregnant women and nursing mothers, seniors, athletes, people with weakened immune systems or serious autoimmune disorders (e.g., celiac disease), and specific modified-texture foods (e.g., gels) for a population with mastication and swallowing problems.

In that respect, the development of cereal-based products enriched with fibre-rich bran seems to be a very promising approach. Rice bran fractions have been used in order to produce acceptable low-fat bakery products enriched in fibres. Defatted rice bran was successfully used for the production of fibre- and mineral-enriched pan breads. However, the wheat flour commonly used was replaced only by 5% in order not to affect the quality characteristics of the final product [178]. In another study, microwaved stabilised defatted rice bran was used for preparing cookies and it was evidenced that it could be used up to 20% without adverse effects in the quality attributes of the final product [179]. The use of oat, wheat and rye bran in extruded corn snacks has been assessed, and it was found that the selected bran can be applied in the formulations by as much as up to 20% [180]. Along with the enhancement in dietary fibres, the replacement of wheat flour with rice bran in bakery products resulted in a significant increase in the vitamin B group, especially niacin, and minerals such as iron, zinc, phosphorus and potassium [181]. Apart from the changes in the quality attributes of the final product, another drawback for the use of cereal bran in food formulations is its significant content of phytic acid. In a recent study, cereal brans (from rice, rye, wheat and oat) were dephytinized by two different methodologies (phytase enzyme and malt flour) and further used in noodle and pasta formulation (at 20%). The resulted products exhibited low levels of this anti-nutritional compound and, at the same time, increased fibre and phenolic contents [182]. The use of fermented maize milling by-products (25%) in bread making gave also very positive results. Other advantages commonly related to sourdough fermentation, such as the increase in protein digestibility, the decrease in starch hydrolysis and the degradation of phytic acid, were observed [183].

As previously mentioned, wheat bran contains high amounts of dietary fibres but low levels of soluble dietary fibres. Enzymatic processing of cereal bran is related with the conversion of insoluble dietary fibres to soluble ones with the concomitant increase in the beneficial effects [184–186]. A combination of different enzymes (i.e., xylanase, β-glucanase, α-amylase and cellulases) was more effective for enzymatic degradation of insoluble dietary fibres than using single enzymes [186]. In order to increase the amount of soluble fibre and improve the quality of baked products, different processes such as extrusion and enzymatic hydrolysis were applied on cereal bran [185]. Wen, Niu, Zhang, Zhao and Xiong [186] used a
combination of enzymes with micronization treatment to further modify the structural and functional properties of cereal bran. In addition to enzymes, solid-state fermentations (SSF) of (wheat and oat) bran with microorganisms such as baker’s yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) resulted in an increase in phenolic content and antioxidant activity in the bran, revealing the effective impact of fermentation to produce valuable products to include in food recipes [187]. Using probiotics (lactic acid bacteria) improved the functional properties of wheat bran and germ, as well as increasing dietary fibre, protein digestibility, nutritional indexes and lowering the in vivo glycaemic index. Application of sourdough fermentation technology in bread enriched with rice bran resulted in increased loaf volume, phenolic content and consumer acceptance [188]. In another study, incorporation of sourdough from bran in bread making resulted in bread with an appealing aroma and a longer shelf-life [189].

Beta-glucans have different potentials in food applications (i.e., as thickeners, fat replacers, stabilizers, etc.). Incorporation in different proportions (0.5–2%, w/v) in full fat yoghurt preparations improves the whey separation (syneresis) during processing, and the viscosity, texture profile and sensory characteristics during storage, resulting in a thicker and a more compact texture [190]. Oat and barley β-glucan applied at concentrations of 1, 2 and 4% reached greater emulsion stability and relatively high values of apparent viscosity, indicating that they act as viscosifier and can be applied in a variety of food products to improve their texture and viscosity [191].

Oat β-glucan isolate was used as a hydrocolloid in order to improve the quality of gluten-free breads [192]. The use of pure β-glucan isolates in breadmaking was associated with increased resistance against deformation, flow ability and elasticity of the doughs under low stress forces. Factors such as the concentration and molecular weight of β-glucans in combination with flour type used, affect the rheological behaviour of baking doughs [193]. Farinograph water absorption, moisture content, water activity and specific volumes of breads increase whereas the firmness decreases with the increase in β-glucan level, as well as its molecular weight [194]. Besides the level and molecular weight of β-glucans, the water added is a key factor that could improve rheological behaviour of β-glucan enriched doughs [195]. Appropriate combinations with added β-glucans can result in improved quality of flours with low breadmaking quality. Processing conditions applied during preparation of foods with high β-glucan content alter the molecular weight, solubility and consequently the viscosity of the food products. Ames et al. [196] stated that heat treatment of cereal grains results in products with high β-glucan viscosity. Depending on the molecular weight and structure, β-glucans can increase the viscosity; modify the rheology, texture and sensory properties of the food products. Modification of β-glucans can be achieved by a number of methods in order to have the desired physicochemical, rheological and functional properties. In general, the physical modification affects only the spatial structure of β-glucans whereas the methods involving chemical agents, enzymes, irradiation and mechanical treatment affect both spatial conformation and primary structures [197].

Rice bran has also been used in muscle food product formulations due to its high antioxidant activity. More specifically, rice bran hydrolysates have shown their effectiveness in reducing fat uptake during frying and controlling lipid oxidation of fried fish cake during frozen storage [198], while substitution of tapioca starch (tapioca or gum is the starch extracted from cassava) in meatballs by 50% of Searng® rice bran doubled the antioxidant activity [199]. Oleogels, gels in which the liquid phase is oil, are a relatively novel and very promising fat replacement technology. In this regard, the impact of replacing pork fat with rice bran wax oleogels in the properties of frankfurter-type sausages was assessed. The very positive results in terms of textural and organoleptic characteristics point to the potential use of another compound recovered from cereal by-products [200].

Finally, cereal by-products are also gaining attention for their use in non-food related industries. Compounds such as rice husk ash have been evaluated for the production of green and sustainable concrete in an attempt to minimize the significant amount of carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions commonly released during concrete production [201].

The overall approach and considerations given in this review article based on its aims are schematically summarized in the following Figure 4.
Figure 4. Valorisation of by-products and wastes from cereal-based processing industry.
8. Environmental and Economic Sustainability Outlook

The ever-growing amounts of cereal-based processing by-products lead to the imminent need to develop more sustainable and eco-friendly processing technologies as well as in exploring innovative solutions for its employment in a circular economy approach. The extraction of high-added functional compounds and fractions from these materials can be employed in various industrial sectors and offers true alternatives to synthetic and non-renewable materials. Such efforts also happen in a context of an increasing trend of the global market, and the perceived new values and concerns from our society. Yet, it also reinforces the goals of the “2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development” from the United Nations in striving for the promotion of sustainability with rational use of edible resources [3]. Taking into consideration the fact that plant-based diets are the most beneficial for the human health and for the environmental sustainability of our Planet, exploration of food and feed formulations, based on edible fibres, proteins and other compounds originated from cereal-based processing by-products, effectively represents a scientific and technological (S&T) hotspot and simultaneously brings great challenges to be overcome.

Although cereal-based by-products can be an excellent resource for such a diversified number of compounds with high-added values, the operation costs and efficiency are, as previously mentioned, major economic and technical bottlenecks to be overcome through the development of novel and increasingly efficient biotechnological systems. Indeed, the costs associated with conventional recycle and reuse solutions are frequently higher than simply resorting to the landfill or composting (in a strictly immediate economic point of view). In addition, conventional extraction and recovery procedures are many times more environmentally impacting (i.e., with higher environmental footprints) than those based on conventional solutions. In this context, it is required to explore new insights and develop deeper scientific-technological efforts to efficiently valorise cereal-based by-products and residues. This review opens several windows of opportunities based on the constituents presented therein, on promising available biotechnological tools and the always-important future perspectives.

Particularly, it is still necessary to develop and optimize environmental-friendly extraction, separation and purification techniques and methodologies, so as to attain process intensification (including energy and water consumption reduction). Additionally, integration of technologies (for example, combining pre-treatments with bioconversion or, instead, combining chemical, physical, microbial and enzymatic processes), efficient and economically feasible scale-ups, and finding adequate channels to reintroduce innovative biotechnological solutions into the market are required. Actual EU policies are just coming in this way. Overall, this is all about the deconstruction of cereal-based food and feed by-products and residues and further incorporates the resulting bulk fractions or isolated purified compounds into new industrial chains. The main challenge is, however, to develop reliable biotechnological processes and systems with increased cost-effectiveness, and able to be easily constructed and implemented, scalable and operationalised, while offering easily and efficiently large-scale outputs to reach substantial market dimensions. Thus, the attention of the researches and technicians must continue focused on these paradigms. In fact, economically feasible processes can only be achieved within high-value market products and production at a large scale. Economic feasibility can be achieved with increased competitiveness obtained through the development and optimization of more efficient, sustainable and cost-effective downstream processes and lower initial capital costs.

The concerns and proposals found in this review article are in agreement with the recent EU strategies and policies [4,5,7] for food production, processing, distribution, consumption, prevention of food loss and waste and reuse, i.e., throughout the entire food and feed product life cycles, “from cradle to grave”. Only with these strategies, based on an efficient circular economy [4], will it be possible to mitigate climate change; restore its biodiversity and save our Planet for future generations; ensure robust and resilient food and feed systems with neutral/positive environmental impacts; and boost food quality and safety, as well as nutrition and public health and, above all, guarantee zero tolerance to hunger in the World.
9. Conclusions

Besides the environmental and economic aspects, discarded cereal- and pseudocereal-based by-products represent an important loss of valuable biomass and nutrients (as already shown in Figure 4), including many biochemical compounds such as edible fibres, hemicelluloses, β-glucans, resistant starch, arabinoxylans, mono-, di-, oligo- and polysaccharides and other carbohydrates, proteins, bioactive peptides, amino acids and enzymes, lipids (mono- and polyunsaturated fatty acids in free forms, acylglycerols and sterol esters, stanols, glycolipids and phospholipids), polyphenols (phenolic acids, gallotannins, procyanidins, etc.) and other bioactive phytochemicals, vitamins, minerals and other micronutrients, nucleic acids, etc. Cereal-based by-products can be converted as well into biopolymers and bioplastics, biofertilizers and biofuels (bioethanol, biodiesel, bio-butanol and biogas). Their application in fermentative processes can lead to the production of single cell proteins and oils, enzymes and bioactive microbial metabolites.

Cereal-based by-products can be an important source of enzymes with industrial interest, such as glycoside hydrolases, laccases, oxidoreductases, lipooxygenases, lipases, phytases, proteases, α-amylases, hemicellulases, proteases, esterases, decarboxylases, reductases and transglutaminases. Likewise, numerous microbial metabolites from bacteria, yeasts and moulds (filamentous fungi) can be produced, through the fermentation of cereal by-products, with antioxidant, antibacterial and antifungal activities, e.g., a long list of organic acids (such as lactic, acetic, propionic, formic, succinic, propionic, butyric, γ-aminobutyric, sorbic, fumaric and benzoic acids), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), CO$_2$, ethanol and other alcohols, diacetyl (butanedione or butane-2,3-dione), aldehydes and other volatile compounds, esters and carboxyls, bacteriocins and bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances (BLIS), small peptides and amino acids and exopolysaccharides (e.g., β-glucans, levans, fructans and fructo- and glucooligosaccharides).

Conversely, the hydrolysis of lignocellulosic or starch residues from cereal-based by-products can yield fermentable sugars to be further fermented and converted into biofuels, enzymes, bioplastics, β-glucans, mannans, etc. Residual biomass can feed further employed in anaerobic digestions, to produce renewable bioenergy and/or biofertilizers, or undergo pyrolysis processes. Lignocellulosic residues can also be used in microbial solid-state fermentations. Bacterial fermentation of cellulose can yield the high-added value 2,3-butanediol and bio-butanol. The fermented cakes can also be used in pet-food formulations. Furthermore, cereal-based by-products can be applied in the design of bio-based plastics, for example bio-based polyethylene (bio-PE), bio-based polyethylene terephthalate (bio-PET), bio-based polyurethane (bio-PUR), polyactic acid (PLA), modified starch, cellulose derivatives and polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA). All the above compounds and fractions possess high-added values and a transversal application in the industry, following an approach of circular economy and minimum or zero residues generation, viz.: food and feed, pet-food, medicine, pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, fertilizers, chemical intermediates and packaging.

This review was intended to give useful information concerning the main high-added value compounds found in cereal-based by-products and the wide range of technologies used for their isolation as well as their unlimited industrial applications.
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Araf \(\alpha\)-L-arabinofuranosyl
A/X Arabinose to xylose ratio
AXOS Arabinoxylan-oligosaccharides
AXs Arabinoxylans
BLIS Bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances
bio-PE Bio-based polyethylene
bio-PET Bio-based polyethylene terephthalate
bio-PUR Bio-based polyurethane
BMI Body mass index
C Carbon
CO\(_2\) Carbon dioxide
CVD Cardiovascular disease
DP Degree of polymerization
DAG Diacylglycerols
DDGS Dried distiller’s grain with solubles
d.b. Dry basis
EFSA European Food Safety Authority
EU European Union
EPS Exopolysaccharides
FA Fatty acid
FAO Food and Agriculture Organization
FDA Food and Drug Administration
GABA \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid
GI Glycaemic index
H\(_2\)O\(_2\) Hydrogen peroxide
LAB Lactic acid bacteria
LCA Life cycle assessment
LDL Low density lipoproteins
MAG Monoglycerides
MUFA Monounsaturated fatty acids
NPD New Product Development
O\(_2\) Molecular oxygen
N Nitrogen
O Oxygen
PHA Polyhydroxyalkanoates
PLA Polylactic acid
AX Polysaccharide arabinoxylan
PUFA Polyunsaturated fatty acids
PEF Product environmental footprint
S&T Scientific-technological
SCO Single cell oils
SCP Single cell proteins
SSF Solid-state fermentation
SE Sterol esters
SWE Subcritical water extraction
SC-CO\(_2\) Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction
TAG Triglycerides
UAE Ultrasonic(Ultrasound)-assisted extraction
UN United Nations
WEAX Water-extractable arabinoxylans
WUAX Water-unextractable arabinoxylans
WHO World Health Organization
References

1. Pleissner, D.; Qi, Q.; Gao, C.; Rivero, C.P.; Webb, C.; Lin, C.S.K.; Venus, J. Valorization of organic residues for the production of added value chemicals: A contribution to the bio-based economy. Biochem. Eng. J. 2016, 116, 3–16. [CrossRef]
2. The World Counts Comes. 2020. Available online: https://www.theworldcounts.com/challenges/consumption/foods-and-beverages/food-waste-facts (accessed on 20 July 2020).
3. United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. General Assembly Resolution A/RES/70/1; Division for Sustainable Development, United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs; United Nations: New York, NY, USA, 2015; Available online: https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/generalassembly/docs/globalcompact/A_RES_70_1_E.pdf (accessed on 20 July 2020).
4. European Union (EU). Farm to Fork Strategy—For a Fair, Healthy and Environmentally-Friendly Food System (2020). PDF Report. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/food/farm2fork_en (accessed on 20 July 2020).
5. EU. European Green Deal—Striving to be the First Climate-Neutral Continent. 2020. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_en (accessed on 20 July 2020).
6. EU. Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives. Off. J. Eur. Union 2008, 312, 3–30.
7. EU. European Union (EU). Directive 2008/98/EC on waste (Waste Framework Directive). 2020. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/framework/ (accessed on 20 July 2020).
8. Roth, M.; Jekle, M.; Becker, T. Opportunities for upcycling cereal byproducts with special focus on Distiller’s grains. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 2019, 91, 282–293. [CrossRef]
9. FAOSTAT. FAO Statistics Division. 2020. Available online: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC (accessed on 2 June 2020).
10. Belitz, H.D.; Grosch, W. Food Chemistry, 2nd ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1999.
11. Kalo, P.J.; Ollilainen, V.; Rocha, J.M.; Malcata, F.X. Identification of molecular species of simple lipids by normal phase liquid chromatography–positive electrospray tandem mass spectrometry, and application of developed methods in comprehensive analysis of low erucic acid rapeseed oil lipids. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2006, 254, 106–121. [CrossRef]
12. Rocha, J.M.; Kalo, P.J.; Malcata, F.X. Neutral lipids in non-starch lipid and starch lipid extracts from Portuguese sourdough bread. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 2010, 112, 1138–1149. [CrossRef]
13. Rocha, J.M.; Kalo, P.J.; Malcata, F.X. Neutral Lipids in Free, Bound, and Starch Lipid Extracts of Flours, Sourdough, and Portuguese Sourdough Bread Determined by NP-HPLC-ELSD. Cereal Chem. 2011, 88, 400–408. [CrossRef]
14. Rocha, J.M.; Kalo, P.J.; Malcata, F.X. Composition of neutral lipid classes and content of fatty acids throughout sourdough breadmaking. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 2012, 114, 294–305. [CrossRef]
15. Rocha, J.M.; Kalo, P.J.; Malcata, F.X. Fatty Acid Composition of Non-Starch and Starch Neutral Lipid Extracts of Portuguese Sourdough Bread. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 2012, 89, 2025–2045. [CrossRef]
16. Rocha, J.M.; Kalo, P.J.; Ollilainen, V.; Malcata, F.X. Separation and identification of neutral cereal lipids by normal phase high-performance liquid chromatography, using evaporative light-scattering and electrospray mass spectrometry for detection. J. Chromatogr. A 2010, 1217, 3013–3025. [CrossRef]
17. Marques, G.; Rencoret, J.; Gutiérrez, A.; del Rio, J.C. Lipophilic compounds from maize fiber and rice husk residues—An abundant and inexpensive source of valuable phytochemicals. Ind. Crop. Prod. 2020, 146. [CrossRef]
18. Narducci, V.; Finotti, E.; Galli, V.; Carcea, M. Lipids and fatty acids in Italian durum wheat (Triticum Durum Desf.) cultivars. Foods 2019, 8, 223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Pastor, K.; Ilić, M.; Vujčić, D.; Jovanović, D.; Aćanski, M. Characterization of Fatty Acids in Cereals and Oilseeds from the Republic of Serbia by Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS) with Chemometrics. Anal. Lett. 2020, 53, 1177–1189. [CrossRef]
20. Kouřínská, I.; Sabolová, M.; Horčička, P.; Rys, S.; Božík, M. Lipid content, fatty acid profile, and nutritional value of new oat cultivars. J. Cereal Sci. 2018, 84, 44–48. [CrossRef]
21. Chatzifragkou, A.; Charalamopoulos, D. 3—Distiller’s dried grains with solubles (DDGS) and intermediate products as starting materials in biorefinery strategies. In *Sustainable Recovery and Reutilization of Cereal Processing By-Products*; Galanakis, C.M., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2018; pp. 63–86. [CrossRef]

22. Papageorgiou, M.; Skendi, A. 1—Introduction to cereal processing and by-products. In *Sustainable Recovery and Reutilization of Cereal Processing By-Products*; Galanakis, C.M., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2018; pp. 1–25. [CrossRef]

23. Skendi, A.; Harasym, J.; Galanakis, C.M. 7—Recovery of high added-value compounds from brewing and distillate processing by-products. In *Sustainable Recovery and Reutilization of Cereal Processing By-Products*; Galanakis, C.M., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2018; pp. 189–225. [CrossRef]

24. Ma, S.; Wang, C.; Li, L.; Wang, X. Effects of particle size on the quality attributes of wheat flour made by the milling process. *Cereal Chem.* 2020, 97, 172–182. [CrossRef]

25. Létang, C.; Samson, M.F.; Lasserre, T.M.; Chaurand, M.; Abécassis, J. Production of Starch with Very Low Protein Content from Soft and Hard Wheat Flours by Jet Milling and Air Classification. *Cereal Chem.* 2002, 79, 535–543. [CrossRef]

26. Barrett, E.M.; Foster, S.I.; Beck, E.J. Whole grain and high-fibre grain foods: How do knowledge, perceptions and attitudes affect food choice? *Appetite* 2020, 149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Raddatz, G.C.; de Souza da Fonseca, B.; Poletto, G.; Jacob-Lopes, E.; Cichoski, A.J.; Muller, E.I.; Flores, E.M.M.; de Bona da Silva, C.; Ragagnin de Menezes, C. Influence of the prebiotics hi-maize, inulin and rice bran on the viability of pectin microparticles containing Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-5 obtained by internal gelation/emulsification. *Powder Technol.* 2020, 362, 409–415. [CrossRef]

28. Rocha, J.M. Microencapsulation of probiotic bacteria. *Ecronicon Microbiol.* 2016, 3, 480–481.

29. Demirci, T.; Akta¸s, K.; Sözeri, D.; Öztürk, H.˙I.; Akın, N. Rice bran improve probiotic viability in yoghurt and provide added antioxidative benefits. *J. Funct. Foods* 2017, 36, 396–403. [CrossRef]

30. Kim, S.-M.; Lim, S.-T. Enhanced antioxidant activity of rice bran extract by carbohydrase treatment. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2016, 68, 116–121. [CrossRef]

31. Mingyai, S.; Kettawan, A.; Srikaeo, K.; Singanusong, R. Physicochemical and Antioxidant Properties of Rice Bran Oils Produced from Colored Rice Using Different Extraction Methods. *J. Oleo Sci.* 2017, 66, 565–572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Sierra, S.; Lara–Villoslada, F.; Olivares, M.; Jiménez, J.; Boza, J.; Xaus, J. Increased immune response in mice consuming rice bran oil. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2005, 44, 509–516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Mahesh, M.S.; Thakur, S.S. Rice gluten meal, an agro-industrial by-product, supports performance attributes in lactating Murrah buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). *J. Clean. Prod.* 2018, 177, 655–664. [CrossRef]

34. Paraskevopoulou, A.; Anagnostara, I.; Bezati, G.; Rizou, T.; Pavlidou, E.; Vouvoudi, E.; Kiosseoglou, V. Water extraction residue from maize milling by-product as a potential functional ingredient for the enrichment with fibre of cakes. *LWT* 2020, 129. [CrossRef]

35. Saulnier, L.; Vigouroux, J.; Thibault, J.-F. Isolation and partial characterization of feruloylated oligosaccharides from maize bran. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1995, 272, 241–253. [CrossRef]

36. Carvajal-Millan, E.; Rascón-Chu, A.; Marquez-Escalante, J.A.; Micard, V.; León, N.P.; Gardea, A. Maize bran gum: Extraction, characterization and functional properties. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2007, 69, 280–285. [CrossRef]

37. Yan, W.; Zhang, B.; Yadav, M.P.; Feng, L.; Yan, J.; Jia, X.; Yin, L. Corn fiber gum-soybean protein isolate double network hydrogel as oral delivery vehicles for thermosensitive bioactive compounds. *Food Hydrocollo.* 2020, 107, 105865. [CrossRef]

38. Marquez-Escalante, J.A.; Carvajal-Millan, E. Feruloylated arabinoxylans from Maize Distiller’s dried grains with solubles: Effect of feruloyl esterase on their macromolecular characteristics, gelling, and antioxidant properties. *Sustainability* 2019, 11. [CrossRef]

39. Kalscheur, K.F.; Garcia, A.D.; Schingoethe, D.J.; Diaz Royán, F.; Hippen, A.R. Chapter 7. Feeding biofuel co-products to dairy cattle. In *Biofuel Co-products as Livestock Feed: Opportunities and Challenges*; Makkar, H., Ed.; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation (FAO): Rome, Italy, 2012; pp. 115–154.

40. FAO. Cereals and Cereal Products. Chapter 11. Fodder Crops and Products. In Definition and Classification of Commodities. 1994. Available online: http://www.fao.org/es/faodef/fdef01e.htm#:~:text=CEREALS%20are%20generally%20classified%20as%20fodder%20crops.
41. Rocha, C.; Goncalves, M.P.; Teixeira, J.A. Immobilization of trypsin on spent grains for whey protein hydrolysis. *Process Biochem.* 2011, 46, 505–511. [CrossRef]

42. Girelli, A.M.; Astolfi, M.L.; Scuto, F.R. Agro-industrial wastes as potential carriers for enzyme immobilization: A review. *Chemosphere* 2020, 244, 125368. [CrossRef]

43. Özkaya, B.; Baumgartner, B.; Özkaya, H. E

44. Koletta, P.; Irakli, M.; Papageorgiou, M.; Skendi, A. Physicochemical and technological properties of highly enriched wheat breads with wholegrain non wheat flours. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2014, 60, 561–568. [CrossRef]

45. Özkaya, B; Baumgartner, B; Özkaya, H. Effects of concentrated and dephytinized wheat bran and rice bran addition on bread properties. *J. Texture Stud.* 2018, 49, 84–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Hussein, A.M.S.; Ibrahim, G.E. Effects of various brans on quality and volatile compounds of bread. *Foods Raw Mater.* 2019, 7. [CrossRef]

47. Lin, S.; Gao, J.; Jin, X.; Wang, Y.; Dong, Z.; Ying, J.; Zhou, W. Whole-wheat flour particle size influences dough properties, bread structure and in vitro starch digestibility. *Food Funct.* 2020, 11, 3610–3620. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Wang, T.; Sun, X.; Raddatz, J.; Chen, G. Effects of microfluidization on microstructure and physicochemical properties of corn bran. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2013, 58, 355–361. [CrossRef]

49. Wang, T.; Zhu, Y.; Sun, X.; Raddatz, J.; Zhou, Z.; Chen, G. Effect of microfluidisation on antioxidant properties of corn bran. *Food Chem.* 2014, 152, 37–45. [CrossRef]

50. Ortiz de Erive, M.; Wang, T.; He, F.; Chen, G. Development of high-fiber wheat bread using microfluidized corn bran. *Food Chem.* 2020, 310, 125921. [CrossRef]

51. Du, B.; Meenu, M.; Xu, B. Insights into Improvement of Physicochemical and Biological Properties of Dietary Fibers from Different Sources via Micron Technology. *Food Rev. Int.* 2020, 36, 367–383. [CrossRef]

52. Sarker, N.C.; Ray, P.; Pfau, C.; Kalavacharla, V.; Hossain, K.; Quadir, M. Development of Functional Nanomaterials from Wheat Bran Derived Arabinooligosaccharides for Nucleic Acid Delivery. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2020, 68, 4367–4373. [CrossRef]

53. Zokaityte, E.; Cernauskas, D.; Klupsaite, D.; Lele, V.; Starkute, V.; Zavistanaviciute, P.; Ruzauskas, M.; Gruzauskas, R.; Juodeikiene, G.; Rocha, J.M.; et al. Bioconversion of milk permeate with selected lactic acid bacteria strains and apple by-products into beverages with antimicrobial properties and enriched with galactooligosaccharides. *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 1182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Bartkiene, E.; Lele, V.; Ruzauskas, M.; Domig, K.J.; Starkute, V.; Zavistanaviciute, P.; Bartkevics, V.; Pugajeva, I.; Klupsaite, D.; Juodeikiene, G.; et al. Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolation from Spontaneous Sourdough and Their Characterization Including Antimicrobial and Antifungal Properties Evaluation. *Microorganisms* 2019, 8. [CrossRef]

55. Low, D.Y.; Pluschke, A.M.; Gerrits, W.J.J.; Zhang, D.; Shelat, K.J.; Gidley, M.J.; Williams, B.A. Cereal dietary fibres influence retention time of digesta solid and liquid phases along the gastrointestinal tract. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2020, 104. [CrossRef]

56. FDA. Food and Drug Administration, Revision of the Nutrition and Supplement Facts Label. *Fed. Regist.* 2016, 81, 33741–33999.

57. EU. Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 of the European parliament and of the Council on the provision of food information to consumers. *Off. J. Eur. Union* 2011, L 304, 18–63.

58. EU. Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council on nutrition and health claims made on foods. *Off. J. Eur. Union* 2006, L 404, 9–24.

59. EFSA. European Food Safety Authority, Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for carbohydrates and dietary fibre. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition, and Allergies. *EFSA J.* 2010, 8, 1462.

60. Barrett, E.M.; Amoutzopoulos, B.; Batternham, M.J.; Ray, S.; Beck, E.J. Whole grain intake compared with cereal fibre intake in association to CVD risk factors: A cross-sectional analysis of the National Diet and Nutrition Survey (UK). *Public Health Nutr.* 2020, 23, 1392–1403. [CrossRef]

61. Barrett, E.M.; Batternham, M.J.; Beck, E.J. Whole grain and cereal fibre intake in the Australian Health Survey: Associations to CVD risk factors. *Public Health Nutr.* 2020, 23, 1404–1413. [CrossRef]
62. Della Pepa, G.; Vetrani, C.; Vitale, M.; Riccardi, G. Wholegrain Intake and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: Evidence from Epidemiological and Intervention Studies. *Nutrients* 2018, 10, 1288. [CrossRef]

63. Marcotulli, I.; Colasuonno, P.; Hsieh, Y.S.Y.; Fincher, G.B.; Gadaleta, A. Non-starch polysaccharides in durum wheat: A review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 2933. [CrossRef]

64. Cruz-Requena, M.; Escobedo-García, S.; Salas-Tovar, J.A.; Mora-Cura, Y.; Chávez-González, M.L.; Castillo-Reyes, F.; Flores-Gallegos, A.C.; Rodriguez-Herrera, R. Chapter 1—Definitions and Regulatory Perspectives of Dietary Fibers. In *Dietary Fiber: Properties, Recovery, and Applications*; Galanakis, C.M., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2019; pp. 1–25. [CrossRef]

65. Skendi, A.; Biliaderis, C.G.; Lazaridou, A.; Izydorczyk, M.S. Structure and rheological properties of water soluble β-glucans from oat cultivars of *Avena sativa* and *Avena bysantina*. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2003, 38, 15–31. [CrossRef]

66. Cui, W.; Wood, P.J. Relationships between structural features, molecular weight and rheological properties of cereal β-D-glucans. In *Hydrocolloids*; Nishinari, K., Ed.; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2000; pp. 159–168. [CrossRef]

67. Zheng, G.H.; Rossnagel, B.G.; Tyler, R.T.; Bhatty, R.S. Distribution of β-Glucan in the Grain of Hull-less Barley. *Cereal Chem.* 2000, 77, 140–144. [CrossRef]

68. Miller, S.S.; Fulcher, R.G. Distribution of (1→3) (1→4)-β-D-glucan in kernels of oats and barley using micro spectrophotometry. *Cereal Chem.* 1994, 71, 64–68.

69. Lazaridou, A.; Biliaderis, C.G. Molecular aspects of cereal β-glucan functionality: Physical properties, technological applications and physiological effects. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2007, 46, 101–118. [CrossRef]

70. Mikkelsen, M.S.; Jespersen, B.M.; Larsen, F.H.; Blennow, A.; Engelsen, S.B. Molecular structure of large-scale extracted β-glucan from barley and oat: Identification of a significantly changed block structure in a high β-glucan barley mutant. *Food Chem.* 2013, 136, 130–138. [CrossRef]

71. Vaikousi, H.; Biliaderis, C.G.; Izydorczyk, M.S. Solution flow behavior and gelling properties of water-soluble barley (1→3,1→4)-β-glucans varying in molecular size. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2004, 39, 119–137. [CrossRef]

72. Abdel-Haleem, A.M.H.; Agwa, A.M.; Mahgoub, S.A.; Shehata, W.M. Characterization of β-glucan gum for food applications as influenced by genotypic variations in three hulless barley varieties. *J. Food Sci.* 2020, 85, 1689–1698. [CrossRef]

73. Wolfever, T.M.S.; Tosh, S.M.; Spruill, S.E.; Jenkins, A.L.; Ezatagha, A.; Duss, R.; Johnson, J.; Chu, Y.; Steinert, R.E. Increasing oat β-glucan viscosity in a breakfast meal slows gastric emptying and reduces glycemic and insulimemic responses but has no effect on appetite, food intake, or plasma ghrelin and PYY responses in healthy humans: A randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2020, 111, 319–328. [CrossRef]

74. Maheshwari, G.; Sowrirajan, S.; Joseph, B. β-Glucan, a dietary fiber in effective prevention of lifestyle diseases—An insight. *Bioact. Carbohydr. Diet. Fibre* 2019, 19. [CrossRef]

75. Kuley, E.; Özýurt, G.; Özogul, I.; Boga, M.; Ak yol, I.; Rocha, J.M.; Özogul, F. The Role of Selected Lactic Acid Bacteria on Organic Acid Accumulation during Wet and Spray-Dried Fish-based Silages. Contributions to the Winning Combination of Microbial Food Safety and Environmental Sustainability. *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Pácularu-Burada, B.; Georgescu, L.A.; Vasile, M.A.; Rocha, J.M.; Bahrim, G.-E. Selection of Wild Lactic Acid Bacteria Strains as Promoters of Postbiotics in Gluten-Free Sourdoughs. *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Phillips, G.O.; Williams, P.A. *Handbook of Hydrocolloids*; Woodhead Publishing Limited: Oxford, UK, 2009.

78. Cyran, M.R. Dietary Fiber Arabinoxylans in Processed Rye: Milling- and Breadmaking-Induced Changes. Milling- and Breadmaking-Induced Changes. In *Processing and Impact on Active Components in Food*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2015; pp. 319–328. [CrossRef]

79. Rosicka-Kaczmarek, J.; Komisarczyk, A.; Nebesny, E.; Makowski, B. The influence of arabinoxylans on the quality of grain industry products. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2016, 242, 295–303. [CrossRef]

80. Fadel, A.; Plunkett, A.; Ashworth, J.; Mahmoud, A.M.; Ranneh, Y.; El Mohtadi, M.; Li, W. The effect of extrusion screw-speed on the water extractability and molecular weight distribution of arabinoxylans from defatted rice bran. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2018, 55, 1201–1206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
81. Skendi, A.; Biliaderis, C.G.; Izydorczyk, M.S.; Zervou, M.; Zoumpoulakis, P. Structural variation and rheological properties of water-extractable arabinoxylans from six Greek wheat cultivars. *Food Chem.* 2011, *126*, 526–536. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Marcotuli, I.; Hsieh, Y.S.Y.; Lahnstein, J.; Yap, K.; Burton, R.A.; Blanco, A.; Fincher, G.B.; Gadaleta, A. Structural Variation and Content of Arabinoxylans in Endosperm and Bran of Durum Wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2016, *64*, 2883–2892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Skendi, A.; Biliaderis, C.G. Gelation of wheat arabinoxylans in the presence of Cu$^{2+}$ and in aqueous mixtures with cereal β-glucans. *Food Chem.* 2016, *203*, 267–275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Rose, D.J.; Inglett, G.E.; Liu, S.X. Utilisation of corn (*Zea mays*) bran and corn fiber in the production of food components. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2010, *90*, 915–924. [CrossRef]

85. Malunga, L.N.; Beta, T. Antioxidant capacity of water-extractable arabinoxylan from commercial barley, wheat, and wheat fractions. *Cereal Chem.* 2015, *92*, 29–36. [CrossRef]

86. Demuth, T.; Betschart, J.; Nyström, L. Structural modifications to water-soluble wheat bran arabinoxylan through milling and extrusion. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2020, *240*. [CrossRef]

87. Daou, C.; Zhang, H. Study on functional properties of physically modified dietary fibres derived from defatted rice bran. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2012, *84*, 55–61. [CrossRef]

88. Rashid, S.; Rakha, A.; Anjum, F.M.; Ahmed, W.; Sohail, M. Effects of extrusion cooking on the dietary fibre content and Water Solubility Index of wheat bran extrudates. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2015, *50*, 1533–1537. [CrossRef]

89. Fadel, A.; Ashworth, J.; Plunkett, A.; Mahmoud, A.M.; Ranneh, Y.; Li, W. Improving the extractability of arabinoxylans and the molecular weight of wheat endosperm using extrusion processing. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2018, *84*, 55–61. [CrossRef]

90. Jeon, S.-J.; Singkhornart, S.; Ryu, G.-H. The Effect of Extrusion Conditions on Water-extractable Arabinoxylans from Corn Fiber. *Prev Nutr Food Sci* 2014, *19*, 124–127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

91. Roye, C.; Henrion, M.; Chanvrier, H.; De Roeck, K.; De Bondt, Y.; Liberloo, I.; King, R.; Courtin, C.M. Extrusion-Cooking Modifies Physicochemical and Nutrition-Related Properties of Wheat Bran. *Foods* 2020, *9*, 738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

92. Broekaert, W.F.; Courtin, C.M.; Verbeke, K.; van de Wiele, T.; Verstraete, W.; Delcour, J.A. Prebiotic and other health-related effects of cereal-derived arabinoxylans, arabinoxylan-oligosaccharides, and xylooligosaccharides. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2011, *51*, 178–194. [CrossRef]

93. De Paepe, K.; Verspreet, J.; Rezaei, M.N.; Martinez, S.H.; Meysman, F.; Van De Walle, D.; Dewettinck, K.; Courtin, C.M.; Van De Wiele, T. Modification of wheat bran particle size and tissue composition affects colonisation and metabolism by human faecal microbiota. *Food Funct.* 2019, *10*, 379–396. [CrossRef]

94. Vangsøe, C.T.; Sørensen, J.F.; Bach Knudsen, K.E. Aleurone cells are the primary contributor to arabinoxylan oligosaccharide production from wheat bran after treatment with cell wall-degrading enzymes. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019, *54*, 2847–2853. [CrossRef]

95. Saeed, F.; Pasha, I.; Anjum, F.M.; Sultan, J.I.; Arshad, M. Arabinoxylan and Arabinogalactan Content in Different Spring Wheats. *Int. J. Food Prop. 2014*, *17*, 713–721. [CrossRef]

96. Baiano, A. Recovery of Biomolecules from Food Wastes—A Review. *Molecules* 2014, *19*, 14821–14842. [CrossRef]

97. Fava, F.; Totaro, G.; Diels, L.; Reis, M.; Duarte, J.; Carioca, O.B.; Poggi-Varaldo, H.M.; Ferreira, B.S. Biowaste biorefinery in Europe: Opportunities and research & development needs. *New Biotechnol.* 2015, *32*, 100–108. [CrossRef]

98. Matassa, S.; Boon, N.; Pikaar, L.; Verstraete, W. Microbial protein: Future sustainable food supply route with low environmental footprint. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2016, *9*, 568–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

99. Najafpour, G.D. Single-Cell Protein. In *Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007.

100. Thomas, L.; Larroche, C.; Pandey, A. Current developments in solid-state fermentation. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 2013, *81*, 146–161. [CrossRef]

101. Williams, I.D.; Schneider, F.; Syversen, F. The “food waste challenge” can be solved. *Waste Manag.* 2015, *41*, 1–2. [CrossRef]
102. Decimo, M.; Quattrini, M.; Ricci, G.; Fortina, M.G.; Brasca, M.; Silvetti, T.; Manini, F.; Erba, D.; Criscuoli, F.; Casiraghi, M.C. Evaluation of microbial consortia and chemical changes in spontaneous maize bran fermentation. Amb. Express 2017, 7. [CrossRef]

103. Messia, M.C.; Reale, A.; Maiuro, L.; Candigliota, T.; Sorrentino, E.; Marconi, E. Effects of pre-fermented wheat bran on dough and bread characteristics. J. Cereal Sci. 2016, 69, 138–144. [CrossRef]

104. Zhao, H.M.; Guo, X.N.; Zhu, K.X. Impact of solid state fermentation on nutritional, physical and flavor properties of wheat bran. Food Chem. 2017, 217, 28–36. [CrossRef]

105. Jiang, Y.; Bai, X.; Lang, S.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, C.; Yu, L. Optimization of ultrasonic-microwave assisted alkali extraction of arabinoxylan from the corn bran using response surface methodology. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2019, 128, 452–458. [CrossRef]

106. Li, L.; Ma, S.; Fan, L.; Zhang, C.; Pu, X.; Zheng, X.; Wang, X. The influence of ultrasonic modification on arabinoxylan properties obtained from wheat bran. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2016, 51, 2388–2394. [CrossRef]

107. Li, Y.; Yang, C. Synthesis and properties of feruloyl corn bran arabinoxylan esters. Int. J. Cosmet. Sci. 2016, 38, 238–245. [CrossRef]

108. Truong, K.T.P.; Rumpagaporn, P. Oligosaccharides preparation from rice bran arabinoxylan by two different commercial endoxylanase enzymes. J. Nutr. Sci. Vitaminol. 2019, 65, S171–S174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

109. Wang, R.; Yang, J.; Jang, J.M.; Liu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, L.; Yuan, H. Efficient ferulic acid and xylo-oligosaccharides production by a novel multi-modal bifunctional xylanase/feruloyl esterase using agricultural residues as substrates. Bioresour. Technol. 2020, 297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

110. Yuwang, P.; Sulaeva, I.; Hell, J.; Henniges, U.; Böhmdorfer, S.; Rosenau, T.; Chitsomboon, B.; Tongta, S. Phenolic compounds and antioxidant properties of arabinoxylan hydrolysates from defatted rice bran. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2016, 98, 140–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

111. Moza, J.; Guiral, H.S. Influence of non-starchy polysaccharides on barley milling behavior and evaluating bioactive composition of milled fractions. Food Chem. 2017, 218, 137–143. [CrossRef]

112. Bijalwan, V.; Ali, U.; Kesarwani, A.K.; Yadav, K.; Mazumder, K. Hydroxycinnamic acid bound arabinoxylans from millet brans-structural features and antioxidant activity. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2016, 88, 296–305. [CrossRef]

113. Ma, F.; Lee, Y.Y.; Baik, B.K. Bran characteristics influencing quality attributes of whole wheat Chinese steamed bread. J. Cereal Sci. 2018, 79, 431–439. [CrossRef]

114. Mense, A.L.; Shi, Y.C. Dissolution of Wheat Bran by NaOH/Urea Solutions and Structure of Soluble Materials. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2018, 6, 4264–4271. [CrossRef]

115. Zhang, Z.; Smith, C.; Li, W.; Ashworth, J. Characterization of Nitric Oxide Modulatory Activities of Alkaline-Extracted and Enzymatic-Modified Arabinobxylans from Corn Bran in Cultured Human Monocytes. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2016, 64, 8128–8137. [CrossRef]

116. Anderson, C.; Simsek, S. A novel combination of methods for the extraction and purification of arabinoxylan from byproducts of the cereal industry. J. Food Meas. Charact. 2019, 13, 1049–1057. [CrossRef]

117. Bender, D.; Schmatz, M.; Novalin, S.; Nemeth, R.; Chrysanthopoulou, F.; Tomoskózi, S.; Töörök, K.; Schoenlechner, R.; D’Amico, S. Chemical and rheological characterization of arabinoxylan isolates from rye bran. Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric. 2017, 4. [PubMed]

118. Herrera-Balandrano, D.D.; Baez-Gonzalez, J.G.; Carvajal-Millan, E.; Mendez-Zamora, G.; Urias-Orona, V.; Amaya-Guerra, C.A.; Niño-Medina, G. Feruloylated arabinoxylans from nixtamalized maize bran byproduct: A functional ingredient in frankfurter sausages. Molecules 2019, 24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

119. Rudjito, R.C.; Ruthes, A.C.; Jimenez-Quero, A.; Vilaplana, F. Feruloylated arabinoxylans from wheat bran: Optimization of extraction process and validation at pilot scale. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 13167–13177. [CrossRef]

120. Andersson, A.A.M.; Andersson, R.; Jonsälv, A.; Andersson, J.; Fredriksson, H. Effect of Different Extrusion Parameters on Dietary Fiber in Wheat Bran and Rye Bran. J. Food Sci. 2017, 82, 1344–1350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

121. Li, C.; Wang, L.; Chen, Z.; Li, Y.; Li, J. Facile and green preparation of diverse arabinoxylan hydrogels from wheat bran by combining subcritical water and enzymatic crosslinking. Carbohydr. Polym. 2020, 241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
122. Chen, C.; Wang, L.; Wang, R.; Luo, X.; Li, Y.; Li, J.; Li, Y.; Chen, Z. Ultrasound-assisted extraction from defatted oat (Avena sativa L.) bran to simultaneously enhance phenolic compounds and β-glucan contents: Compositional and kinetic studies. *J. Food Eng.* 2018, 222, 1–10. [CrossRef]

123. Giordano, D.; Locatelli, M.; Travaglia, F.; Bordiga, M.; Reyneri, A.; Coïsson, J.D.; Blandino, M. Bioactive compound and antioxidant activity distribution in roller-milled and pearled fractions of conventional and pigmented wheat varieties. *Food Chem.* 2017, 233, 483–491. [CrossRef]

124. Kim, E.H.; Lee, Y.J.; Jang, G.Y.; Kim, M.Y.; Yoon, N.; Ji, Y.M.; Lee, M.J.; Lee, J.; Jeong, H.S. Functional components of different varieties of barley powder with varying degrees of milling. *Korean J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2016, 48, 256–261. [CrossRef]

125. Šimić, G.; Horvat, D.; Lalić, A.; Komlenić, D.K.; Abičić, I.; Zdunić, Z. Distribution of β-glucan, phenolic acids, and proteins as functional phytonutrients of hull-less barley grain. *Foods* 2019, 8. [CrossRef]

126. Wiege, I.; Sluková, M.; Vaculová, K.; Pančíková, B.; Wiege, B. Characterization of milling fractions from new sources of barley for use in food industry. *Starch-Stärke* 2016, 68, 321–328. [CrossRef]

127. Jung, T.D.; Shin, G.H.; Kim, J.M.; Choi, S.I.; Lee, J.H.; Lee, S.J.; Park, S.J.; Woo, K.S.; Oh, S.K.; Lee, O.H. Comparative analysis of γ-oryzanol, β-glucan, total phenolic content and antioxidant activity in fermented rice bran of different varieties. *Nutrients* 2017, 9, 571. [CrossRef]

128. Karimi, R.; Azizi, M.H.; Sahari, M.A.; Kazem, A.E. In vitro fermentation profile of soluble dietary fibers obtained by different enzymatic extractions from barley bran. *Bioact. Carbohydr. Diet. Fibre* 2020, 21. [CrossRef]

129. Karimi, R.; Azizi, M.H.; Xu, Q. Effect of different enzymatic extractions on molecular weight distribution, rheological and microstructural properties of barley bran β-glucan. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2019, 126, 298–309. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

130. Karimi, R.; Azizi, M.H.; Xu, Q.; Sahari, M.A.; Hamidi, Z. Enzymatic removal of starch and protein during the extraction of dietary fiber from barley bran. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2018, 83, 259–265. [CrossRef]

131. Brahma, S.; Weier, S.A.; Rose, D.J. Moisture content during extrusion of oats impacts the initial fermentation metabolites and probiotic bacteria during extended fermentation by human fecal microbiota. *Food Res. Int.* 2017, 97, 209–214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

132. Comino, P.; Collins, H.; Lahnstein, J.; Gidley, M.J. Effects of diverse food processing conditions on the structure and solubility of wheat, barley and rye endosperm dietary fibre. *J. Food Eng.* 2016, 169, 228–237. [CrossRef]

133. Honcú, I.; Sluková, M.; Vaculová, K.; Sedláčková, I.; Wiege, B.; Fehling, E. The effects of extrusion on the content and properties of dietary fibre components in various barley cultivars. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2016, 68, 132–139. [CrossRef]

134. Sayanjali, S.; Ying, D.; Sanguansri, L.; Buckow, R.; Augustin, M.A.; Gras, S.L. The effect of extrusion on the functional properties of oat fibre. *LWT* 2017, 84, 106–113. [CrossRef]

135. Kodama, S.; Shida, T.; Machmudah, S.; Wahyudiono; Kanda, H.; Goto, M. Extraction of β-glucan by hydrothermal liquidization of barley grain in a semi-batch reactor. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 51, 278–289. [CrossRef]

136. Yoo, H.U.; Ko, M.J.; Chung, M.S. Hydrolysis of beta-glucan in oat flour during subcritical-water extraction. *Food Chem.* 2020, 308. [CrossRef]

137. Wang, W.; Guo, J.; Zhang, J.; Peng, J.; Liu, T.; Xin, Z. Isolation, identification and antioxidant activity of bound phenolic compounds present in rice bran. *Food Chem.* 2015, 171, 40–49. [CrossRef]

138. Wang, L.; Weller, C.L. Recent advances in extraction of nutraceuticals from plants. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2006, 17, 300–312. [CrossRef]

139. Spinelli, S.; Conte, A.; Lecce, L.; Padalino, L.; Del Nobile, M.A. Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of brewer’s spent grain. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* 2016, 107, 69–74. [CrossRef]

140. Benito-Román, O.; Varona, S.; Sanz, M.T.; Beltrán, S. Valorization of rice bran: Modified supercritical CO₂ extraction of bioactive compounds. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2019, 80, 273–282. [CrossRef]

141. Huang, H.; Wang, Z.; Aalim, H.; Limwachiranon, J.; Li, L.; Duan, Z.; Ren, G.; Luo, Z. Green recovery of phenolic compounds from rice byproduct (rice bran) using glycerol based on viscosity, conductivity and density. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019, 54, 1363–1371. [CrossRef]

142. López-Perea, P.; Guzmán-Ortiz, F.A.; Román-Gutiérrez, A.D.; Castro-Rosas, J.; Gómez-Aldapa, C.A.; Rodríguez-Marín, M.L.; Fálñ-Cortés, R.N.; González-Olivares, L.G.; Torruco-Uco, J.G. Bioactive compounds
and antioxidant activity of wheat bran and barley husk in the extracts with different polarity. *Int. J. Food Prop.* **2020**, *10*, 646–658. [CrossRef]

143. Căluțiu, L.F.; Vodnar, D.C. Thermal processing for the release of phenolic compounds from wheat and oat bran. *Biomolecules* **2019**, *22*, 646–658. [CrossRef]

144. Pazo-Cepeda, V.; Benito-Román, Ó.; Navarrete, A.; Alonso, E. Valorization of wheat bran: Ferulic acid recovery using pressurized aqueous ethanol solutions. *Waste Biomass Valoriz.* **2019**. [CrossRef]

145. Peanparkdee, M.; Yamauchi, R.; Iwamoto, S. Characterization of antioxidants extracted from Thai riceberry bran using ultrasonic-assisted and conventional solvent extraction methods. *Food Bioprocess Technol.* **2018**, *11*, 713–722. [CrossRef]

146. Das, A.B.; Goud, V.; Das, C. Extraction of phenolic compounds and anthocyanin from black and purple rice bran (*Oryza sativa* L.) using ultrasound: A comparative analysis and phytochemical profiling. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* **2017**, *95*, 332–341. [CrossRef]

147. Luo, X.; Cui, J.; Zhang, H.; Duan, Y.; Zhang, D.; Cai, M.; Chen, G. Ultrasound assisted extraction of polyphenolic compounds from red sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) bran and their biological activities and polyphenolic compositions. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* **2018**, *112*, 296–304. [CrossRef]

148. Liu, L.; Zhao, M.; Liu, X.; Zhong, K.; Tong, L.; Zhou, X.; Zhou, S. Effect of steam explosion-assisted extraction on phenolic profiles and antioxidant properties of wheat bran. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2016**, *96*, 3484–3491. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

149. Pourali, O.; Asghari, F.S.; Yoshida, H. Production of phenolic compounds from rice bran biomass under subcritical water conditions. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2010**, *160*, 259–266. [CrossRef]

150. Ramos-Enríquez, J.R.; Ramírez-Wong, B.; Robles-Sánchez, R.M.; Robles-Zepeda, R.E.; González-Aguilar, G.A.; Gutiérrez-Dorado, R. Effect of extrusion conditions and the optimization of phenolic compound content and antioxidant activity of wheat bran using Response Surface Methodology. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* **2018**, *73*, 228–234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

151. Ge, Y.; Li, Y.; Bai, Y.; Yuan, C.; Wu, C.; Hu, Y. Intelligent gelatin/oxidized chitin nanocrystals nanocomposite films containing black rice bran anthocyanins for fish freshness monitoring. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2020**, *155*, 1296–1306. [CrossRef]

152. Wu, C.; Sun, J.; Zheng, P.; Kang, X.; Chen, M.; Li, Y.; Ge, Y.; Hu, Y.; Pang, J. Preparation of an intelligent film based on chitosan/oxidized chitin nanocrystals incorporating black rice bran anthocyanins for seafood spoilage monitoring. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2019**, *222*, 222. [CrossRef]

153. Apprich, S.; Tirpanalan, T.; Hell, J.; Reisinger, M.; Böhmdorfer, S.; Siebenhandl-Ehn, S.; Novalin, S.; Kneifel, W. Wheat bran-based biorefinery 2: Valorization of products. *LWT—Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *56*, 222–231. [CrossRef]

154. Serna Saldivar, S.O. CEREALS | Dietary Importance. In *Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 2nd ed.; Caballero, B., Ed.; Academic Press: Oxford, UK, 2003; pp. 1027–1033. [CrossRef]

155. Balandran-Quintana, R.R. Recovery of proteins from cereal processing by-products. In *Sustainable Recovery and Reutilisation of Cereal Processing By-products*; Galanakis, C.M., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2018; pp. 125–158.

156. Cheetangdee, N.; Benjakul, S. Antioxidant activities of rice bran protein hydrolysates in bulk oil and oil-in-water emulsion. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2015**, *95*, 1461–1468. [CrossRef]

157. Satterlee, L.D. Utilization of proteins from biomass byproducts. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev.* **1984**, *23*, 278–283. [CrossRef]

158. Arte, E.; Huang, X.; Nordlund, E.; Katina, K. Biochemical characterization and techno-functional properties of bioprocessed wheat bran protein isolates. *Food Chem.* **2019**, *289*, 103–111. [CrossRef]

159. Lórate, G.S.; Rocha, J.M.; Milumäki, N.; Saavalainen, P.; Selkälä, T.; Morales-Cid, G.; Gonçalves, M.P.; Pongrácz, E.; Rocha, C.M.R.; Toth, G. Evaluation of physicochemical/microbial properties and life cycle assessment (LCA) of PLA-based nanocomposite active packaging. *LWT—Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *75*, 305–315. [CrossRef]

160. Zinoviadou, K.G.; Gougouli, M.; Biliaderis, C.G. Innovative Biobased Materials for Packaging Sustainability. In *Innovation Strategies in the Food Industry: Tools for Implementation*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2016; pp. 167–189. [CrossRef]
161. Jonoobi, M.; Oladi, R.; Davoudpour, Y.; Oksman, K.; Dufresne, A.; Hamzeh, Y.; Davoodi, R. Different preparation methods and properties of nanostructured cellulose from various natural resources and residues: A review. *Cellulose* 2015, 22, 935–969. [CrossRef]

162. Nikkilä, M. Cereal Waste Valorization through Development of Functional Key Fibres to Innovate in Fibre Packaging Materials. 2020. Available online: http://cordis.europa.eu/project/rcn/110025_en.html (accessed on 30 June 2020).

163. Fritsch, C.; Staebler, A.; Happel, A.; Mármuez, M.A.C.; Aguiló-Aguayo, I.; Abadías, M.; Gallur, M.; Cigognini, I.M.; Montanari, A.; López, M.J.; et al. Processing, valorization and application of bio-waste derived compounds from potato, tomato, olive and cereals: A review. *Sustainability* 2017, 9. [CrossRef]

164. Peelman, N.; Ragaert, P.; De Meulenaer, B.; Adons, D.; Peeters, R.; Cardon, L.; Van Impe, F.; Devlieghere, F. Application of bioplastics for food packaging. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2013, 32, 128–141. [CrossRef]

165. Jamshidian, M.; Tehrany, E.A.; Imran, M.; Jacquot, M.; Desobry, S. Poly-Lactic Acid: Production, applications, nanocomposites, and release studies. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 2010, 9, 552–571. [CrossRef]

166. Djukić-Vuković, A.P.; Mladenović, D.; Radosavljević, M.; Kocić-Tanackov, S.; Pejin, J.; Mojović, L. Wastes from bioethanol and beer productions as substrates for l(+)-lactic acid production—A comparative study. *Waste Manag.* 2016, 48, 478–482. [CrossRef]

167. Djukić-Vuković, A.P.; Mojović, L.V.; Senemenčeno, V.V.; Radosavljević, M.M.; Pejin, J.D.; Kocić-Tanackov, S.D. Effective valorisation of distilled stillage by integrated production of lactic acid and high quality feed. *Food Res. Int.* 2015, 73, 75–80. [CrossRef]

168. Bilo, F.; Pandini, S.; Sartore, L.; Depero, L.E.; Gargiulo, G.; Bonassi, A.; Federici, S.; Bontempi, E. A sustainable bioplastic obtained from rice straw. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2018, 200, 357–368. [CrossRef]

169. Souza Filho, P.F.; Zamani, A.; Ferreira, J.A. Valorization of wheat byproducts for the co-production of packaging material and enzymes. *Energies* 2020, 13. [CrossRef]

170. Adébiyé, A.P.; Adébiyi, A.O.; Jin, D.H.; Ogawa, T.; Muramoto, K. Rice bran protein-based edible films. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2008, 43, 476–483. [CrossRef]

171. Gnanasambandam, R.; Hettiarachchy, N.S.; Coleman, M. Mechanical and barrier properties of rice bran films. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2016, 62, 395–398. [CrossRef]

172. Schmidt, C.G.; Cerqueira, M.A.C.; Vicente, A.A.; Teixeira, J.A.; Furlong, E.B. Rice bran protein-based films enriched by phenolic extract of fermented rice bran and montmorillonite clay. *CyTA—J. Food* 2015, 13, 204–212. [CrossRef]

173. Wang, N.; Saleh, A.S.M.; Gao, Y.; Wang, P.; Duan, Y.; Xiao, Z. Effect of protein aggregates on properties and structure of rice bran protein-based film at different pH. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019, 56, 5116–5127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

174. Costa, M.J.; Cerqueira, M.A.; Ruiz, H.A.; Fougnies, C.; Richel, A.; Vicente, A.A.; Teixeira, J.A.; Aguedo, M. Use of wheat bran arabinoxylans in chitosan-based films: Effect on physicochemical properties. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 2015, 66, 305. [CrossRef]

175. Anderson, C.; Simsek, S. Mechanical profiles and topographical properties of films made from alkaline extracted arabinoxylans from wheat bran, maize bran, or dried distillers grain. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2018. [CrossRef]

176. Anderson, C.; Simsek, S. How do arabinoxylan films interact with water and soil? *Foods* 2019, 8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

177. Aboukhalaf, A.; El Amraoui, B.; Tabatou, M.; Rocha, J.M.; Belahsen, R. Screening of the antimicrobial activity of some extracts of edible wild plants in Morocco. *J. Funct. Food Health Dis.* 2020, 9, 66. [CrossRef]

178. Ajmal, M.; Butt, M.S.; Sharif, K.; Nasir, M.; Nadeem, M.T. Preparation of fiber and mineral enriched pan bread by using defatted rice bran. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 2006, 9, 623–636. [CrossRef]

179. Sharp, M.K.; Butt, M.S.; Anjum, F.M.; Nawaz, H. Preparation of fiber and mineral enriched defatted rice bran supplemented cookies. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 2009, 8, 571–577. [CrossRef]

180. Tuncel, N.B.; Yilmaz, N.; Kocabiyik, H.; Uygur, A. The effect of infrared stabilized rice bran substitution on physicochemical and sensory properties of pan breads: Part, I. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2014, 59, 155–161. [CrossRef]
182. Levent, H.; Koyuncu, M.; Bilgiçli, N.; Adığüzel, E.; Dedeoğlu, M. Improvement of chemical properties of noodle and pasta using dephtinized cereal brans. *LWT 2020*, 128. [CrossRef]

183. Pontonio, E.; Dingoe, C.; Gobetti, M.; Rizzello, C.G. Maize milling by-products: From food wastes to functional ingredients through lactic acid bacteria fermentation. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

184. Santala, O.; Kiran, A.; Sozer, N.; Poutanen, K.; Nordlund, E. Enzymatic modification and particle size reduction of wheat bran improves the mechanical properties and structure of bran-enriched expanded extrudates. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2014, 60, 448–456. [CrossRef]

185. Aktas-Akyildiz, E.; Masatcioglu, M.T.; Köksel, H. Effect of extrusion treatment on enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat bran. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2020, 93, 102941. [CrossRef]

186. Wen, Y.; Niu, M.; Zhang, B.; Zhao, S.; Xiong, S. Structural characteristics and functional properties of rice bran dietary fiber modified by enzymatic and enzyme-micronization treatments. *LWT 2017*, 75, 344–351. [CrossRef]

187. Căliniuc, L.F.; Cătoi, A.F.; Vodnar, D.C. Solid-State Yeast Fermented Wheat and Oat Bran as A Route for Delivery of Antioxidants. *Antioxidants 2019*, 8. [CrossRef]

188. Irakli, M.; Mygdalia, A.; Chatzopoulou, P.; Katsantonis, D. Impact of the combination of sourdough fermentation and hop extract addition on baking properties, antioxidant capacity and phenolics bioaccessibility of rice bran-enhanced bread. *Food Chem.* 2019, 285, 231–239. [CrossRef]

189. Bolarinwa, I.F.; Hanis-Syazwani, M.G.; Muhammad, K. Optimisation of important processing conditions for rice bran sourdough fermentation using Lactobacillus plantarum. *Foods Raw Mater.* 2019, 7, 131–142. [CrossRef]

190. Kaur, R.; Kiar, C.S. Sensory, rheological and chemical characteristics during storage of set type full fat yoghurt fortified with barley β-glucan. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, 57, 41–51. [CrossRef]

191. Karp, S.; Wyrwisz, J.; Kurek, M.A. Comparative analysis of the physical properties of o/w emulsions stabilised by cereal β-glucan and other stabilisers. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2019, 132, 236–243. [CrossRef]

192. Lazaridou, A.; Duta, D.; Papageorgiou, M.; Belc, N.; Biladeris, C.G. Effects of hydrocolloids on dough rheology and bread quality parameters in gluten-free formulations. *J. Food Eng.* 2007, 79, 1033–1047. [CrossRef]

193. Skendi, A.; Papageorgiou, M.; Biladeris, C.G. Effect of barley β-glucan molecular size and level on wheat dough rheological properties. *J. Food Eng.* 2009, 91, 594–601. [CrossRef]

194. Skendi, A.; Biladeris, C.G.; Papageorgiou, M.; Izydorczyk, M.S. Effects of two barley β-glucan isolates on wheat flour dough and bread properties. *Food Chem.* 2010, 119, 1159–1167. [CrossRef]

195. Skendi, A.; Papageorgiou, M.; Biladeris, C.G. Influence of water and barley β-glucan addition on wheat dough viscoelasticity. *Food Res. Int.* 2010, 43, 57–65. [CrossRef]

196. Ames, N.; Storsley, J.; Tosh, S. Effects of processing on physicochemical properties and efficacy of β-glucan from oat and barley. *Cereal Foods World* 2015, 60, 4–8. [CrossRef]

197. Kaur, R.; Sharma, M.; Ji, D.; Xu, M.; Agyei, D. Structural features, modification, and functionalities of beta-glucan. *Fibers 2020*, 8. [CrossRef]

198. Supawong, S.; Thawornchinsombut, S.; Iuchi, Y.; Park, J.W. Rice bran hydrolysates reduce browning reaction and function as endogenous antioxidant enzymes in wild type-mouse embryo fibroblast (WT-MEF) cells. In Proceedings of the Institute of Food Technologists (IFT) conference 2017, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 25–28 June 2017.

199. Kartikawati, M.; Purnomo, H. Improving meatball quality using different varieties of rice bran as natural antioxidant. *Food Res. 2019*, 3, 79–85. [CrossRef]

200. Wolfer, T.L.; Acevedo, N.C.; Prusa, K.J.; Sebranek, J.G.; Tarte, R. Replacement of pork fat in frankfurter-type sausages by soybean oil oleogels structured with rice bran wax. *Meat Sci.* 2018, 145, 352–362. [CrossRef]

201. Al-Mansour, A.; Chow, C.L.; Feo, L.; Penna, R.; Lau, D. Green concrete: By-products utilization and advanced approaches. *Sustainability 2019*, 11, 5145. [CrossRef]

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).