High-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma as the initial presentation of an adult patient with Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome: a case report
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Abstract
A 46-year-old female presents with a pelvic mass and is diagnosed as having a high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. During surgery, she is noted to have areas of intussusception of the small bowel secondary to large hamartomatous polyps. The patient had a previous history of small bowel obstruction secondary to what had been thought to be hyperplastic polyps but represented hamartomatous polyps on further review. Additional examination revealed the presence of subtle hyperpigmented macules on the fingers leading to a diagnosis of Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome (PJS). The diagnosis was confirmed by the presence of a germ-line STK11 mutation. Immunohistochemistry analysis of the tumor showed decreased expression of STK-11 as compared to one of the patient’s hamartomatous polyps. Next generation sequencing of the tumor specimen failed to demonstrate a “second hit” somatic mutation in STK-11. This case represents the first case of endometrial stromal sarcoma associated with PJS and illustrates the importance of increased awareness of this condition among oncologists. PJS is associated with dysregulation of the mTOR pathway; treatment with an mTOR inhibitor was not effective in this case.
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Background
Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS) is a rare, inherited disease, with an estimated incidence of one in 100,000. It is an autosomal dominant condition characterized by the development of hamartomatous polyps throughout the gastrointestinal tract (most commonly seen in the small bowel, particularly the jejunum), characteristic mucocutaneous pigmented lesions and elevated cancer risk [1-3]. Polyps may cause gastrointestinal bleeding, intussusception, obstruction, or infarction [1,4]. The melanotic or lentiginous pigmentated macules are usually located on the vermilion border of the lips, buccal mucosa, digits, and less frequently on the periorbital, auricular, perianal and vulvar skin [2]. Most patients are diagnosed early in life when they present with polyp-related complications. Malignant transformation of the polyps is rare.

This genetic condition is caused by a germline mutation in the tumor suppressor gene serine threonine kinase 11 gene (STK11, also known as LKB1) [5]. It is thought that all patients with PJS have a deleterious mutation in STK11, but current technology detects a mutation in only 75% of cases. The diagnosis does not usually require genetic confirmation; it can be made based on clinical presentation. Diagnostic criteria are outlined in Table 1 [6].

Although the mechanism of carcinogenesis remains debatable, PJS patients carry an increased risk for the development of cancers of the gastrointestinal tract (colon, stomach, small intestine, and pancreas) as well as of non-gastrointestinal origin (breast and gynecological tumors) [4,7].

PJS has not been associated with soft tissue sarcomas. In this article, we report the first case of a Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome patient with an endometrial stromal sarcoma.
Case presentation

A 46-year-old woman presented with sensation of pressure in her pelvis. A CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis showed an 8 × 7 cm pelvic mass. Serum CA 125 level was 280 U/ml and serum CEA value was within normal limits. The patient underwent an exploratory laparotomy with findings of an 8 cm pelvic mass thought to be associated with an area of endometriosis with involvement of the pelvic cul-de-sac and pelvic retroperitoneum. Pathology was most consistent with a high grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. Adequate surgical cytoreduction and staging with hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, omentectomy, nodal sampling, and peritoneal washings were performed. No additional areas of tumor involvement were noted; specifically, there was no gross evidence of malignancy involving the uterus, fallopian tubes, or ovaries.

During inspection of the small intestine, an area of intussusception was noted, with resection revealing the presence of two large pedunculated polyps (3.2 and 3.0 cm) as the cause of the intussusception. Suspcion of PJS was raised by intraoperative consultation with medical genetics based on the presence of pedunculated small bowel polyps and additional findings on physical examination of the patient, which included multiple hyperpigmented areas on her fingertips (Figure 1A)

Pathological examination showed a 9.5 cm malignant neoplasm consistent with high-grade endometrioid stromal sarcoma with a predominant epithelioid component (Figure 2A-F) and a definitive sarcomatous component with prominent sex cord differentiation. An area of endometriosis associated with the tumor was noted, raising the possibility that this pelvic tumor originated from transformation of benign endometriosis tissue. The malignant cells were positive for estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, inhibin and CD10, with the more epithelioid areas positive for pancytokeratin, calretinin, D2-40, and MOC-31. CK7, CK20, desmin, actin, S-100, EMA, CEA, C-kit and CK-5/6 were negative. Differential diagnosis included the diagnosis of Malignant Female Adnexal Tumor of Wolffian Origin (FATWO). Evidence against this diagnosis was the high-grade nature of this tumor, the spindle cell component, and the negative staining for CK7, positive staining for EMA and negative staining for c-kit. Ovaries and fallopian tubes were negative for malignancy. The uterus had proliferative endometrium and unremarkable myometrium. Microscopic focal subserosal uterine tumor implants and parauterine soft tissue tumor implants were present. Pelvic and cul de sac peritoneum were involved by tumor, and foci of endometriosis were present. Lymph nodes were negative for neoplasm (9 right para-aortic, 3 right external iliac, 8 right obturator, 4 left para-aortic, 3 left external iliac, and 6 left obturator nodes). In addition to the two larger polyps, six other small bowel polyps (0.4 – 2.2 cm) were found and resected via small bowel enterotomies; pathology on all polyps was significant for

---

Table 1 Diagnostic criteria for Peutz Jeghers Syndrome (PJS)

| A. In patients without a family history of PJS, one of the following must be present: | B. In patients with a family history of PJS in a first degree relative, one of the following must be present: |
| --- | --- |
| • Characteristic melanotic macules and one or more intestinal polyps with PJS-type histology*, or | • Characteristic melanotic macules, or |
| • Two intestinal polyps with PJS-type histology* | • One intestinal polyp with PJS-type histology*, or |
| | • STK11 mutation |

*PJS type histology defined as smooth muscle arborization.

---

Figure 1 Physical findings in the patient. A) Multiple melanotic macules on the fingertips characteristic of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. B) Melanotic macule on the right cheek.
hamartomatous polyps of Peutz-Jeghers type. A Peutz-Jeghers type polyp is a hamartomatous polyp with smooth muscle arborization with occasional pseudo-invasion. This patient had three polyps removed from the large bowel a month before presenting to our institution; all three polyps were considered to be hyperplastic by an outside pathologist. Upon review of these polyps at our institution, it was determined that all three polyps were hamartomatous with one consistent with the Peutz-Jeghers type. Full gene sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis of the \textit{STK11} gene was completed so that predictive testing could be offered to the patient’s daughter; this genetic testing was not needed to make the diagnosis of PJS in our patient. The diagnosis of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome was further confirmed with the identification of a deleterious mutation in the \textit{STK11} gene, designated as 5’UTR_EX1del.

The patient received radiation therapy to the pelvis followed by chemotherapy consisting of ifosfamide and doxorubicin for 4 cycles. A repeat CT scan at the end of chemotherapy showed subcapsular liver nodules suspicious for metastasis. The patient was then started on letrozole, with development of progressive disease on this treatment. She developed small bowel obstruction requiring surgery with findings of high-grade small bowel obstruction requiring small bowel resection and multiple metastatic nodules involving the right hemi-diaphragm largest 3 cm in size and the transverse colon mesentery.
largest 5 mm in size. Pathology showed a poorly differentiated neoplasm with a predominant epithelioid component and a minimal spindle cell component. The epithelioid component was pankeratin positive, with patchy EMA positivity, patchy CK8/18 positivity and patchy membranous CD99 positivity. Tumor cells were ER positive (60%) and PR positive (5-10%) and were negative for inhibin, melan A 103, desmin and SMA. The spindle cell component had a myxoid morphology with patchy faint positivity for SMMS-1. Subsequent treatment with everolimus and anastrozole and later on with pazopanib and everolimus, and gemcitabine and docetaxel, was not associated with a clinical response. The patient had progressive disease leading to her death.

Additional genetic and immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis of the tumor specimens were performed. Tumor DNA was extracted from formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue. STK11 was sequenced using custom sequence capture with targeted next generation sequencing. IHC of the hamartomatous polyps and the sarcoma tumor from paraffin embedded tissue was done utilizing human LKB1/STK11 Affinity Purified Polyclonal Antibody (R & D Systems).

STK11 tumor sequencing findings were consistent with the patient’s known germline deletion of exon 1. A “second hit” somatic tumor mutation was not identified. IHC studies demonstrated STK-11 expression in the hamartomatous polyp with decreased expression of STK-11 in the sarcoma tumor tissue (Figure 3).

Conclusions

PJS patients have a higher risk for malignancies at a young age, especially colorectal, breast, gynecologic, small bowel, gastric, and pancreatic cancer. The relative cancer risk by site is estimated to vary between 4.8 to 18 times that of the general population, with a lifetime cumulative cancer risk up to 93% [7]. The risk is much higher in female patients than male patients since gynecologic malignancies and breast cancers are two of the most common malignancies associated with PJS. Among the gynecologic malignancies, adenoma malignum of the uterine cervix, adenocarcinoma of the endometrium and ovarian tumors have been estimated to have a 15-fold higher incidence than the general population [8].

Clinical suspicion for PJS needs to remain high in order to make the diagnosis. The hyperpigmented melanotic lesions may be missed since they may be few in number, fade with age, and present most prominently on the fingers and in the oral cavity without being noticeable on the lips as in our patient (Figure 1A-B). The hamartomatous polyps maybe misclassified as hyperplastic polyps and therefore the connection between the gynecological malignancy, the polyps and hyperpigmentation may escape the diagnosis of PJS until adulthood as occurred in our patient.

The most common ovarian neoplasm is the sex cords tumor with annular tubules (SCTAT), which is typically multifocal, calcified, and bilateral. Approximately 10% of patients with PJS will develop SCTATs that require surgery. These tumors are usually of a low malignant potential and carry a good prognosis [6,9].

Adenoma malignum (ADM) is a rare variant type of highly differentiated adenocarcinoma of the endocervical glands that comprises 1-3% of all cervical adenocarcinomas; it can be aggressive and have a poor prognosis despite its benign histologic appearance. The percentage of patients with PJS who develop ADM is 5% or less, and about 10% of patients with ADM have PJS [8,10].

Sarcomas are not usually associated with PJS. There is only one case report of an epithelioid leiomyosarcoma originating in a small bowel hamartomatous polyp in a patient with PJS. The tumor showed an aggressive behavior and by the time of resection, it had metastasized to the liver. This is very unusual since malignant transformation of the hamartomatous polyps is rare [11]. There are no reports of gynecological or
pelvic sarcomas or of endometrial stromal sarcomas in patients with PJS.

We attempted to identify a "second hit" somatic tumor mutation to conclusively link the tumor to the patient’s PJS diagnosis and germline STK11 mutation. The lack of a "second hit" does not disprove that the patient’s tumor was related to her PJS diagnosis. "Second hit" somatic mutations are only found in about 1 in 5 samples from PJS intestinal polyps [12]. Explanations for the lack of identification of a "second hit" include promoter hypermethylation, intronic mutations and complex rearrangements. The relative decrease in the expression of the STK11 protein in the sarcoma tumor compared to the hamartomatous polyp as determined by IHC does support a link between the tumor and the patient’s PJS diagnosis.

Identification of STK11 gene mutations in PJS have allowed for the development of targeted molecular therapy. STK11 mutations are associated with activation of the mTOR pathway. mTOR inhibitors have been developed for clinical use in organ transplantation and treatment of renal cancers. The mTOR inhibitors have been reported in the treatment of a PJS patient with pancreatic cancer and could possibly serve as a second line treatment for patients who have failed to respond to other therapies [13]. The promise of these targeted therapies for this rare cancer is another important reason for oncologists to be more aware of PJS and its potential diagnostic challenges. Unfortunately, the patient’s tumor did not respond to mTOR inhibition.

Consent
Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and any accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor in Chief of this journal.

Abbreviations
ADM: Adenoma malignum; CT: Computed tomography; PJS: Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome; SCTAT: Sex cords tumor with annular tubules.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
MFNI reviewed the data and wrote the manuscript under the supervision of the corresponding author. GC-O: corresponding author who recognized the importance of the research findings; formulated the report and content. Formulated the post-surgical treatment and management for the patient and was involved in all aspects of the development of the article including its final editing. MWR: evaluated the patient initially, performed surgery on the patient, diagnosed the patient in collaboration with genetics staff, edited the manuscript, responsible for the description of the surgical findings. TD: evaluated the patient in collaboration with Dr. Robertson, provided surgical descriptions and management for the manuscript, edited the final version. XG: Described the pathology findings and was responsible for the pathological description of the case and edited the manuscript. SA: Provided genetic consultation in the OR for the patient and made the diagnosis in collaboration with Dr. Robertson, provided genetic counseling to the patient, contributed to the manuscript descriptions of PJS, edited the manuscript. DR-J: Expert on PJS who supervised Maegan Roberts and evaluated the patient from the Genetics standpoint including the initial diagnosis and genetic counseling, assisted Drs. N and C-O in the formulation and completion of the manuscript, in arranging the genetic analysis of the tumor specimen and in the provision of references and final editing. BRK and MJF: Performed genetic analysis of the patient’s tumor, edited the manuscript. JAC and LAM: Performed the IHC analysis of the patient’s sarcoma tumor and the hamartomatous tumor, edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
The authors appreciate the assistance of Victoria L. Jackson, MLIS, ELS (Academic and Research Support, Mayo Clinic) in the editorial support of the manuscript.

Author details
1. Centro Universitario contra el Cáncer, Av. Madero U Gonzalitos S/N, Monterrey, Nuevo León, Mexico.
2. Division of Hematology/Oncology, Mayo Clinic, 4500 San Pablo Road, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.
3. Department of Surgical Gynecology, Mayo Clinic, 4500 San Pablo Road, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.
4. Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, 4500 San Pablo Road, Jacksonsville, FL 32224, USA.
5. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, 4500 San Pablo Road, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.
6. Department of Cancer Cell Biology, Mayo Clinic, 4500 San Pablo Road, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.
8. Department of Laboratory Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 55902 Rochester, MN, USA.

Received: 10 April 2014 Accepted: 15 January 2015
Published online: 23 January 2015

References
1. Beggs AD, Latchford AR, Vasen HF, Moslein G, Alonso A, Aretz S, et al. Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: a systematic review and recommendations for management. Gut. 2010;59:975–86.
2. Boardman LA, Pittelkow MR, Couch FJ, Schaid DJ, McDonnell SK, Burgart LJ, et al. Association of Peutz-Jeghers-like mucocutaneous pigmentation with breast and gynecologic carcinomas in women. Medicine (Baltimore). 2000;79:293–8.
3. Mallory SB, Stough DB. Genodermatoses with malignant potential. Dermatol Clin. 1987:5:221–30.
4. Hearle N, Schumacher V, Menko FH, Olschwang S, Boardman LA, Gille JJ, et al. Frequency and spectrum of cancers in the Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Clin Cancer Res. 2006;12:3209–15.
5. Keller JJ, Offerhaus GJ, Giardiello FM, Menko FH. Jan Peutz, Harold Jeghers and a remarkable combination of polyposis and pigmentation of the skin and mucous membranes. Fam Cancer. 2001;1:181–5.
6. Lele SM, Sawh RN, Zaharopoulos P, Adesokan A, Smith M, Linhart JM, et al. Malignant ovarian sex cord tumor with annular tubules in a patient with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: a case report. Mod Pathol. 2000;13:466–70.
7. van Lier MG, Wagner A, Mathus-Vliegen EM, Kuipers EJ, Steyerberg EW, van Leerdm ME. High cancer risk in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: a systematic review and surveillance recommendations. Am J Gastroenterol. 2010;105:1258–64. author reply 1265.
8. Koo YJ, Lee JE, Hong SP, Kwon YS. Co-occurrence of an adenoma malignum and an endocervical-type adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix in a woman with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. J Gynecol Oncol. 2010;21:203–6.
9. Song SH, Lee JK, Saw HS, Choi SY, Koo BH, Kim A, et al. Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome with multiple genital tract tumors and breast cancer: a case report with a review of literatures. J Korean Med Sci. 2006;21:752–7.
10. Riegert-Johnson D, Gleeson FC, Westra W, Hefferon T, Wong Kee Song LM, Spurck L, Boardman LA. In: Riegert-Johnson DL, Boardman LA, Hefferon T, Roberts M, editors. Cancer Syndromes [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Center for Biotechnology Information (US); 2009–2008 Jul 18 [updated 2008 Aug 09].
11. Patterson MJ, Kemen JA. Epithelioid leiomyosarcoma originating in a hamartomatous polyp from a patient with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Gastroenterology. 1985;88:1060–4.
12. Miyaki M, Iijima T, Hosono K, Ishii R, Yasuno M, Mori T, et al. Somatic mutations of LKB1 and beta-catenin genes in gastrointestinal polyps from patients with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Cancer Res. 2000;60(22):6311–3.

13. Klumpen HJ, Queiroz KC, Spek CA, van Noesel CJ, Brink HC, de Leng WW, et al. mTOR inhibitor treatment of pancreatic cancer in a patient With Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:e150–3.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit