A Novel Immunohistochemical Staining Method Allows Ultrarapid Detection of Lymph Node Micrometastases While Conserving Antibody
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We developed a novel ultrarapid immunohistochemical staining method in which an AC electric field is used to facilitate detection of tumor cells. Frozen sections of non-small cell lung cancer in lymph nodes were fixed in acetone for 2 min, after which they were incubated for 2 min with an anti-pancytokeratin antibody cocktail and then with EnVision\textsuperscript{TM} complex under an alternating current (AC) electric field. The sections were then incubated with a chromogen (3,3’-diaminobenzidine) for 3 min and counterstained with hematoxylin. This method enabled detection of tumor cells in frozen sections in less than 15 min. In addition, we were able to reduce the amount of antibody used by more than 90% when the sections were incubated under the AC electric field for a longer period. This method could be a useful tool for frozen section diagnosis and research. Furthermore, with this method the cost of immunohistochemical staining can be reduced.
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I. Introduction

Detection of single tumor cells or small tumor cell clusters within lymph nodes and specimens from the surgical margin of resected tissue is very important in intraoperative frozen section analysis. In particular, micrometastases in lymph nodes sometimes cannot be detected on the basis of hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining alone, but only after additional time-consuming immunohistochemical (IHC) investigation of paraffin-embedded tissue. This is noteworthy, as nodal micrometastasis is reportedly associated with poorer survival rates than node-negative disease in breast cancer \cite{4, 22, 25} and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) \cite{5, 10}. Recently, the sentinel node concept was introduced into the surgical procedures for breast cancer \cite{15}, malignant melanoma \cite{2}, gastric cancer \cite{14} and NSCLC \cite{20}. The rapid, reliable and sensitive intraoperative histopathological evaluation of distant sentinel nodes represents a crucial element of successful application of the sentinel node concept. However, Turner \textit{et al.} reported that 10 (14.3\%) of 70 patients assessed as tumor-free using routine HE staining were found to be sentinel node-positive using IHC cytokeratin analysis \cite{26}. Unfortunately, although the sensitivity of IHC analysis using anticytokeratin antibody is sufficient to detect micrometastasis, the standard protocol requires 2–4 hours to complete. To solve this problem, several investigators have proposed rapid methods that enable IHC protocols to be accomplished within only 12 to 30 min \cite{6, 7, 12, 13, 16–19, 23}. In addition, we have developed a rapid method for detecting cytokeratin-positive tumor cells in lymph nodes using flow cytometry \cite{24}, which enables us to detect lymph node micrometastases within 40 min. None of these methods is problem free, however. For example, our use of flow cytometry was limited by the frequency of false-positives caused...
by the lack of morphological observation. To overcome that limitation, we have now developed a device that enables us to complete IHC analyses within 15 min using an alternating current (AC) electric field (patent pending). The aim of the present study was to evaluate the clinical significance, reliability, and sensitivity of the novel ultrarapid IHC method developed at our institute using this device.

II. Materials and Methods

Ten consecutive patients with NSCLC were enrolled in the study between July 2010 and August 2010 after obtaining signed informed consent. Surgically resected specimens were used under approval of the Institutional Review Boards at Akita University School of Medicine and University Hospital. After a preoperative evaluation, the patients were taken to an operating room, and the standard preparations were made for a thoracotomy, lung resection, and mediastinal lymph node dissection. Lymph nodes from each patient were used for this study.

IHC procedures

Tissue preparation

Lymph nodes were surgically resected from patients with NSCLC. Immediately after removal, the nodes were embedded in O.C.T. compound (Sakura Finetek Japan Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and frozen for 30 sec in liquid acetone at −80°C in Histo-Tek Pino (Sakura Finetek Japan Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and then transferred to a cryostat (CM1900 Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

The device for IHC analysis (Fig. 1)

We have developed a device that reduces the time required for IHC, as well as the amount of antibody required for these analyses. With this device, we can apply a high-voltage, low-frequency AC electric field to the sections. The resultant coulomb force stirs the antibody solution on the sections. To examine the mixing effect of the device, the AC electric field was also applied to ferrite particles (average diameter: 50 nm) in PBS. To prevent evaporation of the antibody solution, the device was equipped with a humidifier, and all incubations were carried out under a humidified atmosphere. With the humidifier, the amount of antibody solution remained unchanged, even after 3 hr of incubation.

Rapid AC electric IHC procedure (Table 1)

Serial frozen sections were cut at 5 μm and placed on slides, air-dried for 30 sec, fixed in acetone for 2 min at room temperature, air-dried for 15 sec at room temperature, and subjected to the staining procedure. No attempt was made to block endogenous peroxidase. For staining, the sections were incubated for 2 min with a monoclonal mouse
anti-pancytokeratin antibody cocktail (AE1/AE3; PROGEN Biotechnik GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) at 1:200 dilution (5 μg/mL) under a high-voltage (3.4 kV, offset 2.4 kV), low-frequency (18 Hz) AC electric field. The sections were then washed with PBS and incubated for 2 min with EnVision™+ System/HRP Mouse (DAB+) (Dako) under the same AC electric field. After again washing with PBS, the sections were developed with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine substrate, counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, and mounted on coverslips.

III. Results

We initially visualized the mixing effect of our device by applying the high voltage (3.4 kV), low frequency (18 Hz) AC electric field used for IHC analysis to ferrite particles in PBS. We observed that the ferrite particles were well mixed within 9 sec (Fig. 1E).

We then stained metastatic NSCLC cells in lymph nodes with an anti-pancytokeratin antibody cocktail, AE1/AE3, using standard IHC procedures or rapid AC electric or saving AC electric IHC procedures. Tumor cells within lymph nodes were stained equally well using the rapid AC electric procedure or the standard IHC procedure. Moreover, when the AC electric field was applied to sections for 60 min, the concentration of AE1/AE3 could be diluted 1:500, and when the AC electric field was applied for 180 min, the AE1/AE3 could be diluted 1:2,000. Without the AC electric field, the sections were not stained with AE1/AE3 at this dilution. Figure 2 depicts typical images obtained using the standard, rapid AC electric, and saving AC electric IHC procedures (dilution 1:2,000, 180 min AC electric field), respectively.

An essential purpose of IHC analysis during surgery for NSCLC is detection of sentinel node micrometastasis without misdiagnosis. This means that to apply the rapid AC electric field IHC procedure in practice, its ability to detect micrometastases must be at least equal to that of the standard IHC procedure. Therefore, to further determine the effec-

### Table 1. Procedures and time for immunohistochemical staining

| Procedure                        | Routine IHC procedure | Saving AC electric IHC procedure | Rapid AC electric IHC procedure |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Acetone fixation                 | 4°C 10 min            | Room temperature 2 min          | Room temperature 2 min          |
| Washing with PBS                 | 5 min 3 times         | 30 sec once                     | 30 sec once                     |
| Primary antibody w/o AC electric field | 60 min               | AC electric field 60–180 min   | AC electric field 2 min         |
| Concentration of primary antibody | 5.0 μg/mL (1:200)     | 0.5–2.0 μg/mL (1:500–2,000)    | 5.0 μg/mL (1:200)               |
| Washing with PBS                 | 5 min 3 times         | 30 sec once                     | 30 sec once                     |
| EnVision™+ System/HRP Mouse w/o AC electric field | 30 min               | AC electric field 2 min         | AC electric field 2 min         |
| Washing with PBS                 | 5 min 3 times         | 30 sec once                     | 30 sec once                     |
| 3,3’diaminobenzidine             | 3 min                 | 3 min                           | 3 min                           |
| Approximate time required         | 148 min               | 69–189 min                      | 11 min                          |
tiveness of the AC electric field on the rapid IHC procedure, we compared the abilities of the standard and rapid AC electric field IHC procedures to detect lymph node micrometastases. Typical images of lymph node micrometastasis obtained using the rapid AC electric field IHC procedure are shown in Figure 3. Endogenous peroxidase-positive granulocytes were also observed around cytokeratin-positive cancer cell nests. However, the cancer cells could be distinguished from endogenous peroxidase-positive leukocytes based on their morphology. Therefore, to shorten the staining period, we did not use endogenous peroxidase blockage in the present study. Note that the lymph node micrometastases detected using the standard IHC procedure were always detectable using the rapid AC electric field IHC procedure.
IV. Discussion

In the present study we demonstrated that tumor cells in lymph nodes can be detected within 11 min under a high voltage, low frequency AC electric field using the device developed at our institute. Furthermore, not only does this method enable rapid IHC staining, it can also potentially reduce the cost of the IHC procedure.

Conventional analysis of frozen tissue sections using HE staining enables diagnosis within 20 min at most institutions [27], but the sensitivity of this method for detecting micrometastases is comparatively low. By contrast, the sensitivity of IHC analysis using anti-cytokeratin antibody is sufficient to detect micrometastasis, but the standard IHC procedure commonly requires 2–4 hr to complete. To address this issue, several investigators proposed rapid methods that enable the IHC procedure to be accomplished within only 12 to 30 min [6, 7, 13, 16–18, 23, 24, 27]; however, these methods are not problem-free. For example, the new EnVision™ system has been described as a very sensitive and rapid detection system for IHC [24, 27], and Kämmerer et al. and Mönig et al. reduced the time for immunostaining of frozen sections to less than 13 min using the EnVision system. To do so, however, they applied a higher concentration of primary antibody than is used for standard IHC [13, 17]. By contrast, our ultrarapid AC electric field IHC procedure required about the same amount of time as the EnVision™ system, and we were able to use the same concentration of primary antibody used in the standard IHC procedure. Given the expense of primary antibodies, we believe this represents a significant advantage over other procedures. It is also known that microwave irradiation shortens IHC times. Hatta et al. reported that IHC could be completed within 15 min using an intermittent microwave irradiation method in combination with a prepared immune complex consisting of the primary antibody, secondary antibody and EnVision™-solution [11]. The utility of our AC electric field method is comparable to this microwave approach.

The cost of IHC analysis is high because primary antibodies are expensive. Therefore, reducing the amount of primary antibody needed for IHC can have a significant impact on cost. In particular, diagnosis of lymph node micrometastasis requires the use of step sections of the lymph nodes in question. According to the 6th edition of cancer staging manual of the American Joint Committee on Cancer, micrometastases are defined as being >0.2 mm but ≤2.0 mm in diameter [9]. Consequently, step sections must be collected every 0.2 mm so as not to miss any tumor tissue. In an effort to reduce the amount of primary antibody needed, we applied the AC electric field IHC procedure. We found that when the AC electric field was applied to sections for 180 min, the concentration of primary antibody could be reduced by more than 90%.

The mechanism by which our method promotes the antigen-antibody reaction is not fully understood. In this study we applied a high voltage (3.4 kV, offset 2.4 kV), low frequency (18 Hz) AC electric field to IHC. Before we adopted this approach, we tested a variety of other methods for stirring small amounts of solution, ultimately determining that application of an AC electric field worked best under our conditions. It is known that when an AC electric field is applied to a solution, electro-osmotic vortices are produced [3], which were used by Wang et al. [28] to induce non-contact mixing. However, we do not believe such vortices are responsible for the enhanced antigen-antibody reaction seen in the present study. We previously showed that an interaction force affecting a droplet can be generated as a coulomb force applied from an AC electric field [1]. The generated force reflects the difference between dielectric constants and the electric conductivity. In particular, when a droplet is attracted to
the upper electrode by giving the electric field a positive polarity, a wave is generated, and the stirring phenomenon is caused by changes in the surface of this wave. We speculate that this stirring promotes the antigen-antibody reaction.

Although the main purpose of the ultra-rapid IHC is the diagnosis of sentinel node micrometastasis during the surgery, avoiding axillary lymph node dissection based on the results of sentinel node biopsy is now controversial in breast cancer. Recently, the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 trial demonstrated that, among patients with limited sentinel node metastatic breast cancer, the use of sentinel node dissection alone did not result in inferior survival, as compared to axillary lymph node dissection [8]. This result indicates that intraoperative diagnosis of sentinel node micrometastasis may not be required, at least in breast cancer. We speculate that the reason for this is that radiation, chemotherapy, and endocrine therapy are more effective with breast cancer than with cancers in other organs. Unfortunately, radiation and chemotherapy are not particularly effective in NSCLC. In addition, Ou and Zell demonstrated that the number of lymph nodes removed at surgery in patients without apparent lymph node metastasis is a significant prognostic factor in NSCLC [21]. Furthermore, mediastinal lymph node dissection is still the standard surgical procedure for patients with NSCLC. Therefore, lymph node micrometastasis should not be ignored when applying sentinel node mapping to NSCLC.

In conclusion, we were able to demonstrate the usefulness of our new method of AC electric field IHC analysis. However, the method was only tested using a single antibody in a limited number of experiments. Further investigation using other antibodies in larger clinical studies will be needed to confirm the utility of this method.
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