Use of multilocus sequence typing to infer genetic diversity and population structure of Lactobacillus plantarum isolates from different sources
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Abstract

Background: Lactobacillus plantarum is a lactic acid bacterium (LAB) of considerable industrial interest since it has an important role in the production of fermented food. In the present study, the genetic diversity and population structure within 186 L. plantarum isolates was determined based on a novel MLST scheme employing eight housekeeping genes. These isolates had originated from different sources and geographic regions: 179 isolates were from our own culture collection and originated from China and Mongolia and seven isolates were type or reference isolates from other collections.

Results: The results showed that 179 isolates and seven reference isolates could be assigned to 73 different sequence types (STs), forming ten clonal complexes (CCs) and 23 singletons. There were 158 polymorphic sites detected in total, and the nucleotide diversity per site varied from 0.00401 in clpX to 0.03220 in groEL. The minimum spanning tree analyses suggested that the evolution of L. plantarum isolates have little relationship with ecological sources have similar nucleotide diversity. Phylogenetic trees and structure indicated that there were six lineages in the L. plantarum isolates used in our study. Split-decomposition and ClonalFrame analysis indicated that recombination had occurred throughout the population of L. plantarum, but it occurred at a low frequency in these eight loci.

Conclusion: We deduced that L. plantarum isolates from the same ecological niches have similar genetic diversity and population structure. The MLST scheme presented in this study provides abundant sequence data for L. plantarum and enabled global comparisons of isolates associated with various environmental origins to be made. This will further advance our understanding of the microbial ecology of this industrially important LAB.
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Background

Lactobacillus plantarum, is an ubiquitous species of lactic acid bacterium (LAB) that is found in a wide range of different ecological niches including vegetable, meat and dairy substrates, and the gastro-intestinal tract [1]. The diversity of niches occupied by L. plantarum is related to its capacity to ferment a broad range of sugars [2].

Isolates of L. plantarum have been widely used as starter cultures in the production of fermented vegetables and other crops [3–5], fermented dairy products such as cheese [6, 7], fermented meat products [8, 9], and as a probiotic for humans and animals [10]. Lactobacillus plantarum is closely related to the species Lactobacillus fabifermmentans, Lactobacillus para-plantarum, Lactobacillus pentosus and Lactobacillus xiangfangensis and, within L. plantarum, a number of subspecies have been identified. These include L. plantarum subsp. argentoratensis and L. plantarum subsp.
plantarum [11]. These closely related species and subspecies have similar nutritional requirements and, as such, they are difficult to separate using classical methods [12]. However, interspecific and intraspecific differentiation is an important preliminary step in the selection of starter cultures. Technological, probiotic, antimicrobial and sensorial attributes are strain-specific and so it is important to be able to distinguish between isolates with different properties [13]. For this reason, a great number of molecular techniques have been developed for the identification and typing of L. plantarum isolates. These include randomly amplified polymorphic DNA, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, amplified fragment length polymorphism, repetitive element PCR and multilocus sequence typing (MLST) [13–17].

The MLST method was first described in 1998 [18] and since then has been used widely to study the population structure of important bacterial pathogens [19, 20]. Recently, research evidence has suggested that it could also be a powerful technique for typing LAB, allowing precise identification and easy comparison/exchange of results between different laboratories [21–23]. Previously, MLST has been used for the typing of L. plantarum isolates from fermented fruits and vegetables [16], and from silage, wine, pickled cabbage and cheese [13]. However, the number of isolates evaluated was rather limited, making any interpretation of the genetic heterogeneity of L. plantarum very difficult, and, potentially misleading. In the present study, a new MLST scheme was established and applied to a large number of L. plantarum isolates (179 plus seven reference and type isolates) from a wide range of geographic regions and different sources, to encompass the full genetic diversity and population structure of the species.

**Result**

**Sequence types and clonal complexes**

The partial sequences of the eight housekeeping genes (from 179 isolates and seven reference isolates of L. plantarum) ranged in size from 415 to 641 bp. A total of 73 unique STs were resolved, of which 44 corresponded to single isolates (Additional file 1: Table S1). ST47 contained the largest number of isolates, 19, which represented 10.2 % of all isolates evaluated (Additional file 1: Table S1). Furthermore, four of the reference isolates were assigned to STs (and CCs) with other isolates tested while the remaining three reference isolates were singletons in their own ST (Additional file 1: Table S1).

The 73 STs could be classified into ten CCs and 23 singletons using eBURST (Additional file 1: Table S1). The ten CCs contained 77.5 % of the isolates (Additional file 2: Figure S1). CC1 (22 STs) contained 70 isolates, ST14 was associated with seven single locus variants (SLVs), which was the predicted founder genotype [24]. CC2, CC3 and CC4 contained six (11 isolates), five (27 isolates) and five (15 isolates) STs, respectively. All other CCs contained fewer than five STs, each with a limited number of isolates. The links among CCs and singletons disclosed by eBURST profile were consistent with minimum spanning trees (Additional file 2: Figure S1 and Fig. 1).

**Genetic diversity**

The nucleotide diversity within each of the eight housekeeping genes for all isolates was calculated and between seven (pyrG) and 15 (murE) alleles were found (Table 1). In total there were 158 polymorphic sites with between eight and 63 per gene (Table 1). The nucleotide diversity per site (\(\pi\), the average number of nucleotide differences per site between two randomly-selected isolates) among the eight genes varied from 0.00401 (clpX) and 0.03220 (groEL). In addition, the number of sSNPs (synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism sites) was greater than the number of nSNPs (non-synonymous Single Nucleotide Polymorphism sites) except for groEL, which had 59 nSNPs and 6 sSNPs, the largest among all the genes. The DNA G + C % content of the different gene fragments ranged from 43.10 (uvrC) to 51.38 % (murE) and the proportion of concatenated sequences was 45.28 %; The \(d_N/d_S\) ratios for the genes were between 0 (recA) and 0.2692 (murC) respectively, and were all lower than 1 (Table 1).

**Phylogenetic relationships analysis**

Analysis of the allelic profiles of all 186 isolates generated a minimum spanning tree that provided an intuitive view of the phylogenetic relationships between STs, singletons, CCs and lineages (Fig. 1). One dominant group (in blue shading) and some small clades (Clades I-V) were discerned. The dominant group included CC1, CC6, CC7, CC9 and some singletons, and primarily contained isolates from Sichuan pickle (to the left of the group in Fig. 1) or sourdough and a small number of isolates were from fermented dairy foods (to the right of the group in Fig. 1). Clade I contained seven isolates from four STs (ST38, ST54, ST64 and ST67) of which six isolates came from pickles. Clade II contained isolates with the greatest diversity of ecological sources, although isolates in ST3 all originated from fermented dairy foods including reference isolate CGMCC6312 [25]. Clade III was composed of five STs, each from a different region, but all containing isolates from fermented dairy foods. Similarly, isolates in Clade IV were all from fermented dairy foods. Most of the STs in Clade V were isolated from pickles.
Population structure

The concatenated sequences size of the eight housekeeping genes of 186 *L. plantarum* isolates was 4251 bp. A Neighbour-joining tree of the concatenated sequences of 73 STs was in almost complete agreement with the STRUCTURE which revealed six major ancestral populations, or clusters (Cluster 1–6) (Fig. 2). From the Neighbour-joining tree Cluster 1 (blue) comprised 25 STs and 59 isolates, of which 94.9 % came from non-dairy sources, and included reference isolates CGMCC0847 and CGMCC12167 and the type isolate ATCC 14917T. Cluster 2 (red) contained eight STs, and the distances amongst ST22, ST35 and ST70 from dairy sources were closer than amongst the other STs from non-dairy sources. The 20 STs in Cluster 3 (bottle green) included isolates from multiple sources, although 62.0 % of 50 isolates were from fermented dairy food and included reference isolates NCIMB8826. Cluster 4 (orange) contained 44 isolates in 11 STs; 77.3 % of these isolates were from fermented dairy food and included

![Fig. 1](image)

**Table 1** Descriptive analysis of MLST data genetic variability at *L. plantarum* loci

| Gene | Length (bp) | No. of Alleles | Polymorphic sites | π/site | G + C content (mol%) | sSNP | nSNP | d_s/d_S |
|------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|------|------|--------|
| *clpX* | 443 | 9 | 8 | 0.00401 | 45.77 % | 7 | 1 | 0.0429 |
| *groEL* | 641 | 11 | 63 | 0.03220 | 43.93 % | 6 | 59 | 0.0177 |
| *MurC* | 462 | 11 | 10 | 0.00502 | 43.66 % | 5 | 4 | 0.2692 |
| *MurE* | 607 | 15 | 22 | 0.00855 | 51.38 % | 16 | 6 | 0.0436 |
| *PheS* | 536 | 12 | 15 | 0.00693 | 46.09 % | 10 | 5 | 0.0648 |
| *PyrG* | 415 | 7 | 9 | 0.00803 | 43.28 % | 8 | 1 | 0.0255 |
| *RecA* | 546 | 9 | 10 | 0.00549 | 45.00 % | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| *UvrC* | 620 | 12 | 21 | 0.01166 | 43.10 % | 18 | 3 | 0.0279 |
| Concatenated | 4251 | 80 | 158 | 0.00464 | 45.28 % | 80 | 79 | 0.0401 |

*aMean pairwise nucleotide difference per site

*b*d_s/d_S represents the ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions
reference isolates CGMCC12986 and CGMCC6312. Cluster 5 (pink) contained only two STs, both with isolates from pickle. Cluster 6 (yellow) contained seven STs, all with isolates from pickles.

The STRUCTURE showed six distinct clusters representing six ancestral populations (shown in six different colours) within 73 STs (each vertical line represented one ST). Isolates in Cluster 1 were isolated from non-fermented dairy products from different regions. However, some isolates from the same food origin were also divided between Clusters; isolates from pickle in Sichuan were divided between Clusters 5 and 6. Cluster 2, 3 and 4 were very diverse in origin but we identified the same ancestral sources with particular. The ancestry of each isolate was estimated as the summed probability of derivation from each cluster over all polymorphic nucleotides (shown at the right of Fig. 2). There were little admixture of ancestral sources in these clusters, with the exception of ST2, ST5, ST17, ST23, ST36, ST38, ST50, ST52 and ST72 (a percentage of assignment to a cluster lower than 85 % is considered as threshold for admixture), which seemed to have acted frequently both as donors and as recipients of recombination exchanges.

**Recombination analysis**

Analysis of all isolates indicated the index of association ($I_A$) of $-0.0382$ ($P = 0.66$) and standardized index of association ($I_A^S$) of $-0.0055$ ($P = 0.57$), suggesting no significant linkage disequilibrium and indicating a tendency for free recombination between the alleles.
Split decomposition analysis of the concatenated *clpX*, *groEL*, *murC*, *murE*, *phesS*, *pyrG*, *recA* and *uvrC* gene sequence fragments displayed in a split decomposition tree (Fig. 3) showed a network-like structure with multiple parallel paths. There were six distinct clusters in the split decomposition tree, which was consistent with the phylogeny observed previously in the neighbour-joining tree and from STRUCTURE analysis.

ClonalFrame analysis estimated that recombination happened less frequently than mutation ($\rho/\theta = 0.18; \text{CI} 95\%: [0.07–0.33]$) and was less effective in introducing polymorphisms ($\gamma/m = 0.64; \text{CI} 95\%: 0.31–1.03$). Clonal genealogy inferred from analysis of the data by ClonalFrame showed six clusters (Fig. 4). Clusters 4, 5 and 6 were the same clusters as identified by STRUCTURE (Fig. 2). The remaining three clusters found by STRUCTURE contain the remaining isolates in Fig. 3, but do not represent the different clusters in Fig. 4. Clusters 1, 2 and 3 from STRUCTURE analysis, were divided into smaller groups by ClonalFrame.

**Discussion**

*Lactobacillus plantarum* is a LAB of considerable industrial interest, which plays a key role in the production of fermented food. Nevertheless the genetic diversity and population structure of this microorganism from different ecological origins had not been fully explored until this study. Here we used a new MLST scheme to examine isolates from a diversity of ecological sources. The new MLST scheme relied on sequencing of 400–650 bp fragments from eight housekeeping genes, which was a method previously proved to be useful for elucidating the phylogenetic relationships and evolution of fungal isolates [26]. However, an independent MLST scheme had been described [13] in which 16 isolates were tested for seven housekeeping gene fragments. Compared to the MLST schemes, an average of ten distinguishable alleles per locus among the 73 STs were identified, which is more than the average of 5 alleles observed from 16
*Lactobacillus plantarum* isolates. Our MLST scheme has stronger typing discrimination for *Lactobacillus plantarum*.

By comparison, the π values obtained for *L. plantarum* isolates in our study were higher than *L. delbrueckii* ranged from 0.0051 to 0.0096 [27] and for *L. plantarum* ranged from 0.0004 to 0.0072 [13], indicating a higher degree of intragenic nucleotide polymorphism in our isolates [13]. The sequences of eight housekeeping genes examined by MLST had mol% G + C contents that were similar to the genome of *L. plantarum* ATCC14917T [28]. This suggests that these genes had been present in *L. plantarum* for a long period of time and were relatively conserved. Overall the $d_S/d_π$ ratios of the selected genes were lower than 1, which is typical of housekeeping genes and desirable in MLST schemes [29].

We investigated the evolutionary relationships amongst our isolates of *L. plantarum* by eBURST, based on alleles, and constructed a minimal spanning tree (Additional file 2: Figure S1 and Fig. 1). According to the minimal spanning tree, the dominant groups of isolates in blue shading and Clade I and Clade V, were associated with plant origins with most isolates coming from pickles, sour-dough and sour congee. Notably in this dominant group, the reference isolate CGMCC0847 from kimchi [30] belonged to ST57 with other isolates from pickle; likewise, reference isolate CGMCC12986, a commercial lactic acid bacterium [31], was assigned to ST2 with other isolates from fermented dairy foods. Isolates in Clades III and IV, were all isolated from dairy sources, suggesting that *L. plantarum* isolates from identical or similar environmental sources were closely related. Curiously, the type isolate of *L. plantarum*, ATCC 14917 T from pickled cabbage shared six alleles with ST9 which was from sour-dough [1], so the relationship between them was also close. Similarly, the reference isolate CGMCC12167 from a faecal sample was a two loci variant of ST49 isolated from pickle (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the reference isolates CGMCC12986 and CGMCC6312 were assigned to ST2 with other isolates from fermented dairy foods. Inaces in Clades III and IV, were all isolated from dairy sources, suggesting that *L. plantarum* isolates from identical or similar environmental sources were closely related. Curiously, the type isolate of *L. plantarum*, ATCC 14917 T from pickled cabbage shared six alleles with ST9 which was from sour-dough [1], so the relationship between them was also close. Similarly, the reference isolate CGMCC12167 from a faecal sample was a two loci variant of ST49 isolated from pickle (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the reference isolates CGMCC12986 and CGMCC6312 were assigned to the same STs, with other isolates, and were isolated from identical sources. Therefore we can conclude that isolates from the same environmental sources have similar nucleotide diversity, maybe it's just because different kinds of home-made fermented foods have an effect on the genetic evolution of *L. plantarum*, which existed in these traditional foods for a long time based on the special production process of these home-made foods. Our findings were different from de las Rivas, where there was little relationship with the sources of the isolates [13]. De las Rivas described most of the types were represented by a single strain, only strains isolated from the same source, such as strains CECT 223 and 224, and strains RM71 and 72 shared the same ST, but our results corroborated 39.7% of the isolates shared STs. In addition, the type isolate of *L. plantarum* ATCC 14917 T and reference isolate NCIMB8826 represented a single ST in both schemes, respectively, maybe because our isolates were isolated from some special fermented foods. Similarly, the report on *L. sanfranciscensis* confirmed manufacturing environment was the predominant factor influencing the dominance of an isolate on the evolution of lactobacilli population [32]. Cai also proved that *L. casei* was adaptive evolution towards specific niches [33].

Results from the Neighbour-Joining tree corresponded well to the results from STRUCTURE analysis with respect to the identification of Clusters. STRUCTURE analysis recognized six ancestral sources of polymorphism within the *L. plantarum* isolates evaluated and these six groups had relationships with different environmental source. In addition, most STs were single ancestral source to contribute more than 85%. However, within the entire dataset, some STs (16.1% of all isolates) contained significant ancestry from multiple sources, where extensive recombination has yielded a highly heterogeneous set of isolates with multiple sources of ancestry (Fig. 2). This confirms previous studies demonstrating that the recombination plays a role in creating genetic heterogeneity in *L. plantarum* [13].

It is now clear that recombination plays a driving role in the evolution of bacteria [34], but little was known about the details of the recombination process within *L. plantarum*. In our study, multilocus linkage disequilibrium was analyzed using $I_A$ and $I_A^S$ for the recombination measurement of *L. plantarum*. The values of $I_A$ and $I_A^S$ were close to zero, which confirmed the importance of recombination in the distribution of alleles. Consistently, the split decomposition analysis of concatenated sequences displayed a very complex interconnecting network structure. Therefore, our data suggest that recombination has contributed to the evolution of *L. plantarum*, further confirmed by limited and frequent gene flow across clusters. These events may have facilitated rapid adaptation of *L. plantarum* to different environments. This is in agreement with previous reports that recombination is an important source of diversity in LAB [16].

Likewise, the ClonalFrame analysis confirmed that homologous recombination contributed to the evolution of *L. plantarum* to some degree. Based on the combined evidence from STRUCTURE and ClonalFrame analyses, Cluster 4, 5 and 6 can confidently be called lineages of *L. plantarum*. Two of these three lineages (Cluster 5 and 6) were closely related and they were all isolated from pickle. Interestingly, some clades in the ClonalFrame analysis were defined as groups of isolates that mainly had the same ancestry as in the Structure analysis, indicating that recombination happened predominantly between related isolates during the evolution of *L. plantarum* [34, 35]. Moreover, it remains likely that other small clades and single isolates exist and might be identified if a larger number of isolates were evaluated.
Methods
Isolates, media and culture conditions
Isolates evaluated were all from the Lactic Acid Bacteria Culture Collection of the Inner Mongolia Agriculture University, China (IMAU) with the exception of the reference and type isolates that were from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC: isolate ATCC14917), the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC: isolates CGMCC 0847, 6312, 12167 and 12986), and the National Collection of Industrial and Marine Bacteria (NCIMB: isolates NCIMB 8826 and 41875) (Additional file 1: Table S2, Table S3). The IMAU isolates were originally isolated from homemade pickles, sour congee, sourdough and fermented dairy foods (cows’ milk, goats’ milk and mares’ milk) sampled in the Sichuan, Qinghai and Xinjiang provinces of China, the Inner Mongolia and Tibet autonomous regions of China, and the Dornogovi province of Mongolia. 187 L. plantarum strains were isolated from 187 different fermented food samples, which had been in mature stage. These homemade fermented foods are manufactured by natural fermentation with natural starter cultures (a stable microorganism formed during fermentation process) from old fermented food, without the use of any commercial starter cultures (isolated and cultured by companies or departments to sell). In this way, L. plantarum was kept and handed down through the generations along with traditional home-made food recipes. All L. plantarum isolates had been achieved by 16S rRNA sequences [36–42] (Additional file 1: Table S2). Reference isolates were from dairy products, pickles, salvia and faecal samples from China, USA and UK (Additional file 1: Table S3). Each isolate was grown at 37 °C over night in 5.0 ml de Man Rogosa Sharpe broth (MRS; OXOID, CM0359B, Germany).

DNA extraction and PCR amplification
Genomic DNA was extracted from each isolate using the methods of Yu [40] . DNA extraction the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 8000 × g and resuspended in TE. Briefly, 1 mL of sample was frozen with liquid N₂ for 5 min and thawed in a water bath at 65 °C. Then 600 μL of 400 mmol L⁻¹ NaOH and 300 μL of 400 g L⁻¹ trisodium citrate dihydrate were added. The mixed sample was centrifuged at 8 000 × g for 5 min. The supernatant fluid was discarded. Afterwards, the sediment was dissolved in sterile ultrapure water. Then 50 μL of 100 g L⁻¹ sodium dodecyl sulfate and 10 μL of 10 mg mL⁻¹ proteinase K solution were added and the mixture was incubated at 55 °C for 1 h. After incubation, 10 μL of 5 mol L⁻¹ NaCl and 100 μL of cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide/NaCl (1:9 w/w) were added and the mixture was further incubated at 65 °C for 10 min. Subsequently, the mixture was extracted with 1 volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1 v/v/v) and chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1 v/v) twice. Finally, DNA was precipitated by adding 0.1 volume of 3 mol L⁻¹ sodium acetate to the water phase followed by 1 volume of ice-cold isopropyl alcohol. The DNA was collected, washed and dissolved in 50 μL of sterile ultrapure water. Purified DNA was diluted to a final concentration of 100 ng/μL for evaluation. For each isolate, genomic DNA was used as a template for PCR amplification of MLST loci using an automatic thermal cycler (PTC-200, MJ Research, Waltham, MA). Thermal cycling conditions were: 94 °C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, the appropriate temperature for the gene of interest for 1 min (Table 2), and 72 °C for 2 min; a final elongation step of 72 °C for 10 min. Each PCR reaction was performed in a volume of 50 μL containing 150 ng of genomic DNA, 8 mM of each dNTP, 10 pmol of each primer and 2.5 U Taq polymerase in 1× PCR buffer (with Mg²⁺). PCR products were electrophoresed in a 1.2 % agarose gel. The PCR products were sequenced by the Shanghai Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Corporation. The same primers were used for PCR and sequencing of both DNA strands.

MLST gene selection
Genes were selected on the basis of their location on the chromosome (preferably evenly separated across the entire genome), the functions of the encoded proteins (preferably conserved and well characterized) and their presence in all isolates as a single copy [43]. Eight housekeeping genes (clpX, groEL, murC, murE, pheS, pyrG, recA and uvrC) were selected for MLST analysis of L. plantarum isolates. These housekeeping genes were conserved sequence, their wide distribution across the chromosome, and their mutually unlinked in location. The clpX encodes ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX, groEL encodes chaperonin GroEL, murC encodes UDP-N-acetylmuramyl tripeptide synthase, murE encodes UDP-N-acetylmuramylalanyl-D-glutamate-2, 6-diaminopimelate ligase, pheS encodes phenylalanyl-tRNA synthase alpha subunit, pyrG encodes CTP synthetase, recA encodes recombination A, uvrC encodes excinuclease ABC subunit C. The genes pyrG, groEL and recA had been used in a previous study on Lactobacillus delbrueckii [27], and pheS in a study on Lactococcus lactis [44]. The genes clpX, murC, murE and uvrC were known to have single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in one of the reference L. plantarum isolates, CGMCC6312 (Genbank number: CP005942). Primers for these genes were designed using the Primer Premier 5.0 programme (Premier Biosoft International) based on the complete genome of L. plantarum isolate CGMCC6312 (Table 2). For subsequent comparison we downloaded from Genbank the sequences of these eight genes in the reference and type isolates of L. plantarum that we used in this study (Additional file 1: Table S3).
MLST data analysis

Sequence alignment, trim, analysis and the identification of polymorphic sites were performed using the MEGA 6.0 software package [45]. BioNumerics Software (version 6.0, Applied-Maths, SintMaartens-Latem, Belgium) was used for sequence assembly, definition of alleles and the construction of a minimum spanning tree. For each of the eight genes used in MLST, unique nucleotide sequences defined an allele. Unique allelic profiles for isolates consisted of unique combination of alleles (one from each gene), and were used to unambiguously define the sequence type (ST) of that isolate; several isolates could belong to the same ST if they shared the same allelic profile. Groups of isolates with closely related STs were grouped within clonal complexes (CCs) using eBURST [46] (http://eburst.mlst.net/).

The START version 2.0 programme [47] was used to calculate the number of polymorphic sites, the mean G + C% content of the DNA and the \( d_N/d_S \) ratios (where \( d_S \) is the number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site, and \( d_N \) is the number of non-synonymous substitutions per non-synonymous site). Using this software we also evaluated intragenic recombination within the eight MLST genes. Multilocus linkage disequilibrium between alleles was measured using the index of association \( (I_A) \) and standardized index of association \( (I_A^s) \) [48]. These statistics are expected to be zero when the alleles are in linkage equilibrium, which also indicates that frequent recombination events exist. We used DnaSP version 5.0 to calculate the nucleotide diversity \( (\pi) \) per site and polymorphic sites [49].

The sequences of the eight housekeeping genes of 186 \( L. \) plantarum isolates were concatenated. Phylogenetic trees based on concatenated sequences were constructed using the Neighbour-Joining method, with a Kimura two-parameter distance model in the MEGA 6.0 software package. The Bayesian analysis software STRUCTURE with an associated linkage model was used to identify the ancestral populations of each isolate [50]. We use a Bayesian clustering approach to assume a model in which there are \( K \) populations, each of which is characterized by a set of allele frequencies at each locus based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) iterations [51, 52]. Three independent runs were performed and each value for \( K \), the number of ancestral populations, ranged from 2 to 10. Each run had 300,000 MCMC iterations, of which the first 200,000 iterations were discarded as burn-in. The optimal value was found to be \( K = 6 \) by comparing runs using the same values of \( K \) from 2 to 10.

We applied Splits Tree 4.0 [53, 54] and ClonalFrame [35] to assess recombination and mutation frequencies. The split decomposition method was performed based on the concatenated sequences of all alleles of the eight genes. To do ClonalFrame, a total of 100,000 MCMC iterations and three runs were performed, of which the first half was discarded as burn-in. To evaluate the relative impact of mutation rate \( (\theta) \) and recombination rate \( (\rho) \) on the whole population of STs, \( r/\theta \) (relative frequency of occurrence of recombination and mutation) and \( r/m \) (relative impact of recombination and mutation in the diversification of the lineages) were calculated.

### Table 2 The information of primers and housekeeping genes for MLST of \( L. \) plantarum

| Gene   | Template Length (bp) | Gene function                          | PCR primer sequences (5'-3') | Annealing Temperature |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| pheS   | 717                  | Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthase alpha subunit| pheS_primerF: CCGTGAAGACCTGGAACA; pheS_primerR: CCTAACCAAAAGGACAA | 49 °C |
| pyrG   | 668                  | CTP synthase                           | pyrG_primerF: AGTGAATTAGTCTGGCAGAA; pyrG_primerR: TGCATTCCAAAGCAGATA | 52 °C |
| uvrC   | 763                  | UvrABC system protein C                | uvrC_primerF: GATCATTTATGTGGTTAAGGC; uvrC_primerR: TGACACTACTGGGAACAAGC | 53 °C |
| recA   | 689                  | Recombinase A                          | recA_primerF: TTATGGTTGACTCGGTGGC; recA_primerR: TTCCGCTTTGTCGGTTTT | 58 °C |
| clpX   | 563                  | ATP-dependent Clp protease subunit X   | clpX_primerF: ATGCACAAAGAGTGAA; clpX_primerR: ATATCGACGCTAGACCC | 52 °C |
| murC   | 641                  | UDP-N-acetyl muraminate-alanine ligase | murC_primerF: TATCGCTCCACACAGTTA; murC_primerR: CGGCCAAGATTCTTCTTAT | 51 °C |
| groEL  | 737                  | 60 kDa chaperonin                      | groEL_primerF: CGGCCTATTACACACATA; groEL_primerR: GCCCTTAAACGAGCATT | 58 °C |
| murE   | 750                  | UDP-N-acetyl muramyl tripeptide synthase| murE_primerF: ACTAATAAGGTGGTGTGCTG; murE_primerR: TTAGGGCTTCTTCTAC | 55 °C |
Nucleotide sequence accession numbers
Allele sequences for the eight genes used in MLST were deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers from KP033527 to KP034958.

Conclusion
We developed an MLST scheme for L. plantarum using 186 strains isolated from a range of different sources, and evaluated some basic parameters of its population biology. Our results indicate a low degree of recombination during the evolution of L. plantarum. Remarkably, the sources of isolates were possibly correlated with their diversity and population structure. In future studies we hope to further clarify these results by using more isolates from different sources.
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