Ancestral function of Inhibitors-of-kappaB regulates Caenorhabditis elegans development
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Mammalian IκB proteins (IκBs) exert their main function as negative regulators of NF-κB, a central signaling pathway controlling immunity and inflammation. An alternative chromatin role for IκBs has been shown to affect stemness and cell differentiation. However, the involvement of NF-κB in this function has not been excluded. NFKI-1 and IKB-1 are IκB homologs in Caenorhabditis elegans, which lacks NF-κB nuclear effectors. We found that \textit{nfki-1} and \textit{ikb-1} mutants display developmental defects that phenocopy mutations in Polycomb and UTX-1 histone demethylase, suggesting a role for \textit{C. elegans} IκBs in chromatin regulation. Further supporting this possibility (1) we detected NFKI-1 in the nucleus of cells; (2) NFKI-1 and IKB-1 bind to histones and Polycomb proteins, (3) and associate with chromatin in vivo, and (4) mutations in \textit{nfki-1} and \textit{ikb-1} alter chromatin marks. Based on these results, we propose that ancestral IκB inhibitors modulate Polycomb activity at specific gene subsets with an impact on development.

The mammalian inhibitors of NF-κB, known as IκBs, consist of several homologues whose main function is the cytoplasmic retention of the NF-κB transcription factors, thus leading to suppression of the pathway under non-activating conditions. Stimuli that activate the NF-κB pathway induce IκB phosphorylation at specific serine (S) residues (32 and 36 for IκBα) imposed by the IKK complex of kinases. This process leads to ubiquitination of lysines (K) 21–22 by the E3 ubiquitin ligase β-TRCP, proteasomal degradation of the repressor, and release of free NF-κB. Free NF-κB is then translocated to the nucleus to drive transcriptional activation of specific target genes\(^1\).

Alternative nuclear roles for IκBα and IκBβ homologues have previously been described thus expanding the influence of this family of repressors beyond NF-κB regulation. SUMO2/3 modification of IκBα impairs its association with the NF-κB factors\(^2\). We identified SUMOylated IκBα as the IκBα variant (with molecular weight of 60 kDa in contrast with the 37 kDa of canonical IκBα) capable of binding chromatin to regulate transcriptional activity of various genes that are important during embryonic development such as \textit{Hox} and \textit{Irx}, in cooperation with elements of the Polycomb Repressor Complex 2 (PRC2)\(^3\). Importantly, stimulation with TNFα led to activation of IκBα-bound genes in an NF-κB-independent manner, associated to the chromatin dissociation of the PRC2 subunits EZH2 and SuZ12. Similarly, Drosophila \textit{Cactus}, the orthologous of mammalian IκB proteins, functionally interacts with components of PRC as indicated by the synergistic homeotic transformation imposed by Cactus and Polycomb mutations that was demonstrated as \textit{Dorsal} (NF-κB) independent\(^4\).
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Corresponding IκB proteins. ikb-1 are not essential genes and there are no indications in the literature suggesting nuclear functions for the IKK phosphorylation consensus sequence (DSGXXS), which is exclusive of the IκB family of repressors. Expression data is presented as transcripts per million reads (TPM). Plot was done using Graphpad Prism 8. Microscopy image of an L1 animal carrying both EGFP::NFK1-1 and IKB-1::mCHERRY endogenous reporters. DAPI channel is merged to indicate gut autofluorescence. Scale bar: 50 µm. (E) Tissue-specific expression profiles of L2 animals21–23. nfki-1 (green) is mainly expressed in the nervous system and ikb-1 (red) in the muscular system. nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants do not exhibit any obvious phenotype, but are less resistant to S. enterica-mediated killing. Thus, nfki-1 and ikb-1 are not essential genes and there are no indications in the literature suggesting nuclear functions for the corresponding IκB proteins. Here we report low penetrance developmental phenotypes in nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants that phenocopy deficiencies in chromatin-related genes encoding the demethylase UTX-16,7 and Polycomb proteins25. We demonstrate that C. elegans IκBs are contained at low levels in the nucleus of the cells, they interact with histones and PRC2 elements in vitro, and associate with chromatin in vivo. nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants have a deregulated transcriptome associated with altered distribution of the chromatin marks H3K27me3 and H3K36me3. Thus, our study indicates the existence of ancestral nuclear functions for IκBs in an animal that lacks NF-xB factors.

Results

nfki-1 and ikb-1 are the homologs of mammalian IκBs and display specific expression patterns during C. elegans development. Phylogenetic trees from the TreeFam project13 located nfki-1 on the IxB family branch, and ikb-1 closer to IxBa and IxBb (Supplementary Figure S1). However, direct analysis of protein sequence similarity using BLASTP identified NFK1-1 and IKB-1 as the most likely orthologs of human IxBa and BCL3, respectively (Fig. 1A,B). BCL3 was initially identified in mammals as an IκB family member involved in the regulation of p50-NF-xB-mediated gene transcription14,15. The region with the highest sequence similarity between NFK1-1 and IKB-1 includes a series of ankyrin repeats, which are known to mediate the interaction between IκBs and the NF-xB transcription factors6. Interestingly, NFK1-1 but not IKB-1 contains the IKK phosphorylation consensus sequence (DSGXXS), which is exclusive of the IxB family of repressors and highly conserved in the mammalian IxBa, IxBb and IxBc homologues, and the Drosophila protein Cactus (Fig. 1C). Western blot analysis using a monoclonal antibody against p-S32 of human IxBa from EGFP::NFK1-1 immunoprecipitates (endogenously expressed in C. elegans L4 stage) confirmed the presence of a phosphorylated NFK1-1 at the IKK consensus site (Fig. 1D). Lysine (K) 21, localized 12 aminoacids upstream of the phosphorylation domain of IxBa, which is either ubiquitin LATed to impose nuclear IxBa function in mammalian cells24,25, is also conserved in NFK1-1 (Fig. 1C). We identified additional SUMOylation consensus sites at the N-terminal half of both NFK1-1 and IKB-1, and several putative SUMO-interaction regions using the GPS-SUMO tool27,28 (Supplementary Figure S1). However, we do not have any experimental evidence that NFK1-1 or IKB-1 are SUMOylated proteins. We searched in public transcriptomic datasets for the expression patterns of nfki-1 and ikb-1 in different tissues and developmental stages. Temporal series of RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) during C. elegans embryonic development showed that nfki-1 and ikb-1 are expressed in late embryos, coinciding with morphogenesis and final differentiation of embryonic cells, and at all larval stages with elevated expression at dauer stage, which is acquired in starvation conditions20–22 (Supplementary Figure S2). A dataset of Single cell Combinatorial Indexing RNaseq (sci-RNAseq) at L2 stage shows that nfki-1 expression is rather ubiquitous in somatic cells but enriched in neuronal cells, whereas ikb-1 is mainly restricted to muscle cells21,23 (Fig. 1E).

To compare the cell type distribution of IKB-1 and NFKI-1, we characterized CRISPR-engineered endogenous fluorescent reporters and observed a low overlap between nfki-1 and ikb-1 postembryonic expression patterns as predicted by transcriptomic studies. At L1 stage, EGFP::nfki-1 expression was clearly detected in neuronal cells of the head and tail (Fig. 1F). To explore the possibility of nfki-1 being expressed at low levels in other cell types, we produced a multicopy transgene that overexpressed nfki-1 under the control of its own promoter (several strains with extrachromosomal integration or integrated at low copy after gene bombardment). These transgenic animals displayed
lacks NF-κB factors, we speculated that IκB function in nematode development might be related with notype, suggesting that these genes may act in the same genetic pathway.
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cellular differentiation of these cells by using the LAG-2::GFP marker. A small percentage of animals (3% to nfki-1(cer2) phenotypes with a single copy of (Supplementary Figure S3), and observed a similar phenotype (Supplementary Figure S3) and (2) we rescued the nfki-1 coding sequence allele, removing the whole of IκBs in most mammalian cells25 (see Human Protein Atlas at www.proteinatlas.org) and the reported function of IκBs in chromatin regulation, by pull down assays, Supporting a role for PRC2 subunits MES-2, MES-3 and MES-6. Both NFKI-1 and IKB-1 bound PRC2 elements in vitro, H2A and H3, and to a minor extent H4 (Fig. 3A). We then determined whether NFKI-1 and IKB-1 bind the

Scale bar: 100 µm. Graph display the percentage of animals with an abnormal DTC number. n > 1000. N = 3. Error bars show SD of 3 experiments. Statistically significant differences were calculated using two-tailed Spearman correlation test (CI 95%).

Stress response and developmental defects in nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants. Mutants for nfki-1 and ikb-1 were previously characterized but the studies were focused on their role in innate immunity and environmental sensing, thus no obvious developmental phenotypes were reported84. By CRISPR-Cas9, we created additional mutant strains to avoid phenotypes due to side mutations on the existing strains (produced by mutagenesis) (Fig. 2A). First, since nfki-1 and ikb-1 are both upregulated under dietary restriction86, we conducted a survival assay with starved L1 nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants to explore their sensitivity to environmental stresses. We found that nfki-1(cer2), ikb-1(cer9) and the double mutants survived longer in the absence of food compared with wild type worms and two experimental control strains that show sensitivity to starvation stress (Fig. 2B).

Remarkably, by a careful microscopic examination, we found that a low but significant percentage of nfki-1(cer2) and ikb-1(cer9) mutants displayed severe morphological defects that were initially overlooked with the stereomicroscope (Fig. 2C). To confirm that these defects were caused by IkB mutations, we performed two additional experiments: (1) we produced an additional nfki-1 allele, removing the whole nfki-1 coding sequence (Supplementary Figure S3), and observed a similar phenotype (Supplementary Figure S3) and (2) we rescued the nfki-1(cer2) phenotypes with a single copy of nfki-1 inserted in another chromosome8 (Fig. 2C). Of note, these morphological defects are similar to those observed in mutants of Hox genes27–29 and Polycomb mutants30, suggesting that IkB deficiency affected PRC2 function, as we described in Drosophila and the mammalian skin and intestine31. We also observed consistent defects in gonad migration of nfki-1(cer2) and ikb-1(ner9) mutant animals, which are analogous to those found upon RNAi depletion of the H3K27 demethylase gene utx-1 (Fig. 2D), also producing severe morphogenetic defects at L132. An aberrant gonad migration could be consequence of a defective Distal Tip Cell (DTC), which is a somatic cell that controls this migration process33. Since single cell transcriptomics of C. elegans larvae detected expression of nfki-1 and ikb-1 in DTCs (Fig. 1E), we studied the cellular differentiation of these cells by using the LAG-2::GFP marker. A small percentage of animals (3% to 6%) displayed an aberrant number of DTCs (mostly one DTC instead of two) (Fig. 2E). This result suggests that the aberrant gonad phenotype observed in nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants could be due to defects in specification or differentiation of the DTCs. Interestingly, a double mutant strain for nfki-1 and ikb-1 did not increase the phenotype, suggesting that these genes may act in the same genetic pathway.

Altogether, our results indicate that IkB proteins contribute to the regulation of C. elegans development. Since C. elegans lacks NF-xB factors, we speculated that IkB function in nematode development might be related with modulation of Polycomb activity, that impacts on the differentiation state of specific cell types.

NFK-1 and IK-1 physically interact with histones and PRC2 proteins in vitro and bind to the chromatin in vivo. Supporting a role for C. elegans IxBs in chromatin regulation, by pull down assays, we demonstrated that HA-tagged NFK-1 and IKB-1 expressed in mammalian HEK-293T cells bind histone H2A and H3, and to a minor extent H4 (Fig. 3A). We then determined whether NFK-1 and IKB-1 bind the C. elegans PRC2 subunits MES-2, MES-3 and MES-6. Both NFK-1 and IKB-1 bound PRC2 elements in vitro, and the interaction domain was specific for each PRC2 protein (Figs. 3B–E). In contrast, neither NFK-1 nor
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Figure 3. *C. elegans* IκBαs physically interact with histones and PRC2 proteins, but not mammalian NF-κB proteins in vitro and bind chromatin in vivo. (A) Pull-down assay using HA-tagged NFKI-1, IKB-1 or mammalian SUMO-IκBα expressed in HEK-293 T cells and the indicated GST-fusion histone constructs as bait (B–D) Pull-down assays from HA-tagged NFKI-1 or IKB-1 containing extracts using GST-fusion proteins containing the indicated fragments of MES-2 (B), MES-3 (C) or MES-6 (D). (E) Summary of the data shown in (B–D). (F) Extracts from HEK-293 T cells transfected with HA-tagged human SUMO-IκBα or *C. elegans* NFKI-1 and IKB-1 were used in co-immunoprecipitation experiments to measure association with the NF-κB proteins p65/RelA and p50. Western blot analysis of a representative experiment is shown. (G) Distribution of peaks from 3xFLAG::NFKI-1 and IKB-1::mCHERRY ChIP-seq across *C. elegans* chromosomes, indicating the localization of the peaks relative to the closest annotated gene. Data are presented relative to input. Plot done with ggplot2 package (version 3.2.1, R software version 3.6.1). (H) Table indicating the cell-type category distribution of genes identified in the ChIP analysis. Uncropped versions of gels and blots are included in Supplementary Figure S5.
IKB-1 expressed in mammalian cells interacted with p50 or p65 NF-κB proteins in co-precipitation experiments (Fig. 3F).

Next, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) in 3xFLAG::NFKI-1; IKB-1::mCherry endogenous reporters at L1 stage using anti-FLAG and anti-mCherry antibodies. We detected association of NFKI-1 or IKB-1 to chromatin at 127 and 210 genes, respectively. NFKI-1 and IKB-1 ChIP peaks primarily localized at the promoter regions of genes and were similarly distributed among chromosomes (Fig. 3G) (Supplementary Table S1). Among potential IκB target genes we identified soma-specific, germline-enriched and ubiquitously expressed, and none of these categories was notably predominant (Fig. 3H).

Together these results indicate that NFKI-1 and IKB-1 have the capacity to bind chromatin, likely through direct interaction with histones, as well as other chromatin-related proteins such as PRC2 subunits.

**Figure 4.** RNA-seq and ChIP-seq indicate that gene expression and distribution of regulatory chromatin marks are affected in IκB-deficient mutants. (A) 2D plot illustrating the correlation between genes differentially expressed (adjusted p value < 0.01, log, fold-change ≥ 2) in *nfki-1* and *ikb-1* deficient animals at L4 larvae stage. R = 0.947, p value < 2.2e-16, Spearman test. (B) Doughnut charts showing the distribution of genes categorized according to whether their expression is ubiquitous (green), germline-enriched (dark blue), germline-specific (light blue), soma-specific (yellow) or unclassified (gray) for differentially expressed genes at L4 stage. Numbers in the center represent the number of genes in each dataset. Categories dataset was extracted from 44. Statistically significant differences between expected and observed distribution was calculated using Chi-square test for goodness of fit (p < 0.05). Doughnut charts were generated using Graphpad Prism 8. (C,D) Violin plots indicating the density and distribution of H3K27me3 (C) and H3K36me3 (D) peaks at germline and soma-specific genes differentially (color-filled/black lines) and not differentially (colored lines) expressed in the indicated genotypes (p adj < 0.05). x-axis represents the expression levels (log, fold-change) in mutants relative to the wild type. Plots in panels (A,C and D) were done with ggplot2 package (version 3.2.1, R software version 3.6.1).
Gene expression changes in IκB-deficient mutants correlate with altered Polycomb-deposited chromatin marks. To validate the possibility that NFKI-1 and IKB-1 exert specific functions in the chromatin of C. elegans cells, we synchronized C. elegans larvae at L4 stage of wild type and mutant strains, and worm extracts were split in two groups to perform RNA-seq and ChIP-seq experiments with equivalent samples. We identified a large cohort of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in nfki-1(cer1) and ikb-1(nr2027). Interestingly, there was a huge correlation between DEGs in both mutants (Fig. 4A) (Supplementary Table S2), suggesting that NFKI-1 and IKB-1 regulate a similar set of genes. Among the subset of DEGs, we identified a germline-specific signature that was enriched among upregulated genes in both mutants (Fig. 4B). We performed RNA-seq of nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants at L1 stage and we did not observe upregulation of germline genes (Supplementary Figure S4), but we still detected a correlation between DEGs in both mutants (Supplementary Figure S4). Principal component analysis (PCA) of RNA-seq data clustered together L1 WT and ikb mutants, in contrast with L4 WT samples that were separated from nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants, indicating that transcriptional regulation imposed by IκB during postembryonic development results in the most distinct transcriptome at L4 (Supplementary Figure S4).

Then, we explored whether differential expression of genes observed in IkB mutants was the result of a general alteration in the PRC2-imposed chromatin mark H3K27me3. Western blot analysis indicated that nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants showed comparable levels of the repressive H3K27me3 mark (Supplementary Figure S4). Then, we performed ChIP-seq analyses for H3K27me3 and the activating mark H3K36me3, which is mainly antagonistic to H3K27me3, on the L4 paired samples used for RNA-seq. Most of the ChIP-seq peaks were located at promoter regions and displayed a similar distribution among the different IκB deficient backgrounds (Supplementary Table S1). A more detailed analysis of the data indicated that in soma-specific DEGs, the H3K27me3 mark was accumulated at the promoter and intronic regions of genes downregulated in nfki-1(cer1), ikb-1(nr2027) and the double mutant, but randomly distributed in the non-differentially expressed group (non-DEG) of genes (Fig. 4C). In germline genes, which are generally upregulated in the mutants, both H3K27me3 and H3K36me3 marks were found only in promoter regions and presented a high accumulation of H3K36me3 mark (Fig. 4C,D).

Overall, our results here demonstrate an ancestral function of NFKI-1 and IKB-1 as regulators of key chromatin marks deposition and transcriptional activation of a subset of genes with impact on C. elegans development. This is the first evidence of transcriptional regulation imposed by IκB homologues in the absence of a functional NF-kB pathway.

Discussion

IkB proteins are mainly known because of their function as suppressors of inflammation and repressors of NF-κB. We have taken advantage of a non-NF-κB system to discriminate between NF-κB-dependent and -independent functions of these essential proteins. The presence of highly conserved IkB proteins in an NF-κB-deficient organism is at least surprising, based on the fact that free IkBs is intrinsically unstable in mammalian cells (half-life of 5–10 min) and requires being stabilized through its association with NF-κB (three orders of magnitude prolonged half-life)

Importantly, we found that C. elegans IkBs are, in fact, unable to bind mammalian p65-NF-κB but they bind PRC2 and histones. The association with these proteins may contribute to their stabilization, mostly dependent on the association to NF-κB in mammalian cells. These results, together with the observation that IkB deficiencies in C. elegans result in an altered H3K27me3 distribution, a deregulated transcriptome and morphological defects suggest that nematode IkBs act as modulators of developmental processes, including the animal body patterning, which is also regulated by PRC2, Hox genes and the UTX demethylase. RNA-seq analysis in mutant worms did not show significant deregulation of PRC2 gene expression and the overall H3K27me3 mark was not altered suggesting that IkBs specifically regulate the deposition of histone marks to specific PRC2 target genes.

Another nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutant phenotype related to development was the aberrant migration of distal tip cells (DTCs), which also phenocopied the uts-1 mutants. Altered migration of DTCs is associated with a defective cellular identity of the somatic gonad precursors. Therefore, the body morphology defects as well as the phenotype in DTCs are related to cell fate identity and maintenance and may be related to nuclear functions of C. elegans IkB proteins. Nevertheless, an effect of NFKI-1 and IKB-1 cytoplasmic functions cannot be excluded.

In addition, we found that nfki-1 and ikb-1 mutants are more resistant to starvation than wild type animals. This phenotype could be related with the recently demonstrated interaction of NFKI-1 with cytoplasmic MAL-1, which regulate resistance to environmental stresses.

We generated endogenous reporters for nfki-1 and ikb-1. In the case of nfki-1, we further studied the subcellular location of NFKI-1, which is predominantly cytoplasmic as previously reported. We challenged the reporter strain in different ways, but we did not find a condition, besides overexpression, that increases the presence of NFKI-1 in the nuclei. Supporting the nuclear location of NFKI-1, a recent study detected NFκI-1 in the nuclear fraction of C. elegans and a protein–protein interaction with the histone methyl transferase CBP-1/P300.

The fact that NFKI-1 and IKB-1 deficiencies result in almost identical phenotypes, both at the morphologic, chromatin and transcriptomic levels suggests that both homologues have similar functions in gene regulation. They overlap in a small subset of cells (Fig. 1E) but, they may exert comparable nuclear functions in distinct cell types to regulate a similar set of genes. Another possibility is that NFKI-1 and IKB-1 deficiency affects either germine development or the speed of larval development thus leading to progressive changes in gene expression, which we detected at L4 stage.

NFKI-1 and IKB-1 present an amino acid stretch highly rich in lysines (K) in the region from a 31–144 (20% of all amino acids). The average K content for non-ribosomal proteins is 5% and 10% for ribosomal proteins. So, it is plausible to speculate that post-translational modifications of the amino-terminal half of the protein, including ubiquitination and/or SUMOylation, are required for compensating the high excess of positive charge.
imposed by the high proportion of K residues. Supporting this possibility, we have identified multiple SUMOylation consensusese in both proteins as well as SUMO-binding regions that could be mediating the potential interaction in some cells.

It is still under debate whether NF-κB was originally present in a common ancestor of arthropods and nematodes and then lost in the latter, or NF-κB signaling was originated in a common ancestor of arthropods and vertebrates after the divergence of nematodes. Thus, our results suggest two possibilities: (1) a nuclear function of ancestral IκBs has emerged in an NF-κB-free scenario and has primarily been maintained by BCL3 in mammals, whereas IκBα has functionally diverged to inhibit NF-κB, maintaining the capacity to regulate a fraction of PRC2-repressed genes (when phosphorylated and SUMOylated) or (2) NF-κB pathway was originally present in *C. elegans* being primarily regulated by NFKI-1, and subsequently lost, thus rewiring NFKI-1 toward its moonlighting function as regulator of PRC2 target genes. In any case, from the evolution point of view, according to the cytoplasmic roles of *C. elegans* NFKI-1 and IκB-1, IκB proteins were involved in innate-immunity and stress responses even before the existence of NF-κB.

**Material and methods**

**Caenorhabditis elegans strains.** *C. elegans* strains were cultured on Nematode Growth Medium (NGM) agar plates and maintained by standard methods. We used N2 (Bristol) as wild type strain. All experiments were conducted at 25 °C, unless otherwise stated. Before performing assays, strains' genotype was verified and then animals were grown for at least two generations at the experimental temperature. All strains in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S3. All primer sequences are described in Supplementary Table S4.

**CRISPR-Cas9 editing and nematode transgenesis.** For CRISPR-Cas9 editing, single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were designed using CCO TOP online tool. We followed a co-CRISPR approach using dpy-10(cn64) allele as a marker to enrich for successful genome-editing events. Endogenous reporters were obtained using a Nested CRISPR strategy. PHX267 [ikb-1(jyb267)[ikb-1::mCherry]] I endogenous reporter was provided by SunyBiotech.

Young adult hermaphrodites were injected using Xenoworks Microinjection System. F1 progeny was screened by PCR using specific primers and F2 homozygotes were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. The sequences of the CRISPR reagents and primers used are available in the Supplementary Table S4.

For the generation of NFKI-1 overexpression reporter lines, fosmid vectors containing an TY1::EGFP::3xFLAG-tagged version of *nfi-1* (C33A11.1) were requested from the TransgeneOne resource and transformation was performed by bombardment with gold particles (Biolistic Helium Gun, Caenotec). unc-119(ed3) young adults were shot with 16 μg of the purified DNA of interest. Fosmid constructs were verified by digestion with restriction enzymes. Expression patterns were characterized for 2 independent lines with an integrated transgene and 6 with an extrachromosomal array. All generated lines displayed similar expression patterns.

**RNA isolation.** Synchronized animals were collected in M9 buffer after growing for 36 h (L4s) or 5 h-fed and 3 h-starved (L1s) at 25 °C. Suspended worms were washed 5 times in M9 buffer to remove all traces of bacteria. Total RNA was isolated using TRI Reagent (MRC, Inc.) and PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Ambion) according to manufacturer's instructions.

**C. elegans microscopy and image processing.** Animals were mounted on 2% agar pads with 6 mM tetramisole hydrochloride. Live fluorescence imaging was performed with a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 inverted fluorescence microscope and confocal imaging was conducted with a Leica TCS SP5 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope. Imaging was done with 10–63× magnification in Z-stacks with 0.25–1 μm distance between planes. We used Zeiss Xenon 2012 (Blue Edition), FIJI (ImageJ version 2.0.0-rc-68/1.52p) for image processing, and Adobe Illustrator CS5 for assembly of the figures.

**Phenotypic characterization.** For all experiments, gravid adult animals were synchronized by hypochlorite treatment and resuspended embryos were allowed to hatch by overnight incubation at 20 °C. Then, incubated at 25 °C until animals reached the optimal stage for phenotype evaluation.

Larvae morphology was assessed at L1 in a large synchronized population by Nomarski (DIC) microscopy. Animals that presented misshapen bodies, mainly at the posterior region and in the tail, which were completely absent in N2 (Bristol) wild type control, were counted as morphologically aberrant.

Gonad migration was characterized in a synchronized population at L4 stage (36 h at 25 °C) for wild type and mutant backgrounds by DIC and fluorescence microscopy. To facilitate inspection and counting, knockout mutants were crossed with endogenous DEPS-1::GFP germline reporter. Gonads were considered with an aberrant migration when the expected U-shape was not achieved due to an irregular turn.

Distal tip cell number was examined by microscopy of a synchronous population of wild type and in an IκB-mutant background at L3 stage (24 h at 25 °C). An abnormal distal tip cell number was considered when animals displayed one or three LAG-2::GFP-expressing distal tip cells.

**Starvation assay.** L1 starvation assay was performed as previously described. Briefly, gravid adult worms were synchronized by hypochlorite treatment and the resulting eggs were resuspended in 4 ml of S-basal without cholesterol. Animals were incubated rotating at 20°C. Larval viability was determined by placing ~100 worms every 3 days onto NGM plates and incubated at 20 °C for 2 days. Worms that reached at least L4 stage
were marked as survivors and survival rates were calculated. Three biological replicates were used for each time-point.

**RNA interference (RNAi).** RNAi was performed by feeding placing synchronized L1 worms obtained by hypochlorite treatment on NGM plates seeded with the specific RNAi clone-expressing bacteria at 25°C. We used L4440 empty vector (Fire vector library) as a mock RNAi control. Phenotypic characterization was assessed at different developmental stages of the F1 progeny.

**Plasmids.** GST fusion proteins were generated using pGEX5x.3 (GE Healthcare) as vector. cDNAs were cloned in frame 3rd from the GST coding-sequences using BamHI and XhoI restriction sites. For full-length proteins, cDNAs were amplified by PCR starting at sequences coding for amino acid 2 and ending at the stop codons. When preparing fusion proteins with particular fragments, appropriate primers were designed to clone in frame and a stop codon was introduced with the reverse primer. Primers were designed with 5' extensions that included the relevant restriction enzyme recognition sites and additional nucleotides to ensure sensitivity to the restriction enzyme in the PCR product. Sequences used for mes-2, mes-3 and mes-6 were taken from Genebank with accession numbers: NM_064591; NM_001026321 and NM_001026149. For MES-2 and MES-3, two different fusion proteins were constructed to facilitate their production in *E. coli* due to their large size. Thus, for MES-2 the N-terminal construct contains amino acids 2–450 and the C-terminal construct amino acids 446–798. Likewise, for MES-3 the N-terminal construct contains amino acids 2–370 and the C-terminal construct amino acids 321–754. Primer sequences are given in Supplementary Table S4.

Expression plasmids for NFKI-1 and IKB-1 were constructed using the pcDNA4TO vector (ThermoFisher Scientific) previously modified to include two HA-epitope units in the 5' end. The exact sequence is available upon request. Briefly, cDNAs coding for proteins NFKI-1 (NM_078139) and IKB-1 (NM_060174) were amplified using primers with appropriate 5' extensions to facilitate cloning by restriction enzyme digestions followed by ligation. Primers are given in Supplementary Table S4.

**Preparation of GST and GST-fusion proteins.** Fresh colonies of *E. coli* containing the desired plasmids were used to start fresh cultures for recombinant protein isolation. When the culture OD$_{600}$ reached 0.6, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.1 M and the culture was further incubated for an additional 3.5 h at 37°C. Protein expression was verified in SDS-PAGE by Coomassie blue staining. For purification, cells were pelleted and resuspended in lysis buffer (10 ml per each 50 ml of bacterial culture; 20 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4; 1 mM NaCl; 0.2 mM EDTA; 1 mM PMSF; 1 mM DTT; 1 mg/ml lysozyme; 1 mini complete tablet (protease inhibitor cocktail Roche), sonicated using 3 cycles of 10 s at 25% amplitude and then centrifuged at maximum speed for 30 min at 4°C. Supernatant was incubated with glutathione sepharose beads, equilibrated in lysis buffer, for 3 h at 4°C in a rotary shaker. GST-fusion proteins bound to beads were recovered by gentle centrifugation (1200 rpm for 2 min in a microfuge) washes extensively with lysis buffer and finally resuspended in lysis buffer and kept at 4°C. To assess quality and relative quantity of the purified GST-fusion proteins, different volume aliquots were run in SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. For the pull-down assay, equal amount of the different GST-fusion proteins was used according to Coomassie blue stain. Additional glutathione-sepharose beads were included when necessary to have a visible bead volume during the process. Beads were equilibrated in eukaryotic lysis buffer (0.5 M Tris HCl pH 7.5; 1.5 M NaCl; 10% Nonidet (NP-40); 50 mM EGTA 50 mM EDTA; 200 mM NaF; 1 mini complete tablet/50 ml of buffer (protease inhibitor cocktail Roche)) and blocked with HEK293T cell extract before use. HEK293T cells overexpressing the proteins of interest were lysed in eukaryotic lysis buffer and insoluble proteins and cell debris were discarded by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Next, blocked beads were mixed with cell lysate and incubated for 45 min at 4°C in a rotary shaker, centrifuged for 2 min at 1200 rpm and washed extensively with eukaryotic lysis buffer. Pulled proteins were analyzed by Western blot.

**Pull down and peptide co-precipitation assays.** *C. elegans* HA-IKB-1 and HA-NFKI-1 expressed in HEK293T cells were used in pull down assays to assess their interaction with histones and members of the Polycomb repressor complex 2. In some experiments, SUMO-IkBα was included as positive control and corresponds to an artificially SUMOylated IκBα variant with a modified (Q90P) SUMO moiety fused to its N-terminal right after amino acid 2. In brief, cells extracts were incubated for 1 h with the indicated GST or GST fusion proteins bound to glutathione-coated beads. After extensive washing, the presence of HA-tagged proteins bound to the beads was analyzed by western blot analysis.

**Immunoprecipitation.** EGFP::NFKI-1 L4 *C. elegans* were frozen with liquid nitrogen and smashed with mortar and pestle. Then, the cells were lysed with RIPA buffer (0.1% DOC, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 140 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EGTA, 10 mM NaButyrate, 20 mM β-Glycerophosphate and 100 μM NaOrtovanadate), sonicated using 3 cycles of 10 s at 25% amplitude and then centrifuged at maximum speed for 30 min at 4°C. The proteins in supernatant were precipitated using an antibody anti-GFP [Clontech Ref. 632593]. Rabbit IgG was used as negative control (4 μg). The antibody was incubated with lysates overnight and the complexes precipitated with protein A-sepharose beads [GE Healthcare, Ref. 17-0780-01] 2 h at 4°C. Precipitated proteins were analyzed by Western blot.

**Western blot.** Samples were analyzed by Western blotting using standard SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) techniques. In brief, protein samples were boiled in Laemmli buffer, run in polyacryla-
mid gels, and transferred onto polyvinylidene-difluoride (PVDF) membranes [Millipore Ref. IPVH00010]. Gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 [ThermoFischer Ref.20279]. Membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C with the appropriate primary antibodies, extensively washed and then incubated with specific secondary horseradish peroxidase–linked antibodies from Dako [Ref. P0260 and P0448]. Peroxidase activity was visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence reagent [Biological Industries Ref. 20-500-120] and autoradiography films [GE Healthcare Ref. 28906835].

| Antibody      | Company      | Reference    | Specie | Dilution |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------|----------|
| H3K27me3     | Millipore    | #07-449      | Rabbit | 1:1000   |
| HA-11 Epitope Tag | Covance        | MM5-101R     | Mouse  | 1:1000   |
| Histone H3   | Abcam        | ab1791       | Rabbit | 1:5000   |
| NF-κB p50    | Santa Cruz   | sc7178       | Rabbit | 1:1000   |
| NF-κB p65    | Abcam        | ab16502      | Rabbit | 1:1000   |
| P-IκBα (S32) | Abcam        | Ab92700      | Rabbit | 1:1000   |

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Larvae were collected as described by\(^54\). Briefly, synchronized animals were grown in *E. coli* OP50-seeded NGM agar 150 mm plates and then collected in M9 buffer after growing for 36 h (L4s) or 5 h-fed and 3 h-starved (L1s) at 25°C. Suspended worms (approximately, 200 μl of L1 pelleted animals and 2 ml for L4s) were washed 5 times in M9 buffer to remove all traces of bacteria, resuspended in FA buffer with protease inhibitors cocktail (Roche) and frozen as drops in liquid nitrogen (N\(_2\)).

Then, 1 ml of packed worms was ground under liquid N\(_2\) and brought to 10 ml with FA buffer (50 mM HEPES/KOH pH7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl). Next, 600 μl of 37% formaldehyde were added and allowed to crosslink for 20 min at room temperature. Glycine (600 μl; 2.5 M) was used to quench the reaction, for 2 min, and nuclei were recovered by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 5 min in a microfuge), washed 3 times with FA buffer by resuspending in 5 ml and spinning again. Finally, nuclei were resuspended in 1.6 ml of FA buffer, split into two Eppendorf tubes and sonicated for 10 min in a Bioruptor Pico (Diagenode) under standard conditions (30 s on, 30 s off). After sonication, protein extract was quantified and approximately 2 mg of each sample were spun at full speed for 10 min at 4 °C and the supernatants (SN) were pooled again. SN were pre-cleared with FA-equilibrated SPA/G beads (GE Healthcare) by rotating for 30 min at room temperature, recovered by spinning at 1200 rpm for 2 min and used for immunoprecipitation (IP). An aliquot of 100 μl was kept as input control at this point. The rest of the sample was split into different tubes for IP with the antibodies of choice. After adding the corresponding antibody (3–10 μg), samples were incubated in a rotator overnight at 4 °C and the immunocomplexes were recovered with FA buffer pre-equilibrated SPA/G beads (GE Healthcare) by rotating 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were pelleted (1200 rpm; 2 min) washed twice with FA buffer (5 min each); once with FA + 0.5 M NaCl (10 min); once with FA buffer + 1 M NaCl (5 min); once with lithium buffer (10 min); twice with TE buffer (5 min each) and the immunoprecipitated material was recovered by incubation in 100 μl elution buffer (Tris–EDTA; 1% SDS plus inhibitors) 1 h at RT. DNA was finally purified by reverse crosslinking at 65 °C overnight followed by proteinase K (0.5 or 1 mg/ml, respectively) digestions and purifying with MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen).

| Antibody      | Company      | Reference    | Specie |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Flag M2       | Sigma        | F3165        | Mouse  |
| mCherry       | Invitrogen   | PA5-34974    | Rabbit |
| H3K27me3      | Millipore    | #07-449      | Rabbit |
| H3K36me3      | Abcam        | ab95412      | Mouse  |

ChIP-seq data analysis. DNA samples were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq platform. Raw single-end 50-bp sequences were filtered by quality (Q > 30) and length (length > 20 bp) with Trim Galore\(^55\). Filtered sequences were aligned against the *C. elegans* genome (WBcel235) with Bowtie2\(^26\). MACS2 software\(^57\) (available at https://github.com/taoliu/MACS/) was run first for each replicate, and then combining all replicates, using unique alignments (q-value < 0.1). Broad peaks calling was set for H3K27me3 and H3K36me3 (–broad option). Peak annotation was performed with ChiPseeker package (version 1.10.2)\(^36\).

RNA-seq data analysis. cDNA was sequenced using Illumina HiSeq platform, obtaining 30–35 million 75 bp single-end reads per sample. Adapter sequences were trimmed with Trim Galore\(^55\). Sequences were filtered by quality (Q > 30) and length (>20 bp). Filtered reads were mapped against *C. elegans* genome (WBcel235) using Bowtie2\(^26\). High quality alignments were fed to HTSeq (v0.9.1)\(^26\) to estimate the normalized counts of each expressed gene. Differentially expressed genes between wild type and knockouts were explored using DESeq2 R package (v1.20.0)\(^26\) considering a threshold of adjusted \(p\) value <0.01.

Statistical analyses. ‘N’ denotes the number of independent replicate experiments performed, while ‘n’ indicates total number of animals analyzed for each condition. Statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism 8 and R software version 3.6.1. Statistical tests are reported in figure legends. For all graphs, all the
p-values were noted according to APA annotation style. p value > 0.05 not significant (n.s.); p value < 0.05 (*); p value < 0.01 (**); p value < 0.001 (***)

Data availability
ChiP-seq and RNA-seq data have been deposited at GEO (GSE146655).
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