Recurrent exon-deleting activating mutations in AHR act as drivers of urinary tract cancer
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Bladder cancer has a high recurrence rate and low survival of advanced stage patients. Few genetic drivers of bladder cancer have thus far been identified. We performed in-depth structural variant analysis on whole-genome sequencing data of 206 metastasized urinary tract cancers. In ~10% of the patients, we identified recurrent in-frame deletions of exons 8 and 9 in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor gene (AHR\textsubscript{Δe8-9}), which codes for a ligand-activated transcription factor. Pan-cancer analyses show that AHR\textsubscript{Δe8-9} is highly specific to urinary tract cancer and mutually exclusive with other bladder cancer drivers. The ligand-binding domain of the AHR\textsubscript{Δe8-9} protein is disrupted and we show that this results in ligand-independent AHR-pathway activation. In bladder organoids, AHR\textsubscript{Δe8-9} induces a transformed phenotype that is characterized by upregulation of AHR target genes, downregulation of differentiation markers and upregulation of genes associated with stemness and urothelial cancer. Furthermore, AHR\textsubscript{Δe8-9} expression results in anchorage independent growth of bladder organoids, indicating tumorigenic potential. DNA-binding deficient AHR\textsubscript{Δe8-9} fails to induce transformation, suggesting a role for AHR target genes in the acquisition of the oncogenic phenotype. In conclusion, we show that AHR\textsubscript{Δe8-9} is a novel driver of urinary tract cancer and that the AHR pathway could be an interesting therapeutic target.

Bladder cancer is the fourth most common cancer in men with a 5-year survival rate of about 77%1. Despite risk stratification, the recurrence rate of bladder cancer is high and requires ongoing monitoring and treatment, which makes it also the costliest malignancy out of all cancers2. With recent advances, the therapeutic options have been expanded to include immune checkpoint inhibitors and antibody–drug conjugates, while clinical studies for targeted drug approaches are ongoing3,4. However, treatment and overall survival of cases with advanced stages of bladder cancer have not really improved in the last decades as compared to other cancers and there remains a need for the identification of drivers of bladder cancer tumorigenesis to effectively target early-stage disease and reduce tumor progression5,6.

Genomic analyses of bladder cancer of various stages and grades have shown that bladder cancer is a heterogeneous disease of which the high mutation burden is largely driven by activation of APOBEC mutagenesis7–9. Molecular characterization revealed activating FGFR3 alterations in ~16% of patients, with a higher prevalence in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer3,10. Furthermore, positive selection of mutated genes in the RTK-Ras-Pi3K pathway (such as FGFR3, PIK3CA, and ERBB2), the p53-Rb pathway (such as TP53, RB1, and ATM), and genes involved in chromatin remodeling (KDM6A and KMT2D) has been identified in biopsies of patients with bladder cancer7,9.

A recent study identified a rare non-synonymous mutation (Q383H) in AHR as an APOBEC-associated hotspot mutation in bladder cancer, suggesting AHR as a potential driver of bladder cancer11. AHR is a ligand activated transcription factor involved in the cellular response to toxic aromatic hydrocarbons as well as cell type and context-specific physiological functions12. Increased AHR expression and activity have been associated with poor prognosis and survival for multiple cancers such as breast, lung, and upper urinary tract cancers13.
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Figure 1. AHR alterations in somatic metastatic urinary tract patients. (a) Schematic representation of AHR gene (ENST00000242057.4—GRCh37) with a magnification of the genomic region around exon 7–9 (Chr7:17,372,389–17,378,327). The point mutation Q383H (c.1149G>C) is marked with an asterisk. The black lines in the lower part of the figure represent the extent of the genomic deletions identified in the urinary tract cancer patients. Most of the right-hand breakpoints are localized to an AluYa5 element, which is part of an inverted repeat (indicated with orange boxes) that is also composed of an AluY element. See Supplementary Table 2 for genomic positions of the deletions. (b) Pie chart of the number of detected AHR alterations: AHR amplification (Amp), AHRΔe8-9, AHRQ383H or non-affected samples in the urinary tract patient cohort of the Hartwig database. (c) Schematic illustration of the AHR functional domains and the positions of AHRΔe8-9 and AHRQ383H (indicated in dark blue). The deletion (AHRΔe8-9) disrupts the PAS-B domain, ligand-binding domain (LBD), and XAP2 and HSP90 protein interaction domains. (d) Visualization of top 12 affected genes per mutation type in urinary tract cancer samples of the Hartwig database14. Gene names are colored for oncogenes (red) and tumor suppressor genes (blue). (e) Boxplot of the adjusted TPM values for AHR transcript. Asterisks indicate two samples that contain the deletion (AHRΔe8-9) but also have an amplification of the AHR gene. (f) Boxplot of the adjusted TPM values of AHR target genes.

However, the relevance and functional consequences of cancer-associated AHR mutations in bladder cancer are still poorly understood.

Here, we describe the identification of novel recurrent exon-deleting AHR alterations in the pan-cancer whole-genome sequencing database of metastatic cancers from Weill Cornell Medical Foundation. Using state-of-the-art data analysis tools with improved functionality for detecting structural variants we identified a previously unnoticed recurrent in-frame deletion of exons 8 and 9 in AHR. Together with the Q383H point mutation and AHR gene amplifications, AHR variants comprise ~19% of patients with urinary tract cancer. We demonstrate that the recurrent alterations lead to constitutively activated AHR signaling and induce an oncogenic phenotype in bladder cells. Our results suggest that aberrant AHR signaling is an important driver of urothelial tumorigenesis.

Results

AHR is frequently mutated in urinary tract cancers. To identify genetic factors involved in urinary tract cancers, we analyzed the Hartwig database, which represents whole-genome sequencing data of solid metastatic tumors and normal tissue of 4500 patients14. Utilizing improved structural variant detection algorithms15,16, we detected a novel deletion spanning exons 8 and 9 of the AHR gene (AHRΔe8-9) in 22 (10.7%) out of the 206 urinary tract samples (Fig. 1a,b). The AHRΔe8-9 is identified in several urinary tract cancer subtypes, most of which are in bladder cancer (12/22) and pyelum (7/22) (Supplementary Table 1). The AHRQ383H mutant is highly specific to urinary tract cancer, because in the complete pan-cancer data set of 4500 patients it was identified in only three non-urinary tract samples (2 × lung, 1 × skin; Supplementary Table 2). The identified exonic deletion was validated in RNA-sequencing, which was available for 129 out of 206 urinary tract samples. Read support for the AHR exon 7–10 splice junction was identified in all urinary tract samples harboring AHRΔe8-9 (n = 16; Supplementary Table 1) and confirmed the in-frame loss of exons 8 and 9 for six samples in which the genomic start position of the deletion is located within the coding sequence of exon 8.

The relatively small size of the AHR deletion (~3 kb) may explain why it has not been identified in previous studies19,17. Most of the 3’ breakpoint junctions are positioned in a narrow window of 30 bp between 2 Alu elements (AluYa5 and AluY) and overlap with the 3’ site of the AluYa5 element (Fig. 1a). Such inverted Alu repeats have been identified as strong initiators of genetic instability18 and we hypothesize that the inverted Alu repeats in the AHR gene facilitate the deletion of exons 8 and 9. The high prevalence in urinary tract cancer of such a rare event strongly suggests positive selection. Analysis of an independent RNA-sequencing dataset of urinary tract cancers from Weill Cornell Medicine confirmed the presence of the 7–10 splice junction in 8% (2/24) of samples. One of the bladder cancer samples with the detected splice junction was of primary origin (out of 8 tract cancers from Weill Cornell Medicine confirmed the presence of the 7–10 splice junction in 8% (2/24) of rare event strongly suggests positive selection. Analysis of an independent RNA-sequencing dataset of urinary tract cancers from Weill Cornell Medicine confirmed the presence of the 7–10 splice junction in 8% (2/24) of samples.

To identify additional events in the AHR gene we performed a targeted analysis of the Hartwig database. We identified recurrent gene amplifications and a recurrent point mutation, in 16 (7.8%) and 2 (1.0%) of the urinary tract cancer patients, respectively (Fig. 1a,b). The c.1149G>C (AHRQ383H) point mutation was previously reported as an APOBEC-associated hotspot mutation in bladder cancer based on the TCGA PanCancer Atlas data11. The AHRQ383H point mutation was found in 11 patients out of ~11,000 patients in the TCGA PanCancer Atlas, which are mainly of primary tumor origin18,20. The majority (n = 8) occurred in bladder urothelial carcinomas with the other three in hepatocellular carcinoma, papillary renal cell carcinoma, and lung adenocarcinoma. Thus, like the AHRΔe8-9 variant, the AHRQ383H mutation is highly specific for urinary tract cancer.

AHR is a ligand-activated transcription factor that contains a basic Helix-Loop-Helix/PER-ARNT-SIM (bHLH/PAS) motif. AHR contains two PAS domains of which the PAS-B domain contains the ligand-binding domain (LBD) (Fig. 1c)12,21. AHR is part of a cytoplasmic protein complex containing HSP90, p23, and XAP2. Upon ligand binding, AHR dissociates from the complex and translocates to the nucleus where it forms a heterodimer with ARNT25. The AHR/ARNT complex subsequently binds to Xenobiotic Response Elements (XREs) in the genome to activate the transcription of target genes such as those coding for the phase I and II drug metabolizing enzymes CYP1A1 and CYP1B125. At the protein level, the AHRΔe8-9 deletion results in an in-frame protein coding sequence with the loss of 84 amino acids (p. 303–387), disrupting the PAS-B domain.
and the C-terminal part of the ligand-binding domain of the protein. The HSP90 and XAP2 protein binding domains are predicted to be affected, while the dimerization region of the protein likely remains intact (Fig. 1c).

Among the urinary tract cancer patients in the Hartwig database, AHR alterations are mutually exclusive with FGFR3 alterations and PIK3CA mutations, although the significance is impacted by low sample numbers (FGFR3: Odds ratio = 0.24, p = 0.21, PIK3CA: Odds ratio = 0.30, p = 0.32). The tendency of mutual exclusivity with genes from the RTK-Ras-PI3K pathway strengthens the idea that AHR is an independent driver of urinary tract cancer, with potential convergence on the RTK-Ras-PI3K pathway. AHR alterations do not show mutual exclusivity with genes involved in chromatin remodeling or the tumor suppressors TP53 and Rb1 (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Table 4). For tumors with AHR amplifications, AHR expression is increased compared to the non-amplified urinary tract cancer samples (Fig. 1e). Urinary tract cancers with AHRΔe8-9 showed increased expression of AHR target genes, which was not observed in the AHR amplified or AHR non-affected samples (Fig. 1f). No RNA sequencing data was available for the 2 patients with the AHRQ383H mutation.

### The hAHRQ383H mutation affects ligand binding affinity and specificity.

The mouse ortholog of AHRQ383H (AhrQ377) can form hydrogen bonds with Ahr ligands in the ligand-binding domain and mutations that change this residue affect ligand binding affinities23-25. To examine if ligand binding affinities are also affected for the human AHR (hAHR), we measured the transcriptional activity of hAHRQ383H and hAHRWT in an XRE-luciferase reporter assay. Both the hAHRWT and hAHRQ383H expressing cells showed strong transcriptional activity with AHR ligands TCDD and B[a]P (Fig. 2a). Stimulation with B[a]P resulted in a higher induction of luciferase transcription for the hAHRΔe8-9 lines subjected to bulk RNA sequencing. Untreated that in untreated conditions for the hAHRΔe8-9 lines subjected to bulk RNA sequencing. Untreated

### The hAHRΔe8-9 mutant leads to constitutive AHR pathway activation.

To study the functional consequences of hAHRΔe8-9, we also created transgenic hAHRΔe8-9 RPE1 cells. In contrast with hAHRWT, the hAHRΔe8-9 protein is localized in the nucleus regardless of the presence or absence of a ligand (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 1). In XRE-luciferase reporter assays, the degree of transcriptional activation by hAHRΔe8-9 was similar for all the conditions, irrespective of the presence of AHR ligands. Moreover, the AHR antagonist CH-223191 reduced transcriptional activation in hAHRWT cells stimulated with TCDD or B[a]P (Fig. 2a). Surprisingly, incubation of hAHRQ383H with CH-223191 resulted in transcriptional activation and no antagonism was observed when CH-223191 was combined with TCDD or B[a]P (Fig. 2a). To examine this altered ligand-binding specificity, we analyzed protein localization in RPE1 cells, which is a genetically stable near diploid cell line well-suited for microscopy. In the absence of exogenous ligands, both hAHRQ383H and hAHRWT proteins are mainly localized to the cytoplasm and both proteins show nuclear translocation upon incubation with TCDD or B[a]P (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 1). Incubation with the antagonist CH-223191 also resulted in nuclear translocation of hAHRQ383H, while the hAHRWT remains localized to the cytoplasm (Fig. 2b). The difference in responses between the hAHRQ383H and hAHRWT to AHR agonists and antagonists demonstrate differential sensitivity to AHR pathway modulation.

### The hAHRΔe8-9 mutant induces cellular transformation.

The bladder is a stratified epithelium, with stem cells that reside in the basal cell layer that support organ regeneration and renewal. Upon differentiation, the stem cells give rise to intermediate cells and luminal umbrella cells. Mouse bladder organoids enriched for undifferentiated cells have a reduced diameter of the lumen and increased thickness of the epithelial layer...
Figure 2. Altered protein localization and transcriptional activation of hAHR mutants. (a) Luciferase reporter assay of HEK293T cells transiently transfected with empty control, hAHR<sup>WT</sup>, hAHR<sup>Q383H</sup>, or hAHR<sup>Δe8-9</sup> vectors together with XRE-luciferase reporter construct. Assays were performed with different AHR ligands (TCDD and B[a]P) and AHR antagonist (CH-223191) conditions. Significance was calculated with Student’s T-test for stimulated versus untreated condition per construct. *<i>p</i> < 0.05, **<i>p</i> < 0.01. RLU = relative luciferase unit. (b) Immunofluorescence of RPE1 cells that constitutively express hAHR<sup>WT</sup>, hAHR<sup>Q383H</sup>, or hAHR<sup>Δe8-9</sup> were incubated for 4 h with TCDD or CH-223191. AHR was detected with immunofluorescence (red) and DNA with Dapi (blue). Scale bar = 50 μm. The boxplots present the ratio of nuclear to cytoplasmic signal of AHR expression for all individual captured cells. Transgene free cells served as negative controls (left panels). Lowercase letters indicate significant differences between treatments (<i>p</i> < 0.05, Anova). (c) Luciferase reporter assay of HEK293T cells transiently transfected with increasing concentration of hAHR<sup>WT</sup>, hAHR<sup>Δe8-9</sup>, and empty control vectors (n = 4 per data point).
Figure 3. Transcriptome analysis of constitutively activated hAHRΔe8-9 mutant. (a) Western blot results for transgenic mouse cell lines, expressing Cas9 (negative control), hAHR WT, hAHRΔe8-9, or a DNA-binding deficient version of hAHRΔe8-9 (hAHRΔe8-9 DBD). (b) Rlog values of the 172 most differentially expressed genes between the hAHRWT and hAHRΔe8-9 transgenic mouse bladder organoids in untreated and TCDD treated conditions. (c) Boxplot of normalized counts of RNA reads of AHR target genes for the untreated mouse bladder organoids expressing hAHR mutants (n = 4). (d) Images of Dapi stained mouse bladder organoids constitutively expressing hAHR mutants. The lower panel shows the magnification of the boxed area. Scale bar 250 µm. (e) GO enrichment analysis of hAHRΔe8-9 mutant for the cellular component domain. (f) Rlog values of basal and luminal cell layer makers for hAHRΔe8-9 and hAHRWT transgenic mouse bladder organoids.
cannot be excluded. The pathway in bladder cancer driven by alterations may indicate convergence on the same pathway, although a fully independent parallel oncogenic pathway is uniquely detected in urothelial cancers, which suggests this tissue is particularly sensitive to deregulated signaling. However, our results, nor information in literature, provides clues why AHR pathway activation is so prevalent.

7 clusters (Fig. 4a). Based on the genes enriched in the different clusters, cluster 0 represents a basal phenotype (characterized by Krt14, Trp63, Bcam and Agrn), cluster 1 represents an intermediate/luminal phenotype (characterized by Krt19, Krt18, Upk1b, Cldn4, Cldn7, Ceacam1 and Alcam), and clusters 3 and 5 represent cells that are in the S-phase and M-phase of the cell cycle, respectively (Fig. 4a,b, Supplementary Table 5). Together, these clusters represent a classical stem cell system where stem cells divide to give rise to new stem cells or to cells that differentiate. The vast majority of all cells of the control lines fall into these clusters and in cluster 4, which we were not able to link to a particular cellular phenotype. Strikingly, two clusters (clusters 2 and 6) were almost exclusively occupied by mouse bladder organoids expressing the canonical AHR target genes such as Cyp1b1 and Tiparp. cluster 2 expresses basal stem cell markers such as Krt17, Wnt5a, Iga6q, Wnt4. In addition, cluster 2 is characterized by genes that are associated with urothelial cancer, such as: Htra132, Cby5r133, Steap334, Ptg235,36, and Trik37 (Fig. 4b). Cells in cluster 6 show upregulation of Dsp, Pkp1, Ppl, Iup and Krt13, which are involved in desmosome and intermediate filament cytoskeleton organization. This cluster has some overlap with markers expressed in the luminal-intermediate cluster (cluster 1) of the control cells, but with less apparent expression of the umbrella cell markers. This indicates that the cells in cluster 6 represent an intermediate cell type that however fails to differentiate towards luminal umbrella cells. Thus, the constitutive activation of the AHR pathway by hAHRΔe8-9 leads to a transformation of cells towards a less differentiated phenotype and the activation of genes linked to urothelial cancer.

Cells of a DNA binding deficient variant of the oncogenic hAHRΔe8-9 (hAHRΔe8-9SBD) clustered among cells of the other control lines and apart from hAHRΔe8-9 cells (Fig. 4a). This shows that the cellular transformation of the hAHRΔe8-9 organoids depends on the transcriptional activation caused by AHR and is not the result of other transcription-independent effects caused by the deletion. To functionally explore if the transformed phenotype is accompanied by oncogenic properties, a soft agar growth assay was performed, a technique to measure cellular transformation in vitro. A higher number of colonies were counted for hAHRΔe8-9 organoids compared to bladder cells (Fig. 4d). Together, these observations demonstrate that transcriptional changes driven by the constitutively active hAHRΔe8-9 lead to a transformation of cells towards a cancerous phenotype that fails to differentiate and is able to grow independent of anchorage to the extracellular matrix.

Discussion

In this study, we show high prevalence of AHR alterations in urinary tract cancers and provide functional validations that support these aberrations are oncogenic and drive urinary tract cancer. These findings are in line with mouse studies that have shown that AHR overexpression or pathway activation can lead to malignant transformation of epithelial cells. A variety of molecular signaling pathways have been previously linked to AHR-mediated tumorigenesis whether or not in a cancer type-specific background and/or driven by AHR agonists. This includes the biotransformation of hydrocarbons by CYP enzymes to mutagenic intermediates which can induce DNA adducts, cross-talk of AHR with other signaling pathways, and interaction of AHR with other binding partners than ARNT to promote transcription of non-canonical genes. However, the underlying molecular signaling pathways driving the tumorigenesis of urinary tract cancers in patients with activating mutations in AHR remains unclear. The tendency of mutual exclusivity between AHR, FGFR3, and PIK3CA alterations may indicate convergence on the same pathway, although a fully independent parallel oncogenic pathway in bladder cancer driven by AHR cannot be excluded. The hAHRQ383H and hAHRΔe8-9 variants are almost uniquely detected in urothelial cancers, which suggests this tissue is particularly sensitive to deregulated AHR signaling. However, our results, nor information in literature, provides clues why AHR pathway activation is so specific for bladder cancer, so this will require further investigations.

We demonstrate that the hAHRQ383H mutation leads to increased ligand activation sensitivity which may lead to overactivity of the AHR pathway in the urinary tract, thereby driving tumorigenesis. This is mechanistically different from hAHRΔe8-9 which is constitutively active in a ligand-independent way. We presume that for hAHRΔe8-9 loss of the ligand binding domain leads to a conformational change that exposes a nuclear import signal that in the native protein is only exposed upon ligand binding dependent dissociation from the cytoplasmic matrix. As for the hAHRQ383H mutation, increased sensitivity of the AHR pathway likely also holds true for AHR amplifications since overexpression of hAHRref in different models shows a modest increase of AHR pathway activation compared to the controls. It is not known if the ligands that induce overactive AHR signaling...
Figure 4. Transformed phenotype of hAHRΔe8-9 mutant. (a) tSNE plot of single cell transcriptomics depicting clusters of the transgenic mouse bladder organoids cells. Plot is coloured for detected clusters and for the different transgenic mouse bladder organoid lines. (b) Heatmap depicting expression of selected markers, which are identified by expression analysis, representative for the different identified clusters. (c) Contribution of percentage of cells to the different clusters for each transgenic mouse bladder organoid line. (d) Soft agar assay of mouse bladder organoids expressing hAHR mutants. Results are presented as the mean ± SD of triplicate samples.
in the tumors with AHR amplified and AHRQ383H backgrounds are of environmental origin (like components in tobacco smoke) or have an endogenous source (like metabolites)\(^9\). Surprisingly, we observed hAHRQ383H activation upon treatment with the AHR antagonist CH-223191. This contrasts with a recent study that demonstrated reduced viability upon treatment with CH-223191 of the bladder cancer cell line KMB22 harboring the AHRQ383H mutation\(^1\). A possible explanation for the apparent discrepancy in results may lie in the different types of experiments that were conducted. The reduced viability of the KMB22 cell line after CH-223191 incubation may also be independent of alterations in AHR pathway activity.

Patients that harbor AHR activating mutations could potentially benefit from AHR targeted therapies\(^9\). Here we show that the mode of action of AHR activation differs between the different mutations, which implies that tailored therapies depending on the underlying mutational event are required. Most classic AHR antagonists function by interference with the ligand-binding domain, but this domain is not targetable for the AHRQ383H mutant as this domain is deleted. Therefore, functional screens to identify specific AHRQ383H targeting compounds or the identification of essential downstream activated processes could be a next step towards the identification of novel treatment strategies for selected urinary tract cancer patients in the context of precision medicine.

**Material and methods**

**Driver gene status urinary tract cohort.** Variant detection and driver likelihood status are based on the Hartwig database\(^4\). Structural variation was detected and classified with the GRIDSS, PURPLE, LINX algorithms, using pairs of matched tumour/normal BAM files as input\(^5,6\). Driver likelihood is introduced to select for a sample specific likelihood of each gene based on the type of variant and taking mutation load per sample into account. To select for affected genes in the urinary tract cancer samples, the driver likelihood score is set to > 0.8 and detected gene fusions with a high impact are included. Visualized are the genes affected in more than 12.5% of the samples (top 12 genes). Mutually exclusivity is pairwise calculated with Fisher Exact Test based on Odd Ratio cut-offs as described in Gao et al.\(^9\).

**Gene constructs.** A plasmid containing the hAHRPT sequence was purchased from Origene (RC209832). The Q383H point mutation was introduced with site-directed mutagenesis with forward primer cattgtaacctacagctacactagat and reverse primer gtagttgctgggatcatatcgccaccatgaacag and primer reverse-1 gcgtgctagcatcctgatgcaaatcgataaggatccTTG CGT GACCgtcgaccgatgccct, reverse-2 agggcatcggtcgacGGT CAC GCAAggatccttatcgatt. The gaccactaacagatg and reverse primer gttagtggtctgtgagttacaatgatataatc. The minP-Luc2 vector containing DNA binding sequence “gggaggtacttggagcggc” with primers forward-1 gcgtgctagc-aatcgataaggatccTTG CGT GACCgtcgaccgatgccct, reverse-2 agggcatcggtcgacGGT CAC GCAAggatccttatcgatt.

**Cell culture.** All cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C under 5%CO\(_2\) and 20%O\(_2\). Mouse bladder organoids were previously established and were a kind gift from dr Hans Clevers and dr Jasper Mullenders and were cultured as previously reported\(^4\). In short, mouse bladder organoids were plated in droplets of ice-cold Matrigel (Corning). After the matrigel had solidified, a complete mouse bladder medium was added consisting of Advanced DMEM/F-12 supplemented with HEPES, Glutamax, 1% penicillin-1% streptomycin (Pen-Strep), Nicotinamide (10 mM), N-Acetylcysteine (1.25 mM), PFG10 (100 ng/mL of Peprotech 100-26), FGF7 (25 ng/mL of Peprotech 100-26), A83-01 (500 nM), B27 (ThermoFisher 17504001) and primocin (Invivogen). Organoids were split weekly either through mechanical shearing with a pulled Pasteur’s pipet or by dissociation using TrypLE. To increase survival the medium was supplemented with ROCK inhibitor (Y-27632, 10 μM) after splitting.

RPE1 and HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM, 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin, and 1% streptomycin. Cells were incubated with 10 nM TCDD (LG), 10 μM B[a]P (sigma), or 10 μM CH-223191 (Sanbio) or vehicle alone (DMSO) for 24 h unless otherwise stated.

**Lentivirus production and transduction.** Lentivirus particles containing hAHR constructs were produced by transient calcium phosphate transfection of lentiviral transfer, packaging, and envelope plasmids into HEK293T cells. Virus particles were harvested 48 h posttransfection and concentrated with Lenti-X Concentrator (Takarabio) according to the manufacturer’s directions. Concentrated virus was resuspended in 250–500 μl Advanced DMEM/F-12 supplemented with HEPES, Glutamax, and 1% Pen-Strep. The day before transduction, RPE-1 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and mouse bladder organoids were seeded as single cells onto a layer of solidified matrigel in 96 well plates. Organoid culture medium was supplemented with 10 μM Y-27632 to enhance survival of single cells. The day after, RPE-1 cells and mouse bladder organoids were transduced with a dilution series ranging from 10–150 μl concentrated lentivirus. To enhance transduction efficiencies, the medium was supplemented with 8 μg/ml polybrene. After transduction, RPE-1 cells and the mouse bladder organoids were placed on 10–15 μg/ml and 1 μg/ml puromycin selection, respectively. We continued with the transgenic lines that showed approximately 50% survival upon selection.
Immunofluorescence. RPE1 cells expressing hAHR mutants were plated on coverslips and incubated with TCDD, B[a]P, CH-223191 or untreated (DMSO) for 4 or 24 h. Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS, and blocked with 4% BSA in PBS. AHR was stained with Anti-AHR antibody (Abcam ab190797) in blocking buffer. After incubation with the primary antibody, cells were washed followed by incubation with secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa fluor dyes. Subsequently, the cells were washed, counterstained with DAPI, and mounted on microscope slides. Images were taken with predefined settings on Zeiss LSM510 microscope and analyzed with Fiji/imageJ. Ratios of AHR signal intensities were calculated by determining average grey value intensities for each nucleus, based on dapi staining, and for each corresponding cytoplasm, as 1 µm band around each nucleus.

Luciferase reporter gene assay. HEK293T cells were cultured in 24-wells plates and transiently transfected with pcDNA3.1 empty vector or pcDNA3.1 vector containing the expression cassette of hAHR<sup>T</sup>, hAHR<sup>R<sup>Q383H</sup></sup>, or hAHR<sup>Δark-9</sup> (10 ng), together with the pGL3-XRE-Luc2 reporter vector (1000 ng) and pRL-CMV Renilla vector (2 ng). 24 h after transfection, cells were treated with ligand TCDD (50 nM) or B[a]P and/or antagonist CH223191 for approximately 24 h. Luciferase activity was measured with the Dual-Luciferase reporter assay system and normalized for Renilla activity (Promega, Madison, WI). The results are averages of at least three independent experiments assayed in duplicate ± SEM. Results are presented as change relative to the empty vector in the untreated (DMSO) condition.

RNA-sequencing. The RNA from the transgenic mouse bladder organoids was isolated with Trizol (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA-seq libraries were prepared using TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA-seq libraries were pooled and sequenced on a NextSeq2000 (Illumina) in 1 × 50 bp single end mode. RNA sequencing reads were aligned against mouse reference genome GRCm38 using STAR and the number of reads mapping to genes was counted using featureCounts all by using a custom in-house pipeline (https://github.com/UMCUGenetics/RNASeq-NF). The Bioconductor package DESeq2 was used to normalize raw read counts and to perform differential gene expression analysis with apeglm shrinkage<sup>42,53</sup>. The analyses were performed with significant (Padj < 0.05) and differentially expressed (Log2FoldChange > 2.5) genes with exception of luminal and basal marker analyses where smaller differences were included (Log2FoldChange > 1.5). The Bioconductor package clusterProfiler and Revigo were used for GO enrichment analysis<sup>42,55</sup>

scRNA-seq. The transgenic mouse bladder organoids were cultured in 2D and dissociated to single cells using a 10 min incubation with TryLE. Cells were sorted into 384-well capture plates (1 plate per condition) and the scRNA library preparation and sequencing were performed according to the SORI-seq protocol by Single Cell Discoveries B.V.<sup>56</sup>. For all single cells, reads were aligned to the mouse reference genome GRCm38 and Sort-seq read counts were filtered to exclude reads with identical library-, cell- and molecules<sup>56</sup>. With the Seurat R package, low quality cells were removed by a cut-off of 10,000 transcripts per cell and the data was normalized and scaled<sup>57</sup>. The top 2000 most variable genes in the dataset were identified and used for principal component analysis to determine dimensionality and clustering of the dataset. Cluster gene markers were detected using a Wilcoxon rank sum test between each cluster and the rest of the cells in the dataset with a bonferroni correction for multiple testing.

Soft agar assay. 3% agarose (REF11388991001) was dissolved and autoclaved in 100 ml EBSS. One volume of melted 3% agarose was mixed with four volumes of Advanced DMEM/F12 to obtain a 0.6% solution. This mixture was added to 6-well plates (1.5 ml/well) in which the gels were allowed to solidify. Subsequently, Tryple was used to prepare single-cell suspensions of the mouse bladder organoids. The cells were counted and for each condition, 2.5 ml of cell suspension was prepared at a concentration of 1 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells/ml in complete medium. The cell suspension was mixed 1:1 with a warm 0.6% agarose solution to get 0.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells/ml in 0.3% agarose solution. Per well 1.5 ml agarose/cell mixture was plated. The next day 300 µl of the medium was added and the cells were refed every 2–4 days. After 3 weeks the cells were stained with nitroblue tetrazolium chloride solution and pictures were made. Colonies were counted using ImageJ.

Data availability
The bulk RNA-sequencing and the scRNA-seq data of the mouse bladder organoids have been deposited in ENA with the accession code PRJEB49233.
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