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**Abstract**

There is a great variety of Biomes in Brasil with valuable medicinal potential. The typical fruits, tropical, subtropical and of diverse climate, constitute a promising scenario for the control and prevention of numerous pathologies. Recent studies suggest that extracts from certain fruits can signal positive effects through their natural antioxidants, in the defense mechanisms against reactive oxygen species (ROS) and in the diseases caused by them. The present study evaluated three fruits of the Pampa Biome, originating in the south of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil: yellow Araçá (*Psidium cattleyanum*), Big River Cherry (*Eugenia involucrata*) and Jaboticaba (*Plinia cauliflora*), segregated in pulp and peel. The contents of vitamin C, phenolic compounds, carotenoids and anthocyanins were evaluated. The antioxidant activity was determined by the capture of the 2,2′-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) - ABTS radical in aqueous and ethanolic extracts. All analyzes were performed in triplicates and submitted to the Tukey test - p <.05 - ANOVA. The moisture content of the fruits was greater than 75%, and ‘Brix of 11.40 ± 0.08; 9.40 ± 0.36 and 12.90 ± 0.08, respectively for Yellow araçá, Big River cherry and Jaboticaba. The highest antioxidant activity was observed in the ethanolic extracts of the peel of Jaboticaba and Yellow araçá; and in the aqueous extracts of the peel of the yellow Araçá, peel and pulp of the Rio Grande cherry and the Jaboticaba. The highest acidity content was observed in the peel and pulp of Jaboticaba, and the highest vitamin C content was observed in the yellow araçá pulp and in the peel of the Big River cherry. The content of phenolic compounds and anthocyanins were prominent in the peel of Jaboticaba. The highest flavonoid content was observed in the yellow araçá pulp and in the Jaboticaba peel. Chlorophyll content was prominent in the peel of the Big River cherry and Jaboticaba. The carotenoid content did not stand out in the portions of the fruits studied. It is concluded that the native fruits demonstrate potential in the ability to assist in the protection of the organism by the inhibition of free radicals due to the presence of natural antioxidants, both in the pulp and in the peel.
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**Introduction**

Studies show that the consumption of fruits and vegetables is related to the prevention of chronic diseases, probably due to the increase in the consumption of antioxidant compounds contained therein [1]. The main need of cells and tissues is to protect themselves against oxidative stress, being extremely important for the immune system, where the levels of the aggressive agents are controlled by the antioxidant defense system, which is composed of antioxidants and pro-antioxidants [1].

Hippocrates (460 B.C - 377 a.C) - considered the father of western medicine - among his statements already emphasized that food, when chosen and ingested, in a healthy way, represented the basis of life. In his famous phrase: "Be your food your medicine" and, "Be your medicine your food", reproduced that the use of them...
as sources of healing and well-being, if before it was clear, came to be considered beyond the beliefs of the "common sense", also, as prevention and assistance in the promotion of integral health [2], perceptible, since then, that science recognizes in food, a determinant factor for the prevention of diseases [3-5].

In this context, the search for a balanced life has been a constant, from the beginning, extending to the contemporary society, finding, from natural sources, the active compounds, able to maintain the homeostasis of the organism and guarantee the protection against diverse illness, making feeding their own medicine. These determinants amplify the interest of the pharmaceutical industry for the diversity contained in the Brazilian Biomes, a vast still unexplored patrimony and with native plant species, threatened with extinction even before being discovered by science [1, 4].

The importance of these compounds found in nature is based on the presence of phytochemicals, which are compounds with potential antioxidant action against biomolecule damage caused by oxidative stress [2, 3, 5]. The production of ROS's (Reactive Oxygen Species) in the body comes from inherent reactions to life, including breathing, metabolic changes and energy production in the intracellular environment. These reactive species, while free and in excess, cause imbalance, triggering oxidative stress, one of the precursors of NCCD - non-communicable chronic diseases (cancer, coronary diseases, heart diseases, cerebrovascular accident-stroke-CAS, arterial hypertension, premature aging and other degenerative processes involved in this process) [6, 8-10].

Native fruits, such as Araçá yellow (Psidium cattleyanum), Big River Cherry (Eugenia involucrata) and Jaboticaba (Plinia cauliflora), due to the characteristics they present, are a probable protective source of the biological material against ROS [1, 4-6].

Biome Pampa cultivars are still poorly explored, but they deserve attention on the part of researchers, as recent studies point to a "peculiar potential, due to their specificities, with emphasis on nutritional characteristics, being rich in vitamins, anthocyanins, antioxidants , minerals and many other other bioactive compounds " [1, 5, 8].

While some of these compounds act as free radical scavengers, others act as metal chelators, catalyzing reaction reactions to generate reactive oxygen species - ROS [9, 10]. Thus, there is a large field with potential to be explored for the insertion of new species into productive systems. Rare, however, are the studies that assess the actual antioxidant potential of regional foods as well as the bioavailability of nutrients and possible antinutritional components.

Considering the importance of the preservation of plant species, together with the stimulus for a healthy diet, with peculiar characteristics and probable beneficial actions to health, this study sought to evaluate the properties of the native fruits of the southern region of the country, through the fractions of bark and pulp with emphasis on the profile of bioactive substances.

Experimental Part

The study was carried out in vitro, with samples courtesy of Embrapa Clima Temperado, located in the County of Pelotas / RS - Highway BR-392, Km 78, 9th District, Monte Bonito PO BOX 403, ZIP: 96010-971.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Variations of the fruits of Araçá yellow (Psidium cattleyanum), Big River Cherry (Eugenia involucrata) and Jaboticaba (Plinia cauliflora), harvested at the ideal point of maturation at harvest time, were obtained from the southern region of Brazil. Rio Grande do Sul, from the cultivars of Embrapa Clima Temperado, for the analysis and elaboration of this study.

Methods

Pulp and peel of Araçá yellow (Psidium cattleyanum), Big River Cherry (Eugenia involucrata) and Jaboticaba (Plinia cauliflora), were collected in the months of the harvest, at the stage of commercial maturation, selected from the firmness of the fruits and characteristic coloring. After this step, the healthy fruits were washed with running water, dried at room temperature and stored at ±17 °C (standard freezer), individually, in polyethylene packages. Afterwards, they were transported in thermal boxes, isolated and placed in ultra-freezer, with temperature of -85°C, for further analysis.

Then the fruits were thawed at room temperature, separated into the pulp and bark fractions, initiating the experimental studies.

Determination of Macronutrients

The content of water, ashes, proteins, total sugars and lipids was determined according to the Analytical Chemistry Association (AOAC): Official Methods of Analysis. Washington (USA) [11], with some modifications.

Determination of Degrees Brix

The °Brix reading was performed in triplicate, using an electronic refractometer, using a drop of the juice of each fruit.

Determination of Color

The color was evaluated objectively by the color space reflectance, using Minolta CR-300 colorimeter, with standard illuminant D65 and angle of observation of 2º, following the methodology of Hunterlab, 1996 [12].

The readings were performed in triplicate at different points of the fruits and, afterwards, the average of these values was performed.

Determination of Phenolic Compounds

For the determination of phenolic compounds of fruit samples, the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Alves; Kubota, 2013) [13] was used, with minor modifications. 0.5 ml of the samples were collected and then mixed with 2.5 ml of 0.2 N reagent and after 5 minutes 2 ml of sodium carbonate solution (7.5%) was added. After incubation at room temperature in the dark for 2 h, the absorbance was measured at 725 nm in a spectrophotometer (JENWAY 6705...
UV / Vis). The standard curve with gallic acid at concentrations of 30 to 500 µg/mL was used as the calibration curve. The results were expressed in mg equivalent of gallic acid per 100 g of dry matter (mg EAG.100g⁻¹).

**Determination of Carotenoids**

The total carotenoid content was determined according to the methodology described by Rodriguez-Amaya (2001) [14]. The readings were performed at the absorbances of 450 nm (β-carotene), 445 nm (α-carotene), 449 nm (zeaxanthin) and 470 nm (lycopene).

Quantifications were performed through Equation 1 and the results expressed in milligrams per 100 g of dry matter.

\[
\text{Carotenoids (µg·g}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Absorbance x extract volume (mL) x 10}^6}{\text{Absorption coefficient x 100 x sample weight (g)}} \quad \text{Eq (1)}
\]

**Determination of Anthocyanins**

Anthocyanin content was determined according to the method described by Francis (1982) [15]. 1g of sample was suspended in 10mL of extraction solution (1.5N HCl in 85% ethanol) in 50mL Falcon tube. It was homogenized for 2 min in vortex and the volume was made up to 50 mL with the extractive solution. It was left in the dark and refrigerated for 12 hours. After filtering on whatman # 1 filter paper, 1000μL of the filtrate was placed in a 10mL flask. The absorbance readings were carried out at 535 nm and 374 nm, and the calculation was done by equation 1:

\[
\text{Anthocyanin content} = (\text{ABS x dilution factor}) \times 1000 \quad \text{Sample weight x Absorption coefficient} \quad \text{Eq (1)}
\]

\[
\text{ABS} = 535 \text{ nm; Absorption coefficient} = 982 (\text{g/100mL})\cdot1, \text{ Cm}-1.
\]

**Determination of Flavonoids**

The total content of flavonoids was determined using the method of Funari and Ferro (2006) [16], with few modifications. The volume of 500 µL of 2% aluminum chloride in methanol was mixed with the same volume of the sample solution (100 µL of extract for 50 mL of distilled water). The reading was made at 425 nm, the total flavonoid content being determined using a standard quercetin curve. The results were expressed in terms of milligram equivalents of quercetin per 100 grams of dry matter (mg EQ 100g⁻¹).

**Chlorophylls**

1g samples were added to 5 mL of 80% (v/v) acetone. The material was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min and the supernatant was transferred to a 25 mL volumetric flask, which was quenched with 80% (v/v) acetone. Absorbance of extract was obtained by spectrophotometry at 647 and 663 nm, Ultrospec 2000 UV/ Vis. The total chlorophyll content, 'a' and 'b' were calculated by spectrophotometry at 647 and 663 nm, Ultrospec 2000 UV/ Visible equipment (Pharmacia Biotech), was quenched with 80% acetone. The total chlorophyll content, 'a' and 'b' were calculated through Equations 2, 3 and 4, established by Lichtenthaler (1987) [17]. The results were expressed in milligrams per g of dry matter.

\[
\text{Chl total} = 7.15 (A_{663}) + 18.71 (A_{647}) \quad \text{(2)}
\]

\[
\text{Chl 'a'} = 12.25 (A_{663}) - 2.79 (A_{647}) \quad \text{(3)}
\]

**Chlorophyll ‘b’ = 21.50 (A_{663}) - 5.10 (A_{647}) \quad \text{(4)}
\]

**Determination of antioxidant activity**

The antioxidant activity by ABTS was determined by the ability of the compounds present in the samples to sequester the ABTS (2,2'-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical according to Re et al., 18 (1999) [18], with modifications, for which 2 g of the sample were weighed in Falcon tube, to which 20 ml of methanol was added. After mixing was homogenized using an Ultra-Turrax, followed by storage for 24 h under stirring and water bath at 25°C. After the extract was filtered with cotton and stored in amber glass under refrigeration.

A solution of ABTS was prepared, where 5mL of 7mM ABTS and 88mL of 140mM potassium sulfate were added, the mixture was maintained at dark, at room temperature for 16h. Then, 1 mL of the mixture was diluted in methanol to obtain the absorbance of 1.410 ± 0.010 at 734 nm.

For the quantification of the antioxidant activity, 100 µL of the sample extract was added to 3.9 mL of ABTS solution, then the mixture was homogenized using an Ultra-Turrax. The sample was read in UV/Visible Ultrospec 2000 spectrophotometer (Pharmacia Biotech) after 6 min of reaction, at a wavelength of 734 nm.

Free radical scavenging activity was determined by comparison with a standard Trolox (5,7,8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylic acid) curve, and the results expressed in μmolTEAC·g⁻¹ sample, the antioxidant capacity being equivalent to Trolox relative.

**Determination of acidity**

The titratable acidity was determined by potentiometric volumetry, indicated for dark or heavily colored samples. Samples were diluted in water and homogenized, then the pH was determined in bench pH meter previously calibrated with buffer solutions pH 4.0 and 10.0. The solutions were titrated with 0.1M sodium hydroxide to a pH range (8.2-8.4), according to the method 311/ IV of the Instituto Adolfo Lutz (2008) [19]. Results were expressed as %.

**Vitamin C Detection**

The content of Vitamin C was determined by the method described by Zambiazzi (2010) [20]. For this, fruit juice was extracted, filtered and the quantification of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) was performed by titration, using standard solution of iodine and sodium thiosulphate and starch solution as indicator. The results were determined by equation 1, expressing the results in mg of ascorbic acid. 100 mL⁻¹ of juice.

\[
\text{Vit C} = \frac{V_1 \times F_1 - V_2 \times F_2}{F_2} \quad \text{(Equation 1)}
\]

Where: \( V_1 \) = Volume of iodine spent in titration
\( V_2 \) = Volume of thiosulfate spent in titration
\( F_2 \) = solution correction factor

**Statistical Analyzes**

For the analysis of the obtained results was used ANOVA analysis...
of variance, followed by the statistical test of Tukey, considering as level of statistical significance, the limit of 5%.

**Results and Discussion**

**Centesimal Composition**

According to the presented results, it is observed that the fruits are constituted by high moisture content, expected fact, since they are species that have high concentration of water [20]. Table 1 shows the respective data for the centesimal composition of the samples studied, according to the shell and pulp. It is noteworthy that the bark of the cherry-of-rio-grande has a higher protein content, while the yellow arapa pulp, the higher carbohydrate concentration, which corroborates with Vanin's studies [18], which found a value of 14.43 ± 5.21 for carbohydrates in the whole fruit of the yellow araçá.

**Evaluation of antioxidant potential**

Some of the evaluated portions of the fruit obtained results with significant differences regarding their potential of antioxidant activity by the ABTS method. In addition, an oscillation in fruit results is observed regarding the type of extraction to which it was submitted, as can be observed in Table 2.

The samples that presented the highest antioxidant potential

| Sample   | Moisture (%) | Extrat Ethereal (%) | Protein (%) | Ashes (%) | Carbohydrates (%) |
|----------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| AS       | 80.03 ± 0.02 | 0.13 ± 0.01          | 1.02 ± 0.35 | 0.73 ± 0.01 | 18.09 ± 0.40      |
| AP       | 76.27 ± 0.06 | 0.073 ± 0.01         | 1.16 ± 0.38 | 0.080 ± 0.01 | 22.42 ± 0.45      |
| CS       | 84.55 ± 0.28 | 0.53 ± 0.01          | 4.34 ± 0.11 | 0.13 ± 0.13 | 10.45 ± 0.52      |
| CP       | 84.38 ± 0.45 | 0.04 ± 0.01          | 2.60 ± 0.27 | 0.46 ± 0.17 | 12.52 ± 0.56      |
| JS       | 82.35 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.01          | 2.63 ± 0.27 | 0.38 ± 0.049 | 14.60 ± 0.33      |
| JP       | 87.91 ± 0.11 | 0.03 ± 0.03          | 1.97 ± 0.22 | 0.25 ± 0.060 | 9.84 ± 0.08       |

AS = Yellow Araçá shell; AP = Yellow Araçá Pulp; CS = Big River Cherry Shell; CP = Big River Cherry Pulp; JS = Jaboticaba Shell; JP = Jaboticaba Pulp.

### Table 2. Antioxidant activity of fruit peel and pulp, in aqueous and ethanolic extract, expressed as percentage of ABTS radical inhibition.

| Sample   | Aqueous Extract | Ethanolic Extract |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------|
| AS       | 74.58735 ± 11.99269a, b | 99.59259 ± 0.183946a |
| AP       | 62.116 ± 21.47996b | 45.62963 ± 2.796726c |
| CS       | 99.18615 ± 0.329039a | 65.65432 ± 2.891947b |
| CP       | 64.77533 ± 3.476675a, b | 47.33333 ± 1.392054c |
| JS       | 99.33517 ± 0.218091a | 99.24691 ± 0.142912a |
| JP       | 89.90142 ± 6.342886a, b | 66.87654 ± 2.013292b |

* Different letters in the column indicate statistical difference by the Tukey (p<0.05).

AS= Yellow Araçá shell; AP= Yellow Araçá Pulp; CS= Big River Cherry Shell; CP= Big River Cherry Pulp; JS= Jaboticaba Shell; JP= Jaboticaba Pulp.
were CC (99.18615 ± 0.329039) and JC (99.33517 ± 0.218091) in aqueous extract and AC (99.59259 ± 0.183946) and JC (99.224691 ± 0.142912) in ethanolic extract.

Araújo points out significant results in relation to the entire fruit of the cherry-of-big-river [22].

According to the data obtained by the present study, it is observed that the highest antioxidant capacity of the fruit is found in the portion of the bark. For the Araçá and Jaboticaba, the AC portion (99.59259 ± 0.183946) showed a higher percentage of inhibition of the ABTS radical in ethanol solution, together with the JP portion (66.87654 ± 2.013292).

The peel of Jaboticaba proves to be a valuable natural antioxidant because of its high rate of phenolic compounds that attribute the fruit's protection by the inhibition of free radicals by their antioxidant capacity [20]. Similarly, araçá stands out for its antioxidant potential, and is a source of vitamins and minerals [21]. The data from this study corroborate with investigations that affirm that much of the beneficial compounds are in the portion of the fruit peel [23, 24].

The aqueous extract had a higher capacity to sequester the ABTS radical except for the AC portion. The same is observed in a study with blackberries [23].

Brix and Color Grades

Brix grades are used to evaluate the content of soluble solids contained in fruits. Generally these solids are composed of sugars, so the values obtained by the refractometer indicate the degree and sweetness of the fruit, which together with the color and acidity help in determining its maturation point and the best time to harvest [17, 25].

The fruits presented distinct values of *Brix, differing significantly among them with higher values for jaboticaba, as can be observed in Table 3.

The color is used both to estimate the levels of food compounds and to the process of selecting new plants, since it is one of the attributes most valued by the consumer [26]. The reflectance curve observed in the RGB pattern is described in Table 4, indicating a statistically significant difference between the three fruits.

Evaluation of phytochemical compounds

The phenolic compounds are the major responsible for the antioxidant activity in fruits [24]. In order for these compounds to be considered as antioxidants and to play their biological role, they must be capable of preventing, retarding or preventing self-oxidation or oxidation mediated by free radicals at low concentrations and that the product formed after the reaction is stable [25].

Table 5 shows a higher amount of phenolic compounds in the portions of JC (51.5953 ± 14.5635), being the only result with a statistically significant difference. The bark of Jaboticaba is widely regarded as a food rich in phenolic compounds [26, 27].

Anthocyanins are water-soluble pigments, varying in red, orange and blue. As the shell of the jabuticaba was highly pigmented, a high content of this compound was already expected (1.902749 ± 0.161868), as well as the result found in related studies [26, 28], being the only result that presented a statistically significant difference.

Still in the pigment class, the evaluated chlorophyll presented higher value in the portions of JC and CC. Since the two did not differ, however, CC also did not present statistically significant differences between any of the other portions of the fruits evaluated [29].

Table 3. Soluble solids content in three native fruits of southern Brazil.

| Fruits       | Brix Degree |
|--------------|-------------|
| Yellow Araçá| 11.4 ± 0.08165b |
| Big River Cherry | 9.4 ± 0.368179c |
| Jaboticaba   | 12.9 ± 0.08165c |

* Different letters in the column indicate statistical difference by the Tukey (p<0,05).

Table 4. Color measurement of three native fruits from southern Brazil.

| COLOR | L      | A      | B      |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Yellow Araçá | 60.89 ± 5.72a | 2.85 ± 4.58b | 37.20 ± 3.29b |
| Big River Cherry | 27.66 ± 1.46a | 13.84 ± 0.75b | 5.11 ± 0.96b |
| Jaboticaba    | 28.96 ± 0.50b | 3.56 ± 1.09b | 1.20 ± 0.59b |

* Different letters in the column indicate statistical difference by the Tukey (p < 0.05).

L = Luminosity;
A = red/green coordinate (+a indicates red and -a indicates green);
B = yellow/blue coordinate (+b indicates yellow and -b indicates blue)
Table 5. Content of phenolic treatments, flavonoids, acidity and vitamin C.

| Phenolic Compounds (mg EAG/g) | Flavonoids (mg EQ/g) | Acidity (mg/g acetic acid) | Vitamin C (mg/100g) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| AS 0.1026 ± 0.0001b          | 0.0096 ± 0.0003b     | 12.5829 ± 1.0462c         | 6.9333 ± 0.4784b    |
| AP 0.0977 ± 0.0003b          | 0.0104 ± 0.0011b     | 12.9469 ± 1.7166c         | 10.2667 ± 0.1247c   |
| CS 0.1125 ± 0.0007b          | 0.0146 ± 0.0013c     | 17.5128 ± 2.4893c         | 10.6000 ± 1.6512c   |
| CP 0.1053 ± 0.0004b          | 0.0105 ± 0.0004d     | 18.4775 ± 1.6389b         | 5.5667 ± 0.5007bd   |
| JS 51.5953 ± 14.5635d        | 0.0175 ± 0.0012e     | 27.1797 ± 1.4266f         | 3.3000 ± 0.8832ad   |
| JP 0.1002 ± 0.0002b          | 0.0096 ± 0.0007b     | 25.5108 ± 0.3151d         | 1.9333 ± 0.4110c    |

* Different letters in the column indicate statistical difference by the Tukey (p<0.05).

AS = Yellow Araçá shell; AP = Yellow Araçá Pulp; CS = Big River Cherry Shell; CP = Big River Cherry Pulp; JS = Jaboticaba Shell; JP = Jaboticaba Pulp.

Table 6. Contents of Chlorophyll, Carotenoids and Anthocyanins.

| Total Chlorophylis (mg/100g) | Carotenoids (µg /1g) | Anthocyanins (mg/100g) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| AS 0.4211 ± 0.1006b         | 27363.1000 ± 5171.8580c | 0.0104 ± 0.0028c       |
| AP 0.2620 ± 0.0813b         | 30545.6300 ± 4322.1904d,e | 0.0041 ± 0.0040d       |
| CS 0.6764 ± 0.0934a,b       | 144970.2000 ± 540.7599a | 0.2906 ± 0.1702b       |
| CP 0.2219 ± 0.0761b         | 44265.1500 ± 11551.2300b,d | 0.0339 ± 0.0121b       |
| JS 1.2936 ± 0.4476e         | 54429.3500 ± 7244.8470b | 1.9027 ± 0.1619a       |
| JP 0.2684 ± 0.0489b         | 3333,5830 ± 908.1596c | 0.0677 ± 0.0415b       |

* Different letters in the column indicate statistical difference by the Tukey (p<0.05).

AS = Yellow Araçá shell; AP = Yellow Araçá Pulp; CS = Big River Cherry Shell; CP = Big River Cherry Pulp; JS = Jaboticaba Shell; JP = Jaboticaba Pulp.

Regarding carotenoids, the CC portion presented the highest value among the results obtained (144970.2000 ± 540.7599) and showed statistically significant difference compared to all other portions.

Conclusion

The evaluation of the fruit fractions allows to conclude that the highest antioxidant activity is concentrated in the bark, mainly in Great-cherry and jaboticaba, in an aqueous medium, similar behavior when using the ethanolic medium, which resulted in higher values for peel of Yellow Araçá and Jaboticaba. For the flavonoids, higher concentrations were found in the fruit peel under study, except for the yellow Araçá pulp, similar behavior for vitamin C. The phenolic compounds were distinguished in the bark of Jaboticaba and the carotenoids in the bark of the Cherry-of-the-river -great. The yellow Araçá has a higher concentration of carbohydrates than the Big River Cherry, of proteins. Considering the studies carried out *in vitro*, it is concluded that the native fruits demonstrate a potential capacity to assist in the protection of the organism, inhibiting the action of free radicals by the presence of their natural antioxidants, in the pulp and peel.
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