Drip fertigation quality monitoring using monopotassium phosphate on different slopes
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ABSTRACT: The use of monopotassium phosphate is an option for phosphate fertigation. Determining the application uniformity of a fertigation system is necessary for an adequate evaluation. The present work was conducted to monitor the quality of a drip irrigation system with monopotassium phosphate on different slopes. The experiment was conducted under laboratory conditions, on a test bench, and 25 tests were performed for each inclination (level, upslope, and downslope). The uniformity was determined using the Christiansen’s uniformity coefficient (CUC) and monitored by the Shewhart and exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) control charts. The uniformity of the system was excellent for all slopes, and the uniformities in the level and downslope topographies were superior to that in upslope. The EWMA control chart was more sensitive, being out of statistical control for upslope and downslope, topographies, and displayed trends which are not shown in the Shewhart control chart.
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Monitoramento da qualidade da fertirrigação por gotejamento utilizando fosfato monopotássico em diferentes inclinações

RESUMO: A utilização do fosfato monopotássico é uma opção para a fertirrigação fosfatada. Para uma avaliação adequada de um sistema de fertirrigação é necessário a determinação da sua uniformidade de aplicação. O trabalho foi realizado com o objetivo de monitorar a qualidade de um sistema de fertirrigação por gotejamento com fosfato monopotássico em diferentes inclinações. O experimento foi conduzido em condições laboratoriais em uma bancada de testes, onde foram realizados 25 ensaios para cada inclinação (Nível, Acleive e Declive). Para cada ensaio foi determinado o coeficiente de uniformidade de Christiansen (CUC) e monitorada pelos gráficos de controle de Shewhart e da Média Móvel Exponencialmente Ponderada (MMEP). Em todas inclinações a uniformidade foi excelente, sendo que as uniformidades do sistema em nível e declive foram superiores a inclinação em acleive. O gráfico de Shewhart se mostrou sob controle estatístico para as inclinações em nível e declive, e fora para acleive. O gráfico MMEP se mostrou mais sensível estando fora de controle estatístico para acleive e declive, além de mostrar tendências não apresentadas no gráfico Shewhart.
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Introduction

Drip irrigation is the most widespread irrigation system, and has the following characteristics compared with other irrigation systems: higher installation cost, greater efficiency, lower energy consumption, favorable phytosanitary control, and viable and favorable fertigation (Cunha et al., 2017).

Fertigation consists in applying soluble fertilizers through irrigation systems. It is a common practice in modern irrigated agriculture and can be used with fertilizers of different forms (solid or liquid) and types, including phosphates.

Phosphorus (P) is an essential nutrient and plays an important role in various crop activities. It is responsible for several important structural functions for plant development, and participates in photosynthesis, respiration, cell growth, and mainly energy supply, thus, the element directly influences the initial development of plants (Vieira et al., 2015).

Despite the innumerable benefits of using phosphorous in plant development, the use of phosphate fertilizers in drip fertigation has some obstacles on the part of rural producers, such as the low solubility of most phosphates, and the ease of nutrient precipitation, which causes the emitters to clog (Coelho et al., 2011).

However, drip fertigation increases the phosphorus distribution in the soil, as it causes greater concentration in a narrow band, saturating the attachment sites close to the application point. However, applying it on the surface with sprinkler irrigation is not due to the low mobility of phosphorous in the soil (Zanini et al., 2007). Foratto et al. (2007) proposed that in most fertilizers in phosphate fertigation can cause obstruction of emitters and incrustation in the pipes, the solution to these problems may be phosphates with effective solubility, such as monopotassium phosphate (MKP).

Monopotassium phosphate is used in scenarios where P is needed and nitrogen (N) is not. In addition, it has the added benefit of providing a high potassium (K) concentration and high solubility. It also promotes an increase in fruit production and quality (Fan et al., 2011; Sajyan et al., 2018), chlorophyll content and dry matter accumulation (Chapagain & Wiesman, 2004). Despite that MKP is known to have numerous advantages and its uses have already been consolidated, its use with a drip irrigation system is yet to be evaluated.

An evaluation index called Christiansen’s uniformity coefficient (CUC) is used to assess the ideal functioning of a fertigation system, which directly influences management, quality, efficiency, and cost, as well as the crop performance in the field. CUC is an important performance indicator of the fertigation system (Cunha et al., 2018).

Several factors influence the uniformity of a fertigation system: the flow, pressure, form, system components and solubility of the fertilizer, operating time and topography. Lopes et al. (2019) observed that the water distribution in sloping areas in irrigation is very different from that in flat areas. ASABE (1996) recommends the use in upslope (2%), level (0%) and downslope (2%) to perform bench evaluation of drip irrigation tests on different slopes.

Statistical quality control is a powerful tool for detecting problems, as it demonstrates stability and variability. It quickly detects changes in a process, enabling an investigation and corrective action implementation before many non-conformities start to occur (Conceição et al., 2018). Chincilla et al. (2018) concluded that statistical quality control can be a valuable tool in monitoring irrigation systems. This technique generates easy to interpret graphics which that show if the uniformity process of an irrigation system is efficient or if large variations exist in its functioning (Orsatto et al., 2015).

Among the various existing control charts, the Shewhart and exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) charts were used in this research. The Shewhart control chart is a method of presenting and comparing information. It stands out among the other tools due to its operational simplicity and effectiveness in detecting problems. The EWMA control charts are recommended due to their accuracy, as they can identify small deviations in a process (Siqueira et al., 2018).

In view of the above, the objective of this research is to evaluate MKP fertigation in a drip system under different topographic situations, determining and monitoring the flow uniformity.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out from June 10 to 17 in 2019. The tests were performed in laboratory conditions on a 5 m long bench with four lateral lines. The lateral line return was performed using pulleys, and lateral lines with 10 m were obtained (Figure 1). The bench consisted of an Acquapump motor pump (Ferrari) with a 0.5 hp engine, maximum flow (Q) of 1.8 m³ h⁻¹, and maximum head of 22.0 water column gauge, a control head with 120 mesh disk filter, and a BERMAD model 0075 PRVy pressure controller.

The drip tubes tested were IRRITEC model P1 products, spaced at 0.5 m, featuring 16.00 mm diameter, maximum working pressure of 80 kPa, proportionality coefficient of the emitter equation (K) of 1.26, and discharge exponent (x) of 0.48.

Yara Krista MKP fertilizer was used in the tests. It is a soluble monophosphorous phosphate (KH₂PO₄) which dissolves in water and contains 52% P (P₂O₅) and 34% K (K₂O). First, 300 g of MKP was dissolved in 100 liters of water for the tests. The fertilizer dilution in the reservoir was manually performed before the tests began (Borossi et al., 2012).

The flow rate was collected for each test according to the methodology proposed by Keller & Karmeli (1975). This

![Figure 1. Illustration of the bench test used and its components.](image)
methodology consists of determining four emitters per lateral line, meaning the first dripper, the drippers located at 1/3 (7º), and 2/3 (13º) of the lateral line length and the last dripper (20º) in four lateral lines.

A total of 25 tests were carried out for each slope: level (0%), upslope (2%) and downslope (2%) (ASABE, 1996). This number of samples was as recommended by Montgomery (2016) for quality control tests. A backwash using water was then carried out in the system after the end of the tests for the slopes.

The dripper flow was measured by the gravimetric method to obtain greater precision in the determination. The flow of the emitters was then determined by the volume collected in the drippers for 3 min, as recommended by NBR 9261 (ABNT, 2006) and according to Equation 1.

\[
Q = \frac{V}{1000 \times t} \times 60
\]

in which: Q - Flow rate, L h⁻¹; V - Volume of the collected solution, mL; T - Collection time, min.

From the flow of the 16 drippers test (CUC), was calculated as shown in Equation 2:

\[
\text{CUC} = \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[q_i - q]}{nq}\right) \times 100
\]

in which: q - arithmetic mean of the collected data, L h⁻¹; q_i - flow rate in the dripper of order i, L h⁻¹; n - number of drippers evaluated in the irrigation system.

The CUC data were classified as described in Table 1.

Shewhart and EWMA control charts were used in the quality control process.

Calculating the upper control and lower limit (UCL and LCL) specifications is necessary to create Shewhart control charts, and these limits are obtained by Equations 3 and 4, respectively:

\[
\text{UCL} = \bar{x} + 3 \frac{\text{AM}}{d_2}
\]

\[
\text{LCL} = \bar{x} - 3 \frac{\text{AM}}{d_2}
\]

The interpolation of the drip flow data was performed using the statistical model called distance inverse, described in Equation 9:

\[
z = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_i} z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_i}}
\]

in which: z - estimated value for point z; n - number of observations; z_i - observed values.

Table 1. Classification of the Christiansen Uniformity Coefficient (CUC).

| CUC (%) | Classification |
|---------|----------------|
| > 90%   | Excellent      |
| 80 - 90%| Good           |
| 70 - 80%| Regular        |
| 60 - 70%| Bad            |
| < 60%   | Unacceptable   |

Source: Frizzzone et al. (2012).

The data were analyzed with analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the F-test at 5% probability. The comparison of means was performed using the Tukey test at 5% significance. All statistical and graphical analyses were performed using MINITAB 18 software.
Results and Discussion

Water quality is an essential factor, as a problem such as the clogging of the emitters reduces the uniformity of the irrigation system. Water collected for the experiment were subjected to physicochemical analysis following the methodology of APHA (2012).

The physicochemical analysis of the water did not present any parameter which could influence the clogging of the emitters (Table 2). According to the findings by Capra & Scicolone (1998), all physicochemical parameters present a low risk of clogging. However, the analyzed solution had a high copper content, with a value exceeding the tolerance of several crops for agricultural irrigation (Philippi Júnior, 2002).

There was a slight variation in flow in the lateral lines in the level system (Figure 2). The flow variation of the drippers occurs due to water energy loss by friction along the pipe and in the inserts of the drippers, a gain or loss of position energy, the quality of raw material and manufacturing processes, obstructions, and temperature effects of water on the flow regime and dripper geometry (Dias et al., 2005).

The highest flows regarding the upslope systems were found at the beginning of the system, and a drop in flow occurred until the end of the lateral lines (Figure 2). The flow is reduced due to the pressure drop along the stretch; however, uniformity continues (Alves et al., 2015).

The concentration of the highest flows at the end of the last lateral line in the downslope system is explained by the gradual increase in pressure which occurs until the end of the pipe (Marcuzzo & Wendland, 2011). Klein et al. (2013) maintained that the greater downslope along the lateral line, the greater the flow.

Table 2. Physicochemical parameters of the solution with monopotassium phosphate (MKP).

| Parameters          | Value |
|---------------------|-------|
| Total iron (mg L⁻¹) | 0*    |
| Manganese (mg L⁻¹)  | 0*    |
| Potassium (mg L⁻¹)  | 1.03  |
| Sodium (mg L⁻¹)     | 6.5   |
| pH                  | 5.3*  |
| Nickel              | 0     |
| Calcium (mg L⁻¹)    | 5.3*  |
| Magnesium (mg L⁻¹)  | 1.7*  |
| Barium (mg L⁻¹)     | 33.9  |
| Cadmium (mg L⁻¹)    | 0     |
| Chrome (mg L⁻¹)     | 0     |
| Copper (mg L⁻¹)     | 9.5   |
| Lead (mg L⁻¹)       | 0     |
| Zinc (mg L⁻¹)       | 0     |

*Low risk of clogging.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of the CUC of 25 trials of a drip fertigation system with MKP in level, upslope and downslope topographies.

| Slope  | CUC (%) | SD   | CV (%) | Variance | Minimum (%) | Maximum (%) |
|--------|---------|------|--------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Level  | 98.51a  | 0.173| 0.18   | 0.0299   | 98.12       | 98.84       |
| Upslope| 98.02b  | 0.235| 0.24   | 0.0551   | 97.66       | 98.64       |
| Downslope| 98.44a | 0.138| 0.14   | 0.0192   | 98.16       | 98.73       |

*Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ, obtained by Tukey test at 5% probability. SD: standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation.
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Flow, but opposes upward flow (Çengel & Simbala, 2015). The EWMA control chart is not only used to detect small variations (Riaz et al., 2017), but also to check trends in the process (Shamsuzzaman et al., 2016).

Conclusions

The uniformity of the fertigation system with MKP proved to be excellent for all slopes (> 90%), with the level system (98.51%) and downslope system (98.44%) being more uniform than the upslope system (98.02%).

There was a slight variation in flow in the lateral lines in the level system, while the highest flows in the upslope system were found at the beginning of the system, and the highest flows for downslope system were found at the end of the system.

Shewhart control charts proved to be more robust than EWMA control charts, as they indicated fewer points outside the statistical control limits.
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