Ethnicity affects the risk factors of acute myocardial infarction and should be considered in educational programs
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Acute Myocardial infarction is a non-communicable disease representing the leading cause of death in Saudi Arabia. Studying the ethnicity in its risk factors has been poorly investigated.

Objectives: To compare risk factors among Saudi nationals and expatriates.

Methods: A retrospective cohort study for patients admitted to the Cardiac Care Unit of one of the largest tertiary care hospitals in Tabuk diagnosed with acute myocardial infarction from September 2018 to August 2019. Risk factors were compared among groups on the basis of their ethnicity.

Results: In total 18,746 patients were included. Gender and age were the predominant risk factors; Male ($p < 0.05$) and age > 50 years ($p < 0.05$). There were significant differences between Saudis and expatriates in all measurable parameters indicating that a genetic factor contributes to the risk factors, which was proved by the significant differences between the Middle East North Africa and South Asia subgroups. Interestingly, the mean values of laboratory results were higher than Saudi populations.

Conclusions: The disparity in lipid profile among the studied groups addresses the patient ethnicity should be considered during education programs for the risk factors of cardiovascular disease.
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Introduction

Saudi Arabia is classified as a high-income country with a gross income of nearly $22,000 per capita (1), and it has a very fast-growing economy. The combination of these factors causes it to suffer from the “disease of civilization” or “Lifestyle Disease.” This disease is a group of disorders that are collectively known as non-communicable disease (NCD). It includes different diseases like cancer, obesity, hypertension, acne, diabetes, etc. According to the reports from the Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation, 16.4% of Saudi nationals are susceptible to die of an NCD and related disability-adjusted life years lost (DALYs) (2, 3). It has been reported that scarcity of physical activity and an unhealthy diet with a bad regime leads to obesity, hypertension, and diabetes (4). In spite of the national transformation program in Saudi Arabia which aims at improving the participation of the private sector, the government is still responsible for a great percentage of the healthcare expenses (5). Therefore, it is essential to concentrate on the primary and secondary prophylaxis of NCD.

Acute Myocardial infarction (AMI), a NCD, is the most common disorder of coronary heart disease (CHD) (6). Its risk factors include age, gender, obesity, blood pressure, glycaemic control, lipid profile, and smoking status (6–8). Saudi Arabia has a population of around 34 million as of 2020 (5) with 38% made up of expatriates from various countries and ethnic regions (9). Most of the expatriates are from the Middle East North Africa (MENA) region (Egypt, Syria, Yemen, Jordan, and Sudan) and South Asia (India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka) (8, 9). Yet, disparity was noted in the risk factors of AMI among various ethnic regions. So, ethnicity should be considered in determining the risk factors for Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) (9). The Africa Middle East Cardiovascular Epidemiological study (ACE) conducted in Saudi Arabia substantiates the disparity of risk factors between Saudi populations and expatriates (10). Though some recent studies established the risk factors of AMI in the Saudi population (11–13), the disparity in risk factors for AMI between the Saudi population and expatriates is yet to be established. Therefore, the present study aims to evaluate the risk factors of AMI between the Saudi population and the expatriate population in the Tabuk region. Our research hypothesis was to pinpoint risk factors of AMI in patients of specific ethnicities.

Methods

Study design

A retrospective cohort study was undertaken including patients admitted with the diagnosis of AMI to the Coronary Intensive Care Unit (CICU) at King Fahd Speciality hospital in Tabuk region, Saudi Arabia during the period from September 2018 to August 2019 using a common registry program. It is a tertiary hospital and it is one of the largest hospitals in the Tabuk region, where it is the only health care system that treats STEMI and non-STIME patients in all Tabuk region. The patients were identified via reports from the electronic medical record systems of patients admitted to the CICU with a documented diagnosis of AMI.

Inclusion criteria

We selected only inpatients registered in the CICU according to the hospital policy to be referred to this unit and diagnosed with AMI from the first-day treatment in the hospital and stayed for three successive days in the hospital with daily laboratory analysis and complete demographic data and medical history.

Exclusion criteria

Patients transferred from other hospitals, those who did not complete 3 days of successive laboratory tests in King Fahd Speciality Hospital, or those who are of incomplete demographic data or medical history.

Data collection

After receiving sufficient training, four investigators abstracted the data using a standardized data collection tool.

The following demographic details were collected: age, gender, nationality, Smoking status, diagnosis, past medical history, and family history. Laboratory investigations included glycaemic status (fasting blood sugar and HbA1C), lipid profile including total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoproteins (HDL), and Low-density lipoproteins (LDL). The ratio of TC/HDL, TG/HDL, and LDL/HDL ratio was calculated from the lipid profile. Data for Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were also obtained.

Study outcomes

The aim of the current study is to evaluate the risk factors of AMI between the Saudi population and the expatriate...
population in the Tabuk region. Patients were deemed to have hypertension, diabetes, and/or dyslipidaemia according to the following:

**Hypertension**

Patients with a documented history of hypertension or BP >140/90 mmHg during admission according to the European Society of Cardiology (14, 15).

**Diabetes**

Patients with a documented history of diabetes or fasting blood sugar >7 mmol/L or HbA1C>7% during admission as per the American Diabetes Association (16).

**Lipid profile and ratio**

Dyslipidaemia was reported if the patient had it in the past medical history or if he/she had one or more of the following abnormal lipid profiles: high total cholesterol (TC), high low-density lipoprotein (LDL), low high-density lipoprotein (HDL), or high triglyceride level (TG) according to the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) (16). Patients measured TC <5, TG <1.7, HDL >1.03, and >1.29 mmol/L in male and female, respectively, and LDL <2.5 mmol/L are considered normal. TC, TG, and LDL were subtracted with HDL to give TC/HDL, TG/HDL, and LDL/HDL ratios respectively. The normal values of these ratios can be seen in Table 1 (17, 18).

**Data analysis**

Initially, the studied population was categorized as the Saudi population and expatriates. Furthermore, expatriates were again classified into South Asian and MENA region according to their geographical region. South Asia includes India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka. Meanwhile, the MENA region includes Egypt, Syria, Kuwait, Yemen, Turkey, and Sudan. Demographics and laboratory investigations were classified and analyzed as Saudi population, expatriates from South Asian, and MENA region in Table 2.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS version 25 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois) statistical software package was used for all the statistical analyses. The chi-square test was used to analyze the demographic variables between the groups. To compare the laboratory parameters between the study groups, Student’s t-test was used. Two-tailed p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Distribution of demographic data between ethnicity**

Among 39,551 patients admitted to the Coronary Intensive Care Unit (CICU) at King Fahd Speciality hospital in the Tabuk region during the period from September 2018 to August 2019, 18,746 patients admitted with a diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) were included in the study (about 48.4%) (Figure 1).

We discovered that 9,816 of the patients were from Saudi Arabia (52.36%), followed by 6,215 patients from South Asian Countries (31.15%), then 2,715 patients from the MENA region Countries (14.48%). Among the South Asian and MENA region populations, a high prevalence in the study population was noted to come from Bangladesh, 3,344 patients (53.8% of the South Asia countries) and from Egypt, 1,329 patients (48.95% of the MENA region), respectively (Table 2; Figure 2).

**TABLE 1 Normal levels Cholesterol ratios (17, 18).**

| Lipid ratio | Normal level in male | Normal level in female |
|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| TC/HDL      | <4.5                 | <4                     |
| TG/HDL      | <3                   | <2.5                   |
| LDL/HDL     | <2.5                 | <2                     |

**TABLE 2 Distribution of studied population according to the region/country.**

| Region/country | Frequency n (%) |
|----------------|-----------------|
| Saudi Arabia   | 9,816 (52.36%)  |
| South Asian countries | 6,215 (33.15%) |
| Bangladesh     | 3,344 (53.80% of the South Asia Countries) |
| India          | 1,417 (22.79% of the South Asia Countries) |
| Pakistan       | 1,113 (17.90% of the South Asia Countries) |
| Sri Lanka      | 341 (5.48% of the South Asia Countries) |
| ^MENA region   | 2,715 (14.48%)   |
| Egypt          | 1,329 (48.95% of the MENA region) |
| Jordan         | 364 (13.40% of the MENA region) |
| Syria          | 201 (7.40% of the MENA region) |
| Yemen          | 565 (20.81% of the MENA region) |
| Sudan          | 256 (9.42% of the MENA region) |

^MENA: Middle East North Africa.
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**Inclusion criteria**
- Inpatient registered in the CICU according to the hospital policy to be referred to this unit and diagnosed for AMI from the first day treatment in the hospital and stayed for three successive days in the hospital with daily laboratory analysis and complete demographic data and medical history
- Cohort Study: 18746 patients (48.4%)

**Exclusion criteria**
- Patients transferred from other hospitals, those who do not complete three days of successive laboratory tests in King Fahd Specialty Hospital or those who are of incomplete demographic data or medical history.
- Cohort study: 20805 patients
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*FIGURE 1*
Flow chart of the cohort study.

*FIGURE 2*
Distribution of nationalities in the studied population. (A) Distribution of Nationalities in Saudi Nationals, South Asian Countries, and MENA. (B) Distribution of Nationalities in South Asian countries. (C) Distribution of Nationalities in MENA countries. *MENA, Middle East North Africa.*
The association between demographic parameters and risk factors in the studied population diagnosed with AMI

The demographics of the ethnicity and risk factor distribution in the studied population are demonstrated in Table 3. As shown in Table 3, 14,158 patients (75.52% of the total studied population in both Saudi and Non-Saudi populations) were males. It revealed that gender is a risk factor and males were more susceptible for AMI ($p < 0.05$).

Table 4 shows that non-Saudi males were more susceptible to AMI than Saudi malesmen. Therefore, there is an effect from ethnicity on this risk factor ($p < 0.05$). This indicates that gender is a risk factor alongside an effect from ethnicity. A significant association was observed among the population aged $>50$ years with the prevalence of AMI ($p < 0.05$) (Table 3); however, there is a significant difference between populations with age above 50 years and below 50 years of non-Saudi and Saudi populations (Table 4).

This indicates that age is a risk factor with an effect alongside ethnicity. Interestingly, both smoking and type of AMI were risk factors, and also they had significant associations with ethnicity among the studied population ($p < 0.05$). Diabetes and hypertension were the major risk factor for AMI (40.47 and 40.25%, respectively) with a significant association with ethnicity ($p < 0.05$). These two risk factors were followed by coronary heart disease (CHD) and dyslipidemia. Also, more than 17.9% of the studied population had a family history of CHD.

### Table 3

| Characteristics                          | Study population ($n = 18,746$) |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Male, n (%)                              | 14,158 (75.52%)                  |
| Age, years, mean (SD)                    | 53.7 (8.10)                      |
| Smokers, n (%)                           | 8,998 (47.99%)                   |
| Acute myocardial infarction              |                                  |
| STEMI, n (%)                             | 9,219 (49.17%)                   |
| NSTEMI, n (%)                            | 9,527 (50.82%)                   |
| Past medical history n (%)               |                                  |
| Diabetes                                | 7,587 (40.47%)                   |
| Hypertension                            | 7,546 (40.25%)                   |
| Dyslipidaemia                            | 4,565 (24.35%)                   |
| Previous CHD                            | 3,112 (16.8)                     |
| Family history of CHD                   | 3,362 (17.93%)                   |

Chi-square test; two-tailed $p$-value $< 0.05$ considered as significant; MENA, Middle East North Africa. Bold values indicate the significance.

### Table 4

| Characteristics                          | Saudi nationals Vs. expatriates ($n = 18,746$) | Expatriates of South Asians Vs. MENA region ($n = 8,930$) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                           | Study population (frequency (%))               | South Asians (frequency (%)) Other middle east population (frequency (%)) |
| Gender                                   | Saudi nationals (n = 9,816) Expatiates (n = 8,930) | P-value | P-value |
| Male                                     | 8,112 (82.64) 6,046 (67.70)                   | <0.05   | 3,755 (60.41) 2,291 (84.38) |
| Female                                   | 1,704 (17.35) 2,884 (32.29)                   |         | 2,460 (39.58) 424 (15.61) |
| Age ≤50 years                            | 3,811 (38.82) 3,704 (41.47)                   | <0.05   | 2,300 (37) 1,404 (51.71) |
| Age >50 years                            | 6,005 (61.17) 5,226 (58.52)                   | <0.05   | 3,915 (62.99) 1,311 (48.28) |
| Social history                           |                                               |         |                                               |
| Smokers                                  | 8,998 (91.66) 4,107 (45.99)                   | <0.05   | 2,422 (38.97) 1,685 (62.06) |
| Non-smokers                              | 818 (8.33) 4,823 (54)                        |         | 3,793 (61.02) 1,030 (37.93) |
| Acute myocardial infarction              |                                               |         |                                               |
| STEMI                                     | 4,668 (47.55) 4,551 (50.96)                   | <0.05   | 3,054 (49.13) 1,497 (55.13) |
| NSTEMI                                    | 5,148 (52.44) 4,379 (49.03)                   |         | 3,161 (50.86) 1,218 (44.86) |
| Past medical history                     |                                               |         |                                               |
| Diabetes                                 | 4,534 (46.78) 3,053 (34.18)                   | <0.05   | 2,211 (35.57) 842 (31.01) |
| Hypertension                             | 4,387 (44.69) 3,159 (35.37)                   |         | 2,316 (37.26) 843 (31.04) |
| Dyslipidaemia                             | 2,226 (22.67) 2,339 (26.19)                   |         | 1,684 (27.09) 655 (24.12) |
| Previous CHD                             | 1,177 (11.99) 1,935 (21.66)                   |         | 1,052 (16.92) 883 (32.52) |
| Family history of CHD                    | 1,315 (13.40) 2,047 (22.92)                   |         | 1,486 (23.90) 561 (20.68) |

Chi-square test; two-tailed $p$-value $< 0.05$ considered as significant; MENA, Middle East North Africa. Bold values indicate the significance.
TABLE 5 Assessment of measurable parameters between Saudi Nationals and Expatriates.

| Parameters                        | Saudi nationals (n = 9,816) mean (SD) | Expatriates (n = 8,930) mean (SD) | P-value | Expatriates from South Asia (n = 6,215) | Expatriates from MENA region (n = 2,715) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------|
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)   | 133.94 (2.04)                        | 135.93 (1.83)                      | <0.05   | 135.71 (1.47)                          | 134.12 (1.36)                          | <0.05   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)  | 76.80 (0.979)                        | 80.5 (1.48)                        | <0.05   | 80.08 (0.85)                           | 81.73 (0.25)                           | <0.05   |
| Fasting blood sugar (mmol/L)     | 13.10 (2.04)                         | 11 (0.86)                          | <0.05   | 10.77 (0.85)                           | 11.70 (0.24)                           | <0.05   |
| HbA1C (%)                        | 9.63 (1.19)                          | 8.13 (1.06)                        | <0.05   | 7.88 (1.06)                            | 9 (0.02)                               | <0.05   |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)       | 4.10 (0.29)                          | 4.38 (0.40)                        | <0.05   | 4.25 (0.37)                            | 4.78 (0.05)                            | <0.05   |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L)           | 1.46 (0.02)                          | 2.65 (0.22)                        | <0.05   | 2.07 (0.20)                            | 2.39 (0.07)                            | <0.05   |
| LDL (mmol/L)                     | 3.04 (0.19)                          | 2.84 (0.13)                        | <0.05   | 2.79 (0.12)                            | 2.97 (0.04)                            | <0.05   |
| HDL (mmol/L)                     | 1.11 (0.25)                          | 0.66 (0.15)                        | <0.05   | 0.67 (0.17)                            | 0.67 (0.12)                            | 0.819   |
| TC/HDL ratio                     | 4.16 (0.20)                          | 5.39 (0.25)                        | <0.05   | 5.32 (0.24)                            | 5.64 (0.05)                            | <0.05   |
| LDL/HDL ratio                    | 2.94 (0.14)                          | 3.48 (0.20)                        | <0.05   | 3.43 (1.19)                            | 3.67 (0.09)                            | <0.05   |
| TG/HDL ratio                     | 2.72 (0.31)                          | 3.22 (0.17)                        | <0.05   | 3.16 (0.16)                            | 3.40 (0.01)                            | <0.05   |

Students t-test; two-tailed p-value < 0.05 considered as significant; MENA, Middle East North Africa. Bold values indicate the significance.

Assessment of the measurable parameters between the saudi population and expatriates in the studied population diagnosed with AMI

Blood pressure
A significant difference (higher than 135) was observed between the Saudi populations and expatriates for the factor of high systolic blood pressure and normal diastolic blood pressure among the studied population, with a significant difference in the ethnic regions as well (Table 5). Saudi population showed lesser systolic blood pressure than expatriates.

Glycaemic control
Significant difference between Saudi populations and expatriates for the factor of High fasting blood sugar (higher in Saudi population; 13.1) and HbA1C (higher in Saudi population; 9.63) were observed among the study population with a significant difference in the ethnic regions as well (Table 5).

Lipid profile
The mean total cholesterol of the study population was found to be normal among the studied population; however, significant elevation (p < 0.05) was noted in the expatriates. Besides, expatriates from the MENA region showed significantly elevated total cholesterol levels over those of the South Asia. Triglycerides were significantly lower in Saudi nationals (p < 0.05). It was below the critical level; whereas, the mean triglycerides level was higher in expatriates and higher than the critical level. Also, there was a significant association between the South Asians and MENA region population (p < 0.05). Interestingly, also there was an observed elevated total cholesterol/HDL, LDL/HDL, and triglycerides/HDL ratios in the expatriates with significant difference between those of the MENA region and South Asia. These ratios were lower in Saudi populations (even lower than the critical levels) than those of expatriates. On the other hand, a significantly lower LDL was noted in the expatriates (p < 0.05) than the Saudi population with significantly lower levels in expatriates from South Asia (p < 0.05). Likewise for HDL: it showed a significant difference between the Saudi population and expatriates, with higher levels in the Saudi population. Meanwhile, both the MENA region
and South Asia showed no significant difference between them (Table 5).

Discussion

Non-communicable disease (NCD) is the leading cause of death in high income countries such as Saudi Arabia. Epidemiological data showed that NCD is the main reason for almost 78% of deaths in Saudi Arabia (19) with almost 46% being CVD-related deaths and its health care annual cost has been calculated to be almost $368 billion (20). The General Authority for Statistics in Saudi Arabia states that the population of Saudi Arabia in 2019 (during the research) was 34,218,169 people and the population in Tabuk in 2019 was 647,000 people (21). We found almost 18,746 patients had been diagnosed with AMI (2.9%) from the total population of the Tabuk region. So, such diseases require critical attention. Many researchers had studied the risk factors for AMI. Yet, according to our best knowledge, this is the first time the ethnicity factor has been studied as an and in relation to risk factors for such non-communicable diseases; AMI, in different ethnic populations away from their nation of origin. The care model for STEMI-diagnosed patients whether Saudi Nationals or expatriates is the same. It is already laid out by the Saudi Heart Association (https://saudi-heart.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Clinical-practice-guidelines.pdf). There is no insurance barrier. According to the law of the Saudi Government, expatriates should have medical insurance from their employer or through the private sector which covers these cardiovascular diseases. There is no difference in treatment between Saudi nationals or expatriates in their daily life. A recent cross-sectional study conducted in Saudi Arabia addressed poor awareness among the public is warranted to minimize the incidence and mortality due to CAD in developing countries including Saudi Arabia (33).

In the present study, nearly half of the studied population from Saudi Arabia had a past medical history of both diabetes (46.78%) and hypertension (44.69%) which are considered predominant risk factors of acute myocardial infarction (AMI). These findings substantiate those findings of other investigations conducted in the Saudi population (23, 24). Male gender is also one of the risk factors which is already established in previous studies (25, 26). Although, it has been previously reported that women were more susceptible to chronic diseases and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in Riyadh city (20). This indicates that environmental factors such as nutrition can be one of the reasons for such variation. Nutritional habits differ between the Tabuk and Riyadh regions. Moreover, this gives an indication that pharmacists should focus on raising awareness among male through using group teaching for males, direct procures for males, etc. in the Tabuk region. Other risk factors including age >50 years and smoking status in this study also substantiated by the other study conducted in the northern region of Saudi Arabia (27, 28). However, it has been reported that 83.33% of the studied population had a history of dyslipidemia (27). In contrast, the present study showed that Saudi patients had normal mean tFotal cholesterol, triglycerides, TC/HDL, LDL/HDL, and TG/HDL ratios with significant association with the expatriates. The genetic contribution for such variation has been proved by the significant difference between expatriates from the MENA region and South Asia. Conversely, the mean LDL level and HDL were more than the optimal level with a significant association with the expatriates. Meanwhile, HDL showed no significant association between expatriates from the MENA region and South Asia. This indicates that the genetic factor may not contribute to such variations. In other words, environmental factors such as diet behavior may contribute to such variation in HDL levels. This difference can be explained by drinking Arabian coffee and eating dates. It has been proved that drinking Arabian coffee has elevating effect on HDL and Arabian coffee drinkers have higher HDL compared to those who consume less Arabian coffee (29). Besides, it has been proved that the consumption of dates has a great effect on the lipid profile (30). Saudi nationals regularly consume Arabian coffee and Date fruit much more than expatriates in their daily life. A recent cross-sectional study conducted in Saudi Arabia addressed poor awareness of CAD risk factors irrespective of nationality, sex, level of education, marital status, employment status, income, etc. (31). Additionally, the awareness is poorer in the Saudi population (32, 33) than in the MENA region population including Lebanon (34) and Oman (35). Spreading essential awareness among the public is warranted to minimize the incidence and mortality due to CAD in developing countries including Saudi Arabia (33).
Although the Saudi population has no significant association with the lipid profile in the present study, lipid profile is a predominant risk factor among expatriates, especially from the MENA region. The Saudi population has lower TC, TG, TC/HDL, TG/HDL, and LDL/HDL than the expatriates. These associations were statistically significant with statistically significantly higher values in the MENA region than in South Asia. Nevertheless, our study findings are consistent with the previous findings including that dyslipidemia is one of the important risk factors for myocardial infarction in the South Asian population (36), especially hypertriglyceridemia (37), low-HDL level (38) and elevated LDL (39), (39). Furthermore, poor glycemic control in the known case and impaired glucose tolerance in normal individuals among South Asians (40) due to the high prevalence of insulin resistance (41) which increases the risk of cardiovascular events (36, 37). The elevated TC/HDL and TG/HDL ratio among the South Asians and MENA region population in the present investigation authenticates the recently reported research as TC/HDL (38) and TG/HDL (39) ratio found to have the predominant risk factors for AMI. Moreover, these ratios are significantly worse in the MENA region population than in South Asians. In addition, smoking history, poor glycemic status, and uncontrolled blood pressure are found to be other risk factors for South Asians. In the present study, the expatriates from the MENA region belong to low-middle income countries; while Saudi Arabia is considered as a high-income country in this region (3). Among the low-middle income region hypercholesterolemia and diabetes highly contributed to MI in the low-income countries in the MENA region (40). Poor awareness of cardiovascular risk factors in both South Asian countries and the MENA region including India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Egypt (39) contributes to the high prevalence of MI in this studied population. Moreover, we considered only admitted cases with AMI due to the availability of laboratory diagnostic analysis records. Yet, setting the control of the ethnic groups who were not affected by such risk factors is a challenging issue for future studies. Awareness of Cardiovascular risk factors also should be emphasized by the health care professionals to the patients. Obesity is another important risk factor for MI not being investigated which is considered a limitation. Nonetheless, abdominal obesity measuring with waist-to-hip ratio has a significant positive correlation with MI; whereas, body mass index was found to have a weak correlation in this regard (41).

**Conclusion**

Acute Myocardial infarction is a threat to the population irrespective of their ethnic region. However, the risk factor may be variable according to the ethnicity of the study population. Healthcare care professionals should take this factor into their consideration while educating high-risk patients with acute myocardial infarction.
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