Viral inactivation in hemotherapy: systematic review on inactivators with action on nucleic acids
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The aim of this study was to conduct a systematic review on the photoinactivators used in hemotherapy, with action on viral genomes. The Scielo, Science Direct, PubMed and Lilacs databases were searched for articles. The inclusion criterion was that these should be articles on inactivators with action on genetic material that had been published between 2000 and 2010. The key words used in identifying such articles were “hemovigilance”, “viral inactivation”, “photodynamics”, “chemoprevention” and “transfusion safety”. Twenty-four articles on viral photoinactivation were found with the main photoinactivators covered being: methylene blue, amotosalen HCl, S-303 frangible anchor linker effector (FRALE), riboflavin and inactin. The results showed that methylene blue has currently been studied least, because it diminishes coagulation factors and fibrinogen. Riboflavin has been studied most because it is a photoinactive source of endogenous origin and has few collateral effects. Amotosalen HCl is effective for platelets and is also used on plasma, but may cause changes both to plasma and to platelets, although these are not significant for hemostasis. S-303 FRALE may lead to neoantigens in erythrocytes and is less indicated for red-cell treatment; in such cases, PEN 110 is recommended. Thus, none of the methods for pathogen reduction is effective for all classes of agents and for all blood components, but despite the high cost, these photoinactivators may diminish the risk of blood-transmitted diseases.
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Introduction

The current processes for pathogen inactivation in blood therapy components (red cell concentrate, platelets, plasma or cryoprecipitate) involve contact by the inactivation agent and subsequent removal processes by systems integrated with the collection and processing procedure1. These processes may cause damage to the blood components, thereby resulting in shortening the in vivo lifespan of red cells or platelets, or diminished levels of coagulation proteins in fresh frozen plasma2.

Photodynamics is a technologival platform that uses a combination of a photosensitizer, light and oxygen to achieve selective destruction of a target46. This method can be used to target the viral genome and to perform hemotherapy. The following examples of action can be highlighted: photosensitizing stains such as methylene blue (MB), for viral inactivation of plasma components and derivatives; porosens (amotosalen HCl ou S-59), for pathogen reduction in platelet and plasma units; S-303 FRALE (frangible anchor linker effector) compound and inactin (PEN 110), both used for viral inactivation in red cells; and riboflavin (vitamin B12), with action on plasma, blood derivatives, platelets and red cells46.

The MB molecule is relatively unstable when subjected to electron excitation, and can undergo a photodynamic reaction known as a triplet excited state, thus facilitating the photodynamic action46. This compound can bind to DNA in two ways: outside the DNA helix or intercalated between its nucleotides. Its mechanism of action consists of guanine-specific clevage46.

Porosens are small planar molecules that cross through cell membranes and viral capsids and intercalate between nucleic acids46. During illumination with ultraviolet light (UVA), porosens (S-59) reacts with the pyramidal bases of DNA or RNA to form covalent bonds within and between nucleic acids. Since the target of S-59 treatment is nucleic acid, it does not impede prion transmission. Prions do not contain these biomolecules and can cause a variant of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and, possibly, serious chronic diseases47.

The S-303 FRALE compound is capable of binding to nucleic acids after activation through changes in local pH. This compound is an alkylating agent derived from a quinacrine mustard that belongs to a class of compounds linked to drug delivery systems. The mechanism of action of S-303 consists of binding to nucleic acids. S-303 is used for pathogen inactivation in red blood cells46.

In the treatment process using the inactin PEN 110, there is a coherent interaction with nucleophilic centers of nucleic acids (predominantly with N7 of the guanine residues), followed by methylation. This results in the spontaneous opening of the imidazole ring of the guanine and produces a modified base (O6-methylguanine) that acts as a potent inactivator of DNA polymerase46. Modification of the base may also produce non-basic sites, which represent a stop sign for DNA and RNA polymerase46.
Vitamin B12, or riboflavin, is an active compound for each of the main components of blood without causing significant damage to these structures, including for use in red cells\textsuperscript{4,9}. Joshi\textsuperscript{10} proved that the photodynamic reaction between riboflavin and light generated the superoxide radicals $O_2$ and $HO_2$, thus causing damage to nucleic acids. Since this is a substance found naturally in the organism (along with its metabolites, including those induced by photo-irradiation), it has so far not been considered to be mutagenic or toxic and its removal is unnecessary.

The photoinactivators mentioned above are the ones that have been studied most in relation to use in treating blood and its derivatives. When these inactivation techniques interact with proteins, this may cause cell signal transduction errors, deficiencies in cell respiration routes or structural abnormalities. Chemical bonding to nucleic acids or intercalation in the chains of these acids leads to transcription errors, translation errors or replication of the microorganism\textsuperscript{2-7}.

Choosing an appropriate photoactivator is important for success in viral inactivation of blood and its derivatives. Therefore, it is relevant to investigate the mechanisms of action and chemical properties of inactivation agents, in order to improve this process. Reviewing the literature provides an excellent guide for observing the way in which this concept in hemotherapy has evolved. In this manner, the present study had the aim of conducting a systematic review on photoinactivators with action on viral genomes that are used in hemotherapy.

**Methods**

This was a systematic review of the literature, of descriptive and exploratory nature. The work was developed in a sequence of methodological stages as follows: definition of the problem; objectives of the study; inclusion criteria; search for studies; critical evaluation of studies; data gathering; and data synthesis.

The electronic search strategy made use of the following descriptors: viral inactivation; chemoprevention; inactivators (limited to those used in hemotherapy with action on viral genetic material); viral inactivation in hemotherapy; and photoinactivation of blood. These descriptors were cross-referenced with hemovigilance and transfusion safety. The search was conducted in the SciELO, Science Direct, PubMed and Lilacs databases and was limited to the period from 2000 to 2010.

The inclusion criteria were that the articles should make reference to viral photoinactivation in hemotherapy and to transfusion safety, and should be published in Portuguese or English. From this, the following were selected for analysis: transfusion safety (studies dealing with means for ensuring safety in using blood and its derivatives); inactivation of blood and its derivatives (articles dealing with use of inactivators in hemotherapy); photoinactivators with action on viral genetic material (studies in which the inactivator acted on the viral genome); and chemistry and mechanism of action of viral photoinactivators (studies describing how the chemical structure of the inactivator interacted with the viral genome during the inactivation).

Articles were selected based on the titles and abstracts of indexed published papers in Brazilian and foreign periodicals that were accessed in full and were found to relate to viral inactivation in hemotherapy. Articles in which the inactivator studied did not have any action on the DNA/RNA, those in which the inactivator was not used on blood and its derivatives and those in which there was no clinical application were excluded.

To gather data, a form was created in order to transcribe the following identification data on the article: title, periodical (volume, number, page and year), authors (including country of origin) and language (English or Portuguese); and the following data relating to the research: photoinactivator studied, blood component treated, effectiveness of the inactivator, results obtained and conclusion.

After reading the abstracts, a total of 70 studies were identified. Of these, 15 were excluded because of the title, abstract or date and 55 were potentially relevant to the investigation. Thirty-one other articles were excluded because they dealt with inactivators that did not act on DNA/RNA or were not used in hemotherapy, or furthermore, did not have any clinical use because they were considered to be toxic, too expensive or of doubtful effectiveness. Twenty-four articles were selected, and these were read carefully in full, given that they met the inclusion criteria and that their results were considered to be valid for inclusion in this study. The flow chart below (Figure 1) illustrates the selection sequence for the articles.
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Results

A total of 24 articles fulfilled the selection criteria for this study. The country with most published papers on this topic was the United States (fifteen), followed by the United Kingdom (five), Brazil (two), Canada (one) and Spain (one).

Regarding the years of publication, it was noted that the majority of the articles were published in the years 2001, 2002 and 2003. Amotosalen (S-59) was the most studied substance (15 studies), followed by PEN 110 (14 studies) and riboflavin (13 studies). Methylene blue was covered in 12 studies and S-303 FRALE in 11 studies, with data of interest for the present review. It should be noted that some of the studies presented reviews on several photoinactivators.

Table 1 presents the five photoinactivators studied here, the blood component treated and the advantages and disadvantages of using them. Three studies emphasized the advantages of using methylene blue and affirmed that this photoinactivator was not only effective but also did not cause damage to plasma components(4,5,11). Another study says it caused less hemolysis and bonding to immunoglobulins during storage and another states that it ceased to cause damage to cells when the light source was removed(2,12).

Also on methylene blue, another four studies reported that it had the disadvantages of diminishing the quality of the treated product, with qualitative and quantitative losses in coagulation factors and fibrinogen and another study says that it damaged red cells, and yet another says that it did not inactivate non-enveloped viruses and did not penetrate into membranes, such that it was incapable of inactivating intracellular pathogens(2,13-17).

S-59, which was used on plasma and platelets, was not genotoxic, phototoxic or carcinogenic and was effective for enveloped and non-enveloped viruses, gram-positive and negative bacteria and protozoa(14,18). However, it could lead to structural damage and diminished platelet counts and was not indicated for treating red cells(9,19,20) (Table 1).

S-303 FRALE, which was used in treating red cells, could lead to the formation of neoantigens on the surface of erythrocytes after repeated treatments and, in addition to alkylating nucleic acids, could also alkylate proteins(16,18).

Riboflavin diminished the activity of coagulation factors, especially factor VIII, and the photodynamic reaction may affect other molecules, but it was effective against bacteria, enveloped viruses, protozoa, leukocytes and some non-enveloped viruses, as

| Table 1 - Advantages and disadvantages of using inactivators on blood components |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| **Inactivator** | **Blood component** | **Advantages** | **Disadvantages** |
| Methylene blue | Plasma | Causes less hemolysis and binding to immunoglobulins during storage(4) | Diminution of fibrinogen and factors VII and VIII(13) |
| | | Cell damage ceases when removed from exposure to the light source(5) | Diminution of fibrinogen and factor VIII(15) |
| | | Not genotoxic, phototoxic or carcinogenic(6) | Coagulation factor levels 5 to 47% lower than in untreated fresh frozen plasma(16) |
| S-59 | Platelets | Effective against enveloped and non-enveloped viruses, Gram-positive and negative bacteria and protozoa(14) | Damages the red cell membrane(17) |
| | Plasma | Effective against enveloped viruses, protozoa, leukocytes and some non-enveloped viruses(20) | Does not inactivate non-enveloped viruses(21) |
| | Red cells | No antibodies against red cells were detected 4 to 5 days after transfusion of red cells treated with S-303(10) | In addition to alkylating nucleic acids, it may alkylate proteins(17) |
| S-303 FRALE | Plasma | Effective against bacteria, enveloped viruses, protozoa, leukocytes and some non-enveloped viruses(16) | It may lead to neoantigen formation after repeated treatments on erythrocytes(18) |
| | Red cells | Diminished coagulation factor activity (0-15%). For factor VIII, the diminution may reach 30%(18) | In addition to alkylating nucleic acids, it may alkylate proteins(18) |
| Riboflavin | Platelets | Not mutagenic and not carcinogenic(14) | The photoinactivation reaction may affect other molecules(8) |
| | Red cells | Preservation of platelet integrity, morphology and function(22) | The safety of photoproducts generated during inactivation using riboflavin is uncertain(22) |
| PEN 110 | Red cells | Leads to formation of antibodies against red cells(9) | It is an ethylenimine and may alkylate proteins(16) |
well as being non-mutagenic and non-carcinogenic and preserving
the morphological and functional integrity of platelets\(^2,14,16,21,22\).

PEN 110 was used for treating red cells. It was the only
one of these inactivators that did not require light for it to be
activated\(^2\). It did not damage platelets or erythrocytes, but had
the disadvantages that saline washes to remove its residues were
required after the treatment and that its use led to the formation of
antibodies against red cells (Table 1)\(^2,8,9\).

Discussion

The data showed that the USA was the country with the
greatest number of published papers. The USA is a self-sufficient
producer of blood derivatives, and this topic has been of interest
there since 1980, the year when HIV was discovered. European
countries such as France and Germany are important investors
in photoinactivation within hemotherapy, but do not come close to
the leading position of American-authored papers, where
methylene blue was first used in plasma in the 1990s\(^2\).

In Brazil, the blood derivative industry has not yet become
consolidated, and this topic remains underexplored. Dependence
on external suppliers has also contributed towards a lack of
investment in research on photoinactivation within hemotherapy.
The three photoinactivators that have been studied the most are
S-59, riboflavin and PEN 110. These three photoinactivators
have a broad spectrum of action and practically do not have any
toxicity, and this has led to increasing numbers of studies on
them. Some comparative studies have presented methodologies
of greater effectiveness and lower cost, such that clinical use of
these substances may become viable in the near future\(^2,4,8,17,18\).

It has also been observed that methylene blue diminishes
coagulation factors and fibrinogen in plasma and does not inactivate
intracellular viruses because it does not cross membranes. According
to Pelletier et al.\(^2\), this diminution is secondary to the oxidation
of histidine residues and other amino acids, and the difficulty in
crossing membranes is due to the hydrophobic nature of methylene
blue at a concentration of 5 mM which impedes its penetration
into plasma membranes. S-59 is inappropriate for treating red cells
because it uses UVA light, which is absorbed by hemoglobin and
causes qualitative and quantitative damage to platelets, but without
hemostatic significance\(^8,10,19,20\). It prolongs PT (prothrombin time)
and APTT (Active Partial Thromboplastin Time) and diminishes
the activity of factor VII, factor VIII and fibrinogen. Riboflavin has been studied most because it is
a photoinactivator of endogenous origin and has few collateral
effects. Amotosalen HCl, which is effective for platelets and
also used for plasma, may cause changes both to plasma and to
platelets, but without significance for hemostasis. S-303 FRALE
may lead to neoantigens in erythrocytes and is less indicated for
treating red cells; PEN 110 is the agent of choice in such cases.

Thus, it can be concluded that the pathogen reduction
technology consisting of photoinactivators is complementary to
pre-transfusion triage. However, interference with certain blood
constituents limits the use of some components, and the efficacy
of inactivation also depends on the nature of the microorganism
to be inactivated. In this way, none of these methods will be
effective for all classes of agents and for all blood components.

Doubts remain regarding whether greater investments in these
techniques might compensate for their high implementation cost
and ensure the quality and quantity of safe hemotherapy products.
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