The comprehensive review of the neurovascular supply of the ankle joint: clinical implications
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Abstract: The rupture of ligament in the lateral part of ankle joint is a common injury and can lead to chronic ankle instability and lead to ankle osteoarthritis. Ankle arthroscopy is considered as a standard option to treat various ankle problems due to the need for only minimal incisions and fewer complications when compared to open surgery. However, there are complications associated with arthroscopic surgery e.g. damage to the anatomical structures around the portal placement areas. The present review provides anatomical knowledge of the superficial and deep neurovascular structures in the ankle region. These structures are important when ankle surgery is performed in order to avoid any intraoperative injury and prevent any complication following surgery.
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Introduction

The ankle or talocrural joint is one of the most commonly injured joint compare to the other joint in human body while sprain or rupture of ankle ligaments are usually occurred in sport and in activities associated with daily living [1]. The lateral ligament complex in the lateral part of ankle joint is the most often damaged part of the ankle [2, 3]. Approximately, 85% of injuries to the ankle are lateral ankle sprains [4]. Approximately 20% of acute rupture of ligament can develop into chronic instability of the ankle [5] which results from repetitive ankle sprains and incomplete healing of ligaments after injury [6, 7].

Nowadays, ankle arthroscopy is a minimal invasive technique, which is used to solve the problem of chronic lateral ankle instability when conservative management is unsuccessful. Since this technique requires minimal surgery and fewer complications a detailed and morphological structures of the ligament in the normal condition is necessary and needed to define for safety and effective in surgical treatment [8, 9]. The complication rate in arthroscopic surgery for reconstruction the ligament in lateral part of ankle joint has been reported to be in the range of 9% to 17%, and the most common complication from surgery is damage to the neurovascular structures. The superficial peroneal nerve (SPN) is one of the most commonly injured structures, about 3% to 8.5% of all arthroscopic complications [10-13]. It is not only the SPN that injured, however, as there are several anatomical structures which are also at risk of injury when treated using the arthroscopic approach or ankle surgery. The present review discusses the anatomical structures around the ankle joint especially in the lateral part which are important in arthroscopic surgery and these include the common peroneal, superficial peroneal, deep peroneal nerves and the dorsalis pedis artery.
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The comprehensive review of the neurovascular supply of the ankle joint

Anatomy of the Neurovascular Supply to the Ankle Joint

The common fibular (peroneal) nerve

The common fibular (peroneal) nerve or CPN is a small terminal branch from sciatic nerve, which is located on the lateral site of the leg and passes superficially to the neck of the fibula and separates into two terminal branches, the superficial peroneal or SPN and deep peroneal nerves or DPN. Damage to the CPN is most commonly found in all peripheral nerve injuries of the lower extremity. The CPN can be injured at various locations of the thigh and leg. Injury may be due to trauma or accidents which involve fracture of the femur and fibula especially in the fibular head region, bullet wounds, and direct injury. Traumatic causes may include accidents from motor vehicle wounds, fractures and postoperative entrapment. A research study studied the anatomical characteristics of the CPN, gross morphological study of the nerve and branching pattern, and surrounding structures i.e. the bones and the muscles in order to create a protocol for pre-operative and post-operative evaluation of patients undergoing surgical treatment [14]. A total of 20 lower legs of cadavers were dissected and used to carry out a study of the branching pattern of the CPN and its anatomical characteristic properties. The nerve divided in lower leg into 3 equal parts: the proximal, middle and distal, the dissection showed 76.5% of motor branches of the common peroneal nerve found in the proximal one third of the leg, and showed 19.5% of motor branches of the common peroneal nerve located in the middle part of leg, around 3.8% showed the motor branches located in distal one third of leg. Hence, the researchers could investigate the safe zone for avoiding any injury to the CPN and its branches.

The superficial fibular (peroneal) nerve

The superficial fibular (peroneal) nerve or SPN is one of the terminal branches of the CPN which is the nerve of the lateral compartment of the leg providing branches to innervate the muscles such as peroneus longus and peroneus brevis muscles. The SPN becomes a superficial nerve to innervate the skin on the distal part of the anterior surface of the leg and dorsal part of the foot. Takao et al. [17] studied the anatomy of the structures of the lower leg including the DPN and SPN to inform the placing the incision portal for ankle arthroscopy. A total of 51 ankles from 29 fresh cadavers which were aged between 51 and 95 years were used in the study. The study was conducted on gross dissection of the SPN and classified into 5 types. The results showed many different types of branching pattern of the SPN in the lower leg. At the ankle joint line, two branches of the SPN were found, in 32% of all specimens. Branches of the SPN were found in 11.8% cases, just lateral to the border of the tendon of peroneus tertius muscle while in 27.5% of all dissections, branches of SPN just medial to the border of peronius tertius muscle and tendon were found. This suggests that to avoid damage to the SPN and prevent complications the anterocentral portal is inappropriate for arthroscopy. Barrett et al. [18] performed a study into the lower leg to investigate the morphological variation of the SPN. A total of 75 specimens were dissected to improve further knowledge about the anatomical variations of the SPN in the middle one third of the lower leg. The results showed that the SPN was found in all compartments of the leg, most commonly in the lateral com-
Arteries in the lateral and medial parts of ankle joint

Pseudoaneurysms at the level of the ankle joint are rare and can develop following an ankle sprain, ankle fracture or as a complication after ankle arthroscopy [25]. There are case reports of pseudoaneurysms involving the anterior tibial artery occurring after ankle arthroscopic surgery [25, 26]. The dorsalis pedis artery (DPA) is the continuation of the anterior tibial artery and passes through the anterior surface of the ankle joint. When ankle surgery is considered in order to solve an ankle problem, the DPA and its branches are some of the structures that can be injured when surgery is performed. Previous studies have demonstrated the variation of branching pattern of the DPA. Researchers performed a study on anatomical variation of the branching pattern of the DPA and found a wide variation in the location of arterial branches crossing the ankle joint [27]. Few other researchers studied the origin, branching pattern and direction of the anterior medial malleolar artery (AMMA), conducting the study on Japanese cadavers using computed tomography (CT) imaging [25]. The results showed variations in the origin of the AMMA at the level of the ankle joint line, below the ankle joint line and above the ankle joint line. The surgeon needs to be extremely aware of this during ankle arthroscopy surgery.

Injury and etiology of the structure in the lateral part of ankle

The position of the foot and ankle in plantar flexion, inversion and internal rotation of ankle joint are mechanisms of injury frequently found in damage to lateral ankle ligament as a result of complete or incomplete tear of anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) that is the most thin and weakest ligament in the lateral part of ankle joint and calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) leading to chronic ankle instability [28, 29]. Approximately 75% of common ankle sprains involve a complete tearing of ligaments [30]. The ATFL tears in 80% patients, while the rupture both of ATFL and CFL occurs in the remaining 20% [29-31]. A rupture of only ATFL and rupture both of ATFL and CFL, results in increased anterior displacement [32]. Conservative, nonsurgical treatment is considered as the primary treatment for most of the ankle ligament injuries. Although ankle stability was restored in most patients with nonsurgical treatment, in between 10% and 40% of cases conservative treatment was unsuccessful and patients developed chronic ankle joint instability with the presence of persistent pain, symptomatic, mechanical...
and functional instability and surgery was necessary to restore complete mobility.

**Current treatment of chronic ankle instability**

The primary management of chronic ankle instability for restoring stability of the ankle is conservative treatment [33, 34]. Secondary management is to prevent complications after injury, such as ankle joint instability which leads to ankle osteoarthritis. Conservative treatment includes the Rest, Ice, Compression, and Elevation techniques and provision of ankle support [35]. Surgical treatment is considered to solve these problems when conservative treatment is not successful, and the ligaments become lax [36, 37]. Recently, many surgical procedures were established to decrease the laxity of ligament. The reconstruction of ligaments can be divided into two techniques, specifically anatomical and non-anatomical reconstructions [38, 39]. Anatomical reconstructions yield good outcomes in the long term when compared to non-anatomical reconstruction [40-42].

**Minimal invasive techniques**

Arthroscopic reconstruction of ligaments is a minimal invasive surgical procedure. The outcomes of the technique has improved steadily over the past 20 years. Precise knowledge of the anatomy of the location and attachment areas of each ligament is necessary in order to perform anatomic reconstruction to address ankle instability problems successfully and also to have minimal complications after surgery. A search of extant literature revealed many studies which considered the ligament in the lateral part of ankle joint in terms of gross variation of each ligament, the appropriate tendon for all autografts and allografts and the success rate of reconstructive techniques for surgery. Few studies focus on the footprint to provide a basic knowledge of the attachment area of the ligament in the lateral part of ankle. Basic knowledge of the anatomical structures in the ankle region are essential for orthopaedic surgeons in choosing the site for the minimal invasive technique giving fewer complications for their patients [43-46].

**Anatomical study related to arthroscopic surgery**

There are many important anatomical structures at the ankle joint level. When performing surgical procedures such as ankle arthroscopic surgery for repair or reconstruction of the lateral ligament, a clear understanding of the surrounding structures is important to help the surgeon to avoid complications. There has been a lack of thorough anatomical study defining the safe zones and structures at risk from arthroscopic repair. There are many previous studies that have focused on the anatomical structures related to the surgical treatment including the neurovascular structures. According to researchers who studied the anatomical structures surrounding the lateral part of ankle joint, both superficial and deep structures are at risk after arthroscopic surgery to solve lateral ankle ligament problems [47]. The results showed the high risk of entrapment of the tendons in the anterior compartment of leg and entrapment of the SPN especially in which intermediate branch occurred in two ankle specimens from five ankle specimens. Researchers conducted a study on 30 ankles of 15 fresh cadavers for the safety zone for the surgical approach for arthroscopy by placing 2 portals to the subtalar arthrodesis [48]. They suggested that the two lateral portals approach may effective and safe for ankle arthroscopic arthrodesis of the posterior subtalar joint. Other researchers conducted an anatomical study on 15 lower legs from fresh cadavers to determine the relationship between the anatomical structures in the lateral part of ankle that may be impacted in arthroscopic repair [49]. Awareness needs to be raised about the variation in origin of the ATFL to provide a safety area to better approach for ATFL repair through minimal invasive surgery. The results showed the greatest variation in the location of the SPN and demonstrated that the mean distance from the SPN to the ATFL was 25±6 mm. Injury to the SPN is an important complication which is found in arthroscopic ATFL repair, suggesting that approximately 22 mm from the lateral malleolus, there was a high risk of damage to the SPN in the anterior direction of the ankle.

**Conclusion**

Ankle arthroscopy is frequently used to solve chronic ankle instability, which is a minimal invasive technique that can reduce complications after surgery. There are various anatomical structures that may be damaged during surgery. In this review, we highlighted the anatomical basis of neurovascular structures in the lateral part of ankle joint related to the clinical implications specific to the arthroscopic procedure.
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