Prevalence of classical swine fever in Karnataka, India
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Abstract

Aim: The present study was conducted to know the current scenario of classical swine fever (CSF) in Bengaluru Urban, Bengaluru Rural, Chikkaballapur, Madikeri, Mandya, Bagalkot, Gadag, Yadgir, Koppal, and Bidar districts of Karnataka with the using of both antigen and antibody ELISA.

Materials and Methods: We collected 218 sera and 121 blood samples from pigs from 10 different districts of Karnataka. Screening of sera for CSF IgG antibody and whole blood for CSF virus antigen were carried out using the CSF virus (CSFV) antibody and antigen ELISA kits, respectively.

Results: The mean seroprevalence was 41% (89/218) and prevalence of CSFV antigen in blood samples was 32% (39/121) for the 10 districts of Karnataka. Seroprevalence of 61%, 29%, 20%, and 21%; and antigen prevalence of 40%, 50%, 13%, and 12% were recorded for Bangalore, Mysore, Belgaum, and Gulbarga divisions of Karnataka, respectively.

Conclusions: The study revealed an alarmingly high prevalence of CSF, both for the antigen (32%) and antibody (41%) in Karnataka. Southern Karnataka has the highest seroprevalence (61% in Bangalore and 29% in Mysore divisions), which confirms the endemicity of the disease in that region. This could be attributed to the intensive pig farming practices in the region as compared to Northern Karnataka (Seroprevalence of 20% in Belgaum and 21% in Gulbarga divisions), where the commercial pig farming is still in infantile stages.
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Introduction

Classical swine fever (CSF) is a highly contagious disease of swine that has caused major economic losses in industrialized pig producing countries around the world [1]. CSF virus (CSFV), the causative agent of CSF, is a member of the genus Pestivirus, which belongs to the family Flaviviridae. CSF is a World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) listed disease and outbreaks are reportable, with resultant trade sanctions against the affected countries [2]. CSF is one of the top five viral diseases of livestock in India (foot and mouth disease, bluetongue, pestes des petits ruminants, sheep and goat pox, CSF) and is a major constraint to the development of pig farming in the country [3]. The disease has been successfully eradicated from Canada (1963) and the United States of America (1976), and has been under effective control within European Union in recent years [4]. However, the situation of recurrent CSF epidemics in Asia, Latin America, East Europe, and former USSR area is still serious. In Asia, especially, the reported cases in 2004 increased by nearly 20% when compared to 2003. Recently, outbreaks re-emerged in South Africa, which had been free of CSF since 1918 [5]. CSF is highly endemic in adjoining countries like China [6], and there are recent reports of the disease from Nepal [7] and Bhutan [8]. As with other OIE listed diseases, many countries have insufficient resources to undertake adequate surveillance. This, along with political and economic pressures which tend to shift focus from disease surveillance, and the masking effect of vaccination, are likely to result in an under-reporting of the true extent of the disease worldwide [2].

The first documented report of CSF in India dates back to 1962, where an outbreak in a pig-ery unit in Morol, a suburb of Mumbai (formerly Bombay), and later in other parts of the city were described [9]. There are also reports of outbreaks of the disease by Krishnamurthy and Adlakha [10], Damodaran et al. [11] and Saini et al. [12] from the states of Uttar Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, and Punjab, respectively. A compilation of data from OIE website indicates that there were 1308 outbreaks of CSF in India during 1996-2008 [13]. The disease is also most frequently reported from Karnataka due to considerable density of pigs in the state [14].
Because of the sporadic nature of the disease and the lower preference for the pig farming (barring North-Eastern states) in India, CSF has not been studied systematically and therefore epidemiology of the disease is largely not fully understood [15]. Occurrence of CSFV genotype 1.1 and more recently dominance of genotype 2.2 were documented in Karnataka [13,16] and there are reports that the currently dominating phylogenetic Group 2 has been replaced the historical groups (1.1, 1.2 and 1.3) [17].

However, there are not much data available on the seroprevalence of CSFV infection in Karnataka. The present study was undertaken to obtain the baseline epidemiological information on the prevalence of CSF by analyzing both antigen and antibody in whole blood and serum, respectively.

### Materials and Methods

#### Ethical approval

Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of Veterinary College, KVAFSU, Hebbal, Bangalore has accorded permission for the collection of blood from pigs for the study.

#### Sampling and test procedure

Blood (n=121) and serum samples (n=218) were collected from pigs inappropriate vacutainer tubes from 10 different districts of Karnataka during September, 2013 to July, 2014 (Figure-1). Clotted blood samples were spun at 1100-1300 rpm for 15 min, sera separated and stored at 20°C until tested. Screening of whole blood for CSF antigen and sera for CSF antibody was carried out using the CSFV antigen and antibody ELISA kits (IDEXX Laboratories, Netherlands), respectively. For antigen ELISA, samples were considered positive when the corrected absorbance values were $\geq 0.30$, negative when $<0.20$, and doubtful between 0.20 and 0.30, requiring re-testing. In antibody ELISA testing, samples were considered negative when the blocking percentage was $\leq 30\%$ and positive when $\geq 40\%$. The samples were considered suspicious if the values were between 30% and 40%, and were retested at later date.

#### Statistical analysis

Univariate descriptive statistical analysis was used to calculate the percent positivity of antigen prevalence, seroprevalence and also to draw the significant inference.

### Results and Discussion

The study was conducted to determine the prevalence of CSF in Karnataka. The mean prevalence of CSFV antigen in blood samples was 32% (39/121) and seroprevalence was 41% (89/218) for the 10 districts of Karnataka. Prevalence by antigen ELISA was shown to be 40%, 50%, 13%, and 12% and seroprevalence was 61%, 29%, 20%, and 21% for Bangalore, Mysore, Belgaum, and Gulbarga divisions of Karnataka, respectively (Tables-1 and 2).

The results of samples from Bangalore and Mysore divisions were found to be statistically significant (p<0.01) when compared to samples from Belgaum and Gulbarga divisions. The incumbent changes were seen in samples from Bangalore and Mysore divisions both for antigen and seroprevalence.

During 2014, a CSF study conducted in Karnataka showed an overall 33% (173/517) and 43%, 33%, 12%, and 6% of seroprevalences recorded for Bangalore, Mysore, Gulbarga, and Belgaum divisions, respectively [18].

An alarmingly high prevalence of CSF antigen (32%) and antibodies (41%) in Karnataka, and notable prevalence of disease in the northern region where the piggery is still in primitive stages, was revealed. The regional distribution of antigen and seroprevalence reveals that Southern Karnataka (40% and 61% in Bangalore respectively, and 50% and 29% in Mysore divisions accordingly) has the highest CSF prevalence, confirming the endemicity of the disease in that region. The Northern part of Karnataka showed a lower antigen and seroprevalence when compared to the South (13% and 20% in Belgaum respectively, and 12% and 21% in Gulbarga divisions accordingly).

The high prevalence of CSF in the southern region was attributed to a large number of pig farms when compared to the North, and regular procurement of piglets from pig breeding farms located in the adjoining border areas of Tamil Nadu and Kerala, where there were no CSF vaccination campaigns. The low prevalence of the disease in North Karnataka is probably because of the presence of less organized pig farms in the region, due to non-consumption of pork by the residents for social and cultural reasons.
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Table-1: Seroprevalence of classical swine fever in different regions of Karnataka.

| Division | Districts         | Location       | Number of serum samples collected | Number of samples positive by antibody ELISA | Percent positivity |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Bangalore | Bangalore Urban   | Aagara         | 08                                | 02                                          | 25.00              |
|          |                   | Buduregere     | 17                                | 12                                          | 70.58              |
|          |                   | Somasheettyhalli | 19                                | 10                                          | 52.63              |
| Bangalore Rural | Thathanur       | 07             | 07                                | 100                                         |                    |
|          |                   | Hosalipalya    | 17                                | 17                                          | 100                |
|          |                   | Navaratna Agrahara | 23                                | 11                                          | 47.82              |
| Chikkaballapur | Bagepalli       | 12             | 04                                | 33.33                                       |                    |
|          |                   | Total          | 103                               | 63                                          | 61.16*             |
| Mysore   | Madikeri          | Kadagadalu     | 13                                | 05                                          | 38.46              |
|          | Mandya            | Doddarasinakere | 11                                | 02                                          | 18.18              |
|          | Total             |                | 24                                | 07                                          | 29.16*             |
| Belgaum  | Bagalkot          | Bevoor         | 24                                | 04                                          | 16.66              |
|          | Gadag             | Mundaragi      | 20                                | 05                                          | 25.00              |
|          | Total             |                | 44                                | 09                                          | 20.45              |
| Gulbarga | Yadgir            | Kodekal        | 22                                | 07                                          | 31.81              |
|          | Koppal            | Hanamasagar    | 21                                | 00                                          | 00                 |
|          | Bidar             | Chintaki       | 04                                | 03                                          | 75.00              |
|          | Total             |                | 47                                | 10                                          | 21.27              |
| Total    |                   |                | 218                               | 89                                          | 40.82              |

*Statistically significant (p<0.01)

Table-2: Prevalence of classical swine fever by antigen ELISA in different regions of Karnataka.

| Division | Districts         | Location       | Number of Blood samples collected | Number of samples positive by Antigen ELISA | Percent positivity |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Bangalore | Bangalore Urban   | Aagara         | 08                                | 05                                          | 62.50              |
|          |                   | Buduregere     | 14                                | 04                                          | 28.57              |
|          |                   | Somasheettyhalli | 10                                | 01                                          | 10.00              |
| Bangalore Rural | Thathanur       | 06             | 03                                | 50.00                                       |                    |
|          |                   | Hosalipalya    | 11                                | 05                                          | 45.45              |
|          |                   | Navaratna Agrahara | 08                                | 05                                          | 62.50              |
| Chikkaballapur | Bagepalli       | -              | -                                | -                                           |                    |
|          |                   | Total          | 57                                | 23                                          | 40.35*             |
| Mysore   | Madikeri          | Kadagadalu,    | 13                                | 07                                          | 53.84              |
|          | Mandya            | Doddarasinakere | 09                                | 04                                          | 44.44              |
|          | Total             |                | 22                                | 11                                          | 50.00*             |
| Belgaum  | Bagalkot          | Bevoor         | 16                                | 02                                          | 12.50              |
|          | Gadag             | Mundaragi      | -                                 | -                                           | -                  |
|          | Total             |                | 16                                | 02                                          | 12.50              |
| Gulbarga | Yadgir            | Kodekal        | 13                                | 02                                          | 15.38              |
|          | Koppal            | Hanamasagar    | 13                                | 01                                          | 07.69              |
|          | Bidar             | Chintaki       | -                                 | -                                           | -                  |
|          | Total             |                | 26                                | 03                                          | 11.53              |
| Total    |                   |                | 121                               | 39                                          | 32.23              |

*Statistically significant (p<0.01)

Bangalore during 2012-2013 showed the seroprevalence of CSF in Kerala and Tamil Nadu to be approximately 77% (31/40) and 100% (10/10), respectively [14]. This was probably due to the high prevalence of CSF in these states, which spread to neighboring South Karnataka due to procurement of piglets, resulting in the observed high antigen and seroprevalences. Nandi et al. [19] reported CSF seroprevalence of 63% from 12 different states of India during 2004-2010 and 53% prevalence in Southern India alone.

Sero-surveillance, especially using techniques such as ELISA, is highly useful in disease eradication programs as it helps for mass screening and is effective in early diagnosis in nearby regions of an outbreak and becomes a good tool for surveillance of negative herds in countries where monitoring of the disease is being practiced. Prevalence based on the presence of viral antigen in the sample is generally used to estimate the incidence of the disease and when a non-vaccinated herd is positive for CSF-specific antibodies, it can be considered as an indicative of a CSF disease outbreak. The present study confirms the prevalence of the disease in various regions of Karnataka as it allowed screening of samples representing all the areas of the state.

Conclusions

The study revealed an alarmingly high prevalence of CSF, both for the antigen (32%) and antibody...
(41%) in Karnataka. Southern Karnataka has the highest seroprevalence (61% in Bangalore and 29% in Mysore divisions), which confirms the endemicity of the disease in that region. This could be attributed to the intensive pig farming practices in the region as compared to Northern Karnataka (Seroprevalence of 20% in Belgaum and 21% in Gulbarga divisions), where the commercial pig farming is still in infantile stages.
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