Abstract
Crustal rocks have a magnetic memory that may endure for millions or even billions of years due to composition of iron oxides. The secret behind that permanence lies in the high temperatures to which the minerals were exposed—near their Curie temperatures of several hundred degrees Celsius—while cooling in Earth’s magnetic field and in the stabilizing influence of the cooling process itself. This memory is known as thermal remanent magnetization (TRM). It is much more resistant to subsequent fields than the more conversant remanent magnetization due to fields applied at ambient temperatures in a computer’s hard drive, for example. Still, a small fraction of the TRM responds to and records Earth’s field during later heating events, such as the burial of the rocks during mountain building/plate subduction.
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Introduction
Rock magnetism is the study of rock properties of rocks and its magnetic minerals. A very significant issue in rock magnetic studies relates to the deduction on the magnetic remanence carriers, and how the rocks became magnetized. We review present knowledge on the importance of natural magnetic phases, how to identify them, how they were formed, and what their magnetic behavior is.1,2

Iron is by far the most abundant transition element in the solar system. Largely, rock magnetic studies rely on the magnetic iron-bearing minerals: the iron-nickels (principally important for extraterrestrial magnetic studies); the iron-oxides: magnetite, maghemite, and hematite; the iron-oxyhydroxides goethite and ferrhydrite; and the iron-sulfides: greigite and pyrrhotite.

Rock magnetic studies of host rocks and ore minerals produce information on the concentration, composition, and microstructure of the magnetic mineral fraction. This is important because of quantitative interpretation of detected magneto metric anomalies. This information is also needed for the investigation of problems related to the magnetic history of rocks and anomalies in the geomagnetic field, and to problems in geophysics and geochemistry. Data on rock magnetic properties can restrict the range of possible models, particularly when magnetic properties are methodically obtained as part of complementary data from geological boreholes and other geophysical information. Thus, rock magnetic parameters are crucial for a better understanding of the relationships of geology and rock magnetism and magnetic anomalies. This relation is complex because of the need of magnetic signature with respect to a rock unit, structure, lithology and geologic history.

Great advances in data acquisition, processing, satellite navigation, and image processing of high-resolution aeromagnetic surveys, resulted in a critical need for a better appreciation of these relationships. Aeromagnetic surveys supply information on sources at all depths within the crust: they have been widely used for decades in mineral and petroleum exploration. Software now allows for advanced modeling. Magnetic interpretation has the potential for modeling rock bodies; however, a major restrictive factor has been the non-uniqueness of solutions of models. The two most important constraints on magnetic models are reliability of magnetic properties and of petrophysical data, and the known geological structure. Without a solid constraint, the non-uniqueness in potential field data limits the amount of information and knowledge we can retrieve from magnetic surveys. Given the amount of money spent on acquisition of magnetic data, and the use of magnetic surveys in exploration, detailed studies of rock-magnetic properties will strongly be needed to support modeling of magnetic anomalies.

At present, it is recognized that rock magnetic information assists in optimizing magnetic anomaly interpretations, especially for magnetite-bearing ores and related rocks. The intensity of remanent magnetism varies greatly in rocks and Fe-ores, and it may exceed the intensity of induced magnetization implying high Q-ratio values.3 Sometimes, scattered paleomagnetic directions from exposed outcrops suggest the effect of lightning strikes and imply extremely high values of magnetization. Several authors have focused on different aspects, e.g., relationships between petrology, observed aeromagnetic anomalies and physical properties to define geological and structural units and the mapping of these units to establish a correspondence between magnetic petrology and rock-magnetic properties.

Physics of magnetism
Basically, all materials are magnetic since they have electrons that have magnetic moments and electron’s motion results in currents with their associated magnetic fields. Magnetism is often subdivided into: induced magnetization and permanent magnetization. Induced magnetization is described by the equation

\[ M = \chi H \]

where M is the magnetization, H is the magnetic field, and \( \chi \) is a second order tensor denoted as the susceptibility. For example, diamagnetic material like halite, NaCl have negative while \( \chi \), paramagnetic like iron-rich olivine or fayalite, FeSiO$_4$ have positive \( \chi \).

Earth scientists attribute permanent magnetism to exchange energy, and not to soul. A permanent magnetic command results from exchange energy, which combines Pauli Exclusion Principle and Coulomb interaction energy. So, exchange energy can only accurately be understood through quantum mechanics. Exchange energy is often
designed by evaluating the nature of electron orbitals overlap. Reliant on the specifics of the electron overlap, a minimum in exchange energy often requires that adjacent atoms have parallel magnetic moments and the substance is known as ferromagnetic. With different overlap, the minimum in energy occurs when the adjacent magnetic moments are antiparallel. Then the material is denoted as antiferromagnetic when the moments are of equal magnitude and ferrimagnetic when the adjacent magnetic moments vary in magnitude. The best-known example of a ferrimagnetic mineral is magnetite. Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) is an example of ferrimagnetic mineral and it have an indirect exchange energy because the coupling of adjacent iron atoms arises through intervening oxygen atoms.

The increase in temperature of a substance of thermal energy (quantized lattice vibrations known as phonons) increases and ultimately overcomes the exchange energy. The peak temperature a ferromagnetic material can possess a magnetic structure in the absence of an external magnetic field is known as Curie temperature. Néel temperature is the permanent loss of magnetic order in antiferromagnetic and ferrimagnetic structures. (Some Paleomagnetists also call it- the Curie temperature.) The saturation magnetization monotonically increases on cooling from the Néel/Curie temperature to absolute zero providing no phase transformation occurs on cooling. The Curie temperature at ambient pressure of iron, a ferromagnetic substance, is 1043K and the Néel temperature of ferrimagnetic magnetite is 853K. For instance, Ilmenite (FeTiO₃) is an antiferromagnetic material and it has a Néel temperature of 40K. It is advantageous to recognize that the melting temperature of a magnetic substance always exceeds the Curie/Néel temperature. (So-called magnetic fluids contain suspended solid magnetic material.) Because the electron overlap is a function of pressure, the Curie and Néel temperatures are also functions of pressure.⁴

**Iron-oxide effects on rock magnetism**

As rock magnetic studies illustrate during heating and cooling cycles substantial changes happen in magnetic properties. These transformations may involve the formation of new magnetic substances, change of Curie point, increase or decrease of magnetization, etc. Many studies show changes in rock magnetization during heating at temperatures in the range of 200⁰ to 500⁰C.⁵

Most times, the samples exhibit bimodal blocking-temperature spectra. Such bimodal blocking-temperature spectra with blocking temperatures in the range of 200-580⁰C were reported in rocks from different regions.⁶ Some results establish transformations in both the value of Tₓ and the quantity of magnetic minerals within the rock during tests of heating-cooling cycles at temperatures in the range of 350-580⁰C.⁷ Several authors find a temperature range rather than at an exact value of blocking temperatures.⁸ Several researchers believe that a special role in rock magnetism belongs to maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) and/or titanomaghemite.⁹ However, even though maghemite has very strong magnetic properties, it is metastable and would be effortlessly transformed to antiferromagnetic hematite. The temperature range of the stability of maghemite is usually within 200-350⁰C.¹⁰

Large differences in Curie temperature (Tₓ) and blocking temperature could be related to iron-oxide changes and remagnetization progressions. Processes of heating, cooling, reheating, and further cooling could take place in different regions and during different processes-recurrent intrusions or extrusions, hydrothermal events, recurrent metamorphic processes. Such courses can change both

---

**Figure 1** Ternary diagram for iron-oxides. The solid lines are solid-solution series with increasing titanium concentration (x). The dashed lines with arrows indicate the direction of increasing oxidation (z).¹¹
Acknowledgements
None.

Conflict of interest
The author declares no conflict of interest.

References
1. Tauxe L. Essentials of Paleomagnetism: Fourth Web Edition. USA: 2009.
2. Dunlop DJ. Charting the movement of tectonic plates or the evolution of a dynamo ultimately relies on the behavior of often imperfect mineral grains in Earth’s magnetic field. Physics Today. 2012;65(6):31–37.
3. Schmidt PW, McEnroe SA, Clark DA, et al. Magnetic properties and potential field modeling of the Peculiar Knob metamorphosed iron formation, South Australia: An analog for the source of the intense Martian magnetic anomalies? Journal of Geophysical Research. 2007;112:B03102.
4. Hubert A, Schafer R. Magnetic domains: the analysis of magnetic microstructures. USA: Springer–Verlag; 1998: 696 p.
5. Zhu R, Liu Q, Jackson MJ. Paleoenvironmental significance of the magnetic fabrics in Chinese loess–paleosols since the last interglacial (<130 ka). Earth Planet Sci Lett. 2004;221:55–69.
6. Shau YH, Torii M, Horng CS, et al. Magnetic properties of mid-ocean–ridge basalts from Ocean Drilling Program Leg 187. In: Pedersen RB, Christie DM, Miller DJ, editors. Proc ODP, Sci Results. 2004;187:1–25.
7. Vlag P, Alva Valdivia LM, De Boer C, et al. A rock and paleomagnetic study of a Holocene lava flow in Central Mexico. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interio. 2000;118:259–272.
8. Dunlop DJ, Özdemir Ö. Beyond Néel’s theories: thermal demagnetization of narrowband partial thermoremanent magnetizations. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors. 2001;126(1–2):43–57.
9. Matzka J, Krása D, Kunzmann Th, et al. Magnetic state of 10–40 Ma old ocean basalts and its implications for natural remanant magnetization. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 2003;206(3–4):541–553.
10. Gendler TS, Shcherbakov VP, Dekkers MJ, et al. The lepidocrocite–maghemite–haematite reaction chain–I. Acquisition of chemical remanent magnetization by maghemite, its magnetic properties and thermal stability. Geophys J Int. 2005;160(3):815–835.
11. Butler RF. Paleomagnetism: Magnetic Domains to Geologic Terranes. Massachusetts: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1992.

Citation: Okpoli CC, Oladunjoye MA, Bervera EH. A review on rock magnetism. Material Sci & Eng Int J. 2018;2(1):15–17. DOI: 10.15406/mseij.2018.02.00026