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Highlights
- We probe the N-dimensional approximate solutions of the Hellmann plus Kratzer potential.
- The graphical perspective for consideration potential has been presented with Matlab software.
- We analyzed the accuracy of the asymptotic iteration formalism.

Abstract
We have examined the approximate $l_{N-1}$-state solutions of the N-dimensional Schrödinger equation for a particle interacting with the Hellmann plus Kratzer potential. In hyperspherical coordinate system, we have constructed the bound state energy equation and the wavefunctions expressed by the hypergeometric function via the asymptotic iteration approach in detail. When considered the special cases of parameters in Hellmann plus Kratzer potential, this potential turns into several potential models. In this connection, the non-relativistic energy spectra for the modified Kratzer, Yukawa, Coulomb and Hellmann potentials in approximate analytic form have been obtained in hyperspherical coordinates. We have presented the numerical energy eigenvalues for the Hellmann, Yukawa and Coulomb potentials in $N = 3$ dimensions. Our present results provide an appropriate test of the accuracy of asymptotic iteration formalism.

1. INTRODUCTION

The interaction of quantum systems with the spherically symmetric fields have been attracted the attention of researchers since the dawn of quantum mechanics. The solutions of the N-dimensional Schrödinger equation for central potentials including centrifugal term are also of great interest. In establishing solutions for the exponential types-potential models, we have to use some approximations such as Greene-Aldrich, Pekeris. Our work address the problem of the construction of the N-dimensional rovibration energy spectrum and radial wave functions for Hellmann plus Kratzer potential in the presence of Greene-Aldrich approximation scheme [1]. The solution methodology considered in this paper is based on asymptotic iteration method(AIM) proposed by Ciftci et al. [2-4].

Hyperspherical coordinate system is considerably useful for analyzing the quantum mechanical problem. In this connection, non-relativistic aspect of the N-dimensional bound state solutions for Hellmann plus Kratzer potential model has been reviewed in this present work. It should be mentioned that mathematical tools have been examined by Louck and Shaffer [5], Louck [6,7] and Chatterjee [8] to generalize the orbital angular momentum. In hyperspherical coordinates, Hellmann plus Kratzer potential can be expressed as

$$V_N(r) = -\frac{V_0}{r} + \frac{V_1}{r} e^{-\delta r} + D_e \left(\frac{r-r_e}{r}\right)^2$$
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where $V_0$ and $V_1$ are the strength of the potential, $\delta$ is the potential parameter, $r_e$ and $D_e$ are the equilibrium intermolecular separation, dissociation energy, respectively. In Equation (1), if we consider the potential parameters as follows

- $D_e = 0$, the potential turns to Hellmann potential,
- $V_0 = 0$ and $V_1 = 0$, it will reduce to the modified Kratzer potential,
- $D_e = 0$ and $V_0 = 0$, Equation (1) transforms to Yukawa potential,
- $D_e = 0$ and $V_1 = 0$, this potential becomes Coulomb potential.

Hellmann potential which is a combination of the attractive Coulomb and Yukawa potentials is used to analyze the systemization of energy eigenvalues. This potential with $V_1 > 0$ was firstly introduced by Hellmann[9-10] and then has been used to study for the case of positive and negative $V_1$. Kratzer potential was proposed by Kratzer[11] in 1920 because of its importance in the description of molecular structures and interactions of diatomic molecules model. Subsequently, this potential has played a vital role in the realm of molecular physics and quantum chemistry. In the framework of non-relativistic and relativistic theory, extensive research has been carried out on Hellmann potential and Kratzer potential in literature[12-15]. We present the graphical perspective of the Hellmann plus Kratzer potential with Matlab software. By considering $V_0 = 4$, $V_1 = \pm 15$, $D_e = 6$, $r_e = 1.65$, we have plotted the 3D graphics for Hellmann and Hellmann plus Kratzer potential. We remark that the effects of the existence and absence of the modified Kratzer potential have been investigated in Figures 1 and 2. In Figures 1 and 2, the distance $r$ and the parameter $\delta$ are in the range of $0.1-6$, $0.1-0.5$, respectively. In the case of $V_1 < 0$, the effect of the modified Kratzer potential clearly have been observed in Figure 1(b). However, in Figure 2, the surface plots of Hellmann potential and Hellmann plus Kratzer potential have similar behaviour because the value of $V_1$ has positive.

**Figure 1.** In the case of $V_1 < 0$, the surface plots of (a) Hellmann potential and (b) Hellmann plus Kratzer potential as a function of $\delta$ and $r$
potential in hyperspherical coordinates through the asymptotic iteration approach. This approach of solution is based on solving the second-order homogeneous linear differential equations. AIM is an alternative solution method because it is systematic and efficient.

The main pillars of our work is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the general view of asymptotic iteration method. In Section 3, we present the N-dimensional solutions of the Schrödinger particle interacting with the Hellmann plus Kratzer potential within the framework of Greene-Aldrich approximation and AIM. In Section 4, by considering different cases of the potential parameters, we introduce the energy equations for the special forms of this potential in hyperspherical coordinates. Besides, numerical results for energy spectra generated by Hellmann, Yukawa and Coulomb potentials are presented. Afterall, Section 5 contains the concluding remarks.

2. APPROXIMATE BOUND STATE SOLUTIONS FOR THE HELLMANN PLUS KRATZER POTENTIAL

The motion of a particle in the spherically symmetric potential field in N-dimensions is described within the framework of non-relativistic formalism as follows

\[
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \nabla_N^2 + V_N(r) \right] \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r})
\]

where \(E\) and \(\mu\) are non-relativistic energy and reduced mass, \(V_N(r)\) is the N-dimensional central potential, \(\hbar\) is Planck constant.

The Laplacian in N-dimensions is defined with respect to Cartesian coordinates \(x_1, x_2, x_3 \ldots x_N\) as

\[
\nabla_N^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}.
\]

To begin with, we need to present the hyperspherical coordinates in N-dimensional space based on Louck[6] and Chatterjee's works[8] as follows

Figure 2. In the case of \(V_1 > 0\), the surface plots of (a) Hellmann potential and (b) Hellmann plus Kratzer potential as a function of \(\delta\) and \(r\)
\[ x_1 = r \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 \ldots \sin \theta_{N-1} \]
\[ x_2 = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 \ldots \sin \theta_{N-1} \]
\[ x_3 = r \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 \sin \theta_4 \ldots \sin \theta_{N-1} \]
\[ x_4 = r \cos \theta_3 \sin \theta_4 \sin \theta_5 \ldots \sin \theta_{N-1} \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ x_j = r \cos \theta_{j-1} \sin \theta_j \sin \theta_{j+1} \ldots \sin \theta_{N-1}, \quad 3 \leq j \leq N - 1 \]
\[ x_N = r \cos \theta_{N-1} \]

for \( N=3,4,5\ldots \) where the range of variable is \( 0 \leq r \leq \infty, \ 0 \leq \theta_j \leq 2\pi, \ 0 \leq \theta_j \leq \pi \) for \( j = 2,3, \ldots N - 1 \) and \( r \) is the radius of an \( N \)-dimensional sphere. In connection with the hyperspherical coordinates, the Laplacian has the form

\[
\nabla_N^2 = \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_{k+1} \sin^2 \theta_{k+2} \sin^2 \theta_{N-1}} \left( \frac{1}{\sin^{k-1} \theta_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} \sin^{k-1} \theta_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sin^{N-2} \theta_{N-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{N-1}} \sin^{N-2} \theta_{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{N-1}} \right). \tag{5}
\]

By taking the hyperspherical total wavefunction as

\[
\psi(r, \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{N-1}) = r^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} R(r) Y_{l_{n-1}, l_{N-2}, \ldots, l_{2}, l_1}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{N-1}) \tag{6}
\]

in which \( R(r) \) and \( Y_{l_{n-1}, l_{N-2}, \ldots, l_{2}, l_1}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{N-1}) \) are the radial wavefunction, the generalized spherical harmonics, respectively and employing the way of separating variables, we obtain the non-relativistic wave equation in hyperspherical coordinates for the function \( R(r) \)

\[
\frac{d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} + \left[ \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \left( E - V(r) \right) - \frac{(N-1)(N-3)}{4r^2} - \frac{l_{N-1}(l_{N-1} + N - 2)}{r^2} \right] R(r) = 0. \tag{7}
\]

The eigenvalue equation of the generalized angular momentum operator is also given by

\[
l_{N-1}^2 Y_{l_{N-1}, l_{N-2}, \ldots, l_{2}, l_1}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{N-1}) =
\]

\[
l_{N-1}(l_{N-1} + N - 2) \hbar^2 Y_{l_{N-1}, l_{N-2}, \ldots, l_{2}, l_1}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{N-1}). \tag{8}
\]

It should be mentioned that the more detailed information about the generalized angular momentum operators can be found in [6,8].

### 2.1. N-dimensional Energy Spectrum In Approximate Analytic Form

We now examine solutions of the radial Schrödinger equation with the Hellmann plus Kratzer potential in \( N \)-dimensions by means of the asymptotic iteration method. First of all, when we substitute Equation (1) into Equation (7), we obtain the radial Schrödinger equation for the Hellmann plus Kratzer potential in hyperspherical coordinates as

\[
\frac{d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} + \left[ \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \left( E + \frac{V_0}{r} - \frac{V_1}{r} e^{-\delta r} - D_e \left( \frac{r - r_c}{r_c} \right)^2 \right) - \frac{(N-1)(N-3)}{4r^2} - \frac{l_{N-1}(l_{N-1} + N - 2)}{r^2} \right] R(r) = 0. \tag{9}
\]
Equation (9) cannot be solved analytically for any \( l_{N-1} \) state on account of the presence of the centrifugal term. For this reason, an approximation has to be used in order to solve this equation. Proposed by Greene and Aldrich [1], an approximation scheme which is a good approximation to centrifugal term in short range potential as pointed out in [27] is written as follows

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} \approx \frac{\delta^2}{(1-e^{-\delta r})^2}
\]  

(10)

which is valid for \( \delta \ll 1 \). If we apply this approximation and transformation \( y = e^{-\delta r} \) to Equation (9), we rewrite the hyperradial wave equation in non-relativistic theory

\[
\frac{d^2R(y)}{dy^2} + \frac{1}{y} \frac{dR(y)}{dy} + \left[ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2y^2} + \frac{y+y}{y^2(1-y)} + \frac{\Lambda}{y(1-y)} - \frac{\eta}{y^2(1-y)^2} \right] R(y) = 0
\]

(11)

where

\[
-\varepsilon^2 = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} (E - D_e), \kappa = l_{N-1} + \frac{N-3}{2}, \gamma = \frac{4\mu D_e r_e}{\hbar^2} \\
\Lambda = -\frac{2\mu V_0}{\hbar^2} - \frac{2\mu V_0}{\hbar^2} \eta = \frac{2\mu D_e r_e^2}{\hbar^2} + \kappa (\kappa + 1). 
\]

(12)

To solve Equation (11) via asymptotic iteration approach, the following acceptable physical wavefunction is proposed

\[
R(y) = (1 - y)^\rho y^\beta f(y)
\]

(13)

where \( f(y) \) is a function to be determined, \( \rho \) and \( \beta \) are defined as

\[
\rho = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 + 4\eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \beta = (\varepsilon^2 - (y + \nu) + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. 
\]

(14)

If this proposed wavefunction is substituted into Equation (11), the following second-order homogenous linear differential equation is obtained

\[
\frac{d^2f(y)}{dy^2} = \left[ \frac{(2\beta + 2\rho + 3)y - (2\beta + 1)}{y(1-y)} \right] \frac{df(y)}{dy} + \left[ \frac{(1+\rho)(2\beta + 1) + (2\eta - (y + \nu) - \Lambda)}{y(1-y)} \right] f(y)
\]

(15)

which is easily handled to AIM solutions. As well known, Equation (15) is called the hypergeometric differential equation. By employing functional analysis method, we can probe the solutions of this differential equation. However, the solution methodology considered in our study is based on the asymptotic iteration approach.

If Equation (2) is taken into consideration, the expressions of \( \lambda_0 \) and \( s_0 \) are determined. With Equation (A.4), it is then easy to obtain the values of \( \lambda_n (y) \) and \( s_n (y) \) in the following forms

\[
\lambda_0 = \frac{(2\beta + 2\rho + 3)y - (2\beta + 1)}{y(1-y)} \\
s_0 = \frac{(1+\rho)(2\beta + 1) + (2\eta - (y + \nu) - \Lambda)}{y(1-y)} 
\]
\[
\lambda_1 = \frac{2p+2\beta+3}{y(1-y)} - \frac{(2p+2\beta+3)y-(2\beta+1)}{y^2(1-y)} + \frac{(2p+2\beta+3)y-(2\beta+1)}{y^2(1-y)^2}
\]
\[
+ (1+\rho)(2\beta+1) + 2\eta - (\beta+\nu) - \lambda 
\]
\[
\cdot \frac{(2p+2\beta+3)y-(2\beta+1)}{y^2(1-y)^2}
\]
\[
S_1 = \frac{(1+\rho)(2\beta+1) + (2\eta - (y+\nu) - \lambda)}{y^2(1-y)^2} + \frac{(1+\rho)(2\beta+1) + (2\eta - (y+\nu) - \lambda)}{y^2(1-y)^2}
\]
\[
+ \frac{(1+\rho)(2\beta+1) + (2\eta - (y+\nu) - \lambda)}{y^2(1-y)^2}
\]

In order to calculate the radial energy eigenvalues in hyperspherical coordinates, the termination condition given by Equation (A.3) is used. Hence, these energy eigenvalues are obtained as follows

\[
\frac{S_0}{\lambda_0} = \frac{S_1}{\lambda_1} \Rightarrow \lambda_0 = 2\beta\rho + 2\beta + 1 + \rho + 2\eta - (\nu + \nu)
\]
\[
\frac{S_1}{\lambda_1} = \frac{S_2}{\lambda_2} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 2\beta\rho + 4\beta + 4 + 3\rho + 2\eta - (\nu + \nu)
\]
\[
\frac{S_2}{\lambda_2} = \frac{S_3}{\lambda_3} \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = 2\beta\rho + 6\beta + 9 + 5\rho + 2\eta - (\nu + \nu)
\]

When the above expressions are generalized, the eigenvalues turn out as

\[
\lambda_n = 2\beta(\rho + n + 1) + (2n + 1)\rho + (n + 1)^2 + 2\eta - (\nu + \nu), \ n = 0, 1, 2, ...
\]

If we substitute the used abbreviations into Equation (18), we obtain the rovibrational energy spectrum for the Hellmann plus Kratzer potential in hyperspherical coordinates

\[
E_{nlN-1} = D_e + \frac{\hbar^2\delta^2}{2\mu} \left[ \frac{(2lN-1+N-3)(2lN-1+N-1)}{4} + \frac{2\mu D_e r^2}{\hbar^2} - \frac{2\mu(\delta b + 2\delta e)}{\hbar^2}\right]
\]
\[
- \frac{\hbar^2\delta^2}{2\mu} \left[ \frac{4\mu D_e r^2 + 2\mu(V_0-V_1)}{\hbar^2} - \frac{(2lN-1+N-3)(2lN-1+N-1)}{2} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho D_e r^2}{\hbar^2} + \frac{\rho D_e r^2}{\hbar^2} + \frac{(2lN-1+N-3)(2lN-1+N-1)}{2}\right]
\]

In spherical coordinates, this energy spectrum coincides with the one obtained previously in [23].

2.2. N-dimensional Wavefunctions

In this section, we establish the N-dimensional wavefunctions related with the rovibrational energy eigenvalues found in the foregoing part. The corresponding eigenfunctions of the hyperradial Schrödinger equation with Hellmann plus Kratzer potential can be derived from the wavefunction generator given by Equation (A.6)
\[ f_0(y) = C_2 = C_2 \cdot F_1(0, 2\rho + 2\beta + 2, 2\beta + 1, y) \]
\[ f_1(y) = -C_2[2\beta + 1 - (2\rho + 2\beta + 3)y] \]
\[ = -C_2(2\beta + 1) \cdot F_1(-1, 2\rho + 2\beta + 3, 2\beta + 1, y) \]

\[ f_2(y) = C_2[(2\beta + 1)(2\beta + 2) - 2(2\rho + 2\beta + 4)(2\beta + 2)y + (2\rho + 2\beta + 4)(2\rho + 2\beta + 5)y^2] \]
\[ = C_2(2\beta + 1)(2\beta + 2) \cdot F_1(-2, 2\rho + 2\beta + 4, 2\beta + 1, y) \]
\[ f_3(y) = -C_2[(2\beta + 1)(2\beta + 2)(2\beta + 3) - 3(2\rho + 2\beta + 5)(2\beta + 3)(2\beta + 2)y \]
\[ 3(2\rho + 2\beta + 6)(2\rho + 2\beta + 5)(2\beta + 3)y^2 - (2\rho + 2\beta + 7)(2\rho + 2\beta + 6)(2\rho + 2\beta + 5)y^3] \]
\[ = -C_2(2\beta + 1)(2\beta + 2)(2\beta + 3) \cdot F_1(-3, 2\rho + 2\beta + 5, 2\beta + 1, y) \]

\[ : \quad (20) \]

According to these results, the wavefunction \( f(y) \) can be generalized given below

\[ f_n(y) = C_2(2\beta + 1)n(-1)^n \cdot F_1(-n, 2\rho + 2\beta + 2 + n, 2\beta + 1, y) \]

in which \( \gamma F_1 \) represents the Gauss hypergeometric function being defined as

\[ \gamma F_1(-n, b, c, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)_k(b)_kx^k}{(c)_kk!}, \]

the Pochhammer symbol \((\alpha)_k\) is defined by \((\alpha)_0 = 1\) and \((\alpha)_k = \alpha(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)\ldots(\alpha + k - 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\) for \(k = 1, 2, 3\ldots\) Hence, the unnormalized hyperradial wavefunction for the Hellmann plus Kratzer potential is

\[ R(y) = (1 - y)^{\beta+1}y^{\beta}C_2(2\beta + 1)n(-1)^n \cdot F_1(-n, 2\rho + 2\beta + 2 + n, 2\beta + 1, y) \]

\[ (21) \]

in which \(C_2\) denotes the integration constant that can be built by normalization condition. Then, when we substitute \(y = e^{-\delta r}\) into Equation (22) and we use the relation between the hypergeometric function and the Jacobi polynomials [28], we obtain in the following form

\[ R(r) = C_2(1 - e^{-\delta r})^{\alpha+1}e^{-\delta br}n! P_n^{(2\beta, 2\rho+1)}(1 - 2e^{-\delta r}). \]

\[ (23) \]

In order to find out this constant, we also benefit from the following two integrals [28]

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x)^{\alpha-1}(1 + x)^{\beta} \left[ P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \right]^2 dx = 2^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x)^{\alpha}(1 + x)^{\beta} \left[ P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \right]^2 dx = 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)} \]

and the normalized radial wavefunction for Hellmann plus Kratzer potential is determined as

\[ R(r) = (1 - e^{-\delta r})^{\rho+1}e^{-\delta br} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(2\beta+n+\rho+\beta+1)}{(n+\rho+1)\Gamma(2\beta+2+n)\Gamma(2\beta+1+n)} \right]^{1/2} \]
\[ \times P_n^{(2\beta, 2\rho+1)}(1 - 2e^{-\delta r}). \]

\[ (24) \]
For $N = 3$, this hyperradial wavefunction consists with the one investigated by Edet et al. [23] using Nikiforov-Uvarov method.

### 3. SPECIAL CASES OF HELLMANN PLUS KRATZER POTENTIAL

#### 3.1. Hellmann Potential

In the case of $D_e = 0$, the potential given in Equation (1) has the form

$$V_N(r) = -\frac{V_0}{r} + \frac{V_1}{r}e^{-\delta r}.$$  

(25)

In this limit, we can find the N-dimensional rovibrational energy spectrum for Hellmann potential determined from Equation (19) as follows

$$E_{nlN-1} = \frac{\hbar^2 \delta^2}{2\mu} \left[ \frac{(2l_{N-1}+N-3)(2l_{N-1}+N-1)}{4} - \frac{2\mu V_0}{\hbar^2 \delta} \right]$$

$$- \frac{\hbar^2 \delta^2}{2\mu} \left[ \frac{\mu(2l_{N-1}+N-3)}{2\hbar^2 \delta} \left(\frac{(2l_{N-1}+N-3)(2l_{N-1}+N-1)}{2}(n+\frac{1}{2}) - (n+1)^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

(26)

For $N = 3$, we point out that Equation (26) transforms to the non-relativistic energy spectrum for Hellmann potential in three dimensions obtained by Hamzavi et al. [14].

#### 3.2. The Modified Kratzer Potential

When the screening parameter ($\delta$) goes to zero and also $V_0 = 0$, $V_1 = 0$, Hellmann plus Kratzer potential turns to the modified Kratzer potential in the following form

$$V_N(r) = D_e \left(\frac{r_{ex}}{r}\right)^2$$  

(27)

and the energy equation which is given in Equation (19) becomes the energy spectrum for the modified Kratzer potential in hyperspherical coordinates as follows

$$E_{nlN-1} = D_e - \frac{8\mu D^2 e^{-2r}}{2n+1+\sqrt{1+\frac{8\mu D^2 e^{-2r}}{4\mu D^2 e^{-2r}+(2l_{N-1}+N-3)(2l_{N-1}+N-1)}}}.$$  

(28)

We report that this non-relativistic energy spectrum agrees with the result of [25].

#### 3.3. Yukawa Potential

If the parameters $D_e$ and $V_0$ equal to zero, Equation (1) transforms to Yukawa potential in N-dimensions which is defined as

$$V_N(r) = \frac{V_1}{r} e^{-\delta r}.$$  

(29)
and so we can obtain the N-dimensional energy spectrum for quantum systems with Yukawa potential in the non-relativistic theory

\[
E_{n l N-1} = \frac{\hbar^2 \delta^2}{2 \mu} \left[ \frac{2 l (l + 1) + 3 (2 l + 1) (N-3) (2 l + N-1)}{4} \right] - \frac{2 \mu V_0}{\hbar^2 \delta} \left[ \frac{2 l (l + 1) + 3 (2 l + 1) (N-3) (2 l + N-1)}{4} \right] \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta \delta} \right] - \frac{2 \mu V_0}{\hbar^2 \delta} \left[ \frac{2 l (l + 1) + 3 (2 l + 1) (N-3) (2 l + N-1)}{4} \right] \square.
\]  

(30)

In spherical coordinates, the non-relativistic energy spectrum reported for Yukawa potential is in agreement with the [29].

### 3.4. Coulomb Potential

By setting \( D_e = 0 \) and \( V_1 = 0 \) in Equation (1), we can express Coulomb potential as follows

\[
V_N(r) = -\frac{V_0}{r} \quad (31)
\]

and the rovibrational energy spectrum for Coulomb potential can be written in the hyperspherical coordinates

\[
E_{n l N-1} = \frac{\hbar^2 \delta^2}{2 \mu} \left[ \frac{2 l (l + 1) + 3 (2 l + 1) (N-3) (2 l + N-1)}{4} \right] - \frac{2 \mu V_0}{\hbar^2 \delta} \left[ \frac{2 l (l + 1) + 3 (2 l + 1) (N-3) (2 l + N-1)}{4} \right] \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta \delta} \right] - \frac{2 \mu V_0}{\hbar^2 \delta} \left[ \frac{2 l (l + 1) + 3 (2 l + 1) (N-3) (2 l + N-1)}{4} \right] \square.
\]

(32)

In three dimensions, this energy equation matches with the ones studied in [29]. On the other hand, if the screening parameter approaches zero \( (\delta \to 0) \) and also \( D_e = 0 \), \( V_1 = 0 \), Equation (32) transforms to the energy spectrum obtained by Dong et al.[24] irrespective of \( l_{N-1} \).

**Table 1. Comparison of energy eigenvalues \( (E_{n,l}) \) for Hellmann potential in N = 3 dimensional system**

| States | \( V_0 = 2 \) | \( V_1 = -1 \) |
|--------|----------------|----------------|
|        | AIM            | NU[14]         | AP[14]         |
| 1s     | 0.001          | -2.250500      | -2.24900       | -2.248981 |
|        | 0.005          | -2.252506      | -2.24501       | -2.244993 |
|        | 0.010          | -2.255025      | -2.24005       | -2.240030 |
| 2s     | 0.001          | -0.563001      | -0.561502      | -0.561502 |
|        | 0.005          | -0.565025      | -0.557550      | -0.557549 |
|        | 0.010          | -0.567600      | -0.552697      | -0.552697 |
| 2p     | 0.001          | -0.562250      | -0.561502      | -0.561502 |
|        | 0.005          | -0.561256      | -0.557541      | -0.557541 |
|        | 0.010          | -0.560025      | -0.552664      | -0.552664 |
| 3s     | 0.001          | -0.250502      | -0.249004      | -0.249004 |
So as to exhibit the accuracy of the asymptotic iteration method, we compute the non-relativistic energy eigenvalues in three dimensional space for various quantum numbers with the different values of \( \delta \). In these calculations, \( \hbar = 2\mu = 1 \) are taken. The calculated results are presented in Tables 1-3. It is seen that our results provide a good agreement with those obtained by using the Nikifirov-Uvarov (NU) and amplitude-phase (AP) method.

**Table 2. Comparison of energy eigenvalues \( (E_{n,l}) \) for Yukawa potential in \( N = 3 \) dimensional system**

| States | \( V_0 = 0 \) | \( V_1 = -3 \) | NU[14] | AP[14] |
|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| 1s     | 0.001          | -2.248500      | -2.248500 | -2.247001 |
|        | 0.005          | -2.242506      | -2.242506 | -2.235037 |
|        | 0.010          | -2.235025      | -2.235025 | -2.220149 |
| 2s     | 0.001          | -0.561001      | -0.561001 | -0.559506 |
|        | 0.005          | -0.555025      | -0.555025 | -0.547649 |
|        | 0.010          | -0.547600      | -0.547600 | -0.533091 |
| 2p     | 0.001          | -0.560250      | -0.560250 | -0.559505 |
|        | 0.005          | -0.551256      | -0.551256 | -0.547624 |
|        | 0.010          | -0.540025      | -0.540025 | -0.532993 |
| 3s     | 0.001          | -0.248502      | -0.248502 | -0.247013 |
|        | 0.005          | -0.242556      | -0.242556 | -0.235332 |
|        | 0.010          | -0.235225      | -0.235225 | -0.221306 |
| 3p     | 0.001          | -0.248168      | -0.248168 | -0.247012 |
|        | 0.005          | -0.240867      | -0.240867 | -0.235308 |
|        | 0.010          | -0.231802      | -0.231803 | -0.221212 |

**Table 3. Comparison of energy eigenvalues \( (E_{n,l}) \) for Coulomb potential in \( N = 3 \) dimensional system**

| States | \( V_0 = 3 \) | \( V_1 = 0 \) | NU[14] | AP[14] |
|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| 1s     | 0.001          | -2.251500      | -2.251500 | -2.250000 |
|        | 0.005          | -2.257506      | -2.257506 | -2.250000 |
|        | 0.010          | -2.265025      | -2.265025 | -2.250000 |
| 2s     | 0.001          | -0.564001      | -0.564001 | -0.562500 |
|        | 0.005          | -0.570025      | -0.570025 | -0.562500 |
|        | 0.010          | -0.577600      | -0.577600 | -0.562500 |
| 2p     | 0.001          | -0.563250      | -0.563250 | -0.562500 |
|        | 0.005          | -0.566256      | -0.566256 | -0.562500 |
4. CONCLUSION

In this work, the problem of solving the N-dimensional Schrödinger equation with Hellmann plus Kratzer potential for any $l_{N-1}$-state have been studied from the point of view the asymptotic iteration formalism. To achieve this, we have applied a proper approximation scheme which is called the Greene-Aldrich approximation. Thus, the approximate analytic expressions for the energy eigenvalues and the corresponding wavefunctions of quantum system with Hellmann plus Kratzer potential have been built in hyperspherical coordinates. In addition, for the special cases of the potential parameters, we have also found the energy spectra for Hellmann, the modified Kratzer, Yukawa, Coulomb potentials in hyperspherical coordinates. We have computed the numerical energy eigenvalues for Hellmann, Yukawa, Coulomb potentials in $N = 3$ dimensional systems. The results obtained within the framework of asymptotic iteration approach consistent with the other methods in literature.

We highlight that asymptotic iteration formalism is a robust computational method to obtain the approximate solutions of the N-dimensional non-relativistic wave equation for a particle in central potential field. It should be emphasized that these N-dimensional results allow us to the comprehension of a general treatment of this problem. Moreover, we can also obtain directly the energy equations in two and three dimensions from the N-dimensional results for the system.
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APPENDIX: FORMULATION OF THE ASYMPTOTIC ITERATION APPROACH

Asymptotic iteration method is capable of solving second-order homogeneous linear differential equations of the form

\[ y''(r) = \lambda_0(r)y'(r) + s_0(r)y(r) \]  

(A.1)

in which \( \lambda_0(r) \) and \( s_0(r) \) functions in \( C_\infty(a,b) \) are sufficiently differentiable. The general solution of Equation (A.1) can be obtained in the following form

\[ y(r) = \exp(-\int \alpha(r')dr') \left[ C_2 + C_1 \exp\left( \int \alpha(r) + 2\alpha(r)dr \right) \right]. \]  

(A.2)

For sufficiently large \( k \),

\[ \frac{s_k(r)}{\lambda_k(r)} = \frac{s_{k-1}(r)}{\lambda_{k-1}(r)} = \alpha(r) \]  

(A.3)

in which

\[ \lambda_k(r) = \lambda'_{k-1}(r) + s_{k-1}(r) + \lambda_0(r)\lambda_{k-1}(r) \]

\[ s_k(r) = s'_{k-1}(r) + s_0(r)\lambda_{k-1}(r). \]  

(A.4)

If the eigenvalue problem has exact analytical solutions, the termination condition Equation (A.3), or equivalently
\[ \delta_k(r) = \lambda_k(r)s_{k-1}(r) - \lambda_{k-1}(r)s_k(r) = 0 \]  

(A.5)

produces, at each iteration, an expression that is independent of \( r \). It is note that \( k \) displays the iteration number. Physically meaningful solution of Equation (A.1) is provided by the first term of Equation (A.2) not the second term, so we can use the first term as the wavefunction generator

\[ y(r) = C_2 e^{\left(-\int r \frac{s_k(r')}{\lambda_k(r')} \, dr' \right)} \]  

(A.6)

in which \( C_2 \) denotes the integrant constant which can be determined by normalization. It should be mentioned that the details concerning this method can be found in [2-4].