Gamow-Teller strength and beta-decay rate within the self-consistent deformed pnQRPA
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Abstract. In recent years fully consistent quasiparticle random-phase approximation (QRPA) calculations using finite range Gogny force have been performed to study electromagnetic excitations of several axially-symmetric deformed nuclei up to the $^{238}\text{U}$. Here we present the extension of this approach to the charge-exchange nuclear excitations (pnQRPA). In particular we focus on the Gamow-Teller (GT) excitations which are known to play a crucial role in several fields of physics, in particular in nuclear astrophysics (stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis). A comparison of the predicted GT strength distribution with existing experimental data is presented. The role of nuclear deformation is shown. Special attention is paid to $\beta$-decay half-lives calculations for which experimental data exist and for specific isotonic chains of relevance for the $r$-process nucleosynthesis.

Spin-isospin nuclear excitations, in particular the Gamow-Teller (GT) resonances, play nowadays a crucial role in several fields of physics in particular in astrophysics, since they influence stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis by governing the electroweak processes. Experimentally the spin-isospin nuclear excitations are studied via charge-exchange reactions and $\beta$-decay measurements. In spite of the great efforts and interest, most of the exotic nuclei of astrophysical interest cannot be studied experimentally. To study the nuclei experimentally inaccessible one can rely on theoretical models. In this context one of the most employed models is the so called proton-neutron quasiparticle random-phase approximation (pnQRPA). To treat consistently isotopic chains from drip line to drip line two main features of the theoretical model are in order: the possibility to deal with deformed nuclei and the use of an unique effective nuclear force. The term unique has here two meanings. First of all, it means that the interaction is the same for all the nuclei; second, that the nuclear interaction used to describe the ground state and the excited states is the same (this is the so-called self-consistency of the calculation). In spite of the relatively large number of pnQRPA calculations, only a few of those include both features. Furthermore, even in such self-consistent calculations, it remains at least one coupling constant, typically in the particle-particle channel, which should be considered as a free parameter usually fitted to half-lives or to the experimental position of the GT excitation energy.

Here we present the fully consistent axially-symmetric-deformed pnQRPA calculation based on the finite range Gogny force. The originality of the present work consists in the use of the Gogny force, since up to now the other self-consistent (spherical or axially deformed) calculations were performed either with a zero-range Skyrme-type force or within the relativistic covariant description. In the present approach, no additional parameters are introduced in the pnQRPA calculation beyond those characterizing the effective nuclear force (namely D1M [1])
Figure 1. pnQRPA GT strength distributions in $^{90}$Zr, $^{114}$Sn and in $^{208}$Pb calculated with D1M and D1S forces. The experimental data of the energy peaks position [7, 8, 9] are shown as diamonds on the $x$-axes.

Our approach is based on the pnQRPA on top of axially-symmetric-deformed Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) calculations. The HFB equations are solved in a finite harmonic oscillator (HO) basis. As a consequence, the positive energy continuum is discretized. The number of HO major shells included in the model space depends on the atomic mass number. All HFB quasiparticle states are included to generate the 2-quasiparticle (2-qp) excitations. This means that our calculation can be performed without cut in energy or in occupation probabilities. According to the symmetries imposed in the present axially-symmetric-deformed HFB calculation in even-even nuclei, the projection $K$ of the angular momentum $J$ on the symmetry axis and the parity $\pi$ are good quantum numbers. Consequently, pnQRPA calculations can be performed separately for each $K\pi$ block. To solve the pnQRPA matrix equation we use the same numerical procedure as recently applied to the study of giant resonances of the heavy deformed $^{238}$U [4]. This procedure is based on a massive parallel master-slave algorithm. The solution of the pnQRPA matrix equation provides the energies $\omega_n$ of the excited states of the parent nucleus and the set of amplitudes describing the wave function of the excited state in terms of the two quasiparticle excitations.

Once the pnQRPA matrix equation is solved, we can calculate the response to the Gamow-Teller operator

$$\hat{O}_{GT} = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \vec{\sigma}(i) \tau_-(i)$$

(1)

generating a spin-flip ($\Delta S = \Delta J = 1$) response. In an axially-symmetric-deformed nuclear system, the response function of a given $J^\pi$ contains different $K^\pi = 0^\pi, \pm 1^\pi, \ldots, \pm J^\pi$ components. In this case the GT $J^\pi = 1^+$ distributions are obtained by adding twice the $K^\pi = 1^+$ result to the $K^\pi = 0^+$ result. Details to go from the intrinsic to the laboratory frame can be found in Ref. [3].

We consider the closed neutron shell $^{90}$Zr and the $^{208}$Pb, as well as neutron open shell nucleus $^{114}$Sn as test cases. Their GT strength distributions calculated with D1M and D1S interactions...
Figure 2. pnQRPA GT strength distributions in $^{76}$Ge obtained with the D1M force for several values of the deformation parameter $\beta_2$, including the HFB ground state minimum of $\beta_2 = 0.15$. The experimental low energy data [10] as well as the energy position of the main GT peak are also shown.

are shown in Fig. 1. In the same figure, are shown the corresponding experimental values [7, 8, 9] for the major excitation energies obtained from $(p, n)$ scattering data. The results are expressed as a function of the excitation energy $E_{ex}$ referred to the ground state of the daughter nucleus. In our model it is obtained by subtracting the lowest two-quasiparticle energy $E_0$ from the excitation energy $\omega_n$ of the parent nucleus calculated in the pnQRPA, i.e. $E_{ex} = \omega_n - E_0$. Both interactions give quite similar results for the position of the main peak. The agreement between our calculations and experimental data is rather satisfactory. For both interactions we also verified that the Fermi and the Ikeda sum rules are exhausted by our strength distributions.

The above results refer to three spherical nuclei. As already emphasized, our approach described axially symmetric deformed nuclei. As an example of deformed nucleus, we show in Fig. 2 the $^{76}$Ge spin-isospin excitations, more precisely the GT distributions obtained with D1M Gogny interaction for several values of the deformation parameter $\beta_2$, including the HFB ground state minimum of $\beta_2 = 0.15$. Experimental data [10] are also included. As expected, the deformation tends to increase the fragmentation of the response. Calculations with different deformations produce peaks that are displaced. Deformation effects also influence the low-energy strength and consequently can be expected to affect $\beta^-$-decay half-lives.

In the allowed GT decay approximation, the $\beta^-$-decay half-life $T_{1/2}$ can be expressed in terms of the GT strength function $S_{GT}$ according to

$$ \ln \frac{2}{T_{1/2}} = \frac{(g_A/g_V)^2}{D} \sum_{E_{ex}=0}^{Q_\beta} f_0(Z, A, Q_\beta - E_{ex}) S_{GT}(E_{ex}). $$

(2)

For the phase-space volume $f_0$ as well as the $D$ factor and the vector $g_V$ and axial vector $g_A$ coupling constants (including the quenching factor), we refer to the work in Ref. [11]. For the $Q_\beta$ mass differences, we consider experimental values, whenever available or the D1M predictions, otherwise.

The pnQRPA calculation provides, as shown in previous figures, a discrete strength distribution. In order to derive a smooth continuous strength function, the pnQRPA GT strength is folded with a Lorentz function, as classically done. We have chosen to parametrize
the spreading width $\Gamma$ as shown in Ref. [12] in order to reproduce the experimental GT widths found experimentally in Sn isotopes [9].

To give an idea of the global predictions of our model, we compare in Fig. 3 the pnQRPA $\beta^-$-decay half-lives with experimental data. The results are plotted as a function of the mass number, the deformation parameter and the $Q_{\beta}$ value. They turn to be quite homogeneous with respect to $\beta_2$. Larger deviations are found for nuclei close to the valley of $\beta$-stability (low $Q_{\beta}$ value), as found in most models. Globally, deviation with respect to experimental data rarely exceeds one order of magnitude.

A comparison between different theoretical predictions (and with data when available) for the $\beta^-$-decay half-lives of the $N = 82$ isotones is given in Fig. 4. We choose to focus on this region of the nuclear chart owing to its particular relevance for the $r$-process nucleosynthesis [6]. Our results closely agree with the HFB plus continuum QRPA approach [11] but tend to give rather larger half-lives than the shell-model predictions [13].

In conclusion, we presented here a fully consistent pnQRPA approach using a finite-range Gogny force. We applied our model to the analysis of charge-exchange modes paying a special attention to the GT resonances. The crucial role of deformation, automatically included in our approach, was analyzed. The agreement with experiment is satisfactory both for the strength distribution and the $\beta^-$-decay half-lives.
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