

\section{Introduction}

The rapid neutron-capture process (\textit{r} process) is considered as the main nucleosynthesis mechanism responsible for the production of heavy neutron-rich nuclei and for the existence of about half of the nuclei heavier than iron \cite{1,2}. Although the astrophysical sites for this process are still controversial, it takes place in scenarios characterized by very high neutron densities. The path that nucleosynthesis follows involves neutron-rich isotopes, which can be far away from the valley of stability. The most relevant nuclear properties to describe the \textit{r} process are the nuclear masses and the $\beta$-decay properties \cite{2,3}—namely, the $\beta$-decay half-lives ($T_{1/2}$) and the $\beta$-delayed neutron-emission probabilities ($P_n$). Nuclear masses define the possible \textit{r}-process paths near the neutron drip-lines. The $T_{1/2}$ values of \textit{r}-process waiting-point nuclei determine the pre freeze-out isotopic abundances and the speed of the process towards heavier elements, as well as the \textit{r}-process time scale. The $P_n$ values of \textit{r}-process isobaric nuclei define the decay path towards stability following the freeze-out, and provide a source of late-time neutrons.

A reliable nuclear physics description of the properties of the extremely neutron-rich nuclei along the \textit{r}-process path is needed to interpret the astrophysical observations and to model and simulate properly the \textit{r} process. The quality of the nucleosynthesis modeling is directly affected by the quality of the nuclear structure input. Unfortunately, most of the nuclear properties of relevance for the \textit{r} process are experimentally unknown, although much effort is being done recently, and therefore theoretical predictions must be considered. Such calculations are particularly challenging in the very exotic regions of interest, since they involve extrapolations using well-established nuclear-structure models that have been properly tuned to account mostly for the properties of nuclei in the valley of stability. In particular, the shell structure of neutron-rich drip-line nuclei is still unknown to a large extent. Significant isospin dependence of shell effects in medium-mass and heavy nuclei has been predicted \cite{4,6}. It has been found that the shell gaps dramatically decrease near the neutron drip-lines because of continuum effects and a quenching of shell effects is apparent.

As a matter of fact, nuclear structure properties of nuclei far from stability where no experiments exist for direct comparison, can be tested by exploring their influence on the solar \textit{r}-process abundance patterns. As an example, the agreement with the observed \textit{r}-process abundances in the $A \sim 120$ mass region is manifestly improved \cite{6} when using nuclear structure models that include a shell quenching effect at $N = 82$ \cite{5,7}.

In this work we focus our attention on the mass region of neutron-rich $A \sim 100 - 110$ nuclei, which is of great interest for the astrophysical \textit{r} process. In addition, neutron-rich isotopes in this mass region are known \cite{12} to be interesting examples where the equilibrium shape of the nucleus is rapidly changing and shape coexistence is present with competing prolate, oblate, and spherical shapes at close energies (see e.g. \cite{13} for a general review).

In a recent publication \cite{14}, the $\beta$-decay properties of some neutron-rich Zr and Mo isotopes were measured for the first time. The data were interpreted in terms of the quasiparticle random-phase approximation (QRPA) \cite{15,15}, using nuclear shapes and nuclear masses derived from the finite-range droplet model (FRDM) \cite{19} and the latest version of the finite-range liquid-drop model (FRLDM) \cite{20}, which also includes triaxial deformation. QRPA calculations for neutron-rich nuclei have also been performed within different approaches, such as the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) \cite{21}, continuum QRPA with either the extended Thomas-Fermi plus Strutinsky integral (ETFSI) method \cite{22} or based on density functionals \cite{23,24}, and the relativistic mean field (RMF) approach \cite{25}, just to mention some of the recent publications, all of them for spherical nuclei. However, the mass region of concern here requires nuclear deformation as a relevant degree of freedom to characterize the nuclear structure involved in the calculation of the $\beta$-strength functions. The deformed QRPA formalism has been developed in Refs. \cite{15,17,26,28}, where phenomenological
mean fields based on Nilsson or Woods-Saxon potentials were used as a starting basis. In this work we investigate the decay properties of neutron-rich even-even Zr and Mo isotopes within a deformed self-consistent Hartree-Fock (HF) mean field formalism with Skyrme interactions and pairing correlations in BCS approximation. Residual spin-isospin interactions are also included in the particle-hole and particle-particle channels and are treated in QRPA [29, 30].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II a brief review of the theoretical formalism is presented. Sec. III contains the results obtained within this approach for the potential energy curves, Gamow-Teller (GT) strength distributions, and β-decay half-lives. Sec. IV summarizes the main conclusions.

II. THEORETICAL FORMALISM

In this section we show briefly the theoretical framework used in this paper to describe the β-decay properties in Zr and Mo neutron-rich isotopes. More details of the formalism can be found in Refs. [29, 30]. The method consists of a self-consistent formalism based on a deformed Hartree-Fock mean field obtained with Skyrme interactions, including pairing correlations. The single-particle energies, wave functions, and occupation probabilities are generated from this mean field. In this work we have chosen the Skyrme force SLy4 [31] as a representative of the Skyrme forces. This particular force includes some selected properties of unstable nuclei in the adjusting procedure of the parameters. It is one of the more successful Skyrme forces and has been extensively studied in the last years.

The solution of the HF equation is found by using the formalism developed in Ref. [32], assuming time reversal and axial symmetry. The single-particle wave functions are expanded in terms of the eigenstates of an axially symmetric harmonic oscillator in cylindrical coordinates, using twelve major shells. The method also includes pairing between like nucleons in BCS approximation with fixed gap parameters for protons and neutrons, which are determined phenomenologically from the odd-even mass differences through a symmetric five term formula involving the experimental binding energies [33] when available. In those cases where experimental information for masses is still not available, we have used the same pairing gaps as the closer isotopes measured. The pairing gaps for protons (Δp) and neutrons (Δn) obtained in this way are roughly around 1 MeV. The corresponding pairing strengths Gp and Gn calculated from the gap equation depend sensitively on the mass region, single-particle spectrum, and active window for pairing. For typical values of the cutoffs of about 5 MeV around the Fermi level, one obtains Gp ∼ 0.25 MeV and Gn ∼ 0.30 MeV. It is worth noticing that, although the BCS formalism leads to an unphysical neutron gas surrounding the nucleus near the drip line, the approximation is still valid in the region considered here, where the pairing gaps are still much lower than the Fermi energies.

The potential energy curves (PEC) are analyzed as a function of the quadrupole deformation β,

\[ \beta = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} \frac{Q_0}{A^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]

written in terms of the mass quadrupole moment Q0 and the mean square radius (r^2). For that purpose, constrained HF calculations are performed with a quadratic constraint [24]. The HF energy is minimized under the constraint of keeping fixed the nuclear deformation. Calculations for GT strengths are performed subsequently for the equilibrium shapes of each nucleus, that is, for the solutions, in general deformed, for which minima are obtained in the energy curves. Since decays connecting different shapes are disfavored, similar shapes are assumed for the ground state of the parent nucleus and for all populated states in the daughter nucleus. The validity of this assumption was discussed for example in Refs. [15, 26]. In our particular case, for SLy4 and neutron-rich Zr and Mo isotopes, the ground-state deformation of the even-even parents (Zr,Mo) and of the corresponding β-decay odd-odd daughters (Nb,Tc) are practically the same, as it can be seen in Ref. [33].

To describe GT transitions, a spin-isospin residual interaction is added to the mean field and treated in a deformed proton-neutron QRPA [15, 17, 29, 30]. This interaction contains two parts, particle-hole (ph) and particle-particle (pp). The interaction in the ph channel is responsible for the position and structure of the GT resonance [24, 29, 30] and it can be derived consistently from the same Skyrme interaction used to generate the mean field, through the second derivatives of the energy density functional with respect to the one-body densities. The ph residual interaction is finally expressed in a separable form by averaging the Landau-Migdal result [24, 29, 30] and it has been fixed in accordance to the same Skyrme interaction used to generate the mean field, through the second derivatives of the energy density functional with respect to the one-body densities. The pp residual interaction is finally expressed in a separable form by averaging the Landau-Migdal result [24, 29, 30] and it has been fixed in accordance to the same Skyrme interaction used to generate the mean field, through the second derivatives of the energy density functional with respect to the one-body densities. The pp residual interaction is finally expressed in a separable form by averaging the Landau-Migdal result [24, 29, 30] and it has been fixed in accordance to the same Skyrme interaction used to generate the mean field, through the second derivatives of the energy density functional with respect to the one-body densities. The pp residual interaction is finally expressed in a separable form by averaging the Landau-Migdal result [24, 29, 30] and it has been fixed in accordance to the same Skyrme interaction used to generate the mean field, through the second derivatives of the energy density functional with respect to the one-body densities. The pp residual interaction is finally expressed in a separable form by averaging the Landau-Migdal result [24, 29, 30] and it has been fixed in accordance to the same Skyrme interaction used to generate the mean field, through the second derivatives of the energy density functional with respect to the one-body densities.
The proton-neutron QRPA phonon operator for GT excitations in even-even nuclei is written as

\[ \Gamma_{\omega_K}^+ = \sum_{\pi \nu} \left[ X^{\omega_K}_{\pi \nu} \alpha_\pi^+ \alpha_\nu^+ + Y^{\omega_K}_{\pi \nu} \alpha_\pi \alpha_\nu \right], \tag{2} \]

where \( \alpha^+ (\alpha) \) are quasiparticle creation (annihilation) operators, \( \omega_K \) are the QRPA excitation energies with respect to the ground state of the parent nucleus, and \( X^{\omega_K}_{\pi \nu}, Y^{\omega_K}_{\pi \nu} \) the forward and backward amplitudes, respectively. For even-even nuclei the allowed GT transition amplitudes in the intrinsic frame connecting the QRPA ground state \( |0 \rangle \) (\( \Gamma_{\omega_K}^- |0 \rangle = 0 \)) to one-phonon states \( |\omega_K \rangle \) (\( \Gamma_{\omega_K}^+ |0 \rangle = |\omega_K \rangle \)), are given by

\[ \langle \omega_K | \sigma_K | t^\pm |0 \rangle = \mp \mathcal{M}_{ex}^\pm, \tag{3} \]

where

\[ M_{ex}^\pm = \sum_{\pi \nu} \left( q_{\pi \nu} X^{\omega_K}_{\pi \nu} + \bar{q}_{\pi \nu} Y^{\omega_K}_{\pi \nu} \right), \tag{4} \]

\[ M_{ex}^{\omega_K} = \sum_{\pi \nu} \left( \bar{q}_{\pi \nu} X^{\omega_K}_{\pi \nu} + q_{\pi \nu} Y^{\omega_K}_{\pi \nu} \right), \tag{5} \]

with

\[ \bar{q}_{\pi \nu} = u_\nu u_\pi \Sigma_{\pi \nu}^\pi, \quad q_{\pi \nu} = v_\nu v_\pi \Sigma_{\pi \nu}^\pi \tag{6} \]

\( \nu \)'s are occupation amplitudes \((u^2 = 1 - v^2)\) and \( \Sigma_{\pi \nu}^\pi \) spin matrix elements connecting neutron and proton states with spin operators

\[ \Sigma_{\pi \nu}^\pi = \langle \nu | \sigma_K | \pi \rangle. \tag{7} \]

The GT strength \( B_{\omega}(GT^\pm) \) in the laboratory system for a transition \( I_i K_i (0^+0) \rightarrow I_f K_f (1^+ K) \) can be obtained in terms of the intrinsic amplitudes in Eq. \( \text{[15]} \) as

\[ B_{\omega}(GT^\pm) = \sum_{\omega_K} \left[ \langle \omega_K | 0 \rangle | \sigma_0 \rangle | 0 \rangle^2 2 \delta (\omega_K | 0 \rangle - \omega) \right. \]

\[ \left. + 2 \langle \omega_K | 1 \rangle | \sigma_1 t^\pm \rangle | 0 \rangle^2 \delta (\omega_K - 1 \rangle - \omega) \right], \tag{8} \]

in \([rg^2/4\pi]\) units. To obtain this expression, the initial and final states in the laboratory frame have been expressed in terms of the intrinsic states using the Bohr-Mottelson factorization \( \text{[16]} \).

The excitation energy \( E_{ex} \) referred to the ground state of the odd-odd daughter nucleus is obtained by subtracting the lowest two-quasiparticle energy \( E_0 \) from the calculated \( \omega \) energy in the QRPA calculation, \( E_{ex} = \omega_{QRPA} - E_0 \), where \( E_0 = (E_n + E_p) \) is the sum of the lowest quasiparticle energies for neutrons and protons. The GT strength \( B(GT) \) will be plotted later versus \( E_{ex} \) in Figs. \( \text{[15]} \) and \( \text{[16]} \). The GT decay half-life is obtained by summing all the allowed transition strengths to states in the daughter nucleus with excitation energies lying below the corresponding \( Q \)-energy, and weighted with the phase space factors \( f(Z,Q_{\beta} - E_{ex}) \),

\[ T_{1/2}^{-1} = \frac{(g A/g v)^2}{D} \sum_{0 < E_{ex} < Q_{\beta}} f(Z,Q_{\beta} - E_{ex}) B(GT, E_{ex}), \tag{9} \]

with \( D = 6200 \text{ s} \) and \( (g A/g v)^2 = 0.77 (g A/g v)_\text{free} \), where 0.77 is a standard quenching factor that takes into account in an effective way all the correlations \( \text{[19]} \) which are not properly considered in the present approach. The bare results can be recovered by scaling the results in this paper for \( B(GT) \) and \( T_{1/2} \) with the square of this quenching factor. The \( Q_{\beta} \) energy is given by

\[ Q_{\beta} = M(A,Z) - M(A,Z + 1) - m_e \tag{10} \]

\[ = BE(A,Z) - BE(A,Z + 1) + m_n - m_p - m_e, \]

written in terms of the nuclear masses \( M(A,Z) \) or nuclear binding energies \( BE(A,Z) \) and the neutron \( (m_n) \), proton \( (m_p) \), and electron \( (m_e) \) masses.

The Fermi integral \( f(Z,Q_{\beta} - E_{ex}) \) is computed numerically for each value of the energy including screening and finite size effects, as explained in Ref. \( \text{[14]} \),

\[ f^{\pm}(Z,W_0) = \int_{1}^{W_0} p W(W_0 - W)^2 \lambda^{\pm}(Z,W) dW, \tag{11} \]

with

\[ \lambda^{\pm}(Z,W) = 2(1 + \gamma)(2pR)^{-2(1-\gamma)} e^{\mp \pi \gamma} | \Gamma(\gamma + iy)|^2 |\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)|^2, \tag{12} \]

where \( \gamma = \sqrt{1 - (\alpha Z)^2}; y = \alpha Z W/p; \alpha \) is the fine structure constant and \( R \) the nuclear radius. \( W \) is the total energy of the \( \beta \) particle, \( W_0 \) is the total energy available in \( m_\nu c^2 \) units, and \( p = \sqrt{W^2 - 1} \) is the momentum in \( m_\nu c \) units.

This function weights differently the strength \( B(GT) \) depending on the excitation energy. As a general rule \( f(Z,Q_{\beta} - E_{ex}) \) increases with the energy of the \( \beta \)-particle and therefore the strength located at low excitation energies contribute more importantly to the half-life.

The probability for \( \beta \)-delayed neutron emission is given by

\[ P_n = \frac{\sum_{0 < E_{ex} < Q_{\beta}} f(Z,Q_{\beta} - E_{ex}) B(GT, E_{ex})}{\sum_{0 < E_{ex} < Q_{\beta}} f(Z,Q_{\beta} - E_{ex}) B(GT, E_{ex})}, \tag{13} \]

where the sums extend to all the excitation energies in the daughter nuclei in the indicated ranges. \( S_n \) is the one-neutron separation energy in the daughter nucleus. In this expression it is assumed that all the decays to energies above \( S_n \) in the daughter nuclei always lead to
delayed neutron emission and then, $\gamma$-decay from neutron unbound levels is neglected. According to Eq. (13), $P_n$ is mostly sensitive to the strength located at energies around $S_n$, thus providing a structure probe complementary to $T_{1/2}$.

III. RESULTS

In this section we start by showing the results obtained for the potential energy curves in the isotopes under study. Then, we calculate the energy distribution of the GT strength corresponding to the local minima in the potential energy curves. After showing the predictions of various mass models to the $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ values for the more unstable isotopes, where no data on these quantities are available, we calculate the $\beta$-decay half-lives and discuss their dependence on the deformation.

In previous works [28, 30, 41–44] we have studied the sensitivity of the GT strength distributions to the various ingredients contributing to the deformed QRPA-like calculations, namely to the nucleon-nucleon effective force, to pairing correlations, and to residual interactions. We found different sensitivities to them. In this work, all of these ingredients have been fixed to the most reasonable values for the more unstable isotopes, where no data on these quantities are available, we calculate the $\beta$-decay half-lives and discuss their dependence on the deformation.

In previous works [28, 30, 41–44] we have studied the sensitivity of the GT strength distributions to the various ingredients contributing to the deformed QRPA-like calculations, namely to the nucleon-nucleon effective force, to pairing correlations, and to residual interactions. We found different sensitivities to them. In this work, all of these ingredients have been fixed to the most reasonable choices found previously and mentioned above, including the quenching factor. Here, we mainly discuss effects of deformation, keeping in mind that the method provides the self-consistent deformations as well.

A. Potential Energy Curves

In Fig. 1 we can see the potential energy curves for the even-even $^{100–110}$Zr ($^{104–114}$Mo) isotopes. We show the energies relative to that of the ground state plotted as a function of the quadrupole deformation $\beta$. They are obtained from constrained HF+BCS calculations with the Skyrme forces SG2 [45] and SLy4 [31]. We observe that both forces produce very similar results. In Fig. 2 we see that the Zr isotopes exhibit in all cases two well developed minima. The ground states are located in the prolate sector at positive values of $\beta \approx 0.4$. We can also see oblate minima at higher energies located at $\beta \approx -0.2$. The two minima are separated by potential energy barriers varying from $E = 3$ MeV, in the lightest $^{100}$Zr isotope, up to barriers of the order of 5 MeV in heavier isotopes. In the isotopes $^{108–110}$Zr a spherical local minimum is also developed.

Similar trends are observed in Fig. 2 for the Mo isotopes. We observe well developed oblate and prolate minima, which are separated by barriers ranging from 3 MeV up to 5 MeV. We get a prolate ground state with an oblate minimum very close in energy in the lightest isotope considered, $^{104}$Mo, a practically degenerate oblate-prolate in $^{106}$Mo, and oblate ground states in heavier isotopes with quadrupole deformations at $\beta \approx -0.2$ with prolate excited states at energies lower than 1 MeV.

Again, the heavier isotopes favor the appearance of a spherical configuration at very low energies, resulting in an emergent triple oblate-spherical-prolate shape coexistence scenario.

These results are in qualitative agreement with similar ones obtained in this mass region from different theoretical approaches. As an example of these methods we can mention the results obtained in Ref. [10], where this mass region was studied within a macroscopic-microscopic approach based on an energy obtained from a liquid drop or a FRDM modified by a shell correction taken from a deformed Woods-Saxon potential. Zr isotopes from $N = 60$ to $N = 72$ were predicted to have well-deformed prolate ground states, while Mo isotopes suffered a shape transition from prolate shapes in the lighter neutron-rich isotopes ($N = 62$) to oblate shapes in the heavier ones. Similarly, the deformations obtained in Ref. [19] from the FRDM and a folded-Yukawa single-particle microscopic model were in the range $\beta = 0.36–0.38$ in the Zr isotopes considered in this work and $\beta = 0.33–0.36$ in the Mo isotopes, except in the heavier $^{114}$Mo, where an oblate shape with $\beta \approx -0.25$ becomes the ground state.
RMF calculations \cite{47,48} show ground-state deformations in the range of $\beta = 0.36 - 0.40$ in the Zr isotopes, while for Mo isotopes oblate ground states are obtained with parameters of deformation between $\beta = -0.28$ and $\beta = -0.23$, except in the lighter isotope $^{104}$Mo, where a prolate ground state $\beta = 0.336$ is found. Calculations including rotational states in terms of the total Routhian surface (TRS), using non-axial Wood-Saxon potentials \cite{49}, predicted two coexisting prolate and oblate minima ($\beta \approx 0.35$ and $\beta \approx -0.2$) for $^{106-110}$Zr isotopes, where the prolate ground state becomes oblate beyond $^{110}$Zr. The same calculations showed oblate ($\beta \approx -0.22$) ground-states for $N > 68$ Mo isotopes. Finally, similar results in the sense of competing oblate and prolate shapes and emergence of spherical configurations in the heavier isotopes are also obtained within the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov framework with the finite-range effective Gogny interaction D1S \cite{50}. Equilibrium oblate ($\beta \approx -0.2$) and prolate ($\beta \approx 0.4$) coexistent deformations were found in Ref. \cite{50} practically at the same energy in the Zr isotopes. In Mo isotopes an oblate shape ($\beta \approx -0.2$) is favored energetically with close prolate ($\beta \approx 0.4$) solutions. In both cases, Zr and Mo isotopes, a spherical solution lows in energy and becomes almost degenerate with the deformed solutions for the heavier isotopes $^{110}$Zr and $^{114}$Mo.

Thus, a consistent theoretical picture emerges, which is supported by the still scarce experimental information available. Experimentally, two coexisting deformed bands weakly admixed were found in $^{100}$Zr \cite{51,52} from an analysis of $B(E2)$ and $\rho(E0)$ and a two-level mixing model analysis. One of these bands is a highly deformed prolate yrast band ($\beta = 0.34$), while the other is moderately deformed ($|\beta| = 0.16$) and weakly mixed to the yrast by about 10%. The highly deformed band in $^{100}$Zr is nearly identical to the yrast band in $^{102}$Zr. Hill et al. \cite{52} have also discussed the possibility that the $0^+_2$ level measured for $^{102}$Zr at 895 keV could be the head of a band with $|\beta| \approx 0.2$, similar to the S band of $^{100}$Zr.

Quadrupole moments were also determined \cite{53} for rotational bands in $^{98-104}$Zr isotopes and deformation parameters were deduced increasing gradually from $\beta = 0.1$ at $N = 56$ up to $\beta = 0.4$ at $N = 64$. More recently \cite{53}, large deformations ($\beta = 0.47(7)$) were extracted in $^{104}$Zr and in $^{106}$Mo ($\beta = 0.36(7)$) from the half-lives of their $2^+_4$ states. Spectroscopic studies of high-spin states of $^{106-104}$Zr and $^{102-108}$Mo have also been performed by Hua et al. \cite{54} within the particle-rotor model. According to these authors, the difference in signature splitting observed for the $5/2^+$ state in $^{104}$Zr and $^{106}$Mo could be attributed to the appearance of triaxiality in Mo isotopes. As mentioned above, the formalism employed in the present study does not include non-axial deformation. Such limitation, however, has no significant impact in the results discussed here. As an example, the inclusion of triaxiality in the last version of the FRLDM \cite{20} resulted in a small reduction of the $^{106,108}$Mo ground-state energies (of about 250 keV) at $\gamma = 17.5^\circ$, with respect to pure prolate shapes. Similarly, Xu et al. \cite{55} predict a $\gamma$-soft triaxial minimum for $^{108}$Mo.

**B. Gamow-Teller strength distributions**

In the next figures, we show the results obtained for the energy distributions of the GT strength corresponding to the oblate-prolate-spherical equilibrium shapes for which we obtained minima in the potential energy curves in Figs. 1 and 2. The results are obtained with the force SLy4, using constant pairing gaps extracted from the experimental masses (or systematics) and with residual interactions with the parameters written in Sec. 11. The GT strength in $(G^3_A/4\pi)$ units, is plotted versus the excitation energy of the daughter nucleus and a quenching factor 0.77 has been included.

Figs. 3 and 4 contain the results for Zr and Mo isotopes, respectively. We show the energy distributions of the individual GT strengths together with continuous distributions obtained by folding the strength with 1 MeV width Breit-Wigner functions. The vertical arrows show the $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ energies, taken from experiment \cite{32}. 

---

**FIG. 2:** Same as in Fig. 1 but for $^{104-114}$Mo isotopes.
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distributions to deformation and how measurements of the GT strength distribution from $\beta$-decay can be a tool to get information about this deformation, as it was carried out in Refs. [58, 59]. The accumulated strength from the oblate shapes is in general larger than the corresponding prolate profiles. The spherical distributions have distinct characteristics showing always as a strong peak at an excitation energy around 2 MeV. The profiles from different shapes could be easily distinguished experimentally from each other. This is specially true in the case of the lighter isotopes $^{100}$--$^{104}$Zr and $^{104}$--$^{108}$Mo, where the differences are enhanced. These isotopes are in principle easier to measure since they are the less exotic.

Experimental information on GT strength distributions in these isotopes is only available in the energy range below 1 MeV for the isotopes $^{106,108}$Mo [60], $^{110}$Mo [61], and $^{100,102,104}$Zr [62]. These data can be seen in Figs. 5 and 6 together with the QRPA calculations. Unfortunately, the energy region is still very narrow and represents only a small fraction of the GT strength relevant for the half-life determination. Clearly, more experimental information is needed to get insight into the nuclear structure of these isotopes.

or from the mass formula in Ref. [57] when data are not available, as we shall explain later on.

The main characteristic of these distributions is the existence of a GT resonance located at increasing excitation energy as the number of neutrons $N$ increases. The total GT strength also increases with $N$, as it is expected to fulfill the Ikeda sum rule. It is worth noticing that both oblate and prolate shapes produce quite similar GT strength distributions on a global scale. Even the spherical profiles are quite close to the deformed ones. Nevertheless, the small differences among the various shapes at the low energy tails (below the $Q_\beta$) of the GT strength distributions that can be appreciated because of the logarithmic scale, lead to sizable effects in the $\beta$-decay half-lives. In the next figures, Fig. 4 for Zr isotopes and Fig. 5 for Mo isotopes, we can see the accumulated GT strength plotted up to the corresponding $Q_\beta$ energy of each isotope, which is the relevant energy range for the calculation of the half-lives. Also shown by vertical dashed lines are the $S_n$ energies when they are lower than $Q_\beta$. In this magnified scale one can appreciate the sensitivity of these distributions to deformation and how measurements of the GT strength distribution from $\beta$-decay can be a tool to get information about this deformation, as it was carried out in Refs. [58, 59]. The accumulated strength from the oblate shapes is in general larger than the corresponding prolate profiles. The spherical distributions have distinct characteristics showing always as a strong peak at an excitation energy around 2 MeV. The profiles from different shapes could be easily distinguished experimentally from each other. This is specially true in the case of the lighter isotopes $^{100}$--$^{104}$Zr and $^{104}$--$^{108}$Mo, where the differences are enhanced. These isotopes are in principle easier to measure since they are the less exotic.

Experimental information on GT strength distributions in these isotopes is only available in the energy range below 1 MeV for the isotopes $^{106,108}$Mo [60], $^{110}$Mo [61], and $^{100,102,104}$Zr [62]. These data can be seen in Figs. 5 and 6 together with the QRPA calculations. Unfortunately, the energy region is still very narrow and represents only a small fraction of the GT strength relevant for the half-life determination. Clearly, more experimental information is needed to get insight into the nuclear structure of these isotopes.
FIG. 5: (Color online) QRPA-SLy4 accumulated GT strengths in Zr isotopes calculated for the various equilibrium shapes. In each isotope the energy range considered corresponds to its $Q_\beta$ value. $S_n$ values are shown by dashed vertical arrows.

FIG. 6: (Color online) Same as in Fig. 5 but for Mo isotopes.

C. Half-lives and $\beta$-delayed neutron-emission probabilities

The calculation of the half-lives in Eq. (9) involves the knowledge of the GT strength distribution and of the $Q_\beta$ values. The calculation of the probability for $\beta$-delayed neutron emission $P_n$ in Eq. (13) involves also the knowledge of the $S_n$ energies. We use experimental values for $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$, which are taken from Ref. [33] or from the Jyväskylä mass database [63], when available. But in those cases where experimental masses are not available, one has to rely on theoretical predictions for them. There are a large number of mass formulas in the market obtained from different approaches.

The strategy used in this work is first of all to compare with experiment the predictions of some representative mass formulas in the mass region where data are available. According to their success in reproducing the $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ energies, we finally adopt the most convenient mass formula for extrapolations to the unknown regions.

FIG. 7: (Color online) Experimental $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ energies compared to the predictions of various mass models.

In Fig. 7 we show this comparison for six frequently used mass formulas. We use the model of P. Möller et al. [19] that belongs to a microscopic-macroscopic type of calculation. It contains a FRDM corrected by microscopic effects obtained from a deformed single-particle model based on a folded Yukawa potential including pairing in the Lipkin-Nogami approach. Then we use the ETFSI model [64], which adopts a semi-classical approximation to the Hartree-Fock method including full Strutinsky shell corrections and BCS pairing correlations. The label SLy4 stands for the masses calculated from the Skyrme force SLy4 with a zero-range pairing force and Lipkin-Nogami obtained from the code HFBTHO [65] and compiled in [35]. The results under the label Gogny have been obtained from the HFB calculations with the finite-range Gogny-D1S force [50]. The HFB-17 model is one of the most recent versions of the Skyrme HFB mass formulas introduced by the Brussels-Montreal group [66, 67]. As in the case of the previous cases, SLy4 and Gogny, this is a fully microscopic approach since it is based on an effective two-body nucleon-nucleon interaction. The Duflo and Zuker (DZ) mass model [57] is writ-
ten as an effective Hamiltonian which contains two parts, a monopole term and a multipole term. The monopole calculations are purely HF-type based on single-particle properties while the multipole term acts as a residual interaction and the calculation goes beyond HF. Its predictive power has been recently checked [68] with a number of tests probing its ability to extrapolate with very good results. In this work we use the 10-parameter version of the mass formula [69], which is a simplification of the more sophisticated 28-parameter mass formula in Ref. [57].

In the upper panels of Fig. [7] we can see the experimental \( Q_\beta \) values (black dots) [33, 63], available for the isotopes \(^{100,102,104}Zr\) and \(^{104,106,108,110}Mo\). They are compared with the predictions of the various mass models discussed above. In the lower panels we have the neutron separation energies \( S_n \) corresponding to the daughter isotopes of Nb and Tc, where we compare the measured energies (black dots) with the predictions of the DZ formula and SLy4 force. We have selected for consistency the SLy4 predictions, but also the DZ mass formula as one of the most suited formula in this particular mass region. They agree pretty well with the measured values for both \( Q_\beta \) and \( S_n \) values. In what follows the results for half-lives and \( P_n \) for the \(^{106,108,110}Zr\) and \(^{112,114}Mo\) will be obtained by using \( Q_\beta \) and \( S_n \) from SLy4 and DZ mass formula.

In Figs. [8] and [9] we can see the dependence of the half-lives \( T_{1/2} \) and \( P_n \) values with the quadrupole deformation \( \beta \). Solid lines in the lighter isotopes (\(^{104}Zr\) and \(^{108,110}Mo\)) correspond to QRPA-SLy4 calculations using experimental \( Q_\beta \) and \( S_n \). In the heavier isotopes (\(^{106–110}Zr\) and \(^{112,114}Mo\)), where there are no data for \( Q_\beta \) and \( S_n \), solid (dashed) lines correspond to QRPA-SLy4 calculations using \( Q_\beta \) and \( S_n \) from SLy4 (DZ). Experimental data are shown by horizontal dashed lines, where the shaded region in between corresponds to a 1-\( \sigma \) confidence level. The vertical dashed lines show the self-consistent quadrupole deformations for which we obtained the equilibrium shape configurations (see Figs. [1] and [2]). The first evidence to mention is that a spherical approach to these nuclei is far from the measured data, demanding a deformed treatment.

In Fig. [8] we show the results for the isotopes \(^{104–110}Zr\). In the cases of \(^{104,106}Zr\) we reproduce the experimental half-lives with oblate and prolate deformations very close to the self-consistent ones. In the oblate case the calculation gives half-lives lower than experiment, while the self-consistent prolate deformation produces somewhat larger ones. Thus, the experiment would be reproduced either by nuclear deformations which do not produce shapes at equilibrium (\( \beta \approx \pm 0.3 \)) or by a mixing of the equilibrium deformations. Interestingly, similar results were obtained in Ref. [14] for \(^{104}Zr\), from the analysis of the measured \( \beta \)-decay properties of \(^{104}Y\) in terms of quadrupole deformation \( \epsilon_2 \) of the daughter \(^{104}Zr\) (see [14] for a formal definition of the parameters \( \epsilon_2 \) and \( \beta \)). In that case, the quadrupole deformation needed to reproduce the measured half-life and \( P_n \) value was \( |\epsilon_2| \approx 0.25 \), although oblate deformations were ruled out at that time. An important difference between the results shown in Fig. [8] and those discussed in Ref. [14] is the abrupt increase seen in the latter for the \( T_{1/2} \) and \( P_n \) value for a near-spherical \(^{104}Zr\). These large values were mostly produced by the location of the GT-populated \( \pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu g_{7/2} \) level at rather high energies in the spherical daughter \(^{104}Zr\). This discrepancy emphasizes the sensitivity of \( T_{1/2} \) and \( P_n \) to the structure details of the mother/daughter nuclei. The experimental \( P_n \) values are only upper limits, although they are much larger than the typical values obtained theoretically. In the heavier isotopes there are no data and these results are then useful to see the sensitivity to deformation of the predictions. The spherical minima in the heavier isotopes predict half-lives and \( P_n \) values much lower than the corresponding values for deformed shapes. In Fig. [9] we have the results for the isotopes \(^{108–114}Mo\). In the case of \(^{108}Mo\) the half-life is repro-
duced with the self-consistent oblate deformation, while the prolate one generates too high half-lives. In the case of $^{110}\text{Mo}$ the measured half-life is well reproduced with both oblate and prolate equilibrium deformations. In the case of $^{108}\text{Mo}$ the $P_n$ value is zero since experimentally $S_n > Q_\beta$. For $^{110}\text{Mo}$ the $P_n$ value is not reached by the calculations. As in the case of the heavier Zr isotopes, the heavier Mo isotopes show that the half-lives for the spherical minima are much smaller than the corresponding half-lives for the self-consistent oblate and prolate shapes. In general we observe that the half-lives ($P_n$ values) in the heavier Zr and Mo isotopes calculated with $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ from SLy4 (solid lines) are lower (larger) than the results calculated with $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ from DZ (dashed lines).

In Figs. 10 and 11 we compare the measured $\beta$-decay half-lives (upper panels) and $P_n$ values (lower panels) with the theoretical results obtained with the oblate, prolate, and spherical equilibrium shapes. In the $^{100-104}\text{Zr}$ isotopes we use experimental $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ values, while in the heavier $^{106-110}\text{Zr}$ isotopes we use SLy4 in Fig. 10 and the DZ mass formula in Fig. 11. Similarly, for the $^{104-110}\text{Mo}$ isotopes we use experimental $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ values, while for $^{112-114}\text{Mo}$ we use SLy4 in Fig. 10 and the DZ mass formula in Fig. 11. In the case of Zr isotopes, we can see that the experimental half-life is close to the oblate result in $^{100}\text{Zr}$ and appear systematically between the prolate and oblate calculations in the isotopes $^{102,104,106}\text{Zr}$. One wonders whether such result could be explained by the coexistence of a highly-deformed prolate ground-state configuration with a moderately deformed minimum similar to that found in $^{100}\text{Zr}$ [51,52] and (more speculatively) in $^{102}\text{Zr}$ [53]. The results seem to indicate that such weakly-deformed intruder configurations may have an oblate character. In the heavier isotopes $^{108,110}\text{Zr}$ the predictions of both oblate and prolate are very close to each other and much larger than the result obtained from spherical shapes. Measuring these half-lives and $P_n$ values will be a good opportunity to check the role of spherical configurations in these exotic nuclei, since the spherical components will lower the half-lives and $P_n$ values by factors about 5 and 15-50, respectively.

In the case of Mo isotopes, the experimental half-lives in $^{104,106,108,110}\text{Mo}$ tend to favor the oblate theoretical results (which are indeed the ground states) over the prolate ones. In the heavier $^{112,114}\text{Mo}$ isotopes, as in the case of the heavier Zr isotopes, oblate and prolate results are very similar and much larger than the spherical predictions, offering again a sensitive test to analyze the deformation of these heavy nuclei, for which spectroscopic measurements are more difficult. Experimental $P_n$ values are only upper limits except for the case $^{110}\text{Mo}$, which is much larger than the calculations. This implies that the relative GT strength contained in the energy region below $S_n$ is overestimated theoretically and therefore the relative contribution coming from the strength above $S_n$ is too small. This can be seen in Fig. 6 for $^{110}\text{Mo}$, where the accumulated strength is practically flat above $S_n$.

The half-lives and $P_n$ values of the $A \sim 110$ nuclei, predicted here for spherical configurations, would have clear consequences in the calculation of r-process abundances. In particular, the abrupt reduction of the $P_n$ values may contribute to fill the artificial trough around $A = 110$ predicted by current r-process nucleosynthesis models. Furthermore, the confirmation of spherical shapes in these nuclei may be an indirect signature of the $N = 82$ shell quenching since both phenomena are predicted by the SLy4 force used in our calculations.

FIG. 10: (Color online) Measured $\beta$-decay half-lives and $P_n$ values for Zr and Mo isotopes compared to theoretical QRPA results calculated from different shape configurations, using SLy4 to compute $Q_\beta$ and $S_n$ in the heavier isotopes.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied the $\beta$-decay properties of neutron-deficient Zr and Mo isotopes within a deformed QRPA approach based on mean fields generated from self-consistent Skyrme Hartree-Fock calculations. In particular, we have analyzed the experimental information on the half-lives and $\beta$-delayed neutron-emission probabilities in the neutron-rich $^{100-110}\text{Zr}$ and $^{104-114}\text{Mo}$ isotopes in terms of the nuclear deformation.

We have shown that the measured half-lives in Zr isotopes are placed between the results obtained from the oblate and prolate coexistent shapes that appear very
close in energy in the PECs. The predicted half-lives in the heavier Zr isotopes $^{108,110}$Zr, where there are no experimental data yet, are however very close to each other for both oblate and prolate shapes and much larger than the predictions from the spherical shapes. On the other hand, the measured half-lives in Mo isotopes agree better with the calculations from oblate shapes, which are lower than the corresponding prolate ones. Once more, in the heavier isotopes $^{112,114}$Mo, the predicted half-lives for both shapes are very close and larger than the spherical ones. Thus, comparison with experimental half-lives indicates that in some cases (Mo isotopes) a single shape accounts for this information, while in other cases (most of the Zr isotopes) a more demanding treatment in terms of mixing of different shapes seems to be more appropriate. $P_n$ values are in general not well reproduced, although experimentally only upper limits are measured in most cases. Hence, it will be certainly worth measuring those heavier isotopes and check whether they are properly described by the deformed shapes, or a spherical component is needed as well.

Nevertheless, one should keep in mind that half-lives are integral properties that collect all the information of the decay in a single number and does not tell us about the detailed internal structure of the GT strength distribution, much more sensitive to the nuclear structure. From a more detailed analysis of the GT strength distributions in the $Q_\beta$ energy range accessible in $\beta$-decay, we have shown that the differences between the predictions of the different nuclear shapes could be clearly distinguished experimentally. Although these spectroscopic measurements are at present not feasible because of the still low production rates of exotic nuclei at modern radioactive beam facilities, they will provide in the future precise tests of the nuclear structure in exotic nuclei.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain) under Contract No. FIS2008-01301. It was also supported in part by the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics (JINA) under NSF Grant PHY-02-16783 and the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) under NSF Grant PHY-01-10253.

[1] E. M. Burbidge, G. M. Burbidge, W. A. Fowler, and F. Hoyle, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 547 (1959).
[2] J. J. Cowan, F.-K. Thielemann, and J. W. Truran, Phys. Rep. 208, 267 (1991).
[3] K.-L. Kratz, J.-P. Bitouzet, F.-K. Thielemann, P. Möller, and B. Pfeiffer, Ap. J. 403, 216 (1993).
[4] J. Dobaczewski, I. Hamamoto, W. Nazarewicz, and J. A. Sheikh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 981 (1994).
[5] J. Dobaczewski, W. Nazarewicz, T. R. Werner, J. F. Berger, C. R. Chinn, and J. Dechargé, Phys. Rev. C 53, 2809 (1996).
[6] T. Otsuka, T. Matsuo, and D. Abe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 162501 (2006).
[7] B. Chen, J. Dobaczewski, K.-L. Kratz, K. Langanke, B. Pfeiffer, F.-K. Thielemann, and P. Vogel, Phys. Lett. B 355, 37 (1995).
[8] B. Pfeiffer, K.-L. Kratz, and F.-K. Thielemann, Z. Phys. A 357, 235 (1997).
[9] B. Pfeiffer, K.-L. Kratz, F.-K. Thielemann, and W. B. Walters, Nucl. Phys. A693, 282 (2001).
[10] B. Sun, F. Montes, L. S. Geng, H. Geissel, Yu. A. Litvinov, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 78, 025806 (2008).
[11] J. M. Pearson, R. C. Nayak, and S. Goriely, Phys. Lett. B 387, 455 (1996).
[12] J. L. Wood, K. Heyde, W. Nazarewicz, M. Huyse, and P. Van Duppen, Phys. Rep. 215, 101 (1992).
[13] R. F. Casten, Nucl. Phys. A443, 1 (1985).
[14] J. Pereira et al., Phys. Rev. C 79, 035806 (2009).
[15] J. Krumlinde and P. Möller, Nucl. Phys. A417, 419 (1984).
[16] P. Möller and J. Randrup, Nucl. Phys. A514, 1 (1990).
[17] P. Möller, J. R. Nix, and K.-L. Kratz, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 66, 131 (1997).
[18] P. Möller, B. Pfeiffer, and K.-L. Kratz, Phys. Rev. C 67, 419 (2002).
