Effect of Cataract Surgery on Intraocular Pressure in Glaucoma Patients
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ABSTRACT
Purpose: To determine the change in intraocular pressure after cataract surgery in patients diagnosed with glaucoma.
Study Design: Interventional case series.
Place and Duration of Study: Glaucoma Clinic. Al-Ibrahim Eye Hospital (AIEH) Karachi, Pakistan from May to October, 2019.
Methods: Thirty-eight patients diagnosed with glaucoma and cataract and registered in glaucoma clinic were recruited for this study. Inclusion Criteria was age > 41 years and patients diagnosed with primary open/closed angle glaucoma and cataract. Patients with secondary glaucoma, history of trabeculectomy and any other ocular diseases were excluded from the study. Pre-operative assessment was done for phacoemulsification. In post-operative examination, first and second follow-up IOP was measured. Data analysis was done on statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 20.0. Statistical changes were present in the form of bar chart, frequency and graphs. The mean standard deviation for pre-operative, post-operative 1st and 2nd follow-up IOP was calculated.
Results: A total of 38 participants and 48 eyes satisfied the inclusion criteria. Out of 48 eyes, 39 (81.3%) eyes were diagnosed with Primary open angle glaucoma and 9 (18.8%) eyes with Primary Angle Closure Glaucoma. The pre-operative mean IOP was 16.56 ± 6.67 mm Hg and post-operative mean IOP at first follow-up was 13.39 ± 4.04 mm Hg. At second follow-up at one-month mean IOP was 12.14 ± 2.28 mm Hg.
Conclusion: Phacoemulsification produces a useful decrease in IOP in glaucoma patients.
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INTRODUCTION
The global prevalence of glaucoma in 40 – 80 years old patients is 3.54%.¹ The prevalence of Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) is highest in Africa and the prevalence of Primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG) is highest in Asia. People of European ancestry and people living in urban areas are more likely to have POAG than those in rural areas.¹ In Pakistan, POAG is the most common type followed by primary angle closure, aphakic, secondary and congenital glaucoma.² Cataract surgery may alter IOP and several studies have reported these changes, which include increased IOP, decreased IOP and even hypotony.³ Cataract surgery has been shown to reduce IOP in eyes with or without glaucoma.⁴ In eyes with...
angle closure glaucoma (ACG), phacoemulsification is an effective procedure in lowering intra-ocular pressure (IOP). In eyes with open angle glaucoma (OAG) a decline in IOP is also seen. Higher preoperative IOP, shallow anterior chamber and higher preoperative IOP were reported to be the indicators of more prominent IOP drop after surgery. 

This interventional case series was carried out to see the drop in intraocular pressures in cases of primary open glaucoma and primary closed glaucoma following phacoemulsification.

METHODS
Patients diagnosed with glaucoma and cataract were registered in glaucoma clinic for detailed assessment. Inclusion Criteria was male or female patients with age more than 41 years and patients diagnosed with primary open/closed angle glaucoma and cataract. Patient diagnosed with pseudoexfoliation, history of trabeculectomy or retinal surgery, other ocular disease and secondary glaucoma were excluded. Pre-operative assessment was done and in the post-operative follow-up, IOP was measured at one week and one month using Goldmann Applanation Tonometer. Data analysis was done on statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 20.0. The mean standard deviation for pre-operative and post-operative IOP at first and second follow-up was calculated.

RESULTS
The study included age group from 41 to 70 years old and the mean age was 54.72 years. There were 22 eyes from male patients and 26 eyes from female patients. Out of 48 eyes, 39 (81.3%) eyes were diagnosed with POAG and 9 (18.8%) eyes with PACG. The pre-operative mean IOP was 16.65 ± 6.67 mm Hg and the mean IOP for first post-operative follow-up at week one was 13.39 ± 4.04 mm Hg. Out of 48 eyes, only 34 eyes presented for second follow-up at one month when the mean IOP was 12.14 ± 2.28 mm Hg. The pre-operative IOP was in the range of 8 – 30 mm Hg which was reduced to 9 – 20 mm Hg on first post-operative follow-up which was further reduced to 9 – 15 mm Hg on second post-operative follow-up at one month.

DISCUSSION
Our results co-relate with a study conducted in Sankara eye care institution in India in which they took a retrospective interventional case series of 218 patients which included 120 females and 98 males and all patients underwent phacoemulsification surgery. They recorded change in IOP for operated and fellow eye. They found a mean reduction in IOP in operated eye with a P value of 0.004. Some other studies showed same results that IOP significantly decreased after phacoemulsification. Different Studies have reported that cataract extraction alone lowers IOP two to four mm Hg which correlates with our results. In patients with higher preoperative IOP the reduction in IOP was more significant. Other studies showed that addition to preoperative IOP and lens thickness, parameters like changes in anterior chamber area and angle opening distance were also significantly associated with reduction in IOP after phacoemulsification. These factors were not studied in our research. Pre-operative IOP was the sole factor significantly related to postoperative IOP reduction after cataract surgery in POAG patients. High pre-operative IOP was strongly related to a greater postoperative IOP reduction. Patients with low pre-operative IOPs have minimal reduction or perhaps a gentle increase in post-operative IOPs while our study did not categorize pre-operative IOPs.

Some studies reported that Phacoemulsification resulted in a very small average decrease in IOP in
patients with open angle glaucoma. A sizeable proportion of medically controlled glaucoma patients with open angles undergoing phacoemulsification experienced a rise in IOP or required more aggressive treatment to regulate IOP postoperatively. In contrast to this, our study did not take into account the pre-operative medical treatment of glaucoma into consideration.

Various studies have shown that combined glaucoma/cataract surgery results in more IOP reduction as compared to cataract surgery alone. Our study took phacoemulsification alone into consideration. Both surgical and medical treatment of glaucoma increase the risk for cataract development. Phacoemulsification (PE) in any case, can be actually more challenging in glaucoma patients due to visual conditions such as the exfoliation syndrome, a past episode of acute Angle closure or a history of past ocular surgeries, miotic treatment, injury, or uveitic glaucoma. In some situations, uncomplicated PE alone may serve to decrease the long-term intraocular pressure. However, our study did not take into consideration the treatment strategy of glaucoma.

Systematic review and meta-analysis of the clinical data showed net effect of cataract surgery on IOP reduction. For angle-closure glaucoma, there was reduction in IOP for about -6.4 mm Hg (95% CI: -9.4 to -3.4) at final follow-up (12 months and longer) while for the open-angle glaucoma group, there was an overall IOP change of -2.7 mm Hg (95% CI -3.7 to -1.7) from baseline. These results are consistent with our study findings.

Cataract surgery decreases IOP in patients with ocular hypertension over a long period of time but we took only two post-operative follow-ups. Another study reported that the mean decrease in IOP and percentage of IOP reduction in the angle closure glaucoma (ACG) group were greater than in the open angle glaucoma (OAG) group. However, our study findings showed overall reduction for the both types of glaucoma.

Other study revealed that phacoemulsification done on glaucomatous eyes results in lowering of IOP but the dosage of glaucoma drugs over the long term should be simplified or even discontinued.

Lancu et al reported that intraocular pressure (IOP) decreased significantly after phacoemulsification in patients with uncontrolled POAG, but the decrease was not adequate for optimal glaucoma management and many patients needed subsequent glaucoma surgery but our study did not focus on the treatment regimen of glaucoma. Legrand et al. compared intraocular pressure (IOP) during the first month following cataract surgery among patients with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) and non-glaucomatous patients. They found raised IOP in POAG patients than non-glaucomatous patients while our study did not include healthy individuals.

Limitation of our study was that we did not take into account other factors which affect IOP including anterior chamber depth, pre-operative medications and lens thickness. Secondly the sample size was small and a single center was involved in this study. Further follow-ups are also needed to comment on the long term effects on the IOP after phacoemulsification.

CONCLUSION
Phacoemulsification produces a useful decrease in IOP in glaucoma patients.
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