ABSTRACT

Invasive species are a major cause of ecological damage and commercial losses. A current problem spreading in North America and Europe is the vinegar fly Drosophila suzukii. Unlike other Drosophilas, it infests non-rotting and healthy fruits and is therefore of concern to fruit growers, such as vintners. Consequently, large amounts of data about infestations have been collected in recent years. However, there is a lack of interactive methods to investigate this data. We employ ensemble-based classification to predict areas susceptible to infestation by D. suzukii and bring them into a spatio-temporal context using maps and glyph-based visualizations. Following the information-seeking mantra, we provide a visual analysis system Drosophigator for spatio-temporal event prediction, enabling the investigation of the spread dynamics of invasive species. We demonstrate the usefulness of this approach in two use cases.

Index Terms: I.3.3 [Computer Graphics]: Picture/Image Generation—Display algorithms; H.5.2 [Information Interfaces and Presentation]: User Interfaces—User-centered design

1 INTRODUCTION

Non-native plants, fungus or animal species that out-compete native species often cause severe economic and ecological damage to our planet. With increasing globalization through trade and travel routes, humankind has created opportunities for invasive species to establish themselves in new regions all over the earth.

An exemplary invasive insect currently spreading around Europe and North America is the Asian vinegar fly Drosophila suzukii or spotted wing Drosophila (D. suzukii). In 2008, first occurrences were reported in California, Spain, and Italy rapidly followed by other regions and countries [11, 12]. In contrast to other Drosophilas, D. suzukii infests even non-rotting and healthy fruits. It has a wide range of possible host plants that have thin-skinned fruits, like cherries, berries or grapes. An adult female fly can lay 1-10 eggs per fruit and 200-400 eggs within its lifespan of 8-25 days. Depending on temperature and other external factors, these eggs become adult flies within 11-24 days. Thus, 13-15 generation cycles are possible during one year. As a result of the spread of D. suzukii, the USA, for example, noted an annual loss of $500 million in fruit production within a few years. Agroscop, the Swiss center of excellence for agricultural research, has also published data of crop losses from 2014 [25] showing that in some Swiss cantons, 80-100% of cherries were unmarketable. Consequently, industry and science are tirelessly searching for novel ways to keep the spread of D. suzukii under control through a better understanding of their spread behavior. Institutes such as the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) or the State Viticulture Institute (WBI) in Freiburg run global databases with weekly to monthly reports about present threats and new findings. Focused on the data gathering aspect these systems are, however, often analytically limited to providing simple D. suzukii distribution maps. To this end, various approaches have been proposed to explore the recorded data. Wiman et al. [35], e.g., make use of the fact that insects are ectotherms, which means that their body temperature equals the ambient temperature. Therefore, low temperatures are a key cause of insect overwinter mortality. The authors tried to estimate D. suzukii populations in different life stages, based on average daily temperatures of some specific fruit production sites combined with trap catches and fruit infestation counts. With their temperature model they found some confirmation of population trends with trap data, and to a limited extent with fruit infestation data. Building on top of this work, other proposed approaches try to optimize temporal and spatial dislocation of control measures by conducting studies on D. suzukii’s plasticity of cold tolerance and its overwinter behavior [25, 31]. Spatial and temporal dislocation is caused by mainly measuring in high ripening seasons and at orcharding sites. Focusing on temperature alone neglects the environmental aspects under which the fly could best procreate, or survive even in colder seasons. Other approaches focus on several integrated pest management (IPM) strategies instead. An extensive review of current methods as well as a categorization is given by Haye et al. [24]. They introduce strategies that focus on chemical, cultural [13, 15] or biological control [14, 15].

The multitude of approaches shows that analyzing the spread of invasive species is a complex problem. There are many different external influences, which affect the spread of D. suzukii, such as surrounding areas, time, temperature, food supply and many more. This is aggravated by the fact, that these influences have to be considered in a temporal and geospatial context. This illustrates the need of researchers for interrogating large amounts of complex empirical evidence interactively, in order to gain insights.

In this paper we present our application Drosophigator (Drosophila Investigator). We follow a visual analytics approach for interactive exploration of large amounts of heterogeneous data sources, including trap counts of D. suzukii, surrounding high-detail land use data, and related metadata. To help researchers investigate the spread dynamics of invasive species, we proceed as follows: First we train an ensemble of classifiers to predict time and place of possible infestations by D. suzukii. These infestation events, are cumulatively visualized with a glyph-based visualization and brought into a spatio-temporal context by placing them on a map. By allowing zoom and filter capabilities, as well as details on demand our application enables domain experts to understand the spread dynamics of invasive species. We demonstrate the usefulness of Drosophigator in two use cases.

*e-mail: daniel.seebacher@uni.kn
† e-mail: johannes.3.haeusler@uni.kn
‡ e-mail: michael.hundt@uni.kn
§ e-mail: manuel.stein@uni.kn
¶ e-mail: ulrich.engelke@data61.csiro.au
** e-mail: daniel.keim@uni.kn
2 Data Description

We performed several expert interviews with the State Viticulture Administration (WBI) in Freiburg, Germany, in order to gain a better understanding of the influences and factors about the spread of D. suzukii as well as to identify current challenges faced by domain experts. The WBI offers through their web service VitiMeteo forecast models for different fungi species, monitoring data for various pests, as well as weather data related to viticulture in the federal state of Baden-Württemberg. In our interviews we found that although a lot of data about D. suzukii is being collected by the WBI they lack adequate methods to analyze and interpret the arising amounts of data as well as visualization techniques to communicate and present related findings.

![Figure 1: Vineyards (highlighted in red) in Baden-Württemberg, as well as measurements stations by the WBI (highlighted in yellow). Highlighted is the Kaiserstuhl, one of the biggest wine regions in Baden-Württemberg.](http://www.vitimeteo.de/)

In the data provided by VitiMeteo are, among other things, observations of the spread of D. suzukii. This data consists of trap findings of D. suzukii as well as percentage information about how many berries were infested in a sample taken at the station. Additionally, there is percentage information about how many eggs were found in a sample. This percentage can be over 100 %, if there are more egg findings than berries in a sample. These observations are collected from 867 stations non-uniformly spread over Baden-Württemberg as shown in Figure 1. Some of them only report observations for one day, others report multiple observations over a time period of up to 1641 days. The observations are rather sparse and irregularly sampled, which makes the use of standard time series analysis techniques challenging, if not impossible. Consequently, Drosophigator should enable researchers of the WBI to interactively analyze this complex data source.

The Julius-Kühn-Institute [2] (JKI) suggests that the number of trap findings are increasing in late summer and stay high until winter. Additionally, Pelton et al. [29] found that areas surrounded by woodland exhibit an earlier infestation. As a result, the focus of our application is the analysis of the spread dynamics, exemplified by D. suzukii, by taking temporal distribution as well as environmental factors into account. In order to test the hypotheses of the JKI and Pelton et al., we gathered the relevant data from different resources.

The time of year is already present in our observation data provided by the WBI. To gather the height of every measuring station, we make use of the ASTER Global Digital Elevation Map [1] which was released by the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI) of Japan and the United States National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). For the land coverage, the State Institute for Environment, Measurements and Nature Conservation of the Federal State of Baden-Württemberg (LUBW), provided us with data from the ATKIS [21] project for the state of Baden-Württemberg. This includes high-detail statewide land usage information. It consists of main groups, such as forests or industry, but also subgroups, such as coniferous forest or treatment plans. Overall there are 83 different combinations of groups and subgroups.

3 Ensemble-based classification of infested areas

To identify regions, in our case vineyards in Baden-Württemberg, which are potentially endangered by D. suzukii, we use machine learning to train a model using the data provided by the WBI in combination with the data collected from ATKIS and ASTER. This allows us to learn which combination of features make areas, at certain points in time, susceptible to infestation. By applying the trained model on other areas we can find new potentially endangered areas.

3.1 Data Preparation

When training our model we need to determine which areas are severely affected by D. suzukii and which are not. As mentioned in Section 2, we have three types of observation (trap findings, berry infestation and egg findings) which all indicate whether D. suzukii occurs in a specific area. All of these observations serve as indicators that an area is infested, thus allowing us to combine them into a single measurement by first normalizing them to range [0,1] and afterwards summing them up into a single feature, subsequently referred to as observations. To cope with irregular samplings of measurements, we averaged the number of observations per station per month. The resulting distribution is right-skewed, with most values being 0, meaning that for most stations we observe no infestation by D. suzukii in a month. To still be able to differentiate between severely infested stations and weakly or non-infested stations, we decided to set the 80 % percentile as an experimental threshold to classify our stations. This threshold may be changed later requiring a retraining of our model, but otherwise not affecting the later steps of the classification and the usage of our application. In total we have a training set consisting of 3860 instances. Using the 80 % percentile of the average observations per month to partition our data into weakly (negative) and severely infested (positive) areas gives us a data set with 735 positive and 3125 negative instances.

We enriched these instances, by adding information about the environmental surroundings of each station. First, we added the height information, which we extracted from ASTER. Second, we added the surrounding land usage information. Since a local spread is possible by D. suzukii itself, we extracted the land usage information in a 5 km radius around each station. Finally, we have an 85 dimensional feature vector for each instance, consisting of the month of the year, the station height, and the surrounding land usage.

Using this partitioning we end up with a rather imbalanced data set with four times as many negative examples as positive ones. This can cause problems since many machine learning algorithms depend on the assumption that the given data set is balanced [22]. Although machine learning techniques exist which can deal with imbalanced data sets, such as the Robust Decision Trees of Liu et al. [27], we want to employ ensemble-based classification, which is a combination of different classifiers. This allows us to improve the classification performance [30] and also to model the uncertainty of our classification, which aids people in making more informed decisions [33]. This requires the creation of a balanced data set, which we can achieve by either using undersampling of the majority class or oversampling of the minority class. Undersampling can be achieved by stratified sampling using the infestation class as strata. However, this would remove instances from our already small data set. To avoid this, we employ oversampling of the minority class using the Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) [10]. SMOTE picks pairs of nearest neighbors in the minority class and...
creates artificial instances by randomly placing a point on the line between the nearest neighbors until the data is balanced. Thus, allowing us to employ default machine learning algorithms.

### 3.2 Ensemble-based Classifier Training

For training the classifiers we use the state-of-the-art data mining systems KNIME [6] and WEKA [22]. We use a selection of well-known machine learning techniques such as Decision Tree, Random Forest, Multilayer Perceptron, Probabilistic Neural Network from KNIME and k-nearest neighbor classifiers ($k \in \{1, 5\}$), LibSVM [9], Bayesian network, locally weighted learning and $K^*$ from WEKA. This selection was determined in an experimental evaluation of available classifiers in KNIME and WEKA, and might be extended later. In order to support our decision to employ ensemble-based classification to improve the classification performance, we first need a baseline measurement. We performed a 10-fold cross validation of each of the classifiers mentioned in the previous paragraph and found that the 1-nearest neighbor classifier achieved the best performance, with a mean Cohen’s $\kappa$ score of 0.919. The other classifiers achieved Cohen’s $\kappa$ scores between 0.50 and 0.89, as shown in Table 1 which are according to Altman [4] moderate to good agreement between the prediction and actual class. To test if ensemble-based classification could achieve better results, we used stacking [36]. Here a logistic regression model is trained which uses the prediction of all previously trained classifiers as inputs to make the final prediction, as suggested by Ho et al. [24]. Using this approach we achieved a Cohen’s $\kappa$ score of 0.927, which is only marginally better than the 1-nearest neighbor classifier. Nevertheless, we are now able to model the uncertainty of our prediction, which according to Skeels [33] is important for decision-making.

| Classifier                  | Cohen’s $\kappa$ |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Ensemble-based Classification | 0.927            |
| 1-NN Classifier             | 0.919            |
| K*                         | 0.887            |
| Random Forest               | 0.866            |
| Decision Tree               | 0.864            |
| 2-NN Classifier             | 0.812            |
| 3-NN Classifier             | 0.758            |
| 4-NN Classifier             | 0.728            |
| Probabilistic Neural Network | 0.718            |
| 5-NN Classifier             | 0.693            |
| LibSVM                      | 0.593            |
| Multilayer Perceptron       | 0.545            |
| Bayesian network             | 0.512            |
| Locally weighted learning   | 0.504            |

Table 1: The ensemble-based classification achieved the best results, in accordance with the study by Rokach [30].

### 4 DROSOPHIGATOR: VISUAL ANALYSIS OF SPATIO-TEMPORAL EVENT PREDICTIONS

Just providing the users with the raw results of our prediction is not sufficient - on the one hand because we have over 20,000 predictions for all months and vineyards in Baden-Württemberg and on the other hand because the spatial context is not interpretable which makes it hard for experts to integrate their domain knowledge into the analysis process. Thus, we need visualization to help experts to easily identify spatial and temporal patterns, select areas of interest and get detailed information on the uncertainty of our model. To achieve this, we follow the visual information seeking mantra of Ben Shneiderman: “Overview first, zoom and filter, details on demand” [32].

As depicted in Figure 2, the distribution of vineyards in Baden-Württemberg is very sparse and several clusters can be immediately spotted. According to the goals of the analysis system stated in Section 2, we need to offer the user an overview first before interactively digging deeper into several spatial areas according to the domain knowledge of the user who could be either a farmer, vintner or researcher. Existing related systems such as BirdVis [18] offer heat map overview visualizations. However, as we want to investigate the distribution of a species over time, we designed a map overlay consisting of several glyphs. This partially preserves the geographic context while the position of a glyph can be used to encode additional information to a certain degree.

The glyph proposed in this paper has a fixed size such that its visual encodings can be interpreted well. Furthermore, this allows the user to compare various glyphs. The position and size of each glyph is determined by a regular grid drawn onto the map. Each grid cell aggregates all vineyards within the respective grid cell. Since the vineyards’ polygons might appear very small at the most zoom levels of the map, we decide to use the center of a vineyard’s polygon to assign it to its respective grid cell. Moreover we want to enable the user to drill-down. This is realized by a semantic zoom into a specific spatial area which enhances well known zooming techniques offered by the most interactive maps. The semantic of the zoom lies within the position and size of the glyphs. On a zoom the size of a glyph stays the same while the map is enlarged or reduced which consequently results in a split of each grid cell such that one glyph covers a smaller spatial area and thus represents a more detailed view. Under certain circumstances, it also may not be enough to be limited to the predefined size of a glyph. Therefore the system offers the possibility to adjust the size of a glyph within a reasonable range by a common slider which is placed above the map view. This allows a seamless investigation of differently sized areas without the necessity to change the map’s view-port by zooming in or out a specific area.

Extensive work on glyphs has been done in the past which we used as guidelines in order to design the final glyph proposed in this paper. This includes e.g. the work by Fuchs et al. [20] and Borgo et al. [7]. We are dealing with time series data, specifically with periodic time series, namely months. Additionally, a user might want to test time related hypotheses. This would support a radial layout of the glyph which facilitates a seamless comparison of neighbored time periods since they are visually neighbored as well. Therefore, we decide to arrange each month within a circle which results in a circle consisting of twelve circle segments (time segments) such that we utilize the visual metaphor of a clock. We therefore chose January to be represented by the first time segment
Additionally, a probability is assigned to each outcome (endangered or not) and is of high relevance since it represents the (un-)certainty about the time of infestation [2] and the influences of environmental factors [29]. Therefore, we investigated two recently proposed assumptions how domain experts can investigate hypotheses using Drosophaga suzukii. We show the usefulness of our system by demonstrating how domain experts to gain insights about the spread dynamics of D. suzukii. We provide zoom-and-filter capabilities by allowing the user to zoom in and out of the map, as well as manually adjusting the cell size to either get a broader or more detailed view on the underlying data.

5 Use Cases

In this section, we want to highlight how visualization can help domain experts to gain insights about the spread dynamics of D. suzukii. We show the usefulness of our system by demonstrating how domain experts can investigate hypotheses using Drosophaga suzukii. We show the usefulness of our system by demonstrating how domain experts can investigate hypotheses using Drosophaga suzukii. We show the usefulness of our system by demonstrating how domain experts can investigate hypotheses using Drosophaga suzukii. We show the usefulness of our system by demonstrating how domain experts can investigate hypotheses using Drosophaga suzukii.
predictions. We increase the cell size of our grid such that a single cell covers all vineyards in Baden-Württemberg. The resulting glyph-visualization is shown in Figure 6. In the visualization, as well as in the detailed tooltip, we can see that the number of infestation is marginal in the first half of the year. However, there is a strong increase in the predicted number of infestation and diminishing uncertainty starting in August until December. This observation is consistent with the hypothesis of the JKI.

A recent two-year field study of Pelton et al. [29] suggests, that high amounts of surrounding woodland are correlated with earlier an infestation of D. suzukii. Using a finer grid resolution, we compare two neighboring grid cells, as shown in Figure 7. The left cell (highlighted in green) shows an earlier infestation than the right cell (highlighted in blue). We compare the land usage of vineyards contained in both cells using our detail-on-demand bar chart visualization. We can identify that the left cell, which exhibits an earlier infestation, has a larger amount of woodlands in the surrounding area, while the right has more agricultural areas in its surrounding. These observations support the hypothesis of Pelton et al.

In these two use-cases, we have demonstrated the capabilities of our tool. Following the information-seeking mantra of Shneidermann using our glyph-based visualization of the ensemble-based predictions, as well as the uncertainty of the prediction, allows us to make observations supporting hypotheses of researchers about the spread dynamics of D. suzukii.

6 DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

The approach presented in this paper is currently very application-driven. However, first feedback from experts is very promising. There are still limitations, which need to be addressed in future work. For instance, the inability to specify the time-granularity makes it currently impossible to investigate the spread dynamics over the course of multiple years. This may require an extension of our visualization, for example, by following a similar approach as proposed by Carlis and Konstan [8]. Further potential improvements of our visualization include switching from a regular grid to a dynamic aggregation approach, avoiding overemphasis of large areas in the glyph with low confidence following the guidelines of Ferreira et al. [17]. Additionally, we plan to reduce the occlusion introduced through our glyph by investigating advanced alternative visualization techniques. Eventually, we need to incorporate other data sources, especially meteorological data. This would allow the investigation of the effects of late frost or severe heat and drought on the spread of D. suzukii, since adult flies are very susceptible to weather [25].

For additional future work we aim to investigate the applicability of our system for spatio-temporal event analysis of other species. One particular use case will be the Global Initiative for Honey Bee Health (GIHH) launched by the CSIRO in 2015 [3], which aims to collect scientific evidence of honey bee population decline through global collaboration. Towards this end, microsensors are attached to the bees to record their activity from which predictors of health are inferred. A visual analytics framework [16] is being developed that facilitates interactive analysis of the microsensing data and aids in finding correlates with environmental factors that may impact on bee health. The system we are presenting here is considered a valuable means of visually interrogating the health predictors and their related uncertainties on a global scale.
7 Conclusion

In this paper we presented our application Drosophigator which enables researchers in the field of viticulture and biology to investigate the spread dynamics of invasive species. Using data provided by the WBI we trained an ensemble of classifiers to identify places and times which are susceptible to infestation by D. suzukii. Using our glyph-based visualization we allow a visual analysis of these spatio-temporal event predictions. We demonstrated the capabilities of our approach in two use-cases, where we show how our tool can be used to investigate hypothesis about the spread of D. suzukii.
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