Primary macrophages and J774 cells respond differently to infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
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Macrophages play an essential role in the early immune response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and are the cell type preferentially infected *in vivo*. Primary macrophages and macrophage-like cell lines are commonly used as infection models, although the physiological relevance of cell lines, particularly for host-pathogen interaction studies, is debatable. Here we use high-throughput RNA-sequencing to analyse transcriptome dynamics of two macrophage models in response to *M. tuberculosis* infection. Specifically, we study the early response of bone marrow-derived mouse macrophages and cell line J774 to infection with live and γ-irradiated (killed) *M. tuberculosis*. We show that infection with live bacilli specifically alters the expression of host genes such as *Rsad2*, *Ifit1/2/3* and *Rig-I*, whose potential roles in resistance to *M. tuberculosis* infection have not yet been investigated. In addition, the response of primary macrophages is faster and more intense than that of J774 cells in terms of number of differentially expressed genes and magnitude of induction/repression. Our results point to potentially novel processes leading to immune containment early during *M. tuberculosis* infection, and support the idea that important differences exist between primary macrophages and cell lines, which should be taken into account when choosing a macrophage model to study host-pathogen interactions.

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection occurs by inhalation of bacilli-containing aerosols produced by patients with active pulmonary disease. In the lung, the first host cells that the bacilli encounter are the alveolar macrophages, which can identify and phagocytise *M. tuberculosis* via specific receptors such as toll-like receptors (TLRs), scavenger receptors or mannose receptor1. These interactions lead to production of various antimicrobial peptides, cytokines and chemokines2. If the pathogen is not eliminated within the alveolar macrophages, other cell types such as monocytes, dendritic cells and neutrophils are recruited and infected, leading to granuloma formation3. Once the adaptive immunity ensues, CD4+ T-cells arrive to the lung secreting IFN-γ, which activates macrophages for efficient *M. tuberculosis* killing. Therefore, macrophages are both key effector cells in mycobacterial killing and a niche for bacterial multiplication. Understanding the mechanisms of *M. tuberculosis*-macrophage interactions is key to identify pathways central to both bacterial virulence and host immunity.

Several macrophage models have been used to study *M. tuberculosis* infection. These include primary macrophages, mainly human monocyte-derived macrophages (hMDMs) or mouse bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs); and cell lines, such as the human THP-1 and U937, or the murine J774 and RAW 264.74,5. BMDMs are a useful model because they can be easily cultured and expanded to large numbers. Besides, BMDMs isolated from knockout mice enable the study of the role of specific genes/pathways during *M. tuberculosis* infection. hMDMs are a more physiologically relevant model, since they are isolated from the natural host. Additionally, hMDMs are relatively easy to obtain and differentiate *in vitro* from human blood monocytes. However, the use of human biological samples entails ethical issues, and the genetic variation that underlies the use of human donors complicates result interpretation. The use of cell lines, on the other hand, has several advantages, related mainly to the fact that they are homogenous, and very easy to propagate and maintain in the laboratory. However, cell lines derive from transformed or immortalized cells that have acquired genetic and phenotypic differences in comparison with their primary counterparts6–8. Furthermore, cell lines have a tendency to acquire antibiotic resistance.
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to be genetically unstable, with hybrid phenotypes and possibly atypical signalling mechanisms. Therefore, the question remains on whether cell lines are physiologically relevant systems, particularly for host-pathogen interaction studies.

Previous comparisons of macrophage infection models for *M. tuberculosis* have focused on certain phenotypic traits such as intracellular growth, cytokine production, or phagosome characteristics\(^5,9,10\). Jordao and collaborators found that *Mycobacterium bovis* grows more efficiently in hMDMs than in J774 over a 7-day time course, even though both types of macrophages can restrict growth during the first 24 hours of infection through a mechanism involving phagosome acidification and nitric oxide production\(^6\). Mendoza and collaborators compared *M. tuberculosis*, *M. bovis* and *M. bovis* BCG infection of hMDMs, THP-1 and U937, and found that U937 has a lower phagocytic capacity than the other two. They also compared production of nitrite, TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-12 in resting and IFN-\(\gamma\)-activated macrophages, and found that THP-1 resembles more closely hMDMs\(^9\).

Here we focus on two mouse macrophage models that are widely used to study *M. tuberculosis* infection: BMDMs (primary macrophages) and J774A.1 (a cell line, hereafter referred to as “J774” for simplicity). We conduct an in depth analysis of their transcriptomic response to infection with live *M. tuberculosis* and stimulation with \(\gamma\)-irradiated (killed) *M. tuberculosis*. We find that BMDMs respond very strongly to infection whereas the response of J774 is delayed and more discreet both in terms of number of differentially expressed genes and magnitude of induction/repression. Furthermore, we identify macrophage genes whose possible function in resistance to *M. tuberculosis* infection has not yet been investigated.

**Results**

**Global transcriptome profiles.** BMDMs and J774 macrophages were either infected with live *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv or stimulated with \(\gamma\)-irradiated (killed) *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv for 4 hours. Total RNA was isolated at 4 and 24 hours post-infection (hpi), and CFUs were determined by plating dilutions of lysed macrophages. At 24 hpi, a 0.34 log and a 0.2 log decrease in CFUs was observed in BMDMs and J774, respectively (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Total RNA was used to construct individually barcoded, strand-specific RNA-seq libraries after depletion of ribosomal and mitochondrial RNA, and the libraries were sequenced on an Illumina® HiSeq™ 2000 apparatus.

To investigate general trends in the data, principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out (see Supplementary Fig. S2). PCA confirmed no outlier samples and showed separate grouping of uninfected controls, at 4 and 24 hpi (FDR\(<0.05\)) (Fig. 1a, and Supplementary Data S2). By contrast, only 234 DEGs were detected for J774 when infected with live *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv for 4 hours. Total RNA was isolated at 4 and 24 hours post-infection (hpi), and CFUs were determined by plating dilutions of lysed macrophages. At 24 hpi, a 0.34 log and a 0.2 log decrease in CFUs was observed in BMDMs and J774, respectively (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Total RNA was used to construct individually barcoded, strand-specific RNA-seq libraries after depletion of ribosomal and mitochondrial RNA, and the libraries were sequenced on an Illumina® HiSeq™ 2000 apparatus.

In addition, the transcriptome of BMDMs uninfected controls (but not that of J774 uninfected cells) changed considerably from 4 hpi to 24 hpi (Supplementary Fig. S2, and Supplementary Data S1). That is, considerable changes occurred in the transcriptome of uninfected BMDMs while they were maintained in culture, emphasizing the need to process a matched non-infected control for each time point when using primary macrophages. These differences in the transcriptome of *in vitro* grown primary macrophages over time had been previously observed by others both with murine macrophages\(^11\) and human macrophages\(^12\).

**Differences between the transcriptional responses of BMDMs and J774 cells.** Differential expression analysis demonstrated a clear response of *M. tuberculosis*-infected BMDMs as early as 4 hpi. A total of 2,494 significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with an absolute fold change (FC) greater than 2 ([FC] > 2 or \(|\log_{2}\text{FC}| > 1\)) were detected at 4 hpi in BMDMs infected with live bacteria compared to uninfected BMDMs (FDR < 0.05) (Fig. 1a, and Supplementary Data S2). By contrast, only 234 DEGs were detected for J774 when infected with live *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv for 4 hours. Total RNA was isolated at 4 and 24 hours post-infection (hpi), and CFUs were determined by plating dilutions of lysed macrophages. At 24 hpi, a 0.34 log and a 0.2 log decrease in CFUs was observed in BMDMs and J774, respectively (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Total RNA was used to construct individually barcoded, strand-specific RNA-seq libraries after depletion of ribosomal and mitochondrial RNA, and the libraries were sequenced on an Illumina® HiSeq™ 2000 apparatus.

In addition, the transcriptome of BMDMs uninfected controls (but not that of J774 uninfected cells) changed considerably from 4 hpi to 24 hpi (Supplementary Fig. S2, and Supplementary Data S1). That is, considerable changes occurred in the transcriptome of uninfected BMDMs while they were maintained in culture, emphasizing the need to process a matched non-infected control for each time point when using primary macrophages. These differences in the transcriptome of *in vitro* grown primary macrophages over time had been previously observed by others both with murine macrophages\(^11\) and human macrophages\(^12\).
comparing uninfected vs infected with live bacteria (FDR < 0.05, |FC| > 2, Fig. 1b, and Supplementary Data S2). Of these 234 DEGs, 183 were also differentially expressed in BMDMs (Fig. 2a and b, and Supplementary Data S3), representing 78% and 7.4% of the DEGs in J774 and BMDMs, respectively. Furthermore, 182 of the 183 common DEGs were differentially expressed in the same direction, with 122 upregulated (top right quadrant of the scatter plot in Fig. 2a), and 60 downregulated (bottom left quadrant, Fig. 2a). However, the absolute fold change in expression of 136 (74.3%) of the 183 common DEGs was higher in BMDMs than in J774 (Fig. 2c). This was a general trend, with a Log2FC range between −5.39 and +9.76 in BMDMs, whereas in J774 it was narrower, between −2.33 and +5.54.

The number of DEGs in J774 (but not in BMDMs) increased considerably from 4 to 24 hpi, but was still lower than the number of DEGs in BMDMs (Fig. 1, and Supplementary Data S2). 918 genes with a |FC| > 2 were differentially expressed at 24 hpi in both BMDMs and J774 cells (Fig. 2d and e, and Supplementary Data S3). These represent 41% and 54% of the total DEGs at 24 hpi, respectively. Of these 918 common DEGs, 903 were differentially expressed in the same direction, with 398 upregulated (top right quadrant of the scatter plot), and 505

Figure 2. Comparison of the response of BMDMs and J774 to infection with live M. tuberculosis.
Scatterplots comparing the Log2 fold change (FC) of all the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in BMDMs and J774 at 4 hpi (a) and 24 hpi (d). Grey dots represent genes differentially expressed in one macrophage type only. Points in shades of red represent genes differentially expressed in both data sets in the same direction. Points in shades of green represent genes differentially expressed in opposite direction. Those showing FC < |2| in either/both condition(s) are shown in light colour and those with FC > |2| in both conditions are shown in dark. The number of genes are indicated for each quadrant. (b) and (e) Venn diagrams comparing DEGs in BMDMs and J774 at 4 hpi and 24 hpi, respectively. (c) and (f) Line graphs showing the variation of the Log2FC for each DEG in common between BMDMs and J774 at 4 hpi (c) and 24 hpi (f). Lines are coloured according to the Log2FC in BMDMs (Log2FC > 4 red, 2 < Log2FC < 4 orange, 1 < Log2FC < 2 yellow, −1 > Log2FC > −2 green, −2 > Log2FC blue).
downregulated (bottom left quadrant, Fig. 2d). At 24 hpi, the level of response was more similar between the two types of cells, with only 55% of the common DEGs presenting a Log₂FC significantly different (Fig. 2f). Overall, the global level of induction/repression was similar at 24 hpi in both types of macrophages ranging from −6.00 to +9.77 in BMDMs, and −5.41 to +9.84 in J774. Of note, 104 of the common DEGs detected at 4 hpi were also differentially expressed in both cell types at 24 hpi, including most of the top differentially expressed ones.

Core response of murine macrophages to infection with live M. tuberculosis. A more detailed examination of the genes differentially expressed in both J774 and BMDMs highlighted many genes previously described as important during M. tuberculosis infection (Supplementary Data S3). These include receptors for the recognition and phagocytosis of M. tuberculosis, such as the C-type lectin receptor Mincle (Clec4e) and the cell A scavenger receptor MARCO, both of which were induced; the mannose receptor (Mcr1), that was repressed; and the cystolic receptors Nod1 and Nod2, and Thb, induced. The products of other shared induced genes are involved in the activation and recruitment of antimicrobial mechanisms, like the CD40 receptor that activates antimicrobial mechanisms in infected macrophages upon interaction with ligand CD40L in T-cells\(^2\); several IFN-inducible GTPases such as Gbp6, Gbp7, Irgm1 (Lrg-47) and Irgm2, which confer cell-autonomous immunity to mycobacterial infection\(^13,15\); Isg15, which induces IFN-γ-production in lymphocytes\(^16\); Irg1, with bactericidal properties against M. tuberculosis through various mechanisms including inhibition of its isocitrate lyase\(^17\); Il1b, which stimulates antimycobacterial immunity in macrophages\(^20,21\), and caspase-1 (Casp1), required for processing of pro-IL-1β into its active form\(^22\). Mmp9 and Ccl3 (Rantes), associated with recruitment of monocytes and macrophages during granuloma formation\(^23\), and the neutrophil and lymphocyte-recruiting chemokines Cxcl2, Cxcl10 and Cxcl11 were also induced; as were the pro-apoptosis genes Ptg2 (Cox2) and Ptg (Pges), while the pro-necrosis gene Alox5 was repressed.

Several interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) were also highly induced, such as Rsnad2 (Viperin), Mx1, Mx2, Ifit1, Ifit2, Ift3, Ifi205, Apol9a, and Apol9b, all with known antimicrobial functions\(^25–27\) but without a known function during M. tuberculosis infection. Interestingly, the gene encoding RIG-1 (Ddx58) was also induced. RIG-1 is a pattern recognition receptor involved in viral RNA recognition, critical for the host antiviral response. The top downregulated genes included Rtn4r1l1; the apoptosis activator Bmg; the receptor Ephα2, whose absence is associated with a reduced bacterial load during the chronic phase of M. tuberculosis infection in mice\(^28\); Gpr34, whose deficiency in mice has been associated with an altered immune response\(^29,30\); the chemokine Ccl24; and the scavenger receptor Fcr1.

Pathway analysis of DEGs in macrophages infected with live M. tuberculosis. To gain insight into the cellular and molecular functions of the M. tuberculosis-induced host genes, DEGs in macrophages infected with live bacteria were subjected to pathway analysis using QIAGEN’s Ingenuity® Pathway Analysis (IPA®). A full list of all the enriched pathways for each condition can be found online in the Supplementary Data S4. Comparison analysis was used to visualise the results across all four conditions.

The top enriched pathways are shown in Fig. 3. Pathways are ranked according to the enrichment score (Fisher’s exact test P-value, Fig. 3a), and to the Z-score which predicts activation or inhibition of the pathways by comparing observed and predicted regulation patterns (Fig. 3b). The same pathways were enriched in BMDMs and J774 although with different scores. For most pathways, the enrichment score and the Z-score was higher in BMDMs than in J774, particularly at 4 hpi, reflecting the stronger response in this type of macrophages early after the infection (Fig. 3).

TREM1 (triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 1) was one of the most significantly enriched pathways, with a high activation score in all conditions. TREM1 is a DAP12-associated receptor that plays an essential role in innate immunity by fine-tuning the inflammatory response\(^31\). In our dataset, many of the genes encoding cytokines and chemokines whose expression and secretion is induced by TREM1 activation, as well as several genes encoding cell surface proteins, were upregulated (see Supplementary Fig. S3). In addition to regulating the innate immune response, TREM1 modulates the adaptive immune response by inhibiting the regulators SIGIRR, which downregulates the Th1 response, and ST2, which enhances the Th2 response\(^32\). Both SIGIRR and ST2 were involved in the activation and recruitment of antimicrobial mechanisms, like the CD40 receptor that activates antimicrobial mechanisms in infected macrophages upon interaction with ligand CD40L in T-cells\(^3\); several IFN-inducible GTPases such as Gbp6, Gbp7, Irgm1 (Lrg-47) and Irgm2, which confer cell-autonomous immunity to mycobacterial infection\(^13,15\); Isg15, which induces IFN-γ-production in lymphocytes\(^16\); Irg1, with bactericidal properties against M. tuberculosis through various mechanisms including inhibition of its isocitrate lyase\(^17\); Il1b, which stimulates antimycobacterial immunity in macrophages\(^20,21\), and caspase-1 (Casp1), required for processing of pro-IL-1β into its active form\(^22\). Mmp9 and Ccl3 (Rantes), associated with recruitment of monocytes and macrophages during granuloma formation\(^23\), and the neutrophil and lymphocyte-recruiting chemokines Cxcl2, Cxcl10 and Cxcl11 were also induced; as were the pro-apoptosis genes Ptg2 (Cox2) and Ptg (Pges), while the pro-necrosis gene Alox5 was repressed.

Several interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) were also highly induced, such as Rsnad2 (Viperin), Mx1, Mx2, Ifit1, Ifit2, Ift3, Ifi205, Apol9a, and Apol9b, all with known antimicrobial functions\(^25–27\) but without a known function during M. tuberculosis infection. Interestingly, the gene encoding RIG-1 (Ddx58) was also induced. RIG-1 is a pattern recognition receptor involved in viral RNA recognition, critical for the host antiviral response. The top downregulated genes included Rtn4r1l1; the apoptosis activator Bmg; the receptor Ephα2, whose absence is associated with a reduced bacterial load during the chronic phase of M. tuberculosis infection in mice\(^28\); Gpr34, whose deficiency in mice has been associated with an altered immune response\(^29,30\); the chemokine Ccl24; and the scavenger receptor Fcr1.

Pathway analysis of DEGs in macrophages infected with live M. tuberculosis. To gain insight into the cellular and molecular functions of the M. tuberculosis-induced host genes, DEGs in macrophages infected with live bacteria were subjected to pathway analysis using QIAGEN’s Ingenuity® Pathway Analysis (IPA®). A full list of all the enriched pathways for each condition can be found online in the Supplementary Data S4. Comparison analysis was used to visualise the results across all four conditions.

The top enriched pathways are shown in Fig. 3. Pathways are ranked according to the enrichment score (Fisher’s exact test P-value, Fig. 3a), and to the Z-score which predicts activation or inhibition of the pathways by comparing observed and predicted regulation patterns (Fig. 3b). The same pathways were enriched in BMDMs and J774 although with different scores. For most pathways, the enrichment score and the Z-score was higher in BMDMs than in J774, particularly at 4 hpi, reflecting the stronger response in this type of macrophages early after the infection (Fig. 3).

TREM1 (triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 1) was one of the most significantly enriched pathways, with a high activation score in all conditions. TREM1 is a DAP12-associated receptor that plays an essential role in innate immunity by fine-tuning the inflammatory response\(^31\). In our dataset, many of the genes encoding cytokines and chemokines whose expression and secretion is induced by TREM1 activation, as well as several genes encoding cell surface proteins, were upregulated (see Supplementary Fig. S3). In addition to regulating the innate immune response, TREM1 modulates the adaptive immune response by inhibiting the regulators SIGIRR, which downregulates the Th1 response, and ST2, which enhances the Th2 response\(^32\). Both SIGIRR and ST2 were downregulated in our dataset.

Several pathways involved in bacterial recognition by host cells were also activated such as "Activation of IRF by cytosolic pattern recognition receptors", "Role of RIG-1 like receptors in antiviral innate immunity", "Toll-like receptor signalling", or the more general pathway "Role of pattern recognition receptors in recognition of bacteria and virus" which includes TLR, NLR, RIP and complement receptors (Fig. 3).

Only a few pathways had a negative Z-score (inhibition), including PPAR signalling, LXR/RXR activation, and antioxidiant action of vitamin C (Fig. 3b). Of these, PPAR signalling had the highest enrichment score. The PPAR (proliferator-activated receptor) family are ligand-activated transcriptional regulators activated by fatty acids and their derivatives. They regulate the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism, and their function is suppressed by cytokines such as IL-1 and TNF-α.

Cell type-specific response to M. tuberculosis infection. A full list of the genes differentially expressed exclusively in one of the two macrophage models at a particular time point can be found online (Supplementary Data S3). Some of the genes induced exclusively in BMDMs included the chemokines Cxcl11, Cxcl3 and Cxcl9, the cytokines Il1a, Il6, and Il12b; Rasgrp1, which participates in T cell activation; Mmp14, which regulates monocyte migration and collagen destruction in tuberculosis\(^33\); Serpinb2, also known as plasminogen activator inhibitor type 2 (Pai-2), a major product of activated monocytes/macrophages which has been linked to regulation of Th1 responses\(^34\); and Fpr2, a recently described pathogen recognition receptor that recognizes bacterial signal peptides and triggers classical innate immune responses, such as intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization, generation of reactive oxygen species, release of metallopeptidase, and chemotactic cell migration\(^35\). Hes1,
a Notch target gene that suppress TLR-triggered pro-inflammatory cytokine production, was one of the most downregulated genes in BMDMs. Among the top induced genes solely in J774 there was Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi; Rab15, involved in endocytic recycling; Nos2, highly induced at 24 hpi. Pathway analysis did not reveal any cell-specific pathways, although at 4 hpi some pathways were enriched exclusively in BMDMs, which is not unexpected given the modest response exhibited by J774 cells at this early time point (Fig. 3). Some of the pathways induced in BMDMs at 4 hpi but not in J774 until 24 hpi were "Interferon signalling" and "Role of RIG1-like receptors in antiviral innate immunity".

Immune response of macrophages to stimulation with \(\gamma\)-irradiated \(M.\) \(tuberculosis\). To study if the differences detected between both cell types were due to differences in the response to either phagocytosis or infection, the transcriptome of macrophages stimulated with dead \(\gamma\)-irradiated \(M.\) \(tuberculosis\) was also analysed by RNA-seq. Similar to what was observed when infecting with live bacteria, differential expression analysis of macrophages stimulated with dead bacteria compared to uninfected macrophages at 4 hpi showed a stronger response in BMDMs (1,764 DEGs) than in J774 (211 DEGs) (Fig. 1). At 24 hpi the number of DEGs slightly decreased in BMDMs (1,338 DEGs) and increased in J774 (337 DEGs). Moreover, the magnitude of regulation in macrophages infected with live bacteria and stimulated with dead bacteria was similar in BMDMs at both time points, and in J774 at 4 hpi, but lower in J774 stimulated with dead bacteria at 24 hpi.
gene expression ranged from $-5.73$ to $+9.71$ and from $-5.00$ to $+9.98$ in BMDMs at 4 hpi and 24 hpi, respectively, and from $-3.28$ to $+5.66$ and $-5.15$ to $+5.73$ in J774. A full list of all the DEGs ($|FC|>2$) can be found online in the Supplementary Data S2.

Pairwise comparisons of DEGs in macrophages stimulated with dead bacteria or infected with live bacteria showed a marked overlap, particularly in BMDM. 79% and 82% of the DEGs in BMDMs stimulated with dead bacteria were also differentially expressed in BMDMs infected with live bacteria at 4 hpi and 24 hpi, respectively (Fig. 4a and b). These correspond to 58% and 48% of the DEGs in BMDMs infected with live bacteria, respectively. Similarly, 64% and 65% of the DEGs in J774 stimulated with dead bacteria were also differentially expressed in J774 infected with live bacteria at 4 hpi and 24 hpi, respectively (Fig. 4c and d). These correspond to 57% of the DEGs in J774 infected with live bacteria at 4 hpi, but only 13% of the DEGs in J774 infected with live bacteria at 24 hpi (Fig. 4c and d). This reflects the substantial difference in the total number of DEGs between the two conditions in J774 at 24 hpi (Fig. 1b). Nevertheless, in all four comparisons virtually all the common genes were differentially expressed in the same direction and magnitude (Fig. 4). Consequently, pathway analysis results were similar in macrophages stimulated with dead bacteria and macrophages infected with live bacteria, and all the pathways had the same direction of activation/inhibition prediction (Fig. 5). As expected, the degree of activation/inhibition ($Z$-score absolute value) was similar in macrophages stimulated with dead bacteria or infected with live bacteria at 4 hpi but lower in macrophages stimulated with dead bacteria at 24 hpi. Overall, these results indicate that the differences detected in the transcriptomic responses between the two cell types occur even just upon phagocytosis of dead *M. tuberculosis*.

Figure 4. Comparison of the response of BMDMs and J774 to infection with live bacteria and stimulation with dead *M. tuberculosis*. Scatterplots comparing the Log$_2$ fold change (FC) of all the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in BMDMs at 4 hpi (a) and 24 hpi (b), and J774 at 4 hpi (c) and 24 hpi (d). Grey dots represent genes differentially expressed in one condition only. Points in shades of red represent genes differentially expressed in both data sets in the same direction. Points in shades of green represent genes differentially expressed in opposite direction. Those showing FC $<2$ in either/both condition(s) are shown in light colour and those with FC $>2$ in both conditions are shown in dark. The number of genes are indicated for each quadrant. A Venn diagram showing the number of DEGs in macrophages infected with live bacteria and/or stimulated with dead bacteria is shown for each macrophage type and time point.
Discussion

Macrophages play an essential role in the early immune response against *M. tuberculosis* and are the cell type preferentially infected in vivo. The failure of an appropriate macrophage response is critical for the establishment of infection and progression of the disease. *M. tuberculosis* has evolved multiple evasion mechanisms in order to survive and grow inside macrophages. To study the antimicrobial response of macrophages and the evasion mechanisms of *M. tuberculosis* both primary macrophages and cell lines are commonly used. BMDMs are a popular model because they are easy to obtain, and can be sourced from a variety of specific genetic backgrounds. Likewise, the cell line J774 is frequently used since it is readily available, and no animals are involved, which results in a reduction in the number of animals used in research. In the present study, we compare the transcriptomic response of BMDMs and the macrophage-like cell line J774 to infection with *M. tuberculosis H37Rv* by using high-throughput RNA-seq.

We have found that BMDMs respond very strongly to infection whereas the response of J774 to *M. tuberculosis* infection is weaker both in terms of number of DEGs and magnitude of induction/repression, at least during the early time points of the infection. A strong host response similar to that obtained in BMDMs has been previously observed using microarrays in murine BMDMs infected with live *M. tuberculosis* strains CDC1551 and HN878 by Koo et al. A high number of DEGs were detected early in the infection (6 hpi) followed by a decrease in the number of DEGs at 24 hpi. Other studies using human or bovine MDMs have reported a more modest response in the first hours of the infection followed by a marked increase in the amount of DEGs detected at around 18–24 hpi, similar to what we have observed in the J774 cell line. Interestingly, previous work has shown that infection with *Yersinia enterocolitica* results in a higher number of upregulated genes in BMDMs than in J774 cells. This seems to be due to a higher susceptibility of J774 to inhibition by specific anti-host effector proteins from *Y. enterocolitica* that interfere with host signalling pathways. Whether a similar scenario occurs upon infection with *M. tuberculosis* would be difficult to establish considering the multifactorial nature of its virulence. An additional explanation would be that J774, which derive from a lymphoma, are already in a relatively

---

**Figure 5.** Top enriched pathways in macrophages infected with live bacteria and stimulated with dead *M. tuberculosis*. (a) BMDMs, and (b) J774 cells. Data were analysed through the use of QIAGEN’s Ingenuity® Pathway Analysis (IPA®, QIAGEN Redwood City, www.qiagen.com/ingenuity). Pathways are ranked according to the Z-score that predicts activation/repression.
activated state with enhanced glycolysis, which may have contributed to the reduced response observed at 4 hpi compared to the more naive BMDM.

It is important to note that the BMDMs used in the present study were obtained from C57BL/6 mice, an extensively studied mouse strain from which most knockout mutants derive, whereas the J774 cell line derives from Balb/c mice. Some differences have been described in the immune response of these two mouse strains to M. tuberculosis infection and BCG vaccination in vivo, entailing mainly a greater early innate response (measured by production of IL-12, IFN-γ, TNF-α, MCP-1 and neutrophil influx) but a suppressed Th1 response in Balb/c compared to C57BL/6 in vitro.48,49 However, both strains control the infection similarly and have been classified as resistant to M. tuberculosis infection in comparison to other more susceptible mouse strains.50 Besides, they both mount a comparable protective immunity upon vaccination with BCG.41 Additionally, their BMDMs respond very similarly to M. tuberculosis infection in vitro52,53. However, we cannot rule out that the differences we have observed are the result of both the different origin of the cells and of them being primary cells and a cell line.

Except for the more modest response of J774 cells, the type of response of both macrophage types to M. tuberculosis infection was quite similar in terms of DEGs and pathways enriched, particularly at 24 hpi. Importantly, several previously described antimycobacterial mechanisms were induced in our dataset such as Ifih1 (Lmg47) and Irgm2, or Irg15. Many other ISGs with known antimicrobial function were also induced, such as Rsd2 (Viperin) or Ifih1/2/3. To the best of our knowledge, a specific role for many of these genes in M. tuberculosis infection has not been described. Therefore, the study of their involvement in the defence against M. tuberculosis is worth exploring.

An interesting pathway that was highly induced in both macrophages was TREM1. TREM1 has been shown to regulate the host response at an early stage during infection of various pathogens such as Streptococcus pneumoniae,54 Klebsiella pneumoniae,55 and Streptococcus suis56,57 and it is induced in human macrophages during live tuberculosis infection.58 Although the ligand of TREM1 is unknown, it has been speculated that pathogen-associated molecular patterns could directly activate it58, therefore it would be interesting to study if any of the M. tuberculosis cell wall components is a ligand for this receptor.

The PPAR signalling pathway was repressed. Current evidence indicates that mycobacterial infection causes a time-dependent increase in PPARγ expression through a macrophage mannose receptor-dependent pathway.59 This induction results in increased lipid droplet formation and down-modulation of the macrophage response, suggesting that PPARγ expression might aid the mycobacteria in circumventing the host response. In fact, knockdown of PPARγ in human macrophages has been shown to result in an increase in TNF production and a decrease in M. tuberculosis growth at 24 hpi.59 The inhibition of this pathway together with the antimicrobial mechanisms previously mentioned could explain the control of M. tuberculosis growth by the macrophage at this early stage of the infection.59–61 PPAR is a well-known pathway associated with diabetes60. Interestingly there is now a recognised connection between diabetes and tuberculosis61, although the mechanisms leading to this interaction are still unclear.62,63 However, with the PPARγ pathway common between these diseases, it could be worthwhile to explore this connection in more detail.

It has been recently recognised that M. tuberculosis derived-products reach the cytosol early during infection via the formation of pores in the phagosomal membrane in an ESX1-dependent process.64 Both M. tuberculosis DNA and cyclic-di-adenosine monophosphate (c-di-AMP) are recognized by cytosolic receptors triggering production of type I IFN, ISGs and pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β through the cGAS-STING-TBK1-IRF3 and AIM2-NLRP3-IL-1β signalling pathways.65,66 Surprisingly, the STING/TBK1 axis was not differentially expressed in our dataset but the genes for other DNA sensors such as Ifih1 and Zbp1, or Ddx58 (Lmg47) and Ifih1/2/3.67,68 Therefore, the STING/TBK1 axis was not differentially expressed in our dataset but the genes for other DNA sensors such as Ifih1, or Ddx58 (Lmg47) and Ifih1/2/3.67,68

The relevance of the activation of these signalling pathways during M. tuberculosis infection and the origin of the DNA/RNA that they would sense deserves further investigation.

The similarity in the host response in macrophages infected with live bacteria or stimulated with γ-irradiated bacteria at this early stage of the infection is not surprising if we consider that, contrary to what happens with heat-killing, inactivation by γ-irradiation preserves cell integrity. Therefore, the same pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are recognized by the cell’s pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) leading to the same downstream signalling pathways being activated.69 Furthermore, several studies have shown that cells inactivated by γ-irradiation are still metabolically active and de novo transcription and translation still occurs.70,71 Consequently, it would be safe to speculate that during macrophage stimulation with γ-irradiated M. tuberculosis the early host-pathogen interactions are conserved. This would most likely include activation of the cytosolic surveillance pathway through ESX-1-mediated cytosolic access, in the same way that γ-irradiated Francisella tularensis is able to escape the endosome into the cytoplasm.72 In fact, many of the hallmark genes of the cytosolic surveillance pathway were highly induced in BMDMs stimulated with dead bacteria. The induction was particularly high at 4 hpi and it decreased at 24 hpi (Log FC ≈ 1–2) while it was still high in macrophages infected with live bacteria (Log FC ≈ 3–4). It is likely that, at later time points, macrophages stimulated with inactivated bacteria incapable of replication can digest the bacteria and slowly shutdown their response. This would also explain the low number of DEGs at 24 hpi in macrophages stimulated with dead bacteria compared to macrophages infected with live bacteria.

In conclusion, comparison of the response of bone marrow-derived primary macrophages and the macrophage-like cell line J774 has highlighted differences in the timing and strength of the response. These differences should be taken into account when using a macrophage model for the study of host-pathogen interactions. Furthermore, the use of high-throughput RNA-seq has allowed delineation of the macrophage transcriptomic
response to M. tuberculosis infection in great depth, identifying genes whose function in resistance to M. tuberculosis infection has not yet been investigated. These findings point to potentially novel processes leading to immune containment early in the infection.

Methods

Bacterial strains, growth, and γ-irradiation conditions. M. tuberculosis H37Rv (ATCC 27294) was grown in Middlebrook 7H9 supplemented with 0.05% Tween 80 and 10% albumin-dextrose-catalase (ADC), or on 7H11 agar supplemented with 10% oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase (OADC) at 37°C. Log-phase cultures were collected, washed twice with PBS + 0.05% Tween80 (PBS-T), and resuspended in Dulbecco’s PBS (DPBS) before measuring the absorbance at 600 nm and plating CFUs. 1 ml aliquots in 2 ml tubes were irradiated at room temperature at 2.5 × 10^6 Gy using a Cs^{137} irradiator. Inactivation of the bacteria was verified by inoculating 100 μl in liquid and solid media and incubating for 6 weeks.

Infection of BMDMs and J774 cells with M. tuberculosis. Animal protocols were performed in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986 and were approved by the animal welfare and ethical review board of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. Bone marrow cells were flushed from the femur and tibia of 8 to 10 week old female C57BL/6 mice and differentiated into macrophages for 7 days in DMEM (Sigma) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Biosera) and 20 ng/ml M-CSF (PeproTech). On day 4, cells were fed with an additional 10 ml of media. After 7 days in culture, cells were washed with DPBS and 5 × 10^6 cells were seeded into 25 cm² tissue culture flasks.

J774A.1 cells obtained from the ATCC (TIB-67) were cultured in DMEM (Sigma) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Biosera) and 5 × 10^6 cells were seeded into 25 cm² tissue culture flasks for infection.

Mid-log phase M. tuberculosis were washed twice with PBS-T, once with DPBS, diluted in DMEM and added to either BMDMs or J774 cells at a concentration of ~2.5 × 10^7 CFU/flask (MOI of 5). After 4 h of infection at 37°C in 5% CO₂, macrophages were treated with 200 mg/l amikacin for 1 h and washed twice with DPBS to eliminate any extracellular bacteria. Lastly, 6 ml of complete DMEM (J774), or DMEM supplemented with 10 ng/ml M-CSF (BMDMs), was added to each flask. Cells were either immediately processed or incubated for further 20 hours. Infections were done in triplicate.

Intracellular survival and growth was assessed by lysis of the monolayers by the addition of 0.1% Triton X-100 in DPBS and enumeration of bacteria by serial dilution in PBS-T plating onto Middlebrook 7H11 solid medium. Colonies were counted after 3–4 weeks incubation at 37°C and the average CFU/ml determined.

RNA extraction. Macrophages were lysed by adding 4 M GTC solution (4 M guanidine thiocyanate, 0.5% sodium N-Lauroylsarcosine, 0.1 M β-mercaptoethanol, 0.5% Tween 80), and the lysate homogenized using a QiAshopper column (Qiagen). RNA was extracted by BCP phase partitioning and isopropanol precipitation, cleaned with 75% ethanol and treated with Turbo DNAse (Ambion, Life Technologies). DNA contamination was checked by PCR using primers for the RNA polymerase II gene (Rpb2) (Up: 5′-CTATAACCTGAATGTAGCAAGC-3′, Rpb2_Lw: 5′-Rpb2_3′). The RNA was further cleaned using an RNaseq MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen), and its quality and quantity were determined using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and Qubit® RNA HS kit (Invitrogen), respectively.

Strand-specific RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing. Approximately 500 ng of RNA from each sample was used to prepare individually barcoded strand-specific RNA-seq libraries. rRNA and mitochondrial RNA was removed using Ribo-Zero Gold Removal Magnetic Kit for human/mouse/rat (Epicentre, now Illumina) following the manufacturer’s instructions. mRNA was purified using Agencourt RNAClean XP kit.

Libraries were constructed using ScriptSeq™ Complete Kit (Epigenome, now Illumina) as per manufacturer’s instructions. Quality and quantity of the libraries were determined using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and Qubit® (Invitrogen), respectively. Libraries were then quantified by qPCR in an Applied Biosystems® 7500 (Agilent) with the Kapa Biosystems kit for library quantitation (Kapa Biosystems). Next-generation sequencing was performed using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 flow cell with one 150-bp end run. The NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) accession number for the RNA-seq data reported in this paper is GSE88801.

RNA-seq data analysis. Reads were aligned versus the Ensembl mouse genome version mm10 (GRCm38) using STAR® 2.4.2a (Parameters: --outFilterMultimapNmax 20 –IntronMax 1000000 –alnSoverhangMin 8 –alnSJoverhangMin 1). Uniquely aligned reads in BAM format were annotated against the protein-coding mRNA regions using SeqMonk v.0.33.1 platform (Babraham Bioinformatics, Cambridge UK). A median of 39.7 (31.7–59.3) and 51.3 (20.1–78.4) million single-end reads per library were obtained for the BMDMs and J774 libraries, respectively (Supplementary Data S5). Of these, a median of 82.47% (78.86–85.87%) and 78.93% (69.63–84.09%) of the reads mapped to unique locations in the Mus musculus reference genome (Supplementary Data S5, Figure S4), with a median of 92.25% (73.80–96.75%) and 93.88% (70.67–96.55%) assigned to genes (Supplementary Data S5, Figure S5). Contamination with rRNA or mitochondrial DNA was very low at a median of only 0.28% (0.15–4.47%) and 1.26% (0.95–5.34%), respectively, for the BMDMs libraries, and a 1.12% (0.07–4.69%) and 1.98% (0.71–5.28%) for the J774 libraries (Supplementary Data S5, Figure S5). Differential expression was analysed in SeqMonk after quantification of raw counts, strand-specific, merged isoforms, using the R (version 3.2.2) package DESeq2. A gene was classified as up- or downregulated using a cut-off value of more or less than 2-fold expression difference, with an adjusted P-value < 0.05, following multiple testing correction. DEGs were further analysed using QIAGEN’s Ingenuity® Pathway Analysis (IPA®, QIAGEN) to identify over-represented canonical pathways.
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