HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE OF PREGNANT WOMEN WITH HEARTBURN AND REGURGITATION
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ABSTRACT - Background - Heartburn and regurgitation frequently occur in the third trimester of pregnancy, but their impact on quality of life has not been thoroughly investigated. Objective - To measure health-related quality of life of third-trimester pregnant women with heartburn and regurgitation. Methods - Data on obstetric history, heartburn and regurgitation frequency and intensity, history of heartburn and regurgitation and health-related quality of life were collected of 82 third-trimester pregnant women. Results - Sixty-two (76%) women had heartburn, and 58 (71%), regurgitation; 20 were asymptomatic. Mean gestational age was 33.8±2.3 weeks; 35 (43%) women had a family history of heartburn and/or regurgitation, and 57 (70%) were asymptomatic before pregnancy. The following quality of life concepts were significantly reduced: physical problems and social functioning for heartburn; physical problems and emotional functioning for regurgitation. There was agreement between heartburn in present and previous pregnancies. Conclusion - Heartburn and/or regurgitation affected health-related quality of life of third trimester pregnant women.
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INTRODUCTION

The importance of perceptions about health and health-related quality of life (HRQoL), as well as of the impact of both disease and treatment, has been widely acknowledged in clinical and epidemiological studies6). The generic description of differences in health-related quality of life for a single disease and their comparison with differences for other diseases may demonstrate its importance for an individual in a given community. HRQoL parameters are therefore necessary to provide guidelines for more adequate health policy decisions6).

Although other generic instruments are available - such as the SIP (Sickness Impact Profile), NHP (Nottinham Health Profile), QWB (Quality of Well Being Scale), PGWB (Psychological General Well-Being Index) - the SF-36 (Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey) is still the most used, particularly in gastroenterology, to quantify differences between patients with gastrointestinal diseases and controls21). The SF-36 has been studied in many populations with specific diseases and is considered an appropriate tool to describe health and HRQoL during pregnancy.

According to The Montreal Consensus, Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) is a condition that develops when the reflux of stomach contents causes troublesome symptoms and/or complications. The characteristic symptoms of GERD, recognized by the Consensus are heartburn and regurgitation13, 16).

It has been previously reported that GERD is common during pregnancy, and the prevalence reaches around 30%-80% of pregnant women13, 16). Heartburn and regurgitation are the most prevalent symptoms of GERD, that often occur in pregnancy, becoming worse as pregnancy advances, and decreasing following the delivery1, 13, 16). However, their impact on health-related quality of life has not yet been sufficiently studied.

The pathogenesis of GERD in pregnancy is multifactorial. Some combined factors play an important role in the etiology of GERD in pregnancy: decreased lower esophageal sphincter pressure and alteration in gastrointestinal transit due to hormone changes, and increased intraabdominal pressure secondary to the enlarged gravid uterus1, 13).

The objective of this study was evaluate the HRQoL during pregnancy in the presence of symptoms of GERD, such as heartburn and regurgitation. The hypothesis was that pregnant women with symptoms have a worse quality of life than those without symptoms.
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METHODS

Sample size calculation for this case-control study was based on mean SF-36 scores according to data published by Revicki et al.(17) Scores to quantify patients’ general HRQoL were obtained by means of the Portuguese version of the SF-36 (Medical Outcomes Study 36- Item Short-Form Health Survey) generic questionnaire, validated by Ciconelli et al.(16). The questionnaire is composed of 36 questions that assess 8 health concepts: physical functioning, role function as limited by physical problems, pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role function as limited by emotional problems, and mental health. Scores range from zero to 100; zero corresponds to the lowest general health status, and 100, to the highest(20).

All pregnant women at 28 or more weeks of gestation consecutively seen at a low-risk prenatal outpatient service of Hospital São Lucas, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, were invited to participate in the study. They answered a questionnaire about symptoms and symptom intensity, age, ethnicity, obstetric history, medication, and anthropometric measurements.

In this cross-sectional study eighty-two third-trimester pregnant women were studied: 62 had heartburn and/or regurgitation (symptomatic group), and 20 were asymptomatic. Patients with diabetes, hypertension or other chronic diseases, as well as those that were taking any medication for heartburn and/or regurgitation, were excluded from the study.

The following statistical tests were used for data analysis: Student t test for two independent samples, and ANOVA for more than two samples, followed by Dunnett test for quantitative variables; nonparametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test for quantitative variables that did not meet requirements for the t test; Fisher exact test for categorical variables that did not meet requirements for the chi-square test; kappa coefficient and corresponding confidence interval to assess agreement between symptoms of the same patient on different occasions. Significance was established at P<0.05.

RESULTS

Mean age (± standard deviation) was 26 ± 6.42 years. Mean pregestational weight and height were, respectively, 60.0 ± 9.5 kg and 1.6 ± 0.1 m. Mean pregestational body mass index (BMI) was 23.2 ± 3.4 kg/m². Mean weight gain was 12.2 ± 2.6 kg, and mean gestational age was 33.8 ± 3.7 weeks. Sixty-two (76%) women reported heartburn, and 58 (71%) regurgitation. The level of education for the group under study was: 17 (21%) - less than primary school; 14 (17%) - primary school; 13 (16%) less than secondary school; 29 (35%) - secondary school; and 1 (1%) - at least some college education. Mean time to fill out the self-administered questionnaire was 11.5±3.7 minutes. When these variables were analyzed by symptom, there was not statistically difference in age (P=0.890), pregestational BMI (P=0.391), current BMI (P=0.167), weight gain (P=0.161), and level of education (P=0.405) in women with and without heartburn. When analyzed by regurgitation, it was also not found any statistically difference (P>0.05 for all).

Thirty-five (43%) pregnant women reported a family history of heartburn and/or regurgitation; 25 (31%) had pregestational heartburn, and 57 (70%) reported not having these symptoms when not pregnant. Among multiparous women, 54 (65%) had already had heartburn in previous pregnancies, and there was agreement between heartburn in third trimester and in previous pregnancies (kappa=0.33; P=0.005; 95% CI, 0.05-0.56). No significant correlation was found between pregestational heartburn and heartburn in present pregnancy (kappa = 0.09; P=0.121; 95% CI, -0.31 to -0.11). Mean weight of pregnant women with heartburn (73.7 ± 11.0 kg) was significantly greater than that of asymptomatic patients (67.8 ± 10.4 kg) (P=0.037), but no significant difference in weight gain was found between the two groups (pregnant women with heartburn = 12.7 ± 5.0 kg; asymptomatic pregnant women =10.8 ± 5.2 kg; P=0.161).

No pregnant women reported alcohol drinking during pregnancy, but six (7%) smoked, and 22 (27%) were ex-smokers. Forty-five (55%) patients took medication; the drug most frequently used was ferrous sulphate. These and other general characteristics of all pregnant women are shown in Table 1.

Scores of HRQoL concepts were lower for pregnant women with heartburn and/or regurgitation than for controls. Two health concepts were significantly affected for women with heartburn: physical problems (P=0.009) and social functioning (P=0.020), shown in Table 2. For women with regurgitation, two aspects were significantly affected: physical problems (P=0.004); and emotional problems (P=0.002), stated in Table 3.

More than 51% of the symptomatic pregnant women had three or more heartburn episodes per week. The scores of HRQoL between pregnant women with heartburn twice or more times a week and less than twice a week are shown in Table 4.

| TABLE 1. Symptoms and general characteristics of pregnant women in the study | n  | Percentage | 95% CI |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----|------------|-------|
| Heartburn in present pregnancy                               | 62/82 | 75.6 | 64.9 - 84.4 |
| Regurgitation in present pregnancy                           | 58/82 | 70.7 | 59.6 - 80.3 |
| Pregestational heartburn                                     | 25/82 | 30.5 | 20.8 - 41.6 |
| Heartburn in previous pregnancies                           | 35/54 | 64.8 | 50.6 - 77.3 |
| Family history of GERD                                      | 35/82 | 42.7 | 31.8 - 54.1 |
| Smoking                                                      | 6/82 | 7.3  | 2.7 - 15.2  |
| Medication                                                   | 45/82 | 54.9 | 43.5 - 65.9 |

* student t test; CI: confidence interval
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**DISCUSSION**

Pregnant women were recruited for the study at a low-risk prenatal outpatient service in an attempt to reduce biases, such as the inclusion of pregnant women with diabetes, hypertension, or any other disease either associated with pregnancy or not.

According to the Brazilian Health Ministry, low maternal schooling (fewer than 5 schooling years) and maternal age in the extremes of the reproductive age group are the risk factors associated with negative obstetric outcomes. Most of the pregnant women in this study were older than 17 and younger than 35 years, and were multiparous. Most of them (80%) had finished at least elementary school, and the time that they took to fill out the self-administered SF-36 questionnaire suggested that they could understand it easily.

Our results suggest that both heartburn and regurgitation are highly prevalent and frequent in the third trimester of pregnancy. Other authors have reported high prevalence rates for heartburn in pregnancy [2, 11, 14-16] but prevalence rates of regurgitation have been less investigated. The high prevalence

**TABLE 2.** Means for each health-related quality of life (QOL) concept - comparison of third trimester pregnant women with and without heartburn

| QOL concept      | Heartburn | Dif. | 95% CI       | P*  |
|------------------|-----------|------|--------------|-----|
|                  | Yes (n=62) | No (n= 20) |              |     |
| Physical problems| 41.5 ± 38.7 | 67.5 ± 35.5 | -26.0        | 0.009|
| Emotional problems | 55.4 ± 38.1 | 73.3 ± 35.2 | -17.9        | 0.066|
| Physical functioning | 61.0 ± 22.5 | 67.8 ± 19.8 | -6.8         | 0.238|
| Mental health    | 70.1 ± 17.8 | 74.0 ± 18.7 | -3.9         | 0.405|
| Social functioning | 62.5 ± 21.3 | 75.0 ± 16.9 | -12.5        | 0.020|
| Vitality         | 54.7 ± 19.8 | 64.0 ± 16.1 | -9.3         | 0.060|
| Pain             | 57.1 ± 19.7 | 60.0 ± 21.1 | -2.9         | 0.584|
| General health   | 77.2 ± 16.3 | 78.0 ± 12.7 | -0.8         | 0.837|
| Annual change    | 57.3 ± 19.4 | 65.0 ± 20.5 | -7.7         | 0.130|

* Student t test; CI: confidence interval; Dif: difference

**TABLE 3.** Means for each health-related quality of life (QOL) concept - comparison of third trimester pregnant women with and without regurgitation

| QOL concept      | Regurgitation | Dif. | 95% CI       | P*  |
|------------------|---------------|------|--------------|-----|
|                  | Yes (n=58) | No (n= 24) |              |     |
| Physical problems| 40.1±37.2 | 66.7±37.3 | -26.6        | 0.004|
| Emotional problems | 51.7±37.0 | 79.2±33.8 | -27.5        | 0.002|
| Physical functioning | 60.8±23.0 | 67.3±18.8 | -6.5         | 0.224|
| Mental health    | 70.1±18.9 | 73.3±15.7 | -3.2         | 0.467|
| Social functioning | 63.0±22.1 | 71.8±16.7 | -8.8         | 0.056|
| Vitality         | 56.1±19.9 | 59.0±18.0 | -2.9         | 0.532|
| Pain             | 55.2±19.5 | 64.4±19.9 | -9.2         | 0.058|
| General health   | 75.9±16.7 | 80.9±11.4 | -5.0         | 0.189|
| Annual change    | 59.0±20.2 | 59.4±19.2 | -0.4         | 0.947|

* Student t test; CI: confidence interval; Dif: difference

**TABLE 4.** Means for each health-related quality of life (QOL) concept according to the frequency of heartburn

| QOL concept      | Heartburn frequency | P*  |
|------------------|---------------------|-----|
|                  | ≥ 2 times/week (n=42) | < 2 times/week (n=40) |     |
| Physical problems | 42.9 ± 38.4 | 53.1 ± 39.3 | 0.235|
| Emotional problems | 56.3 ± 40.0 | 63.3 ± 36.0 | 0.409|
| Physical functioning | 60.8 ± 22.4 | 64.6 ± 21.6 | 0.438|
| Mental health    | 71.4 ± 17.2 | 70.7 ± 18.9 | 0.856|
| Social functioning | 65.3 ± 19.0 | 65.8 ± 23.0 | 0.916|
| Vitality         | 56.0 ± 19.1 | 58.0 ± 19.8 | 0.634|
| Pain             | 57.7 ± 22.0 | 58.1 ± 17.9 | 0.931|
| General health   | 78.4 ± 17.0 | 76.3 ± 13.7 | 0.550|
| Annual change    | 60.1 ± 20.0 | 58.1 ± 19.9 | 0.652|

* Student t test
rate for regurgitation found in our sample was similar to the rate for heartburn (one pregnant woman with regurgitation for each 1.6 with heartburn), differently from rates found in the general population, which is one person with regurgitation for each three individuals with heartburn\(^6\). In a cohort study, it was found that 35.3% of pregnant women during third trimester of pregnancy suffered at least once a week from heartburn and 40.7% from regurgitation\(^13\). The same study also showed a GERD prevalence of 51.2% in third trimester of pregnancy. Regurgitation is more prevalent in this period of life, and it seems to affect quality of life more significantly than heartburn.

When our data were analyzed according to the frequency of symptoms of heartburn (two or more times a week), no significant differences in HRQoL were observed. At a later stage, data on patients with symptoms one or more times a week were compared to data on patients with less frequent symptoms; this comparison revealed signs of a negative impact in the quality of life of women in the first group. However, the most significant negative impact of symptoms (heartburn and/or regurgitation) in HRQoL was observed when data on pregnant women with heartburn and/or regurgitation at any frequency were compared to data on those that were asymptomatic. This finding suggests that criteria to diagnose GERD in pregnant women should be different from those used for the general population. It also suggests that even sporadic complaints should be taken into consideration during pregnancy, because one monthly episode of heartburn, depending on its intensity and duration, may be enough to reduce the quality of life of a pregnant woman.

Heartburn in pregnancy does not seem to be associated with the patient’s history, differently from what is observed in the general population. However, most pregnant women that had these symptoms in previous pregnancies also reported them for the present pregnancy. These facts are in agreement with studies that suggest that the increase in the levels of progesterone and/or estrogen during pregnancy is the main cause of the decreased pressure of the lower esophageal sphincter\(^5, 7, 8, 10-12, 14, 19\). These studies suggest that the symptoms studied here are not associated with mechanical factors, such as weight gain, and are not triggered by increased intraabdominal and intragastric pressure.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our study revealed a high prevalence of heartburn and regurgitation among women in the third trimester of pregnancy. Patients with heartburn and/or regurgitation obtained lower scores in several HRQoL concepts than asymptomatic pregnant women. No association was found with pregestational heartburn, but there was agreement between reports of symptoms in present and previous pregnancies.
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