An in situ high-throughput screen identifies inhibitors of intracellular *Burkholderia pseudomallei* with therapeutic efficacy
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*Burkholderia pseudomallei* (Bp) and *Burkholderia mallei* (Bm) are Tier-1 Select Agents that cause melioidosis and glanders, respectively. These are highly lethal human infections with limited therapeutic options. Intercellular spread is a hallmark of *Burkholderia* pathogenesis, and its prominent ties to virulence make it an attractive therapeutic target. We developed a high-throughput cell-based phenotypic assay and screened ~220,000 small molecules for their ability to disrupt intercellular spread by *Burkholderia thailandensis*, a closely related BSL-2 surrogate. We identified 268 hits, and cross-species validation found 32 hits that also disrupt intercellular spread by Bp and/or Bm. Among these were a fluoroquinolone analog, which we named burkfloxacin (BFX), which potently inhibits growth of intracellular *Burkholderia*, and flucytosine (5-FC), an FDA-approved antifungal drug. We found that 5-FC blocks the intracellular life cycle at the point of type VI secretion system 5 (T6SS-5)-mediated cell-cell spread. Bacterial conversion of 5-FC to 5-fluorouracil and subsequently to fluorouridine monophosphate is required for potent and selective activity against intracellular *Burkholderia*. In a murine model of fulminating respiratory melioidosis, treatment with BFX or 5-FC was significantly more effective than ceftazidime, the current antibiotic of choice, for improving survival and decreasing bacterial counts in major organs. Our results demonstrate the utility of cell-based phenotypic screening for Select Agent drug discovery and warrant the advancement of BFX and 5-FC as candidate therapeutics for melioidosis in humans.
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*Burchholderia pseudomallei* (Bp) and *Burkholderia mallei* (Bm), the etiologic agents of melioidosis and glanders, respectively, are highly infectious gram-negative bacteria for which limited therapeutic options exist. *Bp* normally inhabits the rhizosphere (1, 2) and can be acquired by humans and other mammals via inhalation, ingestion, or percutaneous inoculation (3, 4). Individuals regularly exposed to soil and water in endemic areas are disproportionately affected. The severity of disease varies from chronic infection mimicking tuberculosis to acute, florid sepsis. Clinical management is complicated by antibiotic resistance (5–8), and mortality rates are high despite appropriate diagnosis and treatment (9). *Bm* is an evolutionary descendent of *Bp* (10) with a restricted host range that primarily includes solid-ungulates, although it can also cause life-threatening zoonotic infections in humans (11, 12). In light of their low infectious doses, high lethality, extensive antibiotic resistance, and the lack of protective vaccines, *Bm* and *Bp* are classified as Tier 1 Select Agent pathogens. Concern over malign release against civilian or military targets is heightened in light of their historical use as bioweapons (13, 14). A third, less-pathogenic member of the *Burkholderia* complex (*Bp* complex), *B. thailandensis* (Bt), shares highly conserved virulence mechanisms with its pathogenic relatives, making it a useful BSL-2 surrogate (15–17).

Although the true global burden of melioidosis is unknown, recent estimates suggest that *Bp* is endemic in at least 79 countries and is responsible for 165,000 annual human infections, of which 54% are fatal (18). Highly endemic areas include northeast Thailand, where *Bp* is the leading cause of community-acquired bacteremia, and the Northern Territory of Australia, where *Bp* is the most common cause of fatal community-acquired bacteremic pneumonia (19–21). The current treatment regimen for melioidosis consists of an initial parenteral phase lasting 10 to 21 days, followed by oral 5-FC for an additional 6 months to 5 years.

**Significance**

*Burkholderia pseudomallei*, the etiologic agent of melioidosis, is an environmental organism that inhabits tropical soils and kills an estimated 90,000 people each year. Caused by an intracellular and often drug-resistant pathogen, melioidosis is notoriously difficult to treat, with mortality rates approaching 50% in some settings despite appropriate diagnosis and clinical management. Using a high-throughput, cell-based phenotypic screen we have discovered 2 antibiotic candidates with improved in vivo efficacy compared to the current standard of care: a fluoroquinolone analog, burkfloxacin, and an FDA-approved antifungal drug, flucytosine. As a widely used antifungal with a well-known safety profile, the potential to repurpose flucytosine for treating melioidosis may represent a rapid route to clinical translation.

Author contributions: P.L.B., I.J.T., R.D., C.T.F., and J.F.M. designed research; P.L.B., I.J.T., R.D., C.T.F., and J.F.M. performed research; I.J.T., M.H.N., J.P.M., S.T.F.-G., B.F., B.T., and M.M. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; P.L.B., I.J.T., M.H.N., S.T.F.-G., C.T.F., and J.F.M. analyzed data; and P.L.B., C.T.F., and J.F.M. wrote the paper.

Reviewers: Y.-H.G., National University of Singapore; and J.J.M., Harvard University.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

1. Present address: Department of Pathology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305.
2. To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: ctfrench@ucla.edu or jfmiller@med.g.ucla.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1906388116/-/DCSupplemental.

Published online August 22, 2019.

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1906388116

PNAS | September 10, 2019 | vol. 116 | no. 37 | 18597–18606
Complex species can parasitize mammalian cells, and their ability to replicate intracellularly and spread from cell to cell is an essential virulence trait (4). Following entry by phagocytosis or invasion, bacteria escape from endocytic vesicles using the Bsa type III secretion system (T3SS\textsubscript{Bsa}) (27, 28) (Fig. 1). Bacteria then multiply in the cytoplasm, move through the cytosol by BimA-mediated net polymerization (29), and spread to neighboring cells by a process involving membrane fusion (28, 30), creating a portal for direct passage of bacteria into neighboring cytosolic compartments (31). Membrane fusion requires the activity of type VI secretion system 5 (T6SS\textsubscript{5}), 1 of 6 T6SSs encoded in \textit{Bp} complex genomes (31–33), and multiple cell fusion events result in the formation of large multinucleated cells (MNCs) which eventually lyse to form lesions (i.e., plaques) on cell monolayers (28).

Host cell fusion by \textit{Bp} complex species differs significantly from multinucleated giant cell (MGC) formation observed in mycobacterial infections or other granulomatous diseases. In these settings, MGCs develop by cytokine-mediated activation of a fusion program that is intrinsic to monocytes and macrophages and can be reproduced in vitro in the absence of bacteria by the addition of cytokines alone (34). In contrast, cell fusion by \textit{Bp}, \textit{Bt}, and \textit{Bm} results from the activity of a bacterial virulence determinant, T6SS-5, a contractile nanotube evolutionarily related to phage tails that is embedded in the cell envelope and fires outward (35–39). According to the current model (Fig. 1), encounters between intracellular \textit{Burkholderia} and the plasma membrane lead to T6SS-5 contraction, firing of a bacterially encoded fusogenic protein (VgrG5) across the bacterial envelope and through the plasma membranes of the infected cell and an adjacent cell, causing local deformation and merging of opposing bilayers (28, 31). \textit{Burkholderia} are able to fuse a wide range of cell types in vitro, including epithelial cells, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, monocytes, and macrophages (40), and fusion ability correlates with virulence by \textit{Bp} and \textit{Bm} (32, 41–43).

Target-based screening campaigns against gram-negative bacteria have been largely unsuccessful due to the inability of many small molecules to penetrate the bacterial outer membrane (44–46). Furthermore, prior efforts to develop therapeutics against \textit{Burkholderia} have shown that despite having activity in vitro, compounds are often ineffective in vivo due to the ability of \textit{Bp} and \textit{Bm} to survive and replicate intracellularly (47). With these limitations in mind, we developed a cell-based phenotypic screen for small-molecule inhibitors of intracellular \textit{Burkholderia} that are actively replicating within and spreading between mammalian cells. Our high-throughput (HT) assay comprehensively assesses the entire \textit{Burkholderia} intracellular life cycle, including the critical step of cell–cell fusion. We screened ∼220,000 small molecules for their ability to disrupt intercellular spread by \textit{Bt} and validated positive hits using the BSL-3 pathogens \textit{Bp} and \textit{Bm}. This strategy identified 32 compounds that disrupt the intracellular life cycle of \textit{Bp} and/or \textit{Bm}. We describe the mechanistic characterization of 2 of our most potent inhibitors, which hold promise as therapeutic countermeasures against melioidosis.

**Results**

**A High-Throughput Phenotypic Screen Identifies Small-Molecule Inhibitors of \textit{Burkholderia} Intercellular Spread.** The cell fusion assay shown in Fig. 24 was adapted for high-throughput screening by seeding eGFP-expressing HEK293 cells into 384-well plates pinned with a library of small molecules, infecting cells with \textit{Burkholderia}, and imaging 18 to 22 h later using laser scanning cytometry to assess the relative abundance and size of plaques (Fig. 2 B and C). Given that the readout results from cell fusion mediated by intracellular bacteria, the assay is capable of identifying compounds that inhibit any stage of the intracellular infection pathway, have relatively low toxicity against mammalian cells, and are capable of penetrating both the host cell plasma membrane and the gram-negative cell envelope.

A curated small-molecule library was screened for inhibitory activity against intracellular \textit{Bt} strain E264 utilizing the facilities of the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), Molecular Screening Shared Resource (MSSR). Fig. 2C shows a pilot screen using an FDA-approved sublibrary of 1,120 compounds, demonstrating the ability to identify known (trimethoprim) as well as new inhibitors. Screening efforts were then scaled to accommodate >220,000 compounds. Over the course of the screen, control wells yielded negligible false-positive (0%) and false-negative (0.0091%) hit rates. An initial set of 268 compounds was found to inhibit plaque formation by \textit{Bt} E264, yielding a conservative primary hit rate of 0.0012. Validation was conducted using \textit{Bt} E264, \textit{Bp} 1026b (Thai clinical isolate), and \textit{Bm} 23344 (human isolate), resulting in 114 (43%) validated compounds that were binned according to species activity (Fig. 2D). Of these, 4 compounds, including 3 known antibiotics and 1 molecule which we named burkloxacin (BFX), were found to consistently inhibit plaque formation by all 3 organisms. Seven compounds, including 4 known antibiotics, inhibited plaque formation by \textit{Bm} and \textit{Bp}, and 4 compounds were specific for \textit{Bm} only, including monensin and the antimalarial drug artemisinin. Flucytosine (5-fluorocytosine, 5-FC), an FDA-approved antimycotic, was identified among the hits with activity against \textit{Bp} and \textit{Bt} but not \textit{Bm}. Following validation of activity against intracellular \textit{Burkholderia}, cytotoxicity was assessed in HEK293 cells using an ATP-depletion cell viability assay. Both BFX and 5-FC had no apparent effect on cell viability at concentrations 10-fold higher than the IC\textsubscript{100} (SI Appendix, Table S1) and were prioritized for follow-up studies.

**Fig. 1.** Intracellular life cycle of \textit{B. pseudomallei} complex species, showing points of inhibition by 2 newly discovered small-molecule inhibitors, burkloxacin (BFX) and flucytosine (5-FC). Diagram of the \textit{Burkholderia} intracellular life cycle, illustrating the ability to invade mammalian cells, escape from endosomes/phagosomes, locomote in the cytosol by polymerizing host actin, replicate intracellularly, and fuse host cell membranes by a T6SS-5–dependent mechanism (31). Two priority compounds, BFX and 5-FC, were found to inhibit intracellular replication and cell fusion, respectively.
**BFX Potently Inhibits Growth of Intracellular Burkholderia.** BFX (Fig. 3A) is a morpholinated fluoroquinolone analog originally synthesized in 1980 by Koga et al. (48). In this and a subsequent study (49), it was found to have in vitro activity against a limited panel of bacterial species and a favorable toxicity profile. We confirmed that BFX is active against other gram-negative organisms in vitro, showing similar potency to the widely used fluoroquinolone, ciprofloxacin (Cip), against *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 (SI Appendix, Table S2). We also confirmed that BFX, like Cip, functions as a canonical fluoroquinolone by demonstrating its ability to inhibit the negative DNA supercoiling activity of *E. coli* DNA gyrase (Fig. 3B).

As shown in Fig. 3C, BFX results in near-complete inhibition of plaque formation by *Bt* and *Bp* at a concentration of 0.5 μM. In the intracellular growth experiment in Fig. 3D, bacteria replicate exponentially in the absence of drug until ~16 h, when membrane damage accumulates to an extent sufficient to expose intracellular bacteria to bactericidal levels of kanamycin present in the media. In contrast, intracellular growth is ablated following treatment with BFX. When compared to Cip present at the same concentration (1.0 μM), BFX resulted in a 7-fold reduction in colony forming units (CFU) at the point of maximal intracellular growth (16 h, Fig. 3E), and in plaque assays, BFX inhibited cell–cell spread at an 8-fold lower concentration compared to Cip (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Interestingly, significantly higher doses of BFX (5 μM) were needed to inhibit growth in laboratory medium (Fig. 3F), compared to intracellular growth in HEK293 cells (Fig. 3D), suggesting that BFX accumulation may occur inside mammalian cells as observed with certain other fluoroquinolones (50).

**The FDA-Approved Antifungal, 5-FC, Inhibits Intracellular Spread at the Step of Cell–Cell Fusion.** Our screen also identified 5-FC (Fig. 4F), an FDA-approved antimycotic, as an inhibitor of plaque formation by *Bt* and *Bp*. Flucytosine is a fluorinated cytosine analog that serves as a first-line therapy for serious fungal infections, such as cryptococcal meningitis (51), and is included in the World Health Organization’s List of Essential Medicines (52). In light of its established efficacy as an antifungal agent, we investigated the mechanism of 5-FC activity as an inhibitor of *Burkholderia* cell–cell spread. As shown in Fig. 4B, 5-FC inhibits plaque formation by *Bt* and *Bp* in a dose-dependent fashion, as indicated by a decrease in both plaque numbers and size (also see Fig. 2C). Flucytosine does not affect bacterial growth in laboratory medium at a concentration that nearly eliminates plaque formation (Fig. 4C). Similarly, 5-FC does not prevent growth of intracellular bacteria at concentrations that inhibit plaque formation, although a decrease and delay in attaining peak bacterial numbers is observed (Fig. 4D). Plaque formation is inhibited whether 5-FC is added to cells before or after bacterial infection (Fig. 4E), indicating an effect on a late intracellular life cycle event. This contrasts with 2 other compounds identified in our screen, Invasion Inhibitor-1 (II-1) and Invasion Inhibitor-2 (II-2), which show strong inhibition of cell–cell spread when added prior to infection but minimal activity when added after infection, as expected for inhibitors of invasion (Fig. 4E). For 5-FC, the proportion of cytosolic bacteria associated with actin tails is equivalent in treated and untreated cells (Fig. 4F). Importantly, in comparison to the wild-type (WT) strain (Fig. 4D), peak intracellular growth levels of a fusion-defective ΔgvrG5 mutant (31) were not significantly affected by 5-FC (Fig. 4G), although we did observe a delay that was similar to that seen with the WT strain (Fig. 4D). Since cell fusion allows expansion of the *Burkholderia* replicative niche and facilitates intracellular replication, the lack of a difference in peak numbers for the ΔgvrG5 mutant with or without 5-FC, together with time of addition data (Fig. 4E) and observations of actin polymerization by cytosolic bacteria (Fig. 4F), support the hypothesis that 5-FC inhibits a late-stage event in the intracellular life cycle that is required for cell fusion. To further explore the inhibitory mechanism, we investigated the effects of 5-FC on the expression of virulence loci known to facilitate intracellular survival and cell–cell spread (53). Quantitative RT-PCR measurements of transcripts from genes involved in virulence regulation (virG and bshA), endosome...
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Flucytosine Is a Prodrug That Requires Conversion to 5-Fluorouracil and Fluorouridine Monophosphate. The antifungal activity of 5-FC against Cryptococcus neoformans and other fungal pathogens is due to the cytotoxicity of its metabolic products, making it a prodrug (51, 55). As summarized in Fig. 5A, following uptake by cytosine permease (CodB) and conversion to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) by cytosine deaminase (CodA), 5-FC metabolism bifur-
cates into 2 pathways which ultimately result in antifungal ac-
tivity via inhibition of RNA and DNA synthesis. Orthologous enzymes and pathways are encoded by Bp complex species (Fig. 5A); however, bacterial growth in vitro is unaffected by 5-FC at concentrations that suppress Hcp5 secretion under identical growth conditions (Fig. 4 C and I) and abolish plaque formation by intracellular organisms (Fig. 4B). Although the reason for the lack of a primary effect on bacterial growth is presently un-
known, it nonetheless implies a unique mechanism for the in-
hibitory activity of 5-FC. To explore this, we examined the roles of key metabolic enzymes and products in the ability of 5-FC to inhibit cell–cell spread.

Pathway 1 (Fig. 5A) is involved in the synthesis of pyrimidine nucleotide triphosphates. We found that 25 μM 5-FU, like 5-FC, abolished plaque formation (Fig. 5B). Fluorouridine (FUR), an intermediate between 5-FU and fluorouridine monophosphate (FUMP), was minimally inhibitory at 25 μM but fully inhibitory at 50 μM, suggesting a role for pathway 1. This is consistent with a lack of inhibition by fluorodeoxyuridine (FUDR), a pathway 2 metabolite, therefore, are the likely inhibitors of T6SS-5-dependent cell spread. Finally, we examined whether 5-FC has an inhibitory effect on the functionality of T6SS-5 in vitro using a previously established assay (31) involving strains engineered to constitutively express VirAG, a 2-component regulatory system that normally activates T6SS-5 gene expression in the mamma-
lian cell cytosol (54). On Western blots, we observed a significant decrease in the quantity of Hcp5, the T6SS-5 interubilbe subunit protein, secreted into culture supernatants by Bt and Bp follow-
ing 5-FC treatment (Fig. 4I). In contrast, expression of Hcp5 was not noticeably affected by 5-FC, as demonstrated by equivalent signal intensities in the cell pellet fractions of treated vs. untreated controls (Fig. 4I). These results are consistent with an inhibitory effect of 5-FC on the assembly or secretion activity of T6SS-5 and a consequent decrease in the efficiency of cell–cell spread.

escape (bsaM and bopE), intracellular motility (flic2 and bimA), or T6SS-5-dependent membrane fusion (clpV5 and vgrG5) were performed on RNA isolated from HEK293 cells infected with Bt E264 in the presence or absence of 5-FC. With treatment, we found a modest (±4-fold) and variable reduction in the expression of vgrG, bsaM, bimA, and vgrG5 and an even smaller effect on bsaN, bopE, flic2, or clpV5 (Fig. 4H). This seemed unlikely to account for the near-complete elimination of plaque formation observed with 5-FC at the same concentration (25 μM), and more likely due to secondary growth effects resulting from the lack of efficient cell fusion. Finally, we examined whether 5-FC has an inhibitory effect on the functionality of T6SS-5 in vitro using a previously established assay (31) involving strains engineered to constitutively express VirAG, a 2-component regulatory system that normally activates T6SS-5 gene expression in the mammalian cell cytosol (54). On Western blots, we observed a significant decrease in the quantity of Hcp5, the T6SS-5 interubilbe subunit protein, secreted into culture supernatants by Bt and Bp fol-

Chemical Mutagenesis Screen for 5-FC Resistance Yields Mutations That Accelerate Cell–Cell Spread. To gain further insight into the inhibitory mechanism of 5-FC, we performed a forward genetic screen to identify mutations leading to resistance. WT Bt was treated with the DNA alkylating agent ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS). Pooled mutagenized bacteria were then used to infect
cells in the presence of 25 μM 5-FU (Fig. 6A). The use of 5-FU, instead of 5-FC, eliminated selection for mutations in the CodB transporter or the CodA aminohydrolase. MNCs formed by mutagenized bacteria were isolated 16 h postinfection, lysed with detergent, and plated on L-agar for isolation of individual bacterial clones. Resistant phenotypes were validated by plaque assay in the presence of 25 μM 5-FU (Fig. 6A).
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and the presence of gene products homologous to those responsible for metabolic conversion of 5-FC in fungi (55). Flucytosine is metabolized by the pyrimidine salvage pathway. The pathway bifurcates into pathways 1 and 2, which provide nucleotide triphosphate (NTP) and dNTP anabolism and ultimately affect RNA and DNA synthesis, respectively. CodA, cytosine deaminase; CodB, cytosine permease; Ndk, nucleotide diphosphate kinase; PyrH, uridy late kinase; PyrR, bifunctional pyr mRNAbinding protein/uracil phosphoribosyltransferase; RNAP, RNA polymerase; RR, ribonucleotide reductase; SurE, 5′-S-nucleotidase; TK, thymidine kinase; TP, thymidine phosphorylase (DeoA); TS, thymidylate synthase; UK, uridine kinase; UP, uracil phosphorylase; UPP, uracil phosphoribosyltransferase. (B) Plaque forming efficiency of Bt E264 in the presence of 5-FC and its downstream metabolites. Intercellular spread was inhibited by 5-FC; S-5-FU; and, at high concentrations, FUR but not by FUDR (*p < 0.05). Plaque forming efficiency was assessed by measuring the number of plaque forming units (PFU) per CFU in confluent HEK293 cell monolayers 16 h after infection with a multiplicity of infection of 4 x 10^4. (C) Insertion of transposon TnT23 (56) into codA or up, but not deoA (encodes TP) or BTH_I1936 (encodes RR), resulted in resistance to 5-FC at 25 µM, indicating that conversion of 5-FC to S-5-FU, and then to FUMP, is essential for the inhibitory effect on Burkholderia. Statistical comparisons are for plaque forming efficiency in the DMSO-treated condition vs. the 5-FC treated condition (**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, and n.s. = not significant, P > 0.05). Shown are the mean and SD of at least 3 technical replicates. (SI Appendix, Materials and Methods), and 20 demonstrated reproducible resistance to 5-FU. As detected by PCR amplicon sequencing, 12 of these resistant mutants had a single missense mutation at 1 of 10 different sites in up, and 1 contained a nonsense mutation, validating our results with the uppp::TnT23 insert mutant (Fig. 5C). No mutations were identified in genes encoding downstream metabolic enzymes PyrH/PyrR or Ndk. The remaining resistant mutants were subjected to whole genome sequencing (WGS) along with the parental WT strain. Since all genomes analyzed contained multiple chemically induced mutations, we searched for genomic regions in which SNPs were identified in more than 1 resistant mutant. Accordingly, 2 regions with clustered SNPs were found (Fig. 6B), one containing a pair of regulatory genes which we named Intercellular spread regulators A and B (isrA and isrB) and another that encodes an array of biosynthetic genes (thaP, thaO, and adjacent loci) that produce thailandamide, a polyketide antibiotic that inhibits fatty acid biosynthesis by targeting acetyl-CoA carboxylase (AccA) (57, 58).

SNP 1 (Fig. 6B) is located on chromosome II, 219 bp upstream of the isrA coding sequence (CDS) within a region predicted to encode the isrA promoter, and SNP 2 lies 80 bp upstream of a small, divergently expressed gene of unknown function (Bth_I11096). isrA encodes a predicted 28-kDa 2-component response regulator with a winged helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA-binding domain. Although no apparent sensor gene is located nearby, directly upstream is a second orphan response regulator locus, isrb, that encodes a predicted DNA binding protein with a LuxR-type HTH domain. The availability of TnT23 insertions in isrA and isrb allowed us to assess their roles in susceptibility to 5-FC. In both cases, partial resistance was observed (Fig. 6C), with plagues that were uniformly smaller than the uppp::TnT23 metabolic mutant yet similar to those observed with the original SNP-containing strains. Also located on chromosome II, SNP 3 is a synonymous substitution that falls in the thaO coding sequence, which encodes a polyketide synthase required for thailandamide biosynthesis, and SNP 4 results in a Glu to Lys substitution in ThaP, an adjacent polyketide synthase. Although the significance of the synonymous substitution associated with SNP3 was not immediately apparent, the presence of 2 independent SNPs in the thailandamide biosynthetic gene cluster prompted further examination. Indeed, using a thaP::TnT28 mutant, we observed partial resistance to 5-FC in plaque assays, similar to that seen with isrA and isrB mutant strains (Fig. 6C).

To our initial surprise, the isrA and thaP insertion mutants each displayed the striking phenotype of accelerated plaque development in the absence of 5-FC (Fig. 6D). For the isrp::TnT23 strain, hyper-plaque formation correlated with increased secretion of Hcp5, while the thaP::TnT28 mutant showed variable secretion activity. In either case, we propose that factors that normally limit the efficiency of cell-cell spread were inactivated by mutations in chemically mutated genes or in independently selected transposon mutants, allowing intracellular Burkholderia to partially overcome concentrations of 5-FC that would otherwise abrogate cell-cell spread. The potential basis for the unexpectedly similar phenotypes of mutations in isrp and thaP and the broader implications of pathways that confer resistance to 5-FC prodrug are considered below (Discussion).

**BFX and 5-FC Are Efficacious in a Murine Model of Fulminant Melioidosis.**

Given the effectiveness of BFX and 5-FC at inhibiting Burkholderia cell-cell spread, we evaluated their therapeutic efficacy using a mouse model of acute melioidosis (59). BALB/c mice were infected intranasally with 4,500 CFU of Bp 1026b, and BFX (10 mg/kg/day) or 5-FC (100 mg/kg/day) was administered intraperitoneally twice daily, with the first doses given 5 h after infection. Outcomes were compared to animals that were administered placebo (PBS + 20% DMSO) or ceftazidime (130 mg/kg/day), the current antibiotic of choice for treating acute melioidosis. All animals in the placebo group succumbed to infection within 3 d, and all ceftazidime-treated animals succumbed by 5 d postinfection. In contrast, all (100%) of mice receiving BFX and 3/8 (37.5%) mice treated with 5-FC survived 5 d postinfection (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 7A).

Moreover, 5/8 (63%) BFX-treated and 1/8 (12%) 5-FC-treated mice survived for the duration of the study (10 d). Survival rates were inversely correlated with bacterial loads in major organs, as numbers of bacteria in the lungs, livers, and spleens of BFX-treated mice were decreased relative to placebo and ceftazidime-treated controls at 48 h postinfection (Fig. 7B). Bacterial loads were
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also decreased, although to a lesser extent, in 5-FC–treated mice. Interestingly, the majority of surviving mice treated with 5-FC or BFX showed low or undetectable bacterial loads at 10 d in liver and spleen compared to lung tissue, suggesting that dissemination from the lung to these organs might portend a poor outcome (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Histopathology of pulmonary, hepatic, and splenic tissue from mice killed 48 h after infection revealed significantly reduced inflammation in 5-FC–treated mice relative to PBS-treated and minimal inflammation in organs of BFX-treated mice (Fig. 7C). In summary, BFX and 5-FC demonstrate superiority to cefuzidine in a preclinical model of fulminant melioidosis.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to identify small-molecule inhibitors of the Burkholderia intercellular life cycle and to evaluate their therapeutic efficacy. Our cell-based phenotypic screen successfully identified inhibitors of intercellular spread by Bt, Bp, and Bm. We determined the mechanism of action of 1 highly potent molecule, BFX, as inhibition of DNA gyrase and link the effect of 5-FC to inhibition of cell–cell spread, most likely at the point of T6SS–S–mediated membrane fusion.

The intracellular and in vivo efficacy of BFX is intriguing given that fluoroquinolones have not generally been found effective for the treatment of melioidosis in animal models or human clinical trials (22). In contrast, our findings argue that BFX holds promise as a countermeasure against Bp and other pathogens. In comparison to ciprofloxacin, significantly increased potency of BFX was observed during intracellular but not extracellular growth, suggesting an ability to achieve higher concentrations of active compound in mammalian cells. If true, further study is warranted to determine if this is due to enhanced membrane permeability, a lower isoelectric point and increased activity in acidic compartments such as the phagolysosome (60, 61), or some other mechanism. A comprehensive assessment of BFX’s spectrum of activity, particularly against other intracellular pathogens such as Shigella and Salmonella, may be informative and clinically relevant in this regard. In Burkholderia and other gram-negative bacteria, efflux pumps that actively export antibiotics across the bacterial cell envelope are a primary mechanism of fluoroquinolone resistance (26, 62, 63). Thus, it will be of interest to determine if the enhanced relative potency of BFX against intracellular Burkholderia provides a means to overcome efflux-mediated resistance to an extent that improves clinical outcomes (8, 64).

Our observation that 5-FC provided a significant survival benefit in a preclinical model of fulminant disease provides a compelling argument for evaluating the potential to repurpose this antifungal as an adjunctive therapy for melioidosis (65). As
an FDA-approved drug, 5-FC has a well-established safety and clinical use profile and is readily accessible in many melioidosis-endemic locales. It exhibits excellent bioavailability and near ubiquitous distribution in host compartments, including cerebrospinal fluid (51). In *Burkholderia*, 5-FC acts as a prodrug that requires conversion to 5-FU and FUMP for inhibitory activity. This confers selectivity since human cells lack CodA and cannot efficiently metabolize 5-FC (51). In contrast to susceptible fungi (55), the lack of a primary effect on growth and the lack of dependence on thymidine phosphorylase or ribonucleotide reductase (Fig. 5) suggest that lethal inhibition of RNA or DNA synthesis are not of primary importance. Instead, our staging of the effects of 5-FC in relation to the intracellular life cycle (Fig. 4 B–J), the observation that 5-FC suppresses Hcp5 secretion in vitro (Fig. 4f), and the phenotypes of resistant mutants (Fig. 6; see below) are consistent with the hypothesis that inhibition of T6SS-5 activity is primarily responsible for the ability of 5-FC to inhibit cell–cell spread. Effects on other requirements for intracellular survival and replication may occur, but our results argue that they are not the primary point of inhibition in the assays we employed.

In our in vitro and cell-based assays, 5-FC acts as an anti-virulence agent (66, 67), and targeting virulence as opposed to growth may be advantageous for several reasons. First, anti-virulence agents are proposed to exert reduced selective pressure for resistance, although this has been difficult to demonstrate experimentally (66–68). Second, unlike conventional antibiotics which target widely conserved and essential pathways, anti-virulence agents typically target pathogen-specific virulence pathways that are dispensable for growth and therefore may be less likely to disrupt beneficial microbes. Moreover, the unique mechanisms of action of anti-virulence agents like 5-FC provide opportunities for synergy when combined with established therapies or promising leads such as BFX, which target growth. Further efforts to develop 5-FC will focus in part on its use as an adjunctive therapy to treat melioidosis.

Through chemical and targeted mutagenesis experiments, we have identified 3 general pathways to 5-FC resistance. The first and most efficient involves mutations in genes encoding cytosine deaminase (*codA*) or uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (*upp*), which are required for conversion of 5-FC prodrug to active metabolites and are target of mutations in the antibiotic transporter locus would have a similar effect. Fluorinated pyrimidines have also been found to exert antivirulence effects in *P. aeruginosa*, and although the mechanism remains unclear, disruptions in *codA* and *upp* were similarly found to confer resistance (68, 69). A second pathway that leads to partial resistance results from mutations in a region of chromosome II that encodes putative regulatory factors such as IsrA and IsrB. Although further study is required to understand their roles in virulence, the intriguing observation that a transposon insertion in *isrA* results in accelerated plaque development in the absence of inhibitor suggests that IsrA plays a negative role in regulating 1 or more factors involved in intracellular spread. A third pathway, which also confers partial resistance, involves inactivation of a biosynthetic gene (*thaP*) required for production of thailandamide, a potent inhibitor of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (AcceA)-dependent fatty acid synthesis (57, 58). How might the lack of production of a polyketide antibiotic increase the efficiency of cell–cell spread? An important clue comes from the observation that in addition to inhibiting growth of competing bacteria (57, 58), thailandamide has cytotoxic activity against mammalian cells (70). We speculate that partial disruption of host cell fatty acid biosynthesis by intracellular bacteria could alter membrane properties and decrease the efficiency of T6SS-dependent fusion or compromise mechanisms that repair membrane damage resulting from nonproductive deployment of the fusion machinery. In either case, eliminating thailandamide production

---

Fig. 7. In vivo efficacy of 5-FC and BFX. (A) Treatment of female BALB/c mice with 5-FC and BFX improved survival in a lethal inhalation model of melioidosis and outperformed ceftazidime treatment, the current therapy of choice for acute melioidosis (***P < 0.001; *P < 0.05). Mice were inoculated intranasally with 4,500 CFU of *Bp* 1026b and treated twice daily, starting 5 h after infection, with either 100 μL of PBS + 20% DMSO (negative control), 65 mg/kg of ceftazidime (positive control), 50 mg/kg of 5-FC, or 5 mg/kg of BFX. Number of mice per group = 8. CAZ, ceftazidime. Animals were euthanized when moribund, as described in SI Appendix, Supplemental Materials and Methods. (B) Flucytosine and BFX decreased bacterial loads in the lung, liver, and spleen of mice infected with *Bp* 1026b (*P < 0.05). Organs were harvested 48 h after infection, and bacterial burden was measured by diluting and plating homogenized organs. Number of mice per group = 4. (C) Flucytosine and BFX reduced inflammation and necrosis in the lung, spleen, and liver tissue of infected mice. Shown are lung, spleen, and liver sections from PBS-, 5-FC-, and BFX-treated mice harvested 48 h after infection. PBS-treated mouse lung tissue showed extensive interstitial, perivascular, and peribronchiolar inflammation (black arrows). Inflammation was less prominent and more focal in 5-FC-treated mice (black arrow) and was absent in BFX-treated lungs. Splenic tissue from PBS-treated mice showed large areas of inflammation (black arrow) and necrosis (blue arrow) within red and white pulp, whereas spleens from 5-FC- and BFX-treated mice were largely spared. Liver tissue from PBS-treated mice showed significant periductal inflammation (black arrows), which was reduced in 5-FC-treated mice and undetectable in BFX-treated mice. All error bars represent the SEM. (Scale bars, 300 μm.)
would be predicted to increase the efficiency of cell–cell spread as measured by in vitro plaque assays.

Having identified pathways to 5-FC resistance in vitro, it is important to consider their potential clinical relevance in relation to the ecology and epidemiology of Bp. Human infections invariably arise from environmental sources (4), and it seems likely that mutations in genes required for pyrimidine salvage (i.e., codA, codB, and upp) would be subject to negative selection in nature. The effects of such mutations on Bp virulence in animals are also unknown. Similarly, although disruption of istA or other potential regulatory loci may increase the efficiency of intercellular spread in cell monolayers, further experiments will be required to determine if this correlates with increased or attenuated virulence in vivo. Given the importance of precise control in bacterial–host interactions, we predict the latter possibility will be true. Finally, although thailandamide is produced by Bt strains, the biosynthetic gene cluster is absent from Bp and Bm genomes (71), making this pathway of lesser relevance for treating melioidosis or glanders. Nonetheless, it is tempting to speculate that inhibition of host cell fatty acid synthesis could contribute to the relative avirulence of Bt compared to Bp and Bm. Although our chemical mutagenesis screen was designed to reveal the mechanism of inhibition by 5-FC, mutations that implicate a specific molecular target were not identified. If 5-FC ultimately targets a factor required for T6SS-5 apparatus assembly, function, or deployment, it may be difficult or impossible to generate mutations in a step that eliminate inhibition while preserving secretory and fusogenic activity, as dictated by our selection protocol. Similarly, effects on regulatory factors or levels of a small-molecule signal may be difficult to evade without disrupting essential control circuits. Given the apparent utility of 5-FC and the required role of T6SS-5 in pathogenesis, it is clearly of interest to identify the molecular targets and mechanisms responsible for inhibition.

A limitation of our study is that the primary screen was conducted with the BSL-2 surrogate Bt for relative ease of manipulation. As we later found, many of our primary hits had no effect on Bp or Bm. Although Bt, Bp, and Bm utilize many conserved virulence mechanisms, their genomes differ considerably in size, metabolic gene content, and homology (71, 72). Subtle differences in intercellular life cycles, drug uptake or transport, or metabolic strategies of these species may have contributed to the differential susceptibility observed in our study. Although our screen was successful in identifying therapeutic leads for Bp and/or Bm, a potentially superior but more challenging approach would have been to conduct the primary screen using these Tier 1 pathogens under BSL-3 containment. Finally, our study demonstrated the efficacy of identified hits in clinical isolates; however, follow-on strain studies will be required to guide translation to the clinical setting, as Bp is known to be genetically variable (10).

Cell-based phenotypic screens are a promising approach to drug discovery for intracellular bacterial pathogens, as validated hits will have a priori demonstrated the ability to traverse the host cell plasma membrane and bacterial cell envelope. This is especially advantageous for gram-negative pathogens, for which the outer membrane remains a formidable barrier for drug development (75). The high-throughput screen described here demonstrates the feasibility of this approach to identify new therapeutic leads for melioidosis and glanders.

Materials and Methods

Study Design. Compounds in the UCLA MDDR library (www.mDDR.ucla.edu) were plated and tested in vitro for the ability to inhibit intercellular spread with the identities and order of compounds blinded to the experimenter. Due to its scale and expense, the ~220,000-small-molecule HT screen was performed with each compound tested once in a single well. Small molecule compounds deemed as positive hits in initial screen were then prioritized from the larger library to form a small positive hit library. This hit library was used to validate positive hits in 384-well format, with at least 3 technical and 2 biological replicates per compound. Downstream cell-based infection experiments were performed with at least 3 technical and 2 biological replicates. Compounds were prioritized for further study based on their activity profiles and toxicity for mammalian cells. Two compounds, BFX and 5-FC, were selected for their mechanistic studies. Using this approach, a mouse model of melioidosis. Animal studies were approved by the University of Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) under protocol #201609601. Animals were randomly assigned to treatment groups, and therapeutic efficacy was determined on the basis of mortality, organ bacterial loads, and histopathological findings. Sample sizes for in vivo studies were determined using Lamorte’s power calculations and were selected to minimize the number of animals needed to obtain statistically significant results. The HT small-molecule screen, follow-up mechanistic assays, HT resistance screen, WGS and bioinformatics approach, animal experiments, and data analysis are described in detail in SI Appendix.
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