Non-stimulated adrenal venous sampling using Dyna computed tomography in patients with primary aldosteronism
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In this retrospective study, we aimed to examine the effect of applying Dyna computed tomography (CT) on the success rate of adrenal venous sampling (AVS) without adrenocorticotropic hormone stimulation. A total of 100 consecutive patients with primary aldosteronism who underwent AVS between May 2012 and July 2015 were enrolled. In all the cases, Dyna CT was used in AVS to validate catheter position in the right adrenal vein. A selectivity index (cortisol adrenal vein /cortisol inferior vena cava) of ≥2.0 of both adrenal veins were required for successful AVS. Dyna CT indicated misplaced catheters in 16 patients; of these patients, 75% (12/16) eventually had successful right AVS after catheter repositioning. The success rate of initial sampling at the right adrenal vein was 76% (76/100), which increased to 88% (88/100) after Dyna CT was applied (p < 0.001). The most common inadvertently catheterised vessels detected using Dyna CT were the accessory hepatic veins (56.3%, 9/16), followed by the renal capsular veins (37.5%, 6/16). The overall success rate of non-stimulated AVS using Dyna CT was 87% (87/100). Thus, the application of Dyna CT further increased the success rate of non-stimulated AVS.

Primary aldosteronism (PA) is currently considered as the most common cause of secondary hypertension, and accounts for 5–11% of unselected hypertensive patients1–3. The causes underlying PA include an aldosterone-producing adenoma, unilateral adrenal hyperplasia, and bilateral idiopathic adrenal hyperplasia2–4. Moreover, the treatment options primarily depend on whether the PA is unilateral or bilateral. In patients with unilateral hyperaldosteronism, surgical treatment is commonly indicated, as 30–60% of patients can be cured of hypertension after unilateral adrenalectomy5,6. In contrast, patients with bilateral PA usually receive long-term medical treatment, such as mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist therapy7.

Cross-sectional adrenal imaging has been shown to be unreliable for the lateralisation of PA8–10. Therefore, adrenal venous sampling (AVS) is currently recognised as the gold standard for determining the laterality of PA through the direct sampling of aldosterone secretion. Accordingly, the Endocrine Society guidelines recommend the use of AVS for distinguishing between unilateral and bilateral hyperaldosteronism10. However, the catheterisation of the right adrenal vein is difficult due to its small size, which makes AVS a technically challenging procedure with reported success rates ranging from 55% to 97%8,11–13.

Dyna computed tomography (CT) has recently been advocated as a powerful tool for assisting catheterisation of the right adrenal vein11,14. As the sampling of the right adrenal vein is the most technically challenging part of AVS, Dyna CT is primarily used to confirm right adrenal venous catheterisation in these cases11. Nevertheless, only a few studies have focused on the value of Dyna CT in cases of non-stimulated AVS. In the present study, we aimed to investigate the effect of applying Dyna CT on the success rate of adrenal venous sampling (AVS) without adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) stimulation.
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Methods
This retrospective study was compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, and was approved by the institutional review board of the National Taiwan University Hospital. Between May 2012 and July 2015, 100 consecutive patients with PA underwent Dyna CT-assisted AVS at our institution. Dyna CT images and laboratory data were recorded in the Taiwan Primary Aldosteronism Investigation (TAIPAI) database.

Identification of PA. No antihypertensive medications were administered for at least 21 days prior to the confirmatory test. Patients with markedly high blood pressure were given diltiazem and/or doxazosin, if required. PA was diagnosed in cases that fulfilled the following 3 criteria: aldosterone to renin ratio (ARR) > 35; TAIPAI score > 60%; and post-saline loading plasma aldosterone concentration of > 10 ng/dl.

AVS protocol. A radiologist (C.C.C.) with 6 years of experience with AVS performed all the procedures. Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to AVS. To avoid any bias introduced as a result of the aldosterone circadian rhythm, all AVS procedures were conducted in the morning. Patients were encouraged to maintain a supine position before the AVS procedure for at least 1 h. No ACTH stimulation was performed before or during AVS. We used real-time ultrasound guidance for puncture of the right femoral vein, and a 5-French vascular sheath was placed using the Seldinger technique. In most cases, a 4-French C1 catheter with 2 side holes (Torcon NB, Cook Medical, Bloomington, U.S.A.) was used for venous sampling of the both adrenal veins and the inferior vena cava (IVC). Before sampling both the adrenal veins, the catheter tip position was carefully confirmed via venography, through the gentle injection of a small amount of diluted contrast medium (Omnipaque 350, GE healthcare, Carrigtwohill, Ireland). If the catheter was in an unstable position (n = 9), a microcatheter (Renegade 2.5Fr, Boston Scientific, Boston, U.S.A.) was navigated into the right adrenal vein for better stabilisation. Dyna CT was used to verify right adrenal venous catheterisation in all cases. To minimise the risk of catheter displacement during sampling, patients were asked to avoid deep breathing, forceful coughing, and talking for a short period. The catheter tip position was reconfirmed via venography after the sampling was completed.

Dyna CT protocol. A ceiling-mounted angiography system (Zeeo Artis, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) was used for all Dyna CT scans. Each patient was instructed to place their arms over their head to reduce streak artifacts on Dyna CT images. The isocentre was set at an anteroposterior and lateral position to ensure the presence of the right adrenal vein in the field of view (FOV). Thereafter, a test run was performed to ensure that no collision occurred. The contrast medium was diluted 3 times to avoid the production of streak artifacts following its injection. The actual Dyna CT image was acquired 2 s after the start of contrast medium injection (at a rate of 0.5–1.0 mL/s for 8 s). The rotation time for Dyna CT was 6 s, whereas the detector moved at 45°/s. The patients were told to hold their breath and to avoid any intentional inspiration or expiration as soon as the contrast medium was injected. The source power was 90 kVp, and the FOV was 48 cm, with a voxel matrix of 512 × 512.

Dyna CT interpretation. Dyna CT images were processed and interpreted on a Siemens workstation on site (Advantage workstation, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Three-dimensional multiplanar reconstructed images were used to verify right adrenal venous catheterisation, with free-adjustment of the window level, window centre, and magnification, as needed (Fig. 1). If the right adrenal vein was not opacified, the misplaced catheters were repositioned. To avoid excessive radiation exposure, Dyna CT was generally not repeated after catheter repositioning, and the position of the catheter was confirmed via venography. However, in cases with undetermined Dyna CT findings such as opacification of renal or adrenal capsular vein, repeated Dyna CT was performed at the radiologist’s discretion.

Figure 1. A 60-year-old man with primary aldosteronism (PA) and a right adrenal nodule. The right adrenal gland was opacified on a maximum intensity projection image on Dyna computed tomography in the transverse plane (a) and coronal plane (b). Adrenal venous sampling was successful and the lateralisation examination indicated bilateral PA.
Selectivity and lateralisation indices of AVS. A successful AVS is considered when the sampled adrenal cortisol concentration is similar or twofold greater than the sampled IVC cortisol concentration—also termed as the selectivity index\(^1^\). Thus, a selectivity index cut-off value of \(\geq 2.0\) was used in the present study. After confirming bilateral AVS success, the lateralisation of the PA was determined based on a lateralisation index of \(\geq 2.0\); this index is estimated as the ratio of the aldosterone/cortisol (A/C) concentration on the dominant side with the A/C concentration on the contralateral side.

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc statistical software (MedCalc version 15.4.0.0, Frank Schoonjans, Mariakerke, Belgium). The difference between categorical variables was compared using the \(\chi^2\) test, whereas the difference between independent continuous variables was compared using Mann–Whitney’s U test. The difference in the success rate before and after Dyna CT application was assessed using McNemar’s test. For all statistical analyses, a \(p\) value of \(< 0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

Results
A total of 100 patients (51 men and 49 women; mean age, 52 years; age range, 31–73 years) were included in the analysis. Detailed patient characteristics are summarised in Table 1.

|                          | Successful AVS (n = 87) | Failed AVS (n = 13) | \(p\) value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Age, years               | 52.2 ± 10.2              | 53.8 ± 10.2         | 0.609       |
| Gender                   |                          | 0.772               |
| Male, No. (%)            | 45 (51.7)               | 6 (46.2)            |             |
| Female, No. (%)          | 42 (48.3)               | 7 (53.8)            |             |
| Serum \(K^+\), mEq/L     | 4.1 ± 4.4               | 3.6 ± 0.7           | 0.715       |
| Aldosterone, ng/dL       | 67.0 ± 112.7            | 55.1 ± 31.2         | 0.716       |
| Renin activity, ng/mL/h  | 0.78 ± 1.26             | 0.68 ± 0.82         | 0.787       |
| Body mass index, kg/m\(^2\) | 27.7 ± 4.3              | 25.7 ± 4.1          | 0.138       |
| Adrenal nodule           |                          | 0.692               |
| None, No. (%)            | 23 (26.4)               | 3 (23.1)            |             |
| Right, No. (%)           | 20 (23.0)               | 2 (15.4)            |             |
| Left, No. (%)            | 29 (33.3)               | 4 (30.8)            |             |
| Bilateral, No. (%)       | 15 (17.2)               | 4 (30.8)            |             |
| Repositioning needed, No. (%) | 12 (13.8)              | 4 (30.8)            | 0.215       |
| Procedural time, min     | 49.1 ± 21.3             | 51.9 ± 21.9         | 0.66        |

Table 1. Patient characteristics between the successful adrenal venous sampling (AVS) group and the failed AVS group.

Comparison of success rates before and after Dyna CT. Dyna CT was conducted to confirm the catheter position in the right adrenal vein in all cases. The success rate of initial sampling in the right adrenal vein was 76% (76/100), which increased to 88% (88/100) after Dyna CT and on-site re-sampling (\(p < 0.001\); Fig. 2). Catheter misplacement was detected by Dyna CT in 16% (16/100) patients; of these patients, 75% (12/16) eventually had successful sampling after catheter repositioning. On the Dyna CT images, the misplaced catheters were located in the accessory hepatic vein in 8 patients (56.3%, 9/16; Fig. 3), renal capsular vein in 5 patients (37.5%, 6/16; Fig. 4), and inferior phrenic vein in 1 patient (6.3%, 1/16; Fig. 5).

Discussion
AVS is currently considered as the gold standard for differentiating between unilateral and bilateral hyperaldosteronism\(^1^\). Considering the recently growing interest in the detection and diagnosis of PA\(^2^\), AVS is expected to be more commonly used, despite its variable successful rate. However, the reliability of the technical performance of AVS is crucial for avoiding repeated AVS procedures. In the present study, we found that the success rate of non-stimulated AVS could be improved after incorporating Dyna CT.

In our study, AVS was performed without ACTH stimulation in all cases, and a cut-off value of \(\geq 2\) was used for both the selectivity and lateralisation indices, in accordance with the expert consensus statement reported by
Rossi et al. At present, almost 50% of the medical centres worldwide use non-stimulated AVS for PA patients, and the use of ACTH stimulation prior to AVS remains controversial. In fact, ACTH stimulation prior to AVS may lead to erroneous lateralisation results, and no conclusive evidence has been obtained regarding its superiority in terms of AVS outcomes.

Recently, Mailhot et al. compared the sensitivity and specificity of different selectivity criteria for basal cortisol and aldosterone levels in 160 patients who underwent AVS with cosyntropin stimulation. The authors found that combined Aldosterone/Adrenal vein/Aldosterone/IVC and Cortisol/Adrenal vein/Cortisol/IVC values (cut-off value ≥ 2) had the best sensitivity for non-stimulated AVS, without any loss of specificity. If this selectivity index criteria by Mailhot et al. was incorporated into the present analysis, the overall success rate would further increase to 90% (90/100), with 3 new successful cases of right AVS.

Traditionally, the AVS procedure involves the cannulation of the suspected adrenal vein under two-dimensional fluoroscopic guidance. The position of the catheter is confirmed via adrenal venography with the manual injection of a small amount of contrast. However, venography is non-specific for right adrenal vein cannulation due to the high failure rate. Nevertheless, the difference in enhancement between the enhanced vascular structure and peripheral tissue facilitates easy confirmation of catheter position by Dyna CT or other C-arm CT methods; this also improves the success rate for sampling of the right adrenal vein in AVS.

Table 2. Comparison between the present study and the previous studies with similar purposes.

| Authors            | Auxiliary Tools | No. of patients | Stimulation          | Success rate of the right AVS, % (before/after) | Determination of AVS success |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Our study          | Dyna CT         | 100             | No                   | 88/100                                        | Selectivity index ≥ 2       |
| Georgiades et al.  | C-arm CT        | 9               | 250 μg cosyntropin IV | 78/100                                        | Appropriate increase of cortisol levels after cosyntropin stimulation (the precise level is N/A) |
| Plank et al.       | Dyna CT         | 19              | No                   | Dyna CT detect 4 (21%) cases of misplacement  | N/A                         |
| Park et al.        | C-arm CT        | 42              | tetracosactide IV    | 86/95                                         | Selectivity index ≥ 3       |
| Higashide et al.   | Angio-CT        | 29              | Yes, but the dose is not mentioned | 76/97                                        | Serum cortisol level >200 μg/dl in adrenal vein |
| Onozawa et al.     | Angio-CT        | 148             | 0.25 mg cosyntropin IV | 87/96                                        | Serum cortisol level >200 μg/dl in adrenal vein or selectivity index ≥ 5 |

Figure 2. The success rate of non-stimulated adrenal venous sampling (AVS) before and after incorporating Dyna computed tomography (CT). The success rate of the initial sampling during right AVS was 76% (76/100), which increased to 88% (88/100) after Dyna CT was incorporated (p < 0.001).
blood with the blood of IVC through the sidehole of the catheter. Moreover, a short common venous trunk may result in the catheter sideholes being left in the IVC, which could lead to dilution of the sampling with peripheral blood. These occurrences could additionally lead to insufficient cortisol levels in the sampling. For the patients \((n = 3)\) with unsuccessful AVS of the left side, contamination from the left inferior phrenic veins due to inadequate catheter advancement was the speculated cause for failure. Four patients in our study had unsuccessful right AVS despite reposition of the misplaced catheter detected by Dyna CT, and the main reason for repeated failure was difficult cannulation of the right adrenal vein.

The catheterisation of the right adrenal vein is the critical step in the entire AVS procedure, particularly for less-experienced operators. Dyna CT can provide three-dimensional anatomic information and aid in recognising the right adrenal venous orifice of the IVC. As the operator accumulates more experience, the confidence to locate the catheter on venography also increases, based on the past understanding via Dyna CT imaging. Although Dyna CT did increase the radiation exposure to the patient, the calculated additional dose (30 mGy) was only around 5% of the original AVS, which was 673.9 ± 613.8 mGy in this study\(^2\).

The present study has certain limitations. First, it was a retrospective study with a limited sample size. Second, all the procedures were performed by a single radiologist, which may not represent the general conditions in AVS.

---

**Figure 3.** A 61-year-old man with primary aldosteronism (PA) and a right adrenal nodule. (a) The venogram pattern of the accessory hepatic vein was similar to that of the right adrenal vein. (b) Dyna computed tomography exhibited focal opacification of the liver parenchyma, with venous drainage into the accessory hepatic vein.

**Figure 4.** A 57-year-old man with primary aldosteronism and bilateral adrenal nodules. (a) On Dyna computed tomography maximum intensity projection imaging in the coronal plane, the renal capsular vein was opacified with the venous orifice at the T11/12 intervertebral level. (b) After adjustment of the catheter position, the right adrenal vein was opacified with the venous orifice at the mid T11 vertebral level.
procedures with Dyna CT. Third, the fluctuation of the patients’ hormone levels might influence selectivity results when the AVS is conducted without ACTH stimulation. Nevertheless, non-stimulated AVS is a well-established procedure for hyperaldosteronism lateralisation, and we implemented several measures for minimising patient stress during AVS in the present study. We believe that hormonal fluctuations were minimal and would introduce little bias to the study results.

In conclusion, our study showed that Dyna CT is useful to locate the catheter position in the right adrenal vein, and that the application of Dyna CT further increases the success rate of non-stimulated AVS.
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