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Neuroprotective and Anti-inflammatory Effects of Pioglitazone on Traumatic Brain Injury
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Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is still a major cause of concern for public health, and out of all the trauma-related injuries, it makes the highest contribution to death and disability worldwide. Patients of TBI continue to suffer from brain injury through an intricate flow of primary and secondary injury events. However, when treatment is provided in a timely manner, there is a significant window of opportunity to avoid a few of the serious effects. Pioglitazone (PG), which has a neuroprotective impact and can decrease inflammation after TBI, activates peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPARγ). The objective of the study is to examine the existing literature to assess the neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory impact of PG in TBI. It also discusses the part played by microglia and cytokines in TBI. According to the findings of this study, PG has the ability to enhance neurobehavior, decrease brain edema and neuronal injury following TBI. To achieve the protective impact of PG the following was required: (1) stimulating PPARγ; (2) decreasing oxidative stress; (3) decreasing nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), interleukin 6 (IL-6), interleukin-1β (IL-1β), cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), and C-C motif chemokine ligand 20 (CCL20) expression; (4) limiting the increase in the number of activated microglia; and (5) reducing mitochondrial dysfunction. The findings indicate that when PG is used clinically, it may serve as a neuroprotective anti-inflammatory approach in TBI.
1. Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is responsible for numerous deaths and permanent disability associated with the advancement of secondary brain injury and bleeding, due to which there is an increase in the rate of morbidity and mortality [1]. Every year, around 3.17 million cases of deaths and disabilities related to TBI are experienced [2].

For a long time, TBI has been considered as a "silent epidemic" in society as it is one of the main causes of death and disability among individuals of young age in Western nations [3]. There has been a rapid increase in the incidence of TBI because of a significant increase in the number of road accidents, e.g., motor vehicle accidents [4, 5].

It is possible to categorize TBI as mild, moderate, or severe. Almost 80 to 90% of all TBIs are mild in nature. There is a low percentage of severe TBI; however, it involves a high rate of mortality of between 30 and 40% [6, 7].

TBI consists of complex mechanisms and a cascade network, ranging from physical primary cerebral trauma to various secondary injuries [8].

The physiopathological processes of TBI need to be additionally explained, and the latest efficient pharmacological targets for treating TBI need to be determined. The pathophysiology of TBI is known to involve various kinds of pathological and physiological modifications, which typically comprise of primary and secondary brain injury that cause neurological deficits, neuronal death, and mortality following TBI [9].

It has been found that brain edema, vascular dysfunction, blood-brain barrier (BBB) disruption, and glial responses are related to the occurrence of secondary injuries after encountering TBI, which eventually gives rise to irreversible neuronal injury or possibly death [10].

It is vital to note that different kinds of cells, including endothelial cells, neurons, and astrocytes, are involved in the pathological processes of TBI [11].

It is quite challenging to prevent primary brain injury, which refers to a physical injury experienced directly by the brain tissue, and if encountered, it cannot typically be reversed, leading to brain tissue disorganization, intracerebral hemorrhage, and damage to BBB.

Secondary brain injury involves oxidative stress, calcium overload, autophagy, neuroinflammation, lipid peroxidation, necroptosis, and apoptosis and is possible to reverse [12, 13]. There are complicated mechanisms involved in secondary brain injury, which are characterized by changes in cerebral perfusion, triggering of inflammatory cytokines, and excitotoxicity [14].

So far, the focus of preclinical and clinical studies has mainly been on secondary injury processes so that the progression of injury pathology can be slowed down, thus decreasing cellular stress on glia and neurons [15].

Microglia and astrocytes are activated after encountering TBI, which leads to the overproduction of neuroinflammatory mediators that intensify TBI. Hence, the therapeutic targets for treating TBI could be determined by identifying the particular mechanisms of TBI [16, 17]. Following TBI, neuroinflammation plays a vital part in secondary tissue damage, which results in neuronal damage and dysfunction. Currently, the most widely used treatment method is surgical intervention, but there is no successful treatment for secondary brain injury following TBI. Hence, it is imperative to formulate new and effective methods through which neuroinflammation following TBI could be treated and the prognosis of patients could be enhanced [18, 19].

A lot of research has been carried out on formulating therapeutic strategies against TBI-induced neurodegeneration; however, it is still a significant public health issue in all age groups all over the world, irrespective of the financial status and income level of the patient [20].

The focus of previous studies has been on targeting proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) to regulate neuroinflammation by reducing the generation of proinflammatory mediators in microglia and macrophages due to neurological injury [21].

Thiazolidinediones, or glitazones, are a group of drugs that have been studied extensively in the area of neuronal insult and injury [22].

The capability of PG, a selective agonist of the PPARγ, to treat neuronal injury and neuroinflammation following diffuse brain injury, was also examined by the researchers [19]. PG signifies an insulin-sensitizing drug that has been approved for treating T2D. The PPARγ, a transcriptional regulator of adipocyte differentiation and lipid storage which is found in abundance in adipose tissue, mainly regulates the molecular impact of PG [23].

It has been shown by researchers that anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective properties are exhibited by PG as PPARγ receptor activation [24–26].

The preliminary reports on the use of PG and other "gli-tazones" as a therapeutic agent for TBI from preclinical TBI studies concentrate on possible anti-inflammation effects regulated through PPAR that could suppress secondary injury cascades. Rosiglitazone and other PPAR agonists, like fenofibrate, have been found to alleviate inflammation and oxidative damage following experimental TBI to offer neuroprotection [15, 27, 28]. Furthermore, PG decreases a secondary inflammatory response in the ischemic insult to some extent, particularly in the endothelial and perivascular tissues of rats [29].

PPARγ agonists like PG not only have an impact on metabolic disorders but also regulate inflammatory responses, such as immune function in the central nervous system [30].

The neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory impact of PG on TBI was examined in this study. Therefore, the latest studies carried out on the part played by PG in treating and managing TBI were identified, and the underlying approaches followed were discussed. The neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effects were specifically examined.

2. Types of TBI

Various systems can be used to examine the severity of TBI, and the most extensively used system in this regard is the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS). TBI is categorized by the GCS into mild (GCS range of 13 to 15), moderate (GCS in the range of 9 to 12), and severe (GCS in the range of 3 to 8),
based on the scores gained from particular clinical assessments carried out in other contexts, for example verbal communication, eye-opening, and motor functions [31].

There are three groups into which TBI can be classified, depending on its unique physical insult: closed head, penetrating, and explosive blast TBI. The closed-head TBI is the most widely prevalent incident among civilians that is caused due to blunt objects, falling, sports injuries, and vehicle accidents. Penetrating TBI refers to a brain injury in which a foreign body enters the brain parenchyma, leading to focal damage, intracranial hemorrhage, ischemic conditions, and edema [32, 33].

A type of TBI that occurs due to an explosion is known as explosive TBI. This type of TBI is a war-related TBI that was recognized initially in the 20th century [34]. The brain is seriously affected in an explosion blast TBI due to rapid pressure shock waves, and a substantial amount of energy is transferred from the skull to the brain parenchyma [34].

3. Pathophysiology of TBI

There are two groups into which the pathophysiological outcomes of TBI can be distinguished: primary brain injury and secondary brain injury [35].

4. Primary Brain Injury

Primary brain injury is experienced at the point the trauma occurs. Some of the common mechanisms involve rapid acceleration/deceleration, direct impact, penetrating injury, and blast waves. Those chronic inflammatory procedures that extend for a few months or years following primary brain injury may lead to neurodegeneration, cell death, and neurological disabilities [35].

There is physical damage to intracranial structures in primary brain injury. In this injury, direct damage is caused to brain parenchyma, like hematomas, contusions, diffuse axonal injury, and lacerations. In addition, direct vascular damage is also experienced, which causes hemorrhage and vasogenic edema [36].

The most serious kind of primary brain injury is laceration. It is likely to experience axial hematomas in the brain parenchyma and extra-axial hematomas within the subarachnoid, subdural, and epidural spaces, due to which brain compression and serious neurological damage may occur [36].

5. Secondary Brain Injury

It is suggested by alterations in the neurovascular unit that secondary injuries have occurred in the brain following TBI. Secondary brain injury consists of neuroimmune and inflammatory responses that may be experienced within days, weeks, months, or several years following the initial brain damage after TBI [37, 38].

Secondary intracranial injury is mainly regulated by increased activity of excitatory neurotransmitters, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), mitochondria dysfunction, and creation of proinflammatory cytokines, and all of these can play a part in neuronal cell damage and potentially lead to cell death. After secondary damage to neuronal tissue, cerebral edema production, greater intracranial pressure (ICP), impairment of the blood-brain barrier (BBB), and changes in cerebrovascular reactivity may occur [36, 39]. The harmful effects of various types of TBI are shown in Figure 1.

6. Traumatic Brain Injury and Neuroinflammation

Inflammation refers to a local response made by mammalian tissue to an injury brought about by any agent and involves pain, redness, swelling, and heat production [40–42].

The permeability of the blood-brain-barrier (BBB) increases the infiltration of circulating monocytes, lymphocytes, and neutrophils inside the brain parenchyma within 24 hours of TBI. Because of this, the complement system is stimulated and the inflammatory cytokines like tissue necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) that are proinflammatory cytokines are released [43–46]. When these inflammatory cytokines are released, BBB dysfunction and brain edema occur. It was determined that following injury, the stimulated microglia and macrophages get to the site of injury to decrease the damaging effects of the brain injury and offer a secure environment [47].

The particular resident macrophage of the central nervous system (CNS) that has phagocytosis and antigen presentation abilities is known as microglia. Microglia constitute the most widely prevalent mononuclear phagocyte inside the CNS and are responsible for around 10% of the overall CNS cell population in adults [48].

The release of inflammatory cytokines, reactive oxygen species, and glutamate may be brought about by the activated microglia, which increases the severity of the injury and causes neuronal cell death [31, 49].

The current grouping of myeloid cells (such as macrophages, monocytes, and microglia) into M1 and M2 polarization states occurs in accordance with the culturing of these cells in vitro, which stimulates them with individual cytokines, e.g., IFN-γ, IL-4, IL-10, or IL-13 [50].

The two phenotypes of activated microglial cells play a significantly different role: the M1 phenotype is responsible for the release of numerous proinflammatory cytokines, like TNF-α, and the M2 phenotype is found to be associated with the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10, and be involved in neural regeneration processes, e.g., neurogenesis, angiogenesis, oligodendrogenesis, and remyelination [51].

Pharmacological approaches that inhibit the M1 phenotype and release the M2 phenotype of microglial cells in animal models may help in decreasing cerebral damage and improving neurological function recovery after TBI [52].

Due to the action of proinflammatory molecules of microglia, they are directed towards the inflammatory M1 phenotype that creates the proinflammatory cytokines (TNFα, IL-1β, IL-6, -12, -18, -23, and IFNγ) and chemokines (CCL2, 5 and 20, CXCL1, and 9 and 10), and also reactive oxygen species (ROS). On the other hand, microglia follow an alternative activation phenotype that is referred to as M2 in reaction to anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-4,
There are anti-inflammatory properties of this phenotype, which is involved in tissue restoration and regeneration [51, 53].

7. Neuroprotective and Anti-inflammatory Effects of Pioglitazone

In secondary tissue damage, a major part is played by neuroinflammation following TBI, which gives rise to neuronal damage and impairment [19].

Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects are shown by PPAR agonists in various types of CNS disorders, for example Alzheimer’s, ischemic stroke, and Parkinson’s disease [54–56]. Transrepression of the redox-mediated transcription factor nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) is used to regulate the protective anti-inflammatory impact of PPARγ to some extent [57, 58].

Various molecules take part in the initial stages of the immune response, and NF-κB mediates different stages of the inflammatory response, which include IL-1β, IL-6, adhesion molecules, chemokines, and colony-stimulating factors [59, 60].

Interleukin-6 (IL-6) takes part in different physiological activities, such as hematopoiesis, immunity, neurodevelopment, and bone metabolism [61].

It was shown by Deng et al. that PG was able to effectively decrease neuroinflammation following TBI and the intensity of cerebral edema, as well as support neurological recovery following inflammation. To some extent, the beneficial impact of PG may be dependent on PPARγ activation,
PPARγ/NF-κB/IL-6 signaling pathway that performs a vital regulatory role in treating TBI with PG. According to this data, PG has the potential to be used as a therapeutic method of treating TBI [19].

Neuroprotective effects have been shown by PG, which is one of the glitazones, by decreasing mitochondrial dysfunction [62].

It was hypothesized in earlier studies that neuroinflammation modulation, depending on interactions with PPAR-γ, regulated the therapeutic effect of PG [62, 63].

It was demonstrated by Yonutas et al. that mitochondrial dysfunction can be reinstated by PG (10 mg/kg) when it is administered at 12 hours following a TBI. This study showed that PG can bring increments in mitochondrial bioenergetics by more than 54% in wild-type mice that express mitoNEET in their mitochondria, in comparison to vehicle-treated. The study findings also show the vital role of mitoNEET (which is an iron-containing external mitochondrial membrane protein that controls oxidative potential) for mitochondrial bioenergetics, as well as its significance in the neuropathological sequelae of TBI. In addition, the study shows the importance of mitoNEET for PG-regulated neuroprotection [22].

Inhibitory postsynaptic potentials are created by dopamine, which is also very important in motivation, learning, and movement [64]. According to Liu et al., dopaminergic neurodegeneration and inflammation was triggered in the substantia nigra, identical to certain pathological characteristics of Parkinson’s disease, by a single moderate brain injury caused by midline fluid percussion brain injury (mFPI).

It was determined that PG (10 mg/kg) supported dopaminergic neuronal survival and locomotor functional recovery, and this may be related to the decrease in microglial activation. This study showed that the inflammatory reaction determined in the nigrostriatal system had a role in the secondary pathology of TBI.

It was found by the researchers that a short while following brain injury (within 6 hours), there was significant production of proinflammatory cytokines, e.g., TNFα, IL-1β, IL-6, and CXCL1 in the striatum and substantia nigra. Cytokines represent small, short-lived proteins that are created by blood leukocytes and glial cells. To sum up, treatment with PG significantly weakened microglial activation and enhanced dopaminergic neuronal survival in the nigrostriatal system, which may support locomotor recovery. It is indicated by these findings that a suitable therapeutic treatment to enhance the outcome following TBI may be interventions that decrease secondary inflammation [3].

Both in vitro and in vivo models have been used in various studies to show PPAR-regulated decrease in the release of proinflammatory cytokines and oxidative stress markers [65–68].

Perilesional edema corresponded with inflammation in preclinical studies and involved the generation of proinflammatory cytokines, migration of peripheral immune cells to the brain, and activation of resident brain astrocytes [69].

From the various inflammasomes in the brain, the cleavage of caspase-1 and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) is supported by nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeat (NLR) family pyrin domain-including protein 3 (NLP3), which enhances the inflammatory response [70, 71].

It has been determined from the latest studies on humans and rodents that after TBI, NLRP3-related molecules are upregulated [72].

Yi et al. found in their study that a vital part is played by NLRP3 inflammasome in TBI, particularly in cerebral edema and secondary inflammation. Furthermore, cerebral edema and inflammation were decreased by PG (10, 20, and 40 mg/kg) through the downregulation of NLRP3-related inflammasomes. Hence, NLRP3 is a potential therapeutic target for TBI, and a valuable part may be played by the clinical application of PG in treating TBI by downregulating NLRP3 and restricting astrocyte and microglial activity [73].

**Figure 2: Neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effects of the PG.**
It has also been found that in various tissues, PG decreases proinflammatory cytokines like IL-1-β and C-C motif chemokine ligand 20 (CCL20) [74, 75]. Earlier studies also reported that the proinflammatory chemokine CCL20 is created in the degenerating cerebral tissues following TBI [76, 77].

BDNF is a neurotrophic factor that is related to post-TBI depression and cognitive dysfunction [78, 79].

According to Das et al., TBI in rats brought about microglial and astrogial activation, enhanced secretion of proinflammatory cytokines like CCL20 and IL-1-β, and led to behavioral and sensorimotor deficiencies. Neuroinflammation in the brain was decreased by PG (2 mg/kg in 100 µL) by reducing inflammatory cytokine production before the transplantation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). The efficiency of the transplanted hMSC was enhanced by the decrease in local cerebral inflammation, which was clear from the greater neurogenesis, decreased anxiety-like behavior, and lower pain sensation in rats undergoing combination treatment. In a reduced inflammatory microenvironment, hMSCs potentially assist in histological and behavioral recovery by increasing the production of neurotropic factors such as BDNF [76].

TBI was followed by a major disruption of mitochondrial homeostasis, which led to a decrease in cellular bioenergetics, increase in mitochondrial ROS production, and decrease in synaptic equilibrium. Hence, after TBI, a significant factor that determines cell survival or death may be the extent of mitochondrial injury or dysfunction [62, 80].

PPARγ agonist PG also reduces oxidative damage, mitochondrial dysfunction, and cell death [81, 82]. It was demonstrated by Sauerbeck et al. that PG treatment (10 mg/kg) did not allow the number of activated microglia in rats to increase. The authors deduced from these studies that after TBI, PG has the ability to improve various areas of neuropathology. The experiments demonstrate that PG can protect mitochondria, decrease inflammation, and enhance cognitive function after encountering TBI. Additional support is offered in these studies for offering neuroprotection by using PPAR ligands, particularly PG [62].

According to Qiu et al., twenty-four hours following TBI, there was a significant upregulation in the expression of PPARγ mRNA in all PG groups, with a substantial disparity among every PG group, depending on the dose concentration. There was substantial downregulation of the expression of TNF-α mRNA in the treatment groups following injury. Twenty-four hours following the injury, the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 mRNAs in the PG groups getting doses of 1.0 and 10.0 mg/kg decreased in comparison to the groups that were given 0.5 mg/kg PG. To sum up, a decrease in the levels of inflammatory cytokines in rats with TBI is caused by PG through the upregulation of PPARγ [83].

An inducible isof orm of COX, COX-2 transforms arachidonic acid into precursors of distinct prostaglandins that play a significant part in regulating cerebral circulation and neuronal signaling [84].

Lipid peroxidation refers to the oxidative degradation of polyunsaturated fatty acids that caused damage to the cellular membrane phospholipids and eventually led to cell dysfunction in various mammalian tissues, such as the brain [85].

It was determined by Pilipović et al. that PG (1 mg/kg) at 10 min following TBI brought about a substantial decline in the cortical lipid and protein oxidative damage, raised the GSH-Px activity, and decreased microglial response. The tested PPARγ agonist did not cause any change in the cortical reactive astrocytosis in injured animals (rats). It is shown by these results that PG that is given in a single dose, early on after lateral fluid percussion injury (LFPI), brought about a decrease in cortical oxidative damage, improved antioxidant defense, and showed little anti-inflammatory impact [86].

Thal et al. showed in their study that in a mouse model of TBI, secondary brain damage is decrease by PG (1 mg/kg). Hence, PPAR-c-independent anti-inflammatory functions in the brain offer neuroprotection to a certain degree. The main outcomes of the study were as follows: (1) when given 30 minutes following brain injury, PG decreased brain contusion volume; (2) cerebral inflammation was prevented by PG by decreasing the expression of TNF-α, IL-1-β, and IL-6 gene in brain tissue; and (3) PPAR-c suppression taking place simultaneously did not revoke the protective impact of PG [63].

8. Conclusion

The increasing evidence regarding the neuroprotective and the anti-inflammatory impact of PG on TBI is discussed in this review. Though these studies were carried out in animal models of TBI, it was possible to get similar findings in human TBI patients (Table 1). It is demonstrated in the study that PG is highly capable of decreasing neurodegeneration and enhancing the functional consequences in TBI patients by decreasing inflammation. PG has the therapeutic potential to decrease inflammation, reduce oxidative stress, reduce lesion volume, and enhance behavioral outcome after encountering TBI. On the basis of the findings of this study, the PPARγ/NF-κB/IL-6 pathway mainly regulated the neuroprotective impact of PG on TBI. Nevertheless, it is important to carry out additional studies to determine the clinical impact of PG on TBI and their molecular methods. Figure 2 presents a summary of the neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effect of the PG.
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