SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF TiO2 THIN FILM ELECTRODE BASED DYE SENSITIZED SOLAR CELL

Varsha Yadav, Swati Chaudhary, Saral Kumar Gupta, Ajay Singh Verma*
Department of Physics, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, 304022 (India)
*Corresponding Author: ajay.phy@rediffmail.com
Received April 4, 2020; accepted May 26, 2020

Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells (DSSCs) are prominent alternative devices to conventional p-n junction silicon based solar cells because of their low fabrication cost and high-power conversion efficiency, good cost/efficiency ratio. In the present work, DSSC devices were made-up with fluoride doped tin oxide (FTO) glass substrate, a TiO2 compact layer was deposited on FTO, Ruthenium (II) dye (N719), an iodide - triiodide electrolyte and a platinum (Pt) counter electrode. Photo anode with thin film layers of TiO2 and Pt counter electrode (photo-cathode) were prepared. Field emission electron microscope (FESEM) was employed to investigate the surface morphology of TiO2 layers. The DSSC device efficiency was evaluated by J-V characteristics. Fabricated devices were exhibited high power conversion efficiencies. The electrochemical impedance characteristics were analyzed by fitting the experimental results to the corresponding electrical equivalent circuit simulated data.
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State-of-the-art, the existing solar technologies are based on materials such as crystalline silicon, cadmium telluride materials. All of these materials have different problems and issues associated with the current technology. At present over 90% of the photovoltaic’s (PVs) are silicon-based solar cells [1]. Which offer relatively high power conversion efficiencies (about 27%) and about of 15-20 years operational lifetime. However, none of these approaches can address the key issue facing the PV market-the “cost to electricity output ratio” of solar generated electricity [2]. Also, the high energy cost to produce some of these PV negates the environmental benefits that can be gained. This clearly leaves the door ajar for a more commercially viable material system to be produced to deliver the necessary infrastructure to harvest solar energy [3]. We believe that an ideal system suited to deliver such a solution is TiO2 based dye-sensitized solar cells. The combination of very low material cost, combined with solution-processable cheap production facilities lends itself to easy fabrication methods and low environmental costs on both energy for production and green materials, hugely support the claim that PVs offer the ideal material system to deliver a suitable mechanism for large area solar harvesting [4,5].

DSSCs are often regarded as a third generation photovoltaic (PV) technology or an “emerging technology”, that are promising alternative energy conversion devices for low cost energy conversion. Substantial progress has been made in fundamental research and technological application of DSSCs during the last two decades [6]. The combination of very low material cost, combined with solution-processable cheap production facilities lends itself to easy fabrication methods and low environmental costs on both energy for production and green materials, hugely support the claim that PVs offer the ideal material system to deliver a suitable mechanism for large area solar harvesting. The long term reliability parameters can be achieved by the incorporation of suitable Nanomaterial which provide enough mechanical strength and flexibility for roll-to-roll process of flexible device [7].

The concept of DSSCs originated from the photosynthesis phenomenon in the plants, where chlorophyll, an organic compound, absorbs the sunlight and initiates photosynthesis process. The photovoltaic effect in DSSCs was first demonstrated in the early 1970s, but instability was their biggest problem. Though the efficiency improved in subsequent years but poor stability remained a big problem [8,9]. In 1991, O’Regan and Gratzel presented the modern version of is composed of porous layer of titanium dioxide nanoparticles, covered with a molecular dye that absorbs sunlight, like the chlorophyll in green leaves. The titanium dioxide is immersed under an electrolyte solution, above which is a platinum - based catalyst [10]. As in a conventional alkaline battery an anode (the titanium dioxide) and a cathode (the platinum) are placed on either side of a liquid conductor (the electrolyte). The sunlight passes through the transparent electrode into the dye layer, where it can stimulate the electrons floating in titanium dioxide. The electrons flow toward the transparent electrode, where they are collected to give the power to the load [11]. After flowing through the outer circuit, they are presented again in the cell on the metal electrode on the back flowing in electrolyte [12,13]. The electrolyte then transports the electrons back to the dye molecules [14].

In this work, DSSCs were fabricated using TiO2 electrode as a photoanode and Pt electrode as a Photocathode, here photoanode was used with the TiO2 paste and solar cell performance was analyzed. We have examined the development of the device performance like short circuit current density (Jsc), open circuit voltage (Voc) and solar energy to conversion efficiency (η). We also excluded TiO2 film morphology with the help of FESEM technique and some electrical parameters by impedance technique.
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EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂), Fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) coated glass substrate (sheet resistance ~ 8-12 Ω/cm²), Dye (N719), Platinum paste, Lithium iodide (LiI), Iodine (I₂), 1-butyle-3-methyliadinazolium iodide (BMIT), 4-tert butyl pyridine, Acetonitrile and valeronitrile. All chemicals purchased from sigma Aldrich and used without any purification.

Synthesis of Dye precursor solution. Dye solution was prepared by dissolving 36 mg N719 dye in 100 ml absolute ethanol. Shake well until the solution dissolved properly.

Synthesis of Electrolyte precursor solution. Electrolyte solution was prepared by dissolving 0.1M LiI, 0.05M iodine, 0.6 BMIT, 0.5 4-tert butyl pyridine in (17:3) ml ratio of Acetonitrile – Valeronitrile by stirring at room temperature until obtain uniform solution.

Device Fabrication and characterization. A Systematic DSSCs consists of several majors parts like Photoanode, Dye, Electrolyte, and Photocathode which is mentioned in the diagrammatic structure of DSSC shown below as Figure 1. Firstly, for the synthesis of photoanode, FTO glass substrate was cleaned with DI water acetone and iso-propanol by ultrasonic bath process [15]. A scotch tape was paste on the conducting side of FTO then, TiO₂ films were applied with the help of Doctor Blade technique.

The deposited films were annealed at 470°C for 45 minutes in high temperature furnace. The TiO₂ films were deposited immersed in a dye solution for 24 hours in dark. The Pt also deposited on FTO substrate by using doctor blade method as a counter electrode for 30 minutes annealed at 500°C. The TiO₂ films were formed as dye loaded photoanode and counter electrode as Pt deposited substrate [16,17]. Each sandwich cell was held in place with the help of two heavy duty clips on both opposites’ sides of electrode. Liquid electrolyte was introduced between both [18]. Along with basic electron transfer processes in DSSC prospects of a state-of-art device based on dye adsorbed on TiO₂ and I⁻/I₃⁻ in the form of redox pairs electrolyte is shown in Figure 1(a). On illumination, an electron photo generated by dye molecules as like in photosynthesis [19]. By photon (hν) absorption a dye molecule is excited whereas electron is excited from HOMO into LUMO subsequently, the free electron is injected into the TiO₂ conduction band and left the oxidized dye molecule. Then, the electron reach the Pt catalyst layer where redox reactions occur by the recombination with holes in the electrolyte by reducing tri iodide (I₃⁻) to iodide ion (I⁻). However, the negative charge of I⁻ in the final step, diffuses back to the dye molecules and it will react with the oxidized molecule. Thus, it completes the electrical cycle and repeats again. Besides this process some recombination happened like recombination of injected electron in the TiO₂ with either acceptors or oxidized dyes, which degrade the performance of the cell [20,21].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The surface microstructure features of the film were characterized using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) as shown in the Figure 2. FESEM image of the TiO₂ film sample annealed at 470°C for 45 min. The image reveals a film that was crack-free, uniform and smooth on the surface. It also shows that the TiO₂ thin film possesses a nanocrystalline and nonporous structure which consists of nanoparticles.

The photovoltaic performance of the DSSCs based on Titanium Dioxide thin film photoanode were investigate under a simulated solar irradiation of 100mW cm⁻² (AM 1.5G) [22,23]. Figure 3(a) display the measured J-V characteristics of solar cell based on TiO₂ films and 3(b) shows different efficiencies of DSSC based on wavelength. The corresponding photovoltaic parameters short circuit current (Jₘ), fill factor (FF), open circuit voltage (Vₘ), and conversion efficiency (η) for DSSCs for the TiO₂ films has been calculated. We have found the values of cell parameters such as; Vₘ, Jₘ, FF,
$I_{\text{max}}$, $V_{\text{max}}$, $P_{\text{max}}$ and efficiency are of 0.60V, 3.337mA/cm$^2$, 56.13%, 0.00289A, 0.4704V, 1.36 and 1.13%. The performance of cell is considerable at low cost DSSC technology available today [24]. On other hand we have found different values of efficiency at different range of wave length as mentioned in figure 3(b), and are continuously increase. From all the parameters we have measured overall highest efficiency of fabricated cell is 1.5% at 900-1100 nm range of wavelength. Photovoltaic performances are influenced by the dye adsorption solvent [25].

![Figure 2. FESEM image of TiO$_2$ thin film deposited on glass substrate](image)

The adsorption behavior of dye is significant at this wavelength. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a beneficial technique to investigate the internal impedance of photovoltaic device [26], such as DSSC. Electrochemical interface of split in three parallel circuits, additionally the equivalent circuit of DSSC is related to the experimental result of the Nyquist plot as display in Figure 4(a).

![Figure 3. (a) – The J-V curve under illumination of DSSC based on TiO$_2$ film. (b) – Efficiency performance based on different range of wavelength.](image)

In Nyquist plot equivalent circuit in the horizontal axis represent the serial resistance ($R_1$) between wire and substrate, from the impedance spectra represent resistance ($R_2$) and ($R_3$) at interface between electrolyte and Pt counter electrode and as well as between electrolyte and TiO$_2$ film. On the other side at the interface $C_1$ shows the double layer capacitance between electrolyte and Pt counter electrode and as well as between electrolyte and TiO$_2$ film [27,28]. The values of all the parameters of Nyquist plots of DSSC based on TiO$_2$ photoanode with the equivalent circuit are summarized in Table.
Figure 4. (a): The Nyquist plots of DSSC based on TiO2 photoanode with the equivalent circuit of DSSC; (b) Bode plot of DSSC based on TiO2 photoanode.

The resistance and capacitance values of equivalent circuit for DSSC based on TiO2 photoanode

| Sample | $R_1(Ω)$ | $C_1(mF)$ | $C_2(mF)$ | $R_2(Ω)$ | $R_3(Ω)$ |
|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| TiO2   | 85.91    | 0.125     | 0.149     | 132.32   | 494.62   |

In the bode plot of cell based on photoanode TiO2 under the solar irradiation, the frequency was shifted in higher frequency region with the TiO2 photoanode as shows in figure 4(b). According to curve recombination charge transfer processes at the TiO2/dye/electrolyte interface is low and enhance the electron transmission [29,30]. On the other hand, illumination condition $f_{max}$ is inversely proportional to the electron transport time as $τ_s = 1/(2πf_{max})$, increment in $f_{max}$ shows the increased rate of charge transport process in DSSC [24]. Hence, with the reduced value $τ_s$ of indicates that the electrons reaches at FTO electrode at a faster rate, so that we can improve the performance of DSSC by further modification.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have successfully fabricated and characterized Dye sensitized solar cell based on TiO2 photoactive layer. Which shows the PCE of 1.13%, $J_{sc}$ of 3.337mA/cm$^2$, $V_{oc}$ of 0.60V and FF of 56.13%, and overall highest PCE is 1.5% on 900 to1100 nm wavelength ranges. The performance of cell is good at simple laboratory condition, but we can improve photovoltaic performance by using this methodology. The EIS analysis observes that the resistance between electrolyte and TiO2 film increase, which indicates that a larger resistance at the interface between TiO2 film and electrolyte is beneficial for suppression of charge recombination. Hence, we can achieve enhancement in performance of DSSC by modification in TiO2 (like doping and annealing etc) and Dye and electrolyte (natural sources achieved by immediate environment).
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