Pneumothorax in otherwise healthy non-intubated patients suffering from COVID-19 pneumonia: a systematic review
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Background: Cases of spontaneous pneumothorax have been described in patients suffering from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia. The aim of this study is to systematically review all the cases of spontaneous pneumothorax that occurred in healthy patients with no underlying lung disease and who did not receive invasive mechanical ventilation.

Methods: A PubMed research was conducted. The following data were collected: age, sex, side of the pneumothorax, smoking habit, time from onset of symptoms to the diagnosis of pneumothorax, the development of new bullous lesions on computed tomography and the type of treatment. In order to analyze the most homogeneous population possible, intubated patients were deliberately excluded. In total, 44 cases of spontaneous pneumothorax in otherwise healthy patients were taken into account. Since the available data were extracted from small observational studies, no particular bias risk assessment was performed. Descriptive statistics were used to synthesize results.

Results: There were 37 male (84.1%) and 6 female (13.6%) patients. The majority of patients (66%) were treated only by chest tube thoracostomy, which most of the times resulted in a complete resolution of the pneumothorax. Simple surveillance was applied in 10 cases. Three patients underwent minimally invasive surgery. In 14 cases (31.8%) air-filled lesions were detected on imaging. Eleven patients received corticosteroids during their hospital stay. In the majority of cases (86.3%) the pneumothorax was resolved.

Discussion: Even if the level of evidence, derived from case reports and small case series is low, the existence of a true secondary spontaneous pneumothorax due to SARS-CoV-2 should be recognized. Imaging techniques should be repeated throughout the clinical course of the patients in order to detect newly developed pulmonary complications. Surgical treatment is feasible and patients whose general condition permits, should be offered surgery according to the existing guidelines regarding spontaneous pneumothorax. National registries and databases are necessary in order to better understand the pathogenesis and complications of this novel entity.
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Introduction

After the increasing report of cases of severe respiratory infections caused by a novel coronavirus, known as coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in late 2019, the outbreak occurred during the following months was declared as a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) (1). The WHO named this spectrum of infections as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (1). According to the WHO update on November 2020, there were almost 51 million confirmed cases and more than 1.26 million deaths attributed to COVID-19 in more than 219 countries (1). The respiratory symptoms can vary from a self-limited upper respiratory infection to a massive pulmonary involvement with respiratory failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and a state of hypercoagulability (2,3). As experience was gained with this new disease combined with the more liberal use of imaging techniques, for diagnostic and screening purposes, different radiological patterns of COVID-19 pneumonia have been identified (3-5). Cases of spontaneous pneumothorax have been described in patients suffering from COVID-19 pneumonia (6-30). Pneumothoraces have been observed either as a first manifestation of the disease or in a later phase, especially in patients that necessitated orotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation (31-49). The aim of this study is to systematically review all the cases of spontaneous pneumothorax that occurred in otherwise healthy patients with no underlying lung disease that did not necessitate orotracheal intubation or invasive mechanical ventilation, before or after the diagnosis of pneumothorax were taken into account. The flowchart of study selection process is shown on Figure 1. Since the available data were extracted from small observational studies, no particular bias risk assessment was performed. The following data were collected: age, sex, the side of the pneumothorax, the smoking habit, the time from onset of symptoms (or from hospital admission where the onset of symptoms was not clearly stated) to the diagnosis of pneumothorax, notable characteristics on computed tomography (CT) scan (especially the development of new bullous lesions) and the type of treatment. The presence of pneumomediastinum, subcutaneous emphysema or pneumopericardium in addition to the pneumothorax were added to the analysis. In cases where surgical treatment was performed, intraoperative and pathological findings were taken into account. Data concerning administration of corticosteroids and oxygen therapy (other than invasive mechanical ventilation) were retrieved. Whenever case series including intubated and non-intubated patients were encountered, only the latter were included in the analysis. Patients who presented an underlying lung pathology or other predisposing factors that could result in spontaneous pneumothorax were excluded.

In order to analyze the most homogeneous population...
possible, the intubated patients were deliberately excluded from the analysis. If the pneumothorax occurred while on mechanical ventilation (with or without concomitant ARDS) then ventilator-induced barotrauma could play a major role in its pathogenesis. On the other hand, if patients were intubated after the occurrence of pneumothorax, then its course and treatment are not the same as in non-intubated patients. It is a common practice not to operate on critically-ill patients but rather to leave in situ a chest tube for a prolonged period of time in order to treat a spontaneous pneumothorax (5).

Results

Case reports and cases series published in the medical literature were compiled in order to define similarities among patient characteristics, treatments received and outcomes. There were 37 male (84.1%) and 6 female (13.6%) patients. The gender of one patient (2.3%) was not reported. The mean age was 53.5 years. The majority of patients (59%) had never smoked. There were no data concerning smoking habit of 14 patients (31.8%). Twenty-one pneumothoraces occurred on the right side and 13 on the left. Six patients presented sequential bilateral and 4 spontaneous bilateral pneumothoraces. In 7 cases a tension pneumothorax occurred. The mean time from the onset of symptoms (or hospital admission) to the diagnosis of pneumothorax was 17.1 days. In case of bilateral sequential pneumothorax, the mean time for the diagnosis of the second pneumothorax was 29.6 days. In 16 cases (36.3%) the pneumothorax was associated with subcutaneous emphysema and/or pneumomediastinum. The majority of patients (66%) were treated only by chest tube thoracostomy, which most of the times resulted in a complete resolution of the pneumothorax. Simple surveillance was applied in 10 cases. Three patients underwent minimally invasive surgery. One additional patient was scheduled for surgery but there were no additional data reported. In two patients chemical pleurodesis through the chest tube was performed. In 14 cases (31.8%) air-filled lesions were detected on imaging. In 6 of these cases the lesions were bilateral. In the majority of cases (9 out of 14) they were localized in the lower lobes and in one case in the right middle lobe. In 5 cases the lesions were not present on the baseline CT scan upon admission. Eleven patients received corticosteroids during their hospital stay. Thirteen patients received maximal oxygen support [high-flow nasal cannula or non-invasive ventilation (NIV)]. Concerning the therapeutic outcome, in the majority of cases (86.3%) the pneumothorax was resolved. Three patients died. Among them, one patient (81 years old) died because of worsening of COVID pneumonia (10).
Table 1  Demographics and other characteristics of the patients of this cohort

| Variable                                    | Value          |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Sex, n (%)                                  |                |
| Male                                        | 37 (84.1)      |
| Female                                      | 6 (13.6)       |
| Unknown                                     | 1 (2.3)        |
| Age (mean ± SD) [range] years               | 53.5±15.3 [24–83] |
| Side, n (%)                                 |                |
| Right                                       | 21 (47.7)      |
| Left                                        | 13 (29.5)      |
| Bilateral sequential                        | 6 (13.6)       |
| Bilateral simultaneous                      | 4 (9.1)        |
| Time to detection (mean ± SD) [range] days  |                |
| 1st pneumothorax                            | 17.1±11.6 [6–38] |
| 2nd pneumothorax (when bilateral sequential)| 29.6±15 [17–42]|
| Smoking habit, n (%)                        |                |
| Never smoked                                | 26 (59.0)      |
| Ancient smokers                             | 3 (6.8)        |
| Active smokers                              | 1 (2.3)        |
| Unknown                                     | 14 (31.8)      |
| Treatment, n (%)                            |                |
| Chest tube                                  | 29 (66.0)      |
| Surveillance                                | 10 (22.7)      |
| Surgery                                     | 3 (6.8)        |
| Chemical pleurodesis through the chest tube | 2 (4.5)        |
| Outcome, n (%)                              |                |
| Pneumothorax resolution                     | 38 (86.3)      |
| Death                                       | 3 (6.8)        |
| Unknown                                     | 3 (6.8)        |
| In hospital corticosteroids, n (%)          | 11 (25.0)      |
| Maximal oxygen therapy, n (%)               | 13 (29.5)      |
| HFNC                                        | 10 (22.7)      |
| NIV                                         | 3 (6.8)        |

Two patients (aged 40 and 67) died because of a multiorgan failure that occurred on admission day 60 and 18 days after the onset of symptoms respectively (35,44). There are no other data enlightening the causes of death and there are no data from autopsy studies. The outcome of three patients was unknown (two patients were still hospitalized by the time the case reports were submitted for publication). The demographics and other characteristics of the patients are demonstrated on Table 1.

**Discussion**

In the beginning of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic there were scarce reports of pneumothorax attributed to this emerging virus. The more frequent imaging findings revealed bilateral and multilobar pulmonary involvement. Peripheral ground-glass opacities with vascular enlargement, consolidations, crazy paving and reticulations have been described (4). In some early cases series, pneumothorax was mentioned as a rather rare complication (6,7). While more experience with gained with this novel entity and with the routine use of CT scan, many other cases have been reported during the following months (9,10). In the different case series, the incidence is approximately 1%, however it is rather underestimated, since many cases are not reported (8).

The exact pathogenetic mechanism leading to spontaneous pneumothorax is not completely elucidated. However, the most probable mechanism is alveolar damage because of the inflammation and ischemic process (12-14,16). Common histopathological findings in COVID19 patients are capillary congestion and reactive pneumocyte changes indicating diffuse alveolar damage (12,13).

The *de novo* appearance of air-filled cavities (described as “cysts, bullae, pneumatoceles, etc.”) in healthy patients could further explain the pneumothorax by their spontaneous rupture (17-20). Coughing is frequently associated with COVID19 pneumonia and could be an aggravating factor, since forceful Valsalva maneuvers increase the intrathoracic pressure and lead to the rupture of these air-filled lesions (14,22). The atypical localization of these lesions (lower lobe predominance) reinforces the hypothesis that pneumothorax in patients suffering from COVID-19 pneumonia should be differentiated from ordinary cases. Another difference from ordinary cases is the high rate (22.7%) of bilateral pneumothoraces.

The fact that some of these lesions were seen in sequential CT scans in patients without respiratory comorbidities and in the absence of other concomitant...
infections should be recognized as a direct complication secondary to COVID-19 infection.

On the other hand, these cavities can disappear spontaneously with time, as demonstrated in the case reported by Fan et al. (15). A voluminous subpleural bulla, responsible for a spontaneous massive pneumothorax, completely resolved after approximately 3 months.

The aim of this systematic review is to contribute to the current knowledge regarding lung involvement in the form of parenchymal disruption and subsequent pneumothorax in healthy individuals. The series of cases that are reported present quite heterogeneous populations, with a mixture of previously healthy patients and patients with pre-existing comorbidities. The majority of these patients needed either oxygen therapy with or without non-invasive positive pressure or invasive mechanical ventilation. Patients that needed intubation and mechanical ventilation were excluded in order to eliminate the confounding factor of barotrauma, which is a well-known complication, especially in the setting of ARDS. In our analysis, the mean age for COVID-19 related pneumonia was 53.5 years. In the multicenter study of Martinelli et al., reporting on 60 patients with spontaneous pneumothorax, 72% had no respiratory comorbidities and 32% did not receive invasive or NIV. However, there were no separate data on different subgroups of non-ventilated patients that could permit us to include them in our analysis, even though it is the largest case series (9).

The authors conclude that spontaneous pneumothorax complicating COVID-19 pneumonia is not an independent factor for poor prognosis. For all the above reasons, we agree with Martinelli et al. and with Porcel who stress that the existence of a true secondary spontaneous pneumothorax due to SARS-CoV-2 should be recognized (9,11).

In our analysis 22.7% of the patients were treated conservatively and 66% with a chest tube placement with a favorable outcome. The size of the chest tube was not always mentioned and whenever it was stated it ranged from pigtail catheters to large-bore chest tubes. There were also patients that underwent surgery. In the case reported by Caviezel et al., a patient with no underlying lung disease and who never had non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation, underwent bilateral exploratory thoracoscopies with wedge resections (23). The surgical indications were failure of conservative treatment (chest tubes) on one side and early pneumothorax occurrence on the other side. Intraoperatively, bulla-like hematomas were discovered on the lower lobes whereas there were fibrin deposits on the surface of the lungs. Wedge resections of these hematomas were performed by using staplers with reinforced staple lines. Histopathological findings were compatible with fibrosis, fibrinous inflammatory changes, intra-alveolar hemorrhage and endothelitis. Even after surgical treatment there were postoperative prolonged air leaks on one side, which were finally spontaneously resolved after several weeks. According to the authors, the inflammatory process and the focal endothelitis with subsequent alveolar damage could trigger and maintain the postoperative prolonged air leaks.

Bellini et al. reported two other cases (24). Both patients had no history of respiratory pathology. Surgical indication was early pneumothorax recurrence after chest tube thoracostomy. Both patients underwent exploratory thoracoscopy with wedge resections associated with mechanical pleurodesis and partial pleurectomy. Intraoperative and histopathological findings were similar. The lung has lost its compliance and there were areas of atelectasis and vascular congestion. As a result, the lung was frail and bled easily at manipulation. The postoperative course was uneventful for both patients and the chest tubes were removed on the 6th postoperative day. At the microscopic level, interstitial pneumonia associated with vascular changes were observed. More specifically, multiple and extensive interstitial and endoalveolar blood extravasation was observed. It was associated with marked myo-intimal thickening and blood stasis, also diffuse microthrombi were seen.

Another patient from the series of Martinelli et al. underwent surgical treatment (non-intubated patient without further information) with bullectomy and pathological findings were quite atypical and globally similar to the abovementioned cases (9).

Two patients underwent chemical pleurodesis through the chest tube (29,33). One patient underwent tacle slurry. For the other patient there was no precision about the agent used for chemical pleurodesis.

The treatment of spontaneous pneumothorax attributed to SARS-CoV-2 must not deviate from the standard of care before the pandemic, as surgical treatment in this particular setting feasible and safe. However, the initial treatment should be modified in order to prevent contamination through aerosolization. For that reason, there are recommendations regarding chest tube placement in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. The British Thoracic Society (BTS) and the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) proposed recommendations for tube...
Another issue is the timing until surgery in patients with COVID-19 infection. The American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) and Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation (APSF) made a joint statement on elective surgery and anesthesia for patients after COVID-19 infection (53). They state that “all elective operations should be delayed until the patient has met criteria for discontinuing isolation and COVID-19 transmission precautions and has entered the recovery phase” (53). Another study concluded that there are limited data about timing of surgery after COVID-19 infection (54). They propose that surgery should be delayed for at least four weeks after notification of a positive swab, but without robust data concerning patient subgroups and specific pathologies due to the small number of patients enrolled (54).

When revising these cases of pneumothorax in COVID-19-infected subjects, one could wonder if the use of corticosteroids is a risk factor for developing pneumothorax during this acute respiratory infection. Pneumothorax has been described in patients taking glucocorticosteroids and immunosuppressants for respiratory disease although in these articles other confounding factors, such as opportunistic infection, cavities, reticular abnormalities, and alveolopleural fistula, facilitating the development of pneumothorax are also mentioned (55-58). Glucocorticoids could increase the risk of infection and delay wound healing; however, a protective role has been suggested in patients with non-COVID-19 related inflammatory disease who are at risk of developing a pneumothorax (55,59-61). In these last patients, it has been reported that interrupting or reducing corticosteroids did not seem to improve their prognosis (55).

Initially most COVID-19 treatment guidelines stated that the use of glucocorticoids was either contraindicated or not recommended. Recently new data have been published about the use of dexamethasone. The RECOVERY-trial concluded that therapy with dexamethasone at a dose of 6 mg once daily for up to 10 days decreased 28-day mortality in patients with COVID-19 on respiratory support. Patients not requiring oxygen showed no benefit but had a possibility of harm with corticosteroid therapy (62). These findings were consistent with another study in ARDS which showed a decrease of 15% of mortality when a course of dexamethasone was given (63).

Although in the RECOVERY-study benefits were shown with dexamethasone, the meta-analysis of 73 comparative studies by Cano et al. showed that low-dose methylprednisolone was being the most common used corticosteroid in COVID-19. Corticosteroids were mainly used in severe disease as found in mechanically ventilated patients (35.3%), ICU patients (51.3%), and severe COVID-19 patients (40%) (62-64). An estimated 21.6% of COVID-19 patients received corticosteroids in their analysis. These authors also found that low-dose corticosteroids do not have a significant impact on the duration of SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding. This viral shedding in COVID-19 appears to be higher early in the illness and declines thereafter. The benefit on mortality in the RECOVERY-study, seems to be greater in patients with COVID-19 who were recruited after the first week of their illness. At that stage immuno-pathological processes are probably driving the course of the disease, with active viral replication playing a less important role (62).

In our analysis of pneumothoraces in COVID-19 patients, the administration of corticosteroids was reported in 11 (25%) patients. Methylprednisolone as well as dexamethasone were used. In the majority of case reports the exact dose and timing of administration of the corticosteroid, in relation to the onset of symptoms, was not mentioned.

When evaluating the risk for developing a pneumothorax during a COVID-19 infection, one could even hypothesize a potential increased risk when using corticosteroid therapy. Several studies report an increased risk of thromboembolic events when using corticosteroids in inflammatory diseases (64-69). Thrombosis in lung tissue could lead to micro-infarction, local necrosis and could increase the risk of air leaks. In our study, there was no causative role established between corticosteroids and development of air-filled lesions because only 3 patients that presented such anomalies on CT scan received corticosteroids during their hospital stay.

Other potential side effects in COVID-19 patients receiving corticosteroids include immune suppression and hyperglycemia which can both lead to bacterial, mycobacterial or fungal secondary infection (64). These could theoretically increase the risk of pneumothorax.

Another confounding factor in the development of pneumothorax in COVID-19, could have been the use of positive airway pressure. As previously mentioned, intubated patients were excluded from our analysis due to the possibility of a pneumothorax secondary to barotrauma. However, the risks and benefits in COVID-19 patients, of more gentle methods of oxygen administration with positive...
pressure, are largely unknown. These methods of NIV, can be bi-level or continuous positive airway pressure (BiPAP resp. CPAP), or high flow nasal canula (HFNC). Though the risk of barotrauma and subsequent complications are low with NIV, such cases have been reported in the literature (61,70,71).

Some authors suggest that extensive damage to lung parenchyma caused by COVID-19 makes low-risk patients susceptible to alveolar rupture with extension of air into the mediastinum, pleura, and subcutaneous tissues (61). BiPAP and CPAP use normally higher positive end-expiratory pressures compared to HFNC, and increase the risk of air leakage. Nevertheless, pneumothoraces related to HFNC have been reported in a pediatric population, although confounding factors such as pre-existing hyperinflation and prior surgery were present (72). In this pediatric population in the intensive care unit (ICU), no worsening of the air leak was seen under HFNC among the 6 preexisting pneumothoraces. A systematic review compared the safety and efficacy of HFNC with other forms of non-invasive respiratory support in preterm infants, and concluded that, following extubation, HFNC is associated with lower rates of pneumothorax and nasal trauma compared with nasal CPAP. Although these findings were seen in a pediatric population, it is interesting because the lungs in these preterm infants have reduced surfactant, a mechanism that has also been suggested in COVID-19 patients (73,74). SARS-CoV-2 enters and replicates in the alveolar type II cells impacting the production and turnover of pulmonary surfactants. This results in alveolar collapse and inflammation leading to increased capillary permeability, edema, and microvascular thrombosis; where the associated ARDS clinical picture closely resembles neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (NRDS), caused by surfactant deficiency (75).

In adults, only one case report of a localized right-side pneumothorax while on HFNC has been reported in a patient with hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HL). However, this patient had prior ARDS which required mechanical ventilation, and therefore a HL- or ARDS-associated lung abnormality, a trauma during endotracheal intubation or a barotrauma during mechanical ventilation are more likely risk factors for the subsequent development of pneumothorax. More reports on the use of NIV and HFNC in COVID-19 patients are needed to assess the risk of pneumothorax. Currently there are no data against using this kind of pressure and oxygen support.

Our study has some limitations. The cases reported in the literature present heterogeneous populations. Even though we tried to create a homogeneous population in our study by excluding a great deal of cases, it is still possible that some confounding factors could not be entirely eliminated, because some data were not reported by the authors. Consequently, the population of the present study consists of a small number of patients. As already mentioned, this entity is still underdetected (especially in the absence of clinical signs and symptoms as in the case of a small pneumothorax) and underreported. A limitation of most case reports is that the lungs were retrospectively assessed on chest roentgenogram and not CT (61). The reports did rarely mention pre-existing lung pathology such as emphysema in smokers, or interstitial lung disease. Although tobacco smoking is reported in several case reports, the consumption of cannabis or exposure to pollution (indoors or outdoor), known risk factors for developing pneumothorax (76,77), are mentioned in none of the reports. In addition, occupational exposure to pathogens is not mentioned. When discussing radiologic imaging in the reviewed articles, the presence of a COVID-19 associated alveolar consolidation with air bronchogram, is often not reported. The incidence of bacterial or mycobacterial secondary infection in the different case reports has not been reported. This is also the case for the presence of radiologically important infectious sequela that could have been a risk factor for subsequent infections due to altered local immunologic defense. Therefore, it is with the current known information impossible to determine if pneumothorax is more likely in patients with pulmonary consolidations. The time from symptom onset to discovering a pneumothorax is 17.1 days, which is quite long. Respiratory symptoms of COVID-19 generally appear one week after initial upper airway symptoms, which could partially explain this finding, however, it is unknown how long the patients in these case reports were suffering from respiratory symptoms before the diagnosis of pneumothorax. In the case of respiratory symptoms this could be attributed to worsening COVID-19 respiratory insufficiency, to the pneumothorax, or both. Currently reports on side effects of corticosteroids in COVID-19 patients are lacking. The case reports used for this review, did not provide information about treatments taken by patients at the time of diagnosis of pneumothorax. Almost none of the authors discussed treatment changes, as compared to what the patients were usually taking, or initiating other treatments (e.g., antibiotics or inhaled bronchodilators). The reported mortality was rather low, however, due to lack of information it is unclear if the
pneumothorax was the main reason, or if other factors contributed to this outcome.

**Conclusions**

Secondary spontaneous pneumothorax should be part of the differential diagnosis in patients suffering from COVID-19, especially in case of acute respiratory deterioration. The pathogenetic mechanism is different from the spontaneous pneumothorax frequently encountered in clinical practice before the pandemic. Imaging techniques especially CT scan should be repeated throughout the clinical course of the patients in order to detect newly developed pulmonary complications such as bullae or cysts. Surgical treatment is feasible in that particular setting and patients whose general condition permits, should be offered surgery according to the existing guidelines regarding spontaneous pneumothorax. National registries and databases are necessary in order to better understand the pathogenesis and complications of this novel entity. Future reports about COVID-19-associated pneumothoraces, should include the dose, duration and type of corticosteroid that was given to these patients. These reports should also include the timing of corticosteroid therapy in relation to the onset of the first symptoms. Finally, outcome parameters should be more clearly defined and discussed when reporting co-morbidities of COVID-19 such as pneumothorax.
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