Successful multi-stage treatment of stoma limb perforation following Hartmann’s operation report a case

Jun Kataoka1*, Toshikatsu Nitta1, Masato Ota1, Yuko Takashima1, Miyuki Imanishi2, Kensuke Fuji3 and Takashi Ishibashi1

Abstract

**Background:** Stoma-related complications are not rare, whereas the spontaneous perforation of the stoma limb is relatively rare. Herein, we report a case of stoma limb perforation which occurred after Hartmann’s operation.

**Case presentation:** A 50-year-old Japanese man presented to our Hospital with acute and severe abdominal pain. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan revealed that an abscess with free air was formed around the sigmoid colon. We performed Hartmann’s operation, whereas he experienced redness, purulent discharge, and swelling around the colostomy at 10 days postoperatively. The contrast-enhanced CT scan of the abdomen revealed an abscess formation with air around the colostomy. He was diagnosed with an abdominal wall abscess due to perforation of the stoma limb.

After the drainage, his symptoms were ameliorated by oral analgesics, anti-inflammatory drugs, and prophylactic antibiotic. Four months after the first operation, we performed a closedown of the sigmoid colostomy and fistula resection. The patient’s postoperative course was uneventful, and he was discharged 14 days later.

**Conclusions:** This case depicts rare complications of Hartmann’s operation. Operation is usually performed in patients with stoma limb perforation. However, if they are stable and the abscess is located in their abdominal wall, they may be treated successfully using a multi-stage approach of local drainage toward the stoma wall followed by stoma closure.
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**Background**

Stoma-related complications are with a frequency of 10–70%. Peristomal dermatitis, parastomal hernia, stomal prolapse, and subsidence are common, whereas the spontaneous perforation of the stoma limb is relatively rare [1, 2]. The prognosis in colon perforation is generally unfavorable; however, there is no standard treatment for stoma limb perforation. A multi-stage or elective operative treatment should be considered when the abscess is localized to the abdominal wall, local drainage is likely to be effective, the colostomy is not necrotic, and the patient’s condition is stable. Herein, we report a case of stoma limb perforation that occurred after Hartmann’s operation.

**Case presentation**

A 50-year-old Japanese man presented to the Department of the Gastroenterological Center at Shunjukai Shiroyama Hospital with acute and severe abdominal pain.

An abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan revealed that an abscess with free air was formed around the sigmoid colon. Therefore, the patient was
admitted to our hospital for operative treatment of the perforated sigmoid colon due to diverticulitis. Hartmann’s procedure was performed under general anesthesia with the patient in the supine position. The total operating time was 188 min, and the intraoperative blood loss was 200 ml.

The patient’s postoperative course was uneventful for several days. He resumed oral intake at 2 days postoperatively, and his first defecation from colostomy was at 5 days postoperatively. Subsequently, he experienced mild redness, purulent discharge, and swelling around the colostomy at 10 days postoperatively (Fig. 1). Routine blood tests showed that his white blood cell count was 20,900/mm³, and the C-reactive protein (CRP) level was 10.12 mg/dl (Table 1). The contrast-enhanced CT scan of the abdomen revealed an abscess formation with air around the colostomy (Fig. 2).

The patient was diagnosed with an abdominal wall abscess due to perforation of the stoma limb. We immediately performed drainage of the abscess from the left lower quadrant abdominal wall laterally near the colostomy and indwelled an 18-Fr silicon drain tube for 8 days (Fig. 3).

After the drainage, his symptoms were ameliorated by oral analgesics, anti-inflammatory drugs, and prophylactic antibiotics. A follow-up CT scan at 29 days postoperatively showed that the abscess had decreased (Fig. 4). Four months after the first operation, his vital signs and symptoms were relatively stable. We performed a close-down of the sigmoid colostomy and fistula resection as the second-stage surgery. The specimen was not malignant and a 0.5 cm × 0.5 cm perforation hole was seen in the mesenteric side of the stoma limb (Fig. 5). The total operating time was 235 min, and the intraoperative blood loss was 110 ml. The patient’s postoperative course was uneventful, and he was discharged 14 days later.

**Discussion**

The complications associated with stoma occur at a frequency of 10–70%. The most common complications are peristomal dermatitis, parastomal hernia, stomal prolapse, and subsidence; in contrast, the perforation of the stoma limb is rare. The patient in this report was admitted for perforated sigmoid colon due to diverticulitis and underwent Hartmann’s procedure. Postoperatively, mild redness, purulent discharge, and swelling around the colostomy were observed at 10 days.

**Table 1** Laboratory findings. Routine blood test showed his white blood cell count was 20,900/mm³ and C-reactive protein (CRP) was 10.12 mg/dl

| Peripheral blood | Blood chemistry | Serological tests |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| WBC 20900/µL    | TP 5.8g/dL      | CRP 10.12mg/dL   |
| RBC 359×10⁴/µL  | Alb 2.8g/dL     | HBsAg (−)        |
| Hb 10.8×10⁴/µL  | T-Bil 0.5mg/dL  | HBsAb (−)        |
| Hct 32.8 %      | AST 41U/L       | HCVAb (−)        |
| Plt 59.7g/dL    | ALT 41U/L       |                  |
|                 | ALP 553U/L      |                  |
|                 | y-GTP 114U/L    |                  |
|                 | LDH 201U/L      |                  |
|                 | BUN 8.8mg/dL    |                  |
|                 | Cre 0.78mg/dL   |                  |
|                 | Na 133mEq/L     |                  |
|                 | K 4.6mEq/L      |                  |
|                 | Cl 98mEq/L      |                  |
|                 | CPK 37U/L       |                  |
|                 | BS 83mg/dL      |                  |
|                 | HbA1c 6.2%      |                  |
Stoma limb is relatively rare [1, 2] and reportedly develops most often in patients with chronic constipation or trauma or in the early postoperative phase of colostomy or in those taking medications such as opioids or tricyclic antidepressants [3].

We searched for case reports published from April 1995 to December 2019 in the Japan Medical Abstracts Society and PubMed database using the keywords “spontaneous perforation” or “stoma limb perforation.” The search resulted in nine cases, including ours (Table 2) [3–13]. Colectomy and revision of stoma (including stoma closure) were chosen as the treatment methods in eight cases. In comparison, the treatment in the other case included two-stage elective surgery after drainage. We also selected the same treatment course for managing our patient.

Spontaneous perforation often occurs at the sigmoid or rectosigmoid colon site due to poorer distensibility, narrower diameter, and slower transit time in these regions. A colostomy is frequently created with the sigmoid colon, which has more mobility than other colonic regions and is susceptible to spontaneous colon perforation.

Spontaneous perforation of the colon is a rare condition secondary to trauma, malignancy, iatrogenic disease, diverticulum, and inflammatory bowel disease. There are macroscopic and histological criteria as diagnostic criteria for this disease [14, 15]; the macroscopic criteria were modified as follows (1) there is no macroscopic lesion in the perforated bowel wall, (2) there is no gastrointestinal foreign body or obstruction, (3) there are no intraperitoneal abnormalities including adhesions and internal hernia or ventral hernia, and (4) it can be denied that there is intestinal injury by direct external force to the bowel and medical practice. The histological criteria were modified as follows (1) there is no...
mucosal rupture and invasion into the serosa in the peripheral edge, (2) there is a rupture in the muscular layer and the stump is relatively sharp, and (3) there is no abscess and granulation although the histological finding showed acute or subacute inflammation.

The etiology of spontaneous colon perforation includes the following: (1) laceration and thinning in the bowel wall caused when dehydrated fecaloma passes through the colon, (2) a disorder of circumstance with hyperextension bowel wall, and (3) inordinate pressure applied to the fragile bowel wall [16, 17]. It is important to diagnose the factors contributing to colon perforation, whereas it is not easy to distinguish between stercoral or spontaneous colon perforation in clinical practice. As the diagnostic criteria in stercoral perforation, Huttunen et al. reported that in a perforated stercoraceous ulcer, the perforation was a round or an ovoid hole with necrotic and inflammatory edges; however, in the idiopathic form, the perforation was a tear with a normal appearance of the colonic wall without being involved in the diverticulum [18, 19], and Maurer et al. presented the criteria of stercoral perforation, which includes the following: (1) round or ovoid perforation, >1 cm in diameter; (2) fecalomas present within the colon, protruding through the perforation site or lying within the abdominal cavity; and (3) pressure necrosis or ulcer and chronic inflammatory reaction around the perforation site seen microscopically [20].

Prognosis in colon perforation is generally unfavorable although improvements in diagnostic technology and treatment have resulted in reducing the mortality rates. However, there is no standard treatment for stoma limb perforation. Surgical treatment for abscess draining is performed regardless of a patient’s clinical, laboratory, and radiological findings. When emergency procedures are not warranted, a multi-stage or elective operative treatment algorithm may be more effective [9]. This course should be considered when the abscess is localized to the abdominal wall, local drainage is likely to be effective, the colostomy is not necrotic, and the patient’s condition is stable.

**Table 2** Search results of published case reports from April 1995 to December 2019 in the Japan Medical Abstracts Society and in PubMed database using the keywords “spontaneous perforation” or “stoma limb perforation”

| Year  | Authors                     | Age/sex | Stoma site | Factor | Perforation site | Operation                                      | Hospital stay |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------|------------|--------|------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1995  | Sakamoto et al. [4]         | 37/M    | Sigmoid    | Trauma | 3 cm oral side   | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 14           |
| 2009  | Tamura et al. [5]           | 83/F    | Sigmoid    | Stercoral | Stoma limb | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 26           |
| 2010  | Ikenishi et al. [6]         | 78/F    | Sigmoid    | Stercoral | Stoma limb | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 17           |
| 2011  | Ikari et al. [7]            | 80/M    | Sigmoid    | Cancer  | 5 cm oral side   | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 41           |
| 2011  | Hata et al. [8]             | 52/F    | Transverse | Stercoral | Stoma limb | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 129          |
| 2013  | Ozawa et al. [9]            | 69/F    | Sigmoid    | Trauma  | Stoma limb      | (1) Drainage, (2) colectomy + revision of stoma | (1) 9, (2) 12 |
| 2013  | Kim et al. [10]             | 70/M    | Sigmoid    | Stercoral | Stoma limb | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 32           |
| 2013  | Kim et al. [10]             | 71/M    | Sigmoid    | Stercoral | Stoma limb | Drainage, direct suture + colectomy + revision of stoma | 44           |
| 2015  | Harada et al. [11]          | 69/M    | Sigmoid    | Trauma  | 10 cm oral side  | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 27           |
| 2016  | Fukuoka et al. [12]         | 61/M    | Sigmoid    | Stercoral | Stoma limb | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 14           |
| 2016  | Ikegami et al. [3]          | 78/M    | Sigmoid    | Stercoral | Stoma limb | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | N/A          |
| 2017  | Iwata et al. [13]           | 83/F    | Sigmoid    | Stercoral | Stoma limb | Colectomy + revision of stoma                  | 97           |
| 2019  | Our case                    | 50/M    | Sigmoid    | Spontaneous | Stoma limb | (1) Drainage, (2) colectomy + stoma close      | (1) 41, (2) 14 |
We diagnosed our case with spontaneous perforation of the colon because there was no macroscopic lesion, injury, and dehydrated fecaloma in the bowel and abdominal cavity. This is in line with the criteria of spontaneous perforation. Moreover, it is likely the stoma limb was weakened and perforated due to the poor condition of the colon wall coincident to increased bowel pressure. As the patient was in a stable condition and the abscess well-localized, we were able to perform the local drainage toward the wall around the stoma. The follow-up period after the local drainage was uneventful; therefore, we performed perforation excision and stoma closure instead of reconstruction of the colostomy.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we presented a case with a rare complication of Hartmann’s operation. Patients with stoma limb perforation are usually treated operatively. However, if their general status is stable and the abscess is located in their abdominal wall, the patient may be treated successfully with a multi-stage approach of local drainage toward the wall followed by stoma closure.
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