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In this paper, we propose an additional noise-free, independent center frequency and bandwidth tunable optical filter based on stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) losses. By suppressing the out-of-band signal with two broadened symmetric SBS losses, tunable pass bandwidths from 500 MHz to 9.5 GHz and the independent center frequency tunability are demonstrated. Considering the limited SBS interaction in the center frequency range, a flat-top response with minimum 0.3 dB ripple is achieved. Assisted by the extra suppression from polarization pulling, a maximum selectivity of 20 dB and an ultrahigh 250 dB/GHz roll-off are reached. A gain-based SBS filter adds noise to the filtered signal. However, for our proposed filter setup, no additional noise is detected due to the transparency in the passband. Considering the wide independent bandwidth and center frequency tunability, flat-top response, and low-noise characteristic, our proposed filter can be perfectly used as a supplement of most commercialized conventional tunable optical single bandpass filters, whose minimum bandwidth is limited by 10 GHz. © 2018 Chinese Laser Press
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, optical filters have played an increasingly important role in different applications. Due to their excellent performance of low loss, immunity to electromagnetic interference, tunability, and reconfigurability, optical filters are widely used for channel selection in dense wavelength-division-multiplexed (DWDM) networks [1, 2], microwave-photonic signal processing [3], and spectroscopy [4]. Several mature techniques such as fiber Bragg gratings (FBG), Mach–Zehnder interferometers (MZI), and Fabry–Perot interferometers (FPI) are already available for realizing passive optical filters. However, for an ideal signal bandpass filter (BPF) with sharp edges, flat-top response, and an independent wide center frequency and bandwidth tuning range, each of them still has its own disadvantage. To be specific, despite the already commercialized FBG technique, limiting the bandwidth well below 10 GHz while maintaining the wide center frequency and bandwidth tunability is still challenging. Although MZI- and FPI-based filters can easily achieve a narrow bandwidth in the MHz range, instead of a single passband, they have periodic passbands [2].

As an interesting alternative, stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) gain-based filters have been intensively studied in the past few years. SBS owns striking advantages, such as low threshold, high gain, and an ultra-narrow natural bandwidth of ~30 MHz, which can even be reduced down to ~3 MHz with specific techniques [5–7]. All these properties are ideal for an application of SBS as a tunable BPF [8–10]. The investigation of SBS in BPF ranges from the enhancement of an independent tunability of the center frequency and bandwidth through direct modulation of the pump laser [11–13], multitone [14], and sweeping signal [15] external modulation, via pursuing a higher selectivity using polarization pulling (PP) [16, 17], multistage configuration [18], a rectangular flat-top response by modulation feedback compensation [14, 15] to polarization-independent SBS filters [15–19], even the filter profile can be arbitrarily shaped by direct modulation of the pump [20]. However, as a disadvantage of almost every amplification mechanism, SBS gain also introduces amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) noise [21, 22]. The noise level is relatively high at a high pump power, which is unfortunately uncompromised with a high filter selectivity [18].

In this paper, we propose a novel method to demonstrate an optical filter based on SBS losses instead of gain. Contrary to previously proposed SBS-based filters, the passband of our filter is free from any additional noise. By suppressing the out-of-band signal with two broadened symmetric SBS losses, the passband can be tuned from 500 MHz to 9.5 GHz by properly broadening the pump spectrum and choosing the proper frequency separation between the pump waves. The minimum achievable bandwidth corresponds to the natural SBS bandwidth
sharp filter edges with ultrahigh roll-off are achieved. Because the passband of the filter is not influenced by the SBS process, no additional noise is added to the signal, and a flat-top response with minimum 0.3 dB ripple is easy to achieve. A noise measurement verifies that our proposed filter can provide filtering with no additional noise, while a maximum 5 dB noise pedestal is detected for an SBS gain-based filter at the same pump power. Considering the wide tunability, flat-top response, and low-noise characteristic, our proposed filter is able to work as an optical filter in cooperation with most commercialized conventional filters, whose minimum bandwidth is limited by 10 GHz.

2. PRINCIPLE

Stimulated Brillouin scattering in an optical fiber can be classically described as an interaction between a pump wave and an acoustic wave [24]. Due to the electrostriction in the fiber and the conservation of energy, the moving acoustic wave transfers energy from the pump to the counterpropagating, frequency-downshifted probe wave, producing the SBS gain. However, not only energy but also ASE noise will be simultaneously transferred to the probe wave. On the other side, if the frequency is Brillouin upshifted, the pump receives energy from the probe wave, producing the SBS loss.

In order to avoid ASE noise from the SBS gain, our proposed filter is based on the SBS loss mechanism. The basic principle of the proposed filter is depicted in Fig. 1. The filter passband is the transparency region between two broadened losses with an overall frequency bandwidth of 10 GHz. In order to circumvent the problem of four-wave-mixing due to mult tones [25], two pump waves (pumps A and B), produced by the same source, are broadened by a direct modulation with the same sweeping signal, whose sweeping periodicity is within the signal propagation time in the fiber [15]. Due to the broadened pump waves, the broad input signal is suppressed symmetrically on both sides by the broadened SBS losses (losses A and B) in the frequency range of the Brillouin upshift, while the center frequency part stays transparent. All other out-of-range frequency components on both sides of the losses can be well suppressed with the assistance of a conventional optical filter, as shown with the blue dashed line in Fig. 1(b). Because the signal in the filter passband remains in the maximum transmission of the conventional filter, it is neither affected by the SBS process nor distorted by the conventional filter, and no additional noise is added. Considering the Gaussian-shaped filter profile of most of the conventional optical filters (as shown in Fig. 1) with high rejections on both sides and flat-top response in the vicinity of the center frequency, the suppression of the spectral components far away from the center is higher than close to the passband of the SBS filter, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

By carefully controlling the frequency separation between the two pumps and the broadened linewidth of the pump waves (which depends on the amplitude of the sweeping signal), the total pump range and thereby the loss range can be well controlled in a frequency range of about 10 GHz. In order to suppress all unwanted components outside this range, the proposed filter has to be used in combination with a conventional optical filter with a bandwidth from 10 to 30 GHz. In this way, the simultaneous SBS gain will also be eliminated. By changing the pump frequency and the amplitude of the sweeping signal, the center frequency and the bandwidth of the filter can be tuned arbitrarily and independently. Due to the transparency in the passband, a flat-top response and low-noise characteristic are easy to achieve.

3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup of our proposed filter is illustrated in Fig. 2. The red dashed box illustrates the actual setup for the filter, and the green dashed box is necessary for the probe signal generation, which is measured by an optical spectrum analyzer (OSA, blue dashed box). The filter shape is generated by a direct modulation of a distributed feedback (DFB) laser diode (LD 1) operating at 1549.26 nm with a 50 kHz ramp sweeping signal and a following separation of the two losses by a sinusoidal modulation of a dual-drive Mach–Zehnder modulator (DD-MZM 1). The broadened pump linewidth depends on the amplitude of the sweeping signal from the signal generator (SG). The DD-MZM 1 provides an external optical
single-sideband (OSSB) modulation driven by a radio frequency (RF) signal from a radio frequency generator (RFG 1). With a proper driving bias voltage and phase shift, one of the sidebands is suppressed, while the carrier and another unsuppressed sideband have the same power [26]. The modulation frequencies of RFG 1 and SG are selected so that the broadened carrier, unsuppressed sideband, and the frequency separation between them cover a frequency range of approximately 10 GHz (as shown in Fig. 1). A high-power erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA 1) in the pump branch amplifies this pump signal at constant power mode and emits via a circulator (Cir) into the 20 km long single-mode fiber (SMF). The dual-isolation circulator offers a directivity of more than 60 dB, which prevents the filter profile measurement from the Rayleigh scattering, even when the pump power is amplified to a high level. The probe signal for measuring the filter bandwidth is injected from the other side into the fiber. Thus, another LD (LD 2), which operates at a 20 GHz frequency upshift to LD1, is modulated by RFG 2 and works in the carrier-suppressed mode. The lower frequency sideband from the MZM 2 is filtered out by a fiber Bragg grating (FBG 1) and used as the probe signal. This probe signal can be scanned in a wide range in order to measure the whole filter bandwidth. A higher probe power ensures a higher signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). However, the probe power must be below the threshold of any nonlinear effects in the fiber. Therefore, in our experiments, EDFA 2 and a variable optical attenuator (VOA) are utilized to ensure the probe power to be 5 dBm. Because the Brillouin frequency shift (BFS) of the 20 km SMF in the setup is 10.861 GHz, a scanning range of RFG 2 from 6 GHz to 20 GHz every 20 MHz is enough to get the filter profile from the peak value in an OSA. Note that, FBG 2 is only connected to the setup during the noise measurement discussed in Section 5; therefore, any possible Rayleigh scattering of the pump wave and other frequency components are ensured to be blocked.

In order to show the selectivity enhancement of the proposed filter through polarization pulling (PP), experiments with a variable pass bandwidth with PP components (highlighted in red in Fig. 2) are carried out. The polarization of the probe wave is controlled through a polarization controller (PC 4), so that the output power in the passband through the polarization beam splitter (PBS) is maximized, while the polarization of the pump wave is controlled through PC 3, so that the output power in the stop band through the same port of the PBS is close to a minimum. Considering the output in the stop band will suffer from not only the attenuation through SBS losses but also extra polarization suppression, enhancement of the selectivity is achieved [16,23].

Figure 3 illustrates the pump signal after EDFA 1 measured by a heterodyne multiplication with a local oscillator (LO, not shown in Fig. 2) in a photodiode (PD, Finisar XPDV21X0R 50 GHz Photodetector). By fixing the direct modulation frequency and increasing the amplitude from SG, the pump bandwidth from LD 1 increases from 0.25 GHz via 1.45 GHz and 3.15 GHz to 5.48 GHz. The pump powers from the EDFA 1 are 17, 25, 28, and 30 dBm, correspondingly. By a proper protective attenuation with a VOA before the PD, the detected amplitudes can be manually adjusted to almost the same value (as shown in Fig. 3). The unflatness of the pump wave is due to the parasitic effect [27]. However, it has no influence on the filter passband.

As shown in Fig. 4, filter pass bandwidths from 9.5 GHz via 7.3 GHz and 3.7 GHz to 500 MHz with ripples as low as 0.3 dB are achieved. Because the passband is left transparent...
between two losses, this ripple might result mainly from the measurement error of the OSA and the output power instability of the probe LD (LD 2). However, due to the not-perfect modulation of the pump waves producing the losses, the pump profile is not a perfect rectangle (as shown in Fig. 3). Thus, at the edges of the filter passband, these losses have an influence. This edge effect leads to a finite roll-off. With a pre-compensation of the direct modulation of the LD current, as demonstrated in Ref. [20], filter bandwidth down to the natural bandwidth of SBS and an edge effect limited to this range might be possible.

As can be seen, although the signal amplitude in the passband is almost independent of the filter bandwidth, the selectivity is bandwidth dependent. Generally, the narrower the pass bandwidth, i.e., the broader the pump linewidth, the more difficult it is to obtain a high selectivity, even under the assistance of PP, which shows an accordance with the conclusions in gain mechanism [11,15,18,28]. To be specific, a selectivity of more than 20 dB is achieved for a bandwidth of 9.5 GHz with PP for only 17 dBm pump power. In contrast, even with a pump power of 30 dBm, for 500 MHz a selectivity of only 11 dB can be achieved with PP. We attribute the asymmetry of the losses, which appears especially close to the passband, to the asymmetry of the pump wave. However, by an appropriate pre-compensation this could be avoided.

4. CENTER FREQUENCY AND BANDWIDTH TUNABILITY

In principle, the filter center frequency is governed by the pump frequency (which further depends on the temperature of LD 1), while the filter bandwidth is dependent on the RF signal frequency from RFG 1 and the amplitude of the direct modulation signal from SG. Therefore, an independent center frequency and bandwidth tuning can be achieved and is demonstrated, as shown in Fig. 5. The maximum center frequency tuning range can be as wide as the working frequency range of the LDs in the setup.

5. NOISE MEASUREMENT

Besides the rectangular shape and the narrow bandwidth, the special advantage of the proposed filter is the transparency and low noise in the passband. The noise was measured by a heterodyne detection of the probe signal with a local oscillator (LO) inside the filter passband [18] for an SBS gain and loss-based filter. In principle, the same setup in Fig. 2 with the frequency of LD 2 downshifted for ≈20 GHz to LD 1 can be directly used as an SBS gain-based filter. In order to make a fair comparison, the pass bandwidths (2 GHz) and the selectivity for both cases are set to be the same, i.e., the SBS gain equals the SBS loss [≈9 dB as measured in Fig. 6(b)]. Considering the different mechanism of Brillouin gain and loss, a different probe power is applied (~16 dBm for the gain filter, while for the loss case it remains 5 dBm) in order to satisfy this condition under the same 17 dBm pump power. As shown in Fig. 6(a), the probe heterodyne signal within the passband of a loss-based filter is overlapped for the case when the pump is on and off, indicating a full transparency of the filter passband. However, as depicted in inset (i) of Fig. 6(b), despite the same SBS gain, an evident pump-spectrum-like [shown in inset (ii) of Fig. 6(b)] noise pedestal with maximum 5 dB difference is detected when the pump is on, while it vanishes when the pump is off, which is in good agreement with the noise measurement of a gain-based filter [18]. In order to achieve the same selectivity, the frequency of the probe signal is aligned at the edge of the Brillouin gain; therefore, the Brillouin noise pedestal is off-center to the right in Fig. 6(b).

Furthermore, based on Fig. 6 and the definition of the noise figure as the ratio of input and output SNR of the filter, the noise figures of the gain $F_{\text{gain}}$ and loss-based filter $F_{\text{loss}}$ are calculated to be 2.6904 and 0.9861, respectively. For the calculation of the SNR, we have integrated the noise over the filter bandwidth, as would be done by a broadband receiver. The reason for $F_{\text{loss}} < 1$ might be a measurement error of the used electrical spectrum analyzer (ESA). As can be seen, in our case there is no additional noise, whereas the gain-based system has
or a phase-modulated probe wave [29], which could lead might be further enhanced, by a multistage configuration bandwidth of SBS. Furthermore, the selectivity of the filter achievable bandwidth should be 10
profile will be more rectangular-like; therefore, the minimum demonstrated filter bandwidth is restricted to 500 MHz. However, with an appropriate pre-compensation, the minimum demonstrated filter bandwidth is restricted to 10 GHz range, the proposed filter can be used in combination with conventional filters, thus enabling a narrow-bandwidth rectangular filter.
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