Surface radiative forcing of forest disturbances over northeastern China
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Abstract
Forests provide important climate forcing through biogeochemical and biogeophysical processes. In this study, we investigated the climatic effects of forest disturbances due to changes in forest biomass and surface albedo in terms of radiative forcing over northeastern China. Four types of forest disturbances were considered: fires, insect damage, logging, and afforestation and reforestation. The mechanisms of the influence of forest disturbances on climate were different. ‘Instantaneous’ net radiative forcings caused by fires, insect damage, logging, and afforestation and reforestation were estimated at 0.53 ± 0.08 W m⁻², 1.09 ± 0.14 W m⁻², 2.23 ± 0.27 W m⁻², and 0.14 ± 0.04 W m⁻², respectively. Trajectories of CO₂-driven radiative forcing, albedo-driven radiative forcing, and net forcing were different with time for each type of disturbance. Over a decade, the estimated net forcings were 2.24 ± 0.11 W m⁻², 0.20 ± 0.31 W m⁻², 1.06 ± 0.41 W m⁻², and −0.47 ± 0.07 W m⁻², respectively. These estimated radiative forcings from satellite observations provided evidence for the mechanisms of the influences of forest disturbances on climate.
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1. Introduction

Forests influence climate through hydrological, biogeochemical, and ecosystem processes (Bonan 2008). These complex forest–atmosphere interactions can dampen or amplify climate change. Forests play an important role in mitigating climate change, because they can exert negative radiative forcing through carbon sequestration (Dixon et al 1993, Lal 2004, Streck and Scholz 2006). However, climatic impacts of forests are not limited to greenhouse gas reduction alone, and the overall climatic impacts of forests also depend on other effects, such as the warming effect due to lower albedo of forests and the evapotranspiration effect (Charney et al 1977, Schaeffer et al 2006, Thompson et al 2009).

Betts (2000) compared the carbon cycle and albedo effects of forestation in terms of radiative forcing and found that high-latitude forestation may intensify climate change instead of mitigating it, as intended. Bala et al (2007) simulated the climatic effects of global large-scale deforestation and found that warming effects of the carbon cycle are neutralized by the net cooling associated with changes in albedo and evapotranspiration. Gibbard et al (2005), Betts et al (2007) suggested that extratropical forestation could be less effective than expected or even counterproductive. If mitigating climate change is the sole objective, plantations should not be established in high-latitude regions (Jackson et al 2008, van Minnen et al 2008).

Climate change mitigation through forestry activities also carries the risk of carbon returning to the atmosphere because of disturbances to the forests (Canadell and Raupach 2008). Major forest disturbances, including forest fires, insect damage, disease outbreaks, droughts, and tropical storms,
are expected to increase in frequency or intensity under changing climatic conditions (Dale et al. 2001, Haughian et al. 2012). Disturbance regimes range from succession after stand-replacing disturbances to gap dynamics related to the loss of individual trees (Angelstam and Kuuluvainen 2004). Such events make the situation complex. Little is known about the magnitude of these impacts on carbon stocks and surface albedo, and it is unclear whether these disturbances will generate either negative or positive radiative forcing.

Several studies have attempted to quantify the impacts of forest disturbances using observed data sets. Jin and Roy (2005) estimated an instantaneous regional surface radiative forcing of 0.52 W m$^{-2}$ in northern Australia due to fire-induced albedo change. Randerson et al. (2006) reported a negative radiative forcing of $-5 \pm 2$ W m$^{-2}$ due to changes in surface albedo during the first year after boreal forest fires, and provided evidence that spring and summer albedo remained elevated for approximately three decades after a fire. O’Halloran et al. (2012) found a cooling effect (negative radiative forcing) after fires and beetle attacks in boreal forests with winter snow, and a local heating effect in a hurricane-damaged mangrove forest where both albedo and CO$_2$ forcing were positive. In this study, we aim to quantify surface radiative forcing associated with both biomass change and albedo change to investigate the climatic impacts of four types of forest disturbances (forest fires, insect damage, logging, and afforestation and reforestation) over northeastern China.

2. Data and methods

2.1. Study area

Northeastern China consists of Heilongjiang, Jilin, and Liaoning provinces, as well as the eastern part of the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region. It stretches about 15° from south to north and 20° from west to east (figure 1). The region is characterized by a continental monsoon climate, including warm temperate, temperate, and cold temperate zones from south to north and humid, semihumid, and semiarid zones from east to west. The annual mean temperature is 5.2 °C, and the annual precipitation reaches 400–1000 mm, 80% of which falls between May and September (Chen et al. 2011). This region is completely dominated by seasonal snow cover, and snow cover disappears in the summer (Che et al. 2008).

The forests in the region are cold-temperate conifer mixed forests, temperate conifer and broadleaf mixed forests, and warm-temperate deciduous broadleaf mixed forests. Forests of these types account for about 30% of forest land in China. Northeastern China is important to the nation as a key source for timber, a broad habitat and a potential carbon sequestration region.

2.2. Mapping forest disturbances

Many algorithms have been proposed to detect forest disturbances from remote sensing data (Mildrexler et al. 2009, Hansen et al. 2010, Verbesselt et al. 2010, Masek et al. 2011). In this study, we considered four types of forest disturbances, including forest fires, insect damage, forest logging, and afforestation and reforestation, which were detected from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data. The MODIS global disturbance index (MGDI) algorithm was used to detect the locations of forest disturbances. It was based on annual maximum MODIS Land Surface Temperature (LST) data and annual maximum MODIS Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) data (Mildrexler et al. 2007, 2009). The underlying principle was that LST decreased with an increase in vegetation density through latent heat transfer. MGDI from 2001 to 2010 were calculated using maximum composite LSTs and EVIs from 2000 to 2010.

Because different kinds of forest disturbances influence forest ecosystems in different ways, we need to distinguish them. MODIS fire products were used to separate fire disturbances and non-fire disturbances. Both the MODIS active fire product and the MODIS burned area product were used. The former detected active fires and other thermal anomalies, and the latter gave the extent of burn scars over a specified time period (Justice et al. 2002). Fire pixels, which were categorized as high confidence or nominal confidence in the active fire product and labeled as burned in the MODIS burned area product, were used to locate fire disturbances in this study. Non-fire disturbances were separated using MODIS Vegetation Continuous Field (VCF) data (Hansen et al. 2003). VCF data contain a percentage of vegetation types for each pixel. Compared to traditional discrete classification data, this data set is more appropriate for describing changes in forest cover. In contrast to large-scale logging, insect infestations represent a type of non-instantaneous disturbance event that does not cause an immediate reduction in forest cover (Mildrexler et al. 2009). Based on these properties, pixels with a large decrease

![Figure 1. Study area. The background information is the mean value of forest biomass between 2001 and 2010.](image-url)
in forest cover were used to identify where deforestation or large-scale logging occurred, and pixels with MGDI values larger than a certain threshold were used to identify areas affected by insects and diseases. We used both absolute and relative changes in VCF to quantify the loss of forest cover.

The key to separating disturbances caused by insects and diseases from those caused by large-scale logging was to determine the threshold value for the MGDI data and the threshold value for changes in MODIS VCF data, respectively. The kappa coefficient was a good way to quantify the level of agreement, and thus used to determine the thresholds in this study (Congalton 1991, Kennedy et al 2007). Kappa coefficients were highest when threshold for the MGDI and for changes in VCF were −15 and −85%. So these thresholds were used to detect large-scale logging and insect damage. Unlike logging and insect damage, afforestation and reforestation can contribute to an increase in forest cover. After masking out those pixels of recovery from other disturbances, pixels with a sharp increase in forest cover were considered to be afforestation and reforestation regions.

2.3. Calculation of surface radiative forcing

Radiative forcing is often used to assess the climatic impacts of disturbances per-unit area and compare the anthropogenic and natural drivers of climate change (Forster et al 2007). Here, we use surface forcing to quantify the instantaneous perturbation of the surface radiative balance by a forcing agent. For each type of forest disturbance, two types of surface radiative forcing were considered: CO₂-driven radiative forcing related to the loss of forest biomass carbon to the atmosphere, and albedo-driven radiative forcing related to changes in land cover properties. Net forcing was defined as the sum of albedo-driven radiative forcing and CO₂-driven radiative forcing.

Pixel-by-pixel albedo and biomass values before disturbance were extracted as reference values. Changes in albedo and biomass caused by forest disturbances were evaluated by comparing albedo and biomass values before disturbance (reference value) and after disturbance.

2.3.1. Albedo-driven radiative forcing.

Global LAnd Surface Satellite (GLASS) albedo data were chosen to characterize surface albedo (Liang et al 2013). It covers the years 1981–2010 at horizontal resolutions between 1 km and 5 km and 8-day (spatial and temporal) resolutions. Preliminary validation results indicate high accuracy and robustness of the data set (Liu et al 2013). We used GLASS albedo data from 2000–2010 at 1-km resolution. The 8-day GLASS albedo values were averaged to produce monthly mean values. Surface radiative forcing (RF) from changes in monthly albedo was calculated using

\[ RF = R_s \times (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2), \]

where \( R_s \) is surface incoming solar radiation, \( \alpha_1 \) is monthly surface albedo before disturbances, and \( \alpha_2 \) is monthly surface albedo after disturbances. Global Energy and Water Exchanges (GEWEX) Surface Radiation Budget (SRB) Release-3.0 data sets provided monthly mean surface incoming solar radiation at 1° by 1° grid cells from July 1983 to December 2007. We calculated GEWEX-SRB multiyear monthly means from 1983 onward to derive climatology of incoming solar radiation. After the calculation of monthly radiative forcing on the basis of monthly albedo and monthly incoming solar radiation, seasonal changes in radiative forcing were average values of the corresponding months.

2.3.2. CO₂-driven radiative forcing. In this study, we mapped forest biomass during 2000–2010 (figure 1) using the random forests (RF) model. The model was developed with field data, geoscience laser altimeter system (GLAS) data, and MODIS surface reflectance data. Further details can be found in one of our previous publications (Zhang et al 2013). Changes in forest biomass caused by forest disturbance can lead to the absorption or emission of CO₂. CO₂-driven radiative forcing due to changes in forest biomass was calculated as follows (Myhre et al 1998):

\[ RF = 5.35 \ln(1 + \Delta C/C_0), \]

\[ \Delta C = M_a \times \Delta CO_2/(M_C \times m_a), \]
where $\Delta C$ is the atmospheric enrichment in CO$_2$ after tree combustion, $C_0$ is the reference CO$_2$ concentration, $M_a$ is the molecular mass of dry air, $M_c$ is the molecular mass of carbon, $m_a$ is the mass of the atmosphere, and $\Delta$CO$_2$ is the change in CO$_2$ (in grams) resulting from biomass change.

2.3.3. Uncertainty analysis. Products derived from MODIS were the main data source used to detect and distinguish forest disturbances, so some minor changes cannot be reflected at the coarse scale. Besides, the threshold we chose might cause some uncertainty, although we adopted some methods to reduce the uncertainty. To evaluate the uncertainty of changes in albedo and biomass, as well as the associated radiative forcing, caused by the uncertainty of forest disturbances, we used the bootstrapping method (Efron and Tibshirani 1986). Uncertainties of estimates are standard deviations of bootstrap samples.

3. Results and discussions

During the period 2000–2010, about 1.26 million ha of forests were disturbed by fires, according to the MODIS fire product (table 1). They accounted for 1.92% of the forests of northeastern China. Fire disturbance resulted in a decrease in forest biomass (14.12 ± 0.24 Mg ha$^{-1}$) and a resultant positive radiative forcing (1.44 ± 0.02 W m$^{-2}$). Insects damaged 0.87% of forests in this region, and 0.24% of forests underwent large-scale logging. Insects and logging caused decreases in forest biomass of 6.01 ± 0.27 Mg ha$^{-1}$ and 26.85 ± 0.86 Mg ha$^{-1}$, and associated radiative forcings of 0.43 ± 0.03 W m$^{-2}$ and 2.45 ± 0.08 W m$^{-2}$, respectively (table 1). On the other hand, afforestation and reforestation caused a biomass increase of 1.71 ± 0.05 Mg ha$^{-1}$ and a negative forcing of 0.48 ± 0.01 W m$^{-2}$ (table 1). Among the four types of forest disturbances, the impact of logging was the largest in terms of CO$_2$-driven radiative forcing, followed by fires, insect damage, and afforestation and reforestation.

Changes in surface albedo due to forest disturbances and the associated radiative forcing were different in each month (figure 2). Forest disturbances had a minor effect on summer albedo. The changes were steady and relatively small for each type of forest disturbance. However, the effects on winter albedo and early spring albedo were large, probably because of snow cover (figures 2 and 3). Albedo increased in March after insect damage and increased in December after logging. Albedo in both March and December increased after fire disturbances (figure 2). Autumn albedo decreased after fires, insect damage, and afforestation and reforestation, but increased after logging disturbances (figure 2).

Changes in albedo fluctuations were observed along the time since disturbances (figure 3). During the first decade after forest disturbances, autumn albedo increased for forest logging. For insect damaged forests, autumn albedo increased after five years since disturbance. Whereas for fire disturbance, autumn albedo decreased after several years because of forest recovery. In afforestation and reforestation regions, changes in autumn albedo were mostly below zero. We calculated a significant positive trend of change in summer albedo after logging and insect damage. The trend of change in summer albedo caused by afforestation and reforestation increased. The trend of summer albedo increased for seven years following fire disturbance but then decreased. Changes in spring albedo were just above zero for logging, insect damage, and fire disturbance, but below zero in afforestation and reforestation regions, except at some turning points. Because of the large influence of forest disturbances on spring albedo and winter albedo, changes in annual albedo tended to respond similarly with them, but with a smaller magnitude (figure 3).

Changes in annual surface albedo and the associated radiative forcing were compared with CO$_2$-driven radiative forcing (figure 4). Results showed that the radiative forcings from albedo change and CO$_2$ release had the same order of magnitude, and CO$_2$-driven radiative forcing was relatively stable for each type of disturbance, whereas albedo-driven radiative forcing fluctuated widely. Therefore, overall trends of net forcing mostly followed those of albedo-driven radiative forcing. For the case of fire, biomass decreased after fire, and radiative forcing due to biomass change was positive. This development could result in warming of the regional climate. Albedo was elevated in all seasons except autumn, and this difference was further enhanced with time. This
outcome resulted in an annual negative radiative forcing of $-0.92 \pm 0.06$ W m$^{-2}$ (table 1). Combined changes in annual albedo and forest biomass contributed to an instantaneous net warming effect ($0.53 \pm 0.08$ W m$^{-2}$), and this warming effect continued because of the lasting loss of biomass in the following years. More CO$_2$ was absorbed and stored as biomass by afforestation and reforestation, which caused a negative CO$_2$-driven radiative forcing. The net forcing fluctuated around zero during the first six years after tree planting. However, we observed a sharp decrease in net radiative forcing, and thus a net cooling effect, in the seven years after tree planting. For insect damage, the net forcing was positive during the first five years after disturbance and negative after the first five years. For forest logging, the associated radiative forcing revealed a warming climate effect caused by the decrease in forest biomass.

Compared with albedo-driven forcing, CO$_2$-driven forcing was relatively stable, because changes in forest biomass were not evident as changes in surface albedo (figure 4). Turning points of changes in albedo in spring and winter (figure 3) and the associated radiative forcing (figure 4) were observed around 5–6 years after disturbances. The mechanisms were complicated. Several reasons might account for the phenomenon. Snow cover was an important factor. This is because if forest biomass or forest cover did not change, but snow cover changed annually, then albedo in spring or winter would increase substantially. The variation in snow cover can explain the results of forest logging in figures 3 and 4. But for afforestation and reforestation, mechanisms were different. The turning point partly suggested the relative role of changes in surface albedo and forest biomass. As we mentioned in the introduction, previous studies indicated the albedo effect overwhelmed biomass effect in boreal and temperate forests. But as time goes by the biomass effect seems to dominate instead of albedo effect (figures 3 and 4). If this is the case, then we should not doubt the warming climate effects of large-scale afforestation in high latitude regions. However, we only focused on the northeastern China, and did not investigate the situation in other regions. Moreover, a decade was not long enough for us to make such a firm conclusion.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we estimated the climatic effects of forest disturbances, including fires, insect damage, logging, and afforestation and reforestation, caused by changes in forest biomass and surface albedo in terms of radiative forcing. Results indicated that radiative forcings resulting from change in albedo and CO$_2$ release were of the same order of magnitude. The ‘instantaneous’ net forcings of albedo-driven radia-
Figure 4. Radiative forcing associated with albedo change and biomass change caused by logging (a), afforestation and reforestation (b), fires (c) and insect damage (d).

tive forcing and CO$_2$-driven radiative forcing were $0.53 \pm 0.08$ W m$^{-2}$, $1.09 \pm 0.14$ W m$^{-2}$, $2.23 \pm 0.27$ W m$^{-2}$, and $0.14 \pm 0.04$ W m$^{-2}$ for fires, insect damage, logging, and afforestation and reforestation, respectively. During the first four or five years after disturbances, net forcings were positive for fires, insect damage, and logging, but negative for afforestation and reforestation. Trajectories of net forcings were different with time for these disturbances. Mechanisms were also different for each type of disturbance.

The forcings we estimated in this study were pixel based. When extended to a regional forcing or global forcing, the ratio of disturbed area to the area of this region or the surface area of the Earth should be multiplied.

We only considered the climatic effects due to changes in forest biomass and surface albedo in this study. We assumed that loss of forest biomass affected the atmosphere instantaneously. However, the situation is far more complicated than this case. This finding should be further investigated in future studies. Also, some possible forcing agents, such as aerosols and evapotranspiration, were neglected. More agents and more complicated scenarios must be included in further studies.
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