Comprehensive ecosystem-specific 16S rRNA gene databases with automated taxonomy assignment (AutoTax) provide species-level resolution in microbial ecology
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Abstract

High-throughput 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing is an indispensable method for studying the diversity and dynamics of microbial communities. However, this method is presently hampered by the lack of high-identity reference sequences for many environmental microbes in the public 16S rRNA gene reference databases, and by the lack of a systematic and comprehensive taxonomic classification for most environmental bacteria. Here we combine high-quality and high-throughput full-length 16S rRNA gene sequencing with a novel sequence identity-based approach for automated taxonomy assignment (AutoTax) to create robust, near-complete 16S rRNA gene databases for complex environmental ecosystems. To demonstrate the benefit of the approach, we created an ecosystem-specific database for wastewater treatment systems and anaerobic digesters. The novel approach allows consistent species-level classification of 16S rRNA amplicons sequence variants (ASVs) and the design of highly specific oligonucleotide probes for fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, which can reveal *in situ* properties of microbes at unprecedented taxonomic resolution.
Introduction:

Microbial communities determine the functions of microbial ecosystems in nature and engineered systems. A deep understanding of the communities requires reliable identification of the microbes present, as well as linking their identity with functions. Identification at the lowest taxonomic rank is preferred, as microbial traits vary in their degree of phylogenetic conservation, and many ecologically important traits are conserved only at the genus to species rank (Martiny et al., 2015).

Identification of microbes is commonly achieved by high-throughput 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, where a segment of the 16S rRNA gene spanning one to three hypervariable regions is amplified by PCR and sequenced. The amplicons are then clustered, based on sequence identity into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) or used to infer exact amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), also known as sub-OTUs (sOTUs) or zero-radius OTUs (zOTUs), with denoising algorithms such as Deblur (Single- and Sequence, 2017), DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016), or Unoise3 (Edgar, 2016b). The sequences are finally classified, based on a 16S rRNA gene reference database to assign the most plausible taxonomy for each sequence (Caporaso et al., 2010). ASVs are often preferred over OTUs, because they provide the highest phylogenetic resolution, supporting sub-genus to sub-species level classification, depending on the 16S rRNA gene region amplified and the taxon analyzed (Callahan et al., 2017).

ASVs can be applied as consistent labels for microbial identification independently of a 16S rRNA gene reference database (Callahan et al., 2017). This approach is used in several large-scale projects, including the Earth Microbiome Project (EMP) (Thompson et al., 2017) and the American Gut project (Mcdonald et al., 2018), to provide detailed insight into the factors that shape the overall microbial community diversity and dynamics. However, ASVs are not ideal as references for linking microbial identity with functions. Firstly, ASVs do not contain enough evolutionary information to confidently resolve their phylogeny (Yarza et al., 2014; Edgar, 2018), which makes...
it impossible to report and infer how microbial traits are conserved at different phylogenetic scales. Secondly, comparison of ASVs is only possible when they are produced and processed in the same way. This means that, without taxonomic assignment, it is not possible to compare results across studies that have used primer sets targeting different regions of the 16S rRNA gene. It also hampers our ability to exploit the power of new and improved sequencing technologies that can produce longer reads of high quality. Finally, if information about functional properties is available from pure culture studies or in situ studies based on metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs), this information may be linked to full-length 16S rRNA sequences, but less reliably to ASVs (Yarza et al., 2014; Edgar, 2018). Taxonomic assignment is therefore crucial for cross-study comparisons and the dissemination of microbial knowledge.

Taxonomic assignment to ASVs relies on the classifier (e.g., sintax (Edgar, 2016a) or RDP classifier (Wang et al., 2007)) that applies different algorithms to compare each individual ASV to a 16S rRNA gene reference database and proposes the best estimate for the taxonomy. Confident classification at the lowest taxonomic ranks requires high-identity reference sequences (~100% identity) and a comprehensive taxonomy for all references (Edgar, 2018). None of these criteria are met with the commonly applied universal reference databases (Greengenes (Desantis et al., 2006), SILVA (Quast et al., 2013), and RDP (Cole et al., 2014)), which lack sequences for many environmental taxa and a comprehensive taxonomy for most uncultivated taxa.

A solution to the aforementioned problems is to create ecosystem-specific reference databases. Some well-studied medium-complexity ecosystems, such as the human gut (Ritari et al., 2015) or oral microbiomes (Chen et al., 2010; Griffen et al., 2011), now have fairly comprehensive reference databases with genus- to species-level resolution, which were obtained from thousands of isolates and MAGs (Ritari et al., 2015; Segota and Long, 2019; Chen et al., 2010). However, this is not yet the case for most environmental ecosystems.
New methods for high-throughput full-length 16S rRNA gene sequencing, e.g., synthetic long-read sequencing on the Illumina platform (Karst et al., 2018; Burke and Darling, 2016), but also emerging methods such as PacBio (Callahan et al., 2019) and Nanopore (Karst et al., 2019) consensus sequencing, now allow generation of millions of high-quality reference sequences from any environmental ecosystem. This can provide high-identity references for many of the uncultured taxa which are currently missing in the large universal reference databases. However, it does not solve the problem of missing or poor taxonomic assignment for many taxa.

Current strategies for generating and maintaining ecosystem-specific taxonomies involve ecosystem-specific curated versions of universal reference databases, where the taxonomy is manually curated for some process-critical microbes, and placeholder names are provided for the most abundant uncultured genera. Examples are the MiDAS database for microbes in biological wastewater treatment systems (McIlroy et al., 2017) and the Dictyopteran gut microbiota reference Database (DictDb) (Mikaelyan et al., 2015). Another approach is to develop smaller ecosystem-specific databases that only include sequences from the specific ecosystem, with the taxonomy rigorously curated by scientists within the field such as the freshwater-specific FreshTrain database (Newton et al., 2011; Rohwer et al., 2018), the honey bee gut microbiota database (Newton and Roeseler, 2012), and the rumen and intestinal methanogen database (Seedorf et al., 2014). Such ecosystem-specific databases greatly improve classification of amplicons that have a suitable reference in the database, but this may not be the case for a large fraction of the community. Furthermore, manual ecosystem-specific curation of the reference databases is subjective and hardly sustainable if we want to expand the databases so that they cover the true diversity of the ecosystems at high taxonomic resolution, which would probably require 100-1000 times more sequences (Glöckner et al., 2017).
Ideally, we want an automated taxonomy assignment that can provide robust, objective taxonomic classifications for all 16S rRNA gene reference sequences, based on the most recent microbial taxonomy with introduction of placeholder names for taxa which have not yet received official names. To achieve this, we introduce AutoTax - a simple and efficient strategy to create a comprehensive ecosystem-specific taxonomy covering all taxonomic ranks. AutoTax uses the SILVA taxonomy as a backbone and provides robust placeholder names for unclassified taxa, based on de novo clustering of sequences according to statistically supported identity thresholds for each taxonomic rank (Yarza et al., 2014). Due to the strict computational nature of the taxonomy assignment, we obtain an objective taxonomy, which can easily be updated, based on the most recent version of the SILVA reference database.

We demonstrate the potential of the method by sequencing almost a million full-length small subunit rRNA gene (fSSU) sequences from Danish bioenergy and biological wastewater treatment systems and use these after error correction to create a new comprehensive ecosystem-specific reference database with 9,521 full-length exact sequence variants (ESVs), which were classified using AutoTax. The value of the new approach was demonstrated by comparing the performance of the ESV database with the large universal reference database commonly applied. The comprehensive set of full-length ESVs also allowed the design of species or sequence variant-specific oligonucleotide probes for fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). This was exemplified by new probes for one of the most abundant genera in Danish wastewater treatment systems, the Tetrasphaera, where it enabled the visual distinction of several species revealing different phenotypes.
Materials and methods:

General molecular methods

Concentration and quality of nucleic acids were determined using a Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and an Agilent 2200 Tapestation (Agilent Technologies), respectively. Agencourt RINAClean XP and AMPure XP beads were used as described by the manufacturer, except for the washing steps, where 80% ethanol was used. RiboLock RNase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the purified total RNA to minimise RNA degradation. All commercial kits were used according to the protocols provided by the manufacturer, unless otherwise stated. Oligonucleotides used in this study can be found in Table S1.

Samples and nucleic purification

Activated sludge and anaerobic digester biomass were obtained as frozen aliquots (-80°C) from the MiDAS collection (McIlroy et al., 2017). Sample metadata is provided in Table S2. Total nucleic acids were purified from 500 µL of sample thawed on ice using the PowerMicrobiome RNA isolation kit (MO BIO Laboratories) with the optional phenol-based lysis or with the RiboPure RNA purification kit for bacteria (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Purification was carried out according to the manufacturers’ recommendations, except that cell lysis was performed in a FastPrep-24 instrument for 4x 40 s at 6.0 m/s to increase the yield of nucleic acids from bacteria with tough cell walls (Albertsen et al., 2015). The samples were incubated on ice for 2 min between each bead beating to minimise heating due to friction. DNA-free total RNA was obtained by treating a subsample of the purified nucleic acid with the DNase Max kit (MO BIO Laboratories), followed by clean up using 1.0x RINAClean XP beads with elution into 25 µL nuclease-free water.
Primer-free full-length 16S rRNA library preparation and sequencing

Purified RNA obtained from biomass samples was pooled for each sample source type (activated sludge or anaerobic digester) to give equimolar amounts of the small subunit ribosomal ribonucleic acid (SSU rRNA) determined based on peak area in the TapeStation analysis software A.02.02 (SR1). Full-length SSU sequencing libraries were then prepared as previously described (Karst et al., 2018). The SSU_rRNA_RT2 (activated sludge) and SSU_rRNA_RT3 (anaerobic digester biomass) reverse transcription primer and the SSU_rRNA_1 adaptor were used for the molecular tagging, and approximately 1,000,000 tagged molecules from each pooled sample were used to create the clonal library. The final library was sequenced on a HiSeq2500 using on-board clustering and rapid run mode with a HiSeq PE Rapid Cluster Kit v2 (Illumina) and HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2, 265 cycles (Illumina), as previously described (Karst et al., 2018).

Primer-based full-length 16S rRNA library preparation and sequencing

The purified nucleic acids obtained from the biomass samples were pooled for each sample source type (activated sludge or anaerobic digester) with equal weight of DNA from each sample. Full-length SSU sequencing libraries were then prepared, as previously described (Karst et al., 2018). The f16S_rDNA_pcr1_fw1 (activated sludge) or f16S_rDNA_pcr1_fw2 (anaerobic digester biomass) and the f16S_rDNA_pcr1_rv were used for the molecular tagging, and approximately 1,000,000 tagged molecules from each pooled sample were used to create the clonal library. The final library was sequenced on a HiSeq2500 using on-board clustering and rapid run mode with a HiSeq PE Rapid Cluster Kit v2 (Illumina) and HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2, 265 cycles (Illumina) as previously described (Karst et al., 2018).
Preparation of full-length 16S rRNA gene exact sequence variants (ESVs)

Raw sequence reads were binned, based on the unique molecular tags, *de novo* assembled into the synthetic long-read rRNA gene sequences using the fSSU-pipeline-DNA_v1.2.sh or fSSU-pipeline-RNA_v1.2.sh scripts script (https://github.com/KasperSkytte/AutoTax) (Karst *et al.*, 2018). The assembled 16S rRNA gene sequences were trimmed equivalent to *E. coli* position 8 and 1507 (RNA-based protocol) or 28 and 1491 (DNA-based protocol), as previously described (Karst *et al.*, 2018). This ensures that the sequences have equal length and that primer binding sites are removed from the DNA-based sequences. The trimmed sequences were oriented according to the SILVA_132_SSURef_Nr99 database (Quast *et al.*, 2013) using the usearch11 -orient command, dereplicated using usearch11 -fastx_uniques -sizeout and denoised with usearch11 -unoise3 -minsize 2 to produce "error-free" full-length ESVs. For details see the supplementary results.

Taxonomy assignment to full-length ESVs

A complete taxonomy from kingdom to species was automatically assigned to each full-length ESV using the AutoTax.sh scripts (https://github.com/KasperSkytte/AutoTax). In brief, this script identifies the closest relative of each ESV in the SILVA database using usearch, obtains the taxonomy for this sequence, and discards information at taxonomic ranks not supported by the sequence identity, based on the thresholds for taxonomic ranks proposed by Yarza *et al.* (Yarza *et al.*, 2014). In addition, full-length ESVs were *de novo* clustered using the UCLUST algorithm and the same thresholds. The *de novo* clusters were labelled based on number of the centroid ESV, and these labels acted as a placeholder taxonomy, where there were gaps in the taxonomy obtained from SILVA. For details, see the supplementary results.
Amplicon sequencing and analysis

Bacterial community analysis was performed by amplicon sequencing of the V1-3 variable region as previously described (Kirkegaard et al., 2017) using the 27F (AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG) (Lane, 1991) and 534R (ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG) (Muyzer et al., 1993) primers and the purified DNA from above. Forward reads were processed using usearch v.11.0.667. Raw fastq files were filtered for phiX sequences using -filter_phix, trimmed to 250 bp using -fastx_truncate -trunclen 250, and quality filtered using -fastq_filter with -fastq_maxee 1.0. The sequences were dereplicated using -fastx_uniques with -sizeout -relabel Uniq. Exact amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were generated using -unoise3 (Edgar, 2016b). ASV-tables were created by mapping the raw reads to the ASVs using -otutab with the -zotus and -strand both options. Taxonomy was assigned to ASVs using -sintax with -strand both and -sintax_cutoff 0.8 (Edgar, 2018).

Data analysis and visualization

Usearch v.11.0.667 was used for mapping sequences to references with -usearch_global -id 0 -maxrejects 0 -maxaccepts 0 -top_hit_only -strand plus, unless otherwise stated. Data was imported into R (R Core Team, 2016) using RStudio IDE (RStudio Team, 2015), analysed, and aggregated using Tidyverse v.1.2.1 (https://www.tidyverse.org/), and visualised using ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) v.3.1.0 and Ampvis (Andersen et al., 2018) v.2.4.0.
Data availability

Raw and assembled sequencing data is available at the European Nucleotide Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under the project number PRJEB26558. The AutoTax script and processed reference databases in sintax and qiime format can be found at https://github.com/KasperSkytte/AutoTax.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

Fresh biomass samples from full-scale activated sludge WWTP were fixed with 96% ethanol and stored in the freezer (-20°C) until needed. FISH was performed as described by Daims et al. (2005). Details about the optimal formamide concentration used for each probe are given in Table S4. The EUBmix probe set (Amann et al., 1990; Daims et al., 1999) was used to cover all bacteria, and the nonsense NON-EUB probe (Wallner et al., 1993) was applied as negative control for sequence-independent probe binding. Microscopic analysis was performed with either an Axioskop epifluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany), equipped with a Leica DFC7000 T CCD camera, or a white light laser confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP8 X) (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Phylogenetic analysis and FISH probe design

Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences and the design of FISH probes for individual species in the genus Tetrasphaera were performed using the ARB software v.6.0.6 (Ludwig et al., 2004). A phylogenetic tree was calculated, based on the aligned 72 new full-length ESVs from the genus Tetrasphaera, using the PhyML maximum likelihood method and a 1000-replicate bootstrap analysis. Unlabelled helper probes and competitor probes were designed for regions predicted to have low in situ accessibility and for single base mismatched non-target sequences, respectively.
Potential probes were validated *in silico* with the MathFISH software for hybridization efficiencies of target and potentially weak non-target matches (Yilmaz *et al.*, 2011). All probes were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Denmark) or Biomers (Germany), labelled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-Fam), indocarbocyanine (Cy3) or indodicarbocyanine (Cy5) fluorochromes. Optimal hybridization conditions for novel FISH probes were determined, based on formamide dissociation curves, generated after hybridization at different formamide concentrations over a range of 0–70% (v/v) with 5% increments. Relative fluorescence intensities of 50 cells were measured with the ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Maryland, USA) and calculated average values were compared for selection of the optimal formamide concentration. Where available, pure cultures were obtained from DSMZ and applied in the optimization process. *Tetrasphaera japonica* (DSM13192) was used to optimize the probe Tetra183, while *Sanguibacter suarezii* (DSM10543), *Lactobacillus reuteri* (DSM20016), and *Janibacter melonis* (DSM16063) were used to assess the need for the specific unlabelled competitor probes Tetra67_C1, Actino221_C3, and Tetra732_C1, respectively. If appropriate pure cultures were not available, probes were optimized using activated sludge biomass with a high abundance of the target organism predicted by amplicon sequencing.
Raman microspectroscopy was applied in combination with FISH, as previously described (Fernando et al., 2019). The approach was used to identify phenotypic differences between probe-defined *Tetrasphaera* phylotypes. Briefly, FISH was conducted on optically polished CaF$_2$ Raman windows (Crystran, UK), which give a single-sharp Raman marker at 321 cm$^{-1}$ that serves as an internal reference point in every spectrum. *Tetrasphaera* species-specific (Cy3) probes (Table S4) were used to locate the target cells for Raman analysis. After bleaching the Cy3 fluorophore with the Raman laser, spectra from single cells were obtained using a Horiba LabRam HR 800 Evolution (Jobin Yvon – France) equipped with a Torus MPC 3000 (UK) 532 nm 341 mW solid-state semiconductor laser. The Raman spectrometer was calibrated prior to obtaining all measurements to the first-order Raman signal of Silicon, occurring at 520.7 cm$^{-1}$. The incident laser power density on the sample was attenuated down to 2.1 mW/μm$^2$ using a set of neutral density (ND) filters. The Raman system is equipped with an in-built Olympus (model BX-41) fluorescence microscope. A 50X, 0.75 numerical aperture dry objective (Olympus M Plan Achromat- Japan), with a working distance of 0.38 mm, was used throughout the work. A diffraction grating of 600 mm/groove was used, and the Raman spectra collected spanned the wavenumber region of 200 cm$^{-1}$ to 1800 cm$^{-1}$. The slit width of the Raman spectrometer and the confocal pinhole diameter were set to 100 μm and 72 μm, respectively. Raman spectrometer operation and subsequent processing of spectra were conducted using LabSpec version 6.4 software (Horiba Scientific, France). All spectra were baseline corrected using a 6$^{th}$ order polynomial fit.
Results and discussion:

A comprehensive ecosystem-specific 16S rRNA gene reference database

In order to make a comprehensive ecosystem-specific reference database for Danish wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) and their anaerobic digesters, we sampled biomass from 22 typical WWTPs and 16 anaerobic digesters (ADs) treating waste activated sludge located at Danish wastewater treatment facilities (Table S2). These facilities represent an important engineered ecosystem containing complex microbial communities of both bacteria and archaia, with the vast majority of microbes being uncultured and poorly characterized (Wu et al., 2019).

DNA and RNA were extracted and pooled separately for each environment and used to create ecosystem-specific primer-based (DNA-based) and “primer-free” (RNA-based) 5SSU libraries (Figure 1a). This resulted in a total of 926,507 5SSU sequences after quality filtering. The raw sequences were dereplicated and denoised with Unoise3 to generate a comprehensive reference database of 9,521 ESVs. As each 5SSU is independently amplified due to the unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) added before the PCR amplification steps, the risk of having multiple ESVs with identical errors is extremely low if we assume random distribution of errors (see supplementary results). The ESVs are therefore considered to be essentially error-free.

To determine the influence of library preparation method, we compared ESVs created based on 5SSU obtained from the four individual libraries. The DNA-based approach yielded approx. 12 times more unique ESVs than the RNA-based approach for the same sequencing cost (Table S3). The reduced number of unique ESVs from the RNA-based libraries was expected, as only 13.3% of the assembled sequences represented full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences (Table S3). As the Archaea are not targeted by the primers used, we compared the bacterial ESVs from the four libraries to assess the influence of primer bias (Figure 1b). This revealed that 28% and 31% of the unique ESVs identified in the shallow RNA-based libraries were not present in corresponding
DNA-based libraries for activated sludge and anaerobic digesters, respectively. This reveals a bias associated with the DNA-based method, which is in accordance with previous in silico evaluation of primer bias for the 27F and 1492R primer pair (Karst et al., 2018). The same study predicted that a better coverage could be achieved by using the 27F and 1391R primer pair (Klindworth et al., 2013) on the expense of sequence length (Karst et al., 2018).

To estimate the number of full-length ESVs belonging to novel taxa, ESVs were mapped to the SILVA_132_SSURef_Nr99 database (Quast et al., 2013) using usearch, and the identity of the closest relative was compared to the thresholds for taxonomic ranks proposed by Yarza et al. 2014 (Table 1). The majority of the ESVs (~94%) had references in the SILVA database with genus-level support (identity >94.5%), but 26% lacked references above the species-level (identity >98.7%) (Table 1), which are crucial to confident taxonomic classification (Edgar, 2018).

**Evaluation of the full-length ESV database using amplicon data**

In order to evaluate if the full-length ESV database contained high-identity references for all prokaryotes in the ecosystem, we mapped V1-3 amplicon sequencing data obtained from two sources: the same samples used to create the ESV database and samples from unrelated Danish WWTP and ADs. To ensure highest resolution, amplicon data was processed into ASVs. The ecosystem-specific ESV database (9,521 seq.) included more high-identity references (>98.7% identity) for all analyzed samples, compared to the 58-353-fold larger universal databases, such as MiDAS 2.1 (548,447 seq.) (Mcllroy et al., 2017), SILVA v.132 SSURef Nr99 (695,171 seq.), SILVA v.132 SSURef (2,090,668 seq.), GreenGenes 16S v.13.5 (1,262,986 seq.), and the full RDP v.11.5 (3,356,808 seq.) (Figure 1c and Figure S1-S2). A decrease in percentage of ASVs with high-identity references was observed when low abundant ASVs (the rare biosphere) were included in the analysis. However, the full-length ESV database still performed as well as the larger
universal databases. ASVs were also mapped to the 16S rRNA database derived from the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) release 89 (17,460 seq) (Parks et al., 2018). However, this database lacked high-identity references for almost all ASVs, which probably relates to the fact that 16S rRNA genes often fail to assemble in MAGs produced by short read sequencing data. Since only Danish WWTPs and ADs were used to establish the comprehensive high-identity full-length ESV reference database, published amplicon data from non-Danish WWTPs (Isazadeh et al., 2016; Gonzalez-Martinez et al., 2016) was also evaluated (Figure 1d-e, and S3-S4). Compared to all universal reference databases, the Danish reference ESVs performed better or as well for most of the investigated non-Danish WWTPs, which indicates that the database covers many of the microbes that are common in WWTP across the world. We anticipate that high-throughput 16S rRNA gene sequencing of non-Danish WWTP and ADs will provide references for the region-specific taxa in the future.

**A new comprehensive taxonomic framework**

A major limitation in the classification of amplicon data from environmental samples is the lack of lower rank taxonomic information (family, genus, and species names) for many uncultivated bacteria in the universal reference databases. To address this, we developed a robust taxonomic framework (AutoTax), which provides consistent taxonomic classification of all sequences to all seven taxonomic ranks by using a reproducible computational approach, based on identity thresholds (Figure 2).

The full-length ESVs were first mapped to the SILVA_SSURef_Nr99 database, which provides the taxonomy of the closest relative in the database as well as the percent identity between the ESV and this reference. The taxonomy was assigned to the ESV down to the taxonomic rank that is supported by the sequence identity thresholds proposed by Yarza et al. (2014) (Table 1). As
the SILVA taxonomy does not include species names, ESVs were also mapped to 16S rRNA gene sequences from type strains extracted from the SILVA database. Species names were added to the ESVs if the identity was above 98.7%, and the genus name obtained from the type strains was identical to that obtained from the complete SILVA database. Although there are examples of separate species with 16S rRNA genes that share more than 98.7% sequence similarity and genomes with intragenomic copies that are less than 98.7% conserved, these are exceptions rather than the norm (Kim et al., 2014; Větrovský and Baldrian, 2013). The AutoTax approach will therefore provide confident species-level classifications for the vast majority of the ESVs.

To fill gaps in the taxonomy, all ESVs were trimmed and clustered using the UCLUST cluster_smallmem algorithm and the taxonomic thresholds proposed by Yarza et al. (2014). With this algorithm sequences are processed in the order they appear in the input file, i.e., if the next sequence matches an existing centroid, it is assigned to that cluster, otherwise it becomes the centroid of a new cluster. This ensures that the same clusters and centroids are formed every time, even if additional ESVs are added to the reference database in the future. The reproducibility of the approach was confirmed by processing only the first half of the ESVs, which yielded identical clusters. Merging of the SILVA- and the de novo-based taxonomies may result in conflicts (e.g., multiple ESVs from the same species associate with different genera). When this is the case, the taxonomy for the ESV, which first appears in the reference database, is adapted for all ESVs within that species. The pipeline produces formatted reference databases, which can be directly used for classification using sintax or classifiers in the qiime2 framework.

AutoTax provided placeholder names for many previously undescribed taxa (Table 2, Figure S5). Essentially all species, more than 72% of all genera, 50% of all families, and 30% of all orders, obtained their names from the de novo taxonomy and would otherwise have remained unclassified. The novel taxa were affiliated with several phyla, especially the Proteobacteria,
Planctomycetes, Patescibacteria, Firmicutes, Chloroflexi, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Acidobacteria (Figure S5). A prominent example is the Chloroflexi, where only 9/14 orders, 8/34 families, and 10/152 genera observed here were classified using the SILVA database, clearly showing the need for an improved taxonomy. This will have important implications for the study of these communities, given the high diversity and abundance of members of this phylum and their association with the sometimes serious operational problems of bulking and foaming (McIlroy et al., 2016; Petriglieri et al., 2018).

To benchmark the full-length ESV database, we classified amplicon data obtained from activated sludge and anaerobic digester samples using this database and compared the results to classifications obtained from the universal reference databases (Figure 3a). The ESV database was able to classify many more of the ASVs to the genus level (~90%), compared to SILVA_132_SSURel_Nr99 (~45%), GreenGenes_16s_13.5 (~25-30%), GTDB_r89 (~20-25%), and the RDP_16S_v16 training set (~25%) but also compared to gold standard within wastewater treatment systems MiDAS 2.1 (~65%), which is a manually ecosystem-specific curated version of the SILVA_123_SSURel_Nr99 database. Importantly, many of the top 50 most abundant ASVs only received classification with the AutoTax processed ESV database (Figure 4 and S6).

The use of the ecosystem-specific full-length ESV database thus significantly improved the classification at all taxonomic levels and, importantly, provided species-level classifications for the majority of the ASVs (~85%). To investigate the effect of the taxonomy assignment by AutoTax alone, we processed the SILVA_132_SSURel_Nr99 database using AutoTax. This increased the percentage of ASVs classified at the genus-level from ~45% to ~75%, and at the species-level from 0% to ~45%, demonstrating that the large universal databases would also benefit from the use of AutoTax. However, as better classifications are obtained using smaller ecosystem-specific
databases, we anticipate that such databases should be used whenever a defined ecosystem is studied.

Confident classification of amplicon sequences based on reference databases can be challenging due to the limited taxonomic information in short sequences (Yarza et al., 2014; Edgar, 2018). To investigate the confidence of the amplicon classification, we extracted ASV sets in silico from the bacterial full-length ESVs, corresponding to commonly amplified 16S rRNA regions. These ASVs were classified using sintax and the full-length ESV database. We then calculated the fraction of amplicons, which was correctly classified to the same genus and species as their source ESV (Figure 3b). More than 95% of the ASVs were assigned to the correct genus and 72-90% to the correct species, depending on primer set used. The primers targeting the V1-3 variable region performed especially well for species-level identification (90% correct classifications), while the commonly used primers targeting the V4 variable region were among the worst (72% correct classifications). Further analysis of the ASV that did not receive a correct classification revealed that the majority did not get any classification, and very few obtained wrong classifications (<0.2% at genus-level and <0.8% at species-level).

Sequencing costs can be reduced considerably if single reads are used instead of merged reads. To evaluate the effect of reduced amplicon length, trimmed forward reads (250 and 200 bp) were also classified (Figure 3b). The percentage of correct classifications decreased only marginally for the V1-3, V4, and V45 primer sets, whereas a more pronounced effect was observed for the V3-4, V3-5, and V5-8 ASVs. Single reads of 250 or 200 bp are thus sufficient for some primer sets.

Overall, the analysis demonstrated that the use of a comprehensive, high-quality reference database allows the confident classification of ASV sequences at the genus to species level depending of the primer sets used.
When choosing primers for amplicon sequence analyses, it is important also to take primer-bias into account (Albertsen et al., 2015). If a poor choice is made, process-relevant species may not appear, or they may be severely underestimated. For activated sludge, it has previously been shown that the V1-3 primers have a good overall agreement with metagenomic data and capture many of the process-relevant organisms, whereas the V4 primers underestimate the abundance of the process-critical Chloroflexi and Actinobacteria (Albertsen et al., 2015). Access to a comprehensive ecosystem-specific full-length 16S rRNA gene database provides an opportunity to determine the theoretical coverage of different primer sets in silico for the given ecosystem so that an informed decision can be made (Walters et al., 2011; Klindworth et al., 2013).

Species-specific FISH probes for Tetrasphaera spp.

A valuable benefit for the generation of ecosystem-specific databases is the design and selection of probes and primers for specific populations. FISH-based visualization of populations is central to many studies in microbial ecology, yet with the expanding 16S rRNA gene databases, finding probe sites allowing confident differentiation of target lineages is becoming increasingly difficult. Probe specificity and coverage are routinely assessed, based on all the sequences in public databases, yet both parameters may be very different when considering only the microorganisms present in the ecosystem of study. The use of ecosystem-specific databases therefore provides a more accurate assessment of probe specificity and coverage and will likely also allow the confident design and application of probes for targeting lineages at a higher taxonomic resolution, such as species.

To illustrate the benefit of using the new high-quality reference ESV database, more detailed analyses of the genus Tetrasphaera were performed. It is the most abundant genus in Danish WWTPs (McIlroy et al., 2017) and is associated with the polyphosphate-accumulating organism (PAO) phenotype, important for the capture and removal of phosphorus in the WWTPs (Nguyen et
al., 2011; Marques et al., 2017; Fernando et al., 2019). Despite the importance of the genus, it is unknown how many species co-exist in these systems and whether they all possess the PAO metabolism. Phylogenetic analysis of 75 ESVs belonging to the genus *Tetrasphaera* retrieved in this study revealed an evident separation into 19 species across 22 Danish WWTPs, providing for the first time a comprehensive overview of the diversity of *Tetrasphaera* in activated sludge systems (Figure 5a). Several of the sequences retrieved were identical to those of the described pure cultures, while the majority were novel and not present in existing databases. The 10 most abundant species are shown in Figure 5b. In order to reveal possible variations in morphology and physiology of *Tetrasphaera*, the new ESV database was used to design a comprehensive set of FISH probes covering the abundant species (Figure 5a). Of those, only the two most abundant species in Danish WWTPs were targeted by the existing probes (Actino-658 and Actino-221) (Kong et al., 2005) with high specificity and coverage. Other existing FISH probes targeting genus *Tetrasphaera* (Nguyen et al., 2011) did not show in silico high specificity and/or coverage.

The new species-specific probes designed to target the remaining abundant species, which can create up to 2-3% of the biomass in some plants (Figure 5a), revealed different morphologies (rod-shaped cells, tetrads, filaments, Figure 5c). Having probes for these different species most importantly allows in situ single cell analyses for each. Using these FISH probes in combination with Raman microspectroscopy, it was confirmed that all the FISH-defined *Tetrasphaera* species were likely PAOs, based on the presence of a large peak for poly-P (1170 cm⁻¹, Figure 5d). No Raman peaks were found for other intracellular storage compounds such as glycogen, PHA, or trehalose – consistent with current models for the physiology of the genus in these systems. Additionally, the new reference database was used to design a probe set (Tetra183 + Tetra617) for genus-level screenings of all abundant species of *Tetrasphaera* in Danish plants for (Figure 5a), which was otherwise not possible.
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Table 1: Numbers and percentage of full-length ESVs estimated to belong to novel taxa. ESVs were mapped to SILVA_132_SSURef_Nr99 to find the identity with the closest relative in the database. Novelty was determined, based on the identity for each ESV, based on the taxonomic thresholds proposed by Yarza et al. (2014).

| Environment       | Library | Kingdom | nSeqs | Species <98.7% | Genus <94.5% | Family <86.5% | Order <82.0% | Class <78.5% | Phylum <75.0% |
|-------------------|---------|---------|-------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Activated sludge  | RNA     | Archaea| 5     | 0              | 0             | 0             | 0            | 0            | 0             |
|                    | RNA     | Bacteria| 480   | 35 (7.61%)     | 1 (0.22%)     | 0             | 0            | 0            | 0             |
|                    | DNA     | Bacteria| 6228  | 1530 (24.6%)   | 328 (5.27%)   | 10 (0.16%)    | 2 (0.03%)    | 1 (0.02%)    | 1 (0.02%)     |
| Anaerobic digester| RNA     | Archaea| 74    | 0              | 0             | 0             | 0            | 0            | 0             |
|                    | RNA     | Bacteria| 235   | 33 (14.0%)     | 6 (2.55%)     | 0             | 0            | 0            | 0             |
|                    | DNA     | Bacteria| 4173  | 937 (22.5%)    | 222 (5.32%)   | 4 (0.096%)    | 1 (0.02%)    | 0            | 0             |
| Combined           | Combined| Archaea| 75    | 0              | 0             | 0             | 0            | 0            | 0             |
| Combined           | Combined| Bacteria| 9446  | 2434 (25.8%)   | 545 (5.77%)   | 15 (0.16%)    | 3 (0.03%)    | 1 (0.01%)    | 1 (0.01%)     |

Table 2: Numbers and percentage of taxa which were assigned de novo names.

| Environment       | Library | Kingdom | Species | Genus | Family | Order | Class | Phylum |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| Activated sludge  | RNA     | Archaea| 1 (50%) | 0     | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0      |
|                    | RNA     | Bacteria| 189 (88.7%) | 47 (40.9%) | 16 (22.5%) | 5 (10.2%) | 0 | 0      |
|                    | DNA     | Bacteria| 2709 (92.6%) | 893 (71.2%) | 180 (44.4%) | 45 (24.9%) | 9 | (12.3%) | (2.94%) |
| Anaerobic digester| RNA     | Archaea| 10 (58.8%) | 0     | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0      |
|                    | RNA     | Bacteria| 117 (91.4%) | 50 (51.6%) | 21 (30.4%) | 6 (12.0%) | 3 | (9.68%) | 0      |
|                    | DNA     | Bacteria| 1760 (92.5%) | 595 (66.0%) | 132 (43.9%) | 36 (23.1%) | 8 | (11.43%) | 0      |
| Combined           | Combined| Archaea| 10 (58.8%) | 0     | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0      |
| Combined           | Combined| Bacteria| 3879 (93.3%) | 1284 (72.4%) | 260 (50%) | 67 (29.9%) | 13 | (14.4%) | (2.44%) |
**Figure 1. Construction and evaluation of the full-length ESV reference database.**

Preparation of full-length ESVs. Samples were collected from WWTPs and anaerobic digesters, and DNA and RNA were extracted. Purified DNA or RNA were used for preparation of primer-based and “primer-free” full-length 16S rRNA libraries, respectively. These were sequenced and processed bioinformatically to produce a comprehensive ecosystem-specific full-length ESV database. A detailed description is provided in the supplementary results. b) Venn-diagram showing bacterial ESVs shared between individual libraries. c) Mapping of V1-3 amplicon data to the full-length ESV database and common 16S rRNA reference databases. ASVs were obtained from activated sludge and anaerobic digester samples and filtered based on their relative abundance, before the analysis to uncover the depth of the full-length ESV database. The fraction of the microbial community represented by the remaining ASVs after the filtering (coverage) is shown as mean ± standard deviation across plants. d) Mapping of ASVs from Dutch WWTPs based on raw data from Gonzalez-Martinez et al. (Gonzalez-Martinez et al., 2016). For details, see Figure S3. e) Mapping of ASVs from Canadian WWTPs, based on raw data from Isazadeh et al. 2016 (Isazadeh et al., 2016). For details, see Figure S4.
Figure 2. The AutoTax taxonomic framework. (1) Full-length ESVs were first mapped to the SILVA_132_SSURef_Nr99 database to identify the closest relative and the shared percent identity. (2) Taxonomy was adopted from this sequence after trimming, based on percent identity and the taxonomic thresholds proposed by Yarza et al. (Yarza et al., 2014). To gain species information, ESVs were also mapped to sequences from type strains extracted from the SILVA database, and species names were adopted if the identity was $>$98.7% and the type strain genus matched that of the closest relative in the complete database. (3) ESVs were also clustered by greedy clustering at different identities, corresponding to the thresholds proposed by Yarza et al. (Yarza et al., 2014) to generate a stable de novo taxonomy. (4) Finally, a comprehensive taxonomy was obtained by filling gaps in the SILVA-based taxonomy with the de novo-taxonomy.
Figure 3. Classification of amplicons. 
a) Percentage of ASVs from each activated sludge and anaerobic digester sample with a relative coverage of more than 0.01% that were classified to the genus level when classified using the full-length ESV reference database, common reference databases for taxonomic classification, and the SILVA databases processed using AutoTax. b) Classification of in silico bacterial ASVs, corresponding to amplicons produced using common primer set on the ESVs. Results are shown for the full amplicons as well as for partial amplicons, equivalent to the first 250 or 200 bp. V13 (Lane 1991) (Lane, 1991), V34 (Klindworth et al. 2013) (Klindworth et al., 2013), V35 (Peterson et al. 2009) (Peterson et al., 2009), V4 (Apprill et al. 2015) (Apprill et al., 2015), V45 (Parada et al. 2016) (Parada et al., 2016), and V58 (Klindworth et al. 2013) (Klindworth et al., 2013).
Figure 4. Relative abundance of the top 50 ASVs in the activated sludge samples. ASVs obtained from individual activated sludge samples used to create the full-length ESV database (black labels) and from other plants (blue labels) were classified based on the ESV database as well as the SILVA_132_SSURef_Nr99 database. ASVs not classified at the genus level have been highlighted in red.
Figure 5. Detailed investigation into the genus *Tetrasphaera*. a) Maximum-likelihood (PhyML) 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic tree of activated sludge *Tetrasphaera* species and coverage of the existing (black) and new FISH probes (red). A 20% conservational filter was applied to the alignment used for the tree to remove hypervariable regions, giving 1422 positions. Coverage of probes relevant to the current study is shown in black brackets. Bootstrap values from 1000 re-samplings are indicated for branches with >50% (white dot), 50%–70% (yellow), and >90% (orange) support. Species of the genus *Dechloromonas* were used as the outgroup. The scale bar represents substitutions per nucleotide base. b) Abundance of top 10 *Tetrasphaera* species in full-scale activated sludge WWTPs sampled 2–4 times per year from 2006 to 2018. c) Composite FISH micrographs of chosen *Tetrasphaera* species from full-scale activated sludge WWTP. Left panel: rods of *denovo_s_45* (orange) and tetrads of *denovo_s_220* (blue) targeted by the probe Tetra617 and Actino221 (Kong et al., 2005), respectively. Right panel: filaments of *denovo_s_328* (cyan) and rods of *denovo_s_5* (orange) targeted by the probe Tetra732 and Actino658 (Kong et al., 2005), respectively. In both images, all other bacteria (green) are targeted by the probe EUBmix. Scale bars represent 10 µm. d) Raman spectrum of *Tetrasphaera* *denovo_s_45* cells targeted by the Tetra617 probe. The presence of the signature peak for polyphosphate (1170 cm\(^{-1}\)) indicates the potential accumulation of polyphosphate as intracellular storage compound. Peaks for phenylalanine (1004 cm\(^{-1}\)) and amide I linkages of proteins (1450 cm\(^{-1}\)), highlighted in the spectrum, are specific markers for biological material.