Tropical cyclone “Amphan” developed as a super cyclone on 19 May 2020 and caused severe impact on the landmass with very high torrential precipitation (>250 mm day\(^{-1}\)), and extremely high wind speed (>150 km h\(^{-1}\)) after landfall on 20 May 2020. The tropical cyclone Amphan largely affected agricultural land (78.2%) and forest, including mangroves (10.8%) in eastern India and Bangladesh. The built-up area over the trajectory of the cyclone and its proximity, including eastern parts of the Kolkata metropolitan area, was considerably affected by the cyclone due to the high population density and poor structural and community planning. Although the regions with close proximities to cyclones’ trajectory (2033 km\(^2\) area under <2 km proximity) were affected severely, the presence of mangrove forest in Sundarban substantially reduced the magnitude of the tropical cyclone. A considerable decrease (~30%) in aerosol optical depth (AOD) in April–May 2020 as compared to that in 2019 is considered one of the major causes of the development of the warm pool and cyclogenesis in the Bay of Bengal. The number of COVID-19 cases increased by ~70% in the post-cyclonic period (29 May 2020) compared to that in the pre-cyclonic period (19 May 2020) illustrating the impact of the cyclonic hazard.
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1 Introduction

The Indian Ocean experienced the devastating impact of super cyclone Amphan during 16 May–22 May 2020. Amphan was the first super cyclonic storm in the Bay of Bengal having a very high wind speed (>200 km h\(^{-1}\)) since the 1999 Odisha cyclone [1]. It caused the loss of human lives (72 persons in India and 12 in Bangladesh), high destruction, and damage to public property, which cost ~1 lakh crore INR (13.46 billion USD) and the destruction of around 1 million houses as per the initial reports of damage assessment [4].

A tropical cyclone is characterized by intense tropical storms that originate in a warm tropical ocean with low atmospheric pressure, high wind, and heavy precipitations [33]. The intensity of a tropical cyclone is measured based on its damage on the landmass after landfall [20, 34]. Globally, the Indian subcontinent is considered one of the worst cyclone-affected parts in the Indian ocean region due to the shallow depth and the warm pool phenomenon (sea surface temperature (SST) ~ > 28 °C) [14], where the Bay of Bengal (BoB) is more prone to cyclone genesis [2] with an approximate ratio of 4:1 as compared to the Arabian Sea [11]. The Indian Ocean experiences an average of 5–6 cyclonic activities in a year [32], affecting the coastal regions and interior parts with different intensities [10]. The settlements in the coastal areas, primarily major cities, are affected by not only cyclones but also various other natural disasters including sea-level rise [31], storm surge [8], and flood inundation [15, 23, 24].

The occurrence of any natural hazards during the COVID-19 pandemic may have catastrophic and cascading implications [29]. The co-occurrence of hazardous natural (cyclones) and a biological origin (COVID-19) leads to severe crisis situations and challenges the resilience of societies and systems [30]. The COVID-19 pandemic that occurred in December 2019 has created havoc around the globe due to an increase in the number of cases and deaths [22]. The virus outbreak has been spreading through human-to-human transmission [5]. Globally, there were around 148,859,866 confirmed cases
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(93,138,755 deaths) reported as of 27 April 2021 (https://cutt.ly/1bfqU7c), in which 9.34% and 0.46% of global cases, and 5.90% and 0.32% of global deaths due to COVID-19 were associated with India (https://www.mohfw.gov.in/) and Bangladesh (https://iedcr.gov.bd/), respectively. Cyclonic impact leads to a transition in season phenomenon and increases the susceptibility of cough, cold, and fever by weakening the immune system of the human body [16], developing similar symptoms to COVID-19 infections [25, 26, 35]. Furthermore, there are high chances of compromising the necessary physical distancing measures during the evacuation process to a safe zone, which increases the risk of COVID-19 infections. Therefore, in the present study, an attempt has been made to examine the movement of tropical cyclone Amphan and its impact on the Indian subcontinent, amid the COVID-19 period. Also, the spatio-temporal patterns of SST, aerosol optical depth (AOD), and precipitation during the period of the super cyclone were analyzed to understand the origin and impact of tropical cyclone Amphan.

2 Data Used and Methodology

Satellite-based measurements of precipitation, AOD, wind vector analysis, land use/land cover (LULC), SST, and Indian Meteorological Department (IMD) bulletin reports were used to monitor the trajectory of super cyclone Amphan and its impact on the region. The forecasted and the final trajectories of the cyclone were mapped using IMD Bulletin reports (Bulletin numbers 01, 09, 17, 25, 30, and 41). Global Precipitation Mission (GPM) (https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni/) datasets [17] were used to map the variations of daily precipitation along the cyclone track, whereas Oceanic SAF SCATSAT-1 (having 25-km horizontal resolution) during 11 to 21 May 2020 was used to analyze the pattern of wind circulation in the ocean and the movement from the Ocean (Bay of Bengal) to the landmass. Since the origin and movement of cyclones depend on SST, the Group for High-Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHR SST) (https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/GHR SST) datasets were evaluated for the period of 01 to 21 May 2020. MODIS MOD08 D3 v6.1 combined Dark Target and Deep Blue AOD at 0.55 μm for land and ocean of 1-day temporal resolution (https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni/) [28] datasets were acquired to study the changes in AOD concentration during the period 01 April to 21 May in 2019 as well as analogous in 2020. The percent change in mean AOD during April and May of 2020 has been estimated using mean AOD in the period in 2019 (Eq. 1).

Percent Change in AOD

\[
\text{Percent Change in AOD} = \left( \frac{\text{Mean AOD } 2019 - \text{Mean AOD } 2020}{\text{Mean AOD } 2019} \right) \times 100
\]  

Proximity analysis of cyclonic path (<2 km, 2–5 km, 5–10 km, and 10–50 km) was performed to deduce the potential impact of cyclones on the LULC in the region. The LULC dataset was acquired from the European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative (ESA-CCI) at 300-m spatial resolution and used to estimate the area of influence of tropical cyclone Amphan. The COVID-19 information was acquired using various government reports from India (https://www.mohfw.gov.in/) and Bangladesh (https://iedcr.gov.bd/covid-19/covid-19-general-information). The pre- and post-cyclonic events of COVID-19 cases were compared at the district and state levels to deduce the implications of natural disasters on the rise in infections.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Trajectory of Amphan Cyclone

Over its lifetime, the super cyclone Amphan had a very long trajectory of ~1820 km, of which 72.96% (1328 km) was observed on the ocean surface and 27.04% (492 km) was observed on the landmass. On 13 May 2020, an anticlockwise wind pattern (Fig. 1) was observed in the Bay of Bengal at 10°N and 87°E, resulting in the formation of a low-pressure region that created the cyclone Amphan (Fig. 2). This low pressure was created as a result of increasing sea temperatures (>32°C) in the Bay of Bengal, ~1250 km south of West Bengal (WB). This phenomenon lasted until 15 May 2020 and intensified rapidly into a depression during the next subsequent 24 h. On 16 May 2020, it transformed into a depression and shifted northwestward (87°E and 10°N) with low wind speed (≥60 km h⁻¹) and transformed into a severe cyclone on the landmass. On 18 May 2020, it intensified into an extremely severe cyclonic storm with a moderately high wind speed (80–120 km h⁻¹; gusting to 140 km h⁻¹) near 11.4°N and 86.0°E. On 18 May 2020, it intensified into an extremely severe cyclonic storm with a moderately high wind speed (120–150 km h⁻¹; gusting to 180 km h⁻¹). This extremely severe cyclone moved northwards during the subsequent 24 h and transformed into a super cyclone on 19 May 2020 with a very high wind speed (200–250 km h⁻¹; gusting to 250 km h⁻¹) near around 670 km south–southwest to the coast of WB. The super cyclone lost its energy on 20 May 2020 and weakened into an extremely severe cyclonic storm while moving northwards near the coast of WB. The landfall of the Amphan cyclone occurred on 20 May 2020 afternoon (~1430 h IST) at the coast of WB, India (at Bakkhali in Sundarban delta) with a high wind speed (~150–160 km h⁻¹; gusting to 180 km h⁻¹). It passed through WB state on 20 May 2020 evening hours (1800 h IST) with high wind speed (155–165 km h⁻¹) and reached Bangladesh with...
decreasing intensity to a severe cyclonic storm. On 21 May 2020, it further transformed to a cyclonic storm by losing its strength and later converted into a low pressure on 21 May 2020 during evening hours (at ~1800 h IST) with a very low wind speed (<40 km h$^{-1}$) and eventually lost its existence near the Indo-Bangladesh border (26.2°N, 90.7°E). The cyclone caused widespread destruction in districts of India (South 24 Pargana, North 24 Pargana, Hooghly, Howrah, and Purba Medinipur of West Bengal State) and Bangladesh (Rajashahi, Nator, Pabna, Khustia, Meherpur, Chuadanga, Jinaldaha, Magura, Jessore, Meherpur, and Satkhira) along its trajectory and vicinity. The forecasted trajectory of tropical cyclone Amphan (by IMD) was similar to the actual trajectory despite a high deviation from the forecasted trajectory in the ocean in the initial days of forecasting (Fig. 2).

3.2 AOD and SST

The low concentration of aerosols in the atmosphere has a warming effect, which increases the frequency of formation of cyclones of varying intensities [36]. The COVID-19 pandemic induced lockdown in India (phase 1: 25 March 2020 to 31 May 2020) and Bangladesh (phase 1: from 22 March 2020 to 30 May 2020) resulting in a rapid decline in concentration of AOD in the Indian subcontinent [21] and in the Bay of Bengal. An average ~30% decrease in AOD was observed in a large (primarily in the southeastern) part of BoB from 01 April to 21 May 2020 compared to its analogous period in 2019 (Fig. 3aa). This may result in the conspicuous warming of ocean surfaces as observed through rising SST in Bay of Bengal effectively on 03 May 2020 (primarily in its southeastern parts with SST >31 °C). The extent of high SST (>32 °C) was observed from 10 May to 13 May 2020, leading to the formation of depression on 16 May 2020, and its intensification as an extremely severe cyclone on 19 May 2020 (Fig. 3ab). In contrast, the northern parts of the Indian Ocean and the Arabian Sea observed a low to moderate increase in the AOD (<−10%) in April–May 2020 compared to its analogous period in 2019 (April–May). Previous studies (e.g., [3, 12]) reported that increases in aerosol loads in the ocean surfaces tend to reduce sea surface radiation while decreases in AOD tend to lead to the considerable rise in SST [6, 9, 13, 27].

3.3 Precipitation Analysis

The southern parts of Bay of Bengal recorded relatively high to extremely high precipitation (>250 mm day$^{-1}$) due to the formation of low pressure since 13 May 2020 (Fig. 4).
extremely high precipitation continued to shift northward (towards the eastern Indian region) during the process of cyclonic movement in the ocean (16–19 May 2020). On 19 May 2020, the coverage of extremely high precipitation was maximum with major coverage in northern Bay of Bengal. But it significantly affected the eastern coasts and eastern India (Odisha, WB, Andhra Pradesh, Jharkhand, and Bihar) and southern Bangladesh with very high torrential
precipitation (140–250 mm day\(^{-1}\)). With the landfall on 20 May 2020, the cyclone caused very high precipitation in eastern India and in western Bangladesh. On 21 May 2020, the cyclone-induced precipitation dramatically reduced to a low to very low level by losing its intensity.

### 3.4 Impact of Amphan Cyclone

The Amphan cyclone largely affected the agricultural land (78.2%), followed by inland water body (9.3%), forest (7.0%), mangrove (3.8%), built-up (1.4%), and others (0.3%) in eastern India, and Bangladesh after its landfall with different intensities (Fig. 5). The severe impact of the cyclone within the closest proximity to the cyclones’ trajectory (<2 km) was significantly evident on agricultural land (1668.79 km\(^2\)), followed by forest cover (35.2 km\(^2\)), mangrove (20.7 km\(^2\)), and others (water body and barren land; 8.55 km\(^2\)). Although the total area under the severely affected zone (<2 km of cyclone trajectory) was smaller (2033 km\(^2\)) compared to the highly affected (5–10 km; 10,268 km\(^2\)) and moderately affected (10–20 km; 21,160 km\(^2\)) areas, the damage was more devastating in the neighboring proximity zones (<2 km) to the cyclone trajectory. Nevertheless, the proportion of built-up area was very significant (1.4% of the total area under >50 km\(^2\) proximity of cyclone), and experienced the catastrophic impact due to high concentration of population and variations in built-up structures. One of the most populated cities of eastern India, the Kolkata metropolitan region that fell under the moderately affected zone (10–20 km) observed large destruction in the southern and eastern urban and suburban regions. The cyclone passed through the Sundarban and affected a large proportion (~3703.77 km\(^2\)) of the world’s largest mangrove system. Although Amphan cyclone-affected ~36.7 km\(^2\) of mangrove forest with severe to very high levels of impact, the mangroves contributed to reducing the cyclonic wind speed and breaking the waves generated by the storm surges during the landfall due to the strong structure of the plants.

### 3.5 Consequences of the Passage of Amphan Cyclone in the COVID-19 Pandemic Scenario

The world has been facing the severity of the COVID-19 pandemic since late February 2020, which engulfed major parts of the world. In India, the state government of Odisha and WB released directives for evacuation in affected districts in order to maintain social distance amid COVID-19. Only 33% capacity of the shelters in Odisha while 40% capacity of the shelters (500,000 shelters for 200,000 population) in WB were used for evacuees to maintain the physical distance among them [7]. Apart from permanent shelters, governments have also used temporary shelters in schools, community centers, and temporary medical centers (https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-india-52718826). Bangladesh also managed to evacuate its citizens by maintaining a physical distance and ensuring 12,000 temporary shelters with a capacity of 5.19
million population for 2.2 million evacuees with proper supplies of food and emergencies [18]. The timely evacuation of ~4.2 million people living along the coast and in the proximity of cyclone trajectory in India and Bangladesh [19], and the presence of the world’s largest contiguous Sundarbans mangrove, contributed in decreasing the intensity of the Amphan cyclone after landfall (https://bit.ly/3dn3WH0). Despite the efficient arrangements to deal with the Amphan cyclone, it caused a death toll of 84 persons and very costly damage to properties due to strong cyclonic winds. A significant rise in confirmed cases of COVID-19 infections in Odisha (by 76.2%; rise from 978 to 1723 cases), Bangladesh (by 70.6%; rise 25,121 to 42,844 cases), and West Bengal (62.5%; rise from 2961 to 4813 cases), with the major increase in Howrah (60.4%) followed by South 24 Pargana (43.3%), North 24 Pargana (38.60%), and Hooghly (37.80%), was observed in the post-cyclonic period (29 May 2020) compared to the pre-cyclonic period (19 May 2020; Table 1). The cyclonic hazard accelerated the COVID-19 infections in the region (https://www.mohfw.gov.in/; https://corona.gov.bd/), and also affected the preparedness, mitigation, and response mechanisms to cyclonic hazard. There were high chances of compromising

| States/districts | Pre-cyclone COVID-19 cases (until 19 May 2020) | Post-cyclone COVID-19 cases (until 29 May 2020) | % change |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|
| Odisha           | 978                                          | 1723                                        | 76.2%    |
| West Bengal (WB) | 2961                                         | 4813                                        | 62.5%    |
| Bangladesh       | 25,121                                       | 42,844                                      | 70.6%    |
| South 24 Pargana (WB) | 102                                      | 180                                         | 43.3%    |
| North 24 Pargana (WB) | 396                                      | 645                                         | 38.60%   |
| Hooghly (WB)     | 153                                          | 246                                         | 37.80%   |
| Howrah (WB)      | 625                                          | 940                                         | 33.51%   |
| Purba Medinipur (WB) | 51                                       | 83                                          | 62.74%   |
COVID-19-related preventive measures during the evacuation process (preparedness) and thus likely to increase the COVID-19 infections. Such overlapping of concurrent hazardous events (i.e., Amphan cyclone and COVID-19) in time and space increased the severity of the crisis, and also challenged the resilience of systems and societies [30].

The present study is based on satellite-based observations for monitoring and assessment of the cyclone hazard and its impact on regional land use/land cover. A regional climate model is required to quantify the changes observed in the aerosol concentration and to deduce its impact on sea surface temperature and radiations.

4 Conclusion

A considerable rise in SST (>32°C) in the southern Bay of Bengal contributed to the formation of super cyclone Amphan, which moved northwards and affected a large proportion of area in eastern India and Bangladesh after landfalls (20 May 2020) with very high torrential precipitation (140–250 mm day$^{-1}$), and extremely high wind speed (>150 km h$^{-1}$). The Amphan cyclone largely affected the agricultural land (78.2%) and forest (10.8%) in eastern India and Bangladesh. The 1.4% (36.5 km$^2$) built-up area was considerably affected by the Amphan cyclone due to the high concentration of population and variations in built-up structures. A considerable decrease (~30%) in AOD during April–May 2020 compared to that in 2019 was observed due to COVID-19-induced lockdown, which resulted in a conspicuous rise in SST and formation of Amphan cyclone. This result is consistent with previous studies that concluded that changes in AOD influence SST [6, 9, 13, 27]. The accurate forecasting of the trajectory of the Amphan cyclone contributed to effective preparedness, and response to the cyclonic hazard in the region in the COVID-19 pandemic-affected scenario, leading to moderate death tolls.
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