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Abstract: Objective: The objective of this study isto assess the association between ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and risk of heart conduction disorders and arrhythmia.

Methods: PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science databases were systematically searched for observational studies that investigated the association between AS and risk of heart conduction disorders and arrhythmia with no language or date restrictions until September 16, 2019. We used random- and fixed-effects models to pool the results of the studies. Publication bias was assessed by Egger's test. Subgroup analysis was carried out based on the study design. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) software was used to perform meta-analysis.

Results: After removing duplicates, we reviewed 135 articles. Finally, we included seven articles in our meta-analysis, of which four studies reported AV block and any conductive abnormality and three focused on atrial fibrillation and any arrhythmia. Based on our meta-analysis, an increased risk of atrial fibrillation (RR: 1.85, 95%CI: 1.15-2.98) and atrioventricular block (OR: 3.46, 95%CI: 1.09-10.93) was found in AS subjects compared to the general population. In a subgroup analysis based on study design, we found a greater association between AS and atrioventricular block in cohort studies (RR: 5.14, 95%CI: 1.001-26.50) compared to cross-sectional ones. However, we did not find any association between AS and any arrhythmia (OR=3.36, 95% CI: 0.93-12.15), or conduction disorders (OR: 0.64, 95%CI: 0.38-1.06). No publication bias was found.

Conclusion: Our results support an association between AS and a higher risk of atrial fibrillation and atrioventricular block.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a rheumatological disorder characterized by inflammatory involvement of the sacroiliac and spinal joints and a marked association with human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-B27 [1]. The incidence of AS is 0.5-14 per 100,000 people per year, worldwide [2]. Interactions between bacteria and HLA-B27 were shown to significantly contribute to disease pathogenesis in models of spondyloarthritides [1]. Based on a previous study, there is a 25% increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in valvular heart disease, ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure and other cardiovascular diseases in AS patients [3]. Recent researches have confirmed the effects of systemic inflammation in AS on different parts of the heart such as cardiac valves, conduction system, myocardium and vessels that can result in cardiovascular disturbances [4]. Some, but not all studies, have suggested an increased risk of cardiac conduction abnormalities in AS patients; however, the magnitude of the risk contributed by AS is unclear. This study systematically reviewed reports that presented the risk of cardiac arrhythmia and conduction abnormalities in AS patients compared with the general population. We al-
so aimed to estimate the size of the mentioned risk by performing a meta-analysis of the studies.

2. METHODS

2.1. Eligibility Criteria

The present work covered observational reports (i.e. cohort, case-control and cross-sectional studies) discussing the risk of any type of arrhythmia/conduction disorders in AS patients compared with the healthy people according to the protocol of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) [5]. Systematic reviews, case reports/case series and congress abstracts that did not meet the inclusion criteria or those that reported duplicate data, were excluded. We used the International Classification of Diseases, the tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10) codes 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, and 149 to identify all patients with various arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation (AF), supraventricular tachycardia, Wolff–Parkinson–White syndrome and conduction disorders such as AV-blocks and bundle branch blocks [6].

2.2. Search Strategy

PubMed, Web of Science and Embase databases were systematically searched for articles indexed until September 16, 2019, using the following keywords: “Ankylosing Spondylitis”, “Bechterew Disease”, “Marie Struempell Disease”, “Rheumatoid Spondylitis”, “Ankylosing Spondyloarthritis”, “Sinoatrial Arrhythmia”, “Atrial Fibrillations”, “Atrial Flutter”, “Bradycardia”, “Brugada Syndrome”, “Premature Beats”, “Cardiac Concussions”, “Heart Blocks”, “Atrioventricular Dissociations”, “Tachycardia”, “Ventricular Fibrillation”, “Ventricular Flutters”, “Cardiac Dysrhythmia”, “Cardiac Arrhythmia”, and “WPW Syndrome” (Supplementary Table 1).

2.3. Selection Process

Two reviewers (FG and ER) independently checked out the articles in two steps; first, they merely reviewed the titles and abstracts. After the selection of articles, based on the inclusion criteria considered in the title abstraction selection step, the full texts were reviewed to select the relevant studies. Finally, the articles that compared the frequency of any type of conduction disorders and/or arrhythmia in AS patients with healthy controls or reported odd ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR), were selected. Eventually, articles which were not selected by either of the reviewers were assessed once more by a third reviewer (NM).

2.4. Quality Assessment

The quality of the studies was evaluated by the Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist for cross-sectional and cohort studies. This checklist consists of 8 items based on which, two reviewers individually evaluated each article and double-checked in case of noncompliance. Articles with a score $\geq 5$ were contemplated as “high-quality” ones and were eligible to be included in our systematic review.

2.5. Data Extraction

The data extraction form was filled for selected studies and used to abstract general information about the paper (i.e. first author’s name, year of publication, sample size, event number and total sample size in each group, place of study, study characteristics, study population, type of conduction disorders and arrhythmia, method of assessment of conduction disorders and AS disease, design features that affected the study quality and the validity of the results and outcome measures).

2.6. Statistical Analysis

To assess possible associations, summary data from individual studies was pooled using a fixed- or random-effect model. All proportional data were summarized as OR or RR along with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The inconsistency index ($I^2$) was used to measure heterogeneity, with an $I^2 > 50\%$ indicating substantial heterogeneity. Subgroup analysis was done based on study design (cohort versus cross-sectional). Using Egger’s test, publication bias was assessed. All analyses were performed using Stata version 11 and a $p$-value $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

The inclusion and exclusion of articles are detailed in (Fig. 1). A total of seven studies compared the frequency of arrhythmia/conduction disorders between AS patients and a control group (Table 1). Three of these studies were cohort and four had a cross-sectional design.

Random-effects meta-analysis showed an increased risk of any arrhythmia in AS patients compared with controls (OR=3.36, 95% CI: 0.93-12.15) but the difference did not reach a significant level ($p=0.08$). Subgroup analysis based on the study design (cohort versus cross-sectional), indicated a significant increase in cohort studies but not in cross-sectional ones (Fig. 2). No publication bias was found ($p=0.16$).

Using random-effect model, the risk of atrial fibrillation increased in AS patients compared with the controls (RR: 1.85, 95% CI:1.15-2.98; Fig. 3). Egger’s test found no publication bias ($p=0.2$).

Based on fixed-effect model meta-analysis, no association was observed between the risk of conductive disorders and AS (OR: 0.64, 95% CI: 0.38-1.06; Fig. 4). No publication bias was found by Egger’s test ($p=0.6$).

Based on our assessment using random-effect model meta-analysis, risk of AV block increased in AS patients compared with control group (OR: 3.46, 95% CI: 1.09-10.93). Subgroup analysis done according to study design revealed a greater association in cohort studies compared with cross-sectional studies (Fig. 5). Egger’s test did not indicate any publication bias ($p=0.9$).
Fig. (1). Flow diagram of study selection process. (A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).

Table 1. Characteristics of the studies included in the analyses.

| Study Design | Country | Sample Size | How Diagnosis of AS was made | How Arrhythmia / Conduction Disorders were Evaluated |
|--------------|---------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Cohort       | Taiwan  | 48009       | New York criteria for AS     | ECG                                                |
| Cohort       | Korea   | 84774       | ICD-10-CM                    | NA                                                 |
| Cross sectional | Iran   | 90          | New York criteria for AS     | physical examination, ECG, echocardiography.       |
| Cross sectional | Turkey | 119         | New York criteria for AS     | clinical examination, ECG, 24-hour Holter monitoring. |
| Cross sectional | Switzerland | 100    | Clinical examination, some cases X-rays | Resting ECG                                        |
| Cross sectional | Canada  | 196         | Kellgren criteria            | Conventional ECGs                                  |
| Cohort       | Sweden  | 294136      | Clinical examination         | Diagnosis from either inpatient or outpatient care. |

Abbreviation: ECG: Electrocardiogram.
Fig. (2). Forest plot showing the association between ankylosing spondylitis and risk of any arrhythmia. (A higher resolution/colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).

Fig. (3). Forest plot showing the association between ankylosing spondylitis and risk of atrial fibrillation. (A higher resolution/colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).

Fig. (4). Forest plot showing the association between ankylosing spondylitis and risk of conductive disorders. (A higher resolution/colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).

Fig. (5). Forest plot showing the association between ankylosing spondylitis and risk of AV block. (A higher resolution/colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).
4. DISCUSSION

The present systematic review and meta-analysis showed an increased risk of AF and AV block in AS patients. This is the first study that systematically reviewed and investigated possible associations between AS and the development of any type of arrhythmia, AF, AV block or conduction disorders.

We found that in AS patients, there is a 1.8-time higher risk of AF compared with the individuals without AS. Ankylosing spondylitis (AS), as a chronic inflammatory rheumatic disease, is associated with a number of cardiovascular conditions [7]. Inflammation is a major contributor to arrhythmia occurrence [8]. Several inflammatory factors, such as interleukin (IL)-2, IL-6, IL-8, C-reactive protein (CRP) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), have been reported to be associated with the pathogenesis of AF [9]. In a prospective cohort study conducted in Korea, it was found that AS patients receiving TNF inhibitor (TNFi) had a higher risk of AF development compared with those not receiving TNFi [10]. This finding could be related to a higher severity in these patients for whom non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs could not control the disease symptoms [11]. These results support the association between inflammation and AF.

Our results showed that AS patients had a higher (up to 3.5 times) risk of AV block occurrence. AS belongs to a cluster of rheumatological diseases with a spectrum of similar clinical features and a varying degree of association with HLA-B27 (human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I molecule B27) [12]. HLA-B27 was reported to be linked to conduction disturbances and aortic regurgitation, even in the absence of rheumatic diseases [13]. In over 90% of AS patients, a positive HLA-B27 was reported [13]. It was shown that obliteratorative (occlusive) endarteritis of small vessels supplying the aortic root and the AV node, is a marked histological characteristic described near troubled joints [14]. The inflammatory process involves the development of fibrosis, which might contribute to cardiac involvement [15].

Our results did not suggest an association between AS and any conduction disorders or arrhythmia. Other studies reported a higher incidence of aortic valve disease and AV conduction disturbance in AS patients with severe spondylitis, prolonged duration, prominent systemic manifestations and peripheral arthritis [16]. A study found higher rates of acute uveitis, peripheral arthritis, and bamboo spine in AS patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia (PSVT) or Wolff-Parkinson-White (WPW) syndrome. Similar to uveitis, these findings support the notion that PSVT or WPW syndrome development is associated with higher disease activity and severity in AS [17].

In AS, the destructive pathological process associated with excessive fibrosis affects not only the heart and large vessels but also the epicardium, conduction system, and myocardium. Conduction disturbances usually precede other cardiac symptoms, especially aortal insufficiency [18]. Involvement of the myocardium is a recognized feature of the pathological process; however, reports focusing on arrhythmias in AS are scarce [19]. The present study has the following limitations. Differences in the type of arrhythmia and conduction disorders reported by the retrieved studies might have led to failure in finding an association between AS and conduction disorders and any type of arrhythmia in our meta-analysis. Also, as few studies had focused on this subject, limited data were available for meta-analysis.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present meta-analysis suggests a significant association between AS and AF and AV block. Our findings highlight that patients with AS should be routinely evaluated for conduction disorders of the heart, such as AF and AV block. As specific characteristics of AS that predispose to cardiac complications remain unclear, it is highly recommended to address the gap in future studies.
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