Global metabolomics profiling of colorectal cancer in Malaysian patients

Nurul Azmir Amir Hashim1,2, Sharaniza Ab-Rahim1, Wan Zurinah Wan Ngah1, Sheila Nathan4, Nurul Syakima Ab Mutalib1, Ismail Sagap3, A. Rahman A. Jamal5, Musalmah Mazlan1*

1Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Cawangan Selangor, Kampus Sungai Buloh, 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia
2Institute of Medical and Molecular Biotechnology, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Cawangan Selangor, Kampus Sungai Buloh, 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia
3Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Medical Centre, Jalan Yaacob Latif, Bandar Tun Razak, 56000 Batu 9 Cheras, Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
4Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia
5UKM Medical Molecular Biology Institute (UMBI), UKM Medical Centre, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Jalan Yaacob Latif, Bandar Tun Razak, 56000 Cheras, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
6Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Jalan Yaacob Latif, Bandar Tun Razak, 56000 Cheras, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Introduction

The prevalence of colorectal cancer (CRC) has increased globally and is currently the second most common cancer in the world (GLOBOCAN, 2018) accounting for 9.2% of all cancer deaths. The gold standard for CRC detection is colonoscopy and sigmoidoscopy, which are invasive and expensive techniques. However, Rutter et al noticed that some patients developed CRC despite earlier negative colonoscopy findings. Therefore, accurate and less invasive biomarkers are needed for CRC detection.

Methods: Serum from 50 healthy controls and 50 CRC patients were collected at UKM Medical Centre. The samples were deproteinized with acetonitrile and untargeted metabolomics profile determined using liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOFMS, Agilent USA). The data were analysed using Mass Profiler Professional (Agilent, USA) software. The panel of biomarkers determined were then used to identify CRC from a new set of 20 matched samples.

Results: Eleven differential metabolites were identified whose levels were significantly different between CRC patients compared to normal controls. Based on the analysis of the area under the curve, 7 of these metabolites showed high sensitivity and specificity as biomarkers. The use of the 11 metabolites on a new set of samples was able to differentiate CRC from normal samples with 80% accuracy. These metabolites were hypoxanthine, acetylcarnitine, xanthine, uric acid, tyrosine, methionine, lysoPC, lysoPE, citric acid, 5-oxoproline, and pipercolic acid. The data also showed that the most perturbed pathways in CRC were purine, catecholamine, and amino acid metabolisms.

Conclusion: Serum metabolomics profiling can be used to identify distinguishing biomarkers for CRC as well as to further our knowledge of its pathophysiological mechanisms.

*Corresponding author: Musalmah Mazlan, Email: mazlanmusalmah@gmail.com
Metabolomics is the study of small molecules known as metabolites. Metabolites are affected by genetic and biological variations. In addition, environment and diet also influence the metabolomics profile. Thus metabolomics best represents the molecular phenotype. Many researchers have turned to metabolomics in the search for new non-invasive biomarkers that can differentiate CRC from normal healthy persons. A number of studies have assessed the potential of serum metabolomics to serve as biomarkers for CRC. However, a comprehensive review of the 9 serum global metabolomics of CRC studies revealed that there were differences in the identified differential metabolites in CRC versus healthy individuals reported. The differences among the different studies may be due to the large heterogeneity in study populations and differences in sample collection, CRC stage, analytical platforms as well as statistical methods used. This is not surprising as metabolomics profiles are not only dependent on biological factors that determine the susceptibility of an individual but are influenced by dietary and environmental factors as well. Therefore, in this study, we performed global metabolomics on Malaysian CRC patients to determine biomarkers and the altered metabolic pathways. The data will provide interesting comparisons to data from other populations as Malaysia is geographically and culturally at a crossroad between Indian sub-continent and the Far-East. Its culture embeds not only Southeast Asian values but is also influenced by Indian and Chinese cultures. Furthermore, the data on Malays and Malaysian Chinese will add to the growing knowledge on the influence of race and culture on metabolomics profiles.

Materials and Methods

Solvents and reagents
Analytical grade acetonitrile (ACN), (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK), formic acid and ammonium phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, respectively) and deionized water (Milli-Q) (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) were used for LCMS/QTOF analysis.

Sample collection
The Research Ethics Committees of Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) and Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) approved this study. All participants gave their written informed consent for the study. A total of 140 serum samples of CRC patients and healthy subjects were included in this study. One hundred samples (50 matched CRC with normal healthy subjects) were used to determine the differential metabolites and potential biomarkers and as well as the affected metabolic pathways in CRC (Table 1). The panel of biomarkers was then verified using a new set of samples comprising of 20 matched CRC and control samples (Table 2).

Blood samples were collected from the UKM Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, after consent. Blood was taken in the morning after an overnight fast and immediately centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C to separate the serum. The serum was aliquoted and stored at -80°C.

### Table 1. Demographic CRC patients and normal controls

|          | CRC  | Normal |
|----------|------|--------|
| Ethnicity| Malay| 35     |
|          | Chinese| 15     |
| Gender   | Male | 29     |
|          | Female| 21     |
| Stage (Duke) | A | 5     |
|          | B    | 18     |
|          | C    | 25     |
|          | D    | 2      |
| Age (y)  | Mean ± SD | 64±9 |
| Diagnosis*| Adenocarcinoma with mucinous component | 4 |
|          | Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma | 17 |
|          | Well-differentiated adenocarcinoma | 27 |
|          | Minimal residual adenocarcinoma | 1 |
|          | Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma | 1 |

* Histopathology.

### Table 2. Demographic data of validation sample sets of CRC patients and normal controls

|          | CRC  | Normal |
|----------|------|--------|
| Ethnicity| Malay| 11     |
|          | Chinese| 9      |
| Gender   | Male | 12     |
|          | Female| 8      |
| Stage (Duke) | A | 3     |
|          | B    | 6      |
|          | C    | 6      |
|          | D    | 5      |
| Age (y)  | Mean ± SD | 62±7 |

Sample preparations
Serum samples were deproteinized with acetonitrile (ACN) before injection into the LCMS/QTOF. Briefly, 40 µL serum was mixed with 40 µL cold distilled water, pH 10, and 80 µL cold ACN. After centrifugation at 4500 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C, the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. 80 µL cold ACN was then added to the supernatant, mixed, and centrifuged again at 4500 rpm.
for 10 minutes at 4°C. The resultant supernatant was transferred to a new tube and dried in a concentrator for 1 hour 30 minutes. The dried samples were kept at -80°C until further analysis.

Quality control (QC) samples were prepared from pooled serum samples, processed similarly as the test samples, and were injected into the LCMS at the beginning of every 4 test samples. The inclusion of QC in the analysis served to provide an indicator of the stability and performance of the instrument as well as the reproducibility of the results obtained.6

**Global metabolomics analysis**

Samples were analyzed using Agilent 6520 Q-TOF mass spectrometer with an electrospray ionization source (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). For the chromatographic separation, Column Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 (1.8 µm particle size, 2.1 × 100 mm column dimension) was used. The column was kept at 40°C during the run. A C18 column is a reverse-phase column, which contained carbon chains bonded to the silica particles inside the column. Hydrophobic and non-polar molecules will interact with the column as it passes through. The least hydrophobic component would elute first followed by the more hydrophobic molecule. The analysis was done both in the positive and negative mode using the electrospray ionization technique. For chromatographic separation in the positive mode, 0.1% formic acid in water and 0.1% formic acid in ACN were used to facilitate protonation of analytes, while for negative mode chromatographic separation, 0.1% ammonium formate was used instead of formic acid to facilitate deprotonation of analytes. Two microliters of the sample was injected into the LCMS which was run at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. A linear gradient was developed using 5% to 95% formic acid in ACN for 36 minutes. The total run time for each analysis was 48 minutes. The settings for the instrument were as follows: electrospray ionization source: V Cap 4000 V, skimmer 65 V and fragment 125 V; nebulizer: 45 PSIG [pounds per square in gauge] with nitrogen drying gas at a flow rate of 12 L/min; drying gas temperature: 350°C; a range of mass: m/z 50–1000 with at a rate of 2.5 spectra/s. The instrument was auto-calibrated before analysis of each batch of samples and reference mass correction was enabled throughout the analysis. The accuracy of the mass spectrometer to detect compounds was set at ±2 ppm. Reference standards (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) of mass 121.050873 and 922.009798 were used to correct for low and high masses respectively.

**Data treatment**

Agilent Mass Hunter Qualitative Analysis software (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) was used to process the data collected by Agilent Mass Hunter Workstation Data Acquisition software. Mass Hunter workstation software made use of the molecular feature extractor algorithm for data mining which was set at the mass of 15 ppm and retention time alignment of 0.2 minutes. The absolute height parameter of abundance was set at 200 to remove noise. Data was then processed using ‘Find by Formula’ algorithm parameters with charge states limited to 1 and absolute height >3000 counts. For positive ionization mode, the adducts used were H+ and Na+, while H+ was used for negative ionization mode. The data was then converted from (.d) files to (.cef) file using DA Reprocessor (Agilent Technologies, CA) software and transferred into Mass Profiler Professional (MPP) (Agilent Technologies, CA) software for analysis. The data were subjected to normalization, filtration, and recursion analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

To make sure the data were comparable, all the data were normalized at 75% percentile to remove redundant data. The first filter was frequency analysis, which was set to 50% to ensure 50% of compounds present in at least one studied group. Filtering by t test independent was done next to select compounds that were significantly different between CRC and normal samples. Next, the P value cut-off of 0.05 was used in Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate multiple testing. Fold change cut-off was set to 2 for listed compounds to identify differential metabolites between CRC and normal.2,9 To confirm the presence of each entity in the samples, data was re-examined by recursion analysis. In the recursion analysis, extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) were re-extracted. Peaks from these EICs were inspected to remove false positives and false negatives. The identified entities from recursion analysis were subjected again for normalization, t test, filter, and fold change analyses. All the differential analysis and statistical analysis were done using MPP software.

**Identification of metabolites**

Entities obtained earlier were identified using an ID browser from Mass Profiler Professional software. This software used a database from Metlin. A 15 ppm mass error cut-off was used with a neutral mass range up to 2000 Da. Metabolites were identified with the top 10 best matched depending on the score. The limitation of the charge state was set to a maximum of two. The data was validated using pooled samples to determine accurate mass and retention time for collision-induced dissociation (CID) fragmentations. Thus, global metabolomics was performed on pooled serum samples and the data analyzed similarly as for the test samples. Fragments were then compared with database METLIN to verify the structure. The validation followed the criteria of the Metabolomics Standards Initiative level 1.10

**Evaluation of a panel of metabolites identified**

A new set of serum samples from 20 CRC patients and
20 matched healthy individuals were used to validate the panel of biomarkers identified. The untargeted metabolomics profile was performed as described above. The data was used to predict CRC in this set of samples using MetaObanalyst 4.0 for biomarker analysis.

**Pathways analysis**
MetaObanalyst 4.0 was used to analyze the altered pathways in CRC patients. Data from the complete analysis were converted to CSV files to be analyzed by MetaObanalyst 4.0 (https://www.metaobanalyst.ca).

**Results**

**Demographic of patients**
Serum samples were obtained from CRC patients and normal controls participating in cancer screening at the Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (HUKM). CRC serum samples (n = 50) were obtained after the diagnosis was confirmed by histopathology. The recruited newly diagnosed patients had a mean age of 64 ± 9 years at the time of sample collection. There were 30 males (60%) and 20 females (40%). The patients comprised of 35 Malays and 15 Chinese. The CRC anatomical site, tissue histology, cancer staging (using the Dukes classification) are presented in Table 1. Histologically, most of the tumours were well-differentiated (54%, n = 27) and moderately differentiated (34%, n = 17). The remaining consist of mucinous component (8%, n = 4), poorly differentiated (2, n = 1) and minimal residual (2, n = 1) tumours. Blood samples from 50 normal healthy individuals with a mean age of 63 ± 6 years with gender and ethnicity matched served as controls.

A new sample set for evaluation was also obtained from HUKM. The recruited newly diagnosed 20 CRC patients had a mean age of 62 ± 7 years at the time of sample collection. There were 12 males (60%) and 8 females (40%). The patients comprised of 11 Malays and 9 Chinese. Blood samples from 20 normal healthy individuals with a mean age of 61 ± 6 years with gender and ethnicity matched served as controls.

The data from the untargeted metabolomics profiling showed that, for positive mode, 107594 peaks were detected initially by LCMS-QTOF. A total of 228 metabolites were detected after statistical analysis with a filter frequency of 50%, univariate significant analysis at a P value ≤ 0.05, and fold change cut-off ≥2.0 between healthy volunteers and CRC patients. Only 191 metabolites were identified in the Metlin database. Metlin uses a database from KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes and Human Metabolome Database to identify metabolites. After the recursion analysis, only 131 metabolites were left. Statistical analysis before recursion was repeated to reduce false positives. Nine endogenous metabolites remained after aligned in recursion analysis. In negative mode, only two metabolites remained. A total of 11 metabolites were detected that were expressed differently between CRC patients and normal controls (Supplementary file 1, Table S1). The flow of analysis of the metabolites was summarised in Fig. 1. The metabolites identified to be differently expressed between the healthy volunteers and CRC patients were confirmed by tandem MS and then aligned with the KEGG and Human Metabolome Databases.

![Flowchart](image.png)

**Fig. 1. The flow of statistical analysis.**
and CRC patients include acetylcarnitine, hypoxanthine, xanthine, uric acid (UA), methionine, tyrosine, citric acid, 5-oxoproline, piperocolic acid, lysoPC, and lysoPE (Table 3).

**Verification of differential metabolites**

LCMSMS was used in the verification of the structures of the differential metabolites identified earlier (Supplementary file 1, Fig. S2). By comparing the fragmentation patterns of the selected metabolites in the pooled samples, we confirmed the identities of acetylcarnitine, hypoxanthine, xanthine, UA, methionine, tyrosine, citric acid, 5-oxoproline, piperocolic acid, lysoPC, and lysoPE. The fragmented ion of each metabolite was matched with those in the METLIN database.

**Class prediction model**

Eleven statistically significant differential metabolites identified earlier were used to build a model using Metaboanalyst 4.0. Classification of samples into discrete classes was done using partial least square discrimination algorithm (PLS-DA) analysis (Fig. 2). PLS-DA from Fig. 2 is the combination of PLS-DA of positive and negative mode (Supplementary file 1, Fig. S1).

The area under the curve, sensitivity, and specificity indices were measured based on the constructed model. Sensitivity was determined by calculating the ratio of true positives (correctly predicted cancer samples) to the total number of CRC samples, whereas specificity was calculated from the ratio of true negatives (correctly predicted control samples) to the total number of control samples.

As shown in Fig. 3, tyrosine, hypoxanthine, acetylcarnitine, and methionine were classified as excellent performers. This represents the excellent positive ability of these metabolites to separate CRC patients and healthy individuals with 70%-90% sensitivity and specificity. Predictive accuracies increased with an increase in the number of metabolites/biomarkers with the highest level (87.4%) when 11-panel biomarkers were used (Fig. 4).

**Verification of panel biomarkers**

Global metabolomics was performed on 20 new matched samples as described above. PLS-DA was constructed using the 11 differential metabolites identified earlier and the result showed that there was good separation between CRC and normal controls using new sample sets (Fig. 5). Algorithm random forest analysis was used to determine the accuracy of the 11 identified differential metabolites in identifying CRC samples. The prediction of new samples showed 16 out of 20 CRC patients were correctly predicted while 16 out of 20 normal patients were correctly predicted (Table S2). The prediction has 80% accuracy.

**Identification of metabolic pathways**

The identified differential metabolites were analyzed further using metabolite set enrichment analysis to determine altered pathways in CRC. The analysis was done using metabolomics data obtained from the analysis of the

| Metabolite            | Class         | Pathway            | Regulation | Corrected P value | LogFC |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|-------|
| Methionine            | Amino acid    | Methionine         | ↑          | 9.03×10^{-11}     | 10.94 |
| Acetylcarnitine       | Fatty acid ester | Beta-oxidation    | ↑          | 3.18×10^{-11}     | 10.74 |
| Uracil                | Organic acid  | Purine             | ↑          | 4.31×10^{-9}      | 10.17 |
| Pipecolic acid        | Organic acid  | Lysine degradation | ↑          | 2.07×10^{-2}      | 3.81  |
| Tyrosine              | Amino acid    | Tyrosine           | ↑          | 4.99×10^{-12}     | 13.05 |
| 5-Oxoproline          | Amino acid    | Glutathione degradation | ↑   | 3.38×10^{-6}     | 9.11  |
| Hypoxanthine          | Nucleobases   | Purine             | ↑          | 3.19×10^{-7}      | 11.91 |
| Xanthine              | Nucleobases   | Purine             | ↑          | 7.48×10^{-5}      | 9.54  |
| Citric acid           | Organic acid  | Krebs cycle        | ↑          | 9.15×10^{-3}      | 4.60  |
| LysoPC (16:1)         | Fatty acid    | Phospholipid       | ↓          | 2.14×10^{-3}      | -3.99 |
| LysoPE (22:6)         | Fatty acid    | Phospholipid       | ↓          | 3.64×10^{-3}      | -3.36 |

↑ upregulated; ↓ down-regulated.
Fig. 3. The ROC, Box-plot sensitivity and specificity of tyrosine, hypoxanthine, acetylcarnitine, methionine, xanthine, uric acid, lysoPC, lysoPE, 5-oxoproline, and citric acid.
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50 CRC and 50 normal samples earlier. Fig. 6 shows that eight pathways were altered in CRC patients compared to normal controls with fold enrichment on more than 2. The altered pathways were mainly in purine metabolism, catecholamine biosynthesis, phenylalanine metabolism, tyrosine metabolism, thyroid hormone synthesis, beta-oxidation, and glutathione (GSH) metabolism. Purine metabolism recorded the highest p-value where this pathway is the most affected in CRC patients.

Discussion

Metabolomics is a tool that has been used in biomarker discovery for cancers including CRCs. Metabolites are the final products of cellular activity where it is not only affected by biological factors but are also sensitive to the environment. Metabolomics studies have also revealed altered metabolic pathways in cancer and provide better insights in understanding cancer metabolic reprogramming. The present study identified 11 metabolites that were expressed significantly different between CRC patients and normal controls. Hypoxanthine, UA, acetylcarnitine, xanthine, methionine, tyrosine, pipecolic acid, 5-oxoproline, citric acid, lysoPC, and lysoPE were the differential metabolites.

Three metabolites out of the 11 differential metabolites identified in this study, hypoxanthine, xanthine, and UA belong to purine metabolism and may account for the observation that purine metabolism as being the most perturbed pathway in CRC. This pathway is not only observed to be affected in CRC but also in other cancers. Purine metabolism produces components of nucleotides, adenine, and guanine, that are essential for cell proliferation. Purine also participates in other biomolecule processes to provide energy and cofactors such as nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide and coenzyme A for cells to proliferate. Hence, the increased hypoxanthine
Elevated GSH levels have been observed in bladder cancer, increased energy metabolism. Increased hypoxanthine levels have also been reported in cancers. Increased hypoxanthine levels had also been reported by Cross et al. and Long et al. Similarly, increased xanthine and UAs were also reported by Cross et al. However, the present result contradicted that of Long et al who reported that the levels of hypoxanthine and xanthine in CRC patients were decreased compared to controls. It is interesting to note that metabolomics studies on other cancers have also reported elevated levels of hypoxanthine in cholangioma, hepatocellular carcinoma, lungs, and prostate cancer. Furthermore, its levels in CRC tissues have been reported to be elevated. Hypoxanthine is catalyzed by xanthine oxidase to xanthine which produces reactive oxygen species (ROS). Increased intracellular ROS can induce cancer cell death and apoptosis. In order to balance ROS in the cells, some hypoxanthine is transported out from the cells by equilibrative nucleoside transporters. This might explain the increased serum hypoxanthine level observed in our CRC patients. Cancer cells can also prevent apoptosis by activating c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNKs) which in turn, catalyze the phosphorylation and downregulation of anti-apoptotic proteins, Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL. An average level of ROS in cancer cells, therefore, has been suggested to promote cell proliferation and angiogenesis.

Increased UA levels had also been observed in advanced stages of rectal cancer and head and neck carcinoma. Uric acid is the end product of purine catabolism. Deamination of adenosine by adenosine deaminase produces inosine, which can be converted to hypoxanthine by purine nucleoside phosphorylase. Hypoxanthine is then converted to xanthine by xanthine oxidase. Xanthine oxidase also catalyzes the conversion of xanthine to UA. Hence, UA levels tend to reflect the levels of hypoxanthine and xanthine. Increased UA levels may have protective roles in tumor defense by acting as antioxidants to protect cells against free-radical oxidative damage, and secondly, by stimulating the immune system. The latter researchers observed that dying cells released UA and antigens which stimulated the maturation of dendritic cells which in turn, stimulated the immune system. CD8+ T-Lymphocytes inhibit tumor cell proliferation and migration as well as inducing cytotoxic cell death. Elevated level of UA was reported to be associated with increased survival time of CRC patients.

5-oxoproline or pyroglutamate is an intermediate in GSH metabolism. 5-oxoproline is produced from the γ-glutamyl moiety of peptides by γ-glutamylcyclotransferase. In this study, the level of 5-oxoproline was observed to be significantly higher in CRC than normal controls. Increased GSH amino acids including 5-oxoproline, have been reported in CRC tissues. Elevated 5-oxoproline in the serum of CRC patients had also been reported. Elevated 5-oxoproline has also been reported in nasopharyngeal carcinoma, bladder cancer, and hepatocellular cancer.

GSH, a major endogenous antioxidant, plays a significant role in cellular detoxification and immune response. Elevated GSH levels have been observed in numerous types of human cancer tissues and increased glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic activity (GCLC) have also been reported in lung, breast, liver, and other types of cancer. GCLC and GSH synthetase are enzymes in GSH metabolism and their levels were reported to be elevated in CRC tissues. Hence increased GSH metabolism may indicate increased oxidative status in cancer cells due to increased energy metabolism.

The present study showed elevated methionine levels in CRC serum which is in contrast to the findings by Cross et al and Long et al. Methionine is synthesized from homocysteine and 5-methyltetrahydrofolate and vitamin B12 and its synthesis in normal cells are adequate to meet the demand for cell growth. However, exogenous methionine is needed by cancer cells for survival. Methionine salvage enzyme is associated with the proliferation of CRC cell lines. Cancer cells depend on methionine for polyamine synthesis. Polyamine level is associated with colon cancer as polyamine is crucial for

| Metabolites | Present study | Qiu | Nishiumi | Tan | Cross | Zamani | Uchiyama | Long | Nishiumi |
|------------|--------------|-----|----------|-----|-------|--------|----------|------|---------|
| Hypoxanthine | ✓            | ✓   | ✓        | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| Xanthine   |              | ✓   |          | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| Methionine | ✓            | ✓   | ✓        | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| Tyrosine   | ✓            | ✓   | ✓        | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| Uric acid  |              | ✓   |          | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| Acetylcarnitine | ✓             | ✓   |          | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| LysPE      |              | ✓   |          | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| LysPC      |              | ✓   |          | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| Citric acid |              | ✓   |          | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| Pipecolic acid |              | ✓   |          | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
| 5-oxoproline |              | ✓   |          | ✓   | ✓     | ✓      | ✓        | ✓    | ✓       |
Methionine also acts as a precursor of GSH from the catabolism of homocysteine. As stated earlier, GSH protects cells from oxidative stress by reducing reactive oxygen species. Furthermore, methionine is also involved in the regulation of gene expression by acting as a methyl group donor for histone methylation.

Tyrosine is a non-essential amino acid and is synthesized via hydroxylation of phenylalanine. It is a precursor in metabolic pathways of thyroid hormones, catecholamines, and melanin. L-DOPA, pyruvate, fumarate, and phenol are products of hydroxylation of tyrosine. Tyrosine is converted to phenol by tyrosine phenol-lyase (β-tyrosinase). In the colon, phenols are a major product from tyrosine metabolism. Tyrosine is also converted to fumarate through multiple enzymatic reactions. Thus, increased tyrosine levels have been suggested to increase the formation of fumarate for TCA cycle. Tyrosine may also be involved in mucin production, serving as its building blocks. Mucins are glycoproteins that are involved in the protection of the epithelial surface, signaling transduction, and cell differentiation, and proliferation. Several mucin antigens, such as MUC1 and MUC13, are overproduced in CRC. The increased tyrosine level observed in this present study may be due to the high demand for mucin synthesis and synthesis of fumarate for TCA cycle.

Altered tyrosine levels may also indicate dysregulation of tyrosine metabolism that includes thyroid hormone synthesis and catecholamine synthesis. Thyroid hormones are involved in colorectal cell differentiation, proliferation, tumorigenesis, and apoptosis. The thyroid hormone, triiodothyronine, at physiological concentrations triggers the activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase pathway by phosphorylation to promote cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis.

Catecholamines are known as neurotransmitters and include epinephrine, norepinephrine, and dopamine. Catecholamines have been reported to affect tumor cell behavior and gene expression and hence tumor cell progression.

Lysophosphatidylcholine (LysoPC) is the product of the degradation of phosphatidylcholine (PC). The present findings showed decreased LysoPC level indicating tumor cells consumed LysoPC more rapidly compared to normal cells. The observation was in agreement with the findings by Zhao et al. Other cancers such as leukemia were also reported to have decreased LysoPC level. The decrease probably reflects its increased degradation by cells. Cleavage of LysoPC results in excess extracellular free fatty acids (FFA). Subsequently, these FFA can be consumed by tumor cells for energy via fatty acid oxidation. LysoPC is also needed for incorporation into the cell membrane to maintain its stability and hence various biological processes as well as membrane fluidity. The level of lysoPC may affect processes such as adhesion, receptor activity, and cell motility associated with cancer and metastasis. Decreased lysoPC level in this study might be due to the conversion of lysoPC to free fatty acid to satisfy CRC cell demands for energy production.

The data from this study also showed that the most affected metabolic pathways observed were purine followed by catecholamine and amino acid metabolisms. The differential metabolites and their regulations as well as the affected metabolic pathways observed in our patients mirror the findings of some studies while differing from others. This highlights the unique profile of our patients. The variation of metabolites in different studies may not only be due to different genetic make-up but lifestyle and diet may play a major role also. Differences may also be due to samples taken from different CRC stages, the use of different analytical platforms, processing software, and extraction solvents as reviewed by Hashim et al.

Conclusion
Metabolic profiling on serum samples from CRC patients and normal controls has identified 11 differential metabolites as potential biomarkers. These 11 metabolites were hypoxanthine, xanthine, acetylcarnitine, UA, methionine, tyrosine, lysophosphatidylcholine, lysophosphatidylethanolamine, 5-oxoproline, pipecolic acid, and citric acid. The panel of 11 differential metabolites was able to discriminate between CRC and normal samples with an accuracy of 80%. Enrichment analysis revealed that purine metabolism was the most affected pathway in CRC in our patients.
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