A comprehensive review on COVID-19 vaccines: development, effectiveness, adverse effects, distribution and challenges
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Abstract The present SARS-CoV-2 induced COVID-19 pandemic is responsible for millions of deaths, illnesses, and economic loss worldwide. There are 21 COVID-19 vaccines from different platforms approved worldwide for emergency use until 13 August 2021. Later, BNT162b2 obtained full approval from the FDA. The efficacy of the leading vaccines such as BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, Gam-Covid-Vac, Ad26.COV2.S, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and BBIBP-CorV, against SARS-CoV-2 documented as 95%, 94.1%, 91.6%, 67%, 70.4%, and 78.1%, respectively. Moreover, against the Delta variant of SARS-CoV-2, BNT162b2, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and BBV152 showed 88%, 70%, and 65.2% efficacy, respectively. Apart from the common adverse effects such as fever, fatigue, headache, and pain in the injection site, Bell’s palsy with BNT162b2, myocarditis and pericarditis with mRNA-1273, and thrombosis with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 have been reported though seemed not alarming. Furthermore, global production and distribution of vaccines should be ensured in an equal and justifiable way that the immunity and protection against the virus would be optimum and persistent.
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Introduction

Coronaviruses (CoVs) have enveloped positive-sense and single-stranded RNA as genetic material with a highly diverse nature. It causes enteric, hepatic, and neurological diseases in humans and animals [59, 101]. The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a novel betacoronavirus is responsible for the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which was first found in Wuhan of China on December 2019 in a group of people connected to a seafood market [101]. On 11 March 2020, World Health Organization (WHO) categorized COVID-19 as a pandemic as it had already spread almost all over the world [68]. According to an estimation, until 25 August 2021, around 214,126,106 cases and 4,468,112 deaths had been reported globally where the virus was found and played a devastating role incessantly [144].

Moreover, SARS-CoV found in 2002–2003, and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in 2012 are two other coronaviruses that cause epidemics, including severe respiratory illness along with deaths in humans since 2000 [68]. Although some attempts have been made, there is no commercially available vaccine for SARS and MERS. Several reasons were responsible for this no commercial production of SARS and MERS vaccines, such as lack of suitable animal models during pre-clinical experimentation and deficit in investment because it was limited in a small geographical area compared to other infectious diseases such as influenza, tuberculosis, and HIV [94]. The development of the COVID-19 vaccines in this dire and demanding situation is quite different from the traditional vaccine development approaches [51]. The publication of the first genome sequence of SARS-CoV-2 on 11 January 2020 contributed significantly to developing a vaccine against the disease with unprecedented speed and magnitude [69]. The vaccine development process typically takes several years, but it has been accelerated through concurrent preclinical and early phase 1 studies, strategic risk measures, and adaptive trial designs [53]. Starting clinical trials of different COVID-19 vaccines within six months and conditional approval in 10 months from the beginning is a record-breaking event in the vaccine development history. Several factors, including the rapid genome sequencing of the virus, sufficient funding with cutting-edge technology, global cooperation among the researchers, and priorities market demand, make it possible within this short period [71]. Because of its highly diverse nature, SARS-CoV-2 has been gone through many mutations [19], which result in the emergence of different variants of the virus. Consequently, the vaccine development procedure becomes more challenging to confirm adequate efficacy against those variants as mutations in the spike protein might affect the effectiveness of a vaccine [118]. Although the mutation rate of SARS-CoV-2 is half of influenza and one-quarter of HIV, it results in the generation of many different variants, including Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B.1.351), Gamma (P.1), Delta (B.1.617.2), Kappa (B.1.617.1), and Lambda (C.37) [140].

Considering the global interest and necessity of a comprehensive understanding of COVID-19 vaccines, we gathered all relevant information on the development, effectiveness, adverse effects, worldwide coverage, and challenges in this review to offer a better understanding of the progress of the vaccines, which will eventually contribute to halting the COVID-19 pandemic.

Types of COVID-19 vaccines based on structure and mechanisms of action

There are 138 vaccine candidates (among them, 21 are approved worldwide for emergency use) in the pipeline for COVID-19 as of 13 August 2021, and their mode of action relies on the immune response to its integral parts (DNA, RNA, or protein) [34, 53]. The spike proteins (S protein) found on the surface of the SARS-CoV-2 is the central antigenic phenomenon by which the virus particle initiates its pathogenesis [55]. Until 13 August 2021, there are mainly five types of vaccine candidate developed and being used for vaccination, including the whole virus (live attenuated and inactivated), viral vector (replicating and non-replicating), protein subunit, nucleic acid (DNA and RNA), and virus-like particles (VLPs) vaccines (Table 1; Fig. 1) [34, 80, 90].

Whole virus vaccines

Whole virus vaccines are weakened or inactivated forms of the specific viruses that trigger the host’s protective immunity without causing disease. There are two types of whole virus vaccines such as live attenuated and inactivated vaccines. In the case of COVID-19, a weakened form of SARS-CoV-2 is used in live attenuated vaccines, which does not cause illness but replicates like the original SARS-CoV-2. Additionally, in inactivated vaccines, modified or inactivated (by heat, chemical, or radiation treatment) SARS-CoV-2 is used, which genetic materials are non-infectious and non-replicating but can trigger an immune response. Both live attenuated and inactivated vaccines contain the whole or part of the SARS-CoV-2, but they could begin different types of immune responses [55]. Live attenuated vaccines can stimulate both cellular and humoral responses. In contrast, inactivated vaccines can only stimulate humoral response to the SARS-CoV-2 [55].
There are eight COVID-19 vaccine candidates have been approved for emergency use in different countries and 12 candidates in the clinical evaluation stage, which are developed using inactivated vaccine platform until 13 August 2021 [34]. The approved vaccines are BBIBP-CorV (59 countries), Sinopharm-Wuhan (China), CoronaVac (39 countries), BBV152 (9 countries), CoviVac (Russia), QazCovid-in (Kazakhstan), KCONVAC (China), and COVIran Barekat (Iran). On the other hand, four live attenuated vaccine candidates are in clinical and preclinical trials worldwide [34, 80].

Viral vector vaccines

Viral vector vaccines use the host cells’ translation machinery to produce antigens rather than having antigens by themselves. In this regard, modified viruses (vector) are used to deliver antigen encoding genes; in the case of SARS-CoV-2, the gene encodes the surface spike proteins found on the virus is delivered into human cells by other modified viruses. There are two main types of them, such as replicating and non-replicating viral vector vaccines. After cell entry, replicating viral vector vaccines produce whole viral particles in the host cells and generate the vaccine antigen (SARS-CoV-2 spike protein). On the contrary, non-replicating viral vector vaccines do not produce whole virus particles in the host cells but could generate only the vaccine antigen. Currently available viral vector vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 are mainly non-replicating types [56]. As of 13 August 2021, six non-replicating viral vector vaccines such as Ad5-nCoV (8 countries), Gam-Covid-Vac (70 countries), Sputnik Light (12 countries), Ad26.COV2.S (59 countries), ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (121 countries), and Covishield (45 countries) are approved for emergency use around the world. Moreover, there are eight COVID-19 vaccines candidates are in the clinical, and 19 more are in the preclinical evaluation stage have also been developed using a non-replicating viral vector platform [81]. Conversely, only six COVID-19 replicating viral vector vaccine candidates are in the clinical, and 17 more are in the preclinical evaluation stage [81].

| Vaccine platform | Type of antigen | Type of immune response | Neutralizing antibody response | T cell response | CD4 + Th cell | CD8 + T cell | Immunogenicity and required dose (s) | References |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Inactivated SARS-CoV-2 | Inactivated SARS-CoV-2 | Antibody and/or cell mediate response | Strong response | Th1 cell or Th2 cell depending on adjuvant | Weak response | Weak; requires two or more doses | [52, 64] |
| Live attenuated SARS-CoV-2 | Weakened SARS-CoV-2 | Antibody and/or cell mediate response | Strong response | Th1 cell | Strong response | Strong; requires a single dose | [52, 64] |
| Protein Subunit SARS-CoV-2 spike protein | Antibody and/or cell mediate response | Strong response | Th1 cell | Weak response | Weak; requires two or more doses | [52, 64] |
| Virus-like particles (VLPs) | Antibody mediate response | Strong response | Th1 cell or Th2 cell depending on adjuvant | Weak response | Weak; two or more doses | [52, 64] |
| Viral vector | Nucleic acid of SARS-CoV-2 | Antibody and/or cell mediate response | Depends on pre-existing anti-vector immunity | Th1 cell | Strong/weak response depends on spike protein vaccines (replicating and non-replicating) | Strong, weak or moderate; requires two or more doses | [52, 64] |
| DNA | Nucleic acid of SARS-CoV-2 | Antibody and/or cell mediate response | Depends on pre-existing anti-vector immunity | Th1 cell | Moderate response | Weak; requires two or more doses | [52, 64] |
| RNA | Nucleic acid of SARS-CoV-2 | Antibody and/or cell mediate response | Depends on pre-existing anti-vector immunity | Th1 cell or Th2 cell depending on adjuvant | Strong/weak response depends on the choice of adjuvant and formulation | Strong, weak or moderate; requires two or more doses | [52, 64] |
Protein subunit vaccines

The protein subunit vaccines contain purified antigenic parts of the desired virus rather than a whole virus to trigger an immune response. There are mainly two types of them such as polysaccharide and conjugate vaccines. The polysaccharide vaccines contain polysaccharides from the viral cell wall, and the conjugate subunit vaccines tie on a polysaccharide chain with a carrier protein to boost the immune response [57]. Until 13 August 2021, there are four protein subunit vaccines such as ZF2001, EpivacCorona (Russia and Turkmenistan), Abdala/ CIGB-66...
These synthetic particles can consolidate more than one component, which have no genetic material and thus are non-infectious. The virus-like particles (VLPs) vaccines have protein multimers imitating the constituents of the original virus, using worldwide as authorized vaccines for COVID-19. TAK-919 (Japan) are mRNA based vaccines that have been approved as VLPs in the clinical, and 17 more are in the preclinical evaluation stage [82, 85].

**Nucleic acid vaccines**

Nucleic acid vaccines are manufactured utilizing the genetic material from a particular virus to provide immunity against the virus particles by encoding the viral antigen. The nucleic acid vaccine has two types such as DNA and RNA vaccines. In DNA-based vaccines, the DNA pieces encoding the viral antigen (specific antigen) are first incorporated into a bacterial plasmid and then injected into the host cell through electroporation, gene gun, or nanoparticle encapsulation to produce the desired viral antigen. In RNA vaccines, the viral antigen (specific antigen) encoding messenger RNA (mRNA) or self-amplifying RNA (saRNA) are used, which encode the viral antigen by using cellular machinery. The RNA (mRNA or saRNA) in an RNA vaccine can be injected by nanoparticles following encapsulation or delivered into cells using similar methods developed for DNA vaccines. Upon cell entry, the DNA or RNA begins encoding antigens in the cell cytoplasm, which are then shown on the cell surface, where they can be encountered by the immune cells and could trigger immune responses. These types of immune response generally are CD8 + T cell-mediated immunity and antibody-mediated immunity by producing B cells and helper T cells [55]. Both the DNA and RNA vaccines can produce B and T cell-mediated immunity, though they have different conveniences. DNA vaccines have a risk of integrating the DNA with host DNA, whereas RNA vaccines have no such type of risk. Moreover, RNA vaccines require more cold storage temperature than DNA vaccines [55]. There are ten COVID-19 vaccine candidates in the clinical, and 11 candidates are in the preclinical evaluation stage as of 13 August 2021, which are developed using the DNA platform [83]. On the other hand, three COVID-19 vaccine candidates have been employed as an emergency vaccine, and 34 more vaccine candidates in the clinical and preclinical evaluation stage developed using the RNA platform [84]. BNT162b2 (97 countries), mRNA-1273 (65 countries), and TAK-919 (Japan) are mRNA based vaccines that have been approved as VLPs in the clinical, and 17 more are in the preclinical evaluation stage [82, 85].

**Virus-like particles (VLPs) vaccines**

The virus-like particles (VLPs) vaccines have protein multimers imitating the constituents of the original virus, which have no genetic material and thus are non-infectious. These synthetic particles can consolidate more than one type of protein responsible for forming protein chimeras known as cVLPs [103, 107]. VLPs stimulate B and T cell-mediated immune responses by antigen-presenting cells. The particles also produce CD8 + T cell responses, which facilitate the destruction of the viruses. The immune system recognizes VLPs as original viruses; thus, they can help boost immune responses [60, 103]. The vaccines are mostly adjuvant-based because of the poor immunogenicity of the VLPs themselves [103, 153]. Until 13 August 2021, there are five COVID-19 vaccine candidates developed as VLPs in the clinical, and 17 more are in the preclinical evaluation stage [82, 85].

**A brief note on the principal approved vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 currently used worldwide**

A clear understanding of the immunological significances of the vaccines employed against newly emerged viruses is essentially required [39]. Recent studies also indicated that humoral and type 1 helper T cells (Th1) directed cellular immune response may have a crucial role in combating COVID-19 and elude vaccine-enhanced diseases [41, 70, 76, 91, 106, 116, 117].

T cells recognize viral antigens in the immunological process and respond to them by producing protective reactions and effector molecules. The cytokine interferon γ is a molecule secreted by CD4 + and CD8 + T cells and their memory cells, measured in the ELISpot assay [115, 116, 156]. Moreover, a study suggested that the T cell-mediated immune responses can be lasted for at least six months after the vaccination [156]. Several published reports [41, 114, 115] revealed strong evidence of T cell immune response sustainability, while the antibodies levels are declining, implying the persistence of the immunity [114]. The results from interim studies (phase 3 vaccine trials and previous phase 1 and 2 trials) claimed that the vaccines have good memory T cell responses, along with B cell antibody responses which will provide sound and possibly permanent immunity to SARS-CoV-2 [116]. The different COVID-19 vaccines generate various immunogenic responses and protective measures against the virus in the human body that are depicted in Fig. 2. Nevertheless, a paramount safety concern should have been considered on the antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) and the enhanced respiratory disease (ERD) while developing a COVID-19 vaccine [105, 116]. Insufficient concentration of neutralizing antibody produced by the vaccine can lead to the development of ADE disease in the host, where the antibodies are cross-reactive but their insufficient concentration can enhance secondary infections by other viruses [64, 105, 116].
Until 13 August 2021, at least 21 different types of vaccines have been approved for emergency use worldwide. These vaccines are BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, Ad26.COV2.S, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, Gam-COVID-Vac, BBIBP-CorV, BBV152, Ad5-nCoV, CoronaVac, ZF2001, Sinopharm-Wuhan, EpiVacCorona, CoviVac, Abdala/CIGB-66, QazCovid-in, KCONVAC, MVC-COV1901, COViran Barekat, TAK-919, and Sputnik Light [34, 122]. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommended six vaccines (BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, Ad26.COV2.S, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, CoronaVac and BBIBP-CorV) for SARS-CoV-2, whereas CDC and FDA recognized three vaccines (BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and Ad26.COV2.S) for emergency use (Table 2) [14, 129]. Remarkable progress has been made in the COVID-19 vaccines race while FDA provided full approval to the BNT162b2 vaccine on 23 August 2021 [130].

BNT162b2

BNT162b2 has been developed and manufactured by BioNTech and Pfizer. It is also known as Pfizer-BioNTech/COMIRNATY/Tozinameran (INN). BNT162b2 is a nucleoside-modified mRNA vaccine that encodes for the viral spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2, encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles [15, 70]. After intramuscular injection, the lipid nanoparticles function as a barrier for mRNA degradation and allow the delivery of the mRNA into host cells. After cell entry, the mRNA encodes SARS-CoV-2 spike protein which is then expressed on the surface of the host antigen-presenting cells (APC). The expressed spike antigen on the surface of the host cells stimulates humoral (neutralizing antibody) and cellular immune responses against original SARS-CoV-2, thus preventing COVID-19 [70, 48]. Sahin et al. [111] reported that the BNT162b2 elicited CD4 + and CD8 + T cells specific to SARS-CoV-
Table 2  Globally approved vaccines for COVID-19 until 13 August 2021

| Vaccines               | Vaccine platform and formulation techniques                                                                 | Target antigen | Immunogenicity                                      | Efficacy                                                   | Dose and time interval | References                  |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| BNT162b2               | RNA, nucleoside modification of SAS-CoV-2 mRNA                                                             | Spike protein  | Both humoral and cell-mediated responses           | 95%; 88% (against Delta variant); 93% (against Alpha variant) | 2 doses, 3 weeks apart  | [75, 97, 111, 139]          |
| mRNA-1273              | RNA, encapsulation of SAS-CoV-2 mRNA in lipid nanoparticle (LNP)                                               | Spike protein  | Both humoral and cell-mediated responses           | 94.1%; 100% (against Alpha variant); 96.4% (against Beta variant) | 2 doses, 4 weeks apart  | [7, 33, 63, 98]             |
| Ad26.COV2.S            | Non-replicating viral vector, use recombinant and replication incompetent Adenovirus type 26 (Ad26) vector which encodes the SAS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein | Spike protein  | Both humoral and cell-mediated responses           | 67% in phase 3 study; 72% in US; 68.1% in Brazil study; 64% in South Africa Study | Single dose            | [66, 92, 108, 128, 139]     |
| ChAdOx1 nCoV-19        | Non-replicating viral vector, use recombinant ChAdOx1 adenoviral vector encoding the spike (S) protein of the SARS-CoV-2 | Spike protein  | Both humoral and cell-mediated responses           | 70.4% (Interim); 79% in US phase 3 study; 74.5% (against Alpha variant); 67% (against Delta Variant); In Canada, 70% (against Delta variant), 72% (against Alpha variant), 50% (against both Beta and Gamma variant) | 2 doses, 12 weeks apart | [5, 75, 102, 139]           |
| Gam-COVID-Vac          | Non-replicating viral Vector, use human Adenovirus type 5 (Ad5) and Adenovirus type 26 (Ad26) vector encoding the spike (S) protein of the SARS-CoV-2 | Spike protein  | Both humoral and cell-mediated responses           | 91.6%                                                      | 2 doses, 3 weeks apart  | [73, 74]                    |
| BBIBP-CorV             | Inactivated SARS-CoV-2, in vitro production in Vero cells                                                    | Whole virus    | Humoral response                                   | 79.34% in phase 1/2 trial; 78.1% (WHO)                     | 2 doses, 3 weeks apart  | [139, 147]                  |
| BBV152                 | Inactivated SARS-CoV-2, in vitro production in Vero cells                                                   | Spike protein  | Humoral response                                   | 77.8%; 65.2% (against Delta variant)                        | 2 doses, 4 weeks apart  | [45, 46, 148, 149]          |
| Ad5-nCoV               | Non-replicating viral Vector, use recombinant Adenovirus Type 5 (Ad5) vector encoding the spike (S) protein of the SARS-CoV-2 | Spike protein  | Both humoral and cell-mediated responses           | 65.28%                                                     | Single dose            | [118, 154, 155]            |
| CoronaVac              | Inactivated SARS-CoV-2, in vitro production in Vero cells                                                  | Whole virus    | Mostly humoral response, aluminum adjuvant enhances response more robustly | 51% in Brazil, 83.5% in Turkey; 50% (against gamma variant) | 2 doses, 2 weeks apart  | [58, 128, 143, 145, 152]    |
2 spike protein in the majority of vaccinated participants [70, 111]. In both subgroups (younger and older adults), 10–30 μg dose of the BNT162b2 can stimulate virus-neutralizing antibody production and antigen-binding IgG responses after administration of the second dose. In this instance, the neutralizing antibody titers were obtained before the first dose, 21 days after the first dose, and seven and 14 days apart from the second dose, in which the antibody titers were highest at seven and 14 days apart from the second dose of vaccination. Moreover, the younger had higher antigen-binding IgG and virus-neutralizing antibody responses than the older [70, 136].

BNT162b2 had an efficacy of 95% against COVID-19 after a two-dose (30 μg per dose and 21 days apart) vaccination [97]. The vaccine efficacy was generally identical across subgroups with individuals of different ages, sex, race, ethnicity, obesity, and pre-existing conditions. For this instance, the efficacy was 93.7–100% in participants (age of 16–55, > 55, ≥ 65, and ≥ 75 years) who had no existing SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, the efficacy also was similar in a separate analysis having participants with hypertension [70, 97]. Furthermore, the vaccine was 52.4% effective in preventing COVID-19 between two doses, but 90.5% effective within seven days interval of two-dose vaccination [70, 97]. A recent study from Public Health England (PHE) has shown that the vaccine had efficacy against Delta and Alpha variants as 88% and 93%, respectively, after administration of the second dose [75]. Liu et al. [72] reported that the vaccine had neutralizing activity against the Gamma variant, which is analogous to the action against the Alpha variant, and in the case of the Beta variant, the neutralizing activity was negligible. On the contrary, the vaccine showed two dose-dependent systemic or local reactions, such as grade 1 and 2. A common adverse event was pain at the injection site, and other systematic events were also reported [88, 118]. The common systemic events were fatigue, chills, muscle pain, headache, and joint pain. Fever was also reported in some patients following the first and second dose vaccination but typically resolved within a day [88, 118]. Gladly, no grade 4 adverse events were reported. However, grade 3 reactions such as sleep disturbance and pyrexia have been reported in a few participants [118, 88]. CDC and FDA reported that the vaccine could cause chest pain and heart inflammation such as myocarditis and pericarditis within a day after receiving the first dose and even after the second dose of vaccination. The incidence rate was about 12.6 cases per million (second doses), which was less severe and usually resolved without medical intervention [10, 16, 49, 150]. In a case–control study conducted in Hong Kong, 16 out of 4,51,939 cases were confirmed with Bell’s palsy/ facial nerve palsy after the first dose, whereas another case–control study in Israel found no association between Bell’s palsy and the vaccine [119, 137].

mRNA-1273

The mRNA-1273 (Moderna) is an mRNA-based vaccine developed by the National Institutes of Health and Moderna. The vaccine consists of a modified mRNA that
encodes the spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 and is manufactured in the form of encapsulated lipid nanoparticles [33, 98]. More specifically, the vaccine encodes the S-2P antigen, an integral part of the SARS-CoV-2 glycoprotein combined with a trans-membrane anchor and S1–S2 cleavage site. The lipid nanoparticle capsule was formulated in an adjustable ratio of mRNA and lipids containing four types of lipids. The vaccine was provided at a concentration of 0.5 mg per milliliter as a sterile liquid for injection (the doses administered), and the dilution was prepared using normal saline [63]. In the phase 1 trial, the vaccine induced both virus-neutralizing antibody and spike glycoprotein binding antibody responses in the participants aged 18–55 years [63, 98]. The vaccine (both 25-μg and 100-μg doses) also elicited CD4 + T-cell responses, predominately Th1 responses stimulated by S-specific peptide pools with a fraction type 2 helper T-cell (Th2) responses. A minimal level of CD8 + T-cell responses was also reported in the participants vaccinated with 100 μg dose (after the second dose) [63].

Based on the interim result from the phase 3 trial, Baden et al. [7] reported that the vaccine had an efficacy of 94.1% against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. The efficacy has been found identical across all subgroups aged 18 to < 65 and ≥ 65 years, comorbidity patients, gender, race, and ethnicity. The vaccine also had an efficacy of 93.6% in the SARS-CoV-2 seropositive participants [7]. In the Qatar study, the vaccine showed 94.4% and 100% efficacy against the Alpha variant at ≥ 28 days of the first dose and day 14 after the second vaccination dose, respectively. In the case of the Beta variant, the efficacy was 73.7% and 96.4% after 28 days of the first dose and 14 days of the second dose, respectively [18]. Conversely, the most common adverse effect was pain at the injection site, and the others were tiredness, headache, muscle ache, joint ache, chills, swollen lymph nodes in the vaccine injected arm, generally resolved within a day or two [98, 131, 150]. Further, nausea, vomiting, and fever were also reported. A significant portion of the participants has experienced these side effects after the second dose [131]. Recently, CDC and FDA have found that the vaccine could cause chest pain and heart inflammation such as myocarditis and pericarditis within a day after receiving the first dose and even after the second dose vaccination. Similar to the BNT162b2 vaccine, the incidence rate of adverse effects of the mRNA-1273 was about 12.6 cases per million dose (second dose) and had less severity and fatality, which did not require any medical intervention [10, 18, 49, 150].

**Ad26.COV2.S**

The Janssen Pharmaceuticals Company of Johnson & Johnson developed the Ad26.COV2.S (adenovirus serotype 26), a replication-defective/non-replicating vaccine, expresses full-length spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 in host cells after the vaccination [109, 108]. Sadoff et al. [108] reported that the vaccine has CD4 + T cell (Th1 and Th2) immune responses specific to spike antigens of SARS-CoV-2 among the participants after the single dose. On day 14, Th1 based CD4 + T-cell responses were detected. The vaccine also induced S-specific (spike antigen) CD8 + T-cell responses. In addition, neutralizing antibody and spike protein-binding antibody responses were seen in most participants on day 29 after the single dose. These results indicated that the vaccine has immunogenicity in both younger and older adults [108].

The phase 3 ENSEMBLE trial reported that the vaccine had an efficacy of 67% (single dose) against moderate to severe COVID-19 (symptomatic for at least 14 days) cases and 66% efficacy against patients having 28 days of onset [109]. The data from the phase 3 ENSEMBLE trial also demonstrated that the vaccine efficacy was 85% against severe COVID-19 across all region studies and minimized hospitalization and death by COVID-19 after 28 days of vaccination [66]. The effectiveness of the vaccine showed geographical variations such as in the USA, the efficacy was 72% [128], whereas, in South Africa (95% cases with the Beta variant), it was 64% against moderate to severe diseases and 81.7% against severe or critical illness after 28 days of vaccination. In Brazil (69% cases with the Gamma variant), the efficacy was 68.1% against moderate to severe diseases and 87.6% against severe or critical illnesses [66]. Conversely, Sadoff et al. reported that the most common local reaction was injection site pain and the most frequent systemic reactions were headache, fatigue, myalgia, and nausea [108].

**ChAdOx1 nCoV-19**

The ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (chimpanzee adenoviral vector ChAdOx1) also known as AZD1222, is a non-replicating viral vector vaccine developed by the University of Oxford and pharmaceutical company AstraZeneca. The vaccine contains the surface glycoprotein gene of SARS-CoV-2, which encodes the spike glycoproteins of the virus [81, 98]. Serum Institute of India manufactured the vaccine by the commercial name of Covishield, and in the EU region, the vaccine is also called Vaxzevria [81, 102]. The vaccine showed both humoral and cell-mediated immunity. The vaccine-induced IgG responses (28 days after vaccination) against the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in all participants after two-dose vaccination were identical across the ages of 18–55, 56–69, and ≥ 70 years. Moreover, neutralizing antibody responses have also been found after a booster dose (second dose) and were similar across all age groups. The vaccine also induced T-cell...
mediated immune responses were also identified in the participants aged 18–60 years. Cell- and cellular immune responses in all participants. The vaccine was manufactured in two formulated forms as recombinant adenovirus type 26 (rAd26) and recombinant adenovirus type 5 (rAd5), which have the gene for SARS-CoV-2 full-length spike glycoprotein. After the cell entry, the SARS-CoV-2 full-length spike glycoprotein gene encodes the viral spike glycoproteins rAd26-S and rAd5-S. The vaccine was manufactured in two formulated forms as frozen and lyophilized [74]. It could induce both humoral and cellular immune responses in all participants. The phase 3 clinical trial results revealed that it had induced virus-neutralizing antibody response in the participants aged ≥ 60 years. Furthermore, the vaccine efficacy was almost similar in the participants aged 18–60 years. Cell-mediated immune responses were also identified in the participants where peripheral blood mononuclear cells had secreted IFN-γ against SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein (at day 28 after the first dose) [73].

The interim results of the phase 3 trial showed that the vaccine had an efficacy of 91.6% against COVID-19 (from day 21 after the first dose to the day of receiving the second dose). The preliminary result also showed that the vaccine had 100% efficacy against severe COVID-19 patients [73]. The Gamaleya National Research Center of Epidemiology and Microbiology, and the Direct Investment Fund claimed that the vaccine produced strong protective neutralizing antibodies against new variants, including Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta (B.1.617.2 and B.1.617.3) [123]. Although, Logunov et al. [74] found no serious adverse events, the most common local reaction was pain at the injection site, and other systematic reactions were headache, asthenia, and muscle and joint pain.

**BBIBP-CorV**

The Beijing Institute of Biological Products developed an inactivated vaccine called BBIBP-CorV against SARS-CoV-2 to prevent COVID-19 [124, 142]. In phase 2 clinical trial, the immunogenicity of the vaccine was assessed across all participants aged 3–17, 18–59, and ≥ 60 years by applying a different range of doses such as one-dose (2 μg), two-dose (4 μg), and three-dose (8 μg). The vaccine-induced humoral responses were found as 100% seroconversion rate in 18–59 and ≥ 60 years of participants. In addition, in the 18–59 years group, the seroconversion rate was above 75% on day 14 after the first dose administration, and the rest of the participants were seroconverted on day 28. The 18–59 years group also had higher neutralizing antibody titers than the > 60 years group. As the vaccine-induced neutralizing antibodies can neutralize multiple SARS-CoV-2 variants, the vaccine could also provide a cross-protection against the rest of the SARS-CoV-2 variants [147].

Sinopharm reported that the vaccine had an efficacy of 79.34% in the phase 1/2 trial, and in the phase 3 trial (multi-countries), the efficacy was 79% in both symptomatic and hospitalized patients after 14 days of vaccination [142]. A recent study has found that it was 78.1% effective against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 (adult) [2, 125]. Contrarily, the vaccine showed both local and systematic reactions. The local reactions were pain at the vaccination site, flush, swelling, sclerosis, rash, and itching. The systemic reactions were headache, fever, fatigue, muscle pain, joint ache, cough, breathing difficulty, nausea, diarrhea, and skin itching [110].

---

**Gam-COVID-Vac**

Gamaleya National Research Centre for Epidemiology and Microbiology developed a viral vector vaccine to prevent SARS-CoV-2 named Gam-Covid-Vac (Sputnik V). Gam-Covid-Vac is a combined viral vector vaccine based on recombinant adenovirus type 26 (rAd26) and recombinant adenovirus type 5 (rAd5), which have the gene for SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. After the cell entry, the SARS-CoV-2 secreted IFN-γ against SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein (at day 28 after the first dose) [73]. The interim primary efficacy analysis by Ramasamy et al. [102] revealed that the vaccine’s efficacy was 70.4% after the second dose and 64.1% after the first dose in all participants [102, 134]. The USA Phase 3 trial by Astrazeneca revealed that the vaccine had 79% efficacy among the symptomatic COVID-19 and 100% efficacy in the severe and hospitalized COVID-19 patients. The efficacy was identical across diverse ethnicity and ages, and showed 80% efficacy in participants aged ≥ 65 years [4]. Recent data from Public Health England (PHE) claimed that two doses of the vaccine have an efficacy of 74.5% against the Alpha and 67.0% against the Delta variants [75]. Moreover, study data from Canada showed that the vaccine (Vaxzevria) had an efficacy of 90% against hospitalization or death caused by the Alpha variant, 87% against the Delta, and 82% against the Beta and Gamma variants after the first dose. Regarding symptomatic COVID-19 cases, the vaccine was 72% effective against the Alpha, 70% against the Delta, and 50% against the Beta and Gamma variants [5]. On the other hand, both local and systemic reactions were reported in all participants after vaccination. The most common local reaction was pain in the injection site, and other systematic reactions were fever, muscle ache, headache, chills, fatigue, malaise, and nausea, which were primarily mild and had 4–5 days of occurrence after vaccination [98, 102]. The reactions were more common in younger than older adults (≥ 56 years) [102]. After the first dose, the vaccine also had a rare adverse event called thrombosis (blood clot) with thrombocytopenia syndrome [141].
BBV152

The Bharat Biotech, Indian Council of Medical Research, and National Institute of Virology, India, developed the BBV152 vaccine. The BBV152 is an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine formulated with alum (Algel-IMDG) containing toll-like receptor (TLR) [45, 46]. In the phase 1 trial, the vaccine (two doses) induced humoral responses against SARS-CoV-2 at 104 days after vaccination (3 months after the second dose). At the same time, serum neutralizing antibodies were also detected among all the participants. In the phase 2 trial, the vaccine (after two doses) induced prominent Th1 immune responses with a high level of IFN-γ. The immune responses were significantly higher in the phase 2 trial than in the phase 1 trial. Moreover, the vaccine could induce both memory B-cell and T-cell responses, where the latter expressed the memory phenotype marker CD45RO+_. However, cell-mediated responses have been reported minimally [45].

Bharat Biotech claimed that the vaccine had an efficacy of 77.8% against symptomatic, 63.6% against asymptomatic, and 93.4% against severe symptomatic COVID-19 cases [8, 44]. The company also asserted that the vaccine had an efficacy of 65.2% against the Delta (B.1.617.2) variant and can neutralize the rest of the emerging variants such as the Alpha, Beta, Gamma (P.1-B.1.1.28), Zeta (P.2-B.1.1.28) and Kappa variants [8, 112, 113, 148, 149]. Contrarily, the most common local adverse reaction was pain at the injection site, followed by other systematic reactions such as headache, fatigue, vomiting, and fever. The reactions were mild to moderate and more frequent after the first dose. However, one severe adverse reaction of viral pneumonitis was reported in the phase 1 trial [45].

Ad5-nCoV

The CanSino Biologics and the Institute of Biology and National Institute of Immunology, China, developed the Ad5-nCoV vaccine (trade name: Convidecia) against SARS-CoV-2 [11]. The Ad5-nCoV vaccine is a non-replicating viral vector vaccine that utilizes the adenovirus type 5 (Ad5) to deliver the SARS-CoV-2 gene into the human body [118, 154]. The vaccine had been induced both humoral and cellular responses in most of the participants. The vaccine elicited RBD (receptor binding domain) binding antibodies (four-fold higher) and live virus binding antibodies (four-fold higher) in 94–100% and 50–75% of the participants. Moreover, T-cell responses were detected on day 14 after vaccination and antibodies on day 28, and both were in peaked value at the times mentioned above. The activation of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells was reported in all the participants. However, when pre-existing anti-Ad5 immunity was high, both the antibody and T-cell response were slightly reduced [155].

The Ad5-nCoV vaccine efficacy was 68.83% (single dose) against all symptomatic and 95.47% against severe COVID-19 cases 14 days after vaccination. After 28 days of vaccination, the efficacy was 65.28% against all symptomatic and 90.07% against severe COVID-19 cases [11, 126]. Conversely, the vaccine was reported with some common adverse reactions such as fever, fatigue, headache, and muscle ache. Those reactions were mild, moderate, and consistent among all the participants. Further, no severe adverse reactions were noted as a matter of concern [154, 155]. Until 13 August 2021, the phase 3 trial result of the vaccine has not been published yet.

CoronaVac

CoronaVac (formerly PiCoVacc) is an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine developed and manufactured by Sinovac Life Sciences, a Chinese biotech company [125, 121]. The CoronaVac vaccine was formulated by propagating SARS-CoV-2 (CN02 strain) with kidney cells from African green monkeys, also regarded as WHO Vero 10–87 cells. Before being manufactured, the vaccine was inactivated by β-propiolactone and further adsorbed onto aluminum hydroxide [145]. Zhang et al. [152] reported the CoronaVac vaccine had induced humoral responses against SARS-CoV-2 in phase 1/2 trial in the participants aged 18–59 years [58, 152]. In addition, the vaccine had a similar immune response in adults aged ≥ 60 years [145, 152]. The neutralizing antibody responses were reported in the older (≥ 60 years) and younger adults (18–59 years) with similar magnitude after two doses of vaccination (3 μg and 6 μg) [58, 145, 152]. The study also reported a strong correlation between the neutralizing antibodies and the anti-RBD IgG antibodies. Moreover, weak T-cell responses were found in 18–59 years aged adults [58, 152].

In Brazil, the phase 3 trial demonstrated that the vaccine efficacy was 51% against symptomatic and 100% against severe SARS-CoV-2 infection after the second dose [143]. The study also claimed that the vaccine was 50% effective against the Gamma variant [128, 143]. In Turkey, the phase 3 trial revealed that the vaccine efficacy was 83.5% on 14 days after administration of the second dose [121]. Conversely, the most common adverse reactions were mild pain at the injection site, elevated blood pressure, headache, fatigue, dizziness, and rash. There were no grade 4 adverse events or fatality has been seen [121, 145, 152]. However, the case–control study from Hong Kong revealed that the vaccine could cause Bell’s palsy, where 28 out of 5,37,205 cases were confirmed with this type of adverse event [137].
ZF2001

Anhui Zhifei Longcom Biopharmaceutical developed a dimer protein subunit vaccine called ZF2001 [82]. It was formulated by targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor-binding domain (RBD) responsible for the attachment of the virus particle with the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 [40, 120, 138, 151]. The vaccine contains RBD-dimer protein which was encoded in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells and conjugated with alum [103]. The SinoVac-ZF2001 vaccine was formulated by culturing the whole SARS-CoV-2 in vitro Vero cell lines and then inactivated twice by using β-propiolactone under specific conditions and then conjugated with 0.5 mg alum [103]. The SinoVac-ZF2001 vaccine could induce humoral responses against SARS-CoV-2. In phase 1 and 2 trials, the neutralizing antibody responses were reported 14 days after the vaccination (first dose). The trials also documented that the neutralizing antibody responses were higher between 21 and 28 days after the second dose and highest after the third or booster dose administration [146].

Sinopharm-Wuhan

Wuhan Institute of Biological Products (WIBP) developed an inactivated (Vero cell line) SARS-CoV-2 vaccine called Sinopharm-Wuhan to prevent COVID-19 [124, 142]. The vaccine was formulated by culturing the whole SARS-CoV-2 with in vitro Vero cell lines and then inactivated twice by using β-propiolactone under specific conditions and then conjugated with 0.5 mg alum [103]. The Sinopharm-Wuhan vaccine could induce humoral responses against SARS-CoV-2. In phase 1 and 2 trials, the neutralizing antibody responses were reported 14 days after the vaccination (first dose). The trials also documented that the neutralizing antibody responses were higher between 21 and 28 days after the second dose and highest after the third or booster dose administration [146].

Sinopharm reported that the vaccine had an efficacy of 72.51% [2]. A recent study showed that the vaccine efficacy was 79% in both symptomatic and hospitalized patients after 14 days of vaccination and 78.1% against only symptomatic adult patients [2, 142]. On the contrary, the most common adverse reaction was transient mild pain at the injection site [146].

EpivacCorona

The Vektor State Research Center of Virology and Biotechnology developed a protein subunit vaccine called EpiVacCorona. The vaccine is based on synthetic peptide antigens of SARS-CoV-2 proteins, conjugated to a carrier protein and adsorbed on aluminum hydroxide (Alum adjuvant) [99].

The efficacy of the vaccine is yet to be known as of 13 August 2021. The second dose of the vaccine reported no adverse events in the participants after vaccination [99].

CoviVac

The CoviVac is an inactivated coronavirus vaccine developed by the Chumakov Center at the Russian Academy of Sciences. The CoviVac is a two-dose vaccine recommended for 18–60 years aged adults [100]. The details of the vaccine have not been published until 13 August 2021.

Potential COVID-19 vaccines currently at phase 3 trial

After succeeding in the animal model trial, any vaccine candidate must undergo several human trials, typically known as phases 1, 2, and 3, to get approval. In the phase 3 trial, more volunteers compare to phases 1, and 2 receive the vaccine to find whether it is effective or not [65]. The main objectives of the phase 3 study are to confirm the safety and efficacy of the vaccines in the medium and long term. Adverse effects and other specific characteristics with factors such as sex and age are also considered in this stage. In the phase 3 trial, the study population needs to observe for a long time, and it should be large and diverse enough to test all safety issues properly [17]. All the studies are conducted on healthy volunteers aged 18 years and older participants. Some organizations are running their vaccine trial in several countries to get more precise outcomes of the vaccine impact. Moreover, other important things related to vaccine development, including adjustment of doses, the time gap between the doses, length of the treatment, and interference with other drugs, are also checked in this phase before the approval [79]. Several potential vaccines from different platforms are currently in phase 3 clinical trial with the involvement of thousands of people around the world illustrated in Table 3 [80].

DNA vaccines are a powerful, promising platform of COVID-19 vaccines for fast and flexible development and production [9]. In the previous outbreak of SARS and MERS, DNA vaccine had induced immune response by producing neutralizing antibody documented in clinical trials, and identical similarities were found between the spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV [42, 55]. At present, ZyCoV-D (developed by Zydus Cadila), AG0302-COVID-19 (AnGes), and INO-4800 (Inovio) are the three DNA vaccines that have been going through in the phase 3 trial. There are also four RNA-based vaccines
| Candidate Vaccine Name | Developer | Trials Registration No | Vaccine Platform | Target antigen and expected immunogenicity | Doses | Trial country and enrollment | Estimated completion date | References |
|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| ZyCoV-D                | Zydus Cadila | CTRI/2021/01/030416    | DNA              | Spike protein                             | 0.2 ml (0.1 ml in each-arms); intradermal; 4 weeks apart | India, 28,216          | [21]         |
| AG0302-COVID19         | AnGes, Inc | NCT04655625            | DNA              | Spike protein, humoral                     | 2 doses, (2 mg); intramuscular; 4 weeks apart | Japan, 500             | 31 March 2022 | [22]        |
| INO-4800               | Inovio Pharmaceuticals | NCT04642638 | DNA              | Spike protein, both humoral and cell mediate | 2 doses (1 mg); intradermal; 4 weeks apart | USA, 6,578             | September, 2022 | [135]       |
| CVnCoV                 | CureVac AG  | NCT04838847, 2020–003,998-22, NCT04848467, NCT04860258, NCT04652102, EUCTR2020-004,066–19, NCT04674189 | RNA              | Spike protein, both humoral and cell mediate | 2 doses; intramuscular; 4 weeks apart | Multiple countries of Europe and Latin America, around 40,000 | Varies with countries | [35] |
| Walvax: mRNA           | Walvax Biotechnology Co., Ltd | NCT04847102 | RNA              | Spike protein-RBD, both humoral and cell mediate | 2 doses (0.5 ml); intramuscular; 4 weeks apart | 28,000             | 30 May 2023       | [23] |
| BNT162b1               | BioNTech SE | NCT04368728           | RNA              | Booster dose, followed by 2 doses of BNT162b2 | 2 doses (30 μg); Intramuscular | Argentina, Brazil, Germany, South Africa, Turkey, United States of America 43,998 | 2 May 2023 | [87]        |
| mRNA-1273.211          | ModernaTX, Inc | NCT04927065 | RNA              | Booster dose, followed by 2 doses of mRNA-1273 | 1 booster dose (50 μg); Intramuscular | USA, 896             | 5 July 2022    | [24]        |
| FINLAY-FR-1A (Soberna 01) | Instituto Finlay de Vacunas Cuba | IFV/COR/09 | Protein subunit | Recombinant dimeric RBD, humoral           | 2 doses | Cuba, 44,010          |             | [36]         |
| Sanofi/ GSK            | Sanofi Pasteur | PACTR202011523101903 | Recombinant protein | Spike protein, humoral                     | 2 doses (0.5 ml); 3 weeks apart | Kenya, 34,520         | 30 April 2022 | [95]        |
| NVX-CoV2373            | Novavax | EUCTR2020-004,123–16, NCT04583995, NCT04611802 | Recombinant protein | Spike protein, both humoral and cell mediate | 2 doses (0.5 ml); intramuscular; 3 weeks apart | United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, 15,000; and Mexico, Puerto Rico, and United States of America, 30,000 | 14 January 2022 | [25, 43]    |
| Candidate Vaccine Name | Developer | Trials Registration No | Vaccine Platform | Target antigen and expected immunogenicity | Doses | Trial country and enrollment | Estimated completion date | References |
|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| SCB-2019               | Clover Biopharmaceuticals AUS Pty Ltd | NCT04672395, PHRR210209-003,334 | Protein subunit | Spike protein, both humoral and cell-mediated | 2 doses; intramuscular; 3 weeks apart | Belgium, Brazil, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Germany, Nepal, Panama, Philippines, Poland, 22,000; and Philippines 7,700 | July, 2022 | [26] |
| UB-612                 | Vaxxinity, Inc | NCT04683224 | Protein subunit | Spike protein-RBD, both humoral and cell mediated | 2 doses (100 µg); intramuscular; 4 weeks apart | Cuba, 44,010 | 22 March 2023 | [27] |
| FINLAY-FR-2 (Soberana 02) | Cuba’s Finlay Vaccine Institute | IFV/COR/09 | Protein subunit | RBD-tetanus toxoid | 2 doses (0.5 ml); intramuscular; 4 weeks apart | Cuba, 44,010 | [37] |
| Nanocovax             | Nanogen Pharmaceutical Biotechnology | NCT04922788 | Protein subunit | Spike protein, both humoral and cell mediated | 2 doses (25 µg); intramuscular; 4 weeks apart | Viet Nam, 13,000 | 7 August, 2022 | [20] |
| COVOVAX               | Serum Institute of India | CTRI/2021/02/031554 | Recombinant protein subunit | Spike protein, humoral | 2 doses (0.5 ml); intramuscular; 3 weeks apart | India, 1600 | [28] |
| Recombinant (Sf9 cell) | WestVac Biopharma Co., Ltd | NCT04887207, NCT04904471 | Recombinant protein subunit | Spike protein, both humoral and cell mediated | 3 doses. intramuscular; 3 weeks apart | 40,000 | 31 December 2022 | [29, 78] |
| Plant-based VLP       | Medicago | NCT04636697 | Virus like particle (VLP) | Spike protein, both humoral and cell mediated | 2 doses; intramuscular; 3 weeks apart | Canada, United States of America, 30,918 | 30 April 2022 | [61] |
| GRAd-COV2             | ReiThera Srl | EUCCTR2020-005,915–39, NCT04791423 | Non-replicating viral vector | Spike protein, humoral | 2 doses; intramuscular; 3 weeks apart | Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Chile, Czechia, France, Germany, Indonesia, Italy, Malaysia, Poland, South Africa, 10,000, Italy,10,300 | 30 April 2022 | [38] |
| AZD2816               | AstraZeneca | NCT04973449 | Non-replicating viral vector | A booster dose, followed by 2 doses of AZD1222 | 1 booster dose (5 × 10^10 viral particles); intramuscular | Brazil, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, 2475 | 15 June 2022 | [6] |
in the race named CVnCoV (CureVac), Walvax mRNA, BNT162b1, and mRNA-1273.211 [47, 67].

Moreover, in the phase 3 trial list, protein subunit vaccine holds a great place with several candidates, including FINLAY-FR-1/ Soberna 01 (Finlay Vaccine Institute), Sanofi/ GSK, NVX-CoV2373 (Novavax), SCB-2019 (Clover), UB-612 (COVAXX), FINLAY-FR-2/ Soberna 02 (Instituto Finlay de Vacunas Cuba), Nanocovax, COVO-VAX, and Recombinant (Sf9 cell)( West China Hospital) [34].

In addition, virus-like particles (VLP) is another good category of vaccine that induce immune responses with rare adverse effects (during pregnancy or serious sequelae) and develop a polydisperse system [107, 133]. Until 13 August 2021, Plant-based VLP (Medicago) [77] is the only vaccine of this type going through phase 3 trial in Canada and the USA. For producing the VLP, genetically modified Agrobacterium tumefaciens was used to integrate the organism’s DNA into a plant cell [133]. In the phase 2 trial of the candidate vaccine, ten times more neutralizing antibodies were found in the vaccinated people than in the recovered COVID-19 patient [93].

Furthermore, GRAd-COV2 (ReiThera) is a non-replicating viral vector vaccine of COVID-19 developed by a biotech company named ReiThera Srl. A novel replication-defective Gorilla adenovirus encodes full-length prefusion stabilized spike protein of SARS-COV-2 is being used to create this vaccine [104].

Apart from this, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences: Inactivated (Vero Cells), ERUCOV-VAC, Valneva: VLA2001, and Valneva: VLA2101 vaccines were manufactured using inactivated vaccine-based technology [34, 155].

**Global vaccination coverage to date in combating COVID-19 pandemic**

The first vaccine shot was administered on 14 December 2020 in the USA. As of 14 August 2021, at least 202 countries and regions have started vaccination programs against COVID-19, and more than 4.62 billion vaccines doses have been administered worldwide, which made almost 16% of the global population vaccinated. ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine has been distributed in 184 countries, whereas BNT162b2 in 112, mRNA-1273 in 66, BBIBP-CorV in 64, Gam-Covid-Vac in 49, CoronaVac in 38, and Ad26.COV2.S in 43 countries until 14 August 2021. Recently approved Sinopharm-Wuhan and Ad5-nCoV administrated in only two countries, whereas BBV152 in 6 countries and EpiVacCorona (Vector Institute) and ZF2001 has been included in vaccination programs of two countries [127]. The Abdala and Soberna 02 have been introduced in
the vaccination program of Cuba, whereas QazVac and Sinopharm/HayatVax are being used in Kazakhstan and UAE, respectively [127].

Unfortunately, the economic disparity has remarkably influenced the distribution of COVID-19 vaccines worldwide. Low-income countries are not getting the spotlight of the vaccination program as only 0.3% of the total vaccines are administered in their arms. On the other hand, 83% of the total shots are applied in the high and upper-middle-income countries to make their people vaccinated [127].

The people of Africa got the lowest doses of vaccines, only 5.8 people per hundred population, whereas 88 people per hundred population brought under vaccination in North America. Furthermore, 91%, 68%, 64%, and 41% of people become vaccinated in Europe, South America, Asia, and Oceania, respectively (Fig. 3) [127].

Gavi’s COVAX Advance Market Commitment (AMC) gave access to COVID-19 vaccines to 92 countries, including low income (such as Afghanistan, Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Central African Republic, Chad, Congo, Ethiopia, and the Gambia) and lower middle income (Algeria, Bangladesh, Bhutan, Bolivia, Cabo Verde, and Cambodia) countries [54]. The list of 92 countries was made based on the Gross National Income (GNI) per capita (under the US $4,000) with other World Bank’s International Development Association (IDA)-eligible economies for maintaining a balanced distribution of vaccines in the world. As of 13 August 2021, more than 196 million COVAX vaccines have been shipped to 138 participants countries [54].

Fig. 3 A comparative overview of global vaccination coverage. People who received complete doses of any vaccines are considered in the figure. This map is created by Datawrapper (https://app.datawrapper.de/select/map) based on the data available at “https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations?country=OWID_WRL” on 14 August 2021. A total of 4.62 billion doses of vaccines were given to date, and 1.82 billion people worldwide became fully vaccinated.

Hopes and challenges

At this moment, the Delta and Alpha variants of SARS-CoV-2 are a matter of discussion worldwide for their profound infectivity [96]. The vaccines we are using now were designed based on the earlier variants of SARS-CoV-2, but scientists believe they should still work maybe with less effectiveness. However, studies data suggest that the BNT162b2 vaccine is effective against the new variants, although slightly less efficiently. The efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine against the Beta variant is not up to the mark but gives sound protection against the Alpha variant. Moreover, the mRNA-1273 vaccine showed more effectiveness against the Beta variant with a weaker and shorter-lived immune response [1].

Furthermore, the discrepancy in the distribution of the COVID-19 vaccines worldwide poses a daunting challenge on the impact of vaccination to control the ongoing pandemic [89]. Most of the wealthy countries pre-ordered vaccine doses, but the low-income countries could not ensure enough amounts, such as the countries of Africa. As of 13 August 2021, 87% of the total 4.62 billion doses of vaccines are obtained by high-income countries, while low-income countries just received 0.3 percent. Discrimination is clear as almost one of every four people of rich countries gets vaccinated, while it is one in over 500 in the low-income countries [132].

More vaccines are getting approval with time, and governments and other organizations should take proper initiatives to produce required vaccine doses. Some issues
have already arisen, including failure in delivery within the promised date, supply chain breakdown, and substantial global inequality in vaccine access, which is termed “vaccine apartheid” [50]. There are cases like a shortage of vaccines to supply the pre-ordered doses, such as in Bangladesh. The first and second doses of COVID-19 vaccination have been halted as the Serum Institute had failed to provide promised vaccine doses due to the Indian export ban [86]. Conversely, though COVID-19 vaccines are becoming available day by day, different studies reported that many people are in dilemma whether they and their family members should take the vaccines or not. In this era of social media, different kinds of fake news are reported related to the vaccines’ safety, and this news is spreading hastily, which is mainly responsible for the vaccine hesitation and anti-vax movement among the people [12].

While the science and healthcare communities are doing their best to halt the spread of COVID-19, some deceitful people and companies are trying to use the pandemic for their benefit by supplying unauthorized and unproven products with fake claims. In China, since August of 2020, a fraud team earned a total of 18 m yuan ($2.78 m; £2 m) until 16 February 2021 by selling a fake COVID-19 vaccine, which was a “normal saline” solution or mineral water (https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-china-56080092). A nurse of a vaccination center in Friesland – a rural district in Germany, injected a saline solution instead of the genuine doses of vaccine (https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/aug/11/nurse-in-germany-suspected-of-replacing-covid-vaccines-with-saline-solution?CMP=fb_gu&utm_medium=Social&utm_source=Facebook&fbclid=IwAR3CjWrQNLMoCw2OGcCbodrv2q1RrAo8XN4gDzkPsV_XVf4TUMLabixu-##Echobox=1,628,661,857). A nurse of a vaccination center in Friesland – a rural district in Germany, injected a saline solution instead of the genuine doses of vaccine (https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/aug/11/nurse-in-germany-suspected-of-replacing-covid-vaccines-with-saline-solution?CMP=fb_gu&utm_medium=Social&utm_source=Facebook&fbclid=IwAR3CjWrQNLMoCw2OGcCbodrv2q1RrAo8XN4gDzkPsV_XVf4TUMLabixu-##Echobox=1,628,661,857).

There was a hope of herd immunity as different studies described that almost 60–70% of the population gained immunity through vaccinations or past exposure to the virus. But various factors like vaccine hesitancy, the emergence of new variants, and the delayed arrival of vaccinations for children are hampering the process of gaining herd immunity [3].

Ensuring vaccination for children is another challenge as most of the vaccine trials have been done on people above 18 years. But according to CDC, widespread vaccination, including children, is also necessary to halt the pandemic. CDC recommended the BNT162b2 vaccine for children of 12 years or above to protect against COVID-19 [13].

Conclusion

We are in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 that already has taken millions of lives, and if this continues, many more will be lost with inexplicable physical-mental distress and unenumerable financial burdens. The vaccines are the cardinal preventive measures, and others are wearing a mask, maintaining physical distance, and proper sanitation. Globally, people are getting some vaccines that will hopefully contribute to acquiring immunity against SARS-CoV-2, as the initial published results have found promising. The effectiveness and side-effects of currently available vaccines should be carefully monitored, and the emergence of new variants of SARS-CoV-2 must be tracked meticulously. The governments and several other organizations need to work cooperatively to ensure enough and proper production and judicious distribution of the vaccines worldwide.
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