Endogenous Testosterone Levels Are Associated with Risk of Type 2 Diabetes in Women without Established Comorbidity
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Purpose: The impact of endogenous androgen levels on the risk of type 2 diabetes in women remains uncertain. The objective was to investigate associations between endogenous androgen levels and risk of type 2 diabetes in young women without established comorbidity.

Methods: In this retrospective cohort study, women aged 18 to 50 years who underwent measurement of plasma testosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate (DHEA-S), dihydrotestosterone (DHT), and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) for the first time from January 2007 to December 2015 were included. Androgens were analyzed using tandem liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. Women with established comorbidity were excluded, using Danish healthcare registries. We calculated incidence rate ratios (IRRs, 95% confidence intervals) of type 2 diabetes according to quartiles of plasma androgens using multivariate Poisson regression models.

Results: A total of 8876 women, with a mean ± SD age of 38.5 ± 4.6 years and a median (interquartile range [IQR]) follow-up duration of 8.1 (6.6-9.4) years, were eligible for analyses. During 69 728 person-years, 69 women were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. Women in the highest quartile of plasma total testosterone and calculated free testosterone displayed increased risk of type 2 diabetes compared with the lowest quartile: IRR 1.97 (1.01; 3.85), P = .048 and IRR 7.32 (2.84; 18.83), P < .001. SHBG was inversely associated with type 2 diabetes, Q4 versus Q1; IRR 0.06 (0.02; 0.21), P < .001. Plasma DHEA-S and DHT were not associated with incident type 2 diabetes.

Conclusions: Higher levels of plasma total and free testosterone were associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes among women.
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The impact of endogenous androgens on risk of type 2 diabetes is well established in women with established hyperandrogenism such as polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) [1, 2], a condition strongly associated with increased insulin resistance, but knowledge on plasma testosterone level per se as a risk factor in women without established hyperandrogenism is warranted. Only few prospective studies have investigated the relation between and with conflicting results [3-8]. The available literature is predominantly based on postmenopausal women, whereas longitudinal studies among premenopausal women are scarce [3, 4]. Furthermore, information on the association between other endogenous androgens than testosterone and type 2 diabetes in women is virtually nonexistent. The impact of hyperandrogenism on insulin resistance in women has been investigated in several observational studies; although not fully understood, the potential mechanisms include hyperandrogenism induced: hepatic steatosis increased visceral adipose tissue, and dysfunctional adipose tissue [9-11]. In women, endogenous androgen levels decrease steadily following the age of 30 years [12-15]. When reaching menopause, women have lost approximately 60% of their total androgen pool [14], which, in theory, could limit the impact of androgens on the risk of type 2 diabetes among postmenopausal women. The female adrenal pool consist of various androgens which differ in plasma levels and affinity for the androgen receptor [16]. Plasma levels of testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (DHT) are very low in women but have high affinity for the androgen receptor and therefore hold solid androgenic properties whereas the adrenal androgen dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate (DHEA-S) is found in high plasma concentrations but possesses low affinity for the androgen receptor and is mainly considered a precursor androgen [13].

The objective of this study was to investigate the relation between risk of incident type 2 diabetes and plasma levels of total testosterone (TT), calculated free testosterone (cFT), DHT, DHEA-S, and sexual hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) using a retrospective cohort of young women who had no established comorbidities.

Materials and Methods

Study cohort and setting

The study cohort included women 18 to 50 years of age residing in Denmark and who underwent first-time measurement of endogenous androgens at the nationally approved laboratory, the Danish State Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark, from January 1, 2007, until December 31, 2015. During this period, the Danish State Serum Institute performed most endogenous androgen assessments in Denmark using state-of-the-art methods, such as tandem liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. Referrals for measurements of androgen levels were from general practitioners, physicians with a private clinic, or hospital departments. Follow-up was performed until December 31, 2017.

Women eligible for inclusion in the study were predefined as being without any known comorbidities. Therefore, we excluded women who at inclusion featured established chronic comorbidity or administered medications (which indicated chronic comorbidity) that could influence endogenous androgen levels in plasma or could impact risk of developing type 2 diabetes. The predefined comorbidities were identified from the Danish National Patient Registry using the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD-10): established diabetes of any kind including previous gestational diabetes (ICD-10: DE10, DE11, DE13, DE14, and DO244); PCOS (ICD-10: DE282) or hirsutism (ICD-10: DL682), overweight or obesity (ICD-10: DE66), cardiac diseases including arrhythmias (ICD-10: DI44-49), ischemic heart disease/nonfatal myocardial infarction (ICD-10: I20-I25), heart failure
(ICD-10: DI110, DI500-501, DI509, DI420-422, DI426, DI429, DJ819) and valve diseases (ICD-10: DI05, DI06, DI34-36, DZ952); nonfatal hemorrhagic or ischemic stroke (ICD-10: DI60-64); venous thromboembolism (ICD-10: DI26, DI74, DI81, DI646, DI801-803, DI822-823, DI828-829); renal diseases (ICD-10: DI12-13, DN03-04, DN17-19, DR34, DT858-859); chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (ICD-10: DJ40-44); current or previous cancer of any kind (ICD-10: DC00-090); pituitary diseases (ICD-10: DE22-23); Addison’s disease (ICD-10: DE27); Turner’s disease (ICD-10: DQ96); thyroid diseases (ICD-10: DE02-03, DE05, DE062-63, DO905); anemia (ICD-10: DD500, DD509, DD629, DD638, DD649); dementia (ICD-10: DF00-03). Additionally women were excluded if the Charlson comorbidity index [17] was >0.

From the Danish National Prescription Registry, data on all prescribed medication were evaluated. We excluded women who at baseline (180 days before and 7 days after measurement of endogenous androgens) were treated with any glucose-lowering drugs (A10), contraceptive pills (G03A), diuretics (C03), statins (C10), androgens (G03B), analgesics (paracetamol (N02) or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (M01A), or had established hypertension defined by use of antihypertensive drugs in combination treatment with at least 2 classes of antihypertensive drugs such as adrenergic alpha antagonists (C02CA), nonloop diuretics (C03AA), vasodilators (C08), beta-blockers (C07AB02), calcium-channel blockers (C08CA01), and renin–angiotensin system inhibitors (C09AA02).

**Endogenous androgen assessments**

Plasma testosterone was analyzed using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS). An in-house method was used from 2007 to 2010. In 2011 and onwards, the Perkin Elmer CHS LC-MS Steroid kit (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, Massachusetts) was used for testosterone measurement. DHEA-S was initially analyzed using the Abbot Architect DHEA-S immunoassay (Abbott Diagnostics, Illinois) but was transferred to LC-MS when the Perkin Elmer kit was implemented. DHT was initially measured by an in-house radioimmunoassay. From 2012 an onwards DHT was measured by in an in-house LC-MS method. In general, intra- and interassay variations for androgen measurements were 8% and 10%, respectively. Plasma SHBG levels were assessed using the Abbot Architech SHBG immunoassay (Abbott Diagnostics, Illinois). Plasma free testosterone levels were calculated (cFT) using plasma TT and SHBG in methods described by Bartsch [18].

**Outcome**

The primary outcome, incident type 2 diabetes, was defined with ICD-10 codes according to the Danish National Patient Registry: type 2 diabetes (DE11). We did not include other types of diabetes (DE13), diabetes without specification (DE14), or gestational diabetes (DO244), in the primary outcome and we also did not consider individuals who were diagnosed with type 1 diabetes (DE10).

To minimize risk of confounding by indication, follow-up was started after a grace period of 6 months. Thus, we did not consider individuals who reached the primary outcome within 6 months from measurement of endogenous androgen levels.

**Danish nationwide registries**

All citizens of Denmark are given a permanent unique civil registry number allowing us to perform individual-level linkage in nationwide registries [19].

The Danish National Patient Registry holds records on hospital contacts at an individual level since 1978. Every hospital contact is given a primary diagnosis with an ICD-10 code and, if appropriate, secondary diagnoses are registered [20].

The Danish National Prescription Registry contains information on all prescribed medication (including dispensation date, doses, and quantity) among residents of Denmark since 1995 and has been validated as highly accurate [21].
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Statistical analyses

Categorical variables are presented as percentages and compared using the chi-square test. Numerical variables are presented as means ± standard deviations (SD) and compared using analysis of variance. Incidence rates (IRs) are displayed as cases per 1000 person-years stratified for quartiles of androgens. We used a multivariate time-dependent Poisson regression model to calculate incidence rate ratios (IRR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) using the lowest quartile of each plasma androgen level, Q1, as a reference compared with the upper three quartiles, Q2, Q3, and Q4. The Poisson model was adjusted for the following covariates: age, plasma SHBG, average yearly income, healthcare referral setting, geographical region, and calendar year. Since we did not have measurements of body mass index (BMI) or body composition, which are important risk factors of type 2 diabetes, all multivariate Poisson models were adjusted for plasma SHBG, which is strongly inversely linked with abdominal obesity and insulin resistance [22]. Therefore, plasma SHBG is a relevant indirect parameter of body composition such as BMI. The Poisson model for SHBG was further adjusted for TT while the model for cFT was not adjusted for SHBG as the covariate is included in the estimation of cFT [18]. Participants were included at the first-time androgen measurement and followed until the primary outcome was reached or censored at the end of the follow-up period December 31, 2017, death, or migration (whichever came first). We performed 2 types of sensitivity analyses on plasma TT and cFT and risk of type 2 diabetes as these data were complete. (1) Extending the primary outcome to also include women without a hospital-based diagnosis of type 2 diabetes but confined to either (a) therapy with ≥2 glucose-lowering drugs or (b) monotherapy with metformin in women aged ≥40 years. These criteria were established to minimize risk of confounding by indication by the diagnosis of PCOS. Accordingly, metformin is first-line therapy for type 2 diabetes, but can also be used in therapy of PCOS, especially among younger women [23]. And (2) including subgroups who, a priori, were assumed to be associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes: overweight/obesity and PCOS/hirsutism. \( P < .05 \) was considered statistically significant in all analyses. We used the statistical software Stata Software version 15 (StataCorp, College Station TX, USA) and SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Gary NC, USA) to perform the statistical analyses.

Results

Characteristics of the study cohort

We identified 22 192 women, 18 years or above, in whom endogenous androgens were measured for the first time during the period 2007 to 2015. After exclusion of women who were older than 50 years of age and with established comorbidities or prescribed medication according to prespecifications, a total of 8876 women, with a mean (SD) age of 38.5 ± 4.6 years (range 31-50) were eligible for the study (Fig. 1). The median (interquartile range [IQR]) follow-up duration was 8.1 (6.6-9.4) years. Forty women (0.5%) died during the observation period and none migrated. Measurement of plasma TT, cFT, and SHBG were complete and thus available in all 8876 women whereas plasma DHEA-S and DHT were only available in approximately 83% and 51%, respectively.
Characteristics of the study cohort are presented according to quartiles of plasma TT in Table 1. Women in Q1 of plasma TT were older than women in Q2, Q3, and Q4. Plasma SHBG was lower among women in Q1 of plasma TT than among women in Q2, Q3 and Q4.

**Risk of type 2 diabetes according to quartiles of endogenous androgens**

**Incidence rates of type 2 diabetes.** During a median (IQR) follow-up of 8.1 (6.6-9.4) years, 69 (0.8%) women were given a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes 6 months or later from inclusion in the study. Extending the primary outcome to include diagnosis type 2 diabetes based on therapy medical therapy, including monotherapy with metformin for women above 40 years of age, 240 (2.7%) developed type 2 diabetes during the observation period. In the entire cohort the unadjusted IR of being diagnosed with type 2 diabetes was 1/1000 person-years during the total observation period of 69 728 person-years (Table 2). Plasma cFT displayed an undeviating development from lowest IR of type 2 diabetes among women in Q1, 0.3/1000 person-years, to highest IR among women in Q4, 2/1000 person-years (Table 2). In contrast, plasma SHBG was inversely associated with risk of incident type 2 diabetes, with highest IR for type 2 diabetes was in Q1, 2.9/1000 person-years and lowest IR in Q4: 0.2/1000 person-years (Table 2).

**Multivariate Poisson regression models**

In multivariate Poisson models, adjusted for age, plasma SHBG, average yearly income, healthcare referral setting, geographical region, and calendar year, women in Q4 of plasma
TT and cFT displayed increased risk of being diagnosed with type 2 diabetes compared with Q1: plasma TT, IRR 1.97 (1.01; 3.85), P = .048; and cFT, IRR 7.32 (2.84; 18.83), P < .001 (Fig. 2). There were no differences in the risk of incident type 2 diabetes among quartiles of plasma DHEA-S and DHT (Fig. 2). In contrast to plasma TT and cFT, plasma SHBG displayed an inverse association with risk of type 2 diabetes in Q4 compared with Q1: IRR, 0.06 (0.02; 0.21), P < .001 (Fig. 2).

In the multivariate Poisson models the following covariates were associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes: higher age, lower plasma SHBG, higher plasma TT, and lower average yearly income.

**Sensitivity analyses**

Extending primary outcome to include diagnosis of type 2 diabetes based on medical therapy did not change the main findings; Q4 of plasma TT and cFT were associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes compared with Q1 in multivariate Poisson models; IRRs 1.49 (1.06; 2.10) and 3.28 (2.26; 4.78).

In further sensitivity analyses using plasma TT, risk of type 2 diabetes was increased among women in Q4 compared with women in Q1 after including women who were diagnosed with either overweight/obesity, IRR 2.12 (1.15; 3.90) or PCOS/hirsutism, IRR 2.13 (1.16; 3.91). Using another estimate of plasma free testosterone, the free androgen index [24], calculated as plasma TT/SHBG × 100, in the multivariate Poisson model, risk of type 2 diabetes was increased among women in Q4 compared with Q1: IRR 9.78 (3.48; 27.54), P < .001.

To evaluate potential nonestablished comorbidity, which we did not assess in our initial exclusion criteria, the same multivariate Poisson models were used to assess risk of all-cause mortality or cancer of any kind (ICD-10 codes: DC00-090) among quartiles of plasma TT or...
In this large retrospective cohort study, the primary finding is that high plasma levels of TT and cFT were associated with a 2- to 7-fold increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes during approximately 8 years of follow-up among young women who did not feature established chronic comorbidity and were without continuing medication. Findings were consistent, with a gradual increase in risk of type 2 diabetes by each stepwise increase in quartile of plasma TT and cFT. The numbers of women being diagnosed with type 2 diabetes during the observation period were low in this young healthy cohort of women. Thus, our findings add information on the pathophysiological impact of endogenous androgens on risk of type 2 diabetes in young women without established comorbidity.

Androgens are associated with increased insulin resistance in women but the link is incompletely understood, although detrimental effects on adipose tissue have been suggested [1, 2, 25, 26]. Increased endogenous androgen levels induce adipocyte hypertrophy mediating adipocyte dysfunction and increased inflammation [25, 26]. Furthermore, hyperandrogenism has been associated with increased visceral adipose tissue in female-to-male transsexuals [10] and even male illicit users of anabolic androgens steroids, with supraphysiologic plasma androgen levels, seem to feature more visceral adipose tissue than matched nonusers [27].

Endogenous androgen levels decrease markedly with age, especially after menopause, and are therefore higher among younger women than older women [12, 14, 15]. Hence,
androgen levels could have greater impact on type 2 diabetes risk among women before the age of menopause. In line with our findings, a recent primary care-based retrospective study demonstrated a doubled risk of type 2 diabetes, comparing women with plasma TT <1.0 and >3.5 nmol/L among women with a mean age of approximately 33 years [8]. Interestingly, a recent biobank study analyzed determinants of endogenous testosterone and noted that genetically determined higher testosterone increases the risk of type 2 diabetes in women considerably [28].

A few cohort studies have investigated the relation between plasma TT and cFT and risk of type 2 diabetes in postmenopausal women without establishing a link [3, 5, 6] whereas we have demonstrated a strong association between plasma TT and cFT and risk of type 2 diabetes in a cohort of women who featured a mean age of approximately 40 years and, therefore, were considerably younger than in most previous studies among postmenopausal women in which mean age at baseline was >60 years [3, 5]. A recent study demonstrated that mean age at type 2 diabetes diagnosis was 63 years for women [29]. Therefore, studies on risk of type 2 diabetes among postmenopausal women could have underestimated the risk of type 2 diabetes as many cases could have been excluded at baseline.

In the present study, especially plasma cFT displayed a strong association with type 2 diabetes, increasing incrementally, from lowest risk of type 2 diabetes among women in the lowest quartile, to highest risk of type 2 diabetes among women in the upper quartile. Free testosterone levels, which make up a minority of plasma TT, is considered the active form of testosterone [30] and could represent the pathophysiological impact of testosterone on risk of type 2 diabetes in women. Of interest, free testosterone is not considered to be influenced by BMI and, thus, may represent the androgen effect per se in women. In contrast, plasma DHEA-S and DHT were not related to risk of type 2 diabetes. Regarding DHEA-S, a reason could be that DHEA-S is considered a precursor androgen and features low affinity for the androgen receptor and, therefore, has limited androgenic properties as opposed to testosterone, which features high affinity leading to potent androgenic effects [16]. Furthermore, since DHEA-S has low biochemical activity,
it is not tightly regulated, creating a large biological variation, and therefore could be
difficult to observe any statistically significant findings. Consistent with our findings, a
recent cohort study did not establish a relation between plasma DHEA-S and type 2 dia-
betes among postmenopausal women [7]. DHT is considered the most potent androgen
in humans but was not associated with risk of type 2 diabetes. An explanation could be
that the effects of DHT on body composition and lipid metabolism is somewhat negligi-
gible compared with testosterone, as control mechanisms in adipose and muscle tissue
efficiently maintain local equilibrium of DHT limiting it influence in these tissues [16].
To our knowledge, the relation between plasma DHT and risk of type 2 diabetes has not
previously been investigated among women.

Plasma levels of SHBG displayed a strong inverse association with risk of type 2 dia-
betes. Therefore, low plasma levels of SHBG could be a solid risk marker for type 2 diabetes
in women. Obesity and increased insulin resistance are well-known parameters to sup-
press plasma SHBG, although the mechanisms are not fully elucidated [22]. In accordance
with our findings, a few studies have demonstrated an inverse association between plasma
SHBG and type 2 diabetes among women [5, 6, 31].

This study holds several limitations which should be addressed. The nature of the
study limits firm conclusions on causality. Confounding by indication or selection
bias may have hampered the results in a study design as the present; for example,
women being examined for endogenous androgen abnormalities might be more prone
to be examined for diabetes. We aimed at accommodating the risk of these biases by
using a grace period of 6 months, creating a homogeneous cohort without comorbidity
and by using the lowest quartiles of plasma androgens as reference, since this is the
most clinically relevant. We had no information on whether the women were pre- or
postmenopausal, but a recent study showed that the mean age of menopause is 50 years
[32]. We did not hold information on which time during the day blood for measure-
ment of endogenous androgens was drawn or whether the participants were in a fasting
state; neither did we hold information on timing of blood collection in relation to the
premenopausal women’s menstrual cycle. Plasma testosterone increases from the early
follicular to luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, but a recent study demonstrated the
increase in plasma testosterone is minor and would most likely not lead to reallocation
of individuals among the quartiles of plasma testosterone [15]. We did not measure free
testosterone levels in plasma biochemically, but recently cFT levels have shown good
agreement with measured free testosterone levels [24].

We had information on the women’s diagnoses, medication, and endogenous androgen
levels, but we had limited information on the women’s body composition and BMI which
should be considered as a limitation and would have been of interest. Nevertheless,
plasma SHBG levels are strongly inversely related to BMI and insulin resistance among
women [22], meaning that we have adjusted for body composition indirectly. Interestingly,
a recent study demonstrated that endogenous androgens are inversely related to BMI in
healthy women [15]. In line with this, we noted plasma SHBG was lowest among women
in Q1 and highest among women in Q4 of plasma TT. Thus, suggesting that women in
the lowest quartile of plasma TT could feature the highest insulin resistance and BMI
at baseline, while women in the highest quartile of plasma TT potentially feature the
lowest insulin resistance and BMI. Accordingly, we may have underestimated, rather
than overestimated, the influence of testosterone on the risk of developing type 2 dia-
betes in women.

In conclusion, the highest quartiles of plasma TT and cFT were associated with increased
risk of type 2 diabetes within approximately 8 years of follow-up among younger women who
featured no established comorbidity. The findings of this study suggest testosterone could
play a role in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes among young women. Further studies are
needed to clarify how testosterone influences insulin resistance and risk of type 2 diabetes
in women.
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