Direct evidence for hula twist and single-bond rotation photoproducts
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Photoisomerization reactions are quintessential processes driving molecular machines and motors, govern smart materials, catalytic processes, and photopharmacology, and lie at the heart of vision, phototaxis, or vitamin production. Despite this plethora of applications fundamental photoisomerization mechanisms are not well understood at present. The famous hula-twist motion—a coupled single and double-bond rotation—was proposed to explain proficient photoswitching in restricted environments but fast thermal follow-up reactions hamper identification of primary photo products. Herein we describe an asymmetric chromophore possessing four geometrically distinct diastereomeric states that do not interconvert thermally and can be crystallized separately. Employing this molecular setup direct and unequivocal evidence for the hula-twist photoreaction and for photoinduced single-bond rotation is obtained. The influences of the surrounding medium and temperature are quantified and used to favor unusual photoreactions. Based on our findings molecular engineers will be able to implement photo control of complex molecular motions more consciously.
ight-induced motions of molecules play a fundamental role for sensing and responsiveness in nature and have become similarly important in the construction of artificial nanoscale machinery, molecular motors, smart materials, or photopharmacophores. In crucial cases however, the geometrical changes induced by light irradiation are not known and even the most fundamental photoreaction mechanisms are still under dispute. This uncertainty not only hampers the conscious and rational design of synthetic systems with predictable responsive functions but at the same time prevents mechanistic and atomistic understanding of central photochemical processes in living systems.

One central problem concerns the photoisomerization of carbon–carbon double bonds with adjacent carbon–carbon single bonds (Fig. 1a) — a configuration that is found in the vast class of polyenes, styrenes, and stilbene dye compounds, in the biological most relevant retinal, p-coumaric acid, or GTP chromophores. For this bonding situation the photoreaction has essentially been described as either a sole double-bond isomerization (DBI), a combination of double bond and single-bond rotations i.e., the famous hula twist (HT) initially proposed by Warshel and later experimentally shown by Saltiel. Additionally, sole single-bond rotations (SBR) are also described as viable photoreactions. The main problem to differentiate between these mechanisms is the short lived nature of primary products of the photoreaction, which are high-energy intermediates undergoing rapid thermal conversion to more stable structures in solution (Fig. 1a). Due to their fleeting character an unambiguous assignment of their molecular and electronic structure has not been possible so far, as time resolved methods or isolation of these intermediates under extremely cold or rigid-medium conditions. For this bonding situation the photoreaction has essentially been described as either a sole double-bond isomerization (DBI), or in extended conjugated systems as bipedal motions as proposed by Warshe and later experimentally shown by Saltiel. Additionally, sole single-bond rotations (SBR) are also described as viable photoreactions. The main problem to differentiate between these mechanisms is the short lived nature of primary products of the photoreaction, which are high-energy intermediates undergoing rapid thermal conversion to more stable structures in solution (Fig. 1a). Due to their fleeting character an unambiguous assignment of their molecular and electronic structure has not been possible so far, as time resolved methods or isolation of these intermediates under extremely cold or rigid-medium conditions. For this bonding situation the photoreaction has essentially been described as either a sole double-bond isomerization (DBI), or in extended conjugated systems as bipedal motions as proposed by Warshe and later experimentally shown by Saltiel.

Results

**Ground state energy profile of 1.** Photoreactive compound 1 is based on the hemithioindigo (HTI) chromophore and was synthesized according to a protocol previously developed in our group. HTI 1 is rendered asymmetric via the introduction of a sulfoxide stereocenter and an adjacent chiral axis. This molecule can therefore exist in four geometrically and energetically different ground states, which we term A, B, C, and D. The stereo assignments of these states are given in Fig. 2a. We have obtained exact molecular structures from the crystalline state (Fig. 2b) for each isomer A, B, C, and D and could therefore unambiguously assign the corresponding high-resolution 1H NMR solution spectra to a particular geometry in each case (see Supplementary Figs. 1–4). A and B share the same Z configuration of the double bond but possess opposite axial chirality. Likewise C and D are atropisomers of each other in the E isomeric state. The atropisomers are thermally very stable, which is manifested in their extremely long solution half-lives of at least 1.7 years at 27 °C (see Fig. 2c, d for the kinetic measurements of their interconversion at >80 °C, see also Supplementary Figs. 5–8 and Supplementary

---

**Fig. 1** Complex light-induced motions in chromophores. **a** Proposed hula-twist (HT) motion for the photoisomerization of stilbene dyes or retinal in rhodopsin. Population of high-energy intermediates after the photoreaction leads to fast thermal decay and hampers a precise elucidation of their structural and electronic properties. **b** Chromophore 1 possesses only four possible, thermally highly stable, and geometrically distinct intermediate states and therefore enables unambiguous assignment of the photoproducts at ambient conditions. Three different geometrical changes could directly be observed as photoreactions: hula twist (HT), single-bond rotation (SBR), and double-bond isomerization (DBI).
Table 1). The thermal double bond rotation was not observed for any of the four isomers even after prolonged heating at 100 °C for 25 h, which gives a lower limit for the corresponding barrier to be at least 30 kcal/mol high (Fig. 2e, see also Supplementary Fig. 9 and Supplementary Table 1).

**Photochemistry of 1.** The established high barriers for thermal interconversion render the individual isomers A, B, C, and D completely stable at ambient temperatures. It is therefore possible to study their photochemistry individually and without the complication of an intervening fast thermal decay after the photoreaction (for molar absorption coefficients see Supplementary Figs. 10–13). To this end we have irradiated solutions of either pure A, B, C, or D in benzene-\(d_6\) at 23 °C while counting the number of photons that led to photoproducts. This experiment established quantum yields (\(\Phi\)) for every photoconversion individually (Fig. 3a, see also Supplementary Figs. 14–18 and Supplementary Table 2). In a second independent experiment, the changes in isomer composition of the solution were measured for different time points during prolonged irradiation at 27 °C. To disentangle the different photo processes at later stages of the irradiation, we performed time-resolved experiments constant in all experiments these latter kinetic measurements could be used to obtain relative probabilities for the individual photo-transformations (see Supplementary Fig. 44 and Supplementary Table 2). Fitting the experimental data to a Markov-matrix derived kinetic model provided excellent agreement between measured quantum yield ratios and the kinetic experiments. For a further assignment of the photoproducts’ identities the starting points of the photoconversions were examined more closely (Fig. 3d–g). At this time point the concentration of the different photoproducts is low enough to prevent them from light absorption in the presence of a far higher concentration of the starting material. As the photoconversions progress photoproducts are accumulating and enter their respective photoequilibria as well. All three approaches, determination of quantum yields for individual phototransformations in benzene, fitting kinetic data of the whole photoconversion process to a kinetic model, and independent identification of the photoproducts at early stages of the irradiation provided the same conclusions.

As shown in Fig. 3 each isomer A, B, C, or D converts directly into more than one photoproduct after irradiation. The efficiencies of these transformations differ dramatically but it is
clearly evident that very different types of motions are generated by light irradiation of different isomers.

Irradiation of A at 405 nm in benzene-$d_6$ at 27 °C leads to three photoproducts with B and C being the main products formed in $\phi = 9\%$ and $31\%$, respectively (Fig. 3a). Isomer D is formed with only 0.8% quantum yield and thus represents a minor channel of the photoreaction. Irradiation of B leads to C ($\phi = 8\%$) and D ($\phi = 9\%$) in almost the same efficiencies while formation of A presents just a minor channel ($\phi = 0.9\%$). The photoreactions of C and D are much less effective in comparison but are also branching in each case. Irradiation of C leads to inefficient transformation to A ($\phi = 0.09\%$), B ($\phi = 0.1\%$), and D ($\phi = 0.07\%$). Photoconversion of D leads to slow population of A ($\phi = 0.3\%$), B ($\phi = 0.06\%$), and C ($\phi = 0.01\%$).

With these measurements we could unambiguously show that all three possibilities of light-induced bond rotations are actually realized in I. The simple DBI is most prominent in the light-induced transition of A to C. Highly efficient is also the hitherto elusive HT, which couples double bond and SBRs, as seen by the transformation of B to C. Interestingly the HT for B is almost as efficient as the DBI from B to D. For isomer D the HT leading to A is actually the most probable pathway. A sole SBR could also directly be demonstrated as dominant photoreaction—exemplified by the prolific photoconversion of atropisomer A into B. With the term HT we emphasize the causal connection of double and single-bond rotations occurring after photoexcitation. This does however not mean that both motions must occur in a concerted way as originally proposed by Liu and Hammond. A sequential motion as proposed by Saltiel is also a possibility as discussed below in the Discussion section.

Medium and temperature effects on the photoreactions of 1. After quantifying the different photoconversion pathways between A, B, C, and D in benzene-$d_6$ solution the influences of the surrounding medium were of primary interest. With the experiments described above it became already clear that even in a nonrestrictive solution environment there is an intrinsic propensity to undergo coupled motions as opposed to just simple DBI after photoexcitation of I. With the term coupled motions we again emphasize the causal connection of double and single-bond rotations occurring after photoexcitation without specific mechanistic implications. As different motions appear concomitantly after photoexcitation of I it is of utmost importance to understand the influence of the surrounding environment on a particular motion. Only then these motions can be used in a conscious way for advanced functions such as complex light driven molecular machinery or smart nanosystems. In the following we present possible ways in which such selectivity for particular motions via the surrounding medium can be achieved. We focus on the light-induced motions of A and B (Fig. 4a) since they display the most efficient photoconversions and experimental errors are therefore very small.

Photoirradiation of A in benzene-$d_6$ solution results mainly in DBI to C and SBR to B. The relative ratio of these processes is roughly 80%-20% (Fig. 4a). Increasing the polarity of the solvent changes this ratio to a value of 60%-40% in MeOH-$d_4$, thus enhancing the SBR considerably (Fig. 4b). If the polarity of the solvent is kept constant but the viscosity is increased drastically (MeOH-$d_4$ to ethylene glycol (EG)) the DBI is becoming more pronounced again and a decrease of the SBR is observed. The inefficient HT motion from A to D is increased slightly at high viscosity conditions (see also Supplementary Figs. 19–44).
The light-induced motions of B are also very sensitive to the solvent nature. In benzene-\textsubscript{d\textit{6}} the SBR and HT motions are similarly efficient. An increase of solvent polarity induces the most pronounced changes and clearly favors the HT motion. In the most polar MeOH-\textsubscript{d\textit{4}} a 70%:30% ratio is observed for the relative propensities to undergo HT versus DBI. Viscosity changes produce smaller, yet discernible trends showing an increase in the propensity for simple DBI with increasing viscosity (Fig. 4b, see also Supplementary Figs. 19–44 and Supplementary Table 2).

Additionally we have tested the influence of temperature in liquid and in solid media on the different photoinduced motions of A and B (Fig. 4c–e, see also Supplementary Figs. 45–53 and Supplementary Table 3). Irradiation of A in toluene-\textsubscript{d\textit{8}} and CD\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} showed no significant changes in the photoreactions at elevated (60 °C in toluene-\textsubscript{d\textit{8}}), ambient (20 or 27 °C), and low
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**Fig. 4** Photoreactions of A and B under different conditions. a The different photo processes are color coded: SBR in purple, DBI in gray, and HT in orange. b Dependence of the photoinduced motions on solvent polarity and viscosity. The relative efficiencies of different processes are derived from a Markov-matrix analysis of the corresponding 1\textsuperscript{H} NMR data. c Ultraviolet/vis absorption changes (arrows) observed during irradiation of A at −183 °C within an EPA matrix. No significant spectral changes are observed after warming the sample to −80 °C and recooling to −183 °C (red spectrum). d Ultraviolet/vis absorption changes observed during irradiation of B at −183 °C within an EPA matrix. No significant spectral changes are observed after warming the sample to −80 °C and recooling to −183 °C (red spectrum). e Dependence of the photoinduced motions on temperature and rigidity of the medium. The relative efficiencies of different processes are derived from a Markov-matrix analysis of the corresponding 1\textsuperscript{H} NMR data. f Approximated volume changes of A during DBI (top) and SBR (bottom). Two different views are shown. The required volumes for individual motions are emphasized by color coded circles, perspectives are at the same scale. g Approximated volume changes of B during DBI (top) and HT motion (bottom).
(−78 or −80 °C) temperatures (Fig. 4e, see also Supplementary Figs. 49 and 50). The situation is quite different for B for which temperature effects are very pronounced. At 60 °C in toluene-\(d_8\) solution DBI is clearly the favored photoreaction (56% versus 36% HT) and also 7% of the SBR is observed. At 20 °C DBI is still favored with 54% but the HT motion is now more pronounced with 43% at the expense of the SBR. This selectivity changes dramatically at −78 °C, where the HT photoreaction is now strongly favored with 71% versus 29% DBI. In CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) the changes are similarly dramatic. At 27 °C HT is slightly favored with 55% over DBI with 45%. At −80 °C the HT is strongly favored with 76% (see also Supplementary Figs. 45–46).

When freezing toluene-\(d_8\) or CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solutions of A and B to ice at −196 °C irradiation still leads to photoreactions. Irradiation of A in toluene-\(d_8\) ice results in strongly preferred formation of the DBI product C (83%) and almost complete suppression of the SBR product B (1%). Instead the HT pathway clearly gains in efficiency with 16%. In CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) the trend is even more pronounced with almost exclusive selectivity for the DBI product C (99%). Irradiation of B in toluene-\(d_8\) ice also leads to preference of the DBI, however in this case the HT is still strongly pronounced with 32%. The situation is different in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) where almost exclusive DBI is observed. Therefore, in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) it is possible to strongly favor either HT (76% of all photoreactions) at low temperature in liquid solution or DBI (93%) at even lower temperature in solvent glass, which is a remarkable control over photoreactions (see also Supplementary Figs. 45–53). Photoisomerization experiments were also conducted during irradiation with different wavelengths. Only marginal changes in product composition could be observed by varying the wavelength from 305 to 405 nm (see also Supplementary Figs. 54–55 and Supplementary Table 4).

We also conducted low temperature irradiation experiments of A and B in diethyl ether/iso-pentane/ethanol (EPA) glass at −183 °C and followed the photoreactions with ultraviolet/visible absorption spectroscopy (see also Supplementary Figs. 13, 56–59). Isomers C and D were found not to undergo photoreactions under these conditions (i.e., within 2 h of irradiation). Irradiation of A in EPA glass proceeds with clear isosbestic points leading to the absorption spectrum of C. After 30 min of 405 nm illumination the sample was allowed to warm to −80 °C and then recooled to −183 °C. Only marginal spectral changes are observed after the warming and cooling procedure indicating no thermally labile intermediates being formed during irradiation in the EPA matrix (Fig. 4c, see also Supplementary Fig. 56). The isomer composition obtained from irradiation of A in the EPA matrix at low temperature was determined directly afterwards by NMR spectroscopy and showed only formation of C. An independent Markov analysis of the isomer composition changes occurring during photoirradiation of A in EPA glass at −196 °C showed almost exclusive formation of the DBI product C. Irradiation of B in the EPA glass at −183 °C leads to clear isosbestic points during the irradiation, and no significant changes upon warming and re-cooling (Fig. 4d, see also Supplementary Fig. 57). Again, the isomer composition obtained from irradiation of B in the EPA matrix at −183 °C was determined directly afterwards by NMR spectroscopy and showed formation of C and D in almost equal amounts. Photoirradiation of B in EPA glass at −196 °C was scrutinized by Markov analysis revealing almost exclusive formation of the DBI product and about 13% of the HT product at these conditions. There seems to be a significant temperature effect also in rigid matrix surroundings. Overall, at −196 °C the propensities for a particular photoreaction in EPA are similar to the ones found in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) ice, i.e., strongly favoring DBI. For comparison we tested also the corresponding photoreactions of A and B in liquid EPA solution at 22 °C, as well as −80 °C. The same trends are seen as for the other liquid solvents: no temperature effects on the photoreactions of A but significant effects for B. For the latter at ambient temperature the HT is slightly favored and becomes strongly favored (82%) at lower temperature in solution. For comparison of all relative quantum yields at different temperature in different solvents see Fig. 4e and Supplementary Fig. 60.

**Discussion**

From our experiments it becomes clear that more polar solvents and the capacity for hydrogen bonding favor the unusual SBR and coupled HT motions against the well-known simple DBI. Decreasing the temperature in solution leads to no significant changes for the SBR compared to DBI in A. However, for B dramatic changes are observed when lowering the temperature. A significant increase of the HT product is detected in this case regardless of the solvent, but most dramatically in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and EPA where 76% and 82% HT is observed at −80 and −78 °C, respectively. Irradiation in low temperature solvent ices or glasses strongly emphasizes the trends observed when increasing solvent viscosities. In these rigid media almost exclusive DBI is observed for A and likewise also a very strong preference for DBI of B. In the latter case HT is nevertheless still present to appreciable degree. The decrease of the SBR for A in more viscous solvents can be explained by the more space-demanding nature of this motion compared to the DBI in 1 (Fig. 4f). It was previously suggested that external volume restrictions and high viscosity evoke the HT motion, but we clearly did not observe such an influence in our experiments with B. On the contrary, we found the DBI is actually strongly favored at higher viscosity and rigidity of the surrounding medium. Our explanation for these seemingly contradictory findings is rooted in the special setup of HTI 1. When tracing the geometry changes of DBI versus HT motion in a simple bond-rotation model (as we do not know the exact light-induced motions the simple bond-rotation model should however be regarded as just a crude visualization) the latter seems to be even more space-demanding than the competing DBI (Fig. 4g). Because in rigid glasses viscosity effects are strongly amplified it is possible to almost exclusively favor DBI for A and B e.g., in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) ice.

The clear influence of temperature, polarity, hydrogen bonding capacity, as well as viscosity/rigidity of the environment hints at main factors governing complex and unusual light-induced motions in molecules. With the herein presented molecular setup these different influences could be quantified directly and allowed us to either strongly favor the HT motion or the simple DBI against competing photoreactions. Likewise the propensity to undergo SBR could be increased by simple changes in the nature of the solvent at ambient temperatures.

From the data obtained in this work some indications with regard to the mechanism of HT photoproduct formation could be obtained. First, the energy barriers between all four isomeric states A–D are very high and thermal equilibration occurs both, slowly even at temperatures >80 °C and selectively between A and B and separated between C and D. The observed HT photoproducts therefore cannot be formed by first DBI in the excited state, relaxation to the ground state minima and subsequent thermal SBRs. A high-energy ground state intermediate that is populated after the photoreaction and from which a branching towards HT and DBI products occurs is also highly unlikely. The strongest evidence against the presence of such an intermediate is found in the temperature dependence of the photoreaction selectivity in toluene-\(d_8\) solution. At high and ambient temperatures a clear selectivity favoring DBI is observed. This selectivity is turned upside down at low temperatures where HT products are formed with
driven molecular motor with unique rotation mechanism. Our system could actually constitute a completely different type of light-matter interaction. Therefore, HTI photoisomerization is not only a unidirectional motion around a virtual axis. Hence, the HTI system can be classified as a unidirectional molecular motor, similar to the existing Beyliked and Döbler systems. In contrast with previous reports, our observation also demonstrates that photosynthetic unidirectional motion can be achieved even without an ionic charge-transfer state.

The insensitivity of the photoreactions of B towards temperature variations is due to the existence of an extended crossing space, which is indicative of a kinked conical intersection. As solvent polarity increases, the HT product in our case, a polar ionic conical intersection leading to sole DBI, is usually observed in permanently charged systems. It is likely to be absent in our system. Here the found solvent dependence could be explained by the involvement of an ionic charge-transfer state perturbing the photochemically active state and its decay to the ground state, which is indicative of a kinked conical intersection.

In summary, hitherto ephemeral light-induced motions were directly and unambiguously proven to exist. The exact influences of the surrounding medium and temperature were quantified directly and enabled us to favor unusual photoreactions and complex motions against the long known simple DBI. Just by changing temperature and rigidity of the outside medium, we were able to favor HT photoproducts with up to 82% or the simple DBI products by up to 99%. Using the herein presented molecular setup it is now possible to rationally design HTI photoswitches with unusual motions, complex molecular machines, or responsive and functional materials and nanosystems. Likewise molecular engineers will be able to test for the presence of hula-twist photoreactions in other classes of chromophores by applying the herein established design principles: reduction of conformational space, introduction of asymmetry into the molecule via stable stereogenic centers in conjunction with chiral axes, and inhibition of thermal single bond rotation by increased steric hindrance. It did not escape our attention that the combination of all the different photoinduced motions for A–D could actually constitute a completely different type of light-driven molecular motor with unique rotation mechanism. Our future efforts are inter alia aimed in this exciting new direction.

Methods

General experimental. The synthesis and spectroscopic characterization of A–1, B–1, C–1, and D–1 is given in the Supplementary Methods. Reagents and solvents were obtained from abcr, Acros, Fluka, Merck, Sigma-Aldrich, or TCI in the qualities puriss., p.a., or purum and used as received. Technical solvents were distilled before use. Spectrophotometry and extraction on a rotary evaporator (Heidolph Hei-VAP Value, vacuumbrand CVC 3000). Reactions were monitored on Merck Silica 60 F254 TLC plates. Detection was done by irradiation with UV light (254 nm or 366 nm). Column chromatography was performed with silica gel 60 (Merck, particle size 0.063–0.200 mm) and distilled technical solvents. 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were measured on a Varian Mercury 200 VX, Varian 300, Inova 400, Varian 600 NMR, or Bruker Avance III HD 800 MHz spectrometer at 23 °C. Chemical shifts (δ) are given relative to tetramethylsilane as external standard. Residual solvent signals in the 1H and 13C NMR spectra were used as internal reference. Deuterated solvents were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories or Eurisotop and used without further purification. For 1H NMR: CDCl3 = 7.26 ppm, CD3OD = 4.80 ppm, benzene-d6 = 7.16 ppm, toluene-d8 = 2.08 ppm, (CDCl3)2SO = 2.50 ppm, THF-d8 = 1.72 ppm, 3.58 ppm, MeOH-d4 = 3.37 ppm. For 13C NMR: CDCl3 = 77.16 ppm, CD3OD = 53.84 ppm, benzene-d6 = 128.06 ppm, toluene-d8 = 20.43 ppm, cyclohexane-d12 = 26.43 ppm. THF-d8 = 67.57, 23.37 ppm, MeOH-d4 = 49.00 ppm. The resonance multiplicity is indicated as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), and m (multiplet). The chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) on the delta scale (δ). The coupling constant values (J) are given in hertz (Hz). Electron Impact (EI) mass spectra were measured on a Finnigan MAT95 or on a Finnigan MAT90 mass spectrometer. Electronspray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were measured on a Thermo Finnigan LTQ-FT. The most important signals are reported in m/z units with M as the molecular ion. Elemental analysis were performed in the micro analytical laboratory of the LMU department of chemistry on an Elemental Vario El apparatus. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BX-FT-IR instrument equipped with a Smith DuraSample II ATR-device. Transmission values are qualitatively described by wavenumber (cm−1) as very strong (vs), strong (s), medium (m), and weak (w). UV/Vis spectra were measured on a Varian Cary 50 spectrophotometer. The spectra were recorded in a quartz cuvette (1 cm). The solvents for spectroscopy were obtained from WVR, Merck and Sigma Aldrich and were dried, degassed and filtered prior use. For irradiation studies a Mightech FCS-0405-200 LED (405 nm) was used as light source. Absorption wavelength (λ) are reported in nm and the molar absorption coefficients (ε) in L mol−1 cm−1. Melting points (M. p.) were measured on a Stuart SMP10 melting point apparatus in open capillaries and are not corrected.

Photooxidation experiments. Continuous irradiations of the solutions were conducted in NMR tubes in different solvents (CD3Cl, CDCl3, benzene-d6, toluene-d8, MeOH-d4, DMSO-d6, EPA, EG). Irradiations were conducted using LEDs from Roithner LaserTechnik GmbH (305 nm, 365 nm, 405 nm). For low temperature studies a Mightech FCS-0405-200 LED (405 nm) was used as light source. Absorption wavelength (λ) are reported in nm and the molar absorption coefficients (ε) in L mol−1 cm−1. Melting points (M. p.) were measured on a Stuart SMP10 melting point apparatus in open capillaries and are not corrected.

Data availability. All data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The X-ray crystallographic coordinates for the structures A–1 to D–1 reported in this study have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), under CCDC numbers 1586011 (A–1), 1586012 (B–1), 1586013 (C–1), 1586014 (D–1). These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
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