tRNA-Derived Fragment tRF-17-79MP9PP Attenuates Cell Invasion and Migration via THBS1/TGF-β1/Smad3 Axis in Breast Cancer
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tRNA derivatives have been identified as a new kind of potential biomarker for cancer. Previous studies have identified that there were 30 differentially expressed tRNAs derivatives in breast cancer tissue with the high-throughput sequencing technique. This study aimed to investigate the possible biological function and mechanism of tRNA derivatives in breast cancer cells. One such tRF, a 5'-tRF fragment of tRF-17-79MP9PP (tRF-17) was screened in this study, which is processed from the mature tRNA-Val-AAC and tRNA-Val-CAC. tRF-17 with significantly low expression in breast cancer tissues and serum. The level of tRF-17 differentiated breast cancer from healthy controls with sensitivity of 70.4% and specificity of 68.4%. Overexpression of tRF-17 suppressed cells malignant activity. THBS1 (Thrombospondin-1) as a downstream target of tRF-17, and reduction of THBS1 expression also partially recovered the effects of tRF-17 inhibition on breast cancer cell viability, invasion and migration. Besides, THBS1, TGF-β1, Smad3, p-Smad3 and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition related genes N-cadherin, MMP3, MMP9 were markedly down-regulated in tRF-17 overexpressing cells. Moreover, tRF-17 attenuated the THBS1-mediated TGF-β1/Smad3 signaling pathway in breast cancer cells. In general, the tRF-17/THBS1/TGF-β1/Smad3 axis elucidates the molecular mechanism of breast cancer cells invasion and migration and could lead to a potential therapeutic target for breast cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, more than 90% of non-coding RNA (ncRNAs) of genome products, including transfer ribonucleic acids (tRNA), ribosomal RNA (rRNA), microRNAs (miRNAs), small nuclear RNA (snRNA), long non-coding RNA (lncRNA), and circular RNAs (circRNAs) play a widespread and important role both inside and outside of the cell, which involved in cellular biological function...
and cellular metabolism (1–3). Due to increased utilization of high-throughput sequencing and microarray technologies, more types of non-coding RNAs are being identified (4, 5).

A new class of ncRNAs called tRNA derivatives are one of them, which were generated by cleavage of mature or precursor tRNAs under the particular environmental stresses (6, 7). A wealth of intriguing studies discovered that tRNA derivatives mainly were divided into two types: tRNA-derived stress-induced RNAs (tiRNAs) (8) and tRNA-derived fragments (tRFs) (9). tRNAs derivatives are not merely tRNA degradation debris but have multiple biological functions, including acting as signaling molecules in stress responses and regulators of gene expression (10, 11). Some studies have demonstrated that tRNAs derivatives are similar to miRNAs expressed in tissues and cells in various organisms (12, 13). Generally, increasing evidence revealed that a series of tRNAs derivatives is associated with several types of human diseases and could serve as effective tools for cancer diagnosis and treatment.

Although decades of research have proved that metastasis at distant site is the major obstacle of treatment and the main cause of cancer mortality in breast cancer patients (14), the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying is still poorly understood. Whether these new class of ncRNAs (tRNAs derivatives) involved in the mechanisms of breast cancer? In previous research, we used a high-throughput sequencing technique to determine differential expression of tRNAs derivatives (tRF&tiRNA) in breast cancer tissue specimens. According to the tRF&tiRNA-Seq data, we identified 17 types of tRF&tiRNA that were most up-regulated (fold change >2.6, P < 0.05) and 13 types of tRF&tiRNA that were most down-regulated in the tumor tissues (fold change >2.0, P < 0.05) (12, 15). tRF-17-79MP9PP (fold change = -4.8984, P = 0.0276) is one of the screened tRF&tiRNAs, which clinical significance and biological functions in breast cancer remain poorly understood. In this work, we detected the expression level of tRF-17-79MP9PP in breast cancer tissues, cell lines and serum samples. Meanwhile, the potential clinical significance and biological functions of tRF-17-79MP9PP and other related genes in downstream pathways were also investigated.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Clinical Samples

The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University. From January 2016 to December 2019, we collected 76 serum samples from patients with breast cancer, who visited the thoracic surgery department of Jiangsu Cancer Hospital. These patients with breast cancer had not received any treatments before collection of serum samples. Breast cancer tissue samples and matched non-tumor adjacent tissues (NATs) were also obtained from patients and stored at −80 °C until further processing. Pathological classification, grading, and staging were according to WHO Classification of Breast Tumor, 2012, differentiation status of cancer cell, and TNM system (16). Furthermore, age-matched control serum samples were obtained from 27 healthy women. Twenty patients with benign breast diseases including hyperplasia, fibroadenoma, and breast nodule were also collected. The general characteristics of study subjects were displayed in Supplementary Table 1 (serum samples) and Supplementary Table 2 (tissue samples).

#### Cell Culture and Cell Transfection

The human breast cancer cell lines MCF-7, BT-549, normal mammary epithelial cell line MCF-10A, and human embryonic kidney cell line HEK293T were obtained from Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The cells were maintained in DMEM or RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ incubator.

The synthetic tRNA single-strand mimics/inhibitor and corresponding negative control were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen). tRF-17-79MP9PP and the negative control (Ribobio, Guangzhou, China) were optimized for MCF-7 and BT-549 cells according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Short interfering (si)RNAs targeting THBS1 were obtained from GenePharma Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Three different siRNAs against THBS1 were chosen (si1855 sense strand: GCGUGAAGUGUACUGCUATT, and anti-sense strand: UAGCUAGACACUUCCGCTT; si2095 sense strand: CCAACAAACGGUGUGCAATT, and anti-sense strand: UUGCAACCGUGUGUGGTT; si3461 sense strand: GCAUGACCCUGCUCAUATT and anti-sense strand: UAGUGACGAGGGUGCUAGCTT). Cells were transiently transfected with individual siRNAs by using Lipofectamine 2000, then collected for subsequent assays after 48 h incubation.

#### RNA Isolation, cDNA Synthesis and Quantitative PCR

Total RNAs were extracted from cells and tissues using Trizol reagent (Life Technologies, USA). While the total RNAs from serum of patients were isolated with Trizol LS reagent. And followed by removal of some RNA modifications with the rtStar™ tRF&tiRNA Pretreatment Kit (AS-FS-005, Arraystar, USA). Then, the RNA was quantified by RT-qPCR using a Bulge-Loop miRNA Stater Kit (Ribobio, China) following the manufacturer’s protocol and reverse transcribed to cDNA with Stem-loop RT primers specific for tRF-17-79MP9PP. RT reaction conditions of tRF-17-79MP9PP were 60 min at 42 °C and 10 min at 70 °C. Then qPCR was performed used the SYBR Green Mix containing Taq enzyme, dNTP mix, PCR buffer and SYBR Green I. RNU6B was used for tRF-17-79MP9PP template normalization. After adding forward primer and reverse primer, the mixtures were incubated at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 20 s, and 70 °C for 10 s. RT-qPCR was performed as previously described (12). RT-qPCR for THBS1 and other genes was performed with SYBR® Premix Dimer-Eraser (TaKaRa), β-actin was used for mRNA template normalization. The levels of tRF-17-79MP9PP and mRNA were
were plated at a density of 3 × 10^3 cells/well in 96-well plate. Cell viability and proliferation were detected using the Cell Proliferation Assay® (Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, a) 2 × 10^6 cells were collected into 1.5 ml EP tube and centrifuged at 4 °C 500 g for 5 min; b) remove the supernatant and add 200 µl of CER I pre-chilled on ice; c) shake violently for 15 s and then suspended for 10 min on ice; d) 11 µl CER II precooled on ice was added; e) shake violently for 5 s and stand on ice for 1 min; f) shake violently for 5 s, centrifuge at 4 °C 16,000g for 5 min; g) transfer the supernatant (cytoplasmic extract) to a pre-cooled EP tube and add 1 ml Magzol to extract cytoplasmic RNA; h) wash the pellet with 1 × PBS (nucleus extract), centrifuge at 4 °C 16,000g for 5 min; i) remove the supernatant, add 1 ml Magzol to lyse, for extracting nuclear RNA.

Nuclear and Cytoplasmic RNA Fractionation
The isolations from cell nucleus and cytoplasm were obtained by the NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagent (Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, a) 2 × 10^6 cells were collected into 1.5 ml EP tube and centrifuged at 4 °C 500 g for 5 min; b) remove the supernatant and add 200 µl of CER I pre-chilled on ice; c) shake violently for 15 s and then suspended for 10 min on ice; d) 11 µl CER II precooled on ice was added; e) shake violently for 5 s and stand on ice for 1 min; f) shake violently for 5 s, centrifuge at 4 °C 16,000g for 5 min; g) transfer the supernatant (cytoplasmic extract) to a pre-cooled EP tube and add 1 ml Magzol to extract cytoplasmic RNA; h) wash the pellet with 1 × PBS (nucleus extract), centrifuge at 4 °C 16,000g for 5 min; i) remove the supernatant, add 1 ml Magzol to lyse, for extracting nuclear RNA.

Dual Luciferase Assay
A 60 nt length of the 3′UTR in THBS1 containing the predicted tRF-17-79MP9PP binding site (underlined) was ligated into pmirGLO-Reporter vector (GenePharma Co.) 3′ of the luciferase gene: 5′-CTCTGTTCCTGCTGGAAATTTTAGGTTGAATGAGAATGAGTGGAC-3′ (THBS1 3′-UTR wild-type). While a negative control, the sequence of mutations was: 5′-CTCTGTTCCTGCTGGAAATTTTAGGTTGAATGAGAATGAGTGGAC-3′ (THBS1 3′-UTR mutant). The cells were co-transfected with wild-type or mutant reporter plasmid vector and tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics or inhibitor and corresponding negative control. After 48 h transfection, cells were harvested and analyzed by a Dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega).

Western Blot Analysis
Total protein was extracted from cells and the protein concentration was determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Servicebio, #G2026). Equal amount of protein from each sample was separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes, then incubated with primary antibodies directed against target proteins: THBS1 (#18304-1-AP; dilution 1:1,000; Proteintech); TGF-β1 (#21898-1-AP; dilution 1:1,000; Proteintech); Smad3 (#A11388; dilution 1:500; Abclonal); p-Smad3 (#AP0554; dilution 1:1,000; Abclonal); MMP3 (#GB11131; dilution 1:1,000; Serviebio); MMP9 (#GB12132-1; dilution 1:500; Servicebio); N-cadherin (#D119282-0100; dilution 1:1,000; BBI); ACTIN (#GB12001; dilution 1:1,000; Servicebio), and GAPDH (#KGAA002; dilution 1:1,000) chosen as a loading control.

Cell Proliferation Assay
Cell viability and proliferation were detected using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojingo, Laboratories, Japan). Cells were plated at a density of 3 × 10^3 cells/well in 96-well plate. After 24, 48, and 72 h of incubation, the medium was replaced by 100 µl of fresh DMEM or RPMI-1640 and 10 µl CCK-8 reagent, then incubated for 2–3 h at 37 °C. Absorbance at 450 nm was determined by microplate reader. Each assay was repeated for three times.

Colony Formation Assay
Cells were seeded onto 6-well plates at a density of 1 × 10^3 cells per well and incubated for 7 days until visible clones appeared. Subsequently, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and then stained with 1% crystal violet. The number of colonies was detected and counted under a light microscope.

5-Ethynyl-2′-Deoxyuridine (EdU) Incorporation Assay
Cells were incubated with Edu in medium (50 µM) for 2 h. Then, the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, permeabilized with 0.5 Trito X-100, and stained with Apollo® fluorescent dye for 1 h. Finally, DNA staining was performed with Hoechst33342 for 30 min, according to the manufacturer’s instruction of the Cell-Light Edu DNA cell proliferation kit from Ribobio. Photographs of the cells were scanned independently in a multi-tracking mode with an OLYMPUS confocal microscope.

Flow Cytometry Analysis of Cell Cycle
Cells were harvested and then washed with cold PBS (phosphate buffered saline) and fixed in 75% ethanol overnight at 4 °C and then incubated with RNase A for 20 min at 37 °C. Cells were subsequently stained with 400 µl propidium iodide solution for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. DNA content was then measured with FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA). The percentage of the cells in G1, S, and G2 phase was analyzed. Each assay was repeated for three times.

Transwell Assay
Briefly, the transfected cells were seeded into Transwell upper chamber (Coring Inc costar®, USA). The upper chamber was added with serum-free medium, and 500 µl medium containing 10% FBS in lower chamber. After 48 h incubation at 37 °C, the non-migrating cells remaining on the upper side of the filter were gently removed, and the cells on the lower surface of the inserts were fixed, stained and counted. As for cell invasion capability, the cells were seeded into Transwell upper chamber (Coring® Matrigel® invasion chamber, USA). The remaining steps were the same as the Transwell migration assay.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)
After 48 h transfection, the supernatants of cells treated with tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics were collected, and TGF-β1 levels were detected with a Human/Mouse/Rat TGF-β1 ELISA Kit (EK981-48; MULTISCIENCES) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome (KEGG) Pathway Enrichment Analysis

For GO mapping, the GO terms for tRF-17-79MP9PP target genes based on homologues were extracted (http://www.genontology.org). Integration Discovery (DAVID) software (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov), was used to perform GO analysis to identify biological processes (BP), cellular components (CC), and molecular functions (MF) of these target genes. Meanwhile, KEGG pathways for target genes were retrieved from KEGG database (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/). GO or KEGG analyses with P < 0.05 were considered as—statistically significant.

Statistical Analysis

Data from biological triplicate experiments were presented as mean ± SEM. Student’s t-test was used for comparing two groups of data. The efficacy of tRF-17-79MP9PP was evaluated by sensitivity and specificity, the relationship of sensitivity-specificity was determined using Youden’s index. The Spearman correlation test was used to examine correlation between the expression of tRF-17-79MP9PP and 5’-tiRNAVal in serum samples. All of the statistical testing results were conducted using SPSS 20.0 software and GraphPad Prism v8.0. A P-value of less than 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

RESULTS

tRF-17-79MP9PP Is a Type of tRNA-Derived Fragment

In the MINTbase v2.0 (http://cm.jefferson.edu/MINTbase/), the molecule of tRF-17-79MP9PP belongs to a class of 17nt small RNAs and the sequence is 5’-GTTTCCGTAGTGTTAGTG-3’. The fragments matched perfectly to the 5’ end of at least 18 annotated human tRNAs and the cleavage site is located on the D-loop in these sequences. The candidate mature tRNA carried the amino acid valine by the anticodon AAC or CAC (Figures 1A, B). We detected the quantification of tRF-17-79MP9PP in breast cancer cells using qRT-PCR. Figure 1C displayed amplification curve and dissolution curve of tRF-17-79MP9PP. The product of qRT-PCR (10 μl) was run on 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with EB (ethidium bromide), and visualized under UV illumination. The results showed a single electrophoresis band about 100 bp in size (Figure 1D). After recovering and cloning, we also performed the Sanger sequencing of the PCR product of qRT-PCR. The results showed that the sequence of tRF-17-79MP9PP is consistent with that of MINTbase v2.0, and the sequences matched perfectly (Figure 1E). Furthermore, the subcellular localization of tRF-17-79MP9PP was detected by quantifying nuclear/cytoplasmic RNA. The results revealed that tRF-17-79MP9PP transcriptions were more localized in the cytoplasm than in the nucleus (Figure 1F).

Expression and Diagnosis Value of tRF-17-79MP9PP in Clinical Samples

According to the previous research (15), we further detected the expression level of tRF-17-79MP9PP in serum from 76 patients and found that tRF-17-79MP9PP levels were significantly decreased in breast cancer patients and benign breast diseases patients, as compared to healthy controls (p < 0.0001, Figure 2A). However, no statistically significant difference was found between cancer patients and benign breast diseases group (p = 0.0531). Moreover, lower expression of tRF-17-79MP9PP was observed in patients with higher TNM stages (stages I–II vs. stages III–IV: p = 0.0310) and lymph node metastasis (p = 0.0474). Meanwhile, we detected the expression level of tRF-17-79MP9PP in tissue from 16 pairs of breast cancer samples and found that tRF-17-79MP9PP level were significantly decreased in tumor tissues than NATs (p = 0.0002, Figure 2B). In our previous study, we found that another tRNA fragment, 5’-tiRNAVal was also under-expressed in serum samples of breast cancer (12). Whether there is a correlation between these two tRNA fragments, although they are different types. The correlation between 5’-tiRNAVal and tRF-17-79MP9PP in serum was analyzed with spearman correlation test. Intriguingly, a significant correlation was revealed (r = 0.418, p = 0.0009; Figure 2C). However, no significant correlation was observed between 5’-tiRNAVal and tRF-17-79MP9PP in tissue (p = 0.2324). We preliminarily predicted that such results are probably from small amount of tissue samples. This result revealed that tRF-17-79MP9PP may be similar to 5’-tiRNAVal in inhibiting breast cancer progression. Furthermore, we evaluated the power of tRF-17-79MP9PP to discriminate the healthy controls and breast cancer groups with the area under the ROC curve (AUC). As shown in Figure 2D the ROC-AUC of tRF-17-79MP9PP for differentiating patients from healthy control was 0.750 (95% CI: 0.648–0.852), with a cut-off value of 7.826 (sensitivity: 70.4%, specificity: 68.4%). The ROC-AUC of 0.681 was specified for stages I–II from healthy control with 70.4% sensitivity and 63.9% specificity, and a cut-off value of 4.488 yielded a sensitivity of 81.5% and specificity of 67.5 in stages III–IV (Figures 2E, F). While a ROC-AUC of 0.694 (95% CI, 0.565–0.823) differentiated non-lymph node metastasis of patients from control, with a sensitivity of 66.7% and a specificity of 68.4%. The sensitivity and specificity of differentiated lymph node metastasis of patients from control was 81.5 and 63.2%, respectively (Figures 2G, H). The above results demonstrated that tRF-17-79MP9PP levels may be as a potential diagnostic marker for breast cancer.

tRF-17-79MP9PP Suppresses Breast Cancer Cell Proliferation, Migration and Invasion

Next, we detected the expression levels of tRF-17-79MP9PP in breast cancer cells, MCF-7, BT549, T-47D and MDA-MB-231. qRT-PCR analysis showed that tRF-17-79MP9PP levels were significantly lower in MCF-7 and BT549 cells than in MCF-10A cells (Figure 3A). Further investigation the biological roles of...
tRF-17-79MP9PP in breast cancer, we transfected the tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics and control RNA into MCF-7 and BT549 cells. The CCK-8 assay and colony formation assay demonstrated that overexpression of tRF-17-79MP9PP significantly decreased the growth rate and colony formation of MCF-7 and BT549 cells (Figures 3B, C). The level of DNA synthesis, as examined using the EdU incorporation assay, was significantly reduced in tRF-17-79MP9PP transfected cells compared to control cells (Figure 3D). Moreover, cell cycle analysis showed that overexpression of tRF-17-79MP9PP significantly increased the percentage of cells in the G1 peak while decreasing the percentage of cells in the S phase (Figure 3E). Meanwhile, we also found that tRF-17-79MP9PP overexpression markedly reduced the migration and invasion of breast cancer cells (Figure 3F).

**GO and KEGG Enrichment Analysis of tRF-17-79MP9PP Target Genes**

Some studies have demonstrated that tRFs with a similar function to miRNAs can sequence-specifically silence the
mRNA expression (17). We therefore have analyzed potential target genes of tRF-17-79MP9PP with GO and KEGG enrichment analysis to explore the molecular mechanism of tRF-17-79MP9PP in breast cancer. The results of GO analysis of the DEGs-tRF-17-79MP9PP target genes are shown in Figure 4A, which revealed that these target genes were significantly enriched in biological processes (BP) of regulation of protein secretion, regulation of RNA metabolic process, RNA biosynthetic process and endomembrane system organization. The significant cellular component (CC) terms included protein complex, nucleus, macromolecular complex, membrane-bounded organelle and intracellular part. Molecular function (MF) terms included DNA binding transcription factor activity, transcription regulator activity, signal transducer, downstream of...
receptor, with serine/threonine kinase activity, sequence-specific DNA binding and DNA binding.

Enriched signaling pathways for target genes of tRF-17-79MP9PP identified by KEGG pathway analysis were ranked according to the P-values (Table 1). In total, 103 host genes had KEGG pathway annotations. The significant 19 signaling pathways included AMPK signaling pathway, ECM-receptor interaction, focal adhesion, antigen processing and

FIGURE 3 | tRF-17-79MP9PP suppresses breast cancer cell malignant activity. (A) The expression of tRF-17-79MP9PP in normal mammary epithelial cell lines MCF-10A and breast cancer cells. (B) Cell viability of breast cancer cell lines after transfection with tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics or mimics control and detection by CCK-8 assay. (C, D) Colony formation assay and Edu assay of treated cells. (E) Cell cycle analysis in treated cells by flow cytometry. (F) Representative images and bar graphs were depicted to investigate the migration and invasion ability in treated cells. *p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01, statistically significant.
presentation, TGF-beta signaling pathway, platelet activation and so on.

Subsequently, we found that a common pathway identified with GO and KEGG enrichment analysis was antigen processing and presentation (GO ID: 0019882; Pathway ID: hsa04612), which decrease the scope in gene selection. Among them, the genes contained in GO 0019882 were TAP1, PSMA8, PSMD9, THBS1, KIF3A, HLA-DPA1, RAB10; Pathway hsa04612 contained the following genes: HLA-DPA1, NFYA, RFX5, TAPI. The above experimental data confirmed that tRF-17-79MP9PP could inhibit breast malignant activities of cancer cells, so its target gene should be associated with tumor cell invasion, metastasis or proliferation. Based on the rationale, four candidate genes (THBS1, KIF3A, RAB10, RFX5) were selected after a literature review (18–23). Further analysis revealed that tRF-17-79MP9PP had “seed sequences”, which may bind to the 3’UTR of the four candidate genes according to TargetScan and miRanda programs (Figure 4B).

**tRF-17-79MP9PP Reduces THBS1 Expression by Directly Targeting Its 3’UTR**

The expression of four candidate genes was further validated using qRT-PCR. THBS1 mRNA levels were significantly downregulated by tRF-17-79MP9PP in MCF-7 cells and BT549 cells compared with the negative controls (Figure 5A). In addition, overexpression of tRF-17-79MP9PP induced a significant decrease of THBS1 protein levels in cells (Figure 5B). These results suggest that THBS1 expression is down-regulated by tRF-17-79MP9PP in breast cancer cells.

In order to further explore the regulation of THBS1 by tRF-17-79MP9PP, we constructed vectors expressing of 3’UTR of
TABLE 1 | KEGG pathway analysis for predicted target genes of tRF-17-79MP9PP.

| Genes                                      | Definition                                                                 | Selection counts | p-value       |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| HAS04152                                   | AMPK signaling pathway, pluripotency                                  | 8                | 0.000319672   |
| HAS05033                                   | Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells                 | 7                | 0.0028578204  |
| HAS04550                                   | Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells                 | 6                | 0.003429806   |
| HAS04670                                   | ECM-receptor interaction                                                 | 5                | 0.005447883   |
| HAS04512                                   | Focal adhesion                                                           | 5                | 0.008804929   |
| HAS04130                                   | Autophagy−animal                                                        | 4                | 0.01024463    |
| HAS04670                                   | SNARE interactions in vesicular transport                               | 3                | 0.011888739   |
| HAS04933                                   | HIF-1 signaling pathway                                                 | 3                | 0.01391275    |
| HAS04668                                   | TNF signaling pathway                                                   | 5                | 0.01448136    |
| HAS04151                                   | PI3K-Akt signaling pathway                                              | 10               | 0.02949896    |
| HAS04211                                   | Antigen processing and presentation                                     | 4                | 0.03212829    |
| HAS04380                                   | Longevity regulating pathway                                            | 4                | 0.03924477    |
| HAS04360                                   | Osteoclast differentiation                                               | 5                | 0.03720821    |

Attenuation of THBS1 Expression Rescues the tRF-17-79MP9PP-Mediated Inhibitory Effects on Breast Cancer Cells

THBS1 was the first member to be identified in the thrombospondins family and is a main player in the tumor microenvironment (24). However, the role of THBS1 in breast cancer development has not been characterized. First at all, we analyzed the expression and prognostic value of THBS1 in public databases: TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas; http://ualcan.path.uab.edu). Statistical analysis in TCGA database revealed that no significant difference of THBS1 expression was observed between cancer and normal samples (Figure 6A). Nevertheless, when patients were segregated based upon cancer TNM stage, the levels of THBS1 in stage III was significantly higher than normal samples in TCGA breast invasive carcinoma database (p = 0.041; Figure 6B). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis indicated that the overall survival in breast cancer patients with high THBS1 expression was significantly reduced in TCGA breast invasive carcinoma database (p = 0.0075, Figure 6C).

To determine whether the dysregulation of THBS1 is involved in the regulation of cell proliferation, migration and invasion by tRF-17-79MP9PP, we used specific siRNAs against THBS1 to knock down THBS1 expression and verified that si-1855 and si-3461 have relatively high knockdown efficiency for both MCF-7 and BT549, respectively (Figure 6D). These two siRNAs were used in the following research. As shown in Figure 6E, co-transfected with THBS1 siRNA markedly abolished the elevated expression of THBS1 induced by the tRF-17-79MP9PP inhibitor in breast cancer cells by a “rescue” experiment. Furthermore, reduction of THBS1 expression also partially recovered the effects of tRF-17-79MP9PP inhibition on breast cancer cell viability, invasion and migration compared to that in the control group (Figures 6F–H). These data revealed that tRF-17-79MP9PP inhibits breast cancer cell malignant activities in a THBS1-mediated manner.

**tRF-17-79MP9PP Expression Suppresses the TGF-β1/Smad3 Pathway Through Targeting THBS1**

Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is regulated by multiple signaling pathways including TGFβ, AKT, Notch and Wnt (25–27). Some experiments suggest that the TGF-β1...
mediated stimulation of THBS1 expression is a common mechanism governing many types of epithelial and mesenchymal cells in the tumor microenvironment (28). Subsequently, to validate the expression correlation between THBS1 and TGF-β1, and their related genes in clinical breast cancer specimens, we used TCGA data to perform co-expression analyses via GEPIA (Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis; http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html). As shown in Figure 7A, THBS1 expression was positively associated with TGF-β1 (r = 0.11, p < 0.001) and Smad3 (r = 0.39, p < 0.001) in breast cancer. The ELISA results also demonstrated that the level of TGF-β1 in the supernatants of tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics transfected cells was lower than that in control cells, indicating that tRF-17-79MP9PP inhibited the secretion of TGF-β1 (Figure 7B).

Therefore, we hypothesized that tRF-17-79MP9PP-mediated THBS1 inhibition may lead to the inactivation of TGF-β1/Smad3 signaling pathway in breast cancer cells (Figure 7C). We detected the protein expression of THBS1, TGF-β1, Smad3, phosphorylation of smad3 (p-Smad3) and other proteins related to EMT in breast cancer cells transfected with tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics or controls. The western blot assay showed that the expression levels of Smad3, p-Smad3, TGF-β1, THBS1, MMP-9, MMP-3 and N-cadherin protein were decreased by the tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics (Figure 7D). And then, we treated transfected tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics cells with 5ng/ml Recombined Human TGF-β1 (PeproTech, USA) for 24 h, and analyzed the downstream protein expression. As shown in Figure 7E, TGF-β1 caused an increase in Smad3, p-Smad3, MMP3, MMP-9 and N-cadherin expression, but the effect was partially reversed by adding tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics in MCF-7 cells. Unexpectedly, the inhibitory effect of tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics on THBS1 was not obvious after TGF-β1 treatment.

To further verify the influence of tRF-17-79MP9PP on regulation of TGF-β1/Smad3 signaling pathway through THBS1,
tRF-17-79MP9PP inhibitor and si-THBS1 were co-transfected into breast cancer cells. In the Figure 7F, we found that THBS1 silence partially reversed the inhibition effect of tRF-17-79MP9PP on TGF-β1 protein expression in cells. Taken together, these data suggested that tRF-17-79MP9PP can suppress the TGF-β1/Smad3 signaling pathway by targeting THBS1 in breast cancer cells.

DISCUSSION

Breast cancer is still the leading cause of cancer-related deaths in worldwide women due to late diagnosis, lack of treatment options and cancer heterogeneity. Although decades of research have provided considerable insight into the multistep metastatic process, the molecular basis of breast cancer development process remains largely unknown (29). With the development of sequencing technology, new types of small non-coding RNAs including tRNAs and their derivatives have been identified in different cancer types (11, 30, 31), including ovarian cancer (32), gastric cancer (33) and colorectal cancer (34). As our group has previously reported tRF&tRNA in breast cancer (15), one of the tRFs, tRF-17-79MP9PP might affect breast cancer as well. tRF-17-79MP9PP is a 5’-tRF fragment and mainly located in the cytoplasm. Here we demonstrated that tRF-17-79MP9PP...
was markedly downregulated in breast cancer cells, tissue and serum samples, and showed a negative correlation with cancer TNM stage and lymph node metastasis. Meanwhile, the ROC-AUC for tRF-17-79MP9PP was 0.750 (95% CI, 0.648–0.852) for differentiating all breast cancer patients from healthy controls with 70.4% sensitivity and 68.4% specificity at a cut-off value of 7.826. Because of the limited number of cases, the diagnostic efficacy of tRF-17-79MP9PP is not ideal. Next, we will increase the number of research subjects to further evaluate the diagnostic efficacy and therapeutic effect of tRF-17-79MP9PP for breast cancer.

**FIGURE 7** | tRF-17-79MP9PP regulates TGF-β1 signaling pathway. (A) The expression correlation between THBS1 and TGF-β1 and Smad3 genes in clinical breast cancer specimens. (B) The amounts of TGF-β1 in the culture supernatants of MCF-7 and BT549 cells determined by ELISA. Data represent three independent experiments. (C) Proposed working model. tRF-17-79MP9PP-mediated THBS1 inhibition may lead to the inactivation of TGF-β1/Smad3 signaling pathway in breast cancer cells. (D) Western-blot analysis protein levels in breast cancer cells transfected with tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics or control. (E) Western-blot analysis protein levels in transfected cells with TGF-β1 treatment in MCF-7 cell. (F) Western-blot analysis protein levels in MCF-7 and BT549 cells co-transfected with tRF-17-79MP9PP inhibitor and si-THBS1. β-actin or GAPDH was used as a loading control. *p < 0.05 statistically significant.
In addition, we detected that overexpression of tRF-17-79MP9PP significantly suppresses the ability of breast cancer cells proliferation, migration and invasion. The above results revealed that tRF-17-79MP9PP may act as an inhibitor in breast cancer.

tRFs, which play a similar role with miRNA by silencing a series of target gene expression, acting in a similar way by Argonaut proteins interaction and involving Dicer in their generation (30, 31). Some tRFs are known to directly bind mRNA target in manner similar to canonical miRNAs, such as APOER2 as an endogenous target of tRF5-GluCTC in respiratory tract infection caused by respiratory syncytial virus (35), the generation of tRF-CU1276 is dependent on Dicer1, which can be associated with Argonaut proteins and inhibit proliferation and modulates the molecular response to DNA damage by silencing expression of its target gene PRA1 in B cell lymphoma (36). In this study, we found that tRF-17-79MP9PP downregulated its target gene THBS1. Immediately after, luciferase assay was used to verify the target site of tRF-17-79MP9PP, which demonstrated that the predicted site in the 3’-UTR of THBS1 was capable of binding tRF-17-79MP9PP.

THBS1 has been identified as a protein that is released by activated platelets, which is factors sharing pro-fibrotic and antiangiogenic properties (28, 37). This gene was considered as the most effective pro-fibrotic factor involved in the development of myofibrosis and other fibrotic states (38, 39). Some studies suggest that THBS1 to be a biomarker for monitoring a primary myofibrosis targeted therapy (37). Moreover, THBS1 is a secreted protein that acts in the tumor microenvironment to inhibit angiogenesis, regulate antitumor immunity, tumor cell migration, and influence the activities of extracellular proteases and growth factor, which plays an important role in tumor progression (18, 40). In our study, we also found that the levels of THBS1 in advance stage were significantly higher than normal samples in TCGA breast invasive carcinoma database. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis demonstrated that the overall survival of breast cancer patients with high THBS1 expression was significantly decreased in TCGA breast invasive carcinoma database. Importantly, rescue experiments and functional assays displayed that the suppression of THBS1 partially abolished the inhibitory effect of tRF-17-79MP9PP on proliferation and metastasis of MCF-7 and BT549 cells.

THBS1 is also identified as a major physiological activator of TGF-β, a potent elicitor of EMT (41). A previous study showed that THBS1 is the chief tumor-specific ECM protein that froms the tumor microenvironment collaboratively with TGF-β1 to favor oral squamous cell carcinoma invasion (28). However, it remains unclear whether THBS1 participates in breast cancer. Shen et al. once reported a new signal axis, YAP/THBS1/FAK, in the modulation of adhesion and invasiveness of breast cancer (42). In the present study, we showed that THBS1 expression was positively associated with TGF-β1 and Smad3 in clinical breast cancer specimens by use of public database. Therefore, we hypothesized that tRF-17-79MP9PP might contribute to breast cancer progression via the THBS1/TGF-β1/Smad3 pathway. Our data suggested that tRF-17-79MP9PP inhibits the THBS1-mediated TGF-β1/Smad3 pathway and the EMT related genes (MMP3, MMP-9 and N-cadherin) in breast cancer cells. Stimulation of THBS1 expression by TGF-β1 was observed in oral squamous cell carcinoma, lung carcinoma cells A549, skin keratinocytes HaCaT, and rat proximal tubular epithelial cells NRK52E (28, 43–45). And then, we treated transfected tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics cells with TGF-β1, and analyzed THBS1 expression and downstream protein expression. Interestingly, tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics partially attenuated TGF-β1-induced Smad3, p-Smad3 and EMT related protein expression. Unfortunately, the effect was not obvious for THBS1. A partial explanation for the result might be exogenous TGF-β1 consumed tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics and weakened its effect on THBS1. Another possible reason is that the stimulation of THBS1 by TGF-β1 was stronger than the repression of THBS1 by tRF-17-79MP9PP mimics, so that THBS1 expression level in mimics group is similar to that of the control group. We will also further explore other possible reasons. Even though, we found that reduction of THBS1 expression partially reversed the inhibition effect of tRF-17-79MP9PP on TGF-β1 protein expression in cells in the present study, as well as in the previous study showing the addition of THBS1 antibody, αvβ3 and CD47 reduced TGF-β1 activation in the MCF-7 breast cancer cells treated with tamoxifen (46). The results suggest that tRF-17-79MP9PP may regulate TGF-β1 through THBS1 in breast cancer cells.

In sum, we confirmed that tRF-17-79MP9PP significantly suppresses cells malignant activities as a new tumor-suppressor through the THBS1/TGF-β1/Smad3 axis in breast cancer. The study might provide a potential diagnostic and therapeutic target for breast cancer.
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