Surface Chemistry Dependence on Aluminum Doping in Ni-rich LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.2-y}Al_{y}O_{2} Cathodes
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Aluminum is a common dopant across oxide cathodes for improving the bulk and cathode-electrolyte interface (CEI) stability. Aluminum in the bulk is known to enhance structural and thermal stability, yet the exact influence of aluminum at the CEI remains unclear. To address this, we utilized a combination of X-ray photoelectron and absorption spectroscopy to identify aluminum surface environments and extent of transition metal reduction for Ni-rich LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.2-y}Al_{y}O_{2} (0%, 5%, or 20% Al) layered oxide cathodes tested at 4.75 V under thermal stress (60 °C). For these tests, we compared the conventional LiPF₆ salt with the more thermally stable LiBF₄ salt. The CEI layers are inherently different between these two electrolyte salts, particularly for the highest level of Al-doping (20%) where a thicker (thinner) CEI layer is found for LiPF₆ (LiBF₄). Focusing on the aluminum environment, we reveal the type of surface aluminum species are dependent on the electrolyte salt, as Al-o-f- and Al-f-like species form when using LiPF₆ and LiBF₄, respectively. In both cases, we find cathode-electrolyte reactions drive the formation of a protective Al-F-like barrier at the CEI in Al-doped oxide cathodes.

Ni-rich layered oxides remain at the forefront of research on practical high-energy density Li-ion battery cathodes¹⁻⁴. Several cathode degradation pathways hinder the full utilization of these systems, contributing to poor cycling performance beyond 4.3 V⁵⁻⁸ and limiting practical capacities of Ni-rich layered oxide cathodes to around 200 mAh/g¹⁻³. At the cathode-electrolyte interface (CEI), cathode degradation involves a combination of oxygen loss⁹⁻¹¹, phase transformations¹², and transition metal dissolution¹³,¹⁴ at high voltages that promote capacity fade⁶,⁷ and impedance growth¹⁵.

Cathode and electrolyte modifications are often employed to inhibit these degradation pathways to improve high voltage stability¹⁶⁻¹⁹. A primary goal of these modifications is to overcome or limit problems that arise from the instability of the LiPF₆ salt, which is known to decompose and form HF and POF₂²°,²¹ at high voltages or under thermal stress. These species are highly reactive, readily attack the layered oxide material, and play a key role in transition metal (TM) reduction and dissolution at the CEI²². Coating layers are used as a barrier to eliminate direct contact of these species with the TMs and thereby improve CEI stability. Out of the various materials tested as coating layers, aluminum oxide compounds have consistently demonstrated increased long-term stability and superior performance²³⁻²⁵. In contrast to the bare layered oxide surface, aluminum oxide coatings promote the formation of a thicker CEI layer consisting of P-O-F, Al-O-F, and TM-F species²⁶,²⁷. This thicker layer is considered to inhibit continued cathode degradation and subsequent dissolution. Al-doping is also known to improve high voltage performance²⁶,²⁷ leading to its use in both Co- and Ni-rich layered oxide cathodes³⁸, though there remain few direct studies of aluminum at the CEI layer in these systems. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
Al-free layered oxides can provide insight into the influence of Al-doping on the CEI layer formation and stability associated primarily with the Ni$_3$ species. Electrochemical profiles for these two testing conditions for LNC, NCA, and LNA using LiPF$_6$ are shown in Fig. 1. Here, we examine the CEI layer for layered oxides with 0% (LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.2}$O$_2$/LNC), 5% (LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$/NCA), and 20% (LiNi$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.2}$O$_2$/LNA) Al-doping held at 4.75 V (versus Li-metal) under thermal stress (60 °C) using either LiPF$_6$ or LiBF$_4$. In contrast to the minimal changes in the CEI layer composition for LNC (0% Al) and NCA (5% Al) under these conditions for the LiPF$_6$ salt, we found a pronounced increase in the CEI layer thicknessupon switching to LiBF$_4$, we found less electrolyte decomposition species and TM reduction at the CEI and only a third of the TM dissolution observed when using LiPF$_6$. Extended CV holding under these conditions still resulted in heat generation and impedance growth when using LiBF$_4$ but no exothermic reaction was observed. In this case, drastic differences in CEI layer formation between these two salts were found for the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ (NCA) cathode. Extension of this investigation to Al-doped and Al-free layered oxides can provide insight into the influence of Al-doping on the CEI layer formation and stability between these two electrolyte salts.

**Results**

**CEI layer when using LiPF$_6$.** The influence of Al-doping on the CEI layer was evaluated for Ni-rich layered oxides (Li$_{1−x}$Al$_x$O$_2$ where M is TM = Ni/Co) by comparing electrodes under two testing conditions: (1) charged to 4.75 V at room temperature (RT) with no CV hold and (2) charged to 4.75 V at 60 °C with a 10 hr CV hold. Electrochemical profiles for these two testing conditions for LNC, NCA, and LNA using LiPF$_6$ are shown in Fig. 1. X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) of the TM L$_3$-edges and depth-dependent X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were used to probe changes in TM oxidation states and chemical species at the CEI. HAXPES measurements of the Al 1s were performed to identify aluminum chemical environments. Peak fitting results for the Al 1s for the electrodes used within this study are given in Table S1. As initial Li-surface species, e.g. Li$_2$CO$_3$, LiOH, etc., are known to influence the surface reactivity of Ni-rich cathodes, all systems were stored and processed in a dry-room post-synthesis to minimize the formation of these species. Electrochemical testing conditions will be referred to as RT and 60 °C, respectively. 

**XAS measurements at the Ni L$_3$-edge (Fig. 2) in surface sensitive total electron yield (TEY) mode were used to evaluate the extent of nickel surface reduction for the LNC (0% Al), NCA (5% Al), and LNA (20% Al) electrodes. Based on the charge capacity to 4.75 V at RT (Fig. 1), ~0.7 Li or more has been removed from these systems associated primarily with the Ni$^{3+/4+}$ redox couple so that a ~Ni$^{4+}$ oxidation state is expected. The theoretically calculated lineshape of Ni$^{4+}$ shows a strong peak at 855.5 eV which can be contrasted with Ni$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ lineshapes that have stronger peaks at lower energies. Ni L$_3$-edge spectra of NiO and a commercial NCA (cNCA) electrode charged to 4.75 V at RT are included in Fig. 2 as a Ni$^{2+}$ and approximate Ni$^{4+}$ reference, respectively. Each of the

**Figure 1.** Electrochemical profiles for the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.2}$O$_2$ (LNC), LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ (NCA), and LiNi$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.2}$O$_2$ (LNA) compounds synthesized in-house when using LiPF$_6$ as the electrolyte salt. Solid lines are used to represent electrodes charged to 4.75 V at 10 mAh/g and at RT with no CV hold. Dotted lines represent electrodes charged to 4.75 V at 25 mAh/g followed by a 10 hr CV hold at 4.75 V, all at 60 °C.
RT electrodes shows a stronger 853 eV peak compared to the cNCA reference spectra relative to higher energy features, which is associated with increased Ni$^{2+}$. More Ni-reduction for the LNA, NCA, and LNC electrodes may be related to the smaller primary particles and higher surface area of these compounds relative to cNCA. For the 60°C electrodes, only the LNA system shows a pronounced change in the Ni L$_3$-edge lineshape associated with increased Ni-reduction compared to the RT electrodes (shading in Fig. 2). There is similarly limited change in the cobalt oxidation state for the LNC and NCA systems between 60°C and RT electrodes from the Co L$_3$-edge given in Fig. S1.

In Table 1, we give previously reported TM-dissolution percentages for 60°C LNC, NCA, LNA, and cNCA electrodes that were determined from inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES)\textsuperscript{38}. For the cNCA, there is less nickel and cobalt dissolution than the other compounds due to the lower surface area of this compound. Although only LNA electrodes show a pronounced increase in the extent of Ni-reduction from the Ni L$_3$-edge between RT and 60°C electrodes, a similar amount of nickel dissolution was observed for all three systems. The amount of Ni-dissolution in the Co-containing compounds (NCA and LNC) is actually higher than the parent LiNiO$_2$ with 0.97% Ni-dissolution which was attributed to cobalt catalysing the dissolution process\textsuperscript{38}. Also, it is important to note that the disordered LNA material used for these measurements had much higher nickel dissolution then an ordered LNA sample with 20% Al-doping (0.28%)\textsuperscript{38}. As discussed in this previous study\textsuperscript{38}, this higher dissolution is likely a direct result of the disordering and not the higher Al-doping level.

XPS measurements of the O 1s and P 2p core regions further reveal distinct differences between the 20% Al-doped LNA and the NCA/LNC 60°C electrodes. In the O 1s core region (Fig. 3a), the lower binding energy peak at 529 eV is assigned to lattice oxygen (LiM$_{1-y}$Al$_y$O$_2$) and higher energy peaks, >530 eV, are assigned primarily to oxygen species in the near-surface region\textsuperscript{39}. In the 2p core region (Fig. 3b), the main peak at 135.2 eV is assigned to P-O-F species resulting from LiPF$_6$ decomposition\textsuperscript{23,25,28}. For the LNA electrode, we find an increase in the 532 eV O 1s peak and P-O-F P 2p peak compared to the NCA and LNC systems. Additional XPS measurements of the C 1s, Li 1s and F 1s core regions (Fig. S2) show minimal evidence of new lithium or carbon species forming between the 60°C electrodes. Therefore, the 532 eV O 1s peak for LNA is primarily associated with the formation of surface P-O-F species based on its concurrent increase with the P 2p peak and lack of new carbon species. These same species form on the NCA surface after longer holding at 4.75 V\textsuperscript{38} but not as prominently on the LNC surface (Fig. S3), pointing towards the role of aluminum in driving these species formation at the surface. Competing effects between cobalt catalyzing dissolution and aluminum driving buildup of P-O-F species likely dictate the CEI composition of NCA.

**Figure 2.** Ni L$_3$-edge for LNA, NCA, and LNC electrodes charged to 4.75 V at RT with no CV and to 4.75 V at 60°C and held at 4.75 V for 10 hrs at 60°C. NiO (Ni$^{2+}$) and cNCA electrode charged to 4.75 V at RT (~Ni$^{4+}$) are included as reference spectra.

| Material     | Average %Ni dissolved | Average %Co dissolved |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| LNA (20% Al) | 2.08                   | —                     |
| NCA (5% Al)  | 1.78                   | 1.77                  |
| LNC (0% Al)  | 1.75                   | 1.86                  |
| cNCA (5% Al) | 0.82                   | 0.68                  |

**Table 1.** Ni and Co metal dissolution measurements by ICP-OES based on a Li-metal negative electrode and separator retrieved from LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.2-\gamma}$Al$_{\gamma}$O$_2$ cells that were charged to 4.75 V at 25 mA/g and held at 4.75 V for 10 hrs at 60°C. These results were previously published in ref.\textsuperscript{38}. 

RT electrodes shows a stronger 853 eV peak compared to the cNCA reference spectra relative to higher energy features, which is associated with increased Ni$^{2+}$. More Ni-reduction for the LNA, NCA, and LNC electrodes may be related to the smaller primary particles and higher surface area of these compounds relative to cNCA. For the 60°C electrodes, only the LNA system shows a pronounced change in the Ni L$_3$-edge lineshape associated with increased Ni-reduction compared to the RT electrodes (shading in Fig. 2). There is similarly limited change in the cobalt oxidation state for the LNC and NCA systems between 60°C and RT electrodes from the Co L$_3$-edge given in Fig. S1.

In Table 1, we give previously reported TM-dissolution percentages for 60°C LNC, NCA, LNA, and cNCA electrodes that were determined from inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES)\textsuperscript{38}. For the cNCA, there is less nickel and cobalt dissolution than the other compounds due to the lower surface area of this compound. Although only LNA electrodes show a pronounced increase in the extent of Ni-reduction from the Ni L$_3$-edge between RT and 60°C electrodes, a similar amount of nickel dissolution was observed for all three systems. The amount of Ni-dissolution in the Co-containing compounds (NCA and LNC) is actually higher than the parent LiNiO$_2$ with 0.97% Ni-dissolution which was attributed to cobalt catalysing the dissolution process\textsuperscript{38}. Also, it is important to note that the disordered LNA material used for these measurements had much higher nickel dissolution then an ordered LNA sample with 20% Al-doping (0.28%)\textsuperscript{38}. As discussed in this previous study\textsuperscript{38}, this higher dissolution is likely a direct result of the disordering and not the higher Al-doping level.

XPS measurements of the O 1s and P 2p core regions further reveal distinct differences between the 20% Al-doped LNA and the NCA/LNC 60°C electrodes. In the O 1s core region (Fig. 3a), the lower binding energy peak at 529 eV is assigned to lattice oxygen (LiM$_{1-y}$Al$_y$O$_2$) and higher energy peaks, >530 eV, are assigned primarily to oxygen species in the near-surface region\textsuperscript{39}. In the 2p core region (Fig. 3b), the main peak at 135.2 eV is assigned to P-O-F species resulting from LiPF$_6$ decomposition\textsuperscript{23,25,28}. For the LNA electrode, we find an increase in the 532 eV O 1s peak and P-O-F P 2p peak compared to the NCA and LNC systems. Additional XPS measurements of the C 1s, Li 1s and F 1s core regions (Fig. S2) show minimal evidence of new lithium or carbon species forming between the 60°C electrodes. Therefore, the 532 eV O 1s peak for LNA is primarily associated with the formation of surface P-O-F species based on its concurrent increase with the P 2p peak and lack of new carbon species. These same species form on the NCA surface after longer holding at 4.75 V\textsuperscript{38} but not as prominently on the LNC surface (Fig. S3), pointing towards the role of aluminum in driving these species formation at the surface. Competing effects between cobalt catalyzing dissolution and aluminum driving buildup of P-O-F species likely dictate the CEI composition of NCA.

**Figure 2.** Ni L$_3$-edge for LNA, NCA, and LNC electrodes charged to 4.75 V at RT with no CV and to 4.75 V at 60°C and held at 4.75 V for 10 hrs at 60°C. NiO (Ni$^{2+}$) and cNCA electrode charged to 4.75 V at RT (~Ni$^{4+}$) are included as reference spectra.
In our previous study, we highlighted how electrochemical and thermal stress during extended CV holding at high voltages and RT or 60 °C influenced surface aluminum environments for the cNCA system. Here, we use a combination of photon-dependent XPS of the Al 1s and Al 2p core regions combined with specialized NMR methods to examine this further for Al-doped layered oxides. In Fig. 4a, we show peak fits of the Al 1s core region for RT and 60 °C LNA electrodes. The first three peaks at 1559.55, 1560.4, and 1561.2 eV are assigned to lattice LiM1−yAlyO2 and Al-O-like environments as indicated in the figure. The two higher binding energy Al-O-like peaks are primarily associated with surface environments based on our previous depth-dependent measurements of the Al 1s/Al 2p core regions and results shown within this current study. This may be an indication of aluminum segregation into aluminum oxide and lithium aluminate environments in the first few nm. Comparison of the cNCA, NCA, and LNA electrodes indicate that these Al-O species are material dependent with cNCA containing more of these environments (Fig. S4 & Table S1).

For the LNA electrode held under thermal stress at 60 °C, we found the emergence of a higher binding energy peak at 1562.7 eV that is either absent or weak in RT LNA electrodes (Figs. 4a & S4). To help with the identification of this new higher binding energy peak, we performed depth-dependent XPS measurements of the Al 2p core region at 0.8 and 6 keV with probing depths of ~2.5 and ~23 nm, respectively. In sub-surface sensitive measurements (6 keV), we find the Al 2p unchanged between RT and 60 °C LNA electrodes. At the surface (0.8 keV), the 60 °C electrode shows a new Al 2p peak at 75.5 eV, which is between Al-O, LiAlO2 (73.6 eV) and Al2O3 (73.8 eV), and AlF3 (76.6 eV). Therefore, these higher binding energy Al 1s (1562.6 eV) and Al 2p (75.5 eV) peaks are likely associated with various surface Al-O-F environments, similar to what has been found to form in previous aluminum oxide coating studies. New peaks at 1562.7 eV are also observed for 60 °C NCA and cNCA electrodes when using LiPF6 (Fig. S4 & Table S1).

In order to provide additional insights into the nature of Al-O-F species observed in XPS, solid-state magic-angle spinning (MAS) 27Al and 19F NMR experiments were performed on a duplicate 60 °C LNA electrode. As we noted in our earlier NMR studies on cNCA, the paramagnetic behavior of the electrode samples (due to sources of unpaired electron spin such as Ni2+) induces shortened spin-lattice (T1) and spin-spin (T2) relaxation constants, which can render spectral acquisition challenging and necessitates fast MAS rates and short recycle delays. Nonetheless, a 27Al Hahn echo spectrum (Fig. 4c, top) could be acquired and reveals two resonances at ~25 ppm (broad) and ~3 ppm (sharp); the lower frequency resonance also shows a shoulder at roughly ~10 ppm. The broad feature at ~25 ppm is assigned to bulk octahedral Al in the lattice, in agreement with Gaudin et al. and our prior 27Al NMR studies of cycled LCA and LNA phases. This broad resonance arises from a distribution of 27Al shifts with differing numbers of Al or Ni neighbors.

Figure 3. XPS measurements of the (a) O 1s and (b) P 2p core regions for LNA, NCA, and LNC electrodes charged to 4.75 V and held at 4.75 V for 10 hrs using the LiPF6 salt, all at 60 °C.
Interestingly, the sharp $^{27}$Al feature with a lower-frequency shoulder is in the shift range for six-coordinate Al in Al$_2$O$_3$ phases (~0 ppm) as well as that in hydrated AlF$_3$ phases (−15 to −20 ppm); shifts at lower frequency are associated with greater numbers of neighboring F. To confirm if these features arise from Al in proximity to F, we performed $^{27}$Al NMR spectra, recorded at 16.4 T and 54 kHz MAS, using a Hahn echo pulse sequence (top) and the difference spectrum acquired with the $^{27}$Al [$^{19}$F ] REDOR method (bottom), showing $^{27}$Al resonances that arise from sites in proximity to $^{19}$F. (d) $^{19}$F NMR spectra recorded at 16.4 T and 50 kHz MAS, using MATPASS pulse sequence to suppress sidebands (top, isotropic slice shown), and difference spectra acquired using $^{19}$F [7Li ] and $^{19}$F [$^{27}$Al ] TRAPDOR (middle and bottom, respectively), showing $^{19}$F resonances arising from sites spatially close to 7Li and $^{27}$Al, respectively. Asterisks denote spinning sidebands.

Additional support for assignment of F-containing surface species is provided by $^{19}$F NMR measurements (Fig. 4d). The topmost $^{19}$F spectrum, which is the isotropic slice from a magic-angle turning and phase-adjusted sideband separation (MATPASS) experiment, shows at least five distinct resonances; the feature at −85 ppm is readily assigned to organic decomposition species such as OPF$_2$OR and OPF(OR)$_2$ (where R = Me, Et) in agreement with Campion et al., and corroborates the formation of P-O-F species observed in XPS measurements (Fig. 3). Moreover, the resonance at −205 ppm is consistent with LiF.

The remaining $^{19}$F resonances (at −145, −159 and −183 ppm) are more difficult to rationalize in the first instance. We previously observed $^{19}$F NMR features at −145 and −183 ppm in our study of CEI formation on cNCA using a LiPF$_6$-based electrolyte, but at that time were unable to assign these to specific phases. To aid in assignment in the present work, we carried out $^{19}$F [7Li ] and $^{19}$F [$^{27}$Al ] transfer population in double resonance (TRAPDOR) experiments, which selectively reveal $^{19}$F resonances in proximity to $^{27}$Al and 7Li, respectively. The resonance at −145 ppm is only observed in the $^{19}$F [7Li ] TRAPDOR difference spectrum (Fig. 4d, middle), showing that this feature arises from a lithiated fluoride phase that is distinct from LiF (−204 ppm). Recent work by Chupas et al. suggests that the sharp $^{27}$Al feature with a lower-frequency shoulder is in the shift range for six-coordinate Al in Al$_2$O$_3$ phases (~0 ppm) as well as that in hydrated AlF$_3$ phases (−15 to −20 ppm). Shifts at lower frequency are associated with greater numbers of neighboring F. To confirm if these features arise from Al in proximity to F, we performed $^{27}$Al NMR spectra, recorded at 16.4 T and 54 kHz MAS, using a Hahn echo pulse sequence (top) and the difference spectrum acquired with the $^{27}$Al [$^{19}$F ] REDOR method (bottom), showing $^{27}$Al resonances that arise from sites in proximity to $^{19}$F. (d) $^{19}$F NMR spectra recorded at 16.4 T and 50 kHz MAS, using MATPASS pulse sequence to suppress sidebands (top, isotropic slice shown), and difference spectra acquired using $^{19}$F [7Li ] and $^{19}$F [$^{27}$Al ] TRAPDOR (middle and bottom, respectively), showing $^{19}$F resonances arising from sites spatially close to 7Li and $^{27}$Al, respectively. Asterisks denote spinning sidebands.
Clément et al.\textsuperscript{47} also reported a similar $^{19}$F shift at $-144$ ppm in cycled Li\textsubscript{1.15}Ni\textsubscript{0.45}Ti\textsubscript{0.3}Mo\textsubscript{0.1}O\textsubscript{1.85}F\textsubscript{0.15}, which was reversible upon cycling and was ascribed to lithiated fluoride on or at the electrode surface. The exact composition of this phase remains unclear, but the resonance does not appear in the $^{19}$F \{\textsuperscript{27}Al\} TRAPDOR difference spectrum (Fig. 4d, bottom), so we assert that it is unrelated to the Al-O-F species. On the other hand, the feature resonating at $-183$ ppm appears in both TRAPDOR difference spectra, suggesting proximity to both $^{27}$Al and $^7$Li. On this basis, we assign this resonance to the Al-O-F species observed with XPS, which must also contain Li in close proximity. The $^{19}$F shift of $-183$ ppm is also reminiscent of, but distinct from, that of anhydrous AlF\textsubscript{3} ($-172$ ppm)\textsuperscript{44}. The width of this feature and the appearance of a higher-frequency shoulder is also consistent with a distribution of different local $^{19}$F environments expected from a disordered CEI. Finally, we tentatively assign the resonance at $-159$ ppm to residual HF arising from LiPF\textsubscript{6} decomposition, possibly in a hydrogen-bonded complex, given that in prior work of Shenderovich et al.\textsuperscript{48}, FHF$^+$ was observed to resonate at $-155$ ppm.

Returning to the 0.8 and 6 keV XPS measurements and focusing on the nickel environment (Fig. 4b), the main Ni 3p peak shifts towards higher binding energy for the 60 °C LNA electrode in surface sensitive 0.8 keV measurements. This shift is consistent with the formation of Ni-F environments based on comparison to NiF\textsubscript{2} reference spectra. Under these testing conditions, new Ni-F environments are only observed for the LNA system and not found in similar depth-dependent XPS measurements of NCA electrodes (Fig. S5). For the NCA and LNA systems (Fig. 4b, Fig. S5), the Li 1s core region shows minimal surface species at high voltages and no change under thermal stress associated with LiPF\textsubscript{6} electrolyte decomposition, i.e. no buildup of LiF or other lithium compounds at high voltages.

As part of our study on the formation of Al-O-F surface species (Fig. 5), we conducted depth-dependent measurements at 3, 6, and 9 keV on commercial NCA electrodes (cNCA) charged to 3.6 V at RT, and to 4.75 V and held at 4.75 V for 10 hrs at 60 °C using the LiPF\textsubscript{6} salt. The 9 keV measurements were collected using novel Lab-based Ga K $\alpha$ HAXPES system.

Figure 5. HAXPES measurements conducted at 3, 6, and 9 keV for commercial NCA electrodes (cNCA) charged to 3.6 V at RT, and to 4.75 V and held at 4.75 V for 10 hrs at 60 °C using the LiPF\textsubscript{6} salt. The 9 keV measurements were collected using novel Lab-based Ga K $\alpha$ HAXPES system.

For LNC, NCA, and LNA, similar electrochemical tests were conducted using the LiBF\textsubscript{4} salt to examine how switching to LiBF\textsubscript{4} impacts CEI formation. XAS measurements of the Ni L\textsubscript{3}-edge, shown in Fig. 6a, for RT and 60 °C electrodes were strikingly different from the LiPF\textsubscript{6} case where LNA showed the most pronounced increase in surface reduction (Fig. 2). Compared with the RT electrodes, LNA and LNC 60 °C electrodes show the smallest and largest increase in the 853 eV peak associated with reduced Ni$^{2+}$, respectively. An increase in Co-reduction was also observed for the NCA system when using the LiBF\textsubscript{4} salt, which can be contrasted with the minimal changes observed when using LiPF\textsubscript{6} (Fig. S1).

To further compare using LiPF\textsubscript{6} and LiBF\textsubscript{4}, we performed XPS measurements at 0.8 and 6 keV of the O 1s core region, shown in Fig. 6b. For the LiBF\textsubscript{4} case, there is a pronounced increase in surface oxygen peaks (shading in Fig. 6b) relative to the lattice LiM$_{1-x}$Al$_x$O$_2$ peak for the 60 °C electrode compared to the RT electrode. The

CEI layer when using LiBF\textsubscript{4}. For LNC, NCA, and LNA, similar electrochemical tests were conducted using the LiBF\textsubscript{4} salt to examine how switching to LiBF\textsubscript{4} impacts CEI formation. XAS measurements of the Ni L\textsubscript{3}-edge, shown in Fig. 6a, for RT and 60 °C electrodes were strikingly different from the LiPF\textsubscript{6} case where LNA showed the most pronounced increase in surface reduction (Fig. 2). Compared with the RT electrodes, LNA and LNC 60 °C electrodes show the smallest and largest increase in the 853 eV peak associated with reduced Ni$^{2+}$, respectively. An increase in Co-reduction was also observed for the NCA system when using the LiBF\textsubscript{4} salt, which can be contrasted with the minimal changes observed when using LiPF\textsubscript{6} (Fig. S1).

To further compare using LiPF\textsubscript{6} and LiBF\textsubscript{4}, we performed XPS measurements at 0.8 and 6 keV of the O 1s core region, shown in Fig. 6b. For the LiBF\textsubscript{4} case, there is a pronounced increase in surface oxygen peaks (shading in Fig. 6b) relative to the lattice LiM$_{1-x}$Al$_x$O$_2$ peak for the 60 °C electrode compared to the RT electrode. The
The relative ratio of surface oxygen species to lattice oxygen increases by a factor of 12 and 2 for the 0.8 and 6 keV measurements, respectively. As identified in Fig. 3, this increase is related to the formation of P-O-F species when using LiPF₆. Assuming this is a uniform overlayer, the ratio of the surface to lattice oxygen at 0.8 keV indicates a thickness of <2.25 nm. Yet, the change in the sub-surface 6 keV measurements cannot be accounted for by a 2.25 nm overlayer indicating it is not a uniform overlayer. Without using spatially resolved techniques it is difficult to approximate the distribution of this P-O-F layer at the surface. In contrast to the LiPF₆ case, there is limited change in either the surface or sub-surface XPS measurements when using the LiBF₄ salt. These trends in CEI layer composition when using LiPF₆ and LiBF₄ for the LNA system are further supported by complementary O K-edge measurements (Fig. S7). O K-edge spectra for LNC and NCA electrodes show only small changes for both electrolyte salts (Fig. S7).

For the LNA system, we have found limited TM reduction or new electrolyte decomposition species at the CEI for the 60 °C electrode compared to the RT electrode when using LiBF₄. We now turn to the Al 1s core region to determine how the LiBF₄ salt influences aluminum-electrolyte reactions. Peak fits of the Al 1s core region for RT and 60 °C LNA electrodes using LiBF₄ are shown in Fig. 7a. Surprisingly, a new higher binding energy peak is found at 1564.0 eV for the 60 °C electrode over 1 eV higher than the Al-O-F peak that forms when using LiPF₆. Assuming a similar binding energy trend in the Al 1s core region as in the Al 2p for Al-O, Al-O-F, and Al-F environments, this higher binding energy peak is likely associated with environments that are more Al-F-like when using LiBF₄. In the Al 2p region, no new peak is distinguishable for the 60 °C electrode above the Al-O peak at 0.8 and 6 keV (Fig. 7b). This further highlights the benefit of using the Al 1s as the new Al-F-like environment is not distinguishable in the Al 2p, even with 20% Al-doping.

Additional high voltage CV tests for 10 and 175 hrs at 4.75 V and 60 °C for cNCA were conducted to identify whether this salt dependence is observed across Al-doped systems. As noted in the introduction, the half-cell undergoes a unique exothermic reaction after around 100 hrs when using LiPF₆ but not when using LiBF₄. The
electrodes held for 10 hrs were measured in the discharged state (2.7 V vs Li-metal) while the electrodes held for 175 hrs were not due to the effect of the exothermic reaction in the LiPF$_6$ case on the open circuit voltage.

In Fig. 8, we show XPS measurements of the Al 1s core region at 6 keV for these cNCA electrodes using either LiBF$_4$ or LiPF$_6$. Reference electrodes charged to 3.6 V at RT for each electrolyte are included for comparison. For the 3.6 V electrodes, no clear higher binding Al 1s peaks (>1562 eV) were observed for either salt. For the LiPF$_6$ case, a new peak at 1562.7 eV is observed for the 10 and 175 hr 60 °C electrodes. For the LiBF$_4$ case, the new peak is over 1 eV higher at 1564.0 eV for both 60 °C electrodes and at a similar binding energy as the Al-F-like environment in the LNA case. This consistency for LNA and cNCA demonstrates a clear dependence of the aluminum-electrolyte reactions at the CEI on the choice of electrolyte salt.

**Discussion**

From a comprehensive study of Ni-rich LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.2}$-$y$Al$_y$O$_2$ electrodes held under thermal stress at 4.75 V, we have identified a clear influence of Al-doping on the formation of the CEI layer at high voltages/temperatures. When using LiPF$_6$, aluminum is found to drive the formation of a thicker CEI layer consisting primarily of P-O-F, Ni-F (reduced TMs), and Al-O-F surface species, similar to what has been observed upon cycling in aluminum oxide coating studies. In these studies, the thicker CEI layer was considered to improve cycling by acting as a protective barrier against continued cathode degradation induced by the decomposition of the LiPF$_6$ salt. It seems a similar mechanism occurs in Al-doped systems based on suppressed TM-dissolution with Al-doping. In the LiBF$_4$ case, there was minimal buildup of LiBF$_4$-decomposition species under thermal stress for LNA, reflecting...
the higher chemical stability of the LiBF₄ salt in these conditions compared with LiPF₆. The absence of highly reactive POF₃ analogs (e.g. BOF) in the LiBF₄ electrolyte in these conditions circumvents reactions that endure the formation of a thick layer in the LiPF₆ case. This pronounced difference between these two salts rationalizes the improved high voltage performance of the spinel systems when using LiBF₄.

Using depth-dependent XPS of the Al 1s/Al 2p and NMR measurements, we provided a more detailed look into aluminum chemical environments at the surface of layered oxide cathodes. This methodology, combining the surface and elemental sensitivity of XPS with the local chemical sensitivity of the NMR REDOR and TRAPDOR measurements, enabled the assignment of aluminum chemical environments and characterization of the formation of these environments. While the tendency of aluminum segregation in the bulk is likely at higher doping levels in NCA-type systems, our results suggest there may be some aluminum segregation in the first few nm of uncycled materials based on the presence of Al-O peaks that are distinct from bulk LiMₓ₋ₓAl₂O₃. More work is needed to understand the exact chemical assignment of these surface Al-O environments and their dependence on synthesis, storage, and electrochemical testing.

Comparing Al-O-F-like environments at the CEI for the two electrolyte salts, our results demonstrate the dependence of aluminum–electrolyte reactions on the selected electrolyte salt: LiPF₆ (Al-O-F-like) and LiBF₄ (Al-F-like). For coating studies, Al-O-F environments have primarily been considered to form through reactions with HF. While trace HF is present in practical operating conditions, increased HF formation is likely under our electrochemical testing conditions given the combined effect of thermal stress and high voltage on electrolyte decomposition for LiPF₆ salts. Under these conditions, layered oxides can catalytically drive the breakdown of carbonaceous solvents leading to increased water generation in the electrolyte. Water generated through these processes react with fluoride salts, particularly LiPF₆, to form HF and POF₃ in the electrolyte. Aluminum oxide coatings are widely considered to act as HF scavengers with the following reaction pathway between an Al₂O₃ coating layer and HF proposed by Myung et al.

$$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{HF} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_2\text{F}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

$$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_2\text{F}_2 + 2\text{HF} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{OF}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

$$\text{Al}_2\text{OF}_4 + 2\text{HF} \rightarrow 2\text{AlF}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

Looking in more detail at the possible reactions, ab initio calculations found HF reactions at the alumina surface with hydroxyl termination are highly exothermic and result in the formation of AlF(OH) termination without producing H₂O as a byproduct. This work supported the notion that aluminum oxide coatings play a beneficial role at the cathode surface as HF scavengers that inhibit cyclic cathode degradation pathways. A similar HF scavenger role is likely in Al-doped systems given our evidence for Al-O-F formation, though additional aluminum–electrolyte interactions, such as with highly reactive POF₃ in LiPF₆ electrolyte, may need to be considered to understand the dependence of Al-O-F species formation on choice of electrolyte salt found in this study. Although we do not provide an exact assignment of these species, the combined insights from our XPS and NMR measurements can be used to inform further investigation and modeling of aluminum surface environments.

In both the LiPF₆ and LiBF₄ cases, the evolution of surface aluminum towards Al-F-like environments may give rise to a stable CEI barrier against continued cathode degradation pathways. This may be similar to the benefits of using an AlF₃ surface coating layer, which is considered a beneficial modification for improving the electrochemical and thermal stability of oxide cathodes at high states of charge. Further consideration of the formation of these types of surface aluminum environments and their impact on CEI stability and cathode performance for Al-doped and aluminum coated layered oxides is warranted given the widespread application of these modifications to Ni-rich (NCA and NMC) and Li-rich layered oxides.

Conclusion

From our focus on RT and 60 °C LiNC, NCA, and LNA electrodes, we established the influence of aluminum and electrolyte salt (LiPF₆ vs. LiBF₄) on the CEI layer formation under electrochemical and thermal stress. Surface aluminum was identified to contribute to the formation of a thicker CEI layer when using LiPF₆ salt consisting of P-O-F and Ni-F species, as shown for the LNA system with 20% Al-doping. In the LiBF₄ case, we found limited additional CEI layer species in all three systems due to the increased stability of the LiBF₄ salt at higher voltages and temperatures. Combining NMR measurements with changes in the Al 1s core region as a sensitive probe of aluminum down to 5% Al-doping, we highlighted the evolution of aluminum at the CEI towards Al-O-F- and Al-F-like species when using LiPF₆ and LiBF₄, respectively. While aluminum drives the formation of a surface layer that may protect against continuous cathode degradation in both electrolytes, the reaction mechanism is strongly dependent on the choice of electrolyte. The sensitivity of the aluminum environment on the choice of electrolyte salt can help inform the rational design of coatings that can improve capacity retention at high voltages.

Methods

Material synthesis.

LiNi₀.₈Co₀.₂O₂ (LNC), LiNi₀.₈Co₀.₁₅Al₀.₀₅O₂ (NCA), and LiNi₀.₈Al₀.₂O₂ (LNA) compounds were synthesized in-house as previously described. The LNA compound used was referred to as disordered (DO) in our previous study due to poor transition metal mixing and higher phase segregation, including a ~1.3–2.0% γ-LiAlO₂ impurity phase. These in-house synthesized compounds mostly do not agglomerate and each system had similarly sized primary particles around 200 nm in diameter. Commercially produced LiNi₀.₈Co₀.₁₅Al₀.₀₅O₂ (cNCA) was also tested for comparison to build upon our previous studies of the CEI layer for this system. The cNCA material has larger primary particles from 300 nm to 1 µm in diameter as...
Electrode preparation and electrochemical characterization. Following the same methodology described in refs. 29,36,39, dried LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.2}Al_{2}O_{3} powder was mixed in an argon-filled glovebox with 2.5 wt% carbon black (SuperP, MMM) using a mortar and pestle. Powder cells (2032 Hohsen) were assembled using lithium metal (FMC) negative electrode with a combination of glass fiber (Whatman), polyolefin (Celgard) and PVDF-HFP depending on the test temperature, with 1 M LiPF_{6} or 1 M LiBF_{4} in ethylene carbonate:dimethyl carbonate (EC:DMC) (1:1 volume ratio) electrolyte (BASF).

Two primary testing conditions were used for these electrodes throughout the study: (1) charged to 4.75 V at 10 mAh/g and at 24 °C (room temperature/RT) with no constant voltage (CV), and (2) charged to 4.75 V at 25 mAh/g at 60 °C with a 10 hr CV hold at 4.75 V and at 60°C. Electrodes charged to 3.6 V at 24 °C (RT) were used as a reference for each of the Al-doped systems. Electrochemical profiles for these two testing conditions for the LNC, NCA, and LNA electrodes using LiPF_{6} are shown in Fig. 1.

X-ray spectroscopy. For all X-ray spectroscopy measurements, disassembled powder electrodes were mounted on conductive tape and transferred using vacuum suitcase set-ups between the glovebox and vacuum chamber or using a glove-bag setup in case of HAXPES prototype system to avoid air exposure. Powder electrodes were not washed prior to measurements to retain CEI species. Lab based X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed using the Al Kα source with monochromated energies of 1.486 keV and 9.25 keV (referred to as 9 keV in text). Depth-dependent XPS measurements were conducted at beamline I09 at the Diamond Light Source Ltd. (DLS), UK using photon energies of 0.8, 3, and 6 keV. Hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (HAXPES) measurements at 9 keV were conducted using the novel laboratory-based HAXPES prototype system with Ga Kα monochromated source of 9.25 keV49. For each core region, the inelastic mean free path (IMFP) was calculated using the TPP-2M method54 using the NIST electron IMFP database59. Probing depths are approximately 3x the IMFP from which 95% of the signal originates. The C 1 s peak for carbon black was aligned to 284.5 eV for energy calibration. Reference XPS measurements were performed for the NiF_{2}, AlF_{3}, and γ-LiAlO_{2} systems.

Soft X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements were performed in total electron yield (TEY) mode at beamline I09 in tandem with the depth-dependent XPS measurements. For the O K-edge spectra, photon energies were normalized to a TiO_{2} reference. For the Co and Ni L-edges, photon energies were normalized to a Ni-metal reference. The Co L_{3}-edge and Ni L_{3}-edge spectra were scaled to the peaks at ~780 eV and ~855 eV, respectively.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) characterization. Solid-state {^{19}F, ^{27}Al} and ^{7}Li magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR measurements were carried out on a 16.4 T Bruker Avance III 700 MHz spectrometer (University of Cambridge), operating at a Larmor frequency of 658.63 MHz (for ^{19}F), 182.41 MHz (for ^{27}Al), or 272.07 MHz (for ^{7}Li), using a double-resonance Bruker 1.3 mm HX probe. The binder-free powder samples were packed into airtight 1.3 mm ZrO_{2} rotors within an Ar-filled glovebox, thus avoiding exposure to ambient atmosphere. Spectra were acquired under a MAS frequency of 50 kHz (for ^{19}F experiments) or 54 kHz (for ^{27}Al experiments). Typical one-dimensional spectra were acquired with a rotor-synchronized Hahn echo pulse sequence of the form (π/2)−τ−(π)−τ−acquire. The π/2 pulse length was 1.67 μs (for ^{19}F) or 0.75 μs (for ^{27}Al), and the recycle delay was 250 ms (for ^{19}F) or 10 ms (for ^{27}Al). When necessary to suppress sidebands (typically for the ^{19}F measurements with broad spinning sideband manifolds), projection magic-angle turning and phase-adjusted sideband separation (pj-MATPASS) NMR spectra were recorded45. The ^{19}F and ^{27}Al chemical shifts were externally referenced to AlF_{3} (−172 ppm for ^{19}F and −15.5 ppm for ^{27}Al)44, the ^{7}Li chemical shifts were externally referenced to LiF at −1 ppm40. NMR data were processed using Bruker TopSpin 3.2.

{^{27}Al[^{19}F]} REDOR NMR. The ^{27}Al[^{19}F] rotational echo double resonance (REDOR) experiments41 were performed by measuring ^{27}Al spin-echo spectra with and without on-resonance irradiation of the ^{19}F nuclei by a series of π pulses (3.34 μs) at half-integral multiples of the rotor period; a total of 3 rotor periods were used, where the MAS rate was 54 kHz. Difference spectra were obtained by post-processing in TopSpin 3.2 by subtracting the spectra acquired with and without ^{19}F irradiation.

{^{19}F[^{27}Al] and ^{19}F[^{7}Li]} TRAPDOR NMR. The ^{19}F[^{27}Al] and ^{19}F[^{7}Li] transfer population in double resonance (TRAPDOR) experiments42 were performed by acquiring ^{19}F spin-echo spectra with and without continuous, on-resonance irradiation of the ^{27}Al (or ^{7}Li) nuclei throughout the evolution time which was equal to 1 rotor period; the MAS rate was 50 kHz. Difference spectra were obtained in an analogous way to the REDOR NMR experiments.

Received: 20 September 2019; Accepted: 7 November 2019; Published online: 27 November 2019

References
1. Choi, J. W. & Aurbach, D. Promise and reality of post-lithium-ion batteries with high energy densities. Nat. Rev. Mater. 1, 16013 (2016).
2. Xu, J., Lin, F., Doeuff, M. & Tong, W. A review of Ni-based layered oxides for rechargeable Li-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 5, 874–901 (2016).
3. Myung, S. T. et al. Nickel-rich layered cathode materials for automotive lithium-ion batteries: achievements and perspectives. ACS Energy Lett. 2, 196–223 (2017).
4. Radin, M. D. et al. Narrowing the gap between theoretical and practical capacities in Li-ion layered oxide cathode materials. Adv. Energy Mater. 1602888, 1–33 (2017).
5. Lin, F. et al. Surface reconstruction and chemical evolution of stoichiometric layered cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Nat. communications 5, 3529 (2014).
6. Hwang, S., Kim, D. H., Chung, K. Y. & Chang, W. Understanding local degradation of cycled Ni-rich cathode materials at high operating temperature for Li-ion batteries. Appl. Phys. Lett. 105, 103901 (2014).
7. Lin, F. et al. Metal segregation in hierarchically structured cathode materials for high-energy lithium batteries. Nat. Energy 1, 15004 (2016).
8. Liu, H. et al. Intergranular cracking as a major cause of long-term capacity fading of layered cathodes. Nano Lett. 2, 3452–3457 (2017).
9. Gautheier, M. et al. The electrode-electrolyte interface in Li-ion batteries: current understanding and new insights. The J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 6, 4653–4672 (2015).
10. Jung, R., Metzger, M., Maglia, F., Sinner, C. & Gasteiger, H. A. Oxygen release and its effect on the cycling stability of LiNiMnCoO2 (NMC) cathode materials for Li-ion batteries. J. The Electrochem. Soc. 164, A1361–A1377 (2017).
11. Mu, L. et al. Oxygen release induced chemomechanical breakdown of layered cathode materials. Nano Lett. 18, 3241–3249 (2018).
12. Hwang, S. et al. Investigation of changes in the surface structure of LiNi0.8Co0.15Al0.05O2 cathode materials induced by the initial charge. Chem. Mater. 26, 1084–1092 (2014).
13. Amatucci, G., Tarascon, J. M. & Klein, L. C. Cobalt dissolution in LiCoO2-based non-aqueous rechargeable batteries. Solid State Ionics 83, 167–173 (1996).
14. Zheng, H., Sun, Q., Liu, G., Song, X. & Battaglia, V. S. Correlation between dissolution behavior and electrochemical cycling performance for LiNi1−xCoxMn1−yO2-based cells. J. Power Sources 207, 134–140 (2012).
15. Salis, S. et al. Surface degradation of Li1−xNi0.5Mn0.3Co0.2O2 cathodes: Correlating charge transfer impedance with surface phase transformations. Appl. Phys. Lett. 108, 1–5 (2016).
16. Sun, Y. K. et al. High-energy cathode material for long-life and safe lithium batteries. Nat. Mater. 8, 320–324 (2009).
17. Chen, Z., Qin, Y. & Amine, K. Role of surface coating on cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. 20, 7606–7612 (2010).
18. Scott, I. D. et al. Ultrathin coatings on nano-LiCoO2 for Li-ion vehicular applications. Nano Lett. 11, 414–418 (2011).
19. Xia, J. et al. A study of Li-ion cells operated to 4.5 V and at 55 °C. J. The Electrochem. Soc. 163, 2399–2406 (2016).
20. Xu, K. Nonaqueous liquid electrolytes for lithium-based rechargeable batteries. Chem. Rev. 104, 4303–4417 (2004).
21. Campion, C. L., Li, W. & Lucht, B. L. Thermal decomposition of LiPF6-based electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries. J. The Electrochem. Soc. 152, A2327 Note that in the work of Campion et al., a different 104 chemical shift scale is used; to convert to the convention used here, 10 ppm must be added to the shifts in the prior work (2005).
22. Tebbe, J. L., Holder, A. M. & Musgrave, C. R. Mechanisms of LiCoO2 Cathode Degradation by Reaction with HF and Protection by Thin Oxide Coatings. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7, 24265–24278 (2015).
23. Lu, Y. C., Mansour, A. N., Yabuuchi, N. & Shao-Horn, Y. Probing the origin of enhanced stability of AIPO4, nanoparticle coated LiCoO2 during cycling to high voltages and XPS studies. Chem. Mater. 21, 4408–4424 (2009).
24. Vano, A. et al. Surface structure and high-voltage charge/discharge characteristics of Al2O3-coated LiNi0.5Co0.3Mn0.2O2 cathodes. J. The Electrochem. Soc. 162, A3137–A3144 (2015).
25. Verdier, S. et al. XPS study on Al2O3- and AIPO4-coated LiCoO2 cathode material for high-capacity Li ion batteries. J. The Electrochem. Soc. 154, A1088 (2007).
26. Myung, S. T., Kumagai, N., Komaba, S. & Chung, H. T. Effects of Al doping on the microstructure of LiCoO2 cathode materials. Solid State Ionics 139, 47–56 (2001).
27. Chen, C. H. et al. Aluminum-doped lithium nickel cobalt oxide electrodes for high-power lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 128, 278–285 (2004).
28. Baggetto, L., Dudney, N. J. & Veith, G. M. Surface chemistry of metal oxide coated lithium manganese nickel oxide thin film cathodes studied by XPS. Electrochimica Acta 90, 135–147 (2013).
29. Lebedev-Higgins, Z. W. et al. Electrochemical and thermal stress of LiNi0.5Co0.3Mn0.2O2 electrodes: evolution of aluminum surface environments. ECS Transactions 80, 197–206 (2017).
30. Faenza, N. V. et al. Electrolyte-induced surface transformation and transition-metal dissolution of fully delithiated LiNi0.5Co1.5Mn0.5O4. Langmuir 33, 9333–9337 (2017).
31. Pereira, N., Ruostolo, M. C., Lu, M. Y., Badway, F. & Amatucci, G. G. Elevated temperature performance of high-capacity Li1−xMnxO2−δ cathode materials. J. Power Sources 338, 145–154 (2016).
32. Doi, T. et al. Fluoroalkyl ether-diluted dimethyl carbonate-based electrolyte solutions for high-voltage operation of LiNi1−xMnxO2−δ cathode materials. J. Electrochem. Soc. 154, A3108 (2007).
33. Mury, M. et al. Cobalt (III) effect on 27Al NMR chemical shifts in LiAl1−xVxO3 thin oxide coatings. J. The Electrochem. Soc. 161, A3136–A3140 (2004).
34. Cho, D.-H. et al. Effect of residual lithium compounds on layer Ni-rich Li[Ni1−xMnx]O2. J. Electrochem. Soc. 161, A920–A926 (2014).
35. Faenza, N. V. et al. Growth of ambient induced surface impurity species on layered positive electrode materials and impact on electrochemical performance. J. The Electrochem. Soc. 164, A3727–A3741 (2017).
36. Qiao, R. et al. Transition-metal redox evolution in LiNi1−xMnxO2−δ electrodes at high potentials. J. Power Sources 360, 294–300 (2017).
37. Faenza, N. V. et al. Phase evolution and degradation modes of R3m Li1−x−yNi3−yCo2−yAl2O2−δ electrodes cycled near complete delithiation. Chem. Mater. 30, 7545–7574 (2018).
38. Lebedev-Higgins, Z. W. et al. Evolution of the electrode-electrolyte interface of LiNi0.5Co1.5Mn0.5O2 electrodes due to electrochemical and thermal stress. Chem. Mater. 30, 958–969 (2018).
39. Gaudin, E. et al. Cobalt (III) effect on R2Al NMR chemical shifts in LiAl1−xVxO3. The J. Phys. Chem. B 105, 8081–8087 (2001).
40. Trease, N. M. et al. Identifying the distribution of Al3+ in LiNi0.5Co1.5Al0.5O2. Chem. Mater. 28, 8170–8180 (2016).
41. Rosina, K. J. et al. Structure of aluminum fluoride coated Li1−xNi1−x−3/2Mn1−x−3/2O4 cathodes for secondary lithium-ion batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc. 22, 20602–20610 (2012).
42. König, R. et al. Spectroscopic characterisation of crystalline AI3F phases. J. Fluor. Chem. 131, 91–97 (2010).
43. Chupas, P. J., Corbin, D. R., Rao, V. N. M., Hanson, J. C. & Grey, C. P. A combined solid-state NMR and diffraction study of the structures and acidity of fluorinated aluminas: implications for catalysis. J. The Phys. Chem. B 107, 8327–8336 (2003).
44. Hung, I., Zhou, L., Pourpoint, F., Grey, C. P. & Gan, Z. Isotropic high field NMR spectra of Li-ion battery materials with anisotropy > 1 MHz. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 1989–1901 (2012).
45. Michan, A. L. et al. Solid electrolyte interphase growth and capacity loss in silicon electrodes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 7918–7931 (2016).
46. Clément, R. J., Kitchaev, D., Lee, J. & Ceder, G. Short-range order and unusual modes of nickel redox in a fluorinesubstituted disordered rocksalt oxide lithium-ion cathode. Chem. Mater. 30, 6945–6956 (2018).
Acknowledgements
This work was supported as part of the NorthEast Center for Chemical Energy Storage (NECCES), an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award No. DE-SC0012583. Z.W.-H. gratefully acknowledges support from a Doctoral Fellowship in Residence Award from the ALS. J.R. was supported by a NSF-REU (Grant No. NSF DMR-1658990). We thank Diamond Light Source for access to beamline I09 (SI22250-1 and SI22148-1) that contributed to the depth-dependent X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements presented here. Research conducted using the Lab-based Ga K α HAXPES system is supported by the National Science Foundation, Major Research Instrumentation Program (Grant number NSF DMR-1919704).

Author contributions
The contributions of authors are as follows. Project was conceived by Z.W.-H. and L.F.J.P. and written by Z.W.-H., D.M.H., and L.F.J.P. The sample preparation and electrochemistry was performed by N.V.E., F.B., N.P., G.G.A., with additional NMR characterization performed by D.M.H., and C.P. X-ray spectroscopy measurements and analysis were performed by Z.W.L.H., J.R., and T.-L.L. Synchrotron beamline support was provided by T.-L.L. HAXPES measurements and analysis using the Ga K α source were performed by M.J.W., T.W., M.M., S.K.E., and F.P. All authors contributed to the discussion and drafting of this manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53932-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.F.J.P.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019