The Role of PM$_{2.5}$ Chemical Composition and Meteorology during High Pollution Periods at a Suburban Background Station in Southern Poland

Barbara Blaszczak*, Natalia Ziola, Barbara Mathews, Krzysztof Klejnowski, Krzysztof Slaby

Institute of Environmental Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 41-819 Zabrze, Poland

ABSTRACT

This study conducted measurements of PM$_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with a diameter ≤ 2.5 μm) in Racibórz, Poland, during 2018. Samples were collected daily and analyzed for their chemical composition, specifically, the carbonaceous (elemental and organic carbon) and water-soluble ionic (Cl$^-$, NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, Na$^+$, NH$_4^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$) components. Additionally, the secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA) as well as the secondary and primary organic carbon (SOC and POC, respectively) content was estimated. To identify the causes of elevated PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, the contributions of these chemical species were further investigated, and the role of meteorological factors was also examined. During the measurement period, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations exceeding 50 μg m$^{-3}$ were recorded on 38 days, and 7 pollution episodes were detected. Such events, however, were observed only in the heating season, when stable meteorological conditions (low air temperatures, weak winds, high relative humidity and lack of precipitation) and increased emissions of PM and its precursors from anthropogenic sources favored the accumulation of pollutants. The PM$_{2.5}$ was dominated by carbonaceous aerosol, especially POC, although the SOC rose significantly during high pollution periods. Furthermore, the fraction of SIA clearly decreased as the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ increased, and its variability was greatly affected by regional and/or long-range transport events. Our results can help guide the development of effective strategies for reducing air pollution from fine particulate matter. Such control measures are particularly important in Poland, where PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations remain high compared to many European countries.
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INTRODUCTION

Air pollution is one of the most serious environmental problems of all developed and developing countries (WHO, 2013). Of the various pollutants, particulate matter (PM) affects the environment in the most comprehensive way, due to the diversity of emission sources and formation mechanisms, and the resulting variation in chemical composition and particle size (Hassan and Khoder, 2017). In recent years, particular attention has been paid to PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_1$ (particles with an aerodynamic diameter ≤ 2.5 μm and ≤ 1.0 μm, respectively), mainly due to the health effects that they can cause (e.g., Kim et al., 2015). What is more, fine particles are able to persist in the atmosphere for a long time, affect the climate (e.g., Fiore et al., 2015), ecosystems (e.g., Rai, 2016), materials (e.g., Rao et al., 2014) and visibility (e.g., Lin et al., 2014).
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The basic components of PM$_{2.5}$ include carbonaceous matter and water-soluble ions. The total carbon (TC) in the aerosol can be divided into elemental carbon (EC), organic carbon (OC) and inorganic (carbonated) carbon (IC/CC), although the latter comes mainly from geological sources and occurs almost exclusively in coarse particles (Mancilla et al., 2015). EC is a primary pollutant emitted during the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and pyrolysis of biological material during combustion (Jones and Harrison, 2005). In contrast, OC can be emitted directly from emission sources (primary organic carbon [POC]) and/or formed in the atmosphere as a result of gas-particle transformation (secondary organic carbon [SOC]) (Khan et al., 2016). Typically, secondary organic aerosol (SOA) accounts for 20–80% of organic matter in PM$_{2.5}$ and has a large biogenic contribution. However, near urban areas, up to 90% of SOA could be anthropogenic in origin (Mancilla et al., 2015).

In addition to carbonaceous matter, inorganic ions have a dominant share in the total mass of suspended particles and exert a significant impact on the environment. These compounds are an important part of the secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA), especially sulfates (SO$_4^{2-}$), nitrates (NO$_3^-$) and ammonium ions (NH$_4^+$) (Błaszczak et al., 2019). It is
considered that SIA is almost exclusively of anthropogenic origin because its gaseous precursors—mainly sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$), nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) and ammonia (NH$_3$)—are largely emitted from sources related to human activities. In addition, sources such as coal-fired power plants and residential coal combustion can emit significant amounts of primary sulfates and nitrates into the atmosphere (Dai et al., 2018). The mechanisms of SIA formation include many chemical reactions that largely depend on the characteristics of pre-existing aerosol and gaseous precursors, as well as meteorological conditions (Weijers et al., 2010). Nevertheless, this component largely affects the concentration of fine PM, both in areas far away from significant emission sources and in urban areas (e.g., Blaszczak et al., 2016; Reizer and Juda-Rezler, 2016).

Although the last two decades have brought the improvement of ambient air quality, the problem of exceeding the standards for PM$_{2.5}$ still remains unresolved in many areas within Europe (Błaszczak et al., 2019). Periods of elevated concentrations of PM and other atmospheric pollutants, also often called “haze episodes,” are of particular concern, because of its adverse effect on public health, visibility and even global climate (Hassan and Khoder, 2017; Wang et al., 2019). Extreme events of ambient PM$_{2.5}$, in which daily mass concentrations are substantially higher than annual averages, have been reported worldwide (e.g., Juda-Rezler et al., 2011; Heo et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2017). Moreover, there is strong evidence that the regional and long-range transport of air pollutants can contribute significantly during heavy PM pollution (Juda-Rezler et al., 2011; Heo et al., 2013).

Bearing in mind the above considerations, an annual measurement campaign of PM$_{2.5}$ was carried out at a suburban background site in Racibórz (southern Poland). The main objectives of the present study are to: (1) assess the role of primary and secondary PM components in the occurrence of elevated concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and (2) explore the impacts of meteorological conditions on PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and chemical composition during high pollution periods.

**METHODS**

**Study Area and Sampling Equipment**

The study was conducted at a suburban background site in Racibórz (Fig. 1)—located on the outskirts of the town—at the meteorological station belonging to the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management–National Research Institute (IMWM–NRI). The nearest surroundings of the station (~100 m) are arable fields. National Freeway No. 45 and the nearest dispersed residential buildings are located ~100–250 m east of the station. At a distance of ~2.5 km northeast of the sampling site, the city center is located. The nearest large cities are Opava (~24 km SW) and Ostrava (~25 km S) in the Czech Republic, as well as Rybnik (~25 km E), Jastrzębie-Zdrój (~28 km SE) and cities of the Upper Silesian Industrial District (~42 km NE) in Poland. More details about the specificity of measurement station in

![Fig. 1. Location of the measurement station in Racibórz.](image-url)
Racibórz and the region in which it is located (Silesia Province, southern Poland) can be found in Blaszczak et al. (2016).

The PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected using a reference low volume sampler (PNS-15; AtmoService), providing the 2.3 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ air flow, which meet the requirements of the PN-EN 12341:2014–07 standard. Samples were accumulated on quartz microfilter filters (diameter: 47 mm, grade: OM-A; Whatman) over 24 hours starting at midnight. Research was performed during the annual measurement period (2018). The results obtained were also averaged in the heating (January–March and October–December) and non-heating (April–September) periods, to capture specific seasonal fluctuations.

Meteorological data were provided by the IMWM-NRI and are summarized in Table 1. According to division of Poland into climate regions (Romer, 1949), Racibórz lies in the climate zone “Moravian Gate,” one of the warmest zones in the country. The local climate is primarily influenced by the terrain and the land use. The relatively strong industrialization and compact development of the city center contribute to the occurrence of specific climatic features such as the “urban heat island” or an increased number of days with low precipitation. The distribution of wind directions in Racibórz is determined by general-circulation conditions with clearly visible topographical modifications. Winds blowing from the NNW, N and NW sectors dominate during the heating period, while SSW and SW sectors prevail during the non-heating one (Table 1).

**Analytical Procedures**

A total of 330 PM$_{2.5}$ samples collected over 2018—163 and 167 samples in the heating and non-heating season, respectively—were subjected to gravimetric analysis in the laboratory of the Institute of Environmental Engineering, Polish Academy of Sciences (IEE PAS), in Zabrze (Poland). PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were determined according to the PN-EN 12341:2014–07 standard, using Mettler Toledo AT20 microbalance (resolution: 2 µg). Conditioning, weighing and storage of both non-exposed and exposed filters were conducted in the weighing room at fixed conditions of temperature (20 ± 1°C) and relative humidity (RH: 50 ± 5%).

Every second sample (153 samples) was passed for chemical composition analyses. A 1.5 cm$^2$ fragment was cut from these samples and analyzed for OC and EC content, by means of thermal-optical carbon analyzer with a flame ionization detector (FID) (Model 4L Main Oven Assembly; Sunset Laboratory Inc., USA) using the EUSAAR_2 protocol. The measurement performance was controlled by regular analysis of blank filters and systematic calibration of the apparatus. In addition, certified reference materials (CRM; RM 8785 and RM 8786; National Institute of Standards and Technology [NIST]) were periodically analyzed—recovery of 90–102% and 98–124% was obtained, respectively, for OC and EC. The total uncertainties in EC and OC measurements are of the order of 5%. The limit of detection (LOD) was 0.17 µg cm$^{-2}$ and 0.80 µg cm$^{-2}$ respectively for EC and OC.

The content of SOC and POC was calculated according to the methodology proposed in Castro et al. (1999)—

Eqs. (1)–(2):

POC = EC · (OC/EC)$_{\text{hyp}}$ (1)

SOC = OC – POC (2)

where OC and EC represent analytically determined daily concentrations of organic and elemental carbon, respectively, while (OC/EC)$_{\text{hyp}}$ is the ratio of primary organic carbon to elemental carbon. To determine the value (OC/EC)$_{\text{hyp}}$, a graphical approach was used, including the correlation between OC and EC concentrations. Linear regression was performed for 10% of the samples with the lowest OC/EC ratio, because the value of the determination coefficient for this range of data will represent the impact of POC emission sources (Pavuluri et al., 2011).

The remaining filter surface was subject to analysis of water-soluble ions (SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, Cl$^-$, NH$_4^+$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$) using the Dionex ICS-1100 ion chromatographs (Thermo Scientific, USA). The quality of analytical data was assured by using the CRM Fluka products Nos. 89316 and 89886. The method detection limits, expressed in mg L$^{-1}$, were as follows: 0.073 (NO$_3^-$), 0.12 (SO$_4^{2-}$), 0.111 (Cl$^-$), 0.027 (NH$_4^+$), 0.091 (Na$^+$), 0.095 (K$^+$), 0.221 (Ca$^{2+}$) and 0.17 (Mg$^{2+}$). The total uncertainties of the measurement ranged from 4.3% (Na$^+$) to 11.2% (SO$_4^{2-}$).

The assessment of the SIA contribution in PM$_{2.5}$ mass was made on the basis of analytically determined concentrations of sulfate [SO$_4^{2-}$], nitrate [NO$_3^-$] and ammonium ions [NH$_4^+$], wherein the share of sulfates was corrected for the share of sulfates associated with sea salt (Sillanpää et al., 2006)—Eqs. (3)–(4):

$[\text{SIA}] = [\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}] + [\text{NO}_3^-] + [\text{NH}_4^+]$ (3)

$[\text{nss-SO}_4^{2-}] = [\text{SO}_4^{2-}] - (0.246 \times [\text{Na}^+])$ (4)

More details about analytical methodologies used can be found in the earlier publications of the authors (e.g., Rogula-Kozłowska et al., 2014). Before statistical analyses, the measurement results were subjected to preliminary verification by comparing the sum of the concentrations of the analyzed components with the gravimetrically determined concentration of PM$_{2.5}$. If the total concentration of TC and ions exceeded the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration on a given measurement day, such a sample was not included in the calculations.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Variability of PM$_{2.5}$ Concentrations**

During the 2018 year, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations varied from 5.11 to 129.85 µg m$^{-3}$ with an annual mean of 26.90 µg m$^{-3}$, which was slightly higher than the EU limit value (25 µg m$^{-3}$; Directive 2008/50/EC). The provisions of European law do not set limit values for average daily concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$. Such standards are provided by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2013) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA, 2016)—they are 25 and 35 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively. Considering the annual measurement period,
Table 1. Characteristics of meteorological conditions for the annual measurement period (2018) and divided into the heating and non-heating season.

| Parameter | WHOLE PERIOD | HEATING | NON-HEATING |
|-----------|--------------|---------|-------------|
|           | Ave ± SD     | Min     | Max         | Ave ± SD     | Min     | Max         | Ave ± SD     | Min     | Max         |
| T (°C)    | 10.7 ± 8.8   | −11.2 (27 Feb) | 26.4 (09 Aug) | 3.4 ± 5.9    | −11.2 (27 Feb) | 17.4 (30 Oct) | 17.9 ± 4.1   | 4.8 (01 Apr) | 26.4 (09 Aug) |
| Pr* (mm)  | 440.7*       | 0 (2/7 days [59.5%]) | 30.5 (08 Aug) | 170.7*       | 0 (108 days [59.9%]) | 12.8 (10 Jan) | 270.0*       | 0 (108 days [59.0%]) | 30.5 (08 Aug) |
| Ins (h)   | 2,185.6*     | 0 (65 days [17.8%]) | 15.5 (04 Jul) | 543.7*       | 0 (60 days [33.0%]) | 11 (22 Mar) | 1,641.9*     | 0 (5 days [2.7%]) | 15.5 (04 Jul) |
| Vis (m)   | 17,245.7 ± 9,903.3 | 1,414.2 (27 Jan) | 44,535.7 (09 Dec) | 11,655.6 ± 8,791.5 | 1,414.2 (27 Jan) | 44,535.7 (09 Dec) | 22,805.3 ± 6,534.9 | 42,824.3 | 7,547.1 (14 Sep) | 30 Jun |
| WS (m s⁻¹) | 3.2 ± 1.4    | 1 (27 Jan; 15 Sep) | 8.9 (24 Sep) | 3.4 ± 1.5    | 1 (27 Jan) | 8.6 (24 Oct) | 3.0 ± 1.2    | 1 (16 Sep) | 8.9 (24 Sep) |
| RP (%)    | 75.1 ± 11.6  | 49.2 (07 Jun) | 98.3 (04 Nov) | 81.8 ± 9.1   | 53.1 (30 Oct) | 98.3 (04 Nov) | 68.4 ± 9.8   | 49.2 (07 Jun) | 96.1 (14 Sep) |
| Ps (hPa)  | 1,017.2 ± 7.3 | 996.1 (12 Mar) | 1,037.1 (17 Nov) | 1,017.9 ± 8.9 | 996.1 (12 Mar) | 1,037.1 (17 Nov) | 1,016.4 ± 5.2 | 999.7 (01 Apr) | 1,036.3 (26 Sep) |

WD

Designations: Ave ± SD: average ± standard deviation; Min: minimum; Max: maximum; T: temperature; Pr: precipitation; Ins: insolation; Vis: visibility; WS: wind speed; RH: relative humidity; Ps: atmospheric pressure; WD: wind direction.

* Presented as annual sum.
80 exceedances of the U.S. EPA standard were found, which constituted ~24% of the measurement time. The more stringent WHO guideline was exceeded in 113 cases (34% of measuring time).

The histogram of a series of daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (Fig. 2) revealed a log-normal distribution, which is typical for the concentrations of most atmospheric pollutants (Maciejewska et al., 2015). The most common concentrations were in the range of 15–20 µg m$^{-3}$ (22.4% of cases) and 10–15 µg m$^{-3}$ (19.4% of cases); however, they were recorded mainly in the non-heating season (average: 14.74 µg m$^{-3}$). Much worse living conditions for the inhabitants of the analyzed area—in relation to exposure to PM$_{2.5}$—occurred in the heating season (average: 39.37 µg m$^{-3}$), similar to many other regions in Europe (Błaszczak et al., 2019). During this period of the year, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were higher than 25 µg m$^{-3}$ for 66% of the measurement time (108 days).

The reason for the observed seasonal differences may be due to several factors. In Poland, the production of electricity and heat is mainly based on the combustion of hard coal and lignite. However, the waste gases emitted from large point sources affect PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations throughout the whole year. The main factors shaping the levels of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations—both in large cities and in rural areas—are municipal sources, which activity increases considerably in the cold season (Rogula-Kozłowska et al., 2014). The impact of meteorological conditions is also significant.

**PM$_{2.5}$ vs. Meteorological Parameters: Identification of Pollution Episodes**

Numerous scientific studies have proven that meteorological conditions play an important role in the results obtained at every monitoring site (Galindo et al., 2011; Reizer and Juda-Rezler, 2016; Wang et al., 2019). Their impact is particularly evident in the cold season, when low air temperatures, weak winds and the presence of a low inversion layer limit the dispersion of pollutants, while promoting their local accumulation. Previous experience to identify episodes of high concentrations of pollutants has shown that such situations are associated with a set of meteorological factors, of which the most important are: high atmospheric pressure system, average daily air temperature < 5°C, average daily wind speed < 3 m s$^{-1}$, wind direction, average daily relative humidity > 85% and no atmospheric precipitation (Rogula-Kozłowska et al., 2014).

The variations of daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and selected meteorological parameters are illustrated in Fig. 3. In this study, an episode is defined as a situation with the daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentration exceeding 50 µg m$^{-3}$ for at least 2–3 consecutive days. In 2018, 38 such exceedances were recorded, but these were often only single days. During the year, a total of 7 PM$_{2.5}$ episodes were identified (shaded areas), the longest being recorded in February (06–12 Feb) and at the turn of February and March (28 Feb–06 Mar).

The mean values of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and meteorological parameters for individual episodes are presented in Table 2. In general, average concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ during episodes was 85.66 µg m$^{-3}$, more than considering all days (38) with PM$_{2.5}$ > 50 µg m$^{-3}$ (average: 76.98 µg m$^{-3}$), and significantly higher than during the heating period. The highest concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ were recorded during the long-lasting Episode 4 (average: 97.31 µg m$^{-3}$), when the lowest air temperatures were also observed (average: −6.77°C). The second long episode, Episode 2, had slightly lower concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ (average: 89.31 µg m$^{-3}$). During the other episodes, average concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ were within the range ~75–78 µg m$^{-3}$. Apart from the differences in observed meteorological parameters, it was found that all identified PM$_{2.5}$ episodes caused significant visibility impairment, which can pose a serious threat to transportation, economic production, and people’s living.

---

**Fig. 2.** Frequency distribution of daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations at suburban background station in Racibórz.
Fig. 3. Time series of daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and selected meteorological parameters during annual measurement period (2018).
Table 2. Averages of the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and selected meteorological parameters during PM$_{2.5}$ episodes against the values obtained for other averaging periods.

| Period                  | PM$_{2.5}$ (µg m$^{-3}$) | T (°C) | Pr (mm) | Ins (h) | Vis (m) | WS (m s$^{-1}$) | RH (%) | Ps (hPa) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| E1 ($n = 3$)            | 75.45                    | −1.47  | 0.73    | 1.43    | 2,715.28 | 2.49            | 91.04  | 1,017.43 |
| E2 ($n = 7$)            | 89.31                    | −2.43  | 0.729   | 2.44    | 3,484.30 | 2.26            | 85.77  | 1,017.01 |
| E3 ($n = 3$)            | 78.11                    | −3.37  | 0.000   | 3.97    | 4,669.53 | 2.08            | 81.96  | 1,022.24 |
| E4 ($n = 7$)            | 97.31                    | −6.77  | 0.257   | 5.21    | 4,583.97 | 2.36            | 73.69  | 1,009.87 |
| E5 ($n = 2$)            | 76.23                    | 8.10   | 0.000   | 6.80    | 3,187.69 | 2.00            | 88.90  | 1,017.82 |
| E6 ($n = 2$)            | 76.93                    | 1.90   | 0.05    | 0.00    | 1,918.75 | 1.73            | 94.44  | 1,025.12 |
| E7 ($n = 2$)            | 76.84                    | −2.60  | 0.00    | 4.85    | 4,974.38 | 2.65            | 80.94  | 1,031.41 |
| All episodes ($n = 26$) | 85.66                    | −2.47  | 0.35    | 3.58    | 3,799.77 | 2.26            | 83.22  | 1,017.53 |
| All days with PM$_{2.5}$| 76.98                    | −0.58  | 0.52    | 3.30    | 4,835.34 | 2.23            | 83.09  | 1,017.85 |
| > 50 µg m$^{-3}$ ($n = 38$) |                    |        |         |         |         |                 |        |          |
| Heating season ($n = 163$) | 39.37                   | 3.59   | 0.85    | 3.27    | 11,786.5 | 3.40            | 81.24  | 1,017.30 |
| Whole period ($n = 330$) | 26.90                    | 10.86  | 1.07    | 6.19    | 17,496.87 | 3.19            | 74.57  | 1,016.69 |

E: episode; n: number of samples; T: temperature; Pr: precipitation; Ins: insolation; Vis: visibility; WS: wind speed; RH: relative humidity; Ps: atmospheric pressure.

Concentrations and Contributions of PM$_{2.5}$ Components during High Pollution Days

Average values of the concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and its chemical compounds during high pollution days are shown in Table 3, against the data for other averaging periods. Since chemical composition analyses were performed every other day, all days on which PM$_{2.5}$ concentration exceeded 50 µg m$^{-3}$ were taken into account, without selection of PM$_{2.5}$ episodes.

The carbonaceous matter was the dominant component of PM$_{2.5}$ and during days with the highest PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations it represented on average more than half (~52%) of PM$_{2.5}$ mass. The concentrations of TC, EC and OC in such days were on average 36.91, 4.79 and 32.12 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively, and these values were significantly higher compared to those for normal days. The average EC share in PM$_{2.5}$ during days with PM$_{2.5}$ > 50 µg m$^{-3}$ (~7%) was slightly lower than in the entire heating season (~8%). Thus, the high share of TC in PM$_{2.5}$ in high pollution days could be attributed to the high share of OC, which was on average ~45%. Clear dominance of OC over EC was confirmed by significantly higher OC/EC ratios in such periods (average: 7.20). The reason for the observed differences was probably condensation of gas phase semi-volatile organic species due to lower temperature combined with frequent events of air stagnation (Khan et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2017). The average SOC concentration in high pollution days amounted to 14.59 µg m$^{-3}$, and it was ~5 times higher in comparison with the normal days in the heating season. Despite this, POC (average: 17.53 µg m$^{-3}$) remained dominant component of OC, suggesting the impact of local sources, which can emit significant amounts of primary organic matter (Błaszczak et al., 2016).

The periods of high PM$_{2.5}$ concentration were characterized by a large disproportion between the content of carbonaceous matter and water-soluble ions. Although the total concentration of all ions in such periods (average: 21.94 µg m$^{-3}$) was significantly higher compared to those for normal days, the share of ions in PM$_{2.5}$ decreased with the increase in the pollution level. Among all the ion species determined in the present study, nss-SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ remained dominant ions during high pollution periods, with average concentrations of 5.54, 7.92 and 2.51 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively. Even if the SIA/PM$_{2.5}$ ratio in such days (average: ~22%) was lower than in normal days during the heating season (average: ~26%), the structure of SIA was very similar—the key component of SIA were nitrates with average share in PM$_{2.5}$ of ~11%. Interestingly, the values of SIA/ion ratio increased during high pollution days (average: ~71%), compared to those for normal days in the heating period (~64%). This could indicate that as the pollution level increased, the intensity of formation of SIA also increased, mainly thanks to enhanced heterogeneous conversion of NO$_x$. It should be noted that in conditions of high relative humidity (Table 2), heterogeneous reactions on pre-existing particles are more intense rather than homogeneous conversions (Song et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

With regard to the other ions listed in Table 3, the chemical profile of PM$_{2.5}$ during high pollution days was enriched in Cl$^-$ and to a lesser extent in K$. This suggested that the increased intensity of biomass burning and the use of coal for energy purposes could have contributed to enhanced PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (Liu et al., 2015). The analysis of PM$_{2.5}$ ionic balance also proves the specificity of PM$_{2.5}$ chemical composition during high pollution events. At high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, atmosphere was ammonia-rich, as indicated by relatively low value of the SO$_4^{2-}$/NH$_4^+$ ratio (average: 0.85). Despite this, the amount of NH$_4^+$ ions was insufficient for complete neutralization of sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$) and nitric acid (HNO$_3$) in atmospheric dust. It was supported by relatively low values of the ΣSO$_4^2-/Σ$inorganic (average: 0.86), indicating the acidic nature of the aerosols—in contrast to other averaging periods—due to high levels of secondary inorganic ions formed in heterogeneous reactions that are particularly intense during high pollution days. The deficit of cations (excess of anions) could probably be attributed to hydrogen ions (H$^+$), since the sum of the crustal and trace elements is usually only a small percentage of PM$_{2.5}$ mass (Błaszczak et al., 2016a).
Table 3. Concentrations (in µg m⁻³) of PM₂.₅ and PM₂.₅-related components during days with PM₂.₅ > 50 µg m⁻³ in relation to data from other averaging periods.

| Specification | PM₂.₅ > 50µg m⁻³ (n = 38) | 2018 (n = 153) | Heating (n = 72) | Non-heating (n = 81) | Concentration ratios |
|---------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|               | Ave ± SD       | Range          | Ave ± SD       | Ave ± SD          | E/(ALL-E) | E/(H-E)  |
| PM₂.₅         | 70.81 ± 22.82  | 50.20–129.85   | 26.90 ± 22.31  | 39.37 ± 25.89    | 14.74 ± 5.53        | 3.62 | 2.68     |
| OC            | 32.12 ± 12.87  | 11.79–61.42    | 9.97 ± 10.78   | 16.56 ± 12.71    | 4.12 ± 1.96         | 4.84 | 2.96     |
| EC            | 4.79 ± 2.03    | 2.06–11.22     | 1.73 ± 1.72    | 2.86 ± 1.89      | 0.72 ± 0.51         | 3.79 | 2.22     |
| TC            | 36.91 ± 14.04  | 13.85–70.36    | 11.70 ± 12.32  | 19.41 ± 14.29    | 4.84 ± 2.40         | 4.67 | 2.84     |
| OC/EC         | 7.20 ± 2.97    | 3.67–13.52     | 6.36 ± 2.66    | 5.75 ± 2.09      | 6.89 ± 2.99         | 1.16 | 1.39     |
| SOC           | 14.59 ± 11.00  | 0.04–44.80     | 3.70 ± 6.04    | 6.13 ± 8.12      | 1.54 ± 0.89         | 7.07 | 4.90     |
| POC           | 17.53 ± 7.44   | 7.53–41.05     | 6.27 ± 6.26    | 10.42 ± 6.90     | 2.58 ± 1.73         | 3.83 | 2.23     |
| SO₄²⁻         | 5.86 ± 2.53    | 2.11–11.48     | 2.89 ± 1.80    | 3.59 ± 2.28      | 2.26 ± 0.85         | 2.40 | 2.13     |
| NO₃⁻          | 7.92 ± 5.34    | 2.05–20.38     | 2.56 ± 3.11    | 4.20 ± 3.82      | 1.11 ± 0.93         | 4.50 | 2.78     |
| ss-SO₄²⁻      | 5.54 ± 2.52    | 1.91–11.06     | 2.67 ± 1.77    | 3.31 ± 2.24      | 2.10 ± 0.89         | 2.47 | 2.24     |
| NH₄⁺          | 2.51 ± 0.62    | 1.38–3.76      | 1.09 ± 0.74    | 1.52 ± 0.85      | 0.71 ± 0.31         | 2.86 | 2.17     |
| SIA           | 15.97 ± 7.98   | 5.33–33.73     | 6.33 ± 5.24    | 9.03 ± 6.49      | 3.93 ± 1.54         | 3.27 | 2.46     |
| ss-SO₂²⁻      | 0.32 ± 0.12    | 0.12–0.52      | 0.22 ± 0.14    | 0.28 ± 0.15      | 0.16 ± 0.11         | 1.59 | 1.20     |
| Cl⁻           | 2.91 ± 1.26    | 0.93–4.91      | 0.95 ± 1.01    | 1.56 ± 1.18      | 0.40 ± 0.18         | 4.48 | 2.71     |
| Na⁺           | 1.30 ± 0.49    | 0.51–2.10      | 0.88 ± 0.58    | 1.14 ± 0.60      | 0.65 ± 0.45         | 1.59 | 1.20     |
| K⁺            | 0.46 ± 0.18    | 0.20–0.94      | 0.21 ± 0.16    | 0.30 ± 0.18      | 0.12 ± 0.06         | 2.73 | 1.88     |
| Ca²⁺          | 0.91 ± 0.55    | 0.28–1.83      | 0.70 ± 0.42    | 0.75 ± 0.47      | 0.66 ± 0.37         | 1.36 | 1.29     |
| Mg²⁺          | 0.06 ± 0.04    | 0.01–0.16      | 0.04 ± 0.04    | 0.05 ± 0.04      | 0.03 ± 0.04         | 1.74 | 1.32     |
| Ions          | 21.94 ± 8.67   | 10.68–40.71    | 9.32 ± 6.60    | 13.11 ± 7.86     | 5.95 ± 1.86         | 2.96 | 2.21     |
| Σcat/Σan      | 0.86 ± 0.26    | 0.43–1.48      | 1.30 ± 0.40    | 1.15 ± 0.39      | 1.43 ± 0.36         | 0.63 | 0.69     |
| SO₄²⁻/NH₄⁺    | 0.85 ± 0.23    | 0.40–1.26      | 1.13 ± 0.45    | 0.94 ± 0.34      | 1.31 ± 0.46         | 0.73 | 0.88     |
| Others        | 11.96 ± 5.04   | 4.23–23.17     | 5.22 ± 4.36    | 5.79 ± 5.29      | 4.72 ± 3.27         | 2.84 | 3.38     |

Designations: n: number of samples; Ave ± SD: average ± standard deviation; Range: minimum–maximum; in round brackets the average share of a substance in PM₂.₅ is given; E/(ALL-E): ratio of average concentration of a given substance during days with PM₂.₅ > 50 µg m⁻³ to concentration of this substance during the normal days (PM₂.₅ > 50 µg m⁻³) in the annual measurement period; E/(H-E): ratio of average concentration of a given substance during days with PM₂.₅ > 50 µg m⁻³ to concentration of this substance during the normal days (PM₂.₅ > 50 µg m⁻³) in the heating season.

Bold and underlined type indicates statistically significant differences between daily averaged concentrations of a given substance recorded during the compared periods (the non-parametric U Mann–Whitney test; α = 0.05).
To get a further insight into the variability of PM$_{2.5}$ chemical composition, we also classified PM$_{2.5}$ pollution into different categories. Mean percentages of the main chemical components of PM$_{2.5}$—carbonaceous matter (EC, POC, SOC), secondary inorganic ions (nss-SO$_{4}^{2-}$, NO$_{3}^{-}$, NH$_{4}^{+}$) and Cl$^{-}$ ions—under different pollution levels are presented in Fig. 4. Other ions were omitted in the considerations, because their share in the total PM$_{2.5}$ mass during high pollution days was small and did not exceed 2% (Table 3).

It was generally found that the share of carbonaceous matter increased with the increase of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. During the clean periods (PM$_{2.5} < 10$ µg m$^{-3}$), the share of TC was low (average: $\sim$34%), especially in the case of EC (average: $\sim$5%) and POC (average: $\sim$17%). The highest POC and EC shares were recorded at PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the range of 35–50 µg m$^{-3}$, with average values of $\sim$32% and $\sim$9%, respectively. The increase in the SOC share in PM$_{2.5}$ together with the increase in the pollution level was more pronounced compared to primary carbon compounds. As a result, at the highest PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (PM$_{2.5} \geq 70$ µg m$^{-3}$), the SOC share (average: $\sim$25%) was higher than the POC share (average: $\sim$22%).

In general, the share of SIA decreased with the increase of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations; however, differences were observed when considering the shares of individual inorganic ions. The highest share of nss-SO$_{4}^{2-}$ in PM$_{2.5}$ (average: $\sim$14%) was recorded at the lowest concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ (< 10 µg m$^{-3}$), which could affect the high SIA content in PM$_{2.5}$ for the given concentration range. Also in this case the highest shares of Cl$^{-}$ ions were recorded (average: $\sim$6%). The share of NH$_{4}^{+}$ ions was less variable, with the highest levels (average: $\sim$5%) observed at PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the range of 10–15 µg m$^{-3}$. Nitrates were distinguished by increasing share with an increase in the pollution level, reflecting an elevated production of heterogeneous reactions. The highest share of NO$_{3}^{-}$ (average: $\sim$14%) was found at PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations of $\geq$ 70 µg m$^{-3}$, similar to the share of SOC.

The obtained results suggest that high concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ in Racibórz were mainly caused by secondary aerosol, especially secondary organic carbon and, to a lesser extent, nitrates. A high share of TC, especially OC, was also found at various measurement stations located in Poland, representing both urban backgrounds, e.g., Katowice (Rogula-Kozłowska et al., 2014) and Warsaw (Juda-Rezler et al., 2011), and rural backgrounds, e.g., Diabla Góra (Juda-Rezler et al., 2011) and Czerniawa (Reizer and Juda-Rezler, 2016). On the other hand, the importance of SIA as a factor responsible for increased concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ has been emphasized in many scientific publications (e.g., Weijers et al., 2010; Rodela et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). This has confirmed the fact that Poland is a specific country in terms of emission conditions, which also has an undeniable effect on the chemical composition of the atmospheric aerosol.

**Correlations among PM$_{2.5}$ Chemical Components and their Relation with Meteorological Parameters**

In this work, the interrelationships between the concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ chemical components were examined using Spearman’s rank correlation ($\alpha = 0.05$). A non-parametric correlation matrix was constructed separately for the data set from high pollution days and normal days in the heating season (Table 4) to capture the specifics of both periods.

PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations showed a strong positive correlation with carbonaceous aerosol, while during high pollution days the correlation was maintained only in the case of OC ($r = 0.79$). In such periods no statistically significant relationship was found between OC and EC, which proves the presence of mixed emission sources of these substances (Mancilla et al., 2015). For example, the significant positive correlation between Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ and primary carbon compounds (EC, POC) during high pollution days may indicate a common source of origin—emissions from car engines and road abrasion (Rodríguez et al., 2004). On the other hand, neither

---

**Fig. 4.** Contributions (%) of carbonaceous compounds (EC, SOC and POC) and inorganic ions (nss-SO$_{4}^{2-}$, NO$_{3}^{-}$, NH$_{4}^{+}$ and Cl$^{-}$) in PM$_{2.5}$ for different concentration ranges.
Table 4. Non-parametric (Spearman) correlation matrix ($\alpha = 0.05$) between concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and its main components, separately for high pollution days and normal days in the heating season.

| Variable | High pollution days (PM$_{2.5} > 50$ µg m$^{-3}$) | Normal days (PM$_{2.5} \leq 50$ µg m$^{-3}$) |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|          | $r$                                           | $r$                                         |
| PM$_{2.5}$ | 0.37                                          | 0.90                                        |
| EC       | 0.80                                          | 0.63                                        |
| OC       | 0.77                                          | 0.86                                        |
| SO$_{2}$ | 0.88                                          | 0.79                                        |
| NO$_x$   | 0.83                                          | 0.88                                        |
| NH$_4^+$ | 0.82                                          | 0.86                                        |
| SIA      | 0.85                                          | 0.88                                        |
| Ca$^{2+}$| 0.80                                          | 0.80                                        |
| Mg$^{2+}$| 0.76                                          | 0.76                                        |

**Table 4.** Non-parametric (Spearman) correlation matrix ($\alpha = 0.05$) between concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and its main components, separately for high pollution days and normal days in the heating season.

Bold type indicates that the correlation is statistically significant ($\alpha = 0.05$).

EC and POC nor Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ showed statistically significant associations with PM$_{2.5}$ in such periods, and therefore the role of these sources in shaping high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations could be negligible. Conversely, the relatively high positive correlation between SOC and PM$_{2.5}$ ($r = 0.61$) indicated the importance of SOA formation processes (Mancilla et al., 2015).

In addition to SOC, SIA also had a significant effect on high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, specifically, NO$_3^-$ ($r = 0.60$) and, to a lesser extent, NH$_4^+$ ($r = 0.46$). In both averaging periods, strong positive correlation was noted between the nss- SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$, suggesting a significant content of aged particles in the ambient air, which is typical for non-urban areas such as Racibórz (Heo et al., 2013; Rogula-Kozłowska et al., 2014). This is confirmed by the high correlation of NH$_4^+$ with nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ ($r = 0.74–0.85$). Moreover, during days with PM$_{2.5}$ > 50 µg m$^{-3}$ there were no statistically significant relationships between SIA and other analyzed ions as well as between SIA and SOC, which could indicate that the SIA concentrations are less impacted by local emissions in such periods (Freneny et al., 2011; Heo et al., 2013). On the other hand, the effect of local sources—such as coal combustion in households (Rodelas et al., 2019)—on SOC levels is confirmed by the strong correlation between SOC and Cl$^-$ ($r = 0.61$).

A non-parametric (Spearman) correlation analysis ($\alpha = 0.05$) between weather parameters and PM$_{2.5}$ chemical composition data was also performed in order to identify the factors affecting the variability of concentrations of the analyzed substances (Table 5). During periods of elevated PM$_{2.5}$ concentration, no statistically significant relationships were found between the analyzed PM components, and precipitation, solar radiation intensity, atmospheric pressure and wind speed. This may be due to much lower values of these parameters during high pollution days or to their small variability (see also Section 3.2). The impact of wind speed was revealed in the formal days of the heating season, with negative values of correlation coefficients. This is probably due to the cleaning function of wind—high wind speeds favor the dispersion of atmospheric pollutants, while low wind speeds allow pollutant levels to rise (Galindo et al., 2011).

During the high pollution days, the correlation with air temperature was maintained only for PM$_{2.5}$ ($r = -0.48$), EC and POC ($r = -0.60$), Cl$^-$ ($r = -0.57$) and Na$^+$ ($r = -0.48$). The lack of statistically significant relations with temperature for most of the analyzed components may indicate that other factors, such as long-range transport events, regional recirculation episodes or complex photochemical reactions, to a greater extent could affect high concentrations of these substances (Galindo et al., 2011; Heo et al., 2013). Interestingly, for most of the analyzed substances, there were no statistically significant relationships with relative humidity. In view of the above considerations (see Section 3.3), this is particularly surprising in relation to secondary PM$_{2.5}$ components. It should be remembered that the resolution of the chemical analysis data could have an effect on the results obtained—every second daily sample was analyzed. Therefore, to examine the relationships between relative humidity, and SIA and SOC levels thoroughly, further studies are needed.
Table 5. Non-parametric (Spearman) correlation matrix (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)) between concentrations of PM\textsubscript{2.5} and its main components and selected meteorological parameters, separately for high pollution days (PM\textsubscript{2.5} \( > 50 \) µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) and normal days in the heating season.

| Variable | High pollution days (PM\textsubscript{2.5} \( > 50 \) µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) | Normal days (PM\textsubscript{2.5} \( \leq 50 \) µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) |
|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|          | \( T \)                          | \( \text{Pr} \)                   | \( \text{Ins} \)               | \( \text{Vis} \)               | \( \text{WS} \)               | \( \text{RH} \)               | \( \text{Ps} \)               | \( T \)                          | \( \text{Pr} \)                   | \( \text{Ins} \)               | \( \text{Vis} \)               | \( \text{WS} \)               | \( \text{RH} \)               | \( \text{Ps} \)               |
| PM\textsubscript{2.5} | 0.08                            | 0.08                            | -0.44                          | -0.08                          | 0.01                          | -0.12                          | -0.21                          | -0.08                            | 0.08                            | -0.24                          | 0.02                            | -0.28                          | 0.17                            | -0.14                          |
| EC       | -0.17                           | 0.25                            | 0.37                           | 0.08                           | -0.59                          | -0.12                          | -0.27                          | -0.08                            | 0.08                            | 0.17                           | 0.02                            | 0.17                           | 0.02                            | -0.08                          |
| OC       | -0.34                           | 0.25                            | 0.37                           | 0.08                           | -0.59                          | -0.12                          | -0.27                          | -0.08                            | 0.08                            | 0.17                           | 0.02                            | 0.17                           | 0.02                            | -0.08                          |
| POC      | -0.34                           | 0.25                            | 0.37                           | 0.08                           | -0.59                          | -0.12                          | -0.27                          | -0.08                            | 0.08                            | 0.17                           | 0.02                            | 0.17                           | 0.02                            | -0.08                          |
| nss-SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–} | -0.29                           | 0.19                            | 0.38                           | 0.03                           | -0.59                          | -0.12                          | -0.27                          | -0.08                            | 0.08                            | 0.17                           | 0.02                            | 0.17                           | 0.02                            | -0.08                          |
| NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{–} | -0.23                           | 0.04                            | 0.03                           | 0.01                           | 0.54                           | 0.17                           | 0.27                           | 0.06                            | -0.08                          | -0.27                          | -0.07                           | 0.07                           | 0.07                            | -0.08                          |
| SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–} | -0.23                           | 0.04                            | 0.03                           | 0.01                           | 0.54                           | 0.17                           | 0.27                           | 0.06                            | -0.08                          | -0.27                          | -0.07                           | 0.07                           | 0.07                            | -0.08                          |
| Cl\textsuperscript{–} | -0.19                           | 0.12                            | 0.12                           | 0.02                           | -0.54                           | 0.17                           | 0.27                           | 0.06                            | -0.08                          | -0.27                          | -0.07                           | 0.07                           | 0.07                            | -0.08                          |
| Na\textsuperscript{+} | -0.25                           | 0.17                            | 0.17                           | 0.01                           | 0.19                           | 0.17                           | 0.27                           | 0.06                            | -0.08                          | -0.27                          | -0.07                           | 0.07                           | 0.07                            | -0.08                          |
| K\textsuperscript{+} | -0.25                           | 0.17                            | 0.17                           | 0.01                           | 0.19                           | 0.17                           | 0.27                           | 0.06                            | -0.08                          | -0.27                          | -0.07                           | 0.07                           | 0.07                            | -0.08                          |
| Ca\textsuperscript{2+} | -0.49                           | -0.04                           | 0.03                           | 0.01                           | -0.54                           | 0.17                           | 0.27                           | 0.06                            | -0.08                          | -0.27                          | -0.07                           | 0.07                           | 0.07                            | -0.08                          |
| Mg\textsuperscript{2+} | -0.49                           | -0.04                           | 0.03                           | 0.01                           | -0.54                           | 0.17                           | 0.27                           | 0.06                            | -0.08                          | -0.27                          | -0.07                           | 0.07                           | 0.07                            | -0.08                          |

Bold type indicates that the correlation is statistically significant (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)).

Nevertheless, a significant positive correlation with visibility was noted only for SOC (\( r = -0.45 \)) and secondary inorganic ions (\( r = -0.59 \) to \(-0.74 \)). The results obtained in the study are consistent with literature data (e.g., Lin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019) and confirm that the secondary aerosol was the major contributor to atmospheric visibility deterioration during high pollution events.

The Role of Atmospheric Circulation during Periods of High PM\textsubscript{2.5} Concentration

Due to the clear weakness of the relationship of measured chemical components with meteorological parameters during the periods of elevated PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations, the variability of the share of PM\textsubscript{2.5} main components (SIA, EC + POC, SOC) was checked against the atmospheric circulation types in the heating season (Fig. 5). The classification of circulation types according to Lityński (1969) was used in the study. It belongs to the group of automatic (objective) classifications and has been routinely used in the IMWM-NRI measurement network. This classification contains 27 types of circulation, including 8 cyclonic, 8 anticyclonic and 8 zero-types for a given inflow of air (N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, NW) as well as 3 types without an identified inflow of air mass—zero cyclone (0c; center of low pressure), zero saddle (0o) and zero anticyclonic (0a; center of high pressure).

The highest PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations were associated with the inflow of air masses from the SE, and were primarily caused by the increased content of carbonaceous compounds. TC concentrations peaked on 04 and 06 Mar (Episode 4); however, these days differed in the direction of air mass inflow and the concentrations of primary and secondary compounds. On 04 Mar, with the Ec circulation type, very high concentrations of EC (11.22 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) and POC (41.05 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) were found on 27 Jan, 10 Nov and 28 Nov, with the inflow of air masses from the SE, and were primarily caused by the increased content of carbonaceous compounds. On 04 Mar, with the Ec circulation type, very high concentrations of EC (11.22 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) and POC (41.05 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) were found on 27 Jan, 10 Nov and 28 Nov, with the inflow of air masses from the SE, and were primarily caused by the increased content of carbonaceous compounds. On 04 Mar, with the Ec circulation type, very high concentrations of EC (11.22 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) and POC (41.05 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) were found on 27 Jan, 10 Nov and 28 Nov, with the inflow of air masses from the SE, and were primarily caused by the increased content of carbonaceous compounds.

Generally, POC clearly dominated over SOC, although the situation is different if we look at individual days of the heating season (Fig. 5). For example, on 06 Mar, with an inflow of air masses from the SE, the SOC concentration (44.80 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) was more than 2.5 times higher than POC, with contribution in PM\textsubscript{2.5} of ~35%. Equally high SOC shares were noted, indicating a significant impact of local emission sources—National Freeway No. 45. This statement was confirmed by the maximum EC and POC shares in PM\textsubscript{2.5} recorded on 28 Feb (~41% and ~11%, respectively), with the Ec circulation type. Equally high share of EC + POC was found on 26 Feb with the inflow of air masses from the NE direction, which indicated an additional impact of the city center of Racibórz (~2.5 km northeast of the station).

In general, POC clearly dominated over SOC, although the situation is different if we look at individual days of the heating season (Fig. 5). For example, on 06 Mar, with an inflow of air masses from the SE, the SOC concentration (44.80 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}) was more than 2.5 times higher than POC, with contribution in PM\textsubscript{2.5} of ~35%. Equally high SOC shares were noted, indicating a significant impact of local emission sources—National Freeway No. 45. This statement was confirmed by the maximum EC and POC shares in PM\textsubscript{2.5} recorded on 28 Feb (~41% and ~11%, respectively), with the Ec circulation type. Equally high share of EC + POC was found on 26 Feb with the inflow of air masses from the NE direction, which indicated an additional impact of the city center of Racibórz (~2.5 km northeast of the station).

In general, the SIA concentrations were ~2 times lower compared to TC concentrations (Table 3); however, this...
Fig. 5. Concentrations of the total carbon (TC) and secondary inorganic aerosol during heating period of 2018 with an indication of the atmospheric circulation types and high pollution days: (a) Jan-Mar and (b) Oct-Dec.

situation changed significantly on particular days (Fig. 5). The highest concentration of SIA (33.73 µg m⁻³) was found on 06 Mar (circulation type: SEc), although the share of SIA remained at moderate level (~26%). A very high share of SIA (~44%) was recorded on 08 Feb (Episode 2), with S₀ circulation type—this indicated an inflow of polluted air masses from the Czech Republic (Ostrava). The highest share of SIA in PM₂.₅ from Racibórz (~45%) was registered
on 04 Feb (circulation type: NE<sub>0</sub>), at low PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and moderate TC share. A high share of SIA in PM<sub>2.5</sub> (~42%) was also noted on 19 Oct, with the N<sub>0</sub> circulation type, relatively high PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and a minimum share of TC (~28%). The results obtained may indicate a large role of regional transport of pollutants from the area of Kędzierzyn-Koźle (~30 km north of the station), where large sources of the chemical industry are located. The inflow of gaseous precursors from the Upper Silesian Industrial District could have also a potentially large impact on the high share of SIA in PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

CONCLUSIONS

To better comprehend the factors leading to periods of high pollution, we analyzed daily measurements of PM<sub>2.5</sub> conducted during 2018 at a suburban background station in Racibórz, which is located in Silesia Province, one of the most polluted regions of Poland. The concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its chemical components varied during the study period, exhibiting characteristic seasonal trends associated with variations in the emission intensity of PM and its precursors from anthropogenic sources as well as changes in the prevailing meteorological conditions. The inhabitants of the analyzed area experienced much worse living conditions during the heating season, when the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration exceeded the European standard (25 w) on ~66% of the sampled days.

We identified 7 PM<sub>2.5</sub> episodes, which critically affected the air quality in Racibórz. In general, the TC fraction grew (to an average of ~52%) during the polluted periods (PM<sub>2.5</sub> > 50 w) owing to the increased OC (average: ~45%). When the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations reached their maximum values (~70 w), the percentage of SOC (contrary to that of POC) rose dramatically. In addition, the ionic portion was dominated by secondary inorganic ions, including NO<sub>3</sub> as a key component of SIA, on polluted days. Overall, the fraction of SIA decreased as the level of pollution increased; however, we observed differences in this variability between the individual species.

Although specific weather conditions during the cold season were shown to favor the occurrence of PM<sub>2.5</sub> episodes, obviously weak correlations between certain meteorological parameters and the PM<sub>2.5</sub> component concentrations reveal the potential influence of regional and long-range transport events as well as the importance of secondary aerosol formation. We found that inflowing air masses from the following areas may have significantly contributed to air pollution in Racibórz: a) the Rybnicko-Jastrzębska agglomeration (SOC), b) the Czech Republic (Ostrava and Opava; SIA and SOC); and c) Kędzierzyn-Koźle and the Upper Silesian Industrial District (SIA). Local emission sources (e.g., road transport and fossil fuel combustion) also played a role, as evidenced by the high proportions of EC and POC in the PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass.

These results suggest that elevated concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Racibórz and at similar sites in Poland are mainly due to secondary organic carbon and, to a lesser extent, NO<sub>x</sub>, which confirms the specificity of this nation’s emission conditions, namely, the heavy use of fossil fuels in energy consumption, and electricity and heat production. This study provides valuable scientific data for developing strategies and programs to protect air quality, which should particularly focus on abating emissions of PM’s gaseous precursors. Regional and long-range transport should also be considered as potential factors, especially in non-urban areas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Institute of Environmental Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences basic (statutory) Research Project No. 1a-119/2018 (Temporal and spatial variability of the chemical composition of atmospheric aerosols as a tool for assessing the effects of implementing air protection programs in Poland, 2018–2020). The authors also gratefully acknowledge the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management–National Research Institute for the provision of the meteorological data.

REFERENCES

Błaszczak, B., Rogula-Kozłowska, W., Mathews, B., Judaszler, K., Klejniewski, K. and Rogula-Kopiec, P. (2016). Chemical compositions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> at two non-urban sites from the polluted region in Europe. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 16: 2333–2348. https://doi.org/10.4209/aqr.2015.09.0538

Błaszczak, B., Widziewicz-Rzońca, K., Ziwała, N., Klejniewski, K. and Juda-Rezler, K. (2019). Chemical characteristics of fine particulate matter in Poland in relation with data from selected rural and urban background stations in Europe. Appl. Sci. 9: 98. https://doi.org/10.3390/app9010098

Castro, L.M., Pio, C.A., Harrison, R.M. and Smith, D.J.T. (1999). Carbonaceous aerosol in urban and rural European atmospheres: Estimation of secondary organic carbon concentrations. Atmos. Environ. 33: 2771–2781. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00331-8

Dai, Q., Bi, X., Liu, B., Li, L., Ding, J., Song, W., Bi, S., Schulze, B.C., Song, C., Wu, J., Zhang, Y., Feng, Y. and Hopke, P.K. (2018). Chemical nature of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in Xi an, China: Insights into primary emissions and secondary particle formation. Environ. Pollut. 240: 155–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.04.111

Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on Ambient Air Quality and Cleaner Air for Europe; 2005/0183/COD; Council of the European Union: City, Country, 2008.

Fiore, A.M., Naik, V. and Leibensperger, E.M. (2015). Air quality and climate connections. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc. 65: 645–685. https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2015.1040526

Freney, E.J., Sellegri, K., Canonaco, F., Boulon, J., Hervo, M., Weigel, R., Pichon, J.M., Colomb, A., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Laj, P. (2011). Seasonal variations in aerosol particle composition at the Puy-de-Dôme research station in France. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11: 13047–13059. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-13047-2011

Galindo, N., Varea, M., Gil-Moltó, J., Yubero, E. and Nicolás, J. (2011). The influence of meteorology on
particulate matter concentrations at an urban mediterranean location. *Water Air Soil Poll.* 215: 365–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-010-0484-z

Hassan, S.K. and Khoder, M.I. (2017). Chemical characteristics of atmospheric PM$_{2.5}$ loads during air pollution episodes in Giza, Egypt. *Atmos. Environ.* 150: 346–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.11.026

Heo, J., McGinnis, J.E., de Foy, B. and Schauer, J.J. (2013). Identification of potential source areas for elevated PM$_{2.5}$, nitrate and sulfate concentrations. *Atmos. Environ.* 71: 187197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.02.008

Jones, A.M. and Harrison, R.M. (2005). Interpretation of particulate elemental and organic carbon concentrations at rural, urban and kerbside sites. *Atmos. Environ.* 39: 7114–7126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.08.017

Juda-Rezler, K., Reizer, M. and Oudinet, J.P. (2011). Determination and analysis of PM$_{10}$ source apportionment during episodes of air pollution in Central Eastern European urban areas: The case of wintertime 2006. *Atmos. Environ.* 45: 6557–6566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.08.020

Kassomenos, P.A., Vardoulakis, S., Chaloulakou, A., Pschalidou, A.K., Grivas, G., Borge, R. and Lumbraeras, J. (2014). Study of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels in three European cities: Analysis of intra and inter urban variations. *Atmos. Environ.* 87: 153–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.01.004

Khan, Md.B., Masiol, M., Formenton, G., Di Gilio, A., de Gennaro, G., Agostinelli, C. and Pavoni, B. (2016). Carbonaceous PM$_{2.5}$ and secondary organic aerosol across the Veneto region (NE Italy). *Sci. Total Environ.* 542: 172–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.103

Kim, K.H., Kabir, E. and Kabir, S. (2015). A review on the human health impact of airborne particulate matter. *Environ. Int.* 74: 136–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.005

Lin, Y., Huang, K., Zhuang, G., Fu, J.S., Wang, Q., Liu, T., Deng, C. and Fu, Q. (2014). A multi-year evolution of aerosol chemistry impacting visibility and haze formation over an Eastern Asia megacity, Shanghai. *Atmos. Environ.* 92: 76–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.007

Lityński, J. (1969). Numerical classification of circulation types and types of weather for Poland [in Polish]. PIHM Studies 97: 3–14.

Liu, G., Li, J., Wu, D. and Xu, H. (2015). Chemical composition and source apportionment of the ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in Hangzhou, China. *Particleoll* 18: 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-014-0311-2

Ma, Q., Wu, Y., Zhang, D., Wang, X., Xia, Y., Liu, X., Tian, P., Han, Z., Xia, X., Wang, Y. and Zhang, R. (2017). Roles of regional transport and heterogeneous reactions in the PM$_{2.5}$ increase during winter haze episodes in Beijing. *Sci. Total Environ.* 599–600: 246–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.193

Maciejewska, K., Juda-Rezler, K., Reizer, M. and Klejnowski, K. (2015). Modelling of black carbon statistical distribution and return periods of extreme concentrations. *Environ. Modell. Software* 74: 212–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.04.016

Mancilla, Y., Hercecs, P., Fraser, M.P. and Mendoza, A. (2015). Secondary organic aerosol contributions to PM$_{2.5}$ in Monterey, Mexico: Temporal and seasonal variation. *Atmos. Res.* 153: 348–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.09.009

Pavuluri, C.M., Kawamura, K., Aggarwal, S.G. and Swaminathan, T. (2011). Characteristics, seasonality and sources of carbonaceous and ionic components in the tropical aerosols from Indian region. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11: 8215–8230. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-8215-2011

PN-EN 12341:2014-07: Ambient air – Standard gravimetric measurement method to determine the concentration of mass fractions PM$_{10}$ or PM$_{2.5}$ particulate matter.

Rai, P.K. (2016). Impacts of particulate matter pollution on plants: Implications for environmental biomonitoring. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 129: 120–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.03.012

Rao, N.V., Rajasekhar, M. and Rao, G.C. (2014). Detrimental effect of air pollution, corrosion on building materials and historical structures. *Am. J. Eng. Res.* 03: 359–364.

Reizer, M. and Juda-Rezler, K. (2016). Explaining the high PM$_{10}$ concentrations observed in Polish urban areas. *Air Qual. Atmos. Health* 9: 517–531. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-015-0358-z

Rodelas, R.R., Perdix, E., Herbin, B. and Riffault, V. (2019). Characterization and variability of inorganic aerosols and their gaseous precursors at a suburban site in northern France over one year (2015-2016). *Atmos. Environ.* 200: 142–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.11.041

Rodríguez, S., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Viana, M.M., Alcorcón, M., Mantilla, E. and Ruiz, C.R. (2004). Comparative PM$_{10}$-PM$_{2.5}$ source contribution study at rural urban and industrial sites during PM episodes in Eastern Spain. *Sci. Total Environ.* 328: 95–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(03)00411-X

Rogula-Kozlowska, W., Klejnowski, K., Rogula-Kopiec, P., Ośródka, L., Krajny, E., Blaszczak, B. and Mathews, B. (2014). Spatial and seasonal variability of the mass concentrations and chemical composition of PM$_{2.5}$ in Poland. *Air Qual. Atmos. Health* 7: 41–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-013-0222-y

Romer, E. (1949). *Climate regions of Poland*. Works of the Wrocław Scientific Society B20, Wrocław. (in Polish)

Sillanpää, M., Hillamo, R., Saarikoski, S., Frey, A., Pennanen, A., Makkonen, U., Spolnik, Z., Van Grieken, R., Brami, M., Brunekreef, B., Chalbot, M.C., Kuhlbusch, T., Sunyer, J., Kerminen, V.M., Kulmala, M. and Salonen, R.O. (2006). Chemical composition and mass closure of particulate matter at six urban sites in Europe. *Atmos. Environ.* 40: 212–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.01.063

Song, X., Li, J., Shao, L., Zheng, Q. and Zhang, D. (2019). Inorganic ion chemistry of local particulate matter in a populated city of North China at light, medium, and severe pollution levels. *Sci. Total Environ.* 690: 566–574. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.033

U.S. EPA (2016). *Integrated Review Plan for the National
Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter. Publication No. EPA-452/R-16-005. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Health and Environmental Impacts Division Research Triangle Park, NC, USA, December 2016.

Wang, S., Yin, S., Zhang, R., Yang, L., Zhao, Q., Zhang, L., Yan, Q., Jiang, N. and Tang, X. (2019). Insight into the formation of secondary inorganic aerosol based on high-time-resolution data during haze episodes and snowfall periods in Zhengzhou, China. Sci. Total Environ. 660: 47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.465

Weijers, E.P., Sahan, E., ten Brink, H.M., Schaap, M., Matthijsen, J., Otjes, R.P. and van Arkel, F. (2010). Contribution of secondary inorganic aerosols to PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} in the Netherlands; measurement and modelling results. Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Bilthoven, The Netherlands. https://www.pbl.nl/en/publications/Contribution-of-secondary-inorganic-aerosols-to-PM10-and-PM2.5-in-the-Netherlands

World Health Organization (WHO) (2013). Health Effects of Particulate Matter. Policy implications for countries in eastern Europe, Caucasus and central Asia; WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark. https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/environment-and-health/air-quality/publications/2013/health-effects-of-particulate-matter-policy-implications-for-countries-in-eastern-europe-caucasus-and-central-asia-2013

Received for review, January 10, 2020
Revised, June 5, 2020
Accepted, August 5, 2020