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Combining the steeply falling primary cosmic-ray spectrum with the scaling hypothesis for the spectrum of produced secondary particles, we illustrate how to correlate data at machine energies with cosmic-ray phenomena. We find that the $\mu^+/\mu^-$ ratio is expected to be energy-independent and greater than 1, regardless of the specific model chosen for multiparticle hadronic reactions. An analysis of accelerator data gives the value $\mu^+/\mu^- \approx 1.56$, to be compared with the experimental result $\approx 1.25$. Possible causes of this discrepancy are discussed.

The purpose of this paper is to examine some implications for cosmic-ray spectra of recent theoretical and experimental developments in hadronic multiparticle production; in particular, to examine some of the implications of the scaling or limiting fragmentation hypothesis. Given the nature of the primary cosmic-ray spectrum, one can use the scaling hypothesis to correlate data at machine energies with cosmic-ray phenomena.

We concentrate primarily on the cosmic-ray $\mu^+/\mu^-$ ratio, in order to see (a) whether scaling and accelerator data can explain this ratio, and (b) whether the observed constancy of the ratio discriminates between models of high-energy reactions. Experimentally, the $\mu^+/\mu^-$ ratio at sea level is known to be approximately 1.2–1.3 from energies of a few GeV up to 1 TeV ($10^{15}$ eV), as shown in Fig. 1. Although Chou and Yang cite this constancy as evidence in favor of the class of high-energy theories that give rise to constant topological cross sections $\sigma_s$, we find that it is a much more general result implied by any model consistent with scaling.

To understand the process qualitatively, let us distinguish between two mechanisms for production of hadrons (and consequently of muons), pionization and fragmentation. Fragmentation products of the projectile (or target) are those particles produced at low energy in the projectile (or target) rest frame. Pionization refers to the copious production of particles at low energy in the center-of-mass system. In models with a finite correlation length, such as the multiperipheral model, the charge of the incident particles is reflected only in the fragmentation products; the pionization products are independent of the nature of the incident particles. Since in such models the ratio of the number of pionization to fragmentation products increases logarithmically with the incident particle energy, one might expect the $\mu^+/\mu^-$ ratio to decrease asymptotically to unity. The distinctive feature of cosmic-ray phenomena which invalidates this reasoning is the steep decline of the primary flux with increasing energy; experimentally, $dN/E = E^{-2.7}$ for energies up to 1 TeV. Although pions of energy $E_\pi$ can be produced by primaries with any energy $E \approx E_\pi$, the steeply falling primary spectrum suppresses the contribution for $E > E_\pi$, and enhances the contribution for $E = E_\pi$. Since pions having $E_\pi = E$ are projectile fragments, we arrive at the conclusion that projectile fragmentation is the dominant process in producing cosmic-ray pions (and muons) of any given energy, despite the existence of pionization.

In order to make these considerations quantitative in a way which elucidates the essential physics, let us first consider an oversimplified model, in which the primary spectrum consists exclusively of protons which interact once with the atmospheric nuclei, producing pions which all decay into muons. For simplicity, kaon production is ignored here. The problem is most conveniently formulated in terms of the single-particle distribution for pions produced in a proton-proton collision,

$$f^*_\pi(E_\pi, E_\nu) = \frac{E_\pi}{\sigma_{pp}^\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dE_\pi},$$

where $E_\pi$ and $E_\nu$ are the laboratory energies of the
primary proton and secondary pion, respectively, $\sigma_{pp}^{el}$ is the total inelastic proton-proton cross section, and $\pm$ refers to the charge of the observed pion. If we assume a primary spectrum of the form

$$\frac{dN}{dx} = N_0 e^{-(1+\gamma)}$$

(2)

(where $\gamma=1.7$), then the pion spectrum in this model is

$$\pi^+(E_x) = \frac{d\pi^+(E_x)}{dE_x} = \frac{(\text{const})}{E_x} \int_{E_x}^{\infty} dE E^{-\gamma} f_{\pi^+}(E, E).$$

(3)

Applying the hypothesis of limiting fragmentation or scaling one has

$$\lim_{E_x \to \infty} f_{\pi^+}(E_x, E) = \tilde{f}_{\pi^+}(x),$$

(4)

where

$$x = \sqrt{2} \frac{\pi^{-\gamma} N_{\pi^+}}{N_{pp}(E + M_\pi)^{1/2}}$$

(5)

is the usual Feynman scaled variable ($\pi_{pp}^{-\gamma}$ is the pion longitudinal momentum in the center-of-mass frame, $M_\pi$ is the nucleon mass); for $E, E_x \to \infty$, $x = E_x/E$. We can simplify Eq. (3) to the form

$$\pi^+(E_x) = (\text{const}) E_x^{-\gamma} Z_{\pi^+}^T,$$

(6)

where

$$Z_{\pi^+}^T = \int_0^1 \tilde{f}_{\pi^+}(x) x^{\gamma-1} dx.$$  

(7)

Consequently, in this simple model, we have

$$\mu^+(E_p) = \frac{\pi^+(E_p)}{\pi^-(E_p)} = \frac{Z_{\pi^+}^T}{Z_{\pi^-}^T}. $$

(8)

This simple model already embodies the relevant salient features: (1) From Eqs. (6)-(8), we see that the $\mu^+/\mu^-$ ratio is explicitly independent of the muon energy. (2) $\mu^+/\mu^- > 1$ because the proton fragments more often into $\pi^+$ than $\pi^-$. (3) The ratio $\mu^+/\mu^-$ depends on the power $\gamma$ of the primary spectrum, and thus may have an implicit energy dependence through variations in the power law of the primary spectrum. (4) The nature of the target nuclei in the atmosphere is unimportant. This follows from the fact that only fast forward-moving fragments with $x > 0$ contribute to the integral for $Z_{\pi^+}^T$, and to the extent factorization is valid, $f_{\pi^+}(x > 0)$ depends only on the nature of the projectile particle, not the target. (The actual propagation of cosmic rays through the atmosphere does depend on the average atomic weight of the atmospheric nuclei, but, as we shall discuss later, such dependence for the muon charge ratio will be expected to be fairly mild.) (5) Pionization products have very little effect on the $\mu^+/\mu^-$ ratio. The power-law behavior of the primary spectrum, appearing as the weighting factor $x^{\gamma-1}$ in the integrand of $Z_{\pi^+}^T$, suppresses contributions from $x = 0$, the pionization region. For $x > 0$, it is expected that

$$\tilde{f}_{\pi^+}(x) > \tilde{f}_{\pi^-}(x)$$

(9)

as a result of the initial proton charge. This is indeed the case in laboratory experiments performed up to $30 \ GeV/c$ and is expected to hold asymptotically as well. Since the integrand in $Z_{\pi^+}^T$ never becomes negative, it follows that $Z_{\pi^+}^T > Z_{\pi^-}^T$, and that $\mu^+/\mu^- > 1$. 

After this simplified discussion, we proceed now to consider a more realistic model which takes into account the propagation of cosmic rays through the atmosphere, the neutron component of the primaries, and the production and decay of charged kaons in addition to pions. We have followed the general approach described by Barrett et al. and obtained the muon spectra in terms of the single-particle spectra $f_{\nu\nu}$, $f_{\mu\mu}$, $f_{\nu\mu}$, $f_{\mu\nu}$, and $f_{\nu\nu}$, all defined analogously to the previous $f_{\pi^+}$. To illustrate this approach, we present here a brief discussion of the propagation of nucleons through the atmosphere.

Denoting by $\Phi(E, y)$ ($\pi(E, y)$) the proton (neutron) flux at energy $E$ and atmospheric depth $y$ (g/cm$^2$), we describe the propagation of these particles through the atmosphere by the following diffusion equation:

$$\frac{d\Phi(E, y)}{dy} = \frac{\Phi(E, y)}{\lambda_\pi} + \frac{1}{\lambda_\pi E} \int_E^{E'} dE' [\Phi(E', y) f_{\pi^+}(E/E')$$

$$+ \pi(E', y) f_{\pi^-}(E/E')]$$

(10a)
\[ \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(E, y)}{\partial y} = - \frac{\mathcal{P}(E, y)}{\lambda_N} + \frac{1}{\lambda_N E} \int_{E}^{\infty} dE' \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}(E', y)}{\partial y} \tilde{f}_{R} \left( E/E' \right) + \mathcal{P}(E', y) \tilde{f}_{m} \left( E/E' \right) \right], \]

\[ \tilde{f}_{R} \left( E \right) = \tilde{f}_{m} \left( E \right), \quad \tilde{f}_{m} \left( E \right) = \tilde{f}_{R} \left( E \right), \]

where \( \lambda_N \) is the nucleon-interaction mean free path in the atmosphere and the \( \tilde{f}_{R} \) and \( \tilde{f}_{m} \) are defined analogously to \( \tilde{f}_{R} \) and \( \tilde{f}_{m} \) in Eqs. (1) and (4). In writing down Eqs. (10), we have ignored the regeneration of nucleons in the atmosphere by secondary-pion fragmentation. These equations are simplified by the following charge-symmetry relations:

\[ \tilde{f}_{R} \left( E \right) = \tilde{f}_{m} \left( E \right), \quad \tilde{f}_{m} \left( E \right) = \tilde{f}_{R} \left( E \right). \]

Inserting these relations into Eqs. (10) and assuming a separable dependence on \( y \) and \( E \), we obtain the solutions:

\[ \mathcal{P}(E, y) = \lambda_N^{-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \right], \]

\[ \lambda_N^{-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \right], \]

where \( \lambda_N \approx \lambda_N \left[ 1 - \left( Z_{pp} + Z_{mn} \right) \right]^{-1} \) is the attenuation length of nucleons in the atmosphere and \( \lambda_N \approx \lambda_N \left[ 1 - \left( Z_{pp} + Z_{mn} \right) \right]^{-1} \). Note that the initial primary spectrum is given by

\[ \mathcal{P}(E, 0) = \lambda_N^{-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \right], \]

as expected. It is also worth mentioning that both \( \lambda_N / \lambda_N \) and \( \lambda_N / \lambda_N \) are explicitly energy-independent as a consequence of the power-law behavior of the primary spectrum. From Eqs. (12), the charge composition of nucleons at atmospheric depth \( y \) is given by

\[ \Delta \left( \frac{\lambda}{N} \right) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(E, y) - \mathcal{P}(E, 0)}{\lambda_N^{-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \right] \lambda_N^2 \exp \left[ \gamma \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_N^3} - \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \right) \right]} \]

It is known experimentally that \( \Delta_N / N_0 \approx 0.74 \pm 0.01 \). If \( f_{pp} \) and \( f_{mn} \) are experimentally determined, \( \Delta \) is then a known function of \( y \) from the above equations. It should be interesting to measure \( \Delta / N \) as a function of \( y \) directly and compare with the results obtained from laboratory data on \( f_{pp} \) and \( f_{mn} \) through Eq. (13).

We note that many of the characteristic features of our results are similar to those of the isobar model of Ref. 7. However, the explicit use of the scaling property enables us to sharpen the derivations so that the results are less model-dependent, and to relate known or measurable laboratory quantities to cosmic-ray phenomena. For instance, if we take the experimental values \( \lambda_N = 120 \text{ g/cm}^2 \) and \( \lambda_N = 77.6 \text{ g/cm}^2 \), we find

\[ Z_{pp} + Z_{mn} = 1 - \lambda_N / \lambda_N \approx 0.353. \]

From 30-GeV/c accelerator data, we obtain \( Z_{pp} \approx 0.26 \). Equation (14) then gives \( Z_{mn} = 0.093 \), and

\[ \lambda_N / \lambda_N = 93.2 \text{ g/cm}^2. \]

The charge composition of nucleons in air is then

\[ \Delta \left( \frac{\lambda}{N} \right) = \lambda_N^{-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_N} \right], \]

based on the experiment of Lal et al., as quoted in their Ref. 14. We take this to be an encouraging sign for the validity of the general approach we have adopted.

We have treated the pion, kaon, and muon fluxes by similar diffusion equations, and obtained the resulting muon spectrum. The results are particularly simple in the limit of large muon energies \( (E_p \cos \theta) > 100 \text{ GeV}; \theta \) is the zenith angle of the muon). Ignoring the generation of nucleons by \( \pi \) or \( K \) fragmentation and the conversion of \( \pi \) to \( K \), or vice versa, we obtain:

\[ \mu^+ = A^+ + A^+ R^+ + A^+ R^+ + k(A^+ R^+ + A^+ R^+), \]

\[ \mu^- = A^- + A^- R^- + A^- R^- + k(A^- R^- + A^- R^-), \]

and similar definitions for \( A^+ \) and \( R^+ \). Here, \( A^+ \), \( A^+ \), and \( \lambda_N \) are the same as before, \( Z_{pp} \) is the corresponding quantity for neutron fragmenting into \( \pi \),

\[ \lambda_{\pi} = \lambda_{\pi} (1 - Z_{\pi} + Z_{\pi})^{-1}, \]

\[ \lambda_{\pi} = \lambda_{\pi} (1 - Z_{\pi} + Z_{\pi})^{-1}, \]

and \( \lambda_{\pi} \) is the pion-interaction mean free path in air. Similar quantities are introduced for the kaons and appear in \( A^+ \) and \( R^+ \) in exactly the same way. Finally,

\[ k = \frac{\tau_{\pi} \beta}{\tau_R \beta} \left( \frac{M_{\pi} M_{\pi}}{M_{\pi} M_{\pi}} - \frac{M_{K} M_{K}}{M_{K} M_{K}} \right) \times \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{M_{\pi}^2}{M_{\pi}^2} \right)^{2+y} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{M_{K}^2}{M_{K}^2} \right)^{2+y} \right]^{-1} \right]^{-1}, \]

\[ = 2.5. \]
(τπ is the pion lifetime; τK is the kaon lifetime; bπ→μ is the branching ratio of K→μ decay; and [−(1 + γ)] is the power of the primary energy spectrum.)

If we ignore the kaons, and assume that the pion charge-exchange fragmentation is small (i.e., Zπτπ→0), then Eq. (18) simplifies to

$$\frac{\mu^+}{\mu^-} = \left(\frac{Z_{\pi}}{Z_{\pi}} + S_x^+ Z_{\pi}^2 S_x^2 \right) \left(1 + \frac{S_x^+ Z_{\pi}^2 S_x^2}{S_x^2 Z_{\pi}^2} \right),$$

(22)

where

$$S_x^+ = \frac{\ln(\Lambda_x/\Lambda_x)}{\Lambda_x/\Lambda_x - 1} = \frac{\Delta_0}{N_0} \left(\frac{\ln(\Lambda_x/\Lambda_x)}{\Lambda_x/\Lambda_x - 1} \right).$$

(23)

To obtain Eq. (22), we have used the charge-symmetry relations4:

$$f_{\pi^+}(x) = f_{\pi^+}(x),$$

(24a)

$$f_{\pi^-}(x) = f_{\pi^-}(x).$$

(24b)

It is important to note that Eq. (22) depends only on the ratio λx/λx′, and not on λx and λx′ separately. While λx or λx′ depends significantly on the average atomic weight A of the nuclei targets in the atmosphere, λx/λx′ has only a mild dependence (see Ref. 18), and the laboratory results at 40 GeV/c on carbon should be close to those for the atmosphere. This is also true for the full relation (18), which depends also on λx/λx′; consequently, the muon charge ratio μ+/μ− can also be regarded as independent of the nature of the atmospheric nuclei, as we have mentioned earlier.

It is interesting to note that in Eq. (22) Zπ/Zπ→1 and Sx/Sx→1 always imply μ+/μ− →1. One can show that Sx/Sx→1 as long as λx/λx′→1, which is always the case.

We have attempted to give a numerical estimate of μ+/μ− as given by Eqs. (22) and (18), using presently available accelerator data for the various single-particle distributions.4,11,19,20 We find Zπτπ→0.242, λx≈111 g/cm² (from Ref. 18) and the ratio Zπ/Zπ ranges from 1.8 to 2.25, depending on the data used and the method of estimation. While the suppression of contributions to the integrals Zπ from the x=0 region is sufficiently effective to minimize the effects of pionization, the actual behavior of the single-particle distributions fπ at x=0 may still be important for accurate determination of the ratio Zπ/Zπ. In this connection, we note that accelerator data at E≈30 GeV are probably not yet scaling for x≈0.1, as is evidenced by the inequality fπ(0)≠fπ(0) from these data.4,11 Taking the (unweighted) average value Zπ/Zπ=2.02, we obtain the muon ratio from Eq. (22) as

$$\frac{\mu^+}{\mu^-} = 1.56.$$  

(25)

This is somewhat higher than the experimental values (see Fig. 1), although the latter fluctuate between 1.2 and 1.45 for E>100 GeV.22

We can readily identify two possible contributions to this discrepancy: the nonscaling behavior of present accelerator data at x≈0, and the possible inaccuracy of our factorization assumption. Finally, our estimate using existing accelerator data in Eq. (18) shows that contributions from kaons and from the pion charge-exchange fragmentation tend to cancel each other, so that the value of μ+/μ− is only slightly modified from Eq. (25).

In conclusion, we wish to emphasize the role which the scaling behavior of the single-particle distributions can play in the analysis of cosmic-ray phenomena. Although we have concentrated our attention on the muon charge ratio, the formulation can have much wider applications. As a general result, it allows one to correlate information obtained from particle production distributions at accelerator energies with the spectra of secondary cosmic rays at much higher energies.
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