Possible precision medicine implications from genetic testing using combined detection of sequence and intragenic copy number variants in a large cohort with childhood epilepsy
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Abstract

Objective: Molecular genetic etiologies in epilepsy have become better understood in recent years, creating important opportunities for precision medicine. Building on these advances, detailed studies of the complexities and outcomes of genetic testing for epilepsy can provide useful insights that inform and refine diagnostic approaches and illuminate the potential for precision medicine in epilepsy.

Methods: We used a multi-gene next-generation sequencing (NGS) panel with simultaneous sequence and exonic copy number variant detection to investigate up to 183 epilepsy-related genes in 9769 individuals. Clinical variant interpretation was performed using a semi-quantitative scoring system based on existing professional practice guidelines.

Results: Molecular genetic testing provided a diagnosis in 14.9%-24.4% of individuals with epilepsy, depending on the NGS panel used. More than half of these diagnoses were in children younger than 5 years. Notably, the testing had possible precision medicine implications in 33% of individuals who received definitive diagnostic results. Only 30 genes provided 80% of molecular diagnoses. While most clinically significant findings were single-nucleotide variants, ~15% were other types that are often challenging to detect with traditional methods. In addition to clinically significant variants, there were many others that initially had uncertain significance; reclassification of 1612 such variants with parental testing or other evidence contributed to 18.5% of diagnostic results overall and 6.1% of results with precision medicine implications.

Significance: Using an NGS gene panel with key high-yield genes and robust analytic sensitivity as a first-tier test early in the diagnostic process, especially for children younger than 5 years, can possibly enable precision medicine approaches in a significant number of individuals with epilepsy.
Epilepsy is increasingly recognized to have genetic causes, and approaches to its diagnostic workup have been described.\textsuperscript{1–3} Aside from determining prognosis and recurrence risk, the identification of a genetic etiology can guide strategies for clinical management in certain forms of epilepsy, providing powerful opportunities for precision medicine.\textsuperscript{4–10} This is particularly important in early-onset epilepsies, several of which are good candidates for precision medicine but in current standard practice do not attract the requisite urgent attention.\textsuperscript{11} Next-generation sequencing (NGS) gene panels and whole-exome or whole-genome sequencing (WES/WGS) have been used as diagnostic tools for epilepsy and identify a large variety of sequence and copy number variants.\textsuperscript{2,12–17} Accurate interpretation of clinically important variants can be challenging amid naturally existing variation in epilepsy-related genes.\textsuperscript{18,19} One recent study reports a 15% diagnostic yield from a NGS gene panel for epilepsy,\textsuperscript{12} but most previous studies have involved small cohorts that obscured detailed understanding of the complexities of molecular genetic analysis.\textsuperscript{20–23} However, no studies to date have extensively investigated the proportion of individuals in a large cohort with epilepsy for whom a positive molecular genetic diagnosis invokes clinical management implications or precision medicine approaches, either through the use of therapies that ameliorate or eliminate symptoms or through the avoidance of certain contraindicated anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs).

We analyzed the results of genetic testing in a large cohort with epilepsy to understand the proportion of individuals who receive results with possible precision medicine implications (PMIs), as determined by current literature on using specific therapies for epilepsy. Furthermore, we examined the rate of definitive molecular diagnoses, the spectrum of variants and their classifications, and diagnostic yield by age groups. These data provide deep insight that can inform clinicians on the appropriate use of and expectations from diagnostic genetic testing for epilepsy.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Next-generation sequencing assay

Invitae’s epilepsy test is an NGS-based targeted gene panel (not exome-based) sequenced at high depth of coverage (50× minimum, 350× average) to simultaneously identify single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), short and long indels, exon-level deletions/duplications (copy number variants, or CNVs), rare structural rearrangements that disrupt coding sequences, and triplet repeat expansions (in the ARX gene). The 183-gene panel contains well-known and recently described genes associated with monogenic epilepsy. NGS panel testing was performed as previously described using DNA prepared from blood or saliva samples.\textsuperscript{17}

## 2.2 | Test referral sources

A cohort of individuals clinically diagnosed with various forms of epilepsy was referred for diagnostic genetic testing and analyzed in a consecutive series. All participants provided informed consent for the testing. The clinical specialties of referring providers were determined using National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) and the National Plan and Provider Enumeration System (NPPES) Registry. Although information provided from ordering clinicians was not standardized, we used relevant keywords to categorize healthcare centers and specialty clinics into appropriate groups. Ancestry information for referred individuals was self-reported.

## 2.3 | Clinical testing and variant interpretation

Clinicians requested testing for all genes on the epilepsy panel or chose subpanels for narrower clinical indications. Interpretation of observed variants in epilepsy genes was performed as described previously.\textsuperscript{24} Clinical reports included variants classified as pathogenic or likely
| Gene        | # PosMDx at Invitae | Precision medicine category | Precision medicine evidence grade | Possible or theoretical positive treatment implications based on published literature | Possible or theoretical treatment contraindications based on published literature | PubMed references (PMID) |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| ALDH7A1     | 6                   | Biochemical                 | Strong                          | Pyridoxine (vitamin B6) and folinic acid                                             | Sodium channel blockers (phenytoin, carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine), GABAergic drugs (tiagabine, vigabatrin), and gabapentin and pregabalin may aggravate myoclonus and myoclonic seizures. Phenytoin aggravates neurologic symptoms or even accelerates cerebellar degeneration | 24664145, 20301659        |
| CSTB        | 0                   | AED ontraindications        | Strong                          | Valproic acid                                                                       |                                                                                  | 20301321, 20301321        |
| EPM2A       | 0                   | AED contraindications       | Strong                          |                                                                                     | Phenytoin and possibly carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, and lamotrigine              | 20301563, 20301563        |
| FOLR1       | 0                   | Biochemical                 | Strong                          | Oral folinic acid reduces severity of seizures by correcting folate deficiency        |                                                                                  | 20447151                  |
| GAMT        | 1                   | Biochemical                 | Strong                          | Oral creatine monohydrate corrects creatine deficiency                               | Supplementation of ornithine and dietary restriction of arginine or protein      | 23622406, 20301745        |
| GATM (AGAT) | 0                   | Biochemical                 | Strong                          | Oral creatine monohydrate corrects creatine deficiency                               |                                                                                  | 23622406, 20301745, 23770102 |
| KCNQ2       | 103                 | AED indications             | Strong                          | Phenytoin, carbamazepine                                                             |                                                                                  | 25880994, 20437616        |
| NHLRC1 (EPM2B) | 1               | AED contraindications     | Strong                          | Phenytoin and possibly carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, and lamotrigine                  |                                                                                  | 20301563, 20301563        |
| PNPO        | 1                   | Biochemical                 | Strong                          | Pyridoxal phosphate                                                                 |                                                                                  | 20301659, 20301659, 25639976 |
| POLG        | 5                   | AED contraindications       | Strong                          | Avoid valproic acid to prevent liver toxicity                                        |                                                                                  | 20301791, 20301791, 21038416 |
| SCN1A       | 236                 | AED contraindications       | Strong                          | Clobazam and valproic acid are the optimal first-line medications. Optimal response to anti-epileptic drugs that bind the GABA receptor. Seizure triggers should be avoided, including hot temperatures (warm baths, exercise on hot days, untreated fever) and bright lights. | Sodium channel blockers are contraindicated (phenytoin, carbamazepine, lamotrigine, etc.) | 28564577, 20301494        |

(Continues)
# Table 1 (Continued)

| Gene     | # PosMDx at Invitae | Precision medicine category | Precision medicine evidence grade | Possible or theoretical positive treatment implications based on published literature                                                                 | Possible or theoretical treatment contraindications based on published literature | PubMed references (PMID)          |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| SCN2A    | 49                  | AED indications            | Strong                           | Sodium channel blockers (eg, phenytoin, carbamazepine, lamotrigine) work well with early infantile epilepsies (<3 mo old)                                                                        |                                                                                  | 28379373, 26291284              |
| SCN8A    | 16                  | AED indications            | Strong                           | Phenytoin, carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                  | 26252990, 25951352, 26029160, 27559564 |
| SLC2A1   | 23                  | Biochemical               | Strong                           | Ketogenic diet                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                  | 20301603, 29303961              |
| SLC6A8   | 7                   | Biochemical               | Strong                           | Oral creatine corrects creatine deficiency (useful to differentiate transporter mutations from biosynthesis mutations as oral creatine supplementation will not work if transporter is non-functional)      |                                                                                  | 24953403, 20301745              |
| TPP1     | 13                  | Biochemical               | Strong                           | Tripeptidyl-peptidase I enzyme replacement therapy                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                  | 28335910, 27083890              |
| TSC1     | 16                  | AED indications            | Strong                           | Vigabatrin for spasms, preventive anti-epileptic treatment                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                  | 21507691, 15563014, 10073425    |
| TSC2     | 19                  | AED indications            | Strong                           | Vigabatrin for spasms, preventive anti-epileptic treatment                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                  | 21507691, 15563014, 10073425    |
| ALDH5A1  | 0                   | Biochemical, AED contraindications | Emerging                        | Valproate is contraindicated since it inhibits SSADH enzyme activity.                                                                                                                              |                                                                                  | 20301374                        |
| ATP1A3   | 12                  | AED indications            | Emerging                         | Flunarizine, topiramate. Ketogenic diet may also be effective                                                                                                                                    | Avoidance of specific stressors or triggers, using daily prophylactic medications such as flunarizine or topiramate, or implementing strategies to induce sleep as a management tactic. | 28900444, 20301294, 25447930    |
| DEPDC5   | 59                  | AED indications            | Emerging                         | Surgery may be explored early in the disease course. Early assessment of mTOR inhibitors.                                                                                                         |                                                                                  | 26434565, 27683934              |
| GLRA1    | 4                   | AED indications            | Emerging                         | Clonazepam                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                  | 15365143, 20301437, 16713923    |
| GNAO1    | 9                   | AED indications            | Emerging                         | Tetrabenazine and DBS were the most useful                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                  | 28357411, 27068059              |

(Continues)
| Gene      | # PosMDx at Invitae | Precision medicine category | Precision medicine evidence grade | Possible or theoretical positive treatment implications based on published literature                                                                 | Possible or theoretical treatment contraindications based on published literature | PubMed references (PMID) |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| GOSR2     | 0                   | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Phenytoin is effective; however, it is not used because these individuals are often misdiagnosed as having as Unverricht-Lundborg disease caused by pathogenic variants in EPM2A where avoidance of phenytoin is recommended. Establishing a molecular diagnosis based on sequencing of GOSR2 and EPM2A in these individuals can resolve the need to use phenytoin as a treatment option. |                                                                                   | 23449775, 20301321          |
| GRIN1     | 5                   | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Memantine, NMDA receptor channel blocker                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                  | 29194067                |
| GRIN2A    | 16                  | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Memantine, dextromethorphan                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                  | 24839611, 27683935      |
| GRIN2B    | 3                   | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Memantine treatment may offer some beneficial effects for gain-of-function variants in GRIN2B. Valproic acid therapy dose optimization with GRIN2B variant (−200T> G) carriers.                                                                 |                                                                                  | 28377535, 21806385, 28533163 |
| KCNQ3     | 3                   | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Carbamazepine (CBZ) is the drug of choice in benign familial neonatal seizures in individuals with KCNQ3 variants                                                                                                         |                                                                                  | 27888506, 24851285      |
| KCNT1     | 21                  | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Quinidine in early infancy                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                  | 26369628, 26740507      |
| NGLY1     | 2                   | Biochemical                 | Emerging                        | Proton pump inhibitors                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                  | 28512024, 29419975      |
| PCDH19    | 52                  | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Phenytoin, potassium bromide, and clobazam showed high efficacy with long-term benefits to become seizure-free. Consider corticosteroids as an adjunctive option in acute treatment.                                                                 |                                                                                  | 26820223, 23712037, 25891919 |
| PIGA      | 1                   | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Ketogenic diet                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                  | 26597089, 27126216      |
| PRRF2     | 106                 | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Oxcarbazepine; carbamazepine                                                                                                                                                                   | Avoiding stress, sleep deprivation, anxiety, and other triggers                  | 28056630, 20301633      |
| QARS      | 0                   | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Ketogenic diet                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                  | 28056632                |
| SLC6A1    | 18                  | AED indications             | Emerging                        | Ketogenic diet                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                  | 27600546                |

Note: This table does not reflect existing professional practice guidelines for all genetic forms of epilepsy but refers to possible precision medicine opportunities in epilepsy based on existing published literature. Physicians still need to carefully assess the selection of therapies for specific patients based on their clinical presentation and individual factors. For diagnoses of specific epilepsy syndromes, confirmation of these PMIs by clinical specialists involved in the patient’s care is essential.

aThis column shows curation of genes associated with biochemical disorders, genes for which pathogenic variants point to contraindications for certain anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs), and genes with indications for specific types of AEDs.
bGenes are separated into two groups: those with established evidence for association with precision medicine approaches and those for which such evidence is only emerging.
individuals were from North America (88.5%), and the rest were from South America (4.6%), Asia (3.6%), Europe (1.7%), Oceania (Australia and New Zealand) (0.9%), and Africa (0.7%). Their self-reported population origins were European Caucasian (42.3%), Hispanic (21.8%), African or African American (8.4%), Middle Eastern (0.4%), Ashkenazi Jewish (0.9%), Asian (4.6%), Native American (0.4%), Pacific Islander (0.4%), of combined ancestries (3.2%), and other or unknown ancestries (17.8%).

### 3.2 Yield of molecular diagnoses

A definitive positive molecular diagnosis (hereafter referred to as “PosMD”) was obtained in 1502 of 9769 individuals in this cohort, corresponding to a positive yield of 14.9% among individuals tested on the comprehensive panel and 24% each on the syndromic epilepsy and EIEE subpanels (Figure 1a). Individuals referred with autism, intellectual disability, or developmental delay in addition to epilepsy had a higher rate of PosMDs compared with that in the rest of the cohort (Figure 1b, \( P < 0.001 \)). Notably, a mere eight genes accounted for 50% of PosMDs, another 22 genes increased that proportion to 80%, while 76 additional genes contributed to the rest (Figure 1c and Table S1). Thirteen individuals had a PosMD in two or more genes, with at least one gene in each instance associated with a severe early-onset epilepsy (Table S3).

### 3.3 Results with possible PMIs

A subset of 491 individuals, representing 33% of all individuals with PosMDs, received results with PMIs (Figures 1a and 2 and Table 1). Fifty-one individuals were diagnosed with biochemical disorders, mostly due to pathogenic variants in \( SLC2A1 \) and \( TPP1 \) and, occasionally, in \( SLC6A8, ALDH7A1, GAMT, \) and \( PNPO \). Another 242 individuals had results that pointed to contraindications for certain AEDs primarily due to variants in \( SCN1A \), but also in \( POLG \) and \( NHLRC1 \). No PosMDs were found in \( EPM2A \) or \( CSTB \), which are also associated with disorders with certain AED contraindications. The remaining 198 individuals had results compatible with indications for using specific AEDs. PosMDs with PMI were not restricted to genes narrowly associated with a few specific forms of epilepsy but were present in genes overlapping syndromic epilepsies, EIEE, and a third group of all other types of epilepsies (Figure 2b). We also assessed 17 genes associated with disorders for which PMI evidence is emerging (Figures 1a and 2c and Table 1); at least 21% of individuals associated with disorders for which PMI evidence is emerging corresponded to a positive yield of 14.9% among individuals tested on the comprehensive panel and 24% each on the syndromic epilepsy and EIEE subpanels (Figure 1a). Individuals referred with autism, intellectual disability, or developmental delay in addition to epilepsy had a higher rate of PosMDs compared with that in the rest of the cohort (Figure 1b, \( P < 0.001 \)). Notably, a mere eight genes accounted for 50% of PosMDs, another 22 genes increased that proportion to 80%, while 76 additional genes contributed to the rest (Figure 1c and Table S1). Thirteen individuals had a PosMD in two or more genes, with at least one gene in each instance associated with a severe early-onset epilepsy (Table S3).
FIGURE 1 Distribution of positive molecular diagnoses (PosMDs) in a large unselected clinical cohort with epilepsy. Panel A shows a high diagnostic yield exceeding 20% in NGS panels for the Rett/Angelman spectrum of syndromic epilepsies and early infantile epileptic encephalopathies (EIEE), while the comprehensive panel showed a 14.9% yield. These represent consolidated figures derived from data from different versions of each panel. Nearly half of the solid evidence genes (see Methods) in the current panel were discovered only within the last 5-10 years and together contributed a significant rate of PosMDs. These newer genes contributed as much as 7% alone (PRRT2) and more than 20% together to the overall diagnostic yield. Panel B shows that the diagnostic yield tends to be higher when epilepsy is accompanied by comorbidities such as intellectual impairment (ID), autism, or developmental delay (DD; \( P < 0.001 \), chi-squared). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals using the Wilson method. Panel C shows the number of PosMDs by individual genes on the NGS panel. Only eight genes accounted for 50% of all PosMDs, while another 22 genes raised this yield to 80%. The remaining 20% of PosMDs were spread across 76 genes. Seventy-eight genes had no PosMDs, and 48 genes produced no LP/P at all. Genes with precision medicine implications are shown in blue.
### 3.4 | Age at diagnosis

Individuals with a PosMD ranged in age from newborns to 78 years (median, 4 years; average, 7 years); only 127 individuals (8%) with a PosMD were older than 18 years. A PosMD overall was much more frequent in children in their first year of life relative to the rest of the cohort ($P < 0.001$, chi-squared; Figure 2c). Of the PosMDs specifically related to PMIs, 66% were in children younger than 5 years, 27% in children aged 5-17, and the remaining 7% in adults. The age range for this subset was 2 days to 78 years (median, 2 years); only 33 individuals were adults. Children had PosMDs with PMIs related to biochemical disorders or epilepsies with indications or contraindications for AEDs, while adults had no PosMDs related to biochemical disorders and instead mostly had findings in SCN1A, and less frequently in KCNQ2, SCN2A, and TSC1.

### 3.5 | Characteristics of reportable variants

The 9769 individuals in this study harbored 2101 (11%) variants classified as LP/P and another 16,373 as VUS (Tables S1 and S2). Nearly 80% of these were missense SNVs; the rest were divided among a group of truncating SNVs and $\leq 15$ bp indels (17% together) and a key group of technically challenging variants (TCVs) that are often difficult to detect using a single traditional method such as Sanger sequencing, multiplex ligation-dependent amplification, or chromosomal microarray. These TCVs included exonic CNVs, cytogenetic CNVs, large indels (>15 bp), mosaic SNVs, and polyalanine expansions in the ARX gene. We detected 402 intragenic and cytogenetic CNVs in 133 genes, mosaic pathogenic variants in 14 genes, mosaic VUS in 15 genes (Tables S2 and S3), and eight instances of polyalanine expansions in ARX. TCVs accounted for just 4% of reportable variants but constituted 16.5% of clinically significant LP/P variants overall. Approximately 45% of TCVs were classified as LP/P and contributed to at least 245 PosMDs (16.3% of all PosMDs; Figure 3c and Table S2). The LP/P TCVs were present in 81 genes, including several discovered relatively recently (eg, DEPDC5, PRKRT2, NRP1L3, TBC1D24, and GRIN2A) and ten related to disorders with PMIs (KCNQ2, SCN1A, SCN2A, TSC2, EPM2A, SLC6A8, SCN8A, ALDH7A1, POLG, and TSC1). There were also seven instances of a clinically significant TCV that was compound heterozygous with a second pathogenic variant in a gene associated with AR or XL inheritance (two in CLN3 and one each in SLC13A5, PIGN, PPT1, WWOX, and KIAA2022; Table S3).

The 16373 VUS were distributed in a range of one to 11 per person (Figure 3a). At least 15% of VUS were in individuals with a PosMD in another gene (Figure 3d). Conversely, among 8267 individuals without a PosMD, 75% had a VUS in at least one strong evidence gene (see Methods), 3% had a VUS in a preliminary evidence gene, and the remainder had only a single LP/P variant in a gene with AR inheritance or had no reportable variants (Figure 3b). The majority of VUS in strong evidence genes were single heterozygous alleles in genes associated with either AR inheritance (41% of VUS) or AD inheritance and reduced penetrance (17% of VUS; Figure 3d). Corroborating this observation, these two categories of genes had more rare sequence variation in healthy individuals in the gnomAD database compared with genes associated with AD inheritance and high penetrance (Figure S1). In that regard, 39% of individuals in this cohort still had a VUS in a gene associated with a highly penetrant disorder that therefore had the potential to reach clinical significance with parental testing or other studies.

We had initially classified 1612 variants as VUS but eventually reclassified them to LP/P (15%) or LB/B (85%). Results of parental testing for 846 probands led to reclassification in 54% of probands. Most reclassifications to LP/P occurred because parental testing demonstrated that the variant had arisen de novo. Reclassifications to LB/B were either because the variants were found to be inherited from an unaffected parent or due to other types of evidence, such as expansion of databases containing genomic information from healthy individuals or newly published literature. LP/P reclassifications contributed to 18.5% of all PosMDs overall, while the subset of LP/P reclassifications specifically related to disorders with PMIs contributed to 6.1% of all PosMDs. More than half of the PMI-related LP/P reclassifications were in SCN1A, and a few were in SCN2A, KCNQ2, SCN8A, POLG, and TSC2. In seven individuals, the variant reclassification to LP/P occurred in a gene (TPP1, SLC2A1, ALDH7A1, or SLC6A8) associated with a treatable biochemical disorder.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

Molecular testing is increasingly being used to identify genetic causes and confirm clinical diagnoses of epilepsy, but it remains far from standard practice.9 Our results demonstrate that multi-gene panel testing frequently delivers important PosMDs that can guide precision medicine approaches to treating epilepsy. The observation of a high diagnostic yield in infants, and of all molecular diagnoses of treatable biochemical disorders in children younger than 5 years, complements studies that have shown positive clinical and health economic outcomes from early molecular testing in epilepsy.26,27

The scope for precision medicine in epilepsy is expanding with the recognition of favorable treatment approaches in the presence of pathogenic variants in a growing list of genes. PMIs were immediately relevant to at least 33% of individuals with a PosMD in our study, which is considerably higher
than the percentage observed in a smaller previously published analysis. That 51 individuals in our cohort received a diagnosis for a biochemical disorder, including several considered very rare, highlights the opportunity for precision medicine to help achieve a favorable clinical prognosis as early as possible using established treatments, even in rare disorders that might otherwise escape diagnosis. Aside from finding actionable results related to biochemical disorders, we identified a significant number of pathogenic variants in \( \text{SCN1A} \) in patients in whom sodium channel blockers would be contraindicated, as well as variants in a broad range of other genes that support the use of particular AEDs. We also identified pathogenic variants in genes associated with forms of epilepsy for which there is emerging evidence to support the use of specific AEDs, suggesting that the proportion of individuals who may possibly benefit from precision medicine in epilepsy could eventually increase considerably.

In addition to immediate PMIs, accessing ongoing clinical trials based on molecular testing results is another important opportunity for individuals with epilepsy. We estimate this to have an impact on another 25% of individuals with PosMDs in our cohort, demonstrating a good example of implementing the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics policy advocating for patient access to emerging therapeutics.

Several genes discovered within only the last decade or initially thought to be rarely involved in epilepsy (eg, \( \text{PCDH19} \), \( \text{SYNGAP1} \), \( \text{TPP1} \), \( \text{PRRT2} \), \( \text{DEPDC5} \)) had high diagnostic yields in our study. Some of these are related to disorders for which new therapies are available or under development. As a compelling example of precision medicine, the discovery of pathogenic variants in \( \text{TPP1} \) is critical because an enzyme replacement therapy has good efficacy when used early. Similarly, there are ongoing clinical treatment trials aimed at ameliorating the phenotype in girls affected with epilepsy and at risk for neurodevelopmental deterioration due to pathogenic variants in \( \text{PCDH19} \). Finally, mTOR inhibitors are being proposed for \( \text{DEPDC5} \)-related epilepsy, further illuminating the growing opportunities for precision medicine in epilepsy.

Although genetic heterogeneity is extensive in epilepsy, half of the PosMDs in this study were explained by only eight genes and 80% by an additional 22 genes. This observation, corroborating results from another study, suggests that a
A core set of ~30 genes is essential in any molecular analysis of epilepsy. This would even be sufficient to address differential diagnoses in syndromic epilepsies. For instance, we found 16 PosMDs involving TCF4, associated with Pitt-Hopkins syndrome, in individuals who had instead been diagnosed clinically with Rett, Angelman, or unspecified neurodevelopmental
syndromes. WES can interrogate this core set of genes and a longer tail of genes rarely or newly implicated in epilepsy. However, because clinically important results from WES are often in genes that are available on panels and because WES has analytic limitations of coverage and relative insensitivity to TCVs,\textsuperscript{34,35} an NGS panel is a useful first-tier test that can interrogate most molecular etiologies in epilepsy and provide positive diagnoses rapidly and at relatively low cost.

Evidence-based clinical interpretation of variants is key to identifying PosMDs and supporting precision medicine in epilepsy. However, because naturally occurring but latent disease-causing variants exist alongside rare benign variants in the general healthy population, identifying the variants responsible for overt epilepsy in affected individuals is challenging.\textsuperscript{18,19}

Therefore, many rare variants are classified as VUS. After parental testing, VUS in genes with AD inheritance and high penetrance and homozygous or compound heterozygous VUS in genes with AR inheritance can be reclassified as LP/P and contribute to PosMDs. We identified de novo variants in many probands in this study, consistent with the expectation that such mutations cause several forms of epilepsy.\textsuperscript{36–38} Overall, we resolved the significance of 1612 VUS and provided additional PosMDs, including 91 with PMIs, emphasizing that a fair number of probands can benefit from VUS resolution studies.

5 | LIMITATIONS

While this study describes the implications of genetic testing and high diagnostic yield in a large cohort, the absence of longitudinal clinical outcomes data for individuals who received positive testing results poses an obstacle to further understanding the effectiveness of precision medicine approaches in treating epilepsy. Furthermore, this study describes potential applications of precision medicine in epilepsy based on existing published literature on specific therapies, but further studies are still necessary to establish official professional guidelines for the use or avoidance of these therapies. Our group and others are currently conducting separate studies to evaluate the benefits of early molecular genetic testing and precision medicine in epilepsy. The second limitation of this study, common to diagnostic genetic laboratories,\textsuperscript{12} is that we could not define the study entry criteria at the outset since the cohort consisted of individuals referred for diagnostic genetic testing due to a variety of epilepsy presentations and may also be influenced by several factors, such as the expertise of referring clinicians in genetics, specialization in epilepsy at the referring institution, insurance coverage, economic factors, and others. In that respect, for example, adults with clinically recognizable genetic syndromes may have had previous genetic testing of only a small number of genes and not referred again for broader NGS panel testing. Lastly, the third limitation of this study is the absence of follow-up WES analysis for individuals who received negative results on the NGS panel. This analysis would illuminate the additional diagnostic yield gained from considering very rare genetic causes of epilepsy. However, recent research studies have begun to address this question.\textsuperscript{15,37}

6 | CLINICAL RELEVANCE

The various lines of evidence from this study support routine and early use of an NGS panel as an effective first-tier test that offers a high diagnostic yield and substantial potential for precision medicine in epilepsy. Any multi-gene testing approach should be sensitive enough to capture a broad spectrum of genetic variants, including small intragenic copy number variants and mosaic variants. The value of genetic testing will continue to increase as novel therapies are developed for different forms of epilepsy.
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