Distribution of inflammatory phenotypes among patients with asthma in Jilin Province, China: a cross-sectional study
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Abstract

Background: The inflammatory phenotypes of asthma predict the treatment response and prognosis. The phenotype distributions differ depending on the geographical region. This study aimed to assess the distribution of different inflammatory phenotypes among asthma patients in Jilin Province, China.

Methods: A total of 255 patients with asthma were recruited from Jilin Province, China for this cross-sectional study. Each patient underwent sputum induction following clinical assessment and peripheral blood collection. Inflammatory phenotypes were classified according to the inflammatory cell counts in the sputum.

Results: Paucigranulocytic asthma (PGA) was the most common inflammatory phenotype (52.2%), followed by eosinophilic asthma (EA, 38.3%), mixed granulocytic asthma (MGA, 5.2%), and neutrophilic asthma (NA, 4.3%). NA was more common among patients over 45 years old and those who were treated with higher doses of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), but was less common following antibiotics treatment ($p < 0.05$). The proportion of patients with EA decreased as the ICS treatment dose and time increased ($p = 0.038$). Patients with uncontrolled asthma had higher numbers of sputum eosinophils and neutrophils ($p < 0.05$). Patients with severe asthma had a higher percentage of sputum neutrophils ($p < 0.05$). A greater proportion of patients with NA had severe asthma (60%) compared to those with EA (18.2%) ($p = 0.016$).

Conclusions: The most common asthma inflammatory cell phenotype in Jilin Province, China is PGA, followed by EA, MGA, and NA. The low prevalence of NA in Jilin Province compared to other countries and also other regions in China might be due to excessive antibiotic use and irregular ICS treatment in this region.
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Background

Asthma is a complex and heterogeneous disease. Asthmatic airway inflammation is characterized by the infiltration of different types of inflammatory cells (neutrophils, eosinophils, T lymphocytes, etc.) in the airway tissue and the secretion of a variety of inflammatory mediators and cytokines. This leads to airway hyperresponsiveness and reversible airflow limitation [1, 2]. Based on the different types of inflammatory cells that dominate in the induced sputum, asthma can be divided into four inflammatory phenotypes: eosinophilic asthma (EA), neutrophilic asthma (NA), mixed granulocytic asthma (MGA), and paucigranulocytic asthma (PGA) [3].

EA has long been considered the most “typical” type of asthmatic airway inflammation, characterized by the infiltration of eosinophils as well as IgE-mediated mast cell activation [2]. EA generally presents with mild inflammation and good responsiveness to treatment with...
glucocorticoids [4]. Existing epidemiological evidence indeed shows that EA is the most common inflammatory phenotype in many regions [5, 6], but NA is more common in some regions [7, 8]. Approximately half of the patients with mild-to-moderate or refractory asthma experience persistent non-eosinophilic inflammation [9, 10]. Unlike EA patients, patients with NA respond poorly to glucocorticoid treatment even when their condition is only mild to moderate [9], and more easily develop severe asthma or refractory asthma, which is characterized by persistent airway limitation [11]. It is therefore important that patients with asthma receive individualized treatment according to their specific inflammatory phenotype.

Jilin Province in northeast China is a relatively low economically developed region with a cold climate and heavy air pollution [12–14]. A study showed that the prevalence of asthma in Northeast China is 1.69%, second only to the 2.3% in South-west China, and significantly higher than other areas in China [15]. Furthermore, patients diagnosed with asthma in Northeast China have poorer control of the disease [16]. We hypothesized that these observations might be due to differences in the distribution of inflammatory phenotypes among asthma patients in this region. To the best of our knowledge, there have been very few reports (e.g., the study by Gai et al. [7]) on the distributions of different inflammatory phenotypes of asthma throughout China. The present study therefore aimed to characterize the distribution of asthma inflammatory phenotypes in Jilin province, China, to facilitate personalized asthma treatment and management.

Methods
Study patients and design
Patients diagnosed with asthma were recruited from the Department of Respiratory Medicine at the Second Hospital of Jilin University for this cross-sectional study. The hospital also contains the Jilin Provincial Institute of Respiratory Diseases and is a regional center that serves patients from the entire Jilin province as well as a research center.

The patient inclusion criteria were as follows: age ≥18 years; diagnosis of asthma in accordance with the 2012 Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) criteria [17]; and stable condition without acute exacerbation. The patient exclusion criteria were as follows: pregnancy; cognitive impairment; malignancy, type 2 diabetes, active tuberculosis, autoimmune diseases, kidney and liver failure, heart failure, cerebrovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (except for asthma and COPD overlap [ACO]), or pulmonary diseases (except for asthma). Smoking history was not an inclusion criterion. Age- and sex-matched healthy volunteers ≥18 years old with no clinical signs or history of asthma or other autoimmune diseases were recruited as healthy controls.

Basic clinical information, such as smoking history, asthma treatment, and body mass index (BMI) were collected for all participants. The participants underwent lung function measurements and their asthma control and quality of life were assessed using standardized validated questionnaires. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Second Hospital of Jilin University (2016-34), and all patients provided informed consent to participate.

Lung function measurements
All participants performed three peak flow maneuvers to ensure the correct technique was used. The fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FENO) was measured using the Nano Coulomb Breath Analyzer (Sunvou-CA2122) following the European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society (ERS/ATS) guidelines [18]. Spirometry was performed using a rolling seal spirometer in accordance with the ERS/ATS guidelines [19] and the bronchodilator response was assessed 15 min after the inhalation of 400 µg of albuterol.

Asthma control and quality of life
All enrolled patients completed the following standardized validated questionnaires: six-item Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ6) [20], Asthma Control Test Questionnaire (ACT) [21], Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) [22], and Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale (HADS) [23].

Uncontrolled asthma and severe asthma were defined according to the 2019 GINA guidelines [24]. Uncontrolled asthma fulfills one or two of the following criteria: (1) poor symptom control (frequent symptoms or reliever use, activity limited by asthma, night waking due to asthma); (2) frequent exacerbations (≥2/year) requiring oral corticosteroids or serious exacerbations (≥1/year) requiring hospitalization. Severe asthma refers to uncontrolled asthma despite adherence to the maximal optimized therapy or asthma that worsens when high-dose treatment is reduced. Low, medium, and high doses of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) were defined as a daily dose of budesonide (or equivalent) of 200–400 µg, 400–800 µg, and >800 µg, respectively [25]. Atopy was defined as the presence of at least one common aeroallergen (e.g., cat, dog, house dust mites, grass pollen, tree pollen, and a mixture of molds) [26].
Induced sputum collection and inflammatory phenotype classification

Induced sputum was collected and processed using validated methods [27, 28]. Briefly, all patients underwent sputum induction with 4.5% hypertonic saline for 15 min. The sputum samples obtained were treated with dithiothreitol and the cells were resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline. The suspension (60 μm) was then filtered and the total leukocyte cell count (inflammatory cell count × 0.02/quadrant, TCC) was evaluated. Single-cell smears were prepared using cytospin and stained with May–Grunwald Giemsa. Differential counts of the inflammatory cell eosinophils, neutrophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes were manually obtained from 400 non-squamous cells under a light microscope.

The presence of eosinophilic inflammation was defined as ≥3% eosinophils in the induced sputum and the presence of neutrophilic inflammation was defined as ≥61% neutrophils in the induced sputum, based on published criteria [3]. Accordingly, the following four inflammatory phenotypes were identified: EA (sputum eosinophils ≥3%), NA (sputum neutrophils ≥61%), MGA (sputum eosinophils ≥3% and neutrophils ≥61%), and PGA (sputum eosinophils <3% and neutrophils <61%).

Table 1

| Characteristic          | Control | Asthma | p value |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| N                       | 22      | 255    |         |
| Age, years              | 54 ± 14 | 51 ± 14 | NS      |
| Sex (M/F)               | 11/11   | 116/140 | NS      |
| BMI (kg/m²)             | 23.7 ± 2.6 | 23.7 ± 3.4 | NS      |
| Ex-smokers (n) (pack-years) | 10 (5.8 (4.4, 6.6)) | 104 (20.3 (9.8, 37.0)) | NS      |
| Pre-FVC                 | 3.3 (2.7, 4.0) | 2.9 (2.2, 3.6) | <0.001 |
| Pre-FEV1                | 2.7 (2.2, 3.2) | 1.7 (1.1, 2.4) | NS      |
| FEV1/FVC (%)            | 79.2 ± 6.2 | 60.1 ± 13.8 | <0.001 |
| FEV1% predicted         | 78 (74.6, 82.4) | 64.3 (41.2, 82.9) | 0.002   |
| Sputum TCC (10⁶/mL)     | 2.4 (1.4, 5.1) | 3 (1.8, 5.8) | NS      |
| Sputum eosinophils (%)  | 0.1 (0.0, 4) | 2 (0.2, 9.9) | <0.001  |
| Sputum neutrophils (%)  | 9.6 (4.1, 22.1) | 1.6 (0.2, 11.6) | 0.030   |
| Sputum macrophages (%)  | 84 (72.4, 90.6) | 81.4 (46.8, 93.9) | NS      |
| Sputum lymphocytes (%)  | 2 (1, 4.2) | 2.3 (0.6, 7.2) | NS      |

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics, version 25.0, was used for statistical analysis in this study. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile range). Subgroups were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a least significant difference range. Subgroups were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a least significant difference range. Spearman correlation coefficients were used to identify correlations between the clinical characteristics of patients. Differences with p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic, functional, and inflammatory characteristics of patients with asthma vs healthy person

In total, 255 patients with asthma and 22 healthy volunteers were enrolled in this study (Table 1). Basic demographic variables, including average age, BMI, male/female ratio, and percentage of smokers were not significantly different between the healthy volunteers and asthma patients (p > 0.05). Compared with the healthy controls, the predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and FEV1/Forced vital capacity (FVC) in asthma patients were significantly lower (p < 0.05). The success rates of sputum induction in both asthmatic patients and healthy volunteers were higher than 80%. The average percentage of sputum eosinophils in the asthma group was significantly higher than that in the healthy control group (p < 0.001), but the average percentage of sputum neutrophils was lower (p = 0.03) (Table 1). The percentage of sputum macrophages in the control group was not significantly different from that in the asthma group, but was significantly lower than the percentage in the PGA group (p = 0.03).

Distribution of inflammatory phenotypes among patients with asthma

Among the asthma cases (Fig. 1a), 120 (52.2%) were categorized as PGA, 88 (38.3%) as EA, 12 (5.2%) as MGA, and 10 (4.3%) as NA. The prevalence of NA was significantly higher among patients >45 years old than among those ≤45 years old (p = 0.002). EA was also significantly higher among patients >45 years old, but to a lesser degree (Fig. 1b). NA was more common in smokers than in non-smokers, but the difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.075). There was no significant difference in the distribution of inflammatory phenotypes between patients with BMI ≥24 (overweight/obesity) and those with BMI <24 (normal weight) (Fig. 1b).

Among the asthma patients, 125 (48.1%) received treatment with ICS in the past year. Interestingly, the proportion of patients with NA gradually increased as the ICS dose increased, and NA was markedly more common in patients treated with ICS than in those who did not receive ICS treatment (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2a). Conversely,
the proportion of patients with EA gradually decreased with higher ICS doses \((p=0.038)\). The prevalence of NA was highest among those who received regular ICS treatment for 3–6 months, compared with the prevalence in patients who used ICS treatment for more than 6 months or less than 3 months and those who did not receive ICS treatment. On the other hand, the proportion of patients with EA gradually decreased as the length of ICS treatment increased \((p<0.05)\) (Fig. 2b). In addition, the ICS treatment dose was positively correlated with the sputum neutrophil percentage \((r=0.168, p=0.03)\) (Fig. 2d), but not significantly correlated with the sputum eosinophil percentage in patients with asthma \((p>0.05)\) (data not shown). Compared to patients who were treated by an asthma specialist for less than 3 months, those who underwent specialist treatment for 3 months or more had a significantly greater proportion of NA, but a lower proportion of EA \((p=0.014)\) (Fig. 2c). Furthermore, the proportion of NA among patients who did not receive treatment with antibiotics during the previous month was 4.5%, but the number dropped to 0 among those who received continuous treatment \((p<0.05)\).

**Demographic and clinical characteristics according to inflammatory phenotypes**

The male/female ratio and average BMI did not differ between patients with the four inflammatory phenotypes \((p>0.05)\), but patients with NA had a higher average age and higher proportions of current smoker and ex-smoker compared with the other groups \((p=0.001)\) (Table 2). There was no atopic asthma in the NA group, which was significantly different from the findings in other groups (EA, 45%; MGA, 40%; PGA, 36%) \((p<0.05)\). Triggering factors and complications/comorbidities were not significantly different among the four groups \((p>0.05)\).

The prevalence of severe asthma in patients with NA was 60%, which was significantly higher than the 18.2% among patients with EA \((p=0.016)\). Both the NA and EA groups included a higher proportion of patients who exhibited uncontrolled asthma compared to the proportion in the other groups \((p=0.049)\). In addition, in the previous year, patients with NA required the highest daily ICS dose on average, while the PGA group required the lowest \((p=0.015)\) (Table 2). The EA group had the highest average ACQ6 and HAD scores, and the lowest ACT score, but the differences between the groups were not statistically significant \((p>0.05)\). Patients with PGA showed a tendency for better lung function (FEV1/FVC and FEV1% predicted), but this difference was not statistically significant either. Patients in the EA group had higher FeNO levels compared to those in the NA and PGA groups \((p=0.005)\). Patients with EA also had greater numbers of blood eosinophils, lymphocytes, and basophils, but a lower percentage of neutrophils, compared to those with NA \((p<0.05)\).
Clinical and inflammatory characteristics of patients with uncontrolled vs. controlled asthma

There were 170 (73%) patients with uncontrolled asthma and 63 (27%) patients with controlled asthma in this study (Table 3). The male/female ratio and average age between the two groups were not significantly different ($p > 0.05$). However, the average BMI was lower among patients with uncontrolled asthma than among those with controlled asthma ($p = 0.031$), whereas the number of smokers and smoking pack-years were significantly higher ($p < 0.05$). There were higher proportions of NA and EA, but a lower proportion of PGA in the uncontrolled asthma group ($p < 0.05$). Interestingly, patients with uncontrolled asthma had higher percentages of eosinophils and neutrophils in their sputum, but a lower percentage of macrophages than those with controlled asthma ($p < 0.05$). There were no significant differences in blood eosinophil, neutrophil, or basophil counts between the two groups ($p > 0.05$), but the blood lymphocyte count was significantly higher in the uncontrolled asthma group ($p < 0.022$).

There were 45 (26.6%) cases of severe asthma in the uncontrolled asthma group, but only one (1.6%) in the controlled asthma group ($p < 0.001$). Although the predicted FEV1% was similar in both groups, patients with uncontrolled asthma had distinctly lower pre-FEV1/FVC% and post-FEV1/FVC% compared to those with controlled asthma ($p < 0.05$). In addition, the symptoms, activity, emotion, and environment assessed with the global AQLQ score were all significantly lower in the
uncontrolled group \( p < 0.001 \). Furthermore, the HAD scores of patients with uncontrolled asthma were significantly higher \((p < 0.001)\). Compared with the controlled asthma group, more patients in the uncontrolled asthma group suffered from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), persistent cough, and exacerbation \((p < 0.005)\), but the frequency of other complications and comorbidities (such as rhinitis, nasal polyps, etc.) was similar between the two groups \((p > 0.05)\). The proportions of patients who received their asthma diagnosis more than one year earlier were not significantly different between the two groups \((p > 0.05)\). However, the proportions of patients receiving ICS or ICS + Long-acting \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptor agonist (LABA) treatment was significantly lower in the uncontrolled asthma group \((p < 0.05)\), whereas the proportion that persistently used a short-acting \(\beta\)2 receptor agonist (SABA) was higher \((p = 0.016)\). Patients with uncontrolled asthma were treated with lower ICS doses, but the difference was not statistically significant \((p = 0.191)\). A significantly higher proportion of patients were treated by a specialist for more than 3 months in the uncontrolled asthma group compared to the corresponding proportion in the controlled group \((p = 0.031)\).
Clinical and inflammatory characteristics of patients with severe and non-severe asthma

A relatively small proportion of the asthma patients included in this study had severe asthma (19.1%) (Table 4). There was no difference in the female/male ratio, average BMI, and smoking history between the severe and non-severe asthma groups, but the average age was higher in the severe asthma group ($p<0.001$).

The severe asthma group included a significantly higher proportion of patients with NA, but a lower proportion of those with PGA ($p<0.001$). Compared with the non-severe asthma group, patients with severe asthma had slightly higher percentages of neutrophils and eosinophils in the sputum, but the difference was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$). The inflammatory cells in the
differential blood count were not significantly different between the two groups ($p > 0.05$).

Patients with severe asthma had clearly worse lung function, poorer asthma control (based on the ACT scores), and lower global AQLQ scores (especially in the “Activity” section) ($p < 0.05$). However, there was no significant difference in the HAD scores between the two groups. The severe asthma group had a higher proportion of patients who received their asthma diagnosis more than one year earlier and were treated by a specialist for more than 3 months compared to the non-severe asthma group ($p < 0.05$). In addition, a higher proportion of patients received ICS or ICS + LABA treatment in the severe asthma group ($p < 0.001$), and they were also treated with higher ICS doses ($p < 0.001$).

**Discussion**

This cross-sectional study explored in detail the distribution of four different inflammatory phenotypes among asthma patients in Jilin Province in northeast China. Our study showed that the most common inflammatory phenotype was PGA (52.2%), followed by EA (38.3%), MGA (5.2%), and NA (4.3%). ICS treatment, older age, and smoking could increase sputum neutrophilic...
inflammation in patients with asthma [29–32]. The average age of patients with NA was the highest among the four asthma inflammatory phenotype groups in this study, and the prevalence of NA was higher among older patients. This confirmed previously published observations that sputum neutrophils in asthma increase with age [30]. In addition, smoking has also been shown to increase sputum neutrophilia [32]. In our study, smoking and overweight/obese patients exhibited a larger proportion of NA. However, the difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$), possibly due to the inadequate sample size. Interestingly, the NA group received the highest average ICS treatment dose in the past year, and the neutrophil percentage in the sputum was positively correlated with the ICS treatment dose. However, since this is a cross-sectional study, it is difficult to conclude that ICS treatment increases sputum neutrophilia or that patients with sputum neutrophilia require higher doses of ICS. A previously published study suggested that the former might be the case as ICS can inhibit neutrophil apoptosis [33].

Notably, our results were significantly different from a previous report in Australia that showed that NA, EA, and PGA were present in 41%, 28%, and 31% of patients with asthma, respectively [8]. Moreover, our results were also distinctly different from a report from Beijing, China, which showed that the proportions of the four inflammatory phenotypes were 34.9% for NA, 34.9% for EA, 23.8% for MGA, and 6.3% for PA [7]. It is interesting that the proportion of NA was only 4.3% in our study, despite the fact that patients with asthma in our study had a higher average age ($51 \pm 14$ vs $47 \pm 17.6$) and included a larger proportion of smokers ($40.65$ vs $19.6$%), both factors that should have increased the proportion of NA [30, 32]. This may be related to the specific conditions in Northeast China.

Many reports have indicated that the abuse of antibiotics is a serious social problem in China [34]. Many regions in China have an extremely high frequency and intensity of antibiotics use [35–37]. The problem is especially severe in rural China, where individuals have low levels of knowledge on the use of antibiotics [38]. Most of the patients in our study came from remote rural areas in Northeast China, where the level of medical services is limited and the education level is low [39]. Research has shown that prudent antibiotic use during infancy might be beneficial for reducing the incidence of pediatric asthma by preserving the gut microbial community [40]. However, another study found that oral azithromycin treatment for 48 weeks could reduce asthma exacerbation in adults with persistent symptomatic asthma and improved quality of life [41]. Our results showed that antibiotic treatment significantly reduced the proportion of NA. Reasonable application of antibiotics might be a useful add-on therapy for asthma. Further, the abuse of antibiotics may be a reason for the lower proportion of NA in our region. In addition, there are significant differences in climate between the southern, northern, eastern, and western regions in China. Environmental factors might also affect the distribution of asthma inflammatory phenotypes.

Our study revealed that the disease severity is higher in patients with NA compared to the severity in those with EA. While 60% of patients with NA had severe asthma, the corresponding percentage was 18.2 for those with EA. Most patients with severe asthma in this study had been diagnosed more than one year earlier or were treated with a higher daily dose of ICS. This indicates an association between sputum neutrophilia and severe asthma. Similarly, a previous report also concluded that sputum neutrophil counts were correlated with more severe asthma phenotypes [11, 42]. However, in our study, the prevalence of severe asthma was low (19.1%). This might be one of the reasons for the low proportion of patients with NA found in our study.

Sputum eosinophilia is known to be correlated with uncontrolled asthma [43]. Although the difference was not significant ($p > 0.05$), the average ACQ6 and HAD scores were highest in the EA group, while the ACT score was the lowest in our study. Both the NA and EA groups had a higher proportion of patients who exhibited uncontrolled asthma. Similarly, the sputum eosinophil and neutrophil percentages as well as the proportions of EA and NA in patients with uncontrolled asthma were significantly higher in our study. A national cross-sectional study showed that asthma was largely underdiagnosed and undertreated in China, and the proportion of asthma respondents using ICS therapy was only 5.6%, which was much lower than those in European countries [44]. In our study, although 73% of patients exhibited uncontrolled asthma, only 47.1% of patients in the uncontrolled asthma group had been treated with ICS in the past year. In addition, fewer patients (51.2%) in the uncontrolled asthma group had been treated by a specialist for more than 3 months or received regular ICS treatment. A high number of patients in the uncontrolled asthma group persistently used SABA and low-dose ICS treatment. Thus, we speculate that irregular use of ICS and poor asthma control may be the main reasons for the higher proportion of EA in our study.

Exposure to diesel exhaust gas is known to significantly increase neutrophilic inflammation and reduce lung function in asthmatics [45, 46]. However, most of the patients in our study were from rural areas in Northeast China, where the main air pollutants are particulates from straw and coal burning [47], rather than
traffic pollution. Importantly, the burning of agricultural residues is associated with various respiratory symptoms (cough, wheezing, chest tightness, shortness of breath) and respiratory diseases such as asthma [48–51]. An epidemiological study in our region showed that there is an association between ambient air pollution (mainly including straw and coal burning) and allergic rhinitis [52]. Therefore, straw and coal burning may be the reason for more eosinophilic inflammation in patients with asthma in our study. In addition, our study showed that the percentage of sputum macrophages in patients with PGA was significantly higher than that in healthy controls. We suspect that the air pollution in our region could have increased macrophages in the sputum of patients with asthma, thereby increasing the proportion of individuals with PGA in this region.

**Conclusions**

The most common asthma inflammatory cell phenotype in Jilin Province, China is PGA, followed by EA, MGA, and NA. The low prevalence of NA in Jilin Province is strikingly different from the reported prevalence in other countries and other regions in China. Excessive antibiotic use in this region and irregular asthma ICS treatment may be the main reasons for the unique distribution of asthma inflammatory phenotypes.
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