Key Points:
- Uranium cycle models are run using the Monte Carlo method and compared to existing data to quantify the best-fitting history of ocean anoxia and its associated uncertainty.
- A temporary increase in anoxia to 18% ± 4% of the seafloor followed by a million-year-long episode of 7% ± 4% seafloor anoxia explain trends within uranium isotope and concentration data.
- Seafloor anoxia persisted at levels far above modern for at least 1.7 Mya after the end-Permian extinction event.

Abstract Ocean anoxia was an important kill mechanism in the end-Permian mass extinction and uranium isotope data are among the most powerful tools for quantifying the global extent and duration of ocean deoxygenation due to the dependence of uranium isotope fractionation on bottom-water redox conditions.
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Plain Language Summary
Uranium content and isotope composition in marine limestone provide strong evidence for a rapid reduction of oxygen availability in seawater coincident with the end-Permian mass extinction and lasting for hundreds of thousands of years. Visual comparison to forward model output for the uranium cycle has previously demonstrated that expanded marine anoxia is the best explanation for trends in the data but statistical best estimates of the extent and duration of anoxia as well as the uncertainty in those values have yet to be developed. Here, Monte Carlo simulations are used to predict uranium concentrations and isotope compositions for marine limestones under a wide range of scenarios. These simulations are then compared to published uranium data to identify the model runs that best fit the observed data. The results favor simulations with either short (∼25 kyr), intense intervals of anoxia (∼20% of the seafloor), or longer intervals (∼1 Myr) with less extensive anoxia (∼10%). Both situations are followed by a prolonged period of still-expanded but less extensive anoxia that vary depending on the intensity and duration of the initial perturbation (∼1%–48%).
which ongoing anoxia and greenhouse climate may have inhibited Early Triassic recovery of marine ecosystems (Hallam, 1991; Lau et al., 2016; Romano et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2012; Zhang, Algeo, et al., 2018). Testing such predictions requires data on extinction and recovery patterns as well as quantitative interpretation of geochemical proxies for ocean oxygenation and paleoclimate to guide Earth system models.

The most important paleo-redox proxy for constraining changes in global ocean oxygenation across the Permian-Triassic (P/Tr) transition is the uranium isotope ratio. Uranium is a redox-sensitive element with distinct isotope ratios between oxidized and reduced depositional environments due to isotope fractionation during uranium reduction (Brennecka et al., 2011; Stirling et al., 2007; Weyer et al., 2008). Under anoxic conditions, the reduction of U(VI) to U(IV) results in a decrease in uranium solubility and corresponding increase in the $^{238}$U/$^{235}$U ratio of the reduced product relative to the source fluid (Bigeleisen, 1996; Stirling et al., 2007). Increased uranium reduction near the sediment-water interface results in preferential partitioning of $^{238}$U into the anoxic sediments, while the residual U(VI) in the water column becomes enriched in $^{235}$U, imprinting seawater with a more negative $\delta^{238}$U signature (Andersen et al., 2014, 2017; Lau et al., 2020). The residual seawater uranium is then incorporated into carbonate sediments such that a negative excursion in the carbonate record tracks the increased partitioning of uranium into the anoxic sediments (Brennecka et al., 2011; Lau et al., 2016, 2019; Zhang, Algeo, et al., 2018, 2020). When anoxic depositional settings expand, the concentration of U, $[U]$, becomes lower in seawater and in carbonate sediments due to oxidation of uranium from seawater into anoxic sediments. Thus, variations in the uranium record from shallow-marine carbonates should reflect global trends in seawater $[U]$ and $\delta^{238}$U because the residence time of uranium in the modern ocean (ca. 320–560 kyr) is two orders of magnitude longer than the ocean mixing time (Dunk et al., 2002).

The effect of isotope fractionation during the precipitation of carbonate sediments and subsequent diagenesis must also be taken into consideration in quantitative reconstructions because diagenetic noise or systematic error will influence the precision and accuracy of data interpretation (Tissot et al., 2018; X. Chen et al., 2016, 2018).

Previous studies have compared $[U]$ and $\delta^{238}$U of multiple stratigraphic sections from the end-Permian extinction interval through the Middle Triassic to reconstruct global seawater redox conditions for the Permian extinction and subsequent recovery. Brennecka et al. (2011) calculated the change in the relative magnitudes of the oxic and anoxic sinks for uranium before and after the end-Permian mass extinction using average values for the pre- and post-extinction intervals while assuming steady state during each interval, and Lau et al. (2016) used a forward model of the uranium cycle to conduct a visual comparison of model predictions to trends in their data, but neither study quantified the uncertainty of the model estimates for the extent or duration of the predicted anoxia. Furthermore, neither study systematically considered the potential influence of uncertainty in key parameters of the uranium cycle in the interpretation of uranium data. When uncertainty in the parameters used in the models (e.g., isotope fractionation during uranium reduction) is not considered, resulting interpretations of the time history of seafloor anoxia may indicate greater precision than is warranted and could even differ systematically from the true history. Recently, Monte Carlo simulations have been used to quantify uncertainties introduced by early diagenetic alteration and the $\delta^{238}$U of uranium input via rivers (Hülse et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020) and to fit data with uncertainty over longer timescales while assuming that each data point represents a steady state of the uranium cycle (Stockey et al., 2020). A Bayesian inverse approach was taken to interpret uranium isotope records across several episodes of ocean anoxia, including the end-Permian (Kipp & Tissot, 2022). No prior study has used the combined uranium isotope and concentration records to constrain the time history of marine anoxia, nor has any considered the joint posterior distributions of the uncertain parameters, which are critical for understanding how improved constraint on any one parameter may influence constraint on other parameters.

Quantifying uncertainty in the time history of ocean anoxia as well as in the values of key parameters in the uranium cycle model is essential if the extent of seafloor anoxia as indicated by proxy data is to be used to calibrate Earth system models for purposes of studying the intensity and selectivity of extinction (cf. Penn et al., 2018).

In this study, we address the challenge of quantifying uncertainty in the behavior of the uranium cycle and the extent of marine anoxia during the Permian/Triassic (P/Tr) transition and its aftermath. We use Monte Carlo simulations of the uranium cycle model to explore parameter space and select the best-fitting simulations via comparison to a global compilation of published uranium concentration and isotope data (Figure 1). The parameter values from the set of best-fitting Monte Carlo simulations provide estimates, with uncertainty, for the key parameters in the model, including the time history of seafloor anoxia.
2. Data and Methods

The data set used here includes uranium concentrations and isotope ratios from carbonate rock samples spanning eight stratigraphic sections, all of which were compiled from previous studies. In total, the data set contains 292 measurements of uranium concentration and 253 measurements of uranium isotope ratio. The isotope ratio measurements were compiled and placed on a common radiometric timescale by Zhang et al. (2020). Uranium concentration values were compiled as part of this study. Uranium concentrations for samples not within the Zhang data set were added onto the common time scale. More information about the sections and samples can be found in Supporting Information S1.

Based on the combined data sets, the average $\delta^{238}$U composition in the Upper Permian, below the main extinction horizon, is $\sim$0.17‰, dropping abruptly to a minimum value of $\sim$0.83‰ immediately above the P/Tr boundary (Figure 1). Analytical error (two standard deviations) on the isotope ratios ranges from 0.01‰ to 0.23‰. Uranium concentrations also decrease abruptly across the P/Tr boundary, from $\sim$3.36 to $\sim$0.06 ppm. We interpret these trends to be largely representative of global changes in seawater uranium content for two reasons. First, the shallow-marine uranium records sampled from the core representing the Panthalassa Ocean (Kamura core) exhibit a similar trend (Figure 1) to records from sections sampled from the margin of Gondwana (Tashkent and Zal) and sections from the eastern Paleo-Tethys (Dajian, Dawen, and Daxiakou). Second, the trends in [U] also agree with trends in other published records of uranium isotopes and concentrations in sedimentary rocks spanning the P/Tr boundary in Saudi Arabia, Iran, Italy, and China (Brennecka et al., 2011; Ehrenberg et al., 2008; Lau et al., 2016; Song et al., 2012; Tavakoli & Rahimpour-Bonab, 2012; Wignall & Twitchett, 1996; Wignall et al., 2010).

Figure 1. Composite uranium records illustrating coherent variation across widely distributed stratigraphic sections. (a) Uranium isotope data. (b) Uranium concentration data. Raw data and their sources are available in Table S1 in Supporting Information S1.
2.1. Model Calculations

Uranium isotopes and seawater concentrations were modeled using a mass-balance box model of the uranium cycle. Following Lau et al. (2016), we used coupled differential equations to describe the uranium concentration ([U]) and isotope composition (δ²³⁸U) of the ocean as a function of time. First, we describe the change in seawater uranium content with respect to time as the difference between the riverine input and the burial fluxes into anoxic and other sediments:

\[
\frac{d[U]}{dt} = J_{riv} - J_{anox} - J_{other}
\]  

(1)

In this equation, \(U\) is the molar uranium inventory in the ocean, \(J_{riv}\) is the input source consisting of the modern-day riverine flux (0.4 × 10⁸ mol U/y), \(J_{anox}\) is the removal of uranium from seawater via burial in anoxic sediment, and \(J_{other}\) is the sum of any other sinks, including those associated with ferruginous and suboxic sediments. We chose not to model ferruginous and suboxic sinks because the uncertainty associated with these sinks is large, and there are interrelationships between suboxic, ferruginous, and anoxic sinks that are not within the scope of this study (Cole et al., 2020; Gilleaude et al., 2019; Stockey et al., 2020). \(J_{anox}\) and \(J_{other}\) can be further defined as:

\[
J_{anox} = k_{anox} \times f_{anox} \times U
\]  

(2)

\[
J_{other} = k_{other} \times (1 - f_{anox}) \times U
\]  

(3)

where \(k_{anox}\) and \(k_{other}\) are rate constants calculated from the modern uranium system, and \(f_{anox}\) is the fraction of seafloor impacted by bottom-water anoxia. Uranium concentration was determined by dividing the molar inventory of uranium by the volume of seawater, assumed to be equivalent to the modern volume of 1.414 × 10²³ L.

Second, we describe the change in the uranium isotope composition of seawater with respect to time:

\[
\frac{d[\delta_{ocean}]}{dt} = \frac{J_{riv} \times (\delta_{riv} - \delta_{ocean}) - J_{anox} \times \Delta_a - J_{other} \times \Delta_o}{U}
\]  

(4)

The model assumes continental \(\delta_{riv}\) of −0.3‰ (Tissot & Dauphas, 2015). Here, \(\delta_{ocean}\) is the δ²³⁸U value of seawater. \(\Delta_a\) is the effective isotope fractionation factor (isotope offset) associated with anoxic sediment deposition, and \(\Delta_o\) is the isotope fractionation factor associated with the other sinks. Any early diagenetic effects are indirectly incorporated into \(\Delta_o\) because they occur when the carbonate sediment is still in contact with seawater-derived fluids.

2.2. Solving the Coupled Models

Both equations are solved using an ordinary differential equation solver function, lsoda, within the “deSolve” package (v0.33. i09; Soetaert et al., 2010) in R (v4.0.3; R Core Team, 2020), which switches automatically between non-stiff (Adams) and stiff (dense or banded Jacobian) methods as required. The initial condition for the isotope model was the average value of the sample δ²³⁸U data below the P/Tr boundary, while the initial condition for the concentration model was based on Morford and Emerson (1999), a study that used a diagenesis model and global estimates of organic carbon rain rate along with bottom-water oxygen concentrations to determine the sensitivity of trace metals such as uranium in sediments in the modern ocean. The other parameters are either constants or are allowed to vary across Monte Carlo simulations (Tables S2 and S3 in Supporting Information S1). Two of these parameters, duration and extent of anoxia, are the outcomes of interest because the primary goal of the study is to constrain the time history of ocean anoxia and its associated uncertainty given current data. The two models are coupled via the shared forcing from the time history of seafloor anoxia.

2.3. Setting up the Monte Carlo Simulations

We used Monte Carlo simulations to explore the model space under a variety of scenarios involving forcing by changes in the extent of marine anoxia as a function of time. We simultaneously treat the effective isotope fractionation factor associated with anoxia, \(\Delta_a\), as uncertain to obtain empirical constraint on its values.
2.4. Parameters of Interest

The parameters that we allow to vary in the Monte Carlo simulations determine the duration and intensity of seafloor anoxia as well as its impact on the uranium isotope composition of seawater via the fractionation associated with anoxic sinks. These parameters can be grouped together based on whether they affect the model forcing or determine its response to the forcing. The model is forced using a three-step approach (Figure 2) where anoxia begins at a low value similar to the modern ocean and then increases via a step function at the time of the end-Permian mass extinction to an initial post-extinction value ($f_{\text{anox}.p}$) and then is allowed to change again via a step to a new value ($f_{\text{anox}.a}$) drawn from the same range of values as $f_{\text{anox}.p}$ for the remainder of the model run.

A three-segment approach was chosen because these simulations were designed to quantify the long-term average level of anoxia rather than to detect the potential presence of multiple, short-lived perturbations during the recovery period. Further work using an increased number of model segments or more episodic perturbations (Zhang, Algeo, et al., 2018) could help further constrain the parameters but add substantially to the model space that must be explored and may require greater data density to yield meaningful results.

Uniform priors were used for all parameters explored. The bounds of the priors for $f_{\text{anox}.p}$ and $f_{\text{anox}.a}$ were 0.0 and 0.7 to ensure that the Monte Carlo simulations explored all plausible extents of anoxia, even those beyond the extents inferred in previous studies (cf. Lau et al., 2016; Penn et al., 2018). The duration of the initial interval of anoxia ($\text{dur}.p$), which is the amount of time each model experiences $f_{\text{anox}.p}$, was allowed to vary between 0 and 1.7 Myr (the maximum possible given the duration of the model runs). The fraction of seafloor anoxia was then set to $f_{\text{anox}.a}$ for the remainder of the model run.

The parameters that determine the model's response to the forcing from anoxia are the effective fractionation factor, $\Delta_\alpha$, and the carbonate diagenetic offset, $\text{dia}$, applied to the output isotope ratios. The fractionation factor within an anoxic environment, $\Delta_\alpha$, was allowed to vary between 0.0‰ and 1.2‰ based on the potential range of effective fractionation factors (Andersen et al., 2014). The diagenetic offset associated with carbonate diagenesis, $\text{dia}$, addresses later diagenesis not incorporated into the model due to the lack of contact with seawater. For each model, $\text{dia}$ was chosen from a normal distribution with a mean of $+0.24%e$ and standard deviation of 0.06‰ (Romaniello et al., 2013; Tissot et al., 2018; X. Chen et al., 2018).
2.5. Model Data Comparison

We conducted 25,000 Monte Carlo simulations of the uranium cycle. The simulated data were then compared to the real data to find the model runs whose output most closely resembled the measured values of uranium concentrations and isotope ratios. The parameters used to create the best-fitting models provide posterior distributions, and therefore measures of uncertainty on the values of those parameters. Each iteration of the model generates simulated concentration and isotope ratios for every 1,000-year time step.

First, the simulated values that correspond to the ages of measured (i.e., real) data were extracted for the model-data comparison. Because the models are deterministic whereas the data contain noise, a further step for creating a realistic comparison between model output and measured data is to add noise to the extracted simulated data with a similar distribution to that in the sample data (Figures 3a and 3b). The distribution of noise was calculated using the first differences of the measured sample data (i.e., the differences between consecutive data points). We took this approach because the sample-to-sample variation is larger than the analytical measurement error and is likely to reflect heterogeneities in the rock rather than short-term variation in the global uranium cycle, given the long residence time of uranium in seawater (>100 kyr) relative to the age differences between consecutive samples (mean: ~7 kyr). Therefore, we treat the rock heterogeneity as the more important source of noise in the
data for the purposes of the model and the sample-to-sample variation as the most useful approximation of that noise, in contrast with other efforts to invert uranium isotope records (Kipp & Tissot, 2022). The variance of the measured isotope sample data is 0.052‰. A variable carbonate diagenetic isotope offset, $\delta_{\text{dia}}$, was added back to the model output to account for diagenesis after initial seawater contact to produce modeled carbonate $\delta^{238}$U data that was compared with measured sample data. The model output of seawater concentrations was adjusted to predict concentrations in carbonate rocks using a partition coefficient of 1.4 (Maher et al., 2006), assuming most of the carbonate sediment was originally aragonitic (Stanley et al., 1999). The concentration data were log$_{10}$-scaled to address the strong right skew in the data for purposes of model-data comparison; the log-scaled variance is 0.137. This approach may overestimate the noise in the data because some of the sample-to-sample change is related to the true signal, but as the data points become arbitrarily close to one another, the first differences will converge on the noise in the data.

Monte Carlo simulation output was compared to measured data by assessing the distance between model runs and measured data in principal component analysis (PCA) space. To achieve this comparison, matrices were created for both the simulated concentration and isotope ratio output at the time horizons corresponding to the measured data. The corresponding measured data were then added as the final column to each matrix. The matrices were then standardized to unit variance to be used in the PCA so that differences in scale between concentrations and isotope ratios did not cause one to influence the PCA more than the other. Finally, the two data frames were bound together and subjected to PCA.

Distance in PCA space provides a measure of similarity in outcome, enabling comparison among Monte Carlo simulations and of the measured data to each simulation (Figure 4). Distances between model runs and measured data for the purposes of the model and the sample-to-sample variation as the most useful approximation of that noise, in contrast with other efforts to invert uranium isotope records (Kipp & Tissot, 2022). The variance of the measured isotope sample data is 0.052‰. A variable carbonate diagenetic isotope offset, $\delta_{\text{dia}}$, was added back to the model output to account for diagenesis after initial seawater contact to produce modeled carbonate $\delta^{238}$U data that was compared with measured sample data. The model output of seawater concentrations was adjusted to predict concentrations in carbonate rocks using a partition coefficient of 1.4 (Maher et al., 2006), assuming most of the carbonate sediment was originally aragonitic (Stanley et al., 1999). The concentration data were log$_{10}$-scaled to address the strong right skew in the data for purposes of model-data comparison; the log-scaled variance is 0.137. This approach may overestimate the noise in the data because some of the sample-to-sample change is related to the true signal, but as the data points become arbitrarily close to one another, the first differences will converge on the noise in the data.
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data were calculated using all PCA axes that explained more than 1% of the variance, which were the first six axes in this case (Figure 5). Using the Approximate Bayes Computation (ABC), the distance of each individual simulation from the measured data in the six-dimensional PCA space was calculated and the simulations that most closely resemble the observed data were identified. ABC methods allow a broad range of models to be considered for statistical inference (Sunnäker et al., 2013). The calculated distance of each model was used to find the top 1% of models that most closely resemble the measured data (Figure 6). The parameter values from these best-fitting models provide approximate posterior distributions for the parameters of interest (Figure 7). This PCA approach differs from other Bayesian inverse model approaches because it not only compares each model against the sample data but also against every other simulation, allowing us to rank and find the best-fitting simulations and corresponding parameters used to create those simulations. The code used is available at https://purl.stanford.edu/qd321fz3803.

Figure 5. Forcing and output from Monte Carlo simulations plotted as a function of age, illustrating the range of model output. Each simulation is plotted as a gold curve. Selected simulations are plotted in thicker, colored lines and correspond to selected simulations highlighted in Figure 4 to illustrate the correspondence between model predictions and principal component analysis space. The fraction of anoxic seafloor used to create each individual model run is depicted in (a). Measured data are illustrated as red dots for isotope ratios (b) and purple dots for concentrations (c).
3. Results

3.1. Model Output

The Monte Carlo simulation output spans the range of the observed data, with only a few exceptions, and includes isotope ratios and concentrations beyond the range observed (Figures 6a and 6b). This overlap between the observed data and the output from the Monte Carlo simulations is also apparent in PCA space, where the observed data fall well within the range of the simulations along the first two principal component axes, which together

Figure 6. Comparison between Monte Carlo simulations and model data, highlighting the best-fitting 1% of simulations based on distance from measured data in principal component analysis space. (a) Uranium isotopes. (b) Uranium concentrations. (c) Extent of seafloor anoxia. Total simulations in yellow, best-fitting simulations in blue. White line indicates the average forcing across the best-fitting models for each time step while black lines are the 10th and 90th quantiles of the best-fitting models.
account for 55.3% of the variation in the total PCA space (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). This overlap between simulated and measured data indicates that the parameter distributions from the model runs most closely resembling the measured data are potentially informative about the conditions that generated the measured data.

4. Discussion

4.1. Best Fit Parameters Values

The posterior distributions for the parameters allowed to vary within the Monte Carlo simulations are substantially narrower than the priors (Figure 7). The median of the posterior distribution for extent anoxia during the perturbation ($f_{anox,p}$) is 0.18 (95% CI: [0.11, 0.48]; Figure 7a) and the median of the posterior distribution for extent anoxia after the perturbation ($f_{anox,a}$) is 0.07 (95% CI: [0.01, 0.54; Figure 7c). The median of the posterior distribution for the duration of the perturbation is 520 kyr (95% CI [20 kyr, 1.2 Myr]; Figure 7e). The median of the posterior distribution for the fractionation factor associated with anoxic sinks ($\Delta_a$), is 0.27‰ (95% CI: [0.18,
0.44; Figure 7b). The best-fitting simulations did not narrow the prior for the diagenetic offset, \( \delta_{\text{dia}} \) (Figure 7d). The best-fitting simulations have anoxia that ranges from a mean of 18% ± 4% (Figure 6c) of seafloor area at the extinction boundary \( \left( f_{\text{anox}} \right) \) and it steadily decreases to around 15% ± 4% (Figure 7c) over the span of ~850 kyr (Figure 6c). However, toward the end of the model simulation (>1.2 Myr) a few of the best-fitting models exhibit increases in anoxia (>20%). In the best-fitting models, anoxia remained between 1% and 50% (Figure 7e) of seafloor area after the initial perturbation subsided. The duration of the perturbation for the best-fitting models ranges from 20 kyr to 1.2 Myr (Figure 7d). The best-fitting model runs with short perturbation durations are also associated with greater extents of anoxia during the perturbation (>20% seafloor area) (Figure 8a) whereas model runs with longer initial perturbation durations are associated with lesser extents of anoxia (<20% seafloor area) (Figure 8a). This correlation between intensity and duration of anoxia in the posterior distributions indicates that improved constraint on either the intensity or the duration of Early Triassic anoxia will also, indirectly, improve constraint on the other parameter.

4.2. Parameter Comparison

Because the uranium concentration and isotope composition of seawater are impacted both by the intensity and duration of anoxia, there is reason to expect that the posterior distributions of some or all parameters are not independent. Indeed, the posterior distributions for the duration and extent of anoxia forced during the perturbation
(fanox.p) exhibit a strong inverse correlation (Figure 8a, Spearman’s rho: $-0.66$, $p = 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) when the duration of the initial perturbation is shorter than 100 kyr and weakens after the perturbation. The intensity of the initial interval of anoxia (fanox.p) exhibits a weak inverse correlation with the isotope fractionation in anoxic environments ($\Delta_a$) (Figure 8b, Spearman’s rho: $-0.19$, $p = 0.005$). Because $\Delta_a$ is the effective fractionation factor associated with anoxic sediment reduction, less intense levels of anoxia are required to produce model runs that similarly follow the measured data when $\Delta_a$ is large.

### 4.3. Assessing the Uranium Isotope and Concentration Data Individually

To assess whether the overall model fit represents a compromise between differing signals from the concentration and isotope ratio data versus reflecting a common signal in both data types, we conducted the same model-data comparison described above for each data type separately. This analysis began with the same Monte Carlo simulation output used for the full analysis described above. Using the isotope data alone, the best-fitting models on average do not capture the abrupt negative excursion at the beginning of the perturbation (Figure 9). Comparing the posterior distributions of the simulations using isotope data only (Figure 10) to the posteriors of both isotope and concentration data together (Figure 7), the isotope-only posteriors are shifted toward less intense anoxia during the perturbation (fanox.p: 5.1%–25%) but more intense anoxia during the interval afterward (fanox.a: 1%–67%). It also indicates higher values of $\Delta_a$ (0.13–0.46) and slightly lower values of diagenetic offset (0.09–0.27) (Figure 10). The posterior distributions derived from comparison to concentration-only results (Figures 11 and 12) have a more limited range of values for the duration of the perturbation (54–750 kyr) and indicate less intense anoxia after the perturbation (fanox.p: 2%–11%) compared to the full analysis; however, they return a wider range of values, most of them high, for the extent anoxia during the perturbation (fanox.a: 14%–64%). This analysis also returns a wide range of effective fractionation factors, similar to the prior ($\Delta_a$ 0.05–1.17) because this model-data comparison contains no constraint from data regarding isotope ratios.

In general, for the long-term amount of anoxia, the analyses using isotopes and concentrations separately agree in requiring a large increase in anoxia at the P/Tr boundary and the persistence of extensive anoxia across the remainder of the study interval. There are also minor differences. For example, the concentration-only results tend to indicate a more severe and short-lived onset of anoxia whereas the isotope data are better fit by models that imply a less severe but longer-lasting interval of anoxia at the P/Tr boundary. Part of the reason for this difference in interpretation between the isotope-only and concentration-only results may be a greater amount of noise in the isotope data across the boundary when compared to the concentration data. Another potential source of discrepancy is systematic variation across time in the proportion of aragonite versus calcite in the original sediments, which would violate the assumption of a constant distribution coefficient describing the relationship between the concentration of uranium in seawater and carbonate sediments.

### 5. Conclusion

#### 5.1. Duration and Intensity

The posterior distributions of the best-fitting simulations overlap the conditions modeled by Lau et al. (2016) based on visual comparison to data, which assumed 20% seafloor anoxia for 30 kyr followed by 5% seafloor anoxia for the remainder of the modeled interval (1.7 Myr) and are also consistent with earlier calculations by Brennecka et al. (2011) that suggest substantial anoxia for at least the first 50 kyr after the P/Tr boundary. The results also overlap the less extensive (10% of seafloor) anoxia calculated by Kipp and Tissot (2022). This study differs from Kipp and Tissot (2022) in a few ways, including a longer study interval, inclusion of data from more samples and sections, and consideration of uranium concentrations as well as isotope ratios in model-data comparison. The isotope-only analysis in this study yields a median posterior value of 11% seafloor anoxia, in close agreement with the result from Kipp and Tissot (2022) under the most comparable data treatment. Our overall model-data comparison indicates 18% ± 4% seafloor anoxia immediately following the P/Tr boundary (Figure 6c). Based on the running average of the best-fitting models, the extent of anoxia decreased to 15% ± 4% over a span of 800 kyr and remained in this range until the end of the study interval, with similar uncertainty. These quantitative constraints from modeling the uranium cycle are also in broad agreement with evidence from redox-sensitive proxies such as U/Th ratios and element-enrichment factors (for U, Cr, V, Co, and Ni), which
suggest a 60-kyr-long episode of intense anoxia beginning at the P/Tr boundary followed by still-expanded anoxia for at least another 450 kyr (Rampino et al., 2020).

Quantitative modeling of the uranium cycle adds to the evidence that Early Triassic anoxia persisted for well more than 1 million years, consistent with qualitative evidence from other systems, such as Th/U ratios (Wignall & Twitchett, 1996), marine fossil assemblages (Loriga et al., 1986; Z. Chen & Benton, 2012), sulfur isotopes (Bernasconi et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017), and the color and mineralogy of deep-sea sediments (Isozaki, 1997). This evidence agrees with the model results (Figure 7), in which intervals of intense (~20% seafloor area) anoxic perturbation lasting up to 1.2 Myr best fit the trend of both uranium isotope and concentration data. In the future,

Figure 9. Comparison between Monte Carlo simulations and model data, using only isotope values for model-data comparison. (a) Uranium isotopes. (b) Uranium concentrations. (c) Extent of seafloor anoxia. Total simulations in yellow, best-fitting simulations in blue. White line indicates the average forcing across the best-fitting models for each time step while black lines are the 10th and 90th quantiles of the best-fitting models.
numerical models coupling forcing from seafloor anoxia to predictions for other isotope ratios and elemental concentrations could be used to expand the model-data comparison conducted in this study.

Model-data comparison indicates that expanded seafloor anoxia persisted for substantially longer than the interval modeled in this study. Anoxia was generally high even at the end of the study interval and uranium concentrations and isotope ratios remain depleted through much of the Lower Triassic (Lau et al., 2016; Zhang, Algeo, et al., 2018). Sulfur isotope ratios in evaporites also continue to trend more positively beyond the interval modeled within this study (Bernasconi et al., 2017), consistent with an ongoing interval of extensive sulfate reduction and pyrite burial. The simulations indicate, on average, a decreased level of anoxia toward the end of the modeled interval (~15%) when compared to the average perturbation anoxia (~20%) (Figure 6c), both of which are substantially higher than the pre-extinction and modern-day levels of seafloor anoxia (~0.2%).

Figure 10. Posterior distributions for model forcing and other parameters based on the best-fitting 1% of Monte Carlo simulations using only isotope data for model-data comparison. (a) Extent of anoxia during the initial perturbation. (b) Effective fractionation factor for uranium deposition in anoxia environments. (c) Extent of anoxia during the remainder of the model run, after the initial perturbation. (d) Diagenetic offset of isotope data in carbonates from initial value. (e) Duration of the initial perturbation. Medians are illustrated as vertical black lines and the middle 95% of the distribution is bounded by dashed blue lines.
5.2. Implications for Biology

Model-data comparison confirms the link between intense marine anoxia and mass extinction. The extinction event was associated with the interval of most severe anoxia, 18% ± 4% (Figure 6c). Although the initial peak of anoxia was potentially short-lived, its extreme intensity and probable duration of more than 20 kyr helps to account for the biological devastation that resulted. This estimate is lower than the 40% seafloor anoxia produced in the general circulation model of Penn et al. (2018), but the model-data comparison in this study, which only allowed for two intervals with different levels of anoxia across 1.7 Myr in each model run, was designed to detect long-term changes and so may underestimate the initial, peak anoxia. By contrast, the Penn et al. (2018) study

Figure 11. Comparison between Monte Carlo simulations and model data, using only concentration data for model-data comparison. (a) Uranium isotopes. (b) Uranium concentrations. (c) Extent of seafloor anoxia. Total simulations in yellow, best-fitting simulations in blue. White line indicates the average forcing across the best-fitting models for each time step while black lines are the 10th and 90th quantiles of the best-fitting models.
modeled only a few thousand years with the goal of capturing the impact of the peak environmental disturbance on thousand-year timescales. For the same reasons, uranium data would be unlikely to capture the short-lived oxygenation event near the P/Tr boundary indicated by thallium isotope data (Newby et al., 2021). Precisely quantifying the extent of anoxia during a short-lived peak that lasted only thousands of years would be limited by the response time of the uranium cycle (Kipp & Tissot, 2022). Ideally, one would apply a paleoredox proxy with a shorter response time than that of uranium (e.g., thallium) to the problem of peak anoxia on thousand-year timescales. Regardless of the extent of disagreement, model-data comparison provides an avenue to quantify and address the uncertainty in the reconstruction of ancient ocean oxygenation in modeling biological response through animal physiology.

The persistence of extensive, albeit lower, levels of anoxia for the 1.7-Myr interval after the P/Tr boundary is consistent with evidence of delayed biotic recovery, further extinction pulses during the Early Triassic, and the persistence of low-diversity, highdominance communities (Hallam, 1991; Sahney & Benton, 2008; Shen et al., 2011; Song et al., 2013; Wignall & Hallam, 1992). These simulations were designed to quantify the

---

**Figure 12.** Posterior distributions for model forcing and other parameters based on the best-fitting 1% of Monte Carlo simulations using only concentration data for model-data comparison. (a) Extent of anoxia during the initial perturbation. (b) Effective fractionation factor for uranium deposition in anoxia environments. (c) Extent of anoxia during the remainder of the model run, after the initial perturbation. (d) Diagenetic offset of isotope data in carbonates from initial value. (e) Duration of the initial perturbation. Medians are illustrated as vertical black lines and the middle 95% of the distribution is bounded by dashed blue lines.
long-term average level of anoxia rather than to detect the potential presence of multiple, short-lived perturbations during the recovery period. Expansion of the approach employed here could be used to quantify the number, timing, and extent of subsequent pulses anoxia during Early Triassic time that may have further delayed biotic recovery beyond the effects of persistent anoxia during the first 1.7 Myr following the extinction event.
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