Dynamics of Mismatch and Alternative Excision-Dependent Repair in Replicating \textit{Bacillus subtilis} DNA Examined Under Conditions of Neutral Selection
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Spontaneous DNA deamination is a potential source of transition mutations. In \textit{Bacillus subtilis}, EndoV, a component of the alternative excision repair pathway (AER), counteracts the mutagenicity of base deamination-induced mispairs. Here, we report that the mismatch repair (MMR) system, MutSL, prevents the harmful effects of HNO\textsubscript{2}, a deaminating agent of Cytosine (C), Adenine (A), and Guanine (G). Using Maximum Depth Sequencing (MDS), which measures mutagenesis under conditions of neutral selection, in \textit{B. subtilis} strains proficient or deficient in MutSL and/or EndoV, revealed asymmetric and heterogeneous patterns of mutations in both DNA template strands. While the lagging template strand showed a higher frequency of C→T substitutions; G→A mutations, occurred more frequently in the leading template strand in different genetic backgrounds. In summary, our results unveiled a role for MutSL in preventing the deleterious effects of base deamination and uncovered differential patterns of base deamination processing by the AER and MMR systems that are influenced by the sequence context and the replicating DNA strand.
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INTRODUCTION

In all organisms, the DNA transmitted to daughter cells must be reliably duplicated and passed to the offspring. However, during DNA replication, mispairs and insertions/deletions loops introduced in both strand templates can cause genomic infidelity (Friedberg et al., 2006). Growth-associated metabolism can elicit spontaneous hydrolysis of the exocyclic amino group of cytosine, adenine, and guanine and generate uracil (U), hypoxanthine (Hx) and xanthine (X), respectively (Ponnamperuma et al., 1961; Singer and Grunberger, 1983; Friedberg et al., 2006). These analogous bases can generate the potentially mutagenic U:G, Hx:T and X:C...
mispairs (Friedberg et al., 2006), and cells contend with the noxious effects of these and other mispaired bases through the activity of the mismatch repair (MMR) system (Modrich and Lahue, 1996; Kunkel and Erie, 2005; Li, 2008). This repair system improves the fidelity of DNA synthesis by aborting illegitimate recombination and removing mispairs and insertion or deletions loops generated during replication (Kunkel and Erie, 2005; Li, 2008). Moreover, the MMR system ensures genome fidelity and fixes mispairs by discriminating between the old and newly synthesized strands. In Escherichia coli, the MMR system is composed of the proteins MutS, MutL and MutH (Kunkel and Erie, 2005; Friedberg et al., 2006; Li, 2008). This pathway is complemented by the UvrD helicase as well as a methylation system which directs the proper correction of DNA mispairs by hemi-methylating the adenine residue in the sequence d(GATC), thus tagging the template DNA strand for proper correction (Kunkel and Erie, 2005; Friedberg et al., 2006; Li, 2008).

Only E. coli and a few related gamma proteobacteria use a methylation system as a strand-discrimination signal during MMR (Smith et al., 2001; Friedberg et al., 2006; Lenhart et al., 2016). However, in most organisms, including B. subtilis, the MMR system contains MutS and MutL but lacks the endonuclease MutH and a DNA methylation system to signal the proper repair of DNA mispairs (Dreiseikelmann and Wackernagel, 1981; Smith et al., 2001; Friedberg et al., 2006; Lenhart et al., 2012, 2016). In B. subtilis MutS plays the same role as its counterpart in E. coli by acting as sensors during the mismatch recognition step (Simmons et al., 2008; Lenhart et al., 2016). In both bacteria, MutL works as a link that coordinates the formation of the MutSL complex and additional proteins required to repair DNA mispairs (Lenhart et al., 2012, 2016). However, while MutL from B. subtilis possesses endonuclease activity, such function is absent in the E. coli MutL homolog (Pillon et al., 2010; Lenhart et al., 2016). It has been proposed that single-strand breaks, produced during processing of Okazaki fragments during the DNA synthesis in the lagging strand, provide entry points for MMR components and correct repair (Friedberg et al., 2006; Lenhart et al., 2012, 2016). Nevertheless, the mechanism that generate access points for MutS/MutL in the leading strand is currently unknown. Interestingly, in Streptococcus pneumoniae the presence of uracil in the DNA strand that contains the incorrect base increases repair efficiency of mispairs (Méjean et al., 1991). Furthermore, in B cells of mice and humans, the activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) converts cytosine to uracil, generating multiple dU:dG mispairs. Accordingly, it was proposed that single-strand breaks, formed during uracil processing, function as entry points for MMR-dependent repair (Schrader et al., 2007; Schanz et al., 2009).

Base deamination is counteracted in B. subtilis through, (i) the base excision repair (BER) pathway, which employs a unique DNA glycosylase to repair uracil (López-Olmos et al., 2012), (ii) an alternative, promiscuous excision repair (AER), that removes all deaminated bases and AP sites employing EndoV (Patlán et al., 2019), and (iii) Aag (a homolog of AlkA) which together with EndoV protects B. subtilis from the mutagenic effects of hypoxanthine (Ayala-García et al., 2016). Notably, it has been shown that EndoV plays a more prominent role than Ung in protecting B. subtilis from spontaneous and deamination-induced mutagenesis (López-Olmos et al., 2012; Patlán et al., 2019).

In this work, we report that the combined activities of EndoV and MutSL counteract the cytotoxic and mutagenic effects of base deamination. Furthermore, results from Maximum Depth Sequencing (MDS), which examines mutagenesis in conditions of neutral selection, revealed an increase in base deamination-promoted mutagenesis in growing B. subtilis cells deficient for MutSL and EndoV. Remarkably, our results suggest that C→U and G→X induced lesions were asymmetrically processed in both replicating strands. Overall, the MDS analyses showed asymmetric patterns, sequence context effects in base deamination, and their differential repair by the MutSL and EndoV-dependent systems in the lagging and leading strands of replicating B. subtilis cells.

RESULTS

EndoV and MutSL Protect Bacillus subtilis From the Nitrous Acid Cytotoxicity

A previous report suggested that, in addition to Ung and EndoV, the MMR machinery of B. subtilis counteracts the mutagenic effects of uracil and possibly other deaminated bases (López-Olmos et al., 2012). Therefore, we investigated a possible functional relationship between the MMR system, encoded in the mutS-mutL operon (Kunst et al., 1997) and EndoV (ywql) in preventing the cytotoxic effects of mispairs generated by DNA base deamination. To this end, B. subtilis strains proficient (WT) and deficient in MutSL, EndoV, or both repair systems were challenged with increasing doses of nitrous acid, a deaminating agent that preferentially targets adenine and cytosine over guanine (Frankel et al., 1980; Hartman et al., 1994). Results revealed that B. subtilis cells deficient for EndoV were more susceptible to HNO2 than cells of the parental wild-type strain (Figure 1A). Interestingly, disruption of mutSL also sensitized the cells to HNO2, and sensitivity of this strain increased further in the absence of endoV (Figure 1A). The medial lethal dose (LD50) of HNO2 for WT, ΔendoV, ΔmutSL and ΔendoV ΔmutSL strains was 18 ± 1.41, 5.6 ± 0.455, 11 ± 0.815 and 3.3 ± 0.355 mM, respectively (Supplementary Figure S1). Altogether, these results revealed that B. subtilis EndoV and MutSL work in independent pathways to counteract the cytotoxic effects of the DNA deaminating agent HNO2.

EndoV and MutSL Counteract the Mutagenic Effects of Nitrous Acid

We tested whether EndoV and MutSL prevent the genotoxic effects of the mismatches promoted by base deaminating lesions. To this end, we determined the mutation frequency to rifampicin resistance (RifR) in the presence or absence of the deaminating agent HNO2 in B. subtilis wild type and strains deficient in EndoV, MutSL, or both repair pathways. The absence of EndoV, MutSL, or both increased spontaneous RifR mutagenesis ~2.5,
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Mutation Frequencies Are Different for the Leading and Lagging Strands of EndoV and/or MutSL-Deficient Cells

We investigated the strand-specific mutation frequencies in cells proficient and deficient in MMR (MutSL) and AER (EndoV) using MDS. MDS is a powerful sequencing technique that detects rare strand-specific mutations in a bacterial population under conditions of neutral selection (Jee et al., 2016). In contrast to traditional barcoding protocols (Kinde et al., 2011; Schmitt et al., 2012; Lou et al., 2013) that include an exponential amplification step to increase sequencing yield of the Region Of Interest (ROI), MDS first adds unique barcodes directly to the genomic copy of ROI and begins with a round of linear PCR, followed by exponential PCR amplification and high-throughput sequencing of the strand libraries (Supplementary Figure S2). Employing this strategy, only the ROI strand is copied initially eliminating random mutation due to polymerase errors and substantially reducing sequencing errors (Jee et al., 2016). To avoid head-on collisions between the replisome and the transcriptional machinery (Paul et al., 2013), MDS experiments were performed targeting a 68 bp sequence of the rpoB gene (ROI) whose transcription is co-directional with DNA replication of the B. subtilis genome (Figure 2A; Kunst et al., 1997). Therefore, the leading template corresponds to the transcribed strand while the lagging template corresponds to non-transcribed strand. The rpoB ROI overlaps with Rif\(^\beta\) cluster I and covers one of the two known mutation hotspots for B. subtilis growing cells (Figure 2A; Maughan et al., 2004; Perkins and Nicholson, 2008; Valenzuela-García et al., 2018). Of note, we interpret our results with and without the hotspot. These experiments were applied to genomic libraries generated from two independent cultures propagated for >90 generations of each strain. The mutation frequencies calculated from a minimum of 2 million barcode families of each independent duplicate library, gave similar values, attesting for the reproducibility of the method considering or not the hotspot (Supplementary Figure S3).

~26, and ~31 fold, respectively, compared to the WT (Figure 1B). Furthermore, compared to the untreated condition, nitrous acid-induced Rif\(^\beta\) mutagenesis increased by ~4, ~2.3~1.4, and ~2.6 fold in the WT, endoV\(^-\), mutSL\(^-\), and endoV/mutSL-deficient cells, respectively (Figure 1B). Of note, in agreement with a previous report revealing a role for EndoV in counteracting the full spectrum of deaminated bases and AP sites (Patlán et al., 2019), we observed a higher effect in HNO\(_2\)-induced mutagenesis in the mutSL endoV mutant in comparison with the mutSL strain. Taken together, these results demonstrated that the MMR system prevents HNO\(_2\)-promoted mutagenesis, and that both repair pathways may additively prevent mutagenesis caused by deaminated DNA base analogs. However, additional pathways preventing base deamination, including Aag and Ung (López-Olmos et al., 2012; Ayala-Garcia et al., 2016), could be operating in B. subtilis, as we observed a discrete increase in mutagenesis after disrupting endoV in the mutSL-deficient strain and following HNO\(_2\) treatment of the ΔendoV strain (Figure 1B).

Mutation Frequencies Are Different for the Leading and Lagging Strands of EndoV and/or MutSL-Deficient Cells

We investigated the strand-specific mutation frequencies in cells proficient and deficient in MMR (MutSL) and AER (EndoV) using MDS. MDS is a powerful sequencing technique that detects rare strand-specific mutations in a bacterial population under conditions of neutral selection (Jee et al., 2016). In contrast to traditional barcoding protocols (Kinde et al., 2011; Schmitt et al., 2012; Lou et al., 2013) that include an exponential amplification step to increase sequencing yield of the Region Of Interest (ROI), MDS first adds unique barcodes directly to the genomic copy of ROI and begins with a round of linear PCR, followed by exponential PCR amplification and high-throughput sequencing of the strand libraries (Supplementary Figure S2). Employing this strategy, only the ROI strand is copied initially eliminating random mutation due to polymerase errors and substantially reducing sequencing errors (Jee et al., 2016). To avoid head-on collisions between the replisome and the transcriptional machinery (Paul et al., 2013), MDS experiments were performed targeting a 68 bp sequence of the rpoB gene (ROI) whose transcription is co-directional with DNA replication of the B. subtilis genome (Figure 2A; Kunst et al., 1997). Therefore, the leading template corresponds to the transcribed strand while the lagging template corresponds to non-transcribed strand. The rpoB ROI overlaps with Rif\(^\beta\) cluster I and covers one of the two known mutation hotspots for B. subtilis growing cells (Figure 2A; Maughan et al., 2004; Perkins and Nicholson, 2008; Valenzuela-García et al., 2018). Of note, we interpret our results with and without the hotspot. These experiments were applied to genomic libraries generated from two independent cultures propagated for >90 generations of each strain. The mutation frequencies calculated from a minimum of 2 million barcode families of each independent duplicate library, gave similar values, attesting for the reproducibility of the method considering or not the hotspot (Supplementary Figure S3).

Figure 1 | Contribution of MutSL and EndoV in protecting Bacillus subtilis from the cytotoxic and mutagenic effects of spontaneous and HNO\(_2\)-promoted base deamination. (A) Susceptibility of different strains of B. subtilis to nitrous acid. B. subtilis WT (●), mutSL (●), endo V(▲), and mutSL endoV (■) strains were grown in A3 medium to an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.5 and then treated with different doses of nitrous acid (HNO\(_2\)). The results are expressed as averages ± SD of at least three independent experiments per triplicate. (B) Spontaneous and HNO\(_2\)-induced mutation frequencies of strains with distinct genotypes. The strains indicated were grown at 37°C in A3 medium to an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.5 and then divided into two Erlenmeyer flasks; one of the flasks was left as an untreated control (gray bars), and the other was supplemented with an LD\(_{50}\) of HNO\(_2\) (black bars). The cultures were shaken for 1 h and after eliminating the deaminating agent from the amended cultures, all the flasks were shaken for an additional period of 12 h at 37°C. Finally, all the cultures were processed to calculate the frequencies of mutation to Rif\(^\beta\), as described in Materials and Methods. Each bar represents the mean of data collected from three independent experiments, each performed in sextuplicate, and the error bars represent SEMs. The asterisks indicate values that were significantly different (*, p < 0.05).
Our results revealed similar mutation frequencies in the lagging and leading template strands of the three strains analyzed (Figure 2B). The absence of a functional MMR system increased the mutation frequency by approximately ~225–250-fold compared to the parental wild-type strain in both template DNA strands (Figure 2B). Further analysis of the MDS data showed that mutagenesis in the first position of the \( rpoB \) codon 482 (5’\( C\)AC3; Figure 2A) had a dramatic impact (hotspot) in the mutagenic levels of the \( mutSL \) strain; even with this datum omitted, Figure 2C indicates that MutSL deficiency produced a higher mutation frequency than the WT strain, most notably in the leading template. The disruption of \( mutSL \) in the \( endoV \)− deficient strain increased mutagenesis ~2 fold in both DNA strands compared to the wild-type strain (Figure 2C; 2.3 and 2.0-fold for the leading and lagging strands, respectively). Of note, the WT showed a slight increase in mutation frequency in the lagging strand compared to the leading strand. The same response was observed in the \( endoV \)− and \( endoV \)− \( mutSL \)− strains but not in the \( mutSL \)− strain.

These results strongly suggest that (i) MutSL and EndoV are crucial to the repair of mispairs promoted by base deamination in both DNA strands and, (ii) EndoV−dependent repair of deaminated bases can be activated in the absence of the MMR system.

**Deaminated Bases in the Leading and Lagging DNA Strands Are Differentially Processsed by EndoV and MutSL**

We investigated the contribution of base substitutions produced from base deamination and other base modifications to the...
strand-specific mutation frequency using MDS. Overall, except for the MMR-deficient strain, the results revealed that replication errors and/or base deamination-induced mutations occurred at similar levels in both DNA chains, as those elicited by other type of factors (Figure 3A). Such observations were more evident after subtracting the mutagenic levels contributed by the hotspot 482; Figure 3B shows the full spectrum of mutations detected by MDS and indicates that these events occurred asymmetrically in both DNA strands. Of note, analyses of the full spectrum of mutations detected by MDS, revealed that among the base substitutions different from those generated by base deamination, the transitions G→T predominated in both replicating DNA strands (Figure 3B; Supplementary Figure S4). Furthermore, in both biological replicates, the proportion of these transitions were maintained when we increase the number of reads per barcode (R; Supplementary Figure S4).

We then focused our attention to the base transitions generated by each type of deaminated base at specific nucleotide positions. The WT parental strain, the endoV, and mutSL mutants displayed a similar frequency of the A→G transition in both DNA strands (Figures 4A,B). However, in comparison to the wild-type strain, the strain with combined defects in EndoV and MutSL showed an increase in this type of transition by 3-fold in both DNA strands (Figures 4A,B—see fold change in the X axis). The effects in the strains with deficiencies in EndoV or MutSL were not significantly different with respect to the WT strain (Figures 4A,B). Interestingly, the base substitution analysis also showed a high contribution of A→G transitions when A residues were at the 5’ of pyrimidines (Figure 4A). Accordingly, the triplets, TAA>CAC>CAG in the leading and, TAG>CAT>CAC, in the lagging strands, showed the highest frequencies of adenine to guanine substitutions in strains with deficiencies in MutSL and/or EndoV (Supplementary Figure S5).

Our MDS analyses revealed that except for the MMR-deficient strain, a significant increase of the C→T transitions in the lagging strand compared to C→T transitions in the leading strand was observed in the other strains analyzed (Figures 5A,B). Of note, the frequency of this transition increased further in the ΔmutSL strain ~828 times and such effect was contributed by the first cytosine located in the codon 482 (5’CAC3’), which is reported to be a hotspot in B. subtilis (Valenzuela-García et al., 2018). Our subsequent analysis with this position eliminated showed that the lagging strand of the WT and endoV strains still contained a significant higher amount of C→T transitions than the leading strand (~3 fold), while the effect diminished in the endoV mutSL strain (~1.188; Figures 5A,B). As shown in Figure 5B; Supplementary Figure S6, this effect was dependent on the sequence context as the GCA, GCC and CCG triplets were mostly involved in conferring this type of transition.

In reference to the WT, the strain with combined MMR and AER deficiencies exhibited higher mutation frequencies of C→Ts in both DNA strands (Figure 5B—see fold change in the X axis). The frequency of this transition also increased significantly, but only in the leading strand of the mutSL strain (Figure 5B). Of note, similar results were observed after eliminating the contribution of the hot spot C→T in the position 14 of the ROI analyzed (Figure 5B).

Further MDS results showed that the mutSL and mutSL endoV strains produced a significant higher level of G→A substitutions in the leading strand, a change that can occur by replication errors and guanine deamination to xanthine resulting in the generation of X:T mismpairs (Figures 6A,B). Also, we found that in the MutSL-deficient strain, the third base in the codon
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**FIGURE 3 | (A)** Mutation frequencies for the strains indicated, depicting the contribution of base substitutions produced from base deamination (*) and from other types of base damages. **(B)** Mutation frequencies as shown in A but excluding position 14 corresponding to the first position of codon 482. Each section of the bars represents the mean frequency of two biological replicates. The frequency of each replicate was calculated using the sum of the specific change in the 68-bp ROI divided by the number of important/nodes or families. Error bars are SEM.
482 (3′GG5′) represented a hot spot of the G→A mutations (Figures 6A,B); notably, these transitions were EndoV-dependent as the contribution of this hot spot to mutagenesis significantly decreased in the MMR/AER-deficient strain (Figures 6A,B). It was found that after subtracting the contribution of this spot, the leading strand of the mutSL strain and EndoV mutSL strain still exhibited a higher amount of G→A substitutions than the lagging strand (Figures 6A,B). Importantly, the G to A mutations occurred more frequently at TGC and CGG sequences than in other G-containing triplets in the leading strand, while in the lagging strand such substitutions were more frequent at TGA and GGC triplets (Supplementary Figure S7). Of note, with respect to the WT, the frequencies of the transition G→A were significantly higher in the leading strand of the mutSL strain and in both DNA strands of the MMR/AER-deficient strain (Figure 6B—see fold change in the X axis).

Overall, the MDS analyses showed asymmetric patterns, sequence context effects in C→T and G→A mutations, and
their differential repair by the MutSL and EndoV-dependent systems in the lagging and leading strands of replicating *B. subtilis* cells. These contentions are further supported by experiments that compared frequencies of C→T substitutions directly to its complementary G→A substitution in both DNA strands, as shown in Supplementary Figures S8A–D.
DISCUSSION

In this work, we showed that MMR and EndoV confer protection to *B. subtilis* from the cytotoxic and/or mutagenic effects of a deaminating agent of DNA. Furthermore, MDS analysis of C→T, G→A and A→G substitutions that can result from base deamination-induced mispairs or replication errors revealed asymmetric and sequence context patterns of mismatch and alternative excision-dependent repair in replicating DNA strands of *B. subtilis* cells.

In *B. subtilis* the MMR repair pathway contributes to the prevention of mutations caused by dU:dG mispairs (Frankel...
et al., 1980); interestingly, a similar role has been attributed to this pathway in mammals (Schrader et al., 2007; Schanz et al., 2009). Here, we report that MMR in \textit{B. subtilis} processes a broader range of DNA mispairs. Accordingly, C→T, G→A and A→G substitutions were detected by MDS, and analysis of mutation frequencies suggested an U→G>X:T→HxC,C, hierarchical order of MMR recognition and processing (Figures 1, 3). While G→A, A→G and C→T transitions can result from replication errors that escape MMR (Ayala-García et al., 2016), these mutations occur spontaneously or through enzymatic deamination events (Wang et al., 1998; Krokan et al., 2002; Friedberg et al., 2006; Chatterjee and Walker, 2017). Accordingly, a significant proportion of the C→T and the hotspot G→A and C→T mutations that arose in the MMR-deficient strain were dependent on EndoV (Figures 4, 6).

While MDS has been successfully applied to determine mutation rates in bacteria (Lee et al., 2016), its versatility has been recently proven in the elegant work of Li et al. (2020) who employed this technique to identify the urethane-inducing dominant Kras Glu−1−Ile mutation in mouse lungs. In this report, to better understand the dynamics of base deamination repair, at DNA strand level, we applied MDS analysis to cultures of strains proficient or deficient for MutSL, EndoV, and both repair systems. Notably, under conditions of neutral selection, MDS detected the C→T transition in the codon 482 (5′CAC3′) of the \textit{rpoB} ROI employed. This mutation was previously identified in \textit{B. subtilis} as a hotspot in colonies that acquired a phenotype of rifampin resistance (Campbell et al., 2001; Valenzuela-García et al., 2018). While this substitution has been detected in vegetative cells and outgrowing spores of \textit{B. subtilis} (Maughan et al., 2004; Valenzuela-García et al., 2018), no selective advantage has been associated to this mutation. Accordingly, the growth rate, spor germination or metabolic properties of \textit{B. subtilis} cells carrying the predicted aminoacidic change His−→Ile were not severely affected in comparison with cells or spores of the wild-type parental strain (Maughan et al., 2004; Perkins and Nicholson, 2008).

It has been shown that in addition to EndoV and MutSL, Ung counteracts the mutagenic effects of cytosine deamination in \textit{B. subtilis} (López-Olmos et al., 2012). In agreement with this observation, our MDS analyses revealed that the simultaneous disruption of \textit{endoV} and \textit{mutSL} was necessary to increase the frequency of C→T transitions in both DNA strands (Figure 3B). Furthermore, we found that while strains exhibited similar mutation frequencies in both DNA strands, further analyses indicated that repair of specific deamination-induced mispairs was strand-dependent.

Asymmetric patterns of base substitutions modulated by the genetic context have been previously reported in \textit{B. subtilis} and other organisms (Sung et al., 2015; Long et al., 2018; Castañeda-García et al., 2020). However, our MDS experiments, which measured mutations in the leading and lagging template strands separately revealed that spontaneous C→T substitutions occurred more frequently in the lagging strand of the parental and strains deficient for EndoV and EndoV/MutSL; in contrast, G→A mutations promoted by guanine deamination happened more frequently in the leading than in the lagging strand of the \textit{mutSL} and \textit{endoV} \textit{mutSL} strains. However, it must be pointed that MDS has limitations to differentiate between transient changes and true/fix mutations in the ROI analyzed. Thus, it is possible that the C→T and G→A substitutions detected in the \textit{rpoB} ROI represent “transient” deamination events as cells used to prepare the libraries are in continuous replication. Under this condition, base damage, including deamination and misincorporated nucleotides by the replicative machinery leading to mispairs are dynamically scanned and potentially subjected to repair during DNA replication (Supplementary Figures S8E,F). The bias toward C→T substitutions in the lagging strand in \textit{B. subtilis} is unprecedented, but intra-strand asymmetric patterns of G→A and A→G transitions were described previously in \textit{Mycobacterium smegmatis} and \textit{E. coli} (Lee et al., 2012; Castañeda-García et al., 2020). Taken together, our results support the notion that cytosine and guanine deamination take place asymmetrically in both DNA chains and unveiled differential patterns of mismatch and alternative excision-dependant repair of base-deaminated promoted mispairs.

Other deep-genome sequencing experiments examining mutation-accumulation lines in different microorganisms showed that mismatch repair of DNA transitions and transversions are affected by the neighboring base composition (Ayala-García et al., 2016; Castañeda-García et al., 2020). Employing MDS, here we found that the sequence context and DNA strand influenced the levels of mutations promoted by base deamination in \textit{B. subtilis}. Spontaneous mutations occurred more frequently at DNA sequences bearing two adjacent As, Cs or Gs. Hence, A→G substitutions occurred more frequently at TAA and CAC sequences and increased in MutSL and EndoV-deficient strains, suggesting that an adjacent pyrimidine determines the repair efficiency of deaminated adenosines. Interestingly, MutSL and EndoV counteracted the A→G substitutions in both replicating DNA strands in a symmetric manner (Figures 3A,B). Strikingly, a recent report revealed that adenine deamination events in conserved promoter DNA bases elicit mutagenic events driven by head-on collisions of the transcription/replication machineries (Sankar et al., 2016). Of note, here MDS revealed that the C→T substitution happened more frequently in GCA, GCC, and CCG trimers and increased in strains deficient for MutSL and EndoV; however, such events occurred with more frequency in the lagging than in the leading strand. In agreement with these results, a significantly higher rate of C:G to T:A transitions occurred in the lagging strand of \textit{E. coli} cells expressing the deaminase domain of the mammal APOBEC3G1 protein grown for thousands of generations (Bhagwat et al., 2016). For G→A transitions, an opposite effect was observed, as these substitutions occurred more frequently at TGC and GGC sequences located in the leading than in the lagging strand. This pattern was increased in strains with disabled MMR and AER pathways. Notably, despite the presence of 2C and 3C repeats in the leading strand as well as sequential 2G and 3G bases in the lagging chain, C→T and G→A mutations and their repair by MMR and AER pathways displayed an asymmetric behavior (Figures 5, 6). Furthermore, as noted above, a proportion of the transitions promoted by adenine, guanine and cytosine...
deamination generated in the MMR-deficient strain were elicited by EndoV and such events were also determined by the sequence context.

The asymmetry of C→T and G→A substitutions, observed by MDS, in the replicating DNA strands of the strains analyzed, prompted us to determine its impact in the predicted amino acid sequence of the rpoB ROI. A wide diversity of non-conserved and conserved amino acid changes derived from these substitutions were detected in the cluster I region of the rpoB ROI, including the previously reported hot spot H$_{482}$→Y mutation (Campbell et al., 2001; Maughan et al., 2004; Valenzuela-García et al., 2018; Figure 7). However, novel amino acid changes were also observed in this cluster, including, R$_{484}$→V, T; E$_{479}$→K; T$_{495}$→I; R$_{496}$→C; E$_{487}$→K; R$_{496}$→C and A$_{498}$→V, T (Figure 7). Furthermore, our mutagenesis analyses performed under conditions of neutral selection allowed us to identify a set of silent mutations in the codons T$_{481}$, H$_{482}$, K$_{483}$, L$_{495}$, P$_{490}$, G$_{491}$, G$_{492}$, L$_{493}$, T$_{495}$, E$_{486}$, G$_{497}$ and A$_{498}$ (Figure 7). Additional predicted amino acid changes included, A$_{478}$→V, T; E$_{479}$→K; T$_{495}$→I; R$_{496}$→C; E$_{487}$→K; R$_{496}$→C and A$_{498}$→V, T (Figure 7). Notably, disregarding the prominent contribution of the hotspot 14 [CAC; (H$_{482}$)] in the rpoB ROI (Figure 2) and assuming that the transient mutations detected in the leading and lagging libraries were fixed, it was found that the C→T transversions gave rise to a similar proportion of silent, conserved, and non-conserved amino acid changes, predominating the first two, in the leading strand of all the strains analyzed; In contrast, conserved amino acid changes resulting from C→T mutations predominated in the lagging strands of strains proficient or deficient for MutSL and/or EndoV (Figure 8). Of note, G→A substitutions promoted similar proportions of silent, non-conserved and conserved amino acid changes in both DNA strands in the wild type and mutants analyzed (Figure 8). Overall, these results emphasize the advantage of MDS versus traditional loss-of or gain-of function mutagenesis protocols in identifying the full repertoire of mutations and its frequency in replicating DNA strands in any selected ROI.

In summary, the results from this report support the following concepts, (i) spontaneous or directed mechanisms generate asymmetric patterns of C→T and G→A substitutions in the leading and lagging strands, respectively, during replication, and (ii) the sequence context influences the activity of the mismatch and alternative excision repair pathways operating over mispairs promoted by these deaminated bases.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

All B. subtilis strains used in this work were derived from strain 168 and are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The growth medium used routinely lysogeny broth (LB; Lennox formulation) or in Penassay broth (PAB; Antibiotic Medium 3; Difco Laboratories, Sparks, MD; Gerhardt, 1996). When required, the cultures were supplemented with neomycin (Neo; 10 μg ml⁻¹), erythromycin (Em; 5 μg ml⁻¹), chloramphenicol (Cm; 5 μg ml⁻¹), spectinomycin (Spc; 100 μg ml⁻¹) rifampicin (Rif; 10 μg ml⁻¹) or isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG; 0.25 mM). Liquid cultures were incubated at 37°C with vigorous aeration (shaking at 250 rpm). Cultures on solid media were grown at 37°C. The optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of liquid cultures was monitored with a Pharmacia Ultrospec 2000 spectrophotometer.

Determination of HNO₂ Susceptibility

To determine the dose–response curves for survival of B. subtilis cells following HNO₂ exposure, WT and mutant strains deficient for DNA repair systems (Supplementary Table S1) were grown at 37°C in PAB medium to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5. Cells were collected by centrifugation (4,800 × g; 10 min/25°C), washed once with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) buffer 1X (NaCl, 8 g/L; KCl, 0.2 g/L; Na₂HPO₄, 1.44 g/L; KH₂PO₄, 0.2 g/L) and adjust to an OD₆₀₀ of 1. At the same time the nitrous acid (HNO₂) solution was prepared mixing equimolar quantities of sodium acetate (CH₃COONa) 4 M, pH 4.3 and sodium nitrite (NaNO₂; 99.97% purity; JT Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ, United States) at 4 M in 500 μl of PBS 1X buffer (Tennen et al., 2000). Cell samples (500 μl) were left untreated or treated with different concentrations of HNO₂ during 1 h at room temperature. Cell survival was measured by plating aliquots of serial dilutions on LB agar plates. CFU were counted after 16 h of incubation at 37°C.

Determination of Spontaneous and HNO₂-Induced Mutation Frequencies

Mutations to Rifr in B. subtilis cells treated with nitrous acid that promote the deamination of DNA bases (Frankel et al., 1980; Hartman et al., 1994) were determined as follows. A3 cultures of each strain grown for 8 h were inoculated into flasks containing fresh A3 medium to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5, at this point, each culture was divided in half, and the two halves were transferred into different flasks. One of the cultures was left untreated, and the other was amended for 1 h with a lethal dose 50 (LD₅₀) of HNO₂, determined for each strain. At the end of this period, the medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium, finally, both flasks were shaken at 37°C for 12 h. Mutation frequencies were determined by plating aliquots of each culture onto six LB plates containing 10 μg/ml rifampin as well as plating aliquots of appropriate dilutions onto LB plates without rifampin. Rifr colonies counted after 24 h of incubation at 37°C.

Growth for Maximum Depth Sequencing

To determine the rare mutations that occur during replication a MDS protocol (Jee et al., 2016) was implemented in B. subtilis. To this end, B. subtilis strains WT, ΔendoV, ΔmutSL and ΔendoV ΔmutSL were streaked onto LB agar from freezer stocks and grown at 30°C for 24 h. According to plating
colony-forming unit (c.f.u) counting, the average number of cells by colony is $3 \times 10^8$ (thus the number of generations is $\ln(3 \times 10^8) = 19.5$). For each strain a single colony was used to inoculate 1 ml of LB in a tube. The culture was grown at 37°C in a shaker for 6h, it is assumed that after the transition to growing in liquid, growth occurs for ~6 generations. Four microliter (~$10^6$ bacteria) were transferred to 100 ml of fresh liquid LB medium. The cultures were shaken for 24h at 37°C, according to cell counts (for a total average of $2.35 \times 10^9$ bacteria). This process was repeated 9 times. The average number of generations a bacteria would have grown in each liquid culture is

$$\ln \left( \frac{2.35 \times 10^{10}}{10^7} \right) = 7.76 \text{ generations}$$

Thus, the average total number of generations $g$ is $19.5 + 6 + (9 \times 7.76) = 95$.

**Maximum Depth Sequencing**

MDS was performed as previously described (Jee et al., 2016). In brief, samples of 10 ml from the last cultures of the four *B. subtilis* strains were spun down and resuspended in 1 ml Tris-EDTA buffer (pH7.5) and incubated ~80°C overnight. Genomic DNA extraction was performed using Qiagen genomic tip (100G) and quantified using Nanodrop. To generate each library, 2 µg of genomic DNA were independently treated with NlaIII (leading strand) or *Hpy*166III (lagging strand) restriction enzyme, which cleaves and delimits the Region Of Interest (ROI). The primers design was performed considering the cut sequence of NlaIII or *Hpy*166III for leading or lagging strand, respectively. A single PCR cycle was performed with 500ng of restriction enzyme treated genomic DNA, 500µM barcoded forward adapter primers annealing to the 3′ end of the ROI and Q5 Hot Start polymerase (New England Biolabs; Ipswich, MA, United States). Unused barcodes were removed with *ExoI*. The forward library was amplified using a forward adapter (described below in “Primer schema”) and performing 14 cycles of PCR with Q5 Hot Start high-fidelity DNA polymerase. Reverse adapter primers were used to define the ROI. Finally reverse adapters were used to amplify the libraries in 15 cycles of PCR. All libraries were resolved in an 8% acrylamide gel and purified with Ampure XP beads (see Supplementary Figures S2, S9).

**Primer Schema**

In the following sequences,

- [P5] = AATGATACGGCGACACGGCA
- [Rd1Seq] = GTCTACACTTTCCCTCAGGCTCTTCC
- [Pad] = variable length sequence (see below)
- [barcode] = NNNNNNNNNNNNNNN
- [P7] = CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATAC
- [Rd2Seq] = GATCGGTCTCGCCAGCTTCTGATCAG
- Adapter-barcode-primer: [P5][Rd1Seq][Pad][barcode][primer]

Where

- *rpoB* leading [primer] = ATTGTCCTGGTTTGTATCG
- *rpoB* lagging [primer] = ACCTTAGACCTCGACGTAG
- [Pad1] = g
- [Pad2] = cg
- [Pad3] = acg

Forward adapter amplifier: AATGATACGGCGACACC
Adapter-reverse-primer: [P7][Rd2Seq][primer]

Where

- *rpoB* leading [primer] = ACCTTAGACCTCGACGTAG
- *rpoB* lagging [primer] = ATTTGTCGTTGTGTTGATCG

Reverse adapter amplifier: CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATAC

All libraries were paired-end sequenced at the NYU Langone’s Genome Technology Center on the Illumina Novaseq 6000 platform with a 20% PhiX spike in.

**Determination of Mutation Frequencies**

Mutations were called as previously described (Jee et al., 2016) using an in-house code. In brief, MDS program groups reads (R) based on unique barcode to create families or important nodes. This means 1 important node = 1 barcode/1 original molecule of DNA. Families with R < 3 are filtered out and mutations are called on the rest of the families if >70% of reads have the same change at an ROI position in the same family (For a deeper explanation, please see Supplementary Figure S2). The mutation frequency of each type of transition (i.e., C→T; G→A and A→G) was determined from the total amount of each type of base change at a specific position divided by the number of important nodes and by the total number of adenines, cytosines or guanines in the ROI. The mutation frequencies shown in Figure 2 were calculated by taking the average of the strand-specific frequency of two biological replicates. Each strand-specific frequency for each replicate was calculated by taking the total of all the base changes in the ROI/important nodes. The mutation frequencies shown in Figure 3 were calculated by taking the average of the strand-specific frequency of two biological replicates for each type of mutation. Each section of the bars represents the mean frequency of two biological replicates. The frequency of each replicate was calculated using the sum of the specific change in the 68-bp ROI divided by the number of important/nodes or families. These procedures were performed independently for each library and the mutation frequencies were reported as averages of two biological replicates ± SEM. Supplementary Figure S9 shows additional information explaining MDS data interpretation for the leading and lagging strand mutation frequencies.

**Statistical Analyses**

Differences in mutagenesis levels between strains and cultures treated with HNO2 or left untreated (Figures 1A,B; Supplementary Figure S1) were calculated by Mann–Whitney U test, and analyses were done using Minitab 17 software. Value of $p<0.05$ was considered significant.

A comparison between the frequencies of base transitions of each mutant strain in reference to the wild type and between
DNA strands was performed. To establish significant differences in these analyses, a nonparametric Mann–Whitney test, followed by Wilcoxon test (paired nonparametric t-test), and confirmed by additional Friedman test with a post hoc Dunn's multiple comparison analysis, were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 software. Value of $p$ considered significant are indicated in Figures 4–6.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The sequencing data will be available with the link provided. Expert personnel from Nudle's Labr has requested to NCBI SRA Submissions Staff to fix the accession problems.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MP-R, AP-V, CV, ER, and EN designed the study and wrote the paper. AP-V, CV, VA-G, JC, and SV-M performed the research and analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

Ayala-Garcia, V. M., Valenzuela-Garcia, L. I., Setlow, P., and Pedraza-Reyes, M. (2016). Aag hypoxanthine-DNA glycosylase is synthesized in the forespore compartment and involved in counteracting the genotoxic and mutagenic effects of hypoxanthine and alkylated bases in DNA during Bacillus subtilis sporulation. J. Bacteriol. 198, 3345–3354. doi: 10.1128/jb.00625-16

Bhapatw, A. S., Hao, W., Townes, J. P., Lee, H., Tang, H., and Foster, P. L. (2016). Strand-biased cytosine deamination at the replication fork causes cytosine to thymine mutations in Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, 2176–2181. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1522325113

Campbell, E. A., Korzheva, N., Mustaev, A., Murakami, K., Nair, S., Goldfarb, A., et al. (2001). Structural mechanism for rifampcin inhibition of bacterial RNA polymerase. Cell 104, 901–912. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00367-x

Castañeda-García, A., Martín-Blecua, I., Cebrián-Sastre, E., Chiner-Oms, A., Torres-Puente, M., Comas, I., et al. (2020). Specificity and mutagenesis bias of the mycobacterial alternative mismatch repair analyzed by mutation accumulation studies. Sci. Adv. 6(eaay4453). doi: 10.1126/sciadv eaay4453

Chatterjee, N., and Walker, G. C. (2017). Mechanisms of DNA damage repair and mutagenesis. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 58, 235–263. doi: 10.1002/em.22087

Dreiseikelmann, B., and Wackernagel, W. (1981). Absence in Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus of the sequence-specific deoxyribonucleic acid methylation that is conferred in Escherichia coli K-12 by the dam and dcm enzymes. J. Bacteriol. 147, 259–261. doi: 10.1128/jb.147.1.259-261.1981

Frankel, A. D., Duncan, B. K., and Hartman, P. E. (1980). Nitrous acid damage to duplex deoxyribonucleic acid: distinction between deamination of cytosine residues and a novel mutational lesion. J. Bacteriol. 142, 335–338. doi: 10.1128/jb.142.1.335-338.1980

Friedberg, E. C., Walker, G. C., Siede, W., Wood, R. D., Schultz, R. A., and Ellenberger, T. (2006). DNA Repair and Mutagenesis. Washington DC: ASM Press.

Gerhardt, P. (1996). Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology. Washington DC: ASM Press.

Hartman, Z., Henrikson, E. N., Hartman, P. E., and Cebula, T. A. (1994). Molecular models that may account for nitrous acid mutagenesis in organisms containing double-stranded DNA. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 24, 168–175. doi: 10.1002/em.2850240305

Jee, J., Rasouly, A., Shamovskiy, L., Akivis, Y., Steinman, S. R., Mishra, B., et al. (2016). Rates and mechanisms of bacterial mutagenesis from maximum-depth sequencing. Nature 534, 693–696. doi: 10.1038/nature18313

Kinde, I., Wu, J., Papadopoulos, N., Kinzler, K. W., and Vogelstein, B. (2011). Detection and quantification of rare mutations with massively parallel sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 9530–9535. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1105422108

Krokan, H. E., Drabli, F., and Slupphaug, G. (2002). Uracil in DNA occurrence, consequences and repair. Oncogene 21, 8935–8948. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1209996

Kunkel, T. A., and Erle, D. A. (2005). DNA mismatch repair. Annus. Rev. Biochem. 74, 681–710. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.74.082803.133243

Kunst, F., Ogasawara, N., Moszer, I., Albertini, A. M., Alloni, G., Azevedo, V., et al. (1997). The complete genome sequence of the gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis. Nature 390, 249–256. doi: 10.1038/36786

Lee, H., Popodi, E., Tang, H., and Foster, P. L. (2012). Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in the bacterium Escherichia coli as determined by whole-genome sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, E2774–E2783. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1210309109

Lenhart, J. S., Pillon, M. C., Guarné, A., Biteen, J. S., and Simmons, L. A. (2016). Mismatch repair in gram-positive bacteria. Res. Microbiol. 167, 4–12. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2015.08.006

Lenhart, J. S., Schroeder, J. W., Walsh, B. W., and Simmons, L. A. (2012). DNA repair and genome maintenance in Bacillus subtilis. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 76, 530–564. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.05020-11

Li, G.-M. (2008). Mechanisms and functions of DNA mismatch repair. Cell Res. 18, 85–98. doi: 10.1038/cr.2007.115

Li, S., MacAlpine, D. M., and Counter, C. M. (2020). Capturing the primordial Kras mutation initiating urethane carcinogenesis.Nat. Commun. 11:1800. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15660-8

Long, H., Miller, S. E, Williams, E., and Lynch, M. (2018). Specificity of the DNA mismatch repair system (MMR) and mutagenesis bias in bacteria. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35, 2414–2421. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy134

López-Olmos, K., Hernández, M. P., Contreras-Garduño, J. A., Robleto, E. A., Setlow, P., Yashin, R. E., et al. (2012). Roles of endonuclease V, uracil-DNA glycosylase, and mismatch repair in Bacillus subtilis DNA base-deamination-induced mutagenesis. J. Bacteriol. 194, 243–252. doi: 10.1128/JB.00602-11

Lou, D. I., Hussmann, J. A., McBee, R. M., Acevedo, A., Andino, R., Press, W. H., et al. (2013). High-throughput DNA sequencing errors are reduced by orders of magnitude using circle sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 19872–19877. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1319590110

Maughan, H., Galeano, B., and Nicholson, W. L. (2004). Novel rpoB mutations conferring rifampin resistance on Bacillus subtilis: global effects on growth.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT; grants 221231 and A-1S-27116) of México and the University of Guanajuato (grants CIIC 082/2021 and 107/2022), the NIH grant (GM131410), and the Blavatnik Family Foundation and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (E.N.). AP-V was supported by a scholarship from CONACYT.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Aviram Rasouly for critical reading and invaluable discussions on this manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.866089/full-supplementary-material
Patlán-Vázquez et al. Strand-Biased Repair of Deaminated-DNA

competence, sporulation, and germination. *J. Bacteriol.* 186, 2481–2486. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.8.2481-2486.2004

Méjean, V., D’Evadjian, J. C., Rives, I., Aloing, G., and Cleverys, J. P. (1991). Uracil-DNA glycosylase affects mismatch repair efficiency in transformation and bisulfite-induced mutagenesis in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 19, 5525–5531. doi: 10.1093/nar/19.20.5525

Modrich, P., and Lahue, R. (1996). Mismatch repair in replication fidelity, genetic recombination, and cancer biology. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 65, 101–133. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.65.070196.000533

Patlán, A. G., Ayala-García, V. M., Valenzuela-García, L. I., Meneses-Plascencia, J., Vargas-Arias, P. L., Barraza-Salas, M., et al. (2019). YwqI (EndoV), ExoA and PolA act in a novel alternative excision pathway to repair deaminated DNA bases in *Bacillus subtilis*. *PLoS One* 14:e0211653. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0211653

Paul, S., Million-Weaver, S., Chattopadhyay, S., Sokurenko, E., and Merrikh, H. (2013). Accelerated gene evolution through replication-transcription conflicts. *Science* 343, 512–515. doi: 10.1126/science.1238758

Perkins, A. E., and Nicholson, W. L. (2008). Uncovering new metabolic capabilities of *Bacillus subtilis* using phenotype profiling of rifampin-resistant rpoB mutants. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 190, 807–814. doi: 10.1128/JB.00901-07

Pillon, M. C., Lorenowicz, J. J., Uckelmann, M., Klocko, A. D., Mitchell, R. R., Chung, Y. S., et al. (2010). Structure of the endonuclease domain of MutL unlicensed to cut. *Mol. Cell* 39, 145–151. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.06.027

Ponnamperuma, C., Lemmon, R. M., Bennett, E. L., and Calvin, M. (1961). Deamination of adenine by ionizing radiation. *Science* 134:113. doi: 10.1126/science.134.3721.113

Sankar, T. S., Wastuwidyaningtyas, B. D., Dong, Y., Lewis, S. A., and Wang, J. D. (2016). The nature of mutations induced by replication–transcription collisions. *Nature* 535, 178–181. doi: 10.1038/nature18316

Schanz, S., Castor, D., Fischer, F., and Jiricny, J. (2009). Interference of mismatch and base excision repair during the processing of adjacent U/G mispairs may play a key role in somatic hypermutation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 5593–5598. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0901276106

Schmitt, M. W., Kennedy, S. R., Salk, J. J., Fox, E. J., Hiatt, J. B., and Loeb, L. A. (2012). Detection of ultra-rare mutations by next-generation sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 14508–14513. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1208715109

Schrader, C. E., Guikema, J. E. J., Linehan, E. K., Selsing, E., and Stavnezer, J. (2007). Activation-induced cytidine deaminase-dependent DNA breaks in class switch recombination occur during G1 phase of the cell cycle and depend upon mismatch repair. *J. Immunol.* 179, 6064–6071. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.9.6064

Simmons, L. A., Davies, B. W., Grossman, A. D., and Walker, G. C. (2008). Beta clamp directs localization of mismatch repair in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Cell* 29, 291–301. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2007.10.036

Singer, B., and Grunberger, D. (1983). *Molecular Biology of Mutagens and Carcinogens*. New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum.

Smith, B. T., Grossman, A. D., and Walker, G. C. (2001). Visualization of mismatch repair in bacterial cells. *Mol. Cell* 8, 1197–1206. doi: 10.1016/s1097-2765(01)00402-6

Sung, W., Ackerman, M. S., Gout, J. F., Miller, S. F., Williams, E., Foster, P. L., et al. (2015). Asymmetric context-dependent mutation patterns revealed through mutation-accumulation experiments. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 1672–1683. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msv053

Tennen, R., Setlow, B., Davis, K. L., Loshon, C. A., and Setlow, P. (2000). Mechanisms of killing of spores of *Bacillus subtilis* by iodine, glutaraldehyde and nitrous acid. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 89, 330–338. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2000.01114.x

Valenzuela-García, L. I., Ayala-García, V. M., Regalado-García, A. G., Setlow, P., and Pedraza-Reyes, M. (2018). Transcriptional coupling (Mfd) and DNA damage scanning (DisA) coordinate excision repair events for efficient *Bacillus subtilis* spore outgrowth. *MicrobiologyOpen* 7:e00593. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.593

Wang, D., Kreutzer, D. A., and Essigmann, J. M. (1998). Mutagenicity and repair of oxidative DNA damage: insights from studies using defined. Lesions. *Mutat. Res.* 400, 99–115. doi: 10.1016/S0027-5107(98)00066-9

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Patlán-Vázquez, Ayala-García, Vallín, Cortés, Vásquez-Morales, Robleto, Nudler and Pedraza-Reyes. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.