Preparation of Fluorine-Free Superhydrophobic Paper with Dual-Response of Temperature and pH
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Abstract: Although various superhydrophobic materials have been manufactured and effectively used for oil–water separation, it is still highly desirable to explore materials which are eco-friendly, low-cost, and multifunctional. In this paper, a stable copolymer solution was prepared from the fluorine-free superhydrophobic copolymer with dual-responsiveness of temperature and pH. The functional superhydrophobic paper was prepared by immersing paper in copolymer solution by the dip-coating method. The surface element and structure analysis of the prepared superhydrophobic paper shows that the dual-responsive copolymer adheres successfully to the surface of the paper without destroying the fiber structure of the paper. At pH $\geq 7$ and $T > 25 ^\circ C$, the paper has a good superhydrophobic performance, while under the conditions of pH $< 7$ and $T < 25 ^\circ C$, the paper comes into a hydrophilic state. Therefore, the dual-responsive superhydrophobic paper is more likely to adapt to the complicated oil-water separation environment than the single-response.
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1. Introduction

The wettability of a solid surface depends on its surface chemical composition and microscopic geometry. This is an important performance index for many processes and applications and has aroused extensive research interest in researchers in recent decades [1–3]. In particular, a surface with a static water contact angle larger than 150$^\circ$ and a sliding angle lower than 10$^\circ$ is called a superhydrophobic surface [4,5]. Due to its excellent characteristics of self-cleaning [6], oil–water separation [7], water-proof [8], anti-fouling [9], anti-icing [10] and anti-fogging [11], it has been favored by material workers for many years, attracting a large number of researchers to study the performance of superhydrophobic materials. At present, it has been found that superhydrophobic surfaces can be fabricated on silicon wafers [12], glass [13], metals [14], plastics [15], textiles [16], wood [17,18], and paper [19]. In fact, the premise of becoming a superhydrophobic material based on previous research is that a superhydrophobic material must have both a micro-nano-composite structure and low-surface energy chemicals [20,21].

Paper is a common and indispensable material in our lives. It has a wide range of uses. Due to its lightweight, portability, easy transmission and communication, low price, high flexibility, biodegradability and renewable properties, it has been favored by researchers. Research is concerned especially with its adaption to the complex external environment and its ability to meet the special needs
of merchants for paper. The demand for modified paper is also increasing in the market, including that for superhydrophobic paper, which can be used for moisture-proof packaging [22], paper-based sensors [23], oil–water separation and self-cleaning materials [24]. There are many preparation methods for superhydrophobic paper, mainly including the dipping method, the chemical etching method, the electrophoretic deposition method, the electrospinning method, the chemical vapor deposition method, the plasma etching method, and the spraying method, etc. [25]. However, in these methods, some of the reagents used in the preparation process will be harmful to the environment, and some preparation processes and required equipment are complicated, so it is very important to choose the appropriate reagents and preparation methods. Besides, it is necessary to broaden the application range of superhydrophobic paper and make it have a better environmental adaptability. In recent years, some sensitive polymers with environmental irritation have been developed by researchers to respond to various stimuli in the external environment, including temperature, pH, light, ultrasound, electron transfer (redox change), etc. [26–37], in which temperature and pH are the most commonly used stimuli. Combining any two of the above stimuli can also prepare intelligent interface materials at the air/solid interface. Compared with single stimuli, intelligent materials with double stimuli have better environmental adaptability, and people are increasingly studying dual-responsive intelligent polymers [38,39]. Moreover, the massive discharge of industrial oily wastewater not only poses huge challenges to the ecological environment but also seriously harms human health [40]. Thus, for the sake of human health and the harmony of the ecosystem, it is urgent to adopt environmentally friendly and effective methods to treat the oil pollution in industrial wastewater. The ecologically harmless superhydrophobic paper with dual-response offers a new way to solve the problem. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct in-depth research on dual-responsive polymers for expanding the application range of superhydrophobic paper so that it can better play its advantages.

In this study, N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAm) and 2-(dimethylamino) ethyl methacrylate (DMAEMA) were selected as fluorine-free monomers for temperature and pH-response, respectively. The superhydrophobic copolymer with a dual-response of temperature and pH was synthesized by a free radical solution polymerization method, and the pre-treated paper was immersed in the copolymer solution by a simple and easy-to-operate dip-coating to prepare the functional superhydrophobic paper. This work introduces a simple and fluorine-free superhydrophobic paper preparation method. The paper obtained by this method can make corresponding hydrophilic/hydrophobic reactions to the changes of temperature and pH.

2. Experimental Details

2.1. Materials

Butyl methacrylate (BMA), Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA), 2-(Dimethylamino) ethyl methacrylate (DMAEMA) and N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAm) were provided by Shanghai Aichun Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was purchased from Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent Factory (Tianjin, China). Absolute ethanol and n-hexane were provided by Tianjin Fuyu Fine Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Acetone, anhydrous toluene, hydrochloric acid, and sodium hydroxide were provided by Tianjin Komiou Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). All reagents were analytical grade and used without other treatment.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Synthesis of Superhydrophobic Copolymer with Dual-Responsiveness of Temperature and pH

The synthesis of superhydrophobic copolymer with dual-responsiveness of temperature and pH was carried out in the absence of water and oxygen by free radical polymerization, the synthetic route as shown in Figure 1. Taking the BMA (5.68 g, 0.04 mol), HEMA (0.52 g, 0.004 mol), DMAEMA (6.28 g, 0.04 mol), NIPAm (4.52 g, 0.04 mol) and AIBN (0.16 g, 0.001 mol) respectively dissolved in 50 g of
anhydrous toluene, after mixing, the prepared solution was poured into a three-necked round bottom flask. Then the flask was filled with nitrogen for 40 min to perform an oxygen removal operation, providing an oxygen-free environment for the polymerization reaction. After the deaeration with nitrogen was completed, the flask was sealed and placed in a thermostatic water bath at 65 °C for 4 h to create a polymerization reaction. When the reaction was completed, the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After most of the solvent was slowly evaporated, it was dissolved in acetone, and then added to n-hexane under magnetic stirring to precipitate. The obtained product was dried in vacuum at 50 °C for 12 h, after grinding into powder, a superhydrophobic copolymer with dual-responsiveness of temperature and pH could be obtained.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** The synthesis route of PBMA-co-PHEMA-co-PDMAEMA-co-PNIPAm.

### 2.2.2. Fabrication of Temperature and pH Dual-Responsive Superhydrophobic Paper

First, 1 g of the temperature and pH dual-responsive copolymer prepared above was added to 20 g of anhydrous toluene, and then dispersed and reacted under ultrasonic conditions for 30 min to form a uniform and stable copolymer solution. Then, the spare paper (German duni wood pulp paper, size 40 × 40 cm², gram weight about 60 g) was cut to a size of 3 × 3 cm². Acetone, anhydrous ethanol and deionized water were used to wash the paper under ultrasonic at 25 °C at room temperature and dried at 60 °C. Then the clean and the dry paper was soaked in the prepared copolymer solution for 30 min to form a superhydrophobic coating on its surface. The coated paper was put into a vacuum drying oven, and dried for about 2 h at 80 °C to obtain a superhydrophobic paper with dual-responsiveness of temperature and pH. The paper preparation process and the oil–water separation effect in response to temperature and pH are shown in Figure 2. The lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of the paper in the picture will be determined below.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Synthesis procedure of temperature and pH dual-response superhydrophobic paper and its applications in oil/water separation under different temperature and pH treatments.
2.2.3. Determination of the Critical Temperature

The LCST the of temperature and pH dual-responsive copolymer was measured by a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). The temperature at which phase transition occurs, LCST, was measured as peak temperature causing exothermic heat change.

To determine the LCST of the prepared dual-responsive superhydrophobic paper, five identical oil–water mixtures (20 mL oil-red O stained n-hexane and 20 mL deionized water) were prepared in the beaker. By adjusting the temperature of the water bath, the temperature of the oil–water mixture was adjusted to 10, 20, 35, 50, and 65 °C, respectively. The specific operation process is shown in Figure 3. The sample bottles commonly used in the experiment were processed. First, a nearly round hole was dug on the surface of the bottle cap and the bottle cap was unscrewed. The mouth of the sample bottle was sealed with modified paper coated with a copolymer. Then the lid was closed and the sample bottle was dipped into beakers at different water bath temperatures, making the water or oil in the oil–water mixture in the beaker able to enter the sample bottle through the paper under the cap of the round hole. Thus the separation was complete and the oil and water was collected. The results of oil–water separation were observed, and the temperature gradient was gradually reduced by this method until LCST was obtained.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** Simulation diagram of the oil–water separation process. (a) Perforated sample bottles sealed with copolymer-coated paper; (b) oil–water mixture; (c) deionized water was collected in the sample bottle when \( T < \text{LCST} \); (d) red n-hexane was collected in the sample bottle when \( T > \text{LCST} \).

2.3. Characterization

The surface morphologies of the original paper and the coated paper were examined by a field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM) (SU-8010, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The Fourier Transform infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) was recorded using an infrared spectrometer (8400S, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) at room temperature. The NIPAm monomer and the synthesized copolymer (PBMA-co-PHEMA-co-PDMAEMA-co-PNIPAm) were prepared by a KBr tableting method, and then the sample was measured using FTIR to observe the functional group of the product to verify the success of the polymerization. The structure of the copolymer dissolved in \( \text{C}_3\text{D}_6\text{O} \) was also analyzed by \(^1\text{H} \) nuclear magnetic resonance (\(^1\text{H} \) NMR) (AVANCE III HD 600 MHz, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) and all chemical shifts were recorded in ppm. The surface chemical compositions of the surface of the raw paper and copolymer-coated paper were carefully detected by an Axis UItpa (Kratos Analytical, Manchester, UK) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Al/Kα (1486.71 eV) was used as a ray, and it was operated at a current of 10 mA and a voltage of 10 kV. The LCST of copolymer was measured under a nitrogen atmosphere by using a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC Q20, TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). The heating rate was 1 °C min\(^{-1} \). The temperature at which phase transition occurs, LCST, was measured as a peak temperature causing exothermic heat change. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a TA TGA-HP50 (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA) thermogravimetric analyzer under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the temperature rose (10 °C-min\(^{-1} \)) from room temperature to 700 °C. The original paper and the copolymer-coated paper were subjected
to thermogravimetric analysis. The wetting angle was measured by an SDC-200 (Dongguan Shengding Precision Instrument Co., Ltd., Dongguan, China) water contact angle measurement instrument. The test objects were untreated raw paper, copolymer-coated paper, and modified paper treated with different temperatures and pHs. The paper soaked in the responsive solution was processed at different temperatures, and the water contact angle was measured. The paper soaked in pH-responsive solution was immersed in a hydrochloric acidic solution (pH = 1), a neutral solution (hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide are not added, pH = 7), and sodium hydroxide solution (pH = 14) for 3 min, and was then taken out in an oven at 60 °C under vacuum drying, and the water contact angle was measured.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Analysis of Paper Surface Morphology

To study the effect of copolymer PBMA-co-PHEMA-co-PDMAEMA-co-PNIPAm on the surface morphology of paper, the surface morphology of raw paper, and modified paper soaked by copolymer solution were observed and analyzed by using SEM images with different magnifications. Figure 4a–c are the original paper samples. On the whole, it can be seen that the surface of the paper fiber before the modification has only a small amount of impurities attached, and it is almost smooth and flat, and there is no obvious rough structure similar to protrusions. Figure 4d–f are the modified paper samples. It can be clearly seen that the surface of the paper fiber after coating with the copolymer has raised structures and wrinkles, which are no longer flat and smooth, and has become very rough. Compared with the relatively smooth surface of the original paper, it can be preliminarily judged that the modified paper is obviously rough because it is coated with a temperature and pH dual-responsive copolymer. Besides, by observing Figure 4d–f, it is found that the surface of the modified paper fiber becomes rough only. Its structure and outline have not changed significantly, and the inherent distance between the fibers are still maintained. It ensures that the good mechanical and air permeability properties of the paper will not be changed. This shows that the surface coating of the original paper will not damage the fiber structure of the paper and affect some of its properties. Conversely, the paper can be coated with functional substances on the surface, which make it rougher and enhances the hydrophobic properties of the paper.

In addition, the surface morphology of the original paper and modified paper treated with acid and alkali were also observed, as shown in Figures 5 and 6. The surface of the original paper is still relatively smooth. The modified paper also retains its rough structure. This shows that acid and alkali treatment basically does not affect the surface morphology of paper fibers.

![Figure 4](image-url) The different magnifications of SEM images of (a–c) pristine paper and (d–f) the copolymer coated paper.
Through analysis, it was found that the main characteristic peaks of these monomers existed at the same wavelength in the spectra of the copolymer with dual-responsiveness. This phenomenon further indicated that the copolymer has been successfully synthesized from the microscopic point of view.

The peak observed at 3.68 ppm was due to –CH–(CH$_3$)$_2$ of PNIPAm. The characteristic peaks observed at 2.23 and 2.3 ppm correspond to –N–(CH$_3$)$_2$ and –N–CH$_2$ of PDMAEMA. The peaks observed at 2.23 and 2.3 ppm correspond to –N–(CH$_3$)$_2$ and –N–CH$_2$ of PDMAEMA. The stretching vibration peak at 2958 cm$^{-1}$ was ascribed to the stretching vibration of –CH in the monomers of BMA, HEMA, NIPAm, and DMAEMA. The peak near 1668 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to the C–N and C=O tensile groups in DMAEMA, respectively. The bending vibration peaks of N–H were clearly observed at 3298 and 1546 cm$^{-1}$, which were the typical absorption bands of NIPAm. The peak near 1668 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to the C=O stretching vibration of NIPAm [41]. Through analysis, it was found that the main characteristic peaks of these monomers existed at the same wavelength in the spectra of the copolymer with dual-responsiveness. This phenomenon further indicated that the copolymer has been successfully synthesized from the microscopic point of view.

3.2. Analysis of the Copolymer Structure

As shown in Figure 7, the molecular structure of the temperature and the pH dual-responsive copolymer were characterized by $^1$H NMR. The –CH$_2$ peak of PBMA was observed at 1.4 ppm. The groups obtained at 4.07 ppm contributed to –CH$_2$OH and –CH$_2$ linked to an ester group of PDMAEMA. The peak observed at 3.68 ppm was due to –CH–(CH$_3$)$_2$ of PNIPAm.

FTIR was used to test and characterize the monomers used in the copolymerization reaction and the finally synthesized copolymer with dual-responsiveness. The chemical structure of the product was observed to further demonstrate the success of the polymerization. Figure 8 show the infrared spectra of the monomers BMA, HEMA, DMAEMA, NIPAm and the synthesized dual-responsive copolymer used for polymerization, respectively. In Figure 8 (green curve) the copolymer spectrum, the absorption peak at 2958 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to the stretching vibration of –CH in the monomers of BMA, HEMA, NIPAm and DMAEMA. The peak near 3533 cm$^{-1}$ was ascribed to the stretching vibration of –OH in the HEMA monomer. The characteristic absorption bands at 1166 and 1720 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to the C–N and C=O tensile groups in DMAEMA, respectively. The stretching and bending vibration peaks of N–H were clearly observed at 3298 and 1546 cm$^{-1}$, which were the typical absorption bands of NIPAm. The peak near 1668 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to the C=O stretching vibration of NIPAm [41]. Through analysis, it was found that the main characteristic peaks of these monomers existed at the same wavelength in the spectra of the copolymer with dual-responsiveness. This phenomenon further indicated that the copolymer has been successfully synthesized from the microscopic point of view.

Figure 5. The different magnifications of SEM images by hydrochloric acid-treated (pH = 1) (a–c) pristine paper and (d–f) the copolymer-coated paper.

Figure 6. The different magnifications of SEM images by sodium hydroxide-treated (pH = 14) (a–c) pristine paper and (d–f) the copolymer-coated paper.
phenomenon further indicated that the copolymer has been successfully synthesized from the microscopic point of view.

Figure 7. $^1$H NMR spectrum of the temperature and the pH dual-responsive copolymer.

![NMR spectrum](image)

Figure 8. FTIR spectra of BMA, HEMA, DMAEMA, NIPAm and copolymer.

![FTIR spectra](image)

3.3. Analysis of Paper Surface Elements

The surface elements of the original paper and the copolymer-modified paper were detected through XPS. The results were analyzed to compare the changes of the surface elements of the paper before and after modification, which proved that the copolymer attached to the paper successfully and played a role in the modification of the paper. As shown by the black curve in Figure 9a, the surface of the original paper was mainly composed of a C element centered on 282.6 eV and an O element around 530.9 eV. The red curve could be found and the modified paper surface had a new N peak at the center of 396.8 eV. In fact, this was attributed to the amide group in the NIPAm monomer and the tertiary amine in the DMAEMA monomer. The results of the XPS testing indicated that the copolymer had successfully attached to the surface of the paper.

In addition, Figure 9a also showed the changes in the surface elements of the modified paper after acid (green) and alkali (blue) treatment, which could provide a basis for the pH response mechanism. When the modified paper was immersed in an acid solution, a Cl 2p peak appeared at 194.8 eV. The N 1s peaks at 396.8 and 399.5 eV (Figure 9c) corresponded to the tertiary and quaternary amines, indicating
that the PDMAEMA chain was protonated. When the modified paper was treated with alkali, an Na 1s peak appeared at 1069.4 eV. The N 1s peak was only observed at 396.7 eV (Figure 9d), which proved that PDMAEMA was deprotonated [42]. From the point of view of atomic content, the N atomic content of modified paper without the acid or alkali treatment was 3.3%. After acid treatment, the N atom content of the modified paper increased to 4.3%. This was caused by the quaternary amines produced by the protonation of PDMAEMA. After alkali treatment, there was no significant change in the N atomic content of the modified paper. This was because PDMAEMA was deprotonated and corresponded to the tertiary amine in its chain segment. This result showed that the wettability of the paper could be altered by the protonation and deprotonation processes of the PDMAEMA segment in different pH solutions.

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** (a) XPS spectra. N 1s core level of (b) copolymer coated paper, (c) modified paper treated with acid, and (d) modified paper treated with an alkali, respectively.

### 3.4. Thermal Analysis of Copolymer and Paper

#### 3.4.1. Thermal Analysis of Copolymer

The DSC curve of the temperature and pH dual-responsive copolymer was shown in Figure 10. The phase transition temperature, LCST, was taken as the peak point at which the heat flow changes during the heating process [43]. It can be found from the figure that the phase transition temperature of the copolymer is approximately 26.5 °C. As mentioned previously, the LCST of PNIPAm is around 32–34 °C. With the change in temperature, PNIPAm chains undergo reversible contraction and expansion. Above LCST, PNIPAm exhibits hydrophobicity. Below LCST, PNIPAm exhibits hydrophilicity. However, this value varies when PNIPAm is used in the copolymer form rather than a homopolymer. Because the nature of the monomer copolymerized with NIPAm will affect the phase transition temperature and temperature sensitivity. In this study, BMA and HEMA were used as comonomers to participate in the polymerization reaction. The decrease of LCST might be attributed to the presence of poly (HEMA) and poly (BMA) in the prepared copolymer. It could be explained by the weakening of the hydrogen bond between the copolymer and the solvent water and the strengthening of the hydrophobic bond between the polymer chains. The –OH of HEMA not only form hydrogen bonds among themselves but also get hydrogen bonded with the amide group (–CONH) of NIPAm. This consequently leads to the copolymer exhibiting more hydrophobicity than the homopolymer of...
pure PNIPAm [44]. This causes a shifting of the LCST to the lower side. Besides, studies have found that when PNIPAm was copolymerized with a hydrophobic polymer (poly (BMA)), the LCST of the resulting copolymer was reduced [45].

Figure 10. The DSC curve of the copolymer (PBMA-co-PHEMA-co-PDMAEMA-co-PNIPAm).

3.4.2. Thermal Analysis of Paper

The two curves in Figure 11 show the weight loss of the original paper (black) and the modified paper (red) as they continue to heat up from room temperature to 700 °C. It could be seen from the figure that before 150 °C, the weight loss of the two papers accounts for about 5% of the total mass. This was due to the weight loss caused by the desorption of the cellulose which physically adsorbed water in the paper. After 150 °C, the weight loss of the original paper in the temperature range of 280–370 °C was caused by the pyrolysis of cellulose to produce small molecular gases and large molecular volatile components. The weight loss was most obvious at this stage, accounting for about 75% of the total mass. The weight loss after 370 °C was mainly due to the slow decomposition of residual materials. During the entire heating process, the weight of the unmodified paper dropped from 100% to about 20%, and a total of about 80% of the weight was lost. The weight loss of the modified paper was most obvious in the temperature range of 260–355 °C, accounting for 72% of the total mass. This was mainly because of the pyrolysis of cellulose to produce small molecules of gas and large molecules of volatile matter. The main pyrolysis temperature of the modified paper started at 260 °C, which was 20 °C lower than the original paper. This phenomenon could be caused by the increased surface roughness of the modified paper after the copolymer was coated. When the temperature increased, the heating area of the modified paper was larger and more uniform than that of the original paper, so the modified paper would lose weight first at a relatively low temperature. The weight loss of modified paper after 355 °C was also because of the slow decomposition of the paper fiber residue. It was found that under the same conditions, the final weight of paper after pyrolysis was about 23%, which was about 3% more material than the original paper. The extra weight of the paper was caused by the reactive copolymer coating on the paper surface. Therefore, from the thermal weightlessness test results, it also assisted in proving the successful coating of the copolymer on the paper surface, so that the paper was successfully modified.
When the temperature of the oil–water mixture was 35, 50 and 65 °C, deionized water was collected in the sample bottle, while n-hexane stained with oil red O was left in the beaker. This indicated that the paper was hydrophilic and oleophobic after the two temperature treatments. When the temperature of the oil–water mixture was 35, 50 and 65 °C, red n-hexane was collected in the sample bottle and deionized water was left in the beaker. This indicated that the paper remained in a hydrophobic and lipophilic state at these three temperatures. Therefore, it could be determined that the LCST range of the prepared dual-responsive superhydrophobic paper was 20 to 35 °C.

3.5.1. Determination of LCST for the Dual-Responsive Superhydrophobic Paper

According to the oil–water separation experiment between the sample bottle and the beaker device, as shown in Figure 12, when the temperature of the oil–water mixture was 10 and 20 °C respectively, deionized water was collected in the bottle, while n-hexane stained with oil red O was left in the beaker. This indicated that the paper was hydrophilic and oleophobic after the two temperature treatments. When the temperature of the oil–water mixture was 35, 50 and 65 °C respectively, red n-hexane was collected in the sample bottle and deionized water was left in the beaker. This indicated that the paper remained in a hydrophobic and lipophilic state at these three temperatures. Therefore, it could be determined that the LCST range of the prepared dual-responsive superhydrophobic paper was 20 to 35 °C.

Next, in the temperature range of 20 to 35 °C, with a temperature gradient difference of 5 °C, an oil–water mixture at 25 and 30 °C was prepared to repeat the oil–water separation experiment, and so on. According to the experimental results, the LCST of the dual-responsive superhydrophobic
paper in this experiment was finally obtained at 25 °C. This is basically consistent with the LCST value (26.5 °C) of the copolymer. It further shows that the paper is modified successfully.

3.5.2. Temperature Response Testing and Analysis

After the LCST of the modified paper was determined, the temperature response of the paper surface under different conditions could be tested by measuring the contact angle of the paper treated at different temperatures. The specific method was as follows: two successfully modified superhydrophobic papers were treated at two temperatures below and above the LCST, respectively. One of them used an ice bath to treat the paper at 15 °C, while the other used a hot bath to treat the paper at 55 °C. As shown in Figure 13a, the contact angle of the paper surface changes with time below 55 °C. When the paper was above the LCST, the initial state of the paper was superhydrophobic, and its contact angle was 161°. After 3 h, it remained superhydrophobic, and the contact angle at this time was still greater than 150°, which was 157°. As shown in Figure 13b, when the paper was processed at 15 °C, the surface contact angle of the paper reached 158° at the beginning, which was a superhydrophobic state. After a few seconds, the water droplets on the surface of the paper began to slowly penetrate the paper, and the contact angle decreased gradually. Within 30 s, the water droplets had completely penetrated the surface of the paper, and the water contact angle had reduced to 0°. At this time, the paper successfully transformed into hydrophilic paper. The results of the temperature response test show that the modified superhydrophobic paper has a theoretical temperature response performance. It is superhydrophobic paper under conditions higher than the LCST and it will transform into superhydrophilic paper when it is below the LCST.

The temperature-responsiveness of the modified paper is due to the addition of a temperature-responsive polymer PNIPAm. PNIPAm has been thoroughly studied [46–48]. According to the results, PNIPAm exhibits a superhydrophobic performance when the ambient temperature is higher than the LCST of the polymer. When the outside temperature is lower than LCST, it will become hydrophilic.

![Figure 13](image)

**Figure 13.** The contact angles of paper at different temperature: (a) $T \ (55 \degree C) > \text{LCST}$; (b) $T \ (15 \degree C) < \text{LCST}$.

PNIPAm can realize the transition between superhydrophobic and hydrophilic states when the temperature changes, because the hydrogen bond between the amide group of PNIPAm and the water molecule has changed. When the temperature is lower than LCST, the C=O and N–H groups in PNIPAm form intermolecular hydrogen bonds with water molecules. Under the action of this hydrogen bond, the two groups above will be very closely combined with water molecules and the polymer exhibits hydrophilic properties. In addition, the effect of hydrogen bond will lead to hydration expansion of PNIPAm, which provides sufficient power for the PNIPAm chain segment on the surface to cover other polymers, thus making PNIPAm dominant and contributing to hydrophilicity on its surface. On the contrary, when the temperature reaches above the LCST, as the temperature increases, the hydrogen bonds between the molecules will gradually weaken, making the hydrophobic interaction between the isopropyl groups more obvious. When the temperature rises to a certain degree,
the hydrogen bond between the C=O and N–H groups and water molecules will be broken, and an intramolecular hydrogen bond will be formed. The PNIPAm at this time shrinks compared with the state under the LCST, causing it to be dehydrated and collapsed. In this way, its hydrophobic groups and other hydrophobic polymers used in polymerization reaction (such as PBMA and PDMAEMA, etc.) are exposed, so that the surface of the prepared polymer shows superhydrophobic characteristics. The analysis of the temperature response mechanism is shown in Figure 14.

![Figure 14. Mechanism of temperature response.](image-url)

3.6. Study and Analysis of pH-Response

Similarly, the pH-responsive performance of the prepared superhydrophobic paper was tested to verify whether it successfully possessed a pH-response. Figure 15a shows that the initial contact angle of the paper was greater than 150° and up to 159° after the paper was infiltrated by an acidic solution with pH 1. However, after a short time, the water droplets on the surface began to permeate the paper slowly. The second picture of Figure 15a shows the state of water droplets on the surface of the paper after 30 s. As the time continued to increase, the water droplets on the surface of the paper disappeared completely after 1 min. The contact angle was obviously dropped to 0°. After soaking the modified superhydrophobic paper in a neutral solution with a pH of 7 and an alkaline solution with a pH of 14, the original superhydrophobic state had been maintained for 3 h. The test results of pH responsiveness show that the superhydrophobic paper prepared in this research had good pH responsiveness. After acid treatment, the paper would gradually change from a superhydrophobic state to a hydrophilic state. But under neutral and alkaline conditions, its superhydrophobic state could remain unchanged and had a good stability. This shows that the superhydrophobic paper can flexibly switch between superhydrophobic and superhydrophilic states when the external pH value changes.

Among many external stimuli, due to the simple operation when responding to pH stimuli, no special facilities are needed. pH stimulation is more advantageous and attractive in applied research. In theory, most reactive materials that can respond to external pH stimuli have ionizable groups, such as sulfonates, carboxylic acids, pyridines and amines, which respond to changes in the pH of each repeating unit.
In this study, poly (2-dimethylamino) ethyl methacrylate (PDMAEMA) was selected as the pH-responsive polymer. It is a sensitive polymer material with pH response. PDMAEMA has ionizable tertiary amine groups. This allows it to undergo a protonation or deprotonation transition when the external pH value changes, so as to react accordingly [49,50]. When the prepared copolymer is under an acidic environment, the tertiary amine group in PDMAEMA will be protonated to form a positively charged ammonium group (QA+). The molecular hydrogen bonds between the groups will combine with the surrounding water molecules to form a layer of water molecules on the surface, which increases the surface energy of the material and makes the material exhibit hydrophilic properties. At the same time, this layer of water molecules can block the entry of oil and make the material exhibit oleophobic properties. When the material is in a neutral and alkaline environment, the hydroxyl group in the solution attacks the nitrogen atom of N+–H and releases a proton at the same time. The chloride ion in the quaternary ammonium salt is removed, and the ionizing group can be deprolongated. At this time, the surface wettability of tertiary amine groups is dominated by hydrophobic groups, and hydrophobic interaction becomes the major force. This leads to the superhydrophobicity of the copolymer. In other words, the protonation and deprotonation reactions of PDMAEMA cause a significant change in the wettability of the material surface. The analysis of the pH-response mechanism is shown in Figure 16.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we used the dip-coating method to immerse the pretreated paper in the copolymer solution to prepare a superhydrophobic paper with dual-responsiveness of temperature and pH.

**Figure 15.** The contact angles of paper at different pH conditions: (a) pH = 1; (b) pH = 7; (c) pH = 14.

**Figure 16.** Mechanism of pH-response.
Analysis such as $^1$H NMR, FTIR and SEM found that the copolymer had successfully synthesized and was uniformly coated on the paper. Static water contact angle and oil–water separation tests showed that the functionalized paper could make corresponding hydrophilic/hydrophobic reactions to temperature and pH changes. When the paper was in the conditions of pH ≥ 7 and $T > 25 ^\circ C$, it showed good superhydrophobic performance, otherwise it would change to a hydrophilic state. The dual-responsive superhydrophobic paper prepared in this research successfully realized the conversion of the hydrophilic/hydrophobic state to different temperatures and pHs. This not only extends the application range of superhydrophobic paper in moisture-proof packaging, paper-based sensors, oil–water separation, and self-cleaning materials, but is also a good reference for the significance of the in-depth research into the current intelligent responses to superhydrophobic paper.
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