Influence of Soil Characteristics on Wood Biodeterioration by Brown Rot Fungi
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Abstract: Soil conditions can directly influence the inoculum potential of wood decay fungi, which is likely to be a major factor in the premature failure of utility poles across Europe. The objective of our study was to assess the influence of soil pH, humic acid and iron on wood decay. For this purpose, we incubated Fe-impregnated wood specimens on artificial medium to evaluate the influence of the metal on the activity of brown rot fungi. Moreover, the impact of Cu-leaching from impregnated wood specimens that were exposed to humic acid solutions was measured. In addition, weight losses caused by brown rot fungi in impregnated wood pole segments and stiffness (Young’s modulus of Elasticity) of Cu-impregnated wood specimens were quantified. The pH measurements showed that the soil samples were slightly acid (pH = 6.7 ± 0.7). In comparison to non-impregnated controls, the Fe-impregnated samples significantly increased weight losses by brown rot fungi (>30–40%). In the presence of humic acid the release of copper from chromium-free wood preservatives (up to 143.34 mg L\(^{-1}\)) was enhanced. Weight losses in impregnated wood segments by brown rot fungi ranged from 5.3 to 20.4%. The recorded reduction in stiffness by brown rot fungi ranged from approximately 3.96 to 55.52% for Cu-impregnated wood specimens after 12 weeks. Our study shows that the pH, humic acid, iron content and selected wood preservatives greatly influence susceptibility of impregnated wood to brown rot fungi during ground contact.
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1. Introduction

Soil-based ecosystems are composed of a range of solid, liquid and gaseous phases. The balance between these physicochemical properties is one of the most important factors for the structure and distribution of microbial communities in soils. In soil formation, microbial diversity and its interaction are directly related to the recycling of nutrients, organic matter decomposition rates, biological population regulations and the degradation of toxic compounds [1]. Depending on the type of soil its biological diversity maybe composed of up to 8800 different genome species [2]. In comparison to other soil organisms, bacteria and fungi are by far the most important microbiota and their particular interactions form symbiotic networks in form of microhabitats and mycospheres [3]. Moreover, root traits also influence soil C cycling through influencing the growth of fungi over bacteria, such as high lignin and low root N content, promote soil C sequestration [4,5]. Human activities such as intensive agriculture and industrial residues/contaminants also have an important influence on soil compositions and thus its ecological biodiversity [6]. Soil characteristics tend to react slowly to drastic changes in land use and management [7]; therefore, the development of microbial–soil ecosystems is directly related to coevolution and its adaptation to the environmental changes and external influences [2,8–10].
Traditionally, wood has been one of the most used construction materials due to its abundancy, strength-to-weight ratio, production costs and environmental benefits. However, as wood is biodegradable it has a limited service life and requires additional protection for ground contact applications [11–13]. It is a mandatory requirement to impregnate wood products in ground contact for protection against microorganisms [12,13]. In 2013, the European Union put new biocide regulations in place, which significantly increase the safety and simplicity requirements of biocides used and placed on the EU market. In recent years, the wood protection industry has started to use Cr- and boron-free wood products and to develop more environmentally friendly wood modification methods [14–17]. In this context, the exposure of chemically-treated wood e.g., utility poles to soil microorganisms has resulted in the development of several heavy metal tolerance mechanisms. It is believed that complex interactions between the biotic and abiotic environment occur during the degradation of Cu-impregnated wood. However, to date, the exact mechanisms are not fully understood. A number of different mechanisms for metal detoxification by wood decay fungi during colonisation of preservative treated wood have been postulated; (i) the oxidative attack by hydroxyl radicals produced via the fungal Fenton reaction, which results in the fragmentation of cellulose and hemicellulose as well as the oxidative modification of lignin [18–20]. (ii) The metabolic system of glucose via glycolysis results in the production of oxalate as an end product which is released into the substrate and subsequently changes the pH and also reacts with metal ions to form oxalate complexes [21–23]. (iii) Melanisation of the outer cortex layers of fungal structures (hyphae, spores) which results in the immobilisation of metal ions from their surface and plays a key role in the longevity and defence against potential antagonists [24,25]. The combination of such bioprocesses changes the physical, chemical and structural properties of wood [26] allowing hyphae to colonize and degrade the wood more efficiently [27,28] subsequently altering wood strength and pH [29].

In natural environments, the complexity of the interactions between soil characteristics and metal ions [30], leaching from wood preservatives [17] and adaptation of fungal communities have to be considered [8,9]. The objective of this study was to assess the influence of soil pH, humic acid and iron on wood decay. For this purpose, we assessed the pH of 50 soil samples with a high fungal activity from different sites in Switzerland where early failures of utility poles had been reported [13]. An amount of 1 kg of soil was collected in close proximity around utility poles and inserted into plastic bags (3 replicates up to a depth of 30 cm). Each soil sample was dried at 25 °C for 48–72 h. The soil was sieved and 10 g from each sample was placed into Falcon tubes with 25 mL of an aqueous solution of 1M KCl (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland). Falcon tubes were processed in a shaker at 120 rpm and the pH was measured after 30 min. The colour appearance of the soil samples was used as an indication for the quality of the soil according to the visual soil evaluation techniques by Emmet-Booth et al. [31] and Guimaraes et al. [32].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sites and Soil pH from Which Decayed Utility Poles Were Collected

For studying the influence of the pH of soils, soil samples were collected from 50 different sites in Switzerland from which premature failures of utility poles had been reported [13]. An amount of 1 kg of soil was collected in close proximity around utility poles and inserted into plastic bags (3 replicates up to a depth of 30 cm). Each soil sample was dried at 25 °C for 48–72 h. The soil was sieved and 10 g from each sample was placed into Falcon tubes with 25 mL of an aqueous solution of 1M KCl (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland). Falcon tubes were processed in a shaker at 120 rpm and the pH was measured after 30 min. The colour appearance of the soil samples was used as an indication for the quality of the soil according to the visual soil evaluation techniques by Emmet-Booth et al. [31] and Guimaraes et al. [32].
2.2. Influence of Iron on Wood Weight Losses by the Isolated Brown Rot Fungi

Metal sensitivity of the most common isolated brown rot fungi from wood poles in Switzerland and Germany was evaluated on sapwood specimens of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) according to Ribera et al. [13]. Wood specimens with dimensions of 0.5 × 0.5 × 0.2 cm³ were vacuum-pressure impregnated with FeSO₄ solutions (0.000, 0.025, 0.050, 0.075 and 0.100%) according to the European Standards EN 807 [33]. Samples were sterilised with ethylene oxide (Sigma-Aldrich) and then placed into Petri dishes containing 20 mL of 2% Malt extract agar (Oxoid, Pratteln, Switzerland). One mycelial plug, 5 mm in diameter, was extracted with sterile a cork borer from the margin of a freshly growing colony of each wood decay basidiomycete (Table 1) and placed into the centre of each Petri dishes. Three biological replicates for each fungus were studied. All cultures were maintained in the dark at 22 °C and 70% relative humidity (RH). After 8 weeks, the biomass was carefully removed from the wood surfaces with a brush and the final weight was recorded after drying the samples in the oven at 103 °C for 24 h.

Table 1. Origin of fungal species used in the present study.

| Brown Rot Fungi          | Fungal ID *    |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| *Antrodia serialis*      | LT577949       |
| *Fibroporia vaillantii*  | LT577950       |
| *Serpula himantioides*   | LT577951       |
| *Gloeophyllum sepiarium* | LT577952       |
| *Rhodonia placenta*      | Empa 45        |

* EMBL data bank accession number (The European Molecular Biology Laboratory). ¹ EMPA (Swiss Federal Laboratories for Material Science and Technology-Lerchenfeldstrasse 5, CH-9014, St. Gallen (Switzerland).

2.3. Effect of Humic Acid Treatment on Leaching of Cu-Based Wood Preservatives

The effect of humic acid (HA) on the impregnated wood stakes was investigated according to Ribera et al. [16] with the following modifications: wood specimens (2T × 2R × 8L cm³) were impregnated by vacuum-pressure according to EN 807 [33] with the following retention rates: 21.1 kg m⁻³ CC (copper-chromium), 26.7 kg m⁻³ CCB (copper-chromium-boron), 20.3 kg m⁻³ Cu-HDO (Bis-(N-cyclohexyldiazeniumdioxy)-copper) and 22.6 kg m⁻³ ACQ (Alkaline Copper Quaternary). After impregnation, the wood specimens were placed into Erlenmeyer flasks containing 0.000%, 0.020%, 0.005% of HA (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in 500 mL of deionized water. Three Erlenmeyer flasks for each combination of impregnated wood specimens and HA concentration were prepared. After 10 days immersion of wood specimens in each solution, the specimens were removed and the leaching of Cu into water was evaluated with inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Additionally, the Cu content in the wood specimens was measured before and after water exposure. For this purpose, 1 g of sawdust from each wood sample was extracted and mixed with 3 mL of 2% HNO₃ and 1 mL of 35% H₂O₂. After 10 min digestion in the microwave at 500 Watt, the Cu content in solution was measured using ICP-OES which subsequently enabled calculation of Cu leaching from wood in %.

2.4. Long Term Exposition Test of Wood Pole Segments

Scots pine sapwood specimens (15T × 25R × 5L mm³) were incubated with different brown rot fungi (Table 1) in Kolle culture flasks containing 75 mL of 4% MEA for 16 weeks according to EN 113 [34]. A mixture of the incubated wood samples was used as inoculum for autoclaved plastic boxes (40L × 60W × 50H cm³) containing 2000 g of vermiculite, 50 g of sawdust and 1.5 L of water. After 12 weeks, wood pole segments (25 cm length and around 17 cm of diameter) that were impregnated with wood preservative formulations as described above (CC, CCB, Cu-HDO, ACQ) were dried in the oven at 45 °C for 24 h and the initial weight was recorded. Then, the wood segments were sterilised with ethylene oxide and placed into separate plastic containers with a mixture of the five brown rot
fungi. Three biological replicates for each experimental condition were studied. The inoculated boxes were maintained at 22 (±1) °C and 70% RH. After 24 months, the wood segments were removed, cleaned from fungal biomass and the weight loss recorded after 24 h at 45 °C.

2.5. Influence of Wood Decay on the Stiffness of Wood

For evaluation of the impact of brown rot fungi on stiffness (Young’s modulus of elasticity) of Scots pine wood, seventy-four specimens with dimensions of 15 × 1.2 × 0.25 cm³ (47 longitudinal strips) and 12 × 1.2 × 0.25 cm³ (27 transversal strips) were excised and prepared for free–free vibration tests of beam elements at the first natural frequency. Wood specimens were impregnated by vacuum-pressure according to EN 807 [33]. Based on former studies with utility poles [16], three different concentrations of CC wood preservative were used (0.0, 3.8 and 37.2 kg m⁻³). After impregnation, all specimens were sterilized with ethylene oxide, and then incubated with A. serialis, S. himantioides and R. placenta (Table 1). Autoclavable plastic containers (40 × 60 × 15 cm³) with vermiculite/sawdust were used as described above for the incubation of wood specimens. Three wood specimens impregnated with each preservative concentration were incubated with all fungi for a further 12 weeks and monitored as previously described. After incubation, the specimens were removed from the containers, cleaned with a brush and dried at 50 °C for 1 week.

The first resonance frequency (fR) and internal damping (tanδ) of each wood specimen was determined before and after fungal treatment by a custom developed free–free resonance flexural vibration test [35–37]. Each specimen was supported by cotton threads located at the position of the vibration nodes of the fundamental frequency. They were subjected to forced bending vibration by an electromagnet facing a metallic plate of glued at the end of the specimen. Vibration was emitted by means of an electric magnet device and displacements at the anti-node of the first vibration mode were measured with a laser triangulation displacement sensor. The specific modulus of elasticity (E/ρ) was calculated from the first natural frequency of the specimens, according to the Euler–Bernoulli equation as follows:

$$\frac{E}{\rho} = \frac{48\pi^2l^4}{m_4\nu^2/2/n}$$

(1)

2.6. Statistical Analysis

For comparison of the influence of the different study conditions, a Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test was performed (p < 0.05). The statistical package SPSS® (Version 22, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was applied for analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Sites and Soil pH from Which Decayed Utility Poles Were Collected

Soil properties are presented in Table S1. All collected soils showed a brownish–dark appearance with a high amount of organic matter and humic acid substances (Figure 1). Other criteria such as rupture resistance to hand pressing, presence of grass roots enmeshing aggregates, humidity or microbial presence were observed. The pH measurements of the soil samples collected around utility poles revealed an average pH of 6.7 ± 0.7. No apparent differences between the soil collected around damaged utility poles that were attacked by soft rot fungi (pH = 6.7 ± 0.7) or damaged by brown rot fungi (pH = 6.7 ± 0.7) were evident. Isolated fungi from the utility poles in the same sites were mainly associated with brown rot fungi [14].
Figure 1. Soil samples collected from: (a); Escholzmatt (Coordinates UTM: 32T 418899; 5196217). (b); Orbe (Coordinates UTM: 32T 311,454; 5,178,043) (c); Zumikon (Coordinates UTM: 32T 471,589; 5,241,894).

3.2. Influence of Iron on Weight Losses by the Isolated Brown Rot Fungi

Weight losses caused by brown rot fungi in FeSO4-impregnated wood is illustrated in Figure 2. Wood specimens impregnated with 0.025–0.1% FeSO4 were readily colonised by A. serialis, F. vaillantii and R. placenta and showed significant weight losses in comparison to controls. However, A. serialis and R. placenta showed only a minor reduction in weight losses in FeSO4 treated wood with 0.075% and 0.1%, respectively, when compared to lower concentrations (0.025% and 0.05% FeSO4). On the contrary, S. himantioides and G. sepiarium did not cause any significant differences in weight losses for all FeSO4 concentrations.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Weight losses by brown rot fungi in Scots pine wood blocks treated with different concentrations of FeSO4 after 12 weeks incubation. Uppercase letters indicate significant differences between weight losses in impregnated wood specimens and the controls. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences between the studied brown rot fungi for each metal concentration. Data are represented as mean ± SD of three biological replicates (p < 0.05).

3.3. Effect of Humic Acid Treatment on Leaching of Cu-Based Wood Preservatives

Copper values released into water as well as Cu values lost by impregnated wood specimens are presented in Table 2. Significant differences of Cu-leaching between all wood preservative treatments were found according to Tukey’s test. The amount of Cu-leaching from impregnated wood was significantly higher for Cr-free treated wood specimens (123.23–143.34 mg L⁻¹ Cu-HDO and 53.96–71.88 mg L⁻¹ ACQ) than for wood treated with Cr-based formulations (17.36–26.82 mg L⁻¹ CC and 29.62–33.61 mg L⁻¹ CCB). The influence of HA in solution was higher for Cr-free wood preservatives (% Cu-HDO and % ACQ). The results demonstrated that, in general, low amounts of HA in solution...
(0.005%) resulted in a higher release of Cu from treated wood. Only Cu-HDO treated samples released more Cu when HA was not present in water.

### Table 2. Effect of humic acid on wood preservative leaching from treated wood specimens.

| Sample   | Treatment (HA%) | Initial Cu in Wood (mg g⁻¹) | Final Cu in Wood (mg g⁻¹) | Cu Leached (%) | Cu released in Water (mg L⁻¹) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Control  | 0.000           | 0.00 ± 0.00                 | 0.00 (aA) ± 0.00          | -              | 0.00 (aA) ± 0.00              |
|          | 0.005           | 0.00 ± 0.00                 | 0.00 (aA) ± 0.00          | -              | 0.00 (aA) ± 0.00              |
|          | 0.020           | 0.00 ± 0.00                 | 0.00 (aA) ± 0.00          | -              | 0.00 (aA) ± 0.00              |
| CC       | 0.000           | 5.13 ± 0.16                 | 4.60 (abB) ± 0.11         | 10.27          | 17.36 (aB) ± 0.83             |
|          | 0.005           | 3.85 ± 0.27                 | 2.99 (cB) ± 0.21          | 22.27          | 19.62 (bB) ± 0.54             |
|          | 0.020           | 4.37 ± 0.31                 | 4.01 (aB) ± 0.30          | 8.23           | 26.82 (cB) ± 1.19             |
| CCB      | 0.000           | 6.25 ± 0.34                 | 5.73 (abC) ± 0.28         | 8.42           | 29.62 (aC) ± 1.16             |
|          | 0.005           | 6.21 ± 0.22                 | 5.42 (bcC) ± 0.07         | 12.67          | 33.61 (abCB) ± 1.21           |
|          | 0.020           | 5.61 ± 0.15                 | 5.47 (cAB) ± 0.19         | 2.44           | 29.85 (ab) ± 1.00             |
| Cu-HDO   | 0.000           | 6.75 ± 0.17                 | 5.39 (aD) ± 0.14          | 20.06          | 130.95 (aD) ± 1.53            |
|          | 0.005           | 6.65 ± 0.12                 | 5.57 (abBC) ± 0.15        | 16.20          | 143.34 (abD) ± 3.61           |
|          | 0.020           | 6.65 ± 0.26                 | 5.69 (bcC) ± 0.29         | 14.44          | 123.23 (aC) ± 1.82            |
| ACQ      | 0.000           | 5.39 ± 0.26                 | 4.76 (ab) ± 0.33          | 11.70          | 53.96 (aCD) ± 1.28            |
|          | 0.005           | 5.38 ± 0.23                 | 4.23 (bcB) ± 0.27         | 21.49          | 65.44 (abC) ± 0.94            |
|          | 0.020           | 5.91 ± 0.15                 | 4.74 (bCD) ± 0.47         | 19.90          | 71.88 (BD) ± 0.89             |

Lowercase letters denote significant differences between the Cu-leaching at the studied HA concentrations. Uppercase letters denote significant differences between Cu-leaching by the wood preservative formulations for the same humic acid (HA) concentration. Data represented as mean ± SD of three replicates (p < 0.05).

### 3.4. Long Term Exposition Test of Wood Pole Segments

According to visual inspection, the untreated wood segments and the CC treated segments showed similar decay symptoms with large decay pockets, deep cracks and checks (Figure 3). This type of decay was induced by fungal mycelium that can easily access all treated and untreated parts of the wood. However, wood segments treated with CCB and ACQ did not show any external damage even if the fungi had colonised central parts of the pole into which the preservative does not readily penetrate. Pole segments treated with Cu-HDO showed early symptoms of decay such as small decay pockets and signs of incipient decay in central parts. The quantification of fungal decay by means of weight losses were in good agreement with visual observations. Weight losses of Cu-impregnated wood pole segments caused by brown rot fungi is illustrated in Table 3. Significant weight losses were recorded from control samples (29.9% ± 7.9) and CC-treated wood (20.4% ± 3.5) when compared with the other treatments. Wood treated with CCB and ACQ showed the highest durability against the mixture of brown rot fungi with low weight loss (0.0% ± 4.7 for CCB and 5.3% ± 1.1 for ACQ treated wood) after 24 months of incubation.

### Table 3. Weight losses of treated wood pole segments by brown rot fungi after 24 months.

| Wood Pole Treatment | Weight Loss (%) | Moisture Content (%) |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Controls            | 29.9 (a) ± 7.9  | 101.3 (a) ± 4.6      |
| CC                  | 20.4 (ab) ± 3.5 | 113.7 (ab) ± 4.1     |
| ACQ                 | 5.3 (c) ± 1.1   | 113.4 (ab) ± 2.3     |
| CCB                 | 0.0 (c) ± 4.7   | 133.2 (ac) ± 7.3     |
| Cu-HDO              | 10.8 (cb) ± 1.8 | 122.9 (ab) ± 10.9    |

Lowercase letters denote significant differences between weight losses in wood treated specimens after 24 months incubation. Data represented as mean ± SD of three replicates (p < 0.05).
3.5. Influence of Wood Decay on the Stiffness of Wood

Changes in wood stiffness were directly correlated to weight losses caused by brown rot fungi (Figure 4). The variation in stiffness after fungal incubation was higher for non-impregnated than for impregnated wood specimens and significant differences between fungal species were found. For instance, non-impregnated wood specimens incubated with *A. serialis* were completely destroyed showing a reduction in stiffness of 86.02%, whereas in wood specimens impregnated with 0.6% CC merely a low reduction in stiffness of 7.17% was recorded. Reduction in stiffness after fungal treatment was higher in the longitudinal samples than in radial wood specimens; however, significant differences were not recorded. No significant differences in weight losses caused by brown rot fungi were found between longitudinal and radial samples. The highest weight loss was recorded for *A. serialis* (57.41%) on non-impregnated wood specimens (radial samples) while *S. himantioides* caused the highest weight losses (6.64%) on Cu-impregnated wood specimens (longitudinal samples).

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** Effect of brown rot fungi on wood pole segments before (1a–5a) and after 24 months incubation (1b–5b). 1; Control. 2; copper-chromium (CC) treated poles. 3; (Bis-(N-cyclohexyl diazeniumdioxy)-copper) (Cu-HDO) treated poles. 4; copper-chromium-boron (CCB) treated poles. 5; Alkaline Copper Quaternary (ACQ) treated poles.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Effect of brown rot fungi on weight losses and stiffness of CC-treated wood after 12 weeks incubation. (a) Longitudinal samples. (b) Radial samples. Lowercase letters denote significant differences between the wood preservative concentrations for the same brown rot fungi. Uppercase letters denote significant differences between brown rot fungi for the same wood preservative concentrations. Data represented as mean ± SD of three replicates (p < 0.05).
4. Discussion

Our study investigated the pH of soils around utility poles and factors that influence fungal activity and may possibly have an impact on premature failure of utility poles in the field. The impact of soil pH on the microbial community composition and its activity is one of the most important influential factors in natural environments. Previous studies by Rousk et al. [38] showed that, regardless of the soil, the fungal growth was directly associated with a pH of up to 7, but not above. This was confirmed in the present study that showed an average at approximately pH = 6.7 for all soil samples that were collected around utility poles that had previously failed in the field. The competitive fungal: bacterial growth ratio has also been demonstrated to be pH dependent in soils. Assuming that bacteria inhibit fungal growth at a pH above 7 and fungi take advantage of a pH below 7 [38], soils around the utility poles seem to have the perfect pH environment for brown rot fungi. In previous studies, Ribera et al. [13] showed that the failure of Cu-treated utility poles was mainly associated with brown rot fungi (Antrodia spp.). In the latter study, a direct correlation between fungal species and geographic regions was not evident, but there was strong evidence that the type of wood preservative formulation had the highest selective pressure on wood decomposition by brown rot fungi. The results of the present study suggest that the soil pH may increase the microbial activity i.e., the biochemical cycling in soils in close proximity to Cu-impregnated utility poles. Different parameters have been postulated to assess the influence on the advantages of different communities [39] such as initial inoculum and rapidly growing species. To date, differences in pH tolerance on Cu-impregnated wood have not been addressed. Thus, our study suggests that brown rot fungi in the soil around utility poles may take advantage of the specific environmental conditions.

Brown rot fungi have evolved to specifically breakdown cellulose and other polysaccharides from wood. The mechanisms of wood cellulose depolymerisation by brown rot fungi have been hypothesised to occur via the production of extracellular toxic oxygen radicals such as the highly reactive hydroxyl radical via the Fenton reaction [19,40]. The role of iron in such reactions as well as other metabolic processes have been strongly documented. However, the bioavailability of iron is limited in the soil due to formation of insoluble metal oxides and hydroxides [41]. In our study, we showed that in the presence of iron dominant brown rot fungi such as A. serialis can cause considerable decay in impregnated wood specimens. In previous studies by Ribera et al. [13], the influence of available Cu was evaluated for a range of brown rot fungi. It was evident that the same concentrations of Cu caused toxicity to all the studied fungi, whereas in this study iron did not have any negative influence on the activity of the fungi. Thus, as iron is essential for life and the iron levels in wood are usually significantly low [42,43], the immobilisation/precipitation of essential ions from soils around the utility poles may also reduce the activity of brown rot fungi in the soil.

Leaching of wood preservatives has been previously described as pH dependent but the absence of Cr as a fixative for Cu in wood strongly influences leaching [17]. Furthermore, wood properties such as pH, lignin type and content and extractive content can also influence the fixation of wood preservatives [44]. Copper and Cr compounds form more stable complexes with guaiacyl units of lignin which are the main monomer of softwood rather than the syringyl units that are the dominant monomer in secondary walls of hardwood fibres. This explains the fact that Cu in impregnated conifer wood migrates faster when substances with higher binding capacities are present such as HA or charcoal [17]. Humic substances represent the largest part of soil organic matter (~60%) and are considered to be responsible for many complex chemical reactions in soils [45]. Humic substances have the ability to complex metal ions, oxides, hydroxides, pesticides and toxic pollutants. The formation of these complexes, can dissolve, immobilize and transport metals and organic compounds [46]. Thus, we assume that the organic matter content and other soil compounds such as HA may influence Cu migration as well as other wood preservative compounds in Cu-impregnated wood. For instance, in this study, low concentrations of HA (0.005%) produced higher amounts of Cu released in the water while higher concentrations (0.020%) allowed lower Cu-release. This could be related to the saturation of
reactive sites in the HA by the released Cu. Therefore, the possibility to modify and saturate the reactive sites available in soil substances can help to avoid rapid migration of Cu into organic substrates.

In general, there are many different factors affecting wood performance and the durability of utility poles, such as wood species (soft- or hardwood), heartwood/sapwood ratio, type and quality of preservative treatment and the environmental conditions. Vacuum-pressure impregnation aims to protect the sapwood in utility poles as it highly susceptible to wood decay fungi. Our study shows the importance of the proper selection of wood preservatives.

Brown rot fungi rapidly degrade glucosyl residues of the wood cellulose molecule [40]. The depolymerisation of cellulose causes a significant reduction in wood strength within relatively short periods (<10% weight loss) [47]. In the United States a fully loaded wood pole can lose 50% of its initial strength before replacement is required [48]. More recently, Yang et al. [49] studied the influence of brown rot and white rot fungi on the mechanical properties of pine wood samples after different incubation periods. The results showed that after 12 weeks, the changes in stiffness by brown rot fungi was almost five times greater than for white rot fungi. Moreover, they concluded that even before significant weight losses were recorded in wood by brown rot fungi, stiffness was already significantly reduced. This supports the theory that cellulose plays a major structural role on the wood mechanical properties [50] and brown rot fungi are of major concern for wood in service [13]. However, differences in the impact of brown rot fungi, as illustrated here, should be considered as many other factors can influence the metabolism of fungi.

5. Conclusions

Many different soil characteristics influence not only the activity of brown rot fungi, but also the performance of Cu-based wood preservative formulations. Soil microbial composition as well as environmental conditions and the type of wood preservative formulations applied are responsible for premature failures of utility poles in service. Wood preservative formulations containing a co-biocide compound will improve the retention and performance of the chemical treatments. In this study, we demonstrated the influence of different parameters affecting the service life of utility poles in ground line contact such as the fact that concentrations up to 0.1% of Fe in the soil can increase wood decay significantly. The analysis of soil composition, type of wood decay fungi present in the soil and the choice of wood preservative formulation may help to improve the service life of impregnated wood in the field. Modification of the soil microenvironment surrounding the utility poles in ground contact may be a valid approach for controlling the activity of soil microorganisms and reduce wood decay susceptibility.
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