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Abstract

Background: Immune-related pneumonitis is a clinically relevant and potentially life-threatening adverse event. We performed a systematic review and network meta-analysis to compare the risk of immune-related pneumonitis among different PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens.

Methods: Randomized controlled trials with PD1/PD-L1 inhibitors were identified through comprehensive searches of multiple databases. Both published and unpublished data were extracted. Bayesian NMA was performed using random-effects models. All-grade (Grade 1-5) and high-grade (Grade 3-5) immune-related pneumonitis were estimated using odds ratios (ORs).

Results: A total of 25 studies involving 16,005 patients were included. Compared with chemotherapy, the ORs of immune-related all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis were significant for nivolumab (all-grade: OR = 6.29, 95% CrI: 2.67-16.75; high-grade: OR = 5.95, 95% CrI: 2.35-17.29), pembrolizumab (all-grade: OR = 5.78, 95% CrI: 2.79-13.24; high-grade: OR = 5.33, 95% CrI: 2.49-12.97), and nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy (all-grade: OR = 14.82, 95% CrI: 5.48-47.97; high-grade: OR = 15.26, 95% CrI: 5.05-55.52). Compared with nivolumab, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy was associated with an increased risk of all-grade pneumonitis (OR = 2.34, 95% CrI: 1.07-5.77). Nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy had the highest risk of both all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis among PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates that compared with chemotherapy, PD-1 inhibitor may result in a higher risk of immune-related pneumonitis. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy had the highest pneumonitis risk. These findings could be taken into account by the physicians in decision making.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) monoclonal antibodies have shown significant clinical activity and marked efficacy in the treatment of advanced cancers.1-5 Many PD-1 and PD-L1 monoclonal antibodies have already been approved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA), consider for example, ave-lumab, atezolizumab, durvalumab, nivolumab, and pem-brolizumab.3-7 These regulatory approvals have resulted in a widespread prescribing of PD1/PD-L1 inhibitors for patients with advanced cancer.8 However, PD1/PD-L1 inhibitors could disrupt normal immune tolerance mechanisms and be associated with immune-related adverse events.9 Many organ systems and normal tissue would be affected.9,10 Immune-related pneumonitis is one of clinical relevant and potentially life-threatening adverse events.10,11

Although previous data from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have already shown that PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens are likely to increase the risk of immune-related pneumonitis,1-3,7,12 results from these RCTs are not consistent. Traditional systematic reviews and meta-analyses were conducted to estimate the safety profile of PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor.13-16 However, due to lacking head-to-head direct evidence, comparative pneumonitis risk among different PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens have never been systematically studied.

Structured evidence on pneumonitis risk of PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens would be necessary for physicians in making clinical decisions. In this study, we carried out a systematic review and network meta-analysis (NMA) to compare the immune-related pneumonitis risk among different types of PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens simultaneously for cancer patients.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design

We conducted a systematic review with both pairwise meta-analysis and Bayesian NMA. The study was carried out according to the Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions.17 We reported the study according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.18,19 The study was registered in PROSPERO international prospective register of systematic reviews (CRD42018099163).

2.2 | Search strategy and selection criteria

We systematically searched PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials and ClinicalTrials.gov to identify potentially eligible studies. The terms used for the search strategy included “neoplasm”, “cancer”, “atezolizumab”, “avelumab”, “durvalumab”, “nivolumab”, “pembrolizumab”. There was no restriction on language or year of publication. We manually checked reference lists of related review articles to identify additional studies. The final date for the database running searches was June 19th, 2018.

Eligible studies had to be RCTs and should include either anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody (ie atezolizumab, avelumab, durvalumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab), alone or in combination with other types of treatment, in the intervention or control group. We evaluated the rates of immune-related pneumonitis reported. We excluded studies only in abstract form and studies of quality of life or cost effectiveness analyses.

2.3 | Study selection and data extraction

Two independent investigators (HY and FH) selected the potentially eligible studies and extracted the data from all the eligible studies. The titles, abstracts, and full-text records were evaluated sequentially. The following information was extracted: title, trial name, year, funding sources, line of treatment, blinding, age, sex, tumor type, length of follow up, types and dosage of drugs, number of patients in the treatment and control arms, number of patients with pneumonitis of all-grade (grade 1-5) and high-grade (grade 3-5) in the treatment and control arms. Both published and unpublished data were extracted. The unpublished data were extracted from ClinicalTrials.gov.

2.4 | Quality assessment

Two authors (HY and FH) independently assessed the risk of bias of included studies based on the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool.17 Disagreement was resolved by discussion with a third author (LN). Six domains were evaluated: sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other sources of bias.

We used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation system (GRADE) approach to rate the quality of evidence.20 There were 4 levels of quality of evidence: high, moderate, low, and very low. The quality of evidence for each outcome was based on the fundamental study design and additional methodological factors.20

2.5 | Outcome measures

The primary outcome of interest was all-grade (grade 1-5) pneumonitis. Secondary outcome was high-grade (grade 3-5) pneumonitis based on the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0.
2.6 Statistical analysis

DerSimonian-Laird random effects model was used to perform traditional pairwise meta-analyses. Summary effect size was presented as odds ratio (OR) for binary outcomes along with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A 2-sided P value of less than 0.05 or 95% CIs excluding one was regarded as statistically significant. Heterogeneity
among studies was assessed using Cochrane Q statistic and quantified with $I^2$ statistic. Values over 50% indicated substantial heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{17} Publication bias was examined using funnel plots.\textsuperscript{21}

Bayesian NMA allowing for indirect comparisons was performed to evaluate the risk of pneumonitis using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation technique. We estimated the posterior distribution of all parameters using vague priors. We updated the MCMC model with 100000 simulated draws after a burn-ins of 10000 iterations and used a thinning interval of 10 for each chain. We tested the adequacy of burn-in and convergence using the Brooks-Gelman-Rubin statistic.\textsuperscript{22} Relative effects of treatments were reported as OR for binary outcomes along with corresponding 95% credible intervals (CrI$s). All analyses were performed under the random-effects model since they generally showed more conservative estimated effects and better goodness of fit. We calculated the posterior mean of the residual deviance to determine goodness of fit of the models. Ideally, each data point should contribute about one to the posterior mean of the residual deviance. Therefore, it can be compared with the number of data points for model fit checking. We used the loop-specific approach to evaluate the presence of inconsistency.\textsuperscript{23} We calculated the values of 2 odds ratios (RoR) from direct and indirect evidence in the loop with 95% CI to assess the presence of inconsistency in each loop. Inconsistency was defined as disagreement between direct and indirect evidence with a 95% CI excluding zero.

Meta-regression analyses were performed by adding pre-specified covariates (ie median age, percentage of male, line of treatment, study phase, whether double-blind was used) to the network meta-analysis models. Sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the robustness of results based on the events reported in published articles only. The data analyses were conducted using STATA version 14.0 and WinBUGs version 1.4.3.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Eligible studies and patient characteristics

Initial search identified 5566 records. 4023 potentially eligible articles were retrieved for detailed assessment. Thirty articles reporting 25 studies were included for meta-analysis (Figure 1, Table S1). The 25 studies covered 12 types of treatment and involved a total of 16 005 cancer patients (Figure 2). The baseline characteristics of the included studies are listed in Tables 1 and 2 and Table S2. The risk of bias summary for included studies is listed in Table S3.

3.2 | All-grade (grade 1-5) pneumonitis

The ORs for pairwise comparisons of all-grade pneumonitis are shown in Table S4. Compared with chemotherapy, nivolumab, pembrolizumab, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy were associated with a statistically significant higher risk of all-grade pneumonitis (nivolumab vs chemotherapy: OR = 5.49, 95% CI: 2.15-13.98; pembrolizumab vs chemotherapy: OR = 5.40, 95% CI: 2.39-12.17; nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy vs chemotherapy: OR = 7.51, 95% CI: 2.23-25.22), with moderate quality of evidence respectively.

Results of NMA for all-grade pneumonitis risk were displayed in Figure 3A and Figure S1. Compared with chemotherapy, nivolumab and pembrolizumab were associated with an increased risk of all-grade pneumonitis (nivolumab vs chemotherapy: OR = 6.29, 95% CrI: 2.67-16.75; pembrolizumab vs chemotherapy: OR = 5.78, 95% CrI: 2.79-13.24), with moderate quality of evidence respectively. Compared with chemotherapy, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy was associated with an increased risk of all-grade pneumonitis (OR = 14.82, 95% CrI: 5.48-47.97), with low quality of evidence. Compared with nivolumab, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy was also associated with an increased risk of all-grade pneumonitis (OR = 2.34, 95% CrI: 1.07-5.77), with high quality of evidence. Compared with nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy, pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy was associated with a decreased risk of all-grade pneumonitis (OR = 0.18, 95% CrI: 0.04-0.89), with moderate quality of evidence.

3.3 | High-grade (grade 3-5) pneumonitis

The ORs for pairwise comparisons of high-grade pneumonitis are shown in Table S5. Compared with chemotherapy, nivolumab, pembrolizumab, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy were associated with a statistically significant higher risk of high-grade pneumonitis (nivolumab vs chemotherapy: OR = 5.04, 95% CI: 1.80-14.15; pembrolizumab vs chemotherapy: OR = 4.88, 95% CI: 2.16-11.05; nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy vs chemotherapy: OR = 6.56, 95% CI: 1.47-29.19), with moderate quality of evidence respectively.

Results of NMA for high-grade pneumonitis risk were displayed in Figure 3B and Figure S2. Compared with chemotherapy, nivolumab and pembrolizumab were associated with an increased risk of high-grade pneumonitis (nivolumab vs chemotherapy: OR = 5.95, 95% CrI: 2.35-17.29; pembrolizumab vs chemotherapy: OR = 5.33, 95% CrI: 2.49-12.97), with moderate quality of evidence respectively. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy was associated with an increased risk of high-grade pneumonitis (OR = 15.26, 95% CrI: 5.05-55.52), with low quality of
| Trial name       | Year     | Funding sources                                      | Line of treatment | Study phase | Blinding | Median age | Age range | Sex (Male) |
|------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| CheckMate 017    | 2015     | Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | Second-line       | Phase 3     | Open-label| 63         | 39-85     | 208        |
| CheckMate 025    | 2015     | Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | Not clear         | Phase 3     | Open-label| 62         | 18-88     | 619        |
| CheckMate 026    | 2017     | Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | First-line        | Phase 3     | Open-label| 64         | 29-89     | 332        |
| CheckMate 037    | 2018;2015| Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | Second-line       | Phase 3     | Open-label| 60         | 23-85     | 261        |
| CheckMate 057    | 2015     | Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | Second-line       | Phase 3     | Open-label| 62         | 21-85     | 319        |
| CheckMate 066    | 2015     | Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | First-line        | Phase 3     | Double-blind| 65       | 18-87     | 246        |
| CheckMate 067    | 2015;2017| Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | First-line        | Phase 3     | Double-blind| 60       | 18-90     | 610        |
| CheckMate 069    | 2015     | Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | First-line        | Phase 2     | Double-blind| 65       | 27-87     | 95         |
| CheckMate 141    | 2016, 2018| Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | Second-line or more| Phase 3     | Open-label| 60         | 28-83     | 300        |
| CheckMate 214    | 2018     | Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | First-line        | Phase 3     | Open-label| 62         | 21-85     | 808        |
| CheckMate 227    | 2018     | Bristol-Myers Squibb                                | First-line        | Phase 3     | Open-label| 64         | 29-87     | NA         |
| CheckMate 238    | 2017     | Bristol-Myers Squibb and Ono Pharmaceutical         | Not clear         | Phase 3     | Double-blind| 55       | 18-86     | 527        |
| KEYNOTE-002      | 2015, 2017| Merck Sharp & Dohme, a subsidiary of Merck & Co.   | Second-line or more| Phase 2     | Open-label| 62         | 15-89     | 327        |
| KEYNOTE-006      | 2015;2017| Merck Sharp & Dohme, a subsidiary of Merck & Co.   | First-line or second-line| Phase 3     | Open-label| 62         | 18-89     | 497        |
| KEYNOTE-010      | 2016     | Merck & Co.                                         | Second-line or more| Phase 2/3   | Open-label| 63         | 56-69     | 634        |
| KEYNOTE-021      | 2016     | Merck & Co.                                         | First-line        | Phase 3     | Open-label| 63         | 54-70     | 48         |
| KEYNOTE-024      | 2016     | Merck & Co.                                         | First-line        | Phase 3     | Open-label| 65         | 33-90     | 187        |
| KEYNOTE-045      | 2017     | Merck & Co.                                         | Second-line       | Phase 3     | Open-label| 66         | 26-88     | 402        |
| KEYNOTE-054      | 2018     | Merck & Co.                                         | Second-line or more| Phase 3     | Double-blind| 54       | 19-88     | 628        |
| Tumor type                          | Length of follow up (month) | Treatment                                                                                     | Armp 1 | Arm 2         | Arm 3                        |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Non–small-cell lung cancer         | Minimum 11                  | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | NA     | Chemotherapy control |
| Renal-cell carcinoma               | Minimum 14                  | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | NA     | Everolimus 10 mg orally daily |
| Non–small-cell lung cancer         | NA                          | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | NA     | Chemotherapy control |
| Melanoma                           | Median 8.4                  | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | NA     | Chemotherapy control |
| Non–small-cell lung cancer         | Minimum 13.2                | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | NA     | Chemotherapy control |
| Melanoma                           | Median 8.9 and 6.8          | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | NA     | Chemotherapy control |
| Melanoma                           | Median 12                   | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | Nivolumab 1 mg/kg every 3 weeks plus ipilimumab 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks | Ipilimumab 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks |
| Melanoma                           | Minimum 11                  | Nivolumab 1 mg/kg plus ipilimumab 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks                                      | NA     | Ipilimumab 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks |
| Head and neck carcinoma            | Median 5.1                  | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | NA     | Standard therapy control |
| Renal-cell carcinoma               | Median 25.2                 | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg plus ipilimumab 1 mg/kg every 3 weeks, followed by nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks | NA     | Sunitinib 50 mg once daily for 4 weeks |
| Non–small-cell lung cancer         | Minimum 11.2                | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks plus ipilimumab 1 mg/kg every 6 weeks                       | Nivolumab 240 mg every 2 weeks | Chemotherapy control |
| Melanoma                           | Minimum 18                  | Nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                              | NA     | Ipilimumab 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks for 4 doses and then every 12 weeks |
| Melanoma                           | Median 10                   | Pembrolizumab 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks                                                          | Pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks | Chemotherapy control |
| Melanoma                           | Median 7.9                  | Pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg every 2 weeks                                                          | Pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks | Ipilimumab 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks |
| Non–small-cell lung cancer         | Median 13.1                 | Pembrolizumab 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks                                                          | Pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks | Chemotherapy control |
| Non–small-cell lung cancer         | Median 10.6                 | Pembrolizumab 200 mg every 3 weeks plus chemotherapy                                         | NA     | Chemotherapy control |
| Non–small-cell lung cancer         | Median 11.2                 | Pembrolizumab 200 mg every 3 weeks                                                             | NA | Chemotherapy control |
| Urothelial carcinoma               | Median 14.1                 | Pembrolizumab 200 mg every 3 weeks                                                              | NA     | Chemotherapy control |
| Melanoma                           | Median 15                   | Pembrolizumab 200 mg every 3 weeks                                                              | NA     | Placebo |

(Continues)
evidence. Compared with nivolumab, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy was associated with an increased risk of high-grade pneumonitis (OR = 2.54, 95% CrI: 1.02-7.31), with high quality of evidence. Compared with nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy, pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy was associated with a decreased risk of high-grade pneumonitis (OR = 0.11, 95% CrI: 0.02-0.66), with moderate quality of evidence.

3.4 | Ranks and meta-regression analyses
The ranks of all treatments were presented in Table S6. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy had the highest risk of both all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis among PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens. Meta-regression analyses did not reveal any prespecified factors that influenced the estimated effects significantly (Table S7).

3.5 | Model fit and inconsistency check
The model fit was evaluated using the posterior mean of the residual deviance, which was 42 and 38 for all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis, respectively. The model’s overall fit was relatively satisfactory. According to the forest plots, the statistically inconsistency between direct and indirect comparisons was low for all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis outcomes. All loops were consistent (Figure S3).

3.6 | Reporting bias and sensitivity analysis
Figure S4 present the adjusted funnel plot for the pneumonitis network. The funnel plots of all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis outcomes did not show asymmetry, suggesting no potential risk of reporting bias. Sensitivity analyses based on published data did not indicate any major influence on the outcomes (Figure S5-S7).

4 | DISCUSSION
4.1 | Summary of key findings
This study has 3 key findings: First, there was moderate quality of evidence that nivolumab, pembrolizumab and nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy increased the risk of all-grade and high-grade immune-related pneumonitis, compared with chemotherapy. Second, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy was associated with an increased risk of all-grade pneumonitis compared with nivolumab, with high quality of evidence. Third, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy had the highest risk of both all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis among different types of PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens.

4.2 | Comparison with other studies
Previous published systematic reviews and meta-analyses regarding the immune-related risk of pneumonitis have shown that PD1 inhibitors are associated with an increased risk of immune-related pneumonitis compared with chemotherapy.8,15,24 The Bayesian network meta-analysis in our study allows us to compare the therapeutic regimens indirectly when no head-to-head trial existed. There was high to moderate quality evidence showing that nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy was associated with an increased risk of pneumonitis, compared with chemotherapy and nivolumab respectively.

Two studies have previously investigated the differences in the toxicities of PD1 and PD-L1 inhibitors.24,25 Khunger et al
reported a higher incidence of immune-related pneumonitis with use of PD-1 inhibitors compared with PD-L1 inhibitors in patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer.\textsuperscript{24} The summary of incidence of all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis was also reported in Table S8 of our study. Pillai et al found a slight increase in pneumonitis risk with PD-1 inhibitors.\textsuperscript{25} It should be noted that no RCT to date has directly compared the risk of immune-related pneumonitis between PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors. We still lack direct evidence to make such conclusion. In our study, indirect comparison showed an increased but not statistically significant risk of immune-related pneumonitis with use of nivolumab or pembrolizumab compared with durvalumab or atezolizumab, respectively.

### 4.3 | Strength and limitations of study

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and NMA which provides the most current and structured evidence of immune-related pneumonitis for PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens. Studies from ClinicalTrials.gov were searched carefully. Both published and unpublished data were extracted. We believe that all relevant RCTs were included in analyses and the publication bias was reduced as much as possible. The main limitation of this study is that indirect comparisons from NMA are very likely to suffer bias through confounding by study-level characteristics. The results from indirect comparisons should be interpreted with caution as direct evidence is lacking. However, the trial populations and study characteristics were very comparable to the target population of this NMA. We further evaluated the potential confounding factors with meta-regression analyses, which showed no major influence to our primary results. Secondly, compared with chemotherapy, we did not find significant increase in pneumonitis risk for atezolizumab or durvalumab. It did not indicate that there was no risk of pneumonitis with the use of these 2 agents. Compared with chemotherapy, the direction of risks for durvalumab and atezolizumab were both positive (more than one), despite of lacking significance. One of the potential reasons of lacking significance may due to limited number of trials with positive results for these 2 agents. More RCTs are needed in the future to detect their potential risks further.

### 4.4 | Research and clinical implications

Traditionally, most assessments of safety of PD1/PD-L1 inhibitors come from comparisons with chemotherapy. Despite our current systematic review and NMA provides insight in these comparisons from indirect comparisons, evidence on head-to-head comparisons among different PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens is still lacking. New trials comparing between different PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens are needed. Future trials could also be conducted to assess the safety of the combination of PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor and chemotherapy to enrich the evidence.

Two clinical implications should be noted. First, nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy had the highest pneumonitis risk among different PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens. The results of both all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis outcomes were stable in sensitivity analysis. It should be noted that ipilimumab blocks cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) as well as augments T-cell immune response as an immunomodulator. CTLA-4 has both cell intrinsic activities and cell extrinsic activities. In contrast, immunoregulation by PD-1 is antigen specific and cell intrinsic.\textsuperscript{26} Consistent with their mechanism of action, immune-related adverse event rates are more likely to be higher for the combination use of...
| Trial name       | Types of treatment                                                                 | Number of patients for adverse events | Pneumonitis events (Grade 1-5) | Pneumonitis events (Grade 3-5) | Data source                  |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CheckMate 017    | Nivolumab                                                                          | Arm 1: 131                           | Arm 1: 2                       | Arm 1: 2                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 2: NA 129                        | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 2                             | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 025    | Nivolumab                                                                          | Arm 1: 406                           | Arm 1: 25                      | Arm 1: 8                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | Everolimus                                                                         | Arm 2: NA 397                        | Arm 2: NA 67                   | Arm 2: NA 12                  |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 7                            | Arm 3: 7                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 026    | Nivolumab                                                                          | Arm 1: 267                           | Arm 1: 7                       | Arm 1: 7                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 2: NA 263                        | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 2                             | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 037    | Nivolumab                                                                          | Arm 1: 268                           | Arm 1: 4                       | Arm 1: 4                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 2: NA 102                        | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 1                             | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 057    | Nivolumab                                                                          | Arm 1: 287                           | Arm 1: 4                       | Arm 1: 4                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 2: NA 268                        | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 2                             | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 066    | Nivolumab                                                                          | Arm 1: 206                           | Arm 1: 2                       | Arm 1: 2                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 2: NA 205                        | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 2                             | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 067    | Nivolumab                                                                         | Arm 1: 313                           | Arm 1: 2                       | Arm 1: 2                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | Nivolumab plus ipilimumab                                                          | Arm 2: 313                          | Arm 2: 6                       | Arm 2: 6                      |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 311                          | Arm 3: 2                       | Arm 3: 2                      |                             |
| CheckMate 069    | Nivolumab plus ipilimumab                                                          | Arm 1: 94                           | Arm 1: 5                       | Arm 1: 5                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 46                        | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 5                            | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 141    | Nivolumab                                                                          | Arm 1: 236                           | Arm 1: 2                       | Arm 1: 2                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | Standard therapy                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 111                       | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 2                             | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 214    | Nivolumab                                                                         | Arm 1: 547                           | Arm 1: 1                       | Arm 1: 1                      | Published article           |
|                  | Nivolumab plus ipilimumab                                                          | Arm 2: NA 535                       | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 1                             | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| CheckMate 227    | Nivolumab                                                                         | Arm 1: 576                           | Arm 1: 22                      | Arm 1: 13                     | Published article           |
|                  | Nivolumab plus ipilimumab                                                         | Arm 2: 391                          | Arm 2: 9                       | Arm 2: 6                      |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 570                          | Arm 3: 3                       | Arm 3: 2                      |                             |
| CheckMate 238    | Nivolumab                                                                          | Arm 1: 452                           | Arm 1: 6                       | Arm 1: 0                      | Published article           |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 453                       | Arm 2: NA 11                   | Arm 2: NA 4                   |                             |
| KEYNOTE-002      | Pembrolizumab                                                                     | Arm 1: 178                           | Arm 1: 1                       | Arm 1: 1                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | Pembrolizumab                                                                      | Arm 2: 179                          | Arm 2: 3                       | Arm 2: 3                      |                             |
|                  | Chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 3: 171                          | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| KEYNOTE-006      | Pembrolizumab                                                                     | Arm 1: 278                           | Arm 1: 2                       | Arm 1: 2                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | Pembrolizumab                                                                      | Arm 2: 277                          | Arm 2: 4                       | Arm 2: 4                      |                             |
|                  | Ipilimumab                                                                        | Arm 3: 256                          | Arm 3: 4                       | Arm 3: 4                      |                             |
| KEYNOTE-010      | Pembrolizumab                                                                     | Arm 1: 339                           | Arm 1: 8                       | Arm 1: 8                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | Pembrolizumab                                                                      | Arm 2: 343                          | Arm 2: 9                       | Arm 2: 9                      |                             |
|                  | Chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 3: 309                          | Arm 3: 3                       | Arm 3: 2                      |                             |
| KEYNOTE-021      | Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy                                                    | Arm 1: 59                           | Arm 1: 4                       | Arm 1: 4                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 62                        | Arm 2: NA 1                    | Arm 2: NA 1                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 6                            | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| KEYNOTE-024      | Pembrolizumab                                                                     | Arm 1: 154                           | Arm 1: 7                       | Arm 1: 7                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 150                       | Arm 2: NA 1                    | Arm 2: NA 1                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 7                            | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| KEYNOTE-045      | Pembrolizumab                                                                     | Arm 1: 266                           | Arm 1: 6                       | Arm 1: 6                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 255                       | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 17                           | Arm 3: 3                       | Arm 3: 3                      |                             |
| KEYNOTE-054      | Pembrolizumab                                                                     | Arm 1: 509                           | Arm 1: 17                      | Arm 1: 17                     | Published article           |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 502                       | Arm 2: NA 3                    | Arm 2: NA 3                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 18                           | Arm 3: 3                       | Arm 3: 3                      |                             |
| KEYNOTE-061      | Pembrolizumab                                                                     | Arm 1: 294                           | Arm 1: 8                       | Arm 1: 8                      | Published article           |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 276                       | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 8                            | Arm 3: 0                       | Arm 3: 0                      |                             |
| KEYNOTE-189      | Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy                                                    | Arm 1: 405                           | Arm 1: 18                      | Arm 1: 11                     | Published article           |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 202                       | Arm 2: NA 5                    | Arm 2: NA 4                   |                             |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 3: 20                           | Arm 3: 4                       | Arm 3: 4                      |                             |
| OAK              | Atezolizumab                                                                      | Arm 1: 609                           | Arm 1: 5                       | Arm 1: 5                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | Chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 2: NA 578                       | Arm 2: NA 1                    | Arm 2: NA 1                   |                             |
| ONO-4538-12,     | Atezolizumab                                                                      | Arm 1: 330                           | Arm 1: 1                       | Arm 1: 1                      | Published article           |
| ATTRACTION-2     |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 161                       | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |
| PACIFIC study    | Durvalumab                                                                        | Arm 1: 475                           | Arm 1: 161                     | Arm 1: 58                     | Published article           |
|                  |                                                                                   | Arm 2: NA 234                       | Arm 2: NA 58                   | Arm 2: NA 16                  |                             |
| POPLAR Study     | Atezolizumab                                                                      | Arm 1: 142                           | Arm 1: 135                     | Arm 1: 0                      | ClinicalTrials.gov          |
|                  | Chemotherapy                                                                       | Arm 2: NA 135                       | Arm 2: NA 0                    | Arm 2: NA 0                   |                             |

NA, not available.
PD1 and CTLA4 inhibitors, compared with using PD1 inhibitors alone. Moreover, immune-related all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis were also significant for both nivolumab and pembrolizumab therapy. Physicians may need to consider the increased pneumonitis risk when choosing these therapies for cancer patients. Second, the combination of chemotherapy and PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor had a decreased risk of immune-related pneumonitis, compared with using nivolumab plus ipilimumab. These results may be taken into account by the physicians in decision making when choosing among the different combinations of therapies.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

This systematic review and network meta-analysis offers substantial evidence and demonstrates that PD-1 inhibitor is very likely to result in a higher risk of immune-related pneumonitis compared with chemotherapy. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab therapy had the highest pneumonitis risk. These findings may be taken into account by the physicians in decision making when choosing among different PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor-related therapeutic regimens for cancer patients.
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