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iLIR@viral: A web resource for LIR motif-containing proteins in viruses

Anne-Claire Jacomin†, Siva Samavedam†, Hannah Charles, and Ioannis P. Nezis
School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

ABSTRACT
Macroautophagy/autophagy has been shown to mediate the selective lysosomal degradation of pathogenic bacteria and viruses (xenophagy), and to contribute to the activation of innate and adaptive immune responses. Autophagy can serve as an antiviral defense mechanism but also as a proviral process during infection. Atg8-family proteins play a central role in the autophagy process due to their ability to interact with components of the autophagy machinery as well as selective autophagy receptors and adaptor proteins. Such interactions are usually mediated through LC3-interacting region (LIR) motifs. So far, only one viral protein has been experimentally shown to have a functional LIR motif, leaving open a vast field for investigation. Here, we have developed the iLIR@viral database (http://ilir.uk/virus/) as a freely accessible web resource listing all the putative canonical LIR motifs identified in viral proteins. Additionally, we used a curated text-mining analysis of the literature to identify novel putative LIR motif-containing proteins (LIRCPs) in viruses. We anticipate that iLIR@viral will assist with elucidating the full complement of LIRCPs in viruses.

Introduction
Autophagy is a multistep process that consists of the isolation of cytoplasmic components into double-membrane vesicles, called autophagosomes, that shuttle to lysosomes, which serve as end-point degradative organelles. It is a catabolic mechanism that enables the removal of damaged or excess cellular organelles and proteins, thereby contributing to the maintenance of cell homeostasis and survival.1

The autophagic machinery is highly conserved from unicellular eukaryotes to metazoans. Among the proteins that take part in this process, the Atg8-family proteins play a central role.2 Indeed, these proteins are involved in the elongation and maturation of the autophagosome and its fusion with lysosomes.3 Phosphatidylethanolamine-conjugated Atg8-family proteins reside on autophagosomal membranes where they can contribute to the recruitment of other core autophagy machinery proteins essential for the effective course of the autophagy process.4,5,6

Although originally considered to be a nonselective bulk degradation mechanism, a gathering body of evidence over the past decade suggests that autophagy is much more selective than initially appreciated. Selective targeting of cellular components to autophagosomes for degradation relies on the existence of selective autophagy receptors able to recognize and tether cargos toward nascent autophagosomes.7,8 Examples of selective autophagy include aggrephagy, mitophagy, lipophagy, and xenophagy.10-13 The interaction between selective autophagy receptors and Atg8-family proteins is essential for the proper steering of the cargo for degradation. These receptors typically contain an LC3-interacting region (LIR, also known as LRS, AIM or GIM; the latter correspond to LC3 recognition sequence, Atg8-interacting motif and GABARAP interaction motif respectively) critical for the binding to Atg8-family proteins.7,14-25 The LIR motif consists of a short amino acid sequence with a core motif originally described as W/F/YxxI/L/V (where ‘x’ represents any amino acids, and referred to as WxxI hereafter).7,18 This sequence has lately been relaxed and extended to 6 amino acids to integrate most of the experimentally verified LIRs. The new consensus sequence (called xLIR hereafter) is [ADEFGLPRSK][DEGMSTV][WFY][DEILQTV] [ADEFHKLMPSTV][ILV], where the residues in positions 3 and 6 correspond to the most crucial ones for the interaction with Atg8-family proteins.26-28

Besides its role in cellular homeostasis, autophagy is also involved in the innate immune response against pathogens.13,29,30 Recent years have seen an outburst of studies on autophagy and viral infections. Autophagy may exert a variety of antiviral functions, including the degradation of viral components (known as virophagy), the activation of innate immunity by the delivery of viral nucleic acids to the Toll-like receptors, and adaptive immunity through the major histocompatibility complex II (MCH-II/HLA class II), or the control of the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS).31-43 However, to be successful, viruses have evolved mechanisms to evade host defense. Several viruses have thus developed strategies to use the autophagy machinery or even thrive in...
the autophagosomes and promote their replication, spread, and survival.44-47 Other viruses susceptible to autophagy have evolved mechanisms to counteract autophagy activation by expressing proteins that interfere with the cellular machinery, essentially inhibiting the autophagosome-lysosome fusion or interfering with early stages of autophagy activation.48-56 A few proteins from viruses infecting mammals and plants that interfere with the host autophagy process have been shown to associate with Atg8-family proteins.52,57-62 Yet, only one LIR-dependent interaction has been reported.62

We have developed a database, iLIR@viral (http://ilir.uk/virus/), that organizes information on the presence of LIR motifs in viral proteins. Additionally, a curated text-mining analysis of the literature permitted us to predict functional LIR motifs in viral proteins that have already been shown to associate with Atg8-family members.

Results and discussion

Content of the iLIR@viral database

The iLIR@viral database is a web resource freely available to the academic community at http://ilir.uk/virus/. Various functionalities are accessible under specific menus. The ‘Classification’ menu gives access to the complete list of putative LIR motifs in viral proteins. Two virus taxonomic systems have been used: the nomenclature used by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) to name the species, genus and families of each of the viruses cited in the database, and the Baltimore classification system that groups viruses depending on their genome and kind of replication (dsDNA, dsRNA, ssDNA, ssRNA and reverse-transcribing viruses) (see Methods).63,64 For each specific family or group of viruses, the data are presented in a table containing (i) the clickable UniProtKB accession number of the protein, (ii) the information related to the LIR-motif (position, sequence, PSSM score, if the pattern is recognized as an xLIR or WxxL motif and the presence of the motif in an intrinsically disordered region (ANCHOR)), (iii) the name of the protein and (iv) the name of the species. For some genera, no putative LIR-containing protein (LIRCP) could be identified; for accuracy in the classification, these are listed but appear in red (Fig. 1).

The ‘Search’ menu allows the user to look in the database for a specific protein or virus order, family, subfamily, genus, species or common name. Uniprot identifiers can also be used in the search function.

The BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) menu offers the user to search the database using a protein sequence as query against the reviewed set of viral proteins from UniProt database. We have used Position-Specific Iterative (PSI) BLAST to search against the database.65 This search can be run against any of the viral classification systems described above. By default, the BLAST search runs against all the data available in the database with a default e-value 0.01; nevertheless, the user has the possibility to run the BLAST search against a specific category (Baltimore classification) or Order taxonomic rank (ICTV classification), and define a different e-value. The results page displays the subject sequences from the database that match the query sequence. The LIR patterns are highlighted with red asterisks. The menus ‘Bibliography’ and ‘Help’ provide users with additional information. Finally, the ‘Links’ menu gives access to other iLIR web resources, inducing the iLIR search tool and the iLIR Database for eukaryote model organisms.27,28

Analysis of the content of the database

We have used the iLIR web resource (https://ilir.warwick.ac.uk) to identify LIRCPs in viruses (see Methods for details).27,28 Out of 16,609 reviewed viral sequences available from UniProt across 2569 individual viral species we found that 15,589 of them contain either xLIR or WxxL motifs. 6376 proteins

Figure 1. Screenshot of the iLIR@Viral database ‘Classification’ menu. Example for the ICTV classification system. The genera for which no LIRCPs were found appear in red.
contain xLIR motifs whereas 15,460 contain WxxL motifs. 6247 proteins contain both xLIR and WxxL motifs whereas 129 proteins contain only xLIR motifs (without containing WxxL patterns) and 9213 proteins contain only WxxL motifs (without xLIR patterns) (Table S1). We found a correlation between the total number of putative LIR motifs identified in a family and number of sequences (Fig. 2A). On average, we found 8.3 LIR motifs per sequence. The fact that viral sequences often refer to polyproteins instead of individual proteins is possibly an explanation of the high proportion of patterns identified.

The iLIR web resource can make the distinction between xLIR and WxxL patterns. We noticed that a vast majority of the motifs identified in viral proteins correspond to the WxxL pattern (Fig. 2B and Table S1). The identification of putative LIR motifs has been done for all the reviewed sequences for viral proteins. Among the sequences sorted as putative LIR-containing proteins, 1517 sequences belong to 188 species of bacteriophages (Table S2). However, it is likely that these sequences correspond to false-positive hits as bacteria don’t have an autophagy process. Using a hypergeometric test (see supplementary information), we compared the enrichment fold of proteins containing LIR motifs (LIRCPs) in bacteriophages with viruses infecting eukaryotes. We observed that when all the possible combinations of LIR patterns are taken into account, there is an enrichment of putative LIR motifs in viruses compared with the host organism for all 4 model organisms tested. However, there is an enrichment of xLIR patterns in the host compared with the infecting viruses.

The LIR motifs can be divided into 3 subtypes depending on the residue at the first position: W-, F- and Y-types. It has been shown that the F-type LIR motif of mammalian ULK1 and ATG13 has a preference for GABARAP proteins, thus suggesting that the subtype of the LIR motifs could be related to a specificity toward Atg8-family proteins. Additionally F-type and Y-type LIR motifs are mostly contained in selective autophagy adaptor proteins. We thus analyzed the distribution of W-, F- and Y-type of WxxL and xLIR patterns at the viral order and family levels (Table S4 and Fig. 2C, D). We observed that 45% and 38% of the putative LIR motifs matching the WxxL pattern are of F-type and Y-type respectively. W-type motifs are the least represented, with about 17% of the patterns (Fig. 2C). Similar distribution could be observed for the putative xLIR motifs with a higher variability across families, probably due to the low representation of xLIR motifs compared with WxxL patterns (Fig. 2D).

Manual literature curation for the identification of novel LIRCPs in viruses

To assess the trustworthiness of our in silico screening for LIRCPs in viruses, we compared our data with the already published viral proteins listed on the web resource ViralZone as modulators of autophagy. ViralZone classifies 180 entries related to the activation of the host autophagy, and 163 entries linked to the inhibition of host autophagy. We found all these entries in our database.

Viruses inhibiting autophagy

Among viruses that inhibit autophagy, only 2 proteins have been shown to interact directly with mammalian Atg8-
family proteins: Viral infectivity factor (Vif) from HIV-1 binds to all Atg8-family proteins, and matrix protein 2 (M2) from influenza was shown to bind to LC3. Yet, influenza M2 protein is the only one that contains a LIR motif that has been experimentally validated. Other viral proteins listed in ViralZone as being related to inhibition of the autophagy process are the neurovirulence factor ICP34.5 and RNA-binding protein US11 from human herpesviruses, the protein Nef from HIV-1, and the protein TRS1 from human cytomegalovirus. Negative regulation of autophagy by ICP34.5 and TRS1 proteins depends on their ability to interact with BECN1/Beclin 1, while US11 function has been linked to the protein kinase EIF2AK2/PKR. Putative, or functional, LIR motifs could be identified for all these proteins using the iLIR web resource, except for the RNA-binding protein US11.

The protein M2 from influenza A virus is necessary and sufficient for the inhibition of the autophagic degradation of the virus by blocking the fusion between the autophagosomes and lysosomes. These results were further confirmed and extended by Beale and colleagues who show that the cytoplasmic tail of M2 interacts in a LIR-dependent manner with LC3 and promotes the relocalization of LC3 at the plasma membrane. We have identified a WxxL motif at positions 89 to 94 that has the highest PSSM score (8 to 9), and corresponds to the one experimentally verified (FVSI). It is an F-type LIR motif and the fact that M2 protein has been shown to block the autophagosome-lysosome fusion suggests that it may act as an adaptor protein. We were also able to identify one xLIR motif (11-EGWQTI-16) in the sequence of the accessory viral protein Nef of the virus HIV-1 group M subtype B (strain 89.6). Nef colocalizes with LC3 and BECN1, and contributes to the inhibition of autophagic maturation, thus protecting the virus from elimination by autophagy.

The proteins TRS1 from human cytomegalovirus and neurovirulence factor ICP34.5 from human herpesvirus (HSV) both interact with LC3 and BECN1, and contributes to the inhibition of autophagic maturation, thus protecting the virus from elimination by autophagy.

While ILIR could detect several WxxL motifs in TRS1 sequence, a single one in an intrinsically disordered region was identified for ICP34.5 whose sequence (64-RQWLHV-69) is quite well conserved among 4 strains of HSV-1 and one strain of HSV-2. However, to date, there is no evidence of association between ICP34.5 and LC3 proteins.

**Viruses activating autophagy**

We observed that 7% of the reviewed sequences that contains at least one putative LIR motif correspond to the genome polyprotein from various viruses. Because polyproteins are processed co- and post-translationally by both host and viral proteases, we ran a systematic PubMed search with the terms ‘name of the virus + autophagy’ followed by ‘name of the virus + LC3’ to restrain the result outcome as necessary, finally we looked for papers (excluding reviews and commentaries) that specifically mention proteins derived from the processing of the viral genome polyprotein.

Our literature searching strategy pinpointed several non-structural viral proteins; one of those was the protein NS1 from Dengue viruses. Studies have shown that NS1 protein from Dengue virus type 2 (DENV-2) colocalizes with LC3 and that DENV-2 particles and autophagosomes travel together during viral infection. In contrast to DENV-2, NS1 protein from DENV-3 displays a low level of colocalization with LC3. Sequence alignment of NS1 proteins from DENV-2 and DENV-3 showed that they are highly conserved. However, checking for the presence of LIR motifs revealed a discrepancy between them. We observed that DENV-2 NS1 has an xLIR motif (ASFIENV) that is not recognized in any DENV-3 NS1 sequences due to the substitution F to L, as well as an additional WxxL motif with a PSSM score 12 (RAWNSL) that is absent in DENV-3 (SL to VW) (Fig. S1). It is possible that the absence of either the xLIR or WxxL motifs (or both) in DENV-3 is responsible for its lower affinity to LC3.

Other nonstructural proteins from different viruses interact with LC3 proteins. For instance, the nonstructural protein NS5A from Hepatitis C virus that colocalizes and can be coimmunoprecipitated with LC3 proteins when ectopically expressed in various hepatoma cell lines. Also, the viral peptide 2BC and the protein 3A encoded by the genome polyprotein from Poliovirus type 1 interact with LC3-II. All these proteins contain WxxL motifs.

Finally, we were able to identify proteins from Zika virus that have been just recently related to autophagy. Independent studies have shown that Zika virus activates autophagy and that the formation of autophagosomes is crucial to the replication of the virus. It appears that the nonstructural proteins NS4A and NS4B are responsible for the induction of autophagy in infected cells by inhibiting the AKT-MTOR signaling, and both of them contain 3 WxxL motifs. Very little is known about the relation between Zika virus infection and autophagy modulation. We have found several proteins encoded by Zika genome polyprotein that contain LIR motifs, that could be good candidates for experimental validation.

**Conclusion**

Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved and highly regulated, intracellular catabolic mechanism that is essential for maintaining homeostasis and coping with nutrient starvation. It is increasingly appreciated that autophagy can be highly selective, and that xenophagy, the selective autophagy of pathogens, is an important aspect of the immune response, which protects against infection. A vast array of viruses are associated with autophagy, and we have found several viral proteins containing putative LIR motifs that are thought to interact with the autophagic machinery via Atg8-family proteins. A continued research effort to better understand how these viral proteins interact with the autophagic machinery may provide therapeutic strategies and ultimately lead to the discovery of novel pharmacological agents to fight viral infections.

**Methods**

**Identification of putative LIR motifs in viral proteins**

Protein sequences of all reviewed viral proteins were downloaded from Uniprot database available at: http://www.uniprot.org
form between gested previously.27,28 For a given protein, information related to the start and end of LIR pattern, actual LIR sequence, PSSM score (Position specific scoring matrix), similar LIRCPs and presence or absence xLIR and WxxL in intrinsically disordered region were obtained.

**Virus classification system**

The taxonomic lineage obtained from UniProt for all the reviewed viral proteins correspond to the Baltimore classification system. To do the conversion between the Baltimore and ICTV classification systems, we matched the organism name for each reviewed sequence from UniProt with the organism names obtained from the ICTV master species list 2015 v1, available at: https://talk.ictvonline.org/files/master-species-lists/m/msl/5945 [Accessed 20 September 2016]. The differences between the classification systems were identified through a battery of SQL queries. The details of these are attached in Tables S5, S6 and S7. Levenshtein distance (also known as edit distance) was used to compare the species name that belongs to a particular genus and family between both the classification systems. Levenshtein distance is defined as the minimal number of characters required to replace, insert or delete to transform one string into another. The Levenshtein distance is symmetric and it holds:

Here, ‘x’ and ‘y’ are 2 strings and \( d(x,y) \) is the distance between ‘x’ and ‘y’ put as minimal cost of operations to transform ‘x’ to ‘y’. The complexity of the algorithm is \( O(mn) \), where \( n \) and \( m \) are the lengths of 2 strings.\(^8^3\) We used Perl extension for approximate matching (Search.cpan.org, (2016). String-Approx-3.27. Retrieved from: http://search.cpan.org/CPAN/authors/id/J/JH/JHI/String-Approx-3.27.tar.gz) The closer the value of Levenshtein distance to zero, the closer are the species names.

Using this approach, we could reliably justify the ICTV classification of 14055 out 15589 proteins loaded into the database.

**Set up of Web-database application**

To share the information beyond our MySQL (v5.6.33) DBMS (Database Management System), we built a website using HTML, CSS, JavaScript and PHP (v5.6.27) technologies. It is hosted at http://ilir.uk/virus. Through the website, users can navigate for LIRCPs using both Baltimore and ICTV Virus classification systems.

**Abbreviations**

| AIM          | Atg8-interacting motif |
|--------------|------------------------|
| Atg8         | autophagy-related 8    |
| BLAST        | Basic Local Alignment Search Tool |
| DENV         | Dengue virus           |
| HIV          | human immunodeficiency virus |
| HSV          | herpes simplex virus   |
| ICP34.5      | infected cell protein 34.5 |
| ICTV         | International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses |
| LIR          | LC3-interacting region |
| LIRCP        | LIR-containing protein |
| LRS          | LC3 recognition sequence |
| M2           | matrix protein 2       |
| MAP1LC3/LC3  | microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 |
| Nef          | Negative Regulatory Factor |
| NS           | nonstructural protein  |
| PSSM         | position-specific scoring matrix |
| US11         | unique short US11 glycoprotein |
| xLIR         | extended LIR motif     |

**Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest**

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

**Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank Professor Andrew Easton (University of Warwick) and Professor Keith Leppard (University of Warwick) for helpful discussions.

**Funding**

This work is supported by BBSRC grants BB/L006324/1 and BB/P007856/1 awarded to I.P.N.

**References**

1. Feng Y, He D, Yao Z, Klionsky DJ. The machinery of macroautophagy. Cell Res. 2014;24:24-41. doi:10.1038/cr.2013.168. PMID:24366339
2. Behrends C, Sowa ME, Gygi SP, Harper JW. Network organization of the human autophagy system. Nature. 2010;466:68-76. doi:10.1038/nature09204. PMID:20562859
3. Nguyen TN, Padman BS, Uscher J, Oorschot V, Ramm G, Lazarou M. Atg8 family LC3/GABARAP proteins are crucial for autophagosome-lysosome fusion but not autophagosomal formation during PINK1/Parkin mitophagy and starvation. J Cell Biol. 2016;215:857-74. doi:10.5483/BMBRep.2016.49.8.081. PMID:27864321
4. Lee YK, Lee JA. Role of the mammalian ATG8/LC3 family in autophagy: Differential and compensatory roles in the spatiotemporal regulation of autophagy. BioMed Rep. 2016;49:424-30. PMID:27418283
5. Weidberg H, Shvets E, Shpilka T, Shinder V, Elazar Z. LC3 and GATE-16/GABARAP subfamilies are both essential yet act differently in autophagosome biogenesis. EMBO J. 2010;29:1792-802. doi:10.1038/emboj.2010.74. PMID:20418806
6. McEwan DG, Popovic D, Gubas A, Terawaki S, Suzuki H, Stadel D, Coxon FP, Miranda de Stegmann D, Bhogaraju S, Maddi K, et al. PLEKHM1 regulates autophagosome-lysosome fusion through HOPS complex and LC3/GABARAP proteins. Mol Cell. 2015;57:39-54. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2014.11.006. PMID:25498145
7. Alemu EA, Lamark T, Torgersen KM, Birgisdottir AB, Larsen KB, Jain A, Olsvik H, Øvervatn A, Kirkin V, Johansen T, et al. ATG8 family proteins act as scaffolds for assembly of the ULK complex: Sequence requirements for LC3-interacting region (LIR) motifs. J Biol Chem. 2012;287:39275-90. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.378109. PMID:23041307
8. Birgisdottir AB, Lamark T, Johansen T, The LIR motif - crucial for selective autophagy. J Cell Sci. 2013;126:3237-47. doi:10.1242/jcs.140426. PMID:23908376
9. Wild P, McEwan DG, Dikic I. The LC3 interactome at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2014;127:3-9. PMID:24345374
10. Lamark T, Johansen T. Aggrephagy: Selective disposal of protein aggregates by macroautophagy. Int J Cell Biol. 2012;2012:736905. doi:10.1155/2012/736905. PMID:22518139
Weidberg H, Shvets E, Elazar Z. Lipophagy: Selective catabolism designed for lipids. Dev Cell. 2009;16:628-30. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.05.001. PMID:19460339

Boyle KB, Randow F. The role of ‘eat-me’ signals and autophagy cargo receptors in innate immunity. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2013;16:339-48. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2013.03.010. PMID:23623150

Pankiv S, Clausen TH, Lamark T, Brech A, Bruun JA, Outzen H, Øvervatn A, Bjørkøy G, Johansen T. p62/SQSTM1 binds directly to Atg8/LC3 to facilitate degradation of ubiquitinated protein aggregates by autophagy. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:24131-45. doi:10.1074/jbc.M702824200. PMID:17580304

Ichiyama Y, Kumanomidou T, Sou YS, Mizushima T, Ezaki J, Ueno T, Komniani E, Yamane T, Tanaka K, Komatsu M. Structural basis for sorting mechanism of p62 in selective autophagy. J Biol Chem. 2008;283:22847-57. doi:10.1074/jbc.M801218200. PMID:18524774

Nakatogawa H, Ohbayashi S, Sakoh-Nakatogawa M, Kakuta S, Suzuki SW, Kirisako H, Kondo-Kakuta C, Noda NN, Yamamoto H, Ohsumi Y, et al. The autophagy-related protein kinase Atg1 interacts with the ubiquitin-like protein Atg8 via the Atg8 family interacting motif to facilitate autophagosome formation. J Biol Chem. 2012;287:28503-7. doi:10.1074/jbc.C112.387514. PMID:22778255

Noda NN, Kuneta H, Nakatogawa H, Satoo K, Adachi W, Ishii J, Fujiyako Y, Ohsumi Y, Inagaki F. Structural basis of target recognition by Atg8/LC3 during selective autophagy. Genes Cells. 2008;13:1211-8. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2443.2008.01238.x. PMID:19021777

Noda NN, Ohsumi Y, Inagaki F. Atg8-family interacting motif crucial for selective autophagy. FEBS Lett. 2010;584:1379-85. doi:10.1111/j.1433-7497.2010.05310.x

Satoo K, Noda NN, Kuneta H, Fujiyako Y, Mizushima N, Ohsumi Y, Inagaki F. The structure of Atg4B-LC3 complex reveals the mechanism of LC3 processing and delipidation during autophagy. EMBO J. 2009;28:1341-50. doi:10.1038/emboj.2009.80. PMID:19322194

Kirkin V, Lamark T, Sou YS, Bjørkøy G, Nunn JL, Bruun JA, Shvets E, McEwan DG, Clausen TH, Wild P, et al. A role for NBR1 in autophagosome degradation of ubiquitinated substrates. Mol Cell. 2009;33:505-16. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2009.01.020. PMID:19250911

Novak I, Kirkin V, McEwan DG, Zhang J, Wild P, Rozenknop A, Rogov V, Lühr F, Popovic D, ochcchi S, et al. Nix is a selective autophagy receptor for mitochondrial clearance. EMBO Rep. 2010;11:45-51. doi:10.1038/embor.2009.256. PMID:20031018

Tallozcy Z, Virgin HWt, Levine B. PKR-dependent autophagic degradation of herpes simplex virus type 1. Autophagy. 2006;2:24-9. doi:10.1111/auto.2011.2176. PMID:16387408

Lee HK, Lund JM, Ramanathan B, Mizushima N, Iwasaki A. Autophagy-dependent viral recognition by plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Science. 2007;315:1398-401. doi:10.1126/science.1136880. PMID:17227685

Manuse MJ, Briggs CM, Parks GD. Replication-independent activation of human plasmacytoid dendritic cells by the paramyxovirus sv5 requires ir7 and autophagy pathways. Virology. 2010;405:383-9. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2010.06.023. PMID:20605567

Zhou D, Kang KH, Spector SA. Production of interferon alpha by human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in human plasmacytoid dendritic cells is dependent on induction of autophagy. J Infect Dis. 2012;205:1258-67. doi:10.1093/infdis/jjs187. PMID:2296599

Schmid D, Pykaert M, Munz C. Antigen-loading compartments for major histocompatibility complex class II molecules continuously receive input from autophagosomes. Immunology. 2007;26:79-92. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2006.06350.x. PMID:17182262

English L, Chemali M, Duroz J, Rondeau C, Laplante A, Gingras D, Alexander D, Leib D, Norbury C, Lippe R, et al. Autophagy enhances the presentation of endogenous viral antigens on mhc class i molecules during HSV-1 infection. Nat Immunol. 2009;10:480-7. doi:10.1038/ni.1720. PMID:19305394

Tal MC, Sassi M, Lee HK, Yordy B, Shadel GS, Iwasaki A. Absence of autophagy results in reactive oxygen species-dependent amplification of RLR signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:2770-5. doi:10.1073/pnas.0807694106. PMID:19196953

Manuse MJ, Easterbrooks D, Dikic I, et al. Structural and functional analysis of the GABARAP interaction motif (GIM). EMBO Rep. 2017;18(8):1382-1396. doi:10.15252/embr.201643587. PMID:28655748

Xie Q, Tzafad I, Levy M, Weithorn E, Peled-Zehavi H, Van Parys T, Van De Peer Y, Galiil G. hAIM: A reliable bioinformatics approach for finding sequence-wide identification of autophagy-associated Atg8-interacting motifs in various organisms. Autophagy. 2012;8:876-87. doi:10.1080/15548627.2011.1174668. PMID:27070137

Kalvari I, Tsompanis S, Mulakkal NC, Osgood R, Johansen T, Neis IP, Promponas VJ. iLIR: A web resource for prediction of Atg8-family interacting proteins. Autophagy. 2014;10:903-25. doi:10.4161/auto.28260. PMID:24589857

Jacomin AC, Samavedam S, Promponas V, Neis IP. iLIR database: A web resource for LIR motif-containing proteins in eukaryotes. Autophagy. 2016;1-9. doi:10.1186/s12285-014-0052-1. PMID:26799652

Richteta C, Faure M. Autophagy in antiviral innate immunity. Cell Microbiol. 2013;15:368-76. doi:10.1111/cmi.12043. PMID:23051682

Deretic V, Saitho T, Akira S. Autophagy in infection, inflammation and immunity. Nature Immunol. 2013;17:72-37. doi:10.1038/ni.3532. PMID:24064518

Orvedal A, MacPherson S, Sumpter R, Jr, Tallozcy Z, Zou Z, Levine B. Autophagy protects against Sindbis virus infection of the central nervous system. Cell Host Microbe. 2010;7:115-27. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2010.01.007. PMID:20159618

Orvedal A, Sumpter R, Jr, Xiao G, Ng A, Zou Z, Tang Y, Narimatsu M, Gilpin C, Sun Q, Roth M, et al. Image-based genome-wide siRNA screen identifies selective autophagy factors. Nature. 2011;480:113-7. doi:10.1038/nature10546. PMID:22020285
Fahmy AM, Labonte P. The autophagy elongation complex (ATG5-12/16L1) positively regulates HCV replication and is required for wild-type membranous web formation. Sci Rep. 2017;7:40351. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05016-w. PMID:28903070

Gopei PA, Leib DA. Herpes simplex virus gamma34.5 interferes with autophagosome maturation and antigen presentation in dendritic cells. MBio. 2012;3:e00267-12. doi:10.1128/MBio.00267-12. PMID:23073767

Ganne M, Dormann D, Albrecht R, Dengjel J, Torossi T, Ramer PC, Lee M, Strowig T, Arrey F, Connelly G, et al. Matrix protein 2 of influenza A virus blocks autophagosome fusion with lysosomes. Cell Host Microbe. 2009;6:367-80. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2009.09.005. PMID:19837736

Chen X, Wang K, Xing Y, Tu J, Yang X, Zhao Q, Li K, Chen Z. Coronavirus membrane-associated papain-like proteases induce autophagy through interacting with beclin1 to negatively regulate antiviral innate immunity. Protein Cell. 2014;5:912-7. doi:10.1007/s13238-014-0104-6. PMID:25113841

Zhou D, Spector SA. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nef inhibits autophagy through transcription factor e sequestration. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11:e1005181. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005181. PMID:26115100

Orvedahl A, Alexander D, Tallozy C, Sun Q, Wei Y, Zhang W, Burns D, Leib DA, Levine B. HIV-1 ICP34.5 confers neurovirulence by targeting the beclin 1 autophagy protein. Cell Host Microbe. 2007;1:23-35. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2006.12.001. PMID:18005679

Chaumorcel M, Lussignol M, Moula L, Cognod P, et al. The human cytomegalovirus protein TRSI inhibits autophagy via its interaction with Beclin 1. J Virol. 2012;86:2571-84. doi:10.1128/JVI.01576-11. PMID:22205736

Mouna L, Hernandez E, Bonte D, Brost R, Amazit L, Delgui LR, Chaumorcel M, Lussignol M, Mouna L, Cavignac Y, Fahie K, Cotte-Laffitte J, Geballe A, Brune W, Beau I, Codogno P, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nef inhibits autophagy through transcription factor e sequestration. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11:e1005181. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005181. PMID:26115100

Beale R, Wise H, Stuart A, Ravenhill BJ, Digard P, Randow F. A LC3-interacting motif in the influenza A virus M2 protein is required to subvert autophagy and maintain virion stability. Cell Host Microbe. 2014;15:239-47. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2014.01.006. PMID:24528869

International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Virus Taxonomy: Classification and nomenclature of viruses: Ninth report of the international committee on taxonomy of viruses. London;Waltham, (MA):Academic Press;2012

Baltimore D. Expression of animal virus genomes. Bacteriol Rev. 1971;35:235-41. doi:10.1128/000518. PMID:4329869

Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997;25:3389-402. PMID:9254694

Hulo C, de Castro E, Masson P, Bougueleret L, Bairoch A, Xenarios I, Le Mercier P. ViralZone: a knowledge resource to understand virus diversity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39:D576-82. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq901. PMID:20947564

Campbell GR, Rawat P, Bruckman RS, Spector SA. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nef inhibits autophagy through transcription factor e sequestration. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11:e1005181. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005181. PMID:26115100

Campbell GR, Rawat P, Bruckman RS, Spector SA. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nef inhibits autophagy through transcription factor e sequestration. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11:e1005181. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005181. PMID:26115100

Benjamin W, Geballe AP, Beau I, Esclatine A, et al. The human herpes simplex virus type 1 Us11 protein inhibits autophagy through its interaction with the protein kinase PKR. J Virol. 2013;87:859-71. doi:10.1128/JVI.01108-15. PMID:23115300

Demmel T, Klonowsky DJ. Analysis of the native conformation of the LIR/AIM motif in the Atg8/LC3/GABARAP-binding proteins. Autophagy. 2015;11:2153-9. doi:10.1080/15548627.2015.1125071. PMID:26654401

Mouna L, Hernandez E, Bonte D, Brost R, Amazit L, Delgui LR, Chauumorcel M, Lussignol M, Moula L, Cavignac Y, Fahie K, Cotte-Laffitte J, Geballe A, Brune W, Beau I, Codogno P, et al. The human cytomegalovirus protein TRSI inhibits autophagy via its interaction with Beclin 1. J Virol. 2012;86:2571-84. doi:10.1128/JVI.01576-11. PMID:22205736

Polpukha A, Khonsky DJ. Analysis of the native conformation of the LIR/AIM motif in the Atg8/LC3/GABARAP-binding proteins. Autophagy. 2015;11:2153-9. doi:10.1080/15548627.2015.1111503. PMID:26565669

Santana S, Bulillo MJ, Recuero M, Valdivieso F, Alzado J. Herpes simplex virus type 1 induces an incomplete autophagic response in human neuroblastoma cells. J Alzheimers Dis. 2012;30:815-31. doi:10.1017/JBO.2011.101081. PMID:22475795

Chu LW, Huang YL, Lee JH, Huang LY, Chen WJ, Lin YH, Chen JY, Xiang R, Lee CH, Ying YH, et al. Single-virus tracking approach to reveal the interaction of dengue virus with autophagy during the early stage of infection. J Biomed Opt. 2014;19:011018. PMID:24192777

Khakpoor A, Panyasirivani M, Wikan N, Smith DR. A role for autophagosomes in dengue virus 3 production in HepG2 cells. J Gen Virol. 2009;90:448-56. doi:10.1099/vir.0.003535-0. PMID:19141455

Kim N, Kim MJ, Sung PS, Bae YC, Shin EC, Yoo JY. Interferon-Inducible protein SCOTIN interferes with HCV replication through the autolysosomal degradation of NNSA. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10631. doi:10.1038/ncomms10631. PMID:26868272

Quan M, Liu S, Li G, Wang Q, Zhang J, Zhang M, Li M, Gao P, Feng S, Cheng J, et al. A functional role for NNSATP9 in the induction of HCV NNSA-mediated autophagy. J Viral Hepat. 2014;21:405-15. doi:10.1111/jhj.124827. PMID:24720055

Jackson WT, Giddings TH, Jr, Taylor MP, Mulinyawe S, Rabindovitch M, Kopito RR, Kirkegaard K. Subversion of cellular autophagomagous machinery by RNA viruses. PLoS Biol. 2005;3:e156. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030156. PMID:15884975

Hamel R, Dejarnac O, Wicht S, Ekcharyawat P, Neyret A, Luplertlop N, Perera-Lecoin M, Sarusombatpattana T, Talignani L, Thomas F, et al. Biology of Zika Virus Infection in Human
Liag Q, Luo Z, Zeng J, Chen W, Foo SS, Lee SA, Ge J, Wang S, Goldman SA, Zlokovic BV, et al. Zika virus ns4a and ns4b proteins dereglate akt-mtor signaling in human fetal neural stem cells to inhibit neurogenesis and induce autophagy. Cell Stem Cell. 2016;19:663-71. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2016.07.019. PMID:27524440

Souza BS, Sampaio GL, Pereira CS, Campos GS, Sardi SL, Freitas LA, Figueira CP, Paredes BD, Nonaka CK, Azevedo CM, et al. Zika virus infection induces mitosis abnormalities and apoptotic cell death of human neural progenitor cells. Sci Rep. 2016;6:39775. doi:10.1038/srep39775. PMID:2800898

Dosztanyi Z, Meszaros B, Simon I. ANCHOR: Web server for predicting protein binding regions in disordered proteins. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:2745-6. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp518. PMID:19717576

Navarro G. A guided tour to approximate string matching. ACM computing surveys (CSUR). 2001;33:3331-88. doi:10.1145/375360.375365