Blood Count-derived Immunoinflammatory Markers in Thyroid-associated Ophthalmopathy
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Purpose: To investigate the diagnostic and prognostic significance of the blood-count derived systemic immunoinflammatory parameters in patients with thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy (TAO).

Methods: In this retrospective case-control study, the blood-count parameters and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio, and systemic immune-inflammatory index (SII), thyroid peroxidase antibody, and anti-thyroglobulin antibody were evaluated in 46 patients with TAO and 46 matched controls. The associations of the immunoinflammatory parameters with clinical outcomes were analyzed among TAO patients.

Results: Significant differences were found in NLR, PLR, SII, and lymphocyte count between the controls and the TAO group (p < 0.05 for all). In logistic regression analysis, these inflammatory parameters did not have any prognostic effect on the clinical outcomes of the TAO (p > 0.05 for all). The patients, who needed systemic treatment due to any ocular involvement of TAO during the follow-up period, had significantly lower platelet count (p = 0.001) and PLR (p = 0.02) at the time of initial diagnosis when compared to the no treatment-needed group of the TAO patients. The initial platelet count was significantly associated with the subsequent steroid need due to TAO during the follow-up period (β = -0.02, p = 0.03).

Conclusions: NLR, PLR, and SII may serve as potential inflammatory markers in the identification of the TAO, although they have no evident prognostic significance in TAO. However, the relatively lower platelet count at initial diagnosis may be associated with the need for systemic therapy during the follow-up in patients with TAO.
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Blood cell interactions are crucial in the pathophysiology of immune response and systemic inflammation, and these blood cells including, neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, and platelets, play essential roles in any stage of an autoimmune disease [4,5]. Recently, some novel inflammatory markers, including neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), have been used to assess the severity of inflammation in some autoimmune diseases [5]. The diagnostic and prognostic values of NLR have been investigated in some ocular diseases such as diabetic retinopathy, age-related macular degeneration, central serous chorioretinopathy, retinal vein occlusion, retinopathy of prematurity, pseudoexfoliation syndrome, keratoconus, optic neuropathy, dry eye disease, and uveitis [6].

The changes in platelet counts reflect nonspecific inflammatory thrombopoiesis and the release of immune-reactive cells from the bone marrow to the bloodstream in autoimmune diseases [5]. It was shown that platelet counts might have diagnostic and prognostic value in the indication of autoimmune disease activity and response to anti-inflammatory treatment [7,8]. So, NLR and PLR are complementary immuno-inflammatory parameters in the evaluation of any autoimmune disease. Recently, Hu et al. [9] developed a novel predictable biomarker, systemic immune-inflammatory index (SII), which is a useful inflammation-related indicator to predict clinical outcomes for tumors and other inflammatory diseases, integrates neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet counts [9-12]. Although only a study has reported that the NLR values of the patients with TAO were significantly higher than those of the controls [13], there is no study investigating PLR, monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio (MLR) and SII in patients with TAO.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the blood-count derived immunoinflammatory markers, including NLR, PLR, MLR, and SII in patients with TAO.

Materials and Methods

The study, which had a retrospective and case-control study design, was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Ondokuz Mayis University (OMU-KAEK-2020/443) and was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all participants for the use of any clinical data in research. Forty-six patients with TAO and 46 matched healthy controls were included in the study.

Eighty-four patients with TAO still being followed up at the oculoplastic outpatient clinic were retrospectively screened. The patients with TAO who have been diagnosed in our hospital since 2000, and the initial diagnosis have been made or confirmed by the presence of thyroid-stimulating antibody, were included in the study. The complete blood count, thyroid function test, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and C-reactive protein (CRP) that had been routinely measured during initial diagnosis by the same laboratory, were used in the study. Most of the patients were referred to the ophthalmology department by the endocrinology clinic for ocular examination due to suspicion of TAO or the presence of thyroid-stimulating autoantibodies in serum. The characteristic signs and symptoms of TAO patients were upper eyelid retraction, exophthalmos, lid-lag, scleral show, eyelid swelling and erythema, conjunctival chemosis, caruncle edema, restricted eye movement, and diplopia. TAO was confirmed according to these clinical findings (at least one of them) with or without imaging evidence on computerized tomography or magnetic resonance imaging and the presence of thyroid autoantibodies. TAO activity was evaluated by the clinical activity score according to European Guidelines for European Graves-Basedow Ophthalmopathy. It has seven criteria: spontaneous orbital pain, gaze-evoked orbital pain, eyelid swelling, eyelid erythema, conjunctival redness, chemosis, and caruncle inflammation.

At the time of initial diagnosis, the patients who had used any medicine and had a pregnancy, any disease or disorder, history of radioactive iodine treatment in their medical records, and had incomplete or unreliable medical records were excluded. Age- and sex-matched healthy controls, who did not have any drug use, pregnancy, any disease or disorder, and any familial history of thyroid disease, were recruited through annual health screenings of volunteer health workers.

Blood samples were collected from both the patient and the control group after 12 hours of fasting. All hematologic analyses were performed using an automated haematology analyzer (XN-3000; Sysmex America, Mundelein, IL, USA). Thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH; normal range, 0.27–4.2 mIU/L), free thyroxine (normal range, 0.93–1.70 ng/dL), free triiodotropin (normal range, 2.0–4.4 ng/dL), anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody (normal range, 0–34 IU/L), anti-thyroglobulin (anti-Tg; normal range, 0–115 IU/L),
TSH receptor antibody (normal range, 0–1 IU/L), and CRP (normal range, 0–5 mg/L) were measured by using the electrochemiluminescence method using Roche Cobas 8000 analyzer (Roche Diagnostic). The NLR, PLR, and MLR were calculated as the ratio of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte, platelet-to-lymphocyte, and monocyte-to-lymphocyte. SII was calculated as \( \frac{\text{absolute count of platelets} \times \text{absolute count of neutrophils}}{\text{absolute count of lymphocytes}} \) [9-12].

The current data of the patients including, visual acuity, intraocular pressure, exophthalmometry, lid retraction, restrictive myopathy, corneal involvement and history of extraocular muscle involvement and optic nerve involvement, and laboratory parameters at the time of initial diagnosis were recorded for statistical analysis.

Intravenous steroid treatment was administered at least once to the clinically active patients (clinical activity score >3) having commonly soft tissue inflammation accompanied with myositis (n = 12) or optic nerve compression (n = 2) during the follow-up period. In patients with optic nerve compression, intravenous steroids were administered at a high dose (1 g methylprednisolone) for three days, followed by oral prednisolone (totally 4.5 g). None of the patients needed any decompression surgery. The patients with myositis received intravenous 500 mg methylprednisolone once a week for six weeks, followed by oral prednisolone treatment (totally 4.5 g). None of the patients in the study received radiotherapy or any other immunosuppressant therapy except systemic steroid treatment during the follow-up period.

Data were statistically analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Mann-Whitney U-test was performed to compare the values of the patients with TAO and the controls. Receiver operating characteristics curve analysis was used for laboratory parameters in predicting TAO and subsequent steroid requirement. Spearman’s correlation test was conducted to analyze the association of the immunoinflammatory parameters with the clinical and ocular variables among TAO eyes. Logistic regression analyses were performed to identify inflammatory risk factors for TAO patients. A \( p \)-value <0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Results

The mean age of TAO (27 female and 19 male) and control groups (27 female and 19 male) were 45.83 ± 15.14 years (range, 23–70 years) and 46.09 ± 15.42 years (range, 23–70 years), respectively. The characteristics of TAO and control groups are summarized in Table 1, and the laboratory results of these groups were compared in Table 2.

There were statistically significant differences in NLR, PLR, SII, and lymphocyte count between the TAO and the control groups, while no significant difference in MLR was found between them. Mean NLR, PLR and SII were significantly lower in the patients with TAO than in the controls, while the mean value of lymphocyte count in the TAO group was significantly higher than in the control group (\( p < 0.05 \)). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve values of NLR, SII, count of lymphocytes, and PLR to distinguish TAO from healthy subjects were 0.68, 0.65, 0.67, and 0.64, respectively (Table 3 and Fig. 1A-1D).

In logistic regression analysis, any blood count-derived parameters were not associated with the risks of having proptosis, lid retraction, myositis, restrictive myopathy, systemic steroid need, corneal involvement, and optic neuropathy during the follow-up period (\( p > 0.05 \) for all). The patients, who needed systemic treatment due to TAO, had significantly lower platelet count and PLR at the time of initial diagnosis when compared to the TAO patients who did not need any systemic treatment during the follow-up period (Table 4). Platelet count and PLR were significantly correlated to erythrocyte sedimentation rate (\( r = 0.75, p = 0.008 \) and \( r = 0.70, p = 0.016 \), respectively), while there was no significant association between the blood count-derived parameters and CRP (\( p > 0.05 \)). In the logistic regression model, platelet count was significantly associated with the requirement of steroid use due to TAO (\( \beta = -0.02, p = 0.03 \)). The best cut-off value of initial platelet count to determine the subsequent need for steroid use during the follow-up in TAO patients was 194,000, with 89.3% sensitivity and 59.1% specificity (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, 0.80; \( p = 0.003 \); 95% confidence interval, 0.66–0.94) (Fig. 2).

Additionally, NLR and SII had significant negative correlation with anti-Tg (\( r = -0.34, p = 0.04 \) and \( r = -0.37, p = 0.03 \)), while the platelet, neutrophil and lymphocyte counts were not specifically correlated to anti-Tg (\( p > 0.05 \)). There
### Table 1. The characteristics of TAO and control groups are summarized

| Parameter                          | TAO group (n = 46) | Control group (n = 46) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                           | 45.83 ± 15.14 (23–70) | 46.09 ± 15.42 (23–70) | 0.9     |
| Sex (female : male)                | 27 : 19            | 27 : 19                | -       |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)            | 24.01 ± 3.41 (20.5–31.25) | 24.34 ± 3.14 (21.09–32.03) | 0.7     |
| Duration of follow-up (yr)         | 9.5 ± 6.6 (1–15)   | -                      | -       |
| Clinical activity score            | 1.46 ± 1.34 (0–4)  | -                      | -       |
| Exophthalmometry (mm)              | 20.27 ± 3.66 (15–27.5) | -                      | -       |
| Proptosis (unilateral : bilateral : no) | 9 : 20 : 17 | -                      | -       |
| Lid retraction (unilateral : bilateral : no) | 14 : 20 : 12 | -                      | -       |
| Positive history of myositis       | 16                 | -                      | -       |
| Patients needing systemic treatment | 14                | -                      | -       |
| Restrictive myopathy               | 10                 | -                      | -       |
| Corneal involvement                | 4                  | -                      | -       |
| Optic nerve involvement            | 2                  | -                      | -       |

The data is shown as mean ± standard deviation (minimum–maximum) or number. TAO = thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy.

### Table 2. The laboratory findings of TAO and the control group

| Parameter                          | TAO group (n = 46) | Control group (n = 46) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|
| TSH (mIU/L)                        | 1.27 ± 2.25 (0.00–10.26) | 3.01 ± 1.01 (1.1–4.22) | <0.001* |
| fT3 (ng/dL)                        | 7.41 ± 7.09 (0.1–30.56) | 3.3 ± 0.57 (2.4–4.54)  | <0.001* |
| fT4 (ng/dL)                        | 2.12 ± 2.18 (0.37–13.00) | 1.1 ± 0.25 (0.85–2.12) | <0.001* |
| Anti-TPO (IU/mL)                   | 183.74 ± 171.5 (5.0–509.6) | -              | -       |
| Anti-Tg (IU/mL)                    | 177.18 ± 174.96 (10.0–716.7) | -              | -       |
| WBC (10⁹/L)                        | 7.71 ± 1.96 (3.14–13.22) | 7.38 ± 1.35 (4.51–9.88) | 0.2     |
| Neutrophils (10⁹/L)                | 4.57 ± 1.71 (2.21–10.20) | 4.64 ± 1.96 (2.62–12.96) | 0.59    |
| Lymphocytes (10⁹/L)                | 2.56 ± 0.73 (1.48–4.20) | 2.08 ± 0.62 (0.83–3.41) | 0.01*   |
| Monocytes (10⁹/L)                  | 0.48 ± 0.18 (0.10–1.00) | 0.48 ± 0.18 (0.21–1.12) | 0.45    |
| Platelets (10⁹/L)                  | 264.57 ± 68.72 (154–435) | 260.97 ± 63.74 (169–493) | 0.55    |
| NLR                                | 1.82 ± 0.65 (0.88–4.30) | 2.41 ± 1.15 (1.06–5.93) | 0.01*   |
| PLR                                | 104.58 ± 37.1 (45.05–179.0) | 134.02 ± 44.25 (74.13–262.65) | 0.03*   |
| MLR                                | 0.20 ± 0.09 (0.03–0.48) | 0.24 ± 0.09 (0.13–0.40) | 0.15    |
| SII                                | 470.30 ± 263.45 (191.89–859.05) | 607.94 ± 258.48 (223.86–1092.3) | 0.02*   |
| ESR (mm/hr)                        | 24.13 ± 18.25 (8–71)  | -                      | -       |
| CRP (mg/L)                         | 0.99 ± 0.57 (0.20–2.21) | -                      | -       |

The data is shown as mean ± standard deviation (minimum–maximum). TAO = thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy; TSH = thyroid stimulating hormone; fT3 = free triiodotropin; fT4 = free thyroxine; Anti-TPO = anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody; Anti-Tg = anti-thyroglobulin antibody; WBC = white blood cells; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; MLR = monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII = systemic immune-inflammatory index; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP = C-reactive protein. *p-value < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.
was not any association between any blood parameters and TSH, free triiodotropin, and free thyroxine.

### Discussion

TAO is an infiltrative and lymphocyte-dependent autoimmune disease, in which activated T lymphocytes and transitional and pre-naïve mature B lymphocytes are re-

### Table 3. Receiver operating characteristics curve analysis for blood count-derived immunoinflammatory biomarkers and lymphocyte count in predicting thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy

| Parameter | Best cut-off value | AUROC | p-value | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | 95% CI |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|---------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| NLR       | 2.11              | 0.68  | 0.011*  | 76.2           | 52.6           | 0.55–0.80 |
| PLR       | 126.09            | 0.64  | 0.03*   | 64.2           | 50.1           | 0.51–0.76 |
| SII       | 550.77            | 0.65  | 0.03*   | 67.7           | 52.6           | 0.52–0.78 |
| MLR       | 0.198             | 0.58  | 0.21    | 55.2           | 52.6           | 0.45–0.72 |
| L (10⁹/L) | 2.15              | 0.67  | 0.012*  | 66.7           | 55.8           | 0.55–0.80 |

AUROC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CI = confidence interval; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte; SII = systemic immune-inflammatory index; MLR = monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio; L = lymphocyte.

*p-value < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

### Fig. 1. Receiver operating characteristics curve analysis of (A) neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, (B) platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, (C) systemic immune-inflammatory index, and (D) lymphocyte count for discrimination between healthy subjects and thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy.
Table 4. Comparison of the initial blood parameters between the patients who needed systemic steroid treatment due to TAO and the TAO patients who did not need any systemic treatment during the follow-up

| Parameter          | Systemic treatment-needed group (n = 14) | No treatment-needed group (n = 32) | p-value |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| WBC (10^9/L)       | 8.18 ± 1.94 (5.30–12.94)               | 7.58 ± 2.09 (3.14–13.22)         | 0.33    |
| Neutrophils (10^9/L)| 4.75 ± 1.56 (3.20–9.59)               | 4.37 ± 1.60 (2.21–10.20)         | 0.34    |
| Lymphocytes (10^9/L)| 2.51 ± 0.66 (1.50–3.75)               | 2.60 ± 0.71 (1.48–4.20)          | 0.48    |
| Monocytes (10^9/L)  | 0.50 ± 0.15 (0.31–0.91)                | 0.46 ± 0.19 (0.10–1.00)          | 0.06    |
| Platelets (10^9/L)  | 214.38 ± 46.18 (154–300)              | 285.61 ± 66.08 (158–435)         | 0.001^*|
| NLR                | 1.96 ± 0.64 (1.16–3.75)                | 1.77 ± 0.76 (0.88–4.30)          | 0.15    |
| PLR                | 99.65 ± 35.69 (45.58–176.85)          | 110.93 ± 33.49 (45.05–179.0)     | 0.02^*  |
| MLR                | 0.24 ± 0.09 (0.1–0.48)                 | 0.18 ± 0.08 (0.03–0.48)          | 0.06    |
| SII                | 487.14 ± 300.14 (191.89–845.35)       | 463.06 ± 186.69 (217.14–859.05)  | 0.49    |
| ESR (mm/hr)        | 26.40 ± 25.33 (9–70)                  | 23.75 ± 21.13 (8–71)             | 0.84    |
| CRP (mg/L)         | 0.73 ± 0.46 (0.20–1.00)               | 1.13 ± 0.56 (0.55–2.21)          | 0.43    |
| TSH (mIU/L)        | 1.60 ± 2.24 (0.0–7.03)                | 1.23 ± 2.28 (0.0–10.26)          | 0.76    |
| fT3 (ng/dL)        | 8.02 ± 7.14 (0.66–25.0)               | 6.88 ± 6.87 (0.1–30.56)          | 0.91    |
| fT4 (ng/dL)        | 2.10 ± 1.45 (0.77–5.09)               | 2.13 ± 2.35 (0.37–13.00)         | 0.90    |
| Anti-TPO (IU/mL)   | 252.58 ± 206.35 (8.57–502.5)          | 158.81 ± 142.74 (5.0–509.6)      | 0.36    |
| Anti-Tg (IU/mL)    | 190.40 ± 119.19 (10.0–716.7)          | 160.72 ± 190.71 (10.0–708.4)     | 0.67    |

None of the patients in the study received radiotherapy or any other immunosuppressant therapy except systemic steroid treatment; Mann-Whitney U-test was used for the statistical analysis and the data is shown as mean ± standard deviation (minimum–maximum).

TAO = thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy; WBC = white blood cells; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; MLR = monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII = systemic immune-inflammatory index; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP = C-reactive protein; TSH = thyroid stimulating hormone; fT3 = free triiodotropin; fT4 = free thyroxine; Anti-TPO = anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody; Anti-Tg = anti-thyroglobulin antibody.

*p-value < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

None of the patients in the study received radiotherapy or any other immunosuppressant therapy except systemic steroid treatment; Mann-Whitney U-test was used for the statistical analysis and the data is shown as mean ± standard deviation (minimum–maximum).

TAO = thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy; WBC = white blood cells; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; MLR = monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII = systemic immune-inflammatory index; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP = C-reactive protein; TSH = thyroid stimulating hormone; fT3 = free triiodotropin; fT4 = free thyroxine; Anti-TPO = anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody; Anti-Tg = anti-thyroglobulin antibody.

*p-value < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Fig. 2. Receiver operating characteristics curve analysis of platelets for detection the subsequent systemic steroid need due to thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy during the follow-up period.
tween NLR and TAO, in which the values of NLR were found to be higher in patients with TAO than in controls [17]. In contrast to this study, we have found that NLR was significantly lower in the group of TAO when comparing with age- and sex-matched healthy controls. In both studies, the number of lymphocytes was higher in the TAO group than in the controls, but the number of neutrophils was different between the studies. This difference may be due to the reason that the previous study has more active TAO patients than the present study has. It was reported that lymphocyte count was slightly increased, and granulocytes (neutrophils mainly) was considerably decreased in Graves’ disease [2,15,18,19]. Although the lymphocyte and the neutrophil counts were not correlated to any TAO related antibody, NLR was significantly correlated to anti-Tg. However, the logistic regression analysis showed that NLR has no prognostic significance for clinical outcomes in TAO patients. Additionally, we found that the best cut-off value of NLR to distinguish TAO patients and healthy subjects was 2.11, with 76.2% sensitivity and 56.2% specificity.

Platelets have a critical role in regulating inflammatory reactions and immune responses by the modulation of lymphocyte functioning. In the present study, the TAO group had significantly lower PLR than healthy controls, and the best cut-off value of PLR to distinguish TAO patients and healthy subjects was 126.09, with 64.2% sensitivity and 50.1% specificity. Additionally, the initial platelet count was significantly related to the need for systemic steroid treatment due to TAO in the logistic regression model (β = -0.02, p = 0.03). Even though the difference in PLR is mainly due to the difference in lymphocyte counts between the groups in the study, the role of platelets in autoimmune response is undeniable. The interactions of platelets with T-lymphocytes decreases lymphocyte proliferation and reduces the amount of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interferon-alpha, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and interleukin (IL) 17, and increase in anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 [5,20]. These cytokines are critical for regulation and differentiation of T helper-17 cells which have been linked to various autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, inflammatory bowel disease, multiple sclerosis, and TAO [4]. Kim et al. [21] found that the serum levels of IL-17 in active TAO patients were higher than those in inactive TAO patients, and serum IL-17 concentration significantly correlated with the disease activity. In the pathogenesis of TAO, platelets may produce aggregations with lymphocytes to promote lymphocyte rolling and adhesion by P-selectin bridging [22], enhancing lymphocyte adhesion to the endothelium [23] and facilitating lymphocyte homing [24]. It may be thought that increased interactions between lymphocytes and platelets may cause significant augmentation in lymphocyte infiltration through the tissue by these lymphocyte-platelet aggregates, and the infiltration of immune reactive lymphocytes may aggravate the disease activity during the following periods of the disease.

Although thyroid hormone levels were previously thought to have a lowering effect on the platelet count in autoimmune thyroid disease [25-27], many studies show that autoantibody against platelets may occur in patients with the disease [28-31]. In the present study, the platelet count and PLR were significantly lower in the group who needed systemic steroid treatment due to TAO during the follow-up period than in the no treatment-needed group, although there was no significant difference in thyroid function test between these groups (Table 4). Recently, Ito et al. [29] reported that platelet-associated immunoglobulin G levels in patients were higher in Graves’ disease, and it was hypothesized that Graves’ disease triggers some autoimmune processes leading to the production of antibodies against the platelets [28-31]. Thus, the platelet count at the initial diagnosis may help predict the severity and extent of the autoimmunity in autoimmune thyroid disease, and it may be speculated that baseline lower platelet count may be linked to TAO severity during the follow-up and may have prognostic significance in the patients of TAO even if it is within normal limits. The best cut-off value of initial platelet count to determine the subsequent need for systemic steroid therapy during the follow-up in TAO patients was 194,000, with 89.3% sensitivity and 59.1% specificity (Fig. 2). The patients with relatively low platelet levels at the time of initial diagnosis can be closely monitored, as they are more likely to develop ophthalmopathy that will require steroid use in the future.

Although the human CD34+ fibrocytes are circulating monocyte lineage progenitor cells and recently been implicated in TAO [32], we have not found any difference in monocyte count and MLR between the groups of TAO and control.

In autoimmune diseases, PLR values should be evaluated along with the values of NLR and other inflammatory markers, which may allow of overviewing the whole pic-
ture of the immunoinflammatory status of the patients [5]. SII is recently developed to evaluate the immunoinflammatory activity by combined analysis of neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet counts together and to predict clinical outcomes for tumors, cardiovascular and other inflammatory diseases [9-12,16]. Recently, Tang et al. [33] reported that elevated NLR and SII might serve as readily available inflammatory predictors in POAG patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating MLR, PLR, and SII in patients with TAO, and we have found that SII was significantly lower in the TAO group than in control subjects and the best cut-off value of SII to discriminate TAO patients and healthy subjects was 550.77, with 67.7% sensitivity and 52.6% specificity.

This study may have some limitations. The retrospective design of the study may have caused selection bias, and it may have a relatively small sample to show precise predictive values of blood count-derived parameters. Although these results may not be representative of all patients with TAO, the results of the study may provide critical information to clinicians when evaluating the immune-inflammatory status of a newly diagnosed patient with autoimmune thyroid disease.

In conclusion, the blood count-derived markers are readily available laboratory parameters that may be used in the clinical evaluation of patients with TAO. NLR, PLR, and SII may serve as potential inflammatory biomarkers in the detection of the TAO, and relatively lower platelet count at initial diagnosis may have a prognostic effect in the patients with TAO. Further prospective longitudinal studies with a larger sample size should be needed to investigate the prognostic and predictive values of the novel immune-inflammatory biomarkers in the patients of TAO.
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