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**Figure S1** Non-cumulative histograms of the number of structures with a highest non origin peak value, depending on the rotational tolerances and the resolution used for calculating the Patterson function map. a) Patterson function peak percentages for structures with TNCS are shown, with a red line drawn at 20% b) Patterson function peak Z-scores for structures with TNCS. c) Patterson function peak percentages for structures without TNCS. d) Patterson function peak Z-scores for structures without TNCS. Panels a) and b) illustrate the decrease in modulation for structures with TNCS as rotational tolerance increases for all the resolution ranges used for calculating the Patterson function map. Panels c) and d) shows that the majority of the structures without TNCS have a low Patterson function peak.
c)

| Number of Peaks | 3-10 Å | 4-10 Å | 5-10 Å | 3-15 Å | 4-15 Å | 5-15 Å |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0-2°            |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 2-5°            |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 5-10°           |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 10-15°          |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 15-20°          |       |       |       |       |       |       |
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d)

| Number of Peaks | 3-10 Å | 4-10 Å | 5-10 Å | 3-15 Å | 4-15 Å | 5-15 Å |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0-2°            |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 2-5°            |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 5-10°           |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 10-15°          |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 15-20°          |       |       |       |       |       |       |

Patterson-Z
**Figure S2** Scatter plot showing the distribution of refined TNCS epsilon factor variances for all cases with pdb-TNCS(20°) and data completeness less than 80%, which were excluded from our database.
**Figure S3** Histogram showing how the completeness of the data affects the accuracy of the decision tree. Low data completeness causes the algorithm to become much less reliable.