Inhibition of Nicotinamide Phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT) Activity by Small Molecule GMX1778 Regulates Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)-mediated Cytotoxicity in a p53- and Nicotinic Acid Phosphoribosyltransferase1 (NAPRT1)-dependent Manner*§
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Background: GMX1778 is an inhibitor of nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase for the regeneration of NAD+ from nicotinamide.

Results: GMX1778 increases intracellular ROS in cancer cells but does not induce ROS in normal cells.

Conclusion: Exposure to GMX1778 may be a novel way of inducing ROS selectively in NAPRT1-negative tumor cells.

Significance: Selectively modulating intracellular ROS in cancers by GMX1778 provides a useful therapeutic opportunity.

Cancer cells undergo mitosis more frequently than normal cells and thus have increased metabolic needs, which in turn lead to higher than normal reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. Higher ROS production increases cancer cell dependence on ROS scavenging systems to balance the increased ROS. Selectively modulating intracellular ROS in cancers by exploiting cancer dependence on ROS scavenging systems provides a useful therapeutic approach. Essential to developing these therapeutic strategies is to maintain physiologically low ROS levels in normal tissues while inducing ROS in cancer cells. GMX1778 is a specific inhibitor of nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase, a rate-limiting enzyme required for the regeneration of NAD+ from nicotinamide. We show that GMX1778 increases intracellular ROS in cancer cells by elevating the superoxide level while decreasing the intracellular NAD+ level. Notably, GMX1778 treatment does not induce ROS in normal cells. GMX1778-induced ROS can be diminished by adding nicotinic acid (NA) in a NA phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (NAPRT1)-dependent manner, but NAPRT1 is lost in a high frequency of glioblastomas, neuroblastomas, and sarcomas. In NAPRT1-deficient cancer cells, ROS induced by GMX1778 was not susceptible to treatment with NA. GMX1778-mediated ROS induction is p53-dependent, suggesting that the status of both p53 and NAPRT1 might affect tumor apoptosis, as determined by annexin-V staining. However, as determined by colony formation, GMX1778 long term cytotoxicity in cancer cells was only prevented by the addition of NA to NAPRT1-expressing cells. Exposure to GMX1778 may be a novel way of inducing ROS selectively in NAPRT1-negative tumors without inducing cytotoxic ROS in normal tissue.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a dual role in a variety of normal physiological and pathological conditions (1). ROS function as redox messengers in intracellular signaling and regulation at physiological low levels. In contrast, at certain pathological conditions, excessive levels of ROS promote cell death by inducing oxidative damage to cellular macromolecules, including lipids, proteins, and DNA (2–4). Increased damage caused by ROS has been shown to determine the cell fate by inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (5) as well as modulating topoisomerase II activity (6, 7). Normal tissues maintain intracellular redox homeostasis by balancing the equilibrium of ROS generation with the elimination of generated ROS (8). A variety of antioxidant processes is involved in maintaining the cellular redox levels and permits the repair of DNA damage lesions induced by excessive ROS levels by cellular DNA repair pathways (9). p53 has a critical role in redox homeostasis (10), and cells containing decreased nuclear p53 have been shown to accumulate oxidative DNA damage (11).

The redox status in tumors is usually different from that of normal tissue counterparts due in part to increased ROS production (12, 13). The adaptive antioxidant capacity in certain cancer cells allows tumors to tightly control ROS levels while maintaining proliferative capacity (14). This oxidative stress phenotype in cancer cells may provide therapeutic opportunities and challenges for eliminating tumors by specifically increasing ROS in tumors while maintaining ROS levels in normal tissues (14–16). Oxidative stress caused by chemothera-
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peutic drugs is often one of the main reasons for toxicity in normal tissue (17). Thus, a critical parameter for ROS-inducing anticancer drugs is the demonstration of a therapeutic effect while producing little oxidative stress in normal tissues (15–17). In higher eukaryotes, two major biochemical pathways, the salvage and the de novo pathway, are involved in the biosynthesis of NAD\(^+\). The salvage pathway operates via the two major pathways using nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT) and nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (NAPRT1), which use nicotinamide and nicotinic acid (NA), respectively, as the substrate for NAD\(^+\) recycling (18). Although both pathways are employed to generate NAD\(^+\), in cells expressing endogenous NAPRT1, only the NA added in the salvage pathway can increase cellular levels of NAD\(^+\) and reduce cytotoxicity by an oxidizing agent (18, 19). GMX1778 (CHS 828; teglarinad) is a potent inhibitor of NAMPT that exerts a cytotoxic effect by decreasing the cellular level of NAD\(^+\) (20, 21). GMX1778 has been shown to synergize with ionizing radiation in head and neck cancer tumor models (22). When applied in a metronomic treatment regimen of lower doses at frequent intervals, GMX1777, an intravenously administered pro-drug of GMX1778, regressed neuroblastomas in a preclinical model (23). Furthermore, exogenous addition of NA rescues NAD\(^+\) depletion via the NAPRT1-dependent salvage pathway (20, 21). Thus, in tumors deficient in nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase, targeting NAMPT with GMX1778 may provide a novel synthetic lethal therapeutic approach by inducing metabolic stress.

Based on the importance of NAD\(^+\) in regulating cellular ROS levels (24, 25), we hypothesized that decreasing NAD\(^+\) levels by exposure to GMX1778 could increase cellular oxidative stress. Based on the concept of nononcogene addiction (26), we also tested the hypothesis that the ROS stress induced by GMX1778 would be particularly cytotoxic in tumors defective in the NAPRT1-dependent salvage pathway and that normal cells could be protected from ROS induction via activating the NAPRT1-dependent salvage pathway with rescue by nicotinic acid. GMX1778 induced ROS, which could be reversed if NAPRT1 was activated by the addition of NA. Furthermore, p53 expression delayed initial ROS generation but did not suppress the GMX1778-mediated ROS increase more than 72 h. Normal cells were more resistant to GMX1778 because most normal cells have active NAPRT1, p53, and a lower level of ROS requiring less dependence on ROS scavenging systems.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Lines and GMX1778—**Human glioblastoma cell line (U251) and brain metastatic derivative of the breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 genetically engineered to express luciferase (MDA-MB-231 BR) were obtained from the NCI Frederick Tumor Repository. U251 were grown in RPMI 1640 media (Quality Biological, Gaithersburg, MD) containing 2 mmol/liter L-glutamine and 5% fetal bovine serum. MDA-MB-231 BR cells were grown in high glucose DMEM (Invitrogen) with 10% fetal bovine serum. HCT116 and HCT116 p53\(^−\)/− cells were obtained from the Vogelstein laboratory (Johns Hopkins University) and grown in high glucose DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum supplemented with 1X MEM (Invitrogen). Human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC) were purchased from Lonza and maintained in complete mammary epithelial growth media (Lonza, Walkersville, MD). MCF10A cells were maintained in mammary epithelial cell growth medium (Lonza, Walkersville, MD). H1299 cells were obtained from the Prives laboratory (Columbia University) and were grown in complete RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. H1299 cells are stably transfection with a tetracycline-inducible vector encoding for WT human p53 (27). To suppress p53 expression, H1299 cells were incubated with fresh medium supplemented with 0.5 μg/ml anhydrotracycline; p53 expression was induced when cells were incubated with fresh medium without anhydrotracycline for 24 h. Cultures were maintained at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\) and 95% room air. GMX1778, provided by Gemini X Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Montreal, Canada), was reconstituted in DMSO (10 mmol/liter) and stored at −80 °C.

**Measurement of Intracellular Superoxide Levels—**Superoxide levels were measured using the oxidation of the fluorescent dye, dihydroethidine (DHE), obtained from Molecular Probes (Invitrogen). Cells were seeded in 60-mm\(^2\) plates and allowed to attach for 24 h prior to 48 h of exposure to GMX1778. Cells were washed once with PBS and then labeled with DHE (10 μM in 0.1% DMSO) by incubation at 37 °C for 40 min in PBS (containing 5 mM pyruvate). Antimycin A (Sigma), a reactive oxygen species (ROS) generator, was prepared in DMSO and used at a 10 μM final concentration as a positive control and added at the time of DHE addition. The cells were suspended by treatment with trypsin on ice and then resuspended in ice-cold PBS. Flow cytometry analysis was performed using a Guava EasyCyte Plus microcapillary flow cytometer (Guava Technologies, Hayward, CA) utilizing laser excitation and emission wavelengths of 488 and 532 nm, respectively. The mean fluorescence intensity of 5000 cells was analyzed in each sample and corrected for autofluorescence from unlabeled cells. The mean fluorescence intensity data were normalized to corresponding untreated control cell levels to yield normalized mean fluorescence intensity.

**GSH and GSSG Measurement—**GSH and GSSG content in U251 cells was measured using a GSH detection kit (MBL International, Woburn, MA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 4 × 10\(^6\) cells were homogenized in 100 μl of glutathione assay buffer and clarified by spinning in a microcentrifuge for 5 min at 4 °C. Then 60 μl was collected, and 20 μl of 60 nM perchloric acid was added to stabilize GSH and stored at −80 °C. For GSH and GSSG detection, 20 μl of 3 nM KOH was added to 40 μl of perchloric acid-treated samples, incubated on ice for 5 min, and then spun for 5 min at 4 °C. Neutralized samples were plated in triplicate in clear 96-well plates and mixed with 90 μl of assay buffer for the detection of GSH or 70 μl of assay buffer with 10 μl of GSH quencher to remove GSH and 10 μl of reducing agent to convert GSSG to GSH for measurement. Standard curves were generated with known amounts of GSH provided by the kit and used to calculate cellular GSH and GSSG concentrations in lysates. The absorbance at 405 nm was read by a microplate reader. Protein content of each sample was determined using protein assay kits (Bio-Rad). GSH and GSSG levels were calculated according to the equiv-
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alert protein quantity (mg of protein) per data point. Data were presented as fold change compared with normalized control.

\[ \text{NAD}^+, \text{NADH}, \text{NADP}^+, \text{and NADPH Measurement—Cellular NAD}^+, \text{NADH, NADP}^+, \text{and NADPH levels were measured using NAD}^+/\text{NAD} \text{ and NAD}^+/-\text{NADPH quantification assay kits according to manufacturer's instructions (MBL International, Woburn, MA). For NAD}^+ \text{ and NADPH measurements, } 1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells were extracted in 200 } \mu \text{L of extraction buffer by two cycles of freeze thawing as directed in the protocol from MBL International. Total NAD}^+ \text{ and NADPH were calculated by adding 50 } \mu \text{L of sample to 100 } \mu \text{L of NAD cycling mix and incubated for 5 min, and then 10 } \mu \text{L of NADH developer was added. NADH only was detected by decomposing NAD}^+ \text{ by heating at 60 °C for 30 min and clarified by centrifugation. Then 50 } \mu \text{L of cooled sample was added to 100 } \mu \text{L of NAD cycling mix and incubated for 5 min, and then 10 } \mu \text{L of NADPH developer was added. The absorbance of samples at 405 nm was read by a microplate reader and compared with an NAD standard curve. The NAD}^+ \text{ concentration was determined by measuring NADH concentrations from heat-treated samples in which NAD}^+ \text{ had been decomposed and subtracting NADH concentrations from a duplicate sample that had not been heated and quantified for total NADt (NAD}^+ \text{ and NADH) as described in the NAD}^+/-\text{NADPH quantitation kit. For the measurement of NADP}^+ \text{ and NADPH levels in lysates, a similar protocol and method were used with the NADP}^+/-\text{NADPH quantification kit. The concentration of NADPH in samples was calculated using standard curves generated by using known amounts of NADPH included in the kit. NAD}^+, \text{NADH, NADP}^+, \text{and NADPH levels were calculated according to the equivalent protein quantity (mg of protein) per data point. Data were presented as fold change compared with normalized control.}

Western Blots—Cells were harvested and lysed in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40, 150 mM NaCl, and protease inhibitor and phosphatase inhibitor mixtures (Sigma). Lysates were centrifuged at 16,000 x g at 4 °C for 30 min and normalized for protein concentration. Lysates were then subjected to SDS-PAGE, 10% BisTris (Invitrogen), transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (GE Healthcare), and probed with either NAPRT1 (1:1000) (Proteintech, Chicago), p53 (1:1000), or p21 (1:1000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Equalization of protein loading was assessed using α-actin (1:5000) (Sigma) as the housekeeping protein. Primary antibody incubation was overnight at 4 °C, and the secondary horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse or rabbit antibody (1:1000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) incubation was for 1 h at room temperature. Blots were visualized by the chemiluminescent substrate Luminol (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and imaged using a Fuji LAS4000 (Fujifilm Life Science). Western blots shown are representative blots from three or more independent experiments. The following antibodies were used: NAPRT1 (Proteintech, Chicago) and p53 and p21 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Annexin-V Apoptosis Assay—Apoptosis was measured using a Guava EasyCyte Plus as per the manufacturer’s protocol.

Briefly, cells were washed twice in PBS, harvested by treatment with a trypsin/EDTA solution, centrifuged, washed with complete media, and suspended in 1× Nexin buffer with annexin V-PE and nexin 7-aminoactinomycin D. The cells were allowed to incubate for 30 min at room temperature and then analyzed using a Guava EasyCyte Plus flow cytometer.

Colony Formation Assay of Cells Treated with GMX1778 and/or Niacin—For determination of the survival of cells treated with GMX1778, exponentially growing cells were seeded at a density of 1200 cells/100-mm² dish. Cells were allowed to attach overnight before the addition of the varied concentrations (5–50 nM) of freshly prepared GMX1778 and/or 20 μM niacin (Sigma). Plates were incubated for colony formation for 10–14 days. Medium was left unchanged during the duration of the experiment; therefore, the cells were continuously exposed to GMX1778. Colonies were stained with crystal violet and counted (GelCount, Oxford).

RESULTS

GMX1778 Induces ROS and Modulates a Redox Status in Cancer Cells by Reducing NAD⁺ Biosynthesis—GMX1778 decreases the intracellular NAD⁺ level by inhibiting the NAD⁺ biosynthesis enzyme NAMPT. Because of the roles of NADH and NADPH as reducing equivalents in oxidative stress responses for survival of cancer and normal cells, we hypothesized that a decrease in cellular levels of NAD⁺ by GMX1778 would result in a decrease in the steady state levels of NADH, NADP⁺, and NADPH, which would increase ROS levels leading to cell damage and finally death. To test this hypothesis, exponentially growing U251 cells defective in NAPRT1 expression were subjected to 5 nM GMX1778 (IC₅₀ for U251 cells). ROS levels were then monitored by measuring intracellular oxidation of dihydroethidine (DHE) at various time points after exposure to GMX1778. DHE is a cell membrane-permeable dye used to monitor superoxide production because DHE fluorescence intensity correlates with the relative intracellular state O₂⁻ levels (13). As a positive control for ROS induction, cells were treated with antimycin A, an electron transport chain blocker known to increase mitochondrial oxygen radicals, and increased levels of ROS were observed (Fig. 1A). In cells treated with GMX1778, there was an increase in ROS level beginning at 16 h from initiation of treatment that reached a peak at 48 h (Fig. 1A). ROS levels reached a plateau after 48 h of GMX1778 exposure (data not shown).

To establish that the ROS induction by GMX1778 was directly due to a change in NAD⁺, NADH, NADP⁺, and NADPH levels, U251 cells were subjected to GMX1778 treatment, and intracellular NAD⁺, NADH, NADP⁺, and NADPH levels were determined. As shown in Fig. 1, B and C, a decrease in the steady state levels of NAD⁺ and NADP⁺ was observed 16 h after U251 cells were treated with GMX1778. Although the extent of NADH and NADPH decrease was less than that of NAD⁺ and NADP⁺, the change in the steady state levels of NADH and NADPH was consistent with the decrease in the steady state levels of NAD⁺ and NADP⁺. The ratio of glutathione (GSH) and the oxidized form glutathione disulfide (GSSH) has been used as a measure of cellular redox status (13, 28). GSH and GSSH levels were measured in U251 cells to determine the cellular redox status after GMX1778 exposure (Fig. 1D). The intracellular GSH concentration was decreased, and the intracellular GSSH concentration was increased when measured at
24 and 48 h after GMX1778 exposure. ATP levels were also measured in U251 cells after GMX1778 treatment and ROS induction was correlated with the ATP and NAD\(^+\)/H\(^+\) decrease (supplemental material). These data indicate that ROS were induced by GMX1778 under the conditions of these experiments. These results provide the following: 1) GMX1778 induces ROS in the U251 cancer cells by inhibiting NAD\(^+\) biosynthesis, thereby reducing intracellular NADP\(^+\), NADH, and NADPH levels; and 2) the increase in the steady state ROS levels altered the redox status of the U251 cells.

**ROS Are Not Induced by GMX1778 in Normal Cells**—In NAPRT1 wild-type cells, cytotoxicity of GMX1778 can be prevented by vitamin B\(_3\) (niacin) restoration of NAD\(^+\) via NAPRT1 (20, 21). To determine whether niacin could also rescue NAPRT1 wild-type cells by modulating ROS levels, we first tested expression levels of NAPRT1 in various cancer and normal cell lines. As shown in Fig. 2A, lanes 1–3, U251, MDA-MB-231 BR, and U2OS cells have undetectable protein expression levels of NAPRT1, whereas HCT116, HCT116 p53\(^{−/−}\), and H1299 as well as immortalized breast cells (MCF10A), and normal HMEC express NAPRT1 at varying levels (Fig. 2A, lanes 4–8). We investigated whether NAPRT1-negative cell lines are resistant to induced ROS by GMX1778 and whether the presence of niacin would lead to decreased ROS in NAPRT1-nega-
p53 Suppresses Initial ROS Levels Induced by the Depletion of NAD⁺—p53 plays a pivotal role in regulating cellular ROS levels and cell fate of damaged cells by providing anti-oxidant or pro-oxidant responses depending on ROS levels (29). We observed that in contrast to U251 and MDA-MB-231 BR cells, exposure of HCT116 cells to GMX1778 for 48 h did not induce ROS levels (Figs. 2, B and D, and 3C). However, in HCT116 p53⁻/⁻ cells, exposure to GMX1778 increased DHE fluorescence without NA indicating that increased ROS occurred and that normal ROS levels were restored upon addition of NA to the cultures (Figs. 2, B and E, and 3D). Both variants of HCT116 cell lines expressed NAPRT1, although the expression level of NAPRT1 was higher in HCT116 p53⁻/⁻ than in HCT116 (Fig. 2A, lanes 4 and 5). It is plausible to assume that HCT116 p53⁻/⁻ cells have partially adapted to the absence of p53 by increasing NAPRT1 expression to maintain the physiological levels of ROS for their survival. To determine whether p53 was directly involved in regulating ROS levels induced by GMX1778, a genetically engineered H1299 p53 Tet-Off cell line was used (27). Fig. 4A shows a Western blot for p53 in H1299 p53 Tet-Off cell in the presence (lane 1) and absence of tetra-cycline (lane 2). The effect of p53 status on cellular levels of ROS upon exposure to varied concentrations of GMX1778 for 48 h was examined by comparing ROS levels in p53-repressed (dark gray bars) and p53-induced type (light gray bars) H1299 cells (Fig. 4B). GMX1778 induced ROS in the p53-repressed H1299 (Tet-On) cells, and the ROS induced was diminished by the exogenously added NA (Fig. 4B, lanes 2–5 and 6–10, dark gray bars). In contrast, in the p53-induced H1299 (Tet-Off), the induction of ROS was much less at all concentrations of GMX1778 (10, 50, 100, and 200 nm) tested (Fig. 4B, lanes 2–5 and 6–10, light gray bars). Slightly induced ROS levels in the presence of p53 were further reduced to control levels when NA was added (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 2–5 and 6–10, light gray bars). Thus, induced p53 in H1299 (Tet-Off) cells suppresses the generation of ROS in response to GMX1778. Also, exogenously added NA that activates the NAPRT1-dependent NAD⁺ biosynthesis pathway further diminished the ROS levels induced by GMX1778. These results suggest that the p53 status along with NA addition may maintain the redox status of NAPRT1-expressing cells when treated with GMX1778 within 48 h post-treatment.

Apoptosis Is Induced by ROS That Are Increased by GMX1778 and Suppressing ROS Induction Protects Cells from Apoptosis—Apoptosis is induced to remove damaged cells when cellular damages by ROS pass the threshold that cells cannot tolerate for their survival (29–32). To determine whether increased ROS levels by GMX1778 are capable of inducing apoptosis in cells that lack NAPRT1, the level of apoptosis was measured in U251 and MDA-MB-231 BR cells treated with GMX1778 either in the absence or presence of NA (Fig. 5A and Table 1). Apoptosis was induced by GMX1778 in more than 80% of U251 and MDA-MB-231 BR cells, and the addition of NA does not significantly rescue these cells from apoptosis. Apoptosis was induced in 46% of HCT116 and 37% of HCT116 p53⁻/⁻ cells treated with GMX1778 (Table 1). These results suggest that even though the level of induced ROS in HCT116 was less than that of HCT116 p53⁻/⁻, the slightly elevated ROS level in both
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GMX1778 (5, 15–25, or 50 nM) with or without niacin (20 μM) using a colony formation assay. The data showed a correlation between survival and NAPRT1 status of the cell lines. No colonies were evident when U251 and MDA-MB-231 BR and U2OS cells deficient in NAPRT1 were treated with 5, 15, and 25 nM GMX1778, respectively, indicating a surviving fraction of <0.001 (Fig. 6, A, c, and B). Niacin (20 μM) could not rescue these cells from GMX1778 cytotoxicity (Fig. 6, A, d, and B). Neither HCT116, HCT116 p53−/−, nor H1299 cells survived when treated with 5 or 25 nM GMX1778 alone; however, both cell types survived when niacin (20 μM) was added to the cul-
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These results suggest that the survival of cells treated with GMX1778 may depend on the NAPRT1 status and may be independent of the p53 status. Finally, the survival of MCF10A and HMEC cells was assessed when treated with GMX1778. When MCF10A and HMEC cells were treated with GMX1778 (50 nM) in the absence of niacin, no colonies were detected. However, both the MCF10A and HMEC cells were rescued when niacin (20 \( \mu \)M) was added exogenously.

Together, these results indicate that the survival of cells treated with GMX1778 was dependent on the NAPRT1 expression and the addition of niacin to cultures. These findings are consistent with the dual roles of p53 (pro-survival and pro-death) under continued ROS stress (29).

DISCUSSION

In this report, we present evidence that GMX1778 induces ROS, specifically superoxide radicals evidenced by DHE oxidation, via decreasing the cellular level of NAD\(^+\), NADP\(^+\), NADH, and NADPH. We employed several approaches to demonstrate the ability of GMX1778 to induce ROS specifically in cancer cells in culture while maintaining nontoxic levels of ROS in normal cells in culture. GMX1778 induced ROS and altered the cellular redox status in various cancer cells by inhibiting NAD\(^+\) biosynthesis. We hypothesized that the ROS levels induced by GMX1778 were initially suppressed by p53. Because p53 plays either an anti-oxidant or pro-oxidant role depending on the extent of the ROS level, p53 might initially modulate intracellular ROS induced by GMX1778 by activating anti-oxidant genes for survival (33). However, when the ROS levels that are continually induced by GMX1778 pass the threshold for survival, p53 might induce apoptosis to remove damaged cells. p53 plays a key role in cell fate decisions after sensing various cellular stresses. Some of the molecular mechanisms of how activated p53 determines damaged cell fates, eliciting pro-survival pathways (cell cycle arrest and DNA repair) or pro-death pathways (apoptosis) upon different stresses, have been reported (34–36). ROS induce p53 activation as an upstream signal and trigger apoptosis when activated p53 up-regulates pro-oxidant enzymes that further increase cellular ROS level (29). Our results measuring apoptosis by annexin V staining after treatment with GMX1778 in the cell lines tested are consistent with the dual roles of p53 in response to ROS. In addition to p53, the induced ROS levels by GMX1778 were further suppressed when the NAD\(^+\) levels were restored by exogenously

FIGURE 4. Effects of GMX1778 and niacin in a p53-inducible Tet-Off system. A, in the H1299-Tet-Off system, expression of wild-type p53 is allowed when tetracycline (Tet) is removed from media for 24 h. B, measurement of DHE oxidation with various concentrations of GMX1778 in the absence or presence of 20 \( \mu \)M niacin after 48 h of treatment. \(-p53\) cells media contained 1 \( \mu \)g/ml of tetracycline. (*, significantly different from control, \( p < 0.05; **, p < 0.005\).

FIGURE 5. Representative apoptosis results of cells treated with GMX1778 ± 20 \( \mu \)M niacin. Conditions for the above are as labeled. Control, 20 \( \mu \)M niacin, GMX1778, and GMX1778 + 20 \( \mu \)M niacin. The concentration of GMX1778 used for U251 was 5 nM and the immortalized breast cell line, MCF10A cells were treated with 50 nM GMX1778. Cells were treated for 48 h and then stained with the annexin V apoptosis assay. Representatives of triplicate experiments are shown.
adding niacin to NAPRT1-expressing cancer cells tested. Notably, the ROS were not induced by GMX1778 within 48 h of post-GMX1778 exposure in normal (HMEC) or immortalized cells (MCF10A) tested in the absence of NA. We also demonstrate that the extent of apoptosis induction is related to the status of either p53 or NAPRT1, which modulates the extent of apoptosis induction by GMX1778. The induced apoptosis is further prevented when niacin is added to the NAPRT1-expressing cancer or normal cells. Finally, we evaluated the effect of p53 or NAPRT1 on cellular survival using colony formation assay. In contrast to the apoptosis-mediated cell death, when treated with GMX1778, only cells expressing NAPRT1 survived when rescued with exogenously added niacin. Together, these results suggest that although the short term normal tissue protection from apoptosis induced by GMX1778 might be provided by p53 and NAPRT1 with or without niacin, the long term protection of normal tissues, when treated GMX1778, depends on the activation of NAPRT1-dependent NAD⁺/H⁺ synthesis pathway with the addition of niacin.

The major goal in developing molecularly targeted agents in cancer treatment has been to induce selective killing of tumors with minimal damage to normal tissues by exploiting the Achilles heel of tumors. Recent progress in our knowledge of cancer biology has allowed us to adopt a new strategy, termed the synthetic lethality approach, with various molecularly targeted agents (26, 37). Targeting the replication stresses with poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors in tumors with BRCA1/2 mutations highlights the potential of the synthetic lethality approach (38, 39). Based on the observation that NAPRT1 expression was defective in certain cancer cells, the synthetic lethality strategy has been proposed to specifically target tumors that are lacking in NAPRT1 expression. Although this strategy appears attractive and feasible based on the previous report (20, 21), the efficacy of GMX1778 might be compromised because of the possibility that GMX1778 might also induce ROS in normal tissues. Because ROS may be one of the major sources of normal tissue toxicities for approximately half of Food and Drug Administration-approved chemotherapeutics (17), it would be critical to improve a therapeutic index of GMX1778 by minimizing normal tissue damage incurred by ROS.

The results here suggest a novel direction for selective killing of tumors that are defective in NAPRT1 expression while spar-

### TABLE 1

Apoptosis results of cells treated with GMX1778 repeated in triplicate were used for calculating average ± S.D. as in Fig. 5

| Cell line                | % apoptotic cells (annexin-V-positive) | Control | GMX1778 | GMX1778+ NA |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| U251 (5 nM)              |                                       | 11.9 ± 3.5 | 86.2 ± 5.3  | 88.7 ± 2.6 |
| HCT116 (5 nM)            |                                       | 12.8 ± 3.5 | 46.8 ± 6.3  | 11.6 ± 2.4  |
| HCT116 p53+/− (5 nM)     |                                       | 11.7 ± 3.0 | 37.0 ± 4.5  | 10.5 ± 2.9  |
| MDA-MB-231 BR (15 nM)    |                                       | 8.0 ± 4.8  | 93.8 ± 4.4  | 90.8 ± 1.2  |
| HMEC (50 nM)             |                                       | 10.0 ± 0.7 | 14.4 ± 2.5  | 10.8 ± 1.8  |
| MCF10A (50 nM)           |                                       | 20.3 ± 7.8 | 17.1 ± 7.5  | 17.6 ± 4.2  |

* p ≤ 0.001 compared with control.
* * p ≤ 0.001 compared with GMX1778 alone.
* Increased cell death (p ≤ 0.05).
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by normal tissues from either ROS-mediated short term apoptosis or long term mitotic cell death when treated with GMX1778 and nicacin. Furthermore, because it has been reported that cancer cells develop drug or radiation resistance in certain situations by developing anti-oxidant mechanisms (15) and putative cancer stem cells also tightly control the ROS level for their survival by using ROS scavenging systems, compared with normal counterpart cells (40), the ability of GMX1778 together with nicacin to induce the higher level of ROS specifically in cancer cells deficient in NAPRT1 provides an attractive option in targeting drug-resistant, ionizing radiation-resistant, or putative cancer stem cells.
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