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Abstract

This research analyzes the modular architecture solution (container prototype) implemented by the Chilean government after the tsunami earthquake of February 27 [1], to replace critical infrastructure lost (school), focusing on the classroom's habitability and environmental comfort concept. A mixture investigation was carried out through a documentary analysis that examines the legal requirements with the proposal implemented and modelling and calculation of the variables that make up the passive architecture of the envelope, with the current legal terms of reference. The results indicate the existing habitability gaps in terms of space, air quality and overheating and the need to promote adaptations oriented to the change of paradigm from emergency to resilient architecture.
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Background

During this new millennium, disasters have affected 4.4 billion people, casualties more than 1.3 million, destroyed invaluable ecosystems, and caused USD 2 trillion losses [2]. Earthquake, flood, tsunami, wildfire, health emergencies or pandemics affected worldwide; however, developing countries are the most vulnerable places globally. They do not know or capacities to effectively face and manage these extreme events [3]. In this context, critical infrastructure (school and hospital) located in a prone risk zone or built under nonresistance criteria suffered significant damages [4], transforming into substantial economic and social losses for these countries. The international community understands education as the fundamental topic for developing peoples and territories and improving resilience capacities for managing disasters [5-7]. The Sendai framework emphasizes considerably reducing the damage caused by disasters in vital infrastructures such as education, where built back better in the recovery cycle, rehabilitation and reconstruction is a priority to be fulfilled by the year 2030 [8].

In this sense, the Architectural response to a catastrophic situation must offer sufficient flexibility to satisfy priorities and needs. It must also take care of the geographical particularities and environmental elements [9-12]. For example, the Earthquake and Tsunami that affected Chile on February 27 [1] imposed a great challenge on the reconstruction process to replace the lost educational infrastructure [13]. Because to have a quality educational infrastructure is a primary country common goal related to improving academic results and imperative to train future generations in a welcoming environment to develop happiness [14].

Here, the concept of habitability from the vision of a progressive architecture of the educational space goes beyond an emergency approach, becoming a significant field. According to Jiron et al. [15], “habitability is determined by the relationship and adequacy between the person and their environment. Moreover, it refers to how each territorial scale has evaluated according to its ability to satisfy human needs. This concept is related to the fulfillment of minimum standards since habitability is the quality of habitable, particularly that according to certain legal norms, premises, or home has”. In this sense, we conceptualize habitability as the ability of a building to ensure minimum conditions of comfort and health for its inhabitants [16]. Furthermore, in a context of a constant increase in energy demand, progressive Greenhouse Gas
(GHG) emissions and deepening of the consequences of climate change [3]. Therefore, responses from the passive architectural design of educational spaces are in high demand to ensure sustainability and proper buildings functioning [9,17].

Understanding how urgent and relevant the replacement of post-disaster school is, the so-called Container Architecture as a modular system appears as a suitable alternative to implement. According to Kotnik [18], this typology can offer a wide range of spatial solutions, in addition to rapid materialization, low cost, freedom in design expression and useful life of 7 to 14 years. Modular schools architecture has defined as portable classrooms that provide flexibility to balance the needs of classrooms and supply spaces for community use [19]. Due to its characteristics, the container conforms to the principles of firmness, durability and utility that give it an infinite potential for solutions and interpretations for the architect [20]. While modular schools have designed to be aesthetically beautiful and functionally modern [21], their initial design process is not intended as part of a developing evolutionary cycle [22,23]. The one that exceeds the time of use above its useful life requires a continued capacity for adaptability to extreme changes, which allow it to guarantee the minimum conditions for life in terms of energy efficiency [24]. Within the main goal of robustness, the resilience capacity of the modular school. This research aims to analyze passive architectural design strategies of a modular classroom prototype to verify the environmental comfort conditions according to Geographical Zones of Chile and Types of Buildings, modelling and calculation data from the Standardized Terms of Reference of the Ministry of Public Works (TDRe MOP).

Case of Study

Context and climate zone identification

Iloca, Licantén Commune Maule region (Figure 1), was one of the most affected towns by the earthquake and tsunami of February 27, [1] on the Chilean coast. The impact was severe, causing destroyed infrastructure, urban equipment, water scarcity, power outages, the collapse of the communication system, fear and insecurity [1]. According to NCh 1079 Of. 2008 the town of Iloca is on Latitude 34° 55’ South and Longitude 72° 10’ West, in the climatic zone Zone 4CI (zone 4 central interior), penetrating widely in the broad valleys that open the mouths of the rivers. It is an area with a maritime climate; this is short winters of four to six months, mild temperature, cloudiness in summer dissipated at noon, high and medium rainfall increasing towards the South with predominant SW components, soil, and saline environment humid. Due to the non-existence monitoring stations in Licantén and Iloca, information has extracted from the AccuWeather site. Therefore, the temperature graphs of the coldest month (July) and the warmest month (January) correspond to 2020. The temperature graphs show that in January, the average of the daily maximum temperatures exceeds 28°C and the standard of the minimums is above 12°C. On the other hand, the average daily maximum temperatures are below 14°C in July, and the average minimum is around 2 °C. The above generated a solid seasonal thermal oscillation with a sweltering summer and a cold winter.

Modular emergency school prototype

After evaluating various construction alternatives and their applicability in school campuses, a Modular System was chosen, which met the quality requirements, delivery times and volumes required to cope with the emergency [25]. The selected modules, mainly from the United States, offered multiple advantages...
concerning transportation and assembly time. They were fully armed units, clad on the outside with steel and aluminium plate, whose upright system facilitated transport by land. The construction of these emergency schools to project three essential elements: modular rooms, covered steps and sheds to protect the multipurpose fields (in some cases), gardens and playgrounds are also incorporated. The contribution of the architectural design consisted of the spatial organization, the functional arrangement and the conformation of fills and voids to create an environment conducive to education, which in many cases surpasses the original enclosures.

The Chilean Regulation, Supreme Decree 548 in Articles 11 and 12, grants these emergency schools to consider the norms related to the conditions of capacity, health and hygiene for the enrollment to attend and is given a maximum term of five years to comply with the requirement entirely [26]. Furthermore, around 60% of these emergency schools are still standing today, although 11 years have passed in their construction. However, they have deficiencies in the quality efficiency-energy and waterproofing of the modules considering the repeated use by the school community [27]. The Modular Emergency School Iloca has taken as a reference. This new emergency construction, manufactured by the Tecno Fast company, housed 200 students between 1st and 8th grade in a 300 m2 school, being the first of 320 modular emergency schools built in Chile by the “Schools for Chile” foundation (Table 1). A Modular school has built in just 20 days between the earthquake and its inauguration. Its rapid management and construction allowed the classes without delay. The school has six classrooms, bathrooms, a computer, a teacher, and a dining room designed to consider thermal insulation and energy efficiency (Figures 2-5). The module structures have made of steel and wood, manufactured and transported, finished to their destination and those that come fully equipped from the factory. The Research emphasis is placed on the classroom (Figure 6), as it is the venue where students do the most activities and spend most of the time; that is why its correct conception is vital when running a modular emergency school. Classrooms have intrinsic advantages in modular construction, as is the versatility and flexibility. Two modules of 2.5 x 6 meters have used for their construction, where they intended for a maximum of 25 students per classroom.

| Construction Year | Company                  | Level           | Capacity | Size   |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| 2010-2014         | Anglo American -Tecnofast| Primary School  | 200 students | 341 M2 |

Source: developed by the author.

Figure 2: Floor Iloca school.
Source: developed by the authors from the original project Tecnofast, 2010.

Figure 3: East elevation Iloca school.
Source: developed by the authors from the original project Tecnofast, 2010.
The main design criteria used in the classroom includes a height from floor to ceiling of 2400 mm, structured to withstand an overload of 200 kg/m². The foundations have made of pine wood supports. The idea is to transfer the loads to the ground with maximum contact stress of 1.45 Kg/cm³; it is considered 2.0 kg/cm in the case of seismic stresses. The structuring comprises radiata pine wood considering a 90 mm thick floor (walls and ceiling structure). The interior floor finish liner is 1.5mm wide vinyl flooring, laid over the polished surface. The exterior wall cladding has considered siding in wood type smart panels of 7/16", with a synthetic oil painted finish. At the same time, the walls considered in the interior plasterboard RF (fire resistance) of 15 mm thickness, covered with 9 mm thick grooved decorative phenolic plywood sheet, with paint finish. The roof has a 1.2 mm PVC membrane covered on the outside, which receives the OSB plate, and the ceiling finishes with 15 mm RF cardboard plaster, wallpaper with vinyl mural paper. Moreover, the classrooms consider a wooden door peephole with double pane windows; thermo-enamelled aluminium frame vertical sliding with 815x1215 mm thermopanel glass; five windows to achieve air circulation, considering that the orientation of these classrooms is East-West.

**Methodology**

A diagnosis of the current project will be carried out, based on the TDRe MOP standards, to verify its capacities to limit energy demand and promote energy efficiency in its thermal facilities. The variables considered for this research are the Climate zone (limit values for climate zone) and passive design strategies (orientation, heating, cooling, natural ventilation) (Table 2). A systematic summary of the research methodology is present.
Second, the natural ventilation capacity will be analyzed, under current normative parameters contained in the General Urban Planning and Construction Ordinance, article 4.5.6, in such a way as to comply with the adequate air quality for the premises school classrooms with their climate zone. Third, an expert panel of architects meets to analyze the results, which test different alternatives to reach an optimal level of the dependent variables studied concerning the climatic zone.

Table 2: Variable and consideration for diagnosis analysis.

| Variable | Consideration |
|----------|---------------|
| 1. Climatic Zone (Independent Zone) | Identification of the climatic zone according to the Chilean Norm (NCh 1079 Of. 2008) and the climatic data of the place |
| 1.1. Analysis Limit values for Climatic Zone | Thermal transmittance U: heat flow that passes per unit surface area of the element and degree of the temperature difference between the two environments separated by said element. (NCh 853 Of. 2007) it is expressed in W/(m²xk) and calculated 853 Of. 2007. The limit thermal transmission must be read as the maximum value that the construction solution must have. Thus, the U-values obtained must be equal to or less than the limit values set in the comparison instrument (TDRe MOP) |
| 2. Analysis of passive design strategies (Dependent variable) | We have focused on an envelope with characteristics that adequately limit the energy demand to satisfy the thermal comfort requirements of the living spaces, in this case, the classroom. |
| 2.1. Orientation | The orientation of buildings largely determines the energy demand for heating and cooling of the building in the future. Good guidance could considerably minimize energy demands by controlling solar gains. (TDFe, MOP-DA_PART1) |
| 2.2. Passive heating strategies | Winter strategies have aimed at passive heating of spaces. Still, it is crucial to discriminate whether the climatic and use characteristics of the building result in these strategies being relevant (TDRe_MOP-DA_PART1) |
| 2.3. Passive cooling strategies | Summer strategies have aimed at passive cooling of spaces through adequate solar protection that considers the different orientations of the enclosure, natural ventilation and passive evaporative cooling in those cases where the local climate allow it (TDRe_MOP-DA_PART1) |
| 2.4. Natural ventilation strategies | Ventilation strategies should be considered for winter and summer, aiming to ensure the indoor air quality in winter. At the same time, in summer, it must also provide the thermal comfort of its occupants. (TDRe_MOP-DA-PART1) |

Source: developed by the authors.

Results

Passive design strategy analysis: It has estimated that, between the ages of 4 and 17, people spend at least 70% time in a school classroom. Starting from this base, providing a healthy and comfortable space is essential. High environmental quality in these buildings can significantly improve the students’ attention span, concentration, learning, hearing, and behaviour. (Freitag, P. K., Woods, J. E., Hemler, B., Sensharma, N. P., Penney, B. A. & Marx, G. 2002). For this reason, that the analysis of the passive strategies...
of this building is essential, especially when in a catastrophe situation, the power supplies have cut off, and the building must function with maximum autonomy. The passive strategies to analyze are:

**Orientation**

![Figure 8: Solar path.](image)
*Source: developed by the authors*

The orientation of the classrooms should ideally be north-south since there is greater control of solar radiation through an eave on the north façade (Figure 8). At the same time and due to the solar inclination, no damping will occur. However, as shown in (Figure 9), the orientation of the school is east-west. This location can cause glare and overheat, especially on the western side.

![Figure 9: Passive cooling.](image)
*Source: developed by the authors.*

**Passive heating strategies:** Due to the east-west orientation (Figure 10), the passive heating strategy of this school is deficient since, during the winter, there are very few hours of sunlight on these facades. To this must be added the overheating that occurs predominantly on the west facade in the summer period. In summary, passive heating does not take place adequately in
winter. Furthermore, overheating is also generated in summer since the windows do not have sun protection and have no solar absorption coefficient.

**Passive cooling strategies:** In general, passive cooling systems are recommended mainly in public buildings located in the climatic zones 1-Norte Litoral, 2-Norte Desértico, 3-Norte Valles Transversal, 4- Litoral Center and 5-Interior Center, which correspond to climatic zones characterized by their high temperatures in summer (Manual de Diseño Pasivo y Eficiencia Energética en Edificios Públicos p.77). Such is the case of this school that belongs to zone 4 litoral centre. This school does not have either two cooling system forms (natural ventilation or passive strategy). No cross ventilation allows the classroom to cool down since the air has trapped in the corridor of the building, as shown in (Figure 9).

**Natural ventilation strategies:** There are 4 operable windows for air intake in each classroom. However, the air outlet has reduced since one window per room. In addition, the air tends to be trapped in the corridor, as shown in (Figures 11 & 12). It does not have mechanical systems of ventilation. Therefore, the only possibility of air exchange is through natural ventilation. This problem represents the renewal of air is essential to control carbon dioxide levels, humidity, and pollutants in suspension present in the interior spaces.

### Analysis limit U values for climate zone Z 4CL:

To check the limit values of thermal transmittance of the envelope, we proceed to the thermal calculation of each element (Roof, wall and ventilated floor) under the calculation standard of NCh 853 Of. 2007.

\[
R_T = \frac{1}{U} = R_{si} + \sum e \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} + R_{se}
\]

Where:
- \(R_T\) = Total thermal resistance (m² x k)/W
- \(\sum e \frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\) = Summation of the thermal resistances of the layers that make up the element
- \(R_{si}\) = Interior surface resistance
\[
R_{se} = \text{Exterior surface resistance} \\
U = \text{Thermal transmittance } W/(m^2 \times k) \\
\overline{U} = \frac{1}{R_T} = \sum \frac{U_i \times A_i}{\sum A_i}
\]

\(A_i\) = mean thermal transmittance \\
\(\overline{U}\) = the surface of the part of the element to which a thermal transmittance \(U_i\) corresponds

For climatic zone 4 Central Litoral, the values of maximum thermal transmittance for the envelope (U) are the following: Roof limit 0.6, Wall 0.8, Ventilated floor 1.2.

**Constructive description:** It is a pavilion on one floor, consisting of 21 transportable modular units. Each modular unit is factory assembled, placed on a wooden foundation. Its envelope is composed as follows:

**Table 3:** Values of maximum thermal transmittance for envelope (U), climatic zone 4 Central Litoral.

| Items       | Iloca School U Value w/(m²k) | Zone 4 CL U Value w/(m²k) |
|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Roof limit transmittance | 0.47                         | 0.6                       |

**Source:** developed by the authors.

![Construction detail of the placement of thermal insulation on the roof](source)

**Figure 13:** Construction detail of the placement of thermal insulation on the roof (Thermal insulation is interrupted by roof beams). **Source:** developed by the authors.

**Table 4:** The result from roofing thermal transmittance.

| Items     | Iloca School U Value w/(m²k) | Zone 4 CL U Value w/(m²k) |
|-----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Roof      | 0.47                         | 0.6                       |

**Source:** developed by the authors.

**Table 5:** Technical specification of the wall.

| Exterior finish              | Siding cladding in wood type Smart Panel 7/6” (11.1mm) from Louisiana Pacific, with two hands of semi-gloss synthetic oil finish |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cover                        | 9.5 mm OSB exterior                                                                                                        |
| Stud and top&bottom plate    | 42mm x 90mm radiant pine H=12%, each 406 mm e.e.                                                                            |
| Insulation                   | 90 mm thick fiberglass                                                                                                      |
| Vapour barrier               | polythene thickness 0.15 mm                                                                                                 |
| Top finish                   | 15 mm plasterboard, RF type, pre-wrapped with the paper vinyl mural                                                        |
| Lower cladding up to 1.20 meters | A decorative phenolic plywood sheets, 9 mm slotted of thickness                                                          |

**Source:** developed by the authors.
Roof: the construction solution has made up from the outside to the inside (Table 3). (Figure 13) indicated the placement of the thermal insulation. The result (Table 4) is that the thermal transmittance of the school roof is below the limit value required for the 4CL climatic zone, according to the TDRe MOP standard.

Wall: the construction solution has made up from the outside to the inside (Table 5). (Figure 14) indicated the placement of the thermal insulation. The panel is composed only of stud and plate, and the thermal insulation goes between the studs. The result (Table 6) is that the school’s thermal transmittance was below the limit value required for the 4CL climatic zone, according to the TDRe MOP standard.

![Figure 14: Constructive detail of the wall. Source: developed by the authors.](image)

![Figure 15: Constructive detail of the ventilated floor. Thermal insulation is interrupted by floor beams. Source: developed by the authors.](image)

Table 6: Result thermal transmittance of the wall.

| Items                  | Iloca School U Value w/(m²k) | Zone 4 CL U Value w/(m²k) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Wall limit transmittance | 0.48                         | 0.8                       |

Source: developed by the authors.

Table 7: Technical specification of the ventilated floor.

| Cover               | 15 mm OSB exterior, glued and bracketed |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Floor Beams         | 42 mm x 190 mm Pine Radiata H=12% with fasteners of beams every 406 mm e/e |
| Insulation          | 90 mm thick fiberglass                    |
| Floor               | 15 mm structural plywood, glued and screwed |
| Floor covering      | Vinyl siding in roll 1.5 mm thick. It placed on the polished surface |

Source: developed by the authors.
Ventilated floor: the construction solution has made up from the outside to the inside (Table 7). Figure 15 indicated the placement of the thermal insulation.

The result (Table 8) is that the thermal transmittance of the school wall is below the limit value required for the 4CL climatic zone, according to the TDR e MOP standard. In summary, the thermal transmittance of the envelope complies with all the limit values of zone 4 Central Litoral. Regarding the rest of the climatic zones of Chile, the result is the following (Table 9). According to (Table 9), the school understudy’s constructive solution is fully compliant in 3 of the 9 climate zones (33%). We can also observe that:

Table 8: Result thermal transmittance of the ventilated floor.

| Variable                     | Iloca School U Value W/(m²·k) | Zone 4 CL U Value W/(m²·k) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Ventilated floor limit transmittance | 0.39                           | 1.2                       |

Source: developed by the authors.

Table 9: Comparative table of results for the nine climatic zones of Chile.

| Variable                     | Iloca School | Zone 4CL | Zone 1NL | Zone 2ND | Zone 3NVT | Zone 5CL | Zone 6SL | Zone 8SE | Zone 7SI | Zone 9AN | Compliance |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Roof limit transmittance     | 0.47         | 0.6      | 0.8      | 0.4      | 0.6       | 0.4      | 0.3      | 0.25     | 0.25     | 33%      |
| Wall limit transmittance     | 0.48         | 0.8      | 2        | 0.5      | 0.8       | 0.6      | 0.5      | 0.4      | 0.3      |          |
| Ventilated floor limit transmittance | 0.39         | 1.2      | 3        | 0.7      | 1.2       | 0.8      | 0.8      | 0.7      | 0.5      | 0.4      | 33%        |

Source: developed by the authors.

I. The construction system fully complies with the site climate zones Z 4CL, Z 1NL and Z 3NVT (33%)

II. The original thermal solution does not discriminate the amount of thermal insulation for each enclosure element; it is 90mm of glass wool in walls, ventilated floors and roofs, which causes over-dimensioning, especially in walls and ventilated floors.

III. The ratio of area and volume of air appropriate to students’ capacity (Artículo 4.5.6 OGUC, (Tables 10-12)): To ensure an adequate air volume for the student capacity, activity rooms, classrooms, workshops, laboratories, and libraries must meet the standards indicated in the following table: Therefore, the relation between area and volume for adequate air for each student is deficient, considering 25 students for these classrooms.

Table 10: Student area, volume and capacity values (artíc. 4.5.6).

| Parameters                      | Air Volume M³/ Student | Classroom and Activity Room Surface | Surface Workshops m²/Student |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Required                        | 3                      | 1.1                                | 1.5                         |

Source: developed by the authors.

Table 11: Classroom dimensions.

| Parameters                        | Classroom Surface (6) | Classroom Height (roof to ceiling) | Number of students per classroom |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Required                          | 27 m²                 | 2.40 m                            | 25                              |

Source: developed by the authors.

Table 12: Relation among legal framework and case of study in terms of adequate air volume.

| Parameters | Air Volume M³/ student | Classroom and activity room surface | Surface workshops m²/student |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Required   | 3                      | 1.1                                | 1.5                          |
| Case of Study | 2.59                  | 1.08                               | 0.52                         |

Source: developed by the authors.

Discussion and Proposal

Currently, Chile does not have expedited access to financing for architecture projects in critical infrastructure before a catastrophe. Only at the time of the emergency, public funds are allocated; therefore, there is no prevention building-architectural prevention against a crisis [9]. The incorporation of passive
architectural design strategies to achieve environmental comfort conditions (hygrothermal, visual, acoustic and air quality) demanding a minimum of energy according to the local climatic characteristics of the school [28]. The prefabrication of modular elements allows structural construction and fully integrated construction elements; thermally insulated panels, membranes, piping, and basic finishes [29]. Inferring the above and as a conditioning principle of the proposal, it is proposed not to modify the modulation or squareness of the structure or the characteristics of the complementary construction elements. Therefore, the proposal will address three aspects: thermal envelope, ventilation and orientation. The thermal envelope has defined as the perimeter elements of buildings that separate them from the outside environment, either from a contiguous open space or an unconditioned space [30]. According to the thermal transmittance analysis and under the premise of not modifying the section of the timber structural elements. It proposed to increase the thermal insulation, exclusively in the roof, since the height of the beam allows it, but not the sections of the right foot of the exterior walls.

In addition, it has suggested that a water-repellent membrane be incorporated throughout the envelope to act as a moisture and wind barrier, which has not considered in the initial module (Figure 16). The second dimension to be reformed corresponds to ventilation, which has understood as the change of a portion of air that is considered undesirable for another of better quality, which improves its purity, temperature, humidity, etc. [31]. Likewise, it is evident that when designing more thermally protected buildings with lower levels of thermal transmission and more airtight, the solution for ventilation in the building becomes more complex [32]. For this reason, as a passive ventilation strategy, the one given by the height effect will have used the air direction a fundamental factor to has considered. Therefore, for greater comfort through ventilation, the inlet windows should be at the lower part, at the level of the people in the enclosure, and the upper outlet windows will allow the extraction of the warm air deposited in the upper layers of the interior space [31]. The module has implemented replicating the exterior facing towards the interior, preserving its two windows or replacing one with a door opening to access the enclosure. In addition to this, it recommended placing the sash windows opening downwards so that the space for ventilation is in the upper part of the wall, thus promoting the strategy of ventilation by height. Likewise, for this strategy to be effective, the interior corridor, from where the enclosures are accessed, should raise its roof to evacuate the hot air through the proposed upper windows, as shown in (Figures 17-19). Complementary, the energy demand for both heating and cooling depends mainly on the orientation of the building enclosures. Therefore, a good orientation could considerably minimize energy demands by controlling solar gains [28].

**Figure 16:** Roof construction detail. 
*Source:* developed by the authors.

**Figure 17:** Scheme of Ventilated classroom. 
*Source:* developed by the authors.
A north façade receives solar radiation during most of the day depending on the latitude and the time of year. In winter, the sun is lower concerning the zenith to have a greater penetration through glazed surfaces. This façade has shaded in summer with horizontal shading such as eaves. (Passive Design Manual, p.22). Eaves are not included in the proposal but can be considered external elements superimposed on the module, as was proposed with the covered walkway structure. On the other hand, the southern façade does not receive direct solar radiation during most of the year. Only in summer it may receive some sun; depending on the latitude; it does not require solar protection. [33] However, depending on the climate in which the project location, the glazed surfaces of this façade must achieve an adequate balance to avoid excessive heat loss and achieve good natural lighting. [28].

Therefore, we proposed to change the orientation of the school modules to North-South, as shown in (Figure 20). Finally, the proposal seeks to improve the base case to allow this modular construction installation in more climatic zones from the thermal
envelope’s adaptability and correct significant deficiencies that affect indoor air quality in classrooms. In this way, its adaptation to different climates (variable 1.1) and its habitability optimized by improving its passive strategies and natural ventilation system (variables 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4), all without structurally modifying the base module (Table 13). In this way, the base module increases its applicability from 3 to 6 climatic zones (yield 66%). In summary, the aim is to increase the habitability and, therefore, the durability of the modular school solution, maximizing improvements, but without modifying the layout of Tecnofast, the industrializing factory. In this way, the company will not have to incur significant investments to better future school projects.

Table 13: Comparative table of improvement results for the nine climatic zones of Chile.

| Variable                          | Iloca School | Zone 4CL | Zone 1NL | Zone 2ND | Zone 3NVT | Zone 5CL | Zone 6SL | Zone 8SE | Zone 7SI | Zone 9AN | Compliance |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Roof limit transmittance          | 0.39         | 1.2      | 3        | 0.7      | 1.2       | 0.8      | 0.8      | 0.7      | 0.5      | 0.4      | 66%        |
| Wall limit transmittance          | 0.48         | 0.8      | 2        | 0.5      | 0.8       | 0.6      | 0.6      | 0.5      | 0.4      | 0.3      |            |
| Ventilated floor limit transmittance | 0.33       | 0.6      | 0.8      | 0.4      | 0.6       | 0.4      | 0.4      | 0.3      | 0.25     | 0.25     |            |

Source: developed by the authors.

Conclusion

First, the base module responds thermally to 3 climatic zones in Chile. Zone 4 Central Litoral, Zone 1 Norte Litoral and Zone 3 Norte Valle Transversal. (33% performance) but presents deficiencies in the quality of the indoor air in the classrooms. The prototype allows generic adaptations that increase the habitability of the classroom in different climatic zones, making it more efficient. The new comfort in habitability contributes to a significant advance as a response method for critical infrastructure. To increase adaptability from an environmental design approach and the lowest economic cost means increasing resilience. It also strengthens the paradigm shift of moving from emergencies towards a permanent solution where modular architecture can be thought from the concept of resilience and expansion projection, contributing to a built back better approach in disaster risk reduction strategy.
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