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ABSTRACT

Objective: To find out the rate of remission of Pre-B Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia in children at the end of induction treatment with United Kingdom Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia (UKALL) 2011 chemotherapy protocol.

Study Design: Prospective observational study.

Place and Duration of Study: Paediatric Oncology Unit, Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi, from Nov 2017 to Oct 2018.

Methodology: Data of newly diagnosed patients of Pre-B Acute lymphoblastic Leukaemia, between 1 and 15 years of age was analysed. Patients were divided into low and high-risk groups and treated with United Kingdom Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia 2011 induction chemotherapy on regimens A and B respectively. Bone marrow aspiration was performed at the end of induction therapy (28 days), to document their remission status. Patients having ≤5% of blast cells were categorized to be in remission state and those with >5% blast cells were not considered in a state of remission.

Results: A total of 79 patients, 45 (57%) male and 34 (43%) females were enrolled. The mean age was 5.79 ± 3.59 years. Fever (86.1%) and pallor (77.2%) were the most common presentations. Fifty-three (67.1%) patients were treated with regimen A and 26 (32.9%) had regimen B chemotherapy. Febrile neutropenia and myopathy were the most common complications seen in 73 (92.4%) and 54 (71.1%) patients respectively. Eight patients (10.1%) died during induction chemotherapy. Bone marrow aspiration done at the end showed a 100% rate of remission for both regimens A and B.

Conclusion: Risk-based treatment of paediatric Acute lymphoblastic Leukaemia achieves very good remission after induction treatment. Treatment-related mortality is high in our setup.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) is known as the most prevalent malignancy in paediatric age group and it accounts for 80% cases of acute leukaemia and its subtype Pre-B ALL is considered the most prevalent subtype. In Paediatric Precursor-B Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia (Pre-B-ALL), an early response to chemotherapy regimens after induction has a high prognostic significance which predicts the future outcome of patients. Chemotherapy intensification regimens have shown a remarkable improvement in the cure rate of pediatric patients from ALL. The response of disease to treatment is affected by the drug sensitivity of blast cells as well as pharmacogenomics and pharmacodynamics values of the host, however, an early response to the treatment has high prognostic significance. The rate at which cells of leukaemia are eradicated after the commencement of disease treatment and the status of residual disease after induction is helpful in determining the future outcome of patients and treatment can be modified to achieve long term control of the disease. Morphology of the bone marrow at the end of induction treatment is used to evaluate response to chemotherapy treatment. There are a number of studies conducted previously in our setup, this study was intended to extend past research in our setup with limited resources with fresh data of patients. It was planned to document the status of remission after the administration of induction chemotherapy in young children suffering from Pre-B ALL in Combined Military Hospital (CMH) Rawalpindi. The purpose of the study was to analyse the rate of remission after induction chemotherapy in pediatric ALL cases.

METHODOLOGY

This prospective observational study was performed in the pediatric oncology unit of CMH Rawalpindi, from November 2017 to October 2018. All newly diagnosed cases of Paediatric Precursor-B Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia (Pre-B-ALL) between 1-15 years of age were included. Patients who had received chemotherapy at any other center before coming to CMH and T-cell acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia and lym-
phoma were excluded. Informed consent of patients was taken and study was started after approval from Hospital Ethics Review Committee.

Acute Lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) was diagnosed by applying standard techniques of bone marrow morphology and immunophenotyping. Bone marrow aspiration sampling was done through the posterior superior iliac spine with a bone marrow biopsy needle under sedation after the informed consent of parents/guardians of patients. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis was done to document CNS involvement. Baseline investigations including full blood count, biochemical profile (liver and renal function tests, lactate dehydrogenase, uric acid, calcium, phosphate levels) and echocardiography were performed before starting chemotherapy.

Patients were divided into two risk and treatment groups as per National Cancer Institute (NCI) criteria. Patients having WBC count less than 50,000 cells/mm$^3$ (50.0 x 10$^9$ cells/L) and age more than one year and <10 years were categorized in standard-risk group and patients having WBC count >50,000 cells/mm$^3$ (50 x 10$^9$ cells/L) or age >10 years or unfavorable cytogenetics were placed in high-risk group. Induction chemotherapy was given as per UKALL 2011 standard Arm protocols. The standard risk group of patients received regimens A chemotherapy consisting of Dexamethasone, Vincristine, and Peg-Asparaginase and High-risk group of patients received regimen B consisting of methotrexate chemotherapy according to the age of the patient.

Neutropenic fever was managed with broad-spectrum antibiotics and antifungal as per the Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA) guidelines. Blood products were transfused on as and when required basis. Bone marrow aspiration was performed after the induction phase of chemotherapy to document the remission status of patients. Patients having ≤5% of blast cells were categorized in remission while patients with >5% blast cells were labelled as not in remission.

Independent sample t-test and chi-squared tests were used for comparison between continuous and categorical variables. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS-23 and a p-value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

During the study period of one year, data of 79 patients of pre-B ALL including 45 (57.0%) boys and 34 (43%) girls `data were analyzed. The mean age was 5.79 ± 3.59 years. The main presenting features were fever, pallor, bruising and body aches. The mean time to report to an oncologist after the onset of symptoms was 61.59 ± 62.02 days. Fifty-three (67.1%) patients were kept in the standard-risk group and were treated with regimen A chemotherapy and 26 (32.9%) patients were in a high-risk group and they were given regimen B chemotherapy (table-I).

| Variable | Regimen A n (%) | Regimen B n (%) | Total n (%) | p-value |
|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| Total number | 53 (67.1%) | 26 (32.9%) | 79 (100%) | 0.001 |
| Age (Years) | 4.68 ± 2.79 | 8.06 ± 4.00 | 5.79 ± 3.59 | 0.544 |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 29 (54.7) | 16 (61.5) | 45 (57.0) | |
| Female | 24 (45.3) | 10 (38.5) | 34 (43.0) | |
| Blood Counts at the Time of Presentation | | | | |
| WBC count (x10$^9$/L) | 14.26 ± 14.16 | 68.74±71.43 | 32.19 ± 49.32 | 0.001 |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl) | 7.58 ± 2.63 | 7.80±2.64 | 7.65 ± 2.62 | 0.882 |
| Platelets (x10$^9$/L) | 77.28 ± 107.72 | 49.96±39.02 | 68.29 ± 91.60 | 0.012 |
| Time to report to oncologist | 66.30 ± 63.84 | 52.00±58.17 | 61.59 ± 62.02 | 0.544 |
| Presentation | | | | |
| Fever | 46 (86.8) | 22 (84.6) | 68 (86.1) | 0.793 |
| Pallor | 44 (83.0) | 17 (65.4) | 61 (77.2) | 0.079 |
| Bruising & Bleeding | 8 (15.1) | 6 (23.1) | 14 (17.7) | 0.383 |
| Bone Pains | 22 (41.5) | 14 (53.8) | 36 (45.6) | 0.301 |
| Lymphadenopathy | 22 (41.5) | 12 (46.2) | 34 (43.0) | 0.695 |
| CNS Positive | 3 (5.7) | - | 3 (3.8) | 0.216 |

Dexamethasone, Vincristine, Peg-Asparaginase, and Daunorubicin. Both groups also received intrathecal Neutropenic fever was the commonest side effects seen during induction chemotherapy, in 73 (92.4%)
cases. Forty-nine (92.5%) patients in regimen A and 24 (92.3%) patients in regimen B suffered from neutropenic fever ($p=0.982$). Steroids induced proximal myopathy was the second most common complication seen in 58 (73.4%) cases. Vincristine-induced neuropathy was documented in 6 (8.2%) cases.

Treatment-related mortality (TRM) was 8 (10.1%). TRM was 4 (7.5%) in regimen A and 4 (15.4%) in the regimen B group ($p=0.278$). The remaining 71 (85.5%) patients had bone marrow aspiration to document the remission status. Cytogenetics analysis revealed 5 cases of hyperdiploidy and one case was t(12;21), all the results were shown in table-II. The remission was 48/48 (100%) in regimen A and 23/23 (100%) in the regimen B group as shown in table-III.

| Cytogenetic Analysis | n (%) |
|----------------------|-------|
| Normal cytogenetics  | 18 (70%) |
| Hyperdiploidy        | 5 (21%) |
| t(12;21)             | 1 (4%) |

Table-II: Cytogenetic analysis of samples.

| Remission Rate | Regimen A | Regimen B | Total |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| 48/48 (100%)   | 04 (7.5%) | 04 (15.4%)| 08    |

Table-III: Rate of remission and TRM of patients.

DISCUSSION

The induction phase of chemotherapy aims to eradicate the leukemic cells (lymphoblasts) from the bone marrow. This phase consists of four weeks of chemotherapy. Serial monitoring of disease gives a remarkable insight into the efficacy of the ongoing treatment regimen. After completing 28 days of initial induction chemotherapy all patients undergo bone marrow aspiration cytology. Remission is assessed after induction chemotherapy and it is reflected by the percentage of blast cells present in bone marrow if it is <5%, the patient is said to be in remission state$^{10}$. According to a study those patients who are unable to get complete remission within the period of first four weeks of induction chemotherapy, approximately one-half of them undergo a toxic death during the treatment of induction phase (which is usually caused by infection) and the rest half of these will be labelled as resistant disease (persistent morphologic leukemia)$^{11-13}$. In our study, some cytogenetic studies were not conclusive due to culture failure. Cytogenetic analysis results were productive in 24 (31%) cases. The majority of cases 18/24 (75%) had normal cytogenetics. While 5 (21%) cases showed hyperdiploidy followed by one (4%) case of t(12;21) (table-II).

By assessing remission status at the end of induction a physician can predict outcome and can decide to modify treatment regimen. Most patients experiencing persistent leukemia after completing four-week induction therapy show a poor prognosis and are labelled as chemoresistant. They may get benefit from hematopoietic stem cell transplant therapy once complete remission from disease is achieved$^{14-16}$. According to a study conducted by Outdo et al, 90% of patients with ALL can be placed in remission state if treated carefully. This rate has greatly improved since the induction of chemotherapy, previously it was 10%.$^{16}$ Keeping in view a few certain factors such as lack of resources, and awareness about cancer and its cure, limited studies are available to document the remission status of ALL patients in developing countries. The remission rate of our patients (100%) is comparable to other studies conducted in developed countries demonstrating post-induction remission rate up to 95%$^{11-13,17}$. Michael et al found that day-29 minimal residual disease (MRD) appeared to predict both early and late relapse and it was the most important prognostic factor$^7$.

During our study 8 patients expired at the induction phase of therapy which demonstrated 10.1% (8/79) treatment-related mortality (TRM). Major causes of mortality were sepsis and febrile neutropenia (90%). Other causes include respiratory failure and GIT complications and one patient died of brain abscess.

A study which was conducted in Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Lahore, Pakistan enrolled 81 patients of ALL with age group of 2-16 years documented 25.9% (21/81) overall induction related mortality with 23% (19/81) mortality among pre-B ALL cases following UKALL 2003 protocol, among them 95% (20/21) cases of mortality were due to infection. It showed 54% (44/81) remission rate on day 8 bone marrow while 45 patients assessed at day 28 showed 100% remission$^{18}$. Another study conducted in the same institute in Lahore, Pakistan by Asim et al from 2001-2005 documented 12.8% (39/304) mortality in ALL patients during the induction phase of therapy. It showed 85% infection-related mortality out of total deaths$^{19}$. The statistics mentioned in these studies closely coincide with our results regarding the achievement of remission induction and minimizing treatment-related mortality. However, the rate of mortality was greater in a study conducted by Maaz et al and it was due to an outbreak of Acinetobacter in...
the hospital. This outbreak had a significant effect on remission status\(^\text{18}\).

Various studies have documented the strong association between minimal residual disease (MRD) levels and the outcome of treatment in childhood ALL\(^\text{20,21}\). Dario Campana and Ching-Hon Put in a study supported the concept that assessment of MRD after primary phases of chemotherapy provides a reliable measurement of the sensitivity of the drug in leukemic lymphoblasts\(^\text{22}\).

CONCLUSION

Risk-based treatment of paediatric ALL was found to achieve good remission rates after induction treatment. Treatment-related mortality was comparable to other studies. Assessment of the remission status of leukaemia patients at the end of induction has high prognostic significance. More multi-centered studies are required to compare the optimum outcome of commonly used chemotherapy regimens in the region.
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