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A PAR-1–dependent orientation gradient of dynamic microtubules directs posterior cargo transport in the Drosophila oocyte
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Cytoskeletal organization is central to establishing cell polarity in various cellular contexts, including during messenger ribonucleic acid sorting in Drosophila melanogaster oocytes by microtubule (MT)-dependent molecular motors. However, MT organization and dynamics remain controversial in the oocyte. In this paper, we use rapid multichannel live-cell imaging with novel image analysis, tracking, and visualization tools to characterize MT polarity and dynamics while imaging posterior cargo transport. We found that all MTs in the oocyte were highly dynamic and were organized with a biased random polarity that increased toward the posterior. This organization originated through MT nucleation at the oocyte nucleus and cortex, except at the posterior end of the oocyte, where PAR-1 suppressed nucleation. Our findings explain the biased random posterior cargo movements in the oocyte that establish the germline and posterior.

Introduction

The organization of the cytoskeleton is central to establishing cell polarity in oocytes and somatic cells in a variety of animals (Etienne-Manneville and Hall, 2003; Steinhauser and Kalderon, 2006) and has been a subject of considerable interest (Steinhauser and Kalderon, 2006). The general consensus has been that generating a strong intracellular polarity always requires the cytoskeleton to become highly polarized. In the Drosophila melanogaster oocyte, establishing the germline and future axes of the embryo depends critically on molecular motor-based transport of mRNA cargoes along microtubules (MTs; Brendza et al., 2000; Palacios and St Johnston, 2002; Tekotte and Davis, 2002; Serbus et al., 2005). For example, bcd (bicoid) and grk (gurken) mRNA, which are essential to establish the antero-posterior and dorsoventral body axes, are transported by the MT minus end–directed Dynein motor to the anterior cortex and dorsoventral corner, respectively (St Johnston et al., 1989; Roth et al., 1995). In a similar way, the plus end–directed motor Kin1 (Kinesin-1; Brendza et al., 2000) transports mRNA encoding the posterior axis and germline determinant osk (oskar; Ephrussi et al., 1991) to the posterior. Similar mechanisms of transporting mRNAs operate in a wide range of polarized cell types, including neurons and fibroblasts, in which mRNA localization targets synthesis of proteins to their site of function (St Johnston, 2005; Czaplinski and Singer, 2006; Rodriguez et al., 2008; Meignin and Davis, 2010; Weil et al., 2010).

The prevailing view of MT organization in the Drosophila oocyte is of a relatively stable network with a strong bias in MT orientation toward the posterior. This notion is largely based on static analysis of MT organization in fixed material (Theurkauf et al., 1992; Cha et al., 2002; Januschke et al., 2006) or using Tau-GFP to mark all MTs in living egg chambers (Micklem et al., 1997). Furthermore, the final distributions of cargoes or modified motor protein reporters have been used to infer indirectly the overall distribution of plus or minus ends (Clark et al., 1994, 1997; Micklem et al., 1997; Cha et al., 2002; Becalska and Gavis, 2010). These numerous studies have led to the formulation of three main conflicting models for the organization of the MT network that directs the polarized transport of RNA...
cargoes (Clark et al., 1994, 1997; Theurkauf and Hazelrigg, 1998; Cha et al., 2001, 2002; Januschke et al., 2006; Zimyanin et al., 2008). In the simplest model, MTs are highly polarized along the anteroposterior axis, such that minus ends are located at the anterior with plus ends extending toward the posterior (Clark et al., 1994, 1997), and MTs show an overall gradient of decreasing density from anterior to posterior (Micklem et al., 1997). In the second model, MTs are nucleated around the cortex of the oocyte, with the exception of the posterior, leading to plus ends of MTs being directed toward the center (Cha et al., 2002; Serbus et al., 2005). A variation on this model is one in which the MTs are nucleated predominantly from the oocyte nucleus (Januschke et al., 2006) rather than all over the anterior. The third model proposes that a nonpolarized MT network carries out transport along specifically oriented, biochemically and functionally distinct MT subpopulations. Although posttranslational modifications of MTs, such as acetylation, detyrosination, and glutamylation, that could account for this are known from other systems (Reed et al., 2006; Dunn et al., 2008; Hammond et al., 2008; Bartolini and Gundersen, 2010), there is currently no clear evidence to identify such subpopulations in the Drosophila oocyte from early to mid-oogenesis. The limitations of our understanding of MT organization in the oocyte were brought into sharp focus when osk mRNA was shown to move at the posterior of the oocyte in a biased random walk (Zimyanin et al., 2008), which could not be explained well by any of the prevailing models, leading to the possibility that the MTs themselves could adopt such a biased random distribution of polarity. However, this hypothesis could not be tested directly with the available data and methods of analysis of MT organization. Moreover, to date, the different models for MT organization have not been definitively tested because of a lack of direct studies of the dynamics and orientations of the individual MTs that make up the MT network in the oocyte. This is mostly because of technical difficulties in recording the complexity of MT architecture and dynamics in such a large cell in vivo but also stems from the inability to fix MTs instantly without some degree of depolymerization. These limitations apply to oocytes and somatic cells in other systems, and in general, there have not been any suitable imaging and image analysis tools for the description of global MT polarity. Here, we distinguish between the prevailing models for MT organization and test whether there is an underlying biased random distribution of MT plus ends toward the posterior that could account for the observed posterior cargo transport. We achieve this by characterizing the relationship between cargo movements and MT distribution and by mapping global MT orientation in living oocytes using the MT plus end marker EB1-GFP (Shimada et al., 2006) together with state of the art imaging and development of novel image analysis, statistical, and visualization methods. We find a highly dynamic MT network, upon which we observe cargo movements that, despite its apparent randomness, shows an underlying directionality bias that increases from anterior to posterior. Our observations provide a good explanation for why osk mRNA and Staufen protein move in a biased random walk to the posterior. We find no evidence of stable MT subpopulations. Our experiments demonstrate MT nucleation from the anterolateral cortex in a gradient of diminishing abundance to a complete absence from the posterior, where we show that MT nucleation is suppressed by PAR-1. We propose that subtleties in the organization of a highly dynamic MT network are a widespread feature of cells displaying complex behaviors and changes in polarity.

**Results**

**Dynamic MTs form a network extending from the anterior to the posterior of stage 9 oocytes**

Transport by the MT plus end–directed motor Kin1 has been shown to be responsible for posterior cargo transport in the Drosophila oocyte (Brendza et al., 2000, 2002; Cha et al., 2001). It was subsequently proposed that MTs are absent from the posterior so that Kin1 only transports cargoes to the center of the oocyte, and cargo arrives at its final destination by diffusion and posterior capture (Cha et al., 2002). To test this hypothesis directly, we investigated the distribution of MTs in living oocytes using sensitive rapid time-lapse imaging of Tau-GFP, which marks all MTs along their entire length. We found that, at the anterior, the MT network consisted of a dense, tight mesh of interleaved filaments throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. 1, A and A′). In contrast, at the posterior, MTs are much less abundant but extend along the cortex into the extreme posterior in early to mid-stage 9 oocytes (Fig. 1 A′). We interpret the previous failure to detect MTs at the posterior as being caused by posterior MT depolymerization during fixation and the microscope methods used at the time being too insensitive to detect the sparse MTs that extend into the posterior. There has been a general implicit assumption that cargo transport occurs on stable networks of highly polarized MTs in the oocyte, but this has not been addressed previously. To determine whether or not the posterior MTs are stable, we performed time-lapse imaging to follow turnover of Tau-GFP–labeled MTs (Fig. 1 B and Video 1). We measured the persistence of individual MTs and related this to criteria for stability used in other cell types (Infante et al., 2000; Sousa et al., 2007). We found that, toward the posterior, nearly all MTs examined persisted for <6 min (95%; n = 42), whereas the maximum time of persistence observed for the sampled population was 10 min. In previous studies in tissue-culture cells, the criteria for dynamic MTs is persistence for <15 min (Infante et al., 2000). We conclude that MTs within the oocyte are unstable at the posterior.

We then investigated whether MTs are dynamic throughout the whole oocyte. To overcome the technical difficulty that Tau-GFP labeling is too dense in the anterior to assess the dynamics of individual MTs, we cocvisualized Tau-GFP MTs with EB1-mCherry, which labels extending plus ends of MTs. Surprisingly, EB1 foci were found throughout the oocyte, the anterior MT meshwork being particularly densely populated with multiple trajectories, running in different orientations, both parallel and antiparallel along existing MTs (Fig. 2 A and Video 2). The mean rate of MT extension was 0.17 µm/s (±0.01 SEM; maximum rate observed was 0.6 µm/s; n = 4 oocytes), which is comparable with the rates of extension in tissue-culture
whether Kin1-dependent cargo transport is supported on these dynamic unstable MTs.

**Posterior-directed cargoes are actively transported on a network of dynamic MTs at the posterior of the oocyte**

To determine directly whether the dynamic MTs we observe extending into the posterior are used for posterior cargo transport, we coinvisualized MTs and Staufen-RFP at high resolution during early to mid-stage 9, at the peak of active cargo redistribution from the center of the oocyte to the posterior (Fig. 3). We found a clear overlap between posterior MTs and actively transported Staufen-RFP particles (Fig. 3 A). Quantifying the relationship between MTs and Staufen particles, we found that 84% of Staufen-RFP particles (n = 25) that showed directed transport could be directly observed moving on Tau-GFP–labeled MTs (Fig. 3 B and Video 4). We followed individual MTs upon which cargo movement was observed and found them to be unstable (persisted <10 min). Moreover, we observed several instances of MTs depolymerizing very shortly after the particle transit (Fig. 3 B, bottom; and Video 5). We conclude that the individual dynamic MTs present at the posterior are genuine conduits for posterior cargo transport.

---

**Figure 1. A dynamic network of MTs extends throughout the oocyte posterior.** Also see Video 1. (A–A″) Tau-GFP–labeled MT in a living stage 9 oocyte. (A) Overview from anterior to posterior (projected 10-µm z series at reduced magnification) showing the gradient in MT density. (A′ and A″) Two regions at increased magnification (100× 1.4 NA oil objective) showing the tight dense network of MTs toward the anterior and the more sparse network of MTs extending into the extreme posterior (projected 4-µm z series, which were contrasted individually to display the MT present). (B) Comparison of the Tau-GFP–labeled MT distribution at three time points merged as an RGB image to highlight the changes. The individual channels are shown below. Inserted diagrams in A and B show the orientation of the *Drosophila* egg chamber and the portion of the oocyte imaged. Throughout the images, the convention is posterior to the right. Bars: (A) 25 µm; (A′ and B) 10 µm.

---

cells (Perez et al., 1999). To determine what proportion of MTs were actively dynamic, we quantified the relationship between Tau and EB1 labeling. Toward the posterior, where it was possible to clearly identify individual MTs (Fig. 2 B and Video 3), we find that EB1 and Tau both mark the same population of dynamically extending MTs (80% association; n = 452 MTs; 20% of MTs did not exhibit extension within the plane of focus and were not associated with an extending EB1 trajectory). We conclude that the vast majority of MTs are dynamic in the oocyte.

To further investigate the dynamic nature of the MTs, we tested for the presence of posttranslational tubulin modifications, which is an accepted indicator of MT stabilization (Hammond et al., 2008). We performed immunolabeling for acetylated and glutamyalted tubulin. Our results show that, although MTs in follicle cells, which are known to show increased resistance to MT depolymerization treatments (Januschke et al., 2006), contain modified tubulin, the oocyte MTs lack modified tubulin (Fig. S1). These observations are consistent with our demonstration of dynamic MTs in the oocyte. Considering our results so far, we conclude that MTs extend into the extreme posterior of the oocyte and are highly dynamic and unstable throughout the whole oocyte. This raises the question of
or exhibited very small nondirectional displacements (n = 1,080 particles; unpublished data). Our results suggest that at stage 9, active transport rather than diffusion or bulk cytoplasmic flow is the major contributor to cargo localization to the posterior. We conclude that transport along dynamic MTs makes a significant contribution to the movement of posterior cargo, but these findings

Figure 2.  

EB1 tracks the plus ends of dynamic MTs throughout the oocyte.  

(A) Dual-channel imaging of Tau-GFP and EB1-mCherry expressed in the same oocyte reveals the association of EB1 with the plus ends of Tau-labeled MTs throughout the oocyte (Video 2). Note that the density of EB1 tracks matches the gradient in MT density from the anterior to posterior of the oocyte and that individual MTs extend well into the extreme posterior. Insets show the portion of the oocyte imaged and its orientation. 

(B) Tau-GFP and EB1-GFP expressed in the same oocyte allow individual extending MTs to be followed (Video 3). (right) Detail of two individual MTs extending (black arrowheads mark the length of the MT, and white arrowheads mark the extending plus end) taken from the region shown in B (bottom dashed box and white arrowheads). A series of four time points is shown. (C) A time course sequence from a time-lapse video showing that at the extreme posterior, MTs tend to bend round the cortex back on themselves. The images correspond to the top large region in B outlined with white dots. White arrowheads highlight a single extending MT, whereas at the final time point, several MTs are indicated with dashed arrows, which is drawn from Video 3. A, anterior; P, posterior. Bars: (A and B, left) 15 µm; (B, right; and C) 7.5 µm.

To determine whether transport on the dynamic MTs could make a significant contribution to the net movement of the posterior-directed cargo, we analyzed the proportion of particles that display active transport. We found that, at any given time, 14.1% of Staufen-RFP cargo particles identified show directed transport. The remainder were either stationary or exhibited very small nondirectional displacements (n = 1,080 particles; unpublished data). Our results suggest that at stage 9, active transport rather than diffusion or bulk cytoplasmic flow is the major contributor to cargo localization to the posterior. We conclude that transport along dynamic MTs makes a significant contribution to the movement of posterior cargo, but these findings
Transport on a subtly biased microtubule network

• Parton et al.

underlying biased random distribution of MT polarity in the oocyte. We tested this model directly using EB1-GFP as a reporter of the distribution and orientation of individual MTs throughout the oocyte as demonstrated in the first Results section (Fig. 2). Although individual tracks could be discerned by eye in a time-lapse video (Videos 2 and 3), it was not possible to determine global bias in the orientation of a field of MTs by manual inspection, necessitating the development of quantitative, automated methods. To our knowledge, there were no pre-existing tools to analyze, plot, or characterize statistically MT orientation across a whole cell. Therefore, we developed new approaches to facilitate automatic tracking and quantitatively analyze and display the ensemble polarity of EB1-GFP–labeled plus end trajectories. To achieve this, we first developed methods to effectively “extract” the moving components of an image sequence, to allow the dynamic EB1 foci to be robustly distinguished from the background in noisy image sequences.

are not in themselves sufficient to fully explain the stochastic nature of biased random cargo movements to the posterior (Zimyanin et al., 2008). Crucially, what is the underlying MT organization, and how does it account for the directional bias of cargo movements?

MT plus ends are organized in an anteroposterior gradient of orientation bias

Previous models for posterior cargo transport propose directed transport of cargoes away from the cortex (Cha et al., 2002) or directed transport on a MT cytoskeleton that is strongly polarized with the MT plus ends directed away from the anterior (Clark et al., 1994, 1997). Neither of these models explains the observed biased random cargo movements (Fig. 4 D; Zimyanin et al., 2008). A possible alternative model is that posterior biased random cargo movements are simply a reflection of an underlying biased random distribution of MT polarity in the oocyte. We tested this model directly using EB1-GFP as a reporter of the distribution and orientation of individual MTs throughout the oocyte as demonstrated in the first Results section (Fig. 2). Although individual tracks could be discerned by eye in a time-lapse video (Videos 2 and 3), it was not possible to determine global bias in the orientation of a field of MTs by manual inspection, necessitating the development of quantitative, automated methods. To our knowledge, there were no pre-existing tools to analyze, plot, or characterize statistically MT orientation across a whole cell. Therefore, we developed new approaches to facilitate automatic tracking and quantify, statistically analyze, and display the ensemble polarity of EB1-GFP–labeled plus end trajectories. To achieve this, we first developed methods to effectively “extract” the moving components of an image sequence, to allow the dynamic EB1 foci to be robustly distinguished from the background in noisy image sequences.
Figure 4. **Analysis of EB1 trajectories reveals a graded bias in MT orientation.** (A) Automatically tracked EB1 trajectories from a 120-frame video sequence imaged at three frames per second (fps; see Materials and methods; Fig. S2 and Fig. S3). The dashed white border indicates the track data plotted in the middle and right plots. Follicle cells are excluded. The central white dashed line indicates the anterior–posterior axis. The color of each track (refer to the

---

**Figure 4.** Analysis of EB1 trajectories reveals a graded bias in MT orientation. (A) Automatically tracked EB1 trajectories from a 120-frame video sequence imaged at three frames per second (fps; see Materials and methods; Fig. S2 and Fig. S3). The dashed white border indicates the track data plotted in the middle and right plots. Follicle cells are excluded. The central white dashed line indicates the anterior–posterior axis. The color of each track (refer to the...
Transport on a subtly biased microtubule network • Parton et al. 127

(see Materials and methods; Fig. S2). Probabilistic “soft segmentation” approaches were incorporated (Sharma and Aggarwal, 2010) to preserve image information. After these processing steps, automatic tracking was possible using conventional linkage analysis (Sbalzarini and Koumoutsakos, 2005; Yang et al., 2010) with minor modifications (see Materials and methods). We validated our automated tracking methods against manually tracked data, the so-called “ground truth” (see Materials and methods), and confirmed that we could accurately determine MT organization (Fig. S3).

Using these techniques, we assessed whether the dynamic MT network is organized in a biased random global orientation. Plotting individual MT trajectories (Fig. 4 A, left) highlights the apparent randomness of MT orientation. In contrast, calculating net local MT directionality across the oocyte (Hamilton et al., 2010) and displaying this as a windmap (Fig. 4 A, middle) revealed an overall subtle bias toward the posterior. To facilitate comparison of net orientation between different oocytes and to permit the display of pooled data from multiple oocytes, orientation data are summarized as a combined circular histogram and rose diagrams (Fig. 4, A [right, single oocyte] and B [pooled data for 10 oocytes]). For simplicity, the data are also summarized as the proportion of tracks oriented toward the posterior versus toward the anterior (Fig. 4, A [right, inset] and B [right]). This analysis revealed a consistent net overall bias of MT plus ends directed toward the posterior: 42.0% anterior to 58.0% posterior (n = 10 oocytes; Table I).

To determine how a dynamic MT network supports such an orientation bias, we assessed individual oocyte trajectories repeatedly at 15-min intervals (Fig. S4). We find that although small subregions show considerable variation in net orientation over time, which is consistent with a very dynamic network (Fig. S4, A and C, corresponding overlay of individual tracks), the overall bias is preserved (Fig. S4 B). Similar results were found for four oocytes (mean posterior bias of 59.3%; SD of 2.4%). We then tested whether MT orientation varies from anterior to posterior by defining and comparing regions of interest covering the anterior, mid-region, and posterior (Fig. 4 C, inset). We found that the strength of bias in MT orientation within the oocyte increases with distance from the anterior (Fig. 4 C), with a statistically significant stronger bias within 15 µm of the posterior cortex (36.9% anterior to 60.1% posterior; n = 8 oocytes; Table I).

To determine whether a random biased transport process acting on the dynamic MT network could quantitatively account for the observed movement of cargoes, we applied our novel global polarity visualization tools to quantitative analysis of Staufen-RFP trafficking. Our analysis revealed a statistically significant net bias in the direction in active transport of 39.2% anterior to 60.8% posterior (337 particle trajectories and four oocytes; χ² test, 99% confidence; Fig. 4 D). Comparison of regions at different distances from the posterior (Fig. 4 D, second and third plot and insets) shows that the bias in net movement is consistently stronger toward to posterior (62.3% posterior bias compared with 56.8% bias; n = 3 oocytes). Reexamination and statistical analysis of directionality for data from Zimyanin et al. (2008) showed general agreement with our current findings (Table I), although the bias toward the posterior was found to be less at the extreme posterior. This is likely to be a result of the inclusion of late stage 9 oocytes in the dataset, in which analysis of trajectories is hampered by the dense accumulation of fluorescent material at the posterior. Our results analyzing Staufen-RFP trafficking closely parallel the directional bias in MT organization and are entirely consistent with our model of the organization of the dynamic MT network underlying the observed transport. Collectively, these results demonstrate that a biased MT organization in the oocyte provides a good explanation for the movement of posterior-localizing cargoes through a biased random walk. Having determined the organization of the MT network in the oocyte, our findings raise the important questions what causes the bias in MT orientation, and how is this bias established and maintained?

A gradient in the density of MT initiation supports a net bias in MT orientation

To understand how the bias in MT polarity of the stage 9 oocyte is established and maintained, we first determined the distribution of γ-tubulin in the oocyte, previously used as a marker for the MT-organizing centers (Januschke et al., 2006). To maximize the contrast and detect fainter structures over the considerable background in the thick oocyte cytoplasm, we examined γ-tubulin37C–GFP distribution in live stage 9 oocytes using spinning-disc confocal microscopy. We find that, in addition to the previously described very bright foci associated with the nucleus (Fig. S5 A, middle, right, and bottom; Januschke et al., 2006), there are weaker foci distributed...
along the anterior and lateral cortices (Fig. S5 A). To test more directly whether, as suggested by the γ-tubulin distribution, MTs are nucleated at the anterolateral cortex, we performed MT depolymerization and regrowth experiments. Feeding flies with food containing colcemid (see Materials and methods), a potent inhibitor of MT polymerization, abolishes both Tau-GFP–labeled MT and EB1-GFP tracks in the oocyte, leaving persistent fluorescent foci along the anterior and lateral cortices (Fig. 1 A and Fig. 5, A and B). We find that both Tau-GFP an EB1-GFP are present in foci at the anterolateral cortex (Fig. 5, A and B, respectively; and Fig. S5 B), whose density decreases markedly in a gradient toward the posterior (Fig. 5, B–B‴‴; and Fig. S5 B), but foci were not observed around the extreme posterior cortex (Fig. 5 B). Colcemid is known to shift the dynamic equilibrium of MT growth and disassembly toward depolymerization, leading to the shortening of MTs so that only short stubs of MTs remain at the site of initiation of MT growth. Therefore, we interpret our results as indicating that oocyte MTs are nucleated from the observed foci along the anterior and lateral cortices.

To test directly whether MT growth from the anterior and lateral cortices can give rise to the normal MT distribution in the oocyte, we inactivated colcemid with an exposure to UV light that is not harmful to oocyte viability (Theurkauf and Hazelrigg, 1998; Cha et al., 2002). It has been previously shown that UV inactivation of colcemid permits an MT network to reestablish and, as has been shown previously, this re-established network is competent to support MT dependent RNA transport (Cha et al., 2001). We found that immediately upon inactivation of colcemid, MT growth initiated strongly along the anterior and the lateral cortex. MTs were initiated from each of the persistent cortical foci in an undirected manner consistent with normal MT organization. (Fig. 5 A and Videos 6 and 7). Although no regrowth of MTs was observed from the extreme posterior cortex (Fig. 5 C), we showed earlier that some MTs appear to orient away from the posterior. This could be explained by our observations of MTs extending from more anterior regions and bending round on themselves (Fig. 2 C and Video 3). Our data account for the overall distributions of MT orientations as well as the orientation of cargo transported at the extreme posterior (Fig. 4, C and D), showing that the small proportion of “backwards” trajectories are, in fact, predominantly oriented at steep lateral angles. Collectively, the distribution of MT initiation sites along the anterolateral cortex, but not the posterior cortex, provides an explanation for the observed MT network with an excess of MT plus ends directed toward the posterior.

**PAR-1 is required for the exclusion of MT nucleation from the posterior cortex that causes the posterior bias in MT polarity in the oocyte**

PAR-1 NIS loss-of-function mutant oocytes have previously been shown to disrupt MT organization, leading to increased density of MTs at the posterior and mislocalization of osk mRNA (Doerflinger et al., 2006, 2010). To test whether PAR-1 is required for the establishment of the polarity bias in MT orientation, we examine the organization of MTs in the strong loss-of-function par-1 allelic combination (par-1627/par-1W3). In marked contrast to wild type, we found that in par-1 mutant oocytes, EB1-GFP distribution shows a high density of MTs throughout the posterior with distinct EB1 foci of MT nucleation detectable around the posterior cortex (Fig. 6, A–C; and Videos 8 and 9). These sites extend MTs away from the posterior toward the anterior such that the MT plus ends in the posterior region are directed away from the posterior cortex, the opposite orientation to the wild type. Consequently, we find that the net posterior bias of plus end trajectories was reduced or completely abolished in the mutant background: 49.4% anterior to 50.6% posterior (n = 4 oocytes; also see Table I and Fig. 6, D–F). The suppression of MT initiation at the posterior cortex accounts for the sharp falloff in MT density and distribution of polarity bias in the oocyte. Dual imaging of EB1-GFP and Staufen-RFP in the par-1 mutant background confirmed that posterior cargo is mislocalized as previously

---

Table I. Summary of tracking statistics

| Videos tracked | Ant/Post Bias | Deviation from random | Comparison (Watson test) |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                | Percent Ant (n) | Percent Post (n) | Rayleigh test |          |
| Global EB1     | 42.0 (8,613) | 58.0 (11,881) | $1 \times 10^{-133}$ | +++ | Global EB1 versus Staufen: $0.001 < P < 0.01$ (some similarity) |
| Global Staufen | 44.1 (215)   | 55.9 (273)       | $3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | + |          |
| par-1 EB1      | 49.4 (2,811) | 50.6 (2,879) | $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | – | par1 versus global EB1: $P < 0.001$ (different to global EB1) |
| Global EB1*a   | 42.0 (8,613) | 58.0 (11,881) | $1 \times 10^{-133}$ | +++ |          |
| Ant EB1        | 46.4 (3,824) | 53.6 (4,416) | $1.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | ++ | Ant versus mid: $P < 0.001$ (different) |
| Mid EB1        | 39.6 (2,188) | 60.4 (3,341) | $4.2 \times 10^{-65}$ | +++ | Mid versus post: $P < 0.001$ (different) |
| Post EB1       | 36.9 (1,466) | 63.1 (2,506) | $1.4 \times 10^{-79}$ | +++ | Ant versus post: $P < 0.001$ (different) |
| Ant EB1*a      | 46.4 (3,824) | 53.6 (4,416) | $1.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | ++ |          |

Ant, anterior; Post, posterior. In the Rayleigh test for uniformity of directional data, the degree of deviation from random is given (Mardia and Jupp, 2000). Results of the Rayleigh test are displayed on a scale from random (–) to not at all random (++++). In the Watson two-sample test for homogeneity of two samples of circular data, the higher the p-value, the more similar the populations (Mardia and Jupp, 2000).

*aDuplicate data entries included to clarify the pairwise comparisons by Watson test.
MT nucleation occurs at discrete foci along the cortex but is absent from the posterior. Also see Fig. S5 and Videos 6 and 7. (A) Tau-GFP–expressing oocyte treated with colcemid (see Materials and methods) showing the reduction of Tau-GFP MT labeling to small discrete foci; (right, first image) subregion of A shown enlarged; (second image) the same region after 60 s of UV inactivation protocol showing the initiation of MTs from the small foci; (third image) 15 min after UV inactivation showing extensive MT regrowth. (B) Oocyte expressing EB1-GFP similarly treated with colcemid revealing similar foci, which can be seen to distribute in a gradient of density from the anterior to posterior but appear to be absent from the extreme posterior (the extreme posterior is indicated by a star. (B–B‴) Selected regions shown at increased magnification [highlighted in B and along a transect between the white asterisk and star]. (C) Regrowth of MT after UV inactivation of colcemid assessed by the reappearance of EB1-GFP–labeled tracks (Videos 6 and 7) shows a lack of MT initiation from the posterior cortex. Images were foreground extracted (see Materials and methods) to identify actively extending EB1 tracks. Three time points are shown from the time sequence of UV inactivation of colcemid. For each image, a plot of pixel intensity from anterior to posterior is presented (corresponding to the region highlighted in the dashed box), relating to regrowth of MTs and revealing the restriction of MT initiation to the anterior regions. A, anterior; P, posterior; N, oocyte nucleus. Bars: (A–C) 20 µm; (A, right; and B‴) 5 µm; (B‴) 10 µm.
described in \textit{par-1} mutants (unpublished data), whereas time-lapse bright-field imaging revealed no obvious difference in cytoplasmic movements in \textit{par-1} mutant oocytes compared with wild type (unpublished data). We conclude that the restriction of MT nucleation sites from the posterior cortex, as a consequence of PAR-1 action, is essential for the establishment and/or maintenance of the posterior bias in MT organization.

\textbf{Discussion}

Despite the importance of MTs in the oocyte, how they are organized and to what extent they are dynamic have remained highly controversial. Moreover, the prevailing models for MT organization have mostly relied on a static view of MT distribution and on indirect measures of polarity, such as the steady-state distribution of motor fusions and cargoes. By using live-cell
imaging and developing novel image analysis and global visualization tools, we have characterized directly the dynamics and polarity of MTs in living oocytes. We have found that MTs form a dynamic cortical network extending into the posterior with a bias in net orientation that increases toward the posterior. We have established that posterior-directed cargo is actively transported on these dynamic MTs, with no evidence for preferential transport by a subpopulation of more stable, posttranslationally modified MTs. Significantly, the magnitude and distribution of the observed bias in cargo movements parallels closely the polarity of the MT network. These findings explain the previously reported subtle biased random transport of posterior cargoes (Zimyanin et al., 2008) and lead us to propose the following model for posterior cargo localization: posterior cargo is transported on the entire dynamic MT network and the overall net bias in MT orientation directs the net movement of cargo to the posterior cap, where it becomes anchored (Fig. 7, A and B).

**PAR-1-dependent exclusion of MT nucleation at the posterior of the oocyte establishes a biased MT network**

Our results reveal that the establishment of the biased MT network is dependent on a specific distribution of MT nucleation sites around the oocyte cortex, with a critical, PAR-1–dependent exclusion of MT nucleation from the posterior cortex. This extends upon previous observations that PAR-1 affects MT organization, leading to an increased density of MTs at the posterior (Doerflinger et al., 2010). By using highly sensitive imaging techniques in live oocytes, we demonstrate that, in contrast to previous work (Januschke et al., 2006), in stage 9 oocytes, MT nucleation is also distributed along the anterior and lateral cor- texes. Initiation of MTs is predominantly from the anterior of the oocyte with a sharp decrease in nucleation along the poste-rior two thirds of the oocyte cortex. Those MTs nucleating along the anterior are constrained to grow in a more posterior orienta- tion, whereas nucleation along the lateral cortex is more random in orientation. The combination of these two contributions to the network of MTs present in the oocyte results in a slight ex- cess of plus ends extending in a posterior direction, which in- creases in magnitude closer to the posterior. Despite the fact that, at the extreme posterior, there are no MT nucleation sites, we find that, even at the extreme posterior, a percentage of MTs appear to orient toward the anterior. We show that this is caused by MTs bending around as they extend into the posterior. Importantly, our detailed analysis of cargo movements reveals a bias in cargo movement directionality at the posterior that matches precisely the bias in MT orientation.

It is interesting to consider how the PAR-1 kinase might prevent the nucleation of MTs at the posterior cortex. The PAR genes are conserved polarity determinants with common func- tions in a variety of organisms (Shulman et al., 2000; Pellettieri and Seydoux, 2002). PAR-1 is known to function in association with other PAR proteins, so it is possible that the other PAR proteins also function together with PAR-1 to inhibit MT

---

**Figure 7.** A biased random organization of MTs in the oocyte delivers cargoes to the posterior. (A) Interpretive model of biased random transport of posterior-localizing cargoes on a subtly polarized MT network. (B) Relating the distribution and orientation of MTs to the observed behavior of Staufen cargoes.
nucleation in the oocyte. However, several other factors may also be involved. PAR-1 could affect MTs through its association with Tau, which has been shown in mammalian cells (Nishimura et al., 2004) and proposed in the Drosophila oocyte (Tian and Deng, 2009), but this remains contentious, as the presence of Tau is not absolutely required for PAR-1 function (Doerflinger et al., 2003, 2010). Another possibility is that PAR-1 could act through the components of the γ-TuRC complex or some other MT nucleation components, rather than through a direct affect on MTs. Whatever the molecular mechanism of PAR-1 inhibition of MT nucleation, it is most likely to involve the phosphorylation of a downstream target of the PAR-1 kinase.

A biased random dynamic network of MTs can perform multiple conflicting roles at a key developmental transition

Our live-imaging results highlight the role of a dynamic MT network in establishing cell polarity in the oocyte, in which we did not detect any stable, postranslationally modified MTs. This raises the question of why this should be the case when, in some other cells, subsets of either stably bundled or completely stable, postranslationally modified MTs have been observed and proposed to have functional roles in directing cell polarity (Li and Gundersen, 2008; Bartolini and Gundersen, 2010). Moreover, in many polarized cell types, including the blastoderm embryo and secretory columnar epithelial cells of egg chambers, MTs are organized with a very strong apical–basal polarity and include stable MTs. This makes functional sense in both cases, as cargoes have to be transported very rapidly either apically or basally. In contrast, in the oocyte, MTs perform three key functions that are not necessarily all compatible with having a very strict apical–basal polarity. First, they provide a means of randomly distributing generic components, such as mitochondria and lipid droplets, throughout the cytoplasm. Second, they provide a network to gather cargoes and redistribute them to distinct intracellular destinations, initiating and maintaining cell polarity. Third, they provide a scaffold that maintains structural integrity. We propose that the dynamic, subtly biased network of MTs in the oocyte provides an efficient compromise for dealing with these multiple conflicting biological requirements. During mid-oogenesis, the oocyte undergoes a huge expansion in size, when many cellular components are transported from the nurse cells or secreted from the overlying follicle cells. Although generic cellular components, such as Golgi, mitochondria (Hollenbeck and Saxton, 2005), and lipid droplets, must be kept distributed throughout the ooplasm (Herpers and Rabouille, 2004), the nucleus and specific mRNAs and proteins must be transported to different poles to establish the embryonic axes. A biased random network of MTs enables the mixing of generic components by continuous transport using molecular motors with opposing polarities, while, at the same time, allowing specific components to be transported by motors with single polarities to the anterior or posterior poles for anchoring. Furthermore, a network of highly dynamic MTs would allow efficient capturing of cargo by the motors throughout the entire ooplasm in a rapidly growing and developing oocyte. The fact that the MT network is highly dynamic also makes considerable functional sense for such a rapidly developing system. The MT cytoskeleton is reorganized extensively during Drosophila oogenesis but most dramatically during stage 7 (González-Reyes et al., 1995). This fits well with observations in other cell types showing that MTs are highly dynamic in nature and are often reorganized to direct cellular polarization (Mogensen et al., 2000; Etienne-Manneville and Hall, 2003; Jankovics and Brunner, 2006; Geraldo and Gordon-Weeks, 2009; Ohama and Hayashi, 2009; Bartolini and Gundersen, 2010).

Dynamic, subtly biased MT networks are likely to occur in many cell types

MTs certainly play critical roles in driving cell polarization and extension in many kinds of eukaryotic cells (Franz et al., 2002), for example, during guidance of extending neuronal growth cones (Geraldo and Gordon-Weeks, 2009), in migratory cells (Wood and Jacinto, 2007), in dorsal closure (Jankovics and Brunner, 2006), and in fields of bristles with planar polarity in fly wings (Lawrence et al., 2007). In all these cases, the polarity and dynamics of MTs have tended to be studied quite crudely because of an inability to follow the subtleties of global MT polarity and dynamics. Therefore, it is highly likely that MTs in such cells are more complex and subtle than previously thought. Interestingly, at least in Xenopus laevis oocytes in which hook decoration and EM were used as the previous gold standard for determining the polarity of individual MTs, a network of MTs is nucleated at the cortex, leading to a bias of polarity rather than an absolute polarity (Pfeiffer and Gard, 1999). Our methods are significantly easier to apply technically than hook decoration methods and are, therefore, more generally applicable to study the orientation and dynamics of MTs in most cells. For example, we have been able to apply our analysis tools to examine subtleties of MT organization in migratory border cells (unpublished data).

We propose that during cellular reorganization and re polarization, as in the oocyte, the establishment of a dynamic, subtly biased MT network is a widespread phenomenon and provides a general mechanism by which strong cell polarity can be initiated and maintained while efficiently handling the transport requirements of cargoes distributed throughout the cytoplasm. The tools we have developed to quantitate global or local bias in a complex field of MTs can now be applied widely to other oocytes and other cell types to test the generality of our proposed biased random model for MT organization.

Materials and methods

Fly strains

Stocks were raised on standard cornmeal agar medium at 21 or 25°C. MT markers used in this paper were EB1-GFP, EB1-mCherry expressed ubiquitously (provided by H. Okhura, Wellcome Trust Centre for Cell Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK), and Tau-GFP 65/167 (provided by D. St Johnston, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England, UK). Posterior cargo markers used in this paper were ask-MCP-GFP, Staufen-RFP (provided by D. St Johnston), and γ-tubulin37C-GFP (provided by S. Endow, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC). PAR-1 mutant flies used in this study (provided by D. St Johnston) were w;par-1[6323]/CyO and w;par-1[w3]/CyO.

Tissue preparation and imaging

Flies were prepared, and ovaries were dissected and mounted for imaging as previously described in Porton et al. (2010). Imaging was performed either on a wide-field deconvolution system (DeltaVision CORE) from Applied
Precision (with a microscope [IX71; Olympus], 100×1.4 NA objective, 16-bit camera [Cascade II; Roper Scientific], and standard Chroma filter sets), an OMXV2 prototype microscope designed by J.W. Sedat (University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA) and built by Applied Precision (Dobbie et al., 2010), or a spinning-disc confocal microscope (UltraVIEW VoX; PerkinElmer; with a microscope [IX81; Olympus], 60×1.3 NA silicon immersion objective, and an electron-multiplying charge-coupled detector [IMAGEM; Hamamatsu Photonics]; Higher magnification was required, image sequences were deconvolved with the SoftWoRx Resolve 3D constrained iterative deconvolution algorithm [Applied Precision]. Basic image processing was performed with ImageJ [v1.43u; National Institutes of Health].

**Immunofluorescence**

Flies were prepared as previously described in Parton et al. (2010). Ovaries were dissected into PBS, pH 6.0, with 8% EMgrade PFA. After 20 min, ovaries were then transferred to 200 µl PBS, pH 7.0, with 8% EMgrade PFA, vortexed with 200 µl heptane to permeabilize the tissue, and fixed for a further 10 min. Immunofluorescence labeling was performed as in standard protocols (Chu et al., 2002; Rosales-Nieves et al., 2006). To detect tubulin modifications, we used antibodies, previously shown to work on Drosophila tissues, against acetylated tubulin (mouse monoclonal 6-11B1; acetylated α-tubulin, Sigma-Aldrich) and gluteamylated tubulin (clone 1D5 mouse hybridoma anti-α Glu-tubulin; Synaptic Systems) at 1:250 and 1:300 dilutions, respectively (Warn et al., 1990; Januschke et al., 2006; Rosales-Nieves et al., 2006). Primary antibodies were applied overnight at 4°C. The secondary antibody donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 594 (Invitrogen) was applied at 1:500 for 2 h at room temperature. The tissue was mounted in VECTASHIELD (Vector Laboratories) and imaged immediately by spinning-disc confocal microscope (UltraVIEW VoX).

**Colcemid treatment**

The protocol for colcemid feeding was modified from Cha et al. (2002): flies were fed for 1 d after eclosion, starved for 1 d, and then fed colcemid in yeast paste (200 µl of 0.1 mg/ml colcemid in distilled water added to 175 µl of dried yeast) for 4–6 h. Ovaries were dissected as normal.

**Transport on a subtly biased microtubule network**

Through mid-oogenesis, the oocyte rapidly increases in size and accumulates yolk in the cytoplasm. This makes imaging increasingly challenging beyond stage 8. Furthermore, the EB1 protein is freely distributed in the cytoplasm as well as being associated with MT plus ends. This, combined with autofluorescence from the yolk, results in relatively poor contrast images of MT plus ends. Confocal methods increased contrast but proved insufficiently sensitive to detect the low EB1 signal. Wide-field deconvolution images were adequate for manual tracking of EB1 trajectories but re-sisted automatic segmentation and tracking without additional processing.

For tracking analysis, oocytes expressing EB1-GFP were imaged on a wide-field deconvolution system (DeltaVision CORE) over three z planes twice per second with a pixel size of 97 nm. Image data were optionally denoised using the patch-based denoising algorithm ND-SAFIR (N-Dimensional–Structure Adaptive Filtering for Image Restoration; Boulanger et al., 2008) implemented in Prism (L. Shao, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, and J.W. Sedat). Time series were deconvolved, the three z planes were maximally projected, and time points were equalized in SoftWoRx. Preprocessed image data were exported to 16-bit TIF format using ImageJ (64 V1.41) and imported into MATLAB (MathWorks).

To obtain statistically relevant data for EB1 track directionality, a robust automated tracking algorithm was developed that combined probabilistic foreground extraction and Haar-like feature identification (referred to here as probabilistic feature extraction; Fig. S4 and Fig. S5) implemented in MATLAB (v7.9). Probabilistic feature extraction and tracking comprises four components as follows: (1) local median equalization to correct for uneven illumination while preserving local contrast; (2) foreground extraction by a probabilistic temporal median filter to facilitate the discrimination of moving features from a static background; (3) identification of EB1 features using Haar-like features and energy (adapted from Yang et al., 2006) and (4) linkage into trajectories using the particle-matching algorithm of Slotarzé and Koumoutsakos (2005) with a modified cost function to take account of the linear path of EB1 tracks. For visual inspection, trajectories were output to a text file formatted for the ImageJ Manual Tracking plugin.

**Local median equalization.** To facilitate subsequent processing steps, all pixel values are normalized according to the ratio between the median for the local neighborhood (five times an EB1-GFP feature size, typically a 25 × 25-pixel patch) and the median for the whole image stack.
in which notation is as previously described in Sbalzarini and Koumoutsakos (2005), and the additional term \( \Delta u_{ij} \) is the change in vector associated with linking point \( p_i \) with point \( q_j \).

The validity of the automated tracking algorithm for determining EB1 track orientation was assessed by comparison with manually tracked data. The ground truth was determined by manually assigning the start and end points of all recognizable EB1 tracks over a 100-frame time series for both the "raw" time series data and the processed, segmented image data. Automatically determined and manually defined trajectories were plotted for comparison (Fig. S3, A and B).

To analyze the results of tracking, data were imported into the ParticleStats environment (Hamilton et al., 2010). ParticleStats directionality analysis tools were used to generate EB1 track overlays, net local directionality maps, rose diagrams, and radial histograms and to assess directional bias in EB1 tracks by the Rayleigh test of uniformity (assuming a circular normal distribution) and Watson two-sample test for comparing two samples of circular data (Mardia and Jupp, 2000). The validity of the automated tracking algorithm to report directionality was also assessed quantitatively by comparing the output from ParticleStats for the manual versus the automatic tracking data (Fig. S3 C).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows that MTs in the oocyte lack posttranslational modifications associated with increased stability (Fig. 2). Fig. S2 shows combined probabilistic foreground extraction and adaptive nonlocal mean filters for automatic segmentation to detect EB1 tracks. Fig. S3 shows validation of automated detection and tracking of EB1 foci (see Materials and methods). Fig. S4 follows a MT over time showing that dynamic MTs support a consistent net bias in MT orientation (Fig. 4). Fig. S5 shows the distribution of circular data (Mardia and Jupp, 2000). The validity of the automated tracking algorithm to report directionality was also assessed quantitatively by comparing the output from ParticleStats for the manual versus the automatic tracking data (Fig. S3 C).
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