INTRODUCTION: The aerial parts of the plant Calotropis procera produce milky white latex that causes inflammation of the skin and mucous membranes. Prostaglandins are one of the mediators released in an inflammatory response following induction of cyclooxygenase (COX). In the present study, we have evaluated the role of prostaglandins in inflammatory response elicited by the latex of C. procera.

Methods: Aqueous extract of dried latex of C. procera was injected into the 6-day air-pouch in the rat. The inflammatory response was evaluated by studying the air-pouch fluid for its volume, protein and prostaglandin (PG) E₂ concentrations, and leucocyte counts. The granulation tissue from the pouch was quantified and studied for COX-2 expression by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. The inhibitory effect of celecoxib and dexamethasone was evaluated on the aforementioned parameters.

Results: Dried latex produced an inflammatory response that was maximum at 6 h. It was associated with the accumulation of protein-rich fluid, leucocytes and PGE₂ production. It also resulted in granulation of the pouch cavity that was a maximum on day 3. COX-2 expression could be detected in the granulation tissue on day 1 and it increased progressively up to day 5. The anti-inflammatory drugs celecoxib and dexamethasone significantly attenuated the inflammatory response and inhibited COX-2 expression in granulation tissue.

Conclusions: Latex of C. procera induces an inflammatory response characterized by an early exudative phase accompanied by PGE₂ production and a late proliferative phase associated with COX-2 induction. Both the phases were effectively inhibited by COX-2 inhibitors.
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rat air-pouch model and evaluated the role of COX-2 using pharmacological reagents. We have also studied the induction of COX-2 mRNA expression by dried latex (DL) in the granulation tissue by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and evaluated the effect of celecoxib and dexamethasone.

Materials and methods

Plant material and drugs

The latex of *C. procera* was collected from the aerial parts of the plant and dried under shade (DL). It was triturated in normal saline (NS) and centrifuged to obtain a clear solution. The plant was identified by the Raw Materials, Herbarium and Museum Division, National Institute of Science Communication, New Delhi, where a voucher specimen is preserved (Voucher No. PID 1739). The drugs used in this study were obtained from Alembic Ltd (Baroda, Gujarat, India) (Celecoxib) and Wyeth Laboratories Ltd (Mumbai, Maharashtra, India) (Dexamethasone).

Animals

The study was carried out on male Wistar rats weighing 150–180 g. They were kept at ambient temperature and had free access to water and diet. All experimental procedures described were carried out in accordance with the guidelines of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee.

Induction of air-pouch inflammation by DL

The air-pouch was produced by subcutaneous injection of 20 ml of air into the dorsum of rats, in the intrascapular area. An additional 10 ml of air was injected into the cavity every third day to maintain the patency of the pouch. On the seventh day, 1 ml of 1% solution of DL was injected directly into the pouch to produce an inflammatory response. The animals were sacrificed with an overdose of ether at 2 h, 6 h, 1 day, 3 days and 5 days after the injection of DL. The cavity was opened, rinsed with 1 ml of cold saline containing 0.1% ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid, the fluid collected and the volume of exudate, leucocyte counts and protein concentration measured. An aliquot of exudate was stored at −20°C for measurement of PGE2 concentrations. The granulation tissue from the cavity was carefully dissected out, cleared of adjacent tissues, weighed and stored at −80°C for analysis of COX-2 expression. Celecoxib (3 and 30 mg/kg) and dexamethasone (0.3 and 10 mg/kg) were administered orally as a suspension with 5% gum acacia in NS, given daily for 3 days, starting 1 h before the DL injection. The effect of anti-inflammatory drugs was evaluated on the exudate volume, protein concentration, PGE2 concentration and cells at 6 h after the DL injection, while the effect on granulation tissue weight and COX-2 expression was evaluated on day 3.

Leucocyte count and measurement of total protein and PGE2 in air pouch fluid

The air-pouch fluid was diluted with Turks solution, and leucocytes were counted in a Neubauer’s chamber under a light microscope (TLC). Smears of air pouch fluid were stained with Giemsa stain, and a differential count of 200 cells for PMN and mononuclear cells was performed. The fluid collected from the air pouch cavity was centrifuged (1000 r.p.m.) for 10 min and the protein concentration in the supernatant was measured. The PGE2 concentration was measured in the cell-free exudate by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (R&D Systems).

Analysis of COX-2 expression by RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from the granulation tissue by the acid guanidinium thiocyanate/phenol/chloroform extraction method as described by Chomczynski and Sacchi, and reverse-transcribed using AMV-reverse transcriptase. The reaction mixture containing 10 μg of total RNA, 0.5 μg of oligo(dT)15, 40 U of RNasin, 10 U of AMV-reverse transcriptase in 1 × RT buffer was incubated at 37°C for 75 min. The reaction was terminated by heating at 90°C for 5 min, after which the reaction mixture was stored at −20°C. The reverse-transcribed cDNA (2 μl) was amplified in a final volume of 25 μl containing 1 × PCR buffer, 10 pmol of each of sense and antisense primer, 0.5 μl of 1.25 mM dNTP, and 1 μl of Taq polymerase, using a thermal cycler. The sequences of the sense and antisense primers were 5′-GGT GTG AAA GGA AAT GAA C-3′ and 5′-GGA TGG AGT TGT TGT AGA G-3′ for COX-2. These primer sets yield PCR products of 753 and 498 base pairs for COX-2 and β-actin, respectively. These primer sets yield PCR products of 753 and 498 base pairs for COX-2 and β-actin, respectively. The amplification conditions were: COX-2, 94°C for 5 min for one cycle, 94°C for 1 min (denaturation), and 57°C for 1 min (annealing), 72°C for 1 min (extension) for 40 cycles, finally followed by one cycle of 72°C for 10 min; β-actin, 94°C for 5 min for one cycle, 94°C for 1 min (denaturation), and 68°C for 1 min (annealing), 72°C for 1 min (extension) for 34 cycles, finally followed by one cycle of 72°C for 10 min. The products of PCR reactions were analyzed by agarose (1.2%) gel electrophoresis.
Statistical analysis

Values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean of six observations. Student’s t-test was used to compare the groups and \( p \leq 0.05 \) was considered significant.

Results

Time course of DL-induced inflammatory response in the air-pouch

DL, when injected into a 6-day air-pouch, led to the formation of granulation tissue and fluid accumulation. The weight of granulation tissue increased from \( 1.19 \pm 0.01 \) g at 2 h to its maximum on day 3 \((1.51 \pm 0.07)\) g, and thereafter it declined to \( 0.97 \pm 0.05 \) g on day 5 (Fig. 1A). There was a decline in the fluid volume recovered from the air-pouch up to day 3. The volume of fluid recovered at 2 h was \( 1.31 \pm 0.12 \) ml and on day 3 was \( 0.81 \pm 0.02 \) ml, and it increased to \( 0.87 \pm 0.02 \) ml on day 5. The protein concentration of the exudate was maximum at 6 h \((19.68 \pm 0.98)\) mg/ml and this was followed by a rapid decline to \( 6.40 \pm 0.48 \) mg/ml within 24 h, and thereafter a constant decline to 4.00 \pm 0.53 \( \times 10^6 \) ml on day 5 (Fig. 1B). Injection of DL into the air-pouch also led to cellular influx, which mainly involved the PMN cells. The PMN count at 6 h was \( 36.94 \pm 1.16 \) \( \times 10^6 \) /ml against \( 0.10 \pm 0.05 \) \( \times 10^6 \) /ml at 2 h. This was followed by a marginal increase in monocytes from \( 4.00 \pm 0.53 \times 10^6 \) /ml at 6 h to \( 10.27 \pm 1.41 \times 10^6 \) /ml on day 1, followed by a decline (Fig. 1C). Figure 1D shows the levels of PGs in the fluid recovered from the air-pouch. A significant increase in PGE\(_2\) concentration was observed at 6 h where the concentration of PGE\(_2\) was \( 8.25 \pm 0.28 \) mg/ml.

Effect of anti-inflammatory drugs on DL-induced air-pouch inflammation

The anti-inflammatory drugs celecoxib and dexamethasone when administered 1 h before injecting DL into the air-pouch produced a significant decrease in the inflammatory response in a dose-dependent manner (Table 1). Both, celecoxib (30 mg/kg) and dexamethasone (10 mg/kg) decreased the fluid volume from \( 1.18 \pm 0.02 \) ml to \( 0.62 \pm 0.07 \) ml and \( 0.72 \pm 0.06 \) ml, respectively. Dexamethasone was more potent than celecoxib in reducing the protein concentration of the fluid recovered from the air-pouch and brought down the protein concentration from \( 19.68 \pm 0.98 \) mg/ml to \( 3.40 \pm 0.3 \) mg/ml (83% reduction). The protein concentration of the fluid recovered from celecoxib (3 mg/kg) treated group was \( 11.70 \pm 0.95 \) mg/ml (41% reduction). Cellular infiltration into the air-pouch was also significantly inhibited by celecoxib and dexamethasone. The TLC decreased from \( 39.08 \pm 0.92 \) \( \times 10^6 \) /ml to \( 19.4 \pm 1.80 \) \( \times 10^6 \) /ml and \( 10.9 \pm 1.09 \) \( \times 10^6 \) /ml with 3 mg/kg and 30 mg/kg doses of celecoxib, respectively. Dexamethasone treatment on the other hand brought down the TLC to...
9.80 ± 1.65 × 10^5/ml and 4.53 ± 1.05 × 10^6/ml at 0.3 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg doses, respectively. A similar pattern was also obtained in case of the PMN count, where both celecoxib (30 mg/kg) and dexamethasone (10 mg/kg) produced 99% inhibition of PMN influx. The monocyte count, on the other hand, showed an increase at low doses of celecoxib (3 mg/kg) and dexamethasone (10 mg/kg), the monocyte count was 9.64 ± 0.91 × 10^6/ml and 4.23 ± 1.16 × 10^5/ml, respectively. At higher doses of celecoxib (30 mg/kg) and dexamethasone (10 mg/kg), the monocyte count was 9.04 ± 0.22 × 10^6/ml and 0.30 ± 0.10 × 10^6/ml.

Table 1. Anti-inflammatory effect of drugs administered orally 1 h before injecting DL into the air-pouch cavity

|                      | Control | Celecoxib (3 mg/kg) | Celecoxib (30 mg/kg) | Dexamethasone (0.3 mg/kg) | Dexamethasone (10 mg/kg) |
|----------------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Exudate volume (ml)** | 1.18 ± 0.02 | 0.95 ± 0.03^a (19%) | 0.62 ± 0.07^b (47%) | 0.93 ± 0.02^b (21%) | 0.72 ± 0.06^b (39%) |
| **Protein concentration** | 19.68 ± 0.98 | 14.90 ± 0.75^a (24%) | 11.70 ± 0.95^a (41%) | 12.00 ± 1.02^a (39%) | 3.40 ± 0.30^b (83%) |
| **TLC (×10^5/ml)** | 41.08 ± 0.92 | 19.40 ± 1.08^a (53%) | 9.93 ± 1.09^b (76%) | 9.80 ± 1.65^b (76%) | 4.53 ± 1.05^b (89%) |
| **PMN (×10^6/ml)** | 36.94 ± 1.16 | 6.87 ± 1.94^a (81%) | 0.30 ± 0.22^b (99%) | 1.61 ± 0.56^b (96%) | 0.30 ± 0.10^b (99%) |
| **Monocytes (×10^5/ml)** | 4.00 ± 0.53 | 12.57 ± 0.73^a (124%) | 9.64 ± 0.91^b (141%) | 8.19 ± 1.17^b (105%) | 4.23 ± 1.16^b (6%) |
| **PMN (×10^6/ml)** | 8.25 ± 0.28 | 2.00 ± 0.12^a (76%) | 1.60 ± 0.14^b (81%) | 0 (100%) | 0 (100%) |
| **Granuloma weight (g)** | 1.51 ± 0.07 | 1.19 ± 0.02^a (21%) | 0.74 ± 0.11^b (61%) | 0.59 ± 0.06^b (62%) | 0.29 ± 0.04^b (81%) |

Values presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (n = 6). Values in brackets represent the percentage change as compared with the respective control.

Inhibitory effect of anti-inflammatory drugs on COX-2 expression in air-pouch granulation tissue

COX-2 expression was studied in the air-pouch granulation tissue following the administration of DL. COX-2 expression was induced by DL and a 753 base pair COX-2 fragment was generated following RT-PCR by the primers used in this study. The COX-2 expression could be detected on day 1 of induction and the intensity of the band progressively increased up to day 5 (Fig. 2A). Since the weight of granulation tissue was maximum on day 3, the effect of celecoxib and dexamethasone on COX-2 expression was evaluated on day 3. Treatment of the rats with celecoxib and dexamethasone completely inhibited COX-2 expression even at the lower doses (Fig. 2B).

Discussion

The irritant and pro-inflammatory properties of the latex of C. procera have been well established in different inflammatory models. One of the mediators involved in the inflammatory response elicited by DL has been identified as histamine.3 Besides histamine, there are various other mediators that contribute to the inflammatory response. Of these, PGs play a crucial role in inflammatory cell influx, neovascularization and cellular proliferation.15 Since COX-2 is a key enzyme in the formation of PGs, the present study was carried out to evaluate the effect of DL on COX-2 induction. Single injection of DL into the air-pouch produced a characteristic inflammatory response that included fluid exudation, leucocyte infiltration and tissue granulation. Fluid exudation and the increase in protein concentration of the fluid has been attributed to increased capillary permeability.4 The exudation of plasma protein in the pouch was followed by an influx of leucocytes as also reported for other inflammagens.16 Polymorphonuclear cells are the predominant cell type in the early phase of inflammation and thereafter these cells are replaced by migrating mononuclear cells.17 The inflammation induced by DL was significantly inhibited by celecoxib (a COX-2 inhibitor) and
dexamethasone (a glucocorticoid) in a dose-dependent manner. Both celecoxib and dexamethasone were equi-effective in inhibiting fluid accumulation and both these drugs significantly brought down the protein concentration of the exudate. The anti-inflammatory effect of celecoxib and dexamethasone has been attributed to the inhibition of PG synthesis. In our study celecoxib produced an 80% decrease in PGE2 levels in the exudate while PGE2 was undetectable in the dexamethasone-treated group. Both, celecoxib and dexamethasone significantly inhibited leucocyte influx into the pouch; however, dexamethasone was more potent in this regard. The COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib inhibits only PG synthesis, while dexamethasone also regulates COX-2. The pronounced inhibitory effect of celecoxib and dexamethasone significantly inhibited leucocyte influx in addition to COX-2. The pronounced inhibitory effect of dexamethasone on leucocyte influx has also been attributed to its ability to inhibit leucocyte migration as well as to facilitate the loss of PMN leucocytes from the exudate. Both celecoxib and dexamethasone significantly increased the monocyte count as compared with the control group, possibly by inhibiting PGE2 synthesis. It is also possible that both drugs augment 5-lipoxygenase pathway in monocytes and thus stimulate monocyte chemotaxis.

We further studied the effect of DL on COX-2 expression in granulation tissue. COX-2 expression was induced by DL in a time-dependent manner with maximum expression occurring on day 5, as also seen in carrageenin-induced granulation. Initially, the granulation of the tissue progressed with an increase in COX-2 expression but, subsequently, the COX-2 expression continued even though the granulation has started regressing. COX 2 may be pro-inflammatory during the early phase of inflammation but may aid resolution at the later stage by generating an alternative set of anti-inflammatory prostaglandins. In our study, both celecoxib and dexamethasone completely inhibited tissue granulation as well as COX-2 expression. Thus, our study shows that the inflammatory response elicited by latex of Calotropis procera involves early cellular infiltration accompanied by the release of PGE2 and subsequent formation of granulation tissue associated with COX-2 induction.
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