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ABSTRACT

Circular RNA (circRNA) is a group of endogenous noncoding RNA characterized by a covalently closed cyclic structure lacking poly-adenylated tails. Recent studies have suggested that circRNAs play a crucial role in regulating gene expression by acting as a microRNA sponge, RNA binding protein sponge and translational regulator. CircRNAs have become a research hotspot because of their close association with the development of diseases. Some circRNAs are reportedly expressed in a tissue- and development stage-specific manner. Furthermore, due to other features of circRNAs including stability, conservation and high abundance in body fluids, circRNAs are believed to be potential biomarkers for various diseases. In the present review, we provide the current understanding of biogenesis and gene regulatory mechanisms of circRNAs, summarize the recent studies on circRNAs as potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers, and highlight the major advantages and limitations of circRNAs as novel biomarkers based on existing knowledge.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Contents

1. Introduction .............................................................. 267
2. Biogenesis and Classification of Circular RNAs ........................ 268
3. Putative Mechanisms of Gene Regulation by Circular RNAs .......................................................... 268
   3.1. Competing Endogenous RNA or miRNA Sponges ......... 268
   3.2. Interaction with RNA Binding Proteins and mRNAs ....... 268
   3.3. Regulation of Parental Gene Transcription ................. 268
   3.4. Protein Translation .............................................. 268
4. Relevance of Circular RNAs as Biomarkers of Diseases ......... 269
5. Circular RNAs as Potential Biomarkers for Human Diseases .... 270
   5.1. Circular RNAs as Biomarkers for Cancer ..................... 270
   5.2. Circular RNAs as Biomarkers for Cardiovascular Disease ...... 271
   5.3. Circular RNAs as Biomarkers for Neurological Disease ...... 272
   5.4. Circular RNAs as Biomarkers for other Diseases ......... 273
6. Conclusion and Perspectives ........................................... 273
7. Key Outstanding Questions ........................................... 273
8. Search Strategy and Selection Criteria ............................... 273
Author Contribution .................................................. 273
Acknowledgements .................................................... 273
References ............................................................. 273

1. Introduction
The recent advances in molecular biology techniques enable researchers to explore the complex mediatory network of coding and noncoding transcriptome. Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are a novel class
of endogenous noncoding RNAs and a field of much research interest and activity. Unlike linear RNAs, such as mRNAs, microRNAs (miRNAs) and long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), with a 5′ cap and 3′ tail structure; circRNAs are characterized by a covalently closed loop structure formed by back-splicing event. As early as 1970s, circRNA molecules were first discovered in RNA viruses by scientists with electron microscope. However, back then the circularized RNAs were thought to be splicing artifacts; and were continuously considered as “junk” RNAs for about two decades until the recent developments in transcriptome sequencing and bioinformatics analysis. Currently, circRNAs have emerged as the most interesting molecules because of their high abundance, stability, and conservation in mammalian cells [1]. CircRNAs have been reported to orchestrate gene expression by acting as miRNAs sponges, interacting with RNA binding proteins (RBPs) and modulating transcription. Importantly, tissue-specific regulation of circRNAs expression has been found associated with initiation and progression of numerous diseases, including various kinds of cancers, cardiovascular diseases, and neurological diseases [2–4]. Here we summarize the present understanding of the formation and function of circRNAs in disease development and discuss the feasibility of circRNAs to serve as biomarkers for different human diseases.

2. Biogenesis and Classification of Circular RNAs

CircRNAs are mainly synthesized by the transcription of protein-coding genes with RNA polymerase II (Pol II); but unlike linear RNAs, they are not produced by canonical mode of RNA splicing [5]. CircRNA molecules are circularized by joining the 3′ and 5′ ends together with unique back-splicing [6]. CircRNAs are commonly named according to their parental genes or specific functions, for example cerebellar degeneration-related protein 1 antisense RNA (CDR1as) is also known as circ-RB [1,5]. Two different models of exon cyclization have been proposed by Jeck and colleagues, which is termed “lariat-driven circularization” (Fig. 1a) [11]. The former model is associated with “exon skipping”, which leads to a covalent splice from the 3′ end of splice donor to 5′ end of splice acceptor, resulting in an exon-containing lariat structure. The lariat is then joined by spliceosome and form an exonic circle after the introns being removed (Fig. 1a). The latter one is based on pairing of complementary motifs in the transcripts. EicircRNA and EicircRNAs are formed respectively by removing or retaining the introns. Complementary flanking Alu elements are suggested important for circRNA biogenesis, whereas other inverted repeat sequences are also sufficient to drive circRNA formation (Fig. 1b) [13]. Accumulating evidence has verified the model of intron pairing driven circularization suggesting it might occur more frequently than lariat-driven circularization [14]. Thereafter, a new type of circRNA derived from intron was discovered in human cells and a novel model of cirRNA formation due to failure in debranching was proposed (Fig. 1c) [15]. Additionally, recent studies also suggested that circRNAs can be generated by bridging of RNA molecules with RNA binding proteins (RBPs). RBPs such as Muscleblind (MBL) protein and Quaking (QKI) protein can bind to flanking introns and mediate the circularization (Fig. 1d) [1,5].

3. Putative Mechanisms of Gene Regulation by Circular RNAs

3.1. Competing Endogenous RNA or miRNA Sponges

Competitive endogenous RNA hypothesis is currently the most intensively studied and well accepted mechanism on regulatory function of circRNAs on gene expression. CircRNAs contain plenty of miRNA response elements (MREs) that allow them to competitively bind to miRNAs, leading to decreasing of the functional miRNA molecules and subsequent upregulation of target miRNAs [6,16]. This phenomenon is also described as miRNA sponge since circRNAs can “absorb” miRNAs like a sponge (Fig. 2a). CDR1as is the most representative miRNA sponge circRNA. It has been reported contain more than 70 conserved binding sites for miR-7, and therefore pronouncedly reduced miR-7 level when elevated. Similarly, circ-HIPK3, HRCR and many other circRNAs have all been documented with miRNA sponge function [17–19]. Although sponge effect is a classical model of circRNA-mediated gene regulation, some recent studies have revealed that only few circRNAs exhibit properties of miRNA sponges and physiological circRNA expression changes do not have impact on highly expressed miRNAs [20,21]. The interaction between circRNAs and miRNA are regarded also related to storage, sorting and localization of miRNA other than simple inhibition [22].

3.2. Interaction with RNA Binding Proteins and mRNAs

In addition to the role of circRNAs as miRNA sponge, circRNAs can also act as sponges for RBPs (Fig. 2b). For example, strong direct interaction between circMBI and MBL protein enable circMBI to function in gene regulation by competing with linear splicing [5]. CircPABPN1 suppresses PABPN1 translation by competitively binding to HuR preventing interaction between HuR with PABPN1 mRNA [23]. Circ-Foxo3 can repress cell cycle progression by binding to G1 to S phase transition-related CDK2 and p21 [24]. Besides, circRNAs are able to interact with mRNAs as well. CircRNAs that contain translation start site can act as mRNA traps and regulate protein translation of mRNA [14]. Moreover, several circRNAs have been reported capable of modulating the stability of miRNAs. CDR1as is suggested to form a duplex structure with CDR1 mRNA which in turn stabilizes it [22]. LPS-inducible mouse circRNA circRasGEF1B has been found facilitate the stabilization of mature ICAM-1 mRNAs in macrophages [25].

3.3. Regulation of Parental Gene Transcription

CircRNAs have also been reported to regulate transcription of parental gene through distinctive mechanisms (Fig. 2c). CNNAs that are enriched in nuclei can interact with Pol II machinery and modulate host transcription activity in a cis-acting manner [15]. Nuclear EicirRNAs containing intrinsic sequence from their parental gene, such as circEIF3J and circPAP2, can interact with the U1 small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs) which then bind to Pol II on the promoter of their parental genes and thus enhance gene expression [11]. Also, circ-ITCH and the 3′-untranslated region (UTR) of ITCH gene share some identical miRNA binding sites with miR-1, miR-17 and miR-214, and thereby circ-ITCH regulates the expression level of ITCH by indirectly by interacting with its target miRNA [18].

3.4. Protein Translation

Recent studies have demonstrated the potential of circRNAs in proteins translation (Fig. 2d). The first natural circRNA found able to encode
protein is the genome of hepatitis virus back to 1980’s [26]. Whereas, at that time most researchers believed circRNAs was endogenous non-coding RNAs in eukaryotic cells until solid evidences start to be uncovered. It has been confirmed that circ-ZNF609 can be translated into protein functioning in myogenesis [27]. CircMbl3 is found to be translated in a splicing-dependent but cap-independent way in extracts [28]. N6-methyladenosine can promote the initiation of protein translation from circRNA in human cells, and a single N6-methyladenosine residue in circRNA is sufficient to drive the translation [29]. Additionally, study on human U251 and U373 cell line has displayed a novel protein encoded form circ-FBXW7 [30]; and a novel tumor suppressive protein encoded by circ-SHPRH has been identified in glioblastoma [31]. Moreover, computational analysis on sequencing of human transcriptomes has revealed the universal existence of circRNAs with coding potential, which provide a new direct for the functional studies of circRNAs [32].

4. Relevance of Circular RNAs as Biomarkers of Diseases

The use of biomarkers has emerged to be one of the main approaches for diagnosis and prognosis of various diseases. The features of a qualified biomarker include stability, sensitivity, specificity, accuracy and reproducibility [33]. According to the current knowledge

Fig. 1. Possible models of circular RNA biogenesis. (a) Lariat-driven circularization: Exon skipping event results in covalently splices from 3' splice donor to 5' splice acceptor, which forms a lariat structure containing the exon 2 and 3 and a linear product of exon 1 and 4. The introns are removed by splicesome to form an ecircRNA (exonic circRNA). (b) Intron-pairing-driven circularization: Direct base-pairing of the complementary sequence motifs (such as Alu elements) forms a circulation structure and a linear product. The introns are removed or retained to form an ecircRNA or an ElciRNA (exon-intron circRNA). (c) Circular intronic RNA (ciRNA): The intron lariat is generated from splicing reaction. GU-rich element near the 5' splice site (orange box) and C-rich element near the branch point (blue box) makes it stable to escape debranching. (d) RNA binding proteins (RBPs)-driven circularization: The interaction between two RBPs can bridge two flanking introns together and form a circRNA and a linear product.
about circRNAs, there are several remarkable characteristics of circRNA that make them potential biomarkers for human diseases. [1] Stability: due to the covalently closed loop structure lacking of free 5′ and 3′ ends, circRNA molecules are highly resistant to exonuclease RNase R, which makes them much more stable compared to linear RNAs [6,34]. The average half-life of circRNAs in plasma exceed 48 h, much longer than 10 h of the average value of mRNAs [14]. [2] Universality: circRNAs are suggested to be the most universal molecules distributed in human cells [35]; and in some circumstances circRNAs are way more abundant than their linear isoforms [8]. Additionally, the abundance of circRNA is higher in brain compared to other organs [36]; and the population of circRNAs circulating in the blood is also very prevalent, attributed to their structure with high stability [37]. [3] Specificity: circRNAs are suggested to express in a tissue-specific and developmental stage-specific manner, which makes them potential biomarkers of specific diseases. In particular, lots of studies have demonstrated that circRNAs are distinctively expressed between cancerous and non-cancerous tissues [6]; and the presence and abundance of circRNAs in different types of cancer cells are distinct as well [38]. [4] Conservatism: circRNAs are found evolutionally conserved in different species, which means some circRNA biomarkers identified in murine modes hold the potential to be translated to clinical application for human beings [12].

Minimal-invasive liquid biopsies are associated with easier and frequent assessments with less morbidity compared to conventional biopsies [39]. Similar to other non-coding RNAs, the technology applied to quantification of circRNAs is mainly qRT-PCR, however the primers need to be designed specific for back-splicing site. Likewise, microarray technology can be adopted for high-throughput analysis of biological samples; and deep sequencing is required to verify the results. Collectively, circRNAs are thought to be a group of potential biomarkers especially for liquid biopsies (Fig. 3). CircRNA biomarkers can be detected effectively in body fluids or in circulating cells and extracellular vesicles, to allow early diagnosis, appropriate therapy selection, precise prognosis and frequent therapy monitoring for different type of diseases. Next, we will summarize recent explorations on developing circRNAs as potential biomarkers for various human diseases.

5. Circular RNAs as Potential Biomarkers for Human Diseases

5.1. Circular RNAs as Biomarkers for Cancer

The regulatory function of non-coding RNA on cancer development has always been a hotspot in the field of cancer research. Recent studies have revealed that circRNAs may play an important role in the initiation and progression of many types of cancer, and hence may serve as biomarkers of cancers to facilitate diagnosis and prognosis. CircRNAs as biomarker for cancer are most intensively investigated among all the explanatory studies for biomarkers. A representing summary of recently reported potential biomarkers for different diseases are listed in Table 1. Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the major cancer types characterized by its notable heterogeneity; and therefore tumor markers are essential for precise diagnosis and proper management of GC. Standard biomarkers for GC include serum carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and cancer...
antigen 19–9 (CA19–9), however their sensitivity and specificity are low since they can be detected in patients with other types of cancer. Therefore, researchers have been searching for novel biomarkers for GC with diagnostic and prognostic significance. Hsa_circ_002059 was downregulated in GC tissues and suggested to be a prognostic marker since its level in plasma was associated with tumor grade and distal metastasis [41]. Hsa_circ_0000190 was found lower in plasma samples from patients with GC, and the expression levels was correlated with tumor diameter, lymphatic metastasis and distal metastasis and thereby a potential non-invasive diagnostic biomarker superior to traditional CEA and CA19–9 [42]. CircPVT1 was found upregulated in GC tissues and suggested as an independent prognostic marker for overall survival and disease-free survival of patients with GC [43]. Likewise, hsa_circ_0001895, hsa_circ_0103138, hsa_circ_104423, hsa_circ_0104916, hsa_circ_010269, hsa_circ_0001017 and hsa_circ_0061276 were also thought to be potential biomarker for GC [44–46].

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most common malignancy and the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide, owing to its high rate of metastasis and recurrence. The prognosis of HCC is poor unless can be diagnosed at an early stage. Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) is the most commonly used biomarker for HCC diagnosis; however the feasibility of single application of AFP is questioned for low sensitivity [47]. According to the latest researches, circRNAs has shown some utility as biomarkers for HCC. Hsa_circ_0001649 was found downregulated with tumor status, and circRNAs has shown some utility as biomarkers for HCC.

Unfortunately, no ideal blood marker for LC is currently available, since the utility of the carcinoma antigens is limited by the poor sensitivity and specificity [53]. Recent studies on circRNAs may show a promising direction for identification of LC biomarker candidates. A constant upregulation of has_circ_0013958 has been reported in LC tissues, LC cell and plasma from patients. This circRNA promoted cancer cell proliferation and invasion in vitro, and was regarded as a potential non-invasive biomarker for the early detection and screening of LC [54]. Similarly, hsa_circ_0000064 and hsa_circRNA_103809 were proposed as potential prognostic biomarkers for patients with LC [55,56]. Moreover, circRNA_100876, hsa_circ_0014130 and circFARSA were identified as potential biomarkers particular for NSCLC detection [57–59].

Besides, potential utility of circRNAs as biomarkers for other types of malignancy have been reported based on related studies, including colorectal cancer [60–62], breast cancer [63,64], pancreatic cancer [65] and so on. These candidates all show differential expression between normal and cancerous tissues and clinical relevance to cancer development, and some may also exhibit different abundance in plasma or serum from patients, which confer them potentials of application as circulating biomarkers.

5.2. Circular RNAs as Biomarkers for Cardiovascular Disease

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) and eventually heart attack are leading killers of both man and woman all around the world. Early diagnosis and proper therapeutic intervention are critical for improving patients’ survival rates. Blood markers including cyPA (cyclophilin A), Rho-kinase, FADD (fas-associated death domain–containing protein) and others are suggested to be biomarkers for CVDs related to vascular inflammation, such as atherosclerosis and pulmonary arterial hypertension [66,67]. Atrial ANP (natriuretic peptide), BNP (brain natriuretic peptide), cardiac troponin and myocardial band isoenzyme are recognized as blood biomarkers for heart failure [68]. Nevertheless, limitations such as untimely detection and varieties in the patients’ baseline level still exist [69]. Although lots of circRNAs have been investigated and suggested to exert essential function in pathological process of various CVDs [70], not much potential circRNA biomarker candidates for CVDs have been identified and reported. The expression of circRNAs...
Table 1
Summary of recent studies on circular RNA as potential biomarker of different diseases.

| Disease                          | circRNA                        | Features and potential application                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gastric cancer                  | hsa_circ_002059                | Downregulated in gastric cancer (GC) tissues compared with noncancerous tissues; Potential diagnostic biomarker of GC |
|                                 | hsa_circ_0000190               | Downregulated in GC tissues and plasma of GC patients; Potential noninvasive diagnostic biomarker of GC |
|                                 | circPVT1                       | Upregulated in GC tissues; Acting as sponge of miR-125 family; Potential independent prognostic marker for overall survival and disease-free survival of GC patients |
|                                 | hsa_circ_0001895               | Downregulated in GC cell lines, GC tissues and gastric precancerous lesions; Potential diagnostic biomarker for clinical prediction of GC |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma        | hsa_circ_010308, hsa_circ_104423, hsa_circ_104916, hsa_circ_100209 | hsa_circ_010308 upregulated, other three downregulated in GC tissues; four-circRNA-based classifier to predict early recurrence of stage IB GC after radical surgery |
|                                 | hsa_circ_0001017, hsa_circ_00611276 | Downregulated in GC tissues and plasma of GC patients; Potential diagnostic biomarker for GC |
|                                 | hsa_circ_0001649               | Downregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) tissue; Potential diagnostic biomarker for HCC and metastasis of HCC |
|                                 | Cdr1as                         | Comparable between HCC tissue and noncancerous tissue; Potential independence biomarker of hepatic microvascular invasion in HCC |
|                                 | circZSCAN1                     | Downregulated in HCC tissues; Potential diagnostic biomarker for HCC, correlated with favorable survival of HCC |
|                                 | circ-ITCH                      | Downregulated in HCC tissues; Potential prognostic biomarker of HCC, correlated with favorable survival of HCC |
| Hepatitis B-induced hepatocellular carcinoma | hsa_circ_100,338               | Upregulated in HCC tissues; Directly target miR-143-3p; Potential diagnostic biomarker for hepatitis B-induced HCC |
| Lung cancer                     | hsa_circ_003958                | Upregulated in lung cancer (LC) tissues, LC cell and patient plasma; Promote cell proliferation and invasion in vitro; Potential non-invasive biomarker for the early detection and screening of LC |
|                                 | hsa_circ_0000664               | Upregulated in LC tissues and LC cell A549 and H1229; Knockdown of hsa_circ_0000664 attenuates cell proliferation, block cell cycle progression, promote cell apoptosis; Potential biomarker and therapeutic target of LC. |
|                                 | circRNA_102231                 | Upregulated in lung adenocarcinoma (LAC) tissues; Potential biomarker and therapeutic target of LAC |
|                                 | circRNA_100876                 | Upregulated in Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) tissues; Potential prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target for NSCLC |
|                                 | hsa_circ_0014130               | Upregulated in NSCLC tissues; Potential biomarker related to carcinogenesis of NSCLC |
|                                 | circFA2A                       | Upregulated in cancerous tissues, plasma; Promote cell migration and invasion; Potential noninvasive biomarker for NSCLC |
| Colorectal cancer               | hsa_circRNA_10380, hsa_circRNA_104700, circRNA0003906 | Downregulated in colorectal cancer (CRC) tissues; Potential diagnostic biomarkers of CRC |
|                                 | hsa_circRNA_104700             | Downregulated in CRC tissues and cell lines; Potential diagnostic biomarker and treatment target of CRC |
|                                 | circHPPC3                      | Upregulated in CRC tissues and cell lines; Inhibit miR-7 and elevate proto-oncogenes (FAK, IGFI1, EGFR, YY1); Potential prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target of CRC |
| Breast cancer                   | circGFRA1                      | Upregulated in triple negative breast cancer (BC) cell and tissue; Potential diagnostic biomarker and therapeutic target of triple negative BC |
| Pancreatic cancer               | hsa_circ_0001785               | Upregulated in plasma of BC patients; Potential circulating biomarkers for diagnosis and progress of BC |
| Coronary artery disease         | hsa_circ_0124644               | Upregulated in peripheral blood of patients; Potential diagnostic biomarker of coronary artery disease |
| Intracranial aneurysms          | hsa_circ_0021001               | Downregulated in peripheral blood of patient with intracranial aneurysm; Potential diagnostic marker for intracranial aneurysms |
| Hypertension                    | hsa_circ-0005870               | Increased in plasma of hypertensive patients; Targeting hsa-mir-6807-3p, hsa-mir-5095, hsa-mir-1273 g-3p, hsa-mir-5096, hsa-mir-619-5p; Potential diagnostic target for hypertension |
| Heart failure                   | MICRA                         | Potential prognostic biomarker for risk stratification of heart failure after myocardial infarction |
| Eclampsia                       | circ_101222                    | Increased in blood corpuscles from pregnant women with pre-eclampsia; Potential biomarker (together with endoglin) for early diagnosis of eclampsia in pregnancies |
| Diabetes                        | hsa_circ_005643                | Increased in peripheral blood of type 2 diabetes patients; Potential diagnostic biomarker for pre-diabetes and type-2 diabetes |
| Rheumatoid arthritis            | circRNA_104871, circRNA_003524, circRNA_101873, circRNA_103047 | Upregulated in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs); Potential diagnostic biomarkers of rheumatoid arthritis |
| Tuberculosis                    | hsa_circ_0000414, hsa_circ_0000681, hsa_circ_0002113, hsa_circ_0002362, hsa_circ_0002908, hsa_circ_0008797, hsa_circ_0063179, hsa_circRNA_001937 | (7-signature) Upregulated in (peripheral blood mononuclear cells) PBMCs from TB patients; Potential diagnose biomarker of TB infection |

was examined in peripheral blood of patient with coronary artery disease (CAD) and results suggested that hsa_circ_0124644 could be used as a diagnostic biomarker of CAD [71]. Another circulating circRNA hsa_circ_0021001 was found decreased in peripheral blood of patients suffering intracranial aneurysms (IA) and was considered to be a potential diagnostic marker for IA [72]. Bioinformatics analysis on plasma circRNA expression profiles in hypertensive patients identified hsa-circ-0005870 as a novel biomarker for diagnosis of hypertension [73]. Moreover, circRNA MICRA showed prognostic value as a novel biomarker for risk stratification of heart failure after myocardial infarction [74].

5.3. Circular RNAs as Biomarkers for Neurological Disease

Biopsies of neuronal and brain tissues may be difficult to conduct, whereas plasma and cerebrospinal fluid is much more accessible. Also,
since the majority of circRNAs is found most abundant in brain, it seems promising to find fluctuated circRNAs in cerebrospinal fluid of patients which can be utilized as biomarkers for neurological diseases. Furthermore, small molecules including free circRNAs and circRNA-contained exosomes can be transported across blood-brain barrier, therefore may be also detectable in peripheral blood [75]. Total circRNA expression in Drosophila was reported considerably increased during central nervous system aging, and circRNAs was regarded as a class of potential aging biomarkers [76]. Accumulation of circRNA during aging was later confirmed in mice, suggesting this phenomenon might occur in other mammal species including human as well [77]. Nevertheless, few potential circRNA candidates of biomarkers for neurological diseases have been documented to date. One study reported that circ_101222 level in blood corpuscles of pregnant women with pre-eclampsia was higher than those in healthy women, and circ_101222 combined with plasma protein endoglin have the potential to predict pre-eclampsia early in pregnancies [78]. More studies need to be conducted to explore the feasibility of circRNA as biomarkers of neurological diseases.

5.4. Circular RNAs as Biomarkers for other Diseases

Some circRNAs have shown some utilities as biomarker for other types of diseases. Altered expression profile of circRNA in blood of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus was confirmed, and among which hsa_circ_0054633 was proposed to be a potential diagnostic biomarker for pre-diabetes and type-2 diabetes [79]. CircRNA expression profile in peripheral blood mononuclear cells indicated circRNAs including circRNA_104871, circRNA_003524, circRNA_101873 and circRNA_103047 might serve as potential diagnostic biomarkers of rheumatoid arthritis [80]. Additionally, several circRNAs differentially expressed in peripheral blood mononuclear cells are suggested to be potential diagnostic biomarkers of active pulmonary tuberculosis [81,82].

6. Conclusion and Perspectives

In summary, circRNAs regulate gene expression during the development of various diseases by acting as miRNA sponge, RBP sponge, mRNA trap, and transcriptional modulator or even through direct encoding proteins. The fact that circRNAs are abundantly and prevalently present in human body, and are often expressed tissue- and development stage-specifically in diseases, endows circRNAs great potential to be employed as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for diseases. Notably, due to their excellent stability and high abundance in body fluids and in circulating extracellular vesicles, circRNAs are regarded as promising candidates of biomarkers for liquid biopsy of clinical samples such as whole blood, serum, saliva, urine and cerebrospinal fluid. Currently, clinically-used molecular biomarkers are usually proteins with low organ specificity. For example, as mentioned above, CEA and CA19–9 are standard protein biomarkers for gastric cancer, whereas they are used in diagnosis of patients with colon and pancreas tumor as well. Moreover, compared to other novel RNA biomarkers like miRNAs and lincRNAs, circRNAs have advantages such as longer half-life in blood and better cross-species conservation, which all make them favorable biomarker candidates.

On the other hand, several problems need to be addressed in exploring of circRNA as biomarkers. Firstly, some circRNAs are found differentially expressed in tissues but without difference in serum abundance. As for most intensively studied cancer biomarkers, many circRNA candidates are up- or downregulated in cancerous tissues compared to adjacent noncancerous tissues, but their plasma or serum level in patients and healthy controls are not distinctive [33,47]. These circRNAs are not suitable for noninvasive disease diagnosis or prediction. Secondary, the reliability and sensitivity of using circRNAs as biomarkers need further validation. CircRNAs have just been studied for several years, and there are still many complex functions and mechanisms of circRNAs remains elusive. Moreover, apart from several potential circRNA candidates for cancer prognosis, few circRNA has been found able to predict severity of other kinds of diseases. Finally, detecting circRNAs in blood cells or in exosomes is more expensive and time-consuming than existing protein tests, which may limit the wide application of circRNAs as biomarkers.

Collectively, although there are still functional and mechanical studies need to be conducted and problems to be overcome, circRNAs are favorable potential biomarkers for human diseases because of their stability, specificity and abundance in body fluids. It is doubtless that more and more promising circRNAs biomarkers will be identified and studied for potential clinical use thanks to the recent advance in high-throughput techniques.

7. Key Outstanding Questions

• Since many circRNAs are multi-functional, are circRNA biomarkers reliable enough to predict or monitor disease development?
• How do we standardize a circRNA biomarker? Can we set up normal baseline for distinguishing patient from healthy?

8. Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

Data from this review were identified by searches of PubMed and references from relevant articles using the following keywords, alone or in combination: “biomarker”, “prediction”, “clinical relevance”, “diagnosis”, “prognosis”, “disease”, “cancer”, “cardiovascular disease”, and “neurological disease/disorder”. Only articles published in English were included. Abstracts and reports from meetings were excluded.
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