LONG AND THIN COVERS FOR COCOMPACT FLOW SPACES

DANIEL KASPROWSKI AND HENRIK RÜPING

Abstract. Long and thin covers of flow spaces are important ingredients in the proof of the Farrell–Jones conjecture for certain classes of groups, like hyperbolic and CAT(0)-groups. In this paper we provide an alternative construction of such covers which holds in a more general setting and simplifies some of the arguments.

1. Introduction

Let \( G \) be a (discrete, countable) group. A flow space \( X \) for \( G \) is a metric space \( X \) together with a continuous action of \( G \times \mathbb{R} \), such that the action of \( G = G \times 0 \) on \( X \) is isometric and proper. We call a flow space \( X \) cocompact if the \( G \) action on \( X \) is cocompact. We will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (Main Theorem). Let \( X \) be a finite-dimensional, second-countable and locally compact flow space \( X \) and \( \alpha \) be a number greater than 0. Then there is a \( \mathcal{V}_{cyc} \)-cover \( \mathcal{U} \) of \( X \) of dimension at most \( 7 \dim(X) + 7 \) such that for every point \( x \in X \) there is an open set \( U \in \mathcal{U} \) with \( \Phi[−\alpha,\alpha](x) \subseteq U \).

If the flow space is cocompact, then it also is locally compact and second-countable by Lemma 2.3 and as in [BL12, Lemma 5.8] we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let \( X \) be a finite-dimensional and cocompact flow space \( X \) and \( \alpha \) be a number greater than 0. Then there is an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and a \( \mathcal{V}_{cyc} \)-cover \( \mathcal{U} \) of \( X \) such that for every point \( x \in X \) there is an open set \( U \in \mathcal{U} \) with \( B_{\varepsilon}(\Phi[−\alpha,\alpha](x)) \subseteq U \) of dimension at most \( 7 \dim(X) + 7 \).

This corollary generalizes the construction of long and thin covers from [BLR08] and yields the result Arthur Bartels asked for in [Bar, Remark 5.12].

The proof will decompose the flow space into three parts; the part without a short \( G \)-period in Section 5, the nonperiodic part with short \( G \)-period in Section 6 and the periodic part with short \( G \)-period in Section 7. We will construct a cover for each of the three parts and take their union.

The construction for the cover of the part without short \( G \)-period is based on an idea of Arthur Bartels and Roman Sauer. We begin by constructing a countable, locally finite cover which is long in direction of the flow but has arbitrary dimension. By cutting overlapping subsets from previous elements of the cover we obtain a disjoint collection of subsets. Enlarging them in direction of the flow will produce a collection of subsets which is a long cover except for a subspace of lower dimension.
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Since all intersection are obtained by enlarging in direction of the \(\mathbb{R}\)-action we get an estimate of the dimension independent of \(X\). Proceeding by induction we will cover the part without short \(G\)-period in at most \(\dim(X) + 1\) steps. To make the argument precise we will need the notion of small inductive dimension, see Section 3.

For the part with short \(G\)-period the key idea is that passing to the quotient of this subspace by the flow does not increase the dimension. This allows us to construct covers of the quotient and pull them back.

In Section 9 we will explain how the main theorem relates to the Farrell-Jones conjecture.
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**Notation 1.3.**

1. We will denote the image of \(x \in X\) under the action of \(g \in G\) by \(gx\) and the image of \(x \in X\) under the action of \(t \in \mathbb{R}\) by \(\Phi_t x\). The action of \(\mathbb{R}\) will also be called flow.
2. A family \(F\) of subgroups of \(G\) is a collection of subgroups which is closed under conjugation and taking subgroups;
3. examples are the family \(\text{Fin}\) of all finite subgroups and the family \(\mathcal{V}_{\text{cyc}}\) of all virtually cyclic subgroups.
4. An \(F\)-subset \(U\) of a \(G\)-space is a subset with \(gU \cap U \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow gU = U\) and \(G_U := \{g \mid gU = U\} \in F\). An \(F\)-collection is an equivariant collection of \(F\)-subsets. An \(F\)-cover is a collection which covers the whole space.
5. For a subset \(B \subseteq X\) we denote by \(B^\circ\) the interior of \(B\).
6. For \(U \subseteq X\) let \(\partial_X U\) denote the boundary of \(U\) as a subset of \(X\).
7. A \(G\)-action on \(X\) is called cocompact if \(G \backslash X\) is compact.
8. A \(G\)-action on \(X\) is called proper if for every compact subspace \(K \subseteq X\) the set \(\{g \in G \mid K \cap gK \neq \emptyset\}\) is finite.

2. Basic properties of flow spaces

**Definition 2.1.** For \(x \in X\) define the period of \(x\) as \(\inf\{t \mid t > 0, x = \Phi_t x\}\). If that set is empty, we say that the period of \(x\) is \(\infty\). The \(G\)-period of \(x\) is the period of \(Gx \in G \backslash X\) with respect to the induced flow on the quotient. For some number \(\gamma \in \mathbb{R}\) let \(X_{\leq \gamma}\) denote the subspace of \(X\) consisting of all elements with \(G\)-period less or equal to \(\gamma\). Let \(X_{> \gamma}\) denote \(X \setminus X_{\leq \gamma}\).

**Notation 2.2.** The flow line through a point is its orbit under the flow. The subspace of all compact flow lines is

\[
X'_{\text{cyc}} := \{x \in X \mid \exists t > 0 : \Phi_t x = x\}
\]

and the space of all compact flow lines with \(G\)-period less than \(\gamma\) will be denoted by

\[
X_{\text{cyc}, \gamma} := X'_{\text{cyc}} \cap X_{\leq \gamma}.
\]

The subspace consisting of all compact flow lines with \(G\)-period less than \(\gamma\) which are not fixed points under the flow will be denoted by

\[
X_{\text{cyc}, \gamma, > 0} := X_{\text{cyc}, \gamma} \setminus X^R.
\]

Let \(q : X_{\text{cyc}, \gamma} \to \mathbb{R} \setminus X_{\text{cyc}, \gamma} =: Y_{\text{cyc}, \gamma}\) denote the quotient map.
If for \( c \in X \setminus X_{\text{cy}c} \) there is \( t > 0 \) and \( g \in G \) with \( \Phi tc = gc \), then we call \( c \) an axis of \( g \) and define \( l(g, c) := t \). We have \( l(g, \Phi tc) = l(g, c) \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), since \( g\Phi tc = \Phi tgc = \Phi \Phi l(g, c)c = \Phi l(g, c)\Phi c \). Furthermore, \( l(hgh^{-1}, hc) \) equals \( l(g, c) \). The space \( X_{\text{axes}, \gamma} \) of all axes with \( G \)-period less than \( \gamma \) consists of all \( x \in X \setminus X_{\text{cy}c} \) which are an axis for some \( g \) such that \( l(g, x) \leq \gamma \). Let \( p \colon X_{\text{axes}, \gamma} \to \mathbb{R} \setminus X_{\text{axes}, \gamma} =: Y_{\text{axes}, \gamma} \) be the projection. By the above \( X_{\text{axes}, \gamma} \) is a \( G \)-subspace and \( Y_{\text{axes}, \gamma} \) inherits the \( G \)-action from \( X_{\text{axes}, \gamma} \).

Later we will omit \( \gamma \) from the notation if \( \gamma \) is fixed. By Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 7.1 we will see that \( X_{\subseteq \gamma} \) is topologically the disjoint union of \( X_{\text{cy}c, \gamma} \) and \( X_{\text{axes}, \gamma} \). We will construct the covers for the two components separately and then take their union.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( X \) be a metric space with a proper, cocompact \( G \)-action. Then \( X \) is second-countable and locally compact.

**Proof.** Let \( \pi \colon X \to G \setminus X \) be the projection. The family \( \{ \pi(B_{1/n}(x)) \}_{x \in X} \) is an open cover of \( G \setminus X \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( G \setminus X \) is compact there exists a finite subcover, i.e. a finite subset \( I_n \subseteq X \) with \( X = G(\bigcup_{x \in I_n} B_{1/n}(x)) \). A countable basis for the topology is given by \( U := \{ gB_{1/n}(x) \mid g \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in I_n \} \).

Let \( x \in X \).

**Claim.** There exists \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( S := \{ g \in G \mid B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap gB_{\epsilon}(x) \neq \emptyset \} \) is finite.

Otherwise there exists a sequence \( x_n \) converging to \( x \) and \( g_n \in G \) with \( g_n \neq g_m \) for \( n \neq m \) such that \( g_n x_n \) converges to \( x \).

\[
K' := \{ g_n x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \cup \{ x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \cup \{ x \}
\]

is compact and \( g_n x_n \in K' \cap g_n K' \). Since the \( G \)-action is proper the set \( \{ g_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \) has to be finite, a contradiction to the assumption that all \( g_n \) are different.

Now let \( \epsilon > 0 \) be such that \( S := \{ g \in G \mid B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap gB_{\epsilon}(x) \neq \emptyset \} \) is finite. And let \( x_n \in B_{\epsilon/2}(x) \) be any sequence. Since \( G \setminus X \) is compact there exists a subsequence \( x_{n_k} \) converging in the quotient to some \( z \in G \setminus X \). There is \( y \in B_{\epsilon}(x) \) mapping to \( z \) and there exist \( s_n \in S \) with \( s_n x_{n_k} \) converging to \( y \). Since \( S \) is finite there exists \( s \in S \) and again a subsequence such that \( s x_{n_k} \) converges to \( y \). This implies that \( x_{n_k} \) converges to \( s^{-1}y \) and since \( X \) is metric \( B_{\epsilon/2}(x) \) is therefore compact.

**Remark 2.4.** A group action on a locally compact space is proper, if and only if we can find for every point \( x \) a small open neighborhood \( U \) such that the set \( \{ g \in G \mid gU \cap U \neq \emptyset \} \) is finite.

In the situation where the group action is cocompact and proper Lemma 2.3 implies that the above definition of cocompact is equivalent to the existence of a compact subset \( K \subseteq X \) with \( G K = X \).

Furthermore, the quotient of a metric space \( X \) by a proper and isometric group action of a group \( G \) is metrizable using

\[
d(Gx, Gy) := \inf_{g \in G} d(x, gy).
\]

**Remark 2.5.** Let \( X \) be a metrizable space and \( U, A \) be subsets such that \( U \) is an open subset of \( A \). Then the open subset \( U' \subseteq X \) given by

\[
U' := \{ x \in X \mid d(x, U) < d(x, A \setminus U) \}
\]

for some metric \( d \) on \( X \) has the following properties:
We have \( U' \cap A = U \).

Two such extensions \( U', V' \) of \( U, V \subset A \) intersect if and only if \( U, V \) intersect.

If \( G \) acts isometrically on \( X \) and \( A \) is a \( G \)-subspace, we have \((gU)' = g(U')\).

In particular,
\[
\{ g \in G \mid gU \cap U \neq \emptyset \} = \{ g \in G \mid gU' \cap U' \neq \emptyset \}.
\]

3. Dimension theory

Let us recall the definition of the small inductive dimension.

**Definition 3.1** ([Eng78, Definition 1.1.1]). To every regular space \( X \) we assign the small inductive dimension \( \text{ind}(X) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1, \infty\} \) given by the following properties:

1. \( \text{ind}(X) = -1 \) if and only if \( X = \emptyset \);
2. \( \text{ind}(X) \leq n \), where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) if for every point \( x \in X \) and each neighborhood \( V \subseteq X \) of the point \( x \) there exists an open set \( U \subseteq X \) such that \( x \in U \subseteq V \) and \( \text{ind}(\partial U) \leq n - 1 \);
3. \( \text{ind}(X) = n \) if \( \text{ind}(X) \leq n \) and \( \text{ind}(X) > n - 1 \), i.e., the inequality \( \text{ind}(X) \leq n - 1 \) does not hold;
4. \( \text{ind}(X) = \infty \) if \( \text{ind}(X) > n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\} \).

We will need the following information about the inductive dimension.

**Theorem 3.2** (Subspace theorem [Eng78, Theorem 1.2.2]). For every subspace \( M \) of a regular space \( X \) we have \( \text{ind}(M) \leq \text{ind}(X) \).

**Theorem 3.3** (Sum theorem [Eng78, Theorem 1.5.3]). If a second-countable metric space \( X \) can be represented as the union of a sequence \( F_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \) of closed subspaces such that \( \text{ind}(F_k) \leq n \), for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( \text{ind}(X) \leq n \).

**Theorem 3.4** (Cartesian product theorem [Eng78, Theorem 1.5.16]). For every pair \( X, Y \) of second-countable metric spaces of which at least one is non-empty we have
\[
\text{ind}(X \times Y) \leq \text{ind}(X) + \text{ind}(Y).
\]

**Theorem 3.5** ([Eng78, Theorem 1.7.7]). The inductive dimension of a second-countable metric space agrees with its covering dimension.

4. Boxes

To construct the open sets for the long part, we need the notion of a box and some of its basic properties.

**Definition 4.1** ([BLR08, Definition 2.3]). Let \( X \) be a flow space. A box \( B \) is a subset \( B \subseteq X \) with the following properties:

1. \( B \) is a compact \( \mathcal{F} \text{in-subset} \);
2. There exists a real number \( l_B > 0 \), called the length of the box \( B \), with the property that for every \( x \in B \) there exist real numbers \( a_-(x) \leq 0 \leq a_+(x) \) and \( \epsilon(x) > 0 \) satisfying
   \[
   l_B = a_+(x) - a_-(x);
   \Phi_{\tau}(x) \in B \text{ for } \tau \in [a_-(x), a_+(x)];
   \Phi_{\tau}(x) \notin B \text{ for } \tau \in (a_-(x) - \epsilon(x), a_-(x)) \cup (a_+(x), a_+(x) + \epsilon(x)).
   \]
To a box $B$ we can assign the central slice

$$S_B := \{ x \in B \mid a_-(x) + a_+(x) = 0 \}. $$



We abuse notation and define $\partial S_B := \partial B \cap S_B$ and $S_B^\circ := B^\circ \cap S_B$.

**Lemma 4.2** ([BLR08, Lemma 2.6]). The map

$$\mu_B : S_B \times [-l_B/2, l_B/2] \cong B, \quad (x, t) \mapsto \Phi_t(x)$$

is a $G_B$-homeomorphism.

Consequently, we can define a projection $pr_B$ to the central slice, via

$$pr_B : B \to S_B, \quad x \mapsto pr_1 \circ \mu_B^{-1}(x).$$

By definition of $\mu_B$ this is the same as $x \mapsto \Phi_{-pr_2(\mu_B^{-1}(x))}(x)$.

**Definition 4.3.** An open box is the interior of a box.

**Lemma 4.4** ([BLR08, Lemma 2.16]). For every $x \in X_{\geq \gamma}$ and for every $0 < l < \gamma$ there exists a box $B$ of length $l$ with $x \in S_B^\circ$ and $G_B = G_x$.

**Remark 4.5.** In [BLR08, Lemma 2.16] the space $X \setminus X^R$ is assumed to be locally connected. This is only needed to find a box as in Lemma 4.4 with the additional assumption that $S_B$ is connected.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $X$ be a locally compact, second-countable flow space and $\alpha > 0$. Then there is a countable collection of compact subsets $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that:

1. $\Phi_{[-10\alpha, 10\alpha]}(S_i)$ is a box of length $20\alpha$ with central slice $S_i$;
2. The interiors of the smaller boxes $\Phi_{[-\alpha, \alpha]}(S_i)$ form a locally finite cover of $X_{> 20\alpha}$.
3. For any two $S_i, S_j$ the set

$$\{ t \in [-3\alpha, 3\alpha] \mid \exists x \in S_i : \Phi_t(x) \in S_j \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

has diameter less than $\alpha$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.4 we can find for every point $x \in X_{> 20\alpha}$ a box $\Phi_{[-10\alpha, 10\alpha]}S'_x$ of length $20\alpha$ such that $x$ is in the interior of this box and in the central slice. Furthermore, we can choose them in such a way that $S'_{gx} = gS'_x$. Now consider the open cover

$$\{ \Phi_{[-\alpha, \alpha]}S'_{x} \circ \mid x \in X \}$$

and push it along the quotient map $\pi$ to the quotient $G \setminus X$. The quotient $G \setminus X$ is metrizable and hence second-countable and paracompact by [Pea75, Corollary 2.1.8].

We can thus find a countable, locally finite refinement $\{ V(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ of this cover. Being a refinement means that we can find for every $n$ an $x(n)$ with $\pi^{-1}(V(n)) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\pi(\Phi_{[-\alpha, \alpha]}S'_{x(n)})) = G \cdot (\Phi_{[-\alpha, \alpha]}S'_{x(n)})^\circ$. Now define a cover

$$V := \{ \pi^{-1}(V(n)) \cap g(\Phi_{[-\alpha, \alpha]}S'_{x(n)})^\circ \mid g \in G, n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$ 

This is a countable, locally finite, $G$-invariant cover of $X$. Choose an enumeration $V = \{ V_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \}$. We can enlarge these sets by first projecting the closure of $V_i = \pi^{-1}(V(n_i)) \cap g(\Phi_{[-\alpha, \alpha]}S'_{x(n_i)})^\circ$ to the central slice $gS'_{x(n_i)}$ and then letting it flow by $[-\alpha, \alpha]$. Call the resulting box $C_i$ and its central slice $D_i$. Let $C$ be the collection of boxes $\{ C_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \}$.

To show that it is locally finite at some point $x$, pick a compact neighborhood $K$ and note that if $K \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$, then $\Phi_{[-2\alpha, 2\alpha]}(K) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$. Since $\Phi_{[-2\alpha, 2\alpha]}(K)$
is compact and the collection \( \{ V_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) locally finite, this can happen only for finitely many \( i \).

It remains to establish Lemma 4.6(3). To achieve this we have to subdivide the central slices \( D_i \) into finitely many compact sets \( S_{i,1}, \ldots, S_{i,m_i} \). We will do this by induction. If there is a \( g \in G \) such that \( gS_j = S_i \) for some \( j < i \), define \( S_{i,k} := gS_{j,k} \).

Otherwise proceed as follows.

Define for \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) a continuous function

\[
f_{i,j} : D_i \cap \Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]}(D_j) \to [-3\alpha, 3\alpha], \quad x \mapsto t \text{ with } \Phi_t(x) \in D_j.
\]

There is at most one such \( t \) since there is a box of length \( 6\alpha \) with central slice \( D_j \). See [BLR08, Definition 4.14] for the continuity. For every \( x \in D_i \cap \Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]}(D_j) \) there is a small, open \( \mathcal{F} \) in-neighborhood \( U_{i,j,x} \) in \( D_i \cap \Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]}(D_j) \) such that \( f_{i,j}(U_{i,j,x}) \) has diameter less than \( \alpha \). This neighborhood can be extended by Remark 2.5 to an open neighborhood \( U'_{i,j,x} \) in \( D_i \) such that \( U'_{i,j,x} \cap \Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]}(D_j) = U_{i,j,x} \).

The set \( J_i = \{ j \mid D_i \cap \Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]}(D_j) \neq \emptyset \} \) is finite and thus \( U_{i,x} := \bigcap_{j \in J_i} U'_{i,j,x} \) is still an open neighborhood. Let \( W_{i,x} := \bigcap_{h \in G_{D_i}} h^{-1}U_{i,hx} \). This collection is \( G_{D_i} \)-invariant. Since \( D_i \) is compact we can find a finite subcover \( W_{i,x_1}, \ldots, W_{i,x_{m_i}} \). This can be chosen in a \( G_{D_i} \)-equivariant way. This yields a \( \mathcal{F} \) in-cover of \( D_i \). The new collection

\[
\{ S_j \}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} := \{ W_{n,x} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq m_i \}.
\]

does the job. \( \square \)

5. COVERING \( X > \gamma \)

We will now construct covers for the part without a short \( G \)-period. Here \( X \) denotes a second-countable, locally compact flow space of dimension \( n \) and let \( \alpha > 0 \) be given. Let \( \gamma \) be \( 20\alpha \) and \( (S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a collection of compact subsets as in Lemma 4.6. Fix these choices for the rest of this section.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( A_i \) be a collection of compact \( G_{S_i} \)-invariant subsets of \( S_i^\circ \) of inductive dimension at most \( k \) for some \( k \geq 0 \). Then there is a collection of open \( G_{S_i} \)-invariant subsets \( B_i \subseteq S_i^\circ \) such that

1. \( A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G} \Phi_{(-3\alpha, 3\alpha)}(gB_j) \) has inductive dimension at most \( k - 1 \) for every \( i \);
2. Any point is contained in at most 5 sets of the collection

\[
\{ \Phi_{(-4\alpha, 4\alpha)}(gB_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G \}.
\]

**Proof.** Let

\[
A'_i := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G} \Phi_{R}(gA_j);
\]

\[
A'_i := S_i \cap A' = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in \mathbb{Z}, g \in G} S_i \cap \Phi_{([-\alpha, \alpha]})gA_j.
\]

This is a countable union of compact spaces homeomorphic to subspaces of \( A_j \). Thus by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 we have that \( \text{ind}(A'_i) \leq k \).

For every \( x \in A_i \) we can find an open neighborhood \( U_x \subseteq A'_i \) such that \( \text{ind}(\partial A'_i U_x) \leq k - 1 \) and \( \partial A'_i U_x \subseteq S_i^\circ \). We can choose those such that \( U_{gx} = gU_x \) for \( g \) in the finite group \( G_{S_i} \) by replacing \( U_x \) by \( \bigcap_{g \in G_{S_i}} g^{-1}U_{gx} \).
By compactness we can find a finite $G_S$-subset $F_i \subseteq A_i$ such that $V_i := \bigcup_{x \in F_i} U_x$ contains $A_i$. The open $G_S$-subset

$$U_i := \{ x \in S_i \mid d(x, V_i) < d(x, A'_i \setminus V_i) \}$$

of $S_i$ has the following properties:

- $U_i \cap A'_i = V_i$;
- $(\partial_S U_i) \cap A'_i = \partial_{A'_i}(U_i \cap A'_i)$.

Thus,

$$\text{ind}((\partial_S U_i) \cap A'_i) = \text{ind}(\partial_{A'_i}(V_i)) \leq \text{ind}(\bigcup_{x \in F_i} \partial_{A'_i}(U_x)) \leq k - 1,$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 3.3 since $\partial_{A'_i}(U_x)$ is closed in $A'_i$.

Define inductively $G_S$-invariant subsets

$$B_i := U_i \setminus \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \Phi_{[-2\alpha, 2\alpha]} g B_j \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$$

$$= U_i \setminus (S_i \cap \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]} g B_j \cap U_i \neq \emptyset)$$

Now since $S_i$ and $g B_j$ are both compact and the $G$-action on the space is proper, there are only finitely many $g \in G$ such that the above intersection is not empty. We want to show by induction on $i$ that $\text{ind}(\partial_S B_i) \leq k - 1$:

$$\partial_S B_i \subseteq \partial_S U_i \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \Phi_{[-2\alpha, 2\alpha]} g B_j \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \subseteq \partial_S U_i \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} S_i \cap \partial_X (\Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]} g B_j)$$

$$\subseteq \partial_S U_i \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} S_i \cap (\Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]} g B_j \cup \Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]} (g \partial_S B_j))$$

By Lemma 4.6(3) and $\Phi_{[-2\alpha, 2\alpha]} g B_j \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$, we get that $S_i \cap \Phi_{[-3\alpha, 3\alpha]} g B_j$ is empty.
Thus we can further simplify:

\[ A'_i \cap \partial S_i B_i \]

\[ \subseteq (A'_i \cap (\partial S_i U_i)) \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} (A'_i \cap \Phi_{[-2a,2a]}(g\partial S_j B_j)) \]

\[ \subseteq (A'_i \cap (\partial S_i U_i)) \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} (S_i \cap A' \cap \Phi_{[-2a,2a]}(g\partial S_j B_j)) \]

\[ \subseteq (A'_i \cap (\partial S_i U_i)) \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \left( S_i \cap \Phi_{[-2a,2a]}(A' \cap g\partial S_j B_j) \right) \]

\[ \subseteq (A'_i \cap (\partial S_i U_i)) \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \left( S_i \cap \Phi_{[-2a,2a]}(A' \cap gS_j \cap g\partial S_j B_j) \right) \]

\[ \subseteq (A'_i \cap (\partial S_i U_i)) \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \left( S_i \cap \Phi_{[-2a,2a]}(\Phi_R(hA_k) \cap gS_j \cap g\partial S_j B_j) \right) \]

\[ \subseteq (A'_i \cap (\partial S_i U_i)) \cup \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \left( S_i \cap \Phi_{[-2a,2a]}(\Phi_{[z,z+1]}(hA_k) \cap gS_j \cap g\partial S_j B_j) \right) \]

The compact space \( S_i \cap \Phi_{[-2a,2a]}(\Phi_{[z,z+1]}(hA_k) \cap gS_j \cap g\partial S_j B_j) \) is homeomorphic to a subspace of \( A'_i \cap \partial S_i B_j \) and its inductive dimension is by induction assumption at most \( k - 1 \). By (5.2), Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 we obtain

\[ \text{ind}(A'_i \cap \partial S_i B_i) \leq k - 1. \]

Now let us show (1). We have

\[ A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G} \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(gB_j) \]

\[ \subseteq A_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq i, g \in G} \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(gB_j) \]

\[ \subseteq (A_i \setminus \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(U_i \setminus \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(gB_j))) \setminus \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(gB_j) \]

\[ \subseteq (A_i \setminus \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(gB_j)) \setminus \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(gB_j) \]

\[ \subseteq A_i \cap \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(gB_j) \]

\[ \subseteq \bigcup_{j < i, g \in G} A_i \cap \Phi_{(-3a,3a)}(g\partial S_j B_j) \]
The set $A_i \cap \Phi_{(-3\alpha,3\alpha)} \partial S_i B_j$ is homeomorphic to a subset of $\partial S_i B_j \cap A' = \partial S_i B_j \cap A'_j$ and thus its inductive dimension is at most $k - 1$. Thus we have shown (1).

To show (2) we first want to show that the collection

$$C := \{ \Phi_{[-\alpha,\alpha]} g B_i \mid g \in G, i \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

consists of pairwise disjoint sets. Since $B_j$ is a $G_{S_i}$-invariant subset of the central slice of a box of length $2\alpha$, we know that $g \Phi_{[-\alpha,\alpha]} B_j \cap g' \Phi_{[-\alpha,\alpha]} B_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $gg'^{-1} \in G_{S_i}$, in which case they are equal. By definition of $B_i$ we have that for $j < i$

$$g \Phi_{[-\alpha,\alpha]} B_i \cap g' \Phi_{[-\alpha,\alpha]} B_j = \emptyset.$$

Thus the collection $C$ consists of pairwise disjoint sets. Now let us consider the collection

$$C' = \{ \Phi_{[-4\alpha,4\alpha]} g B_i \mid g \in G, i \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

If $x$ is contained in $\Phi_{[-4\alpha,4\alpha]} g B_i$ then there is a $\beta \in \{-4\alpha,-2\alpha,0\alpha,2\alpha,4\alpha\}$ such that $\Phi_{\beta}(x) \in \Phi_{[-\alpha,\alpha]} g B_i$ and thus $x$ is contained in at most 5 sets of $C'$.

**Theorem 5.3.** For every $\alpha > 0$ there is a Fin-cover of $X_{>20\alpha}$ of dimension at most $5(\text{ind}(X) + 1)$ with the following property. For every point $x \in X_{>20\alpha}$ there is an open set in this cover containing $\Phi_{[-\alpha,\alpha]}(x)$.

**Proof.** Let $\gamma := 20\alpha$. First consider the collection of subsets $\mathcal{A}_0 := \{ S_i^0 \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \}$ as in Lemma 4.6. We can find a sequence $\varepsilon_i > 0$ such that $A_i^0 := \{ x \in S_i \mid d(x, \partial S_i) \geq \varepsilon_i \}$ has the property that

$$\{ \Phi_{[-2\alpha,2\alpha]} g A_i^0 \mid g \in G, i \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

still covers the whole of $X_{>\gamma}$. Note that $A_i^0$ is a compact, $G_{S_i}$-invariant subset of $S_i^0$ of inductive dimension at most $\text{ind}(X)$.

By Lemma 5.1 we can find a collection $B_i^0 \subseteq S_i^0$ as in the lemma. Define new compact subsets

$$A_i^1 := A_i^0 \setminus \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G} \Phi_{(-3\alpha,3\alpha)}(g B_j^0)$$

and iterate the process. Note that $A_i^k = \emptyset$ for $k > \text{ind}(X)$ since its dimension is $-1$. By Lemma 5.1 (1) we know that any point in $X_{>\gamma}$ is contained in an open set of the form $\Phi_{(-3\alpha,3\alpha)}(g B_i^k)$ for some $g \in G, i \in \mathbb{N}, k \in 0, \ldots, \text{ind}(X)$. Now consider the collection

$$B := \{ \Phi_{(-4\alpha,4\alpha)} B_i^k \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, k \in 0, \ldots, \text{ind}(X) \}.$$ 

Thus for every point $x$ we can find an open set $U \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\Phi_{[-\alpha,\alpha]}(x) \in U$. Every point is contained in at most $5(\text{ind}(X) + 1)$ sets of this collection by Lemma 5.1 (2). $\square$

### 6. Axes with bounded $G$-period

Let $X$ be a flow space. From now on we will fix $\gamma > 0$ and omit it from the notation.

In this section we will construct the cover for $X_{\text{axes}}$.

**Lemma 6.1.** The $G$-subspace $X_{\text{axes}} \subseteq X$ is

1. closed,
2. second-countable and
3. locally compact.
First we need to prove that [BL12, Lemma 4.6, Corollary 4.7] still hold without the assumption that the metric space is proper.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let \((Z,d)\) be a metric space with a proper isometric \(G\)-action. If 
\((z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) and \((g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) are sequences in \(Z\) and \(G\) such that \(z_n\) converges to \(z \in Z\) and \(g_nz_n\) converges to \(z' \in Z\), then \(\{g_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}\) is finite and for every \(g \in G\) such that \(g_n = g\) for infinitely many \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) we have \(gz = z'\).

**Proof.** Define \(K := \{z_n\} \cup \{g_nz_n\} \cup \{z, z'\}\). Then \(K\) is compact and \(g_nK \cap K \neq \emptyset\), thus the set \(\{g_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}\) is finite. If \(g_n = g\) for infinitely many \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), then 
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} g_nz_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gz_n = gz.
\]

**Corollary 6.3.** Let \((Z,d)\) be a metric space with a proper isometric \(G\)-action. If \(L \subseteq Z\) is compact, then \(HL \subseteq Z\) is closed for any subgroup \(H \subseteq G\).

**Proof.** Let \(h_nz_n\) be converging to \(z\) with \(h_n, z_n \in L\). After passing to a subsequence \(z_n\) converges to \(z' \in L\) and by Lemma 6.2 we can pass to a further subsequence with \(h_n \equiv h\). Thus \(z = hz' \in HL\).

**Proof of Lemma 6.1.** (1) Let \(c_n \in X_{\text{axes}}\) be a sequence that converges to \(c \in X\). There are \(g_n \in G, t_n \in [0, \gamma]\) such that \(g(tc_n) = \Phi_{t_n}c_n\). We can pass to a subsequence and assume that \(t_n\) converges to \(t\). Then \(g_n^tc_n = \Phi_{t_n}c_n\) converges to \(\Phi_tc\). Since \(G\) acts properly and isometrically on \(X\) we can apply Lemma 6.2 and assume after passing to a subsequence that \(g_n = g\) is constant. We have 
\[
gc = \lim g_n^tc_n = \lim \Phi_{t_n}c_n = \Phi tc.
\]

Since the group action is proper and \(g\) has infinite order, \(t\) can not be zero. (2) Subspaces of second-countable spaces are again second-countable. (3) Closed subspaces of locally compact spaces are again locally compact. □

**Lemma 6.4.** If there are \(g \in G, 0 < t \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(\Phi_{tc} = gc\), then \(\Phi_{tc}\) is closed.

**Proof.** We have \(\Phi_{tc}c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g^\alpha \Phi_{[0,t]c}\). The group \(\langle g \rangle\) also acts properly and isometrically. The set \(\Phi_{[0,t]c}\) is compact. Hence \(\Phi_{tc}c\) is closed by Corollary 6.3. □

**Lemma 6.5.** The subspace \(\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \Phi_{tL} \cap L' \neq \emptyset\}\) of \(\mathbb{R}\) is compact for every two compact subspaces \(L, L' \subseteq X_{\text{axes}}\).

**Proof.** Since this set is closed, it suffices to show that it is bounded. Furthermore it is a closed subset of \(\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \Phi_{t(L \cup L')} \cap (L \cup L') \neq \emptyset\}\) and thus it suffices to consider the case \(L' = L\). In this case the set is symmetric at 0 and thus it suffices to find an upper bound.

The set 
\[
S = \{g \in G \mid gL \cap \Phi_{[-\gamma,\gamma]}L \neq \emptyset\}
\]
is finite since the group action is proper. Furthermore we have \(S = S^{-1}\). Let \(m\) be the maximal integer such that there is an element \(g \in S\) of infinite order with \(g^m \in S\). Now let \(t \geq 0\) be given such that there is an \(x \in L\) with \(\Phi_{t}(x) \in L\). Since \(x\) is an axis, we can find a \(g \in G\) with \(gx = \Phi_{l(g,x)}x\) for \(0 < l(g,x) \leq \gamma\). Hence \(g\) has infinite order and \(g \in S\). Now write \(t\) in the form \(t = ml(g,x) + r\) with \(m' \in \mathbb{Z}\), \(r \in [0, l(g,x)]\). By assumption \(\Phi_{t}(x) \in L\). Furthermore we have 
\[
\Phi_t(x) = g^{m'} \Phi_r(x) \in g^{m'} \Phi_{[-\gamma,\gamma]}(L).
\]
Thus \( g^{-m'} \in S \) and by symmetry we have \( g^{m'} \in S \). Hence \( m' \leq m \) and thus
\[
t = m'l(g, x) + r \leq (m' + 1)\gamma \leq (m + 1)\gamma.
\]

**Lemma 6.6.** The space \( Y_{\text{axes}} \) is locally compact and metrizable.

**Proof.** The space \( X_{\text{axes}} \) is second-countable by Lemma 6.1. The quotient map \( p: X_{\text{axes}} \to Y_{\text{axes}} \) is open, because it is the quotient by a group action. Let \( y \) be a point in \( Y_{\text{axes}} \) and \( c \in X_{\text{axes}} \) be a preimage. Let \( L \) be a compact neighborhood of \( c \) and since \( p \) is continuous and open \( p(L) \) is a compact neighborhood of \( y \). Thus \( Y_{\text{axes}} \) is locally compact.

Points in \( Y_{\text{axes}} \) are closed, since \( \Phi_{\mathcal{R}c} \subseteq X \) is closed for every \( c \in X_{\text{axes}} \) by Lemma 6.4. For a closed subset \( A \subseteq Y_{\text{axes}} \) and \( p(c) \notin A \) there is an \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( B_{\epsilon}(c) \) is compact and \( B_{\epsilon}(c) \cap p^{-1}(A) = \emptyset \) and thus also \( p(B_{\epsilon}(c)) \cap A = \emptyset \).

**Claim.** The set \( B := p(B_{\epsilon/2}(c)) \) is closed.

Then the complement of \( B \) is an open neighborhood of \( A \) and it is disjoint from the open neighborhood \( p(B_{\epsilon/2}(c)) \) of \( p(c) \). Hence, \( Y_{\text{axes}} \) is regular. \( Y_{\text{axes}} \) is second-countable since it is a quotient of a subspace of a second-countable space. By Urysohn’s metrization theorem [Mun75, Theorem 34.1] the quotient \( Y_{\text{axes}} \) is metrizable.

It remains to prove the claim: Let \( c_i \) be a sequence in \( p^{-1}(p(B_{\epsilon/2}(c))) \) which is converging to \( c' \) in \( X_{\text{axes}} \). Let \( t_n \in \mathbb{R} \) be such that \( \Phi(t_n)c_n \in B_{\epsilon/2}(c) \). Let \( \delta > 0 \) be such that \( B_{\delta}(c') \) is compact and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) be such that \( d(c_n, c') < \delta \) for all \( n \geq N \).

By Lemma 6.5 there is a \( t_0 > 0 \) such that \( \Phi(t_0(B_{\delta}(c'))) \cap B_{\epsilon/2}(c) = \emptyset \) for all \( |t| > |t_0| \) and thus \( |t_n| \leq |t_0| \) for all \( n \geq N \). Therefore, there is a subsequence \( t_{\gamma} \) converging to \( \gamma \). Thus for \( n \) large enough we have \( \Phi(t_{\gamma}c_n) \in \Phi([-\gamma, \gamma](B_{\epsilon/2}(c))) \), which is compact. So also the limit \( \Phi(t_{\gamma})c' = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(t_{\gamma}c_n) \) lies in \( \Phi([-\gamma, \gamma](B_{\epsilon/2}(c))) \) and thus \( c' \) is an element of \( p^{-1}(p(B_{\epsilon/2}(c))) \).

**Proposition 6.7.** There is an open \( \Phi \)-invariant \( \mathcal{V} \)-cyclic-cover \( \mathcal{V} \) of \( X_{\text{axes}} \) whose dimension is at most \( \dim(X) \).

To prove this we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 6.8.** For all \( y \in Y_{\text{axes}} \) the stabilizer \( G_y := \{ g \in G \mid yg = y \} \) is virtually cyclic of type I and \( Gy \subseteq Y_{\text{axes}} \) is closed and discrete.

**Proof.** The space \( y = \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(c) \cong \mathbb{R} \) is a closed \( G_y \)-invariant subspace of \( X \). Thus the group action of \( G_y \) on \( y \) is proper. Furthermore we have a homomorphism \( G_y \to \mathbb{R}, g \to l(g, y) \), where we set \( l(g, y) := 0 \) if \( yg = y \). Since \( c \) is not fixed under the flow, we can find a small \( \varepsilon \) such that \( \Phi(t)c \notin Gc \) for all \( t \in (0, \varepsilon) \) and thus the image of this homomorphism is discrete. It is nontrivial since \( c \) is \( G \)-periodic. Thus it must be infinite cyclic. Since the \( G \)-action on \( X \) is proper the kernel of this map is finite. Hence \( G_y \) is virtually cyclic of type I.

Next suppose that we have a sequence \( g_i \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(c) \in p^{-1}(Gy) \) with \( g_i \in G \) that converges to some \( c' \in X_{\text{axes}} \). Pick \( g \in G \) such that \( c \) is an axis for \( g \) with \( l(g, c) \leq \gamma \). Then by replacing \( g_i \) by \( gg_i^{m_i} \) for some \( m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \) we can assume \( t_i \in [0, \gamma] \). We have \( gc \in \Phi([-\gamma, \gamma]^nB_1(c')) =: L \) for \( n \) big enough. Since the action is proper and \( L \) is compact we can find a subsequence with \( g_i \equiv h \). Furthermore we can pick a subsequence such that \( \lim_{i \to \infty} t_i \) exists. Thus \( h \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(c) \) converges to \( c' \) and
there is $G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ is locally compact and metrizable.

**Proof.** The space $X_{\text{axes}}$ is second-countable by Lemma 6.1. The quotient map $p: X_{\text{axes}} \to G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ is open, because it is the quotient by a group action. Let $y$ be a point in $G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ and $c \in X_{\text{axes}}$ be a preimage. Let $L$ be a compact neighborhood of $c$ and since $p$ is continuous and open $p(L)$ is a compact neighborhood of $y$. Thus $G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ is locally compact.

Points in $G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ are closed, since $Gy \subseteq \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ is closed for every $y \in \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ by Lemma 6.8. For a closed subset $A \subseteq G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ and $c \in X_{\text{axes}}$ with $p(c) \notin A$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_\varepsilon(c)$ is compact and $B_\varepsilon(c) \cap p^{-1}(A) = \emptyset$ and thus also $p(B_\varepsilon(c)) \cap A = \emptyset$.

**Claim.** The set $B := p(B_{\varepsilon/2}(c))$ is closed.

Then the complement of $B$ is an open neighborhood of $A$ and it is disjoint from the open neighborhood $p(B_{\varepsilon/2}(c))$ of $p(c)$. Hence, $G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ is regular. $G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ is second-countable since it is a quotient of a subspace of a second-countable space.

By Urysohn's metrization theorem [Mun75, Theorem 34.1] the quotient $G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$ is metrizable.

It remains to prove the claim: Let $c_i$ be a sequence in $B_{\varepsilon/2}(c)$, $t_i \in \mathbb{R}, g_i \in G$ such that the sequence $g_i \Phi_t c_i$ is converging to $c' \in X_{\text{axes}}$. Let $h_i \in G$ be given such that $c_i$ is an axis for $h_i$, then there are $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, t'_i \in [0, \gamma]$ such that $g_i \Phi_t c_i = g_i h_i^{m_i} \Phi_{t'_i} c_i$, therefore we can assume $t_i \in [0, \gamma]$. Since $B_{\varepsilon/2}(c)$ and $[0, \gamma]$ are compact we can assume that $c_i$ converges to $k$ and $t_i$ converges to $t$. By Lemma 6.2 there is $g \in G$ such that $c' = g\phi k \in p^{-1}(p(B_{\varepsilon/2}(c)))$. This proves the claim. \qed

The big difference to [BL12] is that there assumptions on the geometry are used to define a metric $G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}$. Here we just use metrization theorems and thus get rid of those assumptions.

**Lemma 6.10.** We have $\dim(G \setminus \text{Y}_{\text{axes}}) \leq \dim(X)$.

**Proof.** $X_{\text{axes}}$ is a metric space and hence completely regular. For every $x \in X_{\text{axes}}$ there is a compact neighborhood $L$ of $x$. The space $H_x := \{ t \in \mathbb{R} | \Phi_t L \cap L \neq \emptyset \}$ is compact by Lemma 6.5. This implies by [Pal61, Theorem 2.3.2] that there is a slice at $x$, i.e. there exists $S_x \subseteq X_{\text{axes}}$ containing $x$ such that $\Phi_{S_x} \subseteq X_{\text{axes}}$ is open and an $\mathbb{R}$-equivariant map $f: G S_x \to G$ such that $f^{-1}(e) = S$. For $y \in X_{\text{axes}}$ let $U := p(G S_x)$ for some $x \in X_{\text{axes}}$ with $p(x) = y$. This is an open neighborhood of $y$. We can define a section $s: U \to X_{\text{axes}}$ by $s(y') = y \cap p^{-1}(y')$ for all $y' \in U$. Since $Y_{\text{axes}}$ is locally compact by Lemma 6.6 there is for each $y$ a compact neighborhood $K_y$ of $y$ and a section $s: K_y \to X_{\text{axes}}$.

By Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.5 this implies that $\dim(K) \leq \dim(X_{\text{axes}}) \leq \dim(X)$ and therefore $\text{locdim}(X_{\text{axes}}) \leq \dim(X)$. Since $Y_{\text{axes}}$ is metrizable it is paracompact and normal. This implies $\dim(Y_{\text{axes}}) = \text{locdim}(Y_{\text{axes}}) \leq \dim(X)$ by [Pea75, Proposition 3.4].

For every $y \in Y_{\text{axes}}$ there is a compact neighborhood $L$ of $y$. Since the $G$-action
on $Y_{\text{axes}}$ has closed, discrete orbits by Lemma 6.8 the map $p: L \to G\backslash GL$ is finite-to-one. Both $L$ and $G\backslash GL$ are metrizable by Lemma 6.6 and Lemma 6.9 and thus paracompact and normal. This implies
\[
\dim(L) = \dim(G\backslash GL)
\]
by [Pea75, Proposition 9.2.16]. As above we get
\[
\dim(G\backslash Y_{\text{axes}}) = \operatorname{locdim}(G\backslash Y_{\text{axes}}) = \dim(Y_{\text{axes}}) \leq \dim(X).
\]

Lemma 6.11. Let $c \in X_{\leq \gamma}$ and let $F$ be a family of subgroups. For any open $F$-neighborhood $U$ of $\Phi_{R,C}$ there exists an open $F$-neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of $\Phi_{R,C}$ which is invariant under the flow.

Proof. Let $C$ be the complement of $GU$ and let $V$ be the intersection of $U$ with the complement of $\Phi_{R,C}$. Then $V$ contains $\Phi_{R,C}$ and is a $F$-subset. It remains to show that $V$ is open or equivalently that $\Phi_{R,C}$ is closed. Since every element has $G$-period at most $\gamma$ and $C$ is $G$-invariant we have that $\Phi_{R,C} = \Phi_{[0,\gamma]}C$. This is closed since $[0,\gamma]$ is compact and $C$ is closed.

Proof of Proposition 6.7. Let $y \in Y_{\text{axes}}$ be given. By Lemma 6.8 the set $Gy \setminus \{y\}$ is closed and therefore $p^{-1}(y)$ and $p^{-1}(Gy \setminus y)$ are closed. Let $c \in p^{-1}(y)$ and $g \in G$ be such that $c$ is an axis for $g$. There is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_c(\Phi_{[0,\gamma]}c) \cap p^{-1}(Gy \setminus y) = \emptyset$. Since $Gy \setminus y$ is invariant under $g$ and $g^n(B_c(\Phi_{[0,\gamma]}c)) = B_c(\Phi_{[n\gamma,\gamma]}(g^n(\gamma))) \cap p^{-1}(Gy \setminus y)$ we conclude that $B_c(p^{-1}(y)) \cap p^{-1}(Gy \setminus y) = \emptyset$. It follows that $B_{r/2}(p^{-1}(y))$ is an open $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cyc}}$-neighborhood of $p^{-1}(y)$ and thus by Lemma 6.11 contains an open $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cyc}}$-neighborhood $V_y'$ which is invariant under the flow.

Then $V_y := p(V_y')$ is a $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cyc}}$-neighborhood of $y$. Because $\pi: Y_{\text{axes}} \to G\backslash Y_{\text{axes}}$ is open, $\{\pi(V_y) \mid y \in Y_{\text{axes}}\}$ is an open cover of $G\backslash Y_{\text{axes}}$. By Lemma 6.10 there is a refinement $W$ of dimension less or equal to $\dim(X)$. For any $W \in \mathcal{W}$ pick $y_W \in Y_{\text{axes}}$ such that $W \subseteq \pi(V_{y_W})$. Now define
\[
\mathcal{V} := \{\pi^{-1}(W) \cap gV_{y_W} \mid W \in \mathcal{W}, g \in G\}.
\]
This is an open $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cyc}}$-cover because each $V_y$ is an open $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cyc}}$-set. Its dimension is bounded by $\dim(X)$ because the dimension of $W$ is bounded by $\dim(X)$ and for all $g \in G, y \in Y_{\text{axes}}$ we have either $V_y = gV_y$ or $V_y \cap gV_y = \emptyset$. It is $G$-invariant because each $\pi^{-1}(W)$ is $G$-invariant. Pulling this back along the quotient by the flow $X_{\text{axes}} \to Y_{\text{axes}}$ yields the desired $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cyc}}$-cover.

7. Compact flow lines with bounded $G$-period

In this section we want to cover the periodic part $X_{\text{cycl}}$ with small $G$-period. In [BLR08] and [BL12] this subspace was just the fixed points of the flow, but here we do not want to assume this.

Lemma 7.1. The subspace $X_{\text{cycl}} \subseteq X$ is closed.

Proof. Let $x_n \in X_{\text{cycl}}$ be a sequence converging to $x \in X$. We want to show that $x \in \text{X}_{\text{cycl}} = X_{\text{cycl}} \cap X_{\leq \gamma}$. There are $g_n \in G, s_n \in [\gamma/2, \gamma]$ with $g_n x_n = \Phi_{s_n} x_n$. If we have $g_n, s_n$ with $s_n \in [\gamma/4, \gamma/2]$ we take $g_{n+1} = 2s_{n+1}$ and so on. After passing to a subsequence we can assume $s_n$ converges to $s \in [\gamma/2, \gamma]$. Then the sequence $g_n \Phi_{-s_n} x_n = x_n$ converges to $x$ and thus also $g_{-s} x$ converges to $x$. Since the $G$ action is proper, we can find a subsequence with $g_n \equiv g$. Therefore, $\Phi_{s} x = gx$ and $x \in X_{\leq \gamma}$. The subgroup generated by $g$ acts properly on the compact space
\( \Phi_R(x_n) \) and thus \( g \) has finite order. This implies \( \Phi_m x = x \), where \( m \) is the order of \( g \). Hence \( x \in X'_{cyc}. \) \( \square \)

In general \( X'_{cyc} \subseteq X \) need not be closed, as the example of the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle of the two dimensional flat torus shows. Thus the bound on the \( G \)-period is really crucial.

**Lemma 7.2.** The spaces \( Y_{cyc} \) and \( G \backslash Y_{cyc} \) are locally compact and the induced \( G \)-action on \( Y_{cyc} \) is proper.

**Proof.** Since \( X_{cyc} \) is closed in \( X \) and \( X \) is locally compact, also \( X_{cyc} \) is locally compact. Let \( y \in Y_{cyc} \) respectively \( y \in G \backslash Y_{cyc} \) and let \( x \in X_{cyc} \) be a preimage of \( y \). Then \( x \) has a compact neighborhood \( L \). The image of \( L \) in \( Y_{cyc} \) respectively \( G \backslash Y_{cyc} \) is a compact neighborhood of \( y \), since quotient maps by group actions are open.

Now let \( y \in Y_{cyc} \) be given. Since \( X_{cyc} \) is locally compact this implies that \( q^{-1}(y) \) has a compact neighborhood \( L_y \).

**Claim.** There is a finite subset \( S \subseteq G \) such that for each \( c \in L_y \), there are elements \( g \in S, t \in (0, \gamma) \) with \( gc = \Phi_c t \) and each \( g \in S \) has finite order.

Let \( c \in L_y \) with \( g \Phi_t c \in L_y \) for some \( g \in G, t \in \mathbb{R} \). Let \( h \in S, s \in (0, \gamma) \) be such that \( hc = \Phi_s c \). Then there are \( m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in (0, \gamma] \) with \( \Phi_{m} c = h^m \Phi_{r} c \) and therefore \( gh^m \Phi_{r} c \in L_y \). Since \( \Phi_{r} c \in [0, \gamma] \) \( L_y \) which is compact, there are only finitely many such \( gh^m \) and since \( h \in S \) has finite order this implies there are only finitely many such \( g \). This implies that \( \{ g \ | \ gq(L_y) \cap q(L) \neq \emptyset \} \) is finite.

It remains to prove the claim. Let \( S := \{ g \ | \ \exists c \in L_y, t \in (0, \gamma] : \Phi_{tc} = gc \} \). Let \( g \) be any element of \( S \) and let \( c \in L_y, t \in (0, \gamma] \) with \( \Phi_{tc} = gc \). The space \( \Phi_R(c) \) is compact since \( x \in X_{cyc} \). It has a proper action by the cyclic subgroup generated by \( g \). Thus \( g \) has finite order. We have to show that \( S \) is finite. Since \( L_y \) is compact it suffices to prove that each \( c \in X_{cyc} \) has a neighborhood \( U \) such that \( U := \{ g \ | \ \exists c' \in U, t \in (0, \gamma] : \Phi_{tc'} = gc' \} \) is finite. Suppose there are infinitely many pairwise different \( g_n \in G \), a sequence \( s_n \in (0, \gamma] \) and a sequence \( c_n \) converging to \( c \) with \( g_n c_n = \Phi_{s_n} c_n \). The sequence \( g_n \Phi_{s_n}^{-1} c_n = c_n \) converges to \( c \), thus also \( g_n^{-1} \Phi_{s_n} c_n \) converges to \( c \). Since \( g_n c = \Phi_{s_n} c \) is an element of \( \Phi_{(0,\gamma]} c \), which is compact, and the \( G \) action is proper, we can find a subsequence with \( g_n \equiv g \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Lemma 7.3.** The space \( q(X_{cyc, > 0}) \) and its quotient by the \( G \)-action are Hausdorff.

**Proof.** Pick two different points \( y, y' \in q(X_{cyc, > 0}) \) and let \( x, x' \in X_{cyc, > 0} \) be two preimages. Thus \( \Phi_R(x) \) and \( \Phi_R(x') \) are compact. There exist open disjoint \( \mathcal{F} \) -neighborhoods \( U, U' \) of \( \Phi_R(x) \) and \( \Phi_R(x') \). By Lemma 6.11 they contain open \( \mathcal{F} \) -neighborhoods \( V, V' \) which are invariant under the flow. Their images under \( q \) then are the desired disjoint open sets.

We still have to show that the quotient by the \( G \) -action is Hausdorff. Let points \( G y \neq G y' \in G \backslash q(X_{cyc, > 0}) \) be given. Let \( x, x', U, U' \) be as above. Since the \( G \) -action is proper, we can assume \( U \cap gU' = \emptyset \) for all \( g \in G \). Doing the same construction as above and pushing it to the quotient, we end up with separating neighborhoods for \( G y \) and \( G y' \). Thus \( G \backslash q(X_{cyc, > 0}) \) is Hausdorff. \( \square \)

**Lemma 7.4.** The spaces \( q(X_{cyc, > 0}) \) and its quotient by the \( G \)-action are paracompact and normal.
Proof. By [Mun75, Exercise 3 on p.205] every locally compact, Hausdorff space is regular. Second-countable spaces are Lindelöf spaces. A regular Lindelöf space is paracompact by [Mun75, Theorem 41.5]. All paracompact Hausdorff spaces are normal by [Mun75, Theorem 41.1]. □

Lemma 7.5. We have that $\dim(G \setminus q(X_{cyc,>0})) \leq \dim(q(X_{cyc,>0})) \leq \dim(X)$, where $q$ denotes the quotient by the flow.

Proof. We start with the second inequality. Let $y \in q(X_{cyc,>0})$ be any point and let $x$ be a preimage. Pick a box $B$ around $x$ and consider the map induced by $q$

$$q': S_B \to q(S_B).$$

Note that $q(U) = q(\Phi_t(-e, e)U)$ and $q$ is open since it is the quotient by a group action. Thus the map $q'$ is a continuous open surjection.

Pick some $y' \in q'(S_B)$ and some preimage $x'$. Since $B$ is a box of length $l_B$, any two points of the set

$$S = \{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \Phi_t(x') \in S_B \}$$

have distance at least $l_B/2$ and thus it is discrete. Let $M$ be the period of $x'$. Thus,

$$\{x'' \in S_B \mid q(x'') = y'\} = \{\Phi_s(x') \mid s \in S\} = \{\Phi_s(x') \mid s \in S \cap [0, M]\}$$

is finite. Thus we have a continuous surjection between paracompact, normal spaces where every point has finitely many preimages. Thus by [Pea75, Proposition 9.2.16] we get that $\dim(q(S_B)) \leq \dim(S_B) \leq \dim(X)$. Since $q'(S_B) = q'(B^\circ)$ is open and $x$ was arbitrary, we get that $\locdim(q(X_{cyc,>0})) \leq \dim(X)$.

The space $q(X_{cyc,>0})$ is paracompact and normal and by [Pea75, Proposition 3.4] this implies $\dim(q(X_{cyc,>0})) = \locdim(q(X_{cyc,>0})) \leq \dim(X)$.

The first inequality follows the same way. Note that every point in $q(X_{cyc,>0})$ has a compact, $\Fin$-neighborhood $K$. To understand the local dimension, we can consider the map $K \to G_K \setminus K \subseteq G \setminus q(X_{cyc,>0})$. □

Lemma 7.6. There is a $G$-invariant collection $\mathcal{V}_{cyc}$ of open $\Fin$ subsets of $X_{cyc}$ of covering dimension at most $2\dim(X) + 1$ such that we can find for every $x \in X_{cyc}$ a $V \in \mathcal{V}_{cyc}$ containing $\Phi_t(x)$.

Proof. We will first deal with the subspace $X^R$ which is independent of $\gamma$. Let $pr: X^R \to G \setminus X^R$ denote the quotient map. Since the group action is proper, we get that the quotient is metrizable. For any point $Gx \in G \setminus X$ pick a compact neighborhood $K$ of a preimage. The quotient map $K \to G \setminus GK$ is a continuous, finite-to-one, open surjection. Thus by [Pea75, Proposition 9.2.16] we get that $\dim(G \setminus GK) \leq \dim(K) \leq \dim(X)$. Since $x$ was arbitrary, we get that $\locdim(G \setminus X^R) \leq \dim(X)$.

The space $G \setminus X^R$ is paracompact and normal and by [Pea75, Proposition 3.4] this implies $\dim(G \setminus X^R) = \locdim(G \setminus X^R) \leq \dim(X)$. Pick a $\Fin$-cover $\mathcal{V}$ of $X^R$ and refine $\{pr(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ to a cover $\mathcal{U}$ of dimension at most $\dim(X)$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is a refinement of $\{pr(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}$, we can find for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ a $V_U \in \mathcal{V}$ with $U \subseteq pr(V_U)$. Then the open $\Fin$-cover of $X^R$ given by

$$U_{X^R} = \{pr^{-1}(U) \cap qV_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}, g \in G\}$$

is at most $\dim(X)$ dimensional.
Now let us look at $X_{cyc,>0}$ and let $Y_{cyc,>0}$ denote its quotient by the flow. Since the $G$-action on $Y_{cyc,>0}$ is proper, we can find a $Fin$-cover $V$ of $Y_{cyc,>0}$.

Let us look at the quotient by the group action

$$pr : Y_{cyc,>0} \to G \backslash Y_{cyc,>0}.$$  

Push $V$ down to the quotient by the $G$-action and refine it to a cover $U$ of dimension at most $\dim(X)$ using Lemma 7.5. Being a refinement means that we can find for every $U \in U$ a $V_U \in V$ with $pr^{-1}(U) \subseteq G(V_U)$. Then the open $Fin$-cover

$$U_{Y_{cyc,>0}} = \{ pr^{-1}(V) \cap gU_V \mid V \in V, g \in G \}$$

is a cover of dimension at most $\dim(X)$ of $Y_{cyc,>0}$. Pulling it back to $X_{cyc,>0}$ gives an open $Fin$-cover $U_{X_{cyc,>0}}$ of $X_{cyc,>0}$. Now use Remark 2.5 to extend the collections $U_{X_{cyc,>0}}$, $U_{X_{cyc,>0}}$ to open subsets of $X_{cyc}$ and take $Y_{cyc}$ as their union. □

8. Proof of the Main Theorem

We can now use the previous sections to prove the main theorem.

Proof of the Main Theorem 1.1. Let $\gamma := 20a$. Let $U_{\geq \gamma}$ be the open cover of $X_{\geq \gamma}$ from Theorem 5.3. Its dimension is at most $5(\text{ind}(X) + 1) = 5 \dim(X) + 5$. Recall that by Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 7.1 we have $X_{\leq \gamma} = X_{\text{axes}} \amalg X_{cyc}$ and $X_{\leq \gamma}$ is closed in $X$. Thus the elements of $U_{\geq \gamma}$ are open in $X$.

To cover $X_{\leq \gamma}$ we can use the covers from Lemma 7.6 and Proposition 6.7 and take their union. It has dimension at most $2 \dim(X) + 1$. Extend it to a $V_{cyc}$-collection $U_{\leq \gamma}$ of open subsets of $X$ using Remark 2.5 and define $U := U_{\leq \gamma} \cup U_{> \gamma}$. It has dimension at most $7 \dim(X) + 7$. □

Remark 8.1. Only the construction for the nonperiodic part with short $G$-period produces a $V_{cyc}$-cover. For the other parts the construction produces a $Fin$-cover instead. Therefore, only those virtually cyclic subgroups of $G$ appear as stabilizers of the cover for which there exists an axis.

9. Applications

The Farrell–Jones conjecture for a group $G$ says that the $K$-theoretic assembly map

$$\mathcal{H}_*(-(E_{V_{cyc}}G); K_A) \to \mathcal{H}_*(-(pt; K_A) = K^*_{alg}(A[G])$$

and the $L$-theoretic assembly map

$$\mathcal{H}_*(-(E_{V_{cyc}}G); L_A) \to \mathcal{H}_*(-(pt; L_A) = L^{(-\infty)}(A[G])$$

are isomorphisms for any additive $G$-category $A$ (with involution), see [BR07, Conjectures 3.2 and 5.1]. The Farrell–Jones conjecture implies several other conjectures. See [LR05] for details.

As in [Weg13, Definition 2.15] we say that a group $G$ satisfies the Farrell–Jones conjecture with finite wreath products if for any finite group $F$ the wreath product $G \wr F$ satisfies the $K$- and $L$-theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture. We will use the abbreviation FJCw for ”Farrell–Jones conjecture with finite wreath products”.

Now let us look at $X_{cyc,>0}$ and let $Y_{cyc,>0}$ denote its quotient by the flow. Since the $G$-action on $Y_{cyc,>0}$ is proper, we can find a $Fin$-cover $V$ of $Y_{cyc,>0}$.
**Definition 9.1** ([Weg12, Definition 2.1, Definition 2.3]). A strong homotopy action of a group $G$ on a topological space $X$ is a continuous map

$$\Psi : \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (G \times [0, 1])^j \times G \times X \to X$$

with the following properties:

1. $\Psi(\ldots, g_i, 0, g_i, \ldots) = \Psi(\ldots, g_i, \Psi(g_i, \ldots))$,
2. $\Psi(\ldots, g_i, 1, g_i, \ldots) = \Psi(\ldots, g_i, \Psi(g_i, \ldots))$,
3. $\Psi(e, t_j, g_j, \ldots) = \Psi(g_j, \ldots)$,
4. $\Psi(\ldots, t, e, t, \ldots) = \Psi(\ldots, t, t, \ldots)$,
5. $\Psi(\ldots, t, e, x) = \Psi(\ldots, x)$,
6. $\Psi(e, x) = x$.

For a subset $S \subseteq G$ containing $e, g \in G$ and a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$F_g(\Psi, S, k) := \{ (g_k, t_k, \ldots, g_0, x) : X \to X \mid g_i \in S, t_i \in [0, 1], g_k \cdots g_0 = g \}.$$ 

For $(g, x) \in G \times X$ we define $S_{\Psi, S, k}(g, x)$ as $\{(g, x)\}$, $S_{\Psi, S, k}^n(g, x) \subseteq G \times X$ as the subset consisting of all $(h, y) \in G \times X$ with the following property: There are $a, b \in S, f, f' \in F_a(\Psi, S, k)$ such that $f(x) = f'(y)$ and $h = ga^{-1}b$.

For $n \geq 2$ define inductively $S_{\Psi, S, k}^n(g, x) = \bigcup_{(h, y) \in S_{\Psi, S, k}^{n-1}(g, x)} S_{\Psi, S, k}^n(h, y)$.

**Definition 9.2** ([Weg12, Definition 3.1]). A group $G$ is strongly transfer reducible over a family $\mathcal{F}$ of subgroups if there exists a natural number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property: For every finite symmetric subset $S \subseteq G$ containing the trivial element $e$ and all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ there are

- a compact, contractible, controlled $N$-dominated metric space $X$,
- a strong homotopy $G$-action $\Psi$ on $X$ and
- an open $\mathcal{F}$-cover $U$ of $G \times X$ of dimension at most $N$ such that for every $(g, x) \in G \times X$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $S_{\Psi, S, k}^n(g, x) \subseteq U$.

Every virtually cyclic group is a CAT(0)-group and therefore satisfies FJCw by [Weg13, Example 2.16(i)]. Thus, by [Weg13, Proposition 2.20] a group $G$ satisfies FJCw if it is strongly transfer reducible over the family $\mathcal{V}_{cyc}$ of virtually cyclic subgroups. We will now define certain properties of flow spaces under which Theorem 1.1 allows us to show strong transfer reducibility.

**Definition 9.3.** Let $X$ be a flow space. The flow is uniformly continuous if for every $\alpha, \varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $z, z' \in X$ with $d_X(z, z') \leq \delta$ and for any $t \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$ we also get $d_X(\Phi_t z, \Phi_t z') \leq \varepsilon$.

The following definition is a weakening of [BL12, Definition 5.5]. We do not have to assume the existence of covers of the periodic part anymore.

**Definition 9.4.** A flow space $X$ for a group $G$ admits long $\mathcal{F}$-covers at infinity if the following holds: There is $M > 0$ such that for every $\alpha > 0$ there is an $\mathcal{F}$-collection $\mathcal{V}$ of dimension at most $M$, a compact subset $K \subseteq X$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $z \in X \setminus GK$ there is a $V \in \mathcal{V}$ with

$$B_\varepsilon(\Phi_{[-\alpha, \alpha]} z) \subseteq V.$$ 

Note that in the definition it makes no difference if we assume that collection $\mathcal{V}$ consists of open $\mathcal{F}$-sets.
Lemma 9.5. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional, second-countable and locally compact flow space for the group $G$ such that there are long $F$-covers at infinity. Then there is an $N > 0$ such that for every $m > 0$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ and an $F \cup V$-cover $U$ of $X$ of dimension at most $N$ such that for every $x \in X$ there is a $U \in U$ with

$$B_\varepsilon(\Phi_{[-a,a]}(x)) \subseteq U.$$ 

Proof. Let $\alpha > 0$ be given. Since $X$ admits long covers at infinity, we obtain an $M > 0$ (which is independent of $\alpha$), an $F$-collection $V$ of dimension at most $M$, an $\varepsilon_\infty > 0$ and a compact subset $K$ as in Definition 9.4. We can find a $V$-cover $V'$ of $X$ as in Theorem 1.1. Using [BL12, Lemma 5.8] we can find an $\varepsilon_K > 0$ such that we have for every $x \in GK$ an open set $U \in V'$ with $B_{\varepsilon_K}(\Phi_{[-a,a]}(x)) \subseteq U$. Now the $F \cup V$-cover $U := V' \cup V$ has dimension at most $N := M + 7 \dim(X) + 8$ and we can find for $x \in X$ an open set $U \in U$ with:

$$B_\varepsilon(\Phi_{[-a,a]}(x)) \subseteq U$$

with $\varepsilon := \min(\varepsilon_\infty, \varepsilon_K)$. □

Morally, long covers at infinity allow us to find an $\varepsilon$ as in the last lemma even in the noncompact setting.

Definition 9.6. A flow space $FS$ for a group $G$ admits strong contracting transfers if there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every finite subset $S$ of $G$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\beta > 0$ such that the following holds. For every $\delta > 0$ there is

(1) $T > 0$;
(2) a contractible, compact, controlled $N$-dominated space $X$;
(3) a strong homotopy action $\Psi$ on $X$;
(4) a $G$-equivariant map $i : G \times X \to FS$ (where the $G$-action on $G \times X$ is given by $g \cdot (g', x) = (gg', x)$) such that the following holds:
(5) for every $(g, x) \in G \times X, s \in S, f \in F_\delta(\Psi, S, k)$ there is a $\tau \in [-\beta, \beta]$ such that $d_{FS}(\Phi_T i(g, x), \Phi_{T + \tau} i(gs^{-1}, f(x))) \leq \delta$.

Lemma 9.7. Let $FS$ be a flow space for a group $G$ with a uniformly continuous flow and assume that $FS$ admits contracting transfers for a family of subgroups $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite subset $S \subseteq G$ containing $e$ be given. Let $\beta$ be as in Definition 9.6 and define $\alpha := 2n\beta$. Let $\delta$ be as in Definition 9.3. Let $T > 0$, $X, \Psi$ and $i$ be as in Definition 9.6. Then for every $(g, x) \in G \times X$ and $(h, y) \in S^m_{\Psi, S,k}(g, x)$ there is a $\tau \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$ such that

$$d_{FS}(\Phi_T i(g, x), \Phi_{T + \tau} i(h, y)) \leq 2m\varepsilon.$$ 

Proof. We will prove by induction on $m = 0, \ldots, n$ that for every $(h, y) \in S^m_{\Psi, S,k}(g, x)$ we can find a $\tau \in [-2m\beta, 2m\beta]$ such that

$$d_{FS}(\Phi_T i(g, x), \Phi_{T + \tau} i(h, y)) \leq 2m\varepsilon.$$ 

This is clear for $m = 0$. For $(h, y) \in S^{m+1}_{\Psi, S,k}(g, x)$ choose a $(g', x') \in S^m_{\Psi, S,k}(g, x)$ with $(h, y) \in S^m_{\Psi, S,k}(g', x')$. Thus, there are $a, b \in S, f \in F_\delta(\Psi, S, k), f' \in F_\delta(\Psi, S, k)$ such that $f(x') = f'(y)$ and $hb^{-1} = g'a^{-1}$. By induction assumption and Definition 9.6 (5) there are $\tau \in [-2m\beta, 2m\beta], \tau, \tau' \in [-\beta, \beta]$ such that

$$d_{FS}(\Phi_T i(g, x), \Phi_{T + \tau} i(g', x')) \leq 2m\varepsilon,$$
$$d_{FS}(\Phi_T i(g', x'), \Phi_{T + \tau'} i(ga^{-1}, f(x'))) \leq \delta,$$
$$d_{FS}(\Phi_T i(h, y), \Phi_{T + \tau'} i(hb^{-1}, f'(y))) \leq \delta.$$
By uniform continuity we get
\[ d_{FS}(\Phi_{T+\tau}(g^\prime, x^\prime), \Phi_{T+\tau+\tau_f}(g^\prime, f(x^\prime))) \leq \varepsilon, \]
\[ d_{FS}(\Phi_{T+\tau-\tau_f}(h, y), \Phi_{T+\tau+\tau_f}(h^{a^{-1}}, f'(y))) \leq \varepsilon. \]

Let \( \tau^\prime := \tau + \tau_f + \tau_f' \in [-2(m+1)\beta, 2(m+1)\beta] \) and by the triangle inequality we obtain
\[ d_{FS}(\Phi_T(\iota(g, x)), \Phi_{T+\tau'}(\iota(h, y))) \leq 2m\varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2(m+1)\varepsilon. \]
\[ \square \]

Lemma 9.5 is a generalization of [BL12, Theorem 5.7]. Using this and Lemma 9.7 instead of [BL12, Lemma 5.12] as in the proof of [BL12, Proposition 5.11] we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 9.8.** Let \( X \) be a finite-dimensional, second-countable and locally compact flow space for the group \( G \) such that
1. the flow is uniformly continuous,
2. \( X \) admits strong contracting transfers and
3. there are long \( F \)-covers at infinity.

Then \( G \) is strongly transfer reducible with respect to the family \( V_{\text{cyc}} \cup F \).

**Corollary 9.9.** If \( X \) is a cocompact, finite-dimensional flow space for the group \( G \), which admits strong contracting transfers, then \( G \) is strongly transfer reducible with respect to the family \( V_{\text{cyc}} \), in particular \( G \) satisfies FJCw.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3 \( X \) is locally compact and second-countable. Cocompactness implies uniform continuity and choosing \( V = \emptyset \) and \( K \) as a compact subset of \( X \) with \( GK = X \) shows that \( X \) admits long covers at infinity. \[ \square \]
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