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Cardiovascular disease is a leading cause of death in both humans and dogs. Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) accounts for a large number of these cases, reported to be the third most common form of cardiac disease in humans and the second most common in dogs. In human studies of DCM there are more than 50 genetic loci associated with the disease. Despite canine DCM having similar disease progression to human DCM studies into the genetic basis of canine DCM lag far behind those of human DCM. In this review the aetiology, epidemiology, and clinical characteristics of canine DCM are examined, along with highlighting possible different subtypes of canine DCM and their potential relevance to human DCM. Finally the current position of genetic research into canine and human DCM, including the genetic loci, is identified and the reasons many studies may have failed to find a genetic association with canine DCM are reviewed.

1. Dilated Cardiomyopathy
   Aetiology and Epidemiology

Cardiovascular disease is the fourth most common cause of death in dogs [1] and one of the most common causes of death in humans [2]. Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is the second most prevalent form of heart disease in dogs, accounting for 10% of cardiac diagnoses [3], and is estimated to be the third most common inherited type of heart disease in humans, reported to affect 35.6 in 100,000 people, although this is thought to be an underestimation [4, 5].

Due to the similar nature of DCM in humans and dogs in terms of disease phenotype and progression, it has been suggested that canine DCM can act as a model for human DCM [6]. Conversely, knowledge obtained from the clinical management of people with DCM may guide improvements in the clinical care and outcomes of companion animals with DCM.

Animal models of DCM are useful in providing insights into the molecular and cellular progression of the disease and thus lead to potential new treatments [7]. While there are many animal models where DCM is induced, for example [8–11], naturally occurring cases of canine DCM are also valuable, in particular with regard to natural disease progression, especially where the underlying cause can be shown to be similar in dogs and people (e.g., similar genetic function) [12]. In addition to providing a potential natural model for human DCM, canine cardiovascular health is an important issue in its own right. Understanding the disorder will impact veterinary care, treatment, and prognosis and may also influence pedigree breeding, health, and welfare. Here we review the clinically distinct types of canine DCM and relate these to clinical heterogeneity seen in human DCM. Furthermore we provide a review of the known genetic contributions to DCM and discuss how these factors may inform future clinical management and breeding strategies in the dog.

2. Clinical Characteristics of DCM

DCM is characterised by cardiac ventricular chamber enlargement and systolic dysfunction which often leads
to congestive heart failure and death [13]. The aetiology of DCM is complex in that genetic factors, myocardial ischemia, hypertension, toxins, infections, and metabolic defects have been implicated in human disease [14]. Both human and canine DCM have a number of phases of progression starting with a long asymptomatic period before clinical signs appear [6, 15]. During this asymptomatic period, no functional changes in cardiac tissue have yet been reported, but it is possible that the underlying causes (e.g., genetic factors, toxins, and infections) are already initiating the disease [6]. During the next stage, there are again no reported outward clinical signs and the individual usually appears to be healthy, but cardiovascular electrical and morphological changes can be observed [6, 15–17]. Cardiovascular electrical changes may be detected using Holter monitoring for 24 hours, with individuals that go on to develop canine DCM often displaying ventricular arrhythmias [18]. Echocardiography can identify individuals that have an enlarged left ventricle which ultimately leads to symptomatic canine DCM [18]. Due to the apparently asymptomatic nature of this stage it is often termed the occult or preclinical stage and can last for several years in dogs [6, 17, 18]. In the final stage of DCM patients present with clinical signs of heart failure, commonly including cough, depression, dyspnoea, weight loss, and syncope, the individual requires treatment for heart failure, but prognosis is often poor [6, 19]. In humans, mortality 10 years following diagnosis is roughly 40%, although there is a wide variation with some individuals remaining asymptomatic, conversely many individuals suffer from sudden death [20]. Dogs also have significantly shortened lifespan following diagnosis, mean survival time following diagnosis, usually at the point of developing overt clinical symptoms, being 34 weeks, although, similar to humans, large variations are observed, with some surviving for several months while others only live for a few weeks [21–23].

Treatment of DCM in humans is aimed at minimising the effect of heart failure on the patient and delaying disease progression [24]. Standard medical treatment for human DCM consists of ACE inhibitors and β-blockade, often with a diuretic agent and, in the latter stages of disease progression, inotropic agents are frequently prescribed [24, 25]. Heart transplants are often the last resort in treating human heart disease; however the proportion of heart transplants in humans due to nonischemic cardiomyopathy, of which DCM is the second most common form, has increased to become the leading cause of heart transplant in recent years: 51% of transplant cases had nonischemic cardiomyopathy [26]. Canine DCM is treated in a similar manner to human DCM, in that treatment is aimed at minimising the effect of heart failure [27]. This treatment usually consists of diuretics, ACE inhibitors, positive inotropes, and other vasodilators [27, 28]. There is evidence that treatment when preclinical symptoms appear can increase lifespan, but this requires screening of individuals for preclinical DCM [29]. Heart transplants and other cardiac assist devices are not generally available to canine DCM patients.

2.1. Evidence for Different Types of Canine DCM. Although dogs within all breeds have the potential to develop DCM, there are some breeds that are particularly afflicted by DCM [30]. These include Newfoundlands, St. Bernards, Doberman Pinschers, Great Danes, Irish Wolfhounds, Boxers, and English Cocker Spaniels [3]. While these breeds, as well as other less frequently affected breeds, can be diagnosed as having DCM, there is evidence that different breeds may present with distinct types of DCM. This evidence consists of differential survival times from diagnosis, histopathology, inheritance patterns, and age of onset [21, 31–35].

Within canine DCM, two distinct types of histopathological variations have been described: “attenuated wavy fibre type” and “fatty infiltration type” [32]. While this evidence may be subjective, it adds to the evidence suggesting that there are different types of canine DCM. The fatty infiltration type is less subjective and has only been reported in Doberman Pinschers, Estrela Mountain Dogs, Great Danes, and Boxers [32, 36–38]; while the wavy fibre type is more ubiquitous, it does not seem to be restricted to specific breeds and can also occur in breeds which display the fatty infiltration type [32, 37]. As the wavy fibre type is found across breeds and in many individuals, it could be the tissue’s response to the other processes of DCM. In particular atrophy, or attenuation, of muscle fibres is a frequent result of processes that prevent normal contractile ability: contractile ability is consistently compromised in DCM [19]. The prevalence and clinical significance of these histopathological variants remain to be established, although the phenotype can only be established post mortem and thus is unlikely to be useful in a clinical setting.

Human DCM is generally inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion [39], but autosomal recessive, X-linked recessive, and mitochondrial modes of inheritance have all been reported [40]. In common with human inheritance patterns there are several types of inheritance suggested in canine DCM. These include autosomal recessive [34], X-linked [33], and the common autosomal dominant inheritance [35, 38]; although often with reduced penetrance, not all dogs with the DCM genotype will develop the disease [41, 42]. X-linked and autosomal inheritance patterns show that the genetic basis of the disease is different. Recessive and dominant inheritance patterns also suggest the presence of different mutations leading to DCM and reduced penetrance indicates that there are likely to be additional factors involved in the formation of the disease phenotype. These additional factors may involve additional genes, epigenetic effects, and environmental effects including, but not limited to, diet, exercise, stress and toxins, or a combination of any number of these.

There is a wide variation in the long term prognosis of canine DCM. Some dogs, with appropriate disease management, can have a good quality of life for many years following a DCM diagnosis, whereas others die within weeks despite medical intervention [21–23]. Within this variation there are prognosis trends within breeds. Doberman Pinschers are a breed with particularly poor prognosis, and mean time to death (from diagnosis) is in the range of 7.4 to 9.7 weeks [21, 31], while the mean time for other
breeds is reported to be about four times that at 34 weeks [21]. Great Danes also suffer from a poor prognosis with Martin et al. [23] finding that they have the lowest median survival time of breeds included in their analysis, while Doberman Pinschers had the lowest upper quartile range.

Age of onset can also affect prognosis. There is a juvenile form of DCM in Portuguese water dogs, where age of onset is measured in weeks from birth [43, 44], while in most other cases age of onset is measured in years [45]. It would seem from this that DCM in Portuguese water dogs is a distinct condition. Even within adult canine DCM there is variation between breeds as to when individuals present with outward clinical signs. For example, Great Dane mean age of onset is 4.8 (SD ± 2.3) years [33], which is comparable to Irish Wolfhound mean age of onset of 4.40 (SD ± 2.03) years [42]; however, Doberman Pinscher’s mean age of onset is in 7.3 years in males and 8.6 years in females [31]. This variation in mean age of onset could further suggest that there are different types of canine DCM.

There also appears to be different types of human DCM, with different inheritance patterns and age of onset reported [46]. If canine DCM can be appropriately matched to human DCM in terms of age of onset, inheritance pattern, survival time, and histopathology, they could provide appropriate models for each other. In particular some cases of childhood DCM have been shown to have an autosomal recessive pattern of inheritance [47], and in this instance the juvenile DCM observed in Portuguese water dogs [44] could be an appropriate model. There are currently several types of DCM identified in humans [39], but additional studies of canine DCM phenotypes are required to allow appropriate matching of canine and human DCM categories. Once identified, knowledge about canine DCM types could benefit current and future potential treatments and support for both human and canine DCM patients, in addition to elucidating other clinically important factors in canine DCM, such as longevity and prognosis.

2.2. Genomic Research of DCM in Humans. While there are many implicated causes or risk factors related to developing DCM and disease progression, genetics is a common one, with the disease often affecting several individuals within a family. To date mutations in over 50 genes have been associated with DCM in humans; however mutations in the most prevalent DCM related genes only account for approximately 50% of patients with DCM [39]. Genetic testing of individuals related to DCM patients can allow those that are at high risk of developing DCM to be more closely monitored [48]. This genetic testing is carried out on a panel of about 50 loci and more than one locus can be implicated in the disease [14] suggesting a dose effect, whereby the more DCM alleles an individual carries, the more severe the phenotype [39]. Gene penetrance has also been reported to affect disease expression and severity, and likewise the type of mutation and the specific gene which is affected often lead to differing features, age of onset or severity, and prognosis [49, 50].

Human DCM-associated genes identified to date are involved in a range of functions but can usually be placed into one of six functional groups: sarcomeric protein genes, cytoskeletal protein genes, nuclear envelope protein, desmosomal protein genes, calcium/sodium-handling genes, and transcription factor genes [39]. Cardiac muscle consists of striated muscle, and the sarcomere is the smallest unit of contractile muscle within this and thus alterations to this could lead to heart disease [51]. The cytoskeleton forms the majority of the cytoplasm, enabling cells to maintain their shape and facilitating communication within the cell [52, 53]. The nuclear envelope provides a barrier between nucleic acid synthesis and the rest of the cell but must remain permeable to allow the cell to function [54], a large number of proteins within the nuclear envelope have been implicated in chromatin organization and gene regulation [55]. The desmosome provides mechanical strength to tissues and potentially has cell signalling capacity, both of which are essential for cardiac function [56]. Na+/Ca2+ are important in the contraction of muscle [57] and as such calcium/sodium-handling genes are important in maintaining the correct concentration of Na+/Ca2+ for contraction of the heart. Transcription factors regulate the rate at which transcription of DNA to mRNA occurs; this rate is important in controlling the expression of genes and therefore the amount of a protein produced [58]. The breakdown of any of these functions has the capacity to lead to disease, including DCM. Table 1 shows the genes with mutations associated with DCM in humans, including the group into which the gene falls (where appropriate).

2.3. Genetics of Canine DCM. Canine DCM has often been used as a model for human DCM, but it is also a major clinical challenge in companion animals [3, 18, 22, 59]. It is has been established that, in common with human DCM, canine DCM frequently has a familial basis [33–35, 42]. Despite this, current understanding of the genetics of canine DCM is limited, in particular compared to the depth of genetic information available for human DCM. Indeed it is only recently that any loci have been associated with canine DCM [6, 60–62]. Genes associated with canine DCM are DMD in German short-haired pointers [63], PDK4 in Doberman Pinschers [60], and STRN in Boxers [62], in addition to a locus on chromosome 5 in Doberman Pinschers [6]. Additional polymorphisms on chromosomes 1, 10, 15, 17, 21, and 37 have also been implicated in Irish Wolfhounds [61]. There are two methods that have been employed in attempts to identify genes associated with canine DCM, candidate gene studies, and genome wide association studies (GWAS).

3. Canine Candidate Gene Studies

Candidate gene studies for canine DCM primarily involve examining genes with variants associated with human DCM or associated conditions, for example [64–69]. The majority of canine DCM genetic studies have been of this type; however, only one mutation associated with canine DCM has been identified in this manner, which is that of a deletion in the Striatin gene in Boxers, a gene previously associated
### Table I: Genes with mutations associated with DCM in humans.

| Gene   | Location/role                     | Reference |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| ABC9   | Calcium/sodium-handling          | [81]      |
| ACTC1  | Sarcomere & cytoskeleton         | [82]      |
| ACTN2  | Sarcomere & cytoskeleton         | [83]      |
| ANKRDI | Sarcomere & transcription factor | [84]      |
| BAG3   | Sarcomere                         | [85–87]   |
| CAV3   | Other                             | [88]      |
| CHRM2  | Other                             | [89]      |
| CRYAB  | Cytoskeleton                      | [90]      |
| CSRP3  | Sarcomere & cytoskeleton         | [83]      |
| CTF1   | Other                             | [91]      |
| DES    | Cytoskeleton                      | [92, 93]  |
| DMD    | Cytoskeleton                      | [94, 95]  |
| DNAJC19| Other                             | [96]      |
| DOK    | Other                             | [97]      |
| DSC2   | Desmosome                         | [98]      |
| DSG2   | Desmosome                         | [99]      |
| DSP    | Desmosome                         | [100]     |
| EYA4   | Other                             | [101]     |
| FHL2   | Sarcomere & cytoskeleton         | [102]     |
| FKTN   | Cytoskeleton                      | [103]     |
| FKRP   | Cytoskeleton                      | [104]     |
| FOXD4  | Transcription factor              | [105]     |
| GATAD1 | Other                             | [106]     |
| HCG22  | Other                             | [107]     |
| HLA-DQB1| Other                           | [108]     |
| HSPB7  | Other                             | [109]     |
| ILK    | Cytoskeleton                      | [110]     |
| LAMA2  | Other                             | [111]     |
| LAMA4  | Cytoskeleton                      | [110]     |
| LAMP2  | Other                             | [112]     |
| LDB3   | Sarcomere & cytoskeleton         | [113]     |
| LMNA   | Nuclear envelope                  | [114]     |
| MURC   | Other                             | [115]     |
| MYBPC3 | Sarcomere                         | [116, 117]|
| MYH6   | Sarcomere                         | [117, 118]|
| MYH7   | Sarcomere                         | [116]     |
| MYPN   | Cytoskeleton                      | [119]     |
| NEBL   | Sarcomere                         | [120]     |
| NEXN   | Sarcomere                         | [121]     |
| NOS3   | Other                             | [122]     |
| PKP2   | Desmosome                         | [98]      |
| PLN    | Calcium/sodium-handling          | [123]     |
| PRDM16 | Transcription factor              | [124]     |
| PSEN1  | Other                             | [125]     |
| PSEN2  | Other                             | [125]     |
| RBM20  | Other                             | [126]     |
| RYR2   | Calcium/sodium-handling          | [127]     |
| SCN5A  | Calcium/sodium-handling          | [128]     |

### Table I: Continued.

| Gene   | Location/role                     | Reference |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| SDHA   | Other                             | [129]     |
| SGCD   | Cytoskeleton                      | [130]     |
| SYNE1  | Nuclear envelope                  | [131]     |
| TAZ    | Other                             | [132]     |
| TBX20  | Transcription factor              | [133]     |
| TCAP   | Sarcomere & cytoskeleton         | [134]     |
| TMPO   | Nuclear envelope                  | [135]     |
| TNNC1  | Sarcomere                         | [117]     |
| TNNI3  | Sarcomere                         | [136]     |
| TNNT2  | Sarcomere                         | [137]     |
| TPM1   | Sarcomere                         | [117]     |
| TXNRD2 | Other                             | [138]     |
| TTN    | Sarcomere & cytoskeleton         | [139]     |
| VCL    | Sarcomere & cytoskeleton         | [140]     |
| ZBTB17 | Other                             | [141]     |

with Boxer arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy using GWAS [62]. All other candidate gene studies have failed to find an association with canine DCM in the cohort examined (see Table 2), and unfortunately the small sample sizes frequently utilised could have limited the power to detect an association. In addition to small sample sizes in a number of studies, control (non-DCM cases) dogs have been limited or have not been appropriate (see Table 2 for exact numbers). Suitable controls should be breed matched and over a certain age to ensure that they are unlikely to develop DCM. Table 2 shows the genes examined for mutations associated with canine DCM in a variety of breeds, sample sizes, and control dogs, in the published literature to date.

### 4. Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS)

Genome wide association studies are a method of screening the genomes of many individuals for variants or regions that are associated with a trait [70]. Some variants will fall within genes and some outside of genes. When variants associated with a trait are found outside of genes it can be more difficult to establish their mode of action.

There have been three GWAS looking for an association with canine DCM. One of these led to the identification of a deletion in a splice site of PDK4 associated with DCM in Doberman Pinschers [60]. A separate GWAS in Doberman Pinschers revealed a single SNP associated with DCM in a different location to the PDK4 gene [6]. The only other GWAS undertaken with regard to canine DCM is that by Philipp et al. [61] which found one significantly associated SNP and five suggestively associated SNPs in Irish Wolfhounds. Of all the loci identified as associated with canine DCM only two are on the same chromosome, one of the Irish Wolfhound SNPs and the Striatin genes are both on chromosome 17, but even these are far apart. This indicates that there may be many loci involved in the development of canine DCM.
| Gene | Associated with DCM in humans | Associated with canine DCM | Number | Breed | Control dogs | Human reference | Canine study reference |
|------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| ACTC1 | Y | N | 16 | Doberman Pinscher | 12 mixed breeds | [39, 142] | 66 |
| ACTN2 | Y | N | 5 | Doberman Pinscher | 5 unspecified dogs without overt heart disease | [39, 142] | 66 |
| CAV1 | N | N | 38 | Newfoundland | 36 Newfoundland | na | 69 |
| CSRP3 | Y | N | 64 | Irish Wolfhound | 25 Irish Wolfhounds | [39, 142] | 143 |
| DMD | Y | Y | 2 | German short-haired pointers | 2 German short-haired pointers with reduced dystrophin | [39, 142] | 63 |
| DES | Y | N | 25 | Doberman Pinscher | 10 Doberman Pinschers | [39, 142] | 66 |
| LDB3 | Y | N | 38 | Newfoundland | 36 Newfoundland | [39, 142] | 69 |
| LMNA | Y | N | 38 | Newfoundland | 36 Newfoundland | [39, 142] | 69 |
| MYBPC3 | Y | N | 38 | Newfoundland | 36 Newfoundland | [39, 142] | 69 |
| MYH7 | Y | N | 5 | Doberman Pinscher | 2 Labrador | [39, 142] | 67 |
| PDK4 | N | Y | 66 | Doberman Pinscher | 66 Doberman Pinschers + 100 others from 11 breeds | na | 60 |
| PLN | Y | N | 25 | Doberman Pinscher | 10 Doberman Pinschers | [39, 142] | 66 |
| SGCD | Y | N | 25 | Doberman Pinscher | 10 Doberman Pinschers | [39, 142] | 145 |
| STRN | N | Y | 33 | Boxer | 16 Boxers | na | 62 |
| TAZ | Y | N | 64 | Irish Wolfhound | 25 Irish Wolfhounds | [39, 142] | 143 |
| TCAP | Y | N | 38 | Newfoundland | 36 Newfoundland | [39, 142] | 69 |
| TCAP | Y | N | 5 | Doberman Pinscher | 5 unspecified dogs without overt heart disease | [39, 142] | 144 |
| Gene  | Associated with DCM in humans | Associated with canine DCM | DCM dogs Number | Breed                  | Control dogs         | Human reference | Canine study reference |
|-------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| TMOD  | N                            | N                          | 64               | Irish Wolfhound        | 25 Irish Wolfhounds  | na              | [143]                  |
| TNNC1 | Y                            | N                          | 5                | Doberman Pinscher      | 2 Labradors          | [39, 142]       | [67]                   |
| TNN13 | Y                            | N                          | 38               | Newfoundland           | 36 Newfoundlands     | [39, 142]       | [69]                   |
| TNNT2 | Y                            | N                          | 5                | Doberman Pinscher      | 2 Labradors          | [39, 142]       | [67]                   |
| TPM1  | Y                            | N                          | 38               | Newfoundland           | 36 Newfoundlands     | [39]            | [69]                   |
| TTN   | Y                            | N                          | 38               | Newfoundland           | 36 Newfoundlands     | [39, 142]       | [69]                   |
| VCL   | Y                            | N                          | 38               | Newfoundland           | 36 Newfoundlands     | [39, 142]       | [69]                   |

Y = yes, N = no.
5. The Effects of Multiple Loci on DCM

Thus far in both canine and human genetic DCM studies loci have only been considered for an association with disease individually. There have been indications that multiple loci may influence the development of DCM [6]. In human DCM where a panel of more than 50 loci are tested concurrently, often several loci are implicated. Simpson et al. [71] have shown theoretically that multiple loci affect the development of DCM in Doberman Pinschers. While this still requires validation, it is possible that similar effects occur in other breeds and species.

6. Power to Detect an Association with Canine DCM

The majority of studies undertaken with the aim of identifying causal genetic variants of canine DCM have only utilised small samples (5–40 individuals) which is unlikely to be large enough to detect an effect. To establish appropriate study sizes and indicate the effect size that can be detected in published studies G* Power 3.1.7 Chi-squared goodness of fit tests were used (using the methods from [72]). This takes known input parameters, including sample size, and calculates estimated effect sizes based on assumed power and can be used to indicate minimum sample size for prescribed power, alpha error rate, and effect size. This was done to indicate minimum sample sizes needed to detect various effect sizes (Figure 1).

Published studies that have identified genetic variants associated with DCM have used sample sizes of 180 [6], 132 [60], and 49 [62]. Assuming these studies had enough power to identify a positive effect (0.8), the effect sizes of these variants in these studies are 0.2088, 0.2438, and 0.4002, respectively, calculated using the sensitivity power analysis in G* Power 3.1.7 [72]. These effect sizes, while not large, are larger than the standard effect size for small effect of 0.1. None of these variants explain all incidences of DCM, suggesting that other factors, which may be additional genetic variants of smaller effect, are involved. The sample size required to obtain a positive result from variants with small effect size (0.1) is 785, a number possibly not obtainable for all breeds but could be aimed for in future studies. It is likely that earlier studies concentrated on simple Mendelian recessive, dominant traits and even a multiplicative risk models where Karlsson & Lindblad-Toh [73] had suggested that affected and control groups of 20, 50, and 100, respectively, may suffice. Despite these suggestions, the authors indicated that higher group sizes (around 500 samples) would likely provide sufficient power to map an allele conferring a two-fold risk.

6.1. Discussion of Selected Breeds. While there are many breeds affected by canine DCM only a few have had genetic loci identified as associated with the disease. Here we discuss breeds with adolescent and adult onset DCM associated loci. The juvenile DCM that Portuguese water dogs develop is not discussed because it is already considered to be a distinct condition [34].

6.2. Boxers: Striatin (STRN). The Boxer breed of dog was developed in the late 1800s primarily from the now extinct hunting dog the Bullenbeisser [74]. As with the development of most modern breeds there is documented evidence of inbreeding to produce the desired characteristics. In the case of the boxer this included a mating of a son to his mother, and following the creation of a breed standard in 1902 it is likely that usually Boxers will have exclusively been mated to other Boxers [74]. This limited genetic diversity is likely to have led to Boxers being prone to developing a number of diseases including heart disease, of which they frequently develop both arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) and DCM [62]. Since boxer cardiomyopathy was described by Harpster [75] there have been several subtypes described, of the two displaying overt clinical symptoms these most closely align to human ARVC and DCM [62]. Recently Meurs et al. [62] tested a deletion in the striatin (STRN) gene for an association with DCM in boxers. This deletion has previously been associated with ARVC and it was hypothesised that ARVC and DCM are variants of the same disease in Boxers and the homozygous genotype leads to DCM rather than ARVC [62]. They found a significant association with the deletion in its homozygous form and DCM, but there were three cases of DCM where there was no deletion in the gene, thus indicating that there is at least one more cause of DCM in the breed to be established [62].

6.3. Doberman Pinschers: PDK4 and Chromosome 5 SNP. The Doberman Pinscher breed was developed at the end of the 1800’s in Germany [76] when a number of individuals from established breeds were used to improve various characteristics. According to Gruenig [76] these include the Manchester terrier, Greyhound, Rottweiler, Gordon Setter, Old English Sheepdog, Beauceron, Pinscher (probably German Pinscher), Weimaraner, and other less specific breeds such as Mastiff (possibly Great Dane), Hound, and Sporting dogs. The development of the breed happened rapidly, over a period of about 30 years, and since then Doberman Pinschers have only been bred to Doberman Pinschers [76], leading to a closed gene pool. Although a number of breeds contributed to the Doberman Pinscher it is likely that relatively few individuals of each breed were used likely leading to low genetic diversity. In addition to relatively few founders there is evidence of
some individuals contributing a greater number of offspring to the breeding population than others [76].

Doberman Pinschers can develop a particularly severe type of DCM with rapid disease progression following the diagnosis of DCM with mean survival time of less than 10 weeks [21, 31]. Poor survival time following diagnosis combined with the high prevalence of the disease with estimates ranging from 45% to 63% means DCM in this breed is a particular problem for clinicians [59]. Doberman Pinschers display the fatty infiltration type of histopathology [32]. Despite these poor statistics, age of onset of clinical signs is often later than in other commonly affected breeds (7.3 years in males and 8.6 years in females, compared to 4.8 (SD ± 2.3) years in Great Danes), giving individuals a good quality of life up until overt DCM clinical signs [31, 77]. Across age groups there is no difference in clinical signs associated with DCM between the sexes including echocardiographic changes, presence and number of ventricular premature contractions, and overt DCM [59]. Unfortunately, however, males are more likely to have overt DCM than females with 73.7% of all observed males becoming clinically overt while only 26.3% of females observed became clinically overt [59].

DCM in Doberman Pinschers appears to be inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion with equal numbers of males and females affected, male-male transmission, and the matting of two affected individuals producing unaffected offspring [35]. There have been two loci identified as associated with DCM in the breed, a deletion of a splice site in pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, isozyme 4 (PDK4), and a SNP on chromosome 5 [6, 60]. Unfortunately neither of these loci explains all incidences of DCM, and the PDK4 deletion is not significantly associated with DCM in a separate Doberman Pinscher population [78]. There are still additional causes of DCM to be identified in Doberman Pinschers and the function of the SNP on chromosome 5 needs to be established.

6.4. German Short-Haired Pointers: Dystrophin (DMD). The only gene associated with canine DCM in German short-haired pointers is Dystrophin (DMD) [63]. German short-haired pointers are not considered a breed particularly afflicted by heart disease and the deletion was only identified in two male litter mates [3, 63]. This could be an isolated case which is unlikely to have implications in other breeds, particularly as the affected individuals also had skeletal myopathies, whereas in most cases of canine DCM there are not any other myopathies present [63].

6.5. Irish Wolfhounds. Although Irish Wolfhounds have a long history, this includes a period when they were close to extinction. As part of conserving the breed, Great Danes, Scottish deerhounds, Borzoi, and Mastiffs were crossed with the few remaining Irish Wolfhounds [61, 79]. While this will have introduced some degree of genetic diversity to the breed, by necessity a large amount of inbreeding will have been required to retain the Irish Wolfhound phenotype and so, like most modern breeds, genetic diversity is low [80].

Irish Wolfhounds do not usually develop a particularly severe form of DCM and with appropriate management can live with the disease for many months or years [22]. Unfortunately, however, the prevalence of heart disease, including DCM, within the breed is very high, with 41% of individuals presenting with cardiac abnormalities, of which 58% have DCM [22]. This high prevalence combined with early onset of clinical signs at around 4 years old [42] means that DCM in Irish Wolfhounds is of concern and so identifying genetic causes of the disease could have a large impact on the health of breed.

The mode of inheritance of DCM in Irish Wolfhounds has been shown to be autosomal dominant major gene effect, but with reduced penetrance indicating that multiple factors influence disease progression [42]. Of the six SNPs associated with DCM in Irish Wolfhounds to date, only three lie within known genes [61]. Further work is therefore required to establish the functional significance of the alleles and to confirm the associations with DCM.

7. Conclusions: Impact of Genetics on Canine DCM

In the short term, the identification of the genetic contributors to DCM will enable targeted heart monitoring prior to the onset of clinical signs and clinical management of those dogs with increased risk of developing DCM. In the longer term, knowledge of the genetic factors which predispose to DCM will allow for selective breeding strategies to be considered and may identify novel therapeutic and diagnostic approaches. Individuals likely to develop DCM, identified through robust genetics, could be removed from breeding programmes with the ultimate goal of reducing the number of affected animals within the population and promoting the long term welfare of the breed. Understanding the genetic causes may also aid the stratification of distinct clinical subtypes of DCM. This knowledge may also permit the development of novel DCM management programmes, help to guide prognosis, and assist with future drug and intervention research. Furthermore, investigations into causative genes in canine DCM may prove beneficial for other species, including humans. Novel mutations in canine breeds may serve as candidate genes in affected humans. For these reasons a more detailed understanding of the genetic basis of DCM in diverse dog breeds is now required.
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