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Abstract. The paper introduces external ellipsoidal and external spherico-conal $h$-harmonics for the Dunkl–Laplacian. These external $h$-harmonics admit integral representations, and they are connected by a formula of Niven’s type. External $h$-harmonics in the plane are expressed in terms of Jacobi polynomials $P_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ and Jacobi’s functions $Q_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ of the second kind.
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1 Introduction

In a previous article [21] the author introduced (internal) ellipsoidal $h$-harmonics $F_{n,p}$ and spherico-conal $h$-harmonics $G_{n,p}$ for the Dunkl–Laplacian. The functions $F_{n,p}(x)$ and $G_{n,p}(x)$ are polynomials in the variables $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ and they are $h$-harmonic, that is, they satisfy the differential-difference equation

$$\Delta_h u := (D_0^2 + D_1^2 + \cdots + D_k^2)u = 0,$$

where $D_j$ is defined by

$$D_j u (x) := \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_j} + \alpha_j \frac{u(x) - u(\sigma_j(x))}{x_j},$$

and $\sigma_j$ is the reflection at the $j$th coordinate plane. The parameters $\alpha_j$ enter the weight function

$$h(x) := |x_0|^\alpha_0 |x_1|^\alpha_1 \cdots |x_k|^\alpha_k. \quad (1.2)$$

Following the book [9] by Dunkl and Xu and the paper [23] by Xu we will assume that $\alpha_j \geq 0$ for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k$ although one would expect that the range of validity can be extended analytically to the domain $\alpha_j > -\frac{1}{2}$ for each $j$. We will also exclude the case $k = 1$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0$ because we want the constant $\mu$ defined below in (1.5) to be positive.

The parity vector $p = (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ has components in $\{0, 1\}$ and indicates that $F_{n,p}$ and $G_{n,p}$ have parity $p$ which means that they are sums of monomials $x_0^{p_0+2q_0} x_1^{p_1+2q_1} \cdots x_k^{p_k+2q_k}$ with $q_j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. The vector $n = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_p)$ counts the zeros of the corresponding Stieltjes quasi-polynomials inside $k$ adjacent open intervals. If we set $m = 2|n| + |p| :=$
We consider the Fuchsian differential equation

\[ 2(n_1 + \cdots + n_k) + (p_0 + \cdots + p_k) \] then \( G_{n,p} \) is a spherical \( h \)-harmonic of degree \( m \), that is, it is a \( h \)-harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree \( m \). Moreover, \( F_{n,p} \) is a polynomial of total degree \( m \) which, however, is usually not homogeneous. The sphero-conal \( h \)-harmonics of fixed degree \( m \) form an orthogonal basis in the linear space of spherical \( h \)-harmonics of degree \( m \) with respect to the inner product

\[
\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{S^k} h^2(x) u(x)v(x) \, dS(x),
\]

where \( S^k \) denotes the unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \). Equation (1.3) uses the standard surface integral over the sphere so that \( \int_{S^k} 1dS \) is the surface area of \( S^k \).

In the present paper the theory is extended to include external ellipsoidal \( h \)-harmonics \( F_{n,p} \) and external sphero-conal \( h \)-harmonics \( G_{n,p} \). Throughout, we will use calligraphic letters to denote the “external” functions. The functions \( G_{n,p} \) and \( F_{n,p} \) are still solutions of (1.1) but they are no longer polynomials. They have proper decay as \( ||x|| \to \infty \) which makes them suitable for solving problems concerning the exterior of ellipsoids or spheres, respectively. The external sphero-conal \( h \)-harmonics are easy to define. They are given by

\[
G_{n,p}(x) := ||x||^{-2\mu - 2m} G_{n,p}(x), \quad m := 2|n| + |p|,
\]

where

\[
\mu := \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k + \frac{k - 1}{2}.
\]

The definition of external ellipsoidal \( h \)-harmonics is postponed to Section 2.

After introducing the fundamental solution of (1.1) in Section 3 we obtain integral representations of \( F_{n,p} \) and \( G_{n,p} \) in terms of the fundamental solution in Section 4. Section 5 states some integral formulas related to Section 5.2 in [9]. As a main result of this paper, in Section 6 we prove a Niven type formula that expresses \( F_{n,p} \) in terms of \( G_{n,p} \). In the classical situation \( k = 2 \), \( \alpha = 0 \), this formula was first given by Niven [16] in an amazing award winning paper. Modified versions of some of Niven’s result are contained in the books by Whittaker and Watson [22] and by Hobson [14]. It was the attempt to extend Niven’s results to external \( h \)-harmonics that led to this paper. We also refer to paper [15] by Kalnins and Miller which contains a generalization of Niven’s work from a different perspective.

In Section 7 we illustrate the results in the relatively simple but nontrivial planar case \( k = 1 \). In this situation the internal ellipsoidal and sphero-conal \( h \)-harmonics are expressed in terms of Jacobi polynomials \( P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \) while the external ellipsoidal \( h \)-harmonic \( F_{n,p} \) involves the second solution \( Q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \) of the differential equation whose first solution is \( P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \).

We should mention that there is an increasing number of papers in Applied Mathematics that use ellipsoidal harmonics; for example, see [3] [4] [5]. For applications of Dunkl operators and the associated Laplacian to mathematical physics, special functions, probability theory and geometry, we refer to [2] [6] [8] [13] [17] [18].

## 2 External ellipsoidal harmonics

We consider the Fuchsian differential equation

\[
\prod_{j=0}^{k} (t - a_j) \left[ v'' + \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\alpha_j + \frac{1}{2}}{t - a_j} v' \right] + \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{p_j \alpha_j A_j}{t - a_j} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lambda_i t^i \right] v = 0
\]

(2.1)
for the function \( v(t) \) where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to \( t \), and

\[
A_j := \prod_{i=0, i \neq j}^{k} (a_j - a_i).
\]

The parameters \( \alpha_j \) are from (1.2), \( p = (p_0, p_1 \ldots, p_k) \) is a fixed parity vector,

\[
a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_k
\]

(2.2)

are chosen numbers and \( \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1} \) are real spectral parameters.

Let \( n = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k \). In [21] Section 2 we considered the quasi-polynomial \( E_{n,p} \) originally introduced by Stieltjes [19] in the special case \( p = 0 \). The quasi-polynomial \( E_{n,p} \)

has \( n_j \) zeros in \((a_{j-1}, a_j)\) for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), and it is a solution of (2.1) for special values of the parameters \( \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1} \). For this set of parameters, \(-\frac{\mu}{2}\) and \( \mu + \frac{p_0}{2} \) are the exponents of equation (2.1) at infinity, where \( m \) and \( \mu \) are according to (1.4), (1.5). Now \( E_{n,p} \) is the Frobenius solution belonging to the exponent \(-\frac{\mu}{2}\). We introduce a second Frobenius solution \( \mathcal{E}_{n,p} \) of equation (2.1) belonging to the exponent \( \mu + \frac{p_0}{2} \) at infinity. This solution is defined for \( t > a_k \) and it is normalized by the condition that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\mu + \frac{p_0}{2}} \mathcal{E}_{n,p}(t) = 1.
\]

This normalization and the corresponding one of \( E_{n,p} \) leads to the Wronskian

\[
\mathcal{E}_{n,p}(t) E'_{n,p}(t) - E_{n,p}(t) \mathcal{E}'_{n,p}(t) = (\mu + m) \prod_{j=0}^{k} (t - a_j)^{-\alpha_j - \frac{1}{2}}
\]

(2.3)

which holds for \( t > a_k \). In the classical case \( k = 2, \alpha = 0 \), the second solution \( \mathcal{E}_{n,p} \) appears in [1] §9.7 and [11] §100.

We introduce ellipsoidal coordinates involving the parameters (2.2). For every \((x_0, \ldots, x_k)\)

in the positive cone of \( \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \)

\[
x_0 > 0, \ldots, x_k > 0,
\]

(2.4)

its ellipsoidal coordinates \( t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_k \) lie in the intervals

\[
a_k < t_0 < \infty, \quad a_{i-1} < t_i < a_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k,
\]

(2.5)

and satisfy

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{x_j^2}{t_i - a_j} = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, k.
\]

These coordinates provide a bijective mapping between the positive cone (2.4) and the cube (2.5).

As explained in [21] Section 3, equation (1.1) can be solved by the method of separation of variables in ellipsoidal coordinates. In [21] we considered the internal ellipsoidal \( h \)-harmonic

\[
F_{n,p}(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k} E_{n,p}(t_j),
\]

where \((t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_k)\) are ellipsoidal coordinates of \( x \). We now introduce the external ellipsoidal \( h \)-harmonic \( \mathcal{F}_{n,p} \) by

\[
\mathcal{F}_{n,p}(x) := \mathcal{E}_{n,p}(t_0) \prod_{j=1}^{k} E_{n,p}(t_j) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{n,p}(t_0)}{E_{n,p}(t_0)} F_{n,p}(x).
\]
This function is originally defined in the positive cone \[2.4\] and can then be extended analytically to the complement of the degenerate ellipsoid
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{x_j^2}{a_k - a_j} \leq 1, \quad x_k = 0. \tag{2.6}
\]

We see this as follows. The ellipsoidal coordinate \(t_0 > a_k\) is an analytic function of \(x\) for \(x\) outside the degenerate ellipsoid \[2.6\]. Further, \(E_{n,p}\) and \(E_{n,p}\) are analytic functions on the interval \((a_k, \infty)\), \(E_{n,p}\) has no zero there and \(F_{n,p}(x)\) is a polynomial in \(x\).

We note that \(F_{n,p}\) has parity \(p\), that is,
\[
F_{n,p}(\sigma_j(x)) = (-1)^{p_j} F_{n,p}(x).
\]

Therefore, by construction, \(F_{n,p}\) satisfies equation \(1.1\). It may be appropriate here to remark that a solution of \(1.1\) is always implicitly assumed to be defined on an open set which is invariant under the reflections \(\sigma_j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, k\). Of course, our domain of definition of \(F_{n,p}\) has this property.

### 3 The fundamental solution

We introduce the function
\[
\Phi(x, z) := \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{4 \prod_{j=0}^{k} \Gamma(\alpha_j + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{[-1,1]^{k+1}} (\Psi(x, z, \tau))^{-\mu} \prod_{j=0}^{k} c_{\alpha_j} (1 + \tau_j) (1 - \tau_j^{2})^{\alpha_j - 1} d\tau, \tag{3.1}
\]
where
\[
\Psi(x, z, \tau) := \|x\|^2 - 2(\tau_0 x_0 z_0 + \cdots + \tau_k x_k z_k) + \|z\|^2 \geq 0,
\]
c\(_{\nu} := (B(\frac{1}{2}, \nu))^{-1}\) with \(B\) denoting the Beta function, and \(\mu\) is from \(1.5\). If \(\alpha_i = 0\) then delete the factor containing \(c_{\alpha_i}\), set \(\tau_i = 1\) in \(\Psi\) and omit the integration on \(\tau_i\). If \(\alpha_j = 0\) for all \(j\) then \(\Phi\) reduces to the standard fundamental solution of Laplace’s equation \(10\ page 22\).

If \(|x_j| = |z_j|\) for at least one \(j\), then \(\Psi(x, z, \cdot)\) has a positive lower bound on \([-1,1]^{k+1}\). Therefore, \(\Phi(x, z)\) is analytic everywhere in \(R^{k+1} \times R^{k+1}\) except at points where \(|x_j| = |z_j|\) for all \(j\). We recognize that \(\Phi(x, z) = \Phi(z, x)\), \(\Phi(\gamma x, \gamma z) = |\gamma|^{-2\mu} \Phi(x, z)\) and \(\Phi(x, z) > 0\). Moreover,
\[
\Phi(0, z) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{4 \prod_{j=0}^{k} \Gamma(\alpha_j + \frac{1}{2})} \|z\|^{-2\mu}.
\]

If \(z \neq 0\) we can expand \(\Phi(\cdot, z)\) in a Taylor series at \(x = 0\). Its coefficients are given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** If \(z \neq 0\) then, for every \((q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_k) \in N_0^{k+1}\),
\[
\mathcal{D}_{x_0}^{q_0} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{x_k}^{q_k} (x_0^{q_0} \cdots x_k^{q_k}) \partial_{x_0}^{q_0} \cdots \partial_{x_k}^{q_k} \Phi(x, z) \bigg|_{x=0}
= \mathcal{D}_{x_0}^{q_0} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{x_k}^{q_k} \Phi(x, z) \bigg|_{x=0} = (-1)^{|q|} \mathcal{D}_{z_0}^{q_0} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{z_k}^{q_k} \Phi(0, z), \tag{3.2}
\]
where \(|q| = q_0 + \cdots + q_k\).
Proof. We note that the first equality in (3.2) is trivial so it is enough to prove the second one. The proof of the second equality involves some lengthy formulas, so we will use some common abbreviations. If \( j = (j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k) \) is a multi-index we set \( j! = j_0! \cdots j_k! \). \( \partial^j_x = \partial^j_{x_0} \cdots \partial^j_{x_k} \) and \( x^j = x_0^{j_0} \cdots x_k^{j_k} \). By Faa di Bruno’s formula (or by equation \([21, (5.4)]\)), we write

\[
\partial^q_x f(\Psi(x, z, \tau)) = \sum_j 2^{|q| - |j|} f(|q| - |j|)(\Psi(x, z, \tau)) \frac{1}{j!} \partial^{|j|}_{\tau}(x_0 - \tau_0z_0)^{q_0} \cdots (x_k - \tau_kz_k)^{q_k},
\]

where \( f(u) := u^{-\mu} \), and the summation is over all multi-indices \( j = (j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_k) \) with \( j_i \geq 0 \) and \( q_i - 2j_i \geq 0, i = 0, 1, \ldots, k \). Computing the partial derivatives on the right-hand side and setting \( x = 0 \) gives

\[
\partial^q_x f(\Psi(x, z, \tau))|_{x=0} = (-1)^{|q|} \sum_j 2^{|q| - |j|} f(|q| - |j|)(||z||^2) \frac{q!}{j!(q - 2j)!}(\tau_0z_0)^{q_0 - 2j_0} \cdots (\tau_kz_k)^{q_k - 2j_k}.
\]

We now carry out the integrations indicated in (3.1) taking into account that

\[
c_{\alpha,j} \int_{-1}^{1} \tau^m_j (1 + \tau_j)(1 - \tau^2_j)^{\alpha_j - 1} d\tau_j = \frac{m!}{D^m_{\tau_j}(z^m_j)}.
\]

Note that \( D^m_{\tau_j}(z^m_j) \) is a constant depending on \( j \) and \( m \) (the “Dunkl factorial.”) We obtain

\[
\partial^q_x \tilde{\Phi}(x, z)|_{x=0} = (-1)^{|q|} \sum_j 2^{|q| - |j|} f(|q| - |j|)(||z||^2) \frac{q!}{j!} \frac{D^q_x z^q}{D^{q - 2j}_x z^{q - 2j}} z^{q - 2j},
\]

where \( \tilde{\Phi} \) is defined by the right-hand side of (3.1) but with the normalization factor in front of the integral omitted. Now we use the first identity in (3.2) to obtain

\[
D^q_x \tilde{\Phi}(x, z)|_{x=0} = (-1)^{|q|} \sum_j 2^{|q| - |j|} f(|q| - |j|)(||z||^2) \frac{1}{j!} \frac{D^q_x z^q}{D^{q - 2j}_x z^{q - 2j}} z^{q - 2j} = (-1)^{|q|} \sum_j 2^{|q| - |j|} f(|q| - |j|)(||z||^2) \frac{1}{j!} \frac{D^q_x z^q}{D^{q - 2j}_x z^{q - 2j}} z^q.
\]

Finally, we apply \([21, Lemma 2]\) to the function \( A(v_0, \ldots, v_k) = f(v_0 + \cdots + v_k) \) and obtain the second identity in (3.2).

Since \( ||z||^{-2\mu} \) is h-harmonic, Lemma \([1]\) shows that \( \Phi(x, \cdot) \) is h-harmonic for fixed \( x \) and so, by symmetry, \( \Phi(\cdot, z) \) is h-harmonic for fixed \( z \).

Knowledge of the exact nature of the singularities of \( \Phi \) will be immaterial in this paper. An analysis of the singularities would be along the following lines. We see that the singularity of \( \Phi(x, z) \) at \( x = z \) is determined by integration over values \( \tau_j \) close to 1 so it is convenient to substitute \( \sigma_j = 1 - \tau_j \). If all \( \tau_j \) are nonzero we have the elementary inequality

\[
\Psi(x, z, \tau) \geq c(||x - z||^2 + ||\sigma||)
\]

if \( x \) is close enough to \( z \) and \( c \) is a positive constant; see the proof of \([21, Theorem 5.5.7]\) for similar estimates. It follows that \( \tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, z) \) and its normal derivative on spheres \( ||x - z|| = \epsilon \) do not grow faster as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) than in the classical case \( \alpha = 0 \). Obvious modifications of the growth rate are obtained when one or several \( \tau_j \) vanish. If \( |x_j| = |z_j| \) for all \( j \) but \( x_i \neq z_i \) for at least one \( i \).
then the factor $1 + \tau_i$ appearing in (3.1) comes into play and makes the singularity milder than that at $x = z$.

We now express $\Phi$ in terms of the reproducing kernel $P_m(x, y)$ of the linear space of spherical $h$-harmonics of degree $m$ with respect to the inner product (1.3); see \cite{9} Section 5.3. We normalize the reproducing kernel so that

$$Y(x) = \int_{S^k} h^2(x) P_m(x, y) Y(y) dS(y)$$

for every spherical $h$-harmonic $Y$ of degree $m$ and every $x \in S^k$. The generating function for Gegenbauer polynomials \cite{9, Definition 1.4.10} is given by

$$(1 - 2us + s^2)^{-\mu} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_{\mu}^m(u) s^m.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

The convergence is uniform for $-1 \leq u \leq 1, |s| \leq q < 1$. In (3.3) we set $s = \|x\|/\|z\|$ and $u = \|x\|^{-1}\|z\|^{-1}(\tau_0 x_0 z_0 + \cdots + \tau_k x_k z_k)$. Then (3.1) and the integral representation of the reproducing kernel \cite{9, Theorem 5.5.5} yields the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** If $\|x\| < \|z\|$ then

$$\Phi(x, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2(\mu + m)} \|x\|^m \|z\|^{-2\mu - m} P_m \left( \frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{z}{\|z\|} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

The convergence is uniform for $\|x\|/\|z\| \leq q < 1$.

When $k = 2, \alpha = 0$, formula (3.4) shows how to expand the reciprocal distance between $z$ and $x$ into a series of Legendre polynomials. This expansion is due to Laplace and it marks the very beginning of the history of spherical harmonics \cite{11, page 3}.

### 4 Integral formulas for external $h$-harmonics

Multiplying (3.4) by a spherical $h$-harmonic and integrating, we obtain an integral representation of external spherical $h$-harmonics.

**Theorem 2.** Let $Y$ be a spherical $h$-harmonic of degree $m$, and let $\mathcal{Y}$ denote the corresponding external spherical $h$-harmonic $\mathcal{Y}(x) := \|x\|^{-2\mu - 2m} Y(x)$. Then, for $\|z\| > 1$,

$$\mathcal{Y}(z) = 2(\mu + m) \int_{S^k} h^2(x) \Phi(x, z) Y(x) dS(x).$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

In particular, Theorem 2 can be applied to a spherico-conal $h$-harmonic $Y = G_{n,p}$.

Let $Y$ be any spherical $h$-harmonic of degree $m$. If $\|z\| > 1$ we use Green’s formula for $h$-harmonic functions \cite{9, Lemma 5.1.5} inside the unit ball to obtain

$$0 = \int_{S^k} h^2(x) \left( Y(x) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, z)}{\partial \nu_x} - \Phi(x, z) \frac{\partial Y(x)}{\partial \nu} \right) dS(x),$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

where $\nu$ denotes the outward normal. On the unit sphere we have

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial \nu} = mY = m\mathcal{Y}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{Y}}{\partial \nu} = (-2\mu - m)Y.$$
Therefore, adding (4.2) to (4.1) gives
\[
\mathcal{Y}(z) = \int_{S^k} h^2(x) \left( \mathcal{Y}(x) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, z)}{\partial \nu_x} - \Phi(x, z) \frac{\partial \mathcal{Y}(x)}{\partial \nu} \right) dS(x).
\]

When \( \alpha = 0 \) this formula corresponds exactly to the well-known representation formula of harmonic functions in terms of a fundamental solution [10, page 34]. By using Green’s formula in the region between two spheres we see that we also have
\[
\mathcal{Y}(z) = \int_{S^k_R} h^2(x) \left( \mathcal{Y}(x) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, z)}{\partial \nu_x} - \Phi(x, z) \frac{\partial \mathcal{Y}(x)}{\partial \nu} \right) dS(x) \tag{4.3}
\]
when \( \|z\| > R \), where
\[
S^k_R := \{ x : \|x\| = R \}.
\]

We introduce ellipsoidal coordinates by choosing numbers \( a_j \) according to (2.2), and prove an integral representation of external ellipsoidal \( h \)-harmonics.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( J \) denote the ellipsoid
\[
J = \left\{ y : \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{y_j^2}{t - a_j} = 1 \right\} \tag{4.4}
\]
for some fixed \( t > a_k \). Then, for all \( z \) exterior to \( J \),
\[
\mathcal{F}_{n,p}(z) = \frac{2(\mu + m)}{\mathcal{F}^2_{n,p}(t)} \prod_{j=0}^k (t - a_j)^{-a_j - \frac{1}{2}} \int_J h^2(y) w(y) \Phi(y, z) \mathcal{F}_{n,p}(y) dJ(y), \tag{4.5}
\]
where \( m = 2|n| + |p| \) and \( w \) is defined by
\[
\frac{1}{w(y)} := \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{y_j^2}{(t - a_j)^2}. \tag{4.6}
\]

**Proof.** We will suppress the subscripts \( n, p \) in this proof. Both sides of equation (4.5) are analytic in the exterior of \( J \) so it will be sufficient to prove the equation for \( \|z\| > R \), where \( R \) is chosen so large that \( J \) fits inside the sphere \( S^k_R \).

We claim that formula (4.3) is also true if we replace \( \mathcal{Y} \) by \( \mathcal{F} \). To see this we expand \( \mathcal{F} \) on the sphere \( S^k_R \) in a series
\[
\mathcal{F}(x) = \sum_{q=0}^\infty Y_q(x),
\]
where \( Y_q \) is a spherical \( h \)-harmonic of degree \( q \). Using an uniqueness theorem for \( h \)-harmonic functions defined in the exterior of spheres with proper decay at infinity (the analogue of [7, Theorem 2.9]) we obtain
\[
\mathcal{F}(x) = \sum_{q=0}^\infty R^{2\mu + 2q} \|x\|^{-2\mu - 2q} Y_q(x).
\]
This series and the series obtained by term-by-term differentiation converge uniformly to the proper limits on and exterior to \( S^k_R \). Therefore, by taking limits we obtain
\[
\mathcal{F}(z) = \int_{S^k_R} h^2(x) \left( \mathcal{F}(x) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, z)}{\partial \nu_x} - \Phi(x, z) \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(x)}{\partial \nu} \right) dS(x).
\]
Using Green’s formula in the region between $J$ and $S^k_R$, we also have

$$F(z) = \int_J h^2(y) \left( F(y) \frac{\partial \Phi(y, z)}{\partial \nu_y} - \Phi(y, z) \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial \nu} \right) dJ(y),$$

(4.7)

where $\nu$ denotes the outward unit normal vector. We now apply Green’s formula to the internal ellipsoidal $h$-harmonic $F$ in the interior of $J$ and obtain

$$\int_J h^2(y) \left( F(y) \frac{\partial \Phi(y, z)}{\partial \nu_y} - \Phi(y, z) \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial \nu} \right) dJ(y) = 0.$$  
(4.8)

We multiply (4.7) by $E(t)$, (4.8) by $\mathcal{E}(t)$ and subtract noting that $E(t)F(y) = \mathcal{E}(t)F(y)$ on $J$. We obtain

$$E(t)F(z) = \int_J h^2(y)\Phi(y, z) \left( \mathcal{E}(t) \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial \nu} - E(t) \frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial \nu} \right) dJ(y).$$

Since the ellipsoidal coordinates are orthogonal, the normal derivative is expressible in terms of the derivative with respect to the ellipsoidal coordinate $t_0$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} = 2w(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0}.$$  

Therefore, we find

$$F(z) = 2\frac{\mathcal{E}(t)E'(t) - E(t)\mathcal{E}'(t)}{E^2(t)} \int_J h^2(y)w(y)\Phi(y, z)F(y) dJ(y).$$

We replace the Wronskian by (2.3) and (4.5) is established.

In Section 6 the integral in (4.5) will be evaluated using the formulas given in Section 5. Theorem 3 leads to an expansion of $\Phi$ in ellipsoidal $h$-harmonics.

**Theorem 4.** Let the ellipsoidal $t_0$-coordinate of $z$ be larger than the corresponding one for $y$. Then

$$\Phi(y, z) = \sum_{n, p} e_{n, p}^2 \frac{2(\mu + 2|n| + |p|)F_n_p(y)F_{n, p}(z),}{2(\mu + 2|n| + |p|)F_n_p(y)F_{n, p}(z),}$$

(4.9)

where the positive constants $e_{n, p}$ are determined by

$$e_{n, p}^2 \int_{S^k} h^2(x)G_{n, p}^2(x) dS(x) = 1.$$  

**Proof.** Let $J$ be the ellipsoid (4.4) that contains $y$. The function $\Phi(\cdot, z)$ is analytic and $h$-harmonic on and inside $J$. Therefore, it can be expanded in ellipsoidal $h$-harmonics as in [21] Section 7 and the expansion coefficients can be evaluated using (4.5). This gives (4.9) after a simple calculation.

When $k = 2$, $\alpha = 0$, formula (4.9) can be found in [12] page 172.
5 Integral formulas for spherical $h$-harmonics

**Theorem 5.** Let $f$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $\ell$, and let $Y$ be a spherical $h$-harmonic of degree $m$. If $\ell = m + 2r$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, then

$$
\int_{S^k} h^2(x)f(x)Y(x)\,dS(x) = \frac{1}{2^{\ell-1}r!} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k} \Gamma(\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m + \mu + r + 1)} \Delta_h^r Y(D)f(x),
$$

(5.1)

where $Y(D) = Y(D_0, \ldots, D_k)$. If $\ell < m$ or $\ell - m$ is odd then the integral in (5.1) vanishes.

**Proof.** Theorem 5.1.15 in [9] gives the expansion of $f$ in spherical $h$-harmonics on $S_k$. It follows from this expansion and [9] Theorem 5.1.6 that the integral in (5.1) vanishes when $\ell < m$ or when $\ell - m$ is odd. If $\ell = m + 2r$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, then [9] Theorem 5.1.15 shows that

$$
\int_{S^k} h^2(x)f(x)Y(x)\,dS(x) = \int_{S^k} h^2(x)\tilde{f}(x)Y(x)\,dS(x),
$$

(5.2)

where $\tilde{f}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m$ given by

$$
\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{4^r!(m + \mu + 1)_r} \Delta_h^r f(x).
$$

We evaluate the integral on the right-hand side of (5.2) by applying Theorem 5.2.4 in [9]. We obtain

$$
\int_{S^k} h^2(x)f(x)Y(x)\,dS(x) = \frac{1}{c^2 2^{m+2r} r!(\mu + 1)_m(m + \mu + 1)_r} \Delta_h^r Y(D)f(x),
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{c^2} = \int_{S^k} h^2(x)\,dS(x) = \frac{2 \prod_{i=0}^{k} \Gamma(\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mu + 1)}.
$$

After simplification of the constant factors we arrive at the desired equation (5.1). □

In the special case $k = 2$, $\alpha = 0$ formula (5.1) is due to Hobson [14, § 100].

We state a consequence of Theorem 5.1.

**Corollary 1.** Let $f(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} f_\ell(x)$, where $f_\ell$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $\ell$, and the convergence is uniform on $S^k$. Let $Y$ be a spherical $h$-harmonic of degree $m$. Then

$$
\int_{S^k} h^2(x)f(x)Y(x)\,dS(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+2r-1} r!(m + \mu + r + 1)} \Delta_h^r Y(D)f_{m+2r}(x).
$$

(5.3)

6 Niven’s formula for external ellipsoidal $h$-harmonics

We consider the ellipsoid $J$ from [14] with semi-axes $d_j := \sqrt{1 - a_j}$. By substituting $y_j = d_j x_j$, $y \in J$ is transformed to $x \in S^k$. If $f(x)$ is a continuous function on $S^k$, then

$$
g(y) := f\left(\frac{y_0}{d_0}, \ldots, \frac{y_k}{d_k}\right)
$$

(6.1)
becomes a continuous function on \( J \) and

\[
\int_{S^k} f(x) \, dS(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k} d_j^{-1} \int_{J} w(y) g(y) \, dJ(y)
\]  

(6.2)

with \( w(y) \) from (1.1).

Let \( Y \) denote a spherical \( h \)-harmonic of degree \( m \), and let \( f \) be as in Corollary 1. We use the substitution \( y_j = d_j x_j \) and (6.2) to write (5.3) as

\[
\int_{J} h^2(y) w(y) g(y) \left( \frac{y_0}{d_0}, \ldots, \frac{y_k}{d_k} \right) \, dJ(y)
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=0}^{k} d_j^{2\alpha_j + 1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+2r-1} r!} \prod_{j=0}^{k} \Gamma(\alpha_j + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(m + \mu + r + 1) \Lambda^r Y(d_0 D_0, \ldots, d_k D_k) g_{m+2r}(x),
\]

(6.3)

where \( \Lambda \) is the operator

\[
\Lambda := d_0^2 D_0^2 + \cdots + d_k^2 D_k^2
\]

and \( g \) is defined by (6.1) with the same connection between \( f_{\ell} \) and \( g_{\ell} \). We use (6.3) in the special case \( Y = G_{n,p} \), \( m = 2|n| + |p| \), and \( g = \Phi(\cdot, z) \), where \( \|z\| > d_0 \) (the largest semi-axis of \( J \)). We also note that

\[
E_n G_{n,p}(t) G_{n,p} \left( \frac{y_0}{d_0}, \ldots, \frac{y_k}{d_k} \right) = F_{n,p}(y),
\]

see [21] (6.1). Then the integral representation (4.5) for the external ellipsoidal \( h \)-harmonic \( F_{n,p} \) and (6.3) yield

\[
F_{n,p}(z) = \frac{2(\mu + m)}{E_n(t)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+2r-1} r!} \prod_{j=0}^{k} \Gamma(\alpha_j + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(m + \mu + r + 1) \times \Lambda^r G_{n,p}(d_0 D_{x_0}, \ldots, d_k D_{x_k}) \Phi_{m+2r}(x, z).
\]

We now use the identity

\[
G_{n,p}(d_0 D_0, \ldots, d_k D_k) g(x) = E_n(t) G_{n,p}(D) g(x)
\]

which holds for any \( h \)-harmonic function \( g \); see the formula before (6.3) in [21]. Then we replace the operator \( -\Lambda \) by \( a_0 D_0^2 + \cdots + a_k D_k^2 \) which is possible because \( \Phi(\cdot, z) \) is \( h \)-harmonic. Finally, we use Lemma 1 and arrive at the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.** The external ellipsoidal \( h \)-harmonic \( F_{n,p} \) admits the differential-difference representation

\[
F_{n,p}(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r (\mu + m)}{2^{m+2r} r!(\mu)_{m+r+1}} (a_0 D_0^2 + \cdots + a_k D_k^2)^r G_{n,p}(D) \|z\|^{-2\mu},
\]

(6.4)

where \( m = 2|n| + |p| \) and \( \mu \) is defined in [15]. The expansion is valid for \( \|z\|^2 > a_k - a_0 \).
Formula (6.4) is true for all $z$ with $\|z\|^2 > a_k - a_0$ because we can choose the number $t$ defining the ellipsoid (4.4) very close to $a_k$.

We can rewrite (6.4) slightly by using the connection between internal and external spherical $h$-harmonics [21, Corollary 2]:

$$\mathcal{Y}(x) = \frac{(-1)^m}{2m(\mu)_m} Y(D)\|x\|^{-2\mu}.$$ 

This leads to the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** The external ellipsoidal $h$-harmonic $\mathcal{F}_{n,p}$ admits the differential-difference representation

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,p}(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r}{2^r r! (\mu + m + 1)_r} (a_0 D_0^2 + \cdots + a_k D_k^2)^r G_{n,p}(z)$$

for $\|z\|^2 > a_k - a_0$.

7 Examples

We illustrate some results of this paper in the planar case $k = 1$. We choose $a_0 = -1$, $a_1 = 1$ and set $\alpha := \alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta := \alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2}$. If $p_0 = p_1 = 0$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$(t^2 - 1) \left( v'' + \frac{\alpha + 1}{t - 1} v' + \frac{\beta + 1}{t + 1} v' \right) + \lambda_0 v = 0.$$ (7.1)

This equation is satisfied by the Jacobi polynomial $v(t) = P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ when $\lambda_0 = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)$. Therefore, the Stieltjes polynomial $E_{n,0}$ is a multiple of $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$. In [21] the Stieltjes polynomials were normalized such that their leading coefficient equals 1. This gives

$$E_{n,0} = a_n P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)},$$

where

$$a_n = a_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} := \frac{n! 2^n}{(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)_n}.$$ 

There are similar formulas for the other three parity vectors $p$; compare [21 § 2].

A second solution of (7.1) with $\lambda_0 = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)$ is $Q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$; see [20 § 4.61]. Comparing the behavior at infinity, we see that

$$E_{n,0} = b_n Q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)},$$

where

$$b_n = b_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} := 2^{n-\alpha-\beta} \frac{\Gamma(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)}.$$ 

Again, similar formulas hold for the other three parity vectors $p$.

The planar sphero-conal coordinates $r, s_1$ as defined in [21 § 4] essentially agree with polar coordinates $x_0 = r \cos \phi$, $x_1 = r \sin \phi$. The connection is given by $\cos(2\phi) = s_1$. The internal sphero-conal $h$-harmonic $G_{n,0}$ is equal to $r^{2n} E_{n,0}(s_1)$ in sphero-conal coordinates. Therefore, in cartesian coordinates, we obtain

$$G_{n,0}(x_0, x_1) = a_n \left( x_0^2 + x_1^2 \right)^n P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \left( \frac{x_0^2 - x_1^2}{x_0^2 + x_1^2} \right).$$
The external spheroidal $h$-harmonic $G_{n,0}$ is given by
\[
G_{n,0}(x_0, x_1) = a_n \left( x_0^2 + x_1^2 \right)^{-n-\alpha-\beta-1} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \left( \frac{x_0^2 - x_1^2}{x_0^2 + x_1^2} \right).
\] (7.2)

The internal ellipsoidal $h$-harmonic $F_{n,0}$ takes the form $E_{n,0}(t_0)E_{n,0}(t_1)$ in ellipsoidal coordinates. We can express $t_0, t_1$ explicitly as functions of $x_0, x_1$ as follows
\[
t_0 = \frac{1}{2} (x_0^2 + x_1^2)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{4} (x_0^2 + x_1^2)^2 - x_0^2 + x_1^2 + 1 \right)^{1/2},
\] (7.3)
\[
t_1 = \frac{1}{2} (x_0^2 + x_1^2)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{4} (x_0^2 + x_1^2)^2 - x_0^2 + x_1^2 + 1 \right)^{1/2}.
\] (7.4)

It should be mentioned that analogous formulas do not exist when $k \geq 4$ and would be very complicated when $k = 2$ or $k = 3$. Now internal ellipsoidal $h$-harmonics can be written as
\[
F_{n,0}(x_0, x_1) = a_n^2 P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_0) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_1)
\]
with $t_0, t_1$ given in (7.3), (7.4), respectively. Moreover, external ellipsoidal $h$-harmonics assume the form
\[
\mathcal{F}_{n,0}(x_0, x_1) = a_n b_n Q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_0) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_1).
\] (7.5)

Formula (7.5) is meaningful for all $(x_0, x_1)$ which do not lie on the common focal line $-\sqrt{2} \leq x_0 \leq \sqrt{2}, x_1 = 0$ of the confocal ellipses determined by a constant value of the ellipsoidal coordinate $t_0$.

Formula (7.5) now expresses the function $F_{n,0}$ in terms of the function $G_{n,0}$ as follows.

**Theorem 7.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For every $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $x_0^2 + x_1^2 > 2$ we have
\[
b_n Q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_0) P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_1)
= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r}{2^{2r} r! (2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)^r} (D_1^2 - D_0^2)^r (x_0^2 + x_1^2)^{-n-\alpha-\beta-1} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \left( \frac{x_0^2 - x_1^2}{x_0^2 + x_1^2} \right),
\] (7.6)

where on the left-hand side $t_0, t_1$ are given by (7.3), (7.4), respectively.

Obviously, we could replace $D_1^2 - D_0^2$ by $2D_1^2$ on the right-hand side of (7.4). Formula (7.6) expands the left-hand side in a series of homogeneous functions whose degree decreases with $r$. The convergence will be especially good when $x_0^2 + x_1^2$ is large. The formula can be checked with symbolic computer algebra by replacing $x_j$ by $ux_j$ on the left-hand side and then expanding in an asymptotic series at $u = \infty$. Computer algebra confirmed the correctness of (7.6) for small values of $n$. The natural question arises whether this formula or the more general formula (6.5) can be proved more directly, that is, without using any integrals in the proof.
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