Effective repulsion in dense quark matter from non-perturbative gluon exchange
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A moderately strong vector repulsion between quarks in dense quark matter is needed to explain how a quark core can support neutron stars heavier than two solar masses. We study this repulsion, parametrized by a four-fermion interaction with coupling $g_V$, in terms of non-perturbative quark matter exchange in QCD in the Landau gauge. Matching the energy of quark matter, $g_V n_q^2$ (where $n_q$ is the number density of quarks) with the quark exchange energy calculated in QCD with a gluon propagator parametrized by a finite gluon mass $m_g$ and a frozen coupling $\alpha_s$, at moderate quark densities, we find that gluon masses $m_g$ in the range 200 - 600 MeV and $\alpha_s = 2 - 4$ lead to a $g_V$ consistent with neutron star phenomenology. Estimating the effects of quark masses and a color-flavor-locked (CFL) pairing gap, we find that $g_V$ can be well approximated by a flavor-symmetric, decreasing function of density. We briefly discuss similar matchings for the isovector repulsion and for the pairing attraction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quarks are active degrees of freedom in the deep interior of massive neutron stars. For a comprehensive review of quark matter and the QCD phase diagram, see [1, 2] and references therein. In Refs. [3–5], we constructed a family of quark-hadron equations of state in which matter is described at densities up to about twice nuclear saturation density, $n_0 \approx 0.16$ baryons per fm$^3$ by interacting nucleons, and at higher densities, $n_B \gtrsim 5-10 n_0$, by interacting quark matter with a highly constrained interpolation of the equation of state between the two regimes. This equation of state describes neutron star properties quite consistent with recent LIGO inferences from the binary neutron star merger, GW170817 [6]. Version QHC18 of this equation of state at zero temperature is reviewed in [1], and the latest version, QHC19, was recently made available [7, 8].

We describe quark matter in terms of a Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model with point interactions in the scalar, diquark, and vector-isoscalar channels, with a Lagrangian schematically of the form [10, 11]

$$L_{\text{int}} = G(q\bar{q})^2 + H(q\bar{q})(q\bar{q}) - g_V(q\bar{q}^\mu q)^2,$$

where the vector repulsion in the isoscalar channel [12] is needed for quark matter to support heavy neutron stars. The resultant energy density from the vector repulsion is $g_V n_q^2$, where $n_q = 3n_B$ is the quark number density.

While the scalar coupling $G$ and the ultraviolet cutoff $\Lambda_{\text{NJL}}$ of the NJL model can be directly related to physical observables such as the properties of pseudoscalar mesons, the vector repulsion at present is constrained only by comparing the equation of state of matter with observations of neutron stars. As we have found in our QHC19 equation of state, to support neutron stars of masses above two solar masses (including the recently measured neutron star mass, $2.17 \pm 0.1$ solar masses in the pulsar PSR J0740+6620 [9]) requires that $g_V$ be well in the range 0.6-1.3 $G_0$, and $H$ in the range 1.35-1.65 $G_0$ [7], where $G_0 = 1.835\Lambda_{\text{NJL}}^2$ with $\Lambda_{\text{NJL}} = 631.4$, is the scalar coupling in the vacuum obtained by a fitting of pion observables [10, 11]. Our aim in this paper is to explore further understanding the structure of Eq. (1) in terms of QCD, and the strength of the vector repulsion in particular. This in turn improves the consistency of the NJL description with perturbative QCD at densities $n_B \gtrsim 50-100 n_0$ [13, 14]. A simple Fierz transformation of the color-current – color-current interaction, $\sim (\bar{q}^a \Lambda^a q)^2$, leads to NJL couplings (1) with the ratios $g_V/G_0 = 1/2$ and $H_0/G_0 = 3/4$ (see Appendix A) [11] where the “0” continues to indicate vacuum values. But in the fully interacting system, these ratios need not hold; as in QC18 and QHC19 we focus on more general intermediate values of $g_V$ and $H$, studying here the density dependence of $g_V$ in particular.

Since $g_V$ has dimensions of mass$^{-2}$, at asymptotically large densities, where the only energy scale is the quark Fermi momentum $p_F$, $g_V$ should behave as $\sim \alpha_s/p_F^2$, where $\alpha_s$ is the QCD running coupling constant. On the other hand, in the highly non-perturbative vacuum at zero baryon density, the relevant scale is $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, and we expect $g_V \sim \alpha_s/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2$. Thus, the matter density dependence of $g_V$ can be ignored only when $p_F \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, provided that $\alpha_s$ also freezes out at low energy [15]. To smoothly connect $g_V$ at low density with that at high density, we adopt a model of massive gluons [16, 17] which includes non-perturbative generation of the gluon mass $m_g$ as well as the freezing of $\alpha_s$ in the Landau gauge at low energies. As we estimate, a gluon mass $m_g \sim 0.4$ GeV, and a moderately strong quark-gluon coupling $\alpha_s \approx 3$ at $5n_0$ (or similar values, shown in Fig. 3 below, with $\alpha_s/m_g^2$ roughly constant) can produce a strong enough $g_V \sim G_0$
to allow quark matter to support two-solar mass neutron stars.

At high density, where the matter tends to have equal population of up-, down-, and strange-quarks, flavor-singlet channels are much more important than non-singlet flavor channels. This allows us to focus on the flavor-singlet scalar and vector couplings as well as CFL-type diquark pairing [18], favored for equal flavor population. Flavor non-singlet interactions are nonetheless important at low densities (see Appendix B).

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the single gluon exchange energy calculation starting with free quark and gluon Green’s functions, at first using the two-loop running coupling constant in perturbative QCD. The Landau pole in the running coupling leads to a strongly divergent result at a density \( \lesssim 5n_0 \). To avoid such a divergence, we consider, in Sec. III, a range of \( \alpha_s \) and gluon masses, \( m_g \), as estimated non-perturbatively below the one GeV scale, and comment on the connection to the QHC19 neutron star equation of state, constrained by neutron star observations, to sub-GeV theories of \( \alpha_s \) and massive gluons. We also provide an approximate density-dependent parametrization of \( g_V \) connecting the low density and high density limits. Next in Sec. IV we estimate effects on \( g_V \) of a finite quark mass, \( M_q \), arising from chiral condensation in the quark sector, and in Sec. V effects of diquark pairing. As we show, a quark mass term tends to enhance \( g_V \), while diquark pairing decreases it; both effects are suppressed by a gluon mass, and as a result a flavor-independent \( g_V \) is a good approximation in the NJL model. We summarize our discussion in Sec. VI. In Appendix A, we show how the color current-current interactions can be rearranged via the Fierz transformation. In Appendix B, we consider effective vector-isovector couplings, possibly important at intermediate and low densities, and in Appendix C, we estimate the value of \( H \) from the N-\( \Delta \) mass splitting.

Throughout we work in natural units \( h = c = 1 \) with the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \), and focus on zero temperature with \( N_f = N_c = 3 \) and equal quark masses, unless stated otherwise. We use the notation \( \int_p = \int d^4p/(2\pi)^4 \).

II. WEAK COUPLING LIMIT

The quark-gluon interaction to leading order in \( \alpha_s \) leads to the energy-density shift of the quark matter

\[
E_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{i\pi\alpha_s}{2} \left( \int dx \frac{J_\mu(x)A_\nu^a(x)J_\rho^a(0)A_\rho^a(0)}{\delta x} \right), \quad (2)
\]

where the expectation value is in a Fermi gas, \( x = (t, \mathbf{x}) \), and \( t \) is integrated from 0 to \(-i/T \) (with \( T \) the temperature). The currents are \( J_\mu^a(x) \equiv \bar{q}(x)\gamma_\mu\lambda^a q(x) \), where the \( \lambda_\alpha \) are the color SU(3) Gell-Mann matrices normalized to \( \text{tr} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta} \).

In the weak coupling limit, neglecting diquark pairing, Eq. (2) becomes the Fock term in terms of the two-quark interaction

\[
E_{\text{QCD}} \approx \frac{\pi\alpha_s}{2} \int_{p,p'} \text{Tr} \left[ S(p)\lambda_\alpha \gamma^\mu S(p')\lambda_\beta \gamma^\nu \right] D^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu}(p-p'). \quad (3)
\]

Here the trace \( Tr \) runs over flavor, color, and Dirac indices, and the integrations over frequencies \( p_0 \) and \( p'_0 \) are understood as the fermion Matsubara frequency summations, \( \int dp_0 f(p_0) \to 2\pi\delta\sum_n f(i\omega_n) \), where \( \omega_n = 2\pi nT \), with \( n = \pm1/2, \pm3/2, \ldots \). The time-ordered quark Green’s function is

\[
S_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x-y) = -i(Tq_i^a(x)q_j^b(y)) \quad (4)
\]

and are denoted by \( S(p) \) in momentum space; here \( a, b \) are color indices and \( i, j \) flavor indices. The gluon Green’s function is

\[
D_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}(x-y) = -i(TA_\mu^\alpha(x)A_\nu^\beta(y)). \quad (5)
\]

With no medium modification of the gluons, \( D \) in the Landau gauge takes the form in the momentum space,

\[
D_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}(q) = -\delta^{\alpha\beta} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right) D(q). \quad (6)
\]

The full calculation of the energy leads to divergent Dirac sea contributions involving antiparticles. Only the \( g_{\mu\nu} \) term in \( D_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}(q) \) contributes to the particle-particle exchange (Fock) energy, and we keep only this term.

The traces in Eqs. (3) can be re-organized, via a Fierz transformation (see Appendix A), into traces over quark Green’s functions in the quark-antiquark channels. The NJL model contains two such channels: the scalar \( \bar{q}q \) – which is used to characterize the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking – and the vector-isoscalar \( \bar{q}q^\mu q \) channel. The energies corresponding to the scalar and vector channels, after the Fierz expansion of Eqs. (3), denoted by \( E_{\text{QCD}}^{\chi} \) and \( E_{\text{QCD}}^{\gamma_\mu} \), are

\[
E_{\text{QCD}}^{\chi} = -\frac{8\pi\alpha_s}{27} \int_{p,p'} \text{Tr} S(p)\text{Tr} S(p')D(p-p'), \quad (7)
\]

\[
E_{\text{QCD}}^{\gamma_\mu} = \frac{4\pi\alpha_s}{27} \int_{p,p'} \text{Tr}[S(p)\gamma^\mu]\text{Tr}[S(p')\gamma_\mu]D(p-p'). \quad (8)
\]

We first outline how these results are related to the effective \( G \) and \( g_V \) in the NJL model. Since the detailed relation depends on the gluon propagator, we first illustrate the results in the two limiting extremes, low and high density. Owing to the non-perturbative infrared cut-off of order \( \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \), the gluon propagator has a finite limit \( D(q \to 0) \) at low energy; thus at low densities we have

\[
E_{\text{QCD}}^{\gamma_\mu} = C_{s,\gamma}\alpha_s D(0) \left( \int_p \text{Tr}[S(p)\Gamma_{s,\gamma}] \right)^2, \quad (9)
\]

where \( C_s = -8\pi/27 = -2C_v \) and \( \Gamma_s = 1 \), and provided that \( \int_p \text{Tr}[S(p)\gamma_j] = 0 \), \( \Gamma_v = \gamma^0 \). In this form
one can readily identify the NJL couplings as $G = 2g_V = C_s\alpha_s D(0)$.

At higher densities we must keep the momentum dependence of the gluon propagators. For example, with massless free quark and gluon propagators,

$$ S_{ij}^{0,ab}(p) = \delta_{ab}\delta_{ij}\frac{\gamma_{\mu}p^\mu}{(p_0 + \mu)^2 - p^2}; \tag{10} $$

$$ D^0(p) = \frac{1}{p^2}, \tag{11} $$

where $\mu$ is the quark chemical potential, we find the perturbative result,\(^1\)

$$ E_{QCD}^V = 24\pi\alpha_s\left(\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f(|p| - \mu) \right)^2, \tag{12} $$

where $f(z) = [\exp(z/T) + 1]^{-1}$ is the Fermi distribution function; at zero temperature (12) reduces to

$$ E_{QCD}^V = \frac{3\alpha_s p_F^2}{2\pi^3}. \tag{13} $$

This result is identical to the exchange energy of a highly relativistic electron gas to within flavor and color factors.\(^2,3\)

The vector repulsion contributes an energy density in the NJL model \(^7\)

$$ E_{NJL}^V = g_V n_q^2, \tag{15} $$

which we identify with $E_{QCD}^V$ in the matching density region $\sim 5-20 n_0$ corresponding to $p_F \sim 0.4-0.6$ GeV, one finds

$$ g_V = \frac{\pi\alpha_s}{6p_F^2}. \tag{16} $$

The solid line in Fig. 1 shows $g_V$ obtained using (16) and the two-loop running coupling constant $\alpha_s(\mu_q)$:

$$ \alpha_s(\mu_q) = \frac{4\pi}{9\ln \tilde{\mu}^2} \left(1 - \frac{64\ln \ln \tilde{\mu}^2}{81\ln \tilde{\mu}^2}\right), \tag{17} $$

\(^1\) While the full trace in Eq. (3) contains contributions from both particles and antiparticles, we focus only on modifications due to non-zero particle densities here.

\(^2\) Equation (12) includes the interactions between quark number densities $q_\alpha q$, as well as those between spatial currents, $q_\gamma q$. These contributions are of order $s$.

\(^3\) In deriving $E_{QCD}^V$ in Eq. (12) from Eq. (9) with a momentum dependent gluon propagator, the correlation functions $\langle \bar{q}q\rangle$ are as important as $\langle \bar{q}q^0\rangle$; the former is not included in the NJL mean field description. Such deficiency in the NJL model can be compensated by absorbing the contribution from $\langle \bar{q}q\rangle$ into the density dependence of $g_V$ itself; in this way, we can directly compare the NJL $g_V$ with the current definition of $g_V$ in terms of QCD parameters.
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Figure 1. The dashed line indicates the single gluon exchange result for $g_V$ in perturbative QCD as a function of the quark matter Fermi momentum, $p_F$. The horizontal shaded region shows the range of $g_V$ in QHC19 \(^7\), while the vertical shaded region shows the baryon density $\sim 5-20n_0$. The solid line indicates the result for $\alpha_s$ frozen at 3.0 at low energies \(^15\). With $\tilde{\mu} \equiv \mu_q/\Lambda_{QCD}$ and $\Lambda_{QCD} = 340$ MeV \(^15\). The shaded horizontal band indicates the range of (constant) $g_V$ in QHC19 \(^7\). Although $g_V$ in Fig. 1 approaches the Landau pole in perturbative QCD as a function of the quark matter Fermi momentum, $p_F^2$. In contrast to the simple treatment in NJL of $g_V$ as constant in this regime. However, extending the pQCD calculation down to $\Lambda_{QCD}$ is not reliable. The solid line in Fig. 1 shows $g_V$ for $\alpha_s$ frozen at 3.0 at low energies \(^15\). Although the divergence from the Landau pole is removed in this case, $g_V$ still increases rapidly at low energy.

### III. NON-PERTURBATIVE $\alpha_s$ AND MASSIVE GLUONS BELOW ONE GeV

We now examine the consequences of the non-perturbative behavior of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$ and the gluon propagator below the 1 GeV scale. For reviews, see Refs. \(^15, 17\) and references therein. In various non-perturbative approaches for the gluon sector (lattice gauge theory, Schwinger-Dyson equations, and gauge/gravity duality) under gauge fixing, $\alpha_s$ is of order unity below one GeV (with freezing or decoupling behaviors in the deep infrared limit, $q \rightarrow 0$). Here we focus on gluons dynamically acquiring a mass, favored by the lattice results (and corresponding to the decoupling solution of the gluon Schwinger-Dyson equations in the Landau gauge),

$$ D(p) = \frac{1}{p^2 - m_g^2}. \tag{18} $$

Estimates of $m_g$ tend to lie in the range $\sim 500 \pm 200$ MeV \(^16, 17\).
Equation (18) regulates the divergent behavior of \( g_V \) as \( p_F \to 0 \) in Fig. 1 and leads to

\[
E_{\text{QCD}}^V(m_g) = E_{\text{QCD}}^V(0) + \delta E_{\text{QCD}}^V(m_g),
\]

(19)

where (as in derivation of Eq. (12), \( E_{\text{QCD}}^V(0) \) results from a cancellation between the massive gluon propagator with a part of quark matrix elements, while the remaining terms are proportional to \( m_g^2 \))

\[
\delta E_{\text{QCD}}^V(m_g) = -\frac{3\alpha_s m_g^2}{2\pi^3} \int_0^{p_F} \int_0^{p_F} dp dp' \ln\left(1 + \frac{4pp'}{m_g^2}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{3\alpha_s m_g^2}{8\pi^3} K(x),
\]

(20)

where \( z \equiv (2p_F/m_g)^2 \) and \( K(z) \equiv 2z - (1 + z) \ln(1 + z) + \text{Li}_2(-z) \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty (-z)\ell/\ell^2 \) the polylogarithm function with \( n = 2 \). Thus one finds,

\[
E_{\text{QCD}}^V(m_g) = \frac{3\alpha_s p_F^2}{2\pi^3} \left(1 + \frac{K(z)}{z^2}\right).
\]

(21)

Note that for positive \( z, 0 \leq 1 + K(z)/z^2 < 1 \), implying that the finite gluon mass softens the repulsion while keeping the total vector energy positive.

Matching Eq. (15) with Eqs. (16) and (21) one finds

\[
g_V(p_F; z \gg 1) \to \frac{\pi \alpha_s}{6p_F^2},
\]

\[
g_V(p_F; z \ll 1) \to \frac{4\pi \alpha_s}{27m_g^2}.
\]

(22)

Figure 2 shows \( g_V \) for different gluon masses \( m_g \) with a typical value of the frozen \( \alpha_s = 3.0 \) at low energies \( \lesssim 1 \) GeV \cite{15}. In the infrared \( g_V \) is regulated by the gluon mass, \( m_g \), so that there is no divergent behavior at \( p_F = 0 \).

Figure 3 gives contour plots of the resulting vector coefficient \( g_V \) for given different \( \alpha_s \) and gluon mass \( m_g \), at \( 5n_0 \) and \( 20n_0 \). For the resulting \( g_V/G_0 \) to be in the interval 0.6-1.3 at \( 5n_0 \) with \( m_g = 400 \) MeV, one needs a strong \( \alpha_s \sim 2-4 \), within the range of possible quark-gluon coupling strengths at low energies \cite{15}. Future theories of the quark-gluon vertex \( \alpha_s \) together with detailed forms of gluon correlation functions below one GeV will be of interest as they can be directly related to effective quark models constrained by neutron star observations.

In the density range \( \sim 5n_0 \) in a neutron star, where the quark Fermi momentum lies well below one GeV, it is reasonable to assume an approximately constant \( \alpha_s \) and \( m_g \). The two limiting results, Eq. (22), thus suggest an approximate density-dependent parametrization of \( g_V \) based on explicit single-gluon exchange

\[
g_V(p_F; m_g) \approx \frac{4\pi \alpha_s}{9m_g^2} + \frac{8p_F^2}{9m_g^2}.
\]

(23)

This parametrization is useful for including the density dependence of \( g_V \) in the quark-hadron crossover equations of state.

**IV. EFFECT OF FINITE QUARK MASS**

At high densities quark matter contains both a weak chiral condensate, \( \sim \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \) as well as a diquark condensate \( \sim \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \), as a consequence of the six-quark Kobayashi-Maskawa-'t Hooft (KMT) effective interaction \cite{19}. The quark effective mass, \( M_q \sim \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \), is dynamically generated by the chiral condensate; in the NJL model, \( M_q \) is the mean-field self-energy generated by the effective local four-quark interaction. At densities \( \gtrsim 5n_0 \), the chiral condensate enhanced by the KMT interaction could result in an effective mass \( M_q \sim 50-70 \) MeV for the light quarks, and \( \sim 250-300 \) MeV for the s quark \cite{1}. These masses are not small compared to the quark Fermi momentum at these densities, and must be taken into account in the exchange energy calculation.

Here we calculate the effects of \( M_q \) on \( g_V \) only by modifying the quark propagators in Eq. (9), and not further correcting the vertices. We recognize that this is not a self-consistent calculation; rather we aim here to get a
sense of the effects of a finite quark mass on the vector channel of the matrix element (2), which is connected to perturbative QCD at asymptotic density. We take the quark Green’s function to be

\[
S_{ij}^{ab}(p) = \delta_{ab} \delta_{ij} \frac{\gamma_\mu p^\mu + M_q}{(p_0 + \mu)^2 - p^2 - M_q^2},
\]

and assume the same effective mass \(M_q\) for all flavors. With this \(S\), we obtain after some algebra, with \(\epsilon_p = (|p|^2 + M_q^2)^{1/2}\),

\[
E_{QCD}^v = 24\pi \alpha_s \left[ \left( \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{f(\epsilon_p - \mu)}{\epsilon_p} \right)^2 - (2M_q^2 - m_g^2) \right] \int \frac{d^3p d^3p'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{1}{\epsilon_p \epsilon_{p'}} \frac{f(\epsilon_p - \mu_q) f(\epsilon_{p'} - \mu_q)}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{p'})^2 - |p - p'|^2 - m_g^2}.
\]

Figure 4. (Color online) Vector repulsion coefficient \(g_v\) for different values of \(M_q\) with \(m_g = 400\) MeV and \(\alpha_s = 3\).

The asymptotic forms of Eq. (25) for \(p_F \gg M_q\) and \(m_g\), and for \(p_F \ll M_q\) and \(m_g\) can be readily found, with the result that \(g_v(p_F; m_g, M_q)\) agrees in these limits with Eq. (22). In particular, \(g_v\) is independent of \(M_q\) at \(p_F = 0\) as long as \(m_g\) is finite. The combined effects of \(M_q\) and \(m_g\) are shown in Fig. 4, which compares \(g_v\) at several different values of \(M_q\) and \(m_g\) = 400 MeV. We find that the effect of \(M_q\) on \(g_v\) is almost negligible.

Thus the assumption that \(g_v\) is flavor independent is reasonable, despite flavor symmetry being significantly broken by the strange quark mass; the parametrization (23) is approximately useful independent of flavor.

V. EFFECT OF THE DIQUARK PAIRING

We next consider the effects on \(E_{QCD}^v\) of scalar color-flavor-locked pairing among quarks through modification of the normal quark Green’s function \(S\) in Eq. (9).

\[\text{In the CFL phase it is convenient to expand the quark field and assume the same effective mass } m_g \text{ for all flavors. With this } S, \text{ we obtain after some algebra, with } \epsilon_p = (|p|^2 + M_q^2)^{1/2},\]

\[
E_{QCD}^v = \frac{4\pi \alpha_s}{27} \sum_{A,B} \int_{pp'} \text{tr}[S_A(p) \gamma^\mu] \text{tr}[S_B(p') \gamma^\mu] \frac{1}{(p - p')^2 - m_g^2};
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha_s}{54\pi^3} \sum_{A,B} \int_0^\infty dp dp' v_{Ap}^2 v_{Bp'}^2 \left[ 4pp' - J_{AB}(p, p', m_g) \ln \left| 1 + \frac{4pp'}{J_{AB}(p, p', m_g)} \right| \right],
\]

with \(v_{Ap}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - (\epsilon_p - \mu)^2 / E_p^A \right), E_p^A = \left[ (\epsilon_p - \mu)^2 + \Delta_A^2 \right]^{1/2}\), and \(J_{AB}(p, p', m_g) = m_g^2 + (p - p')^2 - (E_p^A - E_p'^B)^2\).

\[4 \text{ The anomalous Green’s function, } F_{ij}^{ab}(x - y) = -i(Tq^a(x)q^{Tc})^b(y), \text{ leads as well to the familiar energy}\]
Generalization to the case with finite quark mass $M_q$ is straightforward. Note that the total quark density is given by

$$n_q = 2 \sum_A \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_A p^a.$$  

The integral in Eq. (28) converges only with a momentum dependent gap. Following the numerical study in Ref. [20, 21], we approximate the spatial momentum dependence of $\Delta$ by

$$\Delta(p) = \frac{\Delta(\mu)}{1 + b(p - \mu)^2/\mu^2} \xi,$$  

the constant $b > 0$ parametrizes how fast $\Delta(p)$ falls off away from the Fermi surface, and the exponent $\xi > 0$ parametrizes the behavior of $\Delta(p)$ at high momenta (see Fig. 5). In the weak coupling limit, $\xi = 1 + O(\alpha_s)$ and $\Delta \sim \mu g^{-5} e^{-3\pi^2/\sqrt{2}g}$ [23, 24]. Here we simply vary the gap in the range, $\Delta(\mu) = 100$-300 MeV, consistent with the QHC19 equation of state.

As we see, a gap decreases $g_V$ at all densities, and the dependence of the gap is significant for massless gluons. For gluon masses $m_g \sim 400$ MeV, however, even a large variation of $\Delta$ from 0 to 300 MeV does not change the qualitative behavior of $g_V$. In comparison with the effects of $M_q$, a large gap $\Delta(\mu) = 200$ MeV (as in QHC19) still has a sizable impact: at $5n_0$, a 200 MeV CFL gap reduces $g_V$ from $\sim 0.9 G_0$ to $\sim 0.55 G_0$, even with $m_g = 400$ MeV.

The gluon propagator is also modified in a dense quark medium by Landau damping [22–24], and the Debye screening mass in the longitudinal sector, and in the presence of diquark pairing by Meissner masses in the transverse sector [25, 26], of order $\sqrt{\alpha_s} \mu$. The interplay of these modifications of the gluon propagator in the quark matter in neutron stars, and their effects on neutron star properties is an open question worthy of future research.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

We have computed the vector repulsion coefficient $g_V$ from the explicit gluon exchange energy in quark matter, modifying the quark and gluon Green’s functions to account for a non-perturbative gluon mass $m_g$, chiral condensate and diquark pairing, and included as well a possible infrared-finite $\alpha_s$. In the density range $\sim 5-20n_0$ with reasonable parameters for $\alpha_s$, gluon mass, quark mass and pairing gap, we can begin to understand the origin of a $g_V$ of order $\sim 0.6$-$1.3G$. The parameters we have chosen, despite their uncertainties, lie within estimates from a variety of models and theoretical frameworks of sub-GeV QCD. Among the non-perturbative effects we have considered, the resulting $g_V$ is most sensitive to $\alpha_s$ and $m_g$, while $M_q$ and $\Delta$ induce only relatively small changes owing to suppression by a gluon mass. Thus, the parametrization (23) should be a good approximate description of the density dependence of $g_V$, to be included in the equation of state for neutron star matter with a strongly interacting quark phase.

Many open questions remain. The vector repulsion between quarks at densities $\gtrsim 5n_0$ may also come from non-perturbative QCD beyond the single gluon-exchange contribution treated in this paper; such uncertainty is not under control at present. As $\alpha_s$ could range anywhere from 0 to 10 (or even be divergent at low momentum scales), the assumption that the vector repulsion is dominated by a single gluon exchange with a fixed $\alpha_s$ and $m_g$ is overly simplified. Our treatment can be improved and extended in several directions. The first would be inclusion of more realistic quark and gluon propagators, including possible momentum dependence of masses and differences between transverse and longitudinal gluons. The second would be to include the non-perturbative running of $\alpha_s$. Including the density dependence of $g_V$, as
in the parametrization (23), can have a significant effect on model studies of quark matter. In particular, corrections to the contributions from the light and heavy quarks could shift the phase boundaries and modify the equation of state. Including the density dependence of the diquark coupling, $H$, would have similar effect.

We note that relating the effective QCD vector couplings $g_V$ and $g^{\alpha}_5$ (Appendix B) in the NJL model of dense matter (an effective field theory for quarks) to nucleon-meson models (effective field theories for hadrons) would provide a further probe of quark-hadron continuity [19, 27]. If the transition from nuclear to quark matter is essentially smooth, one expects the vector repulsion from hadronic to quark matter to be similarly smooth, since in the quark-hadron continuity picture, the spectrum of light gluonic excitations is tightly connected to that of hadronic vector mesons [28], while quarks are mapped to the baryons in nuclear matter. Low energy quark-gluon matter treated in this way becomes an extension of the baryon-meson picture of nuclear matter, plausibly enabling a relatively smooth crossover and in turn mapping $g_V$ and $g^{\alpha}_5$ from the hadronic to quark phases.  
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### Appendix A: Fierz transformation

The Fierz transformation is a re-arrangement of fermion operator products in the Dirac, flavor and color space using index-exchanging properties of the gamma and $SU(N)$ generator matrices. In the quark-antiquark channel, re-arrangement of the Dirac indices read

\[(\gamma^\mu)_{mn}(\gamma_\mu)_{m'n'} = 1_{nn'}1_{n'nn} + (i\gamma_5)_{mn'}(i\gamma_5)_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu)_{mn'}(\gamma_\mu)_{m'n} - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu_5)_{mn'}(\gamma^{\mu_5}_5)_{m'n'}, \quad (A1)\]

and those of the the flavor and color indices $(N_f = N_c = 3)$ read

\[1_{ij}1_{kl} = \frac{1}{3}1_{il}1_{kj} + \frac{1}{2}(\tau_\alpha)_{il}(\tau_\alpha)_{kj}, \quad \chi_{\alpha}^{ab}\chi_{a'b'}^{c'} = \frac{16}{9}1_{ab}1_{a'b} - \frac{1}{3}\chi_{\alpha}^{ab}\chi_{a'b}. \quad (A2)\]

In the quark-quark channel,

\[(\gamma^\mu)_{mn}(\gamma_\mu)_{m'n'} = (i\gamma_5^\mu)_{mm'}(i\gamma_5^\mu)_{nn'} + C_{mm'}C_{nn'} - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu_5^\mu)_{mn'}(\gamma^{\mu_5}_5^\mu)_{n'm'} - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu_5^\mu)_{mn'}(\gamma^{\mu_5}_5^\mu)_{n'm'}, \quad (A3)\]

and

\[1_{ij}1_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_S)_{ij}(\tau_S)_{l}j + \frac{1}{2}(\tau_A)_{ij}(\tau_A)_{lj}, \quad \chi_{\alpha}^{ab}\chi_{\alpha}^{cd} = 2\chi_{\alpha}^{ac}\chi_{\alpha}^{bd} - \frac{3}{4}\chi_{\alpha}^{ad}\chi_{\alpha}^{bd}. \quad (A4)\]

where $S$ and $A$ stand for symmetric and antisymmetric indices, and the $\tau_{\alpha} = 1, \ldots , 8$ are the Gell-Mann flavor matrices. Using these relations, one can transform a single trace into products of two traces, as done in e.g. Eq. (9):

\[\text{Tr}[S(p)\Gamma^f S(p')\Gamma^f] = \sum_M g_M \text{Tr}[S(p)\Gamma^M]\text{Tr}[S(p')\Gamma^M], \quad (A5)\]

where $\Gamma^f$ are Dirac, flavor and color matrices.

### Appendix B: The vector-isovector interaction

The discussion in the main body of the text focusses on the flavor symmetric case, where in the absence of pairing the vector component of single gluon exchange contributes only to the isoscalar channel. (In the CFL phase, one finds non-vanishing contributions in the flavor-color vector channel $(\bar{q}\gamma^\mu \tau_\alpha A q)^2$ as well.) For realistic constituent quark masses, however, the vector-isovector channel (denoted by $\tau$), corresponding to the interaction $(\bar{q}\gamma^\mu \tau_\alpha q)^2$, also contributes to the single gluon exchange energy,

\[E^{V,\tau}_{\text{QCD}} = \frac{2\pi a_s}{9} \int_{p, p'} \text{Tr}[S(p)\gamma^\mu \tau_\alpha]\text{Tr}[S(p')\gamma^\mu \tau_\alpha]D(p - p'). \quad (B1)\]
In particular, the $\alpha = 3$ and 8 terms yield the exchange energy at low density of the form,

$$g^{(3)}_r (n_u - n_d)^2 + g^{(8)}_r (n_u + n_d - 2n_s)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(B2)}

This vector-isovector energy is analogous to the neutron-proton symmetry energy in nuclear matter. For single values of $\alpha$, this is an interesting future problem to estimate the in-medium energy for significant differences in flavor densities. It is an interesting future problem to estimate the in-medium values of $g^{(3,8)}_r$ as well as $g_r$ by matching with, e.g., the chiral nucleon-meson model [31].

**Appendix C: Estimating $H$ from the $N - \Delta$ mass splitting**

Another important ingredient in the QHC19 equation of state is the parameter $H$ that quantifies the strength of attractive diquark correlations. At high density diquark correlations are the driving force of color superconductivity, while at low density the correlations appear in the context of hadron mass splittings, e.g., the $N - \Delta$ splitting, $m_\Delta - m_N \approx 293$ MeV. The density $n_B \sim 5n_0 \approx 0.8 \text{fm}^{-3}$ is roughly that inside of baryons, and so suggests the possibility of inferring the value of $H$ at $n_B \sim 5n_0$ from the $N - \Delta$ splitting.

This splitting has been derived by Ishii et al. [32], by solving the Faddeev equations of three-quark systems within the NJL model. They included effective four-quark interactions in the isoscalar scalar and isovector axial-vector diquark channels, which in our notation are:

$$L_S = H \sum_{A=2,5,7} (\bar{\psi} i\gamma_5 \tau_2 \lambda_A \psi C) (\bar{\psi} C i\gamma_5 \tau_2 \lambda_A \psi),$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(C1)}

$$L_A = H' \sum_{A=2,5,7} (\bar{\psi} i\gamma_5 \tau_2 \lambda_A \psi C) (\bar{\psi} C \gamma^\mu \tau_2 \lambda_A \psi).$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(C2)}

Reference [32] finds the approximate formulae

$$M_N \approx 1.70 - 0.21r_H' - 0.33r_H \quad [\text{GeV}],$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(C3)}

$$M_\Delta \approx 1.52 - 0.22r_H' \quad [\text{GeV}].$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(C4)}

where $r_H = H/G_0$ and $r_H' = H'/G_0$. The absolute values of these masses are not quite trustworthy as they are sensitive to the physics beyond the NJL model, e.g., confinement. In the mass splitting such uncertainties are largely cancelled and the physics of short-range correlations become dominant. Using the empirical $M_\Delta - M_N$ we find

$$-0.01r_H' + 0.33r_H \approx 0.47 \quad [\text{GeV}].$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(C5)}

Provided $r_H' \geq 0$ as expected from typical models, we arrive at

$$H/G_0 \gtrsim 1.4,$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(C6)}

consistent with the range in QHC19, $H/G_0 = 1.35 \text{-} 1.65$. More comprehensive studies will be given elsewhere [33].

---
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