Individualized Running Wheel System with a Dynamically Adjustable Exercise Area and Speed for Rats Following Ischemic Stroke

Background: An innovative animal running wheel with an individualized design was implemented for the rehabilitation of rats following ischemic stroke.

Material/Methods: The design of the running wheel platform included the running wheel and a side plate for exercise area adjustments. A U-curve with a width of 2 cm was drawn on the lower half of the side plate for the dynamic adjustments of five infrared (IR) sensors based on the physical fitness of the rats. The individualized training process for this running wheel consisted of 2 days of free training to record their average and maximum speeds, 3 days of progressive training to determine their exercise areas, and 2 weeks of normal training based on their average speeds, maximum speeds, and exercise areas. Blood samples were obtained from the tail veins of all rats before the operations and on Days 14, 21, and 28 postsurgery to measure cortisol levels. The motor function tests were performed on Days 7 and 28 postsurgery. On Day 28 postsurgery, the rats were sacrificed under anesthesia, and their brains were removed for Nissl and H&E staining.

Results: On Day 28 after surgery, the motor function, lesion volume, and cell damage of the DEARW and control groups differed significantly, indicating that this device is effective for stroke rehabilitation.

Conclusions: The outcomes of the rats that were rehabilitated using the newly designed training system were better than those of their control-group counterparts, indicating the advantages of this designed system.
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Background

Ischemic stroke has been of concern to the public for many years, and its related physical and mental impairments deteriorate patients’ quality of life [1,2]. Hence, exercise rehabilitation mechanisms are required for patients who have experienced stroke to recover their motor functions. The effectiveness of exercise rehabilitation for the treatment of ischemic stroke has been validated [3–5] and has been confirmed using an animal stroke model, which is a prerequisite for human use [5–7]. However, well-designed exercise rehabilitation mechanisms remain unavailable for basic and clinical research, because the training strength cannot be individualized for a given subject when using commercial animal-training platforms. Accordingly, the common problems of undertraining and overtraining cannot be resolved together [8].

Running wheels and treadmills comprise the majority of currently available animal-training platforms, and all have inherent disadvantages. Running wheels can be categorized into voluntary and motorized types. In voluntary running wheels, experimental results are susceptible to the high intersubject variability among rats, meaning that subjects must be screened in advance [9,10]. In motorized running wheels (MRWs), rats are forced to run. As reported in a previous study [11], rats can be reluctant to exercise, and thus hold on to the rail of a commercial MRW. When using treadmills, rats are electrically stimulated at the end [12], resulting in considerable stress and reducing the value of experimental results. Some studies have shown that a treadmill and a forced running wheel promoted recovery from stroke at low [13,14] and moderate [15] training strength, respectively. However, a treadmill inevitably imposes a high stress level on rats due to the electrical stimulus. Therefore, an individualized training platform should be designed, where rats can be trained with low mental stress for experiments in physiology and related fields.

An innovative running-wheel-based training mechanism was previously developed by our team [16,17]. Four infrared (IR) transmitter-receiver pairs were employed to monitor the position of a rat and thus quantify the effective training level. Crucially, this platform was experimentally validated to outperform its commercial counterparts with respect to stroke prevention. It was equipped with an acceleration and deceleration mechanism to improve the rehabilitation of rats who have had a stroke [18]. However, the sensors on this platform were stationary. Rats with poor physical fitness were observed to be afraid to run in a 45° position [18]. Therefore, the amount of exercise they received during training was reduced [18]. Hence, by establishing individualized exercise areas for each rat, specifically the area in which the rat is in constant exercise, their exercise performance can be improved.

The establishment of individualized exercise areas is critical to the efficient operation of the running wheel. In the present study, an innovative running-wheel platform named the dynamic exercise areas running wheel (DEARW) was designed with dynamically adjustable exercise areas for rats with different levels of physical fitness. Using such a platform, rats with strokes can receive frequent rehabilitation sessions at full capacity and remain injury-free while using the well-developed individualized exercise areas. On the basis of this feature, the proposed wheel-based rehabilitation mechanism has clear advantages over its commercial alternatives. The aim of this study was to assess the value of the system for clinical practice by evaluating the changes in neurological severity scores, sensory motor tests, and brain neuron pathological findings in rats with ischemic stroke by using the various training platforms over scheduled 3-week programs.

Material and Methods

In the present study, we developed a running wheel rehabilitation training platform with dynamic adjustments in training intensity for the rehabilitation of animals following ischemic stroke. A training system was developed according to the individual physical fitness of rats by making dynamic adjustments to the operation speed based on sensor data regarding the rats’ ranges of motion. Numerous studies have proven that treatment effects are limited when animals are rehabilitated under intense pressure [14,19]. In this study, rats received training based on their individual physical fitness levels. The developed exercise rehabilitation system differed from conventional alternatives and should provide more effective rehabilitation for animals following ischemic stroke.

We developed a training platform with training methods and mechanisms that differ from those used in conventional animal-training platforms (Figure 1). The platform comprised the following six parts: (1) the running wheel platform, (2) adjustable IR sensors, (3) a microcontroller unit (MCU), (4) a motor, (5) motor drivers, and (6) a personal computer. A latch was attached in the motor to enable the selection of the voluntary and forced training modes. The operation of the system is described as follows. The physical fitness of each rat was observed under the voluntary training mode as a reference for the subsequent forced training. During forced training, the sensing range of the IR sensors was adjusted in accordance with the physical fitness status of the rat. IR sensors monitored their exercising position and passed the data to the MCU, which controlled the motor speed and the operation of the running-wheel platform. Throughout this process, the rat’s position was simultaneously passed to the MCU to make individual speed adjustments. Using this system, the rat was unlikely to be dragged to the upper part of the wheel.
and fall because its range of motion was recorded according to its tested level of physical fitness.

The rehabilitation training system consisted of five steps; namely, mechanism design, hardware circuit design, speed controller design, validation experiments, and experiments with rats following stroke. The running-wheel platform was developed during the first three steps. Validation experiments were conducted at the fourth stage to assess the basic functions, such as the sensitivity of the position sensing. Finally, rats that had experienced stroke were rehabilitated using the platform.

**Mechanism design of the running-wheel platform**

The running-wheel platform comprised two parts, namely the main body (Figure 2A) and the bracing frame of the side plate for exercise area adjustments (Figure 2B). The main body of the running wheel consisted of two pieces of transparent, circular acrylic (diameter=50 cm). For each acrylic piece, four quarter sectors were removed to form frames for the training wheel. These two pieces were connected by iron rods with an interval of 2 cm. Additionally, the centers of the two pieces were also connected using an iron rod with a 1.5 cm diameter, which could be passed through the running wheel from the side of the bracing frame to form a pluggable design (Figure 2C). The bracing frame comprised two iron plates, the lower halves of which were designed in accordance with the acrylic main body. A U-curve was drawn on the bracing frame for the deployment and adjustment of the sensors. The U-curves on the two iron plates were matched, with IR transmitters and receivers placed opposite to each other. The complete running wheel mechanism is depicted in Figure 2D.
Hardware circuit

As shown in Figure 3, the hardware circuit controlled the exercise area and speed by using the MCU, motor, and five IR sensors. An EFM8LB1 (Silicon Labs) was the main control processor. In it, the embedded digital-to-analog converter (DAC) was used to generate analog voltage signals to control the motor speed. A CDBLS-4250-24V brushless direct current motor and a CDXBL-32-24 motor driver (Chyen Den Enterprise CO., LTD) were used to drive the running-wheel platform. The motor driver was set to run in a counterclockwise direction so that the running wheel would spin clockwise. Five IR sensors were installed on the side of the running wheel to sense the rat’s position, and the sensing signals were passed to the general-purpose input/output (IO) pin of the MCU to provide it with the rat’s actual position.

Figure 2. Design of the running wheel platform: (A) The main body of the running wheel comprised two circular, transparent acrylic sheets, iron rods forming the running track, and a center supporting rod. (B) The side plates of the bracing frame comprised two iron sheets and a U-shaped sensing curve. (C) Insertion process of running wheel and side plates. (D) Complete running wheel mechanism.

Figure 3. Block diagram of the hardware circuit.
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The software program was equipped with three timer inter-
rupt services. Timer 0 recorded at 1-s intervals and calculat-
ed the training time by deducting 1 at each step (Figure 6A).
Timer 1 recorded at 0.1-s intervals. It accessed and recorded
all IO port and IR signals, cleared the data in the IO port for
successive accurate judgments, and transmitted the position
data to the computer (Figure 6B). Timer 2 recorded at 1-sec-
ond intervals and activated the motor according to the con-
stant acceleration obtained by dividing the maximum speed
by the training time (Figure 6C).

Verification of system functions

The primary function of the system is to detect rat positions,
as the position-sensing accuracy affects the overall opera-
tion mechanism. Hence, the manual and automatic position-
detection functions were verified. In the manual mode, black,
opaque pieces of cardboard were applied as masks at each
sensing point to assess whether the sensing signals at each
point were accurately transmitted to the MCU. In the automat-
ic mode, pieces of cardboard with black marks were glued to
the running wheel to mask the signals when the wheel was
rotating. The position-sensing accuracy of each point was test-
ed at speeds of 0.1–30 m/min. The results revealed a position
sensing accuracy of 100%. Therefore, the implemented infra-
red-sensing function met the system requirements.

Experimental model of stroke in rats

The aim of this study was to rehabilitate rats following stroke
by using the training platform. Therefore, rats were subjected
to middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO) surgery to induce
stroke, and the 3-week rehabilitation training program was ini-
tiated after 1 week of rest. The process is described as follows.

1) MCAO:

Reversible MCAO without craniotomy, as described previ-
ously [20], was employed to block the blood flow into the
middle cerebral artery (MCA) in rats anesthetized with a mix-
ture of Zoletil (40 mg/kg; Virbac, Nice, France), xylazine hydro-
chloride (2 mg/kg; Balzane, Health-Tech Pharmaceutical Co,
Taipei, Taiwan), and atropine sulfate (1 mg/kg; Tai Yu Chemical & Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Hsinchu, Taiwan) through intraperitoneal injection. Anterior neck incision at the right paradian line was performed to expose the right common carotid artery (CCA), the external carotid artery, and the internal carotid artery (ICA). A 4-0 monofilament nylon suture (Doccol Corp., Redlands, CA, USA) was advanced from the right CCA bifurcation into the ICA until it blocked the origin of the right MCA (18 mm from the bifurcation of the CCA). After 60 min of transient MCAO, the constricted suture over the CCA was loosened and the filament was removed to allow reperfusion of the brain. In each rat, the surgical incision was closed, and each rat was placed in a warm cage and allowed to awaken.

Buprenorphine (0.05 mg/kg, q12-hour subcutaneous dosing

Figure 5. Control-flow diagrams for the (A) free training mode, (B) progressive training mode, and (C) normal training mode.

Figure 6. Control-flow diagram for the timer-interrupt services of the running wheel training software. (A) The training time operation routine for Timer 0, (B) the position-sensing operation routine for Timer 1, and (C) the constant acceleration training operation routine for Timer 2.
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### ANIMAL STUDY

#### Figure 7. Experimental process for verifying the efficacy of ischemic stroke rehabilitation.
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**for 3 days; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used for postoperative analgesia. The overall survival rate at 1 month was approximately 75%. During the first week after surgery, rats were fed wet mashed food from the floor of their cage. Rats were monitored daily to ensure survival and stable body weight. Rats receiving the sham operation were anesthetized using the same reagents; a midline incision was made in the neck and the right CCA was isolated. The surgical incision was then closed, and the rats were allowed to recover from anesthesia. Rats were euthanized 28 days after MCAO.**

#### 2) Animals and grouping

The laboratory animals were male Sprague-Dawley rats with a weight of 250–350 g (BioLASCO Taiwan Co., Ltd.). The rats were housed in an air-conditioned animal facility at 26±0.5°C under a 12-hour light–dark cycle and given unlimited access to water and food. All experiments were conducted during the daytime with the lights on. The experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Chi Mei Medical Center, Taiwan (IACUC approved no. 104-10-A).

The rats were randomly assigned into five groups (i.e., sham, control, treadmill, MRW, and DEARW) and subjected to MCAO surgery accordingly. Each group included eight animals. After 1 week, the rats in the rehabilitation groups (i.e., the treadmill, MRW, and DEARW groups) began a 3-week rehabilitation training program. Rats in the control group did not receive rehabilitation training. Each week, Modified Neurological Severity Scores (mNSSs) [21] were calculated and forelimb foot fault [22] and rotarod tests [23] were employed to evaluate the recovery of motor functions. After 4 weeks, the rats were sacrificed. Their brain lesion volumes [24] and levels of cell damage [25] were assessed using Nissl and hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining, respectively.

#### 3) Rehabilitation training:

In the present study, rats that had experienced stroke received effective rehabilitation training using the running wheel system. The training process was divided into adaptation training (i.e., free and progressive training modes) and formal training (i.e., the normal training mode; Figure 7). The behaviors of all rats were analyzed prior to MCAO surgery. Free training was conducted for 2 days after 1 week of rest following the MCAO surgery, and their average speeds were recorded along with their standard deviations (SDs). During the following 3 days, the rats received progressive training for 30 min daily using the maximum speeds recorded during free training. The exercise area of the rats was recorded during this process. In addition, the average speed and exercise area recorded during the free and progressive training stages, respectively, were adopted as a reference for the formal training in the subsequent 2 weeks. The speeds at each position for formal training are explained as follows. Rats were trained at their average speed in the 90° position; their average speed+their SD at the first position to the right of 90°; their average speed - their SD at the first position to the left of 90°; and 0 m/min at the second position to the left of 90° (for protection). The purpose of these settings was to enable the rats to engage in continual movement without interruption within the exercise area. The rats received formal training for 30 min daily, five times per week. For rehabilitation training in the treadmill (with electrical stimulation; Exer-3/6M Treadmill, Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH, USA) and MRW (without speed protection function) groups, the rats were trained at 10 m/min for 30 min daily for five consecutive days during the first week and 20 m/min for 30 min daily during the second and third weeks [13,26].

#### 4) Assessment of ischemic stroke rehabilitation:

**Neurological function evaluation (mNSS)**

The mNSS, which included several subtests measuring motor function (muscle status and abnormal movement), sensory function (visual, tactile, and proprioceptive), reflexes, and balance, was used to quickly evaluate the neurological functions of the rats. Neurological function scoring was subjective, and scores were summed from different subtests, which were

Additional information on the experimental process and results can be found in the full text of the article.
graded on a scale of 0–18, where 1–6, 7–12, and 13–18 indicated mild, moderate, and severe injury, respectively. One point was awarded for the inability to perform the tasks or the absence of a tested reflex [27,28]. The pinna and startle reflexes tested in the mNSS were unlikely to be related to damage within the MCA territory and may have masked specific deficits. Because spontaneous recovery or compensation was evident, mNSS was appropriate for assessing multiple deficits in rats over periods of 30–60 days after ischemic stroke [29].

**Forelimb foot fault placing test**

The forelimb foot fault placing test is used to assess limb use and place deficits during locomotion [22]. Briefly, rats were placed on an elevated steel grid (120×40 cm 2 with 4-cm 2 grid openings) for 2 min. The rats placed their paws on the frame while walking, and a foot fault occurred when the foot passed through a grid opening. The total number of steps taken by each hindlimb was counted (i.e., placement on the grid). As the animal moved forward, foot fault errors were recorded (i.e., forelimb misplacements off the grid). The foot fault index was calculated as the percentage of foot fault errors for the impaired forelimbs relative to the total number of correct hindlimb steps.

**Rotarod test**

A rotating rod apparatus (Rota-rod, PanLab Harvard Apparatus, MA, USA) was used to assess the motor coordination and balance of the rats. Before testing, rats were placed on the rod (diameter: 6 cm), which was rotating at 4 rpm for 1 min; thus the rats had to walk forward to maintain balance. The procedure was repeated for a total of three trials separated by 10 min intervals. For testing, the apparatus was set to accelerate from 4 to 40 rpm over a period of 5 min, and the rat was placed on the rod at the initial speed of 4 rpm. Trials began when acceleration was initiated and ended when the rat fell off the rod. At the end of the third trial, the rats were weighed. The latency to fall and the speed at which the fall occurred were collected for analysis.

**Serum cortisol levels**

Blood samples were obtained from the tail veins of the animals in the sham, control, treadmill, MRW, and DEARW groups before surgery and on Days 14, 21, and 28 after surgery to measure cortisol levels. The blood sample collection tubes were centrifuged in a refrigerated centrifuge at 3,000×g for 10 min to separate the serum. The serum was collected and stored at −80°C until further analysis. The serum concentrations of cortisol were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using a commercial kit (Kit Cat. # ADI-900-071, Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The samples were assayed in triplicate, and the values were expressed as mean±SD.

**Nissl staining**

At 28 days after surgery, after the final blood sample was obtained, rats were euthanized using sodium pentobarbital (120 mg/kg, i.p.; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and intracardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (Sigma-Aldrich). Following perfusion, the rats’ brains were removed, immersed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, and then embedded in paraffin. Ten 10-µm-thick coronal sections were taken at 100-µm intervals between the injured cortex and striatum. Five coronal sections were incubated in a solution of 0.1% cresyl violet (Sigma-Aldrich) to measure the lesion volume in the ipsilateral hemisphere. Another five coronal sections were incubated with H&E reagents for cell morphological evaluation (see the H&E staining section for details). The slides were observed under a light upright microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) by a pathologist blinded to the study design. A digital camera linked to a computer running Axioscope version 4 (Carl Zeiss) was used to capture images. The lesion volume was calculated as previously described [24] using the Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software package (Media Cybernetics Inc., Bethesda, MD, USA), and the relative lesion volume was expressed as a percentage of the contralateral hemisphere volume based on the following equation:

\[
\frac{[(\text{Contralateral hemisphere area} - \text{ipsilateral nonlesion area}) - \text{thickness of the interval between sections} \times 100]}{\text{Contralateral hemisphere area}} \times 100\%.
\]

**Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining**

We evaluated brain tissue damage by following the method detailed previously [25]. The tissues were embedded in paraffin blocks, sliced, placed on glass slides, and stained with H&E reagents (Sigma-Aldrich, MA, USA). We performed quantitative histological analysis after H&E staining to evaluate the morphological changes of neural cells and the severity of brain damage following MCAO. Brain sections were semiquantitatively scored [30] from 0 to 4 (0=no detectable lesion, 1=lesions involving <25% of the field, 2=lesions involving 25–50% of the field, 3=lesions involving 50–75% of the field, and 4=lesions involving >75% of the field). Morphological changes in each brain region (cortex and striatum) were evaluated as follows [25]: 0=normal morphology, 1=minor damage (e.g., edema and small number of pyknotic cells), 2=moderate damage (e.g., structural disorganization, edema, intense pyknotic cells, vacuolization, and inflammatory cell infiltration), and 3=intense damage (e.g., structural disorganization, edema, intense pyknotic cells, vacuolization, and inflammatory cell infiltration). Brain damage was scored and evaluated, and the scores were...
multiplied to obtain the final scores, which ranged from 0 to 12 for each animal. Representative views were photographed.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7.01 (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, USA). Results are expressed as mean±SD. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc test was used to analyze the infarction volume, damage score, and Nissl staining data. We performed a two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test to analyze behavioral data. If there was a significant difference, a Student’s t-test was used to compare variables for two groups. Results with p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Motor function test**

Exercise rehabilitation performance was compared between the groups using mNSSs, the forelimb foot fault test, and the rotarod test (Table 1). The day following MCAO surgery was assigned as Day 0, and the behavior tests were conducted 1 day before surgery and once weekly after surgery (i.e., Days −1, 7, 14, 21, and 28) for comparison (Table 1). The results for the rats in each group were averaged to obtain the group performance. Data were expressed as the mean±SD of independent experiments. The results of the sham group were the highest in Table 1, and they were clearly distinguished from those of the control group, which confirmed the negative effect of MCAO on the motor function of rats. Among the control and rehabilitation groups, the DEARW group exhibited the highest rotarod speed, the longest rotarod duration, the lowest foot-fault error ratio, and the lowest mNSS score on Day 28 (Table 1). Differences between the results of the control, treadmill, and MRW groups were not significant (Table 1), indicating the poor efficacy of treadmill and MRW rehabilitation. However, the results of the DEARW group differed significantly from those of the control group, thus emphasizing the advantage of the proposed system.

**Cortisol level**

Table 2 shows that the DEARW group exhibited lower cortisol levels than the treadmill group did at all times. In particular, the level of cortisol in the treadmill group remained consistently high throughout the 3-week rehabilitation program, indicating that the electrically stimulated rats were under immense stress. Moreover, the MRW group exhibited higher cortisol levels during Week 2 (0.33 µg/dL) than in Weeks 1 and 3 (0.05 and 0.13 µg/dL, respectively). This was due to the increase in training intensity from Week 1 (10 m/min for 30 min) to Week 2 (20 m/min for 30 min), which was then maintained...
in Week 3. Accordingly, the MRW group experienced increased stress when the training intensity was raised, although these rats subsequently acclimatized. Additionally, during Week 3, the cortisol levels of the DEARW group (0.08 µg/dL) were lower than those of the MRW group (0.13 µg/dL), although this difference was not significant.

**Discussion**

In this study, we developed a DEARW platform for the rehabilitation of rats following stroke. This platform enabled rats to be rehabilitated with low mental stress, and both undertraining and overtraining problems were completely resolved using the individualized training facility. Commercial alternatives that use forced animal training have been designed for disease prevention but not rehabilitation. They have resulted in unsatisfactory efficacy when applied to the rehabilitation of rats. This is because rats were stimulated using an electrical grid at the end of the treadmill [13] or were injured when falling inside a running wheel if they failed to maintain sufficient speed [14]. Hence, a running wheel with an individualized exercise area was developed to address this problem. The exercise area and speed of the running wheel could be dynamically adjusted according to the rat’s physical conditions. This eliminated possibilities of fall injuries. DEARW was observed to provide rats with individualized low-to-moderate training, which benefits their rehabilitation [13–15] and lowers their mental stress. Accordingly, this individualization yields a higher work performance than does a treadmill or a forced-running wheel.

The main difference between running wheels and treadmills is the method of forced training. A running wheel uses a motor to drive the wheel, which in turn forces the rats to run, whereas a treadmill uses electrical stimulation to force running. Electrical stimulation increases cortisol levels, which affects the training results. During MRW training, if the rat cannot keep up with the motor speed, it may be pushed to the upper semicircle of the wheel and then fall [11]. Therefore, an infrared-sensor running wheel (ISRW) was proposed to address this problem. The exercise area was developed to address this problem. The exercise area and speed of the running wheel could be dynamically adjusted according to the rat’s physical conditions. This eliminated possibilities of fall injuries. DEARW was observed to provide rats with individualized low-to-moderate training, which benefits their rehabilitation [13–15] and lowers their mental stress. Accordingly, this individualization yields a higher work performance than does a treadmill or a forced-running wheel.

**Table 2. Serum cortisol levels.**

| Time points       | Sham (n=6)   | Control (n=8) | Treadmill (n=8) | MRW (n=8)  | DEARW (n=8) |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Pre-surgery       | 0.03±0.08   | 0.02±0.04    | 0.05±0.03      | 0.04±0.03  | 0.03±0.05  |
| Day 14 post-surgery | 0.02±0.04   | 0.03±0.05    | 0.52±0.17*     | 0.05±0.08* | 0.07±0.08* |
| Day 21 post-surgery | 0.06±0.03   | 0.12±0.04    | 0.37±0.14*     | 0.33±0.10* | 0.05±0.08* |
| Day 28 post-surgery | 0.04±0.05   | 0.13±0.05    | 0.52±0.31*     | 0.13±0.12  | 0.08±0.04* |

Values are means±S.D. Sham – sham operation; Control – MCAO without rehabilitation; Treadmill – MCAO with treadmill rehabilitation; MRW – MCAO with motorized running wheel (MRW) rehabilitation; DEARW – MCAO with dynamic exercise area running wheel (DEARW) rehabilitation. * p<0.05 compared with the sham group; † p<0.05 compared with the control group; ‡ p<0.05 compared with the treadmill group; § p<0.05 compared with the MRW group.
study, the proposed DEARW was used to provide a suitable exercise area and speed according to the intensity of the individual's training. The experimental results of motor function and brain damage demonstrated that this training method provided a favorable rehabilitation effect. Table 3 compares the published platforms.

As displayed in Table 1, the behavioral results on Day 28 did not differ significantly for the control, treadmill, and MRW groups; however, the results indicated that the DEARW group experienced more effective rehabilitation than the other groups, excluding the sham group. That is, DEARW treatment resulted in improved motor function recovery.

Rats in the treadmill group experienced high levels of stress throughout the 3-week rehabilitation program due to the electrical stimulation (Table 2). Therefore, these rats did not become acclimatized to the treadmill during rehabilitation. The MRW group also exhibited increased cortisol levels due to an increase in the training intensity. The cortisol levels of the DEARW group
Figure 9. (A) H&E-stained coronal slices illustrating the extent of damage to different parts of the ipsilateral brains of the groups of rats 28 days following middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO) or sham surgery. Framed boxes indicate representative areas of peri-infarct cortex and striatum. Sham rats exhibited healthy brain tissue and neuron morphology. MCAO induced severe structural disorganization and moderate levels of pyknotic cells in the cortex and striatum. The dynamic exercise area running wheel (DEARW) treatment attenuated these changes in cell morphology. Brain damage scores in (B) the cortex and (C) the striatum showed that DEARW treatment significantly reduced the cortical and striatal damage scores. Bars represent the means±standard deviations of ten rats. * p<0.05 compared with the sham group; @ p<0.05 compared with the control group; & p<0.05 compared with the treadmill group; # p<0.05 compared with the motorized running wheel group.

remained low throughout the rehabilitation program, but the differences with the levels in the MRW group were not significant during Weeks 1 and 3. The MRW speed in the first week was 10 m/min, which was an acceptable training option for rehabilitation rats. From the first week to the second week, the speed was changed from 10 to 20 m/min, a noticeable speed change that resulted in a rise in the cortisol level of the MRW group in the second week compared with the first week. During the third week, the speed was also 20 m/min. We believe that after the intensity training of the second week, the rats would have adapted to this intensity; thus the cortisol level declined. Among the three weeks, a relatively high cortisol level was only observed during the second week, which is likely due to the sudden change in training intensity. The rats
may have been more stressed at the beginning of this week, and it may have taken some time to adapt, after which time cortisol levels declined.

This study compared the effectiveness of electrically forced treadmill exercise, MRW exercise, and DEARW exercise for similar training intensities. We evaluated functional recovery, neuronal damage, and cortisol levels after brain ischemia was induced in a rat model. Chronic stress increases the sympathetic nervous system tone, which contributes to elevated basal corticosterone and rapid increases in corticosterone levels following subsequent stressor exposure [31]. The typical stress hormone cortisol, secreted from the adrenal cortex, was significantly increased with treadmill rehabilitation with electrical stimulation. Moreover, we found a significantly lower cortisol level during DEARW rehabilitation. Serum cortisol concentrations were significantly increased under forced swimming or treadmill exercise [13,32]. Several clinical and animal studies have demonstrated that the peri-ischemic concentrations of glucocorticoid hormones (i.e., cortisol in humans and corticosterone in rats), are increased during stressful events, thus exacerbating poor stroke outcome [12]. High cortisol levels are associated with increased morbidity and mortality [12]. In rats, an increase in blood corticosterone concentration during or after cerebral ischemia was associated with an increase in infarct volume [12,24]. This may be because neurons in the presence of corticosteroids are more susceptible to subsequent neurologic insults, including impaired capacity to defend against secondary insults after stroke [33].

Some studies have focused on the intensity of exercise. In the short term, blood cortisol level increases if the exercise intensity is above a certain threshold. This threshold increases with endurance training; therefore, at the same absolute exercise intensity, the cortisol response may be lower or may disappear entirely [34]. In long-term stressful situations, voluntary exercise has no significant effect on cortisol levels. Therefore, voluntary exercise may be a method of reducing stress in specific stress situations [32]. In humans, the neuroendocrine hyperactivity phase seems to correspond with the early stage of overtraining. Exercise overtraining results in excessive musculoskeletal loading and hence tissue damage and local and systemic inflammation. The major inflammatory mediators (such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interleukin (IL)-1β) affect the relative hormone secretion of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and may further influence the sympathetic nervous system [35]. In the present study, we developed the DEARW, a forced exercise system with a protective infrared sensor for exercise intensity monitoring and automatic adjustment of speed on the basis of fitness. The current results indicate that the DEARW rehabilitation system could contribute to reduced stress hormone (cortisol) levels and higher functional recovery after stroke; therefore, using the DEARW is a more suitable strategy for exercise rehabilitation after stroke than MRW or treadmill.

Nissl and H&E-stained sections were analyzed to evaluate the lesion volume and cell damage in the rats’ brains. As illustrated in Figures 8 and 9, the results of the control group did not differ significantly from those of the treadmill and MRW groups; however, the results for the DEARW group were more satisfactory than those for the control group (Figures 8, 9). This result highlighted the advantages of the developed system.

We have developed several wheel platforms [16-18,36]. The main purpose of these platforms is to replace the electrical stimulation training method of the treadmill. Some studies have suggested that treadmill electrical stimulation has a negative effect [37], reducing the rehabilitation effect to levels even worse than those of the nonexercise group [13,14]. This was also the main reason for our development of the wheel platform. Although a free wheel can induce minimal stress, the

---

Table 3. The feature comparison among published animal exercise platforms.

| Feature                     | Treadmill [13] | MRW [14] | ISRW [16] | DEARW       |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| Forced training method      | Electrical grid | Motor    | Motor     | Motor       |
| Training speed              | Fixed         | Fixed    | Acceleration training | Individual-based |
| Runway                      | Rubber belt   | Cross bar | Rubber belt | Cross bar   |
| Running position detection  | -             | -        | +         | +           |
| Exercise area               | -             | -        | Fixed     | Adjustable  |
| Protection mechanism        | -             | -        | +         | +           |
| Stress level                | High          | Low to Moderate | Low       | Low         |
| Cost                        | High          | Low      | Moderate  | Moderate    |
effective amount of exercise for each rat is difficult to control because of individual differences. Therefore, our team initially developed a motor to rotate the wheel, which forces the rat to run [36]. This method has a relatively low stress and can effectively control the amount of exercise. Fixed IR sensors were then placed around the wheel to define the effective exercise area of the rat, and a protection mechanism was installed at the boundary (0°) to prevent the rat from entering the upper semicircle and causing an invalid exercise [16–18]. However, during the course of the experiment, the rats were found to have individual differences. Some rats with poor physical fitness did not dare to run at 45° [18]. Thus, we designed an individualized wheel platform and a sensor that can be dynamically adjusted according to individual ability. Accordingly, the physical status of each rat was observed and an appropriate exercise area was established to suit the exercise intensity of each individual. The proposed wheel resulted in very low cortisol levels, proving that this training method is a low-stress training mechanism. The results regarding motor function and neuron damage demonstrated that this low-stress training method can improve rehabilitation. The cortisol levels of the treadmill were the highest in all three weeks of training. Together, the results of cortisol level and neuron damage demonstrated that the low-stress rehabilitation method is superior to the high-stress rehabilitation method.

Similar to humans, rats undergoing rehabilitation after ischemic stroke were physically weaker and less agile than they were before surgery. Hence, overtraining is inevitable if rats with a wide range of physical conditions are rehabilitated at a fixed training intensity. In this study, physically weaker rats were liable to suffer secondary injuries, such as electrical shock and fall injuries. This imposed a high level of stress on the rats and degraded the quality of the exercise rehabilitation. In a previous study, rehabilitated rats have even underperformed compared with their nonrehabilitated counterparts [13,14]. Therefore, a rehabilitation facility with an adjustable exercise area is essential for rats to effectively rehabilitate in low-stress and fall-free environments. In the present study, this was achieved by adopting an individualized exercise area and speed-control mechanism, which was confirmed to provide more satisfactory outcomes than treadmill and conventional running wheel approaches.

Conclusions

The proposed running wheel enables dynamic adjustments of the exercise area and speed based on the rat’s physical fitness. The rats benefited from the exercise rehabilitation platform with individualized exercise areas, as shown by their low stress levels and absence of exercise-related injuries. This research provides an advantage in that the platform can overcome individual differences in training; that is, each individual can have a suitable training intensity to achieve effective rehabilitation. However, compared with real-time image information, information regarding the movements of the rats was difficult to acquire. Detailed information on the movements of the rats is useful for research into exercise posture. Nevertheless, this platform achieved a good rehabilitation effect by individualizing the exercise area. The mNSS, forelimb foot fault, and rotarod tests of the DEARW and control groups differed significantly, indicating that this device is effective for motor function rehabilitation. The results of Nissl and hematoxylin–eosin staining also differed significantly between the DEARW and control groups, suggesting that the designed running wheel is beneficial for rehabilitation following ischemic stroke. These results are expected to serve as the foundation for further research.
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