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Abstract: Thioredoxins (Trxs) are small, ubiquitous enzymes that catalyze disulphide–dithiol interchange in target enzymes. The large set of chloroplast Trxs, including $f$, $m$, $x$ and $y$ subtypes, use reducing equivalents fueled by photoreduced ferredoxin (Fdx) for fine-tuning photosynthetic performance and metabolism through the control of the activity of redox-sensitive proteins. Although biochemical analyses suggested functional diversity of chloroplast Trxs, genetic studies have established that deficiency in a particular Trx subtype has subtle phenotypic effects, leading to the proposal that the Trx isoforms are functionally redundant. In addition, chloroplasts contain an NADPH-dependent Trx reductase with a joint Trx domain, termed NTRC. Interestingly, Arabidopsis mutants combining the deficiencies of $x$- or $f$-type Trxs and NTRC display very severe growth inhibition phenotypes, which are partially rescued by decreased levels of 2-Cys peroxiredoxins (Prxs). These findings indicate that the reducing capacity of Trxs $f$ and $x$ is modulated by the redox balance of 2-Cys Prxs, which is controlled by NTRC. In this study, we explored whether NTRC acts as a master regulator of the pool of chloroplast Trxs by analyzing its functional relationship with Trxs $y$. While Trx $y$ interacts with 2-Cys Prxs in vitro and in planta, the analysis of Arabidopsis mutants devoid of NTRC and Trxs $y$ suggests that Trxs $y$ have only a minor effect, if any, on the redox state of 2-Cys Prxs.
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whereas in heterotrophs it is provided by NADPH. Thus, reducing equivalents are transferred via a Fdx- or a NADPH-dependent thioredoxin (Trx) reductase, namely FTR and NTR, respectively [3]. Secondly, non-photosynthetic organisms possess at most three Trx-encoding genes, whereas chloroplasts are equipped with a large set of Trxs, including the types \( x, f, m, y \) and \( z \), as well as several Trx-like proteins [4–6]. The plastidial Trx subtypes are reduced by FTR in vitro [7], in agreement with the notion that the redox regulation within chloroplast is linked to light, an important environmental cue influencing plant development. Accordingly, the function of Trx target enzymes, normally active during the day and inactive in the night, is reversibly controlled in response to light and darkness. Based on their selectivity for reducing target enzymes in vitro, different functions have been assigned to these Trxs. The subtypes \( f \) and \( m \) were proposed to regulate metabolic pathways such as carbon assimilation by the Calvin–Benson cycle, whereas Trxs \( x \) and \( y \) were proposed to participate in the control of enzymes involved in the antioxidant response [4–6]. On the other hand, Trx \( z \) is a component of the plastid transcriptional machinery and hence is involved in plastid gene expression [8]. Although Trx subtypes are differently abundant within the organelle [9,10] and appear to have functional specificity, genetic studies have shown that plants devoid of individual Trxs, with the exception of Trx \( z \) [11], display subtle mutant phenotypes [12–15]. These observations suggest that remaining redox systems may compensate for the function of a specific Trx, highlighting the partial redundancy among chloroplast Trx subtypes.

The chloroplast Trx redox system is essential for plant growth, as mutants devoid of the catalytic subunit of FTR, the enzyme that fuels reducing equivalents for Trxs, display a lethal phenotype [16]. Nonetheless, the complexity of redox regulation within the organelle is further increased by the presence of a peculiar NTR with a joint Trx domain, termed NTRC, exclusive of organisms that perform oxygenic photosynthesis [17–19]. NTRC shows high affinity for NADPH [20] and efficiently reduces thiol peroxidases such as 2-Cys peroxiredoxins (Prxs) [21–23]. Additionally, several studies (reviewed in [5,6,24]) link NTRC to chloroplast enzymes involved in a variety of processes regulated by Trxs, thus indicating that NTRC and Trx targets overlap to some extent. In line with this possibility, NTRC interacts with different chloroplast proteins, most of them previously shown to be regulated by Trxs [25–28], thus suggesting the concerted action of NTRC and Trxs in the regulation of these targets. Moreover, Arabidopsis mutants combining the lack of NTRC with that of Trxs \( f \) or \( x \) show very severe growth inhibition phenotypes [29,30], implying that the activity of a specific Trx subtype becomes more relevant in the absence of NTRC. In support of this notion, the combination of decreased levels of FTR and depletion of NTRC leads to a lethal phenotype [27], indicating that both redox systems are required for autotrophic growth. Finally, the finding that the overexpression of an NTRC variant with an inactive Trx domain partially complemented the phenotype of the \( ntrc \) mutant suggest that this enzyme could interact with plastid Trxs through its NTR domain [26].

We have recently shown that the function of the chloroplast redox systems, Trxs and NTRC, is integrated by the redox balance of 2-Cys Prxs [31]. While most Trx subtypes and Trx-like proteins are capable of reducing 2-Cys Prxs in vitro [22,27,32–36], NTRC is the primary reductant of these enzymes in vivo [12], thereby minimizing the draining of electrons from Trxs to 2-Cys Prxs. The absence of NTRC would increase drainage of reducing equivalents from Trxs to 2-Cys Prxs, impairing the redox regulation of their targets. In support of this notion, the severe growth inhibition phenotypes of NTRC-deficient plants are partially rescued by decreased levels of 2-Cys Prxs [31,37]. Therefore, the activity of NTRC maintains the redox state of 2-Cys Prxs, hence allowing light-dependent redox regulation of Trx targets. During the night, 2-Cys Prxs participate in the oxidative inactivation of Trx-regulated enzymes by transferring reducing equivalents from reduced proteins to hydrogen peroxide, Trxs acting as intermediates between the reduced targets and 2-Cys Prxs [36,38,39].

Current knowledge suggests that the NTRC-2-Cys Prx system controls the activity of Trxs \( f \) [31] and \( x \) [37]. In this regard, the severe phenotype of \( TRX \) \( m \)-silenced plants in an \( ntrc \) background [40] indicates that \( m \)-type Trxs are probably subjected to this additional level of regulation. Thus, the functions of the most abundant chloroplast Trxs (types \( m \) and \( f \)) but also of the less-abundant type-\( x \) Trx are affected
by NTRC. In this study, we aimed to investigate whether the NTRC-2-Cys Prx system acts as a master regulator of the pool of chloroplast Trxs by extending these analyses to type y Trxs. *Arabidopsis* contains two Trx y isoforms, y1 and y2 [41]. These isoforms were unable to activate Calvin–Benson enzymes such as fructose bisphosphatase (FBPase) or sedoheptulose bisphosphatase (SBPase) but were able to reduce thiol peroxidases such as Prx Q and glutathione peroxidase, suggesting an antioxidant function for these Trxs [7,10,27,41,42]. Consistent with this notion, plants deficient in Trxs y showed growth phenotypes very similar to the wild type under standard growth conditions [13] but decreased tolerance to high light [13] or drought [43] treatments. To address whether the activity of Trxs y is modulated by the NTRC-2-Cys Prx redox system, we evaluated the genetic interaction of Trxs y with NTRC through the generation of the *ntrc-trxy1y2* triple mutant. To gain a deeper insight into the functional relationship between these enzymes, this novel mutant was characterized in comparison with the previously reported *ntrc-trxx* mutant [30], as Trxs x and y are functionally related [32,41,42] and accumulate to a similar range of concentration within chloroplasts [9]. The Trx y-deficient mutant, *trxy1y2*, displayed a phenotype similar to that of wild type plants, and the combined deficiencies of NTRC and Trxs y resulted in a slight aggravation of the growth retard phenotype of the *ntrc* mutant but did not further affect photosynthesis performance or redox regulation of FBPase or 2-Cys Prxs as compared with the *ntrc* mutant. Furthermore, we performed in vitro and in planta analysis to study the interaction between Trx y2, as the most abundant y isoform in green tissues, and 2-Cys Prxs. Overall, our results indicate that the functional relationship between Trx y and 2-Cys Prxs has subtle effects, if any, on plant physiology.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Biological Material, Growth Conditions and Treatments

*Arabidopsis thaliana* wild type (ecotype Columbia) and mutant plants (Table S1) were grown in soil in growth chambers under long- (16-h light/8-h darkness) or short- (8-h light/16-h darkness) photoperiods at 22 °C and 20 °C during light and dark periods, respectively, and light intensity of 125 μE m⁻² s⁻¹. Mutant plants *trxx, ntrc* and *ntrc-trxx* were reported previously [12,17,30]. The *trxy1y2* mutant was obtained by manually crossing the *trxy1* (SALK_103154) and *trxy2* (SALK_028065) single mutants. To generate the *ntrc-trxy1y2* triple mutant, *ntrc* and *trxy1y2* mutants were manually crossed. Seeds from these crosses were checked for heterozygosity of the T-DNA insertions in the corresponding genes. Plants were then self-crossed, and the double and triple homozygous lines were identified in the progeny by PCR analysis of genomic DNA. Oligonucleotide sequences used for PCR genotyping are listed in Table S2. For in vitro culture experiments, seeds were surface sterilized using chlorine gas for 16 h, plated on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (DUCHEFA), pH 5.8, containing 0.35% Gelrite (DUCHEFA) in the presence or absence of 0.5% (w/v) sucrose and stratified at 4 °C for 2 to 3 days. *Escherichia coli* and *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* were grown in liquid Miller nutrient at 37 °C and 28 °C, respectively, with the appropriate antibiotics.

2.2. RNA Extraction and RT-PCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from rosette leaves of plants grown under long-day conditions for 4 weeks using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA synthesis was performed with 1 μg of total RNA using the Maxima first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR (RT-PCR) was performed with the GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega) using primers listed in Table S2.

2.3. Cloning of TRX Y2 and TRX X cDNAs from Arabidopsis. Expression of Recombinant Proteins and Biochemical Assays

For the expression of recombinant proteins, the cDNAs encoding *Arabidopsis* Trx y2 and Trx x, excluding the predicted transit peptides, were amplified with iProof™ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase
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(Bio-Rad) using the oligonucleotides specified in Table S2, which added a BamHI at the 5’ and a HindIII site (TRX Y2) or PstI (TRX X) site at the 3’ ends, respectively. PCR products were gel-purified, cloned in pGEMt vector (Promega) and sequenced. pGEMt-derived plasmids were digested with BamHI and PstI (TRX Y2) or BamHI and HindIII (TRX X), subcloned in pQE30 (Qiagen) expression vector and introduced into E. coli XL1Blue. Arabidopsis Trx y2 and Trx x and rice 2-Cys Prx [22] proteins, containing a His-tag at the N-terminus, were purified by NTA affinity chromatography in His-Trap affinity columns (GE Healthcare). For biochemical assays, recombinant 2-Cys Prx (25 µM) was incubated for 30 min with the indicated concentrations of Trxs (y2 or x) in the presence or absence of 250 µM DTT, as source of reducing power, in reaction buffer (50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4). Free thiols were modified with iodoacetamide (60 mM), and proteins were separated by non-reducing SDS–PAGE using a 14% acrylamide gel and stained with Coomassie blue.

2.4. Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) Assays

For the generation of BiFC constructs, the full length open reading frame (ORF), excluding the stop codon, encoding Trx y2 and FBPase were amplified with iProof™ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Bio-Rad) using oligonucleotides specified in Table S2, which added attB recombination sites at the 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively. The PCR products were cloned in the Gateway vector pDONR207 (Invitrogen) and sequenced. The cloned Trx y2 and FBPase fragments were then transferred to the BiFC vectors [44] pSPYNE-35S_GW and pSPYCE-35S_GW, respectively, using the LR clonase (Invitrogen). The resulting plasmids, pSPYNE:Trx y2 and pSPYCE:FBPase, were then transformed into the A. tumefaciens strain GV301. For BiFC assays, Agrobacterium strains carrying individual Trx y2, FBPase, Trx x [45], Trx f1 [25], glutamine synthetase 2 (GS2) [28] or 2-Cys Prxs [45] constructs were mixed at a 1:1 ratio and infiltrated into leaves of 4-week-old Nicotiana benthamiana plants. Leaf sections were analyzed 4 days later by confocal microscopy performed with a Leica SP/2 inverted microscope. Image analysis was performed with the Leica SP/2 software package and the ImageJ bundle provided by the Wright Cell Imaging facility.

2.5. Root Growth Measurements

For root growth assays, three biological replicates of at least 30 seedlings were grown for 7 days on vertically oriented MS plates in the presence or absence of 0.5% (w/v) sucrose. Root elongation was scored at 1-day intervals from day 3 until day 7. For oxidative stress treatments, sucrose-containing plates were supplemented with 0.025 µM methyl viologen, and root elongation was scored at 12 days.

2.6. Protein Extraction, Alkylation Assays and Western Blot Analysis

Rosette leaves from plants grown under short-day conditions for 6 weeks were ground with a mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen. Extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.5% (v/v) Nonidet P-40) was immediately added, mixed on a vortex and centrifuged at 16,100 × g at 4 °C for 20 min. Protein was quantified using Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad). Rosette leaves from plants grown under long-day for 4 weeks were used for alkylation assays, which were performed as previously described [31] using 60 mM iodoacetamide (FBPase) or 10 mM methylmaleimide-(polyethylene glycol)24 (MM(PEG)24) (2-Cys Prxs). Protein samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE under reducing (NTRC, Trx y2 and 2-Cys Prxs) or non-reducing (FBPase) conditions using acrylamide gel concentration of 9.5% (FBPase), 14% (2-Cys Prxs) and 15% (NTRC and Trx y2). Resolved proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and probed with the indicated antibody. Specific antibodies for NTRC and 2-Cys Prxs were previously raised in our laboratory [17,22]. The antibody for Trx y2 was raised by immunizing rabbits with purified peptides (CQLVERIENSLQVKQ and CQLIQRIEDSLKVKP) by GenScript USA Inc (Piscataway, NJ, USA). The anti-FBPase antibody was kindly provided by Dr. Sahrawy (Estación Experimental del Zaidín, Granada, Spain).
2.7. Determination of Chlorophylls and Measurements of Chlorophyll a Fluorescence

Chlorophyll levels were measured as previously described [22] in plants grown for 4 weeks (long-day) or 6 weeks (short-day). Room temperature chlorophyll a fluorescence was performed using a pulse-amplitude modulation fluorometer (IMAGING-PAM M-Series instrument, Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) on plants grown under short-day for 6 weeks. Induction–recovery curves were performed using blue (450 nm) actinic light (81 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) at the intensities specified for each experiment for 6 min. Saturating pulses of blue light (10,000 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) and 0.6 s duration were applied every 60 s, and recovery in darkness was recorded for another 6 min. The maximum photosystem II (PSII) quantum yield, determined as variable fluorescence (Fᵥ) to maximal fluorescence (Fₘ), Fᵥ/Fₘ, the parameters Y(II) and Y(NPQ), corresponding to the respective quantum yields of PSII photochemistry and non-photochemical quenching, were calculated by ImagingWin v2.46i software according to the equations previously described [46]. Relative linear electron transport rates were measured in leaves of pre-illuminated plants by applying stepwise increasing actinic light intensities up to 1251 µE m⁻² s⁻¹.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Depletion of Trxs y Aggravates the Growth Inhibition Phenotype of NTRC-Deficient Plants at Adult Stages

Previous genetic studies have established that decreased levels of chloroplastic Trxs, e.g., x or f, result in subtle phenotypic consequences [12,15]. On the contrary, plants combining the deficiencies of NTRC and Trxs of the f- or x-type display severe growth inhibition phenotypes [29,30], suggesting that NTRC and plastid Trxs act concertedly. The fact that decreased levels of 2-Cys Prxs partially suppressed the phenotype of NTRC-deficient plants [31,37] led us to propose that NTRC exerts its function on chloroplast redox homeostasis through the maintenance of the reductive capacity of Trxs. However, it is not yet known whether the NTRC-2-Cys Prx redox system controls the reducing capacity of another plastid Trx. To address this issue, in this work we focused on type y Trxs, of which Arabidopsis contains two genes, TRX Y1 and TRX Y2, differentially expressed in roots and leaves, respectively [41]. By analyzing the genetic interaction of NTRC with y-type Trxs, we pursued two goals: (i) to identify any specific role of Trx y, as the activity of a particular Trx becomes more relevant in the absence of NTRC, and (ii) to test whether y-type Trxs are also under the redox regulation of the NTRC-2-Cys Prx system. To address these objectives, we first generated the trxy1y2 double mutant by crossing of the single trxy1 and trxy2 mutants. Next, the trxy1y2 was crossed with the ntrc mutant to obtain the ntrc-trxy1y2 triple mutant (Figure 1A). Western blot analysis showed the absence of NTRC and Trx y2 in the ntrc-trxy1y2 triple mutant (Figure 1B). As the anti-Trx y1 antibody is not available, the absence of TRX Y1 transcript in the trxy1y2 and ntrc-trxy1y2 mutants was confirmed by RT-PCR (Figure S1). Because the phenotype of NTRC-deficient plants is highly influenced by the photoperiod [22,31,47], the vegetative growth of these lines was evaluated both under short-day (Figure 1A,C) and long-day (Figure S2A,B) conditions. Based on the fact that Trx x is functionally related to Trxs y [32,41,42] and both Trxs accumulate to a similar range of concentration in the chloroplast stroma [9], the previously reported mutants trxx [12] and ntrc-trxx [30] were included in these analyses for comparison. Plants deficient in Trx x or Trxs y, trxx and trxy1y2 mutants, respectively, essentially showed a wild type phenotype under either photoperiod in terms of fresh weight (Figure 1A,C; Figure S2A,B), which confirms earlier observations [12,13,30]. As expected, plants lacking NTRC displayed its characteristic, well-known phenotype consisting of retarded growth and pale green leaves, which was more severe under short-day photoperiod (Figure 1B,C). Interestingly, the combined deficiency of NTRC and Trxs y led to a slight, but statistically significant, decrease in growth rate compared to ntrc plants, which was more remarkable under short-day (Figure 1C) than in long-day (Figure S2B) conditions. However, the growth inhibition phenotype of the ntrc-trxy1y2 mutant was less-severe than that of the ntrc-trxx mutant (Figure 1A,C; Figure S2A,B). Both NTRC and Trx y have been shown to reduce CHLI [25,27], a component of the Mg-chelatase complex involved in chlorophyll biosynthesis. Hence, it could be expected that accumulation of photosynthetic pigments may be further compromised by depletion of these enzymes.
On the contrary, chlorophyll levels in ntrc-trxy1y2 plants remained similar to those observed in the ntrc mutant (Figure 1D; Figure S2C), suggesting no contribution of Trxs y to chlorophyll biosynthesis. Altogether, these results indicate that the absence of Trxs y slightly aggravates growth inhibition in the ntrc, but not in the wild-type background, thus lending support to the notion that NTRC may affect the function of these enzymes.

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1.** Growth phenotypes of mutant plants devoid of Trxs y. (A) Wild-type (WT) and mutant lines, as indicated, were grown under short-day conditions for 6 weeks. (B) Western blot analysis of the content of NTRC and Trx y2. Protein extracts obtained from leaves of plants grown as stated in (A), were subjected to SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions, transferred to nitrocellulose filters and probed with anti-NTRC or anti-Trx y2 antibodies. Even loading was monitored by Ponceau staining of the Rubisco large subunit and molecular weight markers (kDa) are indicated on the left. The fresh weight (C) and the content of chlorophylls (D) of rosette leaves from fourteen plants of each line are represented as average values ± standard error (SE). Statistical significance compared with the wild type (asterisks) or the ntrc mutant (hashes) is indicated (*** and ###, P < 0.001, Student’s t test). Letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test.

It was previously reported that lines simultaneously devoid of NTRC and Trxs, x or f, showed compromised viability at the seedling stage [30], uncovering the important role of chloroplast redox regulation during early plant development. Photosynthetic activity of cotyledon chloroplasts provides sucrose, allowing seedling establishment and the formation of vegetative organs, such as roots [48]. Thus, to test the relevance of Trxs y at early stages of development, we monitored the formation of roots, as sink organs, in seedlings grown in vitro as a readout of redox homeostasis within plastids. In the absence of an exogenous carbon source, seedlings of the trxx and trxy1y2 mutants formed roots, which showed growth rates similar to that of the wild type (Figure 2A). Notably, root elongation was similarly impaired in ntrc and ntrc-trxy1y2 seedlings, whereas the ntrc-trxx mutant barely produced roots (Figure 2A). In agreement with previous reports [30,37], the addition of sucrose increased the rate of root growth in all lines analyzed (Figure 2B), supporting the relevant contribution of photosynthetic activity in cotyledons to the formation of sink tissues [48]. In particular, the rate of
root growth in sucrose-containing plates resembled that observed in the absence of the exogenous carbon source, root length being similar in wild type and trxy1y2, slightly decreased in trxx and ntrc and, to a greater extent, in ntrc-trxy1y2 and ntrc-trxx mutants (Figure 2B). Therefore, in plants lacking NTRC, the additional absence of Trx x provoked a clear arrest of seedling growth; in contrast, the additional depletion of Trx y had no remarkable effect, revealing that the activity of Trx y is not critical during early stages of plant development.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** The contribution of Trx y to seedling root formation. Seedlings of wild-type (WT) and mutant lines, as indicated, were grown under long-day photoperiod on Murashige and Skoog (MS) synthetic medium in the absence (A) or presence (B) of 0.5% (w/v) sucrose. Root growth was monitored at the indicated days. Mean values of three independent replicates ± standard error (SE) are represented. Statistical significance compared with the wild type is indicated by asterisks (*, P < 0.05 and ***, P < 0.001, Student’s t test). Letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test.

### 3.2. Trx y and x Have Differential Effects on Photosynthetic Performance and Redox Regulation of FBPase under Standard Growth Conditions

Once established that in plants lacking NTRC the additional deficiency of Trx y causes an effect at the adult, but not at the seedling stage, we searched for the molecular basis of this effect. Previous work uncovered the effect of chloroplast redox homeostasis on the regulation of light-driven photochemistry reactions, remarkably impaired in mutants lacking NTRC but not in mutants deficient in individual Trxs [29, 49]. Moreover, further analysis showed that these phenotypes were severely aggravated in mutant plants combining the deficiencies of NTRC and x- or f-type Trxs [30]. To assess whether the activity of Trx y affects photosynthetic performance, different chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were determined in plants grown under short-day, in which the growth of the ntrc-trxy1y2 mutant is impaired to a greater extent (Figure 1A,C). First, we determined the quantum yields of PSII photochemistry (Y(II)) and non-photochemical quenching (Y(NPQ)) during photosynthetic induction. Light energy utilization in the trxy1y2 mutant was comparable to that observed in the wild type, whereas the ntrc-trxy1y2 mutant showed Y(II) (Figure 3A) and Y(NPQ) (Figure 3B) values similar to those in the ntrc mutant, indicating no effect of Trx y on photosynthetic performance under the tested conditions. Next, we determined the light response curve of electron transport rate (ETR) through the photosystem (PS) II at increasing light intensities (Figure S3). In line with their growth phenotypes, ETR was barely affected in mutant plants devoid of Trx y, which showed values comparable to those in the wild type, though a slight decrease was observed at higher light intensities, in contrast with the trxx mutant, which showed a slight decrease of ETR at any light intensity (Figure S3). ETR was markedly lowered and abolished at higher light intensities in the NTRC-deficient mutants, ntrc and ntrc-trxy1y2, which showed indistinguishable values of ETR, and the ntrc-trxx mutant, which displayed a more severe effect (Figure S3). These results indicate that, regardless of light intensity, Trx y have a minor contribution to the rate of electron transport at least under the tested conditions. Finally,
we determined the Fv/Fm ratio, as indicative of the stability of the PSII (Table 1). Mutant plants lacking Trxs x or y showed Fv/Fm values that were very similar to those observed in the wild type. On the contrary, Fv/Fm was remarkably decreased in the ntrc mutant, the additional deficiency of Trxs y causing a slight decrease, which is in contrast with the higher effect caused by the additional absence of Trx x. Taken together, chlorophyll fluorescence measurements indicate that Trxs y exert a minor contribution to the photosynthetic performance of plants. Additionally, our results show that in the ntrc mutant background, the additional deficiency of Trxs x, but not of Trxs y, aggravated photosynthetic parameters, which is in line with the growth phenotypes of the ntrc-trxx and ntrc-trxy1y2 mutants.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Effect of Trxs y on photosynthetic performance. Photosynthetic parameters were measured on whole rosettes of the wild type and mutant lines, as indicated, grown under short-day conditions for 6 weeks. (A) Quantum yields of photosystem II photochemistry (Y(II)) and (B) non-photochemical quenching (Y(NPQ)). Each value is the average of three determinations, except for ntrc-trxx (four measurements). Standard errors of the mean (SEM) are represented as error bars. White and black blocks indicate periods of illumination with actinic light (81 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) and darkness, respectively.

**Table 1.** Effect of the combined deficiencies of NTRC and Trxs y on Fv/Fm. The maximum PSII quantum yield was determined as variable fluorescence (Fv) to maximal fluorescence (Fm). Fv/Fm, in dark adapted leaves of plants grown under short-day conditions for 5 weeks. Fv/Fm values (±SD) are the average of measurements from 8 (WT, trxx and trxy1y2), 15 (ntrc and ntrc-trxy1y2) and 21 (ntrc-trxx) plants. Letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test.

|          | WT     | trxx   | trxy1y2 | ntrc   | ntrc-trxx | ntrc-trxy1y2 |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-----------|--------------|
| Fv/Fm    | a 0.743 ± 0.004 | a 0.738 ± 0.006 | ab 0.718 ± 0.012 | ab 0.676 ± 0.005 | 0.492 ± 0.026 | 0.643 ± 0.011 |

Plant growth requires the adaptation of chloroplast metabolism to light availability, which is based in light-activation of thiol-modulated enzymes, such as FBPase [50]. Accordingly, impaired redox regulation of this enzyme correlates with a marked limitation of growth [29,30]. The fact that depletion of Trxs y slightly aggravates the growth inhibition phenotype of NTRC-deficient plants (Figure 1A,C and Figure S2A,B) without affecting their photosynthetic performance (Figure 3A,B, Figure S3 and Table 1), raises the possibility that the activity of Trxs y affected redox regulation of metabolic pathways. To address this issue, the redox balance of FBPase, a key regulatory enzyme of the Calvin–Benson cycle, was analyzed during transitions from darkness to light intensity of 150 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ (growth light) or 450 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ (high light). Thiol-labelling assays using iodoacetamide (IAA) showed that FBPase, which was completely oxidized in darkness, became partially reduced under growth-light in both wild-type and trxy1y2 plants (Figure 4A,B). On the contrary, mutant plants devoid of NTRC or, to a lesser extent, Trx x showed impaired light activation of FBPase. Under high-light intensity, the level of reduced enzyme increased, FBPase being almost fully reduced in the wild-type and the trxy1y2 mutant.
Likewise, light-dependent FBPase reduction was recovered in ntrc-trxy1y2 and ntrc mutants and, to a greater extent, in the trxx mutant. In agreement with previous studies [30,37], FBPase reduction was almost abolished in the ntrc-trxx mutant, regardless of the light intensity (Figure 4A,B). Overall, the degree of reduction of FBPase correlated with the photosynthetic electron transport rates (Figure S3).

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** In vivo redox state of FBPase in mutant plants devoid of Trxs y. Plants of the wild type and mutant lines, as indicated, were grown under long day conditions for 4 weeks. Whole rosettes were harvested at the end of the period of darkness (D) and then illuminated for 30 min with light intensities of 125 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ (growth light, L) or 450 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ (high light, HL). (A) The in vivo redox state of FBPase was determined by alkylation using the thiol-labelling agent iodoacetamide (IAA). Protein extracts were subjected to SDS–PAGE under non-reducing conditions, transferred onto nitrocellulose filter and probed with an anti-FBPase antibody. (B) Band intensities were quantified (GelAnalyzer), and the percentage of reduction was calculated as the ratio between the reduced form and the sum of oxidized and reduced forms. Each value is the mean of three independent experiments ± standard error (SE). Statistical significance compared with the wild type (asterisks) or the ntrc mutant (hashes) is indicated (#, p < 0.05; ** and ##, p < 0.01; ###, p < 0.001, Student’s t test); red, reduced; oxi, oxidized.

The fact that depletion of Trxs y barely affected the level of reduction of FBPase is in line with biochemical analysis showing that type y Trxs are poor reductants of FBPase [10,41]. Likewise, Trx x is an inefficient reductant of FBPase in vitro [10,30,32], and, accordingly, these enzymes do not interact in planta [26]. Therefore, both Trx x and Trxs y, similarly abundant in the chloroplast stroma, are inefficient reductants of FBPase, yet the absence of Trx x, but not of Trxs y, causes impairment of light dependent reduction of the enzyme. However, while the impairment of FBPase reduction observed in the ntrc mutant was severely aggravated in the ntrc-trxx mutant, no additional effect was observed in the ntrc-trxy1y2 mutant (Figure 4A,B), indicating different effects of Trx x and Trxs y on FBPase redox regulation. We have recently proposed that the absence of NTRC affects light-dependent FBPase reduction due to drainage of reducing equivalents from the pool of Trxs via reduction of 2-Cys Prxs, which thus affects regulation of downstream targets [31]. Our results suggest that the absence of Trx x would cause higher drainage of reducing equivalents from Trxs f and m, than the absence of Trxs y,
thereby causing higher impairment of FBPase reduction. To explore this possibility, we analyzed the interaction of Trxs $\gamma$ with 2-Cys Prxs.

### 3.3. Trx $\gamma2$ Interacts with 2-Cys Prxs In Vitro and In Planta

Extensive biochemical analyses have shown that most plastidial Trxs have the ability to reduce 2-Cys Prxs [22,27,32–36]. Nevertheless, the in vivo contribution of these enzymes to maintain the redox balance of 2-Cys Prxs remains unknown. Thus, to gain more insight into this issue, we explored the interaction between Trxs $\gamma$ and 2-Cys Prxs. Similar to other Trxs, Trx $\gamma1$ was shown to reduce 2-Cys Prx in vitro [27,41]; however, the TRX Y1 gene is mainly expressed in non-photosynthetic organs [41], and, accordingly, the Trx $\gamma1$ protein was not detected in the chloroplast stroma of Arabidopsis leaves [9]. Therefore, Trx $\gamma2$, which shows predominant expression in leaves [41], was chosen for subsequent experiments. We first performed bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) experiments in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves to test the interaction of Trxs $\gamma$ and 2-Cys Prxs in planta. To that end, we generated constructs to express Trx $\gamma2$ fused to the N-terminal part of YFP (Trx $\gamma2$-N YFP) and took advantage of available plasmids [45] expressing Trx x-N YFP, here included as a positive control, and 2-Cys Prx A and B fused to the C-terminal part of YFP (2-Cys Prx A-C YFP and 2-Cys Prx B-C YFP). Co-infiltration of Trx x-N YFP with either 2-Cys Prx A-C YFP or 2-Cys Prx B-C YFP constructs resulted in a chloroplast-localized yellow fluorescence in Nicotiana leaves (Figure S4), confirming previous results [45]. Notably, reconstitution of the fluorescent signal within chloroplasts was also observed when BiFC vectors expressing Trx $\gamma2$-N YFP with either 2-Cys Prx A-C YFP or 2-Cys Prx B-C YFP were co-infiltrated (Figure 5A), though the YFP signal was weaker than that of the Trx x with 2-Cys Prxs (Figure S4). Remarkably, the pattern of interaction of both Trx $\gamma2$ and Trx x with 2-Cys PRXs showed the formation of speckles, which may reflect the tendency of 2-Cys Prxs to form aggregates [51]. Additionally, a set of BiFC assays were performed as negative controls to validate the interaction between Trx $\gamma2$ and 2-Cys Prxs. To that end, we made constructs to express FBPase fused to the C-terminal part of YFP (FBPase-C YFP) and used plasmids [28] expressing the chloroplast glutamine synthetase 2 (GS2) fused to the N-terminal part of YFP (GS2-N YFP).

Previous work showed that $\gamma$-type Trxs are not involved in the reductive activation of FBPase [10,41], which is efficiently catalyzed by Trx x [27]. In line with these biochemical studies, mutants lacking Trxs $\gamma$ showed WT levels of FBPase reduction (Figure 4A,B). Expectedly, background fluorescent signals were detected when Trx $\gamma2$-N YFP and FBPase-C YFP constructs were infiltrated, whereas reconstitution of an intense YFP signal was observed when Trx f1-N YFP, used as a positive control, was co-infiltrated with FBPase-C YFP (Figure S5A). Previous proteomic studies identified putative interacting partners of 2-Cys Prxs [52] and NTRC [28]. Among the interacting candidates, GS2 was identified as a partner of NTRC, but not of 2-Cys Prx [28,52] and thus was selected as a negative control for BiFC assays. In agreement with these findings, no YFP signal was observed when GS2-N YFP and 2-Cys Prx-C YFP constructs were infiltrated (Figure S5B). On the contrary, NTRC did interact with GS2 (Figure S5B), confirming previous BiFC analyses [28]. Taken together, these results indicate that, similar to Trx x [45], Trx $\gamma2$ interacts with 2-Cys Prxs in planta.
zyme, corresponding to the oxidized and reduced forms, resulted in the reduction of 2-Cys Prx, as the dimeric form (Figure 5B). Notably, incubation with either Trx, 2020
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regardless of the light condition, remained similar in all the lines analyzed, including the ntrc mutant (Figure 6A,B).

Figure 5. Analysis of the interaction of Trx y2 with 2-Cys Prxs in planta and in vitro. (A) BiFC analysis of the interaction of Trx y2 with the two Arabidopsis 2-Cys Prx isoforms, A and B. Confocal microscopy micrographs of mesophyll cells of Nicotiana benthamiana leaves acquired 4 days after agro-infiltration with the indicated constructs. Red, chlorophyll autofluorescence; yellow, YFP fluorescence. Bars correspond to 10 µm. (B) Redox interaction between Trx y2 and 2-Cys Prx. Recombinant 2-Cys Prx (25 µM) from rice was incubated, in the presence or absence of DTT (250 µM), with the indicated concentrations (0–5 µM) of Trx y2 or Trx x for 30 min. Thiols were blocked with iodoacetamide, and samples were then subjected to non-reducing SDS–PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. The reduction of 2-Cys Prx is visualized as the shift from the dimeric (dim) to the monomeric (mon) form of the enzyme, corresponding to the oxidized and reduced forms, respectively. Molecular weight markers (kDa) are indicated on the left; mon, monomer; dim, dimer; olig, oligomer. (C) Band intensities were quantified (GelAnalyzer) and the percentage of the reduction level of 2-Cys Prx was calculated as the ratio between the monomeric form and the total. Each value is the mean of three independent experiments ± standard error (SE).

Next, we aimed to study the redox interaction between Trxs y and 2-Cys Prxs by testing the ability of Trx y2 to reduce 2-Cys Prx in vitro. The recombinant proteins Trx y2 and Trx x, included here for comparative purposes, and 2-Cys Prx were produced in E. coli in its mature form. Non-reducing SDS–PAGE electrophoresis showed that 2-Cys Prx was mainly detected in its oxidized form, a dimer where the two subunits are bound by intermolecular disulphide bond(s) (Figure 5B). Notably, incubation with either Trx, x or y2, in the presence of a low concentration of DTT, which slightly reduced 2-Cys Prx (Figure 5B), resulted in the reduction of 2-Cys Prx, as the dimeric form of the enzyme shifted to the monomeric form in a concentration-dependent manner, indicating the redox interaction between both enzymes. However, while almost 40% of reduction of 2-Cys Prx was achieved with 0.5 µM concentration of Trx x, the concentration of Trx y2 to obtain a similar level of
2-Cys Prx reduction was three-fold higher (Figure 5C), indicating that Trx y2 reduces 2-Cys Prx with lower efficiency than Trx x.

The fact that Trx y2 reduces 2-Cys Prx in vitro (Figure 5B,C) and interacts with both isoforms, A and B, in planta (Figure 5A) raises the question of the functional relationship between these enzymes. To address this issue, we performed thiol-labelling experiments with the alkylation agent methylmaleimide-(polyethylene glycol)24 (MM(PEG)24) to monitor the redox balance of 2-Cys Prxs in wild type and mutant plants exposed to different light intensities (Figure 6A). The almost identical Arabidopsis 2-Cys Prxs, A and B, arrange as head-to-tail homodimers, each monomer containing two Cys residues, peroxidatic and resolving, that participate in catalysis [53]. Therefore, in alkylation experiments, 2-Cys Prxs are visualized in three different bands, the upper and intermediate bands corresponding to the fully reduced and single-disulphide forms, monomers containing two (2 SH) or one (1 SH) Cys in its thiolic form, respectively. The lowest, unshifted, band corresponds to the fully reduced and single-disulphide forms, monomers containing two (2 SH) or one (1 SH) Cys in its thiolic form, respectively. The lowest, unshifted, band corresponds to the fully reduced and single-disulphide forms, monomers containing two (2 SH) or one (1 SH) Cys in its thiolic form, respectively. The lowest, unshifted, band corresponds to the fully reduced and single-disulphide forms, monomers containing two (2 SH) or one (1 SH) Cys in its thiolic form, respectively. The lowest, unshifted, band corresponds to the fully reduced and single-disulphide forms, monomers containing two (2 SH) or one (1 SH) Cys in its thiolic form, respectively.

To address this issue, we performed thiol-labelling experiments with the alkylation agent methylmaleimide-(polyethylene glycol)24 (MM(PEG)24) to monitor the redox balance of 2-Cys Prxs in wild type and mutant plants exposed to different light intensities (Figure 6A). The almost identical Arabidopsis 2-Cys Prxs, A and B, arrange as head-to-tail homodimers, each monomer containing two Cys residues, peroxidatic and resolving, that participate in catalysis [53]. Therefore, in alkylation experiments, 2-Cys Prxs are visualized in three different bands, the upper and intermediate bands corresponding to the fully reduced and single-disulphide forms, monomers containing two (2 SH) or one (1 SH) Cys in its thiolic form, respectively. The lowest, unshifted, band corresponds to the fully reduced and single-disulphide forms, monomers containing two (2 SH) or one (1 SH) Cys in its thiolic form, respectively.
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**Figure 6.** In vivo redox state of 2-Cys Prxs in mutant plants devoid of Trxs y. Plants of the wild type and mutant lines, as indicated, were grown under long day conditions for 4 weeks. Whole rosettes were harvested at the end of the period of darkness (D) and then illuminated for 30 min with light intensities of 125 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ (growth light) or 450 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ (high light). (A) The in vivo redox state of 2-Cys Prxs was determined by alkylation using the thiol-labelling agent methylmaleimide-(polyethylene glycol)24 (MM(PEG)24). Mock indicates a control sample treated with alkylation buffer without MM(PEG)24. Protein extracts were subjected to SDS–PAGE under reducing conditions, transferred onto nitrocellulose filter and probed with an anti-2-Cys Prx antibody; 0 SH, 1 SH and 2 SH indicate reduction of none, one or the two cysteine residues, respectively, of 2-Cys Prxs. (B) Band intensities were quantified (GelAnalyzer), and the proportion of reduced protein was calculated as the ratio between the sums of half-reduced and fully reduced forms (1 SH + 2 SH) and the sum of oxidized (0 SH) and reduced forms (1 SH+2 SH). Each value is the mean of three independent experiments ± standard error (SE). Asterisks indicate significant differences between mean values (*, p < 0.05 and **, p < 0.01, Student’s t test).

![Figure 6](image-url)
These observations agree with previous results [37,54] showing that the deficiency of NTRC resulted in minor differences in the redox state of 2-Cys Prx in either dark or light conditions. It should be emphasized, however, that it was previously reported that 2-Cys Prxs were remarkably oxidized in dark-adapted leaves of ntrc mutants grown under a short-day photoperiod [26,31]. These discrepancies might be explained by differences in plant material (whole rosettes or leaves) and/or growth conditions (long- or short-day photoperiod). In this regard, it was recently reported that photosynthetic parameters, and probably the content and/or redox state of chloroplast proteins, are impaired to different extents in young and mature leaves of ntrc mutants [54]; thus leaf age may also contribute to the variability of the 2-Cys Prx redox state determinations. Nevertheless, our results support the notion that 2-Cys Prxs act as a sink of reducing equivalents from Trxs, which become more prominent in the absence of NTRC.

Indeed, the ntrc-trxx mutant, which is simultaneously deficient in NTRC and Trx x, exhibited decreased levels of the reduced forms of 2-Cys Prxs, supporting the notion that these enzymes are efficiently reduced by NTRC and Trx x. On the contrary, 2-Cys Prx reduction was similarly affected in ntrc and ntrc-trxy1y2 (Figure 6A,B). Thus, the imbalance of the 2-Cys Prxs redox state, slightly more oxidized in the ntrc-trxx than in the ntrc-trxy1y2 mutant, is expected to increase the drainage of reducing equivalents from Trxs m and f, thereby impairing the light dependent reduction of FBPase in the ntrc-trxx mutant, but not in the ntrc-trxy1y2 mutant (Figure 4A,B). Taken together, these combined results suggest that the activity of Trx y exert a minor contribution, if any, on the redox state of 2-Cys Prxs.

3.4. The Absence of Trx y Barely Affects the Response of Plants to Oxidative Stress

The results described above indicate that the activity of Trx y, regardless of the photoperiod and developmental stage, exerts a moderate contribution to plant performance under standard growth conditions. Nevertheless, earlier work proposed the participation of Trx y in the antioxidant response under several abiotic stresses. In particular, the activity of the methionine sulfoxide reductase, an enzyme mediating the regeneration of the reduced form of methionine in proteins, was lowered in mutant plants devoid of Trx y grown under high light [13]. Moreover, depletion of Trx y led to an increased oxidation of the ascorbate pool under water deprivation [43]. Hence, we aimed to examine whether NTRC affects the contribution of Trx y to chloroplast protection against oxidative stress. To that end, we evaluated the effect of methyl viologen (MV), which induces the production of ROS within illuminated chloroplasts under different plant developmental stages. The oxidative stress response during early stages was tested by monitoring root formation of seedlings grown in plates supplemented with methyl viologen (Figure S6). While root growth in the presence of the photooxidative agent was impaired in all lines under study, both ntrc and ntrc-trxy1y2 mutants displayed a similar response to the treatment as shown by the rate of root growth of seedlings. Finally, the oxidative response at the adult stage was examined by monitoring the Fv/Fm, defining the maximal PSII quantum yield, in MV-sprayed plants (Figure 7A,B). The general trend was that after 1 day of the treatment, all lines under analysis responded similarly as shown by decreased Fv/Fm values. Interestingly, after 4 days of the treatment, Fv/Fm was partially recovered in wild type, and, to a lower extent, in trxx and trxy1y2 mutants, but was further decreased in single and multiple ntrc mutants. The response to MV depends on ROS-scavenging enzymes, such as chloroplast peroxidases [55]. Biochemical studies reported that several chloroplast enzymes with antioxidant function receive reducing power from type y Trxs. In particular, both Trx y isoforms are capable of reducing Prx Q [7,10,27,41] and glutathione peroxidase [42], suggesting that Trx y may function as in vivo reductants for these enzymes. Nevertheless, the fact that the oxidative stress response is unaffected by depletion of Trxs, x or y, and similarly impaired in the ntrc, ntrc-trxx and ntrc-trxy1y2 mutants, suggests the predominant role of NTRC in abiotic stress tolerance.
**Figure 7.** Oxidative stress response in mutant plants devoid of Trxs y. (A) Wild type and mutant lines were grown under long-day photoperiod for 4 weeks and sprayed with methyl viologen (15 µM) in 0.025% Tween-20. The \( F_{v}/F_{m} \), representing the maximum potential quantum efficiency of photosystem II, was measured before (mock) and after (1 and 4 days) the treatment with methyl viologen. \( F_{v}/F_{m} \) is represented as average values ± standard error (SE) of 7 (mock) or 14 (methyl viologen) plants. Letters indicate significant differences \((p < 0.05)\) determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test. (B) False-color images representing \( F_{v}/F_{m} \) in mock- and methyl viologen-treated plants. Signal intensities (from 0 to 1.0) are indicated according to the color scale bar.
4. Conclusions

Previous analyses of the genetic interaction of NTRC and chloroplast Trxs have shown that simultaneous deficiencies of these enzymes result in a dramatic inhibition of growth [29,30,40], which correlates with the disruption of the redox homeostasis in the organelle [31,37]. One conclusion drawn from these studies was that the activity of NTRC is required for the function of different Trxs, namely the f, x and, probably, the m subtypes. In this study, we explored whether NTRC acts as a master regulator of the pool of chloroplast Trxs by analyzing its functional relationship with Trxs y. The current knowledge of redox regulation of photosynthetic metabolism (recently reviewed in [24]) establishes that the NTRC-2-Cys Prx system controls the reducing capacity of chloroplast Trxs and, consequently, the reductive activation of their target enzymes during the day [26,31,37] and its oxidative inactivation during the night [36,38,39]. Trx y2 is able to transfer reducing equivalents to 2-Cys Prxs and interact with them in planta; thus, a possible explanation for the decreased growth rosettes in the ntrc-trxy1y2 mutant could be a further redox imbalance of 2-Cys Prxs in these plants, which potentially would impair regulation of a large set of chloroplast enzymes. If this was the case, the combined deficiencies of NTRC and Trxs y would aggravate the redox imbalance of 2-Cys Prxs and, consequently, of downstream targets such as FBPDase. Nevertheless, the in vivo redox state of neither 2-Cys Prxs nor FBPDase was significantly affected in the absence of Trxs y, questioning the physiological relevance of the interaction between Trxs y and 2-Cys Prxs. Finally, we cannot rule out the possibility that these phenotypic effects are caused by selectivity toward a particular chloroplast enzyme(s). In this regard, Trxs y can transfer reducing equivalents to additional thiol peroxidases such as Prx Q [7,10,27,41] and glutathione peroxidases [42], among other targets [7,13,43]. The physiological significance of these activities should be investigated in future studies.
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