Significance of Modified Widal Test with Conventional Widal Test in the Diagnosis of Enteric Fever
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A B S T R A C T

Enteric fever is a systemic infection caused by Salmonella serotypes; S. typhi, S. paratyphi A, S. paratyphi B, S. paratyphi C and S. sendai. Worldwide over 2.16 million cases and 216000 deaths and 90% of these cases and deaths have resulted in Asia in the year 2000. Rising titre in conventional Widal test is the commonly used test for diagnosis of enteric fever. To compare conventional tube Widal test with the modified tube Widal test in the diagnosis of recent and old enteric fever. Fifty samples each were collected aseptically from positive slide Widal agglutination test and normal healthy individuals. Serum separated was used for both the conventional and modified tube Widal test. The modified tube Widal test was done using mercaptoethanol method. In conventional Widal test, majority of the patients showed a titre of antibodies for ‘O’ antigens from 1:160 (56 %) to 1:320 (30 %) and for H antigens from 1:160 (38 %) to 1:320 (48 %). Titres after treatment of serum samples with 2-Mercaptoethanol showed a significant fall in titre of 1:40 (%) followed by 1:80 (%) for O and H antigens respectively. A single test of conventional Widal test combined with modified Widal test is sufficient to differentiate recent and old enteric fever.
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Introduction

Enteric fever is a systemic infection caused by Salmonella serotypes; S. typhi, S. paratyphi A, S. paratyphi B, S. paratyphi C and S. sendai (Mandell GL et al, Parker MT). It is characterized by malaise, fever, abdominal discomfort, transient rash, splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, bradycardia, and leucopenia. Major complications are intestinal hemorrhage and perforation (Hook EW et al). It is still an important public health problem in developing countries. Worldwide over 2.16 million cases and 216000 deaths have resulted due to typhoid. Among them 90% of these cases and deaths have resulted in Asia in the year 2000. (Crump JA et al)

Isolation of the organism from bone marrow (85-95%), blood (70%) and stool (45-65%) is currently considered the most reliable diagnostic method. (Gilman RH et al) As culture facilities are not available in all the hospitals, diagnosis will be usually based on the clinical findings along with Widal test.
The commonly used Widal test is a tube agglutination test for the O and H antigens of *S. typhi*, and H antigens of *S. paratyphi* A and *S. paratyphi* B, which should show a significant rise in titre subsequently. (Senewiratane B et al, Editorial Br. Med J 1978:1:389) Negative Widal test is considered as reliable than the positive one and the information provided by the test is not adequate as the titre may be due to nonspecific causes (Pai et al).

So the four fold rise in titre with paired sera taken 4 to 5 days apart is considered as more reliable for the diagnosis of enteric fever. (Editorial Br. Med J 1978:1:389) This is rarely done as the patient will be treated with the report of a single Widal test. So the need for the modification of Widal test was considered using 2-mercaptopoeethanol (2-ME) in order to improve the diagnostic capability. 2-mercaptopoeethanol easily denatures the IgM class of antibodies, so if a decrease in the titer is seen after using this agent, it means that the contribution of IgM has been removed leaving the IgG component. This differentiation of antibody classes is important; as it allows for the distinction of a recent from an old infection. (Widal test. elearning.uokerbala.edu.iq)

Hence this study was done to differentiate the recent infection from the old infection to give an adequate information with a single Widal test titre to the clinicians for treating the disease earlier.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in the department of microbiology of Rajarajeswari Medical College and Hospital over a period of 6 months. Ethical committee clearance was taken from our institutional ethical committee. Fifty serum samples were selected as the test group for the conventional and modified tube agglutination test which were positive in slide agglutination test. Fifty normal healthy individuals with no history of any fever or immunization against typhoid and paratyphoid fever were selected as controls.

Samples were collected aseptically and serum was separated for both the conventional and modified tube Widal test.

Conventional Widal Test

This test was performed by using the commercially available antigen from span diagnostics ltd by Tube agglutination method.

Serial dilutions of patient serum for somatic antigens of *Salmonella typhi* (TO) and flagellar antigens of *Samlonella typhi* (TH), *Salmonella paratyphi* A (AH) and *Salmonella paratyphi* B (BH) from 1:20 to 1:640 was prepared. The tubes were incubated overnight at 37°C. Reading were taken in comparison with the controls. The tube with highest dilution showing agglutination was taken as titre of the serum (Ananthanarayan R, Panikar CKJ, Myers and Koshis).

Modified Widal Test

This test was done using mercaptoethanol method. (Cruickshank R et al) Eight serial dilutions of serum from 1:20 to 1: 640 was done using 0.05 M 2-Mercaptoethanol solution in normal saline. The serum was kept in the water bath at 37°C for 15 mins. The rest of the procedure was done as in the conventional Widal test.

Statistical Analysis

The data was analyzed using percentile.
Results and Discussion

A total of 50 serum samples from control subjects and 50 serum samples from the known slide Widal test positive cases were taken over a period of 6 months for this study. The age ranged from 3 to 60 years with a mean of 27 years. Male: female ratio was 1:0.95 in this study. The control group of serum samples showed a baseline titres of 1:40 for somatic antigens of \textit{Salmonella typhi} (TO) and flagellar antigens of \textit{Salmonella typhi} (TH), \textit{Salmonella paratyphi A} (AH) and \textit{Salmonella paratyphi B} (BH) except in four samples where the baseline titres were 1:80 in both conventional and modified Widal test.

The titres by conventional tube Widal test for the ‘O’ and ‘H’ antigens are shown in the table 1.

Majority of the patients showed a titre of antibodies for ‘O’ antigens from 1:160 (56\%) to 1:320 (30\%) and for H antigens from 1:160 (38\%) to 1:320 (48\%). Only two patients (4\%) showed 1:80 titres for O antigens and seven patients (14\%) showed titres of 1:640 for H antigens. The titres by Modified tube Widal test for the ‘O’ and ‘H’ antigens are shown in the table 2.

Table.1 Conventional Tube Widal Test Titres

| Antigens  | Titres of Antibodies |
|-----------|----------------------|
|           | 1:20 | 1:40 | 1:80 | 1:160 | 1:320 | ≥ 1:640 |
| O (S. typhi) | 0    | 0    | 2    | 28    | 15    | 5       |
| H (S. typhi) | 0    | 0    | 0    | 19    | 24    | 7       |

Table.2 Modified Tube Widal Test Titres

| Antigens  | Titres of Antibodies |
|-----------|----------------------|
|           | 1:20 | 1:40 | 1:80 | 1:160 | 1:320 | ≥ 1:640 |
| O (S. typhi) | 0    | 45   | 5    | 0     | 0     | 0       |
| H (S. typhi) | 0    | 32   | 14   | 4     | 0     | 0       |

After treatment of serum samples with 2-Mercaptoethanol showed a significant decrease in titre for both O and H antigens. A titre of 1:40 and 1:80 were 90\% and 10\% for O antigens and 64\% and 28\% for H antigens respectively.

The serum samples of test group did not show any difference in titres for both the conventional and modified Widal test. There was no difference in titres for the \textit{S. paratyphi A} and \textit{S. paratyphi B} antigens in clinically suspected enteric cases and normal individuals by both the conventional and modified Widal test in our study.

The Widal agglutination test which was developed by F Widal in 1896 is the commonest test used for the diagnosis of enteric fever for more than 100 years. (Widal FM, Parry CM et al ) O (predominantly IgM) and H (IgG and IgM) agglutinating antibodies are used in this test to detect the enteric fever. The value of a single Widal test has been still in debate while four fold rise in antibody titre has been of some significance in the diagnosis of enteric fever (Ananthanarayan R, Panikar CKJ, Sharma I et al).

It’s not always possible to wait till the rise in
titre and the rise in titre can be due to non-
salmonella infections and
hyperglobulinaemic conditions as well
(kumar et al) have showed that the IgM
antibody is raised in the first three weeks
which is inactivated by 2-ME and IgG
antibody raises after 3 weeks of infection
due to Salmonella. These antibodies are also
seen in high levels in people from
developing countries.

Adopting the method of inactivating IgM
using 2-ME and determining the fall in titre
of IgM antibodies was done in this study.
There was a 4 fold decrease in O and H
antibodies in our study whereas there was no
difference in titre in control subjects. A
study conducted by Pai AP using 50
bacteriologically positive cases of
Salmonellatyphi infection and 50 healthy
individuals showed the same results as our
study. Rao BN et al has also reported that
there was a 4 fold difference in the titre in
the modified Widal test from 50 cases in the
test group. Ratan et al has shown the same
results as the other authors in 25 cases of
test and control group.

Limitations of our study: 1) Sample size of
our study was small which can be improved
by increasing the number of patients and 2)
Correlation with blood culture was not done
in our study.

In conclusion, simultaneous use of modified
Widal test and conventional Widal test on a
single serum sample will lead to early
diagnosis of enteric fever with the
 distinction of early and late stage of enteric
fever. The modified Widal test can also
decrease the false positive results.
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