The dynamic interaction of AMBRA1 with the dynein motor complex regulates mammalian autophagy
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Autophagy is an evolutionary conserved catabolic process involved in several physiological and pathological processes such as cancer and neurodegeneration. Autophagy initiation signaling requires both the ULK1 kinase and the BECLIN 1–VPS34 core complex to generate autophagosomes, double-membraned vesicles that transfer cellular contents to lysosomes. In this study, we show that the BECLIN 1–VPS34 complex is tethered to the cytoskeleton through an interaction between the BECLIN 1–interacting protein AMBRA1 and dynein light chains 1/2. When autophagy is induced, ULK1 phosphorylates AMBRA1, releasing the autophagy core complex from dynein. Its subsequent relocalization to the endoplasmic reticulum enables autophagosome nucleation. Therefore, AMBRA1 constitutes a direct regulatory link between ULK1 and BECLIN 1–VPS34, which is required for core complex positioning and activity within the cell. Moreover, our results demonstrate that in addition to a function for microtubules in mediating autophagosome transport, there is a strict and regulatory relationship between cytoskeleton dynamics and autophagosome formation.

Introduction

Autophagy is a cellular process mediating degradation of bulk cytoplasm, long-lived proteins, and entire organelles (Klionsky, 2007; Mizushima, 2007). In this process, double-membraned vesicles, termed autophagosomes, wrap around portions of cytosol and transport them to the lysosome for degradation (Xie and Klionsky, 2007). In mammalian cells, mTOR is a nutrient sensor that represses autophagy and regulates protein synthesis (Hay and Sonenberg, 2004). The serine/threonine kinase ULK1 (a yeast ATG1 orthologue involved in mATG9 trafficking; Kuroyanagi et al., 1998; Young et al., 2006) plays an essential role downstream of mTOR on the regulation of autophagy. As described previously (Hosokawa et al., 2009), mTOR inhibits ULK1 activity by binding and phosphorylating it. After nutrient deprivation, mTOR dissociates from ULK1, allowing its activation. A few ULK1 targets have been identified so far, such as its interactors FIP200 and ATG13. However, a molecular link between ULK1 activity and autophagosome formation is still missing. Autophagosome formation requires phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI3P; Xie and Klionsky, 2007) and is believed to occur in specific subdomains of the ER, termed omegasomes (Axe et al., 2008; Hayashi-Nishino et al., 2009). Recent data suggest the mitochondria as additional sites of autophagosome formation (Hailey et al., 2010). BECLIN 1 and VPS34, which form a class III phosphatidylinositol 3-OH kinase (PI3K) complex, generate PI3P at the omegasome and are crucial for autophagosome nucleation (Suzuki and Ohsumi, 2007; Cecconi and Levine, 2008; Itakura et al., 2008; Levine and Kroemer, 2008; Sun et al., 2008; Matsunaga et al., 2009; Zhong et al., 2009).
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Recent findings provide strong biochemical evidence that mammalian BECLIN 1 exists in distinct class III PI3K complexes. Like in yeast, each complex seems to have a core consisting of BECLIN 1, VPS34, and VPS15 (Cecconi and Levine, 2008) and specific interactors, such as ATG14/BARKOR, UVRAG, or RUBICON, conferring them distinct functions in membrane trafficking (Itakura et al., 2008; Jahreiss et al., 2008; Kimura et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2008; Matsunaga et al., 2009; Zhong et al., 2009). AMBRA1 has been identified as a crucial factor in regulating autophagy in vertebrates (Fimia et al., 2007). Its inactivation in vivo gives rise to defects in the developing nervous system and to embryonic death (Fimia et al., 2007; Cecconi et al., 2008). AMBRA1 promotes BECLIN 1 interaction with its target lipid kinase VPS34, thus mediating autophagosome nucleation (Fimia et al., 2007).

Once formed, the autophagosome moves toward the lysosome along the microtubules by means of the dynein motor complex (Ravikumar et al., 2005; Köchl et al., 2006; Jahreiss et al., 2008; Kimura et al., 2008). Besides its role as a cytoskeletal motor (King, 2000; Höök and Vallee, 2006), the dynein complex is also a docking system for regulatory factors involved in several signaling pathways (Jaffrey and Snyder, 1996; Crépieux et al., 1997; Campbell et al., 1998; Puthalakath et al., 1999, 2001; Herzig et al., 2000; Kaiser et al., 2003; Machado et al., 2003; Vadlamudi et al., 2004; Varadi et al., 2004). In particular, dynein light chain 1 (DLC1) and DLC2 are involved in cell death regulation by sequestering proapoptotic proteins (Puthalakath et al., 1999, 2001). In this study, we report that autophagy induction is regulated by a dynamic interaction between the BECLIN 1 core complex and the dynein motor complex, mediated by a direct binding between AMBRA1 and DLC1/2. Autophagy induction leads to the release of the BECLIN 1 core complex from dynein through an ULK1-dependent AMBRA1 phosphorylation. This event allows the translocation of the core complex to the ER, where it can prime autophagosome formation.

Figure 1. **AMBRA1 interacts with DLC1.** (A) AMBRA1–DLC1 interaction in mammalian cells. 2F cells were infected with a retroviral vector encoding HA-DLC1 or an empty vector (control [Ctr]). Protein extracts were subjected to IP using an anti-HA antibody (IP HA; DLC1) or corresponding total extracts were analyzed by WB using an anti-AMBRA1 (WB AMBRA1; left) or anti-HA antibodies (WB HA; right). (B) AMBRA1–DLC1 interaction in mouse embryos. Protein extracts from embryos at developmental day 14.5 wild-type (+/+), heterozygous (+/gt), and homozygous (gt/gt) for the *Ambra1* gene trap mutation were subjected to IP using an anti-AMBRA1 antibody (IP AMBRA1). Purified complexes and corresponding total extracts were analyzed by WB using an anti-DLC1 antibody (WB Dlc1). (C) AMBRA1–DLC1 colocalization in mammalian cells. Immunogold analysis of 2F cells coexpressing HA-DLC1 and AMBRA1. 15-nm and 5-nm gold particles label the AMBRA1 and DLC1 proteins, respectively. Black arrows point to AMBRA1–DLC1-colocalizing proteins, whereas white arrows indicate single DLC1 molecule. A larger, low-magnification area of the same section is shown in Fig. S1 A. Bar, 45 nm. (D and E) Characterization of the DLC1-interacting domain of AMBRA1. (D) 2F cells were coinfected with retroviral vectors encoding HA-DLC1 and the indicated Myc-tagged AMBRA1 proteins or Myc-tagged *β-GAL* as a negative control. FL, full length; F1–3, fragments 1–3 (a scheme of the AMBRA1 mutants with the corresponding amino acid sequence boundary is reported; the light gray bar indicates the DLC1-interacting fragment). Protein extracts were subjected to IP using an anti-Myc antibody (IP Myc). Purified complexes and corresponding total extracts were analyzed by WB using an anti-Myc (WB Myc; top) and anti-HA antibody (WB HA [DLC1]; bottom). (E) 2F cells were coinfected with retroviral vectors encoding HA-tagged DLC1 and the Myc-tagged AMBRA1 FL or AMBRA1 mutants TAT1 and TAT2. The positions within the AMBRA1 sequence of the two TQT domains (1 and 2), which were mutated in the TAT1 and TAT2. The positions within the AMBRA1 sequence of the two TQT domains (1 and 2), which were mutated in the TAT1 and TAT2 mutants, are shown (gray boxes). Protein extracts were subjected to IP using an anti-Myc antibody (IP Myc). Purified complexes and corresponding total extracts were analyzed by WB using an anti-Myc (WB Myc; top) and anti-HA antibody (WB HA [DLC1]; bottom).
Results

AMBRA1 interacts with DLC1

To dissect the molecular mechanisms of AMBRA1 function, we performed a yeast two-hybrid assay using a cDNA encoding the C-terminal 533–1,269 amino acids of the human AMBRA1 protein. By screening a human brain cDNA library, we isolated, as an AMBRA1 interactor, the DLC 1 protein (also known as DYNLL1, LC8, and PIN).

First, we decided to confirm the AMBRA1–DLC1 interaction in vivo by a coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay. DLC1 and AMBRA1 associated effectively with each other when co-expressed in human 2FTGH (2F) fibroblasts (Fig. 1 A). Their binding was also tested in embryonic tissues, using protein extracts prepared from wild-type and Ambra1 mutant (Ambra1<sup>gt</sup>) embryos (Fimia et al., 2007). DLC1 copurified with AMBRA1 in wild-type and Ambra1<sup>+/gt</sup> samples but not in samples where both Ambra1 alleles are mutated (Ambra1<sup>gt/gt</sup>; Fig. 1 B). Consistent with their interaction in vivo, DLC1 and AMBRA1 showed a significant colocalization by immunogold assay (Fig. 1 C and Fig. S1 A).

To map the AMBRA1 region responsible for DLC1 binding, different AMBRA1 cDNA deletion constructs were transduced in 2F cells and tested for their capacity to co-IP with DLC1. As shown in Fig. 1 D, the AMBRA1 C-terminal fragment (F3 terminal) is sufficient to bind DLC1, whereas the AMBRA1 N-terminal (F1) and central regions (F2) show no interaction. By in silico analysis, we identified two putative DLC1-binding motifs (TQT; Lo et al., 2001) on the AMBRA1 C-terminal sequence. To verify whether these motifs were indeed required for interaction, we generated two mutated cDNA constructs, namely AMBRA1<sub>TAT1</sub> and AMBRA1<sub>TAT2</sub>, carrying a Q<sup>1088</sup>→A and a Q<sup>1076</sup>→A point mutation within the putative binding sites 1 and 2, respectively. By co-IP assays, we observed that both AMBRA1<sub>TAT1</sub> and AMBRA1<sub>TAT2</sub> interact with DLC1 to a complex during autophagy. 2F cells were nutrient starved for 4 h or left untreated. Protein extracts were subjected to IP using an anti-DIC (IP DIC) or an unrelated antibody (IP Ctr) as a negative control. The purified complexes were analyzed by WB together with the corresponding total extracts using anti-DIC (WB DIC; top), anti-AMBRA1 (WB AMBRA1; middle), and anti-DLC1 antibodies (WB DLC1; bottom). (C) AMBRA1 dissociates from the dynein motor complex in mouse tissues after autophagy induction. Mice from the same litter were kept without food for 24 and 48 h or fed ad libitum (−) before sacrifice and necropsy. Kidneys from these mice were homogenized and subjected to IP analysis by using an anti-DIC antibody (IP DIC) or with an unrelated antibody (IP Ctr). Protein immunocomplexes were probed together with the corresponding total extracts using anti-DIC (WB DIC, top), anti-AMBRA1 (WB AMBRA1; middle), and anti-DLC1 (WB DLC1; bottom) antibodies. (D) AMBRA1 dissociates from tubulin upon autophagy induction. 2F cells infected with retroviral vectors encoding Flag-tagged β-gal or AMBRA1 proteins were nutrient starved for 4 h or left untreated. Protein extracts were subjected to IP with an anti-Flag antibody (IP Flag). Purified proteins were eluted using the Flag peptide and analyzed by WB, together with the corresponding total extracts using anti-AMBRA1 (WB AMBRA1; top) and anti-β-tubulin antibodies (WB tubulin; bottom). (E) AMBRA1 interacts with tubulin via DLC1. 2F cells were coinfected with retroviral vectors encoding Flag-tagged β-gal and AMBRA1 proteins were nutrient starved for 4 h or left untreated. Protein extracts were subjected to IP with an anti-Flag antibody (IP Flag). Purified proteins were eluted using the Flag peptide and analyzed by WB, together with the corresponding total extracts using anti-AMBRA1 (WB AMBRA1; top) and anti-β-tubulin antibodies (WB tubulin; bottom).
Figure 3. AMBRA1 is phosphorylated during autophagy in an ULK1-dependent manner. (A) Down-regulation of ULK1 expression prevents AMBRA1 dissociation from the dynein motor complex during autophagy. ULK1 was down-regulated in 2F cells using specific siRNA oligonucleotides (siULK1). ULK1 expression level was analyzed by quantitative PCR and WB analyses (Fig. S2 A). siCtr, unrelated oligonucleotides. 48 h after transfection, 2F cells were starved for 4 h or left untreated. Protein extracts were prepared and subjected to IP using an anti-DIC (IP DIC) or an unrelated antibody (IP control [Ctr]) as a negative control. The purified complexes were analyzed by WB together with the corresponding total extracts using anti-DIC (WB DIC; bottom) and anti-AMBRA1 antibodies (WB AMBRA1; top). (B) Analysis of AMBRA1 modification after autophagy induction in control and ULK1 down-regulated cells. Protein extracts from samples as described in A were resolved by bidimensional gel electrophoresis (2DE) and analyzed by WB using an anti-AMBRA1 antibody. pI 4–7, isoelectric pH gradient 4–7; MW, molecular weight. AMBRA1 protein spots induced by starvation are indicated (arrows). Broken line highlights the isoelectric shift of the AMBRA1 isoforms produced by ULK1 activity. (C) Immunopurified ULK1 phosphorylates AMBRA1 in vitro. HEK293 cells were transfected with expression
very low extent compared with the AMBRA1 wild-type (full length [FL]) protein (Fig. 1E).

**AMBRA1 is released from the dynein motor complex upon autophagy induction**

Because of the important role played by AMBRA1 in regulating autophagy (Cecconi and Levine, 2008; Cecconi et al., 2008), we decided to analyze its interaction with DLC1 upon autophagy induction. 2F cells coexpressing AMBRA1 and DLC1 were incubated in a nutrient-free medium for 4 h and analyzed by reciprocal co-IP assays. As shown in Fig. 2A, AMBRA1–DLC1 interaction is not altered by autophagy stimulation. Accordingly, AMBRA1 shows a significant colocalization with DLC1 in 2F cells independent of nutrient supply (Fig. S1B).

Because other factors, such as the proapoptotic BH3-only protein BIM, have been shown to be regulated by a dynamic interaction with the dynein motor complex via DLC1 (Pathalakath et al., 1999), we set out to investigate (a) whether AMBRA1 is also part of this complex and, if so, the case, (b) whether AMBRA1 association to this complex is modified during autophagy. To this end, co-IP experiments were performed in 2F cells using an antibody specific for the dynein intermediate chain (DIC; Lo and Pfister, 2007). We observed that under control conditions, AMBRA1 is associated with DIC and is therefore part of the wider dynein machinery (Fig. 2B, third lane). Moreover, upon autophagy induction obtained by nutrient starvation, AMBRA1 partially dissociated from the dynein complex (Fig. 2B, fourth lane). However, only a fraction of DLC1 interacts with AMBRA1 because most DLC1 is still present in the complex, where it plays alternative functions (Fig. 2B; King, 2000). Importantly, the same interaction dynamics were also observed in kidney tissues from mice kept in the absence of food for 24–48 h, thus emphasizing the physiological occurrence of this regulation (Fig. 2C).

Consistently with its dynamic interaction with the dynein motor complex, AMBRA1 is associated to the microtubule component tubulin, from which it is released upon nutrient starvation (Fig. 2D). AMBRA1–tubulin interaction is disrupted by mutating the DLC1-binding sites on the AMBRA1 moiety, confirming that AMBRA1’s relationship with the cytoskeleton strictly depends on this accessory protein (Fig. 2E).

**Dissociation of AMBRA1 from the dynein motor complex requires ULK1 kinase activity**

To investigate the upstream regulation of AMBRA1–DLC1 release from DIC, we checked whether the serine/threonine kinase ULK1 (Kuroyanagi et al., 1998), whose yeast orthologue Atg1 is a key regulator of the preautophagosomal structure formation (Cheong et al., 2008; Kawamata et al., 2008), was essential for this dissociation upon autophagy induction. When ULK1 is down-regulated by siRNA oligonucleotides (Fig. S2A), we observed a remarkable reduction of the dissociation of AMBRA1 from DIC upon starvation conditions (Fig. 3A) accompanied by autophagy impairment (Fig. S2B). Moreover, as revealed by Western blot (WB) analysis of bidimensional gel electrophoresis, AMBRA1 is modified upon autophagy induction, and this process is inhibited by ULK1 down-regulation (Fig. 3B; and Fig. S2, C and D). In line with this observation, we found that AMBRA1 is phosphorylated by an ULK1-immunopurified complex (but not by its kinase-mutated form, K46I; Chan et al., 2009), as revealed by (a) an in vitro kinase assay, (b) AMBRA1 mobility shift assays, and (c) phosphatase treatment experiments (Fig. 3, C–F; and Fig. S2E). In contrast, no modifications were detected by bidimensional gel electrophoresis for both DIC and DLC1 (Fig. S2F). Consistent with this finding, inhibition of JNK, a crucial regulator of DLC1 phosphorylation during apoptosis induction (Lei and Davis, 2003), does not interfere with the dissociation process (Fig. S2G). Also, as expected, inhibition of BECLIN 1–VPS34 activity, a downstream event in autophagosome formation, does not interfere with the AMBRA1–DLC1 dissociation from DIC (Fig. S2H). Altogether, these data showed that AMBRA1–DLC1 dissociates from the dynein complex upon ULK1-dependent AMBRA1 phosphorylation.

**AMBRA1–BECLIN 1 complex relocates to the ER upon autophagy induction**

Interestingly, in parallel to the AMBRA1 dissociation from the dynein complex, autophagy induction led to an increase of AMBRA1 in the cell perinuclear region (Fig. 4A, top). Therefore, we set out to establish which subcellular compartment AMBRA1 translocated to upon autophagy induction. By means of subcellular markers for ER, autophagosomes, mitochondria, Golgi cisternae, early endosomes, and lysosomes, a partial relocation of AMBRA1 was detected in the ER of 2F cells induced to autophagy by nutrient starvation (Fig. 4A; and Fig. S3, A–J). AMBRA1 translocation from the dynein complex to the ER was confirmed by a subcellular fractionation assay (Fig. 4B).

These results prompted us to verify whether the dynamic interaction of AMBRA1 with the dynein motor involved other components of the class III PI3-kinase complex (Xie and

---

**vectors encoding either AMBRA1 or ULK1 Myc-tagged proteins.** 24 h after transfection, ULK1-expressing cells were nutrient starved for 2 h to stimulate ULK1 activity. Protein extracts were prepared from transfected cells and subject to IP using an anti-Myc antibody (IP Myc). An aliquot of the immunoprecipitated proteins was analyzed by WB using an anti-Myc antibody (WB Myc) to check for protein purification (right). Immunopurified ULK1 proteins were subjected to an in vitro kinase assay in the presence (+) or absence (−) of immunopurified AMBRA1 as described in Materials and methods. As negative control for kinase activity, protein extracts were prepared from transfected cells and subjected to IP using an anti-Myc antibody (IP Myc). The reactions were resolved on SDS-PAGE and [32P]-labeled proteins revealed by autoradiography (left). (D) Electrophoretic mobility hypershift of AMBRA1 upon autophagy induction. HEK293 cells were nutrient-starved for 1, 2, and 3 h. Protein extracts prepared, loaded on a polyacrylamide gel (see Materials and methods) and analyzed by WB using an anti-AMBRA1 antibody (WB AMBRA1). (E) ULK1 phosphorylates AMBRA1. HEK293 cells were transfected with expression vectors encoding AMBRA1–Flag together with wild-type [Wt] ULK1 or K46I ULK1 Myc-tagged proteins. 48 h after transfection, protein extracts were prepared and subjected to WB using an anti-AMBRA1 antibody (WB AMBRA1). (F) HEK293 cells were transfected with an expression vector encoding Myc-tagged wild-type ULK1. 48 h after transfection, cells were lysed with HEMG and subjected to an in vitro α-phosphatase assay as described in Materials and methods. After denaturation, protein extracts were subjected to WB using anti-AMBRA1 (WB AMBRA1; left) and anti-Myc (WB Myc; right) antibodies.
Klionsky, 2007). Co-IP experiments showed that both VPS34 and BECLIN 1 are associated to AMBRA1 and DLC1 before and after autophagy stimulation (Fig. 5, A and B). However, BECLIN 1–DLC1 association is mediated by AMBRA1, as demonstrated by means of the AMBRA1^{TAT2} mutant, which prevents this interaction (Fig. 5 C). Similarly to AMBRA1, we also detected the dynamic interaction of BECLIN 1 with DIC (Fig. 5 D). Moreover, subcellular fractionation assays and confocal analysis showed that BECLIN 1 follows the AMBRA1 translocation pattern after autophagy induction (Fig. 4 B and Fig. S3 K). Accordingly, VPS34 activity is also detectable at the sites of translocation of AMBRA1, as revealed by partial costaining with the PI3P-interacting protein GFP-DFCP1 (Fig. S3 L; Vieira et al., 2001). In particular, AMBRA1 localization is very intense in close proximity with the DFCP1-positive puncta, a hallmark of the omegasomes, which have been proposed as the autophagosome formation sites at the ER (Fig. S3 M; Vieira et al., 2001).

It should be noted that a fraction of BECLIN 1, restricted to the trans-Golgi network (Kihara et al., 2001), does not colocalize with AMBRA1 (Fig. S3 K), suggesting that two pools of BECLIN 1 may be differently regulated within the cell. Notably, AMBRA1 down-regulation by RNAi impairs BECLIN 1 translocation to the ER upon autophagy induction, without altering its protein levels (Fig. 5, E–H).

In the light of these results, we propose that, similarly to BIM regulation during apoptosis (Puthalakath et al., 1999), the dynein motor complex might play a tethering/docking role in regulating autophagy by its association with AMBRA1 and the multimolecular BECLIN 1–VPS34 autophagosome nucleation complex.

**DLC1 down-regulation induces AMBRA1-dependent autophagy**

To elucidate the functional role of DLC1–AMBRA1 interaction in autophagy, we decided to test whether DLC1 modulation resulted in autophagy dysregulation. To this end, DLC1 expression was down-regulated in 2F cells by transfection of DLC1-specific siRNA oligonucleotides (Fig. 6 A), and autophagy occurrence was analyzed. We assessed both conversion of LC3-I to its cleaved and lipidated form LC3-II and its translocation to autophagic structures, two steps in autophagosome formation (Kabeya et al., 2000). We also analyzed autophagy by cell ultrastructural analysis and by measuring the increase in acidic vesicular organelles (AVOs; Paglin et al., 2001). DLC1 down-regulation led to a remarkable increase in the number of autophagosome both in basal condition and during starvation- or rapamycin-induced autophagy (Fig. 6, B–D; and Fig. S4, A–C). This effect was also obtained in different cell types.
specifically induced by DLC1 down-regulation, autophagy occurrence was analyzed in 2F cells transfected with siRNA oligonucleotides specific for other cytosolic members of the DLC family (Wilson et al., 2001), namely dynein TCTEX light chain 1, dynein ROADBLOCK light chain 1, and DLC2. Among these, only DLC2 down-regulation induced autophagy, although to a lesser extent than DLC1 (Fig. S4, G–M).

Figure 5. **AMBRA1 is required for BECLIN 1 translocation to the ER after autophagy induction.** (A) VPS34–AMBRA1 interaction upon autophagy induction. 2F cells infected with retroviral vectors encoding βgal Myc or AMBRA1 Myc proteins were nutrient starved for 4 h (+) or left untreated (−). Protein extracts were subjected to IP with an anti-Myc antibody (IP Myc). Purified complexes were analyzed together with the corresponding total extracts by WB using an anti-VPS34 antibody (WB VPS34). (B) BECLIN 1 interacts with DLC1. 2F cells were transiently transfected with expression plasmids encoding βgal or Flag-tagged BECLIN 1 and nutrient starved for 4 h (+) or left untreated (−). Protein extracts were subjected to IP using an anti-Flag antibody. Purified complexes were analyzed together with the corresponding total extracts by WB using an anti-BECLIN 1 antibody (WB BECLIN 1; top), anti-AMBRA1 (WB AMBRA1; middle), and anti-DLC1 antibodies (WB DLC1; bottom). (C) BECLIN 1 binding to DLC1 is mediated by AMBRA1. 2F cells were transiently transfected with expression plasmids encoding βgal or Flag-tagged AMBRA1 full length (FL) or TAT2. Protein extracts were subjected to IP using an anti-DIC antibody. Purified complexes were analyzed together with the corresponding total extracts by WB using an anti-BECLIN 1 antibody (WB BECLIN 1; top), anti-Myc (WB AMBRA1; middle), and anti-DLC1 antibodies (WB DLC1; bottom). (D) Dynamic interaction of BECLIN 1 with the dynein motor complex during autophagy. Protein extracts from AMBRA1 expressing 2F cells were subjected to IP using an anti-DIC antibody (IP DIC) or an unrelated antibody (IP control [Ctr]). The purified complexes were analyzed together with the corresponding total extracts by WB using an anti-BECLIN 1 antibody (WB BECLIN 1; right). The BECLIN 1–DIC interaction was also analyzed in untreated (−) or starved (+) cells. (E) 2F cells were transfected with AMBRA1 siRNA (siAMBRA1) or unrelated oligonucleotides (siCtr). 24 h after transfection, cells were starved for 4 h or left untreated, fixed, and stained with the anti–BECLIN 1 (red) and anti-ERp57 (green) antibodies. (F) AMBRA1 down-regulation impaired BECLIN 1 translocation to ER. 2F cells were transfected with AMBRA1 RNAi oligonucleotides (siAMBRA1) or unrelated oligonucleotides as a control (siCtr). Postmitochondrial protein extracts from 2F cells nutrient starved for 4 h or left untreated were fractionated by discontinuous sucrose gradient sedimentation (see Materials and methods). (G) Gradient fractions (1–13) were analyzed by WB using an anti–BECLIN 1 antibody. (H) Gradient fractions were also analyzed for calnexin distribution to verify similar fractionation efficiency between siCtr and siAMBRA1 cells. The calnexin-positive fractions enriched in AMBRA1–BECLIN 1 upon starvation are indicated in red.
Figure 6. Modulation of autophagy by DLC1 down-regulation. (A) DLC1 down-regulation in GFP-LC3-expressing 2F cells using specific siRNA oligonucleotides (siDLC1a and siDLC1b). DLC1 mRNA and protein levels were analyzed by quantitative PCR (left) and WB (right). siCtr, unrelated oligo; RL, relative levels; tubulin, protein loading control. (B) Increase of basal and starvation-induced autophagy by DLC1 down-regulation. 24 h after transfection with DLC1 siRNA oligonucleotides, GFP-LC3-expressing 2F cells were starved for 4 h or left untreated, and the occurrence of autophagy was analyzed by measuring GFP-LC3 punctate–positive cells. A graph reporting data from three experiments is shown together with representative fluorescence images of siRNA oligonucleotide–transfected cells in control conditions. Bar, 20 µm. (C) Ultrastructural analysis by means of electron microscopy of ultrathin sections from 2F cells transfected with a RNAi oligonucleotide for DLC1 (siDLC1b) or an unrelated oligonucleotide (siCtr) and starved for 4 h or left untreated. Bar, 1.5 µm. (D–F) Increase of autophagosome on rate by DLC1 down-regulation. (D) After DLC1 down-regulation (siDLC1a-b), 2F cells were treated with the lysosome inhibitors E64d and pepstatin A for 4 h or left untreated, and the occurrence of autophagy was analyzed by LC3-I to LC3-II conversion. Densitometric analysis of the band density ratio of LC3-II relative to tubulin is reported with the siCtr ratio arbitrarily defined as 1.00 (Fig. S4 B). (E) mCherryLC3-expressing 2F cells transfected as in D and treated with the lysosome inhibitors E64d and pepstatin A for 4 h were stained by using the lysosome marker LAMP1 (green). Insets containing higher magnification views of the merge images are also shown. Bar, 16 µm. Colocalization was assessed by calculating the Pearson’s correlation coefficient r (mean r: siCtr, 0.24 ± 0.02; siDLC1a, 0.66 ± 0.04). (F) GFP-LC3-expressing 2F cells transfected and treated as in D were analyzed for the appearance of GFP-LC3 puncta per cell. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01. (G) Autophagy induced by DLC1 down-regulation requires AMBRA1. GFP-LC3-expressing 2F cells were transfected using DLC1 and AMBRA1 siRNA oligonucleotides (siAMBRA1) either separately or in combination. 24 h after transfection, 2F cells were incubated with Wortmannin for 4 h and analyzed for appearance of GFP-LC3 punctate staining. Error bars indicate mean ± SD of three experiments.
mCherry-LC3 degradation within the lysosome (Tanida et al., 2005). We observed that upon DLC1 down-regulation, autophagosomes are capable of fusing with lysosomes and forming autophagolysosomes (Fig. 6 E). Moreover, E64d and peptatin A enhanced the DLC1 siRNA-triggered increase of endogenous LC3-II (Fig. 6 D and Fig. S4 B) and the accumulation of GFP-LC3 puncta (Fig. 6 F). Collectively, these data imply that DLC1 inhibition increases the on rate of autophagy in cultured cells.

Next, we hypothesized that if autophagy induction by DLC1 down-regulation was caused by the release of AMBRA1 from the dynein complex, this phenomenon should be abolished by AMBRA1 inactivation. 2F cells were transfected with DLC1 siRNA oligonucleotides alone or in combination with AMBRA1 siRNA oligonucleotides and analyzed for the effect on autophagy by measuring the occurrence of GFP-LC3 puncta. As shown in Fig. 6 G, autophagy induction by DLC1 down-regulation is prevented if AMBRA1 is concomitantly inactivated, indicating that DLC1’s role in autophagy is AMBRA1 dependent. Moreover, autophagy induced by DLC1 down-regulation requires BECLIN 1–VPS34 activity, as revealed by Wortmannin-mediated PI3K inhibition (Fig. 6 H). To rule out the possibility that AMBRA1, besides its control of autophagy, could also have a role in the early endosome trafficking mediated by the dynein complex, the internalization and degradation of the EGF receptor (Driskell et al., 2007) was analyzed in cells where AMBRA1 expression had been down-regulated by siRNA oligonucleotides (Fig. S5). No significant differences were detected between AMBRA1 siRNA-transfected and control cells. Thus, AMBRA1 does not seem to play a role in early endosome trafficking of EGF receptor.

**AMBRA1 mutants unable to bind DLC1 induce constitutive autophagy**

Prompted by these data, we decided to study the autophagic potential of AMBRA1 mutants lacking the DLC1-binding domain. 2F cells were transduced with retroviruses encoding the AMBRA1 wild-type (AMBRA1 FL) or the AMBRA1^TAT1 and ^TAT2 mutants; autophagy induction was analyzed by counting GFP-LC3 punctate–positive cells or measuring the increase in AVOs. Notably, AMBRA1 defective in DLC1 binding showed a stronger ability in inducing autophagy than did the wild-type protein (Fig. 7, A and B). Moreover, AMBRA1 mutants ^TAT1 and ^TAT2 are constitutively translocated together with BECLIN 1 to the ER, even in untreated conditions (Fig. 7, C and D). These results support the hypothesis that AMBRA1 is bound in an inactive state to the dynein complex via the binding to DLC1 and that its release from the complex is an ULK1-dependent early step in AMBRA1-mediated autophagy (Fig. 8).

It is important to note that, although a mutation in the DLC1-binding domain significantly increases AMBRA1 autophagic potential, ULK1 activity is required for the stimulation of autophagy by both wild-type and AMBRA1^TAT2 mutants (Fig. S5, B–D). This result underlines how AMBRA1 release from the dynein complex is one of the ULK1-dependent regulatory steps crucial for autophagy induction, with many others that probably remain to be characterized.

**Discussion**

DLC1 is a component of the microtubule-based molecular dynein motor complex. As such, it is involved in cell division, vesicular trafficking, and ciliary/flagellar motility (King, 2000). However, DLC1 also interacts with proteins that are not directly associated with dynein- or microtubule-dependent roles, such as factors involved in apoptosis (Puthalakath et al., 1999), enzyme regulation (Jaffrey and Snyder, 1996), mitochondrial dynamics (Varadi et al., 2004), and viral pathogenesis (Raux et al., 2000). In particular, the proapoptotic BH3-only protein BIM is tethered by DLC1 on the dynein complex (Puthalakath et al., 1999), from which it is released upon induction of apoptosis by means of both DLC1 and BIM phosphorylation (triggered by p21-activated kinase 1 [Vadlamudi et al., 2004] and JNK [Lei and Davis, 2003], respectively). In this study, we show that DLC1 may also play a role in regulating autophagy through its interaction with the proautophagic protein AMBRA1. In addition to its role in carrying the autophagosome toward the lysosome (Ravikumar et al., 2005; Fass et al., 2006; Köchl et al., 2006; Jahreiss et al., 2008; Kimura et al., 2008), our results imply an alternative, more regulative role for the dynein motor complex in mediating autophagy initiation. Because microtubule dynamics are obviously linked to cell cycle regulation and cell motility, we are tempted to speculate that AMBRA1 release from microtubules may also signal to the motor complex the initiation of the autophagy process, i.e., modulating the global cytoskeleton response and rearrangement observed during autophagy.

Despite much progress in the field of autophagy, a critical question remains unanswered, i.e., how ULK1 activation and the recruitment of the ULK1–ATG13–FIP200 complex may turn out in autophagosome formation. In this study, we show that ULK1 phosphorylates AMBRA1, regulating AMBRA1–DLC1 dissociation from the dynein complex. Also, we report that AMBRA1–DLC1, upon autophagy induction, translocates from the dynein complex to the ER. Autophagosome formation is known to require PI3P (Xie and Klionsky, 2007) and is believed to occur near the ER (Juhasz and Neufeld, 2006). Recent evidence points to the existence of a PI3P-enriched membrane compartment, the omegasome, in dynamic equilibrium with the ER, which provides a platform for accumulation of autophagosomal proteins, expansion of autophagosomal membranes, and the emergence of fully formed autophagosomes (Axe et al., 2008; Hayashi-Nishino et al., 2009). Supporting this hypothesis is the fact that the antiapoptotic factors BCL2 and BCLXL, require ER localization to regulate autophagy by BECLIN 1 binding (Pattingre et al., 2005).

We previously showed that AMBRA1 is required for an effective BECLIN 1–VPS34 complex formation (Fimia et al., 2007). Now, our findings indicate that a preassembled complex, containing AMBRA1, BECLIN 1, and VPS34, translocates from the AMBRA1-docking site on the dynein motor complex to the omegasome compartment of the ER, where autophagosomes originate. An important goal for the future will be the identification of the factors responsible of docking the AMBRA1–BECLIN 1 complex on the omegasome compartment, with DFCP1 (or other PI3P-binding proteins) or...
Indeed, ULK1 regulates growth cones, filopodia extension, and axon branching; expression of the dominant-negative forms of ULK1 and ULK2 suppresses neurite extension of cerebellar granular neurons (Tomoda et al., 1999; Zhou et al., 2007; Mizushima, 2010). These roles have not yet been clearly related to ULK1 proautophagic functions. However, our findings that ULK1 is a direct regulator of AMBRA1 and that AMBRA1 is strongly expressed in the developing brain and in adult brain compartments, such as hippocampus, cerebellum, and striatum, which are all severely affected in neurodegenerative conditions. ULK proteins also play roles in neurons.

Figure 7. AMBRA1 interaction with DLC1 regulates its proautophagic function. (A and B) AMBRA1 mutants defective for DLC1 interaction have an increased autophagic potential. GFP-LC3–expressing 2F cells were transduced with retroviral vectors encoding AMBRA1 wild-type (AMBRA1 FL) or TAT1 and TAT2 mutants (AMBRA1TAT1 and TAT2) and analyzed for the appearance of GFP-LC3 punctate staining (A) or for AVO formation by FACS measurement of Acridine orange staining (B). Values represent the mean ± SD of three experiments. (C) 2F cells infected with retroviral vectors encoding Myc-tagged FL, TAT1 or TAT2 AMBRA1 proteins were fixed and stained with the anti-Myc (AMBRA1; green) and anti-ERp57 (red) antibodies. AMBRA1-FL–overexpressing cells were analyzed either in control or starvation conditions. (bottom) The images showing the merge of the two fluorescence signals are shown. Colocalization was assessed by calculating the Pearson’s correlation coefficient r of at least 10 cells analyzed in two independent experiments (mean r: AMBRA1 FL untreated, 0.30 ± 0.10; AMBRA1 FL starvation, 0.52 ± 0.12; AMBRA1TAT1 untreated, 0.54 ± 0.04; AMBRA1TAT2 untreated, 0.53 ± 0.01). A βgal retroviral vector was used as a negative control. (D) 2F cells infected with retroviral vectors encoding Myc-tagged βgal, FL AMBRA1, or TAT2 AMBRA1 proteins were fixed and stained with the anti–BEC111 (red) and anti-ERp57 (green) antibodies. (bottom) The images showing the merge of the two fluorescence signals are shown. Colocalization was assessed by calculating the Pearson’s correlation coefficient r (mean r: βgal, 0.37 ± 0.03; AMBRA1 FL, 0.44 ± 0.03; AMBRA1TAT2, 0.54 ± 0.05). Bars, 8 µm.
plays a positive role in neuron morphogenesis might imply the existence of a common pathway for these factors in neurogenesis, based on autophagy regulation.

Finally, unraveling the mechanism of autophagy initiation in higher eukaryotes is relevant for designing new molecules for interfering with this process, and moreover, the use of specific drugs able to deregulate AMBRA1–DLC1 interaction may prove highly useful in the therapeutic strategy to fight neurodegeneration.

Materials and methods

Yeast two-hybrid screening
pgBK7T-AMBRA1 was generated by cloning the C-terminal region (aa 533–1,269) of AMBRA1 into the EcoRI and SalI sites of pgBK7T (Takara Bio Inc.). A human brain cDNA library cloned in pACT2 (Takara Bio Inc.) was screened by cotransformation with the pgBK7T-AMBRA1 into AH109 yeast strain. Positive clones were selected based on their growth on Trp, Leu, Ade, and His dropout media (Takara Bio Inc.) containing 5 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole [3AT; Sigma-Aldrich]. Recovery of the plasmids and β-galactosidase [βgal] assay were performed following the manufacturer’s directions [Matchmaker two-hybrid system protocol; Takara Bio Inc.].

Cell culture
The human fibrosarcoma 2F, HEK293, and ETNA cells were cultured in DME (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FCS (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mM l-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution at 37°C (2F and HEK293) or 33°C (ETNA) under 5% CO₂. For autophagy induction, cells were treated with 0.2–2 µM rapamycin (Sigma-Aldrich) or cultured for 4 h in Earle’s balanced salt solution (Invitrogen). When indicated, cells were incubated in complete or starvation medium in the presence of 1 or 10 µM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3AT; Sigma-Aldrich). Recovery of the plasmids and β-galactosidase [βgal] assay were performed following the manufacturer’s directions [Matchmaker two-hybrid system protocol; Takara Bio Inc.].

cDNA cloning
For retroviral expression, all constructs were cloned in pCLPCX vector. BECLIN 1, GFP-LC3, GFP-p40Phox, and Fl, F1, F2, and F3 AMBRA1 vectors were previously described (Fimia et al., 2007). GFP-DFCP1 plasmid was provided by N.T. Kitakis (Babraham Institute, Cambridge, England, UK; Axe et al., 2008). UK1 constructs were provided by S.A. Tooze (London Research Institute, London, England, UK; Chan et al., 2009). The human DLC1 was cloned in frame with HA tag. AMBRA1 mutants TAT1 and TAT2 were generated by using the site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies) and cloned in frame with a Myc or Flag tag. mCherryLC3 was generated by replacing, in pCLPCX-GFP-LC3 plasmid, the GFP sequence with mCherry amplified by PCR from the pmCherry-N1 vector [Takara Bio Inc.]. mCherryAMBARA1 was generated by subcloning mCherry sequence at the 5’ end of AMBRA1 in pCLPCX-AMBRA1. pCMV Myc ER-GFP was purchased from Invitrogen. The sequences of all PCR-amplified cDNAs were verified by DNA sequencing analysis.

Retrovirus generation and infection
15 µg retroviral vectors was cotransfected with 5 µg expression plasmid for the vesicular stomatitis virus G protein into 293 gp/bsr cell line by using the calcium phosphate method. 48 h later, the supernatant containing the retroviral particles was recovered and supplemented with 4 µg/ml polybrene. 2F or ETNA cells were infected by incubation with retroviral containing supernatant for 6–8 h.

Antibodies
The following primary antibodies were used in this study: rabbit anti-Myc tag [Millipore], mouse anti-HA tag [Sigma-Aldrich], mouse anti-Flag tag [WB and EM analyses; Sigma-Aldrich], mouse anti-DIC (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit anti-ULK1 [Sigma-Aldrich], rabbit and goat anti–BECLIN 1 [WB and immunofluorescence analyses, respectively; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.], rabbit anti–BECLIN 1–VPS34 [Invitrogen], rabbit anti-LC3 [Cell Signaling Technology], rabbit anti–ULK1 [Invitrogen], rabbit anti-AMBRA1 [WB analysis; Strategic Diagnostic, Inc.], rabbit anti-AMBARA1 [IF and EM analysis; Covana], rabbit anti-AMBRA1 CT [IF and EM analysis; ProSci, Inc.], mouse anti-Erk1/2 [Stressgen], mouse anti-LAMP1 and anti-EEA1 [Abcam], mouse anti-GOLGIN [Invitrogen], mouse anti–complex V α subunit [Invitrogen], mouse anti-α-tubulin [Sigma-Aldrich], mouse anti-EGFIR [Millipore], and rabbit anti-calreticulin [Stressgen].

Autophagy assays
Autophagy was measured as described previously (Fimia et al., 2007). In brief, starvation was induced by incubating cells in Earle’s balanced salt solution medium (Sigma-Aldrich) for 4–5 h. For immunodetection of LC3 puncta, cells were grown on coverslips and fixed with 4% PFA in PBS, washed three times, and directly examined by confocal microscopy. The results indicate the percentage of GFP-LC3–positive cells with GFP-LC3 punctate dots or the numbers of GFP-LC3 punctate dots per cell. A minimum of 50–100 cells per sample was counted for triplicate samples per condition per experiment. To quantify the development of AVOs, cells were detached by trypsin digestion, washed with PBS, stained with 1 µg/ml Acridine orange (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min, and analyzed using a flow cytometer (FACScan; BD) and CellQuest software (BD). Autophagy experiments in vivo were performed using C57BL/6 mice. 5-mo-old male mice from the same littermate were kept without food but with water for 24 and 48 h or fed ad libitum before sacrifice and tissue analysis.
For electron microscopy, cells were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4, for 45 min at 4°C, rinsed in cacodylate buffer, postfixed in 1% OsO4 in cacodylate buffer, dehydrated, and embedded in epon. Ultrathin sections were briefly contrasted with uranyl acetate and photographed with an electron microscope (CM900; Carl Zeiss, Inc.).

IP and WB assays
In IP experiments, cells or tissues were lysed in HEMG buffer (25 mM Hepes, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 25 mM MgCl2, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 10% glycerol) plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors (protease inhibitor cocktail plus 1 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, and 1 mM sodium molybdate; Sigma-Aldrich). For analysis of the BECLIN 1–dynein complex, IP was performed with a different lysis buffer (40 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM glyceraldehyde, and 0.3% CHAPS plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors) and a wash buffer (40 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM glycerophosphate, and 0.3% CHAPS) as described previously (Hosokawa et al., 2009). 1–3 mg lysates was incubated at 4°C for 30 min. After a centrifugation at 4°C for 10 min at 13,000 g to remove insoluble debris, equal amounts of protein were incubated with 20 µl monoclonal anti-c-Myc or anti-Flag antibody conjugated with protein A agarose beads (Takara Bio Inc. and Sigma-Aldrich, respectively) with rotation at 4°C for 4 h or with 30 µl monoclonal anti-FA antibody conjugated with protein A agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) or 2 µg anti-DIC antibody overnight at 4°C, or 2 µg anti-AMBRA1 antibody (CT; ProSci, Inc.) followed by 60-min incubation with 30 µl protein A/G-Sepharose beads (Roche). The beads were collected by centrifugation and washed four times with HEMG buffer. Proteins bound to the beads were eluted with 50 µl SDS-PAGE sample buffer and boiled at 95°C for 10 min. WB analyses were performed using 5% vol/vol of the whole extracts and 30% vol/vol of eluted proteins. In AMBRA–tubulin interaction experiments, immunocomplexes were eluted by incubation with a Flag peptide (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at RT to prevent the presence of immunoglobulins in the gel.

Proteins were separated on NuPAGE Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen) and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose (Protran; Schleicher & Schuell) or PVDF (Millipore) membranes. Blots were incubated with primary antibodies in 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS plus 0.1% Tween-20 overnight at 4°C. Detection of immunoglobulins in the gel.

Confocal and immunogold analysis
For confocal analysis, cells were grown on coverslips and fixed with 4% PFA in PBS followed by permeabilization with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS or, when indicated, fixed with ice-cold methanol or with 4% PFA in PBS followed by permeabilization with ice-cold methanol. Primary antibodies were incubated for 1 h at RT and visualized by means of Cy3- and Cy2-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.). Coverslips were mounted in antifade (SlowFade; Invitrogen) and examined under a confocal microscope (TCS SP2; Leica) equipped with a 63x 1.40–0.60 NA HCX Plan Apo oil . Ax, objective at RT. For colocalization analysis, both confocal (Leica) and Image software (National Institutes of Health) were used. Regarding the colocalization software analysis, the local intensity distribution of both fluorophores across a line scan drawn on a single optical slice was plotted in a graph. Regarding image software analysis, the pixels of two 8-bit images (red and green channels of each image) were used. Regarding the confocal software analysis, the local intensity distribution of both fluorophores across a line scan drawn on a single optical slice was plotted in a graph. Regarding image software analysis, the pixels of two 8-bit images (red and green channels of each image) were used. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient was expressed as mean ± SD. The fluorescent intensity of each fluorochrome was simultaneously analyzed and plotted.

For electron microscopy, 2F cells were fixed in 2% freshly depolymerised PFA and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4, for 1 h at 4°C. Samples were rinsed in buffer, partially dehydrated, and embedded in Epon resin white (JE White; Agar Scientific Ltd.). Ultrathin sections were processed for the immunogold technique. Grids were preincubated with 10% normal goat serum in 10 mM PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.13% NaNO3 (Medium A) for 15 min at RT. Sections were incubated with anti-AMBRA1 or anti-Flag antibodies diluted in medium A overnight at 4°C. After rinsing in medium A containing 0.01% Tween-20 (Merck), sections were incubated with the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to 15-nm colloidal gold (Brit- ish Biocell International) diluted 1:30 in medium A containing fish gelatin for 1 h at RT. After incubation in medium A for 15 min at RT, a second immunolabeling was performed using a rabbit polyclonal anti-DC1 or anti-calreticulin, as primary antibodies and goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to 5-nm colloidal gold as secondary antibody. Grids were thoroughly rinsed in distilled water, contrasted with aqueous 2% uranyl acetate for 20 min, and photographed in an electron microscope (EM 900 Carl Zeiss, Inc.).

RNAi
RNAi was performed using the following oligonucleotides from Invitro- gen: DLC1, DCL2, ROADBLOCK1, TCCT1, and U1K1 oligo. AMBRA1 siRNA oligonucleotides were described previously (Fimia et al., 2007). 2 × 105 cells/well were transfected with 100 pmol siRNA in 6-well plates (Invitrogen) as indicated by the supplier. Transfection was repeated on two consecutive days to increase transfection efficiency. RNA degradation was checked by real-time PCR and WB analysis 48 h after transfection.

Real-time PCR
RNA was prepared with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA synthesis was generated using the reverse transcription kit (Promega) according to manufacturer recommendations. Real-time PCR reactions were performed with the LightCycler (Roche). The LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR green I (Roche) was used to produce fluorescent-labeled PCR products during repetitive cycling of the amplification reaction as previously described (Fimia et al., 2007). Primer sets for all amplicons were designed using the Primer Express software version 1.0; Applied Biosystems): AMBRA1 forward, 5′-AACCTTCCACTGGGAAGTGTA3′; and reverse, 5′-TCTACTGTGTTGGTGTTCC′-3′; D34 forward, 5′-GTCGCAAACTCTTGAAATAAG3′; and reverse, 5′-GGCCCTCTGCATGTTCCTTCT3′; DCL1 forward, 5′-CCCCACCTGGAATACCA3′; and reverse, 5′-GGCTGATGACGTACATCCAC3′; DCL2 forward, 5′-GACCTGGCTCCCTGGAAT3′; and reverse, 5′-GGGCAGACCAAGGAGGAGCG3′; and reverse, 5′-TGAGCCCTGGCATACATGGTG3′; TCCT1 forward, 5′-GGGACGACCTCTGCGAG-GGA3′; and reverse, 5′-AAGGCTAAGCTGTTGAC3′; and reverse, 5′-AAGGCCTAAAGGGTGAC3′. L34 mRNA level was used as an internal control. β-Actin and GAPDH levels were used as additional controls to confirm significant decreases.

As shown in Fig. S3 J, to preserve membrane structures, the fixed cells were rinsed in the same buffer, treated with 0.25% tannic acid in buffer for 1 h at 4°C, and rinsed in 50 mM ammonium chloride in buffer. The samples were postfixed for 30 min in 2% uranyl acetate in buffer, partially dehydrated, and embedded under UV light at −20°C in London Resin gold (LR White; Agar Scientific Ltd.). Ultrathin sections were processed for the immunogold technique. Grids were preincubated with 10% normal goat serum in 10 mM PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.13% NaNO3 (Medium A) for 15 min at RT. Sections were incubated with anti-AMBRA1 or anti-Flag antibodies diluted in medium A overnight at 4°C. After rinsing in medium A containing 0.01% Tween-20 (Merck), sections were incubated with the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to 15-nm colloidal gold (British Biocell International) diluted 1:30 in medium A containing fish gelatin for 1 h at RT. After incubation in medium A for 15 min at RT, a second immunolabeling was performed using a rabbit polyclonal anti-DC1 or anti-calreticulin, as primary antibodies and goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to 5-nm colloidal gold as secondary antibody. Grids were thoroughly rinsed in distilled water, contrasted with aqueous 2% uranyl acetate for 20 min, and photographed in an electron microscope (EM 900 Carl Zeiss, Inc.).
Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the colocalization of AMBRA1 and DILC1 in normal and starvation conditions by immunogold analysis. Fig. S2 shows that AMBRA1 is phosphorylated during autophagy in an ULK1-dependent manner both in vitro and in vivo. In particular, ULK1 K46I dominant negative prevents AMBRA1 phosphorylation after autophagy induction, and the dissociation of AMBRA1 from the dynein motor complex during autophagy is independent of JNK and PI3K activity. Fig. S3 shows a detailed analysis of AMBRA1 localization in different subcellular compartments, thus demonstrating the translocation of AMBRA1 to the ER after autophagy induction. Fig. S4 shows the modulation of autophagy levels by down-regulation of different DILCs. Moreover, the interaction between AMBRA1 and DILC2 is reported. Fig. S5 shows that AMBRA1 is not required for the endosomal sorting of EGF receptor and that ULK1 is required for the activity of constitutive autophagic AMBRA1 mutants. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201002100/DC1.
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