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Challenges faced in utilization of social security facilities among elderly in a rural area of Bangalore
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ABSTRACT

Background: As the life expectancy is rising, there is change in structure of the population. For the year 2018 the estimates are 8% of total population were above the age 60 years, and is likely to rise to 19% by 2050. There is implication of increase in the prevalence of chronic conditions such as cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory diseases, locomotor and mental disorders etc. Social security is to provide sustenance to people who cannot work and earn their living due to aging. The objectives of the study were to assess the awareness and utilisation of social security facilities among elderly in rural area and to determine the Challenges in utilizing social security facilities among elderly in rural area.

Methods: Descriptive study was done in Muthanallur village, Bangalore. All elders (60 years old and above) were interviewed using a pre-tested semi-structure questionnaire. Results are described in terms of proportions and percentages. The results with p-value less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results: There were 194 (45.4%) subjects aged 60-69 years. A majority of them were females 244 (57.1%) and males 183 (42.9%). Study subjects residing in joint families were 360 (84.3%) aware of IGNOAPS and IGNWPS was higher 206 (63%). Awareness among females (76.4%) were significantly more aware of IGNWPS as compared to males (87.9%) (p<0.05).

Conclusions: Awareness and information to approach for utilizing the scheme and ease of administrative procedures should be an integral part of social security scheme.
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INTRODUCTION

As the life expectancy is rising, the population of the aged people is also increasing steadily. This demographic change resulted in change in structure of the population. For the year 2010 the estimates are 8% of total population were above the age 60 years, and is likely to rise to 19% by 2050.

This profound shift in the proportion of older Indians, taking place in the context of changing family relationships and limited social support system will bring with it a variety of social, economic and health care policy challenges. One direct implication of this would be increase in the prevalence of chronic conditions such as cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory diseases, locomotors disorders and mental disorders etc.

Social Security is defined as security that society furnishes through appropriate organization, against certain risks to which its members are exposed. The risks which cover in most countries are sickness, invalidity, maternity, old age and death.
The right to life, recognized as a fundamental right by Article 21 of the Constitution of India, implies the right to live with human dignity. It encompasses not only the security regarding the basic human needs of food, clothing and shelter, but also health security.²

Social security provides workers and their families with access to health care and with protection against loss of income. Unlike other countries in the United States, India does not have a Universal Pension System. There are no comprehensive social security schemes as a whole (Raja and Liebig, 2003). Adopted pension policy is restricted to workers in organized sectors leaving out 90% of worker in unorganized sectors.³

The traditional support structure of the family is increasingly unable to cope with the problem. In a world where the joint family is breaking down, and children are unable to take care of their parents, millions of elderly face destitution.⁴ The emerging demographic profile and socio-economic scenario of the country needs social security to provide sustenance to those who cannot work and earn their living due to temporary or chronic reasons. Hence there need in understanding the concept of social security and health insurance to bring out health for all in rural area.

Objectives
- To assess the awareness and utilization of social security facilities among elderly in rural area
- To determine the challenges in utilizing social security facilities among elderly in rural area

METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted on all elders (60 years old and above) in Muthannallur village who were interviewed using a pre-tested semi-structure questionnaire containing the variables such as socio-demographic profile, awareness, utilization and problems faced in availing these services. The study was done from February 2018 to August 2018. Those not willing to participate in the study and not able to communicate due to any disability were excluded in the study. The purpose of the study was explained to them and oral informed consent was obtained. Care was taken to ensure privacy and confidentiality. Sometimes they were called separately and interviewed where he/she could feel comfortable to avoid interference and influence of other family members and neighbors.

The data gathered using the questionnaire and clinical examination were compiled, coded, and entered in Excel Spreadsheets. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Version 16 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

The study protocol was performed according to the Helsinki declaration and approved by Institutional Ethical Review Committee. Informed written consent was obtained from Study subjects. Confidentiality about patient information was maintained.

RESULTS

The study sample consisted of 194 (45.4%) subjects aged 60-69 years, 130 (30.5%) and 103 (24.1%) in 75-84 years age group. A majority of study participants were females 244 (57.1%) and 183 (42.9%) were males. Mainly the study samples were married 333 (77.9%) and were not engaged in any occupation (82.8%). Those who were residing in joint families were 360 (84.3%) while 12 (0.4%) subjects were staying alone.

| Variables                      | Male n=183 | Female n=244 | Total n=427 |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| Age group (years)             |            |              |             |
| 60-69                         | 93 (48)    | 101 (52)     | 194 (45.3)  |
| 70-79                         | 62 (37.7)  | 68 (52.3)    | 130 (30.4)  |
| ≥80                           | 28 (27.2)  | 75 (72.8)    | 103 (24.2)  |
| Literacy status               |            |              |             |
| Illiterate                    | 88 (42.5)  | 119 (57.5)   | 207 (48.5)  |
| Primary                       | 26 (38.9)  | 41 (61.1)    | 67 (15.7)   |
| Middle                        | 20 (40.8)  | 29 (59.2)    | 49 (11.5)   |
| High school                   | 19 (37.3)  | 32 (62.7)    | 51 (12.0)   |
| Intermediate                  | 13 (50)    | 13 (50)      | 26 (6.0)    |
| Graduation and above          | 17 (62.9)  | 10 (37.1)    | 27 (6.3)    |
| Employment status             |            |              |             |
| Currently working             | 65 (42)    | 90 (58)      | 155 (36.3)  |
| Not working                   | 118 (43.4) | 154 (56.6)   | 272 (63.7)  |
| Marital status                |            |              |             |
| Married                       | 161 (48.3) | 172 (56.7)   | 333 (77.9)  |
| Single                        | 4 (30.8)   | 9 (69.2)     | 13 (2.81)   |
| Widow/widower                 | 18 (22.2)  | 63 (77.7)    | 81 (18.96)  |
| Living arrangement            |            |              |             |
| Alone                         | 5 (41.6)   | 7 (58.4)     | 12 (2.81)   |
| With spouse only              | 15 (50)    | 15 (50)      | 30 (7.1)    |
| With spouse, children &       | 88 (37.6)  | 146 (62.4)   | 234 (54.8)  |
| grandchildren                 |            |              |             |
| With children &               | 65 (51.5)  | 61 (48.5)    | 126 (29.5)  |
| grand children                |            |              |             |
| Others                        | 10 (40)    | 15 (60)      | 25 (5.85)   |
| Socioeconomic status          |            |              |             |
| APL                           | 15 (46.8)  | 17 (53.2)    | 32 (7.4)    |
| BPL                           | 168 (42.8) | 227 (57.2)   | 395 (92.6)  |
| Economic dependence           |            |              |             |
| Dependent                     | 138 (39.7) | 209 (60.3)   | 347 (81.3)  |
| Partially dependent           | 36 (55.4)  | 29 (44.6)    | 65 (15.7)   |
| Independent                   | 9 (60)     | 6 (40)       | 15 (3.05)   |

Awareness about IGNOAPS and IGNWPS was higher than other social security schemes as about 206 (63%)
and (60.6%) of the subjects were aware of this as compared to about half who were aware of Annapurna scheme and only 43 subjects knew about special interest rates. There was significant difference in awareness about income tax benefits, special deposits rates, and travel concession rates with gender (p<0.05). Females (76.4%) were significantly more aware about IGNWPS as compared to males (87.9%) (p<0.05).

**Table 2: Awareness of social security welfare services among elderly services.**

| Services                      | Male (n=183) N (%) | Female (n=244) N (%) | Total (n=427) | P value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------|
| Bus travel concession         | 74 (37)            | 126 (53)             | 200           | <0.05   |
| Train travel concession       | 54 (41.5)          | 76 (58.5)            | 130           | >0.05   |
| Air travel concession         | 12 (70.5)          | 5 (29.5)             | 17            | <0.05   |
| High interest rates by bank   | 86 (57.4)          | 64 (42.6)            | 150           | <0.05   |
| Income tax benefits           | 92 (60.9)          | 59 (39.1)            | 151           | <0.05   |
| NPHCE                         | 78 (64.5)          | 43 (35.5)            | 121           | <0.05   |
| Special deposits rates        | 26 (60.5)          | 17 (39.5)            | 43            | <0.05   |
| Annapurna scheme              | 74 (46.3)          | 86 (53.7)            | 160           | <0.5    |
| IGNWPS                        | 120 (36.8)         | 206 (63.2)           | 326           | <0.05   |
| IGNOAPS                       | 123 (39.4)         | 194 (60.6)           | 317           | <0.05   |
| Health insurance/benefits     | 67 (43.5)          | 87 (56.5)            | 154           | <0.5    |

**Table 3: Challenges faced by elderly in availing the social security facilities.**

| Variable                               | Male n=183 N (%) | Female n=244 N (%) | Total n=427 N (%) |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| **Sources of information**             |                  |                    |                   |
| 1. Local leaders                       | 58 (44.6)        | 72 (55.4)          | 130 (26.1)        |
| 2. Family & friends                    | 34 (44.7)        | 42 (55.3)          | 76 (15.4)         |
| 3. Television                          | 59 (46.8)        | 67 (53.3)          | 126 (25.4)        |
| 4. Radio                               | 43 (55.8)        | 34 (44.2)          | 77 (15.5)         |
| 5. Posters                             | 17 (56.6)        | 13 (43.4)          | 30 (6.1)          |
| 6. Health worker                       | 28 (47.5)        | 31 (52.5)          | 59 (8.5)          |
| **Utilization of social security measures** |                  |                    |                   |
| 1. Social assistance scheme            | 76 (41.9)        | 105 (58.1)         | 181 (51.2)        |
| 2. Pension scheme                      | 23 (65.7)        | 12 (34.3)          | 35 (9.8)          |
| 3. Tax rebate and travel concession    | 13 (43.4)        | 17 (56.6)          | 30 (8.5)          |
| 4. Free medical services               | 41 (37.9)        | 67 (62.1)          | 108 (30.5)        |
| **Barriers for utilization of social security measures** |                  |                    |                   |
| 1. Unawareness                         | 42 (42.85)       | 56 (57.14)         | 98 (13.9)         |
| 2. Bedridden/physically dependent      | 39 (54.9)        | 32 (45.1)          | 71 (11.1)         |
| 3. Transport                           | 72 (43.1)        | 95 (56.9)          | 167 (23.5)        |
| 4. Inadequate govt. facility           | 59 (4.4)         | 87 (59.6)          | 146 (20.5)        |
| 5. Ignorance/don’t want to avail       | 29 (48.4)        | 31 (51.6)          | 60 (8.5)          |
| 6. Time constraint                     | 31 (33.4)        | 62 (66.6)          | 93 (13.1)         |
| 7. Others                              | 45 (64.3)        | 25 (35.7)          | 70 (9.9)          |

The source of information regarding social security measures were mainly from television and local leaders, least effort from health care providers and posters. There is no significant association between gender and source of information. 181 (51.2%) were benefitted with social security measures compare with 108 (30.5%) were availing free medical services, 8.5% and 9.8% were utilizing pension scheme and tax rebate. Unawareness (13.1%) transportation (23.5%) and inadequate government facility (20.5%) were important challenges faced by elderly in utilizing social security measures.

**DISCUSSION**

Nearly 45.5% of the study population of 60-69 years age group was more compare with other geriatric age group. Hindus were found to be predominant in the study population in comparison to Muslims and Christians.
It is observed in this study that illiteracy is higher among females (62%) than males (22.8%). The disparity in literacy status may be attributed to the area being rural. Educating females in those days was not considered as important as establishing a marriage at an early age. More than half of the subjects were illiterate. Similar profile of study subjects were reported by the study of Dhanasekaran carried out in a rural area in Tamil Nadu. Similar findings also from Lena et al study done in Udupi taluk Karnataka.

Almost more than half of the respondents in the study were from joint families (84.3%), while (15.7%) were from a nuclear family. Various studies by Padda et al, Singh et al, and Srivastava et al have brought out similar findings. The higher prevalence of joint families could be because of the study conducted in the rural area.

The proportion of elderly married account to 72.9%, widowed, or unmarried were found to be very less and similar information was found in the study done by Singh et al. Shah reports that 64.3% of elderly women were widows and most of them were dependent. It is indeed true that it is the marital status that determines one’s position within the family as well as the status in society in county like India.

A significant proportion of elderly were aware of social security schemes like IGNOAPS, IGNWPS and Annapurna scheme. The other geriatric benefits like bus travel concession, special interest rates, income tax rebate were also higher in males than females subjects in our study. Awareness was significantly higher among those who were BPL. These finding are statistically significant among males than females. These findings were consistent with that reported by Srivastava et al, in their study conducted in district Dehradun and Joseph et al, study done in Mangalore. Air travel concession were known to very less subjects. The possible reasons could be the literacy rate of subjects in that study and rural urban differentials.

In our study, approximately 36.3% were still working as unskilled workers against those 63.7% were at home. Similar results were seen in a study by Elango et al, in his study, reported that 55.8% were occupied in productive work, 28% in agriculture, 15.1% in labor, and 44.2% were dependent on others.

The Information about social security services was communicated mainly by Television, and local leaders. Major challenges include transport and physical dependence which had contributed much in social security services utilization. Inconsistencies in identification of beneficiaries, political influence and corruption are known factors reported earlier also which poses a serious question on the implementation of this scheme.

Main sources of information were local leaders followed by family and friends. Hence, local leaders should take more steps to raise awareness about social security measures among elders in their areas and to remove barriers wherever possible. Envisioning recreation centers for elders, where they can gather together.

**Limitation**

The study involved subjects only from rural area. It would have been more representative of the population if elderly from urban area were also involved.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study highlights important areas of concern in providing social security for elderly population in rural area of Bangalore. The results of this study showed that a major proportion of the elderly were out of the work force, partially or totally dependent on others, and suffering from health problems with a sense of neglect by their family members. Awareness about other measures of social security was low. Major challenges are, transport and inadequate government facilities and stringent administrative procedures and difficulty in identifying where and whom to approach for information regarding social security schemes. It is recommended that local leaders should take more efforts to make elders aware of such measures. The need of elders for more financial security and medical benefits of health Insurance should included in future policies and programs for them. Awareness generation, provision of information on how to approach the concerned authority for utilizing the scheme and ease of administrative procedures should be an integral part of any social security scheme or measure.

Further research, especially qualitative research, is needed to explore the depth of the problems of the elderly.
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