1. Introduction

The equilibrium between bone resorption (by osteoclasts) and bone formation (by osteoblasts) is critical to maintain bone homeostasis throughout a lifetime. Excessive osteoclast activity and/or reinforced bone resorption may cause a wide range of osteolytic diseases such as osteoporosis, arthritis, and peri-prosthetic osteolysis after joint replacements. In particular, osteoporosis is a common degenerative disease in the aging population, which is associated with fragility fractures, significant mortality and morbidity, and results in a huge monetary cost to economies.

Osteoclasts, originating from the monocyte/macrophage lineage, are giant cells characterized by multi-nuclei and have the unique ability to “eat” bone by secreting enzymes and acid to degrade the mineralized bone matrix. Osteoclastogenesis requires the presence of two well-known crucial factors, M-CSF (macrophage-colony-stimulating factor) and RANKL (receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand). Upon interacting with its receptor-c-fms (colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor), M-CSF supports the survival and proliferation of monocytes/macrophages. RANKL is expressed and exported by osteocytes, osteoblasts and bone marrow stromal cells, exhibiting an indispensable role in osteoclast formation and function by binding to its receptor-RANK.

The interaction of RANKL with RANK, which is a transmembrane receptor on the surface of osteoclast precursor cells, initiates osteoclast differentiation. Upon RANKL stimulation, TNF receptor associated factor 6 (TRAF6) and RANK are triggered to trigger numerous downstream signaling events such as the NF-κB pathway, c-Fos signaling, and subsequent initiation of nuclear factor of activated T cells 1 (NFATc1). RANKL-mediated NFATc1 signaling acts as a master switcher for terminal differentiation of osteoclasts, with its activation up-regulating a series of osteoclast-specific genes such as Acp5 (acid phosphatase 5), Ctsk (cathepsin K), Ctr (calcitonin receptor) and V-ATPase-d2. Therefore, inhibition of RANKL-
induced NFATc1 signaling could effectively prevent osteoclast formation and function, which provides a rationale for the management of osteoclast-related bone diseases.

Cytochalasins, derived from secondary fungal metabolites, have a variety of biological functions and are considered to have huge prospect in life science.14,15 Cytochalasin B (CytoB) has an unique antineoplastic activity and increases the life expectancy of leukemic mice.16 Cytochalasin D (CytoD) was revealed to induce adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) to undergo osteogenic differentiation.17 However, the biological effects of cytochalasins on osteoclasts still remain unknown. One type of cytochalasins-cytochalasin Z11 (CytoZ11), isolated from Endothia gyrosa through the solid substrate culture, exhibits a therapeutic potential on a leukaemia K562 cell line.18-19 Herein, we identified that CytoZ11 is capable of inhibiting osteoclast formation and resorptive function in vitro. Mechanistically, CytoZ11 affects NFATc1 activation via suppressing both NF-κB and c-Fos signaling. Taken together, the findings of this study demonstrated that CytoZ11 may represent an innovative candidate for the treatment of bone disorders like osteoporosis by targeting excessive osteoclasts formation and resorption.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

CytoZ11 was kindly provided by Prof. Renxiang Tan’s lab (Nanjing University, China).18 Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used to dissolve CytoZ11 to a concentration of 100 mM for main stock. For further dilution to working concentrations, culture medium was used in this study. For the control group, DMSO in the same dilution was prepared. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and Alpha modified Minimal Essential Medium (α-MEM) were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Scoresby, Australia). Glutathione S-transferase (GST)-rRANKL protein was made in our lab as previously described.20 We purchased recombinant M-CSF from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, USA). Luciferase analysis kit and MTS assay kit were obtained from Promega (Sydney, Australia). Primary antibodies for NFATc1, integrin αV, cathepsin K, and β-actin were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, CA, USA). We purchased primary antibody for c-Fos from Cell Signalling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA).

2.2. In vitro osteoclastogenesis assay

The C57BL/6 mice were euthanized, and fresh bone marrow was obtained by flushing the lower limbs long bones using medium. The methods of tissue culture used in this study is approved by the Animal Ethics Committee (RA/3/100/1244) in University of Western Australia. In order to further purify the bone marrow macrophages (BMMs), cells were incubated in complete medium (α-MEM + M-CSF + 10% FBS + 1% penicillin/streptomycin) overnight, after which the floating cells were discarded, and non-adherent cells were incubated in complete αMEM for further 3 days. To conduct osteoclastogenesis assay, we plated BMMs (6 × 10^5 cells per well) into 96-well plates in triplicate. Cells were all incubated in a humidified incubator (5% CO2, 37 °C) to allow the cells adherence. Next, BMMs in complete medium were treated with both RANKL (50 ng ml⁻¹) and M-CSF (50 ng ml⁻¹), and various concentrations of CytoZ11 (0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 μM) were added in the medium. The culture medium was replaced every other day till the osteoclasts formed. Following osteoclastogenesis, the cells were then proceeded to fixation using 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature (RT). From the TRACP staining, osteoclasts and cells with more than 3 nuclei were counted as mature osteoclasts. Immature osteoclast with 3 or less nuclei were also quantified.

2.3. MTS cell proliferation assay

To evaluate the cytotoxic effects of CytoZ11 on BMMs, a commercial MTS assay kit was employed. In brief, BMMs (6 × 10^4 cells per well) were seeded in a 96-well plate and allowed adhering overnight, followed by the treatment of CytoZ11 at various concentrations (0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 μM) for another 2 days. Next, cells were incubated with 20 μl of MTS solution for 2 hours in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 490 nm using a microplate reader (BMG LABTECH, Germany).

2.4. Hydroxyapatite resorption assay

A hydroxyapatite resorption assay was performed to evaluate the function of osteoclasts as previously described.21 BMMs (1 × 10^5 cells per well) were firstly planted on to six-well culture plates (Corning, Inc., Corning, NY) and induced into osteoclast by stimulating with RANKL and MCSF as above. The cells were then collected using cell dislocation solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Sydney, Australia) when osteoclasts just began to form and subsequently seeded onto hydroxyapatite-coated plates (Corning, Inc., NY, USA). Complete medium containing M-CSF and RANKL with CytoZ11 (0, 2.5, 7.5 μM) was added to the plates until mature osteoclasts start to form. In each group, half of wells were rinsed using 10% bleach solution and then the resorptive area was visualized using a microscope (Nikon corporation). To count the number of osteoclasts in the hydroxyapatite plate, cells in the other half wells were performed for TRACP staining as described above. The osteoclastic resorption activity was determined by resorption area per osteoclast.

2.5. NFATc1 and NF-κB luciferase assay

NFATc1 and NF-κB transcriptional activation were evaluated using RAW264.7 cells which were stably transected with an NFATc1 or NF-κB luciferase reporter construct or an as described previously.22 Transfected cells (5 × 10^4 cells per well for NFATc1, or 1.5 × 10^5 cells per well for NF-κB) were plated onto 48-well plates. The next day, cells were treated with CytoZ11 at 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5 μM for 1 hour prior to the stimulation with RANKL. RANKL was added in the cell culture for 24 hours or 8 hours in NFATc1 or NF-κB luciferase assay respectively. Following the cells lysis, the Promega luciferase kit was used to detect the luminance intensity using a Polar Star Optima luminescence reader (BMG LABTECH, Ottenberg, Germany).
2.6. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis
To assess osteoclast-specific gene expression, qPCR analysis was performed. BMMs (1 × 10^5 cells per well) were induced into osteoclasts in 6-well plates and treated with or without CytoZ11 (0, 2.5, 7.5 μM). After osteoclasts formed, the extraction of RNA was performed using Trizol reagent as the manufacturer’s protocol instructed. The cDNA was transcribed from RNA template (1 μg) using an oligo-dT primer and reverse transcriptase (Promega). We use SYBR Green PCR MasterMix to conduct qPCR in the ViiATM 7 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The primers used in this study are showed in Table 1.

The program parameters were set: following 94 °C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 seconds, 60 °C for 60 seconds, and 72 °C for 40 seconds, an elongation step of 5 minutes at 72 °C was performed. All experiments were run in triplicate. The housekeeping gene Actb worked as a control gene.

2.7. Western Blot assay
Western blot assay was used to investigate the signaling pathways affected by CytoZ11. BMMs were seeded onto a 6-well plate at a density of 1 × 10^5 per well. The cells were stimulated using RANKL with CytoZ11 at the concentrations of 0 and 7.5 μM for the indicated times (0, 1, 3, or 5 days) and then lysed using RIPA lysis and extraction buffer for protein collection. The protein was separated by running SDS-PAGE and then transferred onto a nitrocellulose (NC) membrane. To avoid unspecific binding, the membranes were incubated using 5% skim milk for 1 hour at room temperature. The proteins of interest were incubated with primary antibodies overnight with gentle rocking. Next, the membranes were rinsed three times using TBST and probed with appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) for 2 hours. Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit (PerkinElmer, MA) was used to detect the protein bands of interest. Image-quant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare) was used to detect specific antibodies activity.

2.8. Data analysis
The results in this study were shown as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). All experiments were performed 3 or more times.

| Table 1 Primer sequence for qPCR |
|----------------------------------|
| **Gene** | **Primer sequence (5’−3’)** |
| Actb | F: 5’-CACCCCGGAGCAGCTTCTT3’ |
| | R: 5’-CCACCATCACCCCTGTTGCGCT3’ |
| Acp5 | F: 5’-TTGCGCTATTTATGCT3’ |
| | R: 5’-GTCATTTCTTTGGGGCTT3’ |
| Ctsk | F: 5’-GGGAGAAAAACCTGAAAC-3’ |
| | R: 5’-ATTCTGGGAGCTAAGC-3’ |
| Ctr | F: 5’-TGTTGGGATGTGCCCCA-3’ |
| | R: 5’-CTCTGGGTTGGCTACATC-3’ |

Abbreviations: Actb, actin beta; Acp5, acid phosphatase 5, tartrate resistant; Ctsk, cathepsin K; Ctr, calcitonin receptor; F, forward; R, reverse.

Data analyses were made using Student’s t test. In the results, p value < 0.05 was considered to have significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. CytoZ11 suppresses osteoclastogenesis in vitro
To determine whether CytoZ11 has effects on the osteoclast formation, CytoZ11 [0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 μM] were used to treat BMMs when they are induced with RANKL and M-CSF. It was found that CytoZ11 is capable of inhibiting osteoclastogenesis dose-dependently (Fig. 1A). The number of TRAP-positive osteoclasts was reduced significantly in the presence of CytoZ11 of the concentrations of 5 μM and 7.5 μM (Fig. 1B). CytoZ11 was reported to have cytotoxicity on cancer cells. To assess whether CytoZ11 is cytotoxic to BMMs, MTS assays were conducted to further evaluate cell proliferation after 48 h treatment. The results did not show any cytotoxicity on BMMs at any concentrations of CytoZ11 as indicated in Fig. 1C.

3.2. CytoZ11 suppresses RANKL-induced osteoclast resorptive function and genes expression
Hydroxyapatite-coated plates were used to determine whether CytoZ11 can suppress mature osteoclastic bone resorption. As shown in Fig. 2A–C, osteoclast number and hydroxyapatite resorption area were both significantly reduced due to the treatment of CytoZ11, particularly at the concentration of 7.5 μM. We evaluated its effects on the expression of osteoclast-specific genes (Ctsk, Acp5, and Ctr). The results showed that RANKL dramatically up-regulated the expression of these genes, while the addition of CytoZ11 (7.5 μM) was able to attenuate this up-regulation (Fig. 3A–C). Therefore, CytoZ11 was thought to suppress osteoclast bone formation and function via inhibiting the osteoclast-specific genes expression levels.

3.3. CytoZ11 suppresses RANKL-mediated NFATc1 signaling
NFATc1 is considered as a main “switcher” for osteoclastogenesis. To test the effect of CytoZ11 on NFATc1 transcriptional activation, NFATc1 luciferase reporter assay was performed using transfected RAW264.7 as described above. The results showed that RANKL dramatically enhanced the transcriptional activity of NFATc1, which was inhibited by CytoZ11 dose-dependently (Fig. 4B). These results were consistent with the results in western blot assay. After BMMs were stimulated with RANKL on day 3, the protein level of NFATc1 was substantially upregulated but was abrogated with the treatment of CytoZ11 (7.5 μM) (Fig. 5A). CTSK and integrin αV act as two important downstream proteins of NFATc1 activation and are required for osteoclast formation and function.10,23 The protein expression level of CTSK and integrin αV were examined using western blot assay. The decreasing trend of the protein expression was observed after CytoZ11 treatment (Fig. 5A). A significant reduction of CTSK was observed on day 5 (Fig. 5D) whereas a significant reduction of integrin αV was observed on day 3 (Fig. 5E). Collectively, these results demonstrated that RANKL-induced NFATc1 activation was significantly affected by the treatment of CytoZ11 during osteoclastogenesis.
3.4. CytoZ11 inhibits RANKL-induced NF-κB pathway as well as c-Fos signaling

To elucidate the mechanisms underlying the CytoZ11’s inhibition on NFATc1 activation, NF-κB and c-Fos, two upstream signaling of NFATc1, were further investigated. NF-κB binds to the NFATc1 promoter and initiates its activation. In consistency with the NFATc1 luciferase reporter assay, initiation of NF-κB was detected with the treatment of RANKL at transcriptional level but was attenuated by CytoZ11 of the concentrations at 5 and 7.5 μM (Fig. 4A). c-Fos is an upstream factor cooperating with NFATc1 to trigger a transcriptional regulatory cascade. RANKL-induced c-Fos signaling during osteoclastogenesis was assessed using a western blot assay. The expression of c-Fos was dramatically suppressed with CytoZ11 treatment on day 3 (Fig. 5A and B). In summary, this study demonstrates that CytoZ11 suppressed RANKL-induced NFATc1 activation via attenuating NF-κB pathway as well as c-Fos signaling (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

Bone remodeling remains a dynamic process and is firmly regulated by osteoclasts and osteoblasts throughout life. Imbalance between osteoclasts and osteoblasts can affect bone homeostasis thus leading to a variety of bone diseases. Osteoporosis, a common metabolic bone disorder, relates to excessive bone resorption which is caused by the enhanced activity and/or increased formation of osteoclasts. Targeting osteoclasts may be conducive to providing a treatment for osteoporosis or...
osteoclast-related diseases. Over the past two decades, treatments for osteoporosis have made great progress but still remain limited in some respects. Although bisphosphonate (BPs) drugs show efficient clinical outcomes by blocking osteoclast resorption, it increases the incidence of osteonecrosis of the jaw and atypical fractures due to prolonged use.\textsuperscript{28} Parathyroid hormone, a pricy therapeutic peptide which cannot be used orally, is not recommended for long-term treatment because of a potential risk of osteosarcoma.\textsuperscript{29} Therefore, it is essential to develop better alternative treatments which are more cost-effective and safer. Herein, for the first time, CytoZ11 has been revealed to inhibit RANKL-induced osteoclast formation as well as bone resorption, suggesting its potential applications in osteoclast-related bone disorders.

Given the wide range of biological activities of CytoZ11, we first examined whether it has any effect on RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis \textit{in vitro}. CytoZ11 could efficiently inhibit mature osteoclast formation dose-dependently but without affecting the viability or the proliferation of BMMs. CytoZ11 starts to take effect at 2.5 μM by showing a decreased trend of osteoclast formation, but reaches a significant point when the concentration increases to 5 μM and higher. CytoZ11 at 5 μM

---

**Fig. 2** CytoZ11 suppressed osteoclast resorptive function. (A) Typical images of TRAcP-positive osteoclasts (upper panel) and hydroxyapatite resorption (lower panel) after the CytoZ11 treatment at different concentrations (0, 2.5, 7.5 μM). RANKL-induced osteoclasts were planted into hydroxyapatite-coated plates. (B) Quantification of TRAcP-positive multinucleated cells per well (n = 3). (C) Quantification of resorbed hydroxyapatite surface area (n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 relative to RANKL-induced control group. Scale bar = 200 μm. CytoZ11: cytochalasin Z11; TRAcP: tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase; RANKL: receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand.
and 7.5 μM is able to significantly inhibit mature osteoclast formation. Interestingly, more immature trap-positive cells were observed following the treatment of cytoZ11 of these two concentrations, indicating the cytoZ11 may also prevent the maturation of the osteoclasts (Fig. S1†). We also found that CytoZ11 was efficient in suppressing bone resorptive activity. With regards to the mechanisms behind these inhibitions, CytoZ11 was found to attenuate NFATc1 activation and the mRNA expression level of genes including Acp5, Ctsk, Ctr. Similarly to the osteoclastogenesis assay, CytoZ11 at the low concentration (2.5 μM) is able to affect these osteoclast-specific markers to different extents, but the effects become solid at higher concentration (7.5 μM). These results collectively indicated that CytoZ11 could present as a novel beneficial candidate natural compound for bone osteolytic disorders which are due to highly activated osteoclasts.

Following RANKL binding to its receptor RANK, BMMs are mediated to differentiate into osteoclasts as well as to maintain bone resorption of mature osteoclasts. Recombinant RANK-Fc was shown to be of high affinity to RANK in vitro and blunted osteoclast formation, this was further evidenced by the results that polyclonal antibody against the RANK extracellular domain can stimulate osteoclast differentiation. NFATc1, a downstream target of RANKL, plays an important role in the osteoclast terminal differentiation. A previous study has revealed that embryonic stem cells deficient of NFATc1 exhibited a failure to form osteoclasts in the presence of RANKL; in contrast, ectopic expression of NFATc1 induced osteoclast differentiation even without RANKL stimulation. As a dominator for osteoclast differentiation, NFATc1 also initiates a variety of osteoclast-related genes including Integrin αV, Acp5, Ctr, Ctsk. Therefore, we investigated the transcriptional activity and protein level of NFATc1 during RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis using western blot analysis and luciferase gene assay. Our results demonstrated that CytoZ11 could dramatically inhibit NFATc1 activation which is accompanied by the reduced mRNA expression of various osteoclast specific genes such as Acp5, Ctr, and Ctsk.

We next identified the molecular mechanisms of NFATc1 activation. NF-κB activation was crucial for the induction of NFATc1 autoamplification through interacting with its promoter at the
early phase of RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis. Loss of NF-κB signaling incurred compromised osteoclastogenesis and resulted in an osteopetrotic bone phenotype in mice. The results of the NF-κB luciferase gene assay indicated that the NF-κB transcriptional level was inhibited by the treatment of CytoZ11, which may account for the subsequent suppression of NFATc1 activity. However, in this study, we found that CytoZ11 at the concentration of 2.5 μM significantly attenuated the NF-κB signaling but failed to affect the NFATc1 activation. As NFATc1 acts as a “master switcher” for osteoclastogenesis, this is also consistent with the result that osteoclast formation was not inhibited by 2.5 μM CytoZ11 in osteoclastogenesis assay. Given the auto-amplification of NFATc1 also largely result from the upstream signaling including Ca²⁺ signaling and c-Fos signaling, whether CytoZ11 of the low concentration is able to affect these two signaling remain to be further investigated. Thus, the suppression of CytoZ11 on NFATc1 activation is at least partly due to the suppression of the NF-κB activation.

C-Fos, a crucial constituent of dimeric factors AP-1, is known to act as a direct transcriptional regulator for the activation of NFATc1. Precursor cells lacking the c-Fos gene were incapable of activating NFATc1 by RANKL and failed to transform into the osteoclast lineage. Furthermore, an active form of NFATc1 transformed into c-Fos deficient cells rescued osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption. In accordance with the suppressive effect of CytoZ11 on NFATc1 activation, CytoZ11 was found to dramatically reduce the protein level of c-Fos during RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis. We thus reasoned CytoZ11’s inhibition on NFATc1 was partly through its effect on c-Fos signaling.

Fig. 5 CytoZ11 attenuated NFATc1 activation, c-Fos signaling. (A) Typical images of the western blot demonstrating the effects of CytoZ11 on the protein expression of NFATc1, c-Fos, Ctsk, integrin αV during RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis. Quantification of ratios of band intensity of (B) c-Fos, (C) NFATc1, (D) CTSK, and (E) integrin αV relative to β-actin. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 relative to RANKL-induced control group. CytoZ11: cytochalasin Z11; RANKL: receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand; NFATc1: nuclear factor of activated T cells.
5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study revealed that CytoZ11 is able to inhibit RANKL-induced osteoclast formation and bone resorption by affecting NFATc1 activation. This is achieved by attenuating two signaling pathways, including c-Fos signaling and NF-κB pathways. Therefore, it is suggested that CytoZ11 may serve as a promising natural compound for the development of a therapeutic approach to counter osteoclast-related bone diseases. However, the therapeutic effects in vivo are still needed to be further determined by using preclinical animal models.
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