Effects of cane use on walking parameters and lower limb muscle activity in adults with spastic cerebral palsy: a cross-sectional study
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Abstract. [Purpose] Adults with cerebral palsy often use a cane as a walking aid because of their decreased gait ability. However, it is unclear whether this affects lower limb muscle activity during walking. The purpose of this study was to clarify the influence of using a cane during walking on the spatio-temporal parameters of walking, lower limb muscle activity, and lower limb muscle coactivation in adults with spastic cerebral palsy. [Participants and Methods] Eleven participants with cerebral palsy were included. The spatio-temporal parameters of walking, lower limb muscle activity, and coactivation of lower limb muscle were measured during a 10 m trial with no cane, one cane, and two canes. [Results] Walking speed was lower and the stride time longer when using two canes than when using no cane. All muscle activities significantly reduced when using two canes. No significant difference was observed between using no cane and one cane, except for walking speed. In addition, there was no significant difference in coactivation between the conditions. [Conclusion] This study revealed that when two canes were used, the walking speed was reduced, and lower limb muscle activity was reduced, reducing the burden. In contrast, the movement pattern was not suggested to have changed. Key words: Cerebral palsy, Cane, Electromyography

INTRODUCTION

Walking ability in cerebral palsy (CP) is associated with activities of daily living and quality of life (QOL)1-2. It has been reported that walking ability may decline or be lost in adults with CP3, who may require a walking aid such as a cane. Logan et al.4 report that walking aids improve mobility if used correctly.

There are several benefits of using a cane5-8. A cane may enhance stability6, reducing weight-bearing on the lower limb, and reduce the vertical ground reaction force5. Moreover, a cane is useful, not only for biomechanical stability, but also for control of balance by the central nervous system (CNS) by providing sensory input1. Yeung et al.8 reported a decrease in walking speed and cadence, and increase in stride time when using a cane in spastic CP children; it was suggested that muscle activity in the lumbar region was reduced when using a cane, leading to prevention of pain5. Thus, using a cane may change the walking parameters and muscle activity of adults with CP. However, there is insufficient evidence because there are few
studies regarding the use of canes within this population.

The activity pattern of coactivation is well-documented in CP and reported to be detrimental to motor performance\(^9\). Patients with CP have an impaired CNS, and inadequate control of lower limb muscle activity\(^{10, 11}\). In addition, CP patients exhibit unstable walking because of declined balance ability. In an unstable situation, as postural control is compensated through the coactivation of agonist and antagonist muscles, the rate of coactivation increases\(^{12}\). In a study of healthy adults comparing postural sway and coactivation of the ankle joint muscles, coactivation was reduced and postural sway was decreased when lightly gripping a cane in standing\(^{13}\). In stroke patients, it has been reported that coactivation of the ankle joint muscles during walking decreased after balance training\(^{14}\). Thus, the contribution of stability by the sensory input and increased base of support when using a cane may reduce coactivation of the lower limbs while walking. However, the effect of using a cane on lower limb muscle activity on walking in CP remains unclear. Reduced coactivation may allow for more diverse postural control\(^{15}\) because excessive muscle coactivation causes high joint stiffness and reduces free postural control.

The purpose of this study was to clarify the effect of using a walking cane in adults with spastic CP on walking parameters, lower limb muscle activity, and lower limb muscle coactivation. We hypothesized that walking speed and cadence would decrease, and stride time would increase when using a cane, as reported in previous studies\(^8\). Furthermore, we hypothesized that muscle activity and coactivation in the lower limbs would be reduced when using a cane.

### PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

Eleven community-dwelling participants with CP (mean age, 28.0 ± 10.3 years; Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) classes: I, three participants; II, five participants; III, three participants) participated in this study. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age over 18 years; (2) diagnosis of spastic CP; (3) ability to walk independently without an assistive device for at least 10 m; and (4) ability to follow simple instructions. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) orthopedic surgery within the previous 6 months; and (2) botulinum toxin injections to the lower extremities within the previous 3 months. This study was a cross-sectional design. Measurement of research data was conducted at Sapporo Medical University from November to December 2017. All procedures were approved by the ethics committee of Sapporo Medical University and all participants provided written informed consent before starting this study (Authorization Number: 29-2-11).

We used a general T-cane (0.35 kg) and adjusted the length of the cane before data measurement. The length of the cane was adjusted such that the grip was at the level of the femoral greater trochanter when the cane was placed 150 mm obliquely forward from the apex of the foot. When participants used one cane, the cane was held with the easy-to-use hand; when participants used two canes, each cane was held in a hand. For two canes, four-point reciprocal gait (right hand/left foot and vice versa) training was performed before data acquisition. Training was for 3 round trips on a 10 m walkway.

All participants performed 10 m walking tests at a comfortable walking speed with bare feet. A 16 m walkway was used, with a 3 m area for acceleration and deceleration. Each subject was assessed under the following three conditions in randomized order: (1) walking unaided (no cane); (2) walking with one cane; and (3) walking with two canes. Each condition was tested twice. We measured the number of steps and walking time.

Electromyography (EMG) signals were recorded during the 10 m walking tests using a Trigno Wireless System (Delsys Inc., Boston, MA, USA) at a sampling rate of 2,000 Hz. The sensor has a built-in accelerometer as well as an electromyogram and collects data simultaneously. Skin abrasion and alcohol cleaning were conducted before electrode attachment to reduce impedance. The target muscle groups included the rectus femoris (RF), biceps femoris (BF), tibialis anterior (TA), and gastrocnemius lateral head (GL); measurement was performed with the lower limb of one side under all three conditions, and the measuring side was the lower limb on the opposite side of the cane when using one cane. The electrodes were placed in reference to the contents of the SENIAM project (http://www.seniam.org). In addition, one electrode was attached to the heel for assessing one walking cycle from acceleration. One walking cycle was detected from the foot strike and foot-off events of each walking test\(^{16}\).

The number of steps and walking time were averaged from the two trials. Walking speed, stride length, stride time, and cadence were calculated from the average number of steps and walking time. Raw EMG signals were band-pass filtered at 20–450 Hz before data analysis. The magnitude of muscle activity was calculated as the root mean square (RMS) of the electromyographic signal for each muscle, EMG amplitudes were normalized by the average amplitude of each muscle over the entire gait cycle, and by averaging five gait cycles. The magnitude of agonist and antagonist muscle coactivation was calculated using the co-activation index (CoI) according to previous studies\(^{17, 18}\). The band-pass-filtered EMG signals were full-wave rectified and were time normalized to 100% in the gait cycle. Each muscle EMG parameter was calculated from five gait cycles and normalized by the average amplitude of the muscle over the entire gait cycle. The CoI was calculated by the overlap duration of the agonist–antagonist divided by the number of data points, as per previous studies\(^{17, 18}\). In addition, CoI was calculated for the thigh and leg during the stance (S) and swing (Sw) phases.

The normality of all data was confirmed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Then, repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Tukey tests were used to assess differences between walking parameters or muscle activity between each condition. All data analyses were performed using SPSS software version 21.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was set at a p value <0.05.
RESULTS

The demographic data of the participants are shown in Table 1. Three participants used a cane, and eight participants did not use the cane in daily life.

Table 2 shows the results of different walking parameters, each muscle RMS, and CoI under each condition. Repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant difference in the number of canes for walking speed and stride time (walking speed: $F_{1.183, 11.826}=10.599, p=0.005$; stride time: $F_{1.139, 11.390}=10.711, p=0.006$). As a result of post hoc Tukey tests, there was a significant difference in walking speed and stride time between two canes and without a cane (walking speed: $p=0.001$; stride time: $p=0.001$).

Also, repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant difference in the number of canes for all muscles (RF: $F_{1.215, 12.151}=8.735, p=0.009$; BF: $F_{1.146, 11.459}=3.593, p=0.080$; TA: $F_{1.106, 11.063}=6.704, p=0.023$; GL: $F_{1.33, 13.329}=7.894, p=0.010$). As a result of post hoc Tukey tests, there was a significant difference in all muscles between two canes and without a cane (RF: $p=0.001$; BF: $p=0.039$; TA: $p=0.004$; GL: $p=0.002$). However, there were no significant differences between without a cane and with one cane and between with one cane and with two canes for all muscles. Also, Table 2 show the results of the difference of CoI under each condition. There was no significant difference in CoI among all the conditions.

Table 1. Demographic data and walking speed of the participants

| Participant | Age | Gender | GMFCS | Use of the cane in daily life | No cane | One cane | Two canes |
|-------------|-----|--------|-------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|
| 1           | 31  | Male   | III   | Two canes                   | 58.5 (6.0) | 56.4 (0.7) | 59.1 (0.1) |
| 2           | 32  | Male   | II    | One cane (winter only)       | 63.5 (0.2) | 57.3 (6.0) | 54.1 (2.2) |
| 3           | 47  | Female | II    | Unused                       | 51.3 (0.3) | 43.3 (1.3) | 38.6 (1.6) |
| 4           | 27  | Male   | II    | Unused                       | 75.1 (0.9) | 69.6 (7.9) | 68.9 (4.3) |
| 5           | 21  | Female | II    | Unused                       | 60.5 (4.6) | 41.3 (1.9) | 32.5 (2.9) |
| 6           | 45  | Female | III   | One cane                     | 39.2 (0.9) | 44.6 (0.5) | 46.2 (0.3) |
| 7           | 18  | Male   | I     | Unused                       | 79.8 (3.0) | 74.7 (4.9) | 74.0 (3.4) |
| 8           | 18  | Female | I     | Unused                       | 81.7 (1.3) | 76.0 (8.4) | 68.4 (4.1) |
| 9           | 29  | Male   | III   | Two canes                    | 25.9 (2.4) | 27.4 (2.0) | 31.4 (1.4) |
| 10          | 21  | Male   | I     | Unused                       | 69.1 (6.5) | 67.3 (2.4) | 65.9 (0.67) |
| 11          | 19  | Female | II    | One cane (winter only)       | 49.5 (0.1) | 39.1 (0.3) | 37.6 (1.3) |

Data are presented as mean (SD).
GMFCS: Gross motor function classification system.

Table 2. Results of walking parameters and muscle activity

| Condition | No cane | One cane | Two canes |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Walking speed (m/min) | 59.9 (18.0) | 54.3 (16.3) * | 52.0 (16.2) * |
| Stride length (m) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
| Stride time (s) | 0.86 (0.2) | 0.92 (0.2) | 0.94 (0.3) * |
| Cadence (steps/min) | 150.1 (42.3) | 139.4 (39.8) | 136.8 (39.7) |
| RF RMS (μV) | 44.4 (30.7) | 37.9 (27.0) | 31.3 (19.1) * |
| BF RMS (μV) | 59.8 (51.9) | 47.1 (31.7) | 39.7 (23.1) * |
| TA RMS (μV) | 65.6 (26.7) | 60.4 (21.2) | 53.5 (16.7) * |
| GL RMS (μV) | 62.9 (33.7) | 54.0 (33.3) | 48.7 (28.6) * |
| Thigh Col of stance | 0.57 (0.08) | 0.58 (0.07) | 0.58 (0.08) |
| Thigh Col of swing | 0.59 (0.07) | 0.59 (0.07) | 0.58 (0.09) |
| Leg Col of stance | 0.57 (0.09) | 0.58 (0.15) | 0.57 (0.14) |
| Leg Col of swing | 0.46 (0.09) | 0.47 (0.12) | 0.48 (0.11) |

Data are presented as mean (SD).
RMS: root mean square; RF: rectus femoris; BF: biceps femoris; TA: tibialis anterior; GL: gastrocnemius of lateral head; Col: coactivation index.
*Significantly different from no cane ($p<0.05$).
The purpose of this study was to investigate the walking parameters and lower limb muscle activity and to clarify the effects of using one or two canes during walking in adults with spastic CP. We found that two canes decreased lower limb muscle activity; however, walking speed also decreased and muscle coactivation was not changed. This suggests that the use of canes decreases the burden on the lower limbs in adults with CP.

Our results show that walking speed with two canes decreased more than without a cane. In a study of stroke patients, those who did not use a cane in daily life had reduced walking speed when using a cane. Also, it has been reported that the need for attention due to the use of a cane reduces walking speed. In addition, although a cane can generate propulsion and braking forces, these depend on the method of use and the ability of the user. In this study, we compared the effects of canes on walking parameters in adults with CP.

In this study, the participants were adults with CP, and it is expected that their physical experience are required to effectively use the cane in CP. For all muscles, muscle activity normalized by the average amplitude was lower when walking with two canes than without a cane. Using a cane has been reported to reduce the burden on the lower limbs as it reduces weight-bearing and vertical reaction force; however, muscle activity is reported to depend on walking speed. Therefore, it cannot be determined whether the reduced muscle activity is due to walking speed or the use of the cane; although, in the GMFCS III participants, the walking speed was faster and the muscle activity was reduced, so it can be presumed that it was the effect of the canes.

Consequently, the use of a cane reduces the burden on the lower limbs of participants with CP in daily life and therapy. In a previous study, one-third of adults with CP reported chronic pain, and more pain in the lower back and lower limbs. Therefore, it cannot be determined whether the change in strategy or the influence of walking speed affects the walking parameters when walking speed decreases. Meanwhile, stroke patients have increased stride length when utilizing a cane; however, no change in stride length was observed in CP patients. These results indicated that CP impairs the ability to increase stride while walking.

For all muscles, muscle activity normalized by the average amplitude was lower when walking with two canes than without a cane. Using a cane has been reported to reduce the burden on the lower limbs as it reduces weight-bearing and vertical reaction force; however, muscle activity is reported to depend on walking speed. Therefore, it cannot be determined whether the reduced muscle activity is due to walking speed or the use of the cane; although, in the GMFCS III participants, the walking speed was faster and the muscle activity was reduced, so it can be presumed that it was the effect of the canes. Conclusively, the use of a cane reduces the burden on the lower limbs of participants with CP in daily life and therapy. In a previous study, one-third of adults with CP reported chronic pain, and more pain in the lower back and lower limbs. Therefore, it cannot be determined whether the change in strategy or the influence of walking speed affects the walking parameters when walking speed decreases. Meanwhile, stroke patients have increased stride length when utilizing a cane; however, no change in stride length was observed in CP patients. These results indicated that CP impairs the ability to increase stride while walking.

In conclusion, in an adult with CP walking with two canes, the walking speed is reduced, but the lower limb muscle activity is also reduced compared to that without a cane or with one cane. It was suggested that the burden to lower limb muscles was reduced. However, the rate of agonist and antagonist muscle coactivation did not change, suggesting that the movement pattern did not change. Therefore, this study helps to consider the use of the cane in adult CP.
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