INTRODUCTION

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder that begins in childhood and adversely affects functionality in many ways. In a study conducted in Turkey in recent years, the authors investigated the prevalence of childhood psychopathology and found that the most common psychiatric disorder was ADHD with a prevalence of 12.4%.1 The prevalence of the disorder in boys is higher than in girls, and the ratio between boys and girls ranges from 2:1 to 10:1.2,3 Although several possible biomarkers for ADHD have been identified,4 the precise etiology of ADHD is not established.5,6 Observations of sex differences have led some scientists to doubt whether the neuroendocrine system is involved in ADHD etiology.7-9 One of the interesting study subjects in this context is prenatal steroid hormone exposure.10-15 Unfortunately, prenatal steroid hormone measurement is invasive and costly. Recent evidence has shown that the ratio of index finger (2D) length to the ring finger (4D), expressed as second-to-fourth digit (2D:4D), is constant throughout a lifetime and is inversely associated with prenatal testosterone exposure, is considered as a marker for the intrauterine level of
testosterone. Therefore, several investigators have used the ratio of 2D:4D to examine the relationship between fetal testosterone exposure and neurodevelopmental disorders like ADHD as a noninvasive indicator of fetal testosterone exposure. While some of these studies were performed in the unselected population samples, some of them were performed with clinically diagnosed ADHD. In studies conducted with community samples, all studies have shown that more masculine ratios have been associated with increased symptoms; however, some research, however, found this relationship only in girls, while other studies found these relations only in boys. Among patients with clinically diagnosed ADHD, only a few studies investigated the 2D:4D ratios. In one of these studies, the ratios of 2D:4D in male ADHD patients are lower than in male anxiety disorder patients. Similarly, in another study, the 2D:4D ratio in children with ADHD was found to be lower than controls, but this difference could not be seen in the female sample. showed that the right-hand ratio of 2D:4D distinguishes between ADHD and controls in males and that more masculine ratios in males associated with inattentive and hyperactive-impulsive symptoms, reported by parents and teachers. In a study conducted in Turkey, 40 boys with ADHD were compared with 40 healthy controls. The right-hand 2D:4D ratios in the ADHD sample were found to be significantly lower compared to the controls and were found to be associated with high aggression scores in this study. Also, studies are reporting that 2D:4D ratios did not differ between ADHD and control groups.

When the results of the studies are evaluated, they have shown that people with a lower, more male-typical, 2D:4D ratio tend to show more prominent ADHD symptoms. Such findings support the hypothesis that the development of ADHD in children is affected by fetal testosterone exposure. However, some researches have not found a connection between the ratios of 2D:4D and ADHD symptoms in children. To sum up, it remains open for debate whether prenatal testosterone exposure plays an important role in the underlying cause of ADHD. In this context, we tried to replicate and expand the recent studies examining relationships between 2D:4D ratios and ADHD by examining different effects on hyperactive-impulsive symptoms, inattentive, and aggression in a large, well-characterized, clinically evaluated ADHD sample. Also, to date, there is only one study examining the relationship between the 2D:4D ratios and intelligence quotient (IQ) in the ADHD sample. However, investigation of this relationship is worthwhile, as a recent review has stated that giftedness is related to higher levels of prenatal testosterone exposure. In the current study, we measured the ratios of 2D:4D and administered a Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised (WISC-R) to assess IQ scores, as well as behavioral rating scales, in children with ADHD and comparison individuals. The aim of the present study was to replicate and extend previous work by addressing two research questions: 1) Are 2D:4D ratios lower in ADHD than in controls? 2) Is there a correlation between 2D:4D ratios and symptoms of ADHD, aggression and intelligence scores in boys with ADHD?

METHODS

Subjects
Subjects were recruited from the child and adolescent psychiatry outpatient clinic of the Suleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine. The study was attended by a total of 155 children and adolescents between 7 and 16 years of age. The study included 100 treatment-naive male children diagnosed with ADHD and 55 healthy male children. The criteria for exclusion for the study were: 1) left-handedness, 2) the existence of a major neurological/physical disease (e.g., epilepsy, cerebral palsy, cardiovascular disorders, etc.), and major psychiatric disorder (e.g., schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, autism spectrum disorder) that can impair the cognitive and physical functions, 3) history of taking psychotropic medications, 4) an IQ scores below 70 according to the WISC-R, 5) Congenital or acquired malformation in the hands.

The SDU Faculty of Medicine Ethical Committee approved this study (2019/353) and all procedures were compliant with the Helsinki Declaration and local laws and regulations. After researchers clarified the aim and course of the research, the parents gave their written informed consent. Oral consent from all subjects was also received.

Diagnostic and symptom assessment
The Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children, Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL) was applied for patient and control subjects by a child psychiatrist (ÜI). ADHD diagnosis was made on the basis of the DSM-5 criteria.

To assess the children’s ADHD and disruptive behavior symptoms severity, parents of the participants completed the Turgay DSM-IV-Based Child and Adolescent Behavioral Disorders Screening and Rating Scale (T-DSM-IV-S) and the Conners’ Parent Rating Scale-Revised Short (CPRS-RS), and teachers of the participants completed the T-DSM-IV-S and the Conners’ Teacher Rating Scale-Revised Short (CTRS-RS). To determine the children’s aggression severity, participants filled out the Reactive-Proactive Aggression Questionnaire (RPAQ). Higher scores demonstrate higher levels of symptoms for each scale. The WISC-R was administered by licensed psychologists to participants for IQ determination.
Assessments of digit ratio and height and weight

Previous studies have obtained finger length measurements indirectly from photocopies or hand scans. Since these measurements may distort ratios, the 2D and 4D finger lengths of both hands were measured with a digital vernier caliper directly by the same staff (UI). Participants were instructed to put their hands on the table with their palms facing up and to extend their fingers as much as possible. The procedure employed was the widely used measurement whereby absolute lengths of the digits of two hands are taken from the middle of the basal crease where the fingers join the palm to the tip of the fingers. The digit ratio was determined by dividing the 2D length by that of the 4D. None of the participants in our study had a past medical history of a broken finger.

Statistical analysis

The normal distribution of the data was tested with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The variables which were not normally distributed were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test. The student t-test was used to analyze the variables that were normally distributed. Variables are given as a number (n) and mean±standard deviation (SD). Correlations among continuous variables were examined by the Spearman rank correlation coefficient.

Multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) was conducted for potential confounding variables. This examined the main effect of a group that used the 2D:4D ratio of the right and left hand as outcome measures to control potential confounders (age and BMI percentiles), which were described as those variables linked to the outcome with a p-value less than 0.05. We then conducted a one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to identify domains with significant between-group differences controlling for the same confounders. A value of p less than 0.05 (two-tailed) was considered to indicate significance. The data were analyzed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1. There was no statistical difference between children with ADHD and control groups in terms of age differences between mother and child, father and child, and father and mother. Also, there was no significant difference between ADHD and control groups’ breastfeeding duration. As presented in Table 1, there were significant differences for age, father’s age, mother’s age, and BMI Percentile, and verbal, performance and total intelligence scores based on the WISC-R.

The clinical characteristics of the ADHD sample and control subjects are presented in Table 2. The ADHD group's parent T-DSM-IV-S, CPRS-RS, teacher T-DSM-IV-S, CTRS-RS, and RPAQ scores were higher than the controls.

The means and SD for 2D:4D ratios are shown in Table 3. We observed lower 2D:4D ratios in the right hand in ADHD in comparison to the control group as shown in Table 3 (p=0.004). The left-hand ratios of 2D:4D, however, did not differ between ADHD and control groups (p=0.161). There were significant overall group differences with respect to the digit ratio in MANCOVA [V (Pillai’s trace)=0.045, F (2, 148)=3.479, p=0.033, ηp²=0.045]. Separate univariate ANCOVAs on the outcome variables demonstrated significantly lower right hand 2D:4D ratios [F (1, 149)=6.997, p=0.009, ηp²=0.016] in the ADHD group compared to the controls. However, in terms of the left-hand 2D:4D ratios, no significant differences were observed between groups [F (1, 149)=0.573, p=0.450, ηp²=0.004] (Table 3).

Correlations among 2D:4D ratios and age, BMI percentiles, verbal, performance, and total IQ scores, and subscale scores of the parent- and teacher-rated T-DSM-IV-S, CPRS-RS, CTRS-RS, and RPAQ were examined in the ADHD group (Table 4). There were negative correlations between the left-hand 2D:4D ratios and the teacher-rated T-DSM-IV-S hyperactivity-impulsivity scores (r=-0.275, p=0.020), and the CTRS-RS hyperactivity scores (r=-0.262, p=0.041). However, no significant correlation was detected between right-hand 2D:4D ratios and the teacher-rated T-DSM-IV-S hyperactivity-impulsivity scores.
and the other psychological questionnaire scores. Also, there was no correlation between 2D:4D ratios of both hands and age, verbal, performance and total IQ scores, WISC-R sub-tests (information, similarities, arithmetic, comprehension, digit span, picture completion, picture arrangement, block design, object assembly, coding), and BMI percentiles.

Table 2. Clinical features of children with ADHD and control subjects

|                      | ADHD (N=100) | Controls (N=55) | z   | p    |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----|------|
| Parent T-DSM-IV-S    |              |                 |     |      |
| AD                   | 14.7±5.3     | 4.2±3.4         | -9.322 | <0.001 |
| HA/I                 | 16.2±6.6     | 3.4±2.7         | -9.458 | <0.001 |
| OD                   | 11±4.9       | 4±3.2           | -7.785 | <0.001 |
| CD                   | 3.6±3.7      | 0.5±1           | -6.818 | <0.001 |
| CPRS-RS              |              |                 |     |      |
| OD                   | 9.5±4.3      | 4±3.5           | -6.636 | <0.001 |
| CP-I                 | 11.8±4.2     | 2.5±2.8         | -9.080 | <0.001 |
| HA                   | 9.8±4.4      | 1.4±1.9         | -8.901 | <0.001 |
| Teacher T-DSM-IV-S   |              |                 |     |      |
| AD                   | 15.7±5.3     | 3.4±4           | -8.403 | <0.001 |
| HA/I                 | 13.5±7.2     | 1.8±2.9         | -8.053 | <0.001 |
| OD                   | 9.1±2.5      | 1.3±1.9         | -7.870 | <0.001 |
| CD                   | 3.8±2.5      | 0.2±0.7         | -6.581 | <0.001 |
| CTRS-RS              |              |                 |     |      |
| OD                   | 4.6±3.9      | 1±2.2           | -5.337 | <0.001 |
| CP-I                 | 6.9±3.6      | 1±1.4           | -7.658 | <0.001 |
| HA                   | 10.5±5.7     | 1±1.4           | -8.014 | <0.001 |
| RPAQ                 |              |                 |     |      |
| Reactive             | 10.1±4.7     | 6±3.8           | -4.713 | <0.001 |
| Proactive            | 3.4±3.5      | 0.9±1.2         | -5.008 | <0.001 |
| Total                | 13.5±7.7     | 7±4.7           | -5.159 | <0.001 |

Mann-Whitney U-test. Data presented as mean±SD. ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, T-DSM-IV-S: Turgay DSM IV-Based Child and Adolescent Behavioral Disorders Screening and Rating Scale, AD: attention-deficit, HA/I: hyperactivity-impulsivity, OD: oppositional defiant behavior, CPRS-RS: Conners Parent Rating Scale-Revised Short, CP-I: cognitive problems-inattention, HA: hyperactivity, RPAQ: Reactive-Proactive Aggression Questionnaire

Table 3. Mean 2D:4D ratios of children with ADHD and control subjects

| 2D:4D ratio | ADHD (N=100) | Controls (N=55) | Independent t-test | ANCOVA* |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
|             |              |                 | t      | p    | F     | p    | ηp²  |
| Right hand  | 0.95±0.03    | 0.96±0.03       | -2.925 | 0.004 | 6.997 | 0.009 | 0.045 |
| Left hand   | 0.97±0.04    | 0.98±0.03       | -1.409 | 0.161 | 0.573 | 0.450 | 0.004 |

*analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used after adjusted for age and BMI percentile for comparisons between two groups. ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, BMI: body mass index

DISCUSSION

The present study has evaluated the ratios of 2D:4D as an indicator of fetal testosterone exposure in male children with ADHD. Relationships between 2D:4D ratios and IQ, the severity of ADHD and disruptive behavior symptoms, and aggression were also explored. The analyses demonstrated that the right-hand 2D:4D ratios were significantly lower in ADHD patients compared to the control group. A significant negative correlation between hyperactivity and left-hand 2D:4D ratios were also observed. However, we could not find any correlation between the 2D:4D ratios and aggression levels and IQ scores in male children with ADHD.

Our findings showed lower right-hand 2D:4D ratios in male children with ADHD than in controls. Numerous researchers have investigated the 2D:4D ratios in ADHD in recent years and the majority have identified lower 2D:4D ratios in ADHD.12-14,22,23 On the other hand, one study has also demonstrated that ADHD patients’ 2D:4D ratios were not different from healthy controls.15 The results for the right and the left-hand ratios differ. In our current study, the right-hand 2D:4D ratio was found to be lower in ADHD patients than the controls, and the left-hand 2D:4D ratio did not differ between the groups. From previous research, it is understood that the findings for the left and the right-hand ratios are not exactly the same.18,32 A meta-analysis reported that the 2D:4D ratio on the right hand might be a better indicator of fetal testosterone exposure than the 2D:4D ratio on the left-hand,33 which may explain why in our study only the right-hand 2D:4D ratios were independently linked with ADHD. Taken together, we suggest that a significant statistical indicator of ADHD in male children is a low right-hand 2D:4D ratios that may represent the level of fetal testosterone exposure. More research is required to understand how exposure to fetal testosterone may relate to the function and structure of the brain, which may predispose children to ADHD.

Furthermore, we found that exposure to fetal testosterone, estimated with 2D:4D ratios, exhibited a significant negative correlation with the hyperactivity scores in the teacher-rated questionnaire (T-DSM-IV-S and CTRS-RS) in boys with ADHD. Several studies have investigated the correlation between 2D:4D ratios and ADHD symptom severity.11,13,15,19,21 Similar
## Table 4. Correlations among 2D:4D ratios and demographic and psychiatric variables in boys with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder

|                           | Right hand 2D:4D ratio | Left hand 2D:4D ratio |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
|                           | p          | r     | p          | r       |
| Left hand 2D:4D ratio     | 0.001    | 0.336 | -          | -       |
| Age, years                | 0.086    | -0.198 | 0.373     | 0.104   |
| BMI Percentile           | 0.889    | -0.014 | 0.463     | -0.074  |
| WISC-R verbal            | 0.472    | -0.083 | 0.223     | 0.140   |
| WISC-R performance       | 0.653    | -0.052 | 0.588     | -0.063  |
| WISC-R total             | 0.452    | -0.087 | 0.797     | 0.030   |
| WISC-R information       | 0.993    | -0.001 | 0.098     | 0.191   |
| WISC-R similarities      | 0.668    | -0.050 | 0.125     | 0.177   |
| WISC-R arithmetic        | 0.086    | -0.198 | 0.373     | 0.104   |
| WISC-R comprehension     | 0.473    | -0.083 | 0.766     | 0.035   |
| WISC-R digit span        | 0.196    | 0.150  | 0.534     | 0.072   |
| WISC-R picture completion| 0.310    | -0.118 | 0.474     | -0.083  |
| WISC-R picture arrangement| 0.490  | -0.080 | 0.748     | 0.037   |
| WISC-R block design      | 0.707    | -0.044 | 0.798     | -0.030  |
| WISC-R object assembly   | 0.608    | -0.060 | 0.556     | -0.069  |
| WISC-R coding            | 0.742    | -0.038 | 0.467     | -0.085  |
| Parent T-DSM-IV-S AD     | 0.549    | -0.062 | 0.089     | -0.175  |
| Parent T-DSM-IV-S HA/I   | 0.491    | 0.072  | 0.111     | -0.165  |
| Parent T-DSM-IV-S OD     | 0.316    | 0.104  | 0.688     | -0.042  |
| Parent T-DSM-IV-S CD     | 0.194    | 0.135  | 0.745     | 0.034   |
| CPRS-RS OD               | 0.720    | 0.037  | 0.955     | 0.006   |
| CPRS-RS CP-I             | 0.697    | 0.041  | 0.231     | -0.125  |
| CPRS-RS HA               | 0.585    | 0.057  | 0.178     | -0.140  |
| Teacher T-DSM-IV-S AD    | 0.338    | 0.115  | 0.563     | -0.070  |
| Teacher T-DSM-IV-S HA/I  | 0.130    | -0.181 | 0.020     | -0.275  |
| Teacher T-DSM-IV-S OD    | 0.401    | -0.102 | 0.398     | -0.103  |
| Teacher T-DSM-IV-S CD    | 0.511    | -0.080 | 0.202     | -0.154  |
| CTRS-RS OD               | 0.981    | 0.003  | 0.365     | 0.118   |
| CTRS-RS CP-I             | 0.451    | -0.079 | 0.103     | -0.169  |
| CTRS-RS HA               | 0.074    | -0.230 | 0.041     | -0.262  |
| RPAQ reactive            | 0.402    | 0.090  | 0.099     | 0.176   |
| RPAQ proactive           | 0.354    | 0.099  | 0.350     | 0.100   |
| RPAQ total               | 0.370    | 0.096  | 0.158     | 0.151   |

WISC-R: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised, BMI: Body Mass Index, T-DSM-IV-S: Turgay DSM IV-Based Child and Adolescent Behavioral Disorders Screening and Rating Scale, AD: attention-deficit, HA/I: hyperactivity-impulsivity, CD: oppositional defiant behavior, CD: conduct disorder, CTRS-RS: Conner’s Teacher Rating Scale-Revised Short, CPRS-RS: Conners Parent Rating Scale-Revised Short, CP-I: cognitive problems-inattention, HA: hyperactivity, RPAQ: Reactive-Proactive Aggression Questionnaire.

The relation between 2D:4D ratios and aggression may not be to the results of our study, some studies showed a correlation between the 2D:4D ratios and hyperactive/impulsive symptoms. Williams et al.,19 explored right-hand 2D:4D ratios associations with childhood problem behaviors and showed that lower 2D:4D ratios were associated with increased hyperactivity levels in girls, but not boys. In a sample of Caucasian boys and girls from the United Kingdom and Austria, Fink et al.,21 examined the correlation between 2D:4D ratios and child disruptive behavior. In the United Kingdom sample, conduct problems and hyperactivity-inattention were associated with lower ratios of 2D:4D in boys, but not in girls. The lower 2D:4D ratios in the Austrian sample were correlated with more social issues in boys and more externalizing issues in girls.21 In another study, Martel et al.,13 demonstrated that lower 2D:4D ratios associated with a composite of teacher- and parent-rated hyperactive-impulsive and inattentive symptoms in males. Roberts and Martel16 also showed that lower right-hand 2D:4D ratios were positively correlated with hyperactive-impulsive symptoms. Contrary to these studies, some studies did not detect any correlation between 2D:4D ratios and ADHD symptom severity.15 Lemiere et al.,13 showed that the ratios of 2D:4D were statistically unrelated to any of the behavior assessment scales in the total group or the ADHD group. In recent study conduct by Wang et al.,11 also showed that the ratios of 2D:4D were not correlated with behavioral symptoms among the boys with ADHD. In our study, only the left-hand ratios of 2D:4D were found to be correlated with the hyperactivity subscales of the teacher-rated scales. Although our study is similar to the results of other studies, there is not enough data about why this relationship is only in the left hand. To clarify this correlation, further studies are required.

In this study, no correlation was observed between the 2D:4D ratios and aggression in boys with ADHD. To our knowledge, there is only one study examining the relationship between 2D:4D ratios and aggression in patients with ADHD. In that study, the authors showed a relation between low 2D:4D ratios and increased aggression in patients with ADHD.14 However, several studies have examined the relation between the ratios of 2D:4D and aggression regardless of psychiatric diagnosis. Similar to the results of our study, several studies did not detect any relation between 2D:4D ratios and aggression.24,36 On the other hand, studies are reporting an association between low 2D:4D ratios and increased aggression.17-40 Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have been less equivocal in that, depending on the outcome under investigation (e.g., general aggression, sensation seeking/impulsivity), the effects of the 2D:4D ratios tend to be rather weak in overall magnitude.23,41 The most recent criminological literature meta-analysis on the 2D:4D digit ratio has reached the same conclusion.43 The relation between 2D:4D ratios and aggression may not be
simple, but maybe context-specific. Millet and Dewitte proposed possible negative correlations between the ratios of 2D:4D and physical aggression during violent music video viewing, whereas no such association was observed after exposure to a nonviolent video. Also, Huh argued that people with low 2D:4D ratios prefer aggressive media. 2D:4D ratios may, therefore, be a marker for recognizing the reason for people’s preferences for media violence. Several prior reports into the relationship between 2D:4D ratios and aggression have focused on adults. Future studies might look at the relationship between aggression and 2D:4D ratios in ADHD children, especially for media violence.

Fetal gonadal hormones were also involved as significant factors in the development of different cognitive abilities such as memory, spatial skills, numerical, and verbal intelligence. Mrazik and Dombrowski contend that fetal testosterone exposure may be an etiological factor in giftedness. However, only a small number of studies investigate the relationship between the ratios of 2D:4D and intelligence. Because of the complex nature of the observed association between 2D:4D ratios and intelligence, Luxen and Buunk found evidence of an association between the ratios of 2D:4D and intelligence and detected a positive correlation between verbal intelligence and right-hand 2D:4D ratios, a negative correlation between numerical intelligence and right-hand 2D:4D ratios. Brosnan demonstrated that 2D:4D ratios were positively correlated with literacy for females and negatively correlated with numeracy for males at age seven. Beaton et al. detected no statistically significant associations between 2D:4D ratios and mental rotation tasks. Nye et al. investigated the association between 2D:4D ratios and academic performance and showed a relationship between the ratios of 2D:4D and student academic performance. In another study, Selvaraj et al. compared the ratios of 2D:4D of normal scorers and low scorers in cognition tests and the authors did not find any statistically significant difference in the cognition test domain. In a study with gifted children, Durdiaková et al. found lower 2D:4D ratios and salivary testosterone levels in the group of gifted boys compared to control boys. To our knowledge, there is only one study examining the relationship between intelligence and 2D:4D ratios in patients with ADHD. In this study, Wang et al. demonstrated no statistically significant correlations between 2D:4D ratios and WISC-IV Chinese version. In our study, no correlation was found between WISC-R intelligence test verbal, performance, and total score, and subtest scores and the ratios of 2D:4D in ADHD. The lack of significant correlations in the present study could be attributed to the difference in methodology. Further studies are needed to explain the relationship between intelligence level and fetal testosterone exposure in patients with ADHD.

The empirical results reported herein should be considered in light of some strengths and limitations. Accounting for the age and BMI percentile, including only treatment-naive patients and the evaluation of ADHD symptoms by both teacher and parent, is a strong point of the present study. Nevertheless, there are several limitations to the present study. First, since our sample consists of only male patients with ADHD, we cannot expand our findings to all ADHD patients. Second, we measured only 2D:4D ratios as a marker of fetal testosterone. There are more valid and reliable invasive methods for fetal testosterone measurement. Finally, we did not conduct multiple finger length measurements; thus, we did not conduct intra-class comparisons.

In conclusion, we found a lower right-hand 2D:4D ratios as an indicator of fetal testosterone exposure in treatment-naive children with ADHD compared with healthy controls. Also, a significant negative correlation was noted between hyperactivity scores and left-hand 2D:4D ratios. These results provide further evidence that fetal androgen exposure may contribute to the pathophysiology of ADHD.
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