Effectiveness of Homeopathic Treatment for Abnormal Uterine Bleeding: A Double-Blind Randomized Clinical Trial
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Abstract

Background: Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is a major health problem for many women. Homeopathy is one of the treatments for this disease.

Objectives: This study was performed to evaluate the efficacy of homeopathic Ipecacuanha (Ipecac) in the treatment of bleeding, pain, and in the quality of life in patients with AUB compared to the control group.

Methods: This double blind randomized clinical trial study was performed on women aged 35-55 years with AUB in Tehran, Iran during the years 2017-2018. In this study, patients were assigned to first group: 25 patients with routine treatment (mefenamic acid capsule) + homeopathic “Ipecac” and second group: 25 patients with mefenamic acid + placebo. Pictorial Blood Loss Assessment Chart (PBAC) score, Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) questionnaire, and General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) were utilized to assess bleeding, pain, and quality of life, respectively.

Results: This study was performed on 50 women with AUB. In this study, the two groups were similar in demographic characteristics. The results showed that despite the relative improvement in PBAC score, duration of bleeding, and depression score in the homeopathic treatment group, this decrease was not statistically significant. The homeopathic treatment group compared to the control group showed more improvement in pain score, physical symptoms, anxiety and insomnia, social dysfunction, and mental health.

Conclusion: Considering the efficacy of homeopathic “Ipecac” in reducing pain and increasing the quality of life of women with AUB in this study, it can be suggested in AUB without the complication of this treatment.
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1. Background

Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) in women, defined as the change in the size, pattern, or duration of menstrual bleeding in women is the most common cause of referring women to the physician, with different reasons and treatments being mentioned in the medical literature.

This disease has a great burden of social and personal issues and has a major impact on the quality of life, productivity, access to health care services and imposes personal costs on the country's health system. AUB is highly prevalent and one-third of all women experience abnormal menstrual bleeding during their lifetime. The incidence of AUB accounts for 11% to 13% of the general population and increases with age to 24% between the ages of 36-40 years. Of course, the prevalence of this disease in Iran has been reported to be variable from 3.5% to 22.9%. AUB in women can lead to complications such as feeling overwhelmed and tired and limiting women's social, occupational, and professional activities. These problems impair the quality of life of women. A decrease in the quality of life, in turn, causes mental and physical problems in women with AUB.
high prevalence of this disease in the population,\textsuperscript{11} it can be said that decreasing the quality of life of these patients leads to a decrease in the quality of life in the whole society. Currently, the treatments used for this disease include three classes of oral medications, intrauterine devices, and surgical procedures. Oral medications are divided into hormonal and non-hormonal groups. Common side effects of non-hormonal drugs such as NSAIDs (mefenamic acid) and anti-fibrolitotic drugs (trans-oxamic acid) are gastrointestinal problems.\textsuperscript{12} Also, hormonal drugs that cause many side effects such as weight gain, painful breasts, headaches, thrombotic events, skin problems such as acne, increased bone demineralization rate, diabetes, and menopausal complications such as vaginal dryness and hot flushes are only prescribed for short periods.\textsuperscript{13} Of course, in different countries and different cultures, complementary medicine is used to treat heavy menstrual bleeding. One of these methods is the use of homeopathy. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of homeopathy on improving AUB in women. The basis of homeopathy is the law of similarity.\textsuperscript{14} Homeopathy is the second largest medical system in the world today, recognized by the World Health Organization.\textsuperscript{15} The effects of this method on pain relief,\textsuperscript{16-17} cancer recovery,\textsuperscript{18} treatment of middle ear infection,\textsuperscript{19} chronic periodontitis,\textsuperscript{20} and treatment of AUB\textsuperscript{12,23} have been reviewed. However, researchers are still looking for more evidence in the field about the effectiveness of this method in the treatment of AUB.

2. Objectives
The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy of homeopathy in the treatment of abnormal bleeding, menstrual pain, and quality of life compared with placebo in patients with AUB in Tehran, Iran between September 2017 and September 2018.

3. Methods
This study is a double-blind clinical trial. The study population consisted of women with abnormal bleeding who referred to the Gynecology clinic of Baqiyatallah Hospital, Tehran, Iran. Subjects in this study were visited after being met all the inclusion criteria. After providing necessary explanations by the administrator, a written informed consent form was obtained from each patient.

In this study, the amount of bleeding and its duration was assessed using the PBAC score assessment chart at two times, before entering the study and after the third menstrual period. In this study, the variables of PBAC questionnaire and pain as the primary outcome, as well as GHQ quality of life score and duration of bleeding as secondary outcome were considered.

Pictorial Blood Loss Assessment Chart (PBAC) is a visual questionnaire for measuring bleeding volume. In this questionnaire, rows indicate the number of menstrual days and columns of blood-stained pads in three degrees of mild, moderate, and severe. In this questionnaire, with a sensitivity of 98% and specificity of 97%, when the PBAC score is 100 or more, the menstrual bleeding volume is 80 cc or more.\textsuperscript{24}

Meanwhile, the GHQ is a standard self-report questionnaire designed for all individuals in the community with 28 questions and four sub-scales. The GHQ questionnaire consists of seven questions on each scale. The four scales of this questionnaire include physical symptoms, anxiety, insomnia, social dysfunction, and depression. The questions in this questionnaire were measured on a four-point scale as “no, little, many, and too much”. The minimum and maximum scores a person gets on this questionnaire are zero and 84. A high score in this questionnaire indicates poor mental health and a low score indicates better mental health. In this questionnaire, the cut-off point 21 is determined to assess one's mental health. The reliability index of this questionnaire has been reported from 78% to 95% in various studies.\textsuperscript{25} In Iran, Palahang\textsuperscript{26} and Yaghoubi\textsuperscript{27} reported an alpha coefficient of 91% and 88%, respectively.

The Visual Acuinity Scale is a 10 cm ruler on which smaller numbers on the left side indicate less pain and larger numbers on the right side indicate more pain in the patient. The painless word on the left end and the most severe pain word on the right end. Depending on the amount of pain, the person signs up on the continuum for the past 48 hours. In previous studies, the reliability of this questionnaire was reported from 76% to 84%.\textsuperscript{28}

Inclusion criteria: age 35 to 55 years, confirmation of AUB (using ultrasound) by a gynecologist, no breastfeeding, normal endometrial thickness and uterine size less than 10 cm (in ultrasound), absence of adnexal and ovarian mass (except simple cyst less than 2 cm), lack of submucosal or intramural fibroids, no subcervical fibroids greater than 3 cm, lack of systemic, organic and hemorrhagic diseases, normal gynecological examination and Pap smear, no concurrent use of herbal remedies, hormonal and anticoagulant drugs, and other specific illnesses, including autoimmune and cancer diseases, polyps, or fibromas that require surgical intervention.

Exclusion criteria: the patient’s unwillingness to participate in the study, the pregnancy at the time of the study, any intolerance to homeopathic medicines, the patient's need for other interventions as well as surgery.

After selecting the patients, they were divided into two groups with block randomization; group 1: routine treatment + homeopathic “Ipecac” treatment and group 2: routine treatment + placebo. The drugs were coded without mentioning the drug's name and conformity by the manufacturer (Dr. Reckweg's German company) and participants were asked to use the same instructions. In this study, two groups were asked to use a routine homeopathic medication, one of the homeopathic remedies, “Ipecac”, which according to the principles of making homeopathic medicines made with potency 30, used a sublingual on the first visit. The same dose is repeated in case the bleeding persists after 24 hours. In the placebo group according to the same procedure, the placebo was evaluated. Patients
were also asked to record and report to the investigator if they observed any adverse drug reactions.

In this study, descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency, and ratio) and inferential statistics (chi-square test, independent t test, Mann-Whitney test, dependent t-test, Wilcoxon test) were used to determine whether or not the response variable was normal. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test has been done. SPSS software version 21 and a significant level of 5% were considered as significant level. To estimate sample size in this study, taking into account $\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.1$ and $d = 1$ according to experts’ opinion, and using the following formula, 50 samples were calculated.

$$n = 2 \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta}}{d} \right)^2 / d^2$$

4. Results

In this study, 50 patients were enrolled of which 46 remained at the end of the study (Figure 1). The demographic characteristics of the study participants in the two groups are presented in Table 1. Based on the information in this table, we can be assured of the same age and education distribution between the two study groups. Mean and standard deviation of the number of days of bleeding, PBAC score, pain score, physical symptoms questionnaire, anxiety and insomnia, social dysfunction, depression, and mental health scores were shown at baseline. Based on the information in this table, we can be certain that the two groups are identical in the mentioned variables. Table 2 shows the mean and standard deviation of the variables studied at baseline and after three months of intervention in the two groups. Based on the results reported in this table, it can be said that despite the relative improvement in PBAC score, the number of bleeding days, and depression score in the homeopathic treatment group, this improvement was not statistically significant in the two groups. The homeopathic treatment group showed more improvement in pain score, physical symptoms, anxiety, insomnia, social dysfunction, and mental health compared to the control group.

5. Discussion

Menstrual disorders are major health problems for many women. It should be noted that AUB is responsible for about two-third of cases of hysterectomy in women, which increases the surgical complications, the risk of morbidity and mortality and imposes a high cost on the national health system. Since the use of complementary medicine has been shown to have a significant effect on the treatment of diseases and pain relief, this study aimed to investigate the effect of homeopathic “Ipecac” on the improvement of AUB in women. The results of this study showed that despite a slight improvement in PBAC score, bleeding day, and depression score in the homeopathic
treatment group, the improvement was not statistically significant in the two groups. In this respect, the results of this study were consistent with the study by Das et al.\(^3\) and inconsistent with the studies by Ammerman et al.\(^2\) and El-Nashar et al.\(^2\). Denisova et al.\(^3\) showed a significant improvement in homeopathy compared to routine treatment and inconsistent with the study by Koley et al.\(^3\). The reason for this effect can be justified in the fact that in homeopathy the physician's diagnostic and therapeutic approach is based on detecting a disorder in the mental,

Table 1. Distribution Frequency Sex, Age, and Basic Variables in the Two Groups

|                        | Routine Treatment + Placebo | Routine Treatment + Homeopathic Medicine | P Value |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| **Sex**                |                             |                                        |         |
| Woman                  | 25                          | 21                                     | 0.47    |
| Man                    |                             | 30                                     |         |
| **Education**          |                             |                                        |         |
| <Diploma               | 9                           | 2                                      | 0.20    |
| Diploma                | 4                           | 6                                      |         |
| B.S.                   | 10                          | 10                                     |         |
| M.S. & PhD             | 2                           | 3                                      |         |
| **Age**                |                             |                                        |         |
| <30                    | 4                           | 2                                      | 0.30    |
| 31-40                  | 4                           | 4                                      |         |
| >41                    | 17                          | 15                                     |         |
| **Quantitative variables** |                           |                                        |         |
| Number of bleeding days| 13.58                       | 12.90                                  | 0.77    |
| PBAC scale             | 340.27                      | 312.33                                 | 0.68    |
| VAS                    | 3.42                        | 2.70                                   | 0.07    |
| Physical symptoms      | 11.42                       | 9.38                                   | 0.99    |
| Anxiety and insomnia   | 11.88                       | 11.14                                  | 0.60    |
| Social dysfunction     | 12.23                       | 11.71                                  | 0.51    |
| Depression             | 16.62                       | 18.76                                  | 0.09    |
| Mental health scale    | 52.15                       | 51.00                                  | 0.74    |

P value was calculated based on the chi-square test, Mann-Whitney, and independent t test.

Table 2. Mean and SD of Study Variables at the Beginning and After 3 Months After Intervention in the Two Groups

|                        | Beginning of Study | After 3 Months | Effectiveness | P Value* | P Value** |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| **Number of bleeding days** | Routine treatment + Placebo | 13.6 | 8.2 | 9.9 | 5.6 | 0.53 | 0.019 | 0.815 |
|                        | Routine treatment + Homeopathic medicine | 12.9 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 0.75 | 0.011 |
| **PBAC scale**         | Routine treatment + Placebo | 340.3 | 243.3 | 191.3 | 178.3 | 0.70 | 0.011 | 0.244 |
|                        | Routine treatment + Homeopathic medicine | 312.3 | 219.0 | 79.1 | 50.8 | 1.47 | 0.000 |
| **VAS**                | Routine treatment + Placebo | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.39 | 0.043 | 0.001 |
|                        | Routine treatment + Homeopathic medicine | 5.1 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.51 | <0.001 |
| **Physical symptoms**  | Routine treatment + Placebo | 11.4 | 4.2 | 13.0 | 3.3 | 0.42 | 0.037 | <0.001 |
|                        | Routine treatment + Homeopathic medicine | 9.4 | 3.8 | 16.5 | 2.0 | 2.35 | <0.001 |
| **Anxiety and insomnia** | Routine treatment + Placebo | 11.9 | 5.1 | 14.4 | 3.8 | 0.55 | 0.004 | 0.006 |
|                        | Routine treatment + Homeopathic medicine | 11.1 | 4.3 | 17.1 | 3.5 | 1.53 | <0.001 |
| **Social dysfunction** | Routine treatment + Placebo | 12.2 | 2.5 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 0.09 | 0.689 | 0.008 |
|                        | Routine treatment + Homeopathic medicine | 11.7 | 2.9 | 14.5 | 2.6 | 1.02 | 0.001 |
| **Depression**         | Routine treatment + Placebo | 16.6 | 5.2 | 16.9 | 5.6 | 0.06 | 0.707 | 0.395 |
|                        | Routine treatment + Homeopathic medicine | 18.8 | 2.6 | 19.9 | 2.8 | 0.42 | 0.010 |
| **Mental health scale** | Routine treatment + Placebo | 52.2 | 13.3 | 9.9 | 5.6 | 4.14 | 0.027 | <0.001 |
|                        | Routine treatment + Homeopathic medicine | 51.0 | 9.9 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 5.73 | <0.001 |

P value was calculated based on independent t test, dependent t test, Mann-Whitney, and Wilcoxon tests.

* P value1: within-group comparison.

** P value2: between groups comparison.
nervous, glandular, and immune systems that causes symptoms in various organs of the body. The purpose of drug administration in homeopathy is to stimulate these systems. Therefore, the effect of homeopathic treatment on pain control can be considered as stimulation of the nervous system.

The vital point about using homeopathic remedies is that they are considered to be non-chemical because of their source of plants, animal, mineral, nosode, and sarscide; therefore, there are fewer reported cases that have side effects. Another reason for the lesser side effects of homeopathic remedies is their use in the dilution process. In this method, by diluting and shaking the drug molecules, the supramolecular clusters are formed and the drug molecules are converted into three-dimensional cavities that are exactly complementary to the pathogenic molecules. The two factors, 1-non-chemical and 2-thinning have reduced the side effects of homeopathic medicines thus this treatment is more popular. Therefore, one of the reasons for improving the quality of life of patients using homeopathy is the two factors mentioned.

Finally, the strengths of this study are the appropriate sample size, robust design (double-blind randomized clinical trial), and homogeneity of participants in this study.

Meanwhile, limitations of this study were the discussion of follow-up of patients during menstruation and drug use. Repeated and frequent researcher contact with patients was sometimes difficult and these follow-ups were sometimes very unpleasant for patients and their families.

6. Conclusion
Considering the efficacy of homeopathic "Ipecac" in reducing pain, enhancing the quality of life of women with high bleeding in this study, and lower side effects in this treatment, it can be suggested to reduce AUB in women.
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Research Highlights

What is Already Known?
AUB is a major health problem for many women. Oral medications, intrauterine devices, and surgical procedures are used to treat this condition.

What This Study Adds?
This study showed that homeopathy can be effective in reducing pain and improving the quality of life of women with abnormal uterine bleeding. Also, this method of treatment can be recommended because the side effects of these drugs are far less than modern medicine drugs and have a more reasonable cost.
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