The Agr Quorum Sensing System Represses Persister Formation through Regulation of Phenol Soluble Modulins in *Staphylococcus aureus*
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The opportunistic pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus* has become an increasing threat to public health. While the Agr quorum sensing (QS) system is a master regulator of *S. aureus* virulence, its dysfunction has been frequently reported to promote bacteremia and mortality in clinical infections. Here we show that the Agr system is involved in persister formation in *S. aureus*. Mutation of either *agrCA* or *agrD* but not *RNAIII* resulted in increased persister formation of stationary phase cultures. RNA-seq analysis showed that in stationary phase *AgrCA/AgrD* and *RNAIII* mutants showed consistent up-regulation of virulence associated genes (*lip* and *splE*, etc.) and down-regulation of metabolism genes (*bioA* and *nanK*, etc.). Meanwhile, though knockout of *agrCA* or *agrD* strongly repressed expression of phenol soluble modulin encoding genes *psmA*-1-4, *psmB*-1-2 and phenol soluble modulins (PSM) transporter encoding genes in the *pmt* operon, mutation of *RNAIII* enhanced expression of the genes. We further found that knockout of *psmA*-1-4 or *psmB*-1-2 augmented persister formation and that co-overexpression of PSMαs and PSMβs reversed the effects of AgrCA mutation on persister formation. We also detected the effects on persister formation by mutations of metabolism genes (*arcA*, *hutU*, *narG*, *nanK*, etc.) that are potentially regulated by Agr system. It was found that deletion of the ManNAc kinase encoding gene *nanK* decreased persister formation. Taken together, these results shed new light on the PSM dependent regulatory role of Agr system in persister formation and may have implications for clinical treatment of MRSA persistent infections.
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INTRODUCTION

*Staphylococcus aureus*, as a leading bacterial agent of a series of hospital- and community- acquired infections, has been listed as a pathogen with high priority for research and development of new antibiotics (World Health Organization, 2017). Fail of eradication of *S. aureus* in clinical treatments is linked to persister formation. Persisters, first observed in staphylococci in Hobby et al. (1942), are defined as a subpopulation of bacterial cells that, without undergoing genetic changes, survive...
the effects of high concentration of bactericidal antibiotics (Bigger, 1944). Persisters have been associated with persistent infections, presenting severe threats to patients with bacterial infections (Lewis, 2007; Fauvart et al., 2011; Zhang, 2014).

The last decade has seen much research on the mechanisms of persister formation, most of which was derived from Escherichia coli. Genes involved in toxin–antitoxin modules, trans-translation, energy production, stringent response and efflux have been found to be involved in persister formation by inducing dormancy (Dorr et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Pu et al., 2016). The most in-depth study so far, though not necessarily the most important, is on HipAB module in E. coli. Mutated HipA augments persister formation by phosphorylation of GltX, which in turn raises concentration of uncharged tRNA^Glu^, induces production of (p)ppGpp and polyP, and eventually promotes persister formation with increased production of toxins including HipA, RelE, MazF, and YafQ (Korch et al., 2003; Maisonuneuve et al., 2013; Germain et al., 2015; Mitchell et al., 2016). A recent study revealed a similar mechanism that a toxin TacT promotes persister formation via acetylation of tRNA in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (Cheverton et al., 2016). Research on persister formation has also been performed in other bacteria, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus mutans and Staphylococcus aureus (Singh et al., 2009; Mulcahy et al., 2010; Leung and Lévesque, 2012).

Previous studies showed that the characteristics and mechanisms of persisters in S. aureus are different from those in E. coli. The higher portion of persisters in stationary phase and clinical impact of Staphylococcus persisters has drawn increasing attention recently (Fauvart et al., 2011; Lechner et al., 2012). It takes more time to show distinct killing of S. aureus cells, and an iconic feature of E. coli persisters, called biphasic killing curve, is often absent in treatment of stationary phase S. aureus cultures with antibiotics (Lechner et al., 2012; Conlon et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2016). Multiple genes have been reported to be associated with Staphylococcus persister formation (Mechler et al., 2015; Wenjie et al., 2015; Yee et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2016). In addition, L-forms that survive high concentration of antibiotics with cell wall deficiency are believed to be a special kind of persisters (Han et al., 2014). It is intriguing that few of the homologous genes of many E. coli persister genes affect persister formation in S. aureus. A typical case is that no mutation of known TA modules in S. aureus affects persister levels (Conlon et al., 2016). In addition, the ppGpp molecule is not as important in S. aureus persister formation as in E. coli (Geiger et al., 2010; Corrigan et al., 2016). Nonetheless, it is worth noting that besides specific genes, decreased ATP level during entry into stationary phase is found to be a key reason of persister increase in both E. coli and S. aureus (Conlon et al., 2016; Shan et al., 2017).

Besides the difference of persister genes between E. coli and S. aureus, the regulatory mechanism of S. aureus persister formation also remains elusive. Persister formation has been defined as an outcome of stochastic induction of toxin-antitoxin activity and other persister genes influenced by the level of p(ppGpp) which varies stochastically in different cells during growth (Maisonuneuve et al., 2013; Germain et al., 2015).

However, some key aspects of stochastic theory are unclear, especially the initiation of persister formation. QS, for its manner of regulation, is a candidate for this kind of regulation. In P. aeruginosa and S. mutans, regulation of QS has been reported (Moker et al., 2010; ?). However, whether the QS system is involved in S. aureus persister formation needs to be determined.

There are two known QS systems in S. aureus, LuxS and Agr. Agr is a master regulator of virulence, activated by an autoinducing peptide (AIP) that is encoded by agrD and modified and exported by AgrB (Ji et al., 1995; Morfeldt et al., 1995). Extracellular AIP molecules are processed by a TCS consisting of the histidine kinase AgrC and the response regulator AgrA (Lina et al., 1998). While most of downstream virulence genes are regulated through RNAIII, AgrA directly regulates several metabolic pathways including carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism (Dunman et al., 2001; Ziebandt et al., 2004; Queck et al., 2008). Also, Agr particularly controls expression of three specific group of virulence factors named PSMs (Wang et al., 2007; Peschel and Otto, 2013), which are transported by the Pmt system (Chatterjee et al., 2013). Joo et al. (2016) has recently reported that PSMs bind PmtR, the transcription repressor of the Pmt system, and hence promote expression of PSM transporters, showing that PSMs not only function as toxins but act as signals to regulate gene expression of S. aureus.

The correlation between persister formation and persistent infection is drawing increasing attention. Multiple observations of persisters in clinical infections have been reported in E. coli, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Dhar and McKinney, 2007; Zhang et al., 2012; Cohen et al., 2013). High expression of HipA promotes persister formation and thus cause multidrug tolerance in urinary tract infections by E. coli (Schumacher et al., 2015). Despite clinical observations, a recent study showed that awakened S. aureus persisters are able to initiate infections and that S. aureus persisters, whether awake or not, possess advantages escaping from engulfment of macrophages (Mina and Marques, 2016), supporting the notion that persisters are important in initiating and establishing infections. Hence, understanding the mechanisms of S. aureus persister formation is critical for development of new strategies against the dangerous pathogen.

Here we report that Agr is a repressor of persister formation in stationary phase S. aureus. The modulation of persister formation by Agr is not RNAIII dependent, but relies on regulation of PSM expression. The findings provide new insights into the pathogenesis of S. aureus and clinical treatment of S. aureus infections.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains, Reagents, and Growth Conditions**

*Staphylococcus aureus* MRSA strain USA500 (Diep et al., 2006) as well as MSSA strains HG003 (Herbert et al., 2010) and Newman (Baba et al., 2008) were used as wild type strains. *E. coli* DC10B (Monk et al., 2012) was used for shuttle plasmid construction.
(Table 1). All manipulations of the strains were carried out in biosafety level 2 labs.

Lysogeny broth (LB) was used for cultivation of E. coli strains. TSB (Tryptic soy broth, Oxoid) were used for S. aureus cultivation. Anhydrotetracycline (ATc) (Sigma) was used for induction of secY antisense RNA during screening of mutants. During cultivation of strains that carry antibiotic resistance genes, antibiotics were added to medium at the following concentrations: chloramphenicol, 10 μg/μl; ampicillin, 100 μg/μl.

All strains were cultured at 37°C with shaking at 180 rpm unless otherwise specified. Growth curves were determined by measuring the optical density (OD) values at 600 nm every hour.

Gene Knockout, Complementation, and Overexpression
Plasmid pMX10 (Xu et al., 2016), a derivate of pKOR1 (Bae and Schneewind, 2006), was used for gene knockout in S. aureus. Plasmid pRB473 (Bruckner et al., 1993) was used for complementation of gene mutations. Plasmid pRAB11 (Helle et al., 2011) was used for inducible expression of target genes. Q5 DNA polymerase (NEB) was used for all PCR experiments and restriction enzymes (NEB) for construction of recombinant plasmids in this study. Additional information of plasmids used in this study can be found in Table 1.

All sequences of primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1. To construct knockout mutants, upstream and downstream fragments of each gene were amplified with primers uf+ur and df+dr, respectively, using genome DNA of HG003, USA500 or Newman as templates. The two fragments were then used as templates to amplify a fusion fragment, which was ligated into pMX10 with T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) after digestion with corresponding restriction enzymes (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The recombinant plasmids were purified from DC10B and introduced into S. aureus via electro transformation. Selection of mutants were carried out following the protocol previously published (Bae and Schneewind, 2006).

To construct complementary strains, genes with their own promoters were amplified from USA500 genomic DNA. Since the coding sequences of agrCA and agrD are not directly attached to that P2 promoter of agr operon, we fused the P2 promoter sequence and the coding sequence of agrCA or agrD, similar with construction of knockout plasmids described above. The PCR products were digested with corresponding restriction enzymes and ligated into pRB473. To construct plasmids for inducible overexpression, the full sequence of psma1-4 or psmβ1-2 were amplified and inserted into pRAB11. For co-expression of PSMαs and PSMβs, the coding sequences of psma1-4 and psmβ1-2 were fused and cloned into pRAB11. The resultant plasmids were transformed to each mutant strain via electro transformation.

Susceptibility Testing
The MIC of each antibiotic was determined in TSB medium by the conventional broth micro dilution technique. The experiments were executed in triplicate according to the protocol previously published (Andrews, 2001), following the CLSI guidelines. The MIC was defined as the lowest antibiotic concentration that inhibited visible bacterial growth after 24 h of incubation at 37°C.

Persister Assay
To obtain exponential cultures, overnight S. aureus strains were inoculated by 1:100 into TSB and cultured for about 1.5 h to an OD600 of 0.5–0.6. Stationary phase cultures were grown to ~14 h. For complementary strains, the cultures were grown in TSB with 10 μg/μl chloramphenicol. For strains for inducible overexpression, 125 ng/μl ATc was added to each sample at 6 h. After washing twice with PBS, cultures were allotted in 14 μl tubes and challenged with one of the four antibiotics: levofloxacin at 50 μg/μl, gentamicin at 50 μg/μl, oxacillin at 5 μg/μl, and vancomycin at 50 μg/μl. Serial dilutions were performed and 100 μl aliquots were spotted on TSA plates for colony forming unit (CFU) counting at different time points. Results were obtained from three biological duplicates and significance was assessed with t-test.

RNA Isolation, mRNA Enrichment and Sequencing
Staphylococcus aureus strain USA500, ΔagrD, ΔagrCA, and ΔRNAIII were incubated for 14 h and harvested. Total RNA was purified following protocol previous reported (Atshan et al., 2012). Briefly, cultures were centrifuged, resuspended in 100 μl diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC) H2O. Each aliquot was added with 100 μl phenol/chloroform (1:1), incubated at 70°C for 30 min and centrifuged. RNA was purified from the supernatant of each sample using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), following protocol provided.

The Bioanalyzer 2100 RNA-6000 Nano Kit (Agilent Technologies) was used to detect the quality of total RNA. The Ribo-Zero™ Gold Kit (Illumina) was used to remove 16S and 23S rRNAs. The cDNA libraries with cDNA length ranging from 150 to 250 bp were generated from the mRNA samples using the TruSeq Illumina Kit (Illumina), following instructions from the manufacturer.

RNA-seq was performed by Shanghai Biotechnology Corporation (Shanghai, China) with HiSeq2500 Ultra-High-Throughput Sequencing System (Illumina). The total numbers of reads were assessed and quantified with the Cufflinks suite of tools. The transcript sequencing data were submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive and deposited under the accession number SRR5277864.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR
For qRT-PCR, RNA samples were extracted from S. aureus strains as described above. Reverse transcription was carried out using the cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, United States). The qRT-PCR experiments were performed using SYBR Green PCR Kit (Takara) on Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The sequences of primers for detection of target genes and primers for endogenous control gene rrs1 (16S RNA) are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The 2–ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) was used for analysis of relative
TABLE 1 | Strains and plasmids used in this study.

| Strain/plasmid | Specification | Reference |
|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| **Strains**    |               |           |
| DC10B          | A DC10B derivate for plasmid amplification | Monk et al., 2012 |
| USA500         | MRSA strain from a clinical sample | Diep et al., 2006 |
| Newman         | An MSSA strain from a clinical sample | Baba et al., 2008 |
| HG003          | An MSSA strain, derive from NCTC8325 | Herbert et al., 2010 |
| ΔAgrCA         | The agrCA mutant of USA500 | This study |
| Newman ΔAgrCA  | The agrCA mutant of Newman | This study |
| HG003 ΔAgrCA   | The agrCA mutant of HG003 | This study |
| ΔAgrD          | The agrD mutant of USA500 | This study |
| ΔRNAII         | The RNAII mutant of USA500 | This study |
| ΔluxS          | The luxS mutant of USA500 | This study |
| ΔArcR          | The arcR mutant of Newman | This study |
| ΔArcA          | The arcA mutant of Newman | This study |
| ΔMltD          | The mltD mutant of Newman | This study |
| ΔImrP          | The imrP mutant of Newman | This study |
| ΔRhbC          | The rhbC mutant of Newman | This study |
| ΔIpcC          | The ipcC mutant of Newman | This study |
| **Plasmids**   |               |           |
| pRAB11         | ATc inducible shuttle plasmid, Cm\(^{R}\), Amp\(^{R}\) | Helle et al., 2011 |
| pMX10          | A pKOR1 derivate for gene knockout, Cm\(^{R}\), Amp\(^{R}\) | This study |
| pRB473         | A shuttle plasmid for complementary construction, Cm\(^{R}\), Amp\(^{R}\) | Bruckner et al., 1993 |
| pRBagrCA       | Complementary plasmid for AgrCA | This study |
| pRBagrD        | Complementary plasmid for AgrD | This study |
| pRBnanK        | Complementary plasmid for NanK | This study |
| pRABpsmaα      | Overexpression plasmid for psma1-4 | This study |
| pRABpsmβ       | Overexpression plasmid for psmb1-2 | This study |
| pRABpsmaαβ     | Overexpression plasmid for psma1-4 and psmb1-2 | This study |

Cm\(^{R}\), chloramphenicol resistance; Amp\(^{R}\), ampicillin resistance.

Gene expression data that were obtained from three independent experiments.

**L-Form Assay**

L-form test was performed following protocol previously published (Han et al., 2014). Briefly, L-form induction medium (LIM) was prepared with brain heart infusion (BHI) supplemented with 1% agar, 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, United States), 3.5% sodium chloride, 20% sucrose, 0.125% magnesium sulfate, and 600 µg (1000 units)/µl of Penicillin G (Sigma). S. aureus Newman strain was grown overnight to stationary phase. Undiluted cultures were spotted onto LIM and incubated at 33°C for 7–10 days. The L-form colonies were detected by inverted microscope (Nikon).

**Measurement of Intracellular ATP Level**

Stationary phase cultures of S. aureus strains were mixed with BacTiter-Glo\(^{TM}\) reagent from the BacTiter-Glo\(^{TM}\) Microbial Cell Viability Assay (Promega, United States) by 1:1. The luminescence was detected with an FB12 luminometer (Berthold). Data was neutralized according to CFU counting of each culture.

**Statistics**

The significance of experimental differences in persister assay and intracellular ATP assay was evaluated with two-tailed unpaired t-test (two groups).

**RESULTS**

**Agr System Regulates Persister Formation**

We constructed mutants via homologous recombination for Agr and LuxS QS systems, as well as two TCSs ArlRS and GraRS involved in virulence regulation. Considering the complexity of
Agr system, mutation of the AIP coding gene agrD and the Agr controlled regulatory RNA molecule gene RNAIII were also constructed (Table 2). The growth of each mutant strain of USA500 was measured. As shown in Figure 1A, none of the knockout mutants affected bacterial growth, indicating that these genes are not required for normal bacterial growth in log phase.

To address the role of the above genes in persister formation, several antibiotics were used to treat exponential phase cultures of USA500 and the mutant strains. As a result, none of the mutants affected persister formation to any of the antibiotics (Supplementary Figures S1A–D). Stationary cultures of MRSA can be extremely difficult to kill by multiple antibiotics, even after 5-day treatment at high concentrations of antibiotics (Lechner et al., 2012; Conlon et al., 2013). Based on our previous observation that levofloxacin can cause prominent killing of S. aureus USA500 strain (Xu et al., 2016), we used levofloxacin in persister assays of stationary phase cultures in this study. Among the strains, USA500 ΔagrCA or USA500 ΔagrD showed significant increase of persister formation to levofloxacin in stationary phase while knockout of RNAIII slightly reduced persister formation. At the 5th day of treatment, the CFU count of USA500 ΔagrCA or USA500 ΔagrD dropped ∼1 log10 compared to that of the 1st day, while the CFU count of USA500 ΔRNAIII (∼10 CFU/µl) was even lower than that of the control strain USA500 (∼102 CFU/µl). The impacts of knockout of agrCA or agrD were reversed by complementation of each gene (Figure 1B). Mutation in luxS, arlRS, or graRS showed no apparent impact on persister formation of stationary phase cultures (Supplementary Figure S1E).

RNA-seq Analysis of Stationary Phase Regulation by Agr System

The Agr system functions by regulating expression of its target genes, either by direct regulation with AgrA or by indirect regulation through RNAIII (George and Muir, 2007; Queck et al., 2008). As we have shown, the difference in bacterial survival between ΔagrCA and ΔRNAIII mutants indicates that the regulation of persister formation by Agr system is RNAIII independent. According to the study by Queck et al. (2008) with RNA-seq analysis of 4-h cultures of S. aureus MW2 strain and its mutants of agr or RNAIII, Agr regulated genes that are RNAIII independent mainly consist of metabolism associated genes and PSM genes (Queck et al., 2008). Since the effects of AgrCA mutation were observed only in stationary phase, we performed RNA-seq with stationary phase cultures of USA500 and its mutants of agrCA, agrD, and RNAIII, to further investigate the RNAIII independent regulatory mechanisms of Agr system on persister formation.

As shown in the Heatmap (Figure 2), the three mutants caused significant changes to transcription of different genes, compared

### TABLE 2 | Genes detected for persister formation in this study.

| Gene ID                | Gene name | Function                                   | Parent strain | Persister-exponential culture | Persister-stationary culture |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| **Regulators**         |           |                                            |               |                              |                              |
| USA300HOU_02034, USA300HOU_0235 | agrCA    | Two-component system                       | USA500        | –                            | †                            |
| NWMN_19455, NWMN_1946  | agrCA    | Two-component system                       | Newman        | –                            | †                            |
| SAOUHSC_02264, SAOUHSC_02265 | agrCA    | Two-component system                       | HG003         | –                            | †                            |
| USA300HOU_02033        | agrD     | Signal peptide precursor                   | USA500        | –                            | †                            |
| USA300HOU_020020       | RNAIII   | Regulatory RNA, includes hld coding sequence | USA500        | –                            | ↓                            |
| USA300HOU_02123        | luxS     | Quorum sensing                             | USA500        | –                            | –                            |
| **Transcription regulators** |         |                                            |               |                              |                              |
| USA300HOU_02080, USA300HOU_02081 | graRS    | Two-component system                       | USA500        | –                            | –                            |
| USA300HOU_02150, USA300HOU_02159 | arlRS    | Two-component system                       | USA500        | –                            | –                            |
| **Metabolism genes**   |           |                                            |               |                              |                              |
| USA300HOU_03346        | naniK    | ManNAc kinase                              | USA500        | –                            | ↓                            |
| USA300HOU_02311        | hutU     | Urocanate hydratase                        | USA500        | –                            | –                            |
| USA300HOU_02379        | narG     | Nitrate reductase                          | USA500        | –                            | –                            |
| NWMN_2534              | arcA     | Arginine deiminase                         | Newman        | –                            | –                            |
| NWMN_2530              | arcR     | Arginine metabolism regulator              | Newman        | –                            | –                            |
| NWMN_2060              | mtiD     | mannitol-1-phosphate 5-dehydrogenase       | Newman        | –                            | –                            |
| NWMN_0122              | irmP     | Transporter                                | Newman        | –                            | –                            |
| NWMN_0282              | rbcC     | Sialic synthase                            | Newman        | –                            | –                            |
| NWMN_0132              | ipoC     | Indole-3-pyruvate decarboxylase            | Newman        | –                            | –                            |

†, increase; ↓, decrease; –, no significant difference.
with the parent strain USA500. In the agrCA and agrD mutants, expression of metabolism genes including bioA, pyrF, and nanK were upregulated while several pathogenicity associated genes (lip, lip1, splE, and splF, etc.) were down regulated; meanwhile, the expression of PSM associated genes hld, psmβ1, psmβ2, pmtR, and pmtB were strongly repressed. Comparison
of USA500ΔRNAIII with USA500ΔagrCA strain showed consistent down-regulation of virulence genes (lip, lip1, splE, and splF, etc.) and up-regulation of metabolism genes (bioA, rpoE1, and nanK, etc.), while unlike in USA500ΔagrCA, expression of psmβ1, psmβ2, pmtR, and pmtB in USA500ΔRNAIII were up regulated (Supplementary Tables S2, S4). It is worth mentioning that though the impact on gene expression by AgrD deletion was similar as that of AgrCA deletion, expression of several genes including pulG and sarU were regulated by AgrCA and AgrD differently (Supplementary Tables S2, S3).

The RNA-seq results were validated by detecting transcription levels of several genes with Quantitative Real-time PCR. All samples showed similar fold change with those from RNA-seq results (Figure 2). The expression levels of the co-transcribed psmα1-4 genes did not show up in RNA-seq data analysis of either strain, probably because the sizes of the four genes are too small to be included in the cDNA library. We detected mRNA level of psmα genes using primers for amplification of an 89-bp segment from the psmα operon, and found that the impact on PSMαs by depletion of agrCA, agrD or RNAIII were similar with that on PSMβs (Figure 3).

The Functional Roles of PSMs in Agr Regulated Persister Formation

Based on the observation of different impacts on persister formation by mutation of AgrCA and RNAIII, we hypothesized that the gene(s) are regulated differently by AgrCA and RNAIII. It has been reported that AgrCA strongly up-regulates while RNAIII down-regulates expression of PSMαs and PSMβs in post-exponential phase (Queck et al., 2008). Here we showed the similar trends with regulation of psm genes by AgrCA and RNAIII in stationary phase, where AgrCA up regulated and RNAIII down regulated expression of both psmα1-4 and psmβ1-2 (Figures 2, 3).

We further constructed knockout strains psmα1-4 or psmβ1-2, which did not affect cell growth (Supplementary Figure S2). Persister assay showed that for stationary cultures, knockout of psmα1-4 or psmβ1-2 resulted in significant enhancement of persister formation, similar to the effects of agrCA knockout. In addition, complementation of psmα1-4 or psmβ1-2 reversed promotion of persister formation of each mutant, respectively (Figure 4A).

To investigate whether PSMs are the key factors in Agr regulated persister formation, we constructed overexpressing
plasmids for psma1-4, psmβ1-2 or both of them co-transcribed in one operon. Expressions of PSM genes are induced by ATc and not affected by absence of AgrA. Overexpression of psma1-4, psmβ1-2 or both genes were capable of complementing the mutation of AgrCA on stationary phase persister formation, and the co-expressed PSMαs and PSMβs showed the strongest effects (Figure 4B). These results indicate that PSMαs and PSMβs are key downstream factors for persister regulation by the Agr system.

NanK Is Involved in Persister Formation and L-Form Formation

Persister formation is closely related to dormancy with low metabolic state. To find more genes responsible for Agr-mediated persister formation, we picked eight more metabolism associated genes (hutU, narG, arcA, arcR, mtlD, imrP, rhlC, and nanK) (Table 2) according to results of RNA-seq experiments performed by Queck et al. (2008) and also by us. Among these genes only nanK mutant showed decreased persister formation to levofloxacin in stationary phase, compared with USA500 strain while knockout of nanK did not affect bacterial growth (Supplementary Figure S3). In addition, the effects could be reversed by introduction of complementary plasmid pRBnanK (Figure 5A).

Since nanK expression is strongly up regulated in USA500 ΔagrCA strain which showed enhanced persister formation, we seek to detect whether knockout of nanK could affect persister formation of USA500 ΔagrCA. However, the double mutant showed similar persister level with that of USA500ΔagrCA (Figure 5B).

Sialic acid metabolism is an important bioavailable energy source in stationary phase (Olson et al., 2013). The Neu5Ac catabolic pathway is a complex process catalyzing N-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac) into Fructose-6P (Fru-6P), which can be utilized in central metabolism or cell wall metabolism. NanK, the ManNAc kinase, phosphorylates ManNAc at the C-6 position to yield ManNAc-6P plays an important role in the pathway (Almagro-Moreno and Boyd, 2009; Olson et al., 2013). To assess whether mutations of NanK or Agr system alter persister formation by affecting synthesis of ATP, intracellular ATP levels of stationary phase cultures of USA500 and its mutant strains of nanK, agrCA, agrD, RNAIII, psma1-4, and psmβ1-2 were measured. However, no significant difference in ATP levels between these strains was found (Supplementary Figure S4).
We have found in our previous work that the glycerol uptake facilitator GlpF participates in both persister and L-form formation, indicating a close relationship between the two strategies bacteria use to survive challenge of antibiotics (Han et al., 2014). Having found that NanK deletion attenuated persister formation, we sought to investigate whether NanK is also involved in L-form formation. As shown in Figure 5C, ΔnanK formed fewer L-form colonies, while the shape and size of individual bacterial cells were similar with that of the wild type strain.

**DISCUSSION**

*Staphylococcus aureus* persister mechanisms are different from those in *E. coli* persisters in several aspects. In *E. coli*, the influence of mutation of a gene on persister formation is generally consistent, with variations on growth phases or type of antibiotics. However, *S. aureus* persister genes often affect persister formation only in exponential phase or stationary phase (Han et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016). Also, the difference in persister formation can sometimes be observed when treated with specific antibiotics (Mechler et al., 2015; Wenjie et al., 2015; Yee et al., 2015). We also showed in this study that the impacts on persister formation by AgrCA and Agr regulated genes were only found from treatment with levofloxacin. However, the underlying mechanisms for the difference between *S. aureus* and *E. coli* persister formation are far from being unveiled and require further study.

Agr is one of the master regulators of virulence in *S. aureus*. Mutations of Agr system genes have been shown to strongly attenuate virulence (George and Muir, 2007; Cheung et al., 2011; Bünter et al., 2016). Based on its importance, several studies have focused on developing anti-Agr strategies in *S. aureus*, and obvious repression of pathogenicity has been confirmed (Gray et al., 2013; Murray et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2015). However, though anti-Agr strategies seem promising, many groups have reported that Agr dysfunction promotes persistent bacteremia (Fowler et al., 2004; Park et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2017), permits abscess formation (Das et al., 2016), and increases mortality among patients with *S. aureus* bacteremia, especially the most severe cases (Schweizer et al., 2011). The unexpected correlation of Agr dysfunction and worsened outcome of infection is intriguing and the reason remains unclear (Painter et al., 2014).

Previous studies showed that selection for loss of Agr-defective strains was mainly by healthcare environment including antibiotic treatment (Butterfield et al., 2011; Smyth et al., 2012). A possible explanation is that, for unknown reasons, Agr dysfunction decreases vancomycin bactericidal activity (Rose et al., 2007; Tsuji et al., 2009). However, the impacts of Agr dysfunction on MIC of vancomycin were about two to four fold. Meanwhile, we did not observe MIC change of the agrCA mutant treated with vancomycin or any other antibiotics used in this study (Table 3). Another hypothesis is that Agr dysfunction might help *S. aureus* escape host immune attack by reducing expression of multiple virulence genes regulated by Agr system (Malachowa et al., 2011). However, it is also reported that Agr dysfunction also promotes neutrophil lysis after phagocytosis (Surewaard et al., 2013). Therefore, the eventual outcome of interaction of host immune system and Agr dysfunction is yet to be determined.

Based on our study, we provide another possible explanation that Agr dysfunction might promote persistent infection by increasing persister formation through down-regulation of PSMαs and PSMβs in *S. aureus*. This is demonstrated by elevated persister formation of Agr mutants in our study. The multifunctional PSMs have been reported to help *S. aureus* cope with immune system by killing human neutrophils (Wang et al., 2007). PSMs also mediate maturation and detachment of late phase staphylococcal biofilms (Otto, 2013), which significantly promotes staphylococcal persister formation (Shapiro et al., 2011; Conlon et al., 2015). Besides extracellular effects, PSMs also act as an intracellular signal to regulate gene expression. So far, PSMs have been found to bind only PmrR, which controls expression of genes in the *pmr* operon (Joo et al., 2016). More research is required to investigate whether PSMαs and PSMβs affect persister formation through PmrR, and if so, how PmrR regulate persister formation by controlling its target genes. Besides in vitro studies, more studies are required to address the regulation of persister formation by Agr system through PSMs in animal models, where the host immune system plays a role or additional antibiotic treatment may be applied to interrogate the role of Agr and PSM in persistent infection. Nonetheless, based on the clinical reports and our findings, we argue that the application of anti-Agr therapy should be more cautious especially when treating patients

**TABLE 3 | Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (µg/µl) of Staphylococcus aureus strains used in this study.**

| Strains          | Gentamicin | Levofloxacin | Oxacillin | Vancomycin |
|------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| USA500           | 2          | 0.5          | 0.2       | 0.5        |
| USA500ΔagrCA     | 2          | 0.5          | 0.2       | 0.5        |
| USA500ΔagrD      | 2          | 0.5          | 0.2       | 0.5        |
| USA500ΔRNAIII    | 1          | 0.5          | 0.2       | 0.5        |
| USA500ΔpsmA      | 2          | 0.5          | 0.2       | 0.5        |
| USA500Δpsmβ      | 2          | 0.5          | 0.2       | 0.5        |
| Newman           | 1          | 0.25         | 0.05      | 0.5        |
| NewmanΔagrCA     | 1          | 0.25         | 0.05      | 0.5        |
| HG003            | 1          | 0.25         | 0.1       | 0.5        |
| HG003ΔagrCA      | 1          | 0.25         | 0.1       | 0.5        |
with persistent *S. aureus* infections, in particular for those with immunodeficiency.

We wondered what are the key factors in Agr mediated persister regulation. Agr system regulates different aspects of *S. aureus* biologic processes in both RNAIII dependent and RNA independent pathways. It is worth noticing that the study by Queck et al. (2008) and our research showed that Agr and RNAIII regulate some genes including *psm*\(_{\alpha1-4}\) and *psm*\(_{\beta1-2}\) in opposite ways, in both exponential phase and stationary phase, respectively. In addition, a recent study showed that \(\delta\)-toxin regulates colony spreading by inhibiting PSM binding to *S. aureus* surface (Kizaki et al., 2016). The role of RNAIII/\(\delta\)-toxin in PSM regulated persister formation is worth further research.

Entry into stationary phase has been reported to promote expression of genes involved in sialic catabolism (Olson et al., 2013). Among the metabolism associated genes we studied by knockout and persister assay, only knockout of *nanK*, which was down-regulated by AgrCA and RNAIII (Figure 2), attenuated persister formation and L-form formation (Figure 5). It has been reported that ATP depletion is a key reason for persister increase in stationary phase (Conlon et al., 2016). However, no significant difference in ATP levels between \(\Delta nanK\) and its parent strain was found (Supplementary Figure S4), indicating the existence of other persister mechanisms independent of ATP depletion as being involved in Agr mediated persister formation in *S. aureus*. In addition, since sialic acid is more abundant in mucosa of hosts than in TSB (Mayer et al., 1964), the role of the sialic acid metabolism plays in Agr mediated persister formation might be more important *in vivo* and is worth further investigation in animal models.

L-form is an intriguing phenomenon and its mechanisms are not well unveiled in *S. aureus* (Owens and Nickerson, 1989; Michailova et al., 2007). Since L-form formation is closely related to cell wall integrity, a likely reason for NanK’s role in L-form formation is that NanK deletion decreased the production of Fru-6-P, which is a substrate for synthesis of UDP-GlcNAc, a crucial molecule for cell wall building. Combined with our previous findings with GlpF (Han et al., 2014), our finding that NanK deletion attenuated both persister formation and L-form formation indicates the close relationship between formation of L-forms and persisters (Zhang, 2014).

In summary, by screening persister associated genes we showed that Agr system plays an important role in persister formation as seen by elevated persister levels in Agr mutants, in addition to its role in controlling virulence factors (Figure 6). The regulation of persister formation by Agr system is mainly carried out by PSMs. We also investigated the regulatory role of Agr system in sialic acid metabolism, which participates in persister formation and L-form formation. Our findings provide new insights into the biology of *S. aureus* persister formation and provides a possible explanation for the worsened bacteremia by Agr dysfunction in clinical treatments.
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