Seasonal dynamics of ichthyodiversity in a hill stream of the Darjeeling Himalaya, West Bengal, India
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Abstract: The small torrential spring-fed hill-stream Relli in the Darjeeling Himalaya of West Bengal was studied from March 2007 to February 2009 to assess seasonal dynamics and diversity of fish populations. The study revealed that the stream sustained 25 rheophilic cold water fish species from 15 genera and five families having ornamental, food and sport value. Seven omnivorous species were abundantly found, and the array of juveniles and sub adults suggests this stream is used as a breeding and nursery ground for some species. The stream harboured fish with unique modifications such as adhesive structures. Analysis of monthly data indicate that abundance and diversity indices increased slightly during April–May and sharply during October–November, indicating significant seasonal variations with the low diversity observed during monsoon months reflecting environmental stresses. Evenness was high in all sampling sites, and inversely related to the dominance index of ichthyofauna. The density and diversity of fish assemblages along the gradient of the stream may be interrupted due to anthropogenic disturbances. Our study provides baseline data which may be helpful for conservation and management of fish species, and in formulating fishery policy.
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INTRODUCTION

Species diversity is a key indicator of the complexity and health of ecological communities, providing information concerning the richness of interspecific interactions, ecosystem stability and quality of environmental conditions. Ichthyodiversity refers to the variety of fish species as assessed in terms of genotypes within populations and species within communities or aquatic regimes (Burton et al. 1992). India possesses substantial freshwater biodiversity (Mittermeier & Mittermeier 1997), with the Himalayan region having around 500 fish species (Abell et al. 2008). The eastern Himalaya drained by the Brahmaputra has greater diversity of cold water fish than the western Himalayan drainage (Sehgal 1999). According to Abell et al. (2008) the Irawaddy ecoregion contains more endemic species of freshwater fish (between 119–195) than any of the other eastern Himalayan freshwater ecosytems (Ganga Delta and plains and Chin Hills-Arakan, 28–40 endemics; Ganga Himalayan Foothills, 12–19; Middle and Upper Brahmaputra, 1–11). Kottelat & Whitten (1996) estimated the Ganga River drainage to contain 350 and Brahmaputra and Ayeyarwaddy river drainages to contain 200 fish species respectively. Recently Goswami et al. (2012) published lists of 422 fish species from northeastern India, belonging to 133 genera and 38 families. The eastern Himalaya region is regarded as freshwater biodiversity hotspot (Kottelat & Whitten 1996). The ichthyodiversity of this region is unique from the zoogeographical point of view since the fish fauna represent mostly the Chinese, Malayan and Indian elements of the Oriental realm (Hora & Gupta 1940).

The Darjeeling Himalaya has a pronounced seasonal climate and lies north of the tropical belt between 27°13’05’’–26°17’10’’N and 88°53’00’’–87°59’30’’E. The spring-fed torrential hill streams of the region represent a unique lotic water ecosystem characterized by rocky and gravely bottoms, high transparency, low temperature, high oxygen level and water velocity, complex flood regimes and seasonal variations in volume of flow (Acharjee & Barat 2011). Sub-tropical regions with monsoon climates experience some of the largest floods on earth, coupled with highly predictable seasonality (Gupta 1987). The Darjeeling Himalaya has five climatic seasons, with the winter and monsoon seasons being the longest. The impact of fishing methods and gear on overall fish diversity (Acharjee & Barat 2010) and diversity of loach and catfishes (Acharjee & Barat 2012) have been studied in the Relli hill-stream, and the ichthyofaunal diversity of the Teesta River in this region (Acharjee & Barat 2013) has also been examined. But to date there have been no studies of seasonal dynamics of density and diversity indices of ichthyofauna in the area. The present study examines the seasonal dynamics of distribution and abundance of fish species in different reaches of the Relli hill-stream. These observations provide insights into seasonal changes and disturbances of the stream ecosystem, which may be helpful in the conservation and management of fish species and formulating fishery policy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

Relli or Rilli is a spring-fed torrential tributary of the River Teesta (Rilli is the Lepcha word for swirling river). The stream originates in the Algarah-Lava ridge of Kalimpong subdivision in the Khampang Reserve Forest at an altitude of about 1800m. The total length of the Relli stream is about 32km (entirely within Kalimpong sub-division) and it joins the Teesta at an altitude of about 212m (Fig. 1). The average width of the stream bed varies from 90–150 m. The longitudinal slope of the stream is about 11m.km⁻¹. The slope of the stream bank varies from 20–90°. During monsoon the stream is quite swift but during dry seasons it is greatly reduced. The catchment area of the stream is 165km² and is hilly and well managed. The stream flowing through a gorge is flanked by two ridges. One ridge coming up from Sepkhola-Kamesi forest (300–800 m) traverses across Durpin (1550m), Kalimpong Town (1250m) on its saddle. Then it rises up to Delo (1800m), to Algarah (1900m) and to Labha (2150m). The other ridge arising from Mungpong-Pankhaban forest (250–400 m) leads to Yangmakum (800–1200 m) and further to Charkholey (1600m) from where it meets with its sister ridge arising from Bagrakot (250m) across Nimbong (1000–2000 m). Together they lead to Loleygaon-Kafer (1800–2300 m) and to Labha (2150m).

In this study three sampling stations (RR-I, RR-II and RR-III) were selected for the collection of fish. Physical characteristics and channel morphology (Table 1) and descriptions of sampling sites are as follows: RR-I is located at a distance of 10km from the origin and near the confluence with Payong Khola, this site has little disturbance aside from fishing. RR-II is located 6km from RR-1 near the confluence with Pala Khola, this site is subject to activities like sand and boulder mining, sport and subsistence fishing and car/clothes washing and is a popular picnic spot. RR-III is located 8km from RR-2...
near the confluence with Khoni Khola, it is also relatively undisturbed with little fishing activity.

**Climate and Seasons**

The configuration of neighbouring mountains plays a key role in shaping the climate of Darjeeling Himalaya. The region has five climatic seasons namely, (i) spring, (ii) summer, (iii) monsoon/rainy, (iv) autumn and (v) winter. These seasons are usually marked from the mid of the months following the English calendar. Spring usually prevails from the mid February to mid April, when the region experiences frequent hail storms and occasional showers. Summer starts mid-April and continues to mid-June with hot weather. The rainy season starts mid-June and lasts until mid-September, during which the district experiences continuous rainfall, thick clouds, fog, mist and high relative humidity. Autumn starts in the latter half of September and lasts until November when winter begins, lasting to mid-February; temperatures can drop below freezing in mountainous regions above 2600m. The lower hill region below 1500m does not receive snowfall, but occasional accumulation of frost is observed. For convenience, the seasons of the study area expressed as pre-monsoon (March, April, May), monsoon (June, July, August), post-monsoon (September, October, November) and winter (December, January, February).

The annual precipitation observed in the first year of study (March 2007 to February 2008) was 2394mm (with 103 rainy days), and in the second year (March 2008 to February 2009) it was 1895 mm (with 89 rainy days). Maximum rainfall occurs during the monsoon months; in the first study year it was 2157mm with 74 rainy days (highest in July 962mm with 29 rainy days), and in second year it was 1615mm with 65 rainy days (highest in August 649mm with 17 rainy days). High rainfall in the entire hilly catchments of the hill stream during monsoon months results in some flooding.

### Table 1. Physical characteristics and channel morphology in the sampling sites of hill stream Relli

| Sampling sites | Channel width (m) | Stream depth (m) | Habitat | Substrate | Longitude / Latitude | Altitude (m) | Riparian vegetation |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| RR-I          | 7.81             | 0.52            | Shallow pool, riffles, runs, side channel. | Sand, gravels, boulders and bedrocks | 88°34'34"E & 27°05'43"N | 704 | Trees, shrubs, grasses |
| RR-II         | 9.79             | 0.43            | Shallow pool, riffles, runs, side channel. | Sand, gravels, boulders and bedrocks | 88°31'44"E & 27°02'40"N | 566 | Trees, shrubs, moderate encroachment. |
| RR-III        | 13.12            | 0.61            | Shallow pool, riffles, runs, side channel. | Sand, gravels, boulders and bedrocks | 88°29'25"E & 27°01'39"N | 340 | Trees, shrubs, grasses |

**Figure 1.** Study area and sampling sites in the hill stream Relli (Courtesy-Google Earth).
Fish sampling

Monthly sampling was carried out (from March 2007 to February 2009) in the stream in three sampling sites following fishermen or local people who catch fish in this region.

- About 1000 m² of stream channel in each site was blocked by nets and traps (closed population) to prevent movement of fishes in and out of the site.

- Using cast net (Bhureli jal) which is small in size (r =1.2m; weigh about 5kg) having a mesh ranging from 0.5–1.5 cm with an average 1.0cm and covering an area of about 4.5m², three separate and sequential efforts were made within the blocked stream. Effort (one person, casting nets 25 times, covering an area about 100m²) was kept constant. Following each efforts, captured fish were put into separate bucket with effort number. The catch for efforts 1, 2, 3 was denoted as \( n_1 \), \( n_2 \), and \( n_3 \), respectively.

- In the shallow water rock-striking method was also used. Area of a flat stone was measured for this purpose on which a weighty iron hammer is struck with full force. The intensity, vibration and sound thus produced causes fish to float over water-surface which were hiding under the stone. The fishes were scooped with nets and density calculated and compared with the catches of cast net.

- Other gear and methods including scoop nets, hook and line, various traps (Dhadiya, tip, thokre and thali trap), electro-fishing and water diversion were employed when available. The only species taken into account were those not found in the catches of cast nets.

- Catch per unit effort (CPUE) was expressed as number of fish caught per 100m² of sampling area, here \( (n_1 + n_2 + n_3)/3 \times 100m^2 \).

- The cumulative catch for efforts 1, 2, and 3 were \( n_1 \), \( (n_1 + n_2) \), \( (n_1 + n_2 + n_3) \), respectively. Presented them as \( C_i \), where \( i=1, 2, 3 \).

- Removal or depletion estimates (Li & Li 2007) were made by extrapolation of CPUE (y-axis) vs. accumulated catches (x-axis). The population estimate \( N \) also calculated using simple linear regression and determining the x-intercept for the cumulative catch. It was expressed as estimated population size of ichthyofauna (N) per 1000m² of river channel

\[
\text{CPUE} = \text{intercept} - \text{slope} \times \sum C_i
\]

and, when CPUE = 0, \( N = \text{intercept} / \text{slope} \).

- The fish caught were examined for morphological features, colour bands or spots present on the body, recorded in the field along with length and weight. Photographs were taken by digital compact camera (Nikon, coolpix L10). Gut contents were collected for analysis of food habits. Two specimens of each species were taken for identification and their species were ascertained on the basis of morphometric characters and meristic counts following the criteria given by Shaw & Shebbeare (1937), Talwar & Jhingran (1991), Sen (1992), Tekriwal & Rao (1999), Nath & Dey (2000), and Jayaram (2009). Valid scientific names were taken from Fish Base (Froese & Pauly 2012).

Diversity Indices

The following diversity indices were calculated to understand ichthyodiversity.

1. Species Richness Index (Margalef’s richness index as modified by Brower & Zar 1977).

\[
S-1 = \frac{R}{\ln N}
\]

Where, \( S = \) total number of species; \( N = \) total number of individuals.

2. Shannon-Wiener Diversity index (Krebs 1999).

\[
H' = -\sum p_i \log_2 p_i
\]

Where, \( H' = \) Information content of sample (bits/individual) = Index of species diversity; \( S = \) Number of species; \( p_i = \) Proportion of total sample belonging to ith species \( (n_i/N); n_i = \) number of individuals of ith species in the sample; \( N = \) Total number of individuals in the sample = \( \Sigma n_i \).

3. Evenness Index (Pielou 1966).

\[
J' = \frac{H'}{\log_2 S}
\]

Where, \( J' = \) Evenness index (range 0-1); \( H' = \) Shannon-Wiener diversity index.

4. Index of dominance (Simpson 1949; Whittaker 1972)

\[
\lambda = \sum p_i^2
\]

Statistical analysis

To test for seasonal differences (four seasons namely pre-monsoon, monsoon, post-monsoon and winter) of density and diversity indices of ichthyofauna, one-way
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 25 species of fish were recorded from the hill-stream belonging to five families and 16 genera (Tables 2 & 3). The largest number of species (14) were from the family Cyprinidae, followed by Balitoridae (S=6), Sisoridae (S=3), Psilorhynchidae and Cobitidae (one species each). Devario aequipinnatus, Crossocheilus latius, Cyprinum semiplotum, Psilorhynchus sucatio, Acanthocobitis botia, Samileptes gongota, Euchiloglanis hodgardi and Glyptothorax cavia were found only in site RR-III. Barilius shacra and Garra lamta were found in sites RR-II and III, and Schizothorax progastus was found in sites RR-I and II. Twenty-two species (88%) belong to order Cypriniformes and three (12%) to Siluriformes. Nelson (1994) reported the greatest diversity in Cypriniformes and Siluriformes in freshwater habitats, and similar observations were made by Johal & Rawal (2005) in a western Himalayan hill stream, and by Shrestha (1999) in the Nepal region of the Himalayas.

Seven species of fish are abundant (78.6%) in Relli: Neolissochilus hexagonolepis (15.8%), Garra gotyla (14.5%), Barilius bendelisis (14.3%), Schizothorax richardsonii (10.1%), Schistura devdevi (9.8%), Opsarius barna (8.3%), and Schistura scaturigina (5.8%). Analyses of gut contents revealed that Neolissochilus hexagonolepis, Barilius bendelisis and Opsarius barna are omnivorous, feeding on diatoms, filamentous algae, insect larvae etc. Schistura devdevi and S. scaturigina are omnivorous and feed upon insect larvae, crustaceans and algae, whereas Garra gotyla and Schizothorax richardsonii feed upon algae and detritus, and hence are herbivorous. Thus the analysis of the trophic structure indicates dominance of omnivorous fish in all sites, followed by carni-omnivorous and herbivorous fish. Similar findings were reported from Yangtze River basin of China (Fu et al. 2003) and two tropical rivers of India (Das & Chakrabarty 2007). Based on the study of trophic levels it appears that omnivores are the most tolerant of degradation or ecosystem dysfunction because they are able to consume food from a wide variety of sources in a changing ecosystem (Wichert & Rapport 1998). This may be useful to assess the quality of fish habitat.

Almost all species in the collection are represented by very young specimens, adult brood fishes were found mostly during monsoon and post-monsoon months. The array of juveniles and sub adults in this work suggests this stream is probably used as a breeding ground and nursery ground for fish species, particularly of Schizothorax richardsonii, Schizothorax progastus, Neolissochilus hexagonolepis, Neolissochilus hexastichus. Hora & Gupta (1940) found very young fish specimens in Kalimpong duars and Siliguri Terai, and opined that the fish species of this region breed during and after the monsoon months. Hora & Nair (1944) reported mature fish with developed gonads in Relli stream, a small tributary of the river Teesta in Darjeeling Himalaya, and also found small fry of some species in the same stream. They opined that the Teesta provides a highway for fish migration. However, the absence of adults, among other factors, may be due to pressures exerted from overfishing by local fishermen and/or from downstream migration of fish. Similar observations have been made by Emmanuel & Modupe (2010) in the rivers of Nigeria. Acharjee & Barat (2010) reported that over the years, uncontrolled and often indiscriminate fishing in the largely unmanaged Relli stream has resulted in a sharp decline in catches of important sport and subsistence fish.

Table 2. Total taxa in all three sampling sites of river Relli during the study period.

| Taxa    | Sampling sites/ Year of study |
|---------|-------------------------------|
|         | 2007–2008 | 2008–2009 |
|         | RR-I | RR-II | RR-III | RR-I | RR-II | RR-III |
| Family  | 3    | 3     | 4      | 2    | 3     | 4      |
| Genera  | 8    | 8     | 13     | 7    | 8     | 12     |
| Species | 14   | 11    | 18     | 13   | 17    | 19     |

It is evident from the present investigation that the ichthyofaunal composition of the 14km stretch (altitudinal variation from 704–340 m) was represented mostly by rheophilic, cold water hill stream species similar to cold water species of Nepal, Sikkim, Bhutan, Assam and other parts of the Indian Himalaya. Dominance of rheophilic, cold water hill stream species may be due to the nature of the stream, which has high water current (ranged from 0.61m sec\(^{-1}\) to 1.51m sec\(^{-1}\) with a mean value of 0.90m sec\(^{-1}\) ±0.24) and gradient, and low water temperature (ranged from 13.3\(^0\)C to 27.8\(^0\)C with amplitude of 14.5\(^0\)C and mean value 21.4\(^0\)C ±3.4) (Acharjee & Barat 2011). From the fishery point of view, water temperature falling with the tolerance limit of trout may be termed cold (Jhingran & Sehgal 1978).
### Table 3. Occurrence, distribution, abundance, local name and other status of fish species at the sampling sites of Relli River during the study period.

| Name of the Species/ Maximum Total Length (L) in cm. / English (E), Nepali (N), Bengali (B) Name. | RR-I | RR-II | RR-III | General & Conservation Status. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|--------|-------------------------------|
| **Order Cypriniformes**<br>Family Cyprinidae - Subfamily Rasborinae | | | | |
| **Opsarius barna** (Hamilton, 1822) L=10; Khoksa, Boroli, Ghol (B); Fakatar, Titer kani faketa (N); Barili Baril, Hill Trout (E). | ++ | ++ | ++ | FF, OR/LC |
| **Barilius bendellii** (Hamilton, 1807) L=12; Isia, Barili (B); Fageta, Guteri, Khabati (N); Hamilton's Baril (E). | +++ | +++ | +++ | FF, OR/LC |
| **Barilius shacra** (Hamilton, 1822) L=13; Koka (B); Faketa, Khabati (N); Shacra Baril (E). | - | + | + | FF, OR/LC |
| **Barilius vagra** (Hamilton, 1822) L=11; Koka, Korang (B); Lam Faketa (N) Vagra Baril (E). | + | + | + | FF, OR/LC |
| **Devario aequipinnatus** (McClelland, 1839) L=13; Chebli (B); Bhitti (N); Giant Danio (E). | - | - | + | FF, OR/LC |
| **Subfamily Gariinae** | | | | |
| **Crossochilus latius** (Hamilton, 1822) L=15; Kalabata (B); Mate buduna (N); Gangetic latia (E). | - | - | + | FF, OR/LC |
| **Garra annandalei** Hora, 1921 L=13; Ghor poia (B); Annandale Garra (E). | + | + | + | FF, OR/LC |
| **Garra gontyla** (Gray, 1830) L=13; Patharchata, Ghor poia (B); Buduna (N); Sucker head, stone roller, Gotyla (E). | +++ | +++ | +++ | FF, OR/LC |
| **Garra lamto** (Hamilton, 1822) L=12; Siltokra (B); Buduna (N); Lamta Garra (E). | - | + | + | FF, OR/LC |
| **Subfamily Schizothoracinae** | | | | |
| **Schizothorax progastus** (McClelland, 1839) L=40; Chuchhe asala (N), Point-nosed Snow Trout, Dinnawah Snowtrout (E) | + | + | - | FF, SF/LC |
| **Schizothorax richardsonii** (Gray, 1832) L=22; Buche asala, Dhumke asala (N); Blunt-nosed Snowtrout, Snowtrout (E), | ++ | +++ | +++ | FF, CI/VU |
| **Subfamily Cyprininae** | | | | |
| **Cyprinion semiplotum** (McClelland, 1839) L=20; Chepti, Khurpe (N); Bedangi (B); Assamese Kingfish (E). | - | - | + | FF, OR/VU |
| **Neolissochilus hexagonolepis** (McClelland, 1839) L=29; Bhuluk, Bhorkul (B); Copper or Chocolate Mahseer (E). | +++ | +++ | +++ | FF, CI/NT |
| **Neolissochilus hexastichus** (McClelland, 1839) L=50; Bhuluk (B); Julie Fish, Mc Clelland's Boker (E). | ++ | + | + | FF, CI/NT |
| **Family Psilorhynchidae** | | | | |
| **Psilorhynchus sucatio** (Hamilton, 1822) L=7; Titari (B); Duri (N); River Stone Carp (E). | - | - | + | OR/LC |
| **Family Balitoridae - Subfamily Nemacheilinae** | | | | |
| **Abarichthys elongatus** Hora, 1921 L=10; Bami gade (B); Mottled Loach, Leopard Loach (E); Bilturi, Poia (B); Gadela (N). | ++ | + | + | OR/LC |
| **Acanthobobitis botie** (Hamilton, 1822) L=8; Mottled Loach, Leopard Loach (E); Bilturi, Poia (B); Gadela (N). | - | - | + | OR/LC |
| **Schistura deveda** Hora, 1935 L=3; Olivaceous Loach (E); Gade (N); Poia (B). | ++ | ++ | +++ | OR/NT |
| **Schistura rupecula** McClelland, 1838 L=4; Banded Loach (B); Gade (N); Poia (B). | + | + | + | OR/LC |
| **Schistura multifasciata** (Day, 1878) L=7; Many Banded Loach (E); Gade (N); Poia (B). | + | + | + | OR/LC |
| **Schistura scaturigina** McClelland, 1839 L=6; Victory Loach (E); Gade (N); Dari, Poia (B). | ++ | ++ | ++ | OR/LC |
| **Family Cobitidae - Subfamily Cobitinae** | | | | |
| **Canthophrys gongota** (Hamilton, 1822) L=8; Gongota Loach, Jaguar Loach (E); Poia (B); Latai (N). | - | - | + | OR/LC |
| **Parachiloglanis hodgarti** (Hora, 1923) L=6; Torrent Catfish (E); Til- kabri (N). | - | - | + | OR/LC |
| **Glyptothorax cavia** (Hamilton, 1822) L=13; Banded Torrent Catfish (E); Kani tengra (B); Capre (N). | - | - | + | FF, OR/LC |
| **Pseudocheneis sulcata** (McClelland, 1842) L=16; Sucker Threat Catfish (E); Gotel, Kabre (N). | + | + | + | FF, OR/LC |

**Note:**
- FF - Food fish; CI - commercially important; OR - Ornamental; SF - Sport fish; LC - Least Concern; VU - Vulnerable; NT - Near Threatened.
- = Absent; + = <5%; ++ = <10%; +++ = above 10%
The fast flow of the Relli places constraints on its fish fauna, and the species observed in the present study can be categorised into six major groups on the basis of morphological characteristics enabling them to inhabit torrential streams. These groups are: (a) fish living in shallow clear cold waters in the foothills without obvious modifications to current, such as *Barilius* spp.; (b) fish inhabiting the bottom water layers in deep fast current with powerful muscular cylindrical bodies, such as *Schizothorax* spp., *Neolissochilus* spp.; (c) fish sheltering among pebbles and stones to avoid the strong current, including *Crossocheilus latius*; (d) fish sheltering among pebbles and shingles in shallows with special attachment structures, such as the loaches, *Schistura* spp., *Canthophrys gongota*, *Aborichthys elongatus*, *Acanthochilus bottia*; (e) fish that cling to exposed surface of bare rocks in slower current with adhesive organs on their ventral surfaces, such as *Garra* spp., *Glyptothorax cavia*, *Pseudecheneis sulcata*; and (f) fish that cling to the exposed surfaces of bare rocks in fast current having limpet-shaped bodies and mouth, gills and fins highly modified to suit their habitat, such as *Parachiloglanis hodgardi*. Similar groupings have been recognised by Menon (1954) in Himalayan fish.

The stream has pool-run-riffle geomorphology and high substrate diversity (Bedrock, cobble, gravel, sand and terrestrial litter), and is subjected to seasonal discharge variation including periodic spates and flash-floods during the wet season. Dudgeon (2008) mentioned that high elevation streams in the tropics have fast currents, and are characterized by plunge pools, riffles and rapids dominated by boulders and cobbles, with few or no aquatic macrophytes. The present study revealed that almost all the cyprinid fishes especially *Barilius* spp, *Devario aequipinnatus* are generally distributed in the pools, pool edges and in the side pools. Small fry of various fish species such as *Barilius* spp., *Garra* spp., *Neolissochilus* spp., *Tor* spp., *Schizothorax* spp., *Nemacheilus* spp., etc. were found in the side pools. *Schistura* spp., *Aborichthys elongatus* were generally abundant in riffle type microhabitat and found under stones.

Of the 25 species, 17 species are considered as food fish, 21 species are ornamental fish, four species namely *Schizothorax progastus*, *S. richardsonii*, *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis*, *N. hexastichus* are considered sport fish and are commercially important. References to conservation status of fish species and categories within this paper are based on IUCN (IUCN, 2013) classification. Out of the total species recorded, 20 are Least Concern (LC), two are Vulnerable (VU) and three are Near Threatened (NT). This categorization may be useful for planning conservation strategies for genetic resources of ichthyofauna.

**Population size and number of species**

A summary of ichthyofaunal density and diversity observed in the Relli is presented in Table 4. Analyses of monthly data indicated that CPUE, fish population size (N) and number of species (S) increased slightly during April–May and increased sharply during October–November (Fig. 2). Catch per unit effort (CPUE= one person, 25 casting a net covering an area about 100m²) ranged from 11–53 with a mean value 28 (±9.68). Lowest value of CPUE was recorded in June 2008 (RR-II) and in January 2008 (RR-II). Highest value recorded at RR-III during October 2008.

The estimated population size (N) ranged from 55 to 312 individuals with a mean value of 148 (±59.6). Lowest population was recorded in January 2008 at RR-II and in March 2008 at RR-II. Highest population recorded at RR-III during August 2007. The number of species (S) ranged from 4 to 13 with a mean of 8 (±2.2). Lowest number of species was recorded in July 2007 (RR-II), January 2008 (RR-II), and February 2008 (RR-II). Highest number of species recorded at RR-II during November 2008 and at RR-III during September 2008 and October 2008.

Seasonal variations of CPUE, estimated population size (N) and number of species (S) of fish fauna showed the highest values in post monsoon months at all sites. Lowest values recorded in pre monsoon months (RR-I) and in winter months (RR-II & III). Temperature slowly increased through mid February to mid April and the region experience frequent hail storm (spring season). Based on one way ANOVA, CPUE, estimated population size (N) and number of species (S) showed significant seasonal differences and the results of LSD (post hoc tests) with Bonferroni correction revealed that estimated seasonal means were also significant (Figs. 3, 4 and 5). Increased water temperature during March, April and May (pre monsoon), availability of fish food (macro invertebrates, plankton) may have a role in the slight upraised population and species richness. The Rainy season experiences continuous rainfall, including periodic spates and flash-floods. Increases in discharge during the monsoon (June, July and August) coincided with increased movement and upstream spawning migrations of many adult fishes, such as *Schizothorax richardsonii*, *S. progastus*, *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis*, *N. hexastichus*, *Garra* spp., *Cyprinion semiplotum* etc. Similar spawning migrations occur with increased discharge of rivers in Nepal (Edds 1993) Africa and South America.
America (Lowe-McConnell 1975; Goulding 1980). Hora & Gupta (1940) opined that the fish species of this region breed during and after the monsoon. Spawning and breeding of Katli (Neolissochilus hexagonolepis) season lasts from June to September (Barat et al. 2005). Due to breeding migration and availability of basic fish food items a good variety of fishes attracted to hill stream (Bahuguna & Badoni 2002). A similar opinion has also been given by Singh & Kumar (2000).

Bisht et al. (2009) recorded maximum fish fauna during the monsoon season in a small hill-stream Dangchaura (Takoli) Gad along with River Alaknanda, and this was correlated with the migratory behaviour of some fishes and changes in the physico-chemical nature of river water. But in the present investigation, monsoonal fish yield was low in the hill stream Relli. It may be due to further migration of fishes to tributary streams like Pala, Payong, Khani khola etc. with clear oxygenated water than the Relli during monsoon or it may be due to difficulty in catching fish with cast net in torrential elevated water.

Begossi et al. (1999) opined that during monsoon fish yields decline due to the elevated water level. During the post monsoon (September, October and November) temperature decreases slowly and migratory brood fishes along with year old young begin their downward

---

### Table 4. Summary of density and diversity indices of fish (showing the Range and Mean ± Standard Deviation) of River Relli (n=12) in three sampling sites during the study period.

| Density and diversity indices | Period of study and Sampling sites | March 2007–February 2008 | March 2008–February 2009 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                              | RR-I | RR-II | RR-III | RR-I | RR-II | RR-III |
| **CPUE**                     |      |       |        |      |       |        |
| Range                        | 18-37| 11-38 | 19-48  | 20-41| 11-39 | 18-53  |
| Mean ± sd                    | 26.9±6.29| 22.8±6.51| 34.3±18.96| 27.1±17.9| 20.7±18.31| 34.3±11.66|
| **Estimated population (N)** |      |       |        |      |       |        |
| Range                        | 82-215| 55-202| 84-312 | 90-198| 55-187| 77-238 |
| Mean ± sd                    | 156.6±47.7| 120.9±43.9| 202±75.6| 136.5±39.7| 105.5±48.9| 162.4±52.7|
| **Number of species (S)**    |      |       |        |      |       |        |
| Range                        | 06-12| 04-09 | 06-11  | 05-11| 05-13 | 05-13  |
| Mean ± sd                    | 9.1±1.98| 6.6±1.88| 7.9±1.78| 7.4±2.47| 7.4±2.47| 9.1±2.64|
| **Diversity index (H')**     |      |       |        |      |       |        |
| Range                        | 2.349-3.466| 1.905-3.040| 2.279-3.127| 2.185-3.063| 2.199-3.473| 2.265-3.496|
| Mean ± sd                    | 2.965±0.341| 2.521±0.414| 2.758±0.285| 2.691±0.262| 2.664±0.405| 2.917±0.433|
| **Evenness index (J')**      |      |       |        |      |       |        |
| Range                        | 0.908-0.990| 0.903-0.982| 0.882-0.988| 0.885-0.978| 0.899-0.981| 0.876-0.978|
| Mean ± sd                    | 0.939±0.029| 0.949±0.021| 0.935±0.027| 0.943±0.026| 0.942±0.027| 0.934±0.025|
| **Richness index (R)**       |      |       |        |      |       |        |
| Range                        | 1.485-3.396| 0.985-2.427| 1.384-2.583| 1.335-2.885| 1.258-3.275| 1.276-3.083|
| Mean ± sd                    | 2.479±0.584| 1.785±0.514| 1.962±0.409| 1.946±0.412| 1.23±0.559| 2.263±0.562|
| **Dominance Index (λ)**      |      |       |        |      |       |        |
| Range                        | 0.098-0.212| 0.129-0.281| 0.129-0.237| 0.135-0.235| 0.103-0.230| 0.099-0.244|
| Mean ± sd                    | 0.145±0.036| 0.194±0.055| 0.164±0.032| 0.171±0.029| 0.177±0.044| 0.152±0.046|

Figure 2. Graph showing the monthly variations of CPUE, population size (N) and number of species (S) of ichthyofauna in the hill stream Relli.
Ichthyodiversity in a Darjeeling hill stream

Figure 3. Graph showing seasonal variations of CPUE of ichthyofauna (mean ± SD; N=18) in Reeli (March 2007 to February 2009). The CPUE differed significantly seasonally (one way ANOVA; $F = 15.6; p_{\alpha} = 0.000$). The result of LSD (Post hoc tests) with Bonferroni correction revealed that estimated seasonal means of CPUE were significantly ($p_{\alpha} < 0.05$ level) different for pre monsoon and post monsoon ($p = 0.000$), monsoon and post monsoon ($p = 0.002$), post monsoon and winter ($p = 0.000$), monsoon and winter ($p = 0.033$).

Figure 4. Graph showing seasonal variations of fish population (N) size (mean ± SD; N=18) in Reeli (March 2007 to February 2009). The population size differed significantly seasonally (one way ANOVA; $F = 12.9; p_{\alpha} = 0.000$). The result of LSD (Post hoc tests) with Bonferroni correction revealed that estimated seasonal means of N were significantly ($p_{\alpha} < 0.05$ level) different for pre monsoon and post monsoon ($p = 0.001$), monsoon and post monsoon ($p = 0.024$), post monsoon and winter ($p = 0.000$), monsoon and winter ($p = 0.016$).

Figure 5. Graph showing seasonal variations of number of fish species (S) (mean ± SD; N=18) in Reeli (March 2007 to February 2009). The number of species differed significantly seasonally (one way ANOVA; $F = 13.5; p_{\alpha} = 0.000$). The result of LSD (Post hoc tests) with Bonferroni correction revealed that estimated seasonal means of S were significantly ($p_{\alpha} < 0.05$ level) different for pre monsoon and post monsoon ($p = 0.001$), monsoon and post monsoon ($p = 0.000$), post monsoon and winter ($p = 0.000$).

Variations in diversity Indices

The value of the Shannon-Wiener species diversity index ($H'$) varies from 0, where the community is composed of single species to 4.5 or 5 bits/individual for the most diversified communities (Faurie et al. 2001). In the present study, the lowest value (1.91 bits per individual) of the S-W index was observed in February 2008 at RR-II, and the highest value (3.50 bits per individual) was recorded at RR-III during September 2008. Seasonal variations of species diversity index of fish show the highest value in post monsoon at all sites and lowest value in monsoon (RR-I) and in winter (RR-II & III). One way ANOVA and the results of LSD (Post hoc tests) with Bonferroni correction revealed that estimated seasonal means of species diversity index were significant (Fig. 6). Margalef (1958) recorded that higher diversity is a clear indication of longer food chains. In Shannon-Wiener legislation, the aquatic environment (soil/water) is classified as — very good when $H'$ is > 4, good quality 4–3, moderate quality 3–2, poor quality 2–1 and very poor quality <1 (Mishra et al.
2010). Diversity indices are good indicators of pollution in aquatic ecosystems. Diversity index greater than three indicates clean water, values in the range of 1–3 are characteristics of moderately polluted conditions and values less than one characterizing heavily polluted condition (Mason 1988). In the present study average value of S-W diversity index was less than three (i.e., 2.75), therefore water quality in this stretch is poor to moderate in quality and the stream is clean to moderately polluted. Low diversity is reflection of environmental stresses (Hawkes 1979).

Most of the fish communities, as in the present investigation, contain a few dominant species and many species that are relatively uncommon. Evenness measures attempt to quantify this unequal representation against a hypothetical community in which all species are equally common. Pielou (1966) proposed that ‘evenness’ be measured by the ratio between the diversity H’ calculated and that which would be obtained, for the number of species surveyed, in case of equifrequencies. The ratio varies from 0 to 1 (Krebs 1999; Faurie et al. 2001). Pielou’s (1966) evenness index is an excellent measure of “relative evenness”, because it is not independent of richness. But, Ludwig & Reynolds (1988) have reported that evenness indices are more difficult to interpret. In the present study, lowest value (0.88) evenness index was found in December 2008 at RR-III and highest value (0.99) was observed in January 2008 at RR-I. A greater evenness may be biologically equivalent to having more species, since a species that is present in small numbers is unlikely to contribute much to density and diversity. Seasonal variations of evenness index of ichthyofaunal community showed the highest value in winter (RR-I) and in monsoon (RR-II & RR-III). Lowest value found in monsoon (RR-I), post monsoon (RR-II) and in winter (RR-III). A community dominated by relatively few species indicates environmental stress (Plafkin et al. 1989), may be resulted from selective over fishing. Based on one way ANOVA, evenness index was not significant for seasonal differences and the results of LSD (post hoc tests) with Bonferroni correction were also not significant (Fig. 7).

Margalef’s Species richness index is a simple and easily interpretable indicator of biological diversity (Peet 1974). In the present investigation lowest value (0.99) of which was recorded in July 2007 at RR-II and highest value (3.40) was found in May 2007 (RR-I). Seasonal variations of richness index of fish show the highest value in post monsoon months at all sites. Lowest value found in monsoon months (RR-I) and in winter months (RR-II & III). One way ANOVA and the results of LSD (post hoc tests) with Bonferroni correction revealed that estimated seasonal means of species richness index were significant (Fig. 8). Fluctuation of density, number of genera, and S-W species diversity index of fish community have shown similar trend. The species diversity index (H’) and species richness index (R) depend upon the number of species as well as number of individuals in each species and contribute equally to the values of H’ and R (Ludwig & Reynolds 1988). Thus if either of these variables decreases or increases it will affect the overall values of H’ and R.

Simpson index measures the strength of dominance, because it weights towards the abundance of the most
common species and varies inversely with species diversity (Whittaker 1972). A value of this index ranges from 0-1; zero represents no dominance and 1, for maximum dominance; viz: only one species in the sample (Berger & Parker 1970). In the present study, value of dominance index (λ) of fish community was lowest (0.10) in December 2007 at RR-I and highest value (0.28) of index of dominance was found in February 2008 at RR-II. Seasonal variations of index of dominance of fish show the highest value in monsoon months at RR-I and in winter months at RR-II and III. Lowest value found in post monsoon months at all sites. One way ANOVA and the results of LSD (Post hoc tests) with Bonferroni correction revealed that estimated seasonal means of dominance index were significant (Fig. 9). According to Whittaker (1963) the value of dominance index is always higher where the community is dominated by a fewer number of species and when the dominance is shared by a large number of species. This agrees with the present study. Higher value of dominance index in the hill stream was registered during the monsoon periods. The present investigation also indicates that, whenever dominance index of ichthyofaunal community was higher the evenness index was lower and vice versa (Watling et al. 1979).

In the present study, annual variation of density and diversity indices of ichthyofaunal community in the stream showed slight fluctuation. During 2007–2008, the average value of fish CPUE (28.0), estimated population size (160), evenness index (0.94) and index of dominance (0.17) was found to be slightly higher than 2008–2009 (i.e., 27.6, 135, 0.94 and 0.17 respectively). But, the average value of ichthyofaunal number of genera (7.97), S-W diversity index (2.76), and richness index (2.11) during 2008–2009 was found to be slightly higher than 2007–2008 (i.e., 7.86, 2.75 and 2.08 respectively). Slight annual variation of density and diversity indices of ichthyofaunal community in the stream indicated that seasonal variations might occur year after year in a predictable manner, unless affected by large scale natural or anthropogenic disturbances.

Along the elevational gradient (i.e., along RR-I, RR-II and RR-III) average value of density and diversity indices (Table 4) like CPUE, estimated population size (N), Number of genera (S), Species diversity index (H'), and Species richness index (R) of zooplankton community showed decreasing tendency with the increase of altitude in the selected stretch of hill stream Relli, but on the contrary evenness index (J') increased with the increase of altitude and index of dominance (λ) for fish community highest value (0.19) at RR-II, other two sites have same values (0.16). Altitude is frequently correlated with changes in diversity (Lomolino 2001). The density and diversity of fish assemblages along the gradient of the hill stream Relli is in agreement with the “river continuum concept” involving energy sources and allocation (Vannote et al. 1980), i.e., at lower altitudes, there are higher amounts of energy available because of more solar radiation, more resources (for example, minerals and water); as a result, even higher levels of species richness can be allowed at lower altitudes. Interestingly, in the present investigation the values of CPUE, N, S, H', d of ichthyofaunal community were recorded lowest at RR-II, but on the contrary values of J' and λ were found highest. Anthropogenic disturbances
like sand and boulder mining, car and cloth washing and intensive fishing activities may affect adversely the ichthyofaunal density and diversity at RR-II of the hill stream.

**CONCLUSION**

This study provides data concerning the dynamics of ichthyofaunal density and diversity in a section of spring-fed torrential hill stream in the Darjeeling Himalaya. Our results show that the density and diversity of ichthyofauna in the stream varied seasonally in a highly predictable manner. Density and diversity indices of ichthyofauna of the hill stream were found to vary significantly, with pronounced and predictable seasonality of the region. Evenness index (J′) of ichthyofaunal population showed no significant relation with seasonality. The variations of evenness index may be regulated by other factors like selective fishing.

In the present study slight annual variations of CPUE, population size, number of species, S-W species diversity index, evenness index, richness index and index of dominance of ichthyofaunal community in the stream indicated that seasonal variations might occur year after year in a predictable manner. Monsoonal floods in such areas qualify as disturbances, and spates and periodic scouring floods displace aquatic organisms, increase mortality (especially on juveniles), move sediments and restructure habitats, decrease the availability of benthic food resources and influence on the intensity of biotic interactions involving fishes (Dudgeon 2000). The natural diversity of fish species complicates the impacts of flooding on stream fish assemblages. Certain fish species benefit from and depend upon seasonal or periodic flooding. Monsoonal floods coordinate natural systems by providing environmental cues for spawning and migration processes of fish fauna (Poff & Ward 1989; Leitman et al. 1991). Numerous studies have concluded that juvenile life stages are particularly susceptible to heavy losses during extreme floods in high-gradient systems (Hoopes 1975; Jowett & Richardson 1989), and large numbers of young fish are lost during average seasonal flooding in systems where the timing of high flows coincides with fragile life stages (Nehring & Miller 1987). Killing and displacement of fish stock by cyclones and cloudbursts in Darjeeling Himalaya river systems have been reported by O’Malley (1907), Sen & Biswas (2006), Mukherjee et al. (2011). Thus the ichthyodiversity of the Himalayan region appears to have evolved in the context of seasonality, geomorphology, natural disturbances and physico-chemical aspects of stream waters and recently, anthropogenic activity altering existing balances has become a major cause of habitat alteration and fish stock depletion.

Nevertheless, it can still be said that our study area is not polluted, and that it continues to be an excellent fishery resource for indigenous varieties of hill stream fish with ornamental, food and sport value. Thus the unique ichthyofauna of the Darjeeling Himalaya is a valuable natural endowment, meriting efforts to educate people about the importance of safeguarding its rivers, streams, biodiversity and fish productivity.
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