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1. Introduction

The biliary trees descend from the canaliculi at the hepatocytes in the liver. Bile drains from this level into intrahepatic ducts, smaller ducts combine to form the segmental bile ducts which within the liver gradually enlarge and merge to the right and left hepatic ducts. The right and left hepatic ducts join together to form the common hepatic duct (CHD) at porta hepatis. The common bile duct (CBD) is formed by the junction of the cystic duct with the CHD. The CBD traverses through the head of the pancreas entering the duodenum through the Sphincter of Oddi at the Ampulla of Vater. Prior to draining into the duodenum, the CBD is joined by the pancreatic duct. A smaller accessory pancreatic duct and sphincter is usually present (but rarely visible by sonography) from the dorsal pancreatic embryologic rotation. (Fig. 1)

2. Scanning technique and normal findings of biliary tree

A standard commercial purpose ultrasound machine can perform the typical biliary system study of children in routine examinations of the gallbladder and the bile ducts. Curvilinear abdominal probes with the frequency range of 3–5 MHz are ideal for examination of the biliary tree, thus enabling the detection of the gallbladder and bile ducts from a wide range of body sizes (from obese children to small infants).

To perform the examination of the biliary tree sonography, the child is usually in the supine position. All children prepared for ideal ultrasound examination of the gallbladder and bile ducts should fast for at least 4-6 hours prior to the examination. Adequate fasting distends the gallbladder and bile ducts for optimal investigation of the lumen and the wall. Since the duodenum is in close proximity to both the gallbladder and the extrahepatic ducts, fasting also reduces the contents of the stomach and duodenum which very often obscure the common bile duct.

The gallbladder is a pear-shaped structure with thin white walls surrounding a black fluid lying in a fossa formed by the junction of the right and left main lobes of the liver. The most effective window to scan the gallbladder is to sweep the transducer over the right subcostal area. The maneuver starts with the probe in longitudinal orientation and the probe-indicator oriented toward the patient’s head. By sweeping the probe, the gallbladder is identified and makes small adjustments to create the best long-axis view. After the long-axis is thoroughly examined, rotate the probe 90 degrees and demonstrate the short-axis view (Fig. 2).
Fig. 1. The anatomy of the biliary tree. (GB: gallbladder, CHD: common hepatic duct, CBD: common bile duct)

Fig. 2. Normal presentation of gallbladder. Left: the short-axis view. The gallbladder appears spherical. The narrowest portion of the wall is proper to measure the wall of gallbladder. Right: the long-axis view. The gallbladder will usually appear as a pear-shaped, hypoechoic structure with a hyperechoic wall. There is no acoustic shadowing posterior to the gallbladder. The wall folds one time upon itself may falsely present a septum (arrow)
In the short-axis view, the gallbladder will appear spherical. The whole gallbladder should be demonstrated from the neck to the fundus. The normal length of gallbladder is 1.5 to 3.0 cm in neonates and young infants, and approximately 1 cm in normal width. In older children and adolescents, the gallbladder length is 3 to 8 cm and the width is less than 3.5 cm. Sometimes the gallbladder wall may falsely present a septum because the wall folds one or several times upon itself. The size of normal and pathological gallbladder shows a great variability from a normal large to a small slender gallbladder. It depends on the fasting condition, the degree of the distention and the possible underlying disease. Similarly, the wall thickness is variable and depends on the same conditions. Evaluation from the short-axis view is the gold standard to measure the wall thickness of gallbladder and at its most narrow point is the best reliable place to measure the anterior wall. The normal wall of gallbladder is thin and hyperechoic with mild posterior enhancement. The upper limit of wall thickness is 3 mm in the fasting state. The neck of the gallbladder joins the cystic duct and locates near the hilar region of the liver. Generally there is no acoustic shadowing posterior to the gallbladder.

The cystic duct is a folded tubular structure, and it joins the common hepatic duct to create the common bile duct. It lies posterior to the common bile duct in 95 percent and anterior to the common bile duct in 5 percent of individuals. The cystic duct in children is not routinely seen unless it is dilated. It is usually seen only the distal part of the duct near its insertion into the common bile duct.

The CBD is easily identified through its association with the portal vein. In the long axis view of the gallbladder, adjust the probe from the neck of the gallbladder to the porta hepatis and the portal vein will appear as a hypoechoic with echogenic walls. The CBD presents just anterior to the portal vein and three echogenic lines will be seen (Fig. 3). The first line closest to the probe will be the anterior wall of the common bile duct. The second line is the shared wall of the CBD and portal vein. Finally, the third line is the posterior wall of the portal vein. The differentiation between the CBD and portal vein can be easily done by color Doppler technique if there is confusion to distinguish. The portal vein will demonstrate flow and the CBD does not. The diameter of the common duct should be measured on the sagittal scan to confirm the presence or absence of ductal dilatation. Measurement of the caliper of CBD is usually taken at the level where paralleled with the portal vein. The upper limit of normal for the CBD of infants and children has been estimated in several studies. Hernanz-Schulman et al reported an upper limit of 1.2 mm in infants <3 months old, less than 1.6 mm in infancy and less than 3 mm in childhood. Carroll et al stated that a normal common bile duct should not exceed 1 mm in infants <3 months old. E. Fitzpatrick et al stated that bile duct

3. How dilated is dilated?

Because ultrasonography is easy to perform and does not expose the patient to radiation or contrast agents, it is being used more often in children to diagnose disorders of the biliary tract and gallbladder. Measurement of the extrahepatic bile duct (CBD) is usually taken at the level where paralleled with the portal vein. The upper limit of normal for the CBD of infants and children has been estimated in several studies. Hernanz-Schulman et al reported an upper limit of 1.2 mm in infants <3 months old, less than 1.6 mm in infancy and less than 3 mm in childhood. Carroll et al stated that a normal common bile duct should not exceed 1 mm in infants <3 months old. E. Fitzpatrick et al stated that bile duct
dilatation <3mm (non-fasting ultrasound) with neonatal cholestasis is unlikely to be of significance whereas >4mm is likely to be associated with choledochal malformation or need for intervention. Siegel\[^{10}\] stated that the sonographic findings of a visible intrahepatic biliary duct and/or CBD diameter wider than 2mm in infancy, greater than 4mm in childhood, and greater than 7mm after adolescence were abnormal. However, the differentiation between choledochal malformation and variation within the normal limit is still difficult.\[^{11, 12}\]

We had evaluated sonographically 162 children who met the Siegel’s criteria for biliary tract dilatation. In our 18 years study, all cases of intrahepatic biliary tree dilatation and those with both intra- and extrahepatic duct dilatations were anomalous. However, in cases of extrahepatic biliary tract dilatation, 73% of patients with extrahepatic biliary dilatation had biliary anomalies. Thirty one children who had no clinical symptoms / signs as well as normal laboratory data during the follow-up period were thought to be normal variants. The mean diameters of them were 4.4 ± 1.2 mm.\[^{13}\]

---

*Fig. 3. Normal presentation of CBD* Left: The CBD lies just anterior to the portal vein in porta hepatitis and three echogenic lines will be seen. The first line closest to the probe will be the anterior wall of the CBD. The second line is the shared wall of the CBD and portal vein. And the third line is the posterior wall of the portal vein. Measurement of the caliper of CBD is usually done between the interior margin of the anterior and the posterior wall. Right: The CBD and portal vein can be easily differentiated by color Doppler. The portal vein will demonstrate flow and the CBD will not. (CBD: common bile duct)
4. Differential diagnosis of biliary dilatation in children

Anomalous biliary tract dilatation, also namely biliary cysts, may be congenital or acquired. Most congenital lesions are found in the common bile duct, thus referred as choledochal cyst (CC). The anatomic classification of CC most commonly used was defined by Todani who divided CC into 5 types.14,16 The most common type (Type I) is a congenital cystic dilatation of the common bile duct without associated intrahepatic ductal dilatation (Fig. 4 & 5). Type II is a diverticular malformation of the common bile duct, and Type III is a choledochocele associated with an ampullary obstruction. The Type IV malformation has multiple cysts of the intrahepatic or extrahepatic ducts, or both (Fig. 6). Type V has single or multiple intrahepatic cysts (Fig. 7).

In our study,13 excluding cases associated with biliary atresia, the accuracy of diagnosing choledochal cysts in extrahepatic biliary tract dilatation was 71% and 97% using cutoffs of 7 mm and 10 mm as the minimum diameter respectively.

We also reported 158 pediatric patients with biliary cysts seen between June 1981 and July 2004.17 Patients were divided into three groups: biliary atresia-associated biliary cyst (BABC), non-biliary atresia-associated choledochal cyst (NBACC) in infancy, and late NBACC (> 1 year of age). The mean diameter in BABC (7.9 ± 1.5 mm) was significantly less than that in infantile NBACC (16.0 ± 3.7 mm, p < 0.001) and late NBACC (21.5 ± 5.6 mm, p < 0.001). In NBACC, older age at diagnosis was associated with greater cyst diameter (r = 0.7, p < 0.001).

BABC had been reported and had names synonymously as biliary duct dilatation with biliary atresia (BDBA),13 choledochal cyst associated with extrahepatic biliary atresia (CCBA),18 and type I cyst of BA.19 A survey by the American Academy of Pediatric Surgery Section found that 13% of patients with cystic dilatation of the CBD had extrahepatic biliary atresia.20 In our study, there was a higher incidence that BABC accounted for 36.2% of the infantile biliary cysts.17

The type V CC was also termed Caroli disease.14,16 It involves dilatation of one or more segments of the intrahepatic ducts. Typically the intrahepatic ducts show marked saccular enlargement with communication between the cysts under sonography (Fig. 7). Caroli disease may be one part of ADPKD ( Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease) or ARPKD ( Autosomal Recessive Polycystic Kidney Disease) if intrahepatic dilatation located peripherally in the liver, namely type II Caroli disease.21 It can be differentiated from autosomal dominant polycystic liver disease which showed isolated intrahepatic cysts with no tubular connections between them.22,23

Several reports described that anomaly of pancreatic fusion24,25 or pancreatic heterotopias26 might cause congenital biliary dilatation.

Acquired cases usually appear as fusiform dilatations, which can be seen in those with tumors, lithiasis, and inflammation of the biliary tract (Fig. 8). External compression due to vascular malformation of the portal vein may also cause biliary tract dilatation. Tumor of biliary tract is extremely uncommon in children. Sludge and stone may be seen in those with hemolytic disease such as congenital spherocytosis, prolonged fasting, medications and total parenteral nutrition use. Cholangitis may induce biliary dilatation (BD) and mimic choledochal cyst.17 Other conditions have been reported to be related to BD, including
Fig. 4. Choledochal cyst Type Ia: cystic dilatation in the common bile duct with stone formation (arrow). PV = portal vein, GB = gallbladder. (From Lee HC: J Med Ultrasound 2007;15(3):191–196.)

Fig. 5. Choledochal cyst Type Ic: a fusiform dilatation (arrow) in the common bile duct. PV = portal vein; GB = gallbladder. (From Lee HC: J Med Ultrasound 2007;15(3):191–196.)
pancreatitis, gastrointestinal graft-versus-host disease, infections other than intra-abdominal sites, neonatal sepsis.\textsuperscript{27}

Some sonographic findings may be mistaken as biliary tract dilatation, such as an elongation or folding of gallbladder neck, a dilated main hepatic artery anterior to the main portal vein, and a marked concentric, hyperechoic thickening of the walls of the CBD. However, a dilated CBD may be mistaken as normal-sized, such as a partially obscured dilated CBD by sludge or blood clot with only the residual lumen visualized.\textsuperscript{28} Careful and thorough examination to explore the lesions and the association with the surrounding structures should be undertaken. Looking for any special characteristic findings may help to avoid misdiagnosis.

Under sonography, a thin walled cystic or fusiform mass can be seen in the right upper quadrant of abdomen at subhepatic area or porta hepatitis. The gall bladder must be visualized separately to make a diagnosis of choledochal cyst. The bile duct(s) can be seen communicating to the lesion.\textsuperscript{28} The lesion has been described “tear drop” in shape with a sharp proximal end connected to the biliary tree. Stasis of bile may result in sludge or stone within the lesion. Septa are seldom found.

Any cystic lesions which are seen in the right upper quadrant sonographically must be differentiated. These include enteric duplication cyst, especially duodenal origin, hepatic cyst, pancreatic pseudocyst, mesenteric or omental cyst, renal cyst, and aneurysm of vessel at porta hepatitis etc.

![Fig. 6. Choledochal cyst Type IVa: a common bile duct cyst (arrow) with intrahepatic duct dilatation (arrowhead). GB=gallbladder. (From Lee HC: JMed Ultrasound 2007;15(3):191–196.)](www.intechopen.com)
Fig. 7. Choledochal cyst Type V: saccular dilatation of the intrahepatic ducts (arrow). (From Lee HC: *J Med Ultrasound* 2007;15(3):191–196.)

Fig. 8. Dilated common bile duct (7.0mm) with a stone (arrow) and acoustic shadow.
Enteric duplication cysts are mostly found in ileum and stomach. Several reports described duplication cyst originated from duodenum. Abdominal pain and intestinal obstruction are the most common clinical manifestations. Duodenal duplication cysts frequently associate with pancreatitis. Enteric duplication cysts consist of enteric wall in structure. Mucosa and muscular layer of enteric duplication cysts give raise to an inner echogenic rim and an outer hypoechoic rim respectively when they are examined by sonography. The appearance is recognized as “rim sign” (Fig. 9) which is identified in the dependent portion of the cyst.

Congenital hepatic cysts (Fig. 10) are rare and usually are found incidentally. Most hepatic cysts located under anterior surface of the liver. They are usually anechoic with acoustic enhancement noted under sonography. They may show internal echo due to hemorrhage or infection, septa etc. Viewing the lesion through various scanning windows and angles helps differentiate it from choledochal cyst.

Mesenteric or omental cyst, now known as cystic lymphatic malformations, arises from non-communicating ectopic lymphatic structures in the abdomen. On ultrasound, the lesion presents as a thin wall cyst with multiple thin septa inside. Usually, mesenteric or omental cyst is large in size and may mimic ascites, so called “pseudo-ascites”. Clinically, abdominal distension with or without a palpable mass is the most frequent presentation. Small cyst may occur less commonly. The content of the cyst may be anechoic, or shows internal echo from hemorrhage, debris, chylous fluid or infection.
Fig. 10. A cystic mass (black arrow) at the porta hepat is compressing gall bladder (white arrow head) and portal vein (white arrow). Further study demonstrated the lesion to be a hepatic cyst.

Fig. 11. A pseudocyst of pancreas (M, arrow) between the gallbladder (GB) and pancreas at the epigastrium 5 days after blunt abdominal injury. A: aorta.
enlargement, increase in fluid echogenicity, thickening of wall and septa and multiplication of septa may be seen by sequential sonography.\textsuperscript{34}

Two-thirds of childhood pancreatic pseudocysts (Fig. 11) arise from the body or tail of the pancreas, mostly unilocular.\textsuperscript{37} Most of them are secondary to pancreatitis or blunt abdominal trauma. Pseudocyst is associated to the pancreas. The borders are usually echogenic and thicker than that of simple cyst.

Vascular malformations, though uncommon, simulate cystic lesions at the porta hepatis, may be mistaken as choledochal cyst. These include portal vein aneurysm (Fig. 12) and hepatic artery aneurysm. Most of the lesions are found incidentally when having a sonographic examination and appear as an anechoic fusiform or saccular structure. Sometimes these lesions may contain thrombus inside and give an echogenic image. These lesions can be distinguished readily by Doppler technique which demonstrates blood flow inside the cystic mass.\textsuperscript{38,39}

![Fig. 12. A large cystic mass (arrow) at the porta hepatitis with blood flow shown in the lesion (R'). Further imaging study revealed portal vein aneurysm.](image)
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