Evaluation of Factors Affecting the Severity of Diabetic Foot Ulcer in Patients with Diabetes Referred to a Diabetes Centre in Kermanshah
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Introduction: Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disease characterized by high blood sugar (BS) levels and the change in the metabolism of lipids, carbohydrates, and insulin resistance, and is one of the main causes of disability and mortality worldwide. Among the different types of complications, which have many negative effects on personal and social life, diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is very important. This study aims to investigate the factors affecting the severity of DFU among patients with diabetes.

Methods: The study participants included 190 diabetic patients with a diagnosis of DFU. Data were collected using a two-part questionnaire for self-care awareness and functions in diabetic patients and Wagner’s scale. The questionnaire was answered in cooperation with patients and the Wagner’s score was estimated by a wound supervisor in the diabetes center.

Results: There was 109 women (57.4%). Twenty-six patients had other diabetic complications as well as DFU. The average score of awareness in patients was 6.99±2.76 and the function was 62.22±9.92. The results found a direct relation between the age and the duration of illness with the score of the patient’s awareness (P=0.008, P=0.000). There was also a direct relation between the level of education with score of awareness and the score of function in self-care (P=0.000, P=0.000), but the statistical results did not find any relation between awareness and the function in self-care of patients with the severity of DFU (P>0.05).

Discussion: There was no relation between the self-care awareness and function with severity of DFU (P>0.05) that can be due to the more relation between DFU severity with hygiene and physical factors after the disease and the effect of awareness and function would be only in the incidence of the DFU.

Conclusion: Awareness and function of patients in self-care is less than average. Increasing awareness of patients and empowering them through appropriate training can be effective in preventing diabetic foot ulcers.

Keywords: diabetes, diabetic foot ulcer, diabetes complications

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is one of the most extensive epidemics the world has faced, both in developed and developing nations. 1 The latest global estimate from the International Diabetes Federation is that in 2019 there were 463 million people with diabetes mellitus and that, by 2045, the number will be 700 million. 2 And the WHO gave a similar estimate of 422 million in 2014. 3 Among the different types of diabetes complications, which have many negative effects on treatment
costs and quality-of-life, diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is very important. Diabetic foot ulcer is a full-thickness ulcer, skin necrosis or foot gangrene caused by peripheral neuropathy or peripheral arterial disease in patients with diabetes. In other words, DFU contains several diseases, mainly diabetic neuropathy and peripheral arterial disease that causes foot ulcers that may eventually lead to amputation, especially when it occurs with wound infection or osteomyelitis. DFU is one of the most common, most severe, and expensive complications of diabetes and the major cause of hospitalization in the world. The DFU complication has a lot of economic effects on the patients and the health system; It is estimated that 24.4% of the total healthcare costs in the diabetic population are associated with the DFU and the total cost of treating the DFU is close to $1 billion in the US and 456 million dollars in the UK. In addition, the DFU is a cause of emotional and physical disorders that reduce a patient's quality-of-life. More than one million amputations occur worldwide each year, and almost half of all amputations are due to non-traumatic reasons, 80% of which is DFU.

This problem is caused by a variety of factors, but, generally, factors such as peripheral ischemia, neuropathy, or a combination of different causes are known as causative factors. In 2016, the global DFU prevalence was 6.3%, which was higher in males, and higher in type 2 diabetic patients. Atosona et al. show that the prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers DFU is 11% in Ghana. The annual incidence of DFU is 2–4%. However, the relationship between demographic variables, BS, hyperlipidemia, and weight with DFU incidence has been reported in multiple studies, but no studies have been done about the relation between severity of the DFU and variables in these patients. One of the reasons that the patients do not control their diabetes well is a lack of awareness and poor function in their care. Also, other factors include lifestyle and demographical variables. It can be due to the lack of education systems in hospitals and lack of evidence-based studies about the factors affecting DFU severity. Therefore, due to the high prevalence of diabetes and the importance of DFU and its health and economic effects on the health system and patients with diabetes, as well as the lack of a similar study to investigate the factors affecting the severity of DFU, the present study aimed to determine the factors including lifestyle, demographical, and self-care affecting the severity of DFU.

Methods

Study Design

This was a cross-sectional study and approved by The Ethics Committee, Department of Research and Technology, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (approval number, IR.KUMS.REC.1397.025). This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. We collected samples from January 2019 to May 2019 and all the patients provided informed consent prior to sample withdrawal.

Participants

The study was performed on 190 patients with diabetic foot ulcers in the diabetes center of Kermanshah Province and patients with medical records in the Imam Reza hospital of Kermanshah within the first 5 months of 2019. The studied patients’ age was more than 18 years and they were assured of the purpose of the study and their rights as well as provided written consent. They needed the ability to communicate effectively (verbal and non-verbal); so, patients with physical diseases unrelated to diabetes or mental diseases confirmed by the doctor and patients using effective nerve drugs were eliminated from the study.

According to the confidence level of 95% and \( P=4\% \) in the general population with G-Power software, the minimum number of required subjects was calculated as 151 and, by accounting the loss of subjects, this number was considered to be 170 individuals and, finally, 190 complete questionnaires were received from the patients.

Data Collecting

In order to collect data after obtaining the required permission, the research was referred to the diabetes center of Kermanshah Province and also to Imam Reza hospital of Kermanshah in which the patients have their membership documents at the diabetes center of Kermanshah Province. First, they assured of the confidentiality of participant’s information and also about the purpose of the research and then the written consent was received. After that, they were given sufficient guidance to fill out the questionnaires. The questionnaires were self-reported, without the intervention of the researchers. In order to collect data on the awareness and function about self-care of the diabetic patients, the Wagner ulcer scale and the information form prepared by researchers were used.

The information form provided by the researchers has 13 questions about the history of the disease and the demographic variables of the subject. The information
form, which was constructed by 12 faculty members of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, has been confirmed.

The awareness and the function about self-care questionnaire includes two parts to make the patient aware about diabetes and his performance in self-care functions. The first part of the questionnaire, the awareness part (Figure 1), includes individual’s awareness about diabetes (7 questions), awareness about physical activity and exercise (4 questions), and about diet (5 questions). The awareness questionnaire includes questions that measure patient information (for example: diabetes causes, diabetes signs and symptoms, awareness about Complications of diabetes and how to control them, diabetes treatment, awareness about proper exercise and diet). In order to score the questions related to the awareness of each correct answer, every correct answer was given a score of one and wrong answers were given a score of zero. The second part of the questionnaire, function in self-care, includes the patient’s knowledge of diet (7 questions), physical activity and exercise (6 questions), control of early complications (6 questions), control of late complications (5 questions), and foot care (5 questions). The function questionnaire score is designed on a Likert scale. Each question has five options and its score ranges from one-to-five (Figure 2).

In fact, the awareness questions are set to study awareness level. There is a score of one for every correct answer and a score of zero for any wrong answer and the total score of the test is between zero and 16. Questions related to the function in self-care in the Likert scale were scored from one-to-five. After completing the research tool by patients, the patients’ self-care condition is determined based on the total number of scores received from each questionnaire. The function in self-care questions include five sections such as diet, exercise, control of early complications, control of late complications, and foot care. The total number of the function in self-care questions is between 29 and 145. This questionnaire was designed in 2009 by Farsi et al. and in their studies its validity and reliability were measured. The validity of the test has been approved through content analysis and the comments of 15 professors of medical sciences have also been confirmed. The test–re-test was used for reliability, with the correlation coefficient between the two stages of the test estimated as 0.63–0.98 with T-test and Wilcoxon. Besides, the internal consistency with Cronbach’s alpha of the total test was calculated to be 0.66.

Wagner ulcer scale is one of the oldest and most extensive categories in all types of ulcers. This scale categorizes diabetic foot ulcer on the basis of the ulcer depth into six scores: (1) grade 0: Skin is healthy; (2) grade 1: There is a superficial ulcer; (3) grade 2: There is a deep ulcer; (4) grade 3: Deep ulcer with abscess, bone involvement or osteomyelitis; (5) grade 4: Gangrene in the front or gangrene of the part of the limb (such as toe); (6) grade 5: General gangrene of the foot.

Findings
There was 109 women (57.4%) from the total studied population (190 individuals). The results of the study found that 30.5% of patients have a family history of diabetes, of whom 32.75% (19 individuals or 10% of the research population) have reported diabetics symptoms in their family. Twenty-six patients (13.7%), had other diabetic symptoms besides foot ulcers, such as cardiovascular complications (11 individuals, 5.8%), eye problems (6 individuals, 3.2%), kidney problems (2 individuals, 1.1%), and other symptoms (7 individuals, 3.7%) (Table 1). The results of the study of the severity of the ulcer are listed in Table 2. The results of the awareness study and the Function in self-care of subjects are described in Table 3. The average total score of awareness in patients was 6.99±2.76. The total of awareness is based on three items of patient awareness of diabetes, awareness of exercise and also physical activity as well as awareness of dietary compliance (Table 3). The average score of the function in self-care was 62.22±9.92, which is based on five items (the patient’s Function in dietary, exercise and physical activity, performance in the control of early complications and control of late complications and also function in foot care) (Table 3). One hundred and eleven individuals (58.4%) received less than half of the awareness score, and for the function in self-care this rate was 176 individuals (92.6%).

There was statistical analysis to find the relation between demographic variables with the awareness and function in self-care in patients. First, the normality of the data was studied through Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, which showed an abnormality of the data. So, the Spearman test was used to study the relation of quantity variables and Kruskal–Wallis and U Mann Whitney were used to study the relation of quality variables with awareness and the self-care function; the results found that there is a direct relation between age and the duration of the disease with the total score of the patient’s awareness, such as awareness of disease, exercise, and diet (P=0.008, P=0.000). There was also a direct relation between the
level of education with the total score of awareness and the total score of self-care function ($P=0.000$, $P=0.000$) in such a way that it improved by increasing the education level, awareness, and the self-care function. Also, subjects’ occupation was also related to their total awareness level ($P=0.002$) (Tables 4 and 5).
We used the Kruskal–Wallis test in order to determine the relation among variables of the awareness total score, the self-care function total score, and the age and the duration of the disease with the severity of ulcer. The results found that none of the age and the duration of the disease variables were related to the severity of the ulcer ($P>0.05$). Furthermore, although the low level of awareness and failure in the self-care function can cause inappropriate behavior with the disease and also lack of the disease control as well as the severity of symptoms, the statistical results did not find any relation between awareness and the self-care function of patients with the severity of foot ulcer ($P>0.05$) (Table 6).

In order to study the relation of the quality variables with the severity of the ulcer, the chi-square test was...
used and the results only showed the relation between a type of other complications (OC) in the diabetic patients with ulcer severity ($P=0.02$). In order to exactly determine the type of relation between the diabetes symptoms with foot ulcer severity, the correlation table of chi-square test was used which had the most effect on the surface ulcer in patients and the most effective symptoms on the severity of the foot ulcer was cardiovascular diseases (Table 7).

| Parameters | Values | n (%) | Parameters | Values | n(%) |
|------------|--------|-------|------------|--------|------|
| Gender     | Female | 109 (57.4) | Job        | Employee | 34 (17.9) |
|            | Male   | 81 (42.6)   |            | Self-Employment | 20 (10.5) |
| Marriage   | Single | 147 (77.4)  |            | Workless | 5 (2.6)   |
|            | Married | 16 (8.4)    |            | Retired | 20 (10.5) |
|            | Divorced | 19 (10.0)  |            | Military | 5 (2.6)   |
|            | Widow   | 8 (4.2)     |            | Home Made | 71 (37.4) |
| Education  | Illiterate | 35 (18.4) | Income (USD/Month) | <300 | 162 (85.3) |
|            | Elementary | 69 (36.3) |            | 300–400 | 23 (12.1) |
|            | Secondary Education | 51 (26.8) |            | >400 | 5 (2.6)   |
|            | High School | 19 (10.0) | Diabetes Complications in Family | Yes | 19 (10.0) |
|            | College | 16 (8.4)     |            | No | 171 (90.0) |
| Location   | City    | 139 (73.2)  | Other Complications (OC) | Yes | 26 (13.7) |
|            | Village | 51 (26.8)   |            | No | 164 (86.3) |
| Family History of Diabetes | Yes | 58 (30.5) | Parameter | Values (Grade 0–5) | n (%) |
|            | No | 132 (69.5) | Severity of Ulcer | No ulcer but foot at risk (Grade 0) | 0 |
| Type of OC | Cardiovascular Comp. | 11 (5.8) | | Superficial ulcers (Grade 1) | 78 (41.1) |
|            | Eye Comp. | 6 (3.2) | | Deep ulcers (Grade 2) | 89 (46.8) |
|            | Renal Comp. | 2 (1.1) | | Abscessed Deep ulcers (Grade 3) | 16 (8.4) |
|            | Other Comp. | 7 (3.7) | | Limited gangrene (Grade 4) | 7 (3.7) |
|            | Extensive gangrene (Grade 5) | 0 | | Extensive gangrene (Grade 5) | 0 |

### Discussion

This study was conducted with the aim of the investigation of the awareness levels and the self-care function in the DFU patient and also the relation of the DFU severity with demographic characteristics, awareness, and the patients’ self-care function. 57.4% of the subjects were women and the average age of the subjects was 53.13 ±11.65 years. The minimum age of the diabetes diagnosis in patients with DFU was reported as 32 years; It is obviously the indicator of the patient with the foot ulcer in the workforce of the community which besides health and medical costs, it also incurs costs of the withdrawal of the active fore of the community. Various studies have also reported the average age of patients with DFU as between 40–55 years.\(^ {19,21,23} \) There is no significant reason for DFU incidence in middle-age persons but this can be due to the higher prevalence of diabetes in middle-age than in other age groups. In addition, although the quality of diabetes care in
women appears to be higher than in men, the prevalence of DFU in women is higher than in men, which may be due to cultural differences in access to healthcare. Furthermore, in recent studies the duration of diabetes in patients with DFU has been noted as 11–16 years, but in the Jae-Seung Yun et al study this time has been reported as 6.1 years. This time is important to learn about diabetes and foot care. A family history of diabetes was found in 56 patients and 19 of them (32.75%) reported complications of diabetes in their family. This can be due to the impact of family hygiene status on family members in the same way and can be controlled by home-visits. About the effect of inheritance, more studies are needed.

According to the Wagner ulcer scale, 41% of the ulcers were classified as grade 1, 46.8% as grade 2, 8.4% as grade 3, and 3.7% as grade 4. In the Jin Hwang et al study, 35% of the subjects had an ulcer of grade 1, 10% grade 2, 26% grade 3, 28% grade 4, and 1% grade 5. This difference between the frequency of patients at degrees 3 and 4 can be due to the difference in therapeutic methods and scar control teams among different studies. In the Kermanshah Diabetes center, a wound specialist team is used to control the ulcers of patients. The results of this study show that 58.4% of the subjects had obtained less than half of the awareness score and 92.6% of them had also received less than half of the self-care function. The large number of subjects with a score of less than half of a questionnaire score shows the low self-care function and the need for health training and planning; although there was no relation between the self-care function with diabetic foot ulcer severity (P>0.05). There was also no meaningful relation between awareness level and diabetic foot ulcer severity (P>0.05). This lack of relation can be due to the stronger relation between diabetic foot ulcer severity and hygiene and physical factors after the disease and the effect of awareness and function would be only in the stage of the incidence of the disease. Although the results of the present study confirmed the effectiveness of the relation between other complications and diabetic foot ulcer severity; there is more need to confirm this hypothesis. The results of the study found the relation between the age and the duration of diabetes with the patient’s awareness (P=0.008, P=0.000). This issue can be justified by receiving training and experience over time, but it also highlights that individuals of a younger age need more comprehensive training to improve awareness. There was also a relation between education level with awareness score and self-care function (P=0.000, P=0.000). Twenty-six patients (13.7%) with foot ulcer also had other complications of diabetes. The results of statistical analysis also showed the existence of a meaningful relation between the type of symptoms with foot ulcer severity (P=0.02). It can be due to the effect of diabetes on patients in the same way. Jin Hwang et al also reported retinopathy in 90% of the patients with diabetic foot ulcer in his research, but

| Parameters          | Awareness | Function |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|
|                     | Mean±SD   | Minimum  | Maximum | Median |
| About Diabetes      | 2.91 ± 1.78 | 0        | 7       |        |
| About exercise      | 1.73 ± 0.87 | 0        | 4       |        |
| about physical function | 2.36 ± 1.01 | 1        | 5       |        |
| Total               | 6.99 ± 2.76 | 2        | 15      | 7      |
| Diet                | 13.15 ± 2.61 | 9        | 24      | 13     |
| Exercise and        | 13.10 ± 2.70 | 7        | 21      | 13     |
| physical function   | 13.11 ± 2.70 | 8        | 20      | 13     |
| Control of early    | 11.01 ± 2.74 | 6        | 20      | 11     |
| complications       | 11.83 ± 3.16 | 6        | 21      | 11     |
| Control of late     | 62.22 ± 9.92 | 48       | 94      | 60     |
| complications       |           |          |         |        |
| Foot care           |           |          |         |        |
| Total               |           |          |         |        |

| Parameter          | Awareness | Function |
|--------------------|-----------|----------|
| Age                | P=0.000*** r=0.390 | P=0.921 r=0.007 |
| Illness duration   | P=0.008*** r=0.193 | P=0.324 r=0.070 |

Note: **Significant at 0.01.
there was no relation between retinopathy problem and ulcer severity. Limited studies have been done on diabetic ulcer foot severity, but in these studies the factors such as age, PAD, hypertriglyceridemia, hypertension, BMI, FBS, and glucose two hours after fasting have been shown to be related to ulcer severity.\textsuperscript{18,19} Taqipoor et al\textsuperscript{12} have stated that mechanical factors such as musculoskeletal disorders and balance disorders also relate to diabetic foot ulcers. As this subject is novel, more comprehensive studies are recommended

Table 5 Relationship Between Qualitative Variables with Awareness and Function (KW & UMW Test)

| Test                        | Parameters          | Mean Rank       | Statistics       |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                             |                     | Awareness       | Function         |                        |
| U Mann–Whitney              | Gender              | Female          | 94.78 91.22      |                        |
|                             |                     | Male            | 96.03 98.68      |                        |
|                             | Location            | City            | 96.41 94.41      |                        |
|                             |                     | Village         | 93.01 98.46      |                        |
|                             | Family History of Diabetes | Yes            | 90.81 90.28      |                        |
|                             |                     | No              | 97.56 97.79      |                        |
|                             | Diabetes Complications in Family | Yes            | 86.26 78.13      |                        |
|                             |                     | No              | 96.53 97.43      |                        |
|                             | OC                  | Yes             | 85.25 98.13      |                        |
|                             |                     | No              | 97.13 95.08      |                        |
| Kruskal Wallis              | Type of OC          | Cardiovascular Comp. | 109.14 112.18 |                        |
|                             |                     | Eye Comp.       | 61.25 104.00     |                        |
|                             |                     | Renal Comp.     | 30.75 97.75      |                        |
|                             |                     | Other Comp.     | 83.86 71.14      |                        |
|                             | Income (USD/Month)  | < 300           | 92.43 92.84      |                        |
|                             |                     | 300 – 400       | 117.04 110.63    |                        |
|                             |                     | > 400           | 96.00 112.10     |                        |
| Job                         | Employee            | 125.54 109.16   | 106.70 74.90     |                        |
|                             | Self-Employment     | 106.70 103.60   | 81.70 92.78      |                        |
|                             | Workless            | 68.03           | 116.60 69.10     |                        |
|                             | Retired             | 90.39           | 107.69 120.88    |                        |
|                             | Military            | 116.60 93.49    | 62.73 91.40      |                        |
|                             | Home Made           | 80.06           | 132.50           |                        |
|                             | Student             | 90.06           | 100.47           |                        |
|                             | Farmer-Herdsman     | 107.87 93.00    | 88.21 100.47     |                        |
|                             | Driver              | 115.39 160.78   | 86.00            |                        |
|                             | Other               | 172.69          | 99.31            |                        |
|                             | Education           | Illiterate      | 90.81 80.44      |                        |
|                             |                     | Elementary      | 79.36 88.72      |                        |
|                             |                     | Secondary       | 91.73 87.12      |                        |
|                             |                     | High School     | 107.87 115.39    |                        |
|                             |                     | College         | 172.69 160.78    |                        |
| Education                   | Marriage            | Single          | 99.49 95.74      |                        |
|                             |                     | Married         | 79.41 100.47     |                        |
|                             |                     | Divorced        | 82.21 87.84      |                        |
|                             |                     | Widow           | 86.00 99.31      |                        |

Note: *Significant at 0.05.
to study the effective factors on diabetic ulcer foot severity in other environments.

**Conclusion**

Since awareness and function of patients in self-care is less than average, the increasing awareness of patients and empowering them through appropriate training can be effective in preventing diabetic foot ulcers. This is all the more

---

**Table 6 Relationship Between Quantitative Variables with Severity of Foot Ulcer**

| Parameter | Severity of Foot Ulcer | Statistics |
|-----------|------------------------|------------|
| Function  | 0.89                   | 0.82       |
| Awareness | 1.4                    | 0.70       |
| Age       | 4.04                   | 0.25       |
| Duration of illness | 3.16 | 0.36 |

---

**Table 7 Relationship Between Qualitative Variables With Severity of Foot Ulcer**

| Parameter          | Values          | Severity of Foot Ulcer | Statistics |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|
|                    | G1 | G2 | G3 | G4 | P-value |
| Gender             |    |    |    |    |         |
| Female             | 41 (37.6) | 51 (46.8) | 13 (11.9) | 4 (3.7) | 0.21 x² = 4.46 |
| Male               | 37 (45.7) | 38 (37.7) | 3 (3.7)  | 3 (3.7) |         |
| Location           |    |    |    |    |         |
| City               | 57 (41.0) | 67 (48.2) | 11 (7.9)  | 4 (2.9)  | 0.73 x² = 1.27 |
| Village            | 21 (41.2) | 22 (43.1) | 5 (9.8)  | 3 (5.9)  |         |
| Education          |    |    |    |    |         |
| Illiterate         | 13 (37.1) | 17 (48.6) | 4 (11.4)  | 1 (2.9)  | 0.97 x² = 4.33 |
| Elementary         | 27 (39.1) | 35 (50.7) | 5 (7.2)  | 2 (2.9)  |         |
| Secondary Education | 21 (41.2) | 23 (45.1) | 5 (9.8)  | 2 (2.9)  |         |
| High School        | 11 (57.9) | 6 (31.6)  | 1 (5.3)  | 1 (5.3)  |         |
| College            | 6 (37.5)  | 8 (50.0)  | 1 (6.3)  | 1 (6.3)  |         |
| Marriage           |    |    |    |    |         |
| Single             | 62 (42.2) | 69 (46.9) | 12 (8.2)  | 4 (2.7)  | 0.93 x² = 3.66 |
| Married            | 7 (43.8)  | 7 (43.8)  | 1 (6.3)  | 1 (6.3)  |         |
| Divorced           | 6 (31.6) | 10 (52.6) | 2 (10.5) | 1 (5.3)  |         |
| Widow              | 3 (37.5)  | 3 (37.5)  | 1 (12.5) | 1 (12.5) |         |
| Job                |    |    |    |    |         |
| Employee           | 17 (50.0) | 13 (38.2) | 4 (11.8)  | 0       | 0.51 x² = 26.04 |
| Self-Employment    | 8 (40.0)  | 10 (50.0) | 1 (5.0)  | 1 (5.0)  |         |
| Workless           | 0       | 4 (80.0)  | 1 (20.0) | 0       |         |
| Retired            | 11 (55.0) | 9 (45.0)  | 0       | 0       |         |
| Military           | 1 (20.0) | 4 (80.0)  | 0       | 0       |         |
| Home Made          | 25 (35.2) | 35 (49.3) | 7 (9.9)  | 4 (5.6)  |         |
| Student            | 6 (75.0) | 2 (25.0)  | 0       | 0       |         |
| Farmer-Herdsman    | 5 (33.3) | 6 (40.0)  | 3 (20.0) | 1 (6.7)  |         |
| Driver             | 4 (50.0) | 3 (37.5)  | 0       | 1 (12.5) |         |
| Other              | 1 (25.0) | 3 (75.0)  | 0       | 0       |         |
| Income (USD/Month) |    |    |    |    |         |
| <300               | 64 (39.5) | 78 (48.1) | 14 (8.6) | 6 (3.7)  | NA       |
| 300-400            | 13 (56.5) | 8 (34.8)  | 2 (8.7)  | 0       |         |
| >400               | 1 (20.0)  | 3 (60.0)  | 0       | 1 (20.0) |         |
| Family History of Diabetes |    |    |    |    |         |
| Yes                | 25 (43.1) | 28 (48.3) | 5 (8.6)  | 0       | 0.36 x² = 3.20 |
| No                 | 53 (40.2) | 61 (46.2) | 11 (8.3) | 7 (5.3)  |         |
| Diabetes Complications in Family |    |    |    |    |         |
| Yes                | 6 (31.6) | 10 (52.6) | 3 (15.8) | 0       | 0.43 x² = 2.75 |
| No                 | 72 (42.1) | 79 (46.2) | 13 (7.6) | 7 (4.1)  |         |
| OC                 |    |    |    |    |         |
| Yes                | 13 (50.0) | 9 (34.6)  | 1 (3.8)  | 3 (11.5) | 0.06 x² = 7.34 |
| No                 | 65 (39.6) | 80 (48.8) | 15 (9.1) | 4 (2.4)  |         |
| Type of OC         |    |    |    |    |         |
| Cardiovascular Comp. | 4 (36.4) | 5 (45.5)  | 1 (9.1)  | 1 (9.1)  | NA       |
| Eye Comp.          | 4 (66.7) | 2 (33.3)  | 0       | 0       |         |
| Renal Comp.        | 0       | 2 (100.0) | 0       | 0       |         |
| Other Comp.        | 5 (71.4) | 0       | 0       | 2 (28.6) |         |

*Note: *Significant at 0.05.
important when the results show that there is a relationship between age and education of patients with their awareness and function, and those with the lower age and education need more training. In addition, a treatment plan to help control other complications of diabetes is helpful. This study requires further research to identify other aspects of the factors affecting the DFU, as well as further information to confirm or contrast with the results of this study.
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