Constraining shifts in North Atlantic plate motions during the Palaeocene by U-Pb dating of Svalbard tephra layers
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Radioisotopic dating of volcanic minerals is a powerful method for establishing absolute time constraints in sedimentary basins, which improves our understanding of the chronostratigraphy and evolution of basin processes. The relative plate motions of Greenland, North America, and Eurasia changed several times during the Palaeogene. However, the timing of a key part of this sequence, namely the initiation of compression between Greenland and Svalbard, is currently poorly constrained. The formation of the Central Basin in Spitsbergen is inherently linked to changes in regional plate motions, so an improved chronostratigraphy of the sedimentary sequence is warranted. Here we present U-Pb zircon dates from tephra layers close to the basal unconformity, which yield a weighted-mean $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age of $61.596 ± 0.028$ Ma ($2\sigma$). We calculate that sustained sedimentation began at $~61.8$ Ma in the eastern Central Basin based on a sediment accumulation rate of $71.6 ± 7.6$ m/Myr.

The timing of basin formation is broadly coeval with depositional changes at the Danian-Selandian boundary around the other margins of Greenland, including the North Sea, implying a common tectonic driving force. Furthermore, these stratigraphic tie points place age constraints on regional plate reorganization events, such as the onset of seafloor spreading in the Labrador Sea.

Radioisotopic dating of volcanic minerals is a powerful method for establishing absolute time constraints in sedimentary basins, which improves our understanding of the chronostratigraphy and evolution of basin processes. The relative plate motions of Greenland, North America, and Eurasia changed several times during the Palaeogene. However, the timing of a key part of this sequence, namely the initiation of compression between Greenland and Svalbard, is currently poorly constrained. The formation of the Central Basin in Spitsbergen is inherently linked to changes in regional plate motions, so an improved chronostratigraphy of the sedimentary sequence is warranted. Here we present U-Pb zircon dates from tephra layers close to the basal unconformity, which yield a weighted-mean $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age of $61.596 ± 0.028$ Ma ($2\sigma$). We calculate that sustained sedimentation began at $~61.8$ Ma in the eastern Central Basin based on a sediment accumulation rate of $71.6 ± 7.6$ m/Myr.

The timing of basin formation is broadly coeval with depositional changes at the Danian-Selandian boundary around the other margins of Greenland, including the North Sea, implying a common tectonic driving force. Furthermore, these stratigraphic tie points place age constraints on regional plate reorganization events, such as the onset of seafloor spreading in the Labrador Sea.

The onset of compression between Greenland and Svalbard in the Palaeocene led to the eventual formation of the West Spitsbergen fold-and-thrust belt in the Eocene, with a rapidly subsiding foreland basin forming adjacent to the mountain range (Fig. 1). The Central Basin is of particular interest because the timing of the basin's formation is inherently linked to the evolution of regional tectonics and the relative motions of North America, Greenland, and Eurasia, which changed significantly during the Palaeogene. The basin infill is named the Van Mijenfjorden Group: a $2.3$ km thick succession subdivided into seven formations and one subgroup that are predominantly sandstones and siliciclastic mudstones deposited in fluvial, deltaic, and marine shelf environments (Fig. 1). The West Spitsbergen fold-and-thrust belt is part of a larger complex across northern Greenland and Ellesmere Island that constitutes Eurekan deformation ($~53–34$ Ma). Subsidence in the Central Basin began before the formation of the fold-and-thrust belt and with no clear hiatus in sediment deposition. Therefore, a detailed understanding of the basin stratigraphy can be used to refine the chronology of plate reconfigurations in the run up to the Eurekan deformation and the opening of the northeast Atlantic.

Constraining the temporal evolution of the Central Basin is complicated by the scarcity of age-specific fauna and flora in the sedimentary record and a paucity of radioisotopic dates. The basin's enclosed nature during the Palaeocene and early Eocene led to low salinity and locally low oxygen conditions, resulting in water column
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stratification with high carbonate corrosivity. Biostatigraphic comparisons with global datasets are hampered by the distinct Boreal biogeographic province in the Arctic due to limited deep water connections to other oceans. Radioisotopic dating of tephra layers in the Palaeocene Central Basin strata is limited. A $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age of $55.785 \pm 0.086$ Ma was obtained from a tephra horizon within the early Eocene Frysjaodden Formation, the fourth formation within the Van Mijenfjorden Group. This layer provides the basis for anchoring local cyclostratigraphic age models and the timing and duration of the Palaeocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM). The two lowermost formations (Firkanten and Basilika; Fig. 1) contain numerous bentonite (altered tephra) layers that could potentially be used for radioisotopic dating. Previous investigations that attempted to obtain radioisotopic ages for the Basilika formation found only detrital/inherited zircon grains, despite analysing a total of 430 zircons from four bentonite horizons. The lack of accurate depositional ages, coupled with the importance of this location in constraining the first sustained compression between Greenland and Svalbard, highlights the need for an improved geochronology of the Central Basin.

Results

Euhedral, core-free (optically inspected) zircon crystals interpreted to be of magmatic origin were isolated from four bentonite layers within the Firkanten and Basilika formations; three from separate borehole cores and one from an outcrop inside the Svea coal mine (Fig. 1). Single zircon crystals were dated by the U-Pb isotope dilution thermal ionization mass spectrometry technique (ID-TIMS; see Methods Section). Individual dates and weighted-mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages are shown in Fig. 2, with data presented in Table 1. The core abbreviations are described in Figs 1 and 3. Three of the dated horizons (Bk1, Lk1, SvN) and samples Am1 and Lj1 are from a prominent ash layer located between 7.1 and 11.7 m above the regional Lower Cretaceous-Palaeocene low-angle ($0.5-1^\circ$) unconformity (Fig. 3). Weighted-mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages for the three samples are between $61.693 \pm 0.082$ Ma and $61.583 \pm 0.074$ Ma (2σ; including uncertainties in tracer calibrations but excluding decay constant errors). Outcrop evidence from within the Svea mine and in the field strongly suggests that these samples are from the same laterally continuous bentonite horizon that represented a large single eruption. This is corroborated by matching geochemical signatures between samples despite extensive alteration. The bentonite is not present in all cores in the basin, likely due to subsequent reworking that led to the erosion of tephra from palaeo-topographic highs. However, close inspection of sedimentary structures within each bentonite layer
shows no evidence of a hiatus in deposition, nor variations in chemistry from base to top\textsuperscript{13}. This indicates that any reworking of material occurred soon after deposition and it is therefore extremely likely that these layers represent a single source. Assuming that the three samples (Bk1, Lk1, SvN) are equivalent, combining these analyses...
Compositional Parameters

| An. no | Th U | Pbb (pg) | Th U | Pb b | Th U | Pb b | Th U | Pb b | Th U | Pb b | Th U | Pb b |
|--------|-------|----------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|

Radiogenic Isotope Ratios

| An. no | Th U | Pb b | % err | % err | % err | % err | corr. coeff. | 206Pb/238U | 207Pb/206Pb | 208Pb/206Pb |
|--------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

Isotopic Ages

| An. no | Th U | Pb b | % err | % err | % err | % err | corr. coeff. | 206Pb/238U | 207Pb/206Pb | 208Pb/206Pb |
|--------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

Table 1. (a) Model Th/U ratio calculated from radiogenic 208Pb/206Pb ratio and 207Pb/235U age. (b) Pb* and Pb c represent radiogenic and common Pb, respectively. n.m.: Not measured (c) Corrected for fractionation, spike, and common Pb; all common Pb was assumed to be procedural blank. (d) Errors are 2σ propagated using published algorithms. (e) Calculations are based on published decay constants. 206Pb/238U and 207Pb/206Pb ages corrected for initial disequilibrium in 206Pb/207U using Th/U [magma] = 3.

yields a weighted-mean 206Pb/207U age of 61.596 ± 0.028 Ma (Fig. 2). As with previous studies, tephra horizons from the Basilia Formation were dominated by detrital grains. Only one sample (Dz2) was found to contain Palaeocene zircons, with a weighted mean 206Pb/238U age of 59.32 ± 0.19 Ma (Fig. 2). This layer is 203.8 m above the unconformity and 73.7 m above the Firkanten-Basilia boundary (a change from sandstone-dominated to shale-dominated deposition). The lower precision on the age of this bentonite is partly due to the smaller grain size of zircons (<90 μm; zircons from the basal tephra are ~150–200 μm).

Discussion

Previous investigations have established that the bentonites from the Firkanten and Basilia formations originated from at least two alkaline volcanic sources that are a likely product of continental rifting. Samples from the basal tephra layer are chemically distinct from all later ashes found in the cores, displaying overall REE enrichment with respect to chondrite, a moderate enrichment in LREE compared to HREE, and pronounced negative Ti, P, and Eu anomalies. Full details of the geochemical fingerprinting of these tephra layers are presented in a previous study. These chemical signatures closely match the ignimbrites and lavas of the Kap Washington Group in North Greenland, indicating that this series is the most likely source (Fig. 4). The U-Pb ages determined herein are within the range of U-Pb ages derived from Kap Washington exposures (71–61 Ma), suggesting that this horizon likely represents one of the later explosive eruptions from this volcanic group. The close proximity of the tephra layer to the basal unconformity (7.1–11.7 m) corroborates the hypothesis that the cessation of Kap Washington volcanism was broadly contemporaneous with the formation of the Central Basin.
preserved in the Central Basin, with between 40 to 125 m of sediment between the lowermost Firkanten ash and
the first appearance of the second group of bentonites in the studied cores (Fig. 3).

Radioisotopic dates from the Firkanten and Basilika formations, coupled with an U-Pb age from the
Frysjaodden Formation, allows us to estimate sediment accumulation rates. A cross-section across the region
shows that the thickness of each formation increases westwards and southwards (Fig. 3). The prominent low-
ermost bentonite found in the eastern cores is absent from the western cores studied, negating the possibil-
ity of correlating across all parts of the basin. Cross-section correlations using the new and published ages
give an integrated sediment accumulation rate of 71.6 ± 7.6 m/Myr for the Firkanten and Basilika formations at
Liljevalchfjellet after compaction (Fig. 3). The estimated mean sediment accumulation rate between the dated
Basilika layer and the previously dated Frysjaodden bentonite is 90.9 ± 7.7 m/Myr at Liljevalchfjellet after com-
paction (Fig. 3). These errors include radioisotopic analytical uncertainties, coupled with errors associated with
comparing different formation thicknesses between cores.

Extrapolated sediment accumulation rates allow for an estimation of first deposition in the eastern Central
Basin. The first Palaeocene sediment deposition on the Cretaceous peneplain was the Grønfjorden bed, a conglom-
erate that is thought to represent braided river deposits in low-relief ridge and valley systems. The Grønfjorden
bed thickens to the southwest, which combined with the dominant source of clastic infill from the east, and
the initiation of sedimentation in new areas in step-wise fashion during major transgressions, strongly
suggest the basal unconformity is not isochronal. Field, mine, and core examination indicates a shallow cross
cutting relationship between the lowermost bentonite and the prominent Svea coal seam, indicating that the coal
deposition was slightly diachronous with a northward migration of the swamps and peat mires through time. The bentonite is located 3.5 to 6.6 m above the coal seam in the area considered but is found below the coal seam in cores further north. If it is assumed that the calculated sedimentation rate for the Firkanten formation is the same below the lowermost bentonite, then extrapolation allows us to infer that the first sediment deposition at Liljevalchfjellet is calculated to be 61.76 ± 0.09 Ma (using the same error propagation described above). However, given the difference in lithologies below (largely conglomerate and coal) and above (delta plain clastic sediments) the lowermost bentonite, the compaction of peat mires to form coal beds, and lithological evidence that depo-
sition began southwest of the area studied, the timing of first deposition in the Central Basin began earlier than
this estimate. What can be concluded with some certainty is that the lowermost bentonite is broadly coeval with
the beginning of sustained and increasingly rapid subsidence in response to compression between Greenland and
Svalbard.

The radioisotopic dating of bentonites from the Central Basin allow for an improved understanding of the
first Palaeocene compressional motion between Greenland and Svalbard in the ‘pre-Eurekan deformation’ stage (Fig. 5). The onset of sustained basin formation began around 61.8 Ma, signalling the initiation of compression along the northern Greenland margin that evolved into the ‘Eurekan Stage 1’ deformational event by the Eocene. Geochemical evidence indicates that shortly after this plate restructuring there was a shift in the locus of volcan-
ism (Fig. 5), with explosive eruptions ceasing at Kap Washington around 61.6 Ma and starting at the Nares Strait around 61 Ma. The age of the lowermost bentonites in the Central Basin (61.596 ± 0.028 Ma), the likely product of one of the final explosive eruptions from the Kap Washington Group, overlap with the age of the Danian-Selandian boundary (Fig. 2). The stage transition has an astronomically calibrated age of 61.607 ± 0.040

Figure 4. A summary of chondrite-normalised REE data, showing the mean values of the basal Todalen
bentonite (dashed line) and later Firkanten and Basilika tephras (solid line). For comparison, the range of
values from the Palaeocene exposures of the NAIP from East and West Greenland are shown in yellow, the
range in values from the Kap Washington Group in North Greenland in pink, and the range of volcaniclastic
deposits in Ellesmere Island attributed to the Nares Strait in blue. All Central Basin samples show relative REE
enrichment with respect to the entire range of measured NAIP rocks.
Ma. The boundary marks a change to the deformation style within the Eurasian Plate, the termination of 40 million years of carbonate deposition in the North Sea basin, and a shift to siliciclastic deposition related to the uplift and erosion of the Scotland-Shetland area.

In a rigid plate framework, a defined plate boundary between Greenland and Eurasia is traditionally applied at the time of breakup and seafloor spreading, around chron C24N (e.g. refs 28, 29). The time period between C25N and C24N is also a well-documented change in seafloor spreading direction in the Labrador Sea (30, 31). However, the North Atlantic has experienced a prolonged history of intermittent extension and basin formation events including late Paleozoic-Triassic, Late Jurassic- Early/Mid Cretaceous and Late Cretaceous-Paleocene times. Therefore the implications of rifting and seafloor spreading on the opposite side of Greenland, in the Labrador

Figure 5. A regional plate reconstruction in a fixed Eurasia reference frame at 62–61 Ma. The proposed plate reorganisation, showing a transition from strike-slip to compression in Svalbard, and a shift in volcanism from Kap Washington to the Nares Strait, are shown as grey features before (62 Ma) and red features after (61 Ma). The black arrows represent plate velocities at 62 Ma (and 61 Ma, unchanged), based on the published stage rotation from C31-C25 from ref. [40]. Red and orange arrows correspond to single million year velocities derived from published stage rotations of C27-C26 and C26-25, respectively, for ref. 31. The green and blue arrows, as above, but derived from ref. 30. Models converted to the geomagnetic timescale of ref. 37 (young end of normal chron). Early NAIP activity is shown in purple. The duration of the stage pole for Greenland at 61 Ma, related to Palaeocene compressional features, and magnitude of velocities are uncertain. The figure was created with open source plate tectonic software GPlates based on published modifications of the reconstruction model and plotted with Generic Mapping Tools.

Ma. The boundary marks a change to the deformation style within the Eurasian Plate, the termination of 40 million years of carbonate deposition in the North Sea basin, and a shift to siliciclastic deposition related to the uplift and erosion of the Scotland-Shetland area.

In a rigid plate framework, a defined plate boundary between Greenland and Eurasia is traditionally applied at the time of breakup and seafloor spreading, around chron C24N (e.g. refs 28, 29). The time period between C25N and C24N is also a well-documented change in seafloor spreading direction in the Labrador Sea (30, 31). However, the North Atlantic has experienced a prolonged history of intermittent extension and basin formation events including late Paleozoic-Triassic, Late Jurassic- Early/Mid Cretaceous and Late Cretaceous-Paleocene times. Therefore the implications of rifting and seafloor spreading on the opposite side of Greenland, in the Labrador
Sea and Baffin Bay, renders the northern margin of Greenland a unique tectonic setting with implied relative plate motion, or deforming boundaries. Nonetheless, the synchronicity of sustained compression between Greenland and Svalbard with tectonic changes further south along the Greenland-Eurasia margin, including uplift of the Scotland-Shetland area and widespread shear deformation, indicates a common driving force affecting all margins of Greenland (Fig. 5).

Several triggers for the stress changes at the Danian-Selandian boundary have been proposed, including the first pulse of magmatism from the NAIP and the propagation and acceleration of seafloor spreading in the Labrador Sea and Baffin Bay. However, the timings of these broadly contemporaneous events are poorly constrained, and the relative contributions of plate motion driving forces remain contentious. The first phase of magmatism from the NAIP appeared in both West and East Greenland around 62 Ma, yet the degree to which this pulse of magmatism is related to changes in plate motions is unresolved. Dating seafloor spreading in the Labrador Sea and Baffin Bay is complicated by breakup volcanism, variable sediment cover, and an unclear transition between continental and oceanic crust. Intraplate rifting in the Labrador Sea probably started in the late Jurassic, yet the first identifiable unequivocal marine magnetic anomalies are C27 in the Labrador Sea (63.5–62.2 Ma; summary in ref. 38). This led to motion of Greenland around 100 km to the ENE relative to North America and is therefore likely to be linked to both the deformation on the eastern margin of Greenland and the onset of compression between Greenland and Svalbard.

Most published stage poles for Greenland inherently predict right-lateral motion between Greenland and Svalbard for the period between C27N-C26N or C27N-C25N (Fig. 5). However, some models also include a distinct period of Palaeocene transpression to compression between Greenland and Svalbard that fits broadly with our time period. Our chronostratigraphic data suggests that stage rotations should be revisited in the context of restoring all margins of Palaeocene Greenland, including the evidence for the onset and duration of the Central Basin formation. Choices in timescale, in particular the dating of chron C27, C26, and C25, as well as the rotations for North America and Eurasia, are integral to consistently deciphering the regional kinematics. Our new age date of 61.8–61.6 Ma for the onset of sustained deposition in the Central Basin provides a key radio-isotopic constraint for refining regional plate kinematic models and the onset of compression between Greenland and Svalbard.

**Methods**

Bentonite samples were collected from a selection of borehole cores at the core facility of the Store Norske Spitsbergen Grubekompani (SNSG) in Longyearbyen and from in situ samples from within the Svea coal mine (Fig. 1). These samples have the advantage of less alteration than surface outcrops and have been logged to < 1 m detail by SNSG. The samples are the same as those used in a previous study for the geochemical characterisation of tephra layers, and the reader is referred to that paper for full details of the cores. Each bentonite is labelled with a borehole name (e.g. ‘Am1’ for Amelnryggen), followed by a number based on the position of the ash in that core (‘Am1’ is the lowermost layer present). This research focussed on bentonites proximal to core BH9/2005 at Urdkollen (Ur), which has been the focus of several previous studies. Sample ‘Bk1’ from Bolterskaret core BH5-2006 is located 7.5 m above the base of the Firkanten Formation, the first formation of the Van Mijenforde Group that overlies the Lower Cretaceous-Palaeocene regional unconformity. Sample ‘Lk1’ from Lunckefjellet core BH1-2012 is 7.1 m above Firkanten base, while sample ‘SvN’ is equivalent to sample ‘Am1’ as the bentonite layer can be traced between both localities within the coal mine, which is 9.2 m above the formation base. Field outcrop evidence show that these three dated samples are the same layer as sample ‘Lj1’ in the Liljevalchfjellet core (BH1-2006) 11.8 m above the unconformity, allowing this core to be used as the basis of a cross-section.

Bentonite samples were mechanically disaggregated and heavy minerals were separated using standard magnetic and heavy liquid techniques at the University of Oslo (UiO) and at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). Zircons were selected under an optical microscope, annealed for ca. 72 hours at ca. 900 °C and chemically abraded with HF at ca. 195 °C for 14 hours. The zircons grains chosen for analyses were spiked with a mixed tracer (Oslo) that has recently been calibrated to the EARTHTIME (ET) 100 Ma solution, to allow direct comparison with ages obtained with the ET2535 tracer solution (www.earth-time.org) that was used at MIT. After spiking, the zircons were dissolved in HF (+HNO3) at ca. 195 °C for 5 days (UiO) and at ca. 210 °C for 48 hours (MIT). Chemical separation was done for most grains. Details of the mass spectrometric techniques used are presented in detail in previous articles. The raw data were reduced using Tripoli and analytical errors and corrections (including Th-corrections, assuming Th/U in the magma of 3) were incorporated and propagated using an Excel macro and ET_Redux based on published algorithms. Ages were calculated using ISOPLOT and with specified decay constants.
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