Antagonism of Cell Adhesion by an α-Catenin Mutant, and of the Wnt-signaling Pathway by α-Catenin in Xenopus Embryos

Ravinder N.M. Sehgal,* Barry M. Gumbiner,‡ and Louis F. Reichardt*
*Cell Biology Program, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143-0724; and ‡Cellular Biochemistry and Biophysics Program, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York 10021

Abstract. In Xenopus laevis development, β-catenin plays an important role in the Wnt-signaling pathway by establishing the Nieuwkoop center, which in turn leads to specification of the dorsoventral axis. Cadherins are essential for embryonic morphogenesis since they mediate calcium-dependent cell–cell adhesion and can modulate β-catenin signaling. α-catenin links β-catenin to the actin-based cytoskeleton. To study the role of endogenous α-catenin in early development, we have made deletion mutants of αN-catenin. The binding domain of β-catenin has been mapped to the NH2-terminal 210 amino acids of αN-catenin. Overexpression of mutants lacking the COOH-terminal 230 amino acids causes severe developmental defects that reflect impaired calcium-dependent blastomere adhesion. Lack of normal adhesive interactions results in a loss of the blastocoel in early embryos and ripping of the ectodermal layer during gastrulation. The phenotypes of the dominant-negative mutants can be rescued by coexpressing full-length αN-catenin or a mutant of β-catenin that lacks the internal armadillo repeats.

We next show that coexpression of αN-catenin antagonizes the dorsalizing effects of β-catenin and Xwnt-8. This can be seen phenotypically, or by studying the effects of expression on the downstream homeobox gene Siamois. Thus, α-catenin is essential for proper morphogenesis of the embryo and may act as a regulator of the intracellular β-catenin signaling pathway in vivo.
cadherin-based adhesion in vitro and for embryonic development in vivo.

In the early development of *Xenopus*, α-catenin is initially supplied maternally and is expressed zygotically after the midblastula transition (Schneider et al., 1993). The protein is found in a large intracellular pool but localizes to membranes in the late blastula to early gastrula, eventually accumulating in the presumptive ectoderm and blastopore lip regions (Schneider et al., 1993). The localization to the ectoderm layer after gastrulation correlates with the expression of E-cadherin, which is essential for proper ectoderm formation (Levine et al., 1994). Other studies involving cadherins have shown that overexpression of the cytoplasmic domain of N-cadherin, the primary neuronal cadherin, results in the dissociation of ectodermal cells in midgastrulation, presumably by competing with the endogenous cadherins for catenin binding (Kintner, 1992). Expression of a similar extracellular truncation of XB-cadherin, which is normally expressed earlier in development, results in perturbations in anterior structures that are different than those observed after expression of an N-cadherin dominant-negative mutant (Dufour et al., 1994). Overexpression of C-cadherin (also called EP-cadherin) or E-cadherin cytoplasmic domains has also been shown to have differing effects. These results suggest that different cadherin cytoplasmic domains transmit individual as well as shared signals (Dufour et al., 1994; Broders and Thiery, 1995).

Recently, cadherins have been shown to be involved in the regulation of the Wnt-signaling pathway (Fagotto et al., 1996). The Wnt-signaling pathway is required for normal body-axis formation of *Xenopus* embryos (see Gumbiner, 1995). Components in this pathway include members of the Wnt family of secreted proteins; Wnt receptors of the Frizzled family; an inhibitory Wnt-binding protein Xfrzb-1; several cytoplasmic proteins, specifically Xdishavell, glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3), β-catenin, and APC; and the transcription factors Lef-1 and Tcf-1 of the high mobility group family and *Siamois*, a homeobox-containing protein (for review see Peifer, 1997; Huber et al., 1996; Miller and Moon, 1996; Gumbiner, 1995). The dual role of β-catenin in adhesion and signaling leads to questions regarding its coordinate regulation. In early *Xenopus* embryos, β-catenin has been shown to be essential in establishing the Nieuwkoop center and is required for dorsal mesoderm induction, but it is not required for blastomere adhesion, perhaps because a homologous catenin, plakoglobin, is also expressed (DeMarais and Moon, 1992; Heasman et al., 1994; Karnovsky and Klymkowsky, 1995). Xwnt-8, when expressed in the early embryos, is also expressed (DeMarais and Moon, 1992; Heasman et al., 1994). Components in this pathway include members of the Wnt family of secreted proteins; Wnt receptors of the Frizzled family; an inhibitory Wnt-binding protein Xfrzb-1; several cytoplasmic proteins, specifically Xdishavell, glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3), β-catenin, and APC; and the transcription factors Lef-1 and Tcf-1 of the high mobility group family and *Siamois*, a homeobox-containing protein (for review see Peifer, 1997; Huber et al., 1996; Miller and Moon, 1996; Gumbiner, 1995). The dual role of β-catenin in adhesion and signaling leads to questions regarding its coordinate regulation. In early *Xenopus* embryos, β-catenin has been shown to be essential in establishing the Nieuwkoop center and is required for dorsal mesoderm induction, but it is not required for blastomere adhesion, perhaps because a homologous catenin, plakoglobin, is also expressed (DeMarais and Moon, 1992; Heasman et al., 1994; Karnovsky and Klymkowsky, 1995). Xwnt-8, when expressed in the early *Xenopus* blastula, is thought to induce ectopic β-catenin–dependent signaling, which leads to embryo dorsalsization (Smith and Harland, 1991; Fagotto et al., 1997). Adenomatous polyposis coli tumor suppressor protein (APC) inhibits β-catenin, possibly by targeting it for degradation (for review see Peifer, 1997; Munemitsu et al., 1995). Paradoxically, APC can also induce an ectopic dorsoanterior axis in *Xenopus*, suggesting that it is an active component in the Wnt-signaling pathway (Vlemingckx et al., 1997). Since APC has been shown to form cytosolic complexes with α- and β-catenin (or plakoglobin), regulation of levels of these complexes may be important in β-catenin signaling (Hülsken et al., 1994). GSK-3 also regulates the levels of β-catenin and its association with APC (Dominguez et al., 1995; Rubinfield et al., 1996; Yost et al., 1996). Recently, β-catenin has been shown to also bind to the high mobility group transcription factor Lef-1 and to be translocated with it to the nucleus, where the complex activates transcription (Behrens et al., 1996; Molenaar et al., 1996; Huber et al., 1996b; Brunner et al., 1997; van de Wetering et al., 1997; Riese et al., 1997).

In addition to affecting β-catenin’s signaling capacity, proteins in the Wnt-signaling pathway can also influence intercellular adhesion. Members of the Wnt-5A class of molecules disrupt calcium-dependent adhesion and also antagonize the signaling effects of the Wnt-1 family (of which Xwnt-8 is a member) (Torres et al., 1996). Moreover, in cell lines, Wnt-1 stabilizes the free cytosolic pool of β-catenin and of APC–catenin complexes (Papkoff et al., 1996; Papkoff, 1997). This can affect cell–cell adhesion by stabilizing β-catenin or plakoglobin binding to cadherins (Bradley et al., 1993; Hinck et al., 1994b). Finally, overexpression of C-cadherin in *Xenopus* embryos has been shown to inhibit β-catenin signaling (Fagotto et al., 1996). Therefore, cadherin-based adhesion and the Wnt-signaling pathway are interdependent.

In the present study, we have used deletion mutants of αN-catenin to ascertain the endogenous functions of α-catenin in early *Xenopus* development. We first map the binding site of β-catenin to the NH2-terminus of α-catenin. We then show that mutants lacking the COOH-terminal third of α-catenin, when overexpressed in *Xenopus* embryos, cause severe defects in gastrulation that can be explained by severely impaired calcium-dependent cell adhesion. Finally, we find that overexpression of α-catenin can affect the dorsalizing properties of Xwnt-8 or β-catenin when these proteins are introduced early into ventral blastomeres; it can also perturb normal dorsoanterior axis formation when injected into dorsal blastomeres. We therefore propose that the COOH terminus of α-catenin is essential for the proper function of this molecule, that α-catenin plays an instrumental role in the adhesion of *Xenopus* blastomeres and their subsequent morphogenesis, and that α-catenin may also be a modulator of β-catenin signaling.

### Materials and Methods

**Plasmid Construction**

The construct named GFPαNcatCterm was made by fusing green fluorescent protein (GFP) to the NH2-terminus of αN-catenin. GFP was obtained in the vector pCDNA-1 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) from Dr. C.M. Fan (University of California, San Francisco, CA), and chick αN-catenin in pBS SK⁺ (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) was obtained from Dr. Masatoshi Takeichi (Hirano et al., 1992). GFP was amplified using PCR. The primers were upstream, GGTCAATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAATTTTC-CCGG, and downstream, CCAAGCTTATTTGTATAGTTCATCCATGGCC. This product was ligated into pCR3 (Invitrogen). The resulting vector (pCR3-GFPα) was cut with XhoI. An XhoI fragment containing αN-catenin was excised from pBS SK⁺. This results in a deletion of the first NH2-terminal 750 bases of the full-length clone. This fragment was ligated into the pCR3-GFPα vector.

αNtermGFP was made using PCR, amplifying GFP and fusing it to αN-catenin.
COOH-terminal deletion mutant of α-catenin. The upstream primer was CCGGgGAC4TATgAAaGAAGAAGACTTTICACTCGG, and the downstream primer was CCAAGCTTATTTGAAGATTGATCTGACGC. The resulting product was ligated into pc3. α-catenin in pBS SK− was cut with BamHI and Ball and fused into the BamHI-Smal sites in the pcR3-GFPb construct. Ball, which cuts at position 2121, removes the COOH-terminal 724 bases of α-catenin. The constructs described above were all sequenced through junctional regions.

The constructs GFPαCterm and αNcatNtermGFP were subcloned from pcR3 into pcSp2+ for expression in Xenopus embryos using the BamHI and XbaI sites. pcSp2+ (Rupp et al., 1994) was a gift from Dr. Monica Vetter (University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT). GFP was subcloned from pcDNA1 into pcSp2+ using the sites BamHI-XbaI. ΔArm is described as ΔR in Kypia et al. (1996) and was excised from the expression vector p6R using the sites SalI and XbaI. It was subcloned into pcSp2+ using Xhol and XbaI.

The construct GFPαNcat was made by using pEGFP-C1 (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc., Palo Alto, CA). α-catenin was cut from pBS SK− using EcoRI and NsiI, and subcloned into pEGFP-C1 using EcoRI and PstI. The resultant clone was cut with AgeI, and Klenow was used to create a blunt 5′ end. The insert was cut out with XbaI and subcloned into the Stul-XbaI sites of pcSp2+. To remove intervening 5′-untranslated sequence that contains a stop codon, site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the Quick-Change mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). The primers were CACCAACCAAGACATGGATGAGTGTCACTTTCAGTCTCTCC and its reverse complement.

The construct GFPαNcatNterm was made by introducing a stop codon at position 2121 of α-catenin in GFPαPFL. The primers used for site-directed mutagenesis with the Stratagene kit were GACCAGCTTATTGCGCTGGCTAGAGTGCAAGGGC and its reverse complement.

**Treatment of Embryos and mRNA Injections**

All synthetic mRNAs were prepared using the SP6 Message Machine capped mRNA kit (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX). GFP, GFPαNcatCterm, and αNcatNtermGFP in pcSp2+ were all linearized using Asp718. NotI was used to linearize ΔArm, Xwnt, 8-fragment, GFPαNcat, and αNcatNterm. Xwnt-8 was linearized in pGEMS-ΔR, which was a gift from Dr. Richard Harland (University of California, Berkeley, CA) (Smith and Harland, 1991). Dr. Barry Gumbiner provided the T1 HA-tagged β-catenin construct in the vector pSP64, which was linearized with EcoRI (Funayama et al., 1995).

**Histology**

For paraplast sectioning and staining, stage 11–12 embryos were fixed overnight in MEMFA (0.1 M MOPS, pH 7.4, 2 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgSO4, 3.7% formaldehyde). Embryos were then dehydrated through an ethanol series (50, 70, 90, 95, 100, and 100%) and placed in 100% butanol for 1.5 h. Embryos were carefully transferred to paraplast (Oxford Labware, St. Louis, MO) at 55–60°C for several hours and then positioned and embedded in paraplast. Sectioning was done at 7 μm using a rotary microtome. Sections were mounted and stained with eosin-hematoxylin using standard protocols. For plastic sections, embryos were fixed in MEMFA, dehydrated through an ethanol series, and embedded in glycol-methacrylate (Historesin, Leica, Heidelberg, Germany). 5-μM sections were stained with 0.5% toluidine blue.

**Animal Cap Aggregation Assays**

Both blastomeres of two-cell embryos were injected with 1.5 ng of mRNA. Animal caps were explanted at stage 9 in 1× MMR. To observe involution and healing, they were placed in 1× MMR in six-well tissue culture plates coated with 1% agarose for 1 h. Calcium-dependent aggregation assays were performed on either five or six animal caps. Explants were immediately dissociated in CMF medium (20 mM Na-Hepes, pH 7.2–7.4, 88 mM NaCl, 1 mM KC1) using a Pasteur pipette. Then CaCl2 was added back to 2 mM, and blastomeres were allowed to reaggregate on a rotating table for 1 h.

**RNase Protection Assays**

For experiments involving animal cap explants, mRNAs were cojected into the animal hemisphere of one cell of two-cell embryos. At stage 9, animal caps were removed from 10–15 embryos and allowed to develop until same stage embryos were stage 10.5. For experiments testing levels of endogenous Siamois expression, whole embryos injected in both dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage were taken at stage 10. Total RNA was extracted using RNAzol B according to the manufacturer’s methods (Tel-Test, Inc., Friendswood, TX). RNase protection assays were performed using the RPA II and MAXScript T7 kit from Ambion. The EFla probe construct was a gift from Dr. Tom Musci (University of California, San Francisco) (Cornell et al., 1995), and the Siamois (pN8ia BglII 350) probe was a gift from Dr. Patrick Lemaire (Cambridge University, Cambridge, UK) (Carnac et al., 1996). Both constructs were linearized with HindIII and transcribed using T7 polymerase. 10 μg of total RNA was protected with 5 × 107 cpm of probe. Protected fragments were run on 5% denaturing polyacrylamide gels. Experiments were performed three times.

**Results**

The NH2-terminal Domain of α-Catenin Is Essential for β-Catenin Binding

GFP was fused to various constructs of α-catenin to permit assays of expression and localization. Fig. 1 illustrates the domains of α-catenin and β-catenin present in each of the constructs used in these studies. mRNA prepared
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using each construct was injected into one cell of two-cell *Xenopus* embryos. To quantitate expression of different \( \alpha \)N-catenin protein fragments, immunoblots were performed using a GFP polyclonal antibody for detection. Results in Fig. 2A show that each of the proteins was expressed efficiently, a result confirmed by fluorescence detection of GFP. When a monoclonal antibody against \( \beta \)-catenin was used to immunoprecipitate \( \beta \)-catenin and associated proteins, all \( \alpha \)N-catenin constructs except GFP\( \alpha \)NcatCterm, which lacks the NH\(_2\)-terminal 210 amino acids catenin (Fig. 2B, lane 5), were shown to bind to \( \beta \)-catenin. Thus, this NH\(_2\)-terminal domain is essential for \( \alpha \)N-catenin binding to \( \beta \)-catenin.

**Mutants that Lack the COOH Terminus of \( \alpha \)N-Catenin Cause Severe Defects in Gastrulation**

The injection of mRNA encoding \( \alpha \)N-catenin with COOH-terminal domain deletions (\( \alpha \)NcatNtermGFP or GFP\( \alpha \)NcatNterm) caused severe defects in embryogenesis, noticeable first at the onset of gastrulation. The observed phenotype was a marked ripping of the outer ectodermal layer of the embryo, similar to what has been observed after the overexpression of the extracellular domain of E-cadherin (Levine et al., 1994) or the cytoplasmic domain of N-cadherin (Kintner, 1992), but on a more global scale, with the embryos succumbing to the defects at stage 11, unable to develop further. Fig. 3 shows the onset of this ripping as seen in embryos expressing GFP\( \alpha \)NcatNterm or \( \alpha \)NcatNtermGFP (Fig. 3, C and D). In these photos, the noticeable effects are observed at the dorsal involuting lip of the blastopore. Embryos injected with GFP\( \alpha \)Ncat or GFP\( \alpha \)NcatCterm mRNA developed normally (Fig. 3, A and B) and expressed high levels of the respective proteins as visualized by immunoblots (Fig. 2) or GFP fluorescence (data not shown). Table 1 shows that the COOH-terminal deletion mutations are very potent, with 99–100% of the embryos injected with these mRNAs developing this phenotype. GFP-injected controls, or embryos injected with GFP-\( \alpha \)Ncat or GFP\( \alpha \)NcatCterm mRNA, rarely develop gastrulation defects (6% in the case of GFP\( \alpha \)NcatCterm).

When single blastomeres of later-staged embryos were injected with \( \alpha \)NcatNtermGFP, i.e., one blastomere of a four- or eight-cell embryo, embryos developed further but had incomplete morphogenesis with open areas shedding cells (data not shown). These data point to the COOH-terminal 230 amino acid residues of \( \alpha \)N-catenin as essential for its proper function of the protein since overexpression of mutants lacking this domain caused severe deficiencies in morphogenesis.

**\( \Delta \)Arm and GFP\( \alpha \)Ncat Rescue the Defects Caused by \( \alpha \)N-Catenin Mutants**

To ensure that the effects due to the mRNA injections were specific for \( \alpha \)N-catenin, we performed coinjection experiments. The first mRNA coinjected was a \( \beta \)-catenin construct lacking the internal armadillo repeats (\( \Delta \)Arm), which was described previously as \( \Delta \)R in Kypa et al. (1996). This pro-
Table I. Frequency of Gastrulation Defects by α-Catenin–GFP Fusion Proteins

| RNA injected          | No. of embryos | No. of gastrulation mutants | Percent mutant |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| noninjected           | 460            | 4                           | <1             |
| GFP                   | 274            | 9                           | 3              |
| GFPαNcatCterm         | 164            | 10                          | 6              |
| αNcatNtermGFP         | 344            | 341                         | 99             |
| GFPαNcatNterm         | 89             | 89                          | 100            |
| GFPαNcat              | 240            | 7                           | 3              |

Numbers shown are sums taken from 10 separate experiments, except for GFPαNcatNterm, which were taken from three experiments. In each case, 1.5 ng of mRNA was injected into one cell of a two-cell embryo.

Figure 3. Mutants lacking the COOH terminus of α-catenin result in severe gastrulation defects. (A) GFPαNcat-injected embryos develop normally. (B) GFPαNcatCterm-expressing embryos develop normally. (C and D) Both αNcatNtermGFP- and GFPαNcatNterm-injected mRNAs induce defects beginning at stage 10, here shown by rips (arrows) occurring at the dorsal lip of the embryos. Embryos are shown with the ventral side toward the top. mRNA was injected into the animal pole of one cell of two-cell embryos.

Figure 4. ΔArm binds α-catenin–GFP fusion proteins. The 9E10 monoclonal antibody that recognizes the myc tag on ΔArm was used to immunoprecipitate, and anti-GFP was used with subsequent immunoblotting to detect α-catenin–GFP fusion proteins in the precipitates. Embryos were coinjected with 0.3 ng of the first mRNA and 1.2 ng of the second. First lane, GFP + ΔArm; second lane, αNcatNtermGFP + ΔArm; third lane, uninjected.

To provide evidence addressing the mechanism by which the β-catenin construct ΔArm, which lacks a cadherin binding site, rescued the defects caused by the expression of the COOH-terminal deletion αN-catenin constructs, cell fractionation methods were used. Following a protocol described by Fagotto et al. (1996), embryos were homogenized in a detergent-free buffer and fractionated by cen-
trifugation. Most of α-catenin remains in solution after this fractionation (Hinck et al., 1994). The pellet obtained after high-speed centrifugation was extracted with a nonionic detergent (1% NP-40) and incubated with concanavalin A beads to obtain a glycoprotein-enriched fraction, where cadherins, and their associated proteins are found. After this procedure, a high majority of each of the α-catenin constructs was found in the soluble pool, but a significant portion was also found in the pellet, associated with cadherins. The distributions of these αNcatNterm-GFP chimeras was visualized using anti-GFP, and results are presented in Fig. 7, A (supernatant) and B (pellet). From this experiment, it is again clear that GFPαNcatNterm, although highly expressed in the soluble fraction, is not associated with cadherins or other glycoproteins and therefore was not found in the glycoprotein-enriched pellet (Fig. 7, A and B, lane 3). GFPαNcat, the functional full-length αN-catenin construct, appeared in both fractions (Fig. 7, A and B, lane 8). When 0.3 ng of αNcatNtermGFP or GFPαNcatNterm mRNA was coinjected with 1.2 ng of the second mRNA. Mutants in A and D do not develop further than gastrulation. Rescued mutants gastrulate (B and C, and E and F) and continue to develop normally. mRNA was injected into the animal pole of one cell of two-cell embryos.

### Table II. ΔArm and GFPαNcat Rescue Defects Caused by αNcatNtermGFP and GFPαNcatNterm

| RNA injected                  | No. of embryos | No. of gastrulation mutants | Percent mutant |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Noninjected                  | 591            | 6                          | 1              |
| GFP + ΔArm                   | 372            | 7                          | 2              |
| GFP + GFPαNcat              | 166            | 1                          | <1             |
| αNcatNtermGFP + GFP         | 483            | 465                        | 96             |
| αNcatNtermGFP + ΔArm        | 417            | 69                         | 17             |
| αNcatCtermGFP + GFPαNcat    | 62             | 0                          | 0              |
| GFPαNcatNterm + GFP         | 98             | 74                         | 76             |
| GFPαNcatNterm + ΔArm        | 100            | 0                          | 0              |
| GFPαNcatNterm + GFPαNcat    | 91             | 4                          | 4              |

Numbers shown are sums taken from 15 separate experiments, except for experiments with GFPαNcatNterm, which were taken from three experiments. Also, the rescue of αNcatNtermGFP with GFPαNcat is the sum of three experiments. In each case, 0.3 ng of the first mRNA was coinjected with 1.2 ng of the second into one cell of two-cell embryos.
to C-cadherin and subsequently immunoblotted with anti-GFP. Again, when ΔArm was coinjected with αNcatNtermGFP, the binding of αNcatNtermGFP to C-cadherin was diminished (data not shown). Both of these experiments were performed several times and yielded consistent data. These results suggest a likely mechanism for how ΔArm rescued the dominant-negative effects of the COOH-terminal–deleted αN-catenin constructs. ΔArm is likely to bind avidly to the αN-catenin mutants and sequester them, thereby diminishing their binding to functional β-catenin in cadherin complexes.

**Figure 6.** Histology of gastrulating embryos expressing α-catenin–GFP fusion proteins. Stage 10–11 embryos were fixed in MEMFA and imbedded in paraplast (A and C) or plastic (B, D, E, and F) for sectioning. Arrowheads point to the blastocoel (bc). The abbreviation dl denotes the presumptive dorsal side of the embryos. (A) An uninjected normal embryo. (B) An embryo injected with GFPαNcatCterm mRNA, (C) αNcatNtermGFP, and (D) GFPαNcatNterm. Embryos expressing αNcatNtermGFP completely lack the blastocoel and are disorganized compared to normal embryos. Also noticeable is the lack of integrity of the ectodermal layers in the two mutants. Higher magnification emphasizes the disorganization of cells in an embryo expressing αNcatNtermGFP compared to a normal embryo expressing GFPαNcatCterm. mRNA was injected into the animal pole of both cells of two cell embryos. Bars: (A–D) 200 μm; (E and F) 100 μm.

**Binding of Endogenous Xenopus α-Catenin to Cadherins Diminishes in Embryos Injected with Dominant-negative α-Catenin Constructs**

To substantiate the model that the dominant-negative α-catenin mutants function by displacing endogenous α-catenin from binding to cadherin complexes, immunoprecipitations were performed with a monoclonal antibody to C-cadherin. The immune complexes were subsequently immunoblotted with an antibody raised against a peptide corresponding to the COOH-terminal 21 amino acids of chick αN-catenin. This antibody (CME) does not recognize αNcatNtermGFP or GFPαNcatNterm, both of which lack the COOH-terminal domain of αN-catenin. Results in Fig. 8 illustrate that the levels of endogenous α-catenin decreased significantly in embryos expressing αNcatNtermGFP or GFPαNcatNterm (lanes 3 and 4), as compared to embryos expressing GFP or GFPαNcatCterm (lanes 1 and 2). α-catenin was not detected in uninjected embryos subjected to immunoprecipitations with an anti-myc antibody (9E10) (Fig. 8, lane 5). The decrease of endogenous α-catenin bound to a cadherin-enriched fraction was also observed when embryo lysates were precipitated with conconavalin A (data not shown).

**Animal Cap Assays Reveal that Embryos Injected with Dominant-negative α-Catenin Constructs Lack Calcium-dependent Cell Adhesion**

To extend the results revealing disorganization of sec-
tioned embryos (Fig. 6), we performed animal cap assays using embryos expressing the dominant-negative mutants αNcatNtermGFP or GFPαNcatNterm. Embryos were lysed in NP-40 buffer and immunoprecipitated using the monoclonal antibody to C-cadherin 6B6. The immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted with the polyclonal anti-αN-catenin antibody (CME). The lanes correspond to embryos injected with mRNA for 1, uninjected; 2, GFP; 3, αNcatNtermGFP; 4, GFPαNcatNterm; 5, uninjected. Lane 5 represents uninjected embryos subjected to immunoprecipitation with an anti-myc epitope antibody (9E10) as a negative control. Embryos were injected into both cells at the two-cell stage to obtain protein expression in the highest possible number of cells. Notice that the levels of endogenous α-catenin decrease significantly in lanes 3 and 4 compared to lanes 1 and 2.

Further experiments were performed to determine whether this disaggregation is based upon a calcium-dependent, and thus presumably cadherin-based, adhesion system. Both blastomeres at the two-cell stage were injected with control mRNA, or mRNAs encoding the dominant-negative α-catenin mutants. Animal caps were explanted from stage 9 embryos and immediately dissociated by pipetting in calcium-free CMF medium. Calcium was added back to 2 mM, and the blastomeres were allowed to reaggregate for 1 h on a rotating table. Blastomeres expressing the COOH-terminal deletion constructs did not reaggregate, or they formed very small aggregates (Fig. 9 D), whereas blastomeres expressing GFP, GFPαNcatTerm, or GFPαNcat formed large cohesive aggregates (Fig. 9 C, not all data shown). The presence of GFP-derived fluorescence revealed that most of the blastomeres expressed αN-catenin–GFP chimeric proteins (data not shown).

αN-Catenin Antagonizes the Dorsalizing Effects of Xwnt-8 and β-Catenin in Ventral Blastomeres

Both Xwnt-8 and β-catenin have been established as potent dorsalizing factors that cause axis duplication after mRNA encoding these proteins is injected into ventral blastomeres of four-cell Xenopus embryos (Sokol et al., 1991; Funayama et al., 1995). Overexpression of C-cadherin in dorsal blastomeres results in ventralized embryos, and coinjection of C-cadherin with β-catenin in ventral blastomeres antagonizes the dorsalizing effects of β-catenin (Fagotto et al., 1996). To determine if overexpression of α-catenin, like C-cadherin, can affect the Wnt-signaling pathway, we first determined whether coinjection of the COOH-terminal αN-catenin deletion constructs could affect signaling
induced by β-catenin injection into ventral blastomeres. In these experiments, at levels of expression where β-catenin normally caused axis duplication, αNcatNtermGFP, when coinjected with β-catenin, led to gastrulation defects indistinguishable from the phenotype when the former was injected alone. These experiments emphasize that it is difficult to observe formation of double axes if embryos die at gastrulation.

We next examined effects of coinjection of the full-length αN-catenin chimera (GFPαNcat) on Xwnt-8–activated signaling. 0.125 ng of Xwnt-8 mRNA was coinjected with increasing amounts of either GFP or GFPαNcat mRNA into a single ventral blastomere of four-cell embryos. When increasing amounts of GFP mRNA were coinjected, no inhibition of Xwnt-8–induced dorsalization was observed. At these concentrations, the embryos were severely dorsalized, radially symmetric with enlarged cement glands, and completely lacking in all trunk features (Fig. 10 C). In contrast, coinjection of increasing amounts of GFPαNcat mRNA significantly decreased the degree of Xwnt-8–induced dorsalization. Embryos developed normal head features, and in most cases simply had shortened, bent axes and reduced trunks (Fig. 10 D). Ventral blastomeres coinjected with 0.125 ng of GFP mRNA and high levels of GFPαNcat mRNA developed normally (Fig. 10 B). These data are summarized in Table III, using the Dorsoanterior index (DAI) developed by Kao and Elinson (1988). GFP mRNA did not develop normally. Embryos injected with the full-length αN-catenin mRNA were less dorsalized than embryos coexpressing GFP and αN-catenin. When 3 ng of GFPαNcat mRNA was coinjected with 0.125 ng Xwnt-8, the average DAI was reduced to 6.3.

Similar effects of GFPαNcat were observed on β-catenin–induced axis duplication. When 0.02 ng of β-catenin mRNA was coinjected with 3 ng of GFP mRNA, the duplicated axes and two heads are clearly visible (Fig. 10 E). Coinjecting 3 ng of GFPαNcat mRNA fully rescued these embryos, and they were indistinguishable from normal uninjected embryos (Fig. 10 F). Data in Table III illustrate that this effect was reproducible and that GFPαNcat consistently rescued the axis-duplicating effects of β-catenin. Thus, these data show that α-catenin can influence the β-catenin–stimulated Wnt-signaling pathway, presumably by binding and withholding free β-catenin from the signaling pool.

**α-Catenin Expression in Dorsal Blastomeres Results in Ventralized Embryos**

To test whether α-catenin can affect endogenous β-catenin signaling, GFPαNcat mRNA was injected into both dorsal blastomeres of four-cell embryos. Fig. 11 shows that embryos injected into dorsal blastomeres with mRNA encoding GFPαNcatCterm, which does not bind to β-catenin, developed normally. Embryos injected with the full-length αN-catenin construct often developed the ventral phenotype displayed here. Variability did occur, with some embryos more ventralized than others. But overall, the appearance of ventralized embryos suggests that α-catenin could play a regulatory role in endogenous β-catenin signaling, and in the establishment of a Nieuwkoop center.

![Figure 10](image-url) **Figure 10.** Coexpression of α-catenin diminishes the dorsalizing effects of Xwnt-8 and β-catenin. (A) Noninjected normal tadpole. (B) Embryos expressing GFP + GFPαNcat. (C) Embryos expressing Xwnt-8 + GFP. (D) Embryos expressing Xwnt-8 + GFPαNcat. (E) β-catenin + GFPαNcatCterm. (F) β-catenin + GFPαNcat. Embryos coexpressing α-catenin with Xwnt-8 develop longer trunks and are less dorsalized than embryos coexpressing GFP. Embryos ventrally expressing GFPαNcat + GFP develop normally (B). GFPαNcat antagonizes the induction of a secondary dorsoanterior axis by β-catenin (F). In B–D, embryos were coinjected with 0.125 ng of the first mRNA and 3 ng of the second. In E and F, 0.2 ng of β-catenin mRNA was coinjected with 3.0 ng of the αN-catenin constructs. One ventral blastomere was injected in each four-cell embryo. 1-mm glass beads hold the embryos upright.

**Siamois Expression Decreases with Increasing Levels of GFPαNcat in Xwnt-8–injected Animal Caps**

Expression of the homeobox gene Siamois in the Nieuwkoop center of early Xenopus embryos is dependent on β-catenin signaling (Fagotto et al., 1997). It is a target of the Wnt-signaling pathway, and its overexpression in ventral blastomeres also leads to dorsalized embryos with duplicated axes (Carnac et al., 1996; Lemaire et al., 1995; Brannon and Kimelman, 1996; Fagotto et al., 1997). We have used Siamois as a marker in RNAse protection assays to determine whether the phenotypes observed by coinjecting GFPαNcat mRNA with Xwnt-8 mRNA could be extended to the molecular level. When Xwnt-8 or β-catenin mRNAs were injected into the animal hemispheres of two-cell embryos, Siamois was ectopically induced in animal caps of stage 9–10 embryos (Carnac et al., 1996; Fagotto et al., 1997). Results of RNAse protection assays presented in Fig. 12 show that the full-length αN-catenin
structures when compared to the axes duplications seen in embryos injected with Xwnt-8. In addition, when duplicate axes were seen in embryos injected with GFP mRNA, inhibition of Siamois expression significantly (Fig. 12A, lanes 7–8, taken from a separate experiment). Whole embryos (but not animal cap explants) of noninjected embryos expressed endogenous levels of Siamois (Fig. 12A, lane 1). The levels of EF1-α remained constant when compared to the corresponding decreases in Siamois expression.

When high levels of GFPαNcat mRNA (3.0 ng) were injected into the two dorsal blastomeres of four-cell embryos (which causes the ventralized phenotype shown in Fig. 11), endogenous levels of Siamois RNA taken from whole embryos decreased when compared to noninjected embryos or embryos injected with GFPαNcatCterm (Fig. 12B). Together, these results confirm that α-catenin can inhibit the Wnt-signaling pathway and provide evidence that regulation of α-catenin levels in the developing embryo may be crucial for normal morphogenesis.

### Discussion

The cadherin cell adhesion system has been widely studied in the early development of Xenopus, but the role in this process of α-catenin, a protein that forms a link between cadherins and the cytoskeleton, has only recently begun to be examined. In the present study, we dissect the regions of α-catenin that are necessary for its proper function and find that certain molecular mutants can cause severe developmental defects. We also find that α-catenin can influence a signaling pathway in which there was no previous evidence for its participation. Our data point to a model where α-catenin is primarily used as a linker protein between the actin-based cytoskeleton and the cadherin-based intercellular adhesion system. The NH2 terminus of α-catenin is required for binding to β-catenin, and the COOH terminus is most likely essential for binding to cytoskeletal components. Since β-catenin is also known to be a linker protein in the same adhesion system but also has an important function in the Wnt-signaling pathway, our results further suggest that sequestration of β-catenin by α-catenin removes β-catenin from the Wnt-accessible signaling pool. By this means, α-catenin could modulate dorsoanterior axis induction.

We have found, using deletion constructs, that the NH2-

---

**Figure 11.** Full-length α-catenin can antagonize the endogenous induction of a dorsoanterior axis when expressed in dorsal blastomeres. 3.0 ng of either GFPαNcatCterm, which does not bind to β-catenin, or GFPαNcat, the full-length construct, were injected into both dorsal blastomeres of four-cell embryos. Embryos expressing GFPαNcat often develop the ventralized phenotypes illustrated here (B and D), with no anterior head structures present. Embryos expressing high levels of GFPαNcatCterm develop normally (A and C). Two stages are shown.
terminal 210 amino acid residues of αN-catenin are essential for the binding and sequestration of β-catenin. This identification extends previous data analyses assayed in a yeast two-hybrid system that illustrated that the NH2-terminal 606 amino acids are essential for this interaction (Jou et al., 1995).

Less is known regarding the functional interactions of the COOH-terminal domain of α-catenin, except that it is necessary for the proper function of the protein. Cosedimentation assays have indicated that the COOH-terminal 447 amino acids of α-catenin interact with F-actin (Rimm et al., 1995). α-catenin also appears to interact with α-actinin, although the precise domain needed for this interaction has not been determined (Knudsen et al., 1995). Consistent with its postulated function as a mediator of linkage to the cytoskeleton, a chimera of the E-cadherin extracellular and transmembrane domains fused to the α-catenin COOH-terminal domain has been shown to promote efficient cadherin-dependent cell aggregation, bypassing the normal requirements for the cytoplasmic domain of the cadherin and β-catenin (Nagafuchi et al., 1994). Moreover, embryos homozgous for a gene-trap mutation, where the LacZ reporter gene replaced the COOH-terminal third of α-catenin, have been shown to be deficient in the formation of the trophoblast epithelium that did not develop past the blastocyst stage (Torres et al., 1997). The COOH-terminal deletion in αN-catenin used in the present paper begins at amino acid residue 675, which is close to the truncation at position 632 of the gene trap mutant (Torres et al., 1997). The murine embryos homozygous for the α-catenin-βgal fusion protein did not develop a blastocoelic cavity; cultured blastocysts were shown to have altered cell adhesion, but heterozygous animals developed normally (Torres et al., 1997). Our data show that overexpressing the two α-catenin mutants lacking the COOH terminus disrupts function in a similar fashion, severely reducing cell adhesion with no blastocoel forming in embryos. In addition, our results illustrate that this type of mutation, when overexpressed, can disrupt endogenous α-catenin function. The data of Torres et al. (1997) suggest that at a 1:1 ratio, as would be found in animals heterozygous for the gene-trap fusion protein, the mutant protein is not at a high enough concentration to disrupt endogenous α-catenin. We have not been able to calculate the levels of mutant dominant-negative αN-catenin relative to endogenous Xenopus embryonic α-catenin, but it is probable that the levels of protein translated from synthetic mRNA injected into the embryos exceed endogenous levels. The fact that these defects can be rescued by full-length αN-catenin (GFPαNcat) indicates the relative amounts of normal and mutant proteins determine whether there is a phenotype.

In accordance with our model, GFPαNcatCterm, since it

---

**Figure 12.** (A) α-Catenin inhibits the induction of the homeobox-containing gene, Siamois, by full-length β-catenin or Xwnt-8 in animal caps. One cell of two-cell embryos was injected in the animal hemisphere, and animal cap explants were taken at stage 9 and allowed to develop to stage 10.5, when total RNA was prepared. In lanes 2–6, coinjections were with 0.125 ng of the first mRNA and 3.0 ng of the second. Lane 1, un.injected whole embryos; lane 2, full-length β-catenin + GFP; lane 3, full-length β-catenin + αNcatNtermGFP; lane 4, full-length β-catenin + GFPαNcat; lane 5, GFP + αNcatNtermGFP; lane 6, GFP + GFPαNcat; lane 7, 0.125 ng Xwnt-8 + 3.0 ng GFP-αNcatCterm; lane 8, 0.125 ng Xwnt-8 + 3.0 ng GFPαNcat; lane 9, 0.125 ng Xwnt-8 + 1.5 ng GFP; lane 10, 0.125 ng Xwnt-8 + 0.75 ng GFP; lane 11, 0.125 ng Xwnt-8 + 0.75 ng GFPαNcat; lane 12, 0.125 ng Xwnt-8 + 1.5 ng GFPαNcat; lane 13, 0.125 ng Xwnt-8 + 3.0 ng GFPαNcat; lane 14, 0.125 ng GFP + 3.0 ng GFPαNcat. The ubiquitously expressed elongation factor 1 (EF1α) was included as a loading control. RNase protection assays show that either full-length αN-catenin (GFPαNcat) or the dominant-negative αN-catenin (αNcatNtermGFP) decrease the levels of Siamois mRNA induced in animal caps by β-catenin or Xwnt-8. Moreover, increasing concentrations of GFPαNcat result in proportional decreases in Siamois induction by Xwnt-8. (B) α-Catenin inhibits the endogenous expression of Siamois when injected into dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage. Both dorsal blastomeres were injected with mRNA, and embryos were extracted for total RNA at stage 10.5. Lane 1, 1.5 ng GFPαNcat; lane 2, 3.0 ng GFPαNcat; lane 3, 3.0 ng GFPαNcatCterm; lane 4, uninjecte embryos. Embryos injected with GFPαNcat mRNA (which develop into ventralized embryos) express lower levels of Siamois than normal embryos or embryos injected with GFPαNcatCterm (which develop normally).
does not bind β-catenin (or presumably plakoglobin), does not cause a visible phenotype. Nor does the full-length αN-catenin construct (GFPαNcat) induce an adhesion phenotype since it, according to the model, can substitute and fully function for endogenous α-catenin because of its retention of both functional β-catenin and cytoskeletal binding domains. The fact that the expression of GFPαNcatNterm results in an identical phenotype to that induced by αNcatNtermGFP demonstrates that it is the deletion of the COOH-terminal domain, and not the addition of GFP, that causes these defects. These results suggest that associations with β-catenin (and plakoglobin) are limiting in linking cadherins to the cytoskeleton. The failure of overexpression of GFPαNcatTerm to cause a detectable phenotype suggests that sites for cytoskeletal association are not limiting.

The mechanism by which the β-catenin mutant ΔArm rescues the phenotype caused by the dominant-negative α-catenin constructs is not entirely clear. It has previously been shown that this construct, which lacks internal armadillo repeats, does not bind cadherins or APC (Funayama et al., 1995; Kypta et al., 1996). Previous results of others indicate that it almost certainly does not bind to HMG transcription factor family members or to the cytoskeletal protein fascin (Behrens et al., 1996; Tao et al., 1996). Expression of this protein in early Xenopus embryos caused no detectable phenotype, and this protein was found in the soluble pool, not associated with membrane-bound glycoproteins (Funayama et al., 1995). ΔArm has previously been shown to bind to α-catenin and to a LAR-family phosphatase (Kypta et al., 1996). In our experiments, ΔArm was shown to bind to the COOH-terminal deletion mutants GFPαNcatNterm and αNcatNtermGFP and reduce their associations through endogenous β-catenin with the membrane-bound cadherin complex (Fig. 7). Questions arise, however, about why ΔArm does not inhibit the association between endogenous α-catenin and the cadherin complex at the membrane and cause an adhesion phenotype on its own. One possible explanation is that endogenous β-catenin levels are limiting in establishing cadherin-based adhesion, but α-catenin is present in excess. As a result, overexpression of a dominant-negative mutant of α-catenin has stronger effects on endogenous β-catenin functions than the overexpression of the β-catenin mutant ΔArm on α-catenin functions.

It is not surprising that α-catenin dominant-negative mutants would cause such a striking phenotype in the early Xenopus embryo. Cadherin-based adhesion is known to be the primary adhesion system in early Xenopus, and several different cadherins are expressed (Huber et al., 1996a). Since α-catenin appears to be a common linker between each of the different cadherins and the cytoskeleton, it is not surprising that disruptions in α-catenin have more severe phenotypes than disruptions in individual cadherins. The phenotype caused by overexpression of the cytoplasmic domain of N-cadherin (Kintner, 1992) exhibited many similarities to the phenotypes resulting from overexpression of the αN-catenin constructs in the present paper. In each instance, the primary defects occurred in gastrulation and resulted in lesions in the ectoderm layer caused by apparent deficiencies in cell adhesion. The embryos expressing the dominant-negative α-catenin constructs, however, seem to be more severely affected and have never devel-
ano et al., 1992; Torres et al., 1997). However the effects of α-catenin on the Wnt-signaling pathway were unexpected. We have shown that α-catenin, when overexpressed in conjunction with Xwnt-8 or β-catenin, diminishes the degree of dorsalization induced by these signaling molecules. This was seen both phenotypically in embryos and at the molecular level in assays of mRNA encoding the homeobox-containing gene \textit{Siamois}. In previous studies, overexpression of C-cadherin was observed to inhibit β-catenin–mediated signaling and was proposed to act by sequestering it to the cadherin complex at the plasma membrane (Fagotto et al., 1996, 1997). Since α-catenin inhibits β-catenin signaling, β-catenin, when bound to α-catenin, appears to be locked into a nonsignaling complex. This complex probably does not travel to the nucleus, and a mechanism for the release of β-catenin from the complex must be crucial for proper signaling. It is known that α-catenin forms soluble cytoskeletal complexes with β-catenin and APC (Hinck et al., 1994a; Hülksken et al., 1994; Rubinfeld et al., 1993; Su et al., 1993). APC itself can induce an ectopic dorsalant-rior axis in \textit{Xenopus}, and C-cadherin inhibits this effect, implying that sequestration of β-catenin to the cadherin complex removes it from its association with cytosolic APC (Vleminckx et al., 1997). It would be interesting to determine whether α-catenin has the same effect as C-cadherin on APC signaling. Wnt-1 signaling stabilizes free β-catenin–APC cytosolic complexes (Papkoff et al., 1996) and does not affect levels of α-catenin in cell lines (Hinck et al., 1994b), but the exact role of α-catenin in the Wnt-signal- ing pathway remains unclear. Since APC and the HMG transcription factor Lef-1 both bind to the armadillo repeats of β-catenin (Hülksken et al., 1994; Behrens et al., 1996), β-catenin must dissociate from APC and subsequently bind to Lef-1 to allow translocation to the nucleus and transcriptional activation. It is possible that α-catenin could abrogate monomeric β-catenin’s association with Lef-1 or alternatively stabilize β-catenin’s association in the APC complex. Adding another dimension is the speculation that v-src–mediated tyrosine phosphorylation of either β-catenin or an unknown cytoskeletal protein may regulate the interac-tions between α-catenin and β-catenin and thus cell adhesion (Papkoff, 1997). Whether the modulation of Wnt signaling by α-catenin is biologically relevant remains to be answered. It has been shown that an excess of free α-catenin is present in the early \textit{Xenopus} embryo (Schneider et al., 1993), and this may play a role in regulating β-catenin levels. The conditions of dorsalization and its regulation that we created in the present study are artificial, but it seems possible that fluctuating levels or posttransla-tional modifications of α-catenin play a regulatory role in β-catenin–mediated signaling.

Recent results have suggested that β-catenin is itself an oncprotein that can lead to the formation of colon can-cers and melanomas (Korinek et al., 1997; Morin et al., 1997; Rubinfeld et al., 1997). The overexpression of full-length α-catenin seems to have no consequence on mor-phogenesis, but it can influence β-catenin signaling. It is thus possible that α-catenin could modulate β-catenin’s oncogenic properties, and this may have important future therapeutic implications. Further research will determine how α-catenin removes β-catenin from the signaling pool and will identify the regulatory mechanisms mediating de-
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