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Abstract
Tempe and tofu are the main product of soybean commonly consumed as a daily food for the people of Indonesia. So far, soybean is well known to be a source of protein. Indeed, soybean contains secondary metabolites resulting in antioxidant activities. Antioxidants would nullify the negative effect of reactive oxygen and, as a result, could improve and maintain human health. Improvement of awareness of Indonesian people that soybean is good for human health promotion and maintenance could be a trigger to enlarge the soybean production area and increase national soybean production. The present study was undertaken to evaluate the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of some improved soybean varieties. The observation was done in three replicates, and the standard deviation was made. The results of the present study showed that total phenolic content and antioxidant activity, as measured by ABTS and DPPH, varied among the soybean seeds tested. The variability of total phenolic content and antioxidant activity was not caused by different seed sizes but by the different genetic background encoding for other phenotypes than the seed size. In general, antioxidant activity is positively correlated to total phenolic content. Demas and Tanggamus showed significantly higher antioxidant activity compared to the rest, including imported soybean. Therefore, Demas and Tanggamus could be used as better food sources for human health.

KEYWORDS:
Antioxidant Activity, Soybean, Tempe, Tofu, Total Phenolic Content
**1 | INTRODUCTION**

Soybean is one of the critical food and protein sources in Indonesia. As a food source, soybean is processed as fermented products, i.e., tempe and tofu, and also are used as a daily main dish. Due to a significant decrease in national soybean production, Indonesia lacks soybean supply yearly due to unfavorable prices. Therefore, Indonesia always imports soybean every year to meet demand. For example, Indonesia imported 2.26 million tons of soybean in 2015, which is equivalent to US$ 1.03 billion. Under undesirable conditions (detrimental) for growing soybean, farmers shift to other crops, such as corn and horticultural ones. An increase in soybean prices to meet the benefit of the farmers and consumers would drive the farmers’ motivation to grow soybean in a larger area. In such circumstances, it is expected to increase national soybean production.

The support from the government is a possible political solution to improve the soybean price. In Japan, the government subsidizes soybean prices to farmers as much as 11.310 yen/60 kg soybean. This policy also seems to possibly increase the capacity of domestic soybean through awareness and a better understanding of the Indonesian people. They should be aware that soybean seeds generated from conventional breeding are safe to be consumed. Soybean is believed to confer health maintenance and promotion due to high protein content and secondary compounds playing an antioxidant [1–5]. These reasons should be disseminated to the people to acquire a special appreciation and hopefully could trigger the people to purchase soybean seeds (obtained from conventional breeding) at a higher price. It makes benefits for farmers.

The Indonesian Ministry of Agriculture, through the Agency of Agricultural Research and Development, has released more than 80 improved soybean varieties from 1918 to 2016[6]. In addition to high yielding, these varieties confirm resistance to some critical diseases and insects and abiotic stresses (drought and acidity). Ironically, these characters have not attracted farmers to grow soybean to a larger area. As a result, National soybean production drastically decreased, and the Indonesian Government has to import soybean to meet a domestic demand on soybean annually. As aforementioned above, if the people of Indonesia, especially those who consume soybean in their daily food, demand for soybean generated from the conventional breeding will increase, consequently. As a result, the price of such soybean will eventually be better. The present study was carried out to evaluate the degree of antioxidant activities of improved soybean varieties generated through conventional breeding.

**2 | MATERIAL AND METHOD**

**2.1 | Material**

Seeds of improved soybeans, as shown in Table 1 in the present work, were obtained from the Germplasm Division of Indonesian Legume and Tuber crops Research Institute, The Ministry of Agriculture, The Republic of Indonesia. The improved soybean varieties were classified with different grain sizes, as presented in Figure 1 in big, medium, and small grain sizes. Each of the enhanced soybeans was crushed into powder before further use for phenolic and antioxidant evaluation. The research was carried out at the Laboratory of Natural Products and Synthetic Chemistry, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember Surabaya.

**FIGURE 1** The improved soybean varieties (a) Dega 1 (big), (b) Deja 1 (medium), and (c) Gepak Kuning (small).
TABLE 1 List of improved soybean seeds.

| #  | Varieties     | Breeding History                      | Seed Coat Color | Seed Sizes* |
|----|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1  | Anjasmoro    | Mass selection of Manchuria           | Yellow          | Big         |
| 2  | Argomulyo    | Introduction from Thailand            | Yellow          | Big         |
| 3  | Burangrang   | Selected from farmers’ field in Jember| Yellow          | Big         |
| 4  | Grobogan     | Selected from Gerobogan              | Yellow          | Big         |
| 5  | Dega 1       | Gerobogan x Malabar                   | Yellow          | Big         |
| 6  | Deja 2       | Sibayak x Grobogan                   | Yellow          | Big         |
| 7  | Dena 1       | Argomulyo x IAC 100                  | Yellow          | Big         |
| 8  | Detap 1      | G 511 H x Anjasmoro                  | Yellow          | Big         |
| 9  | Devon 1      | Kawi x IAC 100                       | Yellow          | Big         |
| 10 | Panderman    | Introduksi dari Taiwan                | Yellow          | Big         |
| 11 | Demas        | Mansuria x SJ                         | Yellow          | Medium      |
| 12 | Dering 1     | Davros x MLG 2984                    | Yellow          | Medium      |
| 13 | Deja 1       | Tanggamus x Anjasmoro                | Yellow          | Medium      |
| 14 | Dena 2       | IAC 100 x Ijen                        | Yellow          | Medium      |
| 15 | Tanggamus    | Kelinci x No. 3911                   | Yellow          | Medium      |
| 16 | Wilis        | Orba x No. 1682                      | Yellow          | Medium      |
| 17 | Gamasugen    | Mutant of soybean var. Tidar          | Yellow          | Medium      |
| 18 | Kaba         | Crossing among 16 parental soybean    | Yellow          | Medium      |
| 19 | Gepak Hijau  | Selected from a local variety         | Green yellowish | Small       |
| 20 | Gepak Kuning | Selected from a local variety         | Green yellowish | Small       |
| 21 | Imported (USA)| purchased the tempe cooperative in Malang | Yellow          | Big         |

*Big: > 14 g/100 seeds, Medium: 10-14 g/100 seeds and Small: 10 g/100 seeds

2.2 Determination of Total Phenolic Content

Each soybean powder was dissolved into methanol at a final concentration of 1 mg/mL and was considered as a sample. Sixty-six microliter of each sample and 500 µL reagent of 10% Folin was mixed thoroughly and was incubated in the darkroom for 5 minutes. Afterward, 500 µL of 6% Na₂CO₃ was added into the mixture and was stirred thoroughly and was further incubated in the darkroom for 90 minutes. Finally, each sample was determined by a spectrophotometer at λ equal to 750 nm. Each sample was measured at three replicates, and the reading was presented as mg gallic acid equivalent eq (GAE)/g sample.

2.3 Determination of Antioxidant Activity by ABTS

Free radical solution of ABTS (2,2'-and-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) was prepared by mixing 5 mL of 7 mM ABTS and 88 µL of 140 mM K₂S₂O₈. This free radical solution was incubated in the darkroom at room temperature for 16 hours. Afterward, 300 ml ethanol was added into the free revolutionary solution to reach absorbance of 0.7 ± 0.02 units at λ 734 nm. Furthermore, the sample solution (As) was measured by a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at λ equal to 734 nm. The sample solution is ten µL of the sample (10 mg/mL sample in DMSO) and 1 mL of ABTS solution incubated for four minutes. Each sample was measured at triplicates. Trolox was used as a positive control. DMSO was used as a negative control or blank (Ab). The reading was done in three replicates for each sample, and the standard deviation was calculated based on the three replicates. The inhibitory activity was measured by formula (1).

\[ \text{Antioxidant activity(%) = } \frac{A_b - A_s}{A_b} \times 100 \]  

(1)

2.4 Determination of Antioxidant Activity by DPPH

Antioxidant activity was measured by employing the method of Brand Williams et al. after a slight modification by Dudonne et al. The methanolic extracts of soybean seeds were dissolved in methanol (analytical grade) to maximum concentration. A DPPH solution (2,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl hydrate) was dissolved in methanol to a final concentration of 6x10⁻⁵ M. Extract of each sample (33.3 µL) was poured into a test tube containing 1 ml DPPH, mixed thoroughly and the mixture was named as 'As.' The negative control consists of 33.3 µL methanol thoroughly mixed with 1 ml DPPH solution. This mixture solution was designated as Ab. Gallic acid was used as a positive control. The mixture of all samples (As) and the mixture of the negative control (Ab) were incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes. Right after the incubation, the absorbance of the combination of each sample
FIGURE 2 The total phenolic content of improved soybean seeds varieties.

(As) and the mixture of (Ab) was read with a spectrophotometer at 515 nm. The reading was done in three replicates for each example, and the standard deviation was calculated based on it. The degree of antioxidant activity was calculated as formula (1).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Total Phenolic Content

As depicted in Figure 2, the total phenolic content varied significantly among the improved soybean varieties tested. The soybean varieties used in the present study have different genetic background (see Table 1). Thus, variability in the ancestral knowledge leads to various figures in the total phenolic compound. Two improved conventional breeding, i.e., Demas and Detap 1, showed the highest total phenolic content, comparable to the value of 10.81 and 10.32 mgGAE/g, respectively, as an imported soybean. In contrast, Dena 1, Wilis, and Gamasugen have the lowest phenolic compound, and these varieties are classified as medium seed size soybean. Detap and imported soybean have similar seed size (classified as big seed), while, Demas is classified as a medium seed size variety. The results of the present the study revealed an inconsistent relationship between the seed size and total phenolic content, meaning that big or smaller seed is not always followed with higher or lower total phenolic content. Therefore, seed size is not a determinant for the variability of total phenolic compounds in soybean seed. In addition, the seed coat all soybean tested showed yellow extract and resulted in various total phenolic compounds (Figure 2). This present study also suggests that each soybean seed has a difference in total phenolic. The result of the present study follows the results reported by previous researchers [11-13]. They also reported that in addition to the genetic background, growing location also caused the variability of total phenolic compounds. The soybean seeds used in the present study were provided by the Division of germplasm and could obtain from the harvest of different sites and seasons.
3.2 Antioxidant Activity by ABTS Method

Figure 3 presented a variability of antioxidant activity among the soybean seeds tested as measured by the ABTS method. The variability of antioxidant activity was observed within the big size, within the medium size and small size soybean seeds, suggesting that the genes encoding for the phenotype of seed sizes are not all good character in determining variability of antioxidant activity. Within the medium size seed, Demas showed the highest antioxidant activity with antioxidant activity of 62.26%, but its antioxidant activity is not significantly different from Tanggamus soybean with inhibitory activity of 51.15%. Dena 2 and Demas, classified as a medium-size seed, possessed comparably high antioxidant activity compared to Detap 1, Anjasmoro, and imported soybean, belonging to the big seed size. Interestingly, Gepak Hijau (the small size seed group) revealed the minor antioxidant activity and significantly lower from the rest of the soybean seed tested. However, the antioxidant activity of Gepak Kuning (the small size seed group) similar to that of Argomulyo, Dega 1 and Devon 1 (the big size seed group), Dering 1 (the medium size seed group). Thus, the relationship between seed size and antioxidant activity leads to an assumption that the genes encoding for other phenotypes contribute to the variability of antioxidant activity measured ABTS as well as DPPH. The previous findings revealed that different genetic backgrounds within plant species caused a variability of antioxidants measured with ABTS [14, 15].

3.3 Antioxidant Activity by DPPH Method

Antioxidant activities of soybean seeds measured with the DPPH method varied among soybean varieties tested (Figure 4). Interestingly, some improved varieties, such as Detap 1, Anjasmoro, and Dena 2, showed significantly higher antioxidant activity with inhibitory activity of 29.66%, 29.13%, and 28.35%, respectively compared to imported soybean with inhibitory activity...
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FIGURE 4 Antioxidant activity of improved soybean seeds varieties against DPPH at a concentration of 619 µg/mL.

TABLE 2 Correlation analysis between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity.

| Antioxidant by ABTS | Antioxidant by DPPH |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total phenol        | \( r = 0.348254 \) (ns) | \( r = 0.730674 \) (***) |

Note: Weakly correlated, Highly correlated

of 27.75%. The rest of our improved soybean seeds revealed a comparable antioxidant activity to imported soybean seed. The present research result indicates the variability of antioxidant activity among the soybean seeds of different genetic backgrounds. Variability of antioxidant activity measured with the DPPH method due to the genetic variability of soybean was also reported elsewhere [13, 16]. Imported soybean seed is well known to be genetically modified varieties. The results of the present study suggest that our improved soybean varieties generated through conventional breeding, Demas, and Tanggamus, were found to be a better source of food due to the relatively higher antioxidant activity.

3.4 Correlation Between Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Activity

Correlation value (r) between total phenolic compound and antioxidant activity measured by the ABTS method was found to be moderately correlated (\( r = 0.348254 \)) and is not significant at 5% (Table 2). On the other hand, the total phenolic compound of soybean seed was highly correlated with antioxidant activity measured with the DPPH method. The correlation was found to be significant at 1%. This correlation analysis suggests that high phenol content of soybean seed is followed with high antioxidant activity when measured with the DPPH method. The present study is supporting the research results reported by several researchers who found a positive correlation between total phenol and antioxidant activities in soybean and other plant species [12, 14, 16–22]. Therefore, it could be suggested that total phenol is a good initial indicator of antioxidant activities.
4 | CONCLUSION

Total phenolic content and antioxidant activity, as measured by ABTS and DPPH, varied among the soybean seeds tested. The variability of total phenolic content and antioxidant activity was not caused by different seed size but by the different genetic background encoding for phenotype other than the seed size. In general, antioxidant activity is positively correlated to total phenolic content. Demas and Tanggamus showed significantly higher antioxidant activity compared to the rest, including imported soybean. Therefore, Demas and Tanggamus could be suggested as better food sources for human health.
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