A Computer program in ‘Visual Basic’ language was developed for fenugreek to rapidly determine the drying time and drying rate for a particular temperature and moisture content to minimize the operational problems. The drying was carried out in a tray dryer (Kilburn make Laboratory tray dryer) with heated air at a temperature of 50, 60, 70 °C and its combination. The time to reach equilibrium moisture content decreased with increase in drying air temperature (50°- 70°C). The logarithmic model showed the best fit to the data with high values of coefficient of determination $R^2$ (0.994–0.998) and low $\chi^2$, MBE and RMSE values. Results showed, a maximum value of 4.49, 150.4 mg/100g and 1360 mg GAE/100g at 70 °C for rehydration ratio, ascorbic acid, and polyphenols content respectively. However, optimum tray drying at 61 °C with 0.8 g/cm$^3$ loading density shows maximum retention of the same with minimum change in color and shrinkage ratio.
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**Introduction**
Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenumgraecum* L.) is the member of Leguminosae family. It is widely cultivated in warm temperate and tropical regions in the Mediterranean, Europe, and Asia. The major seed producing countries are India, Ethiopia, Egypt, and Turkey. In India, the seeds are used in curries, dyes, and medicines and young seedlings are often eaten as a vegetable. In Europe and North America, the seed is used for its pharmaceutical qualities, as a spice, as an imitation maple, vanilla, rum or butterscotch flavoring, and in health food. Fenugreek leaves are rich in vitamin C, protein and minerals. It has some medicinal values. Primary among them includes its ability to lower sugar levels in the blood of diabetics. Other includes its digestive properties and usages as emollient. India is the largest producer and exporter of fenugreek in the world with 113 MMT production in 93000 ha area. Over 90% of the Indian production is concentrated in Rajasthan and Gujarat of which around 33% – 34% is exported. (Anon 2013)

Fenugreek is highly perishable in nature having a very short shelf life. During the peak period, most of the crop is lost/wasted due to
lack of post-harvest techniques. Different food processing methods are used with a major goal to convert perishable commodities into stable products that can be stored for extended periods, thereby reducing losses and making them available at the time of shortage and off-season use and for places which are far away from the production site. Organized and unorganized Indian processing industries presently consume only 4 percent of the total production in the country as compared to about 30–67 % in developed European countries (Rana and Pandey, 2007). Drying is one of the most common food preservation techniques.

The quality of dehydrated food product is influenced by drying conditions such as temperature, airflow and relative humidity (Gorinicki and Kaleta, 2007). Negi and Roy (2001) reported that maximum loss of β-carotene and ascorbic acid were observed in solar drying as compared to cabinet drying. The loss of chlorophyll was also higher in solar drying, which causes an increase in the browning of dehydrated green leaves during storage. Naidu et al., 2012 investigated for efficient dehydration of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum) greens with different drying methods hot air (HA, 40°C, 58–63% RH), low humidity air (LHA, 40°C and 28–30% RH) and radiofrequency (RF, 40°C, 56–60% RH) were explored for efficient drying of fenugreek greens. The time required for drying with LHA and RF was less (27%), as compared to HA drying. LHA-dried fenugreek had superior green color and a more porous and uniform structure than those obtained from RF and HA drying. Dehydrated fenugreek greens showed good consumer acceptance as well as shelf life. Pande et al., (2000) carried out studies on drying of fenugreek and coriander leaves at different temperatures using forced circulation air dryer and found that dried samples were acceptable to the respondents.

Although there are several studies on dehydration of fenugreek greens was done. But the effect of different drying temperature on thin layer drying kinetics and optimized quality parameters have not been studied with a controlled computer program. Hence, the objective of this study was:-

To evaluate the effect of different drying temperature on drying kinetics and development of the automated program.

To evaluate the suitability of selected thin-layer drying models and effect diffusivity for drying.

Optimization of physicochemical characteristics (color, rehydration ratio, shrinkage ratio, ascorbic acid and polyphenols content).

Materials and Methods

The experiment to accomplish the desired objectives was performed in the laboratory of the Department of Processing and Food Engineering and Punjab Horticultural, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. Fenugreek was procured from local market. The fresh fenugreek leaves were visually sorted, trimmed, washed. The mash was then dried in a mechanical tray dryer at different temperatures; to evaluate the effect on the drying behavior. Vital physiochemical characteristics viz. moisture content, rehydration ratio, shrinkage ratio, color, ascorbic acid and polyphenols content of fresh and dried fenugreek were also estimated.

Processing and pretreatments

Fresh Fenugreek leaves were blanched in hot boiling water at 100°C for 1 min. Then blanched fenugreek leaves were dipped in cold water. Leaves were spread over drying trays and loaded into the tray dryer for drying.
Drying of fenugreek leaves

The experimental set-up for mechanical tray drying of fenugreek leaves consisted of Kilburn make Laboratory tray dryer with the maximum attainable temperature of 200°C. Dryer consists of the centrifugal blower which circulates air inside the dryer with an air velocity of 0.8 m/sec. The dimension of tray drier was 81.7 X 41 cm in which the blower is powered by 0.25 HP, three phase 440 V electric motor with a direct online starter. The convective dehydration was carried out at different temperatures (50, 60 and 70°C).

The dried product was cooled to the room temperature then kept in polythene. Drying tests were replicated three times at each inlet air temperature and an average is reported.

Drying analysis and evaluation of thin layer drying models

Drying curves were fitted with three thin – layer drying models Newton, Henderson and Pabis and the Logarithmic models were used to describe the drying kinetics of sample. These are listed in Table 1. Drying curves were fitted to the experimental data using these moisture ratio equations. MR is the moisture ratio defined as M/M0: M is the moisture content at time t and M0 is the initial moisture content, dry basis. Moisture ratio (MR) as M/M0 was used instead of (M-Me/M0-Me) as used by many authors (Diamante and Munro, 1993; Yaldiz et al., 2001; Pokharkar and Parsad, 2002).

\[
\text{M.R.} = \frac{M - Me}{Mo - Me}
\]

Where,

M, Me and Mo are moisture contents (db) at any time ‘t’, at equilibrium and at time t=0, respectively. a and c are drying coefficients and k is drying constants in these models.

Adequacy of fit of various empirical models

Modeling the drying behavior of fenugreek products requires the statistical methods of regression and correlation analysis. Linear and nonlinear regression models are important tools to find the relationship between different variables, especially for which no established empirical relationship exists. Regression analysis was conducted to fit the mathematical models by the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS version 11.5). The determination coefficient (R²) and plots of residuals were the primary criteria for selecting the best equation to define the drying curves. In addition to R², the goodness of fit was determined by various statistical parameters such as reduced chi-square (χ²), mean bias error (MBE) and root mean square error (RMSE) were defined by the equations 2.1 to 2.4 (Gomez and Gomez, 1983).

\[
R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_i - MR_{exp,i}) \sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_i - MR_{exp,i})}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_i - MR_{exp,i})^2\right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_i - MR_{exp,i})^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

\[
\chi^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{exp,i} - MR_{pre,i})^2}{N - n}
\]

\[
MBE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{pre,i} - MR_{exp,i})
\]

\[
RMSE = \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{pre,i} - MR_{exp,i})^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
Where, \( MR_{\exp, i} \) and \( MR_{\text{pre}, i} \) are experimental and predicted dimensionless moisture ratios, respectively, \( N \) is a number of observations and \( n \) is a number of constants.

The best model describing the drying characteristics of samples was chosen as the one with the highest coefficient of determination, the least mean relative error, reduced chi-square and RMSE (Sarsavadia et al., 1999; Madamba, 2003; Sacilik et al., 2006).

**Effective moisture diffusivity during drying**

Fick’s diffusion equation for objects with slab geometry is used for calculation of effective diffusivity. Fick’s diffusion equation is the only physical mechanism to transfer the water to the surface (Dadali et al., 2007; Dincer and Dost, 1995; Wang et al., 2007). When the plot of the logarithm of moisture ratio (\( \ln MR \)) versus drying time is linear, the moisture diffusivity assumes an independent function of moisture content. The equation is expressed as (Maskan et al., 2002)

\[
MR = \frac{M}{M_0} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{D_{\text{eff}} \pi^2 t}{4 L^2} \right]
\]

(6)

Where MR is the dimensionless moisture ratio, \( D_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective moisture diffusivity in \( \text{m}^2/\text{s} \), \( t \) is the time taken during drying in seconds and \( L \) is half of the slab thickness in meters. It is further simplified to straight line equation

\[
\ln (MR) = \ln \left( \frac{8}{\pi^2} \right) - \left( \frac{D_{\text{eff}} \pi^2}{4 L^2} \right) t
\]

(7)

The effective moisture diffusivity was calculated using the method of slopes. Linear regression analysis was employed to obtain values of diffusion coefficients for different drying conditions from the slope of the straight lines obtained.

**Physico-chemical analysis**

**Analysis of color change**

The color of the fresh fenugreek and dried powder was determined by using Hunter Lab Miniscan XE Plus Colorimeter. The calibrated colorimeter is used for measuring color value (\( L^*, a^* \) and \( b^* \)) at different points. \( L^* \) represents the lightness index, \( a^* \) represent red green and \( b^* \) represent yellow-blue color component. The average of each sample was calculated.

\[
\text{Colour change } \Delta E = \sqrt{(\Delta L^2 + \Delta a^2 + \Delta b^2)}
\]

(8)

Where \( \Delta L \), \( \Delta a \) and \( \Delta b \) are deviations from \( 'L', 'a' \) and \( 'b' \) values of fresh sample.

\( \Delta L = L_{\text{dried sample}} - L_{\text{fresh sample}} \); \( \Delta a = a_{\text{dried sample}} - a_{\text{fresh sample}} \); \( \Delta b = b_{\text{dried sample}} - b_{\text{fresh samples}} \).

**Shrinkage ratio**

The shrinkage ratio of dried sample was measured by using toluene displacement method. Shrinkage ratio was calculated as the percentage change from the initial apparent volume (Mohsenin, 1986).

\[
\text{Shrinkage ratio} = \frac{V_r}{V_0}
\]

(9)

Where, \( V_r = \text{Volume displaced by rehydrated sample, ml} \) and \( V_0 = \text{Volume displaced by fresh sample, ml} \)

**Rehydration ratio**

The rehydration test is significant when the dried sample needs to be reconstituted before consumption. It is expected that the dried
product on reconstitution is close to the fresh material in terms of color and flavor. Reconstitution quality was evaluated by soaking known weight (5-10 g) of each sample in sufficient volume of water in a glass beaker (approximately 30 times the weight of sample) at 95°C for 20 minutes. After soaking, the excess water was removed with the help of filter paper and samples were weighed. The weighing of sample was done until they attain constant weight change nearly about 3 hours. In order to minimize the leaching losses, water bath was used for maintaining the set temperature (Ranganna, 1986). Rehydration ratio (RR) of the samples and was computed as follows:

\[
RR = \frac{W_r}{W_d}
\]

Where, \(W_r\) = Drained weight of rehydrated sample, g and \(W_d\) = Weight of dried sample used for rehydration, g

**Ascorbic acid**

The ascorbic acid content was analyzed by using AOAC (2006) method. Preparation of chemicals was done by mixing 40 ml of acetic acid and 15g of metaphosphoric acid were dissolved in 450 ml of distilled water for making MP-AA solution. For dye solution took 52 mg of 2, 6 dichlorophenol indophenols and 42 mg of sodium bicarbonate in 200 ml of dw and solution was filtered. For standardization, 20 mg of vitamin C was dissolved in 100 ml of MP-AA solution. Test was performed by taking 1g of crushed sample using MP-AA solution and then filter. 5ml extract was titrated against the dye. Volume of dye used to oxidize vitamin C in sample was noted.

\[
\text{Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g)} = \frac{\text{Titervalue} \times \text{DF} \times \text{vol.made}}{\text{wt.of.sample} \times \text{aliquat}} \times 100
\]

(11)

\(D.F = \text{Dye factor} = (0.5/\text{titer value})\)

**Estimation of polyphenols**

Total phenolic content was determined by Singleton et al., (1999) method. 1 gram sample was refluxed with 80% aqueous methanol for 3 hours at 40°C and residue was then further refluxed for 1 hour. After filtration of extracts, the final volume was made to 100ml with 80% aqueous methanol. For estimation of total phenol, 0.5 ml of this extract was mixed with 5 ml Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. After3 min, 4ml of saturated sodium carbonate solution was added. After standing for 30 min at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 765 nm. The values were reported as mg of Gallic acid equivalent (GAE) by reference to gallic acid standard curve. The results were expressed as milligrams of GAE per 100 ml.

\[
\text{Phenol conc. mg/100g} = \frac{\text{Phenol value from graph} \times \text{final vol.made} \times 100}{\text{wt.of sample} \times \text{aliquate}}
\]

(12)

**Overall acceptability**

Overall acceptability was evaluated as an average of colour, appearance, taste is expressed in percentage. The average scores of all the 10 panelists were computed for different characteristics.

**Computer based program**

For making of computer based program fenugreek leaves were dried at different air temperature (50, 60 and 70°C). After every 30 min change in weight was analyzed. From this data change in moisture content, drying time and drying rate was calculated. With help of excel sheets values were plotted on graphs. From effect of moisture content on drying time and drying rate at different temperature
program is developed in paradigm of information technology. Visual basic program a computer language is used for this purpose. The drying temperature and moisture content of crop was taken as data input option. The results of drying at different temperature and different moisture content were computed as drying time and drying rate output.

**Statistical analysis**

Drying kinetics, mathematical modeling was analysed with SPSS (version 11.5). An ANOVA study was performed using Design Expert (version 7.0) software for determining the effect of independent variable (temperature and loading density) on quality of the final product using significance level of 5%.

**Results and Discussion**

**Characteristics of fresh fenugreek leaves**

Fresh fenugreek samples properties were analysed before drying. The moisture content of fresh fenugreek was 89 (% wb) as shown in Table 2. Out of 5 kg of fenugreek samples – 2200 g of clean trimmed fenugreek leaves was obtained. 250 g of dried fenugreek was recovered.

**Drying behavior of fenugreek**

**Influence of drying temperature**

Results of moisture content and drying rate during drying time, obtained in experiment for thin layer drying of fenugreek leaves were carried out at 50°C, 60°C and 70°C have been reported in Figure 1 and 2. As it can be observed that a constant rate drying period was not found in drying curves. These all curves flow falling rate period and smooth diffusion controlled drying behaviour under all different drying temperatures. An increase in the temperature accelerated the drying process resulted in a decrease in the drying time. Drying time (540 min) required for 50°C drying temperature is much higher than other temperatures. At drying temperature 70°C the total drying time reduced up to 38.88%. There was significant difference in the drying time with different drying temperatures (p < 0.05) as shown in Table 3. The decrease in drying time increases the product quality. The results were generally in agreement with some literature studies on drying behaviour of various food products (Doymaz, 2006; Akpinar et al., 2003; Senadeera et al., 2003).

Change in drying rate means the kg of water removed from kg of bone dried weight. The changes in drying rates versus drying time are shown in Figure 2. The increased drying temperature causes an accelerated drying process. Drying process is controlled by internal diffusion of moisture within the product. It is apparent that drying rate increased with increase in drying temperature. With increase in drying time the drying rate decreased continuously due to decrease in moisture content which causes the decreased moisture migration and evaporation rate from the surface of the product. The highest values of drying rates were observed at 70°C at first 180 min. After 180 min drying, drying rate was lower than 50°C. With high moisture content and drying temperature increased the heat transfer potential between the air and fenugreek leaves. Gupta et al., (2011), Doymaz (2004) and Akpinar (2006) showed similar effect of air drying temperatures on drying rate.

**Evaluation of drying models**

In order to evaluate the performance of convective models, the values of statistical parameters for all the experiment runs were compared. These models coefficients and the results of statistical analyses are presented
given in Table 4. Newton, Henderson and Pabis and Logarithmic models were fitted. All models gave higher coefficient of determination ($R^2$) values in range 0.979 – 0.997. These values indicate that all models satisfactorily describe the drying of fenugreek leaves. The best model among these three with highest $R^2$ and lowest $\chi^2$, Mean Bias Error (MBE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) values were obtained in Logarithmic model. Maximum coefficient of determination was obtained at 70$^\circ$C was 0.998 with least $\chi^2$ (0.0006), MBE (-0.0038) and lowest RMSE (0.0002). The value of $k$ increased with increase in temperature shows the fact that drying kinetics was temperature dependent (Table 4) for all drying models. Same results were observed by Rayaguru and Routray (2012), Gupta et al., (2011) and Doymaz (2006).

**Effective moisture diffusivity for drying process**

Drying method has pronounced effect on the drying rate and consequently it has effect on the diffusivity. The increase in temperature, the effective diffusivity increased due to the increase in vapor pressure inside the sample. Similar result was observed by Meisami-asl et al., (2010) for apple slices.

The values of effective diffusivity ($D_{eff}$) increased in range of $3.84 \times 10^{-10}$ to $7.99 \times 10^{-10}$ (m$^2$/s) with increased drying temperature from 50$^\circ$C to 70$^\circ$C (Table 5). Naidu et al., (2012) described the increased diffusivity of fenugreek is indicator of lower resistance to mass transfer in the material being dried. Though values obtained are within the suitable range for food products ($10^{-12}$ to $10^{-6}$ m$^2$/sec) reported in literature (Zogas et al., 1996 and Maskan et al., 2002). Moisture diffusivity during air drying had been found in lateral studies like in apricots, peach slices, tomatoes (Pala et al., 1996; Kingsly et al., 2007; Doymaz, 2007).

**Optimization of the drying process of fenugreek**

The experimental data of rehydration ratio (RR), shrinkage ratio (SR), colour changes, ascorbic acid, polyphenol content and overall acceptability of dried fenugreek leaves for each temperature (50$^\circ$C, 60$^\circ$C and 70$^\circ$C) and loading density (0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 g/cm$^3$) combination is presented in Table 6. The response surface plots were generated for interactions of two variables (temperature and loading density) on the quality parameters of fenugreek.

**Rehydration ratio**

Rehydration ratio for fenugreek leaves varied in range of 3.63 - 4.49 for drying air temperature 50-70$^\circ$C. The maximum rehydration ratio was observed at higher drying air temperature 70$^\circ$C (Fig. 3). Higher temperatures improve rehydration ratio due to the effect of temperature on cell wall and tissue.

Galvez et al., (2008) observed that the maximum rehydration ratio was a function of the air temperature used during the drying process; absorbed water increased with an increase in air drying temperature. This was probably the result of the tissue collapse and cell damage produced by higher air temperatures, resulting in an increase in the rehydration ratio due to water retention in the spaces created by the damaged cells.

Final equation predicting Rehydration Ratio as affected by temperature and loading density is given below:

Rehydration ratio = -1.61921+0.14420*temperature+1.49035* loading density-0.048750 *temperature *loading density-7.57895E-004* temperature$^2$+1.98026 * loading density$^2$
Fig. 1 Effect of moisture content (%db) on drying time at different drying temperature

Fig. 2 Effect of drying rate (%db/min) on drying time at different drying temperature

Fig. 3 Response surface plot for rehydration ratio during thin layer drying of fenugreek leaves a 50 - 70°C and 0.4-0.8 g/cm³ loading density
**Fig.4** Response surface plot for shrinkage ratio during thin layer drying of fenugreek leaves at 50-70°C and 0.4-0.8 g/cm³ loading density

**Fig.5** Response surface plot for color during thin layer drying of fenugreek leaves at 50-70°C and 0.4-0.8 g/cm³ loading density
Fig. 6 Response surface plot for ascorbic acid during thin layer drying of fenugreek leaves at 50 – 70°C and 0.4-0.8 g/cm³ loading density

Fig. 7 Response surface plot for polyphenols content during thin layer drying of fenugreek leaves at 50 - 70°C and 0.4-0.8 g/cm³ loading density
**Fig. 8** Response surface plot for overall acceptability during thin layer drying of fenugreek leaves at 50 - 70°C and 0.4-0.8 g/cm³ loading density

**Fig. 9** Input and output box of program

**Table 1** Thin layer drying models considered

| S. No. | Model name                  | Model equation          |
|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1.    | Newton                      | $MR = \exp(-kt)$        |
| 2.    | Henderson and Pabis         | $MR = a \exp(-kt)$      |
| 3.    | Logarithmic                 | $MR = a \exp(-kt) + c$  |
Table 2 Physico-chemical properties of fresh raw green mangoes

| S. No. | Physicochemical properties | Fenugreek |
|--------|----------------------------|-----------|
| 1.     | Moisture Content (% wb)    | 89.50 ± 0.50 |
| 2.     | Colour (L*)                 | 56.60 ± 0.07 |
| 3.     | Ascorbic acid               | 160.25 mg/100g |
| 4.     | Polyphenols                 | 1600 mg GAE/100ml |

Table 3 ANOVA of total drying time (min) at different drying temperature

| Drying Temperature | Drying time (min) |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| 50°C               | 540 ±10.00<sup>a</sup> |
| 60°C               | 330± 6.00<sup>b</sup>  |
| 70°C               | 330± 9.16<sup>b</sup>  |
| 70-60-50°C          | 300± 12.00<sup>c</sup> |

All data are the mean ± SD of duplicate readings. Mean ± SD for followed by same letters in the same columns are not significantly different (p≤0.05).

Table 4 Parameters of various drying models of fenugreek leaves and related statistical indicators

| Drying Temperature | Newton Model | Henderson and Pabis Model | Logarithmic Model |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
|                    | R<sup>2</sup> | Chi<sup>2</sup> | MBE | RMSE | k | a | c |
| 50°C               | 0.979        | 0.0027              | -0.0102 | 0.0012 | 0.006 |
| 60°C               | 0.987        | 0.0014              | -0.0097 | 0.0006 | 0.012 |
| 70°C               | 0.995        | 0.0008              | -0.0093 | 0.0004 | 0.013 |
| 50°C               | 0.982        | 0.0023              | -0.0142 | 0.0009 | 0.006 | 1.048 |
| 60°C               | 0.988        | 0.0013              | -0.0114 | 0.0005 | 0.012 | 1.039 |
| 70°C               | 0.995        | 0.0010              | -0.0110 | 0.0003 | 0.013 | 1.013 |
| 50°C               | 0.994        | 0.0007              | 0.0052 | 0.0003 | 0.005 | 1.165 | -0.142 |
| 60°C               | 0.995        | 0.0005              | -0.0054 | 0.0002 | 0.011 | 1.075 | -0.051 |
| 70°C               | 0.998        | 0.0006              | -0.0038 | 0.0002 | 0.012 | 1.036 | -0.027 |
Table 5: Effective moisture diffusivity (m²/sec) for drying of Fenugreek

| Temperature (°C) | Fenugreek          | Effective diffusivity (D_{eff}) (m²/s) | R²  |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
| 50°C             | 3.84 x 10^{-10}    | 0.996                                  |     |
| 60°C             | 7.80 x 10^{-10}    | 0.997                                  |     |
| 70°C             | 7.99 x 10^{-10}    | 0.992                                  |     |

Table 6: Experimental data of drying of fenugreek slices for response surface analysis by mechanical drying

| Temp. (°C) | Loading density (g/cm³) | RR | SR | Color (ΔE) | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) | Polyphenols (mg/100g) GAE | OA  |
|------------|-------------------------|----|----|------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----|
| 70         | 0.6                     | 4.36 | 0.60 | 18.15     | 143.93                   | 960.00                     | 7.44|
| 60         | 0.8                     | 4.39 | 0.46 | 15.99     | 140.80                   | 1250.00                    | 8.30|
| 50         | 0.4                     | 3.63 | 0.53 | 21.79     | 120.45                   | 980.00                     | 7.98|
| 60         | 0.6                     | 4.17 | 0.57 | 16.41     | 145.70                   | 1230.00                    | 8.32|
| 70         | 0.8                     | 4.49 | 0.55 | 17.84     | 131.60                   | 950.00                     | 7.32|
| 60         | 0.6                     | 4.28 | 0.41 | 16.13     | 141.27                   | 1280.00                    | 8.20|
| 60         | 0.4                     | 4.09 | 0.67 | 16.84     | 149.99                   | 1360.00                    | 8.02|
| 70         | 0.4                     | 4.27 | 0.85 | 20.69     | 150.40                   | 1040.00                    | 7.64|
| 50         | 0.8                     | 4.24 | 0.34 | 18.50     | 112.80                   | 900.00                     | 6.80|
| 60         | 0.6                     | 4.01 | 0.50 | 17.01     | 143.60                   | 1250.00                    | 8.10|
| 50         | 0.6                     | 3.81 | 0.40 | 18.06     | 115.82                   | 952.00                     | 7.40|

Table 7: Optimum values of process parameters and responses of fenugreek

| Process parameters | Goal              | Lower limit | Upper limit | Importance | Optimization level |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|
| A: Temperature (°C)| is in range       | 50          | 70          | 3          | 61.28              |
| B: loading density (g/cm³) | is in range | 0.4        | 0.8         | 3          | 0.8                |
| Rehydration ratio  | Maximize          | 3.63        | 4.49        | 3          | predicted value    |
| Shrinkage ratio    | Minimize          | 0.34        | 0.85        | 3          | 0.46               |
| Color(ΔE)          | Minimize          | 15.99       | 21.79       | 3          | 15.80              |
| Ascorbic acid      | Maximize          | 112.8       | 150.4       | 3          | 139.56             |
| Polyphenols content| Maximize          | 900         | 1360        | 3          | 1242.60            |
| Overall acceptability| Maximize        | 6.8         | 8.32        | 3          | 7.99               |

Shrinkage ratio

The shrinkage ratio (SR) of tray dried fenugreek leaves varied in the range of 0.34 to 0.85. The minimum SR (0.85) was found for 0.4 g/cm³ loading density sample dried at 70 °C temperature.

At a constant temperature (60 °C), the SR decreased (0.67 – 0.46) with increasing loading density from 0.4 to 0.8 g/cm³ (Fig. 4). The increase in drying temperature caused gradual decrease in shrinkage ratio (Leuicki and Jakubczyk, 2004).
The value of r squared 0.9272. Final equation predicting SR as affected by temperature and loading density is given below:

Shrinkage ratio: +0.40553+0.015048* temperature-1.84254 * loading density-0.013750* temperature * loading density +4.47368E-005* temperature2 + 1.73684* loading density2

Color

The color change of tray dried fenugreek leaves varied in the range of 15.99 to 21.79. The minimum change in color (15.99) was found for 0.8 g/cm³ loading density sample dried at 60 °C temperature.

The effect of temperature and loading density on color of fenugreek are presented in Table 6 and the trend is shown in Figure 5. At a constant loading density (0.4 g/cm³) change in color (L value, a value, b value) increased (20.69– 21.79) by decreasing temperature from 70° – 50°C. According to previous studies the dark green color afforded in drying of fenugreek as compare to fresh fenugreek leaves (Naidu et al., 2012).

Final equation predicting color as affected by temperature and loading density g/cm³ is given below:

Color (L value) = +119.871-3.04157* temperature-32.94342 * loading density + 0.055000 * temperature*loading density +0.024839*temperature2+19.84868*loading density2

Ascorbic acid

The ascorbic acid content of fresh fenugreek slices was 160.25 mg/100g. After tray drying reduction in ascorbic acid was observed. Drying air temperature had major effect on ascorbic acid content. As shown in Figure 6 the minimum reduction of ascorbic acid observed at 70°C at 0.4 g/cm³ loading density was 6.39%. At constant temperature 70°C ascorbic acid values was decreased from 150.4 to 131.6 as loading density increased from 0.4 to 0.8 g/cm³ with increased drying time.

The maximum ascorbic acid loss of 112.8 mg/100g was detected in the samples dried at 50°C and with 0.8 g/cm³ loading density (Table 6). Similar results have been reported in Naidu et al., (2012) studies.

The R squared value was 0.985. Final equation predicting ascorbic acid as affected by temperature and thickness is given below:

Ascorbic acid= -509.06237+20.34841* temperature+44.10395* loading density* -1.39375 temperature * loading density- 0.15193* temperature 2+8.18421* loading density2

Polyphenol content

The polyphenols content was about 1400 mg GAE/100 g in fresh fenugreek slices. The value of polyphenols content decreased on drying at constant temperature with different loading density.

The value of polyphenols content was varied from 950 - 1360 mg GAE/100 g. The maximum polyphenols content of 1360 mg GAE/100g was found at 60°C with loading density 0.4 g/cm³. The trend of polyphenols content presented by Figure 7 and values shown in (Table 6). It shows that minimum degradation 2.85% was found at 60°C.

Final equation predicting ascorbic acid as affected by temperature and loading density is given below:

Polyphenols= -9951.52632+ 384.88509*
temperature-1074.12281*loading density-1.25000 * temperature * loading density-3.18474* temperature 2+763.15789* loading density2

**Overall acceptability**

The OA of tray dried fenugreek varied in the range of 7.32 to 8.32. The maximum OA (8.32) was found at 60 °C temperature, and 0.6 g/cm³ loading density (Table 6).

The effect of temperature and loading density on OA of fenugreek slices trend is shown in Figure 8. At a constant loading density (0.4) Overall Acceptability decreased (7.98 and 7.64) with increasing temperature from 50 and 70°C.

The value of adjusted R square was 0.848. Final equation predicting OA as affected by temperature and thickness is given below:

\[
OA = +14.82579+0.84422* \text{temperature}-7.04035* \text{loading density}+0.10750* \text{temperature} \times \text{loading density}-7.54211E-003* \text{temperature}^2-0.35526* \text{loading density}^2
\]

**Optimized results**

The optimum operating conditions for drying of fenugreek with temperature and loading density was 61.28°C and 0.8 g/cm³ as shown in Table 7.

**Computer based program**

Change in product weight at different temperature was observed manually at 30 min interval. Then excel sheets were prepared for calculation of moisture content, drying rate and drying time and other drying values. With help of visual basic language program was developed; it gives values based on data feed in the program which was calculated from the drying process.

Drying time decreased with increase in temperature. At particular drying temperature with change in moisture content the drying time and rate also changed. So, air drying temperature and moisture content (wb%) was taken as input sources. By submitting the input values the output of drying time (min) and drying rate will be obtained as shown in Figure 9. This automated program helps in reduction of human intervention. There is no need to repeat whole process for different temperature. The results give how much time will be taken for drying of fenugreek when moisture content varied from 88 - 91%.

The drying characteristics of fenugreek leaves were studied in convective tray dryer at the drying air temperature of 50, 60 and 70°C. The moisture content and drying rate were affected by drying air temperature. Increase in drying air temperature caused decrease in drying time and an increase in drying rate. The results of the analysis of variance showed significant (p< 0.05) effect of air temperature. Logarithmic drying model gave higher value for coefficient of determination and lower values for the chi square, mean bias error and root mean square error.

The effective diffusivity and rehydration ratio increased with the increasing drying air temperature. Air drying temperature 60 °C maintained original color of fenugreek leaves with minimum change in color. Maximum retention of ascorbic acid and polyphenols content and minimum shrinkage ratio was observed at higher temperature. However, optimum tray drying 61 °C with 0.8 g/cm³ loading density shows maximum retention of ascorbic acid, polyphenols content, rehydration ratio with minimum change in color and shrinkage ratio. Computer language 'visual basic' based program provide automation by giving output of total drying time (min) and drying rate (%db/min) at different drying temperature and moisture content % (wb).
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