Response of white oat genotypes to water stress
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ABSTRACT

White oat is generally cultivated in areas where there is no problem with water deficit, but due to the expansion of the cultivated areas and climate changes, there is a concern to characterize the genotypes response to water stress. The objectives of this work were to study the variability of responses of white oat cultivars, when subjected to different levels of osmotic potentials and to evaluate the genetic dissimilarity between these, with the use of REMAP markers. To study the variability, two experiments with completely randomized design, conducted in growth chambers were performed, where several oat genotypes in different osmotic potentials were evaluated. To evaluate the dissimilarity among the cultivars, REMAP markers were used. The experiments suggested the characteristics shoot (SL) and root (RL) length as the most affected by the stress. The use of the markers indicated that the similarity among the cultivars varies between 0.80 and 0.58. The study points out the cultivars URS 21, URS Corona, URS Fapa Slava as being those with the highest level of tolerance to water stress.

Highlighted Conclusions

1. The 0.8 MPa dose allows the discrimination of cultivars by performance against water stress.
2. The cultivars URS 21, URS Corona and URS Fapa Slava show better performance under water stress.

INTRODUCTION

White oat (Avena sativa L.) plays a prominent role in world agriculture, due to versatile uses both as grains and forage (Arendt and Zannini 2013). In Brazil, their cultivation occurs mainly in the southern states, where the state of Rio Grande do Sul stands out, being responsible for approximately 70% of the national production. However, the production of this cereal has been expanding for new areas, reaching the Midwest region (Silveira et al. 2014, CONAB 2019). This cereal is considered as a winter crop, with great importance in the grain production system, besides being used in the integration of livestock farming in Brazilian regions (Silveira et al. 2013).

Among the abiotic factors, drought it is one of the major problems which limit crop growth and yield, affecting overall production (Sultan et al. 2012, Nezhadahmadi et al. 2013). Water stress it is a big problem, because it affects not only the germination rates, but also influence the initial development of the seedlings (Berg and Zeng 2006).

With the expanding areas of oat cultivation, it becomes more important to characterize cultivars in response to water stress. Also, with the advent of climate change, many cultivated areas of this cereal that have not a history of water stress, may suffer changes. There are reports that climate changes represent a serious and worrying risk to Brazils water resources (Marengo 2008).

Breeding for drought tolerance is very important, for enabling crops in regions where water stress is a limiting factor (Girotto et al. 2012). Studies in early stages of development, with morphological characterizations, have been shown as an efficient way for the selection of cultivars adapted to the conditions of water stress, for maintaining correlation with the results found in the field (Chutia and Borah 2012).

In addition to the evaluation of morphologic characters, the use of molecular markers may improve the studies of genetic variability. Among the most commonly used molecular markers in studies of variability are REMAP.
(Retrotransposon Microsatellite Amplified Polymorphism) markers, capable of detecting polymorphisms between retrotransposons and microsatellite regions (Kalender et al. 1999).

Therefore, the objectives of these work were to study the variability of response of cultivars of white oat, when subjected to different levels of osmotic potentials and to evaluate the genetic dissimilarity between these, with the use of REMAP markers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experiment 1: Two osmotic potentials. 22 oat cultivars were evaluated: Albasul, Barbarasul, Brisasul, FAEM 4 Carlasul, FAEM 5 Chiarasul, FAEM 06, Fapa Louise, UPFA 20 Teixerinha, Hi-Fi, IAC 7, Leonard, UPF Temprana, UFRGS 14, UPF 1, UPF 5, UPF13, UPF 15, UPF 16, URS 21, URS Corona, URS Fapa Slava and URS Taura.

Seeds were placed to germinate under normal condition and in the drought stress condition. The artificial condition of water stress was obtained with the preparation of a solution with PEG (polyethylene glycol) to 5%, which promoted an osmotic pressure of -0.058 MPa, according to Michel and Kaufmann (1973).

Firstly, the seeds were disinfested with the solution of 1% sodium hypochlorite for 1 minute and washed three times with distilled water. After, they were germinated on germitest paper soaked with 5% PEG solution for promoting the condition of stress. The control treatment consisted in placing the paper in distilled water (2.5-fold w/w). Later, the rolls with the seeds were maintained in BOD (growth chamber) under temperature of 20 ºC, photoperiod of 12/12 (light/dark), for seven days. Each treatment consisted of four repetitions, with 50 seeds each, according to the Rules of Seed Analysis (BRASIL 2009). Experiment was set in a completely randomized design.

The evaluations consisted of % germination (GER), through counting the seeds germinated after seven days of seeding, shoot length (SL) and root length (RL), measured in centimeters. For each character, ten normal plants per repetition were measured, randomly.

Experiment 2: Four osmotic potentials. There were evaluated 10 cultivars of white oat, selected based on the experiment 1, for presenting differentiated responses to the drought stress, being these: Barbarasul, Brisasul, FAEM 4 Carlasul, FAEM 5 Chiarasul, FAEM 6 FAEM 7, URS 21, URS Fapa Slava, URS Taura and URS Corona.

In this experiment four different osmotic potentials: 0; -0.4; -0.8 and -1.2 Mpa were used, in order to better detail the profile of the most contrasting responses to water deficit. The experiment and the evaluations carried out followed the description for experiment 1.

Experiment 3: Molecular analysis. For the molecular analysis the same cultivars of the experiment 2 were used, with exception of FAEM 7 (Barbarasul, Brisasul, FAEM 4 Carlasul, FAEM 5 Chiarasul, FAEM 6, URS 21, URS Fapa Slava, URS Taura, URS Corona). The seeds were placed to germinate in (germitest) paper, wet with distilled water. The rolls were put up in growth chamber BOD, photoperiod of 12 hours, maintained to 20 ºC, per ten days for obtaining leaf samples. The DNA was extracted through the CTAB extraction method (Saghai-Marooif et al. 1984). After the DNA quantification, an amplification reaction using GoTaq® Green Master Mix (Promega) was performed, according to the protocol indicated by the manufacturer. The amplification program used was a “touchdown” being: one initial denaturation at 94 ºC for 5 minutes, followed to 35 cycles at 94 ºC for 1 minute of denaturation. The annealing was obtained with decrease of 1ºC in the temperature for each cycle (in the break of 62 ºC until 55 ºC) and the extension occurred at 72 ºC for 1 minute. After the amplification, a final extension at 72 ºC for 10 minutes was made. The product of amplification was separated electrophoretically in denaturing 6% polyacrylamide gel. For the visualization of the amplified fragments after electrophoresis a silver nitrate staining was used (Creste et al. 2001).

The sequences of the REMAP primers used are available in Table 1. The primers were designed based in preserved termination regions (LTRs - Long Terminal Repeats) of the oat (Avena sativa) retrotransposons OARE-1 (AB061327), and Cassandra (AY271960.1). A total of ten primers were designed on dimer microsatellites, Fourteen REMAP combinations were evaluated.

Statistical analysis. A variance analysis was performed for the experiment 1, to confirm the effect of the treatment factors, allowing further analysis. Then a clustering of means was proceeded using the Scott-Knott (p ≤ 0.05) test. Later, the relative performance (Dr) of each character was calculated, to better view the effect of the osmotic concentration in the cultivars, according to the equation:

\[ Dr = \frac{\text{Stressed seedlings}}{\text{Stress} - \text{free seedlings}} \times 100 \]

For the data of the experiment 2 first it was obtained the relative performance and with these results proceeded the variance analysis. After the data were subjected to the polynomial regression adjustment, to better represent the individual performance of each genotype in different osmotic potentials.
Table 1. Primer list used in genetic distance analysis among the eight oat cultivars.

| Description | Primer Sequence |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Movable element / ID | Retrotransposon Cassandra / | CATAACGGCTAGGTCACATGAGAT |
|             | Retrotransposon AY271960.1 | CATAACGGCTAGGTCACATGAGAT |
|             | Ty1-copie retrotransposon OARE1 / | CATAACGGCTAGGTCACATGAGAT |
|             | Ty1-copie retrotransposon TAS1 / | CATAACGGCTAGGTCACATGAGAT |
|             | ISSR(TA)25 A | TATATATATATATATATATATAA |
|             | ISSR(TA)25 C | TATATATATATATATATATATAC |
|             | ISSR(TA)25 T | TATATATATATATATATATATATAT |
|             | ISSR(TA)25 G | TATATATATATATATATATATATAG |
|             | ISSR(CT)23 A | CTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTAG |
|             | ISSR(CT)23 G | CTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTG |
|             | ISSR(CT)23 C | CTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTG |
|             | ISSR(GA)23 A | GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAAG |
|             | ISSR(GA)23 T | GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG |
|             | ISSR(GA)23 C | GAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG |

The analysis was performed with the use of SAS (SAS 1999) statistical program and the graphics generated in the SIGMAPlot (SigmaPlot 2000) program.

For the molecular data, obtained in the experiment 3, scores 0 and 1 were used in the construction of an array of binary data. The values of similarity were calculated adopting the Coefficient of Simple Coincidence (“Simple Matching”) (Sokal and Michener 1958). From the distance matrix a dendrogram was built by hierarchical grouping method (UPGMA -Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean). The adjustment between the distance matrix and the respective dendrogram was estimated through the coefficient of correlation (r) (Sokal and Rohlf 1962). These analyses were performed with the aid of NTSYS pc 2.1(Rohlf 2000) computer program.

RESULTS

Experiment 1. According to the variance analysis (Table 2), the interaction between the factors cultivar and treatment, was significant for all the characters analyzed, indicating that the cultivars studied present different responses to treatment, justifying the analysis of factors by grouping of means.

Table 2. Summary of the analysis of variance with real dates for germination (GER), root length (RL) and shoot length (SL), in oats genotypes subjected to two condition of hydric stress for seven days in the chamber.

| SV         | DF | GER   | RL   | SL   |
|------------|----|-------|------|------|
| CULTIVARS (C) |   |   | 493.17** | 7.53* | 6.41* |
| TREATMENT (T) | 1 | 49181.64* | 1.24* | 94.55* |
| C X T | 21 | 675.28* | 2.95* | 3.10* |
| ERROR | 132 | 6.59 | 0.12 | 0.07 |

MEAN 83.05 4.99 6.44

CV (%) 3.09 6.83 4.24

** significant at p ≤ 0.01 by the F test; * significant at p ≤ 0.05 by the F test; GER=germination; RL=root length; SL=shoot length.

When comparing the two osmotic conditions, during the germination (Table 3), it is noted that only the cultivars FAEM 6, Hi-Fi and UFRGS14 had the same performance in both conditions. The other cultivars presented higher performance in the control condition, demonstrating to be adversely affected by the reduction of osmotic potential, by the addition of PEG.

When analyzed the germination under the control condition, there were three groups, where 18 of the cultivars in study had similar performance and higher potential than the others. However, in the stress condition there were eight groups formed. The cultivars that better performed were FAEM 6 and URS Corona, both with germination above 90%. The cultivar FAEM 4 Carlasul presented the lowest performance, with only 33.3 % germination in this condition.

When analyzing the relative performance, it was observed that ten cultivars have performed above 80% of germination and four cultivars (FAEM 4 Carlasul, FAEM 5 Chiarrasul, Leonard and URS 21) presented inferior performance to 50%. It should be noted that these four cultivars when in the control condition were present in the
For the character RL, the cultivars FAEM 4 Carlasul, FAEM 5 Chiarasul, IAC 7, FAEM 6, Fapa Louise, UPF 16, UPF 13, and URS Taura did not change their performance in the stress condition. In this condition the cultivars Albasul, Fapa Louise, UPF 13, and URS Taura presented smaller developments in their root systems.

In the control condition it was observed the formation of seven groups, where the cultivar UPF 16 was the one who presented higher RL (8.2 cm) when compared with the other cultivars. On the other hand, the cultivars Albasul, Fapa Louise, UPF 13 and URS Taura presented smaller developments in their root systems.

Table 3. Means analysis for the characters germination (GER) root length (RL), and shoot length (SL), measured in different oat cultivars submitted to water stress (PEG 6000).

| GENOTYPES       | CONTROL | PEG | RP | CONTROL | RL | CONTROL | PEG | RP |
|-----------------|---------|-----|----|---------|----|---------|-----|----|
| Albasul         | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 131.7   | A   | d  |
| Barbarasul      | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 77.7    | A   | d  |
| Brisausl        | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 69.0    | A   | d  |
| FAEM 4 Carlasul | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 109.4   | A   | d  |
| FAEM Chiarausl  | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 92.7    | A   | d  |
| FAEM 6          | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 116.7   | A   | d  |
| Fapa Louise     | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 122.8   | A   | d  |
| Fapa Teixerinha | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 69.8    | A   | d  |
| Hi-Fi           | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 106.6   | A   | d  |
| IAC 7           | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 96.3    | A   | d  |
| Leonard         | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 88.9    | A   | d  |
| UFRG 14         | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 105.6   | A   | d  |
| UPF 1           | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 80.9    | A   | d  |
| UPF 15          | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 109.6   | A   | d  |
| UPF 16          | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 56.4    | A   | d  |
| UPF 13          | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 92.7    | A   | d  |
| URS 5           | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 125.9   | A   | d  |
| URS Fapa Slava  | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 86.8    | A   | d  |
| URS Taura       | A       | B   | c  | B       | d  | 101.4   | A   | d  |

Means followed by the same lower case letter in the column and averages followed the same capital letter in the line do not differ by test Scott-Knott significant at p ≤ 0.05. CONTROL (0 MPa), PEG (-0.58 MPa) and RP (relative performance).
The cultivar FAEM 6 in the water stress condition presented the highest RL (7.5 cm) differing from the others. The cultivars Brisasul, IAC 7, UPF 1 and URS Taura were the cultivars that had the smaller root systems. Although the cultivar URS Taura has been placed in the lower performance group, it was possible to note that it was part of the cultivars that did not present significant difference between the conditions, suggesting that the water stress proposed did not alter the physiological metabolism.

When analyzing the two conditions through the relative performance, it is possible to observe that many cultivars had their root affected in the treatment condition. Among these, the cultivars Albasul, FAPA Louise, UPF13 and URS Corona stood out, with an increase of more than 20% in the character. The other cultivars had their root lengths reduced in this condition, with decreases of up to 43.6% (UPF 16) when compared to the control condition.

For the character SL, it was observed that the cultivars IAC 7 UFPA Temprana and URS Taura had similar performance under both conditions. The other cultivars had their shoot length reduced in the treatment condition.

When analyzing the cultivars in control condition, it was observed the formation of eight different groups indicating the existence of variability among the cultivars under study. The cultivar UPF 16 presented higher SL (9.7 cm), while the cultivars that present less development of shoot length, were Hi-Fi, Leonard and UFRGS 14.

In the treatment condition there were seven group formation, being the cultivar URS 21 stood out with longer SL and the cultivars Leonard and UPF 16, which presented the shorter length.

When analyzing the cultivars based in the relative performance, it was noted that the cultivar URS Taura maintained the same performance presented in the control condition, while the others suffered reductions in the shoot length. It was noted that the URS Taura did not suffer changes in both characters, RL and SL, suggesting that the cultivar presents some level of resistance of water stress. The cultivar which showed the greatest reduction was the cultivar UPF 16 (58.7%).

**Experiment 2.** According to the analysis of variance (Table 4), the interaction between the factors cultivars and osmotic potentials was significant for all the analyzed characters, indicating the cultivars studied present differences in response to stress, justifying the partition of the factors by a regression (Figure 1).

| Table 4. Summary of the analysis of variance with relative dates for germination (GER), root length (RL) and shoot length (SL), in oats genotypes subjected to four levels of hydric stress for seven days in the chamber. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SV | DF | GER | MS |
| CULTIVARS (C) | 9 | 276.4** | 1001.8** | 172.9** |
| TREATMENT (T) | 3 | 99241.9** | 79874.2** | 83686.3** |
| C X T | 27 | 221.1** | 529.9** | 113.2** |
| ERROR | 120 | 55.0 | 74.1 | 12.2 |
| MEAN | - | 46.5 | 48.4 | 35.4 |
| CV (%) | - | 15.9 | 17.4 | 9.9 |

**significant at p ≤ 0.01 by the F test; * significant at p ≤ 0.05 by the F test; GER=germination; RL=root length; SL=shoot length.

In this experiment, it was considered more restrictive doses, in general all the characteristics evaluated were negatively affected (relative performance reduction), with the decrease of osmotic potentials. Also, the dose of -1.2 MPa, was extremely restrictive preventing the germination of all the cultivars and consequently making it impossible the measurement the other characters. At the osmotic potential of -0.8 Mpa, the cultivars Brisasul and FAEM 5 Chiarrasul were so affected that had GER null. The cultivar FAEM 5 Chiarrasul already showed susceptibility to water deficit in the experiment 1, however Brisasul had shown more resistant behavior.

For the variable GER, the cultivars with greater performance in the potentials that allowed to discriminate the cultivars (exception the potential of -1.2 MPa), were URS Corona URS Fapa Slava and URS 21. The other cultivars in the osmotic potential -0.8 MPa had performance below 10%, of the performance when compared with the control condition.

For the RL, it was noted that the relative performance was affected in smaller magnitude, since a comparison between the control condition and the first osmotic potential (-0.4 MPa), a reduction of 28% of relative performance was observed. However, when compared the performance between the second and the third osmotic potentials (-0.4 MPa and -0.8 MPa), there was a reduction of relative performance of 73%. The cultivars with higher performance, considering mainly the osmotic potential of -0.8 MPa, which was the most restrictive, were Barbarasul, URS Corona, URS 21 and URS Fapa Slava with relative performance higher than 40%, being that the last two showed higher performance than 50%.  
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Figure 1. Graphical representation of regression equations adjusted for the performance of oat cultivars for the traits germination (GER), root length (RL) and shoot length (SL) when subjected to water stress by different osmotic potentials.

SL was the most affected variable, because on average, between the control treatment and the osmotic potential of -0.4 Mpa, there was a reduction of 65% of the relative performance of the cultivars. In the osmotic...
potential of -0.8 MPa, the relative performance reduced further, only the cultivars URS Corona and URS Fapa Slava, presented performances above 10%. The cultivars FAEM 7, URS Taura, presented relative performance under 5%.

Experiment 3: With fourteen combinations evaluated a total of 111 polymorphic fragments were amplified. The dendrogram (Figure 2) generated by the grouping method UPGMA indicated that the maximum and minimum similarity found among the cultivars were equal to 0.80 and 0.58, respectively. The cophenet coefficient was equal to 0.73, indicating reliability of the dendrogram graph.

As can be seen in Figure 2, the most distant groups of cultivars were G1 (URS 21, URS FAPA Slava and URS Taura) and G2 (Barbarasul, Brisasul and URS Corona), with similarity of 0.58. It was also observed that the cultivars FAEM 5 Chiarasul and FAEM 6 are very similar between them, about 0.80 of similarity.

![Dendrogram showing nine oat cultivars](image)

DISCUSSION

It is known that plant development is closely linked to cell expansion, responsible for organ development, which is influenced by many factors, including water availability (Taiz and Zeiger 2006, Bashline 2014).

When the plants are subjected to water stress condition, a series of physiological and biochemical processes can be changed, including the ability of plants to acquire water and nutrients, which directly and indirectly affects plant growth, by the reduction of cell expansion, and consequently their final yield (Ashraf et al. 2011). One of the first measurable effects of water stress is the decrease in growth (Taiz and Zeiger 2006, Liberatore et al. 2016).

When the two experiments (1 and 2) are compared, it is noted that the reduction of osmotic potential even though in smaller intensity reduces germination in the oat seeds. In the experiment 1, only three cultivars maintained their performances under both conditions. The other cultivars had their germination reduced in the condition of treatment with PEG. The reduction of the germination rate it is related to the reduction of water availability, which inhibits the metabolic reactivation of the cells, responsible for the physiological changes in the initial germination phase (Kerbauy 2004).

The GER reduction in oat seed due to the reduction of osmotic potential was previously reported (Mut and Akay 2010). Similar results have also been found in species such as *Triticum aestivum* L. (Ahmadi et al. 2012), *Triticosecale Witm.* (Kaydan and Yagmur 2008) *Lens culinaris* L. (Muscolo et al. 2014) and in grasses *Anthemphora pubescens*, *Heteropogon contortus*, and *Themeda triandra* (Berg and Zeng 2006).

In the experiment 2, where more restrictive doses were tested, the GER was reduced. In the potential of -1.2 Mpa, the restriction was so strong that it inhibited the germination of all cultivars. In the potential of -0.8, the cultivars Brisasul and FAEM 5 Chiarasul did not germinate, proving to be the most sensitive cultivars of the experiments. Studies with the wheat crop, using the same osmotic potentials used in this experiment (PEG 6000), also demonstrated that as the osmotic potential reduced, the GER was negatively affected (Ahmadi et al. 2012).

When analyzing the experiment 1, for the RL there is a tendency that the cultivars maintain their developments under both conditions or even increase their root systems in the conditions of low water (0.058 MPa). Previously, Berg and Zeng (2006), observed that the dose of -0.3 MPa was capable of estimating the root growth of grasses (*Anthemphora pubescens*, *Heteropogon contortus*), though higher doses have tended to reduce this character. The
authors suggested that the increase reflects an adaptive response of plants in the search for water. The work with species of the genus *Hordeum* observed an increase of root development in the condition of water stress (Guoxiong et al. 2002).

Other work aiming to study levels of osmotic potentials, using PEG and three classes of triticale seed sizes, reported that in low concentration (0.45 MPa), small and medium seeds, in general, tend to increase root length, while large seeds tends to keep the same development in the control condition (Kaydan and Yagmur 2008). However, at higher doses (-0.77, 1.03 MPa) the root length was reduced and at the dose of -1.03 MPa there was no seedlings formation from the small seeds. At the highest dose (-1.44 Mpa), none of the seed sizes was able to develop the root system (Kaydan and Yagmur 2008). This trend of reduction of root length was observed in the experiment 2, that used more restrictive doses, where in the potential of -1.2 Mpa, there was no germination for any of the cultivars, preventing the measurement of the variable. In the potential of -0.8 the cultivars Brirasal and FAEM 5 Chiarasul did not germinate and only four cultivars presented a relative performance above 40%.

Studies with white oat, using PEG 6000, as a solute in osmotic conditioning, also demonstrate that with a decrease in osmotic potentials reduction occurred in the RL (Mut and Akay 2010, Mut et al. 2010).

The reduction of shoot length has been considered as one of the main responses of plants to water stress (Kerbauy 2004). In fact, for both the experiments, the cultivars subjected to stress condition had their SL reduced. In the experiment 1, only three cultivars maintained their performances in both conditions, the others suffered reductions. The reduction of shoot length, when the plants are exposed to water stress, were also observed in a previous study, evaluating the effects of seed size and the osmotic stress, in the germination and in the growth of seedling of different white oat genotypes (Mut and Akay 2010; Mut et al. 2010).

According to Guoxiong et al. (2002) the resistance of water stress is related to the reduction of shoot length in the genus *Hordeum*.

In the experiment 2, in the condition of -0.8 Mpa, only two cultivars presented relative performance above 10%. Reports with *Avena sativa* demonstrate that shoot length is extremely affected by the reduction of the osmotic potentials (Mut and Akay 2010, Mut et al. 2010). Also, in triticale this same performance was reported (Kaydan and Yagmur 2008).

With the use of molecular markers (REMAP), it was possible to observe that the maximum and minimum similarity found among the cultivars it was equal to 0.80 and 0.58, respectively, demonstrating the existence of variability between the genotypes. These molecular markers have also been shown to be efficient in studies of phylogeny and variability in other species as *Oryza sativa* (Branco et al. 2007) *Medicago sativa* (Mandoulakani et al. 2012), *Triticum aestivum* (Nasri et al. 2013) and *Zea mays* (Kuhn et al. 2014).

Based on REMAP markers, there was no relationship between the grouping generated and the similarity of the performance of the cultivars regarding the stress, not enabling the use of these combinations of markers to study the proposed stress, based on the evaluated characteristics.

The dendrogram indicated that, with exception of the cultivar URS Corona, there is a tendency of the cultivars that group according to the breeding program of origin, which suggests that each program prioritizes certain genotypes with parents that will compose the crossing block. This study of variability is of utmost importance to direct the crossing blocks in breeding programs (Onaga 2013). The cultivars URS 21, URS Corona, URS Fapa Slava had high performance in all the characteristics, and when analyzed with markers, the cultivar URS Corona was genetically distant to the others, suggesting that the crossing between them, can generate a progeny with higher performance of the characters studied due the complementarity of favorable alleles.

In our study condition, the 0.8 MPa dose allows the discrimination of cultivars by performance against water stress. The present study indicates the cultivars URS 21, URS Corona and URS Fapa Slava presented better performance under water stress among commercial cultivars studied. The REMAP markers combination used allows the separation of cultivars studied in different groups, however, there is no relationship with the morphological performance for water stress.
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