Long-term neuropsychiatric outcomes in COVID-19 survivors: A 1-year longitudinal study

Dear Editor,

Among the main concerns provoked by the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is the potential morbidity in survivors. These sequelae can lead to a post-COVID-19 syndrome, also known as long-COVID [1,2]. The systemic manifestations of long-COVID are heterogeneous including cognitive and psychiatric issues leading to poor quality of life (QoL) [3–5]. However, little is known about long-term neuropsychiatric outcomes. Thus, we aimed to assess neurocognitive, psychiatric and QoL outcomes in a cohort of hospitalised COVID-19 survivors 1 year after hospital discharge.

Methods

This is an observational longitudinal study in a large tertiary care hospital in Valencia (Spain) with laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection hospitalised patients between 8 March and 25 April 2020. Exclusion criteria included patients aged ≥85 or <18 years, non-Spanish speaking subjects, nursing-home residents, pre-existing dementia, pre-existing or cognitive decline under evaluation, previous brain injury with cognitive sequelae, current alcohol/substance use disorder (except for nicotine) and previously lifetime history of major psychiatric disorders. The Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of La Fe University and Polytechnic Hospital reviewed and approved the study (2020-280-1). All recruited patients were contacted by telephone 2 (±1) and 12 (±1) months after the date of hospital discharge. A battery of standardised instruments validated in the Spanish general population was administered by telephone at 2 and 12 months including (i) immediate verbal memory and learning, delayed verbal memory, semantic verbal fluency and working memory (executive function) for the cognitive functioning; (ii) subjective cognitive complaints; (iii) anxiety, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) for the psychiatric morbidity and (iv) QoL. Neurocognitive dysfunction was pre-defined as impairment in any of the four neuropsychological tests after adjusting for age and education level. Psychiatric morbidity was pre-defined as a positive screening in any of the three questionnaires assessing psychiatric morbidity. Additional information on standardised instruments is provided in the Supplemental file.

Results

A total of 179 patients at 2 months and 171 (95.5% retention rate) at 12 months completed the assessments. The results obtained at 2 months have been previously published [6]. Table 1 describes the main baseline characteristics. Briefly, participants’ age ranged from 23 to 82 years and 99 (57.9%) were male. Of them, 94 (55%) had at least one comorbidity. Additional information on education, clinical severity, analytical parameters, respiratory support, treatments and clinical outcomes are provided on Table 1.

At 12 months, 73.7% of the patients had at least one persistent symptom according to a standardised symptom questionnaire, listed as follows: fatigue (48.5%), memory complaints (32.2%), arthromyalgia (26.9%), dyspnoea (25.7%), headache (15.8%), chest pain (7.6%), paraesthesia (7%), sputum production (7%), cough (5.3%), anosmia (5.3%), ageusia/dysgeusia (2.3%), fever (1.2%) and tremors (1.2%).

Twenty-four per cent of patients self-reported having some degree of impaired cognition according to the cognitive complaints’ questionnaire (11.7% impaired memory function and 12.3% moderate or severe memory impairment), whilst 53.8% and 22.2% of patients had normal and optimal memory function, respectively. Neurocognitive dysfunction and psychiatric morbidity were found in 80 (46.8%) and 77 (45%) patients, respectively. The most affected cognitive domain was semantic verbal fluency (32.7%) followed by immediate verbal memory/learning (20.5%), working memory/executive function (12.3%) and delayed verbal memory (7.6%). The most prevalent psychiatric

Raúl Méndez, Vicent Balanzá-Martínez and Sussy C. Luperdi contributed equally to this work.

© 2021 The Association for the Publication of the Journal of Internal Medicine
### Table 1. Patients data: demographic, comorbidity, clinical severity, treatment, analytical parameters, respiratory support and clinical outcomes

| Demographics | Total (\(N = 171\)) |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Age,a year, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 58 [50, 68] |
| Male sex, No. (%) | 99 (57.9) |
| Education, year, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 11 [8, 16] |

| Level of education, No. (%) |
|-----------------------------|
| None | 2 (1.2) |
| Primary | 53 (31) |
| Secondary | 60 (35.1) |
| University | 56 (32.7) |

| Smoking, No. (%) |
|------------------|
| Never | 118 (69) |
| Former | 43 (25.1) |
| Current | 10 (5.8) |

| Coexisting conditions, No. (%) |
|--------------------------------|
| Any | 94 (55) |
| Arterial hypertension | 55 (32.2) |
| Diabetes | 25 (14.6) |
| Dyslipidaemia | 47 (27.5) |
| Chronic heart disease | 8 (4.7) |
| Chronic renal diseaseb | 3 (1.8) |
| Chronic liver disease | 3 (1.8) |
| Chronic respiratory disease | 21 (12.3) |
| Cancer | 3 (1.8) |

| Previous medication use, No. (%) |
|----------------------------------|
| Antiplatelets | 6 (3.5) |
| Statins | 36 (21.1) |
| ACE inhibitor | 13 (7.6) |
| Angiotensin II-receptor antagonist | 27 (15.8) |

| \(\text{SpO}_2/\text{FiO}_2\) at admission, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 452.4 [442.9, 461.9] |

| Radiological data at admission, No. (%) |
|----------------------------------------|
| Lung infiltrates | 169 (98.8) |
| Bilateral infiltrates | 115 (67.3) |

| Analytical parameters |
|-----------------------|
| Peak LDH, UI/L, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 321 [258, 435] |
| Peak C-reactive protein, mg/L, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 95 [43.9, 169.1] |
| Nadir lymphocyte count, cells/ml, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 900 [640, 1250] |
| Peak D-dimer, ng/ml, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 962 [498, 2102] |

| Treatment, No. (%) |
|--------------------|
| Hydroxychloroquine | 160 (93.6) |
| Azithromycin | 158 (92.4) |
| Lopinavir/ritonavir | 71 (41.5) |
| Interferon \(\beta\) | 24 (14) |
| Tocilizumab | 40 (23.4) |
| Baricitinib | 17 (9.9) |

(Continued)
Table 1. Continued

| Corticosteroids | Remdesivir | 61 (35.7) | 0 (0) |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|------|
| Respiratory support, No. (%)<sup>c</sup> | | | |
| Room air | 86 (50.3) |
| O₂ nasal cannula | 18 (11.5) |
| O₂ venturi mask | 37 (21.6) |
| HFNC/CPAP/NIV | 8 (4.7) |
| MV | 21 (12.3) |
| Median length of MV, days [1st, 3rd quartile] | 13 [10, 30] |
| ECMO | 1 (0.6) |
| Outcomes and complications<sup>d</sup> | | | |
| Length of hospital stay, days, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 12 [9, 18] |
| ICU admission, No. (%)<sup>e</sup> | 32 (18.7) |
| Length of ICU stay, days, median [1st, 3rd quartile] | 16.5 [11, 24] |
| Delirium, No. (%)<sup>g</sup> | 8 (4.7) |
| Cerebrovascular event, No. (%)<sup>e</sup> | 0 (0) |
| VTE, No. (%)<sup>f</sup> | 17 (9.9) |
| Acute kidney injury, No. (%)<sup>f</sup> | 9 (5.3) |
| Acute liver injury, No. (%)<sup>g</sup> | 56 (32.7) |

Note: Data are summarised as No. (%) or median [1st, 3rd quartile], as appropriate.

Abbreviation: ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; HFNC/CPAP/NIV, high-flow nasal cannula/continuous positive airway pressure/non-invasive ventilation; ICU, intensive care unit; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MV, mechanical ventilation; SpO₂/FiO₂, peripheral blood oxygen saturation/fraction of inspired oxygen; VTE, venous thromboembolic event.

<sup>a</sup>Age at the time of battery administration 12 months after hospital discharge.

<sup>b</sup>Stage ≥2.

<sup>c</sup>Maximum respiratory support needed during hospital stay.

<sup>d</sup>Complications were considered until the date of the interview administration.

<sup>e</sup>Need for ICU admission at any time during hospitalisation.

<sup>f</sup>At least twofold increase of baseline serum creatinine or ≥50% decrease in baseline glomerular filtration rate.

<sup>g</sup>Elevation of alanine transaminase and/or aspartate transaminase enzymes > 2× the upper limit of normal.

morbidity was anxiety (35.1%) followed by depression (32.2%) and PTSD (24.6%). Poor QoL was found in 68 (39.8%) and 57 (33.3%) patients for the physical and mental component summary, respectively.

**Discussion**

This is the first longitudinal study to simultaneously evaluate cognitive, psychiatric and QoL domains and COVID-19 long-lasting attributable symptoms in survivors in the long term. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, mental and cognitive problems had been reported in intensive care unit survivors from different causes [7,8]. Nevertheless, these problems in non-critical care patients are underdiagnosed and their long-term prevalence in COVID-19 is unknown. We found 46.8% of patients with cognitive impairment and 45% with psychiatric morbidity at 1 year. Brain fog or long-COVID causes are to elucidate and might comprise inflammation, endothelial damage, autoimmunity, social stressors and others [9]. These results further support the long-lasting impact of COVID-19 on patients’ QoL and health, specifically brain/mental health. Limitations of the study include the single-centre design, the lack of data on neuropsychiatric outcomes previous to COVID-19 and on functions other than cognitive or psychiatric comorbidity [10].

In summary, declined cognitive function, psychiatric morbidity and low QoL are prevalent in moderate to severe COVID-19 survivors 1 year...
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after hospital discharge. These data await confirmation by further prospective studies in other regions. Meanwhile, health policies should be designed to address these long-term problems. A multidisciplinary approach that includes neuropsychological rehabilitation and psychiatric evaluation and treatments should be offered to indicated patients.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to all patients and colleagues for their cooperation and assistance in this study. Always in our memory those who are no longer among us due to this pandemic.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe (2020-280-1).

Consent for publication

All authors have accepted the publication of the manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualisation and study design: R. Méndez, V. Balanzá-Martínez, S.C. Luperdi and Rosario Menéndez. Patient enrolment and database management: R. Méndez, P. González-Jiménez, Ana Latorre, Leyre Bouzas, Katheryn Yépez, Ana Ferrando and Soledad Reyes. Telephone interviews: I. Estrada. Drafting the manuscript: R. Méndez. Assistance in drafting the manuscript and critical review: V. Balanzá-Martínez, S.C. Luperdi and R. Menéndez. Revision of manuscript and approval of the final version: all authors. R. Méndez and R. Menéndez are the guarantors.

Data availability statement

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding information

This work did not receive any funding. Raúl Méndez is the recipient of a Rio Hortega grant supported by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III [ISCIII [CM19/00182]]. Paula González-Jiménez is the recipient of a post-resident research grant supported by the Health Research Institute La Fe (2019-053-1).

Raúl Méndez1,2, Vicent Balanzá-Martínez3, Sussy C. Luperdi4,5, Itziar Estrada3, Ana Latorre2, Paula González-Jiménez1,2,5, Leyre Bouzas1,2, Katheryn Yépez1,2, Ana Ferrando1,2, Soledad Reyes1,2 & Rosario Menéndez1,2,5,6

From the1Pneumology Department, La Fe University and Polytécnico Hospital, Valencia, Spain; 2Respiratory Infections Research Group, Health Research Institute La Fe, Valencia, Spain; 3Teaching Unit of Psychiatry and Psychological Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Valencia, CIBERSAM, Valencia, Spain; 4Psychiatry Department, La Fe University and Polytécnico Hospital, Valencia, Spain; 5University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain; and 6Center for Biomedical Research Network in Respiratory Diseases (CIBERES), Madrid, Spain

References

1 Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, Madhavan MV, McGroder C, Stevens JS, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med. 2021;27:601–15.
2 NICE Guideline. COVID-19 rapid guideline: managing the long-term effects of COVID-19. London, UK: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; 2020.
3 Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, Pollak TA, McGuire P, Fusar-Poli P, et al. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet Psychiatry 2020;7:611–27.
4 Taquet M, Geddes JR, Husain M, Luciano S, Harrison PJ. 6-month neurological and psychiatric outcomes in 236,379 survivors of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. Lancet Psychiatry 2021;8:416–27.
5 Hao F, Tam W, Hu X, Tan W, Jiang L, Jiang X, et al. A quantitative and qualitative study on the neuropsychiatric sequelae of acutely ill COVID-19 inpatients in isolation facilities. Transl Psychiatry. 2020;10:355.
6 Méndez R, Balanzá-Martínez V, Luperdi SC, Estrada I, Latorre A, González-Jiménez P, et al. Short-term neuropsychiatric outcomes and quality of life in COVID-19 survivors. J Intern Med. 2021;290:621–31.
7 Geense WW, Zegers M, Peters MA, Ewalds E, Simons KS, Vermeulen H, et al. New physical, mental, and cognitive problems 1 year after ICU admission: a prospective multicenter study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021;203:1512–21.
8 Needham DM, Dinglas VD, Morris PE, Jackson JC, Hough CL, Mendez-Tellez PA, et al. Physical and cognitive performance of patients with acute lung injury 1 year after initial trophic
versus full enteral feeding EDEN Trial follow-up. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2013;188:567–76.

9 Kanberg N, Ashton NJ, Andersson L-M, Yilmaz A, Lindh M, Nilsson S, et al. Neurochemical evidence of astrocytic and neuronal injury commonly found in COVID-19. *Neurology* 2020;95:e1754–59.

10 Ho RC, Sharma VK, Tan BYQ, Ng AYY, Lui YS, Husain SF, et al. Comparison of brain activation patterns during olfactory stimuli between recovered COVID-19 Patients and healthy controls: a functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) study. *Brain Sci.* 2021;11:968.

Correspondence: Raúl Méndez, Servicio de Neumología, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Avda, Fernando Abril Martorell 106, 46026 Valencia, Spain.

Email: mendez_rau@gva.es