Altered spontaneous brain activity patterns in strabismus with amblyopia patients using amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation: a resting-state fMRI study
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Objective: Previous studies have demonstrated that strabismus or amblyopia can result in markedly brain function and anatomical alterations. However, the differences in spontaneous brain activities of strabismus with amblyopia (SA) patients still remain unclear. This current study intended to use the amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) technique to investigate the intrinsic brain activity changes in SA subjects.

Patients and methods: A total of 16 patients with SA (6 males and 10 females) and 16 healthy controls (HCs; 6 males and 10 females) similarly matched in age, gender, and education status were recruited and examined with the resting-state functional MRI. The spontaneous brain activity changes were investigated using the ALFF technique. The receiver operating characteristic curve was performed to classify the mean ALFF signal values of the SA patients from HCs. The correlations between the ALFF values of distinct brain regions and the clinical manifestations in SA patients were evaluated in terms of the Pearson’s correlation analysis.

Results: Compared with HCs, SA patients had significantly decreased ALFF in the left cerebellum posterior lobe, left middle frontal gyrus, and bilateral thalamus. In contrast, SA patients showed increased ALFF values in the right superior frontal gyrus, right precuneus, left cuneus, and bilateral precentral gyrus. Nonetheless, there was no linear correlation between the mean ALFF values in brain regions and clinical features.

Conclusion: Diverse brain regions including vision-related and motion-related areas exhibited aberrant intrinsic brain activity patterns, which imply the neuropathologic mechanisms of oculomotor disorder and vision deficit in the SA patients.
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Introduction

Strabismus and amblyopia are two common visual development disorders, which might have onset in infancy but persist into adulthood if not successfully treated. Strabismus is an optical manifestation disorder in coordination of the extraocular muscles, which is considered to be related to the cerebral visual pathways maldevelopment that mediate eye movements, and inimically affecting the stereopsis, binocularity, and depth of perception. It has been reported that the incidence rate of adult-onset strabismus was 54.2 per 100,000 individuals, and adult strabismus is usually associated with amblyopia. Amblyopia is a monocular visual disorder owing to abnormal binocular development, and generally identified by decreased visual acuity (VA) and sensitivity in the amblyopic eye. Strabismus often contributes to compromised amblyopia, and...
and extraocular surgery); (2) any evidence of other eye diseases (cataract, glaucoma, optic neuritis, macular degeneration, etc.).

Exclusion criteria: (1) patients with other eye diseases (cataract, glaucoma, optic neuritis, macular degeneration, etc.).

Ophthalmology Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. Inclusion criteria: (1) adults over 18 years old; (2) strabismus; (3) had to be greater than one line difference in the best-corrected VA (VA ≥ 0.20 logMAR units) between the amblyopic and the fellow eye, and had central fixation; (4) the eyes did not combine with other eye diseases (cataract, glaucoma, optic neuritis, macular degeneration, etc.).

Exclusion criteria: (1) patients with previous ocular surgery history (intraocular surgery and extraocular surgery); (2) any evidence of other eye diseases (infection, inflammation, and ischemic disease); (3) psychiatric disorders, cardiovascular disease, and cerebral infarction disease; (4) drugs or alcohol addiction.

Sixteen HCs (6 males and 10 females) with similar age, gender, and education status compared to the SA group participated in this study. All of the HCs met the subsequent criteria: (1) no deformities in the brain parenchyma on MRI; (2) no ocular disease history with best-corrected VA ≤ 0 logMAR units; (3) no psychiatric disease; (4) capable of MRI examination (no cardiac pacemaker or implanted metal devices, etc.).

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University and followed the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects cooperated voluntarily and signed informed consents after informing of the purposes, contents, and potential risks.

MRI parameters

MRI scans were performed on 3-Tesla MRI scanners (Trio, Siemens, Munich, Germany). All participants were asked to keep their eyes closed, stay awake, and breathe calmly until the scan was over. Then the functional data were collected using a 3D spoiled gradient-recalled echo sequence with the following parameters: 176 structural images (repetition time = 1,900 ms, echo time = 2.26 ms, thickness = 1.0 mm, gap = 0.5 mm, acquisition matrix = 256 × 256, field of view = 250 × 250 mm, flip angle = 9°). And 240 functional images (repetition time = 2,000 ms, echo time = 30 ms, thickness = 4.0 mm, gap = 1.2 mm, acquisition matrix = 64 × 64, flip angle = 90°, field of view = 220 × 220 mm, 29 axial) were also obtained. The whole scanning time was 15 min.

fMRI data processing

We implied MRicro software to classify functional data to exclude incomplete data. The first 15 time points were discarded owing to magnetization equilibration. The rest of the data preprocessing, such as Digital Imaging Communications in Medicine form transformation, slice timing, head motion correction, spatial normalization, and smoothening with a Gaussian kernel of 6 × 6 × 6 mm³ full-width at half-maximum, was performed by the toolbox Data Processing Assistant for Resting-State fMRI advanced edition (DPARSFA 4.0, http://rfmri.org/DPARSF) software based on Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) and a rs-fMRI data analysis toolkit (REST, http://www.restfmri.net) software. The subjects who had more than 1.5 mm maximum shift in x, y, or z and 1.5 of angular motion during the entire 15 time points were then removed. Then, the voxel size of each image was resampled to 2 × 2 × 2. The images were acquired in 45 axial slices through the full thickness of the brain. The data were motion corrected by using the fMRicor software. We used a general linear model approach to the fMRI data analysis. The data were corrected for head motion by using the Mricor software. The data were then spatially normalized to a standard space, and the images were spatially smoothed using a Gaussian Kernel of 8 mm.

Patients and methods

Subjects

A total of 16 patients with SA (6 males and 10 females, 11 exotropia and 5 esotropia) were recruited from the Ophthalmology Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. The criteria were: (1) no deformities in the brain parenchyma on MRI; (2) no ocular disease history with best-corrected VA ≥ 0.20 logMAR units; (3) no psychiatric disease; (4) capable of MRI examination (no cardiac pacemaker or implanted metal devices, etc.).

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University and followed the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects cooperated voluntarily and signed informed consents after informing of the purposes, contents, and potential risks.

MRI examination (no cardiac pacemaker or implanted metal devices, etc.).

Amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) is a resting-state fMRI analysis method, which is able to manifest regional spontaneous brain activities at rest by evaluating the intrinsic fluctuations in BOLD signal. This present study proposed to use the ALFF technique to explore altered spontaneous brain activities in strabismus with amblyopia (SA) patients in comparison with healthy controls (HCs) and correlate the results with clinical manifestations.

Data Processing Assistant for Resting-State fMRI advanced edition (DPARSFA 4.0, http://rfmri.org/DPARSF) software based on Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) and a rs-fMRI data analysis toolkit (REST, http://www.restfmri.net) software. The subjects who had more than 1.5 mm maximum shift in x, y, or z and 1.5 of angular motion during the entire 15 time points were then removed. Then, the voxel size of each image was resampled to 2 × 2 × 2. The images were motion corrected by using the fMRicor software. We used a general linear model approach to the fMRI data analysis. The data were corrected for head motion by using the Mricor software. The data were then spatially normalized to a standard space, and the images were spatially smoothed using a Gaussian Kernel of 8 mm.
Brain–behavior correlation analysis

Brain regions with various ALFF values between the two groups were classified as ROI using the REST software, and the mean ALFF of each ROI was calculated by averaging every original ALFF value over all voxels. In the SA group, the relationship between the mean ALFF value in each ROI and behavioral performances was investigated with linear correlation analysis. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

Statistical analysis

The demographic and clinical variables between SA and HC groups were compared using SPSS20.0 software (SPSS, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) with independent sample \( t \)-test, and the results were considered to be statistically significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

Two-sample \( t \)-test was applied to explore the voxel-wise difference between two groups using the REST software. The statistical threshold of voxel level was set at \( P < 0.05 \) for multiple comparisons using Gaussian random field theory. And AlphaSim corrected at a voxel level of \( P < 0.01 \) and cluster size \( >40 \) voxels.

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve method was performed to classify the mean ALFF values in diverse brain regions of the SA subjects from HCs. The correlations between the ALFF values of distinct brain areas and the clinical features in SA patients were evaluated in terms of the Pearson’s correlation analysis.

Results

Demographics and visual measurements

There were no significant differences in age \( (P = 0.615) \) and best-corrected VA of fellow eye \( (P = 0.185) \) between the SA patients and the HCs. There were statistically notable differences in the best-corrected VA of amblyopic eye \( (P < 0.001) \) between the two groups (more details are presented in Table 1).

ALFF differences

In the SA group, the ALFF values were significantly increased in the right superior frontal gyrus and precuneus, left cuneus, and bilateral precentral gyrus compared to HCs (Figure 1 [red] and Table 2). The brain regions of the SA group with significantly decreased ALFF values included the bilateral thalamus, left cerebellum posterior lobe, and middle frontal gyrus (Figure 1 [blue] and Table 2). The mean values of ALFF between two groups are presented in Figure 2. However, we did not discover any correlation between the ALFF values of different brain regions and their manifestations in the SA group \( (P > 0.05) \).

ROC curve

We hypothesized that the differences in ALFF values could be potential diagnostic markers to distinguish the SA group from HCs. To verify this assumption, the mean ALFF values of the distinct brain areas in SA group were collected and analyzed using ROC curves. When the area under the curve (AUC) is 0.5–0.7, it denotes the accuracy is low, and the accuracy is certain when AUC is 0.7–0.9. The individual AUC of ALFF values in different regions were as follows: right superior frontal gyrus \( (0.871, P < 0.001) \), right precuneus \( (0.949, P < 0.001) \), left cuneus \( (0.898, P < 0.001) \), bilateral precentral gyrus \( (0.957, P < 0.001) \), (Figure 3A, SAs > HCs).

Table 1 Demographics and clinical measurements of SA and HC groups

|                | SA       | HC       | \( t \)-value       | \( P \)-value |
|----------------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|
| Male/female    | 6/10     | 6/10     | N/A                 | >0.99       |
| Age (years)    | 24.50±5.91 | 24.94±5.23 | -0.222          | 0.615       |
| Handedness     | 16 R     | 16 R     | N/A                 | >0.99       |
| Duration (years)| 18.19±9.85 | N/A       | N/A                 | N/A         |
| Esotropia/exotropia | 5/11 | N/A       | N/A                 | N/A         |
| Spherical equivalent refractive error (diopters) | 1.22±0.56 | 1.25±0.67 | -0.365 | 0.741 |
| Mean ± SD (range) | -2.75–1.75 | -2.75–2.00 | N/A                 | N/A         |
| Angle of strabismus (PD) | 26.25±12.71 | N/A       | N/A                 | N/A         |
| Best-corrected VA-ae | 0.53 | -0.05±0.08 | 6.149 | <0.001 |
| Best-corrected VA-Fe | -0.03±0.09 | -0.01±0.07 | -0.651 | 0.185 |

Notes: Independent \( t \)-tests comparing the two groups \( (P < 0.05 \) represented statistically significant differences). Data shown as mean SD or n.

Abbreviations: AE, amblyopic eye; FE, fellow eye; HC, healthy control; N/A, not applicable; PD, prism diopter; R, right; SA, strabismus with amblyopia; VA, visual acuity.
Figure 1 Spontaneous brain activity in the SA and HC groups.
Notes: (A) The different ALFF regions between the SA and HC groups. (B) Significant differences of brain activity in cerebrum. (C) Significant differences of brain activity in cerebellum. The red regions indicate higher ALFF values, and the blue regions imply lower ALFF values (P<0.05, AlphaSim corrected, cluster size >40).
Abbreviations: ALFF, amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation; HC, healthy control; L, left; R, right; SA, strabismus with amblyopia.

Table 2 Brain areas with significantly different ALFF values between groups

| Conditions | L/R | Brain regions         | BA | MNI coordinates | Peak voxels | t-value |
|------------|-----|-----------------------|----|-----------------|-------------|---------|
|            |     |                       |    | X   | Y   | Z   |               |           |
| SAs > HCs  |     |                       |    |     |     |     |               |           |
| 1          | R   | Superior frontal gyrus| 10 | -12 | 60  | 6   | 41             | 4.6552    |
| 2          | R   | Precuneus             | 27 | -75 | 39  | 144 | 5.1766        |
| 3          | L   | Cuneus                | 19 | -24 | -87 | 27  | 48             | 4.8382    |
| 4          | B   | Precentral gyrus      | 6  | -3  | -21 | 78  | 648            | 9.9577    |
| SAs < HCs  |     |                       |    |     |     |     |               |           |
| 1          | L   | Cerebellum posterior lobe | -33 | -60 | -42 | 88  | -4.4430       |
| 2          | L   | Thalamus              | -18 | -15 | 12  | 78  | -6.3723       |
| 3          | R   | Thalamus              | 12  | -21 | 12  | 101 | -6.0901       |
| 4          | L   | Middle frontal gyrus  | 9  | -48 | 15  | 30  | 89             | -5.6140 |

Note: The statistical threshold was set at voxel level with P<0.05 for multiple comparisons using Gaussian random field theory voxels with P<0.01 and cluster size >40 voxels, AlphaSim corrected.

Abbreviations: ALFF, amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation; BA, Brodmann area; B, bilateral; HC, healthy control; L, left; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; R, right; SA, strabismus with amblyopia.
left cerebellum posterior lobe (0.914, \( P < 0.001 \)), left thalamus (0.938, \( P < 0.001 \)), right thalamus (0.938, \( P < 0.001 \)), and left middle frontal gyrus (0.973, \( P < 0.001 \)). (Figure 3B, SAs < HCs).

### Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the very first study to demonstrate that the intrinsic brain activity patterns of different regions in the SA individuals were altered when compared to HCs.

### Analysis of higher ALFF values in the SA group

The SA patients had significantly increased ALFF signal values in the left cuneus, right precuneus, right superior frontal gyrus, and bilateral precentral gyrus.

The cuneus, located in the occipital lobe which involves the visual cortex, is believed to play an important role in visual processing.\(^{25}\) It has been reported that the monocular inputs are originally combined in primary visual cortex (V1), where alteration might relate to amblyopic vision deficit.\(^{26}\) In addition, the cuneus modifies visual information to extrastriate cortices via V1.\(^{27}\) Previous studies have shown inconsistency in this region. Some claimed to observe reduced cerebral blood flow in V1 and extrastriate cortices due to amblyopia.\(^{28}\)
cortex of amblyopic eye during visual stimulation,16,28,29 and reduced gray matter volume in the visual cortex included bilaterally cuneus in adults with strabismus.30 Meanwhile, Li et al31 have found white matter volume increased in the right cuneus, middle occipital, and left orbitofrontal regions of monocular amblyopia patients under resting state. In this study, the SA group showed higher ALFF values in the left cuneus. Such increase is supposed to imply the plasticity of neurons, probably driven by stimulation from the fellow eye, afterward leading to a compensatory increase for the deficit of visual input.

The precuneus is located in the medial wall of BA7, which is the somatosensory association cortex and believed to play a pivotal role in visuomotor coordination. Precuneus has been suggested to be involved in visuospatial imagery,32 self-processing,33 episodic memory retrieval,34 spatial location encoding,35 and default-mode network.36 In previous studies, Wang37 and Muckli38 have demonstrated that visuomotor coordination is decreased in amblyopic subjects. Similar results have displayed declined ALFF in precuneus both in amblyopic children and adults.39,40 Researches of strabismus subjects suggested that white matter volume was reduced in the right precuneus in the comitant strabismus patients.41 Nevertheless, Yang et al found a significant increase of the bold signal in the bilateral precuneus in the patients with infantile esotropia,42 and cortical activations in intermittent exotropia were found in right precuneus.43 In the present study, we detected an increased ALFF in the right precuneus of SA group. This escalation is consistent with the cuneus, which suggest an analogous potential compensation mechanism may facilitate visual defective individuals to execute sensory-guided motor behaviors.

The precentral gyrus is located on the superficial posterior frontal lobe, which is a part of the primary motor cortex that contains multiple neurons and associates with the muscle.44 The precentral gyrus plays a critical part in movement frequency and quantity.44,45 Greater gray matter volume was identified at the right precentral gyrus in subjects with strabismus.46 Lin et al47 reported that the regional homogeneity values of spontaneous brain activity in the bilateral precentral and postcentral gyrus was higher in anisometropic amblyopia. Consistent with these previous findings, the increased ALFF value in our study may reflect the plasticity that compensates for strabismus and amblyopia-related deficits.

In the oculomotor pathway of saccadic eye movement, some brain regions are thought to be implicated, such as the frontal eye field (FEF), the supplementary eye field (SEF), and midbrain regions.47 The SEF is located on the superior section of the frontal lobe medial wall,48 where superior frontal gyrus lies in, which indirectly controls the sequences of visual-guided saccades and eye–hand coordination. Studies have detected greater gray matter volume at the left superior frontal gyrus and inferior frontal sulcus in patients with strabismus.49 In this current study, higher ALFF value was observed in the right superior frontal gyrus of SA group in comparison with HCs. These findings indicated functional reorganization to offset the neighboring impaired brain areas.

**Analysis of lower ALFF values in the SA group**

The SA patients had significantly decreased ALFF signal values in the left middle frontal gyrus, cerebellum posterior lobe, and bilateral thalamus.

The FEF is located in the frontal cortex, which is capable of initiating eye movements and influencing their latency or accuracy.49 The restricted FEF location is believed to lie on the posterior area of the middle frontal gyrus within a larger oculomotor region.50 Previous studies illustrated that the FEF played a crucial role in saccade related to movement generation.51,52 The patients with comitant exotropia exhibited decreased white matter volumes in the frontal lobe of the right hemisphere,53 meanwhile the amblyopic subjects displayed reduced gray matter density in the left middle frontal gyrus.39 In addition, voxel-wise degree centrality (DC) values of comitant exotropia strabismus patients decreased in the right middle frontal gyrus.54 Furthermore, Tan et al55 reported lower ALFF values of the bilateral middle frontal gyrus in subjects with congenital comitant strabismus, and similar ALFF reduction was found in anisometropic amblyopia patients.55 In support of these preceding reports, we also inspected decreased ALFF values in the left middle frontal gyrus in the SA group, which indicated FEF functional injury in these patients.

The cerebellum posterior lobe is located beneath the primary fissure and plays an important role in motor control and perception,56 particularly including the control of ocular motor.57–59 A previous study have provided evidence that the cerebellum was associated with the execution of eye movements.60 Hayakawa et al61 suggested that the cerebellar posterior vermis was related to saccadic eye movements. Huang et al61 found the mean diffusivity values in the bilateral cerebellum posterior lobe were significantly decreased in comitant strabismus patients, and Tan et al62 found DC values of comitant exotropia strabismus patients reduced
in the right cerebellum posterior lobe. Moreover, lower ALFF value of strabismus subjects has been observed in the bilateral cerebellum posterior lobe. In line with these previous studies, the ALFF reduction in the left cerebellum posterior lobe of SA group shown in the current study may reflect functional damage in this area. Therefore, we further presumed that this might result in the motor control impairment in SA subjects.

The thalamus is a vital region integrating neural activities from widespread cortical inputs and outputs. The thalamus controls the information transmission to cortex and is involved in visual perception and dynamic visual information processing to motor center via the retino-thalamo-cortical pathways. A previous study demonstrated that greater gray matter volume was detected at the right side of the thalamus of strabismus adults. Gupta et al found that viewing with amblyopic eye exhibited BOLD activity in bilateral thalamus with respect to amblyopia, whereas control group presented greater BOLD neural activation in cerebellum, thalamus, and frontal cortex compared with SA patients. In the present study, the ALFF reduction provided new evidence that the SA may lead to dysfunction of the thalamus.

Previous studies about amblyopia patients revealed altered ALFF values of brain regions in calcarine, middle occipital gyrus, postcentral gyrus, and precuneus, which were different from our current results. This might indicate the patients with strabismus would lead to brain functional impairment in superior frontal gyrus, cuneus, precentral gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, cerebellum posterior lobe, and thalamus. Studies about strabismus patients reported altered ALFF values of brain regions in medial frontal gyrus, cerebellum posterior lobe, and angular gyrus, which were clearly differ from the present study. This could help to explain the potential injury in superior frontal gyrus, precuneus, cuneus, precentral gyrus, and thalamus of patients with amblyopia. This study illustrated the different altered brain regions in SA patients and provided basis for further exploration of the potential pathogenesis of SA.

Conclusion
In summary, our results demonstrated that patients with SA had abnormal spontaneous activities in specific brain regions, which provide insight into the neural variation in SA patients.

However, several limitations have existed in the current study include a small number of sample size, which should be expanded in the future research for more precise results. And the clinical characteristics were not rigorous, for instance, exotropia and esotropia were all implicated. Different types of strabismus and amblyopia should be distinguished in the future research so as to evaluate brain functional activity changes more accurately. In spite of these defects, the present study revealed the potential pathogenesis of SA was relevant to the impairment in specific brain regions.

Acknowledgments
This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 81660158, 81460092, 81400372), Jiangxi Province Voyage Project (No: 2014022), Natural Science Key Project of Jiangxi Province (No: 20161ACB21017, 20181BBG70004), Youth Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No: 20151BAB215016), Technology and Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No: 20151BBG70223), and Health Development Planning Commission Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No: 20155204, 20175116).

Disclosure
This was not an industry supported study. The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References
1. Chen X, Fu Z, Yu J, et al. Prevalence of amblyopia and strabismus in Eastern China: results from screening of preschool children aged 36–72 months. Br J Ophthalmol. 2014;100(4):515–519.
2. Tarczy-Hornoch K, Cotter SA, Borchert M, et al. Prevalence and causes of visual impairment in Asian and non-Hispanic white preschool children: multi-ethnic pediatric eye disease study. Ophthalmology. 2013;120(6):1220–1226.
3. Brodsky MC, Fray KJ, Glasier CM. Perinatal cortical and subcortical visual loss: mechanisms of injury and associated ophthalmologic signs. Ophthalmology. 2002;109(1):85–94.
4. Gunton KB, Wasserman BN, Debenedictis C. Strabismus. Prim Care. 2015;42(3):393–407.
5. Mohney BG, Martinez JM, Holmes JM, Diehl NN. Incidence of strabismus in an adult population. J AAPOS. 2012;16(1):e23.
6. Chan ST, Tang KW, Lam KC, Chan LK, Mendola JD, Kwong KK. Neuroanatomy of adult strabismus: a voxel-based morphometric analysis of magnetic resonance structural scans. Neuroimage. 2004;22(2):986–994.
7. Farivar R, Zhou J, Huang Y, Feng L, Zhou Y, Hess RF. Two cortical deficits underlie amblyopia: a multifocal fMRI analysis. Neuroimage. Epub 2017 Sep 21.
8. Multi-ethnic Pediatric Eye Disease Study Group. Prevalence of amblyopia and strabismus in African American and Hispanic children ages 6 to 72 months the multi-ethnic pediatric eye disease study. Ophthalmology. 2008;115(7):1229–1236.
9. Bez Y, Coşkun E, Erol K, et al. Adult strabismus and social phobia: a case-controlled study. J AAPOS. 2009;13(3):249–252.
10. Mojon-Auzzi SM, Kunz A, Mojon DS. Strabismus and discrimination in children: are children with strabismus invited to fewer birthday parties? Br J Ophthalmol. 2011;95(4):473–476.
11. Brown HDH, Woodall RL, Kitching RE. Using magnetic resonance imaging to assess visual deficits: a review. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 2016;36(3):240–265.
12. Goodyear BG, Menon RS. Brief visual stimulation allows mapping of ocular dominance in visual cortex using fMRI. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2001; 14(4):210–217.

13. Wang X, Cui D, Zheng L, Yang X, Yang H, Zeng J. Combination of blood oxygen level-dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging and visual evoked potential recordings for abnormal visual cortex in two types of amblyopia. *Mol Vis.* 2012;18:909–919.

14. Liang M, Xie B, Yang H, et al. Altered interhemispheric functional connectivity in patients with anisotropic and strabismic amblyopia: a resting-state fMRI study. *Neuroradiology.* 2017;59(5):517–524.

15. Conner IP, Odom JV, Schwartz TL, Mendola JD. Monocular activation of V1 and V2 in ambyopic adults measured with functional magnetic resonance imaging. *J. AAPPOS.* 2007;11(4):341–350.

16. Algaze A, Roberts C, Leguire L, Schmalbrock P, Rogers G. Functional magnetic resonance imaging as a tool for investigating amblyopia in the human visual cortex: a pilot study. *J. AAPPOS.* 2002;6(5):300–308.

17. Barnes GR, Hess RF, Dumoulin SO, Achtman RL, Pike GB. The cortical deficit in humans with strabismic amblyopia. *J. Physiol.* 2001; 533(Pt 1):281–297.

18. Li C, Cheng L, Yu Q, Xie B, Wang J. Relationship of visual cortex function and visual acuity in anisotropic amblyopic children. *Int J Med Sci.* 2012;9(1):115–120.

19. Hess RF, Thompson B, Gole G, Mullen KT. Deficient responses from the lateral geniculate nucleus in humans with amblyopia. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2009;29(5):1064–1070.

20. Hess RF, Thompson B, Gole GA, Mullen KT. The amblyopic deficit and its relationship to geniculo-cortical processing streams. *J Neurophysiol.* 2010;104(1):475–483.

21. Huang X, Li SH, Zhou FQ, et al. Altered intrinsic regional brain spontaneous activity in patients with comitant strabismus: a resting-state functional MRI study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2016;12(12):2949–2956.

22. Tan G, Huang X, Zhang Y, et al. A functional MRI study of altered spontaneous brain activity pattern in patients with congenital comitant strabismus using amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2016;12:1243–1250.

23. Li Q, Bai J, Zhang J, Gong Q, Liu L. Assessment of cortical dysfunction in patients with intermittent exotropia: an fMRI study. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(8):e0160806.

24. Liu X, Yan Z, Wang T, et al. Connectivity pattern differences bilaterally in the cerebellum posterior lobe in healthy subjects after normal reading in patients with comitant strabismus: Evidence from a voxel-based morphometry study. *Mol Med Rep.* 2017;16(3):3276–3282.

25. Yang X, Zhang J, Lang L, Gong Q, Liu L. Assessment of cortical dysfunction in infantile esotropia using fMRI. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2014;14(4):409–416.

26. Binkofski F, Fink GR, Geyer S, et al. Neural activity in human primary motor cortex areas 4a and 4p is modulated differentially by attention to action. *J Neurophysiol.* 2002;88(1):514–519.

27. Guigon E, Bardac P, Desmurget M. Coding of movement- and force-related information in primate primary motor cortex: a computational approach. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2007;26(1):250–260.

28. Kim JA, Elissen JC, Sanes JN. Movement quantity and frequency coding in human motor areas. *J Neurophysiol.* 2005;94(4):2504–2511.

29. Lin X, Ding K, Liu Y, Yan X, Song S, Jiang T. Altered spontaneous activity in anisotropic amblyopia subjects: revealed by resting-state FMRI. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(8):e34373.

30. Gaymard B, Ploner CJ, Rivaud S, Vemmersch AL, Pierrot-Deseilligny C. Cortical control of saccades. *Exp Brain Res.* 1998;123(1–2):159–163.

31. Grosbras MH, Lobel E, de van Moortele PF, Lebihan D, Berthoz A. An anatomical landmark for the supplementary eye fields in human revealed with functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Cereb Cortex.* 1999;9(7):705–711.

32. Nertet M, Quentin R, Chanes L, Mitsumasa A, Valero-Cabré A. Frontal eye field, where art thou? Anatomy, function, and non-invasive manipulation of frontal regions involved in eye movements and associated cognitive operations. *Front Integr Neurosci.* 2014;8:66.

33. Blanké O, Spinelli L, Thut G, et al. Location of the human frontal eye field as defined by electrical cortical stimulation: anatomical, functional and electrophysiological characteristics. *Neuroreport.* 2000; 11(9):1907–1913.

34. Fernandes HL, Stevenson IH, Phillips AN, Segraves MA, Kording KP. Saliency and saccade encoding in the frontal eye field during natural scene search. *Cereb Cortex.* 2014;24(12):3232–3245.

35. Teyton DJ, Sommer MA, Chou H, Slocum WM, Schiller PH. Eye fields in the frontal lobes of primates. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev.* 2000; 32(2–3):413–448.

36. Yan X, Lin X, Wang Q, et al. Dorsal visual pathway changes in patients with comitant exotropia. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(6):e10931.

37. Tan G, Dan ZR, Zhang Y, et al. Altered brain network centrality in patients with adult comitant exotropia strabismus: a resting-state fMRI study. *J Int Med Res.* 2018;46(1):392–402.

38. Deng A, Chen T, Zhang J, Gong Q, Liu L. Abnormal spontaneous brain activity in patients with anisotropic amblyopia using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus.* 2017;54(5):303–310.
56. Paulin MG. The role of the cerebellum in motor control and perception. 
   *Brain Behav Evol*. 1993;41(1):39–50.
57. Ohtsuka K, Enoki T. Transcranial magnetic stimulation over the poste-
   rior cerebellum during smooth pursuit eye movements in man. *Brain*. 
   1998;121(Pt 3):429–435.
58. Hayakawa Y, Nakajima T, Takagi M, Fukuura N, Abe H. Human 
   cerebellar activation in relation to saccadic eye movements: a functional 
   magnetic resonance imaging study. *Ophthalmologica*. 2002;216(6): 
   399–405.
59. Kheradmand A, Zee DS. Cerebellum and ocular motor control. *Front 
   Neurol*. 2011;2:53.
60. Nitschke MF, Arp T, Stavrou G. The cerebellum in the cerebro-
   cerebellar network for the control of eye and hand movements-an fMRI 
   study. *Prog Brain Res*. 2005;148:151–164.
61. Huang X, Li HJ, Zhang Y, et al. Microstructural changes of the whole 
   brain in patients with comitant strabismus: evidence from a diffusion 
   tensor imaging study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2016;12:2007–2014.
62. Basso MA, Uhrlrich D, Bickford ME. Cortical function: a view from 
   the thalamus. *Neuron*. 2005;45(4):485–488.
63. Martin PR. Colour through the thalamus. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2004; 
   87(4–5):249–257.
64. Gupta S, Kumar SS, Saxena R, Gudwani S, Menon V, Sharma P. 
   BOLD fMRI and DTI in strabismic amblyopes following occlusion 
   therapy. *Int OphthalmoL*. 2016;36(4):557–568.