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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the Ponseti treatment in neglected club foot patients. Result of this study shall help us to manage untreated or neglected patients with club foot in better way and this study shall provide platform for bigger studies on clubfoot in Pakistan.

METHODOLOGY: This multicentre observational cross sectional study was conducted on retrospective data of patients registered to Ponseti Club foot Clinic between July 2013 to Dec 2016 at Dr Ruth Pfau Civil Hospital Karachi and Jinnah postgraduate Medical Center, Karachi. In this study 41 feet in 28 patients with Neglected club foot between 3 to 11 years were treated by Ponseti guidelines for older child (already walking child). Deformity was assessed with pirani scoring. Average follow up time was 3 years.

RESULTS: Painless plantigrade foot was obtained in all patients. In 3 patients equinus deformity recurred. Two were managed with recasting while in the third one along with recasting and retenotomy was undertaken. In 2 patients mild varus was recurred, which was managed with recasting. One patient was lost to follow-up after 2 years.

CONCLUSION: This study conclude that treatment of neglected idiopathic club foot patients presenting to us after walking age with Ponseti method according to Ponseti guidelines for older children is safe, effective and low cost method. It also reduces the risk of excessive surgical procedures.
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INTRODUCTION

Club foot or Congenital Talipes Equinovarus (CTEV) is a complex foot deformity which consists of adducted forefoot, cavus midfoot and equinovarus hindfoot\(^1\), which is usually bilateral and is more common in male newborns\(^1\). It is most common congenital foot deformity and this condition affects 1 and 7 births in every 1000 individuals worldwide\(^4\). In Pakistan, where 5.3 million births occur every year the prevalence of club foot would be 6000–7000 every year i.e. 1.4:1000 live births\(^1\).

Orthopaedists believe that initially its treatment should be non-operative\(^1\). The first pioneer who has introduced non-operative treatment was Kite\(^1\), but this method takes longer time for correction and successful results were only in patients treated by Kite\(^5\), which was modified in early 1940’s by Dr Ignacio Ponseti\(^1\). Ponseti Method has become very popular and vastly achieved improved results, the basic aim was to correct all components of deformity in order to achieve painless, plantigrade foot with good mobility without the need of special shoes\(^1\). A simple percutaneous tendo achilles tenotomy often is necessary to correct completely the equinus\(^1\).

This method has been proved successful in many institutions up to the age of 9 years\(^6\). Morcuende JA 2004\(^2\) has considered Ponseti method, as a first line treatment, reducing the number of extensive corrective surgery and relapses\(^1\), he believed that manipulation of the foot should start as soon as possible\(^7\). A study was successful in treating 9 out of 11 patients with a mean age of 11.2 (range between 6 -19 years) with neglected and untreated club foot by some modifications in the Ponseti method\(^8\). Another successful prospective study was done on 41 feet of 30 patients with mean age of 3.02 (range 1-10.3 years)\(^9\). Khan SA 2010\(^10\) has concluded that Ponseti treatment should be the initial treatment even in the neglected cases. Neglected club foot is defined as the club foot not treated up to walking age\(^4\). The neglected cases are more common in poor people living in areas with less medical facilities needed for the treatment of club foot\(^11\), also it has been noticed that repeated soft tissue release leads to long term functional disability; joints get stiff and there are chances of getting arthritis of foot early in age\(^12\). Despite clubfoot being prevalent in Pakistan, we do not have ample data available on techniques, outcomes and complications of management for Pakistani pediatric population.
Considering the paucity of data, our primary objective of this study is to evaluate the Ponseti treatment in older children who are either untreated or neglected. Result of this study shall help us to manage untreated or neglected patients with club foot in better way and this study shall provide platform for bigger studies on clubfoot in Pakistan.

METHODODOLOGY

This retrospective multicentre observational cross sectional study with Non probability consecutive sampling technique was conducted on retrospective data from patients registered to ponseti clinic during July 2013 to Dec 2016 in the department of Orthopaedics at Dr Ruth K.M Pfau Civil Hospital Karachi and Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Centre (JPMC) in Karachi. This study evaluated retrospectively via club foot registry of 41 feet in 28 consecutive children of neglected idiopathic club feet between 3 to 11 years of age who were treated by Ponseti guidelines for older child (already walking child). We excluded all other types of club feet. Other types includes syndromic club foot, treated club foot, and club foot beyond the age of our inclusion criteria. The required information was gathered through a review of medical record for patient's demographic data, Pirani Score, number of cast, Surgical procedure (Achillies tendon percutaneous tenotomy and / or lengthening + posterior capsulectomy),pre and post cast documented photographs and complications related to procedure on a structured proforma designed for this study.

Pirani scoring was used retrospectively to evaluate results. Pirani scoring is easy, widely used and predictable about the likely treatment of the club foot. The Pirani scoring system consists of 6 categories, 3 each in the hind foot and the midfoot. They were between 4 or 5 Pirani score. There was no empty heel sign in all patients. No previous treatment was done for these patients.

In all children treatment was done according to Ponseti guidelines for older child (already walking child). Manipulations were performed by the primary author that lasted for approximately 5 minutes. Then Long leg cast was applied that were changed weekly for the first 3 cast and the rest were changed every 2 weeks. After getting 30 to 40 degrees of abduction, Equinus was corrected by percutaneous tenotomy of Achilles tendon under local anesthesia up to 7 years of age. In children older than 7 years, Achilles tendon lengthening was done along with posterior capsulotomy under general anesthesia by the author. Long leg cast was applied for 4 weeks for patients upto age 7 years and it was used for 6 weeks in greater than 7 years age. After getting 30 to 40 degrees of abduction and 10 to 20 degrees of dorsiflexion, a standard foot abduction brace was given. Brace was used 16 to 18 hours a day up to 3 months for the club foot patients of 7 years of age and after that during night time for 2 years. In club foot patients > 7 years of age night time foot abduction brace were applied for 2 years. All feet in this study were followed for an average period of 3 years and were evaluated by using a Pirani scoring.

SPSS Version 20.0 was used to analyze data. Mean was calculated for age and number of casts. Frequency was used to calculated degrees, recurrences and complications.

RESULTS

Of 28 patients 17 were male and 11 were females with age between 3 and 11 years mean age 5.24 years ± 2 described in Table I. All patients had severe deformity with mean 5 Pirani score. Except equinus deformity, all deformities were corrected by serial casting. Correction was obtained with a mean of 09 casts (6 to 14). The no of cast increases with age and severity of Pirani score. Midfoot was corrected to pirani score 0 with casts in all patients. Equinus corrected with percutaneous tendonotomy or tendon Achilles lengthening, along with posterior capsulotomy depending on age of the patient.

In patients up to 7 years abduction up to 40 to 50 degrees was achieved, while in older abduction of 30 to 40 degrees was achieved. Dorsiflexion of 10 to 20 degrees achieved in patient age up to 7 years while in older 10 to 15 degrees of dorsiflexion achieved. There were mild complications with casting.3 patients had swelling of toes, 5 had redness of skin due to excessive pressure that were managed without any treatment. No major complications were observed with minor surgical procedures.

In 3 patients, equines deformity was recurred, of them two were managed with recasting while in third one along with recasting retenotomy was undertaken. In 2 patients mild varus deformity was recurred that was managed with recasting. One patient lost follow-up after 2 years. Results described in Table II.

### TABLE I: DEMOGRAPHICS

| Age     | N (%)                |
|---------|----------------------|
| Mean (years) | 5.24 ± 2.00         |
| Gender  |                      |
| Male    | 17 (60.7%)           |
| Female  | 11 (39.3%)           |
| Site of Club foot |                |
| Right   | 9 (32.1%)            |
| Left    | 6 (21.4%)            |
| Bilateral | 13 (46.4%)         |
| Total number of feet |      |
| Right+left+bilateral | 41(100%)          |
TABLE II: RESULTS

|                | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Valid          |           |         |
| No relapse     | 22        | 78.6    |
| Treated with relapse | 5        | 17.9    |
| Lost follow-up | 1         | 3.6     |
| Total          | 28        | 100.0   |

**DISCUSSIONS**

In our study correction was obtained with mean of 9 casts. In patients up to 7 years abduction up to 40 to 60 degrees achieved, while in older abduction of 30 to 40 degrees achieved. Dorsiflexion of 10 to 20 degrees achieved in patient age up to 7 years while in older 10 to 15 degrees of dorsiflexion achieved.

Strength of this study lies in its novelty. This is the first of its kind study in Pakistan to best of our knowledge. However the study has small sample and was conducted only in this hospital.

In our study the mean Pirani score was 5 before treatment and 0 after treatment. This is consistent with result of study by Methani. Methani in his study had mean Pirani score of 4.3 before treatment and 0.03
after treatment\textsuperscript{14}. Another study published in Indian Journal of Orthopedics mean Pirani score was 5.41 before treatment and 0.12 after treatment\textsuperscript{16}.

In our study, correction was obtained with a mean cast of 9. This was similar to finding of Bashi RH 2016\textsuperscript{8}. In his study correction was obtained with a mean cast of 9 (6 to 13). Sinha A 2016\textsuperscript{9} in his study took mean 12.8 numbers of casts for correction. However in studies by Ayana B 2014\textsuperscript{15}, the mean no of casts were 8.

In our study, in patients up to 5 years abduction up to 40 to 60 degrees correction was achieved, while in older abduction of 30 to 40 degrees achieved. Dorsiflexion of10 to 20 degrees achieved in patient age up to 7 years while in older 10 to 15 degrees of dorsiflexion achieved. This is consistent with the mean dorsiflexion achieved by Sinha A 2016\textsuperscript{9} in his study was 21.3 degree (15-40).

Ponseti is generally considered excellent for club foot. However if patient is not compliant with the brace treatment, recurrence of deformity may occur\textsuperscript{16}. In our study 3 patients with equinus deformity had recurrence. Two were managed with recasting while in one managed with recasting and retenotomy. Two patients recur with mild varus, they were managed with recasting.

In a developing country like Pakistan, Ponseti technique is a very safe, easy, have efficacy and very economical for management of clubfoot. Proper motivation and educating the parents to get use to long-term brace treatment will not only help maintain the correction for longer period of time but also prevents relapse.

**CONCLUSION**

We concluded that treatment of neglected idiopathic club foot patients presenting to us after walking age with ponseti method according to ponseti guidelines for older children is safe, effective and low cost method. Through this treatment we can achieve functional painless foot.

**RECOMENDATION**

This treatment should be considered as first modality in the management of neglected idiopathic club foot children presented after walking age.

**LIMITATION**

The main limitation of this study was its retrospective design, small number of patients and lack of comparison with surgical methods, other then that we are feeling this study will help the orthopaedic surgeons who are managing neglected club foot.
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