Atmospheric CO₂ Alters Resistance of Arabidopsis to Pseudomonas syringae by Affecting Abscisic Acid Accumulation and Stomatal Responsiveness to Coronatine
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Atmospheric CO₂ influences plant growth and stomatal aperture. Effects of high or low CO₂ levels on plant disease resistance are less well understood. Here, resistance of Arabidopsis thaliana against the foliar pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst) was investigated at three different CO₂ levels: high (800 ppm), ambient (450 ppm), and low (150 ppm). Under all conditions tested, infection by Pst resulted in stomatal closure within 1 h after inoculation. However, subsequent stomatal reopening at 4 h, triggered by the virulence factor coronatine (COR), occurred only at ambient and high CO₂, but not at low CO₂. Moreover, infection by Pst was reduced at low CO₂ to the same extent as infection by mutant Pst cor⁻. Under all CO₂ conditions, the ABA mutants aba2-1 and abi1-1 were as resistant to Pst as wild-type plants under low CO₂, which contained less ABA. Moreover, stomatal reopening mediated by COR was dependent on ABA. Our results suggest that reduced ABA levels at low CO₂ contribute to the observed enhanced resistance to Pst by deregulation of virulence responses. This implies that enhanced ABA levels at increasing CO₂ levels may have a role in weakening plant defense.
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INTRODUCTION

The atmospheric CO₂ level has been rising at an accelerating rate since the industrial revolution. According to the Coupled Climate-Carbon Cycle Model Intercomparison Project (C4MIP), atmospheric CO₂ is predicted to reach levels varying between 730 and 1020 ppm at the end of 21st century. During recent years, various Free-Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) studies were conducted to assess the long-term impact of elevated CO₂ levels on plant performance. These studies showed that elevated CO₂ levels typically result in enhanced plant growth, decreased transpiration, and higher water use efficiency (Coleman et al., 1993; Dermody et al., 2006; Reich et al., 2006; Jain et al., 2007; Leakey et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012; Schmid et al., 2016). In contrast, studies using reduced CO₂ levels revealed an association with decreased photosynthesis and reduced growth (Sage and Coleman, 2001; Temme et al., 2015). Generally, different plants respond similarly to changes...
in atmospheric CO$_2$ levels, but also variable responses depending on the genotypic differences between plant species and species ecotypes have been reported (Murray, 1995; Li et al., 2006; Temme et al., 2015). For example, levels of the major metabolites fructose, galactose, and glucose decreased significantly under elevated CO$_2$ conditions in Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis) ecotype Cvi-0, but not in the ecotypes Col-0 and Ws-0 (Li et al., 2006).

The impact of the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration on the level of plant disease resistance is highly variable (Chakraborty et al., 2000; Garrett et al., 2006; Kobayashi et al., 2006; Yáñez-López et al., 2014). High CO$_2$ concentrations increase the canopy size and leaf humidity, resulting in a microclimate that is favorable for the development of many pathogenic microbes (Manning and Tiedemann, 1995). Nevertheless, at elevated CO$_2$ the infection rate of the anthracnose Colletotrichum gloeosporioides on the pasture Stylosanthes scabra was significantly reduced (Chakraborty and Datta, 2003). Intriguingly, in a FACE study assessing the effects of elevated CO$_2$ on soybean diseases, it was observed that high CO$_2$ increased the susceptibility to brown spot Septoria glycines, whereas the susceptibility to downy mildew Peronospora manshurica was reduced (Eastburn et al., 2010). Moreover, high CO$_2$-induced susceptibility of Arabidopsis to powdery mildew (Erysiphe cichoracearum) was reported to be dependent on the Arabidopsis ecotype (Lake and Wade, 2009). These results indicate that the effect of atmospheric CO$_2$ on disease resistance is influenced by plant genotype, pathogen species, and environmental conditions.

Stomata serve as important passages for many foliar plant pathogenic microbes to access the plant (Melotto et al., 2008; Grimmer et al., 2012). Stomata also control the exchange of gases, such as water vapor and CO$_2$, between the atmosphere and the leaves, hence their formation and aperture is influenced by atmospheric CO$_2$. Elevated atmospheric CO$_2$ levels generally lead to a decrease in stomata density and stomatal aperture (Israelsson et al., 2006). Atmospheric CO$_2$ levels also influence the opening and closure of stomata. Several molecular players have been identified in this process, including the protein kinase HT1 (HIGH LEAF TEMPERATURE1), which is a key regulator of CO$_2$-induced stomatal movement, and the MATE transporter RHC1 (RESISTANCE TO HIGH CO$_2$ 1), which represses HT1 (Hashimoto et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2015; Hashimoto-Sugimoto et al., 2016). In addition, carbonic anhydrases and bicarbonate have been identified as early regulators of CO$_2$ signaling in Arabidopsis guard cells, as they enhance the physical interaction between RHC1 and HT1 (Hu et al., 2010; Xue et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2015). The latter process activates OST1 (OPEN STOMATA1) and SLAC1 (SLOW ANION CHANNEL1), resulting in an efflux of anions and subsequent closure of stomata (Xue et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2015), a process which is dependent on ABA signaling (Chater et al., 2015).

The effects of different CO$_2$ conditions on stomata behavior potentially modify pathogen infection. At elevated CO$_2$ levels, red maple leaves showed enhanced resistance to the fungus Phyloplistica minima, which was associated with reduced stomatal aperture (McCormone et al., 2005). Also in the tomato-Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst) interaction, a correlation between increased disease resistance and a reduction of stomatal aperture was observed under elevated CO$_2$ conditions (Li et al., 2014). However, stomata-independent defenses controlled by plant hormones contributed to the observed enhanced resistance as well (Zhang et al., 2015). In addition, the decrease in stomatal aperture of Medicago truncatula by elevated CO$_2$ was demonstrated to improve aphid feeding (Sun et al., 2015).

Control of stomatal aperture is a crucial aspect of the plant defense response to pathogens. Under ambient conditions, the stomata of Arabidopsis and tomato plants close actively within 1 to 2 h after infection with the bacterial pathogen Pst, which restricts entry of this pathogen into the leaf and, hence, limits colonization of the host tissue (Melotto et al., 2006). Nonetheless, a subsequent 2 to 3 h later, Pst suppresses the stomatal closure by producing the virulence factor coronatine (COR), which is a structural mimic of an isoleucine derivative of the plant hormone jasmonic acid (JA), and effectively induces stomata reopening (Melotto et al., 2006). Interestingly, many signaling components that are involved in Pst-induced stomatal responses, particularly the plant hormones abscisic acid (ABA), salicylic acid (SA) and JA, have also been implicated in CO$_2$-induced stomatal responses (Melotto et al., 2008; Neill et al., 2008; Zeng et al., 2010; Montillet et al., 2013). This indicates that stomata act as a key point of check of plant defense under changing atmospheric CO$_2$ conditions.

Plant hormones play pivotal roles in gene regulatory networks that control responses to biotic and abiotic stress conditions (Fujita et al., 2006). Besides SA and JA, which are two key players in plant immune signaling, other hormones such as ABA, ethylene, auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins have been implicated in defense signaling, often by modulating the SA-JA backbone of the hormone-regulated immune signaling network (Vlot et al., 2009; Robert-Seilaniabantz et al., 2011; Pieterse et al., 2012). ABA can function negatively in the post-invasive defense phase through its antagonism of SA-JA-controlled pathogen defenses (Ton et al., 2009; Pieterse et al., 2012). For example, tomato and Arabidopsis mutants that are defective in ABA signaling are less susceptible to SA-controlled hemi-biotrophic bacteria like Pst and JA/ethylene-controlled necrotrophic fungi like Botrytis cinerea (Audenaert et al., 2002; Thaler and Bostock, 2004; De Torres-Zabala et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2015). However, ABA can also function positively in plant immunity by co-regulating the pre-invasive defense phase that controls papillae formation at the site of infection and stomatal behavior (Melotto et al., 2008; Ton et al., 2009; Pieterse et al., 2012). For example, Melotto et al. (2006) found that the ABA-deficient mutant aba3-1 was defective in stomatal closure following infection with Pst, suggesting that ABA signaling is required for Pst-induced pre-invasive stomatal defense.

Elevated CO$_2$ has been shown to influence plant hormone levels and signaling. Generally, SA signaling is enhanced and JA signaling is reduced (DeLucia et al., 2012), which was demonstrated in tomato to increase resistance to Pst and reduce resistance to B. cinerea (Zhang et al., 2015). Different results on the effects of elevated CO$_2$ on ABA signaling in Arabidopsis have been reported, showing a reduction in ABA content (Teng et al., 2006), but also an increase in transcript abundance of
ABA-responsive genes (Li et al., 2006). It has been demonstrated that ABA signaling interacts with CO2 signaling in guard cells (Leymarie et al., 1998; Israelsson et al., 2006; Kim and Maik, 2010; Hubbard et al., 2012; Merilo et al., 2013, 2015). Whether CO2 and ABA signaling converge in controlling defense responses is unknown.

Despite growing efforts on studying plant disease resistance under high atmospheric CO2, the exact signaling mechanisms underlying the effects of different CO2 levels on plant defense remain elusive. Moreover, up to now studies on the effects of low CO2 on plant immune responses are scarce. Inclusion of low CO2 experiments could reveal effects of the steep incline in CO2 levels that the world has faced since the industrial revolution (Sage and Coleman, 2001). Most plants are expected to still be adapted to lower levels of atmospheric CO2 than the current ambient level. Using Arabidopsis-Pst as a model, we set out to investigate whether and how atmospheric CO2 affects the disease resistance to this bacterial pathogen that gains access to the plant through stomatal openings. We observed that high CO2-grown Arabidopsis plants exhibited enhanced susceptibility to Pst, whereas plants grown under low CO2 conditions were more resistant. The ABA content in low CO2-grown plants was shown to be reduced upon Pst infection. The role of ABA in atmospheric CO2-modulated disease resistance was further investigated using ABA mutants. Both ABA mutants and low CO2-grown wild-type plants showed attenuation of COR-triggered stomatal reopening and displayed an enhanced resistance level to Pst. These data suggest that the historic rise of atmospheric CO2 may have caused enhanced disease susceptibility to certain pathogens due to the ABA-regulated suppression of plant immunity.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Materials and Cultivation

Seeds of *A. thaliana* accessions Col-0 and Landsberg erecta (Ler-0), and mutants aba2-1 [Col-0] (Koornneef et al., 1982), abi1-2 [Col-0] (Gosti et al., 1999), and abi1-1 [Ler-0] (Koornneef et al., 1984) were sown on autoclaved river sand under ambient CO2 conditions (450 ppm). Two weeks later, seedlings were transferred to 60-ml pots containing a sand/potting soil mixture that was autoclaved twice for 20 min. Then, they were placed in high (800 ppm), ambient (450 ppm), or low (150 ppm) atmospheric CO2 conditions, where they remained for the rest of the experiment, except in the experiments shown in Figures 3D,E and Supplementary Figure S3, for which the seedlings continued growing for 2 more weeks under the ambient CO2 condition and were only after inoculation with the Pst bacteria or treatment with ABA placed under the different CO2 conditions for the remainder of the experiment. The technical specifications of the CO2-controlled growth chambers used in this study have been described in detail by Temme et al. (2015). Plants grew at a 10-h day at 20°C and 14-h night at 18°C cycle (350 µmol m−2 s−1) with 70% relative humidity. Plants were watered every other day and received half-strength Hoagland solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1938) twice a week. Plants were treated when 4 weeks old in all experiments. For dry weight measurements, 10 rosettes per time point were put separately in a paper bag and dried for 3 days at 60°C.

#### Cultivation of Bacteria and Bioassays

*Pst* (Whalen et al., 1991) and *Pst cor*− (strain DB29 of *Pst*, which is a cmaA cfaA double mutant; Brooks et al., 2004) were grown on KB medium (King et al., 1954) supplemented with 50 µg ml−1 rifampicin. To prepare inoculum, bacteria were streaked from rifampicin-selective KB agar plates and subsequently cultured in liquid KB medium in a shaker at 220 rpm at 28°C for 24 h. Bacteria were collected by centrifugation for 10 min at 1,500 × g, and resuspended in 10 mM MgSO4. For dip inoculation, the bacterial inoculum was diluted to a final concentration of 5 × 10⁷ cfu ml⁻¹ of 10 mM MgSO4 containing 0.015% (v/v) Silwet L-77 (Van Meeuwen Chemicals, Weesp, Netherlands). For pressure infiltration, the bacterial suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 5 × 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ (disease assay) or 1 × 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ (ABA measurement). The abaxial side of leaves was pressure infiltrated with a needleless syringe.

To determine bacterial growth in planta, leaf disks of infected plants were harvested, weighed, surface sterilized in 70% ethanol for 8 s, and washed with water immediately after. Subsequently, 200 µl of 10 mM MgSO4 was added to the leaf disks after which they were grown thoroughly. Aliquots of 10 µl of different dilutions were plated onto KB plates containing 25 µg ml⁻¹ rifampicin. After 48 h of incubation at room temperature, bacterial colonies were counted and growth of the bacteria was calculated after log-transformation of the cfu data. Eight biological replicates were included for each time point.

#### Stomata Measurement

Stomatal aperture and density were measured by a modified protocol of dental resin impressions (Geisler et al., 2000). Two components of Present Light Body (Coltène, Altstatten, Switzerland) were mixed thoroughly (v/v, 1:1) and the abaxial side of the leaves was softly pressed onto the dental resin immediately after harvesting. Leaves were removed 10 min later when the mixture had hardened. Transparent nail polish was applied to the dental resin molds to create casts, which were fixed on microscope slides with Anutex modeling wax (Kemdent, Purton, Swindon, Wiltshire, UK) for further observation.

Stomata were examined using an Olympus microscope and Analysis D Olympus Software on the pictures taken. Stomatal aperture was determined by measuring the width and length of the stomata. At least six leaves were harvested for each treatment and 20–30 observations were recorded from each leaf.

#### ABA Measurement and Treatment

For ABA quantification, 60–250 mg leaf material was harvested 24 h after treatments and ground to a fine powder using liquid nitrogen. ABA was extracted as described (Scala et al., 2013). Briefly, the samples were homogenized in 0.5 ml of 70% methanol using a Precellys24 tissue homogenizer (Bertin Technologies, Berlin) by shaking at 6,000 rpm for 40 s. Subsequently, the homogenates were centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatants of two extraction
steps were pooled together. ABA was quantified by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis on a Varian 320 Triple Quad LC-MS/MS. Endogenous ABA levels were quantified by comparing the integrated surface area from each sample with its corresponding internal standard.

To measure stomatal responsiveness to exogenously applied ABA, leaves were dipped in a solution of 15 μM ABA in 0.015% (v/v) Silwet L-77.

RESULTS

Effect of High and Low Atmospheric CO$_2$ Levels on Arabidopsis Growth and Stomatal Behavior

Numerous studies have been conducted to assess the effect of high CO$_2$ levels on plant performance, including plant growth, stomatal behavior, and disease resistance. However, only limited information is available on the effects of low CO$_2$ levels on the plant. Here, we studied the effects of three different CO$_2$ levels on Arabidopsis plants in the absence and presence of pathogens: high (800 ppm), ambient (450 ppm), and low (150 ppm) levels of CO$_2$. Plants were cultivated under ambient CO$_2$ until they were 2 weeks old, after which they were placed under the three respective CO$_2$ conditions. We noticed that plants that had grown under the low CO$_2$ condition for an additional 2 weeks had smaller rosette sizes compared to plants grown under high and ambient CO$_2$ conditions (Figure 1A). Also the dry weight of the rosettes was significantly lower in the low CO$_2$ condition (Figure 1B). In contrast, there were no effects on rosette growth under high CO$_2$ conditions, which was rather unexpected since most previous studies have reported an increase in biomass (Bowes, 1991; Leakey et al., 2009). However, our experimental conditions may not have been optimal for stimulated growth by elevated CO$_2$ (Temme et al., 2015) and moreover, the Col-0 accession that we used may respond differently to high CO$_2$ than other plant species (Li et al., 2006; Leakey et al., 2009; Temme et al., 2015).

Stomatal density and aperture were investigated under the three CO$_2$ conditions as well. At high atmospheric CO$_2$, stomatal density was not influenced, but a significant decrease in stomatal aperture was found (Figures 1C,D). At low atmospheric CO$_2$, an increase in both stomatal density and stomatal aperture was detected. These results are in line with previous studies that found that the inverse relationship between atmospheric CO$_2$ and stomatal behavior was more evident under sub-ambient CO$_2$ conditions than under elevated CO$_2$ conditions (Royer, 2001),
which is a phenomenon that is referred to as the CO$_2$ ‘ceiling’ phenomenon. The major effects on plant growth and stomatal behavior observed at especially the low CO$_2$ level prompted us to introduce a pathogen into the system that naturally enters through stomata in order to study the effects of CO$_2$ on plant immunity.

**Low Atmospheric CO$_2$ Inhibits COR-Triggered Stomatal Reopening**

To explore whether the differential stomatal behavior at the three tested atmospheric CO$_2$ levels affects stomatal defense responses, we examined the stomatal responsiveness of Arabidopsis plants to infection by the bacterial leaf pathogen *Pst*. Previously, it was shown that at ambient CO$_2$ the stomata close within 1 to 2 h after dip inoculation with *Pst*, and reopen again at 3 to 4 h due to the action of the virulence factor COR (Melotto et al., 2006). Our results under ambient conditions are in line with this finding, since we found that the stomata closed within 1 h after dip inoculation with wild-type *Pst* or the COR-deficient mutant *Pst cor$^{-}$* (Figure 2). Subsequently, at 4 h after inoculation, the stomatal aperture was significantly greater in leaves infected by the wild-type *Pst* strain than by the *Pst cor$^{-}$* mutant strain. It should be noted that for proper determination of the COR effect one should compare the mutant infection with the wild-type infection, and not with the mock treatment at 4 hpi. The circadian rhythm influences the stomatal aperture (closing in the afternoon), which may explain the somewhat more closed stomata in the mock situation at 4 hpi. Therefore, in comparison to mock, stomata of *Pst cor$^{-}$*-infected leaves were no longer statistically significantly more closed at 4 hpi and moreover, the stomata of wild-type *Pst*-challenged leaves were not statistically significantly more open, although a trend, likely due to COR action, was visible.

High CO$_2$-grown plants contained stomata that were generally more closed (Figures 1D, 2). Still, the stomata initially closed further when the leaves were attacked by *Pst* and near significant closure was also induced by *Pst cor$^{-}$* infection. Similar to the ambient condition, under high CO$_2$ the stomata were subsequently reopened by the wild type in comparison to the mutant bacteria. This suggests that stomatal responsiveness to PAMP-triggered closure and COR-triggered opening is intact at the high CO$_2$ level. Under the low CO$_2$ condition, stomata were opened more widely (Figures 1D, 2), but still they closed within 1 h after inoculation with *Pst* wild type or *Pst cor$^{-}$* mutant, which is comparable to the ambient and high CO$_2$ conditions. In contrast, at 4 h after inoculation, the stomata of both the *Pst* and the *Pst cor$^{-}$*-challenged leaves remained closed under the low CO$_2$ condition. These data show that plants grown under high and low CO$_2$ conditions initially respond to *Pst* infection by closing their stomata, despite their original differences in stomatal aperture. However, the subsequent COR-mediated stomatal reopening occurs only under high and ambient CO$_2$ conditions, whereas it is blocked under the low CO$_2$ condition.

**Atmospheric CO$_2$ Alters Resistance to *Pst* in a COR-Dependent Manner**

The resistance of Arabidopsis plants to *Pst* infection under the different atmospheric CO$_2$ conditions was tested by determining...
the growth of Pst in plants cultivated at different CO₂ levels. Initially, at 4 h after dip inoculation, plants grown at high CO₂ levels contained significantly less Pst than plants grown at low CO₂ (Figure 3A), which coincided with the lower stomatal density and aperture in leaves of high CO₂-grown plants, thereby allowing fewer bacteria to enter the leaves (Figures 1C,D, 2). However, at 4 days after inoculation, the Pst bacterial titer in high CO₂-grown plants was significantly higher compared to that in ambient and low CO₂-grown plants (Figure 3A). In this particular experiment, the bacterial titer in low CO₂-grown plants showed a trend of reduced amounts compared to ambient-grown plants; in other experiments the difference between the two treatments was often found to be statistically significant (Figures 3D,E, 5B and Supplementary

**FIGURE 3** | Effect of different atmospheric CO₂ levels on resistance of Arabidopsis to Pst. (A) Growth of Pst in planta at 4 h and 4 days after dip inoculation of plants grown under three different CO₂ conditions. Depicted are the averages of log₁₀-transformed bacterial titer (±SD; per leaf area) from eight biological replicates. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between the CO₂ treatments at the indicated time point (two-way ANOVA, Fisher’s LSD test, \( P < 0.05 \)). Indications above the brackets specify the interaction (CO₂ condition × time) between the three CO₂ conditions and the time (4 hpi and 4 dpi) (\( ***P < 0.001 \)). (B) Pictures of the disease symptoms of plants grown under three different CO₂ conditions at 4 days after dip inoculation with Pst. (C) Growth of Pst or Pst cor in planta at 4 days after dip inoculation of plants grown under three different CO₂ conditions. Indicated are the averages of log₁₀-transformed bacterial titer (±SD; per g of leaf fresh weight) from eight biological replicates. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between the CO₂ treatments within the same bacterial treatment (two-way ANOVA, Fisher’s LSD test, \( P < 0.05 \)). Indications above the brackets specify the interaction (CO₂ condition × bacterium genotype) between the three CO₂ conditions and the two Pst genotype treatments (wild type and mutant) (\( ***P < 0.001 \)). (D,E) Growth of Pst in planta 4 days after inoculation of plants that had been cultivated under the ambient CO₂ condition until inoculation by (D) dipping \( (5 \times 10^{7} \text{ cfu ml}^{-1}) \) or (E) pressure infiltration \( (6 \times 10^{7} \text{ cfu ml}^{-1}) \), after which plants were transferred to either high, ambient, or low CO₂ conditions. Depicted are the averages of log₁₀-transformed bacterial titer (±SD; per g of leaf fresh weight) from eight biological replicates. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between the CO₂ treatments (Fisher’s LSD test, \( P < 0.05 \)). These figures are representative of at least two independent experiments.
Figure S2). Moreover, less-severe chlorotic disease symptoms on plants grown at low CO$_2$ compared to plants grown at ambient and high CO$_2$ were detected (Figure 3B). Given the different plant growth rates when cultivated at different CO$_2$ levels, it is possible that differences in the weight per leaf area caused the detected differences in _Pst_ titer when depicted per leaf area. To rule out this possibility, the bacterial titer was also determined per gram of leaf tissue. The same trend, namely enhanced multiplication of _Pst_ under high, and reduced amplification under low CO$_2$ conditions was found (Figure 3C).

The role of COR in successful infection by _Pst_ through facilitation of stomatal reopening, but also by suppression of SA-mediated defense signaling and disease symptom development, has been well established (Mittal and Davis, 1995; Brooks et al., 2005). The _in planta_ growth of the _Pst cor$^{-}$_ mutant strain was on average, over all three tested atmospheric CO$_2$ conditions, significantly lower than that of the _Pst_ wild-type strain, as was reported previously (Figure 3C) (Melotto et al., 2006). However, while the bacterial titer of wild-type _Pst_ was significantly higher in high CO$_2$-grown plants and lower in low CO$_2$-grown plants, growth of the mutant _Pst cor$^{-}$_ was severely limited under all three CO$_2$ conditions, reaching the same low bacterial titer as that of wild-type _Pst_ in low CO$_2$-grown plants (Figure 3C). The statistically significant interaction of atmospheric CO$_2$ with the _in planta_ growth difference between _Pst_ and _Pst cor$^{-}$_ suggests that atmospheric CO$_2$ regulates the plant’s sensitivity to COR, leading to enhanced responsiveness at high CO$_2$ and impaired responsiveness at low CO$_2$. This differential responsiveness to COR could play a role in the observed differences in resistance levels to _Pst_ under the three tested CO$_2$ conditions.

Whether the inhibition of COR-mediated stomatal reopening under the low CO$_2$ condition could explain the observed high level of resistance to _Pst_ was tested by assaying bacterial growth after pressure infiltration of the _Pst_ bacteria into the leaves. However, due to the small leaf size of low CO$_2$-cultivated plants, a different experimental set-up had to be employed in which all plants were grown at ambient CO$_2$ until they were inoculated with _Pst_ (when the plants were 4 weeks old), after which they were placed under either the high, ambient, or low CO$_2$ condition for the remainder of the experiment. First, we tested in this set-up the effect of different CO$_2$ levels on _Pst_ growth after dip inoculation and found that the bacterial growth was affected similarly to the original set-up in which plants had experienced the different CO$_2$ conditions already 2 weeks preceding the dip inoculation (Figures 3A,D). Also upon pressure infiltration of _Pst_ into the leaves, the effects of CO$_2$ on bacterial growth were very much alike: high CO$_2$ caused enhanced susceptibility whereas low CO$_2$ caused reduced susceptibility (Figure 3E). This suggests that under the low CO$_2$ condition not only COR-mediated stomatal reopening is affected, but also the suppression of post-invasive defense responses is reduced. On the other hand, under the high CO$_2$ condition post-invasive defense appears stronger downregulated.

A Role for ABA Signaling in COR-Mediated Stomatal Reopening

To gain more insight into how the differential responsiveness to COR observed under different atmospheric CO$_2$ levels may alter plant immunity, we assessed the role of the hormone ABA, a known regulator of stomatal aperture, in _Pst_-triggered stomatal closure and subsequent reopening (Melotto et al., 2006). First, we studied the effect of ABA on stomatal behavior under our ambient CO$_2$ condition. It has previously been shown that in non-induced situations the stomata of the Arabidopsis ABA-deficient mutant _aba2-1_ and the ABA-insensitive mutant _abi1-1_ can be more open than that of wild-type plants, but this effect is not always evident (Figures 4, 5A, and Supplementary Figure S1) (Merlot et al., 2002; Melotto et al., 2006), which may be related to difference in stomatal aperture of wild-type plants at different times of the day on which the experiments were executed (Somers et al., 1998; Dodd et al., 2004). Nevertheless, in all experiments challenge with _Pst_ triggered initially stomatal closure in wild type as well as _aba2-1_ and _abi1-1_ plants within 1 h after dip inoculation (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S1a). Moreover, while stomata subsequently reopened in a COR-dependent manner in wild-type plants as detected at 4 h after inoculation, stomata did not reopen in the _aba2-1_ and _abi1-1_ mutants when treated with either _Pst_ or _Pst cor$^{-}$_ (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S1a). These findings are in contrast with those described by Melotto et al. (2006) who showed that neither the ABA-deficient mutant _aba3-1_, nor the ABA-insensitive mutant _ost1-2_, responded with stomatal closure to infection by _Pst_ or treatment with flg22, the active epitope of bacterial flagellin. However, in accordance

![FIGURE 4 | Effect of ABA signaling on stomatal aperture in response to _Pst_ and _Pst cor$^{-}$_ under ambient CO$_2$ conditions. Stomatal aperture in wild-type Col-0 and the ABA deficient mutant _aba2-1_ at 2 and 4 h after dip inoculation with _Pst_ or _Pst cor$^{-}$_. Indicated are the averages of the stomatal aperture (+SD) of six leaves. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between treatments within one plant genotype at the indicated time point (two-way ANOVA). Fisher’s LSD test, _P_ < 0.05). The interaction (bacterium genotype × time) between the two _Pst_ genotype treatments (wild type and mutant) and the time (1 and 4 hpi) in the same plant genotype was 0.26 for wild-type Col-0 and 0.95 for _aba2-1_. This figure is representative of two independent experiments.](image-url)
with our results, Montillet et al. (2013) reported that mutants aba2-1 and ost1-2 did close their stomata upon flg22 treatment. These results suggest the existence of an ABA-independent pathway in guard cells involving pathogen-induced stomatal closure. Furthermore, our data reveal a role for ABA signaling in COR-mediated stomatal reopening.

**ABA-Dependency of Atmospheric CO₂-Controlled Stomatal Aperture and Disease Resistance against Pst**

Based on our finding that under ambient CO₂ conditions ABA mutants show a stomatal response pattern to Pst infection that is similar to that of wild-type Arabidopsis plants grown under low CO₂ conditions, we hypothesized that there could be a role for ABA signaling in atmospheric CO₂-alked disease resistance to Pst. To test this, we first measured stomatal aperture of the mutant aba2-1 when cultivated at different levels of atmospheric CO₂ without Pst infection. As expected, stomata of wild-type Col-0 plants were more closed at high CO₂ and more opened at low CO₂ (Figure 5A). However, stomata of the aba2-1 mutant did not change their aperture under different CO₂ conditions. In fact, their stomata were relatively open under all three CO₂ conditions, to the same high level as that of low CO₂-grown wild-type plants (Figure 5A). This statistical interaction between ABA and CO₂ levels suggests that ABA can be involved in stomatal responsiveness to different atmospheric CO₂ conditions.

Subsequently, we tested whether atmospheric CO₂ can alter disease resistance to Pst in ABA mutants. Under ambient and high CO₂ conditions, both aba2-1 and abi1-1 exhibited reduced in planta growth of Pst compared with wild-type plants (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S2), supporting a negative role for ABA signaling in the defense response against Pst, as shown previously (Melotto et al., 2006; De Torres-Zabala et al., 2007). More importantly, under all three CO₂ conditions Pst growth in both ABA mutants was as low as in the wild-type plants grown at low CO₂ (Figure 5B and Supplementary

---

**FIGURE 5** The effect of ABA signaling on atmospheric CO₂-altered stomatal aperture and resistance to Pst. (A) Stomatal aperture of Arabidopsis wild-type Col-0 and the ABA deficient mutant aba2-1 grown under different atmospheric CO₂ conditions. Depicted are the averages of the stomatal aperture (±SD) of six leaves. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between the CO₂ treatments within the same genotype (two-way ANOVA, Fisher’s LSD test, *P* < 0.05; ns, not significant). Indications above the brackets specify the interaction (CO₂ condition x Arabidopsis genotype) between the three CO₂ conditions and the two Arabidopsis genotypes (wild-type Col-0 and mutant aba2-1) (**P < 0.01**). This experiment has not been repeated. (B) Growth of Pst in wild-type Col-0 and the mutant aba2-1 at 4 days after dip inoculation. Indicated are the averages of the log_{10} transformed bacterial titer (±SD; per leaf area) from eight biological replicates. Different letters indicate a statistically significant difference between the CO₂ treatments within the same genotype (two-way ANOVA, Fisher’s LSD test, *P* < 0.05; ns, not significant). Indications above the brackets specify the interaction (CO₂ condition x Arabidopsis genotype) between the three CO₂ conditions and the two Arabidopsis genotypes (wild-type Col-0 and mutant aba2-1) (**P < 0.001**). (C) Levels of ABA in leaves of wild-type Col-0 plants grown under three different atmospheric CO₂ conditions. Leaves of 4-week-old plants were pressure infiltrated with Pst (1 × 10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹) or mock (10 mM MgSO₄) solution and after 24 h assayed for ABA content. Indicated are the averages of ABA levels (±SD) from five biological replicates. Different letters indicate a statistically significant difference in ABA levels between the CO₂ conditions within the same treatment (two-way ANOVA, Fisher’s LSD test, *P* < 0.05). Indications above the brackets specify the interaction (CO₂ condition x bacterium treatment) between the three CO₂ conditions and the treatments (Pst and mock) (**P < 0.0001**). The part labels (B) and (C) are representative of two independent experiments.
Figure S2). Together, these results suggest that ABA signaling plays an important role in atmospheric CO$_2$-regulated plant defense responses against 
Pst.

Previously, ABA has been reported to accumulate upon 
Pst infection (De Torres-Zabala et al., 2007). Moreover, enrichment in atmospheric CO$_2$ can also change ABA levels or ABA signaling, although variable effects in Arabidopsis have been described (Li et al., 2006; Teng et al., 2006). We assayed the ABA content in leaves infected with 
Pst under different atmospheric CO$_2$ conditions. In the absence of 
Pst, ABA accumulation under the low CO$_2$ condition was significantly reduced compared to that under the high CO$_2$ condition, but no statistically significant differences with the ambient CO$_2$ condition were detected (Figure 5C). In 
Pst-challenged leaves the ABA concentrations rose significantly compared with mock-treated leaves under all three CO$_2$ conditions. However, in the low CO$_2$-grown plants the ABA levels were significantly lower than those in the ambient and high CO$_2$-grown plants upon infection by 
Pst. These results suggest that reduced ABA levels in low CO$_2$-grown plants may be responsible for enhanced resistance to 
Pst.

DISCUSSION

As one of the major characteristics of global climate change, the continuously rising atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration has received extensive attention during the past decades. Here, we investigated the interplay between atmospheric CO$_2$ and Arabidopsis defense mechanisms to infection by 
Pst. Plants are likely still evolutionary adapted to pre-industrial CO$_2$ levels that are lower than the current global CO$_2$ concentration. Therefore, by comparing three conditions, namely low (150 ppm), ambient (450 ppm), and high (800 ppm) CO$_2$ levels, we compared the effect of the historic and future incline in CO$_2$ level. Up to now studies on low CO$_2$ effects on plant performance and plant disease resistance have been scarce (Tissue and Lewis, 2012). We show that effects of atmospheric CO$_2$ on ABA signaling may account for the observed differential stomatal responsiveness as part of the altered resistance level to pathogen infection, and that post-invasive defenses are modulated by atmospheric CO$_2$ as well.

Plant and Stomata Performance under Low and High CO$_2$ Conditions

Previous studies, focusing mainly on the effects of elevated atmospheric CO$_2$, showed that growth of various plant species was promoted by high CO$_2$ levels and inhibited by low CO$_2$ levels (Leakey et al., 2009; Eastburn et al., 2010; Temme et al., 2015). In our study, we show that the low CO$_2$ condition significantly reduced growth of Arabidopsis and caused the stomata to be opened more widely than under ambient CO$_2$ (Figure 1). Reduced ABA content and sensitivity in low CO$_2$-grown plants (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure S3) may be causal for the enhanced stomatal opening phenotype, and although a reduced plant stature has been reported for ABA mutants as well (Chatfield et al., 2000; LeNoble et al., 2004), the growth reduction at low CO$_2$ is more likely caused by reduced photosynthesis. We found that Arabidopsis grown at high CO$_2$ displayed a reduced opening of their stomata, as has been reported previously (Araújo et al., 2011). However, we found no reduction in stomatal density, nor an increase in rosette dry weight under the high CO$_2$ condition. No or small effects of high CO$_2$ on growth enhancement has previously been reported (Li et al., 2006; Temme et al., 2015). In our experimental set-up light intensity and nutrient constraints may have limited the stimulating effect of high CO$_2$ on plant growth. Our findings on stomatal density are in line with the previously described CO$_2$ ‘ceiling’ phenomenon, which refers to reaching a maximum stomatal density at a CO$_2$ level of 400 ppm and that stomata respond more strongly to sub-ambient than to elevated CO$_2$ concentrations (Kürschner et al., 1997; Royer, 2001).

Pst-Induced Stomatal Closure Can Be Independent of ABA and Occurs Independently of the CO$_2$ Condition

Besides CO$_2$, ABA determines the stomatal aperture. Here, we provide evidence for a role of ABA signaling in the regulation of stomatal aperture by different CO$_2$ levels in un-infected plants. Unlike the wild type, the stomatal aperture of the ABA biosynthesis mutant aba2-1 was not influenced by the CO$_2$ conditions (Figure 5A). Moreover, the ABA signaling mutant ab1-1 was unresponsive to high CO$_2$-induced stomatal closure, albeit sensitivity regarding low CO$_2$-induced opening was observed (Supplementary Figure S1). In general, our data corroborate previous findings on the interrelationship of ABA with elevated CO$_2$-regulated signaling in guard cells (Leymarie et al., 1999; Nishimura et al., 2010; Xue et al., 2011; McLachlan et al., 2014).

Activation of stomatal closure has been demonstrated to be an essential pre-invasive defense response to foliar pathogens in various plant species (Melotto et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Du et al., 2014). The ABA-deficient mutant aba3-1 was previously shown to be compromised in its ability to close its stomata in response to 
Pst infection, suggesting a requirement for ABA biosynthesis in 
Pst-induced stomatal closure (Melotto et al., 2006). However, in our experiments, at all CO$_2$ levels tested, both wild-type plants and the ABA mutants aba2-1 and ab1-1 responded to 
Pst infection with closure of their stomata (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S1). This indicates that the 
Pst-induced stomatal closure occurs at least partly independently of ABA and that atmospheric CO$_2$ does not influence this mechanism. This is in line with a recent finding that an ABA-independent oxylipin pathway is responsible for flg22- and 
Pst-induced stomatal closure (Montillet et al., 2013). Moreover, a genetic screen of Arabidopsis mutants that are impaired in 
Pst-induced stomatal closure generated multiple mutants that still exhibited ABA-induced stomatal closure (Zeng et al., 2011). In addition, Lim et al. (2014) demonstrated that ABA hyposensitive PP2CA1 overexpressors closed their stomata in response to 
Pst infection or flg22 treatment. Taken together, these results support the notion that besides ABA signaling, additional mechanisms that are independent of ABA and independent of
atmospheric CO$_2$ play a role in the stomatal closure response upon *Pst* infection.

**COR-Induced Stomatal Reopening Is Blocked at Low CO$_2$ and Depends on ABA**

The phytotoxin COR that is produced by *Pst* induces stomatal opening to stimulate infection. We found that under both ambient and high CO$_2$ conditions, initial *Pst*-induced stomatal closure was followed by COR-dependent stomatal reopening (Figure 2). Interestingly, while stomata in low CO$_2$-grown plants still responded to *Pst* with closing within 1 hpi, they did not reopen at 4 hpi, resulting in a stomatal aperture very similar to that of *Pst cor*–infected plants (Figure 2). Thus, if COR production by *Pst* is not affected by the low CO$_2$ level, sensitivity to COR in terms of stomata reopening seems compromised under the low CO$_2$ condition.

Previous reports demonstrated that under ambient CO$_2$ conditions, COR and ABA signaling can influence each other’s activity either negatively or positively. For instance, ABA-induced stomatal closure is inhibited by COR (Melotto et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2012), while both COR and ABA repress SA-regulated defense signaling (Brooks et al., 2005; De Torres-Zabala et al., 2007). Also, ABA and COR both activate gene expression of three NAC transcription factors that suppress *Pst*-induced SA biosynthesis and stomatal closure (Zheng et al., 2012). Here, we show that the mutants *aba2-1* and *abi1-1* closed their stomata upon inoculation with *Pst* and were unable to reopen them in response to COR production by *Pst* at 4 hpi under all three CO$_2$ conditions tested (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S1). This pointed to an unexpected role for ABA signaling in COR-mediated stomatal reopening, which is independent of CO$_2$ levels. As a follow-up experiment, expression of the COR-inducible, ABA-dependent NAC transcription factor genes ANAC019, ANAC055, and ANAC072 (Zheng et al., 2012) could be assessed in *aba2-1* and *abi1-1*; their reduced expression may contribute to the ABA-dependency of COR-mediated stomatal reopening. It has been demonstrated that reactive oxygen species (ROS) act as essential second messengers in Arabidopsis guard cells, functioning in CO$_2$- and ABA-induced stomatal closure (Pei et al., 2000; Mustilli et al., 2002; Chater et al., 2015). Interestingly, it has recently been reported that COR inhibited ROS production in guard cells, thereby aiding the inhibition of stomatal closure (Toum et al., 2016). Whether ROS production may act as a point of convergence between CO$_2$, ABA, and COR signaling and in doing so determines the outcome of COR responsiveness in ABA mutants and under different CO$_2$ conditions is an important question.

**Low CO$_2$ and Defective ABA Signaling Enhance Resistance to *Pst*, While High CO$_2$ Reduces Resistance**

In accordance with the blocked COR-induced stomatal reopening, low CO$_2$-grown plants exhibited significantly reduced growth of *Pst* at 4 dpi compared with ambient CO$_2$-grown plants (Figures 3C–E, 5B and Supplementary Figure S2). However, this decrease in susceptibility to *Pst* was apparent both in dip-inoculated and pressure-infiltrated leaves (Figures 3D,E), suggesting that the CO$_2$ effect on *Pst* infection is beyond the interference of CO$_2$ with stomatal defenses. The in planta growth of *Pst* under the low CO$_2$ condition was arrested to the same level as that of *Pst cor*– under low, ambient or high CO$_2$ conditions (Figure 3C). This demonstrates that impairment of COR-mediated defense suppression that is apparent under low CO$_2$ conditions severely reduces the virulence of *Pst*. It is known that SA plays an essential role in the defense response of Arabidopsis against *Pst*. By acting as a structural JA mimic, COR triggers a signaling cascade that counters SA-dependent defenses, thus promoting susceptibility to *Pst* infection (Zheng et al., 2012). It is possible that under low CO$_2$ conditions the function of COR is impaired, which alleviates the suppression of downstream SA signaling, resulting in enhanced resistance to *Pst*.

The inability of the mutants *aba2-1* and *abi1-1* to respond with stomatal reopening to COR, was associated with enhanced resistance to *Pst* (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S2), indicating the important role of ABA signaling in suppression of defenses by COR. The link with defective responsiveness to COR and enhanced resistance has been shown previously, albeit the role of ABA herein was in closing instead of reopening of the stomata (Lim et al., 2014). Interestingly, the *aba2-1* and *abi1-1* mutants were under all three CO$_2$ conditions as resistant to *Pst* as wild-type plants grown at low CO$_2$ (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S2) and *Pst* and *Pst cor* grew to a similar level in the *aba2-1* mutant (data not shown). Thus, the resistance phenotype of low CO$_2$-grown wild-type plants resembles that of the ABA mutants. Remarkably, also plant growth is inhibited by both low CO$_2$ and ABA-related mutations (Figures 1A,B) (Chatfield et al., 2000; LeNoble et al., 2004). Moreover, in low CO$_2$-grown plants the ABA levels were induced to a lower extent by *Pst* infection than in ambient or high CO$_2$-grown plants and a trend of reduced ABA content was already visible in the non-infected situation (Figure 5C). In addition, responsiveness to ABA was affected under low CO$_2$, shown by a significantly smaller effect on stomatal closure induced by exogenous application of ABA than under the high and ambient CO$_2$ conditions (Supplementary Figure S3). Altogether, these results suggest that the enhanced resistance to *Pst* that is evident under the low CO$_2$ condition is related to a decrease in ABA content and signaling.

In addition to a role in COR-triggered stomatal reopening that we demonstrated, ABA is also known to suppress SA defense signaling, possibly in part by activation of the three above-mentioned NAC transcription factors (De Torres-Zabala et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2012). Modulation of ABA signaling by atmospheric CO$_2$ may affect expression of SA-mediated defense responses. Not only did we show that the ABA mutants *aba2-1* and *abi1-1* were more resistant to *Pst* infection, we also demonstrated that the ABA hypersensitive mutant *abi1-2* is more susceptible to *Pst* (Supplementary Figure S4). At high CO$_2$ levels, wild-type Arabidopsis plants were also more susceptible to *Pst*.
(Figures 3, 5B and Supplementary Figure S2). We did not detect a significant increase in ABA content under high CO\(_2\), although a trend was visible (Figure 5C). Under high CO\(_2\) conditions, stomatal aperture was decreased, causing fewer Pst bacteria to enter the leaves, but still at dpi higher bacterial titers were measured, and also upon pressure infiltration enhanced Pst in planta growth was detected (Figures 1C, 3). It is unclear how high CO\(_2\) interferes with plant defense responsiveness. Besides an effect on plant defense, the enhanced Pst growth may be caused by a favorable endophytic environment for the bacteria in terms of nutrition and water availability in high CO\(_2\)-grown plants (Lake and Wade, 2009; Pangga et al., 2011), but this enriched condition was unlikely established within the 4 days time frame in which the plants were transferred from ambient to high CO\(_2\) condition, which was used for some of the experiments (Figures 5D,E). Moreover, in contrast to our findings, tomato plants were reported to exhibit reduced infection by Pst at elevated CO\(_2\) levels (Li et al., 2014). Thus, enrichment of the endophytic environment alone unlikely explains the full effect of high CO\(_2\) on enhanced Pst growth in Arabidopsis that we demonstrated. Possibly, the difference in genetic make-up between Arabidopsis and tomato plants can explain the difference in effect of high CO\(_2\) on susceptibility to Pst.

CONCLUSION

Our results show that atmospheric CO\(_2\) influences resistance of Arabidopsis to Pst, whereby pre-industrial, low CO\(_2\) levels lead to an increase in resistance. ABA signaling is demonstrated to be a regulator of COR-mediated stomatal reopening and susceptibility to Pst. Under low CO\(_2\) conditions ABA levels are reduced, which could explain the defect in COR-mediated stomatal reopening and the enhanced resistance to Pst. The global rise in atmospheric CO\(_2\) may be causal for the detected increase in ABA content of plants grown under ambient compared to the low CO\(_2\) condition when infected by the Pst pathogen. Further research could aid in developing efficient strategies to maintain agricultural production.
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