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ABSTRACT

One blunt abdominal aortic disruption (BAAD) and one blunt thoracic aortic injury (BTAI) case are presented. Both aortic injuries were combined with spinal fractures. In the BAAD case the aortic pseudoaneurysm manifested just above the lumbar fracture while in the BTAI case the aortic injury appeared several vertebrae below the thoracic fracture site, suggesting different mechanisms in the aortic wall damage. In both cases the aortic wall first was sealed, successfully, by endovascularly-placed stents, meaning the risks of open aortic reconstructive surgery could be avoided. The adjacent crucial vessel’s preservation, despite the stent covering the left subclavian artery and the left common carotid artery in one of the cases was verified by post-operative computed tomography angiography (CTA) examination. In second stage those spinal fractures which were deemed unstable were stabilized by the fixateur interne (a transpedicular screw-rod system). With this treatment sequence we wanted to avoid the unnecessary risk of a possible rupture of the unsealed aortic wall during positioning for the spinal procedure and during the spinal surgery. Both patients recovered from their aortic and spinal injuries.

Introduction

Blunt injury to the thorax or to the abdomen which causes aortic injury is not uncommon, although concomitant thoracolumbar spinal fractures and blunt aortic disruption are rare. These injuries together can occur mainly as a result of accidents in which the high energy is transferred to the patient’s body at the moment of impact (i.e. motor vehicle collision, fall from heights or extreme sports). Low energy impact can also cause aortic laceration, however infrequently, when fractured vertebra damages the aortic wall. In these complicated cases diagnosis and treatment options (i.e. observation, open reconstructive surgery versus endovascular stenting of a potentially life threatening aortic dissection, pseudo-aneurysm or wall rupture) need full consideration. Furthermore, in consideration of a concurrent spinal fracture, the treatment method and, should surgery be necessary, choice among surgery types are also issues. Two cases and their successful solutions are presented in this article.

This case report was approved by our Institutional Review Board.

Case I

A 31 years old female fell from an extreme height due to a malfunctioning parachute. Whole body Computed Tomography...
Fig. 1. Sagittal CT image shows the aortic wall damage, and the contrast agent leaving the lumen. Black arrow indicates the location (a). CT image presents Th8-9 and L1 vertebral fractures (b). 3D CT reconstruction image of the aorta highlights the vessel injury (c). Axial CT slice shows the same pathology (d). 3D CT reconstruction image demonstrates the aortic wall disruption and its location compared to the L1 fracture (e). White arrow points at the aortic lesion (c,d,e).

Fig. 2. Endovascular intraoperative images are presented. The black arrow indicates the site where contrast agent leaves the lumen of the aorta (a). Stenting is shown (b,c). After stenting the control image verifies the closed aortic wall with preserved celiac axis (d).
(WBCT) scan showed multiple consecutive thoracic (Th8–9 AO B2 type) spinal fractures and lumbar (L1 AO A1 type) fractures, with consecutive 8–11 rib fractures, a stable pelvic fracture, retroperitoneal hemorrhaging and bilateral hemo-pneumothorax. An arterial phase contrast agent left the lumen of the aorta at the level of Th12 vertebra tracing out a 9 × 16 × 16 mm pseudoaneurysm 8 mm above the celiac axis (grade III according to classification described by Azizzadeh et al.)[1] (Fig 1). There was no sign of perfusion perturbation below the level of the aortic injury and the patient hemodynamically remained stable. No neurological deficit could be detected, and the bilateral hemo-pneumothorax was drained. In commencing treatment, an invasive radiologist sealed the tear of the aortic wall with an endovascularly-placed stent graft (NuMmed CP 39 mm long, teflon-coated stent graft) without any adverse effect (Fig. 2). The stent graft did not overlap the celiac axis as it ended proximally to the vessel. The thoracic fracture was then stabilized by a transpedicular screw-rod system in situ (Th6-10 stabilization with Expedium system - DePuy Synthes Spine), and the dural sac was decompressed by a Th8 laminectomy (Fig. 3). Fracture-related hyperkyphosis was not corrected, and the post-operative period was uneventful. The patient recovered completely and gave birth to a healthy child three years ago.

**Case II**

A 32-year-old male automobile driver was injured in a motor vehicle collision (MVC). Because of decreasing oxygen saturation and tachypnea endotracheal intubation was performed and artificial ventilation was begun at the accident site in addition to a bilateral minithoracostomy. CT imaging verified Th1, Th3 AO A1 type and Th2 AO A2 type thoracic vertebral fractures associated with an aortic pseudoaneurysm in the arch (Fig. 4). Left-sided ribs 1 and 6, and multiple right-sided (1–10) rib fractures causing thoracic instability and bilateral hemo-pneumothorax with lung contusions were also revealed. Additionally, L1 and 2 vertebral, right scapula, right acetabular and right trimalleolar fractures, intraperitoneal free air with some blood, right-sided sub- and epidural intracranial hemorrhages were identified. This patient became hemodynamically stable after bilateral intrapleural drainage, and a laparotomy was not necessary. After the repositioning of the femoral head the acetabular fracture was treated conservatively, and, due to the malleolar fracture, osteosynthesis was performed. Extension was provided for right inferior extremity. Additionally, the patient received a stent graft into the aorta via an endovascular procedure prior to spinal stabilization. The stent graft extending across the left subclavian artery caused no flow restriction and therefore no adverse effect. The serial thoracic vertebral fracture which included AO A2 type injury was stabilized by a C7-Th4 transpedicular screw-rod construct (Expedium system - DePuy Synthes Spine) (Fig. 5). He also recovered completely from the major vessel injury and thoracic fracture.

**Discussion**

Traumatic blunt injuries to the aorta, usually referred to as blunt abdominal aortic disruption (BAAD) or blunt thoracic or traumatic aortic injury (BTAI) regardless the severely damaged part of the aorta (i.e. abdominal, arch, thoracic segment). BAAD or
BTAI, is the second most common cause of death after blunt head injury [2–4].

Traumatic aortic wall disruption is likely to occur in addition to injuries to other organs, including spinal column fractures. There are only a few articles which present concurrent aortic laceration and spinal fractures. Diagnostics of those patients who suffer from poly or multi trauma should involve, per modern trauma guidelines the entire spine, chest, abdomen, pelvis CT and, if clinical signs indicate, head CT as well [5]. In similar cases at our hospital we administer intravenous contrast agent for a more detailed screening of the major blood vessels to indicate possible leakages during the multiple phases. The mortality rate of untreated BAAD can be 90%, with a 85% mortality rate prior to hospital admission [4,6,7]. The feasibility of thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) by insertion of an endoprosthesis (stent graft) and as an alternative method to open surgery is well established in literature of the past decade. RESCUE trial and other studies showed an eight to 9% mortality rate using stent grafts endovascularly compared to open reconstructive surgery, which could rise to a 35–45% mortality rate [3,8–11]. Furthermore, considering the usually numerous concurrent injuries or possible co-morbidities which may increase the complication rate of open procedures, or render it, due to the risks unacceptable (i.e. lung contusion in case of a thoracic descending aorta injury), endovascular treatment became more popular [4,12]. Advantages of TEVAR versus open surgery (avoidance of cardiopulmonary bypass surgery, significant systemic heparinisation, and a thoracotomy) result in the reduction of morbidity rate [3,4]. Handling the left subclavian artery to preserve its flow (if it is involved in the stenting site) is still disputed (fenestrated stent graft versus surgical revascularisation) [3,13]. In case of an associated vertebral fracture, the aortic wall should be repaired first to prevent a sudden aortic rupture which could result from turning the patient to a lateral or prone position for spinal surgery, or due to manipulation during spinal realignment.

In one of our cases the aortic injury manifested exactly above the L1 vertebra fracture at the niveau of Th12 vertebra. In the other case the aortic wall disruption took shape below the fractured vertebra (Th1-3) at the level of Th5 vertebra. These cases illustrate the possibility that the spinal fracture and aortic injury might occur adjacent to each other. They could be caused by the same effect of a blow to the body in an accident or could appear at separate sites, having been caused by the same impact but with different mechanisms. Both patients received endovascular stenting to avoid further aortic wall disruption, possible bleeding and/or death prior to spinal stabilization, resulting in no adverse effects.
In this day and age many people choose extreme sports which can result in high energy crashes, and many more people drive on highways resulting in more MVC’s, meaning the number of these combined injuries will probably increase.

Conclusions

The aforementioned cases were successfully treated in a level one trauma center, with no evidence of vascular side branch occlusion, hypoperfusion, endoleak, stent infolding or stent collapse.

In cases of high energy traumas when poly trauma or multi trauma is very likely the paramount importance of CT evaluation cannot be emphasized enough. Contrast enhanced WBCT scan is able to reveal blunt aortic injuries in combination with spinal fractures.

Endovascular reconstruction of traumatic aortic wall disruption involves less risk compared to open surgical procedures, and
rational to be performed prior to any spinal reconstructive surgery.
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