Personal spend on cigarettes and tobaccos in Cuba from 2013 to 2016
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Abstract

Introduction: As risk factor smoking means a social opportunity cost because of the whole goods and services didn’t obtained to satisfice the tobacco and cigarettes demand. While the tobacco and cigarettes spend is increasing these opportunity cost will be increasing too.

Objective: To describe the tobacco and cigarettes spends in Cuba since 2013 to 2016.

Materials and methods: Was made a descriptive and longitudinal research about the tobacco and cigarettes spends in Cuba from 2013 to 2016. Were utilized two rates: monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos measured in pesos and monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos respect to middle salary measured in percent. Theoric methods: Historic – Logic, Inductive – Deductive, Comparative and Analysis and Synthesis. Empiric methods: documental and bibliographic research and arithmetic calculus. All the statistic processing was in Microsoft Excel 2007.

Results: The personal spend on cigarettes and tobaccos from 2013 to 2016 shows a stable and defined structure.

Conclusions: Havana, Santiago de Cuba provinces and the Special Municipality Isla de la Juventud were the Cuban regions showed consumption rate higher than the national middle.
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Introduction.

Smoking means a high social opportunity cost because of all goods and services didn’t obtained to satisfice the tobacco and cigarettes demand. While the tobacco and cigarettes spend is increasing these opportunity cost will be increasing too. The increasing of this cost makes emphasis in the people poorness. That’s why it is important to research the structure of the tobacco consumption and take measures to reduce the smoking consequences in the population. 1-3

The developed countries are characterized by less personal spends in tobacco. This is because the higher tobacco consumption occurs in developing countries. Especially in higher producers like China. 4

The accumulative character from smoking is given by the successive consumption of cigarettes and tobacco to satisfy the nicotine needs. The growing in tobacco consumption induced by before consumptions carries to reduce the available resources to afford the demand of needed goods. 5

This situation is agreeing in Cuba too. This is the land of the called best tobacco around the world. Inside Cuba the social and cultural conditions had contributed to keep inside the tobacco consumption like social and cultural behavior. This condition had determined several epidemiologic consequences agreeing with the smoking impact. 6-10

The description from the personal spends in tobacco and the impact over the personal economy constitutes a tool to measure the smoking social impact. Also, may be focused public policies agreeing with the regional impact too.

Objective.

To describe the tobacco and cigarettes spends in Cuba since 2013 to 2016.

Materials and methods

Was made a descriptive and longitudinal research about the tobacco and cigarettes spends in Cuba from 2013 to 2016. Were utilized two rates: monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos measured in pesos and monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos respect to middle salary measured in percent. The cited rates may be calculated like shows the following table.
### Rate

| Rate | Formula | Interpretation |
|------|---------|----------------|
| Monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos | Annual tobacco and cigarettes sales \( \frac{\text{Population} \times 12}{\text{Middle personal income used to cover the consumption of cigarettes and tobaccos}} \) | Middle personal income used to cover the consumption of cigarettes and tobaccos |
| Monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos respect to middle salary | Monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos \( \frac{\text{Middle salary}}{\text{Middle salary} \times 100\%} \) | Part from salary used to cover the consumption of cigarettes and tobaccos. |

As **theoric methods** were utilized the Historic – Logic, Inductive – Deductive, Comparative and Analysis and Synthesis. As empiric methods were utilized the documentary and bibliographic research and arithmetic calculus. All the statistic processing was in Microsoft Excel 2007.

**Results.**

Cuba is formed by 15 provinces and one especial municipality. The Cubans provinces are Pinar del Río, Artemisa, La Habana, Mayabeque, Matanzas, Villa Clara, Cienfuegos, Sancti Spíritus, Ciego de Ávila, Camagüey, Las Tunas, Holguín, Granma, Santiago de Cuba and Guantánamo. The especial municipality is Isla de la Juventud. The application of the first rate suggested in all Cubans regions it show in the following table.

![Table 1. Monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos by Cuban region 2013 – 2016.](https://example.com/table1.png)

From 2013 to 2016 the monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos in whole Cuba was $14.86. The regions that as middle more outcomes showed were Isla de la Juventud, Santiago de Cuba, Ciego de Ávila y La Habana. Pinar del Río, Mayabeque, Sancti Spíritus, Camagüey, Las Tunas, Holguín, Granma and Guantánamo are the regions were the rate was always less than the national rate. ¹¹

These results should be interesting. However, an analysis in relative terms should be more interesting, as show the following table.

![Table 2. Relative outcomes per region 2013 – 2016.](https://example.com/table2.png)
The part from salary used to cover the consumption of cigarettes and tobaccos showed a pointed tendency to decrease. In this period La Habana, Santiago de Cuba and Isla de la Juventud were the Cuban regions where the rate was always higher than the middle one. The monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos respect to the middle salary in Cuba showed a similar structure to the monthly per capita sales on cigarettes and tobaccos. In both case Isla de la Juventud, La Habana and Santiago de Cuba were the Cuban regions where the applied rate showed higher level than the national one. 

This behavior is agreeing with smoking characteristic as addiction and risk factor. The region with more consumption will keep high consumption level because of the nicotine effect over the personal health. These regions should focus the priorities from the public policy to reduce the tobacco consumption. 12

The suggested rates should be better because are base on the whole population and not on the smoker number. However, the not actualized information about smoking prevalence by region in the researched bibliography may not possible a better analysis.

The previous analysis may focus the strategic for the smoking control in the more affected Cuban regions. Understand why some Cuban region prefers pay more for tobacco and cigarettes than other one carries to an integral analysis where the economy play an important role. Nevertheless, it is important that all knowledge areas were closest agreeing with the smoking control. 13

These results show the importance to continue following closest the smoking behavior since the economic and the epidemiologic point of view. By this way should be possible be more efficient applying public policies for the smoking control. 14, 15

Conclusions

The personal spends on tobaccos and cigarettes in Cuba in 2013 – 2016 showed a defined strucutre by Cuban regions. Was evidenced that Isla de la Juventud, La Habana and Santiago de Cuba were the Cuban regions where the personal spend on tobacco and cigarettes were higher.
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