One-pot synthesis of spherical nanoscale zero-valent iron/biochar composites for efficient removal of Pb(II)

Yunlong Shi,abc Changjiang Yu,abc Mengying Liu,abc Qiang Lin,abc Man Lei,c Darun Wang,abc Yuting Yang,abc Jian Ma,abc and Zhengya Jia*dc

In this study, a spherical Fe/C composite (AIBC) was successfully prepared via carbonization of Fe\(^{3+}\)-crosslinked sodium alginate. The removal capacity and mechanism of AIBC were evaluated for the adsorption of Pb(II) from aqueous solution and compared with that of commercial nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI). The effects of the initial concentration, pH of Pb(II) solution, the contact time, coexisting anions, and aging under air were investigated. The results showed that the pH had a strong impact on the adsorption of Pb(II) by AIBC. The adsorption data for AIBC followed the Langmuir model, while the maximum adsorption capacity at pH 5 was 1881.73 mg g\(^{-1}\). The AIBC had a higher adsorption capability than nZVI, especially under the condition of relatively high Pb(II) concentrations. The oxidation-reduction reaction between Fe and Pb(II) was the main mechanism for the adsorption of Pb(II) onto nZVI. AIBC converted the largest amount of Pb(II) into PbO\(\cdot\)\(\text{XH}_2\text{O}/\text{Pb(OH)}_2\) mainly by generating Fe\(^{2+}\).

1. Introduction

At present, environmental contamination by heavy metals has become a serious problem for public health, but also for animals, plants, solid, water, and air. One of the most common toxic metals is lead.\(^7\) Although physical and chemical methods are explored for heavy metals’ removal, neither of them satisfactorily addresses this serious issue. The core of the most currently used technologies for heavy metal removal is the adsorbent material, which should be cost-effective, eco-friendly, robust, and efficient. Therefore, it is necessary to develop an effective, economical, and environmentally friendly technology for lead removal.\(^2\) So far, nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI) has been used to remove various metallic ions.\(^3\) However, the instability and aggregation of nZVI is challenging due to the easy oxidation and strong magnetic interaction, which were the main issues limiting its further application.\(^4\) Various types of porous materials such as graphite,\(^5\) activated carbon,\(^6\) and biochar\(^7\) have been employed as nZVI supporters to overcome these limitations. Among these materials, biochar has attracted considerable attention, because it can enhance the activity of nZVI by both increasing dispersion and decreasing oxidation tendency, as well as improving electron transfer. Biochar-supported nZVI has been widely synthesized using liquid phase reduction, but the method is costly, the reagent is toxic, and secondary pollution is generated.\(^8\) Therefore, the development of an appropriate method to synthesis nZVI/biochar has become a hot topic. An effective approach to produce nZVI/biochar is one-step pyrolysis, in which FeCl\(_3\) or Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) is mixed with straw,\(^9\) cellulose,\(^10\) yellow pine\(^11\) or sawdust\(^12\) and then calcined under low-oxygen conditions.\(^13\) However, the conversion of iron sources during the pyrolysis process is relatively low.

Sodium alginate (SA) is a natural polymer extracted from marine brown algae. During adsorption of cations (e.g., divalent-Ca\(^{2+}\) or trivalent-Fe\(^{3+}\)) it forms a three-dimensional gel by the exchange of sodium ions from the \(\beta\)-\(\delta\)-guluronic acid residues with those cations.\(^13,14\) When iron is used to change the sodium alginate composition and molecular organization, a SA-Fe materials is obtained, whose carbonization generates a spherical Fe/C composite(AIBC).\(^15\) AIBC can provide a certain protective effect on the internal Fe, ensuring a better antioxidant capacity and maintaining a high removal efficiency in the environmental pollution treatment. Clearly, it is essential to test the AIBC properties and compared it with commercial nano zero-valent iron, which can assess the suitability of the former. In this study, AIBC was fabricated via a simple carbonization method using sodium alginate and FeCl\(_3\) as carbon and iron precursors, respectively. The Pb\(^{2+}\) removal performances of AIBC were investigated in comparison with those of commercial
nZVI. The results of physico-chemical characterization obtained for the adsorbent loaded with lead (XRD, EDS, XPS, and FTIR) allowed to propose the mechanism of adsorption. In addition, the influence of various experimental conditions was analyzed in regards to the adsorption of Pb(ii) by AIBC.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Sodium alginate was purchased from Shanghai Jingchun Technology Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China), nZVI (size 50 nm) was purchased from Shanghai Xiangtian Nanomaterials Co. Ltd. FeCl₃·6H₂O and NaOH were supplied by Guangzhou Chemical Reagent Factory (Guangzhou, China), PbCl₂ was purchased Aladdin Holdings Group and the Pb standard solution was obtained from Shanghai Fusheng Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without any further purification.

2.2 Fabrication of magnetic carbon nanoadsorbents

30 g of sodium alginate were dissolved into 1000 mL of ultrapure water and stirred continuously to form a homogeneous solution. This latter was then dropped into a FeCl₃ solution (0.3 M) to form microspheres. Said microspheres were kept in the FeCl₃ solution for 24 h, after which they were washed with ultrapure water (8 times), dried in a vacuum drying oven at 50 °C first and then at 100 °C for 3 h inside an air oven. The dried microspheres were carbonized at 200 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, then at 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ and maintained at 900 °C for 3 h. The thermal treatments were performed in a tube furnace under N₂ atmosphere. A bottle containing 1000 mL of 0.5 M NaOH was connected to the furnace to collect the released gas aiming to avoid air pollution. The sample was denoted as AIBC and stored in a vacuum drying oven.

2.3 Digestion experiment

The Fe content in the obtained AIBC was measured by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy. AIBC (0.1 g) was impregnated in 5 mL of HNO₃ solution, shaken at a constant speed for 1 h, and allowed to settle for 24 h. The solution was diluted with 0.1 M HNO₃ and then Fe content measured.

2.4 Characterization

The Pb(ii) concentration was analyzed using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS, AA-7000, Shimadzu, Japan). The morphology and surface composition of the samples were investigated with a scanning electron microscope equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS, JSM-7401F, JEOL, Japan). The crystalline phases of the materials were inspected by X-ray diffraction (Ultima IV, Rigaku, Japan). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI5000 Versaprobe-II, Ulvac-Phi, Japan) was used to analyze the surface chemical composition of the carbon. The magnetic property of the composite was determined using a magnetic property measurement system (7404, LakeShore, USA). The Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra were measured by using Nicolet 6700 infrared spectrometer (Thermo Electro Corp, USA). The surface area was calculated via NLDFT method, from the adsorption data measured using a chemisorption analyzer (ASIQ-C000-2, Quantachrome, USA). After adsorption, AIBC-Pb(ii) or nZVI-Pb(ii) was separated with a magnet, washed with ultra-pure water (6 times), and dried at 60 °C in a vacuum drying oven. The recovered solid sample was analyzed by XRD, EDS, XPS, and FTIR.

2.5 Adsorption kinetic study

The adsorption experiments were carried out at 25 °C into conical flasks. A stock solution of 100 mg L⁻¹ Pb(ii) was prepared by dissolving PbCl₂ in water at pH 5. The pH value of the solutions was adjusted using a solution of either 0.1 M HNO₃ or 0.1 M NaOH solutions. Subsequently, 0.05 g of AIBC or nZVI were introduced into 100 mL of the Pb(ii) solution. The flasks were placed within an incubator shaker and then shaken at a constant speed (120 rpm) for a given period of time (from 1 to 24 h). At the end of experiment, the solid was separated with a magnet, while the concentrations of Pb(ii) in the recovered solutions was measured using a flame atomic absorption spectrometer. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

The adsorption capacity of Pb(ii) at time t (gₜ) was calculated using eqn (1).

\[
q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m}
\]  

where \(C_0\) (mg L⁻¹) is the initial Pb(ii) concentration, \(C_t\) (mg L⁻¹) is the Pb(ii) concentration at time t, \(V\) is the volume (L) of Pb(ii) solution and \(m\) is the weight (g) of the adsorbent.

2.6 Isotherm of adsorption

AIBC or nZVI (0.05 g) were introduced in a 100 mL volume of the Pb(ii) solution with different concentration (300–1000 mg L⁻¹) at 25 °C, and then shaken at a constant speed (120 rpm) for 24 h. At the end of the experiment, the solid was separated with a magnet and the concentrations of Pb(ii) in the recovered solutions was measured using a flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Again, the experiments were performed in triplicate.

The equilibrium adsorption capacity (gₑ) of Pb(ii) was calculated using eqn (2).

\[
Q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m}
\]  

where \(C_0\) (mg L⁻¹) and \(C_e\) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of Pb(ii), respectively, \(V\) is the volume (L) of Pb(ii) solution and \(m\) is the dry weight (g) of the adsorbent.

2.7 Consecutive adsorption of AIBC

The consecutive adsorption ability of the AIBC was assessed by performing four successive adsorption cycles without regeneration. Typically, 0.05 g of AIBC were introduced into 100 mL of Pb(ii) solution in a conical flask. The flask was placed into an incubator shaker and shaken at a constant speed (120 rpm) for 24 h. After each cycle, the supernatant was decanted and fresh Pb(ii) aqueous solution was introduced into the conical flasks for another 24 h. The experiment was performed in triplicate.
2.8 Influence of coexisting anions

The effect of coexisting anions (Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻, 10 to 50 mM) on Pb(II) removal (100 mg L⁻¹) was evaluated. AIBC (0.05 g) was introduced into 100 mL Pb(II) solution at 25 °C at a certain concentration of coexisting anions as a back-ground electrolyte and shaken at a constant speed (120 rpm) for 24 h. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.9 Aging experiments in air

The freshly prepared AIBC was put in a watch-glass and exposed to the air. The adsorption experiments were carried out at 25 °C and pH 5 in conical flasks. AIBC (0.05 g) was introduced into 100 mL of Pb(II) solution (100 mg L⁻¹) at a certain time (from 2 to 24 h). The flasks were shaken at a constant speed (120 rpm) for 24 h in an incubator shaker. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of materials

Fig. 1(a) shows the XRD patterns of AIBC and nZVI. The diffraction peaks displayed in both diffractograms are consistent with the JCPDS no. 06-0696 of Fe⁰. Since the diffraction peaks at 44.7°, 65.0° correspond to the (110) and (200) crystal planes, respectively, it can be stated that most of Fe³⁺ in AIBC sample have been reduced into Fe⁰. In addition, the XRD patterns of AIBC and nZVI are shown in Fig. 1(b1) and (b2), respectively.

Fig. 1(c) shows the N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm and pore size distribution curve of AIBC, and Fig. 1(d) shows the magnetization curves of nZVI and AIBC.
The xeromicrograph of AIBC did not display obvious miscellaneous peaks, suggesting that the iron particles did not change in the environment and only a few impurities were generated as a result of the protection of carbon material against air. Therefore, AIBC exhibits improved antioxidative properties. SEM image of nZVI (Fig. 1(b1)) shows that the commercial material is made of sphere-like particles with a quite homogeneous size distribution, which aggregated into clusters because of magnetic and electrostatic forces between nanoparticles. The average diameter of nZVI particles is about 50 nm according to the sales instructions. Fig. 1(b2) illustrates the SEM image of AIBC sample. The morphology is completely changed, displaying large and uneven pores created during the thermal treatment. In addition, the abundant Fe nanoparticles were anchored on the external and internal carbon layers. As can be seen in Fig. 1(c), the N$_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherm of AIBC is of type IV with a type H2 hysteresis loop according the IUPAC classification. The pore size distribution curve of AIBC indicates the presence of both micropores and mesopores with a diameter in the range of 5–60 nm. Yet, the formation of macropores is not excluded based on the shape of the hysteresis loop at high relative pressure (>0.9). The specific surface area of AIBC is 464 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The larger specific surface area of AIBC enhances the adsorption capacity toward Pb(II), whereas the transfer of Pb(II) and products is improved due to the interconnected channels, which increases the diffusion of molecules and ions. The magnetization curves of nZVI and AIBC are depicted in Fig. 1(d). The results showed that the maximum saturation magnetization of nZVI and AIBC are 190.5 and 87.5 emu g$^{-1}$, respectively. The values of the coercivity and remanence are very small, indicating that nZVI and AIBC are typical superparamagnetic materials. AIBC showed a great magnetic response when a permanent magnet was nearby, indicating the recyclability of AIBC, a critical aspect in avoiding the secondary pollution.

### 3.2 Effect of pH on removal of Pb(II)

The effect of the pH on the adsorption capacity of nZVI and AIBC was further investigated. The adsorption experiments were carried out starting with an initial Pb(II) concentration of 100 mg L$^{-1}$. The effect of the pH on the removal capacity of nZVI and AIBC was investigated within a range from 2 to 5.5. The results are depicted in Fig. 2. Although a small difference between the adsorption capacities of nZVI and AIBC was observed, the removal capacity increased with the pH for both samples. This can be explained by the fact that, at the lower pH, a larger number of protons (H$^+$) reacted with the active sites. When pH is increased, the concentration of H$^+$ ions decreased, resulting in a higher amount of Pb(II) being adsorbed. Since, Pb(II) ions precipitate at pH above 5.5, a pH value of 5 was selected as the optimum value and used throughout the following experiments.

### 3.3 Kinetics of adsorption

The experimental adsorption data was fitted with two specific models to study the kinetic behavior of nZVI and AIBC, namely the pseudo-first-order kinetic model and pseudo-second-order kinetic models. These models are defined by eqn (3) and eqn (4).

$$q_t = q_e (1 - e^{-kt})$$

$$q_t = \frac{k_2 q_e^2 t}{1 + k_2 q_e t}$$

where, $q_t$ (mg g$^{-1}$) and $q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$) represent the concentration of Pb(II) ions adsorbed per unit mass of solid at time $t$ (s) and at equilibrium; $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$) and $k_2$ (g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) are the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models kinetics constants, respectively. The results of the non-linear curve fitting are shown in Fig. 3.

As noticed, the rate of Pb(II) adsorption on nZVI was faster within the first 10 h, followed by a decreasing trend after this time threshold and increasing again in the subsequent adsorption step, albeit only slightly. It is assumed that most of nZVI was converted into FeOOH and Fe$_2$O$_3$ under the catalytic effect of Pb(II) accumulated on the adsorbent surface. These new phases acted as adsorption sites for Pb(II) the second part of the experiment.

The removal rate of Pb(II) by AIBC was almost as fast as for nZVI within the first 8 h, then decreased but slower as compared to nZVI. In addition, the adsorption capacity for this sample was noticeably increased. The relatively fast adsorption rate of Pb(II)
were not significantly different for both models, i.e., 0.9916 for the pseudo-second-order model and 0.9749 for the pseudo-first-order equation model. The adsorption capacity estimated from the pseudo-second-order equation was slightly higher compared to the experimental results. In addition, the adsorption capacity obtained from the pseudo-first-order equation was more consistent with the experimental results, suggesting once again that the rate-limiting step was governed by diffusion while the ions were mainly retained on the surface by chemical forces. The results showed that the kinetic constant for Pb(II) adsorption on AIBC was higher than for nZVI. The main reason could be due to the porous nature of AIBC.

### 3.4 Isotherms adsorption experiments

The adsorption isotherm of adsorption was analyzed using the Langmuir and Freundlich models. The Langmuir isotherm model is described by eqn (5).

\[
q_e = \frac{q_m K_L c_e}{1 + K_L c_e}
\]  

where, \(q_e\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) is the concentration of Pb(II) ions at equilibrium, \(q_m\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) is the saturation adsorption capacity, \(K_L\) is Langmuir constant and \(c_e\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) is the amount of Pb(II) ions removed per unit mass of adsorbent at equilibrium, \(K_F\) is the Freundlich coefficient.

The Freundlich isotherm model is described by eqn (6).

\[
q_e = K_F c_e^{1/n}
\]  

The Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms for the adsorption of Pb(II) on nZVI and AIBC are displayed in Fig. 4.

The parameters of adsorption isotherms of Pb(II) on nZVI and AIBC are summarized in Table 2.

As shown in Table 2, the correlation coefficients \(R^2\) for the Langmuir model are higher than those obtained from the Freundlich model for both solids. Hence, the experimental results suggest that the Langmuir model fits the adsorption with a much higher goodness. The \(q_m\) value for Pb(II) adsorption on AIBC is 1881.73 mg g\(^{-1}\), which is higher in respect to nZVI (1057.99 mg g\(^{-1}\)). The Fe content in AIBC is about 580 mg g\(^{-1}\), but it has a high adsorption capacity, especially in a high concentrations Pb(II) solution (700–1000 mg L\(^{-1}\)). The pH of the

### Table 1

| Sample | \(q_{e,cal}\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | \(k_1\) (10\(^{-3}\) min\(^{-1}\)) | \(R^2\) |
|--------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| nZVI   | 105.75                    | 2.02            | 0.9817 |
| AIBC   | 93.12                     | 3.11            | 0.9749 |

| Sample | \(q_{e,cal}\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | \(k_2\) (10\(^{-3}\) g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) | \(R^2\) |
|--------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| nZVI   | 145.84                    | 1.14            | 0.9752 |
| AIBC   | 112.30                    | 3.08            | 0.9916 |

### Table 2

| Sample | \(q_m\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | \(K_L\) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) | \(R^2\) | \(n\) | \(K_F\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | \(R^2\) |
|--------|---------------------|----------------------|--------|-----|----------------------|--------|
| nZVI   | 1037.99             | 0.0116               | 0.9427 | 3.6264 | 163.99               | 0.8471 |
| AIBC   | 1881.73             | 0.0049               | 0.9671 | 1.9564 | 59.76                | 0.9274 |
Pb(II) solutions (700–1000 mg L$^{-1}$) after adsorption is about 5.5. Pb(II) ions do not hydrolyze or precipitate. The improved adsorption capacity might be attributed to the difference between nZVI and AIBC regarding the mechanism of Pb$^{2+}$ removal. In addition, the mesoporous structures and higher surface area of AIBC is beneficial for metal ions diffusion and adsorption.

### 3.5 Comparison with other adsorbents

The maximum adsorption capacity of Pb(II) on AIBC was compared with those reported for other adsorbents (Table 3). According to this latter, AIBC appears as a promising adsorbent for the removal of Pb(II) from aqueous solutions.

### 3.6 Adsorption mechanism

Fig. 5(a) illustrates the XRD patterns of nZVI and AIBC after adsorption of Pb(II). As compared with the diffraction patterns recorded before adsorption (Fig. 1(a)), the diffractograms of used adsorbents are more complex, indicating the presence of several crystalline phases. Therefore, the diffraction peaks are consistent with those corresponding to PbO$\cdot$$x$H$_2$O (diffraction peaks at 24.78$^\circ$ and 50.95$^\circ$ attributed to the (112) and (402) crystal planes, respectively, according to JCPDS no. 21-0474), Pb (diffraction peaks at 31.70$^\circ$, 35.74$^\circ$, and 68.59$^\circ$ correspond to (100), (101), and (201) crystal planes, respectively, according to JCPDS no. 23-0345), FeOOH (diffraction peaks at 27.08$^\circ$, 46.86$^\circ$, and 60.72$^\circ$ correspond to the (120), (200), and (231) crystal planes, respectively, according to JCPDS no. 44-1415), and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (diffraction peaks at 30.27$^\circ$, 35.68$^\circ$, 43.34$^\circ$, and 63.01$^\circ$ correspond to the (206), (119), (0012), and (4012) crystal planes, respectively, according to the JCPDS no. 25-1402). In addition, the XRD patterns show the presence of Pb(OH)$_2$(JCPDS no. 11-1070). Hence, XRD results revealed that most of Pb(II) was reduced to PbO$\cdot$$x$H$_2$O, Pb(OH)$_2$, and Pb, whereas most of nZVI was converted into FeOOH and Fe$_2$O$_3$.

Fig. 5(b) illustrates the SEM images of nZVI and AIBC after adsorption of Pb(II). As compared with the SEM images taken before adsorption (Fig. 1(b)), it is obvious that the morphology of solids completely changed during the adsorption. The particles are agglomerated on the surface of the nZVI, probably due to the reaction products. In addition, abundant micro- and nanoparticles are clearly seen on the AIBC surface. Moreover, part of them is embedded into the biochar pores, causing their blockage. Fig. 5(c) displays the EDS patterns of nZVI and AIBC before and after adsorption of Pb(II). The contents of Fe and O elements on the surface of AIBC are 73.35 and 26.65%, respectively. Similarly, the contents of Fe and O on the surface of nZVI are 92.65 and 7.35%, respectively. The higher amount of O on the surface of nZVI is that it is easy to self-ignite in air, so it must be aged before it leaves the factory. The results revealed that the amount of Fe element in nZVI and AIBC decreased after adsorption while Pb appeared in the spectra after adsorption. The amount of Pb element on the surface of nZVI and AIBC was 6.19% and 15.51%, respectively.

FTIR was used to analyze the functional groups of the adsorbent and understand the molecular interactions occurring between Pb(II) and AIBC. In Fig. 5(d), the spectrum of AIBC displays a vibration band at 3436.45 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to O–H stretching,$^{41,42}$ a vibration band at 1626.77 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to C=O stretching,$^{43,44}$ and a vibration band at 1115.69 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to C–O stretching.$^{45,46}$ After Pb(II) removal, the FTIR spectrum of AIBC displays slight displacements for certain vibration bands. Hence, band of O–H and C=O shifted downfield, indicating the formation of a complex with Pb(II). The increased ionic volume weakened the stretching and bending vibrations of the functional groups, thus causing a downfield shift.$^{47,48}$ The vibration band of C–O after Pb(II) removal shifted highfield as compared with that before adsorption, phenomenon attributed to the inductive effect.$^{49}$ New bands at 476.83, 573.22 and 1417.05 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to Fe–O stretching can be observed in the spectrum of AIBC after Pb(II) adsorption.$^7$

The AIBC after adsorption of Pb(II) was also analyzed by XPS. Fig. 5(e1) depicts the high resolution spectrum of Pb 4f, which displays the peak of Pb 4f$^7/2$ and Pb 4f$^5/2$. Each of them is fitted with three peaks. The peaks at 136.60 and 141.50 eV are
Fig. 5  (a) XRD pattern of nZVI and AIBC after adsorption of Pb(II), (b) SEM images of nZVI and AIBC after adsorption of Pb(II), (c) EDS patterns of nZVI and AIBC before and after Pb(II) removal, (d) FTIR spectra of AIBC before and after Pb(II) adsorption, (e) high resolution spectra of Pb 4f for the AIBC-Pb(II) and nZVI-Pb(II), high resolution spectra of C1s for AIBC and AIBC-Pb(II).
ascribed to Pb\(^0\) (21%),\(^{46}\) the peak at 137.25 and 142.20 eV are assigned to PbO\(\cdot\)H\(_2\)O/Pb(OH)\(_2\) (43%),\(^{48}\) and the peak at 138.15 eV and 143.10 eV are attributed to Pb(II) (36%).\(^{48}\) The relatively high area of PbO\(\cdot\)H\(_2\)O/Pb(OH)\(_2\) and Pb(II) signals in AIBC spectrum indicate a significant role played by the deposition and chelation in the adsorption. The fitting analysis of Pb 4f\(_{5/2}\) and Pb 4f\(_{5/2}\) of nZVI is shown in Fig. 5(e2). The lead is presented as Pb\(^0\) (61%), PbO\(\cdot\)H\(_2\)O/Pb(OH)\(_2\) (11%), and Pb(II) (28%) in the nZVI loaded with Pb. The relatively high area of Pb\(^0\) signal in the spectrum of nZVI loaded with Pb indicates that most Pb(II) is reduced on nZVI. On the basis of XPS results, it can be stated that Pb(II) removal by nZVI and AIBC might be due to both adsorption and reduction processes. The high resolution spectrum of C 1s of AIBC before adsorption is shown in Fig. 5(e3). The peaks at 284.2, 284.8, and 286.1 eV could be ascribed to C=C/C=C, C–O and C=O bonds, respectively.\(^{52,53}\)

The high resolution spectrum of C 1s of AIBC after adsorption of Pb(II) is shown in Fig. 5(e4). It can be seen that the binding energy of C=C/C=C did not change after adsorption of Pb(II), which indicated that C=C/C=C may not involve the adsorption of Pb(II). The binding energy of C–O and C=O showed a significant drop compared to the energy before adsorption of Pb(II), which indicated that C–O and C=O are involved in a coordination complex with and Pb(II). As a result, the binding energy of these functional groups was reduced as a consequence of the electronic densities changes, related to their modified local environment.\(^{34}\) The observation was consistent with FTIR results.

The changes occurred in nZVI and AIBC after Pb(II) adsorption have been evaluated by FTIR, SEM, XRD, EDS, and XPS and compared with those obtained before adsorption. According to Fig. 5(e1), it is obvious that a little amount of Pb\(^{2+}\) was converted into Pb\(^0\) via eqn (7) while the remained parts of Pb\(^{2+}\) species were immobilized on the AIBC surface according to eqn (8–12). In addition, it is evident that the most of Pb\(^{2+}\) was converted into Pb\(^0\) on nZVI surface via eqn (7) (Fig. 5(e2)). The difference between nZVI and AIBC regarding the mechanism of Pb\(^{2+}\) removal may be due to the influence of the biochar.\(^{55,56}\) It should be recalled that AIBC is rich in functional groups, such as C–O–C, C=O, and –O–H, which acted as adsorption sites for Pb\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 5(e3, e4)). In addition, the oxygen-based functional groups of FeOOH and Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) provided binding sites for Pb(II) adsorption.\(^{57}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{Fe}^{0} + 3\text{Pb}^{2+} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow 3\text{Pb}^{0} + 2\text{FeOOH} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (7) \\
2\text{Fe}^{0} + \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ &\rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (8) \\
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{FeOH}^+ + \text{H}^+ \quad (9) \\
\text{FeOH}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{Pb(OH)}_2 + \text{H}^+ \quad (10) \\
\text{PbOH}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{Pb(OH)}_2 + \text{H}^+ \quad (11) \\
\text{PbOH}^+ &\rightarrow \text{PbO} + \text{H}^+ \quad (12)
\end{align*}
\]

The oxidation–reduction reaction between Fe and Pb(II) was the main mechanism for the adsorption of Pb(II) onto nZVI. AIBC converted Pb(II) into PbO\(\cdot\)X\(_2\)O/Pb(OH)\(_2\) mainly by generating Fe\(^{2+}\). The difference in the mechanisms of the adsorption of Pb(II) onto AIBC and nZVI was the main reason why AIBC had higher adsorption capability when treating high-concentration Pb(II) sewage. During the adsorption, a large amount of oxides was formed to cover the surface of nZVI, which severely hindered the contact of Pb(II) with the active sites inside nZVI. It is relatively difficult for the reduction reaction between Pb(II) and Fe. In contrast, it was relatively easy for the Fe\(^{2+}\), generated by the AIBC in the solution, to contact Pb(II), converting a large amount of Pb(II) into PbO\(\cdot\)X\(_2\)O/Pb(OH)\(_2\) and removing it. In addition, the relatively high specific area and mesoporous structure of AIBC also contributed towards the removal of Pb(II). Based on the analysis of experimental data as well as the characterizations of AIBC, the potential mechanism of Pb(II) adsorption by AIBC was proposed (Fig. 6).

3.7 Application experimentation

The AIBC were recycled and used as consecutive absorbent for four repeated cycles (Fig. 7(a)). Compared with the initial adsorption capacity, the adsorption capacity of AIBC for Pb(II) was reduced by 16% in the second cycle experiment, maintaining a relatively good adsorption effect. In the third cycle experiment, the adsorption capacity was reduced by 59%, during which the adsorption capacity was remarkably reduced. The adsorption capacity of the fourth cycle experiment was reduced by 82%. After four cycles of experiments, AIBC was still able to maintain a relatively high adsorption capacity. This could be explained by the fact that a part of nZVI located in the mesopores of AIBC (Fig. 5(a)) was not accessible to Pb(II), which can continue to remove Pb(II) when was injected into the fresh Pb(II) aqueous. The effect of the two coexisting anions on the Pb(II) removal for AIBC was investigated (Fig. 7(b)). In the presence of Cl\(^–\) (10 and 50 mM), the removal efficiency decreased from 100 to 99%, which indicates that Cl\(^–\) had a little effect on the Pb(II) removal. In contrast, at similar concentrations of NO\(_3\)\(^–\), the removal efficiency increased from 109 to 116%, which is significant. Fe may react with NO\(_3\)\(^–\), especially when the concentration of NO\(_3\)\(^–\) is relatively high, which will accelerate the consumption of Fe. The Fe\(^{2+}\) and iron oxides produced by the reaction could further increase the adsorption capacity of Pb(II).\(^{38,59}\) The aging test showed that, when exposed to Pb(II) aqueous.
to the air for 24 hours, the adsorption amount of AIBC for Pb(II) did not decrease significantly (Fig. 7(c)). The adsorption amount of AIBC for Pb(II) fluctuated slightly at various time periods within the 24 hours. However, the overall deviation was not large, indicating good oxidation resistance. This could be due to the reason that the loading and coating of biochar enhanced the oxidation resistance of Fe.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a maximum adsorption capacity of 1881.73 mg g⁻¹ was obtained for AIBC, which was higher compared with that of nZVI (1057.99 mg g⁻¹). The AIBC has a high adsorption capacity, especially in a high concentrations Pb(II) solution. The investigation of the alleged mechanism of adsorption showed that the removal of Pb²⁺ by AIBC was mainly attributable to adsorption phenomena. However, the largest amount of Pb²⁺ was converted into Pb⁰ on the nZVI surface via chemical reduction. The AIBC has good antioxidant capability in the air. When exposed to this latter, for 24 hours, the adsorbed amount of Pb(II) onto AIBC did not decrease significantly. The AIBC was used for four adsorption cycles and could maintain a total of removal capacity of 18%. Therefore, AIBC has relatively strong cyclic adsorption capability. This work proved that the AIBC, a low cost material, can be successfully used for the treatment of Pb(II)-contaminated wastewater.
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