Subcutaneous interferon β-1a administration by electronic auto-injector is associated with high adherence in patients with relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis in a real-life study
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Abstract

The objective was to investigate adherence measured by an electronic auto-injector device, and self-reported adherence and treatment convenience in subjects with relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS), using an electronic auto-injector Rebismart® to self-inject interferon β-1a. Thirty one patients with RRMS using the electronic auto-injector Rebismart® for self-injecting interferon β-1a subcutaneously three times weekly were included in a real-life clinical multicenter study for 24 weeks in Finland. Mean adherence reported by the device and mean self-assessment of adherence were studied. Reasons for missing injections and treatment convenience were assessed. Association between adherence and gender and age were studied. The mean adherence calculated from the device data was 93.5%. The mean self-assessment of adherence was 96.6%. The most common reason for missing an injection was forgetfulness. Adherence (measured by the device) was not changed over time. In the high adherence group there were more females and young patients (≤30 years of age). The auto-injector was found to substantially ease the treatment by 90% of the patients. The electronic auto-injector was associated with high adherence to treatment. The device was found to ease the patient’s treatment and it was perceived as easy to use. It is a convenient tool to assess patient’s adherence to treatment.

Materials and Methods

Study design

This was an observational, national, multicenter study. Outcome measures studied were: adherence measured by the electronic auto-injector, self-reported adherence by answering a questionnaire and the differences between these, the distribution of different adherence levels, treatment convenience, reasons for missed injections, self-reported adherence over time and association between adherence and gender, age, expanded disability status scale (EDSS).

The study was approved by the local ethics committee. The study included two visits, at baseline and at 24 weeks. At the baseline visit those patients who were using interferon β-1a s.c. three times weekly (tiw) and had chosen the electronic auto-injector independently of the study entry, and had come to either a patient training visit (new auto-injector users) or to a normal control visit (patients using auto-injector before study entry) were asked for their consent, and the patients signed a written consent.

All eligible patients consented to participate in the study.

At the 24-week visit, the adherence to the treatment was assessed by the investigator or MS nurse by reading the device calendar. Adherence was assessed at different time periods (baseline-week 4, weeks 5-8, weeks 9-12, weeks 13-16, weeks 17-20 and weeks 21-24) by the questionnaire. Also, patients filled in a convenience questionnaire evaluating their own experience of using the device. Potential adverse events and product defects were reported according to the standard guidelines.

Patients

The patients were eligible for the study if they were diagnosed with RRMS according to the McDonald criteria (2005),10 naïve lead to new or increasing neurologic dysfunction and disease worsening.2 Therapies used for treatment of RRMS aim to reduce relapse frequency and severity and control disease progression. Disease modifying treatments are administered by subcutaneous (s.c.) or intramuscular injections, orally or by intravenous infusions.3

In a chronic disabling disorder such as MS, adherence to treatment is of critical importance in maximizing benefits over the long term. However, it has been shown that non-adherence to treatment in RRMS is common, and poor adherence or treatment gaps are associated with a higher rate of relapses.4,5 For immunomodulatory treatments administered by frequent s.c. injections, injection stress and pain or injection site reactions are reported reasons for non-adherence.6-8 Mechanical auto-injector devices have been shown to improve injection tolerability compared to manual s.c. injections9 and the usage of electronic auto-injector device has been shown to lead to high adherence to interferon β-1a treatment in observational studies.8,10-12

Here we were interested in studying the difference between adherence measured by the electronic auto-injector, and self-reported adherence by using the electronic RebisSmart® auto-injector, which automatically records injections, and we addressed self-reported adherence and treatment convenience by a questionnaire in a real-life clinical multicenter setting in Finland.
to treatment or currently being treated with interferon β-1a s.c. tiw injected by RebiSmart® auto-injector for no longer than nine months prior to enrollment, meeting the inclusion criteria, and independently scheduled to receive interferon β-1a 22/44 g s.c. tiw via the auto-injector. Inclusion criteria: males and females, between 18 to 65 years of age, stable disease defined as patient being relapse-free for at least 30 days before the enrollment and EDSS<6.

Statistical analyses
The study was descriptive. Adherence of the study population was displayed by summary statistics. Adherence (%) was calculated for each patient as 100 x the number of injections the patient administered divided by the expected number of injections over six months. We studied by descriptive methods whether there is an association between adherence and gender, age and EDSS. Results of the convenience questionnaire were displayed descriptively for each item with the help of a sum score over all items. Reasons for missed injections were collected.

Results
This was an observational real-life study to assess adherence and convenience of interferon β-1a s.c. tiw treatment in RRMS patients using an electronic auto-injector. A total of 31 patients with RRMS from eight centers met the inclusion criteria for the study. For two of the patients no administration data could be retrieved, and hence 29 patients were included in the analyses. Patient demographics are shown in Table 1.

Adherence measured by the electronic auto-injector
The mean adherence calculated from the device data was 93.5% (88.4; 98.2; 95% CI). A total of 62% of the patients had a adherence of more than 95%, while only 7% had adherence of 75% or less (Figure 1). To assess the possible factors affecting adherence, the demographics of the high adherence (95-100%) group was investigated. In this high-adherence group there were more female (72%) than male patients (55%). The age groups 30-40 and >40 years had very similar adherence (62 and 64%, respectively), but for young patients (under 30 years) it was slightly higher (75%). No difference in adherence was seen for patients with a low EDSS score (0-1) compared with those with a higher score (1.5-4); it was 95-100% for 64% in both groups.

Self-reported adherence
The mean adherence calculated from the self-assessed questionnaire was 96.6% (94.3; 99.0; 95% CI). The adherence of more than 95% was reported for 38% of the patients, while only 3% had an adherence of 75% or less (Figure 2). The most reported reason for missing an injection was forgetting, reported by 64% of the patients providing a reason. The demographics for the high-adherence (95-100%) group were similar as was seen in the high compliance group measured from the device data. To receive information on whether self-reported adherence was changed during the study, it was assessed during different time periods (Figure 3). There were no changes over time in the proportion of patients with a adherence of more than 75% (missing 0-3 injections during 4 weeks).

Factors affecting adherence
A total of 90% or more of the patients found it easy to learn to use the auto-injector, to grab and hold the devise, to prepare the devise and to set the drug cartridge. 65% or more of the patients found it easy to attach and detach the needle, to change the battery, and to keep and carry the devise.

Opinions on the device (RebiSmart®)
A total of 90% of the patients found the auto-injector to substantially ease their treatment. The device settings were changed by 52% of the patients, and 21% reported that they had to alter the device settings between injections very frequently or often. The most common reasons for this was injection site discomfort and that the dose needed to be adjusted. On the other hand, 88% found it easy to change the settings. Over 85% of the patients perceived injecting easy and the preparation time short. More than 65% of the patients found the devise to be easy to use when travelling, and the signal lights and sounds to ease the treatment.

Discussion
Here we assessed adherence measured by an electronic auto-injector and self-reported adherence and treatment convenience in RRMS patients using electronic auto-injector RebiSmart®. The mean adherence to interferon β-1a s.c. tiw, as calculated from the auto-injector device, was high (94%), and in line with other studies where interferon β-1a s.c. tiw has been injected by an auto-injector.5,10-14 The overall patients’ self-estimation of adherence (mean) was

Table 1. Patients’ demographics and baseline characteristics.

| Age (years) | Gender, N (%) | Ethnic origin | EDSS score, N (%) | Earlier experience with RebiSmart® (<3 months) |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| N/29        | Mean (SD)     | Median (range) |                   |                                             |
| 38 (8.0)    | 39 (23-50)    |               |                   |                                             |
| Male        | Female        | Caucasian     |                   |                                             |
| 18 (62)     | 11 (38)       | 29 (100)      |                   |                                             |
| 1.5-4       | 0-1           |               |                   |                                             |
| 12 (41)     | 11 (38)       |               |                   |                                             |
| Missing     | Missing       |               |                   |                                             |
| 6           | 6             |               |                   |                                             |

N, number; SD, standard deviation; EDSS, expanded disability status scale.
96.6% and thus higher than the values recorded by the device. Although the difference was small, likely because of the small number of subjects in the study, this implicates that the difference may cause unnecessary switches between treatments, as non-adherence leads to lack of efficacy. The electronic auto-injector is a convenient tool to assess patient’s adherence to treatment, and its use may thus have important implications in determining patient care.

Demographic factors associated with adherence to treatment were sex and age of the patient. In the high adherence group there were more females than males, and more young patients. Young people may generally adapt new technologies more easily. In some studies males have been more adherent, but also studies with no differences have been reported. We did not observe any difference in adherence between patients with low and high EDSS. By using the electronic auto-injector injection site reactions and tolerability can be improved. In our study only one patient stopped the treatment due to an adverse event, pointing at a good tolerability. In addition, a vast majority (90%) of the patients answered that the device substantially eases their treatment, which can be an explanation to the high adherence. Injection was perceived easy and the preparation time for the injection short. The cartridge setting, and attaching and detaching of the needle was easy to a majority of the patients.

Adherence may decrease over time, which was not observed here. However, the study period was relatively short, and we cannot exclude the possibility that during a longer observation period a change could be detected. Limitations of this study include the small number of subjects, short follow-up and the open-label nature of the study. However, real-life data including objective information on adherence, and data on the differences between adherence measured by the electronic auto-injector and self-reported adherence could be obtained.

Conclusions
In conclusion, the electronic RebiSmart® auto-injector for the self-injection of interferon β-1a s.c. tiw was associated with high adherence to treatment. The device was found to ease the patient’s treatment and it was perceived as easy to use by the patients. Moreover, electronic auto-injector is a convenient tool to assess patient’s true adherence.
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