Case Report

Acute interstitial nephritis manifesting as a persistent nephrogram
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Introduction

Acute interstitial or tubulointerstitial nephritis (AIN) is implicated in up to 15%-25% of patients hospitalized for acute renal failure and is therefore one of the most frequent causes of acute renal failure [1–3]. Renal injury in AIN results from immune-mediated tubulointerstitial injury and is drug-induced in more than 75% of cases. In about 10%, it is infection-induced or related to autoimmune disorders. Rarely, it is idiopathic, accounting for less than 5% of cases [1,4,6]. Men and women are affected equally. AIN can be seen in any age group, but the wider use of medication in the elderly combined with reduced renal clearance places them at greater risk of adverse events including AIN [7].

Patients with AIN typically present with nonspecific symptoms of acute renal failure (malaise, anorexia, nausea, …). Since laboratory and standard imaging (ultrasound) findings are non-specific, renal biopsy is the only definitive method of establishing the diagnosis [1,2,4,6]. Here, a case is reported of a persistent nephrogram on contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) corresponding to biopsy proven acute interstitial nephritis.

Case report

A 61-year-old woman was referred to the emergency department for high infectious parameters and mild diarrhea with-
out an evident focus. She had been feeling unwell and weak for the past 3 days with loss of appetite. The patient has a history of insulin dependent diabetes mellitus type 2, positron emission tomography/CT confirmed polymyalgia rheumatica and bipolar disorder treated with prednisolone and lithium respectively. She also had a history of Hashimoto thyroiditis 9 years ago. On admission, the patient was hemodynamically stable and afebrile.

She experienced no headache, thoracic or abdominal pain. She denied any fever, rash, difficulty urination, or discoloration of urine. She mentioned a recent transient discrete upper respiratory infection without residual complaints.

Physical exam revealed no cardiovascular, thoracoabdominal, neurologic, musculoskeletal, or dermatologic abnormalities.

Initial laboratory work-up showed significant inflammation with elevated white blood cell count of 12,000/mm³ (reference range: 5000-10,000/mm³) and C-reactive protein of 400 mg/dL (reference range: <4 mg/dL). An increased creatinine of 4.16 mg/dL (reference range: 0.5-1.1 mg/dL) and decreased glomerular filtration rate 35 mL/min/1.73 m² (reference range: 90-120 mL/min/1.73 m²) were consistent with acute renal failure. Urinalysis showed no hematuria, pyuria, or proteinuria.

The referring physician ruled that the glomerular filtration rate was still sufficient to perform a contrast-enhanced CT (CECT) of the lungs and abdomen to exclude pneumonia, pyelonephritis, or diverticulitis provided that hydration was carried out afterward.

The chest CT scan was normal and showed no inflammatory focus. However, the nephrographic phase of the contrast-enhanced abdominal CT revealed marked enlargement of both kidneys (Fig. 1). The nephrogram is normal. Bilateral perirenal fat stranding and fluid in the pararenal compartments were observed. There was a little amount of ascites in the left and right paracolic gutter and multiple normal and slightly enlarged mesenteric and retroperitoneal lymph nodes. Renal veins were patent and without congestion. There was neither hydronephrosis nor kidney stones. A few type I Bosniak cortical cysts were present bilaterally.

A delayed phase scan performed 1 h after intravenous contrast administration showed contrast media retention in both kidneys, also known as a persistent nephrogram (Fig. 2) [8–10]. Renal contours were unsharply delineated due to limited motion artefacts. There was no striated nephrogram as seen in pyelonephritis. The findings are in favor of acute inflammation of the kidneys.

The patient was hospitalized at the nephrology department. Medical treatment with methylprednisolone (Medrol, Pfizer, Brussels, Belgium) 32 mg/d and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid potassium (Augmentin®, Pfizer, Brussels, Belgium) 1 g 3×/d intravenously was started. Prompt ultrasound-guided percutaneous renal needle biopsy was performed to establish a definitive diagnosis.

Anatomopathological results showed interstitial infiltrates characteristic for interstitial nephritis. There was little tubular damage with some mild chronic changes being present. Ultrastructural evaluation of the glomeruli was normal. There were no arguments for lithium toxicity.

Serology showed elevated immunoglobulin G antibody titers, suggestive of a recent mycoplasma infection, although clinically silent. All other screens for typical and atypical infections were negative.

With a history of Hashimoto thyroiditis and polymyalgia rheumatica, both autoimmune disorders, targeted immunologic tests were performed to exclude an autoimmune AIN. All test results were normal.

**Discussion**

We highlight the unexpected CT-graphic appearance of acute interstitial nephritis presenting with a persistent nephrogram.
A nephrogram is defined as the radiographic image of opacified renal parenchyma after water-soluble iodinated contrast material administration [11]. The nephrogram varies with the scanning phase. In the corticomedullary phase, typically 25-80 seconds after initiation of contrast injection, the cortex is clearly distinguishable from the medulla. This is called the cortical nephrogram. In the nephrographic phase, usually 85-120 seconds after contrast injection, contrast has entered the loop of Henle and the collecting ducts. In this tubular or generalized nephrogram, differentiation between the cortex and medulla is lost. In late or excretory nephrogram, usually 3 minutes after contrast injection, renal parenchyma attenuation is much less intense and contrast usually has entered the pyelum [11,12].

Eight major abnormal nephrograms have been described each with their own differential diagnostics (i.e., absent, delayed, persistent, hyperdense, rim, reverse rim, striated and spotted nephrogram) [11,13].

With a persistent nephrogram, both kidneys remain in the corticomedullary or nephrographic phase for more than 3 minutes [13].

In the literature, acute interstitial nephritis has been previously described as presenting with a striated nephrogram [14–16] but no previous case reports or articles could be found were AIN presents with persistent nephrogram.

A persistent nephrogram can be seen in a few conditions: bilateral obstructive uropathy, renal artery or renal vein stenosis, systemic hypotension, and acute tubular necrosis [9,15,17].

The absence of nephro- or urolithiasis and hydronephrosis excluded bilateral obstructive uropathy.

A vascular cause such as bilateral renal artery or vein stenosis could also be excluded as there is normal contrast enhancement of both the arteries and veins without significant stenosis.

As blood pressure is normal, systemic hypotension was also highly unlikely.

Acute tubular necrosis is characterized by renal tubular cell damage and cell loss usually caused by ischemic or nephrotoxic insults. CECT typically demonstrates a striated or persistent nephrogram [15].

Clinical and laboratory findings excluded pyelonephritis. On postcontrast CT, there was no striated nephrogram typical for pyelonephritis [16].

Renal biopsy remains the gold standard for diagnosis of all forms of nephritis, including interstitial nephritis [1,2,4,6].

On renal biopsy, the infiltration of the interstitium with inflammatory cells (in this case, lymphocytes, macrophages, and plasma cells), associated edema and sparing of glomeruli and blood vessels was pathognomonic for AIN [1,5,6].

The exact cause of interstitial nephritis in this case has not been definitively established but by exclusion, mycoplasma infection will be the most likely cause. No new medication was started that could have triggered the AIN. Also, the lack of a significant number of eosinophils on histopathology is not in favor of drug-induced TIN [6]. Autoimmune investigation was also completely normal which makes an autoimmune cause unlikely.

The patient responded well on corticosteroid therapy and renal function quickly restored.

The precise mechanism of the radiologic image of prolonged renal cortical retention of contrast media remains unclear. Histopathologically, it could be explained by altered glomerular hemodynamics due to interstitial inflammation [18]. We also hypothesize that there must be compression
of the arterioles, glomeruli, and tubules secondary to the interstitial infiltrates in combination with a rigid renal capsule that doesn’t allow a lot of tissue expansion. Because AIN usually presents with acute renal failure, a CECT is often contraindicated. This could explain why this was not previously reported.

Conclusion

Acute interstitial nephritis must be included in the differential diagnosis in patients presenting with a persistent nephrogram on CECT. When diffuse enlarged kidneys are observed on CT, performing a late excretory phase can reveal a persistent nephrogram and narrow the differential diagnostics.
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