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The prevention of chronic graft-versus-host disease (cGVHD) is important for recipients of hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation (HSCT). As one of the etiologies, the relationship between early T-cell recovery and subsequent cGVHD development has been the focus of attention. Recently, letermovir (LTV) was approved for preventing cytomegalovirus (CMV) reactivation in the early transplantation phase. Although CMV affects the immune reconstitution after HSCT, the impacts of LTV to prevent CMV reactivation on early T-cell recovery and cGVHD have not been fully investigated. We aimed to identify early T-cell recovery under LTV at day 30 in 15 and 33 recipients from matched related donors (MRDs) and haploidentical donors with post-transplant cyclophosphamide (PTCy-haplo), respectively. Early increases in the levels of total lymphocytes and HLA-DR+ activated T-cells at day 30 were observed under CMV prophylaxis by LTV only in PTCy-haplo recipients and not in MRD recipients. Moreover, PTCy-haplo recipients with LTV showed a significantly higher incidence of cGVHD, but not acute GVHD. Our observations suggest that an early increase in the levels of HLA-DR+ activated T-cells may be implicated in the development of cGVHD in patients treated with PTCy who received LTV. Further studies are warranted to validate our results and elucidate the detailed mechanisms of our new insights.
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INTRODUCTION

Recently, it has been reported that in vivo T-cell depletion therapy with antithymocyte globulin (ATG) or post-transplant cyclophosphamide (PTCy) is associated with the suppression of subsequent development of chronic graft-versus-host disease (cGVHD), suggesting the importance of regulating the early T-cell recovery after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) for the long-term immune tolerance (1, 2). Although the kinetics of lymphocyte recovery and its correlation with post-HSCT outcomes are well-established in the setting of matched related donors (MRDs), there are relatively few data obtained in the setting of haploidentical donors and post-transplant cyclophosphamide use (PTCy-haplo) (3). Cytomegalovirus (CMV) reactivation is an important cause of morbidity and mortality after allogeneic HSCT, which has also been reported to affect both early and long-term immune reconstitution (4). Moreover, PTCy-haplo transplant recipients show a high rate of CMV reactivation (about 70%) early after transplantation (5–7). Therefore, theoretically, a new anti-CMV prophylaxis agent letermovir (LTV) approved in 2018 in some countries including Japan could be considered for a subset of PTCy-haplo patients (8, 9). However, because LTV has been approved only recently, there is still a paucity of literature on its use for the prevention of lymphocyte recovery caused by CMV reactivation in PTCy-haplo transplant recipients (10).

In this study, we aimed to examine the early HLA-DR+ activated T-cell recovery in MRDs and PTCy-haplo transplant recipients treated with LTV for CMV prophylaxis and determine the association of lymphocyte recovery with cGVHD development. All participants or their family members provided written informed consent for inclusion in retrospective studies. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethical review board of the Kameda Medical Center.

METHODS

We retrospectively analyzed 15 MRD and 33 PTCy-haplo transplant recipients who received allogeneic HSCT as grafts of peripheral blood (PB) at our center from January 1, 2014 to August 31, 2020 (Table 1). The observation period ended on November 31, 2020. The haploidentical donor was defined as a relative who had two or more mismatches in human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-A, -B, -C, and -DRB1 alleles. GVHD prophylaxis was performed as follows: high-dose cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg) on days 3 and 4, and both tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) from day 5 in PTCy-haplo transplant recipients, and short-term methotrexate on day 1, 3, and 6 or MMF and calcineurin inhibitors from day -1 in MRD transplant recipients. CMV reactivation was defined as the detection of 3 or more positive cells per 50,000 cells by pp65 CMV-antigenemia assay in patients’ peripheral blood without obvious end-organ dysfunction, monitored routinely weekly until day 100 or as long as clinically indicated unnecessary. CMV disease was defined by end-organ dysfunction attributable to CMV confirmed by organ biopsy because these conditions would require the administration of anti-CMV drugs (11, 12).

cGVHD diagnosis and grading were based on a previous report (13). Relapse-free survival (RFS) was defined as the time between transplantation and relapse, death, or the end of the study period. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time between transplantation and death or the end of the study period. The probability of RFS and OS was estimated using the log-rank test. Competing events for cGVHD were death or relapse without GVHD. The groups were compared using Gray’s test. All statistical analysis was conducted using R version 3.1.2 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and using the EZR software package (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Shimotsuke, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (14).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the patients who received PTCy-haplo or MRDs are summarized in Table 1. The median age at transplantation in MRD and PTCy-haplo was both 56-year-old and 12 and 25 recipients in MRD and PTCy-haplo, respectively, were male. The background hematologic malignancies were acute myeloid leukemia/myelodysplastic disorders (AML/MDS) in 7, acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) in 3, and malignant lymphoma (ML) in 1 in MRD recipients, and AML/MDS in 15, ALL in 3, and 7 in ML in PTCy-haplo recipients. Since LTV was approved in Japan in 2018, patients with LTV received transplantation after 2018. Overall, the patients’ backgrounds were similar between before and after LTV administration. The RFS of MRD (n=13) and PTCy-haplo (n=28) transplant recipients at 15 months was 75.5% and 55.5% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 42.6-91.4% and 31.9-73.8%), respectively. The OS of MRD and PTCy-haplo at 15 months was 66.7% and 55.1% (95% CI: 37.5–84.6% and 36.0–70.6%), respectively. The RFS and OS were not significantly different in terms of CMV reactivation and disease.

Regarding the efficacy of LTV, MRD transplant recipients prophylactically treated with LTV had a lower CMV reactivation and disease rate on day 100 than those not treated (0% vs. 57.1%, 95% CI: 0–0% vs. 26.6–90.2%; p = 0.081). Similarly, LTV-treated PTCy-haplo patients showed a significantly lower rate of CMV reactivation and disease on day 100 than untreated patients (12.2% vs. 81.2%, 95% CI: 3.4–40.5% vs. 59.8–95.4%; p = 0.001) (Figure 1A). Two PTCy-haplo recipients had CMV-disease (both CMV-colitis), and these patients was survived by ganciclovir treatment. No CMV-disease occurred in MRD recipients.

Next, we examined early T-cell recovery on day 30 in MRD and PTCy-haplo patients (Table 2). The median total lymphocyte recovery was delayed in the PTCy-haplo group compared with the MRD group (298/µL vs. 636/µL, p = 0.015). To investigate the effect of CMV prophylaxis by LTV on T-cell recovery, we further divided MRD and PTCy-haplo patients into LTV-treated and -untreated subgroups (Table 1); the patient backgrounds in each of the two MRD or PTCy-haplo subgroups were almost compatible.

Table 1

| Group          | MRD (n=15) | PTCy-haplo (n=28) |
|----------------|------------|-------------------|
| Age (years)    | 56         | 56                |
| Gender (male)  | 12         | 25                |
| Malignancies   | Acute AML  | Acute AML, MDS,   |
|                | Myelodysplasia | ALL, ML      |
| HLA-Mismatch   | 2-4        | 2-6               |
| CMV Prophylaxis| None       | LTV              |
| CMV Reactivation| 30%       | 70%               |
| CMV Disease    | 0%         | 12.2%             |
On day 30, there was no significant difference in total lymphocyte counts between LTV-treated and untreated MRD patients; however, a significant increase in total lymphocyte count was observed in LTV-treated PTCy-haplo patients (median 694/μL vs 186/μL in the untreated group; p < 0.001) (Figure 1B1). We also detected an increasing trend to increase in the levels of CD3+HLA-DR+, CD4, and CD8 T-cells in the LTV-treated compared with the LTV-untreated PTCy-haplo patients (median HLA-DR+ activated T-cells: 150/μL vs 59/μL, p = 0.079, CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells are shown in Table 2). However, there was no statistically significant difference in HLA-DR+ activated T-cells, CD4+, and CD8+ T-cells between LTV-treated and -untreated MRD transplant recipients (median HLA-DR+ activated T-cells: 150/μL vs 59/μL, p = 0.29) on day 30 (Figure 1B2, Table 2).

As the early recovery of HLA-DR+ activated T-cells was observed only in PTCy-haplo patients prophylactically receiving LTV, we further investigated the rate of moderate to severe cGVHD based on 3.3 months landmark analysis. PTCy-haplo transplant recipients treated with LTV showed a significant increase in the cGVHD rate at 15 months compared with those not treated (63.3% vs 12.5%; 95% CI: 30.5–93.7% vs 1.9–61.3%, p = 0.025; Figure 1C). However, no difference in the cGVHD rate was observed among
MRD patients treated or not with LTV. The cumulative incidence of grade II-IV acute GVHD (aGVHD) was not significantly different in patients with LTV and without LTV in both MRD and PTCy-cohort (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

These data demonstrated that only PTCy-haplo but not MRD transplant recipients subjected to CMV prophylaxis by LTV showed early recovery of total lymphocytes as well as HLA-DR+ activated T-cells. These PTCy-haplo patients with LTV showed a significantly high incidence of cGVHD, but not aGVHD in this study. In general, a significant increase of acute and chronic GVHD has been reported in recipients using graft from PB which content high HLA-DR+ activated T-cells (15–17). However, due to the protective effect of PTCy on regulatory T-cells (18, 19), it is considered that the incidence of aGVHD was not increased, which was compatible to the previous report (9). On the other hand, although the reason for early HLA-DR+ activated T-cell expansion observed after CMV prophylaxis by LTV only in PTCy-haplo patients is still unknown, possible underlying mechanisms could include shifts in cytokine dynamics for CMV protection and changes in the integrity and heterogeneity of the T-cell repertoire (20–22).

The risk factors reported for cGVHD development in PTCy-haplo transplant recipients, including reduced-intensity conditioning regimens, older donor age, and PB as a graft source (23), are associated with increased alloreactive T-cell proliferation and exhaustion. T-cell-depleting antibodies such as ATG can suppress the development of cGVHD by removing early alloreactive T-cells (1). The other possible mechanism of increased cGVHD in PTCy-haplo recipients with LTV was insufficient T-cell suppression in the early-phase of transplantation. Therefore, to inhibit early T-cell expansion and prevent cGVHD in PTCy-haplo transplant

| TABLE 2 | Lymphocytes count in MRD and PTCy-haplo. |
|----------|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|          | LTV+ | LTV- | p value | LTV+ | LTV- | p value |
| day 30   |       |       |         |       |       |         |
| Total lymphocytes | 490 (156, 1848) | 679 (460, 1625) | 0.46 | 694 (168, 1100) | 186 (15, 760) | <0.001 |
| CD3+     | 203 (148, 1533) | 441 (276, 1173) | 0.27 | 275 (58, 520) | 102 (4, 302) | 0.019 |
| CD4+     | 129 (92, 346) | 170 (163, 346) | 0.53 | 84 (15, 232) | 38 (2, 83) | 0.032 |
| CD8+     | 84 (42, 924) | 148 (84, 982) | 0.2 | 148 (31, 406) | 48 (1, 205) | 0.055 |
| CD19+    | 0 (0, 18) | 0 (0, 11) | 0.26 | 0 (0, 8) | 0 (0, 0) | 0.067 |
| CD56+    | 37 (4, 203) | 190 (78, 243) | 0.034 | 125 (20, 398) | 81 (1, 425) | 0.079 |
| CD3+HLA-DR+ | 54 (22, 942) | 130 (29, 941) | 0.29 | 150 (29, 396) | 59 (1, 235) | 0.079 |
recipients, additional prolonged immunosuppression after PTCy administration could be considered.

The limitations of our study include the heterogeneous patient background and small sample size. The data on T-cells after day 30 and functional assay for mediating alloreactive T-cells such as interferon-gamma and tumor necrosis factor-alfa were not collected systematically. The correlation between HLA-DR+ activated T-cells and chronic but not acute GVHD might seem intriguing, however, we are unable to throw further light on the mechanistic pathways behind this association in the absence of longitudinal data. Further prospective studies on the relationship between detailed T-cell analysis and cGVHD under LTV are warranted because the use of LTV is expanding in the clinical practice. Despite these limitations, the uniformity of transplantation grafts (PB from haploidentical relatives) and GVHD prophylaxis (high-dose Cy, then tacrolimus and MMF) in PTCy-haplo patients could be considered a strength of this study.

In conclusion, our results revealed early HLA-DR+ activated T-cell expansion in PTCy-haplo but not in MRD patients who received LTV for CMV prophylaxis. These LTV-treated PTCy-haplo recipients showed a higher incidence of cGVHD; thus, these patients might be subjected to prolonged immunosuppression to prevent cGVHD development. Further studies are warranted to validate our findings and elucidate the detailed mechanisms underlying the effects reported here.
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