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Abstract

Higher dimensional, static, cylindrically symmetric vacuum solutions with and without a cosmological constant in the Brans-Dicke theory are presented. We show that for a negative cosmological constant and for specific values of the parameters, a particular subclass of these solutions include higher dimensional topological black hole-type solutions with a flat horizon topology. We briefly extend our discussion to stationary vacuum and \(\Lambda\)-vacuum solutions.

I. INTRODUCTION

After the pioneering works of Kaluza and Klein,1 the exploration of higher dimensional gravity theories and their solutions played an important role in physics. They showed, in particular, that adding an extra coordinate and a scalar field, four dimensional gravity and electromagnetism can be unified upon compactification of the extra dimension on \(S^1\). Although this theory can be regarded as speculative, the underlying ideas inspired modern and more complicated theories, such as superstring theory.2 The dilaton field in these theories behaves like Brans-Dicke scalar field.

Brans-Dicke (BD) theory is a possible modification of Einstein’s General Theory of Relativity (GR) such that gravity is described by a metric geometry and, in addition, a scalar field. The scalar field plays the role of a variable gravitational “coupling constant”. One of the original reasons where the theory was put forward was to attempt to incorporate the Machian ideas into the theory of gravitation. In BD theory, the matter fields couple to the curvature as in the GR theory but not to the BD scalar field directly so that energy momentum of matter fields are covariantly constant in the Jordan frame. Moreover, since BD theory is a metric theory, weak equivalence principle holds in this frame. It passes solar system tests for large values of its free parameter \(\omega\), i.e., for \(\omega > 40000\).6 It is also known that, metric \(f(R)\) (modified) theories of gravity, which have received a lot of attention recently, is dynamically equivalent to various versions of BD theory with an additional potential term for the scalar field and particular values of the parameter \(\omega\).7 Due to these and as well as other features of interest, it is worthwhile to study its exact solutions in comparison with GR counterparts in arbitrary number of dimensions.

Cylindrically symmetric solutions are one of the simplest and the most studied solutions of gravity theories since these solutions can be considered as approximations to non-spherical and elongated matter and field configurations.8 For example, the cylindrical symmetry is adopted in the study of gravitational waves, cosmological models, gravitational collapse of non-spherical matter distributions, and in applications to the numerical GR as well.

Cylindrically symmetric, static vacuum solution of general relativity were presented by Levi-Civita long ago.9 This solution has two independent parameters, one of which is related to the mass per unit length of the source whereas the other is related to the global conicity of the solution. An important subclass of Levi-Civita (LC) solution is locally flat but globally conical cylindrically symmetric solution generated by a static, straight, gauge cosmic string.10 Due to the important gravitational and cosmological implications of cosmic strings,12,13 this solution received a lot of interest in recent years. In four dimensional BD theory, the corresponding static cylindrical vacuum solutions were presented in 14,15, whereas the higher dimensional generalization of LC solution in GR is presented recently.16

In the presence of a cosmological constant \(\Lambda\), the corresponding solutions with cylindrical symmetry was presented much later by Linet17 and Tian18. It is important to note that, for negative \(\Lambda\) and definite choice of parameters,19 this solution includes static topological black hole with a flat horizon topology as a special case by an appropriate coordinate transformation.20,21 For a review of topological black holes, see, e.g., 22. As a possible source generating these \(\Lambda\)-vacuum solutions, thin shells were constructed in 23. The corresponding \(\Lambda\)-vacuum solutions for BD theory was discussed in 24 and exact solutions corresponding to thick cosmic strings having a phenomenological equation of state that matches smoothly to these solutions was presented in 25. The higher dimensional GR generalizations of these solutions
have recently been presented in [26, 27].

In this paper, we present cylindrically symmetric, static vacuum and $\Lambda$-vacuum solutions, generalizing the Levi-Civita’s [3] and Linet-Tian’s solution [17, 18] to higher dimensional BD theory. We also present corresponding stationary (rotating) solutions for both cases in closed form. In our work we prefer to use Jordan frame, however corresponding solutions in Einstein-scalar and dilaton gravity can be obtained by suitable conformal transformations.

II. FIELD EQUATIONS

The vacuum field equations of BD theory with a cosmological constant $\Lambda$, in the Jordan frame can be derived from the action

$$S = \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ \phi (-R + 2\Lambda) + \frac{\omega}{\phi} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi \right\}$$ (1)

in $n$ space-time dimensions. The equations for the metric and the scalar field $\phi$ that follow from (1) can be written in a form appropriate for study of BD $\Lambda$-vacuum as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = (\omega + 1) \tilde{\Lambda} g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\phi} \left( \phi_{,\mu\nu} + \frac{\omega}{\phi} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \right),$$ (2)

$$\Box \phi + \tilde{\Lambda} \phi = 0,$$ (3)

respectively. Here $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, the constant $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is related to the cosmological constant and the BD parameter $\omega$ as

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \frac{2\Lambda}{(n-1) + \omega (n-2)}.$$ (4)

$\Box$ in (3) denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator in $n$ dimensional space-time.

For the metric ansatz, we adopt Gaussian normal type coordinates for $n = 4+d$ dimensional, static, cylindrically symmetric diagonal metric:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = dr^2 + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j,$$ (5)

$$(g_{ij}) = \text{diag}(-g_0^2, g_2^2, \ldots, g_{(n-1)}^2),$$ (6)

where indices $\mu, \nu$ cover all coordinate range, whereas the indices $i, j$ cover range of the coordinates on the submanifold with $dr = 0$. All the metric functions $g_i$ depend only on the radial coordinate $r$. In general, without any further symmetry assumption, this metrical ansatz have at least $(n-1)$ number of commuting and hypersurface orthogonal Killing vectors $E_{(\mu)} = \delta_{(\mu)}^\nu, \mu \neq 1$. We shall also assume $\phi = \phi(r)$. The function defined in terms of the field functions as $W(r) = \phi(1; g_i = \phi_0 g_2 \cdots g_{(n-1)} = \phi \sqrt{|g|}$ will be useful for the discussion below.

With the above assumptions, the BD field equations (2) and (3) lead to the system of differential equations

$$\left( \frac{g'_i}{g_i} W \right)' = -(1 + \omega) \tilde{\Lambda} W;$$ (7)

$$W'' - \sum_i \left( \frac{g'_i}{g_i} \right)^2 - (1 + \omega) \left( \frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2 = -2\Lambda,$$ (8)

and

$$\left( \frac{\phi'}{\phi} W \right)' = -\tilde{\Lambda} W,$$ (9)

respectively. Using (7) and (9) we obtain

$$W'' + \tilde{\Lambda} \left( (n-1) \omega + n \right) W = 0.$$ (10)

and that the function $W$ then satisfies

$$W'^2 = k^2 - \alpha W^2, \quad \alpha = \tilde{\Lambda} \left( (n-1) \omega + n \right),$$ (11)

where $k$ is an integration constant.

Note that, the form of the field equations (7)-(9) are similar to four dimensional GR [17] and also to the BD [24, 28] cases. The character of $W(r)$ which solves (10) depends on the numerical value of the constant $\alpha$, which is determined by the numerical values of the parameters $\Lambda, \omega$ and $n$.

With the conformal transformations defined by

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right)^\frac{2}{n-2}, \quad \tilde{\phi} = \left( \omega + \frac{n-1}{n-2} \right)^\frac{1}{2} \ln \phi,$$ (12)

$\phi_0$ being a constant, the action (11) goes over to the Einstein frame with minimally coupled massless scalar field and with an appropriately-scaled cosmological constant.

A subsequent conformal transformation defined by

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} e^{-\frac{4\tilde{\phi}}{n-2}},$$ (13)

brings the action in the Einstein frame (in the barred variables) to so-called string frame where the scalar field now becomes dilaton field.

In the next section we consider $\Lambda = 0$ vacuum solutions which require $\alpha = 0$ and $\omega \neq -\frac{n}{n-1}$. In the fourth section we present $\Lambda$-vacuum solutions which require either $\alpha = 0$ and $\omega = -\frac{n}{n-1}$ or $\alpha \neq 0$. Finally we briefly extend our discussion to stationary generalizations of these solutions.

III. VACUUM ($\Lambda = 0$) SOLUTIONS

In this section we consider the case where the cosmological constant vanishes, $\Lambda = 0$, with $\omega$ being arbitrary. For this case Eqn. (11) becomes $W'' = 0$ and has solution $W = \gamma + \beta$ where $\gamma, \beta$ are integration constants. It turns out that, without loss of generality, it is possible to choose $W = \gamma$ by taking $\gamma = 1$, $\beta = 0$. The solution is therefore given by the functions

$$g_i = A_i r^{a_i}, \quad \phi = A_\phi r^{a_\phi},$$ (14)
where the constant exponents satisfy
\[ \sum a_i + a_\phi = \sum a_i^2 + (1 + \omega) a_\phi^2 = 1. \] (15)

This solution corresponds to higher dimensional generalization of the Levi-Civita space-time [9] in BD theory and its four dimensional BD version can be found in [14]. The solution has a number of Kasner-like parameters \(a_i, a_\phi\). However, since there are two constraint equations, namely Eqns. (15), only \(n - 2\) of them are independent. We also have the freedom to set some of the constants \(A_i\) to unity if the coordinate corresponding to these constants are not an angular coordinate. For the angular coordinate, however, the corresponding constants is related to the global conicity of the space-time and cannot be removed without changing the period of the angular coordinate.

This solution shares many physical properties with its four-dimensional counterpart. For example, it is singular on \(r = 0\) since the Kretschmann scalar \(K = R_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} R^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\), diverges; as easily seen by its expression, for example, in 6-dimensions:
\[ K \sim \frac{1}{r^4} \left\{ \sum_i \left( a_i (1 - a_i) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} a_\phi^2 \right\}. \]
(16)
The only exception to this is the particular case where \(a_\phi = 0\) and all of the exponents \(a_i\) vanish except one of them is assumed to be equal to unity. This is nothing but the special case of (flat) higher dimensional GR limit of our solution and for \(n = 4\) this solution describes the exterior field of gauge cosmic strings [11, 12].

For \(\Lambda = 0\) case, the gravitational force acting on a test particle at rest is proportional to \(-a_0/\alpha^{2\sigma-1}\). As in the four dimensional GR case [10, 29], the direction of force depends on the sign of \(a_0\). When \(a_0 = 0\) the positive force is attractive whereas it is repulsive for negative \(a_0\) implying that the source generating such gravitational field has positive (negative) energy density for positive (negative) \(a_0\).

For the purpose of comparison with the familiar forms of the solution, we first identify the axial and the angular cylindrical coordinates as \(x^2 = z, x^3 = \theta\). We also label the extra coordinates by the capital Latin letters, \(I, J = (5, 6, \ldots, n)\). Then, the solution can be written in a more conventional form by incorporating the Kasner relations [15] into the solution by the following coordinate transformation and redefinitions
\[ r = \rho^2, \quad a_0 = \frac{2\sigma}{\Sigma}, \quad a_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{\Sigma}, \]
(17)
\[ a_3 = \frac{1 - (2\sigma + p + \gamma_0)}{\Sigma}, \quad a_I = \frac{\gamma_I}{\Sigma}, \quad a_\phi = \frac{\gamma_\phi}{\Sigma}. \]
(18)
These yield the metric and the scalar fields as
\[ ds^2 = -\rho^{4\sigma} dt^2 + \rho^{2(\Sigma - 1)} (dr^2 + dz^2) + \rho^{2(1 - 2\sigma - p - \gamma_0)} \beta^2 d\phi^2 + \sum_{I=5}^{n} \rho^{2\gamma_I} (dx^I)^2, \]
(19)
\[ \phi = \phi_0 \rho^{\gamma_0}, \]
(20)
respectively, where the constant exponents are now given by
\[ \Sigma = 1 - p + \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2} + (2\sigma + \gamma_0)(p + \gamma_0 - 1) + 4\sigma^2 + \frac{\omega}{2} \gamma_\phi^2, \]
\[ p = \sum I \gamma_I, \quad q^2 = \sum I \gamma_I^2. \]
(21)

Here, we re-scaled the ignorable coordinates for clarity. Note that, this solution reduces to the corresponding GR solution [4, 10] in the limit \(\gamma_\phi \to 0, \sqrt{\omega} \to \infty\) such that \(\gamma_\phi \sqrt{\omega} \to 0\).

**IV. VACUUM SOLUTIONS WITH COSMOLLOGICAL CONSTANT**

**A. General Case**

General class of solutions for the \(\Lambda \neq 0\) case can be obtained for \(\alpha \neq 0\). This requires both \(\Lambda \neq 0\) and \(\omega \neq -\frac{1}{4\sigma - 1}\). Hence, the vacuum solution with a cosmological constant, which can be considered as generalization of Linet-Tian solution [17, 18] belongs to this class. For this case, using Eqns. (7) and (10), the field equations can further be integrated to get
\[ \frac{g_{\alpha}}{g_i} = \frac{\omega + 1}{n + (n - 1)\omega} W + \frac{kc_i}{W}, \]
\[ \frac{g_{\phi}}{\phi} = \frac{1}{n + (n - 1)\omega} W + \frac{kc_\phi}{W}, \]
(22)
(23)
where \(c_i\) and \(c_\phi\) are constants. These constants, because of the equations [8] and [11], should satisfy the following constraint equations:
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i + c_\phi = 0, \]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i^2 + (1 + \omega) c_\phi^2 = \frac{n - 1 + (n - 2)\omega}{n + (n - 1)\omega}. \]
(24)
(25)
Eqn. (11), depending on the sign of \(\alpha\), has two types of well-known solutions. These are given by
\[ W = \gamma \sinh (\sqrt{\alpha}r) + \delta \cosh (\sqrt{\alpha}r), \quad \alpha > 0, \]
\[ W = \gamma \sin (\sqrt{|\alpha|}r) + \delta \cos (\sqrt{|\alpha|}r), \quad \alpha < 0. \]
(26)
(27)
Note that cylindrical symmetry requires \(\delta = 0\) and we have the freedom to take \(\gamma = 1\). Now, the remaining
functions of the solution can be found. Using eqns. (22) and (23) we find the following field functions

\[ g_i = C_i \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{r} \right)^{c_i} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{r} \right)^{\frac{1+c_i}{2}}, \]  
\[ \phi = C_\phi \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{r} \right)^{c_\phi} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{r} \right)^{\frac{1+c_\phi}{2}}, \]  
for \( \alpha > 0 \), and

\[ g_i = C_i \left( \tanh \frac{\alpha}{2} r \right)^{c_i} \left( \sinh \frac{\alpha}{2} r \right)^{\frac{1+c_i}{2}}, \]  
\[ \phi = C_\phi \left( \tanh \frac{\alpha}{2} r \right)^{c_\phi} \left( \sinh \frac{\alpha}{2} r \right)^{\frac{1+c_\phi}{2}}, \]  
for \( \alpha < 0 \).

Similar to the GR [17, 18] as well as BD [22] cases in four dimensions, the curvature invariants of the solutions are singular at \( r = 0 \) and at \( r = N \pi / \sqrt{\alpha} \) for \( \alpha > 0 \) where \( N \) is an odd integer, whereas they are singular only at \( r = 0 \) for \( \alpha < 0 \). Hence, for negative \( \alpha \) spacetime is similar to vacuum case where the only singularity is at the symmetry axis, which can be avoided for both signs of \( \alpha \) if one can put an interior regular source occupying the symmetry axis and smoothly matching the exterior \( \Lambda \)–vacuum region. Positive \( \alpha \) case is more problematic however, since there are infinitely many singularities at \( r = N \pi / \sqrt{\alpha} \). This can be remedied by assuming that this case describes a closed toroidal spacetime with topology \( R^{n-2} \times S^2 \) as suggested in [18]. Then, the radial coordinate is to be transformed to \( r = \chi + \chi_0 \) where \( \chi_0 = \pi / \sqrt{\alpha} \) and the new coordinate \( \chi \) has range \( -\chi_0 \leq \chi \leq \chi_0 \).

In order to discuss the asymptotical form of the solutions, with the help of the properties of trigonometric functions, we rearrange the metric functions given above as follows

\[ g_i = C_i \left( \frac{2(n+1)}{\alpha} \right)^{a_i} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{a_i}, \]  
\[ \phi = C_\phi \left( \frac{2(n+1)}{\alpha} \right)^{a_\phi} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{a_\phi}, \]  
for \( \alpha > 0 \) and for \( \alpha < 0 \) trigonometric functions replacing with corresponding hyperbolic functions. Here the parameters \( a_i, a_\phi \), are given by

\[ a_i = c_i + \frac{1+\omega}{n+(n-1)\omega}, \quad a_\phi = c_\phi + \frac{1}{n+(n-1)\omega}, \]  
and they satisfy the relations (13).

Near \( r \approx 0 \), the solutions, for both signs of \( \alpha \), reduce to vacuum Levi-Civita-BD solution (14):

\[ g_i(r)_{r \to 0} \approx r^{a_i}, \quad \phi(r)_{r \to 0} \approx r^{a_\phi}. \]  

For positive \( \alpha \), the solutions do not approach to de-Sitter spacetime in the \( r \to \infty \) limit. However, for \( \alpha < 0 \), the metric reduces to

\[ g_i(r)_{r \to \infty} \approx \frac{d^2}{r^2} + e^{\frac{2(n+1)}{n+(n-1)\omega} \sqrt{\rho_0} r} \left( \sum_{i \neq 0} (dx^i)^2 - dt^2 \right), \]  

which is actually a spacetime with a constant negative curvature. Hence, the negative \( \alpha \) space-time always approaches to anti de Sitter (AdS) space-time at radial infinity. Note that in the limit \( r \to \infty \), for this case, the metric becomes independent of the cylindrical Kasner parameters.

### 1. Relation with Topological Black Holes

Let us consider a subclass of solutions for \( \alpha < 0 \). First, consider the coordinate transformation defined by

\[ r = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \cosh^{-1} \left[ \sqrt{\Omega} \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{\frac{n+(n-1)\omega}{2(n+1)}} \right], \]  
\[ \Omega = \frac{(1+\omega)^2 \Lambda \rho_0}{n+(n-1)\omega}, \]  

(\( \rho_0 \) constant) together with the special choice of the Kasner parameters

\[ c_2 = c_3 = c_\rho = c_\phi = \frac{-1+\omega}{n+(n-1)\omega}, \]  
\[ c_0 = \frac{n-1+(n-2)\omega}{n+(n-1)\omega}, \]  

which brings this special case of general BD vacuum solution with \( \alpha < 0 \) to the convenient form

\[ ds^2 = - \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{2} \left[ \Omega - \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{\frac{n+(n-1)\omega}{2(n+1)}} \right] dt^2 + \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{-2} \left[ \Omega - \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{\frac{n+(n-1)\omega}{2(n+1)}} \right]^{-1} d\rho^2 + \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{2} \left[ dz^2 + C_\rho^2 d\phi^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} (dx^i)^2 \right], \]  

(41)

\[ \phi = \phi_0 \left[ (1+\omega) \rho \right]^{\frac{1}{1+\omega}}. \]  

Here, the time and other ignorable coordinates are scaled by constants. To avoid a conical singularity, one has to set \( C_\rho / \rho_0 \) to unity.

This solution corresponds to an interesting subclass of general \( \Lambda \)–vacuum BD solutions with cylindrical symmetry. It is presented in [30] and its thermodynamical and other properties are discussed in [30] in the study of dilaton gravity with a dilaton potential term. The solution is the higher dimensional generalization of the solution given by Cai et al. [31] corresponding to black hole-like solutions with flat (cylindrical, plane or toroidal) horizon topology in the dilaton gravity, whose GR version were previously studied in four [20, 21] and higher dimensional [32]. In fact, this type of solutions in GR has also been identified with the AdS solitons [20, 27, 33]. As
in the corresponding case in GR, the presence of negative cosmological constant is essential for these black hole-type solutions. Moreover, by omitting the coordinates of the extra dimensions, the solution \( g_i = B_i e^{b_i x^2/2} \), \( \phi = B_\phi e^{(1-n)\Lambda x^2/2} \), (43)

where the constants are to satisfy

\[
\sum_i b_i + b_\phi = \sum_i b_i^2 - \frac{1}{(n-1)} b_\phi^2 = 1. \tag{44}
\]

Note that this solution has no GR limit, unless \( \Lambda = 0, b_\phi = 0 \) which renders the BD scalar function a constant.

V. STATIONARY SOLUTIONS

In GR, cylindrical stationary (rotating) solutions were presented for vacuum by Lewis [34] and \( \Lambda \)-vacuum by Krasinski [35] and Santos [36]. These solutions can be obtained by using globally forbidden coordinate transformations from the corresponding static solutions, i.e. coordinate transformations which mixes time and angular coordinates [20, 37]. This type of transformation yields locally equivalent but globally different solutions. Now suppose that for the metric we considered [35], the spacetime has \( R^{n-1} \times S^1 \) topology and the coordinate \( x^3 \) is an angular coordinate. Then, as in the previous cases, we can obtain stationary (rotating) cylindrical solutions applying the following coordinate transformations

\[
t = t_0 T + x_0 \Phi, \quad x^3 = t_1 T + x_1 \Phi, \tag{45}
\]

where \( t_0, x_0, t_1, x_1 \) are constants, and there is freedom to rescale two of them to unity by redefining the coordinates \( T \) and \( \Phi \). Consequently, the resulting metric takes the form:

\[
ds^2 = -F dt^2 + 2 K dT d\Phi + L d\Phi^2 + dr^2
+ \sum_{i \neq 0, 3} (g_i dx^i)^2 \tag{46}
\]

where

\[
F = t_0^2 g_0^2 - t_1^2 g_3^2, \quad K = t_1 x_1 g_3^2 - t_0 x_0 g_0^2,
L = x_1^2 g_3^2 - x_0^2 g_0^2. \tag{47}
\]

Since the transformation is not permitted globally and the resulting metric is not equivalent to static metric, we can label the new coordinate \( \Phi \) as angular coordinate with range \([0, 2\pi]\). One can always locally transform the new metric into a static one by considering the inverse transformations of (45), but since these transformations are not permitted globally, the static metrics can fully be recovered only in the limit where the constants \( t_1 \) and \( x_0 \) vanish.

Using appropriate forms of the functions \( g_i \) presented in previous sections, the above metric describes stationary vacuum or \( \Lambda \)-vacuum solutions of cylindrical stationary solutions of higher dimensional BD theory or with taking suitable limits, GR theory.

Since static and stationary rotating solutions are equivalent locally, many of their physical properties are also similar. However, there is a crucial difference between static and stationary solutions. Cylindrically symmetric rotating solutions can easily generate closed time-like curves (CTC’s). For the metric under consideration, if \( \xi^\mu(\Phi) \) is the Killing vector generating rotations about axis, then the spacetime is free of CTC’s if \( g_{0\mu} \xi_0^\mu \xi_3^\nu > 0 \) everywhere, which requires \( g_0^2 > x_0^2/ x_3^2 g_3^2 \). It is possible to have such configurations with appropriate choice of parameters. For example, for the vacuum, the case \( a_0 > a_3 (a_1 > a_0) \) is free of CTC’s for large \( r \) if the constants satisfy the relation \( x_0^2 > x_3^2 (x_3^2 > x_0^2) \).

VI. DISCUSSION

In this paper, various solutions of Brans-Dicke field equations having cylindrical symmetry in higher dimensions are presented for the cases of vacuum and \( \Lambda \)-vacuum. The solutions we have presented generalize the corresponding solutions for static vacuum [38] and \( \Lambda \)-vacuum [17] cases and stationary vacuum [34] and \( \Lambda \)-vacuum [35, 36] in GR. We have showed in particular that, similar to corresponding to the GR case, a subclass of higher dimensional \( \Lambda \)-vacuum solutions we present includes a topological black hole-type solution for the specific choice of parameters of the higher-dimensional BD theory. It is well-known that one of the ingredients of the low energy limit of string theories is dilaton field that couples nonlinearly to gravity. Thus, the solutions we have discussed can have relevance in the context of such theories, since they represent the gravitational field of static or stationary rotating neutral string-like objects in four and higher dimensions.
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