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**Abstract.** Outdoor performance characterisation of the Solar Photovoltaic (SPV) module is essential to while designing and commissioning a new SPV power plant. The health of SPV plant is monitored using a conventional method which underutilises the workforce and resources used for Operation and Maintenance (O&M) of the SPV power plant. Outdoor performance characterisation of SPV module using reliable, compact, portable, and economical Current-Voltage (I-V) curve tracer having IoT capability and auto sweep capability is presented in this paper. The capacitive load method is used for I-V curve sweep, and the result is compared with the resistive load method. In this paper, the advantages of using a capacitive load method over resistive load method are observed and experimentally validated. The ease of using IoT feature makes this proposed I-V Curve Tracer (IVCT) device more reliable to trace Current-Voltage (I-V) curve and Power-Voltage (P-V) curve for outdoor performance characterisation of SPV module.

1 INTRODUCTION

Limited availability of fossil fuel, cost effectiveness due technology upgradation in SPV panel manufacturing and consumer awareness towards use of green technology has exponentially increases the use of SPV. Globally growing need of energy can be fulfilled by grid tied SPV power plant [1]. It can be easily generated and used in household, commercial and industrial applications [2]. The major disadvantage of commercially available SPV module is its low conversion efficiency (η < 20%) and the non-linearity of the output I-V curve [3, 4].

Commissioning the SPV power plant on the remote location where unshaded space is largely available is the prime concern of the power plant design engineer [5]. Performance analysis and continuous monitoring of this power plant during operation is the necessity now a day. It adds financial burden over power plant owner. More accurate power plant monitoring system helps to schedule regular maintenance which will increase life span of the power plant [6]. It also helps to find faults during operation because of uncertain change in metrological parameters [7-9]. Internet of Things (IoT) based outdoor performance characterisation is the necessity to monitor the plant continuously from remote location [10].

In this paper analysis of SPV system is evaluated using IoT based I-V Curve Tracer (IVCT). This developed IVCT device with capacitive load method gives high degree of flexibility and scalability in comparison with resistive load is shown and validated experimentally.

1.1 Performance Characterisation of SPV Module

Tracing I-V and P-V curve for performance characterisation is predominant method than other existing method. These curve help to extract important electrical parameters like short circuit current (I_{sc}), open circuit voltage (V_{oc}), maximum current (I_{m}), maximum voltage (V_{m}), maximum power (P_{m}), series resistance (R_s), shunt resistance (R_sh), field factor (FF), efficiency (η) etc. which shown in Fig. 1 [11].

![Fig. 1. I-V and P-V curve](image)

Conventionally for curve tracing, SPV module is loaded from zero resistance path to infinite resistance path using variable resistance. SPV module is active device it generates energy and IVCT device acts as load which sweeps curve using internal load circuitry.
Conductivity of silicon material increases as increases in solar irradiance makes SPV module to generate more current at output. For change in temperature, the series resistance increases which makes more voltage drop across series resistance and less voltage at output of SPV module.

2 PROPOSED TECHNIQUE FOR IVCT

Major building blocks of the proposed IVCT device is SPV modules, Sensor, load Unit and Raspberry Pi 3B model as a central processing unit. Detailed block diagram of proposed IVCT is shown in Fig. 2.

| Symbol | Parameter                     | Value |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------|
| P<sub>max</sub> | Maximum Power             | 60 W<sub>p</sub> |
| V<sub>max</sub> | Maximum Voltage          | 17.6 V |
| I<sub>max</sub> | Maximum Current          | 3.41 A |
| V<sub>oc</sub> | Open Circuit Voltage       | 21.5 V |

2.2 Sensors

In order to obtain correct measurement of voltages during operation, the SPV panel voltage needs to be divided which is accomplished by a resistive voltage divider circuit. This provides voltage isolation and protects other circuitry. This voltage is then read by the external 12 bit analog to digital converter ADS1015 and send back to raspberry pi.

DC Current can be measured using small shunt resistor or different current sensors like ADS712, LEM DC current transducer DK 20 C5 U etc. [12]. We have used ACS712 IC based hall effect current sensor which is cost effective and easily available. ACS712 has 3 variants, 5A, 20A, 30A having different current measuring capability. This sensor is bidirectional and can sense current in both directions. With no load connected sensor shows 2.5V at the output pin. Sensitivity of ACS712 5A is 185mV/A. This signal is directly feed to external ADC1015 which converts analog signal to digital and send it to the Raspberry pi.

For light irradiance measuredes, Apogee SP110SS pyranometer sensor is used. It is calibrated by manufacturer and it has sensitivity 0.2mV/Wm<sup>2</sup>. This sensor is aligned with SPV module to measure light irradiance accurately as shown in Fig. 4. DS18B20 temperature sensor is placed backside of the SPV module to measure of module temperature continuously.

2.3 Load Unit

2.3.1 Capacitive Load

To obtain characterisation curves, it is important to control the current generated by the SPV module. To perform this, one has to vary resistance (load) over entire range in a short span of time T<sub>scan</sub> from zero to infinity. It will measure the points of the I-V curve from the short-circuit current to the open-circuit voltage. This research uses one of the methods to emulate as a variable resistance is by using capacitor as a load. Results for the resistive load is also taken to validate the results. Capacitor load provides natural sweep and able to

![Fig. 2. Detailed block diagram of Proposed IVCT](image)

![Fig. 3. ASP-12-60W<sub>p</sub> installed on terrace of SPV Lab](image)

![Fig. 4. Apogee SP110SS Pyranometer on terrace](image)
perform well under Partial shading condition (PSC) [13-15]. Capacitive method is useful to develop I-V curve tracer for high power rated photovoltaic modules.

2.3.2 Discharge Circuitry

To start the new sweep, charged capacitor during curve tracing need to be discharged first for accurate measurement. It requires resistive (energy sink) elements to discharge capacitor safely within a short span of time. We have used heat sinkable resistor which has Aluminium Housed direct heat sink mounting. Five resistors of 300ohm, 100W specification is used in series or parallel as per requirement to safely discharge the capacitor before and after I-V curve tracing is shown in Fig. 5.

![Heat Sinkable High Wattage Resistor](image)

Total time duration required to discharge the capacitor (τ) safely is given by Equation 1 [16]

\[
\tau = 5 \times R \times C
\]

2.4 Raspberry Pi 3B as Central Processing Device

The Raspberry Pi 3B was programmed with python programming to monitor both the current and the voltage of the SPV module. Real time measurement of metrological parameters like light radiance and module temperature is measured using sensor and used for STC correction. Flow chart of the proposed device working is as given in Fig. 6. Clear sky condition is checked using light irradiance data to start the device.

2.4.1 Modifying measured parameters to STC condition at varying temperature and light irradiance (STC correction)

Impact of varying meteorological parameters will give the erroneous reading of instantaneous current and voltage. To reduce the effect, measured electrical parameters need to be corrected with STC conversion [8, 17]. STC conversion for of measured parameters are calculated using Equation 2 and 3 which are given below,

\[
V_{STC} = V_{meas} \left\{ 1 + \beta \cdot \left[ T_{STC} - T_{meas} \right] \right\}
\]

Where, \(V_{STC}\) and \(I_{STC}\) is voltage and current at STC (labelled specification) respectively, \(V_{meas}\) and \(I_{meas}\) is the voltage and current measured during I-V tracing respectively, \(I_{meas}\) and \(T_{meas}\) is the light irradiance and module temperature measured using pyranometer and temperature sensor during I-V sweep respectively, \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) is the thermal coefficient of the short-circuit current (V/oC) and thermal coefficient of the open-circuit voltage (A/oC) respectively.

\[
I_{STC} = I_{meas} \left\{ 1 + \alpha \cdot \left[ T_{STC} - T_{meas} \right] \right\} \left( \frac{G_{meas}}{G_{meas}} \right)
\]

3 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 7 shows the actual hardware test setup in the SPV research lab. The reference values specified by the manufacturer on the back side of the SPV module are utilized in the analysis for error and accuracy calculation.
3.1 Result of conventional method (R Load)

Conventional R load method is tested and measurement has been taken out for validation. Rheostat of 300ohm is used as resistive load to vary load resistance from minimum to maximum manually. This method is simple, cost-effective and shows significant results for low operating solar power modules. But this method has certain limitations. It unable to track fast changing (non-uniform) operating and climatic condition. For large operating power, resistances become heavy, bulky and costly [18-20]. For power solar modules resistive load shows inherent losses and dissipates heats during operation [20].

Throughout all the analysis of R load, the sample frequency is depending on the operator expertise. SPV module electrical parameters extracted from captured I-V and P-V curve of ASP 12-60Wp on different time instants on the same day is tabulated in Table 2,3,4.

| Time Stamp | 12:00 |
|------------|-------|
| Parameters | Measured | STC Corrected | % Error | % Accuracy |
| $V_{oc}$ (V) | 20.7 | 21.998 | 2.3 | 97.7 |
| $V_{max}$ (V) | 14 | 14.878 | 18.3 | 81.7 |
| $I_{sc}$ (A) | 3.67 | 3.5966 | 2.3 | 97.7 |
| $I_{max}$ (A) | 3.49 | 3.4202 | 0.3 | 99.7 |
| $P_{max}$ (Wp) | 48.86 | 50.886 | 17.9 | 82.1 |
| $G_{meas}$ (W/m²) | 720 | |
| $T_{mean}$ (°C) | 41 | |

Table 2: Result analysis for 12:00PM Time stamp

3.2 Result of Proposed method (C Load)

For DC input, capacitor during charging offers low resistance path and after full charging it offers infinity resistance path to flow of current. It sweeps curve naturally from $I_{sc}$ to $V_{oc}$. IoT based IVCT is tested on the same time stamp and results are tabulated in Table 5,6,7. Below results shows that, measured electrical parameters of SPV module using capacitive load based IVCT closely reassembles the reference values. Measured parameters are taken from I-V curve and corrected using STC correction formula. External ADC is used with gain 8 and 3300 samples per second for better resolution of I-V curve trace.

| Time Stamp | 13:00 |
|------------|-------|
| Parameters | Measured | STC Corrected | % Error | % Accuracy |
| $V_{oc}$ (V) | 20.4 | 20.661 | 4.1 | 95.9 |
| $V_{max}$ (V) | 16.1 | 13.974 | 25.9 | 74.1 |
| $I_{sc}$ (A) | 3.18 | 3.373 | 9.1 | 90.9 |
| $I_{max}$ (A) | 2.76 | 3.375 | 1 | 99 |
| $P_{max}$ (Wp) | 44.436 | 47.162 | 27.3 | 72.7 |
| $G_{meas}$ (W/m²) | 626.25 | |
| $T_{mean}$ (°C) | 48 | |

Table 3: Result analysis for 13:00PM Time stamp

| Time Stamp | 14:00 |
|------------|-------|
| Parameters | Measured | STC Corrected | % Error | % Accuracy |
| $V_{oc}$ (V) | 20.5 | 23.314 | 7.8 | 92.2 |
| $V_{max}$ (V) | 15.6 | 15.768 | 11.6 | 88.4 |
| $I_{sc}$ (A) | 2.3 | 3.507 | 4.9 | 95.1 |
| $I_{max}$ (A) | 2.14 | 3.335 | 2.2 | 97.8 |
| $P_{max}$ (Wp) | 33.384 | 52.586 | 14.1 | 85.9 |
| $G_{meas}$ (W/m²) | 650 | |
| $T_{mean}$ (°C) | 57 | |

Table 4: Result analysis for 14:00PM Time stamp
Four CONCLUSION

The main circuit is based on a capacitive loading which allow auto sweep of characterisation curves of the solar photovoltaic modules having maximum power up to 60Wp. The designed IV curve tracer is easily scalable and can trace the I-V curve upto 50V open circuit voltage and 10A short circuit current. Error in Pmax is upto 15% due to the impact of error in current measurement. This shows the internal resistive and parasitic effect of components in the circuit while capturing current continuously.

The design and implementation of a low-cost, portable and scalable I-V curve tracer for SPV module is presented in this paper. This device able to examine and monitor SPV modules performances continuously, and it becomes very useful, particularly when the SPV modules are installed at the rooftop or in a rural area. IoT capability of designed IV curve tracer helps to speed-up data capturing, monitoring and subsequent analyses of SPV power plant from a remote location. The bidirectional communication capability of IoT will help to tackle emergency situation easily and will also help to take necessary measures to reduce power/energy losses. Analysis of data on the cloud help to schedule maintenance and reduces the O&M cost drastically.
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