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Abstract

Local disease burden data are necessary to set national influenza vaccination policy. In 2010 the population of South Africa was 50 million and the HIV prevalence was 11%. We used a previously developed methodology to determine severe influenza burden in South Africa.

Hospitilized severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) incidence was calculated, stratified by HIV status, for four age groups using data from population-based surveillance in one site situated in Gauteng Province for 2009–2011. These rates were adjusted for each of the remaining 8 provinces based on their prevalence of risk factors for pneumonia and health-care-seeking behavior. We estimated non-hospitalized influenza-associated SARI from healthcare utilization surveys at two sites and used the percent of SARI cases positive for influenza from sentinel surveillance to derive the influenza-associated SARI rate. We applied rates of hospitalized and non-hospitalized influenza-associated SARI to census data to calculate the national number of cases. The percent of SARI cases that tested positive for influenza ranged from 7–17% depending on age group, year, province and HIV status. In 2010, there were an estimated 21,555 total severe influenza cases in HIV-uninfected individuals and 13,876 in HIV-infected individuals. In 2011, there were an estimated 29,892 total severe influenza cases in HIV-uninfected individuals and 17,289 in HIV-infected individuals. The incidence of influenza-associated SARI was highest in children <5 years and was higher in HIV-infected than HIV-uninfected persons in all age groups. Influenza virus
was associated with a substantial amount of severe disease, especially in young children and HIV-infected populations in South Africa.

Introduction

Influenza virus causes substantial disease in low- and middle-income countries each year, but data on the disease burden are limited [1]. Data on influenza from country-level surveillance can show the distribution of disease among the population and identify groups at high risk of infection. This information can subsequently help policy makers make evidence-based decisions on how to target influenza treatment and prevention programs, such as vaccination, and can lead to more effective allocation of resources within a country [2].

South Africa is a middle-income country, but there is great variation in socioeconomic status with some provinces that are more similar to low-income countries [3, 4]. This results in some populations within the country having a disproportionately higher level of exposure to risk factors for communicable disease. These include environmental risk factors, such as crowded living conditions and exposure to indoor air pollution, as well as biological risk factors, such as malnutrition and underlying infections [5–7]. These risk factors may drive the burden of influenza in South Africa to be greater than other countries with similar income level [3, 8, 9]. In particular, the high HIV prevalence in South Africa likely leads to higher numbers of severe influenza-associated illness and thus more hospitalized cases [9–15].

The influenza season in South Africa occurs in the austral winter months of May to August [14, 16, 17]. Influenza vaccine has been available in the public sector in South Africa for many years but coverage is only approximately 5%. The recommended target groups for annual vaccination include pregnant women, persons with underlying medical conditions (including HIV), children less than 5 years of age and persons over 65 years of age [18]. The estimation of national influenza burden is challenging particularly in low resource settings where data on the national number of consultations, hospitalizations and deaths are lacking in most instances. We estimated influenza-associated severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) by HIV status in South Africa using a rapid assessment methodology [2].

Methods

The protocol for the SARI surveillance system was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of the Universities of the Witwatersrand and KwaZulu-Natal. The surveillance data used for the model was deemed non-research by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and did not need human subjects review by that institution. All data that was analyzed was de-identified and consent was obtained from all patients before they were enrolled into surveillance. Information from the surveys used was publically available.

HIV-stratified model

We utilized a multiplier model to estimate numbers of individuals with hospitalized and non-hospitalized influenza-associated SARI for each province in South Africa in four age groups (<5, 5–24, 25–44 and ≥45 years) for 2009–2011, stratified by HIV serostatus (Fig 1). Non-hospitalized SARI includes cases from the population that do not reach the hospital due to health care access barriers. This method was previously used in Kenya and Guatemala but was modified to include stratification by HIV serostatus to reflect the impact of HIV on influenza burden in South Africa [2].
STEP 1: Estimation of base rate of hospitalized SARI cases in Soweto (Gauteng Province)

STEP 2: Estimation of base rate of non-hospitalized SARI cases in Soweto (Gauteng Province)

STEP 3: Estimation of the incidence rates of hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI in the other provinces

STEP 4: Estimation of the rates of influenza-associated hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI

STEP 5: Estimating the provincial and national numbers of hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI cases and influenza-associated SARI cases

**Fig 1. Flow diagram of methods.** Visual depiction of the method used to calculate the rates and numbers of hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI and influenza-associated SARI cases in South Africa.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132078.g001
The Centre for Respiratory Diseases and Meningitis at the National Institute of Communicable Diseases (NICD), Johannesburg, South Africa, began SARI surveillance in 2009 [19, 20]. Sentinel surveillance is conducted in four out of the nine South African provinces (Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga and North West). Hospitalized children aged <5 years are enrolled in surveillance with physician-diagnosed lower respiratory tract infection, and individuals ≥5 years are enrolled if they meet a modified WHO case definition for SARI (sudden onset of fever with cough or sore throat and shortness of breath or difficulty breathing with 7 days from presentation to the hospital) [21]. Population-based surveillance is implemented at the Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital (CHBAH) in Gauteng Province. CHBAH is a large public academic hospital in the Soweto Township in Gauteng, South Africa. It serves approximately 1.4 million lower income South African individuals [12].

Estimation of the rate of hospitalized SARI cases in Soweto (Gauteng Province) (Fig 1, Step 1). We estimated the total number of SARI hospitalizations using the number of enrolled SARI cases at CHBAH, adjusting for non-enrollment (refusal to participate and non-enrolment during weekends) by age groups and HIV status as previously described [12]. The rates of hospitalized SARI cases in Soweto were obtained by dividing the estimated number of SARI cases by the mid-year study population estimates by age group and HIV status. The HIV prevalence in the study population was obtained from the projections of the Actuarial Society of South Africa (ASSA) AIDS and Demographic model. The population of Soweto represents approximately 11% of the population of Gauteng Province (referred thereafter as the base Province). We assumed that the incidence rates for Soweto reflected the incidence rate for the province. At the time of this study, CHBAH was the only public hospital serving Soweto, where approximately 10% have private medical insurance ([22]. More than 80% of uninsured and 10% of insured persons seek care at public hospitals such as CHBAH. Therefore, the majority of individuals in Soweto that seek care do so at CHBAH [12].

Estimation of the rate of non-hospitalized SARI in Soweto (Gauteng Province) (Fig 1, Step 2). We estimated the rate of non-hospitalized SARI in the base province using the data from two healthcare utilization surveys for SARI that were conducted in Soweto and Klerksdorp, North West Province in 2013. Non-hospitalized cases of SARI refer to cases of SARI identified in the population that had symptoms severe enough to be hospitalized but did not seek care at a hospital, for reasons such as limited access to healthcare. Briefly, between 4,000 and 6,000 community members were surveyed in each of the two sites as part of a cross-sectional door-to-door household survey and asked if they had a severe pneumonia in the past year (as defined as fever and cough and difficult breathing for 2–30 days or a physician diagnosis of pneumonia) and whether they were admitted to a hospital for the event. The ratio of those that sought care to those that did not was applied to the rate of hospitalized SARI to get the rate of non-hospitalized SARI in the base province [2].

Estimation of the rates of hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI in the other provinces (Fig 1, Step 3). Estimates of hospitalized SARI rates for the other eight provinces in South Africa were derived by adjusting the Soweto (Gauteng Province) SARI incidence rates for the provincial-level prevalence of known risk factors for pneumonia (from the South Africa Demographic and Health Survey and the ASSA model). Risk factors included exposure to indoor air pollution, crowding, malnutrition, low birth-weight and non-exclusive breastfeeding; the last three were only included as risk factors for children under five years of age [2, 8]. The relative risks of SARI associated with each risk factor were determined from the published literature [8]. In addition, we adjusted the provincial rates by the proportion of ARI seeking care in the given province to the proportion of ARI cases seeking care in the base province (Gauteng Province) using Health and Demographic Surveys (DHS) [4]. An upward adjustment factor (greater than one) resulted in a greater incidence of SARI in the province relative to Soweto (Gauteng...
Province). Similarly, a downward adjustment factor (less than one) resulted in a decrease in SARI incidence in the province relative to Soweto (Gauteng Province). The equations used for the Provincial adjustment are provided in the technical S1 Appendix.

The rates of non-hospitalized SARI in the remaining provinces were estimated using the provincial prevalence of known risk factors for pneumonia as described for the hospitalized cases. In addition, we adjusted the provincial rates by the proportion of ARI not seeking care in the given province to the proportion of ARI cases not seeking care in the base province (Gauteng Province) using Health and Demographic Surveys (DHS) [4].

**Estimation of the rates of influenza-associated hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI (Fig 1, Step 4).** The estimates of hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI rates were subsequently multiplied by the percent of SARI associated with influenza virus from surveillance (i.e., the influenza detection rate) to give the incidence for influenza-associated SARI. Data on the percent of SARI due to influenza by age group in separate HIV-infected and-uninfected populations were pooled from all sentinel surveillance sites to give an average percentage that was used in the calculations for each province.

**Estimating the provincial and national numbers of hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI cases and influenza-associated SARI cases (Fig 1, Step 5).** The number of hospitalized and non-hospitalized SARI cases and influenza-associated SARI cases was obtained by multiplying the estimated rates by the mid-year population estimates. Provincial population estimates stratified by age group and HIV status were obtained from the ASSA 2008 population model.

**Non-HIV-stratified model**

In an alternate method, we utilized a non-HIV-stratified model. To account for HIV prevalence in this model, we included HIV as a risk factor for SARI in calculating the provincial adjustment factors rather than estimating separate incidences for HIV-infected and-uninfected individuals. The relative risk for HIV was determined from the literature to be 7.3 for children under five years of age and 5.6 for children and adults over five years of age, and was used in previous applications of this methodology [2, 8, 23, 24]. The base SARI incidence rate in this method was also obtained from the population-based surveillance at CHBAH and the same healthcare utilization adjustments were made. Data on the proportion of SARI cases that were influenza-associated was pooled across surveillance sites to give an average percentage that was applied to all provinces.

**Estimation of the 95% confidence intervals**

Confidence intervals were estimated by bootstrapping each parameter included in the calculations 1000 times, including the SARI rates in the base province, the influenza detection rate among SARI cases, the prevalence of the provincial risk factors, the proportion of SARI cases seeking care from the HUS in the base province and the proportion of ARI cases seeking care in each province form the DHS. The upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence intervals were the 2.5th and 97.5th percentile of these estimates, respectively.

**Results**

**Base hospitalized SARI rates, Soweto, Gauteng**

The highest rates of SARI in both HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected populations were among children less than five years of age, ranging from 2,253–5,507 per 100,000 in the HIV-infected population and 1,609–2,027 per 100,000 in the HIV-uninfected population over the three years.
The incidence rate of SARI in the HIV-infected population was consistently greater than the incidence in the HIV-uninfected population for all age groups. The rate ratio between HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected persons was highest in the 5–24 year old age group (range 14–31) and lowest in the under-5 year olds (range 1–3). SARI rates varied by year, with 2009 having the highest incidence rate for most age groups among both HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected populations.

**Base hospitalized influenza-associated SARI rates, Soweto, Gauteng**

In 2009, when influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 was introduced into South Africa, the average percent of SARI from all surveillance sites that tested positive for influenza virus was 13% (152/1171) in HIV-uninfected individuals (all ages) compared to an average of 9% (91/1037) in HIV-infected individuals. In 2010, the average percent of SARI in which influenza virus was detected was 7% (114/1595) in HIV-uninfected individuals and 7% (116/1601) in HIV-infected individuals. In 2011, the average percent of SARI in which influenza virus was detected was 9% (193/2233) in HIV-uninfected individuals and 9% (127/1438) in HIV-infected individuals.

Similar to the base incidence of SARI, the base influenza-associated SARI incidence rate was greater among HIV-infected individuals for all three years and highest in children <5 year of age, ranging from 140–844 and 93–366 per 100,000 person-years in the HIV-infected and uninfected populations, respectively, over the three year time period (Table 1). Among adults, influenza-associated SARI rates were highest among the oldest age group (>45 years) for HIV-infected (range 143–266 per 100,000 person-years) and HIV-uninfected populations (20–54 per 100,000 person-years) (Table 1).

**Provincial hospitalized SARI and influenza-associated SARI rates**

As the base province, Gauteng had a risk factor adjustment factor of 1. The Eastern Cape consistently had the largest downward adjustment factor (range 0.9–1.1), while Mpumalanga consistently had the largest upward adjustment factor (range 1.5–1.9; S1 Table). Across the three surveillance years studied, Mpumalanga and the Northern Cape consistently had the highest SARI incidence rate across both HIV-infected and uninfected groups and the four age groups studied (S2 Table). Rates for hospitalized influenza-associated SARI stratified by age and province are given in Table 1. The estimated incidence of influenza-associated SARI was consistently highest among the HIV-infected population across all age groups in all of the nine provinces for the surveillance years 2009–2011. Within both the HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected populations, the highest incidence of influenza-associated SARI was found in the <5 year olds for all provinces and surveillance years.

**National number of hospitalized and non-hospitalized cases of influenza-associated SARI**

In 2009, the national number of hospitalized influenza-associated SARI cases was estimated to be 22,525 in HIV-uninfected individuals and 8,715 in HIV-infected individuals (Table 2). At two sites where healthcare utilization surveys were conducted, 61% of influenza-associated SARI cases were not hospitalized. In 2009, the total number of hospitalized and non-hospitalized influenza-associated SARI cases was estimated to be 47,711 in HIV-uninfected individuals and 17,993 in HIV-infected individuals (Table 2). In 2010 and 2011, there were fewer overall estimated influenza-associated SARI cases compared to 2009. In 2010, there were an estimated 21,555 total severe influenza cases in HIV-uninfected individuals and 13,876 in HIV-infected individuals (Table 2). In 2011, there were an estimated 29,892 total severe influenza cases in HIV-uninfected individuals and 17,289 in HIV-infected individuals (Table 2).
Table 1. Estimated hospitalized influenza-associated severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) incidence (95% C.I.), stratified by HIV serostatus for each province and nationally, South Africa, 2009–2011. Data are rates per 100,000 persons.

| Province          | HIV-uninfected |          |          |          | HIV-infected |          |          |          |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                   | <5 years       | 5–24 years | 25–44 years | ≥45 years | All Ages     | <5 years | 5–24 years | 25–44 years | ≥45 years | All Ages     |
|                   | (95% C.I.)     | (95% C.I.) | (95% C.I.) | (95% C.I.) | (95% C.I.)   | (95% C.I.) | (95% C.I.) | (95% C.I.) | (95% C.I.) | (95% C.I.)   |
| **2009**          |                |          |          |          |              |          |          |          |              |          |
| Eastern Cape      | 238(128–359)   | 11(1–23)  | 8(1–26)  | 36(1–78)  | 38(15–73)    | 548(26–1381) | 139(5–304) | 100(3–224) | 143(14–479) | 154(6–328)   |
| Free State        | 286(157–437)   | 13(1–26)  | 8(1–30)  | 40(1–87)  | 46(17–84)    | 661(32–1655) | 157(6–355) | 112(4–253) | 161(16–534) | 186(7–368)   |
| Gauteng (base)    | 252 (134–379)  | 12(1–24)  | 8(1–27)  | 37(1–78)  | 40(14–73)    | 581(28–1445) | 144(5–322) | 103(3–236) | 148(15–486) | 164(6–325)   |
| KwaZulu-Natal     | 354(194–531)   | 16(1–34)  | 11(2–37) | 51(2–110) | 57(23–106)   | 816(39–2041) | 199(7–440) | 143(5–332) | 205(20–677) | 230(9–473)   |
| Limpopo           | 319(176–484)   | 14(1–30)  | 9(1–34)  | 44(2–96)  | 51(23–98)    | 737(35–1846) | 174(6–392) | 125(4–277) | 179(18–594) | 207(8–419)   |
| Mpumalanga        | 364(196–511)   | 17(1–35)  | 11(2–40) | 54(2–117) | 58(24–112)   | 840(40–2074) | 211(8–470) | 151(5–342) | 216(22–719) | 236(9–492)   |
| Northern Cape     | 366(199–558)   | 15(1–30)  | 10(1–34) | 46(2–100) | 59(22–104)   | 844(40–2118) | 182(7–408) | 130(4–293) | 186(18–625) | 237(9–444)   |
| North West        | 287(155–436)   | 13(1–27)  | 9(1–30)  | 41(1–88)  | 46(17–85)    | 662(31–1622) | 160(6–356) | 115(4–260) | 164(16–546) | 186(7–375)   |
| Western Cape      | 326(175–494)   | 15(1–31)  | 10(1–34) | 47(2–101) | 52(18–93)    | 752(36–1868) | 183(7–406) | 131(5–299) | 187(19–626) | 211(6–406)   |
| South Africa      | 302(164–458)   | 14(1–29)  | 9(1–32)  | 42(2–93)  | 48(19–90)    | 715(34–1763) | 177(6–386) | 122(4–280) | 266(17–580) | 201(8–401)   |
|                   |                |          |          |          |              |          |          |          |              |          |
| **2010**          |                |          |          |          |              |          |          |          |              |          |
| Eastern Cape      | 93(20–188)     | 6(1–14)  | 8(1–25)  | 20(1–47)  | 15(3–43)     | 236(19–701) | 29(14–175) | 105(34–190) | 172(9–386) | 105(25–234)  |
| Free State        | 112(24–231)    | 7(1–16)  | 9(1–28)  | 23(1–53)  | 18(3–50)     | 284(22–854) | 32(16–196) | 118(38–211) | 194(10–422) | 126(29–265)  |
| Gauteng (base)    | 99(22–201)     | 6(1–15)  | 8(1–25)  | 21(1–48)  | 16(3–44)     | 250(20–729) | 30(15–178) | 109(36–199) | 178(9–389) | 111(29–243)  |
| KwaZulu-Natal     | 139(30–285)    | 8(1–21)  | 11(1–35) | 29(2–66)  | 22(4–63)     | 351(28–1034) | 41(20–247) | 151(49–272) | 247(13–543) | 156(37–334)  |
| Limpopo           | 125(27–258)    | 7(1–18)  | 10(1–30) | 25(1–58)  | 20(4–57)     | 317(25–948) | 36(18–217) | 132(43–239) | 216(11–479) | 141(33–294)  |
| Mpumalanga        | 143(31–290)    | 9(1–22)  | 12(1–37) | 31(2–70)  | 23(4–66)     | 361(29–1066) | 43(22–266) | 159(53–285) | 261(13–577) | 160(40–350)  |
| Northern Cape     | 143(31–291)    | 8(1–19)  | 10(1–32) | 26(1–61)  | 23(1–61)     | 363(29–1069) | 37(19–231) | 137(45–240) | 225(11–502) | 161(34–316)  |
| North West        | 113(25–228)    | 7(1–17)  | 9(1–29)  | 23(1–54)  | 18(3–51)     | 285(22–831) | 33(16–200) | 121(39–218) | 198(10–440) | 126(3–51)    |
| Western Cape      | 128(28–261)    | 8(1–19)  | 10(1–32) | 27(1–61)  | 21(3–56)     | 323(26–961) | 38(19–228) | 138(45–249) | 226(11–498) | 143(36–305)  |
| South Africa      | 118(26–243)    | 7(1–18)  | 9(1–30)  | 24(1–56)  | 19(3–53)     | 305(24–897) | 36(18–217) | 128(42–234) | 209(11–464) | 135(32–289)  |

(Continued)
Non-HIV-stratified model

In our alternate method in which HIV was adjusted for as a risk factor rather than a stratifying variable, the greatest impact on the adjustment factors was for the 25–44 year old age group where the HIV prevalence is highest. The Eastern Cape Province still consistently had the largest downward adjustment factor, except in the 25–44 year old age group where Limpopo had the largest downward adjustment. However, KwaZulu-Natal Province consistently had the largest upward adjustment factor across all age groups, due to the high HIV prevalence in that province. The results from method 2 yielded similar incidence of influenza-associated SARI and number of hospitalized cases across the age groups and years included in the analysis (S3 Table). The non-stratified alternate method estimated a total of 74,558 cases of hospitalized influenza-associated SARI for 2009–2011, only 6% more than the 70,607 cases estimated by the stratified model for the same years.

Discussion

Influenza-associated SARI represents a substantial burden of disease in South Africa. Using a rapid assessment methodology, we found that the highest rate was seen in children <5 years of age irrespective of HIV status. Among adults, the highest number was seen in HIV-infected adults aged 25–44 years. Previous studies of influenza in South Africa have not calculated a national burden [25–28] and have not estimated the non-hospitalized SARI burden. Our methodology allowed estimation of the number of cases in each province by age group and HIV serostatus, which can support decisions on targeted interventions within the confines of limited resources.

Our study highlights the important role that HIV infection plays when estimating severe influenza burden in settings with high HIV prevalence. Among the age group with the highest HIV prevalence (25–44 year olds), HIV-infected persons accounted for 80% of the national burden of influenza-associated SARI, despite representing only 30% of the national population [29]. HIV-specific incidences for influenza-associated disease in South Africa have been reported previously to be high in HIV-infected individuals [11, 12]. A study in children 2–60 months of age in 1997 reported influenza-associated severe lower respiratory tract infection
Table 2. Estimated national number (95% C.I.) of hospitalized and non-hospitalized influenza-associated severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) cases, stratified by HIV serostatus, South Africa, 2009–2011.

| Age group (years) | HIV-infected | HIV-uninfected | HIV-infected and-uninfected |
|-------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------|
|                   | Number of hospitalized influenza cases | Number of non-hospitalized influenza cases | Total number of severe influenza cases |
|                   | 2009         | 2010           | 2011                       |
| <5                | 1,510(72–3,768) | 1,632(60–6,648) | 1,314(132–10,416) |
| 5–24              | 1,783(65–3,956) | 1,821(64–4,626) | 3,604(129–8,582) |
| 25–44             | 4,134(141–9,420) | 4,421(185–11,603) | 8,555(326–21,023) |
| ≥45               | 1,288(129–4,275) | 1,404(108–5,058) | 2,692(237–9,333) |
| All Ages          | 8,715(406–21,419) | 9,278(417–27,935) | 17,993(823–49,354) |

Incidence rates of 1,268 and 148 per 100,000 for HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected children, respectively [11]. More recently, an analysis of population-based data from CHBAH found influenza-associated lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) to be highest in the HIV-infected population [12].

Some countries may not be able to calculate HIV-specific influenza incidence rates due to lack of reliable HIV-stratified influenza data in the population. In the non-HIV-stratified alternate method, we derived similar estimates of burden using a model incorporating adjustment for HIV prevalence by province. This suggests that HIV-specific influenza incidence rates are not necessary to derive valid overall burden estimates because HIV prevalence by province is available in most African countries through Demographic and Health Surveys or Multicenter Indicator Surveys [4].
There were several limitations to our methodology. Our study relies on extrapolating incidence rates from one surveillance site in one province to the rest of the provinces of South Africa. Although a high percentage of the base province is under surveillance from this one large surveillance site and we adjusted this incidence based on province-level risk factor for SARI, there are likely additional unmeasured factors that can lead to variation in rates regionally. We assumed that the relative risk of SARI for the different risk factors determined for the populations in the literature are the same relative risks for the population of South Africa, which might not be the case [8, 23, 24]. Second, the denominator estimates also might have been inaccurate. We used the ASSA 2008 population model to estimate the population and HIV prevalence by province and age group. These population estimates are extrapolations from the 2001 census. Third, the healthcare utilization surveys used to calculate non-hospitalized cases was undertaken in only two sites in two provinces. Moreover, the surveys did not stratify health-seeking by HIV status or age, which is likely to influence health-seeking behavior. Fourth, these estimates reflect the disease burden in the public sector; however, a similar study using a different modelling approach in the private health sector of South Africa found similar incidence rates of influenza-associated respiratory hospitalizations [30]. Lastly, we assume that the percent of influenza-associated SARI is the same across all age groups, provinces and HIV status.

Conclusion
This rapid assessment methodology has been used in Kenya, Guatemala and now South Africa [2]. Other countries that have influenza surveillance in place can also implement this methodology to estimate their national burden of severe influenza disease. We found that provinces in South Africa vary in the prevalence of important risk factors for SARI and influenza and that these impact the burden of severe disease. Current recommendations in South Africa include the vaccination of HIV-infected individuals with the seasonal influenza vaccine; however, the vaccine tends to be under-utilized in this population and in South Africa in general[31]. The results of this rapid assessment can be used to focus efforts targeting influenza vaccination by demonstrating the distribution of disease across South Africa.

Supporting Information
S1 Table. Provincial adjustment factors for severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) healthcare-seeking behavior, 2009–2011. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Estimated hospitalized severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) incidence (95% C.I.) stratified by HIV serostatus for South Africa, 2009–2011. Data are rates per 100,000 persons. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Influenza-associated hospitalized severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) incidence and number of cases in each province for 2009–2011, stratified by HIV serostatus. (DOCX)

S1 Appendix. Equations used in calculation of annual number of cases of influenza-associated severe acute respiratory illness (SARI). (DOCX)
Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: JM AC ST DF CC. Performed the experiments: JM AC ST DF CC. Analyzed the data: JM AC ST DF CC AT. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SW MG SM EV KK HD MV. Wrote the paper: JM AC ST DF CC SW.

References
1. Nair H, Brooks WA, Katz M, Roca A, Berkley JA, Madhi SA, et al. Global burden of respiratory infections due to seasonal influenza in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2011; 378 (9807):1917–30. Epub 2011/11/15. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61051-9 [pii] doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61051-9 PMID: 22078723.

2. Fuller JA, Summers A, Katz MA, Lindblade KA, Njuguna H, Arvelo W, et al. Estimation of the national disease burden of influenza-associated severe acute respiratory illness in Kenya and Guatemala: A novel methodology. PLoS One. 2012.

3. Schoub BD. Surveillance and management of influenza in Africa: An urgent need still to be met. Future Virol. 2011; 6(10):1143–5.

4. Medical Research Council DoH. South Africa Demographic and Health Survey 2003. Pretoria: 2007.

5. Louria DB. Undernutrition can affect the invading microorganism. Clin Infect Dis. 2007; 45(4):470–4. Epub 2007/07/20. doi: 10.1086/520026 PMID: 17638196.

6. Johnstone-Robertson SP, Mark D, Morrow C, Middelkoop K, Chiswell M, Aquino LD, et al. Social mixing patterns within a South African township community: implications for respiratory disease transmission and control. American journal of epidemiology. 2011; 174(11):1246–55. Epub 2011/11/11. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwr251 PMID: 22071585; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3371025.

7. Cohen C, Simonsen L, Sample J, Kang JW, Miller M, Madhi SA, et al. Influenza-related mortality among adults aged 25–54 years with AIDS in South Africa and the United States of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2012; 55(7):996–1003. Epub 2012/06/21. doi: 10.1093/cid/cis549 PMID: 22715173.

8. Radin JM, Katz MA, Tempia S, Taila Nzussouo N, Davis R, Duque J, et al. Influenza surveillance in 15 countries in Africa, 2006–2010. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2012; 206 Suppl 1:S14–21. Epub 2012/11/28. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jis606 PMID: 23169960.

9. Madhi SA, Ramasamy N, Bessellaar TG, Salojee H, Klugman KP. Lower respiratory tract infections associated with influenza A and B viruses in an area with a high prevalence of pediatric human immunodeficiency type 1 infection. Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal. 2002; 21(4):291–7. PMID: 12075759

10. Gessner BD, Shindo N, Briand S. Seasonal influenza epidemiology in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis. 2011; 11(3):223–35. Epub 2011/03/05. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70008-1 PMID: 21371656.

11. McAnerny JM, Cohen C, Moyes J, Bessellaar TG, Buys A, Schoub BD, et al. Twenty-five years of outpatient influenza surveillance in South Africa, 1984–2008. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2012; 206 Suppl 1:S153–8. Epub 2012/11/28. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jis757 PMID: 23169963.
18. Schoub BD. Recommendations pertaining to the use of viral vaccines: influenza 2013. S Afr Med J. 2013; 103(2):80. Epub 2013/02/05. doi: 10.7196/samj.6435 PMID: 23374299.

19. Schoub BD, McAnerney JM, Besselaar TG. Regional perspectives on influenza surveillance in Africa. Vaccine. 2002; 20 Suppl 2:S45–6. Epub 2002/07/12. PMID: 12110256.

20. Ortiz JR, Sotomayor V, Uez OC, Oliva O, Betteis D, McCarron M, et al. Strategy to enhance influenza surveillance worldwide. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009; 15(8):1271–8. Epub 2009/09/16. doi: 10.3201/eid1508.081422 PMID: 19751590; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2815958.

21. World Health Organization. WHO Global Epidemiological Surveillance Standards for Influenza 2014 [cited 2014 01 June 2014]. Available from: http://who.int/influenza/resources/documents/influenza_surveillance_manual/en/.

22. Day C, Gray A. Health and related indicators. South African Health Review. 2012; 17:208–32.

23. Iwuji CC, Mayanja BN, Weiss HA, Atuhumuza E, Hughes P, Maher D, et al. Morbidity in HIV-1-infected individuals before and after the introduction of antiretroviral therapy: a longitudinal study of a population-based cohort in Uganda. HIV Medicine. 2011; 12(9):553–61. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1293.2011.00923.x PMID: 21535347

24. Roca A, Sigaque B, Quinto L, Morais L, Berenguera A, Corachan M, et al. Estimating the vaccine-preventable burden of hospitalized pneumonia among young Mozambican children. Vaccine. 2010; 28(30):4851–7. Epub 2010/04/16. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.03.060 PMID: 20392430.

25. Schoub BD, Johnson S, McAnerney JM. Laboratory studies of the 1984 influenza epidemic on the Witwatersrand. S Afr Med J. 1986; 70(13):815–8. PMID: 3798269

26. McAnerney JM, Johnson S, Schoub BD. Surveillance of respiratory viruses. A 10-year laboratory-based study. S Afr Med J. 1994; 84(8 Pt 1):473–7. Epub 1994/08/01. PMID: 7825079.

27. Besselaar TG, Schoub BD, Blackburn NK. Impact of the introduction of A/Sydney/5/97 H3N2 influenza virus into South Africa. J Med Virol. 1999; 59(4):561–8. Epub 1999/10/27. PMID: 10534742.

28. Besselaar TG, Botha L, McAnerney JM, Schoub BD. Antigenic and molecular analysis of influenza A (H3N2) virus strains isolated from a localised influenza outbreak in South Africa in 2003. J Med Virol. 2004; 73(1):71–8. Epub 2004/03/26. doi: 10.1002/jmv.20063 PMID: 15042651.

29. Shisana O, Rehle T, Simbayi L, Zuma K, Jooste S, Pillay-van-Wyk V, et al. South African national HIV prevalence, incidence, behaviour and communication survey 2008: A turning tide among teenagers? Cape Town: 2009.

30. Kyeyagaliire R, Tempia S, Cohen AL, Smith AD, McAnerney JM, Dermaux-MSimang V, et al. Hospitalisations associated with influenza and respiratory syncytial virus among patients attending a network of private hospitals in South Africa, 2007–2012. BMC Infect Dis. 2014; 14:894. Epub 2014/12/17. doi: 10.1186/s12879-014-0694-x PMID: 25510622; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4278267.

31. Ramkrishna W, Hlungwani P, Furumele T, Nemungadi T, Gumedde SDS. Coverage of high risk groups for influenza vaccination in South Africa, 2011–2013 influenza seasons. Options for the Control of Influenza Cape Town, South Africa: 2013.