Abstract

Aim: To design and validate a MNREAD Asante Twi version reading chart, to aid in the assessment of near visual acuity of natives Ghanaians.

Methods: Cross-sectional and experimental designs were employed in phases I and II respectively of this study. The chart was developed using 20 transited pupils in class four in the Kumasi metropolis in phase I and validated in a clinical setting at the Manhyia District Hospital, Kumasi, using students in phase II.

Results: A total of 100 participants (mean age; 22.19 ± 1.61 years) were involved in the second phase of this study. A Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was computed to assess the relationship between MNREAD Asante Twi charts logMAR scores in both eyes. The MNREAD-Asante Twi acuity charts had very strong correlations (r = 0.94, p < 0.001) for MNREAD set 1A (black on white background) scores and MNREAD set 2A (black on white background) for acuity scores. Correlation between MNREAD set 1B (white on black background) scores and MNREAD set 2B (white on black background) scores was r = 0.95, p < 0.001.

Conclusion: MNREAD Asante Twi reading chart will enhance the measurement of near visual function in native Ghanaians.
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Introduction

In the assessment of near visual acuity, measurements based on a single optotype are not adequate indicators of reading performance because the reading function is a highly complex ability apart from good acuity. This function is a combination of sensual (such as visual acuity and contrast sensitivity), motor (such as eye movements), and cognitive abilities.1 Print size is the most important factor that affects reading speed in individuals with normal and low vision.2,3 Therefore, continuous-text reading acuity charts with proven validity and reliability are required for the assessment of reading performance, rather than single optotype measurements.4

The MNREAD Acuity charts are continuous-text reading-acuity charts which have been developed over the years for assessing the reading acuity and reading speed of normal and low-vision patients.2 The first of its kind was developed by Legge and his colleagues2 at the Minnesota Low-Vision Centre using short sentences.2,3 They are designed to measure reading acuity, critical print size, and maximum reading speed. They are reliably used in clinical applications and in scientific research. The concepts of standard-length words and 60-character sentence have been adapted in the scoring of the MNREAD charts to minimize differences in scoring.1,3,5-7 Charts include 19 logarithmic sentences in the logMAR range of −0.5 to 1.3, with 0.1 logarithmic intervals. These concepts and the design make the MNREAD charts preferred for the visual assessment of normal and low vision patients. MNREAD charts also show the equivalent Snellen and M values, in addition to logMAR.1,3,5-7

Ghana has an illiterate population of about 38.3% of its total population of 28,308,301.8 The assessment of near
visual acuity in this population is based on the “Tumbling E” which only measures good acuity and in few instances on the single letter reduced Snellen Acuity charts which are English-based. Although most of these near visual acuity assessments focus on presbyopia correction, this correction is mainly concerned with the goal of regaining an uncorrected reading performance. Asante Twi is one of the dialects of the Akan language which is the most widely spread language spoken by about 47.5% of the total population of Ghana. Most of the illiterate indigenous people are able to read the Akan text although they may not be able to read and write in the English language. With the emergence of MNREAD English reading charts, effort has been made to produce a similar chart in different languages such as Portuguese, Greek, Turkish among others with the view of measuring all the components of near visual acuity. This study was therefore aimed at designing and validating an MNREAD near acuity chart using the most popular Ghanaian language (Akan text) that would be useful in terms of international comparability in clinical applications and scientific research, in which near vision and reading performance could be appraised.

Methods
Cross-sectional and experimental study designs were employed in phases I and II of this study respectively. The two phases were i. developing the near reading chart, which was undertaken among transited pupils in class four in the Kumasi metropolis of the Ashanti Region of Ghana. ii. validating the tool in a clinical setting at the Manhyia District Hospital, Kumasi, of the Ashanti region of Ghana using student-patients. Questionnaires were used to collect demographic data of participants in both phases.

Sampling and recruitment of participants
A purposive sampling technique was used to select 20 class four pupils due to the fact that they had recently transited to the upper primary class at the time of the study. The selection of 20 pupils was to ascertain that the Twi sentences were legible and readable by most participants. Secondly, a purposive sampling was used to select 100 student-patients from the Manhyia District Hospital, who were literate in both English and Asante Twi to validate the near reading chart in a clinical setting.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
The inclusion criteria were as follows;

1. Individuals who were fluent in both English and Asante Twi.
2. Subjects with habitual distance visual acuity of 20/20 or better and near visual acuity of at least N.5.
3. The absence of active ocular pathology, including strabismus.
4. The absence of any history of a reading problem or learning difficulties.

Subjects studying Ghanaian languages and linguistics with Asante Twi option were excluded as this would have influenced the outcome.

Development of the chart
One hundred sentences were constructed from Ghanaian Basic School (Class 2 and Class 3) Twi textbooks which were recommended by the Ghana Educational Service (GES). The one hundred Asante Twi sentences were constructed by the researchers and a team of language experts from the Department of Ghanaian Languages and linguistics of the University of Cape Coast. Out of the 100 sentences, 60 met the criteria using the recommended software by the Minnesota Low Vision Centre to assess the number of characters, length, and width of the alphabets. The near logMAR chart required a set of 19 sentences for one chart and therefore 60 sentences were enough to facilitate the selection of easy sentences for the development of two sets of the chart. The selected sentences had 60 characters including spaces and periods after the sentences. The selection of the number of characters per sentence was convenient for scoring since a "standard-length word" had 6 characters with the assumption made to the effect that the 60-character sentence consisted of 10 standard-length words. These made the measurement of near visual acuity in logMAR easier by making a “standard-length word” score a 0.01 point.

Testing procedure using the basic school pupils
1. Participants were requested to read the sentences aloud one after the other, starting from the first sentence to the last using both eyes.
2. A time interval of 2 minutes was given to participants to rest after reading every ten sentences.
3. The time used to read each sentence was taken during the reading of the sentences.
4. Participants were instructed to continue reading regardless of any error that was made prior to the start of the exercise.
5. The most readable and legible 38 sentences out
of the 60 sentences were selected for the construction of the charts. A chart comprises 19 sentences and hence two sets of chart required 38 sentences. The rationale behind this method is that the children spent much time on words that were difficult to pronounce resulting in longer reading time.

**Measuring reading time**

The reading time of the sentences was determined in seconds with a stopwatch and this was used to estimate the reading performance of participants as well as the legibility and readability of sentences. The first 38 sentences that required least time to read were selected.

**Measuring reading error**

Sentences with difficult words had much longer reading time. Such sentences were not included in the construction of the charts.

**Validation of the chart**

The 38 sentences obtained from the first phase of the study were used in the development of the logMAR near reading charts. The logMAR near reading chart in Asante Twi contained 19 sentences with different sizes. The print size steps between successive sentences followed a logarithmic progression. The difference in the print size of the sentences ranged from 1.3 to -0.5 logMAR (Snellen 20/400 to 20/6). Snellen, N, and M notations were provided along the logMAR chart design for easy conversion. A Times Asante Twi font was used and the sentences were printed on an A2 hard sheet. A high contrast (85%) and a standard luminance of 80-90 cd/m² were employed. The 38 sentences were randomly selected for the development of the two charts.

**Data analysis**

Data were analyzed using the SPSS version 22 (Chicago, IL, USA). A test of central tendency was performed on the data (i.e. descriptive measures such as mean, standard deviation and range). A Pearson product-moment correlation and paired T-test were utilized in the analysis. The Correlation and paired T-test were performed on the data to test for statistical significance. This was done to determine if there were differences in the logMAR scores of MNREAD Asante Twi charts. Repeatability of test results was done using its own reversed-contrast charts and was analysed using paired T-test.

**Ethical consideration**

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast with ethical clearance ID No: (UCCIRB/CHAS/2015/092) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Results**

**Demographic characteristics of participants**

Twenty participants were involved in phase I of the study. In this phase, sentences were constructed for the design of the MNREAD Asante Twi charts. There were more females (55%) in this cohort and the mean age of the sample population was 9.95 ± 1.05 years. A total of 100 subjects were involved in phase II of the study. The mean normal vision and logMAR 1.30 is developed for low vision patients. This was done for both eyes only.

1. The time used to read each sentence was taken during the reading.
2. Participants were encouraged to continue reading regardless of any error that was made.

The reading acuity was determined by estimating the smallest print size that a participant could read the entire sentence without making significant errors. Each sentence had 60 characters which correspond to 10 standard length words, and assuming a standard word length is of 6 characters. Reading speed was measured in words per minute. Since each sentence is assumed to have 10 standard length words, Reading speed = 600 / (time in seconds).

The reading speed was taken alongside the measurement of the reading acuity. A stopwatch was used to measure the reading speed.
age of participants in this phase was 22.19 ± 1.61 years. There were more females (56%) in the study sample population.

**Validation of Asante Twi chart**

A Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was computed to assess the relationship between MNREAD set 1A (black on white background), 1B (white on black background), 2A (black on white background) and 2B (white on black background) logMAR scores in both eyes. There were strong positive correlation coefficients ($r = 0.94; r = 0.95$) between the MNREAD set 1A and 2A sets; and 1B and 2B logMAR scores respectively as shown in Table 1. Also, there were strong correlations, ($r = 0.88; r = 0.91$) for maximum reading speed between Set 1A and Set 2A; and 1B and 2B respectively (Table 2), and $r = 1.0$ for critical print size at 0.2 logMAR for all the sets (Figure 1-4).

| LogMAR Acuity | N= 100 | Correlation | Sig. | $R^2$ |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-----|-------|
| 1             | OU MNREAD SET 1A SCORE & OU MNREAD SET 2A SCORE | .942 | .000 | 0.89 |
| 2             | OU MNREAD SET 1B SCORE & OU MNREAD SET 2B SCORE | .949 | .000 | 0.90 |
| 3             | OU MNREAD SET 1A SCORE & OU MNREAD SET 1B SCORE | .624 | .000 | 0.39 |
| 4             | OU MNREAD SET 2A SCORE & OU MNREAD SET 2B SCORE | .618 | .000 | 0.38 |
Figure 1: Reading Speed versus Print Size of MNREAD Asante Twi charts 1A.

Figure 2: Reading Speed versus Print Size of MNREAD Asante Twi charts 1B.
There were no statistically significant differences ($P > 0.05$) between the mean MNREAD set 1A (black on white background) and mean MNREAD set 2A (black on white background) scores and mean MNREAD set 1B (white on black background) and mean MNREAD set 2B (white on black background) scores as shown in Table 3. There were statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between the mean MNREAD set 1A (black on white background) and mean MNREAD set 1B (white on black background) scores and mean MNREAD set 2A (black on white background) and mean MNREAD set 2B (white on black background) scores as shown in Table 3.

Finally, the MNREAD-Asante Twi acuity reverse contrast charts (repeatability) had moderate correlations, $r = 0.62$ for MNREAD set 1A scores and MNREAD set 1B scores, $r = 0.89$ for maximum reading speed (Tables 1 & 2), $r = 1.0$ for critical print size at 0.2 logMAR (figures 1 & 2) and the coefficient of determination, $r^2 = 0.39$.

Correlation between MNREAD set 2A scores and MNREAD set 2B scores was $r = 0.62$, $r = 0.82$ for maximum reading speed (Table 1 & 2) $r = 1.0$ for critical print size at 0.2 logMAR (Figures 3 & 4) and the coefficient of determination, $r^2 = 0.38$. 

Figure 3: Reading Speed versus Print Size of MNREAD Asante Twi charts 2A.

Figure 4: Reading Speed versus Print Size of MNREAD Asante Twi charts 2B.
Table 2: Correlation of means of maximum reading speed

| MEAN OF MAXIMUM READING SPEED | N= 100 | Correlation | Sig. | MEAN ± SD |
|-------------------------------|--------|-------------|------|----------|
| 1 OU MNREAD SET 1A & OU MNREAD SET 2A | | .876 | .002 | 132.26 ± 4.71 |
| 2 OU MNREAD SET 1B & OU MNREAD SET 2B | | .914 | .001 | 139.66 ± 4.40 |
| 3 OU MNREAD SET 1A & OU MNREAD SET 1B | | .893 | .001 | 132.26 ± 4.71 |
| 4 OU MNREAD SET 2A & OU MNREAD SET 2B | | .821 | .007 | 137.33 ± 4.05 |

Table 3: Mean difference among charts

| LogMAR Acuity | Mean | Std. Deviation | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|---------------|------|----------------|-----------------|
| OU MNREAD SET 1A SCORE & OU MNREAD SET 2A SCORE | .0001 | 0.02 | .567 |
| OU MNREAD SET 1B SCORE & OU MNREAD SET 2B SCORE | -0.001 | 0.02 | .666 |
| OU MNREAD SET 1A SCORE & OU MNREAD SET 1B SCORE | -0.06 | 0.05 | .000 |
| OU MNREAD SET 2A SCORE & OU MNREAD SET 2B SCORE | -0.06 | 0.05 | .000 |

Discussion

This is the first MNREAD-Asante Twi reading chart developed and validated to assess the visual function of normal and low vision patients of native Akan readers. It will be a useful predictor for many visual problems such as presbyopia in native Ghanaians. The MNREAD-Asante Twi acuity charts had very strong correlations for MNREAD set 1A scores and MNREAD set 2A scores, maximum reading speed, critical print size at 0.2 logMAR and high coefficient of determination for acuity scores. Similarly, the correlation between MNREAD set 1B scores and MNREAD set 2B scores were strong, with a similarly strong correlation for maximum reading speed for critical print size at 0.2 logMAR and the coefficient of determination was equally high.

In the validation of a Persian reading chart, correlation coefficient and specificity were the main validity tests performed to assess the reliability of the developed Persian chart. The MNREAD-Asante Twi acuity charts were considered as its own references for the validation since all the principles recommended by the Minnesota Low Vision Centre were met. The results of the mean scores showed that clinically, there were no significant differences between the mean MNREAD set 1A (black on white background) and mean MNREAD set 2A (black on white background) scores and mean MNREAD set...
1B (white on black background) and mean MNREAD set 2B (white on black background) scores as shown in Table 3 because the difference did not exceed 0.1 logMAR.

The MNREAD-Asante Twi acuity charts reliability and repeatability were considered with its own reversed-contrast charts using the mean differences between the acuity scores. There were statistically significant differences (p< 0.05) between the mean MNREAD set 1A (black on white background) and mean MNREAD set 1B (white on black background) scores and mean MNREAD set 2A (black on white background) and mean MNREAD set 2B (white on black background) scores. However, the results showed that clinically, there were no significant differences between the mean MNREAD set 1A (black on white background) and mean MNREAD set 1B (white on black background) scores and mean MNREAD set 2A (black on white background) and mean MNREAD set 2B (white on black background) scores because the difference was approximately 0.1 logMAR as shown in Table 3. This is supported by a recent MNREAD study in which the coefficient of repeatability for MNREAD-Greek tests was 0.08 logMAR. A logMAR visual acuity score needs to change by more than two lines (0.2 logMAR) to be considered as a clinically significant change. In most studies, the reported test-retest errors were within 0.04 to 0.06 logMAR. Thus, a change of 1 line or 0.1 logMAR is suggested to be clinically significant in normal adults. A related study reported a coefficient of repeatability of 0.08 logMAR for VA, 46.96 words per minute for maximum reading speed and 0.10 logMAR for critical print size. These results are consistent with those of the current study.

Although it was expected that the correlation and coefficient of determination for the reverse contrast would be high, they were moderate and low respectively in this study. External factors such as the spatial direction of alphabets, difficulty in printing the reverse charts at the design stage among others might have influenced the measurement of the acuity score. This finding is very common in non-English reading cards since the spatial direction of alphabets and different forms of them in different words need different visual processing. Legibility, literacy difficulties, context themes and statistical frequencies of words legibility are of great importance in the development of a near reading chart. In this study, simple texts were considered and each sentence was about a different subject and the relative sentence legibility was determined using reading time. The designing of the chart took into consideration contrast sensitivity and illumination. High contrast (85%) black letters were presented on two sets of white background and two sets of the black background on (A-2 print) sheets. A standard luminance of 80–90 cd/m² was used as proposed by Radner.

A near reading chart that resembles real reading conditions is important to assess the quality of life in terms of reading performance. Measuring reading speed and reading acuity are considered important in the clinical diagnosis of visual function anomalies. The development of a standardized and validated reading test in Asante Twi is important to allow reliable evaluation of reading performance among native Ghanaians who are fluent in Asante Twi. In this study, all the participants were Ghanaians and their native language was Asante Twi. They learned Asante Twi as a course at the basic education level. Therefore, as shown in Figures 1-4, graphs of reading speed versus print size with the MNREAD charts revealed a high reading performance of the participants. The reading speed measurement using the Asante Twi Reading Chart in the current study was similar to the one reported by Legge et al. using the MNREAD Acuity Chart. Legge et al. classified reading performance into three groups: fluency (⩾ 160 words/min), functional (80 ⩽ words/min < 160) and Slow (<80 words/min). While Legge et al. measured fluency (⩾ 160 words/min) reading performance, while the MNREAD-Asante Twi recorded functional (80 ⩽ words/min < 160) reading performance. In this study, the maximum reading speed with the MNREAD-Asante Twi was in the functional reading performance category. This reflected the real situation of the participants.

The authors acknowledge the limited pool of Asante Twi sentences that was available for selection and the difficulty in printing the reverse chart as limitations of this study. However, these did not impact negatively on the outcome of the study results. Further scientific research is needed on the subject matter in Ghana.

**Conclusion**

MNREAD-Asante Twi reading chart will help to deter-
mine the near visual function, reading speed and critical print size of the local people in Ghana, specifically those who speak Asante Twi. It will also enhance the measurement of near visual function in normal and low-vision native patients of native Akan people in Ghana.
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