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ABSTRACT

Polymer and their composites are used in many engineering applications as an alternate of metals, because of the parameters such as low cost, lightweightness and durability. Hybridization is a process of mixing two or more similar or dissimilar materials. It increases the performance and efficiency. In this study investigation has been made on mechanical properties of HDPE polymer based hybrid composites fabricated from the effect of various synthetic fibers(SGF,PTFE,SCF), synthetic fillers(Silica, hydroxyapatite, zirconia) by melt mixing method using twin screw extruder followed by injection molding technique. The mechanical properties of the samples such as tensile test, flexural test were measured by universal testing machine. And the impact test was performed on izod impact testing machine. The results show that the tensile strength of hybrid composite TR-4 sample increases compared to other composites. Flexural strength of sample TR-6 shows highest flexural strength compared to others. The impact strength increases when the filler materials 2% of zirconia and 2% of hydroxyapatite are added to composite material i.e is TR-6 sample.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Materials that are used as raw material for any form of framework or manufacturing in an sorted way of engineering application. A composite material is a multiphase material, which means it was created artificially and the constituent phases must be chemically distinct and separated by unique phases. composites representing a combination of light weight and high strength abilities have gained wide acceptance as engineering materials. Research is on, to obtain composites through optimal combination of various materials that would significantly improve tribological and mechanical characteristics, which can lead to large scale replacement of metallic materials.
In spite of all these advantages, since they are in the nascent stages of their evolution, they possess certain limitations like difficulties in fabrication and repair, lack of standardized inspection and testing procedures. Because of the possibility of high strength and modulus associated with lightweight, design and fabrication variability, and improved mechanical performance, composite materials, particularly fibre reinforced polymeric composites (FRPCs), are an extremely broad and versatile class of material system for automotive and aerospace use. Glass, carbon, and aramid are the most popular fibre reinforcements in polymer composites, whereas epoxy, vinyl ester, polyester, and other matrix polymers are the most prevalent matrix materials.

Composites are made up of two or more separate phases (matrix and dispersion), each with its own bulk properties derived from the parent material or ingredients. Contemporary composites have developed from glass fibre for vehicle bodies to particle composites for aerospace and a range of other uses as a consequence of decades of research and development. Rapid research and development in composite materials has been witnessed. Composite materials have a wide range of uses in the automotive and aerospace sectors, among others. This shortcoming is compensated for by the fact that new types of composites are being developed all the time, each with its own set of requirements, such as filled, flake, particle, and laminar composites.

Low density, excellent strength to weight ratio, good abrasion resistance, and self-lubrication are all characteristics of polymeric composites. Polymers are used as the basic matrix in polymer composites. Polyester, epoxy, low density polyethylene (LDPE), high density polyethylene (HDPE), polypropylene, and nylon acrylics are some of the most popular polymers utilised in these composites.

To improve the matrix characteristics, reinforcements modify their particular mechanical and physical properties. It alters the matrix raw material's tensile strength, compression strength, toughness, wear resistance, friction coefficient, and a variety of other characteristics. The reinforcements give the strength to the composites. Selection of the optimal reinforcement material is dependent on the property requirements of the finished part. Nowadays, fibre reinforced polymer composites play an important role in structural applications. Polymer composites having high stiffness, lightweight, recyclable nature, and higher tensile strength. The reinforcement may be either natural or synthetic fiber reinforced composite where synthetic fibers having promising material properties as compared to natural fiber composites.

Here synthetic fibers are used as reinforcement that is SGF, PTFE, SCF are selected due to has excellent balancing qualities. These fibres are typically sized to allow for effective matrix bonding, which improves mechanical characteristics.

Filler is a common substance used in the manufacture of plastic goods. The purpose of filler is to alter the characteristics of the original plastic. Filler materials are particles that are added to resins or binders (plastics, composites) to improve specific properties, reduce costs, or a combination of the two. The purpose of filler is to alter the properties of the original plastic. Elastomers and plastics are the two most important segments for filler materials. Hybrid composite is one of the burgeoning fields in the polymer industry, and it has gotten a lot of attention recently. The development of composites with filler materials for extreme loading applications is being investigated in this hybrid composite field. In terms of mechanical properties, inorganic fillers are becoming more popular in polymer composites.

Farizah Hamid et al [2], have investigated the mechanical properties and thermal properties of polyamide 6/HDPE-g-MAH/High density polyethylene. Here the blend is prepared by melt compounding using a twin screw extruder followed by injection molding using HDPE-g-MAH served as compatibilizer. A series combination of PA6/HDPE with HDPE-g-MAH were blended with varied composition of 100/0, 98/2, 96/4, 94/6 and 92/8. The
mechanical properties of the samples such as tensile test, flexural test and elongation at break were measured by universal tensile machine while hardness was measured using the Zwick Roell hardness tester.

C Riul et al [3] examined the processing and evaluation of the mechanical properties of glass fiber reinforced PTFE laminates. In this study, continuous glass fiber is reinforced with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) laminates. Here, the modulus of elasticity and the maximum strength were determined by a three-point bending test and tensile test using the digital image correlation technique (DIC).

BV Lingesh et al [5] examined the influence of short glass fibers on the mechanical properties of the thermoplastic mixture of polyamide66 and polypropylene (PA66 / PP). In this study, the effect of a known weight fraction of short glass fibers such as 5,10,15,20,25 and 30 with a mixture of 80% by weight of polyamide66 (PA66) and 20% by weight of polypropylene (PP) and for better compatibility in the matrix mix. The polymer were combined and fabricated by using barbender twin screw extruder and molded by injection molding as per ASTM. The mechanical properties such as tensile strength, flexural strength and impact strength are studied and evaluated by ASTM.

D.I. Chuko et al [7] examined the structural, mechanical and tribological properties of short carbon fiber reinforced UHMWPE matrix composites. The structural, mechanical and tribological properties of composite materials based on ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene reinforced with carbon fibers were investigated.

Moayad N. Khalaf et al [10], evaluated the mechanical properties of (HDPE M624) with three fillers (inorganic and organic) with regard to the effect of the filler content. (5% to 25%) by weight in the composite. Depending on the type of filling and mesh size, a significant improvement in the mechanical parameters was registered.

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

A. MATERIALS

The materials used in the present study are listed in Table 1.

| Sl No | Materials          | Density         |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 01    | HDPE               | 0.93-0.97 g/cm³ |
| 02    | Short E glass fiber| 2.54 g/cm³      |
| 03    | Polytetrafluroethylene | 2.2 g/cm³  |
| 04    | Short carbon fiber | 1.76 g/cm³      |
| 05    | Silica             | 2.19 g/cm³      |
| 06    | Hydroxyapatite     | 3.156 g/cm³     |
| 07    | Zirconia           | ---             |

B. SAMPLE PREPARATION

Injection moulding

To avoid plasticization, hydrolyzing effects from humidity, and to achieve sufficient homogeneity, the polymer and fibres were dried at 80°C before mixing in a mixing chamber.
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The compositions of the samples, which include saline coated fibres SGF, SCF, and PTFE, are mixed in
the correct proportions. As shown in Figure 4.4, the mixed materials were then extruded using a Barbender co-rotating twin-screw extruder (Model: 16 CME, SPL, chamber size 70 cm³). Zone 1 (220°C), zone 2 (235°C), zone 3 (240°C), zone 4 (265°C), and zone 5 (270°C) were the temperatures maintained in the extruder, with the temperature at the die set at 220°C. To achieve a feed rate of 5 kg/hr, the screw speed on the extruder was set to 100 rpm. The extrudate produced was a cylindrical rod that was quenched in cold water before being palletized with a palletizing machine. Before getting the blended sample, the initial extruded materials were discarded to remove impurities from the previous extrusion stroke. All blended composite pallets were dried at 100°C before injection moulding. The pelletized polyblend material obtained from the corotating twin screw extruder was used to injection mould all of the test specimens. As shown in Figure 4.5, the temperatures in the two zones of the injection moulding barrel were kept at 265°C and 290°C, respectively, while the mould temperature was kept at 65°C. The screw speed was set to 10-15 rpm and the injection pressure was set to 700-800 bar. During injection moulding, the injection, cooling, and ejection times were all kept at 10, 35, and 2 seconds, respectively. All the molded specimens are as per ASTM standards.

| EXP NO. | HDPE | SGF | PTFE | SCF | Silica | Zirconium | Hydroxyapatite |
|---------|------|-----|------|-----|--------|-----------|----------------|
| 1       | 100  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0      | 0         | 0              |
| 2       | 75   | 25  | 0    | 0   | 0      | 0         | 0              |
| 3       | 73   | 25  | 2    | 0   | 0      | 0         | 0              |
| 4       | 71   | 25  | 2    | 2   | 0      | 0         | 0              |
| 5       | 69   | 25  | 2    | 2   | 2      | 0         | 0              |
| 6       | 65   | 25  | 2    | 2   | 2      | 2         | 2              |

C. MEASUREMENT OF MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

The tensile test is by far the most common mechanical test that can be performed on a material. The Kalpak universal testing machine was used to perform the tensile test in accordance with ASTM D 638. Minimum three tests were conducted in each material. Test were performed at constant strain rate of 2mm/min at room temperature. The specimen is uniform over a gauge length (the length within which elongation measurements are taken = 72mm). Specimens were inserted into the grips and pulled until they broke. According to ASTM D638, the test speed was 2mm/min.
The flexural test determines how much force is needed to bend a beam under three-point loading. The ease of sample preparation and analysis is one of the main advantages of a three-point flexural test. To determine the flexural strength, specimens of size of 125 mm X 10 mm X 3.75 mm were tested with a gauge length 60mm at a maximum speed of jaws 2.5 mm/min. The fixture used for the flexural testing is as shown in figure 4.9, producing three point bending at a specified rate. The parameters for this test are the support span, the speed of loading and the maximum deflection of the test. These standards are based on the thickness of the test specimen and are defined differently by ASTM and ISO. The ASTM D790 test is terminated when the specimen reaches a deflection of 5% or when the specimen breaks before a deflection of 5%.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. TENSILE TEST

Table 3: Tabulation showing Maximum load, Tensile strength and Young’s modulus of various specimen composites

| Composites | Cross sectional area (mm²) | Peak load (N) | Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa) | Tensile Modulus (MPa) | % Elongation |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| TR-1       | 37.47                     | 1019.08      | 25.82                           | 405.98               | 2.166       |
| TR-2       | 39.663                    | 1081.89      | 27.27                           | 353.19               | 0.999       |
| TR-3       | 39.218                    | 1066.95      | 27.2                            | 431.21               | 0.888       |
| TR-4       | 39.016                    | 1111.67      | 28.45                           | 431.04               | 0.915       |
| TR-5       | 39.534                    | 1087.38      | 27.5                            | 450                  | 1.022       |
| TR-6       | 40.47                     | 794.4        | 19.63                           | 286.71               | 1.0186      |
B. FLEXURAL TEST

The flexural properties of any structural element are more important. Because structural composites are prone to bending failure, the development of new composites with high flexural strength is critical.

Table 3: Tabulation showing Maximum load, Flexural strength and young’s modulus obtained in the test

| Composites | Cross sectional area (mm²) | Peak load (N) | Ultimate Flexural Strength (MPa) | Flexural Modulus (MPa) |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| TR-1       | 36.85                     | 52.32        | 34.22                            | 1615.5                |
| TR-2       | 37.63                     | 53.44        | 33.72                            | 1058.82               |
| TR-3       | 36.55                     | 47.46        | 31.52                            | 1068.34               |
| TR-4       | 37.48                     | 47.5         | 20.18                            | 1315.55               |
| TR-5       | 37.47                     | 41.69        | 26.68                            | 1211.7                |
| TR-6       | 36.76                     | 18.62        | 45.58                            | 346.38                |

Fig 10(a): tensile strength
Fig 10(b): tensile modulus

It is noticed that sample TR-4 that is HDPE reinforced with SGF, PTFE and SCF with laminates exhibits better tensile strength as compared to base material. That is tensile strength increases from 25.82MPa to 28.45MPa which is a 10.18% increase. This shows addition of fiber to the base material HDPE have better compatibility between HDPE and the fibers. It is also noticed that the tensile strength decreases when the adding the filler materials to the sample. This is due to the property of the filler material i.e, brittle nature of the material.

Fig 12: variation of flexural strength of the specimens
It is seen that the flexural strength value of hybrid composite TR-6 sample is higher compared to the base material. Thus, the composite showed an appreciable influence of zirconia, hydroxyapatite fillers. The flexural strength of TR-3 is significantly higher than TR-4 this is due to brittleness of carbon fibers in spite of their high values ultimate tensile strength. But when compared to flexural modulus it was seen that sample TR-4 gets highest modulus compared to other hybrid composites.

C. IMPACT TEST

Table 4: The results obtained after conducting Impact test is given

| Composites | Impact strength (J/m²) |
|------------|-----------------------|
| TR-1       | 53.33                 |
| TR-2       | 45.45                 |
| TR-3       | 65.96                 |
| TR-4       | 58.03                 |
| TR-5       | 39.78                 |
| TR-6       | 78.41                 |

It is found that the impact strength of TR-6 that is 65% of HDPE, 25% OF SGF, 2% of PTFE, 2% of SCF sample is increases compared to other samples. It is found that the TR-5 sample has very less impact strength compared to other samples due to the addition of the filler material 2% of silica.

IV. CONCLUSION

The current work has been undertaken, with an objective to explore the potential of the treated HDPE polymer based hybrid composites and to learn characteristic of the hybrid composite.

➢ **Tensile test** - The tensile test was conducted on both the base material and the HDPE-hybrid
composites. The tensile strength of the fibres composite material was found to be higher than that of the base material. The tensile strength of sample TR-4, which contains 71% HDPE, 25% SGF, 2% PTFE, and 2% SCF, has increased. Increase in tensile strength is due to better bonding, adhering to the surface (adhesion) and dispersion of the fiber in the matrix.

But TR-6 sample shows very less tensile strength compared to others due to the addition of filler materials in the sample. Even, the TR-5 remains exceptional case as on the comparison of the Young’s modulus. It gives an highest modulus.

- **Flexural test** - It was seen that the flexural strength of the TR-4 sample composite material as shown a gradual decreased from 31.52 to 20.18 MPa with addition of 2% of SCF when compared to the base material. Due to the brittle property of the material. But increases in the case of sample TR-6 as an exceptional case with addition of fillers, 2% of zirconia and 2% of hydroxyapatite shows high flexural strength when compared to others. But adverse when it comes to flexural modulus. Where TR-4 gest highest modulus.

- **Impact test** - It was seen that the impact strength of TR-6 is greater than that of all composite and the base material. In contrast, TR-5 was found to have very less impact strength. Hence, it is conclude that by adding the fillers and reinforcement as in proportion of TR-6, we could increase the impact strength.

V. SCOPE OF FUTURE WORK

Plastic is going to be a future material as a metal substitute. Here composite materials are made with use of plastic (thermoplastics). When compared to traditional materials, composites can meet a wide range of design requirements while saving significant weight and providing a high strength-to-weight ratio. At room temperature, the effect of notch on mechanical properties is investigated. Further, the effect of temperature rise on the mechanical properties of composite materials can be studied.

- The effect of V-notch is studied here. Further the effect of different stress concentrates like circular/elliptical holes can be studied in future.
- The wear and abrasive test analysis can be studied.
- The result obtained here can be taken has a data base for future work regarding these concepts.
- Only static mechanical properties are studied, efforts can be laid in order to study the various dynamic and structural properties in the near future.
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