Association of STAT4 gene rs7574865G > T polymorphism with ulcerative colitis risk: evidence from 1532 cases and 3786 controls

Ling Xu, Wei-Qi Dai, Fan Wang, Lei He, Ying-Qun Zhou, Jie Lu, Xuan-Fu Xu, Chuan-Yong Guo

Department of Gastroenterology, Tenth People’s Hospital of Tongji University, Shanghai, China

Submitted: 15 March 2012
Accepted: 11 May 2012

Arch Med Sci 2014; 10, 3: 419–424
DOI: 10.5114/aoms.2014.43735
Copyright © 2014 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: Several studies have reported the relationship between the STAT4 rs7574865G > T polymorphism as a susceptibility factor to ulcerative colitis (UC). However, the results have been controversial. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis to obtain the most reliable estimate of the association.

Material and methods: PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases were searched. Crude odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were extracted and pooled to assess the strength of the association between the STAT4 rs7574865G > T polymorphism and risk of UC. A total of five eligible studies including 1532 cases and 3786 controls based on the search criteria were involved in this meta-analysis.

Results: We observed that the STAT4 rs7574865G > T polymorphism was significantly correlated with UC risk when all studies were pooled into the meta-analysis (the allele contrast model: OR = 1.13, 95% CI = 1.02–1.25; the heterozygote codominant model: OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.04–1.43; the dominant model: OR = 1.25, 95% CI = 1.07–1.45). In the stratified analysis by ethnicity, significant associations were observed in Spanish for the allele contrast model (OR = 1.20; 95% CI = 1.04–1.39), for the homozygote codominant model (OR = 1.57; 95% CI = 1.07–2.31), for the dominant model (OR = 1.20; 95% CI = 1.01–1.43), and for the recessive model (OR = 1.50; 95% CI = 1.03–2.19).

Conclusions: This meta-analysis suggests that the STAT4 rs7574865G > T polymorphism is a low-penetrant risk factor for UC, especially in Spanish.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), including Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), is a common health problem worldwide. It results from an interaction between genetic, environmental, inflectional, and other factors [1]. Preliminary findings suggest that genetic susceptibility plays a large part in the predisposition to UC [2, 3], and even the rare cases with Takayasu arteritis may display varietal genetic susceptibility [4, 5].

The signal transducer and activator of transcription-4 (STAT4) gene was found to be associated with multiple autoimmune diseases, such
as systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, type 1 diabetes, Wegener’s granulomatosis, and ulcerative colitis [6]. Mutated allele T of the STAT4 rs7574865 SNP plays a key role in these autoimmune diseases [7, 8]. More recently, several studies have assessed the relationship between the polymorphism of STAT4 G > T and the susceptibility to UC, but the results have been controversial [9–12]. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis to confirm these associations.

Material and methods

Identification and eligibility of relevant studies

The search terms “STAT4”, “ulcerative colitis”, “genotype”, “polymorphism” and “variant” were employed to explore publications in PubMed, ISI Web of Knowledge and Embase databases for relevant reports (last search update October 2011). Only studies published in the English language were included. We did not define any minimum number of patients to be included for meta-analysis. When multiple studies of the same patient population were identified, we included the published report with the largest sample size (Table I).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The following inclusion criteria were used to select literature for this analysis: (a) evaluation of the STAT4 rs7574865 G > T polymorphism and UC, (b) only case-control studies were considered, (c) sufficient published data to estimate an odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Major exclusion criteria were: (1) no control population, (2) no available genotype frequency, and (3) duplicated studies.

Data extraction

For each study, the following data were collected: first author’s surname, year of publication, country of origin, ethnicity, criteria of enrolled patients, genotyping method, total numbers of cases and controls as well as numbers of cases and controls with GG, GT and TT genotypes. The strength of the association between STAT4 rs7574865 G > T polymorphism and UC risk was estimated using OR with 95% CI. Disagreement was resolved by discussion until a consensus was reached between the two authors. We did not define any minimum number of patients for inclusion in our meta-analysis.

Statistical analysis

The risk of UC associated with STAT4 rs7574865 G > T was estimated for each study by OR with 95% CI. For five studies, we analysed the relationship for the allele contrast model (T vs. G). At the same time, due to lack the specific genotypes of Glas’s literature reported by Glas, we estimated the association under other four different types of OR, namely the homozygote codominant model (TT vs. GG), the heterozygote codominant model (GT vs. GG), the dominant model (TT+GT vs. GG) and the recessive model (TT vs. GT+GG). Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested by the χ² test. The Q-statistic was used to investigate the degree of heterogeneity between the trials, and a p-value of 0.10 for the Q-test indicated a lack of heterogeneity among studies. We used the fixed-effects model and the random-effects model based on the Mantel-Haenszel method [13] and the DerSimonian and Laird method [14], respectively, to combine values from each of the studies. A sensitivity analysis was also performed by omitting each study in turn to identify potential outliers. All of the statistical analyses were performed with Review Manager version 4.3 and STATA version 12.0 using two-sided p-values.

Results

Study characteristics

We obtained 12 studies about the association between STAT4 rs7574865 polymorphism. Following the above inclusion and exclusion criteria, 5 publications were included in the final meta-analysis [9–12]. Characteristics of studies focusing on STAT4 rs7574865 G > T are summarized in Table II. Genotypes and separate p values for STAT4 rs7574865 polymorphism are listed in Table III.

Meta-analysis results

Table III lists the main results of pooled ORs for STAT4 rs7574865 G > T polymorphism and UC risk. We observed that the STAT4 rs7574865 G > T polymorphism was significantly correlated with UC risk when all studies were pooled into the meta-analysis (the allele contrast model: OR = 1.13, 95% CI = 1.02–1.25, p = 0.224 for heterogeneity, Figure 1; the heterozygote codominant model: OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.04–1.43, p = 0.49 for heterogeneity, Figure 2; the dominant model: OR = 1.25, 95% CI = 1.07–1.45, p = 0.54 for heterogeneity, Figure 3). In the analysis stratified by ethnicity, significant associations were observed in Spanish for the allele contrast model (OR = 1.20; 95% CI = 1.04–1.39; p = 0.42 for heterogeneity), for the homozygote codominant model (OR = 1.57; 95% CI = 1.07–2.31; p = 0.69 for heterogeneity), for the dominant model (OR = 1.20; 95% CI = 1.01–1.43; p = 0.40 for heterogeneity), and for the recessive model (OR = 1.50; 95% CI = 1.03–2.19; p = 0.78 for heterogeneity).
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We performed Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s test to assess the publication bias of the literature. The results did not show any evidence of publication bias in all the comparisons. We present funnel plot for ORs of C vs. T in Figure 4. Also, the results of Egger’s test still did not suggest any evidence of publication bias ($p = 0.679$ for T vs. G; $p = 0.134$ for GT vs. GG; $p = 0.104$ for TC vs. TT).

Discussion

The Stat signalling pathways play important roles in carcinogenesis and immunopathology of many malignancies and immunologic diseases.

Table I. Characteristics of UC studies included in the meta-analysis

| First author/published year | Study population of cases | Method | Source | Cases | Controls | HWE of controls |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|-----------------|
| Bouzid [9] 2009             | North Africa              | SNP genotyping assays | HB     | 68    | 162      | 0.494           |
| Diaz-Gallo [8] 2010         | Spanish                   | SNP genotyping assays | HB     | 402   | 1296     | 0.888           |
| Moon [7] 2010               | Korean                    | SNP genotyping assays | HB     | 246   | 229      | 0.934           |
| Martinez [10] 2008          | Spanish                   | SNP genotyping assays | HB     | 352   | 716      | 0.968           |
| Glas [11] 2010              | Caucasian                 | SNP genotyping assays | HB     | 464   | 1383     | 0.215           |

Table II. Genotypes and $p$-values of STAT4 rs7574865G > T polymorphism included in the meta analysis

| First author | Cases | Controls |
|--------------|-------|----------|
|              | GG    | GT       | TT      | G    | T        | GG    | GT     | TT    | G    | T        |
| Bouzid       | 39    | 25       | 4       | 103  | 33       | 113   | 46     | 3     | 272  | 52       |
| Diaz-Gallo   | 241   | 137      | 24      | 619  | 185      | 813   | 428    | 55    | 2054 | 538      |
| Moon         | 97    | 131      | 18      | 325  | 167      | 106   | 100    | 23    | 312  | 146      |
| Martinez     | 209   | 123      | 20      | 541  | 163      | 470   | 220    | 26    | 1160 | 272      |
| Glas         | –     | –        | –       | 729  | 199      | –     | –      | –     | 2171 | 595      |

Table III. Results of meta-analysis for STAT4 rs7574865 polymorphisms and UC risk

| Study groups | Allele contrast model | Homozygote codominant |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|              | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$          | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$          |
| Total        | 1.13 (1.02–1.25)     | 0.006                 | 1.39 (1.00–1.92)     | 0.05                 |

Ethnicity

| Ethnicity    | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$          | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$          |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Spanish      | 1.20 (1.04–1.39)     | 0.01                  | 1.57 (1.07–2.31)     | 0.02                  |
| Korean       | 1.10 (0.84–1.44)     | –                     | 0.86 (0.44–1.68)     | –                     |
| North Africa | 1.33 (0.82–2.15)     | –                     | 3.86 (0.83–18.03)    | –                     |

Study groups

| Study groups | Heterozygote codominant | Dominant model | Recessive model |
|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|              | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$ | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$ | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$          |
| Total        | 1.22 (1.04–1.43)     | 0.01          | 1.25 (1.07–1.45)     | 0.004         | 1.30 (0.82–2.08)     | 0.26                  |

Ethnicity

| Ethnicity    | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$ | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$ | OR (95% CI)          | Value of $p$          |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Spanish      | 1.15 (0.96–1.38)     | 0.12         | 1.20 (1.01–1.43)     | 0.04         | 1.50 (1.03–2.19)     | 0.04                  |
| Korean       | 1.43 (0.98–2.09)     | –            | 1.32 (0.92–1.91)     | –            | 0.71 (0.37–1.35)     | –                     |
| North Africa | 1.57 (0.86–2.89)     | –            | 1.71 (0.95–3.08)     | –            | 3.31 (0.72–15.22)    | –                     |

Publication bias

We performed Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s test to assess the publication bias of the literature. The results did not show any evidence of publication bias in all the comparisons. We present funnel plot for ORs of C vs. T in Figure 4. Also, the results of Egger’s test still did not suggest any evidence of publication bias ($p = 0.679$ for T vs. G; $p = 0.134$ for GT vs. GG; $p = 0.104$ for TC vs. TT).
Recent studies have implicated their members’ possible involvement in the pathogenesis of IBD. For example, the expression and/or activation of interleukin 12 (IL-12) and Stat4, including phosphorylated Stat4, suggest that proinflammatory IL-12/Stat4 signalling or IL-23/Stat4 are likely candidate pathways involved in the inflammatory pathology in UC and colorectal cancer (CRC) [15–17]. Moreover, it is generally recognized that there is an increased risk of CRC in patients with UC, and the overall prevalence of manifest CRC in patients with UC is unacceptably high. So, this understanding may have an important impact on patients with certain risk factors, such as STAT4, etc [18]. Some researchers have examined the association of STAT4 rs7574865G > T polymorphism with UC risk and treatments. Based on previous studies reporting increased sensitivity to IFN-α in lupus patients carrying the risk variant of STAT4 might contribute to increased mucosal inflammation in IBD patients and to the response to immunosuppressive and immunomodulatory therapies [19], and a significant relationship was observed in several but not all studies [20, 21].

The aim of our study was to demonstrate the role of STAT4 rs7574865G > T polymorphism in the relationship with UC risk using a meta-analysis. Interestingly, we observed that STAT4 rs7574865G > T was significantly correlated with UC risk and the T allele of the STAT4 rs7574865G > T variant was a low-penetrant risk factor for UC. Simultaneously there was evidence to indicate that STAT4
rs7574865G > T polymorphism was associated with increased risk of UC in Spanish and mixed ethnicities.

Of course, we must note that our study population was small, so it is still necessary to conduct larger sample studies considering gene-gene and gene-environment interactions, and using standardized unbiased genotyping methods, homogeneous UC patients, and sufficiently matched controls. In addition, we also should consider the relationship between treatment response and STAT4 polymorphisms in various types of UC in future work for a comprehensive evaluation of the relevance of this polymorphism for UC clinical characteristics, for example, whether it is similar to TLR2 polymorphisms that can predict the incidence of steroid-dependent UC and the response to azathioprine treatment, etc [12, 22, 23].

Recently, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have helped scientists understand the inheritance patterns of disorders on a global scale. In particular, this may lead to an enormous boost to the identification of susceptibility genes for several diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease, but there are some insurmountable problems, the most critical being that some high-risk sites for low frequency are masked by low-risk sites for high frequency, so this may result in the analysis not being comprehensive, with the loss of a lot of meaningful SNP sites. For example, Rivas et al. have identified more than 1,000 susceptibility loci for IBD through GWAS; further analysis found that only four additional independent risk factors, NOD2, two additional protective variants in IL23R, and a protective splice variant in CARD9, are associated with IBD [21], while some of the classic sites, such as HLAA, cytokine and STAT4 gene polymorphisms [24, 25], cannot be identified.

### Figure 3

**Forest plot for the association between the STAT4 rs7574865G > T polymorphism and ulcerative colitis risk in overall studies (for the dominant model, fixed effects model)**

| Study ID     | OR (95% CI)      | % Weight |
|--------------|------------------|----------|
| Martinez (2008) | 1.31 (1.01, 1.70) | 32.07    |
| Bouzid (2009)  | 1.71 (0.95, 3.08) | 5.53     |
| Diaz-Gallo (2010)| 1.12 (0.89, 1.41) | 45.67    |
| Moon (2010)    | 1.32 (0.92, 1.91) | 16.73    |
| Overall (I² = 0.0%, p = 0.543) | 1.25 (1.07, 1.45) | 100.00   |

### Figure 4

**Funnel plot analysis for odds ratios of G allele compared with T allele overall**

In conclusions, our study shows a genetic association between STAT4 rs7574865G > T variant and increased susceptibility to UC. However, it needs to be further evaluated in larger sample collections in diverse ethnic populations and more types of UC along with clinical characteristics, to confirm our findings.
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