Twisted molecular wires polarize spin currents at room temperature
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A critical spintronics challenge is to develop molecular wires that render efficiently spin-polarized currents. Interplanar torsional twisting, driven by chiral binucleating ligands in highly conjugated molecular wires, gives rise to large near-infrared rotational strengths. The large scalar product of the electric and magnetic dipole transition moments ($\mu \cdot m_i$), which are evident in the low-energy absorptive manifolds of these wires, makes possible enhanced chirality-induced spin selectivity–derived spin polarization. Magnetic-conductive atomic force microscopy experiments and spin-Hall devices demonstrate that these designs point the way to achieve high spin selectivity and large-magnitude spin currents in chiral materials.
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Spintronics offers exciting possibilities in applications that include information storage and magnetic sensing with reduced power consumption (1). Molecular organic semiconductors offer tremendous potential for electron spin transmission, as well as controlling spin decoherence and relaxation times (2). These opportunities derive in part from the fact that light-atom–based organic compositions have intrinsically weaker spin-orbit coupling (SOC) and hyperfine interactions than conventional inorganic semiconductors; such properties have enabled advances that include spin-polarized organic light-emitting diodes (3), organic spin valves (4), and spin-photovoltaic cells (5).

While organic molecules have small SOCs, the recently discovered chirality-induced spin selectivity (CISSE) effect provides a new approach to control spins in molecules (6). Numerous experiments show that chiral molecules act as spin filters in electron transport. The same is true when an electric field is applied across a chiral molecule and induces charge reorganization. Because spin polarization accompanies charge polarization in chiral molecules (7), the CISSE effect provides a potential solution to resolve the technological hurdles associated with injecting spin-polarized electrons from inorganic ferromagnets into organic molecules or vice versa; commonly, in such devices, the Schottky barrier limits spin injection efficiency and drives spin depolarization (8). Importantly, in this regard, the CISSE effect has been used to generate spin polarizations approaching 100% under ambient conditions, even in the absence of a magnetic field (9). Because the CISSE effect enables ambient temperature control of the electron spin through applied electrical and electromagnetic fields, it bears keen relevance to quantum information science, as it provides a potential pathway to generate coherent spin states (entangled electron pairs or spin qubits).

Spin-selective transmission made possible by the CISSE effect has been demonstrated for chiral tunneling barriers fabricated from chiral molecules [e.g., oligopeptides (10, 11), l/d-cysteine (12), and oligonucleotides (13)], chiral nanoparticles [e.g., CdSe quantum dots (14) and chiral helicoidal three-dimensional metal organic frameworks (9)], and other materials (15, 16). Chiral organic structures that possess substantial charge mobilities and suppress spin dephasing offer the potential to realize materials that have dramatically enhanced CISSE functionality. In this regard, we demonstrated recently that low-resistance molecular wires, with a mix of tunneling, hopping, and resonant transport mechanisms (17, 18), uniquely propagate spin-polarized currents (19). These exemplary compositions exploit conjugated zinc porphyrin wires (PZn$_n$), which manifest long spin-relaxation times (20), support highly delocalized hole and electron polaron states (21, 22), and feature extraordinarily low charge transport resistances (17, 18). In contrast to pioneering studies that have induced chirality in conjugated oligomers via H-bonding interactions (23), we demonstrate here that chiral twisted molecular wires can be engineered with conjugated PZn$_n$ oligomers through coordination of chiral binucleating ligands; this strategy integrates both spin-polarizing and spin-propagating functionality in a single conductive organic framework, controls the handedness of the polarized spin, and thus regulates spin currents via the CISSE mechanism.

Results and Discussion

Molecular Design and Circular Dichroism. Fig. 1 shows the structures of thiol-terminated meso-to-meso ethyne-bridged multi-[porphinato]Zn oligomers PZn$_n$Ac ($n = 1$ to $4$), along with binucleating ligands that feature axial chirality ($B_R$ and $B_S$).
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derived from 1,1′-bi(2-naphthol); syntheses and characterization of these compositions are detailed in the SI Appendix. Electronic absorption spectra of PZn₁–₄SAc in CH₂Cl₂ solvent are displayed in Fig. 2A; addition of the B₅ ligand results in a bathochromic shift of the PZn₁–₄SAc low-energy, long-conjugated axis (x-) polarized absorption manifold (Fig. 2B) (21, 22, 24–30), and modest hyperchromism, consistent with axial ligation of (porphyrin)-derived highest occupied molecular orbital (31). Table 1 highlights the magnitudes of the association constants (K) for these B₅ ligands relative to those determined for pyridine for these PZn nSAc molecular wires. Note that KBR/S/Kpyridine is amplified with increasing PZnₙSAc conjugation length, with the B₅/S association constant determined for PZn₄SAc (5.61 × 10⁵) two orders of magnitude larger than that for pyridine (7.66 × 10³). Job plot analyses of ligand association (SI Appendix) unveil PZnₙSAc:B₅/₆ binding stoichiometries as anticipated (Table 1), congruent with the binucleating nature of these chiral ligands and cooperative binding (Table 1) (32).

These twisted chiral structures that result from B₅ or B₆ ligand coordination express differential absorption of left- and right-handed circularly polarized light; circular dichroism (CD) spectra of PZnₙSAc:B₅/₆ wires highlight visible (Vis)–near-infrared (NIR) signals that not only intensify with increasing conjugation length, but corresponding long-wavelength molar ellipticities that dramatically red shift as the chain lengths grow (Fig. 2C) (33). B₅/₆ ligands absorb at wavelengths <360 nm (SI Appendix) and display symmetric CD signals that depend on absolute chirality. Addition of B₅ or B₆ to PZnₙSAc beget similarly symmetric CD signals between 360 and 900 nm (Fig. 2C); note that the Cotton effect is absent for PZnₙSAc, underscoring that PZnₙ wire chirality is established via the engineered chiral interplanar torsional twist of adjacent planar PZn macrocycles in PZn₂–₄SAc. Fig. 3 displays a B₃LYP/def2-SVP–derived structure of PZn₄SAc coordinated by two B₅ units (34), highlighting the chiral twisting along the molecular wire induced by B₅/S ligand coordination.

Fig. 2C highlights as well that the magnitude of the Cotton effect increases with increasing PZnₙ conjugation length. Several aspects of these data are noteworthy. Over the 350 to 550 nm (B-state-dominated) regime, it is evident that the magnitude of Δε, the molar ellipticity, measured at the PZn₂–₄SAc-B₅/₆ electronic absorption maxima, displays conjugation length dependences that differ from those characteristic of their extinction coefficient maxima (Fig. 2F). Likewise, over the wavelength domain that spans the PZnₙ Q-state absorptive manifold (550 to 900 nm), the maximal Q-state Δε value is seen to increase by a factor of two with each additional PZn unit in PZn₂–₄SAc-B₅/₆ (Fig. 2C), a more dramatic conjugation length dependence than that exhibited by the corresponding electronic absorbptive extinction coefficient maxima (Fig. 2B) in this spectral region.

As the integrated oscillator strength (f) reflects the transition probability in a linear absorption spectrum (Eq. 1, where ε is the experimental extinction coefficient and ν is the energy [in wave numbers] of the absorption) and is proportional to the

Table 1. Association constants (K) and stoichiometry of PZnₙSAc ligand coordination

| Molecular wire | B₅/₆ ligand | Pyridine | PZnₙSAc:B₅/₆ stoichiometry† |
|---------------|------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| PZn₁SAc       | 2.71 × 10⁴ | 1.82 × 10³ | N/A                         |
| PZn₂SAc       | 1.86 × 10⁵ | 2.47 × 10³ | 1:1                         |
| PZn₃SAc       | 3.84 × 10⁵ | 7.57 × 10³ | 1:1.5                       |
| PZn₄SAc       | 5.61 × 10⁵ | 7.66 × 10³ | 1:2                         |

†Ligand binding stoichiometries were determined from Job plot analyses.

N/A, not applicable.

*Association constants were ascertained from Hill Plots determined from B₅/₆ or pyridine ligand titration data acquired in CH₂Cl₂ solvent.

Fig. 1. Chemical structures of PZnₙSAc and chiral binucleating ligands B₅ and B₆. Ar, 2,6-bis(3,3-dimethyl-l-butyloxy)phenyl; Ac, acetate.
square of the transition dipole moment $\bar{\mu}_j$, the rotational strength $R$ corresponds to the integral described by Eq. 2, and is proportional to the magnitude of the scalar product $\mu_j \cdot m_j$, where $m_j$ is the magnetic transition moment. One convenient way to express $R$ is in terms of a reduced rotational strength $[R]$ (Eq. 3); here, $\bar{\mu}_j$ is the Bohr magneton ($0.927 \times 10^{-20}$ cgs) and $\mu_{PD}$ is the Debye ($10^{-18}$ cgs) and is proportional to the magnitude of the scalar product $\mu_j \cdot m_j$, where $m_j$ is the magnetic transition moment.

$$f = 4.32 \times 10^{-9} \epsilon / dv \propto \bar{\mu}_j^2.$$  \[1\]

$$R = 2.297 \times 10^{-39} f / dv \propto \bar{\mu}_j \cdot m_j = \vec{\mu}_j^x m_j^x + \vec{\mu}_j^y m_j^y + \vec{\mu}_j^z m_j^z.$$  \[2\]

$$[R] = \frac{100R}{\mu_{PD}} = (1.08 \times 10^{40})R.$$  \[3\]

Fig. 4.3 describes the dependence of the absolute value of $[R]$, as a function of PZn$_4$SAc-BR/S conjugation length, for the B-state manifold, the Q-state manifold, and the 360 to 900 nm spectral region (B + O), while Fig. 4B highlights the correlation between $f(\Omega)$ and $[R](\Omega)$ as a function of PZn$_4$ wire length. This latter plot underscores that the $\bar{\mu}_j \cdot m_j$ product increases with increasing conjugation length more dramatically than does $\bar{\mu}_j^2$, within the Q-state manifold. These data thus indicate that either the magnetic dipole transition moment grows more rapidly than the electric dipole transition moment with increasing conjugation length or that the $\bar{\mu}_j$ and $m_j$ alignment increases with molecular wire length and diminishing optical band gap in these PZn$_4$SAc-BR/S structures. The fact that BR$_{R,S}$ ligation fixes the range of torsional angles between adjacent PZn macromolecules (23 ± 2°) and the thermally weighted torsional angle distribution between BR$_{R,S}$ ligated PZn$_2$ units in PZn$_4$SAc-BR/S is dominated by conformers featuring similar angles (27, 36, 37) argues strongly for the former scenario.

**Computational Simulation.** Time-dependent density-functional theory methods (B3LYP, MN15, and CAM-B3LYP functionals) were used to compute the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ electric and magnetic transition dipole moments and the rotational strengths for the PZn$_2$-BR$_{R,S}$, cis–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$, and trans–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$ (SI Appendix): the cis and trans configurations refer to the spatial relationship of the two BR$_{R,S}$ ligands that bind the PZn$_2$ units of PZn$_4$. Fig. 5 highlights the electronic and magnetic transition densities for cis–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$ and the spatial arrangement of electric ($\mu$, red) and magnetic ($m$, blue) transition moments computed at the ground state equilibrium structure. These panels emphasize the importance of the torsional angle between the two PZn$_2$-BR$_{R,S}$ units in cis–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$ in determining the magnitudes of the magnetic transition dipole and the $\bar{\mu}_j \cdot m_j$ product for cis–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$. These relationships are detailed in the SI Appendix and underscore that the large rotatory strength observed for PZn$_4$SAc-BR/S is correlated closely with the magnitude of the $x$ component of the magnetic transition dipole moment ($m_x^j$, Eq. 2). Because the electric transition dipole is almost entirely concentrated in the $x$ direction regardless of the torsional angle between PZn$_2$-BR$_{R,S}$ units of cis–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$, controlling the magnitude of this angle sensitively modulates rotatory strength and sign, as the $\bar{\mu}_j^x m_j^x$ term (Eq. 2) plays the dominant role in determining $R$. Fig. 6 depicts the computed electric and magnetic transition dipoles, the rotatory strength, and the relative energies of cis–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$ and trans–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$ conformers along the torsional coordinate (SI Appendix). Note that the Boltzmann weighted rotational strengths for cis–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$ and trans–PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$ conformers predict a reduced rotational strength $[R]$ for the PZn$_4$-BR$_{R,S}$ Q-state manifold of ~100, within a factor of two of that determined experimentally (Fig. 4B). These experimental and computational data that characterize the chiro-optic properties associated with the low-energy electronic states of chiral PZn$_4$SAc-BR/S wires, coupled with the fact that the magnetic dipole operator is the same as the one that factors into the SOC Hamiltonian, suggest a pathway to realize SOCs that are large relative to those determined for soft material benchmarks (10–13) and thus facilitate enhanced CISS-derived spin polarization. While there is no theoretical model that explicitly links chiro-optic response with charge polarization–induced spin-polarization magnitudes in a comprehensive and fully quantitative way, a qualitative connection along these lines has been noted (38–42).

**Electron Spin Polarization.** The utility of PZn$_4$SAc and PZn$_4$SAc-BR$_{R,S}$ wires to generate and transmit spin-polarized currents was evaluated using magnetic-conductive atomic force microscopy (mC-AFM), a well-established method for measuring spin-selective conduction through self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of chiral molecules (19). In these experiments, the substrate is
PZn4SAc-BR, and PZn4SAc-BS were formed on Ti/Ni/Au. PZn4SAc is incapable of generating a spin-polarized current, as corresponding statistical data. Fig. 7 obtained in these mC-AFM experiments when the Ni spins are either parallel or antiparallel to the current direction (Fig. 7). A Pt-coated AFM tip was used to measure the spin current and (μ, red) transition moments computed at the ground state equilibrium structure.

biased relative to the grounded tip. Note that when the substrate is negatively biased electrons are injected from the majority spin density of states, just below the Fermi level, while when the substrate is positively biased, spins are injected from the minority density of states just above the Fermi level. Hence, for the same substrate magnetization, the electrons injected out have one spin, while those that are injected in from the monolayer have the opposite spin. SAMs of PZn4SAc, PZn4SAc-BR, and PZn4SAc-BS were formed on Ti/Ni/Au (10:120:8 nm) thin layers. Note that relative to thiol-Au bond-

Fig. 5. CAM-B3LYP-computed properties of the S0 → S1 (A) electric transition and (B) magnetic transition densities of cis-PZn4-BR/S determined at the density functional theory-optimized ground state energy minimum (isosurface values = 0.004 atomic unit). (C) The spatial arrangement of electric (μ, red) and magnetic (m, blue) transition moments computed at the ground state equilibrium structure.

CAM-B3LYP – computed torsional angle dependent rotatory strength R and thermal distribution of the PZn4-BR/S structures. Circle and triangle symbols denote cis-PZn4-BR/S and trans-PZn4-BR/S torsional conformer thermal distributions, respectively, along the torsional coordinate.

Fig. 6. CAM-B3LYP – computed properties of the S0 → S1 (A) electric transition and (B) magnetic transition densities of cis-PZn4-BR/S determined at the density functional theory-optimized ground state energy minimum (isosurface values = 0.004 atomic unit). (C) The spatial arrangement of electric (μ, red) and magnetic (m, blue) transition moments computed at the ground state equilibrium structure.

Biased relative to the grounded tip. Note that when the substrate is negatively biased electrons are injected from the majority spin density of states, just below the Fermi level, while when the substrate is positively biased, spins are injected from the minority density of states just above the Fermi level. Hence, for the same substrate magnetization, the electrons injected out have one spin, while those that are injected in from the monolayer have the opposite spin. SAMs of PZn4SAc, PZn4SAc-BR, and PZn4SAc-BS were formed on Ti/Ni/Au (10:120:8 nm) thin layers. Note that relative to thiol-Au bonding, the Br, pyridyl functional groups have a weak interaction with the Au surface; any uncoordinated Br or S ligands on the gold surface can be readily removed following SAM preparation. A Pt-coated AFM tip was used to measure the spin current passing through the SAMs as the substrate was biased potentiometrically between −2.0 V and 2.0 V; these studies utilize a permanent magnet, placed underneath the substrate, which controls the spin alignment in the Ni substrate layer either parallel or antiparallel to the current direction (Fig. 5A).

Fig. 7B–F display the average current-voltage (I-V) responses obtained in these mC-AFM experiments when the Ni spins are either pointing up or down; the SI Appendix provides the corresponding statistical data. Fig. 7B highlights the fact that achiral PZn4SAc is incapable of generating a spin-polarized current, as no differences between the average I-V curves were evident when the direction of the magnetic field was changed. In contrast, mC-AFM probe measurements of PZn4SAc-BR and PZn4SAc-BS films (Fig. 7C and D) display highly antisymmetric I-V responses and indicate unequivocally that the conduction of one spin is dominant as current flows from the substrate to the AFM tip, while the opposite spin is dominant as current flows in the other direction for these systems. The extent of spin polarization, (I_up − I_down)/(I_up + I_down) × 100%, where I_up and I_down are the currents measured with magnetic north pole up and down, indicates spin polarizations for PZn4SAc-BR and PZn4SAc-BS of ~32% at a 2 V bias (SI Appendix). These results demonstrate that the induced chirality evidenced for PZn4SAc-BR and PZn4SAc-BS in solution can be preserved in these SAMs. Fig. 7E and F underscore that the relationship between spin conduction and the Ni magnetization can be reversed; simple incubation of the Fig. 7C and D SAMs with CH2Cl2 solutions of binucleating ligands of the opposite chirality flips SAM chirality (PZn4SAc-BS → PZn4SAc-BR, Fig. 7E; PZn4SAc-BR → PZn4SAc-BS, Fig. 7F) on the Ti/Ni/Au substrate. This demonstration of spin current switching that regulates the favored spin orientation for transmission through these chiral molecular wires via ligand-modulated chirality induction was further investigated in spin-polarization Hall devices.

SAMs of PZn4SAc-BR and PZn4SAc-BS were adsorbed on an Au-coated GaN/AlGaN two-dimensional electron gas structure that is integrated in a spin-Hall device configuration (Fig. 8A) (43, 44). In this setup, a constant $I_0$ is driven between the source (S) and drain (D) electrodes through the device, and the Hall voltage is measured between two Hall electrodes (H) along the direction perpendicular to the current flow. An applied electric field between the gate electrode and the device causes the SAM to be charge polarized. If this charge polarization is accompanied by spin polarization, spin polarization at the GaN/AlGaN/SAM interface generates a magnetic field capable of being detected by the Hall device (43) that results from the continuous nonequilibrium spin-polarized current that flows from the Au-coated GaN/AlGaN layer through the SAMs. The spin polarization that accompanies charge polarization in PZn4SAc-BR and PZn4SAc-BS SAMs was measured using sequential gate pulses from −50 to 50 V at 10-V intervals (Fig. 8B). Plotting these Hall voltages as a function of gate potential demonstrates an approximate linear relationship with the applied gate voltage. Note that the opposite signs of the slopes determined for PZn4SAc-BR and PZn4SAc-BS SAMs in these spin-Hall devices indicate opposite charge polarization–induced spin polarizations that depend strictly on the chirality of these molecular wires. Fig. 8D describes analogous data obtained when the SAMs of these spin-Hall devices are incubated with CH2Cl2 solutions of binucleating ligands having opposite chirality (SI Appendix). While the observed asymmetry in the gate voltage–dependent Hall voltage measurements of Fig. 8D likely arises...
from factors such as SAM rearrangements associated with the binucleating ligand equilibration process and incomplete ligand exchange, the Fig. 8D data clearly reveal PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-BS \(\rightarrow\) PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-BR and PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-B-R \(\rightarrow\) PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-Bs ligand induced chirality inversion (Fig. 8C), congruent with flipping the sign of the slope and the near-linear relation of the observed Hall voltage upon gate voltage magnitude, further substantiating analogous results observed in mC-AFM experiments.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, this work demonstrates the utility of programmed chiral induction to realize low-resistance molecular wires that feature integrated spin-polarizing and spin-propagating functionality. This chiral induction strategy takes advantage of chiral induction to realize low-resistance molecular wires that feature integrated spin-polarizing and spin-propagating functionality. The CISS mechanism and chiral wires that enable coherent coupling between spin states.

Computational studies indicate that the large rotatory strengths and sign, as the \(\mu^x_s m^y_s\) term dominates \(R\) and is extraordinarily sensitive to the magnitude of the torsional angle between adjacent PZn units in PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-Bs systems.

Because \(\mu^x_s m^y_s\) is very large in the low-energy absorptive manifolds of these compositions, this signature magneto-optic property highlights the potential to use these chiral molecular wires in spintronic applications. mC-AFM experiments and data acquired in spin-Hall devices for SAMs of PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-BR and PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-Bs demonstrate that these compositions generate and transmit spin-polarized currents via the CISS mechanism, and that the favored spin orientation for transmission through these chiral molecular wires is opposite for these PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-BR and PZn\(^{4}\)SAc-Bs structures. Simple ligand exchange reactions demonstrate an approach to flip favored spin orientation for spin transmission through chiral organic molecules, sharply contrasting approaches that have relied on temperature-induced conformational changes or electrical dipole inversion to modulate the nature of spin selectivity (39, 46).

Because low-energy electronic states play critical roles in determining the magnitude of charge polarization–induced spin polarization and PZn\(^{n}\) compositions support high charge mobilities (22), these designs point the way to chiral materials that provide both high spin selectivity and large-magnitude spin currents via the CISS mechanism and chiral wires that enable coherent coupling between spin states.

**Materials and Methods**

Synthetic Materials. All manipulations were carried out under inert gas previously passed through an O\(_2\) scrubbing tower packed with Schweizerhall R3-11 catalyst and a drying tower packed with Linde 3-Å molecular sieves. Air-
sensitive compounds were handled in a Braun 150-M glove box. Air-sensitive reactions were conducted by employing standard Schlenk technique. All reagents purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, TCI, Chem-Impex, and Thermo Fisher Scientific were used as received without further purification. All solvents utilized in this work were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific and Sigma-Aldrich (high-performance liquid chromatography grade). CH₃Cl and tetrahydrofuran were dried from a PURE SOLV (Innovative Technology) solvent purification system with 4-Å molecular sieves and degassed by freeze-pump-thaw cycles.

**General Characterization Instruments.** Either a 400 or 500 MHz Bruker spectrometer was used to obtain NMR spectra for all synthesized compounds. Mass spectral data were obtained using a Bruker AutoFlux matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight system using a 2-(4-hydroxyphenylazo)benzoic acid (HABA) matrix. The Ti/Ni/Au (10:120:8 nm) thin layer substrates were employed in the measurement of spin polarization. Electronic absorption spectra were recorded on either a SHIMADZU UV-1700 UV-Vis or VARIAN Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer. CD spectra were record on a model 435 AVIV CD and Applied Photophysics Chirascan Spectrometer.

**Data Availability.** All study data are included in the article and/or supporting information.
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