Structural insights into unique features of the human mitochondrial ribosomes recycling
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Mammalian mitochondrial ribosomes (mitoribosomes) are responsible for synthesizing proteins that are essential for oxidative phosphorylation (ATP generation). Despite their common ancestry with bacteria, the composition and structure of the human mitoribosome and its translational factors are significantly different from those of their bacterial counterparts. The mammalian mitoribosome recycling factor (RRF\textsubscript{mt}) carries a mito-specific N terminus extension (NTE), which is necessary for the function of RRF\textsubscript{mt}. Here we present a 3.9-Å resolution cryo-electron microscopic (cryo-EM) structure of the human 55S mitoribosome-RRF\textsubscript{mt} complex, which reveals \(\alpha\)-helix and loop structures for the NTE that makes multiple mito-specific interactions with functionally critical regions of the mitoribosome. These include ribosomal RNA segments that constitute the peptidyl transferase center (PTC) and those that connect PTC with the GTase-associated center and with mitoribosomal proteins L16 and L27. Our structure reveals the presence of a tRNA in the pe/E position and a rotation of the small mitoribosomal subunit on RRF\textsubscript{mt} binding. In addition, we observe an interaction between the pe/E tRNA and a mito-specific protein, mL64. These findings help understand the unique features of mitoribosome recycling.

Significance

The human mitochondrial ribosome (mitoribosome) recycling factor (RRF\textsubscript{mt}) is known to play essential roles in mitochondrial physiology, including protein synthesis, and it has been implicated in human genetic diseases. The RRF\textsubscript{mt} is among the few protein molecules that carry their N-terminal signal peptide sequence into the mitochondrial matrix that is required for RRF\textsubscript{mt}'s interaction with the mitoribosome. In this study, we present a cryo-electron microscopic structure of the human mitoribosome in complex with the RRF\textsubscript{mt}. The structure reveals hitherto unknown features of RRF\textsubscript{mt} and its interactions with the functionally important regions of the ribosomal RNA that constitute the peptidyl-transferase center and that are linked to the GTPase-associated center of the mitoribosome, shedding light on the mechanism of ribosome recycling in mitochondria.
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extended N terminus, and hibernation-promoting factor PSRP1, have shown that the overall binding position of chloroplast-RRF on the chlororibosome is similar to that in the bacterial ribosome (21, 22). However, structure of the extended N terminus of the chloroplast-RRF is unknown. Previous studies have inferred RRF-induced disruption of the intersubunit bridges would facilitate subunit separation (12–14, 16, 17).

Despite anticipated similarity between the bacterial and mitochondrial ribosomes, the first mammalian mitoribosome cryo-EM structure had revealed considerable divergence from their bacterial counterparts and several acquired unique features (23) that were subsequently confirmed in higher-resolution studies (24–26). The most striking difference is the reversal in the protein to RNA ratio: Whereas the bacterial ribosomes are high in rRNA, the mitoribosomes are high in protein (27). The overall sedimentation coefficient of the mitoribosome is 55S, and those of its small and large subunits are 28S and 39S, respectively (27). Most mitochondrial translational factors have also acquired insertions and extensions compared with their bacterial counterparts (28, 29). Thus, despite overall resemblances with the prokaryotic system in terms of sequence of events and the accessory protein factors involved, there are considerable differences as well. For example, only two initiation factors, IF2mt and IF3mt, have been identified in mammalian mitochondria, and homolog for IF1 is absent (30–34). Unlike in bacteria, where a single EF-G molecule participates in both the elongation and ribosome recycling steps (35), mammalian mitochondria use two isoforms of EF-G: EF-G1mt, which functions as a translocase during the polypeptide elongation step (36), and EF-G2mt (37), which works exclusively with RRFmt (38) to catalyze the mitoribosome recycling.

The amino acid (aa) sequence of the human RRFmt is ~25–30% identical to its bacterial homologs and carries an additional 80-aa-long extension at its N terminus (39). RRFmt was shown to be essential for the viability of human cell lines, and depletion of this factor leads to mitoribosomal aggregation and mitochondrial dysmorphism (40). Here we present a 3.9-Å-resolution cryo-EM structure of the human RRFmt in complex with human 55S mitoribosome and investigate the molecular interactions of RRFmt and its mito-specific N-terminal extension (NTE) with the 55S mitoribosome to gain insights into the process of mitoribosome recycling.

Results and Discussion

RRFmt Binds to the Model Mitoribosome PoTC. We purified RRFmt carrying the 79-aa NTE, and prepared a model PoTC by treating the mitoribosome with puromycin. The RRFmt binds efficiently to the model mitoribosome PoTC. We obtained an overall 3.7-Å-resolution structure of the mitoribosome—RRFmt complex (SI Appendix, Fig. S1), which showed a clear density for RRFmt, but a relatively weak density for the 28S mitoribosomal subunit, suggesting existence of more than one conformational states for the 28S subunit. Therefore, the dataset was further classified to capture conformational states of the 28S subunit.

RRFmt Preferentially Binds the Rotated 55S Mitoribosome. After 3D classification, we obtained four subpopulations from a total of 144,051 selected particle images that included three major classes corresponding to intact 55S mitoribosomes with and without bound RRFmt and dissociated 39S mitoribosomal subunit (SI Appendix, Fig. SIC). The 55S classes with and without bound RRFmt were refined to 3.9-Å (Fig. 1) and 4.4-Å resolution, respectively. Superimposition of the RRFmt-bound 55S map (henceforth referred to as Class I) with the published similar resolution cryo-EM maps of the human (24) and porcine (25) mitoribosomes revealed that the conformation of the 28S subunit in our structure is different from the porcine and Class I conformational state of the published human mitoribosomes (24), in both their classical unrotated conformations but similar to the rotated Class II conformation state. This rotation is similar to the ratchet-like intersubunit rotation observed in bacterial ribosome, where the small subunit shows a coordinated 5–10° rotation in a counter clockwise direction with respect to the large subunit (41, 42), with largest and smallest displacements taking place in the peripheral and central regions, respectively, of the small subunit. In our 55S-RRFmt structure, the 28S subunit rotates by ~8.5° with respect to the 39S subunit (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A), using a pivot point of rotation at the 12S rRNA base A1162 within h27 [all rRNA helices are identified according to bacterial numbering (43, 44)] on the 28S body. This movement results in maximum displacement involving the mito-specific protein S22 by ~15 Å that lies at the bottom of the 28S-subunit body. In addition to the ratchet-like rotation of the whole 28S subunit, the head of the 28S subunit rotates by ~5° in an orthogonal direction toward the E site, which is similar to the swiveling movement described previously (45, 46) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B), resulting in displacement of the 28S head protein S29 further away from the 39S subunit.

Interestingly, the conformation of the empty 55S cryo-EM map (henceforth referred to as Class II) is not only different

![Fig. 1. Cryo-EM structure of the human 55S mitochondrial ribosome in complex with RRFmt. (A) 3D cryo-EM map of the 55S mitoribosome-RRFmt complex as seen from the subunit-subunit interface side, with segmented densities corresponding to the small subunit (28S, yellow), large subunit (39S, blue), and RRFmt (orange, red, and pink). (B) Molecular interpretation of the cryo-EM map shown in A. A darker shade of yellow differentiates the 28S ribosomal proteins from the 12S rRNA, whereas a lighter shade of blue differentiates the 39S ribosomal proteins from the 16S rRNA. Landmarks of the 28S subunit: h, head; b, body. Landmarks of the 39S subunit: CP, central protuberance. (C) Domain organization of the human RRFmt. The structurally resolved and unresolved portions of the NTE are depicted in red and pink colors, respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S8).](Image 302x439 to 546x730)
from RRF_{mt}-bound 55S map (Class I), but also from the published bovine (23) and porcine (25) 55S mitoribosome structures. This conformational change involves a ~8° rotation of the 28S subunit around a long axis of the subunit, such that its shoulder side moves toward 39S subunit while its platform side moves away from the 39S subunit (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C). Previous cryo-EM studies of the empty human 55S mitoribosome (24) and posttranslational-state mammalian 80S ribosome (47) have also reported the existence of similar conformation that is termed subunit rolling (47). The fact that the 55S ribosome in the unrotated state is empty (i.e., not bound by RRF_{mt}) suggests that the rotated state is the preferred substrate for RRF_{mt} binding. It should be noted that the ribosome in eubacterial ribosome-RRF complex is almost invariably captured in a rotated state (14–16); however, it is not clear whether RRF binding induces such a state or whether it binds to a prerotated state ribosome. In both class I and II maps, we find weak mass of densities corresponding to indigenously bound mRNA (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 E and F) and a tRNA in the pe/E state (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A–C) (45), the anticodon of which is situated toward the P site but closer to the E site (24, 48). The anticodon of pe/E tRNA moves by ~10 Å along with the 12S rRNA segment G1419-G1421 of the 28S subunit head in the RRF_{mt}-bound state (SI Appendix, Supplementary Results and Figs. SSD and S4). However, we do not observe any change in the mRNA density between the two classes. In both classes, the anticodon-stem-loop of the tRNA interacts with the mito-specific protein mL64 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A and B), suggesting a direct role of this protein in tRNA movement and stability in the pe/E state. This finding also reveals that the decylated tRNA remains bound to the mitoribosome upon RRF_{mt} binding, and its position is significantly different from the usual P/E state decylated-tRNA present in the analogous eubacterial complexes (15).

Bridges between the two ribosomal subunits are essential for a functionally intact ribosome (23–25, 49, 50) that allow dynamic movements required to facilitate various steps of the translation cycle. There are about 15 intersubunit bridges that have been identified in the mammalian 55S ribosome (23, 24), and seven of them are either destabilized or completely broken during ratchet-like intersubunit rotation (24). Interestingly, three (mB1a, mB1b, and mB2) of these seven bridges are specific to the mitoribosomes, involving mito-specific proteins S29, L40, L46, and L48 (24, 25). The RRF_{mt} binding could stabilize the rotated conformational state of the mitoribosome with multiple weakened mito-specific intersubunit bridges to prepare the complex for the subsequent step of subunit dissociation on EF-G2 binding.

**Molecular Interactions of RRF_{mt} with Components of the 55S Ribosome.** In our cryo-EM map of the 55S-RRF_{mt} complex, a well-resolved “L”-shaped density readily attributable to RRF_{mt} is visible in the intersubunit space of the 55S mitoribosome (Fig. 1A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S5), matching the overall size and domain composition of the conserved portion of RRF_{mt} to that of bacterial RRF on (12–17) and chloroplast ribosomes (22). Interactions of domain I. Although the overall shape and composition are significantly different among the bacterial, mitochondrial, and chloroplast ribosomes, their internal rRNA cores are very much conserved (21–26). The strategic positioning of domain I on the 55S mitoribosome allows RRF_{mt} to interact with several important elements of the large subunit, such as components of the PTC, helix 69 (H69), and helix 71 (H71), which are known to play crucial roles during various phases of the bacterial translational cycle (51). The tip of domain I is positioned very close to the P-loop region (H80) of the PTC, such that its aa residues Ser227–Asp229 make direct contacts with the tRNA bases G2816–G2819 (Fig. 3A). The P-loop region is known to interact with the CCA end of the P-site bound tRNA, and hence it is essential that the peptidyl tRNA is removed from the P site of the 39S subunit before the binding of RRF_{mt}.

Interestingly, these interacting residues are conserved among bacterial, mitochondrial, and chloroplast RRFs; accordingly, structural studies of the bacterial and chloroplast 70S-RRF complexes (12–17, 21) have shown similar interactions of domain I with the P-loop. The three long α-helices of domain I run almost parallel to a portion of 16S rRNA helix H71 such that aa residues Asn202, Lys205, Arg209, Arg212, Thr213, and Met216 from α-helix 3 of RRF_{mt} make extensive interactions with the H71 rRNA bases A2604–U2609 (Fig. 3B). Surprisingly, except for the two arginine residues (Arg209 and Arg212), none of the interacting α-helix 3 residues are conserved between the bacterial and the human mitochondrial RRF, and mutation of Arg209 (Arg132 in *Escherichia coli*) to other amino acid residues drastically reduces the affinity of RRF to the 70S ribosome (52). This high network of interactions seems to provide the essential anchoring points for RRF_{mt} on the 39S subunit, as mutations in the analogous region of the prokaryotic factor can lead to cell death or generation of temperature-sensitive phenotypes in bacteria (53). Although domain I of RRF_{mt} is positioned in the close vicinity of H69, no specific interaction between domain I and H69 was detected. In the presence of RRF_{mt} the conformation of H69, a key 16S rRNA helix that partners with the functionally important 12S rRNA helix 44 to form the highly conserved and crucial intersubunit bridge B2a in the 55S ribosome, slightly changes and moves in the direction of the 28S head movement (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), as it has been shown to adopt different conformations in bacterial ribosome on...
Because similar change has been also observed in the rotated 28S subunit in the absence of RRF\textsubscript{mt} (24), it is likely that the observed change in our RRF\textsubscript{mt}-bound map is primarily the effect of subunit rotation.

**Interactions of domain II.** The positioning of domain II in the present structure is different compared with the orientation of domain II in the 70S-RRF (17) and the 70S-chlRRF (21) complexes. Although two RRF domains are placed in almost right angles to each other in most bacterial complexes, domain II adopts a more open conformation in the chloroplast complex. In our structure, domain II occupies an intermediate position relative to the positions of domain II in the bacterial and chloroplast complexes (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). None of the ribosomal components from the 39S subunit are found within interacting distance from domain II of RRF\textsubscript{mt}. The only structural element from the 28S subunit that is found in close proximity to domain II of RRF\textsubscript{mt} is protein S12. A loop region of protein S12 encompassing His100–His105 is within hydrogen-bonding distance (∼4 Å) from the asparagine and proline-rich segment that involves aa residues Asn168, Asn170, Glu172, Pro181, and Pro183 of the RRF\textsubscript{mt} domain II (Fig. 3C). Both the prolines and Asn170 are conserved among the bacterial and human mitochondrial RRFs. Although interactions between S12 and bacterial RRF are known to exist in the 70S-RRF structures (12, 14, 16), these interactions are more extensive in our 55S-RRF\textsubscript{mt} complex.

**Structure and interactions of the mito-specific NTE.** The human RRF\textsubscript{mt} carries a functionally essential mito-specific 80-aa extension at its N terminus (39, 40) (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). In our map, we find additional density (Fig. 1 C and D) at the apical region of RRF\textsubscript{mt} domain I that is contiguous with the RRF\textsubscript{mt} α-helix1 and could be readily assigned to the last 21-aa residues of the NTE. Residues Lys55–Val79 fold into a well-defined α-helix, and residues Lys59–Gly64 constitute a loop region (Fig. 1 C and D). The observed NTE segment runs beyond the C terminus α-helix in an oblique perpendicular direction to rest of the domain I, and is found sandwiched between the 39S subunit and rest of the RRF\textsubscript{mt} domain I, where it makes several novel interactions with the 39S subunit. The density corresponding to remaining 59-aa residues of the NTE appears to be flexible, as it is somewhat fragmented and is visible only at low-density threshold levels in the low-pass filtered Class I map at ∼7 Å resolution (SI Appendix, Fig. S8).

The ribosomal elements that are within reach of the resolved 21-aa segment of NTE are 16S rRNA helices H89, H90, and H92 and ribosomal proteins L16 and L27. Protein L27 lies in close proximity to the PTC and was reported to interact with the apex region of domain I in the bacterial recycling complex (15, 17, 18). The N-terminal region of L27 is known to be highly flexible, and hence was disordered in the factor-free (46, 49) as well as RRF-bound.
70S ribosomal complexes (17). The mature human mitoribosomal protein L27 is ∼118 aa long, which is slightly larger than its bacterial counterpart. In our structure, we have observed additional density extending from the previously known N terminus of L27, compared with that in empty human 55S ribosome structure (24), suggesting that contacts with the NTE of RRF_{mt} have stabilized the N terminus of the L27 in our map, and therefore, allowed us to model additional aa residues, except for the first three amino acid residues. Compared with the empty human and porcine 55S ribosomal structures (24, 25), the N-terminal region of L27 has undergone a large conformational change, and moves by ∼9 Å toward the P-site tRNA (Fig. 4A), such that it would occlude the binding of the acceptor arm of the peptidyl-tRNA on the 39S subunit (Fig. 4A).

The N terminus of L27 makes multiple contacts with the mito-specific NTE of RRF_{mt} through its residues Ser35 and Lys34. Ser35 interacts with Ala77 and Leu78, whereas the conserved Lys34 makes close contacts with Asn75 and Leu78 from the NTE of RRF_{mt} (Fig. 4B). Thus, L27 could stabilize the binding of RRF_{mt} through the mito-specific interactions described here. The large subunit protein L16 is known to be in close proximity to bacterial RRF (14, 17, 18), but specific interactions between the two proteins could not be identified in previous studies. L16 and the NTE of RRF_{mt} contact each other at multiple sites. Residues Ser135–His138, Ala146, and Asp148 from the L16 are involved in direct interactions with the NTE residues Thr70, Arg71, Ile74, and Asn75 (Fig. 4C). Outside the NTE, Leu233 from RRF_{mt} domain I also makes close contact with His138 of L16.

The RRF_{mt} NTE interaction with the 16S rRNA helix 92 (H92) (H92) is of particular interest because it has the functionally important A-loops that is known to interact with the CCA end of the A-site tRNA and is thus associated with PTC (Fig. 5A). Lys61 from the RRF_{mt} NTE interacts with nucleotides C3043 and A3044 of the 16S rRNA H92, whereas the neighboring Ala60 also contacts C3043 (Fig. 5B). This stretch of the NTE has unusual presence of multiple lysine residues. RRF_{mt} NTE residues Ala62 and Lys63 interact with the 16S rRNA H89 base U2979 (Fig. 5B), and residues Gly64 and Gin67 is positioned very close to the neighboring base U2980. It should be noted that H89, along with the poorly resolved 59-aa segment of the NTE (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B), extends up to the GTPase-associated center of the L7/L12 stalk base (50), and these interactions might influence the subsequence EF-G_{2mt}-catalyzed GTP hydrolysis step. Unlike the 70S ribosomal recycling, GTP hydrolysis on EF-G_{2mt} is not required for the splitting of the 55S ribosome, and the hydrolysis reaction is only necessary for the release of EF-G_{2mt} from the mitoribosome (38). A strategically placed lysine residue Lys59 from the NTE interacts with the backbone phosphates of bases G3054 and U3055 on the 16S rRNA H90 through charge-based interactions (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, all three rRNA helices are part of the domain V of 23S rRNA that comprise the highly-conserved PTC (Fig. 5C and D), whereas helices H89–93 constitute the region of domain V that is known for stabilizing the elongation factor-binding region of the 70S ribosome (43). Simultaneous interactions of the RRF_{mt} NTE with three 16S rRNA helices that have been implicated in EF-G binding (H90, H92) and GTP hydrolysis (H89) would have direct effect on the subsequent EF-G_{2mt}-mediated steps during the mitoribosome recycling process.

In conclusion, our study provides direct visualization and structure of the human mitoribosome-bound human RRF_{mt} and its mito-specific NTE, and their interactions with the functionally relevant components of the mitoribosome. These include both A and P loops of the PTC (20) and components of the PTC that directly communicate with the GTPase-associated center of the large ribosomal subunit. Our observation that the mito-specific RRF_{mt} NTE spans across A and P loops, encompassing the entrance of the nascent polypeptide-exit tunnel, suggests that NTE interaction with the mitoribosome ensures a complete inaccessibility to tRNAs and other ligands to both PTC and the...
entrance-nascent polypeptide-exit tunnel of the mitoribosome. This situation is in sharp contrast to that in bacterial RRF, which directly interacts only with the P loop. Extensive interactions between the RRF<sub>mt</sub> and mitoribosome are a feature of RRF<sub>mt</sub>-mediated mitoribosome recycling. The presence of a density corresponding to tRNA in the pe/E state is also in contrast to the situation in analogous eubacterial complex, which carries a deacylated tRNA in the pe state. Future structural studies involving EF-G<sub>mt</sub> should provide further insights into the precise functional roles of the interactions observed in the present study.

Materials and Methods

Referenced details of the Materials and Methods, including mitoribosome isolation, purification, overexpression and purification of RRF<sub>mt</sub> preparation of mitoribosome-RRF<sub>mt</sub> complex, cyto-EM data collection, image processing, and model building are provided in SI Appendix.
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