Fresh Parboiled Rice Hulls Serve as an Alternative to Perlite in Greenhouse Crop Substrates
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Abstract. Ten substrates were formulated by blending perlite or parboiled fresh rice hulls (PFH) at 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, or 60% (v/v) with sphagnum peat. After 6 weeks, NH4+ concentrations were not significantly different among substrates containing perlite and those containing equivalent amounts of PFH. Nitrate concentrations were significantly higher in the 40% perlite substrate than in the 40% PFH substrate, but there were no significant differences in NO3− concentrations among the remaining substrates containing equivalent amounts of PFH or perlite. When tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) was grown in the substrates for 5 weeks, tissue N concentrations were not significantly different between equivalent perlite and PFH-containing substrates. Non-parboiled fresh rice hulls produced organically contained a higher number of viable weed seeds than non-parboiled fresh rice hulls produced conventionally. No weed seeds germinated in the PFH. ‘Better Boy’ tomato, ‘Bonanza Yellow’ marigold (Tagetes patula L. French M.), ‘Orbit Cardinal’ geranium (Pelargonium × hortorum L.H. Bailey), ‘Cooler Blush’ vinca (Catharanthus roseus L.G. Don), ‘Dazzler Rose Star’ impatiens (Impatiens walleriana Hook., f.) and ‘Bingo Azure’ pansy (Viola × wittrockiana Gams) were grown in sphagnum peat-based substrates containing perlite or PFH at 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35% and 40% (v/v). Dry root weights of vinca and geranium were not significantly different among plants grown in the substrates. Tomato plants grown in 10%, 15%, 25%, 30%, and 35% PFH had significantly higher dry root weights than those grown in equivalent perlite-containing substrates. Impatiens grown in 35% PFH had higher dry root weights than those grown in 35% perlite. Marigold grown in 20% perlite had higher dry root weights than those grown in 20% PFH. However, there were no significant differences in impatiens or marigold dry root weights among the remaining substrates containing equivalent amounts of PFH or perlite. Dry root weights of pansy grown in 10%, 20%, 25%, 35%, and 40% perlite were not significantly different from those grown in equivalent PFH-containing substrates. Across substrates, root dry weights of impatiens, marigold, and pansy grown in perlite-containing substrates were not significantly different from those grown in PFH-containing substrates. No significant difference in dry shoot weights of vinca, geranium, impatiens, and marigold occurred between equivalent perlite and PFH-containing substrates. Tomato plants grown in 20% to 40% perlite had significantly higher dry shoot weights than those grown in equivalent PFH-containing substrates. However, dry shoot weights of tomato plants grown in 10% to 15% perlite were not significantly different from those grown in equivalent PFH-containing substrates. Dry shoot weights of pansy grown in 10%, 25%, 30%, 35%, and 40% perlite were not significantly different from those grown in equivalent PFH substrates.

Artificial substrates are commonly used in the production of containerized greenhouse and nursery crops (Bunt, 1988; Nelson, 1998). Substrates are formulated from various organic and inorganic components to provide suitable physical and chemical properties as required by the specific crop and growing conditions (Bunt, 1988). An important physical property of artificial substrates is air-filled pore space. Air-filled pores allow for drainage and gas exchange between the root environment and the outside atmosphere (Bunt, 1988). Various materials are used to provide for air-filled pore space in artificial substrates, with the most common being perlite. Perlite is an inorganic expanded aluminosilicate of volcanic origin (Nelson, 1998) and is produced by mining the ore, grinding the crude ore to the desired particle size, and heating it to temperatures of up to 982 °C. Heating causes the ore to expand from 4 to 20 times its original volume, resulting in a lightweight, white, porous particle (Hanan, 1998). Because it is associated with mining, transportation, and heating, perlite is a relatively expensive substrate component. In addition to its cost, in its dry state perlite produces a siliceous dust that is classified as an eye and lung irritant. Other less-costly substrate components that are not irritants and could provide for air-filled pore space in the substrate would be beneficial to the horticulture industry.

Rice hulls are available in large volumes as a waste product of the rice milling industry. It was estimated that 34 million tons of fresh rice hulls were produced annually in the United States (Kamath and Proctor, 1998). Fresh rice hulls had a total pore space of 89%, while that of coarse perlite (6.0–8.0 mm) was 74% and fine perlite (1.0–2.0 mm) was 76% (Hanan, 1998). Air-filled porosity of fresh rice hulls was 69%, while that of perlite (1.0–2.0 mm) was 54%. Thus, fresh rice hulls had a higher total and air-filled pore space than perlite, and could provide for drainage and air-filled pore space in the substrate. Since rice hulls are generally considered an agricultural waste, they potentially have a low market value and could be less expensive than perlite.

Although aged, carbonized, and composted rice hulls have been used to a limited extent as an alternative to sphagnum peat and aged bark (Cadell, 1988; Einert, 1972; Einert and Baker, 1973; Kampf and Jung, 1991; Laiche and Nash, 1990), fresh rice hulls have typically been avoided as a substrate component due to anecdotal claims that rice hulls cause nitrogen depletion. However, there are no data to support this claim. Additionally, fresh rice hulls have often been contaminated with rice seeds and thus present a weed problem for growers. However, parboiled fresh rice hulls (PFH) have been subjected to sterilizing temperatures and should not contain viable weed seeds.

Therefore, the objectives of this research were to: 1) determine if nitrogen depletion or weed seeds would present a problem for growers using PFH as a perlite replacement; and 2) evaluate plant growth in sphagnum peat-based substrates in which the perlite was replaced with PFH.

Materials and Methods

Experiment 1: Ammonium and nitrate levels of perlite and parboiled rice hull-containing substrates. Parboiled fresh rice hulls (PFH) were obtained from Riceland Foods (Stuttgart, Ark.). Perlite and sphagnum peat were obtained from SunGro Horticulture (Bellevue, Wash.). Calcitic lime was added to the sphagnum peat to adjust the initial pH to ≈5.4 prior to mixing of the substrates.

Ten substrates were formulated by blending perlite or PFH at 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, or 60% (v/v) with the sphagnum peat substrate. Substrates were placed into 10-cm (600-mL) plastic containers and transferred to a glass-glazed greenhouse. Greenhouse air temperatures were maintained between 20 and 25 °C and light levels ranged from 350 to 525 μmol·s−1·m−2 at 12:00 HR. Containers were irrigated with 60 mL of a solution containing 200 mg·L−1 N using a 15N–2.2P–12.5K fertilizer [Excel 15–5–15 Cal Mag (Scots, Marysville, Ohio)]. This water-soluble fertilizer contained 1.2% N–NH4+, 11.75% N–NO3−, and 2.05% N–CO(NH2)2. This volume of solution was used to maintain a moist substrate but to minimize leaching. Although substrates differed at different rates, all containers were irrigated at the same interval.
time and received the same number of irrigations. Irrigation occurred on average at 5-d intervals (eight irrigations during experiment) and the final irrigation was applied 6 d before substrate samples were taken. Three samples of each substrate were taken after 0 (initiation of experiment) and 6 weeks (termination of experiment) and NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations determined for each substrate.

Substrate NH$_4^+$ concentrations were determined using the nitroprusside-salicilicate procedure (Wall et al., 1975), while NO$_3^-$ concentrations were determined using the copperized cadmium reduction procedure (Keeney and Nelson, 1982). An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to determine if significant differences in NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations occurred among the substrates. Where significant differences occurred, single-degree-of-freedom contrasts were conducted to determine if significant differences occurred among substrates containing equivalent amounts of PFH and perlite.

Experiment 2: Tissue nitrogen of tomato grown in perlite and parboiled fresh rice hull-containing substrates. Protocols and environmental conditions were as described for Experiment 1 except where indicated. Four-leaf (including cotyledons) plugs (seedlings in 288-cell plastic plug trays with 5 mL volume per plug cell) of ‘Better Boy’ tomato were transplanted into 10-cm plastic containers filled with the substrates described for Experiment 1. Irrigation occurred on average at 2-d intervals. Shoots were harvested after 5 weeks, dried, and total N determined using the nitalalic acid method (Cataldo et al., 1975). An ANOVA was conducted to determine if significant differences in NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations occurred among the substrates. Where significant differences occurred, single-degree-of-freedom contrasts were conducted to determine if significant differences occurred between substrates containing equivalent amounts of PFH and perlite.

Experiment 3: Occurrence of weeds in nonparboiled and parboiled fresh rice hull products. Non-parboiled fresh rice hulls from organically grown rice (ORH) were obtained from RiceLand Foods (Stuttgurt, Ark.). Non-parboiled fresh rice hulls from organically grown rice (ORH) were obtained from Lowell Farms (Beaumont, Texas). The three rice hull products were placed into 54 cm $\times$ 27 cm $\times$ 6 cm plastic flats to a depth of 2.5 cm (3.6 L of rice hulls). Flats were placed into a glass-glazed greenhouse. Greenhouse air temperatures were maintained between 24 and 32 $^\circ$C, and light levels ranged from 400 to 600 µmol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$ at 12:00 noon. Immediately after being placed into the greenhouse, all containers were drenched with 50 mL of 15% etridiazole and 25% thiophenate-methyl (Banrot$^\text{TM}$; Scotts) fungicide at the recommended label rate. Plants were fertilized at each irrigation with a 200 mg·L$^{-1}$ solution using 15N–2.2P–12.5K water-soluble fertilizer. Irrigation was conducted as required to maintain moist substrates.

The number of weeds germinating per container was recorded. After 5 weeks for tomato and marigold, and 8 weeks for vinca, impatiens, geranium and pansy, the experiment was terminated. Dry shoot and root weights were determined. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with eight blocks and each substrate appearing once in each block. The experiment was repeated, but no significant time interaction occurred so data were pooled across time. An ANOVA was conducted to determine significant differences in weed numbers or plant weight occurring among the different substrates. Single-degree-of-freedom contrasts were conducted to determine significant differences between substrates containing equivalent weights of perlite and PFH.

Results and Discussion

Experiment 1: Ammonium and nitrate levels of perlite and parboiled rice hull-containing substrates. At the initiation of the experiment, NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the substrates ranged from 9.7 to 12.5 mg·L$^{-1}$ and 2.0 to 5.7 mg·L$^{-1}$, respectively (data not shown), and were not significantly different among substrates containing perlite and those containing equivalent amounts of PFH. After 6 weeks, NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the substrates ranged from 7.7 to 10.3 mg·L$^{-1}$ and 26.0 to 50.7 mg·L$^{-1}$, respectively (data not shown). Ammonium concentrations were not significantly different among substrates containing perlite and those containing equivalent amounts of PFH. Nitrate concentrations were significantly higher in the substrate containing 40% perlite than the substrate containing 40% PFH. However, there were no significant differences in NO$_3^-$ concentrations between substrates containing 20%, 30%, 50%, or 60% perlite and those containing equivalent amounts of PFH. Across all substrates, no significant difference in NH$_4^+$ or NO$_3^-$ substrate concentrations occurred between perlite and equivalent PFH-containing substrates under the conditions of this experiment.

Only the contrast for substrate NO$_3^-$ concentration of 40% perlite vs. 40% PFH was significant. Therefore, the significant difference between these two substrates may have been a data artifact.

Despite anecdotal reports of N depletion when fresh rice hulls were used in substrates, we found that under the conditions of this experiment, N depletion did not occur or occurred at too low a rate to significantly impact substrate NH$_4^+$ or NO$_3^-$ concentrations. Rice hulls are high in lignin, cutin, and insoluble silica and low in cellulose (Juliano et al., 1987). They are known to decompose very slowly, if at all, and would not be expected that PFH would cause significant N depletion. Additionally, associated with the first stage of decomposition of rice hulls (i.e., producing aged hulls) is the oxidative discoloration (“browning”) of the hulls. In this experiment, there was no visually apparent change in the color of the PFH. Therefore, PFH could be substituted for perlite in a substrate without significant N depletion occurring and without requiring changes to the mineral nutrition program.

Experiment 2: Tissue nitrogen of tomato grown in perlite and parboiled fresh rice hull-containing substrates. When maintained in the greenhouse for 5 weeks, tomato tissue N concentrations ranged from 2.1% to 3.3% and were not significantly different between equivalent perlite and PFH-containing substrates (data not shown). Lee et al. (2000a) reported that when pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) seedlings were grown in a substrate amended with fresh rice hulls, tissue N decreased as the amount of fresh rice hull in the substrate increased. When composted hulls were used, no decrease in tissue N was observed. The authors attributed the decrease in tissue N in fresh rice hull-containing substrates at least partially to N depletion. In our research, we found no significant reduction in tissue N when plants were grown in PFH-containing substrates as compared to equivalent perlite-containing substrates. In examining the data presented by Lee et al. (2000a), tissue N of pepper grown in up to 50% fresh rice hulls was higher or similar to the tissue N of peppers grown in substrates containing equivalent levels of composted hulls. Therefore, at rates of up to 50% fresh rice hulls, no evidence for N depletion by fresh rice hulls occurred.

Above 50% fresh rice hulls, they found that pepper tissue N decreased and was less than that for peppers grown in substrates containing equivalent levels of composted hulls. However, the differences in tissue N may not have been due to N depletion by fresh rice hulls but may have been due to changes in substrate physical properties. Regardless, the results from Lee et al. (2000a) concur with our results and support our findings that PFH can be used as a perlite
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substitute at rates of up to at least 40% (v/v) without impairing plant tissue N.

Experiment 3: Occurrence of weeds in nonparboiled and parboiled fresh rice hull products. Nonparboiled fresh rice hulls produced conventionally had an average of 0.8 weeds per 3.6 L of rice hulls, while ORH had 12.9 weeds per 3.6 L of rice hulls and was significantly higher than for conventionally grown rice hulls (data not shown). No weed seeds germinated in the PFH.

Both nonparboiled rice hull products contained viable weed seeds. All weeds in conventional hulled rice germinated from rice hulls remaining in the hulls after milling. Rice hulls from organically grown rice contained a variety of weeds including both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous species. The differences could likely be attributed to the production techniques that avoid the use of herbicides and allow higher levels of weeds in the field under organic rice production. These weed seeds would also be harvested with the rice and some would therefore contaminate the rice hulls. Therefore, although weed seeds were present in rice hulls, parboiling was effective at killing the weed seeds; thus, PFH would provide a weed-free source of rice hulls for use in horticultural substrates.

Experiment 4: Growth of annual species in perlite and parboiled fresh rice hull containing substrates. The average number of weeds per container ranged from 0 to 0.13 and was not significantly different among the substrates (data not shown). Dry root weights of vinca and geranium were not significantly different among plants grown in the different substrates (Table 1). Dry root weights of tomato, impatiens, marigold, and pansy were significantly different among plants grown in the different substrates. Tomato plants grown in 10%, 15%, 25%, 30%, and 35% PFH had significantly higher dry root weights than those grown in equivalent perlite-containing substrates. Across substrates, tomato plants grown in PFH-containing substrates had higher dry root weights than those grown in perlite-containing substrates. Impatiens plants grown in 35% perlite had higher dry root weights than those grown in 35% PFH, but dry root weights of impatiens grown in 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, and 40% perlite were not significantly different from those grown in equivalent PFH substrates. Marigold plants grown in 20% perlite had higher dry root weights than those grown in substrate containing 20% PFH, but dry root weights of marigold grown in 10%, 15%, 25%, 30%, and 35%, and 40% perlite were not significantly different from those grown in equivalent PFH-containing substrates. Pansy plants grown in 15% perlite had higher dry root weights than those grown in 15% PFH, but pancy plants grown in 30% PFH had higher dry root weights than those grown in 30% perlite. Dry root weights of pancy grown in 10%, 20%, 25%, 30%, and 40% perlite were not significantly different from those grown in equivalent PFH-containing substrates. Across substrates, root dry weights of impatiens, marigold, and pansy grown in perlite-containing substrates were not significantly different from those grown in PFH-containing substrates.

Dry shoot weights of all species were significantly different among plants grown in the different substrates (Table 2). No significant difference in dry shoot weights of vinca, geranium, impatiens and marigold occurred between equivalent perlite and PFH-containing substrates. Tomato plants grown in 20% to 40% perlite had significantly higher dry shoot weights than those grown in equivalent PFH-containing substrates. However, dry shoot weights of tomato grown in 10% to 15% perlite were not significantly different from those grown in equivalent PFH-containing substrates. Across substrates, tomato grown in perlite substrates had higher dry shoot weights of tomato grown in equivalent perlite-containing substrates. "NS" ***Non-significant or significant at P > F = 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively.

| Substrate composition (%v/v) | Peat | Perlite | Rice hull | Vinca | Geranium | Tomato | Impatiens | Marigold | Pansy |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|-----------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|-------|
| 10% Perlite vs. 10% rice hull | ***  | ***     | ***       | ***  | ***      | ***    | ***       | ***      | ***   |
| 15% Perlite vs. 15% rice hull | ***  | ***     | ***       | ***  | ***      | ***    | ***       | ***      | ***   |
| 20% Perlite vs. 20% rice hull | ***  | ***     | ***       | ***  | ***      | ***    | ***       | ***      | ***   |
| 25% Perlite vs. 25% rice hull | ***  | ***     | ***       | ***  | ***      | ***    | ***       | ***      | ***   |
| 30% Perlite vs. 30% rice hull | ***  | ***     | ***       | ***  | ***      | ***    | ***       | ***      | ***   |
| 35% Perlite vs. 35% rice hull | ***  | ***     | ***       | ***  | ***      | ***    | ***       | ***      | ***   |
| 40% Perlite vs. 40% rice hull | ***  | ***     | ***       | ***  | ***      | ***    | ***       | ***      | ***   |
| Perlite vs. rice hull       | ***  | ***     | ***       | ***  | ***      | ***    | ***       | ***      | ***   |

| Significance df             |                                           |                                           |                                           |                                           |                                           |                                           |                                           |                                           |                                           |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Substrate                   | Perlite                                   | Rice hull                                 | Vinca                                     | Geranium                                  | Tomato                                    | Impatiens                                  | Marigold                                  | Pansy                                     |                                           |
| 10% Perlite vs. 10% rice hull | NS                                        | NS                                       | ***                                       | ***                                       | ***                                       | ***                                       | ***                                       | ***                                       | ***                                       |
| 15% Perlite vs. 15% rice hull | NS                                        | NS                                       | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | **                                        |
| 20% Perlite vs. 20% rice hull | NS                                        | NS                                       | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | ***                                       | ***                                       |
| 25% Perlite vs. 25% rice hull | NS                                        | NS                                       | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        |
| 30% Perlite vs. 30% rice hull | NS                                        | NS                                       | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        |
| 35% Perlite vs. 35% rice hull | NS                                        | NS                                       | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        |
| 40% Perlite vs. 40% rice hull | NS                                        | NS                                       | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        |
| Perlite vs. rice hull        | NS                                        | NS                                       | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        | NS                                        |

Calcitic limestone was added to the peat to adjust pH to 5.4 prior to use. Perlite was 6–8 mm horticultural grade and rice hulls were fresh parboiled rice hulls.

Table 2. Dry shoot weight (mg) of tomato and marigold after 5 weeks, and vinca, geranium, impatiens, and pansy after 8 weeks grown in peat-based substrates amended with perlite or fresh parboiled rice hulls.

| Substrate composition (%v/v) | Peat | Perlite | Rice hull | Vinca | Geranium | Tomato | Impatiens | Marigold | Pansy |
|------------------------------|------|---------|-----------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|-------|
| 10% Perlite vs. 10% rice hull | NS   | NS      | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS     | NS        | NS       | NS    |
| 15% Perlite vs. 15% rice hull | NS   | NS      | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS     | NS        | NS       | NS    |
| 20% Perlite vs. 20% rice hull | NS   | NS      | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS     | NS        | NS       | NS    |
| 25% Perlite vs. 25% rice hull | NS   | NS      | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS     | NS        | NS       | NS    |
| 30% Perlite vs. 30% rice hull | NS   | NS      | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS     | NS        | NS       | NS    |
| 35% Perlite vs. 35% rice hull | NS   | NS      | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS     | NS        | NS       | NS    |
| 40% Perlite vs. 40% rice hull | NS   | NS      | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS     | NS        | NS       | NS    |
| Perlite vs. rice hull        | NS   | NS      | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS     | NS        | NS       | NS    |

Calcitic limestone was added to the peat to adjust pH to 5.4 prior to use. Perlite was 6–8 mm horticultural grade and rice hulls were fresh parboiled rice hulls.
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weights than those grown in PFH substrates. Pansy plants grown in 15% and 20% perlite had significantly higher dry shoot weights than those grown in equivalent PFH substrates. However, dry shoot weights of pansy grown in 10%, 25%, 30%, 35%, and 40% perlite were not significantly different from those grown in equivalent PFH substrates. Overall, no significant difference occurred between dry shoot weights of pansy grown in perlite and PFH substrates.

Lee et al. (2000a, 2000b) reported reduced growth of pepper seedlings grown in substrates containing fresh rice hulls. However, we found that although some contrasts of equivalent perlite and PFH-containing substrates were significant, in most cases, dry root and dry shoot weights of plants grown in perlite and PFH-containing substrates were not significantly different. Where significant differences occurred, the difference was not high enough to be of commercial significance. Additionally, all plants produced in PFH-containing substrates were of marketable quality. Therefore, based upon plant growth, PFH could be effectively used as a lower cost substitute for perlite in greenhouse substrates without a significant reduction in growth or plant quality.
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