Case Report

Breast hamartoma: Unusual radiological presentation
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ABSTRACT

Breast hamartomas are uncommon slowly growing lesions. Imaging findings are specific and usually relay on common mammographic picture of breast within breast appearance. I present a case of 48 years female patient, with recent history of palpable right breast mass, the imaging findings are atypical for breast hamartoma. The unusual presentation of breast hamartomas necessitates biopsy to exclude tumor. Incidence of malignancy is low, however has been reported before. Characteristic radiological imaging can help to minimize unnecessary surgery and morbidity.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington.
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Case report

A 48-years-old woman presented with a 1-week history of right breast mass. She has two children aged 19 and 15 years old. The menstruation is irregular with menarche was at 13 years old. She is not taking any hormonal medication. There is no family of breast cancer but father was with pancreatic cancer, paternal grandmother was with uterine cancer. Mother was with lung cancer. There are no other diseases, no allergies, no regular medication. No history of chronic medication was given, especially the oral contraceptive pill. She had no history of breast trauma. On examination, there was about 10 cm mass in the right upper lateral quadrant at 9-11 o’clock and about 3 cm from the areola, the mass is mobile against the skin and underlying tissue. The nipples and skin are unremarkable, no discharge. There are no enlarged axillary lymph nodes in either axilla or supraclavicular regions.

Standard full field digital mammography (FFDM) and ultrasound (US) were requested and reveals the followings: Regarding mammography: A circumscribed rounded high density heterogeneous mass surrounded by a thin capsule was noted at upper outer quadrant of the right breast around 9-10 o’clock. No overlying suspicious microcalcification, no skin thickening or edema (no acute inflammation) (Fig. 1). Ultrasound reveals an ill-defined rounded-shaped heterogeneous predominantly solid mass lesion with variable sized and shaped cystic areas is seen at the upper outer quadrant of right breast measures 6.0 × 4.0 × 3.5 cm in maximum diameters shows scanty internal vascularity within (Fig. 2), no associated suspicious axillary lymph nodes, the imaging features conclude B-IRADS 4 lesion and biopsy is requested.

Further management with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the breast shows; A large right breast mass lesion is seen at the deep retro areolar region encroaching the upper outer quadrant around 9-10 o’clock position measures
wise, scattered aggregates of adipocytes and smooth muscle fibers are also present. No ductal epithelial hyperplasia is seen within the lesion. No benign microcalcification is noted. No focal stromal cell hyperplasia, significant cellular pleomorphism or significant mitotic activities are found. No atypia is seen. Neither in-situ nor invasive malignancy found. Biopsy results conclude the lesion is a hamartoma of the breast.

After US-guided core biopsy, the patient is admitted for right breast wide local excision of the mass. The patient returns for follow-up after excision of a large hamartoma in the right breast, the scar is well-healed, no palpable masses or lymph nodes, no skin or nipple changes, no nipple discharge.

Neither the mammogram nor the MRI shows the typical description of usual hamartoma. The regular hamartomas in mammogram usually gives the typical term of breast within breast appearance with large radiolucent component representing the fat component which is not found in our case. The second unusual look is the MRI images especially of T1WIs that fat SI supposed to be bright and in this case it showed almost homogenous low SI of fibroadenoid tissue and fine imperceptible steak of fat component seen. The preserved regular outline and smooth capsule with mobility during examination raise the benign criteria in this mass.

Discussion

Breast hamartomas are uncommon benign slowly growing well circumscribed breast lesions. They are also known as fibroadenolipomas [1,2,3], they were initially termed “hamartomas” by M G Arrigoni et al. in 1971 [4,3].

They typically seen in middle aged women older than 35 years of age [5,6] with incidence around 4.8% overall benign breast lesions, however the incidence likely increased due to breast cancer screening programs [6].

Breast hamartomas presentation commonly came up as asymptomatic, others presented by painless soft breast lump or unilateral breast enlargement without a palpable mass [4].

On physical examination, lesions are usually soft, mobile, and easy to compress [4]. They can be easily mistaken with other benign lesions such as fibroadenoma or phyllodes [2].

Regarding pathology, all components of hamartomas are normal component of normal breast tissue even fibrocystic foci can be seen within them similar to normal breast tissue that is why named hamartoma [7,2,3]. The term fibroadenolipoma refers to a benign proliferation process of fibrous, glandular, and fatty tissue surrounded by a thin capsule of connective tissue likely of pseudo capsule rather that true one. Cowden syndrome is a kind of syndrome associated with multiple hamartomas [7,2,3]. This is why it difficult to be diagnosed pathologically as its pathological appearance is similar to that of normal breast tissue on fine needle aspiration [2].

Biopsy is important to prove that these lesions are benign and not to be mistaken for other lesions [2]. The carcinoma is rarely to arise within hamartoma; few cases are reported with invasive ductal carcinoma arising within a breast hamartoma. It is believed that no recall is required in classical look of hamartoma in mammogram; however, lesions with abnormal growth pattern and atypical features a biopsy is needed.
Fig. 3 – Axial T1WI spin echo nonfat-suppressed unenhanced image (TR/TE, 260/2) shows a rounded shape, circumscribed mass with predominant low signal intensity and fine streak of high SI at most anterior part (arrow) (a). Axial nonfat-suppressed fast spin echo T2-weighted MRI (TR/TE, 4800/79) shows a mass with mixed signal intensity (b). Axial STIR images shows the mass with mixed signal intensity and thin streak noted anteriorly of fat suppression corresponding to high signal seen in T1WIs (arrow) (c). Axial DWIs (d) and ADC mapping (e) show no diffusion restriction. Axial dynamic contrast-enhanced fat-suppressed T1-weighted image shows intense heterogeneous enhancement (f) confirmed by dynamic contrast-enhanced maximum intensity projection (MIP) images (g).

as breast carcinoma can develop within hamartoma [3]. Concomitant presence of phyllodes tumors and hamartoma of the breast has been reported [8].

Hamartomas are typically large at presentation time. The mammographic picture usually typical and presented by a well-circumscribed, round or oval mixed density solid mass (of both fat and fibroglandular elements - the fat component appears radiolucent and the soft tissue component appears radiodense) surrounded by a thin pseudocapsule. The classic description is the term breast within a breast appearance [7,9,3]. In case hamartoma contains more fibroglandular tissue, it will appear to be dense; which can be mistaken with fibroadenoma [10,3]. In cases with dense breast, it appears as heterogeneously increased asymmetric density without pseudocapsule and will be difficult to be detected [11,12].

In US, picture ranges from well encapsulated and well defined isoechoic solid mildly heterogeneous mass (with both hyperechoic and hypoechoic components) to ill-defined mass resembling normal breast tissue, the margins are often difficult to delineate. It may show intralausal microcalcification.
It is difficult to be measured in case pseudocapsule is not apparent [3,5,6,13,14].

On MRI, hamartomas are usually seen as well-demarcated masses with heterogeneous structure and SI, displaying variable degree of intensity on T1WIs and T2WIs based on proportion of fibrous, glandular, and fatty tissue [3,15,16].

Due to its characteristic imaging appearance the diagnosis of hamartoma supposed to be straightforward. In rare cases like our case, a differential diagnosis may include the followings: Fibroadenoma and phyllodes tumor (both of them should not contain internal fat), lipoma (homogenous fat with imperceptible soft tissue component) [3,4,17].

**Conclusion**

Breast hamartomas are uncommon slowly growing breast lesions with characteristic radiological appearance. Unusual presented cases can be mistaken with fibroadenoma, phyllodes tumor, and breast cancer. The unusual presentation and rare incidence of concomitant malignancy require biopsy to reach diagnosis. Characteristic radiological imaging can help to minimize unnecessary surgery and morbidity.
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