Insights into Current Management Strategies for Dysthyroid Optic Neuropathy: A Review
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Abstract: Dysthyroid optic neuropathy (DON) is a potentially sight-threatening eye disease associated with Graves’ orbitopathy (GO). DON is not common in GO patients, reportedly occurring in only about 5% of patients. The pathogenesis of severe DON is considered to involve both muscular nerve strangulation and impaired blood flow. There is some objective grading of physical examination findings and the severity of GO, including a clinical activity score (CAS) and EUropean Group On Graves’ Orbitopathy (EUGOGO), but no specialized protocol completely characterizes DON. Most clinicians have decided that the combination of clinical activity findings, including visual acuity, color vision, and central critical fusion frequency, and radiological findings, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), can be used to diagnose DON. MRI has the most useful findings, with T2-weighted and fat-suppressed images using short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) sequences enabling detection of extraocular changes including muscle and/orbital fat tissue swelling and inflammation and, therefore, disease activity. The first-choice treatment for DON is intravenous administration of steroids, with or without radiotherapy. Unfortunately, refractoriness to this medical treatment may indicate the need for immediate orbital decompression within 2 weeks. Especially in the acute phase of DON, thyroid function is often unstable, and the surgeon must always assume the risk of general anesthesia and intra- and post-operative management. In addition, there are currently many possible therapeutic options, including molecular-targeted drugs. The early introduction and combination of these immunomodulators, including Janus kinase inhibitors and insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor antibody (teprotumumab), may be effective for GO with DON. However, this is still under investigation, and the number of case reports is small. It is possible that these options could reduce systemic adverse events due to unfocused glucocorticoid administration. The pathophysiology of DON is not yet fully understood, and further studies of its treatment and long-term visual function prognosis are needed.
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Introduction

Graves’ orbitopathy (GO) is a potentially sight-threatening eye disease, particularly with the associated dysthyroid optic neuropathy (DON).¹–³ DON may also cause loss of vision in patients with GO.⁴–⁶ DON is not common in GO patients, having been reported to occur in only about 5% of patients.⁷ The 2016 European Thyroid Association/European Group on Graves’ Orbitopathy Guidelines for the Management of Graves’ Orbitopathy (EUGOGO) recommended treatment with high-dose (0.5–1.0 g/day) intravenous steroids for 1 or 2 weeks. If there is poor treatment response, prompt orbital decompression is required.⁸ Regarding orbital decompression, various methods and options have become available in the last 20 years, and there are reports that the long-term prognosis is also acceptable.⁹–¹² Currently, there is no consensus on the most appropriate evaluation, but new reports on evaluations of GO are being published regularly.¹³–¹⁵

Detailed evaluation of the use of the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor antibody (IGF-IR) for DON has not yet been performed, but clinical trials and reports have shown better outcomes with respect to proptosis, Clinical Activity Score (CAS), and quality of life.¹⁶–¹⁸

In this paper, the current management strategies for DON are presented and reviewed.
Epidemiology of DON

DON is not common in GO patients, and reportedly only occurs in about 5% of patients. In addition, the DON diagnosis might be missed in patients without proptosis. GO occurs before, during, and after the onset of hyperthyroidism, but less often in patients with hyperthyroidism or hypothyroidism. As an example of this complexity, the median CAS for this series was 4/7, compared to 3 or less in more than 25% of DON patients. Indeed, the diagnosis is often unclear. In previous series, only moderate soft tissue signs were reported in DON patients. The visual acuity of 12 eyes with a CAS of 4 or less was 0.5 or less. The sex distribution of GO patients shows a female-to-male ratio of 5:1. In other words, patients with DON are more likely to be male and over 60 years of age.

Risk Factors for DON

Several genes involved in immunomodulation, thyroid metabolism, and other mechanisms are involved in the etiology of GO, but none have been found to be necessary, sufficient, or specific for the development of DON. In particular, there are many reports that human leucocyte antigen (HLA) gene polymorphisms and, specifically, amino-acid signatures of HLA are associated with the development of thyroid autoimmune diseases and GO. Okada et al reported HLA imputation to genome-wide association study data for Graves’ disease in Japanese patients (n = 9003) and found that amino acid polymorphisms of multiple class I and class II HLA genes contributed independently to disease risk (HLA-DPB1, HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-DRB1; \( P < 2.3 \times 10^{-6} \)); HLA-DPB1 may have a prominent impact on disease development (\( P = 1.6 \times 10^{-42} \)). The relationship between HLA-DPB1 and GO and DON could be a meaningful direction to explore in the future.

Other risk factors are associated with GO, including age, sex, genetic background, smoking, and thyroid dysfunction including hyperthyroidism. These risk factors might also be associated with DON. Smoking, particularly being a current smoker, may be a risk factor for DON as well, although the evidence is not as overwhelming. A recent review of 604 patients with GO reported an odds ratio of 1.5 for current smokers developing DON, but it was not statistically significant. However, another retrospective study reported that smoking could be an important predictor in the development of both severe GO (OR = 6.5) and DON (OR = 10.0). There are multiple reports of high levels of thyroid autoantibodies, including thyroid stimulating hormone receptor antibody (TRAb) or thyroid stimulating antibody (TSAb), and the severity of DON, but the numbers were small in case series.

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is considered one of the risk factors for GO. In one study, though only 3.1% of patients with GO had DM, and 3.9% developed DON, 33.3% of GO patients with DM eventually developed DON. Of course, it is considered that there are many cases that need to be excluded, such as patients with diabetic optic neuropathy and those with secondary glaucoma, such as neovascular glaucoma.

Radioisotope (RI) therapy may increase the risk of GO progression compared to medication or surgical thyroidectomy. In other words, RI could increase DON risk, although this still requires further consideration.

Age and sex also appear to affect severe GO, including DON. Increased age correlates with increased severity of thyroid eye disease, and age may be one of the greatest risk factors for DON. Patients with DON are significantly older than patients with GO without DON, with an average age of 61 years. In another study, patients with GO developed DON, and patients with DON were older than those without DON (54 vs 46 years). Several other studies also showed a strong correlation between age and DON. For every decade increase in age of onset of GO, the odds of developing DON could increase by over about 60%. Aging might also affect the response to DON treatment, with younger age predicting a better visual outcome. Male sex has also been correlated with the development of DON, especially with aging.

Pathological Condition of DON

The pathogenesis of DON has been considered to involve mechanical and inflammatory aspects. The most widely accepted mechanism is secondary to an apex compartment syndrome due to orbital extraocular tissue with orbital fibroblasts increasing and extraocular muscle swelling compressing the optic nerve around the common tendinous ring.
Orbital fibroblasts mainly affect GO and DON.\textsuperscript{27} TSAb and TRAb serve as the autoimmune targets in GO, and antibody levels correlate with disease activity.\textsuperscript{38} Orbital fibroblasts in GO express higher hormone receptor levels compared to those in healthy subjects.\textsuperscript{39}

The IGF-IR is strongly expressed in GO orbital tissue.\textsuperscript{13,14} It forms a complex with the TSH receptor and takes control of downstream signaling.\textsuperscript{15} Teprotumumab is a fully humanized immunoglobulin G1 monoclonal inhibitory antibody that binds to the extracellular portion of IGF-IR and blocks its activation and signaling by endogenous ligands. The binding of teprotumumab also draws IGF-IR into the cell and induces its degradation. It also stimulates production of more than 90\% of the receptor proteins present on the cell surface.\textsuperscript{15} Doppler ultrasonography shows the superior ophthalmic vein as the most susceptible to changes, with decreased flow in severe orbitopathy.\textsuperscript{40} This mechanism suggests that one trigger for DON may be ischemia due to impaired blood flow. The pathogenesis of severe DON is considered to involve both muscular nerve strangulation and impaired blood flow.

**Clinical Diagnosis of DON**

There is some objective grading of physical examination findings and the severity of GO, including CAS and EUGOGO (Tables 1 and 2), but no specialized protocol completely characterizes DON.\textsuperscript{8,41}

Most clinicians have decided that the combination of clinical activity findings, including visual acuity, color vision, and central critical fusion frequency (CFF), and radiological findings, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), can be used to diagnose DON.\textsuperscript{9} Tissue enlargement in patients with DON often results in a local compartment phenomenon at the orbital apex, rather than severe proptosis, and patients with DON may not demonstrate clear orbital inflammation.\textsuperscript{42} Decreasing visual acuity is nonspecific, but it is decreased more in eyes with DON than in those with simple GO. Previous studies have shown less than 20/40 visual acuity in patients with DON compared to 97\% in patients without DON.\textsuperscript{33} In addition, afferent pupillary defects in GO patients with DON are very specific.\textsuperscript{33} However, they may be absent in bilateral DON, or they may occur for reasons other than DON.

Color vision changes represent an early sign of optic nerve compression. A recent article reported that blue-yellow (tritan) deficiency is a sensitive indicator of dysthyroid optic neuropathy. Thus, in cases with suspected DON, a color vision test for tritan deficiency is an essential tool for prompt and correct assessment of DON.\textsuperscript{43}

| Table 1 Clinical Activity Score (CAS) |
|--------------------------------------|
| **Initial exam (max score of 7 points)**<sup>•</sup> |
| Ocular or retrobulbar pain |
| Pain with eye movement |
| Eyelid erythema |
| Eyelid swelling |
| Conjunctival chemosis |
| Conjunctival erythema |
| Swelling/erythema of caruncle |
| **Subsequent exam, 1–3 months later (max score of 10 points, combining parameters above and below)**<sup>•</sup> |
| ≥2-mm increase in proptosis |
| Impaired ductions in any one direction >8 degrees |
| ≥1 line decrease in Snellen visual acuity chart |

**Notes:** Presence of each sign receives 1 point. A sum of the scores greater than 3/7 at the first exam or greater than 4/10 in subsequent examinations defines active ophthalmopathy. Reprinted with permission from Mourits MP, Prummel MF, Wiersinga WM, Koornneef L. Clinical activity score as a guide in the management of patients with Graves’ ophthalmopathy. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 1997;47(1):9–14. Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford.\textsuperscript{41}
MRI has the most useful findings. T2-weighted and fat-suppressed images using STIR sequences enable detection of extraocular muscle/orbital fat and stromal tissue inflammation, and, therefore, STIR-MRI reflects disease activity. This makes MRI ideal for distinguishing active inflammatory GO from fibrotic late-stage disease and is critical for determining the type and the timing for those needing immediate treatment (Figure 1).

Computed tomography (CT) is better at evaluating bony orbital anatomy, so it may be critically useful for surgical planning, including urgent orbital decompression.

### Table 2 Classification of the Severity of Graves' Orbitopathy (GO)

| Classification                  | Features                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mild GO                         | Patients whose features of GO have only a minor impact on daily life that have insufficient impact to justify immunomodulation or surgical treatment. They usually have one or more of the following:  |
|                                 | • minor lid retraction (<2 mm)                                           |
|                                 | • mild soft-tissue involvement                                         |
|                                 | • exophthalmos                                                          |
|                                 | • <3 mm above normal for race and gender                                |
|                                 | • no or intermittent diplopia and corneal exposure responsive to lubricants |
| Moderate-to-severe GO           | Patients without sight-threatening GO whose eye disease has sufficient impact on daily life to justify the risks of immunosuppression (if active) or surgical intervention (if inactive). They usually have two or more of the following: |
|                                 | • lid retraction ≥2 mm                                                  |
|                                 | • moderate or severe soft-tissue involvement                            |
|                                 | • exophthalmos ≥3 mm above normal for race and gender                  |
|                                 | • inconstant or constant diplopia                                        |
| Sight-threatening (very severe) GO | Patients with dysthyroid optic neuropathy and/or corneal breakdown    |

**Notes:** Reprinted with permission from Bartalena L, Baldeschi L, Boboridis K, et al. The 2016 European Thyroid Association/European Group on Graves’ orbitopathy guidelines for the management of Graves’ orbitopathy. *Eur Thyroid J*. 2016;5(1):9–26.

MRI findings of GO with DON (T2-weighted and fat-suppressed images using short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) sequences). Right: extraocular muscle swelling in both orbital cavity. Left: extraocular muscle swelling compressing the optic nerve around the common tendinous ring.
Intraocular Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) Changes of Visual Function in DON

Patients with DON have some variational scotomata and visual field defects. In recent years, OCT imaging findings of the retinal nerve fiber layer in eye diseases including glaucoma have been actively compared with visual fields and visual function. Some studies investigated the effects of optic nerve compression on the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness in eyes with DON. The visual prognosis of orbital decompression surgery in patients with DON has been studied. A previous article reported that RNFL thickness can be used as a prognostic factor for DON before decompression surgery. Another article reported that RNFL thickness in each quadrant was negatively correlated with postoperative changes in best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and visual field defects and positively correlated with changes of MD and P100 amplitude at 60 arcmin. These results suggest that OCT findings are correlated with visual function in DON.

The advantage of OCT findings for DON is that it can predict future visual acuity from the inside of the eye noninvasively, meaning it is likely to be used more often in the future.

Treatment Options for DON: Overview

The majority of GO patients have mild or moderate symptoms that can be improved with conservative treatment or less invasive treatment. For patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO, the first-choice treatment is intravenous administration of steroids, with or without radiotherapy. Unfortunately, refractoriness to this medical treatment may indicate the immediate need for orbital decompression within 2 weeks, especially in the acute phase of DON. Based on previous studies, the first-line treatment of DON is high-dose (500 or 1000 mg/day) intravenous methylprednisolone for three consecutive days or during the first week, which can be repeated for another one week (Figure 2). When considering treatment, hyperthyroidism needs to be taken into account, since dysthyroidism may precipitate disease progression, and the treatment range or intensity may affect disease activity, though this might still be unclear. Other immunosuppressive and biologic options, such as methotrexate, rapamycin, adalimumab, rituximab, and teprotumumab have been discussed, but they are generally not considered first-line therapies. Rehabilitative surgery including strabismus surgery is best performed in the inactive disease phase, but it may be considered an urgent surgical treatment option for cases of DON refractory to medical treatment.

There are currently some suggested guidelines for treating DON. The American Society of Ophthalmic and Reconstructive Surgery (ASOPRS) members chose both oral (about 40% of members) and IV (about 50% of members) steroids as first-line treatments for severe GO. In contrast, Japanese physicians prefer IV over oral steroids as first-line

![Figure 2](https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S284609)
therapy. ASOPRS members also chose orbital decompression (83%), RT (70%), biologic agents (33%), and intraorbital local steroid injections (28%). The treatment of sight-threatening GO could consist of a combination of these treatments, including urgent orbital decompression.

**Medical Treatment**

Steroid pulse or high-dose IV 0.5–1.0 g per day glucocorticoid is standard first-line treatment of GO with DON, based on objective ophthalmic examination (visual acuity, CFF, visual fields, color vision). A higher cumulative dose of over 7.5 g (starting with 0.5–1.0 g as a single IV dose) is only considered for the most severe cases within the spectrum of severe active-phase GO including DON, as the higher dose bears a higher risk of drug-induced adverse events.

At present, no significant difference between 0.5 g and 1 g has been confirmed, but it is necessary to first give 1 g of steroid pulse for 1 week and confirm the result. If there is an improvement in visual function in one week, a steroid pulse in the second week may be acceptable management. However, there should be no hesitation to perform urgent surgical treatment, even if the patient is not refractory to medical treatment. In addition, the authors argue that 3 courses (9 g) of steroid pulse therapy, 1.0 g per day, should be given very carefully due to the problems of systemic adverse events.

Orbital RT for GO has been reported in several randomized, controlled trials (RCTs), which found it to be more effective than sham irradiation in improving diplopia and ductions, although its efficacy was limited in two other RCTs. In another RCT, orbital RT was found to be as effective as oral prednisone, and other RCTs have shown that orbital RT synergistically potentiates the effects of oral steroids. Even in RCTs, the synergistic effect of the combined use of steroids and RT is not clear. Future studies might address the question of whether the combination of steroid pulse or high-dose IV 0.5–1.0 g per day of glucocorticoids and RT might represent a first-line, combined treatment for active GO.

We have a possible strategy of adding orbital RT as much as possible in acute GO with or without DON (Figure 2).

**Surgical Management**

The essence of urgent surgery for DON is to decrease orbital soft tissue compression and/or expand bony volume to decompress the optic nerve at the orbital apex. Orbital fat can be removed from any location within the orbit, and bony expansion can occur along any orbital wall. The inferomedial wall extends deeper to the apex, so that it is usually considered the first approach to decompress apex compression; in addition, lateral wall bone removal and/or fat-only removal may also decompress the apex. Several approaches to the medial wall have been described, including transantral, transcutaneous, endonasal, and transcaruncular approaches. A previous report showed that, in patients with DON, an enlarged orbital fat compartment may be one of the causes of optic neuropathy, and fat decompression could be an alternative surgical procedure to bony decompression.

However, a small RCT showed that DON patients who underwent immediate decompression did not have a better outcome than those given IV glucocorticoids as first-line treatment. In addition, this previous report discussed the complications of orbital surgery; a total of 1665 patients underwent orbital surgery, with 14 patients sustaining severe vision loss (overall risk, 0.84%), and the risk of a blinding surgical complication was significantly higher for patients with optic canal decompression surgery (risk, 15%). The endoscopic endonasal approach effectively treats DON, but it may induce new diplopia in about 70% of cases, and endoscopic endonasal decompression might be difficult in inferior-medial hard bony decompression.

Especially in the acute phase of DON, thyroid function is often unstable, and the surgeon must always consider the risk of general anesthesia and intraoperative and postoperative management. Considering this, it may be a necessary or useful treatment option to perform medial (transcaruncular) decompression without deep lateral decompression within a few hours, since it requires a relatively short operation time, and to prevent thyroid storm by intensive management in a high care unit (HCU) for several days after urgent surgery.

**Possible New Treatment Strategy for DON**

In recent years, strong evidence has implicated the IGF-IR in the pathogenesis of GO. The safety and efficacy of tereprotumumab were evaluated sequentially in two RCTs, including 170 patients with active GO. Both trials had
similar designs, and patients were randomly assigned, with 83 patients receiving teprotumumab every 3 weeks IV for eight doses over 24 weeks and 87 patients receiving placebo. Seventy-three percent in the teprotumumab group (vs 14% in the placebo group) were overall responders, with both CAS and proptosis improvement. Individually, the proptosis response was much more common in the teprotumumab group. Recently, systematic analyses and off-treatment follow-up results from the two RCTs were published. Although the clinical use of teprotumumab for DON has not been sufficiently evaluated, it is considered to have become a very important future treatment option.

Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors are molecular agents that inhibit the JAK receptors. JAK-mediated pathways are involved in the pathogenesis of several autoimmune diseases including rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, Graves’ disease, and other immune-mediated inflammatory diseases and infectious disease with cytokine storm including COVID-19. There are some members of the tyrosine kinase family of protein kinases. Tofacitinib and baricitinib are first-generation JAK inhibitors. Tofacitinib inhibits JAK 1 and JAK 3, and baricitinib inhibits JAK 1 and JAK 2. In combination with teprotumumab, it may suppress much of the inflammatory cascade in GO. The method of administration is also oral, which is considered to be a highly acceptable option. It may be a possible treatment by inhibiting IGF-IR and the JAK-STAT pathway, instead of only IV glucocorticoid therapies in the future.

Conclusion
There is no doubt that DON is the most severe degree of GO. Various treatment options have been considered. In particular, high levels of evidence support rapid treatment with IV glucocorticoids, including steroid pulse therapy. It is also important that there is the possibility of prompt surgical intervention in cases of non-response. Fortunately, if the response to IV steroid therapy is good, the combined use of RT may reduce the possibility of recurrence. Unfortunately, refractoriness to this medical treatment may indicate the need for immediate orbital decompression within 2 weeks.

Current therapeutic options also include molecular-targeted drugs. Early introduction and use in combination with these immunomodulators including JAK inhibitors and teprotumumab may provide efficacy, reduce systemic adverse events due to unfocussed glucocorticoid administration, and contribute to patient quality of life.

As many patients with DON have unstable thyroid function, it is considered essential that an ophthalmologist and an endocrinologist work together in both critical care and general care settings (Figure 3).
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