Prevalence of resistance genes and antibiotic resistance profile among *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* isolates from hospitalized patients in Iran
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**Abstract**

*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* has emerged as an important nosocomial pathogen. Treatment of *S. maltophilia* infections is difficult due to increasing resistance to multiple antibacterial agents. In this 12-month cross-sectional study, from 2017 to 2018, 117 isolates were obtained from different clinical sources and identified by conventional biochemical methods. Antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed according to CLSI 2018. Minocycline disk (30 μg) and E-test strips for ceftazidime, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and chloramphenicol were used. PCR confirmed isolates. The frequency of different classes of integrons (I, II) and resistance gene cassettes (*sul1, sul2, dfrA1, dfrA5* and *aadB*) were determined by PCR. The results showed the highest frequency of resistance to chloramphenicol and ceftazidime with 32 cases (27.11%). Among strains, 12 cases (10.25%) were resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (the lowest frequency of resistance), while 19 (16.1%) isolates were resistant to minocycline. Frequency of *sul1, int1, aadB, sul2, dfrA5* genes were 64 (55.08%), 26 (22.3 %), 18 (15.25%) and 17 (14.4%), 14 (11.86%), respectively. *int2* and *dfrA1* were not detected. Although we have not yet reached a high level of resistance to effective antibiotics such as trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, as these resistances can be carried by a plasmid, greater precision should be given to the administration of these antibiotics.
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**Introduction**

*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* is the third cause of nosocomial infections caused by nonfermented gram-negative bacilli. *S. maltophilia* is the most important genus of *Stenotrophomonas* that belongs to group V of the Pseudomonas family (16S rRNA based) [1–3]. This bacterium is colonized in toilets, water coolers, medical equipment, respiratory tract patients, intravascular catheters and ulcers [3,4]. This organism has emerged as an important opportunistic pathogen in humans worldwide. Although it has inadequate pathogenicity, *S. maltophilia* causes various types of hospital-acquired and community-acquired infections [1]. These bacterium’s diseases include endocarditis, wound infections, cellulitis, bacteremia, urinary tract infections, pneumonia and rarely meningitis [5,6]. Chronic pulmonary disease, immunodeficiency, history of overuse or inadequate antibiotic use, long hospital or ICU history, renal failure, prolonged diarrhoea, transplant rejection and catheterization are the most critical risk factors for infections [6]. Treatment of *S. maltophilia* infections is difficult due to increasing resistance to multiple antibacterial agents [7]. During the past years, *S. maltophilia* has been known as one of the principal multidrug-resistant (MDR) organisms in hospital settings due to displaying high levels of acquired resistance and intrinsic to a broad array of antibacterial agents, including most common of β-lactam antibiotics, fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides [8–11]. One of the important mechanisms in
transferring resistance genes is an element called integron [12]. Integrons are conserved DNA sequences, which can efficiently acquire and transfer the resistant genes among bacteria and are usually located on mobile genetics elements [12]. It is important to identify these resistance genes [12,13]. Class I and subsequently, class 2 integrons are the most common classes of clinical isolates. Class I integrons carry more than 40 resistance genes associated with resistance to aminoglycosides, beta-lactams, chloramphenicol, macrolides, sulfonamides and disinfectants [13,14]. The low resistance of S. maltophilia to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) has led to its use in therapy. Unfortunately, with a rise in acquired resistance to this antibiotic, the management of S. maltophilia infections has become increasingly difficult. The sul genes have been found to relate to SXT resistance and interact with class 1 integron elements. In addition, dfrA genes in the class 1 integron gene cassettes have been shown to cause significant resistance to SXT [15]. The aadB gene cassette promotes resistance to aminoglycosides and is associated with class 1 integrons [16]. Given the importance of S. maltophilia as a multidrug-resistant opportunistic pathogen, investigating the role of various intrinsic and acquired factors in developing drug resistance is important [14].

The purpose of this cross-sectional study was to determine the antibiotic resistance profile and the frequency of integron types and aadB, dfrA1, dfrA5, sul1, sul2 genes in S. maltophilia isolated from clinical specimens of patients admitted to several teaching hospitals in Tehran.

Materials and methods

Bacterial isolates

This study was performed from 2017 to 2018 as a cross-sectional study in three major teaching hospitals (Dr Ali Shariati, Imam Khomeini and Naft hospitals) in Tehran, Iran. This project was approved by the Iran University of Medical Sciences Ethics committee (Ethical code: IR.IUMS.FMD.REC 1396.7973).

Various clinical specimens of hospitalized patients with suspected infections, such as urine, blood, respiratory secretions, etc., were collected based on the physician’s diagnosis and sent to the laboratory. According to standard instructions, the specimens were cultured on blood agar, MacConkey agar and chocolate agar and incubated for 24–72 hours at 37 °C. Nonfermenter gram-negative rods suspected of S. maltophilia were identified by phenotypic methods such as fermentation reaction in TSI medium, oxidative consumption of sugars in OF medium, oxidase test, esculin hydrolysis, citrate consumption, lysine consumption and nitrate reduction.

Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 were used to control mediums and other procedures.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The fresh culture was used to prepare the bacterial suspension for antimicrobial susceptibility on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) (all media from Merck, Germany). 0.5-McFarland standard was used to compare the bacterial suspension’s turbidity to achieve appropriate density to evaluate the effect of antimicrobial agents. Minocycline disk (30 μg) was used to determine antibiotic susceptibility by disk diffusion method. ≤ 14 mm zone diameter for minocycline disk (30 μg) considered resistant by the CLSI [7]. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, ceftazidime and chloramphenicol for each isolate were determined by the E-test (Liofilchem, Italy) according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guideline [7]. Isolates with MIC for trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole ≤ 2/38 μg/mL, ceftazidime ≤ 8 μg/mL, chloramphenicol ≤ 8 μg/mL were characterized as susceptible isolates and isolates with MIC for trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole ≥ 4/76 μg/mL, ceftazidime ≥ 32 μg/mL, chloramphenicol ≥ 32 μg/mL considered resistant. The isolates were stored at −80 °C for further tests.

DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

The method used for genomic DNA extraction was boiling. For evaluating the extracted DNA’s quality, the concentration and relative uptake of A260/A280 in each isolate were determined by the Biophotometer apparatus (Thermo Scientific, USA). Then, DNA was electrophoresed on 0.7% agarose gel stained with Gel Red™ (Biotium, Landing Pkwy, Fremont, CA, USA) and imaged under U.V. illumination. Some PCR products were purified and
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In this study, the highest \textit{S. maltophilia} was observed in blood in 108 cases (92.3%) and the least in the wound (0.85%). The highest frequency was in the emergency ward and the least in the operating room ward and the general surgery and surgical ward (Chart 1 and 2).

From 117 \textit{S. maltophilia}, 58 (49.57%) isolates were obtained from males, and 59 (50.42%) isolates from females.

The antibiotic susceptibility test results showed the highest and the lowest frequency of resistance to chloramphenicol and cefazidime were 32 cases (27.11%) and 3 (0.25%), respectively (Chart 3).

The frequency of resistance to co-trimoxazole and ampicillin susceptibility were 58 (50.42%) and 74 (63.9%), respectively (Chart 3). Interestingly, no \textit{dfrA1} gene was found in any of the isolates (Chart 4).

### Results

In our study, class 1 integrase (\textit{intI}) was detected in 26 (22.03%) of the 117 \textit{S. maltophilia} isolates, and no class 2 integron was found.

Inconsistent with our study, Huang et al. demonstrated a high prevalence of class 1 integron in the SXT-resistant isolates, which agrees with the previous studies. Also, Huang et al. reported that the most important significance of class 1 integron’s horizontal gaining should be related to SXT resistance in \textit{S. maltophilia} [18]. In our study, most strains of \textit{S. maltophilia} were susceptible to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Therefore, this antibiotic is still the best drug with a favourable antimicrobial effect in treating nosocomial infections caused by \textit{S. maltophilia} strains. In another research in Iran, Nikpour et al. in Jahrom demonstrate that isolate had a high level of susceptibility to chloramphenicol (90.7%) and co-trimoxazole [19]. A similar study in China by Li-Fen Hu et al. showed that the rate of resistance to co-trimoxazole was 30.4%, and 64.7% of the isolates had class 1 integron. None of the co-trimoxazole susceptible strains had sulf2 and \textit{dfrA} genes. However, the sul1 gene was found in 27 co-trimoxazole-sensitive strains and 25 co-

### Discussion

The extensive use of antibiotics that are widely used has contributed to resistance [17]. Transfer of class 1 integron-mediated antibiotic resistance genes has been widely stated in bacterial isolates from specific geographic regions. \textit{S. maltophilia} is a species intrinsically obtaining an array of resistance elements; therefore, the influence of class 1 integron on the antimicrobial agent resistance should be more problematic than what we can imagine [18]. It has been well-known that \textit{S. maltophilia} acquires many chromosomal aminoglycoside resistance genes such as multidrug efflux pumps and aminoglycoside modifying enzymes (AMEs) genes [2,5,8,18]. The chromosome of \textit{S. maltophilia} acquires many antimicrobial resistance genes, but few are involved in the SXT resistance reported so far [1,5,8]. In our study, class 1 integrase (\textit{intI}) was detected in 26 (22.03%) of the 117 \textit{S. maltophilia} isolates, and no class 2 integron was found. Inconsistent with our study, Huang et al. demonstrated a high prevalence of class 1 integron in the SXT-resistant isolates, which agrees with the previous studies. Also, Huang et al. reported that the most important significance of class 1 integron’s horizontal gaining should be related to SXT resistance in \textit{S. maltophilia} [18]. In our study, most strains of \textit{S. maltophilia} were susceptible to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Therefore, this antibiotic is still the best drug with a favourable antimicrobial effect in treating nosocomial infections caused by \textit{S. maltophilia} strains. In another research in Iran, Nikpour et al. in Jahrom demonstrate that isolate had a high level of susceptibility to chloramphenicol (90.7%) and co-trimoxazole [19]. A similar study in China by Li-Fen Hu et al. showed that the rate of resistance to co-trimoxazole was 30.4%, and 64.7% of the isolates had class 1 integron. None of the co-trimoxazole susceptible strains had sulf2 and \textit{dfrA} genes. However, the sul1 gene was found in 27 co-trimoxazole-sensitive strains and 25 co-

### Table 1. PCR primers

| Primer | Sequence | Target | References |
|--------|----------|--------|------------|
| int1-R | AAGCAGACTTGAACCTGA | Class 1 | [20] |
| int1-F | GGCATCCAGGCGAACAG | Integron | [20] |
| hepSI | GATGCCATCGAATGACAG | Class 2 | [23] |
| hepH | CCGGATCCCGAGCGACTGAC | Integron | [23] |
| chiA-R | AAGCCGGTCGACCGCCGGGCCTGA | ChiA | [24] |
| chiA-F | CATCGAATCGACTGAAATGGTCT | dfrA1 | [20] |
| dfrA1-R | TTGTGAAACTATCACTAATGGTG | dfrA1 | [20] |
| dfrA2-R | CCTTGGACCCCTTTGCTGCG | dfrA2 | [20] |
| sul1-R | ATTCAAATGCCAAACCC | sul1 | [20] |
| sul2-F | TACGCAGCCCTTGTA | sul2 | [20] |
| sul2-R | GAAGCCGACCCGGCAATCTCAT | sul2 | [20] |
| sul1-R | CCGTTCGTGCTCCAGACA | sul1 | [20] |
| aadB-R | AGTTGAGGTCTGGGT | aadB | [25] |
| aadB-F | CCCAGCTTAAATTTTG | aadB | [25] |

### Chart 1

The frequency of \textit{S. maltophilia} strains collected from different specimens.
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Sandra Abril Herrera-Heredia et al. conducted a study to evaluate the risk factors and mechanisms associated with resistance to SXT in *S. maltophilia* infections in Mexico in 2017. Resistance to ciprofloxacin (26%), co-trimoxazole (26%), chloramphenicol (14.3%) and levofloxacin (2.6%) were reported. There is no relationship between SXT resistances with sul genes. Due to the high resistance to SXT, it is not an effective treatment for patients; instead, levofloxacin can be used as an appropriate treatment option against *S. maltophilia* infection [21]. Our study’s finding demonstrates that 55.08% (64 cases) carry the sul1 gene. Koichi Tanimoto examined in trimoxazole-resistant strains [20].

**CHART 2.** The frequency of *S. maltophilia* strains collected from different wards of the hospitals.

**CHART 3.** Antibiotic resistance profile of *S. maltophilia* isolates (%).

**CHART 4.** The number of detected genes per specimen type.
Japan, reported that most strains were resistant to ciprofloxacin (84.8%), tetracycline (97%) and chloramphenicol (78.8%), although levofloxacin was effective against (77.3%) of the strains. SXT resistance is mediated by sul and dfrA genes, particularly class I integrons \[22\]. Some evidence has shown cross-transmission. It indicates the cross-transmission of antibiotic-resistant strains to the hospital and shows the need for effective control and prevention measures in hospitals.

Despite co-trimoxazole resistance genes in many of the strains (55.08%), some isolates did not demonstrate resistance to SXT \textit{in vitro}.

According to the results, the finding of co-trimoxazole resistance genes in hospital centres, and the high level of intrinsic resistance, it is necessary to discuss the control and prevention of transmission of these strains and handwashing programs. In discussing the use of antibiotics effectively on this strain, although we have not yet reached a high level of resistance to effective antibiotics such as co-trimoxazole, as the plasmid carries this resistance, greater precision should be given to the administration of these antibiotics.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate a high prevalence of MDR among \textit{S. maltophilia} isolates in Tehran hospital centres. A high prevalence of class I integron and the associated determinants, particularly \textit{sul} and \textit{aadB}, present in MDR strains isolated from hospitalized patients. Statistical analysis shows the relation of MDR isolates and integron class I, which needs continuous surveillance in health care centres, in this opportunistic pathogen. The main question is why the resistant genes are not express in some isolates. Many isolates carry co-trimoxazole resistance genes, which means that there is a potential risk of increased antibiotic resistance in ambush, which is of concern.
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