MID-INFRARED EMISSION FROM DUST AROUND QUIESCENT LOW-MASS X-RAY BINARIES
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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of excess 4.5 and 8 μm emission from three quiescent black hole low-mass X-ray binaries, A0620–00, GS 2023+338, and XTE J1118+480, and the lack of similar excess emission from Cen X-4. The mid-infrared emission from GS 2023+338 probably originates in the accretion disk. However, the excess emission from A0620–00 and XTE J1118+480 is brighter and peaks at longer wavelengths, and thus most likely originates from circumbinary dust that is heated by the light of the secondary star. For these two sources, we find that the inner edges of the dust distributions lie near 1.7 times the binary separations, which are the minimum radii at which circumbinary disks would be stable against tidal disruption. The excesses are weak at 24 μm, which implies that the dust does not extend beyond about 3 times the binary separations. The total masses of circumbinary material are between $10^{22}$ and $10^{24}$ g. The material could be the remains of fallback disks produced in supernovae, or material from the companions injected into circumbinary orbits during mass transfer.

Subject headings: circumstellar matter — stars: individual (A0620–00, Centaurus X-4, GS 2023+338, XTE J1118+480)

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main limiting factors in determining the masses of the compact objects in low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) is uncertainty in the fraction of the infrared light that is produced by the companion star (e.g., Hynes et al. 2005). When LMXBs are accreting at low rates, the optical and infrared light from these systems is dominated by the companion stars, so their mass functions can be measured to high accuracy based on the Doppler motion of the companion (e.g., McClintock & Remillard 2006). The inclination then must be constrained by modeling the modulations in the optical and infrared light curves that are produced by the varying aspect of the distorted, Roche-lobe-filling companion. Generally, the contribution of the accretion disk to the optical and near-infrared emission is uncertain, and varying the fraction of the light that is assumed to be produced by the accretion disk can lead to differences of a factor of 2 in the derived mass of a compact object (e.g., Gelino et al. 2001). Fortunately, the broadband spectrum of a multitemperature accretion disk is significantly flatter than that of a stellar photosphere, so mid-infrared observations could constrain the relative contributions of the two components.

However, the spectra of LMXBs in the mid-infrared have not been well studied, and several indirect lines of evidence suggest that these systems might contain circumbinary material that could emit in the mid-infrared. First, some of the white dwarfs in LMXBs, cataclysmic variables (CVs), exhibit spectral features that lie at the mean radial velocities of the systems (Solheim & Sion 1994) and excess mid-infrared emission (Howell et al. 2006) that could be interpreted as arising in circumbinary material (see also Taam et al. 2003; Dubus et al. 2004). Second, the supernovae that produced the compact objects could have left fallback disks around the binaries. Indeed, the first fallback disk has recently been found around a young, highly magnetized neutron star (Wang et al. 2006).

Third, the planets around the isolated millisecond pulsar PSR 1257+12 (Wolszczan & Frail 1992) could not have survived the supernova that produced the neutron star and must have formed afterward (see, e.g., Miller & Hamilton 2001). Millisecond pulsars are usually assumed to have been spun up by accretion as LMXBs, in which case planets could form from material present during the binary phase. Therefore, to search for evidence of circumbinary material, we have observed four nearby, quiescent LMXBs with the Spitzer Space Telescope.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

We chose the LMXBs in our sample to be detectable with Spitzer if they contained optically thick circumbinary disks passively illuminated by the mass donor stars (see Jura 2003; Tiam et al. 2003 and below). Guided by a simple model, we chose sources with (1) K magnitudes brighter than 17, (2) locations more than 50° in projection from the Galactic center, and (3) no Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) sources within 5° that were brighter than our targets. In Table 1 we list the positions, the orbital periods, estimates of the primary masses, the spectral types of the companions, and the quiescent K magnitudes of the four systems in our sample (see the table notes for references).

Our measurements were taken in the 4.5 and 8.0 μm bands with the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC), and in the 24 μm band with the Multiband Imaging Photometer (MIPS; Table 2). We used the post–Basic Calibrated Data provided by the Spitzer Science Center (SSC) for most of our analysis. However, the MIPS image of A0620–00 contained latent features with a low spatial frequency and a ~2% amplitude that were left by a previous observation of a bright, extended source. We corrected the image by creating a flat field from the median of the individual dithered images, dividing each snapshot by the flat, and recreating the mosaicked image using the script provided by the SSC.3 Three-color images centered on each LMXB are displayed in Figure 1. Each target is detected at 4.5 and 8.0 μm. Only A0620–00 and GS 2023+338 are also detected at 24 μm. We computed the IRAC
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fluctuations of each source using the point-spread function fitting routine APEX from the SSC, and the MIPS fluxes and upper limits using aperture photometry (Table 2 and Fig. 2). To understand the origin of the mid-infrared emission, in Figure 2 we plot for each LMXB the observed and dereddened fluxes in the infrared and optical bands (from Table 2 and the references in Table 1). The optical and near-infrared intensities of quiescent LMXBs often vary by several tenths of a magnitude on timescales of years (not counting outbursts; Chevalier et al. 1989; Gelino et al. 2001), presumably because of changes in the accretion flow. None of the fluxes were obtained simultaneously, so when comparing the flux measurements in Figure 2 one can only expect them to be self-consistent to within ≈30%. To estimate the contributions of the companions to the spectra, we have obtained model stellar spectra computed with the next-generation Phoenix code (kindly provided by T. Barman; Hauschildt et al. 2001) that correspond to the temperatures and radii of the spectral types in Table 1. We have estimated the contribution of the accretion disk using a standard Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) model, assuming that the disk extends to the tidal truncation radius (∼60% of the binary separation; Frank et al. 1992), is inclined by 60° to our line of sight, and has the largest accretion rate that is consistent with the optical photometry (see the caption of Fig. 2 for values). We have confirmed that our conclusions are robust against changing the assumed spectral types of the companions within the values reported in the literature, and against varying the parameters of the accretion disk so long as the models are consistent with the optical photometry.

We find that the spectrum of Cen X-4 is consistent with that expected for the companion star, with a possible contribution at long wavelengths from the accretion disk. There are clear excesses of mid-infrared flux above that expected from the companions of A0620+00, GS 2023+338, and XTE J1118+480.

In order to conservatively estimate the amounts of the mid-infrared fluxes that are not produced by the companions, we computed the maximum contributions of the companions’ photospheres by normalizing the model stellar spectra to match the dereddened K fluxes, and we reported the difference between the predicted and observed mid-infrared fluxes as the excesses in Table 2 (%).

### 3. Discussion

Several LMXBs have now been detected in outburst in the mid-infrared (e.g., Smith et al. 1990; van Paradijs et al. 1994; Harrison & Gehrz 1991; Harrison & Gehrz 1994; Migliari et al. 2006), but these are the first detections of quiescent systems. In outburst, the mid-infrared emission could originate from an accretion disk in which \( M > 10^{18} \) g s\(^{-1}\) (Smith et al. 1990), from free-free emission in a strong wind driven from the accretion disk (van Paradijs et al. 1994), or from radio jets with flat spectra extending to infrared wavelengths (Fender 2001; Homan et al. 2005; Migliari et al. 2006). We use these hypotheses as a starting point for understanding the mid-infrared emission from our sample of quiescent LMXBs.

The mid-infrared excess from GS 2023+338 could originate in an accretion disk, because the 2.2–24 μm fluxes in Figure 2 follow the \( \nu^{-2} \) law that one would expect from the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of a blackbody. We find that our model for a viscously heated accretion disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) from § 2 adequately describes the excess mid-infrared flux from GS 2023+338 in Figure 2.

In contrast, the 4–24 μm fluxes from A0620+00 and XTE...
J1118+480 do not follow the $\nu^{-2}$ law expected for the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of a blackbody, because there is too much flux at 8 $\mu$m. A blackbody spectrum that peaks near 8 $\mu$m would have a temperature of only 640 K. For these two sources, we plot blackbody spectra that match the peak of the mid-infrared excesses ($\Delta S_{\nu,\text{ex}}$) in Figure 2. The sum of the spectra of stellar photospheres and optically thick blackbodies match the observed mid-infrared fluxes well. However, the inferred emitting areas are large compared to the binary separations. For a Planck spectrum, the solid angles of the emitting regions correspond to circular radii of

$$R = 4 \times 10^{11} \left( \frac{\Delta S_{\nu,\text{ex}}}{100 \ \mu\text{Jy}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{D}{\text{kpc}} \right) \text{ cm}, \quad (1)$$

where $D$ is the distance to the source. In contrast, the disks will be contained within $\approx 60\%$ of the binary separations $a$, which are given by

$$a = 3 \times 10^{11} M^{1/3} (1 + q)^{1/3} P_{\text{day}}^{2/3} \text{ cm}, \quad (2)$$

where $P_{\text{day}}$ is the orbital period in days, $M$ is the mass of the accretor in solar masses, and $q$ is the mass ratio of the binary (e.g., Frank et al. 1992). Given the fluxes in Table 2, we find that the excess mid-infrared emission originates from regions $\approx 2$ times larger than the orbital separations of A0620−00 and XTE J1118+480, and $\approx 4$ times larger than the accretion disks. Therefore, the mid-infrared emission is produced in a region that extends beyond the binary orbit.

Jets could produce an emitting region larger than the binary orbit, but given the spectra of the mid-infrared excesses, we suggest that jets are only minor contributors. Two LMXBs in our sample have recently been detected as radio sources in quiescence: GS 2023+338 with flat-spectrum radio emission with an intensity of 350 $\mu$Jy over the frequency range 1.4−8.4 GHz (Gallo et al. 2005), and A0620−00 with a radio flux of 50 $\mu$Jy at 8.4 GHz (Gallo et al. 2006). The 24 $\mu$m excesses from A0620−00 is equal to the flux in the radio, so it could be produced by a jet with a flat ($S_{\nu} \propto \nu^0$, with $\alpha \approx 0$) spectrum between the radio and mid-infrared. For GS 2023+338, the 24 $\mu$m flux is slightly lower than the radio flux, which would imply that its jet has a steeper $\alpha \approx -0.3$ spectrum. However, the 8 $\mu$m excesses from A0620−00, GS 2023+338, and XTE J1118+480 are not consistent with a flat-spectrum radio jet, because for XTE J1118+480 and A0262−00 they are 4 times larger than the excesses at 24 $\mu$m, and for GS 2023+338 it is 10 times larger. If we assume the 24 $\mu$m emission is from a flat-spectrum jet, then it contributes $<25\%$ to the 8 $\mu$m flux from XTE J1118+480 and A0620−00, and $<10\%$ from GS 2023+338.

We suggest that the excesses from A0620−00 and XTE J1118+480 originate from circumbinary dust that reprocesses the light of the companions. To estimate the masses of the dust, we assume it is contained in optically thick disks. The disks could lie as close to the center of masses of the binaries as 1.7$a$, at which point they would be tidally truncated (Taam et al. 2003; Dubus et al. 2004). We can estimate the temperature profile of such disks by assuming they are flat, in which case

$$T_{\text{disk}} \approx \frac{2}{3\pi} \left( \frac{R_{\text{disk}}}{R_{\text{a}}} \right)^{3/4} T_{\ast}, \quad (3)$$

where $T_{\text{disk}}$ and $R_{\text{disk}}$ are the temperatures and radii of the disks, and $T_{\ast}$ and $R_{\ast}$ are the temperatures and radii of the companions (e.g., Ruden & Pollack 1991; Jura 2003). Using equation (2) and the parameters in Table 1, we would expect the inner edges of the circumbinary disks to have temperatures of $\approx 600$ K, which is consistent with our detection of excesses that peak
near 8 μm. The lack of excess flux at 24 μm implies that material does not reprocess much stellar light beyond radii ≳3 times larger than the binary separations. If we assume the disks are composed of dust with an opacity χ, ∼ 300 cm² g⁻¹ at 8 μm (e.g., Draine & Lee 1984), then for them to have an optical depth of τ ≳ 1, the disks would only need to contain ∼10⁻²² g of dust. If we assume the emitting material is optically thin, we find dust masses that are similar within a factor of a few (e.g., eq. [3] in Evans et al. 1997). If the gas-to-dust ratio is similar to that of the interstellar medium, then the total mass of the circumbinary material could be ∼10⁻²⁴ g.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The detection of excess mid-infrared flux from A0620−00 and XTE J1118+480 (Fig. 2) provides evidence that circumbinary material is present around some LMXBs, but its spectrum suggests that it will only be detectable in the mid-infrared. The excess emission peaks at 8 μm, and if we model it as a single-temperature blackbody, we predict that the circumbinary material produces negligible flux shortward of ≳3 μm. Moreover, the lack of excess mid-infrared emission from Cen X-4 demonstrates that such material is not ubiquitous. Finally, for GS 2023+338, the mid-infrared emission appears to originate from a hot accretion disk, and the contribution of the accretion disk to the mid-infrared light can be predicted based on the optical measurements (Fig. 2, dotted line). These results provide reassurance that efforts to determine the inclinations of LMXBs by modeling the ellipsoidal modulations of their infrared and optical light curves are not compromised by the presence of circumbinary material.

For A0620−00 and XTE J1118+480, we suggest that the circumbinary disks are either the remnants of fallback disks produced in the supernovae that formed the compact objects (e.g., Wang et al. 2006; Cordes & Shannon 2006), or material injected into circumbinary orbits during the process of mass loss by the Roche lobe–filling companions (e.g., Dubus et al. 2004). These circumbinary disks contain ∼10⁻²² g of dust, which for a standard dust-to-mass ratio of ∼100 implies a total mass of ∼10⁻¹⁴ g. If the disks are produced by matter ejected into circumbinary orbits during the process of mass transfer, then it represents only a tiny fraction of the ∼10⁻⁶ g (~0.1 M⊙) that will be lost by the companions over the lifetimes of these LMXBs. Alternatively, Cordes & Shannon (2006) have proposed that fallback disks containing ≥10⁻⁵ g of material could form asteroids and inject them into the magnetospheres of pulsars with a high enough rate to explain the observed intermittency in their radio pulses. Asteroids might not survive the outbursts of LMXBs (Miller & Hamilton 2001), but the mid-infrared excesses that we have identified could be the remnants of similar fallback disks.

However, the circumbinary material is not massive enough either to affect the evolution of the orbital angular momentum of LMXBs (e.g., ~10⁻²⁹ g in Taam et al. 2003), or to form planetesimals (e.g., ~10⁻²⁹ g in Miller & Hamilton 2001). As the formation and evolution of circumbinary matter around LMXBs and CVs are considered further, it may be that the paucity of matter around A0620−00 and XTE J1118+480 (and the apparent lack of circumbinary matter around the one neutron star LMXB in our sample, Cen X-4) may be the most lasting aspect of this result.

We are grateful to T. Barman for providing the model stellar spectra, to E. Gallo and S. Migliari for alerting us to a mistake in our original photometry, to M. Jura for conversations about circumstellar dust, to J. McClintock for discussions about the contribution of the accretion disk to the mid-infrared light, and to the referee for comments that clarified the text. Support for this work was provided by NASA through an award issued by JPL/Caltech.

REFERENCES

Casares, J., Charles, P. A., Naylor, T., & Pavlenko, E. P. 1993, MNRAS, 265, 834
Chevalier, C., Ilovaisky, S. A., van Paradijs, J., Pedersen, J., & van der Klis, M. 1989, A&A, 210, 114
Cordes, J. M., & Shannon, R. M. 2006, preprint (astro-ph/0605145)
Draine, B. T., & Lee, H. M. 1984, ApJ, 285, 89
Dubus, G., Campbell, R., Kern, B., Taam, R. E., & Spruit, H. C. 2004, MNRAS, 349, 869
Evans, A., Geballe, T. R., Rawlings, J. M. C., Eyres, S. P. S., & Davies, J. K. 1997, MNRAS, 292, 192
Fender, R. P. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 31
Frank, J., King, A., & Raine, D. 1992, Accretion Power in Astrophysics (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
Gallo, E., Fender, R. P., & Hynes, R. I. 2005, MNRAS, 356, 1017
Gallo, E., Fender, R. P., Miller-Jones, J. C. A., Merloni, A., Jonker, P. G., Heinz, S., Maccarone, T. J., & van der Klis, M. 2006, MNRAS, 370, 1351
Gelino, D. M., Harrison, T. E., & Orosz, J. A. 2001, AJ, 122, 2668
Harrison, T. E., & Gehrz, R. D. 1991, AJ, 101, 587
Harrison, T. E., & Gehrz, R. D. 1991, AJ, 101, 587
———. 1994, AJ, 108, 1899
Hauschildt, P. H., Lowenthal, D. K., & Baron, E. 2001, ApJS, 134, 323
Homan, J., Buxton, M., Markoff, S., Bailyn, C. D., Nespoli, E., & Belloni, T. 2005, ApJ, 624, 295
Howell, S. B., et al. 2006, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/0606202)
Hynes, R. I., Robinson, E. L., & Binner, M. 2005, ApJ, 630, 405
Jura, M. 2003, ApJ, 584, L91
McClintock, J. E., Narayan, R., Garcia, M. R., Orosz, J. A., Remillard, R. A., & Murray, S. S. 2003, ApJ, 593, 435
McClintock, J. E., & Remillard, R. A. 2006, in Compact Stellar X-ray Sources, ed. W. H. G. Lewin & M. van der Klis (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), in press (astro-ph/0306213)
Migliari, S., Tomskick, J. A., Maccarone, T. J., Gallo, E., Fender, R. P., Nelemans, G., & Russell, D. M. 2006, ApJ, 643, L41
Mikołajewska, K., Ruthowski, A., Gonzalves, D. R., & Szostek, A. 2005, MNRAS, 362, L13
Miller, M. C., & Hamilton, D. P. 2001, ApJ, 550, 863
Ruden, S. P., & Pollack, J. B. 1991, ApJ, 375, 740
Shahbaz, T., Naylor, T., & Charles, P. A. 1993, MNRAS, 265, 655
Shakura, N. I., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337
Smith, H. A., Beall, J. H., & Swain, M. R. 1990, AJ, 99, 273
Solheim, S.-E., & Sion, E. M. 1994, A&A, 287, 503
Taam, R. E., Sandquist, E. L., & Dubus, G. 2003, ApJ, 592, 1124
Thorsett, S. E., & Chakrabarty, D. 1999, ApJ, 512, 288
Torres, M. A. P., Callanan, P. J., Garcia, M. R., Zhao, P., Laycock, S., & Kong, A. K. H. 2004, ApJ, 612, 1026
Torres, M. A. P., Casares, J., Martinez-Pais, I. G., & Charles, P. A. 2002, MNRAS, 334, 233
van Paradijs, J., Telesco, C. M., Kouveliotou, C., & Fishman, G. J. 1994, ApJ, 429, L19
Wang, Z., Chakrabarty, D., & Kaplan, D. L. 2006, Nature, 440, 772
Wolszczan, A., & Frail, D. A. 1992, Nature, 355, 145
Zurita, C., Casares, J., Martinez-Pais, I. G., Piccioni, A., Bernabei, S., Bartolini, C., & Guarnieri, A. 2002, IAU Circ. 7868