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**Abstract**

In the Amazon floodplains, hydrological variability plays a crucial role by shaping the habitat, offering shelter and feeding or breeding areas. During the dry season, some fresh water turtles such as the yellow-spotted turtle (*Podocnemis unifilis* Troschel, 1848) use the sand banks at point bar areas as breeding sites, which must be available in time for the start of oviposition. In this study, we used altimetry-derived water level data from radar satellites combined with in situ hydrological measurements to evaluate water level variations and relate them to oviposition starting dates (2003-2012) from Pacaya-Samiria National Reserve, Peru. Synchronous hydrological behavior was found between the major river stems (Ucayali and Marañón) and the inner rivers (Pacaya and Samiria), thus enabling the utilization of water level in the main river stem to correlate with oviposition in the inner rivers. Mean oviposition date was July 23rd with selection of a narrow water level range (92 masl ± 0.9 SD). Consistent shifts towards early/late oviposition were found for years with early/late dry season onset. Extreme biological shifts in terms of oviposition date onset, were identified for specific years (2004, 2010 and 2011) mainly for the extreme dry year of 2010.
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**Resumen**

En las llanuras de inundación amazónicas, la variabilidad hidrológica desempeña una función esencial en dar forma al hábitat, ofreciendo refugio y zonas de alimentación o reproducción. Durante la estación seca, algunas tortugas de agua dulce, como la Taricaya (*Podocnemis unifilis* Troschel, 1848), utilizan los bancos de arena en las zonas de barras puntuales como lugares de reproducción, que deben estar disponibles temporalmente para el inicio de la oviposición. En este estudio, utilizamos datos de nivel de agua derivados de la altimetría de satélites de radar combinados con mediciones hidrológicas in situ para evaluar las variaciones del nivel del agua y relacionarlas con fechas de inicio de la oviposición (2003-2012) en la Reserva Nacional Pacaya-Samiria, Perú. Se encontró un comportamiento hidrológico sincronizado entre los ríos principales (Ucayali y Marañón) y los ríos interiores (Pacaya y Samiria), lo que permitió la utilización del nivel del agua de los ríos Ucayali y Marañón para correlacionarla con la oviposición en los ríos interiores. La fecha media de oviposición fue el 23 de julio, que corresponde a un rango estrecho de nivel de agua (92 masm ± 0.9 SD). Se encontraron variaciones consistentes de la oviposición temprana/tardía durante años con el inicio de la estación seca temprana/tardía. Se identificaron cambios biológicos extremos, en términos del inicio de la fecha de oviposición, para años específicos (2004, 2010 y 2011), principalmente para el año de extrema sequía (2010).
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**Introduction**

Seasonal patterns among living organisms are normally aligned with the best environmental conditions in the habitat to assure that energy demanding activities (reproduction, feeding, hibernation, flowering, etc.) take place during the best favourable conditions (Visser & Both, 2005). This temporal dimension of natural history is called phenology (Forrest & Miller-Rushing, 2010). There are different interactions between abiotic parameters and phenology depending on the latitudinal location. In temperate regions, phenology is more influenced by temperature and/or photoperiodism (Edge et al., 2017), whereas in tropical latitudes, precipitation is more likely to play a significant role in phenology than temperature (Forrest & Miller-Rushing, 2010; Junk & Piedade, 2011). For fresh water organisms, biological processes are more influenced by the seasonal timing imposed by hydrological cycles such as the dry and wet season (Junk & Piedade, 2011). To explain the relation between hydrological cycle and biological processes in Amazon Basin, Junk et al. (1989) proposed the River Flood Pulse Concept, that is based on hydrological considerations, which considers the river and its floodplains as an indivisible unit. During an annual flood, floodplains oscillate between terrestrial and
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Aqua.

The complex relationship between hydrological cycles and biological processes requires understanding of hydrological functioning in the local floodplain rivers. Unfortunately, in-situ monitoring in remote areas is uncommon, especially in protected areas far from human influence. Moreover, main and tributary rivers in a floodplain become spatially connected during periods of higher water level and potential synchronicity between small tributaries and major rivers must be assessed. In this context, spatial altimetry is an important tool to assess inland waters hydrol.
used to obtain temporal representativeness (Aug 2002 – May 2018). More detailed information on altimetry can be found in Fu & Cazenave (2001).

Correlation graphs, with correlation coefficients ($r^2$), were constructed using the daily water level z-scored transformed values to account for normality and comparativeness. Firstly, an upstream-downstream spatial comparison where each virtual station in the tributary inner river was compared with the in-situ gauge station downstream in the major river. Secondly, since rivers in our study area run from west to east, comparisons between virtual stations in north/south transects (one in the inner river vs. one in the major river) were carried out to assess the influence of water lateral exchanges between the major and the inner river on water level variability. Selection of pairs was subjected to the conditions they were located either in the same satellite pathway or at similar geographical longitudinal location. Fisher statistics (F), degrees of freedom (df) and p values were also calculated.

Oviposition data sources of the freshwater turtle Podocnemis unifilis

$P. \text{ unifilis}$ is a medium sized freshwater turtle with a mean weight of 8.5 kg and a carapace length between 33 and 48 cm. The species reaches sexual maturity at the age of 5 or 6 years, laying eggs between July and August in our study area. The incubation time in Peru is between 55-70 days and the mean clutch size is 34.5 (Soini & Coppula, 1980; Soini & de Soini, 1986; Soini, 1996).

Daily oviposition historical data (2003-2012) of $P. \text{ unifilis}$ were provided by the natural resource management programme running at the PSNR (more information in Harju et al., 2018). Every record corresponds to a single nest, for which the oviposition date, number of eggs, habitat substrate type, river margin and local name of the place is recorded. A set of more than 53 795 nest records were checked for data quality and reliability, and then aggregated per day to obtain daily amount of oviposition. These data were merged with the daily water level, discharge and precipitation data above mentioned and were treated using Fisher statistic. The collect effort (CE) that is the number of nests per kilometer per person was also estimated.

Oviposition data analysis

To assess the onset of oviposition for the population, we identified the Julian day at which 5% of the total nesting activity was complete (Prodon et al., 2017; Wheeler et al., 2018). Using a 5% threshold assures that oviposition onset reflects the population level oviposition behaviour, and is not affected by particular individuals (Palmer et al., 2017). Median oviposition date was used as a thick mark against which a measure of deviance (shifts) was calculated using the absolute deviation around the median. This method has been suggested when the purpose is to detect outliers or extreme values, in this case called “extreme biological responses” (Palmer et al., 2017). The absolute deviation around the mean is defined as the median value plus two median absolute deviations (MAD). MAD is the median of the absolute differences between each data and the sample median, multiplied by a factor “b” (Formula 1).

$$MAD = b \times M_i \left( |x_i - M_s(x_i)| \right)$$

**Formula 1.**

Where:

- $x_i$ is the observation;
- $M_i(x_i)$ is the sample median value;
- $M_s$ is the median of the absolute deviation.

Factor “b” is a constant associated to the assumption of normality and takes the value of 1.4826 (Leys et al., 2013). For this work, normality was tested for all oviposition starting dates converted as Julian days.

Results

Hydrological analysis

Water levels for REQ and SRG stations are presented in Figure 2, from January 2000 until December 2017. The amplitude of the water level was larger during this period at REQ station (Ucayali) than at SRG (Marañon) (10 ± 0.5 m for REQ, 8.5 ± 0.5 m for SRG). The mean annual water level was 95 meters above sea level (masl) for REQ and 93 masl for SRG. The highest amplitude ranges were registered during 2011 and 2012 (11.9 and 11.4 for REQ, 10.4 and 10.5 for SRG, respectively). Water levels reached the lowest values for 2005 (88.6 masl for REQ and 86.9 masl for SRG) and 2010 (87.7 masl for REQ and 86.7 masl for SRG). Highest values were registered for SRG during 2012 (98.1 masl) and for REQ during 2000 (100.3 masl) and 2012 (100.0 masl). When comparing altimetry-derived data with in situ water level measures, a synchronic behaviour was found along time.

In Figure 3, altimetry-derived data from Jason-2 in Samiria River follows the same temporal variation of SRG located 210 km far away from the virtual station in the Marañon Basin. Similar results were obtained for virtual station S3A331_Samiria02 and the Multistation in Samiria River located upstream from SRG, with R-squared values of 0.9 and 0.75, respectively (Table 2). Synchronic behaviour was also found for Ucayali Basin between the four virtual stations in Pacaya river vs. REQ in situ gauging station in Ucayali River. These virtual stations (JA3191Pac01, JA3191Paca01, S3A331Paca03, S3A310Paca04) obtained correlation values ranging between 0.84-0.93 (Table 2).

The set of north/south transects also showed synchronic behaviour for Ucayali and Marañon basins. Only one transect from Sentinel 3 was available for Marañon Basin, with correlation coefficient values of
0.78 and 083 (Table 2). For Ucayali Basin three transects were available, two Sentinel 3 tracks and one Jason 2 tracks. Therefore, comparisons were made between Pacaya and Puinahua Channel, and Pacaya vs. Ucayali River. These comparisons also showed water level synchronicity between virtual stations with R squared values ranging from 0.83 to 0.94.

Oviposition analysis

During 10 years of oviposition data (2003 – 2012), female P. unifilis nested in this area between June 22th and September 30th with mean oviposition duration of 61.5 days ± 11.7 SD for both basins (Table 3). Oviposition onset did not occur below 89 masl or above 96 masl with a constant preference of water level values around 92-93 masl (Figure 4). Across both basins and along the years the 5% of the total oviposition activity occurs at July 23th (Julian day 204) as median oviposition starting date and a mean water level of 91.8 masl ± 0.8 SD. Oviposition starting dates showed a normal distribution (W = 0.9649, p; 0.497) and hence, median absolute deviation (MAD) was set to 6.7 days. Therefore, extreme biological responses (extreme shifts towards early or late oviposition) are the oviposition starting dates 13 days far from the median oviposition date.

For Pacaya Basin years with an early start were between 2003 and 2008, and late start were registered for 2003, 2004, 2009, 2011, 2012. In Samiria Basin, years with an early start were 2005 – 2010, 2012 and a later start was registered for 2003, 2004 and 2009. Extreme biological responses were registered for 2011 in Pacaya Basin (15 days later), and 2010 for Samiria Basin (21 days earlier). A marginal extreme value of 12 days later was registered for Pacaya Basin during 2004.

Discussion

River floodplain hydrological functioning

Hydrological synchronicity in the study area was tested using altimetry-derived water level from virtual stations vs. in-situ water level gauge station. Synchronized hydrological functioning was found between tributaries in the floodplain, and Ucayali and Marañon rivers (Figure 3). This synchronicity might reflect a quasi-permanent water lateral exchange. Similar hydrological behaviour was observed in other Amazonian floodplain systems (Bonnet et al., 2008; Alsdorf et al., 2010; Moreira-Turcq et al., 2013), where contribution from the main river channel to the floodplain is between 77 and 93%; while local runoff, direct precipitation and groundwater contributes with the remaining percentage (Alsdorf et al., 2010; Rudorff et al., 2014). Furthermore, the water exchanges between the floodplain and the main river are mainly controlled by the water levels in the main channel. Upstream-downstream comparisons in the upper Amazon Basin are especially relevant, since the majority of studies using spatial altimetry have been carried out in the lower and middle Amazon Basin, where channel width is between 3 – 7 km. Here, channel widths range from 1 - 2.5 km for Marañon and between 0.5 – 1.3 km for Ucayali River, and Pacaya and Samiria tributaries widths ranges between 15 m (upper basin) and 130 m (river mouth, satellite image measurements). Lateral exchange influences not only water fluxes but also sediment and organic matter transportation (Moreira-Turcq et al., 2013). Sediment input from the main river stem during the high-water periods, influences grain size distribution, dimensions and morphology of oviposition areas in the inner rivers during the dry season (Ferreira Júnior & Castro, 2003). This interaction is important for the turtle species under study since oviposition areas may be affected when extreme changes in water level and discharge occur/or are absent.

Relation hydrology-oviposition and extreme hydrological events

In our study, oviposition occurred at a mean water level value of 92 masl ± 0.8 SD (Figure 4 and Table 3) with a mean oviposition duration of 61.5 days ± 11.7 SD (Table 3). A comparison between the Julian day at which water level reaches 92 masl with the Julian day of oviposition onset at 5% was carried out (Figure 5). Points located very close to a 1:1 line illustrate the relationship, whereas points above this line but below the critical value of July 23th (Julian day 204) are the years with early dry season onset where turtle population made an attempt to follow these early shifts but did not succeed to be close to the 1:1 line. On the other hand, points located below the 1:1 line and later than July 23th are years with late dry season onset. In this case, points below the 1:1 reflect the attempts of turtle populations to follow these shifts. Within these shifts, there are extreme biological events that cause values to exceed the median oviposition date (July 23th) plus two median absolute deviations (13 days). Those are 2011 and a marginal value for 2004 for Pacaya Basin (15 and 12 days later respectively), and 2010 for Samiria Basin with 21 days earlier.

P. unifilis shares oviposition habitats with P. expansa the largest fresh water turtle in Amazonian rivers. While P. unifilis starts oviposition earlier and lays eggs in the middle and lower parts of the point bar, P. expansa starts laying eggs later using the upper areas (Soini & de Soini, 1986); thus, overlap in nest placement is rare (Ferreira Júnior & Castro, 2003). Other authors find that height of nest above water level is an important variable among all species of Podocnemis genus (Escalona & Fa, 1998; Pezzutti & Vogt, 1999). Both turtles have temperature-dependent sex determination (Ferreira & Castro, 2010), pointing to the importance of nest site on the resulting sex ratios and species preservation. Where incubation success is highly determined by the ambient temperature. (Rodrigues & Lima-Ribeiro). The river dynamics also significantly affect the hatching success (Leao et al., 2019).
In general, oviposition starting dates have followed consistently early and late start of the dry season. Years with an early beginning of the dry season were 2005, 2006 and 2007 in Tamshiyacu (Ronchail et al., 2018), and shifts towards early oviposition were identified from 2005 to 2008 for both basins. Samiria Basin registered two more years (2010 and 2012) with shifts to earlier oviposition (21 and 10 days, respectively). Furthermore, our time series contains two extreme dry years 2005 and 2010 (Espinoza et al., 2011), where early oviposition starting dates occurred. This illustrates a consistent biological response facing not only dry years, but also early beginning of the dry season. Literature refers similar shifts towards earlier oviposition onset for 1988 (Soini & Correa, 1989), a year with an early beginning of the dry season (Ronchail et al., 2018). Years with a late beginning of the dry season were 2004 and 2009 (Ronchail et al., 2018) and in this study shifts towards later oviposition onset were 2003, 2004, 2009 and 2011 for both basins. Year 2011 showed the most important delay of 16 days for Pacaya Basin (Figure 4). This year has been catalogued as a transitional year between a dry (2010) and a flooded (2012) year (Espinoza et al., 2012), where water level values peaked up to almost the same values obtained for 2012. As a consequence, the water receding process took longer reaching the critical value of 92 masl by the end of July, thus in accordance with oviposition onset delay. Although, 2011 was not an extreme year, a focus on the dry season explains this result.

Although these temporal shifts may be considered a good trait facing extreme events, extreme shifts towards late oviposition could also pose a threat for the population. Since incubation period requires a time window of 55–70 days, extreme delays in oviposition onset may be risky when the next rainy season starts earlier. Considering that mean oviposition duration for our database was 61.5 days ± 11.7 SD (n = 53 795 nests), 15 days of delay during 2011 represents between 22-32% of the oviposition period, thus increasing inundation risk at the end of incubation. Nest loss via inundation reached between 28–50% during 1980 and 1982, in the same location of this study (Soini & Soini, 1982). It has been suggested that if extreme floods return with a frequency of less than 10 years, population decline is likely to occur in few decades (Véliz Rosas, 2012). For *P. expansa* in Trombetas River (Brazil) an increase of 137 cm of water height would result in 50% of mortality (Eisenberg et al., 2016). Additionally, when oviposition onset for *P. unifilis* is delayed, increased competition for space may occur due to an overlap in oviposition time with *P. expansa* which breeds later (Soini & de Soini, 1986).

Extreme hydrological events can also affect habitat quality. This was the case for 2010 (oviposition started 21 days earlier for Samiria Basin) when park rangers in the study area referred that *P. unifilis* were laying eggs in sites covered with vegetation. Vegetation has shading effects which potentially cause poor embryological development or bias in sex ratios (Soini & Soini, 1982; Janzen, 1994). Morphology, topography and grain size in the beach are also important for nest site selection, and erosion during the flood period is an important driver shaping breeding areas with good habitat quality (Ferreira Júnior & Castro, 2003; Ferreira Júnior & Castro, 2010). Natural and anthropogenic impacts on nesting areas can negatively affect the reproductive rate of populations (Quintana Garcia, 2018). For conservation purposes, it is important to protect the nesting beaches where the species nests during the dry season, but also the flooded forest zones that are heavily used during the rainy season. (De Leão, et al., 2019). If the habitat offers low quality areas, productivity can also be affected. In fact, during 2010 the numbers of nests per km and per person (CE) were very low (Table 3). In this water level time series, the frequency of extreme events is higher during the last 20 years and we can identify constant shifts on the direction of change from drought to flooding and vice versa (eg. an unprecedentedly abrupt transition from the extreme drought in September 2010 to one of the four highest discharges in April 2011) (Espinoza et al., 2011; 2012). Several studies have shown an increase in the frequency and intensity of extreme hydrological events, such as droughts and floods, in the Amazon Basin during the last decades (Marengo & Espinoza, 2016). We can expect that in the future, these extreme events will increase even more, as is already being observed, and that organisms that have their development directly dependent on environmental conditions (e.g. temperature and water level) will be more likely to be affected in a way that may be critical for the preservation of the species. *P. unifilis* is known for its nest-site fidelity but under adverse environmental conditions a migration to other nesting sites can be expected (Naveda-Rodriguez et al., 2018). Winter et al. (2016) demonstrated in an extensive review paper that climate change has a strong impact on reptile and amphibian population declines.

Conclusions

This work shows the existence of a synchronous hydrological functioning between the inner rivers (Pacaya and Samiria) and the major rivers (Ucayali and Marañon). Consequently, this allows us to use the *in situ* data set as a proxy of the hydrological behaviour of small rivers. Furthermore, *Podocnemis unifilis* start laying eggs around 92 masl, show oviposition responses in accordance with dry season onset changes, and extreme biological responses for at least one extreme dry year (2010).

Synchronicity and water lateral exchange in the floodplains are topics which are not currently included in the environmental impact assessments of large infrastructure projects. Currently, dam construction in
the upper Marañón Basin, and navigability in the Amazon waterway are major projects fostered by the government. Possible impacts like long-distance discharge regulation, river’s course modifications and removal of bed sediments, have not been discussed in relation to river synchronicity found in this work and the subsequent biological impacts. In that sense, research on this topic and its relation with biota, becomes important to assess future impacts in one of the most important Peruvian wetlands and Ramsar site.
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Figure 1. Study area with satellite trajectories location of virtual stations in situ water level gauge stations (SRG, REQ) in the Ucayali and Marañon rivers, and oviposition sampling areas. (Projection: GCS WGS 84 UTM 18S). (a) Amazon Basin in green border with the study area Pacaya Samiria National Reserve in black. (b) Peruvian Amazon Basin with the two major basins: Marañon Basin in pink with mainstem Marañon River and Ucayali Basin in blue with Ucayali River mainstem.
Figure 2. Water level time series for REQ (Ucayali River) and SRG (Maranon River) gauge stations from 2000 to 2017.

Figure 3. Temporal series of water level for SRG in-situ gauge station (black line) and water level obtained from virtual station JA2191samiri (Jason 2 pathway 191) in Samiria River (dashed line).
Figure 4. Water level values during the oviposition starting dates at which 5% of the total nesting activity is complete (Julian days). Pacaya Basin in grey and Samiria Basin in black. Vertical dashed lines represent one standard deviation for water level and horizontal dashed lines two median absolute deviations (12 days) for oviposition dates. Median oviposition date July 23th (Julian day 204).

Figure 5. Julian day at which the 92 masl critical water level value occurs versus the Julian day of oviposition onset at 5% of nesting activity. Pacaya Basin in grey circles and Samiria Basin in black triangles. The black square on the line represents the Julian day 204.
Table 1. Virtual stations from different altimetric satellites and geographical coordinates used in this study.

| River          | Virtual Stations | Altimetric Satellite | Latitude | Longitude | Beginning date | End date | Interval (days) |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Pacaya River  | JA3191Pac_01     | Jason-3              | -5.8829  | -75.0969  | 02/24/2016     | 07/26/2018| 10             |
|               | JA3191Pac01      | Jason-3              | -5.6850  | -75.0183  | 02/24/2016     | 04/18/2018| 10             |
|               | S3A331Pacat03    | Sentinel-3A          | -5.5002  | -74.8296  | 06/25/2016     | 10/10/2018| 27             |
|               | S3A310Pacat04    | Sentinel-3A          | -5.2480  | -74.3894  | 12/29/2016     | 04/29/2018| 27             |
|               | S3A331Pucn02     | Sentinel-3A          | -5.8431  | -74.7527  | 12/31/2016     | 12/17/2017| 27             |
|               | S3A310Pucn01     | Sentinel-3A          | -6.0390  | -74.7094  | 12/31/2016     | 12/17/2017| 27             |
|               | S3A310Ucay03     | Sentinel-3A          | -5.7366  | -74.4983  | 12/29/2016     | 12/15/2017| 27             |
| Samiria River | S3A331Sami03     | Sentinel-3A          | -4.9681  | -74.9470  | 12/31/2016     | 05/28/2018| 27             |
|               | env422sami03     | Envisat              | -4.9663  | -74.9530  | 08/06/2012     | 09/28/2010| 35             |
|               | env551sami03     | Envisat              | -4.9640  | -74.9423  | 08/11/2012     | 10/03/2010| 35             |
|               | srl422sami03     | Saral                | -4.9663  | -74.9530  | 03/28/2013     | 02/11/2016| 35             |
|               | srl551sami03     | Saral                | -4.9640  | -74.9423  | 04/02/2013     | 04/26/2016| 35             |
|               | JA2191samiri     | Jason-2              | -5.0119  | -74.7810  | 07/19/2008     | 09/30/2016| 10             |
|               | S3A331sami02     | Sentinel-3A          | -5.2542  | -74.8834  | 12/31/2016     | 05/28/2018| 27             |
| Marañon River | S3A331SanPed     | Sentinel-3A          | -4.7641  | -74.9930  | 12/31/2016     | 05/28/2018| 27             |

Data was retrieved between the beginning and end dates, with different time intervals.

Table 2. Correlation coefficients ($r^2$) from the z-scored correlations between virtual stations and in situ gauging stations (Upstream – Downstream), and among virtual stations (North/South transects). Values of Fisher statistics (F), degrees of freedom (df) and p values are provided.

| Paired station names | r2  | F     | df  | p value |
|----------------------|-----|-------|-----|---------|
| Upstream             |     |       |     |         |
| PACAYA RIVER         |     |       |     |         |
|                      | S3A310Paca04 vs. REQ | 0.85 | 76.15 | 12 | 1.5E-06 |
|                      | S3A310Paca03 vs. REQ | 0.93 | 288.3| 22 | 4.0E-14 |
|                      | JA3191Pac01 vs. REQ  | 0.84 | 400.7| 75 | 2.2E-16 |
|                      | JA3191Pac_01 vs. REQ | 0.85 | 429.7| 75 | 2.2E-16 |
|                      | S3A331Pacat03 vs. S3A331Ucay02 (p) | 0.90 | 113.7| 11 | 3.9E-07 |
|                      | S3A310Pacat04 vs. S3A310Ucay04 (p) | 0.83 | 55.28| 10 | 2.2E-05 |
|                      | JA3191Pac_01 vs. JA2_204_Jusante Orellana | 0.89 | 257.6| 31 | 2.2E-16 |
|                      | S3A331Pacat03 vs. S3A331Ucay01 | 0.94 | 192.2| 12 | 9.5E-09 |
|                      | S3A310Pacat04 vs. S3A310Ucay03 | 0.88 | 85.96| 11 | 1.6E-06 |
| North/South transects|     |       |     |         |
| SAMIRIA RIVER        |     |       |     |         |
|                      | Multistation vs. SRG | 0.75 | 625.2| 211| 2.2E-16 |
|                      | #JA2191SAMIRI vs. SRG | 0.88 | 2131| 290| 2.2E-16 |
|                      | #S3A_331_Samiria02 vs. SRG | 0.90 | 143.7|  4 | 9.5E-09 |
|                      | #S3A331Sami02 vs. S3A331SanPed | 0.83 | 81.53|  9 | 1.1E-07 |
|                      | #S3A331Sami03 vs. S3A331SanPed | 0.78 | 57.28| 15 | 1.7E-06 |
| Downstream           |     |       |     |         |
| North/South transects|     |       |     |         |
Table 3. Dates of oviposition at 5% with its correspondent water levels registered for the same day in REQ for Pacaya Basin and SRG for Samiria Basin. CE stands for collecting effort (nests per kilometer per person).

| Year | PACAYA | | | | SAMIRIA | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Date at 5% of population oviposition | Water level at oviposition 5% (m) | Oviposition duration (days) | N° shifted days from median † | Date at 5% of population oviposition | Water level at oviposition 5% (m) | Oviposition duration (days) | N° shifted days from median † |
| 2003 | 27-Jul | 92.6 | 77 | 4 | 0.0148 | 29-Jul | 91.3 | 85 | 6 | 0.0194 |
| 2004 | 4-Aug | 92.2 | 53 | 12 | 0.0299 | 3-Aug | 92.6 | 66 | 11 | 0.0556 |
| 2005 | 16-Jul | 91.6 | 54 | -7 | 0.0349 | 16-Jul | 91.6 | 38 | -7 | 0.0984 |
| 2006 | 22-Jul | 90.9 | 55 | -1 | 0.0419 | 15-Jul | 90.5 | 69 | -8 | 0.0999 |
| 2007 | 21-Jul | 91.4 | 71 | -2 | 0.0401 | 16-Jul | 89.5 | 51 | -7 | 0.1295 |
| 2008 | 22-Jul | 92.2 | 58 | -1 | 0.084 | 21-Jul | 91.9 | 45 | -2 | 0.0568 |
| 2009 | 27-Jul | 92.3 | 59 | 4 | 0.0568 | 25-Jul | 93.0 | 67 | 2 | 0.0603 |
| 2010 | 23-Jul | 92.3 | 67 | 0 | 0.0338 | 2-Jul | 92.0 | 70 | -21 | 0.0205 |
| 2011 | 7-Aug | 91.1 | 48 | 15 | 0.0628 | 26-Jul | 92.5 | 76 | 3 | 0.0447 |
| 2012 | 26-Jul | 91.2 | 63 | 3 | 0.0571 | 13-Jul | 92.9 | 58 | -10 | 0.0415 |

† Positive values accounts for the number of days later than July 23rd, while negative values for days earlier than July 23rd. Highlighted values exceed two median absolute deviations.
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