Randomized controlled trial of a mindfulness-based intervention in adolescents from the general population: The Mindfulteen neuroimaging study protocol
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Abstract
Aim: Adolescence is a period of vulnerability to stress. Increased anxiety during this period has been associated with the later development of mental disorders, hence the growing interest for interventions that could decrease stress reactivity and improve cognitive control in adolescents. Mindfulness-based interventions have demonstrated their efficacy on stress reactivity and anxiety in adults, but evidence is lacking in youth.

Methods: The Mindfulteen Study is a 3-year longitudinal cohort with a nested randomized controlled trial examining the effectiveness of mindfulness-based interventions for adolescents. Young adolescents from the general population, aged between 13 and 15 years old, with no history of current mental health disorder (apart from past mood disorders or current anxiety disorders) are included and stratified into low or high anxiety based on trait anxiety scores before being randomized to early or late 8-week intervention groups. Primary outcomes are based on neuroimaging data (i.e., structural and functional measures in the cortico-limbic network) while secondary outcomes are psychological (i.e., anxiety and stress-associated dimensions) and biological (i.e., cortisol, inflammatory and redox markers). Assessments are performed at baseline, immediately after intervention or waiting time and after 18 months of intervention.

Conclusion: To the best of our knowledge, this is the first randomized controlled trial examining the effect of a mindfulness-based intervention in young adolescents from the general population based on the measurement and analyses of psychological, neuroimaging and biological data.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Much of the shaping of human emotional coping abilities and stress response occurs during adolescence (Paus et al., 2008; Romeo, 2010; Tottenham & Galván, 2016). Maturation of prefrontal regions during this period gradually leads to a greater cognitive control over limbic-related emotional reactivity. Although adolescents experience a period of great neural maturation, they are particularly vulnerable to stress (Paus et al., 2008). Increased stress reactivity during adolescence has been associated with increased vulnerability for psychiatric disorders (Aiello et al., 2012; Haifeman et al., 2017; Kozlowska, 2013; McGorry, 2013; Monroe & Harkness, 2005).

Failure to increase cognitive control during adolescence may lead to maladaptive emotion regulation strategies (Casey et al., 2010; Spear, 2013). Indeed, various mental disorders, which have emotion dysregulation in common (Mitchell et al., 2014; Zilverstand et al., 2017) display structural and functional abnormalities in prefrontal and limbic areas within the so-called ‘cortico-limbic’ network (Price & Drevets, 2012). The cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical network plays an important role in emotion regulation and develops during adolescence (Casey et al., 2019). Additionally, there is evidence from neuroimaging studies that abnormalities within this network could represent trait vulnerability markers for mood disorders (Klauser et al., 2015; Piguet et al., 2015), emotion dysregulation disorders (Murray et al., 2021) and other psychiatric disorders (Peters et al., 2016). This network seems particularly sensitive to stress exposure during adolescence (Tottenham & Galván, 2016).

There is growing evidence that during adolescence, premorbid, attenuated and non-specific symptoms such as subclinical levels of anxiety can be identified prior to the development of full-blown psychiatric symptoms. There is also emerging evidence that early interventions may be successful at decreasing stress reactivity in adolescents, by improving cognitive control. Those interventions could consequently have a major impact in mental health, by preventing the development of mental illness (Ratheesh et al., 2017; Rice et al., 2017). Therefore, there is a strong interest for the development of stage specific, rather than illness specific, early interventions which may be delivered before adolescents seek for mental help (Hickie et al., 2013).

In the context of the growing attractiveness of non-pharmacological treatments, interest in MBI has increased significantly in recent years. Mindfulness can be defined as the awareness that arises from paying attention, on purpose, in the present moment, with a non-judgmental attitude (Kabat-Zinn, 2017). Mindfulness can be considered a mental competence that is present to varying degrees in each individual (i.e., trait mindfulness) and that can be enhanced by practice (Marusak et al., 2018). Historically, mindfulness is rooted in contemplative traditions such as Eastern Buddhism, but nowadays, the practice of meditation can be secular and manualized (Crane et al., 2017). After its initial application for the treatment of chronic pain (Hilton et al., 2017), stress reduction and prevention of depressive relapses, MBIs are now widely implemented among clinical and non-clinical populations, from school-aged children to elderly populations (Alsubaie et al., 2017; Dawson et al., 2020; Poissant et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2020).

Recent findings directly support the use of mindfulness to improve physical and mental health in children and adolescents. Indeed, MBI has been shown to be feasible with adolescents in different outpatient settings (Vo et al., 2014) and a recent review found that mindfulness training is associated with improved quality of life in this population, suggesting that mindfulness could be introduced in the context of primary care visits (Lin et al., 2019). Recent meta-analyses reported a positive effect of MBI in adolescents, not only regarding psychopathology in clinical settings (Ali et al., 2017) but also on the levels of stress, anxiety and depression in non-clinical adolescent populations (Kallapiran et al., 2015). However, a recent meta-analysis highlighted the very limited number of well-designed studies assessing the effect of mindfulness in adolescents, pointing to the need for randomized controlled trials in this population (Ruiz-Íñiguez et al., 2020).

Neural correlates of MBI are still largely unknown. Neuroimaging studies in adults consistently reported structural and functional changes in brain regions involved in emotion processing and regulation (i.e., prefrontal, cingulate and insular cortices, hippocampus and amygdala) (Gotink et al., 2016; Guendelman et al., 2017), despite a high heterogeneity regarding the direction of the effect (i.e., decreased vs. increased) which may be driven by the type of meditation practice (Fox et al., 2016). In adolescents, studies are sparse and their findings heterogeneous (Celen et al., n.d.). Interestingly, higher scores of trait mindfulness in youth seems associated to higher flexibility between neural states, and increased capacity of shifting mental states may explain the link between higher mindfulness and lower anxiety (Marusak et al., 2018).

Regarding the impact of MBI on inflammation and redox status, there is a growing body of evidence in adults (Black & Slavich, 2016; Buric et al., 2017). However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no study on the effects of MBI on oxidative stress and/or inflammatory markers published so far in adolescents. As a stress-reduction measure, the impact of MBI on cortisol seems promising in adults (Sanada et al., 2016). Preliminary data in adolescents in the context of chronic pain also show a positive effect of MBI on cortisol levels (Chadi et al., 2016).

In summary, MBI is a well-tolerated, non-invasive and cost-effective intervention, with strong evidence supporting its efficacy in adults but not in adolescents. The Mindfulteen Study aims to understand the impact of MBI in adolescents from the general population, examining the modifications of brain circuits involved in emotion and stress reactivity, as well as clinical information and biological markers of stress. To achieve this goal, a randomized controlled translational trial integrating neuroimaging, clinical and biological outcomes of stress reactivity was designed. The overarching research question is whether MBI can decrease anxiety through increasing cognitive control and decreasing stress reactivity, in a non-clinical population of adolescents.

2 | OBJECTIVES

The overall objective of the Mindfulteen Study is to assess the effects of an 8-week MBI on reactivity to stress and anxiety, in a non-clinical
sample of young adolescents from the general population. Underlying psychological and biological changes are assessed using a multimodal brain MRI protocol, blood and hair sampling, as well as physiological measurements of stress.

The primary aim is to characterize brain functional modifications after 8-week MBI, in cortico-limbic and cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical networks (functional MRI data) and their underlying neuroanatomical changes (analysis of structural, spectroscopy and diffusion MRI data) in adolescents with low vs. high anxiety levels at baseline.

Secondary aims include the effects of MBI on self-reported levels of anxiety, emotion regulation strategies (clinical scores), physiological markers of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (hair cortisol) and autonomous system (heart rate variability) involvement, as well as biological markers of oxidative stress and inflammation (blood sampling).

3 | METHODS

3.1 | Study design and assessments

The Mindfulteen Study is a 3-year longitudinal cohort study with a nested randomized controlled trial (Figure 1(a)). After inclusion, participants are electronically randomized using the sealedenvelope® platform between either early intervention group or late intervention group (i.e., waiting list; Figure 1(b)). Participants allocated in the late intervention group engage in MBI after the waiting period, providing data also for the longitudinal cohort part of the study (Figure 1(c)).

Before randomization, participants are stratified according to enduring anxiety levels using their trait score on the State–Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children (STAI-C). Based on the median calculated in data previously collected by the Centre for Psychiatric Epidemiology and Psychopathology in schoolchildren \( n = 535; 10–15 \) yo from the Lausanne area in 1997–8 (by M. Preisig and C. Vandeleur, unpublished), we determined a cut-off value of 31 to divide our sample into a low (≤31) and a high anxiety group (>31).

Assessments are performed before intervention (V0 and V1), immediately after intervention or waiting period (V2) and in 18 months (V3), as detailed in Figure 2. Based on previous experience (Siffredi et al., 2021), investigators anticipate low attrition rate and therefore are confident to assure a high late follow up rate for this population of motivated participants. For the late intervention group, an additional assessment is performed immediately after the intervention (V2bis).

3.2 | Setting and eligibility criteria

The Mindfulteen Study is conducted in collaboration with the universities and university hospitals of Geneva and Lausanne, in Switzerland. Recruitment, clinical assessments and MBI are performed at the Geneva University Hospital. Creation of the biobank and biological assessments are held at the Centre for Psychiatric Neuroscience at Lausanne University Hospital/University of Lausanne. The Brain and Behaviour Laboratory at Geneva University provides MRI facilities.

The project aims to recruit 120 non-clinical adolescents between 13 and 15 years old. Therefore, all psychiatric conditions are exclusion criteria, apart from current anxiety disorders or past depressive

![FIGURE 1](a) Study Design.
The V2 bis visit is proposed to participants on the late MBI group, after the intervention.
(b) Nested randomized controlled trial. (c) Longitudinal cohort.
episode. Any current or recent psychotherapeutic follow-up is also an exclusion criteria. Furthermore, interest in partaking in a MBI is an inclusion criteria, creating a selected population of motivated teenagers. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown on Table 1.

### 3.3 Ethical approval and consent procedure

The Mindfulteen Study protocol was approved by the Geneva Regional Research Ethical Committee on January 9th, 2018 (CCER 2018-01731) and is published at clinicaltrials.com (NCT04711694).

Both the participant and the legal representative sign the informed consent forms at the first visit (V0).

### 3.4 Recruitment and restitution plan

Participants are recruited through advertisement material on social media (Facebook, Snapchat and Instagram), a website (www.mindfulteen.ch) and with flyers made available in adolescent clinics’ waiting rooms, collaborating private and public schools, yoga studios and fitness centers. All materials were submitted to the local ethics committee and are in accordance with the Swissethics checklist for recruitment of participants by means of advertising.

Participants are reimbursed for each visit (i.e., from 15 to 62 US$) but not for their participation in the MBI.

### 3.5 Intervention

The intervention consists of an 8-week MBI initial training, followed by 4 weeks of booster sessions. The MBI consists of 90 min group sessions of up to 12 participants once a week. Participants are also encouraged to practice individually every day with the help of a smartphone app. The overview of the intervention is presented in Appendix 1. In the case sanitary restrictions due to the Covid pandemic prevent face-to-face groups, the intervention will be held via videoconference.

| TABLE 1 | Eligibility criteria |
|---|---|
| **Inclusion criteria** | |
| 1. Age 13–15-year-old | |
| 2. Interest in participating in a mindfulness-based intervention | |
| 3. Francophone | |
| 4. Internet access and a compatible device (smartphone or tablet) | |
| 5. Availability for the study duration | |
| 6. Consent documented by signature | |
| 7. Parental consent as documented by signature | |
| **Exclusion criteria** | |
| 1. History of chronic somatic disease or significant medical condition | |
| 2. History of psychiatry disease, with the exception of a mood disorder resolved for at least 6 months or current anxiety disorder without comorbidities | |
| 3. History of psychotherapy in the last 6 months | |
| 4. History of regular meditation practice | |
| 5. Pregnancy | |
| 6. Known or suspected non-compliance | |
| 7. Known or suspected drug or alcohol abuse | |
| 8. Inability to participate on group sessions | |
| 9. Inability to undergo study’s procedures | |
| 10. Previous enrolment into the current study | |

*Internet and a compatible advice are demanded to run study’s application with the recorded guided meditations.

*If the definition of psychotherapy is not clear, the cut-off of more than six visits with a mental health professional in the previous 6 months is to be used.

---

**FIGURE 2** Mindfulteen study visits. ICF: Informed consent form; ER task: emotion regulation task; STAI: Strai and Trait Anxiety Inventory; MBI: Mindfulness-based intervention
The offered MBI is an in-house adaptation from MBCT (Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy) and MBSR (Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction) and other MBI protocols, specially designed for young adolescents. Adaptation to youth, besides language and instructors’ more active attitude, included shorter sessions, more guided (with less silence) and shorter mediation practices (between 2 and 10 min), mostly body-centered practices, and an entire session devoted to kindness and self-compassion. The same intervention was already used with success at Geneva university hospital (Siffredi et al., 2021). During the 8-week program, participants learn about attention and stabilization of attention, about the awareness of experiences such as breath, physical sensations, emotions and thoughts, gradually allowing the recognition of patterns of behaviour. They are also trained in cognitive behavioural principles, with a mindfulness-based approach. The facilitators (MMS and AM) are certified mindfulness instructors with a long-term training in mindfulness. At each session, participants are not only trained to formal meditation practices but also to a variety of informal practices and exercises. Participants are also encouraged to practice individually every day with the help of a smartphone app that was specifically designed for the study in order to (i), display a selection of guided meditation audios, (ii) monitor their individual practice habits (i.e., time of the day, frequency and duration) and (iii), display short questions about the way they feel after their practice. Each participant engages in 12 weekly sessions (8 weeks of the MBI program and 4 weeks of booster sessions). After completion of the 12 weeks training, weekly booster sessions and access to the smartphone app are still available to all participants, supporting a transition to a sustained practice.

3.6 | Outcomes measures

3.6.1 | Neuroimaging outcomes

All MRI data are acquired using the same 3T Magnetom TIM Trio scanner (Siemens, Germany) and 32-channel array coil, at the Brain and Behaviour Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva. Each MR-imaging session comprises the following: a magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition gradient (MPRAGE) sequence to assess grey and white matter volumes as well as cortical thickness, a diffusion spectrum imaging (DSI) sequence to investigate structural connectivity, a new in house spectroscopy imaging (MRSI) sequence to measure whole-brain neurochemical properties, see on peer-reviewed preprint (A. Klauser et al., 2020) and a functional Echo-Planar Imaging sequence (EPI-T2*) to record blood oxygen level signal, with a repetition time of 2100 ms, for both functional tasks and 8 min ‘resting state’ during which participants have for instructions to fix a white cross and let their mind wander, without falling asleep.

The functional task investigates the dynamics of fronto-limbic circuit recovery after a social laboratory stressor. It is well established that the most reliable and effective type of laboratory procedure involves a psychological stressor with a social component, for which the subject has little control. A successful adaptation of the classical Trier Social Stress Test (TSST) (Kirschbaum et al., 1993) to the MRI environment is the Montreal Imaging Stress Task (MIST), where subjects perform arithmetic tests and receive negative social feedback in the scanner (Dedovic et al., 2005). Here we use a local adaptation of this task, with the novelty to compare recovery after stressful and non-stressful conditions, and recovery after positive and negative feedbacks. To test for possible differences in the recovery from stress (besides reactivity per se), fMRI paradigm stress periods (arithmetic task) are followed by positive or negative feedback and are interwoven with resting state periods. Recent fMRI research shows that transient negative or positive emotional conditions can produce sustained changes in brain activity patterns during the post-emotion resting state (Eryilmaz et al., 2011). Participants perform two sessions of about 12 min each to get four repetitions of each condition (control, positive or negative feedback), which has proven to be sufficient (Murray et al., 2021). The team in charge of data acquisition will be trained to interact with participants in order to decrease unnecessary stress level in this vulnerable population.

3.6.2 | Clinical outcomes

Participants undergo a structured K-SADS interview on screening visit (Kaufman et al., 2000). Parents undergo a MINI (Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview, (Sheehan et al., 1997) questionnaire to investigate family history of psychiatric disorders. Parents are also invited to fill the Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (Jermann et al., 2006) and the Strength and difficulties questionnaire, parents’ version (Goodman, 2001), to complete clinical characterization.

Self-reported questionnaires are administered at each session of outcome assessment (V1, V2 and V2bis) and are listed on Table 2. The State Trait Anxiety Inventory For Children (STAI-C) is consistently applied as the first questionnaire and is adopted as the main anxiety measure (Turgeon & Chartrand, 2003). The selected questionnaires cover various dimensions of affective and stress reactivity, as well as trait mindfulness. All clinical variables are then transmitted and coded into a standardized database using Teleform® semi-automated program, and each data entry is double checked by the study coordinator.

3.6.3 | Biological outcomes

Averaged cortisol during the last month is measured using a hair strand collected with scissors from the vertex posterior region directly above the scalp at V1, V2 and V2bis. Measurement is done on the first centimetre of hair, using the liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS), as described by Binz et al. (2016).

Other biological measures are shown in Table 3. The blood collection is performed by an experienced paediatric nurse at fasting in the morning, after applying local anaesthesia with EMLA® patch. In total, five containers are collected: one 4.9 mL plain tube for hormones and four 7.5 mL EDTA tubes for redox and inflammatory markers. Samples are processed within few hours of collection and aliquots are stored frozen until analysis.
TABLE 2 Clinical outcomes: self-reported questionnaires applied before MBI, immediately after MBI and 18 months after MBI. Main variables are general functioning, anxiety, depression, functional symptoms, current affects, emotion regulation strategies, trait mindfulness

| Self-reported questionnaires | Clinical measurement/ purpose |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| State–trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (Gauthier & Bouchard, 1993) | Dimensional measure of anxiety, used in a large non-clinical literature, with separation of trait and state subtypes |
| Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (Beck & Steer, 1984) | Classical measure of depressive symptoms |
| Depression and anxiety stress scale (DASS-21) (Nababoo, 2015) | Combined measure of anxiety, depression and stress, added here in order to separate these close constructs |
| Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Childre (MASC) (Turgeon et al., 2006) | Clinical measure of anxiety related to DSM diagnostic categories |
| Strengths and difficulties Questionnaires for adolescents (Goodman, 2001) | Well-known general overview of different types of mental health-related problems: emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity-inattention, peer relationship problems |
| Somatoform dissociation questionnaire (SDQ-20) (Nijenhuis et al., 1998) | Measure of functional symptoms, a very common presentation of mental health difficulties during adolescence |
| Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) (Gaudreau et al., 2006) | Widely used measure of positive and negative affects, brief and easy to administer |
| Emotion Awareness Questionnaire (EAQ) (Lahaye et al., 2010) | 2 questions are used, to complete the ERQ questionnaire, more cognitive: bodily awareness and emotion differentiation |
| Child and Adolescent Mindfulness Measure (CAMM) (Dion et al., 2018) | Measure of trait mindfulness, a dimension related to response to MBI |
| Emotion regulation Questionnaire (ERQ) (Gullone & Taffe, 2011) | Description of dimensional cognitive strategies of emotion regulation |

Puberty markers will allow controlling for possible differences regarding pubertal development. At a later stage, multimodal combination of biological and neuroimaging variables will allow to test for more advanced models of anxiety, stress reactivity and related impact of MBI.

3.7 | Statistical analyses

The fMRI data will be analysed by standard statistical methods, using SPM12 (statistical parametric mapping, www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) software implemented in Matlab and in accordance with our previous studies (Murray et al., 2021; Piguet et al., 2013). Structural, DSI and spectroscopy data will be analysed in collaboration with experts in the field, following well-established pipelines.

TABLE 3 Biological markers collected before MBI, immediately after MBI and 18 months after MBI

| Inflammatory markers: |
|-----------------------|
| Pro-inflammatory molecules: C-reactive protein (CRP) and cytokines (IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF-a & MCP-1) levels |
| Pro-inflammatory transcription factors: nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NFκB) active form levels |
| Interaction factors between inflammation and oxidative stress: macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) levels, the matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP9) activity and the soluble form of the receptor for advanced glycation end-product (sRAGE) levels |

| Redox markers: |
|----------------|
| Antioxidant defence metabolites: glutathione (GSH) and cysteine levels |
| Antioxidant enzymes: superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity, glutathione peroxidase (GPx) & glutathione reductase (GR) activities, peroxiredoxin 4 (PRX4) level, thioredoxin (Trx) activity and peroxiredoxins (PRxs) redox status |
| Antioxidant transcription factors: nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) active form levels |
| Consequences of oxidative stress: malondialdehyde (MDA) levels, 8-Hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels and F2-isoprostanes levels |
| Mitochondrial oxidative stress marker: microRNA 137 levels |
| Polymorphism oxidative stress marker: microRNA 137 levels |
| Puberty markers: dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), oestradiol and testosterone levels |
| Stress-axis activation marker: morning cortisol level |

Concerning clinical and biological data, each type of measure will be extracted after data cleaning and analysed with the corresponding statistical model in SPSS or R software (t-tests, ANOVA or MANCOVA), considering potential confounders. Post-hoc analyses will be done and a two-sided $a = 0.05$ will be used as level of significance. Inter-subject regression analyses (between changes in clinical variables on the one hand, biological indices and performance measures on the other hand) will also be conducted. Given the large number of secondary measures, multilevel regression (MLM) will be used to maximize the longitudinal information of the dataset. Depending on the type of variable, we will report effect sizes when adapted and 95% confidence intervals as a measure of imprecision of the effect estimate. At the end of the project, the clinical database will be archived in a reusable format, encompassing all anonymized raw data, metadata and transformed data. Once the study is published, data sets can be accessed upon request to the researchers in charge.

3.8 | Determination of the sample size

Sample size was determined according to fMRI main outcome and based on data from a previous study using the same stress task with a population of young and vulnerable participants. The minimal sample size to detect a significant response for the main condition of the
MIST task, with a power of 95% and significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, was 51 subjects (Murray et al., 2021). Therefore, two groups of 60 subjects will allow for sufficient statistical power. Given the low attrition rate expected, the final sample size is 120 participants.

4 | HYPOTHESES

Regarding the randomized controlled trial (V1 × V2 data) part of the study, we expect participants from the early MBI group compared to the late intervention group to show decreased activity and volume in the amygdala, but increased activity and potentially increased volume in the anterior cingulate cortex, prefrontal cortex and striatal region. Following this, we expect increased connectivity indices between prefrontal structures and limbic areas, more particularly between PFC and amygdala. We also expect these changes to be correlated with expected decreases in anxiety, perceived stress, depression, functional symptoms and affective lability, and decreases in markers of HPA stress axis, inflammation and oxidative stress.

We expect the same range of effects on the before and after comparisons (V1 × V2 data for the early intervention group and V2 × V2bis for the late group), that is, the longitudinal cohort part of the study. We also expect that, at baseline, adolescents on the high anxiety group will show higher reactivity to stress compared to adolescents on the low anxiety group. Moreover, when comparing those two groups, we anticipate the effect of MBI will be more intense on the high anxiety group.

We anticipate that at least some of the effects will be enduring and observed at the long-term assessment (V1 × V3) and the maintenance of effects will be related to meditation practice, measured by participation in booster sessions and use of the study application.

5 | CONCLUSION

This paper presents the rationale and methodology of a clinical randomized controlled trial proposing MBI to young adolescents from the general population. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first randomized controlled trial integrating neuroimaging, clinical and biological outcomes of emotion and stress reactivity on youth exposed to MBI. We expect that MBI could help decrease stress reactivity and increase cognitive control, and that these changes would be observed on neuroimaging, clinical assessments and biological markers. Therefore, the authors expect to further extend the growing body of evidence regarding the effects and the specific mechanisms of change of MBI in youth, eventually facilitating the understanding of preventive and clinical indications of such approach.
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| Session | SESSION THREATS | Mindfulness attitude | Agenda | Home practice |
|---------|----------------|---------------------|--------|---------------|
| 1 | ATTENTION and AUTOPILOT | | | |
| | Introduction to attention and autopilot mode | Beginners Mind | Group and instructor introduction | Chart: Attention - where is my attention now? |
| | Mindfulness definition: *To pay attention to what happens in the present moment, with a curious and non-judgmental attitude.* | | Practice: Mindful eating – a mindful bite once a day |
| | Dialogue about attention awareness and focus of attention | | Practice: Grounding meditation |
| | The six channels: five senses and thoughts | Practice: Eating a raisin as an explorer | | |
| | Practice: Grounding mediation | | Practice: Grounding mediation |
| | Closure practice | | | |
| 2 | DISCOVERING THE BODY LANGUAGE | | | |
| | Discovering bodily sensations | Acceptance | Opening practice: Grounding meditation | Chart: Cool moment of the day |
| | Dialogue about home practice | | Practice: Doing mindfully something habitually done on autopilot |
| | Dialogue about sensations and sensation awareness | | Practice: Grounding meditation |
| | Practice: Lying down Body scan, with a component of contraction and relaxation at the beginning | | Practice: Body scan |
| | Practice: Seated body scan | | | |
| | Closure practice | | | |
| 3 | ATTENTION STABILIZATION | | | |
| | Discovering the breath | Non-striving | Opening practice: Grounding meditation | Practice: Body scan |
| | Dialogue about the breath and it’s use as a possible anchor | | Practice: 3 min break |
| | Practice: The 3 min break | | Practice: Stop and breath |
| | Practice: Stop and breath | | | |
| | Practice: Siting practice focusing on breath | | | |
| | Closure practice | | | |
| 4 | RECOGNIZING EMOTIONS | | | |
| | Recognizing emotions from bodily sensations | Patience | Opening practice: Grounding meditation | Chart: Emotions - recognizing links between sensations, thoughts and behaviour |
| | Dialogue about home practice | | Practice: The 3 min break |
| | Dialogue about emotions: recognizing emotions from bodily sensations and the link between sensations, thoughts and behaviour. | | Practice: Sitting meditation including emotions (internal forecast) |
| | Practice: Sitting meditation including emotions | | Drawing and naming the identified emotion |
| | Draw and naming the identified emotion | | Closure practice |
| 5 | RECOGNIZING THOUGHTS | | | |
| | I’m much more than my thoughts | Non-judging | Opening practice: Grounding meditation | Practice: Sitting meditation including emotions |
| | Dialogue about home practice | | Practice: Sitting meditation including emotions |
| | Walking down the street exercise | | Practice: Walking mediation |
| | Discussion about thoughts and emotions | | | |
| | Practice: walking meditation | | | |
| | Closure practice | | | |
| 6 | AUTOMATIC REACTION OR CONSCIOUS RESPONSE? | | | |
| | Exploring stressors and stress reaction | Letting go | Opening practice: Grounding meditation | Chart: Identifying qualities on others |
| | Dialogue about home practice | | Practice: 5 min to deal with stress |
| | Practice: Open awareness | | Practice: Walking mediation |
| | Quick board game: identifying stress reaction | | Practice: Open awareness |
| Session | SESSION THEMESession intentions session mindfulness attitude | Agenda | Home practice |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------|
|         | Discussion about stressors and stress reaction strategies  |       |                |
|         | Practice: 5 min to deal with stress                         |       |                |
|         | Closure practice                                            |       |                |
| 7       | KINDNESS                                                    |       |                |
|         | Being kind to oneself and to others                        | Opening practice: Grounding meditation | Letter to oneself: |
|         | Gratitude and generosity                                    | Dialogue about home practice | What did I learned and I do not want to forget? |
|         |                                                               | Discussion about kindness and compassion | What did I learn about myself? |
|         |                                                               | Exercise about our own qualities | Which meditations do I want to keep practicing? |
|         |                                                               | Practice: finding refuge | Practice: Refuge |
|         |                                                               | Drawing our refuge | Practice: Chose another meditation to practice |
|         |                                                               | Mindful listening exercise |                        |
|         |                                                               | Dialogue about communications and social media |                        |
|         |                                                               | Closure practice |                        |
| 8       | CLOSURE AND OPENNESS                                        | Opening practice: Grounding meditation |                        |
|         | Integrating the program                                     | Dialogue about home practice |                        |
|         | Trust                                                        | Practice: sitting meditation about the program |                        |
|         |                                                               | Satisfaction questionnaire |                        |
|         |                                                               | How to facilitate our own practice |                        |
|         |                                                               | Closing ritual |                        |