Identification of sawflies and horntails (Hymenoptera, ‘Symphyta’) through DNA barcodes: successes and caveats
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Abstract

The ‘Symphyta’ is a paraphyletic assemblage at the base of the order Hymenoptera, comprising 14 families and about 8750 species. All have phytophagous larvae, except for the Orussidae, which are parasitoids. This study presents and evaluates the results of DNA barcoding of approximately 5360 specimens of ‘Symphyta’, mainly adults, and 4362 sequences covering 1037 species were deemed of suitable quality for inclusion in the analysis. All extant families are represented, except for the Anaxyelidae. The majority of species and specimens are from Europe, but approximately 38% of the species and 13% of the specimens are of non-European origin. The utility of barcoding for species identification and taxonomy of ‘Symphyta’ is discussed on the basis of examples from each of the included families. A significant level of cryptic species diversity was apparent in many groups. Other attractive applications include the identification of immature stages without the need to rear them, community analyses based on metabarcoding of bulk samples and association of the sexes of adults.
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Introduction

The Hymenoptera is a megadiverse order of insects with more than 155 000 described species (Aguiar et al. 2013). The ‘Symphyta’, commonly known as sawflies and horntails, comprises about 8750 species in 818 genera (of these, respectively, 8335 and 631 are extant), or ca. 6.5% of the Hymenoptera species [data based on SDEI (Senckenberg Deutsches Entomologisches Institut) database, accessed December 2015, and Taeger et al. 2010]. The group, which in the extant fauna comprises 14 families, is a paraphyletic assemblage basal to the Apocrita (bees, stinging wasps and ants; Sharkey et al. 2012). Phytophagy of larvae is the common denominator of all symphytan species except the Orussidae, which are parasitoids of wood-boring insects. Many sawfly species are of economic importance because their larvae cause considerable damage to agricultural crops, forests and ornamental plants (Pschorr-Walcher 1982; Hill 1983, 1987). Sawflies are often regarded as a neglected group of insects, and many taxa are difficult to identify due to morphological uniformity or because their taxonomy has not been sufficiently investigated. The fact that identification keys for many groups are outdated provides a further incentive for applying DNA barcodes for species identification and for revealing cryptic species diversity.

The present DNA barcode release represents the first step towards creating a comprehensive global DNA barcode library for the suborder ‘Symphyta’. The initial phase of this work was part of the ‘Barcoding Fauna Bavaria’ project of the SNSB-Zoologische Staatssammlung München (ZSM), Germany, which commenced in 2009 (Hendrich et al. 2010; Hausmann et al. 2012, 2013a, b). The project aims to assemble DNA barcodes for all Bavarian animal species. In 2010, the ‘Barcodes of Symphyta’ project started at the SDEI, aiming to assemble...
DNA barcodes of ‘Symphyta’ species with a global scope. The ‘German Barcode of Life’ (GBOL) project provided additional sequences after it commenced in 2012. All projects operated in close cooperation with the Biodiversity Institute of Ontario within the framework of the International Barcode of Life (iBOL) project. All sequences and associated specimen data are available through the Barcode of Life Database (BOLD, www.boldsystems.org).

Despite a strong emphasis on European species of ‘Symphyta’, specimens from elsewhere were used whenever material suitable for DNA barcoding was available. The sequences of non-European material, accounting for about 38% of the species, are included in the present release because their open access status is potentially useful for identification purposes and can be an incentive for further DNA barcoding efforts on all continents.

Materials and methods

Sampling

Specimens used for barcoding were primarily taken from the collections of the ZSM and the SDEI. Between 2009 and 2014, about 7850 specimens of about 1330 species of ‘Symphyta’ were processed, including about 290 species awaiting formal description. Species definitions were based on traditional morphological characters. This barcode collection represents about one quarter of the ‘Symphyta’ specimens and half of the ‘Symphyta’ species present in BOLD at the time of this release (24.5% and 49.0%, respectively, January 2016).

Typically, a single leg was removed and isolated from each adult specimen, after which the leg samples were sent to the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (CCDB) in Guelph, Canada, for DNA extraction and barcode sequencing. In a few cases, parts of larvae were used for analysis. Specimens were identified using the most recent literature for each taxon and, if required, by comparing specimens with doubtful identifications with correctvying individuals from the collections of the SDEI and the ZSM. A complete list of voucher specimens included in the current release is given in Appendix S1 (Supporting information).

The nomenclature and classification used in the present release are based on the World Catalogue of ‘Symphyta’ (Taeger et al. 2010). Since publication of this catalogue, extensive phylogenetic analyses have led to the proposal of several changes in the classification of ‘Symphyta’, including the elevation of the Heptamelini (Tenthredinidae) to family level, and the Athalini to subfamily level (Malm & Nyman 2015; see also Schulmeister 2003a who suggested a raise to family level). Additional changes affected the Nematinae, with several genera now being subsumed under Euura (Prous et al. 2014), and the Pergidae, with the Euryinae now included in the Perreyiinae, and the Phylacteophaginae placed within the Acordulecerinae (Schmidt & Walter 2014). These changes have not been adopted in the present study because they have yet to be implemented in BOLD. A comparison between the classification currently used in BOLD and the most recent including all major modifications is given in Appendix S2 (Supporting information). Scientific names throughout the text are cited without author and year (except for species that are mentioned but not present in the data set). A list of all species included in this release and the currently accepted name for each species with authorship information is given in Appendix S1 (Supporting information).

DNA sequencing

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing were conducted at the CCDB using standardized high-throughput protocols (Ivanova et al. 2006; deWaard et al. 2008), available online under www.ccdb.ca/resources.php. The sequenced fragment starts from the 5’ end of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase c (COI) gene and includes the standard 658-bp barcode region of the animal kingdom (Hebert et al. 2003). The DNA extracts are stored at the CCDB, but aliquots of ZSM vouchers will eventually be deposited in the ZSM DNA-Bank facility that is part of the DNA-Bank Network (see www.dnabank-network.org). Similarly, aliquots of SDEI vouchers are deposited in the DNA storage facility of the SDEI. Successfully sequenced specimens are listed in Appendix S1 (Supporting information), together with sequence lengths and numbers of unresolved bases. Specimen data are accessible in BOLD and include collecting locality, geographic coordinates, elevation, collector, one or more digital images, identifier and voucher depository. All specimen data are accessible on BOLD through the following doi: 10.5883/DS-RSYM. Sequences obtainable through BOLD include a detailed Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) report, primer information and trace files. These data are also available through GenBank (for Accession nos, see Appendix S1, Supporting information).

Data Analysis

Distances among barcode sequences were calculated and a neighbour-joining tree built using the Kimura two-parameter model. Barcode Index Numbers (BINs) were assigned by the BOLD system, representing globally unique identifiers for clusters of sequences that correspond closely to biological species (Ratnasingham & Hebert 2013). BINs can be regarded as molecular
Results and discussion

About 7500 specimens of ‘Symphyta’ were processed, of which 5360 specimens yielded sequences, representing 1126 species or subspecies. Country of origin of most specimens is Germany (2388), followed by France (420), Switzerland (306), Greece (281), Austria (250), China (236), Italy (198) and 57 other countries (Appendix S1, Supporting information). The number of specimens and species with sequences is given in Table 1 for each family of ‘Symphyta’. The age of specimens ranged from 1 to 34 years, with about two-thirds (63%) being between 1 and 5 years old (Fig. 1).

Eighty-one per cent (4362) of the voucher specimens yielded sequences meeting the requirements for inclusion in further analyses, that is a minimum length of 500 bp and less than 1% ambiguous bases. The excluded sequences ranged from 400 to 499 bp (879 sequences), 300 to 399 bp (44), 200 to 299 (70) and <200 bp (six sequences). The 4362 sequences used for the analysis cover 1037 species from 170 genera and 13 families of sequences. The analyses are based on sequences with a minimum length of 500 bp and <1% ambiguous bases.

Table 1 Number of world species of ‘Symphyta’ (extant species, without subspecies and unplaced taxa), and specimens and species (including subspecies) in the present release with sequences (including sequences <500 bp)

| Family          | Number of world species | Number of specimens | Number of species |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Argidae         | 918                     | 209                 | 49              |
| Blasticotomidae | 12                      | 9                   | 1               |
| Cephalicidae    | 171                     | 94                  | 29              |
| Cimbicidae      | 184                     | 103                 | 32              |
| Diprionidae     | 145                     | 51                  | 12              |
| Megalodontesidae| 42                      | 97                  | 22              |
| Orussidae       | 88                      | 5                   | 2               |
| Pamphiliidae    | 297                     | 135                 | 43              |
| Pergidae        | 451                     | 68                  | 47              |
| Siricidae       | 150                     | 14                  | 5               |
| Tenthredinidae  | 5686                    | 4467                | 853             |
| Allantinae      | [754]                   | [538]               | [95]            |
| Blennocampinae  | [647]                   | [318]               | [53]            |
| Heterarthrinae  | [247]                   | [126]               | [39]            |
| Nematinae       | [1251]                  | [1161]              | [295]           |
| Selandriinae    | [1012]                  | [605]               | [76]            |
| Tenthredinidae  | [1775]                  | [1719]              | [295]           |
| Xiphydriidae    | 120                     | 10                  | 4               |
| Xyelidae        | 72                      | 98                  | 27              |
| Total           | 8336                    | 5360                | 1126            |

Number of world species of ‘Symphyta’ (extant species, without subspecies and unplaced taxa), and specimens and species (including subspecies) in the present release with sequences (including sequences <500 bp). Numbers in brackets are totals for the subfamilies of Tenthredinidae.

Tenthredinidae (69 species; Table 2). On the other hand, comparatively low interspecific distance (<2%) is found in many taxa and is particularly common in the Selandriinae (37 species) and Nematinae (105 species), and also in the Xyelidae (12 species, Table 2). The maximum within-family divergence varied considerably across families and ranged from 9.5% in the Xiphydriidae to 35.7% in the Siricidae, with intermediate values in the Megalodontesidae (17%), Pamphiliidae (23.2%), Diprionidae (23.4%), Cimbicidae (26.0%), Cephalicidae (28.9%), Argidae (29.8%), Xyelidae (29.2%), Pergidae (31.9%) and Tenthredinidae (32.9%).

In the following discussion, families are arranged following the phylogenies proposed by Sharkey et al. (2012) for the ‘Symphyta’ and Schulmeister (2003a) for the Tenthredinoidea. Subfamilies of the Tenthredinidae are dealt with in alphabetical order.

Xyelidae

The Xyelidae comprises 72 extant species worldwide (Taeger et al. 2010; Blank et al. 2013). This group has received attention because of its position as sister to the rest of the Hymenoptera (Sharkey et al. 2012) and its old age (Ronquist et al. 2012). Larval hosts are either
coniferous trees (Xyelinae, comprising the majority of species worldwide, and all European ones) or angiosperm trees (Macroxyelinae). No reports of economic damage caused by Xyelidae have been made.

Among the West Palearctic taxa, barcode sequences are available for eight of the 13 species, and six of these are diagnostic at the species level (Appendix S3, Supporting information). Only *Xyela julii* and *X. obscura* share the same BIN, but can be discriminated by colour and morphological characters of adults, as well as by their host plants, *Pinus sylvestris* and *P. mugo*, respectively (Blank 2002). *Xyela* species are generally poor in taxonomically useful characters, and morphologically, highly similar species may be associated with the same host (Blank et al. 2005; Appendix S3, Supporting information). Even in the comparatively well-known European fauna, the existence of two different BINs in *X. menelaus* and the separation of *X. sp. 012 Portugal* from *X. graeca* by a distance of 1.86% (Appendix S3, Supporting information) suggests the presence of undescribed, cryptic species. It was possible to confirm records of larval host plants by matching sequence data of adults with larvae extracted from staminate cones of pines, including *X. alpigena* (*Pinus cembra*) and *X. bakeri* (*P. sabiniana*) (Blank 2002; Blank et al. 2013). Palearctic *Xyela* species have been found to be generally monophagous (Blank et al. 2013), but BIN sharing of *Xyela* larvae extracted from different pine species in the western United

![Fig. 1](image_url) Specimen age (in years since collection) for all sequences (dotted areas indicate specimens that did not produce any sequences).

| Taxon          | Sequences analysed | Species with high (>2%) intraspecific distance | Species with low (<2%) distance to another species | Minimum between-species distance (%) | Maximum within-species distance (%) | Mean within-species distance (%) |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Argidae        | 203                | 11                                            | 13                                              | 0                                   | 8.87                              | 0.94                             |
| Blasticotomidae| 9                  | 0                                             | 0                                               | N/a                                 | 0.16                              | 0.04                             |
| Cephidae       | 79                 | 3                                             | 4                                               | 0                                   | 7.87                              | 1.17                             |
| Cimbicidae     | 91                 | 1                                             | 4                                               | 0.32                                | 3.59                              | 0.43                             |
| Diprionidae    | 35                 | 2                                             | 2                                               | 0.81                                | 6.09                              | 1.41                             |
| Megalodontesida| 92                 | 4                                             | 5                                               | 0.46                                | 8.78                              | 0.87                             |
| Orussidae      | 4                  | 0                                             | 0                                               | 19.87                               | 0.15                              | 0.10                             |
| Pamphiliidae   | 122                | 11                                            | 11                                              | 0                                   | 7.09                              | 1.53                             |
| Pergidae       | 68                 | 0                                             | 0                                               | 4.23                                | 4.90                              | 0.64                             |
| Siricidae      | 12                 | 0                                             | 0                                               | 18.6                                | 2.10                              | 0.81                             |
| Tenthredinidae |                    |                                               |                                                 |                                     |                                   |                                  |
| Allantinae     | 439                | 25                                            | 28                                              | 0                                   | 13.24                             | 1.50                             |
| Blennocampinae | 256                | 9                                             | 11                                              | 0                                   | 7.74                              | 0.84                             |
| Heterarthrinae | 98                 | 1                                             | 8                                               | 0.15                                | 7.57                              | 0.47                             |
| Nematinae      | 902                | 63                                            | 105                                             | 0                                   | 11.28                             | 1.08                             |
| Selandriinae   | 504                | 25                                            | 37                                              | 0                                   | 8.73                              | 0.69                             |
| Tenthredininae | 1350               | 69                                            | 96                                              | 0                                   | 10.45                             | 0.87                             |
| Xiphydriidae   | 9                  | 0                                             | 0                                               | 5.49                                | 0.96                              | 0.65                             |
| Xyelidae       | 89                 | 11                                            | 12                                              | 0                                   | 14.72                             | 1.66                             |
| Total          | 4362               | 235                                           | 338                                             | 0.93                                |                                   |                                  |

© 2016 The Authors. *Molecular Ecology Resources* Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
States suggests oligophagy for some Nearctic species (Appendix S3, Supporting information).

Pamphiliidae

The Pamphiliidae (‘web-spinning sawflies’) is a moderately sized family with nearly 300 extant species worldwide (Taeger et al. 2010). It consists of the Cephalciinae associated with coniferous trees and the Pamphiliinae associated, with few exceptions, with deciduous trees. Some species of Cephalciinae may occasionally reach pest status and can cause economic damage to spruce and pine (Pschorn-Walcher 1982; Viitasaaari 2002).

The barcode sequence data include 41 species, represented by 46 BINs. The comparatively large proportion of species with low interspecific distances (Table 2, Appendix S3, Supporting information) is mostly caused by the high number of such cases in Cephalcia (9 of the 11 species). This genus has been regarded as taxonomically difficult because of the low degree of morphological variation among species and the high level of intraspecific colour variation (Enslin 1912; Battisti et al. 1998). Within the genus, several BINs consist of two or more species; for example, BOLD:AAK0589 comprises four species (Appendix S3, S4, Supporting information), viz. C. arvensis, C. lariciphila, C. erythrogaster and C. intermedia. At the same time, some of these species exhibit BIN divergence, including C. arvensis occurring in five BINs and C. lariciphila in three BINs. The genus Cephalcia requires further study with a larger data set (21 of the 46 BINs are represented by singletons), re-evaluation of morphological characters and the use of additional genetic markers.

Megalodontesidae

Currently, 42 species of the exclusively Palearctic Megalodontesidae are considered to be valid (Taeger et al. 2010). However, several additional species are still undescribed and about 50 species are expected altogether (Taeger 2002 and unpublished data). Known larval hosts are Apiaceae; records of Rutaceae and Lamiaceae require confirmation (Liston & Spath 2011). No species has ever been regarded as a pest. On the other hand, records of Megalodontes in Western Europe can be interpreted as indicative of the above average value to nature conservation of the sites where they occur.

Barcode sequences are available for 91 specimens, representing 21 nominal taxa. High intraspecific distances (>2%) were found in four taxa, including Megalodontes flabellicornis, M. thor and M. flavicornis. Megalodontes phaenicius represents a group of taxonomically uncertain species (Taeger 2002). The species M. plagiocephalus, M. panzeri and M. turcicus are morphologically very similar and also share barcodes. Megalodontes quinquecinctus and M. spinaeae share the same BIN. However, they represent morphologically well-separated species despite being separated genetically by a distance of only 0.77% (Appendix S3, Supporting information, Taeger 1998a). The remaining taxa are well supported by the barcoding data, and even morphologically very similar species like M. cephalotes/thor and M. eversmanni/reitteri are clearly distinguished by their barcodes.

Blasticotomidae

Blasticotoma filiceti, the single analysed species of this small family with 14 extant species in two genera worldwide (Taeger et al. 2010), can be identified unambiguously using DNA barcodes. All Blasticotomidae species are thought to be associated with ferns. Apart from occasional damage to ferns grown in gardens, the species have no economic significance.

Argidae

The Argidae is, with about 920 known species, the second largest family of ‘symphyta’ after the Tenthredinidae (Taeger et al. 2010). The collective host plant spectrum of Argidae is very wide, if the world fauna is considered. However, in Europe, a large number of species, particularly of Arge, feed upon woody angiosperms, while all known larvae of Aprosthemina are attached to herbaceous Fabaceae (e.g. Taeger et al. 1998; Vikberg 2004). Species such as Arge pullata on birch sometimes defoliate their hosts, but the main economic risk resulting from outbreaks of this species is poisoning of farm livestock after ingestion of larvae (Thamsborg et al. 1987).

Using DNA barcodes, 35 of the 47 morphologically defined argid species included in our data set can be identified to species level, whereas eight species belonging to two species complexes exhibit barcode sharing (Appendix S4, Supporting information). The Arge clavicornis group is represented by eight nominal species, viz. Arge ciliaris, A. expansa, A. fuscipes, A. nigripes, A. pullata, A. shawi, A. sorbi and A. ustulata. Of these, three species show a comparatively high intraspecific distance of 2.82% (A. fuscipes), 2.43% (A. nigripes) and 2.18% (A. ustulata), whereas their interspecific distance (i.e. minimum distance to the next neighbour) ranges from 0.00 to 0.17% (Appendix S3, Supporting information). Low levels of genetic differentiation in the A. clavicornis group are often paralleled by small differences in morphology (Schedl & Pschorn-Walcher 1984; Smith 1989), which sometimes are regarded as intraspecific variability (see, e.g. treatment of A. ustulata by Zhelochovtsev & Zinovjev 1994), but often the larvae are associated with different host plants (Taeger et al. 1998).

Another species group exhibiting low genetic differentiation includes the widespread Palearctic species
A. melanochra and specimens of A. cingulata from Iran. Specimens from sympatric populations of the two species in Iran show low interspecific differences, and they may therefore be conspecific colour forms.

Six species of Argidae are associated with more than one BIN, including Arge cyanocrocea and A. pagana with two BINs each, A. ochropus with four BINs, Stercito phora angelicae with three BINs, and A. rustica and A. scita with five BINs each (Appendix S3, Supporting information). Maximum intraspecific differences in these taxa range from 3.30% (A. cyanocrocea) to 8.87% (A. pagana). In at least two cases (A. cyanocrocea and A. scita), these differences occur in sympatric populations and may therefore indicate the presence of cryptic species rather than intraspecific variation of widespread species as proposed earlier (Gussakovskij 1935; Pesarini 2002).

Aproceros leucopoda, a severe defoliator of elms that is invasive in Europe at least since 2003 (Blank et al. 2014), can be identified unambiguously by its barcode (Appendix S3, Supporting information). Sequences of females from Austria, Romania and Russia and of a larva collected from elm in Yunnan, China, correspond well (0.92% intraspecific variation) and confirm the East Asian origin of this exclusively parthenogenetic species that can occur as pest (Blank et al. 2010).

Pergidae

The Pergidae is a moderately sized family with 441 described species (Schmidt & Smith 2016) and, after the Tenthredinidae and Argidae, the third largest family of ‘Symphyta’. The family has a ‘Gondwanan’ distribution with most species occurring in Australia and South America. Food plants are extremely diverse, but data are lacking for most species, and better known for the Australian fauna than for the Neotropics. Many Australian species feed on species of Eucalyptus (sensu lato), others have such divergent food sources as dead or dying leaves, aquatic ferns, or fungi (Schmidt & Smith 2006).

Barcode sequence data are available for 47 species of Pergidae, covering all subfamilies except the South American Parasyzygoniinae. All species can unambiguously be identified using barcodes. In a few species, the barcoding data revealed the presence of undescribed species, supporting the notion that the family contains several groups of taxonomically unresolved species complexes that need to be clarified (Benson 1939; Macdonald & Ohmart 1993; Schmidt et al. 2006).

Diprionidae

While the Diprionidae is a relatively small family with an estimated 145 species worldwide (Taeger et al. 2010), it ranks among the economically most important groups of sawflies. Diprionid larvae feed on coniferous trees, in particular spruce and pine, and can cause considerable damage during outbreaks (Pscorn-Walcher 1982).

The present release includes 12 species in six genera, including the major pest species Diprion pini and Neodi pion sertifer. All species can be identified using barcodes, although D. similis is represented only by three shorter sequences of 418–419 bp. High intraspecific diversity occurs in Monocotenus juniperi (five BINs, 6.09% intraspecific distance), Gilpinia frutetorum (three BINs, 3.47% intraspecific variation) and Gilpinia polytoma (two BINs, 1.32%).

Cimbicidae

Host plant data for the ca. 190 species in this family are mostly available only for Palearctic and Nearctic taxa. Species of Cimbex, Trichiosoma, Pratia and Pseudoclavellaria (Cim bicinae) are associated with woody angiosperms, Abia (Abiinae) is mainly on herbaceous or woody Dipsaceae, and Corynis (Corynidinae) larvae feed on diverse families of herbaceous eudicots. Economically, Cimbicidae are seldom of much significance, except for Cimbex quadriscutatus as an orchard pest in Southern Europe (Cingovski 1965; Özbek 2014).

Barcode sequences were obtained for 28 species in six genera and, with the exception of Trichiosoma tibiale, all species can be unambiguously identified using barcodes. The single specimen of T. tibiale is placed in one of the three different BIN clusters representing T. lucorum, which reflects the taxonomically chaotic state of Trichiosoma. Species of Cimbex and Trichiosoma are morphologically variable, and their identification by morphological characters is often problematic (Gussakovskij 1947; Tae ger 1998b). The barcode data will facilitate correct identification of species, although some of them possess high genetic variation (e.g. 3.72% in T. lucorum, Appendix S3, Supporting information). The taxonomy of problematic cimbicid genera needs to be re-evaluated using morphological and molecular data and broader taxon sampling, as the current data set includes only two Trichiosoma species. Analyses would probably also benefit from incorporation of specimen-level data on larval host plants.

Tenthredinidae: Allantinae

The phylogeny of Allantinae, Blennocampinae and Heter arthrinae is still poorly understood. The classification and nomenclature used here follows the traditional views, with Athaliini treated as a tribe within the Allantinae, although recent phylogenetic analyses suggest that the Athaliini is only distantly related to the rest of Allantinae. Schulmeister (2003b) suggested that additional substantial changes to the classification of these taxa will be required (but see Malm & Nyman 2015).
The Allantinae includes worldwide about 110 genera and 900 species (Taeger et al. 2010). The host plant spectrum includes a wide range of herbaceous and woody eudicots. Economic damage by some species has been recorded in horticulture, for example Allantus cinctus on Fragaria and Rosa (Martelli 1941; Scheiblerreiter 1973), as well as to forest trees, for example Monsoma pulveratum as an invasive pest on Alnus in North America (Kruse et al. 2010).

Barcode sequences are available for 15 genera and 90 morphologically identified species, of which 80% (73, including singletons and possibly undescribed species) can be recognized based on their barcodes and have a >2% distance to their nearest neighbour. The results indicate that many genera contain species groups that include cryptic species (e.g. Monsoma pulveratum, Xenaptes similis and Allantus viennensis, cf. Appendix S3, Supporting information), species that are genetically so close that they cannot be distinguished using barcodes (two species groups in Empria and one in Apethymus), or the sources of discordance between barcoding and morphology-based taxonomy can only be resolved after major taxonomic revision (e.g. for two species groups of Allantus, involving 10 species). In addition, several genera include possibly undescribed species that were recognized from morphological data and that are supported by barcoding results (two species in each of the genera Allantus, Ametastegia and Empria). Allantus (16 species barcoded) is, according to our barcoding results, taxonomically the most challenging taxon in the Allantinae. The need for taxonomic revision is most obvious in two species complexes, the first containing eight species (A. basalis, A. calcatus, A. cinctus, A. cingillum, A. cingulatus, Allantus 002 nr. cingulatus Iran, A. rufocinctus and A. truncatus) and the other two species (A. didymus and A. laticinctus; cf. Appendix S5, Supporting information). Allantus ariadne from Cyprus, a species close to A. didymus and A. laticinctus, had already been described as new based on barcoding data (Liston & Jacobs 2012). Empria, with 20 species barcoded, includes two species groups with altogether seven species that cannot be distinguished using barcoding (Appendix S3, Supporting information). For separation of these species, ITS1 and ITS2 sequences have been shown to work much better (Prous et al. 2011).

The genus Athalia is, together with related genera, nowadays treated as a separate subfamily Athaliinae, following Schulmeister (2003b) and Malm & Nyman (2015). Larval hosts are herbaceous eudicots, particularly Brassicaceae and Lamiales (Opitz et al. 2011). Economically significant damage to crops of cultivated Brassicaceae is frequently caused by some Athalia species (Benson 1962). Athalia and Hypsathalia, with 21 species and 115 specimens barcoded, contain a large number of putatively cryptic species, in particular in A. ancilla, A. circularis, A. cordata, A. cornubiae, A. incompta, as well as in the turnip sawfly A. rosae, a species of which populations can reach pest status (Hill 1987, Appendix S3, Supporting information).

Tenthredinidae: Blennocampinae, Heterarthrinae

The Blennocampinae is a morphologically and biologically highly diverse assemblage, currently regarded to comprise about 650 species in one hundred genera (Taeger et al. 2010). Larval host plants include a broad spectrum of herbaceous and woody eudicots, and less frequently monocots. A number of species cause significant damage to trees, for example Tomostethus nigritus on Fraxinus (Austară 1991). In contrast to the Blennocampinae, with mostly exophytic larvae, the leaf-mining Heterarthrinae is a monophylum (when Caliroa and Endelomyia are moved to the Blennocampinae; Leppänen et al. 2014; Malm & Nyman 2015) and contains about 170 species (Taeger et al. 2010).

Blennocampinae. Two European Ardis species are recognized at present, but their barcodes segregate under two shared BINs (Appendix S3, Supporting information) that do not correlate with the colour characters currently used to separate the species (Blank & Taeger 1998). Within Claremontia, barcodes are available for most of the European species that are currently treated as valid, and the barcode differences are in general sufficient for reliable identification. Since identification based on morphology is sometimes difficult, barcoding should be very useful in this genus. Claremontia puncticeps exhibits genetic divergence and is split into three BINs that require taxonomic reappraisal. Barcoding results for Periclista also seem promising, but a number of species have not yet been sampled. Specimens identified as Monaphadnus pallescens and Monaphadnus sp. show BIN divergence and confirm the notion that the taxonomy of this species complex is inadequately resolved (Prieto et al. 2007; Blank et al. 2009). The three sampled European EURHADINOCERAE species (the two remaining species are extremely rare) display very divergent barcodes. While the adults are easily distinguished using colour characters, barcoding is essential for separating their larvae, which share the same host plant genus (Clematis). Eutomostethus ephiippium ephiippium and E. nigrans, which exhibit no structural differences (Blank & Taeger 1998), share the same BIN and lack a barcode gap (Appendix S3, S4, Supporting information), and thus appear to be colour forms of the same species. Contrastingly, E. ephiippium vopiscus differs by 3.61% from E. ephiippium ephiippium, and they may in the future be treated as separate species. Barcodes of E. gagathinus, E. lateiventris and E. punctatus differ very clearly from each other, but E. gagathinus is
divided into two BINs, with one BIN containing Central European specimens and the second BIN consisting of a single specimen from Greece (Appendix S3, Supporting information). Four sampled European Caliroa species exhibit large barcode divergences, which is encouraging in view of the difficulty in identifying some species using morphological characters. The two described European Endelomyia species, which are morphologically hard to distinguish (Lacourt 1998), segregate in two barcode groups with an interspecific distance of 3.96% (Appendix S3, Supporting information).

_Heterarthrinae_. Most of the included species are clearly identifiable by their barcodes. Exceptions include _Fenella judaica/nigrita_ (1.71% interspecific distance), _Fenusa ulmi/altenhoferi_ (0.81%) and _Heterarthrus vuestneii/imbrosensis_ (0.65%), although there is an indication of a barcode gap that may allow species separation (cf. Appendix S5, Supporting information). Noteworthy is the large minimum distance between _Parna kamijoi_ and _P. apicalis_ of about 9.57%, strongly supporting the notion that they are separate species (Liston 1993; Liston et al. 2006), rather than conspecific as suggested by Byun & Shinohara (1999).

**Tenthredinidae: Nematinae**

The Nematinae, containing about 1250 species worldwide (Taeger et al. 2010), is particularly species-rich in the cooler parts of the Northern Hemisphere, even dominating the sawfly fauna of subarctic areas. Large deficits in taxonomy often make their identification very difficult, especially among the species-rich ‘higher Nematinae’ (Nyman et al. 2006). The classification of Nematinae has recently been revised based on a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis (Prous et al. 2014). However, the nomenclatural changes that have been proposed are not yet implemented in BOLD, and we therefore use the traditional classification for the following discussion of results.

The majority of hosts are shrubs or trees, both gymnosperm and angiosperm, although many species are attached partly or exclusively to herbaceous eudicots or monocots. Particularly, some of the Nematinae on coniferous trees are known to undergo outbreaks resulting in significant economic damage, for example _Pachy nematus montanus_ on _Picea abies_ (Schafellner & Schopf 2014). The latter sawfly species can be unambiguously identified using barcoding (cf. Appendix S3, Supporting information).

Barcode-based identification of most European species in many of the smaller genera of the ‘basal Nematinae’ seems likely to be successful. This applies to _Hoplocampa, Mesoneura, Pseudodineura_ and _Stauromelanus_. However, some individual cases need further investigation. One of the two specimens of _Hoplocampa minuta_ shares a BIN with _H. fulvicornis_, whereas the other _H. minuta_ is placed in its own BIN. _Mesoneura opaca_ has two BINs and an intraspecific divergence of 2.02% (Appendix S3, Supporting information). The eight _Nematinus_ species for which barcode data are available (representing all seven currently recognized European species and a possible previously unrecognized species) show clear differences, except for _N. lutescens_ and _N. fusciplum_. which share the same BIN and do not exhibit a barcode gap (Appendix S4, S5, Supporting information). Barcoding results are promising for _Anoplonyx_, in which the three sampled species segregate clearly in three BINs, but two additional European species remain without barcodes. In _Dineura_, with all five known European morphospecies sampled, _D. virididorosara_ and _D. pullior_ share the same BIN, as do _D. stilata_ and _D. testaceipes_. In the latter case, the status of the species has long been a subject of controversy (e.g. compare treatment by Lindqvist (1955) with that by Benson (1958)). On the other hand, _D. parcialovis_ seems to be clearly identifiable by its barcode and host plant (Liston 2015), despite being morphologically difficult to separate from _D. testaceipes_.

In _Cladius_, a number of long-standing taxonomic problems are reflected also in the barcoding data. Particularly regarding _C. pectinicornis_, there is an ongoing discussion concerning whether this represents a single species (e.g. Zholochovtsev 1952) or at least two species, _C. pectinicornis_ and _C. difformis_ Panzer, 1799 (e.g. Benson 1958; Wei 2001). A high level of intraspecific variability, suggesting existence of cryptic species, is indicated in _C. pilicornis_ (three BINs), _C. pectinicornis_ (three BINs), _C. brulleti_ (four BINs) and _C. compressicornis_ (four BINs; Appendix S3, Supporting information).

Barcoding results for the ‘higher Nematinae’ exhibit clear differences between a majority of morphospecies in the species-rich genera _Pristiphora, Nematus_ and _Amauro nematus_ [the latter now included within _Euura_ (Prous et al. 2014)]. In each of these genera, there are several cases of shared BINs, despite the fact that the species involved are morphologically and biologically relatively well characterized. Examples of these are _Pristiphora BOLD:A9K9450_ containing _P. abietina, P. compressa, P. pseudodecipiens, P. gerula, P. decipiens_ and _P. saxesenii_; and _Amauronematus BOLD:ABU5508_ containing _A. his trio, A. minus, A. arvii_ and _A. stenogaster_. Remarkable is the sharing of _BOLD:ABU5509 _by _Nematus flavescens_ and _N. reticulatus_, species that superficially (colour and size) are very different from each other.

A case where barcoding divergence may lead to revision of previous taxonomic opinions is based on the large sequence divergence between _Nematus desantissi_ (species revocata) and _N. oligospilus_. The former species is adventive in South America, South Africa, Australia and New Zealand (Schmidt & Smith 2009; Caron et al. © 2016 The Authors. _Molecular Ecology Resources_ Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
2014). It was synonymized with *N. oligospilus* by Koch & Smith (2000). Morphologically, these taxa are certainly very similar, although the colour differences in living adults had already led to doubts that they are conspecific (female *N. desantisi* with yellow-brown and partly whitish pale body parts; female *N. oligospilus* with pale body parts green).

In some nominal species, the existence of multiple BINs underlines a still inadequate state of taxonomic knowledge that specialists have long been aware of. Examples are *Pachynematus fallax* (four BINs (Taeger & Blank 1998)) and *Amauronematus viduatus* [two BINs; Appendix S3 (Supporting information)], V. Vikberg, unpublished results. At least in these two cases, the existence of additional species seems probable. The hitherto problematic association of sexes, which particularly in *Pachynematus* is of taxonomic significance, will most likely be facilitated by use of barcode data.

Poorly resolved by barcoding are species of the gall-making ‘Euurina’. Large divergences are apparent between species groups that have sometimes been treated as separate genera or subgenera (Zinovjev & Vikberg 1999; e.g. Vikberg 2010), and barcode divergence between the four sequenced species of *Tubpontania* is rather pronounced (Appendix S3, Supporting information) but, within other groups, many of nominal species share the same BIN. Examples are BOLD:AAR6800, which includes at least nine nominal species of *Pontania* subgenus *Eupontania*, and BOLD:ABV1036, which includes six species of the *Phyllocolpa obilta* group (Appendix S4, Supporting information). In Northern European leaf (sg. *Eupontania*) and bud gallers (*Euurina*), differences in haplotype and allele frequency exist despite low genetic divergence in mitochondrial COI and nuclear ITS2 sequences (Leppänen et al. 2014).

**Tenthredinidae: Selandriinae**

Following Taeger et al. (2010), the Selandriinae here includes also the Dolerinae and the Heptamelidae sensu Malm & Nyman (2015). Hosts include ferns and horsetails, the monocot Poales (Taeger et al. 1998), dicot Boraginaceae (Macek 2013) and, for one species, even mosses (Vikberg & Nuorteva 1997). Selandriinae species have rarely been reported as economically significant pests.

The Dolerini, and in particular its major genus *Dolerus*, has long been known to be taxonomically problematic because of the morphological similarity among adults and convergences in shape and colour patterns (Goulet 1986; Heidemaa 2004). This situation is reflected in our barcoding results, in which several species of *Dolerus* exhibit BIN sharing with two, three, four or even eight species in a single BIN (Appendix S4, Supporting information). Some species are found in two or three BINs, adding to the discordance between morphological and molecular data. Examples are *D. frigidus*, *D. gessneri*, *D. liogaster* and *D. stygius*, which belong to clusters containing two or more species, but at the same time exhibit genetic divergence and consist of two BINs each (Appendix S3, S4, Supporting information). It appears that combined analyses of morphological, ecological and molecular data are necessary to resolve the taxonomic problems that are inherent in this genus (Heidemaa et al. 2004).

Genetic divergence was observed in a few other species of the Selandriinae, including *Stromboceros delicatus* (Appendix S3, Supporting information). A single specimen from Sicily seems to represent a different species, whereas specimens from Central and Northern Europe show an intraspecific divergence of 2.21% and were assigned to two different BINs (Appendix S3, Supporting information), indicating the possible presence of two sympatrically occurring species. Similarly, two species in the genus *Strongylogaster* show BIN divergence with two (*S. mixta*) or even four BINs (*S. macula*), all of which appear to occur sympatrically in Central Europe (Appendix S3, Supporting information).

The genera *Heptamelus* and *Pseudoheptamelus* are, according to Malm & Nyman (2015), better treated as a separate family Heptamelidae, with about 50 known species in six genera. All known larvae feed on ferns (Vikberg & Liston 2009). No reports exist of economic damage caused by Heptamelidae. The two rather similar European *Heptamelus* species are well separated by their barcodes (distance 8.25%).

**Tenthredinidae: Tenthredininae**

The Tenthredinidae is by far the largest subfamily of the Tenthredinidae and the ‘Symphyta’ in general, with about 1775 species worldwide (Taeger et al. 2010). Most species are attached to herbaceous eudicots, rarely to monocots, but woody angiosperms are used by a significant minority of species, such as *Tenthredo* and *Rhogogaster* (Taeger et al. 1998). Reports of economic damage caused by Tenthredininae are rare.

The present study includes 1350 specimens, representing 263 species in 12 genera, equalling almost one-third of the specimens and one quarter of the species analysed. The number of BINs is, with 248, lower than the number of species, indicating BIN sharing in several species. About one-third of the species (96 species, 37%) show a minimum interspecific distance of less than two per cent (Table 2). This is particularly the case in *Tenthredo*, with nine species pairs sharing the same BIN each, three BINS with three species each, two BINs with five species each and 12 species of the *T. arcuata* species complex sharing the same BIN. Intraspecific distances
within this group range from 0.00% to 4.28% (Appendix S3, Supporting information).

Nominal species of the T. arcuata complex are separated by very low interspecific genetic distances. Taxonomists have traditionally regarded this species group as being notoriously difficult (Taeger 1985, 1988). The neighbour-joining tree of five species of the complex, represented by 25 specimens that were all collected in the same area, shows (with the exception of one specimen of T. korabica) a distinct separation of species (Fig. 2). All specimens were collected in 2010 and 2011 between July 1 and July 26. The intraspecific distance ranged from 0.00 to 0.50%, with a minimum distance to the nearest neighbour species ranging from 0.15 between T. algoviensis and T. korabica to 1.81% between T. n. notha and T. korabica. The separation of species into distinct clusters disappears when specimens from a wider geographic area are included. Intraspecific distances increase with geographic distance and then exceed the interspecific distances, a problem that has also been documented in other taxa (Bergsten et al. 2012; Huemer et al. 2014).

BIN divergence is prevalent in several species of Tenthredo, with two (16 species), three (two species) or four (one species) BINs within a species (Appendix S3, Supporting information). Some of these species, like T. mesomela, rank among the most commonly collected sawflies in Central Europe.

Apart from species of Tenthredo, several other genera exhibit BIN sharing, including species of Macrophyta, Tenthredopsis, Siobla and Rhogogaster. Macrophyta blanda and M. oedipus are separated by an interspecific distance of only 0.15% (Appendix S3, Supporting information), which is relevant to the identification of specimens from Greece, where the species occur sympatrically (Appendix S3, Supporting information).

Species of Tenthredo exhibit a high level of intraspecific colour variation, which has led taxonomists to describe numerous species and varieties (Blank & Ritza 1998; and discussion therein). Our barcoding results reflect the difficult taxonomic situation in this genus: specimens of T. friesei, T. nassata, T. litterata, T. scutellaris and T. sordida are placed in two or more genetic clusters (Appendix S3, Supporting information) and show high levels of intraspecific variation, in particular T. friesei (5.81%, merging with T. coquebertii), T. nassata (5.56%, merging with T. sordida), T. scutellaris (5.91%, merging with T. nassata) and T. sordida (6.24%, merging with T. nassata) (Appendix S3, Supporting information).

On the other hand, the barcode results support the recent removal of T. andrei and T. corcyrensis from synonymy (Pesarini 2002) and reveal potential undescribed species from Iran and Israel (e.g. BOLD:AVB9487 with 7.60% distance to T. tarsata, BOLD:AVB9486 with 8.09% distance to T. andrei). Two species complexes of Rhogogaster, a moderately sized genus with about 40 species worldwide that is distributed in the Northern Hemisphere, show BIN sharing, that is the R. viridis species group (with R. chlorosoma and R. viridis) and the R. genistae

Fig. 2 Neighbour-joining tree of barcode sequence data of five sympatrically occurring species of the Tenthredo arcuata species complex, illustrating separation of species by barcode gaps despite low interspecific divergence. Map insert shows collecting localities of specimens that were used to create the tree (map data: Google, DigitalGlobe). Terminal branch information includes species, sample ID, country, province, exact location, latitude, longitude and elevation in metres a.s.l. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
group (with *R. chambersi*, *R. genistae* and *R. picta*) (Appendix S3, Supporting information). Despite a limited number of species in any particular area, identification of the aforementioned species has been highly problematic (Taeger & Viitasaaari 2015). In particular, identifications of *R. viridis* have to be treated with care, and the name ‘*R. viridis*’ (now *R. scalaris*) is here used in its traditional sense (cf. Taeger & Viitasaaari 2015). The high intraspecific variation in *R. punctulata* (5.05%) suggests the presence of two or more species separated by a clear barcode gap (Appendix S5, Supporting information), and BIN divergence was observed also in *R. chambersi* and *R. dryas* (Appendix S3, Supporting information).

Cephidae

The Cephidae comprises 171 species distributed in the Northern Hemisphere (Taeger et al. 2010) and exceptionally in Australia (Smith & Schmidt 2009) and Madagascar (Benson 1935). The larvae are internal feeders: those of *Cephinoidae* Pooeae, those of *Hartigia* in mostly woody dicots and those of *Pachycephlini* in Asteraceae and Papaveraceae (Zhelochovtsev 1968; Scheibelreiter 1978; Taeger et al. 1998).

Barcodes enable the identification of 25 described species, an undescribed *Phyllocus (=Hartigia, Liston & Prous 2014)* species from Iran (BOLD:ABW9690), and a new genus and species from China (BOLD:ACG2240). The barcode data set includes the ‘European wheat stem sawfly’ *Cephus pygmeus* and the ‘black grain stem sawfly’ *Trachelus tabidus*, both of which are invasive in the Nearctic region and well-known pests of cereals (e.g. Gahan 1920; Miller et al. 1993), as well as the ‘rose shoot sawfly’ *Syrista parryesi*, with larvae damaging roses used for perfume production (Nikolova & Natalskova 1970; Liston 2012). *Calameuta haemorrhoidalis* exhibits 5.31% intraspecific variation and includes two geographically separated clusters (Greece and Sicily) (Appendix S3, S5, Supporting information), but it remains unclear if this reflects geographic variation or if different species are involved. Similarly, in *C. pallipes* (4.96% intraspecific variation), a specimen from Italy is assigned to a separate BIN (Appendix S3, Supporting information). The occurrence of one of five specimens of *Hartigia linearis* under BIN BOLD:ABW9043, which otherwise comprises *H. xanthostoma*, reflects the difficulty in distinguishing males of these two species morphologically (Jansen 1998).

Siricidae and Xiphydriidae

The two families of woodwasps include about 150 species (Siricidae) and 120 species (Xiphydriidae) worldwide (Taeger et al. 2010). Several species damage economically important conifers or woody dicots, because females infect host trees with harmful fungi during oviposition, and the larvae bore into wood (Eichhorn 1982; Schiff et al. 2012).

For Siricidae, DNA barcoding has been shown to be a reliable identification tool, in particular for larvae, which cannot be identified based on morphology (Schiff et al. 2012). The present study includes five species of Siricidae and four species of Xiphydriidae, all of which are separated by distinct barcode gaps (Appendix S3, Supporting information). However, several species are represented by one or two specimens only, so further material is needed to assess intraspecific variation.

Orussidae

The enigmatic Orussidae includes 89 extant species worldwide. These are the only parasitoids among the sawflies and horntails (Vilhelmsen et al. 2013). Orussidae are rarely collected, and only two species, *Orussus abietinus* and *O. unicolor*, are represented in the data set (Appendix S3, Supporting information). At 0.15%, intraspecific variation in *O. abietinus* is very low, despite the fact that the collecting localities of the specimens (eastern Germany and Epirus Mountains in Greece) are separated by a distance of 1500 km.

Concluding remarks

The present barcode release provides the foundation for a comprehensive DNA barcode library of sawflies and woodwasps. It covers about 12% of all species of ‘Symphyta’, with representatives of all extant families. Only the Anaxyelidae is not included in the present study, but sequences are available in BOLD and GenBank. Species with low levels of taxonomic uncertainty can be readily identified using DNA barcodes. These taxa include, for example, the Cephidae, Diprionidae, Megalodontesidae, Siricidae, Xiphydriidae, most Argidae and most species of the tenthredinid subfamilies Tenthredininae, Blenocampinae and Heterarthrinae. For these species, the present barcode library will provide a valuable identification tool for ecologists and applied entomologists. It allows not only the identification of adults but can also be used for reliable identification of larvae, most of which are external (the majority) or internal feeders on a wide range of herbaceous or woody eudicot plants.

The OTU designation process in BOLD employs a two-algorithm process, comprising a single linkage clustering analysis using a 2.2% threshold, followed by Markov clustering (Ratansingham & Hebert 2013). It has been shown to yield clusters that match species that were delimited using traditional taxonomic methods, which is the primary goal for automated species delimitation.
algorithms. In addition, it performs much better than other methods, most of which are not scalable for use with the barcode library that currently contains over five million barcode sequences.

The slightly higher number of BINs (1058) compared to the number of species (1037) may indicate the presence of cryptic diversity. In fact, our results indicate the presence of cryptic diversity in numerous sawfly taxa. Although the status of most putative cryptic species still needs to be evaluated, detailed examination of specimens often revealed parallels between genetic and morphological variation.

In many cases, the finding of subtle but consistent morphological differences suggests that the apparent crypsis might have been resolved using traditional approaches. Nevertheless, the barcode data highlighted the existence of taxonomically critical taxa, and this awareness will help to prioritize groups in need of revision. The relatively high number of such cases is surprising in the comparably well-known fauna of Central Europe. However, sawflies have received rather little attention from hymenopteran taxonomists, compared to more prominent groups like bees and wasps (but much more attention than the parasitoids, which represent the vast majority of Central European Hymenoptera; Quicke 2012). In addition, certain groups of sawflies clearly have been neglected because they are taxonomically difficult, species-rich and/or require special preparation techniques (genitalia). Consequently, cryptic species were expected or even known to exist in, for example a large proportion of species of the Nematinae, and species complexes like Tenthredo arcuata, Rhogogaster viridis and Arge clavicornis (see Results and discussion section). However, cryptic diversity came as a surprise in many groups such as Athalia rosae or Aglaostigma fulvipes, which are among the most common species in Central Europe. The taxonomy of these easily recognizable species was thought to be resolved, so they attracted no taxonomic interest, even though some were used as objects in chemo-ecological studies (e.g. A. rosae, Opitz et al. 2011, and references therein) or may occur as pests. In cases like this, routine barcoding can safeguard against compromising ecological or applied studies by mixing up species.

In a recent study, Mutanen et al. (2016) concluded that, in European Lepidoptera, most cases of nonmonophyly in DNA barcode sequence data can be attributed to methodological issues, including misidentifications, oversplitting or overlooking species, and subjectivity of species delimitation (in particular with respect to allopatric populations). It remains to be seen to what extent these factors explain nonmonophyly in ‘Symphyta’, and case-by-case studies are needed because the degree to which methodological factors have contributed to nonmonophyly can be expected to vary considerably across taxa. For example, there is evidence that oversplitting has occurred in gall-making nematine sawflies on willows (A. Liston et al., in preparation). However, we do not expect this to be more common in ‘Symphyta’ than in other groups of insects. Lack of taxonomic treatments and usable keys for identification have invariably led to a certain degree of misidentification, but this cannot explain the problematic situation found in the genus Allantus or in the Arge clavicornis species complex, where apparent nonmonophyly affects species that can reliably be distinguished using morphology.

It is to be expected that misidentification is a more frequent cause of nonmonophyly in groups that are taxonomically problematic. For example, in web-spinning sawflies, a family with two subfamilies, species identification is straightforward in the subfamily Pamphiliinae, and barcoding results are congruent with traditional species. By contrast, the second pamphiliid subfamily, Cephalciinae, and in particular its major genus Cephalcia, exhibits incongruence between molecular and morphological data (see Results and discussion section). The identification of Cephalcia species has long been known to be problematic, so nonmonophyly may, at least partly, be caused by misidentification of specimens. The likelihood of misidentifications is elevated by the fact that available keys rely, to a large extent, on colour characters that in some species may be more variable than expected.

However, barcode sharing among species may in many cases be ‘real’ and reflect retention of ancestral polymorphisms (incomplete lineage sorting) in recently diverged lineages and/or introgression of mitochondrial genes through occasional hybridization and backcrossing of hybrids to the parental forms (Mardulyn et al. 2011). Leppänen et al. (2014) showed that, despite extensive sharing of mitochondrial COI and nuclear ITS2 sequences among species within Northern European sg. Eupontania leaf gallers and Euura bud gallers, most species exhibit marked differences in haplotype and allele frequencies. As the authors pointed out, this finding could be explained by incomplete lineage sorting or by occasional introgression, so further studies with more powerful multilocus markers are needed. High levels of interspecific gene flow have been documented in Neodiprion (Linnen & Farrell 2007, 2008). As Patten et al. (2015) showed, the haplodiploid sex determination system of Hymenoptera facilitates mitochondrial introgression in relation to cross-species transfer of nuclear genes. Indeed, species of Empria that cannot be distinguished using barcodes can be separated with ITS1 and ITS2 sequences (Prous et al. 2011), and in Neodiprion, results of phylogenetic analyses of nuclear sequences agreed with morphology (Linnen & Farrell 2008). It remains to be
studied whether interspecific barcode sharing is more common within the Hymenoptera than in insect taxa with chromosomally based sex determination (XY or ZW systems).

Other factors that may account for the lack of agreement between morphology-based taxonomy and DNA barcodes includes the presence of bacterial endosymbionts like Wolbachia. Infection with different strains within a species can lead to overestimation of diversity (Whitworth et al. 2007; Xiao et al. 2012), whereas cross-species infections with the same Wolbachia strain through hybridization and subsequent ‘mitochondrial sweeps’ may lead to underestimating diversity (Whitworth et al. 2007; Raychoudhury et al. 2009).

Possibly, species in our data set with discordant results between morphological and molecular data suffer from Wolbachia-induced conflation of infected lineages. High levels of introgression, that is hybridization and subsequent repeated backcrossing, across species has been documented in Neodiprion (Linnen & Farrell 2007, 2008). Movement of genes across species by introgression is a widespread phenomenon and extremely variable across species, but the underlying causes are little understood (Patten et al. 2015). However, in these cases, taxa should be diagnosable with nuclear sequences. Species of Empria that cannot be distinguished using barcodes can be separated with ITS1 and ITS2 sequences (Prous et al. 2011), and in Neodiprion, results of phylogenetic analyses of nuclear sequences agreed with morphology (Linnen & Farrell 2008).

The effect of geographic scale of sampling on barcoding results has been discussed by Bergsten et al. (2012) and Huemer et al. (2014), and the effect is in many cases highly significant also in sawflies. This is illustrated by the frequently large divergences between barcodes of Central and North European specimens and those of the same morphospecies from Iran and the Mediterranean islands Sicily and Cyprus. Taxonomic evaluation of the differences is in most cases not at present possible because of a lack of samples from intervening areas. Therefore, our barcode data can generally be expected to be more suitable as references for the identification of Central and North European specimens, while caution should be used when determining specimens with provenances outside these areas.

Knowledge of sawfly larvae and host plant associations is generally incomplete, and existing data often require corroboration (e.g. Lorenz & Kraus 1957; Taeger et al. 1998). Furthermore, larvae of many species are difficult to rear through to the adult stage. Barcode-based identification of larvae has the potential to rapidly improve biological knowledge on sawflies by reducing the need for risky and time-consuming rearing (cf. Nyman et al. 2015). In a similar way, correct association of the sexes will in many cases be made easier. However, this and other applications of DNA barcoding (e.g. metabarcoding) rely heavily on the existence of comprehensive barcode libraries. Future efforts should therefore aim at complementing the reference library of ‘Symphyta’ barcodes, but should also examine the causes for barcode incongruence in some groups. In addition to addressing methodological issues, an integrative taxonomic approach is called for in order to disentangle problematic species and species groups of ‘Symphyta’.
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