Dissecting the prevention of estrogen-dependent breast carcinogenesis through Nrf2-dependent and independent mechanisms

Abstract: Breast cancer is the most common malignancy among women worldwide. Various studies indicate that prolonged exposure to elevated levels of estrogens is associated with development of breast cancer. Both estrogen receptor-dependent and independent mechanisms can contribute to the carcinogenic effects of estrogens. Among them, the oxidative metabolism of estrogens plays a key role in the initiation of estradiol-induced breast cancer by generation of reactive estrogen quinones as well as the associated formation of oxygen free radicals. These genotoxic metabolites can react with DNA to form unstable DNA adducts which generate mutations leading to the initiation of breast cancer. A variety of endogenous and exogenous factors can alter estrogen homeostasis and generate genotoxic metabolites. The use of specific phytochemicals and dietary supplements can inhibit the risk of breast cancer not only by the modulation of several estrogen-activating enzymes (CYP19, CYP1B1) but also through the induction of various cytoprotective enzymes (eg, SOD3, NQO1, glutathione S-transferases, OGG-1, catechol-O-methyltransferases, CYP1B1A, etc.) that reestablish the homeostatic balance of estrogen metabolism via nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2)-dependent and independent mechanisms.

Keywords: reactive estrogen quinones, breast carcinogenesis, depurinating estrogen–DNA adducts, dietary phytochemicals, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2

Introduction

An increasing body of evidence indicates that breast cancer is the most common malignancy among women, representing 23% of all diagnosed cancer cases, and the second leading cause of cancer deaths among women worldwide. Age is considered the most important risk factor. Unlike other malignancies that increase at the end of the fifth decade, breast cancer begins early in the third decade of life. This could be associated with the effects of estrogens and other ovarian hormones on breast tissue. Breast cancer is related to multiple risk factors. These are commonly subdivided into nonmodifiable, such as age, BRCA1, BRCA2 gene mutations, family history, reproductive history, and high-dose radiation to the chest, and modifiable, such as high-endogenous estrogens, hormone therapy, and lifestyle including diet, physical activity, alcohol consumption, tobacco abuse, and other risky behaviors. Changing the Mediterranean diet to a westernized diet is recognized as a basic breast cancer risk factor. In addition, recent studies also
suggest that the human papillomavirus infection may be considered a possible risk factor in the development of breast cancer among the female population. At the least, it is widely accepted that, among risk factors, sustained exposure to elevated levels of estrogens plays an important role in the initiation and development of breast cancer. In fact, studies in experimental animal models and cultured human cells strongly suggest that estradiol (E2), its interconvertible metabolite estrone (E1), and their estrogen quinones exert carcinogenic effects on breast tissue through several mechanisms. There are at least two major mechanisms involved in the development and progression of estrogen-induced breast cancer: 1) estrogen receptor (ER)-mediated stimulation of abnormal cell proliferation that generates random mutations; and 2) ER-independent mechanisms involving chemical (oxidative pathway) inflammatory, epigenetic, and cancer stem cell pathways. Among them, a major contribution has been attributed to unbalanced estrogen oxidative metabolism which generates genotoxic metabolites such as reactive estrogen quinones and oxygen free radicals that can react with DNA to form unstable estrogen–DNA adducts in critical genes leading to cancer initiation. Prevention of breast cancer can be achieved by inhibiting the formation of these DNA adducts which generate the mutations leading to the initiation, promotion, and progression of cancer. Various chemopreventive agents such as resveratrol (Res), sulforaphane, vitamin C, and N-acetylcysteine (NAC) as well as melatonin and lipoic acid have been reported in cell culture and in vivo animal models to inhibit oxidative metabolism of E2 and E1, and thus prevent DNA damage through nuclear factor–erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2)-dependent and independent mechanisms. Notably, Nrf2 is a major basic leucine zipper-containing transcription factor which controls gene expression of an elaborate network of cytoprotective proteins including antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes that defend cells from electrophiles and free radicals, playing a pivotal role in the prevention of human carcinogenesis. The purpose of this review is to shed light on the role of unbalanced oxidative estrogen metabolism on the initiation of breast cancer. Moreover, we will discuss the role of natural dietary phytochemicals in the prevention of estrogen-induced breast cancer by the modulation of several estrogen-activating enzymes (CYP19, CYP1B1) and through the induction of various cytoprotective enzymes (eg, SOD3, NQO1, glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), catechol-O-methyltransferases (COMTs), etc.) involved in the regulation of the homeostatic balance of estrogen metabolism through Nrf2-dependent and independent mechanisms.

The regulation of endogenous estrogen oxidative metabolism by cytochrome P450 enzymes and breast carcinogenesis

The importance of endogenous estrogens in the etiology of breast carcinogenesis has been widely recognized by the United States government since 2001. To date, several studies suggest that sustained exposure to endogenous estrogens is associated with the onset and progression of breast cancer. As mentioned above, there are different possible mechanisms by which estrogens can increase the risk of breast cancer. Among them, it has been suggested that the oxidative metabolism of estrogens plays a major role in the initiation of estrogen-induced breast cancer by the generation of reactive estrogen quinones as well as the associated formation of oxygen free radicals resulting from redox cycling of catechol estrogens and estrogen quinones. Notably, metabolic formation of estrogens mainly derives from the conversion of testosterone to E2 and androstenedione to E1 catalyzed by the enzyme aromatase (CYP19). Further, E1 can be reversibly converted to E2 by the action of 17β-hydroxy steroid dehydrogenase enzyme. When an excess of estrogen is obtained, it can be stored as estrone sulfate. Briefly, once the estrogens are formed, they can also be metabolized through three competitive pathways catalyzed by the NADPH-dependent cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes such as CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP3A, and CYP1B1. E1 and E2 can be hydroxylated at positions C2, C4, and C16 and be converted to catechol estrogens (2-hydroxyestrone, 4-hydroxyestrone, 16α-hydroxyestrone, 2-hydroxyestradiol, and 4-hydroxyestradiol). Both 16α-hydroxyestrone and estradiol can also produce estriol by their hydroxylation. Specifically, CYP1A1 and CYP3A catalyze the hydroxylation preferentially at the 2 position, converting estrogens (E1/E2) to catechol estrogens 2-hydroxyestrone and 2-hydroxyestradiol. CYP1B1 instead catalyzes the hydroxylation almost exclusively at the 4 position, converting estrogens (E1/E2) to catechol estrogens 4-hydroxyestrone and 4-hydroxyestradiol. Experimental studies indicate that the 4-OH metabolites exert more carcinogenic effects than their 2-OH counterparts, 4-OH-E2 being a key step in inducing unstable DNA adducts. In fact, if the catechol estrogens are not
conjugated by the COMT enzyme, further oxidation particularly of 4-OH-E1(E2) catechols by cytochrome P450 along with peroxidase enzymes can lead to formation of E2-3,4-semiquinones and E2-3,4-quinones that react with DNA to form unstable depurination adducts with adenine and guanine. These reactive quinones can also be reduced to semiquinones by CYP reductase through a redox cycle which produces ROS that cause oxidative DNA damage. Various phase 2 detoxification enzymes, such as COMT, GST, and quinone reductase (NQO1, NQO2), play an important role in the inactivation of estrogen catechols, semiquinones, and quinones by limiting the formation of adducts and oxidative DNA damage. Specifically, catechol estrogens by the action of the COMT enzyme can be further metabolized (methylated) to nongenotoxic methoxyestrogens (2-methoxyestrone, 4-methoxyestrone, 2-methoxyestradiol, and 4-methoxyestradiol). Through this mechanism, COMT also plays an important role in preventing the transformation of catechol estrogens to quinone–DNA adducts and in the development of ROS capable of damaging cellular macromolecules such as DNA, proteins, and lipids.

In addition to methylation, parent estrogens and catechol estrogens can be also excreted in the urine or feces by conjugation with glucuronic acid and sulfate by inducing hepatic phase 2 detoxification enzymes such as UDP-glucuronosyltransferases and sulfotransferases, respectively, through the Keap1–Nrf2–antioxidant responsive elements (ARE) pathway. As the COMT enzyme plays an essential role in the conversion of catechol estrogens to methoxyestrogens, insufficient activity of this enzyme due to polymorphic variations could increase the competitive catalytic oxidation of the catechol estrogens (4-OH-E1(E2)) to semiquinones and electrophilic catechol quinones. These products react with DNA by Michael addition to form adducts which detach from DNA, leaving behind apurinic sites. The apurinic sites can undergo error-prone DNA repair which results in point mutations that initiate the breast carcinogenesis process and other types of human cancer. In addition to electrophilic catechol quinones, oxidative stress produced by redox cycling between catechol estrogens and estrogen quinones also plays an important role in estrogen-induced breast cancer. Accordingly, it has been shown that the 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels were higher in E2-exposed mammary tissue and in mammary tumors compared to age-matched controls. Taken together, these data suggest that the reactive estrogen quinones and ROS, by increasing DNA damage, may lead to specific mutations causative of the initiation of breast cancer and other malignancies.

The importance of unbalanced estrogen oxidative metabolism in breast carcinogenesis

The oxidative metabolism of estrogens is mediated by a balanced homeostatic set of activating (CYP19, CYP1B1) and deactivating/protective (eg, COMTs, SOD3, NQO1, GSTs, and CYP1B1A) enzymes. Homeostasis significantly reduces the metabolic oxidation of catechol estrogens to catechol quinones and their reaction with DNA. A variety of endogenous and exogenous factors (eg, diet, chronic inflammation, environment, lifestyle, aging, and genetic and epigenetic factors) can disrupt this homeostasis by shifting the equilibrium between these activating and deactivating enzymes toward ROS formation as well as estrogen quinones and depurinating estrogen–DNA adducts, which can lead to the initiation of breast cancer. The first important factor implicated in breast carcinogenesis process is the increased expression of activating enzyme CYP19 (aromatase) which converts androgens to estrogens in target tissues. A second factor that can disrupt homeostasis of the estrogen metabolism is the deregulation of sulfatase enzyme that converts excessive stored estrone sulfate into E1. A third critical factor involved in the disruption of estrogen homeostasis is the upregulation of CYP1B1 enzyme which promotes the production of high levels of 4-OH-E1(E2) from E1/E2. As mentioned above, higher levels of 4-OH-E1(E2) can give rise to higher levels of carcinogenic E1(E2)-3,4-quinones capable of generating unstable depurinating adducts with adenine and guanine, and subsequent point mutations that can initiate breast cancer and other prevalent types of cancer. A lack of or a low level of COMT activity due to polymorphic variations might produce a similar effect consisting of lower levels of methylation of 4-OH-E1(E2) catechols and increased competitive catalytic oxidation of 4-OH-E1(E2) catechols to E1 (E2)-3,4-quinones. Once the catechol estrogen quinones (E1(E2)-3,4-quinones) are formed, they can be detoxified by GST through conjugation with glutathione (GSH) or by the reduction back to their catechol estrogens catalyzed by quinone reductase (NQO1, NQO2) which can be induced by numerous natural bioactive compounds via Nrf2-dependent mechanisms. Higher levels of reactive
quinones available can be also produced by insufficient cellular levels of GSH, which reacts efficiently with the quinones. In addition, polymorphisms in NQO1 which reduce the conversion of quinones into catechols could be considered another important factor that produces higher levels of mutagenic reactive quinones. Imbalances in the estrogen metabolism have been also observed by comparing analyses of breast tissue from women with and without breast cancer. In fact, in nontumor breast tissue from women with breast carcinoma, the levels of 4-OH-E1(E2) are four times higher than the levels in breast tissue from women without breast cancer. Furthermore, evidence on the imbalance in estrogen homeostasis derives from a greater expression level of estrogen-protective enzymes, COMT and NQO1, in women without breast cancer and from a greater expression level of estrogen-activating enzymes, CYP19 and CYP1B1, in breast tissue of women with breast cancer. In addition, the imbalance in estrogen metabolism can also be induced by substances assumed by the mouth, skin, and nose. It has been reported that these environmental compounds (eg, dioxin) are able to modify the estrogen metabolism, leading to an increased formation of catechol estrogen quinones. For instance, dioxin induces expression of the activating enzyme CYP1B1. These data indicate that the imbalances in the estrogen metabolism and the genetic polymorphisms can produce higher levels of mutagenic reactive quinones and ROS that are causative of breast cancer initiation and other malignancies (see Figure 1).

The role of chemopreventive agents in maintaining or reestablishing balanced estrogen metabolism by inducing cytoprotective enzymes through Nrf2-dependent and independent mechanisms

As mentioned above, E2 metabolism-induced electrophilic and oxidative stress plays an important role in breast carcinogenesis. To neutralize catechol-3,4-quinones and ROS, the major carcinogenic metabolites of estrogens, mammalian cells have evolved a hierarchy of sophisticated sensing and signaling mechanisms to turn on or off the endogenous cytoprotective response. One of the major strategies for inhibiting the overburden of oxidative stress and electrophilic catechol quinones involved in the estrogen-induced carcinogenic process is the use of specific phytochemicals (eg, curcumin, green tea catechins, genistein, Z-Ligustilide, Res, sulforaphane, vitamin C, tocopherols, etc.) and dietary supplements (NAC, melatonin, α-lipoic acid, etc.). These compounds are able to modulate several estrogen activating enzymes (CYP19, CYP1B1) and to induce the expression of cytoprotective enzymes (SOD3, NQO1, GST, COMT, CYP1A1, etc.).

Figure 1 Schematic representation of major metabolic pathways involved in breast cancer initiation by estrogens. Abbreviation: CYP, cytochrome P450.
as indicated in Table 1 through Nrf2-dependent and independent mechanisms.\textsuperscript{17,18,21,22,24,25,43,61–66} Importantly, Nrf2 is a basic leucine zipper stress-sensitive transcription factor that maintains redox homeostasis by inducing the transcription of several cytoprotective genes through ARE/electrophile response elements in target gene promoters.\textsuperscript{59,60} In normal conditions, the activity of Nrf2 is suppressed in the cytosol by specific binding to the chaperone Keap1. However, upon stimulation by oxidants or electrophilic compounds having the ability to oxidize or covalently modify thiol groups of critical cysteine residues in Keap1, Keap1-mediated degradation of Nrf2 is abrogated. Thus, Nrf2 is released and then translocated into the nucleus, thus potentiating the ARE response. This leads to the synthesis of a distinct set of defensive proteins and enzymes such as SOD3, NQO1, GSTs, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases, sulfotransferases, and 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (OGG-1) that facilitate the excretion of carcinogens, enhance the removal of electrophiles and free radicals, increase cellular capacity to repair oxidatively damaged DNA and proteins, and, consequently, reduce the propensity of tissue and organisms to develop diseases or malignancies including E2-induced breast cancer\textsuperscript{21,38,43,59,60,67,68} (see Figure 2). Importantly, OGG-1 is the most important enzyme of the DNA base excision repair pathway induced through the Nrf2–ARE signaling-dependent mechanisms. Specifically, it is involved in the removal of 8-OHdG adducts from all regions of the genome.\textsuperscript{68} Interestingly, the Nrf2–ARE pathway can be mainly regulated through Keap1-dependent and independent mechanisms.\textsuperscript{69} Accordingly, Yang et al\textsuperscript{70} recently demonstrated that modified Xiaoyao powder (MXP) may play an important role in the prevention and treatment of breast cancer through modulating the Nrf2–ARE pathway. These authors sustain that MXP and its many active components including quercetin, kaempferol, and the sesquiterpene atracylenolide II exert chemopreventive, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidative stress effects by activating Nrf2–NQO1 signaling in MCF-7 cells. Specifically, these studies indicate that the flavonoids quercetin and kaempferol as well as atracylenolide II may play an essential role in E2-mediated breast cancer chemoprevention by acting as potent inducers of the Nrf2–NQO1 signaling pathway that catalyzes the reduction and detoxification of quinone substrates which are highly reactive molecules involved in cancers and other degenerative diseases. Other studies also demonstrated that cabbage juices and indoles such as indole-3-carbinol (ISC) and 3,3′-diindolylmethane (DIM) can significantly influence E2-mediated breast cancer initiation and progression by modulating the expression of the estrogen metabolism key enzymes (CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP1B1) in breast epithelial cells, differing in ER status such as tumorigenic MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells and non-tumorigenic MCF10A cells.\textsuperscript{71} Specifically, these authors suggest that both I3C and DIM can bind to and activate aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) that induces expression of the CYP1 family genes or may also promote degradation of the ER and inhibit estrogen signaling. There is also evidence that these phytochemicals can modulate estrogen metabolism by preferentially inducing enzymes of the CYP1A1 family. In addition, these are also capable of binding to ER, competing with estrogen, and inhibiting the estrogen-dependent gene expression. Significant cross-talk between AhR, ER, and Nrf2 has also been identified in these cell lines. In fact, these studies reported that in estrogen-dependent MCF7 breast cancer cells, estrogen can also recruit ERα and class III histone deacetylase SIRT1 at the NQO1 promoter, leading to suppression of NQO1 gene transcription. By contrast, many of these phytochemicals present in cruciferous vegetables may reverse the inhibitory effect of estrogen on cytoprotective enzyme expression by significantly increasing transcription of the Nrf2 gene in all tested cell lines. This leads to enhanced expression of several detoxifying enzymes such as NQO1 and GSTP that play a key role in chemoprevention and therapy of breast cancer by reducing the level of estrogen quinones involved in toxicity and breast carcinogenicity. Recently, Peng et al\textsuperscript{72} also demonstrated that 4β-hydroxywithanolide E, an active component of the extract of \textit{Physalis peruviana}, a member of the Solanaceae group, plays an important role in ROS production and subsequent ataxia-telangiectasia mutated protein-mediated apoptosis of breast cancer MCF-7 cells which is correlated with the expression of Nrf2-dependent antioxidative defense enzymes including SOD, CAT, and the glutathione system.\textsuperscript{72} Accordingly, pretreatment with antioxidants GSH and NAC was capable of reversing the 4β-hydroxywithanolide E-induced ROS accumulation and apoptotic cell death in MCF-7 cells. Role of the Nrf2-ARE pathway in inflammatory signaling involved in breast carcinogenesis

**Role of the Nrf2–ARE pathway in inflammatory signaling involved in breast carcinogenesis**

Besides its role in regulating carcinogen detoxification and cellular antioxidative defense, Nrf2 also appears to downregulate the expression of other genes encoding inflammatory molecules (eg, cytokines, prostaglandins, etc.)\textsuperscript{73,74} involved in the expression and activity of cytochrome P450 (CYP19)
| Chemopreventive agents | Effect                                                                 | Nrf2-dependent mechanisms                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Nrf2-independent mechanisms                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Isothiocyanates eg, sulforaphane (SFN), dithiolthiones, triterpenoids, genistein, resveratrol, BHT, etc. | Protection against oxidative DNA damage; decreased formation of depurinating estrogen-DNA adduct derived from catechol estrogen-induced and inhibition of E2-induced breast cancer | Upregulation of antioxidant/detoxifying enzymes NQO1 and GSTs and other cytoprotective proteins                                                                                                                                 | SFN-mediated upregulation of protective enzymes COMTs and GSTA1 and reduction of CYP1B1 protein; inhibition of activating enzyme CYP19 (aromatase) which converts androgens to estrogens by several phytochemicals | [17,18,61,66] |
| Resveratrol (Res)      | Suppression of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) and estradiol-induced neoplastic transformation by blocking depurinating DNA adduct formation | Upregulation of the cytoprotective genes NQO1, SOD3, and OGG1 involved in protection against oxidative DNA damage and inhibition of E2-induced breast cancer | Res-mediated downregulation of catechol estrogens 4-OH-E1(E2) by suppressing expression of TCDD-induced CYP1B1; direct antioxidant activity of Res and reduction of semiquinones back to catechol estrogens; Res-mediated induction of apoptosis in breast cancer cells | [19,22,100] |
| Resveratrol and NAC    | Reduction of the depurinating estrogen–DNA adduct levels that generate mutations involved in E2-mediated breast carcinogenesis | Increased expression of the cytoprotective enzyme NQO1 which reduces the quinones to catechols | Reduced expression of CYP1B1 protein. NAC, furthermore to reducing semiquinones to catechols, directly reacts with the catechol estrogen quinones and is hydrolyzed to cysteine that is involved in the biosynthesis of antioxidant GSH | [20] |
| Vitamin C or BHA       | Protection against E2-mediated oxidative DNA damage | Upregulation of detoxifying and antioxidant enzymes NQO1 and only SOD3 | Reversion of E2-mediated expression of various oncogetic micro-RNAS such as miR-93 and miR-153 that inhibit the expression of Nrf2 | [21,43,100,101] |
| Dietary γ-tocopherol (γ-TmT) | Inhibition of E2-induced breast carcinogenesis through regulating E2-metabolizing enzymes, antioxidant response, and PPARγ | Upregulation of several phase 2 detoxifying and antioxidant enzymes such as HO-1, glutamate cysteine ligase, modifier subunit (GCLM), SOD, and CAT that protect against nitrosative and oxidative stress induced by estrogens | γ-TmT activation of PPARγ signaling in cancer cell lines that reduces cell proliferation; reduced expression of inflammatory markers (NF-κB, COX-2, cytokines, PGE2, 8-isoprostane, iNOS); main induction of CYP1A1 over CYP1B1 | [62,63] |
| Melatonin              | Increased cell and mitochondrial protection against xenobiotics and endobiotics | Upregulation of antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes mentioned above | Control of inflammatory and prooxidant/antioxidant genes by modulating the expression of NF-κB, AP-1; control of apoptotic signaling in mitochondria; antioxidant effect through reduction of the E2-3,4-semiquinone to 4-OH-E2, scavenging function on NO, peroxinitrite (ONOO−) and several ROS | [23,65,143] |

(Continued)
| Chemopreventive agents | Effect | Nrf2-dependent mechanisms | Nrf2-independent mechanisms | References |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| α-Lipoic acid          | Protection against oxidative stress and E2-induced breast cancer | Attenuation of age-associated decline in transcriptional activity of Nrf2 and subsequent glutathione synthesis that protects against oxidative stress and carcinogenesis | Recycling of several cellular antioxidants, including coenzyme Q, vitamins C and E, GSH, and chelation of iron and copper | [24,25] |
| Modified Xiaoyao powder and its active components (quercetin, kaempferol, and atracylenolide II) | Protection against oxidative stress by inducing the Nrf2–NQO1 pathway in human breast cancer cell lines | Induction of the phase 2 detoxifying enzyme NQO1 in MCF-7 cells. NQO1 is a cytosolic flavoenzyme that acts by reducing and detoxifying quinones back to catechols which can be methylated by COMT to form nongenotoxic compounds for mammary tissue | Preferential modulation of enzymes of the CYP1A1 family involved in estrogen metabolism by binding to AhR; AhR degradation of ER and inhibition of estrogen signaling; binding to ER, competition with E2 and inhibition of E2-dependent gene expression | [70] |
| Cabbage juices and indoles (I3C, DIM) | Protection against E2-mediated breast carcinogenesis by modulating the expression of the estrogen metabolism key enzymes (CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP1B1) in breast epithelial cells | Increased expression of antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes NQO1 and GSTs; reversion of the inhibitory effect of estrogen on cytoprotective enzymes | Preferenceal modulation of enzymes of the CYP1A1 family involved in estrogen metabolism by binding to AhR; AhR degradation of ER and inhibition of estrogen signaling; binding to ER, competition with E2 and inhibition of E2-dependent gene expression | [71] |
| 4-β-Hydroxywithanolide E from Physalis peruviana | 4-β-Hydroxywithanolide E inhibition of tumor growth in female athymic nude mice inoculated with human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 xenografts | Upregulation of cellular antioxidant defense systems such as SOD, CAT, and GSH | 4-β-Hydroxywithanolide E-induced apoptosis of MCF-7 cells | [72] |
| 3-phenyl-3-shogaol | Protection against breast cancer and other malignancies by exerting antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-invasive effects | Induction of antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes by modification of cysteine residues in the E3 ubiquitin ligase substrate adaptor Keap1 | Suppression of breast cancer cell invasion through the modulation of expression of NF-xB-dependent matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-9); inhibition of NF-xB-mediated inflammatory response by significant reduction of the inflammatory mediators and their products | [86] |
| Pomegranate (PE) | Protection against DMBA-initiated mammary carcinogenesis in female rats | Increased expression of several detoxifying and antioxidant enzymes implicated in the modulation of inflammation and inflammation-associated carcinogenesis | Abrogation of DMBA-mediated inflammatory signaling and mammary tumor cell growth by the inhibition of COX-2 expression, downregulation of HSP90 and other inflammatory mediators by antagonizing the NF-xB pathway | [87] |

**Abbreviations:** AhR, aryl hydrocarbon receptor; AP-1, activator protein 1; ARE, antioxidant responsive elements; BHA, butylated hydroxyanisole; COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase; COX, cyclooxygenase; CYP, cytochrome P450; DIM, 3,3'-diindolylmethane; DMBA, dimethylbenz(a)anthracene; E1, estrone; E2, estradiol; ER, estrogen receptor; GSH, glutathione; GST, glutathione S-transferase; HSP, heat shock protein; I3C, indole-3-carbinol; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; NAC, N-acetylcysteine; NF-xB, nuclear factor kappa B; NO, nitric oxide; Nrf2, nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2; NQO1, quinone reductase; OGG1, 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase; PGE2, prostaglandin E2; UDP-GT, UDP-glucuronosyltransferase.
complex or aromatase which converts androgens to estrogens. There are many lines of evidence supporting cross-talk between Nrf2 and inflammatory signaling. For example, intracellular accumulation of ROS including superoxide anion (O$_{2}^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), and peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$) plays an important role in proinflammatory responses by the activation of redox-sensitive transcription factors such as nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) and activator protein 1 (AP-1) and by the upmodulation of their kinases including mitogen-activated protein kinases (p38, ERK, and JNK) and phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K). Notably, the transcription factor NF-κB modulates the de novo synthesis of a large array of proinflammatory mediators including different molecules such as chemokines, cytokines, immunoreceptors, cell-adhesion molecules, stress-response genes, regulators of apoptosis, growth factors, and transcription factors. Aberrant NF-κB activation is associated with a significant number of diseases ranging from inflammatory diseases to neurodegeneration, autoimmune disorders, and cancer. Since Nrf2–ARE-modulated cytoprotective genes confer protection against oxidative stresses and potentiate antioxidant defense capacity in cells, regulation of Nrf2–ARE signaling by various chemopreventive agents can exert significant effects on the redox-sensitive inflammation-modulating factors such as NF-κB and AP-1. For instance, many Nrf2-activating agents such as sulforaphane, curcumin, and avicins can inhibit NF-κB activation by acting directly on cytoeine thiols present in Keap1, p50 (NF-κB), or IκB kinase. Similar to NF-κB inactivation, some Nrf2-activating dietary phytochemicals such as Res, sulforaphane, curcumin, and green tea catechins can also inhibit the AP-1 activation induced by different stimuli by directly modifying the DNA binding domain of AP-1. However, it should be noted that NF-κB also plays an important role in the negative regulation of the Nrf2–ARE pathway. Specifically, NF-κB p65 subunit can repress the Nrf2–ARE pathway at the transcriptional level when phosphorylated on S276 by either competitive interaction with the CH1-KIX domain of coactivator binding proteins or by recruiting histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3), the corepressor, to the ARE, which can deacetylate histone H4 and MafK. Such a blockade may result in a cellular deficiency of antioxidant potential and subsequent increase of oxidative stress. A previous study proposed by Gan et al suggests that a synthetic novel shogaol analog, 3-phenyl-3-shogaol (3-Ph-3-SG), can suppress multiple steps in breast carcinogenesis through its in vitro anti-invasive, anti-inflammatory, and Nrf2-activating ability in several studies. Notably, inflammation can significantly contribute to the process of carcinogenesis not only through production of DNA-damaging free radicals but also via the increased production of proinflammatory mediators such as cytokines, chemokines, prostaglandins, and nitric oxide (NO) that exert their growth-promoting, invasive/metastatic, and angiogenic effects. These authors have demonstrated that shogaol compounds containing vanillloid and Michael acceptor moieties such as 3-Ph-3-SG may exert cytoprotective effects against oxidative and nitrosative stress and cancer-promoting inflammatory response by reducing several antioxidant and phase 2 detoxifying enzymes such as HO-1 and NQO1 through upregulation of the Nrf2–ARE pathway in the HEK 293 cell line, an immortalized human cell line of noncancerous origin. This cytoprotective activity by 3-Ph-3-SG was achieved at least partly by antagonizing the activity of Keap1 repressor by modifying its cysteine residues. Further, at nontoxic concentrations, 3-Ph-3-SG reduces the inflammatory response through inhibiting the expression of several inflammatory mediators such as NO, inducible nitric oxide synthase, cyclooxygenase-2, and prostaglandin-E2 in RAW 264.7 macrophage-like cells by suppressing the NF-κB signaling pathway. At similar concentrations, 3-Ph-3-SG also exerts anti-inflammatory effects on MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 breast cancer cell lines with invasive properties through inhibition of NF-κB-dependent matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression. Taken together, these results indicate that 3-Ph-3-SG, similarly to many abundant naturally-occurring shogaol compounds, blocks multiple steps of the carcinogenesis process; for this reason, it represents a promising candidate for use in chemotherapeutic and chemopreventive strategies against breast cancer and other malignancies. Another recent report by Mandal et al also suggests that pomegranate (PE), a unique fruit containing most bioactive phytochemicals, plays an important chemopreventive role against inflammation that contributes to dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA)-initiated mammary tumorigenesis in female rats through antiinflammatory and apoptosis-inducing mechanisms. Notably, during DMBA-initiated rat mammary tumorigenesis, activation of NF-κB induces the expression of several inflammatory mediators such as cyclooxygenase-2, prostaglandin E2, and heat shock protein 90 implicated in proliferation, survival, inflammation, angiogenesis, invasion, and metastasis. These authors suggest that various phytochemicals present in PE can exert a synergistic effect in the modulation of inflammation and inflammation-associated breast carcinogenesis by involving anti-inflammatory mechanisms that require potential cross-talk between Nrf2 and NF-κB transcription factors. Accordingly, PE treatment not only may inhibit DMBA-induced degradation of IκBα protein in cytosol but may also
be effective in increasing the expression and subsequent nuclear translocation of Nrf2 which in turn suppresses NF-κB-associated inflammatory activity. Collectively, these results indicate that PE treatment plays an important role in breast cancer development and prevention by suppressing NF-κB-mediated inflammatory pathways through Nrf2-dependent and independent mechanisms as indicated in Table 1. Numerous other phytochemicals present in plants and medical herbs can act with similar mechanisms against breast cancer. Therefore, the development of highly specific activators of Nrf2 can significantly improve the anti-inflammatory functions in oxidative stress-related diseases, especially in breast cancer. In addition, since 70% of breast cancers are estrogen dependent, the use of natural and synthetic aromatase inhibitors represents another important therapeutic strategy against estrogen-dependent breast cancer and other estrogen-dependent diseases such as endometriosis and endometrial cancer.

Role of estrogen signaling in the repression of the Nrf2–ARE pathway

Notably, estrogens can also repress Nrf2-dependent transcription through ER-dependent and independent mechanisms. For instance, estrogens could increase breast cancer risk by enhancing the expression of several miRNAs with oncogenic activity (eg, miR-
93, miR-153) and downregulating Nrf2 and its downstream cytoprotective genes.\textsuperscript{22,101} Additionally, they could also reduce the expression of other miRNAs with tumor-suppressor activity (eg, miR-663, miR-774, miR-141, and miR-200c) in mammary tissues and human breast cell lines.\textsuperscript{102,103} In these cases, it has been shown that both vitamin C and Res may exert chemopreventive effects against estrogen-induced breast cancer by decreasing the expression of various oncogenic miRNAs such as miR-93 and miR-153 and by inducing Nrf2 and its cytoprotective genes. Other studies by Chatterjee et al\textsuperscript{104} also suggested that cellular activity of the Nrf2–ARE pathway could be negatively modulated by Nrf3 protein induced by E2. Specifically, these authors reported that E2 treatment enhanced Nrf3 mRNA expression levels in a time-dependent manner in MCF-10A cells.\textsuperscript{105} In addition, treatment with vitamin C and Res can also reverse the estrogen-dependent increase in the expression of Nrf3 protein in tested cells.\textsuperscript{104} Another important function of Res is to reduce ROS accumulation and DNA damage in breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein (BRCA1)-deficient mammary epithelial cells, MCF10A, by Nrf2 upregulation.\textsuperscript{106} In addition, during the carcinogenesis process, many oncogenes and tumor-suppressor genes including Nrf2 can be also silenced by their promoter hypermethylation and hypoacetylation.\textsuperscript{107–109} Many natural compounds mentioned above, in addition to reversing the inhibitory effects of estrogens on the Nrf2–ARE pathway,\textsuperscript{21,22,43,100,104} can also act as epigenetic modifiers because they are capable of demethylating the Nrf2 promoter and reactivating Nrf2 signaling in cancer cells, possibly through the inhibition of DNMT and HDAC expression and reduction of the binding of MeCP2, a methylation-dependent transcriptional repressor.\textsuperscript{61,110,111}

### Modulation of mammary stem cell properties by the transcription factor Nrf2

In addition to its roles in cellular detoxification and anti-inflammatory response, Nrf2 is also required to maintain homeostatic quiescence of stem cells.\textsuperscript{112–114} The dormancy is beneficial for stem cells to avert unnecessary DNA replication capable of generating DNA damage and mutations.\textsuperscript{112} In support of this notion, Zhang et al\textsuperscript{115} recently reported that Nrf2 can negatively modulate mammary stem cell expansion and protect against genotoxic effects of estrogen metabolites by cooperating with histone methyltransferase Enhancer of Zeste Homologue 2, a member of the Polycomb repressor complex, to silence long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) ROR expression. Accordingly, Nrf2 loss can lead to lncRNA ROR overexpression in mammary stem cells and subsequent mammary tumorigenesis. By contrast, ROR-overexpressing MCF10A cells treated with the chemopreventive compound Shikonin, a known activator of Nrf2, were protected against 4-OH-E2-induced DNA damage.\textsuperscript{116} Other studies also demonstrated that Nrf2 controls proliferation of stem cells and differentiation by regulating the cellular levels of ROS through the expression of several antioxidant/detoxification enzymes.\textsuperscript{17,21,43,113,117–119} In addition, estrogen can also regulate the breast cancer stem cell population through multiple pathways including Wnt/β-catenin, Hedgehog, Notch, and PI3K/mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR).\textsuperscript{120,121} Notably, estrogen induces expansion of breast cancer stem-like cell subpopulations and can lead to tumorigenic phenotypes in vivo through a paracrine FGF–FGFR–Tbx3 signaling pathway, which happens also to modulate normal embryonic breast stem cells, whereas cotreatment of cells with tamoxifen or a small molecule inhibitor of fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) signaling was capable of preventing estrogen-induced expansion of cancer stem cells.\textsuperscript{122} The bioactive natural compounds mentioned above also have the ability to suppress breast cancer stem cells by modulation of self-renewal pathways such as Wnt/β-catenin, Hedgehog, Notch, and PI3K/mTOR.\textsuperscript{123–129} Therefore, it has been proposed that some of these bioactive natural compounds or their anologs may be utilized as cancer stem cell-eliminating agents to effectively prevent or treat cancer in the future, including breast cancer. Currently, available data provide only limited information on whether such phytochemicals are capable of suppressing estrogen-induced breast cancer stem cells. In addition, many studies on phytochemicals have been made in vitro and in animal models in vivo. Thus, the actual effectiveness of phytochemicals to inhibit the growth of cancer stem cells is extremely needed in patients through large-scale clinical studies.

### Discussion and conclusions

Several clinical and experimental studies suggest that prolonged exposure to estrogens can significantly increase the risk of breast cancer and other malignancies mainly through two complementary pathways: 1) increased ER-mediated stimulation of breast cell proliferation with a concomitant enhanced rate of mutations; and 2) oxidative metabolism of
estrogen to genotoxic metabolites that cause DNA damage and mutations by generation of unstable E2–DNA adducts as well as the associated formation of ROS resulting from redox cycling of 4-OH-E2 to the 3,4-estradiol quinone and back-conversion to 4-OH-E2.\(^9\) The oxidative metabolism of estrogens is mainly mediated by a balanced series of activating (CYP19 and CYP1B1) and deactivating (COMTs, SOD3, NQO1, and GSTs) enzymes. When homeostasis in the metabolism of estrogens is altered, excessive production of genotoxic metabolites such as ROS as well as estrogen quinones can generate mutations that initiate the breast carcinogenesis process and other types of human cancers.\(^15\) There is also evidence that estrogen metabolism plays an important role in the initiation and the development of breast cancer by reducing mRNA and protein expression levels not only of Nrf2 but also of several antioxidant/phase 2 detoxification enzymes.\(^18,21,22,43\) These enzymes can significantly contribute to cellular defensive mechanisms by facilitating the clearance of toxic metabolites and ROS and by enhancing cellular capacity to repair oxidatively damaged DNA and proteins.\(^21,26,32,43\) During the breast carcinogenesis process, many oncogenes and tumor-suppressor genes can frequently be inactivated by aberrant DNA methylation.\(^108,109\) The restoration of normal DNA methylation patterns on oncogenes and various tumor-suppressor genes can be achieved by many dietary phytochemicals.\(^61,110,111\) In addition, these natural bioactive compounds can also suppress the overburden of oxidative stress and reactive estrogen quinones in E2-induced breast cancer by inducing various cytoprotective enzymes mainly through the Nrf2-dependent and independent mechanisms.\(^17,18,21,43\) The main protective enzymes involved in the prevention of E2-induced breast cancer are antioxidant enzyme SOD3 as well as repair and phase 2 detoxification enzymes NQO1, COMTs, GSTs, and OGG-1.\(^15,21,26,27,32,68\) During oxidative metabolism of estrogens, redox cycling between catechol estrogens and their corresponding reactive estrogen quinones often produces potentially harmful superoxide anions. SOD3 induced by antioxidants vitamin C or butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) through the Nrf2–ARE pathway may play a protective role against superoxide anion-mediated oxidative DNA damage by catalyzing their conversion to hydrogen peroxide.\(^21\) Subsequently, other antioxidant enzymes such as cellular catalase and peroxidases remove hydrogen peroxide.\(^130\) Other studies also demonstrated that vitamin C or BHA may exert chemopreventive effects against estrogen-induced breast cancer by reversing E2-mediated upregulation of various miRNAs with oncogenic activity such as miR-93 and miR-153, and inducing Nrf2 and its cytoprotective genes.\(^100,101\) In addition to the antioxidant SOD3 enzyme, the induction of repair and phase 2 detoxification enzymes NQO1, COMT, GSTs, and OGG-1 has also been reported to play an essential role in mammary carcinogenesis process chemoprevention.\(^26,32,68\) In fact, it was shown that the antioxidants vitamin C or BHA and E2-metabolic inhibitor α-naphthoflavone can reverse E2-mediated decrease in the protein expression levels of Nrf2, NQO1, and OGG-1 by increasing expression of these proteins.\(^43,68\) NQO1 is a key phase 2 detoxification enzyme induced by the Nrf2–ARE pathway that is capable of converting E2-quinones back to E2-catechol, and thus limiting oxidative DNA damage and the subsequent mammary carcinogenesis process.\(^15,17,18,54–56\) Another three cytoprotective enzymes against E2-mediated breast cancer are COMTs, GSTs, and OGG-1. These enzymes can be induced by various dietary phytochemicals through Nrf2-dependent and independent mechanisms.\(^18,19,131\) COMTs catalyze the methylation of catechol estrogens to nongenotoxic methoxy-estrogens (4-OCH3E1/E2), impeding their further oxidation to semiquinones and carcinogenic quinones and the associated formation of ROS.\(^10,33,34,53,132\) whereas GSTs detoxify reactive estrogen quinones by conjugation with GSH.\(^15\) Instead, OGG-1 is the rate-limiting enzyme of the DNA base excision repair pathway induced by the Nrf2–ARE pathway which is involved in the removal of 8-OHdG adducts from DNA. Single or combined gene polymorphisms of COMT, GST, and NQO1 can significantly reduce the expression and activity of these enzymes, dramatically increasing the breast cancer risk by enhancing formation of the depurinating adducts and oxidative DNA damage.\(^133,134\) Other studies also demonstrated that dietary tocopherol mixture containing 58% γ-tocopherol (γ-TmT) can significantly reduce breast cancer development by inducing preferential gene expression of CYP1A1 over CYP1B1, in the rat mammary tissues. This effect may reduce the circulating levels of E2 in ACI rats which could contribute to its inhibitory effects on mammary tumorigenesis.\(^135\) Additionally, treatment with dietary γ-TmT can also upregulate Nrf2-dependent antioxidant enzymes as well as PPARγ and its downstream genes while significantly reducing oxidative stress and cell proliferation markers at all three stages of the breast carcinogenesis process in ACI rats.\(^62,136\) An important role in breast cancer prevention is also played by Res, melatonin, and lipoic acid. Res is a polyphenolic compound present in grapes and red
that is capable of exerting chemopreventive effects against breast cancer by reducing expression of miR-93 with oncogenic activity and inducing Nrf2 and its cytoprotective enzymes.\textsuperscript{21,22,43,138} Additionally, it can also negatively modulate expression of estrogen-activating enzyme CYP1B1 implicated in the formation of the 4-OH-E1(E2) catechols from E1/E2 if it is overexpressed.\textsuperscript{19} Multiple chemopreventive and therapeutic effects against mammary carcinogenesis are also exerted by melatonin and lipoic acid.\textsuperscript{24,25,65} Melatonin is an indolic hormone produced mainly by the pineal gland only during the night, or more exactly in darkness.\textsuperscript{139} The nocturnal secretion of melatonin is very sensitive to the inhibitory effects of light. As a consequence, exposure to light at night, even if for a short time, can cause a reduction or suppression in melatonin secretion.\textsuperscript{140} In addition to acting as a direct free radical scavenger,\textsuperscript{141} melatonin can also stimulate the transcription of antioxidant and detoxification enzymes through the Nrf2–ARE pathways.\textsuperscript{23,65} Additionally, Kubatka et al.\textsuperscript{142} suggest that melatonin exhibits prominent anticancer effects in chemically induced rat mammary carcinogenesis by significantly decreasing tumor volume by almost 70\% vs control.\textsuperscript{142} Other studies also indicate that melatonin exerts an antioxidant effect by the reduction of the E2-3,4-semiquinone to 4-OH-E2, melatonin being incapable of reacting with E2-3,4-quinones.\textsuperscript{143} Therefore, factors which reduce pineal function such as exposure to light at night,\textsuperscript{140} surgical pinealectomy,\textsuperscript{144} and aging\textsuperscript{145} can significantly increase the risk of breast cancer. Many studies also suggest that α-lipoic acid and reduced lipoic acid (dihydro α-lipoic acid) can protect from a variety of human diseases and malignancies, including breast cancer, not only by inducing the transcription of several antioxidant and detoxifying enzymes through the Nrf2–ARE pathway but also by recycling many cellular antioxidants such as coenzyme Q, vitamins C and E, and chelating iron and copper.\textsuperscript{24,25} Another interesting research area in the study of estrogen-induced breast carcinogenesis process is cancer stem cell biology. This population of cells has the capacity to self-renew, differentiate, and be capable of initiating and driving tumor growth.\textsuperscript{146,147} Additionally, cancer stem cells also possess the ability to promote cancer recurrence, standard chemotherapy resistance, and metastasis.\textsuperscript{148} Various reports also suggest that the Nrf2–ARE pathway is required not only to control homeostatic quiescence of stem cells,\textsuperscript{112–114} but also to modulate proliferation of stem cells and differentiation through regulating the cellular levels of ROS via the expression of antioxidant and detoxification enzymes.\textsuperscript{17,113,117} Further, recent studies also indicate that estrogens can regulate breast cancer stem cell expansion through multiple pathways including Wnt/β-catenin, Hedgehog, Notch, and PI3K/mTOR.\textsuperscript{120,121} Currently, there are only limited data available on whether certain natural bioactive compounds may effectively inhibit estrogen-induced breast cancer stem cells. Further studies using in vivo animal models and clinical trials are required to improve our knowledge on the mechanisms of action of dietary phytochemicals on the breast carcinogenesis process. At the same time, other studies are also needed to improve our understanding of the role of estrogen metabolites in breast cancer initiation or development. A better understanding of the mechanisms that drive the metabolism of estrogen to generate genotoxic metabolites and free radicals to induce E2-mediated breast cancer will be needed to optimize therapeutic strategies to effectively prevent or treat estrogen-induced breast cancer.
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