A Case Report: Radiation-Induced Kidney Cancer after Treatment for Cervical Cancer
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Abstract

Radiotherapy treatment for cervical cancer may cause secondary cancer within both surrounding organs and those farthest away. Here we report a case report of kidney cancer developed after curative radiotherapy treatment for cervical cancer which was detected with Computerized Tomography (CT) five years later.

Introduction

Treatment of cervical cancer usually succeeds so that cervical cancer has become a survivable disease with a large population of long-term survivors [1]. On the other hand, during radiation therapy in cervical cancer, many organs can be affected. According to distance of organ to cervix, radiotherapy results in organ doses that range from thousands of cGrays to tens of cGrays. Radiotherapy may cause second primary cancers after treatment of cervical cancer in heavily irradiated sites (dose>3Gy) such as colon, rectum, uterus, ovary, vagina, bladder, bone; in moderately irradiated sites (1Gy<dose<3Gy) such as liver, stomach, pancreas, kidney; in lightly irradiated sites (dose<1Gy) such as esophagus, lung, breast, thyroid [2].

Here we report a case of radiotherapy induce secondary kidney cancer after treatment of cervical cancer patient.

Case Report

A 50-years-old woman who was in menopause status for 3 years was admitted to our hospital because of vaginal bleeding in 2010. Physical examination of a 5 cm mass which invaded whole portion and 1/3 upper vagen. After curatage biopsy, we detected non-keratinize squamous cell cancer of cervix. No distant metastasis were revealed through a thorough evaluation including Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Fluorine-18- Fluoroodeoxyglucose Positron Emission Tomography (F-18 FDG PET/CT). Stage was IIA and the treatment was concomitant chemoradiotherapy. (Cisplatin 60 mg/week and whole pelvis 25 × 180 cGy/45 Gy and 5 × 6 Gy total 30Gy intracaviteter radiotherapy) Subsequently the patient did well and follow-up ensued negative.

Five years later, she had gross hematuria. A 2.5 cm right kidney mass was detected in CT (Figure 1). She underwent right nephrectomy and pathology result was renal cell carcinoma. She had no adjuvant therapy. We revived her previous radiotherapy doses and we realized that in the Dose-Volume Histogram (DVH), received dose of right kidney was 0.58 Gy (Figure 1).

Discussion

Radiotherapy has an important role in the treatment of various cancers although it has many side effects. One of them is second primary cancer. The risk of second cancer development with cervical cancer evaluated in cohort and case-control studies [3-7]. Radiation treatment for cervical cancer contains external beam radiotherapy, brachytherapy or combination of both modalities. In our case according to the stage the choice was combination of both modalities. In the pelvic region organs received very high doses of radiation (>30Gy) such as colon, rectum, ovaries. Organs in the abdominal cavity such as kidney, stomach, received between 1 and 3 Gy. (Table 1 shows the range of doses).

In the cohort study, the organs which were receiving between 1 and 3 Gy, only the risk for cancer of the kidney was significantly increased among irradiated 30-year survivor who was accompanied by a trend of increasing over time [2].

Excess cancer incidence was seen in the lung, other genital organs, bladder and rectum which were close to cervix except lung after treatment of cervical cancer with radiotherapy [3].

There was a decreased incidence of breast cancer in irradiated patients. It might depend on ovarian ablation by radiotherapy [8]. But in other study, there was an increased risk in breast cancer [9].
Table 1: Typical average organ doses associated with radiotherapy for cervical cancer.

| Second cancer                  | Average organ dose (Gy) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| **Heavily irradiated site (dose > 3 Gy)** |                        |
| Small Intestine                | Oct-20                 |
| Colon                          | 24                     |
| Rectum                         | 30-60                  |
| Uterus                         | 165                    |
| Ovary                          | 32                     |
| Vagina                         | 66                     |
| Bladder                        | 30-60                  |
| Bone                           | 22                     |
| Connective Tissue              | 7                      |
| **Moderately irradiated site (1 Gy < dose < 3 Gy)** |            |
| Liver                          | 2                      |
| Stomach                        | 2                      |
| Pancreas                       | 2                      |
| Kidney                         | 2                      |
| **Lightly irradiated site (dose < 1 Gy)** |              |
| Esophagus                      | 0.3                    |
| Lung                           | 0.3                    |
| Breast                         | 0.3                    |
| Thyroid                        | 0.1                    |
| From Boice, et al. [5]          |                        |

In recent years there have been technological advances in imaging, computer treatment planning systems and linear accelerator technology. Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy (IMRT) was becoming more widely available. This modality showed improvement over the conventional treatment technique with reduced dose to pelvic organs [10,11]. However, the estimated increase in second cancer risk was 6% for 6-mv IMRT and 26% for 18 mv IMRT, with large increases in organs away from the primary beam and skin because with IMRT a much larger volume of skin was exposed [12].

CT based treatment planning with conformal blocking and dosimetry was very important for external beam radiotherapy. Cone-Beam CT (CBCT) may be helpful in defining daily internal soft tissue positioning. Image-based volumetric brachytherapy approaches using CT, USG can be used more widely.

The risk of late effects of cervical cancer treatment with radiotherapy is related to the volume, total doses, dose per fraction and specific intrinsic radio-sensitivity of the normal tissue that was irradiated [13-15]. Careful blocking is very important to protect organs at risks exposure, even organs which are far from pelvic region.

Conclusion

Comparisons of organ-specific equivalent with conventional IMRT, preferring scattering mode in proton therapy is claimed to be either significantly lower or, at least, does not exceed the risk of estimated secondary cancer [16].
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