Transcriptome Analysis Reveals New Insight of Fowl Adenovirus Serotype 4 Infection
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Since 2015, Fowl adenovirus serotype 4 (FAdV-4) infection has caused serious economic losses to the poultry industry worldwide. We isolated and identified the FAdV-4 strain NP, from infected chickens on a layer farm, using chicken embryo allantoic cavity inoculation, electron microscopy, viral genome sequencing, and regression analysis. To explore the pathogenesis of FAdV-4 infection, we conducted transcriptome sequencing analysis of the liver in chickens infected with FAdV-4, using the Illumina® HiSeq 2000 system. Two days after infection with the FAdV-4 NP strain, 13,576 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were screened in the liver, among which, 7,480 were up-regulated and 6,096 were down-regulated. Gene ontology (GO) analysis indicated that these genes were involved in 52 biological functions. Furthermore, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis showed that those DEGs were involved in 33 pathways. We then focused on the KEGG pathway of phagosome and found that mRNA levels of the 25 DEGs in that pathway were up-regulated, and seven DEGs were down-regulated. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) confirmed the accuracy and reliability of these findings. Moreover, 24 h after LMH cells were infected with FAdV-4, the mRNA levels of F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, and DVnein were significantly increased. These four genes were all subsequently silenced by RNA interference, and viral replication of FAdV-4 was then significantly down-regulated. These findings demonstrate the isolation and identification of the FAdV-4 NP strain, and the DEGs in KEGG pathway of phagosome were utilized by FAdV-4 to benefit its infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Based on the genomic profiles, Fowl adenovirus (FAdV) is divided into three groups (I–III) and 12 serotypes (Hess, 2000). It is a non-encapsulated, double-stranded DNA virus (Griffin and Nagy, 2011). Its main structural proteins include the penton, hexon, and fiber proteins (Shah et al., 2017). Infection with different serotypes of group I FAdV leads to different clinical symptoms. Infection with FAdV serotype 4 (FAdV-4) causes hydropericardium hepatitis syndrome (HHS) (Asthana et al., 2013). Broilers at 21–35 days old are easily infected with FAdV-4. The morbidity and mortality rates are high (Chandra et al., 2000). In 1987, FAdV-4 was first reported in Pakistan, and then in India (Mittal et al., 2014) and South Korea (Kim et al., 2008), among other countries. Before 2014,
only sporadic cases had been reported in China. Since 2015, FAdV-4 infection has caused a major outbreak in China. However, little is known about the pathogenic mechanisms of FAdV-4 infection. Viruses evade the defense responses of host in order to efficiently infect host cells. Furthermore, viruses often utilize the host cell machinery to replicate, spread, and survive (Toscano and de Haan, 2018). Receptors are located on the cytomembranes or endosomal membranes. The receptors are internalized within endosomes, from which they are transferred to lysosomes for degradation, while others are recycled and transported back to the plasma membrane. After binding with the receptor, viral structural proteins serve as pathogen-associated molecular patterns that bind to toll-like receptors (TLRs). Some viral particles could inhibit the activation of TLRs to evade the proteasome, and the antigen presentation to cells of the host's immune system during the initial stages of infection (Horvath et al., 1992).

In this study, we identified FAdV-4 strain NP from sick layers that were pre-laying Hy-Line Variety Brown hens and investigated the transcriptomic profile of livers infected with FAdV-4 strain NP. We then focused on the KEGG pathway of phagosome and used qPCR and ribonucleic acid (RNA) interference to determine whether the KEGG pathway of phagosome was used to facilitate FAdV-4 invasion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Compliance With Ethical Standards
The animal protocol used in this study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the College of Animal Science, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University. All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals, approved by the State Council of China.

Main Reagents
The PEASY-T1 cloning vector kit, total RNA extraction kit, and reverse transcription kit, qPCR kit, Trans1-T1 competent cells (Full-type Golden Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), and agar rapid recovery kit were purchased from Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University. The deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Beijing, China. The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The deoxyribonucleic acid extraction kit was purchased from Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China).

Embryonated Eggs
Specific-pathogen-free (SPF) embryonated eggs were provided by Jinan Sais Poultry Co. Ltd. The eggs were hatched to 10 day-old in an incubator at 37°C.

Virus Isolation
The virus was isolated from diseased layers in Nanping city of Fujian province. The liver from each of the infected layers was collected, ground with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2), and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min. After the supernatants were filtered through a 0.22-µm syringe-driven filter, they were inoculated into the allantoic cavities of 10-day-old SPF embryonated eggs, and cultured in an incubator at 37°C. After inoculation, the embryonated eggs were checked daily and the allantoic fluid was collected from days 1–3. The virus was propagated through more than three passages. The harvested allantoic fluid was frozen at −80°C for viral DNA extraction and subsequent observation using electron microscopy. The median embryo lethal dose (ELD50) was calculated using the Reed-Muench method (Wang et al., 2014). The virus was named FAdV4 strain NP.

Observation by Electron Microscope
The allantoic fluid from the infected embryonated eggs was again centrifuged at 10,000 g for 45 min. The virus was suspended in ultrapure water, and then doubly treated with 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 2 h at 4°C, and 1% osmic acid fixation for 1 h. It was then embedded in epoxy resin and sliced into 50–60 nm sections, and then stained with 3% uranium acetate-citric acid. The morphology of the virus was observed using an HT7700 electron microscope (Wang et al., 2019).

Identification by PCR and Sequencing of PCR Products
Specific primers based on the A fragment of the hexon gene (AJ544049.1) were designed as follows: F:5'-TGAGCATTGGGGCGACCTA-3' and R:5'-AAGGGATTGACGTTGTCCA-3'. They were then sent to Shanghai Sangon Biological Co., Ltd. for synthesis. The DNA of FAdV4 was extracted using a viral DNA extraction kit (Promega Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Beijing, China). The PCR was then conducted with specific primers for the A fragment of the hexon gene. The PCR reaction system (25 µL) comprised 1 µL of template, 12.5 µL of PCR Nucleotide Mix (10 mmol/L), 9.5 µL of nuclease-free water, and 1 µL of each of the upstream and downstream primers. The reaction conditions were as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s, over 35 cycles. After amplification, the PCR products were analyzed via 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. Then the PCR products were sent to sequence by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. at last, the genetic tree was analyzed by DNAMAN9.0 software (Lynnon Biosoft, San Ramon, USA).

Sequencing Analysis of the FAdV4 Genome
The FAdV-4 strain NP [median tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) = 10−6.23/0.01 mL] was multiplied in a chick embryonic fibroblast cell line (DF-1) with 5% fetal bovine serum in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Hyclone, Logan, USA). At 24 h post-infection, the cells were collected, and viral DNA was extracted with a viral DNA extraction kit (Promega Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). The DNA sample was
sent to Wuhan Thermofly Co. Ltd. for sequencing (PE150, HiSeq X™ Ten, Illumina, USA). The genomic library of FAdV4 was constructed with 200–300 bp fragments, which were amplified by PCR with specific primers (shown in Table 1) based on the reference genome of FAdV-4 strain CH/AHMC (GenBank: KU558760.1). The overlap between the amplified bands was about 50 bp. All PCR products were further evaluated for end repair, A-tailing and adapter ligation. The genomic library of FAdV4 was sequenced (PE150; Hiseq X Ten, Illumina, USA).

Animal Infection
Eighteen 40-day-old chicks (FAdV4 antigen and antibody negative) were randomly divided into the control group or infection group. Chicks in the infection group were administered an intramuscular injection of 0.5 mL FAdV4 (TCID₅₀ = 10⁻⁶.23 ± 0.1 mL), and those in the control group were injected with the same volume of sterile saline.

Observation of Pathological Changes
When clinical signs were apparent in the infected group, the birds were sacrificed, and sections of the heart, liver, and kidney of each were collected, stained with H&E and subjected to pathological evaluation.

Transcriptome Sequencing and Screening of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in the Liver
At 2 days post-infection with FAdV4, the livers in the two groups were collected and total RNA was extracted using an RNA extraction kit (Saffer, China). The mRNA was sequenced (PE150; Hiseq X ten, Illumina, USA). The genomic library of FAdV4 was sequenced (PE150; Hiseq X Ten, Illumina, USA).

Table 1 | Special primers of the FAdV 4 genome.

| Genes | Sequences (5’-3’) |
|-------|------------------|
| V1    | F:5'-CATCATTGCTTATAACCCGCGTTT-3' |
|       | R:5'-CCGCGCGCTGACATCATC-3' |
| V2    | F:5'-GACTGCGCGTTGATCTGAC-3' |
|       | R:5'-AAAGCCAGGCTACAGG-3' |
| V3    | F:5'-TGGGCGGCTTTGACATC-3' |
|       | R:5'-CAACCGCAGAAGTGCC-3' |
| V4    | F:5'-AGCGATTCGCTGACATC-3' |
|       | R:5'-TGTCAGGGTATCTCAGA-3' |
| V5    | F:5'-GCATCGCTTCTCTTGTG-3' |
|       | R:5'-CAAGTGAGGAAATTCTGGA-3' |
| V6    | F:5'-GTCCCGCTGACGTATGAAA-3' |
|       | R:5'-CTCTCAGACAGTACGAC-3' |
| V7    | F:5'-CCACGGAACACTTTCCGATGAC-3' |
|       | R:5'-AACTCATCTCCTCGCTGCT-3' |
| V8    | F:5'-AGAAGCAGAGAACAGGAGATT-3' |
|       | R:5'-CAACTGAGTGAGTCTGGG-3' |
| V9    | F:5'-CCATAGAATTTCCGCGACA-3' |
|       | R:5'-CAAGGAGACAAGTACAGT-3' |
| V10   | F:5'-CAGCTGCGCTCAGCTATCAC-3' |
|       | R:5'-GGTCGGTGTGATCTGAC-3' |
| V11   | F:5'-GAGCAGCCTCAAGAAGACG-3' |
|       | R:5'-TCAACAGCAGTATGTCAGG-3' |
| V12   | F:5'-AATGCTGACATTTTCGCCC-3' |
|       | R:5'-CGGATGCGGCAAAGAACA-3' |
| V13   | F:5'-TCCAAGAGCTGCCTCTGCTC-3' |
|       | R:5'-GTCCCCCTCCTCTGCAG-3' |
| V14   | F:5'-TTTCTTCTAGTGGGTTTGGC-3' |
|       | R:5'-TGTCTAGTCAGGGTTGCG-3' |
| V15   | F:5'-GAACCTGCTGCGCTCAACAG-3' |
|       | R:5'-GCTGAGCTCCCTAGCAAG-3' |
| V16   | F:5'-GCAATCTGCGCGGACTGCA-3' |
|       | R:5'-GGGGGAGTAGAATCTCACT-3' |
| V17   | F:5'-TAGCTTCTCACGTCGTTGGC-3' |
|       | R:5'-TCTCCTCTGTCATCTGSG-3' |
| V18   | F:5'-GATTGGCCGAGCTTGACAG-3' |
|       | R:5'-GAGATCAGGCAGAGGAGG-3' |
| V19   | F:5'-CAGGAGCAGAGAATCAGATC-3' |
|       | R:5'-TCAACTGCTGAGTCTGGC-3' |
| V20   | F:5'-CAGGAGCAGAGAATCAGATC-3' |
|       | R:5'-GAGATCAGGCAGAGGAGG-3' |

Table 2 | Sequences of qPCR primers in this study.

| Genes | Sequences (5’-3’) | Expected size (bp) |
|-------|------------------|---------------------|
| β-actin | F:5'-CCAAGCAGCCACACAGAAGAT-3′ | 138 |
|         | R:5'-CAGCCAGCTTCCTCAGCAAT-3′ |           |
| CR3    | F:5'-GCTTTGCTTCTGACTGG-3′ | 108 |
|         | R:5'-CTGCTCTGCTTGCCGCTG-3′ |           |
| αMⅠt2  | F:5'-GAGACACAGAGGAGGACC-3′ | 181 |
|         | R:5'-ACGAACAGAGGAGGACC-3′ |           |
| αVt3   | F:5'-CTCAGACACAGGAGGACC-3′ | 179 |
|         | R:5'-TGGAACACAGACAGGAGGACC-3′ |           |
| MR     | F:5'-AGTTCGCCTGGGAGCTCT-3′ | 161 |
|         | R:5'-CTACAGGACACAGGAGGACC-3′ |           |
| F-actin | F:5'-GCTTCCATACGAGGAGGACC-3′ | 88 |
|         | R:5'-GCTTAAAGGAGGAGGACC-3′ |           |
| Rαβ7   | F:5'-CTGCCTGCTGACTGCT-3′ | 108 |
|         | R:5'-CTGTAAGGAGCTGACTGCT-3′ |           |
| TUBA   | F:5'-GCGGACACAGGAGGACC-3′ | 94 |
|         | R:5'-CTGCTGCTGAGACACAGGAGGACC-3′ |           |
| Dvnein | F:5'-AGATGTTCAACAGAAGCCACAG-3′ | 87 |
|         | R:5'-TCTCAGACGAGAAGGACC-3′ |           |
| VAMP-3 | F:5'-CGCGAGAGTACGAGCGAGACC-3′ | 133 |
|         | R:5'-TCTCCGACGGCATCCCGGACC-3′ |           |
| VATPase | F:5'-GAGAGGACTGCCGCTGCTGCT-3′ | 85 |
|         | R:5'-GAGGACTGCCGCTGCTGCT-3′ |           |
| MHCⅡα | F:5'-CATGCTCAGCTGAGGAGGACC-3′ | 187 |
|         | R:5'-GAGGACTGCCGCTGCTGCT-3′ |           |
| SRB1   | F:5'-AGATCAGACACAGGAGGACC-3′ | 200 |
|         | R:5'-CGAGAACAGGAGGAGGACC-3′ |           |
The concentration of total RNA was quantified with a NanoDrop® 1000 spectrophotometer (OD260/280 = 1.8–2.0) (Thermo Scientific, Shanghai, China) and its purity assessed with electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose gel, which was to detect whether the RNA was degradation or was contaminated with proteins and oxo-ribonucleic acid (DNA) (Wang et al., 2015).

We then evaluated the quality of sequenced reads and analyzed their saturation following as our previous study (Wang et al., 2015). Sequencing results of Illumina® HiSeq TM 2000 is raw reads, in which the impurities as reads with adapter, need to be removed. After removing the impurities, the reads is clean reads. The clean reads should be further filtrated to high quality clean reads, in which the reads with adapter, reads containing N more than 10% were further removed. The map of classification of clean read was present.

Then first, the high quality clean reads were compared with sequences of ribosome using the short-read comparison software Bowtie. Second the ribosome reads were removed. The clean reads-removed ribosome reads were compared with avian genomes and gene sequences using the software TopHat2. Third, the sequencing saturation was used to assess whether the sequencing amount of the sample was saturated. Because when the sequencing amount reaches a certain value, the rate of transcription tends to be gentle, indicating that it is saturated. The data was present with saturation curve.

The number of genes expressed was calculated as the reads per kilobase per million mapped reads (RPKM) and genes were compared to determine their relevant features. The differential test was then conducted and the multiple hypothesis tests were calculated by the detection method based on sequencing of DEGs (Audic and Claverie, 1997). The field value of P was controlled by the false discovery rate (FDR). The DEGs were defined as those for which the FDR was ≤0.05 and its difference ratio was <2-fold (Wang et al., 2015; Wang Q. X. et al., 2018).

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis of DEGs. The DEGs were first mapped to the respective terms of the GO database (http://www.geneontology.org/), and the number of genes per term was calculated. A list of genes was thereby obtained with specific
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numbers and GO functions. The hypergeometric test was then applied to find GO entries that were significantly enriched in the DEGs compared with the entire genomic background. The corrected $p \leq 0.05$ was used as the threshold, and the GO term satisfying this condition was defined as the significantly enriched GO term in the differentially expressed transcript (Wang et al., 2015; Wang Q. X. et al., 2018).

**FIGURE 2** | Genome map of FAdV-4 strain NP. The sequences were compiled and edited using the SeqMan program (laser gene) to produce the whole-genome sequence of strain NP. (A) The genome was mapped. (B) The homology of FAdV-4 strain NP was analyzed through genetic evolution tree.
KEGG Enrichment Analysis

The KEGG enrichment analysis was applied to find the pathways that were significantly enriched in the differentially expressed transcripts compared with the whole genome. Pathways with a \( Q \leq 0.05 \) were defined as significantly enriched pathways in the differentially expressed transcripts. Significant pathway enrichment was able to identify the most important biochemical metabolic pathways and signal transduction pathways involved in the differentially expressed transcripts.

Fluorescence Quantitative PCR

In this study, we focused on the pathways associated with the process of viral infection. The mRNA expression of genes in these pathways were determined by qPCR, using specific primers (shown in Table 2), which were designed based on the sequences in Genebank (\( \beta \)-actin: X00182.1, CR: XM_015289135.2, \( \alpha \)M\( \beta \): XM_015289136.2, \( \alpha \)V\( \beta \): XM_015299304.2, MR: XM_015853441.1, F-actin: NM_001308613.2, Rab7: XM_003212919.3, TUBA: NM_001305272.1, DVnein: XM_015281907.2, VAMP-3: NM_001309489.1, VAMP4: XM_004935760.3, MHCII: XM_015294966.2, MHCI: HQ141386.1, SRB1: XM_415106.4). At 24 h post-infection with FAdV4, the mRNA expression of those genes was further examined by qPCR. Furthermore, \( \beta \)-actin was used as a reference gene and mRNA levels were calculated using the \( \Delta \Delta CT \) method. The mRNA expression of the hexon gene of FAdV4 was calculated based on a standard curve.

F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, and DVnein Gene Silencing

The LMH cells were prepared in 6-well plates with DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C, under 5% carbon dioxide (CO\(_2\)). The F-actin-, Rab7-, TUBA-, and DVnein-specific siRNA oligonucleotides were designed (based on: F-actin: NM_001308613.2, Rab7: XM_003212919.3, TUBA: NM_001305272.1, DVnein: XM_015281907.2) and synthesized by Biomics Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Nantong, China). The siRNA sequences were as follows: si-F-actin F:CGAUGAGAUGUCAUAUdTdT; R:UGAUCUUAGAUCUCAUCdTdT; si-Rab7 F:CCUGUGGUGUCGACCUCUCUdTdT; R:UAAGGUGCACACCAUGGdTdT; si-DVnein F:GGAGAGUGAUGUGUCUAAdTdT; R:UGAAACUCCGCAUUUCdTdT; and si-TUBA F:ACGUGAGUUCUCAUCUdTdT, R:UAAGUG

| TABLE 3 | Genomic alignment results. |
| Samples | Control group | FAdV-4-infected |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Total paired reads, number (%) | 78,924,070 (100.00) | 78,033,860 (100.00) |
| Unmapped paired reads, number (%) | 11,226,317 (14.22) | 19,282,033 (24.71) |
| Unique mapped paired reads, number (%) | 67,322,232 (85.30) | 58,369,327 (74.80) |
| Multiple mapped paired reads, number (%) | 375,521 (0.48) | 382,500 (0.49) |
| Mapping ratio (%) | 85.78 | 75.29 |

FIGURE 3 | Screening of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in livers infected with FAdV4. Two days after infection with FAdV4, the genes expressed in the livers were subjected to transcription and sequencing analysis. (A) Classification of clean reads. (B) Distribution of gene coverage. (C) Mapped reads were evaluated in FAdV4 infected livers and control livers. (D) Histogram showing screened DEGs.
FIGURE 4 | Gene Ontology (GO) functional annotation and classification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Functional classification of DEGs was performed using GO analysis (http://www.geneontology.org/) and the categories “biological process,” “molecular function,” and “cellular component” were analyzed.

FIGURE 5 | KEGG functional annotation of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) involved in the cell phagosome pathway. A red rectangle denotes that the DEGs are significantly up-regulated (log fold change > 1, false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.001); A green rectangle denotes that the DEGs are significantly down-regulated (log fold change > 1, FDR < 0.001) in the FAdV-4-infected liver, compared with the control liver.
GAGAACUCCAGCudTdT. When the siRNA sequences were transfected into LMH cells for 6 h, the cells were subsequently infected with FAdV4. The mRNA levels of F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, DVnein, and the hexon gene of FAdV4 were detected by qPCR at 24 h post-infection.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed via t-tests or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the least significant difference (LSD) test using the SPSS 20.0 software.

RESULTS
Isolation and Identification of FAdV4 Strain NP
The results of inoculation of 10-day-old SPF chicken embryos showed that they all died 24–48 h after infection, were severely swollen, with oedema and hemorrhage (Figure 1A left), compared with the control embryo (Figure 1A right). Observation of the viral structure by electron microscopy revealed that the virus was 70–90 nm in size, spherical, non-encapsulated, and with a typical icosahedral structure (Figure 1B).

The PCR amplification with specific primers of the A and B fragments of the hexon gene showed specific bands around 1,219 and 1,350 bp (Figure 1C). The sequence of the A fragment of the hexon gene indicated that the virus was FAdV4 (Figure 1D).

| Genes | Results of expression spectrum | Results of qPCR |
|-------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
|       | Up (+)/Down (-)               | Up (+)/Down (-) |
| CR3   | +                              | 1.33 ± 0.001    |
| αMβ2  | +                              | 1.95 ± 0.001    |
| αβ3   | +                              | 2.04 ± 0.001    |
| MR    | +                              | 5.67 ± 0.001    |
| F-actin| +                              | 2.27 ± 0.001    |
| Rab7  | +                              | 2.65 ± 0.001    |
| TUBA  | +                              | 1.40 ± 0.001    |
| DVnein| +                              | 2.92 ± 0.001    |
| VAMP-3| +                              | 1.28 ± 0.001    |
| VATPase| +                             | 2.55 ± 0.001    |
| MHC1  | +                              | 2.37 ± 0.001    |
| MHCII | +                              | 1.24 ± 0.001    |
| SRB1  | _                              | 0.52 ± 0.001    |

FIGURE 6 | The mRNA levels of genes involved in the phagosome pathway in the liver, as revealed by qPCR. Two days after infection with FAdV-4, the livers were collected and the mRNA levels of CR3 (A), αMβ2 (B), αβ3 (C), MR (D), F-actin (E), Rab7 (F), DVnein (G), TUBA (H), VATPase (I), VATPasw (J), MHC1 (K), MHCII (L), and SRB1 (M) were detected by qPCR. All data are presented as the mean ± SE of three independent experiments. Significant differences between the treatments were determined by the Student’s t-test, using the SPSS software (version 20.0). Label of p < 0.05 denotes significance between the treatments.
In another animal experiment, 8 out of 9 40-day-old SPF chickens died within 3 days of being inoculated intramuscularly with the NP virus (TCID<sub>50</sub> = 10<sup>-6.23</sup>/0.01 mL), and all chickens of control treatment were alive. Pathological evaluation of the pericardial sac showed that it was filled with transparent or straw-colored fluid (Figure 1E left), the pericardium of the control group had no inflammatory exudate (Figure 1E right). And the liver-infected with FAdV-4 is swollen, embrittlement, and xanthochromia (Figure 1F left), compared with the control group (Figure 1F right). The kidney-infected with FAdV-4 showed swollen with white necrotic foci (Figure 1G left), compared with the control group (Figure 1G right). The pathological sections of heart-infected with FAdV-4 showed that there were many lymphocyte infiltration between the myocardiums (Figure 1H left), compared with heart in the control group (Figure 1H right). In the pathological section of liver-infected with FAdV-4, hepatocytes were present to degeneration and necrosis (Figure 1I left), compared with the livers in control group (Figure 1I right). And the cells in renal tubular-infected with FAdV-4 also showed degeneration and necrosis (Figure 1J left), compared with the kidney in control group (Figure 1J right).

Genomic Profile of FAdV4 Strain NP
The complete genome of FAdV4 strain NP was 43,738 bp in length (the sequence has been submitted to Genbank, the submission ID is 2266218 (Supplemental Data 1), with 54.9% G+C content and 42 open reading frames (ORFs) (Figure 2A) (the sequence has been submitted to Genbank, accession numbers MN604703 to MN604744). The FAdV4 strain NP showed a typical genome organization of FAdV-4, with two fiber genes (protein fiber-1 and fiber-2). Compared with the genomic sequences of other published FAdV-4 strains, 98.3–100% homology was observed at the nucleotide level. The NP strain was most closely matched to the CH/HNJZ2015 strain, isolated in China in 2015 (Figure 2B).

DEG Screening in the Livers Infected With FAdV-4
Based on the results of the sequencing quality evaluation, the high-quality clean reads in the two groups were all higher than 95.00% (Figure 3A). The distribution of gene coverage in the control group was up to 49.48%, and up to 59.14% in the FAdV4-infected group (Figure 3B). The mapped reads were >60% (Figure 3C). These results indicated that the sequencing quality in the livers of the two groups were favorable for further study. In the current study, the genome of Gallus gallus-5.0 (chicken) (GRCg6a, GCF_000002315.6, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/?term=Gallus%20domesticus) was as our reference genome. A total of 78,924,070 paired reads were detected in the control livers and 78,033,860 paired reads in the livers infected with FAdV-4. The mapping ratio in the control was 85.78%, and in livers infected with FAdV-4, 75.29% (Table 3). And a total of 26,512 transcripts were annotated in the control livers and 28,708 in the livers infected with FAdV4 strain NP. In the current study, 10,024 novel annotation transcript was been submitted to Genbank, the submission ID is 6338149).

A total of 13,576 DEGs were then screened from the livers infected with FAdV-4 and compared with the control livers. These DEGs included 7,480 up-regulated unigenes and 6,096 down-regulated unigenes (Figure 3D).

GO Functional Classification
The GO functional classification of the 13,576 unigenes revealed three categories: “biological processes,” “cellular compounds,” and “molecular function.” As shown in Figure 4, the numbers of up-regulated unigenes and down-regulated unigenes in “cell processes” were highest in “biological processes.” Furthermore, the numbers of up-regulated unigenes and down-regulated unigenes in “cell” were highest in the category of “cellular compounds.” In the category of “molecular function,” the DEGs associated with binding were the highest in number (Figure 4).

KEGG Enrichment Analysis and Real-Time qPCR Confirmation
The KEGG enrichment analysis helped us to further understand the biological functions of DEGs. We found that the Q-value of the phagosome was significantly up-regulated (Figure 5). The miRNA levels of CR3, αMb2, αVβ3, α2β1, TLR4, MR, F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, DVnein, VATPase, VAMP-3, MHC1, and
**FIGURE 8** Knockdown of F-actin, Rab7, DVnein, and TUBA reduced replication of FAdV-4. LMH cells were cultured in 6-well plates for 24 h. Three treatments were conducted. One was the control group, in which cells were siRNA and FAdV-4 free. The second was the FAdV-4 infection group, in which cells were infected with FAdV-4 but siRNA free. The third group was transfected with (A) si-F-actin, (B) si-Rab7, (C) si-DVnein, and (D) si-TUBA, respectively, and 6 h after transfection, cells were infected with FAdV-4. At 24 h post-infection, all cells were collected. The mRNA levels of F-actin, Rab7, DVnein, and TUBA were determined by real-time fluorescent quantitative (fq)-PCR. All data are presented as the mean ± SE of three independent experiments. Significance between the treatments was determined by the Single factor analysis of variance and least-significant different multiple comparison using the SPSS software (version 20.0). Label of $p<0.05$ denotes significance between the treatments.

**MHCII** were significantly up-regulated in FAdV-4 infected livers, compared with the control livers.

In order to confirm the DEGs levels, we evaluated the mRNA levels of CR3, αMβ2, αVβ3, MR, F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, DVnein, VAMP-3, VATPase, MHC1, MHCII, and SRBI in livers infected with FAdV-4 strain NP. After infection, the mRNA levels of CR3, αMβ2, αVβ3, MR, F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, DVnein, VAMP-3, VATPase, MHC1, and MHCII were up-regulated and the mRNA
level of SRBI was down-regulated (Figures 6A–M). These results were consistent with those of the KEGG analysis (Table 4).

**F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, and DVnein Gene Silencing Reduced the Replication of FAdV-4**

Firstly, 24 h after LMH cells were infected with FAdV-4, the mRNA levels of F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, and DVnein were significantly up-regulated (Figures 7A–E). The four genes were then silenced by RNA interference. The results indicated that when F-actin was silenced, the mRNA levels of Rab7, TUBA, and DVnein were reduced, as the viral load declined (Figure 8A). When Rab7 was silenced, the mRNA level of F-actin showed no decline, but the mRNA levels of TUBA and DVnein were both reduced, as the viral load declined (Figure 8B). In addition, we found that when TUBA was silenced, the mRNA levels of DVnein were reduced, as the viral load declined (Figure 8C). The viral load of FAdV-4 declined after silencing of the DVnein gene (Figure 8D). These results further indicated that genes of F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, and DVnein in KEGG pathway of phagosome was used to facilitate FAdV-4 invasion.

**DISCUSSION**

Hydropericardium syndrome (HPS) is an infectious viral disease in chickens, 3–5 weeks of age. The first outbreak was reported in Angara Goth, Pakistan in 1987 (Asthana et al., 2013). It is characterized by hydropericardium and hepatic necrosis. Reports indicated that broiler birds are more susceptible to infection (Dahiya et al., 2002). In the current study, we isolated a virulent strain of FAdV-4 from sick laying hens, which also had typical hydropericardium and hepatic necrosis.

Virus would attach to target cells after they pass through the extracellular medium. F-actin reinforces the plasma membrane and imposes a barrier toward the outside of the cell (Wang I. H. et al., 2018). The F-actin participates the formation of endocytic pits and vesicles, which often is used by virus to bind to cell extensions (Mercer and Helenius, 2009). Then virus transmits forward signals into cells and prepares to endocytic uptake and infection (Greber, 2002). F-actin is required when the endocytic vesicles containing the virus separate from the plasma membrane (Liu et al., 2016). Furthermore, Rab7 mediates the process by which endocytic vesicles are transported to the lysosome (mature phagosome) (Suwandittakul et al., 2017). If the virus is released from, or is able to escape the lysosome, it would enter the nucleus. However, it requires the assistance of a motor protein and tubulin, such as TUBA and the DVnein protein (Leopold et al., 2000). Nevertheless, the detailed mechanisms by which FAdV-4 invasion and intracellular trafficking in host cells occur, require further investigation.

Transcriptome analysis provides a novel research tool that may reveal the interactions between host and virus (Wang et al., 2015). Researchers have revealed new insights into the host immune response to Muscovy duck reovirus (MDRV) infection using transcriptome analysis (Wang et al., 2015). They then elucidated the molecular mechanism of hepatic steatosis induced by MDRV (Wang Q. X. et al., 2018).

In the present study, our team found 13,576 DEGs that were screened in livers infected with FAdV-4. These DEGs were involved in “biological processes,” “cellular compounds,” and “molecular function.” The KEGG analysis revealed that the phagosome pathway was significantly up-regulated. The DEGs in that pathway were evaluated against by qPCR, and results supported the KEGG analysis. Then four genes as F-actin, Rab7, TUBA, and DVnein in phagosome pathway, were determined in LMH cells-infected with FAdV-4 by qPCR and RNAi, results also supported the KEGG analysis. The DEGs in phagosome pathway are involved in the formation of a phagocytic cup, intracellular trafficking along microtubules, and the internalization and formation of phagosomes. But how FAdV-4 regulates these genes to contribute its proliferation need to further investigation.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, the FAdV-4 strain NP was isolated from sick young hens. And transcriptome analysis revealed that the KEGG pathway of phagosome was used to facilitate FAdV-4 invasion.
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