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Abstract
Objective: The objectives of this research were to screen anti-quorum sensing activity of phyllosphere bacteria and quantify their antibiofilm activity against biofilm forming bacteria (Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Salmonella typhimurium, Vibrio cholerae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa).

Results: We found 11 phyllosphere bacteria isolates with potential anti-quorum sensing activity. Most of the crude extracts from phyllosphere bacteria isolates had anti-quorum sensing activity against Chromobacterium violaceum at certain concentration (20 and 10 mg/mL), but not crude extract from isolate JB 7F. Crude extract showed the largest turbid zone (1,27 cm) using isolate JB 14B with concentration of 10 mg/mL and the narrowest turbid zone isolate (1 cm) using JB 18B with concentration of 10 mg/mL. Crude extracts showed various antibiofilm activities against all tested pathogenic bacteria, it showed the highest biofilm inhibition (90%) and destruction activities (76%) against S. aureus.
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Introduction
Nowadays, we know that about 65% of all bacterial infections were associated with bacterial biofilms [1]. Biofilm is an organized aggregate of microorganisms like bacteria within an extracellular polymeric matrix that they produce [1, 2]. Several pathogenic bacteria form a biofilm using a mechanism called quorum sensing. Quorum sensing is a communication form among bacteria by various types of extracellular signal molecules called autoinducer (AI). Bacteria in biofilm were more resistant to antibiotic because biofilm matrix can help with interfering the penetration of antibiotic. Therefore we need to explore compound that have capability to inhibit or destroy biofilm as well as anti-quorum sensing to control attack of biofilm-forming pathogenic bacteria [3].

Phyllosphere bacteria, which lives the most on the leaves surface area, reported to have potential in quorum quenching activity with produce molecules such as AHL lactonase enzyme [4–6]. High populations of phyllosphere bacteria show activities such as antimicrobial and antibiofilm that produced to survive on the leaves surface area [7]. Many research have been conducted to analyze anti-quorum sensing activity from phyllosphere bacteria. The objectives of this research were to screen anti-quorum sensing activity of phyllosphere bacteria using Chromobacterium violaceum as indicator bacteria and quantify their antibiofilm activity against biofilm forming bacteria (Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Salmonella typhimurium, Vibrio cholerae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa).

Main text
Methods
Bacterial cultivation
The phyllosphere bacteria were from Atma Jaya Catholic University of Indonesia culture collections in
cryopreservation. These bacteria were from previous research and recovered from Psidium guajava, Averrhoa carambola, and Anredera cordifolia leaves [8, 9]. Bacteria were grown in Luria–Bertani Agar (LA) and were incubated at 28 °C for 48 h. After that, single colony was picked and grown in King’s B medium and incubated at 28 °C for 48 h.

Pathogenic bacteria used were B. cereus ATCC 14579, S. aureus ATCC 29213, E. faecalis ATCC 33186, P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853, S. typhimurium, V. cholerae. All pathogenic bacteria were from cryopreservation and were streaked onto LA then incubated 37 °C overnight.

**Primary screening of anti-quorum sensing activity**

The monitor strain C. violaceum was grown separately in 50 mL of LB broth medium and incubated at 28 °C, 120 rpm for 48 h. Phyllosphere bacteria were streaked onto LA in a straight line then incubated at 28 °C for 24 h. After that, 100 μL of monitor strain (OD600 = 0.132) were put into 2 mL semisolid agar (0.75% agar) for overlay on top of the phyllosphere isolates which had been streaked before. These plates were incubated at 28 °C overnight. A positive result indicated by inhibiting violacein pigmentation (opaque zone) of the C. violaceum around the streak of the phyllosphere isolates [10].

**Production of crude extract**

Isolates that had given positive result from the primary screening of anti-quorum sensing activity were extracted by using liquid–liquid extraction. The bacterial culture were inoculated into 100 mL of Luria–Bertani Broth (LB) then incubated in orbital shaker incubator at 28 °C for 48 h 120 rpm. After that, centrifuged at 13,888×g for 15 min and cell-free supernatant was harvested and mixed with an equal volume of ethyl acetate. The solvent layer was harvested and evaporated in a rotary evaporator. After that, extract evaporated in an oven vacuum overnight to obtain the crude extract. To this, 1% of Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) will be added to obtain a final concentration of 5, 10, and 20 mg/mL stock (w/v) and kept at −20 °C [11].

**Antibacterial activity assay**

The crude extracts that had been obtained were tested against pathogenic bacteria such as B. cereus, E. faecalis, and S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, S. typhimurium, and V. cholerae using agar well diffusion method. Pathogenic bacteria were streaked continuously on Brain Heart Infusion Agar (BHIA). Then, the extracts were applied 50 μL of 5, 10, and 20 mg/mL solution to the well. Streptomycin (Merck; 10 mg/mL) were used as positive control, whereas DMSO was used as negative control. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. This assay was performed in triplicate [12].

**Detection of anti-quorum sensing activity**

The crude extracts were tested for anti-quorum sensing activity against C. violaceum by agar well diffusion method. C. violaceum was streaked on LA with sterile cotton swab. Then the extracts (50 μL) with various concentration (5, 10, and 20 mg/mL) were applied to the well. DMSO was used as a control. The plates were incubated at 28 °C for 24 h. Anti-quorum sensing activity was observed through a turbid halo zone against a background of violacein pigment. This assay was performed in triplicate [10].

**Quantification of antibiofilm activity**

The pathogenic bacteria were inoculated into BHIB and incubated overnight. After that, for biofilm inhibition test, 100 μL of crude extracts and 100 μL of bacterial cultures (OD600 = 0.132) were transferred into 96-well microtiter plates (polystyrene) then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Meanwhile for biofilm destruction test, 100 μL of bacterial culture were transferred into 96-well microtiter plates then incubated. After that, 100 μL of crude extracts will be added and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Then planktonic cells and media were discarded. Adherent cells were rinsed gently twice with distilled water and allowed to air dry. The biofilms were stained by 200 μL of 0.4% (w/v) crystal violet solution for 30 min. After that, the dye were discarded and the wells were rinsed twice with distilled water. The wells were air dried and then 200 μL of ethanol were used to solubilize the crystal violet. The optical density were determined at 595 nm using a microplate reader. BHIB was used as blank and bacterial cultures without extracts were used as control. This test was performed triplicate [13].

**Percentage biofilm inhibition**

\[
\text{Percentage biofilm inhibition} = \left( \frac{\text{Control OD}595 - \text{Treated OD}595}{\text{Control OD}595} \right) \times 100%
\]

**Microscopic observations**

This step was done using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) at Dexta Laboratories of Biomolecular Sciences. First, B. cereus and S. typhimurium were grown in BHIB and incubated overnight. Then, bacteria were spotted to a sterile cover glass and incubated overnight to form biofilm. After that, crude extracts were spotted into the biofilm and incubated at 37 °C overnight. At the last step, the results were analyzed using SEM at DLBS [14].
Results

Primary screening of anti-quorum sensing activity
There were 11 out of 60 phyllosphere isolates from previous study showed an anti-quorum sensing activity. Those positive isolates were extracted and continued to the next step.

Antibacterial activity assay
From this assay, we know that 1 out of 11 positive phyllosphere isolates crude extract, EJB 7B, showed antibacterial activity against all Gram positive pathogenic bacteria which used in this research. Meanwhile, control positive (Streptomycin) showed various turbid zone depending on the pathogen bacteria used. Average clear zone *V. cholerae* is 2 cm, *P. aeruginosa* is 3 cm, *S. typhimurium* is 2.3 cm. Average clear zone *B. cereus* is 4 cm, *S. aureus* is 3.5 cm, *E. faecalis* is 3 cm.

Detection of anti-quorum sensing activity
We found out that each of phyllosphere isolate has their own optimal concentrations but most of them showed activity at concentration of 20 mg/mL and all of them have no activity at concentration of 5 mg/mL. Crude extract showed the largest turbid zone (1.27 cm) using isolate JB 14B with concentration of 10 mg/mL and the narrowest turbid zone isolate (1 cm) using JB 18B with concentration of 10 mg/mL (Table 1).

Quantification of biofilm activity
According to the result of quantification of biofilm (inhibition) activity assay, the results showed that crude extracts had various inhibition activity against all pathogenic bacteria used in this study, with the most positive results against *S. aureus* and the least against *P. aeruginosa*. (Table 2). Crude extracts that showed the highest biofilm inhibition activity against *S. aureus* (90%) is from isolate JB 19B.

Meanwhile, different results were obtained from quantification of biofilm (destruction) activity assay. According to biofilm destruction activity data (Table 2), the results showed that crude extracts had various destruction activity against all pathogenic bacteria used in this study, with the most positive results against *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* and the least against *P. aeruginosa* (Table 2). Crude extracts showed the highest biofilm destruction activity against *S. aureus* (76%) using isolate JB 18B.

Microscopic observations
Regarding the results of biofilm destruction we can determined morphological changing, which destruction

### Table 1 Result of detection of anti-quorum sensing activity

| Phylosphere isolates | Origin of isolates | Concentrations (cm) |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                      |                   | 5 mg/mL | 10 mg/mL | 20 mg/mL |
| JB 3B                | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 0        | 0.83     |
| JB 11B               | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 0        | 1.13     |
| JB 14B               | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 2        | 1.1      |
| JB 15B               | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 0        | 1.4      |
| JB 16B               | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 0        | 1.27     |
| JB 18B               | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 1        | 1.2      |
| JB 19B               | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 0        | 1.07     |
| JB 20B               | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 1.2      | 1.7      |
| AF3                  | Anredera cordifolia| 0     | 0        | 1.1      |
| JB 7F                | Psidium guajava   | 0       | 0        | 0        |

### Table 2 Results of biofilm activity quantification against pathogenic bacteria

| Pathogens     | Activity | Isolates activity (%) |
|---------------|----------|------------------------|
|               |          | JB 3B | JB 11B | JB 14B | JB 15B | JB 16B | JB 18B | JB 19B | JB 20B | AF3 | JB 7F | EJB 7B |
| *S. aureus*   | Inhibition | 87   | 61    | 67    | 80    | 72    | 65    | 90    | 58    | 35  | 86  | X     |
|               | Destruction| 73   | 74    | 0     | 72    | 62    | 76    | 59    | 65    | 23  | 2   | X     |
| *E. faecalis* | Inhibition | 19   | 0     | 42    | 0     | 0     | 27    | 0     | 0     | 0   | 0   | X     |
|               | Destruction| 56   | 4     | 54    | 71    | 35    | 64    | 45    | 23    | 37  | 0   | X     |
| *B. cereus*   | Inhibition | 67   | 30    | 0     | 42    | 58    | 0     | 0     | 34    | 0   | 0   | X     |
|               | Destruction| 45   | 55    | 0     | 26    | 10    | 23    | 9     | 9     | 0   | 0   | X     |
| *V. cholerae* | Inhibition | 87   | 0     | 14    | 0     | 56    | 18    | 0     | 80    | 0   | 0   | 63    |
|               | Destruction| 71   | 72    | 0     | 58    | 59    | 48    | 73    | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0     |
| *S. typhimurium* | Inhibition | 29   | 8     | 37    | 21    | 33    | 0     | 0     | 27    | 8   | 0   | 5     |
|               | Destruction| 0    | 4     | 0     | 11    | 11    | 0     | 23    | 9     | 15  | 2   | 12    |
| *P. aeruginosa* | Inhibition | 68   | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0     |
|               | Destruction| 20   | 4     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 23    | 0     | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0     |

X: no test was performed
activity of extract from isolate JB 18B and JB 19 B against mature biofilm of B. cereus and S. typhimurium.

Discussion
Based on primary screening of anti-quorum sensing activity results, we found 11 out of 60 phyllosphere isolates were potential to be used as anti-quorum sensing agent. It might be happened because phyllosphere bacteria need survival strategy in the stressful environment due to the fluctuations in physical conditions and limited and highly heterogenous availability of nutrients [15].

Based on antibacterial activity assay result, we found that only isolate EJB 7B extract had antibacterial activity against Gram positive-biofilm forming bacteria. The result showed that most of them had no bactericidal activity towards biofilm-forming pathogenic bacteria which is would not lead to antibiotic resistance [10].

Based on the results, at 5 mg/mL concentration all of the phyllosphere extracts have no activity. It might be due to because the concentration were relatively small. JB 7F extract showed no activity at any concentrations because it needed higher concentration for quorum quenching activity. In this study, inhibition of violacein pigments could happened because AHL from C. violaceum were degraded by metabolites that produce by phyllosphere bacteria [16]. We also can conclude that quorum quenching activity is affected by bacteria producer and extract concentration that we used [10].

Biofilm is a cell function whose gene expression is regulated by quorum sensing [17, 18]. Therefore, quorum quenching mechanisms might be a good alternative to overcome biofilm problems [19]. Based on quantification of biofilm activity both in inhibition and destruction steps (Table 2), these extracts showed various results. The biofilm inhibition activity might happen because quorum sensing process of pathogenic bacteria was disturbed by interfering autoinducer synthesis, cell to cell exchange, autoinducer’s reception and transduction, and degrading autoinducer [7, 20].

The biofilm destruction activity might be the result of enzyme that could hydrolyze the compound of biofilm or small molecule that induce biofilm destruction [21]. EPS composition of pathogenic bacteria biofilms were diverse depending on the bacteria [22]. Various EPS compounds can be degraded by specific enzymes like proteases, deoxyribonucleases, glycoside hydrolase [23].

From SEM analysis, we can determine morphological changing which showed destruction activity (Fig. 1). It indicated there is reduction of extracellular matrix and this result approved quantification of antibiofilm assay.
Therefore, phyllosphere bacteria extract such as JB 18B and JB 19B can destruct biofilm of pathogenic bacteria like B. cereus and S. typhimurium.

Conclusion
JB 3B isolate has a broad spectrum antibiofilm activity both in inhibition and destruction ways because it can inhibit and destruct almost all biofilm of pathogenic bacteria that are used in this study. So far crude extracts of phyllosphere isolates are potential to be used as quorum quenching and antibiofilm agents against some of biofilm-forming pathogenic bacteria used in this study. For future research it might be possible to sequence the phyllosphere bacteria metabolites so we can know what kind of quorum quenching agents that phyllosphere bacteria has.

Limitation
This research did not know kind of molecule in the phyllosphere bacteria extract and did not look for at least genus of the phyllosphere bacteria.