A pathological study of the salivary glands of rabid dogs in the Philippines
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**Rabies virus is a highly neurotropic virus that affects the nervous system in humans and animals, resulting in death of the infected individual [14]. Rabies is an endemic disease in many developing and developed countries worldwide and causes approximately 37,000–86,000 human deaths each year [36]. In endemic countries, domestic dogs remain the principal reservoir and vector for rabies virus infection and play an important role in transmission of rabies virus to humans [2, 25]. In addition, more than 98% of human rabies deaths in the Philippines are associated with dog bites [8].

Viral transmission is achieved through contact with the virus contained in the saliva of an infected animal, often through biting. After deep biting by an infected animal, the rabies virus binds to the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors at the neuromuscular junction in the muscle fibers [21]. The virus enters through the peripheral nerves and reaches the central nervous system (CNS) by centripetal spread. The rabies virus then spreads to peripheral non-nervous tissues, including the salivary glands, adrenal glands, gastrointestinal tract, pancreas and heart [7, 12, 16, 33].

The salivary glands are an important site of viral replication and portals of exit for the rabies virus into the saliva [14]. A previous study found that dogs have the ability to excrete virus particles into the saliva for up to 14 days before any clinical symptoms of rabies are apparent [10]. Moreover, saliva samples can be used as alternatives to brain [18] and cerebrospinal fluid [28] samples for ante-mortem diagnosis of canine rabies. The acinar epithelium of salivary glands has been shown to contain abundant rabies virus antigens in infected animals [3, 6, 10]. However, detailed pathological findings in salivary glands and analysis of the excretion mechanism have not been reported.

Therefore, in this study, we investigated the histopathological and immunohistochemical findings of the salivary glands of rabid dogs and evaluated the excretion mechanism of the virus into the oral cavity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and direct fluorescent antibody test (dFAT): Mandibular and parotid gland samples were obtained from 22 rabid dogs, which had been submitted to the Research Institute of Tropical Medicine (RITM), Philippines, for postmortem diagnosis of rabies. Small transverse sections (2–3 mm in
Histopathological examination: Mandibular and parotid salivary glands of rabid (n=22) and control (n=3) dogs were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin at room temperature (RT) for more than 72 hr, embedded in paraffin, sectioned (3 μm thickness) and mounted. Three rabies-vaccinated domestic Japanese mixed dogs (8 to 10 years old) were used as a control group. The sections were then subjected to hematoxylin and cosin (HE), special staining (Alcian blue and reticulin silver impregnation) and immunohistochemistry as described below.

Immunohistochemistry: For detection of rabies virus antigen in tissues, sections were stained using the streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (LSAB) method with anti-rabbit phosphoprotein (P) as described previously [19]. For detection of cell type, the following primary antibodies were used: anti-CD3 for T lymphocytes (DAKO, Kyoto, Japan); anti-CD20 (Spring Bioscience, Fremont, CA, U.S.A.) and CD79α (DAKO); anti-laminin for basement membranes (Thermo Scientific, Fremont, CA, U.S.A.); anti-neurofilament protein (NF) for nerve fibers (DAKO); anti-neuron specific enolase (NSE) for nerve cells (DAKO); anti-alpha smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) for myoepithelial cells (DAKO); and anti-activated cysteine aspartic acid specific protease (cleaved caspase-3) for apoptotic cells (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Beverley, MA, U.S.A.). Briefly, tissue sections were treated for the activation of antigens with 0.25% trypsin at RT for 30 min for anti-P antibodies, microwaving at 750W for 5 min for anti-CD3, CD20 and CD79α antibodies, heating in a water bath at 95°C for 15 min for anti-cleaved caspase-3 antibodies, proteinase K for 15 min for anti-laminin antibodies or 30 min for anti-NF antibodies, and autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min for anti-NSE and anti-α-SMA antibodies. To remove endogenous peroxidase, sections were treated with 0.3% or 3% H2O2 in methanol. To block nonspecific reactions, sections were treated with 10% normal goat serum to block the non-specific binding. Sections were incubated with a mixture of the primary antibodies, rabbit anti-P together with anti-α-SMA overnight at 4°C. Dilution for anti-P and anti-α-SMA antibodies was performed as described above. After washing, sections were incubated with a mixture of fluorochrome-conjugated regents, Alexa Fluor 546-goat anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, U.S.A.) together with 488-goat anti-mouse IgG (Molecular Probes), for 30 min at RT, rinsed in PBS and mounted with ProLong® Gold Antifade Mountant with DAPI (Molecular Probes). For immunostaining combination with special stain, anti-P antibody staining was performed as described above, and slides were incubated with DAB until color developed. The reaction was stopped by washing the slides in distilled water, and the slides were then incubated in 3% acetic acid for 5 min, followed by staining with Alcian blue solution for 30 min. Slides were then washed in distilled water for 5 min, stained with Nuclear-fast red for 1 min, washed in distilled water for 5 min and mounted for light microscopy.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of the dogs: Eighteen dogs were discovered after having died, while four dogs were subjected to euthanasia. The 22 dogs (11 males, 8 females and 3 of unknown sex) ranged in age from 1 to more than 24 months, with six dogs having unknown ages. Sixteen of the 22 dogs had no history of rabies vaccination, one dog had a history of rabies vaccination, and no information on rabies vaccination status was available for five dogs. Sixteen dogs were free-roaming dogs with owners, three were strays, two were confined with household contact, and one had unknown living conditions. The primary clinical symptoms of canine rabies infection, such as unprovoked aggressiveness, mad biting of inanimate objects, aimless running and excessive salivation, were observed in 18 of the 22 dogs. All brain specimens were diagnosed as positive for rabies virus antigen through...
the direct immunofluorescence antibody test (dFAT). The clinical information and results of dFAT are summarized in Table 1.

Histopathological findings of salivary glands of control and rabid dogs: No gross findings were observed in the parotid glands, mandibular glands and mandibular lymph nodes in control dogs (Fig. 1). The parotid gland was composed exclusively of serous acinar epithelium in association with striated ducts and interlobular excretory ducts, but interlobular ganglion cells were not found (Fig. 2). The mandibular glands were divided into several lobules by dense connective tissue septa and were composed of serous and mucous acinar epithelia. Many ganglion cells and peripheral nerve fibers were observed in the interlobular septa (Fig. 3).

In the mandibular glands, 19 out of 22 rabid dogs exhibited mild to moderate non-suppurative sialadenitis characterized by fragmentation and cytolysis of the acinar epithelium with infiltration of moderate to marked lymphocytes and plasma cells (Fig. 4). These cells consistently surrounded fragmented acini, interstitial connective tissue and striated ducts. Infiltration of inflammatory cells was not found in three of the four euthanized dogs, but small foci of necrotic acinar cells were scattered throughout the samples (Fig. 5). In all cases, no histopathological changes in striated and interlobular ductal epithelial cells and interlobular ganglion cells were found. In the parotid glands, no morphological evidence of acinar, duct units and interlobular stroma was observed (Fig. 6).

Immunohistochemical examination of the mandibular glands and parotid glands: On immunohistochemistry using anti-rabies P antibody, no viral antigen was detected in control dogs (data not shown). In all rabid dogs, viral antigens were detected in the cytoplasm of the mucous acinar epithelium and interlobular ganglion cells in the mandibular gland (Figs. 7–9). Some viral antigens were observed in the myoepithelium and peripheral nerves, but viral antigens were not found in the striated and interlobular ductal epithelia. In parotid gland, rabies virus antigens were only detected in the interlobular peripheral nerves. These immunohistochemistry results are summarized in Table 2.

| Table 1. Clinical information and laboratory findings of rabid dogs (samples obtained postmortem) |
| --- |
| No. | Age (months) | Sex | Owned | Manner of death | Vaccination | Animal conditions | Neurological symptoms | dFAT |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 48 | Male | Yes | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness, excessive salivation + |
| 2 | Unknown | Male | Yes | Euthanasia | Unknown | Stray | Unprovoked aggressiveness, mad biting of inanimate objects + |
| 3 | 24 | Female | Yes | Found dead | Yes | Free-roaming and owned | Excessive salivation, mad biting of inanimate objects, apprehensive, watchful look, paralysis + |
| 4 | 1 | Male | Yes | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unknown + |
| 5 | 6 | Male | Yes | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness, mad biting of inanimate objects + |
| 6 | 6 | Male | Yes | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unknown + |
| 7 | 1 | Male | Yes | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness + |
| 8 | 60 | Male | Yes | Found dead | No | Confined with household contact | Unprovoked aggressiveness, excessive salivation, apprehension, watchful look, mad biting of inanimate objects + |
| 9 | 2 | Female | Yes | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness, mad biting of inanimate objects, aimless running + |
| 10 | 12 | Female | Yes | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unknown + |
| 11 | 1 | Female | Yes | Found dead | No | Unknown | Unknown + |
| 12 | 36 | Male | Yes | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness, mad biting of inanimate objects, paralysis of jaw and tongue + |
| 13 | 16 | Female | Unknown | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness + |
| 14 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Euthanasia | Unknown | Stray | Unprovoked aggressiveness + |
| 15 | 2 | Male | Unknown | Found dead | Unknown | Free-roaming and owned | Apprehensive, watchful look, paralysis + |
| 16 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness + |
| 17 | 2 | Female | Unknown | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness + |
| 18 | 8 | Female | Unknown | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness, mad biting of inanimate objects + |
| 19 | Unknown | Male | Unknown | Euthanasia | Unknown | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness + |
| 20 | Unknown | Male | Unknown | Found dead | No | Free-roaming and owned | Unprovoked aggressiveness, aimless running + |
| 21 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Euthanasia | Unknown | Stray | Unprovoked aggressiveness + |
| 22 | 3 | Female | Unknown | Found dead | No | Confined with household contact | Unprovoked aggressiveness, mad biting of inanimate objects, aimless running + |

+: Positive, dFAT: Direct fluorescent antibody test.
For identification of the virus infected cells, double staining was performed by combination of anti-P antibody and either anti-α-SMA or Alcian blue staining. In the mandibular gland of rabid dogs, anti-α-SMA-positive myoepithelial cells showed co-expression with anti-P antibody reactivity (Fig. 10). In addition, the mucous acinar epithelium showed co-stained with anti-P antibody reactivity and Alcian blue staining (Fig. 11). In contrast, α-SMA-positive myoepithelial cells of the parotid glands were negative for anti-P antibody reactivity.

Anti-α-SMA antibody was used as a myoepithelial cell marker. In control dogs, anti-α-SMA antibody was positive in the myoepithelial cells surrounding acinar epithelium (Fig. 12A) and intercalated duct epithelium of the mandibular and parotid glands. However, in rabid dogs, the intensity of immunostaining of anti-α-SMA-positive cells was decreased in glands that showed severe inflammation (Fig. 12B).

In mandibular glands of rabid dogs, there are moder-
Large numbers of anti-CD3 positive cells appeared in the interstitial connective tissue and around fragmented acinar epithelium, while anti-CD20 and anti-CD79α (Fig. 13) positive cells were mainly detected in the interstitial connective tissue and periductal areas. No positive cells were detected in the parotid glands.

Anti-NSE and NF antibodies were used as neuronal markers. Interlobular ganglion cells and peripheral nerve fibers were positive for anti-NSE antibodies in the mandibular glands. Interlobular ganglion cells were not found in the parotid glands. Anti-NF immunoreactivity was observed as a fine network in the nerve fibers distributed throughout the stroma and around acini in the mandibular glands. The staining patterns were the same between control and rabid dogs.

In control dogs, laminin immunoreactivity and reticulin silver impregnation staining appeared as linear, continuous staining around individual acini and ducts of the mandibular and parotid glands. In the mandibular glands of rabid dogs,
staining was irregular and weak, because acinar epithelium and basement membranes were disrupted (Fig. 14).

Detection of apoptotic cells by TUNEL assays and anti-cleaved caspase-3 antibodies: In mandibular glands of rabid dogs, the acinar epithelium and lymphocytes exhibited apoptotic features, such as nuclear fragmentation and cytolysis, and were positive in TUNEL assays (Fig. 15). The numbers of TUNEL-positive cells were higher in glands that showed severe inflammation. However, TUNEL-positive signals were not detected in the ductal epithelium, interlobular ganglion cells and serous demilune. In parotid glands, the acinar epithelium and the ductal epithelium were negative for TUNEL staining. The patterns of anti-cleaved caspase-3 immunostaining were similar to those of TUNEL staining in the mandibular glands, but with fewer positive cells.

DISCUSSION

Centrifugal spread from the CNS to peripheral sites along neuronal routes is essential for transmission of rabies virus to its natural hosts. Salivary gland infection is necessary for the transfer of infectious oral fluids by rabid vectors. In this study, non-suppurative sialadenitis, characterized by fragmentation, cytolysis of the acinar epithelium, basement membrane disruption and lymphoplasmacytic infiltration, was observed in the mandibular glands of most rabid dogs. No inflammatory cells were found in three of four euthanized dogs; however, small foci of necrotic acinar cells were scattered throughout the samples, and these cells were positive for anti-caspase-3 and TUNEL staining. These pathological findings suggested that early sialadenitis was induced by direct disruption of the acinar epithelium by rabies virus infection.

In our study, the viral antigen was mainly detected in the mucous acinar epithelium and myoepithelium in the mandibular glands. These findings are consistent with previous reports of skunks and foxes infected with the street rabies virus [1]. In addition, the viral antigen was present in the myoepithelium between the basal lamina and acinar epithelial cells. Thus, the rabies virus may propagate in the myoepithelium and affect nerve terminal innervation. Previous studies have described the morphological structure of the neuro-effector that innervates the acinar epithelium and myoepithelium of the salivary glands of carnivores [11, 20, 27]. These neuro-effectors may be the hypolemmal type (i.e., non-myelinated axons that penetrate below the acinar basement membrane and adjacent to the myoepithelium) or the epilemmal type (i.e., non-myelinated axons found outside the acinar epithelium and myoepithelium). Furthermore, the intra-acinar nerve endings in the mandibular glands are restrictively localized only in the intercellular space between myoepithelial cells and mucous acinar cells, whereas no intra-acinar nerve endings occur among the serous cells [17]. Therefore, it was suggested the rabies virus may directly enter mucous acinar epithelial cells and myoepithelial cells via both innervation. However, several mammalian species lack apparent hypolemmal innervation in the striated ducts and interlobular excretory ducts [31, 32]. In the present study, we did not

| No. | Inflammatory cells | Virus antigen distribution |
|-----|--------------------|----------------------------|
|     |                    | Mucous epithelium | Serous epithelium | Ductal units (striated and interlobular ducts) | Interlobular ganglion |
| 1   | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 2   | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 3   | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 4   | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 5   | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 6   | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 7   | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 8   | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 9   | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 10  | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 11  | None               | +                | +                | –                | +                |
| 12  | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 13  | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 14  | None               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 15  | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 16  | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 17  | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 18  | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 19  | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 20  | Mild               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 21  | None               | +                | –                | –                | +                |
| 22  | Moderate           | +                | –                | –                | +                |

+: Positive, –: Negative.
observe degeneration, inflammation or viral antigen in the duct unit of striated and interlobular ducts in the mandibular gland, suggesting that hypolemmal and epilemmal innervations were not present in the duct system of dogs. Thus, viral proliferation and cytotoxicity could not occur there, ensuring that secretions containing the virus were efficiently excreted into the oral cavity.

Interlobular ganglia were observed in the interlobular septa of the mandibular glands, similar to findings in humans [34] and rats [24]. These ganglia included a number of cholinergic ganglion neurons that received motor impulses from pre- and postganglionic parasympathetic fibers carried by the facial nerve [9]. Ganglion neurons are responsible for innervation of the salivary parenchyma and for regulation of salivary secretion [24, 26]. In the present study, viral antigens were detected in the ganglion neurons and their fibers. Thus, centrifugal viral propagation progresses to the mandibular glands via motor innervations. After the rabies virus replicates within the facial nerve nuclei, located in the ventral part of the rostral medulla oblongata, the virus then descends along the facial nerve to reach the submandibular ganglion and the interlobular ganglia [3, 30, 35]. In this study, we did not observe interlobular ganglia in the parotid gland, and also no viral antigens were detected here. Therefore, it was suggested that these ganglia are important for viral replication and serve as a main source of virus to acinar epithelium of the mandibular glands.

In the present study, virus-infected ganglion neurons were negative for TUNEL staining and cleaved caspase-3, indicating that virus-infected neurons did not undergo apoptosis, similar to results reported in natural infection of dogs and humans by a street rabies virus strain [15, 30]. Therefore, we concluded that lack of neuronal apoptosis in rabies in dogs infected by street virus may promote prolonged infection within ganglion neurons and continually supply virus to the salivary acinar epithelium. On the other hand, virus-infected mucous acinar epithelial cells exhibited fragmentation and cytolysis, and migrating T lymphocytes were positive for TUNEL and cleaved caspase-3, suggesting that rabies virus may trigger apoptotic cascades through the Fas/Fas ligand and caspase-dependent apoptotic pathways [29].

In summary, our results confirmed the path through which the rabies virus descends along the facial nerve after proliferation in the brain to reach the ganglion neurons of the mandibular gland, subsequently traveling to the acinar epithelium and salivary gland myoepithelium. Furthermore, viral proliferation and cytotoxicity did not occur in the duct system, ensuring that secretions containing the virus were efficiently excreted into the oral cavity.
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