Introduction

Cancer is expected to affect approximately one in three people currently under the age of 75 in developed countries. According to Global Burden of Disease Study, the incidence of cancer increased by 33% between 2005 and 2015 [1], and the number of people with cancer around the world is expected to increase by approximately 75% until 2030, due to changes in demographics and lifestyle, among other factors [2]. Meanwhile, survival rates for several cancers are increasing as progress is made with therapeutic strategies, leading to an increasing number of cancer survivors (people living with the disease long-term). Cancer survivors face disabilities to daily living due to intensive therapy and side effects, as well as disease progression. These disabilities can lead to decreased physical activity (PA) of patients, and this adversely affects the quality of life (QoL) for both patients and their caregivers. In the field of cancer therapy, enhancing or maintaining the PA of survivors is a necessary aim, along with considerations of how to improve QoL.

PA have been understood to be related to the health of cancer patients. A recent meta-analysis investigated the association between PA and risk of mortality due to cancer both in a general population and cancer survivors [3]. That study showed that both members of the general population and cancer survivors with high levels of PA have a lower risk of death from cancer than those with low levels of PA. The findings of that study did not vary between different types of cancer. Rehabilitation of cancer patients is an important intervention for maintaining or enhancing QoL and PA, and the purpose and content of this intervention needs to be adjusted according to disease stage [4]. However, PA is an important indicator of mortality risk at any time, including periods when the focus is on disease prevention, and targets need to be set for maintenance or enhancement of PA. In this review, we discuss previous research on PA and cancer.

Physical activity correlate with general health status

PA is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure. Exercise is a subset of physical activity that is planned, structured, and repetitive and has as a final or an intermediate objective the improvement or maintenance of physical fitness [5]. PA is reported to be associated with many chronic diseases, not just cancer. Such a relationship was first described for heart disease [6], followed by diabetes, obesity, bone and joint disease, and other chronic disorders including depression [7]. Previous research suggested that PA is effective in lowering mortality risk, and over 1.3 million deaths/year could be avoided with a 25% increase in PA [8]. The prime importance of PA for health is indicated in the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans which state that weekly aerobic exercise of at least 150 to 300 min at moderate intensity, or 75 to 150 min at vigorous intensity, will produce significant health benefits. However, improved health status and longer life expectancy is known to result from even a small amount of exercise, which may contribute to reduced medical costs and treatment disparities [9].

Recently, there have been moves to assess PA in conjunction with physical inactivity, which is regarded as sedentary behavior (SB) [10]. SB is defined as “waking behavior such as sitting, lying down, and expending very little energy (approximately 1.0–1.5 metabolic equivalents (MET))” [11] and is classified separately from insufficient PA. The relationship between PA and SB can be represented diagrammatically (Figure). Previous research has been conducted in the United States and Australia on the amount of time adults spend in PA and SB during their waking hours [12]. The results showed that adults spend only 5% of their waking hours engaged in moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA, a form of activity that had received much attention prior.
to this study, and that light-intensity PA (35%–40%) and SB (55%–
60%) occupy the larger proportion of waking hours. A notable study
showed that increased SB was associated with increased mortality rate,
independent of PA [13]. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis showed
that SB was associated with a lower mortality rate in people with high
levels of PA [hazard ratio (HR) of 1.46; 95% confidence interval (CI):
0.84 to 1.59]. Other research has shown that 8 hours of consecutive SB
can be offset by 60 min of PA [14], and interventions need to focus on
reducing SB, not just increasing PA.

Effects of physical activity on cancer prevention

Many studies dating back over 90 years have investigated cancer
prevention [15,16]. PA reduces the risk of developing cancer across a
wide range of the population, irrespective of sex and type of PA [17].
The 2006 American Cancer Society (ACS) cancer prevention guidelines
recommend 30 min, or preferably 45 to 60 min, of moderate-intensity
(or greater) PA at least 5 days a week, for the prevention of cancer
[18]. Preventive effects of PA are described extensively in the literature
on breast and colorectal cancer. A comparison of PA measurements
in adults revealed that a dose response was seen in the reduction in
the risk of developing cancer at higher levels of PA (600–3,999 METs
minutes/week and 4,000–7,999 METs minutes/week vs. < 600 METs
minutes/week), with risk reduction ranging from 3% to 14% for
breast cancer and 10% to 21% for colorectal cancer [19]. Increased
PA has also been described as beneficial for post-menopausal women
diagnosed with breast cancer, and the timing of initiating exercise is
also important [20]. The risk of gastric cancer was found to be 21%
lower in persons with high levels of PA than in those with low levels
of PA [21]. Regarding other cancers, the relative risk reduction for
persons with high levels of PA (versus those with low levels) was 42%
for gastrointestinal cancer, 23% for renal cancer, and 20% for myeloid
leukemia, and PA had a preventive effect against a wide range of
cancers [22]. Current guidelines recommend 150 min of PA weekly
in order to experience substantial health benefit. However, the incidence
of cancer is significantly reduced even at half the recommended level,
an average of 15 min PA per day [9]. This shows that almost all loss of
PA is highly deleterious, and that moderate-intensity activity, even in
small amounts, is beneficial. Even light-intensity PA can be important
in preventing cancer [23], and this is possibly because increases in
light-intensity PA are related to relative reductions in SB. A meta-
analysis of relationships between SB and cancer suggested that SB was
associated with overall cancer risk and with the risk of uterine cancer,
colon cancer, breast cancer, and lung cancer, specifically [24].

The mechanisms underlying the anti-cancer effects of PA remain
unclear although various hypotheses exist. These include preventing
genetic damage, promoting immune function, suppressing chronic
inflammation, and preventing overproduction of insulin and insulin-
like growth factors, with resultant inhibition of cancer cell proliferation.
PA probably inhibits the emergence and proliferation of cancer cells
through multiple mechanisms and complex associations [25].

The 2012 ACS guideline [26] recommend daily PA with a
resumption of normal daily activity as soon as possible after diagnosis
and the avoidance of inactivity. This recommendation is for 150 min
of moderate-intensity PA, including some muscle strength training, twice
a week. PA is also important during treatment following diagnosis, and
after the end of treatment. A meta-analysis revealed that PA during
therapy was related to physical stamina, muscle strength, body weight,
activity level, self-respect, QoL, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1,
and cancer-related symptoms [27]. Furthermore, his meta-analysis
revealed no adverse events and exercise was found to be safe.

Effects of physical activity for cancer survivors

Many studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of PA during
treatment. Numerous reports indicate that increased PA by exercise-
based cancer rehabilitation during and after cancer therapy shortens
hospital stay, reduces the risk of complications, and is associated
with enhanced physical function and QoL. In patients with breast
and head and neck cancer who received surgical treatment, higher
levels of PA and reduced shoulder pain have been reported with
a postoperative rehabilitation regime that includes exercise [28].
In colorectal cancer, shorter hospital stays and lower incidence of
postoperative complications have been reported with early ambulation
and provision of nutrition therapy postoperatively aimed at increasing
PA [29,30]. In stomach cancer, shorter hospital stays, lower hospital
costs, and reduced postoperative complications have been reported
with a comprehensive approach to care including enhanced PA [31].
A recent study of colorectal and breast cancer patients with high
levels of preoperative PA showed high postoperative recovery of
physical function [32,33], and PA is an important factor before and
after surgery. PA is also important before and after chemotherapy
and radiotherapy; a substantial adverse effect on daily life may follow
a decline in muscle strength and exercise tolerance with low levels of
PA pre- or post-therapy [34]. Accordingly, PA can be maintained, and
maximum walking speed, muscle strength, and body composition can
be maintained or improved when the patient exercises [35-38].

Cancer survivors clearly experience a marked decline in PA due
to treatment, and this effect persists after treatment has ended [39];
around 16%–20% of all cancer survivors engage in regular PA [40].
Physical fitness is known to affect PA and this association has been
investigated in cancer survivors. The results of this investigation show
a reduced mortality risk with higher physical fitness for cancer overall
and for various types of cancer specifically (Table) [8,41-51]. Increased
PA is associated with lower cancer-related and all-cause mortality rates
in cancer survivors, and PA showed a suppressive effect on mortality
rate [52-54]. The efficacy of PA has been demonstrated with endpoints
other than mortality rate. Moderate-intensity PA has been shown to
contribute to improved body weight, body mass index, maximum
oxygen consumption, maximum work rate, distance covered in the 6-
min walk test, muscle strength, levels of fatigue and depression, and
QoL [27,55,56].
Cancer survivors who receive palliative care experience deterioration in their general condition [57]. This is accompanied with decreased activities of daily living due to impaired physical function, or another physical or psychiatric condition; treatment costs are also increased [58-61]. During this period, the goal is to enhance QoL for patients and their families, and for patients with terminal disease, to increase physical and mental well-being [62]. PA can potentially mitigate pain, fatigue, and insomnia in cancer survivors receiving palliative care [63]. Proactive maintenance or enhancement of PA is desirable; however, PA becomes difficult due to cancer progression and associated cachexia. Generally, in the absence of moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA, low-intensity PA can reduce the risk of complications and disease progression [64], and thus patients should be encouraged to undertake PA to the maximum extent possible. Engaging in PA appropriate for the patient’s condition can reduce healthcare costs, improve physical function, and maintain QoL. However, in contrast to the reported association between PA and QoL in the palliative phase [65], greater physical function resulting from increased PA had no effect on general condition in patients with metastatic breast cancer involved in a PA enhancement program [66]. Furthermore, another study revealed that PA had no effect on physical function or QoL in the palliative care phase [67]. Considering these findings, some cancer survivors may experience difficulties in keeping up with moderate-intensity PA. For such patients, the perspective should shift to interventions which increase low-intensity PA and reduce SB, rather than intensify PA. However, it is currently unclear where to set the threshold for SB in order to achieve health outcomes. The effectiveness of replacing walking and standing exercises with low-intensity PA is also unclear. Accordingly, further research is needed to establish if SB has any dose-response relationship with health outcomes and to investigate the effects of reducing SB. The indications for increased PA in patients during the palliative care phase may vary depending on the previous course of treatment and the current physical and mental state. Accordingly, further research is needed on the various areas where the effect of PA is unclear.

**Determinants of physical activity in cancer survivors**

Identifying possible determinants of PA is important for achieving an increase in PA or a reduction in SB. Reported emotional and psychological determinants of PA in cancer survivors are distress and loss of willpower due to cancer-related symptoms, fatigue, enjoyment of PA, a sense of purpose, and self-efficacy [68-70]. Exercise interventions designed to enhance or maintain PA can come up against barriers. These barriers must be understood, strategies for overcoming them must be devised, and the setting and method of the intervention must be considered. Maintaining or enhancing PA is important no matter what activity is engaged in and various group activity programs have been analyzed in a previous investigation [71,72]. In that research, cancer survivors showed interest in information about PA. Furthermore, several factors have been identified as determining preference for and interest in such a program including age, current level of PA, educational history, income, obesity, stage of cancer, time elapsed since diagnosis, type of treatment, and comorbidity [40,68,73-76]. Other factors are self-efficacy, enjoyment of PA, social support, sensory disturbance, depression, and fatigue [75,77]. Instituting PA-enhancing interventions requires that patients be made aware of the therapeutic purpose, and that patients are interested in the selected activity, and have the capability, opportunity, and inclination to perform it.

**Conclusions**

We have reviewed cancer and PA, which is an important indicator for cancer rehabilitation. Some cancers are completely curable, but many are progressive. Cancer survivors face limitations of extended PA due to the disease and the effects of treatment, and this can lead to further symptoms and atrophy. Promoting PA plays an increasingly important role in the optimization of recovery and symptom control, and palliative and/or prevention of treatment-related toxicity. The results of many epidemiological studies have suggested that PA is an important therapeutic strategy for delaying relapse and extending life expectancy after a cancer diagnosis, and not just a means of preventing cancer. Methods for increasing PA are not a uniform mode of intervention because the objectives vary with the type of cancer and the stage of disease. The physiological mechanism by which exercise-centered PA produces health benefits is not clearly understood. A few hypotheses have been advanced, encompassing areas such as regulation of sex hormones, insulin, and IGF-1, improved regulation of immunological function, and inhibition of free radical production. No precise demonstration has been possible for any of the theoretical mechanisms. In contrast, excessively vigorous exercise may be linked to increases in reactive oxygen species and free radicals, and damage to lipids, proteins, and DNA. These details require attention because PA has the potential to be either harmful or beneficial, and these interventions for cancer survivors at risk of disadvantage should

| Source            | Year | Sex | Number of participants | Diagnosis | Follow-up (years) | Death | RR/HR (95% CI) |
|-------------------|------|-----|------------------------|-----------|------------------|-------|----------------|
| Blair et al.      | 1989 | Men*| 10224                  | Mixed     | 8                | 64    |                |
| Kamper et al.     | 1996 | Men*| 25341                  | Mixed     | 8                | 179   | RR : 0.36 (0.21 to 0.61) |
| Lee et al.        | 2002 | Men | 25802                  | Mixed     | 10               | 335   | RR : 0.45 (0.34 to 0.61) |
| Sawada et al.     | 2003 | Men | 9039                   | Mixed     | 16               | 123   | RR : 0.41 (0.23 to 0.74) |
| Evenson et al.    | 2003 | Men*| 2890                   | Mixed     | 25               | 401   | HR : 0.41 (0.23 to 0.75) |
| Farrell et al.    | 2007 | Men | 38410                  | Mixed     | 17               | 1037  | HR : 0.53 (0.43 to 0.67) |
| Thompson et al.   | 2008 | Men | 18858                  | Mixed     | 16               | 719   | HR : 0.70 (0.56 to 0.86) |
| Peel et al.       | 2009 | Men | 38801                  | Mixed     | 29               | 556   | HR : 0.56 (0.40 to 0.80) |
| Peel et al.       | 2009 | Women| 14811                  | Breast    | 16               | 68    | HR : 0.45 (0.22 to 0.95) |
| Laukkanen et al.  | 2010 | Men | 2268                   | Mixed     | 17               | 159   | RR : 0.55 (0.36 to 0.83) |
| Sui et al.        | 2010 | Men | 38000                  | Lung      | 17               | 232   | HR : 0.43 (0.28 to 0.65) |
| Lakoski et al.    | 2015 | Men | 13949                  | Mixed     | 10               | 281   | HR : 0.66 (0.48 to 0.91) |

*The women in that study were statistically non-significant results

**The lowest category of physical fitness is reference
be carried out under the supervision of a specialist. It also remains unclear whether an increase in PA or a decrease in SB has greater utility for cancer survivors. However, PA and SB can now each be evaluated with a four-category (Physically Active and Low Sedentary, Physically Active and High Sedentary, Physically Inactive and Low Sedentary and Physically Inactive and High Sedentary) classification [78], and guidance on health risk reduction is needed, even if the risk reduction is small. This requires consideration of the disease, patient needs, capabilities, and preferences, as well as designing tailor-made interventions. Guidelines need to be developed for planning PA enhancement and SB reduction, together with details such as the form, frequency, level, and duration of PA. In rehabilitation, PA should be used as an important indicator because it improves prognosis and alleviates symptoms at any stage of cancer.
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