Growth and functionalization of carbon nanotubes on quartz filter for environmental applications
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Abstract

**Background:** Air pollution has become an important issue worldwide due to its adverse health effects. Among the different air contaminants, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are liquids or solids with a high vapor pressure at room temperature that are extremely dangerous for human health. Removal of these compounds can be achieved using nanomaterials with tailored properties such as carbon nanotubes.

**Methods:** Vertically-aligned multiwall carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were successfully grown on quartz filters by means of plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD). Furthermore, a plasma treatment was performed in order to modify the surface properties of the CNTs. The adsorption/desorption processes of three chlorinated compounds (trichloroethylene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene and chloroform) on the CNTs were studied using mass spectrometry measurements with a residual gas analyzer.

**Results:** The adsorption capability of the CNTs increased after functionalization of their surface with a water plasma treatment. In addition, it was found that the presence of aromatic rings, water solubility and polarity of the VOCs play an important role on the adsorption/desorption kinetics at the CNTs surface.

**Conclusions:** This study demonstrates the applicability of CNTs deposited on quartz filters for the removal or selective detection of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The presence of aromatic rings in VOCs results in π-stacking interactions with a significant increase of their adsorption. On the other hand, it was found that CNTs surface interactions increase with water solubility and polarity of the VOC.
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Background

A large number of households and industrial products contain volatile organic compounds (VOCs). VOCs can easily evaporate at room temperature and are extremely harmful for the health of human beings. Among various types of VOCs, chlorinated compounds are used frequently in a wide range of industrial products and chemical processes, polluting the environment and ground water resources. Therefore, it is desirable to control the chlorinated VOCs emission in air by utilizing various kinds of adsorption/removal processes.

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [1] are based in a unique material that possesses high mechanical strength, ballistic conduction, high temperature thermal stability and high surface area [2-5]. All these extraordinary properties make them a useful material for various kinds of applications such as supercapacitors [6,7], sensors [8], field emitters [9] and for the removal of contaminants from water and gases [10,11].

Usually, carbon based adsorbents are used for the removal of contaminants due to their high surface area. The surface area of CNTs is in the range of 150-3000 m²/g [5], which is comparable to other carbon based adsorbents. CNTs have been shown to be an efficient adsorbent for the elimination of dibenzo-p-dioxin from contaminant water [12]. Also, they can adsorb a variety of gases and have been proven to be superior to activated carbon for the removal of dioxin1,2-dichlorobenzene from water in a wide range of pH (3-10) [11,13]. Recently, X Ma et al., [14] have shown the adsorption/desorption of chlorinated compounds by pristine and thermally treated multi-walled carbon nanotubes. A number of features provide CNTs with superior adsorption properties than activated carbon; well defined structure, interstitial region between nanotubes in the bundles, grooves, curved surface outside of nanotube bundles, defect sites and, if the caps of nanotubes are open, the inner surface of tube. Several investigations can be found in the literature, which have shown that CNTs are more effective adsorbents for organic molecules compared to activated carbon [15,16].

The characteristics of CNTs suggest that they have strong interaction with organic molecules through non-covalent forces, hydrogen bonds, π–π interactions in, for instance, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [17], electrostatic forces and van der Waals forces. The main interactions responsible for the adsorptions of organic compounds by CNTs are: hydrophobic effect, π-electron donor–acceptor (π-EDA) bonds and hydrogen bonds [18-20]. Multilayer adsorption may also occur when
organic chemicals are adsorbed on the surface of CNTs [21]. In addition, it has been shown that CNTs display faster desorption for a wide range of nonpolar compounds [22,23].

Generally, amorphous carbon and catalyst particles are also present on the surface of the as grown CNTs, which can significantly decrease the adsorption and desorption efficiency of the CNTs by blocking their pores [24]. The removal of amorphous carbon is usually achieved by heating the CNTs at 300-500°C and the catalyst particles can be eliminated by acid treatment [25]. This treatment results in the destruction of the structure and introduction of carboxylic groups in CNTs. Furthermore, it is rather difficult to control and very harsh for the graphitic walls of CNTs [25-27]. Our previous studies show that the CNTs surface can be cleaned and crafted with different functional groups by controlling the conditions of a plasma treatment (rf plasma power, gas composition and pressure) [28,29].

CNTs can be grown on various kinds of substrates such as Si [30], Cu [31], microelectrode arrays (MEAs) [32], carbon fiber [33] and quartz [34]. Recently, Li et al., published the growth of CNTs on quartz fiber filters using a floating catalyst chemical vapour deposition method, and used them for filtration of sub-micron aerosols [35]. In the present work, vertically-aligned multiwall carbon nanotubes (VA-MWCNTs) were grown on quartz filters by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD). A water plasma treatment was performed on the as grown CNTs to remove the amorphous carbon, increase the defect sites and to preferentially introduce different kinds of oxygen functional groups [28]. Introduction of oxygen groups on the surface of CNTs through a water plasma treatment makes them more hydrophilic and allows us to increase the interactions with VOCs. Surface functional groups such as carboxyl groups facilitate the formation of stronger π−π bonds between CNTs and aromatic molecules [36]. The hydroxyl groups can form hydrogen bonds with electronegative atoms, typically F, O and N. Moreover, hydrogen atoms attached to a carbon atom can also participate in hydrogen bonding when the carbon atom is bounded to electronegative atoms, like in the case of chloroform (CHCl₃).

Herein, the adsorption/desorption properties of chlorinated VOCs on quartz filter (QF), carbon nanotubes grown on QF (CNTsQF) and water plasma treated CNTsQF (wp-CNTsQF) have been investigated.

Methods
Synthesis and functionalization of VAMWCNTs
VA-MWCNTs were grown on quartz filters by using radio-frequency plasma-enhanced chemical vapour deposition (rf-PECVD). A detailed description of the growth process and reactor used can be found elsewhere [7]. A summary of the growth conditions is given in Table 1. Unlike our previous works [7], high-purity quartz (SiO₂) microfiber filters (Whatman™, UK) were used as substrates for the growth of CNTs. These quartz filters have 47 mm of diameter, 450 μm thickness and they are formed by long fibers having a diameter below 1.5 μm. Briefly, a thin layer of 3 nm Fe catalyst was deposited by rf-magnetron sputtering on a quartz filter and annealed at 680°C during 120 s (ramp time: 750 s) in hydrogen atmosphere to obtain Fe nanoslands. Then, the precursor gas (NH₃, 100 sccm) and the carbon source (C₂H₂, 50 sccm) are allowed to enter the reaction chamber and the PECVD reaction takes place with the formation of CNTs at a constant pressure of 100 Pa.

Table 1. Summary of the VA-MWCNTs growth conditions.

| Parameter                     | Value     |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Catalyst layer thickness      | 3 nm      |
| Annealing time                | 870 s     |
| PECVD process temperature     | 700°C, 730°C |
| PECVD process plasma power    | 50 W      |
| PECVD process time            | 900 s, 1800 s |

In a further step some of the samples were treated with water plasma to remove amorphous carbon and introduce oxygen groups on the CNTs surface. The CNTs were treated with 10 W rf power and 135 Pa water pressure for 120 s, which is only specific to the removal of amorphous carbon and the introduction of different oxygen containing functional groups, without destroying the nanotubes [28].

Volatile organic compounds
The adsorption/desorption properties of CNTs were studied using three chlorinated VOCs; trichloroethylene, chloroform and 1,2-dichlorobenzene. The chemicals were from high purity (99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich) and were used undiluted.

Adsorption studies
A system was devised to study the adsorption of volatile organic compounds by CNTs-based filters (see Figure 1). The system has three channels made of a stainless steel tube with an internal diameter of 4 mm and an external diameter of 6.32 mm. A flask containing the chlorinated compound to be studied is placed at the start of the central channel, and is connected to a three-way valve. Both left and right channels have a filter holder at the end, where the carbon nanotubes filter is placed. The whole system is connected to a turbo-molecular pump, which allows operation under high vacuum conditions (10⁻⁵ Pa) and all experiments were carried out at room temperature.

A filter without carbon nanotubes is placed in the right channel and is taken as a reference. In the left channel different types of carbon nanotube filters are placed and systematically analyzed (untreated CNTs and wp-treated CNTs). In order to perform the measurements, a LabVIEW program controls the opening of the pneumatic valves present at the entrance of
Since the adsorption process was too fast to be analyzed with S-4100, Japan) and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) (JEOL JEM-2100, Japan) were used for the morphological and structural characterization of CNTs.

Raman spectroscopy was performed to evaluate the quality of the CNTs using a micro-Raman system (Horiba LabRam HR800, Japan). A green laser of wavelength 532 nm, 0.25 mW power and a 100X objective were used during the measurements. Quadruple mass spectroscopy-residual gas analyzer (SRS QMS-RGA-300, USA) was used to analyze the partial pressure of the chemical species that passed through the filter.

Results and discussion
Morphological characterization
In order to increase the VOCs adsorption capacity of the quartz filters, a large surface area is needed, which can be achieved by growing long CNTs on top of them with a high surface density. Based on our experience in growing CNTs on c-Si wafer, growth conditions were chosen close to the optimum ones previously obtained [7]. Here, the substrate is a SiO₂ filter with the same chemical composition as the native SiO₂ present on a silicon wafer. Thus, we expect that similar conditions will provide the growth of CNTs on quartz filters. However, in the present work, the surface is not flat, but rather a 3D matrix of quartz microfibers, which can affect the nucleation of catalyst particles and as a consequence the CNTs structure and morphology.

(Figure 2a) shows CNTs grown on QF at an annealing temperature of 680°C, a growth temperature of 700°C and growth time of 15 min. Under these conditions the CNTs were not vertically aligned and their density was also not very high. (Figure 2b) shows a SEM image of CNTs grown at a higher growth temperature (730°C) and longer deposition time (30 min). The density of CNTs increases significantly with respect to those grown at 700°C and they are vertically aligned in all directions of the quartz fibers. Therefore, these conditions are more suitable for the growth of CNTs on quartz filters and with a high surface area.

(Figure 2c) shows wp-treated CNTsQF at an rf power of 10 W and 135 Pa water pressure. Most of the amorphous carbon is removed while keeping the alignment and a difference in texture can be appreciated in comparison to untreated CNTs. TEM analysis of untreated MWCNTs clearly show their “bamboo”-like structure, as well as the amorphous carbon on the surface and Fe catalyst particles on the tips of CNTs (Figure 2d).

Raman spectroscopy
Analysis of MWCNTs Raman spectra is usually performed by interpreting the relation between two well-known bands; D and G, located at ~1350 cm⁻¹ and ~1580 cm⁻¹, respectively [37]. D band is a double resonance mode and its appearance is due to the existence of amorphous carbon, structural disorder and defects caused by pentagons or heptagons on the nanotubes [38,39]. G band is the tangential stretching mode of sp²
bonded carbon atoms in graphene-like structures. Analysis of the ratio between the D and G band intensities ($I_D/I_G$) gives us information about structural disorder.

(Figure 3) shows Raman spectra of CNTsQF and wp-CNTsQF. The fitting process was improved by the introduction of two additional bands; I band at ~1210 cm$^{-1}$ and D´´ band at the frequency of ~1520 cm$^{-1}$ [40]. D band position was 1360 cm$^{-1}$ with full width half maximum (FWHM) of 83 cm$^{-1}$ for untreated CNTsQF. After the water plasma treatment a very small shift in the D band position and FWHM of wp-CNTsQF was observed, because the treatment is mainly specific to the removal of amorphous carbon, i.e., very little etching of CNTs walls, and to the introduction of functional groups in CNTs [41]. The $I_{D}/I_{G}$ ratio was 1.1 for untreated CNTsQF and increases up to 1.3 for water plasma treated CNTsQF, which suggests a higher disorder in the structure of treated CNTs. After the water plasma treatment I and D´´ bands become more prominent. The enlargement of the D´´ band is in agreement with the expected attachment of polar groups on the CNTs surfaces [42, 43]. The area of the D´´ peak increases from 170 to 547 and the $I_{D}/I_{G}$ ratio from 0.46 to 0.62, for untreated CNTsQF and wp-CNTsQF, respectively.

### Adsorption/desorption studies

CNTs are normally grown in the form of bundles and behave as heterogeneous sorbents. They provide four sites for the adsorption of contaminants, namely; interstitial channels, external groove sites between the bundles, external surfaces and, if the tips are open, i.e., without catalyst particles that remain on the top of each individual nanotube, internal surfaces of CNTs [22]. Since the presence of amorphous carbon reduces the adsorption/desorption efficiency of CNTs [44], it is desirable to remove it while preserving the structure of the CNTs. Here, a water plasma treatment was used to eliminate amorphous carbon. In addition, the treatment introduces oxygen functional groups and defect sites on the CNTs surface that can significantly enhance the adsorption efficiency of contaminants [45-47].

The three chlorinated compounds are non-polar solvents with a low solubility in water (i.e., hydrophobic). In particular, the water solubility values are 0.11, 0.815 and 1.4%, which follow the same trend as the molecular dipole moment; 0.8, 1.01 and 2.5 D for trichloroethylene, chloroform and 1,2-dichlorobenzene, respectively.

For all the studied VOCs characteristic desorption times increased from bare QF to CNTsQF and wp-CNTsQF. The latter being the one with the longest characteristic desorption times (see Table 2).

### Table 2. Characteristic desorption times of different VOCs on quartz filter, CNTsQF and plasma treated CNTsQF.

| VOC                  | Filter $\tau_1$ | Filter $\tau_2$ |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                      | QF              | CNTsQF          | wp-CNTsQF      |
| trichloroethylene    | 0.85/1.74       | -/2.38          | -/2.70         |
| chloroform           | 0.65/2.28       | 0.99/2.32       | 1.28/2.70      |
| 1,2-dichlorobenzene  | 1.10/-          | 3.25/13.76      | -/15.29 *      |

*due to the small number of points, this value has a large error associated with it. However, the value fits well with the one obtained for desorption of 1,2-dichlorobenzene on CNTsQF, and therefore, it is regarded as reliable.

In the case of trichloroethylene it was found that carbon nanotubes increase the time constant of the slow desorption mechanism ($\tau_2$) (Table 2, Figure 4a), which is assumed to be mainly related to $\pi-\pi$ interactions between CNTs and the C=C bond present in C$_3$HCl$_3$ [14, 17]. Due to the removal of...
amorphous carbon after the water plasma treatment, and the corresponding surface area enhancement that provides more space for solute adsorption, the desorption time of the wp-CNTsQF sample increased with respect to that of untreated CNTs (Figure 4a, Table 2). Moreover, the introduction of oxygen groups on the CNTs surface enhanced the π-EDA interactions with the VOC.

Owing to the lack of π-electrons in chloroform, the main interactions with CNTs are π-EDA and hydrogen bonding. The water solubility and dipole moment are slightly higher than for trichloroethylene and hence, the interactions are stronger and characteristic times longer (Table 2, Figure 4b). However, the total desorption time is shorter than for trichloroethylene, probably due to steric effects that avoid the adsorption of more molecules.

In comparison to the other studied VOCs, 1,2-dichlorobenzene contains an aromatic ring and combines a high polarity index with a high solubility in water. Thus, the main interactions with CNTs are supposed to be polar-π interaction, π-stacking and hydrogen bonding. Plasma-treated CNTs without amorphous carbon and with oxygen functional groups attached on their surface increase the aromatic-aromatic interactions between 1,2-dichlorobenzene and aromatic rings present in the CNTs structure [47]. Due to the large difference in the characteristic time between bare QF and carbon nanotubes-based filters, we can assume that possibly a multilayer condensation occurs (π-stacking interactions), which significantly increases their adsorption (Figure 4c).

From the above results it was not possible to determine the difference between the mechanisms that govern the two characteristic desorption times ($\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$). Future experiments should be carried out in order to explain the observed behavior.

Conclusions
Vertically-aligned multiwall carbon nanotubes have been successfully deposited on quartz fiber filters for environmental applications. A water plasma treatment of the grown nanotubes introduces oxygen functional groups on their surface without modification of their alignment. The presence of inner cavities and functional groups on the CNTs surface contribute to improve their capability to remove or selectively detect organic pollutants. It has been proven that the adsorption/desorption capacity of chlorinated VOCs by CNTsQF and wp-CNTsQF is higher than that of bare QFs. In addition, the presented results show that CNTsQF and wp-CNTsQF affinity for the adsorption/desorption of chlorinated VOCs increases with compound solubility in water and polarity. Finally, molecules with aromatic rings present stronger interactions with CNTs (π-stacking) and thus, significantly longer desorption times and time constants.
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