The JAK-STAT pathway and hematopoietic stem cells from the JAK2 V617F perspective
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Janus kinases (JAKs) are non-receptor tyrosine kinases essential for activation of signaling mediated by cytokine receptors that lack catalytic activity, including receptors for erythropoietin, thrombopoietin, most interleukins and interferon. Upon hormone binding, JAKs phosphorylate tyrosine residues in the receptor cytoplasmic domains and in JAKs themselves leading to recruitment and activation of downstream signaling proteins such as signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT). The JAK-STAT pathway is important for functional hematopoiesis and several activating mutations in JAK proteins have recently been described as underlying cause of blood disorders. One of the best studied examples is the JAK2 V617F mutant which is found in 95% of polycythemia vera patients and 50% of patients suffering from essential thrombocytemia and primary myelofibrosis. Much effort has been made to understand how the JAK2 V617F affects hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) renewal and lineage differentiation, since convincing evidence has been provided to support the notion that the mutation is acquired at the HSC level. We discuss several in vivo models that support contrary conclusions with respect to the advantage given to HSCs by JAK2 V617F. Moreover, we provide the current knowledge about STAT5 activation and its link to HSC expansion as well as amplification of the erythroid compartment. Evidence for both JAK2 V617F mutated HSCs exhibiting skewed differentiation potential and for amplification occurring after erythroid commitment has been provided, and we will discuss whether this evidence is relevant for the disease.

Introduction

Type I cytokine receptors, such as receptors for erythropoietin (Epo), thrombopoietin (Tpo), granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) and most interleukins (IL) receptors, and type II interferons (IFN) lack intrinsic catalytic activity. In order to initiate downstream signaling these receptors bind one or several members of cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases of the Janus kinase (JAK) family (Fig. 1A). After binding of the cytokine to the receptor extracellular domain two scenarios are possible: (1) the receptor exists as preformed dimer at the cell surface and ligand binding induces a conformational change; or (2) dimerization or oligomerization is induced after ligand binding and thus induces a conformational change of the receptor. The conformational change brings the cognate JAK proteins in close enough proximity that they transphosphorylate each other (Fig. 1A). In turn, activated JAK proteins phosphorylate tyrosine residues in the receptor cytoplasmic domain and in JAKs themselves and provide docking sites for signaling proteins such as signal transducer and activators of transcription (STAT). STAT proteins become thus activators of transcription (STAT). The JAK-STAT pathway is important for functional hematopoiesis and several activating mutations in JAK proteins have recently been described as underlying cause of blood disorders. One of the best studied examples is the JAK2 V617F mutant which is found in 95% of polycythemia vera patients and 50% of patients suffering from essential thrombocytemia and primary myelofibrosis. Much effort has been made to understand how the JAK2 V617F affects hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) renewal and lineage differentiation, since convincing evidence has been provided to support the notion that the mutation is acquired at the HSC level. We discuss several in vivo models that support contrary conclusions with respect to the advantage given to HSCs by JAK2 V617F. Moreover, we provide the current knowledge about STAT5 activation and its link to HSC expansion as well as amplification of the erythroid compartment. Evidence for both JAK2 V617F mutated HSCs exhibiting skewed differentiation potential and for amplification occurring after erythroid commitment has been provided, and we will discuss whether this evidence is relevant for the disease.
catalytic domain, or that it induces a conformational change to the pseudokinase domain that in turn activates the kinase domain (Fig. 1B). As a consequence of the JAK2 V617F kinase domain activation, STAT proteins, especially STAT5 and STAT3, become constitutively activated.

It has been shown that overexpression of JAK2 V617F promotes cytokine independent growth of the IL-3 dependent pro B cell line Ba/F3 (Basel F3 cell line) in the absence of cytokines; however, at low JAK2 V617F expression, dimeric cytokine receptors such as EpoR, TpoR or G-CSFR have to be present to promote cytokine independent BaF3 growth. It is thought that the JAK2 V617F mutant gains full transforming activity only when the mutant JAK2 dimerization is promoted by receptor dimerization. This is supported by the need for oncogenic JAK2 V617F activation of an intact FERM (homologous to protein 4.1, ezrin, radixin, moesin)-like domain, a domain that normally mediates JAK binding to cytokine receptors. This is the case for EpoR, TpoR or G-CSFR, but other receptors can support JAK2 V617F activation. A second model predicts that the JAK2 V617F mutant is a weak, constitutively active kinase and that receptor binding is necessary to induce its full kinase activity. In any case, binding of JAK2 V617F to EpoR, TpoR or G-CSFR is predicted to stimulate expansion of the erythroid, megakaryocytic and granulocytic lineages which are affected in PV, ET and PMF.

The JAK2 V617F Mutant and STAT Activation

The JAK2 V617F mutant induces several downstream signaling pathways, recapitulating in a persistent manner the pathways transiently activated by cytokines, i.e., STAT5/3, MAP-kinase, PI-3’-kinase/Akt and mTOR pathways. Interestingly, there are differences in STAT signaling between different MPNs. PV patients exhibit high STAT5 and STAT3 phosphorylation, while ET patients exhibit high STAT3 but low STAT5 phosphorylation. In contrast, myelofibrosis patients exhibit low STAT5 and low STAT3 phosphorylation. Several lines of evidence indicate that constitutive activation of JAK2-STAT5 and STAT3 signaling is the basis of PV, ET and PMF. Recently, it was shown that knockout of STAT5A/STAT5B prevents induction of the myeloproliferative phenotype by JAK2 V617F, thereby

Figure 1. Signaling via the JAK-STAT pathway. (A) Ligand binding induces a conformational change of the cytokine receptor and allows transphosphorylation of JAK proteins. Activated JAKs phosphorylate tyrosine residues in the receptor cytoplasmic domain and provide docking site for STAT proteins. Phosphorylated STATs dissociate from the receptor, dimerize and translocate to the nucleus where they modulate gene expression. (B) In case of the JAK2 V617F, mutant cytosolic tyrosines are constantly phosphorylated, leading to constitutive activation of STAT proteins.
establishing an obligatory role of STAT5 in MPNs. Furthermore, the choice and relative levels of activated STATs can have profound consequences on the lineage that is amplified. For example, down-modulation of STAT5 in CD34$^+$ cells promotes megakaryocyte differentiation, while activation of STAT5 promotes erythropoiesis. More recently, STAT1 was shown to be specifically associated with megakaryopoiesis.

Mouse Models of JAK2 V617F

X-linked polymorphism studies showed that MPNs are clonal disorders and several groups could show that the JAK2 V617F mutation can be detected in cells with an HSC signature (Lin-CD34$^+$CD38$^-$CD90$^+$ and CD34$^+$CD38$^-$), confirming that the JAK2 V617F mutant arises in an HSC. Previously, Delhommeau et al. reported that JAK2 V617F is present in a multipotent cell that can give rise to both myeloid and lymphoid cells. Much effort has been made to study the in vivo significance of JAK2 V617F with mouse models in order to decipher the molecular mechanisms causing initiation and progression of MPNs. Several groups performed reconstitution assays using bone marrow cells ectopically expressing the JAK2 V617F mutant and showed that mice indeed developed hallmarks of PV. Shortly after, Shide et al. and Xing et al. generated stable transgenic mouse models expressing the mouse JAK2 V617F cDNA under control of the major histocompatibility complex H-2Kb promoter or the human JAK2 V617F cDNA under control of the Vav promoter, respectively. Both H-2Kb

Figure 2. (A) Myeloid blood cell development starts with the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) that either self-renews or gives rise to a common myeloid progenitor (CMP). The CMP in turn differentiates into more restricted progenitors of the megakaryocyte (MK)-erythroid (E) lineages or granulocyte-monocyte progenitor (GM). These cells give then rise to terminally differentiated erythrocytes, megakaryocytes/platelets, granulocytes (eosinophils, neutrophils, basophils) and monocytes. Cytokines and their receptors control cell proliferation and differentiation during hematopoiesis and ensure the balance between renewal and cell differentiation. (B) Several reports have confirmed that the JAK2 V617F mutation occurs at the level of an HSC. There is, however, still controversy to whether the JAK2 V617F mutant expands the true HSC pool or whether it acts downstream on a more committed progenitor. Further studies are necessary to clarify the exact role of JAK2 V617F on HSC or progenitor expansion. Since the JAK2 V617F mutant only influences myeloid differentiation, we have restricted the schematic to the myeloid lineage.
and Vav promoters drive transgene expression efficiently throughout the nucleated hematopoietic cell types and both groups demonstrated that the mice developed key features of PV or ET with erythrocytosis and thrombocytosis. Interestingly, a later study used a floxed version of the human JAK2 V617F cDNA under control of a human JAK2 promoter and showed that the disease outcome correlates with the relative expression levels of endogenous wild type (wt) vs. human mutant JAK2. For example, lower levels of human JAK2 V617F mutant than endogenous wtJAK2 induced an ET-like phenotype, whereas higher JAK2 V617F levels induced a PV-like phenotype. More recently, several knock-in models have been published where the JAK2 V617F mutant is expressed from the endogenous JAK2 locus thereby allowing expression in red blood cells at physiological levels. Akada et al. observed a PV-like phenotype in hetero- and homozygous mice including increased number of red blood cells and hematocrit levels with homozygous mice frequently progressing to myelofibrosis. Additionally, in this particular model heterozygous and homozygous JAK2 V617F mice showed significant expansion of hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) [Lin−Sca1−cKit− (LSK) population] and myeloid progenitor cells in the bone marrow. In another study by Marty et al. where a murine JAK2 V617F was knocked-in, mice developed a marked PV-like phenotype accompanied by erythrocytosis, leukocytosis and splenomegaly and myelofibrosis around 9 mo of age. A third study showed similar results with the JAK2 V617F knock-in mouse developing a PV phenotype. However, the mice progressed faster to PMF and had an average survival rate of only 150 d, while mice described by Marty et al. developed PMF after 9 mo. Mullaly and colleagues further showed that the HSC compartment has the unique capacity for disease initiation, but does not exhibit a competitive advantage over wild-type HSCs. In contrast, myeloid progenitor populations are expanded and skewed toward the erythroid lineage, but could not transplant the disease. Consistent with these data are microarray analysis that indicated that gene expression profiles of LSK cells were similar to those of wild-type LSK cells.

In a fourth study, Li et al. generated knock-in mice encoding the human JAK2 V617F cDNA. In contrast to the above mentioned models, the authors could only observe an ET-like phenotype with mild increase in platelets and hematocrit, and no sign of myelofibrosis. Interestingly, Li et al. reported that mice at 26 weeks of age had 50% reduced numbers of LSK with increased DNA damage, reduced cell cycling and reduced apoptosis. Also, bone marrow transplantation experiments showed decreased stem cell activity in cells expressing JAK2 V617F compared with wild-type controls. Consistent with this, direct comparison of the wild-type vs. JAK2 V617F bone marrow cells in competitive transplantation experiments demonstrated that JAK2 V617F confers a mild but significant disadvantage to HSCs, an effect that was most striking in secondary transplantations.

### JAK2 V617F and Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells

The above described mouse models (summarized in Table 1) were addressing the question of how the JAK2 V617F mutant affects HSC expansion. The reasons behind the opposite conclusions between the study of Li et al. showing a reduction of the HSC pool, and that of Akada et al. reported HSC expansion remains to be determined. Several studies have directly focused on the role of JAK2 V617F in primary MPN patient samples. Jamieson and colleagues isolated HSC and myeloid progenitors from patients and healthy controls in order to investigate whether JAK2 V617F positive cells have altered differentiation potential. The authors analyzed cells with a HSC signature (Lin−CD34−CD38−CD90+) and showed that the majority of HSC obtained from PV patients harbor the JAK2 V617F mutation with the mutation being transmitted to more committed progenitors. Moreover, the authors demonstrated that the HSC numbers and the common myeloid progenitor (CMF) pool are increased and that differentiation is skewed toward the erythroid lineage. In contrast to this report are studies performed by James et al. and Anand et al. The first study by James and colleagues used xenograft assays to study the properties of JAK2 V617F positive CD34+ cells from PV, PMF and post-PV MF patients and demonstrated that the JAK2 V617F repopulating cells are present in the three diseases, but the proportion of JAK2 V617F compared with JAK2wt was markedly different between PV and PMF. The authors did however not

| Mouse model | References | Activation | Platelets | Hematocrit | MF |
|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|----|
| Retroviral overexpression | Lacout et al. | N/A | Normal | > 55% | Yes |
| | Wermig et al. | N/A | Normal | > 70% | Yes |
| | Zaleskas et al. | N/A | Normal | > 65% | Yes |
| Transgenic JAK2 V617F mouse models | Tiedt et al. | MX1-Cre | 3,710 ± 488 | 49–62% | Yes |
| | Shide et al. | Not inducible | > 1,400 | 50% | Yes |
| | Xing et al. | Not inducible | 2,708 ± 712 | 51% | Yes |
| Knock-in JAK2 V617F mouse models | Akada et al. | MX1-Cre | ~1.5-fold increase | Up to 80% | Mild heterozygous |
| | Mullally et al. | Constitutive | No increase | Up to 80% | No |
| | Marty et al. | Constitutive | ~4-fold increase | Up to 70% | Yes |
| | Li et al. | MX1-Cre | ~1.5-fold increase | Up to 60% | No |
find major differences in the proliferation and self-renewal properties of JAK2 V617F repopulating cells between PV, PMF and post-PV MF indicating that the JAK2 V617F mutant does not confer a proliferation advantage to the HSC compartment. The second study by Anand et al. examined the effects of the JAK2 V617F mutant on HSC homeostasis through analysis of bone marrow samples from PV, ET and MF patients. The study revealed that the JAK2 V617F mutant does not alter the Lin−CD34−CD38−, CMP and granulocyte-monocyte progenitor (GMP) compartments in ET and PV patients, but rather affects the later erythroid CD34+CD71+GPA+ cell compartment. A third study by Ishii et al. examined the engraftment potential of CD34+ cells isolated from PV patients in xenograft assays. The authors showed that CD34+ cells from healthy donors as well as IMF patients and PV patients with high JAK2 V617F burden reconstituted mice, whereas cells from patients with low JAK2 V617F burden did not. One possible explanation for this observation is a qualitative difference between low- and high-burden JAK2 V617F HSC. As a second possibility is that high burden JAK2 V617F cells contain higher numbers of authentic long-term HSCs, while CD34+ cell fractions from patients with low JAK2V617F burden contain less HSCs that engraft in immuno-deficient mice. Based on the result of latter study the level of JAK2 V617F decides whether or not JAK2 V617F positive HSCs have an engraftment and repopulating advantage compared with healthy HSC (Fig. 2). In conclusion, the results obtained by the different studies have to be compared in order to define the differences in cell populations used and to determine what the possible explanations are for the discrepancies obtained with the different analyses.

**STAT5 and HSCs**

STAT5 was reported to be involved in self-renewal of mouse and human HSCs and to regulate renewal vs. differentiation as a function of its levels of expression. Using a tamoxifen-inducible STAT5A(1*6)-estrogen receptor fusion protein, it was shown that high STAT5 levels block myelopoiesis and promote erythropoiesis, while intermediate levels of transactivation induce HSC and CD34+ proliferation. Self-renewal of HSCs was promoted by the same intermediate levels of STAT5 activation. These results indicate therefore that levels of STAT5 activation are decoded in self-renewal vs. differentiation signals. One caveat of such models is that a double mutant STAT5 is used, which is constitutively active, but might have properties different from those of cytokine-activated STAT5. Indeed, in cell lines persistently activated STAT5 was shown to induce common, but also different genes than transiently activated STAT5. STAT5 was shown to induce long-term self-renewal only in human HSCs, not in progenitors. One target gene of STAT5 that is induced by STAT5 in HSCs was identified to be hypoxia-induced factor 2α (HIF2α). Expression of this gene is apparently required for STAT5-induced HSC amplification, but not for HSC differentiation. One model has been proposed where STAT5 maintains expression of hypoxia-induced genes, in the absence of hypoxia, in order to promote HSC self-renewal. Another gene that is modulated by STAT5 is C/EBPα, and this gene is the major downregulated gene by STAT5 in HSCs. Re-expression of C/EBPα impairs the effects of constitutively active STAT5 on HSCs, indicating that part of the mechanism by which mutant STAT5 expands long-term HSCs is represented by down-modulation of C/EBPα.

**Interferons, HSC and IFNs Treatment of MPNs**

While interferons are classical antiproliferative agents, several studies reported that IFN-α and IFN-γ induce cycling of HSCs. IFN-α binds to the interferon α receptor 1 and 2 (IFNAR1 and IFNAR2) and thus activates the JAK tyrosine kinase family members JAK1 and TYK2 to signal via a complex formed of STAT1/STAT2/IRF9 (reviewed in ref. 45). IFN gamma signal activates JAK1 and JAK2 via the interferon gamma receptor 1 (IFNgR1) and IFNgR2 receptor subunits and signals via STAT1 homodimers.

In response to treatment of mice with IFN-α, signaling via STAT1 and Akt induces the transition of HSCs from quiescence into cell cycle. STAT1 and the surface protein Sca-1 are required for IFN-α-induced HSC proliferation. Similarly, IFN-γ induced in several infections, signals via STAT1 and induce HSC cycling.

The implications of these data might be relevant for the treatment of MPNs with IFN-α. At this moment, approximately 40% of PV and ET patients respond positively to IFN-α treatment, and for JAK2 V617F patients, this leads to a decrease or disappearance of JAK2 V617F positivity. The effect is quite slow, requiring many month to one year, suggesting that the effect is mainly on HSCs, and that somehow IFN treatment leads to exhaustion of the mutated clone, with preservation of the normal clones. In patients with MPNs where the JAK2 V617F mutation occurs on the background of a clone where TET (ten 11 translocation) methylcytosine dioxygenase 2 (TET2), a protein that converts 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine is deleted/mutated on both alleles, clonal analysis showed that IFN-α treatment targets the JAK2 V617F-positive clone, but does not affect the TET2 clone. Interestingly, the presence of biallelic loss of TET2 did not impair the effect of IFN-α on JAK2 V617F HSCs. It will therefore be important to determine the molecular basis of the effect of IFN-α specifically on the JAK2 V617F HSCs.

**Concluding Remarks and Perspectives**

The roles of JAK-STAT signaling in HSC renewal and amplification remain poorly defined. Results obtained from studies examining the role of STAT5 in HSC homeostasis on one hand, and the effects of interferons on the other, provided evidence that the JAK-STAT pathway can profoundly influence HSCs. The recent discovery of the unique somatic acquired JAK2 V617F mutation, which is at the basis of the majority of BCR-ABL negative MPNs provided novel models for exploring the question of whether JAK-STAT pathway plays an important role at the HSC level.

There are two major biological questions that to date have been answered with apparently contradictory reports: (1) does JAK2 V617F confer an advantage to HSCs, in terms of proliferation, self-renewal, differentiation or transplantation (Fig. 2), and (2) is JAK2...
V617F at the HSC level inducing a skew in the type of downstream differentiation, in contrast to mere amplification of the downstream progenitors without any skew of HSC potential?

While the acquisition of JAK2 V617F at the HSC level has been confirmed by several groups, the reasons behind it remain unclear. It is still not known whether the mutation is constantly acquired (like BCR-ABL), but only some individuals select for it (i.e., hypersensitivity to cytokines due to SNPs or unknown previous mutations), whether the mutation protects against an insult, and its selection is favored by such pressure, or whether there are DNA replication defects that favor such mutation acquisition. The G to T mutation occurs in a region with repeated TT sequences; it is possible that it occurs from slipped mispairing, however, the actual mechanism of mutation acquisition remains still to be determined.

How can we explain the contradictory results coming from mouse models and from xenotransplantation and colony assays, where both an advantage and a disadvantage of the mutated HSCs (or no change) were observed, or where differentiation skewing or absence of it was each observed? One possibility is that any transplantation approach requires homing and renewal in a niche, which is a problem for the mouse models where all HSCs are knocked-in or transgenic, in humans the disease starts from one mutated cell that must make its way to differentiation and gain clonal dominance. It is possible that events linked to the latter are very important, and remain unexplored by mouse models. Finally, HSCs exist in several subtypes, such as myeloid-biased, lymphoid-biased and several others, and we have little idea at the moment in which such HSC JAK2 V617F must arise in order to induce ET, or PV or PMF and which events are necessary for progression of ET and PV to secondary MF. In any case, it appears that the JAK2 V617F burden at CD34+ or at HSC level is the most important, and that MF is associated with a loss of wt HSCs and preservation of mutated HSCs, which also exit the marrow, have down-modulated CXCR4 and can be found in periphery.

Directly linked to the subtype of HSCs targeted by the mutation, recently it was shown that a precursor of endothelial/HSC cells can also acquire the mutation, and might be involved in the deep venous thrombosis events linked to JAK2 V617F. Clearly a much more detailed understanding of the HSC subtypes, their niches, pressures and response to cytokines will be necessary for understanding of relevant effects of JAK2 V617F on HSCs.

The major goal of therapy in MPNs is to reduce the source of cytokine-independent myeloid progenitors that is the HSCs with mutations. For this purpose a major advance is required to distinguish mutated disease-initiating HSCs from possibly non-disease initiating HSCs and non-mutated HSCs. The prediction is that the effect of JAK-STAT signaling in each such cell will be different.
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