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Abstract

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease in central nervous system (CNS) characterized by demyelination as well as axonal and neuronal degeneration. Glatiramer acetate is a mixture of synthetic polypeptides comprising four amino acids resembling the myelin basic protein (MBP), and approved as an immunoregulatory drug for the treatment of relapsing-remitting MS. The mechanism of action of GA in MS patients and the animal model experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) were extensively investigated over years. The cumulative findings indicate GA exerts its therapeutic activity by immunomodulating various levels of the immune response. This includes the blockade of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules, T cell receptor antagonist, induction of GA-specific suppressor Th2 cells, the down-regulation of Th1 and Th17 differentiation; the development of type II antigen presenting cells (APCs). In the review, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the immunomodulatory properties of GA in adaptive and innate immune response, in particular on the CD4+ effector T cells.
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Multiple Sclerosis

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory demyelinating disease of central nervous system (CNS), characterized by myelin destruction, loss of oligodendrocytes, axonal damage and astrogliosis [1]. It is the most common neurological disorder among young adults in which women are affected twice as frequently as men [2]. The exact etiology of MS remains unknown. Much progress has been made in understanding its pathogenesis. Current concepts assume that the pathogenesis of MS involves multiple factors including genetic predisposition, environmental factors, immune dysregulation, and viral infections. The breakdown of immune tolerance to self-antigens in genetically susceptible individuals is thought to be a key event in the development of MS [3,4].

Immunopathogenesis of multiple sclerosis

The evidence from the animal model of MS, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) and clinical data from MS patients support the notion that MS occurs as a consequence of the activation of autoreactive myelin-specific T helper (Th) cells. It is likely that exposure to an unknown microbial antigen, which contains protein sequences cross-reactive with self-myelin antigens, results in activation of autoreactive myelin-specific T cells. Activated T cells subsequently release pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and Interleukin-1 (IL-1) in the periphery. These activated cells undergo destruction to the endothelial barrier, attraction, and active invasion into CNS. In the CNS, myelin specific T cells can be further activated by local and infiltrating antigen presenting cells. Reactivation of these cells results in increased secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, which in turn recruit and activate macrophages and other inflammatory cells. Activated T cells may directly attack the oligodendrocytes and destroy the myelin. Activated macrophages/microglia can secrete toxic molecules (e.g., nitric oxide) to further enhance myelin destruction.

The Pathogenic Role of CD4 T Helper Cell Subsets in MS

CD4+ T helper (Th) cells are essential regulators of immune responses and inflammatory diseases. Based on their cytokine secretion and transcription factor expression, CD4+ Th cells can be divided into several subsets: Th1, Th2 and Th17. Th1 cells secrete IFN-γ and promote cell-mediated immunity against intracellular pathogens; Th2 cells secrete IL-4 and IL-10 and mediate humoral immunity and defend against extracellular pathogens and parasites; Th17 cells that producing IL-17 participate in the autoimmune and tissue inflammation, protect the host against certain pathogens.

In the past years, Th1 cells are thought originally to be the main pathogenic T cells in MS and its animal model EAE, while Th2 cells are thought to be protective. Th1 cells secreting IFN-γ are closely associated with the clinical severity of EAE and could independently induce EAE when adoptively transferred into naïve mice [5]. Recently, accumulating evidence indicates that a new-identified Th subset: Th17 cells play an important role in the pathogenesis of MS and EAE. Th17 cells were found in the brain lesions of patients with MS, IL-17 expression was elevated in the serum and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of patients with MS [6]. IL-17 knockout mice show a significant, but not complete, reduction in severity of EAE. Administration of neutralizing anti-IL-17 antibody in vivo reduced the severity of EAE [7,8]. Further, adoptive transfer of Th17 cells directly induced severe EAE in mice. The evidence supported that Th17 and Th1 cells both attributes to the pathogenesis of MS and EAE.

CD4+CD25+Foxp3 + regulatory T cells (Treg) are an important subclass of regulatory cells that maintain immune tolerance by suppressing self-reactive Th cells. Forkhead transcription factor Foxp3 is the key transcription factor in the physiological development of Treg [9]. There is evidence to suggest that the function of Treg cells in MS patients is impaired. Their inhibitory effect on antigen-specific
Regulation of GA on CD4+ Th cells

Th1 and Th17 are pathogenic T cells in the development of MS and EAE. Kantengwa et al. reported that GA inhibited Th1 differentiation of CD4+ T cells at various T cell maturation stages and in an antigen-independent manner [26]. In vivo GA treatment biased differentiation of CD4+ T cells from the detrimental Th1 phenotype towards the anti-inflammatory Th2 phenotype [27]. Recently, our study has demonstrated GA inhibited Th17 differentiation through down-regulation of STAT3 phosphorylation and transcription factors RORγt and RORα expression in vivo. In vitro human and mouse Th17 differentiation system, GA inhibited the differentiation of Th17 in a dose-dependence manner. In Th1 differentiation system, GA also suppressed Th1 differentiation in vitro. Further, we investigated which Th subset (Th17 or Th1) was chiefly responsible for the treatment effect of GA. Our data indicated that the treatment effect of GA in EAE was mainly attributable to its regulatory property on Th17 differentiation [28].

CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells have the beneficial effect in the development of MS by suppression of pathogenic T cells. Viglietta et al. reported that the effector function and the frequency of Treg is significantly decreased in the peripheral blood of patients with MS [29]. Several studies provided the evidence that GA have the beneficial effect on induction of CD4+CD25+ Treg cells. In vitro human and animal system, GA induced the conversion of peripheral CD4+CD25- to CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells through the activation of transcription factor Foxp3. GA treatment led to a significant increase in Foxp3 expression in CD4+ T cells in MS patients whose Foxp3 expression was reduced at baseline [30].

Regulation of GA on APC cells

In the past, it is considered that T cells are primary target of GA. Early studies focused on its influence on the adaptive immune system. APCs including monocytes and dendritic cells (DCs) play the central role in the initial and development of immune response. The interaction between APCs and T cells is fundamental for any adaptive T cell immune response. More recent studies indicate that GA may affect the properties of APCs. Vieira et al. reported that in vitro DCs exposure to GA have an impaired capacity to secrete Th1 polarizing factor IL-12p70, therefore preferentially induce Th2 cells and enhanced levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 [31,32]. Further, Kim et al. reported that monocytes from GA-treated patients produced significantly higher amounts of IL-10 and lower amounts of IL-12. GA therapy leads to the generation of type II monocyte, which contributes to Th2 deviation both in the periphery and CNS of MS patients [33]. Weber et al. also reported that lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced activation marker CD150/SLAM expression and TNF-α production were significantly reduced in monocytes from GA-treated patients compared with controls [34]. These studies clearly indicated that GA treatment promotes Th2 cells differentiation by modifying the phenotype of APCs. Our study has demonstrated that GA inhibited Th17 differentiation by the reduction of IL-6 in treated monocytes. GA primarily interacts with monocytes and inhibits the production of IL-6, critically required for Th17 differentiation through STAT3 activity in T cells [28]. These findings provide the possibility that GA treatment may compromise innate immune responses in GA-treated MS patients.

Conclusion

Glatiramer acetate is a random polymer of four amino acids enriched in myelin basic protein, and approved as an immunomodulatory drug for the treatment of relapsing MS. It has unique immune regulatory
properties on adaptive and innate immune system. GA regulates the immune response at different levels, including binding to MHC II molecules as MHC blocker and TCR antagonist; preferential Th2 deviation in CD4 T cells; the down-regulation of Th1 and Th17 differentiation; restoration of frequency and function of Treg cells; biasing dendritic cells and monocytes toward to anti-inflammatory phenotype. The comprehensive understanding of the mechanism of action of GA may provide potential therapy target and useful insight for the development of new efficient drugs in the future.

References

1. Wingerchuk DM, Lucchinetti CF, Noseworthy JH (2001) Multiple sclerosis: current pathophysiological concepts. Lab Invest 81: 283-281.
2. Compton A, Coles A (2008) Multiple sclerosis. Lancet 372: 1502-1517.
3. Hafler DA, Slavik JM, Anderson DE, O'Connor KC, De Jager P, et al. (2005) Multiple sclerosis. Immunol Rev 204: 208-231.
4. Hohlfeld R, Wekerle H (2004) Autoimmune concepts of multiple sclerosis as a basis for selective immunotherapy: from pipe dreams to (therapeutic) pipelines. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101 Suppl 2: 14599-14606.
5. Kroenke MA, Carlson TJ, Andjelkovic AV, Segal BM (2008) IL-12- and IL-17 modulation of T cells induce distinct types of EAE based on histology, CNS chemokine profile, and response to cytokine inhibition. J Exp Med 205: 1535-1541.
6. Matsuieva D, Kivisakk P, He B, Kostulas N, Ozceni V, et al. (1999) Interleukin-17 mRNA expression in blood and CSF mononuclear cells is augmented in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 5: 101-104.
7. Uttenthove C, Sommerreys C, Thieba J, Michaels T, Van Snick J (2007) Anti-IL-17A autovaccination prevents clinical and histological manifestations of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1110: 330-336.
8. Hofstetter HH, Ibrahem SM, Koczan D, Kruse N, Weishaupt A, et al. (2005) Therapeutic efficacy of IL-17 neutralization in murine experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Cell Immunol 237: 123-130.
9. Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY (2003) Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol 4: 330-336.
10. Haas J, Hug A, Viehove A, Fritsche B, Falk CS, et al. (2005) Reduced suppressive effect of CD4+ CD25 high regulatory T cells on the T cell immune response against myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in patients with multiple sclerosis. Eur J Immunol 35: 3343-3352.
11. Teitelbaum D, Webb C, Bree M, Mesher A, Arnon R, et al. (1974) Suppression of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis in Rhesus monkeys by a synthetic basic copolymer. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 3: 256-262.
12. Arnon R, Sela M, Teitelbaum D (1996) New insights into the mechanism of action of copolymer 1 in experimental allergic encephalomyelitis and multiple sclerosis. J Neuro 243: S8-13.
13. Johnson KP, Brooks BR, Cohen JA, Ford CC, Goldstein J, et al. (1995) Copolymer 1 reduces relapse rate and improves disability in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: results of a phase III multicenter, double-blind placebo-controlled trial. The Copolymer 1 Multiple Sclerosis Study Group. Neurology 45: 1268-1276.
14. Fridkis-Harel M, Teitelbaum D, Gurevich E, Pechl I, Brautbar C, et al. (1994) Direct binding of myelin basic protein and synthetic copolymer 1 to class II major histocompatibility complex molecules on living antigen-presenting cells--specificity and promiscuity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91: 4872-4876.
15. Fridkis-Harel M, Strominger JL (1998) Promiscuous binding of synthetic copolymer 1 to purified HLA-DR molecules. J Immunol 160: 4386-4397.
16. Aharoni R, Teitelbaum D, Arnon R, Sela M (1999) Copolymer 1 acts against the immunodominant epitope 82-100 of myelin basic protein by T cell receptor antagonism in addition to major histocompatibility complex blocking. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 634-639.
17. Murphy KM, Reiner SL (2002) The lineage decisions of helper T cells. Nat Rev Immunol 2: 933-944.