Zeolitic Intralayer Microchannels of Magadiite, a Natural Layered Silicate, to Boost Green Organic Synthesis
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**Detailed Methods**

**Preparation of materials.** Na-magadiite was purchased from Nippon Chemical Industrial and used as received. The quality of the sample was confirmed by X-ray diffractometry (XRD), which shows a typical pattern for Na-magadiite (Fig. 1, Fig. S1 and S2)\(^ {1-3}\). We determined the composition by the inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) using Hitachi HT ICP-OES SPS3520UV-DD for Na and Si ions (Table S1) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) using Hitachi HT-Seiko Instrument Exter 6300 for H\(_2\)O (Fig. S3). The Na/Si molar ratio is in good agreement with those reported in the literature\(^ {1,4}\). Na-magadiite exhibits endothermic weight losses: (i) ~13 wt% loss from room temperature to 160°C, and (ii) gradual 1.9 wt% loss up to 700°C. The former appears to consist of two steps and is consistent with previous reports\(^ {3-6}\).

The protonation of Na-magadiite and Na-octosilicate was carried out based on previous reports\(^ {7,8}\). The composition analysis confirms the almost complete removal of Na ions from Na-magadiite (Table S1). The TGA profile exhibits a typical one for H-magadiite\(^ 5\); weight losses: (i) ~0.6 wt% loss from room temperature to 200°C, (ii) ~3.1 wt% endothermic loss from 200°C to 440°C and (iii) gradual ~1.3 wt% endothermic loss up to 1000°C (Fig. S3).

The composition of Na-magadiite was thus determined to be Na\(_{1.44}\)Si\(_{10}\)O\(_{22}\)·5.7H\(_2\)O. This composition is identical to the structure model used for PDF fitting (Na\(_{1.44}\)Si\(_{10}\)O\(_{22}\)·3H\(_2\)O), where the amount of H\(_2\)O may have error because it simulates the tiny peak around 2.15 Å mostly. The sodium aqua complex is considered to be a coordination polymer of [Na(H\(_2\)O)\(_{3.0}\)(OH)\(_{1.0}\)]\(_m\). Considering the following NMR results (Fig. S4), the structure contains silanol groups, and the composition for the unit cell should be H\(_4\)Si\(_{10}\)O\(_{22}\)·1.44[Na(H\(_2\)O)\(_{4}\)\(_0\)]. The composition of the protonated magadiite was determined likewise, H\(_{3.6}\)Si\(_{10}\)O\(_{22}\)·nH\(_2\)O (n < 0.3).
**Materials characterizations.** Nitrogen and water vapor adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K and 298 K, respectively, on a BELSORP-max instrument (MicrotracBEL). Prior to the measurements, H-magadiite and H-octosilicate were outgassed at 573 K for 24 h and 393 K for 3 h, respectively. Synchrotron powder XRD patterns were collected at BL5S2 (λ = 1.29945 Å), AichiSR, at 25°C. Solid-state $^{29}$Si NMR spectrum was recorded at 119.17 MHz on a Varian 600PS solid NMR spectrometer using a 6-mm diameter zirconia rotor. The magic-angle spinning (MAS) spectrum of Na-magadiite indicates the $Q^3/Q^4$ peak integral ratio of 38.8/61.2, whose $Q^3$ Si was confirmed to be protonated through the comparison with cross-polarization (CP) spectrum where $Q^3/Q^4$ increased obviously (Fig. S4). Likewise, MAS NMR spectrum of H-magadiite indicates the $Q^3/Q^4$ ratio of 28.5/71.5. The $Q^3/Q^4$ ratios for Na-magadiite and H-magadiite are within reported values\textsuperscript{1,2,9} and in good agreement with the reported values\textsuperscript{7,9}, respectively. The discrepancy in the $Q^3/Q^4$ ratio between Na-magadiite and H-magadiite probably originates from partial condensation reactions between hydroxyl groups during the protonation of Na-magadiite\textsuperscript{1}. Likewise, solid-state $^1$H NMR spectra of Na-magadiite and H-magadiite were recorded (Fig. S5).

The infrared spectra were measured using Thermoscientific Nicolet 4700 spectrometer in the transmission configuration for the samples pelletized with KBr powder. Fig. S6 shows IR spectra of Na-magadiite and H-magadiite. The spectra were normalized on the basis of the peak assignable to Si-O-Si asymmetric stretching (1000–1130 cm$^{-1}$). Na-magadiite exhibits a typical spectrum reported in the literatures\textsuperscript{1,2,10,11}. The spectrum for Na-magadiite has a large H-O-H bending band (1630 and 1660 cm$^{-1}$) than that for H-magadiite, and only the former has a sharp O-H stretching band at 3660 cm$^{-1}$. These features can be explained by that Na-magadiite adsorbs a larger amount of water than H-magadiite as a result of interaction between Na$^+$ ions and water molecules\textsuperscript{5,6,11}. 

S3
The crystal morphology of Na-magadiite was observed using a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope (SEM). Na-magadiite was composed of platy particles that form rosette (cabbage)-like spherical aggregates with several µm diameter (Fig. S7), a well-known characteristic of Na-magadiite\(^1\).

**X-ray PDF measurements.** X-ray total scattering data for obtaining pair distribution functions (PDFs) were collected on a Rigaku Rapid-S curved imaging plate detector with Ag Ka radiation (\(\lambda = 0.556 \text{ Å}\)) for screening structure models initially. The samples were sealed in Cole-Parmer polyimide capillaries (inner diameter: 1.0 mm). These corrected intensities were normalized by the Faber-Ziman type scattering form factors calculated using atomic scattering factors to obtain structure functions, \(S(Q)\). The \(S(Q)\) (\(Q_{\text{max}} = 21.0 \text{ Å}^{-1}\)) was treated with a revised Lorch function (\(\Delta = 1.00\))\(^{12}\), and then converted into reduced PDF, \(G(r)\), where \(r\) is the interatomic distance.

High-resolution PDF data was obtained using synchrotron irradiation at BL22XU (\(\lambda = 0.1774 \text{ Å}\)) and BL08W (\(\lambda = 0.1076 \text{ Å}\)) in SPring-8 with a Perkin Elmer flat panel detector (XRD1621). The former results in PDFs with reasonably good spatial resolution, \(Q_{\text{max}} = 25.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}\), and also good angular resolution enabling analysis of long-range region in real space. The latter results in PDFs with high spatial resolution, \(Q_{\text{max}} = 33.0 \text{ Å}^{-1}\), but with little angular resolution.

**Details of structure analysis.**

The structure was analyzed by the curve fitting of PDF data simulated using the PDFfit2 program\(^{13}\). Since the structure of magadiite was unknown, hundreds of structure models which can also correspond to other data such as compositions, NMR spectra and infrared spectra were investigated. For some of those structure models, atomic coordinates were moved to fit the experimental PDF data using a code running the real-space Reverse Monte Carlo simulation\(^{14}\) implemented in the PDFfit2 program\(^{13}\) under bond length restraints (to retain SiO\(_4\) tetrahedra by keeping Si-O bond length in the
range of 1.45–1.75 Å and O-O distance in 2.50–2.80 Å) using the PDFfit2 program as the fitting program. Hundreds of structure models were screened and investigated as initial models, and then reasonable models were gradually selected.

For the structure model reaching $R_w < 0.35$, symmetry of the structure was analyzed and then further refinements were carried out under the symmetry constraints. The initial symmetry used for the analysis was $P2_1$ space group, which was based on literatures where the symmetry of the Na-magadiite considered to be monoclinic\(^1\). However, during further investigations, we found the symmetry of local structure should be in the $P\overline{1}$ space group to reach better fit. Considering the reasonable fit of PDF data and XRD data of H-magadiite, the symmetry of the structure is reasonable at least in the local structure, though symmetry in crystal level might be different (but both H-magadiite and Na-magadiite contain disorders such as turbostratic stacking disorder and stacking faults, which have been keeping the structure unknown, and thus, our approach to determine local symmetry is reasonable). The crystals of magadiites were found to contain stacking disorders as expected from the layered structure and furthermore we found zeolitic micropores in the layers where Na ions exist with disorders. The composition can be described also as $\text{H}_2\text{Si}_{10}\text{O}_{22} \cdot 1.44[\text{Na(H}_2\text{O)}_4]$, and the water molecules coordinated to Na ions might be formed $\text{Na(H}_2\text{O)}_6$ chains through edge-sharing connectivity. The size and volume of voids in the H-magadiite structure were analyzed by the calculations using the Olex2 program\(^15\).

**Quantum chemical calculations.** In order to acquire better understanding of the adsorption of the benzoic acid molecules in the microchannels of magadiite, quantum chemical calculations were performed. The initial structure model was formed by adjusting the experimentally determined H-magadiite structure to have a $2\times1\times1$ supercell, which can contain one benzoic acid molecule. The O coordinates and benzoic acid molecule was optimized by the density functional theory (DFT) using
the CASTEP program with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and the Perdew, Burke
and Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional. The ultra-soft pseudopotentials1 and a 160-eV
energy cut-off were used.
### Table S1. Compositions of Na-magadiite and H-magadiite.

|          | Na (wt%) | Si (wt%) |
|----------|----------|----------|
| Na-magadiite | 4.2      | 35.3     |
| H-magadiite   | <0.01    | 37.4     |
Fig. S1. Structure model of Na-magadiite obtained by the XRD analysis. Colour coding: blue = Si, red = O, yellow = Na. This structure was visualized using the VESTA program.
Fig. S2. Structure model of Na-magadiite obtained by the PDF analysis. Colour coding: blue = Si, red = O, yellow = Na. The yellow-white balls are Na with a low occupancy. This structure was visualized using the VESTA program.
Fig. S3. Simultaneous thermogravimetric analysis and differential thermal analysis (TG/DTA).

(A) Na-magadiite. (B) H-magadiite measured in air. Scan rates are 5 °C/min for Na-magadiite and 10 °C/min for H-magadiite.
Fig. S4. Solid-state $^{29}$Si NMR spectra of (A) Na-magadiite and (B) H-magadiite.
**Fig. S5. Solid-state $^1$H NMR spectra of Na-magadiite and H-magadiite.** Calculated spectra were obtained by assuming the four and eight components, respectively. A peak at 15.2 ppm for Na-magadiite is assigned to the interlayer silanol group (SiOH···−OSi). Peaks at 5.4 and 3.6 ppm for H-magadiite are assigned to the interlayer silanol groups (strongly and weakly interacted SiOH, respectively). Other peaks are assignable to H$_2$O molecules. The integral ratio of peaks associated with the SiOH groups for H-magadiite to that for Na-magadiite is 2.3.
Fig. S6. FT-IR spectra of Na-magadiite and H-magadiite. The spectra were normalized on the basis of the absorption of Si-O-Si asymmetric stretching (1000–1130 cm\(^{-1}\)) and were shown with shift for clarity.
Fig. S7. SEM image of Na-magadiite.
Fig. S8. Comparison of X-ray data of magadiite samples: Na-magadiite, H-magadiite before and after benzoic acid uptake. (A) High special resolution PDF data ($Q_{\text{max}} = 33.0$ Å⁻¹) collected at BL08W ($\lambda = 0.1076$ Å), SPring-8. (B) Synchrotron PXRD patterns collected at BL5S2 ($\lambda = 1.29945$ Å), AichiSR.
Fig. S9. Mesoporosity of Na-magadiite and H-magadiite. (A) N$_2$ adsorption (filled)/desorption (open) isotherms and (B) BJH pore size distribution of Na-magadiite and H-magadiite. Na-magadiite (mesopore volume of 0.0055 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) and H-magadiite (0.067 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) have few meso-porosity due to the low adsorption value at high relative pressure and random pore size distribution.
**Fig. S10. Framework and voids in H-magadiite.** (a) Parallel view along the $b$ axis. (b) Parallel view along the $c$ axis. The green regions illustrated in the panel ‘a’ and ‘b’ show the void calculated using the Olex2 program (resolution = 0.1 Å, and distance = 0.0 Å). The voids are solvent accessible pores along the $b$ axis, and the diagonals of the cross-section of the void are ca. 5.5 Å (longitudinal) and ca. 2.1 Å. The structure occupies 317.06 Å$^3$ (88.3% of the unit cell), and the void does 42.83 Å$^3$ (11.7%, 0.0 Å away from the surface) or 22.79 Å$^3$ (6.2%, 0.2 Å away from the surface). (c) Size of benzoic acid molecule, whose structure was optimized through the quantum chemical simulation using CASTEP program with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and the Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional. The ultra-soft pseudopotentials1 and a 340-eV energy cut-off were used.
Fig. S11. Time course of consumption of toluene and formation of oxidized products during the photocatalytic oxidation of toluene on TiO₂ with or without adsorbents.
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Structure information

We solved the structures in the unit cells shown below. Then, for the clear comparison, we transform the cell of the H-magadiite to have the similar beta value as shown in the main text.

(1) Na-mag, refined by XRD

# ATOMIC COORDINATES AND DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS

| Atom     | Type | Fraction X | Fraction Y | Fraction Z | Occupancy | Uiso  | Multiplicity |
|----------|------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|--------------|
| Si1      | Si   | 0.647(4)   | 1.005(19)  | 0.742(8)   | 1.000     | 0.22d  | 1            |
| Si2      | Si   | 0.764(4)   | 0.680(18)  | 0.340(10)  | 1.000     | 0.226  | 1            |
| Si3      | Si   | 0.429(4)   | 0.694(17)  | 0.906(10)  | 1.000     | 0.226  | 1            |
| Si4      | Si   | 0.355(5)   | 0.472(17)  | 0.520(11)  | 1.000     | 0.226  | 1            |
| Si5      | Si   | 0.248(5)   | 1.042(20)  | 0.062(12)  | 1.000     | 0.226  | 1            |
| O1       | O    | 0.345(5)   | 0.981(18)  | 0.145(11)  | 1.000     | 0.347  | 1            |
| O2       | O    | 0.753(4)   | 1.081(18)  | 0.395(11)  | 1.000     | 0.347  | 1            |
| O3       | O    | 0.568(5)   | 1.198(18)  | 0.239(9)   | 1.000     | 0.347  | 1            |
| O4       | O    | 0.833(4)   | 0.356(20)  | 0.879(13)  | 1.000     | 0.347  | 1            |
| O5       | O    | 0.428(4)   | 1.101(17)  | 0.912(10)  | 1.000     | 0.347  | 1            |
| O6       | O    | 0.763(6)   | 0.627(18)  | 0.109(9)   | 1.000     | 0.347  | 1            |
| O7       | O    | 0.402(5)   | 0.529(20)  | 0.335(10)  | 1.000     | 0.347  | 1            |
| O8       | O    | 0.677(4)   | 0.446(17)  | 0.360(12)  | 1.000     | 0.347  | 1            |
| Atom | Type | x    | y    | z    | U(eq) | Uiso | 1   |
|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----|
| O9   | O    | 0.250(5) | 0.363(20) | 0.223(11) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O10  | O    | 0.339(4) | 0.469(17) | -0.069(11) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O11  | O    | 0.182(5) | 0.809(19) | 0.458(13)  | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| Na1  | Na   | 0.48000 | 0.58818 | 0.53299 | 1.000 | Uiso 0.300 | 1   |
| Na2  | Na   | -0.09638 | 0.70595 | 0.11257 | 2.07(13) | Uiso 0.340 | 1   |
| Na3  | Na   | 0.07073 | 0.06213 | 0.24665 | 2.57(10) | Uiso 0.297 | 1   |
| Si6  | Si   | 0.334(5) | 0.556(18) | 0.152(11) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.226108 | 1   |
| Si7  | Si   | 0.263(4) | 0.918(18) | 0.624(12) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.226108 | 1   |
| Si8  | Si   | 0.516(4) | 1.135(19) | 1.045(8)  | 1.000 | Uiso 0.226108 | 1   |
| Si9  | Si   | 0.652(4) | 1.010(17) | 0.325(9)  | 1.000 | Uiso 0.226108 | 1   |
| Si10 | Si   | 0.748(4) | 0.568(18) | 0.883(10) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.226108 | 1   |
| O12  | O    | 0.659(5) | 0.428(19) | 0.764(10) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O13  | O    | 0.332(4) | 1.036(16) | 0.491(11) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O14  | O    | 0.439(5) | 0.599(18) | 0.682(10) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O15  | O    | 0.208(6) | 0.698(24) | 0.146(13) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O16  | O    | 0.507(4) | 0.625(18) | 0.057(9)  | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O17  | O    | 0.240(5) | 0.976(19) | 0.837(12) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O18  | O    | 0.614(4) | 0.870(18) | 0.519(8)  | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O19  | O    | 0.277(4) | 0.530(18) | 0.648(11) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O20  | O    | 0.742(4) | 0.946(18) | 0.808(10) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O21  | O    | 0.579(4) | 1.046(20) | 0.890(9)  | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| O22  | O    | 0.838(6) | 0.628(22) | 0.509(12) | 1.000 | Uiso 0.347236 | 1   |
| Na4  | Na   | 0.50000 | 0.10000 | 0.50000 | 1.000 | Uiso 0.300 | 1   |
| Na5  | Na   | -0.04108 | 1.42472 | 0.65647 | 2.30(13) | Uiso 0.334 | 1   |
| Na6  | Na   | 0.07817 | 1.28148 | 0.78369 | 2.74(11) | Uiso 0.314 | 1   |
(2) Na-mag, refined by PDF fitting

```
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M 'P1'
_symmetry_Int_Tables_number 1
_symmetry_cell_setting triclinic

_cell_length_a 15.5996
_cell_length_b 3.83664
_cell_length_c 7.34442
_cell_angle_alpha 93.7639
_cell_angle_beta 95.4159
_cell_angle_gamma 95.2027

loop_
_atom_site_label
_atom_site_type_symbol
_atom_site_fract_x
_atom_site_fract_y
_atom_site_fract_z
_atom_site_U_iso_or_equiv
_atom_site_occupancy
_atom_site_adp_type
_atom_site_occupancy

| Atom | Type | Fract X  | Fract Y  | Fract Z  | Uiso  | Occupancy |
|------|------|----------|----------|----------|-------|------------|
| Si1  | Si   | 0.693175 | 0.661899 | 0.704406 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si2  | Si   | 0.303214 | 0.111840 | 0.276525 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si3  | Si   | 0.830529 | 0.244400 | 0.248790 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si4  | Si   | 0.164281 | 0.739825 | 0.750757 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si5  | Si   | 0.426759 | 0.261853 | 0.045479 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si6  | Si   | 0.574979 | 0.799673 | 0.942358 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si7  | Si   | 0.339429 | 0.433836 | 0.673751 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si8  | Si   | 0.653809 | 0.938591 | 0.344674 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si9  | Si   | 0.195581 | 0.789369 | 0.190820 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| Si10 | Si   | 0.799429 | 0.342976 | 0.842453 | 0.018177 Uiso 1.0000
| O1   | O    | 0.264991 | 0.497611 | 0.260553 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O2   | O    | 0.735935 | 0.031702 | 0.749073 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O3   | O    | 0.770258 | 0.578879 | 0.233834 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O4   | O    | 0.235782 | 0.062662 | 0.744219 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O5   | O    | 0.618052 | 0.762328 | 0.141541 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O6   | O    | 0.362770 | 0.228178 | 0.870811 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O7   | O    | 0.870590 | 0.463863 | 0.719441 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O8   | O    | 0.130241 | 0.966271 | 0.297398 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O9   | O    | 0.479465 | 0.626344 | 0.977584 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O10  | O    | 0.523045 | 0.163136 | 0.009479 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O11  | O    | 0.844788 | 0.306160 | 0.034712 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O12  | O    | 0.155073 | 0.782466 | 0.972049 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
| O13  | O    | 0.339351 | 0.148368 | 0.501111 | 0.007737 Uiso 1.0000
```
(3) H-mag, refined by PDF fitting under the $P_2_1$ symmetry constraint

######################################################################
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M 'P1'
_symmetry_Int_Tables_number     1
_symmetry_cell_setting         triclinic

_cell_length_a                  12.0636
_cell_length_b                  4.13469
_cell_length_c                  7.39298
_cell_angle_alpha               90
_cell_angle_beta                85.1961
_cell_angle_gamma               90

loop_
_atom_site_label
_atom_site_type_symbol
_atom_site_fract_x
_atom_site_fract_y
_atom_site_fract_z
_atom_site_U_iso_or_equiv
_atom_site_occupancy
Si1  Si  0.713682  0.817137  0.666770  0.005024 Uiso 1.0000
Si2  Si  0.286318  0.317137  0.333230  0.005024 Uiso 1.0000
Si3  Si  0.276720  0.284303  0.681978  0.005024 Uiso 1.0000
Si4  Si  0.723280  0.784303  0.318022  0.005024 Uiso 1.0000
Si5  Si  0.867705  0.169062  0.135781  0.005024 Uiso 1.0000
Si6  Si  0.132295  0.669062  0.864219  0.005024 Uiso 1.0000
Si7  Si  0.408978  0.327489  0.002398  0.005024  Uiso  1.0000
Si8  Si  0.591022  0.827489 -0.002398  0.005024  Uiso  1.0000
Si9  Si  0.894279  0.292535  0.721079  0.005024  Uiso  1.0000
Si10 Si  0.105721  0.792535  0.278921  0.005024  Uiso  1.0000
O1   O  0.188456  0.518211  0.251557  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O2   O  0.811544  0.018211  0.748443  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O3   O  0.790211  0.486391  0.195466  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O4   O  0.209789 -0.013609  0.804534  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O5   O  0.781393  0.474369  0.676719  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O6   O  0.218607  0.974369  0.323281  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O7   O  0.178803  0.561316  0.668618  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O8   O  0.821197  0.061316  0.331382  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O9   O  0.619630  0.774131  0.200945  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O10  O  0.380370  0.274131  0.799055  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O11  O  0.665653  0.643583  0.849023  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O12  O  0.334347  0.143583  0.150977  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O13  O  0.023712  0.266970  0.685914  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O14  O  0.976288  0.766970  0.314086  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O15  O  0.907429  0.333282  0.940404  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O16  O  0.092571  0.833282  0.059596  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O17  O  0.370302  0.235230  0.496269  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O18  O  0.629698  0.735230  0.503731  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O19  O  0.465514  0.692626  0.008607  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O20  O  0.534486  0.192626 -0.008607  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O21  O  0.016697  0.777779  0.727444  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000
O22  O  0.983303  0.277779  0.272556  0.019375  Uiso  1.0000