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Abstract

The effective radiative forcing (ERF) of anthropogenic gases and aerosols under present-day conditions relative to preindustrial conditions is estimated using the Meteorological Research Institute Earth System Model version 2.0 (MRI-ESM2.0) as part of the Radiative Forcing Model Intercomparison Project (RFMIP) and Aerosol and Chemistry Model Intercomparison Project (AerChemMIP), endorsed by the sixth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6). The global mean total anthropogenic net ERF estimate at the top of the atmosphere is 1.96 W m\(^{-2}\) and is composed primarily of positive forcings due to carbon dioxide (1.85 W m\(^{-2}\)), methane (0.71 W m\(^{-2}\)), and halocarbons (0.30 W m\(^{-2}\)) and negative forcing due to the total aerosols (−1.22 W m\(^{-2}\)). The total aerosol ERF consists of 23% from aerosol-radiation interactions (−0.32 W m\(^{-2}\)), 71% from aerosol-cloud interactions (−0.98 W m\(^{-2}\)), and slightly from surface albedo changes caused by aerosols (0.08 W m\(^{-2}\)). The ERFs due to aerosol-radiation interactions consist of opposing contributions from light-absorbing black carbon (BC) (0.25 W m\(^{-2}\)) and light-scattering sulfate (−0.48 W m\(^{-2}\)) and organic aerosols (−0.07 W m\(^{-2}\)) and are pronounced over emission source regions. The ERFs due to aerosol-cloud interactions (ERFaci) are prominent over the source and downwind regions, caused by increases in the number concentrations of cloud condensation nuclei and cloud droplets in low-level clouds. Concurrently, increases in the number concentration of ice crystals in high-level clouds (temperatures < −38 °C), primarily induced by anthropogenic BC aerosols, particularly over tropical convective regions, cause both substantial negative shortwave and positive longwave ERFaci values in MRI-ESM2.0. These distinct forcings largely cancel each other; however, significant longwave radiative heating of the atmosphere caused by high-level ice clouds suggests the importance of further studies on the interactions of aerosols with ice clouds. Total anthropogenic net ERFs are almost entirely positive over the Arctic due to contributions from the surface albedo reductions caused by BC. In the Arctic, BC provides the second largest contribution to the positive ERFs after carbon dioxide, suggesting a possible important role of BC in Arctic surface warming.
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Introduction

Anthropogenic gases and aerosols affect radiation balance on the Earth and therefore cause climate change over the industrial era. Well-mixed greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), methane (CH$_4$), nitrous oxide (N$_2$O), and halocarbons, have lifetimes that are much longer than a few years and accordingly impact the climate over long time scales (Myhre et al. 2013). Atmospheric aerosols have a typical lifetime of 1 day to 2 weeks in the troposphere and significantly influence regional and global climates. Aerosol particles modify the radiation balance directly through scattering and the absorption of shortwave (SW) radiation (aerosol-radiation interactions) and indirectly through cloud modification by serving as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nucleating particles (INPs) for both SW and longwave (LW) radiation (aerosol-cloud interactions) (Boucher et al. 2013). The deposition of light-absorbing aerosols, such as black carbon (BC), on snow and ice can also affect the radiation due to reduction of the surface albedo (e.g., Hansen and Nazarenko 2004; Flanner et al. 2007). Because BC strongly absorbs SW radiation and therefore leads to atmospheric heating, climate responses to BC in the Earth system are complex (e.g., Jacobson 2002; Bond et al. 2013; Stohl et al. 2015; Kaito et al. 2016; Kaito and Oshima 2017; Suzuki and Takeshima 2019; Takeshima and Suzuki 2019), and the role of BC has been recognized as being particularly important in the Arctic (e.g., Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP) 2015; Sand et al. 2015; Mahmood et al. 2016).

Effective radiative forcing (ERF) has been recognized as a useful indicator of the eventual temperature response, especially for aerosols, because ERF accounts for rapid adjustments (Myhre et al. 2013). In the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change fifth Assessment Report (IPCC AR5; Myhre et al. 2013), the total anthropogenic net (SW plus LW) ERF at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) over the industrial era (years 1750–2011) was estimated to be 2.3 (1.1 to 3.3) W m$^{-2}$. The total well-mixed greenhouse gas ERF was estimated to be 2.83 (2.26 to 3.40) W m$^{-2}$. Aerosols partially offset the well-mixed greenhouse gas ERF in the total anthropogenic ERF. In IPCC AR5, the aerosol ERF was distinguished by forcing processes arising from aerosol-radiation interactions (ERFari) and aerosol-cloud interactions (ERFaci). The total aerosol net ERF (ERFari+aci, excluding the effect of light-absorbing aerosols on snow and ice) was estimated to be $\sim 0.9$ ($\sim 1.9$ to 0.1) W m$^{-2}$, and ERFari was estimated to be $\sim 0.45$ ($\sim 0.95$ to 0.05) W m$^{-2}$. The total aerosol ERFaci, which is defined as ERFari+aci minus ERFari in the IPCC AR5 case (Myhre et al. 2013), was estimated to be $\sim 0.45$ ($\sim 1.2$ to 0.0) W m$^{-2}$. Zelinka et al. (2014) conducted a systematic intercomparison of ERFs across the fifth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) models. The present-day (year 2000) net ERFari+aci was estimated to be $\sim 1.03 \pm 0.30$ W m$^{-2}$, consisting of an ERFari of $\sim 0.25 \pm 0.23$ W m$^{-2}$ and an ERFaci of $\sim 0.79 \pm 0.28$ W m$^{-2}$. The large intermodel spread in the ERFaci values was dominated by differences among models in how the aerosols affected the cloud albedo (Zelinka et al. 2014). Previous studies have indicated that aerosols and clouds are still the largest source of uncertainty in estimates of radiative forcing of the climate (Boucher et al. 2013), and further improvements to aerosol and cloud processes in models are required from CMIP5.

Recently, we developed the Meteorological Research Institute Earth System Model version 2.0 (MRI-ESM2.0; Yukimoto et al. 2019) as a major update of our previous MRI coupled global climate model version 3, MRI-CGCM3 (Yukimoto et al. 2012), which participated in CMIP5 (Taylor et al. 2012). We implemented multiple modifications in the model with particular emphasis on improving the aerosol and cloud processes (Yukimoto et al. 2019; Kawai et al. 2019). For example, significant improvements to the cloud representations in MRI-ESM2.0 led to a remarkable reduction in errors in the SW, LW, and net radiation at the TOA, and the score of the spatial pattern of the radiative fluxes at the TOA for MRI-ESM2.0 is better than the 48 CMIP5 models (Kawai et al. 2019). MRI-ESM2.0 participated in the sixth phase of CMIP (CMIP6; Eyring et al. 2016). To estimate ERF and quantify the climate impacts of anthropogenic gases and aerosols using MRI-ESM2.0, we participate in the Radiative Forcing Model Intercomparison Project (RFMIP; Pincus et al. 2016) and the Aerosol and Chemistry Model Intercomparison Project (Aer-ChemMIP; Collins et al. 2017), which are endorsed by CMIP6.

In this study, we perform all time-slice perturbation experiments from the anthropogenic forcing agents planned in RFMIP and AerChemMIP using MRI-ESM2.0, which enables a comprehensive estimation of the present-day ERFs from individual anthropogenic agents in a consistent manner with the same physical and chemical processes in the model (e.g., the model including the aerosol effects on ice clouds). We estimate the global mean SW, LW, and net ERFs induced by all anthropogenic agents, including well-mixed greenhouse gases, short-lived gases, aerosols and their precursors, and land use. Particularly, we focus on the role of aerosols and quantify the contributions to the aerosol ERFs from aerosol-radiation interactions (ARI), aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI), and changes in the surface albedo due to the aerosols. The ERF estimations are also
conducted over the Arctic. In addition, we compare the aerosol ERF estimates to those derived using different diagnostic approaches and those calculated by our previous model and other CMIP6 models.

**Methods**

**Models**

We use MRI-ESM2.0 to estimate present-day ERFs due to anthropogenic forcing agents. Detailed descriptions and evaluations of MRI-ESM2.0 are given by Yukimoto et al. (2019). Brief descriptions of the model and the processes related to this study are given below.

MRI-ESM2.0 consists of four major component models: an atmospheric general circulation model with land processes (MRI-AGCM3.5), an ocean–sea-ice general circulation model (OGCM), an aerosol chemical transport model, and an atmospheric chemistry model; however, we do not couple OGCM in this study. MRI-ESM2.0 uses different horizontal resolutions in each atmospheric component model but employs the same vertical resolution, i.e., TL159 (approximately 120 km), TL95 (approximately 180 km), and T42 (approximately 280 km) are used in MRI-AGCM3.5, the aerosol chemical transport model, and the atmospheric chemistry model, respectively; all models employ 80 vertical layers (from the surface to the model top at 0.01 hPa) in a hybrid sigma-pressure coordinate system. A coupler (Yoshimura and Yukimoto 2008) is used to interactively couple each component model in MRI-ESM2.0; this enables an explicit representation of the effects of the gases and aerosols on the climate system (e.g., the interactions of aerosols with radiation, clouds, and the surface albedo of snow and ice).

The atmospheric chemistry component model used in MRI-ESM2.0 is the MRI Chemistry Climate Model version 2.1 (MRI-CCM2.1), which calculates evolution and distributions of the ozone and other trace gases in the troposphere and middle atmosphere. The model calculates a total of 90 gas-phase chemical species and 259 chemical reactions in the atmosphere. The chemical reactions of well-mixed greenhouse gases (CO$_2$, CH$_4$, N$_2$O, and halocarbons) are treated in MRI-CCM2.1; however, MRI-CCM2.1 does not provide the concentrations of these species to MRI-AGCM3.5, which calculates the radiative effects of the greenhouse gases according to the concentrations given by the boundary conditions. Although sulfur chemistry is not treated in MRI-CCM2.1, the chemical species required for sulfur chemistry (e.g., ozone, hydrogen oxide radicals (HO$_x$) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$)) are provided from MRI-CCM2.1 to the aerosol component model. The aerosol component model used in MRI-ESM2.0 is the Model of Aerosol Species in the Global Atmosphere mark-2 revision 4-climate (MASINGAR mk-2r4c), which calculates the physical and chemical processes (e.g., emission, transport, diffusion, chemical reactions, and dry and wet depositions) of the atmospheric aerosols and treats the following species: non-sea-salt sulfate, BC, organic carbon (OC), sea salt, mineral dust, and aerosol precursor gases (e.g., sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) and dimethyl sulfide). The size distributions of sea salt and mineral dust are divided into 10 discrete bins, while the sizes of the other aerosols are represented by lognormal size distributions. The model assumes external mixing for all aerosol species; however, in the radiation process in MRI-AGCM3.5, it is assumed that hydrophilic BC is internally mixed with sulfate with a shell-to-core volume ratio of 2, and the optical properties of hydrophilic BC are calculated based on Mie theory with a core-shell aerosol treatment, in which a concentric BC core is surrounded by a uniform coating shell composed of other aerosol compounds (Oshima et al. 2009a, 2009b). MRI-ESM2.0 employs a BC aging parameterization (Oshima and Koike 2013) that calculates the variable conversion rate of BC from hydrophobic BC to hydrophilic BC, in which the conversion rate generally depends on production rate of condensable materials such as sulfate. Note that the BC aging parameterization implementation could reproduce seasonal variations of the BC mass concentrations observed over the Arctic (Mori et al. submitted; Koike et al. submitted; Oshima et al. in preparation).

The deposition fluxes of BC and mineral dust calculated in the aerosol component model are provided to a physically based snow albedo model in MRI-AGCM3.5, which calculates the broadband albedos and the solar heating profile in the snowpack as functions of the snow grain size and concentrations of snow impurities (Aoki et al. 2011). In the radiation and cloud processes in MRI-ESM2.0, sulfate is assumed to be (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, and OC is assumed to be organic matter (OM) by lumping OC species using an OM-to-OC factor of 1.4.

MRI-ESM2.0 represents the activation of aerosols into cloud droplets based on the parameterizations of Abdul-Razzak et al. (1998), Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2000), and Takemura et al. (2005). For the temperature range from –38 to 0 °C, the deposition nucleation is calculated based on the study of Meyers et al. (1992), and the immersion and condensation freezing are calculated based on the studies of Bigg (1953), Murakami (1990), Levkov et al. (1992), and Lohmann (2002). In these parameterizations, the aerosol concentrations are not explicitly considered. Therefore, in this temperature range, aerosol concentrations do not directly affect the number concentrations of the ice crystals in the model except in the case of contact freezing (Lohmann and Diehl 2006; Cotton et al. 1986). Ice nucleation for cirrus clouds is represented using a parameterization from Kärcher et al. (2006), when the temperature is less than –38 °C;
homogeneous nucleation (Kärcher and Lohmann 2002) for sulfate, OM, and sea salt aerosols and heterogeneous nucleation (Kärcher and Lohmann 2003) for BC and mineral dust aerosols. Although aerosol-cloud interactions in anvil clouds are considered, the interactions within the cores of convections are not incorporated (i.e., aerosol-cloud interactions are not calculated in the convection scheme). The updraft velocities that are used for the aerosol activations are represented as the sum of the grid-scale vertical velocity and the velocity fluctuations due to turbulence, which can be obtained from a turbulence scheme (e.g., Lohmann et al. 1999; Takemura et al. 2005) (note that a lower limit of 0.12 m s\(^{-1}\) is set for the velocity fluctuations). More detailed descriptions and evaluations of the cloud processes and cloud representations in MRI-ESM2.0 are given by Kawai et al. (2019).

We compare the results calculated by our previous model, MRI-CGCM3, in the CMIP5 experiments to those obtained by MRI-ESM2.0 in this study. Details concerning MRI-CGCM3 and the evaluations are given by Yukimoto et al. (2012). MRI-CGCM3 is a previous version of MRI-ESM2.0, and the basic framework (e.g., interactive coupled system of each component model by the coupler and the horizontal resolutions of each component model) of the two models are the same, although there are major updates to multiple components of MRI-ESM2.0 (Yukimoto et al. 2019). The major differences in the framework of the two models are that MRI-CGCM3 employed 48 vertical layers and that the atmospheric chemistry component model was not coupled in MRI-CGCM3 in the CMIP5 experiments.

### Model experiments

We perform 31-year time-slice experiments with the prescribed preindustrial climatology of sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice using MRI-ESM2.0 within the frameworks of RFMIP (Pincus et al. 2016) and AerChemMIP (Collins et al. 2017) as summarized in Table 1. The monthly climatology of the SST and sea ice data were taken from the 500-year average of those calculated in the preindustrial control (piControl, one of the Diagnostic, Evaluation, and Characterization of Klima (DECK) experiments in CMIP6) experiment conducted by the atmosphere–ocean–chemistry–aerosol coupled version of MRI-ESM2.0. A detailed description of the piControl experiment performed by MRI-ESM2.0 is given by Yukimoto et al. (2019).

The control simulation (piClim-control experiment) is performed for 31-year time slices with the preindustrial (year 1850) conditions of well-mixed greenhouse gas concentrations, short-lived gas and aerosol emissions, and land use in the prescribed preindustrial SST and sea ice configurations (Table 1). The piClim-control experiment serves as a baseline for estimations of the ERFs in this study. The piClim-control experiment is initiated

### Table 1 Summary of the experiments performed by MRI-ESM2.0

| Experiment name | Anthropogenic emissions and drivers | MIP name |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------|
|                 | CO\(_2\)  | CH\(_4\)  | CFC/HCFC | N\(_2\)O | CO/VOC | NO\(_x\) | BC | OC | SO\(_2\) | Land Use |
| piClim-control  | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | RFMIP/AerChemMIP |
| piClim-ghg      | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | RFMIP |
| piClim-4xCO\(_2\)  | 4xCO\(_2\) (1850) | RFMIP |
| piClim-CH\(_4\) | 2014 | RFMIP |
| piClim-HC       | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-N\(_2\)O | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-VOC      | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-NO\(_x\) | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-O\(_3\) | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-NTCF     | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-aer      | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-BC       | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-OC       | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-SO\(_2\) | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-lu       | 2014 | AerChemMIP |
| piClim-anthro   | 2014 | RFMIP |

Blank spaces in the table indicate the preindustrial conditions for the year 1850. The values “2014” in the table indicate the present-day conditions for the year 2014.

*Carbon dioxide concentrations in the piClim-4xCO\(_2\) experiment are set to four times the preindustrial values for the year 1850.*
from the output of a 10-year spin-up run with the same preindustrial conditions.

A series of perturbation experiments is performed for each 31-year time slice using the same prescribed preindustrial SST and sea ice conditions but with the present-day (year 2014) conditions for each species as follows: present-day well-mixed greenhouse gas concentrations (piClim-ghg), four times the preindustrial CO₂ concentrations (piClim-4xCO₂), present-day CH₄ concentrations (piClim-CH₄), present-day chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbon (HCFC) concentrations (piClim-HC), present-day N₂O concentrations (piClim-N₂O), present-day carbon monoxide (CO) and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions (piClim-VOC), present-day nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions (piClim-NOx), present-day CO/VOC/NOx emissions (piClim-O3), present-day emissions of near-term climate forcers (NTCFs, i.e., tropospheric ozone and aerosols and their precursors, not including methane here) (piClim-NTCF); present-day BC/OC/SO₂ emissions (piClim-aer); present-day BC emissions (piClim-BC); present-day OC emissions (piClim-OC); present-day SO₂ emissions (piClim-SO₂); present-day land use (piClim-Lu); and all present-day anthropogenic forcers (piClim-anthro) as summarized in Table 1. The output of the 10-year spin-up run for the piClim-control experiment is used as the initial state for these perturbation experiments, except for the CH₄, HC, and N₂O cases, which use the outputs of 10-year spin-up runs performed with their respective conditions. Note that the chemical species required for the production reactions of sulfate (e.g., HOₓ, H₂O₂, and ozone) are calculated under the preindustrial conditions for the piClim-SO₂ and piClim-aer experiments due to the experimental configuration.

We use the results from the 30-year prescribed SST and sea ice experiments by MRI-CGCM3 conducted as part of CMIP5 (Taylor et al. 2012) in this study. The control run (sstClim experiment) was performed for 30-year time slices with the prescribed preindustrial climatology of the SSTs and sea ice derived from the preindustrial control run by MRI-CGCM3 in the CMIP5 preindustrial (year 1850) conditions. The perturbation run (sstClimAerosol experiment) was identical to that in the sstClim experiment but used the emissions of the anthropogenic aerosols and their precursors at year 2000 from the CMIP5 historical experiment.

ERF estimates and decomposition into ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects

We use the last 30 years of each experiment for the analysis in this study. The ERFs at the TOA are calculated as the differences of the 30-year annual mean net (downward minus upward) TOA radiative fluxes between the perturbation experiment (present-day conditions) and the piClim-control experiment (preindustrial conditions). The signs of the radiative fluxes are defined as downward positive in this study. Although ERFs are generally defined at the TOA, we also estimate the ERFs at the surface, and these values denote the surface radiative flux changes between the perturbed and control experiments. Note that the changes in the surface latent and sensible heat fluxes are not regarded as part of the forcing in this study, although they may significantly impact the surface temperature response. The ERFs for the present-day CO₂ (ΔF_CO₂) are obtained from the results of the piClim-4xCO₂ experiment using the following approximation (Ramaswamy et al. 2001):

\[
\Delta F_{\text{CO}_2} = \Delta F_{\text{4xCO}_2} \ln \left( \frac{C}{C_0} \right) / \ln (4),
\]

where \(C\) and \(C_0\) are the CO₂ concentrations at the present and preindustrial levels, respectively, and \(\Delta F_{\text{4xCO}_2}\) is the radiative flux difference between the piClim-4xCO₂ experiment and the piClim-control experiment.

The aerosol ERF can be separated into forcings due to the aerosol-radiation interactions, aerosol-cloud interactions, and changes in the surface albedo due to aerosols. A direct method is proposed by Ghan (2013), which requires additional aerosol-free radiation calls in the model, to calculate these forcing components. We perform the aerosol-free radiation calls in MRI-ESM2.0 to diagnose the instantaneous radiative forcing of the aerosols in the experiments related to the aerosols. In this study, we employ the method of Ghan (2013) to decompose the ERFs at the TOA into aerosol-radiation interactions (ERFari), aerosol-cloud interactions (ERFaci), and surface albedo effects (ERFAlbedo) as follows:

\[
\text{ERFari} = \Delta (F - F_{\text{af}}),
\]

\[
\text{ERFaci} = \Delta (F_{\text{af}} - F_{\text{csaf}}),
\]

\[
\text{ERFAlbedo} = \Delta F_{\text{csaf}},
\]

where \(F\) is the net radiative flux, \(F_{\text{af}}\) is the flux calculated neglecting the scattering and absorption of radiation by aerosols, \(F_{\text{csaf}}\) is the flux calculated neglecting the scattering and absorption of radiation by both clouds and aerosols, and \(\Delta\) indicates the difference between the perturbation experiment and the piClim-control experiment (af indicates aerosol-free and cs indicates clear-sky). This approach is applied to both the SW and LW radiation.

The approximate partial radiative perturbation (APRP) method can also decompose the ERFs into ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects (Taylor et al. 2007; Zelinka et al. 2014). The APRP method can diagnose the ERF components using standard model outputs in climate models and can easily be employed in multi-model
comparisons, although this method is approximate and may induce biases in the SW ERFs due to the ARI and ACI (Zelinka et al. 2014). We compare the decompositions of the aerosol radiative effects derived using the method of Ghan (2013) and the APRP method of Taylor et al. (2007).

**Results and discussion**

**Global ERFs**

Figure 1 shows a summary of the global mean net ERF estimates at the TOA induced by the anthropogenic forcing agents (in year 2014 relative to year 1850). The ERF induced by the total anthropogenic components is estimated to be 1.96 W m\(^{-2}\), composed of a positive ERF due to the well-mixed greenhouse gases (3.02 W m\(^{-2}\)), negative ERFs due to the NTCFs (\(-1.08\) W m\(^{-2}\)), which are mostly due to aerosols (\(-1.22\) W m\(^{-2}\)), and slightly negative ERFs due to land-use changes (\(-0.19\) W m\(^{-2}\)). In comparison to the best ERF estimates in IPCC AR5 (in year 2011 relative to year 1750), the ERF estimates by MRI-ESM2.0 in this study show lower total anthropogenic ERF by 0.33 W m\(^{-2}\) and more negative total aerosol ERF by 0.4 W m\(^{-2}\). In the following, we focus on the influences of anthropogenic gases and aerosols on the ERFs.

**Well-mixed greenhouse gases and short-lived gases**

Figure 2 shows the global mean LW and SW ERFs and their net values at the TOA as estimated by each experiment. The global mean ERF values at the TOA and at the surface are also summarized in Table 2. The net ERFs at the TOA from the well-mixed greenhouse gases are all positive, i.e., 1.85 W m\(^{-2}\) for CO\(_2\), 0.71 W m\(^{-2}\) for CH\(_4\), 0.30 W m\(^{-2}\) for halocarbons, and 0.16 W m\(^{-2}\) for N\(_2\)O (Figs. 1 and 2). These forcings are dominated by LW radiation due to greenhouse effects; approximately 80% LW and 20% SW contributions are seen for the net ERFs of the total well-mixed greenhouse gases (Fig. 2). Note that the negative SW ERF value from halocarbons is primarily due to the decrease in the absorption of solar radiation due to halocarbon-induced stratospheric ozone depletion.

![Fig. 1](image_url)

**Fig. 1** Summary of the effective radiative forcing (ERF) estimates in the year 2014 relative to the year 1850 in MRI-ESM2.0. The estimates are the global annual mean net ERF values at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) caused by the emitted compounds and processes. The ERFs due to aerosols are separated into three radiative effects as follows: aerosol-radiation interactions, aerosol-cloud interactions, and the surface albedo changes due to aerosols. The values of the net ERFs are also shown on the left side of the figure (positive and negative values are indicated by red and blue, respectively). The ERFs caused by individual species or their precursors are shown by color crossbars with their values (e.g., CO\(_2\), CH\(_4\), halocarbons, N\(_2\)O, sulfate, OM, and BC). The ERFs from the total aerosols (estimated by the piClim-aer experiment) are shown by black bars and are not equal to the sum of the individual ERFs from each aerosol. The ERFs of the other compounds are shown by red (positive) and blue (negative) crossbars, respectively. Values are given in units of W m\(^{-2}\).
The magnitudes of the global mean net ERFs of the short-lived gases, which are precursors of the tropospheric ozone, are small and exhibit both positive and negative signs, i.e., $-0.03 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ for CO/VOC; $-0.02 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ for NOx; and $0.07 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ for net CO/VOC/NOx (piClim-O3) (Figs. 1 and 2). Tropospheric ozone should enhance the greenhouse effect; however, the LW ERFs caused by NOx and CO/VOC/NOx are negative (Fig. 2 and Table 2). This result indicates that tropospheric ozone may influence clouds and convective activity, particularly over the tropics, and that the greenhouse effect of ozone can be masked by cloud changes, which complicates the net radiative effects. The changes in the atmospheric circulation caused by short-lived gases and aerosols are discussed in another paper (Deushi et al. in preparation).

### Aerosols

The global mean net ERF estimate of the total aerosols at the TOA is $-1.22 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ and is composed of positive forcing from BC ($0.24 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$) and negative forcings from sulfate ($-1.38 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$) and OM ($-0.33 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$) (Fig. 1). The net ERF of the total aerosols is composed of partial offsets of the large negative SW and positive LW ERFs (Fig. 2). The net ERF of the total aerosols ($-1.22 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$) consists of a 23% contribution from ARI ($-0.32 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$), a 71% contribution from ACI ($-0.98 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$), and a small contribution from the surface albedo effect ($0.08 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$) (Fig. 1), where these percentages are estimated using the absolute value of each effect.

Because aerosols are regionally distributed (Supplementary Fig. 1), the geographic distributions of the ERF are important. Figure 3 shows the spatial distributions of the SW, LW, and net ERFs at the TOA by the total aerosols and each aerosol species or precursor (e.g., BC, OC, and SO2). The SW ERF of the total aerosols (Fig. 3a) is generally negative and indicates strong forcing in the emission source regions and their down-stream regions predominantly over the northern midlatitude, which is primarily due to the sulfate forcing (Fig. 3j). In addition, there is a strong negative SW ERF in the tropical convective regions. The LW ERF of the total aerosols (Fig. 3b) is generally positive and exhibits strong positive forcing in the tropical convective regions, particularly over the maritime continent via the eastern tropical Indian Ocean, where BC LW forcing (Fig. 3e) dominates the total aerosol LW ERF (Fig. 3b). In the following, we describe the ERF magnitudes and their spatial distributions by distinguishing the ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects for the SW and LW radiation.
Table 2: Global annual mean effective radiative forcings of each experiment

| Experiment name | SW at TOA | LW | NET | SW at surface | LW | NET |
|-----------------|----------|-----|------|---------------|-----|------|
|                 | ARI      | ACI | ALB  | Total         | ARI | ACI | ALB  | Total | ARI | ACI | ALB  | Total |
| piClim-ghg      | --       | --  | --   | 0.63          | --  | --  | --   | 2.40  | --  | --  | --   | 3.02  | --  | --  | --   | --    |
| piClim-4xCO2    | --       | --  | --   | 1.97          | 0.48| --  | --   | 5.69  | 1.38| --  | --   | 7.66  | 1.85| --  | --   | 9.51  |
| piClim-CH4      | --       | --  | --   | 0.09          | --  | --  | --   | 0.62  | --  | --  | --   | 0.71  | --  | --  | --   | --    |
| piClim-BC       | --       | --  | --   | 0.22          | --  | --  | --   | 0.52  | --  | --  | --   | 0.30  | --  | --  | --   | --    |
| piClim-O3       | --       | --  | --   | 0.27          | --  | --  | --   | 0.29  | --  | --  | --   | 0.02  | --  | --  | --   | --    |
| piClim-O3       | --       | --  | --   | 0.14          | --  | --  | --   | 0.07  | --  | --  | --   | 0.07  | --  | --  | --   | --    |
| piClim-NTCF     | --       | --  | --   | 2.53          | --  | --  | --   | 1.45  | --  | --  | --   | 1.08  | --  | --  | --   | --    |
| piClim-zeer     | 0.32     | 2.47| 0.05 | 2.74          | 0.00| 1.49| 0.03 | 1.52  | 0.32| 0.98| 0.08 | 1.38  | 0.32| 0.98| 0.08 | 1.38  |
| piClim-BC       | 0.26     | 1.63| 0.15 | 1.22          | 0.00| 1.54| 0.08 | 1.45  | 0.25| 0.09| 0.07 | 0.24  | 0.19| 0.07| 0.04 | 0.23  |
| piClim-OC       | 0.07     | 0.43| 0.02 | 0.52          | 0.00| 0.21| 0.03 | 0.18  | 0.07| 0.21| 0.05 | 0.33  | 0.42| 0.05| 0.04 | 0.47  |
| piClim-SO2      | 0.49     | 1.36| 0.05 | 1.90          | 0.00| 0.42| 0.09 | 0.52  | 0.08| 0.94| 0.05 | 1.38  | 0.21| 0.07| 0.04 | 0.28  |
| piClim-ju       | --       | --  | --   | 0.21          | --  | --  | --   | 0.03  | --  | --  | --   | 0.19  | --  | --  | --   | --    |
| piClim-anthro   | --       | --  | --   | 2.21          | --  | --  | --   | 1.66  | --  | --  | --   | 1.96  | --  | --  | --   | --    |

Values are given in units of W m⁻². ERF: effective radiative forcing, TOA: top of the atmosphere, SW: shortwave, LW: longwave, NET: SW + LW, ARI: aerosol-radiation interactions, ACI: aerosol-cloud interactions, ALB: surface albedo effects, NTCF: near-term climate forcings.

*Values in parentheses are the ERFs of CO₂ at present-day concentrations as estimated from the piClim-4xCO₂ experiment.

(a) ARI: Figure 4 shows the spatial distributions of the SW ERFs at the TOA due to the ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects for the total aerosols and each species using the method of Ghan (2013). Figures 5 and 6 show the spatial distributions of the aerosol ERFs at the TOA due to the ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects for the LW and net radiation, respectively. The global mean SW, LW, and net ERFs of the aerosols due to each of the three components are summarized in Table 2. The SW ERFari values due to the total aerosols are mostly negative, particularly over the source regions (e.g., East Asia and South Asia; Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 1a). BC aerosols efficiently absorb solar radiation and cause globally positive ERFs and largely positive ERFs over the source regions (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 1b). The ERFs of the scattering aerosols are globally negative and are largely negative over the source regions, particularly for sulfate (Fig. 4g and j). The smaller magnitude of the ERFs for OM compared to those for sulfate, which is consistent with the smaller aerosol optical depths of OM compared to those of sulfate (Supplementary Figs. 1c and 1d), is primarily due to the lower OC emission amounts, the lower hygroscopic growth of organic compounds, and the slight light-absorbing effects of OC as treated in the model based on recent observations and experiments (Yukimoto et al. 2019). The greater negative ERFs from the scattering effects compensate for the positive ERFs from light absorption, resulting in negative SW ERFari values for the total aerosols (Fig. 4a). The LW ERFari values of the aerosols are mostly negligible (Table 2 and Fig. 5a, d, g, and j). As a result, the global mean net ERFari value at the TOA (Figs. 1 and 6) is estimated to be −0.32 W m⁻² for the total aerosols and consists of BC (0.25 W m⁻²), OM (−0.07 W m⁻²), and sulfate (−0.48 W m⁻²) components, which comprise 23% of the net ERF from the total aerosols (Fig. 1).

(b) ACI and aerosol effects on high-level ice clouds

The SW ERFs due to ACI from the total aerosols are globally negative and are substantially negative over the tropical convective regions (particularly over the maritime continent and the eastern Indian Ocean) and the source and downwind regions (Fig. 4b). The global mean SW ERFaci values of the individual aerosol species are all negative (Fig. 4e, h, and k) and contribute to the large negative ERFaci values of the total aerosols. Over the source and downwind regions, a large number of aerosols could influence clouds by serving as CCN and by increasing the cloud droplet number concentrations of liquid clouds (Supplementary Fig. 2). The SW ERFaci is dominated by sulfate, primarily due to higher CCN activity and greater sulfate amounts (Supplementary Fig. 1), rather than BC and OM (Fig. 4k). Over the tropical convective regions, BC aerosols are vertically transported into the upper troposphere by deep convection and serve as INPs at high altitude and influence ice clouds, resulting in substantially negative SW ERFaci values (Fig. 4e).

On the other hand, the LW ERFs due to ACI from the total aerosols are globally positive and are significantly positive over the tropical convective regions and over
the oceans along storm tracks in the Northern Hemisphere (e.g., in the Pacific and Atlantic oceans) (Fig. 5b). In such regions, the influences of aerosols on high-level clouds, which have efficient greenhouse effects, are responsible for the positive LW ERFaci values. Positive LW ERFaci values are present for all aerosol species (Fig. 5e, h, and k) and are largest for BC (Fig. 5e). The LW ERFs at the TOA are mostly dominated by ACI (Fig. 5 and Table 2), leading to similar distributions between the total LW ERFs (Fig. 3b) and the LW ERFaci (Fig. 5b).

Here, we discuss the mechanism for the pronounced SW and LW ERFaci values over the tropical convective regions (Figs. 4b and 5b). In terms of the LW radiation, increases in aerosols at high altitudes where high-level clouds are frequently present (i.e., regions with low outgoing LW radiation) are essential for the LW ERFaci, although one may simply consider that the impacts of aerosols on ERFaci depend only on the aerosol concentrations. Figure 7 shows the spatial distributions of the high-level cloud cover and the column-integrated number concentration of ice crystals in the piClim-aer experiment and the changes in the column-integrated number concentration of ice crystals due to the total aerosols. Note that the effects of the aerosols on the cloud cover, liquid water path, and ice water path are smaller than those on the number concentrations of the cloud droplets and ice crystals (Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3). The increases in the number concentrations of
ice crystals due to the total aerosols are pronounced in the upper troposphere (Supplementary Fig. 4) at higher altitudes (150–300 hPa) over the tropical convective regions (i.e., the maritime continent via the eastern tropical Indian Ocean and over central Africa) and at lower altitudes (250–500 hPa) over the midlatitude Pacific along the storm tracks (Fig. 7c). The results clearly indicate that regions with pronounced LW ERFaci values (Fig. 5b) correspond to regions where both the number concentrations of ice crystals and the high-level cloud cover are large (Fig. 7). In the upper troposphere, the number concentrations of the total aerosols, which can contribute to the formation of ice clouds by serving as INPs, increase over the source and downwind regions in the midlatitude Pacific and modestly increase over the tropical convective regions (Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6) due to the upward transport of aerosols by cyclones (which occur frequently in boreal winter and spring) and cumulus convections (in boreal summer). Note that the large aerosol number concentrations influenced by BC in the piClim-BC experiment (Supplementary Fig. 5b) are due to the smaller prescribed size distribution of BC compared to those of sulfate and OM in MRI-ESM2.0, as well as the nonlinear effects of BC, as discussed below. In boreal summer, high-cloud regions (e.g., regions enclosed within the thick black lines in Supplementary Fig. 6) are
shifted north (i.e., close to the source regions) and partially overlap regions with large aerosol number concentrations, leading to increases in the number concentrations of ice crystals in the vicinity of the maritime continent and the eastern tropical Indian Ocean (Supplementary Fig. 7). However, despite the larger number concentrations of aerosols over the midlatitude regions, ice clouds over these regions are less effective for the LW radiation than those over tropical regions because the high-level cloud cover is smaller and the ice clouds are present at lower altitudes (250–500 hPa) over the midlatitude regions. These mechanisms are responsible for the pronounced LW ERFaci over the tropical convective regions (Fig. 5). In terms of the SW radiation, anvil clouds generally have a similar magnitude of positive LW and negative SW cloud radiative effects due to reflection of the SW radiation, which leads to pronounced negative SW ERFaci values over the tropical convective regions (Figs. 4 and 5).

The significantly negative SW ERFaci values (Fig. 4b) and significantly positive LW ERFaci values (Fig. 5b) in the high-level clouds, which are particularly pronounced over the tropical convective regions, mostly cancel each other out. On the other hand, the negative SW ERFaci values in the low-level clouds (Fig. 4b) are unlikely to be offset by LW radiation (Fig. 5b), resulting in globally negative net ERFaci values (Fig. 6b), which are pronounced over the source and downwind regions. The global mean net ERFaci value at the TOA (Figs. 1 and 6) is estimated to be \(-0.98\) W m\(^{-2}\) for the total aerosols, consisting of BC (\(-0.09\) W m\(^{-2}\)), OM (\(-0.21\) W m\(^{-2}\)),
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and sulfate (−0.94 W m$^{-2}$) components, which account for 71% of the net ERF from the total aerosols (Fig. 1). Note that the sum of the ERFaci values for each aerosol compound (−1.25 W m$^{-2}$) does not equal the ERFaci value for all the aerosols (−0.98 W m$^{-2}$), as shown in Fig. 1, due to the strong nonlinearities in the ACI process. Carslaw et al. (2013) demonstrated that the sensitivity of the cloud albedo to anthropogenic emissions is much higher under preindustrial conditions (lower aerosol concentrations) than under present-day conditions (higher aerosol concentrations). The increase in the insensitivity of the cloud albedo with increasing emissions explains in part why the change in the indirect radiative forcing caused by the present-day emissions, with all species combined, is smaller than the sum of the forcings caused by the individual species.

Our results suggest that the interactions of the aerosols with ice clouds can cause both substantial SW and LW radiative forcing anomalies in MRI-ESM2.0. The parameterization of the interactions of the aerosols with ice clouds used in the model leads to INP production by the BC particles and influences the high-level clouds (temperatures < −38 °C). Recent experimental studies suggest that BC particles are not as efficient for INPs in mixed-phase clouds under supercooled conditions as was previously thought (Vergara-Temprado et al. 2018). However, the high altitude temperatures near the tropical deep convective cloud tops can be less than −38 °C.
(Supplementary Fig. 4), and most aerosol particles would serve as INPs in such cold conditions (DeMott et al. 1999; Mahrt et al. 2018), suggesting the possible occurrence of interactions of aerosols with high-level ice clouds over the tropical convective regions. In terms of the radiation budget at the TOA, the net ERFs would mostly cancel out between the negative SW and positive LW radiation; however, strong radiative heating of the atmosphere due to LW absorption by the high-level clouds could change the vertical atmospheric temperature profile (Supplementary Fig. 8a), leading to modifications in the large-scale atmospheric circulation and the hydrological cycle. A global modeling study implementing a parameterization of the aerosol effects on ice clouds estimated that the forcing due to anthropogenic BC was −0.3 W m$^{-2}$ (Penner et al. 2018), which is consistent with our estimate of the net ERFaci of BC, −0.09 W m$^{-2}$ (Table 2). Because there remain large uncertainties in the processes involved in the interactions of aerosols with ice clouds and the representations of the aerosol concentrations in the upper troposphere over the tropics in the model, the SW ERFaci and LW ERFaci values calculated in MRI-ESM2.0 might include quantitative uncertainties. Nevertheless, our results indicate the possible importance of the interactions of aerosols with ice clouds on atmospheric circulation and the hydrological cycle and suggest the importance of further studies of these interactions in high-level clouds over the tropics.

The piClim-BC experiment exhibits a positive net ERF at the TOA (0.24 W m$^{-2}$) and a negative ERF at the surface (−1.17 W m$^{-2}$) (Table 2); therefore, the difference has a positive value (1.41 W m$^{-2}$). This large radiative heating in the atmosphere is balanced by turbulent (latent and sensible) surface heat flux decreases, which correspond to the global mean precipitation decrease seen in the piClim-BC experiment. Although the spatial distributions of BC and precipitation are globally inhomogeneous, precipitation decreases could extend the BC lifetimes due to the weaker wet deposition processes because BC lifetimes are primarily controlled by wet removal from the atmosphere by precipitation (Oshima et al. 2012). Longer BC lifetimes could cause further radiative heating effects due to high-level clouds, which could represent a positive feedback process. On the other hand, stabilized atmosphere by radiative heating leads to a weakening of the upward mass flux of cumulus convection (Supplementary Fig. 8b), which could partially reduce the upward transport of BC to the upper troposphere. Because the climate responses to BC are complex, it is important to quantify the global energy budget perturbations caused by BC (e.g., Suzuki and Takemura 2019), particularly for models that represent the interactions of aerosols with ice clouds; however, the energy balance is not the focus of this study and will therefore be discussed in a future study.

Note that the aerosol processes controlling the spatial distributions of BC have nonlinearities in MRI-ESM2.0. For example, the conversion rate of BC from hydrophobic BC to hydrophilic BC (i.e., BC aging; see the “Methods” section) depends on the sulfate production rate. In the piClim-BC experiment, smaller...
levels of preindustrial SO₂ emissions led to lower sulfate production, which caused slower BC aging rates, followed by a reduced wet removal of BC and therefore longer BC lifetimes because hydrophobic BC is inefficiently removed from the atmosphere by precipitation. This is consistent with the longer global mean BC lifetime of 7.1 days in the piClim-BC experiment compared to that of 5.9 days in the piClim-aer experiment. These nonlinearities in the aerosol processes tend to lead to greater effects due to BC in the piClim-BC experiment.

(c) Surface albedo The SW ERFs due to changes in the surface albedo induced by BC are significantly positive over the Tibetan Plateau and the entire Arctic region (Fig. 4f) and are caused by the surface albedo reduction due to BC deposition on snow and sea ice. The SW ERF/albedo induced by OM and sulfate are smaller (Fig. 4i and l). As a result, the SW ERF/albedo induced by the total aerosols is primarily controlled by BC (Fig. 4c). The global mean net ERF/albedo from the total aerosols is slightly positive (0.08 W m⁻²) due to the large contribution from BC (0.07 W m⁻²) (Figs. 1 and 6). The influence of BC on radiation over the Arctic is described in the next section.

Arctic ERFs
The Arctic region is strongly influenced by climate change. Figure 8 shows the LW and SW ERFs, and their net values averaged over the Arctic region (60°–90°N) at the TOA estimated by each experiment. The Arctic mean ERF values at the TOA and at the surface are summarized in Table 3. The large positive Arctic mean net ERFs at the TOA are estimated to be 1.47 W m⁻² for CO₂; 0.61 W m⁻² for BC; 0.35 W m⁻² for CH₄; 0.31 W m⁻² for the tropospheric ozone (piClim-O3); and 1.94 W m⁻² for the total anthropogenic agents (Fig. 8 and Table 3). In the Arctic, BC and CH₄ are responsible for the second and third largest positive net ERFs, respectively, after CO₂ (Table 3 and Fig. 8). Note that the SW ERF of BC at the TOA is positive in the Arctic mean but is negative in the global mean (Fig. 2). The Arctic mean SW ERFs of BC due to the ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects are estimated to be 0.28 W m⁻², −0.77 W m⁻², and 1.08 W m⁻², respectively, while those of the total aerosols are −0.07 W m⁻², −1.01 W m⁻², and 0.24 W m⁻², respectively, indicating the large contribution to the SW ERFs from the reduction in the surface albedo due to BC deposition on snow and sea ice over the Arctic. The large positive net ERFs at the TOA (e.g., CO₂, BC, CH₄, and the total anthropogenic agents) may be responsible for the increases in the surface air.
temperatures over the Arctic (Table 3). Note that the temperature changes are limited because the experiments in this study were conducted using the prescribed SST and sea ice conditions. An understanding of the Arctic climate response requires more quantitative estimations of the ERFs taking into account the latent and sensible heat fluxes and the energy transport from lower latitudes in the atmosphere.

Figure 9 shows the spatial distributions of the net ERFs at the TOA over the Arctic and surrounding regions. The total anthropogenic net ERFs at the TOA exhibit substantially positive values over the entire Arctic region (Fig. 9a). The net ERFs of the well-mixed greenhouse gases at the TOA are composed of 57% LW and 43% SW radiation over the Arctic (Fig. 8) and are generally spatially homogeneous both inside the Arctic and in the surrounding regions (Fig. 9b). The net ERFs of BC at the TOA are generally positive over the entire Arctic region, particularly around the edge of the springtime snow cover areas and the coastal region areas in Greenland (Fig. 9e) because BC deposition on snow over land and sea ice decreases the snow albedo, resulting in a decrease in the snow cover due to snow melting. Scattering aerosols, primarily sulfate aerosols, induce negative net ERFs both inside the Arctic and in the surrounding regions (Fig. 9f), and the spatial distributions of the ERFs generally depend on those of the sulfate in the atmosphere. These spatially dependent influences of greenhouse gases and aerosols (BC and sulfate) on the radiation are responsible for the positive net ERFs of the total anthropogenic agents over the entire Arctic region (Fig. 9a).

The results obtained in this study indicate the possible importance of greenhouse gases (e.g., CO₂ and CH₄) and BC aerosols on the Arctic climate. In particular, the interactions of BC aerosols with snow and ice could impact the radiative effects over the entire Arctic region. Decreases in the surface albedo due to BC deposition could influence the ERFs at the surface (Fig. 10); the net ERFs from BC at the surface are negative outside the Arctic region (Fig. 10e), which could be partially responsible for the sharp contrasts in the total anthropogenic net ERFs at the surface between the areas inside (positive ERFs) and outside (negative ERFs) the Arctic regions (Fig. 10a). In the Arctic, BC and CH₄ are responsible for the second and third largest positive net ERFs at the surface, respectively, after CO₂ (Table 3). Because the Arctic climate has a significantly higher sensitivity to BC emitted within the Arctic compared to BC emitted in the surrounding regions (Sand et al. 2013), the results obtained in this study suggest that increases in direct BC emissions in the Arctic in the near future (e.g., ship emissions from new shipping routes and flaring emissions from oil and gas activities) could accelerate surface warming.

### Table 3 Arctic (60°–90°N) annual mean effective radiative forcings and surface air temperatures of each experiment

| Experiment name | ERF at TOA | SAT | ERF at surface | SAT |
|-----------------|------------|-----|---------------|-----|
|                 | SW        | LW  | NET           | SW  | LW  | NET |     |
| piClim-ghg      | 0.89       | 1.19 | 2.08          | 0.18 | 1.07 | 1.26 | 0.41 |
| piClim-4xCO₂    | 2.51 (0.61)| 3.57 (0.86)| 6.09 (1.47) | −0.02 (−0.00) | 2.78 (0.67) | 2.76 (0.67) | 1.25 |
| piClim-CH4      | 0.02       | 0.33 | 0.35          | −0.13 | 0.29  | 0.16  | 0.09 |
| piClim-HC       | −0.36      | 0.42 | 0.06          | −0.05 | 0.21  | 0.16  | 0.02 |
| piClim-N2O      | −0.19      | 0.13 | −0.07         | −0.08 | 0.09  | 0.01  | −0.00 |
| piClim-VOC      | 0.00       | −0.06 | −0.05      | −0.14 | 0.11  | −0.03 | 0.04 |
| piClim-Nox      | 0.55       | −0.23 | 0.31        | −0.02 | −0.01 | −0.02 | −0.04 |
| piClim-O3       | 0.38       | −0.06 | 0.32         | −0.09 | 0.11  | 0.02  | 0.04 |
| piClim-NCTF     | −0.46      | 0.35 | −0.11        | −1.03 | 0.40  | −0.63 | −0.09 |
| piClim-aer      | −0.85      | 0.25 | −0.59        | −0.97 | 0.46  | −0.51 | 0.03 |
| piClim-BC       | 0.60       | 0.01 | 0.61         | 0.09  | 0.36  | 0.45  | 0.22 |
| piClim-OC       | −0.53      | 0.23 | −0.29        | −0.50 | 0.03  | −0.47 | −0.01 |
| piClim-SO2      | −1.19      | 0.34 | −0.85        | −0.97 | 0.34  | −0.63 | −0.10 |
| piClim-anthro   | −0.05      | 0.06 | 0.01         | 0.02  | −0.09 | −0.07 | −0.02 |

SAT values are the differences in the 30-year annual mean values between each experiment and the piClim-control experiment. ERF and SAT values are given in units of W m⁻² and K, respectively.

ERF: effective radiative forcing, TOA: top of the atmosphere, SAT: surface air temperature, SW: shortwave, LW: longwave, NET: SW + LW, NTCF: near-term climate forcers.

Values in parentheses are the ERFs of CO₂ at the present-day concentrations as estimated from the piClim-4xCO₂ experiment.
Comparison of ERFs from different methods and previous and other models

In this study, we use the method of Ghan (2013) to decompose the aerosol ERFs into the ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects for SW and LW radiation; however, this method requires outputs from additional aerosol-free radiation calls in the model and additional computational time, making it difficult to conduct long calculations. Here, we compare our results to those derived using the APRP method of Taylor et al. (2007). Although the APRP method is an approximate technique, it is available for most of the climate model outputs, which facilitates comparisons to evaluations of the radiative effects of aerosols estimated by other models.

A comparison of the global mean ERF estimates at the TOA for the total aerosols (piClim-aer experiment) due to the ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects in MRI-ESM2.0 using both methods is given in Table 4. Using the APRP method, the SW ERFari value is 0.17 W m\(^{-2}\) too negative and the SW ERFaci value is 0.23 W m\(^{-2}\) too positive, and they offset each other, and the total SW ERFari+aci value is similar (within a difference of 3%) to those obtained using the method of Ghan (2013). The total LW ERFari+aci values derived by both methods are similar (within a difference of 3%). The net ERFari+aci values are estimated to be \(-1.30\) W m\(^{-2}\) using the method of Ghan (2013) and \(-1.21\) W m\(^{-2}\) using the APRP method; this 7% difference is primarily due to the SW radiation. The biases in the SW ERFs using the APRP method are consistent with the results in Zelinka et al. (2014), who described possible reasons for the disagreements seen between the two methods in detail. The similar ERF estimates obtained using the two different methods lends credence to the validity of our decomposition results.

To quantify the impacts of the improvements to the aerosol and cloud processes in MRI-ESM2.0 on ERFs, we estimate the global mean ERFs and diagnose the ERFari, ERFaci, and ERFalbedo values using the APRP method in the sstClimAerosol experiment, which was...
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**Table 4** Global annual mean effective radiative forcings of aerosols estimated by different methods and other models

| Model name (Method) | SW ERF at TOA | LW ERF at TOA | NET ERF at TOA |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                      | ARI           | ACI           | ALB           | ARI + ACI | ARI           | ACI           | ALB           | ARI + ACI |
| scat                |               |               |               |           |               |               |               |           |
| abs                 |               |               |               |           |               |               |               |           |
| sum                 |               |               |               |           |               |               |               |           |
| scat + abs          |               |               |               |           |               |               |               |           |
| amnt                |               |               |               |           |               |               |               |           |
| sum                 | 0.00          | 1.49          | 1.49          | 0.05      | 2.79          | 1.49          | 0.05          | 2.79      |
| MIR-ESM2.0 (G13)    | --            | --            | --            | --        | --            | --            | --            | --        |
| MIR-ESM2.0 (APRP)   | 0.76          | 0.28          | 0.49          | 1.86      | 0.38          | 2.24          | --            | 2.73      |
| MIR-CGCM3 (APRP)    | 0.11          | 0.14          | 0.03          | 2.20      | 0.44          | 2.64          | 0.04          | 2.60      |
| 12 CMIP6 models (APRP) Smith et al. (2020) | 0.59 ± 0.12 | 0.26 ± 0.20 | 0.49 ± 0.49 | 0.01     | 0.14 ± 0.20 | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 0.08 ± 0.08 | 1.33 ± 0.50 |
| 4 CMIP6 models (G13) Thornhill et al. (2020) | 0.17 ± 0.12 | 0.26 ± 0.49 | 0.27 ± 0.49 | 0.06     | 0.16 ± 0.26 | 0.42 ± 0.42 | 0.18 ± 0.30 | 0.22      |

Values estimated by MIR-CGCM3 are the forcings for the year 2000 relative to the year 1850 with CMIP5 emissions and those estimated by MIR-ESM2.0, the 12 CMIP6 models (Smith et al. 2020), and the 4 CMIP6 models (Thornhill et al. 2020) are the forcings at the year 2014 relative to the year 1850 with CMIP6 emissions (the piClim-aer experiment). Values estimated by the CMIP6 models are the multi-model means and standard deviations. All estimates are given in units of W m⁻². The ACI of the LW ERF is estimated to be the change in the LW cloud radiative effect, and the ARI of the LW ERF is estimated to be the residual of the total ERF minus the ACI. ERF effective radiative forcing, TOA top of the atmosphere, SW shortwave, LW longwave, NET SW + LW, ARI aerosol-radiation interactions, ACI aerosol-cloud interactions, ALB surface albedo effects, scat scattering, abs absorption, amnt cloud amount, G13 the method of Ghan (2013), APRP the approximate partial radiative perturbation (APRP) method of Taylor et al. (2007).
performed using our previous model, MRI-CGCM3, in CMIP5. Note that the sstClimAerosol experiment did not include outputs from the aerosol-free radiation calls and that the ERFs in the experiment in CMIP5 were estimated for the year 2000 relative to the year 1850. The global mean ERF estimates for the total aerosols using the APRP method for MRI-ESM2.0 and MRI-CGCM3 are compared in Table 4. The SW ERFari value at the TOA is a slightly positive 0.03 W m\(^{-2}\) in MRI-CGCM3 compared to –0.49 W m\(^{-2}\) in MRI-ESM2.0 due to the inappropriate treatment of the aerosol-radiation parameterization in MRI-CGCM3, which leads to a very small scattering effect of –0.11 W m\(^{-2}\). In MRI-ESM2.0, this issue is corrected, and the scattering effect is –0.76 W m\(^{-2}\). The SW ERFaci value at the TOA is reduced by 0.40 W m\(^{-2}\), from –2.64 W m\(^{-2}\) in MRI-CGCM3 to –2.24 W m\(^{-2}\) in MRI-ESM2.0. On the other hand, the LW ERFaci value at the TOA is increased by 0.34 W m\(^{-2}\), from 1.14 W m\(^{-2}\) to 1.48 W m\(^{-2}\), as a result of the enhanced greenhouse effect of the tropical high-level clouds in MRI-ESM2.0 (Fig. 3b, not shown for MRI-CGCM3). As a result, the net ERFaci+aci value at the TOA is 17% lower, from –1.46 W m\(^{-2}\) to –1.21 W m\(^{-2}\) in MRI-CGCM3 to –1.08 W m\(^{-2}\) in MRI-ESM2.0. These results indicate that improvements to the aerosol, radiation, and cloud processes in MRI-ESM2.0 are responsible for the increase in the ARI effects (shifts to more positive) and decreases in the ACI effects (shifts to more positive).

The global mean ERF estimates at the TOA for the total aerosols due to the ARI, ACI, and surface albedo effects in MRI-ESM2.0 using the APRP method and the method of Ghan (2013) are compared to other CMIP6 model results using the respective methods reported in the literature (Table 4), i.e., 12 CMIP6 models using the APRP method (Smith et al. 2020) and 4 CMIP6 models using the method of Ghan (2013) (Thornhill et al. 2020). In comparison to the multi-model means of the 12 CMIP6 models using the APRP method, the SW ERFari value in MRI-ESM2.0 is 0.16 W m\(^{-2}\) too negative due to the greater scattering effects in MRI-ESM2.0 and the similar degree of absorption effects (Table 4), which leads to greater negative net ERFari value in MRI-ESM2.0 (–0.45 W m\(^{-2}\)). The SW ERFaci and LW ERFaci values in MRI-ESM2.0 are more negative (–2.24 W m\(^{-2}\)) and positive (1.48 W m\(^{-2}\)), respectively, compared to the 12 CMIP6 model means (–0.99 W m\(^{-2}\) and 0.16 W m\(^{-2}\), respectively) because the aerosol effects on the high-level ice clouds in the tropics contribute to the large ERFaci values in MRI-ESM2.0 and only a few of the 12 CMIP6 models treated the aerosol effects on the ice clouds (Smith et al. 2020). However, the net ERFaci value in MRI-ESM2.0 (–0.76 W m\(^{-2}\)) is close to the 12 CMIP6 model mean value (–0.84 W m\(^{-2}\)) because the large negative SW ERFaci and positive LW ERFaci mostly cancel each other out. In total, the net ERFari+aci value in MRI-ESM2.0 (–1.21 W m\(^{-2}\)) using the APRP method is approximately 15% more negative than the 12 CMIP6 model mean (–1.05 W m\(^{-2}\)), and this difference is within the range of the standard deviation of the 12 CMIP6 models (Table 4). In addition, we compare the ERF values in MRI-ESM2.0 to the four CMIP6 model results using the method of Ghan (2013), although the number of models conducting the aerosol-free radiation calls was limited. The net ERFari value in MRI-ESM2.0 is 0.15 W m\(^{-2}\), too negative; however, the net ERFaci value (–0.98 W m\(^{-2}\)) and the net ERF value due to the surface albedo changes (0.08 W m\(^{-2}\)) are similar to the four CMIP6 model mean values (–0.96 W m\(^{-2}\) and 0.05 W m\(^{-2}\), respectively, and both are within a difference of 0.03 W m\(^{-2}\)). In total, the net ERFari+aci value in MRI-ESM2.0 (–1.30 W m\(^{-2}\)) using the method of Ghan (2013) is approximately 15% more negative than the four CMIP6 model mean (–1.12 W m\(^{-2}\)).

We also compare the global mean net ERFs at the TOA induced by the well-mixed greenhouse gases, aerosols, land-use change, and total anthropogenic components in MRI-ESM2.0 to the 12 CMIP6 model results (Smith et al. 2020); these ERF values are 3.02 W m\(^{-2}\), –1.22 W m\(^{-2}\), –0.19 W m\(^{-2}\), and 1.96 W m\(^{-2}\), respectively, in MRI-ESM2.0 (Table 1 and Fig. 1) and 2.87 ± 0.18 W m\(^{-2}\), –1.04 ± 0.23 W m\(^{-2}\), –0.08 ± 0.14 W m\(^{-2}\), and 1.97 ± 0.26 W m\(^{-2}\), respectively, in the 12 CMIP6 multi-model means. The differences between these values are within the ranges of the standard deviations in the 12 CMIP6 models; therefore, the overall net ERFs in MRI-ESM2.0 are consistent with the CMIP6 multi-model means.

**Conclusions**

We use MRI-ESM2.0 to estimate the ERFs from the anthropogenic forcing agents for present-day (year 2014) conditions relative to preindustrial (year 1850) conditions based on a suite of 30-year time-slice experiments with the prescribed preindustrial climatology of the SSTs and sea ice within the framework of RFMIP and AerChemMIP in CMIP6. The global mean total anthropogenic net ERF estimate at the TOA is 1.96 W m\(^{-2}\) and is composed of positive ERF from the well-mixed greenhouse gases (3.02 W m\(^{-2}\)), negative ERF from the NTCFs (–1.08 W m\(^{-2}\)), and slightly negative ERF from land-use changes (–0.19 W m\(^{-2}\)). The ERF of the well-mixed greenhouse gases (3.02 W m\(^{-2}\)) consists of forcings from CO\(_2\) (1.85 W m\(^{-2}\)), CH\(_4\) (0.71 W m\(^{-2}\)), halocarbons (0.30 W m\(^{-2}\)), and N\(_2\)O (0.16 W m\(^{-2}\)). The ERF value due to the NTCFs (–1.08 W m\(^{-2}\)) consists of slightly positive ERFs from the tropospheric ozone (0.07 W m\(^{-2}\)) and large negative ERFs from the total aerosols (–1.22 W m\(^{-2}\)), which consists of positive forcing from BC (0.24
We quantify the contributions to the ERFs at the TOA from the aerosol-radiation interactions, aerosol-cloud interactions, and changes in the surface albedo due to aerosols using the method of Ghan (2013). The global mean total aerosol net ERF at the TOA ($-1.22 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) consists of a 23% contribution from ERFaci ($-0.32 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), a 71% contribution from ERFaci ($-0.98 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), and a small contribution from ERFalbedo ($0.08 \text{ W m}^{-2}$). The total aerosol net ERFari ($-0.32 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) is dominated by SW radiation and consists of opposing contributions from light-absorbing BC aerosols ($0.25 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), light-scattering sulfate ($-0.48 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), and organic aerosols ($-0.07 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), which are pronounced over the emission source regions. The total aerosol net ERFaci at the TOA ($-0.98 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) consists of ERFaci contributions due to BC ($-0.09 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), OM ($-0.21 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), and sulfate ($-0.94 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) and is pronounced over the source and downwind regions, primarily due to the greater CCN activity of sulfate aerosols and the resulting large increases in the cloud droplet number concentrations in the low-level liquid clouds. The global mean total aerosol ERFalbedo at the TOA ($0.08 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) is dominated by the BC contribution ($0.07 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), which is caused by the decrease in the surface albedo due to BC deposition on snow and sea ice.

MRI-ESM2.0 shows the large influence of aerosols on high-level ice clouds and LW radiation. In particular, increases in the number concentration of ice crystals in high-level clouds (temperatures $<-38 ^\circ\text{C}$) primarily due to anthropogenic BC aerosols by serving as INPs, particularly over the tropical convective regions, induces both substantial positive LW ERFaci and negative SW ERFaci in MRI-ESM2.0 (e.g., $1.54 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ and $-1.63 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, respectively, due to BC). In terms of the radiation budget at the TOA, these distinct SW ERFaci and LW ERFaci can mostly cancel each other out, resulting in a smaller net ERFaci value (e.g., $-0.09 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ due to BC). However, high-level ice clouds over the tropical convective regions can cause significant LW radiative heating of the atmosphere, leading to modifications in the large-scale atmospheric circulation and the hydrological cycle. This suggests the importance of anthropogenic INP-induced high-level ice cloud modifications on LW radiative heating. Note that the SW ERFaci and LW ERFaci estimated by MRI-ESM2.0 might include quantitative uncertainties because there remain large uncertainties in the parameterizations of the aerosol effects on ice clouds and the aerosol concentrations in the upper troposphere in the model. Nevertheless, our results suggest the potential importance of the interactions of aerosols with ice clouds at high altitudes over the tropics where high-level clouds are frequently present and that further studies on these interactions are required.

In the Arctic, BC and CH$_4$ can provide the second and third largest contributions, respectively, to the positive ERFs after CO$_2$ both at the TOA and at the surface. The reduction in the surface albedo due to BC deposition on snow over land and sea ice, which leads to a decrease in the snow cover due to snow melting, plays a major role in the Arctic mean SW ERFs of BC. The total anthropogenic net ERFs at the TOA exhibit substantially positive values over the entire Arctic region. The combination of the spatially homogeneous distributions of the net ERFs of the well-mixed greenhouse gases and the spatially inhomogeneous distributions of the net ERFs of the aerosols, primarily BC and sulfate, is responsible for the distributions of the total anthropogenic net ERFs over the Arctic. The greenhouse gases (e.g., CO$_2$ and CH$_4$) and BC aerosols likely have large impacts on the radiative effects and surface warming over the entire Arctic region.

The global mean ERF estimates at the TOA for the total aerosols in MRI-ESM2.0 are compared to other CMIP6 model results using the APRP method and the method of Ghan (2013). The comparisons indicate that MRI-ESM2.0 has particularly large positive LW ERFaci and negative SW ERFaci values due to the aerosol effects on high-level ice clouds over the tropical convective regions; however, these LW and SW ERFaci values mostly cancel each other out in the net ERFaci. Accordingly, the overall net ERFs in MRI-ESM2.0 are consistent with the CMIP6 multi-model means.
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Additional file 1. Supplementary Figure 1. Horizontal distributions of the differences in the 30-year annual mean aerosol optical depths (AODs) between the (a) piClim-aer, (b) piClim-BC, (c) piClim-OC, and (d) piClim-SO2 experiments and the piClim-control experiment. Note that the color scales are different in each panel. Supplementary Figure 2. Horizontal distributions of the 30-year annual mean (a-c) mid-level (440–680 hPa) cloud cover (%), (d-f) low-level (below 680-hPa level) cloud cover (%), (g-i) liquid water path (g m$^{-2}$), and (j-l) column-integrated number concentration of cloud droplets ($10^3$ m$^{-2}$) in the piClim-control experiment (left), the piClim-aer experiment (middle), and their differences (right). Note that the color scales are different in each panel. Supplementary Figure 3. Horizontal distributions of the 30-year annual mean (a-c) high-level (above 440-hPa level) cloud cover (%), (d-f) ice water path (g m$^{-2}$), and (g-i) column-integrated number concentration of ice crystals ($10^3$ m$^{-2}$) in the piClim-control experiment (left), the piClim-aer experiment (middle), and their differences (right). Note that the color scales are different in each panel. Supplementary Figure 4. Vertical distributions of the 30-year annual zonal mean (a-c) cloud fraction (%), (d-f) in-cloud ice water content (mg kg$^{-1}$), and (g-i) in-cloud number concentration of ice crystals (L$^{-1}$) in the piClim-control experiment (left), the piClim-aer experiment (middle), and their differences (right). The solid black lines (left and middle panels) denote temperatures of 0°C and −38°C. The solid black lines (right panels) denote the values shown by the color coding in the
piClim-control experiment (left panels). Note that the color scales are different in each panel. Supplementary Figure 5. Horizontal distributions of the differences in the 30-year annual mean number concentrations of the aerosols averaged within the range of 150–420 hPa between the (a) piClim-aer, (b) piClim-BC, (c) piClim-OC, and (d) piClim-SO2 experiments and the piClim-control experiment (cm$^{-3}$). The thick black lines denote 30-year annual mean high-level cloud cover of 70% for the corresponding experiments. Note that the color scales are different in each panel. Supplementary Figure 6. Same as Supplementary Figure 5a but for boreal (a) winter, (b) spring, (c) summer, and (d) fall (cm$^{-3}$). Supplementary Figure 7. Same as Figure 7b but for boreal (a) winter, (b) spring, (c) summer, and (d) fall (10$^7$ m$^{-3}$). Supplementary Figure 8. Vertical distributions of the differences in the 30-year annual global mean (a) temperature ($\theta$) and (b) upward mass flux of cumulus convection ($g$ s$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$) between the piClim-aer (black), piClim-BC (red), piClim-OC (green), and piClim-SO2 (blue) experiments and the piClim-control experiment.
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