The novel component Kgd4 recruits the E3 subunit to the mitochondrial \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase

Manfred Heublein\(^a\), Miguel A. Burguillos\(^b\), F. Nora Vögtle\(^c\), Pedro F. Teixeira\(^a\), Axel Imhof\(^d\), Chris Meisinger\(^e\), and Martin Ott\(^a\)

\(^a\)Center for Biomembrane Research, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden; \(^b\)Cancer Centrum Karolinska, Karolinska Institutet, SE-171 76 Stockholm, Sweden; \(^c\)Institute for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and BIOSS (Centre for Biological Signaling Studies), University of Freiburg, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany; \(^d\)Zentrallabor für Proteinanalytik, Adolf-Butenandt Institut, LMU München, D-80336 München, Germany

ABSTRACT The mitochondrial citric acid cycle is a central hub of cellular metabolism, providing intermediates for biosynthetic pathways and channeling electrons to the respiratory chain complexes. In this study, we elucidated the composition and organization of the multienzyme complex \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (\( \alpha \)-KGDH). In addition to the three classical E1-E3 subunits, we identified a novel component, Kgd4 (Ymr31/MRPS36), which was previously assigned to be a subunit of the mitochondrial ribosome. Biochemical analyses demonstrate that this protein plays an evolutionarily conserved role in the organization of mitochondrial \( \alpha \)-KGDH complexes of fungi and animals. By binding to both the E1-E2 core and the E3 subunit, Kgd4 acts as a molecular adaptor that is necessary to form a stable \( \alpha \)-KGDH enzyme complex. Our work thus reveals a novel subunit of a key citric acid–cycle enzyme and shows how this large complex is organized.

INTRODUCTION The mitochondrial citric acid cycle is a central hub for cellular metabolism. It channels electrons from reduced substrates to the membrane-bound respiratory chain complexes for efficient energy conversion. In addition, intermediates of the citric acid cycle serve as precursors for synthesis of amino acids, nucleotides, lipids, and redox cofactors. A central enzyme of the citric acid cycle is \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (\( \alpha \)-KGDH), which catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate to succinyl-CoA and the concomitant formation of NADH and CO\(_2\). Succinyl-CoA is then converted to succinate by succinyl-CoA synthetase or used as a substrate in heme biosynthesis. In addition to this basic role in energy metabolism, KGDH is a major site of reactive oxygen species generation and plays an important role in metabolic reprogramming in cancers and during neurodegenerative diseases (Gibson et al., 2005; Calingasan et al., 2008; McLain et al., 2011; Trofimova et al., 2012; Soga, 2013; Quinlan et al., 2014).

The catalytic activities of KGDH are found in three polypeptides, in which E1 represents the \( \alpha \)-KGDH, E2 is the dihydrolipoyl succinyltransferase, and E3 has dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase activity (Reed and Hackert, 1990). The genes encoding the subunits of mitochondrial KGDH were identified first in yeast and were named \( \text{KGD1} \) (E1), \( \text{KGD2} \) (E2), and \( \text{LPD1} \) (E3) (Roy and Dawes, 1987; Repetto and Tzagoloff, 1989, 1990). In an attempt to identify the composition and organization of the mitochondrial KGDH, we discovered a novel subunit we termed Kgd4. This subunit is part of a conserved family of proteins that were previously annotated to be components of the small subunit of the mitochondrial ribosome. Our data establish that these proteins instead play an important role in the organization of mitochondrial KGDH, because they are necessary for recruiting the E3 subunit to the E1-E2 core of the enzyme complex.
We next set out to determine the impact of Kgd4 deletion on KGDH activity and prepared lysates from mitochondria containing or lacking Kgd4. Robust KGDH activity was observed in lysates from wild-type cells, while KGDH activity was dramatically reduced in the absence of Kgd4 (Figure 2A). In contrast, malate dehydrogenase (MDH) activity was similar in both mitochondrial lysates (Figure 2B). A possible explanation for this strong KGDH activity defect in the absence of Kgd4 could be that a catalytic subunit is destabilized. To analyze which impact the deletion of an individual KGDH subunit has on the accumulation of the other subunits, we analyzed proteins from mitochondria isolated from cells lacking Kgd1, Kgd2, Kgd4, or Lpd1. Only Kgd1 levels were slightly decreased in the absence of Kgd2, while the steady-state levels of Lpd1 and Kgd2 were not affected by the absence of one of the other subunits (Figure 2C). Similarly, the mitochondrial ribosome (Mrpl4) or the mitochondrially encoded Cox2 protein accumulated normally in the absence of Kgd4, substantiating the notion that Kgd4 is not a ribosomal protein. We also tested for attachment of the essential cofactor lipoic acid to Kgd2 by Western blotting, but did not observe a decrease in lipoylation in the absence of Kgd4 (Figure 2D). In summary, the catalytic subunits of KGDH are not destabilized or nonfunctional in the absence of Kgd4.

**Kgd4 is necessary for a stable incorporation of the E3 subunit into the E1-E2 core of yeast α-KGDH**

What could be the molecular function of Kgd4? Because the catalytic subunits are stable in the absence of Kgd4 (Figure 2C), we set out to analyze the composition of KGDH in Δkgd4 cells on linear sucrose gradients. In lysates from wild-type mitochondria, Kgd1, Kgd2, Lpd1, and Kgd4 comigrated (Figure 3A). Because Kgd4 comigrated quantitatively with the complex, these data support the notion that Kdg4 is a subunit of the KGDH. Lpd1 showed two main peaks, one comigrating with KGDH (gray arrow) and one in the top fractions (white arrow), confirming that a substantial amount of Lpd1 exists in a free form in the matrix (Repetto and Tzagoloff, 1991). When analyzing mitochondrial lysates from the Δkgd4 strain, we observed that Kgd2 migrated exclusively with Lpd1 (gray arrow), that a small pool of Kgd1 was additionally present in the top fractions, and that Lpd1 did not comigrate with Kgd2 but was exclusively recovered in the top fractions of the gradient (Figure 3B, white arrow). This observation indicates that a stable interaction of Lpd1 with Kgd1 and Kgd2 depends on Kgd4.

We next set out to confirm this conclusion by two independent approaches and tested first for copurification of KGDH subunits when the enzyme was purified using His7-tagged subunits. We
engineered yeast strains expressing a His7-tagged Lpd1 with or without Kgd4. Mitochondria of these strains were isolated, and His7-tagged Lpd1 was purified. In the presence of Kgd4, Kgd2 and Kgd1 were recovered together with Lpd1, while in the absence of Kgd4, only Lpd1 could be purified (Figure 3C), showing that the presence of Kgd4 is required to purify intact KGDH. Next we tested for copurification of KGDH subunits by using the His7-tagged Kgd1 strain with or without Kgd4. Kgd2, Lpd1, and Kgd4 were copurified together with Kgd1, as seen before (Figure 1B). Importantly, a stable complex of Kgd1 and Kgd2 that did not contain Lpd1 could be purified in the absence of Kgd4 (Figure 3D).

We next asked whether Kgd4 and Lpd1 would interact in the absence of Kgd1. We therefore purified complexes containing Kgd4 from mitochondria lacking Kgd1 and found that Lpd1 but not Kgd2 could be purified (Figure 3E). Taken together, these purification experiments confirmed that Lpd1 cannot stably incorporate into KGDH in the absence of Kgd4 and showed that Kgd4 directly binds to Lpd1.

**Kgd4 is required for efficient interaction of E3 with KGDH in intact organelles**

Because the above-presented data were obtained from experiments using mitochondrial lysates, we used chemical cross-linking followed by purification under denaturing conditions (Figure 4A) to detect the interaction of Lpd1 with KGDH in intact organelles. We exposed isolated, intact mitochondria containing His7-tagged Lpd1 with or without Kgd4 to the membrane-permeable chemical cross-linker bismaleimidoethane. After the cross-linker was quenched, mitochondrial lysates were reisolated and boiled in SDS, and Lpd1 and its cross-linking products were purified and analyzed by Western blotting. These analyses revealed that a cross-linking product between Lpd1 and Kgd2 was formed in wild-type mitochondria, indicating a close contact of Lpd1 and Kgd2 (Figure 4B, gray arrows). Importantly, the efficiency with which this product appeared was dramatically decreased in the absence of Kgd4 (Figure 4B).
Kgd4, demonstrating that Ldp1 and Kgd2 are not in similar proximity as in wild-type mitochondria (Figure 4B, white arrows). As a control, we tested for cross-linking between Kgd1 and Kgd2 in the presence or absence of Kgd4. The cross-linking product between the two proteins was similarly prominent in the presence or absence of Kgd4 (Figure 4C), confirming our fractionation experiments, which showed that both proteins interact stably with each other in the absence of Kgd4 (Figure 3B). Taken together, these data therefore confirm that Kgd4 is specifically necessary for stably recruiting the E3 subunit Lpd1 to the Kgd1-Kgd2 subcomplex.

Organization of α-KGDH

We next asked what interactions the KGDH subunits have with each other. To unravel these interactions, we analyzed complexes of KGDH subunits in mutants in which individual subunits were absent.

We lysed mitochondria from Δkgd1, Δkgd2, or Δlpd1 cells and followed migration of the other subunits on linear sucrose gradients. In the absence of Kgd1, Kgd2 still migrated as a large complex, while Lpd1 or Kgd4 were recovered in the top fractions of these gradients (Figure 5A). These data confirm that Kgd2 forms a large, oligomeric complex (Reed and Hackert, 1990) and show that Lpd1 and Kgd4 do not stably interact with Kgd2 in the absence of Kgd1. In addition, these data also confirm that Kgd4 is not part of the mitochondrial ribosome, because Kgd4 did not migrate into the middle of the gradient in the absence of Kgd1 or Kgd2 (Figure 5A), while migration of the ribosome, as evidenced by proteins of the small (Mrps5) or large (Mrpl4) subunit, was unchanged.

In contrast, Kgd1, Kgd4, or Lpd1 did not migrate as a similarly large complex in the absence of Kgd2 (Figure 5B), confirming that Kgd2 forms the core of KGDH around which the other subunits

FIGURE 5: Organization of α-KGDH in mitochondria. (A) Mitochondria lacking Kgd1 were analyzed as in Figure 3A. The black arrow indicates the signal of Lpd1. (B) Mitochondria lacking Kgd2 were analyzed as in Figure 3A. (C) Mitochondria lacking Lpd1 were lysed and analyzed as in Figure 3A. T, total of the lysate. The asterisk indicates the signal of the previous Western blotting with Mrpl4 antibodies.
Kgd4 function is evolutionarily conserved

Phylogenetic analyses revealed that homologues of Kgd4 are present in fungi and animals (Figure 6A). To functionally test the significance of a mammalian Kgd4 homologue for the organization of α-KGDH, we depleted KGD4 in a murine cell line using small interfering RNA (siRNA; Figure 6C). This knockdown was efficient and had no effect on the steady-state levels of the other KGDH subunits or the mitochondrially encoded COX2 (Figure 6, C and D). Cells were lysed and subjected to sucrose gradient centrifugation. E1, E2, E3, and KGD4 comigrated in lysates from control cells (Figure 6E, gray arrow). This also confirmed that KGD4 is part of the fully assembled complex and is necessary to stably incorporate Lpd1 into the complex.

**Figure 6:** Kgd4 function is evolutionarily conserved. (A) Alignment of amino acid sequences of Kgd4 homologues. Sequences used for comparison were extracted from the National Center for Biotechnology Information database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein): *S. cerevisiae* (Sc-gi45270840); *Neurospora crassa* (Nc-gi85092531), *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (Sp-gi29240132), *Podospora anserina* (Pd-gi71678223), *Homo sapiens* (Hs-gi15150811), *Rattus norvegicus* (Rn-gi78369264), and *Mus musculus* (Mm-gi78369264). (B) Phylogenetic tree of Kgd4 homologues from fungi and animals. Sequences used for comparison were extracted as in Figure 4A. (C) siRNA-mediated knockdown of KGD4 in murine glial cells. (D) Quantification of the knockdown efficiency. (E) Cells transfected with control RNA were lysed and subjected to density gradient centrifugation. The fractions were analyzed by Western blotting. (F) Cells in which KGD4 was depleted for 48 h were processed and analyzed as in D.

**assemble (Reed and Hackert, 1990).** In the absence of Lpd1, Kgd1 was partly shifted to the top fractions of these gradients, suggesting that the presence of Lpd1 partly stabilizes the interaction of Kgd1 with Kgd2 (Figures 5C and 3B). Importantly, Kgd4 comigrated with Kgd1 and Kgd2 in the absence of Lpd1 (Figure 5C). Because Kgd4 did not comigrate with Kgd2 without Kgd1 (Figure 5A), these data show that Kgd4 interacts with the Kgd1-Kgd2 complex and not with Kgd2. In summary, these data show that Kgd4 quantitatively associates with the core of KGKH formed by Kgd1 and Kgd2. Kgd4 is also present in the fully assembled complex and is necessary to stably incorporate Lpd1 into the complex.

**Kgd4 function is evolutionarily conserved**

Phylogenetic analyses revealed that homologues of Kgd4 are present in fungi and animals (Figure 6, A and B). To functionally test the significance of a mammalian Kgd4 homologue for the organization of α-KGDH, we depleted KGD4 in a murine cell line using small interfering RNA (siRNA; Figure 6C). This knockdown was efficient and had no effect on the steady-state levels of the other KGDH subunits or the mitochondrially encoded COX2 (Figure 6, C and D). Cells were lysed and subjected to sucrose gradient centrifugation. E1, E2, E3, and KGD4 comigrated in lysates from control cells (Figure 6E, gray arrow). This also confirmed that KGD4 is part of the murine
Kgd4 contains two separable domains to contact Lpd1 and the Kgd1-Kgd2 core

Having established that Kgd4 interacts with both the Kgd1-Kgd2 core and Lpd1 (Figures 3E and 5, A and C), we asked whether Kgd4 would contain N- or C-terminally located modules to establish interactions with the Kgd1-Kgd2 core or Lpd1, respectively. To identify such regions, we compared theSaccharomyces cerevisiaeKgd4 amino acid sequence with homologues from other species (Figure 6A). Typically, motifs engaged in protein-protein interactions exhibit a particularly high degree of conservation. Indeed, the N- and C-terminal parts of the sequences show regions of substantial conservation (Figure 6A). We therefore constructed two variants of Kgd4 that would allow the identification of specific interactions of the N- or of the C-terminus: Kgd4ΔN contains the N-terminal domain, encompassing amino acids 1–68, and Kgd4ΔC comprises the C-terminal amino acids 69–123 (Figure 7A). To allow detection and purification of these chimeric proteins, we added protein A-His7 tags (Figure 7A). In addition, a cleavable mitochondrial targeting signal (MTS) from theOxa1protein was included to allow import of the Kgd4ΔN construct (Figure 7A). These constructs were expressed in cells lacking wild-type Kgd4, mitochondria were isolated and lysed, and protein complexes were purified using the His7-tag. First, we tested which subunits of KGDH could be copurified with the N-terminal domain of Kgd4. These experiments revealed that Lpd1 but not Kgd1 or Kgd2 could be copurified with Kgd4ΔN (Figure 7B). This indicated that Kgd4 contacts Lpd1 via an N-terminal domain and suggests that the C-terminus is implicated in binding to the Kgd1-Kgd2 core.

FIGURE 7: Kgd4 contains two separable domains to contact Lpd1 and the Kgd1-Kgd2 core.

A, Schemes of the proteins containing either the N- or the C-terminal domain of Kgd4. MPP, cleavage site of the mitochondrial processing peptidase (MPP). B, Mitochondria containing Kgd4ΔN were lysed, and complexes containing Kgd4ΔC were purified on Ni-NTA beads. The fractions of the purifications were analyzed by Western blotting. C, Mitochondria from wild type and Kgd4ΔN were analyzed as in 2A. T, Total of the lysate; NB, not bound fraction; E, elution fraction; * signal of Lpd1 from previous decoration.

To test this, we purified complexes of Kgd4ΔN and found that Kgd1 and Kgd2 but not Lpd1 could be copurified with this protein (Figure 7C). This demonstrates that the C-terminal domain of Kgd4 directly interacts with the assembled Kgd1-Kgd2 core because a direct interaction of Kgd4 with Kgd2 can be ruled out according to previous experiments (Figure 5A). Taken together, these data establish that Kgd4 contains two functionally separable domains. While the C-terminal domain is required to establish interactions with the Kgd1-Kgd2 core, the N-terminal domain binds to the E3 subunit Lpd1 (Figure 8A). This architecture likely enables Kgd4 to act as a molecular adapter between the E3 subunit and the core of KGDH.

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have identified a novel subunit of the mitochondrial KGDH. The data presented here suggest a model for the general organization of the mitochondrial KGDH complex (Figure 8A). According to this model, the E2 subunits assemble into a homo-oligomeric core that forms independently of the E1 or E3 subunits, as shown earlier (Reed and Hackert, 1990). The E1 subunit is attracted to this E2 particle to form the E1-E2 core of the enzyme. The newly identified subunit Kgd4 then binds to this E1-E2 core, most likely to the E1 subunit, to recruit the E3 subunit into the complex. In absence of Kgd4, the contact of E3 to the core is dramatically reduced (Figure 8B).

A number of independent lines of evidence support the notion that Kgd4 is a structural subunit of the KGDH complex and not a subunit of the mitochondrial ribosome. First, Kgd4 specifically copurified with the catalytic KGDH subunits but not with ribosomal proteins when C-terminally tagged Kgd4, Kgd1, or Lpd1 was used for affinity purifications. The detailed data presented in this article are in accordance with data obtained by large-scale proteomic approaches aimed at identifying protein complexes in yeast. These approaches revealed that KGDH subunits specifically, not mitochondrial ribosomal proteins, were
copurified with Kgd4 and that the protein was copurified together with Kgd1 and Lpd1 but not with the mitochondrial ribosome (Gavin et al., 2002, 2006; Krogan et al., 2006). Accordingly, general analyses of gene expression in yeast have shown that Kgd4 is coregulated with genes involved in mitochondrial energy metabolism and the citric acid cycle but not with genes encoding subunits of the mitochondrial ribosome (Hibbs et al., 2007). Moreover, we also did not observe a defect of the mitochondrial ribosome caused by the absence of Kgd4. In fact, absence of a protein subunit of the mitochondrial ribosome is typically accompanied by loss of organellar protein synthesis and a subsequent loss of the mitochondrial genome (Myers et al., 1985), and yeast mutant lacking Kgd4 or murine cells with reduced KGD4 accumulate normal amounts of the mitochondrial encoded cytochrome oxidase subunit Cox2.

The second line of evidence supporting Kgd4 as a structural subunit of KGDH is that genetic ablation of Kgd4 by deletion of KGD4 in yeast or by siRNA-mediated knockdown of KGD4 in murine cells disrupted the KGDH complex, leading to the dissociation of the complex into an E1-E2 core and free E3. Importantly, Kgd4 quantitatively comigrated with the KGDH complex and not with smaller particles. If Kgd4 were an assembly factor that acts during the biogenesis of the complex, it should not be present in the fully assembled complex, a behavior seen for the assembly factors/chaperones that mediate respiratory chain complex assembly (Mick et al., 2011; Fox, 2012). The notion that Kgd4 is a structural component is further supported by our data showing that the protein contains two biochemically separable domains in its N- or C-terminus that establish contacts to Lpd1 or the Kgd1-Kgd2 core, respectively. Clearly, high-resolution structural data will allow conclusive determination in the future of exactly how Kgd4 is organized in the KGDH complex. It will be exciting for future research to identify the nature and role of these two forms.

In its function as an adaptor between the E3 subunit and the rest of the complex, Kgd4 functions analogous to protein Pdx1 of the pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) complex, which is necessary to stably bind the E3 subunit into PDH (Behal et al., 1989). PDH and KGDH share a similar architecture, with the difference that PDH is substantially larger (Milne et al., 2006). Interestingly, the E3 subunit in both complexes is the identical gene product. It is therefore likely that the specific adaptors Pdx1 and Kgd4 evolved to direct the E3 subunit to their respective complexes. Branched-chain amino acid dehydrogenase that is not present in yeast is the third member of the E1-E3 family of enzyme complexes that also contains the same E3 subunit as PDH or KGDH. It is tempting to speculate that this complex also contains a dedicated E3 adaptor that still awaits identification.

Mitochondrial dysfunction plays a central role in the development of human diseases as observed in neurodegeneration, cancer, and the aging process. A detailed understanding of the functions of key mitochondrial enzymes and their composition is therefore required to diagnose patients and develop strategies for treatment. The reannotation of Kgd4 from being a subunit of the mitochondrial ribosome to being a novel subunit of KGDH is therefore also an important aspect for the interpretation of high-throughput data. Interestingly, the murine KGD4 gene (formerly annotated as MRPS36) was identified as a candidate gene aimed at identifying metabolic and longevity regulators using mouse population genetics (Houtkool et al., 2013). A detailed study of the mammalian KGD4 protein and its impact on mitochondrial KGDH could reveal how the protein and KGDH affect mitochondrial biology and aging. This would be an exciting area for future research.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains and growth media**

All strains used in this study were isogenic to the wild-type strain YPH499 (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989). Yeast cultures were grown at 30°C in YM (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone) medium supplemented with 2% dextrose (D), 2% galactose (Gal), or 2% glycerol (G). His7- or protein A-tagged variants of Kgd1, Kgd4, and Lpd1 were generated by directed homologous recombination as previously described (Grunschke et al., 2012). KGD1, KGD2, and KGD4 were disrupted using a kanamycin resistance cassette (KanMX); Lpd1 was disrupted using an ura3-52 cassette. Protein A–His7–Kgd4AN was expressed from pYX132 in Δkgd4 strain. Upstream of the protein A–His7–KGD4Δ1-68, the MTS from Oxa1 (amino acids 1–48) was inserted to ensure mitochondrial import of the construct.

**Native purification of Kgd1-His7, Kgd4-His7, Lpd1-His7, Kgd4Δ69-123, and Kgd4Δ1-68**

Mitochondria from the wild-type or mutant strains expressing His7-tagged proteins were lysed for 30 min with lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 1× Complete Protease Inhibitor Mix [Roche Diagnostics, Bromma, Sweden], 20% glycerol, and 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.4, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). After a clarifying spin for 10 min at 25,000 × g, 4°C, tagged proteins were purified at 4°C on Ni-NTA beads (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The beads were washed three times with lysis buffer containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and eluted with either 500 mM imidazole pH 7.4 or 2 mM EDTA.

**KGDH activity assay**

Isolated mitochondria were lysed in buffer containing 1% Triton X-100 and 50 mM KPi (pH 7.4) for 10 min at 4°C. After a clarifying spin for 10 min at 25,000 × g and 4°C, the lysate was mixed with 400 μl assay buffer (2.5 mM NAD, 0.2 mM thiamine pyrophosphate, 0.1 mM CoA, 0.3 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM MgCl2, and 50 mM KPi, pH 7.4). The reaction was started by addition of 5 mM α-ketoglutarate. KGDH activity was followed by measuring the NADH production at 340 nm.

**MDH activity assay**

Isolated mitochondria were adjusted to a concentration of 1 mg/ml in isotonic buffer (0.6 M sorbitol, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). For starting the reaction, 200 μl of this solution was added to 1 ml assay buffer (2.5 mM NAD, 37 mM α-malate, 50 mM glycine/NaOH, pH 10.0). MDH activity was followed by measuring the NADH production at 340 nm.

**Fractionation of mitochondrial protein complexes on linear sucrose gradients**

Isolated mitochondria were lysed for 15 min at 4°C in lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 150 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 1 mM PMSF, and 1× Complete Protease Inhibitor Mix [Roche]). After a clarifying spin for 10 min at 25,000 × g, 4°C, the extract was layered on top of a continuous 4 ml sucrose gradient (0.3–1 M sucrose, 0.1% Triton X-100, 25 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 1 mM PMSF) and centrifuged for 1 h and 15 min at 484,183 × g and 4°C in an SW60 Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). Fractions were collected, and proteins were precipitated with 12% trichloroacetic acid.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

For visualization purposes, we constructed a phylogenetic tree of Kgd4 homologues. A multiple sequence alignment was generated using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and the regions of poor alignment were removed using Gblocks (Talavera and Castresana, 2007;
maximum nonconserved positions = 8; minimum block length = 5). The tree was constructed using PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010; Jones–Taylor–Thornton matrix) with 100 bootstrap replicates and visualized using iTOL (http://itol.embl.de).

**Knockdown of KGD4 in a murine cell line**

The murine microglial BV2 cells were cultured in DMEM (GIBCO) and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 55 IU/ml penicillin and 55 µg/ml streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). All cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. BV2 cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent following manufacturer’s instructions, using nontargeting SMARTpool siRNA (D-001810-01-05) and SMARTpool siRNA for KGD4 (MRPS36–L056188-01) from Thermo Scientific (Fisher Scientific, Göteborg, Sweden). Cells were analyzed 48 h after the transfection.

**Miscellaneous methods**

Antisera against yeast Kgd4 or murine COX2 were generated by injecting rabbits with recombinantly expressed and purified Kgd4 or COX2 C-terminus, respectively. Antibodies against OGDH, DLST, and the antibody against β-actin was from Sigma-Aldrich. Mitochondrial isolation, chemical cross-linking, purification of cross-linking products under denaturing conditions, and mass-spectrometric identification were done as previously described (Gruschke et al., 2010).
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