Direct controls for wind turbine with PMSG used on the real wind profile of Essaouira-Morocco city
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ABSTRACT

This article presents the use of a permanent magnet synchronous generator (PMSG) for the wind energy production and electrical energy injection produced into an electrical grid. The objective is to perform modeling and direct control of the Wind Energy conversion System (WECS), taking into account the problems of wind speed variations and generator maintenance. The direct torque control (DTC) is applied to the machine-side converter and direct power control (DPC) is applied to the grid-side converter. The performance of WECS is tested in MATLAB / SIMULINK environment simulation with a real wind profile of Essaouira-Morocco city. The simulation results obtained show that the proposed direct controls provide high performance in terms of setpoint tracking, speed, stability and power quality.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Energy conversion chains associated with wind power often use a permanent magnet synchronous generator (PMSG) due to self-excitation, which allows operation with high power factor and high efficiency [1]. Indeed the synchronous machine is characterized by high volume torque as well as a low inertia and inductance [2], which gives it high performance compared to the asynchronous generator, a high efficiency and better controllability [3]. However the control of this machine remains complex because of the decoupling between the electromagnetic torque and flux.

The vector control is one of the strategies that ensure a decoupling between the magnitudes of the machine [4]. This technique was proposed by Blaschke [5-6], it is characterized by a good performance and fast dynamic response. However, this control is not effective when the system is non-linear undergoes internal and external disturbances [7].

Therefore, in this work, the direct controls strategies applied to PMSG-Converters are proposed to improve the machine performance and guarantee the quality power injected into the grid. The Direct Torque control (DTC) is developed to control the machine-side converter (MSC). While, the direct power control (DPC) is used to control the grid-side converter (GSC). The main contributions of this work are:

a. Development of a direct control that provides better performances.

b. The decoupling of the electromagnetic torque and flux is assured.

c. Applying a real wind profile of Essaouira-Morocco city to wind energy conversion system.
This paper is structured as follows: section 2 present the modeling of wind energy conversion system. Section 3 develops the direct controls strategies, DTC is applied to PMSG-side converter and DPC is applied to grid-side converter. The simulation results in Matlab/Simulink are presented and discussed in section 4. Finally, conclusions and suggestions for future works are given in Section 5.

2. MODELING OF WIND ENERGY CONVERSION SYSTEM

The wind energy conversion system consists of a variable speed turbine coupled directly to a permanent magnet synchronous generator, connected to the electrical grid via three-phase static converters [8]. Figure 1 illustrates the Synoptic diagram of the studied system.

![Figure 1. Synoptic diagram of the studied system](image)

2.1. Wind turbine modeling

The wind turbine is a device whose role is the transformation of kinetic energy into mechanical energy [9].

The wind power $P_v$ that passes through the active surface $S$ of the wind turbine is given by [10]:

$$ P_v = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^2 V_v^3 $$

The mechanical power is linked to the kinetic power by the following equation:

$$ P_{eol} = C_p P_v = \frac{1}{2} C_p \rho \pi R^2 V_v^3 $$

Thus, the expression of the corresponding wind torque is given by:

$$ T_{eol} = \frac{P_{eol}}{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2n}, C_p \rho \pi R^2, V_v^3 $$

Figure 2 illustrates the characteristics of power coefficient $C_p$ as a function of $\lambda$ and $\beta$. The power coefficient $C_p$ depends on the pitch angle $\beta$ and the tip-speed ratio $\lambda$. It is given by the following equation [11-12]:

$$ C_p(\lambda, \beta) = 0.5176, \left( \frac{116}{\lambda+0.08\beta} - \frac{4.06}{\beta^3+1} - 0.4\beta - 5 \right) e^{-\frac{21}{\lambda}} + 0.0068\lambda $$

With:

$$ \lambda = \frac{n R}{V_v} $$

From the Figure 2, the maximum power coefficient ($C_{p_{max}}=0.5506$) is characterized by optimal speed ratio ($\lambda_{opt}=12$) for pitch angle $\beta$ equal to zero.
2.2. Modeling of the Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator

Once the mechanical modeling was done, we went to the generator and our choice fell on the permanent magnet synchronous generator. We consider the synchronous machine with smooth poles \((L_\alpha = L_\beta = L_s)\) [13].

\[
\begin{align*}
V_s\alpha &= -R_s i_s\alpha - L_s \frac{di_s\alpha}{dt} + L_s \omega i_s\beta \\
V_s\beta &= -R_s i_s\beta - L_s \frac{di_s\beta}{dt} - L_s \omega i_s\alpha + \psi_f \omega
\end{align*}
\]

The mechanical equation of the system is expressed by:

\[
T_{em} = J \frac{d\Omega}{dt} + f \Omega
\]

The expression of the electromagnetic torque is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{em} &= \frac{3}{2} p i_s \beta \psi_f \\
\omega &= p \Omega
\end{align*}
\]

2.3. Speed control of the generator

To control speed of the PSMG, the optimal speed reference expressed by (4) was used where \(\lambda_{opt}\) is the optimal ratio between turbine speed and wind speed. This reference is obtained from the Maximum Power Point Tracking technique (MPPT). The speed control strategy of the PMSG is illustrated in Figure 3.

\[
\Omega_{ref} = \frac{\lambda_{opt} \cdot \nu}{R_t}
\]
3. DIRECT CONTROL OF THE PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS GENERATOR

Direct Controls (DC) are control techniques that provide a simple decoupling to implement between different systems parameters. The DC of a permanent magnet synchronous machine is based on the direct determination of the control sequence applied to the switches of a voltage inverter, which determines its state [14]. This choice is generally based on the use of hysteresis comparators whose function is to control the system state, namely the flux amplitude, electromagnetic torque, DC bus voltage, active power and reactive power [15].

The power converter used is conventional two-level voltage inverter conventional in Figure 4. The latter allows reaching eight distinct positions in the phase plane, corresponding to the eight voltage sequences of the inverter [16-17].

![Figure 4. General structure of the voltage inverter](image)

The mathematical model of the three-phase inverter is represented by the following matrix [18]:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
V_a \\
V_b \\
V_c
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} U_\alpha \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & -1 \\
2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
S_a \\
S_b \\
S_c
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(10)

The voltage vector \(V_s\) is defined as the combination of the three switching states:

\[
\vec{V}_s = V_{sa} + j V_{sb} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} U_\alpha (S_a + S_b e^{j\frac{2\pi}{3}} + S_c e^{j\frac{4\pi}{3}})
\]

(11)

a. \(S_i(i=a,b,c)=1\) if the low switch is closed and the high switch is open.

b. \(S_i(i=a,b,c)=0\) if the low switch is open and the high switch is closed.

The combinations of the three magnitudes \((S_a,S_b,S_c)\) make it possible to generate eight positions of the voltage vector \(V_s\) of which 6 are active (have the same module equal to \(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} U_\alpha\)) and two null vectors. The extremities of these six vectors defining the vertices of a regular hexagon since two successive vectors make between them an angle of 30° called sector or zone. The eight voltage vectors are represented in the plan \((\alpha-\beta)\) by Figure 5.

![Figure 5. Elaboration of the stator voltage vector](image)
The main idea of Direct Control is demonstrated by its application to the wind system using the PMSG. We note that power generation and control do not only depend on the PMSG, but also on the manner in which the voltage converters are controlled.

The machine-side converter (MSC) consists of adjusting the electromagnetic torque and the flux. For this, it is necessary to apply the direct torque control (DTC). The grid-side converter (GSC) consists of controlling the active and reactive power of the machine to the grid. For this, it is necessary to apply the direct power control (DPC).

2.1. Application of DTC to the Machine-Side Converter

DTC is a control technique that provides simple decoupling of flux and torque. It is a question of maintaining the magnitudes of stator flux and the electromagnetic torque inside these bands of hysteresis. The principle of the DTC is the torque direct regulation of the permanent magnet synchronous machine by the application of the various inverter voltage vectors, which determines its state. The two control variables are the stator flux and electromagnetic torque [19-20]. This command is characterized by a high performance, good robustness against parametric variations of the generator and its simplicity of implementation [21-22]. The diagram of the DTC applied to PMSG is shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Structure of the direct torque control of the PMSG](image)

2.1.1 Estimation of Stator Flux and Electromagnetic Torque

The performance of the control system depends on the accuracy in the estimation of these magnitudes. The estimation of the stator flux and electromagnetic torque requires prior knowledge of the current and stator voltage components [23-24].

The computation of the flux components of the PMSG in the reference frame \((\alpha, \beta)\) are determined by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{sa} &= \int (V_{sa} - R_s I_{sa})dt \\
\Phi_{sb} &= \int (V_{sb} - R_s I_{sb})dt
\end{align*}
\]

With \(\Phi_s = \Phi_{sa} + j \cdot \Phi_{sb}\)

The modulus of the stator flux is written:

\[
\Phi_s = \sqrt{\Phi_{sa}^2 + \Phi_{sb}^2}
\]

The sector in which the vector \(\Phi_s\) is located is determined from the components \(\Phi_{sa}\) and \(\Phi_{sb}\):

\[
\Theta = Arctg \frac{\Phi_{sb}}{\Phi_{sa}}
\]

Once the two flux components are determined, the electromagnetic torque can be estimated by the following equation:

\[
\hat{T}_{em} = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \Phi_s (I_{sb} - I_{sa})
\]
2.1.2 Elaboration of Flux and Torque Regulators

A stator flux regulator has been used to maintain the extremity of the flux vector $\phi_s$ in a circular ring. The difference $\varepsilon \phi$ between the reference flux $\phi_{s-ref}$ and the estimated flux $\hat{\phi}_s$ is introduced into a two-level hysteresis comparator (0,1) as shown in Figure 7. The hysteresis comparator generates at its output the values: $H\phi=1$ to increase the flux and $H\phi=0$ to reduce the flux.

![Figure 7. Two-level hysteresis regulator](image)

The electromagnetic torque regulator is used to control the machine in both directions of rotation, generating either a positive torque, or negative torque. By applying the difference $\varepsilon Tem$ between the reference torque $Tem_{-ref}$ and the estimated torque $\hat{Tem}$ in hysteresis comparator with three-level, this one generates the values: $HTem=1$ to increase the torque, $HTem=-1$ to reduce it and $HTem=0$ to keep it constant.

![Figure 8. Three-level hysteresis regulator](image)

2.1.3 Elaboration of the Switching Table

The choice of the inverter state is made in a switching table elaborated as a function of the variables state ($H\phi$ and $HTem$) at the output of flux regulators and the electromagnetic torque, as well as the sector $Si$ giving the information on the position of the flux vector. Table 1 is presented in the following form:

| $H\phi$ | $HTem$ | $S_1$ | $S_2$ | $S_3$ | $S_4$ | $S_5$ | $S_6$ |
|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1      | 0      | $V_2$ | $V_3$ | $V_4$ | $V_5$ | $V_6$ |
| 1      | -1     | $V_6$ | $V_7$ | $V_8$ | $V_9$ | $V_1$ |
| 1      | 0      | $V_1$ | $V_2$ | $V_3$ | $V_4$ | $V_5$ | $V_6$ |
| 0      | -1     | $V_5$ | $V_6$ | $V_7$ | $V_8$ | $V_9$ | $V_1$ |

2.2. Application Of DPC to the Grid-Side Converter

The purpose of Direct Power Control (DPC) is to directly control the active and reactive power from the machine to the grid. This control is similar to that of DTC; it consists in selecting a sequence of switching controls $(Sa,Sb,Sc)$ of the semiconductors constituting GSC, this choice is based on the use of two hysteresis comparators for the errors of instantaneous active and reactive powers $P_g$ and $Q_g$. The outputs of these regulators with the sector where the position of the grid voltage vector is located, constitute the inputs of a switching table which in turn determines the switching state of the switches, the active power reference is obtained from the DC bus voltage regulator. Figure 9 illustrates the DPC applied to grid-side converter.
Estimation is a very important step in achieving good system performance. Hence the active and reactive powers are estimated from the measured voltage and current, they are given by the following equations:

\[ P_g = \frac{3}{2}(v_{g\alpha}i_{g\alpha} + v_{g\beta}i_{g\beta}) \]  
\[ Q_g = \frac{3}{2}(v_{g\alpha}i_{g\beta} - v_{g\beta}i_{g\alpha}) \]  

The position of the grid voltage vector that determines the sector \( S_i \) is defined by the following equation:

\[ \theta = \text{Arctg} \frac{v_{g\beta}}{v_{g\alpha}} \]  

Similarly for DTC, a three-level hysteresis regulator is used to control active power. While, a two-level hysteresis regulator is used to control the reactive power. The outputs of these regulators with the information of the sector \( S_i \) form a selection table which serves to switch the switches of the inverter which is presented by the Table 2.

| \( H_{rs} \) | \( H_{r} \) | \( S_1 \) | \( S_2 \) | \( S_3 \) | \( S_4 \) | \( S_5 \) | \( S_6 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0 | \( V_1 \) | \( V_2 \) | \( V_3 \) | \( V_4 \) | \( V_5 \) | \( V_6 \) |
| -1 | \( V_0 \) | \( V_1 \) | \( V_2 \) | \( V_3 \) | \( V_4 \) | \( V_5 \) | \( V_6 \) |
| 1 | \( V_0 \) | \( V_1 \) | \( V_2 \) | \( V_3 \) | \( V_4 \) | \( V_5 \) | \( V_6 \) |
| 0 | \( V_0 \) | \( V_1 \) | \( V_2 \) | \( V_3 \) | \( V_4 \) | \( V_5 \) | \( V_6 \) |
| -1 | \( V_0 \) | \( V_1 \) | \( V_2 \) | \( V_3 \) | \( V_4 \) | \( V_5 \) | \( V_6 \) |

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

To study the performance and stability of WECS systems, the numerical simulations were carried out for the low power system with a real wind profile of the coastal zone of the Kingdom of Morocco Essaouira city. The following Figures show the results obtained using the Matlab/Simulink environment.

The simulation results show that the control used has very good dynamic and static performance. The PMSG based control system was tested for the assumed variation in wind speed for 30 seconds shown in Figures 10 and 11. The nominal wind speed was adopted equal to 8 m/s.

Figure 12 shows the forms of measured and estimated wind speed, the latter is precisely adjusted to the forms of the measured speed, which shows that the algorithm of the speed control is ensured. Moreover, the forms of power illustrated in Figure 13 show that the mechanical power is proportional to the wind speed.

Direct controls for wind turbine with PMSG used on the real wind profile of... (Dalila Hammoumi)
Figures 14 and 15 respectively show the variation of the ratio of the specific speed $\lambda$ and the power coefficient $C_p$. We verify that the values of the specific speed and the power coefficient are almost equal to their optimal values.

Figure 16 shows the response of the electromagnetic torque $T_{em}$ of PMSG during the wind speed variation, it is proportional to the speed of the wind. Figure 17 shows the shape of the DC bus voltage $U_0$. The instantaneous values of this voltage are quite constant; it perfectly follows its reference value with a response time equal to 800ms.

The Figures 18 and 19 show that the instantaneous active and reactive powers, delivered to the alternating grid, follow its reference values. In addition, the reactive power is zero which causes a unit power factor and the only active power generated by WECS is fully supplied to the grid. The stator current components presented in Figures 20 and 21 are sinusoidal gait with amplitudes varying according to wind speed and its frequency remains at a value of 50 Hz.
5. CONCLUSION

This article discusses a control strategy for a wind system based on PMSG with variable wind speed. After modeling the wind energy conversion system with PMSG-Converters, the direct control structures were taken into account. The control algorithm for the machine-side converter is based on the direct torque control. For the grid-side converter the Direct Power Control method has been applied. We adopted a variable wind of the Essaouira-Morocco city to evaluate the performance of the system in real case. The main conclusions of this study are:

a. The performance of the control applied in real wind conditions remains reliable.

b. Operation with a unit power factor was ensured.

c. Power is always required with grid-connected systems.

The simulation results show that direct controls provide good performance to the WECS system. The effectiveness of this control can be asserted from the results found in this article. And as a perspective our proposed order will be implemented on the dSPACE DS1104 board to confirm the results obtained by this study.
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NOMENCLATURE

\[ V_{s}(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{α-β axis stator voltage.} \]
\[ i_{s}(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{α-β axis stator current.} \]
\[ \psi_{s}(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{α-β axis stator flux.} \]
\[ \phi_{f} \quad \text{Magnetic flux.} \]
\[ \Omega \quad \text{Mechanical turbine speed.} \]
\[ \omega_{e} \quad \text{Electric pulsation.} \]
\[ R_{s} \quad \text{Stator resistance.} \]
\[ L_{s} \quad \text{Stator inductance.} \]
\[ J \quad \text{Total moment of inertia.} \]
\[ f \quad \text{Coefficient of viscous friction.} \]
\[ p \quad \text{Number of poles pairs.} \]
\[ T_{e} \quad \text{Electromagnetic torque.} \]
\[ P_{e} \quad \text{Active grid power.} \]
\[ Q_{e} \quad \text{Reactive grid power.} \]
\[ U_{0} \quad \text{DC-link voltage.} \]
\[ P_{me} \quad \text{Mechanical power.} \]
\[ T_{tor} \quad \text{Turbine torque.} \]
\[ C_{P} \quad \text{Power coefficient.} \]
\[ \xi \quad \text{tip-speed ratio.} \]
\[ \beta \quad \text{Pitch angle} \]
\[ R_{t} \quad \text{Turbine radius.} \]
\[ V_{t} \quad \text{Wind speed} \]
\[ P_{e} \quad \text{Wind power.} \]
APPENDIX

| Parameters of the PMSG | Parameters of the turbine |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Rated Power            | P = 2 Kw                 |
| Rated Voltage          | Vs = 220/380 V           |
| Rated speed            | N = 1500 rpm             |
| Stator Resistance      | Rs = 2.6Ω                |
| Inductances synchrones | Ld = 43mH                |
| Flux efficace          | ψf = 0.178 Wb            |
| Number of pole pairs   | p = 2                    |
| Moment of Inertia      | J = 0.001469 N.m         |
| Blade radius           | R = 2m                   |
| Number of blades       | 3                        |
| Moment of inertia      | Jt = 0.089 Kg.m²         |
| friction coefficient   | f = 0.0003035 N.m.s/rad |
| Rated wind speed       | Vr = 15 m/s              |
| Mass volumique de l’air| ρ = 1.225 kg/m³          |