THE ROLE OF NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCING IN THE DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF CAROLI’S SYNDROME
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ABSTRACT

We report the case of a 41-year-old man on conservative treatment for more than 20 years for chronic renal insufficiency, chronic hepatitis and recurrent cholangitis. Following lengthy and extensive diagnostics, the differential diagnosis included primary sclerosing cholangitis and Caroli’s disease (CD). To solve the diagnostic challenge, next generation sequencing (NGS) was performed to distinguish between the disorders possibly present in the patient. The diagnosis of CD became evident after two rare known pathogenic mutations were detected in the polyductin 1 (PKHD1) gene, c.370C>T (p.Arg124Ter) and c.4870C>T (p.Arg1624Trp). In this case, NGS was instrumental in solving the diagnostic challenge, allowing differentiation among the proposed genetic and non-genetic ethiologies.
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INTRODUCTION

Caroli’s disease (CD) was first described by Jacques Caroli in 1958 [1], as a rare congenital condition characterized by localized or diffuse, non obstructive, saccular or fusiform, multifocal segmental dilatation of the intrahepatic bile ducts. The disease prevalence is one case per 1,000,000, however, with better imaging techniques, such as magnetic resonance cholangiography, CD appears to be more prevalent than previously reported [2,3]. Males and females are equally affected, and more than 80.0% of patients present before 30 years of age [4]. Recurrent cholangitis dominates the clinical course and is the principal cause of morbidity and mortality [5]. Two types of disease have been described: type 1, a simple form or CD without hepatic fibrosis and type 2, a complex form or Caroli’s syndrome (CS; also known as Grumbach’s disease) with the presence of congenital hepatic fibrosis (CHF) [3,6,7].

Caroli’s disease (type 1) consists of pure segmental cystic dilatations of the intrahepatic bile ducts, without cirrhosis and portal hypertension. Caroli’s disease can be diffuse, when it involves both lobes, or localized, when occurring in a single lobe. Increased incidence of biliary lithiasis, recurrent cholangitis, biliary abscesses and septicemia are all its potential complications [4,6]. Caroli’s syndrome (type 2) presents a clinical syndrome that is a combination of CD (bouts of cholangitis, hepatolithiasis, and gallbladder stones) and those of CHF (portal hypertension). Caroli’s syndrome is associated with hepatic fibrosis, or even cirrhosis, portal hypertension and oesophageal varices. Caroli’s syndrome may be accompanied by cholangiocarcinoma, pancreatic cyst and renal cystic disease [4,6]. These diseases have a close relationship with congenital kidney disorders, notably autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease (ARPKD) [8,9]. Many investigators believe that the two types of CD are actually different stages of the same disease characterized by periporal fibrosis and ductal dilatation [4,10].

Mutations in the polyductin 1 (PKHD1) gene, located on chromosome 6 (6p12.3-6p12.2), are responsible for CD, and many causative mutations are known [11-13]. Only a few of these variants in PKHD1 are responsible for ARPKD and CD, with a high interfamilial and in-
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trafamilial phenotypic variability [9,11,12,]. We present a middle-aged patient, with very rare mutations in the PKHD1 gene, which led to CD with ARPKD that was, prior to NGS testing, misdiagnosed as primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC).

**CASE PRESENTATION**

**Patient Description.** A 41-year-old man presented with fever, chills, fatigue, nausea, vomiting and pain in right upper abdominal quadrant. During physical examination, we observed a poor general state with a temperature of 39 °C, tachycardia, mild icterus and hepatosplenomegaly.

Laboratory analysis showed increased parameters of acute inflammation, liver and kidney function tests (Table 1). Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) revealed hepatosplenomegaly, multiple cysts in both kidneys with reduced parenchyma, and diffuse cystic/fusiform dilatation of the intrahepatic bile ducts, which was more evident in the left lobe (Figures 1 and 2).

The patient had the first episode of pyelonephritis at 9 months of age, when vesicoureteral reflux and enlarged kidneys were also observed. Monitoring by a nephrologist started when patient was at age 20, due to chronic renal insufficiency assumed as a consequence of chronic pyelo-nephritis. Since then, he has been on a conservative treatment for chronic renal insufficiency.

During his thirties, he was treated in hospital several times for recurrent cholangitis. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic retrograde chol-angiopancreatography (ERCP) were performed multiple times. First, the differential diagnosis of CD with polycystic kidney disease and PSC with dysplastic kidney disease was established. As part of further examination, a liver biopsy showed the existence of a multiple bile duct hamartomas (MBH), without evidence of CHF and portal hypertension. On the following ERCP and MRCP examinations, changes in bile duct pointing to PSC were described and the diagnosis was leaning towards PSC. Thus, the diagnosis remained unclear after classical imaging studies and additional evidence was required to establish the definitive diagnosis.

**Table 1.** Laboratory data of the proband on admission to the hospital.

| Parameters         | M-41 | Reference Ranges |
|--------------------|------|------------------|
| Hb (g/dL)          | 13.0 | 13.0-17.0        |
| WBC (10^9/L)       | 14.7 | 4.00-10.00       |
| Platelets (10^9/L) | 11.9 | 15.0-40.0        |
| Urea (mmol/L)      | 12.6 | 3.2-7.4          |
| Creatinine (µmol/L)| 338.0| 62.0-106.0       |
| Albumin (g/L)      | 38.0 | 35.0-52.0        |
| ALP (IU/L)         | 105.0| <129.0           |
| ALT (IU/L)         | 178.0| <41.0            |
| ASP (IU/L)         | 99.0 | <37.0            |
| GGT (IU/L)         | 127.0| <60.0            |
| Total bilirubin (µmol/L)| 22.0 | <20.0            |
| CRP (mg/L)         | 326.0| <5.0             |
| Fibrogen (g/L)     | 4.6  | 1.8-4.0          |
| ESR (mm/h)         | 40.0 | 1.0-10.0         |

Hb: hemoglobin; WBC: white blood cell count; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; ASP: aspartate aminotransferase; GGT: γ-Glutamyl transferase; CRP: C-reactive protein; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate.
In order to resolve the diagnostic dilemma (PSC or CD), we referred the patient for genetic diagnostics, where clinical exome sequencing (CES) using a TruSight One capture kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) was performed, followed by sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq platform. The bioinformatic analysis was performed according to GATK Best Practices workflow [14,15]. The strategy for exome data interpretation was primarily based on the combined disease and phenotype gene target definition approach we previously described [16]. Considering the observation of multiple renal cysts in the patient, bioinformatic analysis and interpretation of genes associated with polycystic kidney disease was performed (BICC1, HNF1B, LRP5, NOTCH2, PKD1, PKD2, PKHD1, REN, SEC63, VHL).

Sequencing Results. Sequencing data analysis showed the presence of two pathogenic heterozygous variants in the PKHD1 gene: nonsense variant c.370C>T, corresponding to an amino acid change Arg124Ter and missense variant c.4870C>T corresponding to an amino acid change Arg1624Trp. Both identified variants are rare in the control population and in the 138,000 controls of the gnomAD project [17,18], its population frequency was estimated at 0.0016% for c.370C>T and 0.018% for c.4870C>T, respectively. Based on the anticipated effect, the c.370C>T variant likely affects function of the protein coded by the gene with this variant, whereas for c.4870C>T, it was predicted as pathogenic with two of the three pathogenicity prediction algorithms employed (Sift) [19,20], Polyphen2 [21,22], MutationTaster [23,24]. Both identified variants are featured in the ClinVar database as pathogenic (accession numbers: 167499; 188369) [25]. The reported variants in the PKHD1 gene present a likely cause for the clinical presentation of the patient. Based on recurrent cholangitis, cystic dilatation of the intrahepatic bile ducts associated with polycystic kidney disease, normal findings on extra hepatic bile ducts, presence of two pathogenic heterozygous variants in the PKHD1 gene, we concluded that the correct diagnosis in this case is CD.

DISCUSSION

In the presented patient, we discovered two pathogenic heterozygous variants in the PKHD1 gene (c.370C>T and c.4870C>T). Both variants have previously been reported as pathogenic in at least four patients with clinical presentation of ARPKD [26]. Furthermore, the rarity of the variants in the control population and the compatibility with clinical presentation, both favor the pathogenic nature of the identified variants. In accordance with guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants [27], these variants are classified as pathogenic, and present a likely cause for the clinical presentation of CD in the patient.

Two types of CD have been described in the literature, based on the presence of congenital hepatic fibrosis and the severity of the liver impairment. In our patient, CD presented clinically with recurrent cholangitis, which is normally the most common clinical manifestation of the type 1 disease, simply referred to as CD. Other clinical presentation of CD are varied and include right upper quadrant pain, jaundice, recurrent chills and fevers, weight loss, anorexia, nausea and vomiting, most of which were common complaints during several hospitalizations of the patients. On the other hand, CS is a complex clinical syndrome that includes characteristics of CD and CHF, portal hypertension, liver cirrhosis, esophageal varices and ascites. Caroli’s syndrome is mostly comorbid with cystic kidney diseases, and rarely with pancreatic or bile cysts. Caroli’s syndrome may progress to cholangiocarcinoma due to cirrhosis, chronic inflammation and prolonged exposure of the ductal epithelium to high concentration of unconjugated secondary bile acids. Hepatobiliary malignant transformation occurs in approximately 7.0-14.0% of cases, and occurrence of cholangiocarcinoma in CD/CS is 100 times greater than that in the general population. However, the division may be arbitrary, as many investigators believe that the two conditions present a continuation of the same disease. Nevertheless, the diagnosis in this patient established after clinical exome sequencing was CD, due to the absence of the hepatic symptoms characteristic of CS. The mild clinical presentation bearing similarities to PSC, contributed to the difficulty of diagnosis in the presented patient as multiple MRCP and ERCP as well as liver biopsy, were insufficient to clearly diagnose CD. In selected groups of patients genetic analysis may thus be helpful to differentiate between genetic and non genetic etiologies, as our example, where clinical exome sequencing enabled the diagnosis of CD in this patient after the detection of the pathogenic variants in the PKHD1 gene [11].

CONCLUSIONS

We report the discovery of two pathogenic variants on the PKHD1 gene, causing CD with polycystic kidney disease in a patient undiagnosed for many years. In selected cases, clinical testing may be insufficient to clearly differentiate between the genetic and non genetic etiologies of the observed disorders, as was in this case of CD. In this small group of patients, genetic diagnostics may prove helpful. Finally, in children and adult patients who present with recurrent cholangitis and hepato/splenomegaly or in all cases...
with cholangitis and polycystic kidney disease, a diagnosis of the genetic condition CD or CS, should be considered.
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