The nano- and meso-scale structure of amorphous calcium carbonate
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Understanding the underlying processes of biomineralization is crucial to a range of disciplines allowing us to quantify the effects of climate change on marine organisms, decipher the details of paleoclimate records and advance the development of biomimetic materials. Many biological minerals form via intermediate amorphous phases, which are hard to characterize due to their transient nature and a lack of long-range order. Here, using Monte Carlo simulations constrained by X-ray and neutron scattering data together with model building, we demonstrate a method for determining the structure of these intermediates with a study of amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) which is a precursor in the bio-formation of crystalline calcium carbonates. We find that ACC consists of highly ordered anhydrous nano-domains of approx. 2 nm that can be described as nanocrystalline. These nano-domains are held together by an interstitial net-like matrix of water molecules which generate, on the mesoscale, a heterogeneous and gel-like structure of ACC. We probed the structural stability and dynamics of our model on the nanosecond timescale by molecular dynamics simulations. These simulations revealed a gel-like and glassy nature of ACC due to the water molecules and carbonate ions in the interstitial matrix featuring pronounced orientational and translational flexibility. This allows for viscous mobility with diffusion constants four to five orders of magnitude lower than those observed in solutions. Small and ultra-small angle neutron scattering indicates a hierarchically-ordered organization of ACC across length scales that allow us, based on our nano-domain model, to build a comprehensive picture of ACC formation by cluster assembly from solution. This contribution provides a new atomic-scale understanding of ACC and provides a framework for the general exploration of biomineralization and biomimetic processes.

A molecular understanding of the processes that drive mineral formation is essential for deciphering biomineralization processes1–6, accurate assessment of paleoclimate records7–9, control of mineral formation10,11 and to accelerate the development of biomimetic materials12,13. Transient amorphous precursors such as amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) play a crucial role in both biological and synthetic mineralisation systems. A knowledge of the atomic structures of these intermediates is a prerequisite for fully elucidating formation pathways, identifying biological control mechanisms and for understanding the role of polyamorphic14 phase transformations in determining final crystalline products. These are, however, difficult to determine unambiguously due to the transient nature of these materials and a lack of long-range order. Three recent studies of synthetic and
non-deuterated ACC used Monte Carlo simulations\(^\text{15}\) constrained by scattering data to address this issue but produced disparate pictures. The first study by Goodwin et al.\(^\text{16}\), using ACC generated without stabilizing additives, yielded a structural model of a nanoporous charge-separated calcium-rich framework pervaded by interconnected channels rich in water and carbonate. In contrast, two other studies using Mg-doped\(^\text{17}\) and non-doped ACC\(^\text{18}\) proposed a homogenous structure with no evidence for long-range hydrogen-bonded networks. In order to resolve these conflicting models we used a recently developed method to synthesise a deuterated, non-doped ACC sample which is stable for many days\(^\text{19}\) and which allowed us to collect neutron and X-ray scattering data of unprecedented quality (Supplementary Fig. S1). These data, combined with Monte Carlo simulations\(^\text{15}\) and model building produced, for the first time, a detailed atomic structure for ACC. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) further supported our conclusions while small angle neutron scattering (SANS) extended our study up to the micron lengthscale. Molecular dynamics simulations allowed us to assess the physical properties and to substantiate the chemical (meta)stability of our novel ACC model.

**Results**

The neutron and X-ray scattering data used in this work are shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 together with the model fit to these data. The model was produced by first carrying out Monte Carlo simulations\(^\text{15}\) constrained by the data which produced sets of atomic coordinates from which average pair-distribution functions and coordination numbers as well as bond and torsion angle probability distributions were determined (Supplementary Figs. S2, S3). These probability distributions feature sharp peaks characteristic of a high degree of short-range order within the nano-domains indicative of crystalline structures. Analysis of these probability distributions using a model building approach revealed a structure composed of highly ordered anhydrous nano-domains of around 2 nm (Fig. 1a) with an interstitial net-like matrix of water molecules (Fig. 1b) arranged to give a heterogeneous structure on the mesoscale (Supplementary Fig. S4, Supplementary Table S1).

The X-ray scattering data presented here (Supplementary Fig. S1) and in the previous structural studies of ACC are very similar with peak intensities at about 2.22, 3.14, 5.36, 6.23 Å\(^{-1}\) (Supplementary Table S2). This might be expected since the differences between the samples (substitution of 5% of the Ca atoms by Mg in the study of Cobourne et al.\(^\text{17}\) and varying amounts of water) would only cause very slight changes to the X-ray scattering intensity. The neutron scattering patterns presented by us, by Cobourne et al.\(^\text{17}\), and by Jensen et al.\(^\text{18}\) are also similar. All peaks in these scattering patterns above and including the 3.2 Å\(^{-1}\) peak in our data, and the equivalent 2.98 Å\(^{-1}\) and 3.15 Å\(^{-1}\) peaks in the Cobourne et al.\(^\text{17}\) and Jensen et al.\(^\text{18}\) data, have similar relative intensities. The major difference between the data presented in these three studies is found in the low Q region. The 2.22 Å\(^{-1}\) peak in our data is much more intense than all the other peaks in our scattering pattern while the equivalent peaks at 1.84 Å\(^{-1}\) in the Cobourne et al.\(^\text{17}\) data and at 1.99 Å\(^{-1}\) in the Jensen et al.\(^\text{18}\) data have similar intensities to all other peaks in those patterns. This difference is probably due to the incoherent scattering from the hydrogen atoms in the samples used by Cobourne et al.\(^\text{17}\) and Jensen et al.\(^\text{18}\) reducing the peak intensity compared to the deuterated
sample used in our study which scatters coherently. The differences in the peak positions are probably due to the
the differences in scattering between H₂O and D₂O and Mg−O and Ca−O. The only obvious difference between
the neutron scattering data presented by Jensen et al.18 for the samples containing 0.5 and 1.1 molecules of water
per carbonate molecule is a small increase in the intensity of the peak at 2.98 Å⁻¹.

The total pair distribution functions (PDFs, see Fig. 2) reported in these studies are also similar with maxima
at about 1.27 Å, primarily due to intramolecular C−O correlations, 2.4 Å, primarily due to Ca−O correlations,
3.1 Å and 3.3 Å, primarily due to a combination of Ca−C, O−O and C−Ow correlations, where Ow refers to
an oxygen that is part of a water molecule, and 4 Å and 6 Å primarily due to Ca−Ca correlations (Fig. 2, Supple-
mentary Table S3). The partial pair distribution functions (pPDFs) for the Ca-ACC models derived from the
Monte-Carlo simulations presented in these studies display quite consistent heavy atom correlations (Supple-
mentary Table S4). For example: Ca−Ca maxima occur at about 4 Å, 6 Å and 8 Å, Ca−O maxima occur at about
2.4 Å, 4.1 Å, 6.2 Å and Ca−C maxima occur at 2.8 Å, 3.3 Å and 6.5 Å. The two studies that used a Mg-free sample
and combining neutron and X-ray data (i.e., this work and Jensen et al.18) both report correlations between vari-
ous atoms and hydrogen. These correlations again are similar with, O−H maxima at about 3.2 Å and 5.1 Å and
Ow−H maxima at about 2 Å, 2.9 Å, 3.2 Å and 5.6 Å. The main difference between the two sets of results is that
the first O−H correlation occurs at 1.8 Å in Jensen et al.18 and at 2 Å in this work. The distribution of coordination

Figure 2. Comparison of the experimental total pair distribution functions for all known hydrous and
anhydrous phases of calcium carbonate, i.e., calcite, aragonite, vaterite and monohydrocalcite44, pure ACC
studied in this work, Mg-stabilised ACC (from Cobourne et al17) and pure heavy water (Soper et al.49).
The dashed lines show the positions of significant pair correlations. The total pair distribution function
of monohydrocalcite contains no intramolecular H₂O correlations due to it being determined from X-ray
scattering data which is unable to detect these correlations.
numbers for Oc (an oxygen that is part of a carbonate group) about Ca and Ow about calcium were found to be the same in both studies. The Mg-stabilised sample studied by Cobourne et al. shows some similarities to the ACC samples with, for example, maxima in the Ca–O correlation at 2.4 Å and in the Ca–C correlation at 3.29 Å and 6.1 Å (with just the 2.8 Å peak missing) but poor agreement for Ca–Ca correlation with maxima at about 3.44 Å and 6.141 Å as opposed to 4 Å, 6 Å and 8 Å in the Mg free samples and maxima in the C–O pPDF with a maximum at 2.6 Å as opposed to maxima at 3.8 Å and 5.1 Å in the Mg free samples. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) for these peaks are of the same order as that found for the Mg-free samples suggesting that the Mg doped samples are also made up of crystalline nanoparticles although the smaller values for the maxima in the Ca–Ca and C–O would suggest the that Mg doped sample has a different atomic structure and is denser. Thus, it is significant that the model that we present here is consistent with all the scattering data and simulation results considered above despite the varying compositions and synthesis paths of the samples used in the various studies suggesting the existence of a single, unique ACC atomic structure.

The proportion of oxygen atoms found within 3 Å of a calcium atom are given in Fig. 3, discriminating oxygen atoms in carbonate and oxygen atoms in water molecules. On average, calcium atoms are surrounded by six oxygens from carbonate groups and one oxygen from a water molecule with about 26% of calcium atoms not associated with a water molecule. A spherical ACC nanoparticle of 2 nm size has a volume of 2.3 nm³. This is equivalent to 36 unit cells of our ACC model. If we arranged these in a 4 × 3 × 3 array, then there would be 68 calcium atoms on the surface and 12 in the interior. Only the (110) and (011) faces have water associated with them (see Supplementary Fig. S5). Not all calcium atoms on a specific plane can have water molecules associated with them since there are no 4, 6 or 8 Å correlations in the Ow–Ow partial pair distribution function. Assuming one water molecule associated with every other unit cell results in a total of 20 unit cells with a water molecule associated. On average 20 out of the 80 calcium atoms in a given nano-cluster (or 25%) are associated with oxygens in water molecules.

X-ray and neutron scattering allow to determine whether a material is amorphous or consists of a collection of nanoparticles since the later will have a large rise in scattering at low Q due to heterogeneities which are absent for the former. Distinguishing between amorphous or crystalline nanoparticles is not as straightforward since there are no long-range correlations, due to the small particle size. In addition, any surface distortions to the atomic positions will have a relatively large impact on the overall average structure, since there are many more atoms on the surface than in the bulk, thus blurring the distinction between crystalline and amorphous. Comparison with other amorphous systems might help us decide how crystalline or amorphous ACC really is. Ca₀.₄K₀.₆(NO₃)₁.₄ (CKN) is a simple ionic glass that contains NO₃⁻ molecules and is governed by Columbic interactions and is therefore similar to ACC. The sharp peaks in the partial pair distribution functions of CKN (see Fig. 7 in Tengroth et al.) for N–O and O–O are due to intramolecular correlations in the NO₃⁻ group. These have FWHM of about 0.1 Å and 0.2 Å respectively which are comparable with the C–O correlation FWHM of 0.1 Å found in our ACC structural model (Supplementary Fig. S2). The Ca–Ca, and the related K–Ca and K–K correlation FWHM in CKN are about 2 Å and all other correlation FWHM, including O–Ca, are about 1 Å (see Fig. 7 in Tengroth et al.). This has to be compared with a Ca–O correlation FWHM of about 0.23 Å in our ACC structure (Supplementary Fig. S2). This demonstrates that the molecular component of our ACC structure has a similar degree of ordering to a molecule in a typical ionic glass while the Columbic part of our ACC structure is significantly more ordered than that of a typical ionic glass. Another appropriate comparison is with amorphous silica (aSiO₂) which exists as a polymeric network of corner shared SiO₄ tetrahedra (or molecules for the sake of this discussion) with a range of Si–O–Si intermolecular angles and Si–O–Si–O internmolecular torsion angles. This results in an overall structure having no long-range order but nevertheless is more ordered than a typical Columbic glass such as CKN. The aSiO₂ intramolecular distances and angles are reasonably well constrained.
to larger particles. A multi-level Beaucage fit30 revealed four independent structural levels whose characteristic with smooth interfaces (Fig. 5a,b). The scattering data showed multiple independent Guinier regimes that are products observed in nature14,26–29.

and vaterite (Fig. 4c,d) demonstrating a direct connection between our cryptocrystalline ACC and the crystalline extended crystalline regions of up to 30 nm with mixed lattice spacings, suggesting that they contain both calcite and hydrate. Although ACC with this ultrastructure is nano-crystalline on an atomic length scale it nevertheless still complies with the general definition of an amorphous material which requires either long-range order or sufficiently sharp diffraction peaks, both of which are absent for the case of ACC35.

Bright-field TEM data and electron diffraction analyses provide additional experimental corroboration of our nano-structural ACC model (Fig. 4). The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of pristine ACC consists of diffuse rings at 3.549 nm$^{-1}$ and 5.315 nm$^{-1}$. These correspond to the first two peaks in our X-ray diffraction data (Supplementary Fig. S1)$^{24,26}$. A Scherrer analysis of the SAED patterns gave a mean particle size of about 1.8 nm which agrees well with the two-nanometre sized nano-domains determined from our Monte–Carlo-simulations. High-resolution TEM analyses of an edge area detected crystalline regions with a lateral diameter of about one to two nanometres which appeared during irradiation (Fig. 4b). Further irradiation led to the formation of more extended crystalline regions of up to 30 nm with mixed lattice spacings, suggesting that they contain both calcite and vaterite (Fig. 4c,d) demonstrating a direct connection between our cryptocrystalline ACC and the crystalline products observed in nature.$^{14,26–29}$

Small and ultra-small angle neutron scattering measurements allowed us to probe the mesostructural organisation of the ACC precipitate up to length scales of about 3 μm. The collected scattering patterns of deuterated and protonated ACC samples followed a power law Q$^{-p}$ with p = 4, which is characteristic for morphologies with smooth interfaces (Fig. 5a,b). The scattering data showed multiple independent Guinier regimes that are suggestive of a hierarchically-ordered multilevel meso-scale structure formed by smaller particles aggregating to larger particles. A multi-level Beaucage fit$^{30}$ revealed four independent structural levels whose characteristic length scales well align with our previous results. The smallest building unit detected by small-angle neutron scattering features a gyroradius of about 3 nm, which is comparable in size to the fundamental nanodomains in our ultrastructural ACC model. These fundamental units build up the second structural level, with a characteristic size of 55 nm that agrees with particle diameters observed of particles in bright field TEM and also corresponds to the size of crystalline domains which develop upon beam exposure in high-resolution TEM analysis (see Fig. 4). The third and fourth levels are in the size range 370 nm and 2.8 μm respectively. When taking these results together, they suggest that ACC formation proceeds by a consecutive multistep aggregation process that starts from distinct fundamental building units in the low-nanometre range (Fig. 5c).

We used our nano-domainal structure model as the basis for a series of molecular dynamics simulations off (i) the bulk ACC model and (ii) an interface of ACC and water. Our molecular dynamics studies employed state-of-the-art interaction potentials that have been used in seminal studies$^{31}$ revealing solute clusters formation in calcium carbonate solutions therefore allowing us to discriminate between solute and solid states in calcium carbonate/water systems. Figure 6a highlights the viscous gel-like state, or, glassy nature of the calcium carbonate nano-domains and the embedded hydrate water in ACC. Nearest-neighbour analyses reveal that the hydrate water does not percolate through the structure, but is limited to nanometre-sized domains. In these nano-domains, water molecules and carbonate ions, to a lesser extend, show both orientational and translational flexibility. This flexibility leads to a rather viscous overall mobility via nano-domain deformation and migration in the precipitate with diffusion constants four to five orders of magnitude lower than those observed in aqueous calcium carbonate solutions (Supplementary Fig. S6). These molecular dynamics analyses are consistent with the results from Monte-Carlo simulations and, most significantly, they extend the beforehand static structural information of the ACC model to its nanosecond-scale dynamics. We also explored the structural stability of the ACC model with respect to interfacial water (Fig. 6b). When we exposed our ACC model to a 15 nm-sized film of water, on average five carbonate ions dissociated from the ACC hydrate surface. While a dynamic equilibrium of carbonate dissociation and re-association was observed during 10 ns simulation runs, no calcium release was observed for a surface area of 37 nm$^2$. The atomic arrangement and the degree of hydration of the ACC model remained constant upon exposure to water, indicating that our structural model is valid, as it describes a metastable precipitate that does not spontaneously disintegrate when in contact with water.
Discussion

The methodology presented here allows us to build a detailed picture of the structure and dynamics of seemingly amorphous intermediate phases in mineralization processes. The presence of distinct and repetitive nanodomains in ACC and its multiple levels of hierarchical organization suggest that ACC forms by consecutive steps of particle aggregation, starting from well-defined and nanosized building blocks pre-formed in the mother solution. This is in line with recent studies that have shown the spontaneous formation of multi-centred coordination clusters in calcium carbonate solutions\textsuperscript{31,32}. These so-called prenucleation clusters\textsuperscript{32} have been found to be a few nanometres in size (1 to 4 nm—in good agreement with our observations)\textsuperscript{32,33} and represent a...
stable population of solutes which form spontaneously, without having to overcome an energetical barrier. Up to now, their specific role in mineralisation processes has been a matter of debate. Our results support the view that the aggregation of these solute clusters drives the formation of ACC. This hierarchical organization might also explain how polyelectrolytes, such as proteins, can control the formation of the complex structures found in carbonate shells and exoskeletons: by serving as spacers, capping or bridging agents, biopolymers or biomimetic polymers additives may regulate and mediate the individual aggregation steps, intercalating between structural units and/or replacing counterions found within the water-rich channels. The low solubility of calcium as opposed to carbonate revealed by our molecular dynamics simulations would suggest very limited opportunity for a shift in isotopic composition for calcium upon crystallization while this would not be the case for oxygen and point to very limited exchange of magnesium for calcium after ACC formation. Our approach for solving the structure of ACC is equally applicable to other mineral systems with weakly ordered or amorphous intermediates during their formation, be they of biological or synthetic origin. Our findings contribute to a new molecular understanding of mineral formation via amorphous precursors, refining our view on biogenic and abiotic mineral formation, opening up novel concepts for environmentally sustainable antiscalants or biomimetic materials.

Figure 5. (a) Small and ultra-small angle neutron scattering from protonated and deuterated ACC. Neutron scattering cross-sections from: (a) protonated and, (b) deuterated ACC. The experimental data is given by empty circles. Red lines correspond to the overall fit model of the scattering cross-section based on a four-component Beaucage form factor model; the four individual components are plotted by blue lines. (c) Schematic visualization of the hierarchical organization of ACC precipitated at high pH as revealed in this work from a combination of neutron scattering analyses in the wide-, small- and very small-angle regimes.
Methods

Synthesis of ACC. We used a previously reported procedure to synthesise the ACC samples used in this study19. Briefly, 100 ml of a freshly prepared 50 mM CaCl₂ solution (pH 6.6) was placed in a centrifuge bottle. A 12 × 12 cm², 75 μm thick polyethylene sheet was partially pushed into the bottle to form a pouch. A 1 cm diameter (~10 g) steel ball was placed into the pouch together with 100 ml of 50 mM Na₂CO₃ + 0.5 g of NaOH (pH 13.7). The bottle was capped and placed in an ice bath for an hour before it was placed in a Sorvall RC-5 centrifuge that was cooled to 4 °C, and the sample was spun at 3500 rpm 3 to 5 min. The supernatant liquid was then removed (pH 13.0) and the remaining precipitate washed in a few ml of ethanol. The precipitate was then separated by vacuum filtration (10–15 μm pore size and ~0.1 bar pressure) and was subsequently dried for 1–2 h. The same procedure, except for the use of deuterated chemicals (D₂O and NaOD), was used to make the deuterated sample. The samples were found to contain one water molecule per formula unit. X-ray diffraction data collected from fresh samples demonstrated a lack of sharp peaks characteristic of a crystalline material and the presence of the broad scattering peaks characteristic of an amorphous or nano-crystalline material. These diffraction patterns were found not to change even after samples were stored for many months and even shipped to the synchrotron.

Neutron scattering. As reported previously19, neutron data were collected on the NOMAD instrument at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Spallation Neutron Source. The sample was loaded into a 5 mm diameter quartz capillary tube inside a glove box under a nitrogen atmosphere, sealed and quickly transported to the instrument. Scattering data were collected in 30 min-frames at room temperature in an Argon atmosphere for a total of 1.5 h. The standard instrument data reduction software was used to normalise the data and subtract the background (Supplementary Fig. S1)39.

X-ray scattering. X-ray scattering data were collected on beamline 11-ID-B at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory using monochromatic X-rays of energy 58.7 keV (λ = 0.2114 Å). The sample was loaded into a 1 mm diameter polyimide capillary which was sealed with epoxy resin and shipped to the beamline about 48 h before data collection in a temperature-stabilised container. Data were collected at room temperature in transmission using a Perkin Elmer amorphous silicon image plate detector using an exposure time of 30 min. Data from an empty polyimide capillary was also collected. The Fit2D program was used to determine the sample to detector distance and tilt parameters, using a CeO standard, and to integrate the raw two-dimensional data to give standard one-dimension scattering patterns. The total scattering factor S(Q) (Supplementary Fig. S1) was obtained using PDFgetX2 to subtract the empty capillary data and apply the normal corrections40.

EPSR simulations. It is impossible to decompose a total radial distribution function into a set of partial pair distribution functions. Thus, we used a Monte Carlo simulation, constrained by our neutron and X-ray scat-
with diameter 9 cm and pixel size 0.32 mm. To improve scattering statistics above \( Q = 0.02 \) Å\(^{-1}\) experiments were carried out at the classical pinhole SANS instrument KWS-2 at sample-to-detector distances of 2 and 8 m and wavelength of \( \lambda = 5 \) Å (\( \Delta \lambda/\lambda = 12.8 \) Å (\( \Delta \lambda = 0.35 \) Å). ACC(d) and ACC(h) powders were eventually annealed for 2.5 h at 200 °C. The dry powder was suspended in water-free ethanol using ultrasound and was drop cast onto Lacey carbon TEM sample grids (400 mesh). The coherent lengths \( L_{\text{coh}} \) (of cryptocrystalline regions) were determined by applying the Scherrer equation \( L_{\text{coh}} = \frac{\lambda}{β \cos(θ)} \), (where \( L_{\text{coh}} \) is the mean crystallite size, \( k \) is a dimensionless shape factor (here: 0.89 for spherical shape), \( λ \) is the wavelength of the electrons \( λ = 1.97 \) pm with an energy of 300 keV, \( β \) is the line broadening (integral width), and \( θ \) is the Bragg angle) to rotationally averaged SAED ring patterns assuming Gaussian peak shapes giving estimated particle size of about 1.8 nm. The observed lattice spacing of 0.24076 nm could also be assigned to CaO (d\(_{\text{200}}\) = 0.24076 nm, ICSD no. 51409), which may form when CaCO\(_3\) is exposed to ultra-high vacuum and radiation for an extended period. The lattice spacings of calcite (ICSD no. 18164) and vaterite (AMCSD no. 19138) were retrieved from pertinent crystallographic databases.

Small and ultra-small angle neutron scattering (SANS, VSANS). Small-Angle Neutron Scattering (SANS) experiments were performed on KWS-2 and KWS-3 instruments operated by Jülich Centre for Neutron Science (JCNs) at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ) in Garching, Germany. Focusing SANS experiments were performed on KWS-2 and KWS-3 instruments operated by Jülich Centre for Neutron Science (JCNS) at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ) in Garching, Germany. Focusing SANS experiments were performed on KWS-2 and KWS-3 instruments operated by Jülich Centre for Neutron Science (JCNS) at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ) in Garching, Germany. Focusing SANS experiments were performed on KWS-2 and KWS-3 instruments operated by Jülich Centre for Neutron Science (JCNS) at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ) in Garching, Germany. Focusing SANS experiments were performed on KWS-2 and KWS-3 instruments operated by Jülich Centre for Neutron Science (JCNS) at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ) in Garching, Germany. 

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). High-resolution (HR) TEM and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) were performed with a double aberration-corrected FEI Titan Themis 60–300 TEM operated at 300 kV. The electron beam irradiation of the imaged area was minimized to avoid beam damage to the sample (beam current around 140 pA). The samples were synthesized as given above, dried over silica for 3 days, and eventually annealed for 2.5 h at 200 °C. The dry powder was suspended in water-free ethanol using ultrasound and was drop cast onto Lacey carbon TEM sample grids (400 mesh). The coherent lengths \( L_{\text{coh}} \) (of cryptocrystalline regions) were determined by applying the Scherrer equation \( L_{\text{coh}} = \frac{\lambda}{β \cos(θ)} \), (where \( L_{\text{coh}} \) is the mean crystallite size, \( k \) is a dimensionless shape factor (here: 0.89 for spherical shape), \( λ \) is the wavelength of the electrons \( λ = 1.97 \) pm with an energy of 300 keV, \( β \) is the line broadening (integral width), and \( θ \) is the Bragg angle) to rotationally averaged SAED ring patterns assuming Gaussian peak shapes giving estimated particle size of about 1.8 nm. The observed lattice spacing of 0.24076 nm could also be assigned to CaO (d\(_{\text{200}}\) = 0.24076 nm, ICSD no. 51409), which may form when CaCO\(_3\) is exposed to ultra-high vacuum and radiation for an extended period. It may also be assigned to the first signal of pristine ACC when taking into account that dehydration may lead to distinct lattice distortions. The lattice spacings of calcite (ICSD no. 18164) and vaterite (AMCSD no. 0019138) were retrieved from pertinent crystallographic databases.

Model building. The sharp peaks observed in the partial pair distribution function (pPDF) for Ca–Ca (Supplementary Fig. S2) at about 4, 6 and 8 Å and the associated sharp peaks observed in the Ca–Ca–Ca bond angle distribution function (Supplementary Fig. S3) at about 55° and 90° were used to define the basic lattice with Ca1 being 4 Å to Ca2 and Ca5, Ca1 being 8 Å to Ca4, Ca1 being 6 Å to Ca6, Ca2 being 4 Å to Ca3, the Ca5–Ca1–Ca2 angle being 55° and the Ca3–Ca2–Ca1 angle being 90° (Supplementary Fig. S5). Now the carbon groups can only possibly be located between calcium atoms along the a direction in order to approximately satisfy the doublet central close to the 3 Å Ca–C distance in the Ca–C pPDF given the need to satisfy the Ca–O distance of 2.4 Å in the Ca–O pPDF and the intermolecular C–O distance of 3.8 Å in the C–O pPDF. The doublet in the Ca–C pPDF at about 3 Å is explained by the carbonate C atoms being in two positions giving Ca1–C1 and Ca6–C2 distances of 2.8 Å and 3.3 Å to match the doublet peak positions. The orientation of the carbonate groups is further constrained by the O–O distances in the O–O pPDF forcing them to be arranged in the same orientation along the c-axis although they can have any orientation along the a and b axes. About 70% of carbonate groups have monodentate coordination with calcium and 30% have bidentate coordination with calcium. Two water molecule positions can be identified: one on the [110] crystal face and the other on the [011] crystal face giving rise to the 2.5 Å peak in the Ca–Ow pPDF and the 3.2 Å and 3.6 Å peaks in the C–Ow pPDF. The oxygens are arranged with the lone pairs pointing towards two Ca atoms and the hydrogens arranged away from the crystal faces which gives rise to the 3.1 Å peak in the Ca–H and 3 and 4 Å peaks in the C–H pPDFs. The peaks at 2.7 Å in the O–Ow pPDF and the peaks between 2 and 3.4 in the O–H pPDFs are due to water-water correlations in the water channels. The 1.8 peak in the O–H pPDF corresponds to a channel water-carbonate group interaction on the [101] plane orientated with the hydrogen pointing towards the crystal face. The atomic coordinates of this average structure are contained in (Supplementary Table S1). The peaks in the pair distribution functions and bond angle distribution functions are wide demonstrating a degree of disorder in the atomic structure which may be due to the small size of the ACC particles and the fact that some calcium atoms are hydrated while others are not.
multi-level Beaucage fit was applied. Thus, the combination of the two SANS instruments allowed us to investigate structural sizes from 6 Å to 3 μm. The scattering curves obtained were analyzed with

\[
\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( G_i \exp\left( -\frac{Q^2 R_i^2}{3} \right) + B_i \exp\left( -\frac{Q^2 R_i^2(g+i)}{3} \right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} \right)^{p_i},
\]

where \( m \) is the number of the structural levels (in our case \( m = 4 \)), \( G_i \) forward scattering and \( B_i \) power low amplitude of \( i \)th structural level with the fixed exponent \( p_i = 4 \).

**Molecular dynamics.** Simulations were carried out using the LAMMPS package using a time step of 1 fs. For the sake of best comparability to DOLLOP solutions by Gale et al., the interaction potentials were fully adopted from these studies (Supplementary Information 2)31,34,47,48. For the force evaluations, a cutoff distance of 11 Å was applied, combined with the dampened shifted force approach to the Coulomb interactions using a damp- ing factor of \( \alpha = 0.05 \) Å−1. Each of the simulation models were first relaxed at 300 K and 1 atm within 1 ns runs. For the data production, molecular dynamics runs at ambient conditions of 5 and 10 ns were performed for the bulk ACC system and the interface model, respectively.

Received: 18 September 2021; Accepted: 23 March 2022

**References**

1. Von Eeuw, S. et al. Biological control of aragonite formation in stony corals. *Science* **356**, 933–938 (2017).
2. Weiner, S. & Addadi, L. Crystallization pathways in biominaleralization. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **41**, 21–40 (2011).
3. Bahn, S. Y., Jo, B. H., Choi, Y. S. & Cha, H. J. Control of nacre biomineralization by Pif80 in pearl oyster. *J. Cell. Biol.* **214**, 103–111 (2016).
4. Cartwright, J. H. E., Checa, A. G., Gebauer, D. & Sainz-Díaz, C. I. Calcium carbonate polyamorphism and its role in biomineralization: How many amorphous calcium carbonates are there? *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**, 11960–11970 (2012).
5. Porter, S. M. Seawater chemistry and early carbonate biomineralization. *Science* **316**, 1302–1302 (2007).
6. Joos, F. et al. Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. *Nature* **437**, 681–686 (2005).
7. Hoennisch, B. et al. The geological record of ocean acidification. *Science* **335**, 1058–1063 (2012).
8. Foster, G. L. & Rie, J. W. Reconstructing ocean pH with boron isotopes in Foraminifera. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 2033–2037 (2006).
9. Hasson, D., Shemer, H. & Sher, A. State of the art of friendly “green” scale control inhibitors: A review article. *Mol. Aspects Med.* **35**, 390–398 (2014).
10. F. De Yoreo, J. J. Molecular structure of the polymer-induced liquid precursor for calcium carbonate. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 6760–6760 (2017).
11. Schöne, B. R. *Arctica islandica* (Bivalvia): A unique paleoenvironmental archive of the northern North Atlantic Ocean. *Glob. Planet. Change* **111**, 199–225 (2013).
12. De Yoreo, J. J. et al. Crystallization by particle attachment in synthetic, biogenic, and geologic environments. *Science* **349**, 6760–6760 (2015).
13. Li, D. et al. Direction-specific interactions control crystal growth by oriented attachment. *Science* **336**, 1014–1018 (2012).
14. Liu, Z. et al. Crosslinking ionic oligomers as conformable precursors to calcium carbonate. *Nature* **574**, 394–398 (2019).
15. Fernandez-Martinez, A., Kalkan, B., Clark, S. M. & Waychunas, G. A. Pressure-induced polyamorphism and formation of ‘aragonitic’ amorphous calcium carbonate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **125**, 8512–8515 (2013).
16. Soper, A. K. Empirical potential Monte Carlo simulation of fluid structure. *Chem. Phys.* **202**, 295–306 (1996).
17. Goodwin, A. L. et al. Nanoporous structure and medium-range order in synthetic amorphous calcium carbonate. *Chem. Mater.* **22**, 397–3025 (2010).
18. Cobourne, G. et al. Neutron and X-ray diffraction and empirical potential structure refinement modelling of magnesium stabilised amorphous calcium carbonate. *J. Non. Cryst. Solids* **401**, 154–158 (2014).
19. Jensen, A. C. S. et al. Hydrogen bonding in amorphous calcium carbonate and molecular reorientation induced by dehydration. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **122**, 3591–3598 (2018).
20. Wang, H. *et al.* Synthesis and structure of synthetically pure and deuterated amorphous (basic) calcium carbonates. *Chem. Commun.* **53**, 2942–2945 (2017).
21. Tengroth, C., Swenson, J., Isopo, A. & Börjesson, L. Structure of Ca₉₋₆K₆(NO₃)₄ from the glass to the liquid state. *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 224207 (2001).
22. Vashishtha, P., Kaia, R. K., Rino, J. P. & Ebbajo, I. Interaction potential for SiO₂: A molecular-dynamics study of structural correlations. *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 12197–12209 (1990).
23. Sen, S., Kaseman, D. C., Colas, B., Jacob, D. E. & Clark, S. M. Hydrogen bonding induced distortion of CO₂ units and kinetic stabilization of amorphous calcium carbonate: Results from 2D ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **18**, 20330–20337 (2016).
24. Zhang, G. & Xu, J. From colloidal nanoparticles to a single crystal: New insights into the formation of nacre’s aragonite tablets. *J. Struct. Biol.* **182**, 36–43 (2013).
25. He, P., Sinha, S. & Gal, A. Nanocrystalinité model for amorphous calcium carbonate. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **47**, 1651–1657 (2014).
26. IUCr. Report of the executive committee for 1991. *Acta Crystallogr.* **48**, 922–946 (1992).
27. Bouget, É. M. et al. The initial stages of template-controlled CaCO₃ formation revealed by Cryo-TEM. *Science* **323**, 1455–1458 (2009).
28. Reeder, R. J. et al. Characterization of structure in biogenic amorphous calcium carbonate: Pair distribution function and nuclear magnetic resonance studies of lobster gastrothel. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **13**, 1905–1913 (2013).
29. Sun, S., Chevrier, D. M., Zhang, P., Gebauer, D. & Cölfen, H. Distinct short-range order is inherent to small amorphous calcium carbonate clusters (<2 nm). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**, 12206–12209 (2016).
30. Xu, Y. et al. Microscopic structure of the polymer-induced liquid precursor for calcium carbonate. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1–12 (2018).
Acknowledgements

BC was supported by a PhD scholarship provided jointly by the Australian Centre for Neutron Scattering, ANSTO and Macquarie University. HWW, KP and JN were supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science (SC), Office of Basic Energy Sciences (BES), Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division. KP was supported in part by the DOE, SC, BES Early Career Research Program (KCO40602), under contract number DE-AC05-00OR22725. The NOMAD instrument at ORNL's SNS is sponsored by the DOE, SC, BES, Scientific User Facilities Division. 11-ID-B was supported by the DOE, SC, BES, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. SEW acknowledges financial support by an Emmy Noether starting Grant issued by the German Research Foundation (DFG, Grant Number 251939425) (WO1712/3-1) and by a BayIntAn grant issued at the spallation neutron source SNS. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 287, 68–75 (2012).

Author contributions

S.M.C. devised the project. H.W.W., K.P., and P.I.S. devised the sample synthesis method and made the sample. J.N. collected and processed the neutron data. A.K.S. carried out the EPSR refinement. P.D. conducted the molecular modelling simulations. V.P. conducted V/SANS experiments; B.A.Z., T.Y., and S.E.W. analysed the V/SANS data. B.A.Z., T.Y. and P.I.S. performed TEM analyses; B.A.Z., T.Y., P.I.S., E.S., and S.E.W. interpreted and analysed the results. S.M.C., B.C., D.Z., D.J., and S.E.W. interpreted the results of the simulations, built the atomic model, and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10627-9.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.M.C. or S.E.W.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.
