ASSOCIATION BETWEEN LEVELS OF SPORTS PARTICIPATION AND ORAL INJURIES AMONG COMBAT ATHLETES

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Oral injuries are common traumas in combat sports due to the aggressive nature of both offense and defense. Sports mouth guards are made to reduce the risk of traumatic face and jaw injuries and concussions during sports activities. Objective: The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of oral injuries in combat sports and to examine the association between participation levels and percentage of injury occurrence. Methods: One hundred and eight participants (mean age: 22.42 ± 2.162 years) were recruited in sparring events and were involved in scoring events later on. Data were collected using a questionnaire consisting of 22 questions about the demographic profile of the athletes, their injury experience and type of injuries sustained, awareness and use of mouth guards in sports activities. Descriptive analysis, Chi-square test, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were applied for data analysis. Results: Almost 77% of participants had experienced oral injuries during sports activities and nearly 90% were aware that oral injuries can be reduced by using mouth guards. In addition, 52.7% of participants complained that the mouth guard is not comfortable to use during sports activities. Findings revealed a significant moderate association between levels of participation and number of oral injuries (p = 0.013). One-way ANOVA showed a significant mean difference in the rate of oral injury for the four levels of participation groups F(3, 104) = 6.21, p = 0.011. Post-hoc comparisons using the Bonferroni test indicated a significant mean difference between university-state levels (p = 0.033) and university-national levels (p = 0.028). Conclusion: This study revealed that higher levels of participation in sports have a higher risk of injury. It was also found that the discomfort of using a mouth guard can be reduced if the coaches make the athletes wear proper mouth guards that follow the recommended specifications. Level of evidence IIIb; Case control study.
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RESUMO

Introdução: As lesões orais são traumas comuns nos esportes de combate, devido à natureza agressiva tanto do ataque quanto da defesa. Os protetores bucais esportivos são feitos para diminuir o risco de lesões traumáticas na face e na mandíbula, e também de concussão durante as atividades esportivas. Objetivo: Este estudo teve como objetivo determinar a prevalência de lesões orais em esportes de combate e examinar a associação entre níveis de participação e a porcentagem de ocorrência de lesões. Métodos: Foram recrutados cento e oito participantes (média de idade: 22.42 ± 2.162 anos) em eventos de combate. Os dados foram coletados por meio de um questionário composto por 22 perguntas sobre o perfil demográfico dos atletas, sua experiência com lesões e tipo de lesões sofri das, conhecimento e uso de protetores bucais em atividades esportivas. A análise descritiva, o teste do qui-quadrado e a análise de variância (ANOVA) foram aplicadas para análise dos dados. Resultados: Quase 77% dos participantes sofreram lesões orais durante atividades esportivas e quase 90% estavam cientes de que as lesões orais podem ser reduzidas com o uso de protetores bucais. Além disso, 52.7% dos participantes reclamaram que o protetor bucal não é confortável para uso durante as atividades esportivas. Os achados revelaram associação moderada significativa entre níveis de participação e número de lesões orais (p = 0.013). A ANOVA unilateral mostrou uma diferença média significativa na taxa de lesão oral nos quatro níveis dos grupos de participação F(3, 104) = 6.21, p = 0.011. As comparações post-hoc usando o teste de Bonferroni indicaram diferença média significativa entre os níveis universitário-estado (p = 0.033) e os níveis universitário-nacional (p = 0.028). Conclusão: Este estudo revelou que níveis mais altos de participação no esporte representam maior risco de lesões. Verificou-se também que a sensação de desconforto ao usar protetor bucal pode ser reduzida se os treinadores fizerem com que os atletas usem protetores bucais adequados, que sigam as especificações recomendadas. Nível de evidência IIIb; Estudo Caso-Controle.

Descritores: Lesão oral; Esportes de combate; Níveis de participação esportiva; Protetor bucal esportivo.

RESUMEN

Introducción: Las lesiones orales son traumas comunes en los deportes de combate, debido a la naturaleza agresiva tanto del ataque como de la defensa. Los protectores bucales deportivos son hechos para disminuir el riesgo de lesiones traumáticas en el rostro y en la mandíbula; y también de concusión en las actividades deportivas.
INTRODUCTION

Trauma from sports has been increasing recently because of the people rising trend towards exercise and physical fitness. A large number of dental injuries have been reported during sports activities involving the hard and soft tissues area like avulsed, chipped or luxated teeth, jaws fracture, and also lip laceration and injuries to the tongue or saliva.1 Any injury to the teeth or to the periodontium area, including gums, alveolar bone, and periodontal ligament, and even the nearby soft tissues like the lips, tongue, and jawbones are considered as oral injuries.2,3 Dental trauma especially oral and maxillofacial injuries are common and part of combat sports.4-7 The injury may come from the contact between two heads, dangerous falls, clenching of tooth or any way of blows towards the face.8

The aggressiveness of offensive or defensive nature of combat sports is the main contributor to the risk of injuries. Giving strikes and blocks various part of the body and the face where a wide range of different techniques involved in full force, is the necessity in these sports. With a minimal protective gear, a hard blow to the face would contribute to a very risky experience in hazardous injuries on the face area.6,9 Different levels of competition would have different rules and intensity. A study on mixed martial arts sport found that professional fighters would have three times higher injury rate than amateur fighters. This is due to modification in bouts with different time allocated, 5 minutes for professional and 3 minutes for amateur. Plus, professional fighters are allowed to hit the head and body by elbow strike.10 This is supported by another study where it’s found that physical load inflicted, when delivering or receiving blows would increase when the competitor’s skill level increases. Plus, experienced fighters would feel more confident and comfortable to use more advanced techniques, thus exposing them to the risk of having injury more frequently.11,12

Sports mouth guards are made to reduce the risk of oral injuries and concussion during sport activities.13-16 A protective mouth guard should be tight-fitting yet comfortable, allows user to breathe, speak and swallow normally. It also should not cause gagging or irritation to the oral area. Mouth guards should be tasteless, odourless and thick enough to provide protection on the oral area against impact.17 There are three basic types of mouth guards available which are stock mouth guards that could be easily purchased over the counter, mouth formed guard or also known as boiled-and-bite mouth guards made from a thermoplastic material and lastly, and custom made or dental mouth guard that is produced by dentists either by vacuum-forming or heat-pressure lamination technique.17-19

Although there are a lot of studies on oral injury and sport,2,20-23 but none were focusing closely on popular combat sports among university students where lots of factors may contribute to the oral injuries due to the way they played the game itself. There is also different opinion on relationship between levels of participation and prevalence of sport-related oral injuries among combat sport athletes. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of oral injuries; loosening teeth, broken teeth, broken bones, bruises on face, avulsion on lips, tongue or cheek, and dislocation of jaw; in combat sports, to check whether the sports mouth guards serve its function as it’s expected, to see the awareness of using sports mouth guards by athletes during sport activities, and to examine the association between levels of participation and percentage of injury occurrence based on five universities in Malaysia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

A total of 108 participants from 133 respondents whom were involved in sparring events at least at university level were selected for this study. Twenty-five subjects were excluded due to inconsistency in answering the questionnaire. Twenty-four of them were from University of Malaya (UM), 19 from University Putra Malaysia (UPM), 26 from National University of Malaysia (UKM), 20 from International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), and 19 from National Defence University of Malaysia (UPNM). Fifty-five of them were men and 53 women in which 71 of them were Malay, 16 Chinese, 15 Indians, two Ibans, and four Kadzans. The mean age of participants was 22.42 ± 2.162 years. Forty-seven of respondents reported Taekwondo as their major sport, 28 Silat, and 33 Karate with the mean participation of 6.86 ± 4.18 years. In terms of levels of participation, 40.7% engaged in university level, 20.4% in state level, 25.9% in national level, and the remaining 13% in international level.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of University of Malaya, Malaysia (UM18/05/2018). All participants were asked for a written informed consent after an explanation of the procedure, prior to the start of the study.
Measurement and procedures
Questionnaire consisting of 22 questions was used in this study including demographic data, combat sports involved, period and levels of participation, and whether there was any oral injuries occurred specifically loosening or fracture of teeth, broken bones, bruises and laceration on the oral area, and jaw dislocation during sport participation. Participants were asked regarding the usage of mouth guard and the reason of using and not using. Also, whether they knew and believed dental injury can be prevented by using mouth guard during sport activities. The questionnaire was pretested on 20 combat sports athletes prior to testing to ensure its clarity. Feedbacks on any difficulty of understanding and answering the questions were collected and addressed. All questionnaires and consent forms distributed through Google form and the link spread out through WhatsApp application and email address of volunteered athletes from the respective universities.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, version 24. Descriptive analysis presenting frequencies and mean ± standard deviation (SD) was applied to analyse the demographic data, injury occurrence, and use of mouth guard as well as awareness of using it during sport activities. Chi-square test for association was conducted to test the relationship/association between levels of sports participation and rate of oral injury; and Cramer’s V measure was used to see the strength of association between these two variables. One-way analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Bonferroni post-hoc test then further used to compare the means between the different levels of participation: university, state, national, and international. p < .05 was considered statistically significant in the interpretation of the results. Moreover, the preliminary assumptions were checked without any serious violations.

RESULTS
A total of 83 out of 108 (76.9%) of participants reported that have been experienced oral injuries during sport activities. The distribution of different types of oral injuries is illustrated in Figure 1. Ninety-seven individuals (89.8%) were aware that oral injury can be reduced by using mouth guard while 94 (87%) agreed that mouth guard is important during sport activities. However, only 78 participants (72.2%) wore mouth guard during their sport activities, 71 of them used boiled-and-bite mouth guards and 7 wore custom-made mouth guards. The reasons they used mouth guards were because of the rules (74.03%), their coach asked them to wear it (38.96%), and for their own safety (81.82%). The reasons those who did not use mouth guard during their sport activities, 31 participants, were: their coach did not tell them to wear it (70.97%), mouth guard was expensive for them (12.90%), it was uncomfortable to wear mouth guard during activities (61.29%), and it was not important for them to use mouth guard in their activities (58.06%). Fifty-seven out of 108 participants indicated that the mouth guard is not comfortable from them to be worn during sports activities. Justification on why it’s not comfortable for them is laid out in Figure 2.

Findings of Chi-square test for association (Table 1) revealed that levels of participation had a statistically significant moderate association with number of oral injuries sustained (p = 0.013, Cramer’s V= 0.317). Figure 3 illustrated the percentage of oral injuries according to levels of participation. One-way ANOVA showed a significant mean difference in rate of oral injury for the four levels of participation groups F(3, 104) = 6.21, p = 0.011. Post-hoc comparisons using Bonferroni test (Table 2) indicated significant mean difference between university-state levels (p = 0.033) and university-national levels (p = 0.028).

![Figure 1. Prevalence of oral injury among combat sports athletes from five universities in Malaysia.](image)

![Figure 2. Reasons of discomfort in using mouth guard during sport activities.](image)

![Figure 3. Percentage of oral injuries according to levels of participation.](image)

![Table 1. Pearson chi-square test analysis, the relationship between levels of participation and rate of oral injury sustained.](table)

| Oral Injury | χ² | Levels of Participation | Total |
|-------------|----|-------------------------|-------|
| Yes         |    | University State National International |
| Count       |    | 27 20 25 11 83       |       |
| Expected count |    | 33.8 16.9 21.5 10.8 83.0 |       |
| No          |    | 17 2 3 3 25         |       |
| Expected count |    | 10.2 5.1 6.5 3.2 25.0 |       |
|             |    | 44 22 28 14 108     |       |

*Only university level showed lower number of oral injury experienced whereas the others showed more than the expected outcome.

![Table 2. Bonferroni post-hoc test for rate of oral injury between the levels of participation.](table)

| Levels of Participation (I) | Levels of Participation (J) | Sig. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| University                  | State                       | 0.033|
|                             | National                    | 0.028|
|                             | International               | 0.517|
| State                       | National                    | 0.999|
|                             | International               | 0.813|
|                             | National                    | 0.853|

*Significant One-way ANOVA test (p = 0.001)
DISCUSSION

Results of the study showed that the awareness of using mouth guard as a protective gear in Malaysian combat sports athletes is high (very close to 90%); however, the prevalence of oral injuries is still high with nearly 77% occurrence. Bruises on the face were the highest reported cases followed by laceration, and the least was broken bones. However, in previous studies, laceration was the highest reported cases.\textsuperscript{5,7,24} This is possible due to modification of rules and changes in game play. When the audience think matches started to get boring, rules are modified to increase the intensity and make it more interesting. For example, World Taekwondo Federation (WTF) changed the fighting time from three minutes per round to two minutes per round, a point will be deducted when there is inaction after 10 seconds during a match, and reintroduction of more points for head kicks.\textsuperscript{25} Thus, this promotes more aggressiveness and contusion which leads to bruises instead of laceration. For the type of oral injuries, we also focus on jaw dislocation though the questionnaire that we adopted did not look into it. The reason we took it into account is because protecting the jaws to avoid concussion injury is one of mouth guards’ function.\textsuperscript{14,15} There are still jaw injuries even though athletes wear a mouth guard during sport activities. This could happen due to the type of mouth guards they used which might not be protective enough to protect the jaw. As is found from our study, out of 78 athletes who wore mouth guards, 91% used boiled-and-bite mouth guards and only 9% wore custom-made mouth guards. It’s found that boiled-and-bite mouth guard has lesser protection and retention due to lack of proper extension and thickness\textsuperscript{17,26} which reduce the protective function where a mouth guard should really serve.

More than 60% of participants who did not use mouth guards during sports activities complained that the mouth guard is not comfortable to be used during sports activities. This is because the mouth guard that they tried, did not meet the specifications that has been made which it has to be tight-fitting, allows user to breathe, speak and swallow normally, and does not cause gagging or irritation to the oral part.\textsuperscript{12,27} Production of excessive saliva, thickness of mouth guard at all the wrong place, bleeding gum, and difficulty of breathing would not be the issues if they use a proper mouth guard. Although boiled-and-bite mouth guards has all of these characteristics where it fits poorly, has a poor retention, and gives gagging effects to the user, still, over 90% of the participants used boiled- and-bite mouth guards since it has been proven to be the most popular among all sports mouth guards.\textsuperscript{17,18} Only 9% used custom-made mouth guard though it is the most protective among all. This is may be due to lack of knowledge about this type of mouth guard as it’s seen half of the participants did not know about custom-made mouth guard. Another reason might be getting a custom-made mouth guard is costly as it requires a dentist or dental technician to make it from specific materials through specific techniques.\textsuperscript{18,26,28}

Percentage of injuries for state and national level are quite close with 28.38% and 27.88%, respectively, followed by international level with 24.51%, and university level 19.23%. Previous study found that athletes representing their universities would have the lowest sport performance due to higher competitive anxiety compared to the higher level athletes.\textsuperscript{29} Higher level athletes would have a better skill with a higher physical load inflicted on their body as they deliver or receive blows. Experience fighters are likely to expose frequently to more dangerous techniques as they are comfortable to use them during their fights.\textsuperscript{11,12} Based on our findings, it’s proven that higher level athletes have a higher chance of getting oral injuries with a significant difference found through chi-square test and one-way ANOVA. However, we also found that at international level, Malaysian athletes were not getting much oral injuries. This might be because of Malaysian athletes would not survive long as in the chances of them getting into the next round is lower at international games. Basically, Asian kinanthropometric attributes are much smaller in terms of body size, proportions, shape, body composition and physic when compared to European and others and this is highly related to sports performance.\textsuperscript{30}

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study revealed that higher levels of participation in sport would have a higher risk of getting injuries. Significant result was found when comparing the percentage of injury between university with state and national levels. Cost is not the main reason athletes do not wear mouth guards. In fact, coaches are the one who play a bigger role in making the athletes to use it. Although boiled-and-bite mouth guard is the most popular and most chosen one, it is not the best mouth guard to be used by the athletes to protect their oral area. The uncomfortable feeling of using mouth guard can be reduced if the athletes wear a proper mouth guards that follows the specifications that has been made. Coaches and dental technician should emphasize more on a proper mouth guard usage to reduce the incidence of oral injury during sports activities. The limitation of this study is all types of mouth guards used, are counted and the way participants used the mouth guard cannot be controlled as they might alter the mouth guard to make it more comfortable yet reduces the function of the mouth guard as a protective gear. Therefore, future studies might want to focus on only custom-made mouth guards and the prevalence of injury since it is the best sports mouth guards in terms of protection.
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