Genetic analysis of GABRB3 as a candidate gene of autism spectrum disorders
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Abstract

Background: GABRB3 is a position candidate gene at chromosome 15q12 that has been implicated in the neurobiology of autism spectrum disorders (ASD). The aim of this study was to examine the genetic association of GABRB3 with ASD.

Methods: The sample consisted of 356 patients with clinical diagnosis of ASD according to the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria and confirmed by the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised and 386 unrelated controls. We searched for mutations at all the exonic regions and 1.6 Kb of the 5' region of GABRB3 in the genomic DNA of all the participants using the Sanger sequencing. We implemented a case-control association analysis of variants detected in this sample, and conducted a reporter gene assay to assess the functional impact of variants at the 5' regulatory region.

Results: We detected six known common SNPs; however, they were not associated with ASD. Besides, a total of 22 rare variants (12 at 5' regulatory, 4 at intronic, and 6 at exonic regions) were detected in 18 patients and 6 controls. The frequency of rare variants was significantly higher in the patient group than in the control group (18/356 versus 6/386, odds ratio = 3.37, P = 0.007). All the 12 rare variants at the 5' regulatory region were only detected in 7 patients, but not in any of the controls (7/356 versus 0/386, Fisher's exact test, P = 0.006). Two patients carried multiple rare variants. Family studies showed that most of these rare variants were transmitted from their parents. Reporter gene assays revealed that four rare variants at the 5' regulatory region and 1 at exon 1a untranslated region had elevated reporter gene activities compared to two wild type alleles.

Conclusions: Our data suggest rare variants of GABRB3 might be associated with ASD, and increased GABRB3 expression may contribute to the pathogenesis of ASD in some patients.
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Background

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are a constellation of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by the deficits in social reciprocity and language/communication ability, and the presence of restricted interests and repetitive behaviors [1]. The prevalence of ASD was estimated approximately as 1 per 110 children, with a male-to-female ratio of approximately 4:1 [2,3]. Genetic factors have been found to play an important role in the etiology of ASD [4-6].

Chromosome 15q11-q13 is a hot region of occurrence of genomic DNA deletions and duplications that are usually associated with developmental disorders including ASD [7-9]. For example, deletion of paternal segment 15q11.2-q12 is associated with Prader-Willi syndrome that is characterized by obesity, short stature, and hypotonia, while deletion of maternal segment of 15q11-13 is associated with Angelman syndrome which is characterized by mental retardation, movement disorder, and impaired language and speech development. Both Angelman and Prader-Willi syndromes are liable to have ASD [10]. Moreover, maternal duplication of 15q11-
q13 was found in approximately 1 to 3% of patients with ASD [11]. Hence, genes located at this region have been considered to be potential candidate genes of ASD.

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain. A cluster of GABA_A receptor subunit genes, including GABRB3, GABRA5, and GABRG3, which encode subunits β3, α5, and γ3, respectively, were mapped to chromosome 15q12 [8]. Several lines of study indicate that an altered GABAergic signaling pathway is associated with the pathogenesis of autism [12]. For example, reduced expression of GABA_A receptor subunit genes including GABRB3 [13-17] and the GABA synthesizing enzymes, glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) 65 and 67 were found in several brain regions of patients with autism [18-20]. Mori and colleagues reported dramatically reduced GABA_A receptor binding in the superior and medial frontal cortex of patients with ASD using ^123^I-Iomazenil (IMZ) single photon emission computed tomography [21]. These data render GABA_A receptor subunit genes potential candidate genes of autism.

Several genetic linkage and association studies have investigated the association of the three GABA_A receptor subunit genes at 15q11-13 with ASD. Cook and colleagues first reported linkage disequilibrium (LD) between autism and a genetic marker at GABRB3 [22]. This finding was replicated by some studies [23-25], but not by others [26-29]. Menold and colleagues found two genetic markers in the GABRG3 associated with autism [30]. McCauley and colleagues conducted a LD analysis of genetic markers spanning the 1-Mb of 15q12; they found six markers across GABRB3 and GABRA5 nominally associated with autism [31]. In view of the clinical heterogeneity of patients with ASD, several groups studied the genetic association of these GABA_A receptor subunit genes with subsets of ASD patients based on particular phenotypes. For examples, Shao and colleagues reported increased linkage of GABRB3 locus with autism in families sharing the high insistence-on-sameness scores [32]. Similarly, Nurmi and colleagues reported improved linkage of GABRB3 with autism in subset families based on savant skills [33]. Warrior and colleagues examined the association between 45 SNPs in GABRB3 and Asperger syndrome; they found significant association of three SNPs with Asperger syndrome and multiple related endophenotypes of ASD [34]. Furthermore, Ma and colleagues investigated the genetic association of 14 known GABA_A receptor subunit genes and their interaction with autism. They concluded that the genetic interaction between GABRA4 and GABRB1 increased the risk of autism [35]. Investigating the interaction between the markers in four GABA_A receptor subunit genes in an Argentinean sample of ASD, Sesarini and colleagues found that the genetic interaction between GABRB3 and GABRD was associated with an increased risk of autism [36]. However, Ashley-Koch and colleagues investigated the multi-locus effect of three GABA_A receptor subunit genes at 15q12 on autism but they did not find any positive association [37]. Atypical sensory sensitivity is one of the core features of patients with autism [38] and Tavassoli and colleagues found an association between genetic markers of GABRB3 and tactile sensitivity in typically developing children, implicating the involvement of GABRB3 in the atypical sensory sensitivity in autism spectrum conditions [39]. In addition, postmortem studies showed reduced GABRB3 expression in patients with autism [15,16]. Taken together, converging evidence from these studies supports the idea that GABRB3 may be an important candidate gene of ASD.

One study reported that mice deleted for all three subunit genes (Gabrg3, Gabra5, and Gabrb3) mostly died at birth with a cleft palate, and approximately 5% that survived exhibited neurological abnormalities. However, mice lacking the expression of Gabra5 or Gabrb3 did not show the neurological symptoms found in the mice lacking the three genes [40]. Furthermore, mice with deletion or reduction of Gabra5 showed enhanced learning and memory [41,42]. Mice lacking the Gabrb3 had epilepsy phenotype and many behavioral abnormalities such as deficits in learning and memory, poor motor skills, hyperactivity, and a disturbed rest-activity cycle [43]. Gabrb3 deficient mice also manifested a wide range of neurochemical, electrophysiological, and behavioral abnormalities that overlapped with the traits observed in ASD [44]. DeLorey and colleagues found that Gabrb3 deficient mice exhibited impaired social and exploratory behaviors, deficits in non-selective attention and hypoplasia of cerebellar vermal lobules [45]. Hence, the phenotype of Gabrb3 deficient mice was considered to represent a potential model of ASD [45]. Duplication of chromosome 15q11-q13 accounts for approximately 1 to 3% of autism cases [11]. A mouse model of 15q11-13 duplication showed several behavioral abnormalities that replicate various aspects of human autistic phenotypes [46]. However, the relevance of Gabrb3 to the behavioral phenotypes has not yet been addressed in this animal model.

Prompted by these findings, we were interested to know whether GABRB3 was associated with ASD in our population. The study specifically aimed to investigate whether there are variants at GABRB3 that may confer increased risk to ASD in our population. To address this issue, we conducted deep sequencing of 1.6 Kb of the 5’ region and all exons and their flanking sequences of GABRB3 in a sample of patients with ASD and control subjects from Taiwan using Sanger-sequencing. The GABRB3 [GenBank: NG_012836.1] spans approximately
230 Kb at chromosome 15q12, and contains 10 exons. The first two exons (exon 1a and exon 1) are alternatively spliced exons of GABRB3 that encode an open reading frame of 473 amino acids of isoform 2 and isoform 1, respectively. Although both isoforms have the same amino acid numbers, they have different amino acid sequences in their N-terminus. The sequencing approach basically followed the study conducted by Urak and colleagues with slight modification in the primer sequences [47].

**Methods**

**Subjects**

All the subjects enrolled into this study were Han Chinese from Taiwan. Patients with a clinical diagnosis of ASD made by board-certificated child psychiatrists according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV (DSM-IV) [1] were recruited from the Children’s Mental Health Center, National Taiwan University Hospital (NTUH), Taipei, Taiwan; the Department of Psychiatry, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CGMH), Taoyuan, Taiwan; and Taoyuan Mental Hospital (TMH), Taoyuan, Taiwan. The clinical diagnosis of ASD was further confirmed by interviewing the caregivers (mainly parents) by qualified child psychiatrists using the Chinese version of the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R) [48,49]. The Chinese version of the ADI-R, translated into Mandarin by Gau and colleagues [48,49], was approved by Western Psychological Services in 2007. Their parents also reported their autistic symptoms on the Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ) [51] to screen for any autistic trait and the Chinese version of Adult Self-Report Inventory-IV (ASRI-IV) [52] to screen for any psychiatric symptoms according to the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria.

A total of 386 unrelated control subjects (189 males, 197 females, mean age: 45.8 ± 12.5 years) were recruited from the Department of Family Medicine of Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital, Hualien, Taiwan. The current mental status and history of mental disorders of the control subjects were evaluated by a senior psychiatrist using the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview [53]. Those with current or past history of mental disorders were excluded from the study. We did not check whether they had a family history of mental disorders.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of each hospital (NTUH: 9561709027; CGMH: 93-6244; TMH: C20060905; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00494754) and written informed consent was obtained from the participants and/or parents after full explanation of the protocol and reassurance of confidentiality and voluntary participation. Due to ethical consideration and human subject protection, we were not able to recruit gender- and age-matched control subjects into this study.

**PCR-based direct sequencing**

Genomic DNA was prepared from the peripheral blood of each participant for PCR amplification. Thirteen amplicons that cover 1.6 Kb of the 5’ region and 10 exons of the GABRB3 were generated from each individual and these amplicons were subjected to PCR-based autosequencing using ABI autosequencer 3730 (PerkinElmer Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Approximately 30 to 60 bp of the intronic region flanking the exon-intron junction of each exon were sequenced. All mutations identified in this study were confirmed by repeating PCR and sequencing. The primer sequences, optimal PCR conditions, and the size of amplicons are listed in the Additional file 1, and the locations of these amplicons are illustrated in the Additional file 2. The allele frequency of the variant greater than 1% was defined as common variation, whereas that less than 1% was defined as rare variation in this study.

**Reporter gene activity assay**

Genomic DNA prepared from peripheral blood cells was used to construct the inserts for the reporter gene assay. For common and rare variants at the 1.6 Kb of the 5’ region, a sense primer containing the KpnI recognition sequence and an antisense primer containing the XhoI recognition sequence were used to PCR amplify the
Fragment from nucleotide positions −1,646 to −46 upstream to the ATG starting site of GABRB3 exon 1a (genomic DNA positions: chromosome 15: 27018917-27020517). The 1.6 Kb amplicon was first cloned into the pCR-Blunt II-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), then subcloned into the pGL3-enhancer vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. At 30 hours after transfection, cells were lysed and the luciferase activities were measured and normalized against the Renilla luciferase using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The authenticity of these clones was verified by Sanger sequencing.

Plasmids were transfected into an HEK293 or SKNSH cell line in 24-well plates using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. At 30 hours after transfection, cells were lysed and the luciferase activities were measured and normalized against Renilla luciferase using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Transfection of each plasmid construct was conducted in quadruplicate in each reporter gene experiment, and the reporter gene experiment was repeated three times. The ratio of firefly luciferase to Renilla luciferase was used to represent the normalized reporter gene activity of each construct.

Comparison of reporter gene activity among different expression constructs was conducted using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc Tukey test. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Bioinformatic analysis

Variants at the 1.6 Kb of the 5′ region were assessed by WWW Signal Scan (http://www-bimas.cit.nih.gov/molbio/signal/). Missense mutations were analyzed using PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/). Secondary structure analysis was conducted by CDM protein secondary structure prediction server (http://gor.bb.iastate.edu/cdm/). The evolutionary conservation of the mutation was evaluated using PMut (http://mmb.pcb.ub.es/PMut/). Synonymous mutations were assessed by RegRNA (http://regrna.mbc.nctu.edu.tw/index1.php) to predict their influence on splicing donor/acceptor sites. ESEFinder 3.0 (http://rulai.cshl.edu/cgi-bin/tools/ESE3/esefinder.cgi?process=home) was used to analyze exonic splicing enhancer motifs. The prediction of possible phosphorylation substrate motif and phosphorylation binding motif was analyzed using PhosphoMotif Finder (http://www.hprd.org/PhosphoMotif_finder).

Detection of rare variants

Besides common SNPs, we detected a total of 22 rare variants in 18 patients and 6 control subjects, with a higher frequency in the patient group than the control group (18/356 versus 6/386, odds ratio = 3.37, *P* = 0.007) (Table 2). These 22 rare variants include 12 at the 5′ regulatory region, 4 at intronic regions, and 6 at exonic regions. Notably, all the 12 rare variants at the 5′ regulatory region were detected in 7 patients, but not in any controls (7/356 versus 0/386, Fisher’s exact test *P* = 0.006) (Table 2). Locations of these rare variants and their distributions in patients and controls are illustrated in Figure 1.
Family study of patients carrying the rare variants

A total of 14 families were enrolled for family study. Pedigrees of these 14 families are illustrated in Figure 3. The sex (11 males and 3 females), age (5.5 to 18.2 years old), full-scale IQ (57 to 140), subscores of the social reciprocity, verbal and non-verbal communication and behavioral domains assessed by the ADI-R, SCQ score, and SRS score of these 14 patients are summarized in Additional file 5. Based on the clinical assessment by the corresponding author, all the parents of the 14 patients did not have ASD except that the father of patient U1745 had ASD and the father of patient U1452 had autistic trait.

Starting from the 1.6 Kb of the 5’ end of the GABRB3, a g.-1571T>C variant was detected in a female patient (U1723, Figure 3a) with uncertain origin. This mutation was predicted to generate a new gamma-IRE_CS/(+) CTTGATCC TF binding site (Additional file 3).

Patient U1452 (Figure 3b) had a mutant allele harboring multiple variants at the 5’ regulatory region including an 11-bp insertion (g.-1533delCCTCAGinsTCCAT TAGACAAAAGTCTG), g.-1437G>T, g.-1090A>G, g.-541T>C, g.-534C>T, g.-169T>G and a T186M at exon 6. This complex mutant allele was transmitted from his father and found in another unaffected sibling. Based on clinical assessment, his father had some autistic trait characteristics such as abnormal reciprocal social interactions, difficulty finding common topics at conversation, lack of expression of his affection to or sharing with his family, and obsession with routine and rituals without meeting the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria. His report on the Chinese AQ (28) did not reach the cut-off (35) of very likely to have ASD in adults. Besides, he did not demonstrate any DSM-IV psychiatric symptoms except schizoid personality trait based on clinical assessment and his report on the Chinese ASRI-IV. All
the variants at the 5′ regulatory region except g.-1437G > T were predicted to generate new TF binding sites (Additional file 3). The T186M missense variant at exon 6 was predicted to be ‘probably damaging’ (Additional file 3).

Patient U1733 (Figure 3c) had a g.-1528T > C variant that was transmitted from his unaffected mother. The variant was predicted to create a new TCF-1/(+) CACAG TF binding site (Additional file 3). Both patient U1745 and his bother suffered from ASD and had the g.-1442G > A variant (Figure 3d). This mutation was not detected in their mother. The father was clinically diagnosed as ASD by the corresponding author, a child psychiatrist. His key features since childhood included special interest in digits, objects, and patterns, stereotyped routine and interest, inflexible schedule, avoidance of any social interactions, difficulty figuring out what others wanted or were addressing, and socially inappropriate conversation or manners. His report on the Chinese AQ reached the cut-off of very likely to have ASD in adults (35 > cut-off as 32). He did not have any experience of imaginary play. He also demonstrated anxious, depressive, inattentive and schizoid symptoms based on clinical evaluation and his report on the ASRI-IV. However, the father refused blood withdrawal; therefore, his DNA was not available for testing. The g.-1442G > A was predicted to create three new TF binding sites (Additional file 3).

Table 1 Genotype and allele frequencies of the six known SNPs and association analysis between the patient (n = 356) and control (n = 386) groups

| Location | SNP ID | Group | Allele 1/2 | Genotype counts (frequency) | P (df = 2) | Allele counts (frequency) | P (df = 1) | Power (df = 1) |
|----------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------|
| 5′ region | rs4906902 | ASD | T/C | 168 (0.472) 140 (0.393) 48 (0.135) | 0.304 | 476 (0.669) 236 (0.332) | 0.226 | 37.4% |
| Control | 161 (0.417) 171 (0.443) 54 (0.140) | 493 (0.639) 279 (0.361) |
| | rs8179184 | ASD | G/A | 168 (0.472) 141 (0.396) 47 (0.132) | 0.342 | 477 (0.670) 235 (0.330) | 0.244 | 37.3% |
| Control | 162 (0.420) 171 (0.443) 53 (0.137) | 495 (0.641) 277 (0.359) |
| | rs20317 | ASD | C/G | 167 (0.470) 140 (0.393) 49 (0.138) | 0.327 | 474 (0.666) 238 (0.334) | 0.347 | 37.6% |
| Control | 162 (0.420) 172 (0.446) 52 (0.135) | 496 (0.643) 276 (0.357) |
| Exon 1a | rs20318 | ASD | C/T | 167 (0.470) 139 (0.390) 50 (0.140) | 0.220 | 473 (0.664) 239 (0.336) | 0.406 | 37.7% |
| Control | 161 (0.417) 175 (0.453) 50 (0.130) | 497 (0.644) 275 (0.356) |
| | rs8179186 | ASD | C/T | 168 (0.472) 141 (0.396) 47 (0.132) | 0.367 | 477 (0.670) 235 (0.330) | 0.289 | 37.4% |
| Control | 163 (0.422) 171 (0.443) 52 (0.135) | 497 (0.644) 275 (0.356) |
| Intron 3 | rs8179186 | ASD | G/A | 195 (0.548) 126 (0.354) 35 (0.098) | 0.013 | 516 (0.725) 196 (0.275) | 0.131 | 34.0% |

Power indicates the estimates of power of risk alleles to detect the association with ASD under the assumptions of multiplicative inheritance mode, genotype relative risk (Aa) = 1.2, prevalence of disease = 0.01, D′ = 1 and P-value of 0.05 under null hypothesis. df indicates degree of freedom. ASD, autism spectrum disorders.

---

Figure 2 Plot of pair-wise linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis and haplotype block of six SNPs of GABRB3 identified in this study; (a) indicates LD plot of patient group, (b) indicates LD plot of control subjects. D′ was shown in the plot.
A patient had multiple mutations at the 5′ regulatory and a T186M missense mutation; hence, a total of 14 patients had the rare mutations.

Patient U981 had a g.-232G > T mutation that was transmitted from his father and this variant was also found in his unaffected brother (Figure 3e). The g.-232G > T mutation was predicted to delete a HINF-A/(+)AGAAATG TF binding site (Additional file 3). Patient U1067 had a g.-142G > T mutation that was transmitted from his unaffected father and this mutation was also found in his unaffected brother (Figure 3f). The g.-142G > T mutation was predicted to delete an AP-2/(+)CCGCCACGGC and create a new LBP-1/(+)TCTTGG TF binding site simultaneously (Additional file 3). Patient U985 had two variants, g.-142G > T and g.-140A > T, that were transmitted from his unaffected mother and unaffected father, respectively (Figure 3g). The g.-140A > T was predicted to create a new LBP-1 TF binding site.

Patient U838 had a c.51C > G variant at exon 1a that was transmitted from his unaffected mother (Figure 3h). This variant was also detected in his unaffected brother and two controls. This variant did not alter amino acid sequence at codon 17 (T17T) and was predicted to have no functional influence (Additional file 3).

Patient U1143 had a g.-53G > T variant at the exon 1 untranslated region that was transmitted from his unaffected father (Figure 3i). The variant did not alter any TF binding site (Additional file 3).

Patient U1398 had a g.-1204T > C variant resulting in amino acid substitution from tyrosine to histidine at codon 402 (Y402H) was found in three patients and two controls in this study. All three patients inherited this mutation from one of their parent dyads (Figure 3j-3n). This variant was already reported in the dbSNP with the number of rs185383468 (minor allele frequency = 0.005). Bioinformatic analysis predicted that this variant may affect the secondary structure and the phosphorylation of the protein (Additional file 3).

**Table 2 Comparison of the combined frequency of rare variants between the patient and control groups**

| Rare variants    | Patients (n = 356) | Control (n = 386) | Odds ratio | P               | Fisher’s exact test |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| All rare variants| 18                 | 6                 | 3.37       | 0.007           |                     |
| 5′ regulatory region | 7                  | 2                 | 2.18       | 0.006           |                     |
| Intronic regions | 4                  | 2                 | 2.18       | 0.36            |                     |
| Exonic regions   | 8                  | 4                 | 2.20       | 0.19            |                     |

**Table 2**

A patient had multiple mutations at the 5′ regulatory and a T186M missense mutation; hence, a total of 14 patients had the rare mutations.

**Reported gene assay**

The results of reporter gene activity assay are shown in Figure 4. In the experiment using the HEK293 cell line, the g.-232G > T and g.-142G > T showed significant elevation of reporter gene activity compared to the wild type construct CAG; however, they did not show significant differences in reporter gene activity when compared to the other wild type construct TGC (Figure 4a). In contrast, in the experiment using the SKNSH cell line, variants g.-1528T > C, g.-1442G > A, g.-142G > T, and g.-140A > T showed significantly elevated reporter gene activity compared to both wild type constructs (TGC and CAG) (Figure 4b). In the assay of g.-53G > T at exon 1 untranslated region, the mutant construct showed significant elevation of reporter gene activity compared to the wild type construct in both HEK293 (Figure 4c) and SKNSH cell lines (Figure 4d).

**Discussion**

In this study, we identified a total of six common known SNPs in this sample; however, no association of these SNPs with ASD was detected. Due to the small sample size of this study, the study has only approximately 34 to 37% power to detect the association under the assumptions of multiplicative inheritance mode and the genotype relative risk of 1.2. But in view of the clinical heterogeneity of ASD, the possible association of these SNPs with some subsets of patients cannot be ruled out.

During the sequencing experiment, we detected only three common SNPs (rs4906902, rs8179184, rs203317) at the 1.6 Kb of the 5′ regulatory region in our sample. Urak and colleagues screened a sample of patients with childhood absence epilepsy for mutations in the 10 exons and the 5′ regulatory sequences of GABRB3. They found a total of 13 SNPs from the 5′ regulatory region to the beginning of intron 3. Four different haplotypes derived from these SNPs. Further, they found a SNP at the 5′ regulatory region which changed the binding of a neuron-specific transcriptional activator N-Oct-3 and
Figure 3 Pedigrees for 14 autism spectrum disorder (ASD) families carrying rare variants at 5' regulatory and the protein-coding regions of GABRB3. Arrow indicates the proband and asterisk denotes individuals for whom DNA was not available. F: phenylalanine, T: threonine, S: serine, M: methionine, Y: tyrosine, H: histidine; del: deletion; ins: insertion.
showed different promoter activities in a reporter gene assay [47]. Several SNPs at this region, such as rs4273008, rs4243768, rs7171660, and rs4906901, as reported by Urak and colleagues in Austrians [47], were not detected in our sample. In addition, the rs4363842 as reported in Mexican and people in Honduras [58] was not found in the study of Urak and colleagues [47] and in our study. The three SNPs found in our sample also showed variations in frequency in different populations according to the dbSNP.

Together, these findings suggest that there might be a population stratification of SNPs at the regulatory region of GABRB3, which should be taken into consideration when conducting a case-control association study of this gene. Among these three SNP, the rs20317 was shown to be located at the core promoter region of the GABRB3 and the rs4906902 was located at the enhancer region. The C allele of rs20317 has been shown to have a significantly increased luciferase activity in a reporter assay [58].
A total of 22 rare variants were detected in this sample, including four variants (IVS1a + 10G > A, IVS1a + 17C > T, IVS1-3C > T, and IVS2-13G > C) located at intronic regions (Figure 1). The functional impact of these variants was predicted using bioinformatic analysis, and the results are listed in Additional file 3. The frequency of rare variants in the patient group was significantly higher than that in the control group, suggesting that rare mutations of GABRB3 might be associated with ASD. Of note, all the rare variants at the 5′ regulatory region were detected in the patient group only in this study, with a significantly higher frequency in the patient group than that in the control group. This finding also implies that altered GABRB3 gene expression might be involved in the neurobiology of ASD. The idea was partly supported by the reporter gene activity assay in this study. Four rare variants (g-1528T > C, g-1442G > A, g.-142G > T, and g.-140A > T) at the 5′ regulatory region were shown to have elevated reporter gene activity compared to the wild type alleles. The results are compatible with our bioinformatic analysis that showed gain of transcriptional binding sites of these four variants except g.-142G > T. In addition to the gain of a LBP-1/(+)TCTGG, the g.-142G > T was also predicted to lead to a loss of an AP-2/(+)CCGCCACGGC binding site (Additional file 3). Our data are also compatible with the increased Gabrb3 expression seen in the chromosomal-engineered mouse model for human 15q11-13 duplication of autism [46].

GABRB3 is biallelically expressed in control brain tissue samples. One study showed that the expression of GABRB3 was subject to epigenetic alterations that resulted in monoallelic expression in a subset of autism [59]. A recent study reported significantly increased variance of GABRB3 expression in the brain of patients with 15q11-13 duplication compared to control subjects and patients with autism [15]. Nevertheless, our findings are different from several postmortem studies that showed reduced GABRB3 expression in the brains of patients with autism [14,16,60]. Hence, the clinical relevance of our findings in this study remains to be clarified.

In this study, we identified three missense mutations (T186M, P336S, and Y402H) and two synonymous mutations (T17T and F314F). The T17T is a new variant that was detected in one patient and two controls in this study, and appears to be neutral according to the bioinformatic analysis. The F314F was detected in only one patient but not in the controls in this study. Lachance-Touche and colleagues reported the detection of the F314F synonymous variant in 1 out of 183 patients with idiopathic generalized epilepsy (IGE), but not in the 190 controls [61]. The authors suggested this variant might be pathological to IGE. This variant may influence the binding of the serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 6 (SRSF6) to an exonic splicing enhancer according to bioinformatic analysis conducted in this study, but the clinical relevance of this variant to ASD remains to be explored. The three missense mutations identified in this study, which occurred in the evolutionarily conserved region of GABRB3, may affect the secondary protein structure and alter a phosphorylation-based substrate motif or a phosphorylation-based binding motif. These findings suggest that these three missense mutations might have a functional impact on GABRB3.

Family studies revealed that almost all the rare variants found in patients were transmitted from their parents, and not all the carriers of rare variants met the clinical diagnosis of ASD, suggesting these rare variants are more likely to be risk factors rather than causative factors of ASD. Notably in the family of Figure 3g, the affected male patient (U985) carried two variants (g-142G > T and g.-140A > T) at the 5′ region of the GABRB3 that were transmitted from his mother and father respectively, lending the evidence to support the multi-hit model of ASD [62,63].

We also identified a novel mutant allele that harbored multiple mutations at the 5′ regulatory region and the T186M at exon 6 in the patient U1452 and his father (Figure 3b). Although the father did not meet the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria of ASD, he manifested autistic trait, suggesting this mutant allele might have a contributing effect to the pathogenesis of ASD. The T186M was predicted to be probably damaging in bioinformatic analysis. As the T186M was linked to the multiple variants at the 5′ regulatory region, and the reporter gene assay showed no significant differences in the reporter gene activity between the multiple regulatory variant alleles and the wild type constructs, the T186M alone might be the risk variant of ASD.

Missense mutations of GABRB3 have been reported to be associated with childhood absence epilepsy (P11S, S15F, G32R) [64,65], insomnia (R192H) [66], and autism (P11S) [67]. In this study, we did not detect these missense mutations in our samples. In addition, among our patients with missense mutations, only the patient U1452 who carried the T186M mutation had a history of abnormal electroencephalography (EEG) in his childhood. The other patients did not have a history of seizure. These findings indicate that different mutations of GABRB3 may confer different clinical presentations of neurodevelopmental disorders in their carriers [68].

Conclusions
Our data suggest that rare variants of GABRB3 might be associated with ASD, especially those at the 5′ regulatory region of GABRB3. Also, reporter gene activity assay of variants at the 5′ regulatory region suggests that increased GABRB3 gene expression might be associated with the pathogenesis of ASD. Our study is limited by...
the small sample size and the lack of functional characterization of missense variants. Also, we found the ratio of firefly luciferase activity to Renilla luciferase activity to be small and not consistent across different experiments, suggesting that the expression construct may not work well in this study. Hence, our findings should be considered as preliminary and independent replication studies with a larger sample size are needed to verify our findings.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Primer sequences, optimal annealing temperature (Ta) and size of amplicons of the GABRB3.

Additional file 2: Locations of PCR amplicons in this study. Double arrow head dashed line indicates the location of the amplicon. The amplicons for deep sequencing were shown in the upper panel of schematic genomic structure of GABRB3, while the amplicons for reporter gene assay were shown in the lower panel. E indicates exon.
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