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Abstract: The study was conducted at Ades Dry Afromontane Forest at 407 km Southeast of Addis Ababa to assess the diversity and composition of woody plant species. The sampling design was based on a US Forest Service model for the indigenous forests. A total of 60 circular plots each with an area of 0.017 ha were arranged in groups of four where a central plot is surrounded by three plots that are each at 36.6m from the central plot. In each plot, all woody plants that were ≥10cm in DBH were sampled for floristic diversity. Biodiversity analyses were accomplished using the Shannon-Weaver’s Index (H’) to assess the tree species diversity and Shannon Equitability (H’E) was calculated to assess the evenness values of species while the Importance Value Index (IVI) of each woody species was analyzed to see the Importance of individual tree and shrub species at the site. A total of 65 trees and shrub species belonging to 38 families had been recorded in this study. Rosaceae was a family with the highest number of species comprising about 9.23 % of the total number of species. The H’ ranged between 0.004 to 0.362 with the overall H’ of 2.82. The H’E values ranged between 0.001 to 0.087 with a mean value of 0.01. A mean H’E value of 0.01 indicates that the relative homogeneity of woody plant species of the sampled plots was 1% of the maximum possible even population. The evenness values are not enough to justify uniformity in composition of tree species. The mean IVI value ranged between 0.36 to 49.06 with mean IVI value of 6.0. In this study only 15% of the recorded species were found with IVI values > 10 and the rest of 85% have IVI values < 10. The variation in survival mechanisms of species made some species to be dominant and most species to be lower in number in a given ecosystem. Tree species with high IVI were also found to have higher H’ of diversity. The spatial distribution and dominance of species can be affected both by the properties of the species themselves and the environmental factors. The diversity of woody species observed in the Ades dry afromontane is encouraging since, among other reasons, it is useful for conservation strategy.
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1. Introduction

Dense and Extensive forest resources were once covered the highland area of Ethiopia [13]. Today these extensive forest resources of the country are under threats of deforestation and forest degradation. The rate of deforestation in Ethiopia has been estimated to be between 150,000 ha and 200,000 ha [12].

The continuous deforestation and degradation of forest ecosystems in Ethiopia in general and in Ades dry afromontane forest in particular, is of major concern due to the negative impacts this has on many of the ecosystem service. The Ades dry afromontane forest, which is characterized by a variety of tree species have lost its cover due to agricultural land expansion and illegal logging activities. This degradation has affected the plant species composition and presented threats for some of the tree species.

In recognition to the above listed threats to forest biodiversity, the government of Oromia National Regional State has designed the establishment of Participatory Forest Management (PFM) as one of various strategies for
conservation of forest resources through solving the problem of open access to the forest resource and promoting sustainable forest management. Because of lack of awareness on the principles of PFM by the members of PFM living in and/or near by the forest, still there exist exploitation of the forest resource through illegal logging, cutting for fuel wood and expansion of agricultural lands. Information with regard to the diversity, composition and importance values of woody plant species in the forest is necessary for conservation and sustainable utilization of the forest resources.

This study was therefore aimed to assess the floristic composition and diversity and to analyze important value index of woody species in Ades dry afromontane forest by exploring the identity and variety of woody species that have regenerated within the forest.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of the Study Site

The study was conducted in Ades dry afromontane forest located between 1029419m E to 1030017m E and 746685m N to 746986m N. It is about 407 km to East of Addis Ababa, in Oromia National Regional State, Southeastern Ethiopia (Figure 1). The forest covers a total area of 618 ha and its altitude ranges from 2517m to 2743m a.s.l. The weather condition of the study area is characterized by coldest climate which is locally known as ‘Baddaa’ in Afan Oromo language. The mean annual temperature ranges between 17°C and 24°C and the mean annual rainfall ranges between 600mm to 1250mm [18]. Its rain fall distribution is mostly from July to September. The dry and hot season is mostly starts from the middle of December to the end of March.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Sampling Design

The layout of the plots for the assessments of woody species diversity was adopted from design developed for The Forest Inventory and Analysis Programme (FIA) [29]. A circular plots each with an area of 0.017 ha arranged in groups of four where a central plot is surrounded by three plots to form an equilateral triangle at a distance of 36.6m out from the central plot to each of the three surrounding plots were laid out (Figure 2). Pairs of plots were established and two plots had been selected at random from each group of plots, and totally of 60 plots from 30 groups were sampled in this study.

2.2.2. Measurement of Woody Vegetation

Counting the number of stems and measurement of diameter at breast height (DBH) in each plot were conducted...
Species was made using a guide book Flora of Ethiopia and Eritrea [14], with the assistance of a local Para-taxonomist. For those species difficult to identify in the field, fresh specimens were collected and taken to the National Herbarium of Addis Ababa University for identification.

2.2.3. Data Analysis

i) Species diversity: The Shannon-Weaver’s index (H′) was used as measure of diversity [20] and calculated as

\[ H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i \]

Where: \( p_i \) is the proportion of species relative to the total number of species (p_i) and \( \ln \) is a natural logarithm.

ii) Species richness (S): Species richness as the number of species present in an ecosystem was calculated as:

\[ S = \sum n \]

Where: n is number of species.

iii) Species evenness (H/E): Species evenness was assessed by Shannon's equitability index calculated as:

\[ H'E = \frac{H'}{H_{\text{max}}} \]

Where: \( H_{\text{max}} \) is defined as lnS

iv) The Importance Value Index (IVI): The index was calculated by integrating: The Relative frequency (RF); Relative density (RD) and Relative Dominance (RB) of species [15, 25]. It is calculated as:

\[ \text{IVI} = (\text{RF} + \text{RD} + \text{RB}) \]

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Floristic Composition

A total of 65 tree and shrub species belonging to 38 families (Table 1) were identified, out of these trees constituted 67.7% while shrubs were 32.3%. Sixteen families each with more than one species comprise 66.2% of the total number of species recorded in the study area and the rest of 22 families each were represent only by one species (Table 2). Rosaceae comprise the highest number of species (6) followed by Oleaceae and Myrsinaceae (4 species each), Flacourtiaceae, Celastraceae, and Asteraeae (3 species each), Ulmaceae, Rubiaceae, Polygonaceae, Myrtaceae, Meliaceae, Fabaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Cupressaceae, Boraginaceae, and Araliaceae (2 species each). Habitat adaptation and favorable environmental conditions could encourage the prevalence of Rosaceae family [7, 30]. The influence of edaphic parameter (soil nutrients) in species richness and establishment in an ecosystem was also supported by other studies [28, 3].

Species that are at low level in some parts of Ethiopia such as: Juniperus procera, Hagenia abyssinica, and Podocarpus falcatus, are highly found in Adef dry Afomontane forest. This could be due to the establishment of Participatory Forest Management where the local communities are engaged in forest protection and management.

| Family            | Species                      | Life form | Family           | Species                      | Life form |
|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Anacardiaceae     | Rhus glutinosa               | Shrub     | Myrsinaceae      | Myrsine africana             | Tree      |
| Apocynaceae       | Carrisia edulis              | Shrub     | Papilionaceae    | Erythrina brucei             | Tree      |
| Aquifoliaceae     | Ilex mitis                  | Tree      | Phytolaccaceae   | Phytoleca dedocandra         | Shrub     |
| Araliaceae        | Polyscias fulva              | Tree      | Pittosporaceae   | Pittosporum abyssinicum      | Tree      |
| Araliaceae        | Schiffleria abyssinica       | Tree      | Podocarpaceae    | Podocarpus falcatus          | Tree      |
| Araliaceae        | Vernonia amygdalina          | Tree      | Oleaceae         | Olea capensis                | Tree      |
| Asteraceae        | Vernonia auriculifera        | Shrub     | Oleaceae         | Olinia rochetiana            | Shrub     |
| Balanitaceae      | Balantus aegyptica           | Tree      | Rutaceae         | Spilanthes aegyptica         | Tree      |
| Boraginaceae      | Cordia africana             | Tree      | Myrtaceae        | Szyzygium guineense          | Tree      |
| Boraginaceae      | Ehretia cymosa               | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Jasminum globulos            | Tree      |
| Boraginaceae      | Chata edulis                 | Shrub     | Verbenaceae      | Olea europaea                | Tree      |
| Celastraceae      | Maytenus arbutifolius        | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Olinia rochetiana            | Shrub     |
| Celastraceae      | Maytenus obscura             | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Rhus glutinosa               | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Juniperus procera            | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
| Cupressaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      | Verbenaceae      | Cupressus lusitanica         | Tree      |
This finding was not in line with the works from Forest of Assam on vegetative assessment of tree species and shrubs where Moraceae, Meliaceae and Papilionaceae have been represented with more number of species due to their ability to produce numerous seeds which was eventually established at suitable sites [10]. But in this study, they were represented only by one species. This may be due to the geographic variations of the two study sites and the result of this study was in line with the study conducted on tropical forests regeneration and survival of tree seedlings [17].

The number of plant species (65) recorded in Ades dry afromontane forest was higher as compared with some studies conducted in Ethiopia [1, 27, 32, 6] and elsewhere in tropical areas from Tungnath [22]. This may be due to geographic differences and variations in vegetation formation of these study sites. However, this figure is lower when compared to the number of species recorded in Jello-Muktar dry afromontane forest under similar agro-ecology from Eastern Ethiopia [24]. Similarly, the number of plant species recorded in this study were lower when compared to the number of species recorded for forests of other ago-ecologies in Ethiopia [5, 33, 23] and some tropical countries [7, 8].

The reasons for those 22 families in the present study represented each only by one species or the poor number of species observed in these families could be attributed to diseases and browsing by herbivores which resulted in poor growth and establishment and perhaps seeds need scarification treatment before germination as study conducted on seedling populations and regeneration of woody species in dry Afromontane forests of Ethiopia [11]. The low number of species could also be attributed to anthropogenic activities which affected species growth and production [9].

![Table 2. Plant Families with their number of woody plant species occurred in Ades dry afromontane forest, South Eastern Ethiopia.](image)

The number of trees and shrub species in the present study, about 95% of which are indigenous to the area, indicates the significant role of the forest in conservation of biological diversities reported from studies elsewhere in East Usambara Forest Reserves [16]. The high number of species richness in the study area is again attributed to the current protection measures given to the forest that via allows the regeneration of different species from soil seed bank. Some economic activities particularly, beekeeping through participatory forest management is highly encouraged in Ades dry afromontane forest by the presence of such floristic diversity.

### 3.2. Pattern of Distribution of Woody Species in Plant Families by Their Life Forms

With regard to the pattern of distribution of the number of woody species by life forms in each family, Rosaceae again comprise the highest number of tree species (5) and followed by Myrsinaceae (4) and Oleaceae (3) and the rest twelve families comprise each 2 tree species while 6 families were represented only by 1 tree species (Figure 3).

The distribution of shrub species in each family ranges between 1 to 3 where Flacourtiaceae comprise the highest number of shrub species (3) followed by Asteraceae,
Fabaceae and Polygonacea (each with 2 shrub species) and the rest 12 families were represented only by one shrub species (Figure 4).

Figure 3. Distribution of tree species in the plant families.

Figure 4. Distribution of Shrub species in plant families.

3.3. Species Diversity and Evenness

The Shannon index of diversity for tree and shrub species in this study ranged between 0.004 to 0.3623 with the overall $H'$ of 2.82 (Table 3). Species noted to have contributed to high Shannon index of diversity include: Podocarpus falcatus (0.3623), Eucalyptus globules (0.2290), Juniperus procera (0.2120), Croton macrostachys (0.074), Cordia africana (0.066), Ficus sur (0.063), Cuprecess lusitanica (0.063), Embelia schimpri (0.059), Hagenia abyssinica (0.054), Ekebergia capensis (0.053), Ehretia cymosa (0.050), Hypericum revolutum and Carrisa edulis (each 0.047), Myrsine africana, Maytenus obscura and Barsama abyssinica (each 0.042) (Table 3). The overall $H'$ of these species accounts about 50% of the total $H'$ for all species recorded in the study site. Species of Sizygeem guineense, Celtis africana, Rosmarinus officinalis, Rubus apetalus and Rubus studneri were among tree and shrub species with the lowest Shannon index of diversity. The Shannon index of diversity recorded for Podocarpus falcatus (0.3623) was again higher than the overall $H'$ of the first eighteen trees and shrub species with lowest $H'$. Podocarpus falcatus with the highest Shannon index of diversity in this study also showed the highest IVI.
The study revealed Shannon-Wiener Index of diversity (H’) of 2.82 for the Ades dry Afromontane forest (Table 3). This index tells about species richness (number of species) and evenness (species distribution) [21]. The larger the value of H’ the greater the species diversity and vice versa. An ecosystem with H’ value greater than 2 has been regarded as medium to high diverse in terms of species [4]. A rich ecosystem having high species diversity has also a larger value of Shannon index of diversity and vice-versa [10]. The Ades dry afromontane forest is thus belongs to an area with high species diversity.

The Shannon index of diversity recorded in this study ranged between 0.001 to 0.087 with a mean value of 0.01 and overall evenness value of 0.67 (Table 3). A mean evenness value of 0.01 indicates that the relative homogeneity of tree species of the sampled forest plots was 1% of the maximum possible even population. The evenness values are not enough to justify uniformity in composition of tree species. This is expected because not all trees are equally distributed and there is some variation in the distribution of species in the study area. Tree species that showed higher evenness values were Podocarpus falcatus (0.087), Eucalyptus globules (0.055), Juniperus procera (0.026), Croton macrostachychs (0.018), Cordia africana (0.016), Cuprecess lusitanica and Ficus sur (each 0.015) and Embelia schimperi (0.015). These species also showed higher Shannon index of diversity (Table 3). The evenness value of tree with the highest index (Podocarpus falcatus) was about 87 times higher than the tree species with the lowest evenness value (Szygeem guineense). This was supported by study conducted on Masha forest where the lower evenness values were observed in the Ades dry Afromontane is encouraging since, among other reasons, it is useful for conservation purposes.

### Table 3. Tree and shrub species diversity indices at Ades dry afromontane forest, South Eastern Ethiopia.

| Species                        | H'  | H'E |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Aningeria alissima             | 0.041 | 0.01 |
| Apodytes dimidiata             | 0.036 | 0.009 |
| Balanytus aegyptica           | 0.023 | 0.006 |
| Barsama abyssinica            | 0.042 | 0.01 |
| Brucea antidysenterica        | 0.034 | 0.008 |
| Calpania subdecandra          | 0.035 | 0.008 |
| Carissa edulis                | 0.047 | 0.011 |
| Capreces lusitanica           | 0.063 | 0.015 |
| Calpurnia aura                | 0.041 | 0.01 |
| Celtis africana               | 0.014 | 0.003 |
| Cheta edulis                  | 0.03 | 0.007 |
| Clerodendron myricoides       | 0.021 | 0.005 |
| Croton macrostachys           | 0.074 | 0.018 |
| Cordia africana               | 0.066 | 0.016 |
| Discopodium penninervatum     | 0.038 | 0.009 |
| Dodonaea angustifolia         | 0.027 | 0.007 |
| Dombeya torrida               | 0.027 | 0.007 |
| Dovyalis caffra               | 0.036 | 0.009 |
| Dovyalis abyssinica           | 0.025 | 0.006 |
| Dovyalis vericosa             | 0.023 | 0.006 |
| Erythrina brucei              | 0.025 | 0.006 |
| Ehretia cymosa                | 0.05 | 0.012 |
| Ekebergia capensis            | 0.053 | 0.013 |
| Embelia schimperi             | 0.059 | 0.014 |
| Eucalyptus globulus           | 0.229 | 0.055 |
| Ficus sur                     | 0.063 | 0.015 |
| Gardenia lutea                | 0.038 | 0.009 |
| Gravellea robusta             | 0.033 | 0.008 |
| Hagenia abyssinica            | 0.054 | 0.013 |
| Hypericum revolutum           | 0.047 | 0.011 |
| Ilex mitis                    | 0.041 | 0.01 |
| Jasminium abyssinicum         | 0.023 | 0.006 |
| Juniperus procera             | 0.111 | 0.026 |
| Lepadotrichilia volkensis      | 0.021 | 0.005 |

The evenness values for tree species of the present study ranged between 0.001 to 0.087 with a mean value of 0.01 and overall evenness value of 0.67 (Table 3). A mean evenness value of 0.01 indicates that the relative homogeneity of tree species of the sampled forest plots was 1% of the maximum possible even population. The evenness values are not enough to justify uniformity in composition of tree species. This is expected because not all trees are equally distributed and there is some variation in the distribution of species in the study area. Tree species that showed higher evenness values were Podocarpus falcatus (0.087), Eucalyptus globules (0.055), Juniperus procera (0.026), Croton macrostachychs (0.018), Cordia africana (0.016), Cuprecess lusitanica and Ficus sur (each 0.015) and Embelia schimperi (0.015). These species also showed higher Shannon index of diversity (Table 3). The evenness value of tree with the highest index (Podocarpus falcatus) was about 87 times higher than the tree species with the lowest evenness value (Szygeem guineense). This was supported by study conducted on Masha forest where the lower evenness values were observed in the Ades dry Afromontane is encouraging since, among other reasons, it is useful for conservation purposes.
study, a high evenness values for indigenous species indicates that little dominance by any single species but repeated co-existence of species over all plots in the forest as reported for some species in Northeastern Ethiopia [2]. The evenness values recorded in this study are lower than the evenness values reported from Western Kenya that ranged between 0.24 to 0.71 [19].

3.4. Importance Value Index (IVI)

In all sample plots assessed Podocarpus falcatus ranked first with mean IVI of 49.06, followed by Juniperus procera (34.15), Eucalyptus globules (30.6), Cuprecess lusitanica (23), Olea europeana (20.17), Hagenia abyssinica (14.69), Vernonia auriculifera (13.32) and Croton macrostachys (12.25) (Table 4). Contrary to this, Szygeem guineense, Rytigynia neglecta, Rosmarinus officinalis, Rubus apetalus, Balanytus aegyptica, Rumex abyssinicus were the species with the lowest IVI. The mean IVI of Hagenia abyssinica was higher than those tree species which were officially declared endangered at the national level in Ethiopia next to Juniperus procera. Most of the tree species with higher IVI were indigenous although Eucalyptus globules and Cuprecess lusitanica species ranked first and second among species of exotic plantations.

The mean IVI value in this study ranged between 0.36 to 49.06 with mean IVI value of 6.0. It has been reported that IVI value of tree species in stands with only one species can reach a maximum of 300 [25]. In this study only 15 % of the recorded species were found with IVI values > 10 and the rest of 85% have IVI values < 10. On the other hand the first fifteen species with higher IVI values (Table 4), accounted about 64% of the total IVI for the present study which indicates that few species are dominating the area. The variation in survival mechanisms of species made some species to be dominant and most species to be lower in number in a given ecosystem [15]. The spatial distribution and dominance of species can be affected both by the properties of the species themselves and the environmental factors [28].

These results disclose that the most important species in Ades dry afromontane forest havelhigh diversity in the scale of Shannon-Weiner Index of Diversity. The IVI rank species in a way as to give an indication on which species come out as important element of the Ades dry afromontane forest trees.

| Species                  | RF   | RD  | RB  | IVI  | Species                  | RF   | RD  | RB  | IVI  |
|-------------------------|------|-----|-----|------|-------------------------|------|-----|-----|------|
| Anigeria altissima       | 1.19 | 0.87| 1.33| 3.38 | Macaranga kilimandscharica| 2.14 | 0.82| 0.36| 3.31 |
| Apodytes dimidiata       | 1.43 | 0.74| 0.1 | 2.27 | Maesa lanceolata         | 4.5  | 4.3 | 0.3 | 9.1  |
| Balanytus aegyptica      | 0.59 | 0.42| 0.12| 1.14 | Maytenus arbutifolia     | 3.01 | 0.89| 1.12| 5.02 |
| Barsumia abyssinica      | 1.07 | 0.89| 0.44| 2.4  | Maytenus obscura         | 2.26 | 0.89| 0.4 | 3.54 |
| Brucea antidysesterica   | 1.31 | 0.69| 0.04| 2.03 | Myrsine africana         | 2.38 | 0.89| 0.32| 3.58 |
| Calpinia subdecandra     | 1.3  | 1.4 | 2.5 | 5.2  | Ocimum lamfolium         | 1.43 | 0.62| 0.07| 2.11 |
| Carya edulis             | 1.78 | 1.02| 0.5 | 3.3  | Ocotea kenynesis         | 2.14 | 0.69| 0.26| 3.1  |
| Cuprecess lusitanica     | 1.66 | 9   | 6.97| 23   | Olea europaea            | 6.8  | 0.87| 12.5| 20.17|
| Calpurnia aura           | 3.2  | 0.87| 0.4 | 4.47 | Olea capensis            | 2.02 | 0.72| 0.45| 3.19 |
| Celtis africana          | 0.83 | 0.22| 1.71| 2.76 | Phytolacca dodocadra     | 2.8  | 0.4 | 7.6 | 10.8 |
| Chata edulis             | 0.59 | 0.59| 0.08| 1.27 | Pittosporum asissanicum  | 5.4  | 1.5 | 0.6 | 7.5  |
| Clerodendron myricoides  | 0.95 | 0.37| 0.1 | 1.42 | Podocarpus falcatus      | 3.56 | 25.4| 20.1| 49.06|
| Croton macrostachys       | 3    | 1.86| 7.4 | 12.26| Polyscias fulva          | 1.4  | 2.3 | 2.4 | 6.1  |
| Cordia africana          | 1.78 | 1.61| 1.23| 4.62 | Prunus africana          | 1.07 | 0.32| 1.09| 2.49 |
| Discopodium peninnervium | 1.78 | 0.79| 0.42| 2.99 | Premna schimperi         | 3.8  | 0.72| 0.6 | 5.12 |
| Dodonaea angustifolia    | 1.43 | 0.52| 0.04| 1.99 | Raphanea simensis        | 1.54 | 0.45| 0.03| 2.02 |
| Dombeya torrida          | 0.83 | 0.52| 0.54| 1.89 | Rhamnus preoidis         | 5.2  | 0.62| 0.01| 5.82 |
| Doyyalis caffra          | 0.95 | 0.74| 0.05| 1.74 | Rhus glutinosa           | 3.5  | 1.4 | 3.8 | 8.7  |
| Doyyalis abyssinica      | 1.07 | 0.47| 0.05| 1.59 | Rosa abyssinica          | 2.3  | 0.4 | 0.03| 2.72 |
| Doyyalis vercosa         | 1.54 | 0.42| 0.03| 1.99 | Rosmarinus officinalis   | 0.59 | 0.27| 0.04| 0.9  |
| Erythrina brucei         | 0.95 | 0.47| 0.68| 2.1  | Rubus apetalus           | 0.71 | 0.27| 0.03| 1.01 |
| Ehcraeae cymosa          | 1.66 | 1.11| 0.68| 3.46 | Rubus studneri           | 0.95 | 0.3 | 0.11| 1.35 |
| Ekebergia capensis       | 1.54 | 1.19| 1.2 | 3.93 | Rumex abyssinicus        | 0.83 | 0.35| 0.01| 1.19 |
| Embelia schimpi          | 2.26 | 1.36| 0.96| 4.58 | Rumex nurusos            | 1.07 | 0.32| 0.3 | 1.69 |
| Eucalyptus globulus      | 8.6  | 12  | 10  | 30.6 | Ritgynia neglecta        | 0.48 | 0.35| 0  | 0.83 |
| Ficus sur                | 1.9  | 1.49| 4.38| 7.76 | Schfflera abyssinica     | 0.83 | 0.42| 0.42| 1.67 |
| Gardenia lutea           | 2.49 | 0.79| 0.21| 3.8  | Szygeem guineense        | 0.24 | 0.05| 0.07| 0.36 |
| Gravella robusa          | 4.5  | 0.9 | 0.8 | 6.2  | Schfflera abyssinica     | 0.83 | 0.35| 0.11| 1.35 |
| Hagenia abyssinica       | 6.05 | 1.24| 7.4 | 14.69| Vernonlia amygdalina     | 4.65 | 0.87| 0.6 | 6.12 |
| Hypericum revolutum      | 1.9  | 1.02| 0.04| 2.95 | Vernonlia auralifera     | 12   | 0.65| 0.67| 13.32|
| Ilex mitis               | 2.14 | 0.87| 0.41| 3.41 | Vernonlia leopoldii      | 1.07 | 0.47| 0.06| 1.6  |
| Jasminum abyssinicum     | 1.19 | 0.42| 0.03| 1.64 |                          |      |     |     |      |
| Juniperus procera        | 5.6  | 5.05| 23.5| 34.15|                          |      |     |     |      |
| Lepidotrachilia vulgaris  | 1.07 | 0.37| 0.33| 1.77 |                          |      |     |     |      |
4. Conclusion and Recommendation

A total of 65 trees and shrub species belonging to 38 families were identified, out of these trees constituted 67.7% while shrubs were 32.3%. Sixteen families each with more than one species comprise 66.2% of the total number of species recorded in the study area and the rest of 22 families each represent only one species. The Shannon index of diversity (H') for tree and shrub species in this study ranged from 0.004 to 0.3623 with the overall H' of 2.82 and the evenness values for tree species of the present study ranged between 0.001 to 0.087 with a mean value of 0.01 and overall evenness value of 0.67. A mean evenness value of 0.01 indicates that the relative homogeneity of tree species of the sampled forest plots was 1% of the maximum possible even population. The evenness values are not enough to justify uniformity in composition of tree species. This is expected because not all trees are equally distributed and there is some variation in the distribution of species in the study area. The mean IVI value in this study ranged between 0.36 to 49.06 with mean IVI value of 6.0. This value is lower when compared with some studies. In this study only 15% of the recorded species were found with IVI values > 10 and the rest of 85% have IVI values < 10.

The high number of species richness in the study area is again attributed to the current protection measures given to the forest that via allows the regeneration of different species from soil seed bank. Some economic activities particularly, beekeeping through participatory forest management is highly encouraged in Ades dry afromontane forest by the presence of such floristic diversity. Further study regarding the richness and diversity of woody species to investigate the distribution of tree species for conservation and sustainable utilization is recommended.
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