A new $^{68}$Ga-labeled somatostatin analog containing two iodo-amino acids for dual somatostatin receptor subtype 2 and 5 targeting
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Abstract

Background: Somatostatin receptor (SST) targeting, specifically of the subtype 2 (SST2), with radiolabeled somatostatin analogs, is established for imaging and treatment of neuroendocrine tumors. Owing to the concomitant and heterogeneous expression of several subtypes on the same tumor, analogs targeting more subtypes than SST2 potentially target a broader spectrum of tumors and/or increase the uptake of a given tumor. The analog ST8950 ((4-amino-3-iodo)-d-Phe-c[Cys-(3-iodo)-Tyr-d-Trp-Lys-Val-Cys]-Thr-NH$_2$), bearing 2 iodo-amino acids, exhibits sub-nanomolar affinity to SST2 and SST5. We report herein the development and preclinical evaluation of DOTA-ST8950 labeled with $^{68}$Ga, for imaging SST2- and SST5-expressing tumors. Comparative in vitro and in vivo studies were performed with the de-iodinated DOTA-ST8951 ((4-amino)-d-Phe-c[Cys-Tyr-d-Trp-Lys-Val-Cys]-Thr-NH$_2$) and with the reference compounds DOTA-TATE (SST2 selective) and DOTA-NOC (for SST2 and SST5).

Results: Compared with natGa-DOTA-NOC, natGa-DOTA-ST8950 exhibited higher affinity to SST2 and SST5 ($IC_{50}$ (95%CI), nM = 0.32 (0.20–0.50) and 1.9 (1.1–3.1) vs 0.70 (0.50–0.96) and 3.4 (1.6–6.2), respectively), while natGa-DOTA-ST8951 lost affinity for both subtypes. natGa-DOTA-ST8950 had the same potency for inducing SST2-mediated cAMP accumulation as natGa-DOTA-TATE and slightly better than natGa-DOTA-NOC ($EC_{50}$, nM = 0.46 (0.23–0.92) vs 0.47 (0.15–1.5) vs 0.59 (0.18–1.9), respectively). $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 had a similar internalization rate as $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-NOC in SST2-expressing cells (12.4 ± 1.6% vs 16.6 ± 2.2%, at 4 h, $p = 0.0586$). In vivo, $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 showed high and specific accumulation in SST2- and SST5-expressing tumors, comparable with $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-NOC (26 ± 8 vs 30 ± 8 %IA/g, $p = 0.4630$ for SST2 and 15 ± 6 vs 12 ± 5 %IA/g, $p = 0.3282$, for SST5, 1 h p.i.) and accumulation in the SST-positive tissues, the kidneys and the liver. PET/CT images of $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950, performed in a dual HEK-SST2 and HEK-SST5 tumor xenografted model, clearly visualized both tumors and illustrated high tumor-to-background contrast.

Conclusions: $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 reveals its potential for PET imaging SST2- and SST5-expressing tumors. It compares favorably with the clinically used $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-NOC in terms of tumor uptake; however, its uptake in the liver remains a challenge for clinical translation. In addition, this study reveals the essential role of the iodo-substitutions in positions 1 and 3 of $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 for maintaining affinity to SST2 and SST5, as the de-iodinated $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-ST8951 lost affinity for both receptor subtypes.

Keywords: Somatostatin receptor subtypes, Somatostatin agonists, SST2, SST5, $^{68}$Ga, PET, Neuroendocrine tumors, Iodo-amino acids

* Correspondence: melpomeni.fani@usb.ch

1Division of Radiopharmaceutical Chemistry, Clinic of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Basel, Petersgraben 4, 4031 Basel, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2020 Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.
Introduction

Nuclear imaging of somatostatin receptor (SST)-expressing tumors is established for the detection of neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) and their metastases. SST scintigraphy, using $^{[111}\text{In}]$[In-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid]-octreotide ($^{[111}\text{In}]$[In-DTPA]-octreotide, Octreoscan$^\text{\textregistered}$), has covered this medical need since the 1990s. Nowadays, positron emission tomography (PET) with improved octreotide-based analogs labeled with $^{68}\text{Ga}$ represents the state of the art. The most widely used analogs consist of the $^{[68}\text{Ga}][\text{Ga}]-1,4,7,10$-tetraaza-cyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid$^0$,Tyr$^3$]-octreotide ($^{[68}\text{Ga}][\text{Ga}]-\text{DOTA}-\text{TOC}$, SOMAKIT TOC$^\text{\textregistered}$, SOMATOM ESCAPE$^\text{\textregistered}$, SOMATOM Emotion$^\text{\textregistered}$, SOMATOM Sensation$^\text{\textregistered}$) with a high affinity for SST2 and a weaker affinity for SST5 (IC$_{50}$ = 2.5 ± 0.5 and 73 ± 21 nM, respectively) and the high affinity SST2-selective $^{[68}\text{Ga}][\text{Ga}]-\text{DOTA}-\text{ST8950}$ with an IC$_{50}$ of 0.20 ± 0.04 nM [1].

Although the majority of NETs expresses SST2, a low and heterogeneous expression has been reported in approximately 20–30% of cases [2–5]. This is associated with an inherent worse disease prognosis, a lower sensitivity in imaging and an ineffective therapy with SST2-selective analogs due to inadequate tumor targeting [6]. Among the five SST subtypes (SST1-SST5), SST5 is concomitantly expressed at high density in 70–100% of gastrointestinalpancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (GEP-NETs), breast cancer and in growth hormone (GH)-secreting pituitary adenomas [7–9].

The only clinically used analog for imaging of different SST subtypes is the octreotide-based $^{[68}\text{Ga}][\text{Ga}]-\text{DOTA}-\text{TOC}$-octreotide ($^{[68}\text{Ga}][\text{Ga}]-\text{DOTA}-\text{NOC}$) with high affinity for SST2 and SST5 and lower affinity for SST3 [10–12]. The cyclohexapeptide pasireotide (Signifor$^\text{\textregistered}$, formerly known as SOM230) [13, 14] is another analog with an affinity to SST2 and SST5 and lower affinity for SST3 [10, 27], with sometimes unexpected outcome, we decided to include in our study a second analog as an alternative. We chose the de-iodinated ST8951 (Fig. 1, peptide #2 in reference [24]) that exhibits also good affinity to SST2 and SST5 in an attempt to assess, additionally, the influence of the iodo-substitution on the Ga-DOTA conjugates.

Methods

(Radio)metallated peptide conjugates

DOTA-ST8950 and DOTA-ST8951 were custom-made by PolyPeptide (San Diego, USA). The reference conjugates DOTA-TATE and DOTA-NOC were synthesized following Fmoc-solid-phase peptide synthesis, purified by preparative reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) and characterized by electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy (ESI-MS). The structural formulae of all four conjugates are presented in Fig. 1.

The $^{68}\text{Ga}$ complexes of the four conjugates were prepared using 2.5-fold excess of $^{68}\text{Ga}$(NO$_3$)$_3\times$9H$_2$O in ammonium acetate buffer, 0.2 M, pH 4 at 95°C for 30 min. Free metal ions were eliminated via SepPak C-18 cartridge (Waters), pre-conditioned with methanol and water. The reaction mixture was loaded and the free $^{68}\text{Ga}$ was eluted with water while the metallo-peptides were eluted with ethanol, evaporated to dryness, redissolved in water and lyophilized.

$^{67}\text{Ga}$-labeled conjugates were prepared by reacting 6 nmol of the corresponding conjugate in 250 μL Na-acetate buffer (0.2 M, pH 4.1) with $^{67}\text{Ga}$Cl$_3$ (30-50 MBq, Mallinkrodt) at 95°C for 30 min. DOTA-ST8950 and DOTA-NOC were labeled with $^{68}\text{Ga}$ in an automatic Modular-Lab Pharm Tracer module (Eckert & Ziegler). Briefly, the $^{68}\text{Ge}/^{68}\text{Ga}$-generator (IGG100, Eckert &
Ziegler) was eluted with 7 mL HCl 0.1 N and the eluate (~800 MBq) was loaded onto a cation exchange column (Strata-XC, Phenomenex). $^{68}$Ga$^{3+}$ was eluted with 800 μL of acetone/HCl (97.6%/0.02 N) in a vial containing 2 mL Na-acetate buffer (0.2 M, pH 4.0) and 10 nmol of the conjugate. The stability of $[^{68}$Ga$]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 was evaluated for 1 h after production at room temperature (RT), without any formulation of the product.

The quality control and the stability study were performed by analytical RP-HPLC on Phenomenex Jupiter Proteo 90 Å C12 (250 × 4.6 mm) column (eluents: $A = H_2O(0.1\%TFA)$, $B = acetonitrile\,(0.1\%TFA)$; gradient: 95–50% A in 15 min; flow rate: 1.5 mL/min). ESI-MS was carried out with ESI Bruker Esquire 3000 plus (Bruker Daltonics).

The binding affinities of natGa-DOTA-ST8950 and natGa-DOTA-ST8951, in comparison to natGa-DOTA-TATE and natGa-DOTA-NOC, were determined on HEK-SST2 and HEK-SST5 cells. SS-14, octreotide, and pasireotide were used as reference compounds. $^{125}$I-labeled SS-14 (81.4 TBq/mmol, Perkin Elmer) was used as a radioligand for the competition binding assays. Binding assays were performed as described previously [25]. cAMP accumulation experiments on HEK-SST2 and HEK-SST5 cells were performed with cAMP direct immunoassay kit (colorimetric, K371, BioVision) as described previously [25].

**Log D measurement**

Log $D$ ($pH = 7.4$) was determined by the “shake-flask” method. To a pre-saturated mixture of 500 μL n-octanol and 500 μL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.4, 10 μL of 1 μM of $^{67}$Ga-labeled conjugates was added. The solutions were vortexed for 1 h to reach equilibrium and then centrifuged (3000 rpm) for 10 min. From each phase, 100 μL was removed and measured in a γ-counter. The partition coefficient was calculated as the average of the logarithmic values ($n = 3$) of the ratio...
between the radioactivity in the organic and the PBS phase.

**In vitro characterization**

For cell experiments, stably SST2- and SST5-expressing cells were seeded in 6-well plates (10^6 cells/well) and incubated overnight with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 1% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biochrom GmbH, Merck Millipore) to obtain a good cell adherence. The plates were pre-treated with a solution of 10% poly-lysine to promote cell adherence.

**Internalization assays**

The cells were washed with PBS and incubated with fresh medium (DMEM with 1% FBS) for 1 h at 37 °C/5% CO₂. [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950, [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8951, [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE, or [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC (2.5 nM) were added to the medium, and the cells were incubated for 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 h at 37 °C/5% CO₂ (in triplicates). The internalization process was stopped by removing the medium and washing the cells with ice-cold PBS, followed by 2 × 5 min treatment with ice-cold glycine solution (0.05 M, pH 2.8), to distinguish between cell surface-bound (acid releasable) and internalized (acid resistant) radio-conjugate. Finally, the cells were detached with 1 M NaOH at 37 °C. To determine non-specific uptake, selected wells were incubated with the radio-conjugate in the presence of 1000-fold excess of cold PBS, followed by 2 × 5 min treatment with ice-cold glycine solution (0.05 M, pH 2.8), to distinguish between cell surface-bound (acid releasable) and internalized (acid resistant) radio-conjugate. Finally, the cells were detached with 1 M NaOH at 37 °C. To determine non-specific uptake, selected wells were incubated with the radio-conjugate in the presence of 1000-fold excess of SS-14. Internalization and bound rate are expressed as a percentage of the applied radioactivity.

**Off-rate experiments**

HEK-SST2 cells were incubated with [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950, [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE, or [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC (2.5 nM) for 2 h. The medium was removed and the wells were washed with ice-cold PBS. The surface-bound radio-conjugate was removed with a glycine solution (pH 2.8) on ice, as described above. Cells were then incubated again at 37 °C with a fresh medium. At 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, and 240 min, the medium was removed for quantification of radioactivity and replaced with a fresh 37 °C medium. At the end of the experiment, the cells were detached with 1 M NaOH and collected for quantification of the radioactivity.

**In vivo evaluation**

The Veterinary Office (Department of Health) of the Cantonal Basel-Stadt approved the animal experiments (approval no. 2799) in accordance with the Swiss regulations for animal treatment. Female athymic Nude-Foxn1<sup>−/−</sup>/Foxn1<sup>+</sup> mice (Envigo, The Netherlands), 4–6 weeks old, were inoculated subcutaneously with 10⁷ HEK-SST2 cells on the right shoulder and 10⁷ HEK-SST5 cells on the left shoulder, suspended in 100 μL sterile PBS. The tumors were allowed to grow for 2–3 weeks until reach an average volume of 100 mm<sup>3</sup>, considering both tumor types. The average tumor mass was 0.22 g (0.14–0.31 g) for SST2 tumors and 0.13 g (range 0.08–0.21) for SST5 tumors. For the biodistribution (cohorts of n = 3–8 mice) and imaging studies, the mice were euthanized by keeping them in a CO₂ chamber 2 min, followed by a slow increase of the concentration of CO₂ gas. The mice with the largest tumors were used for PET imaging.

**Biodistribution studies of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC**

Quantitative biodistribution studies were conducted with [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 (100 μL/100 pmol/5 MBq) at 1 and 2 h p.i. Biodistribution of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC was assessed 1 h p.i. for comparison. The organs of interest were collected, rinsed, blotted, weighed, and counted in a γ-counter. The results are expressed as the percentage of injected activity per gram (%IA/g) obtained by extrapolation from counts of an aliquot taken from the injected solution as a standard. The specificity of uptake of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 was assessed 1 h p.i. in HEK-SST-negative xenografted mice.

**PET/CT imaging of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC**

[68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 or [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC (100 μL/100 pmol/5 MBq) was administered to mice bearing dual HEK-SST2 and HEK-SST5 tumors. One-hour p.i. the mice were euthanized, and the bladder was emptied by gently pressing with hands around the bladder area. The excess urine was soaked by cotton, followed by repetitive cleaning of the area with ethanol. The mice were scanned for 60 min using a human PET/CT scanner (Discovery STE, GE Medical Systems). A scout scan (180°, 10 mA, 120 kV) was performed to establish a protocol for all other scans. CT scans were acquired with a minimum slice thickness of 0.625 mm, pitch 1.375:1) and the highest possible tube current for these settings (320 mA @ 120 keV). PET emission events were collected in 3D scanning mode (septa out) over 60 min. Images were corrected for the decay of ⁶⁸Ga and random events and reconstructed using the manufacturer’s 3D OSEM algorithm to 47 slices (display FOV = 6.4 cm, 128 × 128 matrix, resulting pixel size = 0.5 mm), once for each mouse separately in the center of the reconstruction cylinder. The in vivo images are presented as fused images of PET maximum intensity projection (MIP) and CT.

**Data analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired two-tailed t test using GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad...
Results
(Radio)metallated peptide conjugates, stability, and lipophilicity
All (metallated) conjugates were used with > 96% purity. The analytical data are reported in Table 1. The radiochemical yield of the $^{68}$Ga-preparations (non-isolated, estimated by radio-HPLC) was ≥ 98%, with a radiochemical purity ≥ 95% and an apparent molar activity of 50 MBq/nmol. $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 was stable after 1 h at a room temperature (radiochemical purity remained ≥ 97%).

$[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 was more lipophilic ($\log D = -1.0 \pm 0.1$) than $[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC ($\log D = -1.6 \pm 0.1$), while $[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-TATE showed the highest hydrophilicity with a $\log D = -3.0 \pm 0.1$.

Affinity studies
The results are summarized in Table 2. Values regarding SS-14, ST8950, octreotide, and pasireotide have been published in our recent study [25], but were measured head-to-head with all $^{nat}$Ga-metallated compounds presented here. The IC$_{50}$ of the natural SS-14 is in sub-nanomolar level for both receptor subtypes, while the IC$_{50}$ of ST8950 correlates with the results reported by Moore et al. [24]. Conjugation of the chelate $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA to ST8950 did not alter its binding affinity to SST2 (IC$_{50}$ (95% CI): 0.32 (0.20–0.50) nM for $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 vs 0.28 (0.19–0.42) nM for ST8950), but reduced by more than a factor of 2 its affinity to SST5 (IC$_{50}$: 1.9 (1.1–3.1) vs 0.77 (0.48–1.2) nM, respectively). In comparison to $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-TATE, $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 exhibited a lower affinity to SST2 (IC$_{50}$: 0.15 (0.11–0.19) vs 0.32 (0.20–0.50) nM, respectively), but $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-TATE was unable to bind to SST5. Compared with $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-NOC, $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 exhibited higher affinity for SST2 and SST5 (IC$_{50}$: 0.70 (0.50–0.96) vs 0.32 (0.20–0.50) nM and 3.4 (1.8–6.2) vs 1.9 (1.1–3.1) nM, respectively [25]). Surprisingly, the de-iodinated analog $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8951 demonstrated diminished affinities for SST2 and SST5, compared to $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and the reference compounds.

Functional assays
The results are summarized in Table 3. The EC$_{50}$ values of SS-14, ST8950, octreotide, and pasireotide were published in our recent study [25], while all $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-conjugates are presented here. ST8950 was found to be a highly potent agonist of SST2 (EC$_{50}$ (95% CI) = 0.29 (0.12–0.67) nM (similar to natural SS-14 [25]), but with a lower potency toward SST5 (EC$_{50}$ = 8.5 (3.7–19) nM). However, ST8950 exhibited an intermediate agonistic potency on SST3 between pasireotide and octreotide, the latter being almost inactive on SST5. Introduction of the chelate $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA reduces the agonistic potency for SST2 by a factor of 1.6 (EC$_{50}$ = 0.46 (0.23–0.92) nM for $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 vs 0.29 (0.12–0.67) nM for ST8950), and similar to (by a factor of 1.9) the agonistic potency for SST5 (EC$_{50}$ = 16 (6.7–36) vs 8.5 (3.7–19) nM, respectively). Regarding the de-iodinated analog $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8951, it loses massively its agonistic potency compared with $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and the reference compounds, similar to the observation at the binding affinity studies.

In vitro characterization
$[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950, $[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-TATE, and $[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC showed specific and time-dependent cellular uptake on HEK-SST2 cells. The results are reported in Fig. 2. $[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 showed a similar (statistically not significantly different) internalization as $[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC (12.4 ± 1.6% vs 16.6 ± 2.2%, $p = 0.0586$, at 4 h), but statistically significantly lower than $[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-TATE (12.4 ± 1.6% vs 24.2 ± 5.3%, $p = 0.0216$). The percentage of the surface-bound fraction was very low (~ 1%) in all cases, demonstrating that all surface-bound fraction is rapidly internalized inside the cells. $[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8951 had essentially no internalization on HEK-SST2, compared with the other radio-conjugates (1.1 ± 0.1%, at 4 h). None of the radio-conjugates had substantial internalization on HEK-SST5 cells ($[^{67}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950:

Table 1 Analytical data of the DOTA conjugates and of their corresponding $^{nat}$Ga-complexes

| Compounds       | Purity(%) | MW (calculated) | MW (observed) | HPLC ($t_r$ min) |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| DOTA-ST8950     | 100       | 1699.5          | 1700.1        | 10.51           |
| DOTA-ST8951     | 98        | 1447.7          | 1448.2        | 8.56            |
| DOTA-NOC        | 96        | 1454.6          | 1456.2        | 11.00           |
| DOTA-TATE       | 100       | 1435.6          | 1436.2        | 9.05            |
| $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 | 98    | 1768.5          | 1768.0        | 10.74           |
| $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-ST8951 | 97    | 1516.7          | 1516.1        | 8.55            |
| $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-NOC | 97    | 1523.6          | 1524.1        | 11.40           |
| $^{nat}$Ga-DOTA-TATE | 98    | 1504.6          | 1504.1        | 9.50            |

MW: molecular weight, $t_r$: retention time.
1.2 ± 0.2%, [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC 0.5 ± 0.1%, and [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8951 < 0.5%, at 4 h). The internalization of [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE was not evaluated on HEK-SST5, and it has no affinity to SST5.

The results of the cellular retention of [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950, [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE, and [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC in HEK-SST2 are presented in Fig. 3. The efflux was in the same range for the three 67Ga-labeled conjugates. [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC showed the lower efflux (34% after 4 h at 37 °C), while [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 the highest (49% after 4 h at 37 °C); however, there was no statistically significant difference between [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC (p = 0.0574) or [67Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE (p = 0.1308).

### Table 2: Affinity toward SST2 and SST5 of all four natGa-DOTA-conjugates compared to reference somatostatin analogs

| Compounds            | SST2          | SST5          |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                      | EC50, nM (95% CI) | EC50, nM (95% CI) |
| Somatostatin-14*     | 0.11 (0.08–0.15) | 0.35 (0.22–0.55) |
| natGa-DOTA-ST8950    | 0.32 (0.20–0.50) | 1.9 (1.1–3.1)  |
| natGa-DOTA-ST8951    | 7.5 (5.2–11)    | 24 (14–43)     |
| natGa-DOTA-TATE      | 0.15 (0.11–0.19) | 69 (28–168)    |
| natGa-DOTA-NOC       | 0.70 (0.50–0.96) | 3.4 (1.8–6.2)  |
| ST8950 (1)           | 0.28 (0.19–0.42) | 0.77 (0.48–1.2) |
| Octreotide (1)**     | 0.24 (0.12–0.48) | 17 (12–24)     |
| Pasireotide (1)**     | 3.1 (2.0–4.9)   | 0.20 (0.11–0.35) |

*Somatostatin-14 is the natural ligand and was used as control
**Octreotide and pasireotide were used for comparison, additionally to natGa-DOTA-TATE and natGa-DOTA-NOC

**From [25]

Experiments were performed in 3 to 5 separate sessions in duplicate.

### Table 3: Agonistic potency toward SST2 and SST5 of all four natGa-DOTA-conjugates compared to reference somatostatin analogs

| Compounds            | SST2          | SST5          |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                      | EC50, nM (95% CI) | EC50, nM (95% CI) |
| Somatostatin-14*     | 0.23 (0.09–0.62) | 1.9 (0.77–4.5) |
| natGa-DOTA-ST8950    | 0.46 (0.23–0.92) | 16 (6.7–36)   |
| natGa-DOTA-ST8951    | 9.8 (3.7–26)    | 128 (41–512)  |
| natGa-DOTA-TATE      | 0.47 (0.15–1.5) | 39 (15–101)   |
| natGa-DOTA-NOC       | 0.59 (0.18–1.9) | 3.3 (1.3–8.5) |
| ST8950 (1)           | 0.29 (0.12–0.67) | 8.5 (3.7–19)  |
| Octreotide (1)**     | 0.21 (0.12–0.36) | 27 (8.1–88)   |
| Pasireotide (1)**     | 1.1 (0.48–2.5)  | 0.60 (0.21–1.7) |

**From [25]

Experiments were performed in 3 to 4 separate sessions in duplicate.

### Biodistribution studies of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC

The biodistribution results are presented in Table 4. [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 showed high accumulation in both SST2- and SST5-expressing tumors and in SST-positive tissues, such as the pancreas, stomach, and pituitary. In general, [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 showed relatively long circulation in the blood, as indicated by the blood values at 1 h and 2 h p.i. (1.9 ± 0.6 and 0.8 ± 0.2 %IA/g, respectively). The accumulation in the kidneys (14 ± 4 %IA/g at 1 h p.i., remaining at the same level after 2 h) indicates urinary excretion and renal retention. The liver uptake of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 is rather high (6.4 ± 1.9 %IA/g), compared to [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC (2.3 ± 0.7 %IA/g). The significantly low tumor uptake of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 in HEK-SST-negative xenografts (1.4 ± 0.5 %IA/g), versus 26 ± 8 %IA/g in HEK-SST2 tumors and 15 ± 6 %IA/g in HEK-SST5 tumors at 1 h p.i. confirms the receptor-mediated uptake (specificity) of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950.

The biodistribution of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC was similar to [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 at 1 h p.i., with high and specific accumulation in SST2 and SST5 tumors and in SST-positive tissues. However, [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 showed slightly higher blood values and higher kidney and liver uptake, compared to [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC, which had in turn higher accumulation in the SST-positive organs, such as the pancreas and stomach.

### PET/CT imaging of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC

PET/CT images of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC at 1 h p.i. revealed high image contrast with clear visualization of both SST2 and SST5 tumors (Fig. 4). The highest tracer uptake is visible in the tumors and in the kidneys. Accumulation of [68Ga]Ga-
DOTA-ST8950 is also detected in the liver, in agreement with the biodistribution data, though lower than in the kidneys and the tumors.

**Discussion**

Various expression and co-expression patterns have been described for the 5 somatostatin receptor subtypes (SST1-5), depending on the tumor type and origin [5, 6, 28]. Interestingly, tumor area lacking expression of a given subtype may be populated by another one [4, 5, 8]. Hence, somatostatin analogs with affinity to more than one receptor subtypes are of great interest as they address receptor subtype co-expression and heterogeneous expression patterns.

Two independent studies comparing the diagnostic efficacy [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC, a somatostatin analog with a high affinity for SST2 and SST5 and a lower affinity for SST3, with the SST2-selective [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE in NET patients, provided controversial results on the clinical outcome of multi-receptor subtype targeting. Kabasakal et al. [29], concluded that even though the images have comparable diagnostic accuracy, [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC detected more lesions. Contrary to this, Wild et al. [30] reported that [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC detected significantly more lesions than [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE (sensitivity: 93.5 vs 85.5%) and it changed the clinical management in 17% of the studied patients. Recently, Lamarca et al. [31] confirmed the role of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC PET imaging for the optimization of the clinical management in lung carcinoid patients. Overall, the clinical data support that multi-receptor subtype targeting is relevant for improving the diagnostic accuracy and sensitivity of PET imaging of SST-expressing tumors. Therefore, effort needs to be made for developing new radiotracers in this direction.

With the aim to develop 68Ga-tracers for combined SST2 and SST5 targeting, we focused on the series of compounds reported by Moore et al. [24], who used synthetic amino acids, among them iodo-substituted ones, to improve binding affinities. There are several cases in the literature where (radio)iodination of somatostatin analogs either did not affect or improve the binding affinity and/or potency [8, 32, 33]. In the series of Moore et al., iodination at position 3 (3-iodo-Tyr3, peptide #6 in reference [24]) showed improved affinity to SST5 by an order of magnitude, followed unluckily, by a 4-fold reduction in the affinity to SST2. Similar observations on SST5 were reported by Schotellius et al. [33]. Iodination at position 3 of DOTA-TATE (DOTA-3-iodo-Tyr3-octreotate: HA-TATE) enhanced the affinity of natGa-DOTA-HA-TATE compared with natGa-DOTA-TATE (IC50 = 102 ± 65 vs > 1000 nM, respectively), but did not affect the affinity to SST2, contrary to Moore et al. Taken together, the two studies indicate that iodo-substitution of Tyr3 on the octreotide motif improves affinity to SST5.

Modification of ST8950 at the N-terminal by coupling of DOTA and complexation with Ga3+ does not affect the affinity for SST2, while reduces the affinity for SST5 by a factor of approx. 2. Nevertheless, natGa-DOTA-ST8950 retains

![Fig. 3](image-url) Cellular retention of the 67Ga-labeled conjugates in HEK-SST2 cells over time, expressed as % (mean ± SD) of the internalized activity

**Table 4 Biodistribution results of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-ST8950 at 1 and 2 h p.i. and [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-NOC at 1 h p.i.**

| Organ           | 1 h | 2 h | 1 h (negative) | 1 h |
|-----------------|-----|-----|---------------|-----|
| Blood           | 1.9 ± 0.6 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 1.5 ± 0.2 | 1.1 ± 0.4 |
| Heart           | 1.3 ± 0.4 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 0.7 ± 0.2 |
| Lung            | 5.4 ± 1.6 | 3.5 ± 0.7 | 4.6 ± 0.8 | 3.3 ± 1.4 |
| Liver           | 6.4 ± 1.9 | 7.3 ± 1.5 | 6.2 ± 0.6 | 2.3 ± 0.7 |
| Pancreas        | 8.5 ± 4.5 | 8.6 ± 2.1 | 7.4 ± 1.9 | 16 ± 3 |
| Spleen          | 1.9 ± 0.6 | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 0.8 ± 0.2 |
| Stomach         | 7.5 ± 3.0 | 8.4 ± 1.6 | 6.0 ± 1.6 | 12 ± 2 |
| Intestine       | 2.4 ± 1.3 | 2.7 ± 0.3 | 2.5 ± 0.2 | 3.3 ± 0.7 |
| Adrenal         | 4.5 ± 0.9 | 4.3 ± 1.0 | 3.4 ± 0.7 | 4.6 ± 1.0 |
| Kidney          | 14 ± 4 | 14 ± 2 | 12 ± 1 | 9.8 ± 3.2 |
| Muscle          | 0.9 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.5 ± 0.2 |
| Femur           | 2.0 ± 0.6 | 2.4 ± 0.8 | 1.1 ± 0.1 | 1.7 ± 0.8 |
| Pituitary       | 8.5 ± 1.9 | 7.8 ± 1.2 | n.d. | 8.2 ± 2.8 |
| SST2 tumor      | 26 ± 8 | 32 ± 7 | – | 30 ± 8 |
| SST5 tumor      | 15 ± 6 | 14 ± 3 | – | 12 ± 5 |
| SST(-) tumor    | – | – | 1.4 ± 0.5 | – |

Results are expressed as the mean of the % injected activity per gram of tissue (%IA/g) ± standard deviation (SD). The peptide mass and activity injected were 100 pmol and 2.5 MBq, for both radio-conjugates

**SST(-) somatostatin receptor negative**

*n = 8, †n = 5, ‡n = 3, n.d. not determined**
its affinity in sub- (SST2) or one-digit (SST5) nanomolar range. It is worth mentioning that the determined IC\textsubscript{50} of ST8950 is in very good agreement with the results reported by Moore et al. [24] (0.6 for SST2 and 0.7 nM for SST5). Conversely, the de-iodinated analog ST8951 (IC\textsubscript{50} = 1.6 nM for SST2 and 14 nM for SST5 in reference [24]) after the coupling of the chelate natGa-DOTA loses massively its affinity for both, SST2 and SST5 (IC\textsubscript{50} = 7.5 and 24 nM, respectively). The effect is more prominent in the SST2, for which ST8951 shows higher selectivity. In addition, we evaluated to which extent ST8950 and ST8951 retain their agonistic potencies after Ga-DOTA conjugation, in light of examples in the literature indicating that modifications like DOTA conjugation can change the function of a somatostatin analog from an antagonist to an agonist [34]. The agonistic potencies of natGa-DOTA-ST8950 and natGa-DOTA-ST8951 followed an identical trend as their affinities to both SST2 and for SST5.

\textsuperscript{[67}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-ST8950 had similar internalization rate as \textsuperscript{[67}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-NOC, but significantly lower than \textsuperscript{[68}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-TATE in HEK-SST2 cells. All three radiotracers had very low surface-bound fraction, confirming their agonistic nature that leads to instant internalization of the radiotracer upon binding to the receptor on the cell surface. Four hours after internalization, half of the \textsuperscript{[67}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-ST8950 still remains inside the cells. There was no statistically significant difference in the efflux rate between \textsuperscript{[67}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and \textsuperscript{[67}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-NOC or \textsuperscript{[68}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-TATE. \textsuperscript{[68}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-ST8951 showed essentially no internalization in HEK-SST2. The inability of \textsuperscript{[67}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-ST8951 to bind and internalize on SST2-expressing cells, together with its loss of affinity for SST2 and SST5, led us to exclude \textsuperscript{[67/68}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-ST8951 from further studies.

In vitro experiments on HEK-SST5 did not show any internalization (neither cell surface-bound) for any of the tested radiotracers. This may be due to the particular cellular distribution and trafficking of SST5 [35, 36] and not due to the radiotracers. This phenomenon was also observed by others using somatostatin analogs with a high affinity for SST5 [10, 35]. Cescato et al. [35] showed that SST5 internalization can be induced by natural somatostatin peptides but not by synthetic high-affinity SST5 agonists. Indeed, Maina et al showed in vitro internalization on HEK-SST5 of an \textsuperscript{111}In-labeled modified analog of the natural SS-28 [22], even though very low (approx. 2.5% after 1 h at 37 °C) and unusually high (50%) nonspecific portion. Our data are in line with the published findings. Importantly, the lack of in vitro internalization in SST5-expressing cells does not exclude the accumulation of the radiotracer in SST5-expressing tumors in vivo. Our in vivo data prove this.

The in vivo distribution of \textsuperscript{[68}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-ST8950 is representative of radiolabeled somatostatin analogs, regarding the accumulation in SST-positive tissues, such as the stomach, the pancreas, and the pituitary. \textsuperscript{[68}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-DOTA-ST8950 showed high uptake in both SST2- and SST5-expressing tumors, similar to \textsuperscript{[68}Ga\textsuperscript{]}Ga-
DOTA-NOC ($p = 0.4630$ for SST2 and $p = 0.3282$ for SST5), proven to be receptor subtype mediated by the 90% reduction found on the SST-negative tumor. The kidneys were the second tissue after the tumors accumulating radioactivity, which was expected due to the renal excretion of this class of radiotracers. Unluckily, the lipophilic character of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 was reflected on its biodistribution profile, with rather high blood and liver values. Nevertheless, when we compared with the $^{68}$Ga-labeled pasireotide which also targets SST2 and SST5 (referred as $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-SOM230 in [15, 16]) in the same animal model, $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 has advantages in terms of lower blood values (1.9 ± 0.6 vs 4.1 ± 0.9 %IA/g at 1 h p.i.) and liver uptake (6.4 ± 1.9 vs 12.9 ± 2.2 %IA/g at 1 h p.i.). Liu et al. confirmed similarly high blood values and even higher liver uptake for the $^{68}$Ga-labeled pasireotide (referred as $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-PA1 in [17]). However, $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 has certain limitations when compared with the clinically used $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC and $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-TATE. The blood and liver values of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 are higher than $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC (Table 4, $p = 0.0141$ and $p = 0.0005$, respectively) and much higher when compared with our previous data on $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-TATE [37] (blood: 1.9 ± 0.6 vs 0.4 ± 0.0 %IA/g and liver 6.4 ± 1.9 vs 0.4 ± 0.2 %IA/g, respectively). On the other hand, $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC demonstrated higher uptake in the SST-positive stomach and pancreas, while $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-TATE cannot be used for imaging SST5-expressing tumors as it is unable to bind to this receptor subtype.

PET/CT imaging is reflecting the biodistribution data, with clear visualization of SST2- and SST5-expressing tumors and high image contrast for $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 and for $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC. The higher kidney uptake of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950, compared with $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC ($p = 0.0399$), is of no concern for a diagnostic tracer. However, the accumulation of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 in the liver is a drawback. Especially when recognizing that the liver is the first site of metastasis of NETs, and therefore, low background activity is needed for a good image contrast and diagnostic accuracy. Two approaches are considered to circumvent this problem: (a) a chemical approach that involves modification of the structure by introducing hydrophilic spacers and/or amino acids and (b) a pharmacological approach by enhancing the tumor uptake via epigenetic receptor upregulation [38], improving tumor-to-liver ratio. The second approach is mainly considered for the therapeutic counterpart $[^{177}\text{Lu}]$Lu-DOTA-ST8950.

Conclusion
The preclinical evaluation of the 2-iodo-substituted somatostatin analog $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8950 reveals its potential as PET tracer for in vivo imaging of SST2- and SST5-expressing tumors, which may be of interest for gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, pituitary tumors, and gastric cancers. Its in vivo uptake in the tumors compares favorably with the uptake of the clinically used $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-NOC, but its high accumulation in the liver remains a challenge for clinical translation. While iodination in positions 1 and 3 seemed not to be a prerequisite for a good binding affinity of ST8950 and of the de-iodinated ST8951 to SST2 and SST5, this is proven to be essential in their $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-chelated versions. $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-DOTA-ST8951 lost its affinity and potency for both subtypes, and it is disqualified for usage as a PET tracer.
References

1. Reubi JC, Schar JC, Waser B, Wenger S, Heppeler A, Schmitt JS, et al. Affinity profiles for human somatostatin receptor subtypes SST1-SST5 of somatostatin radiotracers selected for scintigraphic and radiotherapeutic use. Eur J Nucl Med. 2002;27:273–82.
2. Arnacca A, Coulon F, Rochaix P, Bauvin E, Cancers-Lauvers V, Susini C, et al. In-vivo-111-pentetreotide scintigraphy and somatostatin receptor subtype 2 expression: new prognostic factors for malignant well-differentiated endocrine tumors. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20:963–70.
3. Buscall L, Estève JP, Saint-Laurent N, Bertrand V, Réisme T, O’Carroll AM, et al. Inhibition of cell proliferation by the somatostatin analogue RC-160 is mediated by somatostatin receptor subtypes SST2R and SST3R through different mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:1580–4.
4. Kulakisz H, Eissle R, Rossler D, Schulz S, Holtz V, Cetin Y, et al. Identification of somatostatin receptor subtypes 1, 3, 3, and 5 in neuroendocrine tumours with subtype specific antibodies. Gut. 2002;50:56–60.
5. Papotti M, Bongiovanni M, Volante M, Allia E, Landolfi S, Helboe L, et al. Expression of somatostatin receptor types 1-5 in 81 cases of gastrointestinal and pancreatic endocrine tumors. A correlative immunohistochemical and reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction analysis. Virochows Arch. 2002;440:461–75.
6. Reubi JC, Waser B. Concomitant expression of several peptide receptors in neuroendocrine tumors: molecular basis for in vivo multireceptor tumor targeting. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2003;30:781–93.
7. Forsell-Aronsson EB, Nilsson O, Bejjegard SA, Kolby L, Bernhardt P, Molne J, et al. 111In-DTPA-D-Phe1-octreotide binding and somatostatin receptor subtypes in thyroid tumors. J Nucl Med. 2000;41:636–42.
8. Rivier JE, Hoeger C, Erchegy J, Gulyas J, DeBoard R, Craig AG, et al. Potent somatostatin undecapeptide agonists selective for somatostatin receptor 1 (sst1). J Med Chem. 2001;44:2328–46.
9. Traub T, Petkov V, Olufogunl S, Fanger T, Raderer M, Fueger BJ, et al. 111In-DOTA-lanreotide scintigraphy in patients with tumors of the lung. J Nucl Med. 2001;42:1309–15.
10. Antunes P, G inf M, Zhang H, Waser B, Baum RP, Reubi JC, et al. Are radioligand-labelled DOTA-conjugated somatostatin analogues superior to those labelled with other radiometals? Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2003;30:1388–97.
11. Wild D, Schmitt JS, G inf M, Macke HR, Bernhard BF, Krenning E, et al. DOTA-NOC, a high-affinity ligand of somatostatin receptor subtypes 2, 3 and 5 for labelling with various radiotracers. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2003;30:1388–97.
12. Fani M, Schmitt JS, G inf M, Braun F, Mann A, Kneuer A, Kaufmann J, Webster WA, et al. Development of the 90Ga-labelled pan-somatostatin analog SOM230 (SOMscan). Abstract. J Nucl Med. 2002;53:1686.
13. Fani M, Gourni E, Braun F, Mann A, Kneuer A, Kaufmann J, et al. Development and Preclinical Evaluation of the New Pan-Somatostatin PET Imaging Probe 90Ga-DOTA-SOM230 (SOMScan®). Abstract. NANNTS. 2012;2012:C.16.
14. Liu F, Liu T, Xu X, Guo X, Li N, Xiong C, et al. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of 90Ga-DOTA-PB1 for lung cancer: a novel PET tracer for multiple somatostatin receptor imaging. Mol Pharm. 2018;15:619–28.
15. G inf M, Chen J, Walter MA, Eltschinger V, Reubi JC, Maacke HR. Preclinical evaluation of new and highly potent analogues of octreotate for predictive imaging and targeted radiotherapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11:1136–46.
16. G inf M, Zhang H, Eisenwereiner KP, Wild D, Schulz S, Rink H, et al. New somatostatin ligands and their chemically modified versions: affinity profile, agonist activity, internalization, and tumor targeting. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14:2019–25.
17. Tatsi A, Maina T, Cescato R, Waser B, Krenning EP, de Jong M, et al. [111In-DOTA]Somatostatin-14 analogs as potential pansomatostatin-like radiotracers - first results of a preclinical study. EJNMMI Res. 2012;2:25.
18. Tatsi A, Maina T, Cescato R, Waser B, Krenning EP, de Jong M, et al. [DOTA]Somatostatin-14 analogs and their [111In]radioligands: effects of decreasing ring-size on sst1-5 profile, stability and tumor targeting. Eur J Med Chem. 2014;73:30–7.
19. Tatsi A, Cescato R, Waser B, Tatsi A, Kaloudi A, Krenning EP, et al. [111In-DOTA]TT-528, a first pansomatostatin radiogand for in vivo targeting of somatostatin receptor-positive tumors. J Med Chem. 2014;57:6546–71.
20. Cal RZ, Szoke L, Lu R, Fu D, Redding TW, Schally AV. Synthesis and biological activity of highly potent octapeptide analogs of somatostatin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1986;83:1896–900.
21. Moore SB, van der Hoek J, de Capua A, van Koetsveld PM, Hofland LJ, Lamberts SW, et al. Discovery of iodinated somatostatin analogues selective for hss2 and hss5 with excellent inhibition of growth hormone and prolactin release from rat pituitary cells. J Med Chem. 2005;48:6643–52.
22. Sreuli J, Harris AG, Cottingy C, Allagart F, Daly AF, Grouemmann E, et al. Cellular effects of 68Ga-DOTANOC-PET/CT within patients with 68Ga-DOTANOC-PET/CT imaging in the same patient group with neuroendocrine tumours. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2012;39:1271–7.
23. Tarasco E, Seebeck P, Pfundstein S, Daly AF, Eugster PJ, Harris AG, et al. Effect of 68Ga-DOTANOC-PET/CT imaging and targeted radiotherapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11:1136–40.
24. Moore SB, van der Hoek J, de Capua A, van Koetsveld PM, Hofland LJ, Lamberts SW, et al. Discovery of iodinated somatostatin analogues selective for hss2 and hss5 with excellent inhibition of growth hormone and prolactin release from rat pituitary cells. J Med Chem. 2005;48:6643–52.
25. Sreuli J, Harris AG, Cottingy C, Allagart F, Daly AF, Grouemmann E, et al. Cellular effects of 68Ga-DOTANOC-PET/CT within patients with 68Ga-DOTANOC-PET/CT imaging in the same patient group with neuroendocrine tumours. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2012;39:1271–7.
26. Wild D, Bomanji JB, Benkert P, Meeckel H, Ell PJ, Reubi JC, et al. Comparison of 68Ga-DOTANOC and 68Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT imaging and targeted radiotherapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11:1136–40.
27. Lamurca A, Pritchard DM, Westwood T, Papaginos G, Nomaka D, Vinjamuri S, et al. 68Ga-DOTANOC-PET imaging in lung carcinoids: impact on patients' management. Neuroendocrinololgy. 2018;108:128–38.
28. Wolterling EA, O'Dorisio MS, Murphy WA, Chen F, Drouant GJ, Espenan GD, et al. Synthesis and characterization of multiply-labeled, multiply-iodinated somatostatin analogs. J Pept Res. 1990;53:201–13.
29. Schottelius M, Simecek J, Hoffmann F, Willibald M, Schwaiger M, Wester HJ. Twins in spirit - episode I: comparative preclinical evaluation of 68Ga-DOTANOC and 68Ga-DOTARECTIC PET/CT imaging and targeted radiotherapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2013;19:128–37.
30. Reubi JC, Schottelius M, Simecek J, Hoffmann F, Willibald M, Schwaiger M, Wester HJ. Twins in spirit - episode II: comparative clinical evaluation of 68Ga-DOTATATE and 68Ga-DOTASOMATOSTATIN-14 analogs as potential pansomatostatin-like radiotracers - first results of a preclinical study. EJNMMI Res. 2012;2:25.
31. Tatsi A, Maina T, Cescato R, Waser B, Krenning EP, de Jong M, et al. [DOTA]Somatostatin-14 analogs and their [111In]radioligands: effects of decreasing ring-size on sst1-5 profile, stability and tumor targeting. Eur J Med Chem. 2014;73:30–7.
32. Tatsi A, Maina T, Cescato R, Waser B, Krenning EP, de Jong M, et al. [DOTA]Somatostatin-14 analogs and their [111In]radioligands: effects of decreasing ring-size on sst1-5 profile, stability and tumor targeting. Eur J Med Chem. 2014;73:30–7.
33. Cao RZ, Szoke L, Lu R, Fu D, Redding TW, Schally AV. Synthesis and biological activity of highly potent octapeptide analogs of somatostatin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1986;83:1896–900.

Publisher's Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.