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Abstract: Background: Modern cancer treatments can cure or prolong patients’ lives. However, the associated physical and psychosocial problems can detrimentally affect patients’ compliance with treatment and ultimately their outcomes. When much of the attention has been focused on the physiological care of gynecological cancer survivors, the consequences of cancer and its treatments, psychosocial wellbeing and quality of life remain relatively unexplored. Therefore, nurses need to recognize the problems experienced by these patients and help resolve these problems. Objective: The aim of this study was to assess and categorize the psychosocial problems as per their severity in gynaecological cancer survivors of a tertiary care hospital and determine their association with the selected variables. Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted on a total of 80 hospitalized women with gynaecological cancers who underwent treatment in the oncology unit. The psychosocial problems perceived by women were assessed and categorized according to their severity, using a structured interview questionnaire- Psycho-Social Assessment Questionnaire (PSA). Results: Mean age of women with gynaecological cancers who participated in the study was 55 years with most of them in the advanced stage of cancer undergoing various treatment modalities. Majority of them (96.2%) experienced moderate to severe psychosocial problems across various domains. Relatively higher proportion (30.07%) of psychosocial problems was constituted by psychological issues followed by those of family and social domains while financial problems had the least contribution. Moreover moderate and severe psychosocial problems were also observed in the areas of psychological, family and social and informational domains. This study also indicated that among the top ten priority problems, four were from the psychological domain and three each from informational and family & social domain. Fear of spread and recurrence of cancer, feelings about the changes in physical appearance, difficulty in communicating problems to family members and not informed about diagnosis and prognosis by healthcare staff are the top four psychosocial problems experienced by the participants. Age, stage of cancer, duration of cancer diagnosis, type of treatment received showed highly significant association with psychosocial problems at p <0.05. Conclusions: This study served to highlight the psychosocial problems and begs comprehensive assessment and extended psychosocial services to address the issues of gynaecological cancer survivors to meet their ongoing needs in order to improve long term psychosocial outcomes and Quality of life. Implications for Practice: This study indicated that there are specific psychosocial problem areas which should be identified and assisted by effective communication and interactional nursing skills. This may provide guidance to nurses working with these women in terms of what factors to evaluate when screening patients to determine who needs psychosocial interventions at an early period. A standardized approach or tool to screen all patients attending gynaecological cancer clinic for supportive psychosocial needs could be developed. This facilitates triaging and timely referral to appropriate services.
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1. Introduction

It is heart breaking to be diagnosed with cancer as it changes life profoundly and is akin to a death sentence with unknown cause, uncertain cure and sheer devastation. The issues that confront a cancer patient cuts across religion, culture, demographics and all other factors. It is predicted that in India the burden of gynaecological cancer will go up from 15.3% in 2010 to 18.3% in 2020 which are relatively mainly due to cancer to cervix, breast, corpus uteri & ovary. Cancer often places a heavy burden on the survivors due to the physical distress caused by the disease and its treatment. Although treatment has the potential to cure some cancers and extend lives; these regimens certainly come with a range of physical side-effects, emotional distress, psychological difficulty, and spiritual suffering. Gynaecological cancer cause disturbances to body image, feelings of helplessness, dependency, shame, guilt, vulnerability, loss of feminity & motherhood and sexual difficulties. Every woman with gynaecological cancer constantly grapple with intense feelings ranging from loneliness to isolation, anxiety to suicidal ideations; hopelessness and depression; and distorted family relations to interruption in their societal relationships. Though advances in treatment of gynaecological cancers are currently improving and survival rates are steadily increasing, ill effects of cancer and its treatment can occur many years even after the treatment ends. Few studies have documented that approximately one third of all oncology patients will experience significant levels of distress which warrants psychosocial treatment. Though the associated physical and psychosocial problems can detrimentally affect patients’ compliance with treatment and ultimately their outcomes, surprisingly much of the attention is been focused only on the physiological care of gynecological cancer survivors, the consequences of cancer and its treatments, and the psychosocial wellbeing and quality of life always remain relatively unexplored. Thus people diagnosed with cancer and their families must not only live with and manage the challenges posed to their physical health, but also need to overcome the psychosocial obstacles that interfere with their holistic health.

With the substantial number of gynaecological cancer survivors in India it is pertinent that these women be assessed for their supportive care needs especially the unmet psychosocial needs. Unlike the physical effects, psychosocial discomfort cannot be ascertained empirically and hence needs to be explored based on self-report by patients who perceive them as a problem. Problems of psychosocial nature need to be looked into with equal importance and priority, as the failure to address patients psychosocial needs can result in needless patient and
family suffering. The goal of this study is to understand the psychosocial problems experienced by women with gynaecological cancer which help nurses, who are in a unique position to monitor psychosocial distress and coping throughout their cancer journey and help them become better human beings in order to start a new life surviving with cancer. In the face of these array of potential losses what support can a health team offer to patients and their families on a day to day basis?

2. Objective

The aims of this study was to identify and categorize the psychosocial problems of gynaecological cancer survivors in a tertiary care hospital and determine their association with the selected variables-age, monthly income, type of cancer, stage of cancer, duration of disease, treatment modalities & duration of hospitalization.

3. Materials & Methods

A cross-sectional survey was conducted (2013) on a purposively sampled 80 hospitalized women with gynaecological cancers who underwent treatment in the female oncology unit of a tertiary care hospital in Pune after ethical clearance from the Institution and verbal informed consent from the study subjects. Betty Neumann’s System model was adopted as the conceptual framework for the study. Gynaecological cancer women with varying type, duration, stage of cancer, of age above 30 years, with more than 3 days of present hospital stay undergoing various treatment modalities were included in the study. Women with previously documented psychiatric illnesses, other morbidities and poor general condition were excluded from the study. A structured interview questionnaire-Psycho-Social Assessment Questionnaire (PSA) developed and validated by experts in the field was used as a tool to assess the psychosocial problems perceived by women and the severity of problems were categorized as mild, moderate, severe using scores rated on a Likert scale. Pilot study was conducted on 10% of the total sample. The problems were assessed under various domains namely Psychological, Everyday living, family and social, financial and Informational. The women who had the mean score greater than fifty percent of the total score for each domain was considered to have moderate and severe problems. Moreover moderate and severe psychosocial problems were also observed in the areas of psychological, family and social and informational domains. (Figure 2)

4. Results

Majority of the women with gynaecological cancers who participated in the study were in the age group of 45-65 years with a mean age of 55 years. Majority of the women (97.5%) were unemployed and were homemakers owing to their illiteracy, lower educational status, cultural influences, male dominance and their restricted role as mere caretakers and homemakers. Majority of the women were part of extended and joint family, married and most of them stayed with their spouses who were the main source of support. Many women expressed that they were embarrassed to report many of their problems hence were undetected. Women presented with mainly two types of gynaecological cancers (Cancer ovary and cervix) and they were distributed almost equally in both the category. Participants had a mean hospital stay of 24 days owing to the distressing symptoms experienced by these women. Majority of them (96.2%) experienced moderate to severe psychosocial problems across various domains. The mean score of psychosocial problems in the five domains indicated that psychological problems ranked the highest (30.07%) in comparison to other four domains which were also reflected in the relative proportion. Relatively higher proportion (30.07%) of psychosocial problems was constituted by psychological issues followed by those of family and social domains while financial problems had the least contribution (Figure 1)
care, change in feelings of intimacy and closeness with husband, and worry about illness and its outcome (Table-1)

Table 1: Top ten Psychosocial problems among the five domains

| S. No. | Psychological Problem                                                                 | Domain          | No of Cases (%) |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1      | Fearful about spread and recurrence of cancer.                                        | Psychological    | 67 (83.7)       |
| 2      | Feeling that illness has caused changes in the way they look & have lost interest in their appearance | Psychological    | 62 (77.4)       |
| 3      | Finding difficulty in communicating problems with those closest to them                | Family & social | 58 (72.5)       |
| 4      | Not informed about diagnosis and prognosis by health care staff                        | Informational   | 53 (66.2)       |
| 5      | Feeling sad, depressed, losing interest in things, and feeling hopeless.                | Psychological    | 52 (66.3)       |
| 6      | Worried about test results & of treatment i.e. whether successful or not.              | Informational   | 48 (60)         |
| 7      | Partner, children, parents who are close to me find difficulty with the support and care available them. | Family & social | 46 (57.5)       |
| 8      | Adequate information regarding how to care for self is not given.                      | Informational   | 42 (52.4)       |
| 9      | Illness has caused changes in feelings of intimacy and closeness with husband.         | Family & social | 42 (52.4)       |
| 10     | Worry about illness and its outcome                                                    | Psychological    | 40 (50)         |

Age, stage of cancer, duration of cancer diagnosis and treatment modality showed statistically significant association with psychosocial problems at p <0.05. (Figure 3, 4, 5, 6)

Figure 3: Association of Psychosocial problems and Age
Figure 4: Association of Psychosocial problems and Stage of cancer
Figure 5: Association of Psychosocial problems and Duration of Cancer
Figure 6: Association of Psychosocial problems and Treatment modalities

5. Discussion

The disease and treatment of cancer may lead to functional restrictions or disabilities which in turn may give rise to a diversity of psychosocial problems. These problems not being addressed can have an impact on cancer patient’s recovery and long term chances of survival. The current study represents a cross sectional descriptive view of the perceived psychosocial problems of hospitalized women with gynaecological cancer undergoing treatment, across a comprehensive range of psychosocial domains (Psychological, family & social, financial, informational
& everyday living). The mean score of psychosocial problems in the five domains indicated that psychological problems ranked the highest (30.07%) in comparison to other four domains. The prevalence of moderate to severe psychosocial problems in this study was 85% which was similar to the findings of a previous study but comparatively lesser than (95%) in a separate study. The top ten priority psychosocial problems identified in the present study ranged between 50-83.7%, which was similar to another study (41.2-82.4%) but much higher than various other studies. This can be attributed to the individual differences, heterogeneous sample with varying demographic and disease characteristics. About 96.2% of the patients reported moderate to severe problems in psychological domain and another 80% had problems in relation to the informational (Health awareness) domain. Few other studies reported the same to be 40% in both the areas. The severity of psychosocial problems had statistically significant association with age, advanced stages of illness, duration of cancer, and type of treatment received, which was in accordance with several other studies.

This was an Indian based study concentrating on the southern states and hence may be limited in its generalizability to other states. Small sample size and exclusion of patients who were too sick or unable to respond implies that the results cannot be generalized to the target population. This study had a 100 percent response rate and despite the limitations this is one of the studies which considered the prevalence of psychosocial problems and their association to certain socio demographic and clinical variables during hospitalization, cancer treatment and course of cancer among two common types of gynecological cancers.

6. Conclusion

The findings from this study can provide baseline information to nurses in cancer hospitals, primary health care settings, and cancer clinics to triage and respond to the patient’s psychosocial issues and needs in order to address them effectively. Knowledge gained from this descriptive study may help nurses and other health care providers provide more responsive, emotionally sensitive and client oriented holistic assessment and comprehensive care for this vulnerable cancer patients. Cancer patients can be sensitized to the major problem areas for early reporting of distress, and encourage patient, use of existing supportive services. The knowledge can be better utilized in nurse run psychosocial care cancer clinics to triage, and identify the issues encountered by cancer patients and plan specific interventions. This study served to highlight the psychosocial problems and begs comprehensive assessment and extended psychosocial services to address the issues of gynaecological cancer survivors to meet their ongoing needs in order to improve long term psychosocial outcomes and Quality of life of many million cancer survivors across the globe.

7. Recommendations

Well-designed prospective trials and cross sectional studies on the factors causing psychosocial problems and barriers to psychosocial care may be carried out on a larger scale. The emotional impact and psychological problems faced by siblings of cancer patients and their subsequent behavior patterns can also form yet another important study.
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