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Abstract

The rapid growth in the economy of many countries has led to high demand and over dependence on fossil fuels whose reserves are limited. Together with their undesirable environmental emissions, there has been need for identifying alternative fuels that are suitable for the diesel engines. Amongst other fuels, tyre pyrolysis oil (TPO) has been identified as a potential additive or supplement to the diesel fuel. When considering an alternative fuel, many factors are taken into consideration including emissions and performance in diesel engines. In this review, published work on tyre pyrolysis oil with main focus on its use in diesel engines as an alternative is discussed. Production of tyre pyrolysis oil and the influence of pyrolysis process conditions on TPO yield are discussed. Optimum oil yield obtained during pyrolysis is within the range of 450 – 550°C depending on the reactor conditions. Properties of TPO are also discussed and compared to those of diesel and relevant standards. The effect of TPO and its blends on engine performance with emphasis on fuel consumption, thermal efficiency and emissions are also reviewed. Overall, the diesel engine performs better with low concentration of TPO in the Diesel/TPO blend than with higher concentration of TPO. This is because fuel properties such as such aromatic content, density, viscosity, Sulphur content and low Cetane number are higher compared to diesel.
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Introduction

Waste disposal poses challenges especially with the tight regulations put in place by environmental protection agencies. One of these wastes is the scrap tyre. Tyres contain carbon black, steel cords, polyester and nylon fibre, steel bead wire, chemicals and fillers and up to 47% rubber [1]. Most of the tyre is composed of thermosetting polymers [2]. Because of the design of tyres and its properties, it is difficult to convert them into other components [3 5]. Retreading can be done on tyres but only if it is not damaged [6]. They are designed to endure extreme operational conditions, and they take 80 to 100 years to biodegrade [3]. This makes the use of landfills as a way of disposing them unsuitable. Besides, they are bulky and cannot be easily compacted unlike any other landfill waste material. Although waste tyre does not pose a direct hazard, the volumes involved and the lack of proper disposal pose serious environmental concern. Five major problems in disposing of used tyres in developing countries have been described as follows [7]: The stock piles created by illegal dumping can lead to formation of breeding grounds for disease causing organisms thus pose risk to human health. There is risk of fire from the stockpiles leading to pollution. The concept of resource conservation “reuse” cannot be applied to tyres. Legal dumping costs are high leading to increase in illegal dumping, and lastly, space is becoming less as their volumes keep on increasing. Although landfills have been used in South Africa (SA) before, it is being discouraged due to environmental concerns associated with it, this has led to illegal dumping or burning to recover steel [7]. It has been estimated that approximately 60 million scrap tyres are scattered all over South Africa with 11 million add to this figure every year [8]. Some of this scrap tyres are recycled while others are burned to recover steel and for heating purposes during winter, producing toxic fumes and liquids that may be harmful to human health and the environment. The rest is scattered in pile-stocks that act as breeding ground for mosquitoes and vermin.

One way of dealing with the tyre disposal problem could be through pyrolysis of scrap tyres to produce liquid fuel or hydrogen [9]. The liquid from pyrolysis can be used for various purposes including provision of fuel for the diesel engine. This is after some modification like distillation, Sulphur reduction and blending with diesel. For a fuel to be suitable for use in the diesel engine, a number of factors need to be critically looked at. They include fuel properties, engine performance in terms of thermal efficiency and fuel consumption, emission characteristics and most importantly engine durability concerns. The purpose of this review is to discuss the potential of tyre pyrolysis oil (TPO) as fuel for the diesel engine. The focus is on production of TPO, its fuel properties and compare to those conventional of diesel fuel and relevant standards. The effect of (TPO) and its blends on engine performance with emphasis on fuel consumption, thermal efficiency and emissions are also discussed.

Tyre Pyrolysis Oil Production and Yield

Pyrolysis is defined as the thermal degradation of organic components in the absence of air, the process yields liquid (oil), gas and solid (char) fractions [2, 10, 11]. According to a GC-MS analysis carried out, TPO is a mixture of more than 200 compounds within the C5-C15 range [12]. The pyrolysis process was broken into four stages [13] in a study that was carried out to understand its mechanism using TG-MS and...
FTIR. The first stage took place below the temperature 320 °C where water vaporization and plasticizer decomposition occurred. The second stage was between 320 °C and 400 °C where natural rubber decomposed. The third stage involved the decomposition of synthetic fiber at between 400-520 °C. Beyond 520 °C little change in weight loss was observed and this was the fourth stage.

Pyrolysis has been studied with conditions being varied with the aim of maximizing on the oil yield. These conditions are discussed in this section and summarized in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3. Reported yield at various operation conditions

| Reference | Feed stock, reactor type and operating conditions | Optimum oil Yield % |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| [14]      | Carried out in an externally heated pilot scale reactor at Temperature range of between 300 – 500°C at various crushed tyre flow rate. | Oil 45 char 50 gas 5 |
| [15]      | Reaction performed at temperature range of 400 – 700 °C in increments of 100°C and at various N₂ flow rates. Highest liquid yield observed at 500°C , the effect of N₂ flow rate was negligible | Oil 40.26 char 47.88 gas 11.86 |
| [16]      | Tyre chips with head, steel and fabric removed. Pyrolysis carried out in a vacuum and reactor was externally heated. Temperature between 450 – 650°C, and residence time of 90 minutes | Oil 50 char 40 gas 10 |
| [17]      | Tyre chips from the periphery of the tyre were fed into fixed bed reactor, heated externally in the absence of oxygen. Process carried out between temperature range of 450 and 650°C at constant heating rate of 5 °C/min and 120 min residence time. | Oil 55 char 34 gas 10 |
| [18]      | 5–7 cm tire particles externally heated in a fixed bed reactor. Temperature of 450°C . N₂ was used as a carrier gas. | Oil 61 char 30 gas 9 |
| [19]      | Carried out at atmospheric pressure and temperature of between 350°C and 600°C in 50°C increments in a fixed bed reactor. Heating rate was 5 and 35°C/min. The highest yield was obtained at 400°C and 5°C/min. | Oil 38.8 char 27.2 gas 34 |
| [20]      | Granulated tyres fed in a continuous auger reactor at feed rate of about 6.7 kg/h. reaction temp of 550°C, N₂ used as carrier gas at 5 L/min. Residence time 3 min. | Oil 42.6 char 40.5 gas 16.9 |

Younus et al., [21] carried out pyrolysis on automobile tyre between temperatures of 450°C and 650°C for a duration of 2 hours and 30 minutes. The yield from the process was 50% TPO, 40% pyro gas and 10% char by weight. The authors found that around 7.8 MJ/kg of energy was required for the process. Martinez et al., [20] produced tyre pyrolysis oil from trucks, tractors and cars in a continuous auger reactor. The reaction was done at optimum reactor conditions of 550 °C and 1 bar. The feedstock residence time was 3 minutes and flow rate was 6.7 kg/h. The liquid, solid and gas yields were found to be about 42.6 %, 40.5 % and 16.9 % by weight respectively. Effects of tyre particle size, running time and reactor temperature on yield was investigated by Islam et al., [18] in a fixed bed reactor with nitrogen gas as a carrier gas. Tyre particle size of 5 – 7 cm was found to have a higher oil yield compared to that with particle size of 1 – 4 cm. The authors suggested that this could be because the smaller particles of tyre were blown out of the reactor before complete devolatization. The authors also noted that the oil yield kept increasing with time. However, after 90 minutes reaction time, the oil yield remained constant. This was because all the volatile fractions had been exhausted. The reactor temperature was varied between 400°C and 500°C. The optimum oil yield of 62% was obtained at a temperature of 450°C. At low temperatures, char content was higher but reduced with increase in temperature while the gas yield was low and increased with increase in temperature. This is because the low temperature was not sufficient for complete devolatization resulting in high char and low oil and gas fractions. Banar et al., [19] noted a 29.5% reduction in liquid yield when the temperature was increased from the optimum temperature of 400 °C in that work to 600°C, while there was an increase in gas yield with increase in temperature beyond the optimum point. This is probably due to further cracking of the liquid to gas [2, 16, 19]. In a similar work by Banar et al., [19], the effects of increasing heating rate on yield was studied. It was found that a lower heating rate of 5°C/min had a higher oil yield of 38.8% compared to a heating rate of 35°C/min that yielded 31.1% oil. The influence of tyre brand on yield and composition of pyrolysis products was investigated by Younus et al., [21]. Seven brands of tyres from different manufacturers were used in this work using a fixed bed reactor under similar conditions. The yields of char (37.7 – 38.7% weight), oil (55.4 – 57.4 % weight) and gas (2.7 – 5 % weight) for the different brands were found to be very similar. However, there were
differences on composition of the products. The composition of gasses were found to vary with brand. There was similarity in their chemical compound but the concentration of the compounds varied. There was also a variation of elemental composition of the oils with brand. Pyrolysis has to be carried out in an oxygen free environment. Therefore, a vacuum can be created or an inert gas such as N₂ may be used to prevent the tyre particles from burning due to the high temperatures in the reactor [15] and to carry away vapour from the reactor during the process [18]. Thus, the inert gas eliminates oxygen an that could cause to further oxidation and also prevents possibility of further reactions [12]. Aydin and Ilkilic. [15] investigated the effects of N₂ flow rate on yield of pyrolysis products. The N₂ flow rate was adjusted from 150 cm³/min to 350 cm³/min in increments of 50 cm³/min. The authors did not find any significant differences in the yields of the products with change in flow rate. Catalytic pyrolysis was studied as a means of enhancing oil yield by Kar [22]. The author compared yield of oil at varying temperatures were varied between 300 °C – 500 °C. Edwin Raj et al., [11] studied the effect of temperature, particle size and feed rate on yield in a fluidized bed reactor. They found that temperature was the most significant factor affecting oil yield. The highest oil yield in this study was obtained at 440 °C.

**Properties of Tyre Pyrolysis Oil**

There are several fuel properties that are critical for sound operation of the diesel engine. Some of these properties for TPO are shown in Table 2.4 and are discussed in the following section. Some authors have gone further to modify TPO by distillation. Distilled Tyre Pyrolysis oil (DTPO) has been found to have properties closer to diesel fuel than raw TPO.

| author | Density @ 20°C kg/L | Heating Value | viscosity @ 40°C | Cetane number | Elemental analysis % |
|--------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|
|        |                     |               |                  | C  | H  | O  | N  | S  |
| [14]   | 0.903               | 41.96         | 2.90             | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.97 |
| [23]   | 920                 | 39.2          | 5.4              | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| [24]   | 0.935 @ 15°C        | 43.8          | 3.2              | 81.18 | 10.92 | 4.62 | 1.85 | 0.031 |
| [15]   | 0.945 @ 20°C        | 43.34         | 3.8              | 44  | 86.87 | 10.07 | 1.67 | 1.184 | 0.906 |
| [25]   | 880 @ 15°C          | 42.7          | 6.3              | 42  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| [16]   | 9.239 @ 15°C        | 3             | 3.77             | 83.48 | 13.12 | 2.46 | 0.22 | 0.72 |
| [18]   | 971 @ 15°C          | 41.0          | 4.8              | 80.30 | 5.18  | 10.13 | -  | -  |
| [26]   | 0.945 @ 20°C        | 43.34         | 3.8              | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.9  |
| [19]   | 820 @ 15°C          | 42.61         | 0.95 @ 50°C      | 68.91 | 9.6  | 18.37 | 2.05 | 1.07 |
| [20]   | 917 @ 15°C          | 42.7 high     | 2.39             | 86.19 | 10.33 | 0  | 0.79 | 0.83 |
| [27]   | 944.4 @ 15°C        | 39.9          | 5.06             | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| [27]   | 904 @ 15°C          | 40.9          | 2.16             | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| [17]   | 935 @ 15°C          | 42.8 (gross)  | 3.4              | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.95 |
| [17]^* | 871                 | 45.6 (gross)  | 1.7              | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.03 |

*Distilled Tyre Pyrolysis Oil (DTPO)
Sulphur content

Literature reviewed has reported a significantly high Sulphur content in TPO compared to that of diesel and biodiesel. Sulphur in TPO comes from the original feedstock. It is normally used to strengthen rubber in a process known as vulcanization. Frigo et al., [14] estimated that after pyrolysis, about 77% mass of the Sulphur in the tyre remains in the solid fraction while the remainder remains in the liquid fraction. The sulphur content of a fuel has significant effect on fuel emissions. It has been reported that [28] exhaust smoke, HC, CO and SO2 emissions reduced with reduction in sulphur content in the fuel whereas there was a remarkable decrease in particulate matter (PM) in the emissions. The authors explained that during combustion, sulphur is converted to SO2, thus consuming part of the oxygen that could have otherwise oxidized CO and HC to CO2 and H2O. Sulphur reaction also leads to the formation of sulphates causing accumulation of carbon hence soot formation. Apart from emission concerns, sulphur can cause engine corrosion when exposed to high temperatures [29]. For these reasons, Sulphur levels should be kept as low as possible. SANS 342:2006 recommends a maximum value of 500 ppm. Studies have been carried out in an attempt to reduce sulphur content of TPO. Ilkilic and Aydin [26] investigated the effects of various rations of Ca(OH)2 as a catalyst during pyrolysis on sulphur content of TPO. The optimum amount of catalyst was found to be 5% Ca(OH)2. This reduced the sulphur content of raw oil by 34%. In a similar study [15], effects of using different catalysts such as Ca(OH)2, CaO and NaOH were studied. It was reported that using 5% mass Ca(OH)2 catalyst during pyrolysis then followed by acid desulphurization using 10% H2SO4 with a degree of purity of 98% reduced sulfur content by a remarkable 83.75%. Koc and Abdullah [30] reported a reduction of sulfur content of TPO from 0.768% to 0.321% in their study. The method used by the authors involved mixing TPO with a binary solution containing tetraoctylammonium bromide and HO, then exposing the solution to high intensity ultrasound for 5 minutes. Sulphur content has also been found to increase with pyrolysis reaction temperature. Aydin and Ilkilic [15] found that oil obtained at 500 °C had 3% higher sulphur content compared to that obtained at 400°C.

Cetane number and Cetane index

This is a measure of the fuels ability to auto ignite on compression and it has direct influence on the ignition delay. Therefore, it determines the ignition quality of a fuel. SANS 342:2006 recommend a minimum Cetane number of 45 while ASTM Standard D 975-02 recommends a minimum of 40. Typical diesel fuels have Cetane numbers in the range of 45–50 [31]. The diesel engines operate well with fuels that have a Cetane number in the range of 40–55 [25]. Very little literature has reported Cetane number of TPO. However, from Table 2.4, the reported values of 42 and 44 are well within the above reported range and ASTM D 975-02 specification, but lower than SANS 342:2006 recommended value. Higher Cetane number fuels have shorter ignition delay periods while low Cetane number fuels have longer ignition delay period, thus delaying start of combustion [24]. Increase in Cetane number leads to decline in PM and HC emissions [28]. Experimental determination of Cetane number is an expensive process, so sometimes a calculated value known as Cetane index (CI) is normally used to give an estimation of the Cetane number. However, this CI is only accurate when dealing with petroleum products rather than alternative fuels.

Density

The density of TPO is generally higher than that of diesel fuel, though after distillation (DTPO), that of DTPO approaches that of diesel [17, 28]. Density of the fuel is also another important parameter. Since fuel is metered to the diesel engine on volume basis, a fuel of high density has more mass per unit volume than a fuel of low density. Therefore, a fuel with high density is likely to produce more engine power than a fuel with low density. At high speeds and loads, this could be a problem. The diesel fuel system is meant to inject the fuel on volume basis. Therefore, for the same volume a fuel with a larger density will have more fuel being injected into the combustion chamber. This will lead to a rich mixture, thus leading to smoke emission. SANS 342:2006 only sets a minimum limit of density at 800 kg/m3. The upper limit is not set. The density of TPO is well above this value and higher than that of diesel. Another observation is that NOx emission increase with increase in density [27, 32].

Viscosity

When a fuel is injected into the cylinder, it will break into droplets, mix with air and vaporize before combustion takes place. For proper combustion, the air fuel mixture must be uniform. The quality of spray, air fuel mixing and distribution of fuel droplets in the cylinder determines the quality of combustion in the cylinder. This property is normally attributed to viscosity. From Table 2.4, the lowest reported viscosity of TPO is 2.39 cSt, though most of the other reported values are higher than that of diesel. The highest reported value being 6.3 cSt. This value is twice more than that of diesel at 2.79 cSt [20]. SANS 342:2006 limits the viscosity range of diesel fuel to between 2.2 - 5.3 cSt. High viscosity fuels also lead to longer ignition delay since it will result in poor atomization, thus, more fuel air mixture will be prepared during the premixed combustion phase [16]. Low viscosity leads to better fuel preparation during ignition delay leading to better combustion.

Heating value

The most important property of a fuel is the amount of energy produced during combusting. This is measured using the Heating Value or Calorific Value. It shows the amount of heat released per unit mass of fuel burned and it influences power and fuel consumption of the engine. From reported values in Table 2.4, TPO has a remarkable heating value of 38 – 44 MJ/kg. However, this is slightly lower than the reported value of diesel of 45.13MJ/kg [20]. Carbon content in hydrocarbon fuel is an indicator of energy content of a fuel [24]. As seen in
the 2.4, TPO has lower carbon content compared to diesel fuel and this explains the low energy content of TPO compared to that of diesel.

**Engine performance**

Research has been carried out to investigate the effects of using TPO on engine performance and emissions [12, 14, 16, 21, 23, 24]. Diesel fuel was used as the reference fuel so this performance was being compared to that of TPO. Effects of blends of TPO with diesel, biodiesel and additives such as ignition improvers have been reviewed in this section.

Murugan et al., [16] prepared three blends of 10%, 30% and 50% TPO with diesel fuel by volume and tested in a single cylinder stationary air cooled direct injection diesel engine. The results were reported at full load. The Brake Thermal efficiency was found to be 29.5, 27.2, 28.5 and 28.9% for diesel, TPO 10, TPO 30 and TPO 50 respectively. Among the blends, TPO 30 showed better performance at all loads. The CO, NOx and HC emissions for the blends were higher when the engine was running on the blends than when running on diesel fuel. The CO concentration increased by an average of 12% compared to that of diesel. Apart from TPO 30, the rest of the blends exhibited high smoke emissions. Another study was carried out with a blend containing 10% TPO in diesel at a constant speed of 2200rpm at different torques of 3.75, 7.5, 11.25 and 15 Nm. Uyumaz [12]. In the work it was noted that the engine tended to knock with TPO10 and it increased with load. The fuel Specific Fuel Consumption and Indicated Thermal efficiency was found to be higher and lower respectively compared to diesel.

Frigo et al., [14] compared blends of 5 - 45% TPO with diesel. There was no significant change in engine performance with blends containing up to 20% TPO compared to that of diesel fuel. However, the engine became unstable when running on fuel with above 40% TPO concentration. Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC), Torque, Power output and emissions of an engine fueled with blends of TPO and diesel were investigated by [26]. In that study TPO was blended to 5 (TPO5), 10(TPO10), 15(TPO15), 25(TPO25), 35(TPO35) and 75% (TPO75) by weight with diesel fuel. Engine power was found to reduce with increase in TPO concentration in the blend while the BSFC increased with increase in TPO concentration. The torque and engine power of TPO 100 was 11.86% and 16.6% lower than that of diesel while the BSFC of TPO was found to be 12% higher. HC and CO for TPO 100, 75 and 50 were much higher than those of lower blends (TPO 15, 25 and 35). SO2 increased with increase in TPO concentration in the blend. This study concluded that blends of up to TPO 35 could be used in diesel engines without engine modifications. TPO 50 -100 was found to be unsuitable due to the high CO, HC, SO2 and smoke emissions. Kumar et al., [33] also noted that a blend containing 20% TPO with diesel engine produced optimum performance in terms of thermal efficiency and BSFC while keeping emissions low.

The effects of TPO that has been improved by distillation on engine performance and emissions was studied [27]. The experiments were performed at full load and varying speed.

Blends containing DTPO 10, 30, 50, 70 and 90% with diesel were prepared for this work. There was no significant difference in the torque and power output for upto 70% DTPO. There was no significant difference in Brake Specific Energy Consumption (BSEC) with blends of upto 50% DTPO. Beyond 50%, there was an increase in BSEC at medium loads. At low and high engine speeds, the thermal efficiency of the blends was higher than that of diesel. There was a tendency of NOx, CO increasing with increase in DTPO concentration while the opposite trend was noted for HC, smoke opacity.

Effects of ignition improver, Diethyl Ether (DEE) as an additive to TPO on diesel engine performance was investigated by Hariharan et al., [24]. DEE was inducted into the engine through intake air at flow rates of 65g/h, 130g/h and 170g/h. HC, CO and smoke emissions were higher than that of diesel, but there was an improvement with increase in DEE flow rate. NOx was found to be lower than that of diesel. Due to the low Calorific Value of DEE, the thermal efficiency reduced while the BSFC increased with increase in DEE flow rate.

The use of TPO and Jatropha Methyl Ester (JME) blends on performance of the diesel engine has been investigated by Sharma and Murugan [23]. TPO from10% to 50% in increments of 10% by volume was blended with Jatropha Methyl Ester. JMETPO 20 exhibited the best performance. The thermal efficiency of this blend at high load was found to be close to that of diesel while the BSEC was 7.8% higher than that of diesel at high load. CO, HC and smoke emissions at full load were lower than those of diesel by 9.09, 8.6 and 26% respectively while NO2 was 24% higher. To reduce emissions and improve performance a study was carried out by adding Carbon nanotubes and Cerium oxide nano particles [34] to blends of JME, TPO and diesel. The results showed improved thermal efficiency, lower fuel consumption and emissions (CO, HC and NOx) using when 100ppm of nano additives was added to the fuel.

The use of ternary blends containing biodiesel, diesel and TPO in engine performance was investigated by [30]. Five fuel samples were used in this study. B5D95, B10D90, B5T5D90, B10T10D80 and D100. B, T and D represent biodiesel, TPO and diesel respectively while the numeric value represent the percentage concentration. In terms of power, torque, fuel consumption and CO emissions, B10T10D80 exhibited better overall performance. B10T10D80 produced the highest power, torque and lowest fuel consumption compared to other blends. The authors suggested that future research need to focus on improving fuel properties with pre-treatment and identifying the ideal biodiesel, TPO and diesel blends that will produce optimum engine performance with reduced emissions.

The general observation was that tyre pyrolysis oil is not suitable for diesel engine without blends and additives. The higher the blend concentration the higher the emissions. The fuels with high TPO concentration tended to produce lower torque and power. This could be due to the lower heating energy than that of diesel fuel. Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC) is an indication of the mass required to produce a unit output of Brake Power. Using TPO, the same amount of power as the one produced by diesel was able to be achieved. However due to the low energy content of TPO, more
Martinez et al., [16] reported an increase ignition delay with increase in TPO Diesel blends. This was attributed to the high viscosity of TPO and its blends compared to diesel. Harirhan et al., [24] investigated the effect of DEE in ignition delay of an engine running on TPO. It was found that the ignition delay reduced with increase in quantity of DEE. The Cetane number of DEE (125) is much higher than that of diesel, therefore it reduced the ignition delay period compared to that of diesel. Martinez et al.,[20] found that there was little difference between the ignition delay of TPO5 and that of diesel. This was attributed to the small difference in Cetane number and the lower viscosity of the fuel in this blend partly compensated with improved combustion. In another study [23] it was reported that an engine running on JME, JMETPO10 and JMETPO20 had a shorter ignition delay compared to that of diesel fuel. This was attributed to the higher Cetane number of JME and the presence of oxygen in JME that resulted in improved reaction and combustion.

Cylinder peak pressure and rate of pressure rise

The peak pressure and the rate of pressure rise is linked to the ignition delay. The rate of pressure rise determines the smoothness of engine operation. A rapid rise will lead to vibrations while a slower pressure rise will lead to a more smooth operation. When the ignition delay is long, a large amount of charge will accumulate in the cylinder during the ignition delay period. This will rapidly burn during the uncontrolled ignition leading to high peak pressure and rate of pressure rise. Blends of TPO and diesel have been found to have high peak pressure and higher rate of pressure rise compared to diesel. Blends of JMETPO have been found to have lower rate of pressure rise compared to diesel, apart from JMETPO 10 and 20 while the peak pressure increased with the quantity of TPO in the blend [23]. JMETPO30 and JMETPO 50 had longer ignition delays due to reduction of CN with increase in TPO in the blend.

Analysis of Engine emissions

During combustion, exhaust emissions such as CO, NOx, HC, SO2, and particulates are as a result of incomplete combustion. Unburned hydrocarbon (UBH) is an important indication of combustion efficiency and is composed of fuel that is not completely burned [35, 36]. Hydrocarbon emissions are normally as a result of incomplete combustion. The amount of UBH emitted depend on engine operating conditions, fuel properties and air fuel mixing in the combustion chamber [36]. Most literature reported that the higher the concentration of TPO in a blend the higher the HC emissions of the engine. [16] studied the effects of load on HC emissions on TPO-DF blends. The authors noted higher emissions at full and low loads while blends with higher TPO content resulted in higher HC emissions. The high aromatic nature of TPO could also course increase of unburned hydrocarbon. One study [27] reported lower HC emission for blends of up to 50% TPO with diesel fuel and higher HC for blend with more than 50%. The viscosity of the oil in that work was less than that of diesel. JMETPO blends of 10 and 20 percent showed lower HC emissions compared to reference diesel fuel due to better combustion of JME [23].

CO is a colourless and very toxic gas and its emission should be kept as low as possible. CO emissions depend on air fuel ratio, rich mixture tends to increase CO emission [35] while at lean mixtures, and the CO will be further oxidized to CO2. While some authors have reported an increase in CO with increase of TPO in the blend, others have found no significant difference. Ilkilic and Aydin [26] observed a reduction in CO emissions with increase in engine speed for both diesel fuel and blends with TPO. This is because as engine speed increases, the air movement in the cylinder creates a more uniform air fuel mixture leading to improved combustion, and consequently lower CO emissions. Though in general, the CO content from TPO and its blends in that work was higher than that of diesel fuel. Dogan and Oxzalayan [27] observed a reduction in CO emissions with increase in TPO content in blends at lower speed (1400 & 2000 rpm) while at higher speed (2600-3200 rpm) an opposite trend was noted. However, these differences in CO emissions were not significantly different to those of diesel fuel.

NOx emissions is formed by a series of reactions between nitrogen and oxygen in the air. Both TPO [17] and DTPO [27] blends with diesel exhibited high NOx emissions compared to diesel fuel. The effect of speed on NOx emission was studied by Ilkilic and Aydin [26]. They reported that at low and medium speeds TPO100 and blends with high concentration of TPO exhibited significantly low NOx emissions, the opposite trend was noted at high speed. NOx emissions are mainly affected by aromatic content, cylinder gas temperature, density and residence time [16, 28, 32, 36, 37]. Fuels with high aromatic content exhibit longer ignition delay thus leading to increase if NOx. The aromatic content of TPO is higher than that of diesel therefore it is expected that the NOx values will increase with TPO increase in blend.

Limited literature was found on SO2 emission. An increase in SO2 with use of TPO or with increase in TPO concentration in blends was reported [26]. In that work, it was found that SO2 increased almost linearly with TPO in blends. This was probably due to the high sulphur content in TPO. The Sulphur content of TPO in their work was 4.5% higher than that of diesel.

Smoke consists of soot in exhaust gas. Smoke Opacity is essential since it shows the amount of pollutants emitted, higher smoke may indicate higher particulate matter [26]. It was found that TPO10 and TPO50 [16] had similar smoke emission levels but slightly higher than that of diesel. This was attributed to the higher aromatic content of TPO. In a similar study, Ilkilic and...
Aydin [26] found that all blends exhibited higher smoke opacity levels than that of diesel. The reasons given were the high density and large TPO molecules could have caused poor atomization. Smoke opacity of DTPO was found to reduce with increase in DTPO concentration in the oil [27]. The low flash point and viscosity of DTPO was attributed to this observation. Low flash point for a fuel means high volatility.

In general, any factor that causes incomplete combustion will also lead to increase in HC and CO emissions. These could include higher density, poor volatility, high aromatic content, rich fuel mixtures, lower Cetane number, longer ignition delay and higher carbon residue [16, 26, 27]. Diesel engines are designed to run on a lean mixture. The density of TPO is generally higher than that of diesel so when fuel is being injected into the combustion chamber, due to the higher density more fuel will be injected into the chamber on mass basis than that of diesel at 29.8%. This could also explain why the emissions of TPO is higher than that of diesel.

CONCLUSION

The main products of tyre pyrolysis are liquid oil, gas and char. Given that the oil yield can go up to 65%, pyrolysis presents a proper way of tyre disposal especially in developing countries where there are large stockpiles of tyres like in South Africa. The quantity and quality of tyre pyrolysis products depend on reactor type, tyre particle size, heating rate and pyrolysis temperature, as opposed to tyre composition. The optimum oil yields can be obtained in temperatures ranging between 450 – 550°C depending on reactor design operating conditions. Beyond this optimum temperature, the gas yield increased because the oil and char was being volatized to gas. At lower temperatures the solid yield was high because the temperatures are not sufficiently high enough thermally degrade if to gas and oil. The use of catalyst has a remarkable effect on yield. The liquid from pyrolysis can be used for many purposes including fuel for the internal combustion engine after some modification like Sulphur reduction or blending with diesel or other fuels. The diesel engine is optimized for diesel fuel. Thus for any other fuel to run in the diesel engine, its properties must be as close as possible to those of diesel fuel. The properties of TPO have a significant effect on engine performance and emissions. Due to this, TPO is not suitable for use in the diesel engine in raw state or without modifications to the engine. These properties include high aromatic content, density, viscosity, Sulphur content and low Cetane number compared to diesel. However, there is significant improvement in the performance if the TPO is distilled or blended with other fuels such as biodiesel, diesel or use of ignition improvers. DTPO has properties closer to diesel than Raw TPO. Blends containing low TPO concentration offer better performance and emission characteristics than blends containing high TPO concentration. TPO diesel Blends containing up to 30% TPO concentration can produce acceptable performance. Beyond 50% TPO the engine becomes erratic at high loads and above 70% TPO concentration may not be able to run. A pretreatment process of TPO can be used to reduce Sulphur content to acceptable levels. Ternary blends of TPO, biodiesel and diesel seem to produce better performance. Therefore, more study should focus on this areas since biodiesel has properties such as high Cetane number and oxygen content that can make up for the same deficiencies in TPO. Brake thermal efficiency increased with increase in TPO in the blend but was lower than that of diesel while the thermal efficiency of DTPO was higher than that of diesel.
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