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1. Introduction

The Standard Model rates for $B_d$ and $B_s$ oscillations are determined by hadronic parameters obtained from the matrix element between $B$ and $\bar{B}$ states of 4-quark effective operators derived from the box diagram (see Figure 1). The 4-quark operator matrix elements can only be determined by lattice QCD calculations. The accuracy with which this can be done is the limiting factor in the constraint on the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix elements that can be obtained from the very precise experimental results.

We study the matrix elements of 3 Standard Model 4-quark operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
O_1 &\equiv (\bar{b}^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} L q^{\alpha}) (\bar{b}^{\beta} \gamma_{\mu} L q^{\beta}) \\
O_2 &\equiv (\bar{b}^{\alpha} L q^{\alpha}) (\bar{b}^{\beta} L q^{\beta}) \\
O_3 &\equiv (\bar{b}^{\alpha} L q^{\beta}) (\bar{b}^{\beta} L q^{\alpha}).
\end{align*}
$$

(1.1)

Here the superscripts are colour indices and $L$ is the ‘left’ projection operator. $O_1$ is the key operator for $B_s$ and $B_d$ oscillations, $O_2$ is needed for the renormalisation of $O_1$ and all 3 appear in the calculation of the $B$ width difference. It is conventional to express the matrix element of $O_1$ as:

$$
\langle O_1(\mu) \rangle = \frac{8}{3} f_B^2 B_B(\mu) M_B^2
$$

(1.2)

where $B_B$ is the ‘bag parameter’, $f_B$ the decay constant and the factor of 8/3 ensures that $B_B$ is 1 in the ‘vacuum saturation approximation’. This is a convenient parameterisation to use since, as we shall see, the bag parameter has very simple behaviour with almost no dependence on light quark mass, although the value is not necessarily 1. The factor of 8/3 becomes -5/3 for $O_2$ and 1/3 for $O_3$.

The determination of the matrix elements in lattice QCD is standard [1, 2]. Here we use NRQCD for the $b$-quark, superseding previous calculations by the use of our radiatively improved NRQCD action [3, 4]. We work on ‘second-generation’ MILC gluon field configurations [5] that use an improved gluon action [6] and include the effect of $u$, $d$, $s$ and $c$ HISQ [7] sea quarks. The parameters of the gluon configurations are given in Table 1. We determined $f_{B_s} = 224(5)$ MeV and $f_{B} = 186(4)$ MeV on these configurations in [8] and in the same calculation obtained $M_{B_s} - M_B = 85(2)$ MeV and $M_{B_s} = 5.366(8)$ GeV [9], in good agreement with experiment. This shows the accuracy now achievable with second-generation lattice QCD analysis.

To calculate the 4-quark operator matrix elements we set up a ‘3-point’ calculation as in Figure 2. The NRQCD $b$ and light-quark propagators start from local sources at $O_n$. We then arrange...
Table 1: Details of the gauge ensembles used in this calculation. $a_T$ is the lattice spacing as determined by the $\Upsilon(2S - 1S)$ splitting in [3], where the three errors are statistics, NRQCD systematics and experiment. $am_l, am_s$ and $am_c$ are the sea quark masses, $L \times T$ gives the spatial and temporal extent of the lattices and $n_{cfg}$ is the number of configurations in each ensemble. The ensembles 1, 2 and 3 will be referred to as “very coarse”, 4, 5 and 6 as “coarse” and 7, 8 as “fine”. We use 16 time sources on each configuration.

| Set | $a_T$ (fm) | $am_l$ | $am_s$ | $am_c$ | $L \times T$ | $n_{cfg}$ |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1   | 0.1474(5)(14)(2) | 0.013 | 0.065 | 0.838 | 16×48 | 1020 |
| 2   | 0.1463(3)(14)(2) | 0.0064 | 0.064 | 0.828 | 24×48 | 1000 |
| 3   | 0.1450(3)(14)(2) | 0.00235 | 0.0647 | 0.831 | 32×48 | 1000 |
| 4   | 0.1219(2)(9)(2) | 0.0102 | 0.0509 | 0.635 | 24×64 | 1052 |
| 5   | 0.1195(3)(9)(2) | 0.00507 | 0.0507 | 0.628 | 32×64 | 1000 |
| 6   | 0.1189(2)(9)(2) | 0.00184 | 0.0507 | 0.628 | 48×64 | 1000 |
| 7   | 0.0884(3)(5)(1) | 0.0074 | 0.037 | 0.440 | 32×96 | 1008 |
| 8   | 0.0873(2)(5)(1) | 0.0012 | 0.0363 | 0.432 | 64×96 | 621 |

Figure 2: Sketch of the 3-point arrangement of lattice QCD quark propagators for calculating 4-quark operator matrix elements.

results, as shown in the figure, so that we can fit as a function of $t$ and $T$ to standard 3-point correlator forms (see, for example, [10]), simultaneously with appropriate 2-point functions.

The 4-quark operator constructed from NRQCD $b$-quarks and HISQ light quarks must be matched to the continuum operator for a physical matrix element. For $O_1$ this matching takes the form

$$\langle O_1 \rangle_{\text{MS}}(m_b) = [1 + \alpha_s z_1] \langle O_{1,\text{NRQCD}} \rangle + \alpha_s z_2 \langle O_{2,\text{NRQCD}} \rangle$$

where $z_i$ are easily constructed from the results calculated in [11]. To determine the bag parameters, we divide the matrix element by the square of the decay constant determined by a similar matching procedure for the temporal axial current

$$\langle 0 | A_0 | B \rangle = [1 + \alpha_s z_0] \langle 0 | A_{0,\text{NRQCD}} | B \rangle.$$ 

(Note that, in determining $f_B$ in [8] we also included $\alpha_s \Lambda / m_b$ current matching contributions which are not calculated here.)
**Figure 3:** Bag parameters for operators $O_1$, $O_2$ and $O_3$ for the $B_s$ meson calculated on very coarse (sets 1, 2 and 3) and coarse (sets 4 and 5) 2+1+1 gluon configurations. The points marked with a plus at the left-hand side of the plot are from continuum and chiral extrapolation on 2+1 gluon field configurations by the Fermilab Lattice/MILC collaborations [12]. The coloured bands shows the size of a 5% systematic error from missing $\alpha_s^2$ terms in the matching between lattice NRQCD and the continuum (they are not fits to the results).

**Figure 4:** As above, for the $B_d$. A 5% systematic uncertainty also applies here, correlated with that for the $B_s$, but, for clarity, it is not shown on the plot.

2. Results

Results from gluon field configurations 1, 2 and 3 (very coarse) and 4 and 5 (coarse) are shown above. Calculations on sets 6, 7 and 8 are not yet complete. Figure 3 shows the bag parameter for $B_s$ for operators $O_1$, $O_2$ and $O_3$. Very little dependence is seen on lattice spacing or sea quark mass. A 5% systematic error from missing $\alpha_s^2$ matching terms dominates any extrapolation uncertainty. For Figure 4 for the $B_d$, this is less true, and the results there may show more light quark mass dependence.
Figure 5: Our new 2+1+1 results for $\zeta$ (the ratio $f_{B_s}\sqrt{B_{B_s}}/f_{B_d}\sqrt{B_{B_d}}$) multiplied by $\sqrt{M_{B_s}/M_{B_d}}$ and plotted against the $u/d$ quark mass in units of the physical $s$ quark mass. Our results include a value calculated at the physical $u/d$ quark mass on a very coarse lattice (set 3). The point marked with a plus is from our previous work on 2+1 gluon field configurations after chiral extrapolation [1].

Figure 6: The bag parameter for $R_0$ [13], a $1/m_b$ operator that appears in the Standard Model calculation of $\Delta \Gamma$ for the $B_s$ meson. The grey band shows the size of the systematic error from missing $\alpha_s^2$ terms that mix in leading order operators in the continuum and on the lattice.

Figure 5 shows the ratio $\zeta = f_{B_s}\sqrt{B_{B_s}}/f_{B_d}\sqrt{B_{B_d}}$ multiplied by $\sqrt{M_{B_s}/M_{B_d}}$. Our previous result obtained on the MILC 2+1 asqtad configurations after extrapolation to physical light quark masses [1] is also shown. With the further 2+1+1 results at physical light quark masses that are underway we should be able to improve significantly on our previous value.

Finally, we show values for the bag parameter for $R_0$, a combination of $O_1, O_2$ and $O_3$ which gives a $1/m_b$ suppressed operator that appears in the width difference between eigenstates, $\Delta \Gamma$ [13]. Mixing with leading operators has been corrected at $O(\alpha_s)$ but a large ($O(30\%)$) systematic error remains from mixing at $O(\alpha_s^2)$ both in the continuum and on the lattice. As is clear from the figure (given for the $B_s$), this is much larger than any error from the lattice determination of the raw matrix.
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