Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Followed by Valve Surgery for Acute Coronary Syndrome at Left Main Trunk Complicated With Severe Aortic Stenosis
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Summary

An 89-year-old woman appeared to have acute coronary syndrome at the left main trunk (LMT) complicated with severe aortic stenosis, moderate-severe mitral regurgitation, depressed left ventricular (LV) function, and multivessel disease. Because of sustained hypotension even under intra-aortic balloon pumping support during emergency coronary angiography, we performed primary percutaneous coronary intervention solely for the LMT lesion using a bare metal stent, leading to recovery from the shock state. On the second hospital day, based on our heart-team consensus, we performed aortic valve replacement and coronary artery bypass grafting surgery, and added edge-to-edge repair (Alfieri stitch) of the mitral valve, resulting in complete revascularization and dramatically improved LV function. (Int Heart J 2017; 58: 125-130)
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Accumulating evidence has shown that primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has great prognostic effects particularly in acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients complicated with cardiogenic shock;1-4 however, AMI at the left main trunk (LMT) culprit, frequently accompanied by cardiogenic shock, is a deteriorated condition with high in-hospital morbidity and mortality, and still remains a therapeutic challenge for cardiologists.5-9 On the other hand, surgical aortic valve replacement (AVR) combined with coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery has been an established therapeutic strategy for patients with severe aortic stenosis (AS) and coronary artery disease (CAD). We describe our heart-team experience with an unusual fatal case of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) at LMT complicated with severe AS and multivessel disease, in which hybrid primary PCI for the LMT culprit alone followed by AVR and concomitant CABG could be successfully performed, leading to complete revascularization and a hemodynamically stable condition.

Case Report

An 89-year-old-female was admitted to our hospital with chest pain and nausea that had persisted for 2 hours. Sixteen months before she had already been diagnosed as having severe AS with a transvalvular peak pressure gradient (PG) of 141 mmHg, a mean PG of 77 mmHg, a calculated aortic valve area of 0.52 cm², moderate-severe mitral regurgitation (MR), and no asynergy with an ejection fraction of 0.70 on transthoracic echocardiography; however, she did not agree to undergoing AVR. Her coronary risk factors included hypertension and dyslipidemia. On arrival, her blood pressure was 110/68 mmHg and her pulse rate was 100 beats/minute and regular. Her physical examination was unremarkable, except for a systolic murmur at the right sternal border in the third to the fourth intercostal space. An electrocardiogram (ECG) showed significant ST-segment depression in precordial leads, I, aVL, II, and aVF in addition to ST-segment elevation in aVR (Figure 1), and a chest radiograph revealed cardiomegaly with lung congestion (Figure 2A). Subsequent transthoracic echocardiography revealed a decreased motion in the apico-anteroseptal wall of the left ventricle concomitant with severe AS and moderate-severe MR. Routine blood testing showed slight elevation of cardiac enzymes (CPK 179 IU/L, CPK-MB 27 IU/L, troponin 0.19 ng/mL) without leukocytosis, definite renal dysfunction, and anemia (white blood cell 4000/μL, Cr 0.69 mg/dL, eGFR 59 mL/minute/1.73m², hemoglobin 12.2 g/dL).

Based on the diagnosis of ACS complicated with severe AS, emergency coronary angiography (CAG) was performed via the left radial artery. Right CAG indicated significant sten-
osis in the proximal right coronary artery (RCA) (Figure 3A). During the right CAG, systolic blood pressure decreased to 60-70 mmHg and then a shock state persisted. On fluoroscopy, in addition to a severely calcified aortic valve with poor mobility, marked calcification at LMT was observed (Figure 3A). Taking refractory hypotension and the possibility of LMT as the culprit into consideration, before left CAG, we immediately inserted an intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) and a 7-Fr sheath into her right femoral artery and right femoral vein, respectively. The first shot on the left CAG revealed severe stenosis in the ostium-body segment of the LMT (Figure 3B). After we confirmed the LMT lesion as the ACS-culprit site, in consideration of forthcoming AVR, we planned to perform transradial primary PCI solely for the LMT from the ostium to the body if possible, using a short bare metal stent (BMS) under IABP support and catecholamine administration as well as under on-site surgery backup. After premedication with aspirin 200 mg and prasugrel 10 mg as well as intravenous administration of 7,000 U of unfractionated heparin, using a 7-Fr Judkins left short-tip type guiding catheter, a floppy guidewire was passed and advanced into the distal LAD without difficulty. Subsequent left CAG via the guiding catheter indicated mild stenosis in the distal LMT and intermediate diffuse stenosis in the proximal left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) in addition to severe stenosis in the ostium-body segment of the LMT (Figure 3C), and another floppy guidewire was crossed.

Figure 1. Electrocardiograms at admission and before discharge. Significant resolution of ST-segment change was observed before discharge.

Figure 2. Chest radiographs at admission (supine position) and before discharge (standing position). No definite lung congestion was detected before discharge.
After predilation using a 2.25 mm balloon catheter and a 2.5 mm scoring balloon catheter, intravascular ultrasound imaging (IVUS) depicted almost circumferential calcification with a probable crack at the LMT lesion and diffuse plaque at the proximal LAD. Under the guidance of IVUS, a BMS (Liberte, 4.0/8 mm, Boston Scientific) was implanted at 20 atm from the ostium to the body in the LMT (Figure 3D). Since stent underexpansion still remained on fluoroscopy and IVUS even after postdilation by a 3.5 mm balloon catheter at 24 atm, the stent was subsequently postdilated with a 4.0 mm balloon catheter at 24 atm (Figure 3E) with an acceptable angiographic result (Figure 3F). Final IVUS showed complete stent apposition with an optimal placement at the ostial LMT. During the primary PCI after IABP insertion, systolic blood pressure of approximately 70 mmHg and diastolic augmentation blood pressure of approximately 100 mmHg had not changed much, even at the time of each balloon inflation within 10 seconds in the LMT, and neither malignant arrhythmia nor slow flow/no reflow phenomenon was observed. Right cardiac catheterization immediately after the primary PCI showed a cardiac output of 3.10 L/minute, a cardiac index (CI) of 2.30 L/minute/m², and mean pulmonary capillary wedge pressure of 25 mmHg under support of IABP, catecholamine infusion, and biphasic positive airway pressure (BIPAP).

After the primary PCI, the degree of ST-segment change on ECG ameliorated, her systolic blood pressure gradually increased to 100-110 mmHg, and the dose of catecholamine infusion was gradually decreased. Although her hemodynamics as well as oxygenation remained almost unchanged under IABP, a small amount of catecholamine infusion, and BIPAP support, the value of CPK increased up to 2994 IU/L on the second hospital day. Based on the clinical course and on the angiographic findings, our heart team, which consisted of interventional cardiologists and cardiovascular surgeons, discussed and concluded that immediate AVR concomitant with CABG for LAD and RCA was indispensable to overcome her deteriorated condition, while double valve surgical intervention for both severe AS and functional MR might have high operative risk because of her super-high age and post-ACS condition.

On the second hospital day, our cardiovascular surgery team therefore performed AVR and CABG surgery (left internal thoracic artery-LAD, aorta-saphenous vein graft-posterior descending branch). The calcified tricuspid aortic valve and proximal edge of the BMS implanted in the LMT were observed (Figure 4A). The AVR was performed using a bioprosthetic valve (Carpentar-Edwards PERIMOUNT MAGNA EASE 19 mm). During weaning from cardiopulmonary bypass, a decrease of blood pressure and elevation of pulmonary artery pressure were observed. The surgery team speculated that difficulty in weaning the cardiopulmonary bypass might have been due to the presence of severe MR on transesophageal echocardiography so edge-to-edge repair between the A1A2 border segment and P1P2 border segment (Alfieri stitch) of the mitral valve (Figure 4B) was performed. After the mitral valve repair, the MR significantly decreased to the mild level, and the patient was successfully weaned from the by-
pass. After surgery, her condition gradually improved under anticoagulation therapy using intravenous infusion of unfractionated heparin without antiplatelet agents, and weaning from the IABP and the respirator was accomplished on the 6th hospital day and the 7th hospital day, respectively. We started the dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) (aspirin 100 mg/day and clopidogrel 75 mg/day) again on the 6th hospital day. Four units of red cell concentrates and 15 units of platelet concentrates were transfused on the 6th hospital day (the 5th postoperative day) because of postoperative anemia and thrombocytopenia concomitant with sustained drainage from a chest tube. Since then no blood transfusions were performed even after readministration of antiplatelet agents. Since paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and mild mitral stenosis with a mitral valve area (MVA) of 1.80 cm$^2$ (pressure half time method) due to the mitral valve repair were detected on the 4th postoperative day, we exchanged the heparin infusion for warfarin and kept the anticoagulation therapy. Next, we exchanged the triple therapy (DAPT plus warfarin) with the combination of clopidogrel plus warfarin on the 20th hospital day. Echocardiography 2 weeks after the surgery revealed no apparent asynergy with an ejection fraction of 0.77 and mitral stenosis with MVA of 1.41 cm$^2$. On the 23rd hospital day, post-CABG angiography showed optimal patency of the grafts and mild focal narrowing at the implanted BMS in the LMT (Figure 5A-5D). Before discharge, ECG revealed only mild ST-segment depression in V4-6 leads (Figure 1), and a chest radiograph showed no definite lung congestion (Figure 2B). After rehabilitation, the patient was discharged on the 45th hospital day and remains free of cardiac events.

**Discussion**

Coexistence of CAD is frequently observed in patients with AS, and surgical AVR with concomitant CABG surgery has been a first-line therapeutic strategy for patients with symptomatic severe AS and stable CAD. In contrast, PCI has been occasionally performed in severe-AS/CAD patients with contraindications or high risk for surgery, in those with ACS, and in those whose symptoms are judged by the attending physicians to be attributable mainly to CAD rather than AS. Recently, Goel, et al reported a 30-day mortality rate of 4.3% after PCI in patients with severe AS, which was high but not significantly different from that in propensity-matched patients without AS. In this report, both groups had higher frequencies of ACS (approximately 60%), diabetes, and renal failure as well as low ejection fraction (approximately 0.45). High prevalence of ACS status and these comorbid medical conditions but not severe AS itself might therefore account for the high 30-day mortality rate in the patients with severe AS. Moreover, the prevalence of PCI-related complications did not differ significantly between the 2 groups. Thus, in terms of short-term outcome, PCI might be a feasible revascularization therapy of choice among patients with severe AS not only in ACS condition like the present case but also in conditions in which AVR/CABG is considered to have contraindications or more procedure-related risk.

The existence of CAD has been reported to increase the procedure-related risk of surgical AVR, while surgical intervention consisting of AVR and CABG has a higher post-operative mortality than AVR alone. A hybrid procedure with PCI followed by valve surgery has been therefore considered to be one of the therapeutic options among patients with valve disease and concomitant CAD, and particularly for those presenting with ACS like the present case, this hybrid approach is an inevitable therapeutic strategy; however, excessive blood transfusion due to antiplatelet agent usage has been proposed as a crucial problem for the hybrid approach. According to a previous report that focused exclusively on patients with severe AS and CAD undergoing PCI on either the same day or the evening prior to minimally invasive AVR, all 18 patients received a drug-eluting stent (DES) under DAPT (aspirin before stenting and thereafter, clopidogrel loading just after stenting and thereafter), and had no reoperations for bleeding complications. In addition, 8 of the 18 patients needed a postoperative blood transfusion, one patient died postoperatively from a colonic perforation, and there were no deaths during the mean follow-up of 19 months. These results might be acceptable; however, there is a fortunate possibility that the DAPT did not confer a sufficient antiplatelet effect at the time of surgical AVR because of the short time interval between PCI and surgical AVR. In the present case, taking her super-high age and small appearance into consideration, we reduced the loading...
Nevertheless, it was difficult to do hemostasis during the surgery on the next day following the PCI, and transfusions of red cell concentrates and platelet concentrates were required on the 6th hospital day.

Another recent study compared 65 consecutive patients undergoing a hybrid approach combining staged PCI and minimally invasive valve surgery (AVR alone 31, mitral valve [MV] repair alone 14, MV replacement alone 10, AVR plus MV repair, or MV replacement 10) with 52 matched control patients undergoing conventional valve surgery plus CABG.

In the hybrid group, the median time interval between PCI and valve surgery was 24 days (interquartile range, 2.5-37 days), and at the time of surgery, 45 patients continued antiplatelet agents (DAPT 23, clopidogrel alone 18, aspirin alone 4), whereas 20 patients stopped antiplatelet agents 5 days before the valve surgery. There were no in-hospital deaths in the hybrid group compared with 2 (3.8%) in the matched control group ($P = 0.11$). The hybrid group had a lower prevalence of the combined endpoint of 30-day death, renal failure, or stroke than the matched control group (1.5% versus 28.8%, $P = 0.001$), while length of ICU stay and total hospital stay as well as average amount of blood transfusions did not vary significantly between the 2 groups. For the patients with a clinically stable condition, a longer time interval between PCI and surgical AVR might enable us to temporarily discontinue or weaken antiplatelet therapy before the surgery. In contrast, for the unstable patients with hemodynamic compromise like the present case, a shorter time interval (even the same day) in which antiplatelet agents could not exert an adequate antiplatelet effect at the time of surgical AVR, might be preferable. Taken together, in addition to hemodynamic status, specific clinical background, such as type of stent (DES or BMS), location of stent implantation, presence/absence of residual stenosis, and usage of antiplatelet/anticoagulant agents, should be taken into consideration to optimize the time interval between PCI and surgical AVR in each patient. An appropriate time interval between percutaneous and surgical interventions as well as a proper antiplatelet medication regimen should be examined in detail among the patients undergoing this hybrid therapy.

Multivessel PCI and DES usage during the primary PCI might not be suitable for the present case. Since there was a diffuse calcified lesion from the distal LMT to the proximal LAD in addition to severe stenosis in the ostium-body segment of the LMT (culprit lesion) and in the proximal RCA, it was risky to perform complex multivessel PCI in the shock state requiring IABP support and catecholamine administration. Moreover, DES usage was required for such complex multivessel PCI. During the emergency CAG/primary PCI under IABP support and catecholamine administration, we had estimated that AVR with or without CABG and mitral valve surgery should be performed at least within a few days to over-
come her hemodynamic instability, and there was a possibility that antiplatelet agents might be unavailable for a while after the valve surgery. In addition, although the duration of DAPT administration has become shorter in patients with new-generation DES implantation, in the condition without antiplatelet therapy BMS might be even safer than new-generation DES regarding the prevention of stent-thrombosis particularly within a month after stent implantation. Taken together, a short BMS implantation in the LMT alone during the primary PCI followed by surgical AVR with CABG might be safer than complex multivessel PCI using DES during the primary PCI followed by surgical AVR alone. On the other hand, complex multivessel PCI using DES during the primary PCI followed by transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) alone could be an alternative therapeutic strategy of choice for super-high-aged patients like the present case in a TAVI-capable hospital, while bleeding events have been reported to be common and related to worse prognosis even after TAVI. 

In the critical ACS condition suspected of having LMT as the culprit vessel in the presence of diseased RCA and severe AS like the present case, it is preferable to insert an IABP before CAG or at least before engaging a catheter for LCA angiography in order to stabilize hemodynamics and not to exacerbate whole heart ischemia. Although the role of IABP usage in patients with severe AS remains uncertain, according to the previous report regarding patients with severe AS (transvalvular peak pressure gradient of 67 ± 26.8 mmHg, a mean pressure gradient of 39.8 ± 16.8 mmHg) in cardiogenic shock, the insertion of an IABP improved CI from 1.77 L/minute/m2 to 2.18 and 2.36 L/minute/m2 at 6 and 24 hours, respectively, reduced systemic vascular resistance, and decreased central venous pressure. In the present case, immediate IABP utilization before the LCA angiography might greatly contribute not only to successful completion of the emergency CAG/subsequent primary PCI but also to a stable clinical course during/after the surgical intervention. Additionally, the absence of renal dysfunction and anemia at admission might account in part for her better in-hospital prognosis after AMI, although super-high-aged women with AMI have a worse prognosis in general.

Conclusion: The present case suggests that IABP-supported primary PCI for the LMT followed by surgical AVR and concomitant CABG under heart-team consensus could be a hybrid therapy of choice among high-risk patients with ACS at LMT complicated with severe AS and multivessel disease.
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