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SUMMARY

Efficient electrocatalyst toward hydrogen evolution/oxidation reactions (HER/HOR) and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is desirable for water splitting, fuel cells, etc. Herein, we report an advanced platinum phosphide (PtP₂) material with only 3.5 wt % Pt loading embedded in phosphorus and nitrogen dual-doped carbon (PNC) layer (PtP₂@PNC). The obtained catalyst exhibits robust HER, HOR, and ORR performance. For the HER, a much low overpotential of 8 mV is required to achieve the current density of 10 mA cm⁻² compared with Pt/C (22 mV). For the HOR, its mass activity (MA) at an overpotential of 40 mV is 2.3-fold over that of the Pt/C catalyst. Interestingly, PtP₂@PNC also shows exceptional ORR MA which is 2.6 times higher than that of Pt/C and has robust stability in alkaline solutions. Undoubtedly, this work reveals that PtP₂@PNC can be employed as nanocatalysts with an impressive catalytic activity and stability for broad applications in electrocatalysis.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing requirement for clean, eco-friendly, and sustainable energy has prompted the development of energy technologies to focus more on renewability, efficiency, and environmental protection (Steele et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2016a, 2016b; Mallouk et al., 2013). In view of its unique properties, hydrogen perfectly accords with those requirements, thus making it the most promising candidate to replace fossil fuels in the future. Undoubtedly, among those hydrogen generation technologies, electrochemical water splitting is an important method, meanwhile hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) is a vital kinetic process of electrochemical water splitting (Balat et al., 2009; Turner, 2004). Besides, efficient hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) can improve the energy efficiency, which also plays an important role in the sustainable energy devices, especially for fuel cells and metal-air batteries (Lee et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2015). However, on the one hand, the sluggish kinetics of ORR generally requires a large overpotential to drive the reaction. On the other hand, it is challenging but urgent to rationally design a practical and efficient electrocatalyst with better performance and lower cost. In addition, alternative electrocatalysts for HER, HOR, and ORR with various structures and chemical compositions have already been widely explored (such as precious metals, non-noble metals, and even metal-free materials) (Zheng et al., 2014a, 2014b, 2016; Huang et al., 2017; Shan et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2014; Popczun et al., 2013; Morales-Guio et al., 2014; Bu et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2017). However, Pt group metal-based (PGM-based) catalysts are still the best materials for these electrocatalytic reactions. Their high cost, scarcity, and poor stability of PGM-based catalysts have driven efforts to reduce Pt usage toward their commercialization in energy devices.

During the past few years, intense investigations have been made in this field and a bunch of optimized strategies has been designed to lower the Pt loading and improve utilization efficiency. Among those strategies, the most widely applied method to reach this significant challenging goal is to alloy Pt together with other low-cost 3d transition metals (Tian et al., 2020a, 2020b; Wu et al., 2013; Porter et al., 2013). Owing to the optimized structure and electronic effects caused by M (M = Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Cr, Ti, V, Pb, Mn, etc.), the PtM alloys present significant potentials in improving the electrochemical activity for a series of catalytic reactions (such as HER, ORR, methanol/ethanol oxidation reaction [MOR or EOR]) (Huang et al., 2017; Bu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Cui et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2020a, 2020b; Wang et al., 2017; Ma et al.,
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compounds that have a fixed crystal structure, provide predictable control of the structure. As an example, changing surface composition. Oppositely, if Pt combines anions (such as P, S, Se, Te) and forms Pt positions are occupied randomly by M and Pt. Thus, the Pt alloy has randomly distributed active centers a huge challenge (Cui et al., 2015a, 2015b). In addition, for disordered Pt alloying M structure, the atomic long-term durability of alloy catalysts, due to the second metal dissolution and particle growth, still remains It is worth noting that previous researches usually focus on 3d transition metal alloying Pt. However, the long-term durability of alloy catalysts, due to the second metal dissolution and particle growth, still remains a huge challenge (Cui et al., 2015a, 2015b). In addition, for disordered Pt alloying M structure, the atomic long-term durability of alloy catalysts, due to the second metal dissolution and particle growth, still remains
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two weak subpeaks observed at about 129.3 and 130.2 eV are assigned to the Pt–P bond, suggesting a successful formation of PtP₂@PNC (Zhang et al., 2015). The BE of 129.3 eV for P 2p₃/₂ exhibits a negative shift from that of P⁰ (130.2 eV) (Tian et al., 2020a, 2020b), which indicates that P is negative charge (δ−). These results points to weak electron density transfer from Pt to P in the PtP₂@PNC.

The N 1s spectrum (Figure 2E) can be fitted into three separated peaks with binding energies (BEs) at 398.6, 400.5, and 401.2 eV corresponding to pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, and graphitic-N species, respectively. As reported, graphitic-N is considered to improve the diffusion-limited properties, while pyridinic-N, as an active site for ORR, boosts the onset potential, electrical conductivity, and surface wettability (Amiinu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2013). In addition, it is demonstrated that pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, and graphitic-N species are beneficial for HER electrocatalysis (Zheng et al., 2014a, 2014b). The C 1s XPS spectrum (Figure 2F) demonstrates the existence of C=C (284.6 eV), C–O/C–N/C–P (285.9 eV), C=O/C=N (286.4 eV), and C–C=O (289.3 eV) bonds in PtP₂@PNC. It should be noteworthy that the aforementioned C–P and C–N bonding further indicate the successful doping of P and N into carbon layers. In addition, the carbon component was further characterized by the Raman spectrum. As illustrated in Figure S6, two strong peaks at ~1,345 and 1,598 cm⁻¹ are corresponded to the D- and G-band, respectively. The intensity ratio of I_D/I_G is found to be 1.04, indicating that the PtP₂@PNC sample contains many defective carbons. Moreover, the content of Pt loaded on PNC is confirmed to be about 3.5 wt% by ICP-AES.

The morphology of PtP₂@PNC was characterized by SEM and TEM. As shown in Figure S7A, numerous carbon nanosheets are observed from the SEM images. The low- and high-magnification TEM images of PtP₂@PNC indicate homogeneous dispersion of PtP₂ NPs in PNC layers (Figures S7B and 2G). High-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) further clearly demonstrates the presence of numerous small PtP₂ NPs (Figure 2H). As shown in Figures S8 and S9, the average size of the PtP₂ NPs is about 11.7 ± 2.5 nm (~100 nanoparticles were measured). The lattice fringe with an interplanar distance of 3.288 Å is clearly displayed in the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image (Figure 2I), corresponding to the (111) facet of cubic PtP₂ phase. Additionally, the fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern (Figure S10B) deriving from the PtP₂ (circled in Figure S10A) verifies the existence of (311), (211), and (200) facets. The HRTEM images in Figures 3A and 3B further reveal that PtP₂ NPs are embedded within P and N dual-doped carbon.
HER tests were evaluated in H₂-saturated acid solutions (0.5 M H₂SO₄) in a two-compartment, three-electrode cell with a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. All the LSVs curves were not iR corrected. Before the tests, the saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was calibrated by continually bubbling the solution (0.5 M H₂SO₄) with ~1 atm of research-grade H₂ (g) using a clean platinum electrode as the working electrode (Figure S11). As shown in Figure 4A nearly zero onset potential is observed for both PtP₂@PNC and commercial Pt/C. To attain the current density (j) of 10 milliamperes per square centimeter (mA cm⁻²), the catalyst for PtP₂@PNC only needs a much lower overpotential (8, 12 mV without iR correction, Figure S12) compared with commercial Pt/C (22 mV). Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, the HER performance of PtP₂@PNC is superior to the PNC layers (183 mV @ 10 mA cm⁻²), Pt-PNC (19 mV @ 10 mA cm⁻²), Pt-NC (59 mV @ 10 mA cm⁻²) and all of the noble-metals, non-precious metal-based catalysts as well as non-metal HER catalysts (Figures S13, 14, and 4B and Table S1). Tafel analysis of the PtP₂@PNC nanomaterial in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ indicates a Tafel slope of ~30 mV dec⁻¹ in the region of η = 5–30 mV (Figure 4C). This value is consistent with the known mechanism of the HER on commercial Pt/C. At higher overpotentials (η = 60–100 mV), the Tafel slope increased to ~122 mV dec⁻¹. This value does not match the expected Tafel slopes of 29, 38, and 116 mV dec⁻¹, each of which correlates with a different rate-determining step of the HER. Furthermore, Figure S15 displays the electrochemical impedance...
spectroscopy (EIS) data of different samples. From the plots, we can learn that PtP2@PNC shows more favorable HER kinetics.

Besides the high HER catalytic activity, stability is also another important parameter to promote the materials’ practical application. As shown in Figure 4D, PtP2@PNC presents good stability with a slight degradation of the current density even after 24 h of the continuous electrochemical scan. Similarly, it also maintains high activity after being subjected to an accelerated degradation test for certain CV cycles (Figure 4E). It presents that PtP2@PNC can sustain the current density of 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) with only a small potential degradation ~3 mV after 2,000 CV cycles, whereas the commercial Pt/C deteriorates by ~6 mV even after 1,000 CV cycles (Figure 4F), indicating the superior durability for the PtP2/PNC catalyst. To further evaluate the catalyst durability, we conducted both TEM and XPS analysis of PtP2@PNC after electrochemical stability tests. As illustrated in Figure S16, the TEM image shows that the PtP2 NPs still maintain good dispersion without obvious migration and aggregation owing to the robust thin PNC layers entrapment. More importantly, the similarity of high-resolution Pt4f, P2p, N1s, and C1s XPS spectra (Figure S17) of the fresh and post-HER PtP2@PNC materials further demonstrates the retention of the materials in terms of composition, confirming its outstanding robustness for HER electrocatalysis. However, after the HER stability test, part of P species is detected in the electrolyte by the ICP-AES (Table S2), possibly caused by the detachment of the catalyst from the electrode surface due to the vigorous gas evolution (Andronescu et al., 2017). Furthermore, the HER activity of PtP2@PNC in neutral and alkaline media was also investigated. As shown in Figure S18, the PtP2@PNC materials also show nearly commercial Pt/C activity under neutral and alkaline conditions. Specifically, to reach the current density of 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), PtP2@PNC requires overpotentials of 64 and 45 mV in 1.0 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and 1.0 M KOH solutions, respectively. All of the above results suggest that PtP2@PNC possesses Pt-like HER catalytic performance under multi-pH conditions.

Figure 3. Microscopy Characterization of PtP2@PNC
(A–C) (A and B) HRTEM images of PtP2@PNC and PNC are indicated by the white arrows. (C) HAADF-STEM image and corresponding EDX elemental maps of C (yellow), N (cyan), Pt (blue), P (green).
Based on the above results, the high HER performance of PtP₂@PNC can be ascribed to the following points. (1) As described in Figures 4G, 4H, and S19, when PtP₂ is incorporated with PNC, the resultant PtP₂@PNC yields an optimal adsorption free energy of H (ΔG*), which is even lower than that of Pt, indicating that the introduction of P to Pt could weaken the binding energy between Pt and hydrogen atoms, which further facilitates the hydrogen evolution during the HER process (Robinson et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018a, 2018b). (2) As evidenced by the XPS, the main Pt 4f7/2 peak in PtP₂@PNC is at 71.6 eV, representing positive shifts in the binding energies of +0.05 eV, compared with the binding energy of the Pt 4f7/2 peak in pure Pt (71.1 eV). This shows the electronic structure of metal Pt was changed after introducing P. In other words, negatively charged P atoms can capture electrons from Pt atoms and play an important role as Lewis base to work with positively charged protons in the HER process (Shi and Zhang, 2016; Guo et al., 2018; Zhuang et al., 2016). Like metal complex and [NiFe] hydrogenases catalysts, the P and Pt serve as the hydride-acceptor and proton-acceptor center, respectively, improving the HER catalytic activity (Popczun et al., 2013; Kibsgaard et al., 2014; Cui et al., 2015a, 2015b). (3) The PtP₂ NPs uniformly encapsulated in the PNC layers can effectively prevent the aggregation and migration of those PtP₂ active centers, thus endowing the PtP₂@PNC superior catalytic stability. (4) The presence of the PNC layer in the catalyst may further improve the conductivity of the PtP₂@PNC, therefore enhancing the electron transfer during the HER process. As reported P and/or N doping carbon could improve HER activity to some extent in comparison with pure carbon materials (Zhang et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2014a, 2014b). In other words, the P, N dual-doped carbon materials are able to synergistically improve the activities of PtP₂@PNC.
catalysts. Moreover, we conducted a band structure analysis to examine the electronic-coupling effect between PNC and PtP2. As illustrated in Figure S20, pure PtP2 displays a band gap of 0.3 eV, while coupling PtP2 with PNC, the band gap of PtP2@PNC is decreased to 0.087 eV. Therefore, after coupling PtP2 with PNC, the charge density of the PtP2@PNC is redistributed in the form of an apparent electron transfer from the conductive PNC to PtP2, leading to electron enrichment of the PtP2 layer. Besides, the total density of states (DOS) in PtP2@PNC is quite different from that of PtP2. These investigations further demonstrate that the coupling of PNC layers strongly influences the electronic structures of PtP2 in the PtP2@PNC by enhancing electron mobility and HER performance. (5) PtP2@PNC has a high electrochemically active surface area (ECSA: 510 cm²) (Figure S21), which can favor the effective accessibility of the intrinsic active sites. Such large ECSA benefits from the high BET specific surface area (159.3 m² g⁻¹) (Figure S22).

Additionally, it also remains a huge challenge in developing low-Pt HOR catalysts under the acidic condition for the widespread employment of proton-exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC). Therefore, the HOR activity for PtP2@PNC was examined by using a rotating disk electrode (RDE) test with a scan rate of 2 mV s⁻¹ at a rotating speed of 1,600 rpm obtained in O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions. For comparison, we also tested the HOR activities of Pt-PNC and bare PNC (Figure S23). As shown in Figure 5A, the HOR mass activity (MA) of PtP2@PNC is higher than that of commercial Pt/C. Figure 5B displays the HOR polarization curves on PtP2@PNC catalyst at different rotation rates from 400 to 1,600 rpm. The inset image shows a Koutecky-Levich plot at 0.15 V versus RHE. (C) Mass activity at 40 mV of the PtP2@PNC and Pt/C. (D–F) (D) LSV curves of PtP2@PNC and commercial 20 wt % Pt/C catalyst at 5 mV s⁻¹ at a rotating speed of 1,600 rpm obtained in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH solutions. (E) Mass activity of the Pt/C and PtP2@PNC for ORR at 0.85 and 0.9 V, respectively. (F) Current versus time (i-t) chronoamperometric curves of the PtP2@PNC and Pt/C at the rotation speed of 1,600 rpm and the constant potential of ~0.3 V. (G–I) (G) CVs of PtP2@PNC and Pt/C in 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions. (H) LSV curves of PtP2@PNC and commercial 20 wt % Pt/C catalysts at 5 mV s⁻¹ at a rotating speed of 1,600 rpm obtained in O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions. (I) Histogram of mass and specific activities of PtP2@PNC and Pt/C electrocatalysts at 0.9 V versus RHE.

Figure 5. HOR and ORR Activities of PtP2@PNC and Commercial Pt/C

(A–C) (A) Polarization curves of PtP2@PNC and Pt/C for HOR in H₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄. (B) HOR polarization curves on PtP2@PNC catalyst at different rotation rates from 400 to 1,600 rpm. The inset image shows a Koutecky-Levich plot at 0.15 V versus RHE. (C) Mass activity at 40 mV of the PtP2@PNC and Pt/C. (D–F) (D) LSV curves of PtP2@PNC and commercial 20 wt % Pt/C catalyst at 5 mV s⁻¹ at a rotating speed of 1,600 rpm obtained in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH solutions. (E) Mass activity of the Pt/C and PtP2@PNC for ORR at 0.85 and 0.9 V, respectively. (F) Current versus time (i-t) chronoamperometric curves of the PtP2@PNC and Pt/C at the rotation speed of 1,600 rpm and the constant potential of ~0.3 V. (G–I) (G) CVs of PtP2@PNC and Pt/C in 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions. (H) LSV curves of PtP2@PNC and commercial 20 wt % Pt/C catalysts at 5 mV s⁻¹ at a rotating speed of 1,600 rpm obtained in O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions. (I) Histogram of mass and specific activities of PtP2@PNC and Pt/C electrocatalysts at 0.9 V versus RHE.
speeds from 400 to 1,600 rpm. When the current is controlled by both reaction kinetics and H₂ diffusion, the Koutecky-Levich equation applies (Hunt et al., 2016):

\[
\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_0} + \frac{1}{J_k} \cdot \frac{1}{Bw} \quad \text{(Equation 1)}
\]

\[
B = 0.62nC_0D_0^2ν^2 \quad \text{(Equation 2)}
\]

\[
J_k = nFkxC_0 \quad \text{(Equation 3)}
\]

where \(J\), \(J_0\) and \(J_k\) are the measured, kinetic, and diffusional current densities, respectively. \(F\) is the Faraday constant, \(D_0\) is the diffusivity (4.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}) of hydrogen in 0.1 M HClO₄, \(n\) is the electron transfer number in HOR, \(ν\) is the kinetic viscosity of the electrolyte (0.008 cm²s⁻¹), \(C_0\) is the solubility of hydrogen in 0.1 M HClO₄ (7.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol·cm}^{-3}), and \(ω\) is the rotating speed. By fitting the data at an overpotential of 0.15 V with Equations (1)–(3), a linear plot of \(ω^{-1/2}\) with \(J^{-1}\) was obtained (inset of Figure 5B). The slope is 5.49 cm²mA⁻¹s⁻¹/², which is reasonably closed to the two-electron transfer of HOR. Furthermore, as illustrated in Figure 5C, the corresponding MA of PtP₂@PNC and commercial Pt/C were calculated by the loading amount of Pt metal, respectively. The MA value for PtP₂@PNC is 0.172 A mg⁻¹Pt, which is nearly 2.3 times greater than that of the commercial Pt/C (0.074 A mg⁻¹Pt for MA). In fact, \(ΔG_{H^+}\) is also an important descriptor for HOR (Wang et al., 2019). According to the Sabatier principle, ideal HOR electrocatalysts should have \(ΔG_{H^+}\) close to zero. The \(ΔG_{H^+}\) value is calculated to be 0.09 eV on Pt(111) from density functional theory (DFT) calculations. As for PtP₂@PNC, the \(ΔG_{H^+}\) is lower than that of Pt, indicating that the introduction of P to Pt could weaken the hydrogen adsorption. All of these indicate that PtP₂@PNC is a promising HOR catalyst in acidic electrolytes for the widespread employment of PEMFC.

To investigate the multifunctional catalytic activities, we also probed the ORR activity of the PtP₂@PNC samples in basic solutions. LSVs were measured to investigate the kinetics and mechanism of PtP₂@PNC in O₂-saturated basic solutions (0.1 M KOH) at a rotation rate of 1,600 rpm (Figure 5D). Its onset and half-wave potentials for PtP₂@PNC and commercial Pt/C were calculated by the loading amount of Pt metal, respectively. The MA value for PtP₂@PNC is 0.172 A mg⁻¹Pt, which is nearly 2.3 times greater than that of the commercial Pt/C (0.074 A mg⁻¹Pt for MA). In fact, \(ΔG_{H^+}\) is also an important descriptor for HOR (Wang et al., 2019). According to the Sabatier principle, ideal HOR electrocatalysts should have \(ΔG_{H^+}\) close to zero. The \(ΔG_{H^+}\) value is calculated to be 0.09 eV on Pt(111) from density functional theory (DFT) calculations. As for PtP₂@PNC, the \(ΔG_{H^+}\) is lower than that of Pt, indicating that the introduction of P to Pt could weaken the hydrogen adsorption. All of these indicate that PtP₂@PNC is a promising HOR catalyst in acidic electrolytes for the widespread employment of PEMFC.

Additionally, long-term stability is also a critical factor to evaluate good ORR material. So, the amperometric i–t curve tests were further applied to explore the durability of the PtP₂@PNC. The chronoamperometric measurement results of PtP₂@PNC and commercial Pt/C are depicted in Figure 5F. After 24-h i–t test, the current of PtP₂@PNC exhibits a slight decrease (~8%). Nevertheless, the current of Pt/C shifts negatively by about ~14% after only 3-h test, suggesting the current loss of PtP₂@PNC is much smaller than the commercial Pt/C. Such excellent stability of PtP₂@PNC can be mainly attributed to the improved phosphorus and nitrogen-doped carbon structure (Pu et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2018, 2019). Additionally, the robust PNC layers could confine the PtP₂ NPs and prevent the PtP₂ active centers from aggregation. The morphology data of PtP₂@PNC catalyst after the stability test were characterized by TEM. As shown in Figures S28 and S29, TEM suggests that negligible change has been observed for the morphology of catalysts after HOR and ORR stability test, which further demonstrates that the catalyst has good durability.

It is noteworthy that Figures 5G–5I and S30–S32 present the ORR polarization curves of Pt/C and PtP₂@PNC materials in O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolytes. The PtP₂@PNC catalyst has similar ECSA to the commercial Pt/C (Figures 5G and S30). Furthermore, PtP₂@PNC shows an ORR onset potential of 0.87 V and \(E_{1/2}\) of 0.74 V, which are slightly different from commercial Pt/C (onset potential: 0.94 V; \(E_{1/2} = 0.85 \text{ V}\) (Figures
HandS31). Additionally, commercial Pt/C exhibits MA and specific activity of 48.2 mA mgPt$^{-1}$ and 0.066 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 0.9 V versus RHE, respectively, which are slightly better than that of commercial PtP$_2$@PNC (17.6 mA mgPt$^{-1}$ and 0.038 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 0.9 V vs. RHE) (Figure S5I). It is worth noting that the ORR path of PtP$_2$@PNC is nearly four electron transfer path in the acidic electrolytes based on K-L formula (Figure S32).

More importantly, in order to achieve a comparable or even superior ORR activity of PtP$_2$@PNC materials to the Pt/C, we increased the PtP$_2$ content in the compounds by adding the H$_2$PtCl$_6$ (~300 mg) precursor during the synthesis process (the obtained materials named as PtP$_2$@PNC-3, ~24 wt % Pt). As illustrated in Figure S33A, PtP$_2$@PNC-3 shows a higher ORR activity than that of commercial Pt/C in alkaline solutions. In addition, it further exhibits identical ORR activity compared with the 20 wt % commercial Pt/C in acidic solutions (Figure S33B).

For industrial application in fuel cells, besides superior activity and high durability, a good catalyst should be able to afford the possible methanol (CH$_3$OH) crossover and carbon monoxide (CO) poisoning, which may significantly harm the performance of the full cell (Zitolo et al., 2015; Dai et al., 2015). Thus, we measured the i-t response of the PtP$_2$@PNC in the presence of CO and methanol, respectively. As shown in Figure S34A, when introducing 3.0 M methanol into the 0.1 M KOH solutions, the current density of commercial Pt/C instantaneously decreases, indicating the occurrence of methanol oxidation. In contrast, the ORR current density of PtP$_2$@PNC only shows a slight change, suggesting that PtP$_2$@PNC possesses better methanol resistance than that of Pt/C. Similarly, PtP$_2$@PNC has no CO poisoning, whereas Pt/C is fast poisoned with a gradually dropped current density (Figure S34B). These results demonstrate that PtP$_2$@PNC has much higher ORR activity and selectivity than that of commercial Pt/C and is free from the CH$_3$OH and CO poisoning, promising for practical applications in fuel cells and other energy-related conversion and storage devices.

Conclusion

In summary, we have achieved high-efficiency PtP$_2$ materials embedded in PNC layer with a low Pt mass loading (only 3.5 wt %) by a facile solid-state pyrolysis approach under ambient pressure and moderate temperatures. As expected, the obtained PtP$_2$@PNC catalyst possesses excellent HER activity (8 mV @j = 10 mA cm$^{-2}$) and durability in acidic solutions. Such high HER activity is superior to not only commercial Pt/C material but also all the reported HER catalysts. For the HOR, its mass activity (MA) at an overpotential of 40 mV is 2.3-fold over that of the commercial Pt/C catalyst. Furthermore, the PtP$_2$@PNC catalyst exhibits nearly 2.6 times ORR MA than that of 20 wt % commercial Pt/C, as well as greatly improved ORR stability and fuel tolerance than that of Pt/C. The outstanding HER, HOR, and ORR catalytic performances of our PtP$_2$@PNC catalyst make PtP$_2$ a promising multifunctional catalyst for wide applications in energy conversion and storage devices.

Limitations of the Study

This study has demonstrated an advanced multifunctional catalyst for hydrogen evolution/oxidation reactions and oxygen reduction reaction from experimental and theoretical perspectives. The experimental results suggested that the catalysts illustrated high HER, HOR, and ORR performance. DFT calculation was also used to investigate the mechanism. However, an in-depth understanding of the catalytic mechanism is still needed by further in situ characterizations. As a result, we will keep working on development and perfection on related mechanism exploration based on a series of in situ technologies.
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Transparent Methods

Materials and reagent: All the chemicals reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (AR grade) and were used as received without further purification. KOH and H₂SO₄, H₂PtCl₆, dicyandiamide, phytic acid (PA), Pt/C (20 wt%), and Nafion (5 wt%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Deionized water was used in all experiments obtained from an ultra-pure purifier (Ulupure, China, resistivity ≥ 18.2 MΩ).

Synthesis of PtP₂@PNC: Typically, PA (4.0 g), H₂PtCl₆ (0.1g), and dicyandiamide (5.0 g) were dissolved in 100 mL water under stirring, and then the mixture was transferred to a culture dish and dried at 80 °C for 24 h forming a homogeneous powder. After that, the homogeneous powder was transferred into a quartz tube and heated to 900 °C for 2h with a ramp of 5 °C min⁻¹ under Ar atmosphere. The obtained products were washed by centrifugation with alcohol and water several times to remove the residue of reactants and finally dried in vacuum at 80 °C. Lastly, the sample of PtP₂@PNC was obtained. For comparison, the PNCsample was also obtained according to the same preparation strategy without introducing H₂PtCl₆.

Preparation of Pt-PNC: The Pt-PNC sample was prepared according to the same preparation strategy of PtP₂@PNC by only using H₂PtCl₆ and PNC as precursor.

Electrochemical ORR measurements: Electrochemical experiments were conducted on a CHI760E electrochemical workstation (CH Instrument Co., USA). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and rotating disk electrode (RDE) measurements (PineResearch Instrument, USA) were conducted using a standard three-electrode system. All the measurements were carried out at room temperature. Before preparing the catalyst ink, the glassy carbon electrode was finely polished by buckskin and Al₂O₃ powder (50 nm in diameter), repeatedly rinsed in absolute ethanol in advance. The catalyst ink was prepared by dispersing 3 mg catalyst in 1 mL mixed solution of isopropyl alcohol and water (volume ratio 7:3) and 20 µL 5 wt% Nafion solutions. The mixed solutions were disposed for 15 min by ultrasonic cell disruptor, and then 10 µL homogeneous catalyst ink was deposited on the glassy carbon electrode, the electrode was allowed to dry at room temperature for 15 min to form a smooth catalyst ring. Commercial Pt/C was prepared in the same way applied to the PtP₂@PNC. A typical three-electrode system was employed, using a glass carbon RDE covered by catalyst as working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode, and a SCE as a reference electrode. All potentials in this study were converted to potential vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). As for ORR experiment, O₂ was bubbled for 20 min prior to the test and maintained in the headspace of the electrolyte throughout the testing process. The working electrode was scanned cathodically at a rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ with varying rotating speed from 400 to 2250 rpm in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution. The electron transfer number per oxygen molecule for oxygen reduction can be determined on the basis of the Koutechy-Levich equations:

\[
\frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j_1} + \frac{1}{j_k} = \frac{1}{j_k} + \frac{1}{B\omega^2}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[
B = 0.62nFC_\theta D_0^{\frac{2}{3}}v^{-\frac{1}{6}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[
j_k = nFkxC_0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3)
Where $J$ is the measured current density and $\omega$ is the electrode rotating rate (rad s$^{-1}$). $B$ is determined from the slope of the Koutechy-Levich (K-L) plot based on Levich equation (2). $J_L$ and $J_K$ are the diffusion and kinetic-limiting current densities, $n$ is the transferred electron number, $F$ is the Faraday constant ($F=96485$ C mol$^{-1}$), $C_0$ is the $O_2$ concentration in the electrolyte ($C_0=1.26 \times 10^{-6}$ mol cm$^{-3}$), $D_0$ is the diffusion coefficient of $O_2$ ($D_0=1.93 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), and $v$ is the kinetic viscosity ($v=0.01009$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$). The constant 0.62 is adopted when the rotation speed is expressed in rad s$^{-1}$. For ORR experiments, the LSV curves were obtained at a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$. To obtain a stable current, the LSV data were collected at the second sweep.

**HER and HOR measurement:** The HER and HOR electrochemical measurements were conducted in a typical three-electrode setup with an electrolyte solution of 0.5 M $H_2SO_4$ and 0.1 M $HClO_4$, respectively. The graphite rod and SCE were used as the counter and reference electrode, respectively. Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) measurements were conducted in 0.5 M $H_2SO_4$ and 0.1 M $HClO_4$ with a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$. The polarization curves were observed by the formula: $E_{\text{actual}}=E_{\text{test}}-iR \times 100\%$. Catalyst ink was prepared by dispersing 5 mg of catalyst into 1 mL of water/ethanol (v/v=1:1) solvent containing 20 µL of 5 wt% Nafion. Then the mixture was ultrasonically suspended for 30 min. 20 and 10 µL of this catalyst ink was dropped on the glassy carbon electrode for HER and HOR tests, respectively. The PtP$_2$@PNC loading is 0.51 and 0.255 mg cm$^{-2}$ for HER and HOR measurements, respectively. Commercial Pt/C was prepared in the same way applied to the PtP$_2$@PNC.

**ICP-AES measurement:** Inductively coupled plasma atom emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) analysis was performed on Optima Prodigy 7 (LEEMAN LABS Ltd., USA). The ICP-AES elemental analyses were performed to obtain the Pt amount in the PtP$_2$@PNC. Specifically, 2.0 mg PtP$_2$@PNC was placed in a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave, and 5.0 mL $HNO_3$, 1.0 mL $HCl$ and 1.0 mL HF were then added. The Teflon-lined autoclave was subsequently sealed and treated at 200$^\circ$C for 5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solution in the Teflon-lined autoclave was diluted with water to 25 mL in a volumetric flask. Using the ICP-AES elemental analyses, the concentration of Pt ions in the solution was measured.

**Material characterizations:** Surface morphology was observed using JSM 7100F field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM; Zeiss Ultra Plus) fitted with an energy dispersive spectrum analyzer and operated at 5 kV. Structural features were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) on a JEM 2010 FEF microscope operated at 200 kV. Aberration-corrected High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images of samples were recorded on a JEOL JEM-2010 LaB6 high-resolution transmission electron microscope at 200 kV. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on a Rigaku X-ray diffractometer equipped with a Cu Ka radiation source. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were recorded on a VG MultiLab 2000 spectrometer using Mg as the exciting source. Raman spectra were obtained on J-Y T64000 Raman spectrometer with 514.5 nm wavelength incident laser light.

**Rotation disk electrode (RDE) technique:** The catalyst coated working electrode was electrochemically scanned at 5 mV s$^{-1}$ at various rotation speed from 400 to 2250 rpm. The Koutecky–Levich (K–L) plots ($j^1$ versus $\omega^{-1/2}$) were evaluated at various potentials, and the best-fit line slopes were used in the calculations. The number of electrons transferred ($n$) is calculated from the following equations:
\[
\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_1} + \frac{1}{J_2} = \frac{1}{J_L} + \frac{1}{B_{\infty}^{\sigma}} \tag{4}
\]

\[
B = 0.62nFC_0D_0^{\frac{1}{2}}v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5}
\]

\[
J_k = nFkxC_0 \tag{6}
\]

Where \( J \) is the measured current density and is the electrode rotating rate (rad s\(^{-1}\)). \( B \) is determined from the slope of the Koutechy-Levich (K-L) plot based on Levich equation (5). \( J_L \) and \( J_k \) are the diffusion and kinetic-limiting current densities, \( n \) is the transferred electron number, \( F \) is the Faraday constant (\( F = 96485 \) C mol\(^{-1}\)), \( C_0 \) is the \( \text{O}_2 \) concentration in the electrolyte \( (C_0 = 1.26 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}) \), \( D_0 \) is the diffusion coefficient of \( \text{O}_2 \) \( (D_0 = 1.93 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}) \), and \( v \) is the kinetic viscosity \( (v = 0.01009 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}) \). The constant 0.62 is adopted when the rotation speed is expressed in rad s\(^{-1}\). For ORR experiments, the LSV curves were obtained at a scan rate of 5 mV s\(^{-1}\). In order to obtain a stable current, the LSV data were collected at the second sweep.

**DFT Computational Methods**

The Plane-wave Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were conducted using the CASTEP module (an Ab Initio Total Energy Program) of Materials Studio 8.0 (Code version: 6546), with the hydrogen binding energy calculated from different active sites \( (\text{Segall et al., 2002}) \). The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) method with a Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was used to treat the electron exchange correlation (EEC) interaction. The band energy and Fermi energy convergence tolerance were set at \( 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \) and \( 2.7 \times 10^{-5} \) eV, respectively. The DOS k-point separation was set at \( 0.05 \) Å\(^{-1}\). A Monkhorst–Pack grid k-points of \( 3\times1\times1 \) and a plane wave basis set cut-off energy of \( 300 \) eV were used for the Brillouin zone integration. The structures were optimized for force and energy convergence set at \( 2.0 \times 10^{-5} \) eV and \( 0.05 \) eV Å\(^{-1}\), respectively. The self-consistence field (SCF) was \( 2.0 \times 10^{-6} \) eV/atom. To consider the influence of van der Waals interaction, the semi-empirical DFT-D force-field approach was applied \( (\text{Kresse et al., 1999, Grimme et al., 2006}) \). The Gibb’s free energies for hydrogen absorption \( \Delta G_{H^\ast} \) were calculated from the given equation:

\[
\Delta G_{H^\ast} = \Delta E_{H^\ast} + \Delta ZPE - T\Delta S
\]

Where the symbols represent the binding energy (\( \Delta E \)), the change in zero-point energy (\( \Delta E_{ZPE} \)), Temperature (\( T \)), and the entropy change (\( \Delta S \)) of the system, respectively. The \( T\Delta S \) and \( \Delta ZPE \) are obtained as previously reported by Norskov et al \( (\text{Nørskov et al., 2005}) \). Thus, we adopted the approximation that the vibrational entropy of hydrogen in the adsorbed state is negligible, in which case \( \Delta S_{H^\ast} = S_{H^\ast} -1/2(S_{H_2}) \approx -1/2(S_{H_2}) \), where \( S_{H_2} \) is the entropy of \( \text{H}_2(\text{g}) \) at standard conditions, and \( TS(\text{H}_2) \) is \( \sim 0.41 \text{ eV} \) for \( \text{H}_2 \) at 300 K and 1 atm \( (\text{Nørskov et al., 2005}) \).

**Theoretical models**

We build the correlative theoretical model to simulate the PtP2@PNC. The PtP2@PNC model is constructed by covering the respective PNC layer on the (111) facet of the PtP2 slab. To minimize the effects of lattice mismatch between the PtP2 and PNC, we considered an interface periodicity of \( 2\times2 \) and \( 4\times3 \) supercells for the PtP2 and PNC phases of PtP2@PNC, respectively.
Figure S1. XPS characterization of the obtained Pt-NC, related to Figure 2.
(a-c) High-resolution XPS spectra of the Pt-NC. (d) The survey XPS spectra of the Pt-NC.
Figure S2. Atomic concentration histogram of synthesized PNC, related to Figure 2.
Figure S3. XPS characterization of the obtained PNC, related to Figure 2.
(a) XPS spectrum of PNC. (b–d) High-resolution XPS spectra of N 1s, P 2p and C 1s, respectively.
Figure S4. TEM images of PNC layers, related to Figure 2.
Figure S5. XPS characterization of the obtained Pt-PNC, related to Figure 2.
(a-d) High-resolution XPS spectra of the Pt-PNC. (e) The survey XPS spectra of the Pt-PNC.
Figure S6. Raman spectrum of PtP₂@PNC, related to Figure 2.
Figure S7. (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of PtP$_2$@PNC, related to Figure 2.
Figure S8. STEM image of PtP$_2$@PNC, related to Figure 2.
Figure S9. Particle size distribution of PtP₂@PNC, average particle size ≈ 11.7 ± 2.5 nm (~100 nanoparticles were measured), related to Figure 2.
Figure S10. (a) HRTEM image of PtP₂@PNC. (b) Corresponding FFT pattern of the area indicated by the red box in (a), related to Figure 3.
Figure S11. RHE voltage calibration, related to Figure 4.
Figure S12. Polarization curves of PtP₂@PNC with/without iR correction, related to Figure 4.
Figure S13. HER performances of PNC in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solutions, related to Figure 4. (a) HER polarization curve for PNC recorded at 5 mVs$^{-1}$. (b) Corresponding Tafel slope.
Figure S14. HER activity of Pt-PNC and Pt-NC, related to Figure 4.
Figure S15. Nyquist plots of PtP₂@PNC, Pt-PNC, and PNC recorded at η=30 mV in 0.5 M H₂SO₄, related to Figure 4.
Figure S16. (a) TEM and (b) HRTEM images of post-HER PtP₂@PNC, related to Figure 4.
Figure S17. XPS characterizations of post-HER PtP$_2$@PNC, related to Figure 4.
XPS spectra of (a) survey scan, (b) Pt 4f regions, (c) P 2p regions, (d) N 1s regions, and (e) C 1s regions for post-HER PtP$_2$@PNC.
Figure S18. HER performances of PtP2@PNC, commercial Pt/C and PNC in different environment, related to Figure 4.
HER polarization curves for PtP2@PNC, commercial Pt/C and PNC under (a) neutral and (b) alkaline solutions.
Figure S19. Theoretical model of PNC used in DFT calculations ($\Delta G(H) = 0.57$ eV), related to Figure 4.
Figure S20. DFT calculations of PtP₂@PNC, pure PtP₂, related to Figure 4.
Band structure of (a) PtP₂@PNC and (b) pure PtP₂. Calculated density of states of (c) PtP₂@PNC and (d) PtP₂. The black dotted line represents the Fermi level.
Figure S21. ECSA data of PtP2@PNC and Pt/C, related to Figure 4.
CV conducted at potential from 0.1 to 0.3 V vs. RHE at scan rates of 10, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mV s⁻¹ for (a) PtP2@PNC and (b) Pt/C. The capacitive currents were measured at 0.2 V vs. RHE. (c) The measured capacitive currents plotted as a function of the scan rate. (d) HER polarization curves of Pt/C and PtP2@PNC.

The electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) data are obtained based on the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (Cdl) through cyclic voltammogram (CV). The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves of Pt/C (20%) and PtP2@PNC were further plotted by ECSA (Figure S21d). In details: CV curves were obtained by cyclic voltammetry from 0.1 to 0.3 V vs. RHE, where there was no Faradic current, at scan rate of 10, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mV·s⁻¹. The double-layer capacitance can be calculated as formula, Cdl = j/r, where j is the current density and r is the scan rate. ECSA can be calculated as: ECSA=Cdl/Cs, where Cs is the specific capacitance value for a flat standard with 1 cm² of real surface area. The general value of Cs ranges from 20-60 μF cm⁻² (Ref: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14433). In the following calculations of ECSA, 60 μF cm⁻² is used as Cs.

ECSA_{PtP2@PNC}=32.5 mF cm⁻²/60μF cm⁻²=541
ECSA_{Pt/C}=24.6 mF cm⁻²/60μF cm⁻²=410

When the catalytic activity was calculated by the ECSA, the PtP2@PNC still exhibits higher activity than that of commercial Pt/C. This indicates PtP2@PNC shows a better intrinsic HER catalytic activity than that of the commercial Pt/C.
Figure S22. N$_2$ adsorption and desorption isotherms of PtP$_2$@PNC, related to Figure 4.
Figure S23. Polarization curves of PNC, and Pt-PNC for HOR in H₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄, related to Figure 5.
Figure S24. ORR performances of PtP₂@PNC and PNC in alkaline condition, related to Figure 5.

(a) LSV curves of PNC and PtP₂@PNC catalyst at 5 mV s⁻¹ at a rotating speed of 1600 rpm obtained in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH solutions. (b) LSV curves of PNC at 400, 625, 900, 1225, 1600 and 2025 rpm with a sweep rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH solutions. (c) K–L plots of PNC at the potential of 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 V (n = 4.0).
Figure S25. ORR activity for Pt-PNC, related to Figure 5.
Figure S26. ORR performances of PtP2@PNC in alkaline condition, related to Figure 5.
(a) LSV curves of PtP2@PNC at different rotation speeds in 0.1 M KOH. (b) The Koutecky-Levich plots of PtP2@PNC at the range potential from 0.3 to 0.7 V vs. RHE.
Figure S27. Models of oxygen adsorbed on (a) PtP₂@PNC and (b) PtP₂, related to Figure 5.
Figure S28. (a) TEM and (b) HRTEM images of post-HOR PtP₂@PNC, related to Figure 5.
Figure S29. (a) TEM and (b) HRTEM images of post-ORR PtP2@PNC, related to Figure 5.
Figure S30. Histogram of ECSAs of PtP₂@PNC and Pt/C in 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions, related to Figure 5.
Figure S31. $E_{\text{onset}}$ and $E_{1/2}$ comparison, related to Figure 5.
Figure S32. ORR performances of PtP$_2$@PNC in acidic condition, related to Figure 5.
(a) LSV curves of PtP$_2$@PNC at different rotation speeds in 0.1 M HClO$_4$. (b) The Koutecky-Levich plots of PtP$_2$@PNC at the range potential from 0.3 to 0.6 V vs. RHE.
Figure S33. ORR performances of PtP2@PNC in acidic and alkaline conditions, related to Figure 5.
LSV curves of PtP2@PNC-3 (~24 wt% Pt) and commercial 20 wt% Pt/C at 5 mV s⁻¹ at a rotating speed of 1600 rpm obtained in O₂-saturated (a) 0.1 M KOH and (b) 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions.
Figure S34. The i-t chronoamperometric curves for PtP₂@PNC and commercial 20 wt% Pt/C, related to Figure 5.
The i-t chronoamperometric responses for PtP₂@PNC and commercial 20 wt% Pt/C in (h) 3.0 M methanol O₂-saturated and (i) CO and O₂-saturated (V CO/V O₂=10%) solution during a constant potential at 0.5 V at a rotation rate of 1,600 rpm in 0.1 M KOH.
Table S1 Comparison of HER performance in acid media for PtP₂@PNC with other HER electrocatalysts, related to Figure 4

| Catalysts          | Electrolytes | η@j  (mV mA cm⁻²) | Tafel slope (mV dec⁻¹) | Loading (mg cm⁻²) | Ref.                                      |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|
| PtP₂@PNC           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 8@10              | 30                     | 17.85 μg cm⁻² Pt  | This work                                |
| Pt-MoS₂            | 0.1 M H₂SO₄  | ~150@10           | 96                     | 18 μg cm⁻² Pt     | Energy Environ. Sci. 8, 1594 (2017)      |
| ALD50Pt/NGNs       | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 50@16             | 29                     | ~1.6 μg cm⁻² Pt   | Nat.Commun. 7, 13638 (2016)              |
| 400-SWMT/Pt        | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 27@10             | 38                     | ~0.8 μg cm⁻² Pt   | ACS Catal. 7, 3121 (2017)                |
| Pt-GDY2            | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | ~50@30            | 38                     | 4.65 μg cm⁻² Pt   | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57, 9382 (2017)    |
| Pt@PCM            | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 105@10            | 65.3                   | -                 | Sci. Adv. 4, eaao6657 (2018)             |
| Pt-MoO₃ₓ           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 23.3@10           | 28.8                   | ~2 μg cm⁻² Pt     | ChemCatChem 10, 946 (2016)               |
| Pd/Cu-Pt NRs       | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 22.8@10           | 25                     | 41 μg cm²         | Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 56, 16047 (2017)  |
| CDs/PT-PANI-4      | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 30@10             | 41.7                   | 8.1μg cm⁻² Pt     | Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 257, 117905 (2019) |
| Pd-MoS₂            | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 78@10             | 62                     | 2.22 μg cm⁻² Pd   | Nat. Commun. 9, 2120 (2018)              |
| NiAu/Au            | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | ~50@10            | 36                     | 0.204             | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 5859 (2015)       |
| Ru/C₂N/C           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | ~75@10            | -                      | ~0.2              | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 16174 (2016)      |
| Ru/GO              | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 53@10             | 30                     | ~0.1              | ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9, 3785 (2017) |
| Ru@C₂N            | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 22@10             | 30                     | 0.285             | Nat. Nanotechnol. 12, 441 (2017)         |
| Rh₂P NPs           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 14@10             | 31.7                   | 4.59μg cm⁻² Rh    | Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1703489 (2018)     |
| Rh/Si              | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 110@50            | -                      | 56μg cm⁻² Rh      | Nat. Commun. 7,12272 (2016)              |
| IrNi NCs           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 32@20             | -                      | 12.5 μg cm⁻² Ir   | Adv. Funct. Mater. 27, 1700886 (2017)    |
| IrCo-PHNC          | 0.1 HClO₄    | 21@10             | -                      | 10.0 μg cm⁻² Ir   | Adv. Mater. 29, 1703798 (2017)           |
| RuP₂@NPC           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 38@10             | 38                     | 233 μg cm⁻² Ru    | Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 56, 11559 (2017)  |
| PdP₂@CB           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 27.5@10           | 29.5                   | 18.5 μg cm⁻² Pd   | Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 57, 14862 (2018)  |
| CoP/CC             | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 67@10             | 51                     | 0.92              | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 7587 (2014)       |
| MoP₂ NS/CC         | 0.5 M H₂SO₄  | 58@10             | 63.6                   | 7.8               | J. Mater. Chem. A 4, 7169 (2016)         |
| Material     | pH Value | Current Density (mA/cm²) | Overpotential (V) | References                          |
|--------------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| MoP NA/CC    | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 124@10                   | 58                | Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 196, 193 (2016) |
| MoP₂ NPs/Mo  | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 143@10                   | 57                | ~0.18                               |
| MoPNPs@NC    | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 115@10                   | 65                | Nanoscale 8, 17256 (2016)          |
| FePNPs@NPC   | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 130@10                   | 67                | Nanoscale 9, 3555 (2017)          |
| Mn-CoP/Ti     | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 49@10                    | 55                | ACS Catal. 7, 98 (2017)           |
| NiCo₃P₄/CF    | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 104@10                   | 59.6              | Adv. Mater. 29, 1605502 (2017)    |
| np-(Co₀.₅₂Fe₀.₄₈)₂P | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 64@10                    | 45                | Energy Environ. Sci. 9, 2257 (2016) |
| Ni₂P/Ti       | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 130@20                   | 46                | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 9267 (2013) |
| Ni₂P          | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 140@20                   | 66                | Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16, 5917 (2014) |
| NiP₂ NS/CC    | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 75@10                    | 51                | Nanoscale 6, 13440 (2014)         |
| CoP/CNT       | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 122@10                   | 54                | Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 53, 6710 (2014) |
| CoP/Ti        | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 85@20                    | 50                | Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 126, 5531 (2014) |
| FeP           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 50@10                    | 37                | ACS Nano 8, 11101 (2014)          |
| MoP           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 180@30                   | 54                | Energy Environ. Sci. 7, 2624 (2014) |
| Co-NCNT/CC    | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 78@10                    | 74                | ChemSusChem 8, 1850 (2015)        |
| CoNC/GD       | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 340@10                   | 138               | ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8, 31083 (2016) |
| WN NA/CC      | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 198@10                   | 62                | Electrochini. Acta 154, 345 (2015) |
| WON@NC       | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 106@10                   | 65                | ChemSusChem 8, 2487 (2015)        |
| Mo₂C QD/NGCL  | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 136@10                   | 68.4              | Chem. Commun. 52, 12753 (2016)    |
| P-W₂C@NC      | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 89@10                    | 53                | J. Mater. Chem. A 5, 765 (2017)   |
| 1D-RuO₂-CNₓ   | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 93@10                    | 40                | ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8, 28678 (2016) |
| Co-Ni-B       | 0.1 M HClO₄ | 209@10                   | -                 | Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 192, 126 (2016) |
| Zn₀.₃Co₂.₇S₄  | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 80@10                    | 47.5              | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 1359 (2016) |
| CNF@CoS₂      | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 110@10                   | 66.8              | Inorg. Chem. Front. 3, 1280 (2016) |
| Material         | Conductor | Temperature | Time | Current Density | Reference                                      |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Ni-C-N NSs       | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 60.9@10     | 32   | 0.2             | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 14546 (2016)           |
| Co-C-N           | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 138@10      | 55   | -               | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 15070 (2015)           |
| Co-NRCNTs        | 0.5 M H₂SO₄ | 260@10      | 80   | 0.28            | Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 126, 4461 (2014)       |
| Co-Mo-Sₓ         | 0.1 M HClO₄ | ~250@5      | -    | -               | Nat. Mater. 15, 197 (2016)                    |
| NF/NiCoMoO-H₂    | 1.0 M KOH   | 15@10       | 33.1 | 2.7             | Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 258, 117953 (2019)   |
Table S2 $P$ concentration detected by ICP-AES after HER testing in $H_2SO_4$ solution, related to Figure 4.

| Elemental                     | P     |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| After HER electrolysis        | 8.9 ppb |

Table S3 Comparison of HOR performance for PtP2@PNC with other HOR electrocatalysts, related to Figure 5.

| Catalyst          | Loading (µg metal cm⁻²) | Electrolyte   | Exchange current density i₀ (mA cm⁻²) | Ref.                                |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| PtP2@PNC          | 8.93                    | 0.1 M HClO₄  | 16.6 mA cm⁻² Pt                      | This work                           |
| Pd-CNₓ            | 43                      | 0.1 M H₂SO₄  | 0.84                                 | ACS Catal. 6, 1929 (2016)           |
| Pd/C              | 5                       | 0.1 M HClO₄  | 2.56 mA cm⁻² Pt                      | J. Electrochem. Soc. 163, F499 (2016) |
| Pd/C-600C         | 30                      | 0.1 M HClO₄  | 4.49 mA cm⁻² Pt                      | J. Electrochem. Soc. 163, F499 (2016) |
| Pt/C              | -                       | 0.1 M H₂SO₄  | 24 mA cm⁻² Pt                        | J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 13984 (2004) |
| PdIr/C            | 35                      | 1 M KOH      | 0.98                                 | J. Electrochem. Soc. 161, 458 (2014) |
| Ir₃PdRu₆/C        | 3.5                     | 0.1 M KOH    | 0.6                                  | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 6807 (2017)  |
| Ru₃Ir₂/C          | 7.8                     | 0.1 M NaOH   | 0.85                                 | J. Mater. Chem. A 4, 15980 (2016)  |
| Ir/C-800          | 10                      | 0.1 M KOH    | 0.5                                  | ACS Catal. 5, 4449 (2015)           |
Table S4 Comparison of the ORR activity of PtP2@PNC with other electrocatalysts previously reported, related to Figure 5.

| Catalyst                  | Mass/specific activity @ 0.9V | E_{1/2} (V) | Electrolyte | Loading (mg cm⁻²) | Ref.                                      |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| PtP2@PNC                  | 148.6 mA·mg⁻¹Pt              | 0.82        | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.15              | This work                                 |
| Fe₃Pt                    | -                             | 0.91        | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.12              | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 9901 (2017)     |
| Fe₃Pt/Ni₅FeN             | 351.6 mA·mg⁻¹Pt              | 0.93        | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.12              | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 9901 (2017)     |
| Pd₃Pd/C                  | 168.9 mA·mg⁻¹Pt              | 0.92        | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.125             | Nano Lett. 16, 2560 (2016)                |
| PdMo bimetallic          | 16.37 A mg⁻¹Pt               | 0.95        | 0.1 M KOH   | 7.5μgmetal   | Nature 574, 81 (2019)                      |
| Pd-P                     | 1.34 A mg⁻¹Pt @0.85 V         | 0.88        | 0.1 M KOH   | -                 | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 5217 (2014)        |
| CNT-grphene              | -                             | 0.87        | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.485             | Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 394                   |
| Co₂O₃/N-rGO              | -                             | 0.83        | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.17              | Nat. Mater. 10, 780 (2011)                |
| Co₃P                     | -                             | -0.196 vs. Ag/AgCl | 0.1 M KOH   | -                 | ACS Nano 9, 8108 (2015)                    |
| Fe₃P                     | -                             | ~0.8        | 0.1 M KOH   | 39.5 μg cm⁻²      | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 3165 (2015)        |
| Cu₃P@NPPC                | -                             | 0.78        | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.2               | Adv. Mater. 30, 1703711 (2018)            |
| IrP₂/NPC                 | -                             | 0.89        | 0.1 M KOH   | 1.6μgIr           | Interfaces 11, 16461 (2019)               |
| Rh₃P/NPC                 | -                             | 0.89        | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.94              | Adv. Energy Mater. 8, 1801478 (2018)      |
| Pt on Pd                  | 307 μAcm⁻²Pt                 | -           | 0.1 M HClO₄ | -                 | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 7542 (2009)        |
| PtNi NWs                  | 2.97 A mg⁻¹Pt                | -           | 0.1 M HClO₄ | 1.25 μgPt         | Sci. Adv. 3, e1601705 (2017)              |
| FePtPd/FePt NWs           | 1.68 A mg⁻¹Pt(@0.5 V vs.Ag/AgCl | -           | 0.1 M HClO₄ | -                 | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 13879 (2013)      |
References

Deng, J., Li, H. B., Xiao, J. P., Tu, Y. C., D. Deng, H., Yang, H. X., Tian, H. F., Li, J. Q., Ren, P. J. And Bao,X. H. (2015). Triggering the electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution activity of the inert two-dimensional MoS$_2$ surface via single-atom metal doping. _Energy Environ. Sci._ 8, 1594–1601.

Cheng, N., Stambula, S., Wang, D., Banis, M. N., Liu, J., Riese, A., Xiao, B., Li, R., Sham, T. K., Liu, L., Botton, G. A. and Sun, X. (2016). Platinum single-atom and cluster catalysis of the hydrogen evolution reaction. _Nat. Commun._ 7, 13638.

Tavakkoli, M., Holmberg, N., Kronberg, R., Jiang, H., Sainio, J., Kauppinen, E. I., Kallio, T., Laasonen, K. (2017). Electrochemical activation of single-walled carbon nanotubes with pseudo-atomic-scale platinum for the hydrogen evolution reaction. _ACS Catal._ 7, 3121–3130.

Yin, X., Wang, H., Tang, S., Lu, X., Shu, M., Si, R. and Lu, T. (2018). Engineering the coordination environment of single-atom platinum anchored on graphdiyne for optimizing electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution. _Angew. Chem., Int. Ed._ 57, 9382–9386.

Zhang, H., An, P., Zhou, W., Guan, B. Y., Zhang, P., Dong, J. and Lou, X. W. (2018). Dynamic traction of lattice-confined platinum atoms into mesoporous carbon matrix for hydrogen evolution reaction. _Sci. Adv._ 4, eaao6657.

Liu, W., Xu, Q., Yan, P. F., Chen, J., Du, Y., Chu, S. Q. and Wang, J. O. (2018). Fabrication of a single-atom platinum catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction: A new protocol by utilization of H$_x$MoO$_{3-x}$ with plasmon resonance. _ChemCatChem_ 10, 946–950.

Chao, T. T., Luo, X., Chen, W. X., Jiang, B., Ge, J. J., Lin, Y., Wu, G., Wang, X. Q., Hu, Y. M., Zhuang, Z. B., Wu, Y., Hong, X. and Li, Y. D. (2017). Atomically dispersed copper–platinum dual sites alloyed with palladium nanorings catalyze the hydrogen evolution reaction. _Angew. Chem., Int. Ed._ 56, 16047–16051.

Dang, Q., Sun, Y., Wang, X., Zhu, W., Chen, Y., Liao, F., Huang, H. and Shao, M. (2019). Carbon dots-Pt modified polyaniline nanosheet grown on carbon cloth as stable and high-efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution in pH-universal electrolyte. _Appl. Catal. B: Environ._ 257, 117905.

Luo, Z., Ouyang, Y., Zhang, H., Xiao, M., Ge, J., Jiang, Z., Wang, J., Tang, D., Cao, X., Liu, C. and Xing, W. (2018). Chemically activating MoS$_2$ via spontaneous atomic palladium interfacial doping towards efficient hydrogen evolution. _Nat. Commun._ 9, 2120.

Lv, H., Xi, Z., Chen, Z., Guo, S., Yu, Y., Zhu, W., Li, Q., Zhang, X., Pan, M., Lu, G., Mu, S. and Sun, S. (2015). A new core/shell NiAu/Au nanoparticle catalyst with Pt-like activity for hydrogen evolution reaction. _J. Am. Chem. Soc._ 137, 5859–5862.

Zheng, Y., Jiao, Y., Zhu, Y., Li, L., Han, Y., Chen, Y., Jaroniec, M. and Qiao, S. (2016). High electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution activity of an anomalous ruthenium catalyst. _J. Am. Chem. Soc._ 138, 16174–16181.

Ye, R., Liu, Y., Peng, Z., Wang, T., Jaililov, A. S., Yakobson, B. I., Wei, S. and Tour, J. M. (2017). High performance electrocatalytic reaction of hydrogen and oxygen on ruthenium nanoclusters. _ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces_ 9, 3785–3791.

Mahmood, J., Li, F., Jung, S., Okyay, M. S., Ahmad, I., J.Kim, S., Park, N., Jeong, H. Y. and Baek, J. B. (2017). An efficient and pH-universal ruthenium-based catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction. _Nat. Nanotechnol._ 12, 441–446.
Yang, F., Zhao, Y., Du, Y., Chen, Y., Cheng, G., Chen, S. and Luo, W. (2018). A monodisperse Rh$_2$P-based electrocatalyst for highly efficient and pH-universal hydrogen evolution reaction. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 8, 1703489.

Zhu, L., Lin, H., Li, Y., Liao, F., Lifshitz, Y., Sheng, M., Lee, S. T and Shao, M. (2016). A rhodium/silicon co-electrocatalyst design concept to surpass platinum hydrogen evolution activity at high overpotentials. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12272.

Pi, Y., Shao, Q., Wang, P., Guo, J. and Huang, X. (2017). General formation of monodisperse IrM (M= Ni, Co, Fe) bimetallic nanoclusters as bifunctional electrocatalysts for acidic overall water splitting. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 27, 1700886.

Feng, J., Lv, F., Zhang, W., Li, P., Wang, K., Yang, C., Wang, B., Yang, Y., Zhou, J., Lin, F., Wang, G. and Guo, S. (2017). Iridium-based multimetallic porous hollow nanocrystals for efficient overall water splitting. *Adv. Mater.* 29, 1703798.

Luo, F., Zhang, Q., Yu, X., Xiao, S., Ling, Y., Hu, H., Guo, L, Yang, Z., Huang, L., Cai, W. and Cheng, H. (2018). Palladium phosphide as a stable and efficient electrocatalyst for overall water splitting. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 56, 11559.

Tian, J., Liu, Q., Asiri, A. M. and Sun, X. (2014). Self-supported nanoporous cobalt phosphide nanowire arrays: an efficient 3D hydrogen-evolving cathode over the wide range of pH 0–14. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136, 7587–7590.

Zhu, W., Tang, C., Liu, D., Wang, J., Asiri, A. M. and Sun, X. (2016). A self-standing nanoporous MoP$_2$ nanosheet array: an advanced pH-universal catalytic electrode for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 4, 7169–7173.

Pu, Z., Wei, S., Chen, Z. and Mu, S. (2016). Flexible molybdenum phosphide nanosheet array electrodes for hydrogen evolution reaction in a wide pH range. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 196, 193–198.

Pu, Z., Amiinu, I. S., Wang, M., Yang, Y. and Mu, S. (2016). Semimetallic MoP$_2$: an active and stable hydrogen evolution electrocatalyst over the whole pH range. *Nanoscale* 8, 8500–8504.

Pu, Z., Amiinu, I. S., Liu, X., Wang, M. and Mu, S. (2016). Ultrastable nitrogen-doped carbon encapsulating molybdenum phosphide nanoparticles as highly efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen generation. *Nanoscale* 8, 17256–17261.

Pu, Z., Xue, Y., Amiinu, I. S., Zhang, C., Wang, M., Kou, Z. and Mu, S. (2017). Phytic acid-derivative transition metal phosphides encapsulated in N,P-codoped carbon: an efficient and durable hydrogen evolution electrocatalyst in a wide pH range. *Nanoscale* 9, 3555–3560.

Liu, T., Ma, X., Liu, D., Hao, S., Du, G., Ma, Y., Asiri, A. M., Sun, X. and Chen, L. (2017). Mn doping of CoP nanosheets array: an efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction with enhanced activity at all pH values. *ACS Catal.* 7, 98–102.

Zhang, R., Wang, X., Yu, S., Wen, T., Zhu, X., Yang, F., Sun, X., Wang, X. and Hu, W. (2017). Ternary NiCo$_2$P$_3$ nanowires as pH-universal electrocatalysts for highly efficient hydrogen evolution reaction. *Adv. Mater.* 29, 1605502.

Tan, Y., Wang, H., Liu, P., Shen, Y., Cheng, C., Hirata, A., Fujita, T., Tang, Z. and Chen, M. (2016). Versatile nanoporous bimetallic phosphides towards electrochemical water splitting. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 9, 2257–2261.
Popczun, E. J., McKone, J. R., Read, C. G., Biacchi, A. J., Wiltzut, A. M., Lewis, N. S. and Schaak, R. E. (2013). Nanostructured nickel phosphide as an electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135, 9267–9270.

Feng, L., Vrubel, H., Bensimon, M. and Hu, X. (2014). Easily-prepared dinickel phosphide (Ni$_2$P) nanoparticles as an efficient and robust electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 16, 5917–5921.

Jiang, P., Liu, Q. and Sun, X. (2014). NiP$_2$ nanosheet arrays supported on carbon cloth: an efficient 3D hydrogen evolution cathode in both acidic and alkaline solutions. *Nanoscale* 6, 13440–13445.

Liu, Q., Tian, J., Cui, W., Jiang, P., Cheng, N, Asiri, A. M. and Sun, X. (2014). Carbon nanotubes decorated with CoP nanocrystals: a highly active non-noble-metal nanohybrid electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 53, 6710–6714.

Popczun, E. J., Read, C., Roske, C. W., Lewis, N. S. and Schaak, R. E. (2014). Highly active electrocatalysis of the hydrogen evolution reaction by cobalt phosphide nanoparticles. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 126, 5531–5534.

Juan, F., Callejas, J., McEnaney, M., Read, C. G., Crompton, J. C., Biacchi, A. J., Popczun, E. J., Gordon, T. R., Lewis, N. S. and Schaak, R. E. (2014). Electrocatalytic and photocatalytic hydrogen production from acidic and neutral-pH aqueous solutions using iron phosphide nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* 8, 11101–11107.

Xiao, P., Sk, M. A., Thia, L., Ge, X., Lim, R. J., Wang, J. Y., Lim, K. H. and Wang, X. (2014). Molybdenum phosphide as an efficient electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 7, 2624–2629.

Xing, Z., Liu, Q., Xing, W., Asiri, A. M. and Sun, X. (2015). Interconnected Co-entrapped, N-doped carbon nanotube film as active hydrogen evolution cathode over the whole pH range. *ChemSusChem* 8, 1850–1855.

Xue, Y., Li, J., Xue, Z., Li, Y., Liu, H., Li, D., Yang, W. and Li, Y. (2016). Extraordinarily durable graphdiyne-supported electrocatalyst with high activity for hydrogen production at all values of pH. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 8, 31083–31091.

Shi, J., Pu, Z., Liu, Q., Asiri, A. M., Hu, J. and Sun, X. (2015). Tungsten nitride nanorods array grown on carbon cloth as an efficient hydrogen evolution cathode at all pH values. *Electrochim. Acta* 154, 345–351.

Li, Q., Cui, W., Tian, J., Xing, Z., Liu, Q., Xing, W., Asiri, A. M. and Sun, X. (2015). N-Doped carbon-coated tungsten oxynitride nanowire arrays for highly efficient electrochemical hydrogen evolution. *ChemSusChem* 8, 2487–2491.

Pu, Z., Wang, M., Kou, Z., Aminu, I. S. and Mu, S. (2016). Mo$_2$C quantum dot embedded chitosan-derived nitrogen-doped carbon for efficient hydrogen evolution in a broad pH range. *Chem. Commun.* 52, 12753–12756.

Yan, G., Wu, C., Tan, H., Feng, X., Yan, L., Zang, H. and Li, Y. (2017). N-Carbon coated P-W$_2$C composite as efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reactions over the whole pH range. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 5, 765–772.

Bhowmik, T., Kundu, M. and Barman, S. (2016). Growth of one-dimensional RuO$_2$ nanowires on g-carbon nitride: an active and stable bifunctional electrocatalyst for hydrogen and oxygen evolution reactions at all pH values. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 8, 28678–28688.

Gupta, S., Patela, N., Fernandes, R., Kadrekar, R., Dashora, A., Yadav, A. K., Bhattacharyya,
S47

D., Jha, S. N., Miotello, A. and Kothari, D. C. (2016). Co–Ni–B nanocatalyst for efficient hydrogen evolution reaction in wide pH range. Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 192, 126–133.

Huang, Z., Song, J., Li, K., Tahir, M., Wang, Y., Pan, L., Wang, L., Zhang, X., Zou, J. (2016). Hollow cobalt-based bimetallic sulfide polyhedra for efficient all-pH-value electrochemical and photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 1359–1365.

Gu, H., Huang, Y., Zuo, L., Fan, W. and Liu, T. (2016). Electrospun carbon nanofiber@CoS2 core/sheath hybrid as an efficient all-pH hydrogen evolution electrocatalyst. Inorg. Chem. Front. 3, 1280–1288.

Yin, J., Fan, Q., Li, Y., Cheng, F., Zhou, P., Xi, P. and Sun, S. (2016). Ni–C–N nanosheets as catalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 14546–14549.

Wang, S., Hao, X., Jiang, Z., Sun, X., Xu, D., Wang, J., Zhong, H., Meng, F. and Zhang, X. (2015). C and N hybrid coordination derived Co–C–N complex as a highly efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 15070–15073.

Zou, X., Huang, X., Goswami, A., Silva, R., Sathe, B. R., Mikmekova, E. and Asefa, T. (2014). Cobalt-embedded nitrogen-rich carbon nanotubes efficiently catalyze hydrogen evolution reaction at all pH values. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 126, 4461–4465.

Jirkovský, J. S., Malliakas, C. D., Lopes, P. P., Danilovic, N., Kota, S. S., Chang, K. C., Genorio, B., Strmcnik, D., Stamenkovic, V. R., Kanatzidis, M. G., Markovic, N. M. (2016). Design of active and stable Co–Mo–Sx chalcogels as pH-universal catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. Nat. Mater. 15, 197–203.

Wen, S., Yang, T., Zhao, N., Ma, L. and Liu, E. (2019). Ni-Co-Mo-O nanosheets decorated with NiCo nanoparticles as advanced electrocatalysts for highly efficient hydrogen evolution. Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 258, 117953.

Bhowmik, T., Kundu, M. K. and Barman, S. (2016). Palladium nanoparticle–graphitic carbon nitride porous synergistic catalyst for hydrogen evolution/oxidation reactions over a broad range of pH and correlation of its catalytic activity with measured hydrogen binding energy. ACS Catal. 6, 1929–1941.

Zheng, J., Zhou, S., Gu, S., Xu, B. and Yan, Y. (2016). Size-dependent hydrogen oxidation and evolution activities on supported palladium nanoparticles in acid and base. J. Electrochem. Soc. 163, F499-F506.

Chen, S. L., Kucernak, A. (2004). Electrocatalysis under conditions of high mass transport: Investigation of hydrogen oxidation on single submicron Pt particles supported on carbon. J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 13984-13994.

Zoski, C. G. (2003). Scanning electrochemical microscopy: investigation of hydrogen oxidation at polycrystalline noble metal electrodes. J. Phys. Chem. B 107, 6401-6405.

Jervisa, R., Mansora, N., Gibbsa, C., Murrayd, C. A., Tandgd, C. C., Shearing P. R. and Brett, D. J. L. (2014). Hydrogen oxidation on PdIr/C catalysts in alkaline media. J. Electrochem. Soc. 161, 458-463.

Wang, H. and Abruña, H. D. (2017). IrPdRu/C as H2 oxidation catalysts for alkaline fuel cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 6807–6810.

Ohyama, J., Kumadaa, D. and Satsuma, A. (2016). Improved hydrogen oxidation reaction under alkaline conditions by ruthenium–iridium alloyed nanoparticles. J. Mater. Chem. A 4, 15980.

Zheng, J., Zhuang, Z., Xu, B. and Yan, Y. (2015). Correlating hydrogen oxidation/evolution
reaction activity with the minority weak hydrogen-binding sites on Ir/C catalysts. *ACS Catal.* 5, 4449–4455.

Cui, Z., Fu, G., Li, Y. and Goodenough, J. B. (2017). Ni$_3$FeN-supported Fe$_3$Pt intermetallic nanoalloy as a high-performance bifunctional catalyst for metal-air batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56, 9901–9905.

Cui, Z., Chen, H., Zhao, M. and DiSalvo, F. J. (2016). High-performance Pd$_3$Pb intermetallic catalyst for electrochemical oxygen reduction. *Nano Lett.* 16, 2560–2566.

Luo, M., Zhao, Z., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., Xing, Y., Lv, F., Yang, Y., Zhang, X., Hwang, S., Qin, Y., Ma, J., Lin, F., Su, D., Lu, G. and Guo, S. (2019). PdMo bimetallic for oxygen reduction catalysis. *Nature* 574, 81-85.

Poon, K. C., Tan, D. C. L., Vo, T. D.T., Khezri, B., Su, H., Webster, R. D. and Sato, H. (2014). Newly developed stepwise electroless deposition enables a remarkably facile synthesis of highly active and stable amorphous Pd nanoparticle electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136, 5217-5220.

Li, Y., Zhou, W., Wang, H., Xie, L., Liang, Y., Wei, F., Idrobo, J. C., Pennycook, S. J. and Dai, H. (2012). An oxygen reduction electrocatalyst based on carbon nanotube–graphene complexes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 394–400.

Liang, Y., Li, Y., Wang, H., Zhou, J., Wang, J., Regier and, T. and Dai, H. (2011). Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals on graphene as a synergistic catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. *Nat. Mater.* 10, 780-786.

Doan-Nguyen, V. V. T., Zhang, S., Trigg, E. B., Agarwal, R., Li, J., Su, D., Winey, K. I. and Murray, C. B. (2015). Synthesis and X-ray characterization of cobalt phosphide (Co$_2$P) nanorods for the oxygen reduction reaction. *ACS Nano* 9, 8108-8115.

Singh, K. P., Bae, E. J. and Yu, J. (2015). Fe–P: a new class of electroactive catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137, 3165-3168.

Wang, R., Dong, X., Du, J., Zhao, J. and Zang, S. (2018). MOF-derived bifunctional Cu$_3$P nanoparticles coated by a N,P-codoped carbon shell for hydrogen evolution and oxygen reduction. *Adv. Mater.* 30, 1703711.

Qin, Q., Jang, H., Chen, L., Li, P., Wei, T., Liu X. and Cho, J. (2019). Coupling a low loading of IrP$_2$, PtP$_2$, or Pd$_3$P with heteroatom-doped nanocarbon for overall water-splitting cells and zinc–air batteries. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 11, 16461-16473.

Qin, Q., Jang, H., Chen, L., Nam, G., Liu, X. and Cho, J. (2018). Low loading of Rh$_x$P and RuP on N, P codoped carbon as two trifunctional electrocatalysts for the oxygen and hydrogen electrode reactions. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 8, 1801478.

Peng, Z. and Yang, H. (2009). Synthesis and oxygen reduction electrocatalytic property of Pt-on-Pd bimetallic heteronanostructures. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131, 7542-7543.

Jiang, K., Zhao, D., Guo, S., Zhang, X., Zhu, X., Guo, J., Lu, G. and Huang, X. (2017). Efficient oxygen reduction catalysis by subnanometer Pt alloy nanowires. *Sci. Adv.* 3, e1601705.

Guo, S., Zhang, S., Su, D. and Sun, S. (2013). Seed-mediated synthesis of core/shell FePtM/FePt (M= Pd, Au) nanowires and their electrocatalysis for oxygen reduction reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135, 13879–13884.