Assessing the sensitivity of leeches as indicators of water quality
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HIGHLIGHTS

• At species level, leeches were good indicators of water quality of streams.
• Occupancy modelling offers a new methodology to understand how the species behave along a stressor gradient.
• The species sensitivity description in terms of occupation, will allow researchers to generate more accurate biotic indices.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this work was assessing the sensitivity of leeches to several water quality attributes in lowlands streams. We used occupancy modelling that account explicitly for detectability, to estimate the influence of four variables (dissolved oxygen, 5-days biochemicals oxygen demand, conductivity, and dissolved inorganic nitrogen) affecting nine species. We described the sensitivity as a change in the occupancy along the range of water quality attributes. We found at least one species of Helobdella in 81% of sites and Helobdella, a genus, was detected along the entire gradient of each attribute. However, differences in the sensitivity were observed between species. For example, if we analyse the sensitivity of the genus Helobdella to dissolved oxygen, we can say that it is very tolerant. However, if we analyse the response to dissolved oxygen of each one of the species of Helobdella, we will realize that H. michaelei and H. simplex showed a high occupancy at high levels of dissolved oxygen; while H. hyalina and H. triserialis lineata showed high occupancy at low levels. Describe the sensitivity of the species in terms of occupancy, offers a new methodology to understand how the species behave along a stressor gradient.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords:
Annelida
Hirudinea
Bioindicators
Occupancy modelling
Streams

1. Introduction

Hirudinea species are a fundamental component of benthic fauna (Rosenberg and Resh, 1993). Leeches play different roles in the food chain, acting as predators, preys, and even, as intermediate host of Digenea, Cestoda, and Protozoa (Sawyer, 1986). The knowledge of leeches is particularly concentrated in description and redescription of species, construction of phylogenies, and global diversity (Gullo, 2006, 2009, 2014; Mosser et al., 2013; Oceguera-Figueroa et al., 2010; Oceguera-Figueroa, 2014; Siddall, 2001; Siddall and Borda, 2004; Siddall et al., 2005; Sket and Trontelj, 2008). The taxonomic identification of...
The study area is located in the South-eastern of Buenos Aires province, in the area occupied by the Tandilia mountain system. On northern hillside of Tandilia have their headwaters a lot of streams that it drains in direction NE through foothill and plains areas, both with a strong agricultural development. Although this area is considered endangered and of maximum priority due to its great transformation, biological uniqueness, and the absence of protected areas (Bilence and Milharro, 2004), currently there is a lack of information on the ecological status of these aquatic systems.

2.2. Surveys

We conducted surveys in autumn 2012 and 2015 covering 43 sites distributing in 8 streams. We collected three samples of sediment with an Ekman grab (100 cm²). Samples were fixed in situ with 4% formaldehyde, and sorted in the laboratory under at least 5× magnification. Examination of external morphology and posterior dissection of specimens was accomplished with a Leica Wild M3Z stereomicroscope. Species were identified using regional keys (Rineuilet, 1985; Sawyer, 1986) and counted.

At each site, we also collected one sample of water, and we recorded: dissolved oxygen (YSI 52 dissolved oxygen meter), temperature and pH (Hanna HI 8633), and conductivity (Lutron CD-4303). For each sample of water, we analysed the concentration of phosphate (P-PO4³⁻), ammonium (N-NH4⁺), nitrate (N-NO3⁻), nitrite (N-NO2⁻) and the oxygen demand (BOD5 and COD; Mackereth et al., 1978; APHA, 1998).

2.3. Modelling

We used occupancy models to estimate the influence of physical and chemical variables affecting the occupancy of each leech species. The basis of occupancy model is that there are two stochastic processes occurring that affect whether a species is detected at a site. A site may be either occupied or unoccupied by the species; if it is occupied then at each visit there is some probability of detecting the species. For each site we built a detection history of three simultaneous visits. We evaluated the baseline model for each species, in which both detection and occupancy probabilities were assumed to be constant across all sites [denoted as Ψ(·) p(·)]. Then, we developed a model set that incorporates site covariates through a logit link function. We explored the structure of covariation of physical and chemical variables, and then we reduced the variables dimension resulting in four independent covariates globally used to define the water quality: dissolved oxygen (DO), range from 14 to 160), conductivity (range: 185–1207 μS/cm), dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN = ammonium + nitrate + nitrite, range: 0.3–13.1 mgN/l), and five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5 range: 0.00–47 mgO/l). Under the assumption that the occupancy of species decrease as the water quality decrease, we expected a negative relationship between occupancy and conductivity, DIN and BOD5, and a positive relationship between occupancy and DO. We evaluated all potential models with 2–4 parameters (including the intercept and probability of detection) to avoid the occurrence of spurious results, and then maintaining an approximate ratio of data to parameters >10 (n = 43 sites; maximum number of parameters = n/10; Burnham and Anderson, 2002). For each model, we calculated the estimates of parameters (β) and their standard errors. Also, we excluded those models which all covariates had confidence interval containing the zero. Finally, we ranked models using Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). We kept all models that were better than constant-occupancy model [i.e., Ψ(·) p(·)] and that were less than two AIC units [ΔAIC < 2] of the best model. For each species, we used the best model to evaluate its sensitivity to physical and chemical covariates. We run the occupancy models with the Unmarked package in R (Fiske and Chandler, 2011).

2.4. Analysis of sensitivity

We illustrated the species' sensitivity by describing the three phases of occupancy decline: resistance, tolerance, and extinction (Cortelezzi et al., 2017). The resistance reflects the capacity of species to hold occupancy as habitat quality decreases. In the framework of occupancy modelling, the resistance phase is associated to the intercept of the model (i.e. species with a high resistance will show a higher intercept values) and it can be interpreted according to units of the quality habitat variable. The tolerance phase is the range of the habitat quality variable for which the occupancy shows the highest decline. The extinction phase is defined from the limit value of the habitat quality variable which the occupancy is very low (<10%). The joint analysis of the three phases allows describe and compare the sensitivity of species in terms of occupancy. We used Veusz® to create graphs.
3. Results

We found at least one species of leeches in 81% of sites. We detected nine species of Helobdella with an average of 2.3 ± 0.3 species per site, and a maximum of seven species in a single site. We detected Helobdella, as genus, along the entire gradient of each four physical or chemical variables (Fig. 1).

We modelled the occupancy of the nine species of Helobdella. For six species (H. adiastola, H. hyalina, H. michaelseni, H. simplex, H. triserialis lineata, and H. obscura), occupancy was explained by at least one physical or chemical variable; resulting in models that ranked better than the constant model [\( \psi(.) \) p(.)]; Table 1). Occupancy was explained by conductivity and dissolved oxygen in four species, and DIN and BOD\(_5\) in other four species. All species of Helobdella included more than one physical or chemical covariate in their models. For the remaining three species (Helobdella triserialis triserialis, H. cordobensis and H. brasilienis) the addition of physical and/or chemical covariates did not improve the constant model.

We describe the occupancy of the six species across the range of each physical and chemical covariate (Fig. 2). H. michaelseni and H. simplex showed a very long tolerance phase for %DO and BOD\(_5\); and did not show marked extinction phases (Fig. 2a, b). In the case of conductivity, the resistance phase of H. michaelseni was higher than the tolerant phase, and the extinction phase was absent (Fig. 2c). H. obscura showed a pronounced tolerance phase, reaching the extinction phase under 20 as %DO (Fig. 2d). H. triserialis lineata and H. adiastola showed similar behaviour, exhibiting an extended tolerance phase along the conductivity gradient.

Three species (i.e. H. hyalina, H. obscura, and H. triserialis lineata) showed an anti-hypothesis behaviour. Contrary to the expected, these species increased their occupancy in sites with low values of dissolved oxygen or high values of Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (Fig. 2a and d).

4. Discussion

As expected, Helobdella, as genus, was detected in almost all sites, in all streams, and along the entire gradient of each four physical or chemical variables. Without information about the identity of the species, our observations would match with a vision of Helobdella as an euryotic taxon, ranging from relatively healthy to highly stressed freshwater environments. However, when the identity of the species was included, a simple descriptive analysis of detections suggested differences in the tolerance range of the species.

More than half of modelled leech’s species of Pampean streams included at least one physical or chemical covariate in their top models; and we obtained sensitive species (i.e. species which showed a change in their occupancy along the range of the covariate) for the four selected covariates. Six species would have the potential to be assessed as indicator species of habitat quality of streams in this region.

Most of these sensitive leeches responded by varying their occupancy to changes in dissolved oxygen. Despite leeches were found along the whole gradient of dissolved oxygen, a species replacement seems occurring between some species. While H. michaelseni and H. simplex showed a high occupancy at high levels of dissolved oxygen; H hyalina and H. triserialis lineata were almost absent in those levels. As far as the dissolved oxygen was reducing the occupancy of H. michaelseni and H. simplex decreased, and the occupancy of H hyalina and H. triserialis lineata increased. Further studies will be necessary to explore a competition hypothesis between these species of Helobdella.

Fig. 1. Detection of nine species of Helobdella along the gradient of four physical and chemical variables in Pampean streams, Argentina. (a) Dissolved oxygen, (b) 5-days Biochemicals Oxygen Demand, (c) Conductivity, and (d) Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen.
Table 1
Top performing site occupancy models (ΔAIC < 2) and coefficients of covariates (β) for six Helobdella species in Pampean streams, Argentina. DO = Dissolved Oxygen; BOD5 = 5-days Biochemical Oxygen Demand; DIN = Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen.

| Species          | Model                                      | N | ΔAIC | p   | Intercept  | Dissolved Oxygen | BOD5   | Conductivity | DIN |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---|------|-----|------------|------------------|--------|--------------|-----|
| Helobdella adiastola | Ψ (Conductivity + DIN) p (.) | 4 | 0.00 | 0.50 | −3.54 ± 1.56 | −1.37 ± 0.77 | −2.34 ± 1.90 |
|                  | Ψ (Conductivity) p (.)                  | 3 | 1.43 | −2.33 ± 0.67 | −1.65 ± 0.68 |
|                  | Ψ (BOD5 + Conductivity) p (.)            | 4 | 3.41 | −2.38 ± 0.78 | −0.17 ± 1.30 | −1.68 ± 0.74 |
|                  | Ψ (.) p (.)                              | 2 | 3.90 | 1.63 ± 0.48 |                      |
| Helobdella hyalina | Ψ (DO + Conductivity + DIN) p (.)         | 5 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.70 ± 0.87 | −2.56 ± 1.46 | −5.89 ± 2.77 | 2.72 ± 1.40 |
|                  | Ψ (DO + Conductivity) p (.)              | 3 | 2.83 | 1.56 ± 0.78 | 1.15 ± 0.65 | −1.28 ± 0.68 |
|                  | Ψ (Conductivity) p (.)                  | 3 | 0.28 | 1.63 ± 0.75 |                      |
|                  | Ψ (BOD5 + DIN) p (.)                    | 4 | 0.12 | 1.64 ± 0.79 | 1.31 ± 0.74 | 0.42 ± 0.51 |
|                  | Ψ (.) p (.)                              | 2 | 0.83 | 1.62 ± 0.48 |                      |
| Helobdella obscura | Ψ (BOD5 + DIN) p (.)                    | 4 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.31 ± 0.81 | −2.32 ± 1.26 | −3.05 ± 1.58 |
|                  | Ψ (.) p (.)                              | 3 | 1.40 | 0.51 ± 0.60 |                      |
| Helobdella simplex | Ψ (BOD5) p (.)                       | 3 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.05 ± 0.40 | −0.86 ± 0.51 |
|                  | Ψ (DO + DIN) p (.)                       | 4 | 0.40 | 0.08 ± 0.43 | −1.00 ± 0.56 | 0.35 ± 0.50 |
|                  | Ψ (.) p (.)                              | 2 | 0.31 | 0.09 ± 0.37 |                      |
| Helobdella triserialis lineata | Ψ (DO + DIN) p (.)       | 4 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 9.68 ± 5.47 | −4.26 ± 2.40 | −8.15 ± 5.15 |
|                  | Ψ (DO + BOD5 + Conductivity) p (.)       | 5 | 0.98 | 3.21 ± 1.07 | −2.57 ± 1.22 | −2.00 ± 1.17 | −0.38 ± 0.79 |
|                  | Ψ (DO + Conductivity) p (.)              | 4 | 0.10 | 1.49 ± 0.77 | 1.56 ± 0.86 | −0.83 ± 0.59 |
|                  | Ψ (DO) p (.)                             | 3 | 0.98 | 1.25 ± 0.59 | 0.83 ± 0.52 |                      |
|                  | Ψ (.) p (.)                              | 2 | 0.84 | −1.91 ± 0.30 |                      |

Fig. 2. Relationship between occupancy and physical and chemical variables of five species of Helobdella in Pampean streams, Argentina. (a) Dissolved oxygen, (b) 5-days Biochemicals Oxygen Demand, (c) Conductivity, and (d) Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen.
The richness of leeches decreases in relation to organic pollution (Gullo and Darrigran, 1991). However, some species, as H. simplex, seem tolerant to the organic enrichment (Miserendino and Gullo, 2014). Our result agreed with previous observations, H. michaelensi and H. simplex showed a very long tolerance phase for BOD5 occurring even in high concentrations of this variable. At least in the surveyed streams of the region, the occupancy of the latter species would be a good indicator of organic pollution.

As occurs in many other invertebrate taxa, leeches showed a negative relationship between occupancy and productivity (Cortelezzi et al., 2017). However, the response to productivity was different for each of the four modelled species. Helobdella hyalina showed a high resistance phase, and this observation is like the pattern previously observed in this species (César et al., 2009). A similar pattern was observed in H. michaelensi, with an even longer tolerance phase, being the most tolerant modelled species (i.e. it could be found in values up to 1200 µS/cm). One single species, H. hyalina showed a positive relationship with dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN). This contra-intuitive behaviour was described for other leech species; where their occurrences were partially explained by a positive correlation with the concentration of nitrates (Jablonska-Barna et al., 2017).

In some modelled species, occupancy was not explained by physical or chemical covariates. In those cases, occupancy could be affected by other ecosystem attributes such as the presence of resources, competitors, predators, and/or type of sediment. Food availability would be an important factor to explain the occupancy of leech’s species (Sawyer, 1986). The species of Helobdella feed on a large diversity of invertebrates, which occurrence could be conditioned by physical and chemical variables (Sawyer, 1986). Moreover, there is a high correlation between type of sediment and the presence of H. obscura and H. michaelensi (Gullo and Darrigran, 1991; Gullo, 2015; Pampin et al., 2006). Other species showed similar patterns. For example, H. simplex is common in a wide variety of habitats (i.e., sand, stone and trunks; Gullo and Darrigran, 1991); while H. aidiastola is more often registered in soft sediment (e.g., silt, Gullo, 2015).

Until now limnologists usually report sensitivity, at genus or family levels, based on empirical derivation of presence of taxa in relation to ecosystem attributes (Alba-Tercedor et al., 2017). Describe the sensitivity of the species in terms of occupancy, of-...
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