Two-particle interferometry for the sources undergoing first-order QCD phase transition in high energy heavy ion collisions
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We investigate the two-particle interferometry for the particle-emitting sources which undergo the first-order phase transition from the quark-gluon plasma with a finite baryon chemical potential to hadron resonance gas. The effects of source expansion, lifetime, and particle absorption on the transverse interferometry radii $R_{\text{out}}$ and $R_{\text{side}}$ are examined. We find that the emission durations of the particles become large when the system is initially located at the boundary between the mixed phase and the quark-gluon plasma. In this case, the difference between the radii $R_{\text{out}}$ and $R_{\text{side}}$ increases with the transverse momentum of the particle pair significantly. The ratio of $\sqrt{R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2}$ to the transverse velocity of the pair is an observable for the enhancement of the emission duration.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the important issues of high energy heavy ion collisions is to find and quantify the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) phase transition between the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) at higher energy density and the hadron gas at lower energy density. The initial systems produced in the heavy ion collisions at the higher energies of the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) and Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the energy of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have high temperature and near-zero baryon chemical potential. Lattice QCD calculations have shown that the transition at the vanishing baryon chemical potential is a crossover [1]. However, it is predicted that this crossover will become a first-order phase transition at intermediate temperatures and high baryon chemical potentials [2-7]. Recently, the search for the evidences of the first-order phase transition and location of its critical end point have attracted special attention, for instance, the RHIC and SPS low energy programs [8-12] and the project of the future Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) at GSI [13, 14].

Two-particle Hanbury-Brown-Twiss (HBT) interferometry is a useful tool for detecting the space-time structure of particle-emitting sources in high energy heavy ion collisions [17-20]. For the first-order phase transition there is a mixed phase of the QGP and hadron gas. In the absence of pressure gradient, a slow-burning fireball is expected when the initial system is at rest in the mixed phase, and this may lead to a considerable time-delay of the system evolution [21-26]. It is therefore of interest to probe the time-delay for the first-order phase transition by HBT interferometry.

In Ref. [27] an HBT analysis technique with quantum transport of the interfering pair (QTIP) is developed. It takes into account the effects of resonance decay and multiple scattering of pions in the sources. In Ref. [28], this HBT technique is used to investigate the source radius and lifetime for the spherical systems evolving hydrodynamically with the first-order phase transition. In this study we use the relativistic hydrodynamics in (2+1) dimension to describe the particle-emitting sources which undergo the first-order phase transition. We investigate the HBT radii $R_{\text{out}}$, $R_{\text{side}}$, and $R_{\text{long}}$ [29, 30] for the hydrodynamic sources using the HBT interferometry with the QTIP technique. The results indicate that the ratio of $\Delta R_{\text{os}} = \sqrt{R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2}$ to the transverse velocity of the particle pair $v_{KT}$ is sensitive to the emission duration of the source. It is large when the system is initially located at the boundary of the mixed phase and the QGP (soft point). As compared to pion HBT interferometry kaon HBT interferometry may present more clearly the source space-time geometry at the emission, because kaons (for instance $K^+$) can escape easily from the system after their production. By comparing the results of the two-pion and two-kaon HBT analyses, we find that the particle absorptions and the large expansion velocities of the sources after hadronization may change the pion HBT radii as functions of the transverse momentum of the particle pair. However, the large values of the ratio $\Delta R_{\text{os}}/v_{KT}$ for the soft point of the first-order phase transition can be observed in both of two-pion and two-kaon HBT measurements.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we present briefly the description for the relativistic hydrodynamics in cylindrical coordinate frame. We describe the model of the equation of state (EOS) of first-order phase transition used in our calculations. The adiabatic cooling paths and the space-time evolution of the systems are also discussed in this section. In section III we per-
form the two-pion and two-kaon HBT analyses, with the QTIP technique, for the hydrodynamic particle-emitting sources for the initial conditions of the QGP and the soft point of the first-order phase transition. The effects of source expansion, lifetime, and particle absorptions on transverse HBT radii are investigated. On the basis of the investigations, we introduce an observable to probe the long lifetime of the source for the initial conditions of the soft point. Finally, the summary and conclusions are presented in section IV.

II. HYDRODYNAMICAL EVOLUTION WITH FIRST-ORDER PHASE TRANSITION

A. Relativistic hydrodynamic equations in cylindrical frame

The dynamics of ideal fluid in high energy heavy ion collisions is defined by the local conservations of energy-momentum and net charges [31–32]. The continuity equations of the conservations of energy-momentum, net baryon number, and entropy are

$$\partial_t T^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0,$$

$$\partial_\mu j_\mu^b(x) = 0,$$

$$\partial_\mu j_\mu^s(x) = 0,$$

where $x$ is the space-time coordinate of a thermalized fluid element in the source center-of-mass frame, $T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the energy momentum tensor of the element, $j_\mu^b(x) = n_b(x) u^\mu$ and $j_\mu^s(x) = s(x) u^\mu$ are the four-current-density of baryon and entropy ($n_b$ and $s$ are the baryon density and entropy density), and $u^\mu = \gamma(1, v)$ is the four-velocity of the fluid element. The energy momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is given by [31, 32]

$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon(x) + p(x) \end{bmatrix} u^\mu(x) u^\nu(x) - p(x) g^{\mu\nu},$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the energy and pressure density of the fluid element, and $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor.

In the cylindrical coordinate $(t, \rho, \phi, z)$ frame, $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. The conservation Eqs. (1)–(3) can be expressed as

$$\partial_t \varepsilon + \partial_\rho [(\varepsilon + p) v^\rho] + \partial_z [(\varepsilon + p) v^z] = -\frac{v^\rho}{\rho} \varepsilon (E + p),$$

$$\partial_t M^\rho + \partial_\rho (M^\rho v^\rho) + \partial_z (M^z v^z) = -\frac{v^\rho}{\rho} M^\rho,$$

$$\partial_t M^z + \partial_\rho (M^z v^\rho) + \partial_z (M^z v^z) = -\frac{v^\rho}{\rho} M^z,$$

$$\partial_t N_b + \partial_\rho (N_b v^\rho) + \partial_z (N_b v^z) = -\frac{v^\rho}{\rho} N_b,$$

$$\partial_t N_s + \partial_\rho (N_s v^\rho) + \partial_z (N_s v^z) = -\frac{v^\rho}{\rho} N_s,$$

where $E \equiv T^{00}, M^\rho \equiv T^{0\rho}, M^z \equiv T^{z0}, N_b \equiv j_b^0 = n_b \gamma, N_s \equiv j_s^0 = s \gamma$.

B. Equation of state

In the equations of motion (11)–(13), there are $\varepsilon$, $p$, $v^\rho$, $v^z$, $n_b$, and $s$ six unknown functions. In order to obtain the solution of the equations of motion, we need an equation of state (EOS), $p(\varepsilon, n_b, s)$, which gives a relation for $p$, $\varepsilon$, $n_b$, and $s$. In our model the QGP phase is described by a perfect gas of gluons, $u$, $d$ quarks, and antiquarks, with the constant vacuum energy $B$ associated with QCD confinement [33]. The pressure, energy density, and the conserved charge density in the QGP phase are given by

$$p^Q = \sum_i p_i(T, \mu_i) - B,$$

$$\varepsilon^Q = \sum_i \varepsilon_i(T, \mu_i) + B,$$

$$n_A^Q = \sum_i A_i n_i(T, \mu_i),$$

where $p_i(T, \mu_i)$, $\varepsilon_i(T, \mu_i)$, and $n_i(T, \mu_i)$ are the pressure, energy density, and number density of particle species $i$ in the perfect gas with temperature $T$ and chemical potential $\mu_i$. $A_i$ is the conserved charge number of the particle species $i$. In our calculations we use the quark masses $m_u = m_d = 5 \text{ MeV}, m_s = 150 \text{ MeV}$ and the bag constant $B = (235 \text{ MeV})^4$.

For the hadronic phase we adopt the excluded volume model [33–35] and consider the particles $\pi$, $K$, $N$, $\Lambda$, $\Sigma$, $\Delta$, and their antiparticles in the model. The pressure, energy density, and the conserved charge density in the hadronic phase are given by [33, 35]

$$p^H = \sum_i p_i(T, \tilde{\mu}_i),$$

$$\varepsilon^H = \varepsilon^Q + \sum_i \frac{\varepsilon_i(T, \tilde{\mu}_i)}{1 + V_0 \sum_i n_i(T, \tilde{\mu}_i)},$$

$$n_A^H = n_A^Q + \sum_i A_i \frac{n_i(T, \tilde{\mu}_i)}{1 + V_0 \sum_i n_i(T, \tilde{\mu}_i)},$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu}_i = \mu_i - V_0 p^H,$$

$V_0 = (1/2)(4\pi/3)(2\alpha)^3$ is the excluded volume which is assumed to be the same for all hadrons with $\alpha = 0.5 \text{ fm}$. 

For the first-order phase transition, there are Gibbs relationships in the mixed phase of the QGP and hadron gas. We have \( T^Q = T^H \), \( \mu_{K^+, \Sigma} = 3\mu_u + \mu_s \), \( \mu_{K^+, \Xi} = 2\mu_u + \mu_s \), \( \mu_{K^+, \Xi} = 0 \), \( \mu_{K^+, \Xi} = \mu_u - \mu_s \), ..., and

\[
p^M = p^Q(T, \mu_u, \mu_s) = p^H(T, \mu_u, \mu_s),
\]

\[
\varepsilon^M = \alpha \varepsilon^Q(T, \mu_u, \mu_s) + (1 - \alpha) \varepsilon^H(T, \mu_u, \mu_s),
\]

\[
n_A^M = \alpha n_A^Q(T, \mu_u, \mu_s) + (1 - \alpha) n_A^H(T, \mu_u, \mu_s),
\]

where \( \mu_u \) and \( \mu_s \) are the chemical potentials of \( u \) and \( s \) quarks, and \( \alpha = V_Q/V \) is the fraction of the volume occupied by the plasma phase. The boundaries of the coexistence region are found by putting \( \alpha = 0 \) (the hadron phase boundary) and \( \alpha = 1 \) (the plasma boundary).

Using the thermodynamical relations of mixed gas one can get the entropy densities \( s \) and other thermodynamical quantities, in the QGP, hadronic, and mixed phases from Eqs. \([10] - [12]\), \([13] - [15]\), and \([17] - [19]\), and get numerically the EOS with the first-order phase transition.

C. Adiabatic paths

For perfect fluid, the entropy and baryon number of the system are conserved during evolution. So the ratio of the densities \( n_b \) and \( s \), \( n_b/s \), is a constant. In the calculations we take \( n_b/s = 0.06 \) which corresponds to the incident energy about 30 AGeV \([36]\). The solid lines in Fig. 1 show the adiabatic cooling paths for the system evolving with the EOS of the first-order phase transition. The dotted line is the transition curve between the QGP and hadron gas. The mixed phase is on the transition curve from the end point of the QGP branch (point 1) to the beginning of the hadronic branch (point 2).

In the first-order phase transition, the system has a re-heating in the mixed phase \([35, 37]\). The reason is that at a certain point \((T, \mu)\) on the phase transition curve, the number of degrees of freedom, and hence the specific entropy, is larger in the QGP phase than which in the hadronic phase. The temperature must increase during hadronization to conserve both the total entropy and baryon number simultaneously \([37]\).

In Fig. 2 we show the thermodynamical quantity, \( p/\varepsilon \), as a function of \( \varepsilon \) for the system. The ratio \( p/\varepsilon \) reaches the minimum at the boundary between the QGP and mixed phase, \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_M = 1.83 \) GeV/fm\(^3\). It is so called the soft point of the first-order phase transition. At the boundary between the mixed phase and hadronic gas, the ratio reaches its maximum. It is named hadronization point. One can see that the ratio retains the values smaller than 0.075 in the \( \varepsilon \) regain 0.6 – 2.1 GeV/fm\(^3\).

D. System evolution

Using the Sod’s operator splitting and RHLLE method \([31, 33, 40]\), we can obtain the system evolution by solving the hydrodynamical equations \([14] - [19]\) with the EOS of the first-order phase transition. Because the heavy ion collisions are full stopped at the energy considered, we assume the system is initially at rest within a cylinder in the beam direction (z-direction) with the transverse and longitudinal radii \( \rho_0 \) and \( z_0 \). In Fig. 3 we show the two-dimension energy density, \( \varepsilon(x, z) = \int \varepsilon(x, y, z)dy \), for the systems at the time \( t = 0, 6, \) and 12 fm/c. The left and right panels are for the systems which are initially located in the QGP phase \((T_0^{\text{QGP}} = 180 \) MeV, \( \varepsilon_0^{\text{QGP}} = 4.12 \) GeV/fm\(^3\), \( \mu_0^{\text{QGP}} = 990 \) MeV) and at the soft point.
Because there is larger gradient of pressure on the edge of the system, the velocity increase more rapidly around $\rho \sim \rho_0$. At $t = 9$ fm/c, the decrease of the velocity near the center of the system is due to the blast-wave expansion which leads to a void in the center region. For ICSP, the velocity retains zero in the center region of the system even at a larger time because there is not pressure gradient in this case. In our calculations, the initial sizes for the system with ICQGP are taken to be $\rho_0 = z_0 = 4.0$ fm. The initial sizes for the system with ICSP are taken to be $\rho_0 = z_0 = 4.0 \times (z_0^{\mathrm{QGP}}/z_0^{\mathrm{MQ}})^{1/3} = 5.2$ fm.

III. HBT INTERFEROMETRY WITH QUANTUM TRANSPORT OF THE INTERFERING PAIR

A. Formulas of correlation function

The two-particle HBT correlation function $C(k_1, k_2)$ is defined as the ratio of the two-particle momentum distribution $P(k_1, k_2)$ to the product of the single-particle momentum distribution $P(k_1)P(k_2)$,

$$C(k_1, k_2) = \frac{P(k_1, k_2)}{P(k_1)P(k_2)}. \quad (20)$$

Using the quantum probability amplitudes in a path-integral formalism [27], $P(k_i)$ ($k_i = (E_i, \mathbf{k}_i), \ i = 1, 2$) and $P(k_1, k_2)$ can be expressed as [27, 41, 44]

$$P(k_i) = \int d^4x \rho(x)e^{-2i\mathbf{m}\hat{\varphi}(x\rightarrow k_i)|A(x\kappa)|^2}, \quad (21)$$

$$P(k_1, k_2) = \int d^4x_1d^4x_2 e^{-2i\mathbf{m}\hat{\varphi}(x_1\rightarrow k_1)e^{-2i\mathbf{m}\hat{\varphi}(x_2\rightarrow k_2)}}$$

$$\times \rho(x_1)\rho(x_2)|\Phi(x_1x_2; k_1k_2)|^2, \quad (22)$$

where $\rho(x)$ is the four-dimensional density of the particle-emitting source, $A(x\kappa)$ is the amplitude for producing a particle at $x$ with momentum $\kappa$, $e^{-2i\mathbf{m}\hat{\varphi}(x\rightarrow k)}$ is the absorption factor due to the multiple scattering when the particle propagating in the source, and $\Phi(x_1x_2; k_1k_2)$ is the wave function for the two identical bosons,

$$\Phi(x_1x_2; k_1k_2) =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ \hat{A}(x_1\kappa_1, k_1)\hat{A}(x_2\kappa_2, k_2)e^{ik_1x_1+ik_2x_2}$$

$$+ \{ \hat{A}(x_1\kappa'_2, k_2)\hat{A}(x_2\kappa'_1, k_1)e^{ik_1x_1+ik_2x_2} \}, \quad (23)$$

$$\hat{A}(x\kappa, k) = A(x\kappa)e^{i\delta_{\mathrm{mf}}(x\kappa\rightarrow k)}, \quad (24)$$

where $\delta_{\mathrm{mf}}(x\kappa \rightarrow k)$ is a phase arising from the source collective expansion, which can be described by a long-range density-dependent mean-field [27, 44].

In our HBT calculations, the identical kaons (for instance K+) are assumed to freeze out directly at the
hadronization. So, the absorption factor $e^{-2\tau_m \phi_e}$ is 1 and $\delta_{mf} = 0$. The final identical pions (for instance $\pi^+$) include the primary pions emitted at the hadronization and the secondary pions from the “excited-state” particle decays during the system evolving in hadronic phase until to the thermal freeze-out. The four-dimension density of the pion source can be expressed as \cite{29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35}

$$\rho(x) = n_\pi(x)\delta(t - \tau^h) + \sum_{j \neq \pi} D_{j \rightarrow \pi} n_j(x),$$

(25)

where $n_\pi(x)$ and $\tau^h$ are the particle number density and the hadronization time in local frame, $D_{j \rightarrow \pi}$ is the product of the decay rate in time and the fraction of the decay. For example, $D_{\pi \rightarrow \pi} = \Gamma_\pi \times \frac{1}{3}$ and $D_{\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-} = \nu_\pi \sigma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) \times 1$, where $\nu_\pi$ is the relative velocity of the two colliding pions and the cross section $\sigma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$ is equal to the absorption cross section of $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ \cite{27}.

When a pion propagating in the source it will subject to multiple scattering with the medium particles in the source. The absorption factor due to the multiple scattering in Eqs. (21) and (22) can be written as \cite{27, 41, 42}

$$e^{-2\tau_m \phi_e(x)} = \exp \left[-\int_x^{x_f} \left( \sum_i \sigma_{abs}(\pi i) n_i(x') \right) d\ell(x') \right],$$

(26)

where $\sum_i'$ means the summation for all medium particles except for the test pion along the propagating path $d\ell(x')$, $\sigma_{abs}(\pi i)$ is the absorption cross section of the pion with the particle species $i$ in the medium, and $x_f$ is the freeze-out coordinate. In calculations we only consider the dominant absorption processes for the identical pions, for example the reactions of $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ and $\pi^+ N \rightarrow \Delta$ for $\pi^+$, as in Ref. \cite{27}. The pion freeze-out temperature is taken to be 110 MeV, which corresponds to the energy density $\varepsilon_f = 45$ MeV/c \cite{47}.

In the HBT analysis, we use the Bertsch-Pratt components of the relative momentum $q = |k_1 - k_2|$ of the identical particle pair \cite{29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35}.

The correlation function $C_K(q_{\text{side}}, q_{\text{out}}, q_{\text{long}})$ are constructed from $P(k_1, k_2)$ and $P(k_1)P(k_2)$ by summing over $k_1$ and $k_2$ for the $(q_{\text{side}}, q_{\text{out}}, q_{\text{long}})$ bins in a certain $K_T = \frac{1}{2}|k_1 - k_2|_{T\text{region}}$. The HBT radii $R_{\text{side}}(K_T)$, $R_{\text{out}}(K_T)$, and $R_{\text{long}}(K_T)$ are obtained by fitting the correlation functions with the parametrized formula

$$C_K(q_{\text{side}}, q_{\text{out}}, q_{\text{long}}) = 1 + \lambda \exp\left[-\frac{q_{\text{side}}^2 R_{\text{side}}^2(K_T)}{2} - \frac{q_{\text{out}}^2 R_{\text{out}}^2(K_T)}{2} - \frac{q_{\text{long}}^2 R_{\text{long}}^2(K_T)}{2}\right],$$

(27)

in the longitudinal comoving system (LCMS). Here $\lambda$ is called the chaotic parameter.

B. Results for hydrodynamic sources

In Fig. 5 we show the two-pion and two-kaon HBT results for the hydrodynamic sources for ICQGP and ICSP. It can be seen that there is much difference for the two-pion HBT radius $R_{\text{out}}$ as functions of $K_T$ for the two kinds of sources. One decreases with $K_T$, and another almost increase with $K_T$. When the system is initially located at the soft point (ICSP case), the results of $R_{\text{out}}$ are much larger than those of $R_{\text{side}}$ at larger $K_T$, and the ratio $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{side}}$ increases with $K_T$ significantly. As compared to the pion HBT radii the kaon HBT radii exhibit more moderate changes with $K_T$.

Figure 5 (a) and (b) show the transverse velocities of the pion- and kaon-emitting sources as functions of the particle transverse momenta $K_T$. Figure 5 (c) and (d) show the standard deviations of time, $\sigma_t = \sqrt{\langle (t - \langle t \rangle)^2 \rangle}$, of the particle-emitting sources. One can see that the transverse velocities of the pion and kaon sources are smaller for the system initially at the soft point (ICSP) than those for the system initially in the QGP (ICQGP). The standard deviations of time enhance very much for the sources for ICSP.

In HBT interferometry, the source HBT radii are related to the enhancements of the correlation functions at small relative momenta. For an evolving source, the source expansion leads to a correlation between the particle-emitting coordinate and momentum. It may decrease the transverse emission region for the particle pairs with small relative momenta and large $K_T$. This effect is more important in the direction of the transverse momentum of the pair (out direction), which is boosted by the source expansion. Additionally, the source opacity, due
to the absorptions for the particles propagating through the center of source (in which the temperatures are higher than the hadronization temperature) and by the multiple scattering among the particles in the source, may lead to a shell emission. This will increase the effect of the decrease of emission region for expanding sources. In Fig. 7 we show the distributions of the source coordinates projected on the transverse out-side plane, for the particles with the smaller pair momenta $K_T < 300$ MeV/c (left panels) and the larger pair momentum $K_T > 300$ MeV/c (right panels). The upper four panels are for the system initially in the QGP (ICQGP). The lower four panels are for the system initially at the soft point (ICSP). For $K_T > 300$ MeV/c, the distributions of the source coordinates are more concentrated in $r_o > 0$ regions. For $K_T < 300$ MeV/c, the annular distributions for kaon indicate that the sources are almost transparent for the kaons emitted later. We will see it is that the source expansion, lifetime ($\sim \sigma_t$), and particle absorptions lead to the differences of the transverse HBT radii $R_{\text{out}}$ for the two kinds of sources for ICQGP and ICSP.

**C. The effects of source expansion and lifetime on transverse HBT radii**

In HBT interferometry, the difference of the transverse HBT radii in out and side directions includes the important information on the source expansion and lifetime. $R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2$ is given by [18, 19, 48, 49]

$$R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2 = \langle (\tilde{r}_o - v_{\mathrm{KT}} \tilde{t})^2 \rangle - \langle \tilde{r}_s^2 \rangle, \quad (28)$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the average for the space-time coordinates of the source, $\tilde{r}_o$ and $\tilde{r}_s$ are the biases of the source spatial coordinates related to their average values in the out and side directions, $\tilde{t}$ is the bias of the source time coordinate related to its average, and $v_{\mathrm{KT}}$ is the transverse velocity of the particle pair.

In order to examine the effects of source expansion and lifetime on the transverse HBT radii $R_{\text{out}}$ and $R_{\text{side}}$, we investigate next the two-pion interferometry for the simple sources with a constant temperature 100 MeV and the Gaussian space-time distributions as

$$\frac{dN}{d^3r d\tilde{t}} \propto \exp \left( -\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2R_{\text{KT}}^2} - \frac{z^2}{2R_{\text{KT}}^2} - \frac{t^2}{2\tau^2} \right),$$

$$R_1 \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \leq R_2. \quad (29)$$

We take $R_T = R_L = 5$ fm, $R_2 = 10$ fm, and assume that the sources have the radial velocity

$$v_r = v_0 \frac{r}{R_2}. \quad (30)$$

Here $\tau$, $R_1$, and $v_0$ are three free parameters. We taken $\tau = 6$ and 12 fm/c for the sources with shorter and longer lifetimes. For a shell source $R_1$ is taken to be 5 fm. For static and expanding sources, $v_0$ is taken to be 0 and 0.8 respectively. Because there are not correlations between spatial coordinates and time for these sources, Eq. (28) reduces to

$$R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2 = \langle \tilde{r}_o^2 \rangle - \langle \tilde{r}_s^2 \rangle + \sigma_t^2 v_{\mathrm{KT}}^2, \quad (31)$$
where $\sigma_\tau^2 = (\langle (t - \langle t \rangle)^2 \rangle) = (\pi - 2)\tau^2 / \pi \approx 0.363\tau^2$.

Because of source expansion and opacity the difference of the variances in out and side directions, $\langle \tilde{r}_o^2 \rangle - \langle \tilde{r}_s^2 \rangle$, is not zero even for the source with transverse symmetry. It is negative and decrease with $K_T$. On the other hand, the right third term in Eq. (31), $\sigma_{v_{KT}}^2$, is positive. It increases with $K_T$ and becomes important when the source lifetime $\tau$ increases.

In Fig. 8 we show the transverse HBT radii $R_{out}$ and $R_{side}$ and $\Delta R_{os} = \sqrt{R_{out}^2 - R_{side}^2}$ for the sources with $\tau = 6$ and 12 fm. The symbols $\circ$, $\triangleright$, and $\triangle$ are for the static Gaussian source ($v_0 = 0$, $R_1 = 0$ fm), expanding Gaussian source ($v_0 = 0.8$, $R_1 = 0$ fm), and expanding shell source ($v_0 = 0.8$, $R_1 = 5$ fm). The dashed lines in the bottom panels are the results of $\sigma_{v_{KT}}^2$ ($v_{KT} = K_T / E_K$, $E_K = (E_1 + E_2) / 2$). In Fig. 9 we show the distributions of the source coordinates projected on $r_o - r_s$ plane. The panels (a), (b), and (c) are for the static Gaussian source, expanding Gaussian source, and expanding shell source for the smaller pion pair momentum $K_T < 300$ MeV/c. The panels (a'), (b'), and (c') are for the static Gaussian source, expanding Gaussian source, and expanding shell source for $K_T > 300$ MeV/c.

For the static sources, the results of $R_{side}$ are almost a constant and $R_{out}$ increases with $K_T$. Because there is not the effect of source expansion, $\langle \tilde{r}_o^2 \rangle = \langle \tilde{r}_s^2 \rangle$, and the results of $\Delta R_{os}$ are consistent with those of $\sigma_{v_{KT}}^2$. For the expanding and shell-emitting sources, the source expansion and shell emission change the distributions of the source coordinates. It leads to the decreases of $R_{side}$ with $K_T$. Although $R_{out}$ increases with $K_T$ in the small $K_T$ region for the sources with larger lifetime $\tau$, this increase will be counteracted at large $K_T$ by the effects of the source expansion and shell emission. In these cases, the results of $\Delta R_{os}$ are smaller than the values of $\sigma_{v_{KT}}^2$ at larger $K_T$. From Fig. 9 one can see directly that the coordinate distributions of the static sources for the smaller and larger pion pair momenta are almost the same. However, the coordinate distributions of the expanding sources for $K_T > 300$ MeV/c are more concentrated in $r_o > 0$ regions as compared to the corresponding distributions for $K_T < 300$ MeV/c.

For hydrodynamic sources, there are also correlations between source spatial coordinates and time. We will discuss the effect of the correlation between $r_o$ and $t$ on $\Delta R_{os}$ in next subsection.

D. Characteristic quantity for long source lifetime for ICSP

Because of the correlation between source spatial coordinate $r_o$ and time for hydrodynamic sources, Eq. (28) becomes

$$R_{out}^2 - R_{side}^2 = \langle \tilde{r}_o^2 \rangle - \langle \tilde{r}_s^2 \rangle + \sigma_{v_{KT}}^2 - 2\langle \tilde{r}_o \tilde{t} \rangle v_{KT}. \quad (32)$$

For positive or negative $\langle \tilde{r}_o \tilde{t} \rangle$, the right last term in Eq. (32) will decrease or increase $\Delta R_{os}$ with $K_T$ increase.
and the products $\bar{\sigma}_t v_{KT}$ (symbols *). The average values of $\langle r_0 \rangle$, the wider distribution for the pion source for ICSP is due to the large negative source for ICQGP and ICSP. It can be seen that except for the pion results in panel (a), the results of the $\Delta R_{os}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_t v_{KT}$ are almost consistent.

Inspired by the consistences of the results of $\Delta R_{os}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_t v_{KT}$, for ICSP, we introduce the quantity

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{\Delta R_{os}}{v_{KT}} = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{out}^2 - \sigma_{side}^2}}{K_T/E_K},$$

(33)

to describe the character of the long lifetime of the sources for ICSP. It is an experimental observable.

In Fig. 12 we show the results of $\hat{\sigma}$ for pion and kaon for the hydrodynamic sources for ICQGP and ICSP. The larger values of $\hat{\sigma}$ for the soft point of the first-order phase transition are observed in both of the pion and kaon interferometry measurements. The $\hat{\sigma}$ values for the pion source for ICQGP are much small than the average value $\hat{\sigma}_z = 8.4$ fm/c at large $K_T$, because of the large transverse velocities of the source and the positive values of $\langle \tilde{r}_o \tilde{t} \rangle$. In this case $\hat{\sigma}$ cannot reflect the real lifetime of the source. At small $K_T$, the larger values of $\hat{\sigma}$ for the pion source for ICSP are due to the large negative values of $\langle \tilde{r}_o \tilde{t} \rangle$ as well as the small transverse velocities of the source in this case. The errors of $\hat{\sigma}$ exhibited in Fig. 12 are only from the statistic errors of $R_{out}$ and $R_{side}$ related to the HBT parametrized fits. In high energy heavy ion collisions, there are other effects which may bring uncertainty to the observable, for example the non-equilibrium dynamics during the decay of resonances after the hadronization. Further investigations on these effects will be of interest.
FIG. 12: (Color online) The results of $\tilde{\sigma}$ for the hydrodynamic sources for ICQGP and ICSP.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigate the two-particle HBT interferometry for the hydrodynamic particle-emitting sources which undergo the first-order phase transition from the quark-gluon plasma with finite baryon chemical potentials to hadron resonance gas. The effects of source expansion, lifetime, and particle absorption on the HBT radii are examined. For pion, the large transverse expansion of the source for ICQGP decreases the HBT radii $R_{\text{out}}$ and $R_{\text{side}}$ at large transverse momentum of particle pair $K_T$. The source has a long lifetime and small expansion when the system is initially located at the boundary between the mixed phase and the QGP (soft point). In this case, the difference between the transverse HBT radii $R_{\text{out}}$ and $R_{\text{side}}$ increases with $K_T$ significantly. The ratio of $\sqrt{R_{\text{out}}^2 - R_{\text{side}}^2}$ to the transverse velocity of the particle pair $v_{\text{trans}}$, $\tilde{\sigma}$, is an observable for probing the long lifetime of the source for the soft point of the first-order phase transition. As compared to pion HBT interferometry kaon HBT interferometry may present more clearly the source space-time geometry at the emission. The larger values of $\tilde{\sigma}$ for the soft point of the first-order phase transition can be observed both by two-pion and two-kaon HBT measurements. Further investigations on other effects on the observable will be of interest.
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