From Clay Minerals to Al₂O₃ Nanoparticles: Synthesis and Colloidal Stabilization for Optoelectronic Applications
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Abstract: This research was performed to obtain high-value products from clay materials. High-grade nanometric delta-alumina (δ-Al₂O₃) was obtained from the modification of clay-based minerals, which could be potentially applied in the form of thin film for novel optoelectronic applications. The selective recovery process of alumina from clay materials presents an important advantage regarding the complete removal of other starting constituents such as silica, iron, titanium, alkali, and alkaline earth metals. To accomplish the selective removal of different species, an acid leaching route was used to extract the aluminum, then the iron impurities were eliminated by alkaline precipitation. The solution was acidized to precipitate the aluminum as aluminum chloride hexahydrate. Finally, the aluminum chloride hexahydrate was calcinated to obtain nano-delta-alumina with purity of over 98.5% Al₂O₃. The dominating crystalline phase was delta–gamma alumina (δ-phase and γ-phase), with a particle size of <140 nm. Then, these nanoparticles (NPs) were prepared as a stable colloidal dispersion to form a mesoporous layer employing the spin-coating technique. Initially, the synthesized alumina was characterized by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and TEM to determine the particle size and its morphology, whereas the colloidal dispersion was analyzed by rheological measurements. Finally, the findings of this investigation made it possible to get thin films with good porosity, which can be used in optoelectronic applications, specifically in perovskite solar cells.
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1. Introduction

Bauxite is the world’s primary source of aluminum, and the largest reserves of bauxite are found in Guinea and Australia. In 2018, the annual world production of bauxite amounted to 300 million tons, most of it (74%) produced in Australia (25%), followed by China (23%), Guinea (17%), and Brazil (9%) [1]. After mining, the bauxite is processed into alumina using the Bayer process. Most of the alumina (“metallurgical grade”) is then transformed into aluminum, and the rest of the alumina (“chemical grade”) is used in non-metallurgical applications. Total world alumina production in
2018 was 130.4 million metric tons, from which chemical grade amounted to 8.5 million metric tons. However, due to the depletion of bauxite and increasing demand for alumina, new methods for alumina production have been researched, such as coal fly ash [2].

Clay materials have been evaluated as potential alternative sources of alumina [3], particularly for high-purity alumina (HPA) products. Orbite Technologies Inc. is near the completion of its first HPA commercial production plant in Cap-Chat, Quebec [4], and Altech Chemicals Limited started building its HPA plant in February 2019 in Johor, Malaysia [5]. Feedstocks for Orbite’s plant will include red mud from bauxite operations, fly ash, and aluminous clay, among others [6]. Orbite’s aluminous clay deposit is in the Gaspe Region, Quebec, Canada [7]. Altech’s plant will produce HPA from kaolin feed shipped from its mine in Meckering, Western Australia [5]. Both Orbite Technologies Inc. and Altech Chemicals Ltd. use acid leaching of their feedstocks with hydrochloric acid to get HPA [6,8].

Aluminous clays and kaolin are being commercialized in Colombia [9] for several industrial applications—mostly for traditional ceramics—and suppliers are currently focusing R&D efforts to add value to these raw materials and to get into more specialized and higher added-value markets. The HPA market attracts special attention, as some Colombian clays contain up to 36 wt % Al₂O₃, and Colombian washed kaolins can have about 36–38 wt % Al₂O₃. These alumina grades favorably compare with the 23–26 wt % Al₂O₃ in the Grande-Vallée aluminous clay deposit (Feytis, 2010) and the 30 wt % Al₂O₃ in the minus 300 µm fraction in the Meckering deposit [10]. Therefore, a Colombian aluminous clay was selected to study the possibility of using it as an alternative source of alumina production, with special emphasis on the obtention of alumina nanoparticles which can be incorporated into thin films with potential optoelectronic applications.

Clays and kaolins are mainly composed of silicon oxide and aluminum oxide, with iron oxide also present as an impurity which, in some instances, can be present in amounts as high as 4–5 wt % Fe₂O₃. Obtention of alumina from these materials is usually carried out in aqueous media by a leaching process, which consists of using acid and basic dissolution processes to eliminate undesirable components and obtain high-purity alumina [11]. Several investigations have shown that critical parameters to control the leaching process include acid/base concentration, pH, temperature, time, and molar ratio between acid/base and alumina. It has been shown that high acid concentration favors dissolution of iron and aluminum oxides, whereas, at the same time, silicon oxide remains insoluble [12,13]. Then, removal of dissolved iron from the resulting aluminum–iron solution can be done in an alkaline medium, and an alkaline solution (50 wt %) of sodium hydroxide is used by some researchers for Fe³⁺ extraction, obtaining dissolved Al³⁺ in an aqueous medium with low Fe³⁺ concentration [14–16]. In this approach, iron extraction was reached after the chemical reaction between an excess of acid and aluminum chloride, which generated a left-shift of the equilibrium with a resulting higher efficiency in concentration of Al³⁺ in the solution. This purification stage of the solution with respect to Al³⁺ comprises Al(OH)₃ precipitation, followed by conversion of Al(OH)₃ into AlCl₃ with the assistance of HCl. The obtained AlCl₃ is heated at a temperature sufficient for converting AlCl₃ into Al₂O₃ [17].

One of the controls of the reaction rate of the leaching process and the particle size of the product is pH. It has been found that the reaction progresses at a moderate rate at pH ≤ 7 due to a chelating action that inhibits the grain growth. This inhibition, represented by a Lamer diagram for the synthesis of monodispersed particles, explains the interaction between acidic ions in an aqueous solution, where the acidic ions are strongly adsorbed on the particle surface and, as a result, the crystals remain separated and crystal growth is not promoted. Larger precipitation times favor particle growth since there is a competition between nucleation and particle growth [18–20].

On the other hand, to process high-purity alumina, it is necessary to know the physical–chemical properties and structure transformation during calcination. Al₂O₃ has a transformation of different polymorphic phases: γ → δ → θ → α. The evolution of Al₂O₃ towards these different phases is due to an atomic reorganization through a crystal lattice (change of oxygen anion positions and cation migration from tetrahedral to octahedral sites); this reorganization depends on the thermal treatment [21]. Thereby, in agreement with the Al₂O₃ phase diagram, the phases γ-Al₂O₃ and δ-Al₂O₃
are formed at temperatures between 700 and 900 °C, θ phase (θ-Al₂O₃) between 1050 and 1200 °C, and α-Al₂O₃ around 1400 °C [22–24]. In that case, the range of temperatures that are needed is between 700 and 900 °C, given that the phases γ-Al₂O₃ and δ-Al₂O₃ are the required phases to guarantee the nano-structure intrinsic to alumina [25].

Once the required material is obtained—in this case, nanometric Al₂O₃—the stability of its colloidal dispersion is essential for further applications, including thin film formation [26,27]. Therefore, the rheological behavior of colloidal dispersion is another area of study in this work. The properties of colloidal dispersions are intimately linked to the particle size (<1 µm) and surface area, as well as to the chemical nature of the particle’s surface. In this sense, their interactions are controlled by attractive and repulsive inter-particle forces. The net inter-particle forces are equal to the sum of the attractive van der Waals and the repulsive double-layer forces, called the Derjaguin–Landau–Verwey–Overbeek (DLVO) theory. From this perspective, these interactions can be controlled by manipulation of experimental parameters, such as the solution pH, addition of electrolyte ions, surfactants, polymers, and polyelectrolytes, where the objective is to generate attractive or repulsive forces. In this work, the aim was to first obtain high-purity alumina, and then to formulate a stable colloidal dispersion of the obtained nanoparticles in order to promote a net repulsive inter-particle force of alumina in polar solvent media, using the concept of the electrical double layer [28,29].

Regarding the double-layer forces, there are different mechanisms to generate the electrical double-layer forces, such as ion adsorption, isomorphous ion substitution, differential ion dissolution, and ionization of surface sites [28,30]. The latter process is frequently used in metal oxides, such as alumina and zirconia, where their surfaces involve hydroxy sites (protonation/deprotonation; Equation (1)). The ionization superficially charges particles, promoting a redistribution of the ions in the surrounding solution, with counter-ions preferentially attracted towards and co-ions repelled away from the surface (the combination between counter-ions and co-ions refers to the electrical double layer). Finally, when two similarly charged particles are brought into proximity, their electrical double layers overlap and form a repulsive force. This repulsive force is due to the increased concentration of ions on the surface, which is greater on the surface of the particle than in the bulk aqueous media [28].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightleftharpoons \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \\
\text{OH}^- &\rightleftharpoons \text{M}^- + \text{OH}^- \\
\text{M}^+ &\rightleftharpoons \text{M}^- + \text{OH}_2^-
\end{align*}
\] (1)

Based on what has been previously mentioned, the present work was focused on three different areas of investigation: First, the extraction of high-purity nanoparticles of Al₂O₃ (98.5 wt %) from aluminous clays by chemical reactions between different reagents, such as hydrochloric acid (HCl) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Secondly, the particle size was evaluated and measured, controlling pH and leaching time; the key parameters were: Basic media (NaOH, 50 wt %), acid media (HCl, 15 wt %), holding time (30–60 min), and thermal treatment in a N₂ atmosphere in order to control the chloride vapors. The thermal treatment was performed at a 3 °C/min rate until reaching 800 °C over 1 h to mainly obtain δ-Al₂O₃ phase. Under these conditions, alumina with characteristic peaks of gamma–delta alumina phase (γ-Al₂O₃, δ-Al₂O₃) and a particle size of ≤140 nm was obtained. Finally, mesoporous thin films were obtained by preparing nano-Al₂O₃ stable colloidal nanoparticle (NP) dispersions. It was found that the functionalization of nanoparticles with ammonia, followed by the addition of a slightly polar solvent (butanol or isopropanol) allowed to obtain a stable colloidal dispersion at different concentrations of solids; therefore, preliminary tests were performed between 1 and 10 wt %. It was found that an adequate solid concentration is ≤2 wt %. Then, these colloidal dispersions were used to fabricate mesoporous thin films by the spin-coating technique with a thickness of 60–100 nm and roughness of between 15–20 nm.
2. Materials and Methods

This work is divided into four parts. The first one corresponds to aluminum chloride (AlCl₃) extraction from the clay minerals by acid/basic media. The second one is the evaluation of thermal treatment on the alumina phase formation at 800 °C in a nitrogen atmosphere. The third part is the study of the colloidal dispersion of Al₂O₃ in order to establish the surface chemical equilibrium, and to evaluate the effects of ionization surface sites and the type of solvent in the rheological behavior. The final step is the fabrication of mesoporous thin films from colloidal dispersion of the Al₂O₃ obtained in the third part by the spin-coating technique; thin-film thickness and roughness were measured. The experimental procedures are described in detail below. Finally, the products obtained from experimental alumina were compared with a reference Aluminum oxide, (Sigma-Aldrich, particle size < 50 nm DLS, 20 wt % in isopropanol, MDL number MFCD00003424).

2.1. Aluminum Chloride Extraction

The Colombian clay material (for more details, see Section 3.1.1) was ground without additives and sieved to the size fraction of 200 mesh (74 μm). Then, the leaching process of clay was carried out into a 500 mL three-neck round flask. This process was thermally controlled using an industrial oil bath. The aluminum chloride extraction was composed of three stages. Initially, acidic leaching assisted by 20 wt % HCl was carried out to extract the quartz phases. Next, the separation of iron ions (Fe³⁺) from the leaching solution with the addition of 50 wt % NaOH was performed at pH 11. Then, alumina was recovered from the acid leaching liquor with 15 wt % HCl as AlCl₃·6H₂O at pH 7. After each stage, the filtration was performed to remove the quartz, iron oxide, and aluminum chloride extraction. The stoichiometric calculations were done by balancing chemical reactions and the molar ratio (Equations (2)–(4)). All of the parameters for each stage are shown in Table 1. It is worth noting that these parameter values were obtained after several tests with different values for temperature, pH, stirring rate, and time.

| Parameters | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Molar ratio | Al₂O₃/HCl: (1:6) | AlCl₃/NaOH: (1:3) | Al(OH)₃/HCl: (1:3) |
| Temperature | 100 °C | 100 °C | 100 °C |
| Stirring | 300 rpm | 500 rpm | 800 rpm |
| Time | 3 h | 2 h | 1 h |
| pH | - | 10–12 | ≤7 |

The process of recovery of AlCl₃·6H₂O from clay—such as kaolinite/halloysite (Al₂O₃·2SiO₂·H₂O)—in acidic or basic solutions is a complex process, due to chemical interactions. However, in the present work, the most important component to recover is Al₂O₃. For this reason and to keep the reaction system simple, only the leaching reactions for this component were studied. The following three reactions (Equations (2)–(4)) correspond to alumina leaching.

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{HCl} \rightarrow 2\text{AlCl}_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{AlCl}_3 + 3\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_3 + 3\text{NaCl} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Al(OH)}_3 + 3\text{HCl} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{AlCl}_3·6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4)
\]

The other compounds present in lower percentages (TiO₂, CaO, and ZnO) were dissolved in acid media.
2.2. Thermal Treatment

The obtained compound AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O was calcinated in a muffle furnace (Nabertherm LT 15/12/P330, 910 CU.IN, 100–1200 °C, 208 240 VAC) in N$_2$ atmosphere to obtain the γ–δ Al$_2$O$_3$ and to eliminate Cl$^-$ ions. The selection of thermal treatment was performed according to the Al$_2$O$_3$ phase diagram [22]. Preliminary thermal treatment tests were performed at 800–900 °C, with a heating rate of 3–5 °C/min, holding time of 60–120 min, and cooling rate of 3–5 °C/min. The best performance was observed at 800 °C, with a heating rate of 3 °C/min, holding time of 60 min, and a cooling rate of 3 °C/min. Equation (5) shows the chemical reactions of AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O calcination.

$$2\text{AlCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{HCl} + 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

2.3. Alumina Dispersion Process and Mesoporous Thin Film Fabrication

According to the theory, the surface layer of an aluminum (III) ion is a Lewis acid, which can exchange the hydroxyl groups for other coordinating anions [28]. In this sense, the alumina powder was prepared as a stable colloidal nanoparticle (NP) dispersion employing the processes showed in Figure 1. First, the alumina powder was submitted to a physisorption process, which consisted of the addition of the ammonia solution (deprotonation) to charge the alumina surface negatively (functionalized particle). The physisorption process was studied at pHs of 8, 10, and 11. After 24 h of physisorption treatment, the solution was centrifuged and the residual supernatant solution was eliminated. Second, the centrifuged solid was mixed with two polar solvents at different solid concentrations to prepare colloidal dispersions. Slightly polar solvents isopropanol and 1-butanol, as well as solid concentrations between 10 and 20 mg/mL were analyzed. The particle size is essential for a stable colloidal dispersion preparation. To improve the homogeneity of particle size in the colloidal dispersion, a particle size classification was used to eliminate particles over 250 nm using the centrifugation method followed by filtration (Table 2, colloidal dispersion preparation). Furthermore, the alumina dispersion was used to fabricate the mesoporous layer. This was carried out by the spin-coating technique, resulting in a thin film; all of the parameters used are shown in Table 2.

![Figure 1](image-url). Alumina dispersion preparation process and spin-coating technique for the manufacture of the mesoporous layer.
Table 2. Parameters for alumina dispersion preparation and manufacture of mesoporous layer.

| Table 2. Parameters for alumina dispersion preparation and manufacture of mesoporous layer. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Physisorption Process** (Ionization Surface Groups) | **Colloidal Dispersion Preparation** (Polar Solvent) | **Manufacture of Mesoporous Layer** |
| 200–400 mg Al₂O₃/20–40 mL NH₄OH | Centrifugation at 7000 rpm/5’ (Separation solid–liquid) | Spin-coating: 3000–4000 rpm |
| Temperature: 80–90 °C | Solid + polar solvent: 10–20 mg/mL | Thickness: 50–100 nm |
| Stirred: 300–500 rpm | Sonication: 2–3 h | Solid concentration ≤ 2 wt % |
| Time: 24 h | Alumina filtration: Filter 0.22 μm non-sterilized | Equipment: Laurell spin-coater model 95-2440 VAC 8N. |
| pH = 8–11 | Colloidal dispersion reagents: 1-butanol, 2-isopropanol | |
| Equipment: 4273B-Radleys Carousel 12 Reaction | |

2.4. Characterization

The powder was analyzed by different characterization techniques. The chemical composition was studied by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) using an XRF PANanalytical Axios Max (Malvern Panalytical Ltd., Malvern, UK), the crystalline phases after thermal treatments by an X-ray diffraction (XRD; XPert PANanalytical EMPYREAM, Malvern Panalytical Ltd., Malvern, UK) instrument with Co Kα (λ = 1.79 Å) radiation filtered with iron and 2 theta scan from 10° to 90°, and Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR; Shimadzu IRtracer-100, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) in the region 4000–400 cm⁻¹ using an ATR (Attenuated total reflection) accessory for characterizing the types of bonds present in the sample powder; the latter two techniques were used to compare experimental alumina with commercial reference alumina. Rheometer equipment (CSL2 500, TA Instrument, Inc., New Castle, DE, USA) was used to perform the rheological measurements using a concentric cylinder geometry. The particle size was determined by Scanning Electron Microscopy (JSM 5910LV, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) and Atomic Force Microscopy (MFP-3D infinity AFM, Asylum Research Asylum Research, Oxford Instruments, Goleta, CA, USA). The colloidal dispersion was characterized by transmission electron microscopic analysis (TEM; Tecnai G² F20 S-Twin TMP field emission, resolution 0.1 nm, accelerating voltage 200 kV, magnification 1,000,000, camera GATAN US 1000XP-P, and detector EDX Oxford Instruments X-MAX, no coating needed).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Aluminum Chloride Extraction and Thermal Treatment

3.1.1. Mineral Phases and Chemical Composition of Aluminous Clay

The main mineralogical components in the clay material used for this study are kaolinite/halloysite (Al₂O₃·2SiO₂·H₂O; >80%) with minor amounts of quartz (SiO₂) and gibbsite (Al(OH)₃) (see Figure 2). Trace quantities of cristobalite (SiO₂) and iron hydroxides were found.
2.4. Characterization

The powder was analyzed by different characterization techniques. The chemical composition was studied by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) using an XRF PANanalytical Axios Max (Malvern Panalytical Ltd., Malvern, UK), the crystalline phases after thermal treatments by an X-ray diffraction (XRD; XPert PANalytical EMPYREAM, Malvern Panalytical Ltd., Malvern, UK) instrument with Co Kα (λ = 1.79 Å) radiation filtered with iron and 2 theta scan from 10° to 90°, and Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR; Shimadzu IRtracer-100, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) in the region 4000–400 cm⁻¹ using an ATR (Attenuated total reflection) accessory for characterizing the types of bonds present in the sample powder; the latter two techniques were used to compare experimental alumina with commercial reference alumina. Rheometer equipment (CSL2 500, TA Instrument, Inc., New Castle, DE, USA) was used to perform the rheological measurements using a concentric cylinder geometry. The particle size was determined by Scanning Electron Microscopy (JSM 5910LV, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) and Atomic Force Microscopy (MFP-3D infinity AFM, Asylum Research Asylum Research, Oxford Instruments, Goleta, CA, USA). The colloidal dispersion was characterized by transmission electron microscopic analysis (TEM; Tecnai G2 F20 S-Twin TMP field emission, resolution 0.1 nm, accelerating voltage 200 kV, magnification 1,000,000, camera GATAN US 1000XP-P, and detector EDX Oxford Instruments X-MAX, no coating needed).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Aluminum Chloride Extraction and Thermal Treatment

3.1.1. Mineral Phases and Chemical Composition of Aluminous Clay

The main mineralogical components in the clay material used for this study are kaolinite/halloysite (Al₂O₃∙2SiO₂∙H₂O; >80%) with minor amounts of quartz (SiO₂) and gibbsite (Al(OH)₃) (see Figure 2). Trace quantities of cristobalite (SiO₂) and iron hydroxides were found.

![XRD pattern of aluminous clay.](image)

The chemical composition of aluminous clay was analyzed by XRF analysis, where the major components were silica (SiO₂, 47.1%) and alumina (Al₂O₃, 36.1%), with a lower quantity (≤1%) of other oxides, as it is shown in Table 3.

| Materials | Percentage by Weight (wt %) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|
| SiO₂      | 47.1                        |
| Al₂O₃     | 36.1                        |
| Fe₂O₃     | 0.46                        |
| TiO₂      | 1.29                        |
| CaO       | 0.03                        |
| MgO       | 0.04                        |
| K₂O       | 0.08                        |
| MnO       | <0.01                       |
| P₂O₆      | 0.09                        |
| SO₃       | <0.01                       |
| Loss on ignition (LOI) | 14.9               |

3.1.2. Mineral Phase and Chemical Composition of Al₂O₃

After the aluminum recovery from the kaolinite through the process described in the experimental section, the aluminum chloride obtained was calcined at 800 °C and characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). An agglomerated powder with an average particle size of ≤140 nm was obtained (Figure 3a,b). Additionally, the specific surface area of the nanopowder was 205.04 ± 1.42 m²/g, which was determined using a MALVERN MASTERSIZER 2000 laser diffraction instrument (Malvern Panalytical).

The mineral phases were identified according to XRD patterns with a JCPDS card N° 43-1308 (γ-Al₃O₃) and JCPDS card N° 46-1131 (δ-Al₂O₃) (Figure 4b). The formation of δ-Al₂O₃ was evidenced in the most intense reflections at 80°, 53°, and 43°; we also noticed the formation of the gamma phase in the theta angles 21°, 32.5°, and 45°. However, it was clearly evidenced that our Al₂O₃ (black line) was less crystalline than the commercial reference Al₂O₃ dispersion (red line). For this reason, the synthesized Al₂O₃ presented more γ-Al₂O₃ phase than the reference Al₂O₃ [31].
On the other hand, the FT-IR analysis of Al₂O₃ (Figure 4a) showed bands at 560–400 and 560–630 cm⁻¹, which were attributed to A–O stretching. The weak band at 1100 cm⁻¹ was assigned to Al–OH–Al stretching. The bands at 1400 and 1650 cm⁻¹ correspond to H–O–H stretching, and the bands at 3400–3500 cm⁻¹ to water adsorption. In this case, the only difference between these two types of alumina was related to adsorbed water.

The chemical composition of Al₂O₃ was obtained by XRF analysis, where the major percentage is alumina (Al₂O₃, 98.5%), with a low amount of quartz (SiO₂, 0.5%) and trace amounts of other compounds (≤1%). These results are summarized in Table 4.

![SEM image of Al₂O₃ nanopowder](image1)

**Figure 3.** Obtained Al₂O₃ nanopowder before colloidal dispersion preparation (a) SEM image and (b) average particle size (red box) and jittered dot plot (black rhombus).
The mineral phases were identified according to XRD patterns with a JCPDS card N° 43-1308 (γ-Al₂O₃) and JCPDS card N° 46-1131 (δ-Al₂O₃) (Figure 4b). The formation of δ-Al₂O₃ was evidenced in the most intense reflections at 80°, 53°, and 43°; we also noticed the formation of the gamma phase in the theta angles 21°, 32.5°, and 45°. However, it was clearly evidenced that our Al₂O₃ (black line) was less crystalline than the commercial reference Al₂O₃ dispersion (red line). For this reason, the synthesized Al₂O₃ presented more γ-Al₂O₃ phase than the reference Al₂O₃ [31].

On the other hand, the FT-IR analysis of Al₂O₃ (Figure 4a) showed bands at 560–400 and 560–630 cm⁻¹, which were attributed to A–O stretching. The weak band at 1100 cm⁻¹ was assigned to Al–OH–Al stretching. The bands at 1400 and 1650 cm⁻¹ correspond to H–O–H stretching, and the bands at 3400–3500 cm⁻¹ to water adsorption. In this case, the only difference between these two types of alumina was related to adsorbed water.

The chemical composition of Al₂O₃ was obtained by XRF analysis, where the major percentage is alumina (Al₂O₃, 98.5%), with a low amount of quartz (SiO₂, 0.5%) and trace amounts of other compounds (≤ 1%). These results are summarized in Table 4.

Figure 4. Comparative (a) FT-IR spectra of the synthesized Al₂O₃ and a commercial reference (Sigma Aldrich)—transmittance in a.u. (arbitrary unit)—and (b) XRD pattern.

Table 4. Chemical composition of the synthesized Al₂O₃. (N.D.: not detected)

| Materials | Percentage by Weight (wt %) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|
| SiO₂      | 0.5                         |
| Al₂O₃     | 98.5                        |
| Fe₂O₃     | N.D.                        |
| TiO₂      | N.D.                        |
| CaO       | N.D.                        |
| Na₂O      | 0.62                        |
| ZnO       | N.D.                        |
| P₂O₅      | 0.27                        |
| SO₂       | 0.11                        |
3.2. Alumina Dispersion Process and Manufacture of the Mesoporous Layer

3.2.1. Morphological Analysis

In order to remove larger particles, a particle size classification (as discussed in the experimental Section 2.3) was performed. The obtained particles were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As shown in Figure 5, the synthesized Al₂O₃ nanoparticles exhibited particle size below 50 nm and a semi-crystalline structure, formed by non-interconnected grains of semi-spherical alumina nanoparticles. The crystal lattice was calculated according to Bragg’s law \( \lambda L = d_{\text{hkl}} R \), where: \( \lambda \) = wavelength, \( L \) = camera length (mm), and \( d_{\text{hkl}} \) = lattice spacing (nm) with the small angle approximation. According to this, the diffraction rings exhibited average diameters of 110.29, 133.73, and 118.16 nm, leading to interplanar spacings of 2.35, 1.94, and 1.38 Å, respectively. From the characteristic lattice planes found in the diffraction pattern for \( \delta \)-Al₂O₃, those interplanar spacings can be indexed to the (311), (400), and (440) planes, respectively. Finally, these crystal lattices correspond to the most intense reflections in the XRD spectra in Figure 4b, indicating that alumina composition did not change after the classification and dispersion.

![Figure 5. Morphological analysis by TEM and electron diffraction pattern from the experimental alumina.](image)

3.2.2. Rheological Behavior Measurements

After the physisorption process, an electric layer was formed on the alumina surface due to the excess of hydroxyl groups, leaving the surface negatively charged (\( \delta^- \)). Once the surface-modified nanoparticles get in contact with the polar solvents (isopropanol and 1-butanol), this negative charge (\( \delta^- \)) interacts with the positive charge (\( \delta^+ \)) of the protonated chain, and the charge (\( \delta^- \)) of alcohol interacts with the aluminum, forming dipole–dipole interactions and resulting in a stable colloidal dispersion.

Figure 6a shows the viscosity results obtained from the rheological measurements in a room with automatic temperature control (approximately 20 °C). Clear differences were observed in the behaviors of the dispersions with different polar solvents and pHs. In the case of butanol, the viscosity increased with the pH. While there was a different effect for the case of isopropanol, which decreased its viscosity when going from pH = 8 to pH = 10, and then increased at pH = 11. Images of the dispersion in Figure 6b show that butanol-based dispersions (upper) are less stable and tend to flocculate, while the isopropanol-based dispersions (lower) showed better stability. It can be inferred...
that the decrease of viscosity for isopropanol at pH = 10 and the later increase at pH = 11 is due to the better functionalization at pH = 10, and then the starting of the flocculation at pH = 11.

3.2.3. Mesoporous Layer

In order to demonstrate the applicability of the obtained dispersions, an Al₂O₃ thin film was deposited on glass using the colloidal dispersion in isopropanol and pH = 10. Figure 7 shows an AFM image of a film deposited by spin-coating. The mesoporous film shows a good porosity that could potentially serve as a mesoporous scaffold for the fabrication of meso-superstructure perovskite solar cells, as reported elsewhere [32]. However, more studies are needed to demonstrate this application.
4. Conclusions

The high-grade nanometric delta-alumina (δ-Al₂O₃) was obtained from the modification of clay-based minerals by a process that included the selective removal of different species and calcination. First, the clay mineral was leached, the iron impurities were eliminated by alkaline precipitation, and the aluminum was precipitated as aluminum chloride hexahydrate by acidification with HCl. Finally, the aluminum chloride hexahydrate was calcinated to obtain nano-delta-alumina with purity of over 98.5% Al₂O₃. The dominating crystalline phase was delta–gamma alumina (δ-phase and γ-phase), with a particle size of <140 nm.

A stable colloidal dispersion was developed by understanding and controlling the synthesis of Al₂O₃ from clay materials and implementing a surface modification to modify the rheological behavior of inter-particle forces. The surface modification by adjusting the pH of the solution and the ionization surfaces on metal oxides can be used to control the electrical layer repulsion between particles and to obtain dispersed conditions. The best pH condition was 10 in isopropanol, resulting in a colloidal dispersion adequate for the manufacture of mesoporous layers. In general, the nano-alumina obtained from a Colombian clay material exhibited the properties required for obtaining thin films with potential optoelectronic applications, particularly as a mesoporous layer in perovskite solar cells. However, further studies are necessary to identify the best application in optoelectronics.
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