Aquilarin A, a New Benzenoid Derivative from the Fresh Stem of Aquilaria sinensis
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Abstract: Chemical investigation of the EtOH extract of the fresh stem of Aquilaria sinensis collected in Hainan Province of China resulted in the isolation of a new benzenoid, named aquilarin A (1), together with two known compounds balanophonin (2) and (+)-lariiciresinol (3). Their structures were elucidated by a study of their physical and spectral data. Compounds 2 and 3 exhibited cytotoxicity against SGC-7901 and SMMC-7721 cell lines.
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1. Introduction

Agarwood (‘Chenxiang’ in Chinese) is a kind of resinous wood formed by some Aquilaria species in response to injury by cutting, holing, burning, or incursion of moths, microorganisms, etc., is well known as incense in the Oriental region, and has also been used as a sedative, analgesic and digestive in Traditional Medicine [1]. Up to now, the formation process of agarwood in trees has not been understood in detail. Comparison of the chemical constituents of the damaged wood with those of the healthy wood is necessary to discover the bioorganic process of agarwood formation. Aquilaria
sinensis (Lour.) Gilg is the only plant resource in China for agarwood, which is also called Chinese eaglewood, to distinguish it from agarwood of other species, such as A. agallocha or A. malaccensis. Previous phytochemical investigation on Chinese eaglewood revealed characteristic sesquiterpenes and chromone derivatives [1–6], but little is known about the chemical constituents of the healthy wood. In the present paper, we describe the isolation and structure elucidation of a new benzenoid derivative aquilarin A (1), together with two known compounds, balanophonin (2) and (+)-lariciresinol (3) (Figure 1) from the 95 % ethanol extract of the fresh stem of A. sinensis. Compounds 2 and 3 showed growth-inhibitory activity on SGC-7901 and SMMC-7721 cell lines.

Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1–3.

2. Results and Discussion

Compound 1, was obtained as an amorphous powder. Its HR-ESI-MS spectrum showed the quasi-molecular [M+Na]+ ion peak at \( m/z \) 319.0789 (calc. 319.0794), corresponding to the molecular formula \( C_{14}H_{16}O_{7} \). This formula can also be validated through its \(^1\)H-NMR, \(^{13}\)C-NMR and DEPT data. The IR spectrum displayed free hydroxyl (3,428 cm\(^{-1}\)), \( \gamma \)-lactone carbonyl (1,766 cm\(^{-1}\)), and aromatic ring (1,586, 1,511 cm\(^{-1}\)) absorptions. The \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectrum of compound 1 (Table 1) revealed two oxygenated methylenes (\( \delta_C \) 58.9 and 68.0), two methines (\( \delta_C \) 43.6 and 45.7), two methoxyls (\( \delta_C \) 56.2 and 56.2), two carbonyls (\( \delta_C \) 195.7 and 176.6), and six aromatic carbons of a symmetrical benzene ring (\( \delta_C \) 125.7, 106.6, 106.6, 147.8, 147.8 and 141.8). The \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1) showed two singlet aromatic protons at \( \delta_H \) 7.30 (2H, s), two aromatic OMe at \( \delta_H \) 3.84 (6H, s), and one phenolic OH at \( \delta_H \) 5.29. The remaining oxymethylene \([\delta_H 4.58 \text{ (1H, overlapped, H-9\(\alpha\)) and } \delta_H 4.20 \text{ (1H, dd, } J = 6.3, 7.7 \text{ Hz, H-9\(\beta\))}\], two methines \([\delta_H 4.58 \text{ (1H, overlapped, H-8) and } \delta_H 3.00 \text{ (1H, m, H-11)}\] and a hydroxymethyl \([\delta_H 3.83 \text{ (1H, dd, } J = 3.5, 11.0 \text{ Hz, H-12\(\alpha\))}, 3.61 \text{ (1H, dd, } J = 3.5, 11.0 \text{ Hz, H-12\(\beta\))}\] were ascribed to a \(^9\)CH\(_2\)-\(^8\)CH-\(^{-11}\)CH-\(^{-12}\)CH\(_2\)OH fragment by \(^1\)H-\(^1\)H COSY spectrum. The HMBC cross peaks (Figure 2) from the aromatic protons (H-2 and H-6) to C-7 and H-8 to C-1 suggested that C-8 was connected with C-1 through a carbonyl group \([\delta_C 195.7 \text{ (C-7)}]\] and two aromatic OMe should be located at C-3 and C-5 in the symmetrical benzene ring. A \( \gamma \)-butyrolactone ring was deduced from the HMBC cross peaks from H-8, H-9, H-11, and H-12 to the lactone carbonyl \([\delta_C 176.6 \text{ (C-10)}]\].
ROESY correlations from H-8 to H-12 and H-9 to H-11 indicated the trans configuration at C-8 and C-11 (Figure 2). On the basis of the above results, the structure of compound 1 was thus elucidated and named aquilarin A.

**Table 1.** $^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR data of 1 in DMSO-$d_6$. ($^1$H at 400 and $^{13}$C at 100 MHz; $J$ in Hz).

| Position | $\delta_C$ | $\delta_H$ |
|----------|------------|------------|
| 1        | 125.7      |            |
| 2        | 106.6      | 7.30 (1H, s) |
| 3        | 147.8      |            |
| 4        | 141.8      |            |
| 5        | 147.8      |            |
| 6        | 106.6      | 7.30 (1H, s) |
| 7        | 195.7      |            |
| 8        | 43.6       | 4.58 (1H, overlapped) |
| 9        | 68.0       | 4.58 (1H, overlapped), 4.20 (1H, dd, 6.3, 7.7 Hz) |
| 10       | 176.6      |            |
| 11       | 45.7       | 3.00 (1H, m) |
| 12       | 58.9       | 3.83 (1H, dd, 3.5, 11.0), 3.61 (1H, dd, 3.5, 11.0 Hz) |
| OCH$_3$  | 56.2       | 3.84 (6H, s) |

Figure 2. Key HMBC and ROESY correlations of compound 1.

Based on the comparison of the $^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectral data of compounds 2 and 3 with those reported in the literature [7,8], compounds 2 and 3 were identified as balanophonin and (+)-lariresinol, respectively.

Compounds 1–3 were evaluated for their cytotoxic activity against SGC-7901 and SMMC-7721 cell lines using the MTT method. Compound 2 showed cytotoxic activity against SGC-7901 cell line, and compound 3 showed cytotoxic activity against SGC-7901 and SMMC-7721 cell lines, while compound 1 was inactive (IC$_{50} > 100$ μg·mL$^{-1}$) (Table 2).
3. Experimental

3.1. General

Melting points were obtained on a Beijing Taike X-5 stage apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotation was recorded using a Rudolph Autopol III polarimeter (Rudolph Research Analytical, New Jersey, USA). The UV spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrometer. The IR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet 380 FT-IR instrument, as KBr pellets. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-400 spectrometer, using TMS as an internal standard. The HRESIMS spectra were measured with an API QSTAR Pulsar mass spectrometer. Column chromatography was performed with silica gel (Marine Chemical Industry Factory, Qingdao, China) and Sephadex LH-20 (Merck). TLC was performed with silica gel GF254 (Marine Chemical Industry Factory, Qingdao, China).

3.2. Plant material

Fresh stems of *A. sinensis* (Lour.) Gilg were collected in Ding’an county, Hainan province, China in November 2008, the plant was identified by Associate Professor Zheng-Fu Dai of the Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, where a voucher specimen (No. AS20081101) was deposited.

3.3. Extraction and isolation

The fresh and crushed stems of *A. sinensis* (66.0 kg) were extracted with 95% EtOH three times (100 L × 3) at room temperature. After removal of EtOH by evaporation, the EtOH extract was suspended in water (10.0 L) and successively partitioned with petroleum ether, EtOAc, and then n-BuOH to give the corresponding Petro-extract (106.3 g), EtOAc-extract (66.0 g), and n-BuOH-extract (244.5 g), respectively.

The EtOAc fraction (66.0 g) was subjected to vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) over silica gel, eluting with a gradient of CHCl₃-MeOH (1:0–0:1, v/v) to afford eight fractions (Fr.1–8). Fr.2 (25.0 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column using a step gradient elution of Pet-Acetone (1:0–0:1, v/v) to afford eight fractions (Fr.2-1–8). Fr.2-4 (6.5 g) was subjected to column chromatography over Sephadex LH-20 using CHCl₃-MeOH (1:1, v/v) as eluent to afford four fractions (Fr.2-4-1–4). Fr.2-4-1 (554.0 mg) was separated by column chromatography over silica gel, eluting with gradient CHCl₃-MeOH to afford compounds 1 (5.0 mg). Fr.2-4-2 (4.4 g) was submitted to column chromatography over Sephadex LH-20 using CHCl₃-MeOH (1:1, v/v) as eluent to afford compound 2 (10.0 mg) and 3 (3.0 mg).

**Table 2. In vitro cytotoxicities of compounds 1 – 3 (IC₅₀ values, µg·mL⁻¹).**

| Compound | SGC-7901 | SMMC-7721 |
|----------|----------|-----------|
| 1        | –        | –         |
| 2        | 34.0     | –         |
| 3        | 80.0     | 75.2      |
| Mitomycin C<sup>a</sup> | 8.8 | 2.2 |

<sup>a</sup> Positive control.
3.4. Characterization of Compounds 1–3

Aquilarin A (1): Armorphous powder, M.p. 167–168 °C. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = -78.3\) (c = 0.6, MeOH). UV (MeOH): \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) (log \(\varepsilon_{\text{max}}\)): 306 (1.41), 226 (1.66), 214 (1.39) nm. IR (KBr): \(\nu = 3,428, 2,920, 2,851, 1,766, 1,586, 1,511, 1,464, 1,380, 1,116\) cm\(^{-1}\). HR-MS [(+-)-ESI]: \(m/z = 319.0789\) (calcd. 319.0794 for \(\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_{7}\text{Na}, [\text{M + Na}]^+\)). \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C-NMR: see Table 1.

Balanophonin (2): Yellow oil, \([\alpha]_D^{25} = +12.1\) (c = 1.0, CHCl\(_3\)). ESI-MS \(m/z\): 379 \([\text{M+Na}]^+\). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 9.62\) (1H, d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, H-9), 7.41 (1H, d, \(J = 15.8\) Hz, H-7), 7.13 (1H, d, \(J = 1.5\) Hz, H-5), 7.03 (1H, d, \(J = 1.5\) Hz, H-3), 6.90 (1H, d, \(J = 1.6\) Hz, H-3'), 6.89 (1H, d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, H-5'), 6.88 (1H, d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, H-6'), 6.59 (1H, dd, \(J = 7.7, 15.8\) Hz, H-8), 5.63 (1H, d, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, H-7'), 3.97 (2H, m, H-9'), 3.67 (1H, dd, \(J = m\), H-8'), 3.92 (3H, s, 2-OCH\(_3\)), 3.86 (3H, s, 2'-OCH\(_3\)). \(^{13}\)C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 151.5\) (C-1), 144.8 (C-2), 112.2 (C-3), 128.1 (C-4), 118.2 (C-5), 129.1 (C-6), 153.2 (C-7), 126.3 (C-8), 193.6 (C-9), 145.9 (C-1'), 146.7 (C-2'), 108.7 (C-3'), 132.2 (C-4'), 119.4 (C-5'), 114.4 (C-6'), 88.9 (C-7'), 53.0 (C-8'), 63.9 (C-9'), 56.0 (2×OCH\(_3\)).

(+)-Lariciresinol (3): Armorphous powder. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = +31.0\) (c = 0.5, MeOH). UV (MeOH): \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) (log \(\varepsilon_{\text{max}}\)): 221 (1.02), 282 (2.17) nm. ESI-MS \(m/z\): 383 \([\text{M+Na}]^+\). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 6.87\) (1H, d, \(J = 1.8\) Hz, H-2), 6.87 (1H, d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, H-5), 6.84 (1H, d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, H-5'), 6.81 (1H, dd, \(J = 1.8, 8.0\) Hz, H-6), 6.69 (1H, d, \(J = 1.8\) Hz, H-2'), 4.79 (1H, d, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, H-7), 4.06 (1H, dd, \(J = 6.6, 8.6\) Hz, H-9'a), 3.92 (1H, dd, \(J = 8.1, 10.9\) Hz, H-9a), 3.89 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.88 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 3.79 (1H, dd, \(J = 6.5, 10.8\) Hz, H-9b), 3.75 (1H, dd, \(J = 6.6, 8.6\) Hz, H-9b), 2.92 (1H, dd, \(J = 5.2, 13.5\) Hz, H-7'a), 2.73 (1H, m, H-8'), 2.55 (1H, dd, \(J = 10.7, 13.5\) Hz, H-7'b), 2.41 (1H, m, H-8). \(^{13}\)C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 134.8\) (C-1), 108.3 (C-2), 146.5 (C-3), 145.1 (C-4), 114.2 (C-5), 118.8 (C-6), 82.9 (C-7), 52.6 (C-8), 61.0 (C-9), 132.3 (C-1'), 111.2 (C-2'), 146.6 (C-3'), 144.0 (C-4'), 114.4 (C-5'), 121.2 (C-6'), 33.4 (C-7'), 42.4 (C-8'), 72.9 (C-9'), 56.0 (2×OCH\(_3\)).

3.5. Bioassay

The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed according to the previously reported method [9]. The inhibition rates (IR%) were calculated using OD mean values from IR\% = (OD control – OD sample)/OD control. The IC\(_{50}\) value, which is defined as the concentration of sample needed to reduce 50% of absorbance relative to the vehicle-treated control, was determined using the Bliss method. The same experiment was repeated independently three times to obtain a mean IC\(_{50}\) value and its standard deviation. The IC\(_{50}\) values are listed in Table 2.

4. Conclusions

Although much attention has been paid to the phytochemical investigation of Chinese eaglewood, little is known about the chemical constituents of the fresh healthy wood. Previous studies revealed the characteristic components of Chinese eaglewood were sesquiterpenes and chromone derivatives [1–6]. In our present study a new benzenoid derivative, aquilarin A (1), together with two known lingnans balanophonin (2) and (+)-lariciresinol (3), were isolated from the 95% ethanol extract of the fresh stem
of *A. sinensis*, which were different from those of Chinese eaglewood. Meanwhile, the cytotoxicity against SGC-7901 and SMMC-7721 cell lines of compounds 1–3 was evaluated for the first time.
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