INTRODUCTION

The term ‘fecundity’ denotes the total number of eggs present in the ovaries of a fish, which are likely to be laid during the next spawning season (Bagenal, 1957). The knowledge of fecundity finds a vast application in stock size assessment, stock discrimination (Holden and Raitt, 1974), and rational utilization of stock (Morales, 1991). The assessment of fecundity is useful in evaluating the variations in the fish population, commercial potentials of its stock, life history, and in the proper management of fishery (Marimuthu et al., 2009). Fecundity and its relation with different body parameters of female fish make it possible to estimate the potential of egg output (Chondar, 1977), and its relation with other morphological characters like size, age and weight have often been used to provide a reliable index of density-dependent factors affecting the size of a population (Ulfat et al., 2014).

Biologists have been investigating fish gonads intending to identify annual reproductive cycles, length of breeding seasons, and to determine the onset of reproductive maturity and spawning rhythms (Parenti and Grier, 2004). Investigation of the histomorphology and cycle of maturation of gonads is a breakthrough in discerning the reproductive biology of fishes. Neolissochilus hexagonolepis (McClelland, 1839) is commonly known as Copper Mahseer. It is a beautiful shiny game fish with an elongated body and a rounded abdomen. N. hexagonolepis is one among the notable species in snow-fed torrential rivers of Nepal. Regrettably, its population is in sharp decline due to the loss of its habitat and over-exploitation. Tamor River serves as a typical home as well as the
breeding ground for many hill-stream fishes, including *N. hexagonolepis* (Shrestha, 2008; Shrestha et al., 2009), but has been overlooked for unknown reasons. Currently, *N. hexagonolepis* has the conservation status of ‘Near Threatened (NT)’ according to the Redlist Assessment of IUCN (2018). Therefore, an essential conservationnal initiative is needed for this species. Unfortunately, there is a lack of such knowledge concerning *N. hexagonolepis*. Recently, Jyrwa and Bhuyan (2017) have attempted to investigate the reproductive traits of *N. hexagonolepis* in Meghalaya India. But, there is no previous literature from Tamor River. In this backdrop, an attempt has been made to investigate some reproductive traits of *N. hexagonolepis*, including the length at first maturity, fecundity and its relationships with biometric variables like lengths (Total Length, Standard Length, and Forkal Length) and weights (Total weight and Gonad weight) of the fish in Tamor River.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical statement:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest for the publication of this manuscript.

**Sampling site:** The study area which stretched for more than 12 km (A to B), lies between latitude and longitude coordinates of N 26°56.700', N 26°55.653' and E 087°23.097', E 087°17.653', respectively (Fig. 1).

**Sampling and laboratory analysis:** The study was conducted in the year 2019, and fish specimens gathered every month round the year. During the course, a total of 109 fish samples were procured from the river. The samples were collected with the help of local fishermen, using hooks, cast nets, and gill nets of different mesh sizes and locally constructed traps. Captured fish samples were immediately transported to the laboratory for further examination. Total Length (TL) was measured from the tip of snout to the distal tip of the longest caudal-fin ray, Standard Length (SL) from the tip of snout to the beginning of the tail fin and fork length (FL) measured from the tip of snout to the tip of the median caudal-fin ray. All these lengths were measured in a fully stretched condition to the nearest 1 mm using a measuring tape and graduated ruler. The total weight (TW) of each sample (including gut and gonad) was measured after removing moisture from the body with paper towels and cloth. The whole ovary was removed from the body after dissection, and ovary weight (OW) was measured. The weights (TW and OW) were measured using a digital balance with a precision of 0.01 g.

Macroscopical staging of ovaries was validated by histology. And for this, histological slides of ovaries were prepared by fixing the smaller pieces of the gonad in freshly prepared Bouin's fluid. They were then embedded in paraffin wax and sectioned at 6 μ with the help of a rotary microtome machine (Yorco YSI 115), dewaxed in xylene, hydrated and dehydrated in alcohol series. The histological sections were stained with hematoxylin, followed by eosin counterstain. Maturity stages of ovaries of the fish were determined according to a maturity scale modified after Brown-Peterson et al. (2011). The frequency of a specific stage of the gonad, based on its gross morphological and histological features was calculated and expressed as a percentage every month.

**Estimation of fecundity:** The gravimetric method, among many approaches endorsed for fecundity estimation of fish, is the most common and is based on the relation between ovary weight and oocyte density in the ovary. Prior to all, the homogeneity of oocyte distribution was investigated to make sure that the sub-sample to be analyzed represented the entire ovary of the fish (Murua et al., 2003). As a rule-of-thumb, a sufficient number of sub-samples is said to be reached when the coefficient of variation (cv) among the estimated number of eggs per unit weight of sub-sample is less than 5% (Kjesbu and Kryvi, 1989). By taking three sub-samples, the gravimetric method provided sufficient precision of the estimates with the mean coefficient of variation (cv) less than 5%. So, in the present study, the gravimetric method was adopted for the estimation of the fecundity of *N. hexagonolepis*. After determining the weight of the ovary, three sub-samples of 1 g each were obtained from the anterior, middle, and posterior parts of the ovary. The eggs were then washed with distilled water and gently teased with needle and forceps until they became disentangled from ovarian tissues. The eggs were then spread over blotting paper to remove excess moisture, and the clamped eggs were gently separated. The eggs were then air-dried. The total number of eggs in each ovary sub-sample was proportionally estimated using the equation, $F_1 = (gonad weight \times number of eggs in the sub-sample) / sub-sample weight$ (Yelden and Avsar, 2000). Finally, by taking the mean of three sub-sample fecundities ($F_1$, $F_2$, and $F_3$), the absolute fecundity ($F$) was estimated as $F = (F_1 + F_2 + F_3) / 3$ (Hossain et al., 2012).

The relationships between absolute fecundity ($F$) and biometric variables of *N. hexagonolepis* were determined by simple linear regression after log$_{10}$ transformation of the lengths (TL, SL, and FL) and weights (TW and OW) data and the corresponding absolute fecundity estimates.

**Size at first maturity:** The size at first sexual maturity was estimated based on the $L_{50}$ Maturity scale (Size, at which 50% of the individuals have reached sexual maturity; Freitas et al., 2016). The samples, assigned to
various maturity classes, were binarized as immature and mature. The samples with gonads that showed vivid signs of maturity were classified as mature, otherwise immature. Then, regression analysis was performed by considering total Length (TL, cm) as the explanatory variable and the stage of gonads (immature: 0; mature: 1) as the response variable (binomial). The variables were then fitted to a logistic function with the form:

\[ Y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(A + B \times X)}} \]

(Torrejon-Magallanes, 2018)

Where \( Y \) = the probability of an individual of being mature at a determinate \( X \) length; \( X \) = total Length (TL, cm); \( A \) (intercept) and \( B \) (slope) are parameters estimated.

Finally, the \( L_{50} \) was calculated as:

\[ L_{50} = \frac{-A}{B} \]

Statistical analysis: Statistical analyses were performed using R 4.0.0 software. Breusch-Pagan test was used to test for heteroskedasticity in a linear regression model. The normality test of each group of data was conducted by visual assessment of histograms and q-q plots and further confirmed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The relationships between absolute fecundity (F) and biometric variables of the fish were determined by simple linear regression. All statistical analyses were considered significant, at 5% (p<0.05).

RESULTS

Absolute fecundity (F) estimated for 18 matured female fishes, ranging in TW from 340 g to 1200 g and in TL from 30.2 cm to 46.2 cm showed that the mean value of F was 8356.44 ± 4612.59 and ranged from 2398.6 for the fish with TL 33.2 cm, TW 430 g, and OW 3.58 g to 20160 for the fish with TL 46.2 cm, TW 1100 g, and OW 30 g. The relative fecundity to weight ranged from 5.58 /g body weight for the fish with TL of

Fig. 1. Location of the study area for Neolissochilus hexagonolepis in Tamor River, Nepal.
33.2 cm and TW 430 g to 23.38 /g body weight for the fish with TL 33 cm and TW 370 g. The relative fecundity to length ranged from 72.25 /cm total length for the fish with TL of 33.2 cm and TW 430 g to 436.36 / cm total length for the fish with TL of 46.2 cm and TW 1100 g.

Relationships Between Fecundity and Biometric Variables: The relationships between absolute fecundity (F) and biometric variables of *N. hexagonolepis* are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. The positive correlations between the variables were expressed by the following regression equations:

- \( \log F = 3.8265 \log TL - 2.0691 \) (n = 18; \( R^2 = 0.5289; p<0.001 \))
- \( \log F = 3.5733 \log SL - 1.3843 \) (n = 18; \( R^2 = 0.59364; p<0.001 \))
- \( \log F = 3.5571 \log FL - 1.5066 \) (n = 18; \( R^2 = 0.57083; p<0.001 \))
- \( \log F = 1.0787 \log TW + 0.90717 \) (n = 18; \( R^2 = 0.48555; p<0.001 \))
- \( \log F = 0.46847 \log OW + 3.1426 \) (n = 18; \( R^2 = 0.44107; p<0.01 \))

Histomorphology of ovaries: Based on the histomorphology, the ovaries of *N. hexagonolepis* were observed at six different stages viz. Stage I (Immature stage), Stage II (Maturing virgin), Stage III (Ripening), Stage IV (Mature), Stage V (Spawning), and stage VI (Spent) (Table 2, Plate I).

Maturation cycle of female gonad: Stage II ovaries occurred throughout the year with their frequency ranging from 7.69% in July to 57.14% in September. Next in frequency were the ovaries at stage I constituting 20% in February to 69.23% of the total monthly catch in January. Ovaries at the mature and spawning stages were en-
Table 1. Descriptive statistics and estimated parameters of the absolute fecundity-length and absolute fecundity-weight relationships (sample size = 18) of female N. hexagonolepis from Tamor River, Nepal (*, significant at 5%).

| Equation               | Regression parameters | 95% CL of a      | 95% CL of b      | R²      | p       |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|---------|
| Absolute fecundity-Length | F = a x TL\(^b\)   | 0.008            | 3.826            | -4.874 to 0.735 | 2.017 to 5.636 | 0.5289* | <0.001 |
|                        | F = a x SL\(^b\)    | 0.041            | 3.573            | -3.572 to 0.803 | 2.083 to 5.064 | 0.5936* | <0.001 |
|                        | F = a x FL\(^b\)    | 0.031            | 3.557            | -3.848 to 0.835 | 2.005 to 5.109 | 0.5708* | <0.001 |
| Absolute fecundity-Weight | F = a x TW\(^b\)   | 8.075            | 1.079            | -0.610 to 2.425 | 0.525 to 1.633 | 0.4855* | <0.001 |
|                        | F = a x OW\(^b\)    | 1388             | 0.469            | 2.733 to 3.552  | 0.207 to 0.730 | 0.4410* | <0.01  |

Abbreviations: F, Absolute fecundity; TL, Total Length; SL, Standard Length; FL, Forkal length; TW, Total weight; OW, Ovary weight; a, intercept; b, slope; CL, Confidence limit; R², Coefficient of determination; p, Probability value

Table 2. Morphological and histological description of gonad maturation stages of female N. hexagonolepis.

| Maturity stages | Morphology                                                                 | Histological characteristics                                                                 |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stage I (Immature) | Ovaries thin, slender, thread-like, dirty white in color and translucent. | Ovarian wall thick. Ovigerous lamellae with nests of oogonia. Oocytes at stages I and II visible. |
| Stage II (Maturing virgin) | Ovaries are still slender but slightly larger than at stage I. Translucent white with less vascular supply. | Ovarian wall thick. Ovigerous lamellae filled with a large number of oocytes at stages IV and V along with a few oocytes at stage VI. |
| Stage III (Ripening) | Ovaries whitish-yellowish in color with a granular appearance. Ovaries further increased in weight and volume. | Ovarian wall thin. Ovigerous lamellae filled with a large number of oocytes at stages IV and V along with a few oocytes at stage VI. |
| Stage IV (Mature) | Ovaries broad and deep yellowish occupying almost the entire abdominal cavity. Ovarian wall skinny through which ripe yellowish oocytes visible to naked eyes. Vasculization conspicuous. | The ovarian wall is fragile. A large number of stage VII oocytes and some ripe eggs visible. |
| Stage V (Spawning) | Ovaries large and distended, occupying the entire abdominal cavity. The ovarian wall is thin and almost transparent—a large number of jelly-like yellowish translucent eggs present in the ovaries. Eggs were present in the oviduct also and the eggs expelled out even on applying slight pressure. Spawning was imminent. | Stage VII oocytes and ripe eggs are seen in the ovigerous lamellae with several discharged follicles. |
| Stage VI (Spent) | Ovaries flaccid, shrunken to about ½ length of the body cavity. Wall loosened, some unspawned large ova, and a large number of smaller yellow-whitish oocytes visible. Less vascular supply. | Oocytes at stage VII, along with oocytes at stages I and II visible in the ovigerous lamellae. Ovaries characterized by many degenerated and atretic follicles. |

countered until November. Spent ovaries (stage VI) occurred in November and December. No spent ovaries were examined from January onward (Fig. 4).

**Size at first maturity:** For estimating the size at first sexual maturity (L\(_{50}\) Maturity scale) of female N. hexagonolepis, its ovaries were assigned to maturity classes (I to VI) based on their gross histomorphological criteria. And, on the L\(_{50}\) Maturity scale, the samples with gonads at III, IV, V, and VI were assigned as mature and I and II as immature. The relation between total Length (TL, cm) and mature proportion was plotted on a logistic diagram for estimating the length at 50% maturity. The sexual maturity logistic curve for female N. hexagonolepis is shown in Fig. 5. The logistic model obtained from the Total Length (TL) and sexual maturity data indicated that 50% of females acquired sexual maturity at TL 32.9 cm.

**DISCUSSION**

Information on the reproductive traits of hill-stream fishes is very inadequate from Nepalese rivers. Data pertaining to the reproductive biology of N. hexagonolepis, including its fecundity estimation, is still unavailable from this region. Elsewhere, various methods for estimation of fecundity have been adopted in fisheries science. However, the two most common
methods still in practice are the volumetric and gravimetric methods. The first method involves counting a sub-sample of eggs based on volume (Simpson, 1951), while the latter method is based on the relation between ovary weight and oocyte density in the ovary (Bagenal and Braum, 1978). Perhaps the most rigorous way of estimating the number of eggs in ovaries is to count them all, by using egg-counting machines. However, as the number of eggs in the ovaries of fish is high, it is quite unrealistic to count them all manually. So, in the present study sub-sample method was adopted for the estimation of the fecundity of the candidate fish.

The low absolute fecundity estimated for *N. hexagonolepis* in the River Tamor was in full agreement with the finding of Dasgupta (1988). Despite low fertility, *N. hexagonolepis* is a prolific breeder (Dasgupta, 1988). The fishes inhabiting cold water streams and lakes have comparatively low fecundity (Rasool and Ulfat, 2013). Fecundity of a fish is affected by environmental factors and food supplies (Simpson, 1951), and is determined by the cumulative effect of diet, age, disease, and atmospheric conditions of the environment in which the fish lives (Ulfat et al., 2014). According to Scott (1962), the fecundity of a fish depends upon the food intake, and poor nutrition intensifies the shrink in the number of eggs produced by the fish. Nikolsky (1963) also pointed out that the food consumed by a fish not only is associated with its fecundity but also affects the eggs' quality. Several authors have reported on the fecundities of freshwater fishes. Alam and Pathak (2010), Agbugui
Arjamand et al. (2013), Khaironizam and Ismail (2013), Kharat and Khillare (2013), Wagle (2014), Bhattacharya and Banik (2015), Jabeen et al. (2016) and Jan and Ahmed (2016) reported on the fecundities of Pomadasys jubelini, Labeo rohita, Tor putitora, Neolissochilus soroides, Nemacheilus moreh, Schizothorax richardsonii, Ompok pabo, Barilius bendelisis, and Schizothorax plagiostomus respectively.

In the present study, the mean absolute fecundity of N. hexagonolepis was 8356.44 ± 4612.59 eggs per fish, and the average relative fecundity was estimated at 14.25 ± 5.35 eggs per gram body weight as opposed to 17.5 eggs per gram body weight by Mahapatra and Vinod (2011). The difference might be artificial (due to differences in the techniques used for the estimation of...
fecundity) or natural (owing to a difference in fecundity due to variations in environmental factors at different locations). Fecundity depends on the size of fish and that the availability of more visceral volume in larger fishes provides room for a larger number of eggs within the gonad (Shinkafi et al., 2011). Variation in fecundities among the fishes of equal length is common and results due to various environmental factors including temperature, availability of food, and also due to the difference in genetics (Kharrat and Khillare, 2013).

Relative fecundity decreases with an increase in body weight (Wagle, 2014), and that the variation in the fecundities among the fishes of the same as well as different species are affected by their size, age, condition, food intake and space (Jan and Ahmed, 2016).

The absolute fecundity of the fish was found to be positively correlated with TL ($R^2 = 0.53$), SL ($R^2 = 0.59$), FL ($R^2 = 0.57$), TW ($R^2 = 0.49$) and GW ($R^2 = 0.44$). Significant positive correlations between the absolute fecundity and lengths (TL, SL, and FL) indicated that the number of eggs in the ovaries of $N.$ hexagonolepis increases with the increasing length of the fish. The significant positive associations between the absolute fecundity and the weight variables (TW and GW) indicated that the number of eggs in the ovaries of the candidate fish increases proportionately with its body and gonad weight. The finding of the present study corresponds well with earlier reports by Shinkafi et al. (2011) and Khaironizam and Ismail (2013) in Auchenoglanis occidentalis and Neolissiscus soroides, respectively. Positive linear relationships between fecundity and body parameters were also reported in Anabas testudineus (Marimuthu et al., 2009), Nemacheilus moreh (Kharrat and Killare, 2013), Tor putitora (Arjamand et al., 2013), Pomadasys jubelini (Agbugui, 2013), Neolissiscus soroides (Khaironizam and Ismail, 2013), Schizothorax niger and S. esocinus (Ulfat et al., 2014), Schizothorax richardsonii (Wagle, 2014), Ompok pabo (Bhattacharya and Banik, 2015), Barilius bendelisis (Jabeen et al., 2016) and S. plagiostomus (Jan and Ahmed, 2016).

The associations between absolute fecundity of the candidate fish with its body metrics hinted that the length parameters are better at predicting the total number of eggs within its body. This might be linked to the fractional spawning behaviour of the fish, which releases fractions of eggs over the protracted period. Fecundity of $N.$ hexagonolepis varied among the individuals of the same length and weight. Appetite and overall health condition of the fish seem to be the deciding factors in this regard. Analogous results were also reported by Marimuthu et al. (2009) and Bhattacharya and Banik (2015) in Anabas testudineus and Ompok pabo, respectively.

Fully matured and spawning fishes were captured from July till November, indicating that the breeding season of $N.$ hexagonolepis has a protracted period. The protracted breeding period of $N.$ hexagonolepis, also been reported by Jhingran (1982) and Jyrwa and Bhuyan (2017), could be considered as an adaptive feature of the species to combat environmental pressures in hill-streams like the high mortality of juveniles in monsoon flooding. Furthermore, availability of the spent females during November and December and none from January onwards plainly indicated that the spawning season of the fish lasts till late November or early December.

The size at first sexual maturity is defined as the length at which a randomly chosen sample has a 50% chance of being mature (Somerton, 1980). Earlier, the logistic curve for estimating the size at first sexual maturity has been successfully used for several species of fishes (Bandpei et al., 2011; Valdez-Pineda et al., 2014; Nandikeswari, 2016; Freitas et al., 2016; Peixoto et al., 2018). The logistic model for estimating the size at first sexual maturity by visual inspection of gonads ($L_{50}$ Maturity scale) revealed that female individuals of $N.$ hexagonolepis attained the first sexual maturity at TL 32.9 cm. Shrestha (2008) suggested that female $N.$ hexagonolepis attains the first maturity at 23 cm. The deviation in size at first sexual maturity in female $N.$ hexagonolepis might be due to the difference in the environmental factors at different locations. Freitas et al. (2016) reported different results with modifying approaches during the assessment of the minimum size at first maturity of female fish. Nikolsky (1969) suggested that most of the males reaching maturity at smaller and younger compared to females illustrate the longer duration of life of the female fishes that mature later. Helfman et al. (1997) also suggested that, in most teleosts, female individuals attain the first sexual maturity at larger sizes compared to males.

**Conclusion**

Neolissiscus soroides hexagonolepis exhibited protracted breeding period with its ovaries passing through six different stages of maturation. Female individuals attained the first sexual maturity at total length 32.9 cm. Absolute fecundity of the fish was found to be better anticipated by its length parameters. In conclusion, the present study has provided imperative clues on the length at first sexual maturity, fecundity, and maturation cycle for $N.$ hexagonolepis that will be pragmatic in similar studies in the days to come. Further, the finding of the study may also serve as a protocol for fishery biologists and managers to promulgate adequate regulations for continual fishery management in the River Tamor, Nepal.
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