Effects of Schroth exercise therapy on curvature and body appearance of patients with lumbar idiopathic scoliosis
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Objective: To investigate the physical appearance and therapeutic changes that occur with the performance of Schroth exercise in patients with scoliosis.

Design: Randomized controlled trial.

Methods: Fifteen subjects with maximum curvature of the lumbar who were diagnosed with idiopathic scoliosis had volunteered to participate in the study. Eight subjects were included in the experimental group where they performed the Schroth Therapeutic Exercise and the other seven were included in the control group. The experimental group underwent 2 hours of weekly treatment for 12 weeks, while the control group did not during the same period based on the decisions of patients or guardians. The Mann-Whitney rank test was carried out to compare the treatment results of the two groups, and the comparison within the group was done by Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The vertebral rotation angle (VRA) was by Scoliometer, and difference of rotated and curved portion volume (DV) between both sides on the major curvature portion measured by 3D human body scanning system.

Results: In the experimental group, 12 weeks of Schroth exercise therapy has significant improved in correction rate (CR) in Cobb’s angle (CA), VRA, and DV between both sides on the major curvature portion \((p<0.05)\), while significant differences were not found between the groups regarding weight bearing difference in both feet (WD) and DV \((p<0.05)\).

Conclusions: Schroth exercise performance showed significant changes in the patient’s therapeutic changes (CA, VRA), but the physical appearance (DV, WD) was not significant, indicating that external changes in the treatment goal setting are more difficult goals to achieve.

Key Words: Exercise therapy, Physical appearance, Physical therapy, Schroth method, Scoliosis

Introduction

As a disease leading to systematic changes, scoliosis carries 3-dimensional (3D) deformity of the spine with 10° or more changes of the Cobb’s angle (CA) \([1]\). In particular, it frequently occurs to adolescent females \([2]\), is a systematic disease \([3]\) that correlates even with deformity of the jaw which is far from the vertebrae of scoliosis patients with more than 40° of curvature, and is hardly discovered as it is superficially observed that initiates internally.

According to statistics from Korea Healthcare big data service in 2019, there are currently 94,158 people with scoliosis. Since scoliosis begins from childhood and deteriorates over time, it is most important to diagnose and treat in its early phase \([4]\).

Treatments for scoliosis in the past were mainly operations and use of orthoses, and Schroth therapeutic exercise, which was recently introduced to South Korea, has been applied since 1921 - the year it was first devised by Katharina Schroth – and proved to be effective through a number of studies \([5]\).

Rotation-angular-breathing (RAB), which is the most important technique in the Schroth therapeutic exercise, is a 3D breathing method, controlling the breath backward, later-
ally, and upward. This breathing method is associated to filling the inverted trunk of the body with air as a balloon is inflated. When this breathing pattern is performed regularly, each breathing serves as a correction exercise, which is a foundation of all Schroth therapeutic exercises, and various movements based on RAB are used in treatments suited for patients with different types of scoliosis.

The main objective measurement variable in research studies on scoliosis is Cobb’s angle (CA). This method has been implemented as a crucial criterion, as well as the most significant variable, for comparison of the curvature rate of the scoliosis. In addition, since the most anticipated subjective variable of the patients is appearance [5], research on life quality and appearance among other previous studies have been made through surveys for objective evaluation [6]. Studies on appearance and quality of life have been supported by indices through Scoliosis research society 22 revision (SRS 22r) questionnaire provided by Scoliosis research society and spinal appearance questionnaire (SAQ).

In addition, scoliosis has a significant difference in the patient’s suspension of both feet. A study by Nachiappan et al. [7] has shown that weight shift occurs to higher curvature positions compared to ordinary people when standing or walking, and therefore measuring and comparing this difference can also be a variable to evaluate the outcome of treatment.

A study by Schreiber et al. [8] was conducted through surveys for 6 months using the Schroth therapeutic exercise, in which the SRS 22r questionnaire evaluated the changes between the 3rd and 6th month and compared with the group that used orthoses and items such as pain and self-awareness of appearance showed significant differences, resulting in high level of quality of life. In the SAQ test, the result showed that no significant differences were found in the overall period, which attributes to the difference between SRS 22r, which evaluates functional aspects such as mental health and general self-awareness of appearance. The SAQ focuses more on the external aspect, suggesting that Schroth therapeutic exercise reflects the fact that the external changes are more difficult to achieve than the functional aspects in the treatment of patients with scoliosis.

Among previous studies, those on the functional improvements proposed significant outcomes from Schroth Therapeutic Exercise in increase of CA and lung capacity [9] and decrease of low back pain [10]. However, studies on quantitative measurement and changes in external aspects like body surface area and volume, which are hardly observed physically in the treatment of scoliosis patients, have been so insufficient that our study was set out.

The 3D body type analysis device used for this study can attain 3D topo-graphs and measure the overall outline through calculating the input values to provide computer graphic 3D models, which facilitates objective measurement of the body volume and area through quantification of the external volume and area of the trunk, and previous studies that used the 3D body type analysis device focused on the tests for idiopathic scoliosis patients before and after operations [11] and the correlation between kyphotic deformity and low back pain in scoliosis [12], proving that CA is an examination method with a high association [13].

Therefore, this study included the use of x-ray examination and 3-dimensional body type analysis device to investigate for changes in curvature when the Schroth therapeutic exercise was applied to patients with scoliosis.

### Methods

#### Participants

Fifteen outpatients with scoliosis of The Goden clinic, South Korea were selected as subjects for this study after they have provided their informed consent. The general characters of subjects were collected, including age, weight,

| Table 1. General characteristics of participants (N=15) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variable** | **Experiment group (n=8)** | **Control group (n=7)** | **Z (p)** |
| Sex | Male | 1 | 1 | 1.000 (0.731) |
| | Female | 7 | 6 | |
| Age (y) | 18.88 (3.06) | 24.14 (12.69) | -0.409 (0.694) |
| Height (cm) | 161.00 (7.61) | 163.14 (4.77) | -1.745 (0.094) |
| Weight (kg) | 52.86 (16.47) | 47.11 (4.06) | -1.448 (0.152) |

Values are presented as number only or mean (SD).
and height, which were followed by a 3D body surface examination for patients with maximum curvature of the lumbar spine among those with vertebral rotation angle (VRA) and 10° or more of CA together (Table 1).

Intervention

Eight subjects in the experimental group with the inclusion of the Schroth therapeutic exercise were treated for 2 hours, twice a week, for 12 weeks under the observation of therapists, which was found to be effective in the previous studies [14]. The Schroth exercise was designed to apply a total of 29 movements according to the patient’s angle and type, and the degree of difficulty that the patient can accept. One of the basic exercises, the muscle cylinder, can be seen in Figure 1.

This study was designed as an equivalent control group pretest-posttest. Among the patients in the experimental group, 2 subjects were excluded from the second measurement due to suspension of treatment and 2 additional subjects were excluded due to a break period of longer than 1 week. For the control group, the data was collected from those who did not undergo treatment due to cost or other reasons while the examinations were conducted with a 12-week gap between January 1, 2014 and September 2016 (Please check the year).

This study was reviewed by the Institutional Review Board of Sahmyook University, Seoul as research to observe research results or phenomena, not to request novel control or intervention that has never existed. The serial number for the review is 2-1040781-AB-N-01-2016043HR.

Measures

After the implementation of this treatment, x-ray and 3D body surface examinations were conducted again to find the changes in the subjects.

**Cobb’s angle**

CA was measured by x-ray imaging by means of digital radiography type imaging equipment (Provision 900; DK Medical Systems, Seoul, Korea, 2012) (Figure 2).

![Figure 1. Muscle cylinder.](image1)

![Figure 2. Cobb’s angle.](image2)

![Figure 3. 3D body surface examination.](image3)
Difference in ratio volume
The absolute value of the result from one subtracted by the value from the convex portion volume \(\ell\) divided by concave portion volume was used for the difference in the ratio of volume because the closer the result of the convex portion volume divided by the concave portion volume goes to 1, the closer to the achievement of symmetry of the trunk volume. This was measured by a 3D body surface examination (Figure 3).

\[
\text{Difference in ratio volume} = 1 - \frac{\text{Convex portion volume}}{\text{Concave portion volume}}
\]

Vertebral rotation angle
A scoliometer (Scoliometer; Mizuho OSI, Union City, CA, USA, 2013) was used for the VRA, which examined the anterior flexion in standing position. The VRA shows how far the trunk is deviated from the center during rotation with a 3D deformity of the trunk with scoliosis, and it is also an important variable that can be used as a basic test before an x-ray assessment.

Correction rate
Since the closer the CA and the measurement value of the scoliometer converge to zero, the less the alteration, and the CR was expressed as a percentage using the CR used in previous studies [12].

\[
\text{Correction rate} = \frac{(\text{Before angle} - \text{After angle}) \times 100}{\text{Before angle}}
\]

Data analysis
PASW Statistics for Windows, Version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all operations and statistics, and descriptive statistics was used for general characteristics. The Mann-Whitney test was used as a non-parametric test to investigate for the differences between groups, and the Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to investigate for the differences within groups before and after treatment. The statistical significance level \(\alpha\) was set to 0.05.

Results

Changes in Cobb’s angle
The changes in CA before and after the interventions between the two groups are shown below (Table 2). Although there was no significant difference in angles before and after treatment both in both the experimental and control group \((p>0.05)\), a significance was found in the difference before and after the treatment and the level of correction between the two groups \((p<0.05)\).

Vertebral rotation angle
The changes in VRA by intervention between the two groups are shown below (Table 3). The two groups did not show a statistical significance before treatment, but the difference in rotation angle of the experimental group was \(-3.38^\circ \pm 2.56^\circ\) after treatment, suggesting a significant decrease between the groups. The changes in the control group

Table 2. Changes in Cobb’s angle

| Variable      | Experiment group (n=8) | Control group (n=7) | Z (p)     |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Before \(^\circ\) | 22.11 (7.58)           | 22.17 (7.27)        | -0.116 (0.955) |
| After \(^\circ\)    | 15.98 (6.30)           | 20.08 (7.04)        | -2.540 (0.009)  |
| Change \(^\circ\)   | -6.12 (2.99)           | -2.08 (1.72)        | -2.662 (0.006)  |
| \(Z\) \((p)\)        | -2.521 (0.012)         | -2.117 (0.034)      |           |
| Correction rate (%) | 28.14 (11.84)          | 10.03 (8.98)        |           |

Values are presented as mean (SD).
Table 3. Vertebral rotation angle  

| Variable | Experiment group (n=8) | Control group (n=7) | $Z (p)$ |
|----------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Before (°) | 11.75 (3.37) | 9.86 (3.93) | −0.814 (0.463) |
| After (°) | 8.37 (3.20) | 7.42 (2.29) | −3.38 (2.56) |
| Change (°) | −3.38 (2.56) | −2.43 (3.69) | −2.43 (3.36) |
| $Z (p)$ | −20.814 (0.463) | −0.926 (0.397) | −0.926 (0.397) |

Values are presented as mean (SD).

Table 4. Weight bearing difference in both feet  

| Variable | Experiment group (n=8) | Control group (n=7) | $Z (p)$ |
|----------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Before (kg) | 1.70 (0.67) | 2.24 (1.69) | −0.291 (0.779) |
| After (kg) | 2.56 (2.08) | 2.21 (1.08) | −0.291 (0.779) |
| Change (kg) | 0.86 (2.00) | −0.03 (1.69) | −0.291 (0.779) |
| $Z (p)$ | −0.840 (0.401) | −0.926 (0.397) | −0.926 (0.397) |

Values are presented as mean (SD).

Table 5. Difference of rotation volume  

| Variable | Experiment group (n=8) | Control group (n=7) | $Z (p)$ |
|----------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Before (ℓ) | 0.07 (0.05) | 0.10 (0.14) | −0.290 (0.779) |
| After (ℓ) | 0.20 (0.19) | 0.13 (0.13) | −0.290 (0.779) |
| Change (ℓ) | 0.12 (0.22) | 0.03 (0.13) | −0.810 (0.463) |

Values are presented as mean (SD).

was $-2.43°±3.36°$, but the changes were not significant within the group ($p>0.05$). After treatment, the difference between the groups and the correction rate showed a significant difference ($p<0.05$).

Weight bearing difference in both feet

WD between the two groups before and after the intervention was compared by means of the absolute values of the difference in weight on each foot, which can be shown below (Table 4). Before and after the treatment, the experimental group did not show a significant change before and after the experiment, from 1.70±0.67 kg to 2.56±2.08 kg. The control group changed from 2.24±1.69 kg to 2.21±1.08 kg. Without significant difference between the groups before and after the treatment, the average value of the experimental group was 0.86±2.00 kg and there was a decrease by $0.03±1.69$ kg in the control group, showing a significant difference between the two groups.

Difference in rotated and curved portion volumes

Before and after intervention, the changes in DV between the two groups are shown below (Table 5). Although it was not statistically significant before treatment, DV within the experimental group changed by $0.12±0.22$ ℓ after treatment showing significant decrease in symmetry ($p<0.05$). In the control group, the change was $0.03±0.13$ ℓ, failing to show a significant change within the group. The discrepancy between the two groups did not show a significant difference.

Discussion

Although the CA after the treatment in the experimental group and the control group of this study did not show a significant difference due to the difference in the curvature degree and peak of each subject with scoliosis, the experimental group and the control group showing significant difference particularly between the groups in terms of the correction rate ($p<0.001$) without any significance within each group. The same significance and level found in each group before and after the treatment seemed to attribute to the reason to the effect of the assessment of significance which...
does not use the values but the ranking of variables based on the median value of each group that were used for posture recognition, improvement of curvature through the Hawthorne effect, and the Mann-Whitney U method as a nonparametric test.

In terms of correction rate, since it decreased in the experimental group, in the control group showing that improvements of CA converged to 0 as in the result of the study by Kim et al. [15], the significant result between groups in regards to the correction rate that calculates the degree of improvement by percentage suggests that Schroth therapeutic exercise is effective in assisting with correcting the CA for patients with idiopathic scoliosis who have maximum curvatures on their lumbar spine.

Among previous studies on scoliosis, there has been no experimental research that showed a treatment result converging statistically to 0° for the curvature angle, which can attribute reasons to the fact that a previous study, among others, that implemented a randomized experiment [14], instead of showing a significant difference in the result values after treatment for CA, which also revealed significance based on comparing the average values of the groups before and after the treatment, −2.53° in the experimental group and 3.13° in the control group, and that the result of the treatment was presented through the correction rate that was used in the previous studies which adopted manual therapy, not the Schroth therapeutic exercises.

Before treatment, the rotation angle was similar in the two groups. After treatment, the results do not show a significant difference (p<0.053), but the correction rate signification changed, which represents improvement in scoliosis based on significant reduction of the degree of VRA of the patients because the normal angle converges to 0 as the CA does. This result can be the same as that of the previous studies, which showed a significant improvement in the experimental group in terms of the VRA of the patients with scoliosis. As the result of improvement in CA and VRA in a previous study [16], which implemented the best selective applications of some of the movements of Schroth, it was confirmed that Schroth therapeutic exercises have a positive effect on surface rotation.

WD was measured by a 3D body surface examination. It provides the difference in weight of both feet obtained from the sensor on which the patient’s feet are placed. After treatment, the difference in WD of the control group was close to the significance level. This is similar to a previous study designed to have the operation as an independent variable, in which the pattern showed changes in location and direction of weight-bearing after an operation [6]. As the previous study showed a result that the symmetry was obscured as the patient put more weight on the opposite side than the previously bearing side, the result of the application of Schroth therapeutic exercises can also be interpreted to have affected the patient’s ordinary life through instruction to lead to sitting posture and exercise movements which could support the weight on the concave side of the curvature during exercise. Therefore, future studies should further investigate the effects of the differences in weight-bearing on each foot plantar pressure.

The research on the changes in volume of this study launched from a consideration that the symmetry would improve as DV decreased proportionally on the convex portion and the concave portion. As mentioned in the definition of the terminology, the closer to 1, the higher the symmetry. DV in the experimental group showed significant increase after treatment. On the contrary, the control group did not show a significant change.

As Keenan et al. [17] an estimation of the vertebral level and trunk mass of a female idiopathic scoliosis patient through computerized tomography imaging achieved an estimation that the thickness of the segment with 52.0°±5.9° for CA changes by the degree of curvature, and Yagi et al. [18], showed the relation of the iliopsoas muscle and multifidus muscle regarding in maintaining spinal stability in standing by comparing the spinal stenosis patient group and the degenerative scoliosis patient group, measuring the area, volume, and cross sectional area by means of radiographs, magnetic resonance imaging, and radiation absorbance, and revealed a significant difference in the cross sectional area of the multifidus muscle attached on the relatively rear side of the spine of the degenerative scoliosis patients compared to the iliopsoas muscle attached to the interior side of the vertebral body regarding sagittal alignment showing relevance with a significant difference. The muscular strength required to maintain standing under gravity can be revealed through the volume on the body surface, which shows that the Schroth therapeutic exercise affects the convex and concave portion on both side of the curvature with the navel as the center but rather result in less symmetry.

Among many potential interpretations, the first out of various influential factors for the application of treatment was not applied on the day of assessment in order to find the continuously maintained status. The muscles of the musculoskeletal system are voluntary muscles, which reveal hy-
pertrophy of muscle volume accompanied by the tension right after exercises, but exercises were not performed on the day of assessment, which may have resulted in failure to create a significant change. Schroth therapeutic exercise trains to direct the vertebral body actively toward the opposite direction of the curvature to reduce and, after such movements, maintain the curvature by inducing increased tension on the erectors on the concave portion of the curvature, which requires more research on how to increase the sustainment. Thirdly, a radiograph typically divides the two sides by the central sacral line from the center of the spine, but the fact that this study set the center on the navel on the surface for measurement may have affected the result. In consideration that the initial value of the volume on the convex portion of the curvature before the experiment was lower than the volume of the concave portion for a certain patient, the method to measure the body surface volume with the navel as the center may lead to lower significance in judgement of the changes in curvature of patients with scoliosis, which seems to require more research to find better alternatives. Studies on scoliosis patients through body surface assessment are in steady progress, and the major interest is given to how to process the attained data and where to put the reference point for measurement [19]. Through this study, more contemplation will be necessary in the selection of variables regarding measuring the volume of the body surface dividing the curvature portion with the navel as the center.

As an equivalent control group pre test-post test design, the most substantial limitation of this study is that the number of the parameters was not achieved as only 7 subjects were recruited for both groups due to the scarcity of patients who were not under treatment whereas a number of patients were looking to have treatment for scoliosis. Scoliosis frequently occurs in female adolescents, and they rarely miss treatment while most guardians only seriously consider the location and method of treatment due to the progressive nature of the disorder [20]. Most of the patients selected for the control group had financial problems such as the decision of payment by private health insurance or difficulty in agreement with family about using the orthosis because the patient was uncomfortable with it or refused to wear it because he or she was too sensitive to outward appearance.

Future studies should research on the correlation between the volume and mass with the measurement result using a body surface device, and more studies are needed to investigate the correlation in the asymmetry of the thorax in accordance with the peak vertebral body curvatures with the maximum curvature at the thoracic vertebrae. In the previous studies, the correlation between the objective difference in trunk volume and the subjective quality of life recognized by the patient showed a discrepancy between the objective index and subjective assessment by the patient [6], but since the volume of the body surface can be intuitively recognized outwardly, research is warranted to discover the correlation if more relevant studies are subsequently conducted.
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