Phytoremediation of Lead Contaminated Soil Using Croton (Codiaeum variegatum) Plants
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ABSTRACT
The lead contamination of the environment affects the life of organisms, as the quality of the environment influences and determines the quality of living things, both plants and animals. Therefore, remediations need to be taken so that the polluted land could be repurposed for various activities safely. Phytoremediation is a method that employs plants to move, detach, or stabilize pollutants in the form of either organic or inorganic compounds. In this study, the Croton (Codiaeum variegatum) plant was used as a phytoremediator planted in pots. Three pots were observed: 500 mg of Pb (NO₃)₂ was added to the first (T1) pot, 750 mg (T2) of Pb (NO₃)₂ was added up to the second (T2) pot, and no addition to the third (T0) pot. The parameters analyzed included plant biomass, the Pb content in plants, bioaccumulation factors, translocation factors, metal tolerance index, and photosynthetic pigment content. The results showed that Pb affected all of the analyzed parameters. Pb causes a decrease in the plant biomass and a downturn in chlorophyll a and b. The Pb accumulation in root > stem > leaf. The BAF value <1, the TF value <1 and the MTI value ranged 89.73–82.80%.
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INTRODUCTION

Environmental pollution is a highlighted issue due to the rapid development of factories built to fulfill human needs. The emergence of these factories has resulted in a myriad of waste, which if not managed well, will damage the environment. Recovery should be carried out so that the contaminated land can be repurposed safely for various activities. In order to achieve this goal, an inexpensive and environmentally friendly management strategy has to be developed, and plants are one of the alternatives.

Heavy metals enter the environment owing to the anthropogenic activities including mining, smelting, ore processing, utilization of agricultural sludge waste, use of pesticides, chemical fertilizers, and fossil fuels (Ali et al., 2013) as well as domestic waste (Kumar, 2014). On the other hand, the natural events that cause the entry of heavy metals involve weathering of the host rock, erosion, and volcanic activity (Wuana & Okieimen, 2011; Parizanganeh et al., 2012). This residence of heavy metals affects the human health and the environment, due to their high contamination and low solubility. Moreover, some heavy metals are carcinogenic and mutagenic (Sheoran et al., 2011).

Phytoremediation is the process of removing pollutants from contaminated air, water, and
soil using plants that can accumulate, reduce, or eliminate contaminants. The main advantages of the phytoremediation applications include its rapid growth with high biomass production, affordability (Ali khan adan Sajad, 2013) efficiency, environmental friendliness, (Zhang et al., 2012, Ali et al., 2013) and cost-effectiveness (Ahmadpour et al., 2012).

Lead is the second most hazardous heavy metal after arsenic (USEPA, 2000); it is toxic for animals, humans, plants, and microbes (Zhou et al., 2014). Lead is a non-vital element in the metabolism process and could be poisonous for organisms when absorbed even in a little amount. Lead (Pb) is the primary contaminant as it is spread widely in the environment (Mangkoedihardjo et al., 2008). Its mobility may be increased through the food chain mechanism so that its accumulation in soil and waters will threaten the human health and environment (Khan et al., 2010).

Ornamental plants have a quite high economic value, thus, they are very fascinating to cultivate. In addition to beautifying the surrounding, they could accumulate the heavy metals assembled in the soil. In short, ornamental plants possess various practical applications in the indication and prevention of pollution besides beautifying the environment (Mani et al., 2013).

The utilization of croton (Codiaeum variegatum) as an ornamental plant for phytoremediation is still minimal. Hence, it is necessary to further investigate the potential of croton in accumulating Pb and toxicity. By studying morphology, physiology, and biochemistry, a conclusion was drawn as a consideration for the use of croton in the remediation of the Pb metals. In short, the objective of this study was to investigate the accumulation of Pb by C. varigatum, the influence of the Pb metal on the growth of C. varigatum, the impact of Pb on photosynthetic pigments.

METHODS

Soil collection and analysis

The soil was taken from the Gedang Anak Village, Ungaran Timur District Semarang. The samples were excavated from a field using a soil sample ring in a depth of 5–10 cm. The physical and chemical properties of the soil comprised: sand, dust, and clay by 42.55%, 45.78% and 11.67%, pH 6.61, 0.44% C-organic, P 137.93 mg/100g, K 13.23 mg/100g, CEC 16.6 CMO(+)-kg⁻¹, 2.22 cm/h permeability, 11.25% water content, 1/18 g/cm³ soil volume, 2.15 g/cm³ specific gravity, and 45.12% porosity with the Pb content of 27.47 mg·kg⁻¹.

Media and growth conditions

The dry soil was mixed with vermicompost, then filtered with a 2 mm sieve. Each pot was loaded with 2000 g of soil, and a tray was placed under each pot to prevent element loss due to watering. Then, 500 mg and 750 mg of Pb (NO₃)₂ were added to the first and second pot, respectively. The test plants were one month old, had four leaves and about 20–30 cm in height.

Plant sampling and growth analysis

The plants were picked after three months of subjection to Pb (NO₃)₂. After harvesting, the fresh weight of roots, stems, and leaves was measured and then dried in an oven at 70°C to obtain a sustained dry weight.

Analysis of lead content in plants

During the investigation, 1 g of the plant sample was placed into a porcelain cup and dried in the furnace at 500°C until becoming ash, then crushed until smooth and placed in a glass beaker. Then, 50 ml of distilled water, 5 ml of concentrated HNO₃, and 2 ml of concentrated HCl were added to the crushed plants. The mixture was heated on a hot plate for 30 minutes. After being chilled, distilled water was poured upon reaching 50 ml. The measurement of the Pb metal content in plant organs and soil was done using the AAS (Perkin Elmer AAnalyst 400) with a 283.3 nm wavelength.

Analysis of photosynthetic pigments content

The photosynthetic pigments were extracted from leaves using 80% (v/v) acetone and chlorophyll a, b. The carotenoids content was determined spectrophotometrically at 665, 649 and 470 nm according to (Lichtenthaler 1987) and expressed in mg/g fresh weight.
Calculation of bioaccumulation factor, translocation factor and metal tolerance index

The metal tolerance index (MTI) method applied in this study was adopted from Wang et al. (2014). The essential indicators of phytoremediation are translocation factor (TF) and bioaccumulation factors (BAF):

\[
BAF = \frac{\text{plant metal content}}{\text{soil metal content}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
TF = \frac{\text{metal content in stems/leaves}}{\text{metal content in roots}} \tag{2}
\]

\[
MTI(\%) = \left[\frac{\text{treated plant’s biomass}}{\text{control’s biomass}}\right] \times 100 \tag{3}
\]

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was performed in triplicate and the data were recorded. All data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), using \(p \leq 0.05\) as a significance level and LSD tests conducted for pair-wise comparisons between treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of lead on plant biomass

The biomass in roots, stems, and leaves were inversely proportional to the increase in the Pb concentration (Figure 1). There was a decrease in the root biomass by 7% and 12%, stems by 17% and 24%, as well as leaves by 13% and 26% during the three-month lead exposure.

The ANOVA test results showed that Pb affected the biomass of roots, stems, and leaves. The T0 treatments on stems, roots, and leaves were significantly different from T1 and T2, yet the T1 treatments were not remarkably different from the T2.

Lead content in plants

The Pb content in roots, stems, and leaves of croton during three months of the Pb exposure with various concentrations is presented in Figure 2. The increased accumulation of Pb in roots, stems, and leaves was in line with the growth of concentrations. Moreover, the ANOVA test results showed that Pb affects the accumulation in leaves, stems, and roots. The stems and roots of all treatments differed significantly, but the leaves of treatment T1 and T2 were not considerably contrasting.

Effect of Lead of photosynthetic pigments content

The chlorophyll a and b contents decreased due to the presence of Pb, while the carotenoids content rose (Figure 3). Furthermore, the ANOVA test results indicated that Pb affected the photosynthetic pigment in croton. The T1 and T2 treatments were not significantly diverse in chlorophyll a and carotenoids, but all treatments on chlorophyll b were significantly different.

Figure 1. Effect of lead on the biomass of different parts of croton plant (mean ± SD) at various concentrations of Pb. Different letters indicatesignificant differences at \(p < 0.05\)
Bioaccumulation factor (BAF), translocation factor (TF) and metal tolerance index (MTI)

The BAF, TF, and MTI values decreased as the Pb concentrations escalated (Table 1). Bioaccumulation factor values in croton ranged from 0.2961 to 1.1019. If the BAF value less than 1, the plant is declared phytostabilization, and if the BAF value more than 1, the plant is said to be phytoextraction.

If the TF less than 1, it means that most heavy metals are accumulated in the root, while if the TF more than 1, then the heavy metals are displaced from the root to the canopy. On the other hand, the MTI was above 82.80%, indicating that croton is Pb tolerant.

DISCUSSION

This study results revealed a decline in the plant biomass either in roots, stems, or leaves. The decrease of biomass in the lead-contaminated stem, which results in a stunted plant growth, is further explained by Ahmad (2011), stating that the stem biomass is also influenced by the plant diameter. The plants exposed to lead will experience a decrease in stem diameter, number

| Treatments | BAF   | TF    | MTI    |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|
| T0         | 1.10±0.18 | 0.96±0.18 |        |
| T1         | 0.63±0.03 | 0.79±0.19 | 89.73±4.13 |
| T2         | 0.46±0.05 | 0.68±0.05 | 82.80±3.81 |

Table 1. BAF, TF and MTI values of croton
and diameter of vascular bundles, and size of the stem’s storage part. The decline in the stem biomass due to the lead exposure was also explained in the previous research. Tauqeer et al. (2016) reported that there was a decline in the dry and wet weight of Alternanthera bettzickiana on 20 mM lead exposure. The inhibition of growth may be related to the mitosis index retardment by lead and metabolic processes (Liu et al., 2008). Decreasing leaf biomass is a symptom of toxicity to plants, and its forms of toxicity adaptation were detoxification by shedding leaves (Ang et al., 2010). Leaf biomass is influenced by the number of leaves, leaf size, and leaf area (Tauqeer et al., 2016). Lead toxicity causes stunted root extension and root hair development, damage of root system, as well as absorption limitation of water, minerals, and nutrients.

Furthermore, some researchers revealed the inhibition of root growth due to lead toxicity on Elsholtzia argyi (Islam et al., 2018), Sedum alfredii (Gupta et al., 2010). The research by Al-akeel (2016) reported that the administration of 50 ppm lead causes a deplete in the root dry weight of the reed buds. The lead exposure inhibits root growth as a result of nutritional deficiencies and ion imbalances due to lead by ion absorption and lead accumulation (Glesson 2007).

The lead content in roots is greater in than in stems, whereas in stems it is higher than in leaves. Pb enters the root through mass flow and diffusion, then accumulates in the root, and is translocated into other organs through the transpiration and localization of metals in cells and tissues (Krzeslowska et al., 2010). Endodermis serves as a barrier to the Pb transfer from the root to the bud. As a result, the Pb accumulation in the root is greater than the stem or leaf. This is in line with what has been outlined in many studies that the Pb content in Pisum sativum and Allium sativum’s roots is higher than the Pb content in stems and roots (Malecka et al., 2009; Jiang & Liu, 2010).

Moreover, the research conducted by Malar et al. (2014) also reported the same thing: that the increase in lead accumulation in stems as lead treatment increased ranging from 100–1000 mg·kg⁻¹ using Eichornia crassipes. The exposure to heavy metals in the stems causes xylem and phloem damage as well as cortex and cambium; this is due to the deterioration of sclerenchyma tissue and thickening of cell walls in the parenchymal empire area (Abdussalam et al., 2014). The lead exposure to leaves causes chlorosis and necrosis, as lead inhibits enzymes so that metabolic processes, such as respiration and photosynthesis, are disrupted (Kumar et al., 2012).

The plants with TF and BAF values more than 1 have the potential to be employed in phytoextraction. Besides, the plants with BAF more than 1 and TF less than 1 have the potential for phytostabilization. Croton has a tolerance index value ranging from 75≤100, thus, it is categorized as being lead tolerant. Bioaccumulation factor is the ability of plants to accumulate heavy metals, while the ability of plants to translocate heavy metals from roots to other parts is determined through the translocation factor (TF) which is beneficial to decide on the ability of a plant as an accumulator or tolerance (Branzini et al., 2012). The metal tolerance index (MTI) measures the ability of plants to grow at metal concentrations (Zacchini et al., 2009). The plants with a high tolerance can be used in the contaminated areas. On the basis of the tolerance index value, croton is identified as a Pb tolerant plant. Adaptive plants, when absorbing metals, form reductase enzymes in the roots where the enzymes reduce the metal concentration. The minerals are then transported inside the root membrane (Arisusanti & Pirwani, 2013).

The accumulation of the Pb ions in plant tissues has an effect on photosynthetic pigments resulting in a decrease in the chlorophyll levels as metal toxicity can damage chloroplasts and thus interfere with photosynthesis. Inhibition of photosynthesis can occur due to the interference of the metal ions bound to the photosynthetic enzymes and chloroplast membrane (Piotrowska et al., 2009). Photosynthesis in higher plants is more sensitive to the Pb metal so that it affects the biosynthesis of photosynthetic pigments (Iqbal et al., 2010). Lead can hinder the biosynthesis of chlorophyll by interfering with the absorption of crucial photosynthetic pigment elements, such as potassium, magnesium, calcium, and ions (Piotrowska et al., 2009); also, it can obstruct the action of the enzymes porphobilinogen deaminase and aminolevulinic acid (ALA) dehydration needed in the process of chlorophyll biosynthesis.

**CONCLUSION**

Lead (Pb) influences the biomass of roots, stems, and leaves, as well as photosynthetic pigments. The Pb content in roots > stems > leaves,
having the value of BAF <1 and TF <1; hence, croton belongs to the excluder group. On the basis of the MTI value, croton is tolerant of Pb and an accumulator of Pb.
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