Evaluation of the effect of high dose intravenous vitamin C on delayed allograft function in deceased donor kidney transplantation: a preliminary report
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Abstract

Background: Delayed graft function (DGF) can affect short- and long-term allograft outcomes in kidney transplant recipients. One of the pathophysiological pathways in the occurrence of DGF is ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI). High dose intravenous vitamin C has proven efficacy in decreasing IRI consequences. Accordingly, we designed this study to assess the effect of high dose intravenous vitamin C on the incidence and duration of DGF.

Methods: This is a pilot, single-center, double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. In the treatment group, kidney transplant recipients received vitamin C infusion at the dose of 70 mg/kg diluted in 0.45% saline, and in another study arm, only the diluent solution was administered. Data regarding allograft function and other clinical information was recorded.

Results: This preliminary study on 19 patients (9 cases in the vitamin C and 10 cases in the placebo group) showed that after administration of single, high dose vitamin C the incidence of DGF was not significantly different between the groups, but the duration of DGF was substantially shorter in the vitamin C group than the placebo group (7.33 ± 5.68 versus 19.66 ± 0.57 days; \( P = 0.02 \)). Acute rejection episodes were more seen in the vitamin C group than in the placebo group. Although this data was not statistically significant (\( P = 0.37 \)), it led to the termination of the study.

Conclusion: A high dose of intravenous vitamin C before allograft implantation was effective in decreasing DGF duration but not DGF incidence.

Trial registration: The trial was registered in the Iranian registry of clinical trials encoded IRCT20100111003043N13 on June 24, 2019.
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Introduction

Delayed graft function (DGF) is one of the early complications after kidney transplantation. The need for undergoing dialysis therapy within the first week after transplantation is the most practical definition of DGF. However, there are different other descriptions in the literature, so reported prevalence rates are also different [1, 2]. So far, no drug has been approved for complete prevention or full recovery of DGF; hence, it is still an area of active research. Despite advances in renal transplantation and subsequent drug therapy, the incidence of DGF has not decreased, and in fact, there was an increase in DGF incidence over the period 1998-2018 [2]. The possible reason is the use of the non-ideal organ, owing to the growing need for kidney transplantation and organ shortage [2, 3]. DGF occurrence affects the short- and long-term transplantation outcomes, graft rejection, and patients’ and organs’ survival [4]. The most important risk factors for DGF occurrence include deceased donor, higher donor’s serum creatinine and age, donation after cardiac death, recipient’s body mass index (BMI), and cold ischemic time [5]. Therapeutic interventions to reduce the incidence of DGF are administered either before or after transplant surgery on harvested organ or recipient. The use of anti-inflammatory, vasodilator, and immunosuppressant drugs have been the most common studied treatments on transplant recipients [2].

Different immunological and non-immunological processes are involved in the development of DGF. One of the potential pathophysiological pathways in the occurrence of DGF is ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI) [4]. Anaerobic metabolism and cellular acidosis occur during ischemia. Ischemic state intensifies the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals [6, 7]. At the reperfusion phase, increased oxygen supply and normalization of extracellular pH can eventuate calcium overload in the cytoplasm and mitochondria and subsequent damage to cellular structures. IRI eventually causes acute tubular necrosis and activation of the innate and adaptive immune system [6]. Drugs with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties have shown efficacy in reducing IRI consequences in different studies [8].

Vitamin C (ascorbic acid) is a multifunctional micronutrient. It acts as an antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and cofactor of biological enzymes [9]. There is a growing body of pre-clinical and clinical studies using high-dose intravenous vitamin C to minimize IRI in the myocardium and brain [10]. Vitamin C has been useful in diminishing IRI in the experimental kidney model [11]. The role of high-dose intravenous vitamin C also has been established in the treatment of severe sepsis [12]. Sepsis and IRI have similar pathophysiology, in which large amounts of ROS can impair endothelial function, cause cellular damage and organ dysfunction [10]. Accordingly, given the positive effect of vitamin C on sepsis, it seems that high-dose intravenous vitamin C may also be useful in other forms of IRI.

The safety and efficacy of vitamin C in solid organ transplantation have been examined in several studies. Positive effects of vitamin C in this patient population include the prevention of transplant-induced atherosclerosis in heart transplant patients [13], improvement of endothelial dysfunction in renal transplant patients [14], improvement of creatinine clearance in renal transplant patients [15, 16]; and decrease low-density lipoprotein cholesterol oxidation in renal transplant recipients on tacrolimus [17]. In a clinical study, 75 mg oral vitamin C in combination with oral N-acetyl cysteine did not reduce the risk of DGF [18]. According to the present findings, the effective dose to reduce IRI is at least 3 g of intravenous vitamin C [10]; so ineffectiveness in that study (24) can be justified by low dose and oral administration. Most studies using high doses of vitamin C have reported no side effects more than the placebo group [19]. However, there have been reports of kidney stones, hypokalemia, fatigue, hemolysis in glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficient patients, and unpleasant sensations after vitamin C injection [20]. Hemolysis in patients with G6PD deficiency is one of the uncommon side effects of vitamin C. There are several cases of hemolysis in G6PD-deficient individuals with 3 to more than 80 g vitamin C [21]. Justification for this effect is the reduction in the amount of reduced glutathione in the presence of vitamin C in red blood cells [22]. On the other hand, Paul E. Marik, known for his vitamin C sepsis cocktail, stated in an editorial letter that vitamin C in a dose of about 6 g, not only does not increase hemolysis but also has a protective effect against it [23]. Otherwise, severe vitamin C deficiency is associated with hemolysis [24].

Given the significant role of IRI in DGF pathophysiology and evidences on efficacy of high dose intravenous vitamin C (at least 3 g/dose) in reducing consequences of IRI [10], and also evidences of vitamin C deficiency among transplant recipients [25], this preliminary study was designed to assess the effect of high dose intravenous vitamin C on incidence and duration of DGF among kidney transplant recipients.

Materials and methods

Design and population

This pilot, single-center, double-blinded, randomized controlled trial was performed in Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex affiliated to Tehran University of Medical Sciences from June to October 2019. Patients aged 14 years and over who were candidates for the first kidney transplantation from a deceased donor were included with
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written informed consent. We excluded patients with hemochromatosis, end-stage kidney disease due to hyperoxaluria, multiple organ transplantation, history of favism, and preemptive kidney transplantation. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.TIPS.REC.1398.007). Also, it was registered in the Iranian registry of clinical trials encoded IRCT20100111003043N13.

Treatment
Patients were allocated to two study arms of vitamin C or placebo by block randomization with a block size of four. Patients in the treatment group received intravenous vitamin C (500 mg/5 ml ampoules, DarouPakhsh Pharmaceutical company, Iran) at a dose of 70 mg/kg within 1 h of transplantation. Vitamin C was diluted in 250 ml of 0.45% sodium chloride solution and infused for 1 h. In the placebo group, the same volume of saline solution was infused in the same manner. Supraphysiologic blood concentrations are needed for the effectiveness of vitamin C to reduce the consequences of IR injury. This is only feasible with the administration of intravenous vitamin C for a minimum dose of 3 g/day. It can be provided by fixed doses [10] or weight-based doses in the range of 50-200 mg/kg [26]. Considering the dose of 70 mg/kg, all patients received a sufficient dose of vitamin C based on both methods. The half-life of parenteral vitamin C is short, so vitamin C bolus will attain higher plasma levels for only a short period. Transplant recipients from deceased donors in this study were pre-op admitted only a few hours before transplantation surgery. Often a dialysis session is also performed during these hours. According to vitamin C uptake during dialysis, the time interval between the end of the dialysis session and transplant surgery is selected. At this time, it was possible to inject the mentioned dose of parenteral vitamin C within 1 h. Therefore, the intravenous infusion was selected as the prescribing method during the final hour before surgery.

Patients in both study arms received the same immunosuppression regimen according to the local protocol. All patients received rabbit anti-thymocyte globulin (rATG) and methylprednisolone as induction therapy. The maintenance regimen consists of oral tacrolimus, mycophenolate sodium, and prednisolone. To opportunistic infection prophylaxis, all patients received trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, ganciclovir or valganciclovir, and clotrimazole.

Definition
The primary endpoints of the study were comparisons of DGF incidence and duration between the two arms. In this study, three criteria were used to define DGF including the need for dialysis within the first week of transplantation, a daily decrease of less than 10% in serum creatinine concentration during three consecutive days within the first week after transplantation, or urine output of less than 300 mL within 6 h after transplantation. DGF duration was defined as the number of days from the transplantation to the last dialysis session or three consecutive days with more than 10% decrease in serum creatinine concentration. Secondary outcomes were as follows: estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) using the MDRD equation at discharge and 3 months after the transplant, hospitalization duration, and rejection episodes during the first 3 months of transplantation. Protocol (surveillance) biopsies are not performed at our center. In the case of the indicated biopsies, the pathologic results were fully recorded. In biopsy-proven acute rejection (BPAR) cases, the Banff criteria have been used to evaluate transplant rejection. Cases of clinically suspected transplant rejection have been reviewed and documented based on clinical conditions and response to treatment.

Follow-up
We visited enrolled patients daily during the hospital stay. Donors’, recipients’, allografts’, and surgery data that were related to the occurrence of DGF were recorded from medical records. Serum creatinine concentrations, urine volume, need for dialysis, blood biochemistries, and cell counts of transplant recipients were recorded during hospitalization and after that monthly up to 3 months after transplantation.

Statistical analysis
Data analysis was performed with SigmaPlot 12.3 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess the normality of the quantitative data. Results are reported as mean ± SD or median (minimum–maximum). The t test or Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare normally and not-normally distributed quantitative variables between the two groups of the study, respectively. Qualitative data were compared using the chi-square or Fisher test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
During the study period, 39 transplants were performed at our center; of them, twenty patients were randomized between the two arms of the study. In the vitamin C group, nine patients completed the study period, and one patient withdrew due to shortness of breath and fatigue after infusion of one-third of vitamin C solution. In the placebo group, all ten patients completed the entire study period (Fig. 1). Basic information regarding donors, recipients, and allograft are summarized in Table 1. As seen, these data were not significantly different between the two
groups of the study, except for the donor age which is borderline significant ($P = 0.047$). HLA-typing and donor-specific antibodies (DSAs) in the case of deceased-donor kidney transplantation are not available at our center.

The primary and secondary outcomes of the study are summarized in Table 2. DGF incidence did not differ between the vitamin C and placebo groups based on both dialysis criteria and all criteria mentioned in the method section. However, the DGF duration was significantly shorter in the vitamin C group ($P = 0.02$). Secondary outcomes including eGFR, serum creatinine concentrations, rejection episodes, and hospitalization duration did not differ between groups. The frequency of acute rejection was 66.6% in the vitamin C group and 40% in the placebo group. Both groups had the same number AMR and TCMR. In the vitamin C group, two episodes of mixed rejection occurred, but the patients in the placebo arm did not experience this type of acute rejection. Although this difference was not statistically significant due to safety concerns, the study was terminated. Except for the excluded patient from the vitamin C group, others did not develop any adverse effect during the study follow-up.

**Discussion**

This preliminary study on 19 patients (9 cases in the vitamin C group and 10 cases in the placebo group) showed that after administration of single, high dose vitamin C the incidence of DGF was not significantly different between the groups, but the duration of DGF was substantially shorter in the vitamin C group than the placebo group.

Lee et al. showed that long-term outcomes of kidney function did not differ between recipients without DGF and those who recovered from DGF [27]. Therefore, in addition to reducing the occurrence of DGF, assisting its recovery, and reducing the severity of this complication may affect long-term renal outcomes. On the other hand, some reasons may elucidate the failure of vitamin C to reduce the incidence of DGF in this study. Vitamin C pro-oxidant property [28] can be a justification for its ineffectiveness in reducing DGF occurrence. Also, premature termination of trial and low sample size may be another reason for failing to achieve the primary result.

One patient in vitamin C arm developed a reaction during vitamin C infusion as it has been described in the “Result” section. At the time of these complications, the patient was not impaired in vital signs and hemodynamic parameters and does not fall into the category of serious complications. Also, the adverse effect severity based on Modified Hartwig and Siegel scale is at level two describes as the adverse drug reaction (ADR) requires that treatment with the suspected drug be held, discontinued, or otherwise changed. No antidote or other treatment required. No increase in the length of hospital stay [29]. Given that re-administration of vitamin C has not been
performed to evaluate the re-occurrence of the reaction, causality assessment of this complication cannot be accurately commented. Also, other patients did not experience such complications. However, based on the Naranjo causality assessment criterion [30], the probability of developing this complication as a result of treatment is in the possible class.

The statistically non-significant difference in acute rejection episodes caused the early discontinuation of the present study due to safety concerns. Vitamin C has

| Table 1 Baseline characteristics of donors, recipients, and organs |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Characteristic                                              | Vitamin C arm (n = 9) | Placebo arm (n = 10) | P     |
| Recipients                                                  |                    |                       |       |
| Age (years)                                                 | 33.33 ± 14.59      | 45.20 ± 11.03         | 0.06  |
| Sex (female), n (%)                                         | 3 (33.3)           | 5 (50)                | 0.65  |
| BMIa (kg/m²)                                                | 22.10 (15.5-29.4)  | 21.65 (19.1-25.4)     | 0.96  |
| Cause of ESKDb, n (%)                                       |                    |                       | 0.27  |
| Hypertension                                                | 2 (22.2)           | 1 (10.0)              |       |
| Diabetes mellitus                                           | 2 (22.2)           | 0                     |       |
| Glomerulonephritis                                          | 2 (22.2)           | 2 (20.0)              |       |
| PKDc                                                        | 0                  | 2 (20.0)              |       |
| Drug-induced                                                | 0                  | 2 (20.0)              |       |
| Other causes                                                | 3 (33.3)           | 3 (30.0)              |       |
| Type of dialysis, n (%)                                     |                    |                       | 0.08  |
| Hemodialysis                                                | 6 (66.7)           | 10 (100.0)            |       |
| Peritoneal dialysis                                         | 3 (33.3)           | 0                     |       |
| Duration of dialysis (months)                               | 24 (6-42)          | 20 (5-240)            | 0.83  |
| History of blood transfusion, n (%)                         | 7 (77.8)           | 8 (80.0)              | 0.58  |
| PRAa (%)                                                    | 0                  | 0                     | > 0.99|
| 24-h residual diuresis (ml)                                 | 200 (0-1500)       | 350 (0-1500)          | > 0.99|
| Donors                                                      |                    |                       |       |
| Age (years)                                                 | 27.89 ± 10.79      | 39.00 ± 11.74         | 0.047 |
| Sex (female), n (%)                                         | 4 (44.4)           | 2 (20)                | 0.35  |
| BMIa                                                        | 24.14 ± 2.24       | 27.30 ± 4.78          | 0.092 |
| CPRd                                                        | 3 (33.3)           | 2 (20.0)              | 0.62  |
| Hypertension                                                | 0                  | 1 (10.0)              | > 0.99|
| Diabetes mellitus                                           | 0                  | 0                     | > 0.99|
| SCra (mg/dL)                                                | 1.23 ± 0.27        | 1.14 ± 0.29           | 0.51  |
| Last eGFRb before donation (ml/min/1.73 m²)                 | 69.70 (48.40-90.60)| 78.30 (45.59-198.0)   | 0.36  |
| ECDg, n (%)                                                 | 0                  | 0                     | > 0.99|
| Length of ICU stay (days)                                   | 3 (1-5)            | 4 (1-15)              | 0.28  |
| Transplantation                                              |                    |                       |       |
| Duration of surgery (min)                                   | 210 (195-300)      | 210 (180-360)         | 0.27  |
| Cold ischemic time (min)                                    | 195.56 ± 77.35     | 221.30 ± 70.45        | 0.45  |
| ABO incompatibility, n (%)                                  | 0                  | 0                     | > 0.99|

Data have been presented as mean ± SD, median (minimum-maximum), or the number of patients (%) as indicated

BMI body mass index
ESKD end-stage kidney disease
PKD polycystic kidney disease
PRA panel-reactive antibody
CPR cardiopulmonary resuscitation
CPR expanded criteria donor
eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate
Scr serum creatinine concentration
effects on various components of the immune system. There are evidences regarding both immune-enhancing and immune-modulatory effects of this micronutrient. Its immune enhancer effect is increasing T lymphocyte proliferation and function [31]. The effect of vitamin C on B lymphocytes is inconsistent [31, 32]. On the opposite hand, the effect of vitamin C on pro-inflammatory factors and reducing tissue damage [9], increasing T lymphocytes differentiation to regulatory T cells and subsequent immune tolerance [33] and decrease in interlukine-2 (IL-2) production by lymphocytes [34] are proposed immune-modulatory effects of vitamin C. There is a report of reduced skin transplant rejection with vitamin C in the animal model by lowering T helper-17 cell differentiation and increasing regulatory T cell differentiation [35]. By putting together all the pieces of the puzzle, due to the different effects of vitamin C on the various components of the immune system, its interpretation in clinical studies should be performed with careful scrutiny. As far as we know, there has been no report of transplant rejection due to vitamin C. Most studies investigating the effect of vitamin C on transplant recipients often have used low doses and oral route of administration. The highest dose of vitamin C used in transplant patients before the present survey is a study published in 1983 in which kidney transplant patients consumed 4 g of vitamin C daily. In this study, patients with stable allograft function at least 3 months after transplantation were compared with healthy volunteers for granulocyte adhesion. The authors did not indicate the transplant rejection rate [36]. The use of oral doses and the inclusion of patients that passed the most common transplant rejection period, i.e., the first 3 months, may make a difference in the results of that study compared to our research.

In this study, for the first time, the effect of a single high-dose of vitamin C infusion was investigated among kidney transplant recipients hoping a low-cost and accessible treatment could reduce DGF duration. Also, instead of complications such as nephropathy, which have been reported repeatedly as vitamin C adverse effect, attention has been focused on the immunological effects of vitamin C. However, the study also had its limitations. Important limitations of this study are the low sample

| Table 2 Outcomes of kidney transplantation |
|-------------------------------------------|
| Outcome | Vitamin C arm (n = 9) | Placebo arm (n = 10) | P   |
|---------|----------------------|---------------------|-----|
| Primary |                      |                     |     |
| DGF, dialysis criteria, n (%)            | 1 (11.1)            | 1 (10.0)            | > 0.99 |
| DGF, urine output criteria, n (%)        | 1 (11.1)            | 2 (20.0)            | > 0.99 |
| DGF, Scr trend criteria, n (%)           | 2 (22.2)            | 2 (20.0)            | > 0.99 |
| DGF, any criteria, n (%)                 | 3 (33.3)            | 3 (30.0)            | > 0.99 |
| DGF duration (days)                      | 7.33 ± 5.68         | 19.66 ± 0.57        | 0.02 |
| eGFR at discharge (ml/min/1.73m²)        | 55.80 ± 21.14       | 52.02 ± 11.71       | 0.63 |
| eGFR 1 month after transplantation (ml/min/1.73m²) | 52.0 (18.9-84.4)    | 47.2 (36.0-85.5)    | 0.71 |
| eGFR 2 months after transplantation (ml/min/1.73m²) | 46.05 ± 16.2       | 60.6 ± 166          | 0.08 |
| eGFR 3 months after transplantation (ml/min/1.73m²) | 55.75 ± 18.23      | 60.01 ± 16.49       | 0.30 |
| SCr at discharge (mg/dL)                 | 1.3 (1.1-3)         | 1.4 (1-2.1)         | 0.56 |
| SCr 1 month after transplantation (mg/dL) | 1.6 (1.0-2.9)       | 1.3 (0.8-1.9)       | 0.34 |
| SCr 2 months after transplantation (mg/dL) | 1.7 (1.2-2.8)       | 1.22 (0.8-1.6)      | 0.009 |
| SCr 3 months after transplantation (mg/dL) | 1.40 (1.1-3.08)     | 1.13 (0.9-2)        | 0.07 |
| Secondary                                 |                      |                     |     |
| Hospitalization duration (days)          | 14 (9-48)           | 18 (8-32)           | 0.87 |
| Total acute rejection, n (%)             | 6 (66.6)            | 4 (40.0)            | 0.37 |
| AMR, n (%)                               | 2 (22.2)            | 2 (20.0)            | > 0.99 |
| TCMR, n (%)                              | 2 (22.2)            | 2 (20.0)            | > 0.99 |
| Mixed rejection episode, n (%)           | 2 (22.2)            | 0                   | 0.21 |
| Time to first rejection (days)           | 10 (5-72)           | 11.5 (5-18)         | 0.78 |

Data have been presented as mean ± SD, median (minimum-maximum), or the number of patients (%) as indicated.

* DGF: delayed graft function
* eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate
* SCr: serum creatinine concentration
* AMR: antibody-mediated rejection
* TCMR: T cell-mediated rejection
size, non-implementation of biopsy protocol in the treatment center, and lack of access to the immunological compatibility of the transplant donor and recipient.

**Conclusion**

A high dose of intravenous vitamin C before allograft implantation was effective in decreasing DGF duration but not DGF incidence. The number of acute rejection episodes was slightly higher in the vitamin C group, but it was not statistically significant. This inter-group difference raised safety concerns and resulted in premature termination of the trial. Given the results of this study and the complex effects of vitamin C on the immune system, it is prudent to test the effect of high dose vitamin C on allograft rejection or acceptance in more experimental models before other human studies.
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