Comparison of paper-based nucleic acid extraction materials for point-of-care testing applications
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Abstract Cheap, rapid, simple and equipment-free nucleic acid extraction (NAE) is highly preferred for implementing nucleic acid detection at point-of-care (POC). Paper-based NAE materials have been extensively utilized due to their low cost, abundance, portability, biocompatibility and ease of chemical modification. However, it is challenging for users to choose the proper one from existing paper-based NAE materials for specific POC applications, which is determined by their physical and chemical properties. Additionally, building the relationship between the physical and chemical properties and the NAE efficiency of paper-based materials is instructive for development of new paper-based NAE materials. In this study, we first systematically compared the physical and chemical properties of six widely used paper-based NAE materials (namely Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card, FTA elute card, Fusion 5, silica membrane and polyethersulfone (PES) membrane), and then evaluated their NAE efficiency. The obtained results indicated that pore uniformity, wet strength, porosity and functional groups are key parameters to affect the efficiency of NAE. The NAE performance of FTA card is the best with high concentration and purity. Finally, we envision that more cost-effective paper-based NAE materials will be developed for POCT application in the future.
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Introduction

Nucleic acid detection at point-of-care (POC) as a highly specific and sensitive diagnostic technology has been widely used in medical field, such as infectious diseases screening (Li et al. 2021c), cancer genetics diagnosis (Yang et al. 2021), host-pathogen interaction (Mika-Gospodorz et al. 2020), bacterial and virus testing (Yi et al. 2021). Especially, since the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic at 2019, this technology has been chosen as the standard diagnostic tool to screen and control the infectious condition of COVID-19 in the world (Xun et al. 2021). Nucleic acid extraction (NAE) is the primary step for nucleic acid detection, which determines the success in downstream amplification and signal analysis (Li et al. 2021a). Traditional NAE methods, such as phenol extraction method (Emaus et al. 2020), spin-column method (Li et al. 2021b) and magnetic bead method (Chen et al. 2020), are often associated with the issues of toxic reagents, bulky equipment, skilled operator, complex and time-consuming (40 min to 1 h) process. Thus, it is necessary to develop a cheap, rapid, simple and
equipment-free NAE technology for carrying out nucleic acid detection at point-of-care.

Paper, as a low-cost, abundant, portable, biocompatible and easy-to-modify material, has been widely used for fabricating paper-based NAE device (Batule et al. 2020; Mason and Botella 2020), which exhibits satisfactory performance for enabling nucleic acid detection at POC due to ease of use and cold chain-free transfer and storage. Common paper-based NAE devices are mainly based on various types of paper-based materials through nucleic acid specific adsorption and desorption, including Whatman filter paper #1 (Ghosh and Hazarika 2018; Hashimoto et al. 2019; Zou et al. 2017), FTA card (Choi et al. 2016), FTA elute card (Hashimoto et al. 2019), Fusion 5 (Jangam et al. 2009), silica membrane (Tang et al. 2019) and polyethersulfone (PES) membrane (Mandal et al. 2018; Rodriguez et al. 2015). For instance, Fusion 5-based automated DNA extraction device can extract DNA from whole blood within 8 min (Gan et al. 2014). FTA card can extract DNA from whole blood, drinking water, milk, spinach (Choi et al. 2016) and swab within 40 min (Chauhan et al. 2021). FTA elute card can extract DNA from dried blood spots (Hashimoto et al. 2019). Silica membrane integrated into paper-based device can extract DNA from foodborne pathogens within 12 min (Wang et al. 2020). The main difference in their discriminative applications is attributed to different paper-based materials with various physical properties (e.g., porosity and mechanical strength) and chemical properties (e.g., surface element and functional group) (Table 1), which are closely related to their performances. For instance, the special dielectric, piezoelectric high aspect ratio, good wettability and thermal stability enable cellulose-based material to possess flexibility and conductivity (Zhao et al. 2020). Thus, studying their physical and chemical properties is of great significance for users to choose the proper one for specific application. Besides, building the relationship between the physical and chemical properties and the NAE efficiency of these paper-based materials is instructive for development of new paper-based NAE technologies.

In this study, we compared the performance of six common paper-based NAE materials, including physical properties, chemical properties and NAE efficiencies. Firstly, we chose six types of existing commercial paper-based NAE materials (i.e., Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card, FTA elute card, Fusion 5, PES membrane, silica membrane). Next, their physical properties (i.e., the type and quality of fiber, morphology, mechanical strength and hydrophilicity) and chemical properties (i.e., surface element, functional group and crystal structure) were investigated. Finally, whole blood as a model sample was used to evaluate NAE efficiency of these materials.

### Materials and experiments

#### Materials

Whatman filter paper #1 (diameter of 125 mm), FTA card, FTA elute card, Fusion 5 and FTA purification reagent were purchased from GE Healthcare Life Science (USA). Express® Plus PES membrane was

| Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Know physical property (e.g., pore size, porosity, thickness, mechanical strength.) | Few studies know pore size and thickness, most studies not clear |
| Know chemical property (e.g., functional group, surface element.) | unclear |
| Low cost | Few type is cheap (e.g., glass fiber-based material) |
| Simplified operation | It still needs skilled workers |
| Portability | It still depends on lab |
| High efficiency | Different materials have different efficiencies |
| Integrated functional material including sample lysis, nucleic acid adsorption and non-specific substance washing | Existing studies mainly focus on developing various paper-based nucleic acid device |
obtained from Merck Millipore Ltd. (USA). Silica membrane was obtained from Laifeng Biotech Co., Ltd. (Hangzhou, China). QIAamp® DNA Micro kit was purchased from Qiagen (German). Guanidinium thiocyanate (GuSCN), Tween-20, Triton X-100 and isopropanol were purchased from Amersco (USA). Proteinase K was bought from Tiangen Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). Ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), tris-hydroxymethyl aminomethane (Tris), sodium hydroxide and zinc chlorides were purchased from Damao Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Iodine granules were bought from Kemi Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Guanidine hydrochloride was obtained from Shanghai Aladdin Biotech Co., Ltd (China). Ethanol was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (China). TE buffer solution was purchased from Generay Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). TB Green® Premix Ex Taq™ was purchased from Baori Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). All reagents were analytical purity.

Whole blood sample was from the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University. Informed consent for research use of whole blood was sought and obtained from the participant.

Characterization of paper-based nucleic acid extraction materials

Fiber type

Fiber types of six paper-based NAE materials were observed using high-power optical microscopy. The preparation process of sample is as follows: paper-based material was soaked and dispersed in water; Next, the dispersed fibers were placed on a piece of glass slide and dyed with Iodine-zinc chlorides reagent (Herzberg reagent); Finally, the fiber type was determined by optical microscopy based on color of fiber. The average width and branching rate of fiber (%) were measured by the fiber quality analyzer (Techpap, Morfi Compact, France).

Physical properties

The thickness of paper-based materials was measured by the thickness gauge (313-a, High Speed Rail Technology Co., Ltd., China). The quantity and tightness of paper-based materials were calculated by Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively. The morphology, including front section, back section and cross section, was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (HITACHI Co. Ltd., Japan). The dry tensile strength and wet strength of paper-based materials were analyzed by servo material multi-function tester (AI-7000-NGD, Goodtechwill, China). The shape changes of paper-based materials before and after immersion in water was investigated by smart phone (iPhone 6S). The hydrophilicity and porosity of paper-based materials were evaluated by video-based contact angle device (OCR20, Germany) and mercury porosimeter (Micromeritics, Auto Pore IV 9500, USA), respectively.

\[
\text{Paper quantity} = \frac{\text{Paper quality}}{\text{Paper area}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Tightness} = \frac{\text{Paper quantity}}{\text{Paper thickness}} \quad (2)
\]

Chemical properties

The surface elements of paper-based materials were analyzed by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) (SU8100, HITACHI Co. Ltd., Japan). The surface functional groups of paper-based materials were analyzed by Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) (Vertex 70, Netzsch, Germany) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Thermo Scientific K-Alpha+, ThermoFisher, USA). The crystal structure of paper-based materials was analyzed by X-ray diffractometer (XRD) (Bruker, D8 Advance, Germany).

Preparation of paper-based materials for nucleic acid extraction

Six paper-based NAE materials (Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card, FTA elute card, Fusion 5, silica membrane and PES membrane) were firstly cut into disk shape with a diameter of 4.5 mm through punching.

The Whatman filter paper #1 was prepared according to the previous study (Zou et al. 2017) with slight modification. 30 µL of whole blood, 3 µL of proteinase K and 120 µL of extraction buffer (800 mM guanidine...
hydrochloride, 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 0.5% Triton X-100, 1% Tween-20) were firstly mixed in EP tube for 1 min. The whole solution passed through the disk of Whatman filter paper #1 and the disk was transferred into the 200 µL of washing buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0) for 1 min, and then the disk was directly used for the downstream analysis.

According to the instruction, the extracted process of FTA card was as follows: 30 µL of whole blood was added on the disk in the EP tube. Next, the disk was washed with 750 µL of FTA purification buffer for three times. Then, it was washed with 750 µL of TE buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0) for two times. After washing, the disk was directly used for the downstream analysis.

According to the instruction, the extracted process of FTA elute card was as follows: 30 µL of whole blood was added on the disk in the EP tube. Then, the disk was rinsed by 750 µL of ddH2O and vortexed three times for 5 s and centrifuged to remove water. Then, 13 µL of ddH2O was added on the disk in the EP tube and it was heated at 95 °C for 30 min. After removing the disk, it was gently tapped about 60 times. Finally, the nucleic acid elution buffer was obtained by centrifuged for 30 s, which was used for the downstream analysis.

According to the instruction, the extracted process of FTA elute card was as follows: 30 µL of whole blood was added on the disk in the EP tube. Next, the disk was rinsed by 750 µL of ddH2O and vortexed three times for 5 s and centrifuged to remove water. Then, 13 µL of ddH2O was added on the disk in the EP tube and it was heated at 95 °C for 30 min. After removing the disk, it was gently tapped about 60 times. Finally, the nucleic acid elution buffer was obtained by centrifuged for 30 s, which was used for the downstream analysis.

According to the reported literature (Tang et al. 2017) with slight modification, the extracted process on Fusion 5 and silica membrane was as follows: 30 µL of whole blood was lysed by 200 µL of 5% TritonX-100 and then the whole solution flowed through the disk; Next, the disk was washed by 400 µL of 50 mM NaOH; Finally, the disk was directly used for downstream analysis.

The PES membrane was prepared according to the reported literature (Mandal et al. 2018) with slight modification. 30 µL of whole blood, 3 µL of protein K and 30 µL of lysis buffer (30 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 50 mM EDTA, 5% Tween 20, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 M GuSCN) were added in EP tube. After mixing, this tube was incubated at 56 ºC for 40 min. Then, 63 µL of 100% ethanol was added in the EP tube. After reverse mixed, this whole solution passed through the disk. Next, 150 µL of washing buffer (2 M GuSCN, 60% isopropanol), 150 µL of 70% frozen ethanol and 150 µL of 100% ethanol were successively used to wash the disk for two times. After drying for 5 min, 13 µL of Tris-EDTA (10 mM Tris-Cl and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) was added on the disk to incubate at room temperature for 2 h. Finally, the nucleic acid elution buffer was obtained by gently tapped, which was used for downstream analysis.

For Qiagen DNA kit, the extracted process was slight modified with its instruction. 30 µL of whole blood, 3 µL of protein K and 187 µL of PBS were firstly mixed in EP tube. Next, 30 µL of AL buffer was added into the EP tube, and vortexed and heated in water at 56 ºC for 10 min. 30 µL of sewage ethanol was added in the EP tube and then vortexed. Then, this mixture solution was transferred into Dneasy mini column and placed in 2 mL EP tube. After centrifugation for 1 min, the waste liquid was discarded. The Dneasy mini column was transferred into a new 2 mL EP tube and 75 µL of AW2 was added on it. After centrifugation for 3 min, the Dneasy mini column was transferred into another new 2 mL EP tube, and 13 µL of elution buffer was added on the membrane of nucleic acid adsorption for 1 min at room temperature. After centrifugation for 1 min, 13 µL of DNA solution was directly utilized for downstream analysis.

Efficiency evaluation of paper-based materials for nucleic acid extraction

Real time PCR (RT-PCR) and PCR were used to verify the success of NAE and evaluate the NAE efficiency of six paper-based materials. The primers and amplification production sequences of GDPAH (human genes) were supplied in Table S1. For the standard curve, the sample was prepared by QIAamp® DNA Micro kit, and the concentration of this DNA was measured by NanoReady Micro-Volume Spectrophotometer (FC-3100, Lifereal Biotech Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China). Then, different concentrations of samples (6×10^3, 6×10^2, 6×10^1, 6×10^0 ng/mL, negative) were prepared as standard samples. 30 µL of the amplification system contains 1 µL of 50 µM forward primers, 1 µL of 50 µM reverse primers, 15 µL of TB Green® Premix Ex TaqTM, 10 µL DNA template solution and 3 µL of ddH2O. RT-PCR was evaluated by CT values. For six paper-based NAE materials, 30 µL of the amplification system contains 1 µL of 50 µM forward primers, 1 µL of 50 µM reverse primers, 15 µL of TB Green® Premix Ex TaqTM, DNA sample on paper disk (i.e., Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card, Fusion 5 and silica membrane) and 13 µL of ddH2O; For amplification on FTA elute card, PES membrane
and Qiagen DNA kit, 13 μL of DNA solution was used.

In addition, PCR was used to verify the extracted success of six paper-based NAE materials and Qiagen DNA kit. These primers were the same with that of RT-PCR. For six paper-based NAE materials and Qiagen DNA kit, 30 μL of the amplification system was as follows: 1 μL of 50 μM forward primers, 1 μL of 50 μM reverse primers, 15 μL of Premix Ex Taq™, DNA on paper disk (Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card, Fusion 5 and Silica membrane) and 13 μL of ddH₂O were used; For FTA elute card, PES membrane and Qiagen DNA kit, 13 μL of DNA solution was used. The amplification production was investigated by gel electrophoresis.

Results and discussion

To facilitate users to choose the proper material, in this study, we compared the performance of six paper-based materials, including Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card, FTA elute card, Fusion 5, silica membrane and PES membrane (Fig. 1). The physical and chemical properties of these paper-based materials were firstly investigated and then their NAE performances were also observed.

Physical properties of paper-based nucleic acid extraction materials

To understand the raw materials of six paper-based NAE materials, the fiber types of these materials were firstly observed by optical microscopy after fiber dyeing (Fig. 2). The results showed that the fibers of Whatman filter paper #1 (Fig. 2Aa), FTA card (Fig. 2Ab), FTA elute Card (Fig. 2Ac) are wine red color with no pits and miscellaneous; the average width of cotton fiber is longer than that of glass fiber (Fig. 2Ba); the branching rate of cotton fiber (%) without modification is higher than that of cotton fiber with modification (Fig. 2Bb), which shows the obvious filament splitting (labeled with ““””). These results indicated that the raw materials of the three papers are cotton fiber. Conversely, most fibers of Fusion 5 (Fig. 2Ad) and silica membrane (Fig. 2Ae) are colorless and straight, and few fibers of the two papers are soft and curved, indicating that the raw materials of the two papers contain glass fiber (Etcherry and Barbosa 2012) and other fiber. For PES membrane, this material is difficult to disperse in water and unable to dye. We only observed the black agglomerate from optical microscopy (Fig. 2Af), indicating that the raw material is not fiber, so it may be a polymer (Jiang et al. 2018).

To further understand the physical properties of six paper-based NAE materials, the quantity, thickness, tightness and hydrophilicity of these materials were
measured (Table 2). According to the equation (1), the
quantity of these materials is FTA elute card > FTA
card > silica membrane > Fusion 5 > Whatman filter
paper #1 > PES membrane, indicating that the FTA
elute card needs the most raw materials while PES
membrane needs the least; the thickness of these
materials is FTA elute card > FTA card > silica
membrane > Fusion 5 > PES membrane > Whatman
filter paper #1. According to the Eq. (2), the tightness
of these materials is FTA elute card > Whatman filter
paper #1 > FTA card > PES membrane > Fusion 5 >
silica membrane, indicating that the mechanical
properties of cotton fiber-based materials are better
than that of polymer-based material, which are better
than that of glass fiber-based materials. Additionally,
the hydrophilicities of these materials are excellent
because the contact angle of each material is zero.

Based on the above analysis, the morphology of
these materials was also investigated by SEM (Fig. 3).
The front, back and cross section of Whatman filter
paper #1 (Fig. 3A), FTA card (Fig. 3B) and FTA elute
card (Fig. 3C) showed that the curved flat fiber arrays
are in longitudinal direction and the widths of the fiber
are large (the details are as follows: 18.15 ± 3.54 μm of
Whatman filter paper #1, 17.22 ± 5.57 μm of FTA
card and 17.87 ± 3.62 μm of FTA elute card). The

| Table 2 | The basic physical properties of six paper-based nucleic acid extraction materials |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|         | Whatman filter paper #1 | FTA card | FTA elute card | Fusion 5 | Silica membrane | PES membrane |
| Quantity (g/m²) | 70.73 ± 3.54 | 176.83 ± 2.82 | 282.94 ± 7.07 | 81.3 ± 3.49 | 89.59 ± 2.39 | 44.21 ± 0.7 |
| Thickness (mm) | 0.18 ± 0.03 | 0.5 ± 0.05 | 0.64 ± 0.03 | 0.4 ± 0.02 | 0.49 ± 0.03 | 0.19 ± 0.04 |
| Tightness (g/cm³) | 0.39 ± 0.01 | 0.35 ± 0.05 | 0.44 ± 0.02 | 0.2 ± 0.02 | 0.18 ± 0.08 | 0.23 ± 0.01 |
fiber is flat from the cross section, indicating that the raw material is cotton fiber as it is consistent with the shape structure of cotton fiber. In addition, the fiber surface of Whatman filter paper #1 is smooth and has the phenomenon of wire splitting broom (Fig. 3Aa, b), which contributes to the interlacing and winding of fiber to form paper, enhancing the mechanical strength of paper. The fiber surface of FTA card (Fig. 3Ba, b) and FTA elute card (Fig. 3Ca, b) has flaky substances. This maybe the lysis reagent loads on the surface of paper material through chemical modification. These fibers are arranged neatly on the cross section (Fig. 3Ac, Bc, Cc). The front, back and cross sections of Fusion 5 (Fig. 3D) and silica membrane (Fig. 3E) have cylindrical fiber with smooth surface and circular cross section and with small fiber width (3.75 ± 2.20 μm of Fusion 5 and 3.37 ± 2.09 μm of silica membrane), which is similar with the shape structure of glass fiber. The result of PES membrane (Fig. 3F) illustrated that the surface is dense porous structure and there are many cavities in the pore structures from the cross section, indicating that the material has large pore size which is helpful to chemical immobilization of molecules on paper material. However, the structures of front and back section are significantly differences because the volatilization degree of solvent is different on front and back section during the preparation process. This analysis illustrated that PES membrane is made of polymer. Additionally, the pore sizes and porosities of these materials were investigated (Fig. 3G). We observed that the pore size of each material is uneven (Fig. 3Ga). The pore sizes of Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card and FTA elute card range from 1 to 3.9 μm, from 0.1 to 0.9 μm and from 21 to 181 μm, respectively, indicating that the adsorption capacity of FTA card is highest and that of FTA elute card is lowest. The pore sizes of Fusion 5, silica membrane and PES membrane range from 21 to
181 μm, from 4.2 to 17.27 μm and from 1 to 3.9 μm, respectively, showing that the adsorption capacity of silica membrane is better than that of Fusion 5, and the adsorption capacity of PES membrane is greater than that of silica membrane. The porosities of these materials are silica membrane > PES membrane > Fusion 5 > FTA card > Whatman filter paper #1 > FTA elute card, indicating that the water absorption capability of these materials decreases with the decrease of porosities (Fig. 3Gb).

In NAE process, paper-based material often requires multiple steps operated under water environment, such as immersion in sample, washing with buffer. Thus, the mechanical properties of six paper-based NAE materials were measured by dry tensile strength (Fig. 4A) and wet strength (Fig. 4B). The results indicated that the dry tensile strength of cotton fiber-based material is highest, and that of polymer-based material is lowest, because the surface of cotton fiber has most -OH groups which can increase the combination of cotton fiber. Compared with the dry tensile strengths of these materials, the wet strengths of these materials are significant lower. The result indicated PES membrane > silica membrane > Fusion 5 > Whatman filter paper #1 > FTA card > FTA elute card, showing that the wet strength of polymer-based material is highest, because polymers are bonded by polymer bonds which are not affected by water; the wet strength of glass fiber-based materials is higher than that of cotton-based materials, because cotton fiber is easy to absorb water and swell in water. The distance between –OH groups increases after swelled, and the original hydrogen bond breaks and –OH groups does not combine, resulting in the reduction of the binding force of cotton fiber. Meanwhile, the friction between cotton fibers in water is greatly reduced, thus decreasing the wet strength. In addition, the adhesive force around the glass fiber is weakened after wetted in water, resulting in decrease of the wet strength of glass fiber-based materials. The wet strength of cotton fiber-based materials without modification is higher than that of cotton fiber-based materials with modification, because the friction and adhesion of cotton fiber modified with chemical molecules in water will be further weakened due to the action of chemical molecules on the surface of cotton fiber (Zhang et al. 2014). In addition, the Young’s moduli of these materials in dry condition (Fig. 4C) and wet condition (Fig. 4D) is consistent with their dry tensile strength and wet strength. To further observe the wet strength of these materials in real extracted process, these materials were also immersed in water at different times (Fig. 4E). The result showed that the edges of Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card, FTA elute card, silica membrane and Fusion 5 had burrs and the edge of PES membrane was smooth and did not powder falling within 0 min. After immersion in water for 20 min, the edge of Whatman filter paper #1 remained unchanged, that is caused by the -OH functional groups on the surface of this material closely combined (Zhou et al. 2020). The edges of FTA card and FTA elute card had less powder falling, because some chemical reagents were modified on the surface of these materials, which could affect the combination ability of cotton fiber. The edges of Fusion 5 and silica membrane had less glass fiber falling, because the surface of glass fiber has most Si element (it has no combined ability), which affected the interaction combined ability of glass fiber. The edge of PES membrane was still unchanged. Based on above analysis, the wet strengths of these paper-based materials were not significantly changed during immersion in water from 0 min to 20 min, which could not affect the downstream analysis.

Chemical properties of paper-based nucleic acid extraction materials

In NAE, the chemical properties of paper-based material could help the adsorption capacity of nucleic acid. Based on this consideration, this study firstly measured the surface element of six paper-based NAE materials by EDS (Fig. 5). The result of EDS indicated that the surface of Whatman filter paper #1 contains C and O elements (Fig. 5Aa), showing that it is mainly composed of cotton fiber, which is consistent with the result of SEM; the surface of FTA card has N element besides C and O elements (Fig. 5Ab), mainly indicating that the introduction of lysis reagent on the surface of cotton fiber. The surface of FTA elute card contains C, N, O and S elements (Fig. 5Ac), because lysis reagent and the adsorption reagent of impurities on the surface of cotton fiber contain N and S elements, respectively. The surfaces of Fusion 5 (Fig. 5Ad) and silica membrane (Fig. 5Ae) include Si element, indicating that Fusion 5 and silica membrane are fabricated by glass fiber composed of SiO2. For PES membrane, its surface has C, O and S elements, which
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is consistent with the PES polymer (Sile-Yuksel et al. 2014). The weight of element (Fig. 5B) illustrated that the content of element is different because of different compositions of raw materials.

Furthermore, this study also measured the surface functional groups and crystal structure of six paper-based NAE materials by FTIR, XPS and XRD (Fig. 6). From the FTIR spectrum of Whatman filter paper #1, FTA card and FTA elute card (Fig. 6A), the result concluded that the characteristic peak of Whatman filter paper #1 at 3482, 2906, 1064 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to the stretching vibration peak of O–H, C–H and C–O–C,
respectively, which is consistent with the structure of cotton fiber. The characteristic peak of FTA card at 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to the stretching vibration peak of O–H and the overlapping vibration peak of N–H, and the peak at 2909 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1638 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to the stretching vibration peak of C–H and C=O, respectively. The characteristic peak of FTA elute card at 3433 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to the stretching vibration peak of O–H and the overlapping vibration peak of N–H, while the peak at 2909 cm\(^{-1}\), 2067 cm\(^{-1}\), 1638 cm\(^{-1}\), 575 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to the stretching vibration peak of C–H, C≡N, C=O and C-S. From the FTIR spectrum of Fusion 5, silica membrane and PES membrane (Fig. 6B), the characteristic peak of Fusion 5 at 3620 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1641 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to the stretching vibration peak of -OH and the bending vibration peak of Si-OH; the peak at 1044 cm\(^{-1}\) is the stretching vibration peak of Si-O-Si; the peak at 787 cm\(^{-1}\) and 467 cm\(^{-1}\) is the symmetrical stretching vibration peak of Si-O. The characteristic peak of silica membrane at 3457 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1067 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to the stretching vibration peak of -OH and Si–O–Si, respectively. The peak at 790 cm\(^{-1}\) and 477 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to the stretching vibration peak of Si-O. The characteristic peak of PES membrane at 3080 cm\(^{-1}\) is the stretching vibration peak of C-H on the benzene ring in PES; the peak at 1321 and 1239 cm\(^{-1}\) is the stretching vibration of C-O-C; the peak at 1150 and 1102 cm\(^{-1}\) is the stretching vibration of S=O of sulfone. The above analysis is similar with the results of EDS.

From the result of XPS (Fig. 6C), Whatman filter paper #1 contains the characteristic peak of C1s and O1s; FTA card has the characteristic peak of C1s, N1s and O1s, indicating that nitrogenous substances are loaded on the surface of cotton fiber; FTA elute card contains the characteristic peaks of C1s, N1s, O1s and
S2p, showing that nitrogenous and sulfur-containing substances are loaded on the surface of cotton fiber; PES membrane has the characteristic peak of C1s, O1s and S2p. These results are similar with that of EDS and FTIR. However, Fusion 5 and silica membrane contain the characteristic peaks of O1s, Si2p and C1s, which are not consistent with the corresponding results of EDS and FTIR due to the electron binding energy of exogenous carbon (Swift 1982).

From the crystal structure of XRD (Fig. 6D), the diffraction peak of Whatman filter paper #1 at $2\theta = 14.8^\circ$ corresponds to 1-10 and $2\theta = 16.5^\circ$ corresponds to 110, $2\theta = 22.5^\circ$ corresponds to 200, $2\theta = 34.2^\circ$ corresponds to 004, which is consistent with the pattern of filter paper (French 2014), because whatman filter paper wasn’t modified with any chemical reagent. The diffraction peak of FTA card is similar with that of Whatman filter paper #1, which showed that lysis-loaded reagent doesn’t change the crystalline structure of cotton fiber. However, the diffraction peak of FTA elute card at $2\theta = 14.8^\circ$, $16.5^\circ$ weak, the peak of chaotropic salt at $2\theta = 13.3^\circ$, $20.39^\circ$, $26.6^\circ$, $29.3^\circ$ appears stronger pattern, which indicated that the crystalline region of cellulose is greatly weakened, because the lysis reagent and the adsorption reagent of impurities contains most of chaotropic salt were modified on the surface of FTA elute card, this chaotropic salt maybe change the crystalline structure of cotton fiber. Fusion 5 and silica membrane have amorphous characteristic peak of silica and non-significant diffraction spectrum, which illustrated that there are silica-based materials. Similarly, PES membrane also shows wide peak and non-significant diffraction peak, indicating that it is composed of amorphous state polymer.

Validation of the nucleic acid extraction performance

Based on above physical and chemical properties of six paper-based NAE materials, their NAE performances were also evaluated by RT-PCR (quantitative
detection) and PCR (qualitive detection). In real clinical application, whole blood is a frequently-used clinical sample. Thus, whole blood, as a model, was used to verify the performance of NAE in this study. The amplification curve (Fig. 7A) and standard curve (Fig. 7B) of pure DNA (from $6 \times 10^0 \text{ng/\mu L}$ to $6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ng/\mu L}$) were firstly established. After extracted the same volume (30 \mu L) of whole blood, the amplification curve of RT-PCR is shown in (Fig. 7C). According to the standard curve, the quantity of DNA after extraction is FTA card (3.65 ng) > Qiagen DNA kit (3.21 ng) > Fusion 5 (3.05 ng) > silica membrane (2.8 ng) > FTA elute card (2.39 ng) > Whatman filter paper # 1 (1.44 ng) > PES membrane (0 ng). The results illustrated that the extraction performance of FTA card is highest as compared to other paper-based materials, while PES membrane is not able to extract DNA from whole blood. Because FTA card needs more washing steps to clean the cell debris and other impurities, indicating that the high-purity DNA was obtained by FTA card; Qiagen DNA kit uses less elution buffer to elute DNA from spin column, resulting in the loss of DNA; FTA elute card uses less washing steps, resulting in the impurity remains in DNA solution, which maybe affect the purity of DNA; both Fusion 5 and silica membrane use one step method to extract DNA from whole blood without washing steps, which remains most impurities in the DNA solution; Whatman filter paper #1 does not modify with chemical reagent and realizes DNA adsorption based on electrostatic adsorption, resulting in the lower adsorption of DNA; the adsorption capacity of PES membrane is lowest, leading to the amplification of RT-PCR/PCR failure. The result of PCR is consistent with that of RT-PCR (Fig. S1). In addition, we also observed the adsorption of impurities of these paper-based materials during their extracted process. As shown in Fig. 7D, the result illustrated that the surfaces of Whatman filter paper #1, FTA elute card, Fusion 5, PES membrane and silica membrane show pink color,
because the non-specific substances (e.g., debris, red cell) remained; the surface of FTA card shows white color, because non-specific substances have been washed.

Through comparison of the extracted process of six paper-based NAE materials, we concluded that Whatman filter paper #1 needs about 2 min and two steps (lysis step and washing step); FTA card needs 25 min and three steps (lysis step, first washing step and second washing step); FTA elute card needs 33 min and five steps (lysis step, washing step, heat step, tapped step and elution step); Fusion 5 and silica membrane require 2 min and two steps (lysis step and washing step); PES membrane needs 167 min and five steps (lysis step, incubation step, adsorption step, washing step and elution step). Qiagen DNA kit needs 18 min and six steps (mixed step, lysis step, three washing steps, elution step). Based on above analysis, we summarized the differences in Fig. 8 & Table S1 in order to help customer to choose reasonably. From the perspective of practical use, the NAE performance of FTA card is best according to the high concentration and purity of extracted DNA. The comprehensive performance (i.e., cost, time, operation step, extraction efficiency, sample volume) of silica membrane is highest as compared to that of other paper-based materials.

Reasonable suggestions

An ideal paper-based NAE material for POCT application should has characteristics of simple operation, degradability and high efficiency (the high adsorption of nucleic acid and the low adsorption of non-specific substances). Through comparison of the perofrmances of six paper-based NAE materials, PES membrane is not suitable for NAE at POC application because of its lowest nucleic acid efficiency. Glass fiber-based material is not eco-friendly due to its non-degradable nature, while cotton fiber-based materials have better performances. Hence, cotton fiber has great potential for preparing novel functional paper-based NAE materials due to its abundance and easy to modification.

Based on the above analysis, there are some shortcomings: (a) the pore sizes of six paper-based NAE materials are heterogeneous, which affect the adsorption efficiency of nucleic acid; (b) the wet strength of cotton fiber-based material with modification (e.g., FTA card, FTA elute card) is lower, which may result in the falling of powder from material edge affecting the adsorption efficiency of nucleic acid; (c) the porosity of cotton fiber-based material is small, indicating that its water adsorption capability is lower thus affecting the purification of nucleic acid during the washing process; (d) the surface of paper-based material has not fucntional groups to reject the non-

Fig. 8 Comparison of the comprehensive performances of six paper-based nucleic acid extraction materials and Qiagen DNA kit. Note Sample volume: 30 μL of whole blood is used for all materials; Cost: the price per φ4.5 mm; Time: the whole extraction process requires time; Step: operation steps Extraction efficiency: the concentration of extracted nucleic acids
specific adsorption of impurities besides FTA elute card (FTA elute card needs elution step), leading to the non-specific protein adsorption.

To make paper-based NAE material achieve the ideal goal, several suggestions are provided: (a) the uniform pore size and the large porosity will be considered in the design and preparation of paper-based material; (b) polymer (e.g., PVA) could be used to mix with cotton fiber to improve the wet strength of paper-based material; (c) the special functional groups will be grafted on the surface of paper-based material to increase the adsorption of nucleic acid and decrease the non-specific adsorption of impurities at the same time.

To further verify the feasibility of these paper-based materials to other sample, in the future, paper-based materials will be used to extract nucleic acid from some non-invasive body fluids samples such as urine, saliva, vaginal discharge and sewage (Hasandka et al. 2022). In addition, these paper-based materials hold great potential for sample storage, sample concentration and detection. For example, cervical cell sample was stored on FTA card (Pedrao et al. 2021); filter paper was fabricated into origami structure of multiple layers for 3D sequential ion concentration polatization of human serum (Lee et al. 2021); filter paper was used to extract cytomegalovirus from urine (Amin et al. 2021).

In addition to paper-based materials, other cellulose-based materials have also been used for nucleic acid extraction and amplification. For example, nylon thread has been used to extract protein-free DNA from whole blood for disease detection (E. coli bacteriophage or lung cancer) (Chen et al. 2021). Additionally, other materials have been immobilized on paper-based materials to achieve various detections. For example, filter paper-based device impregnated with chromogenic substrate reacted with bacterial enzymes to form coloured products for colorimetric detection of S. aureus and E. coli (Asif et al. 2020; Prabhu et al. 2020). Upconversion nanoparticles as a signal reporter was immobilized on test line of nitrocellulose membrane for aflatoxin B1 detection (Guo et al. 2021). Various nanomaterials, such as functionalized metal nanoparticles and nanzyme, have been used for colorimetric pathogen detection (Nguyen and Kim 2020).

**Conclusions**

In this study, the performances of six paper-based NAE materials were compared from their physical properties, chemical properties and the efficiencies of nucleic acid extraction. The characterization of physical properties indicated that uneven pore size, and low wet strength and porosity are not conducive to the adsorption of nucleic acid. The characterization of chemical properties indicated that N element in paper-based material helps sample lysis, and Si element contributes to adsorbing nucleic acids while S element is apt to adsorb non-specific substances. The extraction efficiency of FTA card has been demonstrated to be greatest than other paper-based materials. Finally, we envision that paper-based NAE material will play a great role in POCT application.
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