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ABSTRACT. The algorithm of the ensemble pulsar time scale (PT_{ens}) based on the optimal Wiener filtration method has been proposed. This algorithm allows the separation of the contributions to the post-fit pulsar timing residuals of the atomic clock and pulsar itself. Filters were designed with the use of the cross-spectra of the timing residuals. The method has been applied to the timing data of six millisecond pulsars. Direct comparison with the classical method of the weighted average showed that use of the optimal Wiener filters before averaging allows noticeably to improve the fractional instability of the ensemble time scale. Application of the proposed method to the most stable millisecond pulsars with the fractional instability $\sigma_z < 10^{-15}$ may improve the fractional instability of PT_{ens} up to the level $\sim 10^{-16}$.

1. Introduction

Despite the algorithms of construction of the ensemble time scales are well established and the fractional instability of the TAI and TT(BIPM) scales currently is at level of a few $10^{-10}$ (Petit, 2010), development of new methods and approaches of the ensemble scales construction, including pulsar ones, presents an interest (Rodin, 2008), (Hobbs et al, 2010). In this paper the method of the optimal Wiener filtration is developed for construction of the ensemble pulsar time scale as applied to pulsar timing data. This method is compared with the classical algorithm based on the computation of the weighted average of time scales. From the general reasoning one can expect increasing of the signal estimation accuracy in comparison with the weighted average method since an additional information is used in form of the covariance function or spectrum of the estimated signal. The paper (Rodin, 2008) on the basis of computer simulation confirms the above statement.

In this paper PT_{ens} is considered primary as an independent basement for calculation of variations of the terrestrial time scales rather than an independent realisation of the barycentric time.

Section 2 of the paper contains basic formulae of the optimal Wiener filtration. Section 3 tells about pulsar observations used in this paper. In section 4 the method of optimal filtration is applied to timing data of six millisecond pulsars. The fractional instability $\sigma_z$ is computed for time scales averaged with the different methods.

2. Basic formalae

Let us assume that we have $M$ time series of the length $n$ $k_r(t_i) = k_r_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$). In our case $k_r_i$ are post-fit timing residuals of time of arrivals (TOAs) of pulsar pulses observed relative to the same time scale and with the same registering equipment. This paper uses so called square root Wiener filter which expressed by the following formula (Terebizh, 1993)

$$kH(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{S(\omega)}{S(\omega) + kN(\omega)}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, M,$$

where $S(\omega)$ is spectrum of the signal, $kN(\omega)$ is spectrum of the noise of the $k$th pulsar.

In this paper the problem of stochastic signal estimation is solved under condition of lack of apriori information, since the covariance matrix and spectrum of the signal are apriori unknown and estimated from the data itself in the assumption that the clock variations (estimated signal) and variations of the rotational pulsar phase (additive noise) are uncorrelated. Spectrum $S(\omega)$ of the signal were calculated as
an average of all cross-spectra by the formula \((k \neq l)\)

\[
S(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| k R(\omega) l^* R(\omega) \right|, \ k, l = 1, 2, \ldots, M,
\]

where \((\cdot)^*\) denotes complex conjugation, \(k R(\omega) = F[k r(t)]\) is Fourier transform of the input data.

The optimal filter is used according to the following formula

\[
k \hat{R}(\omega) = k H(\omega) k R(\omega), \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, M.
\]

The filtered signal is obtained with the inverse Fourier transform \(k s(t) = F^{-1}[k \hat{R}(\omega)]\).

The averaged signal (the ensemble scale) is calculated by the weighted average formula

\[
s = \sum_{k=1}^{M} k^w s(t), \ \sum_{k} k^w = 1.
\]

Weight \(k^w \sim k^{\text{rms}}^{-2}\), rms is the root mean square of the \(k\)th time series.

3. Observations

The pulsars PSR J0613-0200, J1640+2224, J1643-1224, J1713+0747, J1939+2134 and J2145-0750 were observed with the fully steerable RT-64 radio telescope of the Kalyazin Radio Astronomy Observatory (KRAO) (Potapov et al., 2003) (Ilyasov et al., 2004), (Ilyasov et al., 2005) (fig. 1). The AS-600 instrumental facility of the Pushchino Radio Astronomy Observatory (Astro Space Center, Lebedev Physical Institute) was used for the registration (Oreshko, 2000). The pulsar pulses were accumulated by a spectrum analyzer in two circular polarizations, 80 channels in each, with a channel frequency band 40 kHz. The observing sessions were conducted, on average, once every two weeks. The total signal integration time in each session was about 2 hours.

The topocentric TOAs were determined by fitting the session-summ ed pulsar pulse profile into a reference template with a high signal to noise ratio. We computed the barycentric TOAs, determined the TOA residuals and refined the pulsar timing parameters by minimizing the residuals by the least-squares method using the software Tempo (Taylor, Weisberg, 1989). The DD model (Damour, Deruelle, 1986) was used to refine and compute the pulsar orbital parameters. The astrometric, spin and orbital parameters of all six pulsars can be found in the paper (Rodin, 2011).

4. Results

For the purpose of unification all pulsar data were binned and averaged at the interval 30 days. Gaps were filled with the linear interpolation of adjacent values. The common part of the data in the interval MJD=51000–53490 were used. Since all observations were carried out with the same registering system, no data matching was applied.

Fig. 2a shows the binned pulsar residuals and their weighted average (solid line). Fig. 2b shows the same data passed through the optimal filter and their weighted average.

The stability of a time scale is characterised by so-called Allan variance numerically expressed as a second-order difference of the clock phase variations. Since timing analysis usually includes determination of the pulsar spin parameters up to at least the first derivative of the rotational frequency, it is equivalent to excluding the second order derivative from pulsar TOA residuals and therefore there is no sense in the Allan variance. For this reason, for calculation of the fractional instability of a pulsar as a clock, another statistic \(\sigma_z\) has been proposed (Taylor, 1991).

Fig. 3 shows the fractional instability of the ensemble pulsar time scale constructed on the basis of six millisecond pulsars observed at KRAO. From the fig. 3 one can see that the optimal filter applied to data before weighted average improves the fractional instability almost two times along all time interval \(\tau\). On the basis of visual analysis of the fig. 2 one can conclude that the common signal presented in all pulsar data and caused by behavior of the registering equipment and by variations of the local frequency standard is better determined in data passed through the filter.

At the present time at least five pulsars display the fractional instability at the level \(\leq 10^{-15}\) (Verbiest et al, 2009). One can expect that application to them of the optimal filter method will allow to obtain the
Figure 1: Post-fit timing residuals of six millisecond pulsars observed at the Kalyazin Radio Astronomy Observatory.

Figure 2: The binned post-fit timing residuals of six millisecond pulsars observed at the Kalyazin Radio Astronomy Observatory before (a) and after (b) applying Wiener optimal filter. Solid line indicates weighted average.
fractional instability of the ensemble pulsar time scale at the level of a few units of $10^{-16}$, i.e. comparable with the instability of the best terrestrial frequency standards.
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