The rs2304256 Polymorphism in TYK2 Gene Is Associated with Protection for Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus
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Background: Tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2) is a candidate gene for type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) since it plays an important role in regulating apoptotic and pro-inflammatory pathways in pancreatic β-cells through modulation of the type I interferon signaling pathway. The rs2304256 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in TYK2 gene has been associated with protection for different autoimmune diseases. However, to date, only two studies have evaluated the association between this SNP and T1DM, with discordant results. This study thus aimed to investigate the association between the TYK2 rs2304256 SNP and T1DM in a Southern Brazilian population.

Methods: This case-control study comprised 478 patients with T1DM and 518 non-diabetic subjects. The rs2304256 (C/A) SNP was genotyped by real-time polymerase chain reaction technique using TaqMan minor groove binder (MGB) probes.

Results: Genotype and allele frequencies of the rs2304256 SNP differed between T1DM patients and non-diabetic subjects (P<0.0001 and P=0.001, respectively). Furthermore, the A allele was associated with protection against T1DM under recessive (odds ratio [OR], 0.482; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.288 to 0.806) and additive (OR, 0.470; 95% CI, 0.278 to 0.794) inheritance models, adjusting for human leukocyte antigen (HLA) DR/DQ genotypes, gender, and ethnicity.

Conclusion: The A/A genotype of TYK2 rs2304256 SNP is associated with protection against T1DM in a Southern Brazilian population.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic hyperglycemia in type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is caused by the severe autoimmune destruction of pancreatic β-cells by macrophages and T lymphocytes, which renders subjects insulin-dependent for life [1]. Autoimmunity against β-cells occurs in the context of crosstalk between invading immune cells and the target β-cells, and is triggered by a multifaceted interaction between several genetic and environmental risk factors [1-3]. To date, genome-wide association studies have identified more than 60 loci associated with T1DM. Among these loci, the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class II (DR/DQ) region has shown the greatest impact on T1DM susceptibility, with an odds ratio (OR) >7 [2,4,5]. Although single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in other loci confer modest risks (OR <2) for T1DM, studies have suggested the combination of HLA genotypes with non-HLA SNPs may be useful for disease prediction [5-7].

β-Cells express 80% of T1DM candidate genes [8-10], which may contribute to T1DM pathogenesis by regulating important pathways in these cells, such as activation of apoptosis, antiviral activity, and innate immunity, involving retinoic acid-
inducible-like (RIG-like) receptors and regulators of type 1 interferons (IFN-I) [8-11]. Tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2) is a T1DM candidate gene that encodes a member of the Janus kinase (JAK) family of tyrosine kinases, which play a key role in the intracellular signaling of cytokines and IFN-I.

TYK2 is bound to the IFN-I receptor (IFNAR1) on cell surface in its inactive form. After IFN-α binding to the IFNAR1, TYK2, and JAK1 are activated, leading to recruitment and phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 1 and 2. STAT1/2 heterodimers translocate to the nucleus, where they regulate the expression of IFN-stimulated genes [8,11-13]. In β-cells, TYK2 seems to activate apoptotic and pro-inflammatory pathways via modulation of IFN-I signaling after a viral infection [8]. Interestingly, a study performed by Izumi et al. [14] in C57BL/6 mice carrying a mutant promoter region haplotype (containing 11 mutations) in the Tyk2 gene demonstrated this haplotype was associated with susceptibility to T1DM induced by viral infection.

Accordingly, SNPs in the TYK2 gene have been associated with protection against autoimmune diseases, including systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), ulcerative colitis (UC), Crohn's disease (CD), multiple sclerosis, and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) [15-17]. The minor A allele of the rs2304256 SNP in TYK2 has also been associated with protection against T1DM [18]. Importantly, this missense SNP (C/A; Val362Phe) in exon 8 of the TYK2 gene seems to be functional, since B lymphoblastoid cells (BLCLs) obtained from subjects with the A/A genotype showed less marked IFN-α-induced STAT1 phosphorylation compared to cells from subjects with the C/C genotype [8]. Another study performed in a Japanese population was not able to confirm the association with T1DM [19]. To our knowledge, no other study has evaluated the association between the Tyk2 gene and T1DM - the association was not able to confirm the association with T1DM [19].

For the case group, a standard questionnaire was used to collect information regarding age, age at T1DM diagnosis, drug treatment, and ethnicity. All patients underwent complete physical examination and laboratory tests, as previously described by our research group [22,23]. For the control group, a simplified questionnaire was applied to collect information on age, family history of diabetes or other diseases, current drug treatment, and presence of arterial hypertension. Weight and height were measured for BMI calculation, and blood was collected for HbA1c measurement. Subjects with glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels ≥5.7% [1] and/or a family history of diabetes were excluded from the control sample. Ethnicity was defined based on self-classification, and categorized dichotomously as white or non-white.

Genotyping of the rs2304256 SNP in the TYK2 gene and HLA DR/DQ genotypes
DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes by a standardized salting-out technique [24]. The rs2304256 (C/A) SNP in TYK2 was genotyped by allele discrimination-real time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique using a TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Foster City, CA, USA) specific for this SNP (Assay ID: C_25473911_10).
Real-time PCR reactions were performed in 384-well plates, in a total volume of 5 µL, using 2 ng of DNA, Mastermix TaqPath ProAmp 1x (Thermo Fischer Scientific), and TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay 1x. The plates were then placed in the ViiA7 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fischer Scientific) and heated for 10 minutes at 95°C, followed by 50 cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds and 62°C for 1 minute, as suggested by the manufacturer. Of note, 10% of all PCR reactions were performed twice, with a calculated error rate based on PCR duplicates of <0.5%. Genotyping success was >95% in our samples.

Considering that HLA DR/DQ genotypes may affect the association between the rs2304256 SNP and T1DM, we also analyzed frequencies of HLA high-risk genotypes in all subjects in order to control for a possible association between the TYK2 SNP and T1DM for the HLA genotypes. For this purpose, three SNPs (rs3104413, rs2854275, and rs9273363) adjacent to the HLA class II DR/DQ region were genotyped by using specific Custom TaqMan Genotyping Assays 40x (Thermo Fischer Scientific), as previously described [25]. This method was used considering that Nguyen et al. [26] showed these SNPs can predict HLA DR/DQ genotypes associated with T1DM susceptibility with an accuracy higher than 99%. Thus, using this method, we calculated predicted frequencies of the following HLA DR/DQ genotypes: high-risk (DR4/DQ8 or DR3/DR4-DQ8 or DR3/DR3), intermediate-risk (DR3/DRx), and low-risk (DRx/DRx or D4/DQ7) genotypes, where x can be different non-risk alleles [26]. These three SNPs are located in the intergenic region between HLA-DRB1, HLA-DQA1, and HLA-DQB1, being in strong linkage disequilibrium with the high-risk HLA genotypes. For this reason, they show high accuracy for high-risk HLA DR/DQ genotype prediction.

Statistical analyses

Allele frequencies were calculated by gene counting, and deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were analyzed using chi-square tests. Allele and genotype frequencies were compared between case and control groups with chi-square tests. Moreover, genotypes were compared between groups under additive, recessive, and dominant inheritance models [27, 28]. Normality of distribution of variables was checked using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. Quantitative variables are shown as mean±standard deviation, while categorical variables are shown as percentages. Clinical and laboratory characteristics were compared between groups using unpaired Student's t-tests or chi-square tests, as appropriate. Logistic regression analyses were used to estimate ORs with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and P values for the effects of rs2304256 genotypes on T1DM susceptibility, both for genotype frequencies and different inheritance models and adjusting for covariates. Power calculation was performed online at www.openepi.com. The study has a power of approximately 80% (α=0.05) to detect an OR lower than 0.65, considering an A allele frequency of 30% in controls. Statistical analyses were performed in PASW Statistics version 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and P values <0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Sample description

Clinical and laboratory characteristics of the T1DM patients and non-diabetic subjects included in this study are shown in Table 1. Mean BMI and the percentage of males were higher in the control group than in the T1DM group. Non-white subjects comprised 9.3% of the T1DM group and 11.8% of the control group than in the T1DM group. Non-white subjects comprised 9.3% of the T1DM group and 11.8% of the control group. Non-white subjects comprised 9.3% of the T1DM group and 11.8% of the control group.

Table 1. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of T1DM patients (cases) and non-diabetic subjects (controls)

| Characteristic | Control group (n=518) | T1DM group (n=478) | P value<sup>a</sup> |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Age, yr       | 39.1±10.0            | 38.3±12.6         | 0.296             |
| Male sex, %   | 59.1                 | 51.5              | 0.019             |
| T1DM duration, yr | -                 | 20.2±9.1         | -                 |
| Ethnicity (non-White), % | 11.8            | 9.3              | 0.233             |
| HbA1c, % (mmol/mol) | 5.3±0.3           | 8.7±2.0           | <0.0001           |
| (34±0.39)    | (72±10)             |                   |                   |
| High-risk HLA DR/DQ genotypes, %<sup>b</sup> | 17.5              | 58.0              | <0.0001           |
| BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup> | 27.1±4.5          | 24.4±3.7          | <0.0001           |
| Hypertension, % | -                 | 46.4              | -                 |
| Age at T1DM diagnosis, yr | -               | 17.4±9.7         | -                 |
| Diabetic kidney disease, % | -                 | 40.4              | -                 |
| Diabetic retinopathy, % | -                 | 59.8              | -                 |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; BMI, body mass index.

<sup>a</sup>P values were obtained using chi-square tests or t-tests, as appropriate, <sup>b</sup>High-risk HLA DR/DQ genotypes: DR4/DQ8, DR3/DR4-DQ8, or DR3/DR3.
control sample \((P=0.233)\). As expected, mean HbA1c levels and frequency of high-risk HLA DR/DQ genotypes were higher in T1DM patients compared with controls. Mean age at T1DM diagnosis was 17.4±9.7 years, and the mean T1DM duration was 20.2±9.1 years. Moreover, 59.8% of patients with T1DM had diabetic retinopathy (DR), while 40.4% had diabetic kidney disease (DKD). Mean age did not differ between groups.

### Genotype and allele distributions

Genotype frequencies of the \(TYK2\) rs2304256 (C/A) SNP were not in accordance with those predicted by the HWE in controls \((P<0.001)\). Table 2 shows genotype and allele frequencies of this SNP in T1DM patients and non-diabetic subjects. Genotype frequencies were significantly different between the T1DM and control groups \((P=0.001)\). Accordingly, the A allele frequency was lower in T1DM patients compared with the control group \(23.1\%\) vs. \(29.6\%, P=0.001\). Moreover, the A allele was associated with protection against T1DM under recessive \((P<0.0001)\) and additive \((P<0.0001)\) inheritance models. After adjustment for the presence of high-risk HLA DR/DQ genotypes, gender, and ethnicity, the A/A genotype remained independently associated with protection against T1DM in both inheritance models (recessive [OR, 0.482; 95% CI, 0.288 to 0.806; \(P=0.005\)], additive [OR, 0.470; 95% CI, 0.278 to 0.794; \(P=0.005\)].)

Genotype distributions of the rs2304256 SNP were different between white and non-white subjects (white: 55.5% C/C, 33.5% C/A, and 11.0% A/A; non-white: 69.5% C/C, 27.6% C/A, and 2.9% A/A; \(P=0.005\)). Accordingly, the A allele frequency was increased in white subjects compared with non-white subjects \(28.0\%\) vs. \(17.0\%, P=0.0008\). Thus, to evaluate whether ethnicity could influence our results, we next analyzed the association of the rs2304256 SNP with T1DM after stratifying samples by ethnicity (Table 3). In white subjects, the A allele frequency remained significantly decreased in T1DM patients compared with control subjects \(24.0\%\) vs. \(31.0\%, \(P=0.005\); the A allele remained significantly associated with protection against T1DM for both recessive and additive models, adjusting for high-risk HLA DR/DQ genotypes and gender (Table 3). In non-white subjects, genotype and allele frequencies of the rs2304256 SNP did not differ significantly between case and control groups; however, these data should be interpreted with caution considering the small number of non-white sub-

### Table 2. Genotype and allele frequencies of \(TYK2\) rs2304256 polymorphism in T1DM patients and non-diabetic subjects

| Variable | Control group \((n=518)\) | T1DM group \((n=478)\) | \(P\) value\(^a\) | Adjusted OR (95% CI)/\(P\) value\(^b\) |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Genotypes** | | | | |
| C/C | 282 (54.4) | 287 (60.0) | 0.001 | 1 |
| C/A | 165 (31.9) | 161 (33.7) | 0.921 (0.660–1.285)/0.627 | 0.467 (0.275–0.794)/0.005 |
| A/A | 71 (13.7) | 30 (6.3) | 0.467 (0.275–0.794)/0.005 | - |
| **Alleles** | | | | |
| C | 0.704 | 0.769 | 0.001 | - |
| A | 0.296 | 0.231 | - | - |
| **Recessive model** | | | | |
| C/C+C/A | 447 (86.3) | 448 (93.7) | <0.0001 | 1 |
| A/A | 71 (13.7) | 30 (6.3) | 0.482 (0.288–0.806)/0.005 | - |
| **Additive model** | | | | |
| C/C | 282 (79.9) | 287 (90.5) | <0.0001 | 1 |
| A/A | 71 (20.1) | 30 (9.5) | 0.470 (0.278–0.794)/0.005 | - |
| **Dominant model** | | | | |
| C/C | 282 (54.4) | 287 (60.0) | 0.074 | 1 |
| C/A+A/A | 236 (45.6) | 191 (40.0) | 0.782 (0.575–1.063)/0.116 | - |

Values are presented as number (%).

\(TYK2\), tyrosine kinase 2; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

\(^a\)P values calculated by chi-square test, \(^b\)P values after adjustment for high-risk HLA DR/DQ genotypes, gender, and ethnicity.
Table 3. Genotype and allele frequencies of TYK2 rs2304256 polymorphism between cases and controls stratified by ethnicity

| Variable          | Control group (n=456) | T1DM group (n=431) | P value\(^a\) | Adjusted OR (95% CI)/P value\(^b\) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| **White subjects**|                       |                    |               |                                  |
| **Genotypes**     |                       |                    |               |                                  |
| C/C               | 240 (52.6)            | 252 (58.5)         | <0.0001       | 1                                |
| C/A               | 147 (32.2)            | 150 (34.8)         | 0.839 (0.585–1.203)/0.339 |
| A/A               | 69 (15.1)             | 29 (6.7)           | 0.485 (0.276–0.851)/0.012         |
| **Alleles**       |                       |                    |               |                                  |
| C                 | 0.690                 | 0.760              | <0.001        | -                                |
| A                 | 0.310                 | 0.240              |               |                                  |
| **Recessive model**|                      |                    |               |                                  |
| C/C+C/A           | 387 (84.9)            | 402 (93.3)         | <0.0001       | 1                                |
| A/A               | 69 (15.1)             | 29 (6.7)           | 0.518 (0.301–0.894)/0.018         |
| **Additive model**|                      |                    |               |                                  |
| C/C               | 240 (77.7)            | 252 (89.7)         | <0.0001       | 1                                |
| A/A               | 69 (22.3)             | 29 (10.3)          | 0.488 (0.281–0.846)/0.011         |
| **Dominant model**|                      |                    |               |                                  |
| C/C               | 240 (52.6)            | 252 (58.5)         | 0.093         | 1                                |
| C/A+A/A           | 216 (47.4)            | 179 (41.5)         | 0.734 (0.526–1.023)/0.068         |
| **Non-white subjects**|                   |                    |               |                                  |
| Genotypes         |                       |                    |               |                                  |
| C/C               | 41 (67.2)             | 32 (72.7)          | 0.823         | 1                                |
| C/A               | 18 (29.5)             | 11 (25.0)          | 1.234 (0.389–3.910)/0.721         |
| A/A               | 2 (3.3)               | 1 (2.3)            | 0.816 (0.056–11.957)/0.882        |
| **Alleles**       |                       |                    |               |                                  |
| C                 | 0.820                 | 0.850              | 0.661         | -                                |
| A                 | 0.180                 | 0.150              |               |                                  |
| **Dominant model**|                      |                    |               |                                  |
| C/C               | 41 (67.2)             | 32 (72.7)          | 0.696         | 1                                |
| C/A+A/A           | 20 (32.8)             | 12 (27.3)          | 1.164 (0.393–3.451)/0.784         |

Values are presented as number (%).  
TYK2, tyrosine kinase 2; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.  
\(^a\)P values calculated by chi-square test, \(^b\)P values after adjustment for high-risk HLA DR-DQ genotypes and gender.

jects and consequent lack of statistical power.

Table 4 depicts clinical and laboratory characteristics of T1DM patients broken down by presence of the A/A genotype of the rs2304256 SNP (recessive model: C/C+C/A vs. A/A). Frequencies of gender, high-risk HLA DR/DQ genotypes, ethnicity, hypertension, DR, and DKD, as well as mean age, age at diagnosis, T1DM duration, HbA1c, and BMI were not significantly different between T1DM patients with the A/A genotype and patients carrying the C allele (all P values >0.05). Of note, excluding non-white subjects from these analyses did not change the results (data not shown). The same variables were not significantly different between T1DM patients carrying the A allele (C/A+A/A) and patients with the C/C genotype (dominant model of inheritance, data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

TYK2 plays an important role in immunity because it encodes
a nonreceptor tyrosine kinase that is constitutively expressed across different immune cells and activates dendritic cells to present self-antigens to autoreactive T-cells [15,29]. Moreover, TYK2 has a key role in regulating the intracellular signaling of several cytokines and IFN-I, and seems to be involved in β-cells apoptosis, which are key mechanisms implicated in T1DM pathogenesis [8]. Thus, TYK2 is a candidate gene for T1DM. Even though the TYK2 rs2304256 (C/A) SNP has been associated with other autoimmune diseases in different populations [15,17-19,30-34], only two studies evaluated its association with T1DM [18,19]. Thus, for the first time, we replicated the association of the rs2304256 SNP with T1DM in a Southern Brazilian population of mixed ethnicity, showing that the A/A genotype of this SNP protects against T1DM.

Our results are in accordance with a previous study by Wallace et al. [18], who evaluated the rs2304256 SNP in 2,686 patients with T1DM and 4,794 non-diabetic controls of European ancestry and found the A allele to be associated with protection for T1DM (OR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.81 to 0.94). In contrast, another study that included 244 patients with T1DM and 254 non-diabetic controls from a Japanese population was not able to confirm this association [19]. These contradictory results might be explained by differences in genetic backgrounds related to the ethnicities analyzed and to the lower incidence of T1DM in East Asians compared with Europeans and Brazilians [35]. Even though mean age and HbA1c levels were similar between patients from the study by Nagafuchi et al. [19] and our sample, the mean age at T1DM diagnosis was higher in their study compared with ours (27.8±17.9 years vs. 17.4±9.7 years), which could also have influenced the discrepant results.

Besides T1DM, the rs2304256 A allele has also been associated with protection against other autoimmune diseases. Tao et al. [17] performed a meta-analysis of 11 studies (21,497 cases and 22,647 healthy controls) to confirm the association of a number of TYK2 SNPs with some autoimmune and inflammatory diseases (SLE, RA, UC, and CD). Their data showed the rs2304256 A allele to be associated with protection against these diseases (OR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.81, for the dominant model of inheritance) [17]. The authors speculated that the rs2304256 A allele may reduce TYK2 function, resulting in a decreased susceptibility to autoimmune diseases [17]. Another meta-analysis including 12 studies, totaling 16,335 cases with SLE or RA and 30,065 controls, confirmed that the rs2304256 A allele confers protection against these rheumatic diseases (OR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.80 to 0.98) [16].

The TYK2 rs2304256 SNP causes a valine-to-phenylalanine change at position 362 in the Jak-homology 4 (JH4) region, which is critical for interaction with IFNAR1 [8,36]. Although the functional significance of this SNP remains unclear, it may be related to abnormal downstream regulation of the IFN-I pathway [16]. Accordingly, Nyaga et al. [36], analyzing T1DM-associated SNP-gene pairs using the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database and bioinformatics analyses, predicted that the TYK2 rs2304256 SNP may contribute to T1DM pathogenesis by cis-regulating a number of genes within the IFN-I signaling pathway.

Moreover, a study demonstrated that TYK2 silencing in human β-cells exposed to polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (poly I:C [PIC], a mimic of double-stranded RNA produced during viral infection) decreased IFN-I pathway activation and expression of C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10) and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I proteins, a hallmark of early β-cell inflammation in T1DM [8]. Consequently, TYK2 inhibition also prevented PIC-induced β-cell apoptosis [8]. Importantly, these authors showed that BLCLs isolated from subjects with the rs2304256 A/A genotype were less responsive to PIC compared with BLCLs from controls.

### Table 4. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of T1DM patients broken down by the presence of the A/A genotype of the rs2304256 SNP in TYK2 gene (recessive model)

| Characteristic                  | C/C+C/A (n=448) | A/A (n=30) | P value<sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------|---------------------|
| Age, yr                        | 38.4±12.7      | 37.6±11.2  | 0.768               |
| Age at diagnosis, yr           | 17.3±9.8       | 18.5±9.9   | 0.557               |
| T1DM duration, yr              | 20.1±8.8       | 20.8±6.4   | 0.701               |
| Male sex, %                    | 51.4           | 53.3       | 0.983               |
| Ethnicity (non-white), %       | 9.7            | 3.3        | 0.405               |
| HbA1c, % (mmol/mol)            | 8.7±2.0 (72±10) | 8.6±2.2 (70±12.5) | 0.772               |
| T1DM high-risk HLA DR/DQ        | 57.8           | 59.3       | >0.999              |
| genotypes, %                   |                |            |                     |
| BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup>          | 24.4±3.7       | 24.6±3.6   | 0.843               |
| Hypertension, %                | 46.1           | 50.0       | 0.876               |
| Diabetic retinopathy, %        | 60.2           | 53.6       | 0.623               |
| Diabetic kidney disease, %     | 39.2           | 56.5       | 0.254               |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; TYK2, tyrosine kinase 2; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; BMI, body mass index. <sup>a</sup>P values are according to χ<sup>2</sup> tests or t-tests, as appropriate. <sup>b</sup>High-risk HLA DR/DQ genotypes: DR4/DQ8, DR3/DR4-DQ8, or DR3/DR3.
showed a trend for less marked IFN-α-induced STAT1 phosphorylation compared with subjects carrying the C/C genotype (3.5-fold STAT1 phosphorylation vs. 5.7-fold increase compared to the basal condition, respectively) [8]. Therefore, the authors concluded that the protective effect of the A allele against T1DM is mediated by downregulation of IFN-α signaling, which decreases the production of proinflammatory cytokines and inflammation [8].

Besides the rs2304256 SNP, other SNPs in the TYK2 gene have been associated with T1DM [19,32,33]. The minor alleles of the rs34536443 (G/C) and rs12720356 (A/C) SNPs were associated with protection against T1DM (OR, 0.67; \( P = 4.4 \times 10^{-15} \); and OR, 0.82; \( P = 3.7 \times 10^{-7} \), respectively) in samples from different localities in Europe [32]. In addition, Nagafuchi et al. [19] evaluated different SNPs at the promoter region and exons of the TYK2 gene in 302 T1DM patients and 331 non-diabetic controls from a Japanese population, and showed that a haplotype constituted of two rare SNPs at the promoter region (-930G/A and -929G/T) conferred risk for T1DM (OR, 2.4; 95% CI, 1.2 to 4.6). Interestingly, the TYK2 haplotype was associated with decreased promoter activity and conferred higher risk for T1DM in those patients with a flu-like syndrome (OR, 3.6; 95% CI, 1.5 to 8.5), suggesting that this haplotype is a putative candidate for a virus-induced diabetes susceptibility region in humans [19].

Based on the above-mentioned evidence, we hypothesized that the TYK2 rs2304256 A allele might protect against T1DM through TYK2 downregulation, which would consequently blunt the IFN-I pro-inflammatory pathway, thus reducing the production of proinflammatory cytokines and inflammation in β-cells. In this context, blocking the TYK2/IFN-I pathway in recently-diagnosed T1DM patients may hinder the autoimmunity against β-cells and, hence, preserve some residual β-cell function and improve glyemic control. Interestingly, Fujita et al. [37] reported that treating a patient with RA complicated by systemic sclerosis and T1DM for 52 weeks with baricitinib—a JAK 1/2 inhibitor that downregulates the IFN-pathway and is approved for the treatment of RA [38]—attenuated RA symptoms, skin sclerosis, and decreased daily insulin requirements and HbA1c levels. Baricitinib has also been tested for the treatment of other autoimmune diseases, such as SLE and psoriasis [39,40]. Thus, IFN-I blockade could be effective in the treatment of recent-diagnosed T1DM.

A few limitations should be considered in interpreting our results. First, genotype frequencies of the rs2304256 SNP were not in agreement with those predicted by HWE in the control group. Even though genotyping by real-time PCR is a reliable technique [41], we checked all genotype data manually to exclude the possibility of genotyping errors, and also genotyped 10% of the samples twice. Moreover, to exclude a possible effect of stratification bias in this deviation from HWE, we excluded the non-white subjects from the sample. However, genotype frequencies remained not in agreement with those predicted by HWE in the control group constituted only of white subjects. Thus, one possible explanation for this deviation from HWE is that the rs2304256 SNP is associated with protection against T1DM with a lower OR and, therefore, could be under selective forces. Second, we cannot exclude the possibility of population stratification bias when analyzing our data, despite the frequencies of the rs2304256 SNP not being statistically different between white and non-white subjects. To exclude this bias, regression analyses included ethnicity as a covariate, showing that the observed association with T1DM was independent of ethnicity. Moreover, we analyzed white and non-white subgroups separately (Table 3), confirming the observed association in white subjects. However, the association with T1DM was not confirmed in the non-white group, probably due to lack of statistical power. Third, we did not have available data regarding C-peptide and glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) autoantibodies at the time of T1DM patient selection; thus, despite all efforts, we cannot exclude the possibility of selection bias in our study. Of note, as mentioned above, all patients included in the T1DM group met the ADA [1] criteria and were diagnosed at a center of excellence for T1DM care. In this context, T1DM classification was mainly based on clinical characteristics; C-peptide and/or anti-GAD were measured only in a small group of subjects when there were doubts about diabetes classification. Theoretically, this could lead to some misclassification, and some insulinogenic T2DM patients may have been included.

In conclusion, the present study indicates that the A/A genotype of the rs2304256 SNP in TYK2 is associated with protection against T1DM in a Southern Brazilian population of mixed ethnicity. This association is biologically plausible, considering the involvement of TYK2 in immune system function and in the regulation of inflammation and apoptosis of β-cells. The present data contribute to the search for possible genetic markers of T1DM susceptibility and, if the association of the TYK2 rs2304256 SNP with protection against T1DM is confirmed in further studies, to the development of predictive
scores for T1DM.
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