Hydrogen sulfide facilitates reprogramming and trans-differentiation in 3D dermal fibroblast

Elena A. Ostrakhovitch, Shin Akakura, Siamak Tabibzadeh*
Frontiers in Bioscience Research Institute in Aging and Cancer, Irvine, CA, United States of America
* fbsResearchInstitute@gmail.com

Abstract

The efficiency of cell reprogramming in two-dimensional (2D) cultures is limited. Given that cellular stemness is intimately related to microenvironmental changes, 3D cell cultures have the potential of overcoming this limited capacity by allowing cells to self-organize by aggregation. In 3D space, cells interact more efficiently, modify their cellular topology, gene expression, signaling, and metabolism. It is yet not clear as how 3D culture environments modify the reprogramming potential of fibroblasts. We demonstrate that 3D spheroids from dermal fibroblasts formed under ultra-low attachment conditions showed increased lactate production. This is a requisite for cell reprogramming, increase their expression of pluripotency genes, such as OCT4, NANOG and SOX2, and display upregulated cystathionine-β-synthase (CBS) and hydrogen sulfide (H2S) production. Knockdown of CBS by RNAi suppresses lactic acid and H2S production and concomitantly decreases the expression of OCT4 and NANOG. On the contrary, H2S donors, NaHS and garlic-derived diallyl trisulfide (DATS), promote the expression of OCT4, and support osteogenic trans-differentiation of fibroblasts. These results demonstrate that CBS mediated release of H2S regulates the reprogramming of dermal fibroblasts grown in 3D cultures and supports their trans-differentiation.

Introduction

The appeal of regenerative medicine lies in the use of stem cells to replace damaged tissues. The patient-specific pluripotent stem cells are generated by de-differentiation of adult somatic cells. The reprogramming is achieved by the introduction of a combination of Octamer Binding Transcription Factor 4 (OCT4 also called POU5F1), KLF4, SRY-Box transcription factor 2 (SOX2) and cMYC or OCT4 (POU5F1), KLF4, SOX2 and NANOG to dermal fibroblasts [1–3]. iPSCs have also been generated by the use of small-molecule drugs [4]. Artificially induced pluripotent cells (iPSCs) differentiate into cells of all three germ layers. In view of such vast differentiation potential, iPSCs have gained many clinical applications in regenerative medicine. However, iPSCs therapy faces significant difficulties such as low efficiency and safety issues at the risk of tumorigenesis following implantation. These issues limit the therapeutic values of iPSC.
Reprogramming of somatic cells is a multi-step process characterized by transcriptome and proteome resetting [5]. The initial phase of reprogramming is characterized by cytoskeletal changes [6]. In 3D conditions, extracellular physical forces provide a crucial set of signals that control cell structure and functions. These forces facilitate cell reprogramming and increase the efficiency of trans-differentiation. Transient acquisition of pluripotency (without progressing through iPSC intermediates) reprograms fibroblasts to a different somatic cell type that facilitates the lineage trans-differentiation [7]. In addition, studies using organoid culture showed that tissue-specific stem cells in 3D culture exhibit better tissue-specific function and more easily reproduce the in vivo tissue development and regenerative processes [8].

The 3D culture enhances the reprogramming of human mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (hMSCs) into iPSCs due to upregulation of the pluripotency factors such as OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG, however, as compared with embryonic stem cells, the expression levels of these genes are relatively low [9, 10]. MSCs show a high differentiation potential when cultured in 3D as compared to 2D condition. It was suggested that the MSCs cultured under 3D conditions, rather than reaching a pluripotent state, perhaps transit through a developmental stage that is equivalent to an early mesoderm. Similar to bone marrow MSCs, standard 2D culture of human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) affects stemness markers expression and proliferation rate [11]. 3D culture conditions were favorable to preserving DPSCs biological properties [12]. It was demonstrated that similar to cells that are reprogrammed towards pluripotency during 3D de-differentiation the cells switched from oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) to an anaerobic-type metabolism [13]. Human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs) which share in vitro MSCs morphology, express MSCs markers and show the potential to differentiate along the three main mesenchymal-derived tissues are easier to obtain [14, 15]. In addition, HDFs have a higher proliferation rate. For these reasons, HDFs are a more practical alternative to MSCs for creation of tailor-made tissues. The efficacy of dermal fibroblasts to differentiate to osteoblasts is low, as compared to MSCs.

Transcriptome analysis showed that dermal fibroblasts which were grown in 3D as spheroids express pluripotency genes such as OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, and Lin28 and resemble somatic cells that are reprogrammed into stem cells [16]. Here, we show that human dermal fibroblasts cultured in 3D as spheroids express pluripotency genes, de-differentiate and trans-differentiate to osteoblasts by a program that requires cystathionine-β-synthase (CBS) mediated release of hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S).

**Materials and methods**

HDFs were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA). Chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich Company (St Louis, MO) or Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). siRNAs to CBS were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Paso Robles, CA). Polystyrene suspension culture dishes were obtained from Corning (Santa Barbara, CA). Primers were purchased from IDT (San Diego, CA). Sequences of primers used for gene amplifications are shown in Table 1.

**Cell culture and viability assay**

HDFs were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (P/S) at 37˚C in and atmosphere with 5% CO$_2$. HDFs were trypsinized and passaged at a ratio of 1:3.

**3D culture conditions**

Prior to confluency, HDFs were trypsinized. Cells were resuspended in the αMEM supplemented with 20% Knockout Serum Replacement (ThermoFisher Scientific), 5 ng/ml basic
FGF (GoldBio, St Louis MO), 10 ng/ml EGF and 0.1 mM NEAA culture media and seeded in low attachment plates to promote self-assembly and formation of spheroids. Cells were incubated at 37˚C with 5% CO₂ for 3–6 days.

**Disaggregation of 3D spheroids**

Spheroids were removed from the culture plates and settled by centrifugation at 180 xg for five minutes at 4˚C. Spheroids were washed in 5ml 1x PBS, centrifuged and then resuspended in 0.25 mg/ml collagenase I and 1U/ml DNase and incubated at 37˚C for five minutes. Spheroids were disaggregated by gentle pipetting for no longer than 15 minutes. Single cells were resuspended in serum-containing cell culture medium.

**Transfection and RNA interference**

For transfection, 2-5x10⁵ cells were cultured in DMEM medium without serum or antibiotics. Then, K2 transfection reagent (Biontex, Germany) complexed with targeting (siRNA) and non-targeting scrambled (Scr) control RNAs (Cruz Biotechnology, Paso Robles, CA) were added to cell cultures. Each oligonucleotide was dissolved in 100 μM Duplex Buffer (100 mM Potassium Acetate, 30 mM HEPES, pH 7.5) and mixed in equal molar amounts, at a final concentration of 10 μM per oligonucleotide. Oligonucleotides were annealed at 94˚C for 2 minutes and then cooled to room temperature for 2 hours. After 48 hours of transfection, the medium was replaced with αMEM medium supplemented with knockout serum replacement medium and cells were treated for 3 additional days prior to being harvested for analysis.

**RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells with TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Total RNA (1 μg) was reverse transcribed in a final volume of 20 μL using Thermo Scientific (Gaithersburg, MD) cDNA synthesis kit. 5 ng cDNA was used in 10 μL reactions for quantitative real time PCR (qPCR). qPCR reaction mixture consisted of 5 μl iTaq Universal SYBR Green supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), 100 nM upstream primer, 100 nM downstream primer, 5 ng cDNA. qPCR was performed using LightCycler 96 system (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). The reaction conditions for qPCR
were as follows: initial denaturation step at 95˚C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95˚C for 15 sec, 60˚C for 45 sec, followed by melting curve analysis. The gene expression by qPCR was analyzed by relative quantification using $2^{-\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}}$ method as described previously [17, 18]. Data were processed using Roche Real-Time PCR Analysis Software LightCycler 96 SW1.1 (Roche molecular diagnostics, Pleasanton, CA). Relative expression level for each gene was normalized to that of β-ACTIN as a housekeeping gene.

Biochemical analysis

As we reported recently, H₂S levels were quantified by using Free Radical Analyzer (TBR4100 and ISO-H₂S-2, World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) in accord with the manufacturer’s instruction [19]. Prior to the measurements, the sensor was polarized and calibrated by adding four aliquots of the Na₂S stock solution at the final concentrations of 0.25, 0.5, 1 and 2 μM. Lactic acid was quantified by a spectrophotometric method using 1% p-phenylphenol as described previously [20].

Immunostaining

HDFs were washed in PBS and then fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes. HDFs were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes and then stained with rhodamine-phalloidin at a dilution of 1:1000. HDFs were then washed with PBS and the cells were imaged using a light microscope (Olympus, Model IX50).

Fluorescence imaging of H₂S in live cells was obtained with H₂S fluorescent probe HSN2 (a kind gift of Professor Michael D. Pluth, University of Oregon, Department of Chemistry, Eugene, Oregon) by incubating cells in 5 μM HSN2 for 30 min [21].

Cell proliferation was assessed by immunofluorescence staining of 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (BrdU) that was incorporated at 2 μg/ml into the DNA for 30 minutes. Following such exposure, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min, followed by a treatment with 2N HCl for 30 min to separate DNA into single strands. Nonspecific staining was blocked by incubation of cells with 5% BSA in PBS for 1 h with gentle agitation. Then cells were incubated overnight with primary antibody to BrdU followed washing and then incubation with streptavidin-HRP conjugate. Following washing, staining was achieved by incubating cells in 0.05% 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate in 0.01M PBS and with 0.015% H₂O₂.

Osteogenic differentiation

For osteogenic differentiation, DMEM was supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 nM dexamethasone, 50 μM ascorbate-2-phosphate and 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). Medium was changed every 4–5 days. Negative control cells received DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were maintained at 37˚C and 5% CO₂. After 21 days, osteogenic differentiation was revealed by staining the intracellular calcium.

Histochemical staining

Calcium deposits were stained with staining with Alizarin Red 2 and with alkaline phosphatase [22]. Cells were stained in 2% Alizarin Red staining at pH 4.2 and then Alizarin was extracted from cells and absorbance was measured at 405 nm using SpectaMAX Plus plate reader (Molecular Devices, San Jose, California).

For Alkaline phosphatase, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 minutes at room temperature, and then they were washed with PBS/0.1% Tween-20 for 5 minutes. Alkaline phosphatase was visualized in cells via histochemical detection with 4-nitroblue tetrazolium
(NBT) as a substrate and 5-bromo-4-chloro-8-indolilphosphate (BCIP) as a coupler and then equilibration of the incubation medium with NTMT solution (100 mM Tris pH 9.5, 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Tween-20). Color reaction was developed by incubating cells in NBT/BCIP solution and after 40 minutes, reaction was stopped by washing cells in PBS. Cells were visualized with a digital camera attached to a phase-contrast microscope (Olympus, Model IX50).

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed, at least in triplicates, and were repeated at least three times. The results are presented as means ± SEM derived from at least three experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-group comparisons by means of Student’s t-test. Error bars in figures represent the Standard Deviation of the mean. Statistical significance is shown as *: p ≤ 0.05, **: p ≤ 0.005, ***: p ≤ 0.0005.

Results

3D culture conditions modify the metabolism and gene expression patterns in HDFs

HDFs aggregated and formed spheroids within 24 hours when grown in suspension in polystyrene low attachment culture dishes in αMEM medium containing knockout serum replacement (Fig 1A–1C). The size of the spheroids increased over a 3-day period and remained the same for the rest of the culture period, up to 6 days. To adapt to this 3D condition, cells need to re-organize their cytoskeleton and establish tight junctions. In this work, we used phalloidin to examine the organization of actin filaments. Staining with fluorescently labeled phalloidin revealed spheroids of different sizes that developed a defined outer perimeter that showed compact bundles of microfilamentous F-actin along the cell periphery as stress fibers (Fig 1A). Within the spheroids, fibroblasts had a round morphology, a distinctly different cell shape different than their elongated morphology in 2D culture conditions. As established with Trypan blue, there were no necrotic cores and dead cells were randomly dispersed within the spheroids, particularly around day 6 (Fig 1B). Yet, on day 6, the cell proliferation was significantly higher and BrdU⁺ cells were scattered within spheroids and the number of proliferating cells was significantly higher in 3D than 2D cultures as evidenced by increased cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) (Fig 1C and 1D).

By virtue of compaction, we considered that nutrient depletion and hypoxia might force cells to develop glycolysis. To test this, we assessed glycolysis by virtue of measurement of lactate in the culture media. This analysis revealed that there were nearly two-fold higher amounts of lactate in 3D as compared to the 2D cultures (Fig 1E). Consistent with this, the expression of lactate dehydrogenase A (LDH-A) which catalyzes the conversion of pyruvate to lactate was also increased in 3D than 2D cultures (Fig 1F). Moreover, as compared to 2D cultures, spheroids exhibited high expression of pyruvate carboxylase (PC), which is necessary for glucose production, and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK4), which affects the entrance of pyruvate to the TCA cycle (Fig 1G). Significantly elevated expression of PC and PDK4 in 3D cultures are consistent with the transition of metabolism to glycolysis.

Reprogramming in HDFs grown in 3D culture is dependent on CBS expression and H₂S production

Since glycolytic metabolism is a requisite for the cells that reside in a pluripotent state, we analyzed the expression of pluripotency genes after 6 days of culture. The expression levels of
OCT4, NANOG, and SOX-2 were significantly upregulated in HDFs that were cultured in 3D as compared to those cultured in 2D conditions (Fig 2A). The expression of NANOG was significantly higher on day 2 and remained high on days 3 and 6 in 3D cultures (Fig 2B).
Remarkably, the expression level of OCT4 steadily increased from day 2 to day 6, while the expression of SOX2 decreased from day 3 to day 6 (Fig 2B).

DNA methylation is a requisite step in the regulation of pluripotency genes [23]. For this reason, we examined the expression of Ten-Eleven-Translocation (TET1), which specifically catalyzes the conversion of the modified DNA base 5-methylcytosine (5-mC) to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC), and of DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), an enzyme that catalyzes the transfer of methyl groups to specific CpG structures in DNA that is responsible for de novo DNA methylation [24, 25]. TET1 expression was significantly increased, whereas the expression of DNA methyltransferases (DNMT1) was significantly decreased in 3D as compared with the 2D cultures that showed decreased expression of TET1 and a significantly higher expression of DNMT1 (Fig 2D).
H₂S increases TET1 expression while it represses DNA methyltransferases [26]. Given that in 3D cultures the TET1 was increased and the DNMT1 was suppressed, we then examined the level of H₂S that was released into the culture supernatants. H₂S was significantly higher in 3D culture supernatants and within spheroids as evidenced by staining with the fluorescent probe, HSN2 (Fig 3A and 3B). There was no stainable H₂S within the monolayer cultures (Fig 3B). Biosynthesis of H₂S is mainly regulated by three enzymes, namely, cystathionine β synthase (CBS), cystathionine-γ-lyase (CSE), and 3-mercaptoppyruvate sulfurtransferase (MPST). No significant difference was found in the level of either CSE or MPST mRNA in cells cultured in 3D as compared with those cultured under 2D conditions (Fig 3C). However, there was a significant increase in the CBS mRNA in 3D cultured cells supporting the idea that H₂S
production in spheroids is mainly regulated by CBS in 3D cultures (Fig 3C). To validate this idea, we silenced CBS by its siRNA by ~ 60% in monolayer and 3D cultures (Fig 3D). The CBS silencing significantly decreased H2S (Fig 3E). Moreover, this silencing further showed that H2S was essential to the lactic acid production and to the expression of OCT4 and NANOG (Fig 3F and 3G).

To further establish the importance of H2S in causing glycolysis, fibroblast cells were treated with 100 μM of the H2S donor, NaHS. This treatment led to increased secretion of lactic acid not only in 3D cultures but in 2D cultures as well (Fig 4A). More importantly, cells in 3D cultures in the presence of 50 μM DATS showed increased expression of OCT4 in 3D cultures in the presence of 50 μM NaHS after 6 days. E. qPCR of OCT4 and NANOG. β-ACTIN was used as a housekeeping control. F. qPCR of TET1. Data are presented as the mean ± SD from 3–6 independent experiments. β-ACTIN was used as a housekeeping control. Statistical significance is shown as * (p ≤ 0.05), ** (p ≤ 0.005), and *** (p ≤ 0.0005) in 3D as compared to 2D cultured cells.
2D cultures the response was also limited to a significant increase in OCT4 without an increased in NANOG expression (Fig 4B). To further validate these findings, we used a different H2S donor, garlic-derived diallyl trisulfide (DATS). Unlike NaHS, DATS is stable with minimal spontaneous H2S release. The slow release of H2S occurs in the presence of thiols [27, 28]. DATS significantly elevated hydrogen sulfide level in the culture supernatant as compared to the control cells that did not receive DATS (Fig 4C). Similar to NaHS, DATS increased the production of lactic acid and enhanced the expression of OCT4, without any effect on the expression of NANOG or TET1 in 2D or 3D cultures (Fig 4D–4F).

H2S increases the osteogenic potential of HDFs grown in 3D cultures

Similar to mesenchymal stem cells, fibroblasts have the potential to generate mesodermal lineages in vitro after culture with differentiation inducing agents [29]. Therefore, we examined the differentiation capacity of fibroblasts that were cultured as 3D spheroids. HDFs were cultured as 2D monolayers and 3D spheroids in presence of αMEM medium, containing knockout serum. After 6 day of culture, the medium was changed to an osteogenic differentiation medium with the addition of high-glucose, 15% FBS, 10^{-7}M dexamethasone, 10 mM glycero phosphate, and 0.05 mM ascorbic acid [30, 31]. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) is a generally used as a marker for early osteogenic differentiation, whereas mineralization is a characteristic of late osteogenic differentiation. Thus, we assessed the osteogenic differentiation on day 30 of culture by analyzing the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, and assessed mineralization by Alizarin Red S staining. Under osteogenic conditions, monolayer cells exhibited very low ALP and Alizarin Red S staining (Fig 5A and 5B). However, ALP positive staining was readily visible in 3D culture and, particularly, this staining was more intense in 3D treated with DATS (Fig 5A–5C). Similar to the ALP activity, Alizarin Red S staining was weak under non-osteogenic conditions. The qualitative and quantitative Alizarin Red staining analysis of mineralization showed intense mineralization in 3D spheroid cells under osteogenic conditions (Fig 5B and 5C). The different osteogenic target genes were analyzed, including RUNX2, ALP, and OCN (Fig 5D). The results indicated significant expression of ALP and RUNX2 in 3D compared to 2D cultured in the osteogenic inducing media. The expression level of OCN did not differ between 2D and 3D under osteogenic condition. Mineralization was most significantly increased, as evidenced by Alizarin Red S staining, in 3D cultures treated with DATS in both non-osteogenic and osteogenic media (Fig 5C). Furthermore, DATS significantly increased the expression of RUNX2, which is a key transcription factor associated with osteoblast differentiation (Fig 5E). Cells maintained under non-osteogenic condition failed to show robust osteogenic differentiation (Fig 5A–5E). Treatment of 3D cultures with NaHS led to a significant increase in the expression of RUNX2 in non-osteogenic and osteogenic conditions (Fig 5E). Our results support the idea that H2S is essential to the RUNX2 mediated osteogenic potential of fibroblasts.

Discussion

Cell aggregates are often used as an important tool in the studies of tissue regeneration due to re-establishment of cell–cell contacts, cell functions, and the enhanced survival in in vitro conditions [32]. The most common methods for scaffold-free spheroid formation are hanging-drop method, gentle rotational stirring, and magnetic levitation of suspensions of dispersed cells [33–36]. Spheroids can also be produced by preventing cells from attaching to the culture substrate. Takezawa and co-authors demonstrated that by day 2 confluent normal adult human dermal fibroblasts detached from the thermoresponsive non-adhesive substrates, which is comprised of poly-N-isopropyl acrylamide (PNIPAAm) and type I collagen, and
form floating cell sheets that develop into multi-cellular spheroid colonies [37]. The spheroids had cuboidal cells at the center which were covered with squamous cells adherent to each other by gap and tight junctions. Consistent with prior reports, our results reveal that dermal fibroblasts that were forced to develop spheroids in low adherent culture conditions were only partially reprogrammed and expressed **OCT4**, **SOX2**, and **NANOG** and not the **KLF4** and **c-MYC** [16].

**OCT4** is essential for the generation of induced pluripotent state and is crucial for early phase of reprogramming [38]. Here, we present that the expression of **OCT4** is regulated in 3D

---

**Fig 5. H₂S promotes osteogenic differentiation in 3D spheroids**. HDFs were cultured in 3D in the absence and presence of 50 μM DATS for 6 days. The cells were then cultured in DMEM and osteogenic conditions for up to 21 days. A. Alizarin Red staining. B. Alkaline phosphatase staining. C. Densitometric analysis of Alizarin staining. D. mRNA expression levels of osteogenic markers such as alkaline phosphatase (ALP), osteocalcin (OCN) also referred to as bone γ-carboxylglutamic acid-containing protein or BGLAP, and RUNX2, and expression of were evaluated in 2D and 3D cultures maintained in DMEM and culture condition allowing osteogenic differentiation. Expression levels were normalized to the expression level in monolayer (2D) cells cultured in DMEM. E. HDFs were cultured in 3D in the absence and presence of 50 μM DATS or 100 μM of NaHS for 6 days and then subjected to osteogenic differentiation. qPCR analysis of RUNX2 gene expression normalized to the expression level in 2D cultured cells. Statistical significance is shown as * (p ≤ 0.05), ** (p ≤ 0.005), and *** (p ≤ 0.0005) in 3D as compared to 2D cultured cells.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241685.g005
cultures by gaseous signaling molecule, H$_2$S. H$_2$S is generated by cystathionine $\beta$-synthase (CBS), cystathionine $\gamma$-lyase (CSE), and 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase (MPST). Amongst these enzymes, only the expression of CBS was increased in 3D spheroids. The expressions of CSE and MPST remained unaltered. The siRNA-mediated silencing of CBS in spheroid cultures of adult human fibroblasts diminished the expression of OCT4. In the presence of H$_2$S donors, NaHS and DATS, aggregation of fibroblasts in 3D cultures significantly enhanced the expression of OCT4 and did not alter the expression of NANOG. The findings that expression of OCT4 is significantly upregulated by H$_2$S donors and downregulated by CBS silencing highlight the importance of H$_2$S in the early phase of the reprogramming process. This reprogramming appears to be controlled by PI3K/Akt signaling, which is a crucial early stage in human fibroblast reprogramming that leads to the phosphorylation of the OCT4 at threonine 235 [39, 40]. OCT4 phosphorylation increases its stability and facilitates its nuclear re-localization and interaction with SOX2, which promotes the transcription of the core stemness genes [41]. Our data revealed that 3D culture conditions modify the expression of TET1 and DNMT1 that participate in methylation of genes which are essential to the reprogramming [23]. The 3D culture environment significantly increased TET1 and significantly decreased DNMT1. Our findings are consistent with such changes in the expression of enzymes that are involved in DNA methylation during reprogramming. It is recently shown that erasure of DNA methylation at distal and proximal elements of OCT4 and NANOG regulatory region promotes OCT4 and NANOG overexpression and disruption of expression of DNMTs increases cellular plasticity [25, 42, 43].

Consistent with the proteomic studies which show the need for metabolic reprogramming to aerobic glycolysis in 3D cultures, we also show that 3D cultures promote the expression of PDK4 and, PC as well as LDH, and glycolytic export of lactic acid, which is a required step towards reprogramming and pluripotency [13, 44]. These findings are in conjunction with earlier results that show that overexpression of CBS induces a change in the energetic metabolism, and more specifically drives the glycolysis and pyruvate metabolism [45]. Moreover, the continuous release of H$_2$S has been shown to increase glycolysis in diverse types of cells [46, 47].

Spheroid culture method was shown to improve differentiation potential of MSCs compared to monolayer culture [48]. Thus, 3D culture condition maintained hDPSCs ability to differentiate toward osteogenic lineage [12]. Ours results also indicate that 3D culture conditions favor osteogenic differentiation of human dermal fibroblasts. H$_2$S regulates self-renewal of mesenchymal stem cells and enhances the effectiveness of cell therapy for tissue generation [49–51]. H$_2$S also drives the osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells and RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis [50, 52]. Our findings support the idea that 3D culture enhances the osteogenic potential of fibroblasts through mechanisms that require CBS overexpression and production of H$_2$S. The increase in osteogenic potential appears to be dependent on hydrogen sulfide since differentiation potential increases in the presence of the H$_2$S donor, DATS. H$_2$S is known to increase nuclear accumulation and transactivation of RUNX2, a master transcription factor that is essential to osteogenesis [53]. The H$_2$S donor, NaHS, is known to increase the sulphydrylation of cysteine residues in the DNA binding domain of RUNX2 [53, 54]. Given that the expression of CBS increases during osteoclast differentiation, it appears that H$_2$S is a required factor in osteogenesis, and the use of H$_2$S donors represents a promising direction for biomedical applications of 3D cultured human fibroblasts in tissue repair.
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