Factors affecting time to sputum culture conversion and treatment outcome of patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in China
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Abstract

Background: Few prospective cohort studies, none in China, have investigated the relationship between treatment outcomes of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) patients and sputum culture conversion. Factors affecting the time of the culture conversion throughout the whole course of the treatment have rarely been investigated.

Methods: This study was performed in four cities in Jiangsu province, China. MDR-TB patients were consecutively enrolled between December 2011 and March 2014. Rates of sputum culture conversion were calculated and Cox proportional-hazards model was performed. Factors contributing to sputum culture conversion were investigated.

Results: In all, 139 MDR-TB patients with treatment outcomes were enrolled. Median time to culture conversion among those who converted was 91.5 days (interquartile range, 34.0–110.8 days). After multivariable analysis, smoking (HR = 0.44; 95% CI: 0.23–0.83), drinking (HR = 0.41; 95% CI: 0.21–0.81), ofloxacin resistance (HR = 0.43; 95% CI: 0.24–0.76) and sputum smear grade > 1 (HR = 0.51; 95% CI: 0.31–0.83) were less likely to have culture conversion.

Conclusions: MDR-TB patients who smoke, drink, have ofloxacin resistance, or a high smear grade are less likely to respond to treatment and should be meticulously followed up.
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Background

Tuberculosis (TB) now exceeds human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) as the infectious disease responsible for the greatest number of deaths globally [1]. In addition, multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB), patients resistant to rifampicin (INH) and isoniazid (RMP), hampers the prevention and control of tuberculosis. Due to poor effectiveness and elevated costs in treatment of MDR-TB patients, they may endure longer infectious periods than those with drug-susceptible TB [2]. Early identification and diagnosis of MDR-TB patients are essential to further prevent the spread of MDR-TB and provide comprehensive and successful treatment.

Sputum culture plays an important role in monitoring treatment response in MDR-TB patients [3]. Sputum culture conversion is a clinical tool used to predict therapeutic efficacy in MDR-TB patients. Non-conversion of sputum culture at the end of the intensive phase of treatment tends to yield unfavorable outcomes, more specifically with failure and death [4, 5].

Evidence exists suggesting that sputum culture conversion after the first 2 months may be an early predictor of treatment success in MDR-TB patients [6–8]. Lung cavitation at baseline chest X-ray and resistance to ofloxacin (Ofx) or streptomycin have been associated with a delay in sputum culture conversion in prior studies [6]. Although sputum culture conversion has been used substantially in clinical settings, few prospective cohort studies have been performed in China and India, two countries with almost half of the global burden of drug-resistant tuberculosis [9, 10]. In addition, factors affecting
the time of the culture conversion throughout the whole course of the treatment have rarely been investigated.

Through a prospective cohort study design in urban China, we analyzed secondary factors that influenced sputum culture conversion in the process of treatment of MDR-TB disease.

**Methods**

**Study design and patients**

A prospective cohort study was conducted in four cities (Xuzhou, Lianyungang, Zhenjiang, and Nantong) in Jiangsu province, China, as previously described [11]. All MDR-TB patients were consecutively enrolled in the study between December 2011 and March 2014. MDR-TB patients were identified at the time of diagnosis by regional reference laboratories using traditional drug sensitivity tests (DST), as previously described [12]. Patients that tested sputum culture negative at baseline were excluded. Once confirmed, every patient signed an informed consent form, after which a questionnaire designed by local investigators was administered to gather important demographic and clinical information. The questionnaire contained characteristics including age, sex, weight, and any history of smoking or drinking. In addition, laboratory examination information was collected including sputum smear, sputum culture, chest radiograph findings, and drug sensitivity test results. Sputum culture results were examined at monthly intervals during the intensive phase and 2-monthly intervals during the continuation phase.

**Definitions**

World Health Organization guidelines [13] were consulted when defining individuals and variables in our study. MDR-TB patients were defined as those who were resistant to at least INH and RMP for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB) in vitro. A positive sputum culture was defined as a colony for MTB [5] and negative when there was no acid-fast bacillus (AFB) in 300 fields. Sputum culture conversion was defined as two consecutive negative cultures after the first sputum sample, collected at least 30 days apart. Persistent positive sputum culture was defined as no sputum culture conversion during the two years treatment period. Final outcomes included successful, failure, and death. When the HR was < 1, the variable was a risk factor.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS software (version 23.0). Cox proportional-hazards analysis was used to evaluate the hazard ratio (HR). Variables were considered suggestive of potential statistical significance if the P-value was < 0.05 in univariate analysis. Multivariable analysis was used to estimate HR and adjusted survival curves. Age and sex were put into the multivariable model regardless of their P-value< 0.05. HRs of the differences between the two groups were calculated to evaluate influential factors affecting time to sputum culture conversion. When the HR was < 1, the variable was a risk factor.

**Results**

**Characteristics of enrolled MDR-TB patients**

Between 2011 and 2014, a total of 160 suspected pulmonary MDR-TB patients were recruited of which 21 did not meet study inclusion criteria (Fig. 1). A total of 139 pulmonary MDR-TB patients with a treatment outcome classified as successful, failure, and death were enrolled in our investigation. There were no patients that started the study and then dropped out of the study.

Table 1 displays demographic and clinical characteristics of included MDR-TB patients. The median age and weight of the patients were 51 years old and 60 kg, respectively; 99 (71.4%) were male; 65 (46.8%) smoked previously or at the time of treatment, and 26 (18.7%) drank alcohol.

Of 139 MDR-TB patients, 84 (60.4%) patients had a successful treatment outcome and 55 (39.6%) experienced either a failed treatment or died. In all, 106 (76.3%) patients had sputum-culture conversion, of which 18 had a second positive sputum culture during the first year of the treatment. Median time to culture conversion among those who converted was 91.5 days (interquartile range, 34.0–110.8 days).

**Baseline regimens**

Among 139 patients, 63 (45.3%) were treated with individualized regimens (IR); 42 (30.2%) were treated with standard regimen 1 (SR1: 6Z Am Lfx Cs Pto/18Z Lfx Cs
Pto); 16 (11.5%) were treated with standard regimen 2 (SR2: 6Z Am Lfx PAS Pto/18Z Lfx PAS Pto); 3 (2.2%) were treated with standard regimen 3 (SR3: 6Z Cm Lfx Cs Pto/18Z Lfx Cs Pto); 15 patients (10.8%) were treated with standard regimen 4 (SR4: 6Z Cm Lfx PAS Pto/18Z Lfx Cs Pto) (Table 2).

Factors contributing to sputum culture conversion
In univariate analysis (Table 3), we found that factors associated with reduced rate of sputum culture conversion were smoking (HR = 0.63; 95% CI: 0.43–0.93; P = 0.020), drinking (HR = 0.49; 95% CI: 0.27–0.89; P = 0.019), Ofx resistance (HR = 0.46; 95% CI: 0.29–0.73; P = 0.001), and a sputum smear grade > 1 (HR = 0.61; 95% CI: 0.41–0.91; P = 0.001). No significant difference was found between the presence of lung lesions during the baseline chest radiographic examination and sputum culture conversion (HR = 1.17; 95% CI: 0.79–1.71; P = 0.32).

We further performed a multivariate regression and found that smoking (HR = 0.44; 95% CI: 0.23–0.83; P = 0.011), drinking (HR = 0.41; 95% CI: 0.21–0.81; P = 0.011), Ofx resistance (HR = 0.43; 95% CI: 0.24–0.76; P = 0.003) and a sputum smear grade > 1 (HR = 0.51; 95% CI: 0.31–0.83; P = 0.008) continued to be associated with sputum culture conversion (Table 4).

Discussion
To our knowledge, there is no similar study in China, to investigate factors that influenced sputum culture conversion in the process of treatment of MDR-TB disease.

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of 139 multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients

| Variable                        | Median (IQR) | No. (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Gender                          |              |         |
| Male                            | 99 (71.4)    |         |
| Female                          | 40 (28.6)    |         |
| Age, years                      | 51.0 (35.8–60.0) | 71 (51.1) |
| ≤ 51                            | 68 (48.9)    |         |
| > 51                            | 60 (43.2)    |         |
| Weight, kilograms               | 60.0 (53.0–65.0) | 79 (56.8) |
| ≤ 60                            | 60 (43.2)    |         |
| > 60                            | 56 (40.4)    |         |
| Occupation                      |              |         |
| Peasant                         | 44 (31.7)    |         |
| Worker                          | 18 (13.0)    |         |
| Unemployed                      | 61 (43.9)    |         |
| Others*                         | 16 (11.5)    |         |
| Smoking                         |              |         |
| Yes                             | 65 (46.8)    |         |
| No                              | 72 (51.8)    |         |
| Missing                         | 2 (1.4)      |         |
| Drinking                        |              |         |
| Yes                             | 26 (18.7)    |         |
| No                              | 86 (61.9)    |         |
| Missing                         | 27 (19.4)    |         |
| Lung cavitation                 |              |         |
| Yes                             | 72 (51.8)    |         |
| No                              | 62 (44.6)    |         |
| Missing                         | 3 (2.2)      |         |
| Lung lesions                    |              |         |
| Yes                             | 129 (92.8)   |         |
| No                              | 3 (2.2)      |         |
| Missing                         | 7 (5.0)      |         |
| Resistant to ofloxacin          |              |         |
| Yes                             | 42 (58.3)    |         |
| No                              | 81 (30.2)    |         |
| Missing                         | 16 (11.5)    |         |
| Resistant to Kanamycin          |              |         |
| Yes                             | 15 (10.8)    |         |
| No                              | 103 (74.1)   |         |
| Missing                         | 21 (15.1)    |         |
| Sputum smear grade              |              |         |
| ≤ 1                             | 51 (36.7)    |         |
| > 1                             | 87 (62.6)    |         |
| Missing                         | 1 (0.7)      |         |

Abbreviations: No. number; IQR interquartile range; & including civil servants, teachers, students etc.
In this setting with a high burden of drug resistant tuberculosis, we further validated that time to sputum culture conversion is a useful prognostic tool to predict end-of-treatment outcomes in MDR-TB patients but that results differ substantially depending on the month of treatment in which conversion occurs [5, 6]. The median time of sputum smear conversion was 91.5 days, which was similar with a recent study by B. Velayutham and longer than it reported in earlier studies [14–17]. This might be because during the time waiting for the DST results, clinicians usually give the anti-TB drugs according to their experience and previous medication history of the patients, which could result in the culture conversion of the patients before the initiation of the standardized treatment, who were then excluded before the initiation of the treatments.

After multivariable analysis, we further assessed factors associated with increased delay in culture conversion. We found that resistance to Ofx, smoking, drinking, and a high sputum smear grade were risk factors affecting culture conversion. Yuen et al. suggested that MDR-TB regimens including more potentially effective drugs are likely to improve treatment response in MDR-TB patients [18]. To evaluate the association between regimen composition and treatment response, we made a comparison between individualized and standardized drug regimens and treatment outcomes. We found no statistically significant differences however this may be due to statistical power during stratification.

Substantial evidence exists indicating that smoking delays culture conversion and adversely affects end-of-treatment outcomes in drug-susceptible TB patients [19–22]. For example, Maciel et al. found that TB patients that smoked were approximately three times less likely to convert their sputum culture after two months compared to non-smoking TB patients. Leung CC et al. also found that approximately one in six treatment failures were due to patient smoking habits [20]. A recent study conducted in Brazil demonstrated that tobacco smoking delayed culture conversion during treatment for pulmonary tuberculosis and that this relationship was dose-dependent [21]. Whether smoking also delays culture conversion in MDR-TB patients has not been investigated previously and we were able to extend these previous findings in drug-susceptible TB patients [19–21] to MDR-TB patients. In this study, we found that smokers had a higher risk for persistent culture-positivity compared with nonsmokers after adjustment for potential confounders. MDR-TB patients may have ceased smoking after hospital admission and this could have led to misclassification of patient smoking status. However, this bias, if present, likely brings the association between smoking and delayed culture conversion among MDR-TB patients towards the null. Smoking cessation programs in an attempt to reduce poor treatment outcomes may be an important supplementary control measure for TB programs dealing with a high-burden of drug resistance TB, such as China.

A few studies have shown that sputum culture conversion can be delayed in patients who have infecting isolates resistant to second line anti-TB drugs [6, 22, 23]. After adjustment for confounders, we found that participants resistant to Ofx were significantly less likely to have sputum culture conversion. Fluoroquinolones are amongst the most effective medications for patients not susceptible to first line drugs and therefore play an important role in treating drug resistant tuberculosis [24, 25]. Nevertheless, excessive and irregular medication were leading causes for fluoroquinolone resistance in Pakistan [26, 27]. We found that, patients resistant to Ofx were over two times more likely to have continuous culture-positive laboratory tests by the end of multidrug-resistant TB treatment. Fluoroquinolone resistance is a potential critical threat to the control of drug resistant TB globally and efforts to prevent resistance through high medication compliance in high-burden settings are essential.

### Table 2: Chemotherapy regimens of the MDR-TB patients

| Variable          | Chemotherapy regimen                                      | N (%)          |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Individualized    | Distinct from patient-to-patient                           | 63 (45.3%)     |
| Regimen 1         | 6 Pyrazinamide Amikacin Levofloxacin Cycloserine Protionamide | 42 (30.2%)     |
| Regimen 2         | 6 Pyrazinamide Amikacin Levofloxacin Para-amino salicylic acid Protionamide | 16 (11.5%)     |
| Regimen 3         | 6 Pyrazinamide Capreomycin Levofloxacin Cycloserine Protionamide | 3 (2.2%)       |
| Regimen 4         | 6 Pyrazinamide Capreomycin Levofloxacin Para-amino salicylic acid Protionamide | 15 (10.8%)     |

**Abbreviations**: MDR-TB multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, N number
Rie Kanda et al. reported the time of the sputum culture conversion was prolonged by high smear grade [28], consistent with the results of F. Qazi et al. in 2011 [22] and Caetano Mota et al. in 2012 [29]. Also, patients with a high colony count were less likely to convert than those who had a low smear grade. In our study, patients with a smear grade > + 1 had a lower likelihood of sputum smear conversion compared with those with a smear grade ≤ + 1. It might be natural that MDR-TB patients who had a higher colony count take a longer time for sputum culture conversion. This result highlights the importance of early detection and treatment of drug resistant TB patients.

There are several limitations of this study. First, all patients ceased smoking and drinking during treatment, which may have led to nondifferential misclassification driving our results toward the null. Moreover, we did not quantify smoking or drinking in more detail which may have led to an effect on culture conversion. Third, this survey did not collect information on HIV infection status and this may potentially be an important confounder. Patients with tuberculosis in China are not routinely tested for HIV and, due to this we were unable to assess the influence of HIV coinfection.
Conclusions

In conclusion, we present a prospective cohort study of MDR-TB patients from urban China and we found MDR-TB patients that smoke, drink, have ofloxacin resistance, or a high smear grade are less likely to respond to treatment and should be meticulously followed up. A multidimensional approach is needed to effectively control TB, including early detection and treatment, and proper interventions to lower the drinking rate and cigarette smoking rate.

Abbreviations

AFB: acid-fast bacillus; Am: amikacin; Cap: capreomycin; Cs: cycloserine; DST: traditional drug sensitivity tests; Eth: ethambutol; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; HR: hazard ratio; INH: rifampicin; IR: individualized regimens; Km: kanamycin; Lfx: levofloxacin; MDR-TB: multidrug-resistant tuberculosis; Mfx: moxifloxacin; MTB: Mycobacterium tuberculosis; ofx: oxolinic acid; PAS: para-aminosalicylic acid; Pto: prothionamide; RMP: rifampicin; SR: standard regimen; TB: tuberculosis; Z: pyrazinamide

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Science and Technology Major Project (2018ZX10103002–001-006), National Science and Technology Major Project (2018ZX10103002–003-003).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to some of datasets derived from tuberculosis patients management information system, which is confidential in China.

Authors’ contributions

QL, PL, LZ conceived the study, analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript; LM participated in the study design and helped draft the manuscript. All authors contributed to the study and have read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Jiangsu Province Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. The study was conducted in accordance with approved guidelines, and written informed consent was obtained from all eligible TB patients.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

1Department of Chronic Communicable Disease, Center for Disease Control and Prevention of Jiangsu Province, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, People’s Republic of China. 2Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, People’s Republic of China. 3Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Georgia School of Public Health, Athens, Georgia. 4Institute of Parasitic Disease of Jiangsu Province, Wuxi, Jiangsu Province, People’s Republic of China.

Received: 1 April 2017 Accepted: 28 February 2018

Published online: 06 March 2018

References

1. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2015; http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/.
2. Teixeira L, Perkins MD, Johnson JL, Keller R, Palaci M, do Valle Dettori V, Canedo Rocha LM, Debanne S, Talbot E, Dietze P. Infection and disease among household contacts of patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2001;5(4):321–8.
3. Nagaraja C, Shashibhushan BS, Asif M, Manjunath PH, Sagar C. Pattern of drug-resistance and treatment outcome in multidrug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci. 2012;54(1):123–6.
4. Kurbutova EV, Gammon VM, Bayona J, Becerra MC, Danilovitz M, Falcon D, Gelmanova I, Keshayeve S, Leimane V, Mitnick CD. Predictors of sputum culture conversion among patients treated for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2012;16(10):1335–43.
5. Kurbutova EV, Cegielski JP, Lienhardt C, Alkipsi R, Bayona J, Becerra MC, Caoli J, Contreras C, Dalton T, Danilovitz M. Sputum culture conversion as a prognostic marker for end-of-treatment outcome in patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: a secondary analysis of data from two observational cohort studies. Lancet Respir Med. 2015;3(3):201–9.
6. Basti A, Ahmad N, Khan AH, Javid A, Syed Sulaiman SA, Afdri AD, Adnan AS, Haq I, Shah SS, Ahadi A, et al. Predictors of two months culture conversion in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: findings from a retrospective cohort study. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e93206.
7. Singla R, Sarin R, Halidik U, Mathuria K, Singla N, Jaiswal A, Purv MM, Visalakshi P, Behera D. Seven-year DOTS-plus pilot study in India: results, constraints and issues. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009;13(8):796–81.
8. Rodríguez M, Monedero L, Caminero JA, Encarnacion M, Dominguez Y, Acosta L, Munoz E, Camilo E, Martinez-Selmo S, de los Santos S, et al. Successful management of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis under programme conditions in the Dominican Republic. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013;17(4):520–5.
9. Zhao Y, Xu S, Wang L, Chin DP, Wang S, Jiang G, Xia H, Zhou Y, Li Q, Ou X. National survey of drug-resistant tuberculosis in China. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(23):2161–70.
10. Gandhi NR, Nunn P, Dheka K, Sack HS, Zignol M, Van Soolingen D, Jensen LS, Palaci M, Bayona J. Multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: a threat to global control of tuberculosis. Lancet. 2010;375(9728):1830–43.
11. Peng L, Qiao L, Martinez L, Yang H, Wei L, Ding X, Zhu L. Time to sputum culture conversion and treatment outcome of patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: a prospective cohort study from urban China. Eur Respir J. 2017;49(3):160158.
12. Liu Q, Zhu L, Shao Y, Song H, Li G, Zhou Y, Shi J, Zhong C, Chen C, Lu W. Rates and risk factors for drug resistance tuberculosis in northeastern China. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:111.
13. Jaraezillo E. Guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008.
14. Velayutham B, Nair D, Kannan T, Padmapriyadarsini C, Sachdeva KS, Bency J, Klinio JS, Haldar S, Khanna A, Jayasankar S, et al. Factors associated with sputum culture conversion in multidrug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2016;20:1671–6.
15. Holtz TH, Sternberg M, Kammerer S, Laserson KF, Riekkola V, Zaroskova E, Skripconoka V, Wells CD, Leimane V. Time to sputum culture conversion in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: predictors and relationship to treatment outcome. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144(9):650–9.
16. Magee MJ, Kemprer RR, Kipiani M, Tulkadze N, Howards PP, Narayan KM, Blumberg HM. Diabetes mellitus, smoking status, and rate of sputum culture conversion in patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: a cohort study from the country of Georgia. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e94890.
17. Haffkin J, Modongo C, Newcomb C, Loverthel A, Macgregor R, Steenhoff AP, Friedman H, Bisson GP. Impact of the human immunodeficiency virus on early multidrug-resistant tuberculosis treatment outcomes in Botswana. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013;17(3):348–53.
18. Yu LC, Kurbutova EV, Tupasi T, Caoli JC, Van Der Walt M, Kvasnakovc Y, Yagui M, Bayona J, Contreras C, Leimane V, et al. Association between regimen composition and treatment response in patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: a prospective cohort study. PLoS Med. 2015;12(12):e1001932.
19. Maciel EL, Bri,oschi AP, Peres RL, Guidoni LM, Ribeiro FK, Hadad DJ, Vinhas SA, Zandonade E, Palaci M, Dietze R, et al. Smoking and 2-month culture
conversion during anti-tuberculosis treatment. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013;17(2):225–8.
20. Leung CC, Yew WW, Chan CK, Chang KC, Law WS, Lee SN, Tai LB, Leung EC, Au RK, Huang SS, et al. Smoking adversely affects treatment response, outcome and relapse in tuberculosis. Eur Respir J. 2015;45(3):738–45.
21. Nijenbandring de Boer R, Souza Filho JB O e, Cobelens F, Ramalho Ode P, Campino Miranda PF, Logo K, Oliveira H, Mesquita E, Oliveira MM, Kritski A. Delayed culture conversion due to cigarette smoking in active pulmonary tuberculosis patients. Tuberculosis (Edinb). 2014;94(1):87–91.
22. Qazi F, Khan U, Khovaja S, Javed M, Ahmed A, Salahuddin N, Hussain H, Becerra MC, Golub JE, Khan AJ. Predictors of delayed culture conversion in patients treated for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Pakistan. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2011;15(11):1556.
23. Mitnick CD, Shin SS, Seung KJ, Rich ML, Atwood SJ, Furin JJ, Fitzmaurice GM, Alcantara Viru FA, Appleton SC, Bayona NJ, et al. Comprehensive treatment of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(6):563–74.
24. Caminero JA, Sotgiu G, Zumla A, Migliori GB. Best drug treatment for multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2010;10(9):621–9.
25. Chan ED, Laurel V, Strand MJ, Chan JF, Huynh M-LN, Goble M, Iseman MD. Treatment and outcome analysis of 205 patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2004;169(10):1103–9.
26. Jabeen K, Shakoor S, Chisti S, Ayaz A, Hasan R. Fluoroquinolone-resistant mycobacterium tuberculosis, Pakistan, 2005-2009. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17(3):554–6.
27. Wells WA, Ge CF, Patel N, Oh T, Gardiner E, Kimerling ME. Size and usage patterns of private TB drug markets in the high burden countries. PloS One. 2011;6(5):e18964.
28. Kanda R, Nagao T, Tho NV, Ogawa E, Murakami Y, Osawa M, Saika Y, Doi K, Nakano Y. Factors affecting time to sputum culture conversion in adults with pulmonary tuberculosis: a historical cohort study without censored cases. PloS One. 2015;10(11):e0142607.
29. Caetano Mota P, Carvalho A, Valente I, Braga R, Duarte R. Predictors of delayed sputum smear and culture conversion among a Portuguese population with pulmonary tuberculosis. Rev Port Pneumol. 2012;18(2):72–9.