Abstract

Objective: The objective of this review article is to discuss and review blood transfusion and its allied practices in dogs, cats, horse, donkey, cattle, sheep and goat including collection, storage and the transfusion. The article discusses new developments, standard practices, protocols and conventional blood product administration techniques.

Conclusion: Blood transfusion is practiced since long back to improve oxygen carrying capacity and rectify the clinical signs of anemia. The potential risks and complications of transfusion may sometimes outweigh the benefits and can cause more harm to the recipient. The improved techniques and facilities for storage of blood have contributed immensely in extending the shelf life of stored blood. Storage of blood also causes storage lesions like erythrocyte survivability. Newer transfusion techniques are being explored such as cell salvage in surgical patients and subsequent autologous transfusion. Xenotransfusions, using blood and blood products between different species, provide an alternative to conventional blood products.
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Introduction

Blood transfusion is being practiced for centuries for saving life of human beings and animals. Richard Lower in 1665 transfused the blood in a dog for the first time in the history [1]. With the help of latest techniques and equipment developed after 1950, blood transfusion became more popular in veterinary medicine [2,3]. Blood transfusion has made considerable advancements in veterinary medicine in recent times. Although, the information and availability of blood and its products has increased, transfusion therapy has become more complex. Advanced screening facilities, blood group testing and techniques for cross matching blood had made the process of donor selection more complicated. Advancement in techniques of separating the components of blood has given the clinician an opportunity to use the component of choice as per the demand of the patient. This article summarizes recent advances in veterinary transfusion medicine and guides the clinicians in decision making while transfusion with evidence.

Blood groups

Blood groups are named according to the species-specific antigens present on the surface of erythrocytes. These antigens play an important role in inducing immune-mediated reactions and can cause complications while transfusing blood from different blood groups. Antigens coupled with platelets, leukocytes and plasma proteins may also induce immune mediated reactions in host animals during transfusion therapies.

Plasma also has some naturally occurring antibodies that can act against other blood groups without any prior exposure to the erythrocyte antigens. Erythrocyte antigens can induce production of antibodies when animals get exposed via blood transfusion, transplacental exposure or in the case of neonatal isoerythrolysis (NI), through colostrum. Blood groups in the common domestic and pet animal species are described here. From a clinician’s point of view, these are the antigens to which the veterinary practitioner should be most familiar. However, many other blood group factors and systems have been described and the lack of commercially available typing sera does not diminish the potential significance of these other systems in transfusion medicine.

Dog

International workshops met in 1972 and 1974 to standardize canine blood groups as defined by isoimmune sera and to standardize canine blood group system nomenclature [4,5]. The first workshop designated the terminology canine erythrocyte antigen (CEA) followed by a number to indicate the blood group antigen. The second workshop adopted the designation dog erythrocyte antigen (DEA). The new terminology was adopted to avoid confusion with the carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) system. The blood group system in dogs includes DEA 1.1, DEA 1.2, DEA 3, DEA 4, DEA 5 and DEA 7. The DEA nomenclature system of canine blood group is not accepted worldwide, although some authors use the newer genetic nomenclature system in reporting new blood group specificities [6,7]. In dogs, naturally occurring alloantibodies are of lesser clinical significance whereas in cats it is very important clinically [8,9]. DEA 1.1 and 1.2 are the most important blood groups and are found in 60% population of canines [9]. These blood groups can elicit severe transfusion reactions in previously sensitized dogs. DEA 1.3 has...
been described in German shepherd dogs in Australia [10]. DEA 4 blood group of dogs is found in high frequency that can cause hemolytic transfusion reactions in DEA 4-negative dogs previously sensitized by DEA 4-positive blood transfusions [11]. The DEA 3, 5 and 7 blood groups can cause delayed transfusion reactions in dogs lacking these antigens but are previously sensitized to these antigens [12,13].

**Cat**

Three blood groups are reported in cats in AB blood group system. Type A blood group is the most common group and found in 95% of the American cats [8,14]. Majority of Indian and 30 % of cats in UK belongs to blood group B [8,15-17], blood group AB is extremely rare but is found in DSH/DLH cats and in breeds in which group B is also found e.g. Abyssinian, Birman, British shorthair, Norwegian forest, Somali, Scottish fold and Persian [18]. One more erythrocyte antigen, a novel Mik antigen, has been reported in DSH cats [19]. One should consider the variation in geographical variation in blood groups of feline. The risk of transfusing lethal groups A or AB to a cat of blood group B is 20% [17,20]. Anti-A, anti-B and anti-Mik are naturally occurring alloantibodies found in cats [19,21]. Alloantibodies that are strong hemagglutinins and hemolysins against type A erythrocytes are very rich in serum of cats with blood group B. Type A cats generally have weak hemagglutinins and hemolysins against type B erythrocytes, hence transfusion reactions are rare. Neonatal isoerythrolysis (NI) occurs in type A or AB suckling kittens born to type B queens with transfer of the anti-A alloantibodies via the colostral transfer of immunoglobulin (primarily IgG) [14,21,22]. Transfusion between Mik positive and Mik negative cats can result in acute post-transfusion hemolysins [19].

**Horse and donkey**

The seven blood groups in horses viz. A, C, D, K, P, Q and U are internationally recognized with more than 30 erythrocyte antigens [17,18,23,24]. Universal donor horse is not possible because of various possible antigenic combinations. The cross matching must be performed although impractical to minimize transfusion reactions [25]. Aa and Qa alloantigens are hemolysins and are extremely immunogenic and most cases of NI are associated with anti-Aa or -Qa antibodies. In horses Blood group vary with breeds. Thoroughbreds and Arabian breeds have high prevalence of antigens Aa or Qa whereas, Standard breds lack the Qa antigen [23,26]. Donley factor; a unique donkey and mule erythrocyte antigen is not found in the horse and is responsible for neonatal isoerythrolysis in mule pregnancies [27,28].

**Cattle**

The internationally recognized blood groups in cattle are A, B, C, F, J, L, M, R, S, T and Z. out of these 11 groups, group B and J being the most clinically relevant. The B group itself has more than 60 antigens, thereby making closely matched blood transfusions difficult. The J antigen is not a true erythrocyte antigen but a lipid found in plasma Cattle having anti-J antibodies with a small amount of adsorbed J antigen on erythrocytes but negative J blood group, can develop transfusion reactions when receiving J-positive blood [23,29].

**Sheep and goat**

A, B, C, D, M, R, X are the seven blood groups identified in sheep. The B group has over 52 factors present over erythrocytes [23]. The R system in sheep is similar to the J system in cattle (i.e., antigens are soluble and passively adsorbed to erythrocytes). The M-L blood group in sheep is related to active potassium transport in reticulocytes [30]. The blood groups of the goat (A, B, C, M, J) are very similar to those of sheep with the B system equally complex. Many of the reagents used for blood typing of sheep also have been used to type goats.

**Discussion**

**General principles of blood group testing**

Blood group testing can be performed in the clinic to screen potential cat and dog blood donors and to type the recipient for appropriate donor selection prior to crossmatch and transfusion. Commercially available blood typing kits include a card-based agglutination assay, an immunochromatographic cartridge and a gel column diffusion assay (Figure 1). Blood typing cards contain lyophilized antisera in designated reaction wells. The dog cards include positive and negative control wells and the cat cards include an auto control well. A drop of diluent and a drop of whole blood are mixed onto each reaction well on the card, rocked and then observed for macroscopic agglutination. The procedure is simple and results are obtained in less than 2 minutes with no special equipment required. The auto control well included on the cat typing card and on separate cards in dogs allows assessment for auto-agglutination. Auto-agglutination appears similar to a positive reaction and may preclude accurate typing. A prozone phenomenon may occur in the presence of inappropriate antigen: antibody ratios that can generate false negative results. Consequently, an additional drop of diluent should be added to dog samples with weak or grainy reactions followed by rocking and reading the card again and samples from very anemic animals (PCV < 10%) should be concentrated prior to blood group testing [31].

**Figure 1:** Kit for testing blood groups (A, B and D blood groups).
This allows erythrocytes to move up the membrane by capillary action. Erythrocytes positive for the antigen in question are trapped by the antibody impregnated in the strip, which then forms a visible line. Erythrocytes negative for the antigen pass by the antibody and do not form a line. The strip is also impregnated with control material that must read positive to confirm the test was performed properly. These tests are easy to interpret and archive [32]. The gel tube typing kits require a simple preparation of a cell suspension, a 10-minute incubation period and a 10-minute centrifugation in a centrifuge specifically designed to hold the gel tube cartridges. The reaction is grossly visible as a compact to modestly dispersed layer of agglutinated cells at or near the top of a gel column. Non-reacting cells accumulate at the bottom of the column. This method reportedly provides easier result interpretation and higher accuracy for dog and cat typing [33,34]. Out of all the above-mentioned methods of blood group testing, recently developed Gel crossmatch technology is advantageous over the other techniques. One benefit is that the gels can be saved to show to others for verification (vs blood typing cards, which dry up). Gel technology also requires less blood than a standard crossmatch (0.5 mL vs 2-3 mL). In addition, the gel crossmatch can be used even if the patient is autoagglutinating [35]. The gel allows agglutinates to be trapped in a matrix but free cells to sink to the bottom, allowing easier interpretation of compatibility.

General principles of crossmatching blood

Major and minor cross matching tests are done for agglutinating and/or hemolytic reactions between donor and recipient. For dog and cat agglutinating tests are sufficient whereas in equines agglutinating and hemolytic tests are required because of presence of agglutinating as well as hemolytic antibodies in equines [36]. For cattle, sheep and goats test for hemolytic antibodies and complement is necessary [29,37-39]. The major crossmatch evaluates for the presence (positive findings) or absence (negative findings) of detectable levels of antibodies, whether naturally occurring or induced, in the recipient against donor erythrocytes antigens. A major crossmatch should always be performed in animals that have strong naturally occurring antibodies, as in cats, or in those that may have induced antibodies as from prior transfusions. The latter is true even if the same donor blood is intended for repeated transfusion beyond a span of several days. The minor crossmatch procedure follows the same steps as the major crossmatch but evaluates for the presence or absence of detectable antibodies in donor plasma against recipient erythrocytes. Minor cross matching is of little significance because the volume of plasma donated is very small in comparison to the recipient and is diluted in the recipient particularly in case when only erythrocytes are transfused [31]. Administration of packed erythrocytes may contain sufficient antibodies against recipient erythrocytes to induce adverse reactions in dogs [40] and horses [25]. An ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tube and a clot tube from the recipient are preferred for use in crossmatch testing. The EDTA plasma should not be used in place of serum because this contributes to increased rouleaux formation and difficult interpretation of agglutination, particularly in the horse. Preferably, samples should be free of auto-agglutination, hemolysis and lipemia to aid in the interpretation of the reactions.

When autoagglutination is present, or when no compatible units are available, transfusing the least incompatible unit may be a necessity, albeit not without significant risk. Test transfusing even a small volume of unmatched blood is an unsafe practice and never recommended [8].

Crossmatching technique

Collect the blood from the donor as well as recipient in purple top and red top tubes i.e EDTA tube and nonEDTA tubes respectively [41]. Centrifuge the blood and allow separating plasma and serum from the RBCs. Remove the serum and save it in a separate sterile tube. Discard the plasma from the EDTA tube. Wash the RBCs collected from EDTA tube. Place the RBCs in a spate tube filled with normal saline and centrifuge for 1 minute. Repeat the process 5 times removing the supernatant every time. Resuspend the cells to make a 2% to 4% solution (0.2 mL of blood in 4.8 mL of saline gives a 4% solution). Label the tubes to make the following mixtures as Major crossmatch (2 drops patient serum with 1 drop donor RBC suspension), Minor crossmatch (1 drop patient RBC suspension with 2 drops donor serum) and Control (1 drop patient RBC suspension with 1 drop patient serum). Incubate the mixtures for 15 to 30 minutes at 37°C and then centrifuge for 15 seconds. If either hemolysis or hemagglutination is seen macroscopically, or if agglutination is seen microscopically, the donor is not a good match.

Transfusion therapy: General principles and indications

Blood transfusion should be practiced after proper blood grouping and cross matching the donor’s group with the recipient’s to prevent the transfusion reactions. In addition to potential adverse reaction of mismatched blood transfusion, the shortened lifespan of mismatched transfused cells result in ineffective therapy. Breeding females of all the species should be properly checked for bold group and cross matched to dodge primary sensitization and risk of future offspring developing hemolytic disease. In the past, cross matching was recommended in dogs that had previously been pregnant. However, a recent study showed that pregnancy does not seem to sensitize dogs to antigens on RBCs [42]. Blood grouping for canine DEA 1.1 and for feline types A and B generally practiced in veterinary medicine [33,34]. Other groups and cross matching can be done in research and reference laboratories. Blood transfusions are mostly risky, hence, they should be performed in only warranted cases. History of previous transfusion therapy should be collected from clients, which necessitates cross matching. Whole blood as well as component is transfused in veterinary medicine depending upon availability and indications of transfusion. The primary indication for blood transfusion is the treatment of severe anemia caused by hemorrhage, hemolysis, ineffective erythropoiesis, immune-mediated hemolytic anemia, chronic inflammatory or infectious disease, or neoplasia. Animals must be clinically evaluated on an individual basis. A thumb rule for the treatment of anemia is to transfuse when the packed cell volume (PCV) is less than 10% to 15% [37,43-45]. Animals with acute-onset anemia, however, usually require transfusion before their PCV decreases to 15%, which contrasts with the situation in animals with
chronic anemia. For cases of thrombocytopenia, the generally accepted trigger for platelet transfusion is platelet counts of 10,000/μL [46]. Additional indications for transfusion include hypovolemia, primary or secondary clotting factor deficiency and hypoproteinemia. Collected blood should be labeled with all the details and record keeping is crucial in all cases of blood collection and administration.

Selection of Donor

Blood grouping should be performed to select permanent blood donors. All donors should be healthy young adults that have never been transfused. In addition, donors must have undergone routine physical, hematological and clinical chemistry evaluations examinations. Proper clinical history of the expected donor should be collected by carefully interviewing the owner to minimize the risk of disease transmission through blood. In the veterinary medicine, it is usually the cost that restricts to test individual units. Therefore, a combination of careful interview and blood screening of the donor is used to minimize the risk of infectious disease transmission. Donor should be properly vaccinated and should be tested free of blood parasites and other infectious diseases. In 2005, the American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine (ACVIM) Consensus Statement on infectious disease testing for blood donors was published [47]. Since publication, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays have become more readily available. Several veterinary diagnostic laboratories offer donorscreening PCR panels, which typically include at least Ehrlichia spp, Babesia spp, Anaplasma spp and Mycoplasma haemocanis or Mycoplasma haemofelis. For many diseases of concern [3]. Donors should have normal baseline PCV and total protein concentrations prior to any donation. Blood should be collected aseptically usually via jugular venipuncture. To avoid interference with platelet function, donors should not be sedated with acepromazine [48].

Dogs

15 mL of blood per kg BW can be collected from dog in every 6 weeks [13]. Dogs with a history of previous blood transfusion should not be used as donors [8]. Dogs negative for DEA 1.1 can be considered universal donors for first-time transfusion recipients [8]. A dog is considered a universal donor when negative for DEA 1.1, 1.2, 3, 5, and 7 and positive for DEA 4 [9,13]. Use of universal donors is recommended to minimize potential sensitization of the recipient and improve the odds of identifying compatible donors when periodic transfusions are expected. Approximately 50% dogs are positive for DEA 1.1 and transfusion between DEA 1.1 positive donor and recipient is sensible [8,9]. Practically DEA 1.1 negative dogs are ideal for first time transfusions regardless of recipient blood type and DEA 1.1 positive donors should be limited to DEA 1.1 positive recipients. Dogs to be used as donors should be heavier than 25-30 kg, bled less than once in four weeks to prevent iron deficiency and well nourished including oral iron supplementation. To ensure general good health donors should be checked for fecal and heartworm disease. According to the American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine’s (ACVIM) agreement, donors should be test negative for transmissible infectious diseases like babesiosis, leishmaniasis brucellosis, ehrlichiosis, anaplasmosis, trypanosomiasis, bartonellosis and hemoplasmosis and neorickettsiosis and hemoplasmosis [47].

Cats

10 and 12 mL of blood/kg body weight can be collected for transfusion from donor. Healthy adult cats can donate 45-60 mL every 6 weeks [49,50]. Cats need to be sedated for blood collection. Like dogs, donors should have negative fecal and heartworm disease examinations as part of a general health checkup. Donor cat should be checked for blood group before selection. There is no universal cat donor because of the cat’s naturally occurring alloantibodies. Donor cats should be negative for feline leukemia virus (FeLV), feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV), cytauxzoonosis, anaplasmosis, bartonellosis, ehrlichiosis and neorickettsiosis and hemoplasmosis [47].

Horses

Adult horses can safely donate approximately 6-8 L of blood. Whole blood can be collected every 15-30 days and plasma collected every 7 days if the erythrocytes are returned to the donor [51]. Donor should be healthy, negative for equine infectious anemia and should have normal PCV and plasma protein concentrations. Male donors are preferred as they are less likely to have been previously sensitized [52]. Mares that have been pregnant or foaled and horses that have received blood or erythrocyte-contaminated plasma transfusions should be excluded as potential donors. A totally compatible blood transfusion is practically not possible in horses. Cross matching to identify the most compatible donor is recommended to avoid adverse transfusion reactions but it is not possible to identify all donor/recipient incompatibilities [25]. Because Aa and Qa erythrocyte antigens are extremely immunogenic, Aa- and Qa-negative donors are the best choice as donors to recipients of unknown blood type. In cases of NI, the dam’s washed erythrocytes may be used for transfusion to severely anemic foals whereas a transfusion from the sire to foal is contraindicated [23,52]. Mule foals with NI could receive a transfusion from a horse not previously sensitized by pregnancy against donkey factor because horses are known to be free of naturally-occurring antibodies against donkey factor.

Cattle and sheep

Cattle can donate 8-14 mL of blood per kg of body weight. Perfectly or closely crossmatch blood transfusions are very difficult in cattle. First transfusions are generally of low risk, but ideally a donor would be negative for the J antigen [48]. Cases of transmission of Prion diseases by blood transfusion have been reported in sheep [47], therefore, screening should be done for prion disease prior to blood transfusion in ruminants.

Anticoagulants used for storage

Two anticoagulants namely Citrate-phosphate-dextrose-adenine (CPDA-1) and Acid-citrate-dextrose (ACD) are commonly used for storage of blood. CPDA-1 is considered better anticoagulant because it maintains higher levels of 2,3-diphosphoglycerate (2,3-DPG) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) in collected blood. In CPDA-1 blood can be stored for approximately 35 days. Acid-citrate-dextrose (ACD) allows storage of blood for 21 days [13,49,53,38]. Use 1 mL of anticoagulant (CPDA-1/ACD) for every 7 mL of blood. Blood should be refrigerated in plastic blood collection bags. Heparin is not recommended for blood collection.
because it activates platelets, but if still used 5 U per mL of blood is sufficient. Blood collected in heparin as anticoagulant must be used immediately. Survival and functional usefulness of erythrocytes decrease with increased storage temperature and time because of glucose consumption and depletion of ATP and 2,3-DPG. Blood should be collected into latex-free plastic bags or plastic syringes to preserve platelets [54].

Transfusion process

Aseptic conditions should be maintained and perfect aseptic procedures should be followed while collection of blood for transfusion. According to ACVIM, a separate aliquot from every donated unit of blood should be stored for later testing when disease transmission following transfusion is suspected [47]. Blood should be filtered using 150-170 μm pore nonlatex filters either prior to or during administration. Blood should be warmed to 37°C before administration to prevent hypothermia. Temperature should not be more than 37°C, because higher temperatures cause lysis of erythrocytes and inactivation of clotting factors. Blood is administered intravenously through commercially available administration I/V sets with filters. Fluid containing 0.9% saline should be used when concurrent crystalloid fluid therapy is indicated or for reconstituting blood components such as packed erythrocytes. Lactated Ringer’s solution causes calcium chelation with citrate-containing anticoagulants and subsequent clot formation, 5% dextrose in water cause swelling and lysis of erythrocytes and hypotonic saline fluids will lyse erythrocytes, so they are contraindicated.

Excessive and rapid injection of blood or plasma can result in circulatory overload and heart failure. Generally, blood should be given intravenously at a rate not exceeding 10 mL/kg per hour (always begin the transfusion slowly then gradually increase flow rate); however, each patient must be assessed individually to establish an appropriate infusion rate. For example, hypovolemic patients may require an infusion rate of 20 mL/kg per hour, whereas patients with cardiac, renal, or hepatic disease or recumbent calves may require an infusion rate of only 1 mL/kg per hour [13,48]. If blood is transfused too quickly, salivation, vomiting and muscle fasciculations may occur. Warm blood should be transfused within 4 hours to avoid contamination. The volume of blood to be transfused is determined according to the recipient’s body weight, estimated blood volume, PCV of the recipient and of the donor and the purpose of therapy. A simple guideline for small animals is that 10-15 mL/kg of packed erythrocytes or 20 mL/kg of whole blood increases the PCV by 10% if the donor has a PCV of approximately 40% [13,55]. One report in horses demonstrated that 15 mL/kg of whole blood and 8-10 mL/kg of packed erythrocytes resulted in a 4% increase in PCV when the donor PCV was 35-40% [25]. More specific calculations for cattle are reported depending on the indication for transfusion for example, in hemorrhagic shock a general volume rule is 7L of whole blood/600 kg cow [21]. For cases of thrombocytopenia in dogs and cats for which fresh whole blood is used for treatment, the general rule is to administer 10 mL/kg to increase the recipient’s platelet count by a maximum of about 10,000/μL [46]. In dogs, the half-life of erythrocytes transfused after matching is approximately 21 days. In cats, the half-life of erythrocytes transfused after matching is approximately 30-38 days [56]. In horses and cattle the survival time of compatible transfused erythrocytes is only 2-4 days [57,58].

Preparations while transfusion: Fresh whole blood is indicated for transfusion in case of acute hemorrhage, anemia, coagulation disorders and thrombocytopenia. Stored whole blood is indicated for transfusion in anemia but will not provide platelets or coagulation factors. Packed erythrocytes are recommended for anemic animals, particularly those at high risk for volume overload. Fresh-frozen or stored-frozen plasma is used in cases of congenital or acquired deficiencies of coagulation factors and hypoproteinemia. Fresh-frozen plasma is indicated for use in failure of passive transfer (hypogammaglobulinemia) in calves, foals, puppies and kittens [59,37,38,60]. Platelet-rich plasma is indicated for severe thrombocytopenia or thrombocytopenia. Hyperimmune equine plasma or equine plasma rich in anti-endotoxin antibodies has been used for critically ill foals recovering from septicemia [61]. Hyperimmune serum products are also available for use in cattle with infectious disease [57]. Blood substitutes are available and have been used for treatment of anemia in different species of animals including dogs, cats, horses, birds and ferrets. Few advantages of blood substitutes include no requirement of blood grouping and cross matching, risk of infectious disease transmission is minimized and the shelf life is long. However, the product is expensive and must be discarded if not used within 24 hours. It has a half-life of 18-40 hours, depending on the dosage once administered. One should be aware of the potential for abuse of artificial oxygen-carriers in canine and equine athletes [59]. Lastly, these products can interfere with patient monitoring using colorimetric laboratory tests. The effect of transfusion of blood substitutes in recipients should be monitored using hemoglobin concentration, not PCV [13,59].

Transfusion reactions and sequelae: Potential transfusion reactions may be acute or delayed. In compatible transfusions may cause acute intravascular hemolysis leading to hemoglobinemia and hemoglobinuria. Release of thrombolytic substances may lead to disseminated intravascular coagulopathy. Mismatch transfusion may cause release of vasoactive amine leading to hypotension, shock, acute renal failure and death. Delayed hemolysis is evidenced by a decrease in PCV between 2 to 14 days after transfusion. It is most common in previously transfused animals with an antibody titer too low to detect by cross matching. Generally, extravascular hemolysis causes hyperbilirubinemia and bilirubinuria. If the recipient has not been previously transfused then the first transfusion is usually safe regardless of the donor’s blood group, because all antibodies against the common canine erythrocyte antigen 1.1 and 1.2 do not exist and sensitization does not occur during pregnancy in dogs [1]. Administering a mismatched first transfusion may sensitize the recipient to immunogenic antigens such as 1.1, 1.2, 7 and others, however and result in shortened survival times of the transfused cells on first transfusion and subsequent predisposition to severe transfusion reaction. The strongest antigen in dogs, DEA 1.1, elicits the most severe transfusion reaction [9]. AB-mismatched transfusions
of any phase in cats may cause acute hemolytic incompatibility reactions. Erythrocytes are destroyed immediately in cats because of alloantibodies in contrast to the delayed transfusion reactions in dogs. Transfusion of type B blood to a type A cat cause shortened erythrocyte survival, thus resulting in ineffective therapy. Even in the first transfusion of type A blood to a type B cat results in an acute hemolytic transfusion reaction with massive intravascular hemolysis leading to serious clinical signs and possibly death [14,22]. Cats with AB blood group can safely receive type AB or A blood. Transfusion of Milk-positive blood to Milk-negative recipients cats can also result in acute hemolytic transfusion reactions [19]. After identification of novel blood groups in cats, even AB-matched transfusions can result in reactions, thus, cross matching is mandatory for blood or plasma transfusion in felids [8]. First transfusions in cattle are of low risk, whereas transfusing J-positive erythrocytes to J-negative cattle recipient can result in transfusion reactions. In J-antigen mismatched second transfusion within four days of first transfusion can result in hemolytic reactions [37]. Neonatal isoerythrolysis is the destruction of erythrocytes in the circulation of offspring by alloantibodies of maternal origin that are absorbed from colostrum. Kittens at risk include those that are type A or AB that suckle colostrum from type B queens in the first 16 hours of life [8].Nearly all cases of NI in foals are caused by factor Aa in the A system and factor Qa in the Q system.23 Signs of transfusion reaction usually develop 24-36 hours after sudding like anemia, liver failure and kernicterus (bilirubin encephalopathy) being the primary causes of death in foals [62]. Complications other than erythrocyte antigen-antibody reactions include fever, allergic reactions, circulatory overload, citrate toxicity, ammonia toxicosis and infection [13,63-65]. Fever is a common clinical manifestation of blood transfusion reaction. It may occur in response to leukocyte or platelet antigens or because of sepsis from bacterial contamination of blood. Sensitivity to plasma proteins or leukocyte and platelet antigens is generally responsible for allergic reactions after transfusions in dogs, cattle and horses [13,25,37]. Circulatory overload is a potential sequel when whole blood is transfused in patients with compromised cardiac function. Citrate toxicity can be serious in hypocalcaemic cattle [35]. Prolonged storage of blood can cause ammonia toxicity. Patients with liver diseases should be closely monitored for such a case. Blood borne parasites and viruses should be considered specially and contamination of blood in case of prolonged storage or slow transfusion should be kept in mind by the veterinary practitioner. The health checkup and screening of donors should be strictly practiced and to minimize the risk due care of the collected blood while storing should be taken prior to transfusion [66]. The blood unit collected from the donor should be properly labeled and an aliquot should be retained for testing if needed. Cases of serum hepatitis, liver failure and kernicterus have been reported in equines transfused with commercially available plasma [47,67]. Visible hemolysis of the donor unit suggests inappropriate storage or bacterial contamination, in which case culture is warranted. Blood samples from the donor as well as recipient before and after transfusion should be collected for confirmation of blood group, cross matching and status of Coomb’s test [65].

### Conclusion

Transfusion medicine may be a life saving modality in case of emergency or critically ill animals. Blood products are becoming readily available and transfusions can be performed in many veterinary clinics. The appropriate use of transfusion medicine should balance the rare but not negligible potential risks associated with transfusions. Patients should be appropriately screened with blood typing and cross matching before transfusion. Ongoing research may provide even better platform typing, longer storage times and a larger variety of products that are more specific for each species.
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