Hydrodynamic Boundary Layers at Solid Wall—A Tool for Separation of Fine Solids

Ljubomir Nikolov

Institute of Physical Chemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria; lnikolov@ipc.bas.bg

Abstract: A theoretical study is performed about the hydrodynamic interaction of fine species entrapped in the boundary layer (BL) at solid wall (plate). The key starting point is the analysis of the disturbance introduced by solid spheres in the background fluid flow. For a neutrally buoyant entity, the type of interaction is determined by the size of the spheres as compared to the thickness of the BL region. The result is granulometric separation of the solids inside the BL domain at the wall. The most important result in view of potential applications concerns the so-called small particles $R_p < L/Re_\infty^{1/4}$ ($Re$ is the Reynolds number of the background flow and $R_p$ is the radius of the entrapped sphere). In the case of non-neutrally buoyant particles, gravity interferes with the separation effect. Important factor in this case is the relative density of the solid species as compared to this of the fluid. In view of further practical uses, particles within the range of $\Delta \rho/\rho < Fr^2/Re_\infty^{1/2}$ (Fr is Froude number and $\Delta \rho/\rho$ is the relative density of the entrapped solids) are systematically studied. The trajectories inside the BL region of the captured species are calculated. The obtained data show that there are preferred regions along the wall where the fine solids are detained. The results are important for the assessment of the general efficiency of entrapment and segregation of fine species in the vicinity of solid walls and have high potential for further design of industrial separation processes.
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1. Introduction

The hydrodynamic interactions of fine (micron- and submicron sized) solids with background hydrodynamic flows in the vicinity of interfaces is of considerable theoretical interest and have high application potential. One typical example is the performance of fines near rising bubbles in fluid media. This is a broadly studied topic in (micro)flotation [1,2]. The classical flotation phenomena comprise hydrophobic particle/bubble interaction including the consecutive formation of thin liquid film, the onset of a three-phase contact line, and capture of the particles in the foam product. Besides, there are experiments that show that, hydrophilic fine solids, can be entrapped in the foam if there has been preliminary foaming of the suspension [3]. Based on this fact, a separation method for extraction of fine hydrophilic solids has been proposed and applied for industrial purposes in [4].

The theoretical background of this phenomenon was clarified for the first time by Mileva [5]. She has advanced the key idea that the entrapment of hydrophilic fine solids is related to the onset of hydrodynamic boundary layers (BLs) formed in the vicinity of the rising bubbles. It was shown that depending on their size, the particles may be entrapped in the BLs, and the hydrodynamic interactions result in extraction of the fines in the wet foam. These notions have been systematically elaborated in a series of papers [6–9]. A systematic methodology has been developed for the investigation of the perturbation flow field created by a neutrally buoyant solid particle inside the BL at a
rising bubble. A general criterion for sorting of the particles by size was formulated. Accordingly, the fine species are categorized into three groups—small, medium-sized, and large—depending on the type of perturbation they cause within the background BL flow, namely, viscous, mixed viscous-inertial, and inertial. The residence times of the fines inside the BLs are calculated for a range of particles’ dimensions and bubbles’ radii. It has been established that the experimental recovery of hydrophilic solids may be directly linked to the values of their theoretical residence times within the BL at the rising bubbles. The impact of gravity on the residence time and the recovery of fine hydrophilic solids has been further on investigated in [10]. A criterion about the coupled and synergistic influence of both gravity and particle/BL hydrodynamics has been formulated and its impact on the recovery of the fine hydrophilic particles in the regime of foaming of a suspension is estimated.

Hydrodynamic boundary layers are formed at solid walls, as well [11]. This type of flow has a high potential for segregation of fine hydrophilic particles. The effect has been first discussed in [12–15] for the case of neutrally buoyant entities, which are not trace particles. It has been established that the interaction is determined by the size of the entities as compared to the thickness of the BL region. The most important result in view of applications concerns the so-called small particles \( R_p < L/Re^{3/4} \) (\( Re \) is the Reynolds number of the background flow and \( R_p \) is the radius of the entrapped sphere). Here, this case is further systematically investigated. In the present study, non-neutrally buoyant particles, with the additional account of the gravity effects are analyzed in detail. The aim is to clarify the potential of BL in the vicinity of solid walls to classify fine hydrophilic species, which are not trace particles, as gravity is expected to interfere significantly with the separation effect. This phenomenon might be important, e.g., in channel flows and possibly for cases of microfluidics.

2. Interactions of Fine, Neutrally Buoyant Particles with BL at a Solid Wall

2.1. Theoretical Outline

A neutrally buoyant solid sphere of radius \( R_p \) is situated in a BL at a solid plate of length \( L \). The model situation is sketched in Figure 1. The characteristic thickness of the BL region at the plate is \( \delta \sim 1/Re^{1/2} \), where \( Re = \frac{UL}{\nu} \) is the Reynolds number and \( U_L \) and \( \nu \) are the flow velocity and the kinematic viscosity of the fluid, respectively [11, p. 126]. The sphere perturbs the outer BL flow at the plate due to its finite dimensions \( R_p \). The basic parameter ratios that characterize the perturbation flow field are \( \delta/L << 1 \), \( R_p/L << 1 \), and \( R_p/\delta << 1 \) [12–15]. There geometric interrelations allow to perform a scaling analysis for the governing Navier–Stokes equations [16,17] of the disturbance flow field, so that a system of asymptotic hydrodynamic equations is obtained, which can be solved analytically. Then, the overall force acting on the solid sphere is calculated. Finally, the particle trajectories within the BL are obtained.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Sketch of a spherical particle with radius \( R_p \) in the boundary layer (BL) at a plate with length \( L \); \( \delta_c \) is the characteristic thickness of BL.

The procedure is the following: the total perturbed field around the rigid sphere is presented as a sum of the “empty” BL flow and a disturbance flow field (Equation (1) and (2)).
\[
\mathbf{v}^i = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^d
\]
\[
p^i = p + p^d.
\]

The indexes “\(i\),” “\(e\),” and “\(d\)” stand for velocity and pressure of total, external, and disturbance fields, respectively. Bold style denotes vector quantities. The total flow field \((\mathbf{v}^i, p^i)\) satisfies the stationary equations of Navier–Stokes (Equation (3) and (4)):

\[
\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^i}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{v}^i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^i = -\nabla p^i + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}^i
\]

(3)

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^i = 0,
\]

(4)

where \(\mu\) is the dynamic fluid viscosity and \(\rho\) is the density of the fluid. Upon substitution of Equations (1) and (2) in Equations (3) and (4) and taking into account that the external flow field \((\mathbf{v}^e, p^e)\) also satisfies the stationary Navier–Stokes equations, the following system of equations that governs the hydrodynamic interaction sphere/BL is obtained:

\[
\rho \mathbf{v}^e \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^d + \rho \mathbf{v}^d \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^e + \rho \mathbf{v}^e \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^d = -\nabla p^d + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}^d
\]

(5)

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^d = 0.
\]

(6)

These are nonlinear second-order partial differential equations, for which analytical solution do not exist [18]. However, based on the parameter ratios, the model Equations (5) and (6) may be further simplified. The approach is to introduce the appropriate scaling parameters so as to render the respective quantities dimensionless and to convert Equations (5) and (6) into a system of successive approximations. First, if a rigid neutrally buoyant sphere is inside the BL region at the wall, there is one cause for the perturbation of the external BL flow: the deformation of the flow field due to the finite size of the solid, as compared to the characteristic BL thickness \(\delta\). Faxen studied a similar type of interaction [19,20]. He established that in a Stokes-type external flow, an additional drag on the particle with regard to the velocity of the external flow appears [21]. The so-called Faxen’s deformation velocity \(\mathbf{v}^f\) [21] is presented as Equation (7):

\[
\mathbf{v}^f \sim \frac{R^2}{\mu} \left( \nabla \mathbf{v}^e \right)_{\partial} = R^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^e}{\partial x_{1|\partial}},
\]

(7)

where the index “\(e\)” refers to external flow characteristics. The subscript “\(\partial\)” denotes that the magnitudes are taken at the point where the center of the sphere is situated.

Second, for any particle that lags behind of the basic flow, an additional hydrodynamic interaction appears. This is often referred to as lateral migration and was initially studied by Saffman [22,23]. The nature of this phenomenon is related to a combined action of viscous and convective hydrodynamic effects—“Saffman’s migration effect.” The respective velocity of migration \(\mathbf{v}^s\) may be presented as Equation (8):

\[
\mathbf{v}^s = \left( \frac{R^2}{\nu} \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^e}{\partial x_{1|\partial}} \right| \right)^{1/2}.
\]

(8)

Here, \(\mathbf{v}^f\) is the velocity of the background BL flow, \(v_j\) is the lag velocity of the particle (the difference of the particle’s velocity and that of the external flow at the point where the particle center is situated), \(v = \mu/\rho\) is the kinematic viscosity, and \(i, j\) are coordinates. The lagging behind here is due to the deformation viscous interaction and one may assume that \(v_j = v^f\) [5]. So, the respective quantities in the Equations (5) and (6) are scaled in the following manner (Equation (9)):

\[
v_x \sim U_{L} \left( \frac{R}{\delta} \right)^2 \quad v_y \sim \frac{v_{L}}{\sqrt{Re_L}} \left( \frac{R}{\delta} \right)^2 \quad x \sim R_p \sqrt{Re_L} \quad y \sim R_p.
\]

(9)
Further on, the notations $\overline{v}_x', \overline{v}_y'$ and $\overline{x}, \overline{y}$ are used for the dimensionless velocity and length components along the Ox and Oy axes, respectively.

As shown in [12,13], the main result of this asymptotic analysis is that the neutrally buoyant particles are subjected to a granulometric separation inside the hydrodynamic BL at a plate as shown in Figure 2. The criterion for this classification is based on the ratio of the parameters of the external flow field and particle’s dimension. Thus, interactions for the small particles ($R_p < L/Re_L^{5/4}$) with the external flow are predominantly viscous, for the medium-sized ($L/Re_L^{5/4} < R_p < L/Re_L^{7/8}$), of mixed inertial-viscous type, and for the large species ($L/Re_L^{7/8} < R_p < L/Re_L^{1/2}$), of inertial type.

![Figure 2. Classification of particles by size and type of dynamic interaction with BL flow.](image)

The medium- and large-sized particles in a laminar BL at a plate have been experimentally investigated in [24]. The authors have shown that such species are just expelled outside the BL. Major entrapment effects inside the BL domain are obtained only for the small species, namely, $R_p < L/Re_L^{5/4}$. So, the scaling analysis for small particles results in the following asymptotic equation for the longitudinal ($x$-) component of the disturbance velocity [14] (Equation (10)):

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_x'}{\partial \overline{x}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_x'}{\partial \overline{y}^2} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

with the following boundary conditions (Equation (11) and (Equation (12)):

$$v_x'(\overline{x},0) = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$v_x'(1,\overline{y}) = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

The analytical solution for the system of Equations (10), (11) and (12) is:

$$v_x'(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = U_L \left(\frac{R_p}{L}\right)^2 Re_L \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \lambda_k (1 - \overline{x})}{\cos \lambda_k} \sinh (\lambda_k \overline{y}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

The results for the transversal ($y$-) component of the velocity of the disturbance field and for the disturbance component of the pressure are, respectively (Equation (14) and (15)):

$$v_y'(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = U_L \left(\frac{R_p}{L}\right)^2 \sqrt{Re_L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \lambda_k (1 - \overline{x})}{\cos \lambda_k} \left(\cosh (\lambda_k \overline{y}) - 1\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$p(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \rho U_L^2 \sqrt{Re_L} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left(2 \frac{\cos \lambda_k (1 - \overline{x})}{\cos \lambda_k} - 1\right) \sinh (\lambda_k \overline{y}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)

The total force ($F$) acting on the sphere is calculated according to the following expression [25] (Equation (16)):

$$F = \int_{\partial S} \Pi^t \cdot dS \quad \Pi^t_{ij} = \rho v'[v'_j] + p' \delta_{ij} - \mu \left(\frac{\partial v'_i}{\partial \overline{x}_j} + \frac{\partial v'_j}{\partial \overline{x}_i}\right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)
$S_p$ is the surface of the sphere, $\Pi_{ij}^p$ is the total stress tensor, and $\delta_{ij}$ is the symbol of Kronecker. Finally, the following relations are used so as to obtain the particle trajectory((Equation (17) and (18)):

$$\frac{4}{3} \pi R_p^3 \rho \frac{d^2r_p}{dt^2} = F$$  
(17)

$$\frac{dr_p}{dt} = v_p$$  
(18)

$\rho$ denotes the density of the particle (in the case of neutrally buoyant particle, its density is equal to that of the fluid); $r_p$ is its radius-vector of the particle center. The system of Equations (17) and (18) is solved under the following initial conditions for the coordinates of the particle center $(x_p, y_p)$:

$$\dot{x}_p(t = 0) = \ddot{x}_p^0 \quad \dot{y}_p(t = 0) = \ddot{y}_p^0$$  
(19)

$$v_{xp}(t = 0) = v_{xp}^0(\ddot{x}_p, \ddot{y}_p) + \left(\frac{R_p}{L Re_L^{1/2}}\right)^2 \ddot{v}_x(\ddot{x}_p, \ddot{y}_p)$$  
(20)

$$v_{yp}(t = 0) = v_{yp}^0(\ddot{x}_p, \ddot{y}_p) + \left(\frac{R_p}{L Re_L^{1/2}}\right)^2 \ddot{v}_y(\ddot{x}_p, \ddot{y}_p).$$  
(21)

The notations $(\dddot{v}_{xp}, \dddot{v}_{yp})$ denote the dimensionless longitudinal and transversal velocity components of the particle; $(\dddot{x}_p, \dddot{y}_p)$ are the dimensionless coordinates of the particle's center.

2.2. Numerical Results and Discussion

Equations (17) and (18) are solved numerically and the result is presented as a function $\dddot{v}_y(\dddot{x})$; the latter is used to calculate the particle residence time ($\dddot{t}$) inside the BL region [10]. The numerical experiments are performed for different plate dimensions (lengths) and various Reynolds numbers of the background fluid flow. In Table 1 are presented the dimensions of the largest solids that fulfill the demand of being small as compared to the BL thickness in each case, namely, $R_p< L/Re_L^{5/4}$, so as to correspond to the demands of the asymptotic model.

| Table 1. Maximum dimensions for small neutrally buoyant particles ($R_p< L/Re_L^{5/4}$) in boundary layer (BL) at solid plates of various length values. |

| L = 0.2 cm | L = 0.5 cm | L = 1 cm | L = 2 cm | L = 5 cm | L = 10 cm | L = 20 cm | L = 50 cm | L = 100 cm |
|------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Re$^c$     | $R_p(\mu m)$ | $R_p(\mu m)$ | $R_p(\mu m)$ | $R_p(\mu m)$ | $R_p(\mu m)$ | $R_p(\mu m)$ | $R_p(\mu m)$ | $R_p(\mu m)$ |
| 10         | 112        | 281      | 562      | 1125     | 2812      | 5623      | 11247     | 28117      | 56234      |
| 25         | 36         | 89       | 179      | 358      | 894       | 1789      | 3578      | 8944       | 17889      |
| 50         | 15         | 38       | 75       | 150      | 367       | 752       | 1504      | 3761       | 7521       |
| 100        | 6          | 16       | 32       | 63       | 158       | 316       | 632       | 1581       | 3162       |
| 250        | 2          | 5        | 10       | 20       | 50        | 101       | 201       | 503        | 1006       |
| 500        | 1          | 2        | 4        | 8        | 21        | 42        | 85        | 211        | 423        |

As expected, the shortest plates retain the smallest solids inside the BL; the longer the plates, the larger are the entrapped particles. For example, a plate with $L = 100$ cm can entrap species of the order of $R_p \sim 50$ mm. Having this in mind, the particles’ trajectories are calculated with the assumption that the solids are completely immersed inside the BL. The initial values of $\dddot{x}_p^0$ for the exemplary calculations of the trajectories are chosen to be inside the BL, as far as possible remote from the plate (the particle center is situated three radii inside the BL). Thus, the minimum entrapment effect for a given particle size is estimated and thus the lower limit of residence time for each type of particle entrapped inside the BL region is estimated. If the particle is placed deeper towards the plate the
disturbance of the background fluid flow is much higher. The choice is schematically illustrated in Figure 3.

\[ \text{Figure 3. Idea about the choice of the initial conditions for the trajectory calculations.} \]

The results about the residence time for various particle’s radii \( R_p \) of the solid spheres and for several cases of plate’s lengths \( L \) are presented in Figure 4. The runs of the curves \( \tau (R_p) \) in Figure 4a show that for the given external BL conditions, there is a range of particle’s dimensions for which the residence time inside the BL is maximal. This effect evidences that the hydrodynamic boundary layer in the vicinity of the solid wall has a substantial potential for granulometric separation of polydisperse solids along the BL thickness. In addition, this is an exclusive effect of the finite dimensions of the species entrapped in the BL. Moreover, there are two additional characteristics of the spectra, as shown in Figure 4b: (i) for each value of \( L \), the maximum in the residence time is shifted towards smaller particles at the increase in \( Re \). Therefore, more intensive BL flow is particularly effective in the granulometric separation of the finest solids, while larger particles are entrapped and classified in size within a less intensive BL (higher value of \( \delta \)). (ii) Upon increase in \( L \) (for all \( Re \) as shown in Figure 4b), the maxima in the residence times are shifted towards larger entrapped species. So, longer plates are more effective in the granulometric separation of larger particles, while more detailed size classification is expected in BL at shorter plates.

\[ \text{Figure 4a. Residence time \( \tau \) for various values of } R_p \text{ and } L \text{, for } Re=25. \]

\[ \text{Figure 4b. Residence time \( \tau \) for various values of } L \text{ and } R_p \text{ for } Re=25. \]
Figure 4. Residence times of the particles against the radii $\tau(R_p)$: (a) for $L = 0.2 \text{ cm}$ and $Re_L = 25$; (b) for three values of $L$ (0.2, 2, and 20 cm) and various intensities of the BL flow ($Re_L = 10$, 25, 50, 100, 250, and 500).

The run of $\tau(R_p)$ for various length $L$, but at fixed Reynolds number $Re_L$ is presented in Figure 5. The results support the conception that the medium values of the Reynolds number of the background BL flow and the shorter plates are most effective in the granulometric separation of a wider range of fine species, while only the smallest particles could be entrapped within the BL regions of higher Reynolds numbers.
Figure 5. Residence times of the particles against the radii $\tau (R_p)$ for various $L$ values: (a) for $Re_L = 25$ and (b) for $Re_L = 250$.

In conformity of this notion are also the data summarized in Figure 6a. Figure 6a presents the interrelation of particles sizes that have maximum residence times inside the BL flow (the values are taken from the maxima in the spectral curves $\tau (R_p)$ in Figure 4a,b) against the plate lengths $R_p(L)$ for various $Re_L$. The key result denotes that the most effective BLs are those at $Re_L = 25$, while the least effective, in view of particle capture efficiency, are the BLs with $Re_L = 500$. The detailed data particularly for the finest species and smaller plates, are demonstrated in Figure 6b. This presentation allows to predict, say, the optimal dimensions of the plate at which the hydrodynamic BL is formed. For example, the idea about the conditions for possible granulometric separation of neutrally buoyant sphere of a given radius ($R_p = 3 \text{ µm}$) may be extracted in the following manner: The intersections of the horizontal line $R_p = 3 \text{ µm}$ with each of the various $R_p(L)$-curves denote the respective lengths of the plates ($L$) that are expected to ensure the maximum capture effect for this size of the fine solid. Thus, if the Reynolds number of the background flow at the plate is $Re_L = 25$, the optimum length of the plate is $L \approx 0.3 \text{ cm}$, while if $Re_L = 100$, the optimum length is $L \approx 0.6 \text{ cm}$. 
Figure 6. The size \( (R_o) \) of the particles, which reside for maximal times inside the BLs at plates with various lengths \( (L) \). (a) for all cases, calculated within the investigated model situation and (b) only for the finest species (small \( R_o \)).

The general presentation of the obtained numerical results, in a form suitable for predictive aims about the design of separation experiments with neutrally buoyant fine entities, is shown in Figure 7 as \( R_o(U_L) \).

![Figure 7](image)

Figure 7. The interrelations between the dimensions of neutrally buoyant particles \( R_o \) against the flow velocity \( U_L \) for various length values of the plate \( L \).

The key outcome is that it is possible to use the hydrodynamic BLs for granulometric separation of fine submicron- and micron-sized neutrally buoyant particles when a polydisperse suspension flows in the vicinity of solid walls (plates).

3. Gravity Effects

3.1. Theoretical Background

The next step is to account for the fact that the targeted fine solids may have densities higher than the respective value of the surrounding fluid. This gravity effect might interfere with the already established granulometric separation in the vicinity of solid walls (plates). The aim here is to estimate this influence. In the model situation as presented in Figure 1, the gravity effects act along the \( y \)-axis. Therefore, in the preliminary scaling analysis, one has to account for the particle’s sedimentation velocity \( (v^s) \), as well, namely Equation (22):

\[
v^s = \frac{2}{9} \frac{R_o^2}{L} \frac{g \Delta \rho}{\rho}.
\]

In Equation (22), \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration, \( v \) is the kinematic fluid viscosity, \( \Delta \rho / \rho \) is relative density of the particle inside the fluid (for neutrally buoyant particle, \( \Delta \rho / \rho = 0 \).

Generally, the disturbance velocity \( (v^d) \) may be scaled by: (i) Faxen’s deformation (Equation (7)), (ii) Saffman’s migration effect (Equation (8)), and (iii) sedimentation velocity (Equation (22)). In the case of neutrally buoyant particle, the velocity components are scaled either with Faxen’s deformation or with Saffman’s migration. However, for the model situation, as depicted in Figure 1, the sedimentation effect couples only with the transverse velocity component \( (v) \). So, all possible interrelations are shown in Figure 8.

One of the challenging problems in the separation processes is the extraction of small particles. As is shown above in Section 2, for neutrally buoyant species, this means dimensions in the range of \( R_p < L/R_e L^{5/4} \). Particular feature of the disturbance velocity components in this case is that they are of the order of magnitude of the Faxen’s
deformation, both in longitudinal and transverse directions with regard to the solid wall. In the case of heavy particles, however, two option appear, for the estimation of the transverse disturbance velocity component: (i) through Faxen’s deformation velocity \( \nu^F \) (Equation (7)) or (ii) through the sedimentation velocity \( \nu^G \) (Equation (22)). Thus, the fine small \( (R_p < L/Re_5^{5/4}) \) species might further be classified into light and heavy particles (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Classification of non-neutrally buoyant particles within the boundary layer at a solid wall; \( Fr^2 = \frac{U_L}{Lg} \) is the Froude number; \( Re_L = \frac{L}{\nu} \) is the Reynolds number of the background fluid flow.

The modeling and the numerical calculations are further focused on the light particles. The reason is that heavy particles are not very much affected by the BL fluid flow. However, for light and small species \( (\Delta \rho/\rho < Fr^2/Re_L^{1/2} \) and \( R_p < L/Re_5^{5/4} \), Figure 8), the sedimentation phenomena cause additional modification to the major deformation effect within the background BL fluid flow due to the finite dimensions of the particles. Thus, the asymptotic model and the analytical solutions for the disturbance field \( (v^t, p^t) \) are presented as Equations (10), (11), (12), (13), (14) and (15), (see Section 2). The gravity effect, however, affects the force acting on the entrapped fine solid.

While the initial asymptotic model (Equations (17) and (18)) for the small neutrally buoyant particles \( (R_p < L/Re_5^{5/4}) \) are:

\[
\frac{d\nu_{x,p}}{dt} = \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{Fr\bar{\eta}}{N^2}
\]

\[
\frac{d\nu_{y,p}}{dt} = \frac{3}{4\pi} \sqrt{Re_L} \frac{Fr\bar{\eta}}{N^2}
\]

\[
\frac{dx_p}{dt} = \bar{v}_x
\]

\[
\frac{dy_p}{dt} = \bar{v}_y
\]

For the case of light particle \( (\Delta \rho/\rho < Fr^2/Re_L^{1/2}) \), this model is transformed into:
Here, the notation “NB” stands for the respective magnitudes concerning the neutrally buoyant case (as described in Section 2). The initial conditions are as in Equations (19), (20) and (21).

3.2. Numerical Results and Discussion

The numerical calculations are concentrated on obtaining the theoretical predictions about the residence times and the trajectories of small light particles inside the BL flow (in the vicinity of a flat wall).

The parameters used in these calculations are presented in Table 2. The values for the small species are denoted with red color \( R_p \leq L/Re^L \), while those for the light case are colored with blue \( \Delta \rho/\rho < Fr^2/\sqrt{Re} \). Note that for \( L = 2 \) cm, the model situation is already nonapplicable. So, the present analysis concerns smaller values of \( L \).

| ReL | Rp (μm) | Δρ/ρ | Rp (μm) | Δρ/ρ | Rp (μm) | Δρ/ρ | Rp (μm) | Δρ/ρ |
|-----|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|
| 10  | 112     | 0.0018 | 281     | 0.00012 | 562     | 0.000015 | 1125     | 0.000002 |
| 25  | 36      | 0.007  | 89      | 0.00046 | 179     | 0.00006 | 358      | 0.00007 |
| 50  | 15      | 0.002  | 38      | 0.0013  | 75      | 0.00016 | 150      | 0.00002 |
| 100 | 6       | 0.006  | 16      | 0.004   | 32      | 0.0005  | 63       | 0.00006 |
| 250 | 2       | 0.23   | 5       | 0.015   | 10      | 0.0018  | 20       | 0.0002  |
| 500 | 1       | 0.64   | 2       | 0.04    | 4       | 0.005   | 8        | 0.0006  |

Figure 9 illustrates how the regions of simultaneous action of both deformation interaction and gravity are shifted for various particle’s radii, different relative densities, and several Reynolds numbers for the BL flow.
Figure 9. The shift of the coupling between the deformation viscous lagging inside the BL flow and the gravity effect for the interrelations $R_p(L)$ and $\Delta \rho/\rho(L)$, for small particles, and at several Reynolds numbers of the background BL flow.

As is to be seen from Figure 9, upon rise of $Re_L$, the region of interaction of both coupling effects is shifted towards larger $L$. Besides, the entrapment effect is more effective for smaller species.

The typical residence times as a function of particle’s dimensions $\tau(R_p)$ are shown in Figure 10. As taken from Table 2, the value of $L$ is 0.2 cm, $Re_L = 250$, and $\Delta \rho/\rho < 0.23$, because in this case, a significant effect of the coupling is to be expected. As is shown in Figure 10a, upon increase in the relative density of the particle up to $\Delta \rho/\rho \approx 0.007$, the residence time of the particles increases and the maximum values are shifted to smaller species. The residence time sharply decreases for larger entities ($R_p \geq 1$ mm and $\Delta \rho/\rho \approx 0.009$–0.011). At higher density values ($\Delta \rho/\rho \approx 0.016$), the entrapment of the small particles in the BL region is diminished and the particles are expelled out of the BL. This tendency is preserved for further increase in $\Delta \rho/\rho$, and at $\Delta \rho/\rho \approx 0.04$, the residence time does not depend on $R_p$. 
The detailed trajectories of a particle with $R_p = 1$ μm for a range of relative densities $\Delta \rho/\rho$ are presented in Figure 10b. Upon increase in $\Delta \rho/\rho$ up to $\Delta \rho/\rho < 0.007$, there is an increase in the residence time within the BL. For $\Delta \rho/\rho > 0.01$, the particle’s trajectory is already directed towards the plate (wall) and the possibility of its entrapment is increased, although the residence time within the BL is shortened. At even higher densities ($\Delta \rho/\rho > 0.02$), the gravity effect prevails over the hydrodynamic interaction phenomena, and the particle quickly sediments on the wall.

The obtained numerical results evidence that aside the granulometric separation of fine solids, there is a possibility for density-driven segregation inside the BLs and along the solid plate, as well. The particles with highest relative densities are captured at the initial portions of the BL; this happens quickly within short residence time. Therefore, due to the simultaneous action of viscous deformation and gravity effects, particles with well-defined characteristics ($R_p$ and $\Delta \rho/\rho$) are entrapped by the BL and could be captured at various places at the wall (plate). The notion about the coupled viscous-deformation and gravity effect may be followed in the results, shown in Figure 11.

The calculations are performed for $L = 0.2$ cm and $Re_L = 250$. A particle with $R_p = 1$ μm is taken for an example, because, as is already shown in Figure 10a,b, it is for these outer flow conditions and such radius of the particle that the residence time $\tau$ can have the highest value, if the particle is neutrally buoyant. Thus, the choice of the particle dimension is subjected to the condition that it is both small (according to the classification in Figure 2) and light $\Delta \rho/\rho < Fr^2/\sqrt{Re_L}$ (Figure 8). As is to be seen in Figure 11a, the
neutral buoyant particle ($\Delta \rho / \rho = 0.0$) is retarded inside BL, but it is finally expelled out of the BL region. For nonzero relative densities ($\Delta \rho / \rho = 0.012$ and $\Delta \rho / \rho = 0.226$), however, it is captured at the wall. Besides, as is to be expected, the higher the density, the shorter is the region on the plate surface, where the particle is entrapped (the so-called “particle entrapment portion of the plate”). Figure 11b represents the interrelation of the range of the particle entrapment portion of the plate and the intensity of the BL flow represented by the Reynolds number $Re_L$: the higher the relative density is, the higher $Re_L$ values are at which the fine solids can be captured by the wall. However, these phenomena have their limits and the maximum value for the particle entrapment portion at these particular length scales is at $Re_L \approx 75$. The area between the run of the curves $\Delta \rho q(Re_L)$ and $\Delta x(Re_L)$ might be applied for predictive design of separation and selective entrapment of fine species from polydisperse systems in view of various practical implementations and industrial applications.

4. Conclusions

The present study substantiates the concept that the hydrodynamic boundary layer at a solid wall (plate) may act as an entrapment region for of fine species from polydisperse systems. The results show that the basic effect is due to the disturbance introduced by solid spheres in the background fluid flow. For a neutrally buoyant entity, the type of interaction is determined by the size of the spheres as compared to the thickness of the BL region. The result is a granulometric separation of the solids inside the BL domain at the wall. In the case of non-neutrally buoyant particles, gravity interferes with the separation effect. Important factor in this case is the relative density of the solid species as compared to this of the fluid. The data show that there are preferred regions along the length of the wall where the fine solids are detained. The obtained results give a detailed picture of the coupling of the various phenomena inside the boundary layer region at the plate. The outcomes of the investigation are important for the practical assessment of the general efficiency of entrapment and segregation of fine species in the vicinity of solid walls and have high potential for further design of industrial separation processes.
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