Estimating growth and photosynthetic properties of wheat grown in simulated saline field conditions using hyperspectral reflectance sensing and multivariate analysis
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The timely estimation of growth and photosynthetic-related traits in an easy and nondestructive manner using hyperspectral data will become imperative for addressing the challenges of environmental stresses inherent to the agricultural sector in arid conditions. However, the handling and analysis of these data by exploiting the full spectrum remains the determining factor for refining the estimation of crop variables. The main objective of this study was to estimate growth and traits underpinning photosynthetic efficiency of two wheat cultivars grown under simulated saline field conditions and exposed to three salinity levels using hyperspectral reflectance information from 350–2500 nm obtained at two years. Partial least squares regression (PLSR) based on the full spectrum was applied to develop predictive models for estimating the measured parameters in different conditions (salinity levels, cultivars, and years). Variable importance in projection (VIP) of PLSR in combination with multiple linear regression (MLR) was implemented to identify important waveband regions and influential wavelengths related to the measured parameters. The results showed that the PLSR models exhibited moderate to high coefficients of determination (R²) in both the calibration and validation datasets (0.30–0.95), but that this range of R² values depended on parameters and conditions. The PLSR models based on the full spectrum accurately and robustly predicted three of four parameters across all conditions. Based on the combination of PLSR-VIP and MLR analysis, the wavelengths selected within the visible (VIS), red-edge, and middle near-infrared (NIR) wavebands were the most sensitive to all parameters in all conditions, whereas those selected within the shortwave infrared (SWIR) waveband were effective for some parameters in particular conditions. Overall, these results indicated that the PLSR analysis and band selection techniques can offer a rapid and nondestructive alternative approach to accurately estimate growth- and photosynthetic-related trait responses to salinity stress.

Salinity and the decreasing availability of freshwater are major factors restricting the productivty of agricultural crops in arid and semiarid regions. In addition, the lack of fresh water available to the agriculture sector in...
such regions has necessitated a substantial increase in the use of saline water resources. This has exacerbated the adverse effects of salinity on crop productivity. To enable the application of suitable protective measures, it is necessary to conduct studies that focus on the timely detection of the extent and magnitude of the impacts of salinity stress on the plant physiological status.

There are numerous morphophysiological parameters such as dry matter accumulation and photosynthetic properties [photosynthesis ($Pn$) and transpiration ($E$) rates, and stomatal conductance ($Gs$)] that are useful in the detection of a plant's physiological status under salinity stress. These parameters provide valuable information that can be used to evaluate the salt tolerance of genotypes in a breeding program, understand the mechanisms of salt tolerance, and support the growth and production of crops under salinity stress conditions through the application of appropriate agronomic practices. However, tracking the dynamic changes of photosynthetic properties or the real-time detection of dry matter accumulation using destructive plant sampling methods and several observations are generally time-consuming, expensive, and laborious. In particular, although changes in the photosynthetic properties can be detected in nondestructive manner using a gas exchange system device, this device detects photosynthetic properties based on a single effective leaf and disregards the vertical variability in photosynthetic efficiency within the plant canopy and is time-consuming and hence limited to few measurements in a given time.

A reasonable solution to address these issues is tracking the changes of these parameters using narrow-band visible (VIS)-to-shortwave infrared (SWIR) hyperspectral sensing. This allows to simultaneously monitor diverse and multiple specific alterations that could be induced by osmotic and ionic stresses of salinity such as changes in the internal leaf structure, plant water status, photosynthetic pigments and nutrient contents, photosynthetic potential, biomass accumulation, chlorophyll fluorescence, and more. Consequently, alterations in these specific variables result in substantial variations in the absorption of specific wavebands in the VIS-SWIR domains of the spectrum. For example, changes in photosynthetic pigments and their functioning impact the reflectance of the 680 and 740 nm wavelengths. El-Hendawy et al. reported that changes in leaf water relations and ion content in wheat plants grown under salinity stress conditions influence the spectral reflectance in the VIS (434–488 nm and 503–632 nm), red-edge (701–743 nm), near-infrared (NIR; 1100–1300 nm), and SWIR (1706–1898 nm) regions.

Tilling et al. also reported that changes in the internal leaf structure owing to decreases in water content resulted in a significant change in the spectral reflectance in the regions of red edge (680–740 nm) and NIR (740–940 nm). The changes in $Gs$ induced by water stress impacted the spectral reflectance in the range of 400–720 nm of the spectrum. Therefore, these close relationships between the specific canopy variables and specific wavebands make this tool more effective than traditional tools for estimating the biomass and photosynthetic properties of plants in a rapid, cheap, and nondestructive manner.

Generally, in order to indirectly assess different plant parameters through their canopy reflectance signatures, the majority of the previous studies focused on the significance of relationships between the plant parameters and specific spectral reflectance indices (SRIs). However, most SRIs use very few principal wavebands (one, two, or three specific wavelengths) and discard the majority of other wavelengths in the full VIS-SWIR spectrum. These very limited numbers of wavelengths results in SRIs that tend to be less efficient and inconsistent for assessing plant parameters across different growing environmental conditions, cultivars, sites, growth stages, and seasons owing to the high variability of canopy reflectance signatures from one condition to another. In addition, different SRIs are strongly sensitive to variations in both the structural and biochemical characteristics of the canopy. Therefore, a full VIS-SWIR spectrum should be considered when generalizing the spectral data to estimate the crop variables under heterogeneous growing conditions.

To identify the important bands associated with the crop variables of interest within the full VIS-SWIR spectrum, multiple linear regression analysis (MLR) has been commonly used. However, a full VIS-SWIR spectrum often consists of hundreds of wavelengths that are redundant, noisy, and/or correlated by chance. Therefore, in order to build robust spectral indices, it is necessary to isolate such wavelengths. Partial least squares regression (PLSR), which is related to both MLR and principle component regression, is an effective method for dealing with this type of data and overcomes the problems of overfitting and multicollinearity that are inherent to hyperspectral datasets by reducing such datasets into new orthogonal latent variables (OLVs). In particular, PLSR is able to correlate data when the number of independent variables greatly exceeds the number of dependent variables. Therefore, many studies have applied PLSR to estimate various crop physiological, biochemical, nutrient, and structural parameters such as the photosynthetic capacity, leaf transpiration rate, leaf water potential and $Gs$, pigment, nitrogen, and potassium content, green and dry biomass, leaf area index, and grain yield. Therefore, in this study, we hypothesized that the combinations of all hyperspectral data with the PLSR method could improve the estimation of crop parameters across different environmental conditions and genotypes compared with published SRIs. Hence, the main objective of this study was to test the performance of PLSR and band selection techniques that are based on the variable importance in projection (VIP) of PLSR in combination with MLR analysis in order to estimate the growth and photosynthetic efficiency of two wheat genotypes grown under different salinity levels. Tests of this objective could derive a universal model that could be applied for monitoring growth and photosynthetic-related traits under saline field conditions.

**Materials and Methods**

This study was conducted under simulated close-to-field conditions using the subsurface water retention technique (SWRT) over two consecutive years (2016/2017 and 2017/2018). This technique is able to avoid the spatial and temporal heterogeneity of salt concentrations in the root zone that are common under natural saline field conditions. In addition, this technique provides a sufficient measuring area for applying a high-throughput phenotyping tool, which is difficult to achieve with a pot experiment. The setup of SWRT is described in detail by El-Hendawy et al.

The soil texture is sandy loam with a bulk density, field capacity, and wilting point of...
...spectrum for each plot was an average of five sequential measurements. Five measurements were taken from each plot with an average of 10 scans for each measurement, while the final... cut into small pieces, and then desiccated in a forced-air oven at 70 °C to constant weight.

...treatments of 60 and 120 mM NaCl were irrigated with artificial saline water containing 3.5 L and 7.02 g NaCl L−1, respectively, after 25 days from sowing. A surface irrigation system consisting of a main line connected to a plastic tank (3.0 m3) and distributing to sub-main hoses at each plot was used. To apply an equal and constant amount of irrigation water, the main line and sub-main hoses were equipped with a water meter and a manual control valve, respectively.

The experiment was laid out in a two-factor split-plot design with three randomized complete blocks as replications. Each salinity level was split in two subplots, and the two cultivars were distributed randomly in these subplots. Each subplot size was 6.0 m long and 1.2 m wide (two SWRT membrane sheets with a thickness of 0.03 mm). The seeds were drilled in rows spaced 15 cm apart on December 5, 2016 and 2017, with a seeding rate and depth of 17 g m−2 and 3 cm, respectively, for each cultivar. Nitrogen (N), potassium (K), and phosphorus (P) were applied at rates of 180 N, 60 K, and 90 P kg ha−1, respectively. The first dose of N and entire doses of P were applied at sowing. The second dose of N and the entire dose of K were applied at the stem elongation stage. The third dose of N was applied at the booting stage.

**Plant parameter and spectral measurements.** The data of plant parameters and spectral characteristics were measured at the anthesis growth stage (around 90 days from sowing). The net photosynthesis (Pn) rate, transpiration (E) rate, and stomatal conductance (Gs) was directly measured in the field between 09:30 and 12:00 on the second fully expanded leaf using an Li-6400 portable gas exchange system (Li-COR Inc., Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). The data of the three photosynthesis parameters were collected as an average of 20 leaves for each subplot.

To determine the amount of shoot dry biomass (SDW), an area of 0.15 m2 was cut above ground, brought to the lab, cut into small pieces, and then desiccated in a forced-air oven at 70 °C to constant weight.

Along with measurements of growth and photosynthesis-related traits, the spectral data of canopy reflectance were collected using a portable Field Spec spectroradiometer (Analytical Spectral Devices Inc., Boulder, CO, USA). The sensors detected the reflectance from the canopy from 350 to 2500 nm in the electromagnetic spectrum at sampling intervals of 1.4 and 2.2 nm for the spectral regions from 350 to 1000 nm and from 1000 to 2500 nm, respectively. However, the final spectral data were calculated automatically to achieve a 1-nm spectral resolution. Spectral measurements were taken under sunny and windless conditions at a nadir-looking 25° angle from 80 cm above the wheat canopy to achieve a collection area of approximately 23.0 cm in diameter. The reflectance measurements were calibrated using a Spectralon white reference panel before the measurements and at every 10 min or when needed to avoid any effects generated by atmospheric conditions or sun irradiance. Five measurements were taken from each plot with an average of 10 scans for each measurement, while the final measured spectrum for each plot was an average of five sequential measurements.

**Data analysis.** An analysis of variance (ANOVA) appropriate for a randomized complete block split-plot design was performed to test the response of the growth and photosynthesis-related traits of two wheat cultivars at different salinity levels. The salinity levels and wheat cultivars were considered as the main factor and the sub-factor, respectively. Linear regression between the shoot dry weight and different photosynthetic-related traits was performed using Sigma Plot (Sigma Plot 11.0).

PLSR and MLR analyses were performed using the XLSTAT statistical software package (vers. 2019.1, Excel Add-ins soft SARL, New York, NY, USA).

PLSR analysis is more robust when the number of prediction variables (wavelength data, X) is larger than the number of response variables (measurement parameters, Y). The main target of PLSR analysis is to avoid under-fitting or over-fitting inherent in the spectral data by selecting the optimal number of latent variables (ONLVs). In the current study, the ONLVs in the PLSR were selected according to the cumulative values of Q2 (Q2 cum) and cumulative values of the coefficients of determination (R2) for the X and Y variables (R2X cum and R2Y cum) (Figs S1 and S2). Q2 represents the fraction of the total variation in Y variables that can be predicted by a given component, while Q2 cum indicates the fraction that can be predicted by all components. R2Y cum and R2X cum indicate the cumulative values of R2 for Y and X variables, respectively. In general, the ONLVs are selected when the Q2 cum > 0.5 and R2Y cum and R2X cum are close to 1.046.

The performance ability of PLSR analysis based on the full spectral region was evaluated by the coefficient of determination (R2), root mean square error (RMSE), and relative error (RE, %) in both the calibration (Cal) and validation (Val) datasets. For each measured parameter in each condition, the PLSR models in both Cal and Val were acceptable when the models exhibited the highest values for R2 and the lowest values for RMSE and RE. In addition, 75% of the data set was randomly assigned to Cal data, and the remaining data set (25%) was assigned to Val data.

The effective waveband regions in the full spectrum for each parameter and condition were established under the ONLVs. These wavebands were identified based on the variable importance in the projection (VIP) derived from the PLSR analysis. The VIP values represent the relative importance of each wavelength to the PLSR model. Wavelengths with higher values of VIP indicated that these wavelengths in the PLSR model were more important than other for estimating the measured parameters. The most influential waveband regions were retained when their VIP value was greater than 1.0222.

To identify the most important wavelength contributing to the target parameter estimation, which is not possible in PLSR analysis, the effective waveband regions were further applied to MLR analysis as independent variables. Then, the MLR models were constructed based on these influential wavelengths, which were selected for each parameter in each condition.
Results

Analysis of variance. Table 1 displays a summary of the statistical analysis related to the mean square values of the analysis of variance and the mean values of treatments for combined data over two years for shoot dry weight (SDW) and photosynthetic parameters [net photosynthesis rate (Pn), stomatal conductance (Gs), and transpiration rate (E)] measured at anthesis. The analysis revealed that the main effects of the years, salinity levels, and cultivars were significant (p ≤ 0.05) for all parameters. In addition, the salinity level by cultivar interaction was also significantly different for all parameters except E. The interactions between the year and salinity level; year and cultivar; and year, salinity level, and cultivar showed insignificant differences for all parameters with the exception of SDW, which showed significant differences for the year by the salinity level interaction (Table 1).

The mean values of the tested parameters significantly decreased with increasing salinity levels, and these decreases were more pronounced in the salt-sensitive cultivar Sakha 61 than in the salt-tolerant cultivar Sakha 93 (Table 1).

Relationships between growth and photosynthetic parameters. In order to explain the contribution of different photosynthetic parameters to the biomass production evaluation, linear regression analysis was performed between SDW and the three photosynthetic parameters (Pn, Gs, and E) using the pooled data of years, replications, salinity levels, and cultivars (Fig. 1). Generally, the three photosynthetic parameters had strong relationships with SDW, and Pn, Gs, and E explained 88, 90, and 66% of the variation in SDW, respectively (Fig. 1).

Precision of growth and photosynthetic parameters evaluated by PLSR model. PLSR models for the estimation of the measured parameters in different conditions. The main target of PLSR analysis is to decompose (measured parameters, Y) and independent (wavelengths, X) variables and find a new optimal number of latent variables (ONLVs) in order to maximize the covariance between the X and Y variables. In this study, the ONLVs were first identified based on the simultaneous highest values of Q2, R2X, and R2Y cumulative (Figs S1 and S2). Based on these criteria, the ONLVs were varied from 1 to 4 for SDW, Pn, and Gs in the first season (R2_cal and R2_val ranged from 0.83 to 0.86 and from 0.81 to 0.90, respectively) and SDW and Gs in the second season (R2_cal and R2_val ranged from 0.79 to 0.84 and from 0.80 to 0.85, respectively). For the three salinity levels, the PLSR model gave a good accurate estimation of SDW, Pn, and E in the control treatment (R2_cal ≥ 0.72 and R2_val ≥ 0.70), Pn and E in 60 mM NaCl (R2_cal ≥ 0.80 and R2_val ≥ 0.94), and Pn in 120 mM NaCl (R2_cal ≥ 0.76 and R2_val ≥ 0.74) (Table 2). The PLSR model provided good accurate estimations of all parameters in both the Cal. and Val. datasets for the salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive cultivars. When all data were pooled together, the PLSR model in both the Cal. and Val. datasets performed well when estimating SDW, Pn, and Gs, with values of R2_cal and R2_val ≥ 0.79 (Table 2). The PLSR model showed a moderate estimation performance of SDW at 60 and 120 mM NaCl, Pn, in the second season, Gs in the three salinity levels and E in the two seasons, 120 mM NaCl, and the pooled data, with values of R2_cal and R2_val for all of these models ranging from 0.30 to 0.62 (Table 2).

| Source of variance | df | SDW (g m⁻²⁻) | Pn(μmol CO₂ m⁻²⁻ s⁻¹) | Gs(mmol m⁻²⁻ s⁻¹) | E(mmol m⁻²⁻ s⁻¹) |
|-------------------|----|-------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Year (Y)          | 1  | 282598.6    | 17.92                  | 669.08          | 2.27          |
| Salinity (S)      | 2  | 270684.3    | 209.10                 | 72986.4         | 5.92          |
| S × Y             | 2  | 11899.8     | 0.814                  | 281.60          | 0.010         |
| Cultivars (C)     | 1  | 592181.6    | 154.6                  | 11310.25        | 0.635         |
| C × Y             | 1  | 3041.4      | 3.60                   | 380.25          | 0.041         |
| C × S             | 2  | 6279.5      | 3.66                   | 471.08          | 0.012         |
| C × S × Y         | 2  | 1518.3      | 1.86                   | 68.58           | 0.006         |
| Coefficient of variation (CV%) | 3.37 | 8.81 | 6.82 | 6.36 |

Table 1. Statistical analysis (degrees of freedom (df), mean square value, and significance level) and mean values of the mean factor of the combined data of the shoot dry weight (SDW), photosynthetic rate (Pn), stomatal conductance (Gs), and transpiration rate (E) measured at the anthesis growth stage. ***,**, Significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 probability levels, respectively, and ns: not significant.
Identification of optimal waveband regions through PLSR-VIP. Figures 2 and 3 show the VIP scores and loading weights derived from the PLSR analysis and used to extract the important waveband regions from the full-spectrum regions (350 to 2500 nm). Generally, the important waveband regions were extracted when their VIP scores were higher than 1.0 (the threshold score) and coincided with a high absolute loading weight. These regions for each parameter in each condition are listed in Table 3. Based on the threshold score of VIP and loading weights, the visible (VIS, 350–700 nm) and red-edge (700–770 nm) spectrum were especially influential regions for all parameters in all conditions (salinity levels, cultivars, and seasons), excluding the VIS region for $P_n$ and $G_s$, and the red edge for $E$ in the control treatment (Table 3).

The near-infrared (NIR, 770–1300 nm) spectrum was identified as an unimportant region for SDW in 120 mM NaCl, two cultivars, the first season, and all pooled data; $P_n$ in 120 mM NaCl, the cultivar Sakha 93, and the second season; $G_s$ in 60 mM NaCl, the cultivar Sakha 61, first season, and all pooled data; and $E$ in the control treatment, the cultivar Sakha 93, and the first season. The shortwave infrared (SWIR, >1300 nm) spectrum appeared to be an unimportant region for SDW in the control treatment, two cultivars, the first season, and all pooled data; $P_n$ in 60 mM NaCl, the first season, and all pooled data; $G_s$ for the cultivar Sakha 61, two seasons, and all pooled data; and $E$ in 60 and 120 mM NaCl, the second season, and all pooled data (Table 3).

Identification of influential wavelengths through multiple linear regression (MLR). The important waveband regions that were selected based on PLSR-VIP and loading weights were further used as independent variables in MLR in order to extract the most influential wavelengths for each parameter in each condition (Table 3). Generally, the influential wavelengths selected for each parameter are largely dependent on the conditions. The wavelengths extracted from VIS and the red edge had highly significant contributions for estimating SDW, $P_n$, and $G_s$ in most conditions, with $R^2$ ranging from 0.61 to 0.90. The wavelengths extracted from the VIS spectrum were informative for $E$ in two seasons, the control treatment, and both cultivars, with $R^2$ ranging from 0.61 to 0.75. The
wavelengths extracted from the red-edge spectrum had moderately significant contributions for the estimation of \( E \) in all pooled data, the second season, and 60 mM NaCl, with \( R^2 \) ranging from 0.35 to 0.55 (Table 3). Note also that when using MLR, no wavelengths extracted from the NIR spectrum are important for the estimation of SDW in all conditions, as well as all parameters in the first season and the cultivar Sakha 93. In addition, no wavelengths extracted from the SWIR spectrum are important for the estimation of all parameters in all pooled data and for Sakha 93. The wavelengths extracted from the SWIR spectrum had highly significant contributions for the estimation of SDW and \( G_s \) in 60 and 120 mM NaCl; \( P_n \) in the second season, control, 120 mM NaCl, and Sakha 61; and \( E \) in the control and Sakha 61, with \( R^2 \) ranging from 0.64 to 0.94 (Table 3).

| Treatm. | Par. | ONLVs | Calibration dataset | Validation dataset |
|---------|------|-------|----------------------|---------------------|
|         |      |       | \( R^2 \) | RMSE | RE (%) | \( R^2 \) | RMSE | RE (%) |
| Pooled data | SDW | 4 | 0.82*** | 181.47 | 13.06 | 0.82*** | 173.54 | 12.48 |
|           | \( P_n \) | 4 | 0.79*** | 1.93 | 14.75 | 0.79*** | 2.040 | 15.59 |
|           | \( G_s \) | 4 | 0.81*** | 29.67 | 17.12 | 0.80*** | 28.11 | 16.22 |
|           | \( E \) | 3 | 0.53*** | 0.455 | 14.51 | 0.53*** | 0.406 | 12.95 |
| 1st Season | SDW | 3 | 0.86*** | 164.52 | 11.13 | 0.86*** | 170.32 | 11.52 |
|           | \( P_n \) | 3 | 0.85*** | 1.719 | 12.47 | 0.90*** | 1.495 | 10.84 |
|           | \( G_s \) | 3 | 0.83*** | 27.61 | 15.55 | 0.81*** | 29.72 | 16.73 |
|           | \( E \) | 1 | 0.30*** | 0.503 | 14.85 | 0.43** | 0.430 | 12.70 |
| 2nd Season | SDW | 3 | 0.79*** | 177.97 | 13.67 | 0.80*** | 168.95 | 12.98 |
|           | \( P_n \) | 1 | 0.60** | 2.384 | 19.26 | 0.58** | 2.296 | 18.55 |
|           | \( G_s \) | 4 | 0.84*** | 27.61 | 16.34 | 0.85*** | 27.89 | 16.51 |
|           | \( E \) | 3 | 0.58** | 0.409 | 14.18 | 0.53** | 0.408 | 14.14 |
| Control | SDW | 3 | 0.81*** | 73.72 | 3.85 | 0.85*** | 62.75 | 3.28 |
|           | \( P_n \) | 2 | 0.72** | 1.625 | 9.21 | 0.70** | 1.602 | 9.08 |
|           | \( G_s \) | 2 | 0.44* | 17.25 | 6.59 | 0.55** | 17.23 | 6.58 |
|           | \( E \) | 3 | 0.76*** | 0.176 | 4.56 | 0.78*** | 0.167 | 4.33 |
| 60 mM NaCl | SDW | 1 | 0.64** | 115.97 | 9.14 | 0.63** | 128.03 | 10.10 |
|           | \( P_n \) | 3 | 0.90*** | 0.733 | 6.04 | 0.94*** | 0.514 | 4.24 |
|           | \( G_s \) | 1 | 0.59* | 18.21 | 12.71 | 0.62** | 18.877 | 13.18 |
|           | \( E \) | 3 | 0.80*** | 0.138 | 4.47 | 0.95*** | 0.077 | 2.49 |
| 120 mM NaCl | SDW | 1 | 0.58** | 89.61 | 9.07 | 0.62** | 85.03 | 8.61 |
|           | \( P_n \) | 3 | 0.76*** | 0.822 | 8.68 | 0.74*** | 0.952 | 10.06 |
|           | \( G_s \) | 2 | 0.53* | 9.64 | 8.40 | 0.54* | 9.87 | 8.60 |
|           | \( E \) | 1 | 0.55** | 0.223 | 9.07 | 0.66** | 0.205 | 8.34 |
| Sakha 93 | SDW | 3 | 0.84*** | 157.71 | 10.39 | 0.90*** | 124.98 | 8.23 |
|           | \( P_n \) | 3 | 0.86** | 1.530 | 10.09 | 0.86** | 1.393 | 9.19 |
|           | \( G_s \) | 4 | 0.88** | 22.73 | 11.91 | 0.87** | 22.95 | 12.02 |
|           | \( E \) | 2 | 0.78*** | 0.302 | 10.07 | 0.78*** | 0.250 | 8.33 |
| Sakha 61 | SDW | 4 | 0.88*** | 144.14 | 11.42 | 0.92*** | 104.8 | 8.31 |
|           | \( P_n \) | 1 | 0.70** | 1.728 | 15.69 | 0.65** | 1.915 | 17.39 |
|           | \( G_s \) | 4 | 0.92*** | 18.131 | 11.64 | 0.91** | 19.044 | 12.23 |
|           | \( E \) | 1 | 0.67* | 0.378 | 11.56 | 0.71*** | 0.380 | 11.52 |

**Table 2.** Calibration and validation statistics of partial least square regression (PLSR) models based on the entire full wavelengths (350–2500 nm) for estimating shoot dry weight per square meter (SDW), net photosynthesis rate (\( P_n \)), stomatal conductance (\( G_s \)), and transpiration rate (\( E \)) under each season (n = 18), salinity level (n = 12), and cultivar (n = 18) individually and all pooled data (n = 36). The abbreviations Treatm. and Par. indicate treatments and parameters, respectively. ***Significant at the 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 probability levels, respectively, and ns: not significant.
Prediction of the measured parameters based on the full spectrum using a PLSR model. Figures 4 and 5 show the relationship between the observed and cross-validated prediction values of the measured parameters in each condition as predicted by the PLSR model. In general, the PLSR models provided a more accurate estimation of SDW, $P_n$, and $G_s$ than of $E$ in all conditions (Figs 4 and 5). The predictive ability was strongest for SDW in two seasons, two cultivars, and the control treatment ($R^2 = 0.86–0.90$); $P_n$ in the first season and Sakha 93 ($R^2 = 0.85$ and 0.82, respectively); and $G_s$ in two seasons, two cultivars, and 60 mM NaCl ($R^2 = 0.76–0.83$). The predictive ability was good for SDW in 120 mM NaCl ($R^2 = 0.70$) and $P_n$ in the second season, 60 and 120 mM NaCl, and Sakha 61 ($R^2 = 0.67–0.72$); moderate for SDW and $P_n$ in 60 mM NaCl ($R^2 = 0.51$ and 0.64, respectively), $G_s$ in 120 mM NaCl ($R^2 = 0.50$), and $E$ in two seasons, two cultivars, and 120 mM NaCl ($R^2 = 0.42–0.62$); and insignificant for $G_s$ and $E$ in the control treatment and $E$ in 60 mM NaCl (Figs 4 and 5).

Figure 2. The variable importance in projection (VIP) of PLSR analysis over the entire wavelengths to extract the sensitive spectral band intervals for each measured parameter (shoot dry weight per square meter (SDW), net photosynthesis rate ($P_n$), stomatal conductance ($G_s$), and transpiration rate ($E$)) under different conditions (salinity levels, cultivars, seasons, and pooled data).
Discussion

The different components of the salinity stress (i.e., specific ion toxicities, ion imbalance, and physiological drought stress) interact to constrain all of the physiological processes that are important for plant growth and development. Under salinity conditions, a decrease in leaf turgor pressure along with a K⁺ deficit leads to dramatic changes in the stomatal conductance (Gs), which ultimately leads to trouble in the photosynthesis (Pn) and transpiration (E) rates\(^{2,35,36}\). Additionally, it is possible to detect the negative impacts of the salinity stress on the photosynthetic capacity before damage from irreversible morphological characteristics can be detected\(^{37}\). Therefore, it is important to develop wheat cultivars with better physiological performance under salinity stress by considering the photosynthesis-related traits as screening criteria in breeding programs.

The results of this study showed that the SDW at the anthesis growth stage had a strong relationship with Pn\((R^2 = 0.88, p < 0.01)\) and Gs\((R^2 = 0.90, p < 0.01)\), and a moderate relationship with E\((R^2 = 0.66, p < 0.05)\) (Fig. 1).

Figure 3. The loading weights of PLSR analysis over the entire wavelengths to extract the sensitive spectral band intervals for each measured parameter (shoot dry weight per square meter (SDW), net photosynthesis rate \(P_n\), stomatal conductance \(G_s\), and transpiration rate \(E\)) under different conditions (salinity levels, cultivars, seasons, and pooled data).
| Treatments | Par. | Spectral band intervals | Influential wavelength | $R^2$ | RMSE | AIC |
|-----------|-----|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------|------|-----|
| Pooled data | SDW | 350–651 | 450 | 0.78*** | 203.7 | 384.7 |
| | | 690–752 | 720 | 0.77*** | 207.5 | 386.1 |
| | $P_n$ | 350–651 | 533 | 0.69*** | 2.40 | 64.95 |
| | | 689–817 | 715, 719, 817 | 0.77*** | 2.11 | 57.57 |
| | $G_s$ | 350–647 | 481, 548 | 0.77*** | 33.9 | 256.5 |
| | | 690–760 | 721 | 0.74*** | 35.5 | 258.9 |
| | $E$ | 350–606 | 536, 605 | 0.56*** | 0.459 | 11.6 |
| | | 699–1149 | 734 | 0.53*** | 0.469 | 11.6 |
| | | 1252–1273 | 1273 | 0.26** | 0.587 | 11.6 |
| 1st Season | SDW | 350–751 | 540 | 0.86*** | 174.8 | 187.8 |
| | $P_n$ | 402–916 | 533, 903 | 0.85*** | 1.88 | 25.46 |
| | $G_s$ | 350–651 | 533 | 0.80*** | 31.8 | 126.4 |
| | $E$ | 350–606 | 536, 605 | 0.56*** | 0.459 | 11.6 |
| | | 699–1149 | 734 | 0.53*** | 0.469 | 11.6 |
| | | 1252–1273 | 1273 | 0.26** | 0.587 | 11.6 |
| Control | SDW | 350–702 | 356, 358 | 0.87*** | 71.2 | 104.9 |
| | | 744–1337 | — | — | — |
| | $P_n$ | 741–1415 | 1252 | 0.69*** | 1.87 | 16.83 |
| | $G_s$ | 1557–1848 | 1680, 1830, 1848 | 0.94*** | 0.92 | 1.26 |
| | $E$ | 712–1141 | 738 | 0.55*** | 0.449 | 26.95 |
| 2nd Season | SDW | 350–747 | 724 | 0.81*** | 178.6 | 188.5 |
| | | 1001–1330 | 1330 | 0.54*** | 276.3 | 204.2 |
| | $P_n$ | 407–735 | 716 | 0.72*** | 2.12 | 28.88 |
| | $G_s$ | 1336–2500 | 2395, 2452, 2493 | 0.80*** | 1.92 | 26.95 |
| | $E$ | 712–1141 | 738 | 0.55*** | 0.449 | 26.95 |
| 60 mM NaCl | SDW | 440–737 | 722 | 0.73*** | 108.8 | 114.4 |
| | | 1153–1830 | 1709 | 0.59** | 134.5 | 119.5 |
| | | 1861–2500 | 2488, 2492, 2497 | 0.88*** | 82.2 | 109.0 |
| | $P_n$ | 483–744 | 723 | 0.79*** | 1.14 | 3.06 |
| | | 761–784 | — | — | — |
| | $G_s$ | 1001–1305 | 1198 | 0.53** | 1.71 | 14.66 |
| | $E$ | 1426–1485 | 1452 | 0.48** | 0.283 | 28.51 |
| | | 1878–2500 | 1904 | 0.67*** | 0.226 | 33.86 |
| 120 mM NaCl | SDW | 413–725 | 566 | 0.68*** | 86.2 | 108.8 |
| | | 1321–2500 | 1386, 1547, 1832 | 0.88*** | 59.6 | 101.2 |
| | $P_n$ | 350–733 | 569 | 0.68*** | 1.13 | 4.46 |
| | | 1396–1501 | 1489 | 0.53** | 1.35 | 9.06 |
| | | 1869–2500 | 2492, 2500 | 0.75*** | 1.05 | 3.82 |
| | $G_s$ | 449–716 | 598 | 0.61*** | 10.7 | 58.8 |
| | | 739–937 | — | — | — |
| | $E$ | 1412–1471 | 1435 | 0.38** | 12.1 | 61.7 |
| | | 1866–2123 | 1927 | 0.42** | 11.6 | 60.7 |
| | | 2309–2500 | 2448, 2500 | 0.64*** | 9.7 | 57.1 |
| | | 350–389 | — | — | — |
| | | 727–1303 | 924 | 0.57** | 0.239 | 32.58 |

Continued
yield of winter wheat under different nitrogen levels using proximal hyperspectral data. On the other hand, found with three ONLVs.

the Cal. and Val. datasets under a low level of water stress, while the three parameters showed a high $R^2$ in Cal. soil water conditions in olive orchards, Lobos et al. indices (SRIs) for estimating these parameters under different abiotic stresses. Under different levels of salt tolerance of wheat genotypes, estimation of these parameters using PLSR analysis for the full spectrum range differences in the range of ONLVs between studies could be a result of the changing environmental conditions of the

Pn traits ($\bar{E}$, $\bar{G_s}$, and $\bar{P_n}$) in different elite and landrace wheat genotypes under different nitrogen levels. These differences indicate that the photosynthetic-related parameters could serve as key indicators for providing valuable information about the actual salinity stress level and the status of plant physiological performance under salinity stress. Furthermore, these results also confirm that the biomass accumulation under salinity could serve as a key indicator for many physiological processes at the entire plant level. Therefore, simultaneously monitoring and assessing these traits using a nondestructive, fast, and efficient tool is an urgent task, especially in wheat genetics and breeding programs where the salt tolerance of a large number of genotypes must be evaluated every year at different stages of the plant life cycle. In this study, the canopy hyperspectral reflectance data combined with multivariate analyses (PLSR and MLR models) was used to assess variations in growth and the photosynthetic efficiency of wheat under different conditions (salinity levels, cultivars, and seasons).

| Treatm. Par. | Spectral band intervals | Influential wavelength | $R^2$ | RMSE | AIC |
|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------|------|-----|
| Sakha 93    | SDW                     | 353–744                | 578   | 0.76*** | 205.4 | 193.6 |
|             | $P_n$                   | 353–743                | 579   | 0.72*** | 2.28  | 31.59 |
|             | $G_s$                   | 353–744                | 573   | 0.66*** | 40.3  | 134.9 |
|             | $E$                     | 353–747                | 569   | 0.64*** | 0.413 | -29.95 |
|             | SDW                     | 350–740                | 547   | 0.87**** | 156.6 | 183.8 |
|             | $P_n$                   | 450–737                | 714   | 0.80*** | 1.48  | 16.06 |
|             | $G_s$                   | 1149–2500              | 2309  | 0.71*** | 1.80  | 23.06 |
|             | $E$                     | 408–736                | 532   | 0.75*** | 0.349 | -36.01 |
|             | 1162–1215               | 1191, 1215             | 0.71*** | 0.386 | -31.58 |
|             | 1304–2500               | 2300                  | 0.66*** | 0.402 | -30.95 |

Table 3. Extraction of the important sensitive spectral band intervals based on the variable importance in projection (VIP) and loading weights of PLSR analysis over the entire wavelengths and the most influential wavelengths using the stepwise multiple linear regression for shoot dry weight per square meter (SDW), net photosynthesis rate ($P_n$), stomatal conductance ($G_s$), and transpiration rate ($E$) under each season ($n = 18$), salinity level ($n = 12$), and variety ($n = 18$) individually and all pooled data ($n = 36$). *: Significant at the 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 probability levels, respectively, and ns: not significant.

These results indicate that the photosynthetic-related parameters could serve as key indicators for providing valuable information about the actual salinity stress level and the status of plant physiological performance under salinity stress. Furthermore, these results also confirm that the biomass accumulation under salinity could serve as a key indicator for many physiological processes at the entire plant level. Therefore, simultaneously monitoring and assessing these traits using a nondestructive, fast, and efficient tool is an urgent task, especially in wheat genetics and breeding programs where the salt tolerance of a large number of genotypes must be evaluated every year at different stages of the plant life cycle. In this study, the canopy hyperspectral reflectance data combined with multivariate analyses (PLSR and MLR models) was used to assess variations in growth and the photosynthetic efficiency of wheat under different conditions (salinity levels, cultivars, and seasons).

**Ability of PLSR to assess variations in the measured parameters.** Analysis of hyperspectral reflectance data using an appropriate statistical procedure is still a critical step in unraveling the relationship between these data and specific crop variables. A PLSR analysis is one of the most efficient statistical procedures to determine the appropriateness of this relationship. The advantages of this analysis are that it utilizes the full relevant spectrum information, which the popular spectral reflectance indices leave out, and has the ability to effectively address the strong noise, multicollinearity, and overfitting that are inherent to hyperspectral reflectance data. However, the robustness of PLSR models strongly depends on the ability to select the ONLVs; which is important in avoiding overfitting problems related to spectral data. In this study, the ONLVs in the PLSR models ranged between 1 and 4 and were considered to be effective in avoiding the overfitting problem (Table 2 and Figs S1 and S2). Similar results were reported by Maimaitiyiming et al. for estimating the photosynthesis-related traits ($P_n$, $G_s$, and $E$) in grapevine under different levels of water stress, where the best PLSR models were also found with three ONLVs.

Furthermore, PLSR models with ONLVs ranging from 1 to 3 have been reported for assessing the growth and yield of winter wheat under different nitrogen levels using proximal hyperspectral data. On the other hand, PLSR models with ONLVs of 11, 15, and 21 were mentioned for assessing $P_n$, $G_s$, and the leaf dry mass per area (LMA), respectively, in different elite and landrace wheat genotypes under different nitrogen levels. These differences in the range of ONLVs between studies could be a result of the changing environmental conditions of the hyperspectral reflectance measurements.

Although the parameters related to the photosynthetic efficiency have gained importance when evaluating the salt tolerance of wheat genotypes, estimation of these parameters using PLSR analysis for the full spectrum range (350–2500 nm) has been less studied. Most of the literature has focused on the performance of spectral reflectance indices (SRIs) for estimating these parameters under different abiotic stresses. Under different levels of soil water conditions in olive orchards, Lobos et al. reported that the PLSR model based on the full spectrum exhibited accurate estimations at the branch level in both the calibration (Cal.) and validation (Val.) datasets for $P_n$ ($R^2_{cal} = 0.79$ and $R^2_{val} = 0.81$), $G_s$ ($R^2_{cal} = 0.61$ and $R^2_{val} = 0.78$), and $E$ ($R^2_{cal} = 0.65$ and $R^2_{val} = 0.81$). However, when the data were compared between low and high levels of soil water conditions, only $P_n$ had a high $R^2$ in both the Cal. and Val. datasets under a low level of water stress, while the three parameters showed a high $R^2$ in Cal.
(0.65 to 0.86) and medium (0.37 to 0.41) in Val. under a high level of water stress. In wheat under different nitrogen levels Silva-Perez et al. \(^4\) and blueberry under contrasting water supply and heat conditions, Lobos et al. \(^3\) described moderate to weak \(R^2\) for \(P_n\), \(G_s\), and \(E\) (\(R_{\text{cal/val}}^2\) ranging from 0.22 to 0.44). In addition, Lobos et al. \(^3\) also reported that none of the PLSR models based on the full spectrum were able to reach a \(R_{\text{cal}}^2\) or \(R_{\text{val}}^2\) higher than 0.44 for three photosynthetic parameters. When we examined our data, for the four parameters evaluated (SDW, \(P_n\), \(G_s\), and \(E\)), the results showed that the PLSR models based on the full spectrum generated a moderate to high \(R^2\) in both the Cal. and Val. datasets (\(R^2\) ranged from 0.30 to 0.92 in Cal. and from 0.43 to 0.95 in Val.), but this range of \(R^2\) values is highly dependent on the measured parameters and conditions. Only \(E\) in the first season recorded the lowest values of \(R^2\) in both the Cal. (0.30) and Val. (0.43) datasets (Table 2). These results once again confirm that the PLSR models based on the full spectrum can provide additional improvements in the accurate estimation of the measured parameters under salinity. This is because the PLSR models include a wide range of sensitive wavelengths that can cover all of the main physiological changes of plants induced by salt stress. Therefore, PLSR models based on the full spectrum provide strong performance in estimating the four measured parameters in different conditions, with few exceptions.

When comparing the observed values of the measured parameters against those predicted by the PLSR models using the full spectrum, SDW, \(P_n\), \(G_s\), and \(E\) all had a higher \(R^2\) than \(E\) in all conditions, with the exception of \(G_s\), which showed an insignificant relationship between the observed and predicted values under the control treatment such as \(E\). The predicted values of SDW, \(P_n\), \(G_s\), and \(E\) varied from 0.51 to 0.90, 0.64 to 0.85, 0.21 to 0.83, and 0.01 to 0.62, respectively (Figs 4 and 5). These findings reaffirm that by modeling the canopy spectral reflectance
using PLSR, it is possible to assess growth and some key physiological parameters that could be incorporated into breeding programs oriented to improving the salt tolerance of wheat genotypes in a fast and nondestructive manner. These findings are promising because most photosynthesis-related parameters (\(P_n\) and \(G_s\)) and growth (SDW), which were previously reported as efficient screening criteria for discriminating wheat genotypes for salt tolerance\(^2\), were predicted with relatively high \(R^2\) across different salinity levels and wheat genotypes. These results are similar to those reported by Doughty \textit{et al.}\(^47\) for a tropical forest, regarding the ability of the PLSR model to best predict the LMA (\(R^2 = 0.90\)) and \(P_n\) (\(R^2 = 0.74\)) followed by \(E\) (\(R^2 = 0.48\)). However, for wheat, Silva-Perez \textit{et al.}\(^44\) reported that the ability of PLSR to predict LMA was generally high (\(R^2 = 0.90\)), while it was moderate for \(P_n\) (\(R^2 = 0.49\)) and \(G_s\) (\(R^2 = 0.34\)).

Assessment of the measured parameters based on the combination of PLSR-VIP and MLR. Several studies reported that the importance of PLSR lies in identifying the very best waveband regions from the full spectrum which are directly and indirectly linked to the measured parameters\(^8,12,16,43,48,49\). The importance in projection (VIP) is a main factor in the PLSR model and provides insight into the importance of each wavelength in the full spectrum when removing wavelengths with low predictive power. In this study, the most important waveband regions for estimating all measured parameters in all conditions with VIP values higher than 1 and a high absolute loading weight were mainly located in the visible and red-edge regions with few exceptions. The NIR and SWIR regions were also identified as most important regions for estimating some measured parameters under particular conditions (Table 3). These results are somewhat similar to those of Doughty...
et al.⁴⁷, who reported that the most important regions selected from the full spectrum for the assessment of photosynthesis-related traits in a tropical forest were located in the VIS and red-edge regions. Carter⁴² reported that the red region around 710 nm is the waveband most suitable for the estimation of \( P_n \) and \( G_s \) in pine. In addition, Maimaitiyiming et al.¹⁴ found that the VIP values indicated the yellow (580–640 nm) band as the most important region for \( G_s \) estimation under different levels of water stress. The PLSR-VIP values revealed that the 530–550, 700–750, 1380–1420, and 1450–1590 nm bands were effective in estimating different physiological traits (leaf water potential, \( G_s \), and non-photochemical quenching) in grapevine under water stress.

Generally, by reviewing the wavebands selected based on PLSR-VIP and loading weights in our study (Figs 2, 3 and Table 3), it was found that many of these bands are known to be very sensitive to variations in leaf carotenoids, xanthophylls, chlorophyll pigments, fluorescence, internal structure, biomass, and water content. These are all directly associated with above-ground biomass and photosynthesis efficiency.⁴¹,⁴²,⁴³,⁴⁴,⁵¹. Therefore, our results indicate that the PLSR-VIP and loading weights methods can potentially identify the important waveband regions related to the growth and photosynthesis-related traits of wheat under salinity stress conditions.

The wavelengths selected from the three regions (VIS, red edge, and middle NIR) exhibited moderate to high variability in \( E \) (\( R^2 \) values ranged from 0.35 to 0.75), and this variability also depended on the conditions. Interestingly, the results of the MLR analysis also demonstrated that most of the variability in the four measured parameters can be detected by a combination of two or three wavelengths selected from the SWIR region. The wavelengths selected from the SWIR region explained 88%, 71 to 94%, 64 to 76%, and 66 to 71% of the variability in SDW, \( P_n \), \( G_s \), and \( E \), respectively, and most of these variabilities were detected in the three salinity levels and for the cultivar Sakha 61 (Table 3). Generally, these results indicate that the MLR model based on the important wavelengths can be successfully used to estimate the growth and photosynthetic-related traits under salinity stress conditions, as the most influential wavelengths were retained in the model. These results confirmed findings by Sharabian et al.⁵⁴ and Wang et al.⁵⁰, who reported that the MLR model could be effectively used for rapidly and accurately estimating the growth status and yield of winter wheat under different levels of nitrogen treatment.

As expected, the osmotic and ionic stresses, as well as the deficit in essential ions that is imposed by salinity, resulted in considerable disturbances in the photosynthetic pigments and potential, leaf structural properties, and water content of the leaf. This ultimately leads to substantial variations in the canopy spectral reflectance in the wavelength range of VIS, red edge, NIR, and SWIR.¹²,¹⁴,⁵³. The wavelengths in the blue, green, red, and red-edge regions of the spectrum associated well with the considerable changes that occurred in the leaf pigment content and photosynthetic efficiency.¹⁷,⁴⁶. The wavelengths within the NIR region were influenced by several structural properties of the leaves and canopy, whereas the wavelengths within SWIR were always sensitive to the plant water status and leaf biochemical compounds such as cellulose, lignin, and proteins.²⁰,³⁴,³⁵. Based on the abovementioned relationship between the plant characteristics and the wavelengths within the different regions of the spectrum, logically, the SDW and photosynthetic-related traits can be successfully estimated through several wavelengths within the VIS, red-edge, and middle NIR regions. This statement was also confirmed by the results of the current study. This could explain why most of the published spectral reflectance indices developed for biomass and photosynthetic variable estimation are always formulated from wavelengths selected from each VIS, red-edge, or NIR regions or combined between the three regions.¹⁴,⁴¹,⁴⁶,⁵⁵.

On the other hand, the results of the current study also showed wavelengths within the SWIR region that were found to be of some importance in the estimation of the photosynthetic-related traits (Table 3), although no wavelengths within SWIR (1900–2500 nm) were directly related to the photosynthetic efficiency. The contribution of some wavelengths within this region may be attributed to the effects of salinity stress on the water status and leaf biochemical compounds, which ultimately strongly affect the photosynthetic efficiency and capacity. Therefore, we assume that the wavelengths corresponding to the leaf water content and biochemical compounds carry important information about the photosynthetic efficiency and capacity under salinity stress conditions. Similar results were reported by Inoue et al.⁵⁸ and Rapaport et al.⁶, who stated that the selected wavelengths within SWIR (e.g., 1330 and 1500 nm), which are almost independent of the variations in pigment content and photosynthetic capacity, were indicative of stress-induced alterations in several photosynthetic-related traits. Wang and Jin⁷ also reported that wavelengths selected by PLSR-VIP and MLR within the SWIR domain, especially at 2435, 2440, 2445, and 2470 nm, were found to be effective for tracking changes in \( E \) under drought stress conditions.

Conclusion

The results of the current study indicated that by combining hyperspectral reflectance data with an appropriate statistical analysis, it is possible to accurately, rapidly, and nondestructively assess the growth- and photosynthetic-related traits of wheat under salinity stress. Combining PLSR-VIP with MLR has the potential to identify the most effective wavebands and influential wavelengths related to the growth and photosynthesis-related traits of wheat under different conditions (salinity levels, cultivars, and seasons). Based on PLSR-VIP analysis, the most important waveband regions for estimating all measured parameters in all conditions were mainly located in the visible and red-edge regions with few exceptions. The NIR and SWIR regions were also identified as most
important regions for estimating some measured parameters under particular conditions. The results of the MLR analysis demonstrated that the individual or combination of two or three wavelengths selected form the VIS, red-edge, and middle NIR waveband regions accounted for most variations in SDW, Pn, and Gs in all conditions (explained from 61 to 90% of the variability found in the three parameters). The results of the MLR analysis also demonstrated that most of the variability in the four measured parameters can be detected by a combination of two or three wavelengths selected from the SWIR region (explained from 64 to 94% of the variability found in the four measured parameters).
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