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1. Introduction

The research on the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equations attracted the interest of many scientists. The KdV equations describe nonlinear dispersive long waves; many other partial differential equations have been derived to model wave phenomena in diverse nonlinear systems. The KdV equation plays an important role in describing motions of long waves in shallow water under gravity, one-dimensional nonlinear lattice [1, 2], fluid mechanics [3, 4], quantum mechanics, plasma physics, nonlinear optics, and other areas. The KdV equation is a well-known model for the description of nonlinear long internal waves in a fluid stratified by both density and current. The steady-state version of this equation was produced by Long [5], while Benney [6] gave the integral expressions for calculation of the coefficients of the KdV equation for waves in a fluid with arbitrary stratification in the density and current.

There are many classical methods proposed to solve the KdV equations, including direct integration, Lyapunov approach, Hirota’s dependent variable transformation, the inverse scattering transform, and the Bäcklund transformation [7–9]. A direct algebraic approach has also been developed by Parkes and Duffy [10] in which the solutions to the particular equation are represented by an automated tanh-function method [10]. Recently, Wazwaz considered the abundant solitons solutions, compactons and solitary patterns solutions, some new solitons, and periodic solutions of the fifth-order KdV equation [11, 12]. The adiabatic parameter dynamics of 1-soliton solution of the generalized fifth-order nonlinear KdV equation is obtained by virtue of the soliton perturbation theory [13, 14]. The authors present a Mathematica package that deals with complicated algebraic system and outputs directly the required solutions for particular nonlinear equations [15–21].

Exact solutions to nonlinear evolution equations (NEEs) play an important role in nonlinear physical science, since the characteristics of these solutions may well simulate real-life physical phenomena [22–24]. The wave phenomena can be observed in fluid dynamics, plasma physics, elastic media, and so forth. The main task of this work is to show that our proposed methods, improved tanh and sech-tanh methods, are very efficient in solving the Olver equation and the fifth-order KdV equation by using extended tanh method and extended sech-tanh method [25–28].

This paper is organized as follows. An introduction in is presented in Section 1. In Section 2, an analysis of the extended tanh method and extended sech-tanh method is
formulated. In Section 3, the travelling wave solutions of the Olver and the fifth-order KdV equations are obtained. Finally, the paper ends with a conclusion in Section 4.

2. An Analysis of the Methods

2.1. The sech-tanh Method. We suppose that \( u(x,t) = u(\xi) \), where \( \xi = x - kt \), and \( u(\xi) \) has the following formal travelling wave solution:

\[
u(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{sech}^{i-1}(\xi)(A_{i}\text{sech} \xi + B_{i}\tanh \xi),\quad (1)\]

where \( A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \) and \( B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n} \) are constants to be determined.

\textbf{Step 1.} Equating the highest-order nonlinear term and the highest-order linear partial derivative in the ordinary differential equations yields the value of \( n \).

\textbf{Step 2.} By setting the coefficients of \( \text{sech}^{i}\tanh^{j} \) for \( i = 0,1 \) and \( j = 1,2,\ldots \) to zero, we have the following set of over determined equations in the unknowns \( A_{0}, A_{1}, B_{1} \), and \( \mu \) and \( k \) for \( i = 1,2,\ldots,n \).

\textbf{Step 3.} By using Mathematica and Wu’s elimination methods, the algebraic equations in Step 2 can be solved.

2.2. The Extended tanh Method. The tanh method developed and introduced an independent variable:

\[
Y = \tanh(\mu \xi), \quad \xi = x - kt,\quad (2)
\]

that is introduced and leads to the change of the following derivatives:

\[
\frac{d}{d\xi} = \mu (1 - Y^{2}) \frac{d}{dY},\quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{d^{2}}{d\xi^{2}} = -2\mu^{2}Y (1 - Y^{2}) \left( \frac{d}{dY} \right)^{2} + \mu^{2}(1 - Y^{2})^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{dY^{2}}.
\]

The extended tanh method admits the use of the finite expansion:

\[
u(\mu \xi) = S(Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i}Y^{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}Y^{-i}, \quad (4)
\]

where \( m \) is a positive integer, in most cases, that will be determined. Expansion equation (4) reduces to the standard tanh method for \( b_{i}, 1 < i \leq m \). The parameter \( m \) is usually obtained by balancing the linear terms of highest-order in the resulting equation with the highest-order nonlinear terms. Substituting (4) into the ODE results in an algebraic system of equations in powers of \( Y \) that will lead to the determination of the parameters \( a_{i}, (i = 0, \ldots, m), \mu \) and \( c \).

\textbf{Stability of Solution.} Hamiltonian system for the momentum is given by

\[
nu = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^{2}(x,y) \, dx \, dy, \quad \text{at} \ t = 0. \quad (5)
\]

The sufficient condition for discussing the stability of solution is \( \partial \nu/\partial k > 0 \), where \( k \) is coefficient of time.

3. Application of the Methods

3.1. The Olver Equation. In this section, we will employ the proposed methods to solve Olver equation [23]:

\[
u_{t} + \left( 1 - \frac{q_{6}}{4q_{2}} \right) \nu_{x} + q_{1} \nu_{xxxx} + q_{2} \nu_{x}^{2} + q_{3} \nu_{xxx} + q_{4} \nu_{x}^{3} + \left( q_{5} - \frac{q_{3}q_{6}}{2q_{2}} \right) \nu_{xxx} = 0, \quad (6)
\]

where the coefficients \( q_{i}, (i = 1, \ldots, 6) \) are real constants depending on surface tension. These coefficients are

\[
q_{1} = \left( \frac{19}{360} - \frac{r}{12} - \frac{\tau}{8} \right) \zeta^{2}, \quad q_{2} = -\frac{3}{8} \chi^{2}, \quad q_{3} = \left( \frac{5}{12} - \frac{\tau}{4} \right) \chi \zeta, \quad q_{4} = \left( \frac{23}{24} + \frac{5\tau}{8} \right) \chi \zeta, \quad q_{5} = \left( \frac{1}{6} - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \zeta, \quad q_{6} = \frac{3}{2} \chi.
\]

Here, \( r \) represents a dimensionless surface tension coefficient, \( \chi \) is the ratio of wave amplitude to undisturbed fluid depth, and \( \zeta \) is the square of the ratio of fluid depth to wave length.

3.1.1. Using a sech-tanh Method. Equation (6) was equivalently

\[
\left( 1 - k - \frac{q_{6}}{4q_{2}} \right) \nu' + q_{1} \nu''' + q_{2} \nu' + q_{3} \nu'' + q_{4} \nu' + \left( q_{5} - \frac{q_{3}q_{6}}{2q_{2}} \right) \nu''' = 0, \quad (8)
\]

obtained upon using the wave variable \( \xi = x - kt \). Balancing \( \nu''' \) with \( \nu'' \) in (8) gives \( m = 2 \). sech-tanh method equation (1) admits the use of the finite expansion:

\[
u(\xi) = A_{0} + A_{1} \text{sech} \xi + B_{1} \tanh \xi + A_{2} \text{sech}^{2} \xi + B_{2} \text{sech} \xi \tanh \xi, \quad (9)
\]

and by substituting from (9) into (8) and setting the coefficients of \( \text{sech} \xi \text{sech}^{2} \) for \( i = 0, 1 \) and \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 \) to zero, we have the following set of overdetermined equations in the unknowns \( A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}, \) and \( k \).

By solving the set of result equations by using Mathematica, we obtain the following solutions.
Case I. Consider

\[ A_1 = B_1 = B_2 = 0, \quad A_2 = \frac{3(2q_3 + q_4 + E)}{q_2}, \]

\[ A_0 = \frac{1}{4q_2 (10q_1 q_2 - q_3 (q_3 + q_4))} \]
\[ \times (8q_3^3 + 4q_4^3 (3q_4 \mp E)) \]
\[ + 40q_1 q_2 (-2q_3 - q_4 \pm E) \]
\[ + 4q_2 q_5 (q_4 \pm E) \]
\[ + q_3 (4q_4^2 + E q_6 - q_4 (q_6 \pm 4E)) \],

\[ k = \frac{1}{8q_2 (-10q_1 q_2 + q_3 (q_3 + q_4))^2} \]
\[ \times (-19200q_1 q_2^3 \]
\[ + 4q_1^2 q_2^3 (2q_3^2 + 2q_4 q_5 + q_4 (q_4 \mp E))) \]
\[ + q_2^2 q_4 (2q_3 + q_4 \mp E) (4(q_3 + q_4) - q_6) \]
\[ \times (4(q_3 + q_4) + q_6) \]
\[ + 4q_2 q_3 (2q_3 (q_3 + q_4))^2 \]
\[ - (2q_3^2 + 2q_3 q_4 + q_4^2) q_3 q_6 \]
\[ + (4q_4 (q_4 \mp E) - q_6^2) \]
\[ + 40q_1 q_2 \]
\[ + 20q_1 q_2 (2q_3 + q_4) - q_3 q_6 \}
\[ + q_3 \]
\[ \left( 48q_3^3 + 256q_4^2 q_4 + q_3 \left( 288q_4^2 + 80q_4 x - 5q_6^2 \right) \right) \]
\[ + 10q_4 \left( 8q_4 (q_4 \mp E) - q_6^2 \right) \). \]

In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[ v_1(x,t) = \frac{1}{4q_2 (10q_1 q_2 - q_3 (q_3 + q_4))} \]
\[ \times (8q_3^3 + 4q_4^3 (3q_4 \mp E)) \]
\[ + 40q_1 q_2 (-2q_3 - q_4 \mp E) + 4q_2 q_5 (q_4 \mp E) \]
\[ + q_3 (4q_4^2 + E q_6 - q_4 (q_6 \pm 4E))) \]
\[ + \left( \frac{3(2q_3 + q_4 \mp E)}{q_2} \right) \sech^2 [x - kt], \]

where \( E = \sqrt{-40q_1 q_2 + (2q_3 + q_4)^2} \), and \( (2q_3 + q_4)^2 > 40q_1 q_2 \).

Figure I(a) shows the stability dark solitary wave solutions with \( (x = 0.25, \zeta = 0.025, \text{ and } \tau = 0.5) \) in the interval \([-10, 10]\) and time in the interval \([0, 5]\). Figure I(b) shows the stability contour of solitary wave solution with \( (x = 0.25, \zeta = 0.025, \text{ and } \tau = 0.5) \) in the interval \([-10, 10]\) and time in the interval \([0, 5]\).

Case II. Consider

\[ A_0 = \frac{1}{4q_2 (10q_1 q_2 - q_3 (q_3 + q_4))} \]
\[ \times (2q_3^3 - 10q_1 q_2 (E + 2q_3 + q_4) + q_4^2 (E + 3q_4) \]
\[ + 2q_2 (-E + q_4) q_5 \]
\[ + q_3 (q_4 (E + q_4) + (E - q_4) q_6) \],

\[ A_1 = B_1 = 0, \quad A_2 = \frac{3(2q_3 + q_4 + E)}{2q_2}, \]

\[ B_2 = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2q_2}} \sqrt{20q_1 q_2 - (2q_3 + q_4)^2 - (2q_3 + q_4) E}, \]

\[ k = \frac{1}{8q_2 (-10q_1 q_2 + q_3 (q_3 + q_4))^2} \]
\[ \times (-1200q_1 q_2^3 \]
\[ + 4q_1^2 q_2^3 (2q_3^2 - q_4 (E - 2q_3) + q_4^2) \]
\[ + 4q_2 q_3 (2q_3 (q_3 + q_4))^2 \]
\[ - (2q_3^2 - q_4 (E - 2q_3) + q_4^2) q_3 q_6 \]
\[ + (5q_4 (q_4 \mp E) - q_6^2) \]
\[ + 40q_1 q_2 \]
\[ + 20q_1 q_2 (2q_3 + q_4) - q_3 q_6 \}
\[ + q_3 \]
\[ \left( 48q_3^3 + 256q_4^2 q_4 + q_3 \left( 288q_4^2 + 80q_4 x - 5q_6^2 \right) \right) \]
\[ + 10q_4 \left( 8q_4 (q_4 \mp E) - q_6^2 \right) \).

In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[ v_2(x,t) = \frac{1}{4q_2 (10q_1 q_2 - q_3 (q_3 + q_4))} \]
\[ \times (2q_3^3 - 10q_1 q_2 (E + 2q_3 + q_4) + q_4^2 (E + 3q_4) \]
\[ + 2q_2 (-E + q_4) q_5 \]
\[ + q_3 (q_4 (E + q_4) + (E - q_4) q_6) \]
\[ + \left( \frac{3(2q_3 + q_4 + E)}{2q_2} \right) \sech^2 [x - kt] \]
\[ + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2q_2}} \sqrt{20q_1 q_2 - (2q_3 + q_4)^2 - (2q_3 + q_4) E} \]
\[ \times \sech [x - kt] \tanh [x - kt]. \]
In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[
v_3(x, t) = \frac{1}{4q_2(10q_1q_2 - q_3(q_3 + q_4))} \cdot \left(2q_3^3 - 10q_1q_2(-E + 2q_3 + q_4) + q_5^2(-E + 3q_4) + 2q_3(E + q_4)q_5 + q_3(q_4 - E + q_4)q_6 - (E + q_4)q_6 \right) \\
\times \left(3(2q_3 + q_4 - E) \right) \frac{1}{2q_2} \frac{\tanh^2 |x - kt|}{\tanh^2 |x - kt|} \\
+ \left(3 \frac{2q_3 + q_4 - E}{\sqrt{2q_2}} \right) \frac{\tanh^2 |x - kt|}{\tanh^2 |x - kt|} \\
\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2q_2}} \frac{\sqrt{20q_1q_2 - (2q_3 + q_4)^2 + (2q_3 + q_4)E}}{2q_2}.
\]

\[
(15)
\]

3.1.2. Using the Extended tanh Method. We have

\[
u_3(\xi) = a_0 + a_1Y + a_2Y^2 + \frac{b_1}{Y} + \frac{b_2}{Y^2}.
\]

By substituting (16) into (8) and collecting the coefficient of \(Y\), we obtain a system of algebraic equations for \(a_0, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2\), and \(k\). Solving this system gives the following solution.

Case I. Consider

\[
a_1 = b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad a_2 = -\frac{3\mu^2(2q_3 + q_4 \pm E)}{q_2}, \\
a_0 = \frac{1}{q_2(q_4 \pm E)} \cdot \left(4\mu^2(-20q_1q_2 + (q_3 + q_4)(2q_3 + q_4)) \pm 4\mu^2E(q_3 + q_4) + 2q_2q_5 - q_5q_6 \right).
\]

\[
(14)
\]

Case II. Consider

\[
A_0 = \frac{1}{4q_2(10q_1q_2 - q_3(q_3 + q_4))} \cdot \left(2q_3^3 - 10q_1q_2(-E + 2q_3 + q_4) + q_5^2(-E + 3q_4) + 2q_3(E + q_4)q_5 + q_3(q_4 - E + q_4)q_6 - (E + q_4)q_6 \right) \\
\times \left(3(2q_3 + q_4 - E) \right) \frac{1}{2q_2} \frac{\tanh^2 |x - kt|}{\tanh^2 |x - kt|} \\
+ \left(3 \frac{2q_3 + q_4 - E}{\sqrt{2q_2}} \right) \frac{\tanh^2 |x - kt|}{\tanh^2 |x - kt|} \\
\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2q_2}} \frac{\sqrt{20q_1q_2 - (2q_3 + q_4)^2 + (2q_3 + q_4)E}}{2q_2}.
\]

\[
(15)
\]
In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[
u_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{q_2(q_3 \pm E)} \cdot (4\mu^2(2q_3 + q_4) + (q_3 + q_4)(2q_3 + q_4)) + \frac{\pm 4\mu^2 E(q_3 + q_4) + 2q_2q_5 - q_2q_6}{q_2} \cdot \tanh^2 [x - k(t - t_0)],
\]

where \( E = \sqrt{-40q_1q_2 + (2q_3 + q_4)^2}, \) and \( (2q_3 + q_4)^2 > 40q_1q_2. \)

Figure 2(a) shows the stability dark solitary wave solutions with \((\chi = 0.25, \zeta = 0.025, \text{and } \tau = 0.5)\) in the interval \([-10, 10]\) and time in the interval \([0, 5]\). Figure 2(b) shows the stability contour of solitary wave solution with \((\chi = 0.25, \zeta = 0.025, \text{and } \tau = 0.5)\) in the interval \([-10, 10]\) and time in the interval \([0, 5]\).

Case II. Consider

\[a_1 = b_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = b_2 = \frac{3\mu^2(2q_3 + q_4) \pm E}{q_2}, \quad a_0 = \frac{1}{q_2(q_3 \pm E)} \cdot (4\mu^2(2q_3 + q_4) + (q_3 + q_4)(2q_3 + q_4) + \pm 4\mu^2 E(q_3 + q_4) + 2q_2q_5 - q_3q_6),\]

In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[
u_2(x, t) = \frac{1}{q_3(q_4 \pm E)} \cdot (4\mu^2(2q_3 + q_4) + (q_3 + q_4)(2q_3 + q_4)) + \frac{\pm 4\mu^2 E(q_3 + q_4) + 2q_2q_5 - q_2q_6}{q_2} \cdot \left(\tanh^2 [x - k(t - t_0)] + \coth^2 [x - k(t - t_0)]\right).
\]

Case III. Consider

\[a_1 = a_2 = b_1 = 0, \quad b_2 = \frac{-3\mu^2(2q_3 + q_4 \pm E)}{q_2}, \quad a_0 = \frac{1}{q_2(q_4 \pm E)} \cdot (4\mu^2(2q_1q_2 + (q_3 + q_4)(2q_3 + q_4)) + \pm 4\mu^2 E(q_3 + q_4) + 2q_2q_5 - q_3q_6),\]
Figure 2: (a) Travelling waves solutions of (18) is plotted: stability dark solitary waves. (b) Travelling waves solutions of (18) is plotted: stability contour of solitary waves.

\[
k = \frac{1}{8q_2 (-10q_1q_2 + q_3 (q_3 + q_4))^2} \cdot ( -19200\mu^4 q_1^3 q_2^3 \\
+ 4q_2^4 q_5^2 (2q_3 - (\pm E - 2q_3) q_4 + q_4^2) \\
+ 4q_2 q_3 (2q_3 (q_3 + q_4)^2 \\
- (2q_3^2 - (\pm E - 2q_3) q_4 + q_4^2) q_5 q_6) \\
+ 40q_2^2 q_5^2 (20q_2 \\
+ 8\mu^4 (12q_3^2 + 22q_3 q_4 \\
+ 5q_4 (\pm E + q_4)) - 5q_5^2) \\
+ q_3 q_4 (\pm E + 2q_3 + q_4) (16\mu^4 (q_3 + q_4)^2 - q_5^2) \\
- 4q_1 q_2 (8q_3 (q_3 + q_4) \\
\times (5q_2 + 2\mu^4 \\
\times (3q_3^2 + 13q_3 q_4 + 9q_4 (\pm E + q_4))) \\
+ 20q_2^2 q_5^2 - 20q_1 q_3 q_5 q_6 \\
- 5q_3 (q_3 + q_4) q_5 q_6)) ).
\]  

(21)

3.2. Solving the Fifth-Order Korteweg-de Vries Equation. In this section we will employ the proposed methods to solve the fifth-order Korteweg-de Vries equation:

\[
\eta_t + 6\eta\eta_x + \eta_{xxx} + \alpha_1 \eta^5 \eta_x + \alpha_2 \eta_x \eta_{xx} \\
+ \alpha_3 \eta \eta_{xxx} + \alpha_4 \eta_{xx} = 0, \quad \alpha \ll 1.
\]

(23)

Or equivalently

\[
- k\eta'' + 6\eta\eta' + \eta''' + \alpha_1 \eta^5 \eta' + \alpha_2 \eta \eta'' \\
+ \alpha_3 \eta''' + \alpha_4 \eta'''' = 0,
\]

(24)

is obtained upon using the wave variable \( \xi = x - kt \), when the higher-order coefficients are given by

\[
(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = \left(1, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{480}\right).
\]

(25)

3.2.1. Using a sech-tanh Method. Balancing \( \eta^{''''} \) with \( \eta \eta'' \) in (24) gives \( m = 2 \). sech-tanh method equation (1) admits the use of the finite expansion:

\[
\eta(\xi) = A_0 + A_1 \text{sech} \xi + B_1 \text{tanh} \xi \\
+ A_2 \text{sech}^2 \xi + B_2 \text{sech} \xi \text{ tanh} \xi,
\]

(26)

and by substituting from (27) into (24) and setting the coefficients of \( \text{sech}'\text{tanh}' \) for \( i = 0, 1 \) and \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 \) to zero, we have the following set of overdetermined equations in the unknowns \( A_0, A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2, \) and \( k \).

Solve the set of result equations by using Mathematica; we obtain the following solutions.
Case I. Consider

\[ A_1 = B_1 = B_2 = 0, \quad A_2 = \frac{3c_2 + 6c_3 + 3g}{c_1}, \]

\[ A_0 = \frac{1}{2ac_1(-c_3(c_2 + c_3) + 10c_1c_4)} \cdot \left( c_3(3 + 2\alpha(c_2 + c_3)) (c_2 + 3c_3 + 3g) + c_1(c_2(1 - 20ac_4) \pm g) + 20c_4(-3 - 2ac_3 \pm ag)) \right), \]

\[ k = \frac{1}{2ac_1(c_3(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4)^2} \cdot \left( 2c_1^2(c_4 + 240\alpha^2c_4^2) \right. \]

\[ + c_3c_5^2(-3 + 2\alpha(c_2 + c_3)) \times (3 + 2\alpha(c_2 + c_3))(c_2 - 2c_5 \pm g) - c_4^2\left( c_2^2 + 1 + 400\alpha^2c_4^2 \right) \]

\[ + 2\left( c_5^2 + 60c_5c_4 + 60(-15 + 8\alpha^2c_5^2)c_4 \right) \]

\[ + c_9(\pm g(1 - 400\alpha^2c_4^2) + c_5(1 + 880\alpha^2c_4^2)))) \]

\[ + 2c_1c_3\left( 40c_3^2c_4^2 + 6c_3(c_4(-15 + 4\alpha^2c_5^2)c_4 \right) \]

\[ + c_5\left( 3 + 8\alpha^2c_4(18c_3 + 5g) \right) \]

\[ + c_9\left( 3(-60c_4 \pm g) + 2c_5 \times (3 + 4\alpha^2c_4(16c_3 + 5g)))) \right. \]

\[ (27) \]

In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[ \eta_1(x,t) = \frac{1}{2ac_1(-c_3(c_2 + c_3) + 10c_1c_4)} \cdot \left( c_3(3 + 2\alpha(c_2 + c_3)) (c_2 + 3c_3 + 3g) + c_1(c_2(1 - 20ac_4) \pm g) + 20c_4(-3 - 2ac_3 \pm ag)) \right) \]

\[ + 3c_2 + 6c_3 + 3g \text{ sech}^2[x - kt], \]

\[ (28) \]

where \( g = \sqrt{(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 - 40c_1c_4} \) and \((c_2 + 2c_3)^2 > 40c_1c_4)\).

Figure 3(a) shows the stability bright solitary wave solutions with \( \alpha = -1 \) in the interval \([-5, 5]\) and time in the interval \([0, 0.5]\).

Figure 3(b) shows the stability contour of solitary wave solution with \( \alpha = -1 \) in the interval \([-5, 5]\) and time in the interval \([0, 0.5]\).

Case II. Consider

\[ A_1 = B_1 = 0, \quad A_2 = \frac{3(c_2 + 2c_3 + g)}{2c_1}, \]

\[ B_2 = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2c_1}} \cdot \sqrt{-(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 + 20c_1c_4 - (c_2 + c_3)g}, \]

\[ \eta_2(x,t) = \frac{-1}{4ac_1(c_3(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4)} \cdot \left( c_3(6 + \alpha(c_2 + c_3)) (c_2 + 3c_3 + 3g) + c_1(c_2(1 - 20ac_4) \pm g) + 20c_4(-3 - 2ac_3 \pm ag)) \right) \]

\[ + 3c_2 + 6c_3 + 3g \text{ sech}^2[x - kt] + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2c_1}} \cdot \sqrt{-(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 + 20c_1c_4 - (c_2 + c_3)g} \times \text{sech}[x - kt] \text{ tanh}[x - kt]. \]

where \( g = \sqrt{(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 - 40c_1c_4} \) and \((c_2 + 2c_3)^2 > 40c_1c_4)\).

Case III. Consider

\[ A_1 = B_1 = 0, \quad A_2 = \frac{3(c_2 + 2c_3 + g)}{2c_1}, \]

\[ B_2 = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2c_1}} \cdot \sqrt{-(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 + 20c_1c_4 - (c_2 + c_3)g}, \]

\[ \eta_3(x,t) = \frac{-1}{4ac_1(c_3(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4)} \cdot \left( c_3(6 + \alpha(c_2 + c_3)) (c_2 + 3c_3 + 3g) + c_1(c_2(1 - 20ac_4) \pm g) + 20c_4(-3 - 2ac_3 \pm ag)) \right) \]

\[ + 3c_2 + 6c_3 + 3g \text{ sech}^2[x - kt] + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2c_1}} \cdot \sqrt{-(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 + 20c_1c_4 - (c_2 + c_3)g} \times \text{sech}[x - kt] \text{ tanh}[x - kt]. \]

In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[ \eta_3(x,t) = \frac{-1}{4ac_1(c_3(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4)} \cdot \left( c_3(6 + \alpha(c_2 + c_3)) (c_2 + 3c_3 + 3g) + c_1(c_2(1 - 20ac_4) \pm g) + 20c_4(-3 - 2ac_3 \pm ag)) \right) \]

\[ + 3c_2 + 6c_3 + 3g \text{ sech}^2[x - kt] + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2c_1}} \cdot \sqrt{-(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 + 20c_1c_4 - (c_2 + c_3)g} \times \text{sech}[x - kt] \text{ tanh}[x - kt]. \]
In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as
\[
\eta_3(x, t) = -\frac{1}{4\alpha c_1 (c_3 (c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1 c_4)} \times \frac{2c_1}{(c_3 (6 + \alpha (c_2 + c_3)) (c_2 + 2c_3 - g) - 2c_1 (-g + 5(12 - g\alpha + 2ac_3) c_4 + c_2 (-1 + 5\alpha c_4)) + \frac{3}{2c_1} (c_2 + 2c_3 - g) \sech^2 [x - kt]} + \frac{\sqrt{c_2 + 2c_3}}{\sqrt{2c_1}} \sqrt{(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 + 20c_1 c_4 + (c_2 + c_3) g} \times \text{sech} [x - kt] \tanh [x - kt].
\] (32)

3.2.2. Using the Extended tanh Method. We have
\[
u(\xi) = a_0 + a_1 Y + a_2 Y^2 + \frac{b_1}{Y} + \frac{b_2}{Y^2}.
\] (33)

By substituting (33) into (23) and collecting the coefficient of $Y$, we obtain a system of algebraic equations for $a_0$, $a_1$, $a_2$, $b_1$, $b_2$, and $k$. Solving this system gives the following solution.

Case I. Consider
\[
a_0 = \begin{cases} 
-6 \pm 4\mu^2 \alpha + 4\mu^2 \alpha (c_2 + 2c_3) \\
+ (\pm g - c_2) (c_1 - 3c_4) \\
\frac{c_3 (c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1 c_4}{c_3 (c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1 c_4}) \times (2ac_1)^{-1}, 
\end{cases}
\]
\[
a_1 = b_1 = b_2 = 0,
\]
\[
a_2 = -\frac{3\mu^2 (\pm g + c_2 + 2c_3)}{c_1},
\]
\[
k = -9 + 2\mu^4 \alpha^2 c_2 (\pm g + c_1 + 2c_3)
\]
\[
\frac{c_1 \alpha}{c_3 (c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1 c_4}) - 24\mu^4 c_4 - \frac{(\pm g - c_2) (c_1 - 3c_4)^2}{2ac_1 (c_3 (c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1 c_4)^2}
\]
\[
+ \frac{(c_1 - 3c_4)}{\alpha c_1 (c_3 (c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1 c_4).}
\]

In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as
\[
\eta_1(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
-6 \pm 4\mu^2 \alpha + 4\mu^2 \alpha (c_2 + 2c_3) \\
+ (\pm g - c_2) (c_1 - 3c_4) \\
\frac{c_3 (c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1 c_4}) \times (2ac_1)^{-1}, 
\end{cases}
\]
\[
-\frac{3\mu^2 (\pm g + c_2 + 2c_3)}{c_1} \times \text{tanh}^2 [\mu (x - kt)],
\]

where $g = \sqrt{(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 - 40c_1 c_4}$ and $(c_2 + 2c_3)^2 > 40c_1 c_4$.

Figure 4(a) shows the stability bright solitary wave solutions with $\alpha = -1$ in the interval $[-5, 5]$ and time in the interval $[0, 0.5]$. 
Figure 4: (a) Travelling waves solutions of (35) is plotted: stability bright solitary waves. (b) Travelling waves solutions of (35) is plotted: stability contour of solitary waves.

Figure 4(b) shows the stability contour of solitary wave solution with \((\alpha = -1)\) in the interval \([-5, 5]\) and time in the interval \([0, 0.5]\).

Case II. Consider

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_0 &= \left(-6 \pm 4g\mu^2\alpha + 4\mu^2\alpha (c_2 + 2c_3) ight. \\
          & \quad + \left. \frac{(\pm g - c_2)(c_1 - 3c_3)}{c_3(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4} \right) \times (2ac_1)^{-1}, \\
    a_1 &= b_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = b_2 = -3\mu^2 \left(\pm g + c_2 + 2c_3\right), \\
    k &= \frac{-9 + 32\mu^4\alpha^2c_2\left(\pm g + c_2 + 2c_3\right)}{c_1^2} - 384\mu^4\alpha c_4 \\
        & \quad \left(-\frac{(\pm g - c_2)c_1(c_3)}{2ac_1(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4)^2} - \frac{(c_1 - 3c_3)^2}{ac_1(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4). \right.
\end{align*}
\]

(36)

In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[
\eta_2(x, t) = \left(-6 \pm 4g\mu^2\alpha + 4\mu^2\alpha (c_2 + 2c_3) ight. \\
          & \quad + \left. \frac{(\pm g - c_2)(c_1 - 3c_3)}{c_3(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4} \right) \times (2ac_1)^{-1} \\
        & \quad - 3\mu^2 \left(\pm g + c_2 + 2c_3\right) \\
        & \quad \times \left(\tanh^2 \left[\mu(x - kt)\right] + \coth^2 \left[\mu(x - kt)\right]\right). \\
\]

(37)

Figure 5(a) shows the stability bright solitary wave solutions with \((\alpha = -1)\) in the interval \([-5, 5]\) and time in the interval \([0, 0.5]\).

Case III. Consider

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_0 &= \left(-6 \pm 4g\mu^2\alpha + 4\mu^2\alpha (c_2 + 2c_3) ight. \\
          & \quad + \left. \frac{(\pm g - c_2)(c_1 - 3c_3)}{c_3(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4} \right) \times (2ac_1)^{-1}, \\
    a_1 &= a_2 = b_1 = 0, \quad b_2 = -3\mu^2 \left(\pm g + c_2 + 2c_3\right), \\
    k &= \frac{-9 + 2\mu^4\alpha^2c_2\left(\pm g + c_2 + 2c_3\right)}{c_1^2} - 24\mu^4\alpha c_4 \\
        & \quad \left(-\frac{(\pm g - c_2)c_1(c_3)}{2ac_1(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4)^2} - \frac{(c_1 - 3c_3)^2}{ac_1(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4). \right.
\end{align*}
\]

(38)

In this case, the generalized soliton solution can be written as

\[
\eta_3(x, t) = \left(-6 \pm 4g\mu^2\alpha + 4\mu^2\alpha (c_2 + 2c_3) ight. \\
          & \quad + \left. \frac{(\pm g - c_2)(c_1 - 3c_3)}{c_3(c_2 + c_3) - 10c_1c_4} \right) \times (2ac_1)^{-1} \\
        & \quad - 3\mu^2 \left(\pm g + c_2 + 2c_3\right) \\
        & \quad \times \left(\coth^2 \left[\mu(x - kt)\right] \right). \\
\]

(39)

Figure 5(b) shows the stability contour of solitary wave solution with \((\alpha = -1)\) in the interval \([-5, 5]\) and time in the interval \([0, 0.5]\).

Figure 6(a) shows the stability bright solitary wave solutions with \((\alpha = -1)\) in the interval \([-5, 5]\) and time in the interval \([0, 0.5]\). Figure 6(b) shows the stability contour of solitary wave solution with \((\alpha = -1)\) in the interval \([-5, 5]\) and time in the interval \([0, 0.5]\).
Figure 5: (a) Travelling waves solutions of (37) is plotted: stability dark solitary waves. (b) Travelling waves solutions of (37) is plotted: stability contour of solitary waves.

Figure 6: (a) Travelling waves solutions of (39) is plotted: stability dark solitary waves. (b) Travelling waves solutions of (39) is plotted: stability contour of solitary waves.

4. Conclusion

Contour plots produced by Mathematica are drawn shaded, in such a way that regions with higher values of $u_i(x, t)$, $v_i(x, t)$, and $\eta_i(x, t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are drawn lighter. As with all Mathematica graphics commands, options allow you to control the appearance of the graph. Contours plot allows you to determine the number of contours to be drawn. The default is ten equally spaced curves. An analytic study was conducted on the Olver and fifth-order KdV equations. We formally derived travelling wave solutions for the Olver and fifth-order KdV equations. However, by using another distinct approach, we derived one traveling wave solutions for each Olver and fifth-order KdV equations. The structures of the obtained solutions are distinct and stable.
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