Lateral Diffusion of Redox Components in the Mitochondrial Inner Membrane Is Unaffected by Inner Membrane Folding and Matrix Density*
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We report the first lateral diffusion measurements of redox components in normal-sized, matrix-containing, intact mitoplasts (inner membrane-matrix particles). The diffusion measurements were obtained by submicron beam fluorescence recovery after photobleaching measurements of individual, intact, rat liver mitoplasts bathed in different osmolarity media to control the matrix density and the extent of inner membrane folding. The data reveal that neither the extent of mitochondrial matrix density nor the complexity of the inner membrane folding have a significant effect on the mobility of inner membrane redox components. Diffusion coefficients for Complex I (NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase), Complex III (ubiquinol:cytochrome c oxidoreductase), Complex IV (cytochrome oxidase), ubiquinone, and phospholipid were found to be effectively invariant with the matrix density and/or membrane folding and essentially the same as values we reported previously for spherical, fused, ultralarge, matrix-free, inner membranes. Diffusion of proton-transporting Complex V (ATP synthase) appeared to be 2-3-fold slower at the greatest matrix density and degree of membrane folding. Consistent with a diffusion-coupled mechanism of electron transport, comparison of electron transport frequencies (productive collisions) with the theoretical, diffusion-controlled, collision frequencies (maximum collisions possible) revealed that there were consistently more collisions than productive collisions for all redox partners. Theoretical analyses of parameters for submicron fluorescence recovery after photobleaching measurements in intact mitoplasts support the finding of highly mobile redox components diffusing at the same rates as determined in conventional fluorescence recovery after photobleaching measurements in fused, ultralarge inner membranes. These findings support the Random Collision Model of Mitochondrial Electron Transport at the level of the intact mitoplast and suggest a similar conclusion for the intact mitochondrion.

A primary focus of this laboratory continues to be the study of the relationship between the mobility of mitochondrial inner membrane redox components and electron transport. We have previously adopted the technique of FRAP to quantitate the rates of lateral diffusion of specific inner membrane components. This technique usually requires membranes having a diameter >5 µm, whereas the intact rat liver mitochondrion is approximately 1 µm in diameter and the typical isolated, swollen inner membrane averages approximately 1.5 µm. Therefore, we previously developed fusion procedures to produce ultralarge spherical matrix-free inner membranes (10-200 µm) for our FRAP diffusion studies (1, 2). Based upon our determination of the rates of diffusion of the redox components in these ultralarge inner membranes and comparison of the diffusion-based collision frequencies of redox components to electron transport rates, we hypothesized a Random Collision Model for Mitochondrial Electron Transport (3-8). The model (for details see Ref. 4) states that: 1) all redox components are independent diffusants; 2) electron transport is a diffusion-coupled kinetic process, i.e. all electron transfers are preceded by one or more diffusion-based collisions; 3) cytochrome c diffuses primarily in three dimensions at physiologic ionic strength; 4) electron transport is a multicollisional, obstructed, long range, diffusion process; and 5) the rates of diffusion of redox components have a direct influence on the overall kinetic process of electron transport and can be rate-limiting.

Although the majority of studies to date to assess the diffusion of redox components have been carried out on fused ultralarge mitochondrial inner membranes, a limited number of studies have utilized other enlarged, structurally altered, mitochondrial membrane systems, such as hypotonically swollen, cuprizone-induced megamitochondria from mouse liver (3, 9, 10) and "partly damaged," hypotonically swollen large mitochondria from the flight muscle of Lethocerus indicus (11). Because of the technical difficulties related to their small size, no FRAP studies to date have been carried out on intact mitochondria or unswollen, intact, matrix-containing inner membranes, i.e. mitoplasts. The small, native mitochondrion and mitoplast are of course preferred for such studies.

1 Electron transfer refers to the actual transmission of reducing equivalents between redox components. Electron transport is inclusive of the overall process of electron transfer and lateral diffusion of redox components.

2 The abbreviations used are: FRAP, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching; BSA, bovine serum albumin; Hepes, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; asolectin, soybean phospholipids; Dil, 3,3'-dioctyldithydlocarbcyanine; TRITC, tetramethylrhodamine B isothiocyanate; Complex I or I, NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase; Complex II or II, succinate:ubiquinone oxidoreductase; Complex III or III, ubiquinol:cytochrome c oxidoreductase; Complex IV or IV, cytochrome oxidase; Complex V or V, ATP synthase; Q1, ubiquinone; c, cytochrome c; D, lateral diffusion coefficient.
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since they are both structurally and functionally intact. The outer surface of the mitoplast's inner membrane is accessible to immunofluorescence labeling of its integral protein complexes since the outer membrane is absent. Both the mitoplast and the mitochondrion have a matrix that in different metabolic states can vary from ~27% protein “solution” in the orthodox configuration to 56% in the condensed configuration and can potentially interact with the redox complexes that project out from the inner membrane surface. Concomitantly, the extent of inner membrane folding will vary significantly. Together or separately, matrix density and inner membrane folding each have the potential to significantly affect the rate of diffusion of inner membrane components. Therefore, it is a progressive, yet essential step to attempt to examine these physical factors.

In this report, we extend our FRAP techniques to individual, intact matrix-containing mitoplasts from rat liver mitochondria measuring ~1–3 μm at different osmolarities in order to consider the potential effects of the mitochondrial matrix and/or inner membrane folding on diffusion rates that could not be directly addressed previously. We determined that all diffusants studied in the inner membrane of intact, matrix-containing mitoplasts are highly mobile. With the possible exception of phospholipid and ubiquinone diffusion, inner membrane redox (electron transporting) components or phospholipids between fused, ultralarge, matrix-free inner membranes and mitoplasts over a range of osmotic conditions which dramatically alters inner membrane folding and matrix density. We conclude that the matrix density and inner membrane folding do not have a significant effect on the diffusion of mitochondrial inner membrane redox components and electron transport.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Membrane Preparations—Mitochondria were isolated from the livers of male Sprague-Dawley rats based upon previously published procedures using H300 isolation medium (300 mosm medium containing 220 mM mannitol, 70 mM sucrose, 2 mM Hepes, and 0.5 mM bovine serum albumin at pH 7.4) (12). The outer membranes were selectively removed using a controlled digitonin incubation (13) subsequently leaving a mitoplast fraction. A portion of the mitoplast fraction was converted to a simple spherical shape, and the matrix was diluted approximately 7-fold by washing in a hypotonic 40 mosm medium. Theheme content of the cytochromes was determined using the method of Williams (20) and the coefficients of Schneider et al. (21). The Lowry method was used to determine the protein concentrations (22).

Electron Transport Activities—Maximum succinate oxidase activity was determined as an average of a number of runs using a Clark-type oxygen electrode (19). The mitoplast isolation medium was composed of 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 1 mM carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone, and 13 μM cytochrome c. Mitochondria were incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was then stopped with 100 μl of 0.1 M NH4Cl. Immunofluorescence labeling was performed subsequent to cross-linking. For analysis of phospholipid diffusion, Dil was used to bulk membrane suspensions prior to glass attachment and cross-linking.

Electron Transport Activities—Maximum succinate oxidase activities were determined as an average of a number of runs using a Clark-type oxygen electrode (19). The mitoplast isolation medium was composed of 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 1 mM carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone, and 13 μM cytochrome c. Mitochondria were incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was then stopped with 100 μl of 0.1 M NH4Cl. Immunofluorescence labeling was performed subsequent to cross-linking. For analysis of phospholipid diffusion, Dil was used to bulk membrane suspensions prior to glass attachment and cross-linking.

Fluorescence Recovery after Photobleaching—FRAP was carried out by standard methods (17) with some modification, and recovery curves were analyzed by the method of Axelrod et al. (18). The instrument described previously (5, 6) was used with the 514.5 nm argon emission line. Submicron FRAP was performed using Leitz 100X brightfield oil immersion lenses with either a 1:30 or 1:32 numerical aperture, each producing beam diameters at the sample plane of <1 μm. Beam diameters were determined by comparison of image intensities along the beam direction to images of 1 mm x x and y axes of the fluorescent spot recorded on a thin Dil film by a given lens using a digitized video approach with a Dage 1201 video camera (Model 66) and appropriate image processing software. For ultralarge membranes, a Leitz 40X (0.7 Na) dry objective was used as was done previously (5). A Lenstar Intensicon 8 video camera was used to locate the fluorescent inner membranes and focus the laser beam on the membrane surface. The sample temperature was determined by a Sensortek BAT-12 digital thermometer with a thermocouple probe on the microscope stage.

The Fs from recovery curves of 10–20 mitoplasts were averaged. Initial attempts to minimize the photobleaching-induced depletion of the fluorophore population due to the small diameter of the mitoplast, fluorescence recovery curves were not signal-averaged for individual mitoplasts during acquisition, and the duration of the bleaching pulse and its intensity were adjusted to give a modest 20–40% average bleach. Due to the very small separation between the upper region and the lower (glass-attached) region of the inner membrane of the mitoplast, it is generally not possible and exceptionally difficult at best to distinguish between them while imaging the laser spot, and it was assumed, and the data indicate, that both membrane regions were bleached and contributed to the observed recovery.

For immobilization of inner membrane components, a 25% stock solution of glutaraldehyde was diluted to 0.2%, 1% and 2% concentrations in H300 BSA-free medium. The selected concentration of glutaraldehyde in a 40-μl aliquot was added to glass-slide attached mitoplasts and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was then stopped with 100 μl of 0.1 M NH4Cl. Immunofluorescence labeling was performed subsequent to cross-linking. For analysis of phospholipid diffusion, Dil was used to bulk membrane suspensions prior to glass attachment and cross-linking.

Fluorescent Probes and Membrane Labeling—FRAP measurements of Fs for inner membrane components were carried out utilizing fluorescent probes. Phospholipid and ubiquinone diffusion were measured by Dil, as previously reported (5, 6). Dil was a fluorescent lipid analogue, was dissolved in EtOH and incorporated into inner membrane suspensions to give a probe/lipid ratio between 1:1,000 and 1:10,000 and a final EtOH concentration of <1%. Immunofluorescent fluorescent labeling was utilized to monitor redox complex diffusion. Specific rabbit anti-redox complex IgGs were separately prepared subsequently leaving a mitoplast fraction. A portion of the mitoplast fraction was converted to a simple spherical shape, and the matrix was diluted approximately 7-fold by washing in a hypotonic 40 mosm medium. Theheme content of the cytochromes was determined using the method of Williams (20) and the coefficients of Schneider et al. (21). The Lowry method was used to determine the protein concentrations (22).

Theoretical FRAP Calculations—The analyses and equations of Ref. 3. Mitoplasts attached to glass slides in 300 mosm BSA-free medium were labeled by successive washings with 100 μl of 171 mM NaCl, 20 μl of fluorescent antibody for a 1–2 min incubation, 200–300 μl of NaCl to remove unbound antibody, and finally with 200 μl of H300 BSA-free medium. Poly-L-lysine was used to attach and retain the mitoplasts on glass slides and after fluorescent labeling and washing procedures. For this procedure, acid-washed glass was immersed in 0.1% solutions of the 175-KDa or 1-4 KDa poly-L-lysine and allowed to dry overnight prior to adding mitoplasts. For experiments using ultralarge, fused inner membranes, a similar labeling procedure detailed previously was used (5).

The structural integrity of the mitoplasts was maintained during isolation and fluorescent labeling using H300 medium. For FRAP measurements, the glass-attached mitoplasts were kept in a condensed configuration in H300 BSA-free medium or were subsequently converted to a more orthodox configuration in H100 (150 mosm) medium or a spherical configuration in H40 medium to reduce matrix density and decrease inner membrane folding.

Fluorescence Recovery after Photobleaching—FRAP was carried out by standard methods (17) with some modification, and recovery curves were analyzed by the method of Axelrod et al. (18). The instrument described previously (5, 6) was used with the 514.5 nm argon emission line. Submicron FRAP was performed using Leitz 100X brightfield oil immersion lenses with either a 1:30 or 1:32 numerical aperture, each producing beam diameters at the sample plane of <1 μm. Beam diameters were determined by comparison of image intensities along the beam direction to images of 1 mm x x and y axes of the fluorescent spot recorded on a thin Dil film by a given lens using a digitized video approach with a Dage 1201 video camera (Model 66) and appropriate image processing software. For ultralarge membranes, a Leitz 40X (0.7 Na) dry objective was used as was done previously (5). A Lenstar Intensicon 8 video camera was used to locate the fluorescent inner membranes and focus the laser beam on the membrane surface. The sample temperature was determined by a Sensortek BAT-12 digital thermometer with a thermocouple probe on the microscope stage.

The Fs from recovery curves of 10–20 mitoplasts were averaged. Initial attempts to minimize the photobleaching-induced depletion of the fluorophore population due to the small diameter of the mitoplast, fluorescence recovery curves were not signal-averaged for individual mitoplasts during acquisition, and the duration of the bleaching pulse and its intensity were adjusted to give a modest 20–40% average bleach. Due to the very small separation between the upper region and the lower (glass-attached) region of the inner membrane of the mitoplast, it is generally not possible and exceptionally difficult at best to distinguish between them while imaging the laser spot, and it was assumed, and the data indicate, that both membrane regions were bleached and contributed to the observed recovery.

For immobilization of inner membrane components, a 25% stock solution of glutaraldehyde was diluted to 0.2%, 1% and 2% concentrations in H300 BSA-free medium. The selected concentration of glutaraldehyde in a 40-μl aliquot was added to glass-slide attached mitoplasts and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was then stopped with 100 μl of 0.1 M NH4Cl. Immunofluorescence labeling was performed subsequent to cross-linking. For analysis of phospholipid diffusion, Dil was used to bulk membrane suspensions prior to glass attachment and cross-linking.

Electron Transport Activities—Maximum succinate oxidase activities were determined as an average of a number of runs using a Clark-type oxygen electrode (19). The mitoplast isolation medium was composed of 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 1 mM carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone, and 13 μM cytochrome c. 5 mM sodium succinate was used to start the reaction. Mitochondria were temperature-equilibrated 1–2 min prior to initiating the reaction. Temperatures were read directly in the thermostated oxygen electrode chamber using a Sensortek BAT-12 digital thermometer. The heme content of the cytochromes was determined using the method of Williams (20) and the coefficients of Schneider et al. (21). The Lowry method was used to determine the protein concentrations (22).

Theoretical FRAP Calculations—The analyses and equations of Petersen and McConnaughey (23) were used to calculate the effect of two closely opposed membranes on the fluorescence recovery curves. In particular, the case of one membrane (or membrane region) attached to an immobile substratum, analogous to our system, was examined. The beam radius of 0.45 μm at 514.5 nm for a Leitz 100X, 1.32 NA oil immersion lens, and the collection efficiency for this lens was calculated based on a 200-μm image pinhole and a refractive index of 1.515 for the...
immersion oil. An arbitrary bleaching constant and time were selected to produce an ~60% bleach at the image plane. Percent recoveries were selected for the in-focus and out-of-focus membrane regions, and the observed recovery was calculated based upon the following equation

$$F_F^{\alpha}(z - z_0) = \frac{A'F_F^{\alpha} + E(z - z_0)A'F_F^{\alpha}}{A' + E(z - z_0)A'}$$  (1)

where $F_F^{\alpha}$ is the observed fraction recovery for membranes (or membrane regions) separated by the distance $z - z_0$. $F_F^{\alpha}$ and $F_F^{\alpha}$ are the true fractional recoveries, and $A'$ and $A''$ are the bleaching efficiencies for the in-focus ($\rho$) and out-of-focus ($\sigma$) membranes, respectively, and $E(z - z_0)$ is the collection efficiency, each calculated as in Petersen and McConnaughey (23).

**Materials and Reagents**—All reagents were reagent grade. Glutaraldehyde was EM grade and obtained from Electron Microscopy Sciences ( Ft. Washington, PA). Cytochrome c (Type VI from horse heart) was purchased from Sigma. Rhodamine isothiocyanate was purchased from Research Organics (Cleveland, OH). Dil and lissamine rhodamine B sulfonyl chloride were obtained from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR).

**RESULTS**

**Diffusion of Redox Components in Intact Mitoplasts**—We determined the $D$s of redox Complexes I, III, and IV and Complex V and of both phospholipid and ubiquinone (using Dil) in the inner membranes of intact mitoplasts employing a submicron diameter laser spot (Table I). We found that the average $D$ for each specific inner membrane component was essentially the same, within the limits of experimental error, for intact, matrix-containing mitoplasts compared to ultralarge, fused, matrix-free inner membranes (Table I). The same finding was obtained for small (<3-5-μm diameter), fused, matrix-free inner membranes. The size of matrix-free, fused mitoplasts (Table I) or of nonfused, matrix-containing mitoplasts (Table II) did not markedly influence the $D$ of any component. The $D$s of the redox components were essentially unchanged regardless of the extent of membrane folding and/or matrix density of intact mitoplasts as the osmotic strength of the medium was lowered from 300 to 40 mosm (Table I). Mitoplasts suspended in solution at 300 mosm are in the condensed state, i.e. are irregularly shaped with a high degree of inner membrane folding and have a small volume of dense matrix (Figure 1A), while at 40 mosm the inner membrane unfolds to become spherical and the matrix volume increases (Fig. 1B), giving an approximate 7-fold decrease in matrix density (24). Consistent with our studies using only ultralarge, fused inner membranes, we found Complex I in intact mitoplasts to be the slowest and Complex V to be the fastest integral protein diffusants, and ubiquinone and phospholipid to be the fastest membrane diffusants. Complex V, which is not involved in electron transport, may diffuse 2–3-fold slower in condensed mitoplasts in 300 mosm medium (mean $D$: 2.7 × 10^{-10} cm²/s, Table I) compared to spherical mitoplasts in 40 mosm medium (mean $D$: 7.3 × 10^{-10} cm²/s, Table I). Complex I diffused an order of magnitude slower compared to the other complexes in all membranes studied (Table I). These data reveal that the $D$s in intact mitoplasts are the same as in fused, ultralarge, matrix-free inner membranes and indicate that neither the matrix nor the extent of inner membrane folding has a significant effect on $D$s with the possible exception of the proton-transporting ATP-synthase. We examined several technical aspects of our approach to measure the $D$s of inner membrane components in intact mitoplasts because of the latter's small size of ∼1-3-μm diameter. We determined that a low (20-40%) degree of bleaching for two or at most three successive bleaches did not alter the $D$s of inner membrane components. We found that the $D$s for redox components were not significantly affected by a 0.1% coating on the glass slide of either 1–4-kDa or 175-kDa poly-L-lysine. Poly-L-lysine was required to keep mitoplasts attached to the slides during the labeling and washing procedures, especially for immunofluorescence labeling. We also determined that the incubation of mitoplasts in glutaraldehyde (0.2% or 2%) for 10 min essentially abolished diffusion of all redox components examined (data not shown) which showed that immobilizing interactions of redox components, when present, were clearly discernible. The close agreement of our current and previous results (9) also indicated that submicron FRAP can be successfully applied to accurate and reproducible determinations of $D$s in small ∼1-3-μm mitoplast membranes attached to glass slides.

Unlike the assessment of $D$s, the determination of mobile (and conversely immobile) fractions of inner membrane components in the small mitoplast is problematic for a number of reasons. Although values for significant mobile fractions were obtained in all cases, a precise quantitation for a single, specific membrane is experimentally and theoretically difficult to ascertain. Consequently, an averaging of individual membrane determinations will be subject to similar limitations. Almost all the observed mobile fractions in intact, matrix-containing mitoplasts and fused, matrix-free, small (3-5-μm) mitoplasts for both protein and lipid components tended to be around 50% ± 15% (Table III) as compared to the usual >85% mobile fraction for fused, ultralarge, matrix-free inner membranes. Smaller mitoplasts tended to have somewhat lower mean values, but the differences were within

**TABLE I**

| Membranes | Conditions | Phospholipid and ubiquinone (Dil) | Complex I | Complex III | Complex IV | Complex V |
|-----------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Fused, matrix-free, ultralarge >10-μm diameter inner membranes | 40 | 39 ± 9 | 0.72 ± 0.7 | 4.4 ± 2.2 | 3.0 ± 1.7 | 8.4 ± 6.0 |
| Fused, matrix-free, small 3–5-μm diameter, inner membranes | 40 | 39 ± 9 | 0.47 ± 0.2 | 3.4 ± 2.6 | 2.5 ± 1.7 | 7.3 ± 3.0 |
| Normal, matrix-containing, ~1-3-μm diameter, mitoplasts | 40 | 59 ± 33 | 0.48 ± 0.2 | 3.5 ± 2.9 | 2.6 ± 5.3 | 6.5 ± 3.5 |
| | 150 | 23 ± 20 | 0.48 ± 0.2 | 3.5 ± 2.9 | 2.6 ± 5.3 | 6.5 ± 3.5 |
| | 300 | 48 ± 43 | 0.46 ± 0.3 | 4.3 ± 2.2 | 2.8 ± 1.8 | 2.7 ± 1.2 |

* Ref. 5.  
* Ref. 3.  
* Ref. 16.
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Experimental error (Table II). The mobile fraction was not found to be significantly different whether 1-4 kDa or 175-kDa poly-L-lysine was used to bind the membranes to glass slides, or in the case of phospholipid where labeling with Dil prior to attachment on glass permitted the omission of poly-L-lysine. It was found that the mobile fraction, unlike the $D_0$, varied to a degree with the degree of bleaching such that the greater the bleach the lesser the mobile fraction. This indicated that the portion of the total inner membrane component-bound fluorophore population bleached was significantly high to reduce the percent recovery. These findings for the mobile fraction of inner membrane components are consistent with: 1) a large proportion of the total membrane surface area of small mitoplasts being photobleached and 2) to an immobilization of inner membrane components due to a significant attachment to the glass substratum; thus, consistent with an apparent immobile fraction.

Calculations (Fig. 2A), based on the treatment of Petersen and McConnaughey (23) for our experimental conditions, support our conclusion that the experimentally observed, average, $\sim$50% immobile fraction in the small mitoplasts is only apparent and results from photobleaching two closely separated membranes (i.e. the upper and lower regions of the same mitoplast inner membrane) where one membrane (the lower) has a large proportion of its surface area attached to an immobile substratum. The calculations reveal that over the range of separations between membrane regions of $\sim$0.5 to $\sim$3 $\mu$m estimated for intact mitoplasts based on their diameters, an approximately 50% ± 20% observed mobile fraction would be expected (Fig. 2A) resulting from one membrane region (the upper) with a 100% mobile fraction and the other glass-attached membrane region with a 0% mobile fraction. Averaging of a population of membranes where the laser beam spot can be focused on either the mobile or immobile membranes (which are very difficult to distinguish at close separations) would tend to be around 50% ± 20% as we experimentally observed (Table III). At separations greater than 5 $\mu$m, typical of conventional spot photobleaching studies, the effect of the attached, immobilized membrane is negligible (Fig. 2B). Although the 100% versus 0% mobile fractions case best fit the data, additional calculations were carried out to rule out other possible combinations of mobile fractions for the membranes (data not shown). Thus, the experimental and theoretical analyses of our submicron FRAP studies of intact mitoplasts of $\sim$1-3 $\mu$m diameter indicate that the inner membrane redox components are highly mobile and that the 50% immobile fraction is only apparent owing to technical experimental conditions.

Relationship of Electron Transport and Diffusion of Redox Components in Intact Mitoplasts—In the Random Collision Model of Mitochondrial Electron Transport, the significance of diffusion is in the functional collisions between appropriate redox partners. Therefore, we compared the number of diffusion-based collisions calculated by the Hardt equation for two-dimensional diffusion (25) and the number of successful collisions, i.e. actual maximum electrons transferred, as done previously (3, 5), and present the results for the intact, condensed mitoplast in 300 mosm medium (Table IV). The diffusion-controlled collision frequencies as well as collision efficiencies were calculated for all redox partners using their FRAP-determined diffusion coefficients and their effective redox concentrations in the mitoplast inner membrane under conditions of maximum (state 3U) respiration. We found that in all cases there were slightly more collisions than electron transfers, closely agreeing with the collision efficiencies in our earlier studies for fused, ultralarge, matrix-free inner membranes. Thus, irrespective of the inner membrane studied, no physical respiratory chain or aggregate, transient or permanent, appears to be required to account for the observed rate or sequence of electron transport. This is based on the crite-

### Table II

| Diameter | $D_0$ | Mobile fraction |
|----------|-------|----------------|
| <2       | 2.1 ± 1.3 | 43 ± 22 |
| <3       | 2.5 ± 1.5 | 49 ± 23 |
| >3       | 2.9 ± 1.6 | 59 ± 23 |

$D \times 10^{10}$ cm$^2$/s at 23 ± 2°C determined by FRAP as mean ± S.D. typically for an average of 20-40 mitoplast membranes. Determined in spherical (40 mosm) mitoplasts.

![Thin sections of freshly isolated intact mitoplasts in solution at ×9,810 showing the condensed configuration with a highly folded inner membrane and a dense matrix in 300 mosm isolation medium (A). B, the spherical configuration with a spherical inner membrane and a dilute matrix in 40 mosm isolation medium.](image)

### Table III

**Comparison of mobile fractions in fused matrix-free inner membranes and matrix-containing mitoplasts**

| Membranes                          | Diffusant |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
|                                    | Conditions | Phospholipid and ubiquinone (DII) | Complex I | Complex III | Complex IV | Complex V |
| Fused, matrix-free, ultralarge $>10$ $\mu$m diameter inner membranes | 40 | 94 ± 5 | 86 ± 11 |
| Fused, matrix-free, small 3-5 $\mu$m diameter inner membranes | 40 | 53 ± 23 | 49 ± 28 |
| Normal, matrix-containing, $\sim$1-3 $\mu$m diameter mitoplasts | 40 | 59 ± 33 | 58 ± 27 | 40 ± 30 | 54 ± 18 | 41 ± 25 |
| 150                               | 46 ± 21 | 58 ± 27 | 40 ± 30 | 54 ± 18 | 41 ± 25 |
| 300                               | 46 ± 21 | 58 ± 27 | 40 ± 30 | 54 ± 18 | 41 ± 25 |

$^a$ Chazotte and Hackenbrock (5).
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Fig. 2. Theoretical calculations of observed (apparent) fractional recoveries as a function of different membrane separations for a 100x lens according to the treatment of Peterson and McConnell (23). See “Experimental Procedures” for details. In-focus (upper) membrane with 100% mobile diffusants and out-of-focus (lower) attached membrane with 0% mobile diffusants. ---; in-focus membrane with 0% mobile and out-of-focus with 100% mobile. ---. A, membrane separations 0 to 2.5 μm; B, membrane separations 0 to 50 μm.

with the actual number of successful collisions determined from steady state electron transport rates (turnovers) in spherical, fused, ultralarge, matrix-free inner membranes and found that there was always more predicted collisions than successful collisions (3). Thus, we characterized electron transport as diffusion-coupled, i.e. every potentially reactive redox component undergoes one or more diffusion-based collisions with its potentially reactive redox partner to effect one turnover. In addition, we reported that electron transport is a multicollisional, obstructed, long range diffusion process and that the diffusion-based collisions of ubiquinone with its redox partners are rate-limiting for overall succinate-linked electron transport rates (5, 6). Collectively, these findings indicate that any effects on diffusion should in turn affect electron transport rates. Thus, it was essential to determine whether this relationship prevailed in the intact mitoplast which is structurally and functionally more like the intact mitochondrion than is the fused, ultralarge inner membrane. In the present study, in all cases, condensed (300 mosm), orthodox (150 mosm), and spherical (40 mosm) mitoplasts, and for all redox partners, there were consistently more predicted than successful collisions such that collision efficiencies (turnovers/predicted collision x 100) ranged between approximately 2.5 and 44%. Further, for each pair of redox partners, the results were very similar to collision efficiencies obtained in the fused, ultralarge, spherical, inner membranes (3, 5). Thus, irrespective of matrix density and/or the extent of membrane folding, all inner membrane components are diffusants, and no respiratory chain or aggregate, transient or permanent, appears to be required to account for the sequence or rate of electron transport.

Our finding that the presence or density of the mitochondrial matrix does not have a significant restrictive effect on the diffusion of major macromolecular components in the inner membrane suggests that any inner membrane-matrix protein interactions are either limited in extent or duration, weak and/or nonspecific. Previous studies have suggested a structurally organized matrix in association with the inner membrane as determined by electron microscopy of intact chemically fixed mitochondria (14, 26–28) and biochemical studies of isolated components at low, nonphysiological ionic strengths (29–39). Our conclusion regarding the lack of strong inner membrane-matrix interactions are not in conflict with the general concept of sequentially functional matrix enzymes, e.g. the tricarboxylic acid cycle, being organized and in close proximity within the matrix proper (29, 30, 37–39). Likewise, our conclusion is not in conflict with the concept of substrate channeling via transient weak interactions (40). Specifically, our diffusion results with rat liver intact mitoplasts indicate that there is no extensive and/or permanent association of organized matrix enzymes with the inner membrane protein complexes. Extensive matrix interactions with inner membrane redox complexes, such as that suggested for Complex I (32), should have a dramatic effect on the lateral diffusion of redox complexes, but no significant effect was detected for any complex. Whatever structure that may exist in the matrix must be either flexible or dynamic enough to undergo extensive ultrastructural transformations that can be energy-linked (26, 27) or osmotically induced (41–43). Based upon hydrodynamic treatments (44), a protein solution on the order of 56% in the matrix of the condensed mitochondrion (27) could have a viscous diffusion-retarding effect on large complexes of the inner membrane which project into the matrix. If a large portion of the matrix protein is not in solution but is associated in some matrix structure (27, 29), redox component diffusion might be relatively unaffected.
unless the complex experienced direct physical contact with the matrix structure. In any case, we observed that only Complex V, which among the complexes has the largest projection into the matrix, may possibly diffuse somewhat slower (2-3-fold) in the condensed mitoplast which contains a matrix density of 56% compared to the spherical mitoplast. Likewise, the diffusion of all components are in close agreement with the effective concentrations of the redox partner (51).

Analysis of Submicron FRAP Measurements—Analyses of our present submicron FRAP studies reveal that mitoplast inner membrane redox components are highly mobile diffusants. The $D$s of all components are in close agreement with our previous studies on fused, ultralarge inner membranes and swollen, cuprizone-induced, mouse liver megamitochondria (3, 5, 6) where, in contrast, matrix is lost or greatly reduced in density in both cases. The utility of submicron FRAP for measuring diffusion in the inner membrane of small (~1–3 μm-diameter) intact mitoplasts is supported by the close agreement with our measurements on ultralarge inner membranes and comparison to relevant theoretically based FRAP analyses (23, 45–47). Nonetheless, our interpretation of the data was made cautiously in light of the physical limitations inherent in the assessment of diffusion in such small membranes. We considered the effects on our data of 1) the large size (although <1 μm) of the laser spot relative to the total membrane area, 2) the close apposition of the two membrane regions (one of which was bound to an immobile substratum) which precluded discrimination of the fluorescence signals from the two membrane regions, and 3) the effect of the complex inner membrane surface topography, i.e. folding.

The characteristic time ($t_c$) of the fluorescence recovery in FRAP, from which $D$ is calculated, is essentially independent of the percent recovery (mobile fraction) for an infinite reservoir. However, with a small target the size of a mitoplast, a large proportion of fluorescent diffusants in the membrane are bleached and unavailable to participate in the recovery; thus, the signal/noise ratio could limit the accuracy of the measurements. Therefore, we sought to minimize photo-bleaching-induced depletion of the fluorophore population in the small mitoplast membrane by not signal-averaging from the same mitoplast and by reducing the extent of bleaching to 20–40%. We determined that up to 2 successive bleaches did not alter the $D$ or the mobile fraction, but greater repetitive bleaching resulted in a progressive loss of signal, consistent with the progressive depletion of the reservoir of unbleached fluorophores. In any case, theoretical analyses by Koppel and Sheetz (46) and by Elson and Qian (47) for a finite fluorophore population calculate a maximum possible overestimate in $D$ of a factor of ~2.

We found $D$ to be largely independent of the separation of the inner membrane's two bleached regions, i.e. upper (free) and lower (glass-attached) regions. Although, in the small diameter intact mitoplasts, irrespective of the degree of folding, both regions of the inner membranes are bleached, and fluorescent intensities of both regions are measured, albeit to different degrees. Experimentally, Wu et al. (48) found little variance in $D$ for multilayer membranes. Theoretical analyses by Petersen and McConnaughey (23) showed that for closely opposed membranes the observed $D$ is subject to small variations at most. Furthermore, they showed that if one membrane is immobilized the observed $D$ quite accurately reports the $D$ in the free, unattached membrane. Consistent with these findings our observed $Ds$ were not significantly affected by the degree of closeness of the two opposed inner membrane regions, as determined from comparing the $Ds$ in condensed and spherical mitoplasts. Likewise, the $Ds$ were effectively invariant with the extent of folding. This is consistent with
al. (50) that $D$s did not change in the presence or absence of membrane microvilli. Such results are also consistent with the theoretical analysis of Aizenbud and Gershon (46) who determined a maximum calculation error in $D$ of 2-fold lower in the presence of a highly folded membrane surface. Thus, our measurements of $D$s in intact mitoplasts represent a valid determination with a maximum theoretical error of approximately 2-fold. However, since membrane folding tends to underestimate and the large bleached area relative to the total area tends to overestimate $D$s each by a factor of $\sim$2, the possible error would likely be $<2$ or mutually canceled out.

All of our observed mobile fractions of all inner membrane components (protein and lipid) were centered around 50% irrespective of whether the mitoplast matrix was present or absent, the membranes were fused or not, or the membranes were folded or spherical. Such results are consistent with the case of two closely opposed membranes with one bound to an immobile substratum and the other $\sim$100% mobile and indicate that the immobile fraction in the present study is only apparent. From our studies using fused ultralarge inner membranes where the glass-attached membrane region is not bleached or monitored and constitutes a lower percent of the total membrane surface area, all inner membrane components show $>85\%$ mobile fraction. We compared our results for glass-attached mitoplasts with the 50% mean values for observed mobile fractions calculated according to Petersen and McConnaughey (23) for FRAP of two closely opposed membranes where one membrane is completely or extensively immobilized and the other is $\sim$100% mobile. Consistent with their analysis, our results showed that the accuracy of the observed mobile fraction is far more sensitive to closely opposed membranes and the immobilization of one membrane than is the $D$. The fact that mobile fractions in our study were partly dependent on the extent of bleaching, i.e. at high percent bleaching mobile fractions were lower, indicated that a large portion of the total fluorophore population was bleached. It is difficult (a) to resolve the two membranes when focusing the laser beam, (b) to accurately know the degree of separation at the membrane’s two opposing bleached regions, and (c) to accurately know the total membrane area (estimated from the diameter in the $x$-$y$ plane of the slide). Therefore, it is not possible to definitively and accurately deconvolute the observed apparent mobile fraction into a true mobile fraction for the unattached inner membrane in FRAP measurements of an individual mitoplast. Consequently, an average of a population of mitoplasts, where in-focus membranes could be either the mobile or immobilized membrane region, is likewise affected and will tend to vary about a 50% mean value.

We conclude that diffusion rates of highly mobile redox components in the mitochondrial inner membrane are essentially unaffected by the presence or absence of matrix or the extent of membrane folding. Thus, the concepts postulated in the Random Collision Model of Mitochondrial Electron Transport are valid for the mitoplast, and these can reasonably be extended to include the intact mitochondrion which differs from mitoplasts only that in an outer membrane and components of the inner membrane space are present.
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