A Weaving Rip-Stop Technique Leads to a Significantly Increased Load to Failure and Reduction in Suture-Tendon Cut-Through in a Biomechanical Model of Rotator Cuff Repair
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Purpose: To present an alternative arthroscopic rip-stop technique with a single suture tape weaved through the tendon from anterior to posterior and to biomechanically test its strength against a control technique consisting of a single-row repair with simple sutures. Methods: This was a controlled biomechanical study. Dissection and harvesting of the supraspinatus muscle-tendon unit were performed along the cable in 9 matched-pair cadaveric shoulders. Samples were divided into 2 groups: simple suture repair only (SSR) and simple suture repair with rip-stop (SSPR). Biomechanical testing was performed with an initial preload, followed by cyclic loading and then ramp to failure. Peak-to-peak displacement, stiffness (in newtons per millimeter), load at failure (in newtons), and failure mechanism were recorded. Data were compared using the paired-sample t-test. Results: The average peak-to-peak displacement for SSR samples was not significantly different from that of SSPR samples ($P = .96$). Similarly, elongation in the SSR and SSPR groups was not significantly different ($P = .82$). Stiffness was significantly different between the SSR and SSPR groups ($P = .0054$): SSR samples were less stiff than SSPR samples. Moreover, SSR samples failed at significantly lower forces than did SSPR samples ($P = .028$). A larger percentage of failures occurred due to tendon cut-through among SSR samples versus suture breakage among SSPR samples. Conclusions: An alternative rip-stop technique is presented in this biomechanical model that may assist surgeons to better deal with difficult rotator cuff repairs. Weaving a suture tape as a rip-stop can increase stiffness, achieve higher failure loads when compared with simple suture repair with no rip-stop, and reduce tendon cut-through. Clinical Relevance: This study provides insight into a variation of rip-stop stitch techniques that may help solve the clinical problem of failure occurring at the suture-tendon interface, specifically tendon cut-through.
Rotator cuff repair continues to be one of the most commonly performed orthopaedic procedures, with over 460,000 surgical procedures per year in the United States. It has a long history of good clinical outcomes, but healing rates continue to be suboptimal in certain populations. Most rotator cuff repairs are performed in individuals older than 60 years, which unfortunately has been shown to be an independent risk factor for poor healing. Boileau et al. showed that after single-row arthroscopic repair, the rate of healing in patients older than 65 years was 43% compared with 95% in individuals younger than 55 years. Poor tissue quality is another independent risk factor for low healing rates after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. This problem is encountered in approximately one-third of arthroscopic repairs and has been associated with a 3-fold increase in the risk of recurrent tear after repair.

The transition of many rotator cuff repairs from using transosseous bone tunnels to using suture anchors has shifted the weak link of the repair from the bone to the transosseous bone tunnels to using suture anchors. Denard and Burkhart described using suture tape to strengthen the suture-tendon interface. One of the earliest suture techniques, materials (polyester vs polyblend), and configurations to increase the strength of the suture-tendon interface. One of the earliest suture techniques developed was the Mason-Allen stitch, which was later modified by Gerber et al. The purpose of these suture configurations—and the hope for any suture configuration—is to provide high initial fixation strength, minimize gap formation, and maintain mechanical stability until osteo-fibroblastic integration can occur. However, suture techniques such as the Mason-Allen and modified Mason-Allen stitch have proved to be difficult to perform in an arthroscopic manner and may lose loop security under a medial tensile load.

A rip-stop stitch theoretically reduces the probability of suture cutout and maintains loop security. It consists of passing a horizontal mattress suture and then using a separate simple suture passed medially. Denard and Burkhart described using suture tape and double-row repair as a load-sharing rip-stop (LSRS) fixation construct, and Burkhart et al. were the first authors to provide a biomechanical validation. In this biomechanical study, Burkhart et al. compared the LSRS construct with a standard single-row repair construct. They showed that there was no difference in displacement between the 2 constructs but the ultimate load of the rip-stop construct was much higher.

Although studies involving different types of rip-stop configurations have provided promising results, there are still many variables and considerations to be addressed. Few biomechanical studies have evaluated the use of a rip-top stitch and its variations for rotator cuff repair in human cadaveric models. To date, biomechanical studies that have evaluated alternative techniques have included the studies of Burkhart et al., assessing the LSRS construct; Meisel et al., performing linked single-row repair; and Noyes et al., using a knotless rip-stop construct. In each of the referenced studies, the use of cadaveric bone and bone anchors introduced a confounding variable when evaluating alternative rip-stop techniques. Although anchor pullout is a clinically relevant mode of construct failure and presentation of such data is important, the question concerning an alternative approach for poor tendon quality remains. By eliminating the use of bone anchors, this study design allows a focus on the tendon-suture interface.

The purpose of this biomechanical study was to present an alternative arthroscopic rip-stop technique with a single suture tape weaved through the tendon from anterior to posterior and to test its strength against a control technique consisting of a single-row repair with simple sutures. We hypothesized that when compared with the simple suture repair, the suture tape rip-stop repair would have a significantly increased load to failure and overall fewer failures occurring at the suture-tendon interface.

**Methods**

**Sample Preparation**

A total of 10 matched pairs (N = 20) of cadaveric shoulders (7 male and 3 female cadavers; average weight, 78.3 kg; age range, 65-90 years [mean age, 77 years]), obtained from a United Tissue Network tissue bank, were used for this study and maintained frozen at −20°C until the day prior to instrumentation. Specimens were thawed overnight at room temperature for dissection and harvesting of the supraspinatus muscle-tendon unit (MTU). The MTU samples were harvested by bordering the rotator cable and included only the longitudinal fibers. All 20 MTUs were visually inspected by a fellowship-trained surgeon (R.A.N.). Donors were excluded if they had gross abnormalities, injuries, or previous repairs. After the inspection, it was concluded that 1 matched pair had to be removed because of abnormalities (cyst formation). This was a time-zero, controlled biomechanical study in which the remaining donor sets were randomly divided into the following testing groups such that each pair received one of each repair construct (Fig 1): control group (simple suture repair only [SSR], 9 supraspinatus specimens) and experimental group (simple suture repair with PermaTape [DePuy Mitek] [SSPR], 9
supraspinatus specimens). The control group consisted of 2 simple sutures (No. 2 Orthocord; DePuy Mitek).

The experimental group incorporated simple suture repair augmented with PermaTape (suture tape). This technique uses a single suture tape that is weaved into the tendon with multiple passes from anterior to posterior as the rip-stop stitch. The suture loop and free end of suture tape were secured around a cylinder that was rigidly fixed to the base of the testing machine. Independently, a single-row repair was performed with a simple stitch passed medially to the rip-stop stitch (Fig 2). This was tested against a control technique consisting of a single-row repair with simple sutures.

Digital calipers were used to measure the distance from the edge of the tendon to the entry site of the suture, as well as the thickness and width of the tendon. Specimens in the SSR group received the appropriate stitch configuration about the central line of axis (measured as half the length of the width) 1 cm from the lateral end of the tendon. Specimens in the SSPR group were augmented with suture tape, which was achieved by weaving (running) a continuous line of suture tape in a horizontal fashion. The 2 simple sutures were then passed in a similar fashion to those in the SSR group, 5 mm medial to the suture tape passes into the tendon (Fig 3). Both the suture and suture tape ends were secured around a fixed cylinder 1 cm in diameter. The sutures were secured to the cylinder with 1 surgeon’s knot, followed by 5 alternating half-hitches, such that each group had 2 points of contact around the post. Clinically, these free ends would be secured with an anchor.

The final length of suture used in each specimen was 4 cm (from the insertion point to the knot around the post). To ensure equal length and tension were maintained during repair, predetermined regions (used for alignment) were colored onto the suture and suture tape with a skin marker, prior to repair. The aforementioned fellowship-trained surgeon (R.A.N.) performed all repairs in an open setting using arthroscopic knot-tying techniques and instrumentation. An Expresssuture passer (DePuy Mitek) was used to pass suture and suture tape through the tendon. Throughout instrumentation and testing, samples were kept hydrated with 0.9% saline solution.

**Biomechanical Testing**

Biomechanical evaluation followed a testing protocol similar to protocols well documented in the published literature. Cyclic tensile testing was performed on a servo-hydraulic testing machine (MTS Bionix; MTS Systems, Eden Prairie, MN) equipped with a 5-kN load cell. A standardized length of muscle belly of the supraspinatus, 4 cm, was coupled to the MTS actuator by passing it through a cryo-clamp cooled by dry ice to a temperature of -22°C (a critical temperature, monitored by a probe, shown to ensure proper coupling). This cryo-clamp allowed the tissue to be gripped (by compression of plates) and fixed (by freezing) to the plate surface, eliminating tissue slippage commonly associated with this testing environment (Fig 4).

The suture loop and free end of suture tape were secured around the cylinder that was rigidly fixed to the base of the MTS machine. The mechanical testing model was designed to test the suture-tendon junction and did not incorporate the variability introduced using humeri or suture anchors (Fig 5). The length of suture loop, tendon grip length, and length of frozen tendon were standardized and measured across all specimens. Before testing, the tendon was inspected by visual and tactile means to ensure it was not frozen.

All testing samples were preconditioned to normalize viscoelastic effects and testing variability through application of a 5-N preload for 2 minutes. The samples were then cyclically loaded from 5 to 30 N for 500 cycles at 0.25 Hz. After cyclic testing, ramp to failure at 1 mm/s was performed. During cyclic loading, peak-to-peak displacement data were collected from the actuator’s linear variable differential transformer, defined as the average of maximum and minimum displacement across the last 3 cycles. During cyclic loading, a displacement distance of 5 mm or greater was considered to indicate failure.

Elongation was defined as the difference in displacement (along y-axis) between the first cyclic peak and last cyclic peak (Fig 6A). During ramp to failure, stiffness (in newtons per millimeter), load at failure (in newtons), and failure mechanism were recorded. Stiffness was defined as the linear portion of the force-versus-displacement curve (Fig 6B). Failure was defined as the first significant decrease in the monotonically increasing force profile, at which the peak load at failure was recorded.

On the basis of previously published work of a similar scope, a large effect size (Cohen $d$ of 0.8) was used for an a priori power analysis. By use of a matched-pair experimental design with a 2-tailed hypothesis test with a significance threshold of .05, the study was powered at the 0.80 level with 8 pairs of specimens (G*Power, version 3.1.9.2; Franz Faul). Using 10 pairs of specimens allowed for any possible tissue rejection or unforeseen failures while retaining proper study power.
Statistical Analysis

Paired-sample $t$ tests were performed on tendon measurements between test groups, and no statistically significant differences were found (Table 1).

Moreover, paired-sample $t$ tests were performed to identify differences in stiffness, ultimate failure load, peak-to-peak displacement, and elongation between the control and experimental groups. Data
are presented as mean ± standard deviation. All statistical comparisons were performed with SPSS software (version 22; IBM) at a significance level of \( \alpha = .05 \).

Results

The modes of failure were classified as either suture pull-through (tendon tear); suture breakage; or a combination of tendon tear through, shortly followed by suture breakage (Table 2). Stiffness was significantly different between the SSR and SSPR groups (\( P = .0054 \)): SSR samples were less stiff than SSPR samples (38.7 ± 13.7 N/mm vs 50.0 ± 12.8 N/mm). Moreover, SSR samples failed at significantly lower forces than did SSPR samples (296.9 ± 118.7 N vs 428.2 ± 130.9 N, \( P = .028 \)) (Table 3).

The average peak-to-peak displacement in SSR samples was not significantly different from that in SSPR samples (0.29 ± 0.17 mm vs 0.28 ± 0.087 mm, \( P = .96 \)). Similarly, elongation in the SSR and SSPR groups were not significantly different (1.2 ± 0.34 mm and 1.3 ± 0.35 mm, respectively; \( P = .82 \)) (Table 3).

Discussion

The results of this study confirm our hypothesis that weaving the rotator cuff tendon with suture tape to act as a rip-stop suture would lead to a significantly increased load to failure and reduction in suture-tendon cut-through. In this study, we present an alternative rip-stop technique with biomechanical validation that may assist surgeons to deal with repairs in which the single row or double rows may fall short owing to insufficient tendon mobility. This rip-stop technique consists of weaving a suture tape through the rotator cuff tendon with multiple passes from...
anterior to posterior and then passing simple sutures medial to the suture tape. The interaction at the suture-tendon interface was evaluated without the added variables of humeri or suture anchors. This study was performed to determine whether this technique would provide the anticipated improvement in mechanical performance at the suture-tendon interface.

Clinically, suture cutout in rotator cuff tendons is often referred to as the “cheese-wiring” effect. Biomechanically, this can be captured as tendon elongation. Rip-stop configurations have been developed to increase fixation at the suture-tendon interface and should, in theory, decrease suture cutout. In our study, there was no significant difference between the control (SSR) group and experimental (SSPR) group regarding elongation within our cyclic loading protocol. Burkhart et al. observed similar results in their LSRS study and described their reasoning in great detail. We agree with their findings that it is not possible to fully engage the rip-stop suture until some displacement occurs.

As this displacement occurs, the rip-stop becomes engaged and adds rigidity, increasing the ultimate failure load as observed in this study. Therefore, to minimize any suture cutout, it may be best to insert the rip-stop suture as closely to the preferred repair as possible. There was no significant difference in elongation within cyclic loading; however, there were significant differences in both stiffness and load to failure between groups. SSR samples were less stiff than SSPR samples: 38.7 ± 13.7 N/mm versus 50.0 ± 12.8 N/mm. Moreover, SSR samples failed at significantly lower forces than did SSPR samples: 296.9 ± 118.7 N and 428.2 ± 130.9 N. These findings equate to an ultimate load to failure 1.4 times greater than that in the SSR group and stiffness 1.3 times greater.

Furthermore, a considerable difference was seen when evaluating the modes of failure between groups. In the SSR group, 89% of specimens (8 of 9) failed by suture cutout through the tendon and 11% (1 of 9) failed owing to suture breakage, whereas in the SSPR group, only 33% of samples (3 of 9) failed by suture cut-through whereas 44% (4 of 9) failed by suture breakage and 22% (2 of 9) failed owing to tendon tearing followed by suture breakage. Although it is not surprising that most of the samples in the SSR group failed by suture cutout, it is significant to note that nearly 70% of the specimens in the SSPR group failed by some other means not related to suture cutout. One mode of failure observed only in the SSPR group was described as “tendon tearing followed by suture breakage,” in which the rotator cuff tear propagated parallel to the suture tape until the suture ruptured. Although this could be a catastrophic failure clinically, it seems unlikely given that this mechanism of failure occurred at supraphysiological forces. Various simulations use forces between 40 and 200 N to actuate the supraspinatus during arm elevation. Although both constructs on average performed beyond clinical demand, failure loads in the SSR group ranged from 140 to 476 N, whereas those in the SSPR group ranged from 274 to 609 N. The failure properties of supraspinatus tendons with intact humeral insertions are estimated to be above 800 N. Clinically, this finding may be pertinent to repairing various tears with poor-quality tissue in which suture cut-through failure is common.
The results of this controlled biomechanical study provide evidence based on a cadaveric matched-pair model that adding a suture tape as a rip-stop can increase stiffness, achieve higher failure loads, and decrease failures at the suture-tendon interface when compared with simple suture repair with no rip-stop. Moreover, this study adds to the current body of literature and provides a promising variation of rip-stop stitch techniques that may help solve the clinical problem of failures occurring at the suture-tendon interface (tendon cut-through). The presented technique has been found to be easy to perform arthroscopically by the senior author (M.A.M.) in a limited group of patients with 2 tendon tears and tears with lateral tendon loss.

**Limitations**

This study is not without its limitations. This is a time-zero, matched-pair cadaveric study that did not use anchors or humeri, and the results do not account for

---

**Fig 6.** (A) Example of data output during cyclic loading, in which peak-to-peak displacement and elongation measurements are identified. (B) Stiffness and peak load information is gathered from the force-displacement curve created from data output during ramp to failure.
tissue healing that normally occurs in vivo. The average thickness of the tendons in this study measured over 3 mm, which may not be the best representation of poor tendon quality but is comparable to that in other studies with similar experimental protocols.\(^\text{15,23,34}\) The use of matched cadaveric pairs minimizes the effect of tendon quality variation. The primary focus was the interaction at the suture-tendon interface, which was evaluated without the added variables of humeri or suture anchors because these can potentially add confounding factors to modes of failure. The placement of the rip-stop was standardized at 5 mm from simple sutures; however, at this distance, the rip-stop may not have been engaged during cyclic testing. Knot security is another variable that may alter results; therefore, our procedure for knot tying and instrumentation was followed and performed by 1 surgeon to minimize technique variability using arthroscopic instruments. Our knot-tying technique consisted of 1 surgeon’s knot and 5 alternating half-hitches and was not found to be a weak point in the construct because none of the failures occurred owing to knot failure. Finally, this study was performed in a non-aqueous environment; however, care was taken to continuously maintain tissue hydration with 0.9% saline solution.

### Conclusions

An alternative rip-stop technique is presented in this biomechanical model that may assist surgeons to better deal with difficult rotator cuff repairs. Weaving a suture tape as a rip-stop can increase stiffness, achieve higher failure loads when compared with simple suture repair with no rip-stop, and reduce tendon cut-through.

**Table 1. Donor Demographic Characteristics and Tendon Measurements**

| Cadaver No. | Side | Length | Width | Thickness | Age, yr | Sex | Height, in | Weight, lb | BMI |
|-------------|------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----|
| SSР group   |      |        |       |           |        |     |           |           |     |
| GL6394      | L    | 20     | 40    | 5         | 79     | M   | 76        | 255       | 31.9 |
| GL7090      | L    | 25     | 30    | 5         | 77     | M   | 67        | 186       | 29.1 |
| GL7431      | R    | 35     | 35    | 5         | 74     | M   | 69        | 190       | 28.1 |
| GL9154      | L    | 30     | 30    | 3         | 75     | M   | 66        | 119       | 19.2 |
| GL0831      | R    | 23     | 30    | 4         | 65     | F   | 63        | 168       | 29.8 |
| GL7067      | L    | 30     | 40    | 6         | 73     | M   | 70        | 210       | 30.1 |
| GL6861      | R    | 30     | 35    | 5         | 90     | F   | 60        | 80        | 15.6 |
| GL6666      | L    | 30     | 30    | 6         | 87     | F   | 65        | 145       | 24.1 |
| GL7194      | R    | 30     | 35    | 6         | 72     | M   | 68        | 200       | 30.4 |
| SSPР group  |      |        |       |           |        |     |           |           |     |
| GL6394      | R    | 20     | 35    | 6         | 79     | M   | 76        | 255       | 31.9 |
| GL7090      | R    | 40     | 35    | 6         | 77     | M   | 67        | 186       | 29.1 |
| GL7431      | L    | 20     | 30    | 4         | 74     | M   | 69        | 190       | 28.1 |
| GL9154      | R    | 30     | 35    | 4         | 75     | M   | 66        | 119       | 19.2 |
| GL0831      | L    | 25     | 30    | 3         | 65     | F   | 63        | 168       | 29.8 |
| GL7067      | R    | 20     | 30    | 5         | 73     | M   | 70        | 210       | 30.1 |
| GL6861      | L    | 38     | 39    | 4         | 90     | F   | 60        | 80        | 15.6 |
| GL6666      | R    | 34     | 25    | 5         | 87     | F   | 65        | 145       | 24.1 |
| GL7194      | L    | 30     | 30    | 5         | 72     | M   | 68        | 200       | 30.4 |

BMI, body mass index; F, female; L, left; M, male; R, right; SSPР, simple suture repair with PermaTape; SSР, simple suture repair only.

**Table 2. Failure Modes Observed During Ramp-to-Failure Testing in SSР and SSPР Groups**

| Study Group | Suture Pull-Through | Suture Breakage | Tendon Tear Followed by Suture Breakage |
|-------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| SSР         | 8 (89)              | 1 (11)         | 0 (0)                                 |
| SSPР        | 3 (33)              | 4 (44)         | 2 (22)                                |

SSР, simple suture repair with PermaTape; SSР, simple suture repair only.
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