OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: To characterize parent communication frequency and content between systems of care (medical, school, and sports/recreation) of concussed youth who are in prolonged recovery. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: In this ongoing study, 16 concussed youth (average age = 14.9 years, SD = 1.5; 31.2% female and 68.8% male) and their parent study partner (average age = 44.3 years, SD = 4.3; 87.3% female and 12.5% male) have been enrolled to date. Most parents have been referred to the Sports Medicine Clinic. Demographic information was obtained during the initial clinic intake session. Weekly phone calls were also conducted with the parent and child until the child was considered asymptomatic (ie, reporting no symptoms on the SCAT3), to collect data on communication with the school, sport/recreation, and medical systems throughout the recovery process. For the purpose of this study, we evaluated communication patterns of those parents who had a child in prolonged recovery (ie, symptomatic 14 d or more post-concussion injury). Communication variables included frequency (ie, number of times a parent contacted or attempted to contact a system of care) and content or topic discussed during the contact event. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Of the 16 enrolled participants to date, 68.8% (n = 11) experienced concussion-related symptoms 14 days postinjury (M = 22.2, SD = 4.6) at the time of their 2 week follow-up call and were thus considered to be in prolonged recovery. Of those 11, 81.8% (n = 9) of parents reported communicating with the school system at some point between the initial clinic intake session and the 2 week follow-up phone call. The frequency of communication for this period ranged between 0 and 10 instances of contact (M = 2.5, SD = 2.9). Of the 11 prolonged cases, 8 participants were members of sports teams. Sixty-three percent (n = 5) of those parents with a child on a sports team communicated with a coach while none of the parents contacted a team athletic trainer. The frequency of communication with the coach ranged from 0 to 8 (M = 1.5, SD = 2.5) over the course of 2 weeks from enrollment. With regards to the medical system, the majority of parents (72.7%, n = 8) communicated at least once with a medical professional during the same time period. The frequency of communication with the medical system ranged from 0 to 8 (M = 2.2, SD = 2.6) points of contact. Themes that arose for communicating with the school system included informing school personnel of academic accommodations prescribed by the physician, explaining absences, and concerns about missed academic work and grades. The content of communication with the sports system (ie, coach) pertained to return-to-play issues as well as progress updates on recovery. Themes for communication with the medical system were centered on return-to-play issues as well as progress updates on recovery. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Use of the ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for urinary retention performed well at identifying AUR in the ED. This study provides justification to use urinary retention diagnosis codes (specifically 788.20 and 788.29) in future studies to identify AUR using administrative data, especially in the ED.

Evaluating a community research consult service: Getting to outcomes and impacts
Clara Pelfrey, Katrice Cain, Mary Ellen Lawless, Earl Pike and Ashwini Sehgal
Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: This study describes the design, operation, and evaluation of a community-based research (CBR) consult service within the setting of a Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) institution. To our knowledge, there are no published evaluations of a CBR consult service at a CTSA hub. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: A CBR consult service was created to support faculty, healthcare providers/research coordinators, trainees, community-based organizations, and community members. A framework was developed to assess the stages of client engagement and to foster clear articulation of client needs and challenges. A developmental evaluation system was integrated with the framework to track progress, store documents, collaboratively improve the consult service, and assess research outcomes. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: This framework provides information on client numbers, types, services used, and successful outreach methods. Tracking progress reveals reasons that prevent clients from completing projects and facilitates learning outcomes relevant to clients and funding agencies. Clients benefit from the expert knowledge, community connections, and project guidance provided by the consult service team, increasing the likelihood of study completion and achieving research outcomes. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Our evaluation suggests that clients benefit by (1) gaining the collective knowledge of the experts comprising the team, (2) learning the process of doing CBR, including the required steps to reach completion, and (3) gaining a project management mentality promoting translational research outcomes. This study offers a framework by which CTSA institutions can expand their capacity to conduct and evaluate CBR while addressing challenges that inhibit community engagement.

Establishment of the Tennessee-sickle cell disease network as a mechanism for engaging a rare disease population in patient centered outcomes research
Tilicia Mayo-Gamble, Velma McBride Murry and Michael R. DeBaun
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: Despite the high prevalence of individuals diagnosed with sickle cell disease (SCD) in Tennessee, comprehensive care and education for patients with SCD is not as widely available as healthcare services for individuals managing other chronic illnesses. We aimed to engage SCD stakeholders in patient-centered outcomes research (PCOR) as a mechanism for improving clinical and translational research for this rare disease population. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Through a partnership with the Sickle Cell Foundation of Tennessee, we implemented Community Health Ambassadors to systematically engage patient partners with SCD and their caregivers, aged 18-50 from rural and urban communities throughout Tennessee, in PCOR to establish a sustainable infrastructure, focused on connecting the SCD community through a service providing community-based organization to offer (1) information on how to connect with other families; and be informed about SCD community activities, or educational offerings; (2) training in basic research principals; and (3) opportunities to contribute to PCOR, including feedback on effective and practical ways for providing input on research efforts through patient centered input, comparing urban and rural area preferences. Community ambassadors utilized health fairs, clinic days at various hospitals and community centers, and social media to spread awareness of the project, in addition to boosting the recruitment process. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: A statewide SCD network was developed to offer social support and increase access to education, medical care, and engagement in research activities. Findings include: recruitment of 150 patients and 35 executive committee members (local physicians, community leaders, adults

Validating acute urinary retention using diagnosis and procedure codes
Scott Martin Vouri, Seth Strope and Margaret Olsen

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: We evaluated the accuracy of diagnosis and procedure codes to identify acute urinary retention (AUR) due to lack of voiding and urologic diagnostic and treatment codes to identify urinary retention procedure codes. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: A cross-sectional validation study at a single medical institution in the emergency department (ED) and outpatient Urology Clinic in men ≥45 years. International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) diagnosis codes 788.20, 788.21, 788.29 and urinary retention procedure codes to identify patients with acute urinary retention. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: A total of 333 treated and released men in the ED were identified using facility billing data, and 245 men using physician billing data in the Urology Clinic were identified using the codes for urinary retention or urinary catheterization. Of the 4 algorithms, any ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for urinary retention was the preferred algorithm with a sensitivity and specificity of 0.95 and 0.91 using ED facility billing data and a sensitivity and specificity of 0.95 and 0.98 using Urology physician billing data. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Use of the ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes for urinary retention performed well at identifying AUR in the ED. This study provides justification to use urinary retention diagnosis codes (specifically 788.20 and 788.29) in future studies to identify AUR using administrative data, especially in the ED.
with SCD and parents of children with SCD). DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Most rural and urban families affected by SCD have no systematic way to engage in, or lend their expertise to, PCOR. A statewide network of patient partners, community stakeholders, researchers, and medical professionals will ultimately increase the standard of care for patients, and provide valuable insight for SCD research. The opportunity to create the underpinnings for coordinated patient-centered education for patients with SCD and their caregivers holds promise for developing a scalable PCOR process model for replication and implementation in other states and emulate this model with other rare disease populations.
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Improving minority health and reducing health disparities: Research at the intersection of health disparity science and clinical and translational science
Meryl Sufian, Derrick Tabor and Phuong-Tu Le
National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: (1) To explain and discuss minority health and health disparities and the mechanisms, for example, individual behaviors and lifestyle, genetics and epigenetics, physical and cultural environment, and clinical events and health care, that lead to health disparities. (2) To explore the intersection between health disparity science and clinical and translational science. (3) To present and discuss the NIMHD Framework and how it can be used to guide multilevel research to address minority health and health disparities. (4) To highlight examples of NIMHD-funded novel and innovative research relevant to clinical and translational research from a health disparities perspective. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: The NIMHD Framework will be introduced that is currently being used by NIMHD to address minority health and health disparity research. The Framework looks at targeted populations in relation to biological, behavioral, physical, and sociocultural environmental domains of influence as well as the health care system. These domains have different levels of influence: individual, family, interpersonal, community, and population. Targeted populations include NIH-designated health disparity populations, that include racial/ethnic minorities, socioeconomically disadvantaged populations, underserved rural populations, and sexual and gender minorities. The following research opportunities are among the many opportunities offered by NIMHD: Disparities in Surgical Care and Outcomes Social Epigenomics for Minority Health and Health Disparities Addressing Health Disparities Among Immigrant Populations. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Select examples of NIMHD supported minority health and health disparities research that intersects with clinical and translational research will be presented. Candidate examples include: Genetic Architecture of Lupus (SLE) in individuals with Asian ancestry; A Novel Racial Disparity Marker and Risk Prediction in Triple Negative Breast Cancer Patients; Self-Applied Wearable Ultrasound Therapy for Osteoarthritis Management in Rural Central NY; Design and Development of a Multifunctional Self-service Health Screening Kiosk. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Despite notable improvements gained as a result of medical and scientific advances, there remains to be an alarming disproportionate burden of disease and lack of representation in research among minority and other socially disadvantaged and underserved populations. To meet this challenge, NIMHD is committed to supporting a wide range of clinical and translational research aimed at the development of innovative strategies and approaches to reduce and, eventually, eliminate health disparities. NIMHD’s mission, research priorities, and funding opportunities are relevant to the efforts and interests of clinical and translational scientists, especially those interested in the translation of research findings into interventions, products, and tools that may improve minority health and quality of life, increase adherence to medication and treatment regimens, increase access, and improve the delivery of health services.
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Risk factors for poor retention in HIV care using clinic and statewide surveillance data
Rebecca Duron, Michael Mugavero and Andrew Westfall
University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: Approximate 50% of people who have been diagnosed with HIV are either not linked to a care provider or not retained in medical care. This has substantial implications for both individual and public health outcomes. On an individual level, being retained in care is necessary for continuous receipt of antiretroviral therapy and sustained viral suppression. The public health implications of poor retention in HIV care are also serious, as it is estimated that people with HIV who are not retained in medical care are responsible for a majority of HIV transmissions, even more than the number of transmissions attributable to those who are HIV infected but undiagnosed. State departments of health routinely collect surveillance data including positive HIV test results, CD4 counts and viral load measures for monitoring trends in HIV infection. A shift in the use of these surveillance measures brought by the CARE Act of 2010 has led to the introduction of new data for direct patient services and, more specifically, to direct re-engagement and retention in care efforts. Although the risk factors for poor retention in HIV care have been characterized using information from individual or multiple clinics, this study seeks to incorporate state surveillance data into the retention measures. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: This retrospective cohort study was performed at the University of Alabama 197 HIV/AIDS Clinic among patients with at least one attended HIV primary care visit during the calendar year of 2015. Retention during the calendar year of 2016 was then measured as whether or not a patient had 2 or more completed clinic visits which were separated by more than 90 days (in accordance with the Health Resources and Services Administration or HRSA guidelines, a National HIV Quality Indicator). For patients who did not have any primary care visit in 2016, the Alabama Department of Public Health will provide a status of care (out of care, in care elsewhere, died, moved out of state, and cannot locate) based on HIV laboratory results reported from all clinics and labs across the state and/or mortality information. A multinomial regression model of the status of care is then used to account for demographic, clinical, laboratory, and behavioral patient reported outcomes captured during an index visit in 2015. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Data were recently obtained and is currently being analyzed on 3017 patients included in this study. We anticipate that there will be differences in the factors significantly associated with patients classified as out of care, poorly retained (patients who have only one completed clinic visit), and retained in care by the HRSA measure during calendar year 2016. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: By incorporating state surveillance data into our analysis, we expect to obtain a more precise picture of the risk factors for poor retention among HIV patients. For the first time, we will be able to determine if patients lost to our HIV clinic (~10% annually) are entirely lost to medical care or are seeking care elsewhere as indicated by HIV lab data reported to public health via surveillance. Identified risk factors will then be able to better inform the efforts to proactively improve the efficiency for HIV patient retention and re-engagement, and therefore lead to better individual outcomes for HIV patients and reduce the incidence of new HIV cases.
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Depression and mental health service use: Data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2006–2012
Magda Shaheen and Senait Teklehaimanot
David Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: Examine mental health service use and its correlates among depressed group in a national sample of population ≥20 years old. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Analysis of data for adults ≥20 years old from the NHANES 2006–2012. Depression was assessed using the 9-item PHQ. The use of mental health and antidepressant drug were used to indicate the service use. We utilized multiple logistic regressions to determine the independent association between service use and each independent variable (demographics, health status, food security, chronic conditions, and depression severity) controlling for other independent variables. Data were presented as adjusted odds ratio (AOR), 95% confidence interval (95% CI), and p-value of statistical significance. p-value of <0.05 indicates statistical significance. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Of the 17,824 subjects, 22% had mild to severe depression. Among the depressed group, 25% used antidepressant, 17% used mental health service. For the use of mental health services among the depressed group, African-American (AA), ≥60 years old, uninsured and foreign born were less likely to use the mental health service relative to other groups [AOR = 0.58 (95% CI: 0.45–0.75), 0.21 (95% CI: 0.14–0.33), 0.61 (95% CI: 0.43–0.83), 0.94 (95% CI: 0.41–1.79)] respectively, p < 0.05]. For the use of antidepressant drug among the depressed group, AA, Hispanics, uninsured and foreign born were less likely to use antidepressant drug relative to other groups [OR = 0.26 (95% CI: 0.20–0.33), 0.42 (95% CI: 0.31–0.57), 0.41 (95% CI: 0.31–0.56), 0.20 (95% CI: 0.10–0.78)] respectively, p < 0.05]. For the use of mental health services and/or antidepressant drug among the depressed group, 40–59 years old, AA, Hispanics, uninsured, foreign born were less likely to use mental health services and/or antidepressant drug relative to other groups [OR = 0.52 (95% CI: 0.38–0.72), 0.35 (95% CI: 0.28–0.43),