Abstract

**Introduction:** The Middle Cerebral Artery (MCA), from its old nomenclature "sylvian artery", is a terminal branch of the Internal Carotid Artery (ICA) of which it constitutes the main extension. It represents a fundamental branch of the brain vasculature. The objective of this work was to provide Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) morphometric data of the MCA to inform the interventions of neurovascular specialists and to contribute to the advancement of microcatheter and stent technology.

**Methodology:** Morphometry was studied on 40 Cerebral Hemispheres (CH) of 20 right-handed subjects aged 18 to 55 years. We used a Philips MRI from the Achieva range at 1.5 Tesla with the T2-SE and TOF sequences.

After identification, the MCA morphometry consisted of measuring the luminal diameter at the origins of the four segments of the MCA. These were the M1 (sphenoidal), M2 (insular or sylvian), M3 (opercular) and M4 (cortical) segments.

**Results:** The diameter is greater at the level of the M1 segment then it decreases along the path of the MCA. Within the same HC, the average luminal diameters at the origin of segments M1, M2 and M3 decrease significantly and successively from front to back (from M1 to M3). However, there is no significant difference between the average luminal diameters of M3 and M4.

The M1, M2 and M3 segments show no significant mean difference between the right cerebral hemisphere (RCH) and the Left Cerebral Hemisphere (LCH). Only the M4 segment of the MCA presents a difference in the averages of the luminal diameter between the two CHs. Also, for this same segment M4, the distribution of RCH means is significantly higher than that of LCH.

**Conclusion:** These results can help in choosing the appropriate size (diameter) of the microcatheters. Also, they make it possible to determine new diameters of microcatheters in the neurovascular system, some distal artery segments of which have been inaccessible until now.
**Introduction**

The Middle Cerebral Artery (MCA), from its old nomenclature “Sylvian artery”, is a terminal branch of the Internal Carotid Artery (ICA) of which it constitutes the main extension. Thus, it receives 60 to 80% of the carotid blood flow and represents a fundamental branch of encephalic vascularization [1,2,3].

The MCA originates at the terminal bifurcation of the ICA [4]. It is located on the lateral aspect of the ICA, behind the Anterior Cerebral Artery (ACA), above the anterior choroidal and posterior communicating arteries, and at the external angle of the optic chiasm.

The trunk of the ACM is in the extension of the carotid siphon thus favoring the referral of thrombi or emboli in this artery during an ischemic stroke. It will reach the Lateral Fossa of the Brain (LFB) which is formerly called the “Sylvian valley” [5]. During this path, the MCA will present four segments M1, M2, M3, and M4, [6,7,8] which constitute its main trunk.

Authors like Serrador et al. were interested in the study of variations in the luminal diameter of the MCA. They evaluated the impact of increased CO2 and sympathetic tone on the luminal diameter of the MCA [9].

Today, MCA is the subject of therapeutic innovation with mechanical endovascular thrombectomy and endovascular treatment of MCA aneurysms [10]. These 2 treatments partly require the use of microcatheters. The choice of the diameters of these depends on the luminal diameter of the obstructed artery or carrier of the aneurysm. Hence, the objective of providing morphometric data of the MCA by MRI in order to contribute to the progress of the technology of microcatheters and stents.

**Methodology**

**Subjects**

MCA morphometry was studied on 40 Cerebral Hemispheres (CH) of 20 right-handed subjects aged 18 to 55 years. These subjects were recruited consecutively following their registration for a scheduled brain MRI examination at the Diagnostic and Medical Imaging Center (DMIC) of the Fann National University Hospital Center (FNUHC).

**Materials**

We used a Philips MRI from the Achieva range at 1.5 Tesla. The reconstruction of the images, their processing and exploitation were possible thanks to the integration of the Philips DICOM Viewer R1.2 and MILLENSYS Viewer 10.6 software in two of the console computers.

**Method**

The study was carried out on two basic sequences of a standard image acquisition protocol defined by the radiologist. These two sequences consisted of:

The T2-weighted spin echo (T2-SE) sequence with a RT equal to 5950 ms and an ET equal to 110 ms. The flip angle was 90° and the slices were frontal with a thickness of 5 mm and;

The flight time (TOF) angiography gradient echo sequence, with a RT equal to 23 ms and an ET equal to 6.9 ms. The flip angle was 23° and the slices were transverse with a thickness of 1.6 mm.

The frontal slices were strictly perpendicular to the anterior white commissure–posterior white commissure (AC–PC) axis.

The morphometry of the MCA consisted, after identification, in measuring the luminal diameter at the origins of the four segments of the MCA. These were the M1 (sphenoidal), M2 (insular or sylvian), M3 (opercular) and M4 (cortical) segments.

**For origin identification:** The origins of M1, M2 and M3 were identified on frontal slices in T2-SE sequence and that of M4 on a transverse slice in TOF Head Coil sequence. According to the radio-anatomical reading of the images:

- The origin of M1 is located at the termination of the ICA. On a frontal slice passing through the M1 segment, it is well identified at the medial end of the ICA [Figure 1].

- The origin of M2 is located just after the knee of the MCA, at its exit from the falciform fold. The diameter is measured there at the initial portion of the segment and on the frontal slice. When M2 has two trunks, the measurement is performed on both trunks. The diameter of the largest trunk is reported, and this is the extension of the Main Trunk (MT) of the MCA [Figure 2].

- The origin of M3 is located on a frontal slice, at the level of the medial end of this portion of the MT of the MCA which crosses the Lateral Sulcus (LS) horizontally and following M2. It is close to the postero-superior angle of the insula. Specifically, it is at the level of the Posterosuperior Recess (PSR) of the LFB [Figure 3].

- The origin of M4 corresponds to the output of the MT of the MCA of the LS. On a frontal section, it is located at the posterior end of the lateral edge of the LS, thus extending M3 [Figure 4].

**Figure 1:** Measurement of the luminal diameter of the M1 segment of the left cerebral hemisphere (LCH) at its origin on a frontal section of the brain.
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For the measurement of the luminal diameter: All measurements were performed on frontal slices in T2-SE sequence. On these slices, the luminal diameter of the trunk to be measured corresponded to that of a circle in hyposignal and to the clear circumference if the direction of the MT tended towards the horizontal. Also, the luminal diameter could correspond to that of tubing in hyposignal and with sharp edges if the direction of the MT tended upwards [Figures 1-4].

**Data entry and processing**

We used Excel and Epi info software to analyze and then compare the data. More precisely, we carried out a comparison (two by two) of mean values of series of quantitative variables. Given the size of the sample (20 subjects with a total of 40 cerebral hemispheres), a non-parametric Wilcoxon test on unpaired data is used.

Also, we compared the mean luminal diameters of the MCA segments of each hemisphere to the luminal diameter values of the NSK subject (theoretical value). The NSK reference subject is defined in the first part of this work which focused on LFB [5]. She had in each cerebral hemisphere, the most typical morphological characteristics of the LFB among the 20 right-handed adults [5]. Given the size of the sample (20 subjects with a total of 40 cerebral hemispheres) and assuming that the distributions of the variables are normal in the population from which the sample is taken, the T test for comparing an observed mean to a theoretical mean is used.

**Ethical considerations**

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee.
trunk of the MCA found in the literature relate more to the M1 segment, after which comes the M4 segment. The M2 and M3 segments are often studied for their distribution. The result for the luminal diameter of the M1 segment is 2.6 mm on average. This number is identical to that reported by Maslehaty et al. in his study on the anatomical variations of the MCA [11]. Their results come from MRI data and angiographic computed tomography of subjects free of pathologies. The authors Serrador and Piepgras discuss an underestimation of their results estimated at 2.5 mm and 2.13 mm respectively, which they attribute to the methodology used in their work [12,9]. For example Piepgras et al. did not take into account in their study population atherosclerotic lesions which may be the cause of reduction in luminal diameter. Especially since more than a third of the sample were aged 65 or over. The results of Muller et al. obtained by angiography in 1991, show higher values than ours with 3.05 mm in women and 3.35 mm in men [9]. Also, the first reported dissection work showed large diameters of M1 of the order of 4 mm [1] and 3.9 mm. This overestimation could be explained by the extraluminal measurement of the diameter of M1 [1] and the perfusion of the MCA with latex before dissection [11]. All these data on the luminal diameter of M1 show that the rigorous selection criteria of patients and the choice of the type of imaging examination are decisive in the study of the luminal diameter of the cerebral arteries. Currently, standard angiography remains the gold standard for the luminal study of vessels and the calculation of catheter diameters. Nevertheless, angi-MRI is interesting because it is non-invasive, non-irradiating and requires no injection.

### Results

1. Mean luminal diameters (mm) at the origin of each segment of the Main Trunk (MT) of the MCA according to the Cerebral Hemisphere (CH) [Table 1].

2. Representation of the means of the luminal diameters according to the segment of the main trunk of the MCA of the 40 cerebral hemispheres.

   The diameter is greater at the level of the M1 segment then it decreases along the path of the MCA [Figure 5].

3. Comparison of the means of the luminal diameters between the four segments of the MCA of the same hemisphere.

   Within the same hemisphere, the average luminal diameters at the origin of segments M1, M2 and M3 decrease significantly and successively from front to back (from M1 to M3). There is no significant difference between the mean luminal diameters of M3 and M4 [Tables 2,3].

4. Comparison of the means of the luminal diameters of segments between cerebral hemispheres.

   The M1, M2 and M3 segments show no significant mean difference between RCH and LCH. Only the M4 segment of the MCA presents a difference in the averages of the luminal diameter between the two hemispheres. Also, for this same segment, the distribution of RCH means is significantly higher than that of LCH [Table 4].

5. Comparison of the means of the luminal diameter of the segments of the MCA of each CH with the values of the luminal diameter of the NSK subject (theoretical value).

### Discussion

#### ACM Morphometry

The data for measuring the luminal diameter of the main

| Table 1: Mean luminal diameters (mm) at the origin of each segment of the Main Trunk (MT) of the MCA according to the cerebral hemisphere (CH). |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Segment M1**                  | **Segment M2**                  |
| CH                              | CH                              |
| Mean                            | Mean                            |
| Median                          | Median                          |
| R_C_H                           | R_C_H                           |
| 2.6                             | 2.1                             |
| 2.7                             | 2.3                             |
| L_C_H                           | L_C_H                           |
| 2.6                             | 2.2                             |
| 2.7                             | 2.1                             |
| Total                           | Total                           |
| 2.6                             | 2.2                             |
| 2.7                             | 2.2                             |
| **Segment M3**                  | **Segment M4**                  |
| CH                              | CH                              |
| Mean                            | Mean                            |
| Median                          | Median                          |
| R_C_H                           | R_C_H                           |
| 1.4                             | 1.3                             |
| 1.4                             | 1.3                             |
| L_C_H                           | L_C_H                           |
| 1.5                             | 1.4                             |
| 1.4                             | 1.2                             |
| Total                           | Total                           |
| 1.4                             | 1.4                             |
| 1.4                             | 1.2                             |
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The MCA has hemispherical symmetry with a luminal

diameter of M1 to M3. These results are like those of Pieper

ges who found no significant difference between the M1 segments

two CH [12]. The asymmetry found at the level of M4

could be linked to the variability of its functional importance

in addition to the angular gyrus which it irrigates, it

can supply the posterior parietal and temporal arteries [1].

The significant differences between the mean luminal
diameters of the sample and those of the NSK reference subject
could be due to inter-individual variations.

Methodological limit

Our sample size is limited to 40 cerebral hemispheres from

20 subjects due to lack of study funding. The realization of the

MRI is not free, and it is expensive for our populations.

Given the great variability that exists in the cerebrovascular

system, further study with a larger sample would improve this

work.

Conclusion

The luminal diameter data obtained can contribute to

advances in microcatheter and stent technology. Smaller stent
diameters may help increase success rates for recanalization of
distal segments of the MCA.
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|---------------|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
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Table 3: Comparison of the means of the luminal diameters between the four segments of the MCA of the left cerebral hemisphere (LCH).

| Wilcoxon test | Z    | Outcome of the bilateral test | Outcome of the unilateral test |
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Table 5: Comparison of the means of the luminal diameter of the segments of the MCA of each CH with the values of the luminal diameter of the NSK subject (theoretical value).
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|-------------------------------------|
| RCH | LCH |
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|---------|----|----|----|----|
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| M2      | R1 | R2 | R1 | R2 |
| M3      | R1 | R2 | R1 | R2 |
| M4      | NR1 | NR2 | R1 | R2 |

R1. Rejection of H0: There is a significant difference between the average observed on the sample and the theoretical average.

NR1. No Rejection of H0: The average observed on the sample is not significantly different from the theoretical average.

R2. Rejection of H0: The average observed on the sample is significantly higher than the theoretical average.

NR2. No Rejection of H0: The average observed on the sample is not significantly higher than the theoretical average.
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