Supramolecular self-assembly of novel thermoresponsive double-hydrophilic and hydrophobic Y-shaped [MPEO-b-PEtOx-b-(PCL)$_2$] terpolymers
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Nonlinear amphiphilic block copolymer architectures with precisely controlled structures bring new challenges to biomedical materials research. The paper describes the straightforward synthesis of new "snake tongue" Y-shaped terpolymers containing poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO), poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline) (PEtOx) and poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) blocks into structure [AB(C)$_2$]x,4d (herein referred to as [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-44}$. [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-87}$. [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-133}$. A series of well-defined Y-shaped terpolymers were successfully synthesised by a combination of living cationic and anionic ring-opening polymerization (ROP). The selected Y-shaped [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-44}$. terpolymer self-assembly was characterised in detail by static and dynamic light scattering, nanoparticle tracking analysis and cryo-transmission electron microscopy. The physico-chemical properties as well as the molecular architecture effect on the self-assembled structures and on the LCST were compared with the Y-shaped [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-85}$. and the [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-133}$. terpolymers. The results indicated a temperature-induced aggregation with an LCST between 60–63 °C for the [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-44}$. at 60 °C for the [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-87}$. and between 45–50 °C for the [MPEO$_{44-}$b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b-$(PCL)_{2-133}$. with significant differences in the supramolecular self-assembly behaviour compared with the analogous linear structure, clearly indicating the crucial effect of the molecular architecture. Furthermore, the increase of the molecular weight fraction of the hydrophobic block on the Y-shaped triblock terpolymers likely induced a decrease of the LCST.

Introduction

The key feature of successful and versatile polymer materials is the possibility to precisely control the polymer architecture and chemical functionality. Living polymerisation enables the preparation of such polymers with a broad variety of molecular architectures, compositions, side- and end-group functions, as well as the facile preparation of block copolymers with linear and nonlinear architectures.1–7 Star-shaped copolymers are a unique and simple class of macromolecules with a complex architecture, and they constitute a topical area of research due to their intriguing properties, which can be tailored by varying their polymeric chains (arms).

Star-shaped block copolymers consisting of at least three linear polymeric arms with a radial arrangement around a central molecular fragment (core)8–9 are usually prepared by the “arm-first” or “core-first” methods. The “arm-first” approach involves the construction of polymer arms on a macrominitiator that contains a precise number of reactive sites.10–11 The “core-first” approach utilises multifunctional low-molecular-weight initiators, allowing for the synthesis of block copolymer chains.12 A method based on difunctional monomers is mentioned in the literature as a third approach for the synthesis of star-shaped copolymers.6 However, this method does not allow for strict control of the number of arms.

It is well known that amphiphilic star-shaped copolymers can easily self-assemble in aqueous media to form nanosized unimolecular micelles containing hydrophobic cores surrounded by hydrophilic shells.13,14 These micellar systems are of great interest for medical uses such as the construction of micellar drug delivery systems.15–19 Significant differences in the physico-chemical properties of star-shaped copolymers compared with their linear analogues can be observed, such as smaller hydrodynamic volume and, radius of gyration and low melt and solution viscosities, which are beneficial to drug loading and delivery.20–24 It has been shown that linear amphiphilic copolymers have limited applications in drug delivery because they suffer from an initial burst release effect. Especially in systems with non-covalently incorporated drugs, the micellar stability and drug release are difficult to control.25,26 Therefore, various star-shaped copolymers with varying arm numbers and chemical compositions have received considerable attention because of the unique properties and advantages that they possess.27–29
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Y-shaped copolymers (typically referred to as star copolymers) are another interesting vehicle for drug delivery because they exhibit a distinct micellization behavior (special stability) compared with the amphiphilic copolymers with a linear architecture. Furthermore, star copolymers bearing distinct polymeric arms have shown dynamic morphological changes (e.g., micelle-to-unimer transition under certain conditions) because the constituting polymeric components could be designed to be individually responsive to external stimuli such as pH, temperature and solvent. Moreover, a particular subject of even greater interest is the study of biocompatible thero-responsive self-assembled polymer micelles of amphiphilic, double-hydrophilic and hydrophobic species of star copolymers. It should be noted that the number of such studies is quite limited, and more work is greatly needed to understand the particular characteristics involved in the micellar behavior of such polymer systems.

In this paper, we describe the synthesis and the study of the self-assembly properties of new “snake tongue” Y-shaped terpolymers based on poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO), poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline) (PEtOx) and poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) with the general architecture [PEO-b-PEtOx-b-(PCL)] (Scheme 1). The newly synthesized Y-shaped terpolymers combine environmentally friendly blocks with possible applications in biomedicine. PEtOx was chosen because it exhibits similar chemical and biological properties to PEO; however, both polymers are water-soluble and non-toxic. PEO and PEtOx can be eliminated from the human body if they possess a low enough molar mass. Furthermore, PEtOx in an aqueous solution exhibits a lower critical solution temperature (LCST). The LCST of PEtOx is ~61–66.5 °C and strongly depends on the polymer molecular weight (20–500 kDa) and polymer concentration. PCL is a hydrophobic, nontoxic, biocompatible and fully biodegradable aliphatic polyester. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that these three blocks were combined in a nonlinear architecture using the “arm-first” method and their supramolecular self-assembly behavior was compared with analogous blocks of linear architecture and identical weight ratios and molecular weights.

Experimental

Materials

The chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Ltd (Prague, Czech Republic). Poly(ethylene oxide monomethyl ether) (MPEO) was used with number-average molecular weight 

1H NMR, δ (TMS, ppm): 2.45 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.38 (s, 3H, –OCH3), 3.65 (m, 4H, –OCH2CH2−), 4.16 (t, 2H, –CH2O(SO2)), 7.36–7.33 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.82–7.8 (d, 2H, ArH), Mw(NMR) = 2200 g mol−1.
Typically, 0.5 g (0.162 mmol) of \([\text{MPEO}-\text{t}-(\text{PCL})_2]\) diblock copolymer ((Stage 3) Scheme 1) was reacted with an excess of tosyl chloride \((0.20 \text{ mmol})\) to introduce a 50 mL glass reactor with a magnetic bar. The macroinitiator was dissolved in dry toluene (15 mL), the solvent was evaporated and the azetropic drying procedure was twice more repeated. Dry ACN (20 mL) was transferred to the glass reactor using a flame-dried and argon-flushed glass syringe equipped with a metallic cannula. The solution was heated to 80 °C before to inject rapidly, through a septum, 5 mL (49.5 mmol) of 2-ethyl-2-oxazoline, and the polymerisation was continued for five days. To terminate the polymerisation, diethanolamine \((0.025 \text{ mL}, 0.26 \text{ mmol, 1.3 eq.})\) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for two more hours at 80 °C. Then, the crude product was precipitated in cooled diethyl ether, filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum overnight at 40 °C. Yield: 4.85 g, (93%).

Synthesis of \([\text{MPEO-}\omega-\text{PEtOx(OH)}_2] \text{ diblock copolymer ((Stage 3) Scheme 1)}\)

Preparation of the nanoparticles (NPs) solutions

To prepare the Y-shaped terpolymer NPs solutions, 5 mg of polymer was dissolved in 1.25 mL of acetone \((40 °C)\), and the polymer solution was added drop wise to 2.5 mL of pure water under magnetic stirring. The acetone was further removed by evaporation under reduced pressure and the solution was concentrated to 1.25 mL. For the scattering measurements the NPs samples were diluted with phosphate buffer saline \(\text{pH 7.4 (PBS)}\) to the final concentrations of 2.0, 1.5, 1.0, and 0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\). All samples were filtered using a Millipore 0.45 μm filter (Millipore®, Czech Republic) before the scattering measurements.

characterisation techniques

The characterisation techniques such as proton nuclear magnetic resonance (\(^1\text{H NMR})\), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), size exclusion chromatography (SEC), dynamic (DLS) and static (SLS) light scattering, nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA), cryo-transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) are described in detail in the ESI Section.†

Results and discussion

Synthesis of the \(\alpha\)-methoxy-\(\omega\)-tosyl-poly(ethylene oxide) macroinitiator ((Stage 2) Scheme 1)

MPEO end-capped with a tosyl group was prepared as a macroinitiator by esterification reaction. For this purpose, the \(\omega\)-hydroxyl end-group of commercially available MPEO \((M_n(\text{NMR}) \approx 1800 \text{ g mol}^{-1})\) was reacted with an excess of tosyl chloride using \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) as a solvent and TEA as a base (compound 2, in Scheme 1). The macroinitiator was fully characterised by \(^1\text{H NMR}, \text{FT-IR spectroscopy and SEC analysis, which are described in detail in our previous report.}^{31}\)

Synthesis of the \([\text{MPEO-}\omega-\text{PEtOx(OH)}_2] \text{ diblock copolymer ((Stage 3) Scheme 1)}\)

The living cationic ring-opening polymerisation (CROP) of 2-oxazolines \((\text{Ox})_s\) (cyclic amino ether) was first reported in 1966 by four independent research groups.\(^{52-55}\) The CROP of 2-oxazolines can proceed in a “living” manner under appropriate conditions, meaning that neither undesired termination nor chain transfer occurs during the polymerisation. Depending on the nature of the monomer and initiator used, the CROP of \((\text{Ox})_s\) can be ionic or covalent.\(^{56}\) The living CROP of 2-oxazolines is a versatile method for the preparation of well-defined poly(2-oxazolines) \((\text{POx})_s\), whereby both the initiation and termination steps provide the possibility of introducing a variety of functional groups. In the case of polymerisation of 2-ethyl-2-oxazoline \((\text{EtOx})\) using sulphphonates as electrophilic initiators, the CROP proceeds via ionic species.\(^{57-59}\) Termination of the CROP of \((\text{Ox})_s\) can be achieved by nucleophilic attack on the 5-position of the oxazolium species, which is the thermodynamically controlled and mostly favoured end-capping reaction. The most widely used nucleophilic terminating agents are aqueous or methanolic sodium hydroxide solutions\(^{60,61}\) and carboxylic acid salts. Additionally, termination can occur by the \(\text{in situ}\) formation of carboxylic acids salts from the acid and 2,6-dimethylpyridine\(^6^{60,61}\) and amines.\(^{61}\)

Here, a \([\text{MPEO-}\omega-\text{PEtOx(OH)}_2]\) double-hydrophilic block copolymer was synthesised by CROP of EtOx using \(\omega\)-tosyl-MPEO as a macroinitiator and subsequent \(\text{in situ}\) end-capping by diethanolamine of the living oxazolium species converted into \(\omega,\omega\)-dihydroxy groups, \((\text{compound 3, in Scheme 1)}\). The double-hydrophilic block copolymer was obtained with a MPEO molecular weight of approximately 2200 g mol\(^{-1}\) and a PEtOx block molecular weight of approximately 23 550 g mol\(^{-1}\). After purification, the structure of the double-hydrophilic block copolymer was confirmed by \(^1\text{H NMR and FT-IR spectroscopy. The } M_n \text{ of } [\text{MPEO-}\omega-\text{PEtOx(OH)}_2] \text{ was determined by } \text{H NMR spectroscopy and SEC. The } \text{H NMR spectrum of the diblock copolymer (Fig. 1) showed the characteristic signals of the protons belonging to the ethylene oxide (EO) and EtOx repeat units. The methylene protons of the EO repeat units marked with } \text{b were observed at } \delta = 3.64 \text{ ppm. The spectrum also showed a broad singlet signal observed at } \delta = 3.46 \text{ ppm and labelled as } \text{d that corresponded to the chemical shifts of the protons in } \text{N-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2 \text{ from the EtOx repeat units. Furthermore, the spectrum detected signals corresponding to the pendant group of the main}^{61,62,64,65,66}\)
The polymer chain of PEtOx at $\delta = 2.40$ ppm (c) that was attributed to the methylene protons of N-C(O)-CH$_2$-CH$_3$ and another labelled as f at $\delta = 1.12$ ppm that was assigned to the methyl group of N-C(O)-CH$_2$-CH$_3$. The $^1$H NMR spectrum also showed a signal identified as c at $\delta = 3.70$ from the last monomer unit of PEO that was connected to the PEtOx polymer chain. A triplet signal at $\delta = 2.84$ ppm, which corresponded to the four protons from the N-CH$_2$-CH$_2$-HO end-capped group (g and g') that formed after termination by diethanolamine, was observed. Nevertheless, the signals of the four -CH$_2$-OH (h and h') hydrogen nuclei were not detectable because they were hidden by the signal of the CH$_2$O units of the PEO repeat unit at $\delta = 3.46$ ppm. Furthermore, the singlet signal at $\delta = 3.39$ ppm attributed to CH$_3$-O- was not detected in the spectrum because it was hidden by the peak of the N-CH$_2$-CH$_2$- units of the PEtOx repeat unit at $\delta = 3.46$ ppm.

Based on the molecular weight of the initiating ω-tosyl-MPEO macroinitiator, the number-average molecular weight $M_{n(NMR)}$ of the diblock copolymer was calculated by eqn (1).

$$M_{n(NMR)}[MPEO-b-PEtOx(OH)$_2$] = [(I_d/4)/I_b/4]\times DP_{MPEO} \times 99 + M_{u(NMR)} \text{ (macroinitiator)}$$

(1)

where $I_d$ and $I_b$ represent the integral values of the signals at $\delta = 3.46$ ppm (N-CH$_2$-CH$_2$- units of the PEtOx repeat unit) and at $\delta = 3.64$ ppm (O-CH$_2$-CH$_2$- units of the PEO repeat unit), respectively. The value 99 is the molecular weight of the EtOx unit, DP$_{PEO}$ is the degree of polymerisation of the macroinitiator and $M_{u(NMR)}$ is the molecular weight of the macroinitiator. The experimental degree of EtOx polymerisation agreed well with the theoretical value (1, Table 1).

The structure of the obtained diblock copolymer was also confirmed by FT-IR spectroscopy (Fig. 2). The spectrum showed the absorption peaks characteristic of both components (MPEO and PEtOx): at 1625 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the amide bond (C=O stretching) of the 2-ethyl-2-oxazoline repeat units of the PEtOx block; at 1214 cm$^{-1}$ (δ CH from the CH$_2$ of POx); at 1197 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to the -ether bond (C–O–C stretching) of the EO repeat units of the PEO backbone; at 1051 cm$^{-1}$ (C–N stretching from POx); and at 2976 and 2885 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the C–H vibrations typical for both monomer units. The presence of hydroxyl groups at the ω and ω' positions in the double-hydrophilic block copolymer structure was proven by the appearance of a broad and intense absorption band with a maximum at 3442 cm$^{-1}$. Furthermore, a low-intensity absorption band at 3739 cm$^{-1}$ indicating the existence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyl groups, was observed.

The SEC analysis of the [MPEO-b-PEtOx(OH)$_2$] diblock copolymer (bold line, Fig. 3) (1, Table 1) showed a monomodal and narrow molecular weight distribution. The main molecular characteristics of the double-hydrophilic block copolymer are listed in Table 1. The obtained data reported in Table 1 confirmed that the polymerisation was controlled with a low polydispersity index and an experimental molecular weight dictated by the monomer-to-initiator ratio and monomer conversion.

### Table 1: Macromolecular characteristics of double-hydrophilic block copolymer and Y-shaped [MPEO-b-PEtOx-OH] terpolymers

| No | Sample | $M_n^a$, (theor.) | $M_n^b$, (NMR) | $M_n^c$, (SEC) | $M_n/w/M_n^d$ | Weight fraction | Weight fraction | Weight fraction |
|----|--------|------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1  | [MPEO$_{42}$-b-PEtOx$_{252}$-(OH)$_2$] | 27 200 | 25 750 | 30 400 | 1.24 | 0.052 | 0.68 | 0.27 |
| 2  | [MPEO$_{42}$-b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b{PCL$_{44}$}] | 37 200 | 39 200 | 40 500 | 1.38 | 0.04 | 0.53 | 0.42 |
| 3  | [MPEO$_{42}$-b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b{PCL$_{32}$}] | 47 200 | 48 600 | 50 700 | 1.39 | 0.034 | 0.44 | 0.53 |
| 4  | [MPEO$_{42}$-b-PEtOx$_{252}$-b{PCL$_{131}$}] | 57 200 | 59 200 | 63 800 | 1.33 | 0.034 | 0.44 | 0.53 |

$^a$ $M_n = [M_n\times I_b/4] \times 99 + M_{u(NMR)}$ [macroinitiator] and $M_n = [M_n\times I_b/4] \times 114 + M_n$ (double-hydrophilic block copolymer). $^b$ $M_n$ was calculated by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy according to eqn (1) and (2). $^c$ $M_n$ values relative to linear PS standards. $^d$ $M_n/M_n^a$ values relative to linear PS standards.
Synthesis of the Y-shaped \([\text{MPEO}-\text{b-PEtOx}\text{-b-(PCL)}]_2\) terpolymer ((Stage 4) Scheme 1)

In the last step, the double-hydrophilic block copolymer was used as an efficient macroinitiator for the ROP of \(\varepsilon\)-CL in the presence of Sn(Oct)\(_2\) as a catalyst (compound 4, Scheme 1). Different ratios of \(\varepsilon\)-CL/macroinitiator were used to obtain Y-shaped terpolymers with different PCL block molecular weights, whereas the molecular weight of the double-hydrophilic block copolymer \((M_n \sim 25,750 \text{ g mol}^{-1})\) was constant. The composition, structure and molecular weight of the obtained Y-shaped terpolymers were characterised by \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectroscopy and SEC analysis. The \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of the Y-shaped \([\text{MPEO}-\text{b-PETOX-}\text{b-(PCL)}]_2\) terpolymer (Fig. 4) showed signals typical of PEO, PEtOx and PCL chains. The \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum showed two singlet signals corresponding to the methylene protons of both the EO and EtOx repeat units at \(\delta = 3.63\) and \(\delta = 3.44\) ppm marked as b and c, respectively. The characteristic methylene protons of PCL appeared at \(\delta = 4.04\) (l) \(-\text{CH}_2\text{-OH}\), \(\delta = 1.63\) (i+k) \(-\text{C}(\text{O})\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_3\), and \(\delta = 1.36\) (j) \(-\text{C}(\text{O})\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_3\) ppm. The signals of the other protons of the PCL block ((h) \(-\text{C}(\text{O})\text{-CH}_2\) at \(\delta = 2.29\) ppm) and the methylene protons of \(-\text{N-C}(\text{O})\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_3\) labelled as d at \(\delta = 2.39\) ppm corresponding to the pendant group of the PEtOx block overlapped each other. Furthermore, a broad signal in the spectrum was observed and labelled as e at \(\delta = 1.10\) ppm, which was assigned to the methyl group \(-\text{N-C}(\text{O})\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_3\) also from the side group of the PETOX polymer chain. In addition to the characteristic signals of the Y-shaped terpolymer, methylene protons at \(\delta = 2.75\) (f) ppm from \(-\text{CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-OC(O)}\) and at \(\delta = 4.18\) (g) ppm from \(-\text{N-C}(\text{O})\text{-CH}_2\text{-OC(O)}\) were observed. On the assumption that each macro-molecule contained one MPEO, one PEtOx, and one PCL block, the number-average molecular weight of the Y-shaped \([\text{AB(C)}]_2\) terpolymers should fit eqn (2).

Self-assembly of the Y-shaped \([\text{MPEO}_{44}-\text{b-PETOX}_{252-}\text{-b-(PCL)}]_{2\times44}\) terpolymer at 25 °C

The Y-shaped \([\text{MPEO}_{44}-\text{b-PETOX}_{252-}\text{-b-(PCL)}]_{2\times44}\) terpolymer was selected (vide Experimental part) to prepare nanoparticle solutions in PBS with a p\(H\) of 7.4 (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\)). Fig. 5a shows the distribution curves of the hydrodynamic radii.
The diameter distribution (2\(R_H\)) of the nanoparticles measured at a scattering angle of 90°. The diameter distribution (2\(R_H\)) and relative particle size intensity by NTA are displayed in Fig. 5b and c. The nanoparticles showed a mean particle diameter of 132 nm, and the values of \(d(0.1)\), \(d(0.5)\), and \(d(0.9)\) were 81, 134 and 176 nm, respectively.

The DLS data showed a single population of nanoparticles for each of the four solution concentrations. The size distributions of the particles were narrow, as indicated by NTA that displayed a span value of 0.7 (eqn (4) see ESI†). The \(R_H\) values (Table 2) were calculated using eqn (1) (see ESI†) through the diffusion coefficient values obtained from the slope of the linear fits of the relaxation rate dependence on \(q^2\) (Fig. S1†). The \(R_G\) parameter was calculated from the linear fit of the angular dependence of \(K_c/R_\theta\) based on the data in Fig. 6.

The diffusion coefficient (\(D\)) and the \(R_G/R_H\) ratios were similar (Table 2) for the different concentrations (0.5 to 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\)). At 25 °C, the \(D\) values were constant regardless of the angle of observation, which was in agreement with the scattering contribution of spherical structures.\(^4,6\) The \(R_G/R_H\) ratio, a sensitive structural parameter of the particles in solution,\(^6,66\) agreed with a concept of spherical micellar shape in the nanoparticles at solution concentrations of (\(\equiv\) 0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), (\(\equiv\) 1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\), (\(\equiv\) 1.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), and (\(\equiv\) 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\).

Table 2 Values of the diffusion coefficient, \(R_H\), and \(R_G\), of the Y-shaped [MPEO\(_{44}\)-b-PEtO\(_{252}\)-b-(PCL)\(_{2\times44}\)] NPs in PBS solutions (pH 7.4) at concentrations of (\(\equiv\) 0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), (\(\equiv\) 1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\), (\(\equiv\) 1.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), and (\(\equiv\) 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\).

| Concentration (mg mL\(^{-1}\)) | \(D\) (\(\times 10^{-9}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\))\(^a\) | \(R_H\) (nm) | \(R_G\) (nm) | \(R_G/R_H\) |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| 2.0                          | 3.56           | 70         | 68         | 0.97        |
| 1.5                          | 3.87           | 64         | 62         | 0.96        |
| 1.0                          | 3.82           | 65         | 59         | 0.90        |
| 0.5                          | 3.96           | 63         | 59         | 0.93        |

\(^a\) The \(D\) values obtained from the slope of the linear fits of the relaxation rate dependence on \(q^2\) (10\(^{-10}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), Fig. S1).

\(R_H\) and \(R_G\) values were constant regardless of the solution concentrations. The size distributions of the particles were narrow, as indicated by NTA that displayed a span value of 0.7 (eqn (4) see ESI†). The \(R_H\) values (Table 2) were calculated using eqn (1) (see ESI†) through the diffusion coefficient values obtained from the slope of the linear fits of the relaxation rate dependence on \(q^2\) (Fig. S1†). The \(R_G\) parameter was calculated from the linear fit of the angular dependence of \(K_c/R_\theta\) based on the data in Fig. 6.

The diffusion coefficient (\(D\)) and the \(R_G/R_H\) ratios were similar (Table 2) for the different concentrations (0.5 to 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\)). At 25 °C, the \(D\) values were constant regardless of the angle of observation, which was in agreement with the scattering contribution of spherical structures.\(^4,6\) The \(R_G/R_H\) ratio, a sensitive structural parameter of the particles in solution,\(^6,66\) agreed with a concept of spherical micellar shape in the nanoparticles at solution concentrations of (\(\equiv\) 0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), (\(\equiv\) 1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\), (\(\equiv\) 1.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), and (\(\equiv\) 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\).

Table 3 Physico-chemical parameters of Y-shaped [MPEO\(_{44}\)-b-PEtO\(_{252}\)-b-(PCL)\(_{2\times44}\)] NPs solutions

| Temperature (°C) | \(R_H\) (nm) \(^a\) | \(R_G\) (nm) \(^a\) | \(R_G/R_H\) | \(M_w\) (g mol\(^{-1}\)) \(^a\) | \(\rho\) (g mL\(^{-1}\)) \(^b\) | \(N_{agg}\) \(^c\) |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 5               | 73             | 71             | 0.97     | 1.55           | 0.016          | 386            |
| 15              | 73             | 71             | 0.97     | 1.56           | 0.016          | 389            |
| 25              | 70             | 68             | 0.97     | 1.53           | 0.018          | 382            |
| 40              | 67             | 62             | 0.92     | 1.40           | 0.019          | 349            |
| 45              | 67             | 62             | 0.92     | 1.64           | 0.022          | 410            |
| 50              | 69             | 67             | 0.97     | 1.85           | 0.022          | 462            |
| 55              | 72             | 81             | 1.12     | 2.11           | 0.022          | 527            |
| 60              | 72             | 80             | 1.11     | 4.72           | 0.050          | 1177           |
| 62              | 82             | 134            | 1.63     | 8.73           | 0.063          | 2179           |

\(^a\) All the SLS data were obtained from the data in Fig. S6 and 7. \(^b\) NP density (\(\rho\)) was calculated by eqn (3) see ESI. \(^c\) The aggregation number (\(N_{agg}\)) was calculated by \(N_{agg} = M_w/(\rho \times M_w)(\text{ammonium})\).
temperature. In our previous results, a linear triblock terpolymer (MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇) presented similar values. Therefore, the resulting assemblies in aqueous media are expected to be related to diffuse core-shell-like or soft ball structures since the hydrophilic (MPEO-b-PEtO diblock copolymer) corona is much longer than the hydrophobic PCL core. In comparison to the size of the particle shell a small and diffuse core might be expected. Such particles are characterised by high amounts of water entrapped inside the assemblies, lower densities and Rg/Rh values similar to those obtained in our experiments (Rg/Rh ~ 1.0).³⁵,⁶⁷-⁷¹

Self-assembly behaviour at different temperatures

To study the effect of the temperature on the different parameters of [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] in solution (PBS 7.4), dynamic (Fig. S₃-5†) and static (Fig. S₆ and 7†) light scattering measurements were performed at concentrations from 0.5 to 2.0 mg mL⁻¹. The Y-shaped [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] and [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] terpolymers were studied at the concentration of 2 mg mL⁻¹ to compare the physico-chemical properties of the polymer as well as the molecular architecture effect on the self-assembly and on the LCST. Fig. S₈† shows the distribution of the hydrodynamic radii for the three synthesised terpolymers NPs in PBS solutions (pH 7.4) at concentrations of 2.0 mg mL⁻¹. Tables 3-5 show respectively the physico-chemical parameters of the [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇], [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] and [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] NP solutions obtained from light scattering measurements. The Rh values (Tables 3-5, Fig. S₈†) were calculated from eqn (1) and the diffusion coefficients values were obtained from the slope of the linear fits of the relaxation rate dependence on q² (Fig. S₈). For the [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] the DLS data (Fig. S₃ and 4†) showed only one population in all of the concentrations up to 55 °C. The zeta potential values for the nanoparticle solutions (2 mg mL⁻¹) varied from -2 to -6 mV (5-70 °C) showing that the values did not change as a function of the temperature. The Rg/Rh ratio values (0.92-0.97) indicated the presence of structures corresponding to spherical nanoparticles at temperatures up to 50 °C. In addition, nearly constant molecular weight values (1.53-1.85 x 10⁴ g mol⁻¹) and aggregation numbers (349-462) suggested that no particle aggregation was observed in this temperature range (5 to 50 °C). For the [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] (Table 4) the DLS data showed only one scattering population for all the temperatures up to 60 °C (data not shown). The zeta potential values for the nanoparticle solutions varied from -4 to -8 mV (5-60 °C) and as for the [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] did not change as a function of the temperature. According to the Rg/Rh ratio values (0.86-0.97) the presence of structures corresponding to spherical nanoparticles at temperatures up to 50 °C are expected. In addition, nearly constant molecular weight values (0.60-0.74 x 10⁷ g mol⁻¹) and aggregation numbers (118-132) suggested that no particle aggregation was observed in this temperature range (5 to 50 °C). For the last synthesised [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] terpolymer (Table 5) the DLS data showed only one population in all of the concentrations up to 40 °C. The zeta potential values for the nanotriplex solutions varied from -2 to -10 mV (0-60 °C). For these terpolymers the Rh values (0.97-1.06) indicated the presence of structures corresponding to spherical nanoparticles at temperatures up to 40 °C. The molecular weight values (0.13-0.21 x 10⁷ g mol⁻¹) and aggregation numbers (20-33) suggested no particle aggregation in the temperature range of 5 to 40 °C.

At temperatures below the LCST, hydrogen bonds between the polymer carbonyl group and the water hydrogens were abundant, and the NPs were swollen by water. The density values confirmed this swollen state, which was also previously verified for linear MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-PCL₈₇ NPs.³⁴

When the temperature approached 55 °C for [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] and [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] as well as 40 °C for [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇], a slight increase in the values of the Rg/Rh, molecular weight and aggregation number were observed for the nanoparticles (Tables 3-5). The temperature dependence behaviour observed for the 3 terpolymer NPs was related to the thermodynamic effects of the LCST on the PEtO block.³⁶ This result was observed because with the increase in temperature, the hydrogen bonds between the carbonyl (C=O) group of the PEtO block and the water hydrogens were weakened.³⁷ The weakening of the hydrogen bonds favoured the expulsion of the water entrapped inside of the particles. Therefore, with an increase in the temperature, an increase in the particle interaction was expected due to the increase in its hydrophobicity. According the results, the onset of NP aggregation driven by PEtO dehydration started to be observed at ~55 °C by DLS/SLS measurements for the [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] at ~50-55 for the [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇] and at ~40 °C for the [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇]. Although the increase observed for the NP molecular weight and aggregation number starting from ~55 °C, [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇], ~50-55, [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇], and at 40 °C, [MPEO₄₄-b-PEtOₓ₂₅₂-b-(PCL)₂₈₇], were strong indicators of NP

| Temperature (°C) | Rg (nm) | Rh (nm) | Rg/Rh | Molecular Weight (g mol⁻¹) | Density (g mL⁻¹) | Aggregation Number |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 5                | 33      | 32      | 0.97  | 0.67                        | 0.073            | 132               |
| 15               | 37      | 32      | 0.86  | 0.72                        | 0.061            | 142               |
| 25               | 37      | 34      | 0.92  | 0.61                        | 0.048            | 120               |
| 30               | 37      | 32      | 0.86  | 0.60                        | 0.047            | 118               |
| 35               | 37      | 36      | 0.97  | 0.63                        | 0.050            | 124               |
| 50               | 38      | 35      | 0.92  | 0.65                        | 0.047            | 128               |
| 55               | 38      | 41      | 1.08  | 0.78                        | 0.052            | 154               |
| 60               | 45      | 48      | 1.07  | 1.15                        | 0.051            | 227               |

All the SLS data were obtained from the data in Fig. S6 and 7. Density (ρ) was calculated from eqn (3) see ESI. The aggregation number (Nag) was calculated by Nag = Mw(N)/Mw(unimer). Sample precipitation.
aggregation, the $R_c/R_H$ ratio values also provided valuable information related to this process.\(^4\) Commonly, in the aggregated state, the increase in the $R_G$ of the NPs is more pronounced in comparison with $R_H$; thus, an increase in the $R_c/R_H$ ratio is observed. According to previous observations, this behaviour is related to a shift in the position of the scattering centres between the non-aggregated and aggregated NPs.\(^5\) In the non-aggregated NPs, the polymer density decreases from the centre to the shell, whereas in the aggregated NPs, the density is also relatively high in the periphery. The scattering centres of the aggregated particles are composed of a collection of hydrophobic collapsed particles, whereas they are water swollen in the non-aggregated particles.

Therefore, higher $R_c/R_H$ ratios would be expected as the aggregation proceeds with the temperature increase. At 60 °C ([MPEO\(_{44}\)-b-PEtO\(_{252}\)-b-(PCL)\(_{2\times131}\)]) and for nanoparticle concentrations of 0.5 and 1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\), two peaks were observed in the distribution of the hydrodynamic radii (Fig. S4 c,t). The scattering intensity related to the largest peak was approximately 450 nm for both concentrations, indicating a collapsed system at 60 °C. At 61 °C, for the nanoparticle concentration of 1.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), the presence of a scattering intensity peak corresponding to a size larger than 100 nm (~429 nm; aggregates) (Fig. S4 d,t) was observed. For the nanoparticle concentration of 2 mg mL\(^{-1}\), the collapse was observed at 63 °C (Fig. S5 t). Another evidence of the onset of aggregation and nanoparticle collapse was the increase in the diffusion coefficient with the increase on the temperature up to 60 °C (1.95–7.48 × 10\(^{-8}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\); Fig. S2 t). However, at 62 °C a decrease (6.67 × 10\(^{-8}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) in the diffusion coefficient indicated the increase in the $R_H$ and nanoparticles aggregation. The scattering patterns for the [MPEO\(_{44}\)-b-PEtO\(_{252}\)-b-(PCL)\(_{2\times87}\)] and the [MPEO\(_{44}\)-b-PEtO\(_{252}\)-b-(PCL)\(_{2\times131}\)] follow similar trends with the largest peak (or sample precipitation) indicating a collapsed system at 60–62 °C for [MPEO\(_{44}\)-b-PEtO\(_{252}\)-b-(PCL)\(_{2\times87}\)] and at 45–50 °C for [MPEO\(_{44}\)-b-PEtO\(_{252}\)-b-(PCL)\(_{2\times131}\)] (Fig. S9 t). Taking into account the aforementioned results, we may infer that the LCST for the Y-shaped [MPEO\(_{44}\)-b-PEtO\(_{252}\)-b-
(PCL)$_{2}$) nanoparticle solutions was between 60-63 °C, for the [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] solutions was around 60 °C and for the [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] was between 45-50 °C. Our previous results for linear [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] nanoparticles solutions showed a LCST slightly lower, at 56-60 °C$^{31}$ when compared to [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] and to [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] (Fig. 8b, top) for [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] with a mean particle size of 70 nm were observed for [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] with a mean diameter of 95 nm, around 200 nm (aggregates) for [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] and [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] with similar [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] (Fig. 8e, bottom). NPs measured at higher temperatures showed the onset of aggregation (Fig. 8b, top) for [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] (60 °C) with a mean diameter of 95 nm, around 200 nm (aggregates) for [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] (62 °C) and 300 nm (aggregates) for [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] (55 °C) (Fig. 8e, bottom).

The experimental data suggest that the temperature increase caused size and morphological changes in the NPs. The mean diameter of the NPs determined from the cryo-TEM images was in agreement with that determined by DLS (Tables 3–5). It was possible to observe some morphologically ill-defined structures, which were related to inorganic salts (NaCl and KCl) presented in the saline buffer solution.

Conclusions

A series of nonlinear, amphiphilic, biocompatible, Y-shaped [MPEO-b-PEtOx-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] terpolymers with different molecular weights of the PCL block were successfully synthesised by a combination of living cationic and anionic ROP in a three-step synthetic procedure. The ω-tosyl-MPEO macroinitiator was first synthesised using an esterification reaction with tosyl chloride. In a second step, a [PEO-b-PEtOx(OH)$_{2}$] diblock copolymer was designed by cationic ROP of EtOx using the pre-synthesised ω-tosyl-MPEO macroinitiator. The CROP was terminated in situ by diethanolamine in order to obtain two symmetrical hydroxyl groups (a primary alcohol), which were prone to initiate the anionic ROP of ε-CL catalysed by Sn(Oct)$_{2}$, providing the final Y-shaped [AB(C)$_{3}$] terpolymers. The terpolymers were synthesised with good control over the molecular characteristics of each product and with the capability of easily tuning the length of each block. The aqueous self-assembly of the “snake tongue” Y-shaped [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] terpolymer was characterised by SLS, DLS, NTA, and cryo-TEM and its physico-chemical properties as well as the molecular architecture effect on the self-assembly and on the LCST was compared with the Y-shaped [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] and [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] terpolymers. The results indicated a temperature-induced aggregation with an LCST between 60-63 °C for the [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] at 60 °C for the [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] and between 45–50 °C for the [MPEO$_{44}$-b-PEtO$_{252}$-b-(PCL)$_{2}$] with significantly different increases in the supramolecular self-assembly behaviour compared with the analogous linear structure, clearly indicating the crucial effect of the molecular architecture. Furthermore, in agreement with previous findings a decrease in the LCST was observed with increase of the molecular weight fraction of the hydrophobic block (PCL) of the Y-shaped terpolymers.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Ministry of Education Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (LH14079). C.G.V. acknowledges the Program Science without Borders CAPES/Brasil (Process number 2293/13-7). E.J. and A.J. acknowledge Charles University (Prague, CZ) for the financial support and for the opportunity to pursue their PhD studies. The authors acknowledge Eng. Tiago Beck for the drawings of the nanoparticles schematic representations. The research leading to these results has received funding from the Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2009-2014 under Project contract no. 7F14009.

References

1. K. Matyjaszewski, P. J. Miller, E. Fossum and J. Nakaqwa, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 12, 667.
2. S. Angot, K. S. Murthy, D. Taton and J. Gnanou, Macromolecules, 1998, 31, 7218.
3. K. Matyjaszewski, P. J. Miller, J. Pyun, G. Kickelbick and S. Diamanti, Macromolecules, 1999, 32, 6526.
4. A. Heise, J. L. Hedrick, M. Trollisas, R. D. Miller and C. W. Frank, Macromolecules, 1999, 32, 231.
5. K. Matyjaszewski, Polym. Int., 2003, 52, 1559.
6. N. Hadjidistridis, H. Iatrou, M. Pitsikalis and J. Mays, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2006, 31, 1068.
7. R. Riva, W. Lazzari, L. Billiet, F. Du Prez, C. Jérôme and P. Lecomte, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2011, 49, 1552.
8. A. Guo, G. Liu and J. Tao, Macromolecules, 1996, 29, 2487.
9. S. Petrova, I. Kolev, S. Miloshev, M. D. Apostolova and R. Mateeva, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Med., 2012, 23, 1225.
10. J. P. Kennedy and S. Jacob, Acc. Chem. Res., 1998, 31, 835.
66 D. Xie, K. Xu, R. Bai and G. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, 111, 778.
67 C. E. Castro, B. Mattei, K. A. Riske, E. Jäger, A. Jäger, P. Štěpánek and F. C. Giacomelli, *Langmuir*, 2014, 30, 9770.
68 P. Dimitrov, M. Jamroz-Piegza, B. Trzebicka and A. Dworak, *Polymer*, 2007, 48, 1866.
69 J. Fu and C. Wu, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.*, 2001, 39, 703.
70 J. Fu, X. Li, D. K. P. Ng and C. Wu, *Langmuir*, 2002, 18, 3843.
71 L. Yang, X. Qi, P. Liu, A. El Ghzaoui and S. Li, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2010, 394, 43.
72 C. Weber, R. Hoogenboom and U. S. Schubert, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2012, 37, 686.
73 F. P. Chen, A. E. Ames and L. D. Taylor, *Macromolecules*, 1990, 23, 4688.