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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the acceptability of insertion of post placental intra uterine contraceptive device, with respect to gravidity between vaginal deliveries and caesarean sections.

Study Design: Prospective comparative study.

Place and Duration of Study: Frontier Corp Hospital, Quetta, from Jan 2019 to Jan 2020.

Methodology: A total of 399 females with age of 18 to 45 years with gravidity (primi, multi and grand multi gravida) were counselled during antenatal visits about benefits and risks of post placental intrauterine contraceptive device (PPIUCD) insertion. For complications, females were assessed at 1 and 6 weeks after delivery.

Results: A total of 399 females with mean age 27.95 ± 5.07 years were included in study. About 235 (55.9%) women delivered vaginally and 164 (41.4%) women by caesarean section. Acceptance rate was 3.8% and 4.3% for vaginal and caesarean deliveries respectively. Eleven (73.3%) women were grand multi gravida and 4 women (26.6%) were multi gravid in vaginal delivery acceptance group versus 8 women (47%) grand multi and 9 women (52.9%) multi gravida in caesarean acceptance group. Five (15%) females presented with complications (3 with abdominal pain and 1 each with infection and expulsion).

Conclusion: The overall acceptance rate of PPIUCD was low in this study, the acceptance rate was higher in women undergoing normal vaginal delivery (NVD) as compared to lower segment caesarean section (LSCS), similarly more of the grand multiparas accepted the method as compared to other participants however the differences were not statistically significant.
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commonly used method is female sterilization (35%) followed by intra uterine contraceptive devices (30%). oral contraceptive pills, condoms and male sterilization usage is 12%, 11% and 4% respectively3.

Contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) is an indicator of women's health, development and empowerment. The millennium development goal (MDG) could not be achieved in Pakistan despite lots of efforts. CPR is lagging behind and an unmet need for family planning is on a rise4. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), "postpartum family planning (PPFP) is focusing on prevention of unintended and closely spaced pregnancies through the first 12 months following childbirth”4,5. Therefore, this study intended to evaluate the acceptability of women for postpartum contraceptive device insertion.

The early postpartum period is the best time for insertion of intrauterine contraceptive devices (IUCD) as they are very receptive with respect to contraception at this time. Women have already passed through a tiring period of pregnancy and labor and are therefore motivated for any contraceptive method. Antenatal period or labor is the only time when they visit hospital. Among long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARC), IUCD is a safe method with less complications. For couples requesting reliable, highly effective, non-hormonal, reversible and long-acting contraceptive that can be initiated during the immediate postpartum period, intrauterine contraceptive devices (IUCD) is the only family planning method with no negative effect on lactation6. Cu-T 380A is approved for the postpartum intrauterine contraception device. The Cu-T 380 A is very effective. There are only 6 to 8 pregnancies per 100 women in their first year of use. It can be used for ten years continuously or for whatever time period the woman wants to use. In our country, where delivery may be the only time when pregnant women come in contact with healthcare providers, immediate post placental IUCD (PPIUCD) insertion approach is more applicable. Other advantages of post placental intrauterine contraceptive device insertion are that interval insertion discomfort can be avoided and bleeding from insertion will be disguised by lochia. Most the benefits, Immediate PPIUCD insertion acceptance and utilization is very low7. IN Govt. facilities due to subsidy long acting reversible contraceptive e.g. Cu IUCD is available for immediate postpartum use. Therefore, the aim of current study was to determine the role of post placental IUCD insertion for prevention of unintended pregnancies as well as to assess the acceptance rate with associated complications of PPIUCDs among women who gave birth at FC Hospital, Quetta.

**METHODOLOGY**

The study was prospective comparative, conducted at FC Hospital Quetta from 1st January 2019 to 1st January 2020. Approval of ethical review board (IERB no: CMH-QTA IRB/017) was taken. Case records of department of obstetrics and gynaecology studied and data was collected on Microsoft excel, temporal sampling was used. A total of 399 women with ages 18 to 45 years were counselled during antenatal visits, in early labour (for vaginal delivery) and immediately before caesarean section about benefits and risks of PPIUCD use (sample size was calculated via WHO sample size calculator20. Acceptance rate of post placental contraceptive device insertion was 18.8% in study considered for sample size calculation. Predesigned questionnaire used for data collection. Women who had pre mature, pre labour rupture of membrane greater than 18 hours, Haemoglobin less than 8 g/dl, known uterine anomaly, known copper allergy and postpartum haemorrhage were not included in study. Written informed consent of all patients and their husbands, were taken before insertion. All PPIUCD insertions were performed by consultant gynaecologists. Patients were evaluated for age, gravidity and mode of delivery and reviewed at 1 and 6 weeks after delivery for any complications (infection, expulsion, abdominal pain, missed thread and perforation). At follow up visits, women were enquired about signs and symptoms associated with complications, Abdominal and speculum examinations were performed. If thread was not visible on speculum examination, ultra sound
was done to confirm presence of intra uterine IUCD or expulsion. Outcome measures were frequency of acceptance of PPIUCD. Association of PPIUCD acceptance with parity and mode of delivery determined. Data was analyses on SPSS version 16 (IBOM). For determining the association between mode of delivery and parity with PPIUCD use, chi- square test was applied. The p-value of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Total 399 women fulfilled the inclusion criteria, out of which 235 women (59.9%) delivered by NVD and 164 women (41.4%) delivered by caesarean section. Total 32 women (8%) accepted for PPIUCD insertion. Fifteen women (3.8%) accepted among NVD group and acceptance rate was 4.3% (17 women) among caesarean group. So acceptance rate was more for operative deliveries.

| Gravidity          | Post-Placental Intrauterine Contraceptive Device (Accepted), n=32 (8.1%) | Post-Placental Intrauterine Contraceptive Device (Not Accepted), n=367 (92%) | p-value |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Primigravida       | 60 (16.3%)                                                               | 258 (70.2%)                                                                | <0.001  |
| Multi Gravida      | 14 (43.7%)                                                               | 258 (70.2%)                                                                |         |
| Grand Multi Gravida| 18 (56.2%)                                                               | 49 (13.3%)                                                                 |         |

Mode of Delivery

| Mode of Delivery | Acceptance rate of PPIUCD according to parity and mode of delivery. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vaginal Delivery| 15 (3.8%)                                                           |
| Caesarean Section| 17 (4.3%)                                                          |

DISCUSSION

The current study found PPIUCD acceptance rate of 8.1%, 32 out of 399 women gave consent for insertion. Mean age was 27.95 ± 5.07. Women of age group 25 to 35 years have higher acceptance as compared to age groups 18 to 25 years and 36 to 45 years, acceptance rate was 8.1% and caesarean deliveries had higher acceptance rate.
as compared to NVD (4.3% vs 3.8%). Our study concluded that acceptance rate according to gravidity is 52% multi gravida in caesarean group vs 26% multigravida in vaginal delivery group. Our study also found that the majority of those who accepted PPIUCD in the NVD group were grand multigravida as compared to the caesarean group in which most of them were multigravida. One similar study conducted at PIMS hospital Islamabad found that acceptance rate of long acting reversible contraceptive was 8% and the uptake of postpartum LARC for Primigravida, Multigravida and grand multigravida was 0.6%, 9.8% and 17.8%, respectively (p-value 0.001). However this study showed postpartum LARC acceptance similar for vaginal deliveries and caesarean section (p=0.790)\textsuperscript{8}. A study conducted at a tertiary care hospital in Egypt had a significantly higher acceptance rate 28.9%\textsuperscript{9}. A study conducted by Saboohi et al, evaluated efficacy, safety and complications of immediate PPIUCD use concluded that 20% women had a normal vaginal discharge (infection) and 4% women presented with abdominal pain and spontaneous expulsion occurred in 6% of cases. Perforation and lost thread was observed in 1% and 5% of cases respectively\textsuperscript{12}.

A study conducted by Saboohi et al evaluated efficacy, safety and complications of immediate PPIUCD use concluded that 20% women had a normal vaginal discharge (infection) and 4% women presented with abdominal pain and spontaneous expulsion occurred in 6% of cases. Perforation and lost thread was observed in 1% and 5% of cases respectively\textsuperscript{12}.

A similar study conducted in Bangladesh concluded an acceptance rate of 16% (almost double as compared to our study. in this study 300 (87%) insertions done during cesarean section and 43 (12%) after vaginal delivery\textsuperscript{13}.

Our study also evaluated and compared complications. Abdominal pain was observed in 3 patients, 1 patient presented with spontaneous expulsion and 1 patient presented with infection. A study done at federal govt hospital, Islamabad concluded that 47% patients had no complaints regarding PPIUCD use (Major complaint was vaginal discharge and backache 13% each). Similarly the complication rate was just 15% in our study\textsuperscript{14}. Gajju et al showed that 18% women accepted insertion and continuation was seen in 97% women\textsuperscript{15}.

So post placental IUD insertion as a long term reversible contraceptive method (LARC) is safe\textsuperscript{16,17}. In current study, the majority of patients belonged to the 25-35 years age group (mean age 27 years). A retrospective cohort study done in a tertiary care hospital concluded that 58% of women had intra cesarean insertions and 41% insertions were performed after vaginal deliveries\textsuperscript{18}.

A study published in the international journal of research and review evaluated safety and efficacy also proved post placental insertion to be safe and efficacious\textsuperscript{19}.

Acceptance rate in our study was less. This trend shows behaviour of women towards post placental intrauterine contraceptive device use. In our study we noted 92% women refused post placental intrauterine contraceptive device insertion, which raises a question on unmet needs of these women. Unmet needs are defined as women who want to delay or stop child bearing but they are not using any contraceptive method. More health care professionals should be trained to increase the skill and knowledge regarding PPIUCD use.

The study was conducted at a hospital where most of the patients have low socioeconomic status and have low literacy levels. Secondly, selection of only one study site and limited sample size, limited the study findings external validity. Study participants were followed for only 6 weeks after delivery for complications and efficacy of the method used could not be assessed for long term.
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CONCLUSION

The overall acceptance rate of PPIUCD was low in this study, the acceptance rate was higher in women undergoing NVD as compared to LSCS, similarly more of the grand multiparas accepted the method as compared to other participants however the differences were not statistically significant.
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