1.0 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the usage of renewable energy (RE) is important to reduce the environmental impact of fossil fuels by looking for other energy needs. Among many renewable energy sources (RES), one option is photovoltaic (PV) cells. Although, one of the limitations of its use is the low conversion rate of the commercial PV module (PVM), close to 18% [1, 2]. Because of the speedy increase in conservatory gas discharges, the rate of worldwide warming has twice since 1975 [3, 4], and a large number of industrialized production and the use of vestige stimulate account for about 65% of the greenhouse gas effect [5, 6].
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The effect of irradiance and increase of temperature on the back surface of the PV module would decrease the standardized efficiency of PV. To overcome this problem observed results of solar module (ORSM) and Newton Raphson’s (iterative) methods have been proposed in this research. This article compares ORSM and iterative methods of changing the specifications of a single diode model (SDM) extracted from a PV module beneath standard test conditions (STC) to calculate irradiance and various operating conditions. To make this comparison, the exact value of each diode parameter on the STC is essential. These are achieved by accepted algebraic values and iterative techniques. Newton Raphson’s technique has been proven to be the mainly precise method to find these specifications in STC. Therefore, these specifications are used to different techniques that change the parameters of an SDM with radiation and temperature. The MATLAB model is designed to assess the conducting of individual techniques by PVM. The results are compared with the measured data, and the accuracy of photovoltaic module efficiency has been achieved through different technologies at different temperature and insolation levels.
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In response to this situation, in recent years, large-scale RES has been established to meet the world's growing energy requirement and diminish carbon dioxide discharges. Formerly ten years, PV systems have become mainly admired substitutes due to their ease of mechanism, relative scalability, low continuation costs, and high efficiency [7].

Two environmental factors of solar irradiance and unit temperature have a considerable impact on power generated by PV systems. To have an enhanced understanding of the relationship between meteorological specifics and PV power generation, the equivalent circuit model of the PV system can be considered. The electrical parameters of the circuit are vaguely written as nonlinear and difficult purposes like temperature and radiation of solar [8, 9].

Given the close relationship between PV performance and environmental circumstances, it is helpful to regard temperature and irradiance as indicators of the performance of PV. The development of trustworthy performance apparatus for measuring or predicting climate parameters can improve general function and increase economic feasibility [10].

Different researchers have their own opinions to make modeling of PV module and to analysis and check its complexity level using different approaches. The experimental model is constructed based on many research articles [11-14]. However, these models do not have any environmental dependencies or physical parameters, and are usually used to calculate the maximum power point or full factor [15-18]. To use physical parameters to model the entire behavior of photovoltaic devices, there are usually two unusual thoughts [19, 20]. The first is the double-diode model (DDM), which simulates the propagation and combing of minority carrier phenomena in solar PV cells [21-24].

However, to avoid the difficulty caused by more unknowns in the nonlinear contained equation [25, 26], analysis tends to use the second ideas, which include a diode [27, 28]. The single diode model (SDM) aims to simulate the above two objectives trend through an ideality factor. While DDM is additional perfect at a low voltage below dark circumstances, the voltage is sufficient. Figure 1 illustrates the comparable model circuit with a single diode (SD). SD is defined as a "Single Diode, an electrical component that allows the flow of current in only one direction".

![Figure 1 PV module Equivalent circuit](image)

Most solar cell manufacturers usually provide a panel data sheet that contains sequences like short circuit current, open-circuit voltage, battery and connection configuration, and maximum panel power point [30-32]. But, this information deliberate under standard test conditions (STC) [33, 34] is not sufficient to construct an accurate five-parameter predictive performance model [35-37]. Researchers have used various techniques to extort these limits with varying precision [38-40]. Broadly speaking, these technologies are separated into critical models and statistical models [41-43]. One feature of the analysis model is to generate a set of nonlinear equations by applying simplification, thereby defining unidentified constraints from the data [44, 45].

In this paper, two techniques have been used to compare and analyze the relationship between power-voltage (PV), current-voltage (IV) of PV module at different conditions of temperature and insolation.

### 2.0 PROPOSED PV MODULE MODELING

Newton Raphson’s (NR) and observed results of solar module (ORSM) methods have been proposed in this research to verify the mathematical expression of PVM for current and power in terms of voltages I-V and P-V meets the actual values of the properties called the PV model. Since the PV array is made up of a diode circuit, these terms are therefore based on the I-V term from Shockley Diode presented in Equation 1 [52].

\[
I = I_o \left[ e^{\frac{eV}{KT}} - 1 \right] \tag{1}
\]

The ideal PVM contains an SD that is coupled in parallel to a power supply, shown in Figure 1. Equation of output current is presented in Equation 2 [53].

\[
I_{pv} = I_{ph} - I_o \tag{2}
\]

In which,

- \(I_{pv}\) = o/p current of PV,
- \(I_{ph}\) = solar-generated current is presented in Equation 3 [1].

\[
I_{ph} = \left( I_{ph,Tref} + \alpha T_{di} \right) \frac{G}{G_c} \tag{3}
\]

The saturation current of the diode at any specific temperature is presented in Equation 4 [54].

\[
I_{vs} = \frac{I_{ph}}{e^{\left( \frac{qE_v}{N_i KT} \right)} - 1} \tag{4}
\]

From equation 4, the current saturation of diode (Io) is directly affected by the changes that occur in the environment and it can be calculated by the following statements is presented in Equation 5 [55].
\[ I_\alpha = I_{ph} \left[ \frac{T}{T_{ref}} \right]^3 \exp \left[ \frac{q E_g}{AK} \left( \frac{T}{T_{ref}T} \right) \right] \] (5)

In the above formula, the self is called the bandgap energy of the silicon semiconductor, and its range is 1.1 to 1.2 volts. Lastly, as per the above Kirchhoff’s current law, the o/p current of the PV module is the same as presented in Equation 6 [8].

\[ I_p = I_{ph} - I_\alpha \exp \left[ \frac{q(V_{ph} + I_{pv}R_s)}{AKT} \right] - 1 \] (6)

The single solar cell’s output power is not tough sufficient to be used in approximately all applications. To increase the capacity of the entire photovoltaic system, batteries must be composed in series and parallel. If \( N_p \) and \( N \) are the no. of batteries joined in parallel and series, then Eq. 7 can be expressed as presented in Equation 8 [56].

\[ I_{pv} = N_p I_{ph} - N_s I_\alpha \exp \left[ \frac{q(V_{pv} + I_{pv}R_s)}{N_s AKT} \right] - 1 \] (7)

\[ I_{pv} = N_p I_{ph} - N_s I_\alpha \exp \left[ \frac{q(V_{pv} + I_{pv}R_s)}{N_s AKT} \right] - 1 \] (8)

According to the non-linearity of the output current, in this case, appropriate non-linear methods should be used, like the easy fixed point method, Newton Raphson’s technique. In this article, Newton Raphson’s method has been chosen and can be presented as Equation 9 [57].

\[ x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \] (9)

By rearranging Equation 7 the output current of PVM can be rewritten as function as follows [11].

\[ f(I_{pv}) = N_p I_{ph} - I_{pv} - N_s I_\alpha \exp \left[ \frac{q(V_{pv} + I_{pv}R_s)}{N_s AKT} \right] - 1 \] (10)

By subsisting \( f (I_{pv}) \) and \( f' (I_{pv}) \) in the formula of Newton Method we have Promoting this in equation 9 gives a subsequent iterative comparison and o/p current is calculated is presented in Equation 11 [55].

\[ I_{pv(n+1)} = I_{pv(n)} - \frac{qV_{ph} + I_{pv(n)}R_s}{N_s AKT} \] (11)

In the above eq., a series resistor (RS) is integrated. The RS corresponding to the resistance within each module and the conversion resistance \( R_p \) is ignored. Use a single parallel diode and specify the diode quality factor in the circuit to obtain the best output result [46].

### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To analyze and compare the relationship of PVM between PV and IP at different levels of temperature and insolation observed results of solar module (ORM) and iterative based methods have been used.

The specification of the PV Module which has been used for this research is shown in Table 1.

| PV Module | Parameters | Value |
|-----------|------------|-------|
| Polycrystalline | \( P_m (W) \) | 250 |
| | \( V_m \) | 30.1 |
| | \( I_m \) | 4.49 |
| | \( V_{oc} \) | 19.10 |
| | \( I_{sc} \) | 8.83A |
| | \( N_{cell} \) | 60 |

Two models were selected for this study, the first model was used for the ORSM method, and the second model was used for the Newton-Raphson method (iterative) method.

### 3.1 Model I

Mathematically based PV Model is developed in MATLAB. The shokley diode equation is represented in above equation 1. Output current is calculated by inserting the PV equation presented in 12 [58].

\[ I_{pv(n+1)} = I_{pv(n)} - I_{pv(n)} \left[ \frac{qV_{ph} + I_{pv(n)}R_s}{N_s AKT} \right] - 1 \]

\[ -1 - I_\alpha \frac{qR_s}{nkT} e^{\frac{qV_{ph} + I_{pv(n)}R_s}{nkT}} \] (12)
This PV module observes the values of the properties I-V and P-V at different values for temperature and solar radiation.

Total five (05) measures have been achieved and illustrated from Figures 2 to 5; from which maximum power (Pmax) 265 watt (W) and maximum current (Imax) 9.2 ampere (A) at 37.5 V obtained at maximum insolation of 900 W/m². And at temperature 10 °C Pmax 270 W and Imax 9.2 A at 39 V have been obtained using the ORSM technique at different levels of temperature and insolation.

From the MATLAB outcomes of the first model and the comparison with the observed outcomes, it can be seen that the effectiveness of these model results is consistent in the linear region, consistent in the nonlinear region, but different in the saturated area result. In the I-V aspects under unusual saturation situations, the observed values are upper than the values of the model, but they are inversely proportional in the saturation region under different temperature conditions. On the other hand, under different saturation and temperature conditions, the observed value of the PV characteristic in the saturation region is greater than the model value.

Output current is iteratively calculated by inserting the PV equation in 13. I-V and P-V aspects at unusual standards of insolation temperature have been achieved by the iterative method.
Calculated output current ($I_{PV}$) of PVM can be calculated using following Equation 13 [55].

$$I_{PV(n+1)} = N_p I_{PVn} - N_p I_o \left[ \exp \left( \frac{q(V_{PV} + I_{PV(n)} R_s)}{N_s A K T_K} \right) - 1 \right]$$

$$- 1 - \frac{N_p I_o q R_s \exp \left( \frac{q(V_{PV} + I_{PV(n)} R_s)}{N_s A K T_K} \right)}{N_s A K T_K}$$

(13)
MATLAB results of the second model and the comparison with the observed outcomes, it can be seen that the sustainability of these outcomes of the model is consistent in the straight region, consistent in the nonlinear region, but not in the saturated region. For the observation outcomes in the I-V aspects under distinct saturation and temperature situations, the observed value in the saturated region is lower than the model value. On the other hand, the characteristics of PV, under different saturation conditions, the observed value is smaller than the model value, but under different temperature conditions, in the saturation region and vice versa.

By applying iteration technique Figures 6 to 9 illustrates that the response of PV, IV slightly occurred in the non-linear and linear region but not in saturation region due to the effects of temperature and insolation at different levels.

4.0 COMPARISON OF PERFORMANCE

The proposed Newton Raphson’s technique with ORSM based approaches has been compared with related approaches in the literature. In Table 2, the comparative results are presented. In [1, 2, 47-49] have extracted only a few features using the data of temperature and insolation. The result comparison shows that the proposed strategy of Newton Raphson’s is efficient than ORSM.

The insolation is directly proportional to ambient temperature in this model the active cooling has been used to maintained the temperature and due to this the efficiency is boosted up to 5% and efficiency is calculated by [59, 60]:

\[ \eta = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{A \times G} \times 100 \]

Where,
\( \eta \) = Efficiency
\( P_{\text{max}} \) = Maximum power
\( A \) = Area of PV cell
\( G \) = Insolation

| References | Insolation (W/m²) | Temp: Pmax | Efficiency |
|------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| Proposed Method | 900 | 25 | 270 | 5% |
| [1, 2] | 1150 | 44.85 | 200 | 3% |
| [47] | 1268 | 85 | 250 | 4% |
| [48] | 1000 | 40 | 82 | 1% |
| [49] | 1000 | 75 | 60 | 1% |

Figures 10, 11, 12, and 13 have been illustrated satisfactory b/w the ORSM and iteration based achieved I-V, P-V characteristics of temperature and irradiance of the PVM. Hence, the parameters bring out by the Newton-Raphson method were be used to compare the results at a different level of temperature with the ORSM method to adjust the parameters of SDM for covering the temperature and irradiance.
Table 3, reveals that the NR method has superior accuracy and error as compared to the ORSM method, unusually for higher values of insolation. This is because the NR method extracts the non-linear impact of insolation on the current. Normally, the entire error between these methods is a comparably little provision that the exact value of a has been used. Different values of the measured value of current and insolation have been used from which at 900 W/m² and 10 amperes the error and accuracy were 8.7% and 91.3% respectively in ORSM method whereas, in NR method error and accuracy were 1.01% 100%.

Table 3 Comparative Analysis of Error and Accuracy at different Insolation Levels

| Insolation (W/m²) | 900 | 700 | 500 | 300 | 100 |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Measured Current  | 10  | 8.5 | 7   | 5   | 3   |
| ORSM Method       | 9.2 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 1.9 |
| (Error %)         | 8.7%| 16.43%| 27.27%| 28.2% | 57.9% |
| (Accuracy)        | 91.3%| 83.57%| 72.72%| 71.8% | 42.1% |
| NR Method         | 9.9 | 8   | 6.2 | 4.8 | 2.9 |
| (Error %)         | 10.1%| 6.25%| 12.9% | 16.1% | 34.5% |
| (Accuracy)        | 98.9%| 93.7%| 87.1% | 95.8% | 96.5% |

Table 4 manifested that the different levels of temperature from 10 °C to 50 °C at different values of measured current from which it can be seen that as the effect of temperature was decreases the error and accuracy of PV module increases. At 900 W/m² insolation and 10 A error and accuracy in the ORSM method were 5.1% and 95% whereas in the NR method the error and accuracy were 0% and 100 % due to low temperature.

Table 4 Comparative Analysis Analysis of Error and Accuracy at different Temperature Levels

| Temperature (°C) | 10  | 20  | 30  | 40  | 50  |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Measured Current | 41  | 39  | 38  | 36  | 35  |
| ORSM Method      | 39  | 37  | 36  | 34  | 33  |
| (Error %)        | 5.1%| 5.4%| 5.5%| 5.9%| 6.1%|
| (Accuracy)       | 94.9%| 94.5%| 94.5%| 94.1%| 93.9%|
| NR Method        | 4   | 38  | 37  | 35  | 34  |
| (Error %)        | 0%  | 2.6%| 2.7%| 2.8%| 2.9%|
| (Accuracy)       | 100 | 97.4| 97.3| 97.2| 97.1|

5.0 CONCLUSION

This article describes numerous techniques for modifying the SDM parameters of the model for different radiation intensities and temperatures. The virtual precision of these techniques was evaluated by evaluating the adjusted parameter values with
the available measurement data in the datasheet. The short circuit current (I_{sc}) changes non-linearly with radiation and its contrast with temperature are very low, which is a function of the temperature coefficient. The forecast of photocurrent by different techniques shows that the difference in temperature and radiation is alike to the difference in short-circuit current. To find the reliance of the open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}) on temperature and radiation, it was initiated that these monitoring techniques gave good outcomes due to their lesser rounding and because of the consequences of temperature and radiation at the same time.

By considering the non-linear effects of temperature and radiation, the accuracy of open-circuit voltage determination is improved. To predict the saturation current, the Newton Raphson method is mainly precise when the effects of temperature and radiation change. The change of shunt resistance (Rsh) with irradiance is a lot greater than that shown by the series impedance. These two resistors are almost insensitive to temperature changes. A power loss in PV is due to the low Rsh, which provides another path for high generating current. The amount of current through PV decreased by making such deviation and causes a reduction in voltage of PV.
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