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Osteosarcoma is a malignant mesenchymal neoplasm that accounts for the majority of primary bone tumors in dogs and shares biological and clinical similarities with osteosarcoma in humans. Despite dose intensification with conventional cytotoxic therapies, survival times for dogs and humans diagnosed with high-grade osteosarcoma have not changed in the past 20 years, with the principal cause of mortality being the development of pulmonary metastases. Given the therapeutic plateau reached for delaying metastatic progression with cytotoxic agents, exploration of alternative adjuvant therapies for improving management of osteosarcoma micrometastases is clinically justified. Evidence suggests that osteosarcoma is an immunogenic tumor, and development of immunotherapies for the treatment of microscopic lung metastases might improve long-term outcomes. In this review, the history and foundational knowledge of immune interactions to canine osteosarcoma are highlighted. In parallel, immunotherapeutic strategies that have been explored for the treatment of canine osteosarcoma are summarized. With a greater understanding and awareness for how the immune system might be redirected toward combating osteosarcoma metastases, the rational development of diverse immune strategies for managing osteosarcoma holds substantial promise for transforming the therapeutic landscape and improving disease management in both dogs and human beings.
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Types of OS exist including osteoblastic, fibroblastic, chondroblastic, and telangiectactic phenotypes, and are based upon the morphology and differentiation characteristics of tumor cells. Typically, OS is considered as a disease of large and giant breed dogs and it has a predilection to arise from the appendicular skeleton. Middle-aged to older dogs (median age of 7 years) are most commonly affected by OS, but a bimodal age distribution may occur with a smaller peak of OS at 18–24 months of age. Similar to dogs, OS is the most common primary focal skeletal tumor in people and accounts for more than 56% of all bone tumors. In adolescents, OS is the third most frequent cause of cancer and often affects taller adolescents, similar to large breed dogs. The diagnosis of OS in people also follows a bimodal age distribution, but unlike dogs where the incidence of OS is highest in older animals, adolescents are affected more frequently in humans.

The biologic behavior of OS is aggressive, initially restricted to the local bone microenvironment but with distant organ involvement as a result of metastatic disease progression. Although only about 15% of dogs and 20% of people present with detectable lung metastases, the eventual development of distant metastatic foci in the absence of chemotherapy is 90% within 1 year for dogs and 80% within 2 years for people. Although adjuvant chemotherapy has increased the cure rate of people and survival time of dogs diagnosed with high-grade OS, there has been no improvement in long-term treatment outcomes in the last 20 years for either species, despite the institution of conventional dose intensification strategies. Based upon the current therapeutic ceiling reached, the identi-
fication of promising and complementary adjuvant treatments for improving the management of micrometastatic disease is clinically warranted.

Focused scientific development and clinical assessment of novel immunotherapeutic strategies are areas that are rapidly gaining momentum in veterinary medicine and in the treatment of canine OS (Fig 1). Given the conserved biology of OS between dogs and people, unique opportunities exist for veterinary researchers and clinical oncologists to adapt immunobiological advances in the human oncology arena for translational purposes in dogs with OS. Reciprocally, novel tumor immunotherapeutic strategies first evaluated in dogs with OS also have the potential to inform and guide development of new treatment regimens for the benefit of human cancer patients.

Clinical Evidence of Immunogenicity: Limb-Spare Infections in Osteosarcoma

The capacity for the immune system to recognize and eliminate cancer has been recognized for over a century with some of the earliest reports including the eradication of bone sarcomas. In the early 1890’s, William Coley reported that accidental acquisition or intentional inoculation of the bacterium responsible for erysipelas (Streptococcus pyogenes) could result in regression or delayed recurrence of various cancers.29,30 These clinical insights eventually led to Coley’s development of a vaccine consisting of 2 killed bacteria, Streptococcus pyogenes and Serratia marcescens.31 The vaccine was named “Coley’s Toxins” and was efficacious in the treatment of a variety of tumor types, including bone sarcomas.32 Coley’s observations that underscored the potency of the immune system against cancer have been long recognized, but not until recently was direct in vivo evidence regarding infection-enhanced antitumor immunity for OS revisited and reported to the medical community. Although histologic evidence of non-epic, chronic inflammation in biopsy specimens of canine OS has not been found to be prognostic,18 spontaneous regression of OS in dogs has been reported13 and dogs that experience acute bacterial infection secondary to limb-salvage surgery have been found to have increased survival times in several independent studies.34-37 The initial observation of this finding was reported in a study investigating the use of radiation therapy before cortical allograft limb-sparing surgery in dogs with high-grade appendicular OS. Although radiotherapy before limb-sparing surgery was deemed detrimental for achieving durable fixation of bone allografts, a significant increase in survival time was noted between dogs whose allograft became infected as compared to dogs with allografts that remained uninfected (11 versus 5 mos respectively).34

These initial findings were corroborated by 2 additional studies that examined the outcome of dogs with OS treated with limb-sparing surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy.35,36 Dogs with distal radial OS that developed cortical allograft infection were half as likely to die and half as likely to develop metastatic disease as compared to dogs without infection, which resulted in a significant difference in median survival time (MST) of 18 versus 7.6 mos respectively.35 Similar findings were reported in dogs undergoing either cortical allograft or endoprosthetic limb-sparing surgery.36 No difference in MST was found between the 2 surgical groups but
MST was found to be significantly longer in dogs that experienced construct failure (22.8 versus 10.7 mos) or postoperative infection (22.8 versus 9.6 mos). Dogs with postoperative infection also were 25 times less likely to die, and median metastasis-free interval (MFI) was increased for dogs with infection (18.5 versus 9.1 mos). All dogs with construct failure also had postoperative infections, and irritation secondary to construct failure might have contributed to infection development. Lastly, in the model of prostate study, when evaluating only dogs that lived for >1 year after histopathologic diagnosis of OS, increased survival time of dogs that developed postoperative limb-spare infections also was identified. Dogs with limb-spare infections had a MST of 6 mos beyond 1 year, whereas dogs that underwent limb-sparing surgery but did not acquire infection only achieved a MST of 0.9 mos beyond 1 year.37

People with OS who were treated by endoprosthetic replacement and experienced postoperative infection also had increased survival time.38 A later study, however, found no difference in survival time between infected and noninfected dogs when matched for type of neoplasia, therapy, histologic response, tumor size and location, and local recurrence.39 No case–control studies for comparison have been performed in dogs to date, but the majority of studies do suggest that nonspecific immune stimulation secondary to infection prevents the recurrence or delays progression of OS in a clinical setting.

The immune mechanisms that contribute to increased survival secondary to limb-spare infection have not been well-studied, but evidence for innate system involvement on the suppression of OS growth has been derived from a murine model of chronic bacterial osteomyelitis. In this study, osteomyelitis decreased tumor growth and increased survival time in mice when tumors were established after infection, but this effect was abrogated when tumors were established before induction of osteomyelitis.40 Several different types of infectious agents have been cultured in affected dogs,34,36 and infection-associated inhibition of tumor growth is greater and increased survival time in mice when tumors were established after infection, but this effect was abrogated when tumors were established before induction of osteomyelitis.40 Several different types of infectious agents have been cultured in affected dogs,34,36 and infection-associated inhibition of tumor growth in the murine model of chronic bacterial osteomyelitis was not dependent on the specific infectious agent involved. Increased circulating and splenic inflammatory monocytes as well as increased tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) were observed in infected mice, and depletion of natural killer (NK) cells or monocytes and macrophages was found to reverse the tumor growth inhibition seen with concurrent osteomyelitis. These observations led the authors to conclude that both NK cells and monocytes and macrophages are associated with the innate antitumor response elicited by chronic bacterial osteomyelitis, and they speculated that the increase in inflammatory monocytes was associated with repopulation of activated TAM, which were expected to be tumor-inhibitory in this setting rather than tumor-promoting. Furthermore, the finding of increased NK cells might be related to back-and-forth activation between NK cells and monocytes, also contributing to tumor inhibition.40

These clinical and preclinical studies strongly suggest that OS is an immunogenic neoplasm, and micrometa-

**Humoral Evidence of Immunogenicity**

**Cell-Mediated Reactivity and Serum Blocking Activity**

Cell-mediated reactivity (CMR) and serum blocking activity (SBA) experiments were used to investigate interactions of the immune system with canine OS. Simply defined, CMR refers to inhibition of target cell growth whereas SBA refers to promotion of target cell growth in the presence of serum. Autologous serum from dogs with progressively growing OS exhibited SBA effects in vitro, and decreased CMR was observed when cocultures of autologous lymphocytes and tumor cells were incubated in the presence of the patient’s serum. In the absence of autologous serum, high numbers of lymphocytes could inhibit tumor growth in vitro, whereas low lymphocyte numbers conversely stimulated growth. Based upon these observations, humoral factors present in the patient’s serum (eg blocking antibodies or antigen-antibody complexes) were surmised to prevent tumor destruction by autologous lymphocytes, but other serum-derived factors likely potentiated tumor growth. Whether the SBA was mediated by inhibitory cytokines was not considered in these studies.41,42

Extending these initial findings, changes in SBA were investigated pre- and postoperatively in dogs with OS that underwent amputation of the tumor-bearing limb. Increased presurgical SBA was noted in dogs that eventually developed metastatic disease, and postsurgical SBA increased before development of overt metastatic disease in the majority of dogs (6/8, 75%). For dogs remaining free of metastasis, SBA was unmeasurable.43 In a complementary study, postsurgical SBA in dogs with OS given Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) intradermally also was found to increase in conjunction with radiographic appearance of metastasis.44 Based upon these findings, SBA was proposed to be of potential value for determining prognosis, but identification of specific factors mediating SBA (eg antibodies or inhibitory cytokines) was not determined in these studies.43

**C1q Binding Levels**

The C1q-binding test is used in immunology to evaluate circulating immune complexes (CIC). A single study evaluated serum C1q-binding levels in 56 dogs with OS, and demonstrated that a large percentage of dogs (46/56, 82%) had increased C1q-binding at the time of diagnosis. In a subset of dogs (n = 12) in which serial C1q-binding levels were available for quantification, divergent trends in C1q-binding levels were observed based upon disease status. In dogs that survived up to 1 year postdiagnosis (n = 4), the C1q-binding levels...
where found to have fallen within normal reference ranges after completion of therapy. Conversely, in dogs that experienced local disease recurrence or distant metastases (n = 8), levels of C1q-binding either remained increased throughout the entire study duration or only transiently decreased before increasing again. The C1q identified in these dogs had characteristics consistent with IgG, but this conclusion was made cautiously because anomalous fractionation results were observed in normal control dogs.45

**TP-1 and TP-3 Antibodies**

TP-1 and TP-3 are murine antihuman monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) created using the hybridoma technique by immunization of mice with human OS cells. These 2 distinct mAbs bind different epitopes of the same unknown antigen and have been shown to be highly sensitive and moderately specific for human OS. Using immunohistochemistry (IHC) on canine tumor tissues, the TP-1 and TP-3 antibodies also were shown to have useful specificity for canine OS, although chondrosarcomas and several carcinomas also were cross-reactive with these antibodies. Staining of normal canine tissues with these antibodies was limited.46 Extending upon the recognition of conserved epitopes in formalin-fixed tissues, additional studies in OS dogs with $^{131}$I- or $^{123}$I-labeled F(ab)2 fragments of TP-1 or $^{18}$F-labeled TP-3 Fab fragments showed high specificity of these antibodies for primary and metastatic OS lesions using immunoscintigraphy and positron emission tomography (PET) scanning respectively.47,48 Immunoscintigraphic evaluation of I-labeled F(ab')2 fragments of TP-1 also detected multiple metastatic lesions that were not detectable by conventional radiography.47 Despite the sensitivity and specificity of TP-1 and TP-3 antibodies for conserved OS epitopes, use of these antibodies for diagnostic purposes, either for IHC or molecular imaging, is limited in both human and veterinary medicine, and likely stems from a lack of commercial availability. Nonetheless, cross-reactivity of TP-1 and TP-3 antibodies for conserved OS epitopes in canine tumor tissues further supports the capacity for immune recognition of canine OS antigens.

**Negative Cellular and Soluble Regulators: Evidence for Immune Evasion**

**Regulatory T-cells**

Regulatory T-cells (Tregs) are a component of the immune system responsible for controlling and suppressing excessive immune activation. Phenotypic characterization of Tregs includes concurrent expression of CD4 and CD25 surface antigens along with transcription of the FoxP3 gene. Dysregulation of Tregs has been incriminated in the induction of autoimmunity, and conversely promotion of ineffective antitumor immunity. Given the potential role of Tregs in suppressing the immune surveillance of cancer, Tregs have been investigated in dogs with cancer and specifically in dogs with OS. Several studies have documented increased expression of CD4+$^{\text{FoxP3}^+}$ Tregs in dogs with cancer compared to controls, findings that suggest potential participation of Treg-induced immune suppression and cancer progression. When stratified by tumor histology, no difference in the percentage or absolute number of Tregs was identified between OS-bearing and normal dogs in either peripheral blood or draining lymph nodes, but the small sample sizes of dogs with OS used for comparison might have limited the power to detect the existence of a true difference between groups.49,50 A later study examining only dogs diagnosed with OS and free of measurable metastatic disease also confirmed no difference between peripheral blood or lymph node CD4+ CD25$^+$ FoxP3$^+$ Treg numbers when compared to healthy controls. In fact, Treg numbers were found to be significantly lower in the tumor-draining nodes when compared to nondraining nodes of the OS dogs.51 Discordant with the findings derived from other investigations, differences in Tregs were identified in another study that evaluated dogs with OS that had not received chemotherapy within 4 weeks of blood collection. Significantly increased numbers of relative and absolute circulating CD4+$^{\text{FoxP3}^+}$ Tregs were identified in OS dogs versus control dogs. Despite differences in Tregs identified in the blood, similar differences between OS-bearing and normal dogs were not identified when Tregs were evaluated in draining or nondraining lymph nodes. Additionally, no differences in circulating Tregs were noted between pre- and postamputation blood samples. Concordant changes in effector T lymphocyte populations also were examined, and decreased numbers of circulating CD8$^+$ cells (absolute and relative) as well as a decreased CD8$^+$ Treg ratio were observed in dogs with OS. The effector to regulatory T lymphocyte ratio provided prognostic information, with a low CD8$^+$:Treg ratio being associated with decreased survival as compared to a high ratio.52

**Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells**

Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are immature cells of myeloid lineage derived from bone marrow progenitor cells. In diseases such as cancer, MDSCs are increased and contribute to a global immunosuppressive state. In veterinary medicine, the identification of MDSCs as a small percentage of circulating white blood cells in normal dogs and tumor-bearing dogs has been possible using flow cytometry. In 1 study, the percentages of circulating putative MDSCs identified by CD11b$^\text{low}$ and CADO48A$^\text{low}$ surface expression were quantitatively different between normal healthy dogs and dogs diagnosed with different tumor types including OS.53 A higher percentage of MDSCs was identified in tumor-bearing dogs (7.9%) compared to normal dogs (3.6%), and in vitro generated MDSCs possessed the capacity to suppress concanavalin A-induced splenocyte proliferation. In a complementary study, granulocytic MDSCs were identified by CD11b$^\text{CD14 MHCI}^\text{low}$ surface expression in healthy dogs, dogs with early stage nonmetastatic cancers, and dogs with advance stage
metastatic cancers. In dogs with advance stage metastatic cancers, which included OS and hemangiosarcoma, the percentage of circulating MDSCs was significantly higher than in healthy dogs and those with early stage nonmetastatic cancers. Similarly, isolated MDSCs exhibited immunosuppressive activity as demonstrated by attenuation of concanavalin A and human recombinant IL-2 (hrIL-2)-stimulated T-cell proliferation. Based on these 2 investigations, evidence was generated to substantiate the existence of MDSCs in dogs, both in health and disease. Importantly, both studies identified increases in the percentage of circulating MDSCs in dogs diagnosed with highly metastatic tumors such as OS.

Despite their proven existence in dogs with cancer, MDSCs have not been definitively identified to play a role in the progression of canine OS. They have been speculated, however, to exert some form of negative immunomodulation in dogs with OS, because dogs with monocyte counts >0.4 × 10^6 cells/μL had a shorter median disease-free interval (DFI) (6.7 versus 15.5 months). In the majority of dogs evaluated (59/69, 86%) this monocyte count did not represent a monocyte-tosis, and monocyte numbers were still within the reference interval. In a study investigating prognostic factors in dogs with OS of the maxilla, mandible, or calvarium, there was also a significantly increased hazard of death with increasing monocyte count.56

**Tumor-Derived Soluble Factors**

Tumor-derived soluble factors (TDFs) produced from 2 immortalized canine OS cell lines (OSA8 and OSA16) have been shown to suppress the function of cultured canine myeloid cells. Coculture of canine dendritic cells, macrophages, or both with tumor-conditioned media (TCM) containing TDFs suppressed activation of these antigen-presenting cells by decreased expression of MHC Class II and CD80 (B7.1), decreased phagocytic activity, and decreased capability to induce splenic effector cell proliferation.57

**Immunotherapeutics: Historical and Current Strategies**

**Bacillus Calmette-Guérin**

Although utilized for vaccination against tuberculosis since the 1920’s, Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) immunotherapy also has been investigated since the 1930’s as an antitumor immune modulator after the observation that people who died from tuberculosis had a significantly increased hazard of death with increasing monocyte count.56 In the setting of bladder cancer, BCG is believed to exert its immunobiologic effects by upregulation of MHC II molecules in malignant transitional epithelial cells, along with the induction of CD4⁺ Th1 and cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses.60

The exploration of BCG immunotherapy for canine OS began in the early 1970’s. Initially, injection of BCG either IV, intraperitoneal, or intrathoracic into normal dogs was performed to investigate the capacity of BCG to create pathologic lesions. Histologically, BCG injected generated granulomatous foci within the liver and lung parenchyma, along with lymphoid hyperplasia of the tonsils and bronchial lymph nodes. Positive tuberculin test reactions were noted most often in dogs receiving intrathoracic BCG. Subsequently, dogs with spontaneous OS, the majority of which did not have evidence of metastatic disease, were given IV BCG at variable intervals, with or without concurrent vaccination with irradiated autologous tumor cells. Findings derived from these OS-bearing dogs receiving BCG indicated no enhancement of metastasis, but instead a possible delay in metastatic development and progression. Conclusions that were substantiated by extended survival times in dogs that received BCG as compared with a historical control group.61 Another study reported findings derived only from dogs with OS that underwent amputation (n = 12) and that received an identical BCG IV injection scheme, with or without irradiated autologous tumor cells.62 This study noted a significant increase in survival comparison to previously published historical control group (51 versus 14 weeks).62 Interestingly, improvements in survival times were not restricted by the route (IV) of BCG administration, and intradermal delivery of BCG to dogs with OS after amputation also significantly extended survival to 40 weeks versus 13 weeks for controls.44

After documented clinical activity in dogs with OS, mechanistic studies were conducted to characterize the potential mode of action of BCG. Studies conducted in normal and tumor-bearing dogs demonstrated that BCG administration suppressed macrophage activity and promote lymphocyte cytotoxicity ex vivo. Not surprisingly, the antitumor cytotoxicity induced by BCG was observed to be nonspecific with lysis of several tumor types in addition to OS, including melanoma and mammary carcinomas.63–65 Nonspecific cytotoxicity exerted by BCG-primed alveolar macrophages and lymphocytes against multiple cancer cell lines was suspected to be the result of shared tumor cell antigens or polyclonal lymphocyte activation.63 Given its capacity to induce granuloma formation, BCG’s immunobiologic effects could be associated with activation of circulating monocytes or tissue macrophages in reticuloendothelial organs. Specifically, CCR2⁺ inflammatory monocytes that expand within the marginal zone of the spleen have been associated with cross-presentation of tumor antigens and subsequent tolerance of CD8⁺ memory T-cells, whereby splenectomy restores lymphocyte and antitumor function.66 As such, the effect of splenectomy was evaluated in dogs with OS treated with amputation and administration of an intra-
dermal methanol-extracted residue of BCG (MER).\textsuperscript{67} Unexpectedly, splenectomy led to decreased survival in the OS dogs, whereas dogs treated with amputation and MER without splenectomy had similar survival times to historical controls.\textsuperscript{67}

**Muramyl Peptides**

The muramyl peptides include muramyl dipeptide phosphatidylethanolamine (MDP), a synthetic analog of the peptidoglycan cell wall of mycobacteria that is the smallest part of mycobacteria that is immunostimulatory, and muramyl tripeptide phosphatidylethanolamine (MTP-PE), a lipophilic derivative of MDP.\textsuperscript{68,69} The liposomal form of MTP (L-MTP-PE) can be used for the in vivo stimulation of macrophages and monocytes, rendering them cytotoxic against tumor cells, but L-MTP-PE itself does not exert any direct tumor cytotoxic properties.\textsuperscript{70,71} These unique features make L-MTP-PE an ideal candidate for the immunotherapy of cancer, and in people, L-MTP-PE has resulted in prolonged survival in patients with OS in both initial and relapsed settings.\textsuperscript{72,73}

In the context of canine OS, the in vitro incubation of canine peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) with MDP was shown to elicit cytostasis against the D-17 cell line, and this cytostatic effect was maximized by a combination of MDP and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) compared to either drug alone.\textsuperscript{74} This unique effect of MDP and LPS was identified to be secondary to TNFα secretion and not because of direct toxicity induced by MDP or the combination of MDP and LPS.\textsuperscript{75} Correlating with cell culture experiments, PBMCs collected from healthy dogs after IV L-MTP-PE administration also had significantly more cytostatic activity against the D-17 line, and serum harvested from the same dogs had increased TNFα activity within 2 hours after L-MTP-PE injection.\textsuperscript{74,75}

In addition to evaluating the anticancer effects of L-MTP-PE as a single agent, a combination of L-MTP-PE and doxorubicin chemotherapy also was investigated in vitro and in vivo, and indicated that a combination of doxorubicin and L-MTP-PE enhanced PBMC activation and cytotoxicity compared to either drug alone.\textsuperscript{76} Again, the observed biologic response was mediated by TNFα.\textsuperscript{76} Given the predilection of OS to metastasize to the pulmonary parenchyma, a similar combination platform was investigated for the activation of canine pulmonary alveolar macrophages against D-17 cells. Similar to the results generated by PBMCs, pulmonary alveolar macrophages harvested from dogs receiving combination therapy with doxorubicin and L-MTP-PE exerted the greatest ex vivo cytotoxicity against canine OS tumor cells.\textsuperscript{77}

The translational relevance of the observed in vitro and ex vivo activity of L-MTP-PE against canine OS cells has been investigated in dogs with spontaneously occurring OS. In the first reported study of L-MTP-PE in dogs with OS, the anticancer activity induced by IV L-MTP-PE as a single agent was evaluated by a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. In comparison with placebo controls, dogs treated with amputation and twice weekly IV L-MTP-PE had significant extensions of MST (222 versus 77 days) and median MFI (168 versus 58 days).\textsuperscript{78} Despite the substantial improvement in survival times induced by single agent L-MTP-PE, over 50% of the dogs studied ultimately experienced metastatic progression and were dead by 8 months after therapy. In an attempt to improve long-term survival in dogs with OS treated with L-MTP-PE, a subsequent study evaluated the use of L-MTP-PE in combination with adjuvant cisplatin chemotherapy in dogs without macroscopic metastatic disease. When used in combination serially, administration of L-MTP-PE after amputation and cisplatin chemotherapy significantly prolonged MST (14.4 versus 11.2 mos) and median DFI (9.8 versus 7.6 mos). However, IF-L-MTP-PE was given concurrently with cisplatin chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting, no survival benefit was identified beyond that achieved with cisplatin chemotherapy alone.\textsuperscript{79} Given that L-MTP-PE failed to be approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2004 for the initial treatment of metastatic OS in children, clinical research with muramyl peptides for the treatment of OS in dogs has not advanced during the past decade, and L-MTP-PE will not likely be available in the United States for the management of OS in dogs. In contrast, L-MTP-PE is approved for use in the European Union for nonmetastatic OS in children, as mifamurtide (Mepact\textsuperscript{80}).

**Interleukin-2 Cytokine Therapies**

Interleukin-2 (IL-2) is a pleiotropic cytokine responsible for several key immune responses including differentiation and subsequent expansion of activated T-cells into effector and memory T-cells after stimulation by immunogenic antigens. Given the potent role of IL-2 for establishing cell-mediated immune responses, IL-2 has been investigated both ex vivo and in vivo for the management of canine OS. In an early study, the ability of hrIL-2 to activate peripheral blood leukocytes (PBL) derived from either normal dogs or dogs with lung tumors was investigated in vitro. When derived from dogs with lung tumors, autologous stimulated lymphocytes (ASL) generated by culture with hrIL-2 and phytohemagglutinin (PHA) were significantly more cytotoxic against autologous tumor cells when compared with ASL produced from healthy dogs. These findings suggested that hrIL-2 could preferentially reanimate ASL collected from tumor-bearing dogs to exert cytotoxicity against naturally occurring pulmonary tumors, including metastatic OS. In addition, increased ASL cytotoxicity in tumor-bearing dogs compared to healthy dogs could also be due to the fact that OS had tumor-specific lymphocytes.\textsuperscript{80} In a separate study in which healthy beagle dogs were given continuous IV IL-2 via the splenic artery or inferior vena cava, the generation and cytotoxicity of lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cells derived from PBL against the canine D-17 OS cell line was evaluated. Splenic artery infusion of IL-2 was consistently observed to stimulate LAK cell
activity in PBL, whereas inferior cava infusion did not. The spleen also underwent “lymphoblastic change” with splenic artery infusion, which consisted of marked lymphoid proliferation with loss of normal splenic architecture, suggesting that the more robust LAK activity seen in these dogs was directly related to splenic immunostimulation. Although this study evaluated D-17 OS cells as the target cells of LAK activity, it was not further determined if the LAK activity generated was specific for nonspecific tumor response.

Several studies evaluating the immunobiologic activities of IL-2 administration in vivo have been conducted and focused on direct delivery of IL-2 or the transgene expression of IL-2 in the lungs of healthy dogs and in dogs with metastatic OS. Nebulization of liposomal hrIL-2 in healthy dogs was shown to activate cytolytic and cytostatic activity of bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) leukocytes, as well as increase total BAL leukocyte numbers with concurrent increases in the lymphocyte and eosinophil percentages. Additionally, nebulized liposomall hrIL-2 was determined to be safe with no life-threatening adverse systemic reactions noted. When evaluated in a clinical trial of dogs with primary lung tumors or lung metastases, treatment with liposomal hrIL-2 nebulization resulted in 2 of 4 dogs with OS lung metastases experiencing complete regression of all metastatic lesions (lung or lung and lymph node) for >1 year. Interestingly, liposomal hrIL-2 nebulization failed to generate an antibody response against hrIL-2, limiting application of this immunostimulating strategy to short-term use in a clinical setting.

In an effort to localize IL-2 preferentially to the lung parenchyma without the need for aerosolization as well as to avoid neutralizing antibody formation, IV administration of cationic liposome-DNA complexes (LDCs) containing canine IL-2 cDNA also has been explored in dogs with metastatic lung OS (n = 20), with the intent to induce lung-specific IL-2 transgene expression and immunomodulation. Increased NK cell cytolytic activity with increased monocyte expression of MHC Class II and B7.2 (CD86) were observed in this study, indicating the enhancement of immunobiologic activities by LDCs. The LDCs were primarily taken up by CD11b+ monocytes rather than lymphocytes, suggesting that LDCs along with transcription of IL-2 itself both contributed to a systemic innate immune response. In 3/20 (15%) dogs treated with LDCs, complete or partial regression of lung metastases was observed and 4/20 (20%) dogs experienced disease stabilization. Overall survival time compared to historical controls matched for age and tumor stage also was marginally increased (MST of 2.7 versus 2 mos).

Although these 2 in vivo studies exploring IL-2 cytokine strategies identified only modest clinical benefits, the data do provide evidence supporting the feasibility of targeted, lung-specific immunotherapy for the treatment of macroscopic OS pulmonary metastases. Presumably, IL-2 cytokine therapy would be expected to exert greater therapeutic benefit in a microscopic residual disease setting.

Adoptive Transfer of T-cells

Only a few studies have investigated the use of adoptive T-cell transfer in dogs with OS. One investigation evaluated the feasibility, toxicity, and therapeutic outcome associated with IV infusion of the human chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-transduced canine TALL-104, to dogs with OS. In this study, dogs with appendicular OS were treated with surgery and adjuvant cisplatin chemotherapy, and if remaining free of pulmonary metastases at the completion of chemotherapy, subsequently were given IV infusions of TALL-104 monthly for up to 9 consecutive months. Collectively, combination therapy inclusive of surgery, cisplatin chemotherapy, and adjuvant TALL-104 was tolerable and allowed OS-bearing dogs to achieve MSTs and DFIs of 11.5 and 9.8 mos, respectively, which is comparable to dogs treated with combined surgery and chemotherapy. As expected, the xenogeneic nature of TALL-104 caused dogs to develop a neutralizing antibody response against TALL-104 with generation of a long-lived, neutralizing antibody response in some dogs. Mechanistically, anticancer activities exerted by TALL-104 were believed to be principally mediated by endogenous antitumor immunity and partially by MHC-independent NK cell cytotoxicity, as supported by an ex vivo 51Cr-release assay conducted in dogs before relapse.

With unprecedented advances in immunobiological techniques, it recently has become possible to create engineered T-cells that express stable chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) with specificity against a myriad of targetable epitopes, thereby allowing for the immunologic recognition and treatment of different types of cancer. Recently, protocols have been optimized to allow for the generation of canine T-cells expressing CARs for HER2, a membrane protein expressed in canine OS. Preliminary results indicate that canine T-cells with CARs specific for HER2 possess the capability to kill HER2+ canine OS cells in an antigen-dependent manner. Based upon these promising early results, additional studies utilizing molecular CAR technologies for the treatment of dogs with OS are anticipated.

Fas Receptor and Fas Ligand Signaling

Induction of apoptosis is one way the immune system can eliminate cancer cells. Mechanistically, cytotoxic T-cells or NK cells can trigger programmed cell death in targeted cells by the coordinated release of perforin and granzyme after appropriate cellular recognition cues.
However, all too often, this form of direct apoptosis induction by the immune system can be evaded by cancer cells through their acquisition of genetic mutations. Rather than relying directly on immune cell induction of apoptosis by cytotoxic T-cells, NK activation, or both, investigators have evaluated the ability to induce apoptosis in cancer by indirect mechanisms. One strategy for augmenting immune-mediated apoptosis in cancer cells has been through manipulation of the Fas receptor (Fas) and Fas ligand (FasL) signaling pathway.

Fas-dependent apoptosis is mediated through the binding of FasL, expressed by immune effector cells, with cognate Fas receptor expressed on the surface of target cancer cells. Binding of FasL with the Fas receptor results in clustering of intracytoplasmic death domains and consequent cleavage of initiator procaspases by proximity-mediated activation. In the context of clonal evolution, tumor cells would favor the loss of Fas receptor expression with consequent enrichment of the immune evasion pathway. In this study, gemcitabine caused increased apoptosis as measured by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end-labeling (TUNEL) and marked necrosis within lung metastatic lesions. Metastatic foci of gemcitabine-treated dogs also had increased Fas expression compared to the primary tumor and also when compared to controls, suggesting gemcitabine therapy reverses the down regulation of Fas that is often recognized in metastatic OS lesions. Despite evidence for beneficial immunomodulatory changes induced by gemcitabine aerosolization, little clinical benefit was detected in treated dogs, with median DFI and overall survival time being comparable with historical controls.

**Therapeutic Tumor Vaccines**

Recently, various tumor vaccine platforms have been investigated as novel treatment strategies for improving the management of diverse tumor types including canine OS. In one study intended to augment innate immune responses generated within the tumor microenvironment, an IV, attenuated Salmonella typhimurium (VNP20009) that preferentially localizes and proliferates within tumor tissues, was evaluated in a limited number of dogs with OS (n = 4) and produced modest antitumor activities as indicated by a partial response achieved in one dog. Despite some evidence of antitumor immune activation, numerous adverse effects also were noted in this study, limiting the use of VNP20009 to primarily a research setting. In addition to a bacterial agent for enhancing immune responses to OS cells, an oncolytic vaccinia virus (strain L1VP6.1.1) also was successfully tested in vitro for its ability to lyse D-17 cells. Although the oncolytic vaccinia virus strategy produced potent localized innate immune responses in murine xenograft models, the translational evaluation of such oncolytic viral strategies has yet to be reported in dogs with OS.

Sophisticated combination cytokine vaccine strategies have been evaluated in dogs with OS, and have produced early evidence of activity. In one study, the effects of vaccination with irradiated autologous or allogeneic OS tumor cells with xenogeneic cytokine-producing cells (hGM-CSF and hIL-2) administered SC plus suicide-gene therapy with ganciclovir (GCV) delivered either intratumorally or peritumorally was evaluated in dogs with appendicular or axial OS (n = 5). To stimulate a robust innate immune response, the combination vaccine strategy incorporated a herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSVtk) suicide gene that had been shown to sensitize transfected cells to GCV. Clinically, the combination cytokine vaccine strategy exerted modest antitumor activities, with 1 dog with appendicular OS achieving a partial response, and 2 dogs with axial OS maintaining stable disease. Survival time for the 5 OS dogs ranged from 96 to >386 days, with most dogs living <1 year.

A recent pilot study in healthy beagle dogs investigated the ability of a dual vaccination platform comprised of DNA electroporation and adenovirus serotype 6 (Ad6) for the induction of an immune response against 2 potential targetable tumor-associated antigens, telomerase (TERT), or HER2/neu. Both vaccines were found to induce polyspecific T-cell responses, supporting the ability of the vaccines to elicit quantifiable immune activation, and the TERT vaccine was found
to significantly increase the number of CD8+ cells. These immune responses were induced with just one injection but could be maintained over time with repeated injections.94

With the demonstration that immune responses could be generated in healthy dogs against HER2/Neu, another group has adopted an innovative approach to test if clinically relevant immune responses can be evoked against HER2/Neu-expressing canine OS cells, with a resultant delay in micrometastatic disease progression in dogs with OS. Through the use of a recombinant HER2/neu-expressing Listeria monocytogenes vaccine, preliminary results have been promising with increased survival times in dogs receiving Listeria monocytogenes vaccination in comparison with historical controls (N. M., personal communication). Although early in its clinical assessment in dogs with micrometastatic OS, the reported findings generated by the investigational Listeria monocytogenes vaccine raise exciting possibilities for the future of therapeutic vaccination as a transformative and complementary strategy for improving long-term treatment outcomes in dogs with OS.

Conclusion

A large body of scientific and clinical evidence exists supporting the immunogenicity of canine OS. Given the therapeutic plateau reached with conventional cytotoxic therapies for the management of bone sarcomas in both dogs and people, substantive impetus exists for the focused development and validation of innovative immunotherapeutic platforms for improving long-term disease management. Although new immunotherapeutic platforms potentially could emerge as potent single-agent therapies for canine OS, adjuvant or combination therapies employing both immunotherapy and cytotoxic chemotherapy also could create substantial impact in the therapeutic management of canine OS. Many of the immunotherapies currently investigated have indicated only limited capacity to substantially extend survival time compared to standard treatment or are still in preliminary phases of testing. Nonetheless, continued research in how to best harness the immune system to combat OS micrometastatic disease remains a highly desirable treatment strategy that holds promise to transform the management of metastatic bone sarcomas in dogs and human beings.
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