Neurophysiological and clinical aspects of nerve communications of the upper and lower extremities
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Summary

Introduction: Anomalous innervations of the extremities are common and influence the interpretation of electrophysiologic studies in normal subjects and those with peripheral nerve lesions. The aim of this study was to describe the most common innervation anomalies in upper and lower extremities and to point out their clinical repercussions. Methods: Article has an analytical character and review of literature, including some personal articles. Results and Discussion: Double innervation and communications among nerves are causes of anomalies of innervation of the skin and muscles. The fact of communications of fibers among nerves is better called "nerve communication" than "nerve anastomosis". Anomalous innervations of the upper and lower extremities are, therefore, common and influence on the interpretation of neurophysiological parameters during electromyoneurography. Namely, in the course of an electrodiagnostic investigation of a peripheral nerve lesion, the examiner may be confronted with unexpected findings in contradiction with the clinical picture. In this review, a description is given of the most common innervation anomalies in upper and lower extremities: median to ulnar nerve communication (Martin-Gruber anastomosis); ulnar to median nerve communication (Marchi anastomosis/MA); variations in the innervation of intrinsic muscles of the hand (Riche-Cannieu anastomosis; Berrettini anastomosis); accessory deep peroneal nerve; and tibial to peroneal nerve communication. Conclusion: As anomalous innervations of the extremities are common and influence the interpretation of electrophysiological studies in normal subjects and those with peripheral nerve lesions, detailed anatomical knowledge is essential for accurate interpretation of physical examination, electrophysiological findings, diagnosis, prognosis and reducing the risk of iatrogenic injuries during surgical procedures. If these variations are not given due regard, errors and other consequences will be inevitable.
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Sažetak

Neurofiziološki i klinički aspekti komunikacije između živaca na gornjim i donjim ekstremitetima
Anatomske varijacije u inervaciji na ekstremitetima su česte i utiču na tumačenje elektrofizioloških analiza, kako u zdravim ispitivanima tako i onih s lezijama perifernih živaca. Cilj ovog članka je bio opisati najčešće anatomske varijacije u inervaciji gornjih i donjih ekstremiteta i ukazati na njihov klinički značaj. Metode: Članak ima analitički karakter i pregled literaturi, uključujući neke osobne članke. Rezultati i Diskusija: Dvostruke inervacije i komunikacije između živaca uzrokuju anom-
alne inervacije kože i mišića. Terminološki, komunikaciju vlakana među živicima bolje je nazvati „komunikacija među živicama“ nego živčana anastomoza”. Anomalne inervacije na gornjim i donjim ekstremitetima su, dakle česte i utječu na tumačenje neurofizioloških parametara u tijeku elektromi-oneurografije. Naime, tijekom elektrodiagnostičkog ispitivanja lezije perifernih živaca, ispitivač se može suočiti s neočekivanim nalazima koji mogu biti u suprotnosti s prezentiranom kliničkom slikom. U ovom preglednom članku dan je opis najčešćih anomalija u inervaciji gornjih i donjih ekstremjeta: komunikacija između medijana i ulnarisa (Martin-Gruberova anastomoza); komunikacija koja ide od ulnarnog prema medijalnom živcu (Marinacci-jeva anastomoza); varijacija u inervaciji intrizičkih mišića šake (Riche-Cannieu-ova anastomoza; Berrettini-jeva anastomoza); akcesorni duboki peronelani živac i komunikacija između tibijalnog i peronelanskog živaca. Zakučak: Kako su anatomske varijacije u inervaciji ekstremjeta česte i utječu na interpretaciju elektrofizioloških analiza, kako u zdravih subjektima, tako isto i u onih s lezijama perifernih živaca, detaljna anatomska znanja su ključna za točnu interpretaciju fizikalnog pregleda, elektrofizioloških analiza, dijagnostičkog zaključka, progonoze i smanjenja rizika od nastanka jatrogenog oštećenja tijekom kirurških zahvata. Ako se ove varijacije ne uzmu u obzir, pogreške i druge posljedice bit će neizbježne.

**Ključne riječi:** Varijacije u inervaciji – Gornji i donji ekstremiteti – Elektromioneurografija – Dijagnostika – Progonoza

**Introduction**

Double innervation, abnormal innervation and communications among nerves are causes of anomalies of innervation. The fact of communications of fibers among nerves is better called “nerve communication” than “nerve anastomosis”. The different anatomical anomalies of peripheral nerves occur with various frequencies in the population 1-2. The most widely recognized are Martin-Gruber anastomosis (MGA), accessory deep peroneal nerve (ADPN), and complete inervations of the intrinsic hand muscles by the ulnar nerve (“all ulnar hand”). Anomalous inervations of the upper and lower extremities are common and influence the interpretation of neurophysiological studies in normal subjects and clinical features of those with peripheral nerve lesions 3. Namely, in the course of an electrodagnostic investigation of a peripheral nerve lesion, the examiner may be confronted with unexpected findings in contradiction with the clinical picture. Awareness of such anomalies may be important in order to avoid misdiagnoses during electrophysiological study, such as a conduction block involving the ulnar nerve or carpal tunnel syndrome or axonal lesion of the peroneal nerve 1-4.

On the upper limb, it was described several communicating branches between median and ulnar nerve. Anastomosis in which the branch anastomatic originates proximally in the median nerve and unites distally in the ulnar nerve is known as median - ulnar anastomosis type or Martin-Gruber anastomosis (MGA). Martin, a Swedish anatomist in 1763, was the first one to consider the possibility of connection between the fascicles of the median to ulnar nerves in the forearm 5, and than in 1870. Gruber dissected 250 forearms and found 38 connections (15.2%) 8. Anatomic studies have estimated its frequency from 10.5-44% 4, and electrophysiological studies demonstrating the connection in 14-34% 9. Reversed Martin-Gruber anastomosis, ulnar to - median nerve anastomosis, known as Marinacci communication (MA), rises from ulnar nerve, and join the median nerve, also in the forearm. Marinacci (in 1964) first reported patient who, following trauma of the median nerve, at the forearm, had preservation of median nerve innervated hand muscle despite denervation of forearm flexors 10-11. Occurrence frequency for MA was reported as 1.3%, by Kimura et al. 12, 4% by Sundaram et al. 13 but in recent meta-analysis by Roy et al. 15 the overall pooled prevalence of this anastomosis was 0.7%, and for MGA the overall pooled prevalence was 19.5%. This study included a total 58 articles.

On the lower limb the most widely recognized is accessory deep peroneal nerve (ADPN) 16. ADPN was reported initially by Ruge in 1878, and the first anatomical description was provided by Bryce (1891, 1901). Winckler published in 1934 a more detailed analysis of this nerve and reported a more frequent occurrence in man (7 of 19 legs) 17. From the late 1960s, this anomalous variation has been reported to occur in as many as 28% of people 18-19. This anomaly has an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance in man 19,21. The anatomy of the upper limbs is very complex. In addition to the complexity of the format of the brachial plexus and the existence of specific anatomical zones such as the ulnar, carpal and Guyon canal, anomalous nerve branches can be found. These may form anastomoses in particular sites that have clinical and functional importance 22.
The innervation pattern that is most often in relation to the intrinsic muscles that act in relation to fine movements of the hand is that the opposing short abductor muscle, the superficial portion of the short flexor muscle and the first and second lumbrical muscles receive innervation from the median nerve. The deep portion of the short flexor muscle, the adductor muscle of the thumb, the muscles of the hypothenar region, the dorsal and palmar interosseous muscles and the fourth and fifth lumbrical muscles are innervated by the ulnar nerve. But, as we already maintained, the great variety of the different anatomical anomalies of peripheral nerves occur with various frequencies in the population. Clinically, variations of the innervation of these small muscles are very important, in that even if the median or ulnar nerve is completely damaged, some of these muscles may not necessarily be paralyzed. This could lead to the wrong conclusion that the nerve had not become damaged.

Reports on clinical cases have often suggested that double innervation of these muscles exists. Nerve communication (anatomical variations) between the median and ulnar nerves may occur in the forearm, usually in the proximal third of the forearm (Martin-Gruber anastomosis), between the thenar motor branch of the median nerve and the deep motor branch of the ulnar nerve in the palm of the hand (Cannieu and Riché anastomosis) or between the sensory branches of the two nerves, also in the palm of the hand (Berrettini anastomosis or superficial sensory communicating branch). Thus, the variety of clinical conditions observed in cases of isolated lesions of the median and ulnar nerves disagrees with the classical innervation pattern of these muscles that is suggested by classical anatomical studies.

Furthermore, better knowledge of the anatomical variations in the innervation of these muscles is important with regard to diagnosing nerve injuries. A variety of anomalous interconnections exist between the median and ulnar nerves in the forearm and hand. Some reports in the literature have differentiated three types of Martin-Gruber communication. In types I and II, anomalous innervation of the abductor muscles of the little finger and the first dorsal interosseous muscle, respectively, are observed. In type III, there is anomalous innervation of the short abductor of the thumb. In types I and II, nerve fascicles head from the median to the ulnar nerve, while in type III (Cannieu and Riché anastomosis) they head from the ulnar to the median (Figure 1). Furthermore, we can also talk about the four major types of anomalous interconnections between the median and ulnar nerves in the forearm and hand: Martin-Gruber anastomosis (MGA), Marinacci anastomosis (MA), Riche-Cannieu anastomosis (RCA), and Berrettini anastomosis (BA), with the former two occurring in the forearm and the latter two arising in the hand. MGA is considered to follow an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance and has been linked to Trisomy 21 with bilateral presentation. However, in already mentioned recent meta-analysis by Roy et al., MGA was more commonly found unilaterally, on the right side, following an oblique course, and originating from the anterior interosseous nerve with a prevalence of 57.6%. It is probably the most well known of the anastomotic anomalies that occur at various levels between the median and ulnar nerves (Figure 2).
The electrophysiological recognition of these anomalies and the manner in which they affect the interpretation of electrodiagnostic studies in various conditions is very important. It is formed by motor axons from the median nerve or its branch anterior interosseous nerve that cross in the upper forearm to join the ulnar nerve. Anatomic studies have estimated its frequency from 10.5-44%\(^\text{26}\), and electrophysiological studies demonstrating the connection in 14-34%\(^\text{30-31}\). It may be unilateral or often bilateral\(^\text{26}\). Roy et al.\(^\text{14}\) showed that MGA is more commonly unilateral and on the right side, in agreement with other studies\(^\text{32-34}\). This anastomosis predominantly consists of motor axons, with rare sensory contribution, which may follow different distribution\(^\text{35}\). Simonetti\(^\text{36}\) wrote that although anatomical studies have shown that a crossover of sensory fibers is not rare in forearm Martin-Gruber median-ulnar anastomosis; however it has been electrophysiologically described only in rare subjects. According to the recent anatomical study of Diz-Díaz et al.\(^\text{37}\) almost a third of specimens were found to have MGA connections composed of motor and sensory fibers. In one of our studies we have demonstrated the high incidence of sensory fibers (30.9% of 113 subject with motor form of MGA)\(^\text{38}\).

While MGA is most frequently associated with the ulnar artery, it is important to note that it has also been related to the anterior ulnar recurrent artery. Awareness of this variation during open reduction and internal fixation of a radius/ulnar shaft fracture may be significant in minimizing iatrogenic damage\(^\text{14, 24, 28}\).

The clinical implications of MGA are incomprehensible. Riechers et al.\(^\text{39}\) quote this anastomosis as the main factor causing or inducing complications during surgical procedures. In addition to cases involving the anterior ulnar recurrent artery, iatrogenic damage is possible in the management of ulnar artery reconstruction, wrist drop, and ulnar nerve transposition\(^\text{14, 40-41}\).

Complication of diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome, cubital tunnel syndrome, peripheral lesions, and neuropathies may also result in the presence of MGA. For example, in the case of carpal tunnel syndrome, there may be partial or total sparing of thenar muscles, atypical as well as unusual findings insensitive innervations of the fingers and evoked muscle potentials\(^\text{20, 24, 40, 42-43}\). Conversely, a patient may present with symptoms of carpal tunnel syndrome, but show negative findings with regard to Tinel and Phalen tests, due to ulnar nerve compression at the elbow\(^\text{44}\).

**Marinacci anastomosis**

The ulnar to median nerve anastomosis (Marinacci anastomosis/MA), also known as reverse MGA, in the forearm is a rare occurrence\(^\text{11}\). MA, like MGA, is mainly comprised of motor fibers, with few accounts of afferents only\(^\text{45}\). Resende et al.\(^\text{46}\) suspected on this diagnosis on electrophysiological study when CMAP of the abductor pollicis brevis muscle obtained by maximal stimulation of the median nerve at the elbow, was lower than obtained at the wrist. The diagnosis was confirmed by stimulation of the ulnar nerve at the elbow, which evoked a CMAP of the same muscle with a clear negative initial deflection. Presence of MA should be suspected if ulnar nerve stimulation, during electroneurography, at the elbow yields compound muscle action CMAPs with larger amplitudes than with stimulation at the wrist.

![Figure 2. Martin–Gruber anastomosis by electrodiagnostical investigation or electroneurography – plurisegmental analysis of ulnar nerve](image-url)
Knowledge of this anastomosis can prevent interpretation of changes over the median nerve as neuropraxia. Brandsma et al. reported that the clinical importance of this anastomosis is that an isolated ulnar nerve lesion at the elbow may produce an unusual pattern of intrinsic muscle paralysis. On the other side, the presence of MGA causing a decline in ulnar CMAP amplitude across the elbow segment, and could mimic a partial conduction block, which would suggest ulnar neuropathy at the elbow. Dogan et al. described the case of a patient with a condition of compressive neuropathy of the ulnar nerve who presented altered sensitivity in the ring finger and little finger, but with atrophy of the short abductor muscle of the thumb. They attributed this finding to an anomaly of innervation of the short abductor. Scelsa reported an ulnar-median anastomosis (MA) in the forearm in a patient with clinical and electrophysiological evidence of ulnar neuropathy at the elbow and unexpected fibrillations in the abductor pollicis brevis muscle. So, unexpected finding of these fibrillations should raise the possibility of the MA. Therefore, the clinical implications of MA are important, and a lack of attention to the changes in amplitude in the CMAPs upon proximal and distal ulnar nerve stimulation can lead to changes over the median nerve being interpreted as neuropraxia. Median nerve injuries at the elbow may not result in clinically significant effects on the thenar muscles, and ulnar nerve injuries at the elbow may be accompanied by denervation changes over median-innervated thenar muscles.

The accessory deep peroneal nerve

According to standard textbooks of anatomy the peroneal nerve is derived from the L4-S1 nerve roots, which travel from the lumbosacral plexus and eventually the sciatic nerve. Within the sciatic nerve, the fibers forming the peroneal nerve run separately from those that become the tibial nerve. More distally, the sciatic nerve bifurcates above the popliteal fossa into the common peroneal and tibial nerves. The common peroneal nerve first gives rise to the lateral cutaneous nerve of the knee, and divides into the deep and superficial peroneal nerves. The deep peroneal nerve innervates the peroneus tertius muscle and the dorsiflexors of the ankle and toes, including the tibialis anterior muscle, extensor digitorum longus and extensor hallucis longus, and extensor digitorum brevis. The accessory deep peroneal nerve has been regarded as an anomalous nerve derived from the superficial peroneal nerve or its branch and supplies motor innervations for extensor digitorum brevis (EDB) and sensory innervations for the lateral part of the ankle and foot regions. ADPN arises from the superficial peroneal nerve on the lateral aspects of the leg, descends along the posterior border of the peroneus brevis muscle near to the Achilles tendon and sural nerve and winds around the lateral malleolus (Figure 3). ADPN was reported initially by Ruge in 1878, and the first anatomical description was provided by Bryce (1891, 1901). Winckler published in 1934 a more detailed analysis of this nerve and reported a more frequent occurrence in man (7 of 19 legs). From the late 1960s, this anomalous variation has been reported to occur in as many as 28% of people. This anomaly has an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance in man. The EDB is usually innervated exclusively by the deep peroneal nerve, however, in some cases, one or both of the EDB muscles are innervated by the ADPN nerve (partially or exclusively), and could be detected by nerve conduction studies (Figure 4 i 5). It was reported that ADPN was present in 12–35% of the popula-
a) action potential evoked when stimulating the deep peroneal nerve at the ankle;
b) action potential evoked when stimulating the common peroneal at the knee;
c) action potential evoked when stimulating the accessory deep peroneal nerve

Figure 4. Muscle extensor digitorum brevis partially innervated by accessory deep peroneal nerve

a) no action potential when stimulating the deep peroneal nerve at the ankle;
b) action potential evoked when stimulating the common peroneal at the knee;
c) action potential evoked when stimulating the accessory deep peroneal nerve

Figure 5. Muscle extensor digitorum brevis exclusively innervated by accessory deep peroneal nerve
It was found that there is a wide variation of prevalence of ADPN among different studies. One meta-analysis study assessed the overall pooled ADPN prevalence of 18.8%, the electrophysiological pooled ADPN prevalence of 13.6%, and the anatomical pooled prevalence of 39.3%. This could be explained by the differences between studies regarding the studied population and the techniques used in the assessment of ADPN, whether anatomical or electrophysiological studies.

The ADPN has more than one clinical importance. Studying the ADPN can complicate the clinical picture and disturb the interpretation of the electrophysiological studies of common peroneal, deep peroneal, and superficial peroneal nerves lesions and injuries, as well as, ADPN neuropathy. Namely, superficial peroneal nerve and its branches (including ADPN) are risk for iatrogenic damage while performing arthroscopy, local anesthetic block, surgical approach to the fibula, open reduction and internal fixation of lateral malleolar fractures, application of external fixators, elevation of a fasciocutaneous or fibular flaps for grafting, surgical decompression of neurovascular structures, or miscellaneous surgery on leg, foot and ankle.

**Tibial-to-peroneal nerve communication**

Phillips and Morgan in 1993 described the findings in a case of tibial-peroneal nerve communication on the basis of intraoperative nerve conduction studies. Stimulation of the tibial nerve produced a contraction from the peroneus longus muscle and a nerve action potential in the distal peroneal nerve. A prior tibial-peroneal communication was reported but no details given. Linden and Berlit described this nerve communications named „all tibial foot“, and this raer anomalous innervation were described in several other case reports. Yamashita et al. emphasized that this communication between the tibial and deep peroneal nerves include sensory fibers. Swerdloff and Stewart in their recently paper reported frequency of this anomalous as 11% (8 cases out of 72 subjects), and communication was present in both legs in half of the subjects.

**Conclusion**

Anomalous innervations of the upper and lower extremities are common and influence the interpretation of neurophysiological studies in normal subjects and clinical features of those with peripheral nerve lesions. Namely, in the course of an electrodiagnostic investigation of a peripheral nerve lesion, the examiner may be confronted with unexpected findings in contradiction with the clinical picture. The most common innervation anomalies in upper and lower extremities are: median to ulnar nerve communication (Martin-Gruber anastomosis/MGA) and accessory deep peroneal nerve (ADPN), then ulnar to median nerve communication (Marinacci anastomosis/MA); variations in the innervation of intrinsic muscles of the hand (RicheCannieu anastomosis/RCA; Berrettini anastomosis) and tibial to peroneal nerve communication (TP).

As anomalous innervations of the extremities are common and influence the interpretation of electrophysiological studies in normal subjects and those with peripheral nerve lesions, detailed anatomical knowledge is essential for accurate interpretation of physical examination, electrophysiological findings, diagnosis, prognosis and reducing the risk of iatrogenic injuries during surgical procedures. If these variations are not given enough attention, errors and other consequences will be inevitable.
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