Belatacept-based immunosuppressive regimen in HIV-positive kidney transplant recipients
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ABSTRACT

Background. Kidney allograft survival in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive patients is lower than that in the general population. Belatacept increases long-term patient and allograft survival rates when compared with calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs). Its use in HIV-positive recipients remains poorly documented.
**INTRODUCTION**

Discovering highly active antiretroviral (ARV) therapy in the mid-1990s changed human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection from a debilitating and life-threatening disease to a chronic manageable condition [1, 2]. Chronic comorbidities, rather than opportunistic infections (OIs), have now become the leading cause of death in people living with HIV (PLHIV) [3]. HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN) is the fourth leading cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in African-Americans [4, 5]. Currently, kidney transplantation is a well-recognized therapeutic option in PLHIV with ESRD, essentially because of cardiovascular comorbidity, OI and interactions with ARV regimen. For such, the effect of new immunosuppressive maintenance agents needs to be evaluated in those patients. Belatacept (CTLA4-Ig), a costimulation blocker, is a new immunosuppressive agent used in kidney transplant recipients as both de novo therapy and conversion therapy from calcineurin inhibitor-based regimen, where the 1-year acute rejection incidence was 6%, i.e. lowering the risk of rejection by 40% compared with the results of the biggest cohort on HIV kidney allograft recipients treated with protease inhibitors (PIs) [6–8]. HIV infection was well controlled thanks to the wide use of integrase inhibitor-based regimen, and CD4 T-cell counts and CD8 T-cell counts remained stable over time. Serious adverse events included two (17%) acute steroid-resistant T-cell-mediated rejections and three (25%) OIs. Kidney allograft function significantly increased over the 12 post-switch months (P = 0.009), and DSAs remained stable at 12 months after treatment. The control group showed similar results in terms of patient and kidney allograft survival rates, DSA characteristics and proteinuria.

**Methods.** We retrospectively report a French cohort of HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients who were switched from CNI to belatacept, between June 2012 and December 2018. Patient and allograft survival rates, HIV immunovirological and clinical outcomes, acute rejection, opportunistic infections (OIs) and HLA donor-specific antibodies (DSAs) were analysed at 3 and 12 months, and at the end of follow-up (last clinical visit attended after transplantation). Results were compared with HIV-positive recipients group treated with CNI.

**Results.** Twelve patients were switched to belatacept 10 (2–25) months after transplantation. One year after belatacept therapy, patient and allograft survival rates scored 92% for both, two (17%) HIV virological rebounds occurred due to antiretroviral therapy non-compliance, and CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell counts remained stable over time. Serious adverse events included two (17%) acute steroid-resistant T-cell-mediated rejections and three (25%) OIs. Kidney allograft function significantly increased over the 12 post-switch months (P = 0.009), and DSAs remained stable at 12 months after treatment. The control group showed similar results in terms of patient and kidney allograft survival rates, DSA characteristics and proteinuria.

**Conclusions.** Switch from CNI to belatacept can be considered safe and may increase long-term kidney allograft survival in HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients. These results need to be confirmed in a larger cohort.
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**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

We conducted a French national retrospective multicentre study including all HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients who were shifted from CNI to belatacept between June 2012 and December 2018. All French transplant programmes were contacted to collect patients. Five centres participated in the study (Henri-Mondor, Necker-Enfants-Malades, Rouen, Clermont-Ferrand and Grenoble). The control group included HIV-positive patients who were engrafted during the same study period, and treated with conventional CNI-based immunosuppressive treatment. Approval from Institutional Review Board was obtained (#00003835).

**Study endpoints**

Primary endpoints were patient and allograft survival [Modification of Diet in Renal Disease-calculated estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) [19] and proteinuria] and HIV immunovirological status (CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell counts and HIV plasma viral load). Allograft loss was considered if eGFR was <6 mL/min/1.73 m² and/or dialysis was needed.

We also analysed the influence of belatacept treatment on (i) incidence of biopsy-proven acute rejection, as defined by updated Banff classification [20], (ii) evolution of eGFR, (iii) incidence of OI and (iv) donor-specific antibody (DSA) trend.

All endpoints were recorded 3 and 12 months after conversion and at the end of the follow-up (last clinical visit attended after transplantation). Belatacept interruption for any cause was considered as end of follow-up.
**HLA-specific antibody screening**

HLA-A, HLA-B, Cw, HLA-DR and HLA-DQ genotyping were performed for donors and recipients via low- and high-resolution tests, respectively. All sera obtained before and after transplantation and belatacept switch (M3 and M12) were assessed for the presence of circulating DSA and de novo DSA (dnDSA) using high-resolution LumineX Single Antigen Bead assay technology (One-Lambda, Canoga-Park, CA, USA) on LumineX-platform. Beads showing a normalized mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) >500 were considered positive. For each serum sample, the number of DSA and the highest MFI of all DSAs (MFImax) were calculated.

**Causes of switch to belatacept**

Known criteria of CNI-to-belatacept switch were (i) chronic allograft dysfunction, (ii) significantly delayed allograft function, (iii) severe histological vascular lesions (cv and ah) or CNI toxicity, and (iv) non-compliance to CNI [10, 12, 13, 20, 21, 23]. New indications like DSA control are currently under evaluation [22].

**Immunosuppressive protocol**

The induction therapy included thymoglobulin (Genzyme, Cambridge, MA, USA) at 3 mg/kg, the total dose was given >4 days to patients with pre-transplantation panel-reactive antibodies of >85% and/or having DSA before transplantation, otherwise basiliximab (20 mg at transplantation day and 4 days after) was used. The maintenance therapy included CNI, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and steroids. MMF could be modified at physician discretion.

**Belatacept switch protocol**

Conversion protocol was as follows: (i) early-switch (<3 months after transplantation): CNI was stopped at Day 1 and belatacept infusion of 10 mg/kg was administered at Days 1, 5, 14 and 28, Weeks 8 and 12, followed by a 5 mg/kg infusion given every 4–6 weeks, from Week 16 and onwards; (ii) late-switch (>3 months after transplantation): CNI was stepped down to 50% at Day 14 and stopped at Day 28 after the switch, and belatacept infusion of 10 mg/kg was administered at Days 1, 14 and 28, followed by a 5 mg/kg infusion given every 4–6 weeks, from Week 16 and onwards.

**Infectious prophylaxis**

Participants who had pre-transplantation positive cytomegalovirus (CMV) IgG serology were treated with valganciclovir for 6 months after transplantation.

Participants with latent tuberculosis (LTB; TTS or QuantiFERON positive but showed no signs of active tuberculosi) were treated with isoniazid for 9 months after transplantation.

**Statistics**

Variables were treated as proportions for categorical variables, and median and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables. For the continuous variables representing the study endpoints, only their last values were considered in the analysis. Changes in continuous variables from baseline to follow-up were compared using Wilcoxon paired test. Mann–Whitney test was used to compare the differences in continuous variables between groups, and Fisher’s exact or Chi-square test was used to compare the differences of categorical variables between groups. Patient and allograft survival rates were analysed using Kaplan–Meier survival curve.

All reported P-values are two-tailed, with significance set at 0.05. Analyses were done with Prism version 7.0 for Mac.

**RESULTS**

Twelve HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients had their regimens switched from CNI to belatacept (Belatacept group) (Table 1). Onset of switch was 10 (2–25) months after transplantation with three (25%) early switches. Causes of switch were CNI toxicity (n = 5, 42%), allograft dysfunction (n = 4, 33%) and vascular histological lesions (n = 3, 25%). Median eGFR at the 6 months after transplantation were 54 (24–82) mL/min/1.73 m² for the Belatacept group and 64 (39–92) mL/min/1.73 m² for the Control group.

**Table 1. Patients’ characteristics at the time of kidney transplantation**

| Variables | Belatacept | Control | P-value |
|-----------|------------|---------|---------|
| Recipient, n (%) | 12 (100) | 20 (100) | – |
| Age, mean ± SD, years | 50 ± 12 | 51 ± 7 | 0.88 |
| Sex, female, n (%) | 3 (25) | 8 (40) | 0.46 |
| Initial nephropathy | | | |
| HIVAN, n (%) | 5 (41) | 11 (55) | 0.13 |
| Glomerulopathy, n (%) | 3 (25) | 5 (25) | – |
| Other, n (%) | 2 (17) | 3 (15) | – |
| Dialysis, n (%) | 12 (100) | 20 (100) | 1.00 |
| Haemodialysis, n (%) | 9 (75) | 20 (100) | 0.04 |
| Duration, median (IQR), months | 54 (24–82) | 64 (39–92) | 0.71 |
| HCV +, n (%) | 1 (8) | 2 (10) | 1.00 |
| HBV +, n (%) | 3 (25) | 2 (10) | 0.34 |
| Immunological risk factors | | | |
| Transfusions, n (%) | 3 (25) | 6 (30) | 1.00 |
| HLA DSA, n (%) | 6 (50) | 7 (35) | 0.47 |
| HIV disease | | | |
| Duration, median (IQR), years | 13 (9–17) | 12 (8–23) | 0.42 |
| CD4 nadir, median (IQR), mm³ | 171 (75–549) | – | – |
| CD4 before transplant, median (IQR), mm³ | 411 (267–565) | 306 (254–445) | 0.09 |
| CD8 before transplant median (IQR), mm³ | 633 (372–709) | 519 (417–846) | 0.40 |
| Donor | | | |
| Age, mean ± SD, years | 57 ± 15 | 51 ± 14 | 0.29 |
| Deceased donor, n (%) | 10 (83) | 18 (90) | 0.62 |
| eGFR, median (IQR), mL/min/1.73 m² | 51 (29–77) | 64 (26–81) | 0.50 |
| Extended-criteria donor, n (%) | 7 (58) | 13 (65) | 0.72 |
| Kidney transplantation | | | |
| Cold ischaemia time, mean ± SD, h | 20 ± 5 | 16 ± 5 | 0.07 |
| Induction, n (%) | 12 (100) | 20 (100) | – |
| Thymoglobulin, n (%) | 6 (50) | 10 (50) | 1.00 |
| Maintenance therapy | | | |
| CNIs, n (%) | 12 (100) | 20 (100) | – |
| MMF, n (%) | 11 (92) | 18 (90) | 1.00 |
| mTOR inhibitors, n (%) | 1 (8) | 2 (10) | 1.00 |
| Steroids, n (%) | 12 (100) | 20 (100) | – |

HTN, hypertension.
HBV patients were all treated and checked with negative viral load before and at transplantation. At 3 and 12 months after kidney transplantation, patient survival was, respectively, 100 and 92%, whereas allograft survival was 92%. We compared patient and allograft survival with those of the control group, which included 20 kidney allograft recipients treated with CNI (Table 1). Characteristics of both groups, at the time of transplantation, were similar. No significant difference in patient survival ($P = 0.38$) and allograft survival ($P = 0.36$) was detected between the belatacept group and the control group (Figure 1A and B). The two deaths occurred 7 and 8 months after switching to belatacept, at the age of 63 and 72 years old, respectively. Causes of deaths were cardiovascular event for the second and unknown for the first despite the extensive review of the latter’s medical record. The cause of the single allograft loss was refractory acute rejection. In functioning allografts, eGFR increased significantly 12 months after the switch [eGFR = 18 (15–27) mL/min/1.73 m$^2$, $P = 0.009$, Figure 1C], whereas proteinuria remained similar ($P = 0.22$, Figure 1C).

After 12 months of belatacept treatment, CD4$^+$ T-cell count was 318 (150–608)/mm$^3$ and HIV viral load rebound was identified in two (17%) patients who admitted non-adherence to ARV therapy for a couple of weeks. However, their viral load decreased as soon as ARV therapy was reinitiated. CD4$^+$ T-cell count significantly decreased at 3 months of belatacept compared with pre-transplantation level ($P = 0.02$) and remained insignificantly different at 12 months of the therapy (0.29). In contrast, CD8$^+$ T-cell count significantly decreased 3 months after belatacept switch, compared with pre-transplantation ($P = 0.02$), and significantly increased from 3 to 12 months ($P = 0.01$), reaching pre-transplantation level ($P = 0.47$). Results were similar regardless of induction therapy (anti-interleukin-2 receptor or antithymocyte globulin). In the control group, CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T-cell counts remained stable during the 12 months post-transplantation ($P = 0.22$ and $P = 0.18$, respectively).

Two patients (17%) suffered T-cell-mediated rejection (TCMR) at 2 and 24 months of conversion. Both were early switch, i.e. <3 months after transplantation. Despite high doses of steroids, both TCMR episodes led to rapid allograft loss and arrest of belatacept. None had DSA and they were not treated with thymoglobulin. The control group had a similar incidence of acute rejection in four (20%) patients ($P = 1.00$).

Distribution of DSA at 12 months after belatacept switch was similar to the baseline value (Table 3). Donor-specific DSA was observed at 12 months of belatacept in one patient (8%), who did not present acute rejection. In the control group, the distribution of DSA at 12 months after transplantation was similar to that of pre-transplantation.

Three patients (25%) developed OIs in the 12 after-switch months: one had disseminated tuberculosis with macrophage activation syndrome that incurred belatacept disruption 3 months after conversion and 12 months after transplantation, one CMV colitis developed 57 months after conversion and 60 months after transplantation, and one had chronic norovirus diarrhoea with good outcome after reducing immunosuppressant doses. Two of the three patients experienced OI before transplantation. The one with disseminated tuberculosis was treated for LTBI for 9 months before transplantation. At the time of OI, the three patients had CD4$^+$ T-cell counts of 92, 419 and 152/mm$^3$, respectively. Another two patients (17%) presented CMV viraemia without developing CMV disease at 1 and 27 months after the switch. Two patients (17%) presented BK viraemia at 1 and 25 months after the switch. In the control group, the incidences of OI [n = 1 (5%); $P = 0.28$], CMV viraemia

| Variables | Belatacept |
|-----------|------------|
| Patients, n | 12 |
| Post-transplantation switch onset, months, median (IQR) | $10 (2–25)$ |
| Early (<3 months), n (%) | $3 (25)$ |
| Late (>3 months), n (%) | $9 (75)$ |
| Cause of switch, n (%) | | |
| Vascular | $3 (25)$ |
| CNI toxicity | $5 (42)$ |
| Graft dysfunction | $4 (33)$ |
| eGFR, median (IQR), mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ | $13 (9–19)$ |
| Proteinuria/creatininuria ratio, median (IQR) | $37 (12–57)$ |

Follow-up

3 months, recipients, n | 11 |
| eGFR, median (IQR), mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ | $18 (14–22)$ |
| Proteinuria/creatininuria ratio, median (IQR) | $14 (6–35)$ |
| Acute rejection, n (%) | $1 (8)$ |
| CD4, median (IQR), mm$^3$ | $213 (90–292)$ |
| CD8, median (IQR), mm$^3$ | $319 (196–319)$ |
| HIV reactivation, n (%) | $1 (8)$ |
| Patient death, n (%) | $0 (0)$ |
| Kidney allograft loss, n (%) | $1 (8)$ |
| OI, n (%) | $3 (25)$ |
| Belatacept stopped, n (%) | $1 (8)$ |

12 months, recipients, n | 9 |
| eGFR, median (IQR), mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ | $18 (15–27)$ |
| Proteinuria/creatininuria ratio, median (IQR) | $30 (15–63)$ |
| Acute rejection, n (%) | $1 (9)$ |
| CD4, median (IQR), mm$^3$ | $318 (150–608)$ |
| CD8 (mm$^3$), median (IQR) | $567 (299–1036)$ |
| HIV reactivation, n (%) | $2 (17)$ |
| Patient death, n (%) | $1 (8)$ |
| Kidney allograft loss, n (%) | $1 (8)$ |
| OI, n (%) | $2 (17)$ |
| Belatacept stopped, n (%) | $3 (25)$ |

End of follow-up

| eGFR, median (IQR), mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ | $23 (15–27)$ |
| Proteinuria/creatininuria ratio, median (IQR) | $46 (8–68)$ |
| Acute rejection, n (%) | $2 (17)$ |
| CD4, median (IQR), mm$^3$ | $215 (148–380)$ |
| CD8, median (IQR), mm$^3$ | $483 (282–887)$ |
| HIV reactivation, n (%) | $2 (17)$ |
| Patient death, n (%) | $2 (17)$ |
| Kidney allograft loss, n (%) | $2 (17)$ |
| OI, n (%) | $3 (25)$ |
| Belatacept stopped, n (%) | $5 (42)$ |

Three patients (25%) developed OIs in the 12 after-switch months: one had disseminated tuberculosis with macrophage activation syndrome that incurred belatacept disruption 3 months after conversion and 12 months after transplantation, one CMV colitis developed 57 months after conversion and 60 months after transplantation, and one had chronic norovirus diarrhoea with good outcome after reducing immunosuppressant doses. Two of the three patients experienced OI before transplantation. The one with disseminated tuberculosis was treated for LTBI for 9 months before transplantation. At the time of OI, the three patients had CD4$^+$ T-cell counts of 92, 419 and 152/mm$^3$, respectively. Another two patients (17%) presented CMV viraemia without developing CMV disease at 1 and 27 months after the switch. Two patients (17%) presented BK viraemia at 1 and 25 months after the switch.
[n = 4 (33%); P = 1.00] and BK viraemia [n = 2 (10%); P = 0.62] were not significantly different when compared with their counterparts.

Belatacept was interrupted in five (42%) patients, 5 (3–19) months after conversion, because of acute rejection (n = 2), personal convenience (n = 2) and severe tuberculosis with macrophage activation syndrome (n = 1).

**DISCUSSION**

We present here the first series of HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients whose medication was shifted from CNI to belatacept. We first demonstrated the safety of belatacept conversion in HIV-positive recipients with satisfactory patient survival and significant increase of allograft function after the switch. In addition, we did not observe major adverse effects over the 1 year of treatment. HIV infection remained under control without vi-ral load rebound despite non-adherence to ARV therapy in two cases. CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell counts remained stable for 12 months after conversion. When compared with the control group, our results suggest that belatacept is a safe alternative to CNI in terms of acute rejection, survival and HIV disease control.

In our work, patient and allograft survival rates seemed to be lower than those reported in the most recent US HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients’ cohort, which described 100% patient and allograft survival rates at 1 year, and 100 and 96%, respectively, at 3 years [7]. Our recipients were in the same age class. However, almost all of our donors were deceased donors, versus 60% in the US cohort, they were significantly older and 50% were extended-criteria donors [7]. Our data are at least equivalent to reported patient and allograft survival rates after CNI–belatacept switch [14, 22]. The control cohort, comprising HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients treated with CNI, showed higher insignificant patient survival and similar allo- graft survival. It is worth mentioning that our control group was small and these results should be taken with caution and verified in larger studies. We did not have enough HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients treated with CNI to fairly match each belatacept switch to one or two controls. In HIV-negative patients, belatacept is now commonly used as a switch therapy since it has proven its superiority to CNI in maintaining allo- graft function in recipients with chronic vascular lesions regardless of the timing after transplantation [14, 15]. Allograft survival reported within 6 months after the switch was 85% [14], and no allograft survival benefit has been identified after the switch [12].

According to the literature, eGFR rose over time in patients switched to belatacept [11, 12, 14, 15, 22]. In our study, eGFR significantly increased over the 12 months after conversion and accrued until the end of the follow-up. In addition, proteinuria tended to be lower compared with baseline. Whether CNI type (cyclosporine or tacrolimus) can modify these results is a probability that merits exploration.
Belatacept-based immunosuppressive regimen

Table 3. HLA DSAs and kidney allograft function evolution in belatacept and control groups

| Variables                        | Belatacept Before | Belatacept 12 months after | P-value | Control Before | Control 12 months after | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Recipient, n                     | 12                | 9                           |         | 20             | 19                      | –       |
| HLA DSA Class 1 n (%)            | 2 (17)            | 4 (44)                      | 0.12    | 8 (40)         | 4 (21)                  | 0.30    |
| MFI max, median (IQR)            | 2878 (2006–3750)  | 1884 (1059–2661)            | 1.00    | 832 (520–1324) | 1921 (870–4175)         | –       |
| MFI sum, median (IQR)            | 3875 (3750–4000)  | 2137 (1592–2661)            | 1.00    | 832 (520–1805) | 2211 (1015–4175)        | –       |
| HLA DSA Class 2 n (%)            | 6 (50)            | 4 (44)                      | 1.00    | 5 (25)         | 4 (21)                  | 1.00    |
| MFI max, median (IQR)            | 2205 (1309–10 349)| 2835 (2168–4060)            | 1.00    | 849 (620–1378) | 2617 (223–4574)         | 0.25    |
| MFI sum, median (IQR)            | 3038 (1440–11 291)| 4971 (2501–5195)            | 1.00    | 1315 (620–1969)| 2773 (223–5816)         | 0.25    |
| Kidney allograft function (conversion) |                    |                              |         |                |                         |         |
| Recipient, n                     | 12                | 9                           |         | –              | –                       | –       |
| eGFR, median (IQR), mL/min/1.73 m²| 13 (9–19)         | 18 (15–27)                  | 0.009   | –              | –                       | –       |
| Proteinuria/creatininuria ratio, median (IQR) | 37 (12–57) | 30 (15–63) | 0.22 | – | – |

Belatacept safety was considered satisfactory in our cohort with <20% of acute rejection and OI, which was similar to our control group findings. Acute rejection occurred in two patients of the early switch group (<3 months), of whom one was close to switch. We observed a higher incidence of acute rejection (9%) compared with HIV-negative patients [22]. In the latter, acute rejection episodes occurred significantly more often in patients converted to belatacept within the first three post-transplantation months [22]. Given this fact and the higher rates of acute rejection in HIV-positive recipients within the first post-transplantation year (up to 40%) [4, 6], we recommend early switch to belatacept in HIV-positive kidney recipients with low immunological risk profile (no or low DSA titre) [14, 18]. Nonetheless, avoiding belatacept conversion in the early post-transplantation period or associating it with low doses of CNI during the first three post-transplantation months could be a reasonable approach to prevent acute rejection occurring after CNI-belatacept switch [24]. The probability that belatacept could decrease the elevated risk of acute rejection in patients requiring PI should be explored as interaction between CNI and PI has largely been suspected, especially after reporting low acute rejection incidence in patients treated with integrase inhibitors [8].

Half of our recipients had DSA at the time of belatacept switch, albeit we did not observe any significant changes 12 months after the switch, even with <10% of dHDSA. Our findings confirmed the low risk of dHDSA developing after belatacept treatment irrespective of whether DSAs were present before the switch or not [23, 25]. However, as previously reported, we did not observe a significant decrease of DSA after belatacept treatment compared with the control group [10]. Considering OI, no lymphoproliferative disorder was observed and <10% of patients contracted severe OI requiring arrest of belatacept regimen. Although this information is encouraging, longer follow-up is necessary as we recently showed that OIs occur later in the new immunosuppressive era [26]. More recently, significant incidence of OI has been reported in HIV-negative kidney allograft recipients switched to belatacept, particularly pneumocystis pneumonia and CMV disease [27]. In HIV-positive recipients, pneumocystis pneumonia is easily prevented; however, CMV disease risk should be carefully analysed in a larger cohort with longer follow-up period to establish specific CMV monitoring or preventive strategy in this particular population. To limit the risk of OI, screening for CD4+ T-cell count before the switch should be recommended.

Almost 20% of patients showed HIV viral load rebound because of voluntary cessation of ARV therapy and non-adherence to it. There was no evidence of belatacept involvement in both HIV rebounds. Belatacept is simple to use in practice and does not interact with any ARV treatment since its metabolism involves neither Cytochrome 450 (CYP450) nor Uridine diphospho (UDP)-glucuronosyltransferase. CD4+ T-cell count has been reported as an independent risk factor for mortality in HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients, linked to antithymocyte globulin induction [6]. In our study, CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell counts remained stable for 3 months after belatacept treatment initiation regardless of the induction therapy. However, CD4+ T-cell count evolution before and after conversion needs further investigation.

Belatacept was interrupted in almost half of the patients, and for medical reasons in only a quarter of our cohort. The disruption rate is comparable to former studies on HIV-negative patients [28]. Before switching, patient’s residency needs to be carefully considered as belatacept can only be administered in developed countries and a large part of our HIV-positive patients live between Africa and Europe. Furthermore, the time interval between two infusions is up to 6 weeks.

It would have been interesting to have the details of CNI treatment, but the small size of our cohort did not allow us to draw conclusions.

In conclusion, despite the small sample size and the non-matched control group, we provide herein the first retrospective cohort of HIV-positive kidney allograft recipients who underwent conversion from CNI to belatacept. One year after the switch, patient and allograft survival rates were satisfactory, eGFR increased significantly and proteinuria remained stable. Incidence of acute rejection and OI was acceptable. Early switch should probably be avoided or associated with low doses of CNI to prevent acute rejection, especially in high immunological risk recipients. Infectious screening should be more frequent...
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