Identification of the population source of free-ranging cats threatening endemic species on Tokunoshima Island, Japan
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Abstract
Free-ranging cats Felis silvestris catus are harmful to endemic species, especially on islands. Effective management practices require an understanding of their habitat use and population source at the landscape level. We aimed to identify the source of the free-ranging cat population on Tokunoshima Island, Japan, which harbors a variety of endemic organisms as well as human settlements. Trapping data for the whole island were provided by local governments, and landscape factors (residential, agricultural, and woodlot areas and cattle barn density) affecting cat density were explored. An analysis of live-capture data indicated that the density (per 1 km²) of free-ranging cats was positively correlated with the densities of cattle barns and woodlot areas and negatively correlated with residential areas. An interview survey revealed that nearly half of the cattle barns feed free-ranging cats. The source habitat of free-ranging cats appears to be areas with a high density of cattle barns and a high percentage of woodlots in human-dominated landscapes. Feeding cats in cattle barns may strengthen the bottom-up process of population growth on the island. To reduce the impact of cats on endemic species on Tokunoshima Island, efforts to stop feeding cats in cattle barns are important. Reaching a consensus with stakeholders will require further studies of the ecological risks posed by free-ranging cats.
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Introduction
Invasive alien species are major drivers of global biodiversity loss. In particular, exotic mammals are responsible for 58% of species extinctions worldwide (Doherty et al. 2016). Invasive predator management is urgently required for the conservation of biodiversity (Butchart et al. 2010; Mack et al. 2000; McGeoch et al. 2010; Doherty et al. 2017).

Free-ranging domestic cats Felis silvestris catus (including outdoor pet cat, stray cats and feral cats; see Spotte 2014) are one of the most successful invasive species, being established throughout the world, causing a detrimental impact on native species in areas from islands to continents (Bonnaud et al. 2011; Medina et al. 2011; Nogales et al. 2013; Courchamp et al. 2003; Woinarski et al. 2017; Woolley et al. 2019; Kays et al. 2020). In particular, the impact of predation on native species by cats is serious on islands where no predatory mammals were historically present (Bonnaud et al. 2011; Medina et al. 2011; Nogales et al. 2013; Courchamp et al. 2003), contributing to the extinction of at least 63 vertebrate taxa (Loss and Marra 2017). Other issues related to free-ranging cats include the transmission of infections, such as toxoplasmosis and toxocariasis (Deplazes et al. 2011; Macpherson 2013; Gerhold and Jessup 2013; Lepczyn et al. 2020; Wilson et al. 2020) or hybridization with the wildcat (Felis silvestris) (Pierpaoli et al. 2003).

To reduce the impacts of free-ranging cats, management strategies have been implemented mainly in Europe, the...
USA, and Oceania and include the use of poison bait, shooting, trapping, TNR (trap, neuter, and return), and fencing (Campbell et al. 2011; Courchamp et al. 2003; Foley et al. 2005; Ringma et al. 2019). In Japan, trapping and TNR are the main approaches used on islands, such as the Ogasawara Islands, Amami Islands, Okinawa Island, Iriomote Island, and Teuri Island, where endemic species are impacted by cats (Nagamine 2011; Kagoshima Environmental Studies Program 2019). Some local municipalities on Japanese islands have recently established guidelines for breeding and restrictions on cat feeding (Kagoshima Environmental Studies Program 2019), but local residents and protection groups frequently oppose these guidelines and cat management, as reported in many countries (Lilith et al. 2006; Oppel et al. 2011; Marra and Santella 2016).

The management of cat populations generally requires information on the source habitat and the factors limiting the target population (Moseby et al. 2009). Free-ranging cats often depend on anthropogenic resources, such as feeding by humans and garbage, except in natural areas where humans have little access (Doherty et al. 2014; Pillay et al. 2018; Kays and Dewan 2004). However, most studies have focused on habitat use in relatively small areas with a small number of individuals, and so little is known about population sources at wide spatial scales (but see Flockhart et al. 2016). Therefore, the identification of population source and key resources for cat populations may help to establish alternatives to temporary treatments, such as TNR, for more effective management, such as the prohibition of feeding cats and keeping cats indoors (Trouwborst et al. 2020).

Tokunoshima Island is located in the Ryukyu Archipelago in southwestern Japan. Many endemic species and subspecies evolved here in the absence of native mammalian predators (Maeda et al. 2019). This island is a region of great importance for biodiversity conservation and has been nominated for the World Natural Heritage List (Ministry of the Environment 2018). However, predation on endemic species by free-ranging cats is becoming a serious problem. For example, food items of free-ranging cats on this island included threatened Amami rabbit Pentalagus furnessi (IUCN red list category: EN), Ryukyu long-haired rat Diplothrix legata (EN), Tokunoshima spiny rat Tokudaia tokunoshimensis (EN), Ryukyu robin Erithacus komadori komadori (VU), and Amami tip-nosed frog Odorrana amamiensis (VU) (Maeda et al. 2019).

As a result, cat management has been conducted since 2014 to conserve endemic fauna. Because it is difficult to discriminate general classification of free-ranging cats (i.e., outdoor pet, stray, and feral cats; e.g. Spotte 2014) from their appearance, the management program on Tokunoshima Island classifies free-ranging cats by their capture locations. Cats caught in human-dominated landscapes are conveniently identified as “stray cats” and are returned to the wild after sterilization (TNR) because it is implicitly assumed that they do not enter the forest nor prey on endemic species. Cats caught in forest landscapes are conveniently identified as “feral cats” and are kept in shelters after sterilization and occasionally transferred to new owners. However, a recent study revealed that “feral cats” preying on endangered species in the forest were mostly dependent on cat food, as revealed by a stable isotope analysis representing long-term food habits of animals (Maeda et al. 2019). In addition, recaptures of TNR individuals between “stray cats” (18.6%) and “feral cats” (14.6%) were similar (Maeda et al. 2019). These results indicate that convenient classification of free-ranging cats on this island makes little sense, and both “stray” and “feral” cats appear to have a risk to endemic species. In addition, the source of a population of free-ranging cats is most likely located in the human-dominated areas, from which the cats frequently move to the forested areas, resulting in human-driven hyper-predation on endemic endangered species. Therefore, it might be difficult to eliminate free-ranging cats unless the subsidization of their source by humans is controlled. In this situation, beyond the operational discrimination of “stray cats” and “feral cats,” identifying population source of free-ranging cats would be an essential approach to make consensus building with local residents and resolve problems associated with invasive cats on this island.

In this study, we aimed to identify the population source of the free-ranging cats in human-dominated landscapes by examining the factors determining the distributions of free-ranging cats. To evaluate this, a multiple regression analysis was performed using wide-scale capture data for free-ranging cats provided by local governments combined with land use data. Next, an interview survey was conducted with owners of cattle barns to clarify the extent to which they feed free-ranging cats, because cattle barns were identified as most possible sites maintaining free-ranging cats on Tokunoshima Island from our multiple regression analysis.

**Methods**

**Study site**

Tokunoshima Island (27° 45′ N, 128° 58′ E) is located in the Ryukyu Archipelago in southwestern Japan (Fig. 1a) and is divided into three towns: Tokunoshima, Amagi, and Ilsen. The island has an area of 248 km² and a population of about 24,000 persons (Kagoshima Prefecture 2019). Tokunoshima Island is in a subtropical region with high precipitation (mean temperature, 21.6 °C, mean annual rainfall, 1912 mm) (Kagoshima Prefecture 2019). Primary industry workers account for about 30% of the total population on Tokunoshima Island (Kagoshima Prefecture 2019). Agricultural land covers 27.8% of the total area, most of which is used to grow potatoes.
and sugarcane (Kagoshima Prefecture 2019). Taking advantage of the warm climate, cattle production is active. Approximately 34% of agricultural production is calf production, with about 1000 livestock farm households on the island (Agriculture and Livestock Industry Promotion Organization 2019). Similar to a report in Portugal (Ferreira et al. 2011), we frequently observed cats in cattle barns on Tokunoshima Island. Therefore, cattle barns may create a population source of the free-ranging cat on this island. Forest area covers 42.5% of the island and is dominated by evergreen oaks such as *Castanopsis sieboldii* and *Quercus miyagii* (Kagoshima Prefecture 2019). Tokunoshima Island was separated from the Eurasian continent approximately 2 million years ago and is home to many endemic species (Ota 1998). Additionally, venomous snakes such as the habu snake *Protobothrops flavoviridis* account for about 30 human bites annually (Kagoshima Prefecture 2018) and are a major cause for concern among local residents.

The three local governments and the Ministry of the Environment began capturing free-ranging cats in 2014 to conserve endemic species. “Stray cats” (i.e., cats caught in human-dominated landscapes such as residential area, agricultural area, and woodlots remaining in residential and agricultural areas) are returned to the wild after sterilization (TNR) and ear-tipped to identify sterilized individuals by the local Tokunoshima Island government. “Feral cats” (i.e., cats caught in forest landscapes) are kept in shelters after sterilization with a potential transfer to new owners. Between 2014 and 2017, 2612 “stray cats” were released by TNR operation and 235 “feral cats” were removed (Maeda et al. 2019).

**Trap capture data**

Capture records of “stray cats” by metal box traps were provided by the three local Tokunoshima Island governments. As described earlier and in Maeda et al. (2019), all “stray cats” were captured in human-dominated landscapes. Records of trap installation locations and working days are available from April 2018 to August 2019. The number of captured adult cats and the number of trap days (TD) at a 1-km mesh over the whole island were obtained, where data were available. To avoid duplicate counting, cats previously captured and marked (ear-tipped individuals) were excluded, and only first captured adult cats were included. The number of captured individuals adjusted by trap working days (referred to as catch per unit effort: CPUE) was used as a density index for analyses.

**Land use and spatial variables**

To determine the landscape structures affecting the distribution of free-ranging cats, the percentages of residential, agricultural, and woodlot areas and the number of cattle barns were calculated in each 1-km mesh. Land cover data were obtained from the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan website, and the above percentage areas were calculated using ArcGIS 10.6 (ESRI Japan). Here, woodlot refers to an area occupied by evergreen broad-leaved trees and pine trees, which remains fragmented in human-dominated landscapes. Land cover data were summarized by the first two axes in a principal component analysis (PCA), and principal component scores were calculated for each mesh. The cattle barn locations were partially modified from the locations provided by Minami-Oshima Agricultural Mutual Aid. Spatial autocorrelation in variables was calculated to consider possible spatial effects larger than the mesh scale. Moran’s eigenvector maps (MEM) were constructed based on the 2-dimensional spatial coordinates (Legendre and Legendre 2012). The five MEM axes (MEM1-MEM5) representing spatial structures were extracted using the Delaunay triangulation method, and MEM scores were calculated for each mesh using the R package “adespatial.”
Statistical analysis

For the analysis of trapping data provided by local governments, meshes with at least 10 TD were used. A generalized linear model (GLM) with a negative binomial distribution was used to investigate the relationship between the density of free-ranging cats and land use. The response variable was the number of trapped cats and the explanatory variables were PC1, PC2, cattle barn density, and MEM1 to MEM5, with an offset term (Faraway 2006) accounting for TD at each mesh. The offset term makes it possible to standardize the number of captured individuals by trapping effort (CPUE). The model with the best performance was selected using a multi-model inference approach. The “MuMIn” implemented in the R package was used to produce all subsets of models based on the global model and these were ranked based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC). Model averaging was used to generate the averaged parameter estimates of all models with \( \Delta \text{AIC} < 2 \).

Interview survey

We conducted an interview survey to cattle farmers over the whole island to evaluate the extent to which cats in cattle barns are fed. We adopted face-to-face interviews instead of distributing questionnaires, because the percent of replies would be expected to be quite low by sending questionnaires. We conducted interviews wherever possible taking the opportunity of veterinarians’ visiting farmers for regular diagnostics of cattle. The farmers were asked whether they feed free-ranging cats or not and if so, how many cats are fed. We also obtained the number of breeding cattle as a proxy for cattle barn size. The relationship between the number of cats fed and breeding cattle was tested with Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r).

Results

Distribution of free-ranging cats in the human-dominated landscape

We obtained trapping records at 97 1-km meshes from April 2018 to August 2019, of which 69 meshes with trapping effort over 10 TD were used in this analysis. In these meshes, a total of 462 cats (excluding recaptured individuals) were captured by 6086 trapping days. On average, the number of captured cats and trapping days were 6.7 cats and 88.2 TD per mesh, respectively, which resulted in the average CPUE (cats/TD) of 0.08, with a range of 0–1.0 (Fig. 1b). The spatial distribution of the number of captured cats, TD, and the number of recaptured cats are shown in Supplementary Appendix 1.

PCA for the land cover data showed that the first (PC1) and second (PC2) axes in combination explained 95% of the total variance. The variable of residential areas loaded positively on PC1, while woodlot areas loaded negatively (Fig. 2a). Agricultural areas loaded negatively on PC2 (Fig. 2b). The spatial distributions of PC1 and PC2 are shown in Supplementary Appendix 2. The correlation coefficients for the relationship between cattle barn density and these principal component scores were small (PC1: \( r = 0.363 \), PC2: \( r = 0.389 \)), indicating no serious collinearity problem.

Model averaging for GLM revealed that the number of cats caught per trapping effort was positively correlated with cattle barn density (Fig. 3, Fig. 4) and negatively correlated with PC1, MEM1, and MEM3 (Fig. 3). This indicates that the density of free-ranging cats is high where there are many cattle barns and woodlots in human-dominated landscapes and low where residential areas predominate. The significant correlation with MEM1 indicates that free-ranging cats are more common in the southwest than in northwestern areas (Fig. 5). Similarly, the effect of MEM3 indicates the presence of further spatial structure in northwestern areas (Fig. 5). Because there were no correlations between TNR implementation and any of the above environmental variables (cattle barn density: \( r = 0.101 \), PC1: \( r = 0.163 \), MEM1: \( r = 0.234 \), MEM3: \( r = 0.038 \)), the spatial variation in cat density cannot be explained by TNR-mediated declines.

Discussion

We obtained two major findings concerning the distribution of free-ranging cats on Tokunoshima Island: (1) free-ranging cat density in human-dominated landscapes increases as the cattle barn density and woodlot area increase and (2) free-ranging cats are frequently fed in cattle barns. These results suggest that feeding by humans could have significantly contributed to establishing a large population of free-ranging cats on Tokunoshima Island. As free-ranging cats are known to depend on human-subsidized food while foraging on endemic mammals (Maeda et al. 2019), an increase in free-ranging cats in human-dominated landscapes may result in spillover of free-ranging cats to the forested area, thereby affecting endemic species. We name this process “human-driven hyper-predation” after hyper-predation, in which the bottom-up effect of the introduced prey increases the abundance of the introduced
predator, thereby increasing predation pressure on the native prey (Courchamp et al. 2000).

**Population source of free-ranging cats**

Using broad-scale capture data for human-dominated landscapes, we detected a positive relationship between the densities of cats and cattle barns. Several studies have reported that free-ranging cats were frequently observed in cattle barns (Ferreira et al. 2011; Horne et al. 2011), but few population-level analyses have been performed. Several mechanisms are proposed for the increase of cats in cattle barns: (1) feeding by people (Barratt 1997; Ferreira et al. 2011; Piquet et al. 2019) and (2) high natural prey availability, such as alien rats (*Rattus rattus*) and passerine birds gathering to feed on cattle food, and water availability (Rosario et al. 2015; Leirs et al. 2004). Our interview survey revealed that free-ranging cats are fed in 45% of the cattle barns examined. Therefore, feeding cats by people is likely to be the main factor for creating a population source of free-ranging cats on this island.

We also found that free-ranging cats are more abundant in areas with higher woodlot areas but lower residential areas. This is contrary to the findings that there are many free-ranging cats in residential areas (Doherty et al. 2014; Morin et al. 2018), where food resources from settlements are abundant (Tennent and Downs 2008; Vincent et al. 2018). However, on Tokunoshima Island, rural communities have fixed garbage collection days (twice a week), and garbage is deposited outdoors and picked up by collectors on the same morning, providing limited access to food by cats. Another explanation is that sufficient resources from feeding by humans decreased the capture efficiency by traps. However, this seems unlikely because areas with a high cattle barn density had a higher CPUE, where feeding by humans is common. The positive effect of woodlot areas remaining in residential areas (PC1) may be due to the availability of resting

---

**Fig. 2** The factor loadings of landscape elements for PC1 (a) and PC2 (b), obtained by principal component analysis of landscape variables.

**Fig. 3** The coefficients of the variables that explain CPUE (catch per unit trapping effort) of cats, which were obtained by model averaging of GLM (generalized linear models). Values are the mean ± 95%CI (confidence interval). MEMs represent Moran’s eigenvector maps on the island and PCs represent the first two axes in a principal component analysis (PCA), summarizing land cover data.

**Fig. 4** Relationship between cattle barn density and CPUE (number of cats captured per unit trapping effort) at the 1-km mesh scale. The gray area represents the 95% confidence interval of the simple regression line.
sites and/or natural food resources, such as arthropods and introduced rats.

Of note, the negative association between cat density and MEM1 demonstrated a spatial pattern much larger than the 1-km mesh scale. MEM1 indicates a density gradient in which free-ranging cats increase from the northwest to the southwest. The reason for this trend is not clear, but factors important at smaller scales may be scaled-up to the broader gradient via the spatial clumping of landscape elements. Although there is no clear difference in barn density between the northwest and southwest, landscape structures appear to be different. In the northwest, there are more residential areas with small woodlot areas in the human-dominated landscape, but the opposite trend is found in the southwest, which may have created the density gradient.

Management implications and future issues

Tokunoshima Island has been nominated for the World Natural Heritage List, and invasive species management is an important requirement for this designation (Ministry of the Environment 2018). The cat problem on this island is closely linked to the unique and complex interactions between social and ecological systems. The cattle industry has flourished for the last 50 years. Furthermore, farmers believe (as we were informed during our surveys) that cats reduce the number of venomous snakes by controlling the population of alien rats that are also the main prey of the snakes. This appears to have facilitated the increase in the free-ranging cat population across the island, thereby threatening endemic species in forests.

In recent years, the abundance of endemic species has gradually increased, while that of cats observed in the forested areas has decreased with progress in the management of “feral cats” on Tokunoshima Island (Sawanobori 2019). However, given the continual invasion of cats from human-dominated areas, it seems difficult to maintain populations of endemic species unless the bottom-up effects of supporting free-ranging cats by human-supplied resources are controlled (Maeda et al. 2019). Based on our results, it is important to stop feeding cats in cattle barns, but further studies are necessary to gain consensus with the people feeding cats. For example, it is necessary to quantify the risks of zoonotic diseases transmitted by free-ranging cats to both livestock and humans (Tenter et al. 2000). It is also necessary to clarify whether free-ranging cats actually contribute to the reduction of introduced rat populations and hence reduce the number of venomous snakes. Alternative methods for rat control that do not rely on free-ranging cats should also be considered. Poison bait is a widely used and generally reliable method with little impact on non-target species (Capizzi et al. 2014; Brown et al. 2006), but its effect on the endemic Tokunoshima spiny rat in forests should be carefully assessed. More systematic and quantitative questionnaires concerning the background reasons for feeding cats are also required to examine what types of information (e.g., ecological impact of cats, risks of zoonoses, and actual contribution of cats as biological control agent) is more effective for changing behavior of people feeding cats.

Forest landscapes are not the main habitat of free-ranging cats on Tokunoshima Island. However, in areas where human-dominated landscapes (especially cattle barns) are close to forest landscapes (i.e., where both are included in a cat home range), cats can freely move between both landscapes and could therefore impact endemic species. Actually, our preliminary surveys using GPS collars showed that the range of maximum travel distance by cats captured in human-dominated landscapes was 0.5–12 km, and half of the cats entered forested areas within those periods (Kazato et al. unpublished data). In the northern part of the island, human-dominated and forest landscapes are relatively close, and spillover from the human-dominated landscape to the forest landscape may be frequent. In these areas, cat management should be strongly prioritized.

The results of this study suggest that feeding free-ranging cats in cattle barns is an important support for free-ranging cats, which induces bottom-up effects on their population. Additional capture data that include age and reproductive information of individuals will enable us to estimate the
population parameters of free-ranging cats, providing a basis for quantitative evaluations of the role of cattle barns to their reproduction and survival and the development of effective capture programs to reduce the free-ranging cat population.
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