Materials and Methods

Antibodies

To generate anti-Aub and anti-SpnE antibodies, PCR fragments encoding 1-331 aminoacids of Aub or 1043-1420 aminoacids of SpnE were cloned into the pET28b vector. Expression was carried out in *Escherichia coli* strain Rosetta (DE3) (Novagen). Expressed proteins were purified according to the manufacturer’s protocol under denaturing conditions using a 1-mL chelating HiTrap column (GE Healthcare) charged with Ni\(^{2+}\). Recombinant proteins were used for the generation of rat polyclonal antibodies by the Immunochemistry laboratory (Branch of IBC RAS, Pushchino, Russia).

Following antibodies were used in Western blotting:
- anti-SpnE, rat polyclonal antiserum;
- anti-Aub, rat polyclonal antiserum;
- ant-Armi, murine monoclonal antibodies, kindly provided by M. Siomi [1];
- anti-Rhino, rat antiserum [2];
- anti-Ago2, Abcam, #ab5072;
- anti-Ago3, murine monoclonal anti-AGO3 9G3 antibody, kindly provided by M. Siomi [3];
- anti-Squash, Squash 1F3-1B10, DSHB;
- anti-PIWI, rabbit polyclonal antibodies, kindly provided by G. Hannon [4];
- anti-Egl, rabbit polyclonal, kindly provided by R. Lehmann [5];
- anti-α-tubulin, Sigma-Aldrich, T9026.

Analysis of small RNA data

The differential expression of piRNAs corresponding to the TE consensus sequencies ([http://www.fruitfly.org/p_disrupt/TE.html](http://www.fruitfly.org/p_disrupt/TE.html)) was performed by using the `edgeR` package (v. 3.16.5) [6] from R/Bioconductor (v. 3.3.2) [7]. The pairs of strong (*Mis* and *w*\(^{\Delta}\)) and weak (*Par*is and *Zola*) R-strains were used as pseudo-replicates. FDR < 0.1 was chosen as a cutoff value.

To estimate the abundance of siRNAs (21 nt), miRNAs (21-23 nt) and piRNAs (24-29 nt) in ovaries of R strains, the number of reads uniquely mapped to the endo-siRNA generated loci (ESI-siRNA, [8]), annotated miRNAs genes (miRBase, v. 20), piRNA clusters (ML-piRNA and ML-siRNA, [4]) and consensuses of TEs (TE-piRNA, TE-siRNA) were counted, RPM-normalized.
The sequences of the longest 3’UTRs of known genic piRNA clusters [9] were extracted by using *TxDb* (version 3.2.2) and *GenomicFeatures* (version 1.24.5) packages of R/Biocoductor software [10]. The number of reads uniquely mapped to the retrieved 3’UTRs in strains R and RAL were RPM-normalized and used to create heatmaps. Nonparametric bootstrapping procedure for validation the strains’ clustering was performed by using *clusterboot* method (clustermethod="hclustCBI", method="ward", B=1000, bootmethod="boot") implemented in *fpc* package (version 2.1-10) of R software.

The ping-pong signature was detected according to [11]. The global comparison of the piRNA ping-pong profiles in R strains with strain *iso-l* was done by determination of the individual Spearman correlation coefficients of z-scored ping-pong signature for each TE family. The obtained correlation coefficients were used for the construction of boxplots and testing the significance of difference of ping-pong profiles between R strains by Wilcoxon rank sum test.

To compare small RNAseq from ovaries and embryos we calculated TPM (transcripts per kilobase per million) values as the most stable unit used for comparison of expression across different samples.

### Analysis of DNA-seq data

To compare the copy number of TEs in genomes of R-strains the reads from paired-end and mate-paired DNA-seq libraries after the removing of the adapter sequences were trimmed up to 50 nt and collapsed. Then the files containing reverse reads of paired-end or mate-pair libraries were reverse complemented and combined with the forward reads and the resulted reads were aligned to the canonical sequences of TEs [http://www.fruitfly.org/p_disrupt/TE.html] by bowtie with allowing of up to 3 mismatches. To construct the coverage of TEs by DNA-seq reads, the number of DNA-seq reads were counted in 100 bp bin intervals with 200 bp smoothing windows; the obtained RPM-normalized profiles were additionally smoothed by applying the cubic spline interpolation. To statistically test the differences of global TE copy abundances between genomes of R-strains or between paired-end and mate-pair datasets, the number of RPM-normalized and log2-transformed reads in bins were compared by the using the two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test. The P-value = 10⁻⁵ was chosen as the cut-off value.

The assembled genomic sequences of RAL strains from the DGRP project [12] were retrieved from the Drosophila Genome Nexus web-site [http://www.johnpool.net/genomes.html]. The assembling of draft genomes of *Paris* and *Misy* strains was performed with mate-pair DNA-seq data by *velvet* assembler (-k 64) [13]. The N50s of the resulted contigs were 10738 bp for the *Paris* strain and 35726 bp for the *Misy* strain. The allelic variants were identified with Scipio.
software [14] by querying the largest isoform of piRNA pathway factors obtained from UniProt against assembled genomes of RAL and R strains.

The sequences of \(I\)-element fragments within piRNA clusters were determined by sequencing of PCR products obtained with cluster-specific primers (S4 Table, S2 and S3 Fig). To obtain the genomic sequences of 3'UTR piRNA clusters, paired-end reads were mapped to \(dm3\) genome by using \textit{bwa} [15] followed by the removal of duplicates, indel realignment and quality score recalibration of aligned reads using the GATK software package [16]. The extraction of consensus genomic sequences from read pileups was performed by applying \textit{samtools} [17] and \textit{bcftools} software packages. The genomic sequence alignments of 3’UTR piRNA clusters and piRNA cluster fragments containing \(I\)-elements in \textit{Paris}, \textit{Misy}, \textit{Zola} and \textit{cn bw; e} strains was performed by using the MUSCLE software [18] and visualized as dotplots by using \textit{dotPlot} function of \textit{seqinr} R package (wsize = 5, wstep = 5, nmatch = 5) (v. 3.3.3) [10,19].
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