Effect of accelerated solvent extraction conditions on the isolation of bioactive compounds from fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.) seeds
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Abstract
This study focused to establish the optimal conditions of accelerated solvent extraction (ASE), i.e., temperature, static extraction time and number of extraction cycles, for the isolation of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.) seeds phenols and pigments using 96% ethanol as an extraction solvent. Hence, extraction conditions of temperature (80 and 110 °C), static extraction time (5 and 10 min) and number of extraction cycle (1, 2, 3 and 4) were varied. Obtained extracts were spectrophotometrically analyzed for the content of total phenols (TP), total chlorophylls (TCHL) and total carotenoids (TCAR). Applied extraction conditions had a significant (p<0.01) influence on the yields of analyzed compounds, except for static extraction time on TCAR (p=0.11). Based on the results of statistical analysis, the highest levels of TP and TCHL were achieved at the most invasive conditions (110 °C/10 min/4 cycles), while shorter period was sufficient to reach the highest TCAR yield (110 °C/5 min/3 cycles). In summary, ASE demonstrated to be effective extraction technique for the isolation of fennel seeds hydrophilic and lipophilic bioactive compounds.
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Introduction
Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.) belongs to family Apiaceae and is annual or perennial plant with characteristic and strong flavor. It originates from Mediterranean region, but as cultivated, it is widely spread around the world (Badgujar et al., 2014), where different plant parts (leaves, young shoots, roots and seeds) have diverse applications in pharmaceutical, food, cosmetic and healthcare areas, but also as a traditional remedy (He and Huang, 2011). Fennel seeds are mostly known for their abundance with essential oil, thus are used as flavoring agents in various food products (Diao et al., 2014). Besides essential oils, fennel seeds are also rich in various
hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds, e.g., phenols, chlorophylls, carotenoids, unsaturated fatty acids, phytosterols, etc. (Coşge et al., 2008; Rather et al., 2016; Islam et al., 2017; Mallik et al., 2020), which are known as strong antioxidants and are associated with the prevention of diseases as well as have an important role in human health (Araújo et al., 2011; Ciccone et al., 2013).

Considering the extraction of plant bioactives, various conventional and advanced techniques are being used. Since conventional extraction techniques have serious disadvantages, e.g., they are time-consuming, use large solvent amounts and consequently raising pollution issues, and finally do not results with the sufficient yield (Wang and Weller, 2006), novel extraction approaches are favored, among which accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) is one of the most promising. Through the combination of elevated temperature and pressure, shortening of the extraction time and lower solvent consumption, easy handling, being automated (Wang and Weller, 2006; Mottaleb and Sarker, 2012) and providing high extraction yields (Repajić et al., 2020), ASE manifests as very effective and environment-friendly technique. However, since the main challenge during extraction of target compounds is to achieve their highest yields, it is of a great importance to select the optimal extraction conditions.

Hence, this study examined the influence of temperature (80 and 110 °C), static extraction time (5 and 10 min) and number of extraction cycle (1, 2, 3 and 4) on the yields of total phenols (TP), total chlorophylls (TCHL) and total carotenoids (TCAR) during “green“ ASE of fennel seeds with 96% ethanol.

Materials and methods

Plant material
Dry bitter fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.) seeds were procured from Harissa d.o.o. (Zagreb, Croatia). Seeds were grinded using a commercial grinder (GT11, Tefal, Rumilly, France) and obtained powder was immediately used for the extraction.

Extraction conditions
For the extraction of fennel seeds phenols and pigments, ASE was performed on Dionex™ ASE™ 350 Accelerated Solvent Extractor (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Extraction procedure was set as follows: 3 g of the sample mixed with 2 g of diatomaceous earth was placed in 34 mL stainless steel cells fitted with 2 cellulose filters (Dionex™ 350/150 Extraction Cell Filters, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Sunnyvale, CA,
USA) at the bottom of the cell. In order to determine extraction conditions for obtaining the highest yields of target compounds, extraction conditions of temperature (80 and 110 °C), static extraction time (5 and 10 min) and number of extraction cycle (1, 2, 3 and 4) were varied (Table 1). Fixed parameters were: 10.34 MPa, 30 s of purge with nitrogen and 50% of flushing. Obtained extracts were made up to volume in 50 mL volume flask with the extraction solvent (96% ethanol). All extracts were performed in two replicates (n=2).

**Determination of total phenols**

TP content was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu method described by Repajić et al. (2018). Briefly, a mixture of 100 μL of sample, 200 μL of Folin Ciocalteu's reagent, 2 mL of distilled water and 1 mL of sodium carbonate solution (1:4, w/v) was incubated at 50 °C/25 min. The absorbance was measured against the blank (extraction solvent) at 765 nm. Calibration curve was obtained using gallic acid (5 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) and TP were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) 100 g\(^{-1}\) of the sample.

**Determination of total chlorophylls and carotenoids**

Content of pigments was determined according to Lichtenthaler and Buschmann (2001) at the following wavelengths: 648.6 and 664.1 nm for chlorophylls and 470 nm for carotenoids (according to the used extraction solvent). The extraction solvent was used as a blank.

The content of chlorophylls and carotenoids was calculated using following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
  c_a (\mu g mL^{-1}) &= 13.36 A_{648.6} - 5.19 A_{664.1} \quad [1] \\
  c_b (\mu g mL^{-1}) &= 27.43 A_{648.6} - 8.12 A_{664.1} \quad [2] \\
  c_{(a+c)} (\mu g mL^{-1}) &= (1000 A_{470} - 2.13c_a - 97.64 C_b)/209 \quad [3]
\end{align*}
\]

\((A=\text{absorbance}, c_a=\text{concentration of chlorophyll } a, c_b=\text{concentration of chlorophyll } b, c_{(a+c)}=\text{concentration of total carotenoids})\)

Chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, TCHL \((c_a+c_b)\) and TCAR were expressed as mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) of the sample.

All spectrophotometric measurements were done in duplicate (N=4).

**Statistical analysis**

Obtained results were statistically analyzed using Statistica ver. 10.0 software (Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, USA). Multifactorial analysis of variance (MANOVA) was applied and the significant differences among samples were determined with Tukey’s HSD test, while possible grouping
of the samples was examined by Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The significance level set for all tests was \( p \leq 0.05 \).
| Sample | Temperature (°C) | Static time (min) | Cycle number |
|--------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1      | 80              | 5                | 1            |
| 2      |                 | 5                | 2            |
| 3      |                 | 5                | 3            |
| 4      |                 | 5                | 4            |
| 5      |                 | 10               | 1            |
| 6      |                 | 10               | 2            |
| 7      |                 | 10               | 3            |
| 8      |                 | 10               | 4            |
| 9      | 110             | 5                | 1            |
| 10     |                 | 5                | 2            |
| 11     |                 | 5                | 3            |
| 12     |                 | 5                | 4            |
| 13     |                 | 10               | 1            |
| 14     |                 | 10               | 2            |
| 15     |                 | 10               | 3            |
| 16     |                 | 10               | 4            |

Results and discussion

This study involved the optimization of ASE conditions for the isolation of phenols and pigments from fennel seeds (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). Accordingly, target compounds were extracted under different temperatures (80 and 110 °C), static extraction times (5 and 10 min) and number of extraction cycles (1, 2, 3 and 4) with 96% ethanol, which is characterized by GRAS (“Generally Recognized as Safe”) status, with low cost and low toxicity. Therefore, its use in the food industry is permitted and it is increasingly used as the most suitable substitute for conventional extraction solvents (Barba et al., 2016). Table 2 shows the concentrations of analyzed compounds in obtained extracts. Furthermore, in order to establish the optimal ASE conditions for the highest yields of fennel seeds bioactives, statistical analysis was conducted and obtained data are presented in Table 3.
Influence of ASE conditions on the content of phenols

The content of TP in fennel seeds was determined in a range of 145.27 - 474.21 mg GAE 100 g\(^{-1}\) with grand mean value of 290.70 mg GAE 100 g\(^{-1}\) (Tables 2&3). Obtained results are in accordance with the research of Roby et al. (2012), who performed maceration of fennel seeds at room temperature for 72 h and determined 3.0 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\) d.m. of TP in obtained fennel seeds ethanol extract. Expectedly, the lowest TP content was determined at the initial conditions (80 °C, 5 min, 1 cycle), while the most exhaustive applied conditions (110 °C/10 min/4 cycles) yielded the highest TP content (Table 2).

As presented in Table 3, extraction temperature significantly (p<0.01) influenced on TP, where content of TP was almost 45% higher at 110 °C when compared to 80 °C. Since the solubility of analyte increases with the solvent temperature increase, the diffusion rate and mass transfer are enhanced and consequently the ASE efficiency is higher (Mottaleb and Sarker, 2012). Furthermore, TP content significantly (p<0.01) differed upon static extraction time, where the application of longer static time resulted with 18% higher TP (5 min vs. 10 min) (Table 3). This parameter must be carefully optimized, being minimal, but sufficient for adequate mass transfer (Alvarez-Rivera et al., 2020). Increase of the static extraction time at elevated temperatures also promotes the diffusion of analytes into the extraction solvent (Mottaleb and Sarker, 2012) and complete extraction of bioactive compounds potentially occurs due to the use of multiple cycles (Mustafa and Turner, 2011). Results of this study supported above-mentioned, since the highest TP yields were recorded at the highest number of cycles (4), at which yield of TP increased even for 60% in comparison with 1 cycle (Table 3). The purpose of the static cycle is to introduce a fresh solvent and thus maintain a favorable extraction balance (Mottaleb and Sarker, 2012).

From all of the above, it is evident that the increase of temperature, static extraction time and extraction cycle number significantly increased the yield of fennel seeds TP, where 110 °C/10 min/4 cycles were the conditions that promoted the highest TP content.
Table 2. Content (mg 100 g⁻¹) of total phenols, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total carotenoids in fennel seeds extracts obtained at ASE different conditions

| Temperature (°C) | Static time (min) | Cycle number | Total phenols | Chlorophyll a | Chlorophyll b | Total carotenoids |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| 80               | 5                 | 1            | 145.27±8.07 | 3.37±0.03     | 1.80±0.07     | 0.55±0.09        |
|                  |                   | 2            | 231.57±2.91 | 6.21±0.07     | 2.73±0.01     | 1.85±0.16        |
|                  |                   | 3            | 223.80±0.21 | 6.67±0.06     | 2.79±0.13     | 2.57±0.52        |
|                  |                   | 4            | 225.66±15.21| 7.33±0.04     | 3.06±0.06     | 2.73±0.74        |
| 10               | 5                 | 1            | 214.01±0.77 | 6.13±0.00     | 2.41±0.07     | 2.51±0.24        |
|                  |                   | 2            | 217.83±6.28 | 6.32±0.06     | 2.60±0.08     | 2.71±0.23        |
|                  |                   | 3            | 286.92±7.65 | 7.47±0.03     | 2.69±0.03     | 4.29±0.68        |
|                  |                   | 4            | 357.73±17.10| 8.17±0.05     | 3.36±0.06     | 2.98±0.05        |
| 110              | 5                 | 1            | 260.46±10.73| 5.84±0.08     | 2.29±0.02     | 2.95±0.35        |
|                  |                   | 2            | 345.83±5.57 | 7.37±0.01     | 3.15±0.03     | 4.21±0.49        |
|                  |                   | 3            | 358.56±3.72 | 7.65±0.00     | 3.74±0.03     | 3.85±0.25        |
|                  |                   | 4            | 340.46±20.57| 7.08±0.02     | 3.12±0.01     | 4.13±0.52        |
|                  | 10                | 1            | 248.98±11.27| 6.36±0.02     | 2.94±0.01     | 2.43±0.26        |
|                  |                   | 2            | 307.91±3.35 | 7.33±0.06     | 3.97±0.00     | 3.28±0.14        |
|                  |                   | 3            | 412.00±0.62 | 9.32±0.15     | 4.84±0.05     | 4.90±0.59        |
|                  |                   | 4            | 474.21±0.81 | 8.99±0.02     | 6.16±0.16     | 1.70±0.05        |

ASE=accelerated solvent extraction. Results are expressed as mean±SD.
Table 3. Influence of ASE conditions on the content (mg 100 g\(^{-1}\)) of total phenols, total chlorophylls and total carotenoids in fennel seeds extracts

| Source of variation   | Total phenols   | Total chlorophylls | Total carotenoids |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
|                       | mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) | mg 100 g\(^{-1}\)  | mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) |
| Temperature (°C)      |                 |                    |                   |
| 80                    | 237.85±2.35\(^{a}\) | 9.14±0.02\(^{a}\)  | 2.52±0.10\(^{a}\) |
| 110                   | 343.55±2.35\(^{b}\) | 11.27±0.02\(^{b}\) | 3.43±0.10\(^{b}\) |
| Static time (min)     |                 |                    |                   |
| 5                     | 266.45±2.35\(^{a}\) | 9.28±0.02\(^{a}\)  | 2.86±0.10\(^{a}\) |
| 10                    | 314.95±2.35\(^{b}\) | 11.13±0.02\(^{b}\) | 3.10±0.10\(^{b}\) |
| Cycle number          |                 |                    |                   |
| 1                     | 217.18±3.33\(^{a}\) | 7.79±0.03\(^{a}\)  | 2.11±0.14\(^{a}\) |
| 2                     | 275.78±3.33\(^{b}\) | 9.92±0.03\(^{b}\)  | 3.01±0.14\(^{b}\) |
| 3                     | 320.32±3.33\(^{c}\) | 11.29±0.03\(^{c}\) | 3.90±0.14\(^{c}\) |
| 4                     | 349.51±3.33\(^{d}\) | 11.82±0.03\(^{d}\) | 2.88±0.14\(^{b}\) |
| Grand mean            | 290.70          | 10.20              | 2.98              |

ASE=accelerated solvent extraction. Results are expressed as mean±SE. *Statistically significant variable at p≤0.05. Different letters within column mean statistically different values at p≤0.05.

Influence of ASE conditions on the content of pigments

Content of chlorophyll \(a\) (CHL \(a\)) and \(b\) (CHL \(b\)) ranged from 3.37 to 9.32 mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) and 1.80 to 6.16 mg 100 g\(^{-1}\), respectively, while TCAR were in a range of 0.55 – 4.90 mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). The grand mean values were 10.20 (TCHL) and 2.98 (TCAR) mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). As it can be seen, CHL \(a\) was the predominant pigment, present in ratio of more than 2:1 in comparison with CHL \(b\), what is characteristic for green plants (Alkema and Seager, 1982; Hojnik et al., 2007). Similar to the TP, the lowest amounts of all pigments were found in extracts obtained at the starting ASE conditions (80 °C/8 min/1 cycle) and their highest yields were achieved at the same temperature and static extraction time as TP (110 °C, 10 min), but with lower cycle number (3 cycles), except for CHL \(b\). Its highest yield was also achieved at the most exhaustive conditions applied, same as TP (Table 2).

Considering the influence of extraction parameters on the content of pigments, all examined parameters significantly (p<0.01) affected TCHL and TCAR, except for static extraction time on TCAR (p=0.11) (Table 3). Numerically, elevated temperature increased the yields of TCHL...
and TCAR for 23% and 36%, respectively (80 °C vs. 110 °C). As mentioned above, longer static time did not cause significant changes in the amounts of TCAR, where yield increase was around 8% (5 min vs. 10 min). Thus, a shorter static extraction time (5 min) could be preferred for the extraction of these compounds, therefore providing time- and energy-saving. Contrarily, increase of TCHL content during longer static extraction time was not negligible (20%). In terms of cycle number, it can be observed that the highest increase of TCHL levels was recorded at the highest cycle number (52%, 1 vs. 4), while 3 extraction cycles were sufficient for the maximum TCAR yield (85%, 1 vs. 3). Since carotenoids are thermo-sensitive (Cha et al., 2010), longer extraction at the 110 °C might caused the degradation of TCAR. Carotenoids are more sensitive to changes compared to the other pigments, which may be due to the linear and solid structure of carotenoid molecules, thus it is recommended among other things, to avoid prolonged processing time and heating at elevated temperature in order to decrease a loss of carotenoids (Britton and Khachik, 2009).

In summary, the highest examined ASE parameters positively affected chlorophylls’ extraction, thus the highest levels of TCHL were obtained at 110 °C/10 min/4 cycles. Regarding the carotenoids, conditions of 110 °C/5 min/3 cycles could be recommended as efficient for their isolation.

**PCA analysis**

In addition to MANOVA, PCA was performed in order to examine a possible grouping of the samples according to the applied ASE conditions and obtained results are shown in Figure 1.
The mean values for each extract sample of all analyzed compounds were included in the analysis. Total variation of the analytical parameters is presented by the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2), which explained 97.31% of total variance (Fig. 1d). The PC1 attributed to 76.34% of total variance and it very strongly correlated with TP (r=0.95) and TCHL (r=0.94), while there was a strong correlation with TCAR (r=0.72). The PC2 accounted for 20.97% of total variance and it showed a strong correlation only with TCAR (r=0.70).

Considering the extraction temperature, almost all extracts obtained at 110 °C situated at positive values of PC1, while the majority of 80 °C samples grouped at negative PC1 values of the biplot (Fig. 1a). A certain grouping of the samples occurred according to the applied static extraction time, where the most of 10 min-samples placed at the positive PC1 values and the
most of samples obtained during 5 min of the static extraction time distributed at negative PC1 values (Fig. 1b). In Fig. 1c a relatively good separation of the samples regarding the cycle number can also be observed. The majority of samples obtained with 3 cycles and all 4 cycle samples grouped at the positive PC1 values, while all samples obtained at lower cycle number (1) situated at the negative values of PC1.

Conclusions
The conducted research contributed to the definition of the optimal ASE conditions that allow the highest yields of target bioactive compounds, fennel seeds phenols and pigments, using 96% ethanol as a “green” solvent. Results of statistical analysis revealed that conditions of 110 °C/10 min/4 cycles stimulated the highest contents of polyphenols and chlorophylls, while the conditions of 110 °C/5 min/3 cycles were sufficient for the extraction of carotenoids. Finally, ASE demonstrated to be effective extraction technique for the isolation of fennel seeds bioactives, providing high valuable extracts which could further have a potential application in the food, cosmetic or pharmaceutical industry as liquid or dry extracts.
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