The origin of circumscribed necroses and perinecrotic niches in glioblastoma multiforme: An additional hypothesis
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Abstract

The paper is based on the long discussed question of the origin of circumscribed necroses in glioblastoma multiforme. They were interpreted as an ischemic consequence of a vessel occlusion by thrombotic events or by an endothelial pathology. However, they were referred to the emergence of an avascular area in hyperproliferating zones of tumor, due to the imbalance between the high proliferation rate of tumor cells and the low one of endothelial cells. The hypoxia stimulates angiogenesis and microvascular necroses can be found around to and at a distance from circumscribed necroses. Later on, with the diffusion of the concept that glioblastomas arise from tumor initiating cells or tumor stem cells, the location of the latter in the tumor was found to occur in perivascular and perinecrotic niches. These sites were recognized as responsible for tumor progression and proliferation. In the past we have already contributed in both fields. Presently we wanted to unify the two concepts showing that the origin of circumscribed necroses and the location of tumor stem cells in perinecrotic niches recognize the same pathogenetic mechanism. Our presentation is not at variance with previous ones, but it aims to be added as a further possible interpretation.

Two main types of necrosis occur in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM): large necroses of thrombotic origin, usually at the tumor center, and circumscribed necroses with pseudo-palisading. These are found in the proliferative areas of the tumor of which they represent the hallmark. Instrumental to necrosis development is hypoxia, variably spread throughout the tumor, to the point that it is a feature of it [1]. Hypoxia is mediated by Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF)-1/2 that is composed of two subunits, an oxygen regulated HIF-α subunit and an oxygen insensitive HIF-β subunit [2]. Under normoxic conditions, HIF-α is rapidly degraded through hydroxylation by the oxygen-dependent prolyl-hydroxylase domain proteins (PHDs), that marks it for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation [3]. Hypoxia stabilizes HIF-1α by preventing its hydroxylation and degradation, together with HIF-2α. HIF-2α remains elevated under chronic hypoxia, while HIF-1α is only transiently up-regulated [4]. It is of paramount importance that hypoxia is critically involved in the regulation of glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs) [5]. Through HIF-1α, it promotes the expansion of GSCs by the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt and extracellular signal-regulated protein kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/2) pathways, the inhibition of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt and extracellular signal-dependence prolyl-hydroxylase domain proteins (PHDs), that marks it for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation [3]. Hypoxia stabilizes HIF-1α by preventing its hydroxylation and degradation, together with HIF-2α. HIF-2α remains elevated under chronic hypoxia, while HIF-1α is only transiently up-regulated [4].

As a matter of fact, HIF-1α expression can occur not only in or around circumscribed necroses, but also in scattered cells in proliferating areas. 

Circumscribed necroses in GBM are the hallmark of the tumor, but their origin and development have been the object of endless discussion. Recently, they have been carefully described and codified [16,17] as due to an ischemic process around an occluded vessel or with endothelial changes. The consequent hypoxia would stimulate angiogenesis, through HIF-1 and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF). In addition to this hypothesis, another one has been and can be advanced. Necroses may develop in hyperproliferating areas of
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the tumor, with a high Ki-67/MIB.1 Labeling Index (LI) and a high Nestin expression in comparison with Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP), as a consequence of a focal insufficiency of angiogenesis that becomes inadequate to feed a so large number of tumor cells, due to the imbalance between the high tumor cell proliferation capacity and the low one of endothelial cells [18,19]. This observation does not exclude that inside necroses regressive pathological vessels can occur. In GBMs, beside areas with a high vessel density due to an active neo-

angiogenesis, large avascular areas can, therefore, occur where necroses develop. The perinecrotic palisades would be the remnants of the hyperproliferating area that escaped necrosis development.

It is currently known that GSCs are localized in perivascular and perinecrotic niches, expressing CD133, Musashi.1, Nestin, or specific antigens [5,11,20,21], activated by HIF-1. Regardless of the nature of these cells, i.e. whether they are real stem cells or progenitor cells with a stemness hierarchy and of their demonstration after or without sorting, they are also positive for Oct4 and Nanog [22,23] as well as for Sex-Determining Region Y (SRY)-box2 (SOX2) and RE-1-silencing transcription factor (REST) [15,24] (Figure 1). In our experience, they show the same features that characterize the majority of cells of the hyperproliferating areas. The cells of this areas represent the most malignant tumor phenotype after mutation accumulation and tumor microenvironment influence, and they may undergo an embryonic regression re-acquiring properties that are typical of stem cells/progenitors [15,25]. As perinecrotic palisadings could be the remnants of hyperproliferating areas spared by necrosis [15,26], so perinecrotic accumulation of GSC-like cells/progenitors could be the remnants of those that crowded hyperproliferating areas (Figure 2).

This interpretation is not at variance with those till now proposed for the origin of circumscribed necroses with pseudo-palisadings of GBM, but it adds a possible different understanding of the relationship between GBM and its GSC-like cells. There is a resemblance between our images of perinecrotic SOX2 distribution and that of CCAAT/ enhancer binding protein (C/EBP)-β and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) found in mesenchymal class of GBM [27], activated by hypoxia and conditioning a bad survival. In culture of neural stem cells (NSCs) they prevent neural differentiation and trigger reprogramming toward an aberrant mesenchymal lineage and they are essential for mesenchymal transformation and glioma aggressiveness [28]. While STAT3 induces astrocyte differentiation and inhibits neuronal differentiation of neural stem/progenitor cells, C/
EBP-β promotes neurogenesis and opposes gliogenesis. One wonders how the combined activity of C/EBP-β and STAT3 can be conceived to reprogram NSCs toward an aberrant lineage (mesenchymal) and to oppose the genesis of the normal neuronal and glial lineage. Maybe, their expression in human gliomas is essential to maintain the tumor initiating capacity and the ability to invade the normal brain [29].

The origin of GBM is still under discussion and practically only hypotheses are at our disposal. However, it remains established, since Penfield (1932) and Globus and Kuhlenbeck (1944) [26,30], that gliomas derive from immature glia. The most credited theory is that they derive from the transformed NSCs [31-33], regardless this transformation takes place in the subventricular zone (SVZ) or during migration. Gliona-initiating cells (GICs) and GSCs [34] share with NSCs some properties, i.e. proliferation and self-renewal, and GSCs share with malignant gliomas the genetic alterations.

A possible origin of gliomas is also from mature astrocytes by acquiring stemness properties through a dedifferentiation process, as above mentioned [35,36]. Recently, the hypothesis has been put forward that the origin could be from NG2+ cells that would fit better with tumors arising far from the ventricles as oligodendroglioma, but also astrocytomas or secondary GBMs [37,38]. Also reactive astrocytes could be candidate for glioma origin [39,40], since they can acquire a stem-like phenotype [41]. GSCs may not represent a cell type, but rather a functional status [42,43], which can be acquired or lost acquiring stemness properties through a dedifferentiation process, dedifferentiating malignant tumor cells can re-acquire them by embryonic regression, through a hierarchy of stem cell or progenitor status (Figure 3).
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