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Abstract
Primary osteoporosis (PO) is a common disease that was characterized by a systemic impairment of bone mass and micromorphology that results in fragility fractures and constitutes a pressing public health problem. But the effect of acupuncture or moxibustion treatment for PO is controversial.

To provide a comprehensive systematic overview of current evidence from systematic reviews (SR)/Meta-analysis of acupuncture treatment for PO pertaining to risk of bias, quality of evidence and report quality.

A total of 9 international and Chinese databases were searched for SR/meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs). The risk of bias of SR/meta-analysis was appraised using the risk of bias in systematic reviews (ROBIS) instrument, the quality of the evidence was evaluated via Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE), and the report quality of the included studies are estimated by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA).

According to ROBIS, only 2 articles were with risk of low bias; according to PRISMA, and most articles were reported incomplete, mainly in Q2, Q7, Q24, and Q27; according to GRADE, a total of 28 outcome indicators were evaluated under 4 different interventions of experimental group and control group: the evidence quality of bone mineral density (BMD) from treatment of acupuncture and moxibustion/acupuncture and moxibustion plus was high or moderate; Visual Analogue Score (VAS) of acupuncture plus moxibustion or acupuncture plus moxibustion plus other was low or very low; clinical effectiveness of acupuncture plus moxibustion or acupuncture plus moxibustion plus other was uncertain.

Acupuncture and moxibustion can improve the BMD of PO patients according to high-quality evidence, and may benefit VAS, pain score, clinical efficacy based on moderate or low-quality evidence. Further research that provides higher quality evidence of SR/RCTs of acupuncture and moxibustion treatment for PO is required.

Abbreviations: BMD = bone mineral density, CBM = China Biology Medicine disc, CCT = cross-controlled trials, CHF = Confederation Helvetica Franc, CNKI = China National Knowledge Infrastructure, GRADE = Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation, MD = mean difference, PO = primary osteoporosis, PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses, RCT = randomized controlled trial, ROBIS = Risk of bias in systematic reviews, SMD = standardized mean difference, SR = systematic review, VAS = Visual Analogue Score, VIP = the Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database.
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1. Introduction
Primary Osteoporosis (PO) is a common disease that was characterized by a systemic impairment of bone mass and microarchitecture that results in fragility fractures.[1] After the age of 50, Osteoporosis becomes much more common, particularly for postmenopausal women.[2,3] Osteoporosis is a major health problem because of the fractures that lead to impair the quality of life as well as increases economic burden worldwide. In Korea, the residual lifetime risk of osteoporosis-related fractures for individuals aged 50 is estimated to be 59.5% for women and 23.8% for men.[4] In Switzerland, the cost of osteoporosis was estimated to rise from Confederation Helvetica Franc (CHF) 2.1 billion in 2010 to CHF 2.6 billion in 2025, corresponding to an increase of 29%.[5] Therefore, treatment of osteoporosis has significance to prevent fractures for patients and ease the socio-economic burden.

Anti-osteoporosis medicine remains the main therapeutic method in the clinical practice guidelines.[6,7] There is evidence of the effects of several drugs such as Bisphosphonates, Estrogen, and Calcium.[8,9] However, due to the adverse effects of medication are frequently experienced, such as Bisphosphonates cause osteonecrosis of the jaw, esophageal cancer, and renal failure, and Raloxifene is associated with an increased risk of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism.[10–12] thus some patients are turning to complementary and alternative therapies to treat osteoporosis.[13,14]

Acupuncture and moxibustion therapy, a traditional Chinese medicine treatment, boosts a good clinical efficacy worldwide.[15] The potential benefits of using acupuncture and moxibustion in osteoporosis mainly involve improvements in Bone mineral density (BMD), and treatment of acute fracture-related pain.[16,17] Previous animal studies have shown that acupuncture and moxibustion improve BMD, bone strength as well as hormone levels.[18–21] While the evidence that acupuncture can have beneficial effects on osteoporosis is moderately convincing in animals experiments, there is still not enough or strong evidence that acupuncture and moxibustion work in human subjects.

The aim of this overview was, therefore, to comprehensive summary and critically evaluate the current evidence from systematic reviews (SR)/Meta-analysis pertaining to risk of bias and quality of evidence and methodological quality of systematic reviews of acupuncture treatment for primary osteoporosis.

2. Methods

2.1. Registration
The protocol of this overview has been registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO, http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO), and the registration number is CRD42019121417. The overview of SR/Meta-analysis will be reported according to the guidelines of the PRISMA.[22]

2.2. Inclusion criteria for this overview

2.2.1. Types of studies. SR/Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs)/cross-controlled trials (CCTs)/other types like semi-randomized controlled trials were included, in which acupuncture and moxibustion were utilized as the treatment for the PO. No language limitation was used.

2.2.2. Types of participants. Participants who are adults diagnosed with PO using definitive diagnostic criteria or radiographically report the Chinese Medical Association criteria and guiding principles of clinical research on new drugs - PO was included. There is no restriction on gender or race.

2.2.3. Types of interventions. The studies of Acupuncture (electro-acupuncture, auricular acupuncture, warm-acupuncture and dry needling, etc.) and moxibustion used as an intervention to treat the PO were included. We also included trials that compared acupuncture and moxibustion plus another active treatment versus other active treatment alone.

2.2.4. Types of comparators. As to the control interventions, any form of therapy that did not involve acupuncture and moxibustion were included like medication, sham acupuncture, usual care, or no intervention.

2.2.5. Types of outcome measures. Trials results reported from each SR should include at least one of the following outcomes measures: BMD, clinical therapeutic effect, level of serum alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin. We compiled a table of any excluded studies, about which was plausible to expect that a reader would question why the study was not included.

2.2.6. Exclusion criteria for this overview. In this overview, SR/Meta-analysis which did not synthesize original data will be excluded.

2.3. Search methods for identification of studies

2.3.1. Database and search. Five electronic international (Web of Science, The Cochrane Library, PubMed, MEDLINE, and EMBASE) and 4 Chinese electronic databases (China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), the Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database (VIP), China Biology Medicine disc (CBM), and Wan Fang Digital Journals) from their inception until Dec 2018 were searched for potential SR. And we will also search systematic review or meta-analysis registration website (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/). We impose language restrictions on English and Chinese. The concrete search strategies are presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Search strategy used in Cochrane library database.

| #1   | Osteoporosis          |
| #2   | Osteoporosis          |
| #3   | #1 OR #2             |
| #4   | Meta-analysis        |
| #5   | Meta analysis        |
| #6   | Systematic review    |
| #7   | #4 or #5 OR #6       |
| #8   | Acupuncture          |
| #9   | Electropuncture      |
| #10  | Auricular            |
| #11  | Needle warming       |
| #12  | Scalp acupuncture    |
| #13  | Abdominal acupuncture|
| #14  | Moxibustion          |
| #15  | Acupuncture          |
| #16  | #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 |
| #17  | #3 AND #7 AND #16   |

The search strategy is suit for other databases.
2.3.2. Selection of SR. All the retrieved studies were imported into Endnote(X9) and filtered for the duplicated studies. Two reviewers (ZJ and WX) independently screened titles and abstracts for candidates according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, then the full texts of potential articles were downloaded and determined eligibility independently by 2 reviewers (ZJ and WX). Discrepancies were resolved by a third reviewer (XQW), a list of all the excluded studies with reasons was present in Appendix I, http://links.lww.com/MD/D872.

2.3.3. Data extraction. A standardized data extraction form was designed in advance. After all the included studies were identified, data were independently extracted from the reviews by 2 reviewers (ZJ and WX), and cross-checked on completion of extraction as follow: year of publication, number of patients enrolled, participant characteristics, features of interventions in treatment and control groups, types of outcome assessment, methodological quality of primary studies, data analysis approaches, sources of funding, any reported adverse events, and primary conclusion.

2.3.4. Assessment of quality and risk of bias. Two authors (XQW and XGX) evaluated the quality and risk of bias of the included SR/Meta-analysis independently using ROBIS and PRISMA. The consensus was reached by a discussion between 2 reviewers and an independent decision was obtained from the expert (LFR) if necessary.

PRISMA statement: The PRISMA[23] statement for reporting quality consists of a 27-item checklist and a four-phase flow diagram. The checklist included items deemed essential for transparent reporting of a systematic review. Each item of the PRISMA form was graded with a “complete report” score of 1, a “partial report” of 0.5, and an “unreported” score of 0, with a total score of 27. When the literature score is 21 to 27, the report is considered a “complete report.”

ROBIS tool: The aim of the ROBIS tool is to evaluate the level of bias present within a systematic review[24]. This tool assesses the level of bias across 4 domains: study eligibility criteria, identification of studies, data collection, and domain of study appraisal. Each has signaling questions and judgment of concerns about the risk of bias.

2.3.5. Assessment of evidence quality. The evidence quality of the included SR/Meta-analysis was evaluated by the GRADE[25-28] approach. Two authors (LJ and SMS) independently assessed the evidence of the outcomes, and the downgraded or upgraded factors affecting the quality of evidence should be described in detail to guarantee the reliability and transparency of results. The factors were related to the risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, precision, and publication bias. The overall quality of evidence was judged as high, moderate, low, or very low.

3. Result

3.1.1. Basic characteristics of included SR/Meta-analysis

A total of 13 SR/Meta-analysis were included,[29-41] 3 in English,[29-31] 10 in Chinese,[32-41] and published in the years 2013 to 2018. The databases retrieved included the VIP, Wan Fang, and PubMed databases, the process of selection of study in Figure 1. All SR/Meta-analysis contained RCTs. Interventions in the therapy group were mainly acupuncture and moxibustion, or acupuncture and moxibustion combined with other therapies, and the control group was mainly conventional medicine and placebo. Different versions of Cochrane related handbooks or standards and the JADAD scale were used as the methodological quality assessment tools. Meta-analysis was performed in all SR/Meta-analysis. Outcome indicators were related to clinical efficacy, bone density, pain scores, and various blood biochemical indicators. Only 1 SR/Meta-analysis (Wang Qiaofan 2013) conducted a sensitivity analysis. Most studies supported the idea that acupuncture could improve osteoporosis. More details were summarized in Table 2.

3.1.2. ROBIS evaluation results

The ROBIS was used to evaluate the bias of the 13 included SR/Meta-analysis. In general, there were 26 (40%) low-risk results, and 39 (60%) results in high risk. Among all results, 10 SR/Meta-analysis was at low risk in Phase 3 (risk of bias in the review), 8 SR/Meta-analysis was at low risk in Domain 3 (data collection and study appraisal), 4 SR/Meta-analysis was at low risk in Domain 2 (identification and selection of studies), and 2 SR/Meta-analysis was at low risk in Domain 1 (study eligibility criteria). More details were shown in Table 3.

3.1.3. PRISMA evaluation results

The methodological quality of included SR/Meta-analysis was also assessed by PRISMA, and the Q1-Q27 as a replacement for items of PRISMA presented in Appendix II, http://links.lww.com/MD/D873. The results showed that all reviews answered “Y” for Q1 (Title), Q13 (Summary measures) and Q14 (Synthesis of results). For Q3 (Rationale), Q4 (Objectives), Q8 (Search), Q9 (Study selection), Q10 (Data collection process), Q11 (Data items), Q12 (Risk of bias in individual studies), Q16 (Additional analyses), Q18 (Study characteristics), and Q20 (Results of individual studies), most reviews (≥7) answered “Y”. All reviews answered “Y” to Q24 (Summary of evidence). For Q2 (Structured summary), Q7 (Information sources), and Q27 (Funding), the majority of reviews (at least 7 or more) answered “Y”. 10 reviews answered “N” to Q5. More details were summarized in Table 4.
No Acupuncture could be an effective therapy for treating osteoporosis. Warm needle acupuncture plus medicine could not only improve the bone symptoms of senile osteoporosis, but also improve BMD to some extent, and the clinical effect is comparable to the safety of moxibustion on improving bone mineral density of lumbar vertebrae might be similar to that of drugs.

Table 2

| Study ID | Database | Study type | Sample size | Diagnostic criteria | Intervention | Methodological quality assessment | Meta-analysis | Outcome | Safety | Main conclusion |
|----------|----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------|-----------------|
| Chen Jinfeng[32] | VIP | RCTs (12) | 946 | 1. Acupuncture 2. Acupuncture plus medicine | therapy group control group | 1. Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool 2. Modified Jadad rating scale | Yes | 1 2 3 4 5 | Yes | This study showed that acupuncture and moxibustion treatment for primary osteoporosis was safe and effective in improving clinical symptoms and improving BMD, but there was little difference in improving BGP. However, the quality and quantity of RCT included in the study was limited and needed to be confirmed by a randomised controlled trial of high quality, large sample, and multiple centers. |
| Luo Ding[29] | PubMed | RCTs (9) | 572 | 1. Warm acupuncture 2. Needling 3. Electroacupuncture | 4 | Perez et al. guideline | Yes | 2 3 | No | WMA may have beneficial effects on BMD and VAS scores of patients with primary OP. However, all included trials were at high risk of bias and of low quality. |
| Pan Heng[30] | PubMed | RCTs (35) | 3014 | 1. Warm acupuncture 2. Needling 3. Electroacupuncture | 2 | Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool | Yes | 2 | No | Acupuncture could be an effective therapy for treating osteoporosis. Warm acupuncture seemed to be more effective than electroacupuncture and needling for osteoporosis in comparison to sole Western medicine. |
| Tian Qiam[31] | WanFang | RCTs (11) | 842 | 1. Moxibustion | 1 | Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool | Yes | 1 2 3 4 5 | Yes | Moxibustion was superior to drugs in the treatment of primary osteoporosis low back pain, and could be used synergistically with drugs, and the effect of moxibustion on improving bone mineral density of lumbar vertebrae might be similar to that of drugs. |
| Wang Xiaotong[32] | VIP | RCTs (10) | 957 | None | 2 | Warm acupuncture Calcium tablets | Yes | 1 2 7 8 9 | No | Warming acupuncture and moxibustion could increase bone mineral density and total clinical effective rate of postmenopausal osteoporosis patients, which may be related to increasing estrogen level, inhibiting Ca2+ level and lowering the increase of osteoclasts. |
| Luo Ding[33] | VIP | RCTs (23) | 1840 | 1. Warm acupuncture 2. Warm acupuncture combined therapy 3. Electroacupuncture plus western medicine | 1 | Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool | Yes | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | No | The limited evidence available suggests that electroacupuncture may be an effective therapy for primary osteoporosis, but higher quality evidence is needed. |
| Wu Yanan[34] | VIP | RCTs/Semi-rando- mized controlled trial (11) | 972 | 2 | Electroacupuncture plus western medicine | 2 | Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool | Yes | 1 2 3 4 6 | No | Acupuncture was effective in treating postmenopausal osteoporosis. |
| Xu Fanping[35] | PubMed | RCTs (13) | 898 | 1. Moxibustion 2. Moxibustion plus conventional therapy | 2 | Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool | Yes | 2 6 11 12 13 14 15 | No | The efficacy and safety of moxibustion treating POP although parts of positive results were presented. |
| Xiao Li[36] | VIP | RCTs (10) | 957 | 2 | Acupuncture | 2 | Jadad rating scale | Yes | 2 6 11 12 13 14 15 | Yes | Acupuncture treatment of PO had a good effect in improving bone mineral density and relieving pain, and the safety was high. However, due to the lack of quality of literature, large sample, high-quality clinical research needed to be carried out. |
| Zhou Longgen[37] | VIP | RCTs (17) | 1369 | 2 | Acupuncture plus western medicine | 2 | Jadad rating scale | Yes | 1 2 3 | Yes | Acupuncture treatment of PO had a good effect in improving bone mineral density and relieving pain, and the safety was high. However, due to the lack of quality of literature, large sample, high-quality clinical research needed to be carried out. |
| Li Sheng[38] | VIP | RCTs/Semi-rando- mized controlled trial (9) | 687 | 1 | Acupuncture | 2 | Jadad rating scale | Yes | 1 2 14 18 | No | According to the domestic literature, acupuncture was effective in the treatment of osteoporosis. |
| Xu Jiantao[39] | VIP | RCTs (14) | 1099 | 2 | Acupuncture | 2 | Jadad rating scale | Yes | 1 2 16 | No | Acupuncture can not only improve the bone symptoms of senile osteoporosis, but also improve BMD to some extent, and the clinical effect is remarkable. |
| Wang Qianfeng[40] | VIP | RCTs (9) | 744 | 2 | Acupuncture plus other therapies | 6 | Jadad rating scale | Yes | 1 2 3 6 19 | No | Physical acupuncture was superior to calcium in improving bone pain, and its effect on bone mineral density was similar to calcium supplement, so physical acupuncture could be used as a routine intervention of calcium supplement. |

Diagnostic criteria: 1. Diagnostic criteria for primary osteoporosis in China (trial implementation). 2. Guiding Principles for Clinical Research of New Chinese Medicines. 3. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of primary osteoporosis. 4. World Health Organization (WHO) recommended criteria. 5. FDA clinical guidelines for the prevention or treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis drugs. 6. Dual energy X-ray showed that BMD was less than 12.5 SD. Outcome: 1. Clinical efficacy. 2. BMD. 3. VAS. 4. RBP. 5. ALP. 6. TCM syndrome effect. 7. Estrogen. 8. BGP. 9. Ca/Cr. 10. Sex hormone. 11. Fracture incidence. 12. Quality of life. 13. Death attributed to osteoporosis. 14. Adverse effect. 15. Biochemical indicators. 16. Clinical degree of bone pain. 17. Joint motion range. 18. Estradiol content. 19. Bone Metabolism index.
3.1.4. **GRADE evaluation results**

The quality of evidence of outcome indicators included in SR/Meta-analysis were assessed by GRADE. A total of 28 outcome indicators were evaluated under 4 different interventions of experimental group versus control group: Group1, Acupuncture plus moxibustion VS Conventional medicine; Group2, Acupuncture plus moxibustion plus Other therapies VS Conventional medicine; Group3, Acupuncture plus moxibustion plus Other therapies VS Chinese medicine; Group4, Moxibustion plus Other therapies VS Conventional drugs plus Chinese medicine. Among

| Study ID               | Phase 2 | Phase 3 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|
| Chen Jinfeng[32]       | 1       | 1       |
| Luo Ding[39]           | 2       | 2       |
| Pan Hong[30]           | 1       | 2       |
| Tian Qianmo[35]        | 1       | 1       |
| Wang Xiaotong[37]      | 1       | 1       |
| Luo Ding[38]           | 1       | 1       |
| Wu Yanan[36]           | 1       | 1       |
| Xu Fuping[31]          | 2       | 2       |
| Xiao Li[33]            | 1       | 1       |
| Zhou Longyun[41]       | 1       | 2       |
| Li Sheng[33]           | 1       | 1       |
| Xu Juntao[38]          | 1       | 2       |
| Wang Qiaofan[39]       | 1       | 1       |

(2) = low risk, (1) = high risk.

### Table 3

**ROBIS evaluation results of included SRs/Meta-analysis.**

| Study ID       | Phase 2 | Phase 3 |
|----------------|---------|---------|
| Study ID       | Identification and selection of studies | Data collection and study appraisal | Synthesis and findings | Risk of bias in the review |
| Chen Jinfeng   | 1       | 2       | 1       | 2       |
| Luo Ding       | 2       | 2       | 1       | 2       |
| Pan Hong       | 1       | 2       | 1       | 2       |
| Tian Qianmo    | 1       | 1       | 2       | 1       |
| Wang Xiaotong  | 1       | 1       | 2       | 1       |
| Luo Ding       | 1       | 1       | 2       | 1       |
| Wu Yanan       | 1       | 1       | 2       | 1       |
| Xu Fuping      | 2       | 2       | 1       | 2       |
| Xiao Li        | 1       | 1       | 1       | 2       |
| Zhou Longyun   | 1       | 1       | 1       | 2       |
| Li Sheng       | 1       | 1       | 1       | 2       |
| Xu Juntao      | 1       | 1       | 1       | 2       |
| Wang Qiaofan   | 1       | 1       | 1       | 2       |

### Table 4

**PRISMA evaluation results of included SRs/Meta-analysis.**

| Items | Chen Jinfeng 2018[32] | Luo Ding 2019[39] | Pan Hong 2018[38] | Tian Qianmo 2018[39] | Wang Xiaotong 2018[37] | Luo Ding 2017[34] | Luo Yan 2017[34] | Fanping 2017[31] | Li 2017[36] | Xiaoli 2016[41] | Zhou Longyun 2016[41] | Li Sheng 2014[33] | Xu Juntao 2014[40] | Wang Qiaofan 2013[39] |
|-------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Q1    | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q2    | Py                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q3    | y                      | y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q4    | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q5    | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q6    | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q7    | Py                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q8    | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q9    | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q10   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q11   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q12   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q13   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q14   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q15   | N                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q16   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q17   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q18   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q19   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q20   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q21   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q22   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q23   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q24   | Py                     | Py                | Py                | Py                   | Py                     | Py                | Py              | Py               | Py          | Py             | Py                   | Py                | Py                | Py                  |
| Q25   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q26   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |
| Q27   | Y                      | Y                 | Y                 | Y                    | Y                      | Y                 | Y               | Y                | Y           | Y              | Y                    | Y                 | Y                 | Y                   |

Check list items (Q1-Q27) seen in the Appendix II, http://links.lww.com/MD/D873. N = No, Py = Part yes, Y = Yes.
all outcome indicators, the quality of evidence was high in 3, moderate in 15, low in 6 and very low in 4. Among these 13 outcome indicators in group1, the quality of evidence was high in 1, moderate in 5, low in 3 and very low in 2. Among these 10 outcome indicators in group2, the quality of evidence was high in 2, moderate in 8. Among 2 indicators in group3, the quality of evidence was moderate in 1 and low in 1. Among these 3 outcome indicators in group4, the quality of evidence was moderate in 1 and very low in 2. More details were summarized in Table 5.

### 4. Discussion

This overview summarizes 13 studies of acupuncture and moxibustion for the PO. High quality evidence suggests that acupuncture plus moxibustion whether plus or no other therapies can improve the BMD of PO patients. But the terminal outcome, such as rate of trip or fracture, still is lack, and the risk of bias and reporting quality of most included studies belongs to low or moderate. Hence, the high quality RCT and SR/meta-analysis still are needed to clarify the efficacy of acupuncture plus moxibustion for PO.

The risk of bias was assessed by ROBIS. Most studies belong to high risk with the same reason, including uncompleted literature search, identification and selection of studies, stressed statistically significant results, etc. The option of database and confirm of the search strategy are very important for SR/meta-analysis, and incorrect literature search will give rise to the risk of bias. So, a scientific and rigorous search strategy should be completed before start study. The screen of studies is also a key step for SR/meta-analysis, which may impact the results of the study. Hence, 2 researchers are needed to screen the studies and crosscheck the results to reduce bias. Another serious risk of bias reason form
stressed the statistically significant results with more obvious in Chinese studies, which may exaggerate the efficacy. There is a problem with the integrated data method chosen for data synthesis, and the fixed effect model is still chosen for higher heterogeneity, which greatly increases the likelihood of positive results. A rigorous scientific attitude has been needed to produce high quality evidence.

The content quality of the included SR/Meta-analysis were assessed by PRISMA: 13 articles included did not provide the registration number of the systematic review; 7 articles did not report the source of funding in the study in detail, and the remaining 8 articles did not specifically describe and analyze the potential benefits and related conflicts of interest, which may have potential impact on the research results.

The evidence quality of the included SR/Meta-analysis was evaluated by GRADE. High quality of evidence show that warm acupuncture could increase the bone mineral density (BMD) of lumbar (SMD = 0.93, 95% CI = 0.65, 1.21), BMD of the femoral neck (MD 0.14, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.21) and greater trochanter (MD 0.09, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.15) when compared with conventional medicine. Moderate quality of evidence shows that acupuncture plus moxibustion plus other therapies or not with conventional medicine. The precise efficacy and safety of the intervention are still uncertain, and the optimal interventions cannot be compared, because the study does not involve quantitative or network meta-analysis methods.

5. Conclusions

Acupuncture can improve BMD in patients with PO based on high-quality evidence, and can improve VAS, and pain score based on low- and medium-quality evidence. Further research is needed to provide higher quality SR/meta-analysis and randomized controlled trial evidence for acupuncture treatment of PO.

5.1. Strengths and Limitations of this study

1. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first overview of SR or meta-analysis for PO with the ROBIS tool, GRADE, and PRISMA.

2. The results of this overview will be beneficial to clinicians in making decisions in opting for method treating the disease, and help researcher to improve the quality of their study.

3. The precise efficacy and safety of the intervention are still uncertain, and the optimal interventions cannot be compared, because the study does not involve quantitative or network meta-analysis methods.
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