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Abstract

Increased use of sensor signals from wearable devices as rich sources of physiological data has sparked growing interest in developing health monitoring systems to identify changes in an individual’s health profile. Indeed, machine learning models for sensor signals have enabled a diverse range of healthcare related applications including early detection of abnormalities, fertility tracking, and adverse drug effect prediction. However, these models can fail to account for the dependent high-dimensional nature of the underlying sensor signals. In this paper, we introduce Latent Temporal Flows, a method for multivariate time-series modeling tailored to this setting. We assume that a set of sequences is generated from a multivariate probabilistic model of an unobserved time-varying low-dimensional latent vector. Latent Temporal Flows simultaneously recovers a transformation of the observed sequences into lower-dimensional latent representations via deep autoencoder mappings, and estimates a temporally-conditioned probabilistic model via normalizing flows. Using data from the Apple Heart and Movement Study (AH&MS), we illustrate promising forecasting performance on these challenging signals. Additionally, by analyzing two and three dimensional representations learned by our model, we show that we can identify participants’ VO$_2$max, a main indicator and summary of cardio-respiratory fitness, using only lower-level signals. Finally, we show that the proposed method consistently outperforms the state-of-the-art in multi-step forecasting benchmarks (achieving at least a 10% performance improvement) on several real-world datasets, while enjoying increased computational efficiency.

1. Introduction

The ubiquity of time-series data in real-world systems, such as healthcare, has created unprecedented opportunities to apply machine learning tools that accurately model complex dynamics to improve individual and population health. For example, multivariate sequential data collected from wrist-worn devices (e.g. heart rate and respiratory rate) can be used to build insights into an individual’s health; complex interactions in sensor signals have...
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Figure 1: Latent Temporal Flows models the joint trajectory of vital signals collected from wearables: The model is trained to produce 20-day forecasts of vital signals, given 30-day multivariate observations of daily heart, blood pressure, and physical activity measurements, collected by wearable devices and manual logging from 1630 healthy individuals. Each participant’s set of sensor measurements is segmented into 50 day windows, further subdivided into two parts: the context window (30 days) and the prediction window (20 days). Once the model is trained, given at least 30 past days of a specified participant in the testing set, we produce multivariate forecasts that capture changes over the vital signals through time.

been shown to be associated with changes in physiology (Goodale et al., 2019; Natarajan et al., 2020; Mishra et al., 2020; Radin et al., 2020, 2021; Quer et al., 2021; Alavi et al., 2022). Joint statistical models of multi-modal signals from wearables can be used as a tool to develop a real-time tracking system for improvement or decline in a patient’s health to facilitate early intervention (Alavi et al., 2022; Mishra et al., 2020). Another application of interest includes forecasting and analyzing electroencephalogram (EEG) signals, which are critical for identifying emerging dynamic behaviours such as seizures. However, accurate modeling of physiology also requires modeling a large number of (highly-correlated) source signals from different brain regions.

Expressive time-series models have been used to perform sequential data analysis and forecasting. Specifically, such models allow for long-term predictions with uncertainty estimation (Futoma et al., 2016), time-series data augmentation (Wen et al., 2020), out-of-distribution detection (Serrà et al., 2019), missing data imputation (Luo et al., 2018), and improved model interpretability analyses (Ismail et al., 2020; Rooke et al.). Furthermore, such information can be integrated into health monitoring systems (Dunn et al., 2021).

Given a sequence of data of dimensionality $N$, with $N$ often large, a multivariate time-series forecasting model aims to effectively predict the future outcomes of each variable $n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, given past values. Typically, this process requires an accurate estimate of a multivariate conditional distribution of temporal transitions. This task is challenging in the high-dimensional setting in terms of computational complexity and expressiveness due to the number of parameters required to be estimated. Thus, directly estimating the full predictive distribution of the future values in the high-dimensional observation space is sample-inefficient and may require a large amount of training data.

The intractability of estimating such models for large systems, due to the growth in the number of parameters with dimension, has limited existing methods to handle at most
a few dimensions or requires restrictive assumptions such as tractable distribution classes (Chung et al., 2015) or low-rank approximations (Salinas et al., 2019a). An alternative way to tackle time-series generative modeling is to view the collection of data as \( N \) separate time sequences and fit a separate model for each of the dimensions. However, univariate techniques (Zhang et al., 2017; Oreshkin et al., 2019; Montero-Manso et al., 2020) do not benefit from joint learning of temporal dependencies between features, and are therefore limited in forecasting accuracy. Since many multivariate time-series in practical applications are highly correlated, it is crucial to learn both intra-series and inter-series patterns. Modeling dependency relationships among the variables between the individual time-series, including indirect relationships through shared latent causes (Wang et al., 2019), shows promise in enhancing the performance of forecasting.

In practice, inter-series dependencies may be hidden in high dimensions due to high variance, and thus may be missed when conducting direct data analysis in the higher dimensional space. In such cases, we aim to learn dynamics in a compact latent space to enable faster forecasting, and potentially reveal latent trends. We assume that the observed, possibly high-dimensional, random vector representing the data of interest, is generated from an unobserved low-dimensional latent vector through a time-varying probabilistic process (Zhang et al., 2019; Laumer et al., 2020; Louis et al., 2019; Chung et al., 2015; Yoon et al., 2019; Nguyen and Quanz, 2021).

By modeling a lower-dimensional latent vector by a (stationary) reversible embedding mapping, Latent Temporal Flows increase parsimony, while reducing computational complexity. These mappings are trained to favor latent representations that uncover latent dynamics over future states. Under the Latent Temporal Flows framework, forecasts are generated by estimating the latent state evolution, along with recovery functions. Nonlinear mappings are trained via deep autoencoder networks, while latent transition dynamics are learned using a combined structure of Normalizing Flows (Rasul et al., 2020; Dinh et al., 2016; Papamakarios et al., 2017) and a multivariate recurrent neural network (RNN) (Graves, 2013; Sutskever et al., 2014).

Our experiments on real-world datasets illustrate the state-of-the-art performance of Latent Temporal Flows (at least a 10% performance improvement) and computational tractability on the datasets considered. To demonstrate the ability of the proposed model to match the ground truth trajectory distribution, we apply Latent Temporal Flows to the Apple Heart and Movement Study (AH&MS) dataset for a challenging sensor-signal forecasting task. We visualize the 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional learned latent representations from our model to showcase the interpretable low-dimensional embeddings. These embeddings show that Latent Temporal Flows is able to easily identify participants’ VO\(_2\)\text{max}, a main indicator and summary of cardiorespiratory fitness, while only being trained on relatively lower-level vital signals like resting heart rate and heart rate variability.

2. Related work and our contributions

The literature on time-series forecasting has a long history. In this work, we mainly focus on recent developments in the deep learning context. Simple models for multivariate data, such as general State-Space Models (SSMs) (Hamilton, 2020; Liu et al., 2016), N-BEATS (Oreshkin et al., 2019), Gaussian Processes (Rasmussen, 2003), DeepAR (Salinas et al., 2020;
Zhang et al., 2017) learn one model per time-series (univariate methods). As a consequence, they cannot effectively capture complex structure and interdependencies between multiple time-series.

Although multivariate probabilistic time-series forecasting models estimate the full predictive distribution, the number of parameters in these models grows quickly with the number of variables, which results in a large computational cost and a high risk of overfitting in high dimensional settings. Methods such as Variational Recurrent Neural Networks (VRNNs) (Chung et al., 2015) or Time-GAN (Yoon et al., 2019), either assume pre-selected tractable distribution classes or another type of structural approximation (Salinas et al., 2019a). In the low-rank Gaussian copula model, for instance (Salinas et al., 2019a), a multitask univariate LSTM (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997) is used to output transformed time-series and diagonal low-rank factors of a Gaussian covariance matrix. These assumptions can limit the distributional expressiveness of low-rank Gaussian copula models.

Recently, more flexible models such as Temporal Conditioned Normalizing Flows (Rasul et al., 2020) have been proposed. This method uses a multivariate RNN to learn temporal dynamics with the state translated to the output joint distribution via Normalizing Flows (Dinh et al., 2016). However, during forecasting, an invertible flow is applied on the same number of latent dimensions as input dimensions, thus it does not scale to large numbers of time-series (since RNNs have quadratic complexity in $N$). Recent related work also includes Temporal Latent Autoencoder (TLAE), where the temporal model is applied across a low dimensional space (Nguyen and Quanz, 2021). This method combines an RNN-based model with autoencoders to learn a temporal deep learning latent space forecast model. TLAE focuses on the encoder/decoder modeling capabilities and assumes a simplistic probabilistic structure on the latent vector (multivariate Gaussian with diagonal covariance matrix), which can be restrictive.

Our key contributions can be summarized as follows:

- **Latent Temporal Flows** demonstrates improved forecasting performance while scaling to very high dimensions. Our empirical analysis indicates that by projecting input data into an intermediate (much lower dimensional) latent space that preserves most of the essential information (cross-series dependencies), one can obtain improved forecasting performance as a result of the denoising benefits of compact representations.

- **Efficient training/testing:** In contrast to models operating directly on the high dimensional input space (Salinas et al., 2019a; Rasul et al., 2020), fewer parameters in the generative model, as a consequence of reduced dimensionality of the input vectors, leads to a faster training process. Forecasting in Latent Temporal Flows is performed across a low dimensional space, enabling faster sequence generation. In applications such as video prediction, where frame speed generation is critical, training a fast time-series generative model is crucial.

- **Expressivity:** The expressivity of our approach for multivariate forecasting is partly motivated by the fact that we explicitly learn the latent space structure through an expressive time-conditioned distribution model of temporal transitions without strong assumptions of traditional multivariate models (low-rankness, independent components etc.).
• We introduce an end-to-end training process based on a novel cost function. We are able to harness stochastic gradient descent by combining the objectives for sequence reconstruction and latent probabilistic prediction.

• Applicability in forecasting bio-signals. We show that Latent Temporal Flows can accurately model the joint trajectory of vital signals collected from wearables (Figure 1). Other than improved forecasting performance on the AH&MS dataset, we visualized the 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional learned latent representations from our model to showcase interpretability in the generated latent representations. These embeddings show that our model is able to easily separate levels of participants’ VO_{2}max (i.e., cardiorespiratory fitness), while only being trained on relatively lower-level vital signals like resting heart rate and heart rate variability.

3. Problem Statement and Proposed Approach

Consider a collection of high-dimensional multivariate time-series $y_{n,t} \in \mathbb{R}$, where $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ indexes the individual univariate component time-series, and $t$ indexes time. Consequently, the multivariate observation vector at time $t$ is given by $y_t \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Given a sequence of $T_{\text{total}}$ vector realizations of $y_t$, a multivariate time-series can be represented as a matrix $Y$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times T_{\text{total}}}$. We focus on the task of multivariate time-series multi-step forecasting. More formally, let us assume that we are given an observed history $(y_1, \ldots, y_T)$, sampled from the complete time-series history of the training data, where each instance consists of $N$ temporal features (that occur over time, e.g., vital sensor signals). Our goal is to learn future values of the series over a length-$\tau$, $\tau > 0$, forecast horizon and predict a set of plausible future trajectories $\hat{y}_{T+1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{T+\tau}$ by learning the conditional distribution of temporal transitions,

$$p(y_{T+1:T+\tau}|y_{1:T}).$$

We refer to time-series $y_{n,1:T+\tau}$ as the target time-series, which for training is split according to a time range $(1, 2, \ldots, T)$ referred to as a context window, and to time $(T + 1, T + 2, \ldots, T + \tau)$ as a prediction horizon.

The basic idea of the proposed model can be described as follows. The temporal dynamics of complex systems are often driven by fewer and lower-dimensional factors of variation (Laumer et al., 2020; Louis et al., 2019; Chung et al., 2015; Yoon et al., 2019; Nguyen and Quanz, 2021; Amiridi et al., 2021). We assume that the observed, possibly high-dimensional, random vector $y_t \in \mathbb{R}^N$ representing the data of interest is generated from an unobserved low-dimensional latent vector $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^D$ with $D \ll N$ through a time varying probabilistic process $p(x_t|x_{1:t-1})$. To reduce the computational burden while at the same time improving distribution modeling, we propose incorporating representation learning in the generative learning problem to explicitly learn the temporal distribution of compact representations of input sequences. Specifically, Latent Temporal Flows consists of three key components: an embedding function $g: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ and a recovery function $q: \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, which are learned via an autoencoder neural network, as well as a latent conditional distribution $p(x_t|x_{1:t-1})$ of temporal transitions, modeled by a time conditioned Normalizing Flow. During training, the model simultaneously learns to produce sequence representations that will push a latent temporal generative model to predict plausible latent future sequences, recover them back into the observed space, and iterate across time.
Given a model for \( p(y_{T+1:T+\tau}|y_{1:T}) \), one can estimate the conditional expectation, which can be expressed as a function of past observations: \( \mathbb{E}[y_{T+1:T+\tau}|y_{1:T}] = f(y_1, \ldots, y_T) \). An indirect estimate of this function can be accomplished using the following strategy: Future trajectories \( (\hat{y}_{T+1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{T+\tau}) \) can be generated by first embedding the past history via \( g : (x_1 = g(y_1), \ldots, x_T = g(y_T)) \), sampling from the latent temporal distribution \( (\hat{x}_{T+1}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{T+\tau}) \sim p(\cdot|x_{1:T}) \), followed by applying a non-linear recovery function \( q : (\hat{y}_{T+1} = q(\hat{x}_{T+1}), \ldots, \hat{y}_{T+\tau}) = q(\hat{x}_{T+\tau}) \). Next, we describe each building block of our approach and the combined training strategy.

3.1. Learning Sequence Representations

The goal of the proposed method is to obtain suitable representations \( x_t \in \mathbb{R}^D \) that reveal a reduced search space for future sequence forecasting, where underlying patterns and meaningful information among features is preserved. Latent-space forecasting has been considered both in Yu et al. (2016) as a result of matrix factorization as well as in Nguyen and Quanz (2021). In Yu et al. (2016), a multivariate time-series \( Y \) is decomposed into components \( Q \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D} \) and \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times T_{\text{total}}} \), with \( X \) temporally constrained. Let us denote \( G \) as the pseudo-inverse of \( Q \). If \( Y \) can be decomposed by \( G \) and \( X \), forecasting for the high-dimensional series \( Y \) can be performed on a smaller dimensional series. In TLAE (Nguyen and Quanz, 2021), linear mappings are generalized to nonlinear transformations via time-varying autoencoders. In our framework, each input vector \( y_t \in \mathbb{R}^N \) is mapped to a condensed representation \( x_t \in \mathbb{R}^D \) (usually \( D \ll N \)) using a stationary reversible embedding mapping \( g : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D \). Such representations are trained to reveal a low-dimensional structure, which allows an expressive family of temporal constrained distributions to fully uncover this, i.e., mappings that yield a low negative log-likelihood cost over \( p(x_t|x_{1:t-1}) \).

The first component of our model is estimating the dimensionality reducing mapping. Exploiting the deep neural network’s ability to extract higher order features (Yang et al., 2017) and approximate any nonlinear function, we replace \( G \) by an encoder and \( Q \) by a decoder neural network. An encoder network \( g_\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D \), embeds \( y_t \) at time \( t \) into a low-dimensional latent space, vector \( x_t \), using a nonlinear map. Operating within the latent space, we then seek, a dynamical system that prescribes a rule to move forward in time, and a decoder network

\[
q_{\phi'} : \mathbb{R}^D :\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \hat{y}_t = q_{\phi'}(x_t) \tag{1}
\]

to reconstruct latent variables in the spatial domain. Although the embedding mapping is assumed to be stationary, latent representations’ progressions over time are captured via an autoregressive deep learning model, where the data distribution is represented by a conditioned Normalizing Flow. During training, the autoencoder learns by fine-tuning the parameters of a feed-forward Deep Neural Network (DNN) in such a way that the reconstruction error is minimized when back projected with another feed-forward DNN. These networks need to be specified a-priori, in terms of the number of layers and neurons. We note that the specific requirements for \( g \) and \( q \) are problem dependent, and we detail the particular design we use in the Appendix. Given a batch of time-series \( B \), with \( |B| \) denoting the cardinality of the batch set, the first term of our overall cost function consists of the reconstruction loss:
\[
\mathcal{L}_{REC} := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|(T + \tau)} \sum_{y_1:T+\tau \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{t=1}^{T+\tau} \|y_t - q(g(y_t; \phi); \phi')\|^2.
\]

### 3.2. Compressed Sequence Modeling

The latent random vector lies at the heart of our overall probabilistic model: It is assumed to “encode” the observed data in a compact manner through \(x_t = g_\phi(x_t)\), allowing an accurate model of the probabilistic model \(p_\theta(x_t|x_{1:t-1})\), from which new data can be generated. Our goal is to recover the latent space structure through a flexible time-conditioned distribution model in which the most important features are kept. We also learn the mapping \(x_t = q_\phi'(x_t)\) that translates the latent effects to the original data space.

Using the chain rule, the joint distribution of predicted values conditioned on observed values, \(p(x_{T+1}, \ldots, x_{T+\tau}|x_{1:T})\), can be written as a product of conditional distributions. Autoregressive models use a neural network to approximate the conditional distribution \(p(x_t|x_{1:t-1})\) by a parametric distribution \(p_\theta(x_t|x_{1:t-1})\) specified by learnable parameters \(\theta\).

The prediction at time \(t\) is input to the model to predict the value at time \(t+1\):

\[
p(x_{T+1}, \ldots, x_{T+\tau}|x_{1:T}) = \prod_{t=T+1}^{T+\tau} p(x_t|x_{1:t-1}).
\]

We wish to replace this decomposition by a tractable, approximate statistic \(h_t\) of the past. To represent the history of observations in a compressed state vector \(h_t\) we use RNNs (Graves, 2013; Sutskever et al., 2014), with the most well-known variants, the LSTM (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997) and GRU (Chung et al., 2014).

We assume that a time-related (and recursively updated) vector \(h_t \in \mathbb{R}^H\), can summarize the history of the time-series up to time point \(t\), \(h_t = \text{RNN}_\theta(x_{t-1}, h_{t-1})\), where \(\text{RNN}_\theta\) is a multi-layer LSTM or GRU parameterized by shared weights \(\theta\) and \(h_0 = 0\). The state is compressed as it uses less space than the history of observations. Under this model, we can factorize the joint distribution of the observations as \((x_{T+1}, \ldots, x_{T+\tau}|x_{1:T}) = \prod_{t=T+1}^{T+\tau} p_\theta(x_t|h_t)\), where now \(\theta\) comprises both the weights of the RNN as well as the probabilistic model. This model is auto-regressive as it consumes the observations at the time step \(t-1\) as input to learn the distribution of the next time step \(t\). Then, time conditioning on the latent generative model \(p_\theta(x_t|h_t)\) can be realized by employing a multivariate RNN to model the series progressions, with the state translated to the output joint distribution via a flow (we focus on Real-NVP, but MAF is also explored in our experiments; background on Normalizing Flows can be found in the following paragraphs). This combination retains the power of autoregressive models—such as good performance in extrapolation into the future—with the flexibility of flows as an expressive distribution model.

**Background on Normalizing Flows:** Normalizing Flows (Rezende and Mohamed, 2015; Dinh et al., 2014, 2016; Papamakarios et al., 2017; Kingma and Dhariwal, 2018; Chen et al., 2019; Papamakarios et al., 2019) define a smooth, invertible transformation \(f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}\), of a simple base distribution \(p(z)\) (e.g. an isotropic Gaussian) on the space \(\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{R}^D\) into a more complex distribution \(p(x)\) on the space \(\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^D\) by a sequence of invertible and differentiable mappings. Its reverse operation \(x = f^{-1}(z)\) synthesizes realistic
samples from the prior, is easy to evaluate, and computing the Jacobian determinant takes \( O(D) \) time. Via the change of variables formula, \( p(x) \) can be expressed as

\[
p(x) = p(z) \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \right) \right|.
\]

Real-valued non-volume preserving (RealNVP) models introduce a coupling layer, which is the building block/bijection that leaves part of its inputs unchanged \( c_{1:d}^{1:d} = x_{1:d}^{1:d} \) and transforms the other part via functions of the untransformed variables \( c_{d+1:D}^{d+1:D} = x_{d+1:D}^{d+1:D} \odot \exp(s(x_{1:d}^{1:d})) + t(x_{1:d}^{1:d}) \). Here, \( \odot \) is an element wise product, \( s() \) is a scaling and \( t() \) a translation function from \( \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{D-d} \), given by neural networks. To model a nonlinear density map \( f(x) \), a number of coupling layers which map \( X \mapsto C_1 \mapsto \ldots \mapsto C_{K-1} \mapsto Z \) are composed together all the while alternating the dimensions which are unchanged and transformed. Via the change of variables formula the probability density function given a data point can be written as \( \log p(x) = \log p(z) + \log |\det(\partial z/\partial x)| = \log p_Z(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{K} \log |\det(\partial c_i/\partial c_{i-1})| \).

Following Rasul et al. (2020), we concatenate \( h \) to the inputs of the scaling and translation function approximators of the coupling layers, i.e. \( s(\text{concat}(x_{1:d}^{1:d}, h)) \) and \( t(\text{concat}(x_{1:d}^{1:d}, h)) \). The model, which is parameterized by both the flow (the weights of the scaling and translation neural networks) and the RNN – \( \theta \) is trained by minimizing:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{NegLL}} := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|(T + \tau)} \sum_{y_{1:T+\tau} \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{t=T+1}^{T+\tau} \log p(x_t|\mathbf{h}_t; \theta).
\]

### 3.3. Latent Temporal Flows: Model Fitting and Inference

A schematic overview of the training procedure is depicted in Figure 2. Each time-series \( Y \) is split into a training \( Y_{TR} \) and test set \( Y_{TS} \) by using all data prior to a fixed date for training and using rolling windows for the test set. A batch input \( Y_B \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times (T+\tau)} \) defines a sub-matrix of \( Y_{TR} \) with column indices defined by the set \( \mathcal{B} \) – it contains two components: the first part \( \{y_t\}_{t=1}^{T} \) is associated with the past input, while the second component \( \{y_t\}_{t=T+1}^{T+\tau} \) is associated with future observations. A batch of time-series \( Y_B \) is embedded into latent variables via \( g : x_t = g(y_t) \), yielding a matrix of latent codes \( X_B \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times (T+\tau)} \). To discover informative representations in a lower-dimensional space, each vector \( x_t \) is passed through the decoder layers: \( \hat{y}_t = q(g(y_t)) \), yielding a reconstructed matrix \( \hat{Y}_B \).

- By minimizing the reconstruction error \( \|\hat{y}_t - y_t\|_2^2 \), the model is expected to capture feature dependencies across time-series and encode this global information into a few latent variables in \( x_t \).
- Simultaneously, by maximizing the log-likelihood of (latent) future observations given compressed past input, the model targets capturing latent series progressions via time-conditioned Normalizing Flows.

The proposed model is meaningful as it encourages the latent variables to capture different complex patterns of the data, which makes the representation more powerful and universal.

The key insight is that the encoding/decoding components and the time conditioned latent temporal generative model are jointly trained by minimizing a combined loss function over a given batch \( \mathcal{B} \) of time-series consisting of a reconstruction loss-related term and a
sequence negative log-likelihood term using stochastic gradient descent-based optimization. The overall loss term is

\[
L(\phi, \phi', \theta) := \frac{1}{|B|(T+\tau)} \sum_{{y_{1:T+\tau}} \in B} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \|y_t - q(g(y_t; \phi); \phi')\|^2_2 - \lambda \log p(q(g(y_t; \phi); \phi')|h_t; \theta) \right).
\]

By minimizing a combined error of two tractable losses \(L_{\text{REC}}\) and \(L_{\text{NegLL}}\), the model is given the capability to predict the future from latent representations of the observed history that preserve only the essential information, which is transferred to the decoder by minimizing the reconstruction loss. At the same time, it serves to reduce the dimensions of the temporal generative learning space. The overall optimization problem is

\[
\min_{\phi, \phi', \theta} L(\phi, \phi', \theta) = \frac{1}{|B|(T+\tau)} \sum_{{y_{1:T+\tau}} \in B} \sum_{t=1}^{T} L_{\text{REC}}(\phi, \phi') + \lambda L_{\text{NegLL}}(\theta),
\]

where \(\lambda \geq 0\) is a hyperparameter that balances the two losses.

Given a trained model, our goal is to produce a set of future trajectories given the past (see Figure 3). Note that, instead of producing samples directly in a possibly very high dimensional feature space, the generator first produces Monte Carlo samples from the predictive distribution \(p(x_{T+1}, \ldots, x_{T+\tau} | x_1, \ldots, x_T)\) in the lower dimensional embedding space, by sequentially sampling from \(p(x_t | h_t)\), updating \(h_t\), and passing the latent sequences through \(q_{\phi'}\) to map \(x_t\) back to the original domain.

\section{4. Experimental results}

\subsection{4.1. Results on AH&MS dataset}

Biometrics collected from wearable devices, including heart rate measurements and activity levels (e.g., step counts, standing hours) throughout the day, are rich sources of infor-
Figure 3: **Forecasting under Latent Temporal Flows**: Given a starting state, the flow generates estimates for next time step by sampling from \((\tilde{x}_{t+1}) \sim p(x_{t+1} | h_t)\). This process is repeated till our inference horizon. A latent trajectory is mapped back into the input space through the decoder.

Information that can yield crucial insights into the health trajectory of an individual. Vital signals from wearables show feasibility for accurate prediction of clinical laboratory measurements (Dunn et al., 2021). These measurements also show promise to detect acute infections—cosinor models fit to diurnal heart rate variability (HRV) patterns can detect pre-symptomatic COVID-19 infection (Hirten et al., 2021), and anomaly detection algorithms using resting heart rate (RHR) and step counts can identify pre-symptomatic COVID-19 (Alavi et al., 2021). Biometrics also show sensitivity to detect common colds (H1N1 and rhinovirus) (Grzesiak et al., 2021). Beyond acute infections, wearable measurements can provide insight into cardiovascular health, as higher RHR is significantly associated with coronary artery disease, stroke, and sudden death (Zhang et al., 2016). All-cause and cardiovascular mortality is also indicated by lower HRV (Singh et al., 2018). HRV is also found to indicate dysregulation of the autonomic nervous system, and thus is implicated in the development of hypertension (Schroeder et al., 2003). Joint modeling of vital signs from wearable devices continues to yield powerful signals for early detection of disease and health decline, as well as indicate general well-being and fitness. The relatively low cost of wearables and longitudinal nature of measurements compared to in-clinic evaluations motivates continued research in this area.

To this target, we apply Latent Temporal Flows (abbreviated as LatTe) for multivariate signal forecasting: given the past 30-day measurements of a group of vital sensor signals as input, we wish to predict the future 20-day values of the multivariate input time-series. We evaluate the empirical performance of our method on the Apple Heart and Movement Study (AH&MS) dataset. The AH&MS study was sponsored by Apple and conducted in collaboration with the American Heart Association and Brigham and Women’s Hospital. The study was approved by Advarra IRB and data were collected in accordance with the IRB approved consent form.

**AH&MS dataset description**: The dataset contains signals collected in real-world environments, which constitute a measure of cardiovascular and autonomic nervous system activity as well as movement and activity metrics. For the participants enrolled in this study, this dataset consists of passively collected sensor signal data from wearable devices.
our model captures meaningful representations (Figure 5).
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Our data consists of passively collected observations: Resting Heart Rate (RHR), Heart
Rate Variability (HRV), Step Count (SC), and user logged information such as Diastolic
Blood Pressure (DBP), Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP), and Basal Body Temperature (BBT). HRV is calculated in the time-domain as the standard deviation between heart-
beat measurements (defined by measured R-R intervals). Each time-series measurement is
aggregated at the daily level and averaged if there are multiple measurements per day (for
RHR, HRV, DBP, SBP, BBT) or summed (for SC).

AH&MS dataset analysis and results: Given a training set comprised of multiple context windows of 30 daily observations of BBT, DBP, SBP, HRV, RHR, and SC measurements of 1630 individuals, the goal is to jointly learn each signal output for the next 20
days, by modeling the temporal dependencies of latent representations of the signals while
also learning a meaningful lower-dimensional space. We project another signal captured in
our dataset, VO2max (omitted from training), onto the learned latent space to show that
our model captures meaningful representations (Figure 5).

Table 1: We present the mean and standard errors obtained by Deep-VAR, GP Copula,
TCNF, and our model variants LatTe with Real-NVP. The evaluation criterion is the Test
set Normalized Mean Square Error (NMSE) comparison (lower is better). Best performance
for each column is highlighted in bold.

| Model                  | NMSE-BBT         | NMSE-BPD         | NMSE-HRV         | NMSE-RHR         | NMSE-SC         | CRPS-Sum         |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| LatTe, D=3             | 0.0214 ± 0.0028  | 0.0038 ± 0.0021  | 0.0117 ± 0.0164  | 0.0289 ± 0.0718  | 0.0445 ± 0.0115 | 0.3650 ± 0.4169  | 0.1068 ± 0.0154 | 0.0226 ± 0.0024  | 0.0092 ± 0.0033  | 0.0107 ± 0.0016  | 0.0070 ± 0.0056  | 0.0115 ± 0.0156  | 0.0127 ± 0.0017  | 0.3264 ± 0.0151  | 0.0841 ± 0.0032  | 0.1281 ± 0.0015  | 0.1288 ± 0.0018  | 0.1052 ± 0.0016  |
| LatTe, D=4             | 0.0114 ± 0.0027  | 0.0033 ± 0.0018  | 0.0113 ± 0.0049  | 0.0593 ± 0.0650  | 0.0146 ± 0.0172  | 0.3264 ± 0.0151  | 0.1288 ± 0.0018  | 0.1052 ± 0.0016  |
| LatTe, D=5             | 0.0447 ± 0.0001  | 0.0015 ± 0.0011  | 0.0089 ± 0.0006  | 0.0686 ± 0.0071  | 0.0773 ± 0.0053  | 0.3080 ± 0.0964  | 0.0841 ± 0.0032  | 0.1281 ± 0.0015  | 0.1288 ± 0.0018  | 0.1052 ± 0.0016  |
| DeepVAR                | 0.0277 ± 0.0021  | 0.0092 ± 0.0033  | 0.0107 ± 0.0006  | 0.0817 ± 0.0056  | 0.0129 ± 0.0048  | 0.4129 ± 0.0423  | 0.1281 ± 0.0015  | 0.1288 ± 0.0018  | 0.1052 ± 0.0016  |
| GP - Copula            | 0.0223 ± 0.0016  | 0.0062 ± 0.0043  | 0.0105 ± 0.0056  | 0.1015 ± 0.0016  | 0.0427 ± 0.0368  | 0.3264 ± 0.0152  | 0.1288 ± 0.0018  | 0.1052 ± 0.0016  |
| TCNF LSTM-MAF          | 0.0222 ± 0.0033  | 0.0081 ± 0.0031  | 0.0136 ± 0.0005  | 0.1165 ± 0.0091  | 0.0718 ± 0.0664  | 0.3264 ± 0.0152  | 0.1288 ± 0.0018  | 0.1052 ± 0.0016  |
| TCNF Transformer-MAF   | 0.0224 ± 0.0018  | 0.0089 ± 0.0024  | 0.0109 ± 0.0040  | 0.1094 ± 0.0539  | 0.0064 ± 0.0226  | 0.3144 ± 0.2726  | 0.0925 ± 0.0155  | 0.1024 ± 0.0166  | 0.1074 ± 0.0016  | 0.0974 ± 0.0155  |

Figure 4: Qualitative predictions for the 20 day forecast window produced by Latent Temporal Flows for AH&MS signal observations (HRV, RHR, SC, BBT, DBP, SBP) of an individual selected at random. We estimate 10 trajectories for a latent dimension of D = 5 and plot the estimates. Note that the forecast is displayed in terms of a probability distribution: the shaded areas represent the 50% and 90% prediction intervals, respectively, centered around the median (dark green line). The ground truth is overlaid in blue with stars denoting true observations and linear interpolation between the points.
Using the Normalized Mean Square Error (NMSE) on each individual time-series, and CRPS-Sum as an evaluation metric, we qualitatively assess test-time signal predictions produced by our method for different latent-space dimensionality, \( D = \{3, 4, 5\} \). We compare our approach against existing classical multivariate methods. Because of different scales in each signal, we first normalize each signal by the sum of its absolute values before computing this metric. The results are reported in Table 1, where, on average, Latent Temporal Flows with \( D = 5 \) achieves the best signal predictions. Upon closer examination, we notice that step counts are more difficult to predict compared to measures such as heart rate signals, since step counts are largely influenced by behavior and lifestyle compared with signals like heart rate variability whose variation is significantly affected by less easily modifiable physiological and pathological factors (Fatisson et al., 2016).

In Figure 4, we demonstrate the quality of predictions produced by the proposed model—we show the predicted median, 50% and 90% distribution intervals of for HRV, RHR, SC, BBT, DBP, and SBP in the future 20 day window over a randomly chosen individual. In this case, the reduced dimensionality is 4. To showcase the ability of the proposed model to generate precise forecasts (but also the dynamic complexity of signals—it is well known that biomedical signals, such as HRV arise from complex nonlinear dynamical systems), more results of randomly selected individuals are presented in Figure 6.

Although there have been many studies focusing on modeling sequential data, our method is especially effective for temporal bio-signals. In particular, modeling physiological signals is a complex task mainly because of (the potentially large number of) highly-correlated multivariate time-series, which reflect complex physiological interactions. Thus, we need a forecasting model that can account for the dependent high-dimensional nature of the underlying sensor signals, and at the same time a model that allows data to “speak for themselves” (i.e., without restrictive assumptions on the distribution class of each bio-signal). Additionally, models that assume inputs to be purely periodic signals, might capture rough trends in bio-signals—periodic models fail to capture sharp changes in signals such as acute HRV depression due to sickness or a sharp increase in step counts due to a non-stationary behavioral or environmental change such as a change in schedule due to travel. Our model, on the other hand, explicitly learns the latent space structure through an expressive time-conditioned distribution model of temporal transitions without the strong assumptions of traditional multivariate (probabilistic) models. At the same time, its probabilistic nature is able to quantify the predictive uncertainty, which is advantageous in real-world critical applications.

Interpretable representation learning on time-series is a fundamental problem for uncovering the latent structure in complex systems, such as sensor streams of vital signals. To reveal the underlying factors controlling accurate forecasts, we train the model with \( D = 2 \) and \( D = 3 \), and visualize the representations across 50 time points for eight different individuals. These individuals belong to two disjoint cardiorespiratory fitness levels: the first group consists of four subjects with low values of estimated \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \) (Apple, 2021), \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} < 25 \), and the second group consists of four subjects with high values of \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}}, \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} > 40 \). Maximum oxygen consumption (\( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \)) is the measurement of the maximum amount of oxygen a person can utilize during intense exercise and is considered the gold standard for determining an individual’s cardiorespiratory fitness. The latent representations for both \( D = 2 \) and \( D = 3 \) indicate that the mapping learned by the
Figure 5: **The latent manifold of the data embedded in 2D latent space (left) and 3D latent space (right) learned by the autoencoder.** Each point represents a latent signals' representation for a specific time point. Every different color represents a different individual, but those with lower VO\textsubscript{2}max values are represented in red tones, while those with higher VO\textsubscript{2}max values in green tones. We observe that learned maps from Latent Temporal Flows clusters subjects according to their estimated fitness level.

optimization leads to a space with easily visualized clusters that well-separates individuals according to VO\textsubscript{2}max (Figure 5). Our latent space estimated using RHR, HRV, BBT, DBP, SBP, and SC corroborates other recent methods that associate step count, sedentary time, and moderate-vigorous physical activity with VO\textsubscript{2}max (Nayor et al., 2021).

### 4.2. Results on publicly available datasets

We use publicly available datasets to evaluate the accuracy of forecasts of future observations \(y_t \in \mathbb{R}^N\) compared to to a wide range of existing baselines (described in the Appendix). The Solar dataset, with \(N = 137\) and \(T_{total} = 4832\), contains hourly solar power production records. The Electricity dataset with \(N = 370\) and \(T_{total} = 32303\), is a hourly time-series of the electricity consumption of 370 customers. The Traffic dataset, with \(N = 963\) and \(T_{total} = 4049\), contains hourly occupancy rates of car lanes. Wiki, with \(N = 2000\) and \(T_{total} = 792\), is a daily time-series daily page views of Wikipedia articles. Taxi, with \(N = 1214\) and \(T_{total} = 1488\), is a spatio-temporal traffic time-series of New York taxi rides taken every 30 minutes.
Figure 6: Predicted signals and test set ground-truth for four additional randomly selected subjects in the study (individual subjects are separated by horizontal lines).
Latent Temporal Flows for Multivariate Analysis of Wearables Data

| Model                                             | Solar    | Electricity | Traffic | Taxi    | Wikipedia |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| VRNN (Chung et al., 2015)                         | 0.133±0.009 | 0.051±0.001 | 0.181±0.002 | 0.130±0.015 | 3.400±0.122 |
| KVAE (Fraccaro et al., 2017)                      | 0.344±0.002 | 0.051±0.025 | —       | —       | 0.099±0.012 |
| TCNF LSTM - RealNVP (Rasul et al., 2020)          | 0.365±0.002 | 0.027±0.001 | 0.026±0.001 | 0.182±0.013 | 0.097±0.024 |
| TCNF LSTM - MAF (Rasul et al., 2020)              | 0.378±0.032 | 0.025±0.002 | 0.028±0.002 | 0.172±0.001 | 0.097±0.033 |
| Vec-LSTM - ind-scaling (Salinas et al., 2019a)    | 0.391±0.017 | 0.025±0.001 | 0.087±0.001 | 0.566±0.005 | 0.133±0.002 |
| Vec-LSTM - low-rank-Copula (Salinas et al., 2019a) | 0.319±0.011 | 0.064±0.008 | 0.103±0.006 | 0.326±0.007 | 0.241±0.033 |
| GP - Scaling (Salinas et al., 2019a)              | 0.368±0.012 | 0.022±0.000 | 0.079±0.000 | 0.183±0.005 | 1.483±1.034 |
| GP - Copula (Salinas et al., 2019a)               | 0.337±0.024 | 0.024±0.002 | 0.078±0.002 | 0.208±0.183 | 0.086±0.004 |
| Latent Temporal Flows - Real NVP                  | 0.122±0.000 | 0.022±0.001 | 0.045±0.002 | 0.128±0.015 | 0.106±0.012 |
| Latent Temporal Flows - MAF                       | 0.217±0.001 | 0.020±0.001 | 0.056±0.002 | 0.131±0.012 | 0.096±0.017 |

Table 2: Test set CRPS comparison (lower is better) of models from V-RNN, GP Copula, TCNF, and our model variants LatTe with Real-NVP, LatTe with-MAF. The mean and standard errors are obtained by re-running each method three times with random initializations. Best performance (top-2) is highlighted in bold.

Figure 7: Forecasts generated on Solar (first row) and Electricity (second row) datasets by Temporal Latent Flows. We present predictions the first 4 dimensions per dataset. Although the datasets are even higher-dimensional, unlike AH&MS, the signals present strong periodic components.

Baselines: The baselines considered for our experiments include: 1: KVAE, combines a variational autoencoder with a linear state space model which describes the dynamics. 2: Vec-LSTM-ind-scaling, models the dynamics via an RNN and outputs the parameters of an independent Gaussian distribution with mean-scaling. 3: Vec-LSTM-lowrank-Copula, instead parametrizes a low-rank plus diagonal covariance via Copula process. 4: GP-scaling, unrolls an LSTM with scaling on each individual time-series before reconstructing the joint distribution via a low-rank Gaussian. 5: GP-Copula, unrolls an LSTM on each individual time-series and then the joint emission distribution is given by a low-rank plus diagonal covariance Gaussian copula. 6: TCNF, uses LSTM to model the temporal conditioning and Masked Autoregressive Flow (Papamakarios et al., 2017) or Real-NVP for the distribution emission model. We also consider 7: DeepVAR estimator, which is a multivariate variant of DeepAR (Salinas et al., 2019b).

Batch sets are formed by randomly sampling context and adjoining prediction horizon interval sized windows from the complete time-series history of the training data. For the test set, we perform rolling prediction evaluation. Following (Salinas et al., 2019a), Solar, Electricity, and Traffic, accuracy is measured on 7 rolling time windows, for Traffic we
use 5 time windows, and for Taxi 57 windows are used in order to cover the full test set. We perform rolling prediction evaluation: 24 time-points per window, last 7 windows for testing for traffic and electricity, and 14 per window with last 4 windows for wiki.

We evaluate forecasting performance starting on equally spaced time points after the last observed training point. Since the marginal continuous ranked probability score (CRPS) cannot assess whether dependencies across time-series are accurately captured, we report the the Continuous Ranked Probability Score (CRPS) (CRPS-Sum). This metric is obtained by first summing across the $N$ (ground-truth data and forecasted) time-series, which yields a CDF estimate $\hat{F}_{\text{sum}}(t)$ for each time point. The results are then averaged over the prediction horizon. We take 100 samples to estimate the empirical CDF in practice. Table 2 lists the mean CRPS-Sum values averaged over 10 independent runs with standard deviations and shows that the model sets the new state-of-the-art on most of the benchmark data sets. According to Table 2, the best performance is achieved by either one of two variants of our proposed model (either Latent Temporal Flows - Real NVP or Latent Temporal Flows - MAF) on Solar, Electricity, and Taxi datasets, and our model’s performance is within top-2 on the Wikipedia dataset.

5. Discussion

Personal devices, such as mobile phones and smart watches, include an ever increasing number of sensors that allows for convenient physiological data collection. The abundance of complex wearables data calls for accurate machine learning tools to better forecast and detect changes in the health of individuals. In this paper, we presented a novel method for multivariate forecasting of complex time-series such as vital signs. We have shown that the combination of representation learning, flexible density models, and auto-regressive structures can produce accurate forecasts by modeling dynamics on a low-dimensional subspace. We further analyzed a challenging practical application of predicting sensor signal trajectories in the AH&MS dataset. The proposed approach consistently achieves the best performance over the state-of-the-art methods on publicly available datasets. While here our focus is on time-series forecasting, future work will extend our framework to tasks that require learning over high-dimensional time-series: imputation, interpolation, anomaly detection, and out-of-distribution detection.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Guillermo Sapiro, Calum MacRae, Rahul Deo, James Kretlow, Shirley Ren, Andrew Miller, and Joseph Futoma for valuable feedback and comments on our manuscript.

References

Arash Alavi, Gireesh K Bogu, Meng Wang, Ekanath Srihari Rangan, Andrew W Brooks, Qiwen Wang, Emily Higgs, Alessandra Celli, Tejaswini Mishra, Ahmed A Metwally, et al. Real-time alerting system for covid-19 using wearable data. medRxiv, 2021.
Arash Alavi, Gireesh K Bogu, Meng Wang, Ekanath Srihari Rangan, Andrew W Brooks, Qiwen Wang, Emily Higgs, Alessandra Celli, Tejaswini Mishra, Ahmed A Metwally, et al. Real-time alerting system for covid-19 and other stress events using wearable data. *Nature medicine*, 28(1):175–184, 2022.

Magda Amiridi, Nikos Kargas, and Nicholas D Sidiropoulos. Low-rank characteristic tensor density estimation part ii: Compression and latent density estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.10591*, 2021.

Apple. using apple watch to estimate cardio fitness with vo2 max, 2021. URL https://www.apple.com/healthcare/docs/site/Using_Apple_Watch_to_Estimate_Cardio_Fitness_with_VO2_max.pdf.

Ricky TQ Chen, Jens Behrmann, David Duvenaud, and Jörn-Henrik Jacobsen. Residual flows for invertible generative modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.02735*, 2019.

Junyoung Chung, Caglar Gulcehre, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. In *NIPS 2014 Workshop on Deep Learning, December 2014*, 2014.

Junyoung Chung, Kyle Kastner, Laurent Dinh, Kratarth Goel, Aaron C Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. A recurrent latent variable model for sequential data. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28:2980–2988, 2015.

Laurent Dinh, David Krueger, and Yoshua Bengio. Nice: Non-linear independent components estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.8516*, 2014.

Laurent Dinh, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, and Samy Bengio. Density estimation using real nvp. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.08803*, 2016.

Jessilyn Dunn, Łukasz Kidzinski, Ryan Runge, Daniel Witt, Jennifer L Hicks, Sophia Miryam Schüssler-Fiorenza Rose, Xiao Li, Amir Bahmani, Scott L Delp, Trevor Hastie, et al. Wearable sensors enable personalized predictions of clinical laboratory measurements. *Nature medicine*, 27(6):1105–1112, 2021.

Julien Fatisson, Victor Oswald, and François Lalonde. Influence diagram of physiological and environmental factors affecting heart rate variability: an extended literature overview. *Heart international*, 11(1):heartint–5000232, 2016.

Marco Fraccaro, Simon Kamronn, Ulrich Paquet, and Ole Winther. A disentangled recognition and nonlinear dynamics model for unsupervised learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.05741*, 2017.

Joseph Futoma, Mark Sendak, Blake Cameron, and Katherine Heller. Predicting disease progression with a model for multivariate longitudinal clinical data. In *Machine Learning for Healthcare Conference*, pages 42–54. PMLR, 2016.

Brianna Mae Goodale, Mohaned Shilaih, Lisa Falco, Franziska Dammeier, Györgyi Hamvas, and Brigitte Leeners. Wearable sensors reveal menses-driven changes in physiology and
enable prediction of the fertile window: observational study. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 21(4):e13404, 2019.

Alex Graves. Generating Sequences With Recurrent Neural Networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.0850*, 2013.

Emilia Grzesiak, Brinnae Bent, Micah T McClain, Christopher W Woods, Ephraim L Tsaklik, Bradley P Nicholson, Timothy Veldman, Thomas W Burke, Zoe Gardener, Emma Bergstrom, et al. Assessment of the feasibility of using noninvasive wearable biometric monitoring sensors to detect influenza and the common cold before symptom onset. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(9):e2128534–e2128534, 2021.

James Douglas Hamilton. *Time series analysis*. Princeton university press, 2020.

Robert P Hirten, Matteo Danieleatto, Lewis Tomalin, Katie Hyewon Choi, Micol Zweig, Eddy Golden, Sparshdeep Kaur, Drew Helmus, Anthony Biello, Renata Pyzik, et al. Use of physiological data from a wearable device to identify sars-cov-2 infection and symptoms and predict covid-19 diagnosis: observational study. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 23(2):e26107, 2021.

Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997.

Aya Abdelsalam Ismail, Mohamed Gunady, Héctor Corrada Bravo, and Soheil Feizi. Benchmarking deep learning interpretability in time series predictions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.13924*, 2020.

Diederik P Kingma and Prafulla Dhariwal. Glow: Generative flow with invertible 1x1 convolutions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03039*, 2018.

Fabian Laumer, Gabriel Fringeli, Alina Dubatovka, Laura Manduchi, and Joachim M Buhmann. Deepheartbeat: Latent trajectory learning of cardiac cycles using cardiac ultrasounds. In *Machine Learning for Health*, pages 194–212. PMLR, 2020.

Chenghao Liu, Steven CH Hoi, Peilin Zhao, and Jianling Sun. Online arima algorithms for time series prediction. In *Thirtieth AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2016.

Maxime Louis, Raphaël Couronné, Igor Koval, Benjamin Charlier, and Stanley Durrleman. Riemannian geometry learning for disease progression modelling. In *International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, pages 542–553. Springer, 2019.

Yonghong Luo, Xiangrui Cai, Ying Zhang, Jun Xu, and Xiaojie Yuan. Multivariate time series imputation with generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1603–1614, 2018.

Tejaswini Mishra, Meng Wang, Ahmed A Metwally, Gireesh K Bogu, Andrew W Brooks, Amir Bahnani, Arash Alavi, Alessandra Celli, Emily Higgs, Orit Dagan-Rosenfeld, et al. Pre-symptomatic detection of covid-19 from smartwatch data. *Nature biomedical engineering*, 4(12):1208–1220, 2020.
Pablo Montero-Manso, George Athanasopoulos, Rob J Hyndman, and Thiyanga S Talagala. Fforma: Feature-based forecast model averaging. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 36(1):86–92, 2020.

Aravind Natarajan, Hao-Wei Su, and Conor Heneghan. Assessment of physiological signs associated with covid-19 measured using wearable devices. *NPJ digital medicine*, 3(1):1–8, 2020.

Matthew Nayor, Ariel Chernofsky, Nicole L Spartano, Melissa Tanguay, Jasmine B Blodgett, Venkatesh L Murthy, Rajeev Malhotra, Nicholas E Houstis, Raghava S Velagaleti, Joanne M Murabito, et al. Physical activity and fitness in the community: the framingham heart study. *European Heart Journal*, 2021.

Nam Nguyen and Brian Quanz. Temporal latent auto-encoder: A method for probabilistic multivariate time series forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 9117–9125, 2021.

Boris N Oreshkin, Dmitri Carpov, Nicolas Chapados, and Yoshua Bengio. N-beats: Neural basis expansion analysis for interpretable time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.10437*, 2019.

George Papamakarios, Theo Pavlakou, and Iain Murray. Masked autoregressive flow for density estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.07057*, 2017.

George Papamakarios, Eric Nalisnick, Danilo Jimenez Rezende, Shakir Mohamed, and Balaji Lakshminarayanan. Normalizing flows for probabilistic modeling and inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.02762*, 2019.

Giorgio Quer, Jennifer M Radin, Matteo Gadaleta, Katie Baca-Motes, Lauren Ariniello, Edward Ramos, Vik Kheterpal, Eric J Topol, and Steven R Steinhubl. Wearable sensor data and self-reported symptoms for covid-19 detection. *Nature Medicine*, 27(1):73–77, 2021.

Jennifer M Radin, Nathan E Wineinger, Eric J Topol, and Steven R Steinhubl. Harnessing wearable device data to improve state-level real-time surveillance of influenza-like illness in the usa: a population-based study. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 2(2):e85–e93, 2020.

Jennifer M Radin, Giorgio Quer, Edward Ramos, Katie Baca-Motes, Matteo Gadaleta, Eric J Topol, and Steven R Steinhubl. Assessment of prolonged physiological and behavioral changes associated with covid-19 infection. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(7):e2115959–e2115959, 2021.

Carl Edward Rasmussen. Gaussian processes in machine learning. In *Summer school on machine learning*, pages 63–71. Springer, 2003.

Kashif Rasul, Abdul-Saboor Sheikh, Ingmar Schuster, Urs Bergmann, and Roland Vollgraf. Multi-variate probabilistic time series forecasting via conditioned normalizing flows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06103*, 2020.
Danilo Rezende and Shakir Mohamed. Variational inference with normalizing flows. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1530–1538. PMLR, 2015.

Clayton Rooke, Jonathan Smith, Kin Kwan Leung, Maksims Volkovs, and Saba Zuberi. Temporal dependencies in feature importance for time series predictions.

David Salinas, Michael Bohlke-Schneider, Laurent Callot, Roberto Medico, and Jan Gasthaus. High-dimensional multivariate forecasting with low-rank gaussian copula processes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03002*, 2019a.

David Salinas, Valentin Flunkert, and Jan Gasthaus. Deepar: Probabilistic forecasting with autoregressive recurrent networks. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 8(2):136–153, 2019b.

David Salinas, Valentin Flunkert, Jan Gasthaus, and Tim Januschowski. Deepar: Probabilistic forecasting with autoregressive recurrent networks. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 36(3):1181–1191, 2020.

Emily B Schroeder, Duanping Liao, Lloyd E Chambless, Ronald J Prineas, Gregory W Evans, and Gerardo Heiss. Hypertension, blood pressure, and heart rate variability: the atherosclerosis risk in communities (aric) study. *Hypertension*, 42(6):1106–1111, 2003.

Joan Serrà, David Álvarez, Vicenç Gómez, Olga Slizovskaia, José F Núñez, and Jordi Luque. Input complexity and out-of-distribution detection with likelihood-based generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.11480*, 2019.

Nikhil Singh, Kegan James Moneghetti, Jeffrey Wilcox Christle, David Hadley, Victor Froelicher, and Daniel Plews. Heart rate variability: an old metric with new meaning in the era of using mhealth technologies for health and exercise training guidance. part two: prognosis and training. *Arrhythmia & electrophysiology review*, 7(4):247, 2018.

Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V Le. Sequence to Sequence Learning with Neural Networks. In Z. Ghahramani, M. Welling, C. Cortes, N.D. Lawrence, and K.Q. Weinberger, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27*, pages 3104–3112. Curran Associates, Inc., 2014.

Yuyang Wang, Alex Smola, Danielle Maddix, Jan Gasthaus, Dean Foster, and Tim Januschowski. Deep factors for forecasting. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 6607–6617. PMLR, 2019.

Qingsong Wen, Liang Sun, Fan Yang, Xiaomin Song, Jingkun Gao, Xue Wang, and Huan Xu. Time series data augmentation for deep learning: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.12478*, 2020.

Bo Yang, Xiao Fu, Nicholas D Sidiropoulos, and Mingyi Hong. Towards k-means-friendly spaces: Simultaneous deep learning and clustering. In *international conference on machine learning*, pages 3861–3870. PMLR, 2017.

Jinsung Yoon, Daniel Jarrett, and Mihaela Van der Schaar. Time-series generative adversarial networks. 2019.
Hsiang-Fu Yu, Nikhil Rao, and Inderjit S Dhillon. Temporal regularized matrix factorization for high-dimensional time series prediction. In *NIPS*, pages 847–855, 2016.

Dongfeng Zhang, Weijing Wang, and Fang Li. Association between resting heart rate and coronary artery disease, stroke, sudden death and noncardiovascular diseases: a meta-analysis. *Cmaj*, 188(15):E384–E392, 2016.

Liheng Zhang, Charu Aggarwal, and Guo-Jun Qi. Stock price prediction via discovering multi-frequency trading patterns. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 2141–2149, 2017.

Marvin Zhang, Sharad Vikram, Laura Smith, Pieter Abbeel, Matthew Johnson, and Sergey Levine. Solar: Deep structured representations for model-based reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 7444–7453. PMLR, 2019.