Management of Inedible Airway Foreign Bodies in Pediatric Rigid Bronchoscopy: Experience From a National Children’s Regional Medical Center in China
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The aim of this study is to analyze the characteristics of inedible airway foreign bodies in pediatric rigid bronchoscopy to facilitate the improvement of management and technology. This retrospective analytical study was performed from January 2017 to June 2020. All admissions of pediatric patients (age<18 years) with foreign-body aspiration diagnosis codes ([ICD]-10:T17 300, T17 400, T17 500 and T17 900) and procedure codes (33.7801) were extracted. Age, sex, preoperative history and imaging data, surgical records, length of hospital stay, reoperations and postoperative complications were included. Data were analyzed with SPSS 20. A total of 1237 patients were hospitalized and underwent rigid bronchoscopy. Forty-five (3.6%) patients with inedible foreign bodies in the airway were confirmed. There were no significant differences in sex, time of onset and length of hospital stay between the inedible and edible foreign body groups, except for age and a definite history of foreign body aspiration (P = 0.000). Coughing, wheezing and fever were the common clinical symptoms in all patients. The following were the common locations of inedible foreign bodies: right bronchus (22/45), left bronchus (18/45), trachea (3/45) and larynx (2/45). The most frequent inedible foreign bodies were parts of a pen (15/45), a light-emitting diode (7/45) and plastic parts of toys (6/45). Vocal cord injury and a laryngeal web were observed in one case each.

**Conclusion:** Rigid bronchoscopy is the method of choice for the removal of inedible foreign bodies. Adequate preoperative assessment to rely on CT scans, skillful operation techniques to avoid damaging and active management of postoperative complications are important for the success of the procedure.
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INTRODUCTION

Airway foreign bodies are a common cause of morbidity and mortality in pediatric patients, especially in young infants. Foreign body aspiration is a serious condition and requires immediate management to avoid irreversible lung injury (1). It can be associated with severe complications in children, even cardiopulmonary arrest and sudden death. The age of children with airway foreign bodies is mostly <5 years, and the in-hospital mortality rate ranges from 0.36% to 2.75%, as previously reported (2–4). Apart from age, airway foreign bodies were also related with male sex, lack of insurance and geographical location (3).

Rigid bronchoscopy under general anesthesia is the gold standard for diagnosis and treatment of airway foreign body (5). Allowing for ongoing ventilation throughout in rigid bronchoscopy provides airway security and sufficient time to remove foreign bodies (6). Using grasping forceps can make the operation more efficient. These features are rigid bronchoscopy’s distinct advantage during foreign-body retrieval. Nevertheless, removal of a foreign body from a pediatric airway is undoubtedly a hard-fought battle. Due to their small airways, the lack of a visual field and working channels makes the management in pediatric bronchoscopes more complicated and challenging (7). Most aspirated foreign bodies in children are food-related, mainly fragments of seeds and nuts (8, 9). Residual foreign bodies in the airway are a troublesome problem in rigid bronchoscopy, as shown in our recent report (10). However, there are still a few inedible foreign bodies in the airway that are challenging to doctors and patients, and this problem has not been well described in the literature.

Here, we review our experience with inedible foreign bodies in procedure with rigid bronchoscopy to facilitate the improvement of management and technology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data source for this study consisted of The Children’s Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine (National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, National Children’s Regional Medical Center) from January 2017 to June 2020. The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Children’s Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine. For each year, all admissions of pediatric patients (age<18 years) with foreign-body aspiration diagnosis codes (International Classification of Diseases [ICD]-10 diagnosis codes: T17 300, T17 400, T17 500 and T17 900) and procedure codes (bronchoscopy with foreign body removal: 33.7801) were extracted from the ENT department. Age, sex, preoperative history and imaging data, surgical records, length of hospital stay, reoperations and postoperative complications were included in this study.

The data were analyzed with SPSS 20 using the rank-sum test and chi-square test to compare the values of between the specific study groups.

RESULTS

This retrospective analytical study included 1237 patients who were hospitalized in our hospital and underwent rigid bronchoscopy to diagnose and remove foreign bodies in the airway. There were 810 boys and 427 girls, ranging from 6 months (m) to 13 years (y) of age. The mean age was 1.93 (interquartile range, IQR: 1.24, 2.03) years. Forty-five patients with inedible foreign bodies in the airway were confirmed by rigid bronchoscopy. The proportion of inedible airway foreign bodies was 3.6%. The mean age was 5.22 (IQR: 1.22, 8.84) years. There were 33 boys (73.3%) and 12 girls (27.7%), with a male/female ratio of 2.75:1. The time of onset before admission was 8.78 (IQR: 0.36, 7.00) days. There was no significant difference in sex, time of onset and length of hospital stay between the inedible and edible groups (Table 1). There was significant difference in age. Thirty-three patients over 3 years old accounted for 73.3% of the inedible group, and 1103 patients <3 years old accounted for 92.5% of the edible group.

Thirty-seven patients had a definite history of foreign body aspiration in the inedible group and 1138 patients in the edible group, and there was a significant difference ($P = 0.000$). Seven cases (7/8) without a history of foreign body aspiration in the inedible group were <5 years old, and their average age was 2.67 years (range 1.07 to 4.66 years).

Coughing (97%), wheezing (89.7%) and fever (9.9%) were the common clinical symptoms in all patients (Table 2). Most

| N      | Age (year), mean (IQR) | Sex (male/female) | Time of onset (day), mean (IQR) | Length of hospital stay (day), mean (IQR) |
|--------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Edible | 1192                   | 1.81(1.24,1.97)   | 777/415                        | 6.15(0.50,5.00)                          | 4.01(3.00,5.00)                          |
| Inedible | 45                     | 5.22(1.22,8.84)   | 33/12                          | 8.78(0.36,7.00)                          | 4.11(3.00,5.00)                          |

IQR, interquartile range; ▲, Rank sum test; ▼, Chi-square test.

$P < 0.05$
patients (98.7%) underwent chest spiral CT scans and 4.2% underwent chest X-ray films before the operation. As a result, the imaging examination of 37.3% of the cases demonstrated pneumonia or bronchitis following aspiration of airway foreign bodies. Mediastinal emphysema occurred in three cases in the inedible group. Pneumothorax was found 6 months after its removal (12). Jiaqiang S et al. reported that pen cap inhalation was 2.65% of all cases, most frequently found in patients aged 6 to 14 years, and 76.4% of them were in the right main stem bronchus (13). Our result showed that most (86.7%) cases of pen aspiration occur in school age children (over 6 years old) because students are accustomed to placing pens in their mouth and then inhaling the objects when talking or laughing (14). 85.7% in cases of light-emitting diodes and 83.3% in cases of plastic parts of toys were accidents in preschool children due to their playful activity and propensity to bite toys. Similarly, another study reported that parts of ballpoint pens, toys, plastics and pendants account for nearly seventy percent of inorganic substances of foreign body aspiration in childhood (15).

**Diagnosis/Work up**

Because of the large volume and irregular shape of the inedible foreign bodies, the difficulty and risk of the operation were significantly higher than those of the edible group. Therefore, adequate preoperative preparation was especially important for a successful operation. CT scans could be a helpful means to evaluate the condition before surgery, especially in potentially high-risk cases (16). Seventeen cases of foreign bodies were related to metals, and two were teeth. These could be identified by obtaining high-density images on CT scans. CT is effective for diagnosing airway foreign bodies, with a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 98% (17). Meanwhile, CT scans are also helpful for identifying the presence of complications such as mediastinal emphysema and
TABLE 4 | Type of foreign bodies in the airway between the edible foreign body group and the inedible group.

| Edible group                      | Inedible group |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Nuts                             | Plastic        |
| Peanut                           | Pen            |
| Wild walnut kernel               | Cap            |
| Chestnut                         | Stand          |
| Pistachio nuts                   | Plastic part of toys |
| Almond                           | Beads          |
| Pine nuts                        | Press of lighter |
| Seeds                            | Plastic wrap   |
| Sunflower seeds                  | Metal          |
| Watermelon seeds                 | Light-emitting diode |
| Pumpkin seeds                    | Tinfoil        |
| Mongolian snake gourd seed       | Reeds          |
| Beans                            | Spring         |
| Soybean                          | Button         |
| Broad bean                       | Brooch         |
| Others nuts, seeds & beans       | Screw          |
| Flesh of unclear nature          | Thumbtack      |
| Shell of unclear nature          | Nails          |
| Bone slice                       | Tooth          |
| Unclear in nature                | Unclear in nature |

Meanwhile, CT scans are advantageous to delineate the exact shape, location and volume of the foreign body to evaluate the surgical risk and to formulate a surgical strategy for safe removal of the foreign body (19). However, some foreign bodies have only some metal parts, or could not be shown as high-density shadows; for example, light-emitting diodes with lamp beads and other objects such as plastic wrap, so it is important to know the history of the case before surgery. Asking about the history is very important and must not be ignored in any case (20). At the same time, we need to make a backup plan and prepare for tracheotomy to prevent asphyxia.

Operative Technique

How to grasp the optimal position conducive to the removal of foreign bodies was the first step. It should be based on careful reference to imaging data and previous surgical experience. Grasping the edge of the foreign body, such as the cap of a pen, after taking the hollow end face upward would make removal easier. If the foreign body is stuck too tightly to catch it, bronchoscopic cryoprobe extraction might be a safe and effective option. A previous study (21) made attempts in four cases, and was successful in half. Another study also described a case using cryotherapy to remove aspirated sponges from an adult patient (22). The tip of the probe could freeze the liquid within or surrounding the foreign object (i.e., metal or plastic), which could cause it to be reliably adherent, with the aim of cryoadherence and extraction (23). Our experience with foreign body cryoextraction is limited, and we tried this method in an 8-year-old boy with a pen cap in the right main bronchus that failed. For sharp objects, the sharp part should be hidden in pneumothorax, which might lead to unstable conditions. The incidence of mediastinal emphysema secondary to foreign bodies was 1.5%, and the incidence of pneumothorax was 0.4% (18). The mortality can reach 5.1% if these emergencies are not recognized (18).
the forceps or in the bronchoscopic tube to avoid damaging the bronchial tissue.

The glottis is the narrowest portion of the airway in children aged 6 months to 13 years under general anesthesia (24), which makes it difficult for foreign bodies to pass through. Endoscopy showed that the vocal cords were in an adducted position in a 1-year-old child who spontaneously breathed under inhalation anesthesia (25). The object lodged below the vocal cords is dangerous and could cause dyspnea at any time, such as a board game piece or a pen cap (14). When an unusually shaped foreign body directly passes through the vocal folds and completely obstructs the respiratory tract, particularly in children, the patients undergo choking episodes and lie between life and death (26). If forceps cannot be used to remove the object immediately, the object should be moved into the right mainstem bronchus naturally between attempts. The position of the sharp part, such as that on a light-emitting diode or thumbtack, should be adjusted or confirmed again when crossing the glottis to avoid damaging the vocal cords. When rigid bronchoscopy fails because objects are unable to pass through the glottis, tracheotomy has to be performed, and the wound could be sutured immediately after the foreign body is removed (27). Other literature reported a tracheostomy rate of 1.2% (28).

Complications

The complications of rigid bronchoscopy mostly manifest as laryngeal edema, injury to the vocal cords, airway laceration and perforation, hypoxemia-induced cardiac ischemia and arrhythmias (29). Vocal cord injury from rigid bronchoscopy includes mucosal lacerations and laceration of the free edge, leading to prolonged recovery and long-term dysphonia as sequelae (30). Interventions to minimize scar formation are vital for optimizing phonatory function. There were two cases of vocal cord injury in our series of cases, and the incidence was 0.16% of all (2/1237). Both of them were in the inedible group. The 8-year-old boy lost his voice when he underwent a lengthy procedure to remove a pen cap that was broken during the operation. Laryngoscopy showed that the mucosa of the vocal cords was injured, but he fully recovered after a period of recuperation. The 9-month-old boy had hoarseness after the spring in his glottis was removed, but it was irrelevant to iatrogenic injury. The traumatic laryngeal web was not found until fiberoptic laryngoscopy was performed 6 months later, and we have not yet found a better way to solve this issue. A laryngeal web is a challenging surgical issue, and bilateral injured adjacent mucosa on the anterior vocal cords increases the risk of laryngeal web recurrence (31).
Keel placement or laryngeal stenting might optimize these surgical techniques.

In our series, there was no mediastinal emphysema or pneumothorax secondary to the procedure, only those caused by the foreign body itself. Pneumothorax as a postoperative complication is rare, with an incidence of 0.3% (32). For children without dyspnea or with mild dyspnea, pneumothorax could be managed conservatively (33). Most of them resolve spontaneously in a few days. Pneumothorax followed by moderate or severe dyspnea should be treated by pleural cavity drainage immediately, and the patient’s vital signs should be carefully monitored. In all, serious consequences could be avoided as long as complications are handled in a timely manner.

CONCLUSION

In summary, inedible airway foreign bodies are uncommon but challenging problems. Rigid bronchoscopy is the gold standard technique and procedure for the management of inedible foreign body aspiration. Patients need to be fully evaluated to depend on CT scanning before surgery, and the procedures have to be more tailored to the intraoperative details to avoid injuring the airway mucosa. Postoperative complications also should be actively considered. This study was limited to one medical center, and a multicenter study should be conducted.
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