Clinical safety of total glucosides of paeony adjuvant therapy for rheumatoid arthritis treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: Total glucosides of paeony (TGP), an active compound extracted from the roots of \textit{Paeonia lactiflora} \textit{Pallas}, has been increasingly used as the adjunctive therapy for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients. Though TGP could mitigate the unanticipated adverse effects during the conventional treatment of RA, high-quality evidence-based meta-analysis data on this subject are still insufficient. The objective of this study is to evaluate the clinical safety of TGP adjuvant therapy in the RA treatment.

Methods: PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, China Network Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), SinoMed and WanFang Data were retrieved for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and cohort study about TGP adjuvant therapy in patients with RA up to 28 January 2021. Literatures with eligibility criteria and information were screened and extracted by two researchers independently. The RevMan5.3 software was used for data analysis with effect estimates as risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

(Continued on next page)
Background
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic autoimmune disease with an increasing global prevalence, characterized by chronic synovial inflammation, cartilage and joint erosion, pannus formation, joint abnormalities and ankylosis [1]. At present, four types of drugs including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, glucocorticoid and biological agents are commonly used for the RA treatment [2, 3]. However, some unanticipated adverse effects, such as gastrointestinal effects, skin effects, hepatotoxicity, and neurological symptoms, occur occasionally during the long therapeutic procedure [4–7]. In clinical practice, adjuvant drug treatments have been often applied to reduce adverse effects [8] and many patients are also willing to approve such adjuvant therapy [9].

In classical Chinese herbal textbooks and the Pharmacopoeia of China, *Paeonia lactiflora Pallas* is often referred to as a holy drug to protect the liver [10]. Traditional Chinese medicine posits that the liver holds blood, and modern medical research has confirmed that the liver is not only an important metabolic organ, but also an immune organ. The liver is rich in natural immune cells, and its unique immune-cell composition plays an important role in the formation of its functional characteristics [11, 12]. As an active compound extracted from the roots of *Paeonia lactiflora Pallas*, total glucosides of paeony (TGP) has been increasingly used as the adjunctive therapy for RA patients [13]. TPG contains more than fifteen monoterpen glycosides, such as paeoniflorin, albiflorin, oxypeaoniflorin, benzoylpaeoniflorin, benzoyloxydebenzoin [14]. With the development of chemical component separation technology and analysis technology, more and more new monoterpen glycoside components in TGP are separated and analyzed. While TGP has good anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects [15, 16], it also has been confirmed to be hepatoprotective [17]. In the meantime, TGP combined with methotrexate (MTX) and leflunomide (LEF) might be more effective against RA, showing a reduced erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein level and rheumatoid factor. Besides, hepatotoxicity has also been decreased significantly in the TGP adjuvant therapy [18]. Though TGP could mitigate the unanticipated hepatotoxicity during the conventional treatment of RA, high-quality evidence-based meta-analysis data on clinical safety of TGP adjuvant therapy are still insufficient. This study aims to evaluate the clinical safety of TGP adjuvant therapy in the RA treatment.

Methods
Protocol and registration
This meta-analysis had been registered on PROSPERO of the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (NO: CRD42018118519).

Eligibility and exclusion criteria
Eligibility criteria were as following: (1) participants were diagnosed with RA based on the criteria revised by the American College of Rheumatology in 1987 or the ACR/European League Against Rheumatism in 2010; (2) The trial was claimed to be a randomized controlled trial (RCT) or cohort study comparing the TGP adjuvant therapy to non-TGP therapy; (3) the language of studies was limited to English or Chinese; (4) predefined outcome: clinical adverse effect, including gastrointestinal adverse effect, cutaneous adverse effect, hepatic adverse effect, leukopenia and nervous system adverse effect.
based on the report of National Medical Products Administration, literatures and clinical physicians’ opinion.

Exclusion criteria were as following: (1) participants in studies with other serious diseases; (2) reviews and trials published only as abstracts; (3) there are additional treatment factors in the control group and/or the combination group; (4) the language was not written in English or Chinese.

Search strategy
To assess the clinical safety of TGP adjuvant therapy for RA, we searched 6 databases including PubMed (1966–2021), EMBASE (1974–2021), Web of Science (1980–2021), China Network Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) (1979–2021), SinoMed (1978–2021) and WanFang Data (1997–2021) from inception to 28 January 2021. The search strategies were as follows: “Rheumatoid Arthritis” OR “Caplan Syndrome” OR “Rheumatoid Nodule” OR “Rheumatoid Vasculitis” OR “Sjogren’s Syndrome” OR “Adult-Onset Still’s Disease” AND “total glucosides of paonia” OR “total glucosides of paenony” OR “bai shao zong gan” AND “Adverse Reaction” OR “Toxicity” OR “Side Effect” OR “Toxic Reaction”. Various combinations of the keywords were applied and the search strategy amalgamated MeSH terms with text words search. The detailed search strategy for each electronic database is available in Additional file 1.

Study selection and data extractions
The titles and abstracts of the searched results were screened to identify the final included studies. The standard form was pre-designed for this systematic review. The extracted data were as follows: study characteristics (authors, title, etc.), methodological information (randomization, allocation concealment, blinding, and follow-up, selective outcome reporting), patient characteristics (number of patients, age, gender, etc.), intervention, control, and outcomes. Any disagreements were discussed or sought a third opinion.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies
Two authors used the tools developed by Higgins and Green in the Cochrane Systematic Review Intervention Handbook to assess the risk of bias in inclusion in studies independently. The following risk of bias: selection bias (random sequence generation and allocation concealment), performance bias (blinding of participants and personnel), detection bias (blindness of researchers performing outcome evaluation), attrition bias (incomplete outcome data), reporting bias (selective reporting) and other sources of bias were assessed. Meanwhile, “low risk”, “high risk” or “unclear risk” judgment had been provided for each aspect of the risk of bias.

Statistical analysis
Data were aggregated using risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary outcomes. Revman 5.3 Software was used from the Cochrane Collaboration for data analyses. Meta-analysis was performed if the trials had a good homogeneity on study design, participants, interventions, control, and outcome. Statistical heterogeneity was tested by examining both the Chi-squared test and the I-squared statistic \( (I^2) \) which means that an \( I^2 \) is greater than 50% and \( P \) is less than or equal to 0.1 indicating the possibility of statistical heterogeneity and employing random-effects model. If there was no statistically significant heterogeneity between studies in the meta-analysis, the fixed effect model was adopted. Funnel plots and Egger’s test were used to assess the publication bias if more than 10 studies tested the same outcome in one meta-analysis.

Results
Search results
There were 697 articles identified by literature search: SinoMed \( (n = 184) \), CNKI \( (n = 127) \), WanFang Data \( (n = 310) \), PubMed \( (n = 24) \), Web of Science \( (n = 32) \), EMBASE \( (n = 20) \). NoteExpress was used to conduct duplicate checking and ultimately selected 200 papers. After reading through the full article, existence of wrong data \( (n = 134) \) and absence of adverse effect data \( (n = 27) \) were excluded. In the end, 39 articles \( [19–57] \) in total were included for the meta-analysis (Fig. 1). We also tried to get unpublished studies from databases of relevant clinical trials, however, there were no eligible studies.

Study characteristics
These 39 included trials, which involved 3680 RA participants, were all conducted in mainland China. They were published from 2005 to 2020. There were 1874 and 1806 patients were enrolled in the TGP adjuvant therapy groups and the non-TGP therapy groups, respectively. There were 8 comparisons: TGP plus methotrexate (MTX) therapy versus MTX therapy \( [20, 21, 31–33, 43, 45, 48, 50–53, 55, 56] \), TGP plus leflunomide (LEF) therapy versus LEF therapy \( [34, 37, 40, 47, 49, 54] \), TGP plus MTX and LEF therapy versus MTX plus LEF therapy \( [22–29, 35, 38, 39, 42, 44, 46] \), TGP plus tripterygium glycosides (TG) therapy versus TG therapy \( [57] \), TGP plus meloxicam (MLX) therapy versus MLX therapy \( [36] \), TGP plus sulfasalazine (SSZ) therapy versus SSZ therapy \( [41] \), TGP plus igeratumod (IGU) therapy versus IGU therapy \( [30] \) and TGP plus prednisone acetate
tablets (PAT) therapy versus PAT therapy [19]. The detailed characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Risk of bias of included studies
Thirty-seven trials [19–26, 29–57] were RCTs, 2 trials [27, 28] were cohort study and the baseline characteristics of each trial were comparable. Four trials [21, 28, 33, 34] had a high risk of bias by using the wrong random sequence generation and seven trials [20, 29, 35, 36, 40, 43, 57] had a low risk of bias because of their generating random numbers by using the random number table or centralized randomization. Two trials [51, 52] used blinding of participants and personnel. One trial [52] had a low risk of bias on account of it was double-blind and reported the blinding of outcome assessment. Two trials [34, 44] had a high risk of bias in selective reporting. All trials had an unclear risk of bias allocation concealment and blinding of outcome assessment because of incomplete outcome data (Fig. 2).

Effects of interventions
Our analysis revealed that TGP adjuvant therapy could decrease the incidence of hepatic adverse effect (RR = 0.31, 95% CI = 0.23–0.41, P < 0.00001) (Fig. 3) and leukopenia (RR = 0.41, 95% CI = 0.26–0.66, P = 0.0002) (Fig. 4) compared with non-TGP therapy. However, there were no statistical difference of gastrointestinal adverse effect (RR = 1.05, 95% CI = 0.90–1.23, P = 0.54) (Fig. 5), cutaneous adverse effect (RR = 0.61, 95% CI = 0.36–1.02, P = 0.06) (Fig. 6) and nervous system adverse effect (RR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.42–1.15, P = 0.48) (Fig. 7) between TGP adjuvant therapy and non-TGP therapy.

22 studies evaluated the hepatic adverse effect with 1241 patients in the TGP adjuvant therapy group and
1185 patients in the non-TGP therapy group. Although TGP adjuvant therapy can decrease the incidence of hepatic adverse effect, only TGP plus LEF therapy (RR = 0.22, 95% CI = 0.08–0.60, \( P = 0.003 \)) and TGP plus MTX and LEF therapy (RR = 0.31, 95% CI = 0.22–0.42, \( P < 0.00001 \)) had statistical difference in the subgroups. Meanwhile, no statistical difference was found in the following four comparisons of gastrointestinal adverse effect, nervous system adverse effect and cutaneous adverse effect: (1) TGP plus MTX versus MTX; (2) TGP plus LEF versus LEF; (3) TGP plus MTX and LEF versus MTX plus LEF; (4) TGP plus MLX/SSZ/IGU/PAT versus MLX/SSZ/IGU/PAT.

Sensitivity analysis
Sensitivity analysis was implemented to evaluate the results and we found that there was no significant change, suggesting that the sensitivity was low and the results were more stable.

Discussion
This systematic review aims to assess the clinical safety of TGP adjuvant therapy in the RA treatment. 39 trials involving 3681 RA patients were included in this review with language restrictions in both Chinese and English. Clinical adverse effect including gastrointestinal adverse effect, cutaneous adverse effect, hepatic adverse effect, leukopenia, and nervous system adverse effect were analyzed in TGP adjuvant therapy and non-TGP therapy. The meta-analysis results signified that the occurrence of hepatic adverse effect and leukopenia in TGP adjuvant therapy was significant decreased. However, no statistical significance was found in subgroup analysis of gastrointestinal adverse effect, nervous system adverse effect and cutaneous adverse effect, the reason for this might be the sample size was small and the quality of methodologies used in the included studies was poor.

Hepatic adverse effect is one of the main adverse effects of MTX and LEF in the treatment of RA. Elevated levels of alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST) in serum suggest the architecture of hepatic cell may be injured. Although the reversibility of mild liver enzyme elevations in a clinical trial setting is reassuring, the potential for increased hepatic toxicity with the use of MTX and LEF combination should be recognized [58, 59]. Previous study demonstrated that TGP could reduce the activity of ALT and AST in liver injury and the content of MDA in liver homogenate, increase the activity of SOD and protect drug-induced liver injury [60]. Meanwhile, TGP might protect hepatocytes through modulating oxidative damage improving the changes in liver structure and alleviating lobular necrosis in an acute liver injury rat model. In addition to, the increased expression of iNOS and CYP2E1 in liver of acute liver injury rat model also had been attenuated by TGP [61]. Those may be the reasons why TGP plus LEF (and MTX) therapy decreased the incidence of hepatic adverse effect compared to LEF (and MTX) therapy, but it requires further research and definite evidence.
| Study ID | Patients | Treatment | Control |
|----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Lin 2016 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Yin 2007 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Gong 2017 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Chen 2017 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Fan 2006 | Patients with EORA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Min 2005 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Du 2005 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Sun 2011 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Shang 2009 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Wang 2012 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Liu 2007 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Wang 2020 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Guo 2020 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Zhu 2009 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Ma 2009 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Yu 2010 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Li 2014 | Patients with EORA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Han 2016 | Patients with EORA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Wu 2015 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Zhao 2006 | Patients with RA | MTX + TGP | MTX |
| Zhang 2016 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Yu 2018 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Wang 2011 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Wang 2012 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Wang 2015 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Chen 2013 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Xiang 2015 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Tan 2018 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Xu 2018 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Yu HY 2018 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Ju 2019 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF |
| Study ID   | Patients            | Treatment  | Control     | Course of treatment |
|------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Zhang 2019 | Patients with RA    | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF  | 12 weeks            |
|           |                     | 50         | 50          |                     |
| Liu 2019   | Patients with RA    | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF  | 12 weeks            |
|           |                     | 49         | 49          |                     |
| Zhang XL 2019 | Patients with RA | MTX + LEF + TGP | MTX + LEF  | 12 weeks            |
|           |                     | 135        | 133         |                     |
| Zhang 2005 | Patients with RA    | TG + TGP   | TG          | 3 months            |
|           |                     | 30         | 30          |                     |
| Zhang C 2016 | Patients with RA  | MLX + TGP  | MLX         | 12 weeks            |
|           |                     | 49         | 41          |                     |
| Huang 2014 | Patients with RA    | SSZ + TGP  | SSZ         | 8 weeks             |
|           |                     | 30         | 60          |                     |
| Shen 2018  | Patients with RA    | IGU + TGP  | IGU         | 12 weeks            |
|           |                     | 30         | 30          |                     |
| Li 2020    | Patients with RA    | PAT + TGP  | PAT         | 12 weeks            |
|           |                     | 35         | 35          |                     |
In this meta-analysis, TGP adjuvant therapy can decrease the incidence of leukopenia, but no statistical difference was found in the subgroups. Meanwhile, TGP is effective and safety in treatment patients of leukopenia with systemic lupus erythematosus [62]. Although there was no statistical significance in gastrointestinal adverse effect, cutaneous adverse effect and nervous system adverse effect, a certain

![Forest plots for hepatic adverse effect of TGP adjuvant therapy versus non-TGP therapy](image)

**Fig. 3** Forest plots for hepatic adverse effect of TGP adjuvant therapy versus non-TGP therapy
downward trend showed in the incidence of these adverse effects.

This review has several limitations. Firstly, almost all trials had focused on therapeutic effect, and there were a few trials focused on clinical safety evaluation specifically, so the sample of raw data included in this review was small. Although we searched the Chinese and English databases, all the included trials were conducted in China, which may have introduced potential selection bias and limited the external promotion of the evidence. Secondly, the purity, concentrations, quality control and chemical analysis of TGP were not presented in all the included trials.

Fig. 4 Forest plots for leukopenia of TGP adjuvant therapy versus non-TGP therapy.
original studies. Thirdly, clinical safety may not be sufficient for safety of drug, since the herbal extracts were used together with western medications thus herb-drug interaction should also be considered and evaluated. In fact, we searched the literature about herb-drug interaction of TGP and the other herbal extracts, but literatures is rare, which may also be a limitation of this study. This is something we need to further study in the future. Although the limitations mentioned above may undermine the level of

![Fig. 5 Forest plots for gastrointestinal adverse effect of TGP adjuvant therapy versus non-TGP therapy](image-url)
evidence of this meta-analysis, the selected trials are highly comparable, and the documents were selected in strict accordance with inclusion criteria. To some extent, the safety data from these trials also provided us with some research directions.

This review indicates that high quality studies would be warranted to confirm the clinical safety. First, clinical trial protocols should be predetermined based on research questions and registered to ensure that research can be conducted according to...
predetermined criteria. Meanwhile, factors that may influence or reduce the quality of the research methodology should be controlled during the research process. Appropriate methods are needed to generate random numbers, assign hidden, lose data processing, and avoid performance biases and other biases. In addition, statistics and observations of clinical data are required to unify the outcomes of clinical safety.

Conclusions

This meta-analysis indicated that TGP adjuvant therapy might alleviate the incidence of hepatic adverse effect and leukopenia for the RA treatment compared to non-TGP therapy. Further large sample, multicenter, high-quality studies are still needed to confirm the clinical safety of TGP adjuvant therapy.
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