Reliability and validity of the Korean version of the community balance and mobility scale in patients with hemiplegia after stroke
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Abstract. [Purpose] The aim of this study was to translate and adapt the Community Balance and Mobility Scale (CB&M) into Korean (K-CB&M) and to verify the reliability and validity of scores obtained with Korean patients. [Subjects and Methods] A total of 16 subjects were recruited from St. Vincent’s Hospital in South Korea. At each testing session, subjects completed the K-CB&M, Berg balance scale (BBS), timed up and go test (TUG), and functional reaching test. All tests were administered by a physical therapist, and subjects completed the tests in an identical standardized order during all testing sessions. [Results] The inter- and intra-rater reliability coefficients were high for most subscores, while moderate inter-rater reliability was observed for the items “walking and looking” and “walk, look, and carry”, and moderate intra-rater reliability was observed for “forward to backward walking”. There was a positive correlation between the K-CB&M and BBS and a negative correlation between the K-CB&M and TUG in the convergent validity assessments. [Conclusion] The reliability and validity of the K-CB&M was high, suggesting that clinical practitioners treating Korean patients with hemiplegia can use this material for assessing static and dynamic balance.
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INTRODUCTION

Some assessment tools, including the Berg balance scale (BBS), postural assessment scale for stroke (PASS), balance subscale of the Fugl-Meyer test, and timed up and go test (TUG), have been developed and are often used to evaluate balance and mobility in patients with stroke. However, when evaluating subjects with mild neurological impairment, the utility of these scales can be limited by ceiling effects. The Community Balance and Mobility Scale (CB&M) was created and developed to evaluate community-level functional deficits in both mobility and dynamic balance. This scale was made for assessing sophisticated balance and mobility activities such as quick direction changes and dual tasking, originally in young traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients. Significantly, the CB&M has been validated in able-bodied individuals and TBI and stroke patient populations. The scale is easy to apply, requires minimal equipment, and consists of items assessing functional balance and mobility. The CB&M can precisely evaluate the variability in patients and changes in scores, and it does not produce the ceiling effects typically seen with the BBS and TUG in the assessment of balance and mobility1). The CB&M comprises 13 tasks such as bending, turning, or looking while walking; single-leg standing; and stair descent2). Studies have also found that the CB&M was superior to the BBS and TUG for verifying improvements in mobility and balance, which

*Corresponding author. Young-dong Kim (E-mail: exptkyd@daum.net)

©2016 The Society of Physical Therapy Science. Published by IPEC Inc.
This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd) License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

INTRODUCTION

Some assessment tools, including the Berg balance scale (BBS), postural assessment scale for stroke (PASS), balance subscale of the Fugl-Meyer test, and timed up and go test (TUG), have been developed and are often used to evaluate balance and mobility in patients with stroke. However, when evaluating subjects with mild neurological impairment, the utility of these scales can be limited by ceiling effects. The Community Balance and Mobility Scale (CB&M) was created and developed to evaluate community-level functional deficits in both mobility and dynamic balance. This scale was made for assessing sophisticated balance and mobility activities such as quick direction changes and dual tasking, originally in young traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients. Significantly, the CB&M has been validated in able-bodied individuals and TBI and stroke patient populations. The scale is easy to apply, requires minimal equipment, and consists of items assessing functional balance and mobility. The CB&M can precisely evaluate the variability in patients and changes in scores, and it does not produce the ceiling effects typically seen with the BBS and TUG in the assessment of balance and mobility1). The CB&M comprises 13 tasks such as bending, turning, or looking while walking; single-leg standing; and stair descent2). Studies have also found that the CB&M was superior to the BBS and TUG for verifying improvements in mobility and balance, which
is important for assessing the progression of recovery and efficacy of physical therapy, and it may be more appropriate for ambulatory patients with moderate to mild post-stroke deficits. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the intra- and inter-rater reliability and convergent validity of the Korean version of the CB&M (K-CB&M) compared with that of the BBS, TUG, and functional reaching test (FRT) in patients with hemiplegia whose native language is Korean.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The K-CB&M was originally developed by Howe et al., and its usefulness has been confirmed by Howe. The K-CB&M was initially translated by two persons proficient in English whose native language is Korean, after the objective of the study was explained to them. The two translations were compared, and when differences were identified, the texts were modified to obtain a consensus between the two translations regarding the initial translation. Subsequently, the K-CB&M was translated back into English by a physical therapist whose native language is English, who was unaware of the original version and the objective of the study. To test the reliability of the K-CB&M in the current study, the scale (final version) was administered to 16 patients during two assessments. Patients were recruited from St. Vincent’s Hospital in South Korea. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) a diagnosis of stroke, 2) more than 5 months after stroke onset, 3) more than 24 points on the mini mental state evaluation, 4) adequate ability to follow verbal commands in Korean, 5) first unilateral hemispheric stroke, and 6) able to walk indoors with or without a gait aid. All of the recruited subjects gave their written informed consent before participation, and this study conformed to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Demographics and clinical characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1.

At each testing session, participants completed the K-CB&M, BBS, TUG, and FRT. All tests were administered by a physical therapist, and participants completed the tests in an identical standardized order during all testing sessions. For this study, the K-CB&M was scored by two trained physical therapists with more than 5 years of experience. Assessment of the K-CB&M takes approximately 15 minutes to complete by trained physical therapists and requires minimal equipment, including 2 weighted bags, an 8 m track with a target on the wall, a stopwatch, a set of 3 stair steps, and a beanbag. Inter- and intra-rater reliability of the K-CB&M subscores was determined using intraclass correlation coefficients, and convergent validity between the K-CB&M and other tests of balance and mobility was calculated using Spearman correlation coefficients.

RESULTS

High reliability was observed for both inter- and intra-rater reliability correlation coefficients, while moderate inter-rater reliability was observed for the items “walking and looking” and “walk, look, and carry”, and moderate intra-rater reliability was observed for “forward to backward walking”. Inter- and intra-rater correlation coefficients are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Furthermore, there was a positive correlation between the K-CB&M and BBS and a negative correlation between the K-CB&M and TUG in the assessment of convergent validity. The convergent validity is shown in Table 4.

Table 1. Demographics and clinical characteristics of the participants (N=16)

| Variables                        | All participants |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Age (yrs)                        | 44.4 ± 15.9      |
| Gender                           | Male/female 5/11 (31.3/68.8) |
| Side of hemiplegia               | Left/right 4/12 (25.0/75.0) |
| Type of stroke                   | Infarction/hemorrhage 4/12 (25.0/75.0) |
| Brain lesion location            | Cortex/subcortex/mixed 3/5/8 (18.8/31.3/50.0) |
| Time poststroke (months)         | 47.6 ± 39.4      |
| MMSE score                       | 26.80 ± 2.8      |
| Functional ambulatory scale (4/5) | 4/5 (0.0/100)    |

Values, N (%) or Mean ± standard deviation; MMSE: Mini mental state evaluation

Table 2. Inter-rater reliability of K-CB&M subscores (N=16)

| Item                               | ICC    | 95% Confidence interval (CI) |
|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| Unilateral stance                  | 0.882* | 0.693, 0.952                  |
| Tandem walking                     | 0.842* | 0.608, 0.942                  |
| 180° tandem pivot                  | 0.947* | 0.856, 0.981                  |
| Lateral foot scooting              | 0.922* | 0.768, 0.973                  |
| Hopping forward                    | 0.936* | 0.831, 0.977                  |
| Crouch and walk                    | 0.845* | 0.570, 0.945                  |
| Lateral dodging                    | 0.854* | 0.639, 0.946                  |
| Walking & looking                  | 0.517* | 0.067, 0.797                  |
| Running with controlled stop       | 0.867* | 0.654, 0.952                  |
| Forward to backward walking        | 0.721* | 0.381, 0.892                  |
| Walk, look, and carry              | 0.542* | 0.088, 0.811                  |
| Descending stairs                  | 0.884* | 0.708, 0.958                  |
| Step-ups × 1 step                  | 0.829* | 0.551, 0.706                  |

*p<0.05; ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient; K-CB&M: Korean version of the Community Balance and Mobility Scale
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DISCUSSION

The CB&M was created and developed to be used in clinical settings to assess the complex gait skills that are important for the more difficult tasks required for functioning in the community. Many studies have shown that muscle power in the lower limbs is an important element of balance and ambulatory function in able-bodied individuals as well as adults after stroke. The CB&M consists of challenging tasks commonly performed in the community (e.g., walking and looking at a target), to evaluate a wide range of abilities related to mobility and balance of ambulatory patients. This scale was found to have high reliability (intraclass correlation coefficients of 0.98 for intratest, intertest, and test-retest reliability), high internal consistency (Cronbach’s α of 0.96), and moderate content and construct validity (r=0.62 and 0.64, respectively).

In the present study, inter- and intra-rater reliability coefficients were high, ranging from 0.517 to 0.947 and 0.64 to 0.978, respectively. Thus, the K-CB&M can be used in clinical sessions to assess static and dynamic balance in Korean patients with hemiplegia after stroke. Mao et al. researched the psychometric properties of the balance subscale of the Fugl-Meyer test, BBS, and PASS among subjects after strokes of varying severity. According to these authors, all 3 balance assessments demonstrated excellent inter-rater reliability (intraclass correlation coefficients ranged from 0.92–0.97) and high intra-rater consistency (Cronbach’s α ranged from 0.85–0.98). In this study, important correlations of moderate to outstanding magnitude between CB&M scores and all gait conditions. This finding suggests that the CB&M is an important construct to assess specific dynamic balance. The same result was observed for the convergent validity between the K-CB&M and TUG in the present study. Therefore, the K-CB&M is an effective assessment tool for dynamic balance in patients with hemiplegia. In this study, the effectiveness of the K-CB&M was demonstrated, so that, clinical practitioners treating patients using the Korean language can use this material for assessing static and dynamic balance, like the BBS and TUG.
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