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ABSTRACT

We present a study of quasar selection using the Dark Energy Survey (DES) supernova fields. We used a quasar catalog from an overlapping portion of the SDSS Stripe 82 region to quantify the completeness and efficiency of selection methods involving color, probabilistic modeling, variability, and combinations of color/probabilistic modeling with variability. In all cases, we only considered objects that appear as point sources in the DES images. We examine color selection methods based on the WISE mid-IR W1−W2 color, a mixture of WISE and DES colors (g−i and i−W1) and a mixture of VHS and DES colors (g−i and i−Ks). For probabilistic quasar selection, we used XDQS0z, an algorithm that employs an empirical multi-wavelength flux model of quasars to assign quasar probabilities. Our variability selection uses the multi-band (2−2) probability that sources are constant in the DES Year 1 griz-band light curves. The completeness and efficiency are calculated relative to an underlying sample of point sources that are detected in the required selection bands and pass our data quality and photometric error cuts. We conduct our analyses at two magnitude limits, i < 19.8 mag and i < 22 mag. For the subset of sources with W1 and W2 detections, the W1−W2 color or XDQS0z method combined with variability gives the highest completeness of > 85% for both i-band magnitude limits and efficiencies of > 80% to the bright limit and > 60% to the faint limit; however, the gW1 and giW1+variability methods give the highest quasar surface densities. The XDQS0z method and combinations of W1W2/giW1/XDQS0z with variability are among the better selection methods when both high completeness and high efficiency are desired. We also present the OzDES Quasar Catalog of 1,263 spectroscopically-confirmed quasars from three years of OzDES observation in the 30 deg2 of the DES supernova fields. The catalog includes quasars with redshifts up to z ∼ 4 and brighter than i=22 mag, although the catalog is not complete up this magnitude limit.

Subject headings: dark energy survey, quasar selection

1. INTRODUCTION

Quasars are highly energetic sources located at the centers of galaxies created by accretion of matter onto supermassive black holes. They are the most luminous subclass of active galactic nuclei (AGN) and one of the most luminous classes of objects in the Universe. Through accurate measurements of the quasar luminosity function and its evolution, the formation history of supermassive black holes can be studied in detail (Kelly & Merloni 2012 and references therein). For studies of baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAO) and the Ly-α forest, quasars act as tracers and backlights of matter clustering (e.g. Dawson et al. 2013; Font-Ribera et al. 2014; Delubac et al. 2015). All these studies benefit from efficient and/or complete selection of large quasar samples.

Sandage et al. (1965) pioneered quasar selection through the use of multi-color imaging data. Because quasars are not characterized by a single temperature like stars, they usually occupy different regions of color space. For instance, most quasars are bluer in the UV/visible and redder in the infrared. By virtue of this, color selection methods using UV, visible, and mid-infrared photometry are one of the easiest and most common ways to select quasars at various redshifts (e.g. Richards et al. 2002; Stern et al. 2012; Assef et al. 2013; Reed et al. 2015).

Nearly all quasars show 10%–20% stochastic variability at UV and visible wavelengths over timescales of many months to years (Koo et al. 1986; Hook et al. 1994; de Vries et al. 2003; Vanden Berk et al. 2004; Kelly et al. 2009; MacLeod et al. 2010; Kozlowski et al. 2010). Since only a small fraction of stars are variable at this level, and many of these variable stars are periodic, variability data strongly separates quasars from stars. Models of variability such as a power-law (Schmidt et al.
The Dark Energy Survey (DES) is a wide-area 5000 deg² survey of the southern hemisphere in the grizY bands (Flaugher et al. 2005; Frieman et al. 2013). Using the Dark Energy Camera (DECam; Flaugher et al. 2015) at the 4m Blanco telescope at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, DES aims to probe the nature of dark energy using four different astrophysical probes: Type Ia supernovae, baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAO), galaxy clusters, and weak lensing. The planned 5σ point source depths of the survey are $g = 26.5$ mag, $r = 26$ mag, $i = 25.3$ mag, $z = 24.7$ mag, and $Y = 23$ mag (Mohr et al. 2012). DES is covering much more area than other surveys of similar depth (e.g. the NOAO Deep Wide-Field Survey $^1$, Jannuzi & Dey 1999) and is much deeper than other surveys of larger area (e.g. SDSS and Pan-STARRS), so it is well suited to identifying new quasars. The survey finished its third season of operation in February 2016 (Diehl et al. 2016) and recently started its fourth observing season in August 2016.

DES conducts a multi-epoch supernova survey of two deep fields (C3 and X3) and eight shallow fields to search for Type Ia supernovae (Bernstein et al. 2012). Each supernova field subtends $\sim 3$ deg², or 30 deg² total. The supernova survey has a mean cadence of $\sim 7$ days in the griz bands. While the main DES survey is conducted under good seeing conditions (at a median FWHM $\sim 0'.9$), it switches to imaging the supernova fields when the seeing increases to $\gtrsim 1'$. When the supernova fields have not been observed for more than a week. The supernova component is expected to comprise roughly one-third or $\sim 1300$ hours of the total DES observing time. Table 1 shows the names and field centers of the ten supernova fields. Most of the supernova fields are also well-studied by other surveys such as the Chandra Deep Field-South (CDFS; Xue et al. 2011) and the VIMOS-VLT Deep Survey (VVDS; Le Fèvre et al. 2005). These fields are optimal for studying variability-based quasar selection since they have more photometric epochs than the main DES wide-field survey. By the end of the 5-year survey, all ten supernova fields are expected to have more than ten times the exposure time of the wide-field survey, more than 100 epochs, and reach an expected 5σ point source depth in the griz bands of $\sim 28$ mag for the deep supernova fields and $\sim 26.5$ mag for the shallow fields (Bernstein et al. 2012).

For our work, we used the coadded catalog $\text{Y1A1}_1\text{COADD_OBJECTS\_DFULL}$ for the DES year one (Y1, begun in 2013B) observations of the supernova fields. The catalog combines all available exposures (300 or more) from the DES Y1 operation and some from the Science Verification phase that have sufficient image quality (typical seeing FWHM $< 1'$.1, or $1'$.25 in some cases). It is typically $\sim 2$ magnitudes deeper than the DES Y1 wide field coadded catalog. The catalog includes the weighted average of many photometric quantities from single-epoch DES data. For example, $\text{wavg}\_\text{calib}\_\text{mag}\_\text{psf}$ and $\text{wavg}\_\text{magerr}\_\text{psf}$ are the weighted average of the single-epoch $\text{mag}\_\text{psf}$ and $\text{magerr}\_\text{psf}$ values.

---

$^1$ http://www.noao.edu/noao/noaodeep/
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The weighted averages are often found to be more accu-
rate than the coadded quantities derived from SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), especially in areas where the number of epochs is small or where the coadded PSFs are not well-fit by PSFEx (Bertin 2011). For the rest of this paper, we used the weighted average quantities whenever referring to DES photometry, such as wavgcalib, wavg, wxsigmpro, and wxsnr (magnitude), wxvcalib (magnitude error), and wxsnr (signal-to-noise ratio) where x is 1 or 2, for the rest of this paper. The AllWISE catalog combines data from both the WISE cryo-
genic and the NEOWISE post-cryogenic phase, which improves the W1 and W2 sensitivities. Magnitude limits for the ALLWISE catalog are 16.9 mag in W1 and 16.0 mag in W2, respectively, in the Vega system (Wright et al. 2010; Stern et al. 2012).

3. PETERS ET AL. (2015) QUasar Catalog

We used a subset of the SDSS Stripe 82 quasar catalog from Peters et al. (2015) (hereafter referred to as P15) that overlaps with the DES supernova fields for our analyses of the quasar selection methods. P15 used a Bayesian analysis combining color and variability to identify 36,569 quasar candidate in the SDSS Stripe 82 field, of which 35,820 (98%) are considered to be “good” quality candidates that pass their color cuts for removing stellar and white dwarf contaminants. Of these “good” candidates, 36% are spectroscopically-confirmed, and 92% of the spectroscopically-confirmed quasars are brighter than coadded $i_{\text{SDSS}} = 19.9$ mag. Using that sample, P15 estimated a (spectroscopic) completeness of 94.3% for the overall “good” quasar candidate sample at $i_{\text{SDSS}} = 19.9$ mag (in agreement with Vanden Berk et al. 2005). The rest of the “good” candidates with no spectroscopic confirmation reach a depth of $i_{\text{SDSS}} < 22$ mag; their redshifts are estimated using optical photometry and astrometry. P15 have limited spectroscopic data to measure the completeness and efficiency of their “good” candidates, including deeper spectroscopy for $\sim 5000$ quasars with $19 < i_{\text{SDSS}} < 22$ mag from the BOSS DR10 and DR12 quasar catalogs. The P15 “good” candidates recover $\sim 97\%–98\%$ of these spectroscopically-confirmed quasars, so they conclude that their sample is $\sim 97\%$ complete up to $i_{\text{SDSS}} < 22$ mag. We conduct our quasar selection analyses using both the bright ($i_{\text{SDSS}} < 19.9$ mag) and total ($i_{\text{SDSS}} \lesssim 22$ mag) samples.

SDSS Stripe 82 has a 5.7 deg$^2$ overlap with the DES supernova fields in the S1 and S2 fields. For our selection analyses, we only considered the P15 catalog within this region of overlap and call this subset the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog. Within the overlap region, the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog contains 975 quasar candidates. We cross-matched the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog with the DES Y1A1_LOADD_OBJECTS_DFULL catalog (see §2.1) with a 0.5 matching radius, resulting in 900 matches (a larger matching radius of 1.0 returns two extra matches). From the 900 matches, 671 (120) have $i < 22$ mag ($i < 19.8$ mag), of which 60% (95%) have spectroscopic redshifts from the P15 catalog. Using the color terms in §7, we estimated $i_{\text{SDSS}} = 19.9$ mag to be $i \sim 19.8$ mag.

We treated the P15-S1S2 catalog as a truth catalog for analyses of our selection methods at the bright ($i < 19.8$ mag) and faint ($i < 22$ mag) limits. We also calculated a corresponding catalog of non-quasars in the overlapping DES S1 and S2 fields at both magnitude limits, which consists of point sources that are not in the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog. We define point sources

\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\hline
Field Name & RA & DEC & Depth \\
\hline
E1 & 00 31 29.9 & $-43 00 34.6$ & shallow \\
E2 & 00 38 00 & $-43 59 52.8$ & shallow \\
S1 & 02 51 16.8 & $+00 00 00$ & shallow \\
S2 & 02 44 46.7 & $-00 59 18.2$ & shallow \\
C1 & 03 37 05.8 & $-27 06 41.8$ & shallow \\
C2 & 03 37 05.8 & $-29 05 18.2$ & shallow \\
C3 & 03 30 35.6 & $-28 06 00$ & deep \\
X1 & 02 17 54.2 & $-04 55 46.2$ & shallow \\
X2 & 02 22 39.5 & $-06 24 43.6$ & shallow \\
X3 & 02 25 48.0 & $-04 36 00$ & deep \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The weighted averages are often found to be more accurate than the coadded quantities derived from SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), especially in areas where the number of epochs is small or where the coadded PSFs are not well-fit by PSFEx (Bertin 2011). For the rest of this paper, we used the weighted average quantities whenever referring to DES photometry, such as wavgcalib, wavg, wxsigmpro, and wxsnr (magnitude), wxvcalib (magnitude error), and wxsnr (signal-to-noise ratio) where x is 1 or 2, for the rest of this paper. The AllWISE catalog combines data from both the WISE cryogenic and the NEOWISE post-cryogenic phase, which improves the W1 and W2 sensitivities. Magnitude limits for the ALLWISE catalog are 16.9 mag in W1 and 16.0 mag in W2, respectively, in the Vega system (Wright et al. 2010; Stern et al. 2012).
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We used a subset of the SDSS Stripe 82 quasar catalog from Peters et al. (2015) (hereafter referred to as P15) that overlaps with the DES supernova fields for our analyses of the quasar selection methods. P15 used a Bayesian analysis combining color and variability to identify 36,569 quasar candidate in the SDSS Stripe 82 field, of which 35,820 (98%) are considered to be “good” quality candidates that pass their color cuts for removing stellar and white dwarf contaminants. Of these “good” candidates, 36% are spectroscopically-confirmed, and 92% of the spectroscopically-confirmed quasars are brighter than coadded $i_{\text{SDSS}} = 19.9$ mag. Using that sample, P15 estimated a (spectroscopic) completeness of 94.3% for the overall “good” quasar candidate sample at $i_{\text{SDSS}} = 19.9$ mag (in agreement with Vanden Berk et al. 2005). The rest of the “good” candidates with no spectroscopic confirmation reach a depth of $i_{\text{SDSS}} < 22$ mag; their redshifts are estimated using optical photometry and astrometry. P15 have limited spectroscopic data to measure the completeness and efficiency of their “good” candidates, including deeper spectroscopy for $\sim 5000$ quasars with $19 < i_{\text{SDSS}} < 22$ mag from the BOSS DR10 and DR12 quasar catalogs. The P15 “good” candidates recover $\sim 97\%–98\%$ of these spectroscopically-confirmed quasars, so they conclude that their sample is $\sim 97\%$ complete up to $i_{\text{SDSS}} < 22$ mag. We conduct our quasar selection analyses using both the bright ($i_{\text{SDSS}} < 19.9$ mag) and total ($i_{\text{SDSS}} \lesssim 22$ mag) samples.

SDSS Stripe 82 has a 5.7 deg$^2$ overlap with the DES supernova fields in the S1 and S2 fields. For our selection analyses, we only considered the P15 catalog within this region of overlap and call this subset the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog. Within the overlap region, the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog contains 975 quasar candidates. We cross-matched the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog with the DES Y1A1_LOADD_OBJECTS_DFULL catalog (see §2.1) with a 0.5 matching radius, resulting in 900 matches (a larger matching radius of 1.0 returns two extra matches). From the 900 matches, 671 (120) have $i < 22$ mag ($i < 19.8$ mag), of which 60% (95%) have spectroscopic redshifts from the P15 catalog. Using the color terms in §7, we estimated $i_{\text{SDSS}} = 19.9$ mag to be $i \sim 19.8$ mag.

We treated the P15-S1S2 catalog as a truth catalog for analyses of our selection methods at the bright ($i < 19.8$ mag) and faint ($i < 22$ mag) limits. We also calculated a corresponding catalog of non-quasars in the overlapping DES S1 and S2 fields at both magnitude limits, which consists of point sources that are not in the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog. We define point sources
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\[^2\]http://www.vista-vhs.org/
\[^3\]http://horus.roe.ac.uk/vsa/
\[^4\]http://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allwise/
\[^5\]http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/Gator/nph-dd
in §4 and use the point source cut to reduce contamination from extended sources. We cross-matched the P15-S1S2 catalog and the non-quasar catalog with VHS and WISE with matching radii of 0.5′ and 3′, respectively (a larger matching radius of 1′) with VHS returns ∼ 1% extra matches and ∼ 80% of the matches with WISE are within 1′). Figure 1 shows the spectroscopic redshift and absolute i-band magnitude distributions for the matched total and bright samples of the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog while Figure 2 shows their DES, WISE, and VHS magnitude distributions.

We imposed a set of preliminary cuts to define the underlying sample from which to calculate the completeness and efficiency of the selection methods in this work. Objects are required to be point sources, have DES \( \text{flags}_{\text{griz}} < 3 \) in at least one of the griz bands (i.e. not close to a bright neighbor and not originally blended), have magnitude errors < 1 mag in the griz bands (i.e. detected in those bands), and detected in all the required non-DES selection bands. Figure 3 shows the fraction of the P15-S1S2 quasars that satisfy the detection cut(s) for each selection method as a function of i-band magnitude. There are 671 total (120 bright) P15-S1S2 quasars, of which 563 (105) satisfy the point source, flag and magnitude error cuts, where the flag cut removes ∼ 11% of the i < 22 mag and 8% of the i < 19.8 mag quasars. Of these 563 (105) quasars, 405 (103) have WISE W1 detections, 308 (101) have WISE W1 and W2 detections, and 291 (104) have VHS K-band detections. Note that the median K-band depth in the P15-S1S2 region is shallower than the median VHS depth over the wider area quoted in Banerji et al. (2015).

We give the completeness and efficiency for all the selection methods relative to sources that pass the preliminary cuts. Completeness is defined as the fraction of P15-S1S2 quasars that are selected, for the subset of the P15-S1S2 quasars that have sufficient data to apply the selection method. In other words, the numerator and denominator are only comprised of objects with sufficient data to apply the selection method. Efficiency is defined as the number of selected quasars divided by the total number of sources that satisfied the selection criterion. We will report the results for the selection methods relative to sources that pass the preliminary cuts. The exact cut varies even for objects with the same apparent magnitude due to variations in the data such as the background and the number of coadd tiles. The shape cut selects quasars from the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog with a completeness of 99.6% for i < 22 mag. We apply the shape cut in the selection analyses to select point sources.

4. SELECTION OF POINT SOURCES

Due to the point-like appearance of quasars in most ground-based imaging data, shape information can be used to reduce host galaxy contamination. We used the DES weighted average of the SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) spread model star/galaxy classifier, which is a discriminant between the best-fitting PSF model and a more extended model (Desai et al. 2012). Specifically, we used the cut \( |\text{wavg}_{\text{spread model}}| < 0.003 + \text{spreaderr}_{\text{model}} \) to identify point sources (Dricala-Wagner et al. 2015).

We calculated the completeness of the shape selection criterion using a sample of very high confidence point sources from regions of the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Lensing Survey (CFHTLenS; Heymans et al. 2012; Erben et al. 2013) that overlap three of the DES supernova fields (X1, X2, and X3). CFHTLenS combines five years worth of data from the CFHT Legacy Survey and has reliable shape estimates calculated as part of the optimization for weak lensing analyses. We used the class_star and lensfit (Hilbert et al. 2013) parameters from the public CFHTLenS catalog to select point sources, defined to be sources with class_star > 0.98 or lensfit = 1, and analyzed the three supernova fields separately, as the fields have different depths with the X3 field being the deepest. We tested our shape selection on the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog. All CFHTLenS objects and P15-S1S2 quasars are required to have DES flags_{griz} < 3 in at least one of griz bands to ensure the sources are not close to bright neighbors and not originally blended. Additionally, the sources need to have DES magnitude errors of < 1 mag in the griz bands, where a magnitude error of 1 mag corresponds to non-detection.

The left panel of Figure 4 shows the fraction of CFHTLenS point sources and the P15-S1S2 quasars that pass our point source selection criterion as a function of i-band magnitude in the three DES supernova X-fields. For selecting CFHTLenS stars, the completeness exceeds 90% for i < 20.5 mag and then drops to ∼ 80% by i = 22 mag. At i > 20 mag, the stellar sources from CFHTLenS are likely incomplete and the uncertainties in spreaderr_{model} uncertainties are becoming large. The right panel of Figure 4 shows the wavg_{spread model} shape information for the CFHTLenS stars and P15-S1S2 quasars as a function of i-band magnitude. The blue line illustrates the typical behavior of the shape cut as a function of magnitude using the median value for CFHTLenS stars in magnitude bins of Δm = 0.4 mag. It becomes more forgiving at fainter magnitudes due to the increase in spreaderr_{model}. The exact cut varies even for objects with the same apparent magnitude due to variations in the data such as the background and the number of coadd tiles. The shape cut selects quasars from the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog with a completeness of 99.6% for i < 22 mag. We apply the shape cut in the selection analyses to select point sources.

5. COLOR SELECTION

Quasars have a non-thermal continuum with UV/optical spectra that can be approximated by a power-law, in contrast to the single-temperature black-body that roughly describes most stars. As a result, quasars tend to occupy distinct regions of color-color space compared to stellar sources (Richards et al. 2002; Croom et al. 2004; Lacy et al. 2004; Maddox et al. 2012; Stern et al. 2012; Assef et al. 2013). Color cuts are thus commonly used to select quasars. Low-redshift \( z < 2 \) quasars are frequently selected using u-band data that identify the bright ultraviolet continuum emission of quasars. At \( z > 2.2 \), the Lyα line exits the u-band and enters the bluer b or g optical bands, resulting in redder \( u - b \) or \( g \) colors which are similar to the color of stars. Although the wide-field region of DES lacks u-band, many of the most interesting problems require finding quasars at higher redshifts where this selection approach fails. We focus on combinations of optical, near-IR, and mid-IR data that can effectively identify both high and low redshift quasars even in the absence of u-band data.

We evaluate three color selection methods W1 − W2, \( g − i \) vs. \( i − W1 \), and \( g − i \) vs. \( i − K \) using the P15-S1S2 Quasar catalog. The giW1 and giK color selections were initially used or developed to target AGNs for the
OzDES reverberation mapping monitoring campaign and they were designed based on the stellar locus to reduce contamination from stars.

5.1. \textit{WISE} $W_1 - W_2$ color section

Quasars generally have a red $W_1 - W_2$ color due to emission from hot dust (in the case of low-redshift quasars) or the accretion disk (in the case of high-redshift quasars), unless a strong emission line happens to enter the $W_1$ band (Assef et al. 2010). Contaminants with similarly red $W_1 - W_2$ colors are cool brown dwarfs and dusty stars. For example, brown dwarfs with spectral class cooler than T1 have red colors in these WISE bands due to methane absorption (Cushing et al. 2011; Kirkpatrick et al. 2011b). We investigated quasar selection using $W_1 - W_2 > 0.7$ (Vega magnitudes) based on Stern et al. (2012). The sample is limited to point sources with a signal-to-noise ratio of SNR $> 5$ in both the $W_1$ ($\sim 16.9$ mag) and $W_2$ ($\sim 16$ mag) bands.

We calculate the completeness and efficiency of this color selection method relative to the total and bright samples of the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog. In each case we give the results for the $i < 22$ mag sample followed by the results for the $i < 19.8$ mag sample in parenthesis. This color selection identifies 287 (92) of the 308 (101) P15-S1S2 quasars with the data necessary to apply this cut, for a completeness of 93% (91%). There are 246 (37) other point sources that satisfy this color selection, for an efficiency of 54% (71%).

5.2. $g_iW_1$ selection

Quasars are expected to be blue in the $g$-band compared to redder optical filters, but red when comparing to the mid-IR (Wu et al. 2012; Chehade et al. 2016). We therefore investigated a color selection method combining

\[
(g - i) < 1 \quad \text{with} \quad (g - i) < 1.195 \times (i - W_{1\text{AB}}) + 1.317
\]

where all the magnitudes are on the AB system, with $W_{1\text{AB}} = W_{1\text{Vega}} + 2.699$.

The $g - i$ limit is intended to reduce contamination from compact galaxies, but excludes high-redshift quasars with $z > 3.5$. This color selection identifies 369 (90) of the 405 (103) P15-S1S2 quasars with the data necessary to apply this cut, for a completeness of 91% (87%). There are 391 (58) other point sources that satisfy this color selection, for an efficiency of 49% (61%).

5.3. $g_iK$ selection

Quasars between $2 < z < 3$ have visible-wavelength colors similar to stars, making it challenging to select quasars in this redshift range with high efficiency using color selection. The traditional method to separate such intermediate-redshift quasars from stars is the UV excess method (Richards et al. 2002), as quasars at these redshifts have more UV flux than stars due to the presence of the Ly$\alpha$ emission line in the UV filters. Here, an alternative approach is needed as DES lacks $u$-band data. Intermediate-redshift quasars also have a near-infrared $K$-band excess compared to stars (Warren et al. 2000), so we tested the color cuts

\[
(g - i) < 1.5 \quad \text{with} \quad (g - i) < 1.152 \times (i - K_{\text{Vega}}) - 1.4, \quad \text{with} \quad K_{\text{Vega}} < 18.6 \text{ mag}
\]

\[\text{http://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allsky/expsup/sec4_4h.html#conv2ab}\]
Figure 2. Distribution of the P15-S1S2 quasars with DES matches in the visible to the mid-IR wavelengths.

Figure 3. The fraction $f_{\text{det}}$ of P15-S1S2 quasars that meet the selection-dependent detection threshold(s) relative to the quasars that satisfy the flag, magnitude error, and point source cuts. The lines are color-coded according to the type of selection and illustrate the consequences of requiring WISE, VHS, or epoch limits on the sample size. The W1W2 and XDQSO methods have the same $f_{\text{det}}$ curve as they both require detections in the W1 and W2 bands.

6. XDQSO SELECTION

The change in the observed colors of quasars with redshift restricts the effectiveness of any one set of colors to select quasars to a limited redshift range. Probabilistic techniques have been devised to select quasars more effectively over a broader range of redshifts based on empirical models of quasar and stellar photometry. One such technique is XDQSO (Bovy et al. 2012), which uses density estimation in flux space to assign a quasar probability. XDQSO was developed with a stellar training set from the SDSS Stripe-82 (Abazajian et al. 2009) and a quasar training set from the SDSS DR 7 quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2010). Bovy et al. (2011) applied XDQSO following Banerji et al. (2015). Note that this mixes DES AB with VHS Vega magnitudes. Similarly as §5.2, the $g - i$ limit which is more relaxed here is used to minimize contamination from compact galaxies but also excludes high-redshift quasars ($z > 3.5$). The median $K$-band depth in the P15-S1S2 region is also shallower than the median VHS depth over the wider DES-VHS area quoted in Banerji et al. (2015). This color selection identifies 269 (93) of the 291 (104) P15-S1S2 quasars with the data necessary to apply this cut, for a completeness of 92% (89%). There are 344 (55) other point sources that satisfy this color selection, for an efficiency of 44% (63%). Figure 7 shows the $i - K_{\text{Vega}}$ and $g - i$ colors for the P15-S1S2 quasars in the P15-S1S2 and other point source non-quasars in the S1 and S2 fields.
Figure 4. *Left panel:* Fraction of objects classified as stars by CFHTLenS that are also classified as point sources by the cut $|w_{avg}^{spread\_model}\_r| < 0.003 + spreaderr_{model}\_r$ as a function of DES $i$-band magnitude. Most of the P15-S1S2 quasars satisfy this shape cut, with only 0.4% failing at $i < 22$ mag, reaching a completeness of 99.6%. *Right panel:* Shape information for the CFHTLenS point sources and quasars from the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog as a function of $i$-band magnitude. Gray points are the CFHTLenS point sources while red points are the P15-S1S2 quasars. The blue line is a representation of the shape cut that illustrates how it becomes more lenient at fainter magnitudes due to the increase in $spreaderr_{model}\_r$.

Figure 5. *Left panel:* WISE $W1 - W2$ color as a function redshift for quasars in the P15-S1S2 quasars. *Right panel:* WISE color as a function of the DES $i$-band magnitude for the same quasars plus point source non-quasars in the DES S1 and S2 fields, where black (cyan) points have $i < 22$ mag ($i < 19.8$ mag). The WISE color cut is shown by the dotted blue line in both panels. The WISE color is on the Vega system and the $i$-band magnitude is on the AB system.

to the SDSS Data Release 8 (Aihara et al. 2011) to create an input quasar catalog for the BOSS survey (Ross et al. 2012). They found that XDQSO performs as well as color-based quasar-selection methods at low-redshift ($z < 2.2$), and better than all other color-based quasar selection methods at intermediate redshifts ($2.2 \leq z \leq 3.5$).

We used the more recent XDQSOz implementation (Bovy et al. 2012) combining the DES $griz$ magnitudes corrected for Galactic extinction (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011) and WISE photometry. We transformed the DES magnitudes to the SDSS systems using color corrections.
VARIABILITY SELECTION

We obtained light curves from the DES Y1 single-epoch catalogs, Y1A1_IMAGE and Y1A1_FINALCUT, which span less than a year and typically have ~15 epochs. Due to the relatively limited temporal extent of this data, we cannot adopt sophisticated variability models based on fitting structure function models. Instead, we used a multi-band $griz$ $\chi^2$ variability statistic to distinguish between variable and non-variable sources. We calculated $\chi^2 = \sum_{K=g,r,i,z} \sum_{j=1}^{N_K} (m_{K,j} - \langle m_{K,j} \rangle)^2 / \sigma_{K,j}^2$ for each source and evaluated the null hypothesis that the source has constant magnitude $\langle m_{K,j} \rangle$ over $N_K$ epochs, where $\langle m_{K,j} \rangle$ is defined as the error-weighted mean magnitude $\langle m_{K,j} \rangle = (\sum m_{K,j} / \sigma_{K,j}^2) / (\sum 1 / \sigma_{K,j}^2)$ for each band $K$. We imposed a minimum error floor of $\sigma_j = 0.01$ mag for the measurements.

Our variability criterion uses the chi-squared integrated probability $P(X_2^2 \geq \chi^2)$ to reject the null hypothesis, where $\nu = \sum N_k - \sum k$ is the number of degrees of freedom and $X_2^2$ is the chi-squared distribution with $\nu$ degrees of freedom. The sources are required to have $N_k \geq 3$ and $N_k \geq 6$. In other words, a source needs to have at least six epochs of data if only one band is available or at least three epochs of data in at least two bands. After the application of the shape, flag, and photometric cuts, 503 (90) quasars and 17,539 (6,656) other point sources satisfied this condition at $i < 22$ mag ($i < 19.8$ mag). Figure 9 shows their reduced-$\chi^2$ distributions.

We chose a threshold $P(X_2^2 \geq \chi^2)$ value of 0.01 as our variability selection cut, which corresponds to rejection of the null hypothesis with 99% significance. There are 444 (77) quasars and 3,418 (799) non-quasars that pass the variability selection criterion with $P(X_2^2 \geq \chi^2) < 0.01$, resulting in an efficiency of 11.4% (8.8%) and a completeness of 88% (86%). Figure 10 shows the efficiency and completeness as a function of the $P$-value for the P15-S1S2 quasars. The low efficiencies are likely due to the short baseline of our current light curves combined with contamination from stars which have a higher surface density and show low-level variability. Since our variability selection is simply based on the $\chi^2$ bound for fitting a constant magnitude, any sufficiently variable source will pass the cut. More sophisticated statistical models require longer observational baselines, but can differentiate between 17 and 19 magnitudes, have errors less than 0.5 magnitudes, are located in DES S1 and S2 fields, and have SDSS flags satisfying $\text{deblend} \_\text{too} \_\text{many} \_\text{peaks}$ $\& \&$ $\text{bad} \_\text{counts} \_\text{error}$ $\& \&$ $\text{notchecked} \_\text{center}$ $\& \&$ $\text{edge}$ $\& \&$ $\text{psf} \_\text{flux} \_\text{interp}$
between quasar and stellar variability. The average number of epochs in the present variability sample is \(\sim 13\) in \(gri\) bands and \(\sim 40\) in \(z\) band spread over only half a year. This is much smaller than the \(\sim 60\) epochs spanning over \(\sim 6\) years in the SDSS Stripe 82 region (Sesar et al. 2007; Bramich et al. 2008). With the full 5-year DES data, the number of epochs will increase by a factor of 5 and the probability a quasar has varied significantly will increase due to the longer time baseline. This will improve the performance of the variability selection, as well as enabling the use of more sophisticated quasar variability models.

We investigated the performance of combinations of \(W1W2\) color, \(gW1\) color selection, and \(XDQSOz\) methods with variability. The combinations \(W1W2+variability\), \(gW1+variability\), and \(XDQSOz+variability\) give completenesses of \(88\% (81\%)\), \(86\% (78\%)\), and \(85\% (83\%)\) and efficiencies of \(63\% (83\%)\), \(60\% (80\%)\), and \(68\% (83\%)\), respectively, for the total (bright) sample. Compared to color selection, \(XDQSOz\) selection or variability selection alone, these combinations produce higher selection efficiency due to fewer false positives, with a slight reduction in completeness.

8. THE OZDES QUASAR CATALOG

The Australian Dark Energy Survey (OzDES: Yuan et al. 2015) is a spectroscopic survey of the DES supernova fields using the AAOmega spectrograph (Smith et al. 2004) on the Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT). The field of view of the AAT multi-object fibre-positioning system (Lewis et al. 2002) is well-matched to DECam, making the AAT a well-suited spectroscopic follow-up instrument for DES.

OzDES commenced observations at the same time as DES in 2013B and aims to measure redshifts for thousands of Type Ia supernova host galaxies and black hole masses for hundreds of active galactic nuclei (AGN) and quasars (King et al. 2015) using reverberation mapping (Blandford & McKee 1982; Peterson 1993). Objects that range in brightness from \(m_r \sim 17\) mag to \(m_r \sim 25\) mag are selected for spectroscopy via a variety of criteria, such as supernova candidates, quasar candidates, galaxy cluster members, and photometric redshift calibration candidates (see Yuan et al. 2015 for further details). Under most circumstances, an object is repeatedly observed until a redshift is obtained. The observing targets and results of OzDES observations are compiled into a spectroscopic catalog known as the Global Redshift Catalog (GRC), which also includes redshifts from other spectroscopic surveys such as 6dF (Jones et al. 2004), SDSS
Figure 9. Reduced-$\chi^2$ distribution over DES bands with enough epochs for the P15-S1S2 quasars and point source non-quasars at the faint and bright magnitude limits. While the non-quasars are well-fit by a constant magnitude model, the quasars show larger deviations from such a model.

Figure 10. Completeness and efficiency of variability selection $P(X^2_\nu \geq \chi^2)$ > 0.01 for the P15-S1S2 Quasar Catalog, where $P(X^2_\nu \geq \chi^2)$ is the probability to reject the null hypothesis that sources are non-variable. The dotted line at log($P(X^2_\nu \geq \chi^2)$) = −2.00 corresponds to rejecting the null hypothesis at 99% confidence.

The GRC is updated after every OzDES observing season. In this work, we used the February 2016 version of the OzDES GRC.

Here we present the OzDES Quasar Catalog of 1,263 OzDES sources with $M_i < -22$ mag and $i < 22$ mag that are spectroscopically confirmed quasars. We constructed the catalog as follows. As the GRC does not provide photometric information, we cross-matched the GRC with the DES supernova field catalog YIAI$\_COADD\_OBJECTS\_DFULL$ (see §2.1). Sources within 1.1° of the ten supernova field centers (see Table 1) and with $i < 22$ mag are matched using a matching radius of 0.5′. We used k-corrections from Richards et al. (2006) and the astropy cosmology package\(^8\) to calculate the absolute $i$-band magnitude $M_i$ of the OzDES sources for a flat $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ and $\Omega_0=0.3$. Specifically, as the k-corrections in Richards et al. (2006) are for $z=2$, we applied the offset $M_i(z=0) = M_i(z=2) + 0.596$ in accordance with Eq. (1) of Richards et al. (2006). We narrowed down the sample to sources with “good” OzDES redshifts (quality flags 3 or 4 in the GRC). Finally, we visually inspected the spectra of sources that have $M_i < -22$ mag, which are roughly 2,200 total objects. We looked for the presence of broad emission lines, such as Ly$\alpha$, C IV 1548, CIII 1909, and Mg II 2798, and ensured that the line identifications are consistent with the OzDES redshifts. For sources with no clear lines ($\sim 40\%$ of the visually inspected sources), we re-examined their spectra and redshifts using the OzDES redshifting software MARZ (Hinton et al. 2016). Only sources that passed our visual inspection are included in the catalog.

The OzDES Quasar Catalog consists of 1,263 quasars brighter than $i=22$ mag, corresponding to a quasar surface density of $\sim 42$ deg$^{-2}$. The quasars discovered by OzDES include a recently discovered post-starburst broad absorption line (BAL) quasar at $z=0.65$ (see Mudd et al. 2016). The catalog includes multi-wavelength photometry from DES, VHS, and WISE is provided as part of the catalog. Table 2 describes the catalog and the full table is available in the electronic version of the Jour-

---

\(^8\) http://www.astropy.org
nal. Figure 11 shows the absolute $i$-band magnitude and redshift distributions of the quasars.

The OzDES Quasar Catalog is neither homogeneously selected nor complete. The OzDES selection codes in Table 2 note how the quasars were initially selected for OzDES observations. For instance, the selection codes 1, 2, and 16 refer to previously known quasars from DES Science Verification (SV) observations (Banerji et al. 2015), the VVDS survey, and NED9, respectively, while quasars with selection code 0 are mostly identified as transients and DES photo-z calibration targets. Selection code 4 refers to objects that were best matched to a quasar template with LePhare photo-z estimation tool (Arnouts et al. 1999). Target selection with LePhare only used the stellar and quasar templates, as the input catalog is limited to point-like sources. Selection codes 8, 32, and 64 are color-selected quasars using the color cuts described in §5.2 and §5.3 for sources that satisfied a shape cut. Finally, quasars with selection codes 128 and 256 are selected using SDSS color cuts from Ross et al. (2012): $g-r$ vs. $r-i$ and $r-i$ vs. $i-z$ in the case of code 128 and $u-g$ vs. $g-r$ in the case of code 256 (a limited amount of $u$-band data was obtained during DES Science Verification in 2012B). We aim to improve the completeness of the OzDES Quasar Catalog as the DES and OzDES observations continue.

9. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Large samples of quasars are needed to measure quasar luminosity functions and their evolution, particularly at lower luminosities, to estimate quasar host halo masses with weak lensing, and to study baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAO) with the Ly-α line with weak lensing, and to study baryonic acoustic oscillations and luminosity functions and their evolution, particularly at DES and OzDES observations continue. DES Science Verification in 2012B). We aim to improve the completeness of quasar color selection, probabilistic selection, variability selection, and combinations of colors and probabilistic selection methods with variability at the bright ($i < 19.8$ mag) and faint ($i < 22$ mag) magnitude limits. We used only point sources that satisfy our data quality and photometric error cuts. The sources used to investigate each method also need to meet the distinct detection thresholds for each selection method because of their dependence on the external WISE and VHS catalogs.

The results of our analyses are summarized in Table 3 and Figure 12. Figure 3 shows the fraction of sources that meet the selection-dependent thresholds ($i.e.$ $f_{\text{det}}$ from Table 3) for each selection method as a function of the DES $i$-band magnitude. The completeness and efficiency values both have statistical Poissonian uncertainties due to the actual number of quasars in this study, and systematic uncertainties due to cosmic variance in the quasar population and the characteristics of the DES data for the S1 and S2 fields. For a typical sample size of 300 (50) quasars in the faint (bright) samples used in this study, the statistical uncertainties are on the order of 8% (18% − 22%) and these serve as guidelines to compare the relative performance of the selection methods.

These percentages correspond to one-sided, 90% confidence limits.

We calculated the surface densities of quasars and all candidates (quasars and non-quasars) selected by each selection method, also shown in Table 3. As the area of Stripe 82 is more precisely known than the overlap between DES S1 and S2 fields with Stripe 82, and partly because cosmic variance is smaller over a larger region, the surface densities are calculated using the overall P15 quasar surface densities, rather than using the sources in the DES S1 and S2 fields alone. For their “good” quasar candidates (§3), the P15 catalog has a surface density $\Sigma_{22} = 132.79$ deg$^{-2}$ at $i_{\text{SDSS}} < 22$ mag and $\Sigma_{19.9} = 24.36$ deg$^{-2}$ at $i_{\text{SDSS}} < 19.9$ mag. The surface density of quasars $\Sigma_{\text{QSO}}$ and all candidates $\Sigma_{\text{All}}$ (quasars and non-quasars) selected by a selection method is then $\Sigma_{\text{QSO}} = \Sigma_{\text{mag}} f_{\text{det}} C$ and $\Sigma_{\text{All}} = \Sigma_{\text{mag}} f_{\text{det}} C/E = \Sigma_{\text{QSO}}/E$, where $\Sigma_{\text{mag}}$ refers to either $\Sigma_{22}$ or $\Sigma_{19.9}$, $f_{\text{det}}$ is from Table 3, $C$ is the completeness, and $E$ is the efficiency. The surface density of selected non-quasars is simply $\Sigma_{\text{All}} - \Sigma_{\text{QSO}}$.

While variability selection gives the highest surface density, the selection efficiency is one of the lowest and this results in a significant fraction of contaminants. Among individual selection methods, giW1 returns the highest quasar surface density, although it has a lower efficiency than W1W2 and XDQSOz. For combined selection methods, XDQSOz+variability is more efficient than W1W2+variability and giW1+variability but also returns lower quasar surface density. The giW1+variability selection gives the highest quasar surface density among the three combined selection methods. Taking both the surface densities of selected quasars and selection efficiencies into account, XDQSOz selection alone and a combination of color or XDQSOz with variability result in relatively high surface densities of quasars and a modest amount of contamination. The most significant drawback for both the W1W2 and XDQSOz methods is that the WISE data are only available for 55% of the quasars with $i < 22$ mag, as both W1 and W2 detections are required. While the giW1 selection method has lower efficiency, it will produce a higher surface density of quasars because of a W2 detection is not required.

Since we used only photometry from the first year of DES operations, we have used a multi-band χ2 to detect variability. Variability selection based on more sophisticated quasar variability models, such as the damped random walk model, is deferred to the future when substantially more epochs will be available. The combination of the W1W2, giW1, or XDQSOz methods with variability improves the efficiency of quasar selection (fewer false positives) at slightly lower completeness. At the bright end, W1W2+variability and XDQSOz+variability can be applied in the DES supernova fields, but the fraction of sources with WISE detections will diminish for fainter sources. The giW1 or giW1+variability selection method can be used instead, particularly because they both return high quasar surface densities, and in the case of giW1+variability, with good selection efficiency. The depth of WISE data is less of an issue for the shallower fields. Although variability selection will not be as useful in these fields because of the small number of epochs, W1W2, giW1 or XDQSOz...
Table 2  
OzDES Quasar Catalog

| Column Name | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| ID          | OzDES Global Redshift Catalog (GRC) ID |
| DES coadd_objects_id | DES ID from the Y1A1_COADD_OBJECTS_DFULL catalog |
| RA, DEC     | Right Ascension, Declination |
| REDSHIFT    | spectroscopic redshift from OzDES |
| ABS I MAG   | absolute i-band magnitude calculated using the OzDES redshift |
| DES wavg_mag[psf][g,r,i,z,Y] | DES magnitudes (AB) (see §2.1) |
| DES wavg_mag_err[psf][g,r,i,z,Y] | DES magnitude errors |
| DES flags[psf][g,r,i,z,Y] | DES flags from SExtractor |
| DES wavg_spread_model[psf][g,r,i,z,Y] | DES spread_model from SExtractor (see §4) |
| WISE w1mpro, w2mpro | WISE magnitudes (Vega) from the ALLWISE catalog (see §2.3) |
| WISE w1sigmpro, w2sigmpro | WISE magnitude errors |
| VHS [y,j,h,ks]AperMag3 | VHS magnitudes (Vega) from the DR3 obsSource catalog (see §2.2) |
| VHS [y,j,h,ks]AperMag3Err | VHS magnitude errors |
| OzDES Selection Code | 0: Not targeted as a quasar candidate |
|            | 1: DES SV targets |
|            | 2: VVDS quasars |
|            | 4: QSO selection using the LePhare photo-z estimation tool |
|            | 8: i <21.5 + giK selection (see §5.3) + K_{Vega} > 14 + W1 - W2 > 0.7 |
|            | 16: NED quasars |
|            | 32: giW1 selection (see §5.2) |
|            | 64: Similar selection to code 8 but with a relaxed blue cut in giK |
|            | 128: High redshift selection using gri and riz colors |
|            | 256: u-band selection |

Quasars with non-detections in DES bands, no WISE matches, or no VHS matches have entries of 99 for their magnitudes and errors. The naming schemes for the photometry follow the catalogs from which they are derived; see the relevant sections as indicated for more information. There are 44 columns in the catalog.

We also presented the OzDES Quasar Catalog of 1,263 spectroscopically-confirmed quasars in the 30 deg² DES Supernova fields brighter than i=22 mag. The catalog includes all the quasars selected from the DES/OzDES reverberation mapping project with good quality OzDES redshifts, M_i < −22 mag, and visually confirmed emission and absorption lines. The OzDES Quasar Catalog is not homogeneous or complete, although its completeness will improve as the OzDES observations continue.
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Figure 11. Absolute i-band magnitude \( M_i \) and redshift distributions of the OzDES Quasar Catalog. The quasars are selected to have \( M_i < -22 \) mag and show clear broad/narrow emission/absorption lines in their OzDES spectra.

### Table 3
Summary of quasar selection methods using the P15-S1S2 quasars.

| Selection | Completeness | Efficiency | \( C \times E \) | \( f_{\text{det}} \) | \( \Sigma_{\text{QSO}} \) (deg\(^{-2}\)) | \( \Sigma_{\text{All}} \) (deg\(^{-2}\)) |
|-----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( W1W2 \) | Bright: 0.91  | Total: 0.93 | 0.71            | 0.54  |Bright: 0.65  | Total: 0.50  | 0.96  | 0.55  | 21.3  | 67.9  | 30.0  | 125.8 |
| \( gW1 \) | Bright: 0.87  | Total: 0.91 | 0.61            | 0.49  |Bright: 0.53  | Total: 0.44  | 0.98  | 0.72  | 20.8  | 87.0  | 34.1  | 177.6 |
| \( gK \)  | Bright: 0.89  | Total: 0.92 | 0.63            | 0.44  |Bright: 0.56  | Total: 0.41  | 0.99  | 0.52  | 21.5  | 65.5  | 34.1  | 144.4 |
| XDQSOz   | Bright: 0.92  | Total: 0.90 | 0.73            | 0.60  |Bright: 0.67  | Total: 0.54  | 0.96  | 0.55  | 21.5  | 65.7  | 29.5  | 109.6 |
| Variability | Bright: 0.86 | Total: 0.88 | 0.088           | 0.11  |Bright: 0.075 | Total: 0.10  | 0.86  | 0.90  | 18.0  | 105.2 | 204.7 | 956.1 |
| \( W1W2+\text{Var} \) | Bright: 0.81 | Total: 0.88 | 0.83            | 0.63  |Bright: 0.68  | Total: 0.55  | 0.82  | 0.49  | 16.2  | 57.3  | 19.5  | 90.9  |
| \( gW1+\text{Var} \)  | Bright: 0.78  | Total: 0.86 | 0.80            | 0.60  |Bright: 0.63  | Total: 0.51  | 0.84  | 0.65  | 16.0  | 74.2  | 20.0  | 123.7 |
| XDQSOz+\text{Var}   | Bright: 0.83  | Total: 0.85 | 0.83            | 0.68  |Bright: 0.68  | Total: 0.57  | 0.82  | 0.49  | 16.6  | 55.3  | 20.0  | 81.3  |

The bright sample refers to sources with \( i < 19.8 \) mag and the total sample refers to sources with \( i < 22 \) mag. Completeness and efficiency are defined at the end of §3. \( C \times E \) is the product of completeness and efficiency. \( f_{\text{det}} \) is the fraction of quasars that meet the selection-dependent detection threshold(s). This fraction is relative to all quasars that satisfy our point source, flags, and photometric errors cuts. Since both the \( W1W2 \) and XDQSOz methods have the same DES and WISE detection requirements, their \( f_{\text{det}} \) values are the same. The statistical uncertainties in the number of quasars for a typical sample size of 300 (50) in the faint (bright) sample used in this study are on the order of 8% (18% – 22%). For more discussion, see §9. The last four columns show the surface densities of selected quasars (\( \Sigma_{\text{QSO}} \)) and all candidates (\( \Sigma_{\text{All}} \)) for each method. The surface densities are calculated based on the overall P15 quasar surface density (\( \Sigma_{\text{P15}} \)), \( f_{\text{det}} \), and completeness/efficiency as detailed in §9. The surface density of the selected non-quasars is simply \( \Sigma_{\text{All}} - \Sigma_{\text{QSO}} \).
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