A review of Harmony Search algorithm-based feature selection method for classification
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Abstract. In high dimensional datasets, feature selection plays a significant task for dimensionality reduction and classification. During feature selection process, only the most relevant features in the datasets will be selected. A good feature selection technique can reduce computation cost and increased classification performance. In this paper, we discuss the performance of feature selection with Harmony Search (HS) algorithm for classification in various applications. From the review, it can be concluded that feature selection with HS gives a good performance in many research areas as compared to other nature inspired metaheuristics algorithms such as Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO).

1. Introduction
Data mining is a process of discovering patterns and extracting knowledge from a large set of data. There are various tasks of data mining such as association analysis, anomaly detection, regression, clustering, and classification. These data mining tasks can be solved by using a number of different approaches or algorithm [24]. Classification is a data analysis method that extracts models describing important data classes. Such models, called classifiers, predict categorical class labels [11]. Recently, classification using nature inspired metaheuristics algorithms have caught the attention of many researchers.

In the literature, there has been intensifying demand in the growth of computational models or methods that motivated by nature inspired or how animals interact and communicate among each other to find food sources [26]. Many optimization algorithms have been designed and developed by adopting a form of biological-based swarm intelligence. Harmony Search (HS) algorithm, for example, is an optimization algorithm inspired by the harmony improvisation process by the musician. There is also a swarm-based algorithm such as Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) algorithm that mimics the foraging behavior of swarm honey bee. Similar to the concept of Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), these type of exploration algorithms is capable of tracing good quality of solutions. Based on [8], all of these algorithms can be named swarm-intelligence based, bio-inspired,
physics and chemistry based depending on the sources of inspiration. ACO and PSO are among the most popular swarm-intelligence based algorithms for data mining problems [17].

Feature selection methods generally can be categorized into three types which are filter, wrapper and embedded. In addition to these methods, there is a new development of feature selection methods such as hybrid method and ensemble method [15]. For learning and prediction of the models, there are various types of classifiers that have been used with feature selection such as Naïve Bayesian, K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), Decision Tree and Artificial Neural Network (ANN).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we describe the various feature selection methods available in the literature. In Section 3, we discuss the concept of the HS algorithm. In Section 4, we discuss the details of HS as a feature selection method. In Section 5, we analyze and discuss the performance of the HS algorithm. And lastly, we conclude the paper in Section 6.

2. Feature selection

Feature selection is a pre-processing technique that was used to identify relevant features. It is an important part of pattern recognition and machine learning where it can reduce computation cost and increased classification performance. By using different approaches, features will be reduced where only significant features are selected which can lead to dimensionality reduction. A reduced feature set will improve the classification accuracy compared to the original datasets. A general framework of feature selection process is shown in Figure 1.

As been mentioned in the previous section, feature selection methods generally can be categorized into three types which are filter, wrapper and embedded. In filter methods, the feature selection process is independent of the learning process. Filter methods have a tendency to select redundant features because it did not consider the interactions between features. Once the best features are selected, it will be ranked and evaluated by using either univariate or multivariate techniques. Filter method did not necessarily use with classifiers, therefore it is usually used as a pre-processing step. Filter method is computationally less complex and faster than wrapper method.

In wrapper method, the feature selection and learning process will be wrapped together in order to select the best feature subset. A specific classifier will be used to evaluate the performance of features subset that has been selected. This process will be repeated until the prediction error rate is minimized or a desirable quality is reached. The advantages of wrapper method are the performance accuracy is higher than filter but it is most likely to have overfitting problems since it uses an iterative process to evaluate the best feature subset. Table 1 and 2 below shows the different types of filter and wrapper feature selection techniques as mentioned by [18].

In embedded method, the feature selection process is integrated as part of the learning process. The embedded method is more efficient than wrapping method because it will avoid the iterative process in
finding the best feature subset. While the model is being created, the learning process will identify the best feature that contributes to accuracy. The computational process in the embedded method is more complex than wrapper method however it is hard to modify the classification model to get higher performance accuracy [12]. There are two new techniques in feature selection which are hybrid and ensemble method. The filter and wrapper methods are usually combined together to be a hybrid method in order to select the best features.

Table 1. Filter feature selection techniques

| Filter feature selection | Univariate                          | Multivariate                        |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                          | Information Gain (IG)               | Minimal redundancy-maximal-relevance (mRMR) |
|                          | Gain ratio                          | Random subspace method (RSM)         |
|                          | Term variance (TV)                  | Relevance-redundancy feature selection (RRFS) |
|                          | Gini index (GI)                     | Unsupervised Feature Selection Ant Colony (UFSACO) |
|                          | Laplacian Score (L-Score)           | Relevancy-Redundancy Feature Selection Ant Colony (RRFSACO) |
|                          | Fisher Score (F-Score)              | Graph Clustering Ant Colony (GCACO)  |

Table 2. Wrapper feature selection techniques

| Wrapper feature selection | Sequential/Greedy | Global/Random Search |
|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                          | Sequential backward selection | ACO |
|                          | Sequential forward | PSO |
|                          |                    | ABC |
|                          |                    | GA |
|                          |                    | Random mutation hill-climbing |
|                          |                    | Simulated annealing (SA) |

In this hybrid method, filter will be used to select the best features and wrapper will use a learning algorithm to evaluate the feature subset. The advantages of these two methods are exploited in order to achieve the best performance in terms of higher accuracy and better computational complexity. The filter-wrapper hybrid methods are also combined with various mathematical algorithms such as mutual information, fuzzy-rough set, and local-learning [21].

For ensemble method, a different features subset will be selected from the original datasets. For each of these feature subsets, it will create a group of the best subset. To build an ensemble classifier, there are two techniques which are heterogeneous such as decision tree and instance-based learning. The other technique is homogenous representation such as bagging and boosting.

According to [7], different nature inspired metaheuristics algorithm can identify feature subsets with unique characteristics. The authors suggested that it is worth to investigate whether these unique characteristics can build a collection of higher quality feature selection. Based on the previous research, the results of each nature inspired metaheuristics have its own advantages and disadvantages when dealing with different datasets, but the performance most of it is enhanced with the use of feature selection method. The authors also suggested that it may be beneficial to develop a meta-framework in which suitable algorithms may be dynamically identified, and employed either concurrently or consecutively, in order to form a more intelligent, hybrid approach for feature selection. According to [18], among the many existing metaheuristic methods, GA, PSO, and ACO are widely used for the feature selection problem. GA is mostly preferable due to its simplicity while PSO and ACO have higher accuracy in similar tasks.
### 3. Harmony Search Selection

Harmony Search (HS) is a global optimization algorithm which is inspired by the harmony improvisation process of musicians, proposed by [9]. A harmony which is every solution in this algorithm will be stored in an area of promising solutions called Harmony Memory (HM). At every iteration of Harmony Search, new harmonies are generated considering harmonies stored in the HM, with the probability of HMCR (harmony memory consideration rate), or using randomized elements, with the probability of 1-HMCR. Then, the pitch adjustment is performed. In this step, every component of the solution (harmony) deviates within a range called Fret Width (FW), just like the techniques used by musicians when playing guitar or violin.

There are five parameters in HS, three are main parameters and another two are optional parameters. The main parameters are the size of harmony memory (HMS), the harmony memory considering rate (HMCR), and the maximum number of iterations, K. The other two optional parameters are the pitch adjusting rate (PAR) and the adjusting bandwidth or fret width (FW). The number of variables in optimization function is represented by N, the number of musicians defined by the problems. As mentioned by [10] the HS algorithm works based on the following five steps, (1) initialize the parameters for problem and algorithm, (2) initialize the harmony memory (HM), (3) improvise a new harmony, (4) update the HM, and (5) check the stopping criterion. The details of each of these five steps are explained in the following subsection. The overall flowchart of the HS algorithm is depicted in Figure 2.

**Figure 2. Flowchart of the HS algorithm**

**3.1 Initialize the Parameters for Problem and Algorithm**

In this step, the optimization problem is specified as follows:
Minimize $f(x)$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

Subject to $x \in X, i = 1, 2, ..., N$. \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $f(x)$ is an objective function; $x$ is the set of each decision variable $x_i$; $X$ is the set of possible range of values for each decision variable, that is, $X = \{x_i(1), x_i(2), ..., x_i(K)\}$ for discrete decision variables ($x_i(1) < x_i(2) < ... < x_i(K)$); $N$ is the number of decision variables (number of music instruments); and $K$ is the number of possible values for the discrete variables (pitch range of each instrument).

The HS algorithm parameters are also specified in this step: Harmony Memory Size (HMS) = number of solution vectors), Harmony Memory Considering Rate (HMCR), Pitch Adjusting Rate (PAR), and Stopping Criteria = number of improvisation). Here, HMCR and PAR are the parameters of the HS algorithm explained in Step 3.3

3.2 Initialize Harmony Memory
In this step, the Harmony Memory (HM) matrix, as shown in Equation 3, is filled with as many randomly generated solution vectors as the size of the HM (HMS).

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1^1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{N_{HMS}} \\
x_2^1 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{N_{HMS}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_N^1 & x_N^2 & \cdots & x_N^{N_{HMS}}
\end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow f(x^1) \Rightarrow f(x^2) \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow f(x^{N_{HMS}}) \hspace{1cm} (3)
$$

3.3 Improvise a new Harmony
A generated new Harmony vector, $x' = x'_1, x'_2, ..., x'_N$, by following three rules: HM consideration; Pitch adjustment; or totally random generation. For instance, the value of the first decision variable ($x'_1$) for the new vector can be chosen from values stored in HM ($x_1^1 \sim x_1^{N_{HMS}}$). Value of other variables ($x'_i$) can be chosen in the same style. There is also a possibility that totally random value can be chosen. HMCR parameter, which varies between 0 and 1, sets the rate whether a value stored in HM is chosen or a random value is chosen, as follows:

$$
x'_i \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
x'_i \in \{x_1^1, x_1^2, \ldots, x_1^{N_{HMS}}\} & \text{w.p. } \text{HMCR} \\
x'_i \in X_i & \text{w.p. } (1 - \text{HMCR})
\end{array} \right. \hspace{1cm} (4)
$$

The HMCR is the rate of choosing one value from historical values stored in HM while (1-HMCR) is the rate of randomly choosing one value from the possible value range.

After choosing the new harmony vector $x' = x'_1, x'_2, ..., x'_N$, pitch-adjusting decision is examined for each component of the new vector. This procedure uses the PAR parameter to set the rate of pitch adjustment as follows:

$$
x'_i \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\text{Adjusting Pitch} & \text{w.p. } \text{PAR} \\
\text{Doing Nothing} & \text{w.p. } (1 - \text{PAR})
\end{array} \right. \hspace{1cm} (5)
$$

In the pitch adjusting process, a value moves to its neighbouring value with probability of PAR or just stays in its original value with probability (1-PAR). If the pitch adjustment for $x'_i$ is determined, its position in the value range $X$ is identified in the form of $x'_i(k)$ (the $k$-th element in $X$), and the pitch-adjusted value for $x'_i(k)$ becomes
\[ x'_i \leftarrow x_i \ (k + m) \]  

where \( m \in \{-2, -1, 1, 2, \ldots\} \) is a neighbouring index used for discrete-type decision variables. The HMCR and PAR parameters in Harmony Search help the algorithm find globally and locally improved solution, respectively.

### 3.4 Update the Harmony

If the new harmony vector, \( x' = x'_1, x'_2, \ldots, x'_N \) is better than the worst harmony in the HM, judged in terms of the objective function value, the new harmony is included in the HM and the existing worst harmony is excluded from the HM.

### 3.5 Check the stopping criterion

If the stopping criterion (maximum number of improvisations) is satisfied, computation is terminated. Otherwise, Steps 3.3 and 4 are repeated.

### 4. Harmony Search for feature selection

A key concept mapping with illustrative example was provided by [6] to describe how feature selection problems can be translated into optimization problems and further solved by HS algorithm. The number of variables in optimization problems are predetermined by the optimized function. However, in feature selection, the number of variables or features is not fixed in a subset. The size of evolving developed subset should be reduced similar to the optimization of the subset evaluation score.

In HS algorithm, each musician may vote for one feature to be included in the feature subset when such an emerging subset is being improvised. The harmony is then combined vote from all musicians, indicating which features are being nominated. The entire pool of the original features forms the range of notes available to each of the musicians. Multiple musicians are allowed to choose the same attribute, and they may opt to choose no attribute at all. The fitness function used will become a feature subset evaluation method, which analyses and merits each of the new subsets found during the search process. Table 3 shows the mapping concept from HS algorithm to feature selection. Feature selector is equivalent to a musician where available features of feature selector translate the notes to the musician.

#### Table 3. Harmony Search to Feature Selection Concept Mapping

| Harmony Search | Optimization | Feature selection |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Musician       | Variable      | Feature selector  |
| Note           | Variable Value| Feature           |
| Harmony Memory | Solution Vector| Subset Storage    |
| Harmony evaluation | Fitness Function| Subset Evaluation |
| Optimal harmony| Optimal Solution| Optimal Subset    |

In Figure 3, there are three types of harmony produced where M1 to M6 represents six different types of musicians. In the first harmony \( \{B, A, C, D, G, J\} \) represents a feature subset of size 6, all six musicians decided to choose distinctive notes. For the second harmony \( \{B, B, C, P, −\} \) there is a duplication of
choices from the first three musicians (M1,M2,M3) and a discarded note (represented by \(-\)) from the last musician (M6), which reduced subset to \{B,C,P\} of size 3. The last harmony \{B, −, B, C → F, P, D\} will translate into feature subset \{B, F, P, D\}, original vote of musician four, C → F was forced to change into F by **HMCR** activation.

![Figure 3. Harmony Encoded Feature subset](image)

In conventional optimization problems, generally, each musician will have a range of possible note choices which were different from the other musicians. For feature selection, all musicians share one single value range, which is the set of all features.

5. **Harmony Search with feature selection application**

From the literature, HS algorithm has been applied in many areas as a feature selection method. This include in image and speech recognition, computer network, electrical power, image steganalysis, gene selection and etc.

A novel approach to classifier ensemble selection based on fuzzy-rough as feature selection with HS for 9 UCI datasets was proposed by [5]. HS was used to select minimal subset that maximizes the fuzzy-rough dependency measure. The experiments give a promising results and the author suggested that the proposed technique can be apply with other feature selection technique and heuristic search strategies. In [6], HS was able to find good-quality feature subsets for most 10 UCI datasets. The authors used HS parameter control and iterative refinement technique to further improve the HS performance which make it a strong search mechanism for datasets with large number of features. The performance of HS was better compared with other algorithm such as GA and PSO.

There are three improvements proposed by [25] for HS algorithm to enhance its feature selection performance for 8 UCI datasets. The three improvements are restricted feature domain, self-configuration of subset size and convergence detection. The experiment results shows that the proposed techniques is capable of automatically adjusting the internal components of the HS algorithm and make the performance more efficient. In [16] HS algorithm was used as feature selection with 1-Nearest Neighbour (1NN) classifier for 4 UCI datasets. The proposed technique gives better performance in terms of classification accuracy and convergence rate compared to other algorithm such as PSO and GA. A new technique was proposed by [19] where they hybrid search method of HS and stochastic local search (SLS). HS was used to explore the search space and to detect potential region with optimum solutions. SLS was then used to find effective refinement by HS. The performance of this method was compared for 16 UCI datasets and different support vector machine (SVM) classifiers were tested in this research. The experiments shows the proposed method gives good performance in terms of classification accuracy.

Three types of evolutionary techniques was reviewed for feature selection such as PSO, HS and Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) [2]. These feature selection techniques were used to select the most relevant features to identify possible frauds in power distribution system. There are two labelled data sets that were used from Brazilian electric power company and a number of classifier were employed such as Optimum Path Forest (OPF), SVM-with Radial Basis Function, SVM-noKernel, ANN
with Multi Layer Perceptron (MLP), Kohonen Self Organizing Map (SOM) and k-NN. From the experiments, HS-OPF considered give the best performance in terms of accuracy and computational complexity compared to PSO-OSF and GSA-OSF.

HS feature selection with SVM classifier was proposed by [3] to increase testing and classification results of image steganalysis. From the experiment, the proposed method successfully decreased the training complexity and increased the correct classification rate. In, [22] the authors proposed symmetrical uncertainty (SU) filter and HS algorithm wrapper (SU-HS) for gene selection problems in microarray datasets. Experimental results shows that the SU-HS is better than HS for all microarray datasets in terms of the classification accuracy.

A method of HS-SVM was presented by [13] to improve computer network intrusion detection. From the experiment, HS-SVM improved the accuracy of intrusion detection and reduced the test time of previously studied intrusion detection models. HS as feature selection was proposed by [23] to select relevant features from speech data for accurate classification of speech emotion. The datasets used in the experiment were from Berlin German emotion database (EMODB) and Chinese Elderly emotion database (EESDB). LIBSVM was used as classifier. From the experimental results, HS was effective as feature selector although there is no sharp degeneration on accuracy and the accuracy almost maintains the original ones. In [1] HS was used to enhance the text clustering (TC) technique by obtaining a new subset of informative or useful features. Experiments were applied by using four benchmark text datasets. The results shows that the proposed technique improved the performance of the k-mean clustering algorithm measured by F-measure and Accuracy.

In [4] the authors proposed HS algorithm feature selection method for feature dimensionality reduction in handwritten Bangla word recognition problem. The proposed feature selection method produced a high accuracy rate. The algorithm also showed high classification accuracies compared to GA and PSO and statistical feature dimensionality reduction technique like Principal Component Analysis (PCA). A hybrid Cuckoo Search (CS) with HS for feature selection was proposed by [20] to select the optimized feature subset from the dataset and Naive Bayes was used as a classifier. Experimental results shows that the proposed hybrid technique is capable of identifying good quality feature subsets. The proposed approach give better classification accuracy results than binary CS with an optimized feature subset. Table 4 shows the summary of feature selection using HS in the literature from 2011 - 2017.

**Table 4. Summary of feature selection using HS**

| No | Authors | Application | FS Method | Classifier |
|----|---------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| 1  | [5]     | UCI benchmark datasets | Ensemble  | Mixed classifier (FuzzyNN, FuzzyRoughNN, VQNN, Lazy based IBk, tree-based J48, REPTree, rule-based JRIP, PART, Naïve Bayes, Multilayer Perceptron) |
| 2  | [6]     | UCI benchmark datasets | Wrapper   | C4.5, VQNN, NB, SVM |
| 3  | [2]     | Nontechnical loses (electrical power) characterization | Wrapper   | OPF, SVM |
| 4  | [3]     | Image Steganalysis | Wrapper   | LIBLINEAR VM-Opf, SVM – Nokernel, ANN-MLP, SOM, k-NN |
|   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | [25] | UCI benchmark datasets | Wrapper | C4.5 |
| 6 | [16] | UCI benchmark datasets | Wrapper | I-NN |
| 7 | [22] | Gene Selection | Hybrid Filter-Wrapper | Naïve-Bayes, Instance Based (IB1) |
| 8 | [13] | Intrusion Detection | Wrapper | SVM |
| 9 | [23] | Speech Emotion Recognition | Wrapper | LIBSVM |
| 10 | [1] | Text Clustering | Wrapper | k-mean |
| 11 | [19] | UCI benchmark datasets | Wrapper | C4.5, Naïve-Bayes, PART, Zero-R, JRIP, Attribute Selection, SVM |
| 12 | [4] | Handwritten Word Recognition | Wrapper | Naïve-Bayes, Bagging, BayesNet, SVM, MLP, Logistic, Random Forest |
| 13 | [20] | Review Spam Detection | Wrapper | Naïve-Bayes, k-NN |

6. Conclusion
From the literature, it can be concluded that feature selection with HS gives a good performance in many research areas as compared to other nature inspired metaheuristics algorithm. HS algorithm is good at identifying high performance areas of a solution space within a reasonable time. HS has some advantages such as less tuneable parameters, imposes less mathematical requirements and find the solution easily.

However, there are some drawbacks of HS such as not efficient in performing a local search in numerical optimization, premature and slow convergence, poor adaptability and limited search range. There are many strategies that have been proposed by the researchers to further improve the performance of HS.
Some of these strategies, for example, are controlled parameters and iterative refinement of HS [6], restricted feature domain, self-configuration of subset size and convergence detection [25]. A number of researchers also proposed to hybrid HS with other metaheuristics techniques like stochastic local search [19] and CS [20] to further improve the performance of feature selection with HS.
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