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Abstract

Introduction: Hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatoblastoma are two liver cancers characterized by gene deregulations, chromosomal rearrangements, and mutations in Wnt/beta-catenin (Wnt) pathway-related genes. LHX2, a transcriptional factor member of the LIM homeobox gene family, has important functions in embryogenesis and liver development. LHX2 is oncogenic in many solid tumors and leukemia, but its role in liver cancer is unknown. Methods: We analyzed the expression of LHX2 in hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatoblastoma samples using various transcriptomic datasets and biological samples. The role of LHX2 was studied using lentiviral transduction, in vitro cell-based assays (growth, migration, senescence, and apoptosis), molecular approaches (phosphokinase arrays and RNA-seq), bioinformatics, and two in vivo models in chicken and Xenopus embryos. Results: We found a strong connection between LHX2 downregulation and Wnt activation in these two liver cancers. In hepatoblastoma, LHX2 downregulation correlated with multiple poor outcome parameters including higher patient age, intermediate- and high-risk tumors, and low patient survival. Forced expression of LHX2 reduced the proliferation, migration, and survival of liver cancer cells in vitro through the inactivation of MAPK/ERK and Wnt signals. In vivo, LHX2 impeded the development of tumors in chick embryos and repressed the Wnt pathway in Xenopus embryos. RNA-se-
quencing data and bioinformatic analyses confirmed the deregulation of many biological functions and molecular processes associated with cell migration, cell survival, and liver carcinogenesis in LHX2-expressing hepatoma cells. At a mechanistic level, LHX2 mediated the disassembling of beta-catenin/T-cell factor 4 complex and induced expression of multiple inhibitors of Wnt (e.g., TLE/Groucho) and MAPK/ERK (e.g., DUSPs) pathways. Conclusion: Collectively, our findings demonstrate a tumor suppressive function of LHX2 in adult and pediatric liver cancers.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and hepatoblastoma (HB) are malignant tumors originating from hepatocytes. While 80–90% of HCCs arise from a chronically diseased liver with viral, metabolic, or toxin etiologies [1], HBs develop on a healthy liver and some are associated with genetic syndromes such as familial adenomatous polyposis coli and Beckwith-Wiedemann syndromes [2]. HCC and HB share common molecular dysfunctions exemplified by activating mutations in the CTNNB1/beta-catenin gene or, less frequently, by inactivating mutations in AXIN1 or APC genes, which all lead to an aberrant activation of the Wnt signal [1–3]. While HCC is generally associated with deregulation in mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK) signaling [1, 4], classical fetal and embryonal HB does not carry TERT promoter mutations [3, 5]. Both cancers are classified into two major subgroups based on the low or high proliferative capacity of the tumoral hepatic cells and are then stratified using transcriptional or genotype-phenotype approaches [6–11]. Other common features of HBs and HCCs include deregulations in chromatin modifiers, ubiquitin pathways, molecular signaling, and transcriptional regulators [3, 6, 7, 9].

The LIM homeobox genes form a family of 12 homeobox genes encoding proteins composed of two LIM domains located at the N-terminus and one homeodomain located at the C-terminus, which allow protein-protein interactions and DNA binding in target genes, respectively [12]. LIM homeobox proteins are nuclear transcription cofactors which interact with a myriad of transcriptional regulators (most belonging to the homeobox families) and control the expression of multiple genes and cellular functions [12]. Besides their key physiologic role in embryonic development, LIM homeobox genes are strongly associated with carcinogenesis. For instance, recent reviews pointed to a tumor suppressive role of LHX6 and LXM1A in many cancers with their decreased expression in malignant cells due to hypermethylation-mediated epigenetic silencing [13, 14]. In contrast, current data support a broad oncogenic role of LHX2 in many cancers including chronic myeloid leukemia, pancreatic ductal carcinoma, breast cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and non-small cell lung cancer [12, 15–19]. The LHX2 gene is hypermethylated in breast cancer, lung cancer, and non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas [12, 20]. In breast cancer, this hypermethylation occurs in intron 3 of the LHX2 gene and may promote the expression of LHX2 [20]. In a whole animal, LHX2 is required for normal development of the eyes, cerebral cortex, forebrain, and erythropoiesis and is involved in late stages of liver formation [21, 22]. In the liver, LHX2 is expressed in hepatic stellate cells (HSC) of adult mice, and Lhx2−/− mice display an increased expression and a higher accumulation of extracellular matrix proteins leading to liver fibrosis due to an uncontrolled activation of HSC [23]. Moreover, the expres-

### Table 1. Biological samples and number of patients with an LHX2 expression level below the median of nontumoral livers

| Description                              | Source     | Identifier          | Samples, n | Patients with LHX2 < median NTL, n (%) | Ref. |
|------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------------------------|------|
| Hepatocellular carcinomas                | GEO        | GSE45436            | 134 (39 NTL, 95 T) | 77 (81)                                | 31   |
| Hepatocellular carcinomas                | GEO        | GSE132219/GSE133039 | 66 (32 NTL, 32 T, 2R) | 20 (62.5)                             | 11   |
| Hepatocellular carcinomas                | GEO        | GSE75271            | 55 (5 NTL, 50 T)  | 40 (80)                                | 32   |
| Hepatocellular carcinomas                | GEO        | GSE81928            | 32 (3 NTL, 29 T)  | 27 (93)                                | 33   |
| Hepatoblastomas and cell lines           | GEO        | GSE104766           | 40 (20 NTL, 20 T) | 18 (90)                                | 7    |
| Hepatoblastomas and cell lines           | GEO        | GSE131329           | 67 (14 NTL, 53 T) | 40 (75)                                | 34   |

GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; NTL, nontumoral livers; T, tumors; R, recurrence.
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Fig. 1. Expression of the LHX2 transcript in HCC. a, b Expression of LHX2 in HCC and nontumoral samples from different datasets as indicated (a, our cohort dataset, online suppl. Table S1; b, left, Wang et al. [31] dataset, gse45436; b, right, Boyault et al. [9] dataset; unpaired Mann-Whitney test). c, d Correlative analyses between LHX2 expression and genetic features in 200 HCCs (c, two-tailed χ² test; d, log-rank test). e Expression of LHX2 in NT livers and different HCC subgroups from the Boyault et al. [9] dataset (Kruskal-Wallis test p < 0.0001, Dunn’s multiple comparisons post-test). a, b, e Boxes and whiskers represent mean ± standard deviation. ns, not significant. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001.
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sion of LHX2 in primary HSC results in an attenuated cell viability and migration [24].

Despite its strong connection with the Wnt signaling [15, 18, 25, 26], the role of the transcriptional factor LHX2 is totally unknown in liver cancers. Thus, we sought to investigate the effect of LHX2 in HCC and HB. We first interrogated the integrated knowledge database canSAR (https://cansarblack.icr.ac.uk/) [27] to compare LHX2 transcript expression in nontumoral and tumoral tissues from various cancers. Thus, we assessed the deregulation of the LHX2 transcript in both HCC and HB using multiple transcriptomic datasets including data from open-source databases and our own investigations [7, 9, 11]. We completed our study using histological approaches, in vitro cell-based assays, RNA-sequencing, bioinformatics, and two animal models: the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay in chick embryo [4, 28] and the axis duplication assay in Xenopus embryo. Overall, our work aimed to clarify the pathophysiological role of LHX2 in liver cancers.

Materials and Methods

Liver Sample and Clinical Data
All patients were recruited in accordance with the European and French law and institutional ethical guidelines. Liver tissues were immediately frozen in isopentane with Snapfrost and stored at −80°C until used for molecular studies. Samples were obtained from the Centre de Ressources Biologiques (CRB)-Paris-Sud (BRIF No. BB-0033-00089) with written informed consent, and the study protocol was approved by the French Government and the Ethics Committees of HEPATOBIO (HEPATOBIO project: CPP No. CO-15-003; CNIL No. 915640). Liver samples were clinically, histologically, and genetically characterized (online suppl. Tables S1, S2; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000521595) [7, 9, 11, 29, 30].

Transcriptomic Datasets
Transcriptomic data and datasets (Table 1) were as described in previous publications [7, 9, 11, 29–34] or uploaded from the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo; see the accession number and reference in the corresponding graph and/or figure legend) [35] or from the R2: Genomics analysis and visualization platform (https://r2.amc.nl). See online supplementary material for additional experimental procedures and data.

Results

LHX2 Is Downregulated in Liver Cancers with Wnt Activation
To study the pathophysiological relevance of the LHX2 gene in liver cancers, we first questioned the integrated knowledge database canSAR (https://cansarblack.icr.ac.uk/) [27]. In agreement with previous reports [15–19], the LHX2 transcript was upregulated in most cancer types but downregulated in liver cancer (online suppl. Fig. S1).

To confirm this trend, we analyzed multiple transcriptomic datasets published by our consortium or by other groups in HCC and in HB (Table 1) [7, 9, 11, 29–34]. Our investigation confirmed the global downregulation of the LHX2 transcript in HCC compared to nontumoral tissues (Fig. 1a, b; Table 1). In these tumors, LHX2 downregulation significantly correlated with mutations in CTNNB1/beta-catenin, AXIN1, and ARID1A genes, alcohol intake, largest nodules, HBV infection, metabolic syndrome, fibrosis, and fibrotic stroma (Fig. 1c; online suppl. Fig. S2, S6), but not with shorter survival (Fig. 1d).

LHX2 downregulation was particularly visible in G5 (Fig. 1e), a group of HCC characterized by beta-catenin mutations, Wnt activation, and a decreased expression of genes involved in stress and immune response [9]. Finally, LHX2 expression was inversely correlated with the expression of the Wnt pathway-related genes LGR5, TBX3, and GLUL in two cohorts of HCC samples (online suppl. Fig. S3).

The LHX2 transcript was also decreased in HB (Fig. 2a, b; Table 1; online suppl. Fig. S4, S5a, b). Unlike HCC, LHX2 downregulation poorly correlated with Wnt activation (this variable including mutations in CTNNB1, APC, or AXIN1 genes) and did not correlate with CTNNB1 mutations, but it strongly correlated with several poor prognostic factors including the intermediate- and high-risk groups with Molecular Risk Stratification (MRS)-2, 3a, and 3b [11], older children, multifocal tumors, the Children’s Hepatic tumor International Collaboration-High Risk, multifocality, Wnt activation; two-tailed Y test). e Top panel, event-free survival; bottom panel, overall survival; Kaplan-Meier curves, log-rank test. a–c Boxes and whiskers represent range from min to max. f Representative immunostaining of LHX2 in HB sample 1 (T, tumor) and paired NT liver. Scale bars are as indicated. ns, not significant; NT, nontumoral. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
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GLUL in two cohorts of HB samples (online suppl. Fig. S7). Next, we performed a GSEA analysis using 32 HB samples [11] stratified into two groups according to LHX2 expression (low vs. high expression) and using specific gene sets related to MAPK/ERK, Wnt, and apoptosis signaling pathways. This analysis revealed that the MAPK/ERK and Wnt pathways are deregulated in HBs with low LHX2 expression compared with HBs with high LHX2 expression (online suppl. Fig. S8a, b). In addition, apoptotic genes were increased in HBs with high LHX2 expression (good prognosis) compared with tumors with low LHX2 expression (bad prognosis) (Fig. 2b–e; online suppl. Fig. S8c). Using normal and tumor pediatric liver samples, we confirmed by immunostaining the downregulation of LHX2 protein in HB and noted a high inter- and intra-tumoral heterogeneity of the protein expression in cancerous cells among the samples (Fig. 2f; online suppl. Fig. S9). Altogether, these data suggested a functional link between LHX2, MAPK/ERK, and Wnt signals and liver carcinogenesis.

LHX2 Impedes Liver Cancer Development in vitro and in vivo

In view of the above results, we hypothesized that LHX2 might act as a tumor suppressor in the liver. In agreement with this, HCC-derived Huh7 and SNU398 cells, as well as HB-derived Huh6 and HepG2 cells (online suppl. Table S3), did not express the protein (Fig. 3a). In addition, the LHX2 transcript was hardly detectable in Huh7, Huh6, and HepG2 cells (data not shown; published RNA-seq data [7]). Thus, we developed LHX2-expressing Huh6, HepG2, Huh7, and SNU398 cell lines by lentiviral transduction (Fig. 3a). The ectopic expression of LHX2 significantly inhibited the growth and migration of HCC and HB cells (Fig. 3b; online suppl. Fig. S10a), with the exception of HepG2 cells which do not migrate in our cell culture conditions (data not shown). In HB-derived Huh6 and HepG2 cells, LHX2 did not trigger caspase-dependent apoptosis but induced senescence, as shown by a significant increase in beta-galactosidase-positive cells and p21 biomarker (Fig. 3c; online suppl. Fig. S10b, c). Unlike HB cells, LHX2 increased caspase-dependent apoptosis but not senescence in HCC-derived Huh7 and SNU398 cells (Fig. 3c; online suppl. Fig. S10c) suggesting a differential response of these two cell lines to forced LHX2 expression. In vivo, LHX2 impeded both HB and HCC tumor growth with the CAM assay (Fig. 3d) [4, 28]. Engrafted LHX2-expressing Huh6 and Huh7 cells formed smaller tumors after 6 days of growth with a decreased staining of Ki67-positive cells. Microscopically, LHX2 protein was located in the nucleus of engrafted cells (see LHX2 images). Altogether, these data demonstrated that LHX2 inhibits the growth of HCC and HB cells by, respectively, a pro-apoptotic and pro-senescent mechanism and therefore acts as a tumor suppressor in the liver.

LHX2 Inhibits the MAPK/ERK Pathway in HB and HCC Cells

To elucidate the molecular alterations occurring in hepatoma cells after forced expression of LHX2, we performed a phosphokinase assay using proteins extracted from parental or LHX2-expressing Huh6 cells. Out of 43 human kinases tested, 7 were slightly dephosphorylated by LHX2 expression (Fig. 4a; online suppl. Fig. S11). Among them, we noticed a decreased phosphorylation of ERK1/2, two kinases being the main effectors of the pro-oncogenic MAPK/ERK pathway (Fig. 4a) [4, 37]. Complementary immunoblots confirmed the dephosphorylation of ERK1/2 in both LHX2-expressing Huh7 and Huh6 cells (Fig. 4b, c). To explain this dephosphorylation, we measured the expression of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MPK)/dual-specific (DUSP) phosphatases, a group of phosphatases that specifically regulate MAPK activity [38]. Our investigation showed that DUSP5 and DUSP6 phosphatases increased in response to LHX2, while DUSP3 remained unchanged (Fig. 4b, c). LHX2 expression partly correlated with DUSP5 and DUSP6 expressions in HCC and HB (online suppl. Fig. S12, S13, respectively). Altogether, these results demonstrated that LHX2 inhibits the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway in HCC and HB cells, likely through the induction of DUSP5 and DUSP6 phosphatases.
(For legend see next page.)
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Fig. 4. LHX2 inhibits the MAPK/ERK signal by inducing DUSP5/6 phosphatases. **a** Phosphorylation level of various kinases in CTRL (control) or LHX2-expressing Huh7 (top) and Huh6 (bottom) cells. Left panel: screening experiment. Right panel: quantification in mean pixel density. **b, c** Relative expression of phospho-ERK1/2 (ERK), ERK1/2 (ERK), DUSP3, DUSP5, and DUSP6 in CTRL or LHX2-expressing Huh7 (b) and Huh6 (c) cells. Left panel: representative blots in cropped images (loading control: total proteins or GAPDH as indicated). Right panel: bar graph presenting means ± SD (n = 6–8, Mann-Whitney matched-pairs signed rank test). **d** Wnt signaling activity in noninjected Xenopus embryos (CTRL) or embryos injected with wnt8 mRNA (WNT8) or a combination of wnt8 and LHX2 mRNAs (WNT8+LHX2). Left panel: representative images of larvae (arrow heads: larvae with secondary axis). Right panel: bars represent the percentage of embryos with no phenotype or a secondary axis (see number of analyzed embryos above the corresponding bar, χ² test p < 0.0001). **e** Expression of Wnt pathway-related target transcripts (sia1.L, siamois; nodal3.1.L, nodal) in total Xenopus embryos (E), noninjected embryos (U), injected with wnt8 mRNA (W), or injected with wnt8 + LHX2 mRNAs (W+L). Internal control, ornithine decarboxylase transcript (odc1.L). RT-lane: no reverse transcription. ns, not significant. *p < 0.05; ****p < 0.0001.

Fig. 5. LHX2 inactivates the Wnt signal in a hepatoma cell-dependent manner. **a** Wnt transcriptional activity (TOP/FOP ratio) in CTRL (control) or LHX2-expressing Huh7 (top) and Huh6 (bottom) cells. Bar graphs recapitulate means ± SD (n = 4–7, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test). **b** Expression of Wnt pathway-related genes (as indicated) in CTRL and LHX2-expressing Huh7 (top) and Huh6 (bottom) cells. Bar graphs recapitulate means ± SD (n = 6, data reported as quantity of control set at 1, multiple t tests). **c** Relative expression of wild-type (wt) and mutated (G34V) beta-catenin proteins (as indicated on the left of the blots) in CTRL (control) or LHX2-expressing Huh7 (top) and Huh6 (bottom) cells. Left panel: representative blots in cropped images. Right panel: bar graph recapitulate means ± SD (n = 4, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test). **d** Wnt signaling activity in noninjected Xenopus embryos (CTRL) or embryos injected with wnt8 mRNA (WNT8) or a combination of wnt8 and LHX2 mRNAs (WNT8+LHX2). Left panel: representative images of larvae (arrow heads: larvae with secondary axis). Right panel: bars represent the percentage of embryos with no phenotype or a secondary axis (see number of analyzed embryos above the corresponding bar, χ² test p < 0.0001). **e** Expression of Wnt pathway-related target transcripts (sia1.L, siamois; nodal3.1.L, nodal) in total Xenopus embryos (E), noninjected embryos (U), injected with wnt8 mRNA (W), or injected with wnt8 + LHX2 mRNAs (W+L). Internal control, ornithine decarboxylase transcript (odc1.L). RT-lane: no reverse transcription. ns, not significant. *p < 0.05; ****p < 0.0001.
(For legend see next page.)
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As Wnt pathway activation is a molecular hallmark of liver cancer pathogenesis [1–3, 7, 39], we investigated the impact of LHX2 on beta-catenin transcriptional activity in Huh6, HepG2, SNU398, and Huh7 cells [40]. LHX2 did not affect beta-catenin transcriptional activity or LGR5 and DKK1 mRNA expression in Huh6 cells (G34V point mutation in beta-catenin gene), but it significantly inhibited the Wnt signal and induced a decrease in Wnt targets LGR5 and MYCN in Huh7 cells (wild-type for beta-catenin gene) (Fig. 5a, b). LHX2 also inhibited the Wnt pathway in HepG2 and SNU398 cells, which carry a large deletion in exon 3 of the CTNNB1 gene and the S37C point mutation, respectively (online suppl. Fig. S14). Concomitantly, the beta-catenin protein level remained unaffected in all cell lines (Fig. 5c; online suppl. Fig. S14). Because the capacity of LHX2 to inhibit Wnt signaling appeared cell-specific and independent of beta-catenin mutations, we performed in vivo analysis in the Xenopus embryo using the axis duplication assay. Following the induction of Wnt-dependent larvae body axis duplication with the soluble ligand Wnt8 (Fig. 5d) or a dominant-negative form of Gsk3-beta (GSK3B-DN, online suppl. Fig. S15), human LHX2 transcript co-injection resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in dorsal axis duplication associated with a lower expression of the Xenopus Wnt signaling-related genes Siamois and Nodal (Fig. 5d, e; online suppl. Fig. S15). Altogether, these results demonstrated the negative regulation of the Wnt pathway by LHX2, except in Huh6 cells.

**LHX2 Inhibits Wnt Signaling by Multiple Regulatory Mechanisms**

To explore the molecular mechanisms underlying the antitumor effect of LHX2 in liver cancer, we performed comparative RNA-seq analysis in LHX2-expressing and control Huh7 cells. Using a cutoff fold change of 1.5 and a cutoff p value of 0.05, 576 (including LHX2) and 251 genes were significantly and, respectively, up- and downregulated in LHX2-expressing cells (Fig. 6a; online suppl. Fig. S16 and Table S7). To find the canonical pathways, disease states, biological functions, and molecular networks associated with LHX2 expression, we interrogated the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) program (http://www.ingenuity.com) using our list of 827 deregulated genes. In agreement with the phenotypical changes shown in Figure 3 and online supplementary Figure S10, the pathways deregulated in LHX2-expressing Huh7 cells were related to cellular movement, cell growth and proliferation, and cell death and survival, but also cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, molecular transport, and lipid metabolism (online suppl. Fig. S17a). In addition, disease and toxicological functions associated with cancer, organismal injury, and hepatic system diseases were also strongly altered (online suppl. Fig. S17b, c). By Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA), we found an enrichment of several key biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions (online suppl. Fig. S18–20). Among them, Wnt pathway-related genes were significantly enriched, including numerous regulatory factors involved in the inhibition of Wnt signaling and the repression of the nuclear beta-catenin/TCF/LEF transcriptional complex (Fig. 6b). The increased expression of DKK1, CTNNBIP1/ICAT, TLE2, and TLE4 mRNA was confirmed by RT-qPCR in LHX2-expressing Huh7 (Fig. 6c, left panel). As expected, these genes remained unchanged in Huh6 cells (Fig. 6c, middle panel), although they increased in LHX2-expressing HepG2 cells (Fig. 6c, right panel). Western blot analyses confirmed the increase in TLE4 in LHX2-expressing Huh7 cells and in TLE2 in LHX2-expressing HepG2 cells (online suppl. Fig. S21). To gain further insight into LHX2-mediated Wnt signaling inhibition, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments. As shown in Figure 6d, LHX2 induced the dissociation of beta-catenin and T-cell factor 4/transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF4/TCF7L2) in Huh7 and HepG2 cells, but not in Huh6 cells. We also noted a decrease in TCF4/TCF7L2 expression in LHX2-expressing HepG2 cells (compare input lanes in the middle panel of Fig. 6d; on-
line suppl. Fig. S22). Altogether, these data demonstrated that LHX2 has a double-negative regulatory action on Wnt signaling: it induces the expression of multiple Wnt inhibitors and prevents the assembly of the beta-catenin/TCF/LEF transcriptional complex.

**Discussion**

The members of the LIM homeobox family are key transcriptional regulators that actively participate in cell specialization, tissue morphogenesis, and organ modeling during embryonic development. However, they are also highly involved in tumorigenic processes [13, 14, 16, 17, 19]. In this work, we report the tumor suppressive role of LHX2 in both adult and pediatric liver cancers. We first show that the expression of the LHX2 transcript is decreased in HB and HCC using multiple datasets [7, 9, 11, 29–34]. In HCC, LHX2 mRNA downregulation was particularly associated with the G5 group of tumors [9], a group characterized by Wnt activation and CTNNB1 mutations, and its expression was correlated with the presence of CTNNB1 mutations and the expression of Wnt pathway-related genes. In HB, a cancer characterized by Wnt alteration and CTNNB1/beta-catenin mutations but less heterogeneous than HCCs [7, 9], we found a strong correlation between the downregulation of LHX2 and poor prognostic parameters including higher patient age, intermediate- and high-risk tumors according to CHIC and MRS-2/3a/3b stratification [11, 36], and patients’ survival, but not CTNNB1/beta-catenin mutations. Thus, LHX2 decrease is a prognostic marker in HB. Furthermore, we demonstrated that LHX2 inhibits the growth, survival, and migration of hepatoma cells in vitro and the development of experimental HB and HCC tumors in vivo. Interestingly, our data are in contradiction with the well-known pathological function of this gene in other solid tumors and leukemia. Indeed, LHX2 is upregulated in many cancers, a result confirmed by our data collected from the canSAR database (online suppl. Fig. S1), and it participates in tumor development through the regulation of multiple oncogenic pathways including Wnt [15–17, 19, 41]. Kuzmanov et al. [16] reported that LHX2 is upregulated during TGFβ-induced epithelio-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer, while other groups showed that LHX2 promotes nasopharyngeal carcinoma and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma through Wnt activation by supporting TCF4 expression or facilitating the interaction between beta-catenin and TCF4 [15, 18]. Together, these data demonstrate the key role of LHX2 in Wnt signaling-associated carcinogenesis.

Given the role of LHX2 in proliferation and tumor growth, we sought to evaluate whether LHX2 interferes with different pathways involved in liver carcinogenesis. Using a phosphokinase array, we found decreased phosphorylation of ERK1/2 on threonine 202 and tyrosine 204 in LHX2-expressing cells, a posttranslational modification essential to the MAPK/ERK signaling cascade. Signaling via ERK1/2 kinase is crucial for the development and progression of HCC [4, 42], but its role in HB has also been documented [43]. Moreover, ERK1/2 dephosphorylation and MAPK/ERK signaling inactivation mediated by either pharmacological inhibitor or microRNAs decreases HCC cell viability [4, 44]. We also found that LHX2 potentiates the expression of DUSP5 and DUSP6, two phosphatases known to dephosphorylate and inactivate ERK1/2 kinases in hepatoma cells [38]. Of note, the expression of these two phosphatases correlated slightly with that of LHX2 in HCC and HB. Therefore, our data suggest the tumor-suppressing role of LHX2 in HCC and HB through the induction of DUSP5/6 and the inactivation of the MAPK/ERK pathway (Fig. 7).

The Wnt pathway is another crucial pathway in liver development and hepatic malignancies [1–3, 7, 39]. Several studies have reported a connection between LHX2 and Wnt signaling. Hsu et al. [25] demonstrated that LHX2 regulates the timing of beta-catenin-dependent cortical neurogenesis. Others showed that LHX2 can promote tumor growth and invasion through Wnt pathway activation and TCF4/beta-catenin complex stabilization [15, 18]. In contradiction with these two reports, Zhang et al. [26] reported inhibition of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling by LHX2 during forebrain development in the *Xenopus* embryo. Our work clearly suggests an inactivating role of LHX2 on Wnt signaling in hepatoma cells and *Xenopus* embryo. Collectively, our data also demonstrate the strong biological and functional connection between LHX2 and the Wnt pathway during embryogenesis and tumorigenesis and also support the idea that additional co-factors or posttranslational modifications (e.g., phosphorylation) may govern the repressive or inducing role of LHX2 in tissues or cells.

Since LHX2 is a transcriptional factor, we explored the molecular mechanisms underlying its antineoplastic effects in the liver using RNA-seq. By interrogating the data on signaling pathways, molecular functions, and disease states, we found that LHX2 is directly connected not only to cell movement, growth, survival, and proliferation but also to lipid metabolism, molecule transportation, and cell-cell interactions. Pathways associated with cancer and hepatic disease are also strongly altered by LHX2. Finally,
genes involved in nuclear beta-catenin/TCF/LEF transcriptional complex repression such as the CTNNBIP1/ICAT, TCF7L1, TLE/Groucho family members (e.g., TLE2/4/6), and DACT2 were also enriched in LHX2-expressing Huh7 and HepG2 cells (Fig. 6c; online suppl. Fig. S21). For instance, ICAT is known to block the formation of the beta-catenin/TCF/LEF transcriptional complex and is involved in embryonic development and carcinogenesis [45]. The Groucho/TLE family members are master regulators in Drosophila development because of their capacity to regulate multiple signaling pathways and their key roles in many cell fate mechanisms including Wnt signaling [46, 47]. In our study, we found that LHX2 acts through a dual mechanism by inducing the expression of various secreted (e.g., DKK1), cytoplasmic (e.g., ANKRD6 and DCDC2), and nuclear (e.g., ICAT, TCF7L1, and Groucho/TLE) Wnt inhibitors and by preventing the assembly of the beta-catenin/TCF/LEF transcriptional complex (Fig. 7). Intriguingly, LHX2-mediated Wnt inhibition was very efficient in Huh7 and HepG2 cells but not in Huh6 cells. While our findings provide some explanations for these differences, they require further investigation.

Collectively, our findings suggest that LHX2 regulates key cancer pathways in adult and pediatric liver cancers. The decrease in LHX2 observed in most HCC and in HB strongly suggests its antitumor role in liver cancer and partly explains the increased activation of the oncogenic MAPK/ERK and Wnt pathways. The future use of LHX2 agonists, the manipulation of LHX2 expression, and the identification of its targets may pave the way for potential therapeutic interventions to block the development of liver cancer in adult and pediatric patients.
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