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Procyclic *Trypanosoma brucei* cells were synchronized with 0.2 mM hydroxyurea. The cells did not arrest at the G1/S boundary but proceeded through one round of replication and arrested near the end of S phase. The mitochondrial genome (kinetoplast DNA network) replicated, forming two progeny networks, but the repair of minicircle gaps was inhibited.

---

Trypanosoma brucei, the sleeping sickness parasite, has a unique mitochondrial genome known as kinetoplast DNA (kDNA). kDNA has several thousand minicircles (1 kb) and a few dozen maxicircles (23 kb) which are topologically interlocked in a giant network (reviewed in references 9 and 16). For studies on kDNA, we needed to synchronize *T. brucei* cultures. However, there were no published procedures. Hydroxyurea (HU) is used to synchronize other cell types (3); it inhibits ribonucleotide reductase (including *T. brucei*'s [5]), an enzyme involved in deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) synthesis, by targeting its tyrosyl free radical (8, 14). The rationale for HU-mediated synchronization is that dNTP depletion prevents DNA replication, therefore stopping the cell cycle at the start of S phase, or, if already undergoing replication, within S phase.

HU at various concentrations synchronizes other kinetoplastids, such as *Leishmania tarentolae* (2.5 mM) (17), *Crithidia fasciculata* (2.5 mM) (2, 13), *Leishmania major* (5 mM) (20), *Trypanosoma cruzi* (20 mM) (4), and *Leishmania infantum* (5 mM) (18). In previous studies of *T. brucei* bloodstream forms, 0.1 mM HU did not affect DNA replication and could not synchronize the cells but 0.25 mM HU was toxic (12). For *T. brucei* procyclics, growth was inhibited by 0.67 mM HU, but DNA synthesis was not (1). These studies suggested that *T. brucei* cannot be synchronized by HU.

We have reinvestigated whether HU can synchronize *T. brucei* procyclics. We cultured cells (strain 29-13, from George Cross) at 27°C in semidefined medium (SDM-79) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 15 μg/ml G418 (Sigma), and 50 μg/ml hygromycin B (Roche) (19). We incubated a 10-ml culture (2.5 × 10⁶ cells/ml) in medium containing 0.2 mM HU (12 h, 27°C). We then removed the HU by centrifugation (1,200 × g, 10 min), followed by washing the cells twice with medium (10 ml, room temperature). We then continued culturing the cells without HU (12 h, 27°C). To assess synchrony, we fixed cells every 2 h, stained them with propidium diiodide, and conducted flow cytometry (7).

Since 0.2 mM HU only partially inhibited DNA synthesis, synchronization developed in an unexpected manner. Figure 1A (0 h) shows the results of flow cytometry of an asynchronous culture before the addition of HU. Some cells are in G₁, and others, with apparently double the DNA content, are in G₂. S-phase cells are in between. Within the first 4 h of HU treatment (0 h to 4 h), the cells become concentrated in the G₁ and early S phases. Then, they progress slowly through S, developing synchrony and reaching late S phase at 12 h. Figure 1B shows that synchrony persists after the removal of HU (panel B shows the results of a different experiment from that whose results are shown in Panel A but which followed an identical HU treatment). During the first 4 h, the peak traverses through S and G₂ (Fig. 1B, 14 h and 16 h) and the G₁ peak gradually reappears (16 h and 18 h), indicating the start of the next cycle. At 20 and 22 h, the cells again traverse through S phase, and by 24 h they begin accumulating in G₂ phase for the second time. In later stages of the experiment, the peak broadens (compare 22 h with 12 h), indicating gradual loss of synchrony. The growth curve (Fig. 1C) is consistent with the flow cytometry results. Without HU, the cells multiply with a doubling time comparable to that of untreated cells. HU at 0.4 mM also induced synchrony but at 0.1 mM did not. HU at 1 mM was toxic (Fig. 1C).

Since our interest is kinetoplast replication, we evaluated the kDNA status during synchronization with 0.2 mM HU (the same conditions used for the experiment whose results are shown in Fig. 1). kDNA synthesis involves the release of covalently closed minicircles from the network for replication as free minicircles. The progeny-free minicircles, containing gaps,
migrate to the antipodal sites (two protein assemblies flanking the kDNA disk, ~180° apart). Within these sites, most, but not all, minicircle gaps are repaired. Then the progeny minicircles, still containing one or more gaps, are linked to the network periphery. When the minicircle copy number has doubled, the network splits in two and all gaps are repaired.

To evaluate kDNA replication, we stained HU-treated cells with 4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) to determine the number of nuclei (1N or 2N) and kinetoplasts (1K or 2K). We also labeled the cells with terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) and a fluorescent dNTP (11). TdT labels 3'-hydroxyls in gaps in newly replicated network minicircles, as
well as in free minicircle replication intermediates concentrated in the antipodal sites. There are several types of labeling patterns representing different stages of replication (Fig. 2A; see legend for description). Figure 2B and C show the kinetics of the appearance of each pattern. At the time of the addition of HU (Fig. 2B, 0 h), the TdT-positive trypanosomes, which are undergoing kDNA replication, constitute 25% of the cells (typical of asynchronous cultures) (11). By 6 h of HU treat-
ment (0 h to 6 h), nearly all the cells become TdT positive. Inspection of the TdT-labeling pattern showed an accumulation of “early”-labeled cells (peaking at 3 h), followed by “late”-labeled cells (peaking at 6 h). Then, during the last 6 h of HU treatment (6 h to 12 h), the kinetoplast divides, forming 1N2K cells which remain TdT positive (“post”-replicative stage). Thus, the kDNA status during HU washout (12 h) is explained by one round of kDNA synthesis and division during the 12-h HU treatment. However, most minicircle gaps remain unrepaired.

Are the cells arrested at this point or would replication progress further with a longer HU incubation? In experiments not shown, an extra 6 h of incubation in 0.2 mM HU (total, 18 h) caused only 3% conversion of 1N2K to 2N2K. A low level of minicircle gap filling converted 35% of the TdT-positive cells to TdT negative. After HU removal, the 12- and 18-h HU-treated samples behaved similarly, indicating that the effects of extra HU treatment were reversible. Thus, kDNA replication and segregation must occur in 0.2 mM HU, with most cells arresting at the 1N2K/TdT-positive stage. A marked inhibition in gap filling blocked further progress. As for the nucleus, we are sure that replication was nearly complete, because of the results of flow cytometry (Fig. 1A and B; 12 h) and because DAPI staining showed that most nuclei had grown in size and brightness (Fig. 2A; compare nuclei in no. 1 and no. 4).

After HU washout, there was a progressive appearance of other forms (Fig. 2C). At 14 h, most of the cells are still 1N2K but they are predominantly TdT negative, indicating efficient gap repair after HU removal. Nuclear division then occurs (2N2K cells are abundant at 16 and 18 h), followed by cytokinesis (1N1K cells peak at 18 and 20 h). A second round of kDNA replication is indicated by the abundance of TdT-positive cells at 18 and 20 h. As expected, the appearance of TdT-positive cells with “early” labeling precedes that of cells with “late” labeling.

Why does 0.2 mM HU synchronize cells? This concentration allows low-level nuclear DNA synthesis and slow progression through S phase; it may take 12 h to traverse S phase compared to the usual 3 h (Fig. 1A). If cells outside S phase move unretarded through G2 and/or G1 phase, they catch up with the cells traversing S phase, stopping near the end of S phase. Thus, during the slow passage through S phase, the cells gradually become synchronous, and they remain synchronous when DNA synthesis is fully restored by HU washout.

But why does HU arrest cells prior to nuclear division? One possibility could involve a nuclear DNA polymerase that functions after replication or late in S phase, assisting the repair of DNA damage prior to nuclear division, thus providing a cell cycle checkpoint. The $K_{m}$ for dNTPs of this repair polymerase might be higher than the $K_{m}$ of replicative nuclear polymerases. Therefore, upon HU treatment, the dNTP level in the nucleus might fall below the level required to sustain repair, but the replicative polymerases, with a lower $K_{m}$, could operate at near-maximum velocity.

Similarly, the effect on kinetoplast replication could be related to the $K_{m}$’s of different mitochondrial polymerases for dNTPs. If the $K_{m}$ of the DNA polymerase β-PK (the enzyme thought to fill gaps in network minicircles) (15), is higher than the $K_{m}$’s of kDNA replicative polymerases (6), its activity could be selectively reduced if HU depleted the dNTP level below its $K_{m}$.

Further studies are needed to clarify the mechanism of cell cycle arrest near the end of nuclear replication and of the toxicity of higher HU concentrations. Despite these uncertainties, this new procedure should be useful as a tool for studying trypanosome biology.
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