Additive interactions between PRKAA1 polymorphisms and Helicobacter pylori CagA infection associated with gastric cancer risk in Koreans
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Abstract
Although several studies reported genetic polymorphisms in protein kinase AMP-activated alpha 1 catalytic subunit (PRKAA1) and their associations with gastric cancer risk, few have evaluated associations between Helicobacter pylori infection and PRKAA1 gene-environment interactions. Here, we evaluated the effects of interactions between H. pylori infection and PRKAA1 polymorphisms on gastric cancer risk in Koreans. In this hospital-based case–control study, PRKAA1 genotypes were analyzed and H. pylori infection and CagA status were examined using a serologic method in 846 pairs of gastric cancer patients and controls matched for age and sex. H. pylori seropositivity was associated with a 1.43-fold [95% confidence interval: 1.12–1.81] increase in the risk of gastric cancer, and CagA low-positive titers during H. pylori infection increased the risk by 1.85-fold (95% confidence interval, 1.38–2.48). Significant positive interaction between the PRKAA1 rs13361707 genotype and H. pylori infection was verified on an additive scale [relative excess risk due to interaction, 0.55; 95% confidence interval, 0.05–1.04; P = 0.030], and the gene-environment interaction between PRKAA1 rs13361707 and CagA status was also statistically significant (relative excess risk due to interaction, 0.50; 95% confidence interval, 0.30–0.70; P < 0.001). Our results indicated that H. pylori infection, CagA status, and PRKAA1 polymorphisms were risk factors for gastric cancer in Koreans, and that the combination of two of these factors rather than their independent effects synergistically increased the risk.

Introduction
Gastric cancer has the fifth highest incidence rate and the third highest mortality rate worldwide. Specifically, the number of gastric cancer patients in East Asia accounts for more than half of the worldwide gastric cancer incidence and the highest incidence of gastric cancer mortality [1]. Although gastric cancer incidence in Koreans has gradually decreased since 2000, it is still the most common cancer in males and the elderly (age ≥65 years). Moreover, distant metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma is a life-threatening cancer in Koreans, with a 5-year relative survival rate of 5.7% [2]. Helicobacter pylori (HP) infection is a Group 1 carcinogen [3], with many epidemiological studies demonstrating associations between chronic HP infection and gastric cancer [4]. HP is a gram-negative bacterium that proliferates in the gastric mucous membrane and has
various virulence factors, including CagA, VagA, BabA, and SabA [4, 5]. The serological positivity of HP infection in Koreans who have not been treated with antibiotics is 54.4%, and the HP infection rate is higher in East Asians, including Koreans, as compared with that in other races [4, 6]. HP infection rates vary by race and geographic location and are affected by various factors, including age, socioeconomic level, smoking, and alcohol consumption [6–9]. HP infection is also affected by host genetics, which determine the development of gastric cancer through interactions with HP [10, 11]. According to previous meta-analyses, the fraction of HP infection attributable to noncardia gastric cancer was ~74.7% to ~83.1% [12, 13]. However, only approximately 1% of HP-infected subjects develop gastric cancer [10]. This suggests that the interaction between HP infection and host genetic factors plays a significant role in gastric carcinogenesis [11].

Genetic polymorphisms in protein kinase AMP-activated alpha 1 catalytic subunit (PRKAA1) are significantly associated with gastric cancer, according to genome-wide association study (GWAS) performed in Asians [14]. Identical associations were indicated in our previous study [15] and in several other studies performed in Korean and Chinese populations [16–19], and were reconfirmed in a recent GWAS performed with a European populations [20]. Although the definitive role of PRKAA1 has not yet been identified, 5′-AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) encoded by PRKAA1 can play a significant role in carcinogenesis, as it is involved in energy metabolism, cell cycle regulation, cell proliferation, and rapamycin-pathway inhibition [21–23]. Additionally, according to recent studies, AMPK is activated by HP infection in human gastric epithelial cells, resulting in enhanced production of reactive oxygen species and inhibition of gastric epithelial cell apoptosis by HP [24, 25]. However, few studies have evaluated the effects of interactions between PRKAA1 genetic polymorphisms and HP infection on gastric cancer incidence. Therefore, we investigated these relationships in a Korean population.

Material and Methods

Study subjects

This study was designed as a hospital-based case–control. Gastric cancer patients included those who were newly diagnosed with gastric cancer histologically at Chungbuk National University Hospital (n = 776), Eulji University Hospital (n = 776), and Asan Medical Center (n = 641) from March 1997 to December 2013, for a total of 1843 patients. A control group included 1705 patients who were hospitalized for health examinations at the same hospitals during the same periods, and who had not been diagnosed with any form of cancer. A trained interviewer obtained an informed consent from all subjects recruited to the study; demographic and lifestyle information including smoking history, alcohol consumption, and diet was obtained through a structured questionnaire. Venous blood was collected from subjects from whom blood draw was possible in order to analyze their genotypes and evaluate HP infection. The collected blood was centrifuged to separate plasma and then stored at −80°C after aliquot.

Patients and controls who did not provide answers to >10% of the questionnaire (n = 270), those lacking a buffy coat in their blood sample or having inadequate quality of extracted DNA (n = 230), and those who had little or no serum (n = 1304) were excluded from the case–control pool. Finally, a total of 846 pairs were selected by matching the patient group and the control group for age (<3 years difference) and sex at a 1:1 ratio. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chungbuk National University Hospital, Korea (IRB No. 2011-09-071).

Serologic assay for HP infection and CagA status

To determine past or present HP infection, a Genedia H. pylori ELISA kit (Green Cross Medical Science Corp., Yongin, Korea), which was developed using Korean H. pylori strains, was used according to manufacturer instructions [26]. Briefly, plasma HP IgG antibody was measured using 5 μL plasma, and positivity was determined when the absorbance of a sample was above the cutoff value suggested by the manufacturer. Sensitivity and specificity for the HP IgG ELISA, as provided by the manufacturer, were 97.8% and 92.0%, respectively [26].

In order to evaluate CagA status, a CagA IgG antibody titer was measured using a CagA IgG ELISA Kit (Genesis Diagnostics, Alva, UK) according to manufacturer instructions, and the plasma CagA IgG antibody titer of each sample was quantified using a calibration curve. Positivity was determined when the CagA IgG antibody titer of a sample was >6.25 U/ml. Sensitivity and specificity for the CagA IgG ELISA, as provided by the manufacturer, were 96% and 97%, respectively. CagA-positive titers were classified into two groups (low or high) based on the median CagA IgG antibody titer (12.5 U/mL) among CagA-positive subjects.

PRKAA1 genotyping analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from the stored blood for analysis of PRKAA1 genotypes. Quantitative and qualitative assessment of the extracted genomic DNA was
performed using a UV spectrophotometer (NanoDrop ND-2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and electrophoresis. Quality and quantity requirements for genotyping DNA samples were determined by identifying intact DNA bands after 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and their concentrations were measured at >20 ng/μL with a degree of purity >1.7. Genotype analysis was performed for the five single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; rs10074991, rs13361707, rs154268, rs3805486, and rs6882903) associated with PRKAA1 [14–16, 18–20, 27, 28] using a GoldenGate assay (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The call rates for the examined samples and SNPs were 100%, with all five SNPs meeting the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in the control group.

**Statistical analysis**

In order to compare general characteristics between the patient and control groups, a Student’s t-test was performed for continuous variables [e.g., age, height, weight, and body mass index (BMI)], and a chi-square test and conditional logistic regression was performed for categorical variables (e.g., smoking, drinking, and education level) and categorized variables (cumulative smoking amount and weekly alcohol intake). The variables, such as smoking, alcohol intake, education level, and BMI, that showed significant differences between the patient and control groups or were found to have significant associations with gastric cancer were considered covariates and included in the final multivariate model for analysis.

Multivariate conditional logistic regression analysis was performed in order to evaluate the effects of HP infection, CagA status, and PRKAA1 SNPs on gastric cancer incidence, and the results were presented as crude and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The Benjamini–Hochberg procedure was performed for multiple test comparison correction [29], and false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted P values were suggested.

Gene-environmental interactions in gastric cancer were tested on additive and multiplicative scales. Additive interactions were evaluated by estimating relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI), and significant additive interactions were defined when RERI was >0 and the 95% CI did not include 0 [30]. Multiplicative interactions were evaluated using the product term of two factors, with the ratio of ORs suggested as an indicator [31]. In cases where information about pathophysiological characteristics for the gastric cancer patients included the location of the gastric cancer and histological characteristics, subgroup analysis regarding those pathophysiological characteristics was performed using the same method. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Results**

**General characteristics of the study subjects**

There were no differences in the mean age and sex distributions between gastric cancer patients and the control group, given that they were matched by age and sex. Current or former smokers exhibited a 1.4-fold greater risk of developing gastric cancer as compared with non-smokers, and smokers with >40 pack-years of cumulative smoking exhibited a 1.8-fold greater risk relative to non-smokers. Alcohol drinkers whose weekly intake was >280 g exhibited a 1.6-fold increase in risk relative to nondrinkers. The education level of gastric cancer patients was significantly lower than that of the control group, and the mean BMI was also significantly different (Table 1).

**HP infection and gastric cancer**

There was a higher degree of HP IgG antibody positivity in gastric cancer patients than in the control group (77.4% vs. 70.6%), and HP-infected individuals showed a 1.43-fold increase in the risk of gastric cancer when compared with HP-negative individuals. CagA positivity was also higher in the patient group (59.0%) relative to the control group (55.6%), but CagA status was not associated with increased risk of gastric cancer (OR, 1.15; 95% CI: 0.92–1.43). However, when compared with HP-negative individuals who were CagA negative, HP-infected individuals who were CagA positive had a significantly increased risk of gastric cancer (OR, 1.37; 95% CI: 1.07–1.76). Furthermore, when stratified against CagA seropositivity according to the antibody titer, HP-infected subjects with low-positive CagA titer (6.25–12.5 U/mL) had an increased risk of gastric cancer compared to HP-negative subjects who were CagA negative (OR, 1.85; 95% CI: 1.38–2.48) (Table 2).

**PRKAA1 polymorphisms and gastric cancer**

Genotype distributions of the five PRKAA1 SNPs are presented in Table 3. Individuals with at least one variant allele of PRKAA1 rs10074991 or rs13361707 had a significantly increased risk of gastric cancer compared to the carriers with the homozygous wild-type allele. For the variant homozygote of these two SNPs, the risk of gastric cancer was 2.23- and 2.20-fold higher relative to that of the wild-type homozygote, respectively. The statistical significance remained after correction of multiple comparison effects [32]. Interestingly, although PRKAA1 rs154268 and rs6882903 heterozygotes increased the risk of gastric cancer by 1.52- and 1.34-fold compared with their wild-type homozygote, respectively, there was no significant association observed for the variant homozygote.
Table 1. General characteristics of the study population.

| Variables                              | Cases          | Controls       | OR1 (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| n                                      | 846            | 846            | 0.272        |
| Age, mean ± SD                         | 56.9 ± 10.8    | 56.3 ± 10.9    |              |
| Gender, n (%)                          |                |                |              |
| Males                                  | 544 (64.3)     | 544 (64.3)     |              |
| Females                                | 302 (35.7)     | 302 (35.7)     |              |
| Smoking status, n (%)                  |                |                |              |
| Nonsmokers                             | 345 (40.8)     | 380 (44.9)     | 1.00 (ref.)  |
| Smokers                                | 501 (59.2)     | 466 (55.1)     | 1.43 (1.08, 1.90) |
| Cumulative smoking amount, n (%)       |                |                |              |
| Nonsmokers                             | 345 (40.8)     | 380 (44.9)     | 1.00 (ref.)  |
| 0–19 pack-years                        | 126 (14.9)     | 123 (14.5)     | 1.34 (0.95, 1.90) |
| 20–39 pack-years                       | 193 (22.8)     | 206 (24.4)     | 1.23 (0.89, 1.70) |
| >40 pack-years                         | 182 (21.5)     | 137 (16.2)     | 1.79 (1.27, 2.54) |
| Alcohol intake amount, g/week, n (%)   |                |                |              |
| Nondrinkers                            | 322 (38.1)     | 327 (38.7)     | 1.00 (ref.)  |
| >0 to ≤280 g/week                      | 318 (37.6)     | 374 (44.2)     | 0.89 (0.70, 1.15) |
| >280 g/week                            | 206 (24.4)     | 145 (17.1)     | 1.58 (1.15, 2.17) |
| Education level, n (%)                 |                |                |              |
| < High school                          | 503 (61.0)     | 354 (42.5)     | 1.00 (ref.)  |
| ≥ High school                          | 321 (39.0)     | 480 (57.6)     | 0.35 (0.28, 0.46) |
| Height, cm                             | 162.9 ± 8.8    | 163.7 ± 8.3    | 0.083        |
| Weight, kg                             | 58.7 ± 11.0    | 63.3 ± 10.4    | <0.001       |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                 | 22.4 ± 3.1     | 23.5 ± 2.9     | <0.001       |

SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

1Conditional logistic regression analysis.

Table 2. Distributions of Helicobacter pylori infection and CagA status, and risk of gastric cancer.

| Variable                              | Cases (%) | Controls (%) | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| H. pylori infection                   |           |              |              |              |
| Negative                              | 191 (22.6)| 249 (29.4)   | 1.00 (ref.)  | 1.00 (ref.)  |
| Positive                              | 655 (77.4)| 597 (70.6)   | 1.41 (1.14, 1.76) | 1.43 (1.12, 1.81) |
| CagA status1                          |           |              |              |              |
| Negative                              | 344 (41.0)| 370 (44.4)   | 1.00 (ref.)  | 1.00 (ref.)  |
| Positive                              | 495 (59.0)| 464 (55.6)   | 1.15 (0.95, 1.40) | 1.15 (0.92, 1.43) |
| H. pylori and CagA status             |           |              |              |              |
| H. pylori negative/CagA negative      | 191 (22.8)| 249 (29.9)   | 1.00 (ref.)  | 1.00 (ref.)  |
| H. pylori positive/CagA negative      | 153 (18.1)| 121 (14.5)   | 1.64 (1.20, 2.25) | 1.68 (1.19, 2.39) |
| H. pylori positive/CagA positive      | 495 (59.1)| 464 (55.6)   | 1.37 (1.09, 1.71) | 1.37 (1.07, 1.76) |
| H. pylori positive/CagA low² positive | 272 (32.5)| 176 (21.1)   | 1.94 (1.49, 2.54) | 1.85 (1.38, 2.48) |
| H. pylori positive/CagA high² positive| 223 (26.6)| 288 (34.5)   | 0.96 (0.74, 1.26) | 1.03 (0.77, 1.37) |

AOR, adjusted odds ratio (adjusted for cumulative smoking amount, alcohol intake amount, body mass index, and education level); COR, crude odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

1There are missing data of CagA status for 7 gastric cancer cases and 12 controls.
2Defined as CagA IgG antibody titer <12.5 U/mL among the CagA-positive results.
3Defined as CagA IgG antibody titer ≥12.5 U/mL among the CagA-positive results.

Gene-environmental interaction between PRKAA1 genotypes and HP infection on gastric cancer risk

In order to evaluate the effects of the gene-environmental interaction between PRKAA1 polymorphisms and HP infection on gastric cancer incidence, analysis of their independent and joint effects was performed (Table 4). The OR for the combination for PRKAA1 rs10074991 A/G+G/G genotype and positive HP infection (OR, 1.87; 95% CI: 1.21–2.10) was greater than the ORs for the
Table 3. Associations between five genetic polymorphisms of PRKAA1 and risk of gastric cancer.

| SNP ID | Genotypes | Cases, n (%) | Controls, n (%) | COR (95% CI) | P | AOR (95% CI) | P | FDR¹ |
|-------|-----------|-------------|----------------|-------------|---|-------------|---|------|
| rs10074991 | A/A | 177 (20.9) | 248 (29.3) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.17 (0.79, 1.70) | 0.261 | 0.261 |
| rs13361707 | T/T | 176 (20.8) | 248 (29.3) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.45 (1.15, 1.85) | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| rs154268 | T/C | 421 (49.8) | 424 (50.1) | 1.45 (1.15, 1.85) | 0.003 | 1.45 (1.15, 1.85) | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| rs3805486 | T/C | 335 (39.6) | 370 (42.7) | 1.44 (1.18, 1.76) | 4.00 × 10⁻⁴ | 1.52 (1.21, 1.91) | 3.00 × 10⁻⁴ | 0.001 |
| rs6882903 | C/C | 45 (5.3) | 37 (4.3) | 1.73 (1.07, 2.80) | 0.025 | 1.53 (0.90, 2.62) | 0.119 | 0.119 |

AOR, adjusted odds ratio (adjusted for cumulative smoking amount, alcohol intake amount, body mass index, and education level); COR, crude odds ratio; FDR, false discovery rate (adjusted using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure); CI, confidence interval.

¹FDR-adjusted P-value.

**Discussion**

This study showed that HP infection, CagA status, and PRKAA1 polymorphisms are risk factors for gastric cancer in Koreans; specifically, the additive interaction between two of these factors increases gastric cancer risk. This study is the first to verify that CagA virulence factor-related HP infection and PRKAA1 polymorphisms have significant effects on gastric cancer risk through the gene-environment interactions.

Previous studies have reported that the interaction between the genetic factors of a host and HP infection plays a significant role in gastric cancer carcinogenesis. The SNPs identified in previous studies were located on HP-related genes (IL-1B, IL-10, IL-17, PGC, PTPN1, NOD1, PRKAA1).
Table 4. Effects of interactions between HP infection and five genetic polymorphisms of PRKAA1 on the risk of gastric cancer.

| SNP ID     | Genotypes | HP infection status | OR¹ (95% CI) | OR² (95% CI) for HP-positive within strata of genotype | RERI² (95% CI) | Ratio of ORs³ (95% CI) |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| rs10074991 | A/A       | Negative            | 42/63        | 1.00 (ref.)                                         |                |                        |
|            | A/G+G/G   | Positive            | 135/185      | 1.17 (0.73, 1.87)                                   | 1.17 (0.73, 1.88) | 0.53 (0.03, 1.03) | 1.36 (0.79, 2.34) |
|            | ORs¹ (95% CI) for genotype within strata of HP infection status | 149/186 | 1.17 (0.73, 1.87) | 520/412 | 1.87 (1.21, 2.88) | 1.59 (1.22, 2.08) | P = 0.037 | P = 0.261 |
| rs13361707 | T/T       | Negative            | 42/63        | 1.00 (ref.)                                         |                |                        |
|            | T/C+C/C   | Positive            | 134/185      | 1.17 (0.73, 1.87)                                   | 1.16 (0.72, 1.87) | 0.55 (0.05, 1.04) | 1.38 (0.80, 2.37) |
|            | ORs¹ (95% CI) for genotype within strata of HP infection status | 149/186 | 1.17 (0.73, 1.87) | 521/412 | 1.88 (1.22, 2.89) | 1.60 (1.23, 2.08) | P = 0.030 | P = 0.243 |
| rs154268   | T/T       | Negative            | 100/156      | 1.00 (ref.)                                         |                |                        |
|            | T/C+C/C   | Positive            | 366/389      | 1.59 (1.06, 2.39)                                   | 1.58 (1.17, 2.14) | 0.01 (−0.72, 0.74) | 0.87 (0.54, 1.39) |
|            | ORs¹ (95% CI) for genotype within strata of HP infection status | 91/93 | 1.59 (1.06, 2.39) | 289/208 | 2.18 (1.58, 3.02) | 1.38 (0.96, 1.97) | P = 0.983 | P = 0.549 |
| rs3805486  | T/T       | Negative            | 112/132      | 1.00 (ref.)                                         |                |                        |
|            | T/C+C/C   | Positive            | 364/292      | 1.56 (1.14, 2.13)                                   | 1.56 (1.14, 2.13) | 0.20 (−0.22, 0.62) | 0.91 (0.57, 1.44) |
|            | ORs¹ (95% CI) for genotype within strata of HP infection status | 79/117 | 0.87 (0.58, 1.30) | 291/295 | 1.22 (0.89, 1.68) | 1.40 (0.99, 1.97) | P = 0.458 | P = 0.680 |
| rs6882903  | C/C       | Negative            | 116/173      | 1.00 (ref.)                                         |                |                        |
|            | C/A+A/A   | Positive            | 432/427      | 1.56 (1.03, 2.37)                                   | 1.63 (1.23, 2.17) | 0.24 (−0.10, 0.57) | 0.77 (0.47, 1.25) |
|            | ORs¹ (95% CI) for genotype within strata of HP infection status | 75/176 | 1.56 (1.03, 2.37) | 223/170 | 1.95 (1.41, 2.70) | 1.29 (0.87, 1.92) | P = 0.543 | P = 0.289 |

CI, confidence intervals; HP, Helicobacter pylori; OR, odds ratios; RERI, relative excess risk due to interaction
¹ORs were adjusted for age, sex, cumulative smoking amount, alcohol intake amount, body mass index, and education level.
²RERI > 0 indicates an additive interaction.
³Ratio of ORs measures the interactions on a multiplicative scale.
Table 5. Effects of interactions between HP infection, CagA status, and PRKAA1 rs13361707 polymorphisms on the risk of gastric cancer.

| rs13361707 genotype | HP infection/CagA status | OR\(^1\) (95% CI) for genotype within | OR\(^1\) (95% CI) for both positive within strata of HP infection status | OR\(^1\) (95% CI) for both positive within strata of genotype |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
|                     | Both negative | Positive/negative | Both positive | Positive/negative |
| T/T                 | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.21 (0.63, 2.33) | 1.13 (0.71, 1.78) | 1.21 (0.63, 2.33) | 1.13 (0.71, 1.78) |
| T/C+C/C             | 1.16 (0.75, 1.81) | 2.20 (1.37, 3.54) | 1.81 (1.21, 2.72) | 1.90 (1.31, 2.75) | 1.56 (1.18, 2.05) |
| OR\(^2\) (95% CI)  | 0.83 (0.12, 1.77) | 0.50 (0.30, 0.70) | 0.71 (0.4, 1.27) | 0.50 (0.30, 0.70) |
| Ratio of ORs\(^3\) (95% CI) | 1.54 (0.71, 3.31) | 1.38 (0.79, 2.41) | 0.274 | 0.261 |

OR, odds ratio; RERI, relative excess risk due to interaction.
1 ORs were adjusted for age, sex, cumulative smoking amount, alcohol intake amount, body mass index, and education level.
2 RERI > 0 indicates an additive interaction.
3 Ratio of ORs measures the interactions on a multiplicative scale.

NOD2), carcinogen detoxification-related gene (GSTM1), and cell proliferation-related gene (COX2) [17, 32–38]. In addition, DNA repair-related genes, pattern-recognition receptors, and genes identified in GWASs (PSCA and MUC1) have important roles in gastric cancer carcinogenesis [39–41]. These genes may be involved in various carcinogenic steps, such as initiation, promotion, and progression of gastric cancer. Recently, Kodaman et al. reported that the interaction between genetic ancestries of humans and HP bacteria affects gastric cancer risk, with the risk increasing when in case of genetic mismatch, and decreasing in case of genetic matches [42]. This phenomenon was explained to be a result of genetic co-evolution of humans and HP. Although the HP infection rate in Koreans is relatively high as compared to that in other races [6], the risk (pooled relative risk: 1.69) of HP-related gastric cancer in studies performed in Koreans was not higher than that observed in other races (pooled relative risk: 5.9) [12, 43]. This implies that HP infection is not a strong independent risk factor for gastric cancer, and that the various and complicated interactions with genetic factors strengthen its carcinogenic potency. PRKAA1-related mechanisms associated with gastric carcinogenesis remain unknown. However, several studies consistently reported associations between PRKAA1 rs13361707 and gastric cancer [14, 15, 17–20]. Additionally, a recent meta-analysis concerning PRKAA1 polymorphisms found that the PRKAA1 rs13361707 C allele was strongly associated with risk of noncardia gastric cancer [28]. PRKAA1 rs13361707 is located in intron 1 of the PRKAA1 gene, and the exact function of the SNP has not been determined. PRKAA1 amplification and genetic alteration occur simultaneously along with other oncogenes, such as KRAS, in various solid tumors including gastric cancer [44]. Interestingly, PRKAA1 plays dual roles in carcinogenesis, both as a tumor suppressor that controls mTOR activation and as an oncogene involved in protection of cancer cell viability by maintaining NADPH and ATP levels under metabolic stress conditions [44–46]. Furthermore, AMPK is activated by HP infection in gastric epithelial cells, resulting in inhibition of ROS production and apoptosis in gastric epithelial cells [24, 25]. These findings supported that interactions between PRKAA1 polymorphisms and HP infection play significant roles in gastric carcinogenesis, like presented in this study.

This study also verified that CagA status affects gastric cancer risk through its interaction with the PRKAA1 rs13361707 SNP. Although no studies specifically evaluating this interaction exist, a recent report predicted the biological association between these two entities. HP CagA is involved in carcinogenesis by depleting glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3), thereby inducing Snail-mediated epithelial–mesenchymal transition [47]. Interestingly, GSK3 interacts with and inhibits AMPK under anabolic conditions [48].

In this study, gene-environmental interactions between PRKAA1 SNPs and HP infection were evaluated on additive and multiplicative scales, resulting in one significantly positive additive interaction. Similar to our results, Li et al. reported additive interactions between the NOD2 rs7189226 and HP infection; however, few studies involving a similar design have reported results of interactions on an additive scale. Knol and VanderWeele recommended that all results, both additive and multiplicative in nature, be presented in a study concerning gene-environmental interactions [31], given that additive-scale interactions are important for the evaluation of public health interventions. Therefore, SNPs involved in additive interactions, including those involving PRKAA1 rs13361707, should be...
considered in the evaluation of cost-effective HP-eradication therapies.

In subgroup analyses by cancer location, a significant positive additive interaction between the PRKAA1 rs13361707 genotype and HP infection was observed in cardia gastric cancer (RERI, 1.25; 95% CI: 0.08–2.41; \( P = 0.036 \)), whereas borderline significance was observed in noncardia gastric cancer (RERI, 0.66; 95% CI: −0.11–1.43; \( P = 0.092 \)). However, the pattern of additive interaction was similar (RERI > 0) in both noncardia and cardia gastric cancer. Similarly, a previous Korean study indicated that the association between PRKAA1 rs13361707 and gastric cancer risk did not vary depending on the tumor site [18]. Shakeri et al. observed that HP CagA infection was associated with a significantly increased risk not only for cardia, but for noncardia gastric adenocarcinoma [49]. In contrast, several studies reported that the association between PRKAA1 rs13361707 and gastric cancer risk is stronger in noncardia gastric cancer than in cardia cancer [14, 17]. A comparison based on histological type showed significant additive interactions only in intestinal-type cancer; consistent with our results, Hwang et al. also indicated that the PRKAA1 SNP was more strongly associated with intestinal-type gastric cancer than diffuse-type gastric cancer [16]. In addition, intestinal-type gastric cancer is associated more with HP infection when compared to diffuse-type gastric cancer [50], since stratified analysis for interaction between the PRKAA1 rs13361707 SNP and HP infection according to the anatomic location of cancer and histological type of cancer was considerably low.

In this study, HP infection was associated with an increase in the risk of gastric cancer, which was highest in subjects with a low-positive CagA antibody titer. Similar to our findings, two Japanese cohort studies also reported that a low-seropositive antibody titer for HP or CagA was associated with an increased incidence of gastric cancer [51, 52]. The HP IgG antibody titer reduced and disappeared with the progression from severe gastric mucosal atrophy to gastric cancer [53]. High-positive antibody titers for HP have been observed in individuals with active inflammation, and low-positive titers in those with progressive atrophy of the gastric mucosa, respectively [54]. Hatakeyama has suggested in a “CagA-mediated hit-and-run carcinogenesis” model that CagA-related HP infection was significantly associated with the initiation of gastric carcinogenesis, but the maintenance and progression of gastric cancer was not dependent upon CagA status [55].

This study has some limitations. First, it was impossible to measure the cancer patients’ actual HP infection status prior to gastric cancer development because of our retrospective study design. Second, we did not assess information of HP eradication treatment for both cases and controls group. Risk factors for HP infection in Koreans include being male, of advanced age, and having a low socioeconomic status, all of which are related to hygiene conditions, immune status, and low possibility of HP-eradication therapy [6, 56]. Therefore, this study controlled for these issues by matching and adjusting for each factor. Because gastric cancer patient’s serum samples were collected at the time of diagnosis, it is possible that serological test results become negative as the environment associated with the gastric mucous membrane changes into an environment unsuitable for HP proliferation during gastric cancer progression [43]. Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility that the HP seropositivity rates may have been underestimated especially in gastric cancer patients. However, this misclassification might lead to our results toward the null; nevertheless, we observed the significant independent and interactive association of HP infection and PRKAA1 polymorphisms on gastric cancer risk.

In conclusion, this hospital-based case–control study showed that the additive interaction between HP infection and PRKAA1 polymorphisms are significantly associated with gastric cancer risk in Koreans. These findings support that the gene-environmental interaction between HP infection and host genetic factors plays a pivotal role in gastric carcinogenesis.
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