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**Abstract** Over past ten years a variety of jet-like phenomena were detected in the solar atmosphere, including plasma ejections over a range of coronal temperatures being observed as extreme ultraviolet (EUV) and X-ray jets. We study the possibility for the development of Kelvin–Helmholtz (KH) instability of transverse magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves traveling along an EUV jet situated on the west side of NOAA AR 10938 and observed by three instruments on board *Hinode* on 2007 January 15/16 (Chifor et al., Astron. Astrophys. 481, L57 (2008)). The jet was observed around Log $T_e$ = 6.2 with up-flow velocities exceeded 150 km s$^{-1}$. Using Fe XII $\lambda$186 and $\lambda$195 line ratios, the measured densities were found to be above Log $N_e$ = 11. We have modeled that EUV jet as a vertically moving magnetic flux tube (untwisted and weakly twisted) and have studied the propagation characteristics of the kink ($m = 1$) mode and the higher $m$ modes with azimuthal mode numbers $m = 2, 3, 4$. It turns out that all these MHD waves can become unstable at flow velocities in the range of 112–114 km s$^{-1}$. The lowest critical jet velocity of 112 km s$^{-1}$ is obtained when modeling the jet as compressible plasma contained in an untwisted magnetic flux tube. When the jet and its environments are treated as incompressible media, the critical jet velocity becomes higher, namely 114.8 km s$^{-1}$. A weak twist of the equilibrium magnetic field in the same approximation of incompressible plasmas slightly decreases the threshold Alfvén Mach number, $M_A^{cr}$, and consequently the corresponding critical velocities, notably to 114.4 km s$^{-1}$ for the kink mode and to 112.4 km s$^{-1}$ for the higher $m$ modes. We have also compared two analytically found criteria for predicting the threshold Alfvén Mach number for the onset of KH instability and have concluded that one of them yields reliable values for $M_A^{cr}$. Our study of the nature of stable and unstable MHD modes propagating on the jet shows that in a stable regime all the modes are pure surface waves, while the unstable kink ($m = 1$) mode in untwisted compressible plasma flux tube becomes a leaky wave. In the limit of incompressible media (for the jet and its environment) all unstable modes are non-leaky surface waves.
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1 Introduction

Solar jets are ubiquitous plasma ejecta in the Sun’s atmosphere and are extensively observed in Hα (Roy 1973), Ca II H (Shibata et al. 2007; Chifor et al. 2008a; Nishizuka et al. 2008), EUV (Alexander & Fletcher 1999), and soft X-ray (Shibata et al. 1992) indicating their formation by the multi-temperature plasma (Chifor et al. 2008a). Extreme ultraviolet (EUV) coronal jets are either straight or curved, as well as inverted Y-shaped plasma ejecta observed mostly in the transition region and corona at different temperatures. They may appear in the imaging observations as bright, dark, or...
dark-bright plasma structures as an emission or absorption features in various coronal/TR wavelengths (Chae et al. 1999; Chen et al. 2008; Liu & Kurokawa 2004; Ko et al. 2005; Jiang et al. 2007; Nishizuka et al. 2008). The intra-relationship of the jets at different emissions has been explored in great details, e.g., in the Hα and soft X-ray (Rust et al. 1977; Schmieder et al. 1994; Canfield et al. 1996), Hα and EUV (Schmahl 1981; Chae et al. 1999; Jiang et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2008), and EUV and soft X-ray (Alexander & Fletcher 1999; Kim et al. 2007; Chifor et al. 2008a) emissions, which provides the clues on their exact driving mechanisms. The cool and hot component of the typical coronal jets have been physically explained by various magnetic reconnection based numerical models (Yokoyama & Shibata 1995, 1996).

Kim et al. (2007) have investigated in greater detail the three AR jets simultaneously observed by Hinode X-ray telescope and the Transition Region and Coronal Explorer (TRACE; Handy et al. 1999) and demonstrated that the EUV and soft X-ray components of the coronal jet have similar ballistic speeds, life-time and spatial size. Kim et al. (2007) have observed an active-region jet using various spectral lines formed at different temperatures as observed by Hinode/EIS. They have found simultaneous blue-shift (upflows) up to maximum speed of −64 km s⁻¹ and red-shift (downflows) up to 20 km s⁻¹ at the base of the coronal jet as observed by Hinode/EIS. Moreover, Chifor et al. (2008b) have observed a blue-shift of the active region jet plasma with the apparent velocity of 150 km s⁻¹ and comparatively a weak red-shifted plasma motion at the base of the jet. Chifor et al. (2008a) have reported that the EUV jet was co-spatial and consists of the similar kinematical features as of its soft X-ray counterpart. A similar conclusion has been drawn by Yang et al. (2011) who have presented simultaneous observations of three recurring jets in EUV and soft X-ray emissions that occurred in an active region on 2007 June 5. On comparing their morphological and kinematic characteristics in these two different wavelengths and related emissions, they found that EUV and soft X-ray jets have co-spatial triggering site, similar direction, size, and propulsion speeds. Yang et al. (2011) have also analyzed jet’s spectral properties by using multiple spectral lines as observed by Hinode/EIS. They have found that these jets have temperature in the range of 0.05 to 2.0 MK, while maximum electron densities have been reported as $6.6 \times 10^{10}$ to $3.4 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻³. For each of these observed jets, a blue-shifted component of the plasma and a red-shifted base are simultaneously observed. These observed jets as reported by Yang et al. (2011) have maximum Doppler velocities ranging from 25 to 121 km s⁻¹ for the blue-shifted plasma component, while it is observed in the range of 115 to 232 km s⁻¹ for the red-shifted plasma component.

These observational results are found to be in agreement with the magnetic reconnection driven coronal jet models owing to the direct $j \times B$ force (Yokoyama & Shibata 1995, 1996).

Recently, Chandra et al. (2014) have presented and discussed the multi-wavelength observations of five homologous recurrent EUV solar jets triggered in NOAA AR 11133 on December 11, 2010, which were observed by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) onboard the Solar Dynamic observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012). The speed of these jets lie between 86 and 267 km s⁻¹, and they are triggered in the direction of open field lines as shown by Potential-field Source-surface (PFSS) extrapolations (Schatten et al. 1969; Altschuler & Newkirk 1969; Wang & Sheeley 1992). Cheung et al. (2015) have presented the evolution of four homologous helical recurrent jets triggered from NOAA AR 11793 in the transition region and corona on July 21, 2013 by using the AIA/SDO. These jets have also been observed by the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS: De Pontieu et al. 2014) and the Doppler velocities in these jets have been estimated using the spectral data. They found that one edge of each of these jets was blue-shifted while the opposite edge was red-shifted simultaneously, which indicate that these jets have helical structure with the same sign of the helicity.

Magnetically structured EUV coronal jets that we consider as moving cylindrical magnetic flux tubes likewise other solar flowing structures support the propagation of various kind of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves and oscillations. In the case when the tube plasma density is radially inhomogeneous along with the basic MHD waves, e.g., slow magnetoacoustic, fast magnetoacoustic (kink and sausage), and torsional Alfvén waves, there appear so called continuous slow and Alfvén spectra in the frequency domain where discrete global modes exist (Appert et al. 1974). Rotation, sheared flow velocities and/or ambient magnetic fields as well as resistivity make the wave spectra much more complicated and difficult for analytical treatment. An excellent explanation of all the variety of MHD oscillations and waves in bounded cylindrical plasmas the reader can find in the books by Goedbloed & Poedts (2004) and Goedbloed et al. (2010). A useful review on the nonlinear MHD waves in the solar atmosphere and more specifically in the magnetically structured flux tubes was presented by Ruderman (2006).

On contrary to the case when the solar atmospheric plasma is static and propagating modes are stable, the axial motion of flux tubes leads to a velocity jump at
In this paper, we specify the physical parameters of the jet, the KH instability arises at the interface of two fluid layers that move with different speeds. Flows are general uniform in both the layers, however, the strong velocity shears near the interface region of these two fluids forming a vortex sheet that becomes unstable to the spiral-like perturbations (Zaqarashvili et al. 2015). When a magnetic flux tube moves along its axis then a vortex sheet is evolved near its boundary which may become unstable subjecting to the KH instability in a case when its axial speed exceeds some critical threshold value. This vortex sheet causes the conversion of the directed flow energy into the turbulent energy (e.g., Maslowe 1985).

The interest in exploring the KH instability in the solar atmosphere over the past decade arose from the circumstances that KH vortices were observed in solar prominences (Berger et al. 2010; Ryutova et al. 2010; Martínez-Gómez et al. 2015), in Sweet–Parker current sheets (Loureiro et al. 2013), in a coronal streamer (Feng et al. 2013), and at boundaries of rising coronal mass ejections (Ofman & Thompson 2011; Foullon et al. 2011). Möstl et al. 2013, despite of their existence in variety of astrophysical and geophysical plasmas also (Bondeson et al. 1987; Casanova et al. 2011; Pu & Kivelson 1983; Foullon et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2004; Min & Lee 1996; Zhang & Ge 1989; Keppepen et al. 1999; Miura 1992). All these observations stimulated the modeling of KH instability in moving magnetic flux tubes. Zaqarashvili et al. (2010) have studied the KH instability in twisted flux tubes moving in non-magnetic environment, Soler et al. (2012) in magnetic tubes of partially ionized plasma, Zaqarashvili (2011), Zhelyazkov (2012a), and Ajabshirizadeh et al. (2015) in spicules, Vasheghani Farahani et al. (2009) and Zhelyazkov (2012b) in soft X-ray jets, Zhelyazkov & Zaqarashvili (2012) in photospheric tubes, Zhelyazkov et al. (2015a,b) in high-temperature and cool surges, Zhelyazkov et al. (2015c) in dark mottles, Möstl et al. (2013) and Zhelyazkov et al. (2015d) at the boundary of rising CMEs, and Zaqarashvili et al. (2015) in rotating, tornado-like magnetized jets. A review on modeling the KH instability in solar atmosphere jets (primarily in the limits of homogeneous ideal plasma) the reader can see in Zhelyazkov 2015e and references therein.

In this paper, we study the KH instability in an active region (AR) EUV jet observed with Hinode and detected by Chifor et al. (2008b) in the approximation of compressible plasma (untwisted flux tube) and incompressible plasma (twisted flux tube). In the next section we specify the physical parameters of the jet, the topology of the magnetic fields inside and outside the moving flux tube modeling the jet and also discuss the wave dispersion equations for both geometries (untwisted and twisted tubes, respectively). Section 3 deals with the numerical solving MHD wave dispersion relations, and in Sect. 4 we discuss the conditions under which the KH instability can develop in such moving structures. In the last section we summarize the main results obtained in this article.

2 Basic jet parameters, geometry, and MHD wave dispersion relations

Chifor et al. (2008b) have presented a study of an AR jet observed by the EUV Imaging Spectrometer (EIS) on board Hinode on 2007 January 15/16 (west of NOAA AR 10938). EIS covers two wavelength bands: 170–211 Å and 246–292 Å referred to as the short wavelength (SW) and long wavelength (LW) bands, respectively. The jet was observed at temperatures between 5.4 and 6.4 in Log T_e. In the Ca XVII λ192 window at the location of the up-flow jet component, the O V λ192.90 line was seen next to the λ192.83 line (believed to be Fe xi according to Young et al. (2007)). Chifor et al. (2008b) have observationally captured that there was also O V emissions near the base (the red-shifted plasma component) of the jet, but that could be due to the red-shifted Fe X line. A strong blue-shifted component and the signature of a weak red-shifted plasma component at the base of the jet was observed around Log T_e = 6.2 by Chifor et al. (2008b). The up-flow velocities exceeded 150 km s^{-1}, which is very significant observation. The jet plasma was seen over a wide range of the temperatures between 5.4 and 6.4 in Log T_e. Using Fe XII λ186 and λ195 line ratios, Chifor et al. (2008b) have measured the electron densities above Log N_e = 11 for the high-velocity up-flowing plasma component of the observed coronal jet.

Our choice of jet’s physical parameters are: Log T_i = 6.08 that corresponds to T_i = 1.2 MK (subscript label ‘i’ stands for interior), and Log n_i = 11 that yields electron number density n_i = 1.0 × 10^{11} cm^{-3}. Bearing in mind that this EUV jet is positioned in the TR/outer corona (see Fig. 53(b) in Cheung & Isobe 2014), we assume that AR plasma has a typical temperature T_e = 2 MK (subscript label ‘e’ stands for exterior) and ambient coronal density ρ_e = 1.0 × 10^{-13} g cm^{-3} (Kuridze et al. 2011), or equivalently an electron number density n_e = 5.98 × 10^{10} cm^{-3}. For simplicity we will treat the jet and its environment as ideal plasmas and will consider the densities in both media as homogeneous ones. Moreover, we will neglect the influence of gravity on the wave propagation characteristics because the local gravitational scale length, H ≡ c_s^2/γg
(c_s being the typical sound speed, \(\gamma = 5/3\) the adiabatic index, and \(g = 273.95\) m s\(^{-2}\) the local gravitational acceleration (Priest 2014), in our case equal to 40–60 Mm, is larger than both the height of the jet and the wavelengths of the waves propagating along it. Our choice for the background homogeneous magnetic field in TR/lower corona is \(B_0 = 7G\) (Chae et al. 2003). With a density contrast \(\eta = \rho_e/\rho_i = 0.598\), the sound and Alfvén speeds in both media are: \(c_{si} \approx 128\) km s\(^{-1}\), \(v_{Ai} = 47.6\) km s\(^{-1}\) (more exactly \(v_{Ai} = 47.567\) km s\(^{-1}\)), and \(c_{se} = 166\) km s\(^{-1}\), \(v_{Ae} \approx 62\) km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. We note that the magnetic field inside the jet is \(B_i = 6.899\) G that yields a ratio of both magnetic fields \(b = B_i/B_e = 1.014\). The plasma betas in the jet and its environment are correspondingly \(\beta_i = 8.76\) and \(\beta_e = 8.48\). Thus, we can, in principle, consider both media as incompressible plasmas.

As we already said, the EUV jet is modeled as a moving flux tube in two magnetic configuration: (i) as an untwisted tube with radius \(a\) embedded in a homogeneous magnetic field \(B_i\) and surrounded by coronal plasma immersed in the homogeneous magnetic field \(B_e\) (see the left column in Fig. 1), and (ii) as a weakly twisted flux tube with a homogeneous magnetic field environment \(B_e\) (see the right column in Fig. 1). Our frame of reference is attached to the jet’s environment, therefore the velocity of the moving magnetic flux tube, \(v_0\), has the meaning of a relative velocity if there is any ambient flow. In our cylindrical coordinate system \((r, \phi, z)\), the twisted magnetic field \(B_i = (0, B_{i\phi}(r), B_{i\phi}(r))\) is characterized by the parameter \(\varepsilon = B_{i\phi}/B_{i\phi}\), where both components are evaluated at the inner surface of the tube (in the trivial case of untwisted tube we simply have \(B_i = (0, 0, B_i)\) and, hence, \(\varepsilon = 0\)). In cylindrical equilibrium, the magnetic field \(B_i\) and thermal pressure \(p_i\) satisfy the equilibrium condition in the radial direction

\[
\frac{d}{dr}\left(p_i + \frac{B_i^2}{2\mu}\right) = -\frac{B_{i\phi}^2}{\mu r},
\]

where, \(B_i(r) = (B_{i\phi}^2 + B_{i\phi}^2)^{1/2}\) denotes the strength of the equilibrium magnetic field, and \(\mu\) is the magnetic permeability. We note that in Eq. (1) the total (thermal plus magnetic) pressure gradient is balanced by the tension force (the right-hand side of Eq. (1)) owing to the twisted field. Further on, in studying MHD wave propagation on the moving twisted tube, we will treat the jet’s plasma as incompressible medium and will assume a magnetic fields’ equilibrium with uniform twist, that is, the one for which \(B_{i\phi}/r, B_{i\phi}r\) is a constant. In such a case, the magnetic field inside the tube is \(B_i = (0, Ar, B_{iz})\), where \(A\) and \(B_{iz}\) are constant. For a magnetic flux tube with uniform twist the parameter \(\varepsilon\) has the simple form of \(A\gamma/B_{iz}\), that is, it is a constant. We note also that the aforementioned parameter \(b\) (the ratio of axial magnetic fields) for a uniformly twisted flux tube is obviously \(b_{twist} = B_e/B_{iz}\).

Dispersion relations of MHD waves propagating on cylindrical untwisted and twisted plasma jets are generally well-known and here we will only give their final form—their derivation the reader can see in Zhelyazkov 2012a, Zhelyazkov & Zaqarashvili 2012, Zaqarashvili et al. 2014 and references therein. We recall that all perturbed quantities associated with the waves are proportional to \(\exp[-i(\omega t - m\phi - k_z z)]\), where \(\omega\) is the wave angular frequency, \(m\) the azimuthal wave mode number, and \(k_z\) the axial wavenumber (as usual, we assume that waves propagate in \(z\) direction). The dispersion relation of transverse MHD mode propagating on an untwisted moving magnetic flux tube of compressible plasma surrounded by also compressible fully ionized plasma has the form (Terra-Homen et al. 2003; Nakariakov 2007; Zhelyazkov 2012a)

\[
\rho_e \left(\frac{\omega^2 - k_z^2 v_{Ae}^2}{m_0} + m_0 \frac{I_m(m_0a)}{I_m(m_0a)}\right) = 0,
\]

\[
-k_z v_{Ae} \left(k_z v_{Ae} + \frac{k_z^2 v_{Ae}^2}{m_0} + m_0 \frac{K_m(m_0a)}{K_m(m_0a)}\right) = 0,
\]

where \(v_{Ai,e} = B_{i,e}/\sqrt{\mu_0\rho_{i,e}}\) are the Alfvén speeds in both media, \(I_m\) and \(K_m\) are modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively, and the prime implies differentiation with respect to function argument.
As seen, inside the moving flux tube the wave frequency is Doppler-shifted. The propagation waves are in general surface modes and their attenuation coefficients inside the jet (label ‘i’) and in its environment (label ‘e’) are given by the expression

$$m_0^2 = -\frac{(\Omega^2 - k_x^2 c_s^2)(\Omega^2 - k_z^2 v_{\text{Ai}}^2)}{(c_s^2 + v_{\text{Ai}}^2)(\Omega^2 - k_z^2 v_{\text{Ai}}^2)},$$

where $\Omega = \omega - k \cdot v_0$ is the Doppler-shifted mode frequency, $c_{si,e} = (\gamma p_{i,e}/\rho_{i,e})^{1/2}$ with $\gamma = 5/3$ are the sound speeds, and $v_T$ is so called tube speed defined by the expression [Edwin & Roberts 1983]

$$c_T = \frac{c_s v_A}{\sqrt{c_s^2 + v_{\text{Ai}}^2}}.$$

We note that in the limit of incompressible plasmas ($c_{si,e} \to \infty$) the wave attenuation coefficients in both media become equal to the axial wavenumber $k_z$ and the wave dispersion relation (2) takes the simple form of a quadratic equation, that provides solutions for the real and imaginary part of the wave phase velocity in closed forms [Zhelyazkov 2012b, 2013]:

$$v_{ph} = \frac{\omega}{k_z} = \frac{-M_A B \pm \sqrt{D}}{\eta A - B} v_{\text{Ai}},$$

where $M_A = v_0 / v_{\text{Ai}}$ is the Alfvén Mach number,

$$A = I'_m(k_z a)/I_m(k_z a), \quad B = K'_m(k_z a)/K_m(k_z a),$$

and the discriminant $D$ is

$$D = M_A^2 B^2 - (\eta A - B)(1 - M_A^2 B - Ab^2).$$

Obviously, if $D \geq 0$, then

$$\text{Re}(v_{ph}) = \frac{-M_A B \pm \sqrt{D}}{\eta A - B} v_{\text{Ai}}, \quad \text{Im}(v_{ph}) = 0,$$

else

$$\text{Re}(v_{ph}) = \frac{-M_A B}{\eta A - B} v_{\text{Ai}}, \quad \text{Im}(v_{ph}) = \frac{\sqrt{-D}}{\eta A - B} v_{\text{Ai}}.$$

We point out that our choice of the sign of $\sqrt{-D}$ in the expression for $\text{Im}(v_{ph})$ is plus although, in principle, it might also be minus—in that case, owing to arising instability the wave’s energy is transferred to the jet.

For the kink ($m = 1$) mode one can define, for a static magnetic flux tube, the kink speed [Edwin & Roberts 1983]

$$c_k = \left( \frac{\rho_i v_{\text{Ai}}^2 + \rho_e v_{\text{Ae}}^2}{\rho_i + \rho_e} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{1 + B_z^2/B_i^2}{1 + \rho_e/\rho_i} \right)^{1/2} v_{\text{Ai}},$$

which is independent of sound speeds and characterizes the propagation of transverse perturbations. As we will demonstrate shortly, the kink mode can become unstable against the KH instability.

As one can expect, the dispersion relation of MHD modes propagating on a moving twisted flux tube is more complicated and has the form [Zhelyazkov & Zaqarashvily 2012, Zaqarashvili et al. 2014]

$$\frac{(\Omega^2 - \omega_{\text{Ai}}^2)}{(\Omega^2 - \omega_{\text{Ae}}^2)^2 - 4A^2 \omega_{\text{Ai}}^2/\mu \rho_i} F_m(\kappa_i a) - 2mA \omega_{\text{Ai}}/\sqrt{\mu \rho_i}$$

$$= \frac{\rho_e}{\rho_i} (\omega^2 - \omega_{\text{Ae}}^2) + A^2 P_m(k_z a)/\mu \rho_i,$$

(6)

where

$$\omega_{\text{Ai}} = \frac{mA + k_z B_x}{\sqrt{\mu \rho_i}}$$

and

$$\omega_{\text{Ae}} = \frac{k_z B_x}{\sqrt{\mu \rho_e}} = k_z v_{\text{Ae}},$$

are the local Alfvén frequencies inside the moving flux tube and its environment, respectively,

$$F_m(\kappa_i a) = \frac{\kappa_i I_m'(\kappa_i a)}{I_m(\kappa_i a)},$$

and

$$P_m(k_z a) = \frac{k_z a K_m'(k_z a)}{K_m(k_z a)}.$$

The wave attenuation coefficients in Bessel functions’ arguments in this case have the forms

$$\kappa_i = k_z \left[ 1 - \frac{4A^2 \omega_{\text{Ai}}^2}{\mu \rho_i (\Omega^2 - \omega_{\text{Ai}}^2)^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

and $\kappa_e = k_z$.

We note that in the case of a twisted magnetic flux tube with non-magnetized environment dispersion equation (6) recovers Eq. (13) in Zaqarashvili et al. 2010

3 Numerical solutions to dispersion relations

Both wave dispersion relations (2) and (6) are transcendental equations in which the wave frequency, $\omega$, is a complex quantity: $\text{Re}(\omega) + \text{Im}(\omega)$, where the real and imaginary parts correspond to the frequency and the growth rate of unstable MHD modes, respectively, while the axial wavenumber, $k_z$, is a real variable. We will solve first Eq. (2) governing the wave propagation in an untwisted moving flux tube. The occurrence of the expected KH instability is determined primarily by the jet velocity and in searching for a critical or threshold value of it, we will gradually change velocity magnitude from
zero to that critical value (and beyond). Thus, we have to solve the dispersion relation in complex variables, obtaining the real and imaginary parts of the wave frequency, or as is commonly accepted, of the wave phase velocity $v_{\text{ph}} = \omega/k_z$, as functions of $k_z$ at various values of the velocity shear between the EUV jet and its environment, $v_0$. We emphasize that for our piecewise uniform plasma density profile the singularities of the Alfvénic continuous spectrum that would exist if the density were continuously varying are all concentrated in the point $r = a$ (Goossens et al. 2012). Note that this is true for all modes with $m \neq 0$. Moreover, since we are interested in unstable MHD waves, they must be discrete modes (see Chap. 8 and Fig. 8.4 in Jardin 2010).

It is well known that the normal modes propagating along a bounded homogeneous magnetized cylindrical plasma column can be pure surface waves, pseudosurface (body) waves, or leaky waves (Cally 1986). The type of the wave crucially depends on the ordering of the basic speeds in both media (the column and its surrounding plasma), more specifically sound and Alfvén speeds as well as corresponding tube speeds. Borrowing for a while Cally’s notation (a stands for internal Alfvén speed, $a_e$ for external Alfvén one, $c$ for internal sound speed, and $c_e$ for the external one) the speeds’ ordering in our case is

$$a < a_e < c < c_e.$$  

After normalizing all velocities with respect to the external sound speed, $c_e$, we obtain the dimensionless Alfvén, sound, and tube speeds as follows:

$$A = 0.2865, \quad C = 0.771, \quad A_e = 0.3735,$$

$$C_T = 0.2886, \quad \text{end} \quad C_{Te} = 0.35.$$  

In calculating $C_T$ and $C_{Te}$ we have used $c_{Ti} = 44.59 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $c_{Te} = 58.08 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively. Thus we have the following relations:

$$A < C \quad \text{and} \quad A < C_{Te}, \quad \text{as well as} \quad A < C_{Te} < C.$$  

Now looking at Table I in Cally (1986), we conclude that the kink ($m = 1$) mode must be a non-leaky pure surface mode of type $S^+_\eta$ that implies an externally slow internally fast surface wave. By ‘fast’ and ‘slow’ here, it is meant that the normalized wave phase velocity $V$ is either greater than or less than the lesser of the sound and Alfvén speeds. For an $S^+_\eta$ type wave $V$ should lie between $A$ and $C$: $A \leq V \leq C$, and also $V < C_{Te}$. We note that the first inequalities’ chain in Table I is wrong—the correct one is listed above. It is curious to see whether the numerical solving Eq. (2) will confirm these predictions.

Before starting the numerical task, we have to normalize all variables and to specify the input parameters. Our normalization differs from that of Cally (1986)—we find more convenient the wave phase velocity, $v_{\text{ph}}$, and the other speeds to be normalized with respect to the Alfvén speed inside the jet, $v_{Al}$—Cally’s normalization is inapplicable for the case when the surrounding plasma is considered as an incompressible medium. The wavelength, $\lambda = 2\pi/k_z$, is normalized to the tube radius, $a$, that is equivalent to introducing a dimensionless wavenumber $k_\lambda$. For normalizing the Alfvén speed in the ambient coronal plasma, $v_{Ae}$, we need the density contrast, $\eta$, and the ratio of the magnetic fields $b = B_c/B_i$, to get $v_{Ae}/v_{Al} = b/\sqrt{\eta}$. The normalization of sound speeds in both media requires the specification of the reduced plasma betas, $\beta_{pe} = c_{Ai,e}/v_{Al,e}$. In the dimensionless analysis the flow speed, $v_0$, will be presented by the Alfvén Mach number $M_A = v_0/v_{Al}$.  

The input parameters for solving Eq. (2) are as follows: $\eta = 0.589$, $b = 1.014$, $\beta_e = 7.3$, and $\beta_e = 7.07$; during the calculations we will vary the Alfvén Mach number, $M_A$, from zero (static plasma) to values at which we will obtain unstable solutions. Among the various MHD modes propagating on a cylindrical static/moving magnetic flux tube of compressible plasma surrounded by also compressible medium the most interesting is the kink ($m = 1$) mode because, as previous studies (Andries & Goossens 2001; Vasheghani Farahani et al. 2009; Zhelyazkov & Zaqarashvily 2012; Zaqarashvili et al. 2014) show, namely the kink mode becomes unstable when the jet speed exceeds a critical value. At a static magnetic flux tube ($M_A = 0$), the kink waves propagate with the kink speed, $c_k$ (see Eq. (5)), which in our case is equal to $53.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, or in dimensionless units, 1.1269, i.e., the wave is slightly super-Alfvénic one and $v_{Ae}$ lies between the internal and external Alfvén speeds. This is not surprising because the kink speed (5) is not sensitive to the sound speed—that is why it is more natural $C$ in the inequalities’ chain in Cally’s (Cally 1986) Table I to be replaced by $A_e$. Our numerical calculation confirm that $v_{\text{ph}} < c_{Te}$. In Cally’s dimensionless variables our normalized kink speed of 1.1269 transforms into 0.3228 and we have 0.2865 < 0.3228 < 0.3735 along with 0.3228 < 0.35, that is, in agreement with aforementioned predictions. One can also conclude that the way of normalization is not too decisive—even with our normalization we get the correct inequalities’ chain. Calculated during the solving transcendental Eq. (2) wave attenuation coefficients $n_{0i,e}$ were (for $M_A = 0$) real quantities confirming that the principal kink mode is a pure surface wave.
The flow shifts upwards the kink-speed dispersion curve as well as splits it into two separate curves, which for small Alfvén Mach numbers travel with velocities \( M_A \pm c_k / v_{Ai} \) (Zhelyazkov 2012a). (A similar duplication happens for the tube-speed dispersion curves, too.) At higher \( M_A \), however, the behavior of each curve of the pair \( M_A \pm c_k / v_{Ai} \) is completely different. As seen from the top panel of Fig. 2, for \( M_A \geq 2.33 \) both kink curves merge forming a closed dispersion curve. With increasing \( M_A \) the closed dispersion curves become smaller and narrower and the kink mode becomes unstable at \( M_A^{cr} = 2.354327 \). The growth rates of unstable kink modes are plotted in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. The red curves in all diagrams denote the marginal dispersion/growth rate curves: for \( M_A < M_A^{cr} \) the kink waves are stable, otherwise they are unstable and the instability is of the KH type. With \( M_A^{cr} = 2.354327 \) the kink mode will be unstable if the velocity of the moving flux tube exceeds 112 km s\(^{-1}\)—a speed, which is below the observationally evaluated jet speed of 150 km s\(^{-1}\). It is important to underline that all the stable kink modes in our system are pure surface waves but the unstable ones are not—the latter become leaky waves (their external attenuation coefficients, \( m_{es} \), are complex quantities with positive imaginary parts). This implies that wave energy is radiated outward in the surrounding medium. Thus, the KH instability plays a dual role: once in its nonlinear stage the instability can trigger wave turbulence and simultaneously the propagating KH-wave is radiating its energy outside.
The circumstance that the reduced plasma betas in both media are $\sim 7$, tempts us to consider the moving jet and its surrounding magnetized plasma as incompressible. Then, as it was discussed in Sect. 2, the wave dispersion equation becomes quadratic one giving us the wave phase velocity and its growth rate when the kink mode is unstable in closed forms. Calculated dispersion curves and growth rates are plotted in Fig. 3. One is immediately seen that the picture is very similar to that in Fig. 2. Note that both the dispersion curve of stable kink waves and the growth rate of the marginal unstable wave are shifted to the right. Moreover, the critical Alfvén Mach number now is a little bit higher, $M_A^* = 2.413275$ that yields a critical flow speed of 114.8 km s$^{-1}$. Unlike the unstable kink mode in compressible plasma, that in incompressible limit persists as a non-leaky surface wave—the two attenuation coefficients are real quantities.

When we model the EUV jet as a moving twisted magnetic flux tube two types of instabilities can develop in the jet, notably kink instability due to the twist of the magnetic field and KH instability owing to the tangential discontinuity of plasma flow at the tube boundary. A normal mode analysis [Dungey & Loughhead 1954] and an energy consideration method (calculating the change in magnetic energy per unit length of the cylinder—see Lundquist 1951) yield similar thresholds for a similar curve of the kink instability in twisted magnetic flux tubes in the form $B_{i0}(a) > 2B_{iz}$, or in our notation, as $\varepsilon > 2$. In the following, we will consider only weakly twisted tubes, $\varepsilon \ll 1$, and, therefore, only the KH instability may occur in the exploring jet configuration. In solving Eq. 6 we use the same method as in the case of untwisted tube. The new quantities for normalization that appear here are the local Alfvén frequencies $\omega_{Ai, b}$. The natural way of normalizing $\omega_{Ai}$ is to multiply it by the tube radius, $a$, and after that divide by the Alfvén speed $v_{Ai}$, that is,

$$a\omega_{Ai} = \frac{B_{iz}}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho_i}} \left( m \frac{Aa}{B_{iz}} + k_a a \right),$$

or

$$\frac{a\omega_{Ai}}{v_{Ai}} = m \frac{Aa}{B_{iz}} + k_a a = m\varepsilon + k_a a.$$

Note that here the Alfvén speed is defined via the axial component of the twisted magnetic field, $v_{Ai} = B_{iz}/\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho_i}$. Accordingly, the normalized local Alfvén frequency $\omega_{Ai}$ has the form

$$\frac{a\omega_{Ai}}{v_{Ai}} = k_a a = k_a a b_{\text{twist}} \sqrt{\eta}.$$
The critical flow velocity for emerging KH instability now is \( v_0^\text{cr} = 114.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), calculated by using the ‘reduced’ Alfvén speed \( v_{A0}/\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2} = 47.522 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). It is worth pointing out that the incompressible plasma approximation in general yields slightly higher threshold Alfvén Mach numbers than the model of compressible media. But the value of 114.4 km s\(^{-1}\) is an entirely acceptable and reasonable jet speed. It is instructive to note that the unstable kink \((m = 1)\) mode is a non-leaky surface wave—its external attenuation coefficient, \( \kappa_e = k_z \), is not changed by the instability, but the internal one, \( \kappa_i \), becomes a complex quantity with positive real and imaginary parts. The same applies to the higher fluting-like \((m = 2)\) mode and to the higher \( m \) modes with azimuthal mode numbers 3 and 4.

It is interesting to see what kind of threshold Alfvén Mach numbers we will obtain as consider the excitations of higher MHD mode numbers. Next three figures show the results of numerical computations for the fluting-like \( m = 2 \) mode, the \( m = 3 \), and the \( m = 4 \) mode. Here we have a new phenomenon: the KH instabilities start at some critical \( k_z a\)-numbers along with the corresponding critical Alfvén Mach numbers. As one can see from Figs. 5 and 6, those critical wavenumbers have values of 0.676 trough 1.427 to 2.16099 for the \( m = 2 \), \( m = 3 \), and \( m = 4 \) MHD modes, respectively. If we assume that our EUV jet has a width \( \Delta \lambda = 4000 \text{ km} \), then the corresponding critical wavelengths for a KH instability onset are \( \lambda_{cr}^{m=2} \geq 18.6 \text{ Mm} \), \( \lambda_{cr}^{m=3} \geq 8.8 \text{ Mm} \), and \( \lambda_{cr}^{m=4} \geq 5.8 \text{ Mm} \), respectively. These critical wavelengths are of the order or less than the height of the EUV gets; for instance, Zhang & Ji (2014) observing

---

**Fig. 5** (Top panel) Normalized growth rates of unstable fluting-like \((m = 2)\) MHD mode propagating on a moving twisted flux tube of incompressible plasma at \( \varepsilon = 0.025 \), and for four values of the Alfvén Mach number \( M_A = 2.367, 2.373, 2.381, \) and \( 2.39 \). (Bottom panel) Wave dispersion curves for the same values of \( M_A \). Red curves in both plots correspond to the onset of KH instability.

**Fig. 6** (Top panel) Normalized growth rates of unstable \( m = 3 \) MHD mode propagating on a moving twisted flux tube of incompressible plasma at \( \varepsilon = 0.025 \), and for four values of the Alfvén Mach number \( M_A = 2.365, 2.375, 2.39, \) and \( 2.4 \). (Bottom panel) Wave dispersion curves for the same values of \( M_A \). Red curves in both plots correspond to the onset of KH instability.
Fig. 7  (Top panel) Normalized growth rates of unstable $m = 4$ MHD mode propagating on a moving twisted flux tube of incompressible plasma at $\varepsilon = 0.025$, and for four values of the Alfvén Mach number $M_A = 2.363, 2.37, 2.38,$ and $2.39$.  (Bottom panel) Wave dispersion curves for the same values of $M_A$. Red curves in both plots correspond to the onset of KH instability.

Three jets in the seven extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) filters of the AIA/SDO, have reported jets’ heights between 12.8 and 26.8 Mm; the arithmetic mean diameter of those EUV jets was 3.7 Mm. One expects that the actual wavelengths of occurring KH instabilities of the higher $m$ modes should be shorter than the discussed critical wavelengths. We pay attention to the fact that the threshold Alfvén Mach numbers for observing KH instability in the $m = 2$, $m = 3$, and $m = 4$ modes are very close, equal to 2.367, 2.365, and 2.363, respectively, and they yield critical flow velocities of 112.5, 112.4, and 112.3 km s$^{-1}$. Thus, we can conclude that all studied MHD modes propagating on the EUV jet in equal measure can become unstable against the KH instability—the required flow velocity shear lies between 112 and 114.4 km s$^{-1}$. We note also that the phase velocities of unstable higher $m$ modes are in the range of 72–75 km s$^{-1}$, while those of the kink, $m = 1$, mode lie between 70 and 76.7 km s$^{-1}$ in the case of untwisted jet, and between 71.6 and 81.6 km s$^{-1}$ for a weakly twisted EUV jet. An evaluation of the instability growth rate requires the magnitude of the observed/detected wavelength (or equivalently, the $k_z$-value) and the jet width. For example, if we assume that the KH instability of the $m = 3$ MHD harmonic rises (see Fig. 6) at $k_z a = 1.775$ (or with $\lambda_{KH} \approx 7.1$ Mm, assuming that $\Delta l = 4$ Mm), the corresponding normalized wave phase velocity growth rate is equal to 0.07 that yields a wave growth rate $\text{Im}(\omega_{KH}) \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. Such growth rates, of few inverse milliseconds, were evaluated for the KH instability of high harmonic MHD modes (however, with negative signs of their azimuthal wave mode numbers) in cool surges (see, for instance, Zhelyazkov et al., 2015a). The wave phase velocity of the considered unstable $m = 3$ mode (see the bottom panel in Fig. 6) is equal to $\approx 72.3$ km s$^{-1}$.

4 Discussion

To numerically study the emergence of KH instability of MHD modes propagating on moving magnetic flux tubes (untwisted and twisted ones) that model our EUV jet, we used the well-known dispersion relation of MHD waves traveling on cylindrical compressible plasmas, Eq. (2) along with its simplified form for incompressible plasmas, in an untwisted tube, as well as that for waves running along an incompressible twisted jet surrounded by also incompressible ambient coronal plasma, Eq. (6). Numerical calculations show that for the physical parameters of the observed EUV jet and its environment the kink, $m = 1$, mode become unstable for jet speeds in the range of 112–114.8 km s$^{-1}$—speeds that are within observationally registered speed of 150 km s$^{-1}$. The question that immediately arises is how any change in physical parameters of the jet or its environment will influence the condition for KH instability occurrence. If we decrease, for instance, the electron density of the ambient coronal plasma to $5 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$, making the density contrast $\eta$ equal to 0.5 (that corresponds to a 2-times denser jet than its environment), the pressure balance equation cannot be satisfied for $B_e = 7$ G. If we still want to keep that value, one must reduce the temperature inside the jet—$T_i = 900$000 K is an appropriate choice and we have a new value for the reference Alfvén speed, notably $v_{Ai} = 63.09$ km s$^{-1}$. Performing all the computations with this new $\eta = 0.5$ and $b = 0.765$ (for the untwisted tube we need also of $\beta_i = 3.11$ and $\beta_e = 5.91$) we obtain that the kink, $m = 1$, mode (in both configurations) and harmonic MHD modes in a twisted
magnetic tube with $\varepsilon = 0.025$ can become unstable at flow speeds of $140.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (at $M_A^c = 2.224058$) for the compressible untwisted tube, and $147.5, 143, 141.8$, and $141.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ correspondingly for the $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ modes in the incompressible tube with also incompressible environment. As seen, all these critical speeds are still accessible for our EUV jet. On the other hand, if we choose the background magnetic field to be equal to $10 \text{ G}$ (at $\eta = 0.589$), that would imply that the reference Alfvén speed will increase to $68.457 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the critical jet speed for triggering a KH instability of the kink, $m = 1$, mode in an untwisted jet at $M_A^c = 2.31995$ will become equal to $158.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$—a speed that is inaccessible for our EUV jet. The critical velocities for all modes in the twisted tube turn out to be even higher: they are equal to $164.7, 162, 161.8,$ and $161.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ MHD modes, respectively. This conclusion confirms the famous Chandrasekhar’s statement (Chandrasekhar [1961]) that a longitudinal magnetic field can, in general, stabilize the jet against the KH instability.

In their recent paper, Ajabshirizadeh et al. [2015] exploring the KH instability of the kink (m = 1) mode in Type II spicules performed an interesting plasma and magnetic field parameter study for the instability onset. In the beginning, as an illustration, they investigated the stability/instability status of kink waves traveling along a spicule with a density contrast $\eta = 0.1$ (too low for these kind of chromospheric jets) and a ratio of axial magnetic fields, $b = 0.25$. They modeled the spicule as a cool plasma surrounded by incompressible magnetized plasma. Dispersion curves and growth rates of unstable kink mode for various Alfvén Mach numbers (that is, jet velocities) plotted in their Fig. 1 in many aspects are similar to our Fig. 2. It is necessary, however, to emphasize that the marginal growth rate curve should be refined, notably it is naturally to consider as a marginal growth rate curve the one that touches (at levels in the range of $0.003–0.005$) the $k_z$-axis—notably that curve defines the actual threshold Alfvén Mach number. (The same criticism applies to Fig. 5 in Zhelyazkov [2012a].) We have also spotted that the growth rate curves in Fig. 1 in Ajabshirizadeh et al. [2015] corresponding to Alfvén Mach numbers equal to $3.95$ and $3.97$ are not adequate—our computations with their input parameters show that the dispersion curves associated with these two numbers depict stable kink mode propagation (see the top panel in Fig. 5). The marginal growth rate curve was obtained at $M_A^c = 4.04965$ that yields with a reference Alfvén speed of $40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ a critical jet speed of $162 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, being higher that the maximal Type II spicules’ speed of $150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. On the other hand, their curves plotted in Fig. 4 in Ajabshirizadeh et al. [2015] seem to be correct. One can see, that according to the curve corresponding to $\eta = 0.1$ for $b = 0.25$ the critical Alfvén Mach number, $M_A^c$, must be a little higher than $4$, in fine agreement with our computations. We have checked also that with $\eta = 0.01$ the critical Alfvén Mach numbers corresponding to $b$ equal to $0, 0.36$, and 1 are $11.991254, 12.517196$ (a refined value from Fig. 5 in Zhelyazkov [2012a]), and $15.64737$, respectively, and all they are in good agreement with the highest curve in Fig. 4 in Ajabshirizadeh et al. [2015]. Another interesting observation is the circumstance that the minima of all computed by us ‘v’-shaped (see the red curve in the bottom panel of Fig. 8) growth rate curves were grouped around $k_z a = 1.6$, more specifically $1.609$ at $b = 0, 1.611$ for $b = 0.36$, and $1.623$ with $b = 1$. 

Fig. 8 (Top panel) Dispersion curves of unstable kink (m = 1) MHD mode propagating on a moving untwisted flux tube of cool plasma surrounded by incompressible magnetized plasma at $\eta = 0.1$ and $b = 0.25$ for four values of the Alfvén Mach number $M_A = 4.04965, 4.1, 4.15,$ and $4.2$. Closed dispersion curves correspond to stable kink wave propagation. (Bottom panel) The normalized growth rates for the same values of $M_A$. Red curves in both plots correspond to the onset of KH instability.
Andries & Goossens (2001) were the first to derive a criterion for predicting the rising of KH instability in untwisted magnetic flux tubes (in the limit of cold plasma, $\beta_i = 0$), notably it (instability) occurs if (in our notation)

$$M_A > 1 + b/\sqrt{\eta}. \quad (8)$$

In their paper, Ajabshirizadeh et al. (2015), on using asymptotic presentations of modified Bessel functions, claim that the KH instability will emerge if

$$M_A > \left[\frac{\eta + 1}{\eta} \frac{(b^2 + 1)}{2}\right]^{1/2}. \quad (9)$$

We note that this criterion generalizes the criterion of Holzwarth et al. (2007) applicable for untwisted tube with non-magnetic environment, that is, $B_e = 0$ (see Eq. (5) in Holzwarth et al. 2007). It is intriguing to see how accurately these two criteria predict the threshold/critical Alfvén Mach number for various studies of KH instability in untwisted moving flux tubes over recent years. In Table 1 we present the input data ($\eta$ and $b$) for exploring the KH instability in spicules (Zhelyazkov 2012a, 2013 Ajabshirizadeh et al. 2015), X-ray jets (Vasheghani Farahani et al. 2009 Zhelyazkov 2013), photospheric tubes (Zhelyazkov 2013), and EUV jets (present paper). It is clearly seen that the Andries & Goossens criterion (8) provides a fairly good prediction only in the case when the ratio of axial magnetic fields, $b$, is of the order of 1. The new criterion (9) of Ajabshirizadeh et al. is in any way superior to that of Andries & Goossens—it yields values rather close to the computed ones. Nevertheless, we should not forget that the obtaining of a correct threshold/critical Alfvén Mach number, $M^2_A$, can be done by carefully studying the evolution of the pair of kink-speed dispersion curves with gradually increasing $M_A$ to find the marginal growth rate curve—this procedure sometimes requires many takes during the computation. The final criterion, of course, is the product of $M^2_A$ and the reference Alfvén speed that defines the critical flow velocity for the KH instability onset.

An instability criterion for the case of moving twisted magnetic flux tubes was obtained by analytically solving dispersion equation (6) in long wavelength approximation Zaqarashvili et al. 2014 and it reads in our notation as

$$|m|M^2_A > \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta}\right) \left(|m|b^2_{\text{twist}} + 1\right). \quad (10)$$

This inequality is a generalization of an instability criterion of twisted magnetic tubes with non-magnetic environment that was derived by Zaqarashvili et al. (2010).

It is easy to observe that Eq. (10) contains the case of an untwisted flux tube, in which case $|m| = 1$ and $b_{\text{twist}} \equiv b$—then Eq. (10) coincides with Eq. (9). In other words, Ajabshirizadeh et al. (2015) reinvented in their own way an already published result.

The threshold Alfvén Mach numbers for the kink ($m = 1$) mode and higher $m$ modes ($m = 2–4$) in our EUV jet modeled as a moving twisted magnetic tube according to Eq. (10) are equal to 2.329 for the kink mode and to 2.022, 1.909, and 1.849 for the higher $m$ modes, respectively. In this case of twisted tube the discrepancy between predicted and computed values of the threshold Alfvén Mach number is generally larger than in the case of untwisted tube—now we have 2.329 vs. 2.4068 for the kink ($m = 1$) mode, 2.367 vs. 2.022 for the fluting-like ($m = 2$) mode, 2.365 vs. 1.909 for the $m = 3$ harmonic, and 2.363 vs. 1.849 for the $m = 4$ mode, respectively. We emphasize on the big difference between the shapes of the growth rate curves of the kink mode and those of the higher $m$ modes: while the marginal growth rate curve of kink mode has the typical ‘v’ shape observed in untwisted tubes (compare red curves in the bottom panels of Figs. 2 and 4), the marginal growth rate curves of higher $m$ modes are more or less similar in form, too, but they have a distinctive property, namely they start at some critical $k_z/a$ (or wavelength) numbers gradually shifted to the right on the $k_z$-a-axis (look at the plots in the top panels of Figs. 5–7). For all the MHD modes excited in twisted tubes the wave growth rates are of the order of a few to dozens inverse milliseconds depending on the magnitude of the KH unstable wavelength.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we carried out an exploration of the possibility for the development of KH instability in an EUV solar jet observed in an active coronal region. We modeled the jet as a moving magnetic flux tube in two geometries, notably first as a cylindrical untwisted tube and after that as a weakly uniformly twisted magnetic tube. The twist of the magnetic field $B_i$ inside the tube is characterized by the twist parameter $\varepsilon$, defined as the ratio of azimuthal to axial components of $B_i$ evaluated at the boundary $r = a$ of the tube. The ambient coronal magnetic field, $B_e$, is supposed to be homogeneous, as are the electron densities $\rho_i$ and $\rho_e$, in both media, the jet and its environment. The jet flow velocity, $v_0$, being constant in radial direction, exhibits a jump at the tube boundary that may result in emergence of the KH instability under a specific critical value of the jet speed. The primary goal of our
study was to establish whether the EUV jet under consideration (whose flowing velocity is 150 km s$^{-1}$) can become unstable against KH instability. Our, largely numerical, analysis is based on solving the dispersion relations of various MHD modes propagating along the moving tube (be it untwisted or twisted). We have used well-known wave dispersion relations derived from the governing equations of ideal magnetohydrodynamics (see, e.g., Freidberg 2014) in two approximations: of compressible plasmas for the untwisted magnetic tube and in the incompressible limit for the moving twisted tube. In searching for the instability conditions, we assumed that the wave frequency, $\omega$, is complex, and by numerically solving the appropriate dispersion relations in complex variables by varying the flow velocity (that is, the Alfvén Mach number, $M_A = v_0/v_{Ai}$, in the dimensionless form of wave dispersion equations (2) and (6)) we have found so called marginally dispersion and growth rates curves that determine the instability onset. The threshold/critical Alfvén Mach number, associated with the marginal curves, yields the seeking critical velocity, $v^{\text{cr}}_0 = M_A^{\text{cr}} v_{Ai}$. We have obtained that all studied MHD modes are subject to the KH instability at speeds below the observationally registered speed of 150 km s$^{-1}$. The lowest critical velocity of 112 km s$^{-1}$ is that of the kink, $m = 1$, mode traveling on an untwisted flux tube of compressible plasma—that velocity of the same mode, but for incompressible plasma both in untwisted and weakly twisted magnetic tube ($\varepsilon = 0.025$) turns out to be higher (=114.8 km s$^{-1}$ in the untwisted tube and 114.4 km s$^{-1}$ in twisted one). In a twisted moving tube the KH instability of higher $m$ modes, $m = 2-4$, MHD modes may occur if the EUV jet speed is equal to $\approx$112.4 km s$^{-1}$. Obviously, there is no big differences between all these critical velocities irrespective of the magnetic tube geometry and excited MHD modes.

KH unstable modes are generally surface waves but their type crucially depends on the ordering of Alfvén and sound speeds in the jet and its environment (Callen 1986). The kink ($m = 1$) mode propagating on a moving untwisted magnetic flux tube of compressible plasma (the model of our EUV jet) being initially, for $0 \leq M_A < M_A^{\text{cr}}$, a pure surface wave becomes a leaky wave when $M_A \geq M_A^{\text{cr}}$. By contrast, in the incompressible limit, the unstable kink modes both in untwisted and twisted flux tubes are non-leaky surface waves. This observation shows once again that the plasma compressibility plays an important role in studying waves and instabilities in bounded magnetized plasmas.

A hint in looking for the instability conditions for the excitation of KH instability of various MHD modes in moving tubes, one may use the instability criterion (10) that we present here in its original form (see Eq. (30) in Zaqarashvili et al. 2014)

$$m|\varepsilon|^{2} > \left(1 + \frac{\rho_{i}}{\rho_{e}}\right)\left(|m|\frac{B_{e}^{2}}{B_{i}^{2}} + 1\right).$$

Recall that in the case of untwisted magnetic flux tube $|m| = 1$ and $B_{i} = B_{e}$. Although this inequality provides sometimes rather good predicting values of $M_A^{\text{cr}}$, the best way to obtain the actual threshold value is to carefully study the evolution of wave dispersion curves with gradually increasing (or, better, decreasing) $M_A$ in the neighborhood of its predicted critical value.

When the jet is modeled as an untwisted moving flux tube, it is preferable to solve dispersion equation (2) for compressible plasma because it yields the lowest $M_A^{\text{cr}}$. KH vortices occurring during the nonlinear evolution of the KH instability can be considered as one of the important sources of MHD wave turbulence in solar jets (van Ballegooijen et al. 2011; Asgari-Targhi et al. 2013). EUV jets, with their typical physical characteristics, and, most importantly, their flow speeds, can be considered as a bridge between, say, the surges and Type II spicules from one side, and the soft X-rays jets and CMEs from the other side. In any case, the EUV jets, especially those emerging from coronal holes, might contribute to supplying energy and material in the solar wind. In that respect, their study using

| Table 1 Predicted and computed critical Alfvén Mach numbers |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Paper           | Input data      | $M_A$ from Eq. (8) | $M_A$ from Eq. (9) | $M_A$ computed |
| Vasheghani et al. (2009) | $\eta = 0.13$, $b \approx 1.04$ | 3.871 | 4.243 | 4.47 |
| Zhelyazkov 2012a | $\eta = 0.01$, $b = 0.36$ | 4.6 | 10.681 | 12.517196 |
| Zhelyazkov 2013 | $\eta = 0.02$, $b = 0.35$ | 3.475 | 7.566 | 8.85925 |
| Zhelyazkov 2013 | $\eta = 2.00$, $b = 0.07$ | 1.049 | 1.228 | 1.2523295 |
| Zhelyazkov 2013 | $\eta = 0.13$, $b \approx 1.04$ | 3.871 | 4.243 | 4.2485 |
| Ajabshirizadeh et al. (2015) | $\eta = 0.10$, $b = 0.25$ | 1.791 | 3.419 | 4.04965 |
| This paper      | $\eta \approx 0.60$, $b = 1.01$ | 2.312 | 2.329 | 2.354327 |
| This paper      | $\eta = 0.50$, $b = 0.76$ | 1.823 | 2.18 | 2.224058 |
| This paper      | $\eta \approx 0.60$, $b = 1.00$ | 2.311 | 2.320 | 2.31995 |
more sophisticated models considering inhomogeneity, viscosity, gravity, flow velocity and/or magnetic field shear, and nonlinearity effects can significantly improve our understanding of the jet-like phenomena in the solar dynamic atmosphere.
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