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Abstract

The effect of substitution on the potential energy surfaces of \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{AsR} \) (\( \text{E}_{13} = \text{group 13 elements; } R = \text{F, OH, H, CH}_3, \text{and SiH}_3 \)) is determined using density functional theory (M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP, and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp). The computational studies demonstrate that all triply bonded \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{AsR} \) species prefer to adopt a bent geometry that is consistent with the valence electron model. The theoretical studies also demonstrate that \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{AsR} \) molecules with smaller substituents are kinetically unstable, with respect to the intramolecular rearrangements. However, triply bonded \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{AsR} \) species with bulkier substituents (\( \text{R}' = \text{SiMe(SiBu}_3)_2, \text{SiIPrDis}_2, \text{and NHC} \)) are found to occupy the lowest minimum on the singlet potential energy surface, and they are both kinetically and thermodynamically stable. That is to say, the electronic and steric effects of bulky substituents play an important role in making molecules that feature an \( \text{E}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) triple bond as viable synthetic target.
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1. Introduction

In the past two decades, studies that have been performed by many synthetic chemists have successfully synthesized and characterized homonuclear heavy alkyne-like \( \text{RE}_{14} \equiv \text{E}_{14} \text{R} \) (\( \text{E}_{14} = \text{Si, Ge, Sn, and Pb} \)) molecules [1–23]. Recently, heteronuclear ethyne-like compounds, \( \text{RC} \equiv \text{E}_{14} \text{R} \), have also been experimentally studied [24, 25, 26] and theoretically predicted [27, 28, 29].
However, from the valence electron viewpoint, \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{E}_{15} \) (\( \text{E}_{13} \) = group 13 elements and \( \text{E}_{15} \) = group 15 elements) is isoelectronic with the \( \text{RE}_{14} \equiv \text{E}_{14} \) species. Therefore, triply bonded \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{E}_{15} \) is the next synthetic challenge. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, only \( \text{R}_2\text{BN} \) molecules that contain a B=\( \text{N} \) triple bond have been experimentally demonstrated to exist [30–40].

2. Theoretical methods

This chapter reports the possible existence of triply bonded \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) molecules, from the viewpoint of the effect of substituents, using density functional theories (DFT): M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP, and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp for small substituents and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp//RHF/3-21G* for large substituents. It is hoped that this theoretical study will stimulate further research into the synthetic chemistry of triply bonded \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) species.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Small ligands on substituted \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \)

The effect of the electronegativity of six types of small substituents (\( \text{R} = \text{F}, \text{OH}, \text{H}, \text{CH}_3, \) and \( \text{SiH}_3 \)) on the stability of the triply bonded \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) molecules is determined using the three DFT methods. The molecular properties (geometrical parameters, singlet-triplet energy splitting, natural charge densities, binding energies (BE), and the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI)) are all listed in Tables 1–5. The reaction profiles for the unimolecular rearrangement reactions for the \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) compounds are also given in Figures 1–5.

There are three noteworthy features of Tables 1–5 and Figures 1–5.

1. From the tables, the three DFT calculations show that the triple bond distances (Å) for \( \text{B} \equiv \text{As}, \text{Al} \equiv \text{As}, \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As}, \text{In} \equiv \text{As}, \) and \( \text{TI} \equiv \text{As} \) are estimated to be 1.835–1.908 (Table 1), 2.218–2.358 (Table 2), 2.239–2.364 (Table 3), 2.404–2.546 (Table 4), and 2.426–2.570 (Table 5). As previously mentioned, no experimental values for these triple bond lengths have been reported, so these computational data are a prediction.

2. In Tables 1–5, these DFT computations all demonstrate that the triply bonded \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) molecules favor a bent structure, rather than a linear structure. This is explained by the bonding model, as shown in Figure 6. Because there is a significant difference between the sizes of the valence s and p atomic orbitals in the As atom, hybrid orbitals between the valence s and p orbitals are not easily formed (the so-called orbital non-hybridization effect or the inert s-pair effect) [41–44]. Therefore, \( \text{RE}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) molecules that have a heavier As center are predicted to favor a bent angle \( \angle \text{E}_{13} \equiv \text{As} \equiv \text{R} \) (close to 90°). The DFT computational data that are shown in Tables 1–5 confirm this prediction.
Table 1. The main geometrical parameters, the singlet-triplet energy splitting (ΔE_{ST}), the natural charge densities (Q_{B} and Q_{As}), the binding energies (BE), and the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI) for RB≡AsR using the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP (in round brackets), and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp (in square brackets) levels of theory.

| R  | F  | OH   | H    | CH3  | SiH3  |
|----|----|------|------|------|-------|
| B≡As (Å) | 1.901 | 1.892 | 1.837 | 1.839 | 1.814 |
|     | (1.898) | (1.888) | (1.835) | (1.839) | (1.820) |
|     | [1.908] | [1.906] | [1.849] | [1.861] | [1.839] |
| ∠R–B–As (°) | 177.2 | 179.5 | 178.1 | 175.1 | 175.3 |
|     | (177.8) | (179.5) | (174.6) | (175.1) | (172.4) |
|     | [177.0] | [179.1] | [177.5] | [174.3] | [174.8] |
| ∠B–As–R (°) | 93.03 | 92.73 | 81.22 | 94.69 | 68.92 |
|     | (92.71) | (92.21) | (89.39) | (94.69) | (68.98) |
|     | [92.39] | [92.95] | [78.37] | [96.15] | [72.25] |
| ∠R–B–As–R (°) | 180.0 | 179.8 | 180.0 | 179.8 | 148.7 |
|     | (180.0) | (180.0) | (180.0) | (179.8) | (180.0) |
|     | [180.0] | [176.2] | [179.0] | [176.3] | [179.4] |
| Q_{B} | 0.354 | 0.184 | −0.017 | −0.007 | 0.037 |
|     | (0.262) | (0.108) | (−0.028) | (−0.057) | (0.036) |
|     | [0.232] | [0.070] | [−0.106] | [−0.160] | [−0.407] |
| Q_{As} | 0.243 | 0.080 | −0.152 | −0.073 | −0.085 |
|     | (0.255) | (0.097) | (−0.134) | (−0.040) | (−0.017) |
|     | [0.238] | [0.086] | [0.034] | [−0.035] | [0.030] |
| BE (kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) | 63.56 | 56.97 | 114.7 | 94.39 | 79.90 |
|     | (63.34) | (60.28) | (120.1) | (137.6) | (74.75) |
|     | [57.45] | [55.28] | [113.7] | [132.6] | [73.79] |
| WBI | 1.800 | 1.830 | 2.141 | 2.027 | 2.204 |
|     | (1.813) | (1.823) | (2.158) | (2.029) | (2.168) |
|     | [1.835] | [1.836] | [2.135] | [2.041] | [2.185] |

1 The natural charge density on the B atom.
2 The natural charge density on the As atom.
3 BE = E(triplet state for R–B) + E(triplet state for R–As) − E(singlet state for RB≡AsR).
4 The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the B–As bond; see [45, 46].
In terms of the stability of the RE\(_{13}\equiv\text{AsR}\) species, the three DFT computations are used to study the energy surfaces for the RE\(_{13}\equiv\text{AsR}\) systems, and the theoretical results are shown in Figures 1–5. These figures show three local minima (i.e., R\(_{13}\equiv\text{As}, \text{RE}\(_{13}\)\equiv\text{AsR}, \text{E}\(_{13}\)\equiv\text{AsR}\)) and two saddle points that connect them. It is seen that regardless of the type of small substituent, triply bonded RE\(_{13}\equiv\text{AsR}\) molecules are unstable on the potential energy surfaces, so they easily undergo a 1,2-migration reaction to produce the most stable doubly bonded isomers. There is strong theoretical evidence that there is no possibility of observing triply bonded RE\(_{13}\equiv\text{AsR}\) compounds in transient intermediates or even in a matrix.

### Table 2.
The main geometrical parameters, the singlet-triplet energy splitting (Δ\(_{E}\)), the natural charge densities (Q\(_{\text{Al}}\) and Q\(_{\text{As}}\)), the binding energies (BE), and the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI) for RAl\(_{13}\equiv\text{AsR}\) using the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP (in round brackets), and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp (in square brackets) levels of theory.

| R        | F   | OH  | H   | CH3  | SiH3 |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Al\equiv\text{As} (Å) | 2.327 | 2.321 | 2.218 | 2.253 | 2.227 |
|          | (2.325) | (2.323) | (2.221) | (2.256) | (2.236) |
|          | [2.355] | [2.358] | [2.269] | [2.285] | [2.292] |
| ∠R–Al–As (°) | 178.6 | 174.4 | 172.5 | 172.8 | 168.4 |
|          | (179.5) | (174.3) | (172.2) | (172.0) | (167.3) |
|          | [178.8] | [173.9] | [177.5] | [171.1] | [173.7] |
| ∠Al–As–R (°) | 93.07 | 91.08 | 66.95 | 98.77 | 91.93 |
|          | (93.51) | (92.45) | (67.45) | (100.7) | (95.83) |
|          | [90.64] | [90.97] | [75.97] | [100.5] | [90.36] |
| ∠R–Al–As–R (°) | 180.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 | 174.2 | 174.7 |
|          | (179.8) | (178.5) | (179.6) | (176.8) | (175.7) |
|          | [180.0] | [179.0] | [178.0] | [174.5] | [176.8] |
| Q\(_{\text{Al}}\) \(_{1}\) | 0.555 | 0.4574 | 0.2401 | 0.293 | 0.291 |
|          | (0.530) | (0.443) | (0.234) | (0.280) | (0.313) |
|          | [0.784] | [0.540] | [0.504] | [0.333] | [0.245] |
| Q\(_{\text{As}}\) \(_{2}\) | 0.158 | 0.015 | -0.276 | -0.170 | -0.262 |
|          | (0.142) | (-0.007) | (-0.246) | (-0.156) | (-0.209) |
|          | [0.056] | [-0.032] | [-0.209] | [-0.284] | [-0.290] |
| BE (kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) \(_{3}\) | 33.90 | 28.23 | 71.86 | 56.47 | 53.22 |
|          | (38.90) | (31.24) | (77.42) | (60.57) | (54.98) |
|          | [33.89] | [25.68] | [69.27] | [52.63] | [67.74] |
| WBI \(_{4}\) | 1.532 | 1.523 | 1.714 | 1.649 | 1.647 |
|          | (1.567) | (1.553) | (1.742) | (1.679) | (1.675) |
|          | [1.557] | [1.545] | [1.714] | [1.690] | [1.550] |

---

1. The natural charge density on the Al atom.
2. The natural charge density on the As atom.
3. BE = E(triplet state for R–Al) + E(triplet state for R–As) − E(singlet state for RAl\(_{13}\)≡AsR).
4. The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the Al–As bond: see [45, 46].
3.2. Large ligands on substituted \( R' \equiv \text{As} \)

Bulky substituents are used to determine the possible existence of triply bonded \( R' \equiv \text{As} \) molecules. The molecular properties, the natural bond orbital (NBO) \( ^{45, 46} \), and the natural resonance theory (NRT) \( ^{47, 48, 49} \) analyses of \( R' \equiv \text{As} \) are computed at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp//RHF/3-21G* level of theory, and the results are shown in Tables 6, 7 (\( R' \equiv \text{As} \)), 8, 9 (\( R' \equiv \text{As} \)), 10, 11 (\( R' \equiv \text{As} \)), 12, 13 (\( R' \equiv \text{As} \)), and 14 and 15 (\( R' \equiv \text{As} \)).

### Table 3.

| \( R \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | \( \text{Ga-As-R} (\text{Å}) \) | \( \text{Ga-As-R} (\text{Å}) \) | \( \text{Ga-As-R} (\text{Å}) \) | \( \text{Ga-As-R} (\text{Å}) \) | \( \text{Ga-As-R} (\text{Å}) \) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 2.319 | 2.314 | 2.224 | 2.243 | 2.242 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 178.5 | 177.4 | 178.6 | 173.6 | 179.1 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 92.80 | 93.16 | 76.00 | 103.0 | 93.43 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 180.0 | 178.1 | 179.1 | 178.4 | 175.6 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 0.7067 | 0.592 | 0.310 | 0.4451 | 0.3352 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 0.0899 | -0.047 | -0.374 | -0.256 | -0.3697 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 28.56 | 23.82 | 67.79 | 53.57 | 49.26 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 0.154 | 0.023 | -0.262 | -0.151 | -0.222 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 1.476 | 1.498 | 1.691 | 1.648 | 1.646 |
| \( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \) (Å) | 1.486 | 1.503 | 1.717 | 1.652 | 1.596 |

1 The natural charge density on the Ga atom.
2 The natural charge density on the As atom.
3 BE = E(triplet state for \( R' \equiv \text{Ga} \)) + E(triplet state for \( R' \equiv \text{As} \)) − E(singlet state for \( R' \equiv \text{Ga-As} \)).
4 The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the Ga–As bond: see \( ^{45, 46} \).
| R       | F  | OH | H   | CH3 | SiH3 |
|---------|----|----|-----|-----|------|
| In≡As (Å) | 2.511 | 2.512 | 2.412 | 2.431 | 2.411 |
| (2.495) | (2.497) | (2.399) | (2.418) | (2.404) |
| [2.535] | [2.546] | [2.432] | [2.459] | [2.444] |
| ∠R–In–As (°) | 179.9 | 178.8 | 179.3 | 173.6 | 170.9 |
| (179.9) | (176.9) | (179.9) | (173.3) | (168.4) |
| [177.8] | [175.2] | [179.8] | [172.5] | [167.4] |
| ∠In–As–R (°) | 92.32 | 95.31 | 81.43 | 99.72 | 93.85 |
| (93.86) | (96.11) | (82.67) | (100.4) | (99.59) |
| [91.08] | [94.22] | [82.88] | [100.5] | [102.0] |
| ∠R–In–As–R (°) | 180.0 | 169.3 | 177.3 | 174.7 | 177.1 |
| (180.0) | (166.8) | (175.9) | (173.0) | (177.4) |
| [180.0] | [163.8] | [179.6] | [179.8] | [178.2] |
| Qₐ,₁ | 1.288 | 1.233 | 1.012 | 1.144 | 0.8840 |
| (1.196) | (1.123) | (0.912) | (1.037) | (0.7881) |
| [1.343] | [1.287] | [1.076] | [1.121] | [0.9682] |
| Qₐ,₂ | 0.138 | 0.036 | −0.624 | −0.388 | −0.767 |
| (0.146) | (0.047) | (−0.571) | (−0.335) | (−0.703) |
| [0.077] | [−0.005] | [−0.591] | [−0.367] | [−0.748] |
| BE (kcal mol⁻¹) | 22.14 | 18.30 | 55.63 | 53.87 | 57.82 |
| (19.72) | (20.13) | (60.95) | (50.24) | (57.34) |
| [24.06] | [16.22] | [57.18] | [53.36] | [54.39] |
| WBI | 1.536 | 1.551 | 1.773 | 1.719 | 1.726 |
| (1.546) | (1.554) | (1.798) | (1.738) | (1.749) |
| [1.572] | [1.562] | [1.780] | [1.729] | [1.710] |

1 The natural charge density on the In atom.
2 The natural charge density on the As atom.
3 BE = E(triplet state for R=In) + E(triplet state for R=As) − E(singlet state for RIn≡AsR).
4 The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the In–As bond, see [45, 46].

Table 4. The main geometrical parameters, the singlet-triplet energy splitting (ΔEₛₜ), the natural charge densities (Qᵦ, and Qₐ,₂), the binding energies (BE), and the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI) for RIn≡AsR using the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP (in round brackets), and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp (in square brackets) levels of theory.
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| R          | F   | OH   | H     | CH₃   | SiH₃   |
|------------|-----|------|-------|-------|--------|
| Tl≡As (Å)  | 2.535 | 2.531 | 2.426 | 2.446 | 2.431 |
|            | (2.533) | (2.536) | (2.428) | (2.450) | (2.432) |
|            | [2.558] | [2.570] | [2.429] | [2.459] | [2.433] |
| ∠R–Tl–As (°) | 179.9 | 178.2 | 180.0 | 176.6 | 176.5 |
|            | (179.9) | (175.8) | (179.5) | (175.0) | (173.4) |
|            | [179.2] | [177.0] | [179.5] | [173.8] | [177.7] |
| ∠Tl–As–R (°) | 91.49 | 94.88 | 84.22 | 97.14 | 90.08 |
|            | (93.64) | (96.73) | (84.51) | (99.33) | (93.68) |
|            | [92.21] | [96.20] | [84.07] | [99.33] | [89.37] |
| ∠R–Tl–As–R (°) | 180.0 | 175.5 | 173.0 | 178.0 | 179.2 |
|            | (179.3) | (176.7) | (178.1) | (178.2) | (178.5) |
|            | [180.0] | [172.9] | [179.6] | [177.6] | [177.2] |
| Qₜ₁       | 0.736 | 0.640 | 0.3883 | 0.482 | 0.3051 |
|            | (0.656) | (0.538) | (0.352) | (0.428) | (0.382) |
|            | [0.817] | [0.549] | [0.472] | [0.361] | [0.244] |
| Qₐ₂       | 0.190 | 0.035 | −0.4169 | −0.251 | −0.3290 |
|            | (0.163) | (0.013) | (−0.351) | (−0.208) | (−0.291) |
|            | [0.139] | [0.021] | [−0.204] | [−0.273] | [−0.336] |
| BE (kcal mol⁻¹)³ | 13.48 | 10.36 | 50.28 | 38.25 | 29.93 |
|            | (16.73) | (13.88) | (55.13) | (43.44) | (30.60) |
|            | [15.13] | [8.720] | [49.40] | [37.22] | [45.10] |
| WBI⁴       | 1.109 | 1.148 | 1.456 | 1.382 | 1.409 |
|            | (1.143) | (1.174) | (1.492) | (1.416) | (1.407) |
|            | [1.168] | [1.175] | [1.484] | [1.413] | [1.411] |

1 The natural charge density on the Tl atom.
2 The natural charge density on the As atom.
3 BE = E(triplet state for R–Tl) + E(triplet state for R–As) − E(singlet state for RTl≡AsR).
4 The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the Tl–As bond, see [45, 46].

Table 5. The main geometrical parameters, the singlet-triplet energy splitting (ΔEᵣₑ), the natural charge densities (Qₜ and Qₐ), the binding energies (BE), and the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI) for RTl≡AsR using the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP (in round brackets), and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp (in square brackets) levels of theory.
Figure 1. The relative Gibbs free energies for RB ≡ AsR (R = F, OH, H, CH$_3$, and SiH$_3$). All energies are in kcal/mol and are calculated at the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP, and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp levels of theory.
Figure 2. The relative Gibbs free energies for RAl≡AsR (R = F, OH, H, CH₃, and SiH₃). All energies are in kcal/mol and are calculated at the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP, and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp levels of theory.
Figure 3. The relative Gibbs free energies for \( R\text{Ga} = \text{AsR} \) (\( R = \text{F}, \text{OH}, \text{H}, \text{CH}_3, \) and \( \text{SiH}_3 \)). All energies are in kcal/mol and are calculated at the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP, and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp levels of theory.
Figure 4. The relative Gibbs free energies for RIn≡AsR (R = F, OH, H, CH$_3$, and SiH$_3$). All energies are in kcal/mol and are calculated at the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP, and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp levels of theory.
Figure 5. The relative Gibbs free energies for RTl≡AsR (R = F, OH, H, CH$_3$, and SiH$_3$). All energies are in kcal/mol and are calculated at the M06-2X/Def2-TZVP, B3PW91/Def2-TZVP, and B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp levels of theory.
The results in Tables 6–15 allow three conclusions to be drawn.

1. The calculations that are shown in Tables 6 (B), 8 (Al), 10 (Ga), 12 (In), and 14 (Tl) show that the computed \( E_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) triple bond distances (Å) for these bulkily substituted species (\( \text{R'}E_{13} \equiv \text{AsR'} \)) are estimated to be 1.821–1.837 (\( \text{B} \equiv \text{As} \)), 2.257–2.307 (\( \text{Al} \equiv \text{As} \)), 2.252–2.316 (\( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \)), 2.430–2.482 (\( \text{In} \equiv \text{As} \)), and 2.565–2.653 (\( \text{Tl} \equiv \text{As} \)). The values for the WBO that are shown in Tables 6–10 (for bulky ligands) are obviously greater than those that are shown in Tables 1–5 (for smaller ligands). These WBO values show that bulkier substituents increase the bond order for the \( E_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) triple bond length.

2. Similarly to the results for small ligands, the computational results show that \( \text{R'}E_{13} \equiv \text{AsR'} \) species that feature large substituents all adopt a bent conformation. This phenomenon is explained by bonding model (II), which is shown in Figure 6.

3. The NBO values that are shown in Tables 7 (\( \text{B} \equiv \text{As} \)), 9 (\( \text{Al} \equiv \text{As} \)), 11 (\( \text{Ga} \equiv \text{As} \)), 13 (\( \text{In} \equiv \text{As} \)), and 15 (\( \text{Tl} \equiv \text{As} \)) show that the acetylene-like \( \text{R'}E_{13} \equiv \text{AsR'} \) compounds feature a weak triple bond. For example, the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp data for the NBO analyses of the \( \text{B} \equiv \text{As} \) π bonding in \( \text{SiPrDis}_{2} \equiv \text{B} \equiv \text{As} \equiv \text{SiPrDis}_{2} \), which shows that NBO(\( \text{B} \equiv \text{As} \)) = 0.5880(2s2p0.00)B + 0.8089(4s4p1.00)As, provide strong evidence that the predominant bonding interaction between the B–\( \text{SiPrDis}_{2} \) and the As–\( \text{SiPrDis}_{2} \) units results from 2p(\( \equiv \text{B} \)) ← 4p(\( \equiv \text{As} \)) donation, whereby boron’s electron deficiency and π bond polarity are partially balanced by the donation of the arsenic lone pair into the empty boron p orbital to develop a hybrid π bond. The polarization analyses using the NBO model again demonstrate the presence of the \( \text{B} \equiv \text{As} \) π bonding orbital, 34.58% of which is composed of natural B orbitals and 65.42% of which is natural As orbitals. Table 7 also shows that the \( \text{B} \equiv \text{As} \) triple bond in \( \text{SiPrDis}_{2} \equiv \text{B} \equiv \text{As} \equiv \text{SiPrDis}_{2} \) has a shorter single bond character (6.04%) and a shorter triple bond character (36.74%), but a greater double bond character (57.2%), because the ionic part of the NRT bond order (0.53) is shorter than its covalent part (1.71). The same theoretical observations are also seen for the other two differently substituted \( \text{R'} \equiv \text{AsR'} \) compounds, as shown in Table 7, and in the data for the other \( \text{R'}E_{13} \equiv \text{BiR'} \) compounds that is shown in Tables 9 (\( \text{Al} \)), 11 (\( \text{Ga} \)), 13 (\( \text{In} \)), and 15 (\( \text{Tl} \)). These computational data demonstrate that these \( \text{R'}E_{13} \equiv \text{AsR'} \) molecules have a weak \( E_{13} \equiv \text{As} \) triple bond.

![Scheme 1. Three bulky ligands: SiMe(SitBu3)2, SiPrDis2, and N-heterocyclic carbine.](image-url)
### Table 6. The geometrical parameters, natural charge densities ($Q_B$ and $Q_{As}$), Binding Energies (BE), the HOMO-LUMO Energy Gaps, the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI), and some reaction enthalpies for $R'B\equiv AsR'$ at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp//RHF/3-21G* Level of Theory.

| $R'B\equiv AsR'$ | WBI | NBO analysis | NRT analysis |
|------------------|-----|---------------|--------------|
|                  |     | Occupancy     | Hybridization | Polarization | total/covalent/ionic | Resonance weight |
| SiMe(SiBu)$_2$   | 2.31| $\sigma = 1.98$ | $\sigma : 0.6627B$ (sp$^{4.6}$) + 0.7489 As (sp$^{1.0}$) | 43.91% (B) | 56.09% (As) | 2.35/1.66/0.69 | B–As: 5.68% B=As: 60.70% B=As: 33.62% |
|                  |     | $\pi = 1.94$ | $\pi : 0.5941B$ (sp$^{1.4}$) + 0.8044 As (sp$^{0.99}$) | 35.29% (B) | 64.71% (As) |                      |                      |
| SiPrDis$_2$      | 2.27| $\sigma = 1.98$ | $\sigma : 0.6630B$ (sp$^{5.4}$) + 0.7486 As (sp$^{1.25}$) | 43.96% (B) | 56.04% (As) | 2.24/1.71/0.53 | B–As: 6.04% B=As: 57.2% B=As: 36.74% |
|                  |     | $\pi = 1.94$ | $\pi : 0.5880B$ (sp$^{0.99}$) + 0.8089 As (sp$^{0.99}$) | 34.58% (B) | 65.42% (As) |                      |                      |
| NHC              | 2.26| $\sigma = 1.98$ | $\sigma : 0.6918B$ (sp$^{1.0}$) + 0.7221 As (sp$^{1.0}$) | 47.86% (B) | 52.14% (As) | 2.23/1.52/0.71 | B–As: 7.05% B=As: 69.13% B=As: 23.82% |
|                  |     | $\pi = 1.94$ | $\pi : 0.5899B$ (sp$^{0.99}$) + 0.8075 As (sp$^{0.99}$) | 34.80% (B) | 65.20% (As) |                      |                      |

### Table 7. Selected results for the natural bond orbital (NBO) and natural resonance theory (NRT) analyses at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp level of theory for $R'B=AsR'$ compounds that have large substituents.
Table 8. The geometrical parameters, natural charge densities (Q_{Al} and Q_{As}), binding energies (BE), the HOMO-LUMO energy gaps, the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI), and some reaction enthalpies for R’\text{Al=AsR’} at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp//RHF/3-21G* level of theory.

| R’Al≡AsR’ | WBI | NBO analysis | NRT analysis |
|-----------|-----|--------------|--------------|
|           |     | Occupancy hybridization | Polarization | total/covalent/ionic | Resonance weight |
|           | σ  | σ : 0.5080 Al (sp^{10}) + 0.8614 As (sp^{16}) | 25.81% (Al) | 74.19% (As) | 2.24/1.66/0.58 | Al–As : 6.51% |
|           | π  | π : 0.4437 Al (sp^{14}) + 0.8962 As (sp^{9.99}) | 19.69% (Al) | 80.31% (As) | 2.27/1.73/0.54 | Al=As : 4.52% |
|           | σ  | σ : 0.4956 Al (sp^{14}) + 0.8685 As (sp^{10}) | 24.57% (Al) | 75.43% (As) | 2.27/1.73/0.54 | Al=As : 5.75% |
|           | π  | π : 0.4383 Al (sp^{14}) + 0.8988 As (sp^{9.99}) | 19.21% (Al) | 80.79% (As) | 2.27/1.73/0.54 | Al=As : 3.79% |
|           | σ  | σ : 0.5834 Al (sp^{10}) + 0.8122 As (sp^{10}) | 34.04% (Al) | 65.96% (As) | 2.30/1.59/0.71 | Al–As : 6.61% |
|           | π  | π : 0.4408 Al (sp^{10}) + 0.8976 As (sp^{9.99}) | 19.43% (Al) | 80.57% (As) | 2.30/1.59/0.71 | Al=As : 7.90% |

Table 9. Selected results for the natural bond orbital (NBO) and natural resonance theory (NRT) analyses at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp level of theory for R’\text{Al=AsR’} compounds that have large substituents.
Table 10. The geometrical parameters, natural charge densities (Q$_{Ga}$ and Q$_{As}$), binding energies (BE), the HOMO-LUMO energy gaps, the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI), and some reaction enthalpies for R’Ga≡AsR’ at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp//RHF/3-21G* level of theory.

| R’ | SiMe(Si$_3$Bu)$_2$ | SiPrDis$_2$ | NHC |
|----|------------------|-------------|-----|
| Ga=As (Å) | 2.274 | 2.252 | 2.316 |
| ∠R’-Ga-As (°) | 178.8 | 178.1 | 171.9 |
| ∠Ga-As-R’ (°) | 119.3 | 122.8 | 114.5 |
| ∠R’-Ga-As-R’ (°) | 176.6 | 171.0 | 176.5 |
| Q$_{Ga}$ | 0.1760 | 0.09195 | 0.2133 |
| Q$_{As}$ | -0.4683 | -0.3978 | -0.2257 |
| ΔE$_{ST}$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | 40.67 | 31.52 | 33.97 |
| Wiberg BO | 2.125 | 2.174 | 2.154 |

1 The natural charge density on the central Ga atom.
2 The natural charge density on the central As atom.
3 BE = E(triplet state for Ga-R’) + E(triplet state for As-R’) - E(singlet state for R’Ga=AsR’).
4 The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the Ga-As bond.
5 ΔH$_1$ = E(:Ga=AsR’) - E(R’Ga≡AsR’); see Scheme 2.
6 ΔH$_2$ = E(R’$_2$Ga=As:) - E(R’Ga≡AsR’); see Scheme 2.

Figure 6. The bonding models (I) and (II) for the triply bonded RE$_{13}$≡AsR molecule.
Table 11. Selected results for the natural bond orbital (NBO) and natural resonance theory (NRT) analyses at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp level of theory for $R'Ga≡AsR'$ compounds that have large substituents.

| $R'$ | WBI | NBO analysis | NRT analysis |
|------|-----|--------------|--------------|
|      |     | Occupancy | Hybridization | Polarization | total/covalent/ionic | Resonance weight |
|      |     | | | | | |
| SiMe(SiBu$_3$)$_2$ | 2.19 | $\sigma = 1.90$ | $\sigma : 0.5320$ Ga (sp$^{1.90}$) + 0.8468 As (sp$^{1.90}$) | 28.30% (Ga) 71.70% (As) | 2.27/1.62/0.65 | Ga–As : 4.72% |
|      |     | | | | | |
|      |     | | | | | |
| SiPrDis$_2$ | 2.25 | $\sigma = 1.91$ | $\sigma : 0.5386$ Ga (sp$^{1.91}$) + 0.8426 As (sp$^{1.91}$) | 29.01% (Ga) 70.99% (As) | 2.31/1.64/0.67 | Ga–As : 7.03% |
|      |     | | | | | |
|      |     | | | | | |
| NHC | 2.33 | $\sigma = 1.85$ | $\sigma : 0.6076$ Ga (sp$^{1.85}$) + 0.7942 As (sp$^{1.85}$) | 36.92% (Ga) 63.08% (As) | 2.14/1.71/0.43 | Ga–As : 7.12% |
|      |     | | | | | |
|      |     | | | | | |

1 The natural charge density on the central In atom.
2 The natural charge density on the central As atom.
3 BE = $E$(triplet state for In–R') + $E$(triplet state for As–R') - $E$(singlet state for R'In≡AsR').
4 The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the In–As bond.
5 $\Delta H_i = E$(In≡AsR') - $E$(R'In≡AsR'); see Scheme 2.
6 $\Delta H_i = E$(R'In≡As) - $E$(R'In≡AsR'); see Scheme 2.

Table 12. The geometrical parameters, natural charge densities ($Q_{\text{In}}$ and $Q_{\text{As}}$), Binding Energies (BE), the HOMO-LUMO Energy Gaps, the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI), and some reaction enthalpies for R'In≡AsR' at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp//RHF/3-21G* Level of Theory.
| R’ | NBO analysis | WBI | NRT analysis |
|----|--------------|-----|--------------|
|    | Occupancy   | Hybridization | Polarization | total/covalent/ ionic | Resonance weight |
| SiMe(SiBu$_3$)$_2$ | σ = 1.87 | 0.4940 In (sp$^{1,50}$) + 0.8695 As (sp$^{1,26}$) | 24.41% (In) 75.59% (As) | 2.31/1.55/0.76 | In–As : 5.78% In=As : 55.2% In=As : 39.0% |
|    | π = 1.85 | 0.4411 In (sp$^{1,51}$) + 0.8975 As (sp$^{1,26}$) | 19.45% (In) 80.55% (As) | 2.18/1.62/0.56 | In–As : 6.01% In=As : 56.29% In=As : 37.70% |
| SiPrDis$_2$ | σ = 1.87 | 0.4854 In (sp$^{1,31}$) + 0.8743 As (sp$^{1,26}$) | 23.56% (In) 76.44% (As) | 2.21/1.48/0.73 | In–As : 7.72% In=As : 78.30% In=As : 13.98% |
|    | π = 1.83 | 0.3873 In (sp$^{1,30}$) + 0.9220 As (sp$^{4,10}$) | 15.00% (In) 85.00% (As) |    |    |
| NHC | σ = 1.80 | 0.5709 In (sp$^{0,33}$) + 0.8210 As (sp$^{0,66}$) | 32.60% (In) 67.40% (As) |    |    |
|    | π = 1.94 | 0.4805 In (sp$^{3,19}$) + 0.8770 As (sp$^{4,19}$) | 23.09% (In) 76.91% (As) |    |    |

Table 13. Selected results for the natural bond orbital (NBO) and natural resonance theory (NRT) analyses at the B3LYP/ LANL2DZ+dp level of theory for R’In≡AsR’ compounds that have large substituents.

| R’ | SiMe(SiBu$_3$)$_2$ | SiPrDis$_2$ | NHC |
|----|-------------------|-------------|-----|
| Tl=As (Å) | 2.615 | 2.565 | 2.653 |
| ∠R’–Tl–As (°) | 176.9 | 177.6 | 178.7 |
| ∠Tl–As–R’ (°) | 127.7 | 121.8 | 108.0 |
| ∆E$_{\text{NBO}}$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | 45.07 | 32.71 | 34.83 |
| Wiberg BO$^i$ | 2.157 | 2.214 | 2.209 |

1 The natural charge density on the central Tl atom.
2 The natural charge density on the central As atom.
3 BE = E(triplet state for Tl–R’) + E(triplet state for As–R’) − E(singlet state for R’Tl≡AsR’).
4 The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the Tl–As bond.
5 ∆H$_1$ = E(Tl=AsR’) − E(R’Tl≡AsR’); see Scheme 2.
6 ∆H$_2$ = E(R’Tl≡As) − E(R’Tl≡AsR’); see Scheme 2.

Table 14. The geometrical parameters, natural charge densities (Q$_{\text{NBO}}$ and Q$_{\text{NRT}}$), Binding Energies (BE), the HOMO-LUMO Energy Gaps, the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI), and some reaction enthalpies for R’Tl≡AsR’ at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ+dp// RHF/3-21G$^*$ Level of Theory.
4. Conclusion

This study of the effect of substituents on the possibility of the existence of triply bonded \( RE_{13} \equiv AsR \) allows the following conclusions to be drawn (Scheme 2):

1. The theoretical observations provide strong evidence that bonding mode (B) is dominant in the triply bonded \( RE_{13} \equiv BiR \) species, because their structures are bent due to electron transfer (denoted by arrows in Figure 1) and the relativistic effect, which increases stability.

2. The theoretical evidence shows that both the electronic and the steric effects of substituents are crucial to rendering the \( E_{13} \equiv As \) triple bond synthetically accessible. However, this theoretical study shows that these \( E_{13} \equiv As \) triple bonds are weak. They are not as strong as the traditional \( C \equiv C \) triple bond. The results of this theoretical study show that triply bonded \( R' \equiv E_{13} \equiv AsR' \) molecules that feature bulky substituents are more stable because bulky substituents not only protect the central \( E_{13} \equiv As \) triple bond because there is large steric hindrance but also prohibit polymerization reactions.
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