N-terminus of M2 protein could induce antibodies with inhibitory activity against influenza virus replication
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Abstract

New influenza vaccines have been designed based on the fact that the extracellular domain of M2 protein (M2e) is nearly invariant in all influenza A strains. To clarify which exact region of M2e could induce antibodies with inhibitory activities against influenza virus replication, four overlapping peptides covering M2e were synthesized and then coupled to the carrier protein bovine serum albumin through the cysteine of the peptides. After a vaccination course, all these four peptide vaccines could induce high levels of rabbit antibodies with predefined peptide specificity (antibody dilution: 1:6400–1:25600). Besides, the anti-N-terminal antibodies (AS2) reacted strongly with M2e, and reacted weakly with the middle part and C-terminus of M2e. The MDCK assay for cytopathic effect proved that antibodies recognizing the N-terminus of M2e could obviously inhibit replication of influenza A virus (A/wuhan/359/95) and influenza B virus (B/wuhan/321/99) in vitro in a dose-dependent manner, while antibodies recognizing the middle part and the C-terminus of M2e did not show such significant inhibitory activities. Sequence analysis indicates that the first nine N-terminal amino acid residues of M2e are extremely conservative. Just this region containing the first nine amino acid residues could induce antibodies with inhibitory activity against influenza A and influenza B virus replication, suggesting that the N-terminus of M2e may contain an epitope that could induce inhibitory antibodies against influenza virus replication in vitro.

Keywords: Influenza virus; M2 protein; N-terminus; Inhibitory activity

1. Introduction

Broad-spectrum and effective vaccines against influenza virus infection are still a subject of research of many investigators. The trivalent inactivated vaccines, which contain the hemagglutinin (HA) of influenza A (H1N1), influenza A (H3N2) and influenza B, are the only licensed commercial vaccines [1]. Because of the high mutation rate of HA, we should be immunized against influenza virus infection with these vaccines annually or biannually, as suggested by the World Health Organization [2]. Like HA and neuraminidase, M2 protein is also an essential integral membrane protein of influenza virus. However, M2 protein is only 97 amino acids long with 24 amino acids at the N-terminus exposed outside the membrane surface, 54 amino acids at the C-terminus located on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane and 19 amino acids spanning the lipid bilayer [3]. Pinto et al. [4] and Bron and co-workers [5] have found that the function of M2 protein is to form one highly selective and pH-regulated proton channel. Additionally, Zebedee and Lamb [6] and a few years later Ito et al. [7] proved that the extracellular domain of M2 protein (M2e) was remarkably conserved. Based on this characteristic, some new types of vaccines have been designed. Neirynck et al. [8] designed a universal influenza A vaccine, which provided mice with 90–100% protection against mouse-adapted X47 influenza A virus; Slepushkin and co-workers [9] found that vaccination of mice with baculovirus-expressed M2 protein could protect them from death following a lethal challenge with A/Ann Arbor/6/60 virus and heterologous A/Hong Kong/68 virus. Furthermore, some other experiments proved that the antibodies against M2e were really efficient against influenza A virus infection. Via passive immunization, Neirynck et al. [8] proved that antibodies induced by M2e mediated the protection; Treanor et al. [10] demon-
strated that antibodies to M2 protein reduced the replication level of influenza A virus in the lungs of mice. Sesma et al. [11] produced a bispecific antibody (3F12) binding M2e and T cell receptor, and this bispecific antibody could redirect activated T cells to kill cells infected with influenza virus and inhibit virus replication in vitro. However, information on the immunogenicity of M2e is still scarce. To broaden this knowledge and to examine which exact region of M2e could induce antibodies with inhibitory activities, we designed four overlapping peptide sequences covering the extracellular domain of the M2 protein (Fig. 1). After a vaccination course, we identified their immunogenic specificity and the crossed immune reactions. Finally, we evaluated these antibodies’ inhibitory activities against influenza A and influenza B virus replication in vitro by the MDCK (Madin–Darby canine kidney) cytopathic effect (CPE) inhibitory assay.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells and viruses

MDCK cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), and maintained in Dulbecco’s minimum essential medium (DMEM; Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) supplemented with 100 U ml⁻¹ of penicillin, 100 µg ml⁻¹ of streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Pierce) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. One human influenza A virus (A/wuhan/359/95) and another serologically identified human influenza B virus (B/wuhan/321/99) were multicycle replicated in 10-day-old embryonic eggs at 37°C for 48 h and plaque-forming units of the virus from the harvested allantoic fluid were obtained by plaque assay [12].

2.2. Peptides and antibodies

Four overlapping peptides (P1, P2, P3 and P4) covering the extracellular part of M2 protein were commercially synthesized at Genemed Synthesis (San Francisco, CA, USA). P1: N-KSSLTETVETPIRNEWGCRCNDSSD (aa 2–24); P2: KSSLTETVETPIR-G-SLLTETVETPIR (aa 2–12); P3: KETPIRNEWGCR-G-ETPIRNEWGCR (aa 8–18); P4: KNEWGCRCNDSSD-G-NEWGCRCNDSSD (aa 13–24) (Fig. 1). Peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins were obtained from Dako (Denmark) and goat anti-human IgG (H+L) was obtained from Pierce. Peptides were chemically linked to the carrier protein bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma) by 3-maleimido-benzoic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (Sigma). Rabbits were immunized subcutaneously with 50 µg peptide per rabbit in complete Freund’s adjuvant (1:1 ratio) at a final volume of 300 µl. Boosters were given in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant on days 14 and 28. Sera were separated 7 days after the last boosting immunization. Pre-immunized sera were collected before immunization and mixed as pooled normal serum (NS). The rabbit antiserum (AS0) against influenza A virus was induced by influenza virus A/wuhan/359/95 (50 µg virus per injection).

2.3. Detection of predefined peptide-specific antibodies in ELISA assay

The peptide-specific antibodies in rabbit antisera were detected in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The peptides (5 µg ml⁻¹) were coated overnight in a microtiter plate at 4°C. Non-specific binding was blocked for more than 2 h by incubation with 0.25% gelatin on phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). After washing twice with PBS–TWEEN 20 (0.5% TWEEN 20), rabbit sera at different dilutions were added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After washing twice, peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins were added. After an additional five washes, freshly prepared o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride peroxide solution (Sigma) was added and the optical density (OD) was measured with a microtiter plate reader at 450 nm (Bio-Rad).

2.4. Analysis of the immunogenicity of M2e through natural infection

The M2e-specific antibody titer in 66 serum samples from patients positive for influenza virus and 43 normal serum samples from individuals negative for influenza virus were tested by ELISA assay. The data obtained from 1:400 diluted influenza virus-positive sera and negative sera were analyzed to get the means. We performed a t-test to estimate the significance of the difference between the two means.

The standard errors of the difference:

\[ S_{\bar{x}_1-\bar{x}_2} = \sqrt{SS_c + SS_e} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_c} + \frac{1}{n_e}}, \]

so: \[ t = \frac{(\bar{X}_c - \bar{X}_e) - (\mu_c - \mu_e)}{S_{\bar{x}_1-\bar{x}_2}} = 0.490 \]

Choosing significance level \( \alpha = 0.05 \) (two-tailed), \( t_{0.05} = 1.98 \). Thus, \( t < t_{0.05} \), which indicates that the difference of the means between the normal group and the patient group is not significant.

2.5. CPE assays

The CPE assays were performed as described by Schmidtke et al. [13]. MDCK cells were seeded at \( 2 \times 10^4 \) per well in 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plate (Costar 3599) and cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. The antiviral evaluation of the test sera was carried out in 2-day-old confluent MDCK cell monolayers grown in the internal 60 wells and determined by scoring the CPE microscopically. The sera diluted in
100 µl DMEM medium (1:40, 1:80, 1:160, 1:320 and 1:640 dilutions) were added to another sterile 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plate in two replicates per dilution. Immediately, a constant multiplicity of infection (MOI) of virus in a volume of 100 µl DMEM medium per well was added to that microtiter plate, and then the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Afterwards the microtiter plate with confluent MDCK cell monolayers was washed twice with 200 µl DMEM medium per well before the incubated mixture was added. In addition, six wells of cell monolayers with 200 µl DMEM medium added per well served as positive controls, and another six wells of cell monolayers, with one MOI of virus in a volume of 200 µl DMEM medium added per well, served as negative controls. Then the following process was applied after the cell monolayers had been incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ for 48 h.

Initially, the supernatant was removed and the cell monolayers were washed three times with 300 µl physiological sodium chloride solution to remove the dead cells. Secondly, the cell monolayers were fixed and stained in one step with 50 µl of 0.2% crystal violet (w/v) in 20% methanol (v/v) for 30 min. After six further manual washes with 300 µl of water, the stained cell monolayers were treated for 20 min with 100 µl of lysis buffer (0.9 g of sodium citrate and 1.25 ml of 1 N HCl in 98 ml 47.5% ethanol) to elute the crystal violet. Finally, the OD of individual wells was quantified spectrophotometrically with a microtiter plate reader at 630 nm (Bio-Rad). The validity of each test serum was evaluated as the protective rate calculated according to Pauwels et al. [14] using the following equation from three assays with two parallels each time: protective rate = [(mean value of OD of two test parallels minus mean value of OD of six negative controls) / mean value of OD of six negative controls] × 100%.

Fig. 1. Four overlapping peptides covering the extracellular domain of M2 protein of influenza A virus. AA: amino acid residue; TMR: transmembrane region. P1: N-KSLLTEVETPIRNEWGCRCNDSS; P2: KSLLTEVETPIR-G-SLLTEVETPIR (two repeats of P2); P3: KETPIRNEWGCR-G-ETPIRNEWGCRCNDSS (two repeats of P3); P4: KNEWGCRCNDSS-G-NEWGCRCNDSS (two repeats of P4).

Fig. 2. Titers of antibodies in AS1, AS2, AS3 and AS4 by ELISA assay. A: Titers of predefined peptide-specific antibodies. B: Possible cross immune reactions (antisera were diluted to 1:400). AS1, antiserum induced by P1-BSA; AS2, antiserum induced by P2-BSA; AS3, antiserum induced by P3-BSA; AS4, antiserum induced by P4-BSA; NS, pooled pre-immune normal serum (control). Data from all four experiments are shown as mean values.
controls) divided by (mean value of OD of six positive controls minus mean value of OD of six negative controls) × 100%.

3. Results and discussion

To clarify which region of M2e could induce inhibitory activity against influenza virus replication, four overlapping peptides covering M2e were synthesized (Fig. 1). After a vaccination course, all these four peptide vaccines could induce high levels of antibodies recognizing these four peptides (antibody dilution: 1:6400–1:25600), while normal rabbit serum (pre-immune serum, NS) did not bind to any peptides (Fig. 2A). In addition, the anti-P1 antibodies (AS1) reacted strongly with M2e (P1), and weakly with the other three peptides (P2, P3 and P4). The other three antibodies, anti-P2 antibodies (AS2), anti-P3 antibodies (AS3) and anti-P4 antibodies (AS4), could react intensely or very intensely with these three peptides (P2, P3 and P4), respectively, and these three antibodies with predefined peptide specificity all strongly bound to M2e (P1) (Fig. 2B). The peptides P2, P3 and P4 contain two repeated epitopes (Fig. 1). The high levels of antibodies to P2, P3 and P4 proved that these epitope peptides obviously enhanced the immunogenicity of these three epitopes located in the N-terminus, middle region and C-terminus of M2e (Fig. 2A), and the weak cross immune reactions proved that the antibodies induced by all these peptide vaccines were of high specificity (Fig. 2B).

These results enable the epitope analysis of M2e in the following experiments.

We also examined the inhibitory activities of the four predefined peptide-specific antibodies against influenza virus replication in the MDCK CPE assay. Two kinds of influenza virus, A/wuhan/359/95 and B/wuhan/321/99, were used to evaluate the effectiveness of all these antibodies. M2e as a whole could induce antibodies with inhibitory activities against A/wuhan/359/95 and B/wuhan/321/99 replication in vitro in a dose-dependent manner (Figs. 3 and 4), which is consistent with the results of other groups [8,10,11]. Zebedee and Lamb [15] demonstrated by observation of the macroscopic changes of the plaques that the M2 protein-specific antibodies were not able to inhibit virus adsorption or penetration of virions, but were able to reduce the rate of plaque growth and the size of plaque. Here, the antiviral evaluations of those antibodies were carried out by scoring the CPE spectrophotometrically with a microtiter plate reader at 630 nm (Bio-Rad), which was therefore more objective [13]. In the following experiments with the other three antibodies against P2, P3 and P4, respectively, we found that antibodies against P2 could obviously inhibit the replication of influenza A virus with the protective rate reaching 60% even at a dilution rate of 1:160 (Figs. 3A and 4A). When using one influenza B virus, B/wuhan/321/99, the same result was also obtained with the protective rate reaching 56% at a dilution rate of 1:80 by antibodies against P2, and the protective rate by NS (1:80 dilution) was less than 30% (Figs. 3B and 4B). However, antibodies...
against P3 and P4 did not show such significant inhibitory activities against influenza A and influenza B virus replication as did antibodies against P2 (Figs. 3 and 4).

Zebedee and Lamb [15] have already indicated that isoleucine at residue 11 and glutamic acid at residue 14 are important for antibody binding to the M2 protein. Our experiment further indicates that the N-terminus, which includes the first 12 amino acids of M2 protein, may contain one epitope that can induce antibodies with inhibitory activities against influenza virus A virus and influenza B virus.
residues 1^9, and Latham and Galarza proved 5). Furthermore, proteins M1 and M2 share N-terminal group. Significance level is taken to evaluate the difference between the two means from the two strains with available M2 protein amino acid sequences among the first 9 N-terminal amino acids of M2e (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, no amino acid changes were found in patients might be as low as that in healthy individuals (Fig. 6). Considering the obvious inhibitory activity of the antibodies induced by the very conservative N-terminus of M2e (Figs. 3 and 4), this approach might hold a promise for creating novel vaccines for influenza virus in the future.
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