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The jet reconstruction and jet energy calibration strategies adopted by the CMS and ATLAS experiments are presented. Jet measurements that can be done with early data to confront QCD at the highest transverse momentum scale and search for new physics are described.

1 Introduction

Jet final states will be the dominant ones at the LHC p-p collisions. The understanding of the jet objects will be critical for the re-discovery of the standard model and at the same time will increase the sensitivity to new physics signals. Despite the large experimental uncertainties, the CMS and ATLAS experiments will be able to probe the highest transverse momentum scale, far beyond the Tevatron reach, even with small amount of data ($\mathcal{O}(10 \text{pb}^{-1})$).

2 Jet Properties

2.1 Jet Reconstruction

The reconstruction of the jets can be done with different inputs (calorimeter energy depositions, combined calorimeter and tracker information, tracks alone or particle flow candidates) and both experiments plan to use all the above jet flavours in order to optimise the sensitivity of each physics channel. For QCD studies which reach the highest $p_T$ and cover the full spectrum and detector acceptance, the calorimeter jets are used. The algorithms employed in the jet reconstruction need to be infrared and collinear safe to allow theoretical calculations. The ATLAS experiment plans to use the Seeded Cone algorithm with two radius sizes ($R = 0.4, 0.7$) and the successive recombination $k_T$ algorithm with two distance parameters ($D = 0.4, 0.6$). The CMS experiment plans to use the Seedless Cone with two radius sizes ($R = 0.5, 0.7$) as well as the $k_T$ algorithm with two distance parameters ($D = 0.4, 0.6$).

2.2 Jet Energy Scale

The most important uncertainty related to jets is the jet energy scale (JES). Due to the non linear and non uniform response of the CMS and ATLAS hadron calorimeters, it is necessary to apply jet energy corrections which restore on average the JES. Both experiments plan to use data-driven approaches for in-situ jet calibration at startup (dijet balancing to restore the pseudorapidity uniformity and $\gamma, Z + \text{jet}$ balancing to restore the non-linearity) At a later stage of the experiments, when Monte Carlo simulations tuned to data will be available, the JES will be determined from the Monte Carlo. In Fig. the corrected jet energy response in the
ATLAS calorimeter is shown as a function of $\eta$ and energy, compared to the raw jet response. The systematic uncertainty of the JES expected with early data is of the order of 10% and could reach 5% with 100 pb$^{-1}$ of data.

3 Inclusive Jets

The measurement of the inclusive jet cross-section, as a function of the jet $p_T$ is critical for the commissioning of the jet object and the understanding of the detectors. Moreover, it is sensitive to new physics, such as contact interactions and quark compositeness. Even with large experimental systematic uncertainties (dominated by the JES and followed by the luminosity uncertainty), physics beyond the Standard Model will manifest itself as large deviation from QCD at high jet $p_T$. With 10 pb$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14 TeV$ p-p collisions, a contact interaction at energy scale $\Lambda = 3 TeV$ can be clearly seen (the Tevatron excluded limit is $\Lambda < 2.7 TeV$).

In Fig. 2 the contact interaction signal is compared to the QCD prediction and the dominant systematic uncertainties. It should be noted though that in the absence of new physics signal, the inclusive jet cross-section cannot be used as a precision QCD measurement due to the large experimental uncertainties. This can only be achieved if the JES uncertainty is $\sim 1 - 2\%$.

4 Dijet Mass and Dijet Ratio

Another observable of interest is the dijet production cross-section, as a function of the invariant mass of the two jets with the highest $p_T$ in an event. This measurement will be used to confront...
the QCD predictions at transverse momentum scales, far beyond any previous experiment. It can also be used to search for new physics, such as resonances (e.g. excited quark) that decay to two jets. In Fig.3 (left) the fractional deviation of excited quark signal from QCD is compared to the statistical uncertainty corresponding to $100 \text{pb}^{-1}$ of data with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{TeV}$. However, the direct measurement of the dijet cross-section is dominated by the JES systematic uncertainty and cannot be used as a precision QCD test with early LHC data.

Observables sensitive to the angular properties of the dijet production can be used early on to detect deviations from QCD predictions. While the QCD production is dominated by t-channel scattering, new physics signals tend to be more isotropic (s-channel). When enough data will be available and the detectors are understood, the study of the angular distributions will provide maximum sensitivity to new physics. During the early data taking however, the study of the dijet ratio as a function of the dijet mass will be a robust measure of the angular production properties. The dijet ratio is defined as $R = N(|\eta| < 0.7)/N(0.7 < |\eta| < 1.3)$ where $N(|\eta| < 0.7)$ is the number of dijet events with both jets observed in the central rapidity region and $N(0.7 < |\eta| < 1.3)$ is the number of events with both jets observed in the region $0.7 < |\eta| < 1.3$. In Fig.3 (right) the QCD prediction for the dijet ratio is approximately flat at the value of $\sim 0.5$ while new physics, such as contact interaction, leads to large deviation with increasing dijet mass. In the dijet ratio measurement important experimental systematic uncertainties (JES, luminosity) cancel and therefore this measurement is suitable for early data.

5 Other QCD studies

In addition to the jet measurements which are sensitive to new physics, dedicated QCD studies are also feasible with early data. The dijet azimuthal decorrelation observable $(1/N dN/d\Delta\phi)$ is sensitive to the underlying QCD dynamics and at the same time unaffected by the JES uncertainty. In Fig.4 (left) typical dijet azimuthal decorrelation distributions are shown from different Monte Carlo generators (ATLAS simulation). The shape at low $\Delta\phi$ values is sensitive to the fragmentation and hadronization modelling and this measurement can be done with early data in order to confront the QCD predictions and tune the Monte Carlo event generators.

The jet structure is also sensitive to the showering and fragmentation modelling in the Monte Carlo generators. It can be quantified by studying the transverse momentum distribution of the jet constituents. The measurement is moderately affected by the JES uncertainty and can be performed with early data. In Fig.4 (right), the jet shape variable is shown as a function of the jet $p_T$. At low $p_T$ the QCD jets originate mostly from gluons (wider calorimeter shower) while at higher $p_T$ the quark fraction increases and the jets become narrower.
Figure 4: Left: dijet azimuthal decorrelation as predicted by different generators from ATLAS simulation. Right: jet shapes variable as a function of the jet $p_T$ from CMS simulation at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \, \text{TeV}$ pp collisions. The error bars reflect the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty.

6 Conclusions

Jet measurements at LHC will be used to confront the predictions of QCD while at the same time being sensitive to new physics signals. Despite the large systematic uncertainties expected at startup, mainly due to the JES, the unprecedented $p_T$ reach with pp collisions at 14 TeV will allow the exploration of the TeV scale. Precision QCD measurements however will not be feasible until the experimental uncertainties are sufficiently understood and reduced. Both CMS and ATLAS have a rich QCD physics program with jets which will be employed as soon as collision data become available.
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