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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study was to validate reversed phase-high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) method using photo-diode array detector for the simultaneous determination of carmine (CAR) and rhodamine B (RHO B) in lipstick products.

Methods: CAR and RHO B were analysed using Cosmosil C18 column (250 mm x 4.6 mm id, 5 µm) using Shimadzu LC 20AD chromatograph equipped with photo-diode array (PDA) detector at 245-600 nm. The mobile phase used was acetonitrile-phosphate buffer pH 3.4 (5.5:4.5 v/v) delivered isocratically at flow rate of 1.0 ml/min, using column temperature at 35 °C. The optimized HPLC condition was validated by determining several characteristics including selectivity, linearity, sensitivity expressed by the limit of detection and limit of quantification, precision and accuracy.

Results: The optimized HPLC condition could separate CAR and RHO B successfully with resolution value >2.0. The method was linear over studied concentration with a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) ≥0.9999. The relative standard deviation (RSD) values were lower than those required RSD Horwitz and the recovery values were also acceptable, therefore, the developed method was precise and accurate.

Conclusion: HPLC with PDA detector has been accurately and successfully used for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B. The developed method is simple and can be used for routine analysis of CAR and RHO B for quality assurance purposes.
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INTRODUCTION

Cosmetic products are widely used especially for woman and are directly applied to human skin. One of the cosmetics products commonly used is lipstick and eye shadow [1]. Lipstick use has been hypothesized to be a risk factor of developing systemic lupus erythematosus in human [2], therefore the assessment of lipstick safety through quantitative analysis of components present in lipstick is necessary. Dyes are the most important additive component in the cosmetics industry to improve personal appearance [3]. Carmine (CAR) and Rhodamine B (RHO B) are among dyes used in lipstick formulation. The chemical structures of CAR and RHO B are depicted in fig. 1. CAR, natural colorant, is allowed in cosmetics product, while RHO B, a synthetic dye, is not allowed to be used in cosmetics products. Due to its similarity colour, CAR is frequently replaced by RHO B [4, 5].

CAR is one of the natural dyes relatively safe to be used in food industry especially in yogurt as well as in cosmetics products of lipstick and eye shadow. Allergic reaction (shock anaphylaxis) has been reported due to food consumption containing CAR [6]. In addition, RHO B is one of the synthetic dyes banned to be used in food and cosmetics products. The exposure of RHO B in food and cosmetics for long time has been associated with cancer and liver diseases [7]. Therefore, analytical methods for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B must be developed in order to ensure the cosmetic safety.

Several publications have reported determination of CAR and RHO B in cosmetics and food products either individually or simultaneously. Such methods are high-performance liquid chromatography with photo-diode array detector [8, 9], liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) tandem with MS using electrospray ionization [10], magnetic molecularly imprinted polymer characterized by scanning electron microscopy, FTIR spectroscopy and thermo-gravimetric analysis [11], and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy using attenuated total reflectance. In this study, HPLC with PDA detector using experimental design approach has been optimized and validated for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B in lipstick formulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Lipstick products were obtained from several local markets and supermarkets around Yogyakarta. The reference standards of Carmine (CAR) and Rhodamine B (RHO B) were acquired from the
national agency of drug and food control (NADFC) of Republic of Indonesia. All solvents used for mobile phase were of HPLC grade and obtained from E. Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Aquabidest was obtained from Ikapharmindo (Indonesia).

Preparation of reference standards
For preparation of stock solution, an approximately of 5.00 mg of each CAR and RHO B was accurately weighed using analytical balance (Metler Toledo MX5) with sensitivity of 0.01 mg and was added into volumetric flask 50 ml and dissolved with mobile phase until volume. This solution was then used for preparing calibration curve.

Preparation of samples
An approximately of 1000.0 mg of lipstick products was accurately weighed using analytical balance (Metler Toledo MX5) with sensitivity of 0.1 mg, and dissolved with mobile phase until 10.0 ml. The solution was filtered with PTFE 0.45 µm and injected into HPLC system.

HPLC instrumentation
CAR and RHO B was separated by Cosmosil C18 column (250 mm x 4.6 mm i.d, 5 µm) using Shimadzu LC 20AD chromatograph equipped with photo-diode array (PDA) detector at 245-600 nm. The mobile phase used was acetonitrile-phosphate buffer pH 3.4 (5.5:4.5 v/v) delivered isocratically at flow rate of 1.0 ml/min, using column temperature at 35 °C.

Validation of analytical method
Validation of analytical method is needed to assure that the method fits to its purposes [13]. HPLC using optimum condition was validated by assessing several performance characteristics including selectivity, linearity, sensitivity expressed by limit of detection and limit of quantification, precision and accuracy as in International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines 2015 [14,15].

Data analysis
All validation data (linear regression, recovery, relative standard deviation (RSD) and recovery) were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) of mean and were calculated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Inc., USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) is the most commonly methods used for separation of dyes including CAR and RHO B because of its accuracy, precision, sensitivity, time efficiency, low cost and robust [16]. The different polarity between CAR and RHO B could be separated using C18 column. Fig. 2 exhibited the separation of CAR and RHO B using optimized condition. Both analytes (CAR and RHO B) were well separated with resolution value (Rs) of 15.10 indicating that RP-HPLC was selective. The system suitability test of RP-HPLC indicated that RP-HPLC system was reliable for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B as indicated that RSD values of retention times of CAR and RHO B as well as peak areas of CAR and RHO B were less than 2% [17].

Fig. 2: The separation of Carmine and Rhodamine B using the optimized RP-HPLC condition

The advantage of PDA detector is the capability to provide the purity index of spectra of corresponding analytes (CAR and RHO B). PDA detector can analyze peak purity by comparing spectra of analytes within a peak. A pure peak has matching spectra throughout the peak (at all wavelengths). Both CAR and RHO B have the purity index >0.9999 and were considered as pure, meaning that the eluted peaks were of CAR and RHO B. PDA detector can also monitor analytes at more than one wavelength. This is especially useful when the wavelength maxima of the analytes are different. Wavelengths can be selected to analyse each compound at its highest sensitivity. Fig. 3 revealed the 3D spectra of CAR and RHO B at wavelengths of 245-600 nm.

Fig. 3: The 3D spectra of carmine (left) and Rhodamine B (right) at wavelengths of 245-600 nm
RP-HPLC method was validated according to ICH (2015) guideline by evaluating some performance characteristics which include linearity and range, sensitivity as expressed by LoD and LoQ, precision as expressed by RSD of repeatability and intermediate precision and accuracy expressed by recovery of analytes added in the lipstick samples. Linearity of RP-HPLC was evaluated by preparing a series of standard solutions of CAR and RHO B with certain concentration and correlating between the concentrations of both analytes (x-axis) and peak area (y-axis). Fig. 4 revealed the equation of linear regression describing the correlation between concentration and peak area as indicated by the coefficient of determination (R²). The R²-values were higher than 0.999 which indicated that linear relationship existed between concentration and peak area at certain concentration. In addition, %-intercept values were also low (<2%) indicating the absence of systematic error.

The sensitivity of RP-HPLC with PDA detector for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B was expressed by values of limit of detection (LoD) and limit of quantification. The values of LoD obtained were 6.42 ng/ml and 3.85 ng/ml LoQ for CAR and RHO B, respectively. In addition LoQ values were calculated as: 3.33 x LoD values. The LoQ values obtained were 21.78 ng/ml and 12.82 ng/ml for CAR and RHO B, respectively.

The precision of RP-HPLC using PDA detector was assessed by computing values of relative standard deviation (RSD) during repeatability (intra-assay) and intermediate precision (inter-assay) using different days of analysis. The RP-HPLC method was considered precise if RSD values obtained were lower than those in Horwitz criteria [17, 18]. The RSD values of CAR and RHO B during repeatability at concentration levels of 24 µg/ml with six replicates (n = 6) were 1.12% and 1.60%, respectively. In addition, RSD values for intermediate precision were of 1.25% and 1.22% for CAR and RHO B, respectively. The maximum RSD Horwitz for analytes with level of 24.0 µg/ml was 7.3%, therefore, all RSD values during repeatability (intra-assay) and intermediate precision (inter-assay) were lower than 7.3% [18], therefore, RP-HPLC was precise enough for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B in lipstick products.

The accuracy of RP-HPLC was evaluated by recovery percentage using standard addition method. In this case, reference standard solutions with known concentrations of CAR and RHO B at three different concentrations (i.e. 80%, 100%, and 120% from target analytes) were added into blank lipstick samples (no detectable analytes). Table 1 and 2 showed the recovery percentages of CAR and RHO B as analysed using RP-HPLC. The Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) set up that the recovery percentage of analytes at level <100 ppm (µg/g) was in the range of 80-110% [18], therefore, the recovery percentages of CAR and RHO B obtained using RP-HPLC was acceptable, meaning that this method was accurate. It was also stated that systematic errors could be negligible [19].

Based on validation performance characteristics evaluated, it can be concluded that RP-HPLC with PDA detector at wavelength of 254 nm was valid for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B in lipstick samples. The validated RP-HPLC method was further used for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B. Fig. 5 revealed RP-HPLC chromatogram of commercial lipstick. All lipstick samples analysed were not detected to contain CAR and RHO B.
Table 1: The recovery percentages of Carmine as analysed using reversed phase-high performance liquid chromatography

| The levels of standard spiked into lipstick (µg/g) | The concentration found (µg/g) | The percentage recovery (%) | Acceptance criteria (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 9.88                                            | 7.91                          | 80.05                      | 80-110                  |
| 9.88                                            | 7.96                          | 80.55                      | 80-110                  |
| 9.88                                            | 8.536                         | 86.37                      | 80-110                  |
| 30.09                                           | 24.56                         | 81.62                      | 80-110                  |
| 30.09                                           | 25.91                         | 86.12                      | 80-110                  |
| 30.09                                           | 26.66                         | 88.60                      | 80-110                  |
| 49.88                                           | 40.17                         | 80.54                      | 80-110                  |
| 49.88                                           | 46.25                         | 92.72                      | 80-110                  |
| 49.88                                           | 45.61                         | 91.44                      | 80-110                  |

Table 2: The recovery percentages of Rhodamine B as analysed using reversed phase-high performance liquid chromatography

| The levels of standard spiked into lipstick (mg) | The concentration found (mg) | The percentage recovery (%) | Acceptance criteria (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 9.88                                            | 9.53                          | 96.43                      | 80-110                  |
| 9.88                                            | 9.41                          | 95.26                      | 80-110                  |
| 9.88                                            | 10.74                         | 108.74                     | 80-110                  |
| 30.09                                           | 32.42                         | 107.75                     | 80-110                  |
| 30.09                                           | 32.52                         | 108.06                     | 80-110                  |
| 30.09                                           | 32.06                         | 106.55                     | 80-110                  |
| 49.88                                           | 52.84                         | 105.94                     | 80-110                  |
| 49.88                                           | 46.28                         | 92.78                      | 80-110                  |
| 49.88                                           | 44.30                         | 88.82                      | 80-110                  |

CONCLUSION
RP-HPLC using C18 column with PDA detector has been successfully validated for quantitative analysis of CAR and RHO B in lipstick samples. The developed method was accurate and precise as indicated by acceptable values of relative standard deviation (RSD) and recovery percentage. The validated RP-HPLC method was simple with no excessive sample preparation and suitable to be used for routine analysis of dyes (CAR and RHO B) in lipstick samples.
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