Discovery and characterization of conserved binding of eIF4E 1 (CBE1), a eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E–binding plant protein
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In many eukaryotes, translation initiation is regulated by proteins that bind to the mRNA cap–binding protein eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E). These proteins commonly prevent association of eIF4E with eIF4G or form repressive messenger ribonucleoproteins that exclude the translation machinery. Such gene-regulatory mechanisms in plants, and even the presence of eIF4E-interacting proteins other than eIF4G (and the plant-specific isoform eIFiso4G, which binds eIFiso4E), are unknown. Here, we report the discovery of a plant-specific protein, conserved binding of eIF4E 1 (CBE1). We found that CBE1 has an evolutionarily conserved eIF4E-binding motif in its N-terminal domain and binds eIF4E or eIFiso4E in vitro. CBE1 thereby forms cap-binding complexes and is an eIF4E-dependent constituent of these complexes in vivo. Note, plant mutants lacking CBE1 exhibited dysregulation of cell cycle–related transcripts and accumulated higher levels of mRNAs encoding proteins involved in mitosis than did WT plants. Our findings indicate that CBE1 is a plant protein that can form mRNA cap–binding complexes having the potential for regulating gene expression. Because mammalian translation factors are known regulators of cell cycle progression, we propose that CBE1 may represent such first translation factor–associated plant-specific cell cycle regulator.
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In eukaryotes, mRNAs are co-transcriptionally capped with a 5′ to 5′ reverse-linked 7-methylguanosine residue; the presence of the cap structure is an important determinant of downstream processing and regulatory fates (1) (1). The cap moiety is recognized by a class of proteins called cap-binding proteins which notably includes eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E). eIF4E is in turn bound by eIF4G, a large scaffolding protein, to form the eIF4F complex which has important roles in translation initiation. eIF4G contacts eIF4B and the RNA helicase eIF4A which promote unwinding of secondary structure in the mRNA during translation initiation (2, 3). eIF4G recruits the 43S pre-initiation complex to mRNA through contacts with eIF3 and eIF5. The importance of the eIF4F complex is such that mRNA cap recognition by eIF4E is considered a central control point in translation initiation and its regulation (4).

eIF4G contains a well-conserved motif, (Y(x)₄L(x)₄), where x is any amino acid and ϕ is a hydrophobic residue, which is required for eIF4E binding (5). This motif is shared by a number of other eIF4E-binding proteins (eIF4-BPs) (6). In mammals, 4E-BPs are regulated by phosphorylation through the mTOR pathway. In the absence of phosphorylation, 4E-BPs compete with eIF4G for binding of eIF4E, sequestering the protein away from the 43S pre-initiation complex to reduce levels of translation. Activation of mTOR leads to phosphorylation of 4E-BPs, reducing their affinity for eIF4E and making the protein available for binding by eIF4G, increasing levels of translation initiation (7). To date, there is no evidence of a similar 4E-BP-like mechanism in regulation of plant translation (8).

Other eIF4E-binding proteins are known to contribute to a range of regulatory processes. 4E-transporter in mammals has an important role in mRNA localization by directing nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of eIF4E and also localizes eIF4E to P-bodies (9). Other types of eIF4E-binding proteins target specific mRNAs for translation repression by binding specific sequence motifs internal to the mRNA and binding the eIF4E present at the mRNA’s cap, effectively rendering it closed to translational activation. Examples of such activity are found in mammalian Maskin and Neuroguindin, eIF4E-binding proteins which in turn bind the RNA-binding protein cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein (10, 11). Similarly, in Drosophila, Cup binds eIF4E and RNA-binding proteins such as Smaug and Bruno which recognize specific 3′ UTR elements in mRNAs targeted for translational repression (12, 13). Another Drosophila protein, Mextli, is expressed in ovarian germ line stem cells and binds eIF4E to promote translation in a manner apparently independent of eIF4G (14).

Flowering plants, in addition to the conserved eIF4E and eIF4G, have the plant-specific isoforms eIFiso4E and eIFiso4G which make up a complex called eIFiso4F (2). The exact mechanisms by which translation initiation is regulated by eIF4F and/or eIFiso4F in plants remain unclear by comparison to mammals and fungi. As no proteins similar to 4E-BP have been identified, and binding affinity between eIF4E/eIF4G and eIFiso4E/eIFiso4G is significantly higher than other eukaryotic
systems (15), a mechanism of global control of translation initiation by cap-binding protein sequestration has not yet been discovered in plants (8). Arabidopsis thaliana lipoxygenase 2 (LOX2) has been shown to interact with elfiso4E in vitro (16) and A. thaliana basic transcription factor 3 (BTF3) shows interaction with elfiso4E in yeast two-hybrid assays (17). However, the biological significance of the observed interaction of these proteins with elfiso4E is not known. BTF3 lacks a canonical elf4E-binding motif and the proposed elf4E-binding site of LOX2 shows poor evolutionary conservation (Fig. S1) (18).

In this study, we describe the discovery of a conserved, plant-specific protein of previously unknown function, conserved binding of elf4E 1 (CBE1). CBE1 binds elf4E in vitro and forms cap-binding complexes in an elf4E-dependent manner in vivo. Plants lacking CBE1 show dysregulation of genes involved in cell cycle processes. Based on these observations, we propose CBE1 and elf4E may form regulatory messenger ribonucleoprotein-proteins in plants.

Results

CBE1 is a conserved plant cap-binding protein

A previous study by Bush et al. (19) employed MS to identify proteins from A. thaliana cell culture that are retained on 7-methylguanosine Sepharose and are presumably associated with cap-binding proteins or complexes. Among the unknown proteins reported, we searched for motifs for interaction with cap-binding proteins. One protein, AT4G01290, appears to have an N-terminal elf4E-binding motif. To determine whether the protein is an evolutionarily conserved elf4E-binding protein, homologous plant sequences were gathered and a phylogeny was generated (Fig. 1A). The AT4G01290 protein is encoded in genomes across land plants and appears well-conserved; however, it is not found outside of plants or in green algae. Although AT4G01290 is 991 amino acids in length, no identified domains are present in the protein, and it does not have sequence homology to any protein of known function. The N terminus of AT4G01290 features a very well-conserved elf4E-binding motif (Fig. 1B) and based on its features as a unique plant-specific protein with apparent elf4E-binding capacity, we named the protein conserved binding of elf4E 1 (CBE1). The N-terminal domain containing the elf4E-binding site (amino acids 1–230) was selected to determine whether this protein is an elf4E-binding protein.

CBE1 forms cap-binding complexes in vitro

The N-terminal portion of the protein containing the elf4E-binding motif was expressed as a fusion to GST and purified. GST-CBE11–230 was tested for its ability to bind to the elfiso4E family proteins of A. thaliana, elf4E, elfiso4E, and 4EHP (also known as nCBP), by GSH Sepharose pulldown. elf4E and elfiso4E were found to bind to GST-CBE11–230 but not to the GST protein control, whereas 4EHP did not bind to GST-CBE11–230 (Fig. 2A). To further test whether the CBE1 protein may form an active cap-binding complex, the ability of elf4E or elfiso4E to co-purify GST-CBE11–230 was examined by 7-methylguanosine Sepharose pulldown. GST-CBE11–230 was able to co-purify with the 7-methylguanosine cap analogue beads in a manner dependent on the presence of elf4E or elfiso4E (Fig. 2B), supporting CBE1 as a protein that may form a cap-binding complex with these proteins. To confirm the direct interaction between CBE1 and cap-binding proteins, the elf4E-binding site was mutated from (YTRKFLI) to (ATRK-FAA) (GST-CBE11–230 mutant protein (Fig. 2C) further validating CBE1 as a bona fide 4E-binding protein.

In vitro promiscuity of the elf4E-binding motifs of elf4G and elfiso4G is known (15, 22). It was our hypothesis that despite the ability of CBE1 to bind either elf4E or elfiso4E in vitro, it would more likely to form a complex with only elf4E in vivo. CBE1 evolved in land plants before elfiso4E was available, as elfiso4E is not found in the plant lineage until the
appearance of flowering plants (23). Additionally, the eIF4E-binding motif of CBE1 bears slightly more similarity to that of eIF4G than eIFiso4G. To test whether eIF4E is the preferred binding partner of CBE1, a mixture of GST-CBE11–230 with eIF4E and eIFiso4E was challenged with excess levels of either eIF4E or eIFiso4E and subjected to GSH Sepharose pulldown (Fig. 2D). Excess levels of eIF4E led to lower levels of eIFiso4E co-purification, but excess eIFiso4E did not diminish eIF4E binding. These results support eIF4E as the most likely preferred binding partner of CBE1.

**CBE1 forms cap-binding complexes in vivo**

Although in vitro evidence supports CBE1 as an eIF4E-binding protein, we sought evidence that they form a complex in vivo. Cap-binding complexes were co-purified by 7-methylguanosine Sepharose beads from seedling lysates of either WT Col0 or cum1 mutant plants, which contain a nonsense mutation in the gene encoding eIF4E protein and lack detectable eIF4E (24). The purified proteins were subjected to MS (Fig. 3) in order to identify eIF4E-dependent cap-binding complexes. eIFiso4F and nuclear cap-binding complex components CBP20/CBP80 were identified in cap-binding complex eluates from both Col0 and the eIF4E-lacking cum1 plant lysates. However, eIF4E, eIF4G and CBE1 were found only in the Col0 lysate and were not identified in cap-binding complexes purified from the cum1 mutant plants. Based on these observations, we believe that eIF4E and CBE1 likely form a cap-binding complex in vivo.

**CBE1 contributes to plant development and gene regulation**

To further investigate the role of CBE1 in plant gene regulation, we obtained the T-DNA line cbe1 with an insertion in the sixth exon of the gene, which was confirmed to eliminate expression of the full-length CBE1 transcript (Fig. 4, A and B). cbe1 plants are viable and robust but show delayed development relative to WT Col0 plants (Fig. 4C). As CBE1 is encoded
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Table 1. Analysis of cap-binding complexes

|          | Col0 (1) | Col0 (2) | Col0 (3) | cum1 (1) | cum1 (2) | cum1 (3) |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| elfIfo4E | 27       | 23       | 9        | 29       | 17       | 14       |
| elfIfo4G1| 37       | 37       | 22       | 34       | 22       | 28       |
| elfIfo4G2| 18       | 16       | 10       | 24       | 7        | 11       |
| CEBP20  | 7        | 6        | 1        | 10       | 2        | 7        |
| CEBP80  | 21       | 32       | 21       | 26       | 5        | 29       |
| elfIfo4E| 5        | 7        | 7        | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| elfIfo4G| 15       | 12       | 28       | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| CBE1    | 6        | 5        | 6        | 0        | 0        | 0        |

RNA-Seq of mRNA from Col0 and cbe1 seedlings was performed, revealing up-regulation of 230 nuclear-encoded genes and down-regulation of 122 genes in the mutant line (Table S1). Genes overexpressed in the cbe1 mutant have Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment in cell cycle processes, particularly those related to mitotic plate division (Fig. 5A), whereas down-regulated genes show no significantly enriched gene ontology processes. The G2/M boundary in the cell cycle is an important checkpoint in assuring genome integrity and preparing the cell for division by forming mitotic spindles (29). Previously identified genes with an expression peak at the G2/M boundary (30) show significant enrichment in cbe1 mutant seedlings; 23 out of 82 of these genes are up-regulated in the mutant (Fig. 5C).

Overexpression of G2/M-specific genes in cbe1 was confirmed by testing six representative genes by qRT-PCR in WT and mutant plants as well as elfIfo4E (cum1) and elfIfo4G (eif4g) knockout backgrounds (Fig. 5B). Five of the six genes showed significant up-regulation in the cbe1 mutant, whereas none were affected in the cum1 or eif4g plants. These genes include by a single gene in A. thaliana and has no clear homologues, it appears nonessential, as observed for single gene knockouts of most cap-binding complex components (24–28). As the cbe1 T-DNA insertion occurs downstream of the elfIfo4E-binding site, it is possible a partial N-terminal product with elfIfo4E-binding capacity might be present in the mutant; however, the RNA-Seq data (see below) indicate that the transcript formed is not processed correctly and it is unlikely any protein product is made. The effects of the cbe1 mutation on plant development were examined, along with the cum1 mutant lacking elfIfo4E and a mutant of eif4g, a T-DNA line lacking full-length ELF4G transcript (Fig. S2) (28). A delayed flowering time under long day growth was observed for cbe1, and this delay in flowering is also shared by both the cum1 and eif4g mutants (Fig. 4D). Interestingly, the rate of root growth was unaffected in cbe1, whereas cum1 and eif4g show slower rates of root elongation than WT (Fig. S3). The presence of elfIfo4E, as well as its large subunit binding partner elfIfo4G, therefore seems necessary for proper execution of the developmental program in A. thaliana.

Figure 3. CBE1 forms complexes with elfIfo4E in vivo. Mass spectrometry of native cap-binding complexes isolated from Col0 or cum1 seedlings was performed. 7-Methylguanosine Sepharose bead pull-down of seedling lysates was run briefly by SDS-PAGE, and a gel slice containing the protein mixture was digested and presented for MS. Numbers shown represent total peptide spectra matching the constituents of cap-binding complexes, with the experiment performed in triplicate.

Figure 4. cbe1 mutant of A. thaliana. A, site of T-DNA insertion in the sixth exon of the CBE1 coding region. The elfIfo4E-binding motif is present in the second exon. B, RT-PCR of 7-day-old WT and cbe1 seedlings grown on MS plates. CBE1 expression is lost in the cbe1 mutant. TUB2 expression serves as a control. C, cbe1 mutants show developmental delay relative to WT Col0 plants. Six-week-old plants grown under long day conditions (16 h light, 8 h dark) shown. D, cap-binding complex mutants show delayed flowering time under long day conditions. Error bars represent S.E. (n = 24–28). Asterisks indicate significantly delayed flowering time in mutant lines compared with Col0 (p value < 0.05, Student’s t test).
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Table 1. GO enrichment analysis of CBE1 mutants

| GO Term                        | Fold Enrichment | p value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Lipid localization            | 11.8             | 6.10E-08|
| microtubule-based movement    | 33.5             | 3.96E-07|
| cell division                 | 8.4              | 4.59E-06|
| mitotic cell cycle            | 8.1              | 1.42E-03|
| response to water deprivation | 6.3              | 1.51E-03|
| response to cold              | 5.6              | 1.70E-03|
| cytokinesis                   | 13.7             | 2.38E-03|
| mitotic cytokinesis           | 12.6             | 2.18E-02|
| cold acclimation              | 16.4             | 3.44E-02|
| cell cycle                    | 4.3              | 6.12E-02|

Figure 5. cbe1 mutants show dysregulation of cell cycle gene expression. A. GO term enrichment of cbe1 mutant up-regulated genes by AmiGO 2 (44). No enriched GO terms were observed for down-regulated genes in the mutant. B. qRT-PCR of G2/M phase–specific genes identified by sequencing as overexpressed in cbe1 plants. Gene expression relative to TUB2 was measured in three biological replicates with at least three technical replicates. Error bars represent S.E. (n = 3–6). Asterisks indicate genes with expression significantly varying in the cbe1 mutant compared with Col0 (p value < 0.05. Student’s t test). C. Venn diagram showing overlap of up-regulated genes in cbe1 mutant and G2/M phase–specific genes (30).

the CYCB2.4 and CDKB2.1 genes involved in cyclin-dependent regulation at the G2/M checkpoint (30) and CDC20.2, which is an important component of the mitotic checkpoint complex (31). TPX2 and ATK5, important regulators of mitotic spindle assembly (29, 32), also show increased expression in the cbe1 mutant. The results of the qRT-PCR confirm that disruption of CBE1 activity in A. thaliana leads to overexpression of genes involved in mitotic cytokinesis at the G2/M boundary of the cell cycle, suggesting a role in regulation. Leaf epidermal cells were co-stained with propidium iodide and 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and imaged with a confocal microscope (Fig. S4); however, there were no significant differences in cell morphology or density noted between cbe1 and Col0.

Discussion

Whereas mechanisms that regulate translation initiation in other eukaryotic systems such as mammals and yeast are well understood, similar mechanisms have largely not been identified in plants. RNA-binding proteins have been described which regulate the translational state of specific transcripts, but global mechanisms for controlling translation remain unclear. In other eukaryotic systems, eIF4E-BPs control the availability of eIF4E for translation initiation, or by forming complexes with eIF4E that bind to transcripts to repress translation by blocking recognition of the mRNA cap by eIF4E. To date, the presence of similar eIF4E-binding proteins in plants with primary roles in gene regulation has not been substantiated.

This report describes a plant-specific protein, CBE1, with an evolutionarily conserved N-terminal motif for binding eIF4E. A. thaliana CBE1 has the capability to bind eIF4E and eIFiso4E to form cap-binding complexes in vitro and forms binding complexes in vivo in an eIF4E-dependent manner. Plants lacking CBE1 protein show dysregulation of cell cycle transcripts, accumulating higher levels of mRNA-encoding proteins involved in mitotic processes relative to WT plants.

Although the lipoxygenase LOX2 has previously been demonstrated to have the ability to bind eIFiso4E, its potential eIF4E-binding capacity was only shown in a LacZ activity assay (16). CBE1 represents the first bona fide plant protein described outside of eIF4G with strong evidence of direct interaction with eIF4E to form a cap-binding complex that may contribute to gene regulation. Outside of the eIF4E-binding motif, CBE1 contains no known functional domains and the processes in which it may be involved are unclear. It has, however, recently been identified as a member of the mRNA-bound proteome (33), strengthening the case that it serves a role in gene regulation.

CBE1 appears to be required for proper regulation of mitotic cell cycle transcripts, and mutants lacking eIF4E or eIF4G do not appear to have similar effects on these transcripts. Single deletions of eIF4E or eIFiso4E have minor effects in A. thaliana, apparently because of compensation by promiscuous binding of the alternative available protein, whereas double mutants are lethal (23, 34). It is unclear, therefore, whether gene regulatory activity of CBE1 is cap-dependent, based on the observations here. It is also not clear whether CBE1 is involved in an upstream process of regulating G2/M specific genes or whether it acts directly on these transcripts in some way.

Further investigation of the eIF4E-CBE1 complex will be required to determine the mechanism by which the complex contributes to specific gene regulation. As CBE1 is a large protein, it could potentially act as a scaffold similarly to eIF4G and eIFiso4G to recruit other effector proteins to bound transcripts. However, as it lacks the HEAT domains of eIF4G family proteins that provide interaction with eIF4A and other translation initiation components, it may have more in common with proteins involved in translational repression of targeted transcripts. The downstream effects of loss of CBE1 implicate it as a potential regulator of important transcript(s) involved in the G2/M cell cycle checkpoint. Mammalian translation factors are known to be important regulators of cell cycle progression (35–37). CBE1 may constitute the first plant-specific factor acting in a similar manner.
Experimental procedures

Plant lines

*A. thaliana* T-DNA lines *cbel–1* (WiscDsLoxHs188_10F) and *eif4g* (SALK_008030) were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Ohio State University). The *cum1* mutant of eIF4E has been described previously (24).

CBE1 phylogeny

Predicted protein sequences from representative plant species with homology to *A. thaliana* CBE1 were collected using Phytozone (38). A phylogenetic tree was generated using the [http://www.phylogeny.fr/](http://www.phylogeny.fr/) pipeline (39) with alignment by MUSCLE and tree construction by PhyML using 500 bootstrap replicates.

CBE1 cloning and expression

*A. thaliana* CBE1 coding sequence was codon optimized using DNAWorks (40) and cloned by overlap PCR. As the full-length protein was prone to high levels of degradation when expressed in *Escherichia coli*, the N-terminal coding portion (amino acid residues 1–230) was subcloned into pGEX-4T-1 (GE Healthcare) for expression of GST-tagged construct. GST-(amino acid residues 1–230) was subcloned into pGEX-4T-1 expressed in *Escherichia coli* (**DE3**) (GE Healthcare) for expression of GST-tagged construct. GST-CBE11–230 was expressed in BL21(DE3) (*E. coli*) and purified using GSH Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) and dialyzed in PBS, pH 7.3. Purification of eIF4E, eIFiso4E, and 4EHP was as described previously (22, 41). Inverse PCR with the Q5® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (New England Biolabs) was used to create the CBE1 substitution mutation (GST-CBE1mut1–230). The mutation was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The mutant protein was expressed and purified as described for GST-CBE11–230.

Pulldown assays

For GST pulldown assays, *A. thaliana* eIF4E, eIFiso4E, or 4EHP was mixed with either GST-CBE11–230, GST-CBE1mut1–230, or GST in equimolar ratio in PBS, pH 7.3. The mixtures were added to pre-equilibrated GSH Sepharose 4B beads and incubated on ice for 10 min with mixing. The beads were then washed three times with PBS, pH 7.3, and the protein was eluted with 10 mM GSH, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6. For 7-methylguanosine pulldowns, GST-CBE11–230 was mixed with eIF4E or eIFiso4E (or buffer control) in equimolar ratio in binding buffer (100 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 5% glycerol, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 5 mM DTT). The mixture (or control) was added to pre-equilibrated 7-methylguanosine Sepharose beads (Jena Bioscience) and incubated on ice for 20 min with mixing. The beads were then washed four times with buffer, and protein was eluted with 2× Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad). All pulldown assays were repeated at least three times with similar results.

Competition assay

Equimolar mixtures of eIF4E, eIFiso4E, and GST-CBE11–230 in PBS, pH 7.3, were subjected to competition with 2-fold or 4-fold molar excess of eIF4E or eIFiso4E. The mixtures were used in a GST pulldown as described above. The input and eluted fractions (10 µl) were separated on a Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free™ gel (Bio-Rad) to directly image the proteins as per the manufacturer’s instructions using the Chemidoc MP Imager (Bio-Rad) and ImageLab software (Bio-Rad).

Western blotting

Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE on 4–20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX (Bio-Rad) gel, turbo blotted onto PVDF, blocked with PBST (8 mM Na2HPO4, 0.15 M NaCl, 2 mM KH2PO4, 3 mM KCl, 0.05% Tween® 20, pH 7.4) containing 5% nonfat dry milk and probed with rabbit antibodies (1:1000 in PBST/milk) to *A. thaliana* eIF4E (22), *A. thaliana* eIF4E (26), *A. thaliana* 4EHP (41), or GST (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Horseradish peroxidase–linked secondary antibody (Kirkegaard-Perry, 1/20,000 in PBST/milk) was detected with SuperSignal West Pico or Femto chemiluminescent substrates (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Blots were imaged with a ChemiDoc MP Imager (Bio-Rad) and ImageLab software (Bio-Rad).

Cap-binding complex MS

Cap-binding complexes were purified from cell lysate of 300 mg tissue from Col0 or *cum1* 12-day-old seedlings grown on 1% sucrose MS plates. The tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground, and homogenized in binding buffer described above for 7-methylguanosine pulldown with addition of Pierce Protease Inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The homogenized lysate was clarified by centrifugation and added to pre-equilibrated 7-methylguanosine Sepharose beads (Jena Bioscience) for overnight incubation at 4°C with mixing. The beads were washed four times with buffer and then eluted with 2× Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad). The eluate was loaded onto a 4–20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX (Bio-Rad) gel and briefly subjected to electrophoresis (about 10 min) and Coomassie Blue stained, and the protein mixture gel band excised for MS. The gel slice was submitted to The University of Texas at Austin Proteomics Facility for trypsin digest and LC-MS/MS analysis by Orbitrap Fusion (Thermo Scientific) with spectra visualization by Scaffold 4 (Proteome Software). Cutoff for inclusion of spectra was set at 5% FDR for protein and 0.1% FDR for peptide.

RNA-Seq

Tissue from 7-day-old seedlings grown on 1% sucrose MS plates was frozen in liquid nitrogen and powdered by mortar and pestle, and the RNA was extracted using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). The RNA was treated with DNase I recombinant RNase-free (Roche) and purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Poly(A) RNA libraries were constructed and sequenced by the Genome Sequencing and Analysis Facility at The University of Texas at Austin. Sequencing data were mapped with TopHat to the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome release 10 (42), and genes with significantly altered expression were identified with CuffDiff (43). Differentially regulated genes were defined as having a 2-fold change in gene expression and an FDR-adjusted p value (q-value) < 0.05. Gene ontology term enrichment analysis was performed with AmiGO 2 (44).
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RNA sequence data are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) GSE114363.

**qRT-PCR**

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on a Viia 7 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using PowerUp SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems) under standard conditions. RNA was extracted from 7-day-old seedlings as described for RNA-Seq. cDNA was generated with oligo(dT) and Superscript IV Reverse Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). qRT-PCR primers were designed using Primer3 (45) and are listed in Table S2. TUB2 primers were described previously (46).

**Propidium iodide staining**

Leaves from 21-day-old *A. thaliana* Col0 or *cbe1* seedlings were floated in 10 μg/ml propidium iodide and 1 μg/ml 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in water for 10 min in the dark, then mounted on slides. Confocal microscopy (20×, 514 nm laser) was performed at the Center for Biomedical Research Support at the University of Texas at Austin with a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (ZEISS).
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