FIRST OBSERVATIONS OF A DOME-SHAPED LARGE-SCALE CORONAL EXTREME-ULTRAVIOLET WAVE
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ABSTRACT

We present first observations of a dome-shaped large-scale extreme-ultraviolet coronal wave, recorded by the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager instrument on board STEREO-B on 2010 January 17. The main arguments that the observed structure is the wave dome (and not the coronal mass ejection, CME) are (1) the spherical form and sharpness of the dome’s outer edge and the erupting CME loops observed inside the dome; (2) the low-coronal wave signatures above the limb perfectly connecting to the on-disk signatures of the wave; (3) the lateral extent of the expanding dome which is much larger than that of the coronal dimming; and (4) the associated high-frequency type II burst indicating shock formation low in the corona. The velocity of the upward expansion of the wave dome (v ∼ 650 km s⁻¹) is larger than that of the lateral expansion of the wave (v ∼ 280 km s⁻¹), indicating that the upward dome expansion is driven all the time, and thus depends on the CME speed, whereas in the lateral direction it is freely propagating after the CME lateral expansion stops. We also examine the evolution of the perturbation characteristics: first the perturbation profile steepens and the amplitude increases. Thereafter, the amplitude decreases with r⁻².5±0.3, the width broadens, and the integral below the perturbation remains constant. Our findings are consistent with the spherical expansion and decay of a weakly shocked fast-mode MHD wave.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Large-scale propagating disturbances in the solar atmosphere occurring in association with flares and coronal mass ejections (CMEs) have been first observed in chromospheric filtergrams (Moreton 1960; Athay & Moreton 1961). These “Moreton waves” propagate with typical velocities on the order of 1000 km s⁻¹, and have been interpreted as the ground track of a dome-shaped MHD wave front propagating through the solar corona, which compresses and pushes the chromospheric plasma downward when sweeping over it (Uchida 1968). The Extreme-ultraviolet Imaging Telescope (EIT; Delaboudinière et al. 1995) on board SOHO for the first time imaged such wave-like disturbances in coronal emission lines (Moses et al. 1997; Thompson et al. 1998).

EIT waves have been initially interpreted as the coronal counterparts of the chromospheric Moreton waves as predicted in Uchida’s fast-mode coronal MHD wave model (Thompson et al. 1999). However, differences in morphology and propagation velocities of Moreton waves and EIT waves, which lie mostly in the range 200–400 km s⁻¹ (Klassen et al. 2000; Thompson & Myers 2009) led to severe doubts of this interpretation and alternative models were put forward. Some of them question if the phenomenon is a wave at all, and instead suggest that it is a signature of the large-scale coronal restructuring due to the erupting CME causing plasma compression or localized energy release (e.g., Delannée & Aulanier 1999; Chen et al. 2002; Attrill et al. 2007). For detailed discussions of the different models, we refer to the recent reviews by Warmuth (2007), Vršnak & Cliver (2008), and Wills-Davey & Attrill (2009).

There seems to be some consensus that Moreton waves are indeed shock waves, as suggested by their high propagation velocities and perturbation characteristics. It was shown that the amplitude and velocity of Moreton waves decrease, and the width of the wave pulse broadens as it propagates, consistent with a large-amplitude wave or freely propagating shock wave that formed by steepening of a simple wave (e.g., Warmuth et al. 2004). However, the situation is unclear for large-scale waves observed in the corona. There is rather qualitative insight that the wave fronts not only become more diffuse but also broaden as they propagate (Thompson et al. 1999; Klassen et al. 2000; Podladchikova & Berghmans 2005), which may be due to energy flux conservation or dispersion of the wave (e.g., Wills-Davey & Attrill 2009). On a statistical basis of different EIT waves, Warmuth (2010) concludes that for larger distances the perturbation amplitudes tend to become smaller and the width larger. However, a case study of the wave evolution in high-cadence TRACE images by Wills-Davey (2006) revealed that the width of the wave pulse remained constant during the propagation.

Due to the low EIT cadence of ∼12–15 minutes, it was not possible to detect fast EIT waves and to study the evolution of the wave pulse characteristics, which is important in constraining the physical processes. The Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUVI; Howard et al. 2008) instruments on board the twin spacecraft of the Solar-Terrestrial Relations Observatory (STEREO; Kaiser et al. 2008) overcome these limitations and offer several advantages for the study of large-scale coronal waves, in particular due to their high cadence, large field of view (FoV), high sensitivity, and the simultaneous observations from two vantage points.

Since the launch of the STEREO satellites in 2006 October, a variety of case studies of large-scale coronal waves have been carried out using EUVI data (Long et al. 2008; Veronig et al. 2008; Gopalswamy et al. 2009; Patsourakos & Vourlidakis 2009; Patsourakos et al. 2009; Attrill et al. 2009; Cohen et al. 2009; Kienreich et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2009; Zhukov et al. 2009; Dai et al. 2010). The typical propagation velocities that were derived from EUVI waves (that all occurred during solar minimum
conditions and were not accompanied by Moreton waves) are in the range 200–350 km s\(^{-1}\). For the kinematical evolution, the results so far are rather inconclusive: some of the waves studied with EUVI showed evidence for deceleration during their propagation, consistent with the decay and deceleration of a large amplitude wave to the fast-mode speed of the ambient corona (e.g., Long et al. 2008; Veronig et al. 2008), whereas others showed constant velocity (e.g., Ma et al. 2009; Kienreich et al. 2009). It is worth noting that many of the EUVI wave studies revealed a close association of the wave and the erupting CME and its expanding flanks (e.g., Veronig et al. 2008; Attrill et al. 2009; Kienreich et al. 2009; Patsourakos et al. 2009), whereas the associated flares were all very weak. Stereoscopic EUVI studies revealed that the wave signal is typically confined to about 1–2 coronal scale heights above the chromosphere (Patsourakos & Vourlidas 2009; Patsourakos et al. 2009; Kienreich et al. 2009).

In this Letter, we present the first observations of the full dome of the wave observed in EUV, which is consistent with the three-dimensional expansion of a coronal shock front. We note that part of a wave dome had been observed in soft X-rays by Yohkoh/SXT for a fast coronal wave that occurred in association with a Moreton wave (Narukage et al. 2004). We also study the evolution of the wave pulse characteristics (velocity, amplitude, width) in high-cadence EUV imaging over a propagation distance of more than 1 \(R_\odot\), and discuss the implications for the physical processes involved.

2. DATA

The EUVI instrument is part of the Sun Earth Connection Coronal and Heliospheric Investigation (SECCHI; Howard et al. 2008) instrument suite on board the STEREO-A (ahead) and STEREO-B (behind) spacecraft. EUVI observes the chromosphere and low corona in four spectral channels (He ii 304 Å: \(T \sim 0.07\) MK; Fe ix 171 Å: \(T \sim 1\) MK; Fe xii 195 Å: \(T \sim 1.5\) MK; Fe xv 284 Å: \(T \sim 2.25\) MK) out to 1.7 \(R_\odot\) with a pixel-limited spatial resolution of 1/6 pixel\(^{-1}\) (Wuelser et al. 2004). On 2010 January 17, STEREO-B was 69\,2 behind Earth on its orbit around the Sun, observing a large-scale coronal wave in its Eastern hemisphere. The EUVI-B imaging cadence was 2.5 minutes in the 171 Å, 5 minutes in the 195 Å, 2.5–5 minutes in the 284 Å, and 5 minutes in the 304 Å passband. The EUVI data were reduced using the secchi_prep routine available within Solarsoft, and corrected for solar differential rotation before we derived base and running difference and ratio images, respectively. We also show white light observations from the COR1-B coronagraph, which has an FoV of 1.5–4 \(R_\odot\), and observed with a cadence of 5 minutes during the event under study.

3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the wave in EUVI 195 Å direct and running difference images, where we subtracted from each frame the frame taken 5 minutes before (see also the accompanying animation no. 1). The wave is best observed in the EUVI 195 Å filter, which has a broad temperature response peaking at \(\sim 1.5\) MK, but can be observed in all four wavelengths. In the 195 Å images at 03:56 and 04:01 UT the full dome of the wave is clearly observed, even in the direct images. The image sequence also reveals that the on-disk signatures of the wave perfectly connect to the wave dome observed above the limb. The sharp and very regular edges of the dome further suggest that we really observe the shock front of the wave. In EUVI 171 Å images, erupting CME loops are observed behind/inside the dome (see Figure 2).

In Figure 2, we plot difference images taken almost simultaneously (between 03:56 and 03:57 UT) in all four EUVI channels. The wave dome can be identified in all four wavelengths, which indicates that structures at different temperatures are disturbed by the wave, covering at least the temperature range 1.0–2.3 MK. The fact that we can observe the wave dome also in the EUVI 304 Å filter actually indicates that Si xi is significantly contributing to the 304 Å emission in addition to the \(10^8\) K emission due to He ii lines (see also Long et al. 2008; Patsourakos & Vourlidas 2009).

We also note that Hiraiso Radio Spectrograph (HIRAS) reported an associated high-frequency type II burst drifting from \(\sim 310\) MHz to \(\sim 80\) MHz during \(\sim 03:51–03:58\) UT. The wave center was derived at a meridional distance of 57° for STEREO-B (see Figure 3), which implies that it was located 36° behind the Eastern solar limb for an Earth-based vantage point, corresponding to an occultation height of about 0.23 \(R_\odot\) \(\sim 160\) Mm. Since the radio source was behind the solar limb when looking from the Earth, the observed emission has to be at the harmonic of the plasma frequency. Applying two- to 10-fold Saito density models this corresponds to the height range 0.11–0.35 \(R_\odot\), suggesting that the shock occurred relatively low in the solar corona. These shock formation heights are consistent with the heights of the wave dome observed in EUV (see Figure 1).

In the sixth panel of Figure 1, the outer contours of the coronal dimming region as identified in 195 Å base ratio images (05:01 UT/03:56 UT) are overlaid. The time at which we extract the contours, the dimming was maximally developed and darkest; the contour lines plotted in Figure 1 are at 80% of the pre-event intensity. It is evident that the north–south extent of the dimming region, which outlines the lateral extent of the CME structure low in the corona (CME flanks), is significantly smaller than that of the expanding wave dome. If the dome corresponded to the CME body, its extent should not exceed that of the coronal dimming. In Figure 3 (panels b and c), we show composites of EUVI 195 Å and COR1 images. The wave dome observed in EUVI images smoothly extends to the white-light structure observed in COR1. This suggests that the outer edge observed in the white-light coronagraphic images correspond rather to the coronal shock ahead of the CME than to the CME leading edge itself (see also Vourlidas et al. 2003).

Figure 3(a) shows an EUVI-B 195 Å difference image together with all wave fronts determined in the 195 Å passband and the center obtained from circular fits to the earliest wave fronts in the three-dimensional spherical plane (see Veronig et al. 2006). For each wave front visually identified in the 195, 171, 284, and 304 Å difference images, we determined the mean distance from the derived center along the spherical solar surface. The top panel in Figure 4 shows the resulting wave kinematics. The velocity of the wave obtained from the linear fit to the kinematical curve is \(v \sim 283 \pm 27\) km s\(^{-1}\), and remains constant over the propagation distance up to 950 Mm. In the same panel, we also plot the kinematics of the wave dome followed along its main propagation direction as observed in EUVI and COR1. The distance of the wave dome is measured against the plane of sky, and for the starting point we use the center derived for the on-disk EUVI wave measurements. The plot shows that at the beginning the distance of the on-disk wave and the height of the dome are roughly in agreement,
but the upward movement of the wave dome is much faster ($v \sim 650 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) than the lateral expansion of the wave observed on the solar disk ($v \sim 280 \text{ km s}^{-1}$).

In Figure 5 we plot the evolution of the intensity amplitudes of the wave, so-called “perturbation profiles,” determined from 195 Å ratio images, where we divided each frame by the frame recorded 10 minutes before. We calculated the intensity profiles over a 60° sector on the solar sphere (indicated in Figure 3(a)), where the signal of the wave is strongest, by averaging the intensities of all pixels in “rings” of increasing radius around the wave origin shown in Figure 3 (see Podladchikova & Berghmans 2005; Muhr et al. 2010). Base ratio images would, in principle, be better suited to study the perturbation profiles. However, these are affected by changes in the quiet Sun as well as by brightenings induced by the wave front passage that are only slowly fading (“stationary brightenings”; Attrill et al. 2007). As a result of several tests, we use 10 minute running ratio images since they well represent the propagating wave profile and ensure that the peak of the wave amplitude is not cut.

The propagation of the wave is well reflected in the perturbation profile evolution plotted in Figure 5 (see also the accompanying animation no. 2). We also observe steepening and amplitude increase in the profiles 03:56 to 04:01 UT, where the highest amplitude of 1.45 is reached, corresponding to an enhancement of 45% above the pre-event level. Assuming that the intensity enhancement is primarily due to plasma compression rather than due to temperature changes, which is somewhat justified by the observations of the wave over a broad temperature range in the four EUVI channels, this intensity amplitude of $I/I_0 = 1.45$ in an optically thin emission line corresponds to a density ratio $n/n_0 \propto (I/I_0)^{1/2} \sim 1.2$. The perturbation amplitudes in the other EUVI channels are smaller than in 195 Å but clearly recognized; see the peak amplitudes in 171 Å and 284 Å plotted in the second panel of Figure 5. We also note that at the time of maximum amplitude, the outer edge of the wave front is steepest. In the subsequent evolution, the amplitude and the steepness of the wave front decrease until it can no longer be followed in the profiles at about 04:36 UT.

In the middle panel of Figure 4 we plot the evolution of the intensity amplitude, which decreases continuously after the peak at 04:01 UT. We fitted the profile amplitude $A = (I - I_0)/I_0$ as a function of the propagation distance $d$ with a power law $A = a \cdot d^b$, giving $b = -2.5 \pm 0.3$. We also measured the width of the wave and followed its evolution. Due to the problematics of the stationary brightenings behind the wave front (which masks the trailing part), we extract only the width of the frontal...
Figure 2. Five minute running difference images of the wave dome in all four EUVI-B spectral channels. Arrows outline the wave dome; crosses indicate the erupting CME loops inside the dome.

Figure 3. (a) Median-filtered EUVI-B 195 Å 5 minute running difference image at 04:06 UT. The yellow curves indicate the outer edges of the wave fronts visually identified in the EUVI-B 195 Å filtergrams. The blue curves outline the wave propagation sector in which the intensity profiles plotted in Figure 5 were calculated, starting from the determined wave center (indicated by a yellow star). (b) and (c) Composites of EUVI-B and COR1-B running difference images (note the larger FoV compared to panel a). The black circle indicates the inner border of the COR1 FoV at 1.5 $R_\odot$.

part, i.e., from the profile peak to the outer front. We derived the full width of the frontal part (defined from the peak down to 2% enhancement above the pre-event level), as well as the frontal width at half-maximum. These are plotted in the bottom panel of Figure 4 together with the integral of the perturbation profile (as shown in Figure 5) over the frontal part of the wave. The width of the wave pulse increases during its evolution by a factor of 3–4, whereas the integral remains basically constant (changes are $\lesssim 25\%$).

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

There are four main arguments supporting the conclusion that the observed EUV structure is the wave dome and not the CME body. (1) The dome appears spherically three-dimensional with a sharp outer edge; inside the dome erupting CME loops are observed. (2) The wave signatures observed in the low corona above the limb perfectly connect to the wave signatures observed against the solar disk. (3) The lateral extent of the expanding dome is much larger than that of the coronal
dimming. (4) The event was associated with a high-frequency type II burst indicating shock formation low in the corona, which is consistent with the observed EUV dome height.

The velocity derived for the upward expansion of the wave dome ($v \sim 650$ km s$^{-1}$) is larger than that of the lateral expansion of the wave observed on-disk ($v \sim 280$ km s$^{-1}$). There are at least two alternative explanations for this. In the freely propagating phase, the upward–lateral velocity difference can be due to differences of the fast magnetosonic velocities of the active region (AR) and the ambient quiet corona. The tip of the wave dome lies above the AR, and the magnetosonic speed is much higher above ARs than at low heights in its surroundings (e.g., Warmuth & Mann 2005). An alternative explanation is that the upward dome expansion is driven all the time, and thus depends on the CME speed, whereas in the lateral direction the wave is freely propagating as soon as the lateral expansion of the CME flanks has stopped, and its velocity is determined by the characteristic speed of the medium. The second interpretation is supported by the evolution of the dimming region in the low corona, which is fast expanding up to about 04:01–04:06 UT. Thereafter, the coronal dimming still gets darker until about 05:00 UT, indicating ongoing mass depletion, but with only little (or no) further expansion after 04:06 UT.

The high-cadence EUV observations together with the distinct wave signal observed in the low corona against the solar disk allowed us to study in detail the evolution of the perturbation characteristics during the coronal wave propagation. We find that the amplitude of the perturbation first increases and the perturbation profile steepens within the first 5 minutes of the event (i.e., until 04:01 UT), thereafter the amplitude $A$ decreases, following a power law of the form $A \propto r^{-2.5\pm0.3}$. The width of the perturbation profile broadens during its evolution by a factor of 3–4. This broadening is observed for both the full width as well as for the width at half-maximum. We stress that we only measured the frontal width of the wave pulse due to the uncertainties in the trailing part (mostly due to stationary brightenings induced by the wave passage). Since the perturbation profile is not necessarily symmetric, the evolution of the total width of the wave pulse may be different, but we do not expect that it would alter the main outcome of broadening, which is a quite pronounced effect. The integral below the frontal part of the perturbation profile basically remains constant. These characteristics of the wave pulse evolution are all consistent with the
spherical expansion and decay of a large-amplitude fast-mode MHD wave.

The velocity of the lateral wave expansion ($v \sim 280 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) lies in the range of the fast magnetosonic speed in the quiet solar corona during solar minimum condition (see discussion in Kienreich et al. 2009). From the observed EUV wave intensities at the highest amplitude reached at $\sim 04:01$ UT, we obtained a rough estimate of the peak density jump at the wave front, $n/n_0 \sim 1.2$. This corresponds to a perpendicular fast magnetosonic wave number of $M_{fms} \sim 1.15$ (Priest 1982).

Thereafter, as the wave decays to $n/n_0 = 1.04$ (Figure 5; last profile at 04:36 UT), it approaches a linear regime since $M_{fms} \sim 1.03$. These numbers indicate the evolution of a weak shock, and may explain why we do not observe a significant deceleration of the free propagation of the lateral wave expansion in association with the amplitude decay.
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