Femoroacetabular impingement with chronic acetabular rim fracture - 3D computed tomography, 3D magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopic correlation
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Abstract
Femoroacetabular impingement is uncommonly associated with a large rim fragment of bone along the superolateral acetabulum. We report an unusual case of femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) with chronic acetabular rim fracture. Radiographic, 3D computed tomography, 3D magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopy correlation is presented with discussion of relative advantages and disadvantages of various modalities in the context of FAI.
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Core tip: Rim fracture is an uncommon finding in the context of femoroacetabular impingement and its management can be aided by bony remodeling and labral-cartilage assessment on pre-operative 3D computed tomography and 3D magnetic resonance imaging.
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INTRODUCTION

Femoroacetabular impingement is uncommonly associated with a large rim fragment of bone along the superolateral acetabulum. The fragment can put surgeons in a dilemma, whether to excise the fragment or to operatively re-attach it to the acetabulum. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be very helpful in pre-operative planning. We report radiographic, 3D CT, 3D MRI and arthroscopy correlation in such a case of chronic acetabular rim fracture and discuss the relative advantages and disadvantages of various imaging modalities.

CASE REPORT

A 46-year-old man presented to the sports clinic with recalcitrant bilateral hip pain, right worse than left. He was in a motorcycle accident 3 mo before when he landed on his left hip. He noted some pain in the right hip at that time. However, it significantly worsened after playing golf recently, a week before the current presentation. With swinging and rotating movements, he had worsening pain, rated as 9 to 10/10. He had a positive “C sign” and he localized his pain anteriorly in the groin. He also noted that he is limping because of pain and experiences a click and pain getting in and out of a car. He had other prior injuries, namely a motor vehicle accident 14 years ago, which led to right knee injury and meniscus repair; and another injury 7 mo ago when he was running and slipped in a small hole. There, he heard and felt a pop in his left knee and experienced swelling with difficulty in activities over the next several days, which gradually decreased over time. The past medical history was unremarkable, except for type II diabetes mellitus and hypertension. On examination, he walked with a coxalgic gait favoring the right side. The range of motion of the right hip vs left hip was as follows, flexion 95/100, abduction 40/50, internal rotation at 90° of flexion 5/5, external rotation at 90° of flexion 40/40. He had some tenderness anteriorly. No sacroiliac or abductor tenderness was present. He had a positive impingement sign and positive flexion abduction and external rotation (FABER) sign. His motor strength was - 5/5 hip flexion, abduction and adduction; 5/5 tibialis anterior, gastrocnemius and extensor hallucis longus (EHL). Straight leg raise was negative. In the left hip, he was slightly tender over the trochanter and had positive impingement on that side as well. FABER was negative and his strength was 5/5 in tibialis anterior, gastrocnemius, EHL and throughout the hip. He had intact sensation and palpable pulses. The clinical diagnosis was femoroacetabular impingement.

The radiographs of pelvis in anteroposterior standing, and dedicated views of both hips confirmed bilateral femoroacetabular impingement anatomy. The right hip showed a large bony osteophyte, possibly an os acetabulum, resulting a center edge angle of 46°.

There was a suggestion of prior rim fracture with a lucent line between the fragment and the underlying bone (Figure 1). The alpha angle was 68° with significantly decreased head and neck offset. Some sclerosis was observed in the acetabulum; however, no substantial joint space narrowing was present. In the left hip, there was some calcification in the area of the labrum as well.

3D CT of the pelvis was obtained on a 64 slice scanner (Aquilion Intuition, Toshiba, Tustin, CA, United States) using 0.625 mm beam collimation for presurgical planning purposes. It confirmed bilateral mixed type femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) anatomy and right acetabular rim fracture (Figure 2). The patient also had a CT abdomen and pelvis with contrast 2 years before for other reasons, which showed similar findings in bilateral hips. 3D surface rendered bone reconstructions and thick slab maximum intensity projection obtained on an independent work (Aquarius, Tera Recon, Foster City, CA, United States) nicely showed the volumetric display of the anatomic right hip derangement, rim fracture and a potentially loose anterior superior fragment (Figure 2). The alpha angle was 65°, coronal center edge angle and sagittal center edge angles were 44° and 61°, respectively. The femoral neck shaft angle was 126° and the acetabular version measurements, adjusting for pelvic tilt near zero were 9.4°, 21.3° and 18.1° at 1:00, 2:00 and 3:00 clock positions, respectively. The femoral anteversion was 12.9°.

MRI of right hip was obtained for labral and cartilage evaluation. The MRI protocol included both high resolution 2D (3 mm) and isotropic (0.7 mm) 3D proton density weighted and fat suppressed proton density imaging sequences on a 3 Tesla scanner (Achieva, Philips, Best, Netherlands) using a torso coil. The imaging demonstrated again showed the CAM and PINCER anatomy, chronic rim fracture with pseudoarthrosis and cystic changes. There were multifocal labral tears extending from the anterior–superior labrum to the posterior–superior labrum and associated large multiloculated para labral cyst measuring 2.8 cm (AP)

Figure 1 AP (A) and Dunn lateral (B) views of the right hip show right femoral head and neck bump and superolateral acetabular over coverage with suggestion of a rim fracture (arrows). Notice mild subchondral acetabular sclerosis.
× 1.4 cm (Tr) × 2.9 cm (CC), which had undercut and wrapped around the indirect head of the rectus femoris tendon. The femoral cartilage was normal. The acetabular cartilage showed small area of high grade fissuring involving the anterior-superior and superior-lateral acetabulum with underlying subchondral cystic changes (Figure 3). There was low-grade partial tear of the proximal iliofemoral ligament. 3D surface rendered bone reconstructions were obtained from the isotropic 3D imaging on the same work station using semi-automated contour drawing tool that also demonstrated the bony anatomy of FAI and rim fracture. The patient
received physical rehabilitation and an ultrasound guided local anesthetic and steroid injection of right hip over the next 4 mo without much relief.

Further 4 mo later, the patient underwent right hip arthroscopy with labral debridement as well as open reduction and internal fixation of acetabular rim fracture with a screw, rim trim and femoroplasty cam decompression. The acetabular labrum was found to be attached to the loose mobile fragment, which disrupted the continuity of the labrum at the 1 to 2 o'clock position as defined with respect to the acetabular notch (Figure 4). This fragment was removed. The remaining anterior labrum was shredded from the 3 o'clock to 6 o'clock position. There was an additional lateral acetabular rim fracture that extended from the 1 o'clock position anteriorly to the 11 o'clock position posteriorly. The rim fragment was mobile, but contained both intact articular cartilage and a labral rim. Femoral head cartilage was intact. The acetabular cartilage was found to be intact anteriorly and posteriorly; however, at the site of the acetabular rim fracture, there was a crack through the acetabular cartilage. The loose body at the calcified acetabulum was somewhat tethered to the soft tissues of the capsule. This was released with radiofrequency and then removed as 1 piece, approximately 1 cm × 1 cm in size. Using an arthroscopic shaver and radiofrequency device, the labrum was debrided back to a stable rim over this area from 3 to 6 o'clock. The labrum also stabilized at its truncation point at 1 o’clock. Acetabular rim trim was then performed using a 4.5 mm shaver along the 1 to 3 o’clock positions. The removal of the acetabular rim fragment would have resulted in a significant loss in lateral acetabular cartilage and labrum. Therefore, it was fixed with a 2.4 mm headless cannulated screw. The screw was inserted arthroscopically and resulted in excellent compression across the fracture site. Finally, femoroplasty and Cam decompression was performed by debriding the femoral head and neck junction over the anterior–superior and anterolateral aspect of the femoral head-neck junction. The patient did well on follow-up obtained over next 6 mo.

DISCUSSION

Femoroacetabular impingement is a patho-mechanical process due to presence of either a mis-shapen femoral head (CAM lesion) or mal-rotated/deep acetabulum (PINCER lesion) resulting in early and accelerated fibrocartilage and/or hyaline cartilage degeneration[1-5]. For the correction of the altered anatomy, the surgeon pre-operatively needs to know the extent of bony as well as soft tissue lesions or any odd lesions, such as a rim fracture in this case. Our patient showed bilateral FAI anatomy on CT abdomen and pelvis obtained 2 years ago for other reasons but he did not have hip symptoms.
at that time. It is well reported in the literature that many asymptomatic subjects might show radiographic evidence of altered anatomy suggesting FAI on various imaging modalities and therefore, clinical correlation of symptomatology, positive impingement test, “C sign” and focused hip examination is essential for the FAI diagnosis.

The association of FAI anatomy with labral tears and hyaline cartilage degeneration is well known. Most common areas of labral tears are in anterosuperior or superolateral quadrants. Higher offset alpha angles are associated with larger labral tears, more cartilage delamination, male sex and decreased range of motion as in this case, where alpha angle was 65°-68°. 3D CT is the current reference standard for demonstration of bony alterations of FAI and is widely used for pre-operative planning. It provides exquisite surface rendered reconstructions and affords easy and accurate calculation of various angular and linear measurements intended for prospective surgical bony re-alignment. It was difficult to tell on radiographs due to their planar nature, whether the superolateral acetabular rim represented a large os acetabulum with labral ossification or a rim fracture. 3D CT reconstruction confirmed the presence of a rim fracture with pseudoarthrosis and also detected an anterior potentially loose fragment. It has been previously reported that os acetabulum related lucency is parallel to the joint surface unlike the rim fracture, which is more perpendicular in orientation. However, the above differentiation might not be clear cut, and further MR imaging demonstration or surgical inspection of hyaline cartilage extension to the broken fragment might be needed for accurate identification. It has been shown that 3D CT can also moderately predict the internal soft tissue derangement findings of FAI based on altered bony anatomy, however, MR imaging is the current reference standard for labrum and hyaline cartilage evaluation for detection of locations of tears, their characterization and determining the extent of secondary osteoarthrosis.

A high-resolution, non-arthrographic technique at 3 Tesla (T) imaging potentially provides more accurate and reproducible preoperative information regarding the presence and anatomic location of labral and cartilage abnormalities similar to arthographic technique at 1.5T. Soft tissue internal derangement findings nicely correlated with surgical findings. Except for cartilage crack at fracture site, cartilage fissuring was not reported on arthroscopy due to follow-up visits. We do not have a long term follow-up on our patient but he did well in the short term.

To conclude, rim fracture is an uncommon finding in the context of FAI and its management can be aided by bony remodeling and labral-cartilage assessment on pre-operative 3D CT and 3D MR imaging.

**COMMENTS**

**Case characteristics**

A 46-year-old man presented with bilateral hip pain, right worse than left.

**Clinical diagnosis**

Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI).

**Differential diagnosis**

Tumor, infection or inflammatory condition, fracture, and avascular necrosis.
Imaging diagnosis

3D computed tomography (CT) and 3D magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) confirmed FAI with chronic acetabular rim fracture.

Treatment

Right hip arthroscopy with labral debridement as well as open reduction and internal fixation of acetabular rim fracture with a screw, rim trim and femoroplasty cam decompression.

Related reports

It is well reported in the literature that many asymptomatic subjects might show radiographic evidence of altered anatomy suggesting FAI on various imaging modalities and therefore, clinical correlation of symptomatology, positive impingement test, “C sign” and focused hip examination is essential for the FAI diagnosis.

Term explanation

Femoroacetabular impingement is a patho-mechanical process due to presence of either a mis-shape femoral head (CAM lesion) or mal-rotated/deep acetabulum (PINCER lesion) resulting in early and accelerated fibrocartilage and hyaline cartilage degeneration.

Experiences and lessons

Rim fracture is an uncommon finding in the context of FAI and its management can be aided by bony remodeling and labral-cartilage assessment on pre-operative 3D CT and 3D MR imaging.

Peer-review

The authors present an unusual case of FAI with chronic acetabular rim fracture. Radiographic, 3D CT, 3D MRI and arthroscopy correlation is presented with discussion of their relative advantages and disadvantages in the context of FAI.
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