Modular Theory, Non-Commutative Geometry and Quantum Gravity

Paolo BERTOZZINI †, Roberto CONTI ‡ and Wicharn LEWKEERATIYUTKUL §

† Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University, Pathumthani 12121, Thailand
E-mail: paolo.th@gmail.com
URL: http://www.paolo-th.110mb.com

‡ Dipartimento di Scienze, Università di Chieti-Pescara “G. D’Annunzio”, Viale Pindaro 42, I-65127 Pescara, Italy
E-mail: conti@sci.unich.it

§ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
E-mail: Wicharn.L@chula.ac.th
URL: http://www.math.sc.chula.ac.th/wicharn.l

Received March 30, 2010, in final form July 26, 2010; Published online August 19, 2010
doi:10.3842/SIGMA.2010.067
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1 Introduction

This paper is an expansion of the physical implications of some ideas already sketched in the last part of the companion survey [30] and aimed at setting up an approach to non-perturbative quantum gravity based on Tomita–Takesaki modular theory. We also provide an exhaustive discussion of background material, notably modular theory and non-commutative geometry, that could be useful to put these ideas in the right perspective and to stimulate crossing relations and further development.

In Section 2, in order to establish our notation, we describe some well-known notions in the theory of operator algebras and some (maybe not so well-known) portions of Tomita–Takesaki modular theory. The emphasis is on potentially useful categorical and duality results that in our opinion have not been fully exploited in their applications to physics and that we expect to turn out to be useful tools in our proposal for modular algebraic quantum gravity.

A sharp transition from mathematics to physics characterizes Section 3, where we have tried to summarize (with some attention to actual historical developments) the current applications of Tomita–Takesaki modular theory in mathematical physics. We hope that this material might be useful to appropriately contextualize our discussion and proposal for modular algebraic quantum gravity in the subsequent Section 6. Care has been taken to provide at least some essential bibliographic references.

In Section 4, always for notational purposes and to make the paper as self-contained as possible, we briefly introduce the basic notions of metric non-commutative geometry that, following A. Connes, are specified via axioms for spectral triples. We also mention some alternative proposals of axiomatization for non-commutative geometries, such as Lorentzian spectral triples and especially non-commutative Riemannian geometries and phase spaces, that already show closer links with modular theory.

Section 5 contains a discussion of the interplay between A. Connes’ non-commutative geometry and Tomita–Takesaki modular theory. Most of the material is inspired by the work of A. Carey, A. Rennie, J. Phillips and F. Sukochev, but we briefly present other approaches including some material, that was developed a few years ago by the authors, on the interplay between modular theory and spectral triples for AF $C^*$-algebras constructed by C. Antonescu and E. Christensen. In a small subsection we present some bibliographic references for those
few situations in which modular theory and non-commutative geometry together have already started to find connections with physics.

The main focus of this work is the final Section 6 in which we explain the philosophical motivations for the spectral reconstruction of non-commutative geometries from states over categories of observable algebras. A first connection between our modular spectral geometries and A. Carey, J. Phillips and A. Rennie modular spectral triples is established in Theorem 6.2 while some elementary steps in the direction of applications to loop quantum gravity are developed in Subsection 6.5. Most of the steps described here are still tentative and the reader might feel disappointed finding that no solution will be offered here to the deep problems of reconciliation between quantum physics and generally relativistic physics, but we hope that at least an alternative path to the physical interpretation of modular covariance might be for now sufficiently intriguing.

The reader is warned that the paper is divided into three strictly interrelated, but essentially different parts, as long as their established relevance for physics is concerned.

Sections 2 and 3 deal with a review of standard mathematical results from Tomita–Takesaki modular theory and their already well-established and absolutely unquestionable applications in mathematical physics, notably quantum statistical mechanics and algebraic quantum field theory. A few more speculative physical applications are mentioned as well, but only if they are based on the usage of standard modular theory alone.

Sections 4 and 5 contain a review of mostly purely mathematical material (spectral triples) in the area of A. Connes’ non-commutative geometry and explore in some detail several possible connections that have been emerging with Tomita–Takesaki modular theory. It is important to stress that, despite the perfectly sound framework, the astonishing mathematical achievements and the strong appeal of non-commutative geometry among theoretical physicists, ideas from non-commutative geometry are still lacking the same solid validation of modular theory and their basic role in physics is still somehow questionable.

Finally, Section 6 contains (for now) extremely speculative material on a possible marriage between modular theory and non-commutative geometry in pursuit of a fundamental theory of quantum physics. Although the proposed framework is still incomplete, to say the least, we think that a detailed exposition of these ideas (originally formulated several years ago) is already overdue and somehow urgent in order to make connection with current developments in quantum gravity research.

2 Tomita–Takesaki modular theory

2.1 Operator algebras

Just for the purpose of establishing notation, conventions and some terminology, we introduce here some basic notions from the theory of operator algebra.\footnote{For a general overview of the theory of operator algebras we refer the reader to the reference book by B. Blackadar [35] and, among the many textbooks, to the detailed treatments in R. Kadison and J. Ringrose, [151], B.R. Li [171], J. Fell and R. Doran [118], M. Takesaki [246]. Our exposition of dynamical systems and KMS-states can be found in O. Bratteli and D. Robinson [43] Sections 2.5.3, 2.7.1, 5.3].}

A complex unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with an associative unital bilinear multiplication. $\mathcal{A}$ is Abelian (commutative) if $ab = ba$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

A complex algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called an involutive algebra (or $\ast$-algebra) if it is equipped with an involution i.e. a conjugate linear map $\ast : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $(a^\ast)^\ast = a$ and $(ab)^\ast = b^\ast a^\ast$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

An involutive complex unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called a $C^\ast$-algebra if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach space with a norm $a \mapsto \|a\|$ such that $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$ and $\|a^\ast a\| = \|a\|^2$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.
Notable examples are the algebras of continuous complex valued functions \(C(X; \mathbb{C})\) on a compact topological space \(X\) with the “sup norm” \(\|f\| := \sup_{p \in X} |f(p)|\), for all \(f \in C(X; \mathbb{C})\), and the algebras of linear bounded operators \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) on a given Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\).

A von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) is a \(C^*\)-algebra acting on the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) that is closed under the weak-operator topology: \(A_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} A\) if \(\langle \xi | A_n \eta \rangle \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \langle \xi | A\eta \rangle\), for all \(\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}\) or equivalently under the \(\sigma\)-weak topology: \(A_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} A\) and only if for all sequences \((\xi_n),(\eta_n)\) in \(\mathcal{H}\) such that \(\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \|\xi_k\|^2 < +\infty\) and \(\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \|\zeta_k\|^2 < +\infty\) we have \(\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \langle \xi_k | A_n \zeta_k \rangle \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \langle \xi_k | A\zeta_k \rangle\).

The pre-dual \(\mathcal{M}_*\) of a von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M}\) is the set of all \(\sigma\)-weakly continuous functionals on \(\mathcal{M}\). It is a Banach subspace of the dual \(\mathcal{M}^*\). The von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M}\) is always the dual of \(\mathcal{M}_*\). By a theorem of S. Sakai, a \(C^*\)-algebra \(\mathcal{A}\) is isomorphic to a von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M}\) if and only if it is a dual of a Banach space.

A state \(\omega\) over a unital \(C^*\)-algebra \(\mathcal{A}\) is a linear function \(\omega : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}\) that is positive \(\omega(x^*x) \geq 0\) for all \(x \in \mathcal{A}\) and normalized \(\omega(1_\mathcal{A}) = 1\).

To every state \(\omega\) over a unital \(C^*\)-algebra \(\mathcal{A}\) we can associate its Gel’fand–Naĭmark–Segal representation, i.e. a unital \(*\)-homomorphism \(\pi_\omega : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)\), over a Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}_\omega\) with a norm-one vector \(\xi_\omega\) such that \(\omega(x) = \langle \xi_\omega | \pi_\omega(x) \xi_\omega \rangle\) for all \(x \in \mathcal{A}\).

A \(C^*\)-dynamical system \((\mathcal{A}, \alpha)\) is a \(C^*\)-algebra \(\mathcal{A}\) equipped with a group homomorphism \(\alpha : G \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})\) that is strongly continuous i.e. \(g \mapsto \|\alpha_g(x)\|\) is a continuous map for all \(x \in \mathcal{A}\). Similarly a von Neumann dynamical system \((\mathcal{M}, \alpha)\) is a von Neumann algebra acting on the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) equipped with a group homomorphism \(\alpha : G \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})\) that is weakly continuous i.e. \(g \mapsto \langle \xi | \alpha_g(x) \eta \rangle\) is continuous for all \(x \in \mathcal{M}\) and all \(\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}\).

For a one-parameter \((C^*\) or von Neumann) dynamical system \((\mathcal{A}, \alpha)\), with \(\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})\), an element \(x \in \mathcal{A}\) is \(\alpha\)-analytic if there exists a holomorphic extension of the map \(t \mapsto \alpha_t(x)\) to an open horizontal strip \(\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im} \, z < r\}\), with \(r > 0\), in the complex plane. The set of \(\alpha\)-analytic elements is always \(\alpha\)-invariant (i.e. for all \(x\) analytic, \(\alpha(x)\) is analytic) \(*\)-subalgebra of \(\mathcal{A}\) that is norm dense in the \(C^*\) case and weakly dense in the von Neumann case.

A state \(\omega\) on a one-parameter \(C^*\)-dynamical system \((\mathcal{A}, \alpha)\) is a \((\alpha, \beta)\)-KMS state, for \(\beta \in \mathbb{R}\), if for all pairs of elements \(x, y\) in norm dense \(\alpha\)-invariant \(*\)-subalgebra of \(\alpha\)-analytic elements of \(\mathcal{A}\) we have \(\omega(x\alpha_\beta(y)) = \omega(yx)\). In the case of a von Neumann dynamical system \((\mathcal{M}, \alpha)\), a \((\alpha, \beta)\)-KMS state must be normal and should satisfy the above property for all pairs of elements in a weakly dense \(\alpha\)-invariant \(*\)-subalgebra of \(\alpha\)-analytic elements of \(\mathcal{M}\).

### 2.2 Modular theory

The modular theory\(^2\) of von Neumann algebras has been discovered by M. Tomita \cite{Tomita1, Tomita2} in 1967 and put on solid grounds by M. Takesaki \cite{Takesaki} around 1970. It is a very deep theory that, to every von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) acting on a Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\), and to every vector \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\) that is cyclic, i.e. \((\mathcal{M}\xi) = \mathcal{H}\), and separating, i.e. for \(A \in \mathcal{M}\), \(A\xi = 0 \Rightarrow A = 0\), associates:

- a one-parameter unitary group \(t \mapsto \Delta^it \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\)
- and a conjugate-linear isometry \(J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}\) such that:

\[
\Delta^it \mathcal{M} \Delta^-it = \mathcal{M}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and} \quad J \mathcal{M} J = \mathcal{M},
\]

where the commutant \(\mathcal{M}'\) of \(\mathcal{M}\) is defined by \(\mathcal{M}' := \{A' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) | [A', A]_- = 0, \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\}\).

---

\(^2\)Among the several introductions to modular theory now available, the reader can consult Š. Strătilă, L. Zsidó \cite{Zsido} Chapter 10, Š. Strătilă \cite{Stratila}, D. Doplicher’s seminar notes \cite{Doplicher} Chapter IV, O. Bratteli, D. Robinson \cite{BratteliRobinson} Sections 2.5, 2.7.3, 5.3, S. Sunder \cite{Sunder}, R. Kadison, J. Ringrose \cite{KadisonRingrose} Chapter 9, B. R. Li \cite{Li} Chapter 8, M. Takesaki \cite{Takesaki} Vol. II, B. Blackadar \cite{Blackadar} Section III.4. For introductions to the applications of modular theory to mathematical physics some of the best sources are: R. Haag \cite{Haag} Chapter V, R. Longo \cite{Longo}, H.-J. Borchers \cite{Borchers} and especially the recent survey papers by S. J. Summers \cite{Summers}, D. Guido \cite{Guido}, and F. Lledó.\cite{Lledo}
More generally, given a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and a faithful normal state $\omega$ (more generally for a faithful normal semi-finite weight $\omega$ on the algebra $\mathcal{M}$, the modular theory allows to create a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\sigma^\omega_t : t \mapsto \sigma^\omega_t \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \text{with} \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

such that:

- in the Gel’fand–Naimark–Segal representation $\pi^\omega$ induced by the weight $\omega$, on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\omega$, the modular automorphism group $\sigma^\omega_t$ is implemented by a unitary one-parameter group $t \mapsto \Delta^\omega_t \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$ i.e. we have $\pi^\omega(\sigma^\omega_t(x)) = \Delta^\omega_t \pi^\omega(x) \Delta^{-\omega t}_t$, for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

- there is a conjugate-linear isometry $J^\omega : \mathcal{H}_\omega \to \mathcal{H}_\omega$, whose adjoint action implements a modular anti-isomorphism $\gamma^\omega : \pi^\omega(\mathcal{M}) \to \pi^\omega(\mathcal{M})'$, between $\pi^\omega(\mathcal{M})$ and its commutant $\pi^\omega(\mathcal{M})'$, i.e. for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\gamma^\omega(\pi^\omega(x)) = J^\omega \pi^\omega(x) J^\omega$.

The operators $J^\omega$ and $\Delta^\omega$ are called respectively the modular conjugation operator and the modular operator induced by the state (weight) $\omega$. We will call “modular generator” the self-adjoint generator of the unitary one-parameter group $t \mapsto \Delta^\omega_t$ as defined by Stone’s theorem i.e. the operator

$$K^\omega := \log \Delta^\omega, \quad \text{so that} \quad \Delta^\omega_t = e^{iK^\omega t}.$$ 

The modular automorphism group $\sigma^\omega_t$ associated to $\omega$ is the unique one-parameter automorphism group that satisfies the Kubo–Martin–Schwinger $KMS$-condition with respect to the state (or more generally a normal semi-finite faithful weight) $\omega$, at inverse temperature $\beta = -1$, i.e.

$$\omega(\sigma^\omega_t(x)) = \omega(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}$$

and for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a function $F_{x,y} : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \beta] \to \mathbb{C}$ such that:

- $F_{x,y}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{R} \times ]0, \beta[$;
- $F_{x,y}$ is bounded continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \beta]$,
- $F_{x,y}(t) = \omega(\sigma^\omega_t(y)x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$
- $F_{x,y}(i\beta + t) = \omega(x\sigma^\omega_t(y)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$

To a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with a cyclic separating vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, there is an associated natural positive cone $\mathcal{P}_\xi \subset \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathcal{P}_\xi := \{ x\gamma_\omega(x)\xi \mid x \in \mathcal{M} \}^-$. The natural cone is convex, self-dual, modularly stable $\Delta^\omega_t \mathcal{P}_\xi = \mathcal{P}_\xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and pointwise stable under modular conjugation $J^\omega \xi = \xi$, for all $\xi \in \mathcal{P}_\xi$. The data $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}, J^\omega, \mathcal{P}_\xi)$ is usually called a standard form for the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $(\mathcal{M}_j, \mathcal{H}_j, J_j, \mathcal{P}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ be two standard forms for the von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$. Every $*$-isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ between two von Neumann algebras admits a unique unitary spatial implementation $U_\alpha : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ such that $\alpha(x) = U_\alpha x U_\alpha^{-1}$, for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_1$, $J_2 = U_\alpha J_1 U_\alpha^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 = U_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_1)$. In particular two standard forms of a von Neumann algebra are always naturally isomorphic and furthermore, the group of $*$-isomorphisms of a von Neumann algebra admits a unique unitary representation called standard implementation with the three properties above.

\(^3\omega\) is faithful if $\omega(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$; it is normal if for every increasing bounded net of positive elements $x_\lambda \to x$, we have $\omega(x_\lambda) \to \omega(x)$. 
2.3 A categorical view of modular theory

It has long been known, mainly from the pioneering work of J. Roberts [124], that some of the main results in Tomita–Takesaki modular theory have a deep and enlightening interpretation in terms of $W^*$-categories. Let us first introduce some definitions:

**Definition 2.1.** A $*$-category (also called dagger category or involutive category) is a category with a contravariant functor $* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ acting identically on the objects that is involutive i.e. $(x^*)_* = x$ for all $x \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}$. A $*$-category is positive if for all $x \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ there is an element $z \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(A, A)$ such that $z^*z = x^*x$. A $*$-category is an involutive inverse category if $xx^*x = x$ for all $x \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}$. Whenever $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}$ is equipped with a topology, we require the compositions and involutions to be continuous.

For a ($*$)-category $\mathcal{C}$ we will denote by $\mathcal{C}^0$ the set of the set of identities $I_A$, for $A \in \text{Ob}_\mathcal{C}$, simply by $\mathcal{C}$ the set $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}$ and by $\mathcal{C}^n$ the set of $n$-tuples $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of composable arrows $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}$.

**Definition 2.2.** A $C^*$-category is a positive $*$-category $\mathcal{C}$ such that: for all $A, B \in \text{Ob}_\mathcal{C}$, the sets $\mathcal{C}_{AB} := \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ are complex Banach spaces; the compositions are bilinear maps such that $||xy|| \leq ||x|| \cdot ||y||$, for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_{AB}$, $y \in \mathcal{C}_{BC}$; the involutions are conjugate-linear maps such that $||x^*x|| = ||x||^2$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{C}_{BA}$. A $C^*$-category is commutative if for all $A \in \text{Ob}_\mathcal{C}$, the $C^*$-algebras $\mathcal{C}_{AA}$ are commutative.

There is a horizontally categorified version of Gel'fand–Naimark–Segal representation theorem that works for states over $C^*$-categories (see [124, Proposition 1.9] and also [32, Theorem 2.8]).

**Definition 2.3.** A state $\omega : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ on a $C^*$-category $\mathcal{C}$ is map $\omega : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ that is linear when restricted to $\mathcal{C}_{AB}$, for all $A, B \in \text{Ob}_\mathcal{C}$, Hermitian i.e. $\omega(x^*) = \omega(x)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$, normalized i.e. $\omega(I_A) = 1_C$, for all $A \in \text{Ob}_\mathcal{C}$, and positive i.e. $\omega(x^*x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $\omega$ be a state over the $C^*$-category $\mathcal{C}$. There is a representation $\pi_\omega$ of $\mathcal{C}$ on a family $\mathcal{H}_A$ of Hilbert spaces indexed by the objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and there exists a family of normalized vectors $\xi_A \in \mathcal{H}_A$ such that $\omega(x) = \langle \xi_A | \pi_\omega(x)\xi_B \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_A}$, for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_{AB}$.

Inspired by S. Sakai definition of $W^*$-algebra, P. Ghez, R. Lima, J. Roberts [124, Definition 2.1] gave the following:

**Definition 2.5.** A $W^*$-category is a $C^*$-category $\mathcal{W}$ such that each space $\mathcal{W}_{AB}$ is the dual of a Banach space $\mathcal{W}_{AB}$ that is called the pre-dual of $\mathcal{W}_{AB}$.

A $W^*$-category can be equivalently defined as a weakly closed $*$-subcategory of a $C^*$-category of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded linear maps between Hilbert spaces in a given family $\mathcal{H}$.

Tomita–Takesaki modular theory admits a straightforward extension to this $W^*$-categorical setting, via semi-finite faithful normal weights, resulting in a one-parameter group of object-preserving invertible $*$-functors, that has already been clearly investigated in [124, Section 5]. Some pleasant surprises, i.e. Araki relative modular theory, appear as soon as one considers the spatial implementation of the modular $*$-functors as a horizontal categorification of the usual Tomita theory.

**Theorem 2.6.** Given a normal faithful state $\omega$ over a $W^*$-category $\mathcal{W}$, there is an associated weakly continuous one-parameter group $t \mapsto \sigma^\omega_t$ of $*$-auto functors of $\mathcal{W}$ that in the Gel'fand–Naimark–Segal representation of $\mathcal{W}$ is spatially implemented by the one-parameter groups of unitaries $t \mapsto \Delta^\omega_{\omega_A, \omega_B} : \mathcal{H}_B \to \mathcal{H}_B$ i.e. for all $x \in \mathcal{W}_{AB}$,

$$\pi^\omega_{AB}(\sigma^\omega_t(x)) = \Delta^\omega_{\omega_B, \omega_A}\pi^\omega_{AB}(x)\Delta^{-\omega}_{\omega_A, \omega_B}.$$
There is a family of conjugate-linear isometries \( J_{\omega_A,\omega_B} : \mathcal{H}_{\omega_B} \to \mathcal{H}_{\omega_A} \) that spatially implements a conjugate-linear invertible contravariant isometric *-functor \( \gamma_\omega : \pi^\omega(W) \to \pi^\omega(W)' \) i.e.

\[
\gamma_\omega(\pi^\omega_{AB}(x)) = J_{\omega_B,\omega_A} \pi^\omega_{AB}(x) J_{\omega_B,\omega_A}^*,
\]

where \( \pi^\omega(W) \) is the von Neumann category represented on \( \mathcal{H}_\omega \) and \( \pi^\omega(W)' \) denotes the commutant von Neumann category\(^6\) of \( \pi^\omega(W) \) that is defined by

\[
\pi^\omega_{AB}(W)' := \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)_{AB} \mid T^*T \in \pi^\omega_{BB}(W)' , \; TT^* \in \pi^\omega_{AA}(W)' \}. 
\]

The positive operators \( \Delta_{\omega_A,\omega_B} : \mathcal{H}_{\omega_B} \to \mathcal{H}_{\omega_B} \) are the Araki relative modular operators associated to the pair of states \( \omega_A,\omega_B \) and the operators \( J_{\omega_A,\omega_B} : \mathcal{H}_{\omega_B} \to \mathcal{H}_{\omega_A} \) are the Araki relative modular conjugations for the pair \( \omega_A,\omega_B \).

### 2.4 Weights, conditional expectations and operator valued weights

Although, for simplicity, in this review we will mainly use (normal faithful) states on \( \mathcal{M} \), it is also important (especially for the formulation of deeper results) to consider generalizations.

A weight \( \omega \) on a \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) is a map \( \omega : \mathcal{A}_+ \to [0, +\infty] \) such that \( \omega(x+y) = \omega(x) + \omega(y) \) and \( \omega(\alpha x) = \alpha \omega(x) \), for all \( x,y \in \mathcal{A}_+ \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). A trace is a weight that, for all \( x \in \mathcal{A} \), satisfies \( \omega(x^*x) = \omega(xx^*) \).

The usual GNS-representation associated to states admits a similar formulation in the case of weights. To every weight \( \omega \) on the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) there is a triple \( (\mathcal{H}_\omega, \pi_\omega, \eta_\omega) \), where \( \mathcal{H}_\omega \) is a Hilbert space, \( \pi_\omega \) is a \(*\)-representation of \( \mathcal{A} \) in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) \) and \( \eta_\omega : \mathcal{L}_\omega \to \mathcal{H}_\omega \) is a linear map with dense image defined on the left ideal \( \mathcal{L}_\omega := \{ x \in \mathcal{A} \mid \omega(x^*x) < +\infty \} \), such that \( \pi_\omega(x)\eta_\omega(z) = \eta_\omega(xz) \) and \( \omega(y^*xz) = \langle \eta_\omega(y) , \pi_\omega(x)\eta_\omega(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\omega} \) for all \( x \in \mathcal{A} \) and all \( y,z \in \mathcal{L}_\omega \). A GNS-representation for weights on a \( C^* \)-category is available as well.

A weight is faithful if \( \omega(x) = 0 \) implies \( x = 0 \). A weight on a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) is normal if for every increasing bounded net in \( \mathcal{M}_+ \) with \( x_\lambda \to x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \) we have \( \omega(x_\lambda) \to \omega(x) \) and it is semi-finite if the linear span of the cone \( \mathcal{M}_{\omega+} := \{ x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \mid \omega(x) < +\infty \} \) is dense in the \( \sigma \)-weak operator topology in \( \mathcal{M} \).

Tomita–Takesaki modular theory (i.e. all the results mentioned in Sections \(^2\)\(^2\) and \(^2\)\(^3\)) can be extended to the case of normal semi-finite faithful weights on a von Neumann algebra and the formulation is essentially identical to the one already described in case of states. Natural positive cones are defined also for weights \( \omega \) as \( \mathcal{P}_\omega := \{ \pi_\omega(x) J_{\omega,\omega}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{M} \}^- \) and they enjoy the same properties already described in the previous Section \(^2\)\(^2\).

A von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) is semi-finite if and only if it admits a normal semi-finite faithful trace \( \tau \). In this case for every normal semi-finite faithful weight \( \omega \), the modular automorphism group \( t \mapsto \sigma_\tau^\omega_t \) is inner i.e. there exists a positive invertible operator \( h \) affiliated\(^7\) to \( \mathcal{M} \) such that \( \sigma_\tau^\omega_t(x) = h^t x h^{-it} \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( x \in \mathcal{M} \).

The following result is the celebrated Connes–Radon–Nikodym theorem.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let \( \phi \) be a normal semi-finite faithful weight on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \).

For every other normal semi-finite faithful weight \( \psi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \), there exists a strongly continuous family \( t \mapsto u_t \) of unitaries in \( \mathcal{M} \) such that for all \( x \in \mathcal{M} \) and all \( t,s \in \mathbb{R} \):

\[
\sigma_\psi^\phi(x) = u_t \sigma_\psi^\phi(x) u_t^*, \quad u_{t+s} = u_t \sigma_\psi^\phi(u_s).
\]

\(^6\)Note that our definition of commutant of a representation of a \( W^* \)-category does not coincide with the definition given in [124, Section 4]: there the commutant of a von Neumann category is a von Neumann algebra here it is a \( W^* \)-category. The Ghez–Lima–Roberts commutant is actually the \( W^* \)-envelope of our commutant.

\(^7\)This means that all the spectral projections of \( h \) are contained in \( \mathcal{M} \).
Furthermore, defining \( \sigma^{\psi,\phi}_t(x) := u_t \sigma^\phi_t(x)u_t \), there exists a unique such family, denoted by \( t \mapsto (D \phi : D \psi)_t \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), and called the Connes–Radon–Nikodym derivative of \( \psi \) with respect to \( \phi \), that satisfies the following variant of the KMS-condition: there exists a bounded continuous function on \( \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \) analytic on \( \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \) such that for all \( x, y \in \mathcal{L}_\phi \cap \mathcal{L}_\psi \) and for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), \( f(t) = \psi(\sigma^{\psi,\phi}_t(x)y) \) and \( f(t + i) = \phi(y \sigma^{\psi,\phi}_t(x)) \).

If \( t \mapsto u_t \) is a strongly continuous family of unitaries in \( \mathcal{M} \) such that \( u_{t+s} = u_t \sigma^\phi_t(u_s) \), for all \( t, s \in \mathbb{R} \), there exists a unique normal semi-finite faithful weight \( \psi \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) such that \( (D \phi) = u_t \), for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

The Connes–Radon–Nikodym derivatives satisfy the following properties for all normal semi-finite faithful weights \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) and for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \):

\[
(D \omega_1 : D \omega_2)_t \cdot (D \omega_2 : D \omega_3)_t = (D \omega_1 : D \omega_3)_t, \quad (D \omega_1 : D \omega_2)_t = (D \omega_2 : D \omega_1)_t.
\]

We also have the following fundamental theorem of A. Connes.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a von Neumann algebra on the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{M}' \) its commutant. For any normal semi-finite faithful weight \( \omega \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) and any normal semi-finite faithful weight \( \omega' \) on \( \mathcal{M}' \), there exists a positive operator \( \Delta(\omega|\omega') \), the Connes’ spatial derivative of \( \omega \) with respect to \( \omega' \) such that: \( \Delta(\omega|\omega') = \Delta(\omega|\omega')^{-1} \) and for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\sigma^\omega_t(x) = \Delta(\omega|\omega')^{it} x \Delta^{-it}(\omega|\omega') \quad \forall \ x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \sigma^{\omega'}_t(y) = \Delta(\omega|\omega')^{-it} y \Delta(\omega|\omega')^{-it} \quad \forall \ y \in \mathcal{M}'.
\]

Furthermore, if \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are normal semi-finite faithful weights on \( \mathcal{M} \) we also have

\[
\Delta(\omega_2|\omega'_t) = (D \omega_2 : D \omega_1)_t \Delta(\omega_1|\omega')^{it} \quad \forall \ t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

A conditional expectation \( \Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) from a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) onto a unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra \( \mathcal{B} \) is a completely positive map \( \Phi \) such that \( \Phi(b) = b \), \( \Phi(x + y) = \Phi(x) + \Phi(y) \), \( \Phi(b_1 b_2) = b_1 \Phi(b_2) b_2 \), for all \( b, b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B} \) and all \( x, y \in \mathcal{A} \). By a theorem of J. Tomiyama, \( \Phi \) is a conditional expectation if and only if \( \Phi \) is a projection of norm one onto a subalgebra. A conditional expectation is a generalization of the notion of state that appears as long as we allow values to be taken in an arbitrary \( C^* \)-algebra in place of the usual complex numbers \( \mathbb{C} \).

Conditional expectations and modular theory are related by this result by M. Takesaki.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let \( \mathcal{N} \) be a von Neumann subalgebra of the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) and let \( \omega \) be a normal semi-finite faithful weight on the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) such that \( \omega|\mathcal{N} \) is semi-finite. The von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \) is modularly stable i.e. \( \sigma^\omega_t(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N} \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), if and only if there exists a conditional expectation \( \Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N} \) onto \( \mathcal{N} \) such that \( \omega \circ \Phi = \omega \).

Such conditional expectation is unique and normal.

In the same way as weights are an “unbounded” version of states, we also have an “unbounded” version of conditional expectations. Here the role of real \( \mathbb{R} \) or positive real numbers \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) as possible values of a state, respectively weight, is taken by a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \) and its positive part \( \mathcal{N}_+ \) and the set \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) := \([0, +\infty[\) of extended positive reals is replaced by \( \mathcal{N}_+ \), the extended positive cone of \( \mathcal{N} \), defined as the set of lower semi-continuous maps \( m : \mathcal{M}_+ \to [0, +\infty[ \) such that \( m(\phi + \psi) = m(\phi) + m(\psi) \), \( m(\alpha \phi) = \alpha m(\phi) \), \( \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \).

An operator valued weight from the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) to the von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \) is a map \( \Phi : \mathcal{M}_+ \to \mathcal{N}_+ \) taking values in the extended positive cone of \( \mathcal{N} \) such that:

\[
\Phi(x + y) = \Phi(x) + \Phi(y), \quad \Phi(\alpha x) = \alpha \Phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(u^* xu) = \Phi(x), \quad \forall \ x, y \in \mathcal{M}_+, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ u \in \mathcal{N}.
\]

With these definitions, Takesaki’s theorem [2.29] can be generalized as follows.

\[^{8}\text{This means that for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \Phi^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \to M_n(\mathcal{B}) \text{ is positive, where } M_n(\mathcal{A}) \text{ denotes the unital } C^* \text{-algebra of } n \times n \mathcal{A} \text{-valued matrices and } \Phi^{(n)} \text{ is obtained applying } \Phi \text{ to every entry.}\]
2.5 Connes–Takesaki duality

The following version of the classical Connes–Takesaki duality theorem is making use of the Falcone–Takesaki canonical construction of the non-commutative flow of weights of a von Neumann algebra.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra. There exist a canonical one-parameter $W^*$-dynamical system, the non-commutative flow of weights, $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \theta)$ and a canonical normal $*$-morphism $\iota : \mathcal{M} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ such that:

- the image of the canonical isomorphism coincides with the fixed points algebra of the dynamical system i.e. $\iota(\mathcal{M}) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\theta$,
- for every faithful semi-finite normal weight $\phi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ there is a canonical isomorphism of the $W^*$-dynamical system $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \theta)$ with the $W^*$-dynamical system $(W^*(\mathcal{M}, \sigma^\phi), \tilde{\sigma}^\phi)$ induced by the dual action of $\sigma^\phi$ on the $W^*$-covariance algebra of $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma^\phi)$,
- there is a canonical operator valued weight $\Theta$ from $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ onto $\iota(\mathcal{M})$, given for all $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$ by $\Theta(x) = \int \theta_s(x) \, dt$, such that, for every faithful semi-finite normal weight $\phi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, the dual faithful semi-finite normal weight $\tilde{\phi} := \phi \circ \Theta$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ induces an inner modular automorphism group i.e. $\tilde{\sigma}^\phi_t = \text{Ad}_{\text{e}^{ik\tilde{\phi}}}$ with generator $k_{\phi}$ affiliated to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$,
- there is a canonical faithful semi-finite normal trace $\tau$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ that is rescaling the one-parameter group $\theta$ i.e. $\tau \circ \theta_t = e^{-t}\tau$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all faithful semi-finite normal weights $\phi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ we have that $\tau(x) = \tilde{\phi}(e^{-k_{\phi}/2}xe^{-k_{\phi}/2})$, for all $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+$,
- for all faithful semi-finite normal weights $\phi$ on $\mathcal{M}$, we have that the $W^*$-dynamical system $(W^*(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \theta), \tilde{\Theta})$ induced by the dual action of $\theta$ on the $W^*$-covariance algebra $W^*(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \theta)$ of $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \theta)$ is canonically isomorphic with the $W^*$-dynamical system $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})), \sigma^\phi \otimes \rho)$, where $\rho_t := \text{Ad}_{\lambda_{\theta_t}}$ with $(\lambda_{\theta_t}(\xi))(s) := \xi(s - t)$ the usual left regular action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

3 Modular theory in physics

The history of the interplay between modular theory and physics is a very interesting and wide subject in itself that we are going to touch here only in a very simplified and incomplete way, mainly to provide a suitable motivation and background for the discussion of our proposals in the subsequent Section 6. For a more complete treatment, we refer the reader to the notes and remarks in O. Bratteli, D. Robinson [13, Section 5], the books by R. Haag [132, Chapter V] and G. Einch [116, Chapter 10] and to the recent expositions by S.J. Summers [241] and D. Guido [130].

The KMS condition for the characterization of equilibrium states, first introduced in quantum statistical mechanics by R. Kubo [101] and P. Martin, J. Schwinger [150], was reformulated in the algebraic quantum mechanical setting by R. Haag, N. Hugenoltz, M. Winnink [133] and its relation with Tomita modular theory was fully developed by M. Takesaki [245].

Every physical state that satisfies the $(\alpha, \beta)$-KMS condition on the $C^*$-algebra of observables is identified with an equilibrium state at temperature $1/\beta$ for the dynamics provided by the one-parameter group $\alpha$ of time-evolution of the system. Every such state determines a unique dynamics of the observable algebra via its modular automorphism group.
The first indirect indications of the existence of a deep connection between (equilibrium) statistical mechanics (and hence modular theory), quantum field theory and gravity (that, after A. Einstein’s theory of general relativity, essentially means geometry of four-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds) came, after J. Bardeen, B. Carter, S. Hawking [19] results on black hole laws, from the discovery of entropy of black holes by J. Bekenstein [20, 21], black holes’ thermal radiation by S. Hawking [135, 136] and the vacuum thermalization effect by W. Unruh [250]. In practice, the vacuum state of a quantum theory of fields on certain “singular” solutions of Einstein equation with horizons (black holes) presents a natural thermal behaviour manifested by entropy (proportional to the area of the horizon), thermal radiation and a temperature that is proportional to the acceleration of free falling observers at the horizon.

G. Gallavotti, M. Pulvirenti [123] have been discussing the role of Tomita–Takesaki theory for classical statistical mechanical systems. The point here is the existence of a correspondence between modular theory and von Neumann algebras on one side and Poisson geometry of classical systems on the other. This point of view has been further advocated by A. Wein-stein [256].

The existence of an interplay between general relativity, gravitation and thermodynamics, has been reinforced by the important work of T. Jacobson [145] that obtained for the first time a thermodynamical derivation of Einstein equations from the equivalence principle. This work has been further expanded, among several authors, by T. Padmanaban [200]. This line of thoughts, has recently been exploited in order to infer that, being of thermodynamical origin, gravitation (contrary to electromagnetism and other subnuclear forces) cannot possibly be a fundamental force of nature and hence should not be subjected to quantization, but explained as a macroscopic phenomenon emergent from a different theory of fundamental degrees of freedom (usually strings) and after the recent appearence of E. Verlinde e-print [254] on the interpretation of Newtonian gravity as an entropic force (see the survey by S. Hossenfelder [139] for a clean presentation of some of the directions and some related works) has led to a fantastic proliferation of research papers that is probably much more pertinent to address in a study of sociopathology of current scientific research.

More direct links between modular theory, quantum field theory and Minkowski space geometry appeared in the works of J. Bisognano, E. Wichmann [33, 34] (see for example D. Guido [130], Section 3) for a detailed discussion). The vacuum state $\Omega$ of a scalar quantum field satisfying suitable Gårding–Wightman axioms and irreducibility, by Reeh–Schlieder theorem, is cyclic and separating when restricted to any von Neumann algebra of local observables $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$ on a non-empty open region $\mathcal{O} \subset M^4$ of Minkowski space-time $M^4$ (with metric $\eta$ that we assume to be of signature $(-1, +1, +1, +1)$) whose causal complement $\mathcal{O}' := \{ x \in M^4 \mid \eta(x, z) > 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{O} \}$ is non-trivial. It follows that there is a natural one-parameter group of modular automorphisms induced by the vacuum state $\Omega$ on any local von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$. Although the nature of such one-parameter groups, for generally shaped regions $\mathcal{O}$, is still now “kind of” mysterious, for the special case of space-time wedges $W_{e_0, e_1} := \{ x \in M^4 \mid |\eta(x, e_0)| < |\eta(x, e_1)| \}$ (for any orthogonal pair of time-like $e_0$ and space-like $e_1$), the modular automorphism group $\Delta^\text{it}_\Omega$ of $\mathcal{R}(W_{e_0, e_1})$ has a clear geometric implementation, as $\Delta^\text{it}_\Omega = U(A_{W_{e_0, e_1}}(t))$, via the one-parameter unitary group representing the unique one-parameter group of Lorentz boosts $t \mapsto A_{W_{e_0, e_1}}(t)$, in the plane generated by $e_0$, $e_1$, leaving invariant the wedge $W_{e_0, e_1}$. Similarly the modular conjugation $J_\Omega$ is geometrically obtained as $J_\Omega = \Theta \circ U(R_{e_0, e_1}(\pi))$ via the unitary implementing the rotation $R_{e_0, e_1}(\pi)$ by $\pi$ in the space-like plane orthogonal to $e_0$, $e_1$ composed with the conjugate-linear “PCT operator” $\Theta$.

In the case of a conformally covariant scalar field, P. Hislop, R. Longo [137] have extended J. Bisognano, E. Wichmann results providing, via conformal transformations, a geometric implementation of the modular operators associated by the vacuum to the local von Neumann algebras of more general space-time regions, such as double cones and lightcones.
It is known that for massive free theories the vacuum does not act geometrically on the algebras of double cones (see for example T. Saffary [219]) and that thermal states do not act geometrically even for wedge regions (see H.-J. Borchers, J. Yngvason [39]).

Further recent advances in the study of geometrical modular action induced for free Fermionic fields by the vacuum on pairs of disjoint regions have been obtained by H. Casini, M. Huerta [78] and by R. Longo, P. Martinetti, K.-H. Rehren [179]. In this case the action is not completely geometrical and induce a form of “dynamical mixing” of the two regions.

The above mentioned results by W. Unruh and J. Bisognano, E. Wichmann provided the basis for the work of G. Sewell [235, 236] that obtained a clear interpretation of S. Hawking radiation. For an observer in constant acceleration, whose world-line is described by the orbit of the boosts $\Lambda_{W_{e_0,e_1}}(t)$, the border of the Minkowski space-time wedge $W_{e_0,e_1}$ is a natural horizon (the observer will never be able to receive signals from events outside the wedge). The equivalence principle forces us to conclude that such an observer is (locally) equivalent to an observer in free-fall in a gravitational field near the horizon and Reeh–Schlieder theorem provides us with an explanation of the Unruh thermalization of the vacuum state for such an observer. The vacuum state $\Omega$, when restricted to the algebra of observables of the free-falling observer, becomes a KMS state (equilibrium state), with respect to the natural time evolution, at a temperature that is proportional to the acceleration (i.e. to the surface gravity at the horizon).

A formulation of J. Bisognano, E. Wichmann results in terms of Araki–Haag–Kastler axioms for algebraic quantum field theory was later achieved by H.-J. Borchers [36] opening the way to the development of several programs aiming at the reconstruction of (some aspects of) physical space-time geometry (mainly Poincaré symmetry) from the information encoded in the Tomita–Takesaki modular theory of some of the algebras of local observables and suitable conditions of “modular covariance” imposed on the net of observables.

- In the theory of “half-sided modular inclusions” and “modular intersections” a (conformal or Poincaré) covariant net of von Neumann algebras satisfying J. Bisognano, E. Wichmann conditions is reconstructed from modular action conditions (encoding the positivity of the energy) imposed on pairs of von Neumann algebras with a common separating and cyclic vector. The main results in this direction have been obtained by H.-J. Borchers [37], H.-W. Wiesbrock [257, 258], R. Kählér, H.-W. Wiesbrock [152], H. Araki, L. Zsido [12] (see the survey by H.-J. Borchers [38] for more details and references).

- In the works of R. Brunetti, D. Guido, R. Longo [40, 131] “modular covariance”, i.e. the J. Bisognano, E. Wichmann condition of geometric implementation for the one-parameter modular groups associated to von Neumann algebras of wedge regions for a local net in Minkowski space-time, entails a covariant representation of the full Poincaré group.

- In the “geometric modular action” program proposed by D. Buchholz, S.J. Summers [58, 59] and developed in a series of works by D. Buchholz, O. Dreyer, M. Florig, S.J. Summers, R. White [63, 60, 54, 242, 243], a condition of modular action for the modular conjugations associated to a given state on the von Neumann algebras of suitable regions of space-time, allows to reconstruct the full unitary dynamics of the theory, the isometry group of space-time and its covariant action on the net of observables. A further condition of “modular stability” imposed on the one-parameter modular groups assures the positivity of the energy. In some cases (including Minkowski space-time) a remarkable complete reconstruction of space-time from the vacuum state and the algebras of local observables has been achieved [243, 242].

- In the “modular localization program” by R. Brunetti, D. Guido, R. Longo [50] (see also B. Schroer, H.-W. Wiesbrock [225, 226, 233, 234], F. Lledó [172], J. Mund, B. Schroer, J. Yngvason [196], J. Mund [193] and references therein), assuming the existence of a representation of the Poincaré group on the one-particle Hilbert space, and exploiting the
modular operators associated to wedge regions, one can reconstruct a covariant net of von Neumann algebras of free-fields on Minkowski space-time. A modular reconstruction of space-time via “modular positions” has been conjectured by N. Pinamonti [208].

An extremely interesting perspective on the historical and conceptual development of the notion of “modular localization” intrinsic in quantum field theory, emphasizing its radical differences with the usual “Born–Newton–Wigner localization” in quantum mechanics can be found in the recent papers by B. Schroer [227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232].

– In the “modular nuclearity program” (see R. Haag [132, Section V.5] and references therein) since the work of D. Buchholz, C. D’Antoni, R. Longo [52] the modular theory induced by the vacuum state $\Omega$ on a local von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$ of a bounded open non-empty region $\mathcal{O}$ is related with geometrical properties of phase-space (locally finite degrees of freedom) via the compactness/nuclearity of the map $x \mapsto \Delta^\lambda_x \Omega$, for all $x$ in a local subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O})$ and for $0 < \lambda < 1/2$.

– In the “form factor program” initiated by B. Schroer [226] modular theory plays a basic role in the reconstruction of local fields from the scattering matrix. With the input of modular nuclearity D. Buchholz, G. Lechner [57, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169] obtained non-trivial algebras of local observables and suggested the possibility to make use of algebraic quantum field theory for the construction of explicit models of interacting fields (see D. Buchholz, S.J. Summers [60]).

One should also notice that a remarkable proof of J. Bisognano, E. Wichmann theorem in algebraic quantum field theory, based on scattering assumptions of asymptotic completeness and massive gap, has been finally obtained by J. Mund [192] and further generalized to the case of massive particles with braid group statistic [194] in three dimensional space-time.

Modular theory has been explicitly invoked by A. Connes, C. Rovelli [89] in order to give a precise mathematical implementation of C. Rovelli’s “thermal time hypothesis” (see C. Rovelli [214, Sections 3.4 and 5.5.1] and also C. Rovelli and M. Smerlak [217]) according to which the macroscopic flow of classical time is induced by a statistical state on the algebra of physical observables for a relativistically covariant system (that is intrinsically timeless). In this case the specification of an “equilibrium state” as a KMS-functional on the $C^*$-algebra of observables, via Tomita–Takesaki modular theory, induces a unique one-parameter group that is interpreted as a state dependent macroscopic time-evolution. At the level of von Neumann algebras the time-flow is unique modulo inner automorphisms i.e., in contrast to $C^*$-algebras, von Neumann algebras have an intrinsic macroscopic dynamics. Relations between the thermal time hypothesis and modular covariance have been elaborated by P. Martinetti [182, 183] and P. Martinetti, C. Rovelli [181].

Intriguing proposals to make use of Tomita–Takesaki modular theory to introduce a “micro-macro duality”, explaining the appearance of macroscopic degrees of freedom from microscopic observables via a generalization of superselection theory, has been put forward by I. Ojima [197, 198] and I. Ojima, M. Takeori [199]. This is, to our knowledge, the first tentative physical application of Connes–Takesaki duality in physics.

In their investigation of the role of exotic differential structures in four dimensional space-time for a quantum gravity theory, T. Asselmeyer-Maluga, J. Krol [13] have recently made use of type III von Neumann algebras.

4 Non-commutative geometry

Non-commutative geometry (in A. Connes’ sense) is in essence the most powerful modern incarnation of R. Decartes’s idea of “algebraization of geometry” i.e. the codification of the geometry
of spaces via algebras of their coordinates functions. Its foundations are based on the existence of dualities (contravariant equivalences) between some specific categories of geometrical spaces and suitable categories of commutative algebras that, upon the removal of the commutativity axiom, provide a possible definition of (algebras of functions on) “non-commutative spaces”\(^9\).

The most basic example of such dualities is the celebrated Gel’fand–Na˘ımark duality between the category of continuous functions of compact Hausdorff topological spaces and the category of unital \(\ast\)-homomorphisms of commutative unital \(C^\ast\)-algebras, opening the way for the consideration of unital non-commutative \(C^\ast\)-algebras as “non-commutative compact Hausdorff topological spaces”\(^10\).

At the (topological) measure theoretic level, we have a duality between measurable maps of finite Borel measure spaces and unital measure preserving \(\ast\)-homomorphisms of commutative unital \(C^\ast\)-algebras with a Radon measure that provide the basis for the consideration of states (or more generally weights) on unital \(C^\ast\)-algebras as “non-commutative Radon measures”.

Along these directions, at the smooth metric level, the most successful result obtained so far is Connes’ reconstruction theorem\(^8\)\(^3\)\(^4\) that seems to indicate the existence of a duality between finite-dimensional compact connected orientable Riemannian spin manifolds (with given irreducible spinorial bundle and spinorial charge conjugation) and a specific class of spectral triples with real structure. The best candidate for a class of morphisms of spectral triples supporting this duality consists of certain smooth correspondences, recently proposed by B. Mesland\(^13\).

### 4.1 Spectral triples

Apart from technicalities, a spectral triple is a “special kind of quantum dynamical system” represented on a Hilbert space and, as already noted by several people (see M. Paschke\(^2\)\(^0\)\)\(^2\) for example and, for a point of view closer to “functorial quantum field theory”, also U. Schreiber\(^2\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^\text{[224]}\), its definition strongly and intriguingly resembles the axioms of algebraic quantum mechanics. Specifically, a compact spectral triple \((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)\) is given by:

- a unital pre-\(C^\ast\)-algebra \(\mathcal{A}\) i.e. an involutive normed unital algebra whose norm closure is a \(C^\ast\)-algebra,
- a faithfull representation \(\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) of \(\mathcal{A}\) in the von Neumann algebra \(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\) of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\),
- a one-parameter group of of unitaries whose generator \(D\), the Dirac operator, is such that
  - the domain \(\text{Dom}(D)\) is invariant under all the operators \(\pi(a)\), with \(a \in \mathcal{A}\),
  - all the commutators \([D, \pi(a)]_– := D \circ \pi(a) - \pi(a) \circ D\), defined on \(\text{Dom}(D)\), can be extended to bounded linear operators on \(\mathcal{H}\),
  - the resolvent \((D - \mu I)^{-1}\) is compact for all \(\mu \not\in \text{Sp}(D)\).

As revealed by these last conditions, one of the main motivations in the development of spectral triples comes from the index theory for elliptic operators and the realization of J. Kasparov \(K\)-homology via unbounded Fredholm modules: by results of S. Baaj, P. Julg\(^1\)\(^5\) a spectral triple determines a pre-Fredholm module \((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D(1 + D^2)^{-1/2})\).

\(^9\)For an introduction to the exciting field of non-commutative geometry, the reader can already utilize several textbooks and lectures notes such as: G. Landi\(^\text{[163]}\), H. Figueroa, J. Gracia-Bondía, J. Várilly\(^\text{[126]}\), J. Várilly\(^\text{[220]}\), M. Khalkhali\(^\text{[153]}\)\(^\text{[156]}\), A. Rennie\(^\text{[211]}\), apart from the original sources A. Connes\(^\text{[80]}\) and A. Connes, M. Marcolli\(^\text{[57]}\). Several older, but still interesting introductory expositions to non-commutative geometry with a physicist’s perspective are in R. Coquereaux\(^\text{[90]}\)\(^\text{[91]}\), J. Gracia-Bondía, J. Várilly\(^\text{[253]}\).

\(^{10}\)See for example N. Landsman\(^\text{[164]}\) Section 6) or, for a horizontally categorified version, our papers\(^\text{[29]}\)\(^\text{[30]}\).
• A spectral triple is called even if there exists a bounded self-adjoint operator $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, called a grading operator, such that:

$$\Gamma^2 = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}; \quad [\Gamma, \pi(a)]_- = 0, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}; \quad [\Gamma, D]_+ = 0,$$

• a spectral triple is $\theta$-summable if the operator $\exp(-tD^2)$ is a trace-class operator for all $t > 0$, and it is $n$-dimensional if the Dixmier trace of $|D|^{-n}$ is finite nonzero for a certain $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Some further conditions on spectral triples are motivated more directly from the spin geometry of Clifford bundles and Atiyah–Singer Dirac operators and can be recasted in terms of the following non-commutative Clifford algebra $\Omega_D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\Omega_D(\mathcal{A}) := \text{span}\{\pi(a_0)[D, \pi(a_1)]_- \cdots [D, \pi(a_n)]_- \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, a_0, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

where we assume that for $n = 0 \in \mathbb{N}$ the term in the formula simply reduces to $\pi(a_0)$.

• a spectral triple is said to be regular if, for all $x \in \Omega_D(\mathcal{A})$, the functions given by

$$\Xi_x : t \mapsto \exp(it|D|)x \exp(-it|D|)$$

are regular, i.e. $\Xi_x \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$.

• A spectral triple is finite if $\mathcal{H}_\infty := \cap_{k=1}^\infty \text{Dom} D^k$ is a finite projective $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule and absolutely continuous if, there exists an Hermitian form $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \mapsto \langle \xi | \eta \rangle$ on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ such that, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\langle \xi | \pi(a)\eta \rangle$ is the Dixmier trace of $\pi(a)\langle \xi | \eta \rangle|D|^{-n}$,

• an $n$-dimensional spectral triple is said to be orientable if in the non-commutative Clifford algebra $\Omega_D(\mathcal{A})$ there is a volume element $\sum_{j=1}^m \pi(a_0^{(j)})[D, \pi(a_1^{(j)})]_- \cdots [D, \pi(a_n^{(j)})]_- \pi(a_0^{(j)\dagger})[D, \pi(a_1^{(j)\dagger})]_- \cdots [D, \pi(a_n^{(j)\dagger})]_-$ that coincides with the grading operator $\Gamma$ in the even case or the identity operator in the odd case.

• a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ satisfies Poincaré duality if the $C^*$-module completion of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is a Morita equivalence bimodule between (the norm completions of) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\Omega_D(\mathcal{A})$ (see A. Rennie, J. Várilly [212, 213] for details),

• a spectral triple is said to have a real structure if there exists an anti-unitary operator $J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that:

$$[\pi(a), J\pi(b^*)J^{-1}]_- = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A};$$

$$[D, \pi(a)]_- J\pi(b^*)J^{-1}|- = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \text{first order condition};$$

$$J^2 = \pm \text{Id}_\mathcal{H}; \quad [J, D]_\pm = 0; \quad \text{and, only in the even case,} \quad [J, \Gamma]_\pm = 0,$$

where the choice of $\pm$ in the last three formulas depends on the “dimension” $n$ of the spectral triple modulo 8 in accordance to the following table:

---

11 A justification for such a name comes from the fact that, for commutative spectral triples, $\Omega_D(\mathcal{A})$ reduces to the usual Clifford algebra of the manifold represented on the Hilbert space of square integrable sections of the spinor bundle. Of course, in the non-commutative case such denomination might be misplaced and, at least in the real case, it would probably be better to use the larger algebra $\Omega_{D,J}(\mathcal{A}) := \text{span}(\Omega_D(\mathcal{A}) \cup \Omega_D(\mathcal{A})J)$.

12 In the following, in order to simplify the discussion, we will always refer to a “grading operator” $\Gamma$ that actually coincides with the grading operator in the even case and that is by definition the identity operator in the odd case.
As we already said, the paradigmatic examples of such a structure are the Atiyah–Singer spectral triples coming from the theory of Atiyah–Singer Pauli–Dirac operators on spin manifolds. More precisely, given any \( n \)-dimensional compact orientable (connected) spinorial Riemannian manifold \( M \), with a given spinor bundle \( S(M) \) and a given spinorial charge conjugation \( C : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \), there is an associated \( n \)-dimensional orientable finite absolutely continuous regular real spectral triple that satisfies Poincaré duality \( (A_M, \mathcal{H}_M, D_M) \) where \( A_M := C^\infty(M) \) is the pre-\( C^* \)-algebra of smooth complex-valued functions on \( M \); \( \mathcal{H}_M \) is the completion of the \( A_M \)-module of smooth sections of the spinor bundle under the inner product \( (\sigma | \tau) := \int_M \langle \sigma(p) | \tau(p) \rangle_p d\mu_M(p) \) induced by the volume form \( \mu_M \); and \( D_M \) is the Atiyah–Singer Pauli–Dirac operator defined on \( \mathcal{H}_M \) as the closure of the contraction of the spinorial connection induced by the Levi-Civita connection with the Clifford multiplication (see the discussion in [30, Section 3.2.1] for more details).

Several examples of spectral triples are now available (a non-exhaustive list with relevant references can be found in [30, Section 3.3]) although in some situations (such as for spectral triples associated to quantum groups, for non-compact spectral triples) a few of the preceeding axioms have to be modified or weakened.

Among the spectral triples that are “purely quantal”, i.e. are not directly related to (deformations of) classical spaces, we explicitly mention, for its relevance in later discussion, the construction of Antonescu–Christensen spectral triples for AF C\(^*\)-algebras [79].

Theorem 4.1. Given a filtration of unital finite dimensional C\(^*\)-algebras

\[
A_0 := \mathbb{C}1_A \subset A_1 \subset \cdots \subset A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subset \cdots
\]

and a faithful state \( \omega \) on the inductive limit of the filtration \( A := (\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n)^{-} \) with GNS representation \( (\pi_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega) \), denote by \( P_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) \) the orthogonal projection onto \( \pi_\omega(A_n)\xi_\omega \), by \( E_n := P_n - P_{n-1} \) (we assume \( E_0 := P_0 \)) and by \( \theta_n \) the continuous projection of \( A \) onto \( A_n \) (that satisfies \( \theta_n(a)\xi_\omega = P_n a\xi_\omega \)). For any sequence \( (\beta_n) \) such that \( \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \beta_n < +\infty \) and any sequence \( (\gamma_n) \) such that \( \|\theta_n(a) - \theta_{n-1}(a)\| \leq \gamma_n \|E_n a\xi_\omega\| \) for all \( a \in A \), there is a family of spectral triples \( (A_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, D_{(\alpha_n)}) \), indexed by a sequence of positive real numbers \( (\alpha_n) \), with \( D_{(\alpha_n)} := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_n E_n \) and \( \gamma_n := \gamma_n/\beta_n \).

The functorial properties of the Antonescu–Christensen construction (via suitable definitions of morphisms of filtrations of AF C\(^*\)-algebras and morphisms of spectral triples) is an interesting subject that will be addressed in a forthcoming work [13].

4.2 Other spectral geometries

There are non-commutative spectral geometries that do not fit exactly in the axiomatization of A. Connes spectral triples. Some of these geometries are just modifications or extensions of the spectral triple framework (such as Lorentzian spectral triples); others describe situations in which second order operators, such as Laplacians, are in place of the first order Dirac operator. In some cases the proposed axioms are making an even more direct appeal to Tomita–Takesaki modular theory (for example S. Lord’s non-commutative Riemannian geometries and J. Fröhlich’s quantized phase spaces) and so they might become particularly significant later.

| \( n \) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( J^2 \) | +1 \( \mathcal{H} \) | + | + | − | − | − | + | + |
| \( J, D \) | 0 | − | + | − | − | − | + | − |
| \( J, \Gamma \) | 0 | − | + | − | − | − | + | − |

13 Bertozzini P., Conti R., Lewkeeratiyutkul W., Morphisms of spectral triples and AF algebras, in preparation.
Lorentzian spectral triples have been originally proposed by A. Strohmaier and their study has been carried on by W. Van Suijlekom, M. Paschke, A. Sitarz, M. Paschke, A. Rennie, R. Verch and M. Borris, R. Verch. The main motivation arises from the Dirac operator acting on the Kreĭn space of square integrable sections of a given spinor bundle (with charge conjugation) on a spinorial Lorentzian (or more generally semi-Riemannian) manifold. Clearly all the differential geometric motivations underlying the construction of spectral triples are still there, but the input from index theory is not so easily readable (for example there is a condition on the compactness of the resolvent of \( (D^*D + DD^*)^{1/2} \), where \( * \) denotes the Kreĭn space adjoint). Since we are not making immediate usage of this notion here, we refer the reader to the more recent definition available in M. Paschke, A. Sitarz [201, Section 2].

The first axiomatization of non-commutative Riemannian manifolds, that are not necessarily spinorial, has been put forward by J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel. They initially introduce the following set of five data \( (A, \mathcal{H}, d, \gamma, \star) \) that they call “\( N = (1,1) \) spectral data” where:

- \( A \) is an involutive unital algebra faithfully represented in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \),
- \( d \) is a closed densely defined operator on \( \mathcal{H} \) such that:
  - \( d \circ d = 0 \),
  - \( [d, \pi(x)]_\gamma \) extends to a bounded linear operator on \( \mathcal{H} \) for all \( x \in A \),
  - defining \( H := d^*d + dd^* \), the operator \( \exp(tH) \) is trace class for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

where

\[
\gamma \text{ is a grading operator such that } \gamma = \gamma^\gamma, \gamma \circ \gamma = I, \quad [\gamma, \pi(x)]_\gamma = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and } [\gamma, d]_\gamma = 0,
\]

\( \star \) is a unitary operator on \( \mathcal{H} \) such that \( [\star, \pi(x)]_\gamma = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and } \star \circ d = -d^* \circ \star \), (actually in place of the factor \( -1 \) in this last condition J. Fröhlich and collaborators admit a more general phase \( \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \)).

The basic motivating example here comes form the algebra of smooth functions acting on the Hilbert space of square integrable differential forms on a Riemannian manifold, with \( d \) being the exterior differential and \( \star \) the Hodge duality operator.

Then, to characterize non-commutative manifolds, they further impose the existence of a self-adjoint operator \( T \) with integral spectrum, with \( \gamma = \gamma(T) \) where \( f(\pm n) = (-1)^n \), such that \( [T, \pi(x)]_\gamma = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in A \), and \( [T, d] = d \). Defining \( D := d + d^* \) and \( D := i(d - d^*) \), they consider the “non-commutative Clifford algebra” \( \Omega_D(A) \) and impose the existence of a cyclic separating vector for the weak closure of \( \Omega_D(A)'' \) and the boundedness of all the commutators \( [D, J_\xi \pi(x) J_\xi]_- \), \( x \in A \). Finally, denoting by \( \Omega_D(\cdot)_\gamma \) the even/odd parts under the grading \( \gamma \), they require the condition \( J_\xi \Omega_D(A) J_\xi = \Omega_D(J_\xi \pi(A) J_\xi)^\gamma \oplus \gamma \Omega_D(J_\xi \pi(A) J_\xi)^\gamma \).

A non-commutative manifold \( (A, \mathcal{H}, d, \star, T, \xi) \) is said to be non-commutative spin\(^c \) if there is a factorization \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_D \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{M}) \mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{T} \) of \( \mathcal{H} \) over the center of \( \mathcal{M} \) with a left module for \( \Omega_D(A) \) and right module for \( J_\xi \Omega_D(A) J_\xi \).

Finally, in what is the first full use of Tomita–Takesaki modular theory in non-commutative geometry, J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel propose a definition non-commutative phase-space. On the algebra \( \Omega_D(A) \), obtained from a \( N = (1,1) \) spectral data \( (A, \mathcal{H}, d, \gamma, \star) \), they consider a \( \beta \)-KMS state given by \( \phi_\beta(x) := \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(x \exp(\beta D^2)) / \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(\exp(\beta D^2)) \), \( \forall \beta > 0 \).

In the \( \phi_\beta \)-GNS-representation \( (\mathcal{H}_\beta, \pi_\beta, \xi_\beta) \), they note that every bounded operator \( L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) determines an operator \( L_\beta \) on \( \mathcal{H}_\beta \), via the sesquilinear form \( \phi_\beta(x^*Ly) \) and use this to define the commutators \( -i[D, J_\beta \pi(x) J_\beta]_- \) for all \( x \in A \), via the derivative at \( t = 0 \) of the map \( t \mapsto \exp(itD)J_\beta \pi(x) J_\beta \exp(-itD) \). Imposing the boundedness of \( [D, J_\beta \pi(x) J_\beta]_- \) they finally require \( J_\beta \Omega_D(A) J_\beta = \Omega_D(J_\beta \pi(A) J_\beta)^\gamma \oplus \gamma \Omega_D(J_\beta \pi(A) J_\beta)^\gamma \).
Another related proposal for axiomatizations of non-commutative Riemannian geometries by S. Lord [178] is closer in spirit to A. Connes’ definition of spectral triples and invokes directly the standard form of von Neumann algebras: given a K-cycle \((A, \mathcal{H}, D)\) (with appropriate smoothness and summability conditions) it is assumed the existence of a cyclic separating vector \(\xi \in \mathcal{H}\) for the weak closure of the non-commutative Clifford algebra \(\Omega_D(A)' \subset B(\mathcal{H})\) and conditions are further required in order to assure that \(J_\xi\) provides a real structure and that absolute continuity holds for \((A, \mathcal{H}, D)\). As a consequence, the representation of \(A\) on \(\mathcal{H}\) coincides with the GNS-representation induced by the trace given by the non-commutative integral. The basic commutative example is again the triple \((C^\infty(M), L^2(\Lambda^\bullet(M)), d + d^*)\) for a compact Riemannian (not necessarily spinorial) manifold \(M\), where \(\Lambda^\bullet(M)\) denotes the exterior bundle of \(M\) and \(d + d^*\) is the usual Dirac operator on forms. Unfortunately the reconstruction theorem for such Riemannian manifolds (in the commutative case) proved under the additional assumptions of finiteness, orientability, Poincaré duality, (being based on a previous incomplete proof of Connes’ reconstruction theorem by A. Rennie [210]) is not yet secured.

5 Modular theory in non-commutative geometry

The first link between A. Connes’ non-commutative differential geometry and (semi-finite) modular theory (as stated in [68]) seems to be in a work by A. Connes, J. Cuntz [86] on the relation between cyclic cocycles and semi-finite Fredholm modules.

Anyway modular operators in relation to spectral triples appeared explicitly for the first time in a kind of mysterious way in A. Connes’ papers [81, 82] on the definition of real structure for a spectral triple and was motivated by the presence of modular anti-isometries in specific finite dimensional algebras arising in the study of the standard model in particle physics as well as from the charge conjugation operators induced on the spinorial module from the Tomita theory coming from the canonical trace on the Clifford algebra of a spin manifold.

Modular theory appears again, but always only through the presence of modular conjugations, in the axiomatization of non-commutative Riemannian manifolds and even more explicitly in the non-commutative phase-spaces proposed by J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel [121, 122, Sections 5.2.6], that we recalled in the previous Section 4.2.

A similar prominent role was taken by Tomita–Takesaki modular theory in the tentative axiomatization of non-commutative Riemannian manifolds by S. Lord [178] that also conjectured [178, Section VII.3] the existence of a more elaborated “non-commutative geometrical theory” relating the modular flow for von Neumann algebras in standard form with A. Connes’ spectral triples. A similar point of view has been stated by M. Paschke, R. Verch [205, Section 6].

The steps that have been leading to the most successful current approach to semi-finite non-commutative geometry and beyond are clearly described in the beautiful recent notes by A. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie [68] and are essentially motivated by extensions of classical index theory. Starting from the development of a Fredholm theory for von Neumann algebras by M. Breuer [44, 45], a first definition of spectral triple with respect to a semi-finite von Neumann algebra has been proposed by M.-T. Benameur, T. Fack [23] and the theory has been further developed [73, 74, 63] in a monumental series of works by M.-T. Benameur, A. Carey, J. Phillips, R. Nest, A. Rennie, A. Sedaev, F. Sukochev, K. Tong, K. Wojciechowski that described local index formulas for semi-finite spectral triples [22, 69, 70, 71, 72] (in this context see also the recent work by A.Lai [162]), an integration [75, 61] and a twisted version of KK-theory adapted to the situation at hand [66, 62, 78, 150] and developed crucial examples leading to a definition of “modular spectral triples” for von Neumann algebras equipped with a periodic action of the modular group of a KMS state [207, 65, 67, 68, 64].

A. Connes, H. Moscovici [38, 191] have recently put forward an alternative approach to the non-commutative geometry for type III von Neumann algebras where the notion of spectral
triple is modified with a “twist” in order to retain the usual properties of pairing with \( K \)-theory (see also F. D’Andrea \[97\] for further applications to spectral triples for quantum groups).

Notable examples of semi-finite spectral triples have already appeared in physics via the work of J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup \[1, 2, 3\] linking A. Connes’ spectral triples and loop quantum gravity (see the papers by A. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, R. Nest, M. Paschke \[1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\]). It is also interesting to remark (see the end of Subsection 5.2 and the final Subsection 6.5) that the semi-finite spectral triples defined by J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, R. Nest appear to be related to a generalization of the C. Antonescu, E. Christensen construction of spectral triples (where the condition of finite dimensionality of the eigenspaces of \( D \) is relaxed).

5.1 Modular spectral triples (after Carey–Phillips–Rennie)

Apart from some irrelevant uniformization of notation, the following are the definitions adopted in A. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie \[65, 68, 64\].

**Definition 5.1.** A semi-finite spectral triple \((A, \mathcal{H}, D)\) relative to a normal semi-finite faithful trace \(\tau\) on a semi-finite von Neumann algebra \(N\), is given by:

- a faithful representation \(\pi : A \to N \subset B(\mathcal{H})\) of a unital \(*\)-algebra \(A\) inside a semi-finite von Neumann algebra \(N \subset B(\mathcal{H})\) acting on the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\),
- a (non-necessarily bounded) self-adjoint operator \(D\) on the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) such that
  - the domain \(\text{Dom}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}\) of \(D\) is invariant under all the elements \(\pi(x) \in \pi(A)\),
  - the operators \([D, \pi(x)]_-\) defined on \(\text{Dom}(D)\) can be extended to bounded operators in the von Neumann algebra \(N\),
  - for all \(\mu \notin \text{Sp}(D)\), the resolvent \((D - \mu I)^{-1}\) is a \(\tau\)-compact operator in \(N\) i.e. it is in the norm closure of the ideal generated by all the projections \(p = p^2 = p^* \in N\) with \(\tau(p) < +\infty\).

**Definition 5.2.** A modular spectral triple \((A, \mathcal{H}_\omega, D)\) relative to a semi-finite von Neumann algebra \(N\) and a faithful \(\alpha\)-KMS-state \(\omega\) on the \(*\)-algebra \(A\) is given by:

- a faithful representation of \(A\) in \(N \subset B(\mathcal{H}_\omega)\) where \((\pi_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega)\) is the GNS-representation of \((A, \omega)\);
- a faithful normal semi-finite weight \(\phi\) on \(N\) whose modular automorphism group \(\sigma^\phi\) is inner in \(N\) and such that \(\sigma^\phi(\pi_\omega(x)) = \pi_\omega(\alpha(x))\) for all \(x \in A\);
- \(\phi\) restrict to a faithful semi-finite trace \(\tau := \phi|_{\text{N}^{\sigma^\phi}}\) on the fixed point algebra \(N^{\sigma^\phi} \subset N\);
- a (non-necessarily bounded) self-adjoint operator \(D\) on \(\mathcal{H}_\omega\) such that:
  - \(\text{Dom}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}_\omega\) is invariant under all the operators \(\pi_\omega(x), x \in A\),
  - for all \(x \in A\), \([D, \pi_\omega(x)]_-\) extends to a bounded operator in \(N\),
  - for \(\mu\) in the resolvent set of \(D\), for all \(f \in \pi_\omega(A)^{\sigma^\phi}\), \(f(D - \mu I)^{-1}\) is a \(\tau\)-compact operator relative to the semi-finite trace \(\tau\) on \(N^{\sigma^\phi}\).

Note that the definition of modular spectral triple above has been formalized on the base of examples where the dynamical system \((A, \alpha)\) is periodic. Anyway, apart from the (of course essential) condition on the resolvent of \(D\) (that allows to introduce semi-finite Fredholm modules and index theory), most of the ingredients in the definition are, in light of Connes–Takesaki duality and the properties of the Falcone–Takesaki non-commutative flow of weights in Theorem 2.11, essentially canonical constructions in the modular theory of any von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal faithful semi-finite weight! A first result going in this direction (Theorem 6.2) will be provided at the end of Subsection 6.1.
5.2 Modular theory and Antonescu–Christensen AF spectral triples

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an AF $C^*$-algebra. Consider again a filtration of $\mathcal{A}$ by unital inclusions of finite dimensional $C^*$-algebras $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}$ acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ be a cyclic and separating vector for the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{A}''$. We denote by $\Delta_\xi$ and $J_\xi$ the modular operator and the (conjugate-linear) modular conjugation operators relative to the pair $(\mathcal{R}, \xi)$ and by $(\sigma_t^\xi)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the corresponding one-paramenter group of modular automorphisms of $\mathcal{R}$.

For any $x \in \mathcal{R}$, define $\pi_n(x) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ by $\pi_n(x)\xi = P_n x \xi$, see e.g. R. Longo [174].

**Proposition 5.3.** The following conditions are equivalent:

a) $\pi_n: \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{A}_n$ is a $\langle \xi | \cdot \xi \rangle$-invariant conditional expectation.

b) $\sigma_t^\xi(\mathcal{A}_n) = \mathcal{A}_n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, $\Delta_\xi^t P_n = P_n \Delta_\xi^{-t}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $J_\xi P_n = P_n J_\xi$.

**Proof.** Immediate from Takesaki’s Theorem 2.9.

**Proposition 5.4.** If the filtration of the AF-algebra is modularly stable, for any choice of the sequence $(\alpha_n)$ that satisfies the Antonescu–Christensen conditions (as explained at the end of Section 4.7), the corresponding Dirac operator is a modular invariant, i.e.:

$$\Delta_\xi^t D \Delta_\xi^{-t} = D, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and furthermore, $J_\xi D = DJ_\xi$.

**Proof.** From Proposition 5.3 both $\Delta_\xi^t$ and $J_\xi$ commute with the projections $P_n$ and thus with the projection $E_n := P_n - P_{n-1}$.

Therefore, in the non-tracial case, spectral triples associated to filtrations that are stable under the modular group have a non-trivial group of automorphisms.

We now provide some explicit example of AF-algebras whose filtration is stable under a non-trivial modular group.

**Example 5.5** (Powers factors). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the tensor product of $n$ copies of $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 := \mathbb{C}$. Define by $\iota_n: x \mapsto x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ the usual unital inclusion $\iota_n: \mathcal{A}_n \to \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_n: \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a faithful state and consider the (automatically faithful) infinite tensor product state $\omega := \phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n \otimes \cdots$ on the inductive limit $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A} := \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the von Neumann algebra obtained as the weak closure of $\mathcal{A}$ in the GNS-representation of $\omega$. We continue to denote with the same symbol the (automatically faithful) normal extension of $\omega$ to $\mathcal{M}$. If $\sigma^\omega$ denotes the modular group of $\mathcal{M}$, we have that $\sigma_\mu^\omega(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots) = \sigma_\mu^{\phi_1}(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_\mu^{\phi_n}(x_n) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots$. The choice of

$$\phi_j(x) := \text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} x, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1/2],$$

gives rise to the so called Powers factor that is a factor of type $\text{III}_\mu$ with $\mu := \lambda/(1 - \lambda)$.

**Corollary 5.6.** The Antonescu–Christensen Dirac operators associated to the natural filtration of the Powers factors are modular invariant.

As already implicit in C. Antonescu, E. Christensen’s work [79] Theorem 2.1, if we are willing to relax the condition on the compactness of the resolvent of the Dirac operator, the basic construction of the spectral triple can be carried on with respect to an arbitrary filtration $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}$ of a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ without the assumption of the
finite dimensionality of the subalgebras \( A_n \). This kind of construction seems to be related to the semi-finite spectral triples developed by J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, R. Nest in loop quantum gravity [1, 5, 8, 7] (see also the discussion in Subsection 6.5).

All this seems to suggest an interesting connection between spectral triples and modular theory: given a \( \beta\)-KMS state \( \omega \) over a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), for any (countable) filtration of \( A \) with modular invariant subalgebras there are naturally associated families of modular invariant (semi-finite) spectral triples obtained via (generalized) Antonescu–Christensen construction.

Since, for modular filtrations of an AF \( C^* \)-algebra, the modular generator \( K_\omega \) and the Dirac operators of Antonescu–Christensen commute, it is natural to ask if there are situations where it is possible to assume \( D = K_\omega \) or a proportionality between them. Of course, it is already clear from the definition that every Antonescu–Christensen Dirac operator has a positive spectrum (since by construction \( \alpha_n > 0 \)), and hence the previous question should be interpreted in a “loose way” allowing some freedom for some (significant) alteration of the constructions.

In this respect it is worth to note the following elementary “spectral symmetrization procedure” on spectral triples: let \( (A, H, D) \) be a “Dirac ket” spectral triple and consider \( (A, H', D') \) its “Dirac bra” spectral triple, where \( H' \) denotes the Hilbert space dual of \( H \), the operator \( D' \) is given by \( D' := \Lambda \circ D \circ \Lambda^{-1} \), with \( \Lambda : H \rightarrow H' \) the usual conjugate-linear Riesz isomorphism, and the \( * \)-algebra \( A \) is represented on \( H' \) via the faithful representation \( \pi'(x) := \Lambda \circ \pi(x) \circ \Lambda^{-1} \), for all \( x \in A \).

On the Hilbert space \( H \oplus H' \), equipped with the direct sum \( \pi \oplus \pi' \) of the faithful representations of \( A \) on \( H \) and \( H' \), consider the self-adjoint operator \( K := D \oplus (-D') \). The operator \( K \) has a symmetric spectrum very much resembling the situation typical of modular generators. Furthermore it is possible to introduce a conjugate-linear operator \( J := \Psi \circ (\Lambda \oplus \Lambda^{-1}) \), where \( \Psi : H' \oplus H \rightarrow H \oplus H' \) is the “flip operator” \( \Psi(\xi, \eta) := (\eta, \xi) \), for \( \xi \in H' \) and \( \eta \in H \).

A more interesting situation is provided via the tensor product construction. Given the same spectral triple \( (A, H, D) \), consider the tensor product Hilbert space \( H \otimes H' \), with its conjugate-linear “flip operator” \( J \) defined on homogeneous tensors by \( J(\xi \otimes \eta) := \Lambda^{-1}(\eta) \otimes \Lambda(\xi) \), carrying the two commuting representations of the algebra \( A \) via \( \pi(x)(\xi \otimes \eta) := (\pi(x)\xi) \otimes \eta \) and \( \pi'(x)(\xi \otimes \eta) := \xi \otimes (\pi'(x)\eta) = J\pi(x)J(\xi \otimes \eta) \), for all \( x \in A \), \( \xi \in H \) and \( \eta \in H' \). We can define a new Dirac operator \( K := D \otimes I - I \otimes D' \) on \( H \otimes H' \) (remember that \( D' := \Lambda \circ D \circ \Lambda^{-1} \)) obtaining a new spectral triple \( (A, H \otimes H', K) \). Even more general situations should be obtained via J.-L. Sauvageot’s relative tensor product (tensor product of correspondences).

Such a construction is not a completely futile exercise since it is typical of semi-finite von Neumann algebras in their standard form and it will come handy in Subsection 6.5.

As an elementary exemplification, we briefly discuss here the case arising from the Cuntz algebra, somehow related to the Remark 2.2 in [79], and that has been already fully treated by A. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie [67].

Let \( \mathcal{O}_d \) be the Cuntz algebra endowed with the faithful normal state \( \omega = \tau \circ m \), where \( \tau \) is the canonical trace on the “gauge invariant” UHF-subalgebra \( \mathcal{O}^0_d \subset \mathcal{O}_d \) and \( m : \mathcal{O}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^0_d \) is the conditional expectation obtained by averaging over the gauge group \( T \). We consider the natural \( \mathbb{Z} \)-grading of \( \mathcal{O}_d \) and, for each \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), the projection \( E_k \) from \( \mathcal{H}_\omega \) (the GNS-space of \( \omega \)) onto the closed subspace \( \pi_\omega(\mathcal{O}^0_d)^{\mathcal{H}_\omega} \).

The modular one-parameter unitary group associated to the normal extension of \( \omega \) to the von Neumann algebra \( \pi_\omega(\mathcal{O}_d)' \), which is a factor of type \( \text{III}_1/d \), is then

\[ t \mapsto \Delta_t^D = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-it \log(d)k} E_k. \]

Here the operator \( K_\omega := \log \Delta_\omega = -\log(d) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k E_k \) plays a role that is somehow analogous to the Dirac operator constructed by Antonescu–Christensen, apart from the requirement of compactness of the resolvent that cannot be satisfied due to the infinite dimensionality of its
eigenspaces. In particular, it is not difficult to check that all the commutators of $K_\omega$ with elements $\pi_\omega(a)$ with $a \in \mathcal{O}_d^h$ are bounded. For all $a \in \mathcal{O}_d^h$, for all $b \in \mathcal{O}_d$, we have that

$$\pi_\omega(\sigma^x_t(a)b)\xi_{\omega} = \Delta^H_{\omega}\pi_\omega(\sigma^x_t(a)\Delta^{-t}_\omega)(b)\xi_\omega = \Delta^H_{\omega}\pi_\omega(a)\pi_\omega(\sigma^x_t(b))\xi_\omega = e^{-ikt\log(d)}\pi_\omega(a)\pi_\omega(b)\xi_\omega,$$

since $\pi_\omega(a)\xi_\omega$ with $a \in \mathcal{O}_d^h$ is eigenvector of $\Delta_\omega$ with eigenvalue $e^{-k\log(d)}$, and taking the derivative with respect to $t$ at $t = 0$ we obtain $[K_\omega, \pi_\omega(a)\pi_\omega(b)\xi_\omega] = \pi_\omega(a)\pi_\omega(b)\xi_\omega$ and hence $[K_\omega, \pi_\omega(a)]$ is bounded on the dense set $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)\xi_\omega$.

Aside, we take the opportunity to mention a very natural question (that will also reappear later at the end of Section 6). Using filtration techniques G. Cornelissen, M. Marcolli, K. Reihani, A. Vdovina have constructed some example of spectral triples over some particular Cuntz–Krieger algebra represented as a crossed product. Is there a natural “induction” process for spectral triples? Crossed products play a fundamental role in the structure of factors via Connes–Takesaki duality Theorem 2.11 and it is natural to look for a theory of crossed products for spectral triples and in particular for modular spectral triples.

Finally, as a last remark in this section, we would like to spend a few general words on the interesting problems related to the mutual interplay between Atiyah–Singer index theory and modular theory. A. Connes non-commutative geometry is deeply rooted in classical index theory. On the other side A. Carey and collaborators have given for the first time an interpretation in terms of index of modular theory. Natural questions arise on the mutual interaction between the two: in most of the examples considered, modular spectral triples are constructed via a “modular Dirac operator” that is proportional to the modular generator and of course one would like to know if there are canonical ways to obtain a modular spectral triple out of a usual one and the other way around (this will be of the utmost importance for what we will say later on the reconstruction of space-time). Very similar problems of “transition” between different forms of spectral geometry have been considered already, but only motivated by the differential geometrical side of the matter, by J. Fröhlich and collaborators (see their discussion on the passage between $N = 1$ and $N = (1, 1)$ geometries or from spectral triples for configuration and phase-space). One is naturally led to conjecture that the already available incarnations of index theory may find their place in a wider landscape (that deserves to named geometry as well) where several spectral sets of data can be imposed. Spectral triples and modular spectral triples are probably just the first examples of this wider arena.

### 5.3 Modular non-commutative geometry in physics

Despite the fact that most, of the literature on non-commutative geometry is actually heavily motivated or directly inspired by physics (Heisenberg quantum mechanics, standard model, renormalization in perturbative quantum field theory, deformation quantization, just to mention a few) and the strong interest shared by theoretical physics for this mathematical subject, when compared to the outstanding structural achievements of Tomita–Takesaki modular theory in quantum statistical mechanics and algebraic quantum field theory, the fundamental relevance of non-commutative geometry for the foundations of physics looks still quite weak and disputable. In this subsection rather than discussing the vast panorama of applications of non-commutative geometry to physics and model building (see the book by A. Connes, M. Marcolli for a recent very complete coverage of the physics applications of non-commutative geometry and, for a really pedestrian list of references, our companion survey paper), we proceed to describe the very few available instances and hints of a direct applicability of modular non-commutative ideas (such as semi-finite and modular spectral triples, phase-spaces etc.) to physics.

In the investigation of models of supersymmetric quantum field theories A. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski, K. Osterwalder introduced $\theta$-summable Fredholm modules induced by KMS-functionals and D. Kastler generalized the construction to supersymmetric KMS-functionals (i.e. graded KMS-states over a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $C^*$-algebra with respect to a one-parameter group of
automorphisms whose generator is the square of a “supercharge” operator). This seems to be in line with the conjectured deep structural relations between cyclic cohomology, supersymmetry and modular theory that, as reported by D. Kastler [153], A. Connes had already considered in his work on entire cyclic cohomology. Despite the significant interest attracted by this theme (see for example A. Jaffe, O. Stoytchev [146, 149]), the construction of model independent supersymmetric KMS-states on algebras of observables of quantum fields (say in algebraic quantum field theory) proved to be more elusive than expected and only with the recent works by D. Buchholz, H. Grundling [55, 56] the road has been opened for the construction of supersymmetric cyclic cocycles and spectral triples in algebraic quantum field theory by S. Carpi, R. Hillier, Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo [77].

R. Longo [177] has worked out the existence of deep relationships between supersymmetric cyclic cocycles obtained by super-KMS-functionals and the theory of superselection sectors in algebraic quantum field theory (as references for superselection theory see for example D. Kastler [154] and R. Haag [132, Chapter IV]).

Very deep and captivating motivations from physics permeate all of the works by J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel [121, 122], where several axiomatizations of non-commutative structures have been undertaken always with a view to physics. In particular, in the previous Section 4.2 we already described their definitions of non-commutative manifold and of non-commutative phase-space, that is especially important in our context, being the first non-commutative geometry making full-use of modular theory.

The already mentioned works by A. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, F. Sukochev and collaborators (see [68] for a review) provide definitions of semi-finite and modular spectral triples with examples and techniques that, although mathematical in character, are without any doubt strongly motivated by the needs from physics (e.g. A. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski, K. Osterwalder’s cyclic cocycles) and provide vast opportunities for applications.

In particular, as we said, semi-finite spectral triples already found their natural way in loop quantum gravity via the interesting works of J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, M. Paschke, R. Nest [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

Among the few approaches to a quantum theory of gravity via non-commutative geometry (see the companion review [30] Section 5.5.1] for more complete references) A. Connes, M. Marcolli [87] make a systematic use of modular theory. They establish an extremely intriguing parallel between statistical mechanics methods applied to the study of number theory and a tentative theory of quantum gravity. Using a “cooling procedure”, introduced with C. Consani in [85], they examine the algebras of observables at different physical temperatures and they plan to recover macroscopic geometries via symmetry breaking.

The A. Connes, M. Marcolli’s strategy, to recover non-commutative geometries out of symmetry breaking from a modular group acting on an algebra of observables for quantum gravity (obtained as “convolution algebra” of a 2-category of kinematical quantum geometries), is further expanded in the remarkable recent paper by D. Denicola, M. Marcolli, A.-Z. al Yasri [99] that is also introducing important constructions of topological spin networks and foams in view of direct applications of the formalism to loop quantum gravity.

Very interesting speculations on the possible interplay between Tomita–Takesaki modular theory and non-commutative geometry are contained in M. Paschke, R. Verch [205, Section 6] and suggestions on how the modular flow might be related to some aspects of (non-commutative) geometry have been conjectured by S. Lord [178, Section VII.3]. That non-commutative geometries (in the sense of A. Connes’ spectral triples or some variant of them) describing space-time might be recoverable from Tomita–Takesaki modular theory had been considered by R. Longo [176] and it has been the main theme of our investigation [25, 27, 28, 30] for quite some time.
6 Perspectives on modular algebraic quantum gravity

Following [28], we propose a “thermal” reconstruction of “quantum realities” (quantum space-time-matter), via Tomita–Takesaki modular theory, starting from suitable “event states” on “categories” of abstract operator algebras describing “partial physical observables”.

The fundamental input of the project is the recognition that Tomita–Takesaki modular theory (the “heart” of equilibrium quantum statistical mechanics) can be reinterpreted as a way to associate non-commutative spectral geometries (axiomatically similar to A. Connes’ spectral triples) to appropriate states over the algebras of observables of a physical system.

In this way, for every “observer” (specified by an algebra of “partial observables”), a different quantum geometry naturally emerges, induced by each possible “covariant kinematic” (specified by an “event state”). These “virtual realities” interrelate with each other via a “categorical covariance principle” replacing the usual diffeomorphisms group of general relativity.

In our opinion this provides a new solid approach to the formulation of an algebraic (modular) theory of non-perturbative quantum gravity, and to the foundations of quantum physics, in which (quantum) space-time is reconstructed a posteriori.

6.1 Construction of modular spectral geometries

Building on our previous research program on “modular spectral-triples in non-commutative geometry and physics” [25, 28, already announced in [26, Section 5.5.2] and with more details in [27], we suggest here how to make use of Tomita–Takesaki modular theory of operator algebras to associate non-commutative geometrical objects (only formally similar to A. Connes’ spectral-triples) to suitable states over involutive algebras (say for now $C^*$-algebras).

In the same direction we also stress the close connection of these “spectral geometries” to the modular spectral triples introduced by A. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, F. Sukochev that we already described in Section 5.1. We proceed in steps.

- Let $\omega$ be a faithful $\beta$-KMS-state over the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. By definition there exists a unique one-parameter group of $\ast$-automorphism $t \mapsto \sigma^\omega_t$ that satisfies the KMS-condition at inverse temperature $\beta$ for the state $\omega$.

- We consider the GNS-representation $(\pi_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega)$ induced by the state $\omega$ and note that the vector $\xi_\omega$ is a cyclic and separating vector for the von Neumann algebra $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$. This follows from O. Bratteli, D. Robinson [33, Vol. 2, Corollary 5.3.9].

- Since $\xi_\omega$ is cyclic and separating for $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$, we are entitled to apply Tomita–Takesaki theorem obtaining a unique one-parameter unitary group $t \mapsto \Delta^\omega_t$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ that spatially implements the modular group on the von Neumann algebra $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$:

$$\pi_\omega(\sigma^\omega_t(x)) = \Delta^\omega_t \pi_\omega(x) \Delta^{-\omega}_t, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

Again we denote by $K_\omega := \log \Delta_\omega$ the modular generator and by $J_\omega$ the conjugate-linear involution, determined by $\xi_\omega$ on $\mathcal{H}_\omega$, that is spatially implementing a conjugate-linear isomorphism between $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$ and its commutant $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'$.

Note that the original $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is modularly stable i.e. $\sigma^\omega_t(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ and that the restriction to $\mathcal{A}$ of the modular one-parameter automorphism group $t \mapsto \sigma^\omega_t$ of $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$ coincides with the unique one-parameter group satisfying the $\beta$-KMS condition on $\mathcal{A}$.

---

14Bertozzini P., Conti R., Lewkeeratiyutkul W., Modular algebraic quantum gravity, work in progress.

15Our point of view, as it will appear in due course, is quite favorable to background independent approaches to quantum gravity as in the tradition of research in general relativity. The reader is warned that such a choice is not at all mainstream in the current research panorama in quantum gravity. For a very critical recent assessment of the interplay between quantum gravity and non-commutative geometry we suggest the recent review by J. Gracia-Bondía [125].
• Define $\mathcal{A}_\omega := \{ x \in \mathcal{A} \mid [K_\omega, \pi_\omega(x)]_- \in \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})'' \}$ (where we mean here that the operator $[K_\omega, \pi_\omega(x)]_-$ extends to a bounded operator in $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$) and note, by a direct application of O. Bratteli, D. Robinson [33] Vol. 1, Theorem 3.2.61, that $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_\omega)\xi_\omega$ is a core for the operator $K_\omega$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ is a $*$-algebra that is dense in $\mathcal{A}$.

• By Tomita–Takesaki theorem, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ we have $[[K_\omega, \pi_\omega(x)]_- , J_\omega \pi_\omega(y) J_\omega]_- = 0$ that is very much resembling the first order condition in the definition of A. Connes’ real spectral triples. Clearly the other key condition in the definition of real structures in spectral triples $[\pi_\omega(x), J_\omega \pi_\omega(y) J_\omega]_- = 0$ is always true for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$.

Furthermore, from the definition of $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ we necessarily have $[K_\omega, \pi_\omega(x)]_- \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''$, for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$, that resembles one of the key ingredients in Definitions 5.1 and 5.2 of modular spectral triples relative to a von Neumann algebra, although here the von Neumann algebra $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$ is not necessarily semi-finite.

**Definition 6.1.** Given a $\beta$-KMS state $\omega$ over the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we define the **modular spectral geometry** associated to the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$, to be the quintuple

$$(\mathcal{A}_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega, K_\omega, J_\omega),$$

where the meaning of the terms above has been discussed in the items preceding this definition.

As we already noted, when $\mathcal{N} := \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$ is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra, taking $D := K_\omega$, this structure seems strictly related to the notion of modular spectral triple introduced by A. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie.

Anyway, despite the superficial resemblance of the data $(\mathcal{A}_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega, K_\omega, J_\omega)$ for our modular spectral geometries to actual real spectral triples, we have to stress a few radical differences:

• the modular generator $K_\omega$ has a spectrum $\text{Sp}(K_\omega)$ that is a symmetric set under reflection in $\mathbb{R}$ and can often be continuous, a situation that reminds of “propagation” and that does not have much in common with the usual first-order elliptic Dirac operators that appear in the definition of A. Connes’ spectral triples.

• there is no grading anticommuting with $K_\omega$; although a natural grading is clearly present via the spectral decomposition of $K_\omega$ into a positive and negative component, such grading always commutes with the modular generator.

• the resolvent properties of $K_\omega$ do not seem to fit immediately with the requirements of index theory, although we expect that in the case of periodic modular flows the index theory developed by A. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, F. Suchocew for modular spectral triples will apply.

• contrary to the situation typical of A. Connes spectral triples, the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ is stable under the one-parameter group generated by $K_\omega$ that coincides with $t \mapsto \sigma_\omega^t$.

Of course, in order to justify the attribute “geometry” to be attached to an algebraic gadget, some more substance has to be present if not via index theory and (co)homology (as this is the case) at least through explicit presence of natural structures related to differentiability, integral calculus . . . and it turns out that in our situation we have a few tools available:

• As is the case for any dynamical system, there is an intrinsic notion of smoothness provided by the modularly stable filtration $\mathcal{A}_\omega^\infty \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}_\omega^{n+1} \subset \mathcal{A}_\omega^n \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}_\omega^0 \subset \mathcal{A}$ of $*$-algebras given, for $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$, by $\mathcal{A}_\omega^r := \{ x \in \mathcal{A} \mid [t \mapsto \sigma_\omega^t(x)] \in C^r(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{A}) \}$, with $C^r(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{A})$ denoting the family of $\mathcal{A}$-valued $r$-times continuously differentiable functions.

Via the faithful representation $\pi_\omega$ this filtration becomes a filtration of smooth operators $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})^\infty \subset \cdots \subset \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})^{n+1} \subset \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})^n \subset \cdots \subset \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})^0 \subset \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}) \subset \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A})''$ on the Hilbert
space $\mathcal{H}_\omega$, where $\pi_\omega(A)^r := \{ x \in A \mid [ t \mapsto \Delta^t_\omega\pi_\omega(x)\Delta^{-t}_\omega] \in C^r(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega))\}$ and now $C^r(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega))$ denotes the set of $r$-times continuously differentiable functions with values in the normed space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$.

- With the previous smooth structure in mind, the operator $K_\omega$ seems to satisfy a variant of A. Connes’ regularity condition: $\pi_\omega(x), [K_\omega; \pi_\omega(x)]_{} \in \cap_{m=1}^{+\infty} \text{Dom}(\delta^m_\omega)$, where we assume $\delta^m_\omega(x) := [K_\omega, \pi_\omega(x)]_{}$.

- There is already a perfectly natural notion of integration available via the $\beta$-KMS state $\omega$ so that we can define $\int x \mathrm{d}\omega := \omega(x)$, for all $x \in A$ and more generally, for all $x \in \pi_\omega(A)^\prime\prime$, $\int x \mathrm{d}\omega := \langle \xi_\omega \mid x\xi_\omega \rangle$.

Apart from the now trivial fact that it is usual in non-commutative measure theory to define Radon measures on spaces via states and more generally weights (see for example M. Takesaki [246 Vol. II, Chapter IX]), it is well-known (see for example A. Jaffe [146], J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel [121, Section 5.1.3], [122, Definition 2.1.3], and also R. Longo [176, 177]) that in non-commutative integration theory for finite and $\theta$-summable spectral triples, Dixmier traces can be substituted by functionals involving trace of the “heat kernel” $\exp(-tD^2)$. Furthermore, for the general case of “non-compact infinite-dimensional spaces”, it has been already suggested (see again [122 Section 2.2.6], [121 Section 5.2.6] and especially A. Jaffe [146 Section 2]) that $\beta$-KMS states should be used for the definition of an integration theory.

- Note that from the above definition, for the purpose of integration, the order-one operator $K_\omega$ plays a role similar to the Laplacian $D^2$ in the case of spectral triples.

Although we restricted our discussion here to the case of $\beta$-KMS states on a $C^*$-algebra, the construction of a modular spectral geometry associated to the pair $(A, \omega)$ can be performed in the more general situation of faithful semi-finite normal weights.

Making use of Connes–Takesaki duality and Falcone–Takesaki construction of the non-commutative flow of weights we obtain immediately some important relation between general modular spectral geometries and a semi-finite version of them resulting in structures that are really very close to those of “modular spectral triples” by A. Carey and collaborators and that deserve a careful study in order to identify their physical significance.

- Define $\mathcal{M}_\omega := \pi_\omega(A)^\prime\prime$ and note that $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ is canonically embedded in a semi-finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_\omega$, isomorphic to the crossed product $\mathcal{M}_\omega \rtimes_\sigma^\omega \mathbb{R}$, and hence $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ is identified with the algebra of fixed points $\mathcal{M}_\omega^\sigma$ for the dual action $s \mapsto \sigma_s^\omega$ on $\mathcal{M}_\omega$.

- There is an operator-valued weight $\Xi_\omega$ from $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ to $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ under which the weight $\omega$ get lifted to $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ as $\bar{\omega} := \omega \circ \Xi_\omega$ and $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ inside $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ is modularly stable under the modular group $t \mapsto \sigma_t^\omega$ of $\bar{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{M}_\omega$.

- The modular group $t \mapsto \sigma_t^\omega$ is inner in $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ with generator $k_\omega$, affiliated to $\mathcal{M}_\omega$.

- There is a natural trace on $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ defined by $\tau_\omega (z) := \bar{\omega}(\omega^{-1/2}z\omega^{-1/2})$, for all $z \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$, where $\omega^{-1} := \exp(-k_\omega)$. Furthermore, the natural trace rescales under the action of $s \mapsto \sigma_s^\omega$ i.e. $\tau_\omega \circ \sigma_s^\omega = e^{-s}\tau$.

Much more concrete results can be obtained along the following lines.

Consider the von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}_\omega := \{ x \in \mathcal{M}_\omega \mid \sigma_t^\omega(x) = x, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, usually called the centralizer of $\omega$, and $\mathcal{N}_\omega := \{ \pi_\omega(x)\Delta^t_\omega \mid x \in A, t \in \mathbb{R}\}' = \mathcal{M}_\omega \cap \{ \Delta^t_\omega \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}'$. We clearly have that $\mathcal{M}_\omega \subset \mathcal{M}_\omega \subset \mathcal{N}_\omega$. Notice that $\mathcal{M}_\omega = \mathcal{M}_\omega \cap \{ \Delta^t_\omega \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}'$, and therefore $(\mathcal{M}_\omega)' = (\mathcal{M}_\omega)' \cap \{ \Delta^t_\omega \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}'$.

All the previous algebras are acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ where $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ is in standard form. Passing to the commutant von Neumann algebras we obtain $\mathcal{N}_\omega' \subset \mathcal{M}_\omega' \subset (\mathcal{M}_\omega')'$ and,
since \( \gamma_\omega(M_\omega) = M_\omega' \), one has \( \gamma_\omega((M_\omega)'') = N_\omega' \). In particular, being (anti-isomorphic to) the commutant of a (semi-)finite von Neumann algebra, \( N_\omega \) is semi-finite \cite[Corollary V.2.23]{246}.

By \cite[Theorem VIII.2.6]{246}, the state \( \omega \) on \( M_\omega \), restricts, to a trace on \( M_\omega' \).

Since \( M_\omega' \) is modularly stable under \( \sigma^\omega \), by Theorem 2.9 there is a unique conditional expectation \( \Phi_\omega : M_\omega \rightarrow M_\omega' \) such that \( \omega |_{M_\omega} = \omega \circ \Phi_\omega \) and via the conjugate-linear map \( \gamma_\omega \) we obtain a unique conditional expectation \( \Phi_{\gamma_\omega} : M_\omega' \rightarrow N_\omega' \) given, for all \( x \in M_\omega' \), by \( \Phi_{\gamma_\omega} : x \mapsto \gamma_\omega \circ \Phi_\omega \circ \gamma_\omega(x) \).

Making use of \cite[Theorem IX.4.24]{246}, we can now associate to the conditional expectation \( \Phi_{\gamma_\omega} : M_\omega' \rightarrow N_\omega' \) a dual faithful semi-finite normal operator valued weight \( \Theta_\omega : N_{\omega} \rightarrow M_\omega' \).

Such an operator-valued weight can be used to lift the state \( \omega \) on \( M_\omega \) to a faithful normal semi-finite weight \( \phi_\omega \) on \( N_\omega \) such that \( \phi_\omega := \omega \circ \Theta_\omega \).

By Theorem 2.10 we have that \( \sigma^\omega_t(x) = \sigma^\omega_t(x) \) for all \( x \in M_\omega \) and for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

Using the definition of \( \Theta_\omega \) and the properties of spatial derivatives (see Theorem 2.8), it is straightforward to verify that the modular group induced by the weight \( \phi_\omega \) on the semi-finite von Neumann algebra \( N_\omega \) is given, for all \( x \in N_\omega \), by \( \sigma'^\omega_t(x) = \Delta^\omega_t x \Delta^{-\omega t}_\omega \). By \cite[Theorem VIII.3.14]{246} the map defined for all \( x \in N_\omega \) by \( \tau_\omega(x) := \phi_\omega(\Delta^{-\omega t}_\omega x \Delta^\omega_t) \) is a faithful semi-finite normal trace.

Making full use of the notation that we have just introduced above, we can now state the following result on rather general grounds.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \((A_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega, K_\omega, J_\omega)\) be the modular spectral geometry associated to the pair \((A, \omega)\), where \( \omega \) is an \( \alpha \)-KMS-state over the \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \). Suppose that \( K_\omega \) has compact resolvent with respect to the canonical trace \( \tau_\omega \) on the von Neumann algebra \( N_\omega \) and that the extended weight \( \phi_\omega : N_\omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty] \) is strictly semi-finite\footnote{By a strictly semi-finite weight \( \phi : N_\omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty] \) we mean that a weight \( \phi \) whose restriction to its centralizer is semi-finite.}. The data \((A_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, K_\omega)\) canonically provide a modular spectral triple, relative to the von Neumann algebra \( N_\omega \) and to the \( \alpha \)-KMS-state \( \omega \), according to A. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie Definition 5.2.

**Proof.** We already know that \( A_\omega := \{ x \in A \mid [K_\omega, x]_- \in M_\omega \} \) is a \( * \)-algebra that is \( \alpha \)-invariant inside the \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \). By hypothesis \( \omega \) is an \( \alpha \)-KMS state on \( A \) and \( \mathcal{H}_\omega \) is the Hilbert space of the GNS-representation \((\pi_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega)\) of \((A, \omega)\).

The GNS representation \( \pi_\omega \) is a covariant representation for the one-parameter group \( \alpha : t \mapsto \alpha_t \) of automorphisms of \( A \) that is implemented on \( \mathcal{H}_\omega \) by the modular one-parameter group \( t \mapsto e^{iK_\omega t} \) i.e. \( \pi_\omega(\alpha_t(x)) = e^{iK_\omega t} \pi_\omega(x) e^{-iK_\omega t} \), for all \( x \in A \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

We have already seen from the definition of \( N_\omega \) that the modular generator \( K_\omega \) is affiliated to \( N_\omega \) and that actually induces an inner one-parameter automorphism group of \( N_\omega \) that coincides with the modular group of the semi-finite normal faithful weight \( \phi_\omega := \omega \circ \Theta_\omega \), where \( \Theta_\omega \) is the operator-valued weight from \( N_\omega \) to \( M_\omega := \pi_\omega(A)' \) constructed above. Since \( \sigma'^\omega_t|_{M_\omega} = \sigma^\omega_t \), we have \( \sigma'^\omega_t(\pi_\omega(x)) = \sigma^\omega_t(\pi_\omega(x)) = \pi_\omega(\alpha_t(x)) \), for all \( x \in A \).

Since \( \Delta^{-\omega t}_\omega \in N_\omega \), from the formula \( \tau_\omega(z) := \phi_\omega(\Delta^{-\omega t}_\omega z \Delta^{-\omega t}_\omega) \), for all \( z \in N_\omega \), for the canonical trace \( \tau_\omega \) on \( N_\omega \), we obtain \( \phi_\omega(z) = \tau_\omega(\Delta^{\omega t}_\omega z \Delta^{-\omega t}_\omega) \), for all \( z \in N_\omega \). By assumption, \( \phi_\omega|_{N_\omega \phi_\omega} \) is a semi-finite trace.\(^{16}\)

The operators \([K_\omega, \pi_\omega(x)]_-\), for all \( x \in A_\omega \) extend to operators in \( M_\omega \subset N_\omega \). Since \( \pi(A_\omega)\xi_\omega \) is a core for the operator \( K_\omega \) that is invariant for all the bounded operators \( \pi_\omega(x) \) with \( x \in A_\omega \), we have that \( \text{Dom}(K_\omega) \) is invariant under all \( \pi_\omega(x) \), for \( x \in A_\omega \). Finally the hypothesis on the \( \tau_\omega \)-compactness of the resolvent of \( K_\omega \) concludes the proof.\( \blacksquare \)

**Remark 6.3.** Clearly, in the reverse direction, if \((A, \mathcal{H}_\omega, D)\) is a modular spectral triple relative to a semi-finite von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) \) and an \( \alpha \)-KMS state \( \omega \) on \( A \) whose Dirac
operator $D$ coincides with the modular generator $K_\omega$ of the modular one-parameter group $t \mapsto \Delta^t_\omega$ on $\mathcal{H}_\omega$, the uniquely determined data $(\mathcal{A}_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega, D, J_\omega)$ provides the modular spectral geometry associated to the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha)$.

Since, as far as we know, all the interesting examples of modular spectral triples available for now are equipped with a Dirac operator that is proportional to the modular generator $K_\omega$, the observation above says that modular spectral triples are “essentially” very specific modular spectral geometries.

One might also wonder to which extent the property of $\tau_\omega$-compactness of the resolvent of $K_\omega$ and strict semi-finiteness of $\tilde{\omega}$ might be features related to the specific examples that are now reasonably well understood from the point of view of modular index theory i.e. the case of periodic modular groups.

From Connes–Takesaki duality theorem it is clear that the initial physical information coming from the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$ and codified into the von Neumann dynamical system $(\mathcal{M}_\omega, \sigma_\omega)$ is equivalent to the information codified via the semi-finite von Neumann dynamical system $(\mathcal{N}_\omega, \tilde{\sigma}_\omega)$ and hence there will be a way to relate the modular spectral geometry associated to the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$ and the one associated to $(\mathcal{N}_\omega, \tilde{\omega})$ and we can take advantage of the semi-finite case.

We are currently examining how the categorical properties of Tomita–Takesaki modular theory will translate into functorial properties for the previous constructions of modular spectral geometries. As we will say in Subsection 6.3 we think that this will be a key point for the study of covariance in quantum gravity, but this will be done elsewhere.

6.2 Physical meaning of modular spectral geometries

We now deal with the possible physical interpretation of the “spectral geometries” that have been constructed in the previous section. In our opinion this is probably the most significant original message conveyed by this manuscript: what is essentially the well-known modular theory of KMS-states, standard forms of von Neumann algebras and their already clear link with equilibrium quantum statistical mechanics will require some “reinterpretation” to fit in the covariant context. In this case the mathematical formalism (KMS-states over $C^*$-algebras) is already in place, but a different interpretative scheme has to be constructed. We are aware that our proposal will encounter immediately several important objections and so we will devote some time to address at least some of these issues.

Loosely speaking, the most surprising thing in Tomita–Takesaki theory is that the knowledge of certain states over the algebra of observables (that, in the usual interpretation of algebraic quantum mechanics, means knowledge of the information on the past preparation of a physical system) entails the complete knowledge of the dynamics of the system.

In a similar way, although in a completely different context, it is recognized that Einstein’s equations are a recipe for extracting information on the space-time geometry (at least its metric) from the knowledge of the distribution of matter (the stress-energy tensor). It has also been conjectured (for example by S. Doplicher [104, 107]) that in a theory of quantum gravity the geometry will depend on the “state” of the system.

- Our main conjecture is that Tomita–Takesaki theorem plays a role of quantum Einstein equation, providing a way to associate to a suitable “state” of the physical system a dynamics, and that this dynamics can be interpreted as a certain non-commutative geometry (although not necessarily or immediately at the level of space-time).

We are perfectly aware that, in the context of C. Rovelli “thermal time hypothesis”, the modular automorphisms group has been proposed as a way to recover a notion of “classical time” [59].
Furthermore, both in the “termal time hypothesis” as well as in the more traditional usage of the modular flux as the one-parameter group of time evolution of a quantum statistical system in equilibrium, the modular Hamiltonian $K_\omega$ generates only a “one-dimensional” group and it has usually been said that the knowledge of such a “one-dimensional thing” as the modular group (or equivalently the modular Hamiltonian) will not be sufficient to gather information for the other (missing spatial) dimensions, let alone for “quantum geometries”, since the parameter in the flux is just a classical real number. We point out that:

- The modular generator $K_\omega$ is a self-adjoint operator and every self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space (including for example the Dirac operator in the very same definition of spectral triple!) is the generator of a one-parameter group of unitaries on the Hilbert space. For example, the fact that the Atiyah–Singer Dirac operator $D$ generates on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of square-integrable sections of the spinor bundle of a spinorial Riemannian manifold $M$ a unitary one-parameter group of unitaries $t \mapsto \exp(itD)$ in a classical parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$, does not prevent one from extracting the full information on the manifold $M$ from the data codified in the spectral triple $(C^\infty(M), \mathcal{H}, D)$.

- Although we agree that C. Rovelli “thermal time hypothesis” can still be a perfectly viable possibility to obtain a macroscopic classical time evolution in situations (such as generally covariant relativistic systems) where a notion of (classical or quantum) time might be absent from the basic theory, we stress that extracting a macroscopic time evolution from a statistical state is something that can be done even on a classical system with a non-pure statistical state and hence does not seem to require a non-commutative algebra of observables.

To the contrary, Tomita–Takesaki modular theory carries information on the deep quantum structure of the algebra of observables (in fact the modular flow becomes trivial in the commutative case!).

More precisely, one expects that obtaining a “commutative geometry”, from the “quantum geometry” supposedly described by modular theory, will of course require some form of “coarse graining” for the very same reason that the modular geometry induced by the modular flow becomes trivial on commutative $C^*$-algebras and so the construction cannot be immediately applied to the limiting classical case. What looks suspicious, is that the thermal time hypothesis works well in the classical case too, even if the corresponding modular flow is trivial.

- The usual interpretation of KMS-states as equilibrium states in quantum statistical mechanics for the modular one-parameter group and hence the association of Tomita–Takesaki theory with a time evolution is based on “non-relativistic quantum theory”. In a quantum theory of gravity, or for generally covariant quantum systems, there are already a few tentative versions of “relativistic quantum theory”, mainly in the Schrödinger picture (see for example C. Rovelli “relativistic quantum mechanics” [214, Section 5.2], K. Böstrom “event quantum theory” [11, 12] and C. Isham “history projection quantum theory” [120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 221, 222]), in which a Hilbert space formalism of states and observables still survives and where the dynamics is not described in terms of time evolution, but via operator contraints (Wheeler–DeWitt equations), propagators or decoherence functionals.

What we would like to suggest is that a “fully covariant” interpretation of the modular group might be possible, where the evolution parameter is a “relativistic scalar”, moreover in such a context a “covariant thermal space-time hypothesis” should be viable.\footnote{By this we mean that both macroscopic time and space degrees of freedom should be recoverable from the knowledge of a statistical state for a covariant theory.}
Since this last interpretative issue is crucial, we are trying to develop an “event interpretation” of the formalism of states and observables in algebraic quantum physics that is in line with the above mentioned C. Isham’s “history projection operator theory” and/or with C. Rovelli’s “relativistic quantum theory”, to which we will constantly refer in the following.

Without entering here in the discussion of details, that will be fully addressed elsewhere, we note that the data in the specification of a modular spectral geometry \((A_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, K_\omega)\) look essentially similar to those in C. Rovelli’s relativistic quantum theory [214, Section 5.2] with:

- the \(C^*\)-algebra \(A = A_\omega^-\) as the algebra of covariant partial observables of an observer,
- the modular generator \(K_\omega\) as the covariant dynamical constraint,
- the fixed point algebra \(A^{\sigma_\omega}\) with respect to the modular one-parameter group \(t \mapsto \sigma_t^\omega\) as the algebra of complete covariant observables: \(x \in A^{\sigma_\omega} \Leftrightarrow [K_\omega, \pi_\omega(x)]_- = 0\).

The parallel is unfortunately far from being perfect indeed:

- The Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}_\omega\) is “too big” to be identified with the space of kinematical states: the GNS representation \(\pi_\omega\) for the KMS-state \(\omega\) is not irreducible (the commutant \(\pi_\omega(A)'\) is conjugate-isomorphic to \(\pi_\omega(A)^{\sigma_\omega}\)). Some “kind of polarization” in \(\mathcal{H}_\omega\) is needed to recover the covariant kinematical Hilbert space.
- It is tempting to think that \(\mathcal{H}_\omega\) might be related to C. Rovelli’s boundary Hilbert space.
- The vector state \(\xi_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\omega\) in this case will play the role of covariant vacuum.
- It is not immediately clear what kind of “non-commutative geometry” is described by the modular spectral geometries \((A_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega, K_\omega, J_\omega)\) reconstructed from Tomita–Takesaki modular theory, but they seem to be geometries related to the “phase space” of the physical system and actually the structures available in the case of modular spectral geometries are intriguingly close to those in the axioms of “quantized phase space” early proposed by J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel [121, Section 5.2.6], [122, Section 2.2.6].

Note that by “phase space” here we do not mean the quantum version of the C. Rovelli’s relativistic phase space \(\Gamma\) (the space of solutions of the dynamical constraints), but rather the “boundary space” i.e. the quantum version of the space of “boundary data” \(\mathcal{G}\) introduced by C. Rovelli in the context of relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics [214 Section 3.2.2]. The Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on \(\mathcal{G}\) would be identifiable with the Koopman–von Neumann Hilbert space of classical mechanics that is used in operator algebraic versions of non-relativistic quantum mechanics on phase-space.

In order to obtain information on the geometry of the “relativistic configuration space” of the physical system, unfortunately we have to face, in a covariant context, the same kind of problems already indicated by J. Fröhlich, O. Grandjean, A. Recknagel [121 Section 2], [122 Section 5.4] about the relation between non-commutative geometries on “phase space” built out of spectral data \((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}, K_\mathcal{A})\) involving primarily the algebra of observables \(\mathcal{A}\) and spectral data \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{C}, D_\mathcal{C})\) involving the algebras of coordinates \(\mathcal{C}\) on a “configuration space”.

As already observed by J. Fröhlich and collaborators [121 Section 2], it is possible to expect the appearance of “target duality” phenomena i.e. the fact that different geometries on “configuration space” might be compatible with the overall unique geometry on “phase space”.

A very promising route to address this delicate circle of problems is using ideas developed by M. Paschke [201, 202] and T. Kopf, M. Paschke [158] and consists of selecting, inside the algebra of observables \(\mathcal{A}\), a certain subalgebra \(\mathcal{C}\) of “coordinates” that satisfies appropriate axioms of what they call “scalar quantum mechanics” [201, 158] (see also J. Kotušek [159, 160]).
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Among the possible criteria of selection of suitable subalgebras of coordinates, we are also particularly intrigued by the recent work on “non-commutative Cartan subalgebras” by R. Exel \[117\] (see also the related important work by J. Renault \[209\]): the algebra of observables \( \mathcal{A} \) should be reconstructed from an “algebra of coordinates” via a kind of “crossed product”.

To exploit this ideas, a full theory of crossed products for spectral triples (and more generally non-commutative spectral geometries) should be developed (some interesting results on crossed products for spectral triples by discrete groups have been obtained by G. Cornelissen, M. Marcolli, K. Reihani, A. Vdovina \[92\]). In the special case of modular spectral geometries, Connes–Takesaki duality should be particularly relevant in this context and work on this front is ongoing \[19\].

Finally we would like to stress that although here we did not yet address in any significant way the important problems related to the exploration of the physical meaning of the modular flows \( \sigma_t^\omega \) and \( t \mapsto \Delta_t^\omega \), some ideas about their possible relations with a renormalization flux and the contraction of “action functionals” obtained by taking the modular generator \( K_\omega \) in place of the usual Dirac operator in non-commutative geometry are under consideration. We will return to these points in the future.

### 6.3 Categories of modular spectral geometries

In the previous two sections we have been considering only \( \beta \)-KMS states \( \omega \) over a \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A} \); we have been using these data \( (\mathcal{A}, \omega) \) to construct structures \( (\mathcal{A}_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega, K_\omega, J_\omega) \) that have a distinct non-commutative geometric flavour; we have put forward some tentative interpretation for these modular spectral geometries as geometries of “phase space” (in the sense of boundary space) of a physical system and we proposed some strategies to obtain from them non-commutative geometries on configuration space. Even after this last step, we are still very far from having in our hands something that might be called or compared with an actual (non-commutative) space-time geometry.

Of course we are well aware of the possibility that, at a fundamental level, only a very generalized notion of space-time (in this case phase space or configuration space), if one at all, might survive and that space-time as we know it might just be a macroscopic construct emerging in some coarse graining (this is for example the position of A. Connes, M. Marcolli \[87\] when they state that geometry emerges by symmetry breaking at low temperatures); but we think that the questions posed by the identification of degrees of freedom related to the spatio-temporal organization of the physical system should deserve a more careful analysis also because “space-time” in our current theories is actually hiding completely different and possibly unrelated properties: localization, separability, causality, covariance, (local) symmetry.

After all, one of the most breathtaking achievements of A. Connes has been to show that the Einstein’s program of geometrization of physics can be carried out in a clean way via non-commutative geometry . . . and it seems too early to leave this “paradise regained” at the level of a fundamental theory just for pleasing fashionable trends.

As a first step in the direction of clarification of the several roles played by space-time in fundamental physics, we turn to the axioms of algebraic quantum field theory in Araki–Hang–Kastler form \[132\] \[11\]. In this setting, a quantum theory of fields on Minkowski space-time \( \mathbb{M} \), is specified by the assignment of a net \( \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) \) that associates unital \( C^* \)-subalgebras of a given unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{M}) \) (the “quasi-local algebra of observables”) to every open region \( \mathcal{O} \) in Minkowski space-time. A minimal set of axioms comprise the first four in this list:

- (isotony) \( \mathcal{O}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2 \) implies \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_2) \),
- (locality) if \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) are space-like separated we have \( [\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_1), \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_2)]_- = \{0\} \),

\[19\] Bertozzini P., Conti R., Lewkeeratiyutkul W., Modular spectral triples, in preparation.
• (covariance) there is a representation \( \alpha : g \mapsto \alpha_g \) of the proper orthochronous Poincaré group \( \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow \) of Minkowski space as group of *-automorphisms of the quasi-local \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{M}) \) acting geometrically on the net, i.e. \( \alpha_g(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O})) = \mathcal{A}(g\mathcal{O}), \forall g \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow \) and \( \forall \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{M}, \)

• (primitive causality) \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{A}(\bar{\mathcal{O}}) \), where \( \bar{\mathcal{O}} \) denotes the causal completion of \( \mathcal{O} \),

• (vacuum state) there is a pure state \( \omega \) on \( \mathcal{A} \) that is invariant under the Poincaré group, i.e. \( \omega \circ \alpha_g = \omega \) for all \( g \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow \), whose associated GNS-representation \( (\pi_\omega, \mathcal{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega) \) is covariant for the action of \( \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow \), i.e. there is a unitary representation \( g \mapsto U_g \) such that, for all \( x \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( g \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow \), \( \pi_\omega(\alpha_g(x)) = U_g \pi_\omega(x) U_g^{-1} \) and moreover \( \xi_\omega \) is the unique (up to phase) \( U \)-invariant vector.

• (positivity) the joint spectrum of the generators of the subgroup of translations \( v \mapsto U_v \) (where \( U_v \) is the unitary corresponding to the translation by the vector \( v \in \mathbb{M} \)) is contained in the closure of the forward light-cone.

Following the ideas of J. Dimock \( 101 \), \( 102 \), the previous set of axioms has been significantly generalized in a “functorial way” first by S. Hollands, R. Wald \( 138 \) and R. Verch \( 255 \), then by R. Brunetti, K. Fredenhagen, R. Verch \( 48 \) (see also R. Brunetti, R. Porrmann, G. Ruzzi \( 51 \)) in order to cover not only cases of fields living on a fixed curved space-time, but also generally covariant situations. In this case a quantum theory of fields is assigned via:

• (isotony+covariance) a covariant functor \( \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) \), \( \mathcal{A} : \psi \mapsto \mathcal{A}_\psi \) from a category \( \mathcal{M} \) of globally hyperbolic four-dimensional oriented and time-oriented Lorentzian manifolds (with orientations and causality preserving isometries as maps) to a category \( \mathfrak{A} \) of unital \( C^* \)-algebras (with unital *-homomorphisms),

• (locality) whenever there is a couple of morphisms \( \psi_1 : \mathcal{O}_1 \to \mathcal{O} \) and \( \psi_2 : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathcal{O} \) such that \( \psi_1(\mathcal{O}) \) and \( \psi_2(\mathcal{O}) \) are causally separated in \( \mathcal{O} \), we have commutativity of observables \( [\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_1), \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_2)]_- = \{0\} \),

• (primitive causality) whenever a morphism \( \psi : \mathcal{O}_o \to \mathcal{O} \) is such that \( \psi(\mathcal{O}_o) \) contains a Cauchy surface for \( \mathcal{O} \), we have that \( \mathcal{A}_\psi : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_o) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) \) is an isomorphism.

Quantum fields for such a locally covariant quantum field theory \( \mathcal{A} \) are identified with natural transformations from \( \mathcal{D} \) to \( \mathcal{A} \), where \( \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{A} \) is the covariant functor that associates to manifolds their algebras of smooth test functions.

As far as we know, all the available examples of quantum field theories that satisfy the previous set of axioms are obtained as free fields via a second quantization functor (see for example the very clear and recommended exposition in the book by C. Bär, N. Ginoux, F. Pfaffle \( 14 \)) associating Weyl algebras to symplectic spaces induced by propagators of normally hyperbolic operators on globally hyperbolic oriented and time oriented Lorentzian manifolds.

Returning to the axioms of algebraic quantum field theory, we note that following J. Schwinger, contrary to the case of ordinary quantum mechanics, space-time coordinates do not appear any more as operators and special covariance is restored downgrading coordinates to mere bookkeeping devices for the algebras of observables in the axioms of isotony and locality \( 20 \).

Still the degrees of freedom related to Minkowski space-time appear prominently in the property of covariance via the Poincaré group (or the diffeomorphism group).

• In our opinion this leaves open the possibility that a careful examination of the properties of “modular covariance” induced by a state \( \omega \) on an algebra of observables \( \mathcal{A} \) might reveal

\( 20 \) There are actually several approaches trying to “redefine” operators associated to coordinates (in particular time) in an algebraic quantum field theory context. Among them we refer to R. Brunetti, K. Fredenhagen, M. Hoge \( 46 \), \( 47 \).
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and identify those degrees of freedom that are related to the “spatio-temporal structure” of the physical system.

- Most of the structure of a typical field theory is already available from the simple assignment \((A, \omega)\) without the need to resort to underlying commutative geometries:
  - Inside an algebra \(A\) of observables, isotony is still meaningful for the net of subalgebras.
  - Locality can still be considered between subalgebras that are mutually commuting.
  - The specification of a state \(\omega\) on the algebra immediately entails a “local covariance” group of GNS-implementable automorphisms of the subalgebras.

- As we already mentioned in Section 3, conditions of “modular covariance” (for example the “geometric modular action” introduced by D. Buchholz, S.J. Summers) have been already used to identify vacuum states and recover particular commutative space-times.

What we propose here is a kind of similar program: given a state over an algebra of observables, recover via suitable modular conditions a canonical covariant net structure and try to interpret it as a free field theory (possibly on a non-commutative space).

- Finally, in our specific situation, we stress that, instead of quantum field theories specified via functors from geometries to algebras, it would be much more natural to axiomatize the existence of “spectral functors” \(\mathcal{S} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{G}\) in the “reverse direction” from a category \(\mathfrak{A}\) of unital \(C^*\)-algebras, with values in a category \(\mathfrak{G}\) of “geometries”. In this sense the construction of modular spectral geometries proposed at the beginning of this section could be seen as an example.

As a first step in these directions, making contact with our research program on “categorical non-commutative geometry” (see the companion survey [30] and references therein or the talk [26]) let us consider some of the natural categorical structures naturally emerging in our specific situation:

- Let \(\omega\) be just a state (not necessarily KMS) over a unital \(C^*\)-algebra of observables \(\mathcal{F}\).

- Consider the family \(\mathfrak{A}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) of all the unital \(C^*\)-subalgebras \(A\) of \(\mathcal{F}\) such that \(\omega\) becomes a faithful KMS-state when restricted to the \(C^*\)-algebra \(A\).

Note that if there exists a one-parameter group \(\alpha\) of automorphisms of \(\mathcal{A}\) such that \(\omega\) is \(\beta\)-KMS with respect to \(\alpha\), such a group is necessarily unique apart from rescaling and so we can always assume \(\beta = -1\) for the given state.

For all \(A \in \mathfrak{A}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) we can construct a modular spectral geometry \((A_\omega, H_\omega, \xi_\omega, K_\omega, J_\omega)\).

- Consider the family \(\mathfrak{M}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) of Hilbert \(C^*\)-bimodules \(M\) over pairs of algebras in \(\mathfrak{A}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) whose “linking \(C^*\)-category” is KMS for the state \(\omega\).

To every bimodule \(M \in \mathfrak{M}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) over \(A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) we can associate a bivariant modular spectral geometry.

- The family \(\mathfrak{M}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) becomes an involutive category under involution given by the dual bimodules and composition given by the tensor product of bimodules.

- We have a “tautological Fell bundle” over the \(*\)-category \(\mathfrak{M}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) whose total space is the disjoint union of the bimodules in \(\mathfrak{M}(\omega, \mathcal{F})\) and the projection functor assigns to every \(x \in M\) the base point \(M\). The Fell bundle is also equipped with a compatible net structure. The (categorical) modular theory and the modular geometry of this Fell bundle and also the geometry of its “convolution algebra” are under investigation.
• Although category theory is not the main focus of this review (see the companion paper [30] and the talk [26] for more on this), we just mention that definitions of strict higher $C^*$-categories have already been developed and we are attempting to use them for an axiomatization of C. Rovelli relational quantum mechanics [214, Section 5.6]. The hope is that identifying such relational structures inside the modular net described above might shed some light on the spatial organization of the system along the lines indicated by C. Rovelli [214, Section 5.6.3].

There are now several strong research projects in categorical quantum gravity (such as those proposed by J. Baez [16, 17], L. Crane [93, 94, 95, 96], J. Morton [190] and R. Dawe Martins [100]), and although here we have been just touching on the (higher) categories induced by modular theory, we are very interested in the possible contacts that might develop in the near future with those more developed lines of research.

6.4 Finding the macroscopic geometry

As already stressed before, the Tomita–Takesaki modular flow becomes trivial in the classical commutative case and hence there is no hope to apply the techniques above directly to the limiting commutative case in order to find a classical geometry. Under this point of view it seems that geometry arises (via modular theory) from the interplay of the non-commutativity of the algebra of observables and the choice of a representation (specified by the state).

One, now fashionable, possibility is to try to recover a macroscopic geometry as an “emergent residue” from the microscopic non-commutative geometry via some form of coarse graining. Several techniques in these directions are being developed in different contexts such as: “decoherence/einselection” (see for example H. Zeh [259] and W. Zurek [260]), “coherent states” (see for example B. Hall [134]), “emergence/noiseless subsystems” (see T. Konopka, F. Markopoulou [157] and O. Dreyer [111, 112, 113, 114, 115]), or the “cooling” procedure described by A. Connes, K. Consani, M. Marcolli [85, 87] that, analysing the geometry of the space at different “temperature scales” $\beta$, seems particularly suited to our case.

Anyway since the obstacles in this last step seem to be not very different from those encountered in justifying classical mechanics as a limit of quantum mechanics, one should be aware that other very interesting roads are still open. For example, algebraic superselection theory has also been suggested as a way to obtain classical geometries out of quantum theory (see for example K. Fredenhagen [120]); and in a different direction, the recent works by G. Morchio, F. Strocchi [157, 188, 189] (see also D. Mauro [184]) point to a more intriguing coexistence of classical and quantum descriptions of physics. It is for sure premature for us to make definite statements in this regard.

6.5 Connection with other approaches to quantum geometry

The most difficult step in a “top-down” approach to quantum gravity, and physics in general, is usually to make contact with “real world phenomena” showing how the theory might, under certain limit conditions, recover the already well-known situations.

It is reassuring to know that, in the opposite direction, several models of non-commutative space-time have been proposed in recent years via deformation and/or quantization. We are especially interested in the possible contact with quantum geometries already defined in the context of loop quantum gravity such as J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, R. Nest semi-finite spectral triples [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Also the reproduction of the models of quantum space-time introduced by S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, J. Roberts [108, 109, 105, 106, 107] in the context
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of algebraic quantum field theory deserves to be investigated, being the most natural Poincaré covariant non-commutative version of Minkowski space-time.

Although it is clearly premature at this stage to envisage clear connections with other already well established research programs on quantum gravity, we feel particularly compelled to look for possible links with loop quantum gravity. First of all, since loop quantum gravity purports to be the first available example of a background independent diffeomorphism covariant quantum field theory, in the light of the deep structural interplay between Tomita–Takesaki theory and usual quantum field theory on a fixed background, which we partially reviewed in Section 3, it is hardly believable that modular theory is still now virtually playing no role in the foundations of loop quantum gravity. On the other side, although non-commutative geometry appears to be totally absent in the description of the so called “quantum geometries” that emerge in the loop quantum gravity kinematical description of space-time as quantum spin networks and quantum foams, thanks to the already mentioned efforts by J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, M. Paschke, R. Nest, a class of (semi-finite) spectral triples has already been introduced and is potentially ready to be used in loop quantum gravity.

Here below, as the first step in the direction of J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, M. Paschke, R. Nest program, following several of the suggestions mentioned in Section 5.2, we start providing an alternative family of spectral triples in loop quantum gravity that arise from a direct application of C. Antonescu, E. Christensen’s construction of spectral triples for AF $C^*$-algebras.

These new spectral triples depend on the choice of a filtration of graphs and on the choice of a sequence of positive real numbers and, although very similar to J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, R. Nest triples, they still differ from them because of the following notable points:

- the Hilbert spaces on which these spectral triples naturally live are identified with subspaces of the kinematical Hilbert space of usual loop quantum gravity, without the need of resorting to tensorization with a continuous part and a matricial part,
- their construction does not depend on the arbitrary choices of Riemannian structures on Lie groups and of Dirac operators on the Clifford bundle of such classical manifolds: their Dirac operators, coming directly from the C. Antonescu, E. Christensen’s recipe for spectral triples for AF $C^*$-algebras, seem to be completely quantal,
- they appear to be spectral triples and not semi-finite spectral triples.

Recall that a finite oriented graph $\Gamma$ (that can be either an abstract graph or a graph embedded in a three-dimensional spatial surface in a globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold) is given by a set of nodes $\Gamma_0$, a set of edges $\Gamma_1$ and a pair of source/target maps $s, t : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_0$. By a directed family of graphs we mean a family of graphs such that any two graphs $\Gamma^1, \Gamma^2$ in the family admit graph inclusions into another graph $\Gamma^3$ of the family. A family of graphs closed under “union” (as we suppose in the following) is clearly directed.

Let $G$ be a fixed compact Lie group (that in loop quantum gravity is usually $SU(2)$).

The unconstrained kinematical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of loop quantum gravity is usually obtained from an inductive limit of a net $\Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ of “graph Hilbert spaces” $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma := L^2(G^{\Gamma_1}, \mu^{\Gamma_1})$, where $\mu^{\Gamma_1}$ is the left invariant Haar measure on the compact Lie group $G^{\Gamma_1}$.

For any given countable chain of inclusions of finite oriented graphs $\Gamma^0 \subset \Gamma^1 \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma^n \subset \cdots$, the net of $C^*$-algebras $A_{\Gamma^1} \subset A_{\Gamma^2} \subset \cdots \subset A_{\Gamma^n} \subset \cdots$, generated by the holonomies associated
to the respective graphs, form a filtration of finite dimensional $C^*$-algebras with inductive limit
$A_{(\Gamma^n)} \subset A$ a $C^*$-subalgebra of the algebra $A$ generated by holonomies acting on the inductive
limit Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{(\Gamma^n)} \subset \mathcal{H}$. The same applies to the partial observable algebras of holonomies and fluxes $\mathcal{F}_T$.\footnote{The imposition of the Gaussian constraint amounts to factoring out in the previous Hilbert spaces the action by conjugation of $G$ on the nodes of the graph and the imposition of the diffeomorphism constraint consists of identifying all graphs that are mapped into each other by graph automorphisms of the inductive limit graph of the directed set of finite graphs. The overall picture remains the same: for any “diffeomorphism orbit” of a finite graph $\Gamma$ there is a kinematical Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\Gamma$ that actually is the truncation of the kinematical Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ of loop quantum gravity to the finite degrees of freedom associated to the equivalence class of the graph $\Gamma$. On $\mathcal{K}_\Gamma \subset \mathcal{K}$ the algebra of holonomies $A_{\Gamma} \subset A$ and the algebra of partial observables $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma \subset \mathcal{F}$, i.e. the algebra generated by holonomies and fluxes, continue to be represented.}

A theorem proved by C. Fleischhach and J. Lewandowski, A. Okołów, H. Sahlmann, T. Thiemann $^{[119,170]}$ assures that in loop quantum gravity, at the purely kinematical level, the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ of partial observables generated by holonomies and fluxes admits a unique diffeomorphism invariant pure state $\phi$ whose GNS-representation $(\mathcal{K}_\phi, \pi_\phi, \xi_\phi)$ is irreducible; this means that the von Neumann algebra $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{F})^\prime$ coincides with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_\phi)$.

With an immediate application of C. Antonescu, E. Christensen’s Theorem 4.1 to the filtration of $AF$ $C^*$-algebras $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma^0} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma^1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma^n)}$ we finally obtain:

**Theorem 6.4.** For every given countable inclusion $\Gamma^0 \subset \Gamma^1 \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma^n \subset \cdots$ of finite oriented graphs there is a family of spectral triples $(\mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma^n)}, \mathcal{K}_{(\Gamma^n)}, D_{(\Gamma^n)}(\alpha_n))$ depending on the choice of the filtration of graphs $(\Gamma^n)$ and on a sequence of positive real numbers $(\alpha_n)$ as in Theorem 4.1.

Note that we stated the previous result for a subalgebra of observables $\mathcal{F}_{(\Gamma^n)}$, but that a perfectly similar strategy can be applied to a subalgebra generated by holonomies $A_{(\Gamma^n)}$.

We are still confident that an appropriate modification of this construction, dealing with the extra degrees of freedom that must be introduced because of the presence of a Clifford bundle over $G^{\Gamma^1}$ and a matricial representation $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $G$ in the definition of the Hilbert spaces $L^2(G^{\Gamma^1}, \mu_G) \otimes \mathcal{C}(T^*(G^{\Gamma^1})) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$, might be adapted to match the specific case of J. Aastrup, J. Grimstrup, M. Paschke, R. Nest, but we did not have time to investigate this issue.

Finally we would like to spend some more words on the connection of modular theory with general covariant quantum theories and, in the specific case, loop quantum gravity.

As already noted, the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ of partial observables is irreducibly represented on the kinematical Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ of loop quantum gravity, that we can identify with the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\phi$ of the GNS-representation $(\pi_\phi, \mathcal{K}_\phi, \xi_\phi)$ of the unique diffeomorphism invariant pure state $\phi$ on the algebra $\mathcal{F}$, the “covariant Fock vacuum”, also known as the “empty state”.

Let us, for the moment, proceed in the same direction leading from Gibbs equilibrium states (for finite systems) to the KMS-condition in quantum statistical mechanics and suppose that the dynamical constraint of the theory can be specified by an operator $H$ in $\mathcal{K}_\phi$, with $e^{-\beta H}$ trace-class (for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$), that is leaving fixed the covariant Fock vacuum $\xi_\phi$.

On the Koopman–von Neumann Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\phi \otimes \mathcal{K}_\phi^*$ there is an induced one-parameter group of automorphisms generated by the symmetrized operator $H \otimes I - I \otimes (\Lambda_\phi H \Lambda_\phi^{-1})$, where we recall that $\Lambda : \mathcal{K}_\phi \to \mathcal{K}_\phi^*$ is the usual Riesz conjugate-linear isomorphism. Applying trivially the well-known properties of modular theory for the case of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_\phi)$ (see for example A. Connes, C. Rovelli $^{[89]}$ and R. Haag $^{[132] \text{Section V.1.4}}$), we see that for every inverse temperature $\beta$ there exists a unique Gibbs KMS-state with respect to the one-parameter group $t \mapsto \text{Ad}_{e^t \rho_\beta}$ given, for $x \in \mathcal{F}$, by $\omega_\beta(x) := \tau(\rho_\beta x)$, where $\tau$ is the canonical trace on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_\phi)$ and $\rho_\beta := e^{-\beta H}/\tau(e^{-\beta H})$ is a density operator. The $\omega_\beta$-GNS representation $(\pi_\omega, \mathcal{K}_\omega, \xi_\omega)$ is in this case identified as follows: the Hilbert space coincides with the Koopman–von Neumann’s...
\[ K_\omega := K_\phi \otimes K_\phi^*, \] the representation \( \pi_\omega \) is just \( \pi_\phi \otimes I \) i.e. the left action of \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( K_\phi \otimes K_\phi^* \) and \( \xi_\omega := \rho_{\beta}^{1/2} \in K_\phi \otimes K_\phi^* \) is taking the role of “covariant vacuum”.

To the pair \((\mathcal{F}, \omega_\beta)\) we associate the modular spectral geometry \((\mathcal{F}_\omega, K_\omega, \xi_\omega, K_\omega, J_\omega)\), where the modular conjugation operator \( J_\omega \) coincides with the conjugate-linear flip operator defined on simple tensors by \( J_\omega(\zeta \otimes \eta) := \Lambda^{-1}_\phi(\eta) \otimes \Lambda_\phi(\zeta) \), for all \( \zeta \otimes \eta \in K_\omega \) and the modular generator coincides, modulo the factor \( \beta \), with the symmetrized constraint \( K_\omega := \beta H \otimes I - \beta \otimes (\Lambda_\phi H \Lambda^{-1}_\phi) \). Of course the factor \( \beta \) does not have effect here, since the multiplication by a constant does not modify the solution of the constraints determined by \( K_\omega \) on \( K_\omega \) and \( H \) on \( K_\phi \).

By turning backwards the previous argument, we obtain the following mathematically totally trivial, but ideologically important result:

**Theorem 6.5.** In loop quantum gravity, if the dynamical constraint is described (modulo a positive constant) by an operator \( H \) such that \( \tau(e^{-\beta H}) < +\infty \) on the kinematical Hilbert space, the dynamical content of the theory is completely specified by the choice of a KMS-state on the algebra of partial observables \( \mathcal{F} \).

**Proof.** Just observe that, from the previous discussion \( H = -\beta^{-1}(\log(\rho_\beta) - \log(\tau(e^{-\beta H})) \) where \( \rho_\beta \) is the unique density operator such that \( \tau(\rho_\beta x) = \omega_\beta(x) \) and reabsorb the constants. \( \Box \)

- The message that we learn from such a toy model is that, exactly as in quantum statistical mechanics (where KMS-states and modular theory subsume the theory of Gibbs equilibrium states extending it to systems with infinite degrees of freedom), in a general covariant quantum theory the dynamics can be specified by the choice of a KMS-state.

**Remark 6.6.** As a general fact, without dealing with the details, we note that in this kind of toy models where there is a specific irreducible representation that is already singled out at the kinematical level (the covariant Fock vacuum), the specification of the modular spectral geometry associated to a given KMS-state \( \omega \) on the algebra of partial observables \( \mathcal{F} \) essentially fixes the dynamical system \((A, \mathcal{H}_\phi, H)\), for the a priori given algebra of “coordinates” \( A \subset \mathcal{F} \) via the equation \( K_\omega := H \otimes I - \otimes (\Lambda_\phi H \Lambda^{-1}_\phi) \) imposed at the level of the Koopman-von Neumann space \( K_\omega \).

As a corollary this implies that if the algebra of partial observables \( \mathcal{F} \) if an AF \( C^* \)-algebra, the Dirac operator obtained by C. Antonescu, E. Christensen construction \((\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}_\phi, D_{(\Gamma^n)}, (\alpha_n))\), via the process of tensorial symmetrization described in Section 5.2 can be determined (modulo a global multiplicity constant) by the specification of a KMS state \( \omega \), by imposing the equation \( D_{(\Gamma^n), (\alpha_n)} \otimes I = I \otimes (\Lambda_\phi D_{(\Gamma^n), (\alpha_n)} \Lambda^{-1}_\phi) = K_\omega \) on the space \( \mathcal{H}_\phi \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi^* \). Again, at this “toy model” level, the specification of a KMS-states essentially determines a non-commutative geometry on the algebra of observables.

Of course much more needs to be understood in the case of more complicated \( C^* \)-algebras of partial observables, where such a simple “splitting mechanism” for the modular Hamiltonian fails, and where a full use of techniques from modular theory will be necessary: after all this is only hinting at the beginning of what might be called “thermodynamics of covariant quantum systems” . . . .

### 6.6 Quantum physics . . .

In this last subsection, we would like to make some extremely general considerations on the status of quantum theory as a fundamental theory and discuss the relevance of our modular quantum gravity program in this context.

Despite the realization that important conceptual problems are still affecting quantum mechanics as a fundamental theory of nature (the problem of measurement, the problem of time for
covariant quantum theories, the conflicts with classical determinism) and despite the recurrent claims of a need for a theory that supersedes, modifies or extends quantum theory (hidden variables, several alternative interpretations, collapse of wave function, deterministic derivations of quantum theory), very few people have pointed out (perhaps because of a misinterpretation of N. Bohr’s correspondence principle) that quantum theory still now is essentially an incomplete theory, incapable of “standing on its own feet”.

In all the current formulations of quantum theory, the basic degrees of freedom of a theory are specifically introduced “by hand” and make always reference to a classical underlying geometry.

The choice of the degrees of freedom is usually done in elementary quantum mechanics through “quantization procedures” via the imposition of Weyl (or Heisenberg) commutation relations for conjugated observables starting from a classical pair of position and momentum as in Dirac canonical quantization and, more generally, as in Weyl quantization, associating quantum observables starting from functions living on a classical phase-space.

In quantum field theory of free fields again, the local Weyl algebras are obtained by second quantization from symplectic spaces that originate from propagators of hyperbolic operators living on a classical Lorentzian manifold.

Even in algebraic quantum field theory, the most abstract axiomatization available, we have seen that there is always an underlying classical space-time as an indexing base of the net of quantum observables or a category of classical space-time geometries as a domain of the $C^*$-algebra-valued functor that defines the theory.

What is even more incredible is that, although it is widely recognized that many of the problems encountered in quantum field theory can be traced back to “unhealthy usage” of classical notions of space-time manifolds (divergences, convergence of Feynman integrals), the previous problem of intrinsic characterization of degrees of freedom “inside quantum theory” has rarely, if ever, been seriously considered (with some notable exceptions) and that most of the attempts to cure the problem are simply trying to substitute “by hand” commutative classical geometries with non-commutative counterparts without addressing the issue from within quantum physics.

Among those really notable exceptions in this direction (see the companion review [30, Section 5.4] for more details), we have to mention the few attempts to spectrally reconstruct space-time from operationally defined data of observables and states in algebraic quantum field theory starting from U. Bannier [18] and culminating in S.J. Summers, R. White [243] and, at least form the ideological point view, the efforts in information theoretical approaches for an operational definition of quantum theory as, for example, in A. Grinbaum [127, 128, 129].

A very interesting passage, asserting that in a fundamental theory the notion of space-time must be derived a posteriori and understood through relations between “quantum events”, can be found in R. Haag [132] Section VII, Concluding remarks).

Our main ideological motivation for the work on “modular algebraic quantum gravity” presented here comes from the view [25, 27, 28, 30] that:

- space-time should be spectrally reconstructed a posteriori from a basic operational theory of observables and states;
- A. Connes’ non-commutative geometry provides the natural environment where to attempt an implementation of the spectral reconstruction of space-time;
- Tomita–Takesaki modular theory should be the main tool to achieve the previous goals, associating to operational data, spectral non-commutative geometries.

A very interesting recent work by C. Rovelli, F. Vidotto [218] (see Section III B) is making some clear progress in the direction of the first two claims above obtaining relations between entropy of graphs describing the quantum geometry of loop gravity and the “spectral geometry” given by the non-relativistic Hamiltonian of a single particle interacting with the gravitational field.
Still the deepest and at the same time most visionary proposal for a program aiming at the reconstruction of space-time via interacting events from purely quantum theoretical constructs is the one that has been given by C. Rovelli [214, Section 5.6.4] inside the framework of his relational theory of quantum mechanics [215, 237].

The modest ideas suggested here might be seen as just a very partial attempt to set up a mathematical apparatus capable of implementing C. Rovelli’s intuition, merging it with non-commutative geometry and utilizing instruments from category theory, higher C*-categories and Fell bundles, in order to formulate a theory of relational quantum mechanics.

A few comments might be necessary to provide some justification for our choice to base a theory of quantum relativity on (a “covariant” and categorical variant of) the usual formalisms of C*-algebras and states. First of all the actual usage of C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras is motivated from the technical point of view by the availability of an already sophisticated mathematical theory (notably modular theory). Suitable topological involutive algebras might be considered as well in the future. From the physical point of view, besides the classical investigations (see R. Haag [132, Sections I, VII]), there are some strong indications that the formalism of involutive operator algebras is operationally based in a fairly general sense (see for example the notes by M. Paschke [202] and furthermore, that fundamental theories expressed in terms of operator algebras can be motivated on purely logical and information theoretic grounds (see for example G.-M. D’Ariano [98] and references therein).

Finally we must stress that, contrary to most of the proposals for fundamental theories in physics that are usually of an ontological character, postulating basic microscopic degrees of freedom and their dynamics with the goal to explain known macroscopic behaviour, our approach (if ever successful) will only provide an absolutely general operational formalism to model information acquisition and communication/interaction between quantum observers (described via certain categories of algebras of operators) and to extract from that some geometrical data in the form of a non-commutative geometry of the system.

Possible connections of these ideas to “quantum information theory” and “quantum computation” are also under consideration [25].
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