Automated CT-Based Body Composition Analysis: A Golden Opportunity
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Cross-sectional body imaging can provide valuable objective data on internal tissues and organs. In particular, CT scans can quantify bone mineral density, visceral and subcutaneous fat, skeletal muscle, liver fat, and arterial vascular calcification, amongst other organ-based assessments [1,2]. When these body composition measures are incidental to the clinical indication for imaging, their consideration has been referred to as “opportunistic screening” [3]. To date, the labor-intensive nature of manual (or even semi-automated) body composition measurements has largely prevented their translation from the research realm to routine clinical practice or large-scale population health. However, the emergence of fully-automated artificial intelligence (AI)-based approaches has now paved the way for both population-based studies and efficient prospective clinical reporting [2,4,5]. The initial results to date for predicting downstream adverse clinical events based on automated body composition analysis are very encouraging [6-9]. The convergence of “explainable” AI solutions with robust predictive value along with the generally high CT utilization rates now holds great promise for improved pre-symptomatic detection of patients at unsuspected cardiometabolic risk.

A host of non-invasive approaches other than cross-sectional imaging exist for estimating body fat, including body mass index (BMI), hydrostatic densitometry, air displacement plethysmography, bioelectrical impedance analysis, and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) [10]. However, the importance of the relative distribution of adipose tissue, as well as ectopic fat in the liver (hepatic steatosis) and skeletal muscle (myosteatosis) elevate the status of cross-sectional imaging with CT or MR over these other techniques. To more fully extend the focus of body composition analysis beyond limited fat-based concerns and include vascular calcium load and bone mineral density assessment, CT becomes the clear comprehensive modality of choice [1,2].

Although abdominal CT is an ideal tool for objective non-invasive assessment of internal organs and tissues, in current practice nearly all of this valuable data is either completely ignored or only subjectively noted (eg, the presence of calcific aortic plaques). A number of manual measures utilizing regions-of-interest (ROI) to assess mean attenuation (in Hounsfield unit [HU]) have been around for many years, including L1-level trabecular bone for
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• The emergence of AI-based fully automated methods for the CT-based body composition analysis could revolutionize how this information is utilized.
• The true potential value of automated CT-based body composition analysis lies in its ability to identify patients at greatest risk for downstream adverse clinical events.
• Broad validation and widespread clinical implementation could add substantial value to existing patient care.
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osteoporosis [3,11] and liver assessment for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [12,13]. More recently, it has been shown that liver HU at unenhanced CT correlates with the MR-based proton density fat fraction (PDFF) [14,15]. CT-based skeletal muscle assessment for sarcopenia includes both low density (myosteatosis) and low bulk (myopenia) measures [16]. In general, HU assessment for intra- and inter-muscular adipose tissue appears to be the more valuable measure, and is also easier to assess manually. Manual and semi-automated quantification of visceral and subcutaneous fat has also been in existence for many years (for both CT and MR) [17,18]. In particular, we have found the visceral-to-subcutaneous fat ratio to be a particularly useful singular measure [19]. Semi-automated quantification of abdominal aortic calcification using a coronary calcium scoring tool is feasible [20], but somewhat arduous and seldom used in clinical practice. As noted above, although analogous fat, liver, and muscle quantification is possible with MR, a more comprehensive cardiometabolic evaluation that considers osteoporosis and atherosclerosis favors the use of CT (Fig. 1). Furthermore, overall abdominal CT volumes continue to dwarf MR numbers [21].

The emergence of fully automated methods for the CT-based body composition analysis described above could revolutionize how this information is utilized [19,22-25]. Importantly, AI-based solutions that are “explainable” and analogous to previously validated manual and semi-automated approaches should be more acceptable to patients and the wider medical community (including payers) over more complex “black box” approaches that cannot be easily verified. For example, automated single-slice segmentation at the L1 or L3 level for bone, fat, and muscle analysis is more reproducible and can be readily confirmed for quality control purposes (Fig. 1). Appropriate multi-slice segmentation for aortic calcification or whole-organ analysis can also be visually verified by the radiologist. Examples of additional fully automated algorithms that further broaden the scope of CT-based analysis include abdominal organ volumetry (such as liver and spleen), detection of urolithiasis and volumetric stone burden, liver fibrosis staging, and focal lesion detection (such as fractures and tumors) [26,27]. Of course, robust computer-aided detection (CAD) of colorectal polyps at CT colonography has existed for many years [28].

The true potential value of automated CT-based body composition analysis lies in its ability to identify patients at greatest risk for downstream adverse clinical events. Our initial single-center investigations have demonstrated that CT-based measures can equal or outperform the current multivariable clinical reference standards for predicting future osteoporotic fractures, major cardiovascular events, and death [6,7]. More sophisticated models that also incorporate relevant demographic factors will further enhance CT-based performance, perhaps generating a “biological age” that may belie a patient’s actual chronological age [29]. Opportunistic use of automated body composition analysis would leverage the unused data embedded in the many millions of body CT scans performed...
each year throughout the world. Ultimately, if the overall benefit related to these combined measures is great enough, a case could be made for “intended” CT screening, whereby adults undergo a virtual physical exam at a certain age. Such screening could also be combined with the already accepted CT screening indications for colorectal and lung cancer.

To address the enormous clinical potential of CT-based body composition analysis, as well as remaining issues prior to widespread implementation, we have gathered together a group of interested investigators to form the “Opportunistic Screening Consortium in Abdominal Radiology” or OSCAR (Fig. 2). This multi-center effort seeks to establish a generalizable, vendor-neutral automated body composition solution for clinical translation. A large retrospective CT trial will seek to fully characterize the normal distribution of automated bone, muscle, fat, liver, and aortic calcium measures according to patient age, sex, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status, as well as assess generalizability among different CT vendors and diverse scanning protocols. Future investigations may incorporate federated learning to improve generalizability across multi-national patient populations [30]. We will also further assess the ability of automated CT body composition analysis for predicting subsequent adverse clinical events and outcomes.

In summary, fully automated CT-based body composition analysis is now entering an exciting phase of investigation. Broad validation and widespread clinical implementation could add substantial value to existing patient care, without the need for additional patient time or dose exposure. Specifically, pre-symptomatic identification of patients at greatest cardiometabolic risk could translate into improved health care outcomes if appropriate interventions are implemented.
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