Catheter, MRI and CT Imaging in Newborns with Pulmonary Atresia with Ventricular Septal Defect and Aortopulmonary Collaterals: Quantifying the Risks of Radiation Dose and Anaesthetic Time
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Abstract
A comprehensive understanding of the native pulmonary blood supply is crucial in newborns with pulmonary atresia with ventricular septal defect and aortopulmonary collaterals (PA/VSD/MAPCA). We sought to describe the accuracy in terms of identifying native pulmonary arteries, radiation dose and anaesthetic time associated with multi-modality imaging in these patients, prior to their first therapeutic intervention. Furthermore, we wanted to evaluate the cumulative radiation dose and anaesthetic time over the study period. Patients with PA/VSD/MAPCA diagnosed at < 100 days between 2004 and 2014 were identified. Cumulative radiation dose and anaesthetic times were calculated, with imaging results compared with intraoperative findings. We then calculated the cumulative risks to date for all surviving children. Of 19 eligible patients, 2 had echocardiography only prior to first intervention. The remaining 17 patients underwent 13 MRIs, 4 CT scans and 13 cardiac catheterization procedures. The mean radiation dose was 169 mGy cm² (47–461 mGy cm²), and mean anaesthetic time was 111 min (33–185 min). 3 children had MRI only with no radiation exposure, and one child had CT only with no anaesthetic. Early cross-sectional imaging allowed for delayed catheterisation, but without significantly reducing radiation burden or anaesthetic time. The maximum cumulative radiation dose was 8022 mGy cm² in a 6-year-old patient and 1263 min of anaesthetic at 5 years. There is the potential to generate very high radiation doses and anaesthetic times from diagnostic imaging alone in these patients. As survival continues to improve in many congenital heart defects, the important risks of serial diagnostic imaging must be considered when planning long-term management.
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Introduction
Pulmonary atresia with ventricular septal defect represents a spectrum of congenital heart disease with significant anatomical heterogeneity. In patients where the pulmonary blood supply is provided by aortopulmonary collaterals (PA/VSD/MAPCA), accurate imaging is critical to long term planning and prognosis; in particular, the presence of absence of native pulmonary arteries [1, 2]. In view of this, multiple imaging modalities may be employed in the same patient even before any intervention is performed, all of which can carry important risks (Table 1) [3–9]. General anaesthesia, for example, almost universally required under 6 months of age, carries significant risks in patients with single ventricle physiology (such as pulmonary atresia) in this age group [10–13]. The use of ionising radiation associated with CT and cardiac catheterisation also carries important long-term risks, with younger children 3 to 4 times more likely than adults to develop malignancies following radiation exposure [3, 14–16]. Cardiac catheterisation alone accounts for by far the largest proportion of radiation exposure in children with congenital heart disease [3, 17, 18].

The aim of this study was to quantify the radiation exposure and anaesthetic time associated solely with diagnostic imaging to identify native pulmonary arteries, if present, in
newborns and infants with PA/VSD/MAPCA, prior to their first therapeutic intervention. We then calculated the cumulative risks to date for all surviving children.

Materials and Methods

The institutional database of the Department of Congenital Heart Disease (Heartsuite, Systeria, Glasgow, United Kingdom), at the Evelina London Children’s Hospital in London, United Kingdom, was interrogated to find all patients diagnosed with pulmonary atresia, ventricular septal defect and aortopulmonary collaterals under 100 days of age, between 2004 and 2014. The cumulative radiation doses and anaesthetic time of patients during the study period was calculated. Radiation doses are given in dose area product units (cGy cm²) [16], which are independent of the location of measurement and regarded as being suitable for describing radiation exposure in children [19].

In keeping with unit policy, decision making for these complex patients was not protocolised over this time period, and imaging strategies were determined on a case-by-case basis; hence, not every patient underwent all imaging modalities. We also evaluated the cumulative radiation dose and anaesthetic time for these patients over the whole study period.

Results

19 patients diagnosed with PA/VSD/MAPCA under the age of 100 days were identified. The first investigation was transthoracic echocardiography in all cases, of which 17 patients went on to have further imaging. In total, there were 13 MRI scans, 13 cardiac catheterisations and 4 CT scans performed in this group before therapeutic intervention. All were within the first 100 days of life, and aside from one patient with 2 MRI scans, no patient had the same investigation more than once. A full summary of the imaging strategy used in each patient is depicted in Table 2, including the accuracy of the imaging modalities in identifying the presence of native pulmonary arteries. Example imaging from a single patient is shown Figs. 1 and 2.

The mean cumulative anaesthetic time for all forms of imaging was 111 min (median 108 min, range 33–185 min). One child who underwent CT only after echocardiographic evaluation did not have general anaesthetic. The mean radiation dose for the 13 patients undergoing diagnostic cardiac catheterisation was 119 mGy cm² (median 122 mGy cm², range 47–231 mGy cm²). In the four patients who underwent CT, the mean radiation dose was 92 mGy cm² (median 90 mGy cm², range 66–123 mGy cm²). The mean total radiation dose for the three patients undergoing both catheterisation and CT was 297 mGy cm² (median 238 mGy cm², range 191–461 mGy cm²). A total five patients (two with echo only and three echo and MRI only) had no radiation exposure prior to therapeutic intervention.

Over the 10-year period of our study, many surviving patients underwent further imaging to assess the pulmonary vasculature. This comprised of non-invasive cross-sectional imaging as well as diagnostic and/or interventional cardiac catheterisation, most frequently to address circumferential

| Modality | Advantages | Disadvantages/risks |
|----------|------------|---------------------|
| Echocardiography | Bedside test, Non-invasive | Poor visualisation of most extrapericardial structures |
| Cardiac catheterisation [4, 5, 8] | Can determine dual supply of lung segments, Direct pressure measurements, Accurate in identifying native pulmonary arteries | Invasive, Risk of vascular injury, stroke, death, General anaesthetic required, Radiation risk |
| CT Angiography [4, 6, 7] | Fast acquisition, Accurate for native pulmonary arteries, shunts and vessel sizes, Can image extracardiac structures | General anaesthetic likely to be required, Radiation risk |
| MRI Angiography [6, 8, 9] | Relatively accurate for pulmonary arteries and larger collaterals, Can calculate flow rates, Can image extracardiac structures | General anaesthetic likely to be required, Less accurate than CT for sub-millimetre vessels, Slow acquisition time, Possible gadolinium deposition in the brain |
Table 2  Imaging strategy, additional findings and cumulative radiation dose and anaesthetic time prior to first intervention

| Age (d) | PAs on echo? | Imaging 1 | Age (d) | PAs? | Imaging 2 | Age (d) | PAs? | Other findings | Imaging 3 | Age (d) | PAs? | Other findings | PAs at Surgery? | GA (mins) | Rad (mGy cm^2) | Surgery |
|---------|-------------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|------|----------------|-----------|---------|------|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|--------|
| 1       | Yes         | –         | –       | –    | –         | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 0         | 0             | Shunt to PAs |
| 2       | Yes         | –         | –       | –    | –         | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 181       | 78            | Shunt to PAs  |
| 3       | No          | MRI       | 5       | No   | MRI       | 79      | No   | +1 APC         | Cath      | 86      | No   | None           | No             | 90        | 141           | Shunt to unif.|
| 4       | Yes         | MRI       | 4       | Yes  | –         | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 92        | 0             | Shunt to PAs  |
| 5       | Yes         | MRI       | 5       | Yes  | –         | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 33        | 0             | Shunt to PAs  |
| 6       | No          | Cath      | 1       | –    | Yes       | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 40        | 62            | Shunt to PAs  |
| 7       | Yes         | MRI       | 2       | Yes  | Cath      | 16      | Yes  | None           | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 114       | 231           | Shunt to PAs  |
| 8       | Yes         | MRI       | 19      | Yes  | Cath      | 34      | Yes  | None           | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 185       | 172           | Shunt to PAs  |
| 9       | Yes         | Cath      | 2       | Yes  | –         | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 108       | 122           | Shunt to PAs  |
| 10      | No          | MRI       | 11      | No   | Cath      | 34      | Yes  | None           | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 91        | 58            | Shunt to PAs  |
| 11      | 94          | Yes       | MRI     | 96   | No        | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | No             | 52        | 0             | Shunt to unif.|
| 12      | No          | MRI       | 6       | No   | Cath      | 8       | Yes  | +1 APC         | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 107       | 158           | Shunt to PAs  |
| 13      | Yes         | MRI       | 76      | Yes  | Cath      | 80      | Yes  | +1 APC         | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 118       | 47            | Shunt to PAs  |
| 14      | Yes         | MRI       | 18      | No   | Cath      | 60      | No   | None           | CT        | 85      | Yes  | None           | No             | 157       | 461           | Shunt to unif.|
| 15      | Yes         | MRI       | 11      | No   | Cath      | 17      | Yes  | +1 APC         | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 174       | 338           | Stent to PDA  |
| 16      | No          | CT        | 1       | Yes  | –         | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 0         | 66            | Shunt to PAs  |
| 17      | Yes         | MRI       | 1       | No   | CT        | 7       | No   | +2 APCs        | Cath      | 13      | Yes  | –1 APC         | Yes            | 130       | 191           | Shunt to PAs  |
| 18      | 23          | No        | CT      | 24   | No        | Cath    | 25   | Yes PAs        | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 108       | 238           | Conduit to unif.|
| 19      | Yes         | –         | –       | –    | –         | –       | –    | –              | –         | –       | –    | –              | Yes            | 0         | 0             | Shunt to PAs  |

PAs pulmonary arteries, GA general anaesthetic, Rad radiation dose, Cath catheterisation, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, APC aortopulmonary collaterals, Unif unifocalised collaterals, CT computed tomography, PDA patent arterial duct.
stenosis in the reconstructed pulmonary arteries. Table 3 shows the cumulative number of investigations performed to date, including the total number of X-ray radiological studies, with total radiation dose and anaesthetic times for each patient.

Discussion

We have attempted to quantify the cumulative anaesthetic time and radiation exposure resulting from serial diagnostic investigations in patients with PA/VSD/MAPCA. The median radiation dose in our series prior to intervention was 122 mGy cm², with one patient undergoing 157 min of general anaesthetic time and a total radiation dose of 461 mGy cm² within the first 3 months of life, prior to any therapeutic intervention being performed. By way of comparison, the median radiation dose for 312 interventional catheter procedures in our institution—across all age groups—was 176 mGy cm² from 2005 to 2009 [16]. We rarely perform pure diagnostic catheterisation in our institution, and hence could not compare to diagnostic catheterisations for other reasons.
As anticipated, despite carrying the highest risks, cardiac catheterisation appeared to be the “gold standard” investigation, correctly identifying the presence or absence of native pulmonary arteries in all patients. It was also most frequently the final investigation before committing to intervention. MRI and CT showed poorer sensitivity to identify native pulmonary arteries in our patients, and whilst the numbers were too small to allow for a comprehensive comparison, falling acquisition times and the use of CT and MRI imaging without anaesthesia continue to increase their attractiveness in a clinical setting [6, 20, 21]. CT in particular has shown promising results for infants with aortopulmonary collaterals [22], with the potential for a reduced radiation burden in modern systems [23]. The potential advantage of cross-sectional imaging providing an initial “roadmap” for subsequent catheterisation was, however, not clearly demonstrated in our series: the mean radiation dose when catheterisation was performed without prior CT/MRI was 87 mGy cm² (median 78 mGy cm², range 62–122 mGy cm², n = 3), and 152 mGy cm² (median 150 mGy cm², 47–338 mGy cm², n = 10) when cross-sectional imaging was available; the mean anaesthetic time was 110 min (median 108 min, range 40–181 min) versus 86 min (median 99 min, range 40–119 min), respectively (p = 0.38). In both MRI and CT settings, correct imaging of vessels is flow dependent, and in cardiac catheterisation usually injections of contrast is done with “power injections” per pump or by hand, hence providing adequate flow locally [24].

Repeated diagnostic and interventional procedures in patients with PA/VSD/MAPCA, in particular cardiac catheterisation, can lead to extremely high cumulative radiation doses in later childhood. Children are more susceptible than adults to the effects of ionising radiation and, as survival continues to improve, these patients will have a longer lifespan over which that risk is expressed [16, 25]. One patient of our series has been exposed to a cumulative radiation dose of 8022 mGy/cm² at the age of 6 years, from five catheterisation procedures. One could argue that such radiation dose moves the future malignancy risk from stochastic towards probable, even when taking into account anticipated life expectancy [25].

Limitations

This is a single centre, retrospective, descriptive study. During the study period, no protocol regarding imaging to identify native pulmonary arteries existed in our institution, and different imaging modalities were applied on a case-to-case basis.

Conclusion

Whilst optimisation of the pulmonary circulation is crucial in patients with PA/VSD/MAPCA, there is the potential to generate very high radiation doses and anaesthetic times from diagnostic imaging alone. As survival continues to improve in patients with a range of complex congenital heart defects, the important risks of serial diagnostic imaging must be considered alongside long-term interventional strategies.
Table 3  Lifetime imaging, cumulative radiation dose and anaesthetic time

| N  | Age at last follow up | N Cath | Cath Rad (mGy cm²) | Cath GA (mins) | N CT | CT Rad (mGy cm²) | N MRI | MRI GA (mins) | N CXR | N OXR | Total Rad¹ (mGy cm²) | Total GA (mins) |
|----|-----------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------|------|-----------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------------|
| 1  | 11 years              | 0      | 0                 | 0              | 0    | 0               | 2     | 172           | 18    | 34    | 172                  | 172            |
| 2  | 8 years               | 3      | 2843              | 409            | –    | –               | 2     | 186           | 34    | –     | 2843                 | 595            |
| 3  | d. 96 days            | 1      | 141               | 63             | –    | –               | 2     | 57            | 6     | –     | 141                  | 120            |
| 4  | 5 years               | 5      | 4327              | 811            | 1    | 34              | 4     | 452           | 30    | 6     | 4361                 | 1263           |
| 5  | d. 17 months          | 2      | 1156              | 170            | –    | –               | 2     | 96            | 26    | 1     | 1156                 | 266            |
| 6  | 3 years               | 2      | 185               | 125            | –    | –               | 2     | 131           | 25    | 1     | 185                  | 256            |
| 7  | d. 32 days            | 1      | 231               | 100            | –    | –               | 1     | 14            | 16    | 2     | 231                  | 114            |
| 8  | 2 years               | 1      | 172               | 98             | –    | –               | 2     | 147           | 15    | –     | 172                  | 245            |
| 9  | 6 years               | 5      | 8022              | 786            | –    | –               | 3     | 358           | 39    | 1     | 8022                 | 1144           |
| 10 | 5 years               | 3      | 1357              | 245            | –    | –               | 3     | 199           | 45    | 12    | 1357                 | 444            |
| 11 | 5 years               | 2      | 104               | 155            | 1    | 133             | 1     | 52            | 57    | 14    | 237                  | 207            |
| 12 | d. 18 months          | 2      | 1409              | 164            | –    | –               | 2     | 114           | 15    | 1     | 1409                 | 278            |
| 13 | 4 years               | 1      | 47                | 56             | –    | –               | 2     | 134           | 23    | –     | 47                   | 190            |
| 14 | d. 2 years            | 1      | 338               | 108            | 1    | 123             | 2     | 145           | 42    | 4     | 461                  | 253            |
| 15 | d. 30 days            | 1      | 804               | 110            | –    | –               | 1     | 64            | 12    | –     | 804                  | 174            |
| 16 | 16 months             | 2      | 349               | 237            | 2    | 404             | 1     | 28            | 26    | 3     | 753                  | 265            |
| 17 | 9 months              | 1      | 84                | 119            | 1    | 107             | 1     | 11            | 20    | 7     | 191                  | 130            |
| 18 | 10 months             | 1      | 165               | 108            | 2    | 303             | –     | –             | 42    | 4     | 468                  | 108            |
| 19 | d. 31 days            | 0      | 0                 | 0              | 0    | 0               | 0     | 0             | 0     | 19    | 0                    | 0              |

N number, Cath catheterisation, Rad radiation dose, CT computed tomography, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, GA general anaesthetic, CXR chest X-ray, OXR other X-ray, d. died

¹Not including radiation from chest and other X-rays
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