Local anesthetics impair the growth and self-renewal of glioblastoma stem cells by inhibiting ZDHHC15-mediated GP130 palmitoylation
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Abstract

Background

A large number of preclinical studies have shown that local anesthetics have a direct inhibitory effect on biological activities, including cell survival, proliferation, migration, and invasion. There are few studies on the role of local anesthetics in cancer stem cells. This study aimed to determine the possible role of local anesthetics in glioblastoma stem cell (GSC) self-renewal and the underlying molecular mechanisms.

Methods

The effects of local anesthetics in GSCs were investigated through in vitro and in vivo assays (i.e., cell counting kit 8, spheroidal formation assay, double immunofluorescence, western blot, and xenograft model). The Acyl biotin exchange method (ABE) assay was identified proteins that are S-acylated by zinc finger Asp-His-His-Cys-type palmitoyltransferase 15 (ZDHHC15). Western blot, co-immunoprecipitation, and liquid chromatograph mass spectrometer-mass spectrometry assays were used to explore the mechanisms of ZDHHC15 in effects of local anesthetics in GSCs.

Results

In this study, we identified a novel mechanism through which local anesthetics can damage the malignant phenotype of glioma. We found that local anesthetics prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine can impair the survival and self-renewal of GSCs, especially the classic glioblastoma subtype. These findings suggest that local anesthetics may weaken ZDHHC15 transcripts and decrease GP130 palmitoylation levels and membrane localization, thus inhibiting the activation of IL-6/STAT3 signaling.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our work emphasizes that ZDHHC15 is a candidate therapeutic target, and local anesthetics are potential therapeutic options for glioblastoma.

Background

Local anesthetics are widely used in clinical cancer surgery [1,2]. When local anesthetics are absorbed into the circulation or administered intravenously, they have a direct effect on cancer cells [3]. However, the effect of local anesthetics on the survival rate of cancer patients after surgery is controversial [4-6]. So far, little is known about the direct effect of local anesthetics on cancer cells.

A relatively unexplored area is the effect of local anesthetics on cancer stem cells (CSCs). The existence of glioblastoma (GBM) stem cells (GSC) raises the question of whether GSCs or differentiated cancer cells drive tumorigenesis [7]. A recent study has shown that ropivacaine, lidocaine, and bupivacaine are
effective inhibitors of leukemia stem cell colony formation and that non-cancerous stem cells are not affected by these local anesthetics [8].

GBM is a common and aggressive primary brain tumor that is usually located in the cerebral hemisphere [9,10]. Recurrent or progressive GBM usually does not respond to standard therapy, which is associated with a poor prognosis [11,12]. GSCs are a subset of cells that tolerate chemotherapy and radiotherapy and play a role in tumor recurrence [13-15]. Targeting GSCs and identifying new markers are the key issues involved in the development of innovative strategies to eradicate GBM [16,17]. However, the role of local anesthetics in the growth and progression of GSCs remains unclear.

Protein s-palmitate esterification is a two-sided post-translational modification process that occurs in proteins with fatty acids and is regulated by protein acyltransferase (PAT) [18,19]. PAT is characterized by a conserved catalytic domain containing a Asp-His-His-Cys (DHHC) motif [20,21]. Many recent studies have shown that DHHC proteins and their substrates play a key role in tumorigenesis, particularly in the development and malignant progression of glioma [22,23]. In this study, we found that local anesthetics (prilocaine, procaine, lidocaine, and ropivacaine) may impair the localization of glycoprotein 130 (GP130) through palmitoylation mediated by the zinc finger DHHC-type palmitoyltransferase 15 (ZDHHC15), inhibition of the interleukin (IL)-6/STAT3 signaling pathway, and destruction of the growth and self-renewal capacity of GSCs through a positive feedback mechanism.

Materials And Methods

Human glioma specimens

The paraffin embedded primary glioma tissues were obtained from the Department of Molecular Pathology, Hefei Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Anhui, China). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Committee of Hefei Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the patient's written informed consent was obtained, according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Reagents

The following local anesthetics were purchased from MedChemExpress LLC (NJ, USA): procaine (HY-B0546), dibucaine (HY-B0552), butacaine (HY-B1007), benzocaine (HY-Y0258), lidocaine (HY-B0185), oxethazaine (HY-B0955), prilocaine (HY-B0137), bupivacaine hydrochloride (HY-B0405A), ropivacaine hydrochloride (HY-B0563B), propoxycaine hydrochloride (HY-B1243), and levobupivacaine hydrochloride (HY-B0653A).

Antibodies against the following proteins were used for immunohistochemistry/immunofluorescence analysis (IHC/IF) and western blotting: ZDHHC15 (1:500 for IHC/IF and 1:2000 for western blotting; Sigma-Aldrich); GP130 (1:250 for IF and 1:1000 for western blotting; Cell Signaling Technology); STAT3 (1:1000 for western blotting; Cell Signaling Technology); phospho-STAT3 Y705 (1:250 for IF and 1:2000 for western blotting; Cell Signaling Technology); (sex-determining region Y)-box (SOX) 2 (1:500 for IF; Cell
Signaling Technology); nestin (1:2000 for IF; Cell Signaling Technology); glial fibrillary acidic protein, GFAP; 1:500 for IF; Cell Signaling Technology); microtubule-associated protein 2, MAP2; 1:500 for IF; Cell Signaling Technology); and β-actin (1:5000 for Western blotting; Sigma-Aldrich).

**Cell culture**

H4, A172, U87, U251, In18, and T98G glioma cell lines were obtained between 2018 and 2020 from the Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology, China. They were characterized by isozyme detection and DNA fingerprinting. All cell lines were maintained at a low passage rate for experimental use and were revived every 3-4 months. The cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% (100x) penicillin streptomycin (GIBCO). All cell lines used in this study were regularly verified by morphological observation and checked for mycoplasma contamination. They were last checked for mycoplasma contamination in August 2020.

For short-term in vitro amplification of GSCs, cells were cultured in Thermo Fisher Scientific medium containing N2 and B27 supplements (Invitrogen), human recombinant basic fibroblast growth factor (Invitrogen), and epidermal growth factor (10 ng/ml each). Human neural stem cells (NSCs) were obtained from Lonza in 2015 and cultured in a similar manner to GSC. In order to induce the differentiation of GSCs and NSCs, the cells were cultured in the absence of growth factors or in the presence of 10% FBS (GIBCO).

**Generation and transduction of lentivirus**

A lentiviral clone expressing ZDHHC15 was obtained from Origene (#TL300350V). Lentiviruses were produced in HEK293FT cells using the ViraPower Lentiviral Expression System (Invitrogen). They were concentrated by ultracentrifugation and viral titers were determined by serial dilution.

**Luciferase assay**

The pTSKL-ZDHHC15 isoform1/3 (-681/-837) minimal promoter was cloned by ligating the predicted STAT3 binding region (-681/-837) into a pTSKL plasmid between HindIII and KpnI. The pTSKL-ZDHHC15 isoform 2 (-216/-722) minimal promoter was similarly cloned by ligating the predicted STAT3-binding region (-216/-722) into a pTSKL plasmid between HindIII and KpnI.

As previously reported [24], various luciferase reporter gene constructs were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol, and the cytoplasmic fractions were prepared 48 hours after transfection. In the siRNA experiments, 300 nm siRNA oligonucleotides were first added to the cells, which were then transfected with various luciferase reporter genes after 48 hours, and then harvested for the luciferase assay after another 24 hours. Using a colorimetric assay at A570, luciferase activity was analyzed using a microplate luminometer (Turner BioSystems) and was normalized to the β-galactosidase activity, an internal control for transfection efficiency.
**Immunoprecipitation and western blotting**

Cells were collected and lysed in radioimmunoassay buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors, incubated on ice for 30 minutes, and clarified by centrifugation at 4°C and 12000 rpm for 15 minutes. The total protein lysate (500 μg) was immunoprecipitated with agarose fixed antibody (1 μg anti-ZDHHC15) at 4°C. SDS-PAGE and western blot were used to analyze the proteins that were immunoprecipitated and co-immunoprecipitated.

Equivalent amounts of cell lysate were dissolved and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore). It was probed with primary antibodies for 16 h at 4°C, and then blocked with 5% skimmed milk/0.1% Tween-20 in tris-buffered saline for 1 h at room temperature. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody was then used, and enhanced chemiluminescence detection (Pierce) was performed.

**Immunofluorescence analysis**

The cells or neurospheres were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, washed with PBS, and incubated in a closed buffer (1 x PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and 5% normal goat serum) for 15 minutes. The cells were then incubated with primary antibodies at 4 °C for 16 hours, followed by Alexa 488 Goat anti-mouse (Invitrogen) and Alexa 568 Goat anti-rabbit (Invitrogen) secondary antibodies. The nuclei were stained with 4,6-dimethyldi-2-phenylindole (Invitrogen) and then covered with coverslips fixed with fluorescent mounting medium (Invitrogen). Images were obtained using a fluorescence microscope (IX71; Olympus), and the contrast and brightness were adjusted using Image Pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics Inc., Maryland, USA).

**In vitro spheroidal formation assay**

For formation of suspension culture/tumorsphere, 500 cells were seeded in 6-well plates containing 2 ml of complete neurobasal medium and were either treated with local anesthetics or left untreated. After 10 days, the tumorspheres were measured and analyzed.

**Acyl biotin exchange method**

For determining the palmitoylation level, the acyl-biotinyl exchange (ABE) assay was performed as previously described [25]. Briefly, after incubating with N-ethylmaleimide (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to block free sulfhydryl groups on the proteins, samples were immunoprecipitated with anti-GP130 antibody (1 μg). The purified precipitates or samples were treated either with or without 1 M hydroxylamine (HAM; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 0.5 μM BMCC biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to label the palmitoylation sites. The presence of biotin on GP130 proteins was then analyzed by SDS-PAGE using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-streptavidin (Cell Signaling Technology).

**Animal experiments**
Animal experiments were performed following the guidelines of the Animal Use and Care Committees at the Hefei Institute of Physical Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences. All mice were randomly assigned to the appropriate treatment group. Six week old female C57BL/6 mice, weighing approximately 18-25 g, were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (132 mg/kg) and methylthiazide (8.8 mg/kg) and a cell suspension (0.1 ml) consisting of 500 U251 GSCs pretreated with local anesthetics for 5 days was subcutaneously injected on the upper left flank. After 6 weeks, the tumor bearing mice were sacrificed and the tumor weights were measured.

Statistical analysis

All grouped data are presented as the means ± standard errors. Between-group comparisons were analyzed using the Student t-test or one-way ANOVA using GraphPad Prism version 8 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). All experiments were repeated for each specimen in at least three biological duplicates. The criterion for significance (p-values) was set as described in the figures.

Results

Local anesthetics impaired cell survival via downregulation of ZDHHC15 expression

To study the effect of local anesthetics on malignant progression among GSCs, GSCs derived from U251 cells were subjected to in vitro treatment with 11 local anesthetics (prilocaine, benzocaine, procaine, dibucaine, butacaine, oxethazaine, lidocaine, propoxycaine, levobupivacaine, bupivacaine, and ropivacaine) after 24 h and 48 h of treatment under different concentrations (5 µM, 10 µM, and 20 µM); cell counting kit 8 (CCK-8) assays were performed to determine the GSC viability (Figure 1A). After 24 h, prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, bupivacaine, and ropivacaine inhibited the growth of GSCs in a concentration-dependent manner. After 48 h of treatment, prilocaine, oxethazaine, lidocaine, levobupivacaine, procaine, and ropivacaine significantly suppressed GSC survival in a concentration-dependent manner. Combined with the above results, we found that the local anesthetics prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine killed GSCs in a concentration-dependent and timedependent manner.

Palmitoylation, mediated by the DHHC family, markedly affects tumorigenesis and tumor progression through different substrates, particularly in gliomas [22,23]. We analyzed the expression of all DHHCs in GSCs after treatment with the four local anesthetics mentioned above via reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) assays (Figure 1B). Of the 24 PATs, 19 were detected in U251 GSCs, and ZDHHC1, 9, 11, 19, 22, and 23 were undetectable. ZDHHC15 was significantly downregulated in all groups treated with the local
anesthetics. We also observed a significant decrease in ZDHHC15 expression levels after treatment with lidocaine, prilocaine, ropivacaine, or procaine in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 1C). These results indicate that the local anesthetics prilocaine, lidocaine, ropivacaine, and procaine killed cells via inhibition of ZDHHC15 expression.

Expression pattern of ZDHHC15 isoforms in GSCs

In the tumor cell lines, ZDHHC15 has three isoforms, which are generated by alternative splicing (Figure 2A). Transcript variant 1 encodes the longest isoform, including 12 exons. Compared with variant 1, variant 2 lacks an in-frame coding exon, resulting in a shorter isoform 2 that is missing a 9 amino acid segment. Compared with variant 1, variant 3 is missing an in-frame coding exon and differs at the 3’ end, resulting in a shorter isoform 3 with a C-terminus that is distinct from isoform 1. Transcript variant 3 does not code for protein.

First, we analyzed the expression of three isoforms of ZDHHC15 in six human GBM cell lines via RT-PCR (Figure 2B). Isoforms 1, 2, and 3 were undetectable in the H4, A172, and T98G cell lines. In U87 cells, only isoform 3 was detected. However, isoforms 1 and 3 were detected in U251 and LN18 cells, which belong to the classic GBM cell line (Figure S1). U87 cells have a significantly mesenchymal phenotype, and H4, A712, and T98G cells belong to the proneural subtype of cells (Figure S1). We used The Cancer Genome Atlas and Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis databases and investigated the association between the expression of ZDHHC15 and the anatomical distribution (Table S1 and S2). The expression level of ZDHHC15 was positively correlated with the classic GBM subtype.

Compared with monolayer cell culture, ZDHHC15 isoform 2 could be detected in neurosphere formation, similar to that of NSCs (Figure 2C). In particular, the expression of isoform 2 was strongly elevated during GSC self-renewal and then progressively decreased during the differentiation stage (Figure 2D). However, isoforms 1 and 3 were not changed (Figure 2D). Consistent with the above results, western blot analysis showed that isoform 1 was expressed in U251 and LN18 cells (Figure 2E) and that isoform 2 could be detected in the neurospheres from U251 and LN18 cells (Figure 2E). These results indicated that ZDHHC15 might play an important role in GBM, particularly in the classic subtype, and isoform 2 may be essential for the self-renewal of GSCs.

Local anesthetics strongly induce differentiation and impair the self-renewal of GSCs

We first compared ZDHHC15 expression in 60 glioma tissues, including pilocytic astrocytoma (PA, grade I; n=6), oligodendroglioma (OL, grade II, n=18), anaplastic astrocytoma (AA, grade III; n=15), and GBM (grade IV; n=21), and eight normal brain tissue samples (Figure 3A). ZDHHC15 levels in gliomas were elevated relative to the levels in the normal brain tissue and were positively correlated with the degree of malignancy. The positivity rates of ZDHHC15 were 11.11% in OL, 53.33% in AA, and 66.66% in GBM, and ZDHHC15 showed negative expression in PA.
Considering the elevated levels of ZDHHC15 in GSCs, we investigated whether ZDHHC15 is crucial for GSC self-renewal in a single cell neurosphere formation assay. shRNA-expressing lentiviruses were prepared to target ZDHHC15 expression. Under free-floating neurosphere culture conditions, ZDHHC15 knockdown diminished the capacity of GSCs to form neurospheres (Figure 3B). Consistent with these results, GSC maintenance was impaired by the local anesthetics procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine (20 µM each). In the serial dilution assay, U251 GSCs transduced with PBS-treated or control shRNA produced a significantly greater number of neurospheres at each level of dilution compared with the ZDHHC15-deficient cells or GSCs treated with local anesthetics (Figure 3C). These results indicate that ZDHHC15 is required for GSC maintenance, and that self-renewal of GSCs could be impaired via inhibition of ZDHHC15 expression by the local anesthetics procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine.

The decreased formation of neurospheres in CSCs treated with procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine suggests an alteration in the “stemness” of CSCs. To provide a mechanistic insight into these phenotypic changes, we examined stem and differentiation markers in spheroids treated with the local anesthetics (Figure 3D). Spheroids treated with the local anesthetics, namely, procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine (20 µM each) and ZDHHC15 shRNA were stained with antibodies for several stem cells and differentiation markers. The neurosphere CSCs exhibited significant staining for nestin and SOX2, which are both neural stem cell markers, but showed limited expression of GFAP and MAP2, both of which are markers of differentiated cells. Notably, the CSCs transfected with ZDHHC15 shRNA or treated with the local anesthetics exhibited greatly reduced staining of nestin and SOX2, accompanied by an increased expression of the differentiated cell markers. This further confirms our findings that ZDHHC15 silencing or local anesthetic treatment strongly induces differentiation, suggesting the existence of an additional mechanism by which ZDHHC15 inhibition could ameliorate the malignant phenotype in glioma.

Identification of palmitoylation proteins mediated by ZDHHC15

ZDHHC15 belongs to a super-family of PATs that catalyze the attachment of palmitate to other protein substrates [26,27]. To identify the role of ZDHHC15 in protein palmitoylation in GSCs, we performed an ABE assay to identify proteins that are S-acylated by ZDHHC15 (Figure 4A). U251 GSCs were lysed, incubated with the ZDHHC15 antibody, and the immunoprecipitated protein samples were divided into two fractions. In the HAM+ sample, the palmitate residue was cleaved and exchanged with biotin. The HAM- condition served as a negative control. After the ABE reaction was completed, streptavidin beads were used to enrich the biotinylated proteins. Proteins enriched under HAM+ and HAM- conditions were identified using mass spectrometry. Proteins with at least 2-fold greater abundance in the HAM+ sample were considered to be candidate proteins. Using this approach, we identified 74 palmitoylated proteins. Supporting the validity of the assay, we identified 10 previously validated S-acylated proteins, and 28 S-acylated proteins predicted using the CSS-Palm version 4.0 software (The Cuckoo Workgroup, http://csspalm.biocuckoo.org/down.php). GP130, low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 12 (LRP12), and Rap1 interacting factor 1 (RIF1), as candidate palmitoylated proteins for experimental
validation, may be associated with glioma development and malignant progression (Figure 4B). The results of immunoprecipitation further indicated that ZDHHC15 interacted with GP130, but not with LRP12 and Rif1 (Figure 4C).

Local anesthetics repressed GP130 palmitoylation and impaired its membrane localization

Next, we examined palmitoylation levels using an ABE assay after immunoprecipitation of GP130 and found that GP130 was palmitoylated (Figure 5A). A significant reduction in the palmitoylation level of GP130 was observed in ZDHHC15-deficient or 2-bromopalmitate (2BP)-treated cells, compared with that in control cells (Figure 5B). Moreover, we found that treatment with the depalmitoylation inhibitor palmostatin B (1 μM) resulted in the accumulation of palmitoylated GP130 (Figure 5B). We then investigated the effects of the local anesthetics procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, and ropivacaine on GP130 palmitoylation (Figure 5C). In addition to the decrease in ZDHHC15 expression, the level of GP130 palmitoylation decreased after treatment with the local anesthetics in a concentration-dependent manner. Moreover, the palmitoylation status of GP130 was positively correlated with the Janus kinase/STAT3 signaling activity. Immunofluorescence results also confirmed that STAT3 (Y705) phosphorylation was decreased by ZDHHC15 knockdown or treatment with local anesthetics (Figure 5C). We then assessed whether GP130 palmitoylation influences its cellular distribution/localization in GSCs (Figure 5D and E). Strikingly, we found that GSCs transfected with ZDHHC15 shRNA or treated with local anesthetics showed reduced expression of GP130 on the membrane surface without affecting overall expression levels, compared with normal conditions. These results indicated that palmitoylation inhibition mediated by the local anesthetics resulted in the disappearance of GP130 in the membrane fractions.

IL-6/STAT3 regulated ZDHHC15 transcripts via positive feedback

Of note, through bioinformatics analysis with JASPAR we discovered three putative STAT3-binding elements within the ZDHHC15 isoform 1 and 3 promoter regions, and four STAT3 responsive elements within isoform 2 (Figure 6A). We further investigated if IL-6/STAT3 could regulate ZDHHC15 expression in turn. STAT3 inhibitor or siRNA significantly decreased ZDHHC15 transcription in U251 cells treated with or without rhIL-6 (Figure 6B). A luciferase assay indicated that the ZDHHC15 promoter was repressed by a STAT3 inhibitor or siRNA. The local anesthetics procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine could also inhibit ZDHHC15 transcription (Figure 6C). To clarify which element was necessary for STAT3-mediated ZDHHC15 expression, three or four predicted STAT3-binding sites were individually deleted. We found that STAT3 failed to promote ZDHHC15 transcriptional activity without the E2 element for isoforms 1 and 3, while E1 and E3 absence alone partially downregulated ZDHHC15 promoter activity, indicating that the E2 element was essential for STAT3 to activate transcription of ZDHHC15 isoforms 1 and 3 (Figure 6D). Moreover, the E1 element was essential for the activation of ZDHHC15 isoform 2 transcription by STAT3. To further confirm these results, a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay was performed with p-STAT3 antibody, followed by detection via quantitative RT-PCR with specific primers for the E2 or E1 elements. STAT3 could associate with the ZDHHC15 isoform 1 and 3 promoters and was enriched within the E2 region, and within the E1 region of
isoform 2 (Figure 6E). These findings suggest that there is a regulatory feedback loop between ZDHHC15 and IL-6/STAT3 signaling, which may continuously activate their oncogenic functions.

To determine the efficacy of a 5-day in vitro treatment of GSCs with the local anesthetics, namely, procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine (20 µM each) on the tumor-initiating potential of GSCs, we subcutaneously injected GSCs into immunocompromised mice. Similar to the results observed with ZDHHC15 depletion, GSCs treated with local anesthetics before injection significantly suppressed tumor growth relative to control (or PBS-pretreated) animals (Figure 6F and G). These results suggest that short-term in vitro treatment with procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine sufficiently reduced the number of tumor-initiating cells in all GSC samples, resulting in delayed tumor development.

**Discussion**

Little is known about the role of local anesthetics in CSCs. This study aimed to determine the possible role of local anesthetics in GSC self-renewal and the underlying molecular mechanisms. Our data demonstrated that four commonly used local anesthetics (procaine, prilocaine, lidocaine, and ropivacaine) disrupt GSCs by targeting GP130 palmitoylation and the IL-6/STAT3 signaling pathway mediated by ZDHHC15 in a positive regulatory feedback loop.

Although early small retrospective clinical trials have shown that local anesthetics play a beneficial role in reducing tumor metastasis and recurrence in cancer patients, prospective, large-scale, and randomized clinical trials are needed to investigate the effect of regional anesthesia on long-term outcomes after cancer surgery [28]. This will confirm the significance of anesthetics for cancer patients and guide clinical practice. Local anesthetics can reach the circulatory system via absorption from the injection site or direct intravenous injection (e.g., lidocaine) to affect the circulating tumor cells released from the primary tumor during surgery [29]. Amide local anesthetics act on nerve cells by blocking voltage-gated sodium channels, resulting in decreased depolarization and repolarization rates of excitatory nerve cell membranes [30,31]. It has been shown that common amide linked local anesthetics exhibit anticancer activity in a variety of cancers, including lung cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and thyroid cancer [32,33].

A large number of preclinical studies have shown that local anesthetics can directly inhibit the biological activities of cancer cells, including cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and survival. However, these studies were conducted using tumor cell lines representing differentiated tumor cells. Proliferation, differentiation, and self-renewal are the hallmarks of stem cells [34]. We found that four commonly used local anesthetics (prilocaine, procaine, lidocaine and ropivacaine) significantly inhibited colony formation and self-renewal of GSCs, especially of the classic GBM subtype.

Many DHHC enzymes appear to play a key role in the tumorigenesis of glioma. Up regulation of ZDHHC5 (a carcinogen) has been reported in p53 mutant gliomas [35]. ZDHHC18 and ZDHHC23 can target specific GSCs of different GBM subsets and regulate the cellular plasticity of these subtypes [36]. In addition, the gene encoding ZDHHC17 is located in the chromosomal region containing a potential
ZDHHC17 protein can interact with MAP2K4 to regulate the development and progression of malignant glioma and stimulate JNK/p38 [37]. In this study, we investigated the association between the DHHC family of proteins and local anesthetics in gliomas and found that ZDHHC15 was significantly downregulated in GSCs after treatment with prilocaine, procaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine. Notably, the high expression level of ZDHHC15 is related to the classic molecular phenotype of GBM and is positively correlated with the self-renewal of GSC. Thus, it has the potential to kill the classical GBM subtypes.

Since STAT3 signal transduction is usually activated in GSCs, and its activation is necessary to maintain the self-renewal and tumorigenic potential of GSCs, destroying STAT3 signaling pathways may destroy GSCs and have a therapeutic potential [38,39]. However, targeting STAT3 transcription factor itself is not clinically achievable because STAT3 is essential for other functions in normal cells [40,41]. Thus, the identification of unique upstream regulators controlling STAT3 activation in GSCs may offer new therapeutic targets for developing GSC-specific therapeutics to improve GBM treatment. In this study, we identified that ZDHHC15, the palmitoyl acyltransferase, is preferentially expressed in GSCs and demonstrated that ZDHHC15 is essential for maintaining STAT3 activation in GSCs. We found that ZDHHC15 plays a role by palmitoylation of the IL-6 receptor subunit GP130, thus promoting the activation and phosphorylation of STAT3. ZDHHC15 effectively inhibited the formation of the tumorsphere, cell proliferation, and tumor growth of GSC. As ZDHHC15 is preferentially a cell surface protein, ZDHHC15 represents a unique molecular target for the development of specific therapies for GSCs. In addition, because the expression of ZDHHC15 is positively correlated with the tumor grade of gliomas, ZDHHC15 can also be used as a useful marker for the diagnosis and prognosis of GBM.

GP130 is a glycoprotein that mediates the activation of key pro-survival pathways that are essential for tumor cell proliferation, invasion, and angiogenesis [42,43]. Amplification of the \( GP130 \) gene and the abnormal stabilization of the GP130 protein have been shown to be closely associated with tumor progression [44,45]. The level of GP130 protein in normal cells is strictly regulated at the post-translational level through ubiquitin dependent degradation, endocytosis, and caspase induced proteolysis [46,47]. The abnormal increase in the GP130 protein level in tumor cells may be caused by the dysregulation of post-translational processes. Shi et al. reported that tetraspanin CD9 coupled with GP130 to reduce GP130 ubiquitination, thereby sustaining high levels of GP130 in GSCs to maintain STAT3 activation [48]. In our study, we showed that GP130 is S-acylated, and its palmitoylation affects the function of the IL-6/STAT3 signaling pathway, which is crucial for many cellular processes. Post-translational modification of cellular proteins by S-acylation involves reversible binding of fatty acids to cysteine residues, which is essential for protein transport to cell membranes and regulation of cell signal transduction. Palmitoylation is beneficial for GP130 proteins on the cell surface. However, local anesthetics such as prilocaine, procaine, lidocaine, or ropivacaine can damage ZDHHC15 transcripts and reduce the palmitoylation level of GP130 and localization to the cell membrane, thus inhibiting the activation of IL-6/STAT3 signaling.
Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings confirm the direct inhibitory effect of four local anesthetics on GSCs, especially the classic subtype. The potential mechanisms underlying their effect on GSCs may involve the inhibition of ZDHHC15 and its palmitoylation, inhibition of GP130 membrane localization, and activation of IL-6/STAT3 signaling, and induction of GSC differentiation and damage.
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Figure 1

Local anesthetics prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine impaired cell survival through inhibition of ZDHHC15 expression. (A) Histogram showing the viability of GSCs cultured in CSC medium with or without local anesthetics (procaine, benzocaine, procaine, dibucaine, tetracaine, oxadiazine, lidocaine, propoxycaine, levobupivacaine, bupivacaine, and ropivacaine) for 24 hours (left) or 48 hours (right) at different concentrations (5, 10 and 20 μM, respectively). Local anesthetics, such as prilocaine, lidocaine,
procaine, and ropivacaine, killed GSCs in a concentration and time-dependent manner. (B) RT-PCR analysis of mRNA levels of 24 known pats in GSC treated with prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine (20 μm each). β-actin was used as a loading control. (C) RT-PCR analysis of ZDHHC15 mRNA levels in GSCs treated with different concentrations (5, 10 and 20 μM) of prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine. β-actin was used as a loading control.
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Figure 2

ZDHHC15 isoform expression in GBM cells and GSCs (A) Diagram of the ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms. The isoform 1 coding sequence contains 12 exons. Compared to isoform 1, variant 2 lacks coding for exon 2, and variant 3 lacks an in-frame coding exon and differs at the 3' end. The locations of the primers and the sites targeted with stealth siRNAs are indicated on a diagram of the ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms. (B) RT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms in six GBM cells (H4, A172, U87,
T98G, U251, and LN18). Sequences encoding isoforms 1 and 2 using primers #1 and #3 (compared to isoform 1, variant 2 lacks 27 bp). Sequences encoding isoform 1 used primers #2 and #3. Sequences encoding isoform 2 used primers #4 and #5. Sequences encoding isoform 3 used primers #6 and #7. β-actin served as the loading control. (C) RT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms in GSCs and NSCs. β-actin served as the loading control. (D) RT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms during GSC self-renewal and the differentiation stage. β-actin served as the loading control. (E) Western blot analysis of ZDHHC15 in six GBM cell lines (H4, A172, U87, T98G, U251, and LN18), four GSCs derived from U87, T98G, U251, and LN18, and U251 GSCs after transfection with ZDHHC15 stealth siRNAs. β-actin served as the loading control.
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(A) Diagram of the ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms. The isoform 1 coding sequence contains 12 exons. Compared to isoform 1, variant 2 lacks coding for exon 2, and variant 3 lacks an in-frame coding exon and differs at the 3' end. The locations of the primers and the sites targeted with stealth siRNAs are indicated on a diagram of the ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms.

(B) RT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms in six GBM cells (H4, A172, U87, U251, LN18, T98G).

(C) RT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms in U87, U251 GSCs, and NSCs.

(D) RT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of ZDHHC15 splicing isoforms in sphere and differentiation conditions.

(E) Western blot analysis of ZDHHC15 protein levels in U251 GSCs.
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Figure 3

ZDHHC15 silencing or treatment with local anesthetics strongly induces differentiation of GSCs (A) Glioma tissue sections (n=60) were stained with an antibody against ZDHHC15. Scale bar, 200 μm (B) Representative images showing U251 GSCs maintained under neurosphere conditions for 7 days after transfection with ZDHHC15 shRNA or treatment with prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, or ropivacaine (20 μM). (C) The capacity of the U251 GSCs transfected with ZDHHC5 shRNA and treated with prilocaine,
lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine (20 µM) to generate neurospheres was estimated by a serial dilution assay. (D) GSC neurospheres of all categories were stained for stem and differentiated cell markers as indicated. Cells were stained with antibodies against nestin and SOX2 for neural stem cell markers, and antibody staining of GFAP and MAP2 were used as markers of differentiated cells. DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) was used as a nuclear stain. Scale bar, 100 µm
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Figure 4

Identity of palmitoylated proteins mediated by ZDHHC15 (A) Principles of the ABE capture methods. U251 GSC was dissolved and incubated with ZDHHC15 antibody. In addition, it was cleaved with palmitate in the HAM+ group. The HAM- condition was used as a negative control. After ABE reaction, streptavidin beads were used to enrich biotinylated proteins. The proteins enriched under HAM+ and HAM- conditions were identified by mass spectrometry (MS). In the HAM+ sample, proteins with at least
2-fold higher abundance compared to the control were considered as candidate proteins. Probability: 0-19% (2-3 times; n = 37), 20-49% (3-4 times; n = 6) and > 50% (>4 times; n = 31). (B) Venn diagram showing the relationship between expression patterns of different DHHCs in glioma using human protein atlas (HPA), previously validated S-acylated proteins, predicted S-acylated proteins using the CSS-Palm version 4.0 software, and function previously reported in glioma. (C) Lysates from U251 GSCs were subjected to IP with the ZDHHC15 antibody, followed by immunoblotting (IB) with anti-GP130, anti-LRP12, and anti-RIF1 antibodies.
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Palmitoylation inhibition mediated by the local anesthetics resulted in the disappearance of GP130 in the membrane fractions. (A) ABE was performed on proteins from U251 GSCs transfected with ZDHHC15 shRNA or treated with 2BP (50 µM) or PalmB (1 µM) for 48 h. The presence or absence of hydroxylamine (HAM) during the reaction was used as a control for reaction specificity. Western blot analysis with streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase is shown depicting the banding pattern of S-acylated proteins from 1 or 3 mg of total protein. (B) Protein accumulation (detected by western blot analysis) and palmitoylation level (detected by ABE) in GSCs treated with or without prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine at different concentrations (5 µM, 10 µM, and 20 µM, respectively). β-actin was used as a loading control.
(C) Expression of p-STAT3 (Y705) in U251 GSCs (monolayer culture) transfected with ZDHHC5 shRNA and treated with prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine (20 µM) for 48 h was analyzed by immunofluorescence staining. Scale bar, 100 µm (D) The expression of GP130 in U251 GSCs (monolayer culture) transfected with ZDHHC5 shRNA and treated with prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine (20 µM) for 48 h was analyzed by immunofluorescence staining. Scale bar, 100 µm (E) GSCs were transfected with ZDHHC5 shRNA or treated with prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine (20 µM) and harvested after 48 h. Cellular fractionation was performed to separate cytosolic and membrane fractions. Fractionates were then subjected to western blot analysis to detect the distribution of GP130.
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Figure 6

A regulatory feedback loop exists between ZDHHC15 and IL-6/STAT3 signaling. (A) Putative binding motif of transcription factor STAT3 for ZDHHC15 isoforms 1, 2, and 3 was predicted from the JASPAR database. The top three STAT3 binding sites (labeled E1', E2, and E3) for ZDHHC15 isoforms 1 and 3, four binding sites (labeled E1', E2', E3', and E4') were chosen for further analysis. (B) qRT-PCR was performed to evaluate the activity of STAT3 ZDHHC15 transcription in U251 GSCs transfected with
STAT3 siRNA or treated with STAT3 inhibitor STAT3-IN-7 (5 µM) or rhIL-6 (5 ng/mL), alone or in combination. (C) Luciferase reporter assay demonstrated luciferase activities of ZDHHC15 isoform reporters in U251 GSCs transfected with STAT3 siRNA or treated with STAT3 inhibitor STAT3-IN-7 (5 µM), or the local anesthetics prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine (20 µM each). (D) Luciferase reporter assay demonstrated luciferase activities of various truncated reporters in U251 GSCs, determining the region of ZDHHC15 isoform promoters on which STAT3 could bind to mediate transcriptional activation. (E) ChIP assay was performed using the p-STAT3 antibody to demonstrate the enrichment of the STAT3-binding region of the promoter of ZDHHC15 isoforms in U251 GSCs. (F) Tumor growth of U251 GSCs transfected with ZDHHC5 shRNA or pretreated with prilocaine, lidocaine, procaine, and ropivacaine (20 µM). (G) Tumor weights were measured after 6 weeks (n=5 mice/group).
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