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INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to discuss the evidence for intestinal parasites in past human populations of Chinese ethnicity in order to better understand which species were most common and how infection might have varied throughout history. This is an important area of investigation, as such an approach could potentially identify changes in parasitism between hunter-gatherers, farmers cultivating rice or sorghum, the inhabitants of early small towns, and later large cities [1]. There may have been differences in species infecting those people living in the lush, well-watered regions of eastern and southern China compared with the more arid regions of central, northern, and western China. We could even identify the spread of parasites along the Silk Road and other trade routes [2].

Some of the publications describing ancient parasites are not widely known outside China as they have been published in regional Chinese journals that may not be easily read or accessed by the international community. Paleoparasitology is a relatively recent field of research in ethnically Chinese regions, compared to the century long tradition in Western countries [3]. In the past, parasite evidence from China has often been obtained from naturally preserved mummies that were analyzed by anatomists or physicians (Table 1). Other methods for sourcing ancient fecal samples, such as the pelvic soil from burials, latrine sediments, and coprolites from rubbish tips have not been widely used. In this paper, we will examine the evidence for parasites dating from the Neolithic period to the Qing Dynasty (7,000 BCE to CE 1911/1912) (Table 2). This will start to shed light on when, how, and where the ancient communities of the area became infected by the range of parasite species now known in the region [4].

THE EVIDENCE FOR PARASITES IN CHINA THROUGH THE AGES

Neolithic (7,000-2,000 BCE)

The earliest proposed evidence for parasites in China dates from the Neolithic period. Three distinct phases of the period have been categorized: the Early Neolithic (7,000-5,000 BCE), the Middle Neolithic (5,000-3,000 BCE), and the Late Neolithic (3,000-2,000 BCE) [5]. Within the first phase, the Jiahu site, located in Henan Province and dated to 7,000 BCE to 5,700 BCE, is significant with findings of many artefacts, in-
including the oldest known musical instruments [6]. With regard to parasite evidence, Zhang and colleagues [6] reported that abdominal soil samples were collected from 4 burials at the Jiahu site. On microscopy, they reported finding structures which they believed represent the eggs of roundworm (Ascaris lumbricoides), whipworm (Trichuris trichiura), and tapeworm (Taenia sp., T. trichiura, Enterobius vermicularis).

### Table 1. Summary of Chinese mummies with published parasite studies, adapted from Li (1984)

| Location                  | Parasite                              | Sex | Age  | Time period & dynasty | Social status | Reference |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Jiangling, Hubei Province | Clonorchis sinensis, Schistosoma japonicum, T. trichiura, Ascaris lumbricoides | F   | unknown | Warring States period | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Changsha, Hubei Province  | Schistosoma japonicum, T. trichiura, Ascaris lumbricoides | F   | 50   | Han Dynasty           | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Phoenix Hill, Hubei Province | S. japonicum, C. sinensis, T. trichiura | M   | 55   | Han Dynasty           | Wealthy       | [15,16]   |
| Jintan, Jiangsu Province  | A. lumbricoides, T. trichiura          | M   | 28-40| Song Dynasty          | unknown       | [16]      |
| Hengyang, Hunan Province  | C. sinensis                           | M   | 50   | Song Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Guangzhou, Guangdong Province | A. lumbricoides                    | M   | 84   | Ming Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Guangzhou, Guangdong Province | T. trichiura, C. sinensis, Fasciolopsis buski | F | 80 | Ming Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Yangzhou, Jiangsu Province | A. lumbricoides, T. trichiura          | M   | unknown | Ming Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Yangzhou, Jiangsu Province | A. lumbricoides, T. trichiura          | F   | 60   | Ming Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Fuqing, Fujian Province   | C. sinensis, F. buski, T. trichiura, A. lumbricoides | M   | 50   | Ming Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Shaowu, Fujian Province   | A. lumbricoides                        | M   | 41   | Ming Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Longyan, Fujian Province  | T. trichiura, A. lumbricoides          | F   | 74   | Ming Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |
| Fuzhou, Fujian Province   | C. sinensis, T. trichiura              | F   | 50-60| Ming Dynasty          | Wealthy       | [16]      |

### Table 2. Time periods and dynasties in China

| Period                        | Description                                      |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Neolithic (7000 BCE-2000 BCE) | Formation of Early States: Erlitou and Erligang (1900/1800 BCE -1250 BCE) |
| Shang dynasty                 | c. 1766/1600/1556 BCE - c.1122/1046 BCE[10]      |
| Zhou dynasty                  | Western Zhou                                    |
| Eastern Zhou                  | Spring and Autumn Warring States                 |
| Qin dynasty                   | 11th century BCE-771 BCE c.770/771 BCE -c.475/476 BCE/403 BCE 5th century BCE-221 BCE |
| Han dynasty                   | Western/Former Han                              |
| Xin dynasty                   | 206/202 BCE-CE 9                                |
| Eastern/Later Han             | CE 9-CE 23                                      |
|                              | CE 25-CE 220                                    |
| Three Kingdoms                | CE 220-CE 280                                   |
| Jin dynasty                   | Western Jin (CE 265/266-CE 316) Western Jin (CE 317-CE 420)       |
| Eastern Jin                   | Sixteen Kingdoms (CE 304-CE 439)                |
|                              | CE 265/266-CE 420                               |
| Northern and Southern dynasties| CE 420-CE 589                                   |
| Sui dynasty                   | CE 581-CE 618                                   |
| Tang dynasties                | CE 618-CE 907                                   |
| Five Dynasties and Ten Kingdoms| Liao dynasty (CE 907/916-CE 1125 )              |
|                              | CE 907-CE 960/979                               |
| Song dynasty                  | Northern Song (CE 960-CE 1127)                   |
| Western Xia                   | 960-CE 1279                                     |
| Southern Song                 | CE 1115-CE1234                                  |
|                              | CE 1271-CE 1368                                 |
| Yuan dynasty                  | CE 1271-CE 1368                                 |
| Ming dynasty                  | CE 1368-CE 1644                                 |
| Qing dynasty                  | CE 1644-CE 1911/1912                            |

According to the Xia–Shang–Zhou Chronology Project, the Shang dynasty was dated from c. 1600 to c. 1046 BCE. However, the chronology has been disputed by many scholars.
However, in our opinion, the illustrations of the proposed eggs published in the paper do not provide strong evidence to confirm such identification. For example, the structure proposed to be a human roundworm egg had the dimensions of 122 by 83 μm, which is too large to be a human roundworm egg. Standard dimensions quoted in the literature for human roundworm eggs are in the range of 45-75 μm in length by 35-50 μm in breadth for fertilized eggs, and 85-95 μm by 43-47 μm for unfertilized eggs [8]. The proposed whipworm and tapeworm eggs have an appearance more compatible with plant material than parasite eggs.

Ancient China (Early States-Warring States period) (1,900/1,800 BCE-221 BCE)

The formation of early states, known as Erlitou and Erliang, took place around 1,900/1,800 BCE to 1,250 BCE in the Central Plain in mainland China [5]. During the period from 1,900 BCE to 221 BCE, examples of ancient parasites have been reported [9]. Wei et al. [9] examined pelvic soil from 20 inhumation burials at Zhengzhou, Henan Province, dated to the Spring and Autumn period (770/771 BCE-476/403 BCE). Five of these burials (25%) were positive for roundworm eggs (A. lumbricoides). A total of 34 eggs were identified (18 fertilized and 16 unfertilized roundworm eggs), but they did not specify the number from each of the 5 individuals.

Later, during the Warring States period (5th century BCE-221 BCE), a female mummy from Jiangling, Hubei Province was found to contain the eggs of Chinese liver fluke (Clonorchis sinensis), whipworm, and roundworm [10,11]. Similarly, a female mummy from Jingmen, Hubei Province was found to have Chinese liver fluke as well as whipworm [12].

Imperial China (Qin dynasty-Qing dynasty) (221 BCE-CE 1911/1912)

A greater number of cases of ancient parasites have been recovered in the period dating from Imperial China. A latrine has been identified in the Xuanquanzhi relay station at Dunhuang in Gansu Province, northwest China. The relay station was built in 111 BCE and used until CE 109 (during the Han dynasty). Xuanquanzhi was positioned on the Silk Road, providing accommodation to travellers and a change of horses for government officials and the postal service. When the latrine...
was excavated, it was found to contain a significant number of personal hygiene sticks used for wiping after defecation. These wooden sticks had cloth wrapped around one end, and some well-preserved sticks had dried feces still in situ (Fig. 1). When analyzed, the eggs of whipworm (Fig. 2), roundworm, *Taenia* sp. tapeworm (Fig. 3), and Chinese liver fluke were identified [13].

A study carried out on a female mummy from the Mawangtui tomb in Changsha, Han Dynasty (206/202 BCE-CE 220), found oriental schistosomiasis (*Schistosoma japonicum*), whipworm, and pinworm (*Enterobius vermicularis*) eggs in the fecal material extracted from the intestines [14]. A similar study of a Han dynasty mummy from Phoenix Hill in Huibei Province showed the presence of oriental schistosomiasis, Chinese liver fluke, whipworm, and *Taenia* sp. tapeworm [15].

In addition to this, the human mummies of several individuals who died in the Ming and Song dynasties have displayed evidence of infection with roundworm, Chinese liver fluke, whipworm, and the giant intestinal fluke *Fasciola buski* [16] (Table 3). A map showing the location of all the examples discussed in this paper is given as Fig. 4.

### DISCUSSION

Having brought together all the available evidence, we can start to investigate which parasites may have been more common than others, if geographical location affected likelihood of infection, and if there seem to be changes in infection over time. However, we do need to be cautious and realistic in how we use this data. A total of 33 individuals and 1 latrine from

| Table 3. Species of parasites found in samples from China |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Parasite Species | Location | Type of samples | No. of individuals affected |
|------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| *Ascaris lumbricoides* | Zhengzhou, Henan Province | Pelvic soil | 5 |
| Xuanquanzhi, Gansu Province | | Mummy | 8 |
| Jiangling, Hubei Province | | | |
| Jintan, Jiangsu Province | | | |
| Guangzhou, Guangdong Province | | | |
| Yangzhou, Jiangsu Province | | | |
| Fuqing, Fujian Province | | | |
| Shaowu, Fujian Province | | | |
| Longyan, Fujian Province | | | |
| *Clonorchis sinensis* | Xuanquanzhi, Gansu Province | Latrine | 6 |
| Guangzhou, Guangdong Province | | Mummy | |
| Hengyang, Hunan Province | | | |
| Jiangling, Hubei Province | | | |
| Phoenix Hill, Huibei Province | | | |
| Fuqing, Fujian Province | | | |
| Fuzhou, Fujian Province | | | |
| *Enterobius vermicularis* | Changsha, Huibei Province | Mummy | 1 |
| Guangzhou, Guangdong Province | | | |
| *Fasciola buski* | Phoenix Hill, Huibei Province | Mummy | 2 |
| | Changsha, Huibei Province | | |
| *Schistosoma japonicum* | Phoenix Hill, Huibei Province | Mummy | 2 |
| | | | |
| *Taenia* sp. | Phoenix Hill, Huibei Province | Mummy | 1 |
| | Xuanquanzhi, Gansu Province | | |
| *Trichuris trichiura* | Changsha, Huibei Province | Mummy | 10 |
| Phoenix Hill, Huibei Province | | | |
| Jintan, Jiangsu Province | | | |
| Guangzhou, Guangdong Province | | | |
| Jiangling, Hubei Province | | | |
| Yangzhou, Jiangsu Province | | | |
| Fuqing, Fujian Province | | | |
| Longyan, Fujian Province | | | |
| Fuzhou, Fujian Province | | | |
| Xuanquanzhi, Gansu Province | | | |
the whole of ancient China have been analyzed for the eggs of intestinal parasites. These 33 individuals are represented by 13 mummies and 20 skeletonized burials. The time period over which these individuals lived and died is very large, over 3,000 years. Therefore, we cannot determine past prevalence of diseases in the way we might with much larger samples. Furthermore, many of the samples came from mummies in sophisticated tombs that would suggest they were the burials of wealthy people. This means the findings from the mummy evidence may not necessarily apply to those of poor members of the population.

The most common parasites found were those of whipworm, roundworm, and Chinese liver fluke. Whipworm was found in 77% of the mummies (n = 10/13) as well as on the personal hygiene sticks excavated from the latrine at Xuanquanzhi in Gansu Province (111 BCE-CE 109). Roundworm was found in the pelvic soil of 25% of burials (n = 5/20) dating from the Spring and Autumn period from Zhengzhou in Henan Province. It was also identified on the Xuanquanzhi latrine sticks, and in 62% (n = 8/13) mummies from across all times periods. Chinese liver fluke eggs were recovered from 46% of the mummies (n = 6/13) and the Xuanquanzhi latrine. As whipworm and roundworm are spread by fecal contamination of food, possible explanations for their widespread presence are that in ancient China hands were not washed regularly, that drinking water was contaminated by human feces, or that human feces were being used as a crop fertilizer [17,18].

Other species of intestinal parasites seem to have been much less common. Both the flukes *F. buski* and *S. japonicum* were found in just 15% of mummies (n = 2/13), while pinworm (*E. vermicularis*) and *Taenia* sp. tapeworm were found in just 8% of mummies (n = 1/13). *Taenia* sp. was also found on the personal hygiene sticks from the latrine at Xuanquanzhi. If the limited sample we have in this study is in any way representative of the wider population, this would suggest that far fewer people in ancient China might have suffered with these helminths than was the case for whipworm, roundworm, and Chinese liver fluke.

Geographical variation is more of a challenge to assess, as the locations where parasites have been studied are not evenly spread across ancient China. As we can see from our map (Fig. 4), the vast majority of samples came from eastern and southern China. Only 1 sample, the Xuanquanzhi latrine, was in northwest China. This leaves the northeast, north, center, west, and southwest of this huge region devoid of evidence.

It is interesting that 46% of the 13 mummies were infected with Chinese liver fluke. All the mummies were recovered from regions of China that are lush and well-watered by the
Yellow, Yangtze, and Pearl River networks. Chinese liver fluke is found today just in eastern and southern China and Korea, where the life cycle of the parasite can be completed in the local water snails and freshwater fish. It is contracted if a person eats raw infected freshwater fish [19,20]. The mummies were almost all from the areas of eastern and southern China where we would expect to find the Chinese liver fluke.

In the 20th century, it was found that the region of China with the highest prevalence of Chinese liver fluke was Guangdong Province, with a prevalence of just 1.82% between 1988-1992, and 0.365% for the whole country [21]. Since 46% of the ancient mummies were infected, this would suggest either that these mummies were not representative of the population in which they lived, or that there has been a dramatic fall in the prevalence of Chinese liver fluke over this time. If there has been a genuine drop in liver fluke infection, we should ask ourselves if this might be due to advances in medical treatments, hygiene, toilets, and health education over this time. However, in the same 20th century survey, the prevalence of roundworm was 47% in China [21]. This is not that dissimilar to the 62% of ancient mummies infected by roundworm. This data suggests that while roundworm remained common in China for many centuries up to and including the late 20th century, Chinese liver fluke may have been much more common over the last 2,000 years than it was in the late 20th century. It seems unlikely that this change in prevalence for the liver fluke, but not roundworm, could be that this indicates either a change in the way freshwater fish was processed or eaten by Chinese people in the late 20th century. It would be expected to find the Chinese liver fluke.

The DNA of the Chinese liver fluke from the Phoenix Hill mummy (167 BCE) has been the focus of research. Samples from the gall bladder underwent genomic analysis, and the internal transcribed spacers 1 and 2 (ITS1 and ITS2) in ribosomal genes were sequenced. It was found that while ITS2 sequences were identical to modern strains of Chinese liver fluke, the ITS2 sequence differed by 15 nucleotides. It was proposed that this indicates the parasite has evolved over the last 2,000 years [22].

Taenia sp. tapeworm eggs of different species appear very similar on microscopy, so without DNA analysis, it is not possible to distinguish Taenia saginata contracted from eating beef, from Taenia solium and Taenia asiatica contracted from eating pork. However, written records from ancient China show that pork was widely eaten, and that beef was rare in the diet as cattle were used for their strength pulling farm machinery [23,24]. This might suggest that the infections noted were likely to have been due to either T. asiatica or T. solium, and not T. saginata. Texts written on Han Dynasty bamboo slips have described how it was common for pigs to be reared in captive environments where they would not be able to violate laws by disrupting crops [25]. Pig-shaped burial goods from a tomb dating from the Han Dynasty serves as an indication of the extent to which the pig was an important resource as a food product and as part of daily life in the period [26].

Chinese communities started to consume animal viscera in the period from the 11th century BCE to 221 BCE, in the Zhou Dynasty. The Book of Rites, a compilation of written accounts relating to aspects of conduct in society and to the ceremonial rights of the Zhou Dynasty, recorded that the roasted liver of a dog was considered to be a delicacy [27]. A noteworthy discovery was the excavation of Mawangdui in central China. This excavation explored the burial places of elites dating from the Han Dynasty, and it gained widespread fame as a result of the recovery of an excellently preserved mummified female body. Numerous burial items of considerable value included models of animal viscera, including liver, stomach, lungs, and spleen [28,29]. The Book of Han (dating from CE 32-92) also referred to the consumption of sliced liver, bacon, incompatably cooked and roasted animal products, and dog and pig viscera [23,30]. These references are an indication of the widespread nature of these dietary habits over this period. In light of this, the identification of Taenia sp. tapeworm eggs in the Xuanquanzhi latrine and a Han dynasty mummy potentially reflect the well documented dietary practices of consuming raw pig viscera.

The Silk Road was a well-known long distance transport route that came to prominence during the Han dynasty (206/202 BCE-CE 220). It connected eastern China with central Asia, the Middle East, and Europe, and in its entirety was about 7,000 km in length [2,31]. It has been proposed to have acted as a route via which diseases, such as bubonic plague, anthrax, and leprosy might have spread between Asia and Europe [32-34]. However, the evidence supporting this hypothesis is based
upon genetic similarity between bacterial strains in East Asia and Europe [35,36]. It remained unknown whether transmis-
sion was along the Silk Road itself, or to the north via Mongo-
lia and Russia, or to the south via India. Past research using
parasites as markers of migration to and from Asia include
movement between Europe and the Middle East in the 15th
century AD, and between China and America in the 19th cen-
tury [37,38]. A study of the latrine at Xuanquanzhi relay sta-
tion has for the first time allowed an investigation of an ar-
chaeological site on the Silk Road that was built for travellers.
The presence of Chinese liver fluke on the personal hygiene
sticks in the latrine is an important finding. This relay station
at Dunhuang is located in an arid region of northwest China,
at the eastern end of the Tarim Basin. This basin contains the
Taklamakan Desert, and is far too dry a region for the Chinese
liver fluke to be able to complete its life cycle. Indeed, Dun-
huang is 1,500 km away from any region where the Chinese
liver fluke was endemic in the 20th century [21]. This suggests
that a traveller from the well-watered areas of eastern or sou-
thern China contracted the parasite there, and travelled a huge
distance along the Silk Road before using the latrine at Xuan-
quanzhi relay station. This provides the first archaeological ev-
dence from along the Silk Road to show that ancient travellers
were moving with their infectious diseases [13].

CONCLUSION

Here we have brought together the available evidence for in-
testinal parasites in ancient China and used this to better un-
derstand the consequences of infection for the people living in
the region. We have been cautious in our interpretation due to
the limited sample size and the long period over which the ar-
chaeological evidence originates. However, we have been able
to draw some fascinating conclusions. Seven species of intesti-
nal parasites have been identified in ancient China, but it was
found that whipworm, roundworm, and Chinese liver fluke
seem to have been by far the most common. Indeed, around a
half to 3/4 of Chinese mummies so far studied have been in-
fected by these parasites. While whipworm and roundworm
remained common in Chinese people in the late 20th century,
Chinese liver fluke had become much less common by then.
This might suggest a reduction in the amount of raw freshwa-
ter fish eaten over time, or pollution in modern Chinese rivers.
We have also seen evidence for the migration of people along
the Silk Road with their parasites 2,000 years ago, with the dis-
covery of Chinese liver fluke in a latrine in northwest China
1,500 km outside its endemic area. It is hoped that in the fu-
ture Chinese archaeologists will start to sample the contents of
latrines at the time of their excavation, so that we can increase
our evidence base and enable us to create a much more reliable
interpretation of intestinal parasite infection in past Chi-
nese populations.
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