Extracellular vimentin is an attachment factor that facilitates SARS-CoV-2 entry into human endothelial cells
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SARS-CoV-2 entry into host cells is a crucial step for virus tropism, transmission, and pathogenesis. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is recognized as the primary entry receptor for SARS-CoV-2; however, the possible involvement of other cellular components in the viral entry has not yet been fully elucidated. Here we describe the identification of vimentin (VIM), an intermediate filament protein widely expressed in cells of mesenchymal origin, as an important attachment factor for SARS-CoV-2 on human endothelial cells. Using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, we identified VIM as a protein that binds to the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein. We showed that the S-protein receptor binding domain (RBD) is sufficient for S-protein interaction with VIM. Further analysis revealed that extracellular VIM binds to SARS-CoV-2 S-protein and facilitates SARS-CoV-2 infection, as determined by entry assays performed with pseudotyped viruses expressing S and with infectious SARS-CoV-2. Coexpression of VIM with ACE2 increased SARS-CoV-2 entry in HEK-293 cells, and shRNA-mediated knockdown of VIM significantly reduced SARS-CoV-2 infection of human endothelial cells. Moreover, incubation of A549 cells expressing ACE2 with purified VIM increased pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2-S entry. CR3022 antibody, which recognizes a distinct epitope on SARS-CoV-2-S-RBD without interfering with the binding of the spike with ACE2, inhibited the binding of VIM with CoV-2 S-RBD, and neutralized viral entry in human endothelial cells, suggesting a key role for VIM in SARS-CoV-2 entry in endothelial cells. This work provides insight into the pathogenesis of COVID-19 linked to the vascular system, with implications for the development of therapeutics and vaccines.
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Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the causative agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (1). SARS-CoV-2 binds to host receptors and attachment factors through its spike (S) glycoprotein and mediates membrane fusion and viral entry (2, 3). Although infection of cells along the respiratory tract was almost immediately defined as an important hallmark of the disease, the SARS-CoV-2 virus has also been detected not only in the lungs, but also in the cardiovascular system, brain, liver, kidneys, and intestine (4–7). Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is recognized as an important receptor for SARS-CoV-2 (1, 2). However, recent single-cell sequencing studies have demonstrated that ACE2 expression is relatively high in upper respiratory cells but low in the lower respiratory tract (8–12), and this result coupled with the identification of multiple other factors—including AXL receptor tyrosine kinase (11), Neuroriplin-1 (13), CD209L, L-SIGN, CD209/DC-SIGN (14), and heparin sulfate (15)—as additional potential receptors or coreceptors for SARS-CoV-2, suggest that multiple receptors/coreceptors may facilitate SARS-CoV-2 entry in a cell type–dependent manner. Therefore, variations in the mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 entry could account for its robust tropism, transmission, and pathogenesis. To search for unidentified receptors involved in SARS-CoV-2 entry into endothelial cells, we used SARS-CoV-2 S-receptor binding domain (S-RBD) as bait and whole-cell lysate (WCL) of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC–TERT) as a source for prey proteins followed by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis of proteins identified as the primary entry receptor for SARS-CoV-2. Our analysis identified vimentin (VIM) as a SARS-CoV-2 binding protein. Further biochemical and cell culture studies using pseudotyped viruses expressing SARS-CoV-2 S-protein or infectious SARS-CoV-2 demonstrated that VIM interacts with both SARS-CoV-2 S and ACE2 and acts as a coreceptor for SARS-CoV-2.

VIM is a type III intermediate filament protein and is widely expressed in cells of mesenchymal origin, such as endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and monocytes (16). In addition to its key role in intermediate filament formation, VIM is also present at the extracellular surface of endothelial cells and macrophages.

Significance

Human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is the most widely known entry receptor for SARS-CoV-2. The possible involvement of other cellular components in viral entry mechanisms remains unknown. Vimentin is expressed in human endothelial cells, binds to SARS-CoV-2-spike, and expedites SARS-CoV-2 entry. Treatment of lung ACE2/A549 carcinoma cells with purified vimentin or coculture of ACE2/A549 cells with HEK-293 cells expressing vimentin increased ACE2-dependent viral entry. CR3022 antibody blocked vimentin interaction with SARS-CoV-2-spike and inhibited SARS-CoV-2 entry. Vimentin could facilitate SARS-CoV-2 infection and contribute to vascular complications associated with COVID-19.
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Identification of VIM as a SARS-CoV-2 S-Binding Protein. SARS-CoV-2 infects both epithelial and mesenchymal cell types. However, the mechanisms by which SARS-CoV-2 infects mesenchymal cells, and the potential involvement of mediating factors, remain unknown. To identify mesenchymal cell-specific attachment factors or entry receptors for SARS-CoV-2, we employed SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD as bait and then used LC-MS/MS to analyze the proteins immunoprecipitated from HUVEC-TERT (Fig. 1A). VIM was identified as a candidate SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD binding protein (Fig. 1B). VIM is a 57-kDa intermediate filament protein expressed on multiple cell types with mesenchymal origin, including endothelial cells, fibroblasts, leukocytes, and others (25, 26). We confirmed the expression of VIM in HUVEC-TERT cells via Western blot analysis (Fig. 1C). Moreover, we showed that VIM is expressed in human pulmonary arterioles (Fig. 1D). Additional analysis of VIM expression in human lung tissue via publicly available single-cell RNA-sequence data (27) showed that VIM is highly expressed in human lung endothelial cells, macrophages, T cells, and granulocytes (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). VIM is present at low levels in (type 1 and 2) and fibroblasts (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). We also analyzed ACE2 expression for comparison. Consistent with the previous report (10), ACE2 is present only at relatively low levels in alveoli type 2 cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). Moreover, we also examined expression of ACE2 in human lung tissue via immunofluorescence staining. We did not convincingly detect ACE2 in endothelial cells (only a faint staining was observed) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2).

To assess the binding of VIM to SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD, we used WCL from HUVEC-TERT cells and an anti-VIM antibody for immunoprecipitation, followed by Western blot analysis. The result demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD binds to VIM endogenously expressed in HUVEC-TERT cells (Fig. 1E). In an additional approach, we ectopically expressed VIM in HEK-293 cells and showed that SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD interacted with VIM overexpressed in HEK-293 cells (Fig. 1F). These data demonstrate that VIM binds to SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD, suggesting that it may facilitate SARS-CoV-2 entry.

VIM Intermediate Filament Protein Is Present at the Extracellular Surface and Acts as an Attachment Factor for SARS-CoV-2. VIM intermediate filaments are present within the cytoplasm and the on the extracellular surface of cells (19, 28). We confirmed
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Identification of VIM as a SARS-CoV-2 S binding protein. (A) Schematic of SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD extended with a HIS and STRP. WCL from HUVEC-TERT cells were incubated with S-RBD-HIS-STRP-Ni-IMAG beads or Ni-IMAG beads alone and the captured proteins were resolved on SDS/PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. The protein bands were cut out, subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin, and the resulting peptide mixture was analyzed by LC-MS/MS. (B) HCD MS/MS spectrum is assigned to the C-terminal peptide of VIM, \(^{319-529}\)QVINEQSQRHDDL, precursor \([M+H]^+\) calc. 612.9374 obs. 612.9374. Symbols: \(b_i\), N-terminal fragments; \(y_i\), C-terminal fragments. Peptide assignments were made by comparison to the Uniprot human protein database plus the sequence for the recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S-protein, using the Andromeda search engine with MaxQuant v1.6.14, and were checked manually. Seven VIM peptides, representing 14.4% of the protein amino acid sequence, were assigned with high confidence in the LC-MS/MS dataset. (C) Western blot analysis of VIM expression in HUVEC-TERT and control HEK-293 cells. The same membrane was blotted for GAPDH as a protein loading control. (D) IP blot: Vimentin/CD31 stained with DAPI. (E) Blot: Vimentin. EV/HEK-293: VIM-Myc/HEK-293: + + + + + + + +. WCL: Control: - - - - - - - -.
the extracellular expression of VIM via ectopic expression of mCherry-VIM or VIM-Myc in HEK-293 cells. Live imaging of cells showed that mCherry-VIM is present both within and on the extracellular space of HEK-293 cells (Fig. 2A). A confocal microscopy analysis of VIM-Myc/HEK-293 cells also showed VIM containing intermediate filaments in the cytoplasm and membranous VIM (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, we collected conditioned media (CM) from HEK-293 cells expressing mCherry-VIM (cells were washed in media with low pH to remove the proteins that noncovalently are attached to the extracellular surface of HEK-293 cells), and after concentration, it was subjected to Western blot analysis. VIM was detected in the CM of HEK-293 cells expressing mCherry-VIM (Fig. 2C). In an alternative strategy, we also assessed the presence of extracellular VIM in HEK-293 cells expressing VIM-Myc via a cell surface biotinylation assay. VIM was found biotinylated in VIM/HEK-293 cells (Fig. 2D).

Next, we asked whether HEK-293 cells ectopically expressing VIM are permissive to infection with SARS-CoV-2 S pseudotyped virus as compared to HEK-293 cells expressing ACE2. The result showed that the expression of VIM in HEK-293 cells had a small effect on viral entry using luciferase (Fig. 2E) and GFP-based entry assays (Fig. 2F). Additionally, we subjected these cell lines to SARS-CoV-2 infection assay. Similarly, HEK-293 cells expressing VIM showed no significant increase in viral entry compared to HEK-293 cells expressing ACE2 (Fig. 2G). Then, we asked whether VIM could function as a coreceptor for SARS-CoV-2. To address this question, we used HEK-293 cells expressing ACE2 alone, VIM alone, or ACE2 and VIM together (Fig. 3A). We subjected these cells to S pseudotyped entry assays. The results demonstrated that expression of VIM with ACE2 significantly increased SARS-CoV-2 S-mediated entry in HEK-293 cells (P < 0.01) (Fig. 3B).

Next, we asked whether treatment of ACE2/HEK-293 cells with the extracellular VIM can increase SARS-CoV-2 entry. We collected CM containing cell surface proteins (i.e., cells were washed in media with low pH to remove the proteins noncovalently attached to the cell surface) from HEK-293 cells expressing empty vector (EV, control) or a plasmid expressing VIM. Western blot analysis confirmed the presence of VIM in the CM (Fig. 3C). The CM was concentrated and used in pseudotyped virus entry assays. Treatment of HEK-293 cells with CM containing VIM (VIM CM) had only a minor effect on viral entry. However, incubation of ACE2/HEK-293 cells with VIM CM markedly increased viral entry compared to ACE2/HEK cells in the absence of VIM CM (Fig. 3D). To make sure

Fig. 2. VIM intermediate filament is present on the extracellular surface and acts as an attachment factor for SARS-CoV-2. (A) HEK-293 cells were transfected with plasmids expressing mCherry or VIM-mCherry. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were fixed and the slides were viewed under a fluorescence microscope. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). (Scale bars, 50 μm.) (B) HEK-293 cells expressing VIM were stained with an anti-VIM antibody and DAPI. The slides were viewed under a confocal microscope. (Scale bar, 50 μm. Inset, 50 μm.) (C) CM from HEK-293 cells transfected with an EV or a plasmid expressing VIM-mCherry were concentrated, resolved by SDS/PAGE, and subjected to Western blot analysis using an anti-VIM antibody. (D) HEK-293 cells expressing EV or VIM-Myc were subjected to cell surface biotinylation. Cells were lysed and cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-VIM antibody followed by Western blot analysis using Streptavidin antibody. The same membrane was stripped off the antibody and reblotted with anti-Myc antibody. (E) HEK-293 cells expressing EV, VIM or ACE2 were plated in 96-well plates (2.5 x 10^5 cells per well, five wells per group). After overnight incubation, cells were treated with mock or SARS-CoV-2 S pseudovirus-luciferase activity was measured after additional 24 h. (F) HEK-293 cells expressing EV, VIM, or ACE2 were plated in 96-well plates as described for C. Cells were treated with mock or SARS-CoV-2 S pseudovirus-GFP. After 48 h, cells were subjected to live cell imaging and pictures were taken from each well from random fields. GFP+ cells were counted. Graph is quantification of GFP+ cells (five wells per group). (G) HEK-293 cells expressing EV, VIM-Myc, or ACE2 were seeded in 96-well plates (triplicate per group) and infected with SARS-CoV-2-mNG at an MOI of 2. After 24 h, cells were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin followed by staining with DAPI. Cells were viewed under a Nikon deconvolution fluorescence microscope and pictures were taken from random fields. Graph shows quantification of SARS-CoV-2-mNG+ cells (triplicates well per group, from two independent experiments). (Scale bars, 50 μm.)
that VIM is responsible for increase in viral entry in the CM derived from HEK-293 expressing VIM, we purified VIM via affinity purification (Fig. 3E). Moreover, we generated a lung carcinoma cell line A549 expressing ACE2 (Fig. 3F). Treatment of ACE2/A549 cells with VIM increased the S pseudovirus-luc. After 24 h, cells were lysed and luciferase activity was measured. (C) Western blot analysis of CM from control HEK-293 cells or HEK-293 cells expressing VIM. (D) HEK-293 cells expressing EV or ACE2 were seeded in 96-well plates (2.5 × 10^5 cells per well, five wells per group). Cells were treated with control CM (Ctr. CM) or CM from HEK-293 cells expressing VIM. After 1-h incubation, the media was removed and the cells were subjected to virus entry assay using SARS-CoV-2 S pseudovirus-luc. After 24 h, cells were lysed and luciferase activity was determined. cells expressing EV or VIM or ACE2 were plated in 96-well plates (2.5 × 10^5 cells per well, five wells per group). After overnight incubation, cells were preincubated with purified VIM (1 or 2 μg per well) or the control vehicle (BSA). After 30 min, cells were washed with PBS to remove the unbound VIM. Cells then were treated with mock or SARS-CoV-2 S pseudovirus-luc and luciferase activity was measured after an additional 24 h. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; n.s., not significant.

VIM binds to a Distinct Epitope on SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD and Increases the Binding of SARS-CoV-2 S to ACE2. We investigated the hypothesis that VIM interacts with ACE2 and increases the binding of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein with ACE2. To test this hypothesis, we asked whether VIM colocalizes with ACE2 in HEK-293 cells coexpressing VIM with ACE2. Our analysis showed that ACE2 is present in the membranous regions, particularly in cell–cell contact areas (Fig. 4A). VIM filaments are present at the cytoplasmic and extracellular compartments and colocalize with ACE2 at the cell–cell contact regions. Next, we performed a coimmunoprecipitation assay using lysates from HEK-293 cells coexpressing VIM and ACE2. The result showed that VIM was coimmunoprecipitated with ACE2 (Fig. 4B). Moreover, affinity-purified VIM also interacted with ACE2 expressed in HEK-293 cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Next, we tested whether the binding of VIM to ACE2 could increase the ACE2 binding with SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. We performed dot blot assays where the cell lysates from HEK-293 cells express EV, VIM, ACE2 alone, or were coexpressed VIM with ACE2. After blocking with bovine serum albumin (BSA), the membrane was incubated with purified CoV-2 S-RBD streptavidin-binding peptide (STRP)-HIS protein and the binding of CoV-2 S-RBD-STRP-HIS with VIM and ACE2 was detected with anti-HIS antibody. The result showed that S-RBD-STRP-HIS protein bound more strongly to cell lysate derived from HEK-293 cells coexpressing VIM and ACE2 (Fig. 4C). Additionally, we used purified recombinant soluble ACE2 protein and measured the binding of S-RBD with ACE2 with or without VIM via ELISA. The result showed that the binding of S-RBD to ACE2 markedly increased when it was combined with VIM (Fig. 4D). This observation also suggests that the S-RBD site for binding with VIM is different from the S-RBD site for ACE2.

VIM Binds to a Distinct Motif on SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD, Acts as an Attachment Factor for SARS-CoV-2, and Controls ACE2-Dependent Viral Entry. To map the potential interaction between SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD and VIM, we used a competition-based assay with antibodies with known molecular footprints on the RBD, namely CR3022 (29) and S309 (30). CR3022 binds the RBD outside receptor binding motif (RBM) within the RBD and
does not directly compete with ACE2 (31) (Fig. 5A). S309 antibody also binds to RBD domain outside the RBM but it interacts with a conserved proteoglycan epitope and does not clash with ACE2 binding (30) (Fig. 5A). Preincubation of SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD with CR3022 but not with S309 decreased the binding of SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD with VIM, but not with ACE2 in Western blot analysis (Fig. 5B). This observation suggests that S-RBD uses two distinct motifs within RBM to interact with ACE2 and ACE2 (Fig. 5C). Next, we questioned whether the CR3022 antibody function as a neutralizing antibody in HUVEC-TERT. To demonstrate the requirement of VIM in the potential neutralizing activity of CR3022, we also knocked down VIM in HUVEC-TERT via short-hairpin RNA (shRNA) (Fig. 5D). Pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2-S and SARS-CoV-2 entry assays showed that preincubation of pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2-S or SARS-CoV-2 with CR3022 antibody inhibits cell entry in the parental HUVEC-TERT cells but not in VIM knocked down HUVEC-TERT cells (Fig. 5E and F). Taken together, our data demonstrate the CR3022 antibody interferes with the binding of SARS-CoV-2-S and can function as a neutralizing antibody in relevant cell types when both VIM and ACE2 are expressed.

To gain further insight into the role of VIM in viral entry, we examined its role in ACE2-dependent SARS-CoV-2 infection. We infected HEK-293 cells expressing VIM alone or coexpressing VIM with ACE2 with SARS-CoV-2. HEK-293 cells coexpressing VIM with ACE2 were infected at a significantly higher rate than the cells expressing ACE2 alone (P = 0.0056, corresponding to two independent experiments, triplicates per group) (Fig. 6A). To address the role of endogenous VIM in facilitating SARS-CoV-2 infection of endothelial cells, we used VIM knocked out HUVEC-TERT cells and assessed SARS-CoV-2 infection rates. Knockdown of VIM significantly reduced infection of HUVEC-TERT cells compared to cells expressing control shRNA (P = 0.0089, two independent experiments, triplicates per group) (Fig. 6B). In conclusion, our data demonstrate that VIM is required for robust infection of endothelial cells by SARS-CoV-2 and suggest that VIM could be a target for preventative or therapeutic intervention.

**Discussion**

In this work, we provide evidence that VIM plays an important functional role in cell entry and infection by SARS-CoV-2. Extracellular VIM binds to SARS-CoV-2-S-RBD and acts as an attachment factor that facilitates viral entry and infection in endothelial cells. Although the lung is the primary target organ of SARS-CoV-2, there is growing indication that, in addition to the lung epithelial cells, SARS-CoV-2 also infects endothelial cells (14, 32). Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 targets multiple other organs, including the cardiovascular system and other tissues, such as kidneys and intestine (34–37). Emerging evidence indicates that endothelial cells are direct targets of SARS-CoV-2 and that they also contribute to the pathogenesis of COVID-19 (7, 14, 32). Infection of endothelial cells, along with the inflammatory response induced by SARS-CoV-2, can lead to the activation of endothelial cells, inducing a proinflammatory and procoagulant state (38). Endothelial cell activation/dysfunction has been proposed to contribute to the pathology of COVID-19, ranging from vascular thrombosis (i.e., formation of a blood clot within a blood vessel), occlusion of alveolar capillaries, and altered angiogenesis to neurological symptoms (7, 14, 39). SARS-CoV-2–induced endothelial injury, along with other changes, contributes normally anticoagulant endothelial cells to a procoagulant phenotype (40), leading to microvascular thrombosis and coagulopathy, and up-regulation of von Willebrand factor, all of which are clinical hallmarks of severe COVID-19 (41–43).
In the present study, we found that SARS-CoV-2 uses VIM as an attachment factor for entry into human endothelial cells. This conclusion is supported by a recently published report (posted in bioRxiv) on the involvement of VIM in SARS-CoV-2 infection showing that treatment with an anti-VIM antibody can block infection of HEK-293 cells expressing ACE2 (44). Our data suggest that SARS-CoV-2 S may bind both VIM and ACE2; VIM may serve as a bridge for S binding to ACE2, and this tertiary interaction enhances viral entry into human cells. Overexpression of VIM in HEK-293 cells only moderately enhanced SARS-CoV-2 infection, but either coexpression of VIM with ACE2 or treatment of HEK-293 cells expressing ACE2 with CM containing extracellular VIM significantly increased the level of infection of HEK-293 cells. Interestingly, the interaction site for the binding of S-RBD with VIM seems to differ from the location for its binding with ACE2. In two different assay systems (dot blot and ELISAs), VIM increased S-RBD binding with ACE2 (i.e., if the binding sites on S-RBD were the same for VIM and ACE2, increasing the amount of VIM would be expected to inhibit binding of S-RBD to ACE2).

Our data show that the CR3022 antibody recognizing an epitope on S-RBD not involved with ACE2 (31), also inhibited binding of SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD with VIM and inhibited viral entry in endothelial cells. The CR3022 antibody was originally identified from a convalescent plasma of SARS patient and is a neutralizing antibody that binds S-RBD of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (31, 45) without interfering with spike binding with ACE2 (31). Our findings suggest that the neutralizing activity of the CR3022 antibody may be associated with blocking interaction with VIM, thereby inhibiting viral entry. However, previous studies demonstrated that treatment of kidney epithelial cells from the African green monkey cells (Vero cells), which is reported to reduce SARS-CoV-2 infection (31). Our previous study demonstrated that knockdown of ACE2 in endothelial cells only partially reduces SARS-CoV-2 infection (14), whereas knockdown of VIM in the same cells significantly reduces SARS-CoV-2 infection. This finding suggests that VIM may also interact with one or more other (as yet unidentified) receptors to mediate SARS-CoV-2 infection. Establishing the full range of the involvement of VIM in viral entry and infection will require further investigations.

VIM is widely expressed in human cells with mesenchymal origin, including endothelial cells, fibroblasts, macrophages, melanocytes, and lymphocytes. Additionally, VIM is expressed in alveolar type 2 cells and nasal goblet secretory cells, which are also positive for ACE2 (47, 48), and its expression is
up-regulated in response to viral infection and inflammatory stimuli (49, 50), suggesting a potential role for VIM in infection of nasal and lung epithelial cells. In addition to its participation in infection, VIM also plays a critical role in lung inflammation and fibrosis through interaction with NLRP3 (NACHT, LRR, and PYD domains-containing protein 3) (51). Expression of ACE2 in endothelial cells (52) and lung alveolar cells is relatively low (SI Appendix, Figs. S1 and S2), but VIM as an attachment factor produced by mesenchymal cells (e.g., endothelial and fibroblasts) (53), could enhance the SARS-CoV-2 entry in both respiratory cells via cis- and trans-infection (44). Consistent with this idea, coculture of VIM/HEK-293 cells with ACE2/HEK-293 cells increased viral entry (Fig. 3). Taken together, the observations we present may lead to the development of new antiviral therapeutics combining therapies that inhibit interactions of both ACE2 and VIM with SARS-CoV-2.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies, Plasmids, and shRNAs. Anti-VIM antibody (cat# D21H3), anti-ACE2 antibody (Cat # 4355), and His-Tag antibody (cat# D3I1O) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. VIM shRNA (cat# sc-29522) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The shRNA plasmids used in this study are a pool of three to five lentiviral vector plasmids, each of which encodes a target sequence derived from the literature (30, 31). Sequences were codon optimized and synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies). Variable heavy- and light-chain fragments were cloned into pVRC constructs, as previously described (55). The IgG heavy-chain vector contained an HRV 3C-cleavable 8×His and SBP tags. HEK239F cells (ThermoFisher) were transfected per the manufacturer’s protocol. Transfections were harvested after 5 d, centrifuged for clarification, and purified using immobilized metal affinity chromatography via the 8×His tag with Cobalt-TALON resin (Takara) and eluted with imidazole followed with size-exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) in PBS.

Propagation of SARS-CoV-2-mNG. Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 expressing mNeon-Green (SARS-CoV-2-mNG) was kindly provided by Pey-Yong Shi, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, and the World Reference Center for Emerging Viruses and Arboviruses (56). This virus is based on SARS-CoV-2 isolate USA_WA1_2020. SARS-CoV-2-mNG stocks were grown in Vero E6 cells and virus titers were determined by tissue culture infectious dose 50 (TCID50) assay, as described previously (57). All work with SARS-CoV-2-mNG was performed in the biosafety level (BSL)-4 facility of the National Emerging Infectious Diseases Laboratories at Boston University following approved standard operating procedures.

Infection of Cells with SARS-CoV-2 and Microscopic Analysis. Cells (5 × 10^4 per well) were seeded in 96-well plates. The next day, cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2-mNG at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 2. Generation of the SARS-CoV-2-mNG clone is as previously described (56). mNG is a tetrameric fluorescent protein derived from the cephalochordate Branchiostoma lanceolatum (58). One day postinfection, the cells were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for at least 6 h at 4 °C and removed from the BSL-4 laboratory. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) at 200 ng/mL diluted in blocking reagent (2% BSA, 0.2% Tween 20, 3% glycerin, and 0.05% NaN3 in PBS.)
PBS for 1 h at room temperature in a light-protected box. Cells were washed with PBS and stored in PBS at 4°C prior to imaging. Images of cells were acquired using a Nikon deconvolution microscope (20x magnification) equipped with camera.
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Extracellular vimentin is an attachment factor that facilitates SARS-CoV-2 entry into human endothelial cells