Helical root chondrocutaneous composite graft for nasal reconstruction: Two case reports
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ABSTRACT

Traumatic loss of any aesthetic subunit of the nose poses a reconstructive challenge for the plastic surgeon. Different surgical techniques are discussed in the literature, ranging from primary closure to free flap reconstruction for complex defects. Due to their cylindrical layered morphology and peripheral location; columella and alar margin are difficult to reconstruct. The Helical root chondrocutaneous composite graft is a single-stage procedure that can be used to restore the lost part of these aesthetic subunit of the nose. We present 2 cases, the first case is about a 3 year old child with prolonged use of nasal CPAP in the NICU resulted in columellar necrosis, and the second case for a 28 year old female patient with history of a childhood post nasal trauma alar rim defect, both cases were reconstructed successfully with helical root chondrocutaneous composite grafts. We provide a thorough explanation of the composite graft design and operative technique. Helical root chondrocutaneous composite graft can produce desirable aesthetic outcomes with minimal donor site morbidity and should be considered in patients presenting with traumatic or iatrogenic loss of the columella and alar margin.
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INTRODUCTION

The fragile alar rims and columella are complex structures in which specialized and supportive skin ensures the competence of the external valves and the patency of the inlets to the nasal airways, causative factors for their loss can be post traumatic, post excisional (malignancy) and post infection.

From reconstructive standpoint; alar margin and columellar defects are more distinct than other nasal subunits by their peripheral location and cylindrical layered morphology, it’s usually difficult to ensure a good aesthetic outcome provided that most of reconstructive options involve scarring in a visible area of the face.

The first description of composite grafts for nasal reconstruction dates back to Konig in 1902.

Composite grafts for nasal reconstruction have been described in many literatures especially it is used as one stage reconstruction.

CASE REPORTS

The first case is about a male child presented to our clinic with prolonged use of nasal CPAP in the NICU during neonatal period resulted in columellar necrosis (Fig. 1).

On examination there was total loss of columella and anterior nasal septum.
Looking at the site and size of the defect, a plan was made to reconstruct the defect in a single stage operation, using a composite chondrocutaneous graft taken from the ear root of the helix (Figs. 2a – 2c).

The composite graft was sutured to recipient defect after recipient bed preparation, donor site was closed primarily.

The take of composite graft was adequate with good correction of defect and donor site healed completely with minimal donor site scarring (Figs. 3 and 3b).

The second case is 28 years old female patient with history of a childhood post nasal trauma left alar rim defect (Figs. 4a – 4c).

Also planning was to restore alar rim margin using chondrocutaneous composite graft from ear,root of the helix (Figs. 5a – 5c).

The take of composite graft was excellent and restoration of alar rim with good contour, color and texture and the donor site healed with no significant scarring (Figs 6a and 6b).

**DISCUSSION**

The nose is corner stone in facial appearance and whole body image, restoration of near normal appearance and function are the definitive goals for reconstruction.

The nose is composed of nine aesthetic subunits: two side walls, two ala, two soft triangles, tip, dorsum and columella. From reconstruction stand point this is very important from aesthetic subunit restoration principle [1-4].

Successful surgical strategies for nasal reconstruction are based on accurate analysis of the defect taking into consideration: the size, contour, shape, layers involved and the aesthetic subunits affected.

The reconstructive ladder in nasal defects ranges from: healing by secondary intention and primary closure to skin grafts to local and regional flaps. The peripheral
location of columella and alar rim with its cylindrical layered topography and thin skin carry further burden to reconstruction [1-6].

For reconstruction of nasal columella and ala many literatures described loco regional flaps: nasal sulcus flap, forehead flap and nasolabial flaps, but all will add more scars to face and undesirable aesthetic outcomes.

Composite chondrocutaneous graft was described in many literatures, grafts were harvested from helix margin concha which gives traditional wedge shaped of two skin layers separated by cartilage, in our cases report we harvested composite graft from root of helix which gives composite graft of cartilage covered by skin besides it gives better aesthetic outcomes and hidden donor site scar.

Many authors recommended that composite graft size should not exceed 10 mm in diameter for graft survival as if it exceeds 10 mm success rate decrease, composite graft with more than 15 mm chance of survival less than 50 %, in our cases all composite graft size was less than 10 mm [1,2,3,4, 7-9].

CONCLUSION

Helical root chondrocutaneous composite grafts can produce desirable aesthetic outcomes and should be considered in patients presenting with traumatic or iatrogenic loss of columella and alar rim.
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