\textbf{\gamma \text{-ray Flaring Emission in Blazar OJ287 Located in the Jet \ensuremath{\gtrsim} 14 pc from the Black Hole}

1. Introduction

The Large Area Telescope (LAT) onboard the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope has sufficient sensitivity to study the location of the \gamma\text{-ray emission site in blazars through well-sampled light curves that allow detailed studies of the timing of \gamma\text{-ray flares relative to those at other spectral ranges [e.g., Abdo et al. 2010],] and Jorstad et al. 2010. The technique developed by Marscher et al. 2010 and Jorstad et al. 2010 uses ultra-high angular-resolution monitoring with VLBI to resolve the innermost jet regions and monitor changes in jet structure. Monthly observations, provide time sequences of total and polarized intensity images of the parsec-scale jet that can be related to variations of the flux and polarization at higher frequencies. In Agudo et al. 2011a, we employed this technique to investigate the location of the flaring \gamma\text{-ray emission in the BL Lacertae (BL Lac) object OJ287 (z = 0.306). In this paper we reproduce the results in Agudo et al. 2011a. We adopt a cosmology with \ensuremath{H_0=71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}}, \Omega_M = 0.27, and \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73, so that 1 mas corresponds to a projected distance of 4.48 pc, and a proper motion of 1 mas/yr corresponds to a superluminal speed of 19c.

2. Observations

Our polarimetric observations of OJ287 include (1) 7mm VLB images (Fig. 1), mostly from the Boston University blazar monitoring program, (2) 3mm monitoring with the IRAM 30m Telescope, and (3) optical (\textit{R} and \textit{V} band) photo-polarimetric observations from several observatories (Figs. 2 and 3). The optical facilities include Calar Alto (2.2m telescope, observations under the MAPCAT program), Lowell (1.83m Perkins Telescope), St. Petersburg State University (0.4m telescope), and Crimean Astrophysical (0.7m telescope) observatories. To these we add \textit{R}-band polarimetric (and \textit{V}-band photometric) data from Villforth et al. 2010. The total flux light curves analyzed here (see Fig. 2) are from the Fermi-LAT \gamma\text{-ray (0.1–200 GeV)} and Swift X-ray (0.3–10keV) and optical (\textit{V}-band) data available from the archives of these missions, by the Yale University SMARTS program, by the Submillimeter Array (SMA) at 1.3mm and 850\mu m, by the IRAM 30m Telescope at 1.3mm, and by the Mets\r{a}hovi Radio Observatory 14m Telescope at 8mm.

Our data reduction follows: (1) VLBA: Agudo et al. 2007; Jorstad et al. 2003; (2) optical polarimetric data: Jorstad et al. 2010.
3. Flares in the C1 Jet Region at 1 mm and 7 mm

We model the brightness distribution in the 7 mm VLBA images of the source (Fig. 1) with a small number of circular Gaussian components. Our model fits include a bright quasi-stationary feature (C1) ~ 0.2 mas from the innermost jet region (C0, that we identify with the 7 mm core [Agudo et al. 2011a]).

C0 and C1 typically governed the mm-wave evolution of OJ287. In particular, the two most prominent 1 mm flares ever reported in OJ287 (A\text{mm} \text{ and } B\text{mm}) as labeled in Fig. 2 took place in C1, as indicated by the correspondence of events in the 7 mm light curve of this component with those at other millimeter wavelengths.

From the angle of the jet axis to the line of sight in OJ287 [1\degree 9–4\degree 1; see Jorstad et al. 2005, Pushkarev et al. 2009, and the mean projected separation of C1 from C0 at the time of start of A\text{mm} \text{ and } B\text{mm} (0.23 ± 0.01 mas), we estimate that C1 is located > 14 pc downstream of C0, the innermost jet region detected in our images. The actual distance between the central engine in OJ287 and C1 must be even greater if C0 lies downstream of the acceleration and collimation zone of the jet [ACZ; Jorstad et al. 2007, Marscher et al. 2008].

4. \(\gamma\)-Ray Flares

The two most pronounced \(\gamma\)-ray flares in OJ287 (Fig. 2) take place during the initial rising phases of A\text{mm} \text{ and } B\text{mm}. Our discrete correlation function (DCF) study between the \(\gamma\)-ray and 1 mm long-term light curve – see Agudo et al. [2011a] for details – possesses a significant (> 99.7% confidence) peak at a time lag ~ −80 days (\(\gamma\)-ray leading, see Fig. 4). This evidences the correlation between B\(\gamma\) and B\text{mm}, the most luminous \(\gamma\)-ray and 1 mm flares in our data.

The optical light curves (especially V-band; Fig. 2) show two sharp flux increases at essentially zero time lag from A\(\gamma\) and B\(\gamma\).

5. Variability of Linear Polarization

C0 and C1 dominate the evolution of the linear polarization \(p\) and electric vector position angle \(\chi\) at 7 mm in OJ287 (Fig. 3). However, whereas \(p_{C0}\) never exceeds 10%, C1 exhibits the two largest peaks in \(p\) ever observed in OJ287 at 7 mm, \(p_{C1} \approx 14\%\) on 2008 November 4, and \(p_{C1} \approx 22\%\) on 2009 October 16. The first maximum in \(p_{C1}\) follows the peak of A\(\gamma\) by one month, while the second more pronounced polarization event is already in progress when the \(\gamma\)-ray flux of flare B\(\gamma\), rises to a level of \(\sim 4 \times 10^{-7}\) phot cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\).
This coincidence of the strongest γ-ray outburst and exceptionally strong polarization in C1 identifies this feature > 14 pc from the central engine as the site of the variable γ-ray emission.

The optical polarization peaks at essentially the same time as \( p_{C1} \) at 7 mm during flare Bγ. During both Aγ and Bγ, \( p_{opt} \approx 35\% \), which requires a well-ordered magnetic field. However, comparable optical polarization levels also occur at other times. The shorter time scale and larger amplitude of variability of optical polarization, as compared with those at millimeter wavelengths, is consistent with frequency dependence in the turbulence model of Marscher & Jorstad [2010].

The optical and mm-wave linear polarization position angle is stable at \( \chi \approx 160^\circ - 170^\circ \) (−20\(^\circ\) to −10\(^\circ\))—similar to the structural position angle of the inner jet—both near Aγ and Bγ and throughout most of the monitoring period. The corresponding direction of the magnetic field is transverse to the direction between features C0 and C1.

This coincidence of the strongest γ-ray outburst and exceptionally strong polarization in C1 identifies this feature > 14 pc from the central engine as the site of the variable γ-ray emission.

Figure 2: Light curves of OJ287 from mm-wave to γ-ray frequencies. The vertical lines denote the times of peak γ-ray flux of Aγ and Bγ. RJD = Julian Date − 2400000.0.

The optical and mm-wave linear polarization of OJ287 as a function of time. The optical data includes R-band and 5000–7000 Å observations. The similarity of the integrated linear polarization at 7 mm and 3 mm also allows us to combine them. Vertical lines are as in Fig. 2.

Figure 3: Optical and mm-wave linear polarization of OJ287 as a function of time. The optical data includes R-band and 5000–7000 Å observations. The similarity of the integrated linear polarization at 7 mm and 3 mm also allows us to combine them. Vertical lines are as in Fig. 2.

Figure 4: Discrete cross-correlation function between the γ-ray and 1 mm light curves of OJ287 (red points). The dotted curves at positive (negative) DCF values denote 99.7% confidence limits for correlation rather than stochastic variability (see Agudo et al. [2011a] for details).

6. Discussion and Conclusions

The two 0.1–200 GeV flares in OJ287 allow us to assess the correspondence between γ-ray and lower-frequency variations. We find that two kinds of events at millimeter wavelengths are related to these γ-ray outbursts at high significance: (1) the early, rising
phases of the two most luminous 1 mm flares ever detected in this blazar, ($A_{\text{mm}}$ and $B_{\text{mm}}$); and (2) two sharp increases to unprecedented levels of linear polarization ($\sim 14\%$ and $\sim 22\%$) in bright jet feature C1 $> 14$ pc from the central engine. These events also coincide with: (3) two sharp optical flares; (4) two peaks in optical polarization of $\sim 35\%$; and (5) the similarity of optical and mm-wave polarization position angle both during and between the flares at $\chi \approx 160^\circ$-$170^\circ$.

The exceptionally high polarization of C1 during $\gamma$-ray flare B$_\gamma$ provides extremely strong evidence that the event occurred in C1. The $\gamma$-ray IC emission arises, in principle, from either the synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) process or IC scattering of infrared radiation from a hot, dusty torus of size $\sim 10$ pc (IC/dust). IC scattering from the broad line region is not possible given the long distance of the $\gamma$-ray dissipation region that we measure. An SSC model is possible given the low ratio of $\gamma$-ray to synchrotron luminosity ($\approx 2$ in OJ287, see [Agudo et al. 2011a]). However, infrared emission from the dusty torus has not been detected thus far in BL Lac such as OJ287, as far as the authors know. We thus favor the SSC mechanism (see [Agudo et al. 2011a] for further arguments).

The observed behavior agrees with a scenario in which the optical and $\gamma$-ray flares are produced in C1 by particle acceleration in a moving blob when it crosses a standing shock well beyond the ACZ (see [Agudo et al. 2011a] for a sketch). D’Arcangelo et al. [2007] have associated the innermost mm-wave jet emission feature with a recollimation shock at the end of the ACZ. We identify C0 as such a feature, and C1 as a second re-collimation shock, as seen in hydrodynamical simulations [Agudo et al. 2004, Gómez et al. 1997] and often in VLBA images [Jorstad et al. 2005].

The blob is a turbulent plasma disturbance (typical weakness of the observed polarization outside the high polarization peaks) that propagates down the jet with significantly higher relativistic electron density and magnetic field than in the ambient flow. Cawthorne [2006] shows that, in this case, the side of the conical shock nearest to the line of sight can be highly polarized with $\chi$ parallel to the jet axis. The remainder of the conical shock, farther from the line of sight, has much lower polarization. Because of light-travel delays, we first see the blob penetrate the near side, and therefore observe a major increase in polarization. As the outburst develops, more of the emission comes from the low-polarization far side, which decreases $p$ while the mm-wave flux density continues to increase. This is the pattern observed during both flares.

The mm-wave and optical flares start at essentially the same time as the magnetic field and electron energies near the leading edge of the blob become amplified as they pass the standing shock front. The mm-wave flux outburst continues as the relatively low-energy electrons fill the shocked region. The optical and $\gamma$-ray emission, produced by higher-energy electrons that cannot travel far before suffering radiative energy losses, is confined closer to the shock front where particles are accelerated.
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