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Abstract

The paper is devoted to the problems of assessing the effectiveness of digital business transformation. The author reviews the existing approaches to assessment, identifies the most representative methods and develops an integrated approach to evaluating the effectiveness of company digital transformation. This approach involves the use of a number of traditional qualitative and quantitative methods: balanced scorecard, the total cost of ownership, investment analysis methods, etc. Practical aspects of the developed approach application are illustrated on the example of the Russian printing company.
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Introduction

The increasing role of information and knowledge in business, the need to reduce production costs and the rapid development of digital information technologies have created a new type of economy – the digital economy (Andriole, 2017).

The fundamental changes in the sphere of company management, which take place under the influence of the digital economy, have been in the focus of theoretical and practical research for many years. These
changes have led to the emergence of a new economic paradigm in recent decades (Early, 2014). Scholars have explored many aspects of business digital transformation. However, the analysis of literature sources showed that currently there is no method of assessing the effectiveness of digital transformation, which, on the one hand, would be scientifically sound, and on the other hand would be applicable in the practical conditions of developing countries. Existing and applied practice methods of performance evaluation either do not take into account all aspects of the company’s activities, or are resource-intensive and expensive. The use of these methods is impossible without the involvement of consulting firms.

The analysis of publications on the problem of assessing the effectiveness of digital transformation, as well as the practical experience of the author of this work show that in Russia and other developing countries, many top managers do not fully realize the importance of assessing the effectiveness of digitalization, but there is a clear trend of strengthening this understanding. Consequently, in the next few years, the problem of filling existing gaps in evaluation methodologies will become even more significant.

The above facts show the relevance of practical research aimed at improving the methodology for analyzing the effectiveness of investments in digital transformation projects of companies operating in the specific conditions of developing countries.

Analysis of existing approaches to assessing the economic efficiency of company digital transformation

Currently, there are quite a number of methods for evaluating the effectiveness of projects in the field of digital transformation (Westerman, Bonnet, 2015). Table 1 presents a comparative analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the most popular techniques.

| № | Groups of techniques, techniques | Pros | Cons |
|---|---------------------------------|------|------|
| I | Based on cost accounting or minimization (TCO, etc.) | Full accounting of all costs types and their ratio to cost sources | They do not provide an opportunity to fully assess the benefits and advantages of integrating digital technologies into business. |
| II | Investment analysis techniques | Detailed and most reliable construction of the cash flow scheme, which characterizes the project profitability. | There is no consideration of the peculiarities of digital technologies, and there is no possibility to estimate the costs and benefits of the project. |
| III | Integral techniques | | |
| 3.1. "Balanced" (example: balanced scorecard) | Assessment of all company’s activities, taking into account past and future trends. | Complex and resource-intensive in the process of application and construction. |
| 3.2. «Risky» (example: real options valuation, ROV) | The most accurate and complete assessment of all risks and company | |
|        |                      |                                                                 |                                                                 |
|--------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| IV     | Original methods of  | Detailed assessment of all areas of firm’s activities that are  | Closed techniques                                               |
|        | consulting companies  | subject to significant impact of digital technologies.          |                                                                 |
|        | (TVO, etc.))         |                                                                 |                                                                 |
| V      | Other techniques     | Rapid assessment (often simplified) of selected areas of company’s activity. | Used only in certain situations and specific sectors of the economy. |

All the methods given in the table are actively used to evaluate digital transformation projects in Western companies and some Russian firms. According to the research "IT in Russian enterprises: practice and perspectives" about 26% of companies use quantitative methods to evaluate developments in the field of digital technologies. At the same time, more than 60% of Russian companies use qualitative methods (Shebzukhov, 2016).

There are a sufficiently large number of methods for assessing the effectiveness of digital transformation, but no one universal method, the use of which in most cases would give a reliable result, can be distinguished. It should also be remembered that without taking into account the characteristics of the company and the market in which it operates (in our case – the emerging market), the use of any technique is at least incorrect.

**Development of a methodology for assessing the economic efficiency of company digital transformation**

The main idea of the integrated methodology for evaluating the effectiveness of company digital transformation, which is proposed by the author of the article, is the simultaneous combination of two approaches to evaluation: quantitative and qualitative. The mandatory application of the two approaches will make it possible to assess the benefits that the company receives from the digital transformation in quantitative terms.

A qualitative approach is used to assess the benefits that the company should acquire in the process of implementing the project. It also allows comparing the state of the company’s business processes before and after the project implementation, as well as after two years of the company's activity in the new conditions, and in creating a forecast of the necessary costs for the project (Strauss, Corbin, 2008). Moreover, there is an opportunity and a need to compare the changes in the digital transformation process with several companies in the industry, and, when there is no such opportunity, with foreign firms that work in the same market sector.

It should be noted that in Russia, it is necessary to apply foreign experience in the field of qualitative approach with extreme caution. The fact is that digital transformation, for example, in Western countries, most often fully meets two necessary conditions (Zakharchenko, 2016):

1. The replacement of obsolete technologies with new ones is constantly taking place.
2. The company operates in a sustainable market.

From this, it follows that Russian companies are now operating in conditions that are often significantly different from the conditions in which foreign companies operate. When making a decision on digital transformation, the experience of Western companies without taking into account Russian realities cannot be decisive.

The main benefits and advantages to be derived from digitalization are summarized...
in qualitative approach. After that, they are thoroughly analyzed by a group of competent experts representing the key company’s Departments (HR, Finance, Production, IT). The result of the analytical work of this group will be a set of ratings that will show the advantages of company digital transformation (in subsystems and in the company as a whole).

The qualitative approach of the integrated method consists of three main stages:

- collection of information on the subject of the analysis;
- processing of all information, analytics, conclusions;
- decision-making based on the results of the first two stages and the results of the quantitative approach.

Information is collected using a variety of expert methods (questioning, observation, interviewing). Then information processing methods are used (normal rank, determining the weights and comparisons in a strict sequence). To make a decision, within the framework of the integrated method and its qualitative approach, it is necessary to use a number of other methods: heuristic, statistical, analytical methods which give good results, etc.

It is advisable to use the quantitative approach for the investment analysis of company digital transformation projects (according to the traditional standard techniques). In this study, the most important is to determine the expenditure side of the project and the benefits that have already been obtained. The result of the quantitative approach to project effectiveness evaluation will be generally accepted indicators of investment analysis (payback period, NPV, IRR).

Fig. 1 presents quantitative approach to evaluate the effectiveness of digital transformation.

**Fig. 1: The scheme of quantitative approach to the evaluation of digital transformation efficiency**

Total cost of ownership (TCO) can be used to estimate a project costs (Berg et al, 2016).

The advantages and benefits of the project can be assessed using the balanced scorecard methodology, if it is already established in the company.

A generalized scheme of the integrated method used to evaluate the effectiveness of digital transformation is shown in Fig. 2.
In order to better understand all the possibilities of the integrated method, it is necessary to clarify its place among all the methods of evaluating digital transformation effectiveness. This method is a synthesis of two approaches: quantitative and qualitative. This fact already makes this method distinguishable from the others. As part of the performance assessment process, this methodology is based on already established approaches, such as a balanced scorecard and TCO. In this regard, it exists in close relationship with other methods. However, it can not be put on a par with other techniques, as it is aimed at solving a specific problem.

The integrated method of assessing digital transformation economic efficiency was approbated at the Russian company “Grafika”, specializing in the production of printed products: printed materials and packaging.

The management of "Grafika" made the decision to implement a project of complex business digitalization. The task of qualitative improvement of business efficiency, the requirements for the functionality of information support, and system-wide requirements necessitate digital transformation on the basis of ERP platform. The choice was made in favor of Oracle ERP-solutions. It is planned to create an integrated digital management system that combines information used by numerous functional units and branches into a unified system.

In accordance with the developed methodology, the basis for assessing was qualitative and quantitative approaches used in combination. The results of the qualitative approach were key performance indicators (KPIs). KPIs were further used in the investment analysis as part of the quantitative approach. In addition to the investment analysis, the quantitative approach included the forecast of the project costs (TCO), as well as the assessment of changes in more qualitative performance indicators in quantitative terms.

The basis of the qualitative approach was the activity of the expert group (implementation group), which included representatives of the main company's divisions.

As part of the qualitative approach, several meetings of the working group were held. At these meetings, participants were given information materials about the project.

Fig. 2: The generalized scheme of integrated method
These materials were the subject of careful study and the basis for the subsequent development of KPIs.

At the meetings of the working group, the main goals and tasks that need to be implemented in the process of digital transformation were elaborated.

Questioning of employees was carried out in several stages. After each stage, the data were subjected to careful analysis and discussion of the dedicated team of employees then formed the questions in the following questionnaire.

One of the main results of applying the qualitative approach was the developed system of indicators, expert assessments of the importance of each indicator, as well as possible changes in the developed performance indicators as a result of the project implementation.

The developed system of KPIs, their importance and their planned changes are shown in Appendix 1.

The basis of the quantitative approach of the integrated method is the consideration of the "Grafika" digital transformation as an investment project. In this case, the following values must be defined:

• capital costs of the project;
• current costs, both direct and indirect;
• benefits from the introduction of the system (in cash).

The above values are used to calculate effectiveness indicators of the project (net present value, internal rate of return, payback period).

To determine the cost of the digital transformation project, "Grafika" used TCO methodology, which allows taking into account both direct and indirect costs.

The list of the main costs and their distribution by months of the project are reflected in Appendix 2.

As noted earlier, the benefits of the project are determined using balanced scorecard approach. KPIs were developed as part of qualitative approach. Within the framework of the quantitative methodology, the qualitative indicators were evaluated in cash. To do this, expert approach was used. The assessment was made by group of representatives of the Financial and Economic Department.

It should be noted that the scheme of practical application of the integrated assessment method in "Grafika" is not a standard, but can be used in other companies in Russia and other countries. When evaluating digitalization according to this method, it is necessary to remember that its simplification inevitably leads to a decrease in the accuracy of the results, and excessive complication does not always lead to the same increase in accuracy.

To substantiate the advantages and benefits derived from the project, the balanced scorecard methodology was used. In developing the KPIs, the team of "Grafika" experts focused on the tactical objectives of digital transformation, namely (in accordance with four perspectives):

• reducing the customer service time;
• reducing the cost of servicing one customer;
• change of business processes;
• improvement of knowledge and skills of the company's employees.

As a result of the work carried out to reflect the tactical goals of the company in the system of key performance indicators, twenty performance indicators (five for each perspective) were formed, which is enough to build a pilot model.

The qualitative indicators reflected in Appendix 3 were evaluated in cash using an expert approach.

Substantiation of the effectiveness of the digital transformation project in "Grafika" in the framework of the integrated method
was carried out using techniques and methods of investment analysis.

Within the framework of the project, taking into account the wishes of the investor, the three years (thirty-six months) horizon of calculation was chosen.

Microsoft Excel was used to analyze the investment efficiency of "Grafika" digital transformation.

A number of calculations were carried out, the results of which are as follows:

- the digital transformation project can be considered economically justified, since the payback period does not exceed three years acceptable for the company (it is thirty-five months twenty-nine days);

- the project is characterized by significant sensitivity to changes in some of its parameters, for example, discount rates, expert evaluation of benefits and advantages (in monetary terms);

- the NPV shows the cost nature of the project and the greater difficulty in making a profit from digitalization by increasing the cost of the project or reducing the expected profit.

The results of the investment analysis are presented in Appendix 4.

Expert assessment of the possibilities of business digitalization (qualitative approach), as well as taking into account the experience of companies in other industries revealed the following:

- digital transformation allows improving the quality of customer service, significantly reducing the time spent by managers and customers of the company "Grafika" on the process of primary and subsequent service;

- there is an opportunity to implement the concept of electronic document management, which reduces the company's costs for various items;

- digitalization allows employees to develop their skills and knowledge much faster;

- digitalization has a positive impact on the company's business processes, with stimulating measures to improve them;

- digital transformation may not bring the expected amount of benefits and advantages in monetary terms (due to the low speed of implementation of information systems, the speed of changes in the company's business processes, as well as due to the inaccuracy of expert estimates obtained in the framework of the balanced scorecard methodology application).

Changes in the key performance indicators developed as part of a qualitative approach to assessing the effectiveness of digital transformation are reflected in Appendix 5.

The synthesis of the results of qualitative (identified advantages of digitalization) and quantitative (payback of the project within three years) approaches made it possible to make an informed decision on the feasibility of "Grafika" digital transformation.

**Conclusion**

The author has developed integrated method of evaluating the effectiveness of company digital transformation. The method takes into account direct (software and hardware, operation, training) and indirect (self- and mutual support of users, their downtime) costs, as well as key performance indicators. The algorithm of decision-making on digital transformation, which is an integral part of the methodology, is based on the evaluation of the results of the investment analysis of costs and benefits of the project, as well as
expert evaluation of the benefits of the project.

The main idea of the proposed method is a mandatory combination of two approaches to evaluation: qualitative and quantitative. The use of two approaches will allow quantifying the benefits of digital transformation.

The application of the method in one of the Russian companies met all the expectations of its developer: the method allowed evaluating the project and making a decision on its implementation.

At present, the importance of the integrated method for evaluating the effectiveness of digital transformation is quite difficult to determine. Until such time as the integrated method will not be repeatedly used in practice and until the assessment of results reliability from its application is made, it is not correct to speak about its importance.

This method can be used at different levels of the company, whether it is the main subsystems or their associations, as well as the company as a whole. However, this requires some changes. Moreover, it is possible to use the method at any stage of digital transformation.
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### Appendix 1

Table 1: KPIs changes - "Finance" perspective

| Month | Reducing costs | Profit growth due to |
|-------|----------------|----------------------|
|       | Communication tools, % | Contractual and claims activities, % | Customer service activities, % | The provision of additional services, % | Improving the quality of customer service, % |
| 1     | 0.00%           | 0.00%                | 0.00%                      | 0.00%                        | 0.00%                        |
| 2     | 0.00%           | 0.00%                | 0.00%                      | 0.00%                        | 0.00%                        |
| 3     | 0.00%           | 0.00%                | 0.00%                      | 0.00%                        | 0.00%                        |
| 4     | 0.00%           | 0.00%                | 0.00%                      | 0.00%                        | 0.00%                        |
| 5     | 0.00%           | 0.00%                | 0.00%                      | 0.00%                        | 0.00%                        |
| 6     | 0.00%           | 0.00%                | 0.00%                      | 0.00%                        | 0.00%                        |
| 7     | 1.47%           | 1.59%                | 1.71%                      | 1.00%                        | 0.71%                        |
| 8     | 2.94%           | 3.18%                | 3.41%                      | 2.00%                        | 1.41%                        |
| 9     | 4.41%           | 4.76%                | 5.12%                      | 3.00%                        | 2.12%                        |
| 10    | 5.88%           | 6.35%                | 6.82%                      | 4.00%                        | 2.82%                        |
| 11    | 8.82%           | 9.53%                | 10.24%                     | 6.00%                        | 4.24%                        |
| 12    | 11.76%          | 12.71%               | 13.65%                     | 8.00%                        | 5.65%                        |
| 13    | 14.71%          | 15.88%               | 17.06%                     | 10.00%                       | 7.06%                        |
| 14    | 17.65%          | 19.06%               | 20.47%                     | 12.00%                       | 8.47%                        |
| 15    | 22.06%          | 23.82%               | 25.59%                     | 15.00%                       | 10.59%                       |
| 16    | 23.53%          | 25.41%               | 27.29%                     | 16.00%                       | 11.29%                       |
| 17    | 23.53%          | 25.41%               | 27.29%                     | 16.00%                       | 11.29%                       |
| 18    | 23.53%          | 25.41%               | 27.29%                     | 16.00%                       | 11.29%                       |
| 19    | 23.53%          | 25.41%               | 27.29%                     | 16.00%                       | 11.29%                       |
| 20    | 23.53%          | 25.41%               | 27.29%                     | 16.00%                       | 11.29%                       |
| 21    | 23.53%          | 25.41%               | 27.29%                     | 16.00%                       | 11.29%                       |
| 22    | 23.53%          | 25.41%               | 27.29%                     | 16.00%                       | 11.29%                       |
| 23    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 24    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 25    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 26    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 27    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 28    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 29    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 30    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 31    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 32    | 24.26%          | 26.21%               | 28.15%                     | 16.50%                       | 11.65%                       |
| 33    | 25.00%          | 27.00%               | 29.00%                     | 17.00%                       | 12.00%                       |
| 34    | 25.00%          | 27.00%               | 29.00%                     | 17.00%                       | 12.00%                       |
| 35    | 25.00%          | 27.00%               | 29.00%                     | 17.00%                       | 12.00%                       |
| Month | Reducing the amount of primary service time, % | Reducing the time of periodic service, % | Reduce document preparation time, % | Increasing the need for existing services, attracting new customers, % | Customer satisfaction increase, % |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1     | 0.00%                                       | 0.00%                                    | 0.00%                               | 0.00%                                                         | 0.00%                            |
| 2     | 0.00%                                       | 0.00%                                    | 0.00%                               | 0.00%                                                         | 0.00%                            |
| 3     | 0.00%                                       | 0.00%                                    | 0.00%                               | 0.00%                                                         | 0.00%                            |
| 4     | 0.00%                                       | 0.00%                                    | 0.00%                               | 0.00%                                                         | 0.00%                            |
| 5     | 0.00%                                       | 0.00%                                    | 0.00%                               | 0.00%                                                         | 0.00%                            |
| 6     | 0.00%                                       | 0.00%                                    | 0.00%                               | 0.00%                                                         | 0.00%                            |
| 7     | 1.51%                                       | 2.00%                                    | 2.24%                               | 1.39%                                                         | 1.67%                            |
| 8     | 3.02%                                       | 4.00%                                    | 4.49%                               | 2.78%                                                         | 3.35%                            |
| 9     | 5.29%                                       | 7.00%                                    | 7.86%                               | 4.86%                                                         | 5.86%                            |
| 10    | 7.55%                                       | 10.00%                                   | 11.22%                              | 6.94%                                                         | 8.37%                            |
| 11    | 11.33%                                      | 15.00%                                   | 16.84%                              | 10.41%                                                        | 12.55%                           |
| 12    | 15.10%                                      | 20.00%                                   | 22.45%                              | 13.88%                                                        | 16.73%                           |
| 13    | 19.63%                                      | 26.00%                                   | 29.18%                              | 18.04%                                                        | 21.76%                           |
| 14    | 24.16%                                      | 32.00%                                   | 35.92%                              | 22.20%                                                        | 26.78%                           |
| 15    | 26.43%                                      | 35.00%                                   | 39.29%                              | 24.29%                                                        | 29.29%                           |
| 16    | 28.69%                                      | 38.00%                                   | 42.65%                              | 26.37%                                                        | 31.80%                           |
| 17    | 30.96%                                      | 41.00%                                   | 46.02%                              | 28.45%                                                        | 34.31%                           |
| 18    | 32.47%                                      | 43.00%                                   | 48.27%                              | 29.84%                                                        | 35.98%                           |
| 19    | 33.22%                                      | 44.00%                                   | 49.39%                              | 30.53%                                                        | 36.82%                           |
| 20    | 33.98%                                      | 45.00%                                   | 50.51%                              | 31.22%                                                        | 37.65%                           |
| 21    | 33.98%                                      | 45.00%                                   | 50.51%                              | 31.22%                                                        | 37.65%                           |
| 22    | 34.73%                                      | 46.00%                                   | 51.63%                              | 31.92%                                                        | 38.49%                           |
| 23    | 34.73%                                      | 46.00%                                   | 51.63%                              | 31.92%                                                        | 38.49%                           |
| 24    | 34.73%                                      | 46.00%                                   | 51.63%                              | 31.92%                                                        | 38.49%                           |
| 25    | 35.49%                                      | 47.00%                                   | 52.76%                              | 32.61%                                                        | 39.33%                           |
| 26    | 35.49%                                      | 47.00%                                   | 52.76%                              | 32.61%                                                        | 39.33%                           |
| 27    | 35.49%                                      | 47.00%                                   | 52.76%                              | 32.61%                                                        | 39.33%                           |
| 28    | 35.49%                                      | 47.00%                                   | 52.76%                              | 32.61%                                                        | 39.33%                           |
| 29    | 35.49%                                      | 47.00%                                   | 52.76%                              | 32.61%                                                        | 39.33%                           |
| 30    | 36.24%                                      | 48.00%                                   | 53.88%                              | 33.31%                                                        | 40.16%                           |
| 31    | 36.24%                                      | 48.00%                                   | 53.88%                              | 33.31%                                                        | 40.16%                           |
| 32    | 36.24%                                      | 48.00%                                   | 53.88%                              | 33.31%                                                        | 40.16%                           |
| Month | Internal document circulation, % | Periodic forced improvement of business processes, % | Overcoming employee resistance to change, % | Reducing the inertia of business processes, % | Overall improvement of business processes in the direction of reliability, % |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 33    | 36.24%                          | 48.00%                                              | 53.88%                                    | 33.31%                                    | 40.16%                                                 |
| 34    | 36.24%                          | 48.00%                                              | 53.88%                                    | 33.31%                                    | 40.16%                                                 |
| 35    | 37.00%                          | 49.00%                                              | 55.00%                                    | 34.00%                                    | 41.00%                                                 |
| 36    | 37.00%                          | 49.00%                                              | 55.00%                                    | 34.00%                                    | 41.00%                                                 |

Table 3: KPIs changes - "Business processes" perspective
| Month | Reducing costs | Profit growth due to |
|-------|----------------|---------------------|
|       | Improvement of staff training in the field of IT, % | Training of employees in modern methods of work with clients, % | Retention or training of key employees of the company, % | Improving employees' ability to work with clients, % | General increase of social responsibility of employees, % |
| 1     | 0.00%          | 0.00%               | 0.00%              | 0.00%               | 0.00%               |
| 2     | 0.00%          | 0.00%               | 0.00%              | 0.00%               | 0.00%               |
| 3     | 0.00%          | 0.00%               | 0.00%              | 0.00%               | 0.00%               |
| 4     | 0.00%          | 0.00%               | 0.00%              | 0.00%               | 0.00%               |
| 5     | 0.00%          | 0.00%               | 0.00%              | 0.00%               | 0.00%               |
| 6     | 0.00%          | 0.00%               | 0.00%              | 0.00%               | 0.00%               |
| 7     | 1.17%          | 2.00%               | 2.76%              | 1.93%               | 1.79%               |
| 8     | 1.47%          | 2.50%               | 3.45%              | 2.41%               | 2.24%               |
| 9     | 1.76%          | 3.00%               | 4.14%              | 2.90%               | 2.69%               |
| 10    | 2.05%          | 3.50%               | 4.83%              | 3.38%               | 3.14%               |
| 11    | 2.34%          | 4.00%               | 5.52%              | 3.86%               | 3.59%               |
| 12    | 2.93%          | 5.00%               | 6.90%              | 4.83%               | 4.48%               |
| 13    | 3.52%          | 6.00%               | 8.28%              | 5.79%               | 5.38%               |
| 14    | 4.10%          | 7.00%               | 9.66%              | 6.76%               | 6.28%               |
| 15    | 5.28%          | 9.00%               | 12.41%             | 8.69%               | 8.07%               |
| 16    | 7.03%          | 12.00%              | 16.55%             | 11.59%              | 10.76%              |
| 17    | 9.38%          | 16.00%              | 22.07%             | 15.45%              | 14.34%              |
| 18    | 12.90%         | 22.00%              | 30.34%             | 21.24%              | 19.72%              |
| 19    | 14.07%         | 24.00%              | 33.10%             | 23.17%              | 21.52%              |
| 20    | 14.95%         | 25.50%              | 35.17%             | 24.62%              | 22.86%              |
| 21    | 15.24%         | 26.00%              | 35.86%             | 25.10%              | 23.31%              |
| 22    | 15.83%         | 27.00%              | 37.24%             | 26.07%              | 24.21%              |
| 23    | 15.83%         | 27.00%              | 37.24%             | 26.07%              | 24.21%              |
| 24    | 15.83%         | 27.00%              | 37.24%             | 26.07%              | 24.21%              |
| 25    | 16.41%         | 28.00%              | 38.62%             | 27.03%              | 25.10%              |
| 26    | 16.41%         | 28.00%              | 38.62%             | 27.03%              | 25.10%              |
| 27    | 16.41%         | 28.00%              | 38.62%             | 27.03%              | 25.10%              |
### Appendix 2

Table: Costs of digital transformation (TCO)

| Month | Hardware and software | Management and staff | Development | Telecommunications | TOTAL Direct costs | User costs of digital technologies | Downtime | TOTAL Indirect costs | TOTAL COSTS |
|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------------|------------|
| 1     | 36700                 | 11925                | 6600        | 0                  | 55225              | 0                                 | 1740     | 1740                | 56965      |
| 2     | 7200                  | 40925                | 6600        | 1000               | 54825              | 212                               | 1540     | 1650                | 70883      |
| 3     | 5200                  | 32538                | 3600        | 1320               | 43358              | 2067                              | 950      | 1150                | 54926      |
| 4     | 11800                 | 38366                | 3600        | 1560               | 55326              | 3392                              | 15036    | 18428               | 73754      |
| 5     | 5900                  | 52366                | 6600        | 1680               | 66546              | 3763                              | 24279    | 28042               | 94588      |
| 6     | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 7     | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 8     | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 9     | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 10    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 11    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 12    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 13    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 14    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 15    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 16    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 17    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 18    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 19    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 20    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 21    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 22    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 23    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 24    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 25    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 26    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 27    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| 28    | 0                     | 32266                | 3600        | 1680               | 37546              | 4399                              | 11307    | 15706               | 53252      |
| №  | Perspectives and key performance indicators                                                                 |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | "FINANCE" PERSPECTIVE                                                                                     |
| 2  | Reducing the cost of communication                                                                          |
| 3  | Reducing the cost of contractual and claims activities                                                       |
| 4  | Reducing the cost of customer service activities                                                             |
| 5  | Profit growth due to the provision of additional services                                                   |
| 6  | Profit growth due to increased reliability of customer service                                             |
| 7  | "CUSTOMERS" PERSPECTIVE                                                                                   |
| 8  | Reduce costs by reducing primary service time                                                               |
| 9  | Reduce costs by reducing periodic service                                                                   |
| 10 | Reduce costs by reducing document preparation time                                                           |
| 11 | Profit growth due to the increase in demand for the company’s existing services, attracting new customers    |
| 12 | Profit growth due to increase of customer satisfaction with growth of service reliability                   |
| 13 | "BUSINESS PROCESSES" PERSPECTIVE                                                                          |
| 14 | Reduction of internal document flow costs                                                                   |
| 15 | Reduction of costs for periodic forced improvement of business processes                                   |
| 16 | Reducing the cost of training employees in the field of digital technology                                  |
| 17 | Reducing the cost of training employees in modern methods of working with clients                           |

Appendix 3

Table: Perspectives and corresponding key performance indicators for "Grafika"
18 The reduction of the cost of retaining key employees or training their replacements
19 Profit growth due to improvement of employees’ ability to work with clients
20 Profit growth due to General increase of social responsibility of employees

Appendix 4

Table: Indicators of the investment project effectiveness

| №№ | Name of indicator | Formula (conditions) for calculation | Value | Note |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|------|
| 1   | Discount rate     |                                       |       |      |
|     | Discount rate in 12 month of project implementation, % | $DR_{RP} = DR_{BASE} + I + DR_{risk} \times I$ | 60.74% | Change within 12 months from 36.80% to 63.02% |
|     | Discount rate in 24 month of project implementation, % | 56.38% | Change within 12 months from 52.44% to 57.50% |
|     | Discount rate in month 35 of the project, % | 29.87% | Change within 11 months from 29.87% to 48.74% |
|     | Discount rate in 36 month of project implementation, % | 28.76% | - |
| 2   | Net present value | $NPV = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{(I_t - O_t)}{(1 + DR)^t}$ | - | 43013.03 |
|     | Net present value in 12th month of the project, thousand rubles. | - | 25448.71 |
|     | Net present value in 24th month of the project, thousand rubles. | - | 2455.23 |
|     | Net present value in 35th month of the project, thousand rubles. | 57.54 |
|     | Net present value in 36th month of the project, thousand rubles. | 57.54 |
| 3   | Internal rate of return, % | $\sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{(I_t - O_t)}{(1 + IRR)^t} = 0$ | 39.53% |
| 4   | Payback period, months. | $\sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{I_t}{(1 + DR)^t} = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{O_t}{(1 + DR)^t}$ | 35.98 | 35 months 29 days |
|     | $T_{PB} = t + \frac{NPV_t}{NPV_{(t+1)} - NPV_t}$ | | |
Appendix 5

Key performance indicators (KPIs) | KPI code
--- | ---
Reducing the cost of communication | F1
Reducing the cost of contractual and claims activities | F2
Reducing the cost of customer service activities | F3
Profit growth due to the provision of additional services | F4
Profit growth due to increased reliability of energy supply to consumers | F5
Reduce costs by reducing primary service time | C1
Reduce costs by reducing periodic service | C2
Reduce costs by reducing document preparation time | C3
Profit growth due to the increased demand for the company’s existing services, attracting new customers | C4
Profit growth due to increased customer satisfaction with increased reliability of services | C5
Reduction of internal document flow costs | BP1
Reduction of costs for periodic forced improvement of business processes | BP2
Reducing the cost of overcoming employee resistance to change | BP3
Profit growth by reducing the inertia of business processes | BP4
Profit growth due to the overall improvement of business processes in the direction of improving the reliability of services | BP5
Reducing the cost of staff training in the IT sector | S1
Reducing the cost of training employees in modern methods of working with clients | S2
The reduction of the cost of retaining key employees or training their replacements | S3
Profit growth due to improvement of employees' ability to work with clients | S4
Profit growth due to General increase of social responsibility of employees | S5

Fig. Changes in key performance indicators