Optimized Regulation of Hybrid Adiabatic Compressed Air Energy Storage System for Zero-Carbon-Emission Micro-Energy Network

Qiwei Jia1, Tingxiang Liu2,3, Xiaotao Chen1*, Laijun Chen1, Yang Si1,4 and Shengwei Mei1,4

1Qinghai Key Lab of Efficient Utilization of Clean Energy (New Energy Photovoltaic Industry Research Center), Qinghai University, Xining, China, 2Economics and Technological Research Institute of State Grid Qinghai Electric Power Company, Xining, China, 3Clean Energy Development Research Institute of State Grid Qinghai Electric Power Company, Xining, China, 4State Key Laboratory of Power System and Generation Equipment, Department of Electrical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Improving electricity and heat utilization can speed up China’s decarbonization process in the northwest villages on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. In this paper, we proposed an architecture with zero-carbon-emission micro-energy network (ZCE-MEN) to increase the reliability and flexibility of heat and electricity. The advanced adiabatic compressed air energy storage system (AA-CAES) hybrid with solar thermal collector (STC) is defined as hybrid adiabatic compressed air energy storage system (HA-CAES). The ZCE-MEN adopts HA-CAES as the energy hub, which is integrated with power distribution network (PDN) and district heating network (DHN). The STC can greatly improve the efficiency of HA-CAES. Furthermore, it can provide various grades of thermal energy for the residents. The design scheme of HA-CAES firstly considers the thermal dynamics and pressure behavior to assess its heating and power capacities. The optimal operating strategy of ZCE-MEN is modeled as mixed-integer nonlinear programming (MINLP) and converts this problem into a mixed-integer linear programming problem (MILP) that can be solved by CPLEX. The simulation results show that the energy hub based on HA-CAES proposed in this paper can significantly improve ZCE-MEN efficiency and reduce its operation costs. Compared with conventional AA-CAES, the electric to electric (E-E) energy conversion efficiency of the proposed system is increased to 65.61%, and the round trip efficiency of the system is increased to 70.18%. Besides, operating costs have been reduced by 4.78% in comparison with traditional micro-energy network (MEN).
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, many nature reserves have been established in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau due to increasing emphasis on environmental protection and sustainable development in China. The heating season of villages lasts as long as 10 months per year in the northwestern part of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Moreover, constrained by the high costs of system maintenance and the fragile environment, the contradiction between energy supply and demand is prominent in northwest villages (Liu et al., 2005). Fortunately, northwest villages are abundant in wind, solar, and other renewable resources to address the above problem. In addition, since China has pledged to peak its carbon dioxide emissions by 2030 and achieve carbon neutrality by 2060 (Xiao et al., 2021), it has vigorously developed the efficient use of renewable energy (Zhou et al., 2019). However, these renewable energies have strong intermittency and fluctuation (Bitaraf and Rahman, 2018). Therefore, the strategy of ensuring the safe and efficient utilization of renewable energy has become the key to solve this problem (Yuan et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2018).

Currently, energy storage technology is considered to be one of the best solutions for the integration and peak-shaving of renewable energy sources (LUO et al., 2015). At present, electrochemical energy storage and physical energy storage are widely used. Nevertheless, the efficiency of battery energy storage (BES) is greatly reduced in high-altitude and cold regions, and the discarded batteries will also cause pollution to the environment (Yang et al., 2018; MADDUKURI et al., 2020; FLY et al., 2021). Pumped hydro energy storage (PHES) requires strict geographical conditions and other natural resources as support (Jin et al., 2005; Rehman et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2019).

Apart from BES and PHES, another promising solution is AA-CAES, which has significant advantages in storing electric energy safely and efficiently without supplementary fired natural gas (Jakiel et al., 2007; Xue et al., 2016). The technology can achieve zero-carbon-emission in the entire process by recycling the heat of compression. However, AA-CAES is completely dependent on compression heat, which limited its heat supply capability. Owing to abundant solar energy in northwestern villages, the STC module is applied as the external heat source of the AA-CAES, which is defined as HA-CAES in this article. Moreover, the excess heat can be used to satisfy the heating and cooling needs of inhabitants (Jakiel et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2017), which will further improve the economic benefits and operational flexibility of the HA-CAES.

In the study of expansion compressed air energy storage (CAES) technologies, a novel system integrating CAES and anaerobic digester has been proposed in Llamas et al. (2020). Since the performance can be enhanced by increasing the inlet temperature of the turbine. A CAES that integrates solar and hydrogen production modules was analyzed in Alirahmi et al. (2021a). In the turbine power generation stage, this new system burns hydrogen to heat the high-pressure air, which greatly improves efficiency and eliminates the generation of harmful gases such as carbon monoxide. A scheme combining CAES with water pumping and energy storage was described, and its related performance was analyzed in Kim et al. (2011). A subcooled CAES was proposed, and the performance of its combined heat and power generation was analyzed in Roshenas et al. (2017). In Roushenas et al. (2020), a combined system combining solid oxide fuel cell, CAES and turbocharger was established, and a thermodynamic analysis was performed for the first time.

Although there are many research articles concerning the integrated CAES and their characteristics, the recent articles focus on them in low-altitude areas. The air mass flow into the CAES is decreased due to the high-altitude, which influenced the efficiency of the system. In addition, the plateau low pressure will also affect the performance of the air storage tank. Consequently, the study of the characteristics of CAES in high-altitude areas should be highly valued.

To improve the efficiency of CAES, many researchers and scholars are focus on finding efficient thermal sources and storage materials, which can directly enhance the efficiency of the entire system. Mei et al. (2015) described the design and engineering implementation of the demonstration project TICC-500, and analyzed its related efficiency. In Semprini et al. (2016), a high-energy efficiency design scheme for optimizing a hybrid system of micro-turbines, solar collectors, and CAES was described. To effectively solve the problem that compressed air heavily depended on fuel, the waste gas with high temperature emitted by the gas turbine would be used for heating compressed air stored in CAES in Salvini (2017). Sadeghi and Askari (2019) studied the use of photovoltaic (PV) panels to generate electricity, the use of a hybrid system containing molten carbonate fuel cells and CAES to store electricity, and conducted the economic analysis. A CAES system coupled with a seawater desalination plant was established, and its exergy and energy efficiency economic analysis was carried out in Alirahmi et al. (2021b). A CAES for recovery and utilization of compressed heat was proposed, and the heat storage material of compressed heat was studied in Saputra and Farid (2018), and phase Change Material was selected for heat storage.

All the studies above worked on the efficient thermal sources and storage materials and obtained satisfying results, which mainly focused on the thermodynamic and thermal economic analysis for large scale of CAES and combined cooling heating and power. However, the concerns are seldom concentrated on small scale. To bridge this gap, this paper develops an optimization model for the proposed ZCE-MEN and presents a comparative economic cost analysis on the comprehensive MEN of small villages in the plateau region.

In this research, we intend to use HA-CAES with STC as an energy hub to decrease operating costs and solar curtailment in the northwestern villages. The major contributions of this article are: 1) A HA-CAES with STC module for small villages in plateau areas is proposed and analyzed, which can achieve zero-carbon-
emission; 2) The coupling of the STC module with external heat source improves the inlet temperature of the turbine which improves the system efficiency; 3) Compared with traditional MEN, the operation of ZCE-MEN is optimized to reduce solar curtailment and operating costs.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. The overall design scheme of ZEC-MEN and the mathematical model of HA-CAES are elaborated in Zero-Carbon-Emission Micro-energy Network. Operation of Green Pollution-Free Micro Energy Network introduces the regulation and optimization method of ZCE-MEN. Results and discussion verifies the effectiveness of the proposed system through a ZCE-MEN consisting of a HA-CAES hub, a 9-node PDN, and an 8-node DHN, followed by the conclusions in Conclusion.

ZERO-CARBON-EMISSION MICRO-ENERGY NETWORK

Micro Energy Network
Micro energy network is composed of the distributed power generation system, energy storage system, load, intelligent control device, and power grid (Hwang et al., 2012). MEN can operate independently or be coupled in a public network. For example, urban and rural residential areas, large office spaces, industrial and agricultural parks, and skyscrapers are all typical application scenarios of MEN. The Energy Efficiency Initiative aims to achieve the comprehensive optimization and scheduling of multiple energy sources through the conversion and storage of different energy carriers to save costs and reduce emissions.

The typical MEN composition is shown in Figure 1. Energy conversion and energy storage equipment are connected to each other through electricity, heat, cold and natural gas networks.

HA-CAES System Composition
First of all, the distributed AA-CAES relies heavily on the compression heat recovered during charging process. However, the distributed CAES is seriously insufficient in compression heat. Fortunately, the high-altitude regions of the northwest have abundant solar energy resources. Therefore, we adopt the AA-CAES integrated with STC module that is defined as HA-CAES. And use it as the energy hub of ZEC-MEN. Secondly, it should be noted that the pressure ratio of each stage compressor is different. The number of compression stages is determined by the maximum pressure of the steel pipeline tank (SPT) and the type of compressor. The SPT in this paper can withstand a pressure of up to 10 MPa. Consequently, this article chooses a three-stage compressor to meet the requirements of design. The structure of the HA-CAES which has three-stage compression and three-stage expansion is shown in Figure 2. This system consists of four subsystems, including the compression subsystem, the STC subsystem, the turbine subsystem, and the air storage subsystem.

The compression subsystem includes a three-stage compressor and heat exchangers, a low-temperature water tank, and a high-temperature water tank. The STC subsystem includes a trough collector (TC), a cold heat reservoir (HR), and a hot HR. The cold HR and hot HR are called thermal energy storage system (TES). The turbine subsystem includes a three-stage turbine, a throttle valve, a heat regenerator, and three-stage heat exchangers as well. The air storage subsystem includes a SPT.
Compared with other energy storage technologies such as PHES and BES, HA-CAES is not only a zero-carbon-emission system and pollution-free energy storage method, but it also has the capability of multi-energy storage and supply. Therefore, HA-CAES can be used for cooling, heating, and power. While exerting the synergistic effect of multi-energy complementarity, it can enhance the flexibility of the power system and become an effective way to improve renewable energy accommodation.

The design scheme of HA-CAES is shown in Figure 3. In addition, photovoltaic (PV) power and off-peak electricity are used as inputs to the HA-CAES hub. In addition, the HA-CAES hub can provide users with power during on-peak time.

**Basic Assumptions**

The modeling of HA-CAES satisfies the following assumptions.

1) The air is assumed to be an ideal gas.
2) The air storage tank adopts an isothermal constant volume model (Wang et al., 2016).
3) Compressor and turbine adopt an adiabatic model.
4) The heat storage tank adopts an adiabatic model.
5) Ignore the pressure loss of compressed air and water in the heat exchanger.

**HA-CAES Modeling**

**Compressor**

According to (Dielmann and van der Velden, 2003; Hwang et al., 2012; Mei et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017), electricity consumption of $r^{th}$ stage compressor during charging is given by:

$$P_{r,t}^{CAESC} = \frac{1}{\eta_{r}^{CAESC}} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa - 1} \frac{R_g q_{mr,r}^{CAESC}}{P_{r,t}^{CAESC,in}} \left( \frac{P_{r,t}^{CAESC,in}}{P_{r,t}^{CAESC,ad}} \right)^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa - 1}} - 1,$$

(1)

where $P_{r,t}^{CAESC}$ is the electricity demand of $r^{th}$ stage compressor at time $t$; $\eta_{r}^{CAESC}$ is the adiabatic efficiency of $r^{th}$ stage compressor; $\kappa$ is the adiabatic exponent of air; $R_g$ is the gas constant; $q_{mr,r}^{CAESC}$ is...
the mass flow rate of air of compressor \( r \) at the time \( t \) : \( T_{CAESC,in}^{CAESC} = t \) is the input temperature of \( r \)th stage compressor at period \( t \); \( P_{CAESC,in}^{CAESC} \), and \( P_{CAESC,out}^{CAESC} \) are the input and output air pressure of \( r \)th stage compressor at period \( t \).

The electricity consumption of each stage of compressor should be kept within the lower and upper bound as follows:

\[
\left( u_{rCAESC}^{CAESC} \right) \leq P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \leq \left( u_{rCAESC}^{CAESC} \right) , \tag{2}
\]

where \( u_{rCAESC}^{CAESC} \) is the binary variable; one means the compressor is working, and 0 means the compressor is stopped; \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) and \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) are the limits of the electricity demand of the \( r \)th stage compressor.

The aggregate electricity demand of the compressor is given by:

\[
P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} = \sum_{r=1}^{n_t} P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} , \tag{3}
\]

where \( n_t \) is the number of the compressor stage; \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) is the aggregate electricity consumption of the compressor.

The air mass flow rate of each stage compressor should be kept within the lower and upper bound as follows:

\[
u_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} q_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} \leq q_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} \leq \left( u_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} q_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} \right) , \tag{4}
\]

where \( q_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} \) and \( q_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} \) are the limits of the mass flow rate of air of compressor \( r \).

The relationship between air temperature of input and output of \( r \)th stage compressor is depicted in (Arabkoobsar et al., 2017):

\[
T_{CAESC,out}^{CAESC} = T_{CAESC,in}^{CAESC} \frac{1}{\eta_{rCAESC}} \left( \frac{P_{CAESC}^{CAESC}}{P_{CAESC}^{CAESC}} \right)^{\frac{\kappa_{rCAESC}}{\kappa_{CAESC}} - 1} - 1 + \eta_{rCAESC} , \tag{5}
\]

where \( T_{CAESC,out}^{CAESC} \) is the output temperature of the \( r \)th stage compressor at period \( t \).

Air pressure of each stage compressor is constrained as follows:

\[
P_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} = P_{EP}^{CAESC} , \tag{6}
\]

\[
\frac{P_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC}}{P_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC}} \leq \frac{P_{CAESC}^{CAESC}}{P_{CAESC}^{CAESC}} \leq \frac{P_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC}}{P_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC}} , \tag{7}
\]

\[
\frac{P_{CAESC}^{CAESC}}{P_{CAESC}^{CAESC}} \leq \frac{P_{CAESC}^{CAESC}}{P_{CAESC}^{CAESC}} , \tag{8}
\]

\[
P_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} = P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} , \quad 1 \leq r \leq n_c - 1 , \tag{9}
\]

\[
P_{rCAESC}^{CAESC} = P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} , \tag{10}
\]

\[
P_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} \leq P_{r_{CAESC}}^{CAESC} \leq \beta_{rCAESC} P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} , \tag{11}
\]

where \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) is the input air pressure of the first stage at period \( t \); \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) is the input air pressure of the \( r \)th stage compressor at period \( t \); \( P_{EP}^{CAESC} \) is the environment pressure; \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) and \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) are limits of input air pressure of \( r \)th stage compressor; \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) and \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) are the output air pressure of \( r \)th stage compressor; \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) and \( P_{CAESC}^{CAESC} \) are the limits of output air pressure of \( r \)th stage compressor; \( \beta_{rCAESC} \) is the compression ratio of \( r \)th stage compressor.

### Turbine

For HA-CAES, electricity generated by \( s \)th stage turbine can be calculated by

\[
P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} = \eta_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} K \frac{1}{K - 1} R_g q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} T_{CAESG,in}^{CAESG} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{P_{CAESG}^{CAESG}}{P_{CAESG}^{CAESG}} \right)^{\frac{\kappa_{sCAESG}}{\kappa_{CAESG}}} \right] , \tag{13}
\]

where \( P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \) is the electricity generation of \( s \)th stage turbine at the time \( t \); \( \eta_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \) is the adiabatic efficiency of \( s \)th stage turbine; \( q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \) is the mass flow rate of air of \( s \)th stage turbine; \( T_{CAESG,in}^{CAESG} \) is the input temperature of the \( s \)th stage turbine; \( P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \), and \( P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \) are the input and output air pressure of \( s \)th stage turbine.

Electricity generated by each stage of the turbine should stay within these bounds:

\[
u_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \leq q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \leq \left( u_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \right) , \tag{14}
\]

where \( u_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \) is the binary variable; one means the turbine is working, and 0 means the turbine is stopped; \( P_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \) and \( P_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \) are the limits of electricity generation by \( s \)th stage turbine.

Accordingly, we can get the aggregate electricity generation as follow:

\[
P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} , \tag{15}
\]

where \( n_s \) is the number of turbine stages; \( P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \) is the aggregate electricity generation by the turbine of HA-CAES.

The air mass flow rate of each stage turbine should be kept within this limit:

\[
u_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \leq q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \leq \left( u_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \right) , \tag{16}
\]

where \( q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \) and \( q_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \) are the limits of the mass flow rate of air of \( s \)th stage turbine.

The connection between the input and output air temperature of the \( s \)th stage turbine at period \( t \) can be modeled as

\[
T_{CAESG,out}^{CAESG} = T_{CAESG,in}^{CAESG} \eta_{sCAESG}^{CAESG} \left[ \left( \frac{P_{CAESG}^{CAESG}}{P_{CAESG}^{CAESG}} \right)^{\frac{\kappa_{sCAESG}}{\kappa_{CAESG}}} - 1 + \frac{1}{\eta_{sCAESG}^{CAESG}} \right] , \tag{17}
\]

where \( T_{CAESG,out}^{CAESG} \) is the output temperature of the \( s \)th stage turbine at period \( t \).

Air pressure of each stage turbine is restricted as follows:

\[
P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} = P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} , \tag{18}
\]

\[
P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \geq P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \leq P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} , \tag{19}
\]

\[
P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \leq P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \leq P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} , \tag{20}
\]

\[
P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} = P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} , \quad 1 \leq s \leq n_c - 1 , \tag{21}
\]

\[
P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} = P_{EP}^{CAESG} , \tag{22}
\]

\[
P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} = P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} , \tag{23}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{Y_s} P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \leq P_{CAESG}^{CAESG} \leq \frac{P_{CAESG}^{CAESG}}{Y_s} , \tag{24}
\]
where $p_{i,t}^{CAESG,in}$ and $p_{s,t}^{CAESG,in}$ are input air pressure the first stage and $s^{th}$ stage turbine at period $t$; $p_{i,t}^{AST}$ is the rated pressure of air storage tank; $p_{i,t}^{CAESG,min}$ and $p_{i,t}^{CAESG,max}$ are limits of input air pressure of $s^{th}$ stage turbine; $p_{i,t}^{CAESG,out}$ and $p_{s,t}^{CAESG,out}$ are output air pressure of $n_e$ and $s^{th}$ stage turbine; $T_{r,t}$, and $T_{s,t}$ are expansion ratio of $s^{th}$ stage turbine.

**Air Storage Tank**

The pressure of compressed air in the air storage tank at period $t + 1$ can be modeled as follow:

$$p^s_{r,t} = p^s_{r,t} + \frac{1}{V}R_{g}T^s_{r,t}(u^s_{r,t}^{CAESG}q^s_{m,r,t}^{CAESG} - u^s_{r,t}^{CAESG}q^s_{m,r,t}^{CAESG}), \quad (25)$$

where $p^s_{r,t}$ is the pressure of the air storage tank at period $t$; $V$ is the volume of the air storage tank; $T^s_{r,t}$ is the temperature of the air storage tank.

The air pressure in the air storage tank should be kept in the lower and upper bound:

$$p_{min} \leq p^s_{r,t} \leq p_{max}, \quad (26)$$

where $p_{min}$ and $p_{max}$ are the limits of the pressure of the air storage tank.

**Solar Thermal Collection Module**

STC module converts solar energy into heat energy that can be directly used through devices such as mirrors, receivers, and exchangers:

$$H_{STC,t} = \eta_{OPT,STC}n_{END} \eta_{CIN} \epsilon u_{SF,t} \quad (27)$$

where $H_{STC,t}$ is the heat collected by the STC module at period $t$; $A_{STC}$ is the mirror field area of the photothermal thermal collecting module; $D$ is the direct normal radiation intensity of sunlight at period $t$; $\eta_{OPT,STC}$ is the reference optical efficiency, which mainly depends on the mirror reflectance, the refractive index of the glass tube, the absorption rate of selective coating of receiving tube, and other factors; $\eta_{END}$ is the terminal loss optical efficiency, which is used to represent the influence of the adjustment of receiver Angle on the actual illuminated area; $\eta_{CIN}$ is the cleanliness coefficient of the reflecting mirror and glass tube surface; $\epsilon$ is the heat transfer coefficient of the solar cooling heat exchanger.

**Compressed Heat Recovery System**

In the charging process, the compression heat generated by $r^{th}$ stage compressor is recovered by cooler and can be expressed as (Dielmann and van der Velden, 2003; Hwang et al., 2012; Budt et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017):

$$H_{r,t}^{CAESG} = c_{w}q_{m,t}^{CAESG}(T_{r,t}^{CAESG,out} - T_{r,t}^{CAESG,in}), \quad 1 \leq r \leq n_e - 1, \quad (28)$$

$$H_{n_e,t}^{CAESG} = c_{w}q_{m,t}^{CAESG}(T_{n_e,t}^{CAESG,out} - T_{ET}), \quad (29)$$

where $H_{r,t}^{CAESG}$ and $H_{n_e,t}^{CAESG}$ are the thermal collected by $r^{th}$ stage cooler; $c_{w}$ is the constant pressure-specific heat of air; $T_{ET}$ is the environment temperature.

Therefore, the aggregate thermal energy collected during charging process is the sum of that of each cooler,

$$H_{t}^{CAESG} = \sum_{r=1}^{n_e} H_{r,t}^{CAESG}, \quad (30)$$

Also, heat energy consumed by $s^{th}$ stage turbine during discharging can be depicted by

$$H_{s,t}^{CAESG} = c_{w}q_{m,s,t}^{CAESG}(T_{s,t}^{CAESG,in} - T_{ET}), \quad 1 < s \leq n_e, \quad (31)$$

$$H_{s,t}^{CAESG} = c_{w}q_{m,s,t}^{CAESG}(T_{s,t}^{CAESG,min} - T_{s,t}^{CAESG,out}), \quad 1 < s \leq n_e, \quad (32)$$

where $H_{s,t}^{CAESG}$ and $H_{s,t}^{CAESG}$ are the consumed thermal by the first and $s^{th}$ stage turbine.

Similarly, we can get the aggregate thermal energy consumed as follow

$$H_{t}^{CAESG} = \sum_{s=1}^{n_e} H_{s,t}^{CAESG}, \quad (33)$$

The state of charge (SOC) of the TES of HA-CAES can be depicted as

$$H_{t}^{STC} = H_{t-1}^{STC} + u_{t}^{CAESG}H_{t}^{CAESG} - u_{t}^{CAESG}H_{s,t}^{CAESG} - H_{t}^{HSTC}, \quad (34)$$

$$H_{t}^{STC} \leq H_{t}^{HSTC} \leq H_{max}, \quad (35)$$

where $H_{t}^{HSTC}$ is the heat recovered by the system at period $t$; $H_{min}^{STC}$ and $H_{max}^{STC}$ are limits of thermal that can be stored in the system.

**Operation of Green Pollution-Free Micro Energy Network**

**Objective Function**

This article assumes that the HA-CAES hub, the heat collected by STC, and the heat pump are the thermal sources in the proposed ZCE-MEN, and the heat pump is the standby thermal source. At the same time, we think that the heat pump buys electricity from the grid. We aim to reduce MEN scheduling costs throughout the scheduling period:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n_{hp}} m_{buy,i}(E_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hp}} \theta H_{t}^{hp} - H_{STC,t}) \quad (36)$$

where $m_{buy,i}$ and $E_{i}$ are the electricity price and power bought from the grid at period $t$; $H_{t}^{hp}$ is the power demand of heat pump, $\theta$ is the conversion factor that converts the required heat energy into electrical energy; $n_{hp}$ is the number of the equipped heat pumps.

The objective function (36) is subjected to the following constraints.

**Constraints**

**Heat Pump and Circulating Water Pump**

The aggregate thermal energy generated by the HA-CAES hub and heat pump equipped at node $i$ can be depicted by:

$$H_{t}^{hp} + H_{t}^{d} + H_{STC,t} = c_{w}m_{hp,i}^{hp}(T_{t}^{sp} - T_{ET}) \quad (37)$$
where $H_{i,t}^{hp}$ and $H_{i,t}^{rt}$ are the heat generated by the heat pump and HA-CAES hub at period $t$; $c_w$ is the constant pressure specific heat of recycling water; $m_{i,t}^{j,p}$ is the mass flow rate of recycled water at the node $i$; $T_{i,t}^{SP}$, and $T_{i,t}^{RT}$ are the temperature of the supply water system and return water system at node $i$.

The temperature of the water at each heat pump should be in the lower and upper bound as follow:

$$T_{i,t}^{SP}_{\text{min}} \leq T_{i,t}^{SP} \leq T_{i,t}^{SP}_{\text{max}}$$

where $T_{i,t}^{SP}_{\text{min}}$ and $T_{i,t}^{SP}_{\text{max}}$ are the limits of the temperature of supply water at node $i$.

Electricity consumed by circulating water pump equipped at the node $i$ is given by:

$$P_{i,t}^{p} = m_{i,t}^{p} \frac{P_{i,t}^{sp} - P_{i,t}^{rt}}{\eta_{i}^{p}}$$

where $P_{i,t}^{sp}$ and $P_{i,t}^{rt}$ are pressure in the supply water and return water of node $i$ at period $t$; $P_{i,t}^{p}$ is the electricity demand of circulating water pump at the node $i$; $\rho$ is the density of recycled water; $\eta_{i}^{p}$ is the efficiency of the circulating water pump.

The power consumption of heat pump and circulating water pump should be in the lower and upper bound as follows:

$$P_{i,t}^{hp}_{\text{min}} \leq P_{i,t}^{hp} \leq P_{i,t}^{hp}_{\text{max}}, P_{i,t}^{rt}_{\text{min}} \leq P_{i,t}^{rt} \leq P_{i,t}^{rt}_{\text{max}}$$

where $P_{i,t}^{hp}_{\text{min}}$, $P_{i,t}^{hp}_{\text{max}}$ and $P_{i,t}^{hp}_{\text{min}}$, $P_{i,t}^{hp}_{\text{max}}$ are the limits of power consumption of heat pump and circulating water pump equipped at the node $i$.

**Heat Load**

Heat load at node $i$ of DHN in MEN can be modeled as (Budt et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016):

$$H_{i,t} = c_w m_{i,t}^{d} (T_{i,t}^{SP} - T_{i,t}^{RT}) + H_{STC,t},$$

where $m_{i,t}^{d}$ is the mass flow rate of recycled water of head load at the node $i$ at period $t$; $H_{i,t}^{d}$ is heat load demand.

Each HA-CAES connected to the node $i$ has the minimum water supply pressure and return water pressure of a circulating water pump:

$$P_{i,t}^{sp} - P_{i,t}^{rt} \geq P_{\text{min}},$$

Therefore, the temperature of return water at heat load $i$ is limited by:

$$T_{i,t}^{RT}_{\text{min}} \leq T_{i,t}^{RT} \leq T_{i,t}^{RT}_{\text{max}}$$

where $T_{i,t}^{RT}_{\text{min}}$ and $T_{i,t}^{RT}_{\text{max}}$ are the constraints of the temperature of return water.

**District Heat Network**

Since the liquid flows continuously (Budt et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016), for each node $i \in H(N)$, satisfy the following equation:

$$\sum_{b \in F(i)} m_{b,t}^{k,i} + m_{j,t}^{d,i} = m_{j,t}^{a,i} + \sum_{b \in T(i)} m_{b,t}^{k,i}, \forall i,t$$

where $F(i)$ and $T(i)$ are the set of pipes with the node $i$ as ‘from’ or ‘to’ node; $m_{b,t}^{k,i}$ and $m_{b,t}^{k,i}$ are the mass flow rate of recycled water of supply and return water system of pipe $b$ at the time $t$; $m_{j,t}^{d}$ and $m_{i,t}^{d}$ are the mass flow rate of recycled water of heat generation unit and heat load at node $i$.

The relationship between the temperature of node $i \in H(N)$, and temperature of pipe $b \in H(P)$, can be modeled as:

$$\sum_{b \in F(i)} (T_{b,t}^{SP} m_{b,t}^{SP}) = T_{i,t}^{SP} \sum_{b \in T(i)} m_{b,t}^{RT},$$

$$\sum_{b \in F(i)} (T_{b,t}^{RT} m_{b,t}^{RT}) = T_{i,t}^{RT} \sum_{b \in T(i)} m_{b,t}^{RT},$$

where $T_{i,t}^{SP}$ is the output temperature of pipe $b$ of the supply system, and $T_{i,t}^{RT}$ is the output temperature of return system, both are at time $t$.

The relationship between node temperature and temperature of the pipe is depicted as:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
T_{i,t}^{SP}, m_{i,t}^{SP} \\
T_{i,t}^{RT}, m_{i,t}^{RT}
\end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix}
T_{b,t}^{SP}, m_{b,t}^{SP} \\
T_{b,t}^{RT}, m_{b,t}^{RT}
\end{bmatrix},$$

where $T_{i,t}^{SP}$ is the input temperature of pipe $b$ of the supply system, and $T_{i,t}^{RT}$ is the input temperature of return system, both are at time $t$.

Mass flow of each pipe $b$ of the supply network and return network should be restricted based on the physical characteristics of the pipe:

$$0 \leq m_{b,t}^{SP} \leq m_{b,t}^{SP}_{\text{max}},$$

$$0 \leq m_{b,t}^{RT} \leq m_{b,t}^{RT}_{\text{max}},$$

where $m_{b,t}^{SP}$ and $m_{b,t}^{RT}$ are the constraints of the mass flow rate of recycled water through the pipe $b$.

The pressure between input and output of pipe $b$ can be depicted as (Dielmann and van der Velden, 2003):

$$\begin{align*}
P_{b,t}^{SP} - P_{b,t}^{RT} &= \mu_{b} (m_{b,t}^{SP})^2, \\
P_{b,t}^{RT} - P_{b,t}^{SP} &= \mu_{b} (m_{b,t}^{RT})^2,
\end{align*}$$

where $\mu_{b}$ is the pressure loss coefficient of the pipe.

During the circulation of water in the pipeline, the temperature drops exponentially.

$$\begin{align*}
T_{b,t}^{SP} &= \left(T_{b,t}^{SP,0} - T_{amb}^{SP}\right) e^{-\frac{L_{b}}{h_{b}^{d}}} + T_{amb}^{SP}, \\
T_{b,t}^{RT} &= \left(T_{b,t}^{RT,0} - T_{amb}^{RT}\right) e^{-\frac{L_{b}}{h_{b}^{d}}} + T_{amb}^{RT},
\end{align*}$$

where $h_{b}^{d}$ is temperature loss coefficient of pipe; $L_{b}$ is the length of pipe $b$; $T_{amb}^{SP}$ is the ambient temperature.

**Power Distribution Network**

It is supposed that the DistFlow model is used to represent the PDN of proposed ZCE-MEN. The improved DistFlow model includes renewable energy, continuous and discrete reactive
power compensators. Thus, the PDN of ZCE-MEN can be expressed as (Baran and Wu, 1989; Farivar and Low, 2013; Ji et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2017):

\[
P_{ij} + P_{ij}^d - r_{ij}i_{ij} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}(j)} P_{jk} + P_{ij}^d, \tag{54}
\]

\[
Q_{ij} + Q_{ij}^d - x_{ij}i_{ij} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}(j)} Q_{jk} + Q_{ij}^d, \tag{55}
\]

\[
U_j = U_i - 2(r_{ij}P_{ij} + x_{ij}Q_{ij}) + (z_{ij})^2, \tag{56}
\]

\[
i_{ij}U_j = P_{ij}^d + Q_{ij}^2, \tag{57}
\]

\[
i_{ij} \leq i_{ij,max} \tag{58}
\]

\[
U_{j,min} \leq U_j \leq U_{j,max}, U_0 = \leq V_{d}, \tag{59}
\]

\[
P_{j,min} \leq P_{j}^d \leq P_{j,max}, \tag{60}
\]

\[
Q_{j,min} \leq Q_{j}^d \leq Q_{j,max} \tag{61}
\]

\[
P_{ij} + PV_{t}^d + P_{CAES} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}(j)} P_{jk} + P_{ij}^d + P_{CAES}, \tag{62}
\]

\[
Q_{ij} + q_{ij}^d = \frac{U_{ij,C_{ij}}}{2} + Q_{ij} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}(j)} Q_{kj} + q_{ij}^d, \tag{63}
\]

\[
U_{ij} = U_i - r_{ij}P_{ij} + x_{ij}Q_{ij}, \tag{64}
\]

\[
U_{ij} = U_i - r_{ij}P_{ij} + x_{ij}Q_{ij}, \tag{65}
\]

\[
0_{j,min} \leq U_{ij} \leq U_{j,max}, \tag{66}
\]

\[
PV_{t}^d_{\min} \leq PV_{t}^d \leq PV_{t}^d_{\max}. \tag{67}
\]

where \(P_{ij}\) and \(Q_{ij}\) are the active power and reactive power flow of line \(l(i,j)\); \(P_{ij}^d\), and \(Q_{ij}^d\) are the active power and reactive power generation of generation unit at the bus \(j\); \(P_{l(1)}\), and \(Q_{l(1)}\) are the active power and reactive power demand of load at the bus \(j\); \(i_{ij}\) is the square of the current through-line \(l(i,j)\), while \(i_{ij,max}\) is the upper bound; \(r_{ij}\) and \(x_{ij}\) are the resistance and reactance of line \(l(i,j)\), while \(z_{ij}\) is the impedance of line \(l(i,j)\); \(U_i\) is the square of the voltage amplitude of bus \(i\); \(V_{d}\) is the voltage of slack bus; \(P_{l,min}\), and \(P_{l,max}\) are the limits of the active power output of the generation unit; \(Q_{l,min}\) and \(Q_{l,max}\) are the limits of the reactive power output of the generation unit, \(PV_{t}^d\) is the power output of PV at period \(t\); \(C_{ij}\) is the value of shunt capacitors/reactors; \(Q_{CAES}\) is the supplementary reactive power of continuous compensator; \(q_{ij}\) is the tap ratio of on-load tap changer (OLTC) on the line \(l(i,j)\); \(PV_{t}^d_{\min}\) and \(PV_{t}^d_{\max}\) are the limits of available output of PV.

Eq. 54 and Eq. 55 depict the balance of the active power and reactive power of PDN, respectively. Eq. 56 describes the voltage drops along the distribution line \(l(i,j)\). The connection between power and the square of the voltage and the current is modeled in Eq. 57. The limits of the square of the current and voltage are shown in Eq. 58 and Eq. 59, respectively. Eq. 60 shows the lower and upper bound of active power and reactive power.

The active power distribution of line \(l(i,j)\) is formulated as (61). Eq. 62 and Eq. 63 depict the reactive power distribution for line \(l(i,j)\) with and without reactive power compensator equipped on bus \(j\), respectively. Eq. 64 and Eq. 65 denote the voltage of bus \(j\) for line \(l(i,j)\) with or without OLTC. The available solar power is constrained by Eq. 67.

**Model Simplifications**

The dispatch model proposed above is a large-scale MINLP problem, which is difficult to solve by various existing solvers. In this section, the various parts of the models are linearized to obtain a MILP problem that is easy to solve with CPLEX.

**CP-CT Operation Model Simplification**

Due to the complexity of hydrothermal dynamics, it is difficult to adjust air pressure \(p\), temperature \(T\), and mass flow rate \(qm\) in HA-CAES at the same time. Fortunately, HA-CAES hub in actual operation usually operates in a constant pressure and constant temperature (CP-CT) mode (Diemlmann and van der Velden, 2003). Therefore, 1) and 13) reduces to

\[
p_{CAES}^{ct} = \frac{1}{\tau_{CAES}^{ct}} \int_0^1 R_{g} q m_{CAES}^{ct} \left( E_{CAES}^{ct} \right) \left( \beta_{CAES}^{ct} - 1 \right), \tag{68}
\]

\[
p_{CAES}^{ct} = \frac{1}{\tau_{CAES}^{ct}} \int_0^1 R_{g} q m_{CAES}^{ct} \left( E_{CAES}^{ct} \right) \left( 1 - \gamma_{CAES}^{ct} \right), \tag{69}
\]

**Big M Method Simplification**

The following part takes the OLTC branch \(l(i,j)\) as an example to explain the conversion idea of the Big M method. The left side of Eq. 64 can be expanded to (70) and linearized as (71)–(73) (Liu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Ding et al., 2016; Bai et al., 2020):

\[
U_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{ij}} \left( b_{ij,1} + b_{ij,2} \sigma_{ij} + \ldots + b_{ij,kj} \sigma_{ij}^{k} \right), \tag{70}
\]

\[
U_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^{k} h_{ij,k} \sigma_{ij}^{k} \tag{71}
\]

\[
-M (1 - b_{ij,kj}) + U_{ij} \leq h_{ij,kj} \leq M (1 - b_{ij,kj}) + U_{ij}, \tag{72}
\]

\[
-Mb_{ij,kj} \leq h_{ij,kj} \leq Mb_{ij,kj}. \tag{73}
\]
where $\sigma_{ij,1}, \sigma_{ij,2}, \sigma_{ij,n_i}$ are the available value of tap of OLTC; $n_i$ is the quantity of workable OLTC tap values, $b_{ij,1,t}, b_{ij,2,t}$, and $b_{ij,n_i,t}$ are binary variables, with $\sum_{k=1}^{n_i} b_{kj,t} = 1$, $h_{j,k,t}$ is a dummy variable.

Similarly, the other nonlinear constraint problems in PDN can be transformed into linearization problems by using the Big M method, which simplifies the solving process and will not be described here.

The original MINLP has been simplified and transformed into a MILP problem that can be solved efficiently with CPLEX.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

System Settings

Figure 4 shows the configuration of ZCE-MEN. This ZCE-MEN consists of a 9-bus PDN, an eight-node DHN, a HA-CAES hub, a STC, and a heat pump. A HA-CAES hub coupled with STC is installed at bus 2. PV is installed at bus 4, 8, respectively. Equipped with a heat pump at node N1. Because the heat pump purchases electricity directly from the grid. Therefore, DHN and PDN can only be connected through a HA-CAES hub. The relevant parameters of the eight nodes DHN are shown in Appendix Table A1.

For the Chinese sustainable development policy, the location of this ZCE-MEN used to be an industrial area, which was relocated due to severe pollution and high energy consumption. Although it is now an agricultural park, the electricity price is still the same as the previous one. The time-of-use power price in the Qinghai power grid is shown in Figure 5. The data was from Qinghai Development and Reform Commission (Zhang, 2020).

Figure 6 shows the demand of the ZCE-MEN for power and heat and the available solar power.

Simulation of HA-CAES

To verify the model, the modeling and operation are based on the 100kW HA-CAES system located in Qinghai University, Xining City, Qinghai Province (Chen et al., 2018). It is mainly assumed that air is an ideal gas, and the specific heat capacity of air, heat transfer medium, and heat storage medium is constant. Because northwest villages need more heat than electricity. This paper selects the Major Cold solar term (January 20, 2021) as a typical day. The solar irradiance data used is from the measured data in Huzhu County, Haidong City, Qinghai Province. The longitude is 101.956,734 and the latitude is 34.83994. The main parameters of the system are detailed in Table 1:

The simulation parameters of HA-CAES are mainly referred to (Jafarkazemi et al., 2016; Bai et al., 2020; Bai et al., 2021), and we have refined the parameters to be more reasonable according to the actual situation. Table 2 and Table 3 illustrate the rated parameters of the selected three-stage compressor and three-stage turbine.

The energy flow in the whole process of the designed HA-CAES hub is illustrated in Figure 7. The E-E conversion efficiency is the ratio of the energy generated by the turbine to the energy consumed by compressor. The round trip efficiency refers to the ratio of the energy output to the input system in a cycle of energy storage-standstill-release energy (Mei et al., 2015). For the system proposed in this paper, the E-E energy conversion efficiency $\eta_e = 1.24/1.89 = 65.61\%$, the round trip efficiency $\eta_{CAES} = (1.24 + 0.76)/(1.89 + 0.96) = 70.18\%$. Due to the addition of the STC module, the efficiency has been greatly improved compared with 41.03% in (Mei et al., 2015).

Simulation Result

Operation of HA-CAES

The SOC of HA-CAES and that of TES of the HA-CAES hub are indicated in Figure 8. From this figure, we can see that HA-CAES,
TABLE 1 | The rated parameters of the HA-CAES system.

| Parameters                              | Value |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|
| Air mass flow of compressor kg/s        | 0.64  |
| Air mass flow of turbine kg/s           | 2.46  |
| Adiabatic exponent of air               | 1.4   |
| Gas constant J/mol - K                  | 0.297 |
| Air storage tank volume m³              | 1000  |
| Specific heat capacity of air kJ/kg - K | 1.007 |
| Specific heat capacity of water kJ/kg - K | 4.2   |
| Air constant J/kg - K                   | 287   |
| Ambient temperature K                   | 293   |
| Environment pressure MPa                | 0.1   |
| The temperature of the hot heat reservoir °C | 300   |
| The temperature of the cold heat reservoir °C | 120   |
| The inlet temperature of air turbine °C | 280   |
| The area of the STC field m²            | 2000  |
| Solar irradiance W/m²                   | 800   |
| Minimum solar irradiation required for STC operation W/m² | 550   |
| STC heat storage duration h             | 6     |
| Efficiency of STC                       | 67%   |
| Charging time h                         | 4     |
| Discharging time h                      | 4     |
| Solar hours/day h                       | 12/20 (20 January) |

TABLE 2 | Rated parameters of the compressor.

| Compressor | p_{in} (MPa) | p_{out} (MPa) | \tau_{in} (C) | \tau_{out} (C) | P (kW) | \eta |
|------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------|-----|
| 1-stage    | 0.1          | 0.86          | 20            | 176           | 125    | 0.80|
| 2-stage    | 0.86         | 2.83          | 45            | 182           | 60     | 0.75|
| 3-stage    | 2.83         | 9.83          | 45            | 157           | 55     | 0.85|

TABLE 3 | Rated parameters of the turbine.

| Turbine | p_{in} (MPa) | p_{out} (MPa) | \tau_{in} (C) | \tau_{out} (C) | P (kW) | \eta |
|---------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------|-----|
| 1-stage | 8.4          | 21.5          | 280           | 109           | 70     | 0.86|
| 2-stage | 2.15         | 0.53          | 280           | 102           | 60     | 0.86|
| 3-stage | 0.53         | 0.01          | 280           | 100           | 70     | 0.87|

during the period of low electricity price, for example, from t = 9, t = 11 to t = 13 and t = 17 to t = 18, uses free solar power and cheap electricity to fill air into the SPT to store energy in two forms, namely, the thermal energy and the molecular potential energy. In the case of consuming a certain amount of thermal energy in TES, the latter one can be used to drive the turbine to generate electricity at the on-peak time, for example, periods t = 20. At the same time, it can directly provide residents with heat to reduce consumption.

Figure 9 shows the heat power output of the STC module, HA-CAES hub, and the heat pump. Villages in the northwest rely almost as much on heat as electricity. Although part of the heat load of DHN is provided by the heat pump. Due to the coupling of the STC module, the heat generated by it can heat the compressed air, and can also be directly used to provide thermal for the residents. It can be illustrated from Figure 9 that the load of the heat pump has been greatly reduced. Most of the heat is provided by STC module. Consequently, the cost is greatly saved.

Operation Costs

Table 4 illustrates the operation costs under different operation modes. This table compares the operating costs of the ZCE-MEN and the separate operations of PDN and DHN. Through the joint optimization of the PDN and DHN for the HA-CAES hub, there has been a conspicuous reduction. The operating cost of the proposed ZCE-MEN is reduced by 4.78% compared with the single optimization of DHN and PDN. The comparison results show that the introduction of STC module enriches the heat source of the system. This enables the HA-CAES hub to provide more heat demand while ensuring the power supply load. It reduces the need for heat pumps to purchase electricity from the grid, thereby improving the operating economy of the system. And the level of solar output level has a great influence on the operation costs.

Solar Power Curtailment

The electricity purchased from the power grid, PV power plants, and curtailment power of solar in different operation modes with and without HA-CAES are compared in Figure 10 and Figure 11.

We can compare Figure 10 and Figure 11 to know that by using the HA-CAES hub in the proposed ZCE-MEN to store the free solar energy during off-peak hours, and use the stored energy to provide power or heat demand during on-peak hours, such as t = 20 to t = 23. The green shades in Figure 10 and Figure 11 show that compared with traditional MEN, the solar curtailment rate of ZCE-MEN has been greatly reduced. Consequently, the need to purchase electricity from the grid can be reduced, thereby reducing system operating costs.

Optimal Temperature Distribution

Figure 12 and Figure 13 present the optimal temperature distribution of HA-CAES at the on-peak heat load period and off-peak heat load period. Comparing these two figures, the temperatures of the supply system are much higher than the return system. Meanwhile, the temperatures of the return system at the off-peak time are much lower than the on-peak time, so it can be seen that the recycling water system is responsible for a
large part of the heating demand. It provides effective protection for residents’ domestic water.

The Effect of Irradiance on the Overall Performance

The STC heats the heat-conducting oil in the hot HR to 300°C by focusing and collecting heat, and then exchanges heat with the air through the heat exchange system to heat the compressed air. The heat-conducting oil after heat exchange enters the cold HR and is used to supply heat to residents. After that, it is transported to the STC to be heated again. Figure 14 shows the measured solar irradiation intensity on sunny and cloudy days. On a typical sunny day, the design temperature can usually be reached within one cycle. However, due to insufficient solar on typical cloudy days, it is difficult for the heat-conducting oil in the hot HR to reach the design temperature after one cycle. At this point, it will continue to circulate heating. From Figure 14, we can see that the solar irradiation intensity is about 70% of that on sunny days.

The heating is designed according to the requirements of national standards. The heating temperature of the room was kept between 16°C and 24°C, the qualified heating temperature was above 16°C, and the standard room temperature was above 18°C (Health Legal System and S, 2003; Ministry of
Table 5 shows the rated heating parameters of the ZCE-MEN. Table 6 demonstrates the situation of power supply and heating on different typical days. To analyze the impact of solar irradiance on the system more clearly, only HA-CAES is considered. No heat pump is used for heating. It can be seen from the figure that under the premise of ensuring stable electricity consumption for residents, the heating area on sunny days is 34% larger than that on cloudy days. Under the premise of ensuring stable heating for residents, the power supply time on a sunny day is 43% longer than in the cloudy scenario. This has largely solved the problem of heating difficulties in northwestern villages. The use of HA-CAES as a clean energy hub has solved environmental pollution. Thereby effectively protecting the fragile ecological environment of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau.

Although cloudy weather has a greater impact on the system, the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau has abundant solar energy resources. The annual heating season is not the rainy season. Therefore, there is less cloudy weather, and most of the time the solar irradiance is at a satisfactory level. Therefore, HA-CAES is still very helpful to satisfy residents’ electricity and heat demands.

**CONCLUSION**

In this paper, a ZCE-MEN using HA-CAES with STC as an energy hub is proposed and analyzed. HA-CAES uses the compression heat and the solar thermal energy collected by
adapt to the uncertain situation in the actual system. Our further
solar power is the daily average. Therefore, this method cannot
and cities. It is of great signi-

Please note that the system parameters in this article are
assumed to be de-

villages, parameters such as the specific heat capacity will affect
the system. We also focus on the study of these thermal
parameters on the overall performance of the system in future
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**APPENDIX A1**

| No | Heat demand (kWh) | Lower pressure limit of the water supply and return system (MPa) | Upper temperature limit of the supply water system (℃) | Lower temperature limit of the supply water system (℃) | Upper temperature limit of the return water system (℃) | Lower temperature limit of the return water system (℃) | Mass flow (kg/s) |
|----|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1  | 0                 | 0.5                                                           | 120                                                  | 90                                                     | 80                                                     | 60                                                     | 0               |
| 2  | 0                 | 0.5                                                           | 120                                                  | 90                                                     | 80                                                     | 60                                                     | 0               |
| 3  | 0                 | 0.5                                                           | 120                                                  | 90                                                     | 80                                                     | 60                                                     | 0               |
| 4  | 0                 | 0.5                                                           | 120                                                  | 90                                                     | 80                                                     | 60                                                     | 0               |
| 5  | 250               | 0.5                                                           | 120                                                  | 90                                                     | 80                                                     | 60                                                     | 2               |
| 6  | 250               | 0.5                                                           | 120                                                  | 90                                                     | 80                                                     | 60                                                     | 2               |
| 7  | 250               | 0.5                                                           | 120                                                  | 90                                                     | 80                                                     | 60                                                     | 2               |
| 8  | 500               | 0.5                                                           | 120                                                  | 90                                                     | 80                                                     | 60                                                     | 4               |