Spinal RacGAP α-Chimaerin Is Required to Establish the Midline Barrier for Proper Corticospinal Axon Guidance
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In the developing CNS, the midline barrier, which comprises guidance molecule-expressing midline glial somata and processes, plays a pivotal role in midline axon guidance. Accumulating evidence has revealed the molecular mechanisms by which the midline barrier ensures proper midline guidance for axons. In contrast, the mechanisms for establishing the midline barrier remain obscure. Here, we report that Rac-specific GTPase-activating protein (RacGAP) α-chimaerin is required for both axonal repulsion at and establishment of the midline barrier in the spinal cord. We generated cortex-specific and spinal-cord-specific α-chimaerin gene (Chn1) knock-out mice (Cx-Chn1KO and Sp-Chn1KO mice, respectively) and found that both showed aberrant corticospinal tract (CST) axon midline crossing in the spinal cord. Strikingly, Sp-Chn1KO mice had breaks (holes) in the ephrinB3(+/-) spinal midline barrier and EphA4(+) CST axons aberrantly crossed the midline through these holes. During normal embryonic development, EphA4(+) spinal cells are located in juxta-midline areas but are excluded from the midline. In contrast, in Chn1KO embryos, several EphA4(+) cells were aberrantly relocated into the midline and the midline barrier was broken around these cells. Similarly, the spinal cord midline of Epha4KO mice was invaded by juxta-midline EphA4 cells (i.e., Epha4 promoter-active cells) during the embryonic stage and holes were formed in the midline barrier. Juxta-midline EphA4 cells in the spinal cord expressed α-chimaerin. We propose that spinal α-chimaerin aids in establishing an intact spinal midline barrier by mediating juxta-midline EphA4(+) cell repulsion, thus preventing these cells from breaking into the ephrinB3(+) midline barrier.

Key words: α-chimaerin; cell migration; CNS; midline axon guidance; midline barrier; mouse genetics

Significance Statement
The midline barrier plays a critical role in midline axon guidance, which is fundamental to the formation of neural circuits that are responsible for proper left–right coordination of the body. Studies have revealed some of the mechanisms underlying how the midline barrier navigates axons. In contrast, the establishment of the midline barrier during embryonic development remains unclear. In this study, we determined that α-chimaerin is required for the formation of an intact midline barrier. Spinal-cord-specific α-chimaerin knock-out mice had spinal midline barriers with numerous breaks (holes), through which corticospinal axons aberrantly crossed the midline. We propose that α-chimaerin protects the midline barrier by mediating cell-repulsive signaling in juxta-midline cells, which prevents these cells from invading the midline.

Introduction
Proper left–right coordination of bilateria relies on precise wiring of their neural circuits at the midline. In vertebrates, midline glia arrange their cell bodies and processes in a sheet to form the midline barrier (MB), which separates commissural and noncommissural axons (Snow et al., 1990; McDermott et al., 2005; Marichal et al., 2012; Sakai and Kaprielian, 2012). During develop-
opment, contralaterally projecting axons enter the midline by recognizing attractive cues secreted by midline glia and subsequently depart from the midline to the opposite side by recognizing repulsive cues from midline glia (Chédotal, 2011). For example, commissural axons originating from the dorsal spinal cord in mice navigate toward the ventral midline by attractive cues (e.g., netrin-1 and Sonic Hedgehog) secreted by ventral midline glia (floor plate cells) (Se-rafini et al., 1996; Charron et al., 2003; Chédotal, 2011). Subsequently, these axons are driven out of the midline to the contralateral side by repulsive cues (such as Slit) expressed by midline glia (Long et al., 2004; Pignata et al., 2016). In contrast, axons that should not cross the midline are prevented from entering the midline by expressing receptors for repulsive cues presented on midline glial somata and processes. For example, in the optic chiasm of the mouse, midline glia express ephrinB2 to repel axons of ipsilaterally projecting retinal ganglion cells that express EphB1 (Erskine and Herrera, 2014). In the spinal cord of the mouse, midline glia express ephrinB3 to repel corticospinal tract (CST) axons that express EphA4 (Coonan et al., 2001; Kullander et al., 2001a; Yokoyama et al., 2001). As described above, research has furthered our understanding of the molecular mechanisms by which the MB sorts axons for proper midline guidance. In contrast, how the MB itself is established during development remains unclear.

Rac-specific GTPase-activating protein (RacGAP) α-chimaerin is a negative regulator of Rac that controls actin cytoskeleton dynamics (Kozma et al., 1996). Both spontaneous and targeted mutations of the α-chimaerin gene (Chn1) in mice led to aberrant midline crossing of CST axons, abnormal spinal central pattern generators, and a rabbit-like hopping gait (Beg et al., 2007; Iwasato et al., 2007; Wegmeyer et al., 2007). These phenotypes of α-chimaerin-deficient mice are similar to those of EphA4-deficient (Dottori et al., 1998; Coonan et al., 2001; Kullander et al., 2001a, 2003) and ephrinB3-deficient (Kullander et al., 2001b; Yokoyama et al., 2001; Kullander et al., 2003) mice. In fact, α-chimaerin is a key mediator of ephrinB3–EphA4 forward signaling. α-Chimaerin interacts with EphA4 in vivo and in vitro and inactivates Rac activity in response to ephrinB3–EphA4 forward signaling in vitro (Iwasato et al., 2007; Wegmeyer et al., 2007). Inactivation or suppression of α-chimaerin in cultured neurons inhibits ephrinB3-induced growth cone collapse (Iwasato et al., 2007; Wegmeyer et al., 2007).

In the present study, we first analyzed cortex-specific Chn1 knock-out (Cx-Chn1KO) mice and determined that cortical α-chimaerin is essential for proper CST axon midline guidance. These data confirmed the important role of axonal α-chimaerin in EphA4-dependent axonal repulsion at the ephrinB3 (+) MB. Next, we generated spinal-cord-specific Chn1KO (Sp-Chn1KO) mice. We showed that spinal α-chimaerin plays a critical role for MB establishment. We found that numerous breaks were present in the spinal MB in Sp-Chn1KO mice and CST axons aberrantly crossed the midline through these breaks. During embryonic development of normal mice, spinal EphA4(+) cells located in the midline vicinity expressed α-chimaerin. In Chn1KO mice, these cells accumulated in the midline and breaks in the MB (MB holes) emerged around these cells. Our results indicate that spinal α-chimaerin is essential for establishing an intact spinal MB and excluding juxta-midline EphA4(+) cells from the midline may be the key step for establishing an intact MB. Here, we shed light on the mechanism of MB formation during development, which includes a critical role of ephrinB3–EphA4–α-chimaerin signaling in cell repulsion.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals.** We previously generated Chn1 mutant mice that possess Chn1-/- (Iwasato et al., 2007), Chn1flox, Chn1flx/+, or Chn1flx/flox alleles (Iwata et al., 2014, Emx1-Cre KI-ΔNeo mice (Iwasato et al., 2000; Iwasa-to et al., 2008), and Rosa26-NLS-lacZ (RNZ) reporter mice (Kobayashi et al., 2013). Hoxb8-Cre transgenic mice (Witschi et al., 2010) and EphA4-LacZ gene trap mice (Leighton et al., 2001) were kindly gifted.

For the analyses shown in Figures 1, 3, and 4, Emx1flx/flx/Chn1flx/-(Cx-Chn1KO) and Chn1flx/-(control) mice were used. For the analyses shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3, Hoxb8-CreChn1flx/-(Sp-Chn1KO) and Hoxb8-Cre-Chn1flx/-(control) mice were used. For the analyses shown in Figure 3, Hoxb8-Cre-Chn1flx/-(Sp-n2Chn1KO) and Chn1flx/-(α1Chn1KO) mice were used. Sp-Chn1KO and their control mice contain RNZ reporter, which were used for confirmation of spinal-cord-specific Cre-mediated recombination. For the analyses shown in Figure 4, Hoxb8-Cre-Chn1flx/-(Sp-Chn1KO) mice were used. For the analyses shown in Figures 1, 3, and 6, Chn1flox/-(Sp-Chn1KO) and Chn1flox/-(control) mice were used. For the analyses shown in Figures 8, 9, and 10, Eph4lacZ/LacZ (Eph4KO) and Eph4flx/-(LacZ) (control) mice were used. For the analyses shown in Figures 5, 7, and 9, Chn1flox/-(Sp-Chn1KO) and Chn1flox/-(control) mice were used.

All of the experimental procedures were based on the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Science Council of Japan and were approved by the Animal Experiment Committee of National Institute of Genetics.

**Analysis of CST axons labeled by an anterograde tracer.** The mice were anesthetized by 1.9% isoflurane (DS Pharma Animal Health) at a 260 ml/min flow rate (model 410 anesthesia unit adapted for air pump; Univentor) in adulthood. The heads were fixed with a stereotaxic instrument (Narishige), the head skins were incised, and skulls were unilaterally removed partially. Biotinylated dextran amine (BDA, 15%, invitrogen) in 0.01 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4, was unilaterally injected to the motor and somatosensory cortices (0.2 μl/site into 6–8 injection sites) that innervate cervical cord (Kamiyama et al., 2015). The skull-removed cortex was then covered with petrolatum and the skin was sutured with silk thread. After 2–3 weeks, the mice were killed with isoflurane and transcardially perfused with saline and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Nacalai) in 0.1 M PB. The spinal cord was cut out from the spinal column and postfixed in 4% PFA/0.1 M PB for 6–8 h and subsequently immersed in 30% sucrose/0.1 M PB for >2 d. From the cervical segments, 60-μm-thick coronal sections were prepared with a freezing microtome (Yamato Kohki).

For analysis of the BDA-labeled CST axons in cervical segment 5 (C5) of Cx-Chn1KO mice (n = 7), Chn1KO mice (n = 4), and their controls (Chn1flox/-, n = 6), the axons were colored with VECTASTAIN Elite ABC Kit (Vector Labs) and DAB Substrate Kit (Vector Labs), traced with a camera lucida (Leica) mounted on an upright microscope (DMR; Leica), and retracted and measured with NeuroJ (Meijering et al., 2004), a plug-in for ImageJ software. In another set of BDA-labeled CST axons in C5 of Cx-Chn1KO mice (n = 3), Chn1KO mice (n = 5), and their controls (Chn1flox/-, n = 5), spinal sections were incubated in Streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate, and axons were traced with a confocal microscope (SP5; Leica) and traced with Simple Neurite Tracer (Longair et al., 2011), a plug-in for ImageJ. For detection of the BDA-labeled CST axons in C8 of Sp-Chn1KO mice (n = 7), Chn1KO mice (n = 6), and their controls (n = 8: 5 Hoxb8-Cre; Chn1flx/-(control) and 3 Chn1flox/), all of the spinal sections were incubated in Streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate, and axons were traced with Simple Neurite Tracer as mentioned above.

For the quantification of CST axons that crossed the spinal midline in Figure 1, the areas of midline and adjacent contralateral and ipsilateral dorsal gray matter (DGM) were delimited using a previously reported method (Iwasato et al., 2007). Briefly, the height of each area was defined as the distance from the ventral tip of the dorsal funiculus (DF) to the center of the central canal (CC) and the width was defined as the lateral extent of the CC ependymal cell layer. The ipsilateral side was the BDA-injected side and the contralateral side was the uninjected side. The ratio
of axonal length in the ipsilateral area to that in the contralateral area was calculated in each section.

**Morphological analysis of DGM midline length.** In adulthood, Sp-Chn1KO mice and their controls were transcardially perfused with saline and 4% PFA/0.1 M PB and the spinal columns were taken out. Then, the dorsal parts of spinal columns were removed and the dorsal surfaces of the spinal cords were exposed and immersed in 4% PFA/0.1 M PB for 6–8 h and in 30% sucrose/0.1 M PB for >2 d. Finally, the spinal cords were taken out from the spinal columns. Coronal sections (100 μm-thick) of the C6 were mounted on Frontier-coated glass slides (FRC-01; Matsumani). The sections were applied with PBS, pH 7.4, and covered with cover glasses (Matsumani). The images were obtained with cooled CCD camera (Vario-7010; Keyence) mounted on the upright microscope (DMR; Leica). Midline lengths of the DGM and DF, which were defined as distances between the center of CC and the ventral tip of the DF and that between the DF ventral tip and the dorsal surface of the spinal cord, respectively, were measured. The midline length ratio of DGM and DGM + DF was calculated in each section.

**Antibodies.** Rabbit anti-ephrinB3 (1:100 or 1000; Life Technologies), mouse anti-nestin (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rat anti-CD31/PECAM1 (1:500; Novus Biologicals), mouse anti-beta-galactosidase (β-gal, 1:100; Promega), anti-NeuN (1:1000; Millipore), and rabbit anti-α-z-chimaerin (1:10,000, α2-1 fraction 5; Itawa et al., 2015) were used as primary antibodies. Alexa Fluor 568 (or 647) goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (1:1000; Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor 488 (or 568) goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (1:1000; Invitrogen), and Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rat IgG (H+L) (1:1000; Invitrogen) were used as secondary antibodies.

**Immunohistochemistry and histological analyses.** Embryonic day 14.5 (E14.5), E15.5, and E17.5 mice and postnatal day 0 (P0) and P5 mice were transcardially perfused with saline. Then, the dorsal part of the spinal column was removed and the dorsal surface of the spinal cord was exposed and immersed in 4% PFA/0.1 M PB for 6–8 h and in 30% sucrose/0.1 M PB for >2 d. Finally, the spinal cord was taken out from the spinal column. For the analyses shown in coronal sections, 100-μm-thick sections were made with the freezing microtome from the spinal cord that was frozen in 30% sucrose/0.1 M PB with solid carbon dioxide/ethanol. For the analyses shown in spinal midmidsagittal sections, spinal cord that was placed in Cryomolds (Sakura Finetek) filled with optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Sakura Finetek) was frozen with solid carbon dioxide/isopentane and 100-μm-thick sections were made with a cryostat (CM3050 S; Leica).

For immunohistochemical analyses shown in Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10, the spinal sections (100 μm thick) were reacted with primary antibodies in PBS containing 0.25% Triton X-100 and 1.5% goat serum at 4°C for >1 d and reacted with secondary antibodies in PBS containing 0.25% Triton X-100, 1.5% goat serum, and 1 μg/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) at 4°C for >1 d. For the α2-z-chimaerin and β-gal double staining shown in Figure 7 (100 μm thick), sections were pretreated with 3% H2O2/PBS for 20 min, 0.1 mg/ml pepsin in 0.2 N HCl, 0.1 M PB for 15 min, and PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 10% goat serum for 1 h. These sections were then incubated in rabbit anti-α2-chimaerin and mouse anti-β-gal antibodies at 4°C for >2 d and incubated in biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:200, Vectastain Elite ABC Kit; Vector Labs), Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:1000), and 1 μg/ml DAPI for >2 d. The biotin was detected with the Vectastain Elite ABC kit and the TSA Plus Fluorescein System (PerkinElmer).

LacZ staining for Figure 1C was performed with an X-gal solution (5 mM K3FeCN6, 5 mM K4FeCN6, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mg/ml X-gal in 0.1 M PB) at 37°C.

**Analyses of MB holes.** Each single-plane coronal image shown in Figures 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 was obtained using a confocal microscope. For each z-projection midmidsagittal image in Figure 3, serial single-plane images were obtained from a midmidsagittal section using the z-compensation function of the confocal microscope. In each single-plane image, the MB area was identified using ephrinB3 signal and cropped using ImageJ. Cropped images were subjected to z-projection (ImageJ). For each pseudo-midmidsagittal image in Figures 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9, single-plane images were obtained from a confocal section using the z-compensation function of the confocal microscope. The z-stack image was then virtually sliced along the midsagittal plane using the Reslice function of ImageJ. Resliced images were subjected to z-projection (ImageJ). The resulting pseudo-midmidsagittal images (Figs. 4, 7, 8) were used to measure the area of the MB and MB holes. For the quantification of MB hole size, ephrinB3 signals in z-projection midmidsagittal images of P0 Sp-Chn1KO mice (Fig. 3) were used. The longitudinal length of an MB hole was defined as the length of the longitudinal axis, which is the line between the dorsal and ventral tips of the MB hole. The transverse length was defined as the maximum length of the axis orthogonal to the longitudinal axis.

**Analyses of β-gal (+) cell position.** For the analyses shown in β-gal (+) cell position in EphA4-LacZ mice in Figures 7, 8, and 10, spinal coronal sections (100 μm thick) were stained with anti-β-gal and anti-ephrinB3 antibodies and DAPI. The confocal images (0.49 μm-interval z-positions) were obtained. The cells, in which β-gal immunosignals surrounding nuclei were detected in serial z-positions, were defined as β-gal (+) cells. To determine whether each β-gal (+) cell is located in the MB or in MB holes, we analyzed both coronal and dorsoventral (DV) images. Number of β-gal (+) cells in the MB and that in the MB holes were counted. For quantification of number of β-gal (+):NeuN(+) cells in Figure 6, spinal coronal sections (100 μm thick) were stained with anti-β-gal and anti-ephrinB3 antibodies and DAPI.

**DNA constructs.** For preparation of template DNA for in situ hybridization probes, Eph4 or Ephb3 genes were amplified by PCR with cDNA synthesized from a P2 mouse brain. Primer pairs were designed using the open database of Allen Brain Atlas (for Eph4: 5'-GTGATATAGCACCCTTCAGCAGGGGCACCCACAGCCGGGCT-3', 5'-GGATCCGCTTGCAGTGGCAGCGAGGCGACCCACAC-3'; for Ephb3: 5'-GTTAGAGTTTGGCGGGGTCC-3', 5'-TCCTAGCTCCTCGCCACGGCT-3'). The amplicons inserted into pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega) were confirmed by sequencing with ABI PRISM 3100xl and pGEM-Eph4 (pK258) and pGEM-Ephb3 (pK100) vectors were obtained.

Farnesylation signal-tagged proteins are trafficked to membranes of all neurites (Badaloni et al., 2007; Cai et al., 2013). Farnesylation signal-tagged tdTomato (tdT) expression vector, pCX-tdTomo-farnesylation signal (pCX-tdT) (pK261), was generated as follows: First, the Sall site was removed from the pCX-EGFP (Kato et al., 1999) by Sall digestion and filling and pCX-EGFPA/Sall (pK259) was obtained. Second, Egfp was removed from pK259 by EcoRI digestion and the farnesylation signal fused EGFP coding sequence was inserted in turn by Gibson Assembly (New England Biolabs) and pCX-EGFP (pK260) was generated. Finally, the Egfp in pK260 was replaced with tdTomato by using Sall/Sphi sites and Gibson Assembly and pK261 was obtained. The farnesylation signal fused EGFP coding sequence was amplified by two times PCR (first, 5'-CCGACCACTTTGAGCAAGGGGGAGGACGTTGCTCCACC-3', 5'-gccccgccgaccatcctaggaggttcagttgtgcagtttgatagctgcg-3'; second, 5'-TCTCATCATTTTGCCGAAATgtcaagcGCCCAACCGACCGTTGCGGTAGCTAGAGCAG-3', 5'-ggaggtttcgagtctcgctgcgtgggccggccctacc-3'). The tdTomato was amplified from ptdTomato-N1 vector (Clontech) by PCR (5'-TCTCATCATTTTGCCGAAATgtcaagcGCCCAACCGACCGTTGCGGTAGCTAGAGCAG-3', 5'-ggaggtttcgagtctcgctgcgtgggccggccctacc-3'). This tdTomato was used to transduce Neurons in vitro by using Sendai virus (pCX-tdTomo) and infected cells were identified using tdTomato fluorescence.
Chn1\(^{-/-}\) male mice and that of Chn1\(^{floxed}\) female mice with Emx2\(^{-/-}\)/Cre; Chn1\(^{-/-}\) male mice, respectively, was performed. The Chn1\(^{floxed}\) female mice were anesthetized by pentobarbital (Somnopentyl; Kyoritsu Seiyaku) at pregnancy day 13.5. The uteri were taken out after laparotomy and pK261 (1.0 μg/ml) in 0.9% NaCl solution including 10% Trypan blue stain (Invitrogen) was injected unilaterally into the lateral ventricle of the embryos with glass needles. Voltage (40 V, 50 ms per 1 s, 5 times; Sepagen) was applied to the cerebrocortex by tweezertype disk electrodes (BEX). The pups at P5 were perfused by saline and fixed by 4% PFA/0.1 M PB. Coronal sections (100 μm in thickness) of the lower cervical cord were made and stained by anti-nestin antibody (or anti-ephrinB3 antibody) and DAPI by the above-mentioned method. Serial z-stack images (interval, 0.49 μm; thickness, 34.3 μm) of the sections were taken with the confocal microscope. From the serial images, 3D images were constructed with 3D viewer, a plugin for ImageJ. In each section, all of tdT(+) midline-crossing axons in Sp-Chn1KO mice were traced with Simple Neurite Tracer. Then, it was determined whether individual tdT(+) axons crossed the midline through nestin(+) MB or through nestin(-) MB holes. The ratio of MB hole-crossing axons to total midline-crossing axons was calculated in each section.

Analysis of unilaterally labeled spinal cord by in utero electroporation. For the analyses shown in EphA4\(^{lacZ/2lacZ}\) embryos in Figure 10, timed mating of EphA4\(^{+/lacZ}\) male mice with EphA4\(^{+/lacZ}\) female mice was performed. The EphA4\(^{+/lacZ}\) female mice were anesthetized at pregnancy day 11.5 by pentobarbital. The uteri were taken out after laparotomy and pCX-EGFP (1.0 μg/ml) in 0.9% NaCl solution containing 10% Trypan blue stain was injected into the fourth ventricle of the embryos at E15.5 with glass needles until the dye was spread into the primitive lumen of the cervical cord. Voltage (30 V, 50 ms per 1 s, 5 times) was applied unilaterally to the spinal cords with tweezertype U-shape platinum electrodes (BEX). The embryos at E17.5 were perfused and fixed with 4% PFA/0.1 M PB. From the lower cervical cord, 100-μm-thick coronal sections were made with the freezing microtome. The sections were stained with anti-ephrinB3 and anti-β-gal antibodies and DAPI. From the sections, serial z-stack images were obtained with the confocal microscope. For the quantification of GFP(+) cells, a rectangle with 160 μm width centered on the midline and with height defined from the ventral tip of the DF to the dorsal edge of the CC was delineated as the region of interest (ROI) in each section. In the ROI, the ephrinB3(+) MB area was defined as the midline area. An area adjacent to the ROI on the electroporated side was defined as the ipsilateral area and the other side was defined as the contralateral area. In each area, the number of GFP(+) cells was counted. GFP(+) cells were examined for β-gal expression. The midline area had three types of cells: (1) GFP(+) β-gal(−) cells that extended their processes along the DV axis, which were defined as midline radial glia, (2) GFP(+) β-gal(−) cells that extended their processes orthogonally to the DV axis, and (3) GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells that extended their processes orthogonally to the DV axis. The GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells were counted in EphA4KO (EphA4\(^{lacZ/2lacZ}\)) and control (EphA4\(^{+/lacZ}\)) mice. The ratio of the number of GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells in the midline (or contralateral) area to the total number of GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells [GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells in the midline, contralateral, and ipsilateral areas] was calculated for each section.

Experimental design and statistical analyses. In analyses of postnatal mice, both male and female mice were used. In analyses of embryos, their sexes were not determined. For statistical analyses, Prism 5 software (GraphPad) and ystat2002 software (Igaku Tosho Shuppan) were used. For all experiments, significance was set at p < 0.05.

In analysis of midline-crossing CST axons labeled by an anterograde tracer (see Fig. 1B), Cx-Chn1KO mice (n = 10 mice, 10 females; Chn1KO mice, n = 9 mice, 4 males and 5 females; and control mice, n = 11 mice, 3 males and 8 females) were used. In analysis of midline-crossing CST axons (see Fig. 1E), Sp-Chn1KO (n = 7 mice, 5 males and 2 females; Chn1KO mice, n = 6 mice, 1 male and 5 females; and control mice, n = 8 mice, 4 males and 4 females) were used. For each animal, values of two to three sections were averaged. Statistical analyses of three groups were done with Kruskal–Wallis test and followed by Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test.

In morphological analysis of DGM midline length (see Fig. 2B), Sp-Chn1KO mice (n = 5 mice, 1 male and 4 females) and control mice (n = 4 mice, 1 male and 3 females) were used. For each animal, values of two sections were averaged. Statistical analysis was performed with Welch’s t test.

In analysis of CST axons labeled by in utero electroporation (see Fig. 4D), seven sections from two female Sp-Chn1KO mice were used. Statistical analysis between the number ratio of MB hole-crossing axons to total midline-crossing axons and the area ratio of MB hole to DGM midline was performed with paired t test.

In analysis of EphA4(+) cells in the midline (see Fig. 6F), Chn1KO mice (n = 12 sections from 2 mice) at E14.5 and their control mice (n = 12 sections from 2 mice) and Chn1KO mice at E15.5 (n = 12 sections from 2 mice) and their control mice (n = 12 sections from 2 mice) were used. Statistical analyses between two groups were performed with Welch’s t test.

In the analyses of β-gal(+) cell position (see Fig. 7H), five sections from three Chn1KO mice were used. In analyses of β-gal(+) cell position (see Fig. 8H), 5 sections from 3 EphA4KO mice (n = 3 males and 2 females) were used. Statistical analyses between number ratio of β-gal(+) cells in the MB holes and those in the DGM MB and area ratio of MB hole and MB DGM were performed with paired t test.

In the analysis of unilaterally labeled spinal cord by in utero electroporation (see Fig. 10 R, S), EphA4KO mice (n = 8 sections from 4 mice) and their control mice (n = 5 sections from 3 mice) were used. Statistical analyses were performed with Mann–Whitney U test.

Results

Corticospinal axons show aberrant midline crossing in the dorsal spinal cord in both cortex-specific and spinal-cord–specific Chn1KO mice

We have reported previously that spontaneous (Miffy) and targeted α-chimaerin mutant mice, in which Chn1 is disrupted in all cells of the body, exhibit aberrant midline crossing of CST axons in the dorsal spinal cord (Iwasato et al., 2007). α-Chimaerin is expressed in both cortical neurons and spinal cells (Hall et al., 2001; Wegmeyer et al., 2007). To dissociate the cortical and spinal roles of α-chimaerin in CST axon guidance, we generated Cx-Chn1KO (Emx1\(^{-/-}\)/Cre; Chn1\(^{floxed/-}\)) mice using Emx1-Cre mice, which show Cre-mediated recombination in all cortical excitatory neurons (Iwasato et al., 2000; Iwata et al., 2014; Iwata et al., 2015). We injected an anterograde tracer into the motor cortex of Cx-Chn1KO, Chn1KO, and control mice, and analyzed CST projections in the spinal cord. CST axons aberrantly crossed the dorsal spinal midline in both Cx-Chn1KO and Chn1KO mice (Fig. 1A). The extent of aberrant midline crossing in Cx-Chn1KO and Chn1KO mice was significantly higher than that in control mice and no significant difference was detected between Cx-Chn1KO and Chn1KO mice (Kruskal–Wallis test: p < 0.0001, Kruskal–Wallis statistic = 19.01; Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test: p < 0.01, control vs Cx-Chn1KO; p < 0.001, control vs Chn1KO; and ns, Cx-Chn1KO vs Chn1KO; Fig. 1B). These results indicate that cortical-neuron-derived α-chimaerin is required to prevent aberrant midline crossing of CST axons.

To examine the role of spinal α-chimaerin, we generated Sp-Chn1KO (Hoxb8-Cre;Chn1\(^{floxed/-}\)) mice using a Hoxb8-Cre line (Witschi et al., 2010). In this line of Cre mice, Cre-mediated recombination occurred in C5 and in caudal segments of the spinal cord, but not in the cortex (Fig. 1C), as described previously (Witschi et al., 2010). To investigate CST axon projection in Sp-Chn1KO mice, we injected an anterograde tracer into the motor cortices of Sp-Chn1KO, Chn1KO, and control mice. Both Sp-Chn1KO and Chn1KO mice displayed aberrant midline crossing of CST axons in the dorsal midline of the caudal segments of
Figure 1. CST axons cross the spinal midline aberrantly in both cortex-specific and spinal-cord-specific \( \alpha \)-chimaerin-deficient mice. A, BDA, an anterograde tracer, was unilaterally injected into the motor cortex (ipsilateral side) in adulthood and coronal sections taken at C5 were analyzed. Aberrant recrossing of BDA-labeled CST axons at the DGM midline (thick dashed line) was frequently observed in cortex-specific \( \text{Chn1} \) knock-out (Cx-\( \text{Chn1} \) KO: \( \text{Emx1}^{+/c-}\text{Chn1}^{flox/} \)) and \( \text{Chn1} \) KO (\( \text{Chn1}^{-/-} \)) mice, but not in control (\( \text{Chn1}^{+/+} \) or \( \text{Chn1}^{flox/+} \)) mice. The inner and outer thin dotted circles indicate the outline of the CC and ependymal cell layer surrounding the CC, respectively. B, Quantitative analysis of CST axon spinal midline crossing. The ratio of BDA-labeled CST axon length on the ipsilateral (ipsi) side to that on the contralateral (contra) side was significantly larger in Cx-\( \text{Chn1} \) KO mice (\( n = 10 \)) and \( \text{Chn1} \) KO mice (\( n = 9 \)) than those in control mice (\( n = 11 \)) at C5. There was no significant difference between Cx-\( \text{Chn1} \) KO and \( \text{Chn1} \) KO mice. Data are presented as scatter plot and median; Kruskal–Wallis test; ** \( p < 0.01 \); *** \( p < 0.001 \); ns, no significance. C, Whole-mount lacZ staining of \( \text{Hoxb8-Cre;RN} \) mice at P0 showed Cre-mediated recombination in the spinal segments caudal to C5, but not in the cortex (\( n = 3 \)). D, BDA was injected unilaterally into the motor cortex in adulthood and coronal sections at C8, a caudal cervical segment, were analyzed. Aberrant recrossing of BDA-labeled CST axons at the DGM midline (thick dashed line) was frequently observed in spinal-cord-specific \( \text{Chn1} \) knock-out (Sp-\( \text{Chn1} \) KO: \( \text{HoxB8-Cre;Chn1}^{flox/} \)) mice and \( \text{Chn1} \) KO mice, but not in control (\( \text{Chn1}^{-/-} \) or \( \text{Hoxb8-Cre;Chn1}^{flox/+} \)) mice. E, Quantitative analysis of CST axon spinal midline crossing (for details, see Materials and Methods). The ratio of BDA-labeled axon length in the ipsilateral side to that in the contralateral side was significantly larger in Sp-\( \text{Chn1} \) KO (\( n = 7 \)) and \( \text{Chn1} \) KO (\( n = 6 \)) mice than in control mice (\( n = 8 \)). There were no significant differences between Sp-\( \text{Chn1} \) KO and \( \text{Chn1} \) KO mice. Data are represented as scatter plot and median; Kruskal–Wallis test; * \( p < 0.05 \); ** \( p < 0.001 \); ns, no significance. Scale bars: A, D, 50 \( \mu \)m; C, 2 mm.
the cervical spinal cord such as C8 (Fig. 1D). The extent of aberrant midline crossing in Sp-Chn1KO and Chn1KO mice was significantly higher than that in control mice and no significant difference was observed between Sp-Chn1KO and Chn1KO mice (Kruskal–Wallis test: $p = 0.0002$; Kruskal–Wallis statistic $= 17.42$; Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test: $p < 0.01$, control vs Sp-Chn1KO; $p < 0.001$, control vs Chn1KO; and ns, Sp-Chn1KO vs Chn1KO; Fig. 1E). These results demonstrate that spinal-cell-derived α-chimaerin is also required to prevent aberrant midline crossing of CST axons.

**Spinal-cord-specific Chn1KO mice have breaks in the spinal MB (MB holes)**

Aberrant midline crossing of CST axons in the dorsal spinal cord of Sp-Chn1KO mice raised the possibility that the spinal MB in these mice may be dysfunctional. To test this possibility, we analyzed the midline morphology and found that the DF was smaller and the DGM was larger in the Sp-Chn1KO mouse spinal cord midline (Welch’s $t$ test: $p = 0.0056$, $t = 4.216$, $R^2 = 0.75$; Fig. 2A, B). The white matter DF contains sensory ascending and corticospinal descending axons and is located in the dorsomedial part of the spinal cord. The DGM is the area between the DF and CC. Similar morphological phenotypes have been reported in Chn1KO mouse midline (Iwasato et al., 2007).

Next, we examined ephrinB3 expression in the dorsal spinal midline in Sp-Chn1KO mice. The spinal MB uses ephrinB3 as a repulsive cue for the midline guidance of CST axons that express EphA4 (Coonan et al., 2001; Kullander et al., 2001a; Yokoyama et al., 2001). In P0 control mice, ephrinB3 was ubiquitously distributed throughout the dorsal midline in both the DF and DGM (Fig. 2C, left). In Sp-Chn1KO mice at the same age, ephrinB3 distribution patterns in the DF and ventral DGM (Fig. 2C, middle and right) were similar to those in control mice. However, in the dorsal DGM of Sp-Chn1KO mice, we found gaps in ephrinB3 distribution (Fig. 2C, right, arrow), which were never observed in control mice.

To analyze the ephrinB3(+) MB in the dorsal spinal cord systematically, we prepared midsagittal sections at P0 (Fig. 3A) and stained them using an antibody for ephrinB3 (Fig. 3B). In control mice, ephrinB3 was uniformly distributed throughout the midline of the DF and DGM (Fig. 3B, top). EphrinB3(−) areas were observed only in the DF of control mice; however, these areas were occupied by blood vessels (Fig. 3B, top). Therefore, the ephrinB3(+) MB was intact in the spinal cord of control mice. In the DF, the expression pattern of ephrinB3 in Sp-Chn1KO mice was similar to that in control mice. In contrast, we found spindle-shaped ephrinB3(−) spaces (i.e., MB holes) that were not occupied by blood vessels in the dorsal part of the DGM midline of Sp-Chn1KO mice (Fig. 3B, bottom, B’). The MB hole size was $31.9 \pm 19.6 \mu m$ (mean ± SD; maximum: $88.9 \mu m$, minimum: $6.0 \mu m$) in the longitudinal axis and $9.4 \pm 5.9 \mu m$ (mean ± SD; maximum: $28.9 \mu m$, minimum: $2.6 \mu m$) in the transverse axis in P0 Sp-Chn1KO mice ($n = 42$ MB holes, two midsagittal sections, two mice; see Materials and Methods for details).

We also stained spinal midsagittal sections of Sp-Chn1KO mice using an antibody for nestin, an intermediate filament and MB marker (Hamilton et al., 2009; Secv et al., 2009). In control mice, nestin(+) radial processes of midline glia were arranged in parallel and the nestin signal overlapped with the ephrinB3 signal (Fig. 3C). In Sp-Chn1KO mice, nestin signals were well merged with ephrinB3(+) areas, but they were not detected in MB holes. Importantly, the longitudinal axes of MB holes paralleled nestin(+) radial processes of midline glia and nestin(+) processes adjacent to MB holes were continuous and not truncated (Fig. 3C).

These results suggest that the MB hole was a space in which processes (and soma) of midline glia were absent rather than a space in which midline glia processes lost ephrinB3 expression.

We found MB holes in the dorsal DGM of the spinal cord of global Chn1KO mice (Fig. 3D), but not in Cx-Chn1KO mice (Fig.
Corticospinal axons cross the spinal midline through MB holes in spinal-cord-specific Chn1KO mice

We examined the involvement of MB holes in aberrant midline crossing of CST axons in the spinal cord. We labeled unilateral CST axons with tdTomato (tdT) using in utero electroporation and visualized the MB using immunohistochemistry for nestin (Fig. 4A–C). We used P5 mice instead of P0 mice for these experiments because CST axons reach the spinal cord postnatally (Canty and Murphy, 2008). We obtained cervical coronal sections from Sp-Chn1KO mice and analyzed all of the tdT-labeled CST axons that crossed the DGM midline. In this analysis, we

Figure 3. The spinal MB exhibits breaks (MB holes) in the absence of spinal α-chimaerin. A, Schematic diagram of midsagittal section of the spinal cord (red). B, Midsagittal sections of the cervical cord in control (Hoxb8-Cre;Chn1\textsuperscript{flox/}, n = 2 mice) and Sp-Chn1KO (Hoxb8-Cre;Chn1\textsuperscript{flox/}, n = 3 mice) mice at P0 were stained with antibodies for ephrinB3 and platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM1: a blood vessel marker). Both genotypes showed ephrinB3(−) areas that are filled with blood vessels (arrows) in the DF midline. In contrast, only Sp-Chn1KO mice exhibited spindle-shaped ephrinB3(−) areas (MB holes; arrowheads) in the dorsal part of the DGM MB. B′. High magnification of box in B. C, Midsagittal sections of the cervical cord in control (Chn1\textsuperscript{flox/}, n = 2 mice) and Sp-Chn1KO (Hoxb8-Cre;Chn1\textsuperscript{flox/}, n = 3 mice) mice at P0 were stained with antibodies for ephrinB3 and nestin (a midline glia marker), and DAPI (a nuclear marker). Control mice showed uniform distribution of ephrinB3 in the DGM midline. In contrast, Sp-Chn1KO mice exhibited MB holes (arrowheads) in the dorsal part of the DGM and, in the ephrinB3(−) areas, nestin signal was also missing. The boundary between the DF and DGM was identified by density difference of DAPI(+) nuclei. D, Chn1KO mouse ephrinB3(+) MB had holes (arrowheads). Midsagittal sections of the cervical cord in control (Chn1\textsuperscript{−/−}, n = 3 mice) and Chn1KO (Chn1\textsuperscript{−/−}, n = 7 mice) mice at P0 were stained with an anti-ephrinB3 antibody. E, Cx-Chn1KO mice showed normal DGM MB. Midsagittal sections of the cervical cord in control (Chn1\textsuperscript{flox/}, n = 2 mice) and Cx-Chn1KO (Emx1\textsuperscript{flox/};Chn1\textsuperscript{flox/}, n = 2 mice) mice at P0 were stained with an anti-ephrinB3 antibody. F, G, MB holes were found in the spinal cord midline of Sp-α2ChnKO mice (arrowhead in F) but not in that of α1ChnKO mice (G). Coronal sections of the cervical cord in Sp-α2ChnKO (Hoxb8-Cre; Chn1\textsuperscript{flox/};α2\textsuperscript{flox/}, n = 12 sections from 3 mice) and α1ChnKO mice (Chn1\textsuperscript{1.5/1.5/α2\textsuperscript{flox/+}}, n = 12 sections from 3 mice) at P1 were stained with an anti-ephrinB3 antibody. Pseudo-midsagittal sections were constructed from coronal z-stack images. Scale bars: B′–E, 100 μm; B′′, 25 μm, F, G, 20 μm.

3E) at P0. α-Chimaerin has two isoforms (α1- and α2-chimaerin) (Dong et al., 1995). To examine the specific roles of each α-chimaerin isoform in the MB phenotype, we prepared and examined α1-chimaerin knock-out (α1ChnKO) mice and spinal-cord-specific α2-chimaerin knock-out (Sp-α2ChnKO) mice. We observed that Sp-α2ChnKO mice had MB holes, but α1ChnKO mice did not (Fig. 3F, G), which was consistent with the fact that the α2 isoform is predominant in the embryonic spinal cord (Hall et al., 2001). These results clearly demonstrate that α-chimaerin (α2-chimaerin) is required in spinal cells to establish an intact DGM MB.
quantified 109 midline crossing axons. In almost all cases (~95%, 104 of 109 axons), aberrant midline crossing occurred through MB holes (Fig. 4A–C). Only four (~5%) axons crossed the intact MB (data not shown). These results are conspicuous because MB holes occupied only a small portion of the DGM midline area. The ratio of MB hole-crossing axons was significantly higher than the ratio of the MB hole area to DGM midline area (ratio of MB hole-crossing axons: 93.7 ± 10.3%, mean ± SD; ratio of MB hole areas: 17.0 ± 7.7%; n = 7 sections from two mice; paired t test: p < 0.0001, tf(6) = 20.26, R² = 0.986; Fig. 4D). These results indicate that CST axons predominantly crossed the spinal midline through MB holes in Sp-Chn1KO mice.

We next assessed how CST axons cross the spinal midline in Cx-Chn1KO mice. Even though these mice showed aberrant midline crossing of CST axons in the spinal cord (Fig. 1A), they had a normal length DGM midline, as reported previously (Borgius et al., 2014), and had no MB holes in the spinal cord midline (Fig. 3E). CST axons labeled using in utero electroporation...
crossed the midline through the intact DGM MB (Fig. 4E,F). These results indicate that the mechanisms allowing aberrant midline crossing of CST axons are distinct between Cx-Chn1KO and Sp-Chn1KO mice. In other words, cortical and spinal α-chimaerin have distinct roles in blocking aberrant midline crossing. Cortical-neuron-derived α-chimaerin is important for the repulsion of CST axons from the spinal MB, whereas spinal-cell-derived α-chimaerin establishes an intact spinal MB, which then inhibits aberrant midline crossing of CST axons.

Importantly, our light microscopy results suggest that aberrant midline crossing axons do not break the MB because we did not observe any MB holes around the midline crossing axons in Cx-Chn1KO mice (n = 12 axons; Fig. 4E,F). Therefore, the presence of MB holes in Sp-Chn1KO mice is not a consequence of aberrant midline crossing of CST axons, but rather a cause.

MB holes emerge during embryonic development in Chn1KO mice

Next, we investigated when and how MB holes emerged during the development of Chn1KO mice. We labeled the spinal cord MB of Chn1KO and control embryos using an anti-ephrinB3 antibody, analyzed the MB in the coronal plane using confocal microscopy and constructed a pseudo-midsagittal section using serial optical sections (0.5 μm z-spacing; Fig. 5). Similar to observations at P0, the MB of Chn1KO mice at E15.5 exhibited numerous MB holes (Fig. 5). The MB was intact in Chn1KO mice at E14.5 (Fig. 5). At both ages, control mice had an intact MB. These results indicate that the formation of the MB in Chn1KO mice appears normal, but begins to break between E14.5 and E15.5. Therefore, α-chimaerin is required for the maintenance of the spinal MB, but not for its formation.

EphA4(+) cells accumulate ectopically in spinal MB holes in Chn1KO mice

We have reported previously that α-chimaerin binds to EphA4 and mediates ephrinB3–EphA4 repulsive signaling during motor circuit formation (Iwasato et al., 2007). It is likely that the ephrinB3–EphA4–α-chimaerin signaling is also involved in the ephrinB3(+) MB phenotype of Chn1KO mice.

To explore this possibility, we analyzed Efnb3 (ephrinB3 gene) and EphA4 (EphA4 gene) expression patterns in the developing spinal cord using in situ hybridization (Fig. 6A–F). In both genotypes, Efnb3-expressing cells were located in the dorsal and ventral midline and the majority of the dorsal group was translocated toward the ventral side after the shrinkage of primitive lumen during E13.5–E15.5 (Fig. 6A). At E14.5 or earlier, EphA4(+) cells were densely distributed in the vicinity of the midline (juxta-midline areas) of the dorsal spinal cord, but they were rarely detected within the midline in Chn1KO and control mice (Fig. 6A–C,F). At E14.5, there was no significant difference in the numbers of midline-located EphA4(+) cells between Chn1KO and control mice (Welch’s t test: p = 0.15, t_{(13)} = 1.52, \( R^2 = 0.15 \);
Figure 6. Distribution of EphA4(+) cells in developing Chn1 KO mice. A–E, In the cervical cord of control (Chn1+/−) and Chn1 KO (Chn1−/−) mice at E13.5, E14.5, and E15.5, serial coronal sections were stained by cresyl violet (Nissl) and in situ hybridization probes for ephrinB3 and EphA4 genes (Efnb3 and Epha4, respectively). A, In control mice at E14.5 and E15.5, Epha4(+) cells were located in midline-adjacent areas and excluded from the midline area (B, D). In Chn1 KO mice, Epha4(+) cells were normally excluded from the midline area at E14.5 (C), but at E15.5, some Epha4(+) cells were located ectopically in the midline area (E). Brackets (width, 15 μm) in B–E indicate the midline area. In both genotypes, Efnb3 was expressed in the dorsal and ventral midline during E13.5–E15.5 and the Efnb3(+) dorsal midline cells migrated ventrally after the gradual shrinkage of the primitive lumen (pL; A). At E15.5, the gap [Efnb3(+) cell low-density area (A, arrowheads)] located between the DF and the Efnb3(+) cell high-density area was larger in Chn1 KO mice than that in control mice. B–E are high magnification of boxes in A. F, Epha4(+) cells in the dorsal midline area (width: 15 μm) in sections (10 μm thick) stained with in situ hybridization were counted. At E14.5, there was no significant difference in the number between control (n = 12 sections from 2 mice) and Chn1 KO mice (n = 12 sections from 2 mice). At E15.5, number of Epha4(+) cells was significantly larger in Chn1 KO mice (n = 12 sections from 2 mice), compared with those in control mice (n = 12 sections from 2 mice). Welch’s t test, *p < 0.05; ns, no significance. G–M, The majority of EphA4(+) cells in the spinal cord were neuronal. In control (Chn1+/−; Eph4−/−) and Chn1 KO (Chn1−/−; Eph4−/−) mice at E15.5, coronal sections in the cervical cord were stained with DAPI and antibodies for β-galactosidase and NeuN. In control mice, 71% of EphA4(+) cells were NeuN-positive in the juxta-midline area (100 μm width centered on the midline) [130 NeuN(+); β-gal(+) cells/183 β-gal(+) cells, n = 2 sections from 2 mice (G–I)]. In Chn1 KO mice, 66% and 82% of EphA4(+) cells were NeuN-positive in the juxta-midline area [38 NeuN(+); β-gal(+) cells/58 β-gal(+) cells, n = 2 sections from 2 mice] and midline areas [287 NeuN(+); β-gal(+) cells/348 β-gal(+) cells, n = 2 sections from 2 mice], respectively (G, J–M). Scale bars: A, 500 μm; B–E, 100 μm; G, 40 μm; H–M, 10 μm.
In control mice aged E15.5 or later, EphA4(+ cells) were densely distributed in the juxta-midline areas, but they were excluded from the midline itself (Fig. 6A, D, F, and data not shown), as reported previously (Escalante et al., 2013; Paixão et al., 2013). In contrast, in Chn1KO mice at the same age, EphA4(+) cells were distributed in both the juxta-midline areas and within the midline (Fig. 6A, E, F). At E15.5, there were significantly more midline EphA4(+) cells in Chn1KO mice than in control mice (Welch’s t test: \( t_{15} = 2.75, R^2 = 0.31; \) Fig. 6F). Therefore, in the absence of α-chimaerin, EphA4(+) cells accumulate aberrantly in the midline between E14.5 and E15.5.

To examine the cell type in juxta-midline and mislocated midline EphA4(+) cells, we performed immunostaining for NeuN, a neuronal marker (Fig. 6G–M). To label EphA4(+) cells, we used EphA4–LacZ (Epha4/\( +/\)LacZ) mice (Leighton et al., 2001). In these mice, the β-gal gene is expressed under the control of the endogenous EphA4 promoter. Therefore, EphA4(+) cells can be identified using the β-gal signal localized in perinuclear regions. In control mice (Fig. 6G, left), we found both neurons [NeuN(+), Fig. 6H] and non-neuronal cells [NeuN(−), Fig. 6F] among juxta-midline EphA4(+) [β-gal(+) cells], the majority of which were neurons (71% (130 of 183 cells)). In Chn1KO mice (Fig. 6G, right), we found both neurons and non-neuronal cells among juxta-midline and mislocalized midline EphA4(+) cells (Fig. 6F–M). Again, the majority of juxta-midline and midline EphA4(+) cells (82%, 287 of 348 cells, and 66%, 38 of 58 cells, respectively) were neurons.

To analyze the relationship between the ectopic midline distribution of EphA4(+) cells and the position of MB holes in the Chn1KO mouse spinal cord, we simultaneously labeled EphA4(+) cells and the MB using immunohistochemistry (Fig. 7). To label EphA4(+) cells, we used EphA4+/LacZ mice. We analyzed mice at E15.5, when MB holes emerge in mutants. Several EphA4(+) cells were located in MB holes in Chn1KO (Epha4+/LacZ; Chn1−/−) mice (Fig. 7A–G). The ratio of EphA4(+) cells in MB holes to the total EphA4(+) cells in the DGM midline (MB + midline) was significantly higher than the expected ratio based on the calculated ratio of MB hole area to the total DGM midline area (paired \( t \) test: \( p = 0.0002, t_{12} = 12.83, R^2 = 0.976; \) Fig. 7H). Therefore, aberrant midline EphA4(+) cells accumulated preferentially in MB holes in Chn1KO mice.

**EphA4-repulsive signaling prevents EphA4 cells from invading the spinal MB**

The aberrant midline accumulation of EphA4(+) cells in Chn1KO mice raised the possibility that the ephrinB3-expressing MB prevents the invasion of juxta-midline EphA4(+) cells using an ephrinB3–EphA4 repulsive signal and that α-chimaerin mediates this repulsive cell signal as a key downstream effector of EphA4. If this is the case, then EphA4 mutant mice may show MB phenotypes similar to those of Chn1 mutant mice. Indeed, EphA4 mutant mice share many phenotypes with Chn1 mutant mice. Both global and spinal-cord-specific EphA4KO mice (Epha4KO and Sp-Epha4KO mice, respectively) show shrinkage of the DF and aberrant midline crossing of CST axons (Kullander et al., 2001a; Paixão et al., 2013). Furthermore, EphA4 promoter-active (Epha4) cells accumulate aberrantly in the spinal cord midline of EphA4KO and Sp-Epha4KO mice (Restrepo et al., 2011; Paixão et al., 2013). Here, we investigated whether EphA4KO mice have MB holes in the spinal MB and, if so, if ectopic midline EphA4 cells are located within MB holes. For this purpose, we used homozygous EphA4–LacZ (Epha4/\( +/LacZ \)) mice (Leighton et al., 2001). The spinal midline of EphA4KO mice had MB holes similar to those of Chn1KO mice (Fig. 8A–G). We found many mislocalized EphA4 [β-gal(+) cells] in these MB holes (Fig. 8A–G). The ratio of the number of EphA4 cells in MB holes to the total number of EphA4 cells in the DGM midline area was significantly higher than the expected ratio based on the calculated ratio of MB hole area to the total DGM midline area (paired \( t \) test: \( p = 0.0001, t_{4} = 14.65, R^2 = 0.982; \) Fig. 8H).

If α-chimaerin functions as a key downstream effector of EphA4 cell repulsion, then this protein should be expressed in repulsed EphA4 cells. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed E15.5 EphA4+/LacZ mice using double immunohistochemistry for β-gal and α2-chimaerin (Fig. 9A–G). As expected, α2-chimaerin was expressed in the majority of juxta-midline EphA4 cells (Fig. 9A, D). We also examined α2-chimaerin expression in EphA4+chimaerin/LacZ mice. EphA4 cells located in the juxta-midline areas and those located in the midline expressed α2-chimaerin (Fig. 9B, F).

To test directly the possibility that EphA4 cells translocate to the midline in the absence of EphA4 function, we unilaterally labeled the spinal cells of EphA4+/LacZ and EphA4+/chimaerin/LacZ mice. We introduced a GFP expression vector unilaterally into the spinal cord at E11.5 using in utero electroporation and analyzed the tissue at E17.5 (Fig. 10). EphA4 cells were identified by the presence of a β-gal signal. We found that a few GFP-labeled non-EphA4 [β-gal(−)] cells were distributed in the ipsilateral spinal cord, midline area, and contralateral side in both genotypes (Fig. 10A–D). Some of these cells appeared to be midline radial glia (Fig. 10F, K) because they were located in the midline and extended their processes along the DV axis (Fig. 10F′, K′, arrows). Other cells (Fig. 10G, H, L, M) that extended their processes orthogonally to the DV axis (Fig. 10G′, H′, L′, M′, arrows) were likely dorsal commissural neurons (Orlino et al., 2000; Comer et al., 2015).

Importantly, GFP-labeled EphA4 [β-gal(+)] cells were not found in the midline and on the contralateral side of the spinal cord in control mice (Fig. 10A, B, R, S). In contrast, GFP-labeled EphA4 cells were often distributed in the midline and on the contralateral side of the spinal cord in EphA4KO mice (Fig. 10C, D, O–S). The ratio of midline GFP-labeled EphA4 cells to total GFP-labeled EphA4 cells in EphA4KO mice was significantly higher than that in control mice (Mann–Whitney \( U \) test: \( p = 0.0078, U = 17.5; \) Fig. 10R) and the ratio of contralateral GFP-labeled EphA4 cells to total GFP-labeled EphA4 cells in EphA4KO mice was also significantly higher than that in control mice (Mann–Whitney \( U \) test: \( p = 0.0078, U = 17.5; \) Fig. 10S). In addition, GFP-labeled EphA4 cells projecting axon-like thin processes to the ipsilateral side were found frequently on the contralateral side (Fig. 10P′, Q′, arrows). These results strongly support our hypothesis that the ephrinB3-expressing MB prevents the invasion of juxta-midline EphA4 cells that express α2-chimaerin via an ephrinB3–EphA4–α2-chimaerin cell-repulsive signaling pathway.

**Discussion**

We have shown that in Sp-Chn1KO (and Sp-α2Chn1KO and Chn1KO) mice, the dorsal spinal MB has breaks (MB holes), and CST axons abnormally cross the midline through MB holes. In the absence of α-chimaerin, juxta-midline EphA4 cells aberrantly translocate to the midline between E14.5 and E15.5, while the MB simultaneously forms MB holes around these cells. In EphA4KO mice, the spinal midline also has MB holes in which EphA4 cells are located. We propose that spinal α-chimaerin (α2-chimaerin) plays a critical role in the establishment of an intact MB by
Figure 7. Midline EphA4(+) cells are clustered within MB holes in Chn1KO mice. A–D, In MB holes of Chn1KO (Chn1<sup>−/−</sup>;Epha4<sup>−/−</sup>/LacZ) mouse spinal cord (C, arrows), clusters of EphA4(+) cells were observed (C,D). In control (Chn1<sup>−/−</sup>;Epha4<sup>+/−</sup>/LacZ) mice (A), a few EphA4(+) cells were observed within the ephrinB3(+) MB (B, arrows), but these cells were not clustered and no holes were observed around these cells. Right panels in A and C are from the left panels in A and C, respectively, and indicate the location of β-gal(+) cells (magenta) and the MB (green). In this set of experiments, EphA4(+) cells (asterisks) were detected using the anti-β-gal antibody staining in the Epha4-LacZ mouse line. Cervical coronal sections (100 μm thick) at E15.5 were stained using anti-β-gal and anti-ephrinB3 antibodies and DAPI. The anti-β-gal antibody also detected blood vessels (arrowheads in B and D). B and D are high magnification of the boxes in A and C, respectively. E–G, In Chn1<sup>−/−</sup>;Epha4<sup>−/−</sup>/LacZ mouse spinal cord, the majority of EphA4(+) (β-gal(+)) cells located in the midline (surrounded by white dashed line) were observed in MB holes (magenta arrows), although some were also observed within the MB (cyan arrows). Cervical coronal sections (100 μm thick) at E15.5 were stained using anti-ephrinB3 and anti-β-gal antibodies and DAPI. We determined whether individual cells located in the midline were EphA4(+) and if individual midline EphA4(+) cells are located in MB holes (magenta) or within the MB (cyan) by analyzing 3D images. E–G are coronal and DV reslicing sections and mid sagittal images, respectively. Horizontal yellow solid lines in E correspond to those in F and G. The position of E is indicated as a vertical yellow solid line in G. D, Dorsal; V, ventral; R, rostral; C, caudal. H, Quantitative analysis indicating that actual value (ratio of number of EphA4(+) cells in MB holes to number of EphA4(+) cells in DGM midline area) was significantly higher than expected value that was calculated from ratio of MB hole area to DGM midline area (MB area / MB hole area). Five sections from three Chn1KO mice were analyzed. Paired t test, ***p < 0.001. Scale bars: A, C, 40 μm; B, D, 10 μm; E–G, 20 μm.
Figure 8. Ectopic midline-EphA4 cells are clustered within MB holes in EphA4 KO mice. A–D, Epha4 KO mice showed holes in the ephrinB3 (+) MB (C, arrows). In the holes, ectopic EphA4 cells (β-gal (+) cells; asterisks) were clustered (D). Right panels in A and C are depicted on the basis of the left panels in A and C, respectively, and indicate the location of β-gal (+) cells (magenta) and the MB (green). Cervical coronal sections (100 μm thick) in control (Epha4+/+LacZ) and Epha4 KO (Epha4LacZ/LacZ) mice at P0 were stained with DAPI and anti-ephrinB3 and anti-β-gal antibodies (A–D). B and D are high magnification of boxes in A and C, respectively. E–G, In the Epha4 KO mouse spinal cord (E, F), the majority of EphA4 cells located in the midline (surrounded by white dashed line) were found in MB holes (magenta arrows), although some were found within the MB (cyan arrows). In a midsagittal section (G), distribution of EphA4 cells in MB holes (magenta) and that of EphA4 cells in MB (cyan) were plotted. Cervical coronal sections (100 μm thick) at P0 Epha4 KO mice were stained with anti-ephrinB3 and anti-β-gal antibodies and DAPI. Yellow lines in the coronal section (E) correspond to those in DV reslicing sections (F). Vertical and horizontal yellow solid lines in G indicate the position of cross-sections in E and F, respectively. H, Quantitative analysis indicated that actual value (ratio of number of EphA4 cells in MB holes to number of EphA4 cells in DGM midline area) was significantly higher than expected value that was calculated from ratio of DGM MB hole area to DGM midline area. Five sections from five Epha4 KO mice were analyzed. Paired t test, ***p < 0.001.
repelling juxta-midline EphA4 cells from the ephrinB3-expressing MB as a key downstream effector of ephrinB3–EphA4 signaling.

**α-Chimaerin is critical for the maintenance of an intact MB**

In the developing vertebrate CNS, the MB, which comprises somata and processes of midline glia, plays a critical role in preventing aberrant midline crossing of axons. CST axons that originate from the motor cortex enter the contralateral side of the spinal cord (Miller, 1987), where they do not cross the midline again. The spinal MB expresses ephrinB3 to repel EphA4 cells and prevent midline crossing (Coonen et al., 2001; Kullander et al., 2001a, b; Yokoyama et al., 2001). In the developing spinal cord, EphA4 cells were expressed in the juxta-midline area, but not within the midline (Figs. 1D, E, 4A–D). It appears that the presence of MB holes is the cause of aberrant midline crossing of CST axons in these mice rather than a consequence because CST axons reach the spinal cord postnatally (Canty and Murphy, 2008), whereas MB holes were evident by E15.5 in Chn1KO mice (Fig. 5). Furthermore, although CST axons passed through the midline in Cx-Chn1KO mice (Fig. 1A, B), they did not form MB holes (Fig. 4E, F).

Previous studies on midline axon guidance have mostly focused on the molecular mechanisms of axonal repulsion (and attraction) induced by the MB. In contrast, in the present study, we identified an important role of α-chimaerin in establishing the MB. Specifically, we showed that, although α-chimaerin is not required for the initial formation of the spinal MB, it is required for its maintenance because the barrier was broken at E15.5 but was intact at E14.5 in Chn1KO mice (Fig. 5). Our results shed light on the importance of barrier maintenance for proper midline axon guidance.

**Maintenance of the MB by α-chimaerin**

During the development of the spinal cord, the primitive lumen formed in the center of the neural tube by neurulation eventually transforms into the CC by gradually shrinking in size (Böhme, 1988; Sevc et al., 2009). EphrinB3 (+) midline glia were located at the dorsal end of the spinal midline at E13.5 and began to migrate ventrally after the shrinkage of the primitive lumen by E14.5 (Fig. 6A). At E14.5, ephrinB3 on these midline glia already formed the MB in both control and Chn1KO mice (Fig. 5). At E15.5, the morphology of the lumen and the location of ephrinB3 (+) glia somata were similar in control and Chn1KO mice. However, in Chn1KO mice, the DF failed to invaginate, so the DGM expanded (Fig. 6A). In the dorsal DGM midline of Chn1KO mice, we observed numerous MB holes at E15.5 or later (Figs. 3, 5).

Intriguingly, many EphA4 [β-gal (+)] cells were located within MB holes in Chn1KO mice at E15.5 (Fig. 7). In the normal developing spinal cord, EphA4 cells were expressed in the juxta-midline area, but not within the midline (Fig. 6), as reported previously (Escalante et al., 2013; Paixão et al., 2013). In the absence of α-chimaerin, EphA4 cells were located in the juxta-midline at E14.5, but several cells were mislocated in the midline at E15.5 (Fig. 6). In addition, MB holes simultaneously emerged around these EphA4 cells (Figs. 5, 7). These results suggest that α-chimaerin prevents juxta-midline EphA4 cells from invading the MB during embryonic stages. This may occur by cell repulsion via the ephrinB3–EphA4–α-chimaerin signaling pathway. We and others have reported previously that α-chimaerin interacts with EphA4 in vivo and in vitro and mediates ephrinB3–EphA4 forward signaling during motor circuit formation (Beg et al., 2007; Iwasato et al., 2007; Wegmeyer et al., 2007). Here, we showed that juxta-midline EphA4 cells express α-chimaerin (Fig. 9A, D). Previous studies have reported that EphA4 cells aberrantly accumulated in the spinal midline in Epha4KO and Sp-Epha4KO mice (Restrepo et al., 2011; Paixão et al., 2013). Here, we showed that juxta-midline EphA4 cells in Epha4KO mice invade the midline during embryonic stages (Fig. 10) and MB holes are present around these ectopic midline-EphA4 cells at later stages such as P0 (Fig. 8). These results further support our hy-
Figure 10. **Midline invasion of EphA4 cells in EphA4KO mice** (**A**–**Q**). **A**, GFP expression vector was introduced unilaterally into the spinal cord of control (**Epha4**+/−/LacZ) and EphA4KO (**Epha4**−/−/LacZ) embryos at E11.5 using **in utero** electroporation and coronal sections of the cervical cord at E17.5 were stained using anti-β-gal and anti-ephrinB3 antibodies and DAPI. **B** and **D** are single-plane images obtained with confocal microscopy and **A** and **C** are z-projection (35-μm-thick) images. **E**–**Q** are high-magnification images of boxes in **A**–**D**, **F**–**H′**, **K′–M′**, and **O′–Q′**, which are consistent with GFP(+) cells in **F**–**H**, **K–M**, and **O–Q**, respectively, indicate z-projection images of GFP signals. In the electroporated side (ipsi) of both genotypes, GFP(+) and GPP(+) β-gal(−) (**E** and **J**) and GPP(+) β-gal(+) cells (**I** and **K**) were found. In the ephrinB3(+) MB of both genotypes, some GFP(+) β-gal(−) cells appeared as midline radial glia (RG; yellow arrows in **A** and **C**, **F** and **K**), because they extend their processes along the DV axis (**arrows** in **F** and **K′**) and other GFP(+) β-gal(−) cells (**cyan** arrows in **A** and **C**, **G** and **L**) were nonradial glia (non-RG) cells that extend their processes orthogonally to the DV axis (**arrows** in **G** and **L′**). Conversely, in the midline (mid), GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells (**magenta** arrows in **C**, e.g., **O**) were found only in EphA4KO mice and they extended their processes orthogonally to the DV axis (**arrows** in **O′**). In the contralateral (contra) side, both genotypes had GFP(+) β-gal(−) cells (**cyan** arrows in **A** and **C**, **G** and **L**), which projected axon-like processes to the ipsilateral side (**arrows** in **F** and **Q′**). Asterisks in **F′–H′**, **K′–M′**, and **O′–Q′** indicate somata. The MB is outlined with green dotted lines in **G**. Scale bars: **A**–**D**, 50 μm; **E**–**Q**, **F′–H′**, **K′–M′**, **O′–Q′**, 10 μm. **R, S**, Ratio of midline (Mid) GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells in total GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells in each section (**R**) and ratio of contralateral (Contra) GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells in total GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells in each section (**S**) are shown (control: n = 5 sections from 3 mice; Epha4KO: n = 8 sections from 4 mice). Only in EphA4KO mice were some GFP(+) β-gal(+) cells distributed in the midline and contralateral areas. Data are represented as scatter plot and mean. Mann–Whitney **U** test, **p** < 0.01.

The hypothesis that impaired EphA4–α-chimaerin signaling in the juxta-midline EphA4 cells allows these cells to break into the midline in Chn1KO and Epha4KO mice, resulting in MB hole formation. Alternatively, MB holes may be formed by an impaired EphA4–α-chimaerin signaling in ephrinB3(+) midline radial glia. However, we think that this scenario is unlikely because, in normal mice, midline radial glia have little EphA4 expression (Figs. 6, 9, 10). Therefore, we propose that spinal...
α-chimaerin maintains the intact MB by mediating ephrinB3–EphA4 cell-repulsive signaling in juxta-midline EphA4 cells.

**Dual roles of α-chimaerin for corticospinal axon midline guidance**

We have reported previously that cultured cortical neurons devoid of α-chimaerin suppressed ephrinB3-induced growth cone collapse (Iwasato et al., 2007). Here, we showed that Cx-Chn1 KO mice exhibit aberrant midline crossing of CST axons in the spinal cord (Fig. 1A, B), with an intact ephrinB3(+) MB (Figs. 3E, 4E, F). Therefore, α-chimaerin in cortical neurons is a key mediator of ephrinB3–EphA4 signaling for axonal repulsion. Without this α-chimaerin function, EphA4-expressing CST axons cannot be repulsed by the ephrinB3(+) MB in the spinal cord and cross the midline aberrantly (Fig. 11).

In the present study, we showed that α-chimaerin also has another function in CST axon midline guidance: α-chimaerin in spinal neurons acts as a key mediator of ephrinB3–EphA4 signaling for cell repulsion. Without this α-chimaerin function, EphA4-expressing juxta-midline cells (mostly neurons) cannot be repulsed by the ephrinB3(+) MB in the spinal cord and break into the midline to form MB holes. Later in postnatal development, EphA4-expressing CST axons cross the midline aberrantly through these MB holes. Therefore, α-chimaerin in the embryonic spinal cord regulates postnatal CST axon midline guidance indirectly. CST axons may cross the midline actively through MB holes using EphA4(+) cells located in MB holes as guide post cells (Chao et al., 2009). However, we think it is more likely that CST axons cross the midline passively through ephrinB3(−) spaces (i.e., MB holes) because many CST axons crossed the midline in Sp-Chn1 KO mice at positions where there were no cells (Fig. 4C).

Therefore, two spatially (cortical cell axon vs spinal cord cell), temporally (postnatal vs embryonic), and physiologically (axonal repulsion vs cell repulsion) distinct functions of α-chimaerin are essential for proper CST axon midline guidance in the spinal cord.

**Roles of α-chimaerin in CNS development and function**

α-Chimaerin is a RacGAP that regulates actin dynamics. The discovery and analyses of spontaneous null mutant mice of Chn1 identified an in vivo function of α-chimaerin (Iwasato et al., 2007). α-Chimaerin regulates the midline guidance of CST axons and local spinal circuits and a loss-of-function of α-chimaerin...
results in a hopping gait (Iwasato et al., 2007). Similar results were obtained in Chnn1KO mice generated independently by three groups of investigators, including ours (Beg et al., 2007; Iwasato et al., 2007; Wegmeyer et al., 2007), and in an in vitro model (Shi et al., 2007). α-Chimaerin regulates axonal pathfinding of both spinal lateral motor column neurons innervating ventral limb muscle as a downstream molecule of EphA signaling (Kao et al., 2015) and oculomotor neurons innervating extraocular muscles as a downstream molecule of Semaphorin signaling (Ferrario et al., 2012; Clark et al., 2013). We also reported that α2-chimaerin is important for the regulation of locomotor activity (Iwata et al., 2014), the ability of contextual learning (Iwata et al., 2014), and the spine morphogenesis of hippocampal neurons (Iwata et al., 2015) in mice. In humans, gain-of-function mutations in CHN1 cause Duane’s retraction syndrome (Miyake et al., 2008) and a single nucleotide polymorphism in the CHN1 locus is associated with autistic traits and the arithmetic ability of healthy humans (Iwata et al., 2014). A recent study using a knockdown approach suggested that α-chimaerin plays a role in the multipolar-bipolar transition of cortical neurons in a RacGAP-independent manner (Ip et al., 2011). In the current study, we provide evidence for a novel role of α-chimaerin in neural circuit formation. Spinal α-chimaerin is critical for the prevention of the MB invasion of spinal cells, which is essential for the maintenance of an intact MB and proper midline guidance of CST axons. Therefore, α-chimaerin plays important roles in a various aspects of neural circuit development and function.
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