A three-year Herpetofauna survey from one of the largest remnants of the Atlantic Rainforest Biome (Reserva Natural Vale)
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Abstract. Here we present a three-year survey of amphibian and reptile species registered in the Vale Natural Reserve (VNR), located in the north of Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil. The VNR, along with the Sooretama Biological Reserve (SBR) and other surrounding areas, form a forest block that constitutes one of the largest remnants of continuous forest in the Atlantic Forest biome. We systematically sampled the herpetofauna community from 2015 to 2018, using the method of active search method in 27 plots of RAPELD distributed in the different types of vegetation present in the area. We recorded 39 species of amphibians belonging to the order Anura, distributed in seven families and 40 species of reptiles belonging to 20 families distributed in three orders: Crocodylia, Testudines and Squamata. The supplementation the samplings with those records from occasional encounters was important for the survey of the region's herpetofauna, mainly for reptiles. We added four species (two lizards, one amphisbaenid and one snake) to the known list for the VNR-SBR forest block: *Microblepharus maximiliani*, *Strobilurus torquatus*, *Amphisbaena alba* and *Tantilla* sp. We demonstrated that VNR contains a high species richness of amphibians and reptiles, with a high number of anurans being endemic to the Atlantic Forest biome. The present species inventory provided the most current approximation of known the richness and composition of species of the existing herpetofauna at Vale Natural Reserve, since the period of the gathering of records is quite recent (2015-2018). Also, it brings the knowledge of some community parameters as species richness and composition not only for the whole area of the Vale Natural Reserve, but also for its different vegetation types, with no inclusion of environments outside this reserve. Furthermore, the presence of threatened species, the addition of four species to the current list, and the potential for new species to occur, reinforce the role of VNR as an important area for the protection of amphibian and reptile biodiversity in the Atlantic Forest.
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INTRODUCTION

The Atlantic Forest is recognized worldwide as a hotspot for biodiversity conservation (Myers et al., 2000). However, the history of the dynamics of land occupation and exploitation of natural resources has resulted in intense fragmentation of the original landscape, making this biome highly threatened (Ribeiro et al., 2009; Colombo & Joly, 2010). Currently, only 28% of the native vegetation cover remains for the Atlantic Forest biome, with only 30% of them located in protected areas (Rezende et al., 2018). Most forest fragments have less than 100 hectares and it is estimated that only 77 forest remnants have more than 10,000 hectares (Ribeiro et al., 2009). The Vale Natural Reserve (VNR), located in Espirito Santo state in Southeastern Brazil, together with the Sooretama Biological Reserve (SBR) and some other smaller areas in their surrounding areas, form a forest block of about 50,000 hectares, constituting one of the largest remnants of continuous forest in the Atlantic Forest biome (Kierulff et al., 2014). The VNR-SBR forest block has a remarkable richness of flora and fauna, harboring several species threatened, and its importance for the protection of the biodiversity of the Atlantic Forest has already been reinforced in several studies (see Rolim et al., 2016; Fraga et al., 2019). However, less attention has been paid to the herpetofauna community, although the number of studies has steadily increased in recent years (e.g., Pereira-Ribeiro et al., 2019; 2020a, b). The herpetofauna knowledge scenario is even worse when we consider only
the reptile group, with only two studies available covering this region’s reptile community (Rocha, 1998; Bérnils et al., 2014). A few other studies focused on ecological aspects of only one or two species (e.g., Bergallo & Rocha, 1994; Gandolfi & Rocha, 1998; Giaretta, 1996; Chiarello et al., 2010; Ferreguetti et al., 2018; Pereira-Ribeiro et al., 2020c).

The scarcity of information on the parameters of the local community makes it difficult to propose effective actions and measures for the protection of most species and the compilation of reliable data on the composition of local existing species is essential for the conservation of a given region (Rocha et al., 2004). In this study, we provide data on the species richness and composition of amphibians and reptiles, based on primary data resulting from a three-year monitoring effort (2015–2018) in the Vale Natural Reserve, Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study area**

We conducted the study in the Vale Natural Reserve (19°06′45″S, 40°03′03″W – centroid coordinates), a private protected area located in the municipality of Linhares, northern state of Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil (Fig. 1).

The reserve has about 23,500 ha, with an average altitude of 46 meters and has a hot and humid tropical climate, with an average annual temperature of 23.3°C and annual precipitation of 1,202 mm (Kierulff et al., 2014). The region has a marked seasonality in the rainfall regime throughout the year, with the rainy season occurring from October to March and a dry season from April to September (Garay & Rizzini, 2004).

![Figure 1](image-url)
The vegetation cover of the reserve includes the Coastal Plain Forest (called “Floresta de Tabuleiro”), which can be divided into four distinct vegetation types: (1) Coastal plain forest (70% of the area), which has a high density of large trees (up to 40 m in height) and dense litter; (2) Sandy soil forest (8%), which follows strands of sandy soils and has medium-sized trees and shrubs that allow a higher incidence of sunlight on the soil; (3) natural grasslands (6%), open areas that appear as enclaves in the forest, with floristics very similar to restingas, having herbaceous or shrub-tree vegetation and with the presence of bromeliads; and (4) Permanently or seasonally flooded forest (11%), which is associated with water bodies and which includes swamp areas, lowland forest and riparian forest (for more details, see Peixoto et al., 2008). The remaining 6% is composed of administrative structures of the reserve.

**Surveys**

We carried out field sampling from June 2015 to February 2018, in the daytime period (7:00 am – 5:00 pm) and at night (6:00 pm–11:00 pm), covering the months of the dry season and the rainy season in the studied area. The field campaigns lasted from seven to 10 days and were monthly in the first year (June 2015 to May 2016) and bimonthly in the remaining period, totaling 23 field campaigns.

We used 27 plots of 250 m in length (totaling 10 m of sampled strip), following the RAPELD protocol (Magnusson et al., 2005), proportionally distributed in all vegetation types present in the area. This method consists of permanent plots, made considering the level contours of the terrain, at least 1 km apart and is suitable for long-term ecological surveys, as well as allowing quick samples (see Magnusson et al., 2005). We sampled the amphibian and reptile species along the plots using the active search method, with visual and acoustic samplings (Crump & Scott-Jr., 1994). The plots were sampled using the same protocol, by two observers, spending an approximate time of 1 h along with each plot. In each plot, we search for individuals in the available microhabitats, such as litter, hollows in trees, shrubs, fallen trunks, among others. We recorded all individuals of herpetofauna species located in a range of 5 m on each side of the plotline. Considering the entire study period, we sampled each plot seven times in each period (day and night). Additionally, we recorded those individuals from herpetofauna species occasionally found on the trails and roads of the Vale Natural Reserve.

We photographed and identified all the individuals captured and later released them in the same place where they were originally found. We identified the species based on the specific literature of each group and with the help of guides of amphibians and reptiles from the Atlantic Forest (e.g., Gasparini 2012; Haddad et al., 2013; Marques et al., 2019). Additionally, whenever necessary, we seek confirmation from specialists. When possible, an individual per species was collected as a voucher (License Sisbio/RAN Nº 46327-4), especially individuals of species that had not yet been registered for the area.

We identified some individuals based on the characteristics of the species, through photography, when it was not possible to perform the collection. The collected individuals were killed with anesthetic xylocaine and fixed in 10% formalin solution, following standardized procedures. We deposited the specimens in the herpetological collection of the Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ) (Appendix).

**Data analysis**

To estimate species richness for the area, we used species presence/absence data to perform a species rarification curve, using the Chao 2 estimator (Magurran, 2013), with 1,000 randomizations in the model. We used this estimator due to the high number of species that were recorded only once. For this analysis, we used the software EstimateS 9.0 (Colwell, 2013). The taxonomy adopted follows Frost (2019) for amphibians and Costa & Bérnils (2018) for reptiles. To verify if the species were endemic to the Atlantic Forest, we used Monteiro-Filho & Conte (2017) and Costa & Bérnils (2018).

**RESULTS**

**Amphibians**

During the study period, we recorded 39 species of amphibians, all belonging to the order Anura, distributed in seven families (Table 1, Figs. 2 and 3). The Hylidae family was the most speciose (21 spp., 54%), followed by Leptodactylidae (8 spp., 20%) and Microhylidae (4 spp., 10%).

We recorded most species (31 species, 80%) using the active search method in the plots, with 14 of the species being recorded exclusively by this method (Table 1). The accumulation curve for anurans reached an asymptote (Fig. 4), with an estimated richness of 39.72 ± 1.37 (Chao 2).

Of the anuran species recorded, approximately 75% are endemic to the Atlantic Forest biome. None of the registered anuran species is included in the IUCN (IUCN 2020), Brazil (ICMBIO/MM, 2018) or the state of Espírito Santo (Fraga et al., 2019) red lists of endangered species.

**Reptiles**

We recorded 40 species of reptiles belonging to 20 families distributed in three orders: Crocodylia, Testudines and Squamata (Table 2, Figs. 5 and 6). Crocodylia was represented by only one species in the Alligatoridae family (Caiman latirostris). Testudines was represented by four species, belonging to the families Chelidae (1), Testudinidae (2) and Geoemydidae (1). Squamata was represented by 35 species, being 17 species of lizards, one amphibiaenid and 17 species of snakes (Table 2).
Table 1. List of amphibian species recorded in the Vale Natural Reserve, municipality of Linhares, Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil. AS = Active search, OE = occasional encounter, END/AF = Endemic to the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. For Conservation Status, DD = Data Deficient, LC = Least Concern, with sources indicated in parentheses (IUCN = International Union for Conservation of Nature).

| Order/Family/Species | Method | END/AF | Conservation status |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| **ANURA**            |        |        |                     |
| Bufonidae            |        |        |                     |
| Rhinella cruriceps (Wied-Neuwied, 1821) | AS/OE X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Rhinella granulosa (Spix, 1824) | OE — | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Rhinella diptycha (Jope, 1862) | OE — | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Craugastoridae        |        |        |                     |
| Haddadus hemipenis (Spix, 1824) | AS/OE X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| **Hyliidae**         |        |        |                     |
| Aplopachodon bruni Miranda-Ribeiro, 1920 | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Boana faveri (Wied-Neuwied, 1821) | AS/OE X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Boana pombal (Caramaschi, Pimenta, and Feio, 2004) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Boana semilinetia (Spix, 1824) | AS/OE X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Dendrophosiphus aniceps (Lutz, 1929) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Dendrophosiphus bipunctatus (Spix, 1824) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Dendrophosiphus branneri (Cochran, 1948) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Dendrophosiphus discipiens (Lutz, 1925) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Dendrophosiphus elegans (Wied-Neuwied, 1824) | OE X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Dendrophosiphus minutus (Peters, 1872) | OE — | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Dendrophosiphus senicusus (Cope, 1868) | OE X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Olooglycan agilis (Cruz & Peixoto, 1983) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Olooglycan anguineus (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1926) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Phyllodytes latrans (Wied-Neuwied, 1824) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Scinax alter (Lutz, 1925) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |
| Scinax cuspitatus (Lutz, 1925) | AS X | LC (IUCN) |                     |

DISCUSSION

Amphibians

We found a richness of 39 anuran species in the Vale Natural Reserve (VNR), which is equivalent to about 29% of the amphibian species known to occur to the whole state of Espírito Santo (Almeida et al., 2011). The rarefaction curve for frogs tended to stabilize, with an expected richness of about 40 species, a value quite similar to that species richness we recorded. Although some recent studies on frog communities in this reserve had provided a considerable number of frog species varying from 21 up to 24 species (Pereira-Ribeiro et al., 2019, 2020a, b), they were designed for a shorter period and using particular sampling efforts and methods to study just one of the physiognomies of the reserve (i.e., the Coastal plain forest or Floresta de Tabuleiro) (Pereira-Ribeiro et al., 2020b), or to investigate some particular ecological parameters as the activity, occupancy, detectability and short-term rainfall effects on the frogs activity (Pereira-Ribeiro et al., 2019, 2020a, b). Conversely, the present study based on a systematic method of sampling (in RAPELD Modules) covered all types of vegetation present in the area of the reserve and sampled continuously for about three years, which allowed to reach a better approximation of the actual frog species richness occurring in the reserve. In addition, the present study took into account those individuals from species we occasionally found when moving along the reserve, which added six species to the study: Rhinella granulosa, Rhinella diptycha, Dendropsophs elegans, Dendropsophus minutus, Dendropsophus senicusus, Leptodactylus latrans. Although Almeida & Gasparini (2014) reported a richness of 56 species of amphibians for this region, they used primary and secondary data from amphibians, and did not restrict their sampling to the species collected in the VNR (23,500 hectares). They also...
included data from the Sooretama Biological Reserve (24,000 hectares) and from surrounding environments of these reserves. Since that study did not report which species were specifically recorded in each area and took into consideration a too larger area (of at least a double size), this prevents comparisons with our results which bring data collected exclusively at VNR.

Approximately 75% of the anuran species recorded in our study are endemic to the Atlantic Forest, following the same trend of rate of endemism in studies on anurans in this biome (e.g., 77.2% – Dias et al., 2014; 70% – Campos & Lourenço-de-Moraes, 2017). The Atlantic Forest biome contains the highest degree of endemism of anuran amphibians in Brazil (about 80% of the species known in this biome are endemic), mainly because it has highly heterogeneous environments throughout the domain (e.g., different plant formations, latitudes and altitudes, Rossa-Feres et al., 2017). The Vale Natural Reserve has a mosaic with different vegetation types and availability of microenvironments, which allow the

Figure 2. Some of the anuran species we recorded in the Vale Natural Reserve, municipality of Linhares, Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil: (a) Rhinella crucifer, (b) Rhinella diptycha, (c) Haddadus binotatus, (d) Aparasphenodon brunoii, (e) Boana semilunata, (f) Dendropsophus elegans, (g) Dendropsophus seniculus, (h) Oloolygon agilis, (i) Phyllodytes luteolus, (j) Scinax eurydice, (k) Scinax sp., (l) Sphaenorhynchus planicola.
Pereira-Ribeiro et al. (2020a) demonstrated that the VNR frog community varied between vegetation types, with some species being exclusively found in each phytosociology, and emphasizing the importance of the structural factors along landscape affecting the diversity of species. One of the criteria for identifying priority conservation areas and assessing the conservation value of ecoregions is the presence of endemic species (Loyola et al., 2007). Thus, considering the high endemism of anurans presented here, our results underscore the importance of VNR for the conservation of this group in the Atlantic Forest.

Reptiles

The records we obtained for the VNR reptile fauna currently indicate the occurrence of 40 species, being a crocodilian, four turtles, 17 lizards, one amphisbaenid and 17 snakes. Our result is similar to that found by Rocha (1998), who registered 38 species of reptiles in the same study area (2 turtles, 16 lizards, 2 amphisbaenids and 18 snakes). The study of Bérnils et al. (2014) indicated a richness of 64 species, being one crocodilian, four turtles, two amphisbaenids, 18 lizards and 39 snakes for the whole piece of remnants of VNR plus Sooretama Biological Reserve and surrounding environments. However, as

Figure 3. Some of the anuran species we recorded in the Vale Natural Reserve, municipality of Linhares, Espirito Santo, southeastern Brazil: (a) *Trachycephalus mesophaeus*, (b) *Leptodactylus fuscus*, (c) *Leptodactylus latrans*, (d) *Leptodactylus mystacinus*, (e) *Physalaemus aquirrei*, (f) *Physalaemus gr. signifer*, (g) *Chiasmocleis capixaba*, (h) *Chiasmocleis schubarti*, (i) *Dasypops schirci*, (j) *Stereocyclops incrassatus*, (k) *Proceratophrys laticeps*, (l) *Phyllomedusa burmeisteri*. 
we have pointed above, such comparisons are not feasible due to considerable differences among the study of Bérnils et al. (2014) and the present study, in terms of the differences in the size of the general geographic area considered, methodologies and field efforts employed. However, even considering the larger sampled area in the study by Bérnils et al. (2014), our results added four species to the known reptile list for the VNR-SBR forest block: Micrablepharus maximiliani, Strobilurus torquatus, Amphisbaena alba and Tantilla sp.

| Order/Family/Species | Method | END/AF | Conservation status |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| CROCODYLIA           |        |        |                     |
| Alligatoridae        |        |        |                     |
| Caiman latirostris   | AS/DE  | —      | LC (IUCN), EN (ES)  |

| TESTUDINES           |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Acanthochelys radiolata | OE     | X      | NT (IUCN), LC (BRA) |

| Testudinidae         |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Chelonoides carbonarius | AS/DE | —      | DD (ES)            |
| Chelonoides denticulatus | AS/DE | —      | VU (IUCN), LC (BRA), DD (ES) |

| Geoemydidae          |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Rhinoclemmys punctularia | OE   | —      | —                  |

| SQUAMATA             |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Gekkonidae           |        |        |                     |
| Hemidactylus mabouia | OE     | —      | —                  |

| Phyllodactylidae     |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Gymnodactylus darwini | AS/DE | X      | LC (IUCN)          |

| Mabuyidae            |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Brachycephalus agilis | AS/DE | X      | LC (IUCN)          |
| Psammobates macrorhyncha | AS/DE | X      | LC (IUCN)          |

| Dactyloidae          |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Dactyloa punctata    | AS/DE  | —      | —                  |
| Norops ortonii       | OE     | —      | —                  |

| Polychrotidae        |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Polychrus marmoratus | OE     | —      | LC (IUCN)          |

| Tropiduridae         |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Strobilurus torquatus | AS    | X      | LC (IUCN)          |

| Anguidae             |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Diploglossus fasciatus | OE   | —      | LC (IUCN)          |

| Gymnophthalmidae     |        |        |                     |
|----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Microblyphurus maximiliani | AS | —      | LC (IUCN)       |

Table 2. List of reptile species recorded in the Vale Natural Reserve, municipality of Linhares, Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil. AS = Active search, OE = occasional encounter, END/AF = Endemic to the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. For Conservation Status, DD = Data Deficient, NT = Near Threatened, LC = Least Concern, EN = Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, with sources indicated in parentheses (ES = List of endangered species of the State of Espírito Santo, BRA = List of endangered species of the Brazil, IUCN = International Union for Conservation of Nature).
the importance of combining more than one sampling method for reptiles for a more complete inventory. Also, while we recorded eight species exclusively by the active search method, we recorded 16 species exclusively by occasional encounters which points out for the importance of the inclusion of such unexpected encounters to improve substantially species richness and composition during inventories.

The only exotic species recorded at RNV was the gekkonid lizard *Hemidactylus mabouia*, a species considered invasive in Brazil (Rocha *et al*., 2011). This species is commonly associated with anthropic or perianthropic environments and, its invasion in natural areas in Brazil is increasing steadily, especially in open habitats (Rocha *et al*., 2011; Oliveira *et al*., 2016). In natural environments, *H. mabouia* can interact with native species in the com-

Figure 5. Some of the reptile species we recorded in the Vale Natural Reserve, municipality of Linhares, Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil: (a) Acanthochelys radiolata, (b) Chelonoidis carbonaria, (c) Chelonoidis denticulatus, (d) Rhinoclemmys punctularia, (e) Brasiliscincus agilis, (f) Psychosaura macrorhyncha, (g) Norops ortonii, (h) Polychrus marmoratus, (i) Strobilurus torquatus, (j) Tropidurus torquatus, (k) Ameivula nativo, (l) Salvator merianae.
community, sharing space and food resources (Rocha et al., 2011). In addition, *H. mabouia* can also be an occasional prey for native snake and lizard species (Rocha & Vrcibradic, 1998; Rocha et al., 2011). However, more studies are needed to more accurately assess the potential positive and negative effects imposed on sympatric species (Rocha et al., 2011). The individuals of this species we registered in the reserve were found only near to the administrative headquarters of the Reserve, in perianthropic areas and thus, not in natural environments. Although it can suggest that invasion of natural habitats by this exotic and invasive gecko still not occurred at VNR. Data from 1998 (Rocha, 1998) points the occurrence of this species in the natural grassland physiognomy, an open habitat known to favor invasion by this species (Rocha et al., 2011). Therefore, we recommend monitoring of this species.

Figure 6. Some of the reptile species we recorded in the Vale Natural Reserve, municipality of Linhares, Espírito Santo, southeastern Brazil: (a) Amerotyphlops brongersmianus, (b) Corallus hortulanus, (c) Chironius foveatus, (d) Chironius fuscus, (e) Leptophis ahaetulla, (f) Oxybelis aeneus, (g) Spilotes sulphureus, (h) Erythrolamprus miliaris, (i) Siphlophis compressus, (j) Thamnodynastes hypoconia, (k) Micrurus corallinus preying on A. brongersmianus, (l) Bothrops jararaca.
invasive lizard in natural environments of the reserve, to access to what extent the process of invasion may have effectively occurred.

*Caiman latirostris*, *Acanthochelys radiolata*, *Chelonoidis denticulatus*, and *Ameivula nativo* were the only recorded species that are in any category of risk of extinction, depending on the global (IUCN), national (ICMBio/MMA) or regional lists (State list). *Caiman latirostris* is distributed in the southeastern region of South America, with more than 70% of the global distribution of the species being in the Brazilian territory (Siroski et al., 2020). This species usually occurs in lentic environments (*e.g.*, ponds, mangroves, swamps; Moulton et al., 1999), although it can also occur in environments altered by humans, such as effluent treatment plants and water reservoirs (Scott-Jr. et al., 1990; Filogônio et al., 2010). Several factors threaten the populations of this species throughout its distribution, such as drainage of water bodies, deforestation, habitat reduction, poaching, among others (Siroski et al., 2020). In Espírito Santo, the species was categorized as Endangered due to the impact of poaching on the populations of this species in the State, although there are no studies that report the magnitude of this impact (Fraga et al., 2019). In VNR, we found individuals of *C. latirostris* in two permanently flooded areas. Thus, the monitoring of this species, as well as the evaluation and analysis of the magnitude of the factors that may be threats is of fundamental importance for the conservation of the populations of the species in the region.

*Acanthochelys radiolata* is an endemic species in Brazil and was categorized as Near threatened by IUCN in 1996 (Tortoise & Freshwater Turtle Specialist Group, 1996a). In the Brazilian list of threatened animals, the conservation status has changed to Least concern due to new and better information available (ICMBio/MMA, 2018). The species has a wide distribution, occurring in the Cerrado and Atlantic Forest biomes, where it is associated with wetlands (Iverson, 1992). At VNR, we occasionally encounter an individual of the species, in a temporary puddle after heavy rain.

*Chelonoidis denticulatus* is widely distributed in Brazil mainly in the Amazon and in the Atlantic Rainforest, where the species occur as disjunct population in eastern coastal forests with occurrence also recorded to Cerrado and Pantanal (Pritchard & Trebbau, 1984, Iverson, 1992). This tortoise is usually associated with forested habitats in humid environments (Jerozolimski et al., 2009). Currently, this tortoise keeps populations in huge rem-
nants of the Atlantic Rainforest as VNR (Rocha, 1998; Bérnils et al., 2014) and Sooretama Biological Reserve (Merçon, 2015), being apparently not able to maintain viable populations in small fragments. Our records regarding *C. denticulatus* and its congener *C. carbonarius* during the present study at VNR are suggestive that both tortoise species are relatively abundant in this reserve. Similar to *A. radiolata*, *C. denticulatus* was categorized as Vulnerable in the IUCN list (Tortoise & Freshwater Turtle Specialist Group 1996a), as Least Concern in the Brazilian list of endangered animals (ICMBio/MMA, 2018), but it was categorized as Data Deficient in the recent list of the threatened fauna and flora of Espírito Santo State (Fraga et al., 2019).

*Ameivula nativo* is a unisexual parthenogenetic lizard endemic to the coastal habitats of sand dunes (restingas and natural grasslands) of the Atlantic Forest biome, occurring only along the east coast of Brazil, in the states of Bahia and Espírito Santo (Rocha et al., 1997; Menezes & Rocha, 2013). This lizard was included in the national (ICMBio/MMA, 2018) and state (Fraga et al., 2019) lists of fauna threatened with extinction, being categorized as Endangered in both lists, due to its restricted distribution and the intense degradation of the habitats in which this species is endemic (Colli et al., 2018; Almeida et al., 2007). The natural grassland (locally called "campo nativo") in the VNR is the type locality of this lizard and a recent study showed that the area maintains the highest density ever recorded for the species (Pereira-Ribeiro et al., 2020c), reinforcing the important role of VNR in maintaining the population and conserving this endangered species.

The reptile richness currently registered for VNR corresponds to about 5% of the known reptile species in Brazil and 13% of the known reptile species recorded for the Atlantic Forest (Tozetti et al., 2017; Costa & Bérnils, 2018). In addition, the local species richness corresponds to about 30% of the species currently registered in the state of Espírito Santo (Costa & Bérnils, 2018). Approximately 25% of the species we recorded in VNR are endemic to the Atlantic Forest biome (Tozetti et al., 2017) and four species are currently in some category of risk of extinction. However, part of the species that we found in the study (15%) do not present information on their conservation status (IUCN, 2020). Thus, additional studies on reptile richness and composition are essential for the learning of occurrence, distribution, and status of conservation, which in turn, may provide more effective tools for the conservation of species, since occurrence and distribution data constitute essential components to assess the risk of extinction (IUCN, 2020).

We concluded that VNR contains many species of amphibians and reptiles, with a high number of endemic species of the Atlantic Forest, including some endangered species. Our study added four species to the known local herpetofauna biodiversity. The present species sampling provided the most current approximation of known the richness of the existing herpetofauna at VNR, since the period of the gathering of records is quite recent (2015-2018). Also, it brings the knowledge of some community parameters as species richness not only for the whole area of the VNR, but also for its different vegetation types, with no inclusion of environments outside this reserve. Our data reinforces the role of VNR as an important area for the protection of amphibian and reptile biodiversity in the Atlantic Forest.
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Amphibians: Aparasphenodon brunoii (MNJR 91008, 91009, 91010), Boana pombali (RNVR 140), Chiasmocleis schurbar-ti (MNJR 91213, 91214, 91215), Dasypops schirchi (RNVR 126), Dendropsophus bipunctatus (RNVR 127, 128), Dendropsophus minute (MNJR 91220, 91221, 91222), Dendropsophus minutus (MNJR 91223, 91224), Dendropsophus signifer (MNJR 91225, 91226), Dendropsophus decipiens (MNJR 91227), Dendropsophus minutus (MNJR 91228), Dendropsophus signifer (MNJR 91225, 91226), Olycon granulosa (MNJR 91227), Proceratophrys laticeps (RNVR 127, 128), Rhinella crucifer (RNVR 113), Rhinella granulosa (RNVR 119), Scinax alter (MNJR 91222, 91223), Scinax euridyce (MNJR 91221), Scinax miliaris (MNJR 91220), Stereocyclops incrassatus (MNJR 141).

Reptiles: Amerotyphlops brongersmianus (RNVR 52, 59, 60), Amphibbaena alba (RNVR 123), Chironius foveatus (RNVR 73), Drymoluber dichrous (RNVR 144), Ecleopos gaudichaudii (RNVR 62), Gymnodactylus darwini (RNVR 40), Kentropix calcara-ta (RNVR 142), Leposoma scincoides (MNJR 26375), Microblepharus maximiliani (RNVR 131, 132), Norops onorini (RNVR 72), Brasiliacinus agilis (RNVR 129), Strobilurus torquatus (RNVR 55), Tantilla sp. (MNJR 26376).

APPENDIX

Voucher specimens of taxa recorded during field samplings in Vale Natural Reserve, Espírito Santo, Brazil, in the period of June 2015 to February 2018. Specimens with field numeration (RNVR) will be deposited later at the herpetological collection of the National Atlantic Forest Institute (INMA: Instituto Nacional da Mata Atlântica), in Santa Teresa, Espírito Santo state and Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (MNJR).