NICHE: Air-Cherenkov observation at the TA site
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Abstract. The Non-Imaging CHErenkov (NICHE) Array has been deployed at the Telescope Array Middle Drum site, and has been collecting data. We see many coincidences with TALE fluorescence mirror events, establishing hybrid imaging/non-imaging air-Cherenkov observation of cosmic ray air showers. We have verified the TALE Profile-Constrained Geometry Fit of Cherenkov events at the 3σ level. We have performed hybrid timing fits between NICHE and TALE and have established that the growth in the FWHM of the NICHE signal with the distance of the shower core depends on the height of the shower maximum.

1 Introduction

The motivating idea behind the Non-Imaging CHErenkov (NICHE) Array is to use non-imaging light collectors with fine time resolution to sample the air-Cherenkov light pool from cosmic-ray induced air showers. Using the NICHE Array we plan to extend the range of energies observed by TA and TALE to below 1 PeV. The energy range of NICHE will overlap with the lower end of the energy range of TALE in air-Cherenkov observations allowing for hybrid imaging/non-imaging observations of air showers. A 14 counter array with 100-m spacing has been deployed in the field-of-view of the TALE telescopes at distances from 600–1000 m. This array was funded by a 18.8M JPY Kakenhi Grant for Young Scientists awarded to Yoshiki Tsunesada. 10 counters were deployed in Sept. 2017, and the remaining 4 counters were deployed in Sept. 2018.

Traditionally, one measures the energy and depth of shower max in non-imaging detector arrays by measuring the shape of the Cherenkov Lateral Distribution (CLD), the flux of Cherenkov radiation from the shower as a function of the distance from the shower axis. Early in the shower, when the average electron energy is high and the transverse momentum is low, the resulting lateral distribution of Cherenkov light at the ground has a peak at about 120 m from the shower axis due to the typical Cherenkov angle in air of about 1°. As the shower develops and moves lower in the atmosphere the ring gets smeared out as the electrons are of lower energy and less collimated along the shower axis. The smearing mostly fills in the region inside the ring, with the region outside the ring have the same power-law relation as earlier in the shower. Late in the shower development, as the shower near the ground, the center part of the ring is filled in, as the outer parts of the footprint are now at large angles from the shower axis. The result of considering the whole shower is that the Cherenkov flux at distances above 120 m from the shower core is proportional to the energy of the shower and has a characteristic power-law dependence on the radius. The lateral distribution within 120 m depends strongly on depth of shower max, being very flat if X_{max} is very far away from the ground, and becoming more peaked as X_{max} moves closer to the ground. This is illustrated in [1]. To accurately measure shower composition, one must sample the Cherenkov wavefront several times within 120 m of where the shower axis hits the ground. This constrains the size of the array.

As an alternative to the CLD measurements, one can measure the FWHM of the Cherenkov time profile in a given counter. If the counter is close to the shower axis, only late portions of the shower contribute a significant signal, and all the photons arrive within about a nanosecond. This usually leads to just measuring the impulse response waveform for the photodetector. For counters farther from the shower core than 120 m, significant signals come from a large portion of the development of the shower, and because of the varying path lengths, the photons arrive in a range of 10s to 100s of nanoseconds. Furthermore, the way the time width grows as a function of distance from the shower axis depends on where the shower reaches its maximum value. This is illustrated in [2]. Thus measuring the Cherenkov Width Lateral Distribution (CWLD) allows one to determine the depth of shower max in a way complementary to the CLD, in that one would like to take measurements far from the shower axis rather than close to it.

2 Counter Design and Deployment

The NICHE array has been designed around autonomous counter stations using batteries and solar panels as a power supply and communicating with a base computer on a
WLAN network. Each counter has a GPS antenna to allow coincidences to be determined with better than 15 ns accuracy. The counter is enclosed in an aluminum box of roughly $50 \times 50 \times 70$ cm. The optical detector is a Hamamatsu 3 in. photomultiplier tube. This is connected to a 45° half-angle acceptance Winston cone. The Winston cone is machined out of a 4 in diameter aluminum dowel and polished to give a good specular reflective surface. The signal waveforms are collected by a 200 MHz 12-bit FADC DAQ system from Brains, Inc.

Fourteen counters have been deployed on a square grid of 100 m on a side. 10 counters were deployed by 20 September 2017, the beginning of data collection. Four more counters were deployed in September 2018.

3 Status and Preliminary Analyses

As of 1 October 2018, a total of 1,350 counter-hours of data have been taken, which includes 936,000 individual counter triggers. 160 hours of data have been taken with 8–10 counters operational from 20 September 2017. 20 hours of data have been taken with 12–14 counters operational in September 2018.

One can compare the the gains and thresholds by looking at the integrated signal size distributions.

Using the GPS timestamps, one can look for coincidences between counters. A window of 100 µs gives essentially no background, given the individual counter trigger rates of about 10 Hz. One can fit the trigger times of coincident counters to the assumption of a plane Cherenkov wavefront. The result of this fit shows a roughly uniform distribution of arrival directions in azimuth, and a zenith angle distribution uniform from 0°–30° and then falling off with nothing beyond 45°. This is what one would expect from the Winston cone acceptance.

We also looked for coincidences between NICHE and TALE FD events. We found many such coincidences. For coincident events in NICHE, the plane fit shows the events moving to the NW (towards TALE from NICHE) and predominantly between 25° and 40° in zenith angle. This is the expected overlap between the TALE zenith angle acceptance (TALE telescopes point from 30° to 60° in elevation and showers must be pointed into the TALE mirrors to be accepted in Cherenkov mode) and the NICHE zenith angle acceptance. See Figure 3.

If we require separate triggers between TALE and a 4 or more NICHE counters, we can compare the direction of showers given by the Profile Constrained Geometry Fit in TALE and the plane fit in NICHE. We find that 68% of cases agree within 3.4°. This includes an uncertainty in the NICHE plane fit from the non-plane nature of the Cherenkov front which is of order 1°. The TALE PCGF fit should have an accuracy of better than 1° but it is at least verified here at a somewhat less precise level. See Figure 4.
counter positions are projected onto the shower axis to determine an angle along the shower track for the NICHE counters and the NICHE times are augmented by the time light would take to travel from this point on the shower to the TALE detector. Then a standard monocular timing fit is performed. At first requiring a separate coincidence of 4 or more NICHE counters, we can perform a hybrid fit with one arbitrary extra offset in time between the NICHE counters and the TALE detectors. The offset is then one of the degrees of freedom in the fit. We find in this case that the average offset is 173 ± 50 ns and the range of offsets is entirely contained within 50–300 ns. This is very good agreement, given that the TALE waveform sample frequency is 10 MHZ.

Fixing the offset at 173 ns, we can also perform hybrid timing fits with all NICHE events, even those with no coincidences within NICHE. We find very good fits in this manner with uncertainties in the sub-1° range. See Figure 5.

Using TALE PCGF fit to determine where the shower axis hits the ground and how far away the position of shower max is from a given NICHE counter, we can compare the time width of NICHE counters versus the distance from the shower core. Because TALE measures the shower location well above the ground, the exact position of the shower core can be uncertain. We only consider TALE tracks which are 10° long or longer or which have a duration greater than 400 ns, to reduce the uncertainty in the location of the shower axis at the ground to less than about 100 m. The distance to shower max (from the ground) \( D_{\text{max}} \) is in the range of 0–10,000 m. Splitting the \( D_{\text{max}} \) distribution up into 10 bins with roughly equal numbers of events, we see that larger \( D_{\text{max}} \) corresponds with a wider shower time width at a given distance. See Figure 8.
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