The AFF-1 exoplasmic fusogen is required for endocytic scission and seamless tube elongation
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Many membranes must merge during cellular trafficking, but fusion and fission events initiating at exoplasmic (non-cytosolic) membrane surfaces are not well understood. Here we show that the C. elegans cell–cell fusogen anchor-cell fusion failure 1 (AFF-1) is required for membrane trafficking events during development of a seamless unicellular tube. EGF-Ras-ERK signaling upregulates AFF-1 expression in the excretory duct tube to promote tube auto-fusion and subsequent lumen elongation. AFF-1 is required for scission of basal endocytic compartments and for apically directed exocytosis to extend the apical membrane. Lumen elongation also requires the transcytosis factor Rab11, but occurs independently of dynamin and clathrin. These results support a transcytosis model of seamless tube lumen growth and show that cell–cell fusogens also can play roles in intracellular membrane trafficking events.
Some biological tubes, including many capillaries in the vertebrate vascular system, are so narrow that the lumen is contained within a single-cell body (Fig. 1). Such unicellular tubes can be seamed (sealed with an autacellular junction) or seamless (lacking junction along the tube length). In some cases, seamless tubes can grow enormously to adopt quite complex, branched or elongated shapes, as exemplified by terminal tracheal cells in Drosophila, or the excretory canal cell in Caenorhabditis elegans. Despite its unusual topology, a seamless tube shares fundamental properties with larger tubes, including an internal apical (luminal) domain, an outer basal domain, and cell–cell junctions that link the tube to its neighbors in the organ. Key questions are how the intracellular apical domain of a seamless tube is generated, how it grows, and how it is appropriately shaped and stabilized. Loss of narrow capillaries is associated with cardiovascular and neurological syndromes such as small vessel or microvascular disease, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia and cerebral cavernous malformation, associated with cardiovascular and neurological syndromes such as small vessel or microvascular disease, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia and cerebral cavernous malformation, so understanding how seamless tubes develop has considerable health relevance.

Both endocytic and exocytic mechanisms have been proposed to generate and shape the intracellular lumens of seamless tubes, but the origin of the apical membrane and the specific trafficking pathways involved remain poorly understood. Studies of mammalian endothelial cells cultured in vitro suggested that intracellular lumens form through macropinocytosis (“cell gulping”), a specific type of membrane ruffling-associated, clathrin-independent, endocytosis. Macropinocytosis generates large internal vacuoles that appear to subsequently merge within and between cells to form a continuous lumen. On the other hand, studies of seamless tubes in the zebrafish vascular system, Drosophila trachea and C. elegans excretory system have supported models involving polarized exocytic trafficking. In these models, cell–cell contacts and/or membrane invagination nucleate a new apical domain at one edge of the cell, which then expands and grows inward based on exocytic vesicle-dependent delivery of apical membrane components. However, the precise identity and origin of these exocytic vesicles remains unclear. Finally, other studies in C. elegans revealed that a seamless tube can form by cell wrapping and self-contact to form a seamed tube with an autacellular junction, followed by auto-fusion to eliminate the junction and convert to a seamless toroid. Auto-fusion may be a widely used mechanism, since it also generates some seamless tubes in the zebrafish vascular system and in mammalian epithelial cells grown on micropillar arrays; however, relevant fusogens have not yet been identified in vertebrates. The endocytic, exocytic, and auto-fusion-dependent models of seamless tube formation are not mutually exclusive, and all three mechanisms could be involved in generating the elongated lumens and complex shapes of many seamless tubes in vivo.

**Fig. 1** EGF-Ras signaling drives aff-1 expression to promote auto-fusion in the excretory duct. (a) Schematics of excretory system tube development. Prior to the 1.5-fold stage of embryogenesis, the excretory duct (yellow) and pore (blue) cells each wrap to form unicellular tubes by establishing self-contacts and auto-junctions. A LIN-3/EGF signal is emanating from the excretory canal cell (in red). The excretory pore cell maintains its auto-junction (thick dark line) and the excretory duct auto-fuses (arrowhead and dotted line), forming a seamless tube. After tube formation the cell morphologies change, with elongation of the duct and canal cells. (b) Schematic of an early L1 larva showing the three tube cells of the excretory system. (c) Transmission electron micrograph of a 1.5-fold stage embryo showing excretory cells, from unpublished data by C. Norris and D. H. Hall. Prior to auto-fusion, the duct in yellow has an autojunction (white arrowhead). In red, the canal cell, in green an excretory gland cell, in blue, the pore cell and in pink hypodermal cells. (d) Excretory system junctions visualized with ajm-1::gfp. In wild-type, the pore (p) has an auto-junction (arrow), but the duct (d) and canal (c) do not. In aff-1 (tm2214) mutants, both duct and pore cells have auto-junctions (arrows). In aff-1(tm2214) mutants with the transgene grl-2pro::aff-1 expressed in duct and pore, both cells auto-fuse. (e) Wild-type 1.5-fold embryo-expressing aff-1pro::NLS-GFP (green) in the duct (d) but not pore (p). Unlike wild-type, in lin-1 (n304) eor-7(cs28) double mutants, both cells adopt a pore-like morphology (ref. and Supplementary Fig. 2) and neither cell expresses aff-1pro::NLS-GFP. (g) EGF-Ras-ERK signaling promotes duct fate and aff-1 expression. Scale bar, d, f = 5 μm and e = 10 μm.
In *C. elegans*, seamless tube auto-fusion is mediated by homotypic interactions between the exoplasmic fusogens epithelial fusion failure 1 (EFF-1) or anchor-cell fusion failure 1 (AFF-1)\(^\text{15,16}\), single-pass transmembrane proteins that also mediate many cell–cell fusion events\(^\text{20–23}\). EFF-1 and AFF-1 belong to a widely conserved structural family that also includes viral class II fusogens\(^\text{24,25}\) and the HAP2/GCS1 gamete fusogens\(^\text{26–29}\). Here, we describe new roles for AFF-1 in endocytic scission and apically directed exocytosis for intracellular membrane trafficking events.

**Results**

EGF-Ras-ERK signaling promotes excretory duct cell auto-fusion and shaping. Receptor tyrosine kinase signaling through Ras and ERK promotes development and shaping of many seamless tubes, including the *C. elegans* excretory duct tube\(^\text{30}\). The duct is the middle tube of three tandemly connected unicellular tubes in the excretory system, a simple osmoregulatory organ\(^\text{31}\) (Fig. 1a, b). During excretory system development, LIN-3/EGF expressed by the excretory canal cell acts through Ras-ERK signaling and two nuclear targets, LIN-1 (an Ets factor)\(^\text{32,33}\) and EOR-1 (a BTB-zinc finger protein)\(^\text{34,35}\), to promote excretory duct (seamless tube) vs. pore (seamed tube) cell identity\(^\text{36}\) (Fig. 1g and Supplementary Fig. 1). Both tube types initially have simple shapes and autocellular junctions, but only the duct auto-fuses to eliminate its junction and become seamless\(^\text{16}\) (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and confocal imaging of junctions indicated that duct auto-fusion occurs at around the 1.5-fold stage of embryogenesis, within an hour after tube formation (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 1). Subsequently, the duct tube elongates and adopts an asymmetric shape, with a long, narrow process that connects it to the pore tube (Figs. 1a and 2). The duct lumen becomes longer than the cell itself, taking a winding path through the cell body (Figs. 1a and 2). Ras signaling is both necessary and sufficient for duct vs. pore fate, auto-fusion and shaping\(^\text{36}\) (Supplementary Fig. 1), but how the intracellular lumen elongates remains poorly understood.

**Fig. 2** AFF-1 is necessary for duct cell and lumen elongation. a, b Confocal Z-projections of L1 stage larvae: d, duct; p, pore. Duct cell is labeled with *lin-48p::mRFP* (magenta). Cell junctions are labeled with *ajm-1::GFP* (green, a), or apical domains are labeled with *let-653::SfGFP* (green, b). Arrows indicate autocellular junction. The excretory duct cell body and lumen have an elongated shape in wild-type, *aff-1(pro::AFF-1 let-60/ras)* (lf) and *let-60/ras(gf)*, but are shorter in *aff-1(tm2214); aff-1(tm2214); let-60(n1046gf)*, but are shorter in *aff-1(tm2214); aff-1(tm2214); let-60(n1046gf)*. c Measurements of duct cell length tracing from the pore-duct junction to the duct-canal junction, as demonstrated with the dotted line in *a* wild-type. Error bars = ± standard deviation (SD). d Measurements of duct lumen length, tracing as indicated with the dotted line in *b* wild-type. *°°°°°* = measure on a binucleate cell. Scale bar = 5 µm. **°°°°°** = p-value < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney test.
the 1.5-fold stage of embryogenesis, around the time when auto-fusion occurs, but was never observed in the pore (Fig. 1e, f). Duct expression of *aff-1pror::NLS–GFP* required the Ras guanine nucleotide exchange factor SOS-1 and redundant contributions of the nuclear factors LIN-1 and EOR-1 (Fig. 1f and Supplementary Fig. 2). When *aff-1pror* was used to drive expression of an *aff-1* cDNA, it rescued the auto-fusion defects of *aff-1* mutants (Supplementary Fig. 2). Ectopic expression of *aff-1* in both the duct and pore, using the *grl-2* promoter, was sufficient to induce pore auto-fusion and pore-duct fusion in wild-type (WT), *aff-1* (loss of function (lf)), and *sos-1* (thermo-sensitive (ts)) mutant backgrounds (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 2). Altogether, these data indicate that Ras signaling upregulates *aff-1* expression to drive duct auto-fusion (Fig. 1g).

**AFF-1 also is required for duct tube elongation and apically directed trafficking.** We found that subsequent duct tube elongation also requires AFF-1. In *aff-1* mutants, the duct cell has a very short process, and the lumen is only a third of its normal length (Fig. 2). Both phenotypes can be rescued by *aff-1pror::AFF-1* (Fig. 2). The *aff-1* short duct phenotype is epistatic to *let-60 ras* (gf) (Fig. 2), consistent with AFF-1 acting downstream of Ras signaling. Furthermore, *aff-1* mutants accumulate apical markers in an expanded domain adjacent to the lumen (Fig. 2b). Confocal and super-resolution stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy revealed that this domain corresponds to numerous distinct puncta (Fig. 3a–c), suggesting accumulation of vesicular trafficking intermediates. Similar patterns were observed with three different markers, the luminal matrix protein LET-653, the apical tetraspan protein RDY-2, and the vacuolar ATPase subunit VHA-5, suggesting broad dysregulation of apically directed trafficking in *aff-1* mutants.

To test if AFF-1 is sufficient to promote tube elongation, we examined animals carrying the *grl-2pror::AFF-1* transgene described above. Otherwise WT animals–expressing *grl-2pro::AFF-1* had a binucleate tube with a duct-like shape and a long lumen (Supplementary Fig. 3), similar to *let-60 ras*(gain of function (gf)) mutants (Fig. 2a). However, *sos-1 (ts)* mutants–expressing *grl-2pro::AFF-1* had a binucleate tube with a lumen only slightly longer than in *sos-1 (ts)* single mutants (Supplementary Fig. 3). Therefore, *aff-1* is just one of multiple Ras targets required for duct tube elongation and shaping.

**AFF-1 promotes lumen elongation independently of its role in auto-junction removal.** *aff-1* mutant apical trafficking defects could be a secondary consequence of auto-fusion failure, as previously proposed for *aff-1* mutants, or could reflect a direct role for AFF-1 in membrane trafficking events. To distinguish between these possibilities, we used the ZIF-1-dependent proteolysis system to remove AFF-1 protein after auto-fusion was complete (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 4). The ZF1 degron was engineered into the endogenous *aff-1* locus using CRISPR-Cas9-mediated genome editing, and the ZIF-1 protease was expressed in the duct at different developmental stages using transgenes with different promoters. Positive control experiments confirmed that *AFF-1::ZF1* was functional, and that early AFF-1 degradation (using *grl-2pro::ZF1*) abolished duct auto-fusion, reduced lumen length, and expanded apical domain width (Supplementary Fig. 4). Later AFF-1::ZF1 degradation (using the heat-shock promoter *hsp-16.4pro::ZF1*) did not affect auto-fusion, but still reproduced the apical domain phenotypes observed in *aff-1*(lf), including reduced lumen length and expanded apical domain width (Fig. 4). We conclude that AFF-1 plays a direct role in apically directed trafficking that is temporally separable from its role in auto-fusion.

**aff-1 mutant duct cells exhibit a block in basal endocytic scission.** Next, we examined both apical and basal membranes and overall ultrastructure of *aff-1*(lf) mutant duct cells by TEM of serial sections. In four L1 specimens examined, the duct lumen was similar in diameter to wild-type (155 nm ± 30 (n = 4) in *aff-1* (lf) vs. 170 nm ± 40 (n = 4) in WT, Fig. 3d), although some regions were filled by abnormal darkly staining material in addition to the normal cuticle lining (Fig. 3d). Small vesicles and more complex lysosome- or autophagosome-like objects were present near the lumen (Fig. 3d), some of which likely correspond to the abnormal apical compartments observed by confocal microscopy (Fig. 3a–c). Most dramatically, the duct cell body contained large inclusions, similar in size to the nucleus, that consisted of highly convoluted, narrow (~30 nm) membrane tubes (Fig. 5a). Analysis of serial sections suggested that these inclusions were continuous with the basal plasma membrane (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 5). Similar membrane inclusions were also observed in some epidermal cells of *aff-1* mutants (Supplementary Fig. 5), but were never observed in WT specimens (n = 4).

The *aff-1* basal inclusions resemble a blocked endocytic intermediate. To further assess this possibility, we exposed WT and *aff-1* mutants to FM4-64, a membrane-binding styryl dye that can enter cells only via endocytosis. After 30 min of exposure, WT L1 animals had little or no dye inside the duct or pore cell bodies, but after 150 min of exposure, much more dye had entered the interior of both cells, consistent with active

---

**Fig. 3** *aff-1* mutants accumulate apically marked vesicles. a Super-resolution stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy slices and b, c confocal Z-projections of L1 stage larvae: d, duct; c, canal. Apical markers are a tetraspan protein RDY-2, b vacuolar ATPase subunit VHA-5, and c luminal matrix protein LET-653. In wild-type, apical signal is highly restricted to a region near the elongated lumen. *aff-1(tm2214)* mutants show a shorter and wider apical domain, with isolated puncta as shown by arrows. d TEM transverse slices of normal [him-5(e1490)] or N2] or *aff-1* (tm2214) L1 duct. Neighboring cells are false-colored in pink. Line indicates cuticle-lined lumen. Arrowhead indicates possible endocytic cup in wild-type. Small spherical vesicles (white arrows) and larger multi-membrane objects (arrows) are located near the lumen in *aff-1* mutants. Scale bars, a–c = 5 μm; d = 300 nm.
endocytosis (Supplementary Fig. 6). In duct/pore-specific aff-1::ZF1 mutants after just 10 min of exposure, the dye-marked internal regions of the duct (Fig. 5b). These results were confirmed by additional observations at the L4 stage (Supplementary Fig. 6). Furthermore, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments indicated that the dye-marked compartments in aff-1 duct cells recovered rapidly from photobleaching (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. 6). Altogether, the TEM, FM4-64, and FRAP experiments suggest that aff-1 mutant duct cells have extensive internal membrane compartments that are connected to the basal plasma membrane (Fig. 5e), consistent with a defect in endocytic scission.

AFF-1 localizes to sites of auto-fusion and basal endocytosis. If AFF-1 directly mediates endocytic scission, then it should localize to the neck of internalizing vesicles at the basal plasma membrane. To visualize AFF-1 protein, we examined transgenic animals expressing an AFF-1::mCherry fusion under control of the 5.4 kb aff-1 promoter described above. AFF-1::mCherry is not fusion competent, so its pattern of localization must be interpreted with caution, but we note that fusion-incompetent versions of the paralog EFF-1 accumulate more robustly than functional versions at sites of membrane fusion. In 1.5–2-fold embryos, around the time of auto-fusion, AFF-1::mCherry localized specifically to duct apical membranes (Fig. 6a). In later embryos and larvae, AFF-1::mCherry relocated and accumulated in puncta throughout the duct cell, most of which were located at or near the basal plasma membrane by L1 stage (Fig. 6a, b). To test if the basal puncta correspond to sites of endocytosis, we repeated the FM4-64 dye experiments in the AFF-1::mCherry strain. Under imaging conditions where internalizing FM4-64-positive vesicles could be observed in WT larvae, 37/59 of such vesicles (n = 19 larvae) were accompanied by a basal spot of AFF-1::mCherry (Fig. 6d, e). We conclude that AFF-1 is appropriately positioned to mediate endocytic scission.

Duct lumen elongation is dynamin- and clathrin-independent but requires the recycling endosome protein RAB-11. The previous results demonstrate that AFF-1 is required for endocytic

**Fig. 4** AFF-1 promotes lumen elongation independently of junction removal. a Confocal Z-projections of L1 stage larvae-expressing apical marker rdy-2 (cs233, rdy-2::gfp) in green and junction marker ajm-1::mCherry in magenta. d, duct; p, pore. Control animals aff-1(+) or without heat shock (HS) have a normal lumen morphology. hs::ZIF-1-induced degradation of AFF-1::ZF1 after heat shock (HS) at the 1.5-fold stage led to a shorter and wider apical domain. b, c Measurements of lumen length and apical domain width, respectively, in animals with normal duct auto-fusion. Error bars = ± SD. Scale bar = 5 μm. **** = p-value < 0.0001, *** = p-value < 0.001, Mann–Whitney test.
vesicle scission and for apically directed membrane trafficking to promote duct lumen elongation.

To understand which specific trafficking pathways are involved in duct lumen elongation, we observed lumen length in various endocytosis and cell trafficking mutants. Duct lumen elongation occurred normally in temperature-sensitive mutants for dyn-1/dynamin and chc-1/clathrin, as well as in null mutants for the early endosome component RAB-5 (Fig. 7a, b), suggesting that lumen elongation occurs independently of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. However, rab-5 mutants had a disorganized and widened apical domain (Fig. 7a, c), consistent with a role for RAB-5 in constraining lumen width, as has been reported for seamless tubes in Drosophila44. The most dramatic effect on duct lumen length was seen in mutants for RAB-11, a key player in endosome recycling and transcytosis45,46 (Fig. 7a, b). These results suggest that duct lumen elongation requires a transcytosis mechanism to add membrane to the intracellular apical domain (Fig. 7d).

Discussion
Fusogens of the class II structural family include EFF-1 and AFF-1 in C. elegans24, HAP2/GCS1 in many lower eukaryotes and plants27–29, and the fusion proteins of certain enveloped viruses such as Zika, dengue, yellow fever, and West Nile25,47. Given their wide phylogenetic distribution and poor sequence-level conservation, it is possible that additional, unrecognized members of this family exist in vertebrates. These single-pass transmembrane proteins mediate cell–cell fusion events to form syncytial tissues20–22, fuse gametes26, and allow viral infection of host cells25. EFF-1 and AFF-1 can also mediate cell auto-fusion to shape or fuse gametes26, and allow viral infection of host cells25. EFF-1 and AFF-1 are also implicated in endocytosis and transcytosis pathways46–50, suggesting a role for these proteins in the maintenance of cell shape and membrane trafficking. Our results reveal a new and unexpected requirement for C. elegans AFF-1 in membrane trafficking events important for intracellular lumen growth. In addition to retaining inappropriate autacellular junctions in a tube that should be seamless, aff-1 mutants fail to elongate this tube, show broad dysregulation of apically directed trafficking, and accumulate extensive internal
membranes continuous with the basal plasma membrane. The requirement for AFF-1 in membrane trafficking is genetically and temporally separable from the requirement in junction removal, and during lumen elongation, AFF-1 fusions accumulate at sites of basal endocytosis. We propose that AFF-1 directly mediates endocytic scission during transcytosis-mediated seamless tube lumen growth.

Membranes must merge during many biological processes, including cellular trafficking. In some cases, such as vesicle fusion, contact between merging membranes initiates at the cytosolic (endoplasmic) side; soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor (NSF) attachment protein (SNAP) receptors (SNAREs) and other endoplasmic membrane fusogens have been extensively studied, and are required to overcome repulsive hydrostatic forces to bring adjacent vesicle membranes closer than 10 nm for fusion. In other cases, such as cell–cell fusion, membrane merging initiates at the non-cytosolic (exoplasmic) side; here, exoplasmic fusogens such as HAP2 are needed to bring adjacent cells’ plasma membranes closer than 10 nm for fusion. Although endocytic scission involves fusion rather than fusion, it is another example of a membrane merging event that initiates at exoplasmic membrane surfaces. However, the mechanisms underlying scission are not well understood, and are generally thought to involve forces applied from the endoplasmic side of the membrane. For example, the small GTPase dynamin promotes scission of clathrin-coated vesicles, and the BAR-domain protein endophilin promotes scission of some uncoated tubulovesicle compartments. Our results suggest that, in at least some cases, cell–cell fusogens can mediate scission during clathrin-independent endocytosis.

A direct role for AFF-1 in endocytic scission is consistent with its known activity as a cell–cell fusogen that is both necessary and sufficient to merge membrane bilayers. Furthermore, cell–cell fusogens are appropriately oriented in cellular membranes, with their fusogenic domains extending into non-cytosolic spaces such as extracellular environments. Cell–cell fusogens require other forces to bring membranes into close proximity, but once two membranes are within ~10 nm, the fusogens can engage to merge them. We propose that forces that drive membrane invagination and tubulation during endocytosis could be sufficient to allow AFF-1 fusogen engagement when AFF-1 is present on the plasma membrane. In this way, AFF-1 would cooperate with other cytoskeletal or membrane-bending machineries to drive the final stages of membrane scission.

Cell–cell fusion and endocytic scission could be mechanistically linked in some cases, since cell–cell fusion removes plasma membrane, which may need to be endocytosed and recycled. Indeed, vesicles have been observed near some (though not all) fusing plasma membranes in . Several fusogen mutants, including , have previously been found to accumulate abnormal vesicles near unfused plasma membranes, but these vesicles were proposed to be secondary consequences of fusion failure. We found that abnormal vesicles in mutants accumulate independently of auto-fusion failure, and, therefore, reflect a more direct
requirement in membrane trafficking. Furthermore, we provided evidence that AFF-1 is required for scission of endocytic vesicles at a basal plasma membrane surface that does not participate in cell–cell fusion events. Similarly, Ghose et al.\textsuperscript{64} have independently shown that the fusogen EFF-1 promotes a specific phagosome sealing event. Therefore, cell–cell fusogens can be re-enpointed for endocytic scission events that occur in the absence of cell–cell fusion.

We propose a transcytosis model for duct tube growth that combines all three previously proposed mechanisms for seamless tube formation, with nucleation of an initial lumen by wrapping and auto-fusion, and then growth of the lumen by endocytosis from the basal surface, followed by exocytosis to the apical surface (Fig. 7d). This model is consistent with the observed Rab11 requirement, the presence of both endocytic and exocytic blocks in aff-1 mutants, and with observations that EGF signaling can stimulate apically directed transcytosis in mammalian epithelial cells.\textsuperscript{45} According to this model, EGF signaling turns on AFF-1 expression to promote duct tube auto-fusion, and also stimulates a clathrin-independent form of endocytosis at the duct tube basal membrane. AFF-1 mediates vesicle scission to resolve the endocytic compartments into discrete internal vesicles, which then undergo Rab11-dependent transcytosis to add to the apical membrane. The basal inclusions observed in aff-1 mutants would then reflect continued rounds of endocytosis despite a failure to detach endocyted membranes from the basal plasma membrane. We hypothesize that the exocytic block in aff-1 mutants is an indirect consequence of the endocytic block—for example, Golgi-derived vesicles may accumulate aberrantly due to absence of appropriate partner vesicles for exocytosis. Alternatively, AFF-1 could play a direct role in some exocytic scission mechanism, but further studies will be needed to address that possibility.

More than 15 years ago, Podbilewicz\textsuperscript{50} proposed a “fusomorphogenic hypothesis” in which one role of developmental cell fusion is to redistribute membrane from basolateral to apical surfaces. Our results now extend that hypothesis to reveal further roles for cell–cell fusogens in membrane re-organization. Not only do these fusogens remove cell junctions and their associated membranes, but they play more widespread roles in endocytic scission processes for membrane re-distribution.

Our results with AFF-1 suggest that related class II exoplasmic fusogens in other eukaryotes, plants and viruses could also mediate endocytic scission. Furthermore, the possibility should be considered that other structurally-distinct types of cell–cell fusogens, such as the mammalian syncytins or the Myomaker/Myomerger pair,\textsuperscript{23} could perform similar jobs in endocytic scission and contribute to shaping of large syncytial tissues such as placenta and muscles.

**Methods**

**Worm strains, alleles, and transgenes.** All animals used in this study were Caenorhabditis elegans hermaphrodites. See Supplementary Table 1 for a complete list of strains used in this study, and Supplementary Table 2 for a list of transgenes. All strains were grown at 20 °C under standard conditions\textsuperscript{65} unless otherwise noted. aff-1 mutants were obtained from homozygous mothers cut open with a razor blade to obtain embryos. Alleles aff-1(cs233 [aff-1::zf]) and rdy-2(cs233 [rdy-2:: GFP]) were obtained by CRISPR-Cas9\textsuperscript{90}, using the plasmids pFS149 and pRFR56 respectively as repair templates, and pFS144 and pRFR56 as Cas9 and sgRNA-expressing plasmids. The Self-Excision-Cassette inserted in aff-1(cs232) was maintained, since excision resulted in a strong aff-1 hypomorphic allele by disrupting the aff-1 3’UTR. Transgenic animals were generated by injecting N2 with plasmid DNA at 10–30 ng mL\textsuperscript{−1} together with fluorescent markers and pSK + to a total DNA concentration of 150–200 ng mL\textsuperscript{−1} (See Supplementary Table 2 for details). lin-48 \textsuperscript{pro} drives expression in the duct cell beginning at the 2–3-fold stage,\textsuperscript{46} grl-2 \textsuperscript{pro} drives expression in the duct and the pore cell beginning at the 1.5-fold stage.\textsuperscript{67}
Plasmids. The 5.4 kb aff-1 promoter was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from fosmid WRM0615dE03. For CRR5PRCASS genome editing, aff-1-specific guide RNA: 5’-tacatgctgattcattc-3’ and rdy-2-specific guide RNA: 5’-gtcgtcgctggtgccacg-3’. The repair constructs were both derived from pDD28240. For aff-1:ZFI genome editing, GFP coding sequence was replaced by ZFI sequence PCR amplified from pJN0619 with oFS144 and oFS145 and 3sFlag was removed. Homology arms were amplified PCR amplified by oFS-142/oFS-143 and oFS-148/oFS-149 from the fosmid WRM0615dE03. The selection cassette was PCR amplified by oFS-146/oFS-147 and the vector backbone by oFS-150/oFS-151. All PCR fragments were assembled using NEBuilder™ HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix to obtain pFS146. For rdy-2:ZFI genome editing, repair plasmid was obtained as described in ref. 46. The homology cassette was obtained by PCR amplification with oFS-147/oFS-148 and oFS-169/oFS-170 from the fosmid WRM0636A_A04, and PdPD282 was digested with AvrII and SpeI. All double stranded DNA fragments were assembled using NEBuilder™ HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix. In the resulting plasmid a mutation was inserted in the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence with NEB Q5® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit with oFS-171 and oFS-172 to obtain pFS136.

All plasmid maps and sequences are available on demand.

See Supplementary Table 3 for a complete list of oligos used in this study and Supplementary Table 4 for a complete list of plasmids.

Confocal microscopy and image analysis. Specimens were mounted on a 5% Agar Noble, 20 mM Sodium Azide pad in a drop of 20 mM Levamisole in M9 Buffer. Fluorescent and differential interference contrast images were captured on a compound Zeiss AxioSkop fitted with a Leica DFC560 FX camera or with a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope. For experiments not involving pixel intensity quantification, confocal laser powers were set to 0.2–5%, and Hyd confocal detector sensitivities were set below pixels saturation level in the region of interest (ROI). GFP fused proteins were detected with a 488 nm laser, with a Hyd confocal detector set to 490–546 nm. mCherry and mRFP fused proteins were detected with a 552 nm laser and a Hyd confocal detector set to 580–670 nm. FM4-64 dye was detected with a 514 nm laser set to 1% power and a Hyd confocal detector set to 650–795 nm (Supplementary Fig. 6) or 700–795 nm to limit mCherry bleach through effect (Fig. 6d) or a PMT confocal detector set to 650–795 nm for FRAP experiment (Fig. 5d). For FM4-64 quantification in presence of mCherry dye, 488 nm laser set to 3% power was used to avoid mCherry bleach through effect (Fig. 5b, c) with a Hyd confocal detector set to 700–795 nm. For Fig. 3a, Super-resolution images were obtained with a Leica STEED 3× Super-Resolution Microscope. Images were processed and merged using Imagel. Auto-fusion was assessed with AJM-1::GFP. Lumen length and apical domain width were assessed with BD-2::GFP and measured with the Free Hand Line tool in ImageJ by a researcher blinded to genotypes. At least seven animals per genotype were measured and each genotype was treated as an independent sample. Non-parametric statistical tests were used to avoid assumptions about data normality and variance. Auto-fusion and aff-1 expression data were compared between genotypes by a one-tailed Fisher’s Exact Test. Lumen measurement distributions were compared by a two-tailed Mann–Whitney U-test. All data were analyzed and plotted using Graphpad Prism. AFF-1::mCherry localization analysis was measured with Volocity (Perkim Elmer). The duct cell body was defined as the free hand tool and the three-dimensional duct object was delimited with a threshold of 20–100% pixel intensities. The numbers and positions of FM4-64 containing compartments in each image were counted first. Next, the AFF-1::mCherry signal channel was revealed to estimate its position compared to the FM4-64 position. The number of FM4-64 compartments was similar between the two genotypes (3.2 ± 1.3 in aff-1:–: mCherry and 3.2 ± 1.2 in WT).

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). After a 30 min exposure to 100 μg mL⁻¹ FM4-64 in M9 buffer, L1 specimens were mounted on 10% agarose gel in M9 buffer. GFP expression data were compared between genotypes by a one-tailed Fisher’s Exact test. Lumen measurement distributions were compared by a two-tailed Mann–Whitney U-test. All data were analyzed and plotted using Graphpad Prism. AFF-1::mCherry localization analysis was measured with Volocity (Perkim Elmer). The duct cell body was defined as the free hand tool and the three-dimensional duct object was delimited with a threshold of 20–100% pixel intensities. The numbers and positions of FM4-64 containing compartments in each image were counted first. Next, the AFF-1::mCherry signal channel was revealed to estimate its position compared to the FM4-64 position. The number of FM4-64 compartments was similar between the two genotypes (3.2 ± 1.3 in aff-1:–: mCherry and 3.2 ± 1.2 in WT).

Temperature-sensitive allele and heat-shock experiments. For experiments using sos-1(n544) and dpy-10(k511), 0 P0 homoyzous hermaphrodites were shifted to 25°C as young adults, 24–48 h prior to F1 observation. For stage-specific aff-1::zfl knock-down experiments, embryos were staged based on morphological criteria and heat-shock was applied for 30 min at 34°C, followed by one hour recovery at 20°C, repeated three times. L1 specimens were observed 1–3 h after hatching.

Serial section transmission electron microscopy. aff-1(tm2214) L1 larvae were prepared by high-pressure freezing and freeze substitution into 2% osmium tetroxide, 0.1% uranyl acetate, and 2% H₂O in acetone ⁴⁷. Control him-5(e4990) L1 larvae were prepared by high-pressure freezing and freeze substitution into 2% PFA, 2% glutaraldehyde, 4% H₂O in acetone, and postfixed in 2% osmium tetroxide in acetone. Specimens were rinsed and embedded into LR white. Serial thin sections on slot grids were post stained in 2% uranyl acetate. Images were collected with the JEOL transmission electron microscope, project in ImageJ and pseudoencoded in Adobe Illustrator Cs6. Four aff-1, two him-5 and two archival N2 L1 specimens were analyzed. Images of the N2 L1 specimen in Fig. 5a were kindly provided by Nichol Thomson (MRIC/LMB) and are publicly available at www.wormimage.org. For excretory duct tube diameter measurement, we used the free hand tool on ImageJ. Average tube diameter was evaluated on serial sections for each specimen (n slices ≥ 6) to calculate a global average diameter for each genotype.

FM4-64 dye assays. FM4-64 dye was obtained from Thermo-Fisher scientific (catalog #P-13320) and diluted in M9 buffer to a final concentration of 10 μM. L1 or L4 larvae were soaked in dye solution at 20°C for the time indicated. Larvae were briefly rinsed in a bath of M9 buffer and transferred to an NGM plate, with OP50, for a 30 min recovery time. Confocal observations were made during the next 30 min after recovery. Dye penetration into the duct and pore cells (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 6c) was quantified with Velocity (Perkim Elmer). The ROI was drawn coarsely with the free hand tool, and a threshold of 20–100% pixel intensity was applied to define the three-dimensional duct and cell bodies within the image stack. The same threshold was used to define FM4-64 objects. The sum of pixel intensities for all the FM4-64 objects overlapping with the cell bodies was used to calculate pixel intensity by the whole-image intensity at each time point in the post-bleach period, was corrected for additional bleaching during the post-bleach phase, a double normalization method was applied ⁴⁸. Average pre-bleach whole-image intensity, divided by the same-image intensity at each time point in the post-bleach period, was multiplied to the FRAP ROI intensity at that time point. Before this operation, both whole-image and FRAP ROI data were subtracted by base intensity. FRAP plots were created and analyzed using Graphpad Prism.

Data availability. The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Received: 24 August 2017 Accepted: 3 April 2018 Published online: 01 May 2018

References
1. Sundaram, M. V. & Cohen, J. D. Time to make the doughnuts: Building and shaping seamless tubes. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 67, 123–131 (2017).
2. Soulaie, F. & Sundaram, M. V. Auto-fusion and the shaping of neurons and tubes. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 60, 136–145 (2016).
3. Bar, T., Goldner, F. H. & Wolff, J. R. “Seamless” endothelial cells of blood capillaries. Cell Tissue Res. 235, 99–106 (1984).
4. Wetzel-Strong, S. E., Detter, M. R. & Marchuk, D. A. The pathobiology of vascular malformations: insights from human and model organism genetics. J. Pathol. 241, 281–293 (2017).
5. Dean, J., Crut, S. D., Mehta, P. K. & Mers, C. N. Coronary microvascular dysfunction: sex-specific risk, diagnosis, and therapy. Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 12, 406–414 (2015).
6. Haffner, C., Malik, R. & Dichgans, M. Genetic factors in cerebral small vessel disease and their impact on stroke and dementia. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 36, 138–171 (2016).
7. Davis, G. E. & Camarillo, C. W. An alpha 2 beta 1 integrin-dependent pinoctytic mechanism involving intracellular vacuole formation and coalescence regulates capillary lumen and tube formation in three-dimensional collagen matrix. Exp. Cell Res. 224, 39–51 (1996).
8. Doherty, G. J. & McMahon, H. T. Mechanisms of endocytosis. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 78, 857–902 (2009).
9. Kamei, M. et al. Endothelial tubes assemble from intracellular vacuoles in vivo. Nature 442, 453–456 (2006).
Herwig, L. et al. Distinct cellular mechanisms of blood vessel fusion in the zebrafish embryo. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, 1942–1948 (2011).

Lenard, A. et al. In vivo analysis reveals a highly stereotypic morphogenetic pathway of vascular anastomosis. *Dev. Cell* **25**, 492–506 (2013).

Jones, T. A., Nikolova, L. S., Schijlderup, A. & Metzten, M. M. Exocyst-mediated membrane trafficking is required for branch outgrowth in Drosophila tracheal terminal cells. *Dev. Biol.* **390**, 41–50 (2014).

Armenti, S. T., Chen, E. & Nance, J. Polarized exocyst-mediated vesicle fusion directs intracellular lumogenesis within the *C. elegans* excretory cell. *Dev. Biol.* **394**, 110–121 (2014).

Caviglia, S. & Luschign, S. Tube fusion: making connections in branched tubular networks. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **31**, 82–90 (2014).

Rasmussen, J. P., English, K., Tenlen, J. & Priess, J. R. Notch signaling and morphogenesis of single-cell tubes in the *C. elegans* digestive tract. *Dev. Cell* **14**, 559–569 (2008).

Stone, C. E., Hall, D. H. & Sundaram, M. V. Lipocalin signaling controls unicellular tube development in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* excretory system. *Dev. Biol.* **329**, 201–211 (2009).

Sharma-Kishore, R., White, J. G., Southgate, E. & Podbilewicz, B. Formation of the vulva in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: a paradigm for organogenesis. *Development* **126**, 691–699 (1999).

Lenard, A. et al. Endothelial cell self-fusion during vascular pruning. *PLoS Biol.* **13**, e1002126 (2015).

Sumida, M. G. & Yamada, S. Self-contact elimination by membrane fusion. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 18958–18963 (2013).

Mohler, W. A. et al. The type I membrane protein EFF-1 is essential for developmental cell fusion. *Dev. Cell* **2**, 355–362 (2002).

Sapir, A. et al. AFF-1, a FOS-1-regulated fusogen, mediates fusion of the anchor cell in *C. elegans*. *Dev. Cell* **12**, 683–698 (2007).

Podbilewicz, B. et al. The *C. elegans* developmental fusogen EFF-1 mediates homotypic fusion in heterologous cells and in vivo. *Dev. Cell* **11**, 471–481 (2006).

Hernandez, J. M. & Podbilewicz, B. The hallmarks of cell-cell fusion. *Dev. Cell* **144**, 4481–4495 (2017).

Perez-Vargas, J. et al. Structural basis of eukaryotic cell-cell fusion. *Cell* **157**, 407–419 (2014).

Podbilewicz, B. Virus and cell fusion mechanisms. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **30**, 111–139 (2014).

Liu, Y. et al. The conserved plant sterility gene HAP2 functions after attachment of fusogenic membranes in Chlamydomonas and Plasmodium gametes. *Genes Dev.* **22**, 1051–1068 (2008).

Fedry, J. et al. The ancient gamete fusogen HAP2 is a eukaryotic class II fusion protein. *Cell* **168**, 904–915 e910 (2017).

Pinello, J. F. et al. Structure-function studies link class II viral fusogens with the ancestral gamete fusion protein HAP2. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 651–660 (2017).

Valansi, C. et al. Arabidopsis HAP2/GCS1 is a gamete fusion protein homologous to somatic and viral fusogens. *J. Cell Biol.* **216**, 571–581 (2017).

Albini, S., Sobo, Z., Notch and Ras promote sequential steps of ectodermal tube development in *C. elegans*. *Development* **138**, 3545–3555 (2011).

Sundaram, M. V. & Buechener, M. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* excretory system: A model for tubulogenesis, cell fate specification, and plasticity. *Genetics* **203**, 35–63 (2016).

Beitel, G. J., Tuck, S., Greenwald, I. & Horvitz, H. R. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* genes lin-1 and lin-6 encode a single-domain protein and define a branch of the vulval induction pathway. *Genes Dev.* **9**, 3149–3162 (1995).

Jacobs, D., Beitel, G. J., Clark, S. G., Horvitz, H. R. & Kornfeld, K. Gain-of-function mutations in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* lin-1 ET5 gene identify a C-terminal regulatory domain phosphorylated by ERK MAP kinase. *Genetics* **149**, 1809–1822 (1998).

Howard, R. M. & Sundaram, M. V. C. elegans EOR-1/PLZF and EOR-2 promote homotypic fusion in heterologous cell systems. *Dev. Cell* **7**, 527–539 (2004).

Kaul, P., Stone, C. E., Burdick, J. T., Murray, J. I. & Sundaram, M. V. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* EOR-1 and EOR-2 fusogens rejuvenate the regenerative potential of adult dendritic trees by self-fusion. *Dev. Cell* **144**, 2364–2374 (2017).

Oren-Suissa, M., Gattegno, T., Kravtsov, V. & Podbilewicz, B. Extraction of injured dendrites as a paradigm for regeneration by fusion in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* **328**, 1285–1288 (2010).

Neumann, B. et al. EFF-1-mediated regenerative axonal fusion requires components of the apoptotic pathway. *Nature* **517**, 219–222 (2015).

Schiavo, G., Augustine, G. J. & Weninger, K. Kinetics of clathrin-binding to the SNARE complex: correcting single molecule FRET measurements for hidden events. *Biophys. J.* **93**, 2178–2187 (2007).

Stegmann, T., Doms, R. W. & Helenius, A. Protein-mediated membrane fusion. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem.* **18**, 187–211 (1989).

Simunovic, M. Biology and physics rendezvous at the membrane. Science **358**, 1265 (2017).

Simunovic, M., Prevost C., Callan-Jones A., Bassereau P. Physical basis of some membrane shaping mechanisms. *Philos. Trans. A. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **374**, 20160034 (2016).

Renard, H. F. et al. Endophilin-A2 functions in membrane scission in clathrin-independent endocytosis. *Nature* **517**, 493–496 (2015).

Avinoam, O. et al. Conserved eukaryotic fusogens can fuse viral envelopes to cells. *Science* **332**, 589–592 (2011).

Zeev-Ben-Mordehai, T., Vassilash, D., Siebert, C. A. & Grunewald, K. The full-length cell-cell fusogen EFF-1 is monomeric and upregulated on the membrane. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3912 (2014).

Podbilewicz, B. Membrane and nuclear morphology in a morphogenetic force in nematode development. *Nematology* **2**, 99–111 (2000).

Mohler, W. A., Simske, J. S., Williams-Masson, E. M., Hardin, J. D. & White, J. G. Dynamics and ultrastructure of developmental cell fusions in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* hypodermis. *Dev. Biol.* **237**, 1068–1090 (1999).

Nguyen, C. Q., Hall, D. H., Yang, T. & Pitch, D. H. Morphogenesis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* male tail tip. *Dev. Biol.* **207**, 86–106 (1999).

Cole, E. S. et al. Function of the male-gamete-specific fusion protein HAP2 in a seven-ened cilia. *Curr. Biol.* **24**, 2168–2173 (2014).

Ghose, P. et al. EFF-1 fusogen promotes phagosome sealing during cell process clearance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **20**, 393–399 (2018).

Brenner, S. The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **77**, 71–94 (1974).

Johnson, A. D., Fitzsimmons, D., Hagman, J. & Chamberlin, H. M. EGL-34 Pax regulates the ovo-related gene lin-48 during *Caenorhabditis elegans* organ development. *Development* **128**, 2857–2865 (2001).

Pu, P., Stone, C. E., Burdick, J. T., Murray, J. I. & Sundaram, M. V. The lipocalin LPR-1 cooperates with LIN-3/EGF signaling to maintain narrow tube integrity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **205**, 1247–1260 (2017).

Hall, D. H. Electron microscopy and three-dimensional image reconstruction. *Methods Cell Biol.* **48**, 395–436 (1995).

Weimer, R. M. Preservation of *C. elegans* tissue via high-pressure freezing and freeze-substitution for ultrastructural analysis and immunocytochemistry. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **351**, 203–221 (2006).

Phair, R. D., Gorski, S. A. & Misteli, T. Measurement of dynamic protein binding to chromatin in vivo, using photobleaching microscopy. *Methods Enzymol.* **375**, 393–414 (2000).

Acknowledgements

We thank Ken Nguyen, Leslie Gunther-Cummings, and Geoff Perumal for help with electron microscopy, Benjamin Podbilewicz and Max Heiman for providing reagents,
Benjamin Podbilewicz, Barth Grant, Chris Rocheleau, Sergio Grinstein, Bob Doms, Mickey Marks, and members of the UPenn C. elegans community for helpful discussions and advice, Jennifer Cohen for artwork, Rachel Forman-Rubinsky for technical assistance, and Piya Ghose and Shai Shaham for sharing unpublished data. We thank Jonathan Hodgkin for help in transferring the files of Nichol Thomson (MRC/LMB) to the Hall lab, for sharing on www.wormimage.org. Some strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC), which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD01440). This work was funded by National Institutes of Health grants R01GM58540 to M.V.S. and OD010943 to D.H.H. (with permission).

Author contributions
F.S. and M.V.S. designed the study and wrote the manuscript. F.S. performed most of the experiments and data analysis. D.H.H. provided all material and facilities for all transmitted electronic microscopy sample preparation, and participated in TEM data analysis and manuscript preparation.

Additional information
Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04091-1.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/

Publisher’s note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018