Preliminary study on contrast flow analysis of thoracic transforaminal epidural block
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Background: The thoracic transforaminal epidural block (TTFEB) is usually performed to treat herpes zoster or postherpetic neuralgia (PHN). Especially, multiple segmental involvements and approximate contrast medium spread range, according to volume, help to choose the proper drug volume in the transforaminal epidural block. This study investigated the contrast medium spread patterns of 1-ml to 3-ml TTFEBs.

Methods: A total of 26 patients with herpes zoster or PHN were enrolled in this study. All participants received 1 ml, 2 ml, or 3 ml of contrast medium. Results were divided into Groups A, B and C based on the volume (1, 2, or 3 ml), with \( n = 26 \) for each group. After the injection of contrast medium, the spread levels were estimated in both the lateral and anteroposterior (AP) images using fluoroscopy.

Results: The cephalad spread of contrast medium in the lateral image as expressed by the median (interquartile range) was 2.00 levels (1.00–2.00) for Group A, 2.50 (2.00–3.00) for Group B, and 3.00 (2.00–4.00) for Group C. The caudal spread level of contrast medium was 1.00 (1.00–2.00) for Group A, 2.00 (2.00–3.00) for Group B, and 2.00 (2.00–3.00) for Group C. There was ventral and dorsal spread of the 3-ml contrast medium injection in 88% (23/26) of cases in the lateral image.

Conclusions: Injection of 3 ml of contrast medium through the foramina spread 6 levels in a cephalocaudal direction. Spread patterns revealed a cephalad preference. TTFEB resulted in dorsal and ventral spread in a high percentage of cases. This procedure may be useful for transferring drugs to the dorsal and ventral roots.

(Korean J Pain 2018; 31: 125-31)
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INTRODUCTION

The thoracic transforaminal epidural block (TTFEB) is usually used to treat herpes zoster or postherpetic neuralgia (PHN). According to the intension of the pain clinician, the volume of injected drugs covers whether they are selecting for a specified root level or a wider area than one nerve root. A lumbar transforaminal injection of 1.1 ml of contrast...
medium spread to the medial aspect of the superior pedicle and it guided the diagnostic selectivity of the root block [1]. Study of the spread of the contrast medium in the thoracic level may guide injection volume in the root block for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes.

Herpes zoster involves multiple segments in 20 percent of cases [2]. Therefore, injected drug volume should be decided according to the manifestation of nerve involvement rather than being applied uniformly. The spread of contrast medium is definitively correlated with medium volume [3].

To the best of our knowledge, no studies have been conducted on contrast medium spread in TTFEB. Therefore, we studied the spread ranges for 1 ml to 3 ml of contrast medium in TTFEB in patients with herpes zoster or PHN.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, and signed written consent was obtained from all participants who visited the pain clinic as outpatients. The procedure was conducted by one pain clinician who had sufficient experience and skill performing TFEB.

Patients enrolled in this study had no trauma history, fracture, or herniated nucleus pulposus before herpes zoster or PHN developed. Thoracic levels T2 to T11 were included in this study.

The procedure was conducted in the following sequence. The patient was placed in a prone position on the bed. A 4–cm distance from the midpoint of the spinous process was marked at the site of the procedure. Using the anteroposterior (AP) image on the fluoroscope, a 22-gauge spinal needle was advanced to the lateral margin of the vertebral body. After the needle was advanced 4–5 cm, the fluoroscope was switched to the lateral image [4]. Using the lateral image, the needle tip was advanced to the mid–portion of the AP foraminal diameter. To avoid vessel puncture, the needle tip was usually located near the middle portion of the cephalocaudal foraminal diameter.

A total of 26 patients with herpes zoster or PHN were enrolled in this study. All participants received 1 ml, 2 ml, or 3 ml of contrast medium. Results were divided into Groups A, B and C based on the volume (1 ml, 2 ml, or 3 ml), with n = 26 for each group. To analyze spread according to the contrast volume, 1 ml of contrast medium was injected at 5 s intervals (once for 1 ml in Group A, twice for 2 ml in Group B, and three times for 3 ml in Group C) using a constant injection speed of 0.5 ml/s. After evaluating the cephalocaudal and AP spread in the epidural space on the lateral image after 3 ml of contrast medium was injected, the fluoroscope was switched to the AP image. In the AP image, the ipsilateral and contralateral contrast medium spreads were evaluated. Paravertebral and intercostal spreads were excluded from this study. After confirming the spread in the AP image, 3 ml of a mixture of 1 ml dexamethasone (5 mg/ml) and 2 ml 0.5% lidocaine was injected for pain reduction.

1. Data analysis

Radiologic charts were reviewed by a physician who did not conduct the procedure. Epidurography was used to analyze the degree of contrast medium spread and patterns under the following parameters: 1) anterior or posterior epidural spread on the lateral image; 2) cephalad and caudal spread from the injection site on the lateral image; and 3) ipsilateral or contralateral spread on the AP image.

On the lateral image, anterior epidural spread was defined as contrast medium spread extending in an anterior direction for a distance of one–third of the epidural space. The extent of contrast medium spread was determined depending on whether it included the intervertebral foramen or not. If the contrast reached the lower endplate of one vertebral body, it would be recorded as one level below when it was counted in the cephalad direction. If the contrast medium reached the upper endplate of one vertebral body, it would be recorded as one level above when it was counted in the cephalad direction. If the contrast medium reached the lower endplate of one vertebral body, it would be recorded as that level when it was counted in the cephalad direction (Fig. 1) [3].

In analyzing the spread of contrast medium on the AP image, the contralateral side was defined as the opposite side from which the procedure was conducted. Ipsilateral or contralateral spread on the AP image was defined as the contrast medium spreading across the spinous process and was defined as one–side spread when the contrast spread only within the spinous process.
Fig. 1. Lateral radiograph shows 2-level cephalad and 2-level caudal spread. The anteroposterior epidural space was filled with contrast. The needle was located the middle portion of the foramen.

on the AP image was calculated the same as on the lateral image, and ipsilateral contrast medium spread was compared with contralateral spread (Fig. 2).

2. Statistics

There was no previous study utilizing the same methodology. Therefore, this study was designed as an exploratory investigation, and a power analysis was not performed. The target number of patients completing the study was 20; considering a 20% dropout rate, 26 patients were enrolled in this study.

Values for age, height, weight, and duration of symptoms before admission to our clinic are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Values associated with the spread level of contrast medium are reported as median (interquartile range). Contrast spreads were analyzed using the Friedman test with repeated measurements among the groups, and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for post hoc analysis. In further analysis of the data, all patients were divided into three groups, including the upper (T1–T4), middle (T5–T8) and lower thoracic group (T9–12). Contrast medium spread in the lateral image was analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis test among these groups and the Mann–Whitney test was used for post hoc analysis. IBM SPSS 18.0 statistical software (IBM, Chicago, IL) was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 26 patients with herpes zoster or PHN were enrolled in this study; 11 patients were male, and 15 patients were female. The average age was 64.8 ± 12.2 years, Average duration of symptoms before admission was 10.3 ± 13.6 weeks. Other demographic data and the site and frequency of TTFEB performed are presented in Table 1.

The cephalad spread level of contrast medium expressed as median (interquartile range) on the lateral image was 2.00 (1.00–2.00) for Group A, 2.50 (2.00–3.00) for Group B, and 3.00 (2.00–4.00) for Group C. There were significant differences in the cephalad spread of contrast agent between Groups A and B, Groups B and C, and Groups A and C (P < 0.001 for all) (Table 2). The caudal spread level of contrast medium was 1.00 (1.00–2.00) for Group A, 2.00 (2.00–3.00) for Group B, and 2.00 (2.00–3.00) for Group C. There were significant differences in caudal spread of contrast medium between Groups A and B, B and C, and A and C (P < 0.001 for all) (Table...
Table 1. Demographic Data

| Variable                  | Patients (n = 26) | Male (n = 11) | Female (n = 15) |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Age (years)               | 64.8 ± 12.2      | 67.7 ± 12.4  | 62.5 ± 12.0     |
| Height                    | 160.7 ± 7.8      | 168.1 ± 5.2  | 156.2 ± 5.0     |
| Weight                    | 61.7 ± 6.9       | 65.0 ± 6.7   | 59.9 ± 6.4      |
| Duration of symptoms before admission (weeks) | 10.3 ± 13.6     | 10 ± 13.4    | 10.5 ± 14.5     |
| TFEB                      | T4/7             |              |                 |
| Site/frequency           | T6,8,11/3 (respectively) | T2,3,5,7,9/1 (respectively) |

All data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, except where otherwise noted.

Table 2. Contrast Medium Spread in Cephalad and Caudal Directions, by Group: Lateral Image

| Group          | Cephalad spread | Caudal spread | Total spread |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Group A (n = 26) | 2 (1-2)*        | 1 (1-2)       | 3 (2-4)*     |
| Group B (n = 26) | 2.5 (2-3)*⁺  | 2 (2-3)⁺  | 4 (2-4)⁺  |
| Group C (n = 26) | 3 (2-4)*        | 2 (2-3)⁺  | 6 (4-6.75)* |

Data are expressed as number of levels and are presented as median (interquartile range). Group A: 1 ml contrast medium injection in thoracic transforaminal epidural block, Group B: total of 2 ml contrast medium injected, Group C: total of 3 ml contrast medium injected. *In bidirectional spread, the difference within group (cephalad vs caudal) are significant (P value < 0.05) and direction is caudal < cephalad. ⁺In cephalad spread, the difference among groups are significant (P value < 0.001) and the direction is Group A < Group B < Group C. ⁴In caudal spread, the difference among groups are significant (P value < 0.001) and the direction is Group A < Group B < Group C.

Table 3. Comparison of Contrast Medium Spread between Ipsilateral and Contralateral Sides Following 3-ml Contrast Medium Injection: Anteroposterior Image

| Group C (n = 26) | Ipsilateral spread | Caudal spread | Total spread |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Cephalad*        | 3 (2-4)            | 2 (2-3)       | 5 (3.75-6)   |
| Caudal⁺          | 2 (2-3)            | 2 (1-2.5)     | 4 (3-5.25)   |

Dates are expressed as number of levels and are presented as median (interquartile range). Group C: total of 3 ml contrast medium spread in thoracic transforaminal epidural block. *In cephalad spread, the difference between ipsilateral and contralateral side is significant (P < 0.05) and the direction is contralateral < ipsilateral. ⁺In caudal direction, the difference between ipsilateral and contralateral side is significant (P < 0.01) and the direction is contralateral < ipsilateral. ⁴In total spread, the difference between ipsilateral and contralateral is significant (P < 0.001) and the direction is contralateral < ipsilateral.

In all groups, the spread of contrast dye was wider in the cephalad direction than in the caudal direction (P < 0.05 for Group A, P < 0.01 for Group B, and P < 0.01 for Group C). The total spread was 3.00 (2.00-4.00) for Group A, 4.00 (4.00-5.00) for Group B, and 6.00 (4.00-6.75) for Group C (Table 2).

Both ventral and dorsal spread of the 3 ml contrast medium injection was revealed in 88% (23/26) of cases. Either ventral–only or dorsal–only spread after a 3 ml contrast medium injection was shown in 12% (3/26) of cases. Bilateral (ipsilateral and contralateral) epidural spread of contrast medium on the AP image was exhibited in 85% (22/26) of cases after the 3 ml contrast medium injection. The remaining 4 cases (15%) showed unilateral spread on the ipsilateral side.

After the 3 ml injection of contrast medium, the ipsilateral spread level was wider than the spread on the contralateral side in all directions (cephalad, P < 0.05; caudal direction, P < 0.01; and total spread, P < 0.001) (Table 3).

For further analysis, all patients were divided according to the site of their TTFEB, conducted according to the described method. Of the nine patients included in the upper thoracic group, eight were in the middle and nine were in the lower thoracic groups. There was no difference in sex frequency, age, weight and height among the groups.

The results were that the spread level to the cephalad or caudal direction after 1, 2, and 3 ml injections of contrast medium showed no difference between the upper,
middle, and lower thoracic groups, respectively ($P > 0.05$). There was no significant difference in total spread among the groups either.

The total spread level, expressed by a median (interquartile range) of 1 ml of contrast medium injected, were 3.00 (2.00–3.50) in the upper thoracic group, 3.00 (2.25–4.00) in the middle thoracic group, and 3.00 (2.00–3.00) in the lower thoracic group. The total spread level of 2 ml of contrast medium, were 5.00 (4.00–5.50) in the upper thoracic group, 4.50 (4.00–5.00) in the middle thoracic group, and 4.00 (3.00–5.00) in the lower thoracic group. The total spread level of 3 ml of contrast medium, were 6.00 (4.50–7.50) in the upper thoracic group, 5.50 (4.25–7.75) in the middle thoracic group, and 6.00 (4.00–6.00) in the lower thoracic group.

## DISCUSSION

TTFEB is commonly used in pain clinics for the treatment of herpes zoster or PHN. Twenty-six patients with herpes zoster or PHN were enrolled in this study. This study analyzed the spread of contrast medium following injections of 1, 2, and 3 ml in TTFEB on the AP and lateral images. Cephalad and caudal spreads were significantly different according to the injection volume on the lateral image, and there was a larger spread in the cephalad direction than in the caudal direction. There was a bilateral spread of 3 ml injections of contrast medium in 85% of cases in the AP image, but there was a significant difference in spread levels between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides in the cephalad direction, caudal direction, and total spread.

In this study, contrast medium spread in the cephalad direction was wider than in the caudal direction on the lateral view in all three groups (Table 2). This contrast medium spread pattern is similar to a single 3-ml injection, respectively, among the groups. We guessed that the cephalad and caudal spreads were significantly different according to the injection volume on the lateral image, and there was a larger spread in the cephalad direction than in the caudal direction. There was a bilateral spread of 3 ml injections of contrast medium in 85% of cases in the AP image, but there was a significant difference in spread levels between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides in the cephalad direction, caudal direction, and total spread.

Yokoyama et al. [6] reported that the spread of contrast medium was divided into 1-ml injections for each patient. One study examined sensory blocks between four groups which were divided into a single 5 ml epidural mepivacaine injection, a single 10 ml injection, two 5 ml injections with a 5-minute interval, and two 5 ml injections with a 10-minute interval. The results revealed that the segment of sensory block between the 5 ml single injection and the two 5 ml injections with a 5-minute interval showed no statistical difference [9]. Therefore, three 1 ml injections totaling a volume of 3 ml at 5 s intervals may spread in a similar pattern to a single 3-ml injection.

Furman et al. [10] described medium spread on the contralateral side for 0.5 to 3 ml contrast volumes in lumbar transforaminal epidural block procedures. Their study revealed that contrast crossover cumulated 67% to 90% according to the volume of contrast medium from 1 ml to 3 ml. Kim et al. [11] described lumbar transforaminal block selectivity. That study conducted that 2 ml of contrast medium mixed with 1 ml of saline was injected through the lumbar transforaminally from L1 to L5. Bilateral contrast medium spread revealed around 28.2% ($n = 37/131$). However, differences in contrast spread level between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides were not described. We checked bilateral spread in the AP image only after an injection of 3 ml contrast medium in TTFEB. Bilateral spread was observed in 85% of 26 cases after injection of 3 ml of contrast medium: in cases of bilateral spread, there were significant differences in spread levels between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides.

Thoracic and lumbar epidural anatomy is different. The
similarly, paravertebral injection with 1.5 mg/kg of bupivacaine produced sensory loss at the level of the injection with a mean superior spread of 1.4 dermatomes and a mean inferior spread of 2.8 dermatomes [21]. Multiple injections of the paravertebral block reduced acute pain and prevented PHN significantly [22].

Meanwhile, the transforaminal epidural block in the thoracolumbar area increased risk of trauma to the Adamkiewicz artery leading to paraplegia [23]. The Adamkiewicz artery is located mainly on the left side (83%) and was found between T8 and L1 93% of the time, TIEB is accompanied by risks of dural puncture and neurologic injury [24]. In the middle thoracic levels, the spinous processes are aligned more steeply, rendering TIEB difficult [13]. For this, pain physicians choose TIEB or TTFEB in terms of risk and technical skill.

In analysis of this study, contrast enhancement revealed clearly in the AP and lateral view images in the fluoroscopic monitor, but showed slightly more blurred images in the AP than lateral image through picture archiving and communication systems (PACs) at review after the procedure, and we analyzed the results divided into the ipsilateral and contralateral side at AP images. We theorized these reasons was that the median total spread level differed between the AP and lateral views after injection of 3 ml of contrast medium.

This study has several limitations. First, the subject of the enrolled patients was variable according to the site of the TTFEB. Second, the middle and lower thoracic spread pattern may be expected to be different due to thoracic kyphosis and vertebral height according to levels, but the numbers of enrolled patients were not enough to reflect the two points. Third, spread range may reveal differences between young and old-aged group but this study did not include a young age group. These factors limit the results of this study in a generalized way. Fourth, this study did not investigate the correlation between contrast medium spreading pattern and the effectiveness of the drug injected, therefore, it was not necessary to enroll patients limited to herpes zoster and PHN.

In conclusion, contrast medium spread in the thoracic transforaminal epidural block is sufficient to cover 3 levels in the cephalad direction and 2 levels in the caudal direction from the site at which the procedure was performed. Furthermore, high percentages of cases exhibited concurrent dorsal and ventral spread, and concurrent ipsilateral and contralateral spread. Further study is needed...
for generalized results for the TTFEB.
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