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Experimental studies of hypernuclear dynamics, besides being essential for the understanding of strong interactions in the strange sector, have important astrophysical implications. The observation of neutron stars with masses exceeding two solar masses poses a serious challenge to the models of hyperon dynamics in dense nuclear matter, many of which predict a maximum mass incompatible with the data. In this paper, it is argued that valuable new insight can be gained from the forthcoming extension of the experimental studies of kaon electro production from nuclei to include the \(^{208}\text{Pb}(e,e'K^+)\rightarrow ^{207}\text{Tl}\) process. A comprehensive framework for the description of kaon electro production, based on factorisation of the nuclear cross section and the formalism of nuclear many-body theory, is outlined. This approach highlights the connection between the kaon production and proton knockout reactions, which will allow to exploit the available \(^{208}\text{Pb}(e,e'p)^{207}\text{Tl}\) data to achieve a largely model-independent analysis of the measured cross section.

I. INTRODUCTION

Experimental studies of the \((e,e'K^+)\) reaction on nuclei have long been recognized as a valuable source of information on hypernuclear spectroscopy. The extensive program of measurements performed or approved at Jefferson Lab [38, 39]—encompassing a variety of nuclear targets ranging from \(^6\text{Li}\) to \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) and \(^{48}\text{Ca}\)—has the potential to shed new light on the dynamics of strong interactions in the strange sector, addressing outstanding issues such as the isospin-dependence of hyperon-nucleon interactions and the role of three-body forces involving nucleons and hyperons. In addition, because the appearance of hyperons is expected to become energetically favoured in dense nuclear matter, these measurements have important implications for neutron star physics.

The recent observation of two-solar-mass neutron stars [40, 41]—the existence of which is ruled out by many models predicting the presence of hyperons in the neutron star core [42]—suggests that the present understanding of nuclear interactions involving hyperons is far from being complete. In the literature, the issue of reconciling the calculated properties of hyperon matter with the existence of massive stars is referred to as hyperon puzzle [43].

Owing to the severe difficulties involved in the determination of the potential describing hyperon-nucleon (YN) interactions from scattering data, the study of hypernuclear spectroscopy provides an effective alternative approach, capable of yielding much needed additional information.

In this context, the \((e,e'K^+)\) process offers clear advantages. The high resolution achievable by \(\gamma\)-ray spectroscopy can only be exploited to study energy levels below nucleon emission threshold, while \((K^-, \pi^-)\) and \((\pi^+, K^+)\) reactions mainly provide information on non-spin-flip interactions. Moreover, compared to hadron induced reactions, kaon electro production allows a better energy resolution, which may in turn result in a more accurate identification of the hyperon binding energies [33]. However, the results of several decades of study of the \((e,e'p)\) reaction show that, to achieve this goal, the analysis of the measured cross sections must be based on a theoretical model taking into account the full complexity of electron-nucleus interactions [44]. Addressing this issue will be critical to the recently approved extension of the Jefferson Lab program to the case of a heavy target with large neutron excess, the nucleus \(^{208}\text{Pb}\). This experiment will allow to study hyperon dynamics in an environment providing the best available proxy of the neutron star interior. A short account of the proposal to measure the \(^{208}\text{Pb}(e,e'K^+)^{207}\text{Tl}\) cross section in Jefferson Lab Hall A, can be found in Ref. [45].

This work is meant to lay down the foundations of a comprehensive theoretical framework for the description of the \((e,e'K^+)\) cross section within the formalism of nuclear many-body theory, which has been extensively and successfully employed to study the proton knockout reaction [41]. In addition, it is shown that, owing to the connection between \((e,e'p)\) and \((e,e'K^+)\) processes which naturally emerges in the context of the proposed analysis, the missing energy spectra measured in \((e,e'p)\) experiments provide the baseline needed for a largely model-independent determination of the hyperon binding energies.

The body of the paper is structured as follows. In Sect. II the treatment of kaon electro-production from nuclei in the kinematical regime in which factorisation of the nuclear cross section is expected to be applicable is derived, and the relation to the proton knockout process is highlighted. The main conceptual issues associated with the description of the elementary electron-proton vertex and the nuclear amplitudes comprising the structure of the \(^{208}\text{Pb}(e,e'K^+)^{207}\text{Tl}\) cross section are discussed in Sect. III. Finally, the summary and an outlook to future developments can be found in in Sect. IV.
II. THE $\Lambda(e,e'K^+)\Lambda A$ CROSS SECTION

Let us consider the kaon electro-production process

$$e(k) + A(p_A) \rightarrow e'(k') + K^+(p_K) + \Lambda(p_R),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in which an electron scatters off a nucleus of mass number $A$, and the hadronic final state

$$|F\rangle = |K^+\Lambda A\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

includes a $K^+$ meson and the recoiling hypernucleus, resulting from the replacement of a proton with a $\Lambda$ in the target nucleus. The incoming and scattered electrons have four-momenta $k \equiv (E, \mathbf{k})$ and $k' \equiv (E', \mathbf{k}')$, respectively, while the corresponding quantities associated with the kaon and the recoiling hypernucleus are denoted $p_K \equiv (E_K, \mathbf{p}_K)$ and $p_R \equiv (E_R, \mathbf{p}_R)$. Finally, in the lab reference frame—in which the lepton kinematical variables are measured—$p_A \equiv (M_A, 0)$.

The differential cross section of reaction (1) can be written in the form

$$d\sigma_A \propto L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \delta^{(4)}(p_A + q - p_F),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

with $\lambda, \mu = 1, 2, 3$, where $q = k - k'$ and $p_F = p_K + p_R$ are the four-momentum transfer and the total four-momentum carried by the hadronic final state, respectively. The tensor $L_{\mu\nu}$, fully specified by the electron kinematical variables, can be written in the form [46]

$$L = \begin{pmatrix}
\eta_+ & 0 & -\epsilon_L \eta_+ \\
0 & \eta_- & 0 \\
-\sqrt{\epsilon_L \eta_+} & 0 & \epsilon_L
\end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

with $\eta_\pm = (1 \pm \epsilon)/2$ and

$$\epsilon = \left(1 + 2\frac{|q|^2}{Q^2} \tan^2 \frac{\theta_e}{2}\right)^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\theta_e$ is the electron scattering angle, $q \equiv (\omega, \mathbf{q})$, $Q^2 = -q^2$, and $\epsilon_L = \epsilon Q^2/\omega^2$.

All the information on hadronic, nuclear and hypernuclear dynamics is contained in the nuclear response tensor, defined as

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \langle 0 | J_{\Lambda}^{\mu}(q) | F \rangle \langle F | J_{\Lambda}^{\nu}(q) | 0 \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $|0\rangle$ denotes the target ground state and the final state $|F\rangle$ is given by Eq. (2).

Equation (6) shows that the theoretical calculation of the cross section requires a consistent description of the nuclear and hypernuclear wave functions, as well as of the nuclear current operator appearing in the transition matrix element, $J_{\Lambda}^{\mu}$. This problem, which in general involves prohibitive difficulties, greatly simplifies in the kinematical region in which the impulse approximation can be exploited.

A. Impulse approximation and factorisation

Figure 1 provides a diagrammatic representation of the $(e,e'K^+)$ process based on the factorisation ansatz. The formalism exploiting factorisation of the nuclear cross section is expected to be applicable in the impulse approximation regime, corresponding to momentum transfer such that the wavelength of the virtual photon, $\lambda \sim 1/|q|$, is short compared to the average distance between nucleons in the target nucleus, $d_{NN} \sim 1.5$ fm.

Under these conditions, which can be easily met at Jefferson Lab—hereafter JLab—the beam particles primarily interact with individual protons, the remaining $A - 1$ nucleons acting as spectators. As a consequence, the nuclear current operator reduces to the sum of one-body operators describing the electron-proton interaction

$$J_{\Lambda}^{\mu}(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} j_i^{\mu}(q),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

and the hadronic final state takes the product form

$$|F\rangle = |K^+\rangle \otimes |\Lambda A\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

with the outgoing $K^+$ being described by a plane wave, or by a distorted wave obtained from a kaon-nucleus optical potential [38].

From the above equations, it follows that the nuclear transition amplitude

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle K^+\Lambda A | J_{\Lambda}^{\mu}(q) | 0 \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

can be written in factorised form through insertion of the completeness relations

$$\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |p\rangle \langle p| = \int \frac{d^3p_A}{(2\pi)^3} |p_A\rangle \langle p_A| = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where the integrations over the momenta carried by the proton and the $\Lambda$ also include spin summations, and

$$\sum_n |(A - 1)_n\rangle \langle (A - 1)_n| = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
the sum being extended to all eigenstates of the \((A - 1)\)-
nucleon spectator system.

The resulting expression turns out to be

\[
\mathcal{M}^\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \sum_{n} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_A}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{M}_{A-\to(A-1)_n+\Lambda}^* \langle \mathbf{p}_K | \mathbf{k} \rangle^\mu_p |\mathbf{p} \rangle \mathcal{M}_{A-\to(A-1)_n+p} ,
\]

where the current matrix element describes the elementary electromagnetic process \(\gamma^+ + p \to K^+ + \Lambda\).

Note that, compared to the case of nucleon knock out discussed in, e.g., Ref. [47], the transition amplitude describing kaon production within the factorisation scheme, Eq. (12), exhibits a more complex structure, involving two different amplitudes.

The nuclear and hypernuclear amplitudes in the right-hand side of Eq. (12), labelled \(\mathcal{M}_N\) and \(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda\) in Fig. 1, are given by

\[
\mathcal{M}_{A-\to(A-1)_n+p} = \langle \mathbf{p} | \otimes \langle (A-1)_n | | 0 \rangle ,
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{M}_{A\Lambda-\to(A-1)_n+\Lambda} = \langle \mathbf{p}_\Lambda | \otimes \langle (A-1)_n | | \Lambda \rangle .
\]

In the above equations, the states \(| (A-1)_n \rangle\) and \(| \Lambda \rangle\) describe the \((A - 1)\)-nucleon spectator system, appearing as an intermediate state, and the final-state \(\Lambda\)-hypernucleus, respectively.

The amplitudes of Eq. (13) determine the Green’s function \(G(\mathbf{k}, E)\)—embodying all information on single-particle dynamics in the target nucleus—and the associated spectral function, defined as

\[
P(\mathbf{k}, E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \, G(\mathbf{k}, E)
\]

\[
= \sum_{n} |\mathcal{M}_{A-\to(A-1)_n+p}|^2 \, \delta(E + M_A - m - E_n) ,
\]

where \(m\) is the nucleon mass and \(E_n\) denotes the energy of the \((A - 1)\)-nucleon system in the state \(n\). The spectral function describes the joint probability to remove a nucleon of momentum \(\mathbf{k}\) from the nuclear ground state leaving the residual system with excitation energy \(E > 0\).

Note that within the mean-field approximation, underlying the nuclear shell model, Eq. (15) reduces to the simple form

\[
P(\mathbf{k}, E) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} |\varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{k})|^2 \, \delta(E - |\epsilon_\alpha|) ,
\]

where \(\alpha \equiv \{n j f\}\) is the set of quantum numbers specifying single-nucleon orbits. The sum is extended to all states belonging to the Fermi sea, the momentum-space wave functions and energies of which are denoted \(\varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{k})\) and \(\epsilon_\alpha\), respectively, with \(\epsilon_\alpha < 0\).

Equation (16) shows that within the independent particle model the spectral function reduces to a set of \(\delta\)-function peaks, representing the energy spectrum of single-nucleon states. Dynamical effects beyond the mean field shift the position of the peaks, that also acquire a finite width. In addition, the occurrence of virtual scattering processes—bringing about the excitation of nucleon pairs to continuum states above the Fermi surface—leads to the appearance of a sizeable smooth contribution to the Green’s function, accounting for \(\approx 20\%\) of the total strength. As a consequence, the normalisation of a shell model state \(\varphi_\alpha\), referred to as spectroscopic factor, is reduced from unity to a value \(Z_\alpha < 1\).

The nuclear spectral functions have been extensively studied measuring the cross section of the \((e, e'p)\) reaction, in which the scattered electron and the knocked out nucleon are detected in coincidence. The results of these experiments, carried out using a variety of nuclear targets, have unambiguously identified the states predicted by the shell model, highlighting at the same time the limitations of the mean-field approximation and the effects of nucleon-nucleon correlations [44, 48, 49].

In analogy with Eqs. (13) and (15), the amplitudes of Eq. (14) comprise the spectral function

\[
P_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_\Lambda, E_\Lambda) = \sum_{\Lambda} |\mathcal{M}_{A\Lambda-\to(A-1)_n+\Lambda}|^2 
\]

\[
\times \delta(E_\Lambda + M_\Lambda - M_\Lambda - E_n) ,
\]

describing the joint probability to remove a \(\Lambda\) from the hypernucleus \(\Lambda\) leaving the residual system with energy \(E_\Lambda\). Here \(M_\Lambda\) and \(M_\Lambda\) denote the mass of the \(\Lambda\) and the hypernucleus, respectively.

The observed \((e, e'K^+)\) cross section, plotted as a function of the missing energy

\[
E^\Lambda_{\text{miss}} = \omega - E_{K^+} ,
\]

exhibits a collection of peaks, providing the sought-after information on the energy spectrum of the \(\Lambda\) in the final state hypernucleus.\footnote{In principle, the right-hand side of Eq. (19) should also include a term accounting for the kinetic energy of the recoiling hypernucleus. However, for heavy targets this contribution turns out to be negligibly small, and will be omitted.}
B. Kinematics

The expression of $E^\Lambda_{\text{miss}}$, Eq. (19), can be conveniently rewritten considering that the $\delta$-function of Eq. (3) implies the condition

$$\omega + M_A = E_{K^+} + E_{\Lambda A} .$$

(20)

Combining the above relation with the requirement of conservation of energy at the nuclear and hypernuclear vertices, dictating

$$M_A = E_p + E_n , \quad E_A + E_n = E_{\Lambda A} ,$$

(21)

we find

$$\omega + E_p = E_{K^+} + E_{\Lambda} .$$

(22)

Finally, substitution into Eq (19) yields

$$E^\Lambda_{\text{miss}} = E_{\Lambda} - E_p .$$

(23)

The above equation, while providing a relation between the measured missing energy and the binding energy of the $\Lambda$ in the final state hypernucleus, defined as

$$B_\Lambda = -E_{\Lambda},$$

does not allow for a model-independent identification of $E_{\Lambda}$. The position of a peak observed in the missing energy spectrum turns out to be determined by the difference between the energies needed to remove a $\Lambda$ from the final state hypernucleus, $E_{\Lambda}$, or a proton from the target nucleus, $E_p$, leaving the residual $(A-1)$-nucleon system in the same bound state, specified by the quantum numbers collectively denoted $n$.

The proton removal energies, however, can be independently obtained from the missing energy measured by proton knockout experiments—in which the scattered electron and the ejected proton are detected in coincidence—defined as

$$E^p_{\text{miss}} = \omega - E_{p'} = -E_p .$$

(24)

where $E_{p'}$ is the energy of the outgoing proton. Note that, consistently with Eq. (19), in the right-hand side of the above equation the kinetic energy of the recoiling nucleus has been omitted.

From Eqs. (23) and (24) it follows that the $\Lambda$ binding energy can be determined in a fully model-independent fashion from

$$B_\Lambda = -E_{\Lambda} = -(E^\Lambda_{\text{miss}} - E^p_{\text{miss}}) ,$$

(25)

combining the information provided by the missing energy spectra measured in $(e,e'K^+)$ and $(e,e'p)$ experiments.

III. THE $^{208}$Pb$(e,e'K^+)^{208}_\Lambda$Tl CROSS SECTION

In view of astrophysical applications, the recently approved measurement of the $^{208}$Pb$(e,e'K^+)^{208}_\Lambda$Tl cross section at JLab [15] will be of outmost importance. In this section, I will review the basic elements of the theoretical description of kaon electro production within the factorisation scheme laid down in Sect. [11].

A. The $e + p \rightarrow e' + K^+ + \Lambda$ process

The description of the elementary $e + p \rightarrow e' + K^+ + \Lambda$ process involving an isolated proton at rest has been obtained from the isobar model [50–51], in which the hadron current is derived from an effective Lagrangian comprising baryon and meson fields. Different implementations of this model are characterised by the intermediate states appearing in processes featuring the excitation of resonances [52–53]. The resulting expressions—involving a set of free parameters determined by fitting the available experimental data—have been used to obtain nuclear cross sections within the approach based on the nuclear shell model and the frozen-nucleon approximation [38–52].

In principle, the calculation of the nuclear cross section within the scheme outlined in Sect. [11A] should be performed taking into account that the elementary process involves a bound, moving nucleon, with four-momentum $p \equiv (E_p, \mathbf{p})$ and energy

$$E_p = M_A - E_n = m - E ,$$

(26)

as prescribed by Eq. (15). However, the generalisation to off-shell kinematics of phenomenological approaches constrained by free proton data, such as the isobar model of Refs. [52–54], entails non trivial conceptual difficulties.

A simple procedure to overcome this problem is based on the observation that in the scattering process on a bound nucleon, a fraction $\delta\omega$ of the energy transfer goes to the spectator system. The amount of energy given to the struck proton, the expression of which naturally emerges from the impulse approximation formalism, turns out to be [47]

$$\tilde{\omega} = \omega - \delta\omega = \omega + m - E - \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2} .$$

(27)

Note that from the above equations it follows that

$$E_p + \omega = \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2} + \tilde{\omega} ,$$

(28)

implying in turn

$$(p + q)^2 = (\bar{p} + \bar{q})^2 = W^2 ,$$

(29)

with $\bar{q} \equiv (\tilde{\omega}, \mathbf{q})$ and $\bar{p} \equiv (\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}, \mathbf{p})$.

The above equations show that the replacement $q \rightarrow \bar{q}$ allows to establish a correspondence between electron scattering on an off-shell moving proton, leading to the appearance of a final state of invariant mass $W$, and the corresponding process involving a proton in free space. As a consequence, within this scheme the use of an elementary cross section designed to explain hydrogen data is fully consistent.

It has to be mentioned that, although quite reasonable on physics grounds, the use of $\bar{q}$ in the hadron current leads to a violation of current conservation. This issue is inherent in the impulse approximation scheme, which does not allow to simultaneously conserve energy and
current in correlated systems. A very popular and effective workaround for this problem, widely employed in the analysis of $(e,e'p)$ data, has been first proposed by de Forest in the 1980s [55].

In view of the fact that the extension of the work of Refs. [53, 54] to the case of a moving proton does not involve severe conceptual difficulties, the consistent application of the formalism developed for proton knock-out processes to the case of kaon electro production appears to be feasible. In this context, it should also be pointed out that the factorisation scheme discussed in Sect. [11] allows for a fully relativistic treatment of the electron-proton vertex, which is definitely required in the kinematical region accessible at JLab [17].

**B. Nuclear and Hypernuclear Dynamics**

Valuable information needed to obtain Λ removal energies from the cross section of the $^{208}$Pb$(e,e'K^+)^{208}$Tl process, using the procedure described in Sect. [11B] has been gained by the high-resolution studies of the $^{208}$Pb$(e,e'p)^{207}$Tl reaction performed at NIKHEF-K in the late 1980s and 1990s [56–59]. The available missing energy spectra—measured with a resolution of better than 100 KeV and extending up to $\sim$ 30 MeV—provide both position and width of the peaks corresponding to bound states of the recoiling $^{207}$Tl nucleus.

It is very important to realise that a meaningful interpretation of NIKHEF-K data requires the use of a theoretical framework taking into account effects of nuclear dynamics beyond the mean-field approximation. This issue is clearly illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3.

Figure 2 displays the difference between the energies corresponding to the peaks in the measured missing energy spectrum, $\langle E^p_\alpha \rangle$, and the predictions of the mean-field model reported in Ref. [60]. $E^\alpha_{MF}$. It is apparent that the discrepancy, measured by the quantity

$$\Delta_\alpha = |E^\alpha_{MF} - \langle E^p_\alpha \rangle|,$$

where the index $\alpha \equiv \{n j \ell \}$ specifies the state of the recoiling system, is sizeable, and as large as $\sim$ 3 MeV for deeply bound states.

In Fig. 3 the spectroscopic factors extracted from NIKHEF-K data are compared to the results of the theoretical analysis of Ref. [61]. The solid line, exhibiting a remarkable agreement with the experiment, has been obtained combining theoretical nuclear matter results, displayed by the dashed line, and a phenomenological correction to the imaginary part of the nucleon self-energy, accounting for finite size and shell effects. The energy dependence of the spectroscopic factors of nuclear matter at equilibrium density has been derived from a calculation of the pole contribution to the spectral function of Eq. (15), carried out using Correlated Basis Function (CBF) perturbation theory and a microscopic nuclear Hamiltonian including phenomenological two- and three-nucleon potentials [62].

![Figure 2. Difference between the energies corresponding to the peaks of the missing energy spectrum of the $^{208}$Pb$(e,e'p)^{207}$Tl reaction reported in Ref. [59] and the results of the mean-field calculations of Ref. [60], displayed as a function of the proton binding energy $E_p = -E^p_{miss}$. The states are labeled according to the standard spectroscopic notation.](image)

The results of Fig. 3 show that the spectroscopic factors of $^{208}$Pb, defined as

$$Z_\alpha = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} |\chi_\alpha(k)|^2,$$

with $\chi_\alpha(k)$ being the Fourier transform of the overlap between the target ground state and the state of the recoiling nucleus, featuring a hole in the shell model orbit $\alpha$. It appears that in the case of deeply bound proton states $Z_\alpha$ is largely determined by short-range correlations, moving strength to the continuum component of the spectral function. As a consequence, they are largely unaffected by surface and shell effect, and can be accurately estimated using the results of nuclear matter calculations. Finite size effects, mainly driven by long-range nuclear dynamics, are more significant in the vicinity of the Fermi surface, where they account for up to $\sim 35\%$ of the deviation from the prediction obtained using the mean field spectral function of Eq. (16), represented by the solid horizontal line.

In addition to the nucleon spectral function, the analysis of the $^{208}$Pb$(e,e'K^+)^{208}$Tl cross section requires a consistent description of the Λ spectral function, defined by Eq. (17). Following the pioneering nuclear matter study of Ref. [63], microscopic calculations of $P_\Lambda(k_\Lambda, E_\Lambda)$ in a variety of hypernuclei, ranging from $\Lambda$He to $\Lambda$Pb, have been recently carried out by the author of Ref. [64]. In this work, the self-energy of the Λ—simply related to the corresponding Green’s function—was obtained from $G$-matrix perturbation theory in the Brueckner-Hartree-Fock approximation, using the Jölich [65, 66] and Nijmegen [67, 69] models of the YN potential.
The generalisation of the approach of Ref. [64]—needed to treat $^{208}$Pb using Hamiltonians including both YN and YNN potentials—does not appear to involve severe difficulties, of either conceptual or technical nature. Therefore, a consistent description of the $^{208}$Pb($e, e'p$)$^{207}$Tl process within the factorisation scheme derived in this work is expected to be achievable within the time frame relevant to the JLab experimental program.

Different computational approaches, mostly based on the Monte Carlo method [70], have been very successful for the interpretation of the $e,eK$+ cross sections, most notably in the kinematical regime in which their effect changes from attractive to repulsive in the non-strange sector appears to be needed, especially in view of astrophysical applications involving matter at supranuclear densities, in which three-body interactions are known to play a critical role.

The solution of the so called hyperon puzzle is likely to require a great deal of theoretical and experimental work for many years to come. The extension of the JLab kaon electro production program to $^{208}$Pb has the potential to provide an important contribution, needed to broaden the present understanding of hypernuclear dynamics in nuclear matter.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the Italian National Institute for Nuclear Research (INFN) under grant TEONGRAV. The author is deeply indebted to Petr Bydžovský, Franco Garibaldi and Isaac Vidaña for many illuminating discussions on issues related to the subject of this article.

[38] F. Garibaldi et al (Jefferson Lab Hall A Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. C* 2019, 99, 054309.

[39] S. Nakamura, *AIP Conference Proceedings* 2019, 2130, 020012.
[73] R.B. Wiringa, V.G.J. Stoks, and R. Schiavilla. Phys. Rev. C 1995, 51, 38.

[74] B.S. Pudliner, V.R. Pandharipande, J. Carlson, and R.B. Wiringa. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1995, 74, 4396.