Comparison of Biochemical Markers and Echocardiographic Parameters in the Diagnosis of Prosthetic Valve and Intracardiac Device Endocarditis

ABSTRACT

Background: The use of prosthetic valves and intracardiac devices has steadily increased in recent years. In this group of patients with prosthetic valves or intracardiac devices, infective endocarditis could not be easily diagnosed, and in general, infective endocarditis can be missed in many patients. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic performance of clinical, laboratory, and imaging parameters in a group of patients with pre-diagnosis of infective endocarditis.

Methods: Ninety-four patients diagnosed with prosthetic valve or intracardiac device endocarditis during 2008-2019 were included in the study. The patients’ data were evaluated according to modified Duke criteria, and the data of the patients who were diagnosed with and without a definitive infective endocarditis were compared accordingly.

Results: Values of procalcitonin (P < .001), leukocytes (P=.004), C-reactive protein (P<.001), sedimentation (P<.001), and maximal vegetation size (P = .012) were found to be significant in the diagnosis of IE. Criteria to determine definitive IE included a C-reactive protein level of 105 mg/dL or higher, 77% sensitivity, 75% specificity, 60% positive predictive value, and 87% negative predictive value. In particular, a C-reactive protein level of ≥105 mg/dL was found to positively indicate the diagnosis of definitive infective endocarditis by 10 times (odds ratio = 10; 95% CI: 3.6-27.8, P < .001). In a multiple logistic regression analysis, the C-reactive protein level was found to be the best independent predictor of definitive infective endocarditis in this population.

Conclusion: In cases of prosthetic valve and intracardiac devices endocarditis where pre-diagnosis is difficult to confirm, measuring C-reactive protein levels is a reliable, strong, and simple parameter for definitive infective endocarditis diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Prosthetic valves (PVs) and intracardiac devices (IDs) are increasingly being used to treat heart valve diseases and arrhythmia. Unfortunately, these treatment methods have led to a rising number of complications. Of the patients treated with these methods, 1-6% develop infective endocarditis (IE), which can be fatal. In patients with PVs or IDs, IE diagnosis is more difficult than in patients without them. The Duke criteria with a sensitivity of 70-80% for the diagnosis of native valve endocarditis have lower diagnostic accuracy in PV and ID endocarditis. Similarly, the sensitivity of transesophageal echocardiography (TEE)—one of the most commonly used imaging methods for diagnosing endocarditis—is low for the patients with PVs and IDs and often results in false positive and false-negative diagnoses.

Current guidelines recommend multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT), leukocyte-labeled single-photon emission tomography (SPECT), or fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) for patients with PVs and IDs. However,
these scans are complex and costly. Thus, testing methods are needed that are not only easily accessible and simple to perform but are also able to generate results quickly. Our study will evaluate the diagnostic performances of clinical, laboratory, and imaging methods, as well as investigate their value when diagnosing patients with definitive IE who have PVs or IDs.

**METHODS**

**Patient Population**
We retrospectively reviewed the cases of 94 patients with PV or ID endocarditis diagnoses from a single medical center from 2008 to 2019.

Inclusion criteria for the study were determined as:

- Being over 18 years old,
- Having TEE with a preliminary diagnosis of PV or ID endocarditis,
- Continuing all treatment and follow-up at our center in the post-diagnosis period, and
- Having patients with access to all necessary data for the study was determined as.

By screening archived patient records, demographic characteristics (age, gender, height, weight, body surface area), presence of additional diseases (diabetes, hypertension, coronary artery disease, hyperlipidemia), clinical features (presence of fever), echocardiographic parameters (replacement valve type, vegetation size, shape in TEE), laboratory parameters (sedimentation, C-reactive protein (CRP), leukocyte, procalcitonin, D-Dimer), blood culture results (presence of bacteria and type of breeding microorganisms), and FDG-PET results if applied to the patient (presence of involvement), were recorded.

The data from the patients who were admitted to the medical center with a pre-diagnosis of IE were evaluated according to the modified Duke criteria specified in the current guidelines. Definitive IE was defined by the presence of 2 majors or, 1 major and 3 minor criteria, or 5 minor criteria. Patients who did not meet the definition were labeled as having “nondefinitive IE” and were excluded.

This study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee (decision number: 16-310-19; decision date: January 8, 2020) and was performed in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

**HIGHLIGHTS**

- Simple, inexpensive, accessible markers are required in the diagnosis of prosthetic valve and intracardiac device endocarditis, which are difficult to diagnose.
- Procalcitonin, leukocyte, C-reactive protein (CRP), and sedimentation values are significant in the diagnosis of infective endocarditis.
- A CRP value of \( \geq 105 \text{ mg/dL} \) is a strong indicator for the diagnosis of infective endocarditis.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (ver. 20.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill, USA). The groups were compared in terms of the data obtained. The normality of distribution was investigated with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.

The normally distributed continuous data were presented as mean \( \pm \) standard deviation and tested with an independent samples t-test. Nonnormally distributed continuous data were presented with median and interquartile range (IQR) and tested with Mann–Whitney U test.

The categorical data were presented as frequency and percentages and tested with a chi-squared test. Prognostic factors’ effects were tested using multiple logistic regression analysis. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was drawn to show the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostically significant parameters. A value of \( P < .05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Of those involved in the study, 34 were female and 60 were male. The mean age of the patients was 62.06 \( \pm \) 13.12 years. Twenty-nine percent had diabetes, 44% had atherosclerotic heart disease, 70% had hypertension, and 39% had hyperlipidemia (n = 37). Of the patients, 26.6% had suspected PV endocarditis (25 patients), and 73.4% had a preliminary diagnosis of ID endocarditis (69 patients). From the TEE findings, 10.64% had linear moving structures. In addition, 21.28% showed vegetation growth of 0-5 mm, and 36.17% showed vegetation growth of 6-10 mm (see Table 1). Of the blood cultures, 67.02% did not indicate bacterial reproduction, although 12.77% showed *Staphylococcus aureus* and 8.51% showed *Enterococcus faecalis* infections.

According to the modified Duke criteria, 31 patients (32%) were diagnosed with definitive IE, all of whom demonstrated 2 major and 1 minor criteria. About 38% of these patients had PV endocarditis; the others had ID endocarditis. Positron

| Table 1. Transesophageal Echocardiography Findings of the Group Pre-Diagnosed with Endocarditis |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Patient (n = 94)** |
| **Vegetation** |
| • Linear mobile structure | 10 (10.64%) |
| • 0-5 mm | 21 (21.28%) |
| • 6-10 mm | 33 (36.17%) |
| • 11-15 mm | 11 (11.70%) |
| • 16-20 mm | 13 (13.83%) |
| • \( \geq 21 \text{ mm} \) | 2 (2.13%) |
| **Apse** | 4 (4.26%) |
| **Dehiscence** | 1 |
| **Paravalvular leak** |
| • Mild | 2 |
| • Moderate | 2 |
| • Severe | 1 |
emission tomography was not performed in the vast majority of patients (64 patients, 68.08%) included in the study. It was determined that 80.65% (n = 25) of the patients with definitive IE did not have PET scans. Of the patients with definitive IE who underwent PET scans, 1 had an abscess (3.23%), 2 had pacemaker pocket infections (6.45%), and 3 showed no vegetation growth (9.68%). While bacterial reproduction was detected in the blood cultures of all patients diagnosed with definitive IE, *S. aureus* (38.71%) and *E. faecalis* (25.81%) were the most common.

The definitive IE and nondefinitive IE groups’ demographics were similar. Both groups comprised about 33% diabetic women, about 50% coronary artery disease patients, and roughly 66% hypertension patients (see Table 2). When comparing groups, procalcitonin (*P* < .001), CRP (*P* < .001), sedimentation (*P* < .001), leukocyte (*P* < .004), and maximal vegetation size (*P* = .012) values were significantly higher in the group diagnosed with definite IE. D-dimer levels were similar in both groups (see Table 3).

The parameters that were significant in the diagnosis of definitive IE in the single-variable analyses (procalcitonin, CRP, sedimentation, leukocyte, and maximal vegetation size) were evaluated via a multiple logistics regression analysis. According to Wald statistics, high CRP levels were identified as the best independent parameter for definitive IE diagnosis (Table 4).

The area under the curve was calculated as 0.81 (*P* < .001; CI: 0.72-0.90) when the ROC curve indicated the effectiveness of CRP in diagnosing IE (see Figure 1). We found that when the CRP value reached ≥105 mg/dL, sensitivity was 77%, positive predictive value was 75%, and negative predictive value was 87%. Furthermore, a CRP value of ≥105 mg/dL increases the risk of developing IE by 10 times (odds ratio = 10.0; CI: 3.6-27.8; *P* < .001).

**DISCUSSION**

With increased interventional therapies, the characteristics of the patients of IE are also changed. Prosthetic valves and IDs are increasingly encountered in patients with endocarditis, but unfortunately, the diagnostic values of the Duke criteria and TEE for this group of patients are quite low. Furthermore, advanced imaging methods, while useful, are expensive, time-consuming, and very difficult to perform.

### Table 2. Comparison of Clinical Characteristics of the Group Diagnosed with and Without Definitive IE According to Modified Duke criteria

|                          | Definitive IE PV Endocarditis (48%, n = 12) | Excluded PV Endocarditis (12%, n = 3) | P  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----|
| Age                      | 62.00 (20)                                  | 66.00 (18)                             | .682|
| Median (IQR)             |                                             |                                        |    |
| Female Sex (%)           | 32.3%                                       | 38.1%                                  | .580|
| BSA (m²) mean ± SD       | 1.89±0.18                                   | 1.87±0.17                              | .596|
| DM (%)                   | 32.3%                                       | 28.6%                                  | .713|
| CHD (%)                  | 45.2%                                       | 44.4%                                  | .948|
| HT (%)                   | 74.2%                                       | 68.3%                                  | .554|
| HL (%)                   | 35.5%                                       | 41.3%                                  | .589|

|                          |                                             |                                        |    |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----|
| BSA, body surface area; CHD, coronary heart disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HL, hyperlipidemia; HT, hypertension; ID, intracardiac device; PV, prosthetic valve; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 3. Comparison of Biochemical Parameters of the Group Diagnosed with and Without Definitive IE According to Modified Duke criteria

|                          | Definitive IE PV Endocarditis (48%, n = 12) | Excluded PV Endocarditis (12%, n = 3) | P  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----|
| Procalcitonin Median (IQR) | 1.20 (4.68)                                | 0.10 (0.05)                            | <.001|
| Leukocytes Median (IQR)   | 13.64 (8.28)                                | 8.33 (4.66)                            | .004|
| CRP Median (IQR)          | 156.00 (89.00)                              | 34.00 (94.70)                          | <.001|
| D-Dimer Median (IQR)      | 367.000 (1081.00)                           | 234.00 (274.00)                        | .053|
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate Median (IQR) | 60.00 (59)                                | 19.00 (39)                             | <.001|
| Maximal vegetation size, mm Median (IQR) | 10.00 (13)                                 | 7.00 (8)                               | .012|

IQR, interquartile range; IE, infective endocarditis.

### Table 4. Multiple Logistics Regression Analysis

|                          | B     | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B)   | 95% CI     |
|--------------------------|-------|------|----|------|----------|------------|
| Leukocytes               | −0.007| 0.008| 1  | 0.928| 0.994    | 0.862-1.145|
| Procalcitonin            | 0.068 | 0.570| 1  | 0.450| 1.070    | 0.897-1.276|
| Maximal vegetation size  | 0.067 | 2.382| 1  | 0.123| 1.069    | 0.982-1.164|
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate | 0.018 | 3.528| 1  | 0.060| 1.018    | 0.999-1.038|
| CRP                      | 0.010 | 5.193| 1  | 0.023| 1.010    | 1.001-1.019|

CRP, C-reactive protein.
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CONCLUSION

The frequency of PV and ID endocarditis is increasing, yet it is difficult to diagnose. Guidelines recommend the use of expensive imaging methods that cannot be performed easily or quickly. To our knowledge, this was the first study to demonstrate the value of CRP levels in diagnosing PV and ID endocarditis. We showed that a high CRP level greatly increases the probability of diagnosis for patients with PVs and IDs and that measuring CRP is reliable, simple, and easily accessible.

CRP to diagnosis PV and ID endocarditis has been difficult. However, because of this study, the prognostic value of CRP for patients with PV or ID endocarditis has been proven.

Transthoracic echocardiography imaging has both low sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of PV and ID endocarditis. Transthoracic echocardiography is more sensitive—but more invasive—than TTE. In our study, maximal vegetation size measured by TEE was significantly higher in the group diagnosed with definitive IE. However, this variable was not statistically significant in the multivariate analysis. This can be explained by the reduction of the specialization of TEE in this group of patients by fibrillar structures formed by time on electrodes and mixed with vegetation.

Procalcitonin is released in response to endotoxins and systemic mediators released during bacterial infections. In studies investigating the relationship between procalcitonin and IE etiology, the results showed that high procalcitonin levels were related to infections of S. aureus or gram-negative bacteria. In our study, the diagnostic value of high procalcitonin levels was determined to be significant in single-variable analysis, but it was not in the multivariate analysis.

Several studies have shown a correlation between D-dimer levels and in-hospital complications and death in patients with endocarditis. However, our study showed no additional diagnostic contribution in PV and ID endocarditis.

Study Limitations

Our research was limited by its retrospective nature, small sample size, and inability to confirm IE diagnosis via MSCT, leukocyte-labeled SPECT, or FDG-PET.

The need for fast, economical, and accessible methods is great. In this study, we found 1 such method.

C-reactive protein is one of the oldest known acute phase reactants produced in the liver. Levels rise from acute diagnoses, such as infection, sepsis, and trauma, as well as from chronic diseases, such as atherosclerosis. Although CRP takes part in limiting inflammation with complement activation in acute infections, high levels of CRP can predict poor prognosis for many diseases.

Numerous studies related to high CRP levels have been performed with IE patients. For example, high levels were found to be associated with septic embolism and death in those with native left-valve endocarditis. High CRP levels were also found to be associated with in-hospital death and stroke in PV endocarditis patients. In addition, serial CRP measurements were found valuable in determining the treatment response of IE patients.

There have also been studies investigating the diagnostic value of CRP levels in IE patients. One study suggested that high CRP levels support the diagnosis of valve IE in patients. There is also data that indicates high CRP levels are more specific to staphylococcal endocarditis in patients diagnosed with certain types of IE. However, studies investigating the diagnostic value of CRP were mostly focused on left-heart endocarditis. Because of the exclusion of right-heart and device-related endocarditis, using CRP to diagnosis PV and ID endocarditis has been difficult. However, because of this study, the prognostic value of CRP for patients with PV or ID endocarditis has been proven.

Transthoracic echocardiography imaging has both low sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of PV and ID endocarditis. Transthoracic echocardiography is more sensitive—but more invasive—than TTE. In our study, maximal vegetation size measured by TEE was significantly higher in the group diagnosed with definitive IE. However, this variable was not statistically significant in the multivariate analysis. This can be explained by the reduction of the specialization of TEE in this group of patients by fibrillar structures formed by time on electrodes and mixed with vegetation.

Procalcitonin is released in response to endotoxins and systemic mediators released during bacterial infections. In studies investigating the relationship between procalcitonin and IE etiology, the results showed that high procalcitonin levels were related to infections of S. aureus or gram-negative bacteria. In our study, the diagnostic value of high procalcitonin levels was determined to be significant in single-variable analysis, but it was not in the multivariate analysis.

Several studies have shown a correlation between D-dimer levels and in-hospital complications and death in patients with endocarditis. However, our study showed no additional diagnostic contribution in PV and ID endocarditis.

Study Limitations

Our research was limited by its retrospective nature, small sample size, and inability to confirm IE diagnosis via MSCT, leukocyte-labeled SPECT, or FDG-PET.

CONCLUSION

The frequency of PV and ID endocarditis is increasing, yet it is difficult to diagnose. Guidelines recommend the use of expensive imaging methods that cannot be performed easily or quickly. To our knowledge, this was the first study to demonstrate the value of CRP levels in diagnosing PV and ID endocarditis. We showed that a high CRP level greatly increases the probability of diagnosis for patients with PVs and IDs and that measuring CRP is reliable, simple, and easily accessible.
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