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Abstract. This paper presents an updated trend analysis of water vapour in the lower midlatitude stratosphere from the Boulder balloon-borne NOAA frostpoint hygrometer measurements and from the Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE). Two corrections for instrumental bias are applied to homogenise the frostpoint data series, and a quality assessment of all soundings after 1991 is presented. Linear trend estimates based on the corrected data for the period 1980–2000 are up to 40% lower than previously reported. Vertically resolved trends and variability are calculated with a multi regression analysis including the quasi-biennial oscillation and equivalent latitude as explanatory variables. In the range of 380 to 640 K potential temperature (≈14 to 25 km), the frostpoint data from 1981 to 2006 show positive linear trends between 0.3 ± 0.3 and 0.7 ± 0.1%/yr. The same dataset shows trends between −0.2 ± 0.3 and 1.0 ± 0.3%/yr for the period 1992 to 2005. HALOE data over the same time period suggest negative trends ranging from −1.1 ± 0.2 to −0.1 ± 0.1%/yr. In the lower stratosphere, a rapid drop of water vapour is observed in 2000/2001 with little change since. At higher altitudes, the transition is more gradual, with slowly decreasing concentrations between 2001 and 2007. This pattern is consistent with a change induced by a drop of water concentrations at entry into the stratosphere. Due to uncertainties in reanalysis temperatures and stratospheric transport combined with uncertainties in observations, no quantitative inference about changes of water entering the stratosphere in the tropics could be made with the mid latitude measurements analysed here.

1 Introduction

Water vapour is important in determining radiative and chemical properties of the stratosphere (Kley et al., 2000). An increase of stratospheric water vapour of 1% per year has been reported for measurements made in Boulder, Colorado since 1980 (Oltmans and Hofmann, 1995; Oltmans et al., 2000) and, based on a combination of several datasets, for the past half century (Rosenlof et al., 2001). These trends indicate a long-term climate change (Rosenlof et al., 2001) and have implications for the Earth’s radiative budget (Forster and Shine, 2002), stratospheric temperature and ozone chemistry (Dvortsov and Solomon, 2001). Uncertainties about future stratospheric H₂O concentration affect the ability to predict the recovery of stratospheric ozone (Weatherhead and Andersen, 2006).

The reason for the observed increase is not clear at present. The photo oxidation of methane is the primary source of water vapour in the stratosphere, and the long-term increase in stratospheric CH₄ can account for 24–34% of an increase of 1%/yr in stratospheric H₂O (Rohs et al., 2006). Interannual variability of the entry value of water vapour into the stratosphere ([H₂O]ₑ) is tightly constrained by tropical tropopause temperatures (Fueglistaler et al., 2005; Fueglistaler and Haynes, 2005). However, the water vapour trend observed by Oltmans et al. (2000) and Rosenlof et al. (2001) is at odds with temperature trends...
at the tropical tropopause (Zhou et al., 2001; Seidel et al., 2001). The increase of El-Niño Southern Oscillation conditions over the last half century (Scaife et al., 2003), volcanic eruptions (Joshi and Shine, 2003; Austin et al., 2007) or changes in cloud microphysical properties (Sherwood, 2002; Notholt et al., 2005) may have affected stratospheric water vapour, but clear evidence that any of these processes could account for the magnitude of the observed trend is missing.

Water vapour measurements at stratospheric concentrations (typically a few parts per million) are difficult and require sophisticated techniques. The NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory Global Monitoring Division (NOAA ESRL GMD) (formerly NOAA CDML) balloon-borne measurements with a frostpoint hygrometer (henceforth termed NOAA FP) is the only available continuous multi-decade record, covering the last 27 years. This series is one of the most often used dataset for stratospheric water vapour; either for comparisons with satellite data and models, or for studies investigating the effect of increasing stratospheric moisture (e.g. Forster and Shine, 2002; Sherwood, 2002; Shindell, 2001; Randel et al., 2001, 2004, 2006; Senke and Grewe, 2005; Fueglistaler and Haynes, 2005; Chiou et al., 2006; Austin et al., 2007). However, assessment of trends is complicated by unresolved discrepancies between measurements of different instruments (see Kley et al., 2000). NOAA FP and Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) (Russell et al., 1993) time series show systematic differences for trend estimates (Randel et al., 2004).

Here, we provide a new analysis of the NOAA FP data set and compare it with HALOE data for the period 1992–2005. Section 2 discusses data and bias corrections. The statistical model used to calculate trends and variability is described in Sect. 3, and Sect. 4 presents the results. Section 5 addresses the question whether the midlatitude water vapour measurements provide new insight into processes controlling $\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}\right]_\text{e}$. Finally, Sect. 6 summarises our conclusions.

2 Data

2.1 NOAA frostpoint hygrometer

The NOAA FP is a balloon borne instrument based on the chilled-mirror principle. The Clausius-Clapeyron equation is used to determine the vapour pressure over an ice layer which is in equilibrium with the water vapour above. The instrument has been previously described (Oltmans, 1985; Vömel et al., 1995; Oltmans et al., 2000). The overall accuracy for this instrument is about 0.5 K in frostpoint temperature (Vömel et al., 1995), corresponding to about 10% in mixing ratio under stratospheric conditions. The balloon soundings typically reach an altitude of 28–30 km. The design of the instrument allows collection of data during ascent and descent. Outgassing of water vapour in the NOAA FP inlet and from the balloon envelope is a source of possible contamination during ascent, but not during descent (when the instrument is ahead of the balloon). Generally, uncontaminated data can be collected up to an altitude of about 25 km. All data before 1991 were manually extracted from a recorder strip chart. From 1991 onwards a digital recording system was implemented together with other new electronics. The dataset used in this study has been significantly revised compared to the dataset used by Oltmans et al. (2000). The next two sections document these changes.

2.1.1 Data corrections

Since the publications of Oltmans et al. (2000) and Rosenlof et al. (2001) two sources of bias in the measurement of the frostpoint temperature were identified. The first bias is due to the calibration of the thermistor, which measures the mirror temperature that is reported as frostpoint temperature. All thermistors are calibrated at three fixed temperatures ($0\,^\circ\text{C}$, $-45\,^\circ\text{C}$ and $-79\,^\circ\text{C}$). A model fit (Layton, 1961) based on the resistance at these three temperatures is used to describe the relationship between resistance and mirror-temperature. An extended calibration over the temperature range from $-100\,^\circ\text{C}$ to $+20\,^\circ\text{C}$ has shown differences between the modelled and the actual temperature (Vömel et al., 2007b). For temperatures below $-79\,^\circ\text{C}$ the difference between model and real temperature becomes increasingly significant, with a warm bias reaching 0.16 $\,^\circ\text{C}$ at $-90\,^\circ\text{C}$. The Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) handbook included a linear correction ($T_{\text{fp,corr}}=1.013245 T_{\text{fp}}+1.0464$) for frostpoint temperatures below $-79\,^\circ\text{C}$, based on a few measurements at $-94.3\,^\circ\text{C}$. Previously published data (erronously) applied this correction only up to 1991. Here, we also correct the data from 1991 onward, using the following, improved correction for frostpoint temperatures below $-79\,^\circ\text{C}$ ($T_{\text{fp}}$ in $\,^\circ\text{C}$)

$$T_{\text{fp,corr}} = T_{\text{fp}} - (-0.029(T_{\text{fp}} + 79) + 0.083)^2$$

The difference between this improved and the old linear NRL correction is about a factor of 30 smaller than the total uncertainty of the measurement such that using two slightly different corrections for pre- and post-1991 data is not a problem.

A second issue is the self-heating of the thermistors in the calibration setup used prior to 1987. The multi-meter current used to read the thermistor resistance at $0\,^\circ\text{C}$ was too large and caused significant self-heating, resulting in a roughly 1.5 $\,^\circ\text{C}$ warm bias at the $0\,^\circ\text{C}$ calibration point. The model fit propagates this bias at $0\,^\circ\text{C}$ to all other temperatures (except for the $-45\,^\circ\text{C}$ and $-79\,^\circ\text{C}$ calibration points, and very small errors between these two calibration points), which leads to a cold bias of up to 0.21 $\,^\circ\text{C}$ at $-90\,^\circ\text{C}$. In order to account for the self-heating, the following empirical function has been applied to all data prior to 1987, again only for frostpoint temperatures of $-79\,^\circ\text{C}$ and lower ($T_{\text{fp}}$ in $\,^\circ\text{C}$).

$$T_{\text{fp,corr}} = T_{\text{fp}} + (0.0203(T_{\text{fp}} + 61.9))^2 - 0.119$$
To summarise, previously published NOAA FP measurements of stratospheric water vapour concentrations were biased high after 1991 (first correction) and biased low before 1987 (second correction). Consequently, the corrected data series yield linear trend estimates lower than previously published.

Figure 1 shows the time series and trend estimates as in Oltmans et al. (2000). Trends for the period 1980–2000 between 14 and 25 km altitude are reduced by up to 40% and range, after the correction, between 0.2 and 1.05%/yr. The reductions in the trend become larger with increasing altitude, since the corrections are proportional to the frostpoint temperature, which is decreasing with altitude.

2.1.2 Evaluation of data quality of individual soundings

In order to better understand the previously reported disagreement between HALOE and NOAA FP, we evaluated the quality of each NOAA FP profile with respect to the following sources of potential errors. First, in some cases the measured frostpoint temperature exhibits large oscillations caused by the instruments feed-back controller. This is often not considered to be a problem, and data may be processed with a low pass filter (Vömel et al., 2007b). However, excessive oscillations may indicate erroneous data. Second, the comparison of data collected during ascent and descent allows some consistency checks. The aforementioned sources for contamination may lead to larger values during ascent than descent, but systematically lower values during ascent may indicate instrumental problems. Profiles that showed excessive mirror oscillations and/or systematically higher values during descent were flagged as being of lower quality, and the subsequent analyses are carried out for both all profiles, as well as for only those of higher quality.

Note that the criterion of the maximum mirror oscillation level is subjectively chosen. However, the screening applied here is based on a priori knowledge of factors that may indicate lower data quality, and does not filter the data towards a subjectively chosen “correct water vapour concentration”.

Table 1 provides the number of retrieved soundings in each year together with the number of higher quality soundings. Soundings before 1991 were manually extracted from chart recorder strips. The original recorder strips no longer exist, and a screening as described above is therefore not possible for data before 1991. A total of 44 out of 191 soundings were classified to be of lower quality. A larger fraction of soundings does not meet the quality screening criterion in the late 1990s. Unfortunately, this reduces the number of high quality soundings in the years just before the observed “drop” of water vapour concentrations in 2000/2001, with consequences for the trend estimates (see below).

Figure 2 shows the time series of measurements averaged in the layers of 380–420 and 580–620 K potential temperature. Generally, the lower quality measurements (green) fall within the range of the higher quality measurements (black). However, the 12-month moving averages between the two datasets differ particularly in the years around the year 2000. Despite the newly applied corrections, the previously noted (Randel et al., 2006) systematic differences to the HALOE measurements (orange) remain.
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2.2 HALOE

HALOE retrieved profiles of various trace gases (including water vapour) based on solar occultation measurements (with about 15 sunrise and 15 sunset events per day) between September 1991 and November 2005. Measurements on any day were made at about the same latitude, but shifted in longitude. The profiles for water vapour range from about 15 to 80 km altitude and latitudinal coverage was from 60° S to 60° N over the course of one month. The vertical resolution of the instrument is 1.6 km at the limb tangent point, and water vapour concentrations are calculated from extinction measurements at 6.61 micrometers. HALOE (version 19) data for profiles near Boulder, Colorado (within 35°–45° N and 130°–80° W) were obtained from the HALOE website (http://haloedata.larc.nasa.gov/download/index.php). Only data from July 1992 onward were used to minimize errors arising from enhanced stratospheric aerosol loading following the eruption of Mt. Pinatubo in 1991. Figure 2 shows the HALOE measurements for the same layers of potential temperature as the NOAA FP measurements. As already noted above, the HALOE and NOAA FP timeseries show systematic differences which will be further quantified below.

3 Statistical modelling

The monthly binned H₂O values Yᵢ with i denoting the number of months from the start of the time series (i=1...T) are represented in general form as

\[ Yᵢ = \mu + Xᵢ + Sᵢ + Zᵢ + Nᵢ \]  

where \( \mu \) represents a term for constant offset(s), \( Xᵢ = \sumᵢ ωᵢ Xᵢ,i \) represents trend terms with \( ωᵢ \) representing the change per year. \( Sᵢ \) is the term for the seasonal cycle represented by the annual components: \( Sᵢ = α \sin(2πt/12) + β \cos(2πt/12) \) and \( Zᵢ \) represents the contribution of the proxies. The term \( Nᵢ \) stands for the unresolved noise. The noise is modelled as an autoregressive process of first order \( Nᵢ = Nᵢ₋₁ + εᵢ \), where \( εᵢ \) are independent random variables with zero mean and a common variance \( σₑ² \).

The QBO affects tropical tropopause temperatures (Baldwin et al., 2001) and as a consequence the stratospheric entry value of water vapour (Giorgetta and Bengtsson, 1999; Fueglistaler and Haynes, 2005). The influence of the QBO is modelled with a combination of equatorial zonal winds at 30 and 70 hPa (Courtesy of B. Naujokat, FU Berlin):

\[ γ_{QBO} \cdot Z_{QBO,i} = γ_{30} \cdot Z_{QBO,30,i} + γ_{70} \cdot Z_{QBO,70,i} \]  

These two wind time series differ by about \( π/2 \) in phase and can therefore automatically adjust a variable time lag (Bojkov and Fioletov, 1995).

We use equivalent latitude (\( φ_{eq} \)) (Sobel et al., 1997) to account for variability associated with stratospheric waves. Equivalent latitude profiles are calculated based on potential vorticity fields derived from NCEP reanalysis data (Kalnay et al., 1996, obtained from their web site at http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/). More precisely, the proxy is the difference between \( φ_{eq} \) of the measurement and the latitude of Boulder (\( φ₀=40° \) N)

\[ Z_{φ_{eq},i} = φ_{eq,i} - φ₀. \]

We find that neither the QBO nor the equivalent latitude proxy for the observations over Boulder shows a trend for the full period 1981–2006, or the period 1992–2005 (comparison with HALOE). Hence, these terms cannot contribute to a trend in water vapour over these periods.

A simple linear trend calculation may be obtained from a regression model of the form

\[ Yᵢ = \mu + ω₁ X₁,i + Sᵢ + γ_{QBO} Z_{QBO,i} + γ_{φ_{eq}} Z_{φ_{eq,i}} + Nᵢ \]

where \( µ \) is a constant offset, \( X₁,i = t/12 \) and \( ω₁ \) is the trend per year. A better representation of the observations may be obtained with a statistical model that accounts for the drop observed around 2001:

\[ Yᵢ = \mu₁ + δ X₁,i + ω₂ X₂,i + Sᵢ + γ_{QBO} Z_{QBO,i} + γ_{φ_{eq}} Z_{φ_{eq,i}} + Nᵢ \]

where \( µ₁ \) is again a constant offset and \( ω₂ \) is

\[ µ₂ = \begin{cases} 0 & t < T^* \\ 1 & t ≥ T^* \end{cases} \]

where \( T^* \) is the time of the discontinuity \( δ \). \( X₁,i \) is \( t/12 \) and \( X₂,i \) takes the form

\[ X₂,i = \begin{cases} 0 & t < T^* \\ (t - T^*)/12 & t ≥ T^* \end{cases} \]

\( X₂,i \) is 0 up to the date of trend change \( T^* \), and increases linearly after that, so that \( ω₂ \) is the departure from the trend \( ω₁ \) after \( T^* \). The trend estimator before the date of change \( T^* \) is \( ω₁ \), after \( T^* \) it is \( ω₁ + ω₂ \) (see Reinsel et al. (2002) for details of a regression analysis using a term like \( X₂,i \)). \( T^* \) is taken as January 2001. (This date is motivated by the results of the analysis of Randel et al. (2006); but by no means implies that the drop occurred exactly at this date. Statistical analyses with dates shifted by a few months yield the same conclusions as those presented below.)

All data are analysed on isentropic surfaces (measurements interpolated onto isentropes every 10 K) in the range of 380–640 K (i.e. in the stratospheric “overworld”; Holton et al., 1995). The analysis for NOAA FP data is made separately for the periods 1981–2006 and for 1992–2005 (to allow direct comparison with HALOE data). Due to limited data, we refrain from presenting seasonally resolved trends and variability.
Fig. 2. Water vapour measurements averaged over 380–420 K (a/c) and 580–620 K (b/d) potential temperature. Upper plots (a/b) show observations from HALOE (orange) and NOAA frostpoint (separated into “higher quality” (black) and “lower quality” (green) measurements). Lower plots (c/d) show 12-month running mean of the data shown in (a/b), green curve based on all NOAA FP measurements.

Table 1. The number of NOAA FP soundings by year. For the years 1991 to 2006 the total number is given (All) as well as the number of higher quality soundings (HQ). The high number of measurements in 2005 is a result of the development of the new Cryogenic Frostpoint Hygrometer (CFH) at the University of Colorado (Vömel et al., 2007a).

| Year | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| All  | 1    | 11   | 6    | 9    | 10   | 6    | 10   | 7    | 12   | 12   | 9    |
| 1991 | 10   | 11   | 13   | 12   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    |
| 1992 | 13   | 12   | 13   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   |
| 1993 | 12   | 13   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 1994 | 13   | 12   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 1995 | 12   | 13   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 1996 | 13   | 12   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 1997 | 12   | 13   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 1998 | 13   | 12   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 1999 | 12   | 13   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 2000 | 13   | 12   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 2001 | 12   | 13   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 2002 | 13   | 12   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 2003 | 12   | 13   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 2004 | 13   | 12   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 2005 | 12   | 13   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |
| 2006 | 13   | 12   | 13   | 4    | 9    | 9    | 8    | 8    | 9    | 18   | 30   |

| Year | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| HQ   | 9    | 10   | 12   | 8    | 12   | 10   | 1    | 1    | 6    | 1    | 8    |
| 1991 | 9    | 10   | 12   | 8    | 12   | 10   | 1    | 1    | 6    | 1    | 8    |
| 1992 | 10   | 12   | 8    | 12   | 10   | 1    | 1    | 6    | 1    | 8    | 8    |
| 1993 | 12   | 8    | 12   | 10   | 1    | 1    | 6    | 1    | 8    | 8    | 5    |
| 1994 | 12   | 8    | 8    | 5    | 16   | 26   | 14   | 16   | 26   | 14   | 16   |
| 1995 | 12   | 8    | 8    | 5    | 16   | 26   | 14   | 16   | 26   | 14   | 16   |

4 Results: variability and trends

4.1 Variability

Figure 3a shows the variability (standard deviation) of the time series, and panel (b) shows the fraction of explained variance (R^2) by the regression model. The figure shows that the variability decreases monotonically with height up to about 450 K, and then remains constant up to 640 K (top level of our analysis). This vertical structure is very similar between HALOE and NOAA FP, but the variability of the NOAA FP measurements (both all data and the higher quality subset) is markedly higher (about 0.2 ppmv) than that of the HALOE measurements at all levels.

Figure 3b shows that the regression model is generally better for the HALOE data and at lower altitudes. Both for HALOE and NOAA FP a substantial fraction of variance remains unexplained by the model. This unexplained variability may be a consequence of physical processes not captured by the model (for example variations in water vapour mixing ratios associated with (vertically) thin filaments) or instrumental uncertainties. Resolving the cause of these residuals, and the differences between the two instruments, is beyond the scope of this paper, but should be a focus of future studies.
4.1.1 Seasonal cycle

Figure 4a, b shows the amplitudes of the seasonal cycle in the regression model. The amplitude is calculated as the standard deviation of the proxy time series multiplied with its estimated coefficient, i.e. $SD(\alpha \sin \frac{2\pi}{T_0} t + \beta \cos \frac{2\pi}{T_0} t)$ is the amplitude of the seasonal component. For the NOAA FP, the amplitude of the annual component is about 1 ppmv at 380 K and decreases linearly to 0.1 ppmv at 450 K. For HALOE, the decay of the amplitude of seasonal variability is slightly smoother, but overall the profile is very similar to that of the NOAA FP data. The profile of the amplitude of the seasonal cycle illustrates the previously noted change in circulation and transport around 450 K, with rapid meridional mixing and transport up to about 450 K, and fairly isolated tropics above (the “tropical pipe”, Plumb (1996)).

4.1.2 QBO and equivalent latitude

The variability accounted for by the QBO and by $\phi_{eq}$ is shown in Fig. 4c, d and e, f, respectively. (Note change in scaling of x-axis.) The amplitude of the QBO component in the NOAA FP data is less than 0.1 ppmv, and over much of the profile statistically not significant (at the 2-$\sigma$ level). HALOE shows similar amplitudes for the QBO component, but a different shape of the profile (values between 450 K and 600 K are significant).

Similar to the QBO, the amplitudes of $\phi_{eq}$ are small (less than 0.1 ppmv) in both NOAA FP and HALOE data. Again, the shape of the profiles differs somewhat, but given the minor role played by these proxies, further analysis of these differences is not warranted.

4.2 Linear trends

Linear trends derived from Eq. (6) are shown in Fig. 5. The trend estimates based on NOAA FP (higher quality) data for the period 1981–2006 show statistically significant trends (with their 2-$\sigma$ uncertainties) ranging from $0.012 \pm 0.005$ to $0.031 \pm 0.005$ ppmv/yr. For the period 1992–2005, the NOAA FP trends are not significant below about 500 K. For both periods, the trends based on all NOAA FP profiles are generally slightly higher than those based on the higher quality profiles only. In contrast to the NOAA FP data, HALOE data for the period 1992–2005 show negative trends that peak at 420 K with $-0.04 \pm 0.02$ ppmv/yr, but the tendency towards more positive trends with height is similar to that found in the NOAA FP data.

Finally, we note that although the variance of the high quality data of the NOAA FP is smaller than that of all measurements, the fraction of explained variance, the amplitudes of the seasonal cycle, QBO and equivalent latitude proxy, as well as the linear trends all are very similar (and indeed in most cases statistically not significantly different) between the two datasets. Hence, for many applications a screening of the NOAA data as applied here may not be necessary. However, larger differences between the two datasets exist in the late 1990s, and consequently in their representation of the changes observed around 2000/2001 (discussed below).

4.3 Decrease in 2001

Global mean deseasonalised water vapour anomalies from HALOE (at 82 hPa) show a rather fast decrease at the beginning of 2001 (Randel et al., 2006). Linear trends from

Fig. 3. (a) Standard deviation of the measured water vapour time-series ($\sigma_{H_2O}$). (b) Fraction of variance ($R^2$) explained by the regression model (see text, Eq. 6). Orange: HALOE, 1992–2005; blue: NOAA FP 1981–2006 (dotted line: all NOAA FP data, solid line: only higher quality data; see text).

Fig. 4. Amplitudes (thick lines) and the 2-$\sigma$ confidence interval (thin lines) of the regression model for NOAA FP (a/c/e; solid line higher quality data, dotted line all data) and HALOE (b/d/f). (Conventions as in Fig. 3.) (a/b) Amplitude of seasonal cycle; (c/d) Amplitude of the QBO proxy; (e/f) Amplitude of the equivalent latitude proxy. Note different scale of abscissa of (a, b) and (c, d, e, f).
1981–2006, and for the shorter period 1992–2005, with no distinction between the periods before and after 2001, may not provide an appropriate description of the changes in stratospheric water vapour. Hence we use a regression model as described in Eq. (7), which calculates trends before and after 2001 separately. Figure 6 shows observations and regression fit together with the trend estimates for both periods. For the layer 380–420 K (note analysis here is done for layer averages, not for data interpolated onto single isentropic levels as before), the model yields slightly increasing water vapour concentrations before and after 2001, and a drop of 0.2±0.6 ppmv and 0.45±0.008 ppmv for NOAA FP and HALOE, respectively. The drop in the statistical model for the NOAA FP data is statistically not significant (at the 2σ-level), but is highly significant for the HALOE data. Given the larger variability of the NOAA FP measurements, this difference may not be surprising. Perhaps more important, however, is that the drop in the two observational timeseries is at least qualitatively consistent.

For the layer 580–620 K, both NOAA FP and HALOE show positive trends before 2001, and negative trends afterwards. In this layer, the change in 2001 is an insignificant decrease of −0.13±1.12 ppmv for NOAA FP, whereas for HALOE it is an insignificant increase of 0.04±0.08 ppmv. Water vapour concentrations at higher altitudes show a smoother turnaround compared to the sharp drop at lower altitudes. This difference can be attributed to the broader distribution of age of air (see e.g. Waugh and Hall, 2002) at higher altitudes, and a statistical model with the possibility of a “drop” may not be necessary at these levels.

The results of our statistical analysis support the conclusion of Randel et al. (2006) that stratospheric water vapour entry mixing ratios experienced a “drop” around 2000/2001, rather than a “trend reversal”. At present, H2O in the stratosphere below ≈450 K does not appear to decrease further after 2001. In fact, the linear trend estimates suggest a statistically insignificant increase since 2001 of similar order to that before 2001. However, both trend estimates are based on relatively short periods that leads to large uncertainties...
(particularly for the period 2001–2005). The exact magnitude of the trends thus depends also to some extent on the “start/end time” of the time series.

Because many NOAA FP profiles in the years before the drop were rated as being of lower quality, the trend estimates based on all NOAA FP profiles yield different results. Figure 7 shows the time series of deseasonalized water vapour anomalies of the layer 380–420 K for all (yellow) and the high quality (green) NOAA FP measurements, and those from HALOE (red). Compared to the higher quality data set, trends calculated with all NOAA FP measurements (not shown) are more positive for the period before 2001, and more negative after 2001. Also, the drop in 2001 is larger.

5 Discussion of the long-term trend

Variability and trends in stratospheric water vapour over Boulder may be caused by changes in the fraction of oxidised methane (which depends mainly on the age of air distribution), and changes in the entry mixing ratios of methane and water vapour. Of particular interest is the question whether observations suggest a long-term trend in the water vapour entry mixing ratios over Boulder based on water vapour and methane entry mixing ratios. Due to relatively short time series and the low number of NOAA FP profiles, we use a simple forward model as previously used by Fueglistaler and Haynes (2005) instead of a regression analysis. (Results and conclusions obtained from a regression analysis were very similar to those presented below.)

5.1 Model

Following Fueglistaler and Haynes (2005) we write water vapour in the stratospheric overworld ([\(H_2O\)]\(_0\)) as

\[
[H_2O]_0 = [H_2O]_{CH_4} + [H_2O]_{[H_2O]} \tag{10}
\]

The contribution of the methane oxidation to water at a given altitude is

\[
[H_2O]_{[H_2O]}(\theta, t) = \alpha([CH_4]_e(t - \tau(\theta)) - [CH_4])(\theta, t) \tag{11}
\]

where \(\alpha\) is \(\approx\)2 (Le Texier et al., 1988). \([CH_4]_e\) is a 2nd order polynomial fit to tropospheric global mean CH\(_4\) (see Dlugokencky et al. (2003) and references therein) and \([CH_4]\) is a 2nd order fit to stratospheric CH\(_4\) measurements at midlatitudes (Rohs et al., 2006). \(\tau\) is the mean age of midlatitude stratospheric air. Midlatitude stratospheric water vapour that can be accounted for by \([H_2O]_e\) is obtained from

\[
[H_2O]_{[H_2O]}(\theta, t) = \int_0^6 [H_2O]_e(t - \tau) \cdot w(t - \tau) \cdot h(\theta, \tau) d\tau \tag{12}
\]

where \(w(t-\tau)\) is a weighting function accounting for the seasonally varying troposphere to stratosphere upward mass flux in the tropics (Holton, 1990). The age spectra of stratospheric air \((h(\tau))\) were obtained from Andrews et al. (2001) and from Waugh and Hall (2002). These age spectra were truncated at 6 years and are kept constant over the period of interest here.

We restrict the timeseries of water vapour entry mixing ratios given by Fueglistaler and Haynes (2005) to the period where ERA-40 (tropical tropopause) temperatures do not show larger deviations (that is, about a 1 K drift over a 5 year period) from radiosonde measurements. As a test of the self consistency of the model and water vapour observations, we also analyse results obtained from a calculation where we replace \([H_2O]_e\) in Eq. (12) with tropical (30\(^{\circ}\)S–30\(^{\circ}\)N) \(H_2O\) at 400 K as measured by HALOE ([\(H_2O\)]\(_{400}\)).

5.2 Results

Figure 8 shows observations (green for NOAA FP, orange for HALOE) and model predictions (black, red for the model based on HALOE [\(H_2O\)]\(_{400}\)) for the layers of 410–450 K, 440–480 K and 600–620 K. Generally, the model yields better agreement with HALOE than with NOAA FP for all levels. The model predictions based on HALOE tropical measurements are very similar to those based on ERA-40 circulation and temperature presented by Fueglistaler and Haynes (2005). However, we note that the model predictions tend to systematically overestimate/underestimate observations at the beginning/end of the timeseries.

Figure 9 shows the differences between the model predictions and the observations with a linear trend fit. The magnitude of the residual trend between model prediction and
NOAA FP is larger than the trend in the residual between the model prediction and HALOE data. At 410–450 K, for the NOAA FP the trend in the residual is $0.073 \pm 0.016$ ppmv/yr, and at 600–640 K it is $0.091 \pm 0.017$ ppmv/yr. For the HALOE data, the trends in the residual for these layers are $0.021 \pm 0.008$ ppmv/year and $0.044 \pm 0.044$ ppmv/yr. The predictions based on HALOE tropical measurements yield a trend in the residual with a magnitude that tends to be smaller than that of the model predictions for entry mixing ratios (but note that we cannot calculate the trends for the same periods).

The fact that the sign of the residual trend is the same for both HALOE and NOAA FP may be seen as an indicator that the linear trend of the $[\text{H}_2\text{O}]_e$ timeseries has a bias. When converted to temperature, that bias is of order 2 K/decade for the NOAA FP measurements, and less than 1 K/decade for the HALOE measurements. In order to quantify a trend in $[\text{H}_2\text{O}]_e$ that is not controlled by the processes considered by Fueglistaler and Haynes (2005), one would need (i) time series of observations from different instruments that yield consistent trend estimates, and (ii) a reanalysis dataset with residual trends in tropopause temperatures that are much smaller than 1 K/decade. Clearly, the ERA-40 temperatures do not satisfy this requirement.

6 Conclusions

We have presented an analysis of the NOAA FP water vapour measurements in the stratospheric overworld over Boulder, Colorado. We have applied two corrections for newly identified biases in the measurements, and quality-screened
all observations. The corrected measurements show linear trends that are up to 40% smaller than those previously published. For the period 1980–2000, the new linear trend estimates are 0.33 ± 0.05 ppmv/yr for 18–20 km, and 0.027 ± 0.006 ppmv/yr for 24–26 km. Previously noted systematic differences (larger variability, larger linear trends) to HALOE remain for the corrected NOAA FP data. This larger variability could reflect true variability on scales not resolved by HALOE, but likely the differences arise from differences in the measurement techniques. Averaging the NOAA FP data with a kernel representing the vertical HALOE weighting function may be useful to better understand the causes of these differences, but it is not expected that such an averaging would substantially alter the results and conclusions of this paper.

Analysis with a statistical model showed that most of the variability is associated with seasonal variations, and that the QBO and equivalent latitude play only a minor role. Similar to HALOE, the NOAA FP data show around 2000/2001 a sudden drop of water vapour concentrations at the base of the stratospheric overworld, where rapid quasi-isentropic transport ensures fast communication of changes in water vapour entry mixing ratios to the middle latitudes. Consequently, a linear trend fit over the period 1980–2006 may not be an appropriate representation of the data. Hence, we applied a statistical model that allows for a discontinuity (in January 2001). This model yields the following results for the layer 380–420 K potential temperature: a linear trend of 0.027 ± 0.031 ppmv/yr for the period 1992–2001, a linear trend of 0.016 ± 0.066 ppmv/yr for the period 2001–2006, and a drop of −0.2 ± 0.6 ppmv in 2001. Water vapour concentrations thus tend to increase over both periods when viewed separately, but the trends are statistically not significantly different from zero. The drop in 2000/2001 is also statistically not significant in the NOAA FP data, but is consistent with the results of the same model applied to HALOE data, which give a statistically highly significant drop of 0.45 ± 0.0008 ppmv.

Higher up in the stratosphere, the discontinuity in entry mixing ratios is masked by the broad age spectrum of air masses that acts as a low-pass filter. The observed pattern of change in water vapour concentrations indicates that the change arises from processes that affect water vapour concentrations at entry into the stratosphere, and we emphasize that the water vapour timeseries shows a discontinuity rather than a “trend reversal”. The observed discontinuity as well as the substantial reduction of linear trend estimates indicate that great caution should be used with respect to predictions of the impact of stratospheric water vapour on radiative forcing and stratospheric temperature and ozone in the coming decades.

We have tried to quantify a residual trend in stratospheric water vapour entry mixing ratios from the difference between NOAA FP and HALOE middle latitude measurements to values predicted from a simple model. The model assumes constant age of air over time, and [H$_2$O]$_e$ is based on large scale temperatures and circulation in the vicinity of the tropical tropopause (Fueglistaler and Haynes, 2005). The residual trends (observation minus model) are much larger for NOAA FP than HALOE, but are positive for both datasets.

A reliable quantification of trends in [H$_2$O]$_e$ from the NOAA FP and HALOE middle latitude measurements due to processes not considered by Fueglistaler and Haynes (2005) is currently not possible due to the large difference between the residual to NOAA FP and to HALOE data. Moreover, the model predictions of [H$_2$O]$_e$ would require a reanalysis data set with erroneous drifts in tropical tropopause temperatures that are substantially smaller than 1 K/decade; a requirement currently not fulfilled by either ERA-40 or the NCEP reanalyses. In the near future, temperature retrievals from GPS may provide timeseries of temperature with sufficient temporal stability. Our analysis demonstrates the need for ongoing efforts to obtain long and continuous time series of stratospheric water vapour.
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