Quantum rings with time-dependent spin-orbit coupling: Spintronic Rabi oscillations and conductance properties
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The strength of the (Rashba-type) spin-orbit coupling in mesoscopic semiconductor rings can be tuned with external gate voltages. Here we consider the case of a periodically changing spin-orbit interaction strength in time as induced by sinusoidal voltages. In a closed one dimensional quantum ring with weak spin-orbit coupling, Rabi oscillations are shown to appear. We find that the time evolution of initially localized wave packets exhibits a series of collapse and revival phenomena. Partial revivals—that are typical in nonlinear systems—are shown to correspond to superpositions of states localized at different spatial positions along the ring. These “spintronic Schrödinger-cat states” appear periodically, and similarly to their counterparts in other physical systems, they are found to be sensitive to disturbances caused by the environment. The time-dependent spin transport problem, when leads are attached to the ring, is also solved. We show that the “sideband currents” induced by the oscillating spin-orbit interaction strength can become the dominant output channel, even in the presence of moderate thermal fluctuations and random scattering events.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.80.165303 PACS number(s): 85.35.Ds, 03.65.—w

I. INTRODUCTION

The observation of fundamental flux- and spin-dependent quantum interference phenomena that can appear in quantum rings made of semiconducting materials exhibiting Rashba-type spin-orbit interaction 2–5 (SOI) have motivated many studies in the past few years. Additionally, as the strength of the SOI that determines the spin-sensitive behavior can be tuned with external gate voltages, 3 quantum rings, or systems of them 6–10 also have possible spintronic applications. Because of the conceptual importance and the possible applications, close single quantum rings (without attached leads) 11–15 as well as two- or three-terminal ones were investigated 15–33 extensively.

In the current paper we explore effects which are expected to appear when the SOI in a single quantum ring is time dependent. The strength of this interaction is assumed to be a sinusoidally oscillating function of time. Studies of transport related problems with oscillating SOI have been initiated in Ref. 34 for a ring, and in Ref. 35 for a ring-dot system, mainly in the context of spin currents. Here we focus on different aspects by starting the analysis with the case of closed rings and determine the energy levels and eigenstates of the relevant Hamiltonian. 21, 36 Using these analytic results we can calculate the dynamics for arbitrary initial conditions. The time evolution of states with well-defined total angular-momentum component j in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the ring is shown to be analogous to the classical Rabi flopping. That is, the spin components oscillate with the Rabi frequency, which in our case depends on the eigenvalue j, and the oscillation itself is relatively stable against disturbances caused by random scatterers. When the initial state is a localized wave packet, then, in the idealized case, the time evolution is periodic, if the ratios of the relevant eigenfrequencies are rational. We show that appropriately chosen amplitude of the SOI oscillations leads to quasiperiodic dynamics, even when the relevant frequencies are not exactly commensurable.

The description of the conductance properties of the ring requires the solution of a quantum-mechanical scattering problem with a time-dependent Hamiltonian. 34, 35 In this case energy is clearly not a constant of motion, the relevant continuity equation contains a source term describing the energy input which is supplied by essentially the oscillating gate voltages. Here we explicitly calculate this source term as well as the energy current density. These results provide a clear physical picture from which we can solve the scattering problem. As an application, we show that the ring can shift the energy of the incoming plane waves (both upward and downward) by the frequency of the SOI oscillations expressed in energy units. This effect means the emergence of “sideband” currents in the transmission, which, in our case, can become stronger than the direct one. Harmonics of the driving frequency (which correspond to the sideband currents in our case) appear naturally in driven nonlinear systems. However, let us emphasize that quantum rings are special in the sense that their geometry induces nontrivial interference effects that determine the energy-dependent transmission probabilities. This characteristic of the device is responsible for the increased relative weight of the sideband currents in the output.

II. SPIN OSCILLATIONS IN A CLOSED RING

A. Model

We consider a ring 37 of radius a in the x-y plane and assume a time-dependent electric field in the z direction controlling the strength of the spin-orbit interaction characterized by the parameter α. 3 The Hamiltonian 21, 36 in the pres-
of spin-orbit interaction for a charged particle of effective mass $m^*$ is given by

$$H = \hbar \Omega \left[ -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\omega(t)}{2\Omega} \sigma_x - \frac{\omega^2(t)}{4\Omega^2} \right],$$

(1)

where $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle of a point on the ring (see Fig. 1) and the radial spin is given by $\sigma_x/2 = (\sigma_+ \cos \phi + \sigma_- \sin \phi)/2$. Additionally, $\hbar \Omega = e^2/2m^*a^2$ denotes the kinetic energy of the charged particle and

$$\omega(t) = \alpha(t)/\hbar a = 2\Lambda \cos(\nu t)$$

(2)

with $\nu$ being the circular frequency of the periodic external electric field. Nanoscale quantum rings, for which the Hamiltonian above is relevant, can be fabricated from, e.g., InAlAs/InGaAs based heterostructures or HgTe/HgCdTe quantum wells. For a ring of radius 250 nm made of InGaAs, the frequency corresponding to $\Omega$ is around 10 GHz.

In a closed ring, when there are no leads attached to it, the spinor-valued wave functions have to be periodic in space. Thus we look for the solution of the time evolution induced by the Hamiltonian (1) in terms of states which are eigenvectors belonging to an integer eigenvalue $n$ of the $z$ component of the orbital angular momentum $L_z = -i \partial_\phi$ and also eigenvectors of the spin component $S_z = \sigma_z/2$ (in units of $\hbar$). These operators, however, do not commute separately with $H$, whereas in each fixed moment of time we have the commutator $[H, L_z + S_z] = 0$, therefore an eigenvalue of $J = L_z + S_z$ is a good quantum number. A given eigenvalue $J$ of $J$ is, however, still doubly degenerate, the two space-dependent spinors

$$|\uparrow, n\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi n} \cr 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\downarrow, n+1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \cr e^{i\pi(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

belong to the same: $J = n + 1/2$ half-integer eigenvalue of $J$.

As a consequence—similarly to the case of constant $\omega$—the eigenvalue equation of $H$, expanded in the $|\uparrow, n\rangle, |\downarrow, n + 1\rangle$ manifold reduces to separate $2 \times 2$ matrix problems corresponding to given values of $J$. Restricting $H$ to one such subspace we obtain the two-dimensional matrix

$$H_J = \hbar \Omega \begin{pmatrix} (J + 1/2)^2 & j\omega(t) \\
 j\omega(t) & \Omega (J - 1/2)^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

In order to solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation with the periodic coupling of the form (2) we look for the solution in a subspace fixed by a given value of $J$ in the form

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = a_J(t) e^{i\mu J/2}|\uparrow, J-1/2\rangle + b_J(t) e^{-i\mu J/2}|\downarrow, J+1/2\rangle.$$  

(3)

This is useful when—as in the current paper—the focus is on relatively weak spin-orbit strengths, and one can use standard rotating wave approximation (RWA), i.e., terms oscillating rapidly, with frequency $2\nu$ in the off-diagonal terms in the Hamiltonian can be neglected. Note that in our case, this widely used approximation is essentially equivalent to an appropriate Floquet scattering-matrix description of the problem with neglecting the second and higher harmonics of $\nu$. Using RWA, and introducing the dimensionless time variable $\tau = \Omega t$, the system of equations determining the evolution has the form

$$i\frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{pmatrix} a_J \\
 b_J \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{H}_J \begin{pmatrix} a_J \\
 b_J \end{pmatrix}$$

(4)

with the dimensionless operator

$$\tilde{H}_J = \left( j^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) 1 + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{J - \nu}{2\Omega} & \frac{A_J}{\Omega} \\
 \frac{A_J}{\Omega} & \left( J - \frac{\nu}{2\Omega} \right) \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(5)

The solution of the system (4) amounts to solve the eigenvalue equation of $\tilde{H}_J$ yielding

$$E^J_\pm = \left( j^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2j - \nu)^2 + 4A_J^2 j^2},$$

(6)

where $\nu = \nu/\Omega$, $A = A/\Omega$. The corresponding eigenstates are given by

$$|\phi^J_\pm\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} u_J \\
 v_J \end{pmatrix},$$

(7)

where $u_J = \cos \theta_J/2$, $v_J = \sin \theta_J/2$, and $\theta_J = \frac{2A_J}{2\nu - 2j}$, and

$$\frac{u_J}{v_J} = \frac{\sqrt{(2j - \nu)^2 + 4A_J^2 j^2} + 2j - \nu}{2A_J}.$$  

(8)

Note that for a given $j$, the spin operator $S(\theta, \varphi) = S_z \sin \theta \cos \varphi + S_y \sin \varphi \cos \theta + S_x \cos \varphi \sin \theta$ (the spin component in the direction given by $\theta$ and $\varphi$) also commutes with $H$, therefore in the case of a given $j$ the expectation value of the spin direction varies along the ring in accordance to the $\varphi$ dependence of the operator above.

The states $|\phi^J_{j=\ldots}\rangle = -3/2, -1/2, 1/2, 3/2 \ldots$ obviously form a basis in the space of periodic spinor valued wave functions on the ring. Therefore, the time evolution (in the interaction picture introduced above) of any initial state

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} f(\varphi) \\
g(\varphi) \end{pmatrix}$$

(9)

can be obtained in a straightforward way.
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$$
|\psi(\tau)\rangle = \sum_j \left( e^{-iE_j\tau} |\psi_j^+\rangle \langle \psi_j^+ |\psi(0)\rangle + e^{iE_j\tau} |\psi_j^-\rangle \langle \psi_j^- |\psi(0)\rangle \right),
$$

(10)

where the inner products $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ that provide the expansion coefficients are the following:

$$
\langle \psi_j^+ |\psi(0)\rangle = u_j \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(j-1/2)\varphi} f(\varphi) d\varphi
$$

$$
+ v_j \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(j+1/2)\varphi} g(\varphi) d\varphi.
$$

(11)

B. State evolution of electrons with definite angular momentum: Rabi oscillations, collapse and revival

States with well-defined $z$ component of the total angular momentum are linear combinations of the spinors $|\psi_j^+\rangle$ and $|\psi_j^-\rangle$ with the same value of $j$. The time evolution of these states can be of interest because conservation of the angular momentum may provide a method for preparing them. Absorption of circularly polarized photons, e.g., can excite these states. Interaction of short light pulses with the electrons confined in a ring has been discussed in Refs. 41 and 42.

As it is known, 43 if a two-level system is getting excited with a resonant external field, the interaction produces Rabi oscillations of the level populations with a frequency proportional to the amplitude of the external field. If there is a detuning between the external field and the level splitting, the Rabi frequency is modified and the oscillations become less pronounced. The dimensionless Rabi frequency corresponding to the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_j$ [see Eq. (5)], is given by

$$
\Omega_R = \sqrt{(2j - \nu)^2 + 4\tilde{A}^2 j^2}.
$$

(12)

In our case the (dimensionless) level splitting $\Delta E = 2\nu$, the detuning $2\nu - \nu$, as well as the effective coupling $\tilde{A}$ depend on $j$, that is, on the $z$ component of the total angular momentum. This implies that the resonance frequency of the external field is different for different values of $j$, namely, $\nu_j = \nu - \Omega = 2j\Omega$. When this resonance condition is met, the weights of the spin-up and spin-down components in the eigenspinors given by Eq. (7) are equal, tan $\theta_j/2 = 1$ (in this case independently from $j$.) Assuming that the initial state is spin polarized in the positive $z$ direction

$$
|\psi(0)\rangle = \left( \begin{array}{c} \cos \theta \, e^{i\phi} \\ \sin \theta \\ \end{array} \right)
$$

(13)

complete Rabi oscillations appear in the resonant case. That is, the time-dependent quantum-mechanical expectation value of the $z$ component of the spin oscillates between $-1/2$ and $1/2$ (in units of $\hbar$) at a given point of the ring

$$
\tilde{S}_z(\tau, \varphi) = \tilde{S}_z(0, \varphi) \cos \Omega_R \tau = \frac{1}{2} \cos \Omega_R \tau.
$$

(14)

(The amplitude of these oscillations is smaller for nonresonant external fields.) In the time evolution of the $\tilde{S}_x$ and $\tilde{S}_y$

Figure 2 visualizes the spin precession for the resonant case. An arrow starting from a certain point of the ring points into the direction of the spin at that spatial position. 12,44 The lengths of the arrows are proportional to the local electron density regardless of the spin direction

$$
\rho(\varphi, \tau) = \langle \Psi(\varphi, \tau) | \Psi(\varphi, \tau) \rangle
$$

(16)

with $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ denoting the inner product of spinors (without integrating over the spatial degrees of freedom.) Note that in the current case $\rho$—and consequently the lengths of the arrows—do not depend on the position, in this sense the oscillations affect only the spin degrees of freedom.

Let us note that if the initial state is not a pure quantum-mechanical state, e.g., it is an equal weight incoherent sum of the eigenstates (that is, the spin part of its density operator is proportional to unity), then no Rabi oscillations will be visible. Therefore in a usual, not specially prepared sample in equilibrium at low temperatures the question whether these oscillations can be observed depend on the position of

$$
\Omega = \sqrt{(2j - \nu)^2 + 4\tilde{A}^2 j^2}.
$$

(12)
the Fermi level: if it is between \(E_j^+\) and \(E_j^-\) for some value of \(j\), then Rabi flopping can be present, while when all occupied states are "paired," i.e., the eigenstates corresponding to \(E_j^+\) and \(E_j^-\) are both occupied, their opposite precession cancels out.

C. Time evolution of wave packets

In contrast to Sec. II B, where we have treated states with well-defined angular momentum, we consider here the time evolution of a complementary situation, when the initial state is a localized wave packet with a small uncertainty in the position variable \(\varphi\). Starting with a narrow, spin-polarized initial state, one expects it to diffuse so that the spin direction changes locally during the process. However, the ring geometry implies that the "tail" and "head" of the spreading wave packet will interfere when they start to overlap. This leads to rather complex dynamics with an additional characteristic feature that can already be seen at this point. Namely, if we assume that there are a finite number of non-negligible coefficients in the expansion of the initial state in terms of the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, the discrete nature of the spectrum (which is again a consequence of the geometry) may cause the initial phases to be restored after a certain "revival time." In other words, we expect periodic "collapse and revival" phenomena: The initially localized wave packet becomes delocalized along the ring but later it reassembles again. (Note that the term collapse—similarly to the case of an atom interacting with a quantized field[45]—means here merely the decay of some expectation values and completely unrelated to the notion of measurement induced collapse of the wave function.) In principle, when the ratio of some important frequencies is irrational (i.e., it is not a fraction of two integers), the revival time is infinitely long. However, revivals appear when all frequencies can be written essentially as integer multiples of a base frequency. This condition can be met by appropriately choosing the amplitude \(\tilde{A} = A/\Omega\) of the SOI oscillations in Eq. (2) so that \(1+\tilde{A}^2 = (m/k)^2\) with integers \(k\) and \(m\). Then

\[
E_n^\pm \approx \left( j^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \pm \frac{m}{k} \frac{\pi}{\omega}, \quad k, m \text{ integer}
\]

and the base frequency is \(\Omega/k\), leading to revival times \(T_r = 2k\pi/\Omega\). The approximation above is valid if \(\tilde{\nu}\) is negligibly small compared to the relevant values of \(j\). Clearly, this requirement cannot be met always, but as we will see, rapidly oscillating SOI strengths modify the above picture only in the sense that revivals become less pronounced.

Figure 3 shows the time evolution of the electron density given by Eq. (16) at \(\varphi=0\) for a wave packet which is initially polarized in the positive \(z\) direction and centered at \(\varphi=0\) with Gaussian envelope (see Fig. 4.) In the upper (lower) panel \(\tilde{A}^2=3\) (21/4), Eq. (17) is satisfied with \(m=2\), \(k=1\) \((m=5, k=2)\), thus the revival time \(T_r\) is very close to \(2\pi/\Omega\) \((4\pi/\Omega)\). The initial phase relations are periodic, although for larger values of \(\tilde{\nu}\) the amplitude of the consecutive revivals decay faster. Clearly, the requirement of commensurable frequencies is related to a rather mathematical point of view, it is hardly possible to exactly satisfy it in an actual experiment. Therefore we investigated less ideal parameters as well, and, according to the inset of Fig. 3, less pronounced revivals still appear when the parameters are not exactly the ideal ones.

However, an additional, genuinely nonlinear effect can also be seen in Fig. 3: partial revivals at certain time instants \(T_r/m\) with \(m\) being an integer. The significance of these less pronounced peaks can be seen in Fig. 4, where the dynamics of the spinor valued wave function is visualized in the same way as in Fig. 2. As we can see, the initially localized wave packet becomes first delocalized (collapse), then, at the partial revival times there is a superposition of wave packets localized at different positions, and finally, at \(T_r\), we can see a single wave packet again (revival). Let us note that the emergence of the "spintronic Schrödinger cat" states is a typical nonlinear feature, similar phenomena appear, e.g., in the

\[
\tilde{A} = \sqrt{3}, \quad \tilde{\nu} = 1.0
\]

FIG. 3. The time evolution of the electron density at \(\varphi=0\) for the initial state shown in Fig. 4. The parameters are \(\tilde{A} = \sqrt{3}, \tilde{\nu} = 1.0\) (upper panel) and \(\tilde{A} = \sqrt{21}/4, \tilde{\nu} = 0.1\) (lower panel); these values satisfy Eq. (17) with \(m=2, k=1\) and \(m=5, k=2\), respectively. The difference in the periodicity can clearly be seen and signatures of partial revivals are also present. The inset of the top panel shows the height of the first revival peak (denoted by the arrow) as a function of \(\tilde{A}\).

FIG. 4. (Color online) Wave packet motion in a quantum ring \((\tilde{A} = \sqrt{3}, \tilde{\nu} = 1.0)\) Note the appearance of superpositions of states that are localized at different positions. See Ref. 57.
case of a wave packet moving in a Morse potential.\textsuperscript{46} Finally, let us note that so far we considered only the effect of the energy levels but the oscillation of the external field induces an additional overall rotation of the spin direction around the $z$ axis at a frequency of $\nu$. This is why although the spatial form of the initial wave packets are restored at $T_\nu$, the spin direction is usually different from the initial one.

### III. RINGS WITH ATTACHED LEADS: CONDUCTANCE PROPERTIES

#### A. Local energy balance

One of the most direct ways of gaining information of a semiconductor device is measuring its conductance. Clearly, the model discussed so far cannot predict properly the result of such experiments: Effects related to the leads that connect the device described by the time-dependent Hamiltonian to the contacts have to be considered as well.\textsuperscript{45-48} When the Hamiltonian of the ring does not depend on time, this problem has already been solved.\textsuperscript{21} In that case basically three requirements should be met to obtain the solution: (i) energy conservation, (ii) continuity of the wave function, and (iii) vanishing net spin-current densities at the junctions. Calculations of the conductance are usually carried out at a fixed energy (practically at the Fermi energy, as at low temperatures electrons around this energy level determine conductance properties\textsuperscript{47}), in which case energy conservation is trivially satisfied. Having solved the eigenvalue problem of the Hamiltonians in the different domains (ring sections and leads), points (ii) and (iii) above imply that the resulting wave functions have to be joined together. The spinor components have to be continuous, and the spin current\textsuperscript{21} that enters a junction, should also leave it

$$\sum_{\text{all leads}} j_s = 0. \quad (18)$$

Let us note that besides the Griffith's boundary conditions\textsuperscript{50} that have been described above (and will be used throughout this paper) there are other physically realistic and often used possibilities as well. The choice of the boundary conditions is in fact shown to be related to the reduction of a two-dimensional problem to one dimension.\textsuperscript{51}

However, in our case, when the Hamiltonian itself contains explicit time dependence, energy conservation simply cannot be taken into account by solving the problem within a given eigensubspace of the Hamiltonian. Instead, we use a continuity equation containing an explicit source term $S$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_E(\varphi, t) = \nabla j_E + S = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} j_E(\varphi, t) + S(\varphi, t) \quad (19)$$

for the local energy density

$$\rho_E(\varphi, t) = \text{Re} \langle \Psi(\varphi, t)|H(\varphi, t)\Psi(\varphi, t)\rangle \quad (20)$$

with the spinor inner product of Eq. (16). Note that, however, the Hamiltonian (1) is Hermitian only with respect to the inner product that involves spatial degrees of freedom as well [see Eq. (11)], that is why we take the real part of the expectation value in Eq. (20). Let us note additionally, that the time-dependent Hamiltonian (1) can be interpreted to describe the circular motion of a nonrelativistic charged particle in the presence of the scalar potential $h\omega/4e\Omega$ and a spin-dependent vector potential. The latter means an effective, spin-dependent electric field\textsuperscript{52} being proportional to the time derivative of $\omega(t)$, and can also be related to an effective electromotive force\textsuperscript{53} that can induce spin currents.

A calculation similar to that given in the Appendix of Ref. 21 leads to

$$S(\varphi, t) = \text{Re} \left\langle \Psi(\varphi, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(\varphi, t) \Psi(\varphi, t) \right\rangle$$

and

$$j_E(t, \varphi) = \text{Re} \left[ i\Omega \left( |\Psi|^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \Psi - \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} |\Psi|^2 \Psi \right) \right] - \omega(\Psi | \sigma H \Psi) \right\rangle \quad (22)$$

The continuity Eq. (19) is a local relation, its physical meaning is seen most clearly when it is integrated over a certain domain: the change in the energy inside the domain is a consequence of the energy currents that flow in/out through the boundaries, plus the source term related to the time dependence of the Hamiltonian. In our case this is due to the oscillating strength of the spin-orbit interaction, so basically the time-dependent electric field between the gate electrodes provides this extra energy. In the limit when the domain reduces to a single point, e.g., to one of the junctions, finite terms (e.g., the source term) that are integrated over this domain of zero measure disappear, thus Eq. (19) reduces to

$$\sum_{\text{all leads}} j_E = 0, \quad (23)$$

that is, the net energy-current density has to vanish.

#### B. Solution with time-dependent boundary conditions

To be concrete, let us consider the geometry shown in Fig. 1 and assume for the sake of simplicity that the strength of the SOI is zero in the leads. An incoming spinor-valued wave is assumed to reach the device through lead $I$ and then it is generally partially reflected. Thus using expansion in terms of plane waves, we may write

$$|\Psi_I\rangle = \int_0^\infty e^{-iE(k)t} \left[ e^{ikx} f_1(k) e^{iE(k)t} f_2(k) + e^{-ikx} \left[ r_1(k) f_1(k) - r_2(k) f_2(k) \right] \right] dk \quad (24)$$

with $E(k) = k^2 + \frac{m}{2} \frac{\omega^2}{\Omega^2}$. [Note that, e.g., the value of $ka$ = 20.4 corresponds to a ring with $a$ = 250 nm at the Fermi energy (11.13 meV) of InGaAs.]

There is no incoming wave in the outgoing arm, that is

$$|\Psi_H\rangle = \int_0^\infty e^{i(kx+ke^2t)} \left[ t_1(k) \right] \left[ t_2(k) \right] dk \quad (25)$$

In the interaction picture introduced by Eq. (3), the spinor components in the incoming and outgoing leads obtain time-dependent phases, e.g., $[f_1(k), f_2(k)]$ have to be replaced by $[f_1(k)e^{i\pi/2}, f_2(k)e^{-i\pi/2}]$.\textsuperscript{165303-5}
As a consequence of the presence of the leads, the index $j$ of the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (1) does not need to be an integer any more, and in agreement with the notation used in Ref. 23 we replace $j$ by the continuous quantum number $\kappa$. We expand the wave function in the two arms using this continuous variable and accordingly shift it from the index to the argument. In the interaction picture mentioned above we have

$$
|\Psi_u\rangle = \int_{\kappa_0}^\infty B^+(\kappa)e^{-E^-(\kappa)\tau} \left[ \begin{array}{c} u(\kappa)e^{i(\kappa-1/2)\varphi} \\ v(\kappa)e^{i(\kappa+1/2)\varphi} \end{array} \right] d\kappa 
+ \int_{-\infty}^{\kappa_0} B^-(\kappa)e^{-E^-(\kappa)\tau} \left[ \begin{array}{c} -u(\kappa)e^{i(\kappa-1/2)\varphi} \\ v(\kappa)e^{i(\kappa+1/2)\varphi} \end{array} \right] d\kappa. \tag{26}
$$

A similar expression can be written for the spinor-valued wave function in the lower arm, where the expansion coefficients will be denoted by $C^+(\kappa)$ and $C^-(\kappa)$. In these equations the functions $f_1(\kappa)$ and $f_2(\kappa)$ are assumed to be known, and we have to determine $t_1(\kappa), t_2(\kappa), r_1(\kappa), r_2(\kappa)$ and $B^+(\kappa), C^-(\kappa)$ from the boundary conditions. For the sake of definiteness let us focus on junction 1. The requirement of continuity reads

$$
|\Psi_{\text{f}}(x=0, \tau)\rangle = |\Psi_{\text{d}}(\varphi_1=0, \tau)\rangle = |\Psi_{\text{d}}(\varphi_2=0, \tau)\rangle \tag{27}
$$

and it has to be satisfied at all times $\tau$. Provided this holds, it can be shown that the requirement of vanishing energy current density at this junction is satisfied, if

$$
a \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |\Psi_{\text{f}}(x, \tau)\rangle|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} |\Psi_{\text{d}}(\varphi_1, \tau)\rangle|_{\varphi_1=0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_2} |\Psi_{\text{d}}(\varphi_2, \tau)\rangle|_{\varphi_2=0} \tag{28}
$$

similarly to the case of a constant Hamiltonian, but now for arbitrary $\tau$. In other words, energy conservation follows from the fact that the requirements (ii) and (iii) mentioned in the introductory part of this section are satisfied at any given time.

The set of Eqs. (27) and (28) together with the corresponding ones for junction 2 are solved most straightforwardly by Fourier transformation with respect to $\tau$. E.g., the first equation in Eq. (27) is transformed as

$$
\int |\Psi_{\text{f}}(x=0, \tau)\rangle e^{iw\tau} d\tau = \int |\Psi_{\text{d}}(\varphi_1=0, \tau)\rangle e^{iw\tau} d\tau
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{align}
\tilde{f}_1[k^+(w)] + \tilde{r}_1[k^+(w)] & = \tilde{B}^+[\kappa_1^+(w)]\psi'[\kappa_1^+(w)] \\
\tilde{f}_2[k^-(w)] + \tilde{r}_2[k^-(w)] & = \tilde{B}^+[\kappa_2^-(w)]\psi'[\kappa_2^-(w)] + \tilde{B}^-[\kappa_2^+(w)]\psi'[\kappa_2^+(w)] + \tilde{B}^-[\kappa_2^+(w)]\psi'[\kappa_2^+(w)] \tag{29}
\end{align}
$$

where $k^\pm(w) = \sqrt{w+\nu/2}/a$ if the argument of the square root is not negative, and zero otherwise. Similarly, $\kappa_1^+(w)$ and $\kappa_2^-(w)$ are the two solutions of the equation $E^+(\kappa)=w$ and similarly $E^-[\kappa_2^-(w)]=E^-[\kappa_1^+(w)]=w$. Additionally,

$$
\tilde{B}^+(\kappa) = \frac{B^+(\kappa)}{\partial E^-(\kappa)/\partial \kappa} \tag{30}
$$

and $\tilde{f}, \tilde{r}$ also denote $f$ and $r$ divided by the modulus of $\partial E(\kappa)/\partial \kappa$.

For a given value of $w$, Eqs. (27) and (28) and their counterparts at junction 2 leads to a closed set of 12 linear equations with 12 unknowns (which are the expansion coefficients $r_1, r_2$, etc. evaluated at certain values of their respective arguments). This means that by sweeping $w$ so that $k^+(w)$ covers the range where $f_1[k^+(w)]$ and $f_2[k^+(w)]$ are nonzero, we can obtain all nonzero values of the unknown functions. Thus inverse Fourier transformation can be used to calculate the solution of the time-dependent transport problem. [Note that the linearity of the equations implies that when $f_1[k^+(w)]=f_2[k^-(w)]=0$, the solution also vanishes everywhere.] This method provides a general framework to investigate the conductance properties of the device with oscillating SOI strength.

C. Discussion

First we consider the case when the incoming wave has a narrow energy distribution, as shown by the dotted line in Fig. 5. For the sake of simplicity we assume a completely unpolarized input spin state and calculate the plane waves $e^{ikx}$ in the output. If the external electric field was constant, this latter distribution of the spatial frequencies given by $|t_1(k)|^2+|t_2(k)|^2$ would be zero outside the support of $|f_1[k^+(w)]|^2+|f_2(k^-(w))|^2$, as an incoming plane wave with a given value of $k$ would lead to transmitted states with the same wave number. [The linearity of the problem would forbid those values of $k$ in the output, for which $|f_1(k)|^2+|f_2(k)|^2=0$.] However, as shown in Fig. 5, this is not the case, when the SOI strength oscillates. Besides the central peak corresponding to the wave numbers contained in the input, there are two additional values of $k$, where $|t_1(k)|^2+|t_2(k)|^2$ has pronounced maxima. Calculating the separation of the neighboring peaks in frequency units, we obtain that both are equal to the frequency of the SOI oscillations, $\nu$. Mathematically, this result is a consequence of the structure of the problem.
of the fitting equations, namely, that e.g., $f_1(k)$ is connected to both $t_1(k)$ and $t_2(\sqrt{k^2 + \bar{\nu}/a^2})$

$$f_2(k) \begin{cases} t_1(\sqrt{k^2 + \bar{\nu}/a^2}) \\ t_2(k) \end{cases},$$

$$f_1(k) \begin{cases} t_1(k) \\ t_2(\sqrt{k^2 - \bar{\nu}/a^2}) \end{cases}.$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (31)

In a somewhat wider context, we can say that the effect is related to the time-energy uncertainty relation: when the characteristic time of a process is not infinitely long, it cannot correspond to a well-defined energy value. Let us also note that the “sideband currents” shown in Fig. 5 also appear when an oscillating scatterer is being placed in the ring.\textsuperscript{34,55}

Considering the limit of infinitely narrow incoming distribution, i.e., when $f_1(k)$ and $f_2(k)$ are either zero, or proportional to $\delta(k-k_0)$, we may ask what the conductance of the device is. According to the previous results, in general, the transmitted (and reflected) state contains wave numbers $k_0$ and $\sqrt{k_0^2 \pm \bar{\nu}/a^2}$. (Note, however, that at zero temperature, if $k_0$ represents the Fermi wave number, then the output corresponding to $k = \sqrt{k_0^2 - \bar{\nu}/a^2}$ is suppressed due to the occupation of levels with $k < k_0$.) Then a direct calculation of the output current shows that generally it will not be constant but oscillations with the frequency of the external field appear. Therefore we calculate average conductance, when time-dependent cross terms disappear due to averaging over a period of $T = 2\pi/\nu$. According to the Landauer-Büttiker formula, this averaged conductance in units of $e^2/h$ is given by

$$\bar{G} = \sum |t_1(k_0)|^2 + |t_2(k_0)|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{k_0^2 + \bar{\nu}/a^2}}{k_0}|t_1(\sqrt{k_0^2 + \bar{\nu}/a^2})|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{k_0^2 - \bar{\nu}/a^2}}{k_0}|t_2(\sqrt{k_0^2 - \bar{\nu}/a^2})|^2,$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (32)

where the sum runs over two orthogonal inputs, e.g., $[\exp(ik_0x), 0]$ and $[0, \exp(ik_0x)]$. The result of this calculation is shown in Fig. 6. As we can see, the triplet structure shown in Fig. 5 appears again, here in the form of minima in the conductance. Close to the pronounced minimum of $\bar{G}$ around, e.g., $k_{\text{min}} = 20$, there are two additional minima corresponding to $k = \sqrt{k_0^2} \pm \bar{\nu}/a^2$. This “hole burning” in the transmission is the consequence of the fact that the minima of the third and fourth terms in Eq. (32) are situated at different positions from those of the first two terms. In other words, scattering events that change the momentum, also modify the conductance of the device.

Finally let us investigate the transmission of a wave packet through the ring. We choose here the case which in some sense is the opposite to the one discussed above, as now the distribution $|f_1(k)|^2 + |f_2(k)|^2$ is wide in $k$, resulting in a narrow wave packet in space. For the sake of definiteness, the initial state is assumed to be spin polarized in the positive $z$ direction, and localized in the input arm with Gaussian envelope

$$|\Psi(x,t=0)\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} e^{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2a^2}}+ik_{\sigma}x \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (33)

as shown in Fig. 7 for the case of $\sigma = 0.5a$, $x_0 = 1.5a$, and $k_{\sigma}a = 10$. As we can see, when the wave packet reaches the ring, it is partially reflected, and the reflected waves interfere with the incoming packet, that leads to oscillating electron density in the input arm. The fraction of the wave packet that enters the ring travels along the two arms, interferes around the output junction and produces an output wave packet. However, there is a certain probability for the electron not to leave the ring, there is a fraction of the wave packet that moves backward toward the input junction, where interference phenomena can be observed again, and a weak reflected wave packet is formed that leaves the ring through the input junction. These processes are repeated periodically until the modulus of the wave function becomes negligible. As a consequence, both in transmission and reflection we can observe a series of wave packets with decreasing amplitudes and increasing widths. The separation of these wave packets in time is essentially the round trip time of the wave packet in the ring, which is around $\pi/(ak_{\sigma}\Omega)$. Effects related to the SOI are twofold: first the transmission probability (that determines the heights of the consecutive transmitted wave packets) depends on the amplitude and frequency of the SOI.
oscillations and the spin directions in the ring are also determined by these parameters.

IV. RANDOM SCATTERERS, THERMAL FLUCTUATIONS, AND AN APPLICATION

In this section we investigate to what extent the effects discussed so far are still present in a more realistic context, when random scattering events and thermal fluctuations are also taken into account. To this end, first we introduce point-like random scatterers represented by Dirac-delta potentials. That is, we add a term $U(D)=u(D)\delta(k)$ to the Hamiltonian (1) and solve the time-dependent problem determined by this perturbed Hamiltonian. The strength of the potential, $U(D)$, is random, it is drawn from a normal distribution, with zero mean and root-mean-square deviation $D$. As a result of the random fluctuation of the potential, the “average” state of the system cannot be represented by a pure quantum-mechanical state, it becomes a mixture that can be described by a density operator $\rho(D)$. In practice, $\rho(D)$ is calculated from several computational runs, with appropriate averaging. That is, for a particular value of the potential $U(D)$, we obtain a solution spinor, that we write symbolically as $|\Psi[U(D)]\rangle$. When after $M_c$ computational runs, the estimated density operator

$$\rho(D) = \frac{1}{M_c} \sum |\Psi[U(D)]\rangle\langle\Psi[U(D)]|$$

(34)

converges, we have all the possible information needed to describe what effects result from the disturbances characterized by the variable $D$. In this way, by tuning $D$ we can model weak disturbances (small $D$) as well as the case when frequent scattering events completely change the character of the transport process (corresponding to large values of $D$).

The energy distribution of the input electrons can be taken into account by appropriate averaging over the possible input energies. In thermal equilibrium at temperature $T$, the conductance of the device can be written as

$$G(T) = \int \rho(E,T)\tilde{G}(E)\,dE,$$

(35)

where $\rho(E,T) = \frac{1}{Z} \left[ 1 + \exp(-E/E_F) / k_BT \right]^{-1}$ and $\tilde{G}(E)$ is given by Eq. (32). (Note that this is essentially the Landauer-Büttiker formula at finite temperature and low bias.) In actual numerical calculations we can convert the integral Eq. (35) to a sum over the possible energies, where the expression for $\rho(T)$ is similar to Eq. (34) but the weights of the projectors are not uniform, they are determined by the Fermi distribution.

Considering first a closed ring, we found that an individual scatterer shifts the energy levels according to the strength of the Dirac-delta potential. Consequently, when averaging over numerous random scattering events, we obtain a certain broadening of the possible energies as well as the Rabi frequencies. Investigating the time evolution of the expectation value $\langle S_z \rangle$, we found that for the parameters and initial state shown in Fig. 2, the height of the first maximum at $t = 2\pi/\Omega_B$ decreases when we increase $D$, the width of the random distribution. As a reference, we considered the case of time-independent Hamiltonian [when $\omega(t)$ is constant in Eq. (1)] and calculated the Aharonov-Casher conductance oscillations for various values of $D$. We found that the visibility

$$I(D) = \frac{G_{\text{max}}(D) - G_{\text{min}}(D)}{G_{\text{max}}(D) + G_{\text{min}}(D)}$$

(36)

of these experimentally detectable oscillations decreases from unity to 1/2 while $D$ increases from zero to $D_{1/2} = 0.03 \times E_F$. ($E_F$ denotes the Fermi energy.) At $D_{1/2}$, the first maximum of $\langle S_z \rangle$ is approximately 50% of the ideal value, thus a damped oscillation can be detected. On the other hand, the revival phenomena shown in Figs. 3 and 4 are more sensitive to the broadening of the frequencies and consequently they are not expected to be detectable in usual samples. Note that this is in accordance with the expectations, as the superpositions shown in Fig. 4 are highly nonclassical and consequently they are exceptionally sensitive to any kind of environmental noise.

The question to what extent the transport properties of the time-dependent problem are modified by random scatterers and thermal fluctuations will be analyzed using a possible application of our model. First we recall Figs. 5 and 6, showing a triplet structure in the transmission and the transmission probabilities for infinitely narrow incoming energy distribution. Clearly, the first effect is rather common when oscillating potentials are considered but the second one is based on the specific spin-dependent interference phenomena that appear in a ring structure. Combining these two effects, one can see that it is possible to find parameter values, where the ratio of the transmitted “direct” and “sideband” currents is strongly modified by the transmission profile. Particularly, if the transmission probability for the central peak is suppressed by interferences (like around integer values of $ka$ in Fig. 6), sideband currents can provide stronger output signals than the direct one. (Usually, in other physical systems, it is mainly the strength of the oscillating potential that determines the relative intensity of the relevant frequencies in the output.) This situation is shown in Fig. 8 for the case of spin-up input in the $z$ direction. Let us recall that while in the direct current this spin direction remains unchanged, the sideband current corresponds to oppositely polarized output spins. (Note additionally, that the positions of the minima of the transmission probabilities as a function of $ka$ can be tuned by adding a constant SOI term to the Hamiltonian (1), a minimum can even be transformed into a maximum by using experimentally achievable SOI strengths.) The question whether the two peaks are distinguishable, is clearly related to the ratio of $\hbar\nu$ and the width of the thermally broadened input energy distribution: the shift induced by the oscillating SOI strength has to be larger than the width of the distribution.

Now we investigate whether the effect shown by Fig. 8 is still visible when $D \neq 0$, i.e., random scattering events are also taken into account. Choosing experimentally achievable temperatures, Fig. 9 shows the ratio $\int I(E)dE/\int I_0(E)dE$ of the sideband and direct peaks (seen in Fig. 8) as a function of the strength $D$ of the random scattering events. (Numerically,
If $E_F$ is assumed to be in the range of $10$ meV, the temperatures corresponding to the curves shown in Fig. 9 have the order of magnitude of $100$ mK. As we can see, the effect of pronounced sideband peaks is still present for moderate values of $D$. Finally let us note that our model describes narrow rings, corresponding to the case when a single transversal mode is responsible for the wave function propagation. In samples where the radius and the width of the ring are comparable, the transport is due to many channels and our results are not directly applicable. In the case of narrow rings, however, the analysis of this section shows that some of the previously predicted effects—that are based on an idealized description—are stable enough against random scatterers and thermal fluctuations to be visible.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper we investigated quantum rings with time-dependent SOI. The effect of the time varying sinusoidal SOI strength was first investigated in an isolated ring, i.e., a ring without any attached leads. In this case we have shown that for initial states with well-defined $z$ component of the total angular-momentum Rabi oscillations appear: the spin direction along the ring changes periodically in time. Considering the dynamics of an initially localized wave packet, we demonstrated that appropriately chosen amplitudes of the SOI oscillations can lead to quasiperiodic time evolution: first the wave packet becomes delocalized (this process can be termed as collapse), then at the revival time it reassembles again. During this process (without thermal fluctuation or scattering events), there are time instants (partial revival times) when superpositions are formed, which correspond to wave packets localized at different positions along the ring.

In the second part of the paper we focused on the transmission properties of the device. We introduced a general method to treat the quantum-mechanical scattering problem for a time-dependent Hamiltonian. Based on this result, we have shown that in general the outgoing plane-wave states will have an energy distribution which is different from that of the incoming states. For a single incoming plane wave with energy $E$, the output shall contain energy values $E$ and $E$; that is, shifts corresponding to the frequency of the SOI oscillation appear. If the input is spin polarized, e.g., in the positive $z$ direction, the sideband current corresponding to $E$ is related to an oppositely polarized output. Additionally, it was shown that one can find parameter values, where sideband currents can provide a stronger output signal than the direct one. This transport property is specific to quantum rings, where the most important role in the suppression of the direct current is played by spin-dependent quantum interference, which is closely related to the geometry of the device. Additionally, this effect is still visible at finite temperatures even if random scattering events modify the dynamics. According to our calculations, Rabi oscillations can also survive a moderate level of scattering induced dephasing.
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