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ABSTRACT

Introduction: ASHA, the grass root level worker is a bridge between population and health system.' She has become working as a fundamental unit of health system after its introduction in 2005 by NRHM.² ASHA has to coordinate with AWWs, support ICDS activities and mother and child health care activities. ASHA, being a local resident has increase opportunity to work efficiently and support ICDS and health activities. India is a country with socio-economic and political diversity; hence diverse challenges in field area expected. Recent some studies have been done to evaluate ASHAs work performance on the basis of socio-demographic variables and work area. However there were very few studies focusing on field challenges and hurdles faced by ASHA. Hence this study has been conducted with objectives to determine the challenges and hurdles faced by ASHA during their field work.
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INTRODUCTION

ASHA, the grass root level worker is a bridge between population and health system.' She has become working as a fundamental unit of health system after its introduction in 2005 by NRHM.² ASHA has to coordinate with AWWs, support ICDS activities and mother and child health care activities. ASHA, being a local resident has increase opportunity to work efficiently and support ICDS and health activities. India is a country with socio-economic and political diversity; hence diverse challenges in field area expected. Recent some studies have been done to evaluate ASHAs work performance on the basis of socio-demographic variables and work area. However there were very few studies focusing on field challenges and hurdles faced by ASHA. Hence this study has been conducted with objectives to determine the challenges and hurdles faced by ASHA during their field work in rural area of district Jaipur, Rajasthan.

RESULTS

All of them were Hindu and were equally distributed in all caste strata i.e. OBC (34%), general caste (30%), scheduled tribe and scheduled caste (36%). All of them were married and two were widow. Majorities (67%) were in the age group of 25-35 yrs, there were six (4%) young ASHAs (less than 25 year) who were 25 yrs of age. Majorities ASHA were studied up to secondary (32%) and higher secondary (28%), around 20% were only eighth passed (i.e. minimum education criteria) and (few) seven percentages were graduated. Majorities (84%) of ASHA were satisfied with their work but experience few challenges in field work however 15% ASHA are totally satisfied with their job and found none challenges in field work.

Data was expressed in percentage. Count data was expressed in percentage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present field based descriptive, observational study was conducted in Jamwaramgarh and Chaksu blocks of District Jaipur during September 2018 to April 2019. There were 140 ASHAs working in 10 selected PHC’s. Hence 135 ASHAs were included in the study. A pretested, semi structured Preform was used for socio-economic profile of ASHA and in-depth interview was conducted to find out challenges faced by ASHAs during their field work. A modified BJ PRASAD criterion was used to classify socio-economic classes of ASHAs. Data were collected and analyzed by Microsoft excel.
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up to secondary (32%) and higher secondary (28%), around 20% were only 8th passed (i.e. minimum education criteria) and (few) seven percentages were graduated (Table 1). Post of AWW was lying vacant in one Anganwadi center and post of Auxiliary Nurse Midwife (ANM) was lying vacant in eight anganwadi center. Majorities (84%) of ASHA were satisfied with their work but experience few challenges in field work however 15% ASHA are totally satisfied with their job and found none challenges in field work.

Challenges
Majority (60%) ASHAs joined for financial incentive and wanted to support family. Around 40% ASHA stated that reputed image of health worker in society is also a motivating/inspirational factor for joining. There were around 20% of ASHA (30) who didn’t want to join but because of family pressure they have finally joined. Few (7%) ASHA joined hoping to get permanent employment in health sector in future (Table 2) Timely payment and inadequate incentive was the biggest challenge faced by ASHA and Second issue was to work in coordination with two department activities i.e. ICDS and health and medical department. They have stated that they have joined as ASHA to support their family but now neither they are getting enough incentive nor time for their family as work load is getting heavier day by day. ASHA is expected to help AWW to implement ICDS activities at Anganwadi centre hence AWW supports is equally important in ASHA work performance. Out of all anganwadi workers, ten of them are not cooperative in their work because of conflict arise between working pattern of ICDS activities and medical and health department’s activities. Almost half of ASHA (65) reported that both departments want to get their work done on time but none of them wants to cooperate regarding deadline or in field work. Majority (94%) of ASHA gets priority in OPD during hospital visit. Almost all ASHA (97%) reported positive support and coordination from medical officer at PHC and they find it encouraging. Some of ASHA (31%) stated that their work needs extensive travelling i.e. house to house visit, hospital visit with patient, online entry of data in software etc. Travelling also increase due to uneven distribution of population (e.g. Hamlet or cluster). This is discouraging factor for their smooth field work (Table 3). Transport was one of the major issues for 22% of ASHA. All ASHAs has stated that they have good coordination from Panchayat and panchayat has helped them to create awareness of hygiene, cleanliness and sanitation national program in village and to motivate villagers to construct toilet in their house but 55% reported that cooperation of Panchayat is very less in motivating of people for population control programs (Table 3).

DISCUSSION
In present study 47% of ASHA were less than 30 year, similar to Srivastava et al. study and Meena S et al. study. Majority of ASHA (67%) were in the age group of 25-35 age, that is in synch with Kumar S et al. study (84%) but unlike Jain N et al. study (40%). Few (4%) of them were even below the minimum age criteria of 25 years (as per NRHM guidelines) which is similar to Meena S et al. study (5%) but considerably lower than Srivastava et al. (25%) and Saxena et al. (23%). Almost all ASHAs were married in present study, similar to Srivastava et al. Meena S et al. and Jain N et al. studies where more than 90% ASHAs were married. The present study revealed that issues related to supervision, job security, incentives, poor transport were major challenges in field; similar was reported by sharma et al. study and by various other studies. Our study revealed that over burden of work assigned by multiple department triggers conflicts between authorities especially of DWCD and medical and health department. Another common obstacle was transport related issues (distance from residence, from health facility and uneven distribution of population). Frequent Inter departmental meeting,

Table 1: Distribution of ASHA as per socio-economic characteristic.

| Age       | n=135 | Percentage (%) |
|-----------|-------|----------------|
| <25       | 6     | 4.44           |
| 25-30     | 57    | 42.22          |
| 31-35     | 34    | 25.18          |
| >35       | 38    | 28.14          |

| Education |          | Percentage (%) |
|-----------|----------|----------------|
| Up to eighth | 26   | 19.25          |
| Up to secondary | 44 | 32.59          |
| Up to sr. secondary | 37 | 27.4           |
| Graduation Or More | 28 | 20.74          |

| Caste    | Percentage (%) |
|----------|----------------|
| General  | 30.37          |
| OBC      | 34.07          |
| ST       | 22.96          |
| SC       | 12.59          |

| Economic Status | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|
| APL             | 80             |
| BPL             | 20             |

| Socio-economic status* | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Upper Middle Class     | 15.55          |
| Middle Class           | 52.59          |
| Lower Middle Class     | 24.44          |
| Lower Class             | 7.4            |

| Religion | Percentage (%) |
|----------|----------------|
| Hindu    | 100            |
| Others   | 0              |

| Marital Status | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|----------------|
| Married        | 98.52          |
| Widow          | 1.48           |
| Unmarried      | 0              |

*updated 2017 BG Prasad socio-economic classification.

Table 2: Motivation factors for ASHA.

| S.no | Motivating factors | N(*) | Percentage % |
|------|--------------------|------|--------------|
| 1.   | Financial incentive| 82   | 60.74        |
| 2.   | Working for society| 57   | 42.22        |
| 3.   | Self-identity      | 50   | 37.03        |
| 4.   | Family pressure    | 30   | 22.22        |
| 5.   | Seeking permanent employment in future | 9 | 6.66 |

*Multiple responses from ASHA hence total are more than numbers of ASHAs.
Table 3: Challenges faced by ASHA during field work.

| S.N. | Challenges                                             | N   | Percentage % |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| 1.   | Delayed and inadequate incentive                       | 52* | 38.51        |
| 2.   | Overburden of work by multiple departments             | 34* | 25.18        |
| 3.   | Poor transport facility in field area                  | 31* | 22.96        |
| 4.   | Conflict between DWCD and health department            | 31* | 22.96        |
| 5.   | Non cooperative hospital staff                         | 4   | 2.96         |
| 6.   | No priority by PHC staff for the cases referred by ASHA| 1   | .74          |
| 7.   | None                                                   | 20  | 14.81        |

*Multiple responses from ASHA hence total are more than numbers of ASHAs

setting up of committee by Government of India to resolve this affiliation of ASHA issue. Clarity of role and responsibility (job description) of ASHA is mandatory to improve ASHA performance and provide them a friendly working environment.

CONCLUSION

Multiple inter related factors affects work performance of ASHAs in field. Financial incentives, self-identity and working for society were motivation for joining this profession. However, delayed and inadequate payment, overburden of work, poor transport and conflict between ICDS and Health staff were common challenges.
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