Opportunities and Challenges for Precision Personalized Therapy in Multiple Myeloma
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Introduction

The main goal of precision medicine is to match each patient with the right drug to his case [1]. Precision medicine in oncology relies on identifying the key biomarkers driving cancer progression [2]. Current approaches in precision oncology aim at matching specific DNA mutations rather than the tumor histology or tumor type to drugs. However, incorporation of genome-wide RNA profiles has not yet been clinically assessed [3]. Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignancy of terminally differentiated plasma cells with a median survival of 6 years [3]. MM has wide and remarkable heterogeneity of the mutational spectrum across patients and a complex subclonal structure [3]. MM is increasingly being recognized as more than one disease, characterized by marked cytogenetic, molecular and proliferative heterogeneity [4].

There are two key aspects of MM pathogenesis: the genetic lesions intrinsic to the malignant clone and the interaction between myeloma cells and the microenvironment of the BM [4]. Hallmarks of MM include chromosomal translocations and copy number alterations [3]. MM is classified as either non hyperdiploid or hyperdiploid. The hyperdiploid subtype (50-60% of MM patients) is characterized by presence of copy number alterations such as trisomies of the odd chromosomes. Non hyperdiploid subtypes harbor translocations between 14q32, immunoglobulin heavy chain locus and one of several partners oncogenes including MAF (16q23), MAFB (20q11), FGFR3/MMSET (4p16.3), CCND1 (11q13), and CCND3 (6p21) [4]. Heterogeneous abnormalities in DNA methylation, non-coding RNA expression, chromatin modifications and mutations in epigenetic regulators among others have been also described in MM [5]. The paracrine interaction between MM and its microenvironment involve secretion and uptake of soluble factors (chemokines, cytokines and growth factors) as well as exosomes (exocytotic nanovesicles) that harbor oncogenic mRNA, miRNA and proteins [5]. However, the causal drivers of MM pathogenesis are still unclear [3].

Choosing the optimal initial therapy of MM remains a challenge [4]. Treatment is administered empirically based on recurrence risk rather than genetic events. Each MM patient deserves the most effective, data driven therapeutic regimen tailored to his case. Choosing the optimal initial therapy of MM remains a challenge. The implementation of promising precision medicine strategies seems to be closer than ever.

Abbreviations: MM: Multiple Myeloma; scRNA seq: Single-Cell RNA Sequencing; CTCs: Circulating Tumor Cells; NGS: Next-Generation Sequencing
Which Patients Are Appropriate for Precision Medicine?

Novel precision medicine combinations are designed to develop for high risk MM patients with rapidly progressed disease in spite of standard of care therapies [6]. In resistant MM patients, precision medicine prevents deploy of certain drugs to minimize the use of ineffective therapy and avoid attendant toxicities [6]. The timely identification of treatment options in a personalized manner for relapsed MM patients is a major challenge [3]. Adopting precision medicine into routine clinical practice has the potential to radically change the natural history of MM by identifying biomarkers that predict efficacy or resistance within an individual’s sub clonally heterogeneous tumor [5].

Potential Candidates for Precision Medicine Trials in MM are

Vemurafenib (BRAF inhibitor) produces durable response in relapsed refractory BRAF V600E-positive myeloma. Trametinib (MEK inhibitor) experienced at least 25% reduction of measurable MM protein in RAS-mutated MM [6].1q amplified MM is among the most common copy number alterations encountered, with a confirmed adverse effect on survival. Patients with 1q amplified MM might benefit from genetically tailored treatment involving cell cycle and ubiquitin inhibitors or a combination thereof [7]. Similarly, Novel FGFR inhibitors targeting FGFR-3 mutated tumors as well as the identification of activating alterations in IDH1/2 and NTRK1/2/3 may be interesting as potential candidates for precision medicine trials in MM [6].

Challenges for Precision Medicine Approaches in MM

Clonal Heterogeneity in MM

MM is characterized by branching pattern of clonal evolution in which distinct sub clonal tumor population may evolve by selective pressure of therapy [3]. Dynamic intra-patient (sub clonal heterogeneity) has been described recently in myeloma. Presence of distinct subclones at alternating points along longitudinal sampling (donal tides) suggests that they may be persistent below detectable levels within a patient. Cases of high-risk disease may harbor exceptional sub-clonal heterogeneity that may be accelerated by DNA damaging therapies [5]. Therapy targeting molecular alterations present in only a subset of myeloma cells might not achieve clinical benefit or may even allow more rapid growth of subclones lacking the relevant genomic target [6].

Environment-Mediated Drug Resistance (EM-DR):

The bone marrow microenvironment comprises immune cells and multiple other cell types as well as the extracellular matrix (ECM), a non-cellular component comprising a collection of proteins [8]. The relationship between MM and its microenvironment is bidirectional. Crosstalk between MM cells and their microenvironment converge on critical proliferation / survival pathways that can directly affect chemotherapeutic efficacy and propagates resistance to therapy by agonizing prosurvival / anti-apoptotic mechanisms [5]. Several genes of BM stromal cells (BMS) have major functions in RNA processing (44 genes), cell cycle regulation (53 genes), and ubiquitin proteasome pathway activation (35 genes) when co cultured with myeloma cell lines [4]. Profiling the microenvironment by scRNAseq and/or or mass cytometry which allows simultaneous measurement of up to 50 markers at single cell resolution may better inform targeted therapy. However, scRNAseq requires the use of specialized tools which are still in their development stage [3].

Challenges in Molecular Diagnostic Tests

Bone marrow aspirates in MM have limited ability to fully represent the genetic complexity of the disease as well as its geographic diversity due to focal sampling bias. Liquid biopsies to sample peripheral blood and quantify circulating tumor cells (CTCs) and cell free / circulating tumor DNA [5] have emerged as a promising tool in MM but further refinements are needed to perfect liquid technologies [6].

The Time Required for Sequencing

The average turnaround time required from sample collection to sequencing data was 6 weeks. However, most relapsing MM patients experience rapid progression and need immediate treatment. Implementing NGS based recommendations in a real-world setting needs using rapid run sequencing which provides results in a few days. This may be more expensive initially but may become cost effective with greater use [3].

Drug-Specific Resistance Biomarkers

No one molecular marker, genotype or mechanism is universally responsible for conferring resistance to any specific therapy in MM [5]. Precision medicine MM drugs will require appropriate companion diagnostic tests [6]. There is a pressing need to integrate drug- specific resistance biomarkers with several very promising emerging quantitative molecular profiling technologies [5]. The MSk-IMPACT™ and Foundation One CDx™ which has recently approved by FDA for clinical sequencing are often not fully optimal for MM. Robust clinical assays must be able to detect functional consequences such as pathway activation rather than simply molecular alterations in specific members of cellular networks [6].

Other Challenges

The need for clinical trials to evaluate the security and efficacy of these targeted therapies as well as to validate predictive biomarkers that may allow an appropriate best candidate selection and improvement of myeloma patients’ survival and quality of life [9]. Immune profiling will be essential as precision medicine of the future in MM will involve precisely matching patients with the appropriate combinations of targeted and/or immune-based therapies [6].
Emerging Methods in Clinical Profiling of MM

Single Cell Transcriptomic Technology (Targeted/ qPCR or RNA seq): The first published use of scRNAseq in MM was able to distinguish MM CTCs from healthy plasma cells and demonstrated that single-CTC transcriptomes were representative of bulk bone marrow sample transcriptomes [5].

Conclusion

These data suggest that the use of a personalized therapeutic approach in MM is likely to improve the outcomes for newly diagnosed, resistant or relapsed myeloma in the future.
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