Interrogation of Inhibitor of Nuclear Factor κB α/Nuclear Factor κB (IκBα/NF-κB) Negative Feedback Loop Dynamics
FROM SINGLE CELLS TO LIVE ANIMALS IN VIVO
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Background: Understanding the biological significance of feedback loops requires interrogation at multiple scales. Results: A nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) negative feedback reporter revealed stimulus-specific dynamics in cells and animals in vivo.

Conclusion: Circulating tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) doses are perceived by the liver as pulses.

Significance: Bioluminescent imaging of live single cells and cell populations revealed reproducible behaviors that informed interpretation of in vivo data.

Full understanding of the biological significance of negative feedback processes requires interrogation at multiple scales as follows: in single cells, cell populations, and live animals in vivo. The transcriptionally coupled IκBα/NF-κB negative feedback loop, a pivotal regulatory node of innate immunity and inflammation, represents a model system for multiscalar reporters. Using a IκBα→IκBα-FLuc bioluminescent reporter, we rigorously evaluated the dynamics of IκBα degradation and subsequent NF-κB transcriptional activity in response to diverse modes of TNFα stimulation. Modulating TNFα concentration or pulse duration yielded complex, reproducible, and differential IκBα dynamics in both cell populations and live single cells. Tremendous heterogeneity in the transcriptional amplitudes of individual responding cells was observed, which was greater than the heterogeneity in the transcriptional kinetics of responsive cells. Furthermore, administration of various TNFα doses in vivo generated IκBα dynamic profiles in the liver resembling those observed in single cells and populations of cells stimulated with TNFα pulses. This suggested that dose modulation of circulating TNFα was perceived by hepatocytes in vivo as pulses of increasing duration. Thus, a robust bioluminescent reporter strategy enabled rigorous quantitation of NF-κB/IκBα dynamics in both live single cells and cell populations and furthermore, revealed reproducible behaviors that informed interpretation of in vivo studies.
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Cells have evolved complex molecular networks to sense cues from the environment and transmit them throughout the cell to elicit appropriate biological responses. These signaling pathways require certain elemental properties, such as sensitivity, reversibility, a capacity to be regulated, and robustness, that are crucial to reliably maintaining the organization and function of cells within organisms. In addition, these networks equip cells with the ability to distinguish persistently weak signals from background noise with high precision and selectivity (1, 2). These molecular networks include sets of recurring regulation patterns, manifesting as network motifs, that link together in a variety of combinations to create a web of connectivity within a given signaling pathway or between multiple cascades (3). Feedback loops, processes that connect output signals back to their input, represent one of the most frequently observed biological network motifs and are now appreciated as a useful framework for understanding how signaling networks elicit specific cellular responses. In particular, negative feedback loops, defined as sequential regulatory steps that feed back signals to their input, are crucial to reliably maintaining the organization and function of cells and can dampen or reverse signaling activities.

Transcriptionally coupled negative feedback loops often serve as critical regulatory nodes within signaling pathways. For example, p533 is negatively regulated by several transcriptional targets, the most well known being MDM2 (4). MDM2 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that targets p53 for degradation via the proteasome, thus decreasing the amount of p53 present in the cell and dampening/turning off the p53 transcriptional response. The NF-κB transcription factors are similarly regulated by a tran-
**Multiscalar Analysis of 1κBα Dynamics**

scriptionally coupled negative feedback loop; in this case, an 1κB inhibitor protein (such as 1κBα) sequesters NF-κB in the cytoplasm until pathway activation through the IKK kinase complex results in 1κBα phosphorylation and subsequent ubiquitination and degradation. This frees NF-κB to translocate to the nucleus where it transcribes additional 1κBα, thus preventing chronic activation of NF-κB transcription factors.

In the past, elucidation of these complex molecular networks focused on identifying the key molecules within the network and biochemically defining their individual interactions (1, 5). Conventional techniques typically employed to define these networks were static biochemical methodologies in vitro that were destructive, only semi-quantitative, lacked spatiotemporal resolution, and averaged information from a large number of cells. New developments in optical imaging and biophysical methods have enabled significant advances in the ability to capture spatiotemporal signaling information in a single cell, leading to the development and refinement of mathematical and dynamic models of molecular networks (6). However, to fully understand the biological significance of negative feedback processes, it is critical to study them at multiple scales as follows: in single cells, in cell populations, and in live animals. Multiscalar studies may assist the dissection of which properties of single cells on a coverslip are relevant to how individual cells (or cell populations) actually behave in the context of a tissue in vivo. Bioluminescent reporters are ideally suited for multiscalar studies, given their exceptionally high signal-to-noise levels, ability to continuously monitor dynamic processes, and applicability to in vivo imaging (7, 8). The NF-κB signaling pathway represents a model system for the use of multiscalar bioluminescent reporters to study a complex transcriptionally coupled negative feedback loop.

NF-κB is a pivotal regulator of innate immunity and inflammation and is active in both immune cells and nonimmune tissues (9, 10). Responding to a large number of different stimuli (11), recent work has focused on NF-κB pathway reactivity to the mode of stimulation (i.e. stimulus concentration, stimulus duration (pulse versus continuous), and pulse interval), which may be particularly relevant during cellular responses to inflammatory cytokines, such as TNFα. Cytokines are likely perceived as transient pulses or waves occurring over a wide range of concentrations (12–17). Thus, the NF-κB pathway must rapidly decode different types of signal inputs and integrate intracellular information to control individual cell fate decisions (proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, etc.) and regulate the production and secretion of cytokines that can amplify, propagate, and terminate the inflammatory response (18, 19). Recently, single cell imaging has been widely utilized to characterize NF-κB signaling in response to different modes of stimulation. These studies have revealed the presence of oscillations in NF-κB nuclear translocation that are dependent upon cycles of degradation and resynthesis of 1κB proteins (i.e. negative feedback loops) (20). The frequency of these NF-κB protein oscillations can encode distinct gene expression profiles, as determined with cell population studies (15, 21–24). Furthermore, single cell studies have revealed heterogeneous and asynchronous NF-κB responses in single cells (18, 21, 25), especially in response to low concentrations of TNFα (17). However, the physiological relevance of these findings has yet to be assessed in vivo. Most single cell studies are carried out with fluorescent protein fusions that are not amenable to use in vivo due to the high degree of tissue autofluorescence. Therefore, we have developed a dynamic bioluminescent reporter strategy that enables correlative quantification of the NF-κB/1κBα negative feedback loop in single cells, cell populations, and at the tissue level in live animals.

Previously, we demonstrated that fusing 1κBα to the firefly luciferase gene (1κBα-FLuc) enabled quantitative monitoring of 1κBα degradation (which directly correlates with IKK activity) in vitro and in vivo (26). We then placed the fusion reporter under the control of a NF-κB-responsive promoter (κB5→1κBα-FLuc) and showed that it recapitulated the endogenous 1κBα negative feedback loop (Fig. 1A) (12, 13, 27). The κB3→1κBα-FLuc reporter offers the distinct advantage of monitoring protein activity within different subcellular compartments as opposed to simply measuring changes in total protein content or localization. Thus, κB5→1κBα-FLuc temporally reports both TNFα-induced degradation of 1κBα (which is dependent on the activity of IKK, β-TrCP, and the proteasome) and subsequent NF-κB-dependent transcriptional up-regulation of 1κBα (which is dependent upon NF-κB nuclear translocation as well as additional post-translational modifications and co-activator associations in the nucleus). Furthermore, the synthetic κB3 promoter has enhanced sensitivity that enables measurement of subtle changes in transcriptional dynamics. This reporter strategy provides a real time dynamic link between fluorescence-based reporters that measure NF-κB nuclear shuttling in single cells and conventional destructive techniques (quantitative-PCR of target genes, transcriptional profiling, EMSA, etc.) that measure downstream NF-κB transcriptional activity in cell populations. In this study, we have exploited the unique characteristics of the κB3→1κBα-FLuc reporter for multiscalar interrogation of the negative feedback loop in single cells, cell populations, and in vivo. We performed rigorous quantitative analysis of stimulus-specific NF-κB/1κBα dynamics in both single cells and populations of cells, and we discovered reproducible behaviors that informed interpretation of in vivo studies.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Dynamic Bioluminescence Imaging in Live Cell Populations**—HepG2 cells were transiently transfected with κB5→1κBα-FLuc and plated in black-coated 24-well plates. After a 48-h recovery, cells were transferred into fresh clear media containing d-luciferin (150 μg/ml) and exposed to TNFα or vehicle (PBS) for the specified durations and concentrations. Bioluminescence time course measurements were acquired in an IVIS 100 imaging system. Detailed descriptions of cell culture conditions, experimental treatment regimens, image acquisition parameters, and data analysis are provided in the supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Single Cell Bioluminescence Imaging**—HepG2 cells were transfected as described above with either the κB3→1κBα-FLuc plasmid or the FUW-FLG construct. At 36 h post-transfection, cells were trypsinized, counted, diluted, and plated at 60 cells/well onto pre-plated untransfected HepG2 cells (3 × 10^4 cells/
well plated at the same time as initial transfection) in a black 24-well plate. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were stimulated as indicated with TNFα or vehicle, and bioluminescence images were acquired on an IVIS50 or IVIS100. GFP expression was analyzed on an InCell Analyzer 1000. A monoclonal HCT116 cell line stably expressing the κB3–IκBα-FLuc reporter was generated by standard techniques and imaged identically. Details of the image acquisition parameters and data analysis are provided in the supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Hydrodynamic Injections and In Vivo Imaging—In vivo transfection of mouse hepatocytes with the κB3–IκBα-FLuc reporter was performed using the hydrodynamic somatic gene transfer method as described (28, 29). Cohorts of four mice were injected with d-luciferin, anesthetized, imaged for basal luciferase activity, administered vehicle or TNFα by tail vein i.v., and imaged every 5 min for 3 h under anesthesia in an IVIS100 system. Expanded descriptions of the injection procedures, image acquisition, and analysis can be found in the supplemental Experimental Procedures.

RESULTS

Characterizing TNFα-induced Regulation of the IκBα/NF-κB Negative Feedback Loop in Cell Populations—The IκBα/NF-κB negative feedback loop represents a major regulatory node within the NF-κB pathway and is a critical determinant of NF-κB oscillatory behaviors that can encode stimulus-specific gene expression programs (15, 21–24, 30). Bioluminescent reporters are ideally suited for medium to high throughput studies of stimulus-induced cellular signaling in real time in populations of cells. Therefore, we utilized our previously validated κB3–IκBα-FLuc reporter expressed at sub-endogenous levels in HepG2 (human hepatocellular carcinoma) cells to systematically evaluate the impact of short duration TNFα pulses on negative feedback loop dynamics within populations of cells in culture (Fig. 1, A and B) (12, 26).

HepG2 cells transfected with κB3–IκBα-FLuc were stimulated with the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNFα (1.2 nM, 20 ng/ml) either continuously or as a pulse (5, 15, and 30 s and 5 or 15 min), and images of cells were captured sequentially every 5 min for 6 h. Generally, the normalized IκBα-FLuc photon flux (Fig. 2A) rapidly decreased to a transient minimum (due to TNFα-induced degradation of IκBα) and then strongly rebounded above initial levels (due to NF-κB-induced resynthesis of IκBα). This rebound was previously shown to be consistent with de novo transcription and translation of IκBα (12) and with the previously reported ligand-induced stabilization of newly synthesized IκBα (31, 32). A TNFα pulse as short as 5 s in duration was capable of inducing substantial IκBα degradation (35 ± 9%, mean ± S.E. unless noted otherwise), suggesting that extremely brief exposure can induce significant IKK-dependent activation of canonical NF-κB signaling (Fig. 2A). This was confirmed by Western blot analysis, which revealed substantial IκBα degradation in response to a 5-s TNFα pulse (Fig. 2B). As TNFα pulse duration was lengthened from 5 s to 15 min, the degree of IκBα degradation increased, and when pulsed for 5 min or longer, IκBα degradation saturated at levels equivalent to continuous TNFα stimulation (~70% degradation) (Fig. 2, A, inset, and C). The time at which maximal degradation occurred did not significantly change as TNFα pulse duration was modulated (Fig. 2D).

Examination of the degree of IκBα resynthesis (measured as percent of maximum resynthesis) in response to TNFα pulse duration revealed increasing levels of IκBα resynthesis that eventually peaked and leveled off when pulsed for 5 min or longer (Fig. 2E). Interestingly, TNFα pulses elicited a broader IκBα resynthesis phase with a less defined peak when compared with continuous TNFα stimulation. Furthermore, maximal IκBα resynthesis in response to a 15-min TNFα pulse was higher (97 ± 3% of maximum) than observed for continuous TNFα stimulation (65 ± 8% of maximum). As had been observed for IκBα degradation, the timing of the resynthesis peak did not significantly change with increasing pulse duration (Fig. 2F). Additionally, peak IκBα resynthesis was later for a 15-min TNFα pulse than for continuous TNFα (164 ± 16 min versus 137 ± 5 min).

We next investigated the impact of TNFα concentration on the dynamic regulation of the IκBα/NF-κB negative feedback loop by treating HepG2 cells with a range of TNFα concentrations (0.1–10 ng/ml and 0.57–570 pm) under continuous expo-
sure conditions (Fig. 2G). The degree of IκBα degradation increased with increasing TNFα concentration, eventually saturating (68 ± 2%) at the highest concentrations tested (Fig. 2G, inset, and H), yielding a degradation EC50 value of 6.7 pm TNFα (5.7–7.9 pm, 95% confidence interval). Moreover, examination of IκBα degradation kinetics (Fig. 2) showed that increasing TNFα concentration resulted in faster degradation, with the time of maximal degradation shifting from 53 ± 4 min to 29 ± 2 min.

The relationship between TNFα concentration and IκBα resynthesis was more complex than observed for degradation. Increasing the TNFα concentration elicited increasing levels of IκBα resynthesis up to a maximum (corresponding to 57 pM; 1 ng/ml TNFα) beyond which higher amounts of TNFα actually elicited lower levels of resynthesis (i.e. a “rollover” back down to 74 ± 3% of maximum levels; Fig. 2, G and J). The lowest TNFα concentrations produced broadly shaped resynthesis profiles with poorly defined peaks, making accurate determination of
peak resynthesis time challenging, as evidenced by the wide confidence intervals in Fig. 2K. However, the overall trend showed resynthesis kinetics speeding up, with less variance, as TNFα concentration increased (Fig. 2K).

Thus, we found that the κB3→IkBα-FLuc reporter enabled quantitative comparison of the effects of modulating TNFα pulse duration versus concentration in real time in live cells. This systematic analysis revealed that IkBα degradation was highly sensitive to both modes of stimulation tested and in each case eventually saturated at ~70% degradation. Modulation of TNFα pulse duration had little effect on the kinetics of IkBα degradation, although increasing TNFα concentration resulted in faster degradation. Both stimulation regimens elicited biphasic patterns in the degree of IkBα resynthesis, although modulation of TNFα concentration had a moderate effect on IkBα resynthesis kinetics.

Characterizing TNFα-induced Regulation of the IkBα/NF-κB Negative Feedback Loop in Single Cells—Having characterized complex and reproducible patterns of IkBα dynamics in live cultured cell populations, we sought to determine whether these behaviors could be measured within single cells. Of particular interest was determining whether the broad IkBα reporter peaks observed in response to low concentrations of TNFα resulted from the summation of heterogeneous single cell transcriptional responses or from the synchronized responses of all cells within the population. To address these questions, we imaged single HepG2 cells expressing the κB3→IkBα-FLuc reporter.

Most single-cell studies utilizing bioluminescent reporters rely upon expensive low light microscopy imaging systems that allow measurement of light emitted from several cells plated on a coverslip or in an imaging chamber. We sought to develop a more accessible, inexpensive, and high throughput means to image single cells expressing the κB3→IkBα-FLuc without the use of microscopy. We first verified that we could image single bioluminescent cells in an IVIS100 imaging system by transiently transfecting HepG2 cells with a dual bioluminescent/fluorescent reporter construct, FUW-FLG, comprising pGL3 transiently over time following stimulation with either continuous or 30-s pulses of high TNFα (supplemental Movie 1). Under continuous stimulation, the IkBα-FLuc profiles of individual cells (Fig. 3B) remarkably resembled those observed for cell populations (Fig. 2). Interestingly, although single cells exhibited substantial variation in the amplitude of degradation and resynthesis, a plot of the mean photon flux of all individual cells (Fig. 3B, black line) strongly resembled the IkBα-FLuc profiles observed in cell populations (Fig. 2A, red line). Maximal resynthesis for all but one of the single cells peaked between 110 and 165 min, with a mean of 133 ± 24 min (± S.D.) (Fig. 3G), identical to the population mean of 137 ± 5 min (Fig. 2F). Similar to cell population studies, a 30-s TNFα pulse elicited broad IkBα-FLuc resynthesis profiles in single cells (Fig. 3C), with all of the cells peaking between 115 and 185 min (154 ± 15 min; mean ± S.D.). Variation in the amplitude of IkBα-FLuc degradation and resynthesis was observed (Fig. 3C), although the combined mean IkBα-FLuc profile for all individual cells (Fig. 3C, black line) and the IkBα-FLuc profile observed for a population of cells (Fig. 2A, green line) were nearly identical. The mean percent IkBα degradation observed in TNFα pulsed cells (63 ± 3%) was less than that observed under continuous TNFα treatment (78 ± 2%), with similar maximal times (Fig. 3H), recapitulating trends noted in the population studies (Fig. 2, A, C, and D). Interestingly, 18% of continuously stimulated cells exhibited IkBα-FLuc oscillatory behavior, peaking once at 109 ± 2 min and again at 244 ± 7 min (Fig. 3E, a periodicity of ~130 min). This phenomenon was never observed in cells given a 30-s TNFα pulse, again in good agreement with published reports that NF-κB nuclear translocation oscillations are observed only under continuous TNFα stimulation (21, 24).

We next investigated individual cell responses to a range of TNFα concentrations under continuous stimulation as described previously for cell population studies (Fig. 2, G–K). Again, although heterogeneous IkBα-FLuc amplitudes were observed, the IkBα-FLuc kinetic profiles within individual cells (Fig. 3F) resembled those observed for cell populations (Fig. 2). The coefficient of variation (CV) ranged from 0.36 to 0.77 for the magnitude of maximum resynthesis, greater than the CV range of 0.07 to 0.24 for the kinetics, thus indicating relative synchronicity of kinetics at each corresponding concentration of TNFα. Interestingly, whereas the population average amplitude increased with increasing concentrations of TNFα, substantial overlap was observed in the distribution of individual responses across concentrations such that increasing ligand resulted in both a reduction in the number of cells below the mean and an increase in cells above, with little change in the number of intermediate cells (Fig. 3F). At the lowest concentrations tested, 0.57 pm, most HepG2 cells (62%) did not respond to TNFα stimulation (as defined by falling within the 95% confidence interval of vehicle-stimulated cells and not exhibiting a local maximum; Fig. 3F, 0 pm panel), similar to previous studies that found fewer cells respond to low concentrations of TNF (16). For all other TNFα concentrations examined, less than 7% of cells were nonresponders. When all responding cells were considered, increasing TNFα concentrations resulted in faster IkBα-FLuc degradation (Fig. 3I), with the time of maximal degradation shifting from 65 ± 8 to 20 ± 1 min, a trend similar to that seen in our population studies (Fig. 2I) and single cell work...
by others (16), thus indicating this phenomenon is a property of single cells. Increasing TNFα concentration resulted in higher levels of IkBα-FLuc resynthesis (Fig. 3F) and exhibited the same resynthesis rollover observed in cell populations (Fig. 2G). Furthermore, examination of IkBα-FLuc resynthesis kinetics demonstrated a high degree of variance at the lowest doses (Fig. 3F) and a moderate trend toward faster kinetics, with lower variance, as TNFα concentration increased, reproducing the trends observed in cell populations. The heterogeneity in resynthesis times at low doses could account for the broad peaks and variability in peak timing observed at 0.57 and 1.7 pM in cell populations (Fig. 2, G and K). However, even at the lowest TNFα concentrations...
concentration tested, we did not observe any cells that exhibited degradation any later than 100 min and resynthesis any later than 240 min.

To determine whether the heterogeneity observed in stimulated cells was simply due to differences in transcription efficiency between cells, we generated a monoclonal HCT116 cell line stably expressing the identical reporter. Importantly, although HCT116 cells stably expressing the reporter presented a different response profile, the cells showed highly comparable heterogeneity in the amplitude of the transcriptional response indicating that amplitude variance was an inherent property of the NF-κB pathway and not an artifact of transient transfection of the reporter construct (HepG2 versus HCT116; 17 pM TNFα, peak amplitude CV 0.64 versus 0.56; and 170 pM TNFα, CV 0.78 versus 0.72) (supplemental Fig. S1).

Thus, a new method for imaging single bioluminescent cells demonstrated the ability to acquire quantitative single cell data in a high throughput manner without the use of low light microscopy. Furthermore, it revealed that whereas heterogeneity in the amplitude, and to a lesser degree the kinetics, was observed in single cells, the different IkBα-Fluc profile shapes and dynamic trends observed under various TNFα stimulation conditions in cell populations were recapitulated in individual cells.

**Characterization of TNFα–Induced Regulation of the IkBα/NF-κB Negative Feedback Loop in Live Animals in Vivo**—Although studies of single cells and cell populations in culture have proven invaluable in understanding the intricacies underlying the wiring of cellular signaling pathways, full evaluation of signaling events in their native context in vivo is more challenging. Having utilized the κBα→IkBα-Fluc reporter successfully in single cells and cell populations to interrogate complex patterns of IkBα dynamics in response to modulating TNFα pulse duration and concentration, we subsequently investigated IkBα/NF-κB negative feedback loop dynamics in vivo in response to varying TNFα doses. Somatic gene transfer by hydrodynamic transfection was employed to rapidly and efficiently express the κBα→IkBα-Fluc plasmid in murine livers (34). Three to 12 weeks post-plasmid injection, sufficient time for hepatocellular recovery and stable integration of reporter plasmids into a subpopulation of hepatocytes, animals were administered vehicle (PBS) or TNFα (1, 10, or 30 ng/mouse) by bolus tail vein injection and imaged at 5-min intervals for 3 h to capture full IkBα-Fluc dynamic profiles (Fig. 4, A and B). Each TNFα dose was repeated in five independent experiments, and data were combined for analysis. Of the three TNFα doses used, the lowest (1 ng/mouse) appeared to induce little or no IkBα-Fluc reporter degradation, whereas the two higher doses showed increasing amounts of degradation (Fig. 4C; 10 ng/mouse, 30 ± 7%; 30 ng/mouse, 59 ± 7%). Interestingly, the time of maximal degradation appeared to occur slightly earlier in vivo (no later than 20 min, Fig. 4D) than was seen in cellulo (no earlier than 20 min for the highest TNFα concentrations, Figs. 2I and 3I). Increasing the TNFα dose resulted in higher levels of maximum resynthesis (Fig. 4E) that peaked at nearly 20-fold over vehicle-treated animals. The resynthesis phase was broad in shape (similar to the in cellulo IkBα profiles in response to TNFα pulses), and it peaked and leveled off at ~100 min for both the 10 and 30 ng/mouse doses (Fig. 4F). This is in contrast to the highest TNFα concentrations used in cellulo that did not achieve maximal resynthesis until 125 min (Figs. 2K and 3J). Thus, even though TNFα was administered at varying doses in vivo, the resultant IkBα dynamic profiles closely resembled those observed upon modulating TNFα pulse duration in cellulo (Figs. 2 and 3), having broad peaks and kinetics that do not significantly change as the dose is modulated. Furthermore, the general profile of κBα→IkBα-Fluc activity in vivo closely resembled the profiles observed for individual cells (Fig. 3), suggesting kinetic synchronicity of responding hepatocytes in vivo.

**Experimental Investigation of Complex IkBα Resynthesis Patterns, in Cellulo**—Having observed novel and complex patterns in the dynamics of IkBα degradation and resynthesis in single cells, cell populations, and in vivo in response to modulation of TNFα pulse duration and concentration, we next sought to investigate potential mechanisms behind these highly reproducible behaviors. Of particular interest were the stimulus–dependent differences in peak shape, amplitude, and kinetics of IkBα-Fluc resynthesis. We hypothesized that many of these complex patterns were a consequence of the continuous presence of TNFα driving subsequent rounds of IKK activation and IkBα degradation during the resynthesis phase. This hypothesis was supported by our previous finding that HepG2 cells given a 30-s pulse of TNFα regain the capacity to fully re-initiate a second TNFα–induced IkBα degradation only after a 60–120-min refractory period, the approximate time frame during which maximal IkBα resynthesis and rollover occur (12).

To assess the impact of TNFα presence at various time points before and during IkBα resynthesis, HepG2 cells were treated with increasing concentrations of TNFα that was then washed out after 1, 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 180 min to remove the effect of continuous TNFα driving subsequent rounds of IKK-mediated IkBα degradation. Two representative IkBα plots are shown in Fig. 5, A and B (un-normalized photon flux data and additional TNFα and mock washout plots are shown in supplemental Fig. S2). The removal of TNFα at any time before IkBα resynthesis had peaked (i.e. up to 120 min), resulted in broadly shaped IkBα resynthesis profiles (Fig. 5A and supplemental Fig. S2), rather than the narrower peaks seen under continuous TNFα (Figs. 2 and 3) or mock TNFα washout stimulation (supplemental Fig. S2F). TNFα washouts performed at 120 min (supplemental Fig. S2E) and 180 min (Fig. 5B) exhibited the expected primary IkBα resynthesis peak observed at 120 min under continuous TNFα, followed by a second IkBα peak (occurring at ~240 min and 300 min, respectively), more similar to the peaks observed for earlier TNFα washout times (Fig. 5A and supplemental Fig. S2). When high concentrations of TNFα (170–570 pm) were washed out, cells exhibited significantly higher levels of IkBα resynthesis compared with continuous TNFα stimulation (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, TNFα washout resulted in IkBα resynthesis peaking later than continuously stimulated cells and nearly abolished the pattern of faster IkBα resynthesis observed in response to increasing TNFα concentrations (Fig. 5D). Interestingly, IkBα resynthesis rollover was still observed when TNFα was washed out (Fig. 5C).
To further address the role of secondary (i.e., later time point) TNFα-induced IκBα degradation in governing IκBα-FLuc resynthesis phase dynamics, we utilized a mutant bioluminescent reporter, IκBα(S32A,S36A)-FLuc (35). The serine-to-alanine substitutions render IκBα unresponsive to IKK-directed phosphorylation and subsequent proteasomal degradation; however, the reporter is still responsive to the NF-κB transcriptional activity elicited once endogenous IκBα is degraded and NF-κB translocates into the nucleus. If re-initiation of IκBα degradation is critical in governing the timing, magnitude, and overall shape of IκBα-FLuc resynthesis, or the resynthesis rollover effect, then we would not expect to observe these phenomena with the IκBα(S32A,S36A)-FLuc reporter under continuous TNFα stimulation. As anticipated, TNFα stimulation of a population of cells expressing the mutant reporter did not cause any IκBα degradation (Fig. 5E) but did exhibit subsequent NF-κB-directed resynthesis of the reporter. Strikingly, these IκBα profiles strongly resembled the TNFα washout experiments (Fig. 5, A and B), indicating that these patterns were indeed affected by secondary IKK-driven degradation of wild-type IκBα. Similarly, continuous TNFα stimulation in single HepG2 cells transfected with the IκBα(S32A,S36A)-FLuc mutant reporter also exhibited broad IκBα resynthesis peaks with synchronized and delayed kinetics compared with wild-type reporter (Fig. 3, D and G), further highlighting the cell-autonomous nature of IκBα dynamics. Interestingly, single cells expressing the mutant reporter showed no evidence of IκBα-FLuc oscillations (similar

FIGURE 4. IκBα dynamics as a function of TNFα dose in vivo. A, in vivo transfection of mouse hepatocytes was performed using the hydrodynamic somatic gene transfer method. Mice were imaged in an IVIS100 to obtain a pre-stimulation reading, followed by tail vein injection of 100 μl of vehicle (Veh) (sterile PBS) or TNFα (at the indicated doses), and then imaged at 5-min intervals for 3 h. B, data from five independent experiments are plotted normalized to the pre-TNFα stimulation levels (fold initial) and to a vehicle-treated animal (fold untreated); error bars represent mean ± S.E. C–F, quantitative analysis of in vivo measurements representing the extent of maximal IκBα degradation (C) and resynthesis (E) and the time of maximal IκBα degradation (D) and resynthesis (F), as functions of TNFα dose. All data are presented as mean ± 95% confidence interval. The 1 ng/mouse data point in D represents n = 3 because two animals showed no degradation at that dose and thus no degradation time could be calculated.
to single cells pulsed with TNFα; Fig. 3C), confirming that oscillations in NF-κB activity are a consequence of additional rounds of degradation driven by continuous TNFα exposure.

The observation that IkBα resynthesis rollover was still observed even when removing TNFα during the resynthesis phase or when using a nondegradable IkBα mutant (Fig. 5C) indicated that this trend was likely caused by an input transcriptional regulation event as opposed to post-translational modification of newly synthesized IkBα. To identify potential mechanisms driving this behavior, we generated a set of hypothetical IKK activity profiles (supplemental Fig. S3A) based on an experimentally determined profile (12) and introduced them as input functions in an existing computational model of NF-κB signaling (13, 27). We were able to recapitulate IkBα resynthesis rollover computationally (supplemental Fig. S3C) by increasing IKK peak magnitude, holding it constant, and subsequently shortening the IKK deactivation duration (supplemental Fig. S3B), predicting that the temporal relationship of these two IKK parameters is critical in dictating downstream responses to variations in TNFα concentration.

**DISCUSSION**

Transcriptionally coupled negative feedback loops often serve as critical regulatory nodes within cellular signaling pathways. To fully understand the biological functions of negative feedback processes in their proper context, it would be critical to interrogate feedback loops at multiple scales as follows: in
single cells, in populations of cells, and in live animals. To this end, we have employed a bioluminescent reporter of the NF-κB/IκBα negative feedback loop for multiscale studies aimed at understanding how diverse stimulation modes impact the dynamics of a critical cellular signaling pathway.

The κBα−→IκBα-FLuc construct reports not only the rapid IKK-induced degradation of IκBα (the mediator of NF-κB nuclear translocation) but also the subsequent NF-κB-dependent transcriptional up-regulation of IκBα. Thus, the reporter offers the unique ability to measure both rapid post-translational events and coupled downstream transcriptional activity in real time in live cells, thereby enabling evaluation of the functional consequences (i.e. NF-κB transcriptional activity) of stimulus-specific changes in IκBα degradation/NF-κB nuclear translocation. These nondestructive assays are based on luciferase reporters and as such have high temporal resolution, do not rely on antibodies, are amenable to high throughput platforms, and are readily translatable to in vivo systems, and have potential for low light microscopic analysis of single cell and subcellular compartments (6, 7, 36–38). Furthermore, although most single cell studies utilizing bioluminescent reporters rely upon costly low light microscopy systems, we sought to develop a more accessible, inexpensive, and high throughput strategy to image single cells expressing the κBα−→IκBα-FLuc without the use of microscopy. As applied in this study, our method enabled simultaneous imaging of hundreds of single cells under a variety of stimulation regimens.

We employed the unique capabilities of the NF-κB/IκBα negative feedback loop reporter to systematically interrogate the impact of modulating TNFα pulse duration and concentration, first focusing on cell populations and then examining single cell responses. We demonstrated that cells are sensitive to pulses of TNFα stimulation as short as 5 s, highlighting that the NF-κB network is remarkably sensitive and tuned to elicit responses to very short bursts of ligand (14). Modulating TNFα pulse duration yielded IκBα dynamic profiles (Fig. 2A) that were much broader in shape than observed under continuous TNFα stimulation. As pulse duration increased, so did the amplitude of both IκBα degradation and resynthesis, without significantly impacting the kinetics. At the single cell level, continuous and 30-s TNFα pulses yielded IκBα-FLuc dynamic profiles (Fig. 3, B and C) that remarkably resembled the qualitative shape and quantitative kinetics of cell population profiles (Fig. 2). Thus, the broad peaks and synchronized resynthesis kinetics observed in cell populations upon stimulation with pulsatile TNFα were not a result of heterogeneous responses from individual cells generating a broad average signal but were intrinsic properties of single cells. A similar trend in invariant temporal NF-κB nuclear localization was observed by Werner et al. (14) in response to TNFα pulses; however, they did not observe changes in the amplitude of NF-κB activity (as measured by EMSA and computational prediction), whereas our reporter measured definitive pulse-dependent changes in the amplitude of IκBα resynthesis, a process that is directly dependent upon NF-κB transcriptional activity.

Real time measurements indicated that the IκBα/NF-κB negative feedback loop is responsive to a wide range of TNFα concentrations (Figs. 2G and 3F), even as low as 0.57 pm (0.01 ng/ml), affirming what has been observed previously by NF-κB EMSA (39) and single cell microscopy (16, 17). Additionally, we found that upon 0.57 pm TNFα stimulation, only 40% of cells showed evidence of IκBα-FLuc degradation and resynthesis, whereas nearly 90% of cells responded at all other concentrations examined; this is in close agreement with previous studies measuring NF-κB nuclear translocation in response to low TNFα concentrations (16, 17). The IκBα-FLuc resynthesis peaks became more defined as concentration increased, offering further evidence that this trend is cell autonomous and suggesting that residual TNFα in the media might drive subsequent secondary rounds of IκBα-FLuc degradation that impact the shape of the IκBα-FLuc resynthesis profile. Furthermore, in both single cells and cell populations, IκBα-FLuc degradation kinetics more closely clustered around the mean and sped up as TNFα concentration increased, although the timing of resynthesis also more closely clustered around the mean but exhibited only a moderate trend toward faster kinetics. Both Tay et al. (17) and Turner et al. (16) similarly noted that the time to peak nuclear NF-κB localization in individual cells tended to decrease and became less variable at higher TNFα concentrations. Thus, even though increasing TNFα concentrations result in both faster IκBα degradation and faster NF-κB nuclear translocation (16, 17), it does not appear to strongly affect the kinetics (timing) of the subsequent NF-κB transcriptional response. Furthermore, although several other groups have described kinetic heterogeneity in NF-κB translocation, we did not observe substantial heterogeneity in the transcriptional kinetics of responsive cells. We did, however, observe tremendous variability in the transcriptional amplitudes of responding cells, suggesting that the kinetic heterogeneity in NF-κB translocation documented by others could result in heterogeneity in the amplitude of downstream transcriptional responses at NF-κB target genes.

Upon modulating TNFα concentration, we also observed a highly reproducible IκBα resynthesis rollover pattern (Figs. 2J and 5C) not previously described. The physiological significance of this phenomenon remains to be characterized, but the fact that it could be modeled in silico (supplemental Fig. S3) by coordinated regulation of IKK activation amplitude and IKK deactivation period suggests these factors as key regulators of downstream responses to levels of TNFα.

Interestingly, the single cell imaging experiments also revealed that 18% of cells continuously stimulated with TNFα exhibited IκBα-FLuc oscillatory behavior, with an approximate period of 130 min (Fig. 3, B and E). This correlates with the NF-κB nuclear/cytoplasmic oscillations observed by others with a period of ~100 min (15–17, 21–24). The slightly longer duty cycle in this study may relate to cell type-specific differences in the pathway or to the time required to transcribe and translate the larger IκBα-FLuc chimeric reporter protein. This oscillation phenomenon was never observed in cells given a 30-s pulse of TNFα or in cells expressing the IκBα (S32A,S36A)-FLuc mutant reporter, highlighting the critical role that secondary IκBα degradation plays in the oscillation phenotype. That we only observed ~18% of cells oscillating may be due to inherent cell-to-cell variability in initial and total NF-κB concentration and/or IκBα translation and degradation.
rates, as was recently identified by Kalita et al. (40) or may result from the κB promoter that has been noted to shift the kinetics of resynthesis somewhat later when compared with the endogenous IκBα promoter (12, 21).

After rigorous characterization of the TNFα-induced response patterns of the κB,→IκBα–FLuc reporter in single cells and cell populations in culture, we interrogated TNFα-induced activation of the IκBα/NF-κB negative feedback loop within mouse livers in vivo (Fig. 4). Somatic gene transfer by hydrodynamic injection is a rapid and convenient strategy to generate mouse models for analysis of cell signaling in vivo (41), and it is a valuable approach to precede or complement development of expensive time-consuming transgenic or knock-in mouse strains.

Our data indicated that circulating TNFα, administered at varying doses, produced IκBα dynamic behaviors in vivo with synchronized kinetics and very high levels of IκBα resynthesis, patterns that were consistent with in cellulo experiments in which TNFα pulse duration was varied (Fig. 4). This strongly suggested that increasing concentrations of circulating TNFα were perceived by liver cells as increases in pulse duration, also plausible given the dual re-circulation physiology of the liver (hepatic arterial and portal venous) as well as bioavailability and hemodilution effects. Moreover, our findings underscore the importance of studying cytokine signaling pathways under conditions of pulsatile exposure (rather than just continuously bathing cells in ligand), which may better reproduce physiological cytokine stimulation paradigms. If pulsatile stimulation paradigms best recapitulate normal NF-κB stimulation conditions in vivo, this may place reservations on the physiological relevance of oscillatory NF-κB stimulation observed during continuous TNFα stimulation of single cells. However, our data lend support to the relevancy of the synchronous NF-κB oscillatory behaviors that are observed upon sequential TNFα pulse stimulations that drive frequency-encoded transcriptional programs (15, 17). In the future, it will be interesting to utilize low light microscopy techniques to investigate single cell responses within native tissues and further assess the physiological relevance of oscillations within the NF-κB pathway in vivo.

Having discovered novel and complex patterns in IκBα dynamics in response to modulation of TNFα pulse duration and concentration in single cells and cell populations, we next sought to investigate potential mechanisms behind these highly reproducible behaviors (Fig. 5). When TNFα was removed from the media any time before the peak of IκBα resynthesis or when IκBα was rendered insensitive to TNFα-induced degradation (i.e. mutant IκBα(S32A,S36A)-FLuc), broad IκBα resynthesis peaks with synchronized kinetics were observed, indicating the critical role that secondary TNFα-induced degradation of IκBα can play in regulating the IκBα/NF-κB negative feedback loop. Previously, we and others discovered a TNFα-induced transient refractory period during which TNFα-preconditioned cells are unable to fully respond (i.e. degrade IκBα) upon a second TNFα challenge until 60–120 min post-preconditioning (12, 15, 42). This refractory period is likely governed by the rate of IκBα/NF-κB nuclear export that repopulates the cytoplasm with IKK-degradable complexes (12, 38, 43, 44). Thus, the observed patterns in IκBα resynthesis dynamics may be a manifestation of this transient refractory period, whereby continuous TNFα is unable to induce subsequent round(s) of IκBα degradation until the passage of this refractory period.

The multiscalar approaches described in this study to image signaling dynamics in single cells, cell populations, and in vivo represent comparatively low cost, high throughput means to rapidly study nearly any cellular signaling pathway. Although the use of synthetic promoters such as κB can enhance sensitivity and enable measurement of subtle changes in transcriptional dynamics, artificial promoters may not be subject to the same set of regulatory processes encoded in the endogenous locus. Similarly, use of reporters comprising small transgenic coding sequences may fail to account for regulatory elements encoded within intronic and nearby enhancer regions of the endogenous gene. Future use of endogenous promoter regions driving properly engineered bioluminescent fusion reporters may provide a more specific understanding of IκBα transcriptional regulation under a variety of stimulation regimens at a variety of scales. In this way it will be possible to most accurately measure the true dynamics of the NF-κB/IκBα negative feedback loop in single cells, populations, and tissues in vivo.

In conclusion, this study revealed that the transcriptionally coupled NF-κB/IκBα negative feedback loop exhibits complex but highly reproducible dynamic patterns in response to modulating TNFα concentration or pulse duration. Interestingly, administration of TNFα at varying doses in vivo resulted in hepatocellular responses that were most consistent with perception of TNFα in vivo as a single concentration administered with increasing pulse duration. Thus, a single bioluminescent reporter strategy enabled rigorous quantitation of NF-κB/IκBα dynamics in both live single cells and cell populations, and it revealed reproducible behaviors that informed interpretation of studies in vivo.
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