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The classical double copy relates exact solutions of gauge, gravity and other theories. Although widely studied, its origins and domain of applicability have remained mysterious. In this letter, we show that a particular incarnation - the Weyl double copy - can be derived using well-established ideas from twistor theory. As well as explaining where the Weyl double copy comes from, the twistor formalism also shows that it is more general than previously thought.

INTRODUCTION

The study of fundamental physics is dominated by (non-abelian) gauge theories, which underly particle physics, and General Relativity (GR), which describes astrophysics and cosmology. Intriguing similarities between these theories have emerged in recent years, and we will here concentrate on the classical double copy that provides a map between solutions of different field equations, itself inspired by a similar procedure for (quantum) scattering amplitudes [1, 2]. In general, one can only relate classical solutions at a fixed order in perturbation theory [3–38]. However, in some cases it is possible to make statements about exact solutions of ref. [50], which we discuss below. This relies on a well-known formalism (see e.g. refs. [70–72]) which recasts the usual tensorial field equations for electromagnetism and GR in terms of 2-component spinors $\pi_A$ and their complex conjugates $\bar{\psi}_A$. Every spacetime index of a tensor field can be converted to a pair of spinorial indices (and vice versa) by contracting with Infeld-van der Waerden symbols $\sigma^\mu_{AA}$. Furthermore, spinors with multiple (un)primed indices can always be decomposed into sums of products of Levi-Civita symbols and fully symmetric spinors. For example, the field strength tensor of electromagnetism has the spinorial translation

$$F_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow F_{AA'BB'} = \phi_{AB}\epsilon_{AB'} + \bar{\psi}_{AB}\epsilon_{AB'},$$

where the symmetric spinors $\phi_{AB}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{AB}$ turn out to correspond separately to the anti-self-dual and self-dual parts in spacetime. In GR, we will be concerned with vacuum spacetimes, for which the Riemann tensor reduces to the Weyl tensor, with spinorial translation

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rightarrow \Psi_{ABCD}\epsilon_{AB'C'D'} + \bar{\Psi}_{AB'C'D'}\epsilon_{ABCD},$$

such that $\Psi_{ABCD}$ ($\bar{\Psi}_{AB'C'D'}$) is the self-dual (anti-self-dual) part, and the former is called the Weyl spinor. The vacuum electromagnetic and GR equations are special cases of the general massless free-field equation

$$\nabla AA'\phi_{AB...E} = 0, \quad \nabla AA'\bar{\psi}_{AB...E} = 0,$$

where $\phi_{AB...E}$ is assumed symmetric, with 2s indices for a field of spin $s$, and $\nabla AA'$ is the appropriate spinorial translation of the spacetime covariant derivative. Any symmetric spinor factorises into a symmetrised product of one-index principal spinors, allowing one to classify solutions of different theories. Electromagnetic spinors are null (non-null) if their principal spinors are (non)-proportional. Weyl spinors with no common principal spinors are called Petrov type I, and the possible patterns of degeneracy $\{2, 1, 1\}, \{3, 1\}, \{2, 2\}$ and $\{4\}$ are types II, III, D and N respectively.

Given two (possibly equal) electromagnetic field strength spinors $\phi_{AB}$, $\bar{\psi}_{AB}$, the Weyl double copy of ref. [50] states that one may construct a Weyl spinor according to the rule

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{S} \phi_{(AB}\bar{\psi}_{CD)},$$

where $S(x)$ is a scalar field. This procedure was argued to hold for arbitrary type D vacuum spacetimes in ref. [50], where the scalar $S$ could then be found in particular examples by matching both sides of eq. (4). All of these solutions have the property that they linearise the Einstein equations, so that the derivative in eq. (4) may be taken to be in flat space.

Applications of the double copy range across many different areas of physics, including new methods for investigating gravitational waves and / or insights into quantum gravity (see e.g. ref. [73]), connections between gauge / gravity theories and fluid dynamics [61], relations between novel optical systems and gravity [74, 75], and studies of magnetic monopoles and topology [54]. But quite how general the double copy is, and where it ultimately comes from, have up until now remained mysterious [97], with many open questions: (i) why is it possible to formulate an exact double copy in position space, when the original procedure for amplitudes [1, 2] is in momentum space? (ii) How can one systematically fix the scalar function $S(x)$, and is there a well-defined procedure for the inverse zeroth copy that relates this to a gauge theory...
solution? (iii) Can one generalise the Weyl or Kerr-Schild double copies to curved spacetime (see refs. \[42, 43, 70\] for related work)? (iv) Can one generalise the Weyl double copy to less algebraically special cases (i.e. other Petrov types)? We will be able to answer all of these questions, by travelling to twistor space! Twistor methods have been highly successful in the study of scattering amplitudes (see e.g. ref. \[77–81\]). The present study, however, constitutes the first application to the exact double copy of classical solutions.

## Twistor Space and Penrose Transforms

Twistor space (see e.g. refs. \[71, 82, 83\]) \(\mathbb{T}\) corresponds to the set of solutions of the *twistor equation*

\[
\bigwedge^2 \! (\! A \! \Omega^B \! ) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Omega^A = \omega^A - i x^A A' \pi_{A'},
\]

(5)

where the second equation gives the general solution in Minkowski space. We may thus associate solutions of eq. (5) with four-component objects (“twistors”) containing a pair of spinors:

\[
Z^\alpha = (\omega^A, \pi_A).
\]

(6)

whose Minkowski space “location” is defined by \(\Omega^A = 0\). From eq. (5), this implies the *incidence relation*

\[
\omega^A = i x^A A' \pi_{A'},
\]

(7)

which is invariant under rescalings \(Z^\alpha \rightarrow \lambda Z^\alpha\). Thus, twistors obeying eq. (7) are points in *projective twistor space* \(\mathbb{PT}\). A fixed point \(x^\mu\) in Minkowski space maps to a complex line in \(\mathbb{PT}\), corresponding to the *celestial sphere* of null directions at \(x^\mu\). Considering the conjugate equation to eq. (5), we may also define dual twistors \(W_\alpha\) and an inner product \(Z^\alpha W_\alpha\), which turns out to be conformally invariant. Then the *Penrose transform*

\[
\phi_{A'B'C'D'}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \pi_B' d\pi^{E'} \pi_A' \pi_{B'} \cdots \pi_{C'} [\rho_x f(Z^\alpha)],
\]

(8)

relates holomorphic twistor functions \(f(Z^\alpha)\) in \(\mathbb{PT}\) (i.e. involving no non-constant dual twistors) with spacetime fields, where \(\rho_x\) denotes restriction to the celestial sphere of spacetime point \(x^\alpha\) in \(\mathbb{PT}\), and \(\Gamma\) is an arbitrary contour separating any poles. For consistency, the function \(f(Z^\alpha)\) must be homogeneous under twistor rescalings with degree \((-n-2)\), where \(n\) is the number of indices appearing on the left-hand side. Equation (8) solves the spin-\(n\) massless field equation of eq. (3), except for the scalar case, which instead satisfies the conformally invariant wave equation with Ricci scalar \(R\):

\[
\left( \Box + \frac{R}{6} \right) \phi = 0.
\]

(9)

### The Weyl Double Copy from Twistor Space

We can now state the main result of our paper, namely a derivation of the Weyl double copy from twistor space. Consider a pair of homogeneity -4 twistor functions \(\{f^{(1,2)}_{EM}\}\), which will necessarily correspond to electromagnetic solutions \(\phi^{(1,2)}_{A'B'}\) in spacetime by eq. (8). One may then combine them with a homogeneity -2 function \(f_{scal}\) (corresponding to a spacetime scalar \(\phi\)) to make a homogeneity -6 function according to

\[
f_{grav} = \frac{f^{(1)}_{EM} f^{(2)}_{EM}}{f_{scal}}. \tag{10}
\]

This leads to a (linearised) gravity solution \(\phi_{A'B'C'D'}\) in spacetime, implying that there will be some sort of spacetime relationship between electromagnetic, scalar and gravitational fields. Our claim is that, for suitable functions, this is precisely the mixed Weyl double copy \(\Phi\)

\[
\phi_{A'B'C'D'} = \frac{\phi^{(1)}_{A'B'} \phi^{(2)}_{C'D'}}{\phi}. \tag{11}
\]

We have here synchronised our notation with eq. (3), but \(\phi_{A'B'C'D'}\) and \(\phi\) are the conjugates of the quantities \(\Psi_{ABCD}\) and \(S\) appearing in eq. (1). In order to determine the functions we must use in eq. (11), we may rely on the observation that if a twistor function has an \(m\)-th order pole, its corresponding spacetime field has an \((n - m + 1)\)-fold principal spinor, where \(n\) is the number of spinor indices (see e.g. ref. \[71\]). We may then choose the function \(f_{scal}\) to have poles at the same locations in twistor space as the functions \(f^{(1)}_{EM}\) and \(f^{(2)}_{EM}\), and we may choose the order of the poles in each case so as to reproduce eq. (11) in spacetime. To see how this works, consider the functions

\[
f_m = \left[ Q_{\alpha\beta} Z^\alpha Z^\beta \right]^{-m-1} \equiv \frac{1}{m!} \left[ \frac{N^{-1}(x)}{(\xi - \xi_1(x))(\xi - \xi_2(x))} \right]^{-m}, \tag{12}
\]

for some constant dual twistor \(Q_{\alpha\beta}\), where \(m = 1, 2\) and 3 for the scalar, electromagnetic and gravity cases respectively. In the second equation, we have chosen homogeneous coordinates \(\pi_A' = (1, \xi), \xi \in \mathbb{C}\). The resulting roots in \(\xi\) and normalisation factor \(N(x)\) gain their position dependence from the use of the incidence relation of eq. (7). One then finds that the Penrose transform in the general spin \((m - 1)\)-case is

\[
\Phi_{A'B'...C'} = \frac{N^m(x)}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \xi^{(m-1)} \xi_1 (1, \xi_A' (1, \xi_B') \cdots (1, \xi_C') \xi^{m-1}(\xi - \xi_1)^{m-1}(\xi - \xi_2)^{m-1}. \tag{13}
\]
The contour $\Gamma$ is defined on the Riemann sphere of $\xi$, and is such that it must separate the poles at $\xi = \xi_1$ and $\xi = \xi_2$. Choosing to enclose the first of these poles, one finds spacetime fields

$$\phi = \frac{N(x)}{\xi_1 - \xi_2}, \quad \phi_{A'B'} = -\frac{N^2(x)}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^3} \alpha(A' \beta B')$$

$$\phi_{A'B'C'D'} = \frac{N^3(x)}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^5} \alpha(A' \beta B' \alpha C' \beta D'),$$

(14)

where the principal spinors occurring in these equations are given by

$$\alpha = (1, \xi_1), \quad \beta = (1, \xi_2).$$

(15)

It is evident that the fields of eq. (14) obey eq. (11), as required. Furthermore, the gravity field in eq. (14) is clearly of type D, where the right pattern (2,2) of degenerate principal spinors arises from choosing two distinct third order poles for $f_{\text{grav}}$, in twistor space.

To illustrate the above, we may consider a simple special case of eq. (12), namely the self-dual Schwarzschild / Taub-NUT solution, which is generated by choosing $60$

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(16)

One then finds

$$\xi_{1,2} = -\frac{z \pm r}{(x + iy)(x \pm iy)} = \frac{x - iy}{x \pm iy}, \quad N(x) = \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{(x + iy)},$$

(17)

where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ is the spherical radial coordinate. From eq. (14), the biadjoint scalar function $\phi$ associated with this solution is given by

$$\phi = \frac{i}{r \sqrt{2}}.$$  

(18)

This agrees with the function $S(x)$ presented in ref. [50], up to an overall normalisation constant. However, their function $S(x)$ is itself only defined up to an overall constant, so this is not a problem. Furthermore, given the principal spinors of eqs. (15, 17), one may convert to the Kerr-Schild form of the classical double copy by contracting each with the relevant Infeld-van-der-Waerden symbols [71] to obtain the spacetime vectors:

$$k^\pm_{\mu} \propto (1, \pm \frac{x}{r}, \pm \frac{y}{r}, \pm \frac{z}{r}).$$  

(19)

These are indeed the two possible choices of null vector entering the Kerr-Schild double copy approach of ref. [39].

In general, the Weyl double copy becomes especially elegant in twistor space: it relies on simple products of scalar functions, whereas the spacetime fields involve products of lower-rank spinors followed by symmetrisation over indices. However, the twistor space formulation is much more than a simple rewriting. Once one has found the set of functions in eq. (12) for use in eq. (10), the known properties of the Penrose transform guarantee that there exist corresponding spacetime fields, and that these obey the Weyl double copy. Furthermore, the functional form of eq. (12) is sufficient to produce the self-dual part of the most general type D vacuum solution [84, 85], thus encompasses the solutions considered in ref. [50]. Hence the twistor framework provides a derivation of both the form, and the previously considered scope, of the Weyl double copy. In doing so, it also explains why the Weyl double copy (and its related Kerr-Schild counterpart) operate directly in position space, as it is the latter that arises from the Penrose transform.

Our argument here is confined to linearised equations of motion only, due to the limitations of the Penrose transform. However, for the type D vacuum solutions considered in ref. [54], all of them linearise their respective field equations, so that the Weyl double copy can be promoted to an exact statement. It may also be generalised, in principle, to arbitrary conformally flat background spacetimes, formalising previous exploratory work in this direction [42, 43]. To see this, note that the twistor description is manifestly conformally invariant (as stated above), so that upon obtaining the spacetime fields of eq. (14), one may transform each one to a given background spacetime according to the usual conformal transformation rules for multi-index spinors [71]. The resulting fields can then be interpreted as related by the double copy in the new background.

THE INVERSE ZEROTH COPY

As mentioned above, it has not previously been clear how to fix the scalar function that appears in the Weyl double copy. In both this and the Kerr-Schild approach, it is also not obvious how to precisely formulate an inverse zeroth copy that relates a given scalar field to corresponding gauge and gravity solutions. The latter contain extra kinematic information (associated with the principal null directions of the field strength and Weyl tensor respectively) that appears entirely absent in the biadjoint theory. The twistor approach solves this problem: the principal null directions of the gauge and gravity fields are uniquely fixed by the poles of the corresponding twistor space functions, as evidenced directly in eq. (15). What’s more, this information is already present in the scalar function $(m = 1)$ of eq. (12). The twistor picture thus reveals, for the first time, how the biadjoint field “knows” about the structure of the resulting gauge and gravity fields!
BEYOND TYPE D SOLUTIONS

So far, we have reproduced the type D Weyl double copy of ref. [50], by choosing a particular set of functions (eq. (12)) for use in eq. (10). However, we can clearly allow for a more general set of functions to be used, and in doing so the twistor language allows us to extend the Weyl double copy to solutions other than Petrov type D.

To find a concrete example, we may use a particularly well-studied class of holomorphic twistor functions, namely elementary states (see e.g. ref. [71]), which consist of ratios of factors of the form \((A_\alpha Z^\alpha)\), where \(A_\alpha\) is a constant dual twistor. Such functions were originally motivated as alternatives to plane-wave states in examining scattering processes via twistor space, but have been reconsidered in a recent series of papers [72, 80, 90], where they are shown to give rise to topologically non-trivial configurations of electromagnetic and gravitational fields, where the field lines form torus knots. Knotted magnetic fields are of great interest due to their potential role in stabilising nuclear fusion processes, and for stellar structure [91]. Furthermore, finding gravitational counterparts of interesting electromagnetic solutions may guide experimental efforts to emulate gravitational waves [92].

In particular, consider the Penrose transform pair [80]

\[
\frac{1}{(A_\alpha Z^\alpha)^{1+a}(B_\alpha Z^\alpha)^{1+b}} \rightarrow \left(\frac{2}{\Omega |x-y|^2}\right)^{a+b+1} A_{\alpha_1} \cdots A_{\alpha_{k-1}} B_{\beta_{k+1}} \cdots B_{\beta_k},
\]

where \(a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\), the curly spinors are defined by

\[
A_\alpha Z^\alpha \equiv A^\alpha \pi_{\alpha'}, \quad B_\beta Z^\beta \equiv B^{\beta'} \pi_{\beta'},
\]

and

\[
A_\alpha = (\mu_\alpha, \lambda'), \quad B_\alpha = (\sigma_\alpha, \psi'^{\alpha'}), \\
\Omega = \mu_B \sigma^B, \quad y^{A'} = i \sigma^A \lambda' - \mu^A \psi'^{A'},
\]

For \(a = b = 0\), we obtain the scalar field

\[
\phi = \frac{2}{\Omega |x-y|^2}.
\]

One may construct twistor functions of homogeneity -4 by choosing \((a, b) = (1, 1)\) or \((0, 2)\), leading to the two respective electromagnetic spinors

\[
\phi^{(1,1)}_{A'B'} = \left(\frac{2}{\Omega |x-y|^2}\right)^3 A_{A'} B_{B'},
\]

\[
\phi^{(0,2)}_{A'B'} = \left(\frac{2}{\Omega |x-y|^2}\right)^3 A_{A'} A_{B'}.
\]

Using these in the mixed Weyl double copy of eq. (11), one can generate a number of different Weyl spinors:

\[
\phi^{(1,1)\times(1,1)}_{A'B'C'D'} = \left(\frac{2}{\Omega |x-y|^2}\right)^5 A_{(A'B'C'D')},
\]

\[
\phi^{(1,1)\times(0,2)}_{A'B'C'D'} = \left(\frac{2}{\Omega |x-y|^2}\right)^5 A_{(A'B'C'D')},
\]

\[
\phi^{(0,2)\times(0,2)}_{A'B'C'D'} = \left(\frac{2}{\Omega |x-y|^2}\right)^5 A_{(A'B'C'D')},
\]

and it is easily checked that these are the fields that arise upon multiplying the corresponding functions in twistor space according to eq. (10), and then performing the Penrose transform to position space. The first and third of these examples are Petrov type D and N respectively. However, the second (as already noted in ref. [80]) is Petrov type III. This thus goes beyond the original formulation of the Weyl double copy in ref. [50]. The price one pays, however, is that such solutions are restricted to linear level only.

CONCLUSION

We have presented a twistor space derivation of the exact classical double copy, that reproduces the Weyl double copy of ref. [50], itself equivalent to the Kerr-Schild double copy of ref. [39], where they overlap. It resolves a number of questions, which we labelled above: (i) the Penrose transform of eq. (5) relates twistor functions to spacetime fields in position space, thus explaining why a position space exact copy is possible; (ii) the scalar function \(S(x)\) in eq. (1) is predicted exactly by the twistor approach, and its poles in twistor space already “know” what the principal spinors in the gauge and gravity solutions will be, thus providing an explicit interpretation for the inverse zeroth copy; (iii) conformal invariance of the twistor space formulation implies that the classical double copy should immediately generalise to conformally flat spacetimes, formalising the exploratory results of refs. [42, 43], and where the biadjoint field should obey eq. (9); (iv) our new approach leads to Petrov types other than type D (or N), thereby broadening the scope of the Weyl double copy.

We expect that the twistor language could have a number of uses, including providing new explicit examples of the classical double copy, and to ascertain its scope (e.g. by showing which Petrov types are (not) possible). In line with the general remarks above, it would be interesting to formulate explicit examples of double copies in conformally flat backgrounds, including those of astrophysical relevance. We also note that the twistor language can in principle be extended beyond linear level, using appropriate generalisations of the Penrose transform [63, 64].

Our methods have been manifestly four-dimensional. For higher dimensions, it may be more sensible to use an
ambitwistor approach in which (dual) twisters are placed on a more equal footing, as has proven useful for scattering amplitudes. In any case, given the role that twistor theory has played in many different areas of physics and mathematics, we hope that this letter attracts the interest of communities who have been previously unaware of the fascinating subject of the double copy.

Acknowledgments

I thank the participants of the “QCD Meets Gravity” workshop for useful discussions, and am especially grateful to Dr. Michael Simmonds for his encouragement to make these results more widely available in the first place. This work has been supported by the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) Consolidated Grant ST/P000754/1 “String theory, gauge theory and duality”, and by the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 764850 “SAGEX”.

[1] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 061602 (2010), 1004.0476.
[2] Z. Bern, T. Dennen, Y.-t. Huang, and M. Kiermaier, Phys. Rev. D82, 065003 (2010), 1004.0693.
[3] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, A. Ochirov, D. O’Connell, N. Westerberg, and C. D. White, JHEP 04, 069 (2017), 1611.07508.
[4] W. D. Goldberger and A. K. Ridgway, Phys. Rev. D95, 125010 (2017), 1611.03493.
[5] W. D. Goldberger, S. G. Prabhu, and J. O. Thompson, Phys. Rev. D96, 065009 (2017), 1705.00637.
[6] W. D. Goldberger and A. K. Ridgway, Phys. Rev. D97, 085019 (2018), 1711.09493.
[7] W. D. Goldberger, J. Li, and S. G. Prabhu, Phys. Rev. D97, 105018 (2018), 1712.09250.
[8] C.-H. Shen (2018), 1806.03788.
[9] M. Carrillo-Gonzalez, R. Penco, and M. Trodden (2018), 1809.04611.
[10] J. Plefka, J. Steinhoff, and W. Wormsbecher (2018), 1807.00859.
[11] J. Plefka, C. Shi, J. Steinhoff, and T. Wang (2019), 1906.05875.
[12] W. D. Goldberger and J. Li (2019), 1912.01650.
[13] A. PV and A. Manu (2019), 1907.10021.
[14] A. Anastasiou, L. Borsten, M. J. Duff, L. J. Hughes, and S. Nagy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 231606 (2014), 1408.4434.
[15] L. Borsten and M. J. Duff, Phys. Scripta 90, 108012 (2015), 1602.08267.
[16] A. Anastasiou, L. Borsten, M. J. Duff, M. J. Hughes, A. Marrani, S. Nagy, and M. Zoccali, Phys. Rev. D96, 026013 (2017), 1610.07192.
[17] G. L. Cardoso, S. Nagy, and S. Nampuri, JHEP 10, 127 (2016), 1609.05022.
A. Luna, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. O’Connell, Class. Quant. Grav. 36, 065003 (2019), 1810.08183.

K. Lee (2018), 1807.08443.

W. Cho and K. Lee, JHEP 07, 030 (2019), 1904.11650.

K. Kim, K. Lee, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. Peinador Veiga (2019), 1912.02177.

L. Alfonsi, C. D. White, and S. Wikeley (2020), 2004.07181.

N. Bahjat-Abbas, R. Stark-Mucho, and C. D. White (2020), 2004.07181.

L. Alfonsi, C. D. White, and S. Wikeley (2020), 2004.07181.

K. Kim, K. Lee, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. Peinador Veiga (2019), 1912.02177.

L. Mason and D. Skinner, JHEP 01, 046 (2020), 1906.10100.

Y.-T. Huang, U. Kol, and D. O’Connell, JHEP 01, 014 (2020), 1909.05217.

R. Alawadhi, D. S. Berman, and B. Spence, JHEP 09, 127 (2020), 2007.03264.

D. A. Easson, C. Keeler, and T. Manton, Phys. Rev. D 102, 086015 (2020), 2007.16186.

E. Casali and A. Puhm (2020), 2006.08283.

R. Penrose and W. Rindler, Spinors and Space-Time, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2011), ISBN 978-0-521-33707-6, 978-0-521-33707-6.

R. Penrose and W. Rindler, SPINORS AND TWISTOR METHODS IN SPACE-TIME GEOMETRY, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 1988), ISBN 978-0-521-34786-0, 978-0-511-86842-9.

J. Stewart, Advanced general relativity, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 1994), ISBN 978-0-521-44946-5, 978-0-511-87118-4.

Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, and R. Roiban (2019), 1909.01358.

S. M. Barnett, New J.Phys. 16, 023027 (2014).