Production and Optimization of GLP-HDPE Composite Materials Using the Robust Taguchi Technique

Sunday JATAU
Danjuma Saleh Yawas
Laminu Shettima Kuburi
Bassey Okon Samuel (basseyokon59@gmail.com)
Ahmadu Bello University  https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9104-7063

Research Article

Keywords: Optimization, Modelling, ANOVA, Composite, Modulus, HDPE

Posted Date: February 10th, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1330643/v1

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.  Read Full License
Abstract

With the increase in the use of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) products and the serious long-term hazard it poses to the environment, there has been an increasing need to decrease the release of these materials to the environment as waste. This study sets out to develop and optimize the mechanical properties of GLP-HDPE composite, a sustainable material from agro residues (ginger leaves particles (GLP)) and high-density polyethylene waste materials. The Taguchi robust technique, analysis of variance, and regression analysis were employed to optimize, analyze and model the behavior of the composite materials in respect to developmental factors of particle size and particle content. The optimum bending modulus of elasticity (MOE), modulus of rupture (MOR) and impact energy (IE) of the developed composites was 2490MPa, 11.90MPa, and 4.1J at particle size/particle content combination of 710µm/35%, 520µm/35%, and 710µm/45% respectively. Analysis of variance at 95% confidence level showed that the particle content and a significant effect on the MOE, MOR, and IE of the GLP-HDPE composite with a minimum percentage contribution of 71.61%. Equations for predicting the MOE, MOR, and IE of the composites were developed with good prediction accuracy.

1.0 Introduction

Recently, the major focus on materials research has been the conversion of waste materials to reusable products. That is, converting waste to wealth, an idea of the "circular economy" (Sidakar et al., 2020; Jain et al., 2022). HDPE is a popular material used in everyday activities like food and goods packaging, furniture making, etc. Most of these are usually incinerated when out of use and due to their low degradability, lead to a large accumulation of it, posing health risks to humans and ecological hazards to the water bodies (Hariharan et al., 2021). The possibility of recycling these waste products may not only be of economic benefit but also great ecological benefit (Gopinath et al., 2020). Also recently, there has been the development of new composite materials with these waste HDPE materials. Although several reports can be found in the literature about the recycling of plastic materials, only a few focus on recovering and molding them in a new process. Laria et al. (2020) analyzed the mechanical properties of a material formed by 100% recycled plastics: polyethylene terephthalate and low-/high-density polyethylene without previous separation or washing and drying pretreatments. Its macro and microscopic structure were studied and described, and formulations of different compound rates were analyzed. Good mechanical properties obtained reinforce the claims on its ability to be applied for the development of structural components. Also, Koffi et al. (2021) measured the drop weight impact, Izod impact strength, hardness, tensile strength, and elastic modulus of birch-fiber-reinforced HDPE obtained by injection molding. It was observed that the Shore D hardness of virgin HDPE increased by 47.4% with an increase in fiber content from 0–30%. Also, the improvement of the elastic modulus of the composite with 40% fiber was 27.2% superior to a similar material made by compression molding reported earlier. Koffi's study concluded that Birch-fiber-reinforced HDPE could be an adequate alternative to technical polymers widely used in several industrial sectors. Their results show the possibility of converting these waste and hazardous materials into usable products. Although most of these works have used scarce materials like wood particles, foliage plants, etc. which still questions the sustainability of the materials.

A broad spectrum of different biomass waste materials, which are underutilized, bio-renewable, and biodegradable, is produced across the globe in enormous quantities (Mehmood et al., 2021). Since many agro materials are unusable as animal feeds due to their high fiber content or chemical properties, they are usually disposed of (Maraveas et al., 2020). Different studies have been carried out to prove the usability of some of these agro residues in the development of composite materials (Hasanin et al., 2021) just as Nagarajan et al. (2020) utilized agro waste products for structural applications instead of wood. In their work, the α-cellulosic micro filler, which is isolated from Cocos nucifera var Aurantiaca Peduncle (CAP) through the chemical treatment process, is systematically utilized as a reinforcement in thermoset epoxy polymers as a replacement for manmade carbon, ceramic fillers, wood-derived and unsustainable products. The results on mechanical properties such as tensile, flexural, impact test revealed that these properties of the α-cellulosic micro filler reinforced epoxy composites increased in linear nature for 3 wt% to 15 wt% of filler loading and 15 wt% shows the superior behavior in their mechanical properties. This shows that beyond the normal perception of natural fiber reinforced polymer
composites to have less mechanical properties than their synthetic fiber-reinforced composites, improvements can be made to obtain better performance. And one important factor in the development of good performing materials from agro residue is the careful selection of the reinforcement material.

This implies that beyond the use as foliage for animals, some of these agro residues can be converted to usable materials. Ginger leaves fibers are abundant in many parts of the world and predominantly in the Sahel Savannah regions like Northern Nigeria. Only the root of the crop known as Ginger is used for different purposes such as spicing for food, raw materials for the pharmaceutical industry, etc. but the potential in their highly fibrous leaves has been untapped. In this study composite materials will be developed using particles of the ginger leaves fibers as reinforcement.

Natural fiber-reinforced polymer composites have increasingly been studied. One of the major drawbacks of these fiber composites is their inferior mechanical properties in comparison with synthetic fiber-reinforced polymer composites. Although improvements to these have been made by treating the composites. Studies like Samuel et al. (2021) have proven the possibility of using natural fibers to strengthen and improve the mechanical behavior of polymers when used as reinforcement. This shows that the drawback of lower mechanical properties of the natural fiber reinforced composites can be improved upon.

The Taguchi robust optimization technique is a statistical process of experimental design in which carefully selected variable parameters at different levels combine and the optimum levels of these combinations which produce the best effects are systematically determined. Samuel et al. (2021) applied the Taguchi method in the development of a natural fiber-reinforced polymer material. The approach used brought about an improvement in the tensile property of the material. In the Taguchi approach, the effect (response) is first determined. The variable parameters (control factors) are then carefully selected and an orthogonal array in which the factors interact through their levels is generated. Experimentation is carried out using the combination of the orthogonal array and the results are analyzed. Then a confirmation experiment is carried out to confirm the prediction made during the analysis. The Taguchi experimental design process enables the determination of the optimal process of systems with minimal experimentation to spend resources. In this process, the S/N (signal to noise) ratio is used which is a noise absorber (reduced noise). The S/N ratio can be referred to as the “higher the better” when the output (response or effect) is expected to be high. E.g. tensile strength, modulus of elasticity, modulus of rupture, impact energy, etc. It is referred to as “nominal the best” when it is expected to be in a range. E.g. body temperature, population growth, etc. The S/N ratio is referred to as the “lower the better” when the response is expected to be below. E.g. wear rate, cost, processing time, etc. These S/N ratios can be calculated using Equations 1, 2, and 3 (Yang et al. 1998).

\[
\left( \frac{S}{N} \right)_{HTB} = -10 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{y_i^2} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \frac{S}{N} \right)_{NTB} = 10 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i^2}{S^2} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \frac{S}{N} \right)_{LTB} = -10 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 \right)
\]
Where \( n \) is the number of experiments, \( y_i \) represents the response value of the \( i^{th} \) experiment in the orthogonal array, \( y \) indicates the mean, and \( S^2 \) the variance of the observed data. Also, \((S/N)\) represents the Signal to Noise Ratio, and acronyms HTB, NTB, and LTB stand for the Higher the Better, Nominal the Best, and Lower the Better, respectively. Different studies have been carried out in which the Taguchi method was applied in the optimization of different systems or processes (Abifarin, 2021; Samuel et al., 2021).

This study is concerned with developing composites of ginger leaves particle (GLP) reinforced high-density polyethylene (HDPE) polymer composite (GLP-HDPE) and the application of the Taguchi method in the optimization of its mechanical properties. The best composition of the ginger leaves particles content and the particle size which will produce the optimum modulus of elasticity, modulus of rupture, and impact energy will be investigated using this technique. Also, the behavior of the effect of these materials will be modeled and simulated using regression analysis.

2.0 Experimentation

Materials

The ginger leaves were obtained from local ginger farmers in Gidan Mana, Kachia local government area of Kaduna state, Nigeria. The waste high-density polyethylene (HDPE) yogurt bottles were collected directly from the streets of Zaria and around refuse dumps in the school (Ahmadu Bello University Zaria). NaOH was directly procured from Sigma Aldrich. And distilled water was prepared in the lab.

Preparation

Treatment of the leaves was carried out according to the methods of Ighalo et al. (2021). The leaves were washed clean with distilled water and soaked in NaOH for 3 hours for fiber treatment. The treated leaves were removed and dried under atmospheric temperature. The dried leaves were ground and sieved to different particle sizes using sieves of 420\(\mu m\), 520\(\mu m\), and 710\(\mu m\).

The HDPE materials were washed thoroughly and sun-dried. The dried HDPE materials were shredded into pieces and mixing was carried out using two roll mills according to the orthogonal array from the Taguchi design. The roll mixing process was carried out under a temperature of 130°C. The rolled composites were then transferred to a steel mold which was transferred to the compression machine (Carver-3851) where they were pressed under a pressure of 10MPa at a temperature of 150°C for a holding time of 15mins as in Jaafar et al. (2019).

Characterizations

Bending modulus of Elasticity (MOE) and Modulus of Rupture (MOR)

The bending modulus of elasticity (MOE) and modulus of rupture (MOR) of the GLP-HDPE composites was calculated from load-deflection curves according to BS EN 312: 2003. The bending specimens of 50 mm wide 275mm long were cut from each combination of the orthogonal Table. A concentrated bending load was applied at the center with a span of 15 times the thickness of the specimen.

\[
MOR = \frac{3P_{b}L}{2bh^{2}}
\]
\[ MOE = \frac{P_{bp}L^3}{4bh^3Y_p} \]

Where:

- \( P_b \) = the maximum load (N);
- \( P_{bp} \) = the load at the proportional limit (N);
- \( Y_p \) = the deflection corresponding to \( P_{bp}(\text{mm}) \);
- \( b \) = the width of the specimen (mm);
- \( h \) = the thickness of the specimen (mm);
- \( L \) = the span (mm).

Impact Energy

The impact test of the composites samples was conducted in the Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria using a fully instrumented Charpy impact testing machine.

A Charpy impact test was conducted on notched samples. Standard square impact test samples measuring 80x 10 x 10mm with notch depth of 2mm and a notch tip radius of 0. 02mm at an angle of 45° was used (ASTM, 2000), and the results were recorded in Joules (J).

Experimental Design

The mechanical properties optimized include the bending modulus of elasticity, modulus of rupture, and impact energy. The variable parameters which will be considered in the optimization of the GLP-HDPE composites include the ginger leaves particle size and the ginger leaves particle content in weight percent. The variable parameters and their levels considered are presented in Table 1.

| S/N | Factor                  | Levels  |
|-----|-------------------------|---------|
|     |                         | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 1   | Particle Size (µm) (A)  | 420 520 710 |
| 2   | Particle Content (wt. %) (B) | 30 35 40 45 50 |

A mixed level design was adopted with the particle size having 3 levels (420µm, 520µm, and 710µm). The particle content in weight percent was considered in 5 levels (30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, and 50%). The array for the full combination of the factors and their levels are presented in Table 2.
Table 2
Orthogonal array for factor and levels combination

| SN | GLP Size (µm) | GLP Content (wt. %) |
|----|---------------|---------------------|
| 1  | 420           | 30                  |
| 2  | 420           | 35                  |
| 3  | 420           | 40                  |
| 4  | 420           | 45                  |
| 5  | 420           | 50                  |
| 6  | 520           | 30                  |
| 7  | 520           | 35                  |
| 8  | 520           | 40                  |
| 9  | 520           | 45                  |
| 10 | 520           | 50                  |
| 11 | 710           | 30                  |
| 12 | 710           | 35                  |
| 13 | 710           | 40                  |
| 14 | 710           | 45                  |
| 15 | 710           | 50                  |

Since it was a two-factor mixed-level setting, a full factorial design with 15 different combinations (runs) was obtained. The development of the composite was carried out using the mixed level full factorial orthogonal array presented. The Minitab®19 software will be used for the result analysis.

3.0 Results And Discussion

Figure 1 shows the produced ginger leaves particles in different particle sizes.

The mean and S/N ratio for bending modulus of elasticity, modulus of rupture, and the impact energy are presented in Table 3. The S/N ratio for the three effects was calculated based on the higher the better criteria represented with Equation 1.
### Table 3
Mean and S/N Ratio of Bending Modulus of Elasticity, Modulus of Rupture, and Impact Energy

| SN | GLP Size (µm) | GLP Content (wt. %) | MOE Mean (MPa) | S/N (dB) | MOR Mean (MPa) | S/N (dB) | IE Mean (J) | S/N (dB) |
|----|---------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| 1  | 420           | 30                  | 2340           | 67.3843  | 10.51          | 20.4321  | 1.1         | 0.8279   |
| 2  | 420           | 35                  | 2450           | 67.7833  | 11.70          | 21.3637  | 1.9         | 5.5751   |
| 3  | 420           | 40                  | 2400           | 67.6042  | 11.58          | 21.2742  | 2.8         | 8.9432   |
| 4  | 420           | 45                  | 1700           | 64.6090  | 10.05          | 20.0433  | 3.4         | 10.6296  |
| 5  | 420           | 50                  | 900            | 59.0849  | 7.37           | 17.3493  | 2.6         | 8.2995   |
| 6  | 520           | 30                  | 2370           | 67.4950  | 10.63          | 20.5307  | 1.3         | 2.2789   |
| 7  | 520           | 35                  | 2470           | 67.8539  | 11.90          | 21.5109  | 2.2         | 6.8485   |
| 8  | 520           | 40                  | 2410           | 67.6403  | 11.62          | 21.3041  | 3.0         | 9.5424   |
| 9  | 520           | 45                  | 1950           | 65.8007  | 10.25          | 20.2145  | 3.8         | 11.5957  |
| 10 | 520           | 50                  | 950            | 59.5545  | 7.59           | 17.6048  | 2.8         | 8.9432   |
| 11 | 710           | 30                  | 2330           | 67.3471  | 10.80          | 20.6685  | 2.0         | 6.0206   |
| 12 | 710           | 35                  | 2490           | 67.9240  | 11.65          | 21.3265  | 2.9         | 9.2480   |
| 13 | 710           | 40                  | 2400           | 67.6042  | 11.52          | 21.2290  | 3.3         | 10.3703  |
| 14 | 710           | 45                  | 2280           | 67.1587  | 9.50           | 19.5545  | 4.1         | 12.2557  |
| 15 | 710           | 50                  | 2100           | 66.4444  | 6.12           | 15.7350  | 3.1         | 9.8272   |
|    | Mean          |                     | 2102.67        | 66.0859  | 10.186         | 20.00941 | 2.687       | 8.0804   |

Where MOE is the bending modulus of elasticity, MOR is the modulus of rupture and IE is the impact energy. The general mean for the MOE, MOR, and IE are 2102.67MPa, 10.186MPa, and 2.687J respectively and their S/N ratios are 66.0859dB, 20.00941dB, and 8.0804dB respectively. The response table for the means and S/N ratios of the MOE, MOR, and IE are presented in Table 4. Each is derived from averaging the measured responses of the factors at each level. Such that:

\[
S_{Pi} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{9} \eta_{in}}{l}
\]

Where \(S_{Pi}\) represents the average response of factor P(A, B) at level i; \(n\) is the experiment number; \(\eta_{in}\) is the result of the S/N ratio or mean at level i appearing within the number of runs; \(l\) is the number of levels.
Table 4 shows the MOE, MOR, and IE of the GLP-HDPE composite at different levels of particle size and particle content. The ranking shows that the particle content has more effect on the bending modulus of elasticity, modulus of rupture, and the impact energy as they have the best rank. These effects are depicted graphically in Figures 2, 3, and 4.

Figure 2(A) shows the effect of particle size on the Bending Modulus of Elasticity of the GLP-HDPE composite. There was a constant rise of the bending modulus of elasticity with an increase in particle size. It shows that the highest mean Bending Modulus of Elasticity of 2320MPa at means and 67.30dB at S/N ratio on the particle size of 710µm and the lowest Bending Modulus of Elasticity at 420µm particle size. Tensile modulus and internal bonding strength measurements are among the most important indicators of strength in the material and are the most widely specified property (Idris et al., 2011). Tensile modulus is an indication of the relative stiffness of a material; it is a measurement of the property of a material to withstand forces that tend to pull it apart and to determine to what extent the material stretches before breaking. The improvement in tensile modulus was noticed with the developed particleboards. These indicate that the use of ginger leaves particles and RHDPE in the production of the particleboards improved the load-bearing capacity of the board. Increased particle size is indicative of a reduced number of particles, reducing the possibility of fibrillation (initiation of fracture or crack from the interfaces of fiber and matrix adhesion).

The effect of particle content on the Bending Modulus of Elasticity properties is shown in Figure 2(B). It shows an increase in Bending Modulus of Elasticity with the increase in the amount of ginger leaf particle in the composite within a particle content of 30-35% beyond which there is a drop in the bending modulus of elasticity and the downward trend continues with increasing the particle content of the ginger leaves. The increase in Young's modulus with increasing GLP is expected since the addition of GLP to HDPE increases the stiffness as stated by Bartczak et al. (1999). The presence of a polar group in the HDPE may contribute to electrostatic absorption between HDPE and the agro particles. The reverse trend at 35% may be attributed to the over-saturation of composite with the GLP leading to particle compaction, and therefore uneven distribution of the particles within the composites. Similar observations have been reported by Adewuyi et al. (2017), Orsar (2004), and Wasylciw (1999). In addition, the developed composite deform less until maximum load, which gives a higher tensile modulus.

Figure 3 (A) shows the effect of particle size on the Modulus of Rupture of the GLP-HDPE composite. There was a constant rise of the Modulus of Rupture with an increase in particle size from 420µm to 520µm. Beyond 520µm, the bending
modulus of rupture reduced. Implies that increasing the particle size of the ginger leaves beyond 520µm will reduce the Modulus of rupture. It is also observed that the highest mean Modulus of Rupture of 10.398MPa at means and 20.23dB at S/N ratio on with particle size of 520µm and lowest Modulus of Rupture at 710µm particle size.

The effect of particle content on the Modulus of Rupture properties is shown in Figure 3 (B). It depicts an increase in Modulus of Rupture with the increase in the amount of ginger leaf particle in the composite within a particle content of 30-35% beyond which there is a drop in the Modulus of Rupture and the downward trend continues with increasing the particle content of the ginger leaves. This is in agreement with the observation of Rajak et al. (2019).

Figure 4 (A) shows the effect of particle size on the Impact Energy of the GLP-HDPE composite. There was a linear rise of the Impact Energy with an increase in particle size. It also shows that the highest mean Impact Energy of 3.080J at means and 9.544dB at S/N ratio on the particle size of 710µm and lowest Impact Energy at 420µm particle size. A similar observation was made by Orsar (2014) with the steep increase in the impact strength of the produced GLP-HDPE composite attributed to the presence of particles well bonded by the HDPE binder.

The effect of particle content on the Impact Energy properties is shown in Figure 4 (B). It depicts an increase in Impact Energy with the increase in ginger leaf particles in the composite within a particle content of 30-45% beyond which there is a drop in the Impact Energy with increasing the particle content of the ginger leaves. The increase in Impact Energy with increasing GLP is expected since the addition of GLP to ginger leaves particles increases the stiffness of the GLP-HDPE composite material. The reverse trend at 45% particle size may be attributed to the over-saturation of the composite with the GLP leading to particle compaction, increasing the brittleness. Therefore the highest impact energy is obtained at a ginger leaf particle size of 45% weight content.

Interaction Effects

Interaction effects between the considered factors were studied. The interaction effect is shown in Figure 5 (A-C).

Figure 5 (A) shows the Bending Modulus of Elasticity effect of the interaction between the particle size and the particle content. In agreement with Figure 1(A, B), the highest bending modulus of elasticity is obtained at regions with a combination of low particle content and the content and any particle size. The lowest bending modulus of elasticity was observed at regions of high particle content and low particle sizes. This implies that irrespective of the particle size, to obtain maximum bending modulus, GLP should be kept at lower percentage composition in the composite.

Figure 5 (B) shows the interaction effect between the particle size and the particle content on the Modulus of Rupture. In agreement to Figure 3(A, B), the highest Modulus of Rupture is obtained at regions with a combination of low particle content and the content and any particle size (32-42%). The lowest Modulus of Rupture was observed at regions of high particle content and low particle sizes. This implies that irrespective of the particle size, to obtain maximum Modulus, GLP should be kept at lower percentage composition in the composite.

Figure 4 (C) shows the Impact Energy effect of the interaction between the particle size and the particle content. In agreement with Figure 4(A, B), the highest Impact Energy is obtained at regions with a combination of high particle content and larger particle size. The lowest Impact Energy was observed at regions of low particle content and low particle sizes. Also, the reduction in impact energy is observed at particle content greater than 45%. This implies that the best impact energy is obtained at the region of 40-48% particle content and particle sizes greater than 500µm.

Analysis of Variance

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to determine the significance of each factor considered on the effect measured. That is, the ANOVA was used to determine the significance of particle size and particle content on the MOE, MOR, and IE of
the GLP-HDPE composites. The analysis of variance of means for the MOE, MOR, and IE is presented in Table 5-7 respectively.

Table 5
Analysis of Variance for MOE Means and S/N Ratio

| Source | DF | SS: Mean | SS: SN | MS: Mean | MS: SN | P: Mean | P: SN | % Cont. Mean (%) | % Cont. S/N (%) |
|--------|----|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|-------|-----------------|----------------|
| Particle Size (µm) (A) | 2 | 367213 | 11.33 | 183607 | 5.665 | 0.194 | 0.234 | 9.54 | 9.69 |
| Particle Content (wt.%) (B) | 4 | 2755627 | 79.66 | 688907 | 19.915 | 0.008 | 0.015 | 71.61 | 68.15 |
| Residual Error | 8 | 725453 | 25.90 | 90682 | 3.237 |        |       | 18.85 | 22.16 |
| Total | 14 | 3848293 | 116.89 |        |        |        |       |      |            |

Table 5 shows the analysis of variance of the Bending modulus of elasticity for the different factors considered. At 95% confidence interval, the particle size on the S/N and mean had an insignificant effect with a P-value of 0.234 and 0.194 (P>0.005). Implying that the particle size has no significant effect on the bending modulus of elasticity. Also, the ginger leaf particles content in the composite showed a significant effect on the Bending Modulus of Elasticity properties of the GLP-HDPE composite with a P-value of 0.015 at S/N ratio and 0.008 at means which is less than 0.005 (P<0.005). The percentage contribution of the particle size and particle content was shown by the analysis of variance of the S/N ratio and Bending Modulus of Elasticity means as depicted in Table 5 to be 9.69%, 9.54%, and 68.15%, 71.61% respectively. Implying that the particle content has a higher percentage contribution to the Bending Modulus of Elasticity properties. A change in the particle content has more tendency to affect the Bending Modulus of Elasticity property of the GLP-HDPE Composite.

Table 6
Analysis of Variance for MOR Means and S/N Ratio

| Source | DF | SS: Mean | SS: SN | MS: Mean | MS: SN | P: Mean | P: SN | % Cont. Mean (%) | % Cont. S/N (%) |
|--------|----|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|-------|-----------------|----------------|
| Particle Size (µm) (A) | 2 | 0.5995 | 0.7548 | 0.2998 | 0.3774 | 0.162 | 0.211 | 1.32 | 1.76 |
| Particle Content (wt.%) (B) | 4 | 43.8847 | 40.5095 | 10.9712 | 10.1274 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 96.39 | 94.54 |
| Residual Error | 8 | 1.0419 | 1.5857 | 0.1302 | 0.1982 |        |       | 2.29 | 3.70 |
| Total | 14 | 45.5262 | 42.8500 |        |        |        |       |      |            |

Table 6 shows the analysis of variance of the modulus of rupture concerning the particle size and particle content. At 95% confidence interval, the particle size on the S/N and mean had an insignificant effect with a P-value of 0.211 and 0.162 (P>0.005). Implying that the particle size has no significant effect on the Modulus of Rupture. Also, the ginger leaf particles content in the composite showed a significant effect on the Modulus of Rupture properties of the GLP-HDPE composite with a P-value of 0.000 at S/N ratio and 0.000 at means which is less than 0.005 (P<0.005). The percentage contribution of the particle size and particle content was shown by the analysis of variance of the S/N ratio and Modulus of Rupture means as shown in Table 6 to be 1.76%, 94.54%, and 1.32%, 96.3968% respectively. Implying that the particle content has a higher percentage contribution to the Modulus of Rupture properties so that a change in the particle content has more tendency to affect the Modulus of Rupture property of the GLP-HDPE Composite.
Table 7  
Analysis of Variance for Impact Energy Means and S/N Ratio

| Source                          | DF | SS: Mean | SS: SN | MS: Mean | MS: SN | P: Mean | P: SN | % Cont. Mean (%) | % Cont. S/N (%) |
|--------------------------------|----|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|-------|-----------------|----------------|
| Particle Size (µm) (A)         |    | 1.3293   | 18.508 | 0.66467  | 9.2542 | 0.000   | 0.004 | 12.98           | 12.51          |
| Particle Content (wt.%) (B)    | 4  | 8.7640   | 123.060| 2.19100  | 30.7651| 0.000   | 0.000 | 85.61           | 83.20          |
| Residual Error                 | 8  | 0.1440   | 6.346  | 0.01800  | 0.7933 | 1.41    | 4.29  |                 |                |
| Total                          | 14 | 10.2373  | 147.915|          |        |         |       |                 |                |

Table 7 shows the analysis of variance of the impact energy means and S/N ratio in respect to the variable considered. At 95% confidence interval, the particle size on the S/N and mean had a significant effect with a P-value of 0.004 and 0.000 (P>0.005). Implied that the particle size has a significant effect on the Impact of Energy. Also, the ginger leaf particles content in the composite showed a significant effect on the Impact Energy properties of the GLP/HDPE composite with a P-value of 0.000 at S/N ratio and 0.000 at means which is less than 0.005 (P<0.005). The percentage contribution of the particle size and particle content was shown by the analysis of variance of the S/N ratio and Impact Energy means depicted in Table 7 to be of 12.51%, 12.98%, and 83.20%, 85.61% respectively. Implied that the particle content has a higher percentage contribution to the Impact Energy properties. A change in the particle content has more tendency to affect the Impact Energy property of the GLP/HDPE Composite.

From Table 4, the optimum levels at which MOE, MOR, and IE are at the maximum are determined from the highest values of means or S/N ratio. The optimum MOE, MOR, and IE of the GLP-HDPE composite material are predicted using Equation 5.

\[
T_{opt} = T_m + \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \left[ \left( T_{ik} \right)_{max} - T_m \right]
\]

5

The optimum of these effects are presented in Table 8 and it is compared with the rest of a confirmation test carried out with the optimum combination.

Table 8  
Predicted and Confirmation of the Optimal Properties of the GLP-HDPE polymer composites

| MOE     | MOR   | IE     |
|---------|-------|--------|
| Optimum Combination | A3B2 | A2B2   | A3B4   |
| Predicted Mean       | 2687J| 11.962MPa | 4.16J |
| Confirmed Mean       | 2490 | 11.90MPa  | 4.1J  |
| Percentage Error (%) | 7.33 | 0.52    | 1.4   |

Regression Analysis
A mathematical model for the combination of particle size and particle content was derived from regression analysis carried out using the Minitab® 19 statistical software which is used for the prediction of the MOE, MOR, and IE of the developed composite. The regression analysis models are presented in Equations 6-8.

\[
\text{MOE} = 8158 - 7.30 A - 169.1 B + 0.2145 A*B \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{MOR} = 12.28 + 0.0094 A - 0.034 B - 0.000267 A*B \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{Impact Energy} = -3.82 + 0.00577 A + 0.1287 B - 0.000082 A*B \quad (8)
\]

The mathematical models have an R-square value of 73.11%, 56.55%, and 64.5% for the MOR, MOR or IE respectively. This is high when compared to the R-Square values of other studies on the modeling of the mechanical properties of fiber reinforced polymer composites.

Figure 6 (A, B, and C) shows the comparison between the predicted and experimental effects.

4.0 Conclusion

- Ginger leaves particle reinforced high-density polyethylene (GLP-HDPE) polymer composite was successfully developed.
- Optimization of the modulus of elasticity, modulus of rupture and impact energy of GLP-HDPE composites using the robust Taguchi optimization technique have been reported in this article.
- It is shown that the particle content of ginger leaves fiber is significant in improving the mechanical properties of the material under study. Models for predicting the MOE, MOR, and IE have been developed and reported.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Produced ginger leaves particles in (a) 420µm (b) 520µm and (c) 710µm.
Figure 2

(A) Variation of Bending Modulus of Elasticity Mean and S/N ratio with Particle Size

(B) Variation of Bending Modulus of Elasticity Mean and S/N ratio with GLP Content.

Figure 3

(A) Variation of Modulus of Rupture Mean and S/N ratio with Particle Size

(B) Variation of Modulus of Rupture Mean and S/N ratio with GLP Content
Figure 4

(A) Variation of Impact Energy Mean and S/N ratio with Particle Size

(B) Variation of Impact Energy Mean and S/N ratio with GLP Content
Figure 5
(A) Interaction of Particle Size with Particle Content on the Modulus of Elasticity of GLP-HDPE Composite Material
(B) Interaction of Particle Size with Particle Content on the Modulus of Rupture of GLP-HDPE Composite Material
(C) Interaction of Particle Size with Particle Content on Impact Energy of GLP-HDPE Composite Material

Figure 6
A: Predicted and experimental Bending Modulus of Elasticity of GLP-HDPE composites
B: Predicted and experimental Modulus of Rupture of GLP-HDPE composites
C: Predicted and experimental Impact Energy of GLP-HDPE composites