Synthesis and characterization of hexagonal boron nitride used for comparison of removal of anionic and cationic hazardous azo-dye: kinetics and equilibrium studies
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Abstract: The purpose of this study was to compare the adsorption behavior of cationic and anionic dyes onto a hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) nanostructure that was rich in a negative charge. Herein, the hBN nanostructure was synthesized using boric acid as a precursor material. The characteristic peaks of the hBN nanostructure were performed using Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) and Raman spectroscopies. The morphology and the particle size of hBN nanostructure were determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). During the studies, various essential adsorption parameters were investigated, such as the initial dye concentration, pH of the dye solution, adsorbent dose, and contact time. Under optimal conditions, the removal of 42.6% Metanil yellow (MY) and 90% Victoria blue B (VBB) from aqueous solution was performed using a 10-mg hBN nanostructure. Furthermore, the equilibrium studies showed that the Freundlich isotherm model fitted well for the removal of MY. However, the Langmuir isotherm model fitted well for the removal of VBB. Moreover, according to the results obtained from the kinetic studies, while the first-order kinetic model was suited for the adsorption of the MY, the second-order kinetic model was found to well fit for the adsorption of VBB.
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1. Introduction
In the modern age, unnecessary industrial and anthropological activities cause numerous problems related to the environment. Moreover, these unneeded activities affect flora and fauna cause pollution of the water. Nowadays, countless problems related to the environment, such as industrial pollution, rapid population growth, production of toxic materials, and environmental pollutants, can be listed [1,2]. Despite the fact that researchers are working very hard on these environmental problems, the problems of water, air, and soil pollution remain an issue [3–5].

Generally, these environmental problems arise due to the largescale production of synthetic and organic materials [6]. Furthermore, these pollutants are widely used in leather, textile, shoe polish, dyeing and printing, colored water-fast inks, paper, cosmetic, and pharmaceutical industries. Dyes, pharmaceuticals, and other water pollutants are not easily decomposed in nature. Even low concentrations of dyes, pharmaceuticals, and their derivative products cause extremely toxic effects on aquatic life [7]. There are more than 100,000 commercial types of textile dyes and over $7 \times 10^4$ tons of the most dangerous chemical pollutants to the environment are produced annually[8]. The unprocessed industry effluents generally contain a largescale of dyes that cause many environmental problems, such as cytotoxicity [9], genotoxicity, reduce light penetration, and produce carcinogenic aromatic amines [10] in the aquatic environment [11,12]. Therefore, there is much research being conducted in this area.

Cationic dyes are important types of dyes because they are used the staining of microorganisms [13]. Moreover, Victoria blue B (VBB) (cationic dye) is a photosensitizer, which induces a cytotoxic response in several mammalian cell lines [14].

Metanil yellow (MY) is one of the best water-soluble anionic azo dyes. It is commonly used for industrial applications, such as dyeing leather, spirit lacquer, shoe polish, staining paper, colored water-fast inks, manufacturer of pigment lakes, etc. [15–17]. Although not allowed, it is commonly used as a colorant agent in many food industries. It causes numerous problems in health and the environment during processing and transforming. Therefore, MY is a major pollutant for water and aquatic life [15–18].
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Over recent years, several adsorbents have been researched for the removal of pollutants, such as heavy metal ions, hazardous organic dyes, pharmaceuticals, and oils pollution from aqueous solutions. Usually, many adsorbents, such as active carbon, magnetic nanocomposite, graphene, hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN), zeolite, montmorillonite, carbonaceous nanofiber adsorbents, and mesoporous aluminum oxide, have been tested for the removal of dyes [19–22]. Hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) possesses preeminent physical and chemical properties, such as high chemical stability, temperature stability [23], low density, high thermal conductivity, good mechanical strength [24], antioxidation ability [25,26], and is environmentally friendly. Moreover, hBN possesses an outstanding adsorption rate and capacity for the removal of organic dyes in aqueous solution because of the combination of the 3-dimensional BN structure and rich adsorbing sites [27–34].

In this study, a hBN nanostructure was successfully synthesized from boric acid using a furnace and characterized by different analytical devices, and afterwards, it was used for comparison of the removal of anionic and cationic dye in aqueous solutions as an adsorbent.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Materials
Boric acid was obtained from Diva Chemicals Agency Ltd. STI (Baoding, Hebei, China). Ammonium hydroxide was purchased from BDH Chemicals (Mir qap-kuwait City, Kuwait). Metanilyellow (MY) (C_{18}H_{18}N_{3}O_{7}) and Victoria blue B (VBB) (C_{19}H_{22}CIN_{3}) were obtained from Fluka (Fluka Chemie GmbH, Buchs, Switzerland). All of the reagents were used without further purification.

2.2. Methods
2.2.1. Synthesis of hBN
First, 2 g boric acid was weighed and dispersed in 3 mL of ammonia solution (13.38 M). The mixture was overlaid on a silicium carbide boat and heated on a hot plate at 100 °C for 20 min. Afterwards, the plate was placed in a furnace (Protherm PTF 14/50/450, Protherm Furnaces, Ankara, Turkey) and heated to 1300 °C (8 °C/min) under ammonia gas for approximately 3 h, and then retained at 1300 °C for 2 h. The product was taken out of the furnace at around 550 °C and scraped off onto the plate using a spatula [35].

2.2.2. Dye adsorption procedure
First, 100 mL of dye solution and 10 mg of adsorbent (hBN) were placed into a 100-mL glass-stoppered flask at 25 °C and stirred at 200 rpm using a shaker for 24 h. While the experiments with the MY dye solution were conducted with concentrations of 7 mgL\(^{-1}\), 10 mgL\(^{-1}\), and 12 mgL\(^{-1}\), the experiments with the VBB dye solution were conducted with concentrations of 12 mgL\(^{-1}\), 15 mgL\(^{-1}\), and 20 mgL\(^{-1}\). In addition, each concentration value was studied time-dependent. The adsorbent was taken out of the solution at the end of each period by centrifugation at a speed of 15,000 rpm min\(^{-1}\) for 10 min. The absorbance of the supernatant solution in the equilibrium was measured using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer at 434 and 618 nm for the MY and VBB dyes, respectively [18,36]. In addition, the effect of pH, adsorbent dose, concentration of the dye solution, and adsorption time on the % removal of the anionic (MY) and cationic (VBB) dyes were studied. All of the experiments were tested in triplicate. The adsorption capacity at time t, \(q_t\) (mgg\(^{-1}\)), was calculated using the Eq. (1):

\[
q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{M},
\]

where \(C_0\) is the initial dye concentration of a solution (mgL\(^{-1}\)), \(C_t\) is the final concentration of dye solution at time t (mgL\(^{-1}\)), \(M\) is the weight of the adsorbent (g), and \(V\) is the volume of the dye solution (L).

The % removal of dye in the solution was determined using Eq. (2):

\[
\text{Removal (\%)} = \frac{C_t - C_f}{C_t} \times 100,
\]

where \(C_t\) and \(C_f\) are the initial and final dye concentrations of a solution, before and after adsorption, respectively.

2.2.3. Instruments
The synthesized hBN nanostructure was characterized using different analytical devices. The structure of the molecule was determined by Fourier transform infrared spectrometry (FTIR, Thermo NICOLET IS50 spectrometer; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and Raman spectrometry (Renishaw inVia reflex; Renishaw plc, Gloucestershire, UK). Raman spectroscopy measurement was performed using a Renishaw inVia reflex Raman spectrometer with a diode laser at 830 nm that was arranged to a 10-s exposure time and 50× objective (numerical aperture, 0.75) with a laser power of 50 mW. The particle size and morphology were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Carl Zeiss EVO 40;
Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany), and transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI TALOS F200S 200 kV; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Furthermore, some characteristic peaks of the dyes were determined by FTIR after adsorption of the dye molecules onto the hBN nanostructure. The equilibrium concentrations of the dye solutions were measured at the maximum absorbance values using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer Lamda 25; PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterizations

Figure 1a shows the Raman spectrum of the hBN nanostructure, where it can be seen that the major bands at around 322 and 1365 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to CaF\(_2\) and hBNs, respectively [35]. Figure 1b shows the FTIR spectrum of the pristine hBN. The characteristic peaks of the B-N vibration were obtained at around 1371 and 821 cm\(^{-1}\), and showed that the hBN nanostructure was successfully synthesized [35].

The FTIR spectra of the hBN and hBN-dye conjugates are given in Figures 2a (for the adsorbed MY dye) and 2b (for the adsorbed VBB dye), where it can be seen that the characteristic peaks of the organic dyes were not observed on the spectra of the hBN-dye conjugates because the hBNs had strong and wide characteristic peaks. However, a slight tilt was observed in the range of 3700 and 2700 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spectrum of the hBN-dye conjugates due to the functional groups of the adsorbed dyes. In addition, the peak intensities of the spectra of the hBN-dye conjugates significantly decreased when compared with the pristine hBN nanostructure after dye adsorption. Moreover, when studies related to the adsorbed dye onto hBN were examined in the literature, they were reported only for the FTIR spectrum of hBN [27,37–39]. Probably, the characteristic peaks of the adsorbed dye could not be observed on the spectra due to the strong and wide characteristic peaks of hBN. The visible characterization of the pristine hBN nanostructure was performed using TEM and SEM images, as shown in Figures 3a and 3b, respectively. The particle size of the pristine hBN nanostructure was around 50 nm, as shown in Figure 3a at a scale of 100 nm.

3.2. Mechanism of adsorption

Various factors, such as the structures of the dye and adsorbent, charges in the dye molecule, and adsorbent material effect the removal of dye molecules onto the adsorbent [39,40]. Due to a negative charge on the surface of the hBN nanostructure, it exhibited a better adsorbent property towards the cationic dye molecules than towards the anionic dye molecules. The hBN nanostructure and hBN-dye conjugates were dispersed in ultra-pure water and the surface charges were determined using zeta potential measurements before and after adsorption. The measurements of the zeta potential are shown in Table 1 and were confirmed with the described values for kinds of BN materials in the literature due to the B-OH and N-OH generated on the hBN in water [41–43]. According to Table 1, the zeta potential of the hBN decreased after the adsorption of VBB, whereas it increased after the adsorption of MY. The difference in the zeta potential change meant that the hBN nanostructure had a good adsorbent property against cationic dye. It can be estimated that VBB was better adsorbed because it is a cationic dye. Moreover, the aromatic backbone strengthened the connection between the adsorbent (hBN) and adsorbates (MY and VBB dye molecules) via π-π stacking interplay and thus, the adsorption increased and accelerated (see Figure 4) [39,40].

![Figure 1. Raman (a) and FTIR (b) spectra of the pristine hBN nanostructure.](image-url)
3.3. Adsorption studies

The UV-Vis absorption spectra and molecular structure of the MY and VBB dyes are shown in Figure 5a. The absorbance of the supernatant solution was measured using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer at 434 and 618 nm for the MY and VBB dyes, respectively. When the adsorption of the MY and VBB dyes on the surface of the hBN nanostructure was examined, the results clearly showed that the cationic dye (VBB) adsorbed much better than the anionic dye (MY), as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Comparative FTIR spectra of the hBN nanostructure and hBN-dye conjugate (a) for the adsorbed MY and (b) VBB dyes.

Figure 3. TEM (a) and SEM (b) images of the pristine hBN nanostructure.

3.3. Adsorption studies

The UV-Vis absorption spectra and molecular structure of the MY and VBB dyes are shown in Figure 5a. The absorbance of the supernatant solution was measured using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer at 434 and 618 nm for the MY and VBB dyes, respectively. When the adsorption of the MY and VBB dyes on the surface of the hBN nanostructure was examined, the results clearly showed that the cationic dye (VBB) adsorbed much better than the anionic dye (MY), as shown in Figure 2.
5b. Moreover, the effects of several parameters, such as dye concentration, adsorbent dose, and effect of pH, were discussed in detail.

### 3.3.1. Effect of pH

Adsorption studies are highly dependent on the pH value of the solution because it directly influences the surface charge of the adsorbent and the structure of the dye molecule. In other words, the pH value of the solution affects the interaction between the dye molecule and adsorbent [12,44]. Therefore, adsorption of the dye molecules (MY and VBB) onto the hBN nanostructure were investigated with a pH range of 3–8. The effect of pH on the adsorption of MY was conducted with an initial dye concentration of 7 mgL⁻¹, with 10 mg of the hBN nanostructure and at a stirring rate of 200 rpm at 25 °C for 24 h. On the other hand, the effect of pH on the adsorption of VBB was performed with an initial dye concentration of 12 mgL⁻¹, with 10 mg of the hBN nanostructure, at 200 rpm and 25 °C for 24 h, and the results are shown in Figure 6, where it can be seen that the optimum pH value for the removal of the MY dye was determined as pH 4 and the % removal of the MY dye was calculated as 42.6% for 24 h. The optimum pH value for the removal of the VBB dye was ascertained as pH 5 and the % removal of the VBB dye was calculated as 90% for 24 h (Figure 6b).

### 3.3.2. Effect of the dose

The effect of the adsorbent dose was performed in the range of 5–20 mg of adsorbent. The dose experiments were performed with the initial dye concentrations onto the hBN nanostructure and at a stirring rate of 200 rpm at 25 °C for 24 h. Removal of dyes increased with an increasing adsorbent dose, as can be seen in Figure 7. Therein, 20-mg adsorbent dose removed 48.5% of the MY and 93.6% of the VBB dyes for 24 h, as shown in Figures 7a and 7b, respectively. However, the 10-mg adsorbent dose was determined as the optimum dose because it was more economical than the 20-mg adsorbent dose, and there was no significant difference between the 2 adsorbent doses.

### 3.3.3. Effect of the initial concentration

The effect of the initial dye concentration of MY was examined in the range of 7–12 mgL⁻¹ onto 10 mg of the hBN nanostructure for 24 h. The extent of adsorption increased over time and reached equilibrium in 14 h. In the equilibrium, the maximum % removal of the MY was calculated 42.6%, as shown in Figure 8. Moreover, the effect of the initial dye concentration of the VBB was performed in the range of 12–20 mgL⁻¹ onto 10 mg of the hBN nanostructure for 24 h. Equilibrium was reached in 5 h and in the equilibrium, the maximum % removal of the VBB was calculated as 90% (Figure 8b). As shown in Figure 8, the VBB dye was adsorbed both faster and to a greater extent than the MY dye onto the hBN nanostructure.

### 3.4. Adsorption isotherms

Generally, the Freundlich and Langmuir equations are used for defining the adsorption isotherm model between the adsorbate and surface of an adsorbent. It was proposed to follow the Freundlich isotherm model [18], as in Eq. (3):

$$\log q = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e,$$  

(3)

where $K_f$ is the Freundlich isotherm constant ($Lg^{-1}$), $n$ is the adsorption intensity or $1/n$ is the heterogeneity factor, $q_e$ is the amount of adsorbed dye per gram of the adsorbent at equilibrium (mgg⁻¹), and $C_e$ is the equilibrium concentration of adsorbate (mgL⁻¹).

The plot of $\log q$ versus $\log C_e$ is linear. In the linear equation, a slope indicates $1/n$ and an intercept indicates $\log K_f$. The results of the Freundlich isotherm model were shown in Table 2. On the other hand, if $1/n = 1$, the adsorption is linear. If the value of $n$ is between 1 and 10, the adsorption process indicates favorable adsorption [45]. Moreover, if the value of $1/n$ is above 1, the adsorption process indicates cooperative adsorption [46]. In this study, while the n value showed suitable adsorption for the VBB dye, it showed cooperative adsorption for the MY dye (see Table 2).

| Sample   | Zeta potential (mV) |
|----------|---------------------|
| hBN      | −24.3 ± 2.05        |
| hBN-VBB  | −12.6 ± 3.13        |
| hBN-MY   | −32.9 ± 3.22        |

Table 1. Zeta potential measurements of the hBN nanostructure before and after adsorption of the dyes.
Figure 4. Representation of the adsorption mechanism of the adsorbed MY and VBB onto the hBN nanostructure via π-π stacking interplay.
It was proposed to follow the Langmuir isotherm model [19], as in Eqs. (4) and (5):

$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m},$$

$$q_m = \frac{K_L}{b},$$

where $q_m$ is the maximum monolayer adsorption capacity of the adsorbent (mgg$^{-1}$), $K_L$ is the Langmuir adsorption constant (Lmg$^{-1}$), $q_e$ is the amount of adsorbed dye (mgg$^{-1}$), $C_e$ is the equilibrium concentration of dye solution (mgL$^{-1}$), and the constant $b$ is related to the energy or the net enthalpy of the sorption process (Lmg$^{-1}$) [18]. The Langmuir isotherm model...
is effective for monolayer adsorption onto the surface of adsorbents for containing a limited number of identical sites. All of the calculation results related to the Langmuir isotherm model was shown in Table 2 for both dyes.

The correlation coefficient values ($R^2$) were calculated using the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models for adsorption of the MY and VBB dyes. In addition to the correlation coefficient value ($R^2$), the best fit isotherm model was confirmed using the residual root means quare error (RMSE) and normalized standard deviation ($\Delta q$ (%))[47,48], as shown inEqs. (6) and (7):

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{n=1}^{n} (q_{e,exp} - q_{e,cal})^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\Delta q \% = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{n} (\frac{(q_{e,exp} - q_{e,cal})^2}{q_{e,exp}}) / n - 1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

**Table 2.** Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm parameters for adsorption of the MY and VBB onto the hBNnanostructure.

| Dye | Langmuir constants | Freundlich constants |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------|
|     | $K_l$ (Lg$^{-1}$) | $b$ (Lmg$^{-1}$) | $q_m$ (mgg$^{-1}$) | $R^2$ | RMSE | $\Delta q$ (%) | $K_F$ (Lg$^{-1}$) | $n$ | $R^2$ | RMSE | $\Delta q$ (%) |
| MY  | 0.091             | 0.066              | 1.38               | 0.94 | 4.77 | 0.10       | 9.7E 22          | 0.04 | 0.98 | 1.5   | 0.04 |
| VBB | 0.459             | 0.002              | 250                | 0.95 | 38.2 | 0.07       | 1352.7           | 1.49 | 0.91 | 39.1  | 0.08 |

Figure 7. Effect of adsorbent dose on the adsorption of MY (a) and VBB (b).

Figure 8. Effect of the initial dye concentration on the adsorption of MY (a) and VBB (b) dependent on time.
where \( q_{\text{exp}} \) (mgg\(^{-1}\)) is the experimental adsorption capacity in the equilibrium and \( n \) is the number of data points. \( q_{\text{cal}} \) (mgg\(^{-1}\)) is the calculated equilibrium adsorption capacity from the model.

Smaller values of the RMSE and \( \Delta q \) (%) correspond to better curve fitting (see Table 2). According to Table 2, while the Freundlich isotherm was fitted to the isotherm model for the removal of the MY dye, the Langmuir isotherm was better when compared to the Freundlich isotherm for the removal of the VBB dye. The best fit isotherm model for adsorption of the dye was determined by considering higher \( R^2 \) values, and lower RMSE and \( \Delta q \) (%) values.

The dimensionless constant, which is known as equilibrium parameter or separation factor, the necessary characteristics of the Langmuir equation, \( R_L \), [18], can be defined as in Eq. (8):

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{C_0} + b + 1}, \tag{8}
\]

where \( C_0 \) is the initial dye concentration (mgL\(^{-1}\)) and \( b \) is the Langmuir equilibrium constant (Lmg\(^{-1}\)). The value of \( R_L \) demonstrates the type of Langmuir isotherm, such as irreversible (\( R_L = 0 \)), linear (\( R_L = 1 \)), unfavorable (\( R_L > 1 \)), or favorable (0 < \( R_L < 1 \)) [18,49]. The \( R_L \) values were in the range of 0 < RL < 1 for the adsorption studies of the MY and VBB dyes with different initial concentrations, indicating that the adsorption process was favorable onto the hBN nanostructure for the VBB and MY dyes.

3.5. Kinetic studies

In this study, 2 kinetic models were examined to investigate the mechanism of adsorption and observe the behavior of the adsorbent. The pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic models were tested for analyzing the experimental data. The results of the calculations related to the kinetic models are shown in Table 3. Moreover, the residual RMSE and \( \Delta q \) (%) were calculated for the kinetic studies.

The pseudo-first-order was expressed by Lagergren [18,50], as in Eq. (9):

\[
\ln \left( \frac{q_e - q_t}{q_e} \right) = lnq_e - k_1t, \tag{9}
\]

where \( q_t \) and \( q_e \) are amounts of dye onto the hBN nanostructure at the equilibrium and \( t \) time (mgg\(^{-1}\)), respectively, and \( k_1 \) is the rate constant of the pseudo-first-order adsorption process (h\(^{-1}\)). The plots of \( \ln(q_e - q_t) \) versus \( t \) were used to obtain the rate constant, \( k_1 \), and correlation coefficient.

The pseudo-second-order was expressed by Ho and McKay [18,51], as in Eq.(10):

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}, \tag{10}
\]

where \( k_2 \) is the rate constant of the pseudo-second-order adsorption process (gmg\(^{-1}\)h\(^{-1}\)). The straight-line plots of \( t/q_t \) versus \( t \) were used to define the rate constant, \( k_2 \), and correlation coefficient and an intercept of the linear chart gave 1/\( k_2q_e^2 \). When the correlation coefficients and the values of RMSE and \( \Delta q \) (%) in Table 3 were examined, the first-order kinetic model was found to be suitable for the adsorption kinetic of the MY dye. Moreover, the second-order kinetic model was found to fit better than the first-order kinetic model for the adsorption kinetic of the VBB dye. Furthermore, it was determined in the literature that the MY dye better fit the first-order [52] and the VBB dye fit well the second-order [12].

| Dye | Initial concentration (mgL\(^{-1}\)) | First-order kinetic model | | Second-order kinetic mode |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|     | \( k_1 \) (h\(^{-1}\)) | \( q_e \) (mgg\(^{-1}\)) | \( R^2 \) | RMSE | \( \Delta q \) (%) | \( k_2 \) (gmg\(^{-1}\)h\(^{-1}\)) | \( q_{\text{exp}} \) (mgg\(^{-1}\)) | \( R^2 \) | RMSE | \( \Delta q \) (%) |
| VBB | 12 | 0.1017 | 244.8 | 0.197 | 135.9 | 0.319 | 2.20E-03 | 666.7 | 0.99 | 76.9 | 0.20 |
|     | 15 | 0.1328 | 111.3 | 0.432 | 122.8 | 0.319 | 1.10E-03 | 833.3 | 0.99 | 90.3 | 0.24 |
|     | 20 | 0.0053 | 10683 | 0.375 | 604.2 | 1.478 | 6.00E-04 | 1111.1 | 0.97 | 127 | 0.25 |
| MY  | 7  | 0.1106 | 31.10 | 0.97 | 6.83 | 0.54 | 5.30E-03 | 44.8 | 0.93 | 62.4 | 3.13 |
|     | 10 | 0.1159 | 35.47 | 0.89 | 9.25 | 0.24 | 9.20E-03 | 49.29 | 0.95 | 9.18 | 0.29 |
|     | 12 | 0.1482 | 24.85 | 0.99 | 8.77 | 0.26 | 6.40E-03 | 54.05 | 0.95 | 9.02 | 0.30 |
4. Conclusion
In summary, a hBN nanostructure was successfully synthesized using boric acid. The environmentally-friendly and nontoxic material, hBN, was used for comparison of the removal of anionic and cationic dyes in an aqueous solution. This nanostructure showed poor adsorbent property for the removal of the anionic MY dye. However, it exhibited an excellent ultrafast adsorbent property for the removal of the cationic VBB dye [40]. This difference in the adsorption capacity of the anionic and cationic dyes onto the hBN nanostructure could be ascribed to the interdependent effect of electrostatic attractions. (see Table 1, the results of the zeta potential measurement). In addition, noncovalent interactions, such as π−π stacking, interplay between the adsorbent (hBN nanostructure) and adsorbate (dye molecules) made an important contribution to its adsorption capacity. Dyes contain benzene molecules that are similar to B-N rings on the plane of h-BN. Therefore, π−π stacking occurs between the benzene molecule of the dyes and B-N rings benefit in the enhancement of interaction, consequently resulting in the improvement of the adsorption of the dyes (see Figure 4) [53]. The % removal of the MY dye in comparison to the VBB dye was calculated as 42.6% and 90% for 10 mg of the hBN nanostructure in the case of the equilibrium, respectively. Moreover, the adsorption capacity was determined as 895.2 and 211 mg g⁻¹ for the cationic (VBB) and anionic (MY) dyes for 10 mg of the hBN nanostructure in case of the equilibrium, respectively [40]. Therefore, the high adsorption capacity and ultrafast adsorption property of the hBN towards cationic dyes make it a potentially attractive adsorbent in wastewater cleaning.

Acknowledgments
The author is grateful to Mustafa Çulha of the Genetics and Bioengineering Department of Yeditepe University, and Bilsen Tural and Servet Tural of the Faculty of Education, Department of Chemistry of Dicle University for providing the necessary laboratory facilities.

References
1. Farhadi S, Manteghi F, Tondfekr R. Removal of Congo red by two new zirconium metal-organic frame works: kinetics and isotherm study. Monatshefte für Chemie – Chemical Monthly 2019; 150: 193-205. doi: 10.1007/s00706-018-2329-1
2. Parmentier K, Vercammen S, Soetaert S, Schellemans C. Carbon dioxide poisoning: a literature review of an often forgotten cause of intoxication in the emergency department. International Journal of Emergency Medicine 2017; 10: 14. doi: 10.1186/s12245-017-0142-y
3. Yuliarto B, Gumilar G, Septiani NLW. SnO₂ nanostructure as pollutant gas sensors: synthesis, sensing performances, and mechanism. Advances in Materials Science and Engineering 2015; 94823: 14. doi: 10.1155/2015/694823
4. Koli PB, Kapadnis KH, Deshpande UG. Nanocrystalline-modified nickel ferrite films: an effective sensor for industrial and environmental gas pollutant detection. Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology 2019; 9: 95-110. doi: 10.1007/s40097-019-0300-0
5. Koli PB, Kapadnis KH, Deshpande UG. Transition metal decorated Ferrosferric oxide (Fe₃O₄): an expeditious catalyst for photo degradation of Carbol Fuchsinin environmental remediation. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 2019; 7: 103373. doi: 10.1016/j.jece.2019.103373
6. Yesilada O, Asma D, Cing S. Decolorization of textile dyes by fungal pellets. Process Biochemistry 2003; 38: 933-938. doi: 10.1016/S0032-9592(02)00197-8
7. Chen S, Zhang J, Zhang C, Yue Q, Li Y et al. Equilibrium and kinetic studies of methyl orange and methyl violet adsorption on activated carbon derived from Phragmites australis. Desalination 2010; 252: 149-156. doi: 10.1016/j.desal.2009.10.010
8. Robinson T, McMullan G, Marchant R, Nigam P. Remediation of dyes in textile effluent: a critical review on current treatment technologies with a proposed alternative. Bioresource Technology 2001; 77: 247-255. doi: 10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00080-8
9. Gomaa OM, Linz J, Reddy CA. Decolorization of Victoria blue by the white rot fungus, Phanerochaete chrysosporium. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 2008; 24: 2349-2356. doi: 10.1007/s11274-008-9750-2
10. Toh YC, Yen JIL, Obbard JP, Ting YP. Decolourisation of azo dyes by white-rot fungi (WRF) isolated in Singapore. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 2003; 33: 569-575. doi: 10.1016/S0141-0229(03)00177-7
11. Demirbas O, Alkan M, Dogan M. The removal of Victoria blue from aqueous solution by adsorption on a low-cost material. Adsorption 2002; 8: 341-349. doi: 10.1023/A:1021589514766
12. Kumar M, Tamilarasan R. Removal of Victoria blue using Prosopis juliflora bark carbon: kinetics and thermodynamic modeling studies. Journal of Materials and Environmental Science 2014; 5 (2): 510-519.
13. Giles CH, Mckay RB. Adsorption of cationic (basic) dyes by fixed yeast Cells. Journal of Bacteriology 1965; 89: 390-397.
14. Wadwa K, Smith S, Oseroff AR. Cationic triarylmethane photosensitizers for selective photochemotherapy: Victoria blue-Bo, Victoria blue-R and Malachite green. Advances in Photochemotherapy 1988; 997: 154. doi: 10.1117/12.960199

15. Mittal A, Gupta VK, Malviya A, Mittal J. Process development for the batch and bulk removal and recovery of a hazardous, water-soluble azo dye (Metanil Yellow) by adsorption over waste materials (Bottom Ash and De-Oiled Soya). Journal of Hazardous Materials 2008; 151: 821-832. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.06.059.

16. Anjaneya O, Souch, SY, Santoshkumar M, Karegoudar TB. Decolorization of sulfonated azo dye Metanil Yellow by newly isolated bacterial strains: Bacillus sp. strain AK1 and Lysinibacillus sp. strain AK2. Journal of Hazardous Materials 2011; 190: 351-358. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.03.044

17. Xiaoyao G, Qin W, Bin D, Yakun Z, Xiaodong X et al. Removal of Metanil Yellow from water environment by amino functionalized graphenes (NH2-G)—Influence of surface chemistry of NH2-G. Applied Surface Science 2013; 284: 862-869. doi: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2013.08.023

18. Tural S, Tarhan T, Tural B. Removal of hazardous azo dye Metanil Yellow from aqueous solution by cross-linked magnetic biosorbent; equilibrium and kinetic studies. Desalination and Water Treatment 2015; 57: 13347-13356. doi: 10.1080/19443994.2015.1056842

19. Liu XT, Wang MS, Zhang SJ, Pan BC. Application potential of carbon nanotubes in water treatment: a review. Journal of Environmental Sciences 2013; 25: 1263-1280. doi: 10.1016/S1001-0742(12)60161-2

20. Kannan C, Muthuraja K, Devi MR. Hazardous dyes removal from aqueous solution over mesoporous aluminophosphate with textural porosity by adsorption. Journal of Adsorption 2013; 15: 244-245. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.11.016

21. Liang HW, Cao X, Zhang WJ, Lin HT, Zhou F et al. Robust and highly efficient free-standing carbonaceous nanofiber membranes for water purification. Advanced Functional Materials 2011; 21: 3851-3858. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201100983

22. Wu RC, Qu JH, Chen YS. Magnetic powder MnO-Fe2O3 composite—a novel material for the removal of azo-dye from water. Water Research 2005; 39: 630-638. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2004.11.005

23. Chang CW, Okawa D, Majumdar A, Zettl A. Solid-state thermal rectifier. Science 2006; 314 (5802): 1121-1124. doi: 10.1126/science.1132898

24. Golberg D, Costa P, Lourie O, Mitome M, Bai XD et al. Direct force measurements and kinking under elastic deformation of individual multiwalled boron nitride nanotubes. Nano Letters 2007; 7: 2146-2151. doi: 10.1021/nl070863r

25. Chen Y, Zou J, Campbell SJ, Caer GL. Boron nitride nanotubes: pronounced resistance to oxidation. Applied Physics Letters 2004; 84: 2430. doi: 10.1063/1.1667278

26. Zhao G, Zhang F, Wu Y, Hao X, Wang Z et al. One-step exfoliation and hydroxylation of boron nitride nanosheets with enhanced optical limiting performance. Advanced Optical Materials 2016; 4: 141-146. doi: 10.1002/adom.201500415

27. Li J, He S, Li R, Dai W, Tao J et al. Template free synthesis of three dimensional boron nitride nanosheets for efficient water cleaning. Nano Letters 2007; 7: 2146-2151. doi: 10.1021/nl070863r

28. Zeng H, Zhi C, Zhang Z, Wei X, Wang X et al. “White graphenes”: boron nitride nanoribbons via boron nitride nanotube unwrapping. Nano Letters 2010; 10: 5049-5055. doi: 10.1021/nl103251m

29. Li J, Luo H, Lin J, Xue Y, Liu Z et al. Low-temperature collapsing boron nitride nanospheres into nanoflakes and their photoluminescence properties. Materials Research Express 2014; 1: 035035. doi: 10.1088/2053-1591/1/3/035035

30. Zhi CY, Bando Y, Tang CC, Kuwahara H, Golberg D. Large-scale fabrication of boron nitride nanosheets and their utilization in polymeric composites with improved thermal and mechanical properties. Advanced Materials 2009; 21: 2889-2893. doi: 10.1002/adma.200900323

31. Li J, Xiao X, Xu X, Lin J, Huang Y et al. Activated boron nitride as an effective adsorbent for metal ions and organic pollutants. Scientific Reports 2013; 3: 3208. doi: 10.1038/srep03208

32. Lei W, Portehault D, Liu D, Qin S, Chen Y. Porous boron nitride nanosheets for effective water cleaning. Nature Communications 2013; 4: 1777. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2818

33. Song Q, Fang Y, Liu Z, Li L, Wang Y et al. The performance of porous hexagonal BN in high adsorption capacity towards antibiotics pollutants from aqueous solution. Chemical Engineering Journal 2017; 325: 71-79. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2017.05.057

34. Liu Z, Fang Y, Jia H, Wang C, Song Q et al. Novel multifunctional cheese-like 3D carbon-BN as a highly efficient adsorbent for water purification. Scientific Reports 2018; 8: 32886-32892. doi: 10.1039/C8RA06445H

35. Sen Ö, Emanet M, Çulha M. One-step synthesis of hexagonal boron nitrides, their crystallinity and biodegradation. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology 2018; 6: 83. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2018.00083

36. Kant A, Datta M. Adsorption characteristics of victoria blue on low cost natural sand and its removal from aqueous media. European Chemical Bulletin 2014; 3: 752-759. doi: 10.17628/echb.2014.3.752-759

37. Shen T, Liu S, Yan W, Wang J. Highly efficient preparation of hexagonal boron nitride by direct microwave heating for dye removal. Journal of Materials Science 2019; 54: 8852-8859. doi: 10.1007/s10853-019-03514-8
38. Singla P, Goel N, Kumar V, Singhal S. Boron nitride nanomaterials with different morphologies: synthesis, characterization and efficient application in dye adsorption. Ceramics International 2015; 41 (9): 10565-10577. doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2015.04.151
39. Mahdizadeh A, Farhadi S, Zabardast A. Microwave-assisted rapid synthesis of graphene-analogue hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) nanosheets and their application for the ultrafast and selective adsorption of cationic dyes from aqueous solutions. RSC Advances 2017; 7: 53984-53995. doi: 10.1039/C7RA11248C
40. Zhang X, Lian G, Zhang S, Cui D, Wang Q. Boron nitride nanocarpets: controllable synthesis and their adsorption performance to organic pollutants. CrystEngComm 2012; 14: 4670-4676. doi: 10.1039/C2CE06748J
41. Crimp MJD, Oppermann A, Krehbiel K. Suspension properties of hexagonal BN powders: effect of pH and oxygen content. Journal of Materials Science 1999; 34: 2621-2625. doi: 10.1023/A:1004656817379
42. Joni IM, Balgis R, Ogi T, Iwaki T, Okuyama K. Surface functionalization for dispersing and stabilizing hexagonal boron nitride nanoparticle by bead milling. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 2011; 388: 49-58. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2011.08.007
43. Lei W, Mochalin VN, Liu D, Qin S, Gogotsi Y et al. Boron nitride colloidal solutions, ultralight aerogels and freestanding membranes through one-step exfoliation and functionalization. Nature Communications 2015; 6 (1): 8849. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9849
44. Alkan M, Dogan M, Turhan Y, Demirbas O, Turan P. Adsorption kinetics and mechanism of maxilon blue 5G dye on sepiolite from aqueous solutions. Chemical Engineering Journal 2008; 139: 213-223. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2007.07.080
45. Goldberg S. Equations and models describing adsorption processes in soils. In: Tabatabai MA, Sparks DL (editors). Chemical processes in soils. Soil Science Society of America (SSSA) Book Series 8. Madison, WI, USA: SSSA, 2005, pp. 489-517.
46. Mohan S, Karthikeyan J. Removal of lignin and tannin colour from aqueous solution by adsorption onto activated charcoal. Environmental Pollution 1997; 97: 183-187. doi: 10.1016/S0269-7491(97)00025-0
47. Lelifajri, Nawi MA, Sabar S, Supriatno, Nawawi WI. Preparation of immobilized activated carbon-polyvinyl alcohol composite for the adsorptive removal of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. Journal of Water Process Engineering 2018; 25: 269-277. doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2018.08.012
48. Cazetta AL, Vargas AMM, Nogami EM, Kunita MH, Guilherme MR et al. NaOH-activated carbon of high surface area produced from coconut shell: kinetics and equilibrium studies from the methylene blue adsorption. Chemical Engineering Journal 2011; 174: 117-125. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2011.08.058
49. Weber TW, Chakravorti RK. Porous and solid diffusion models for fixed-bed adsorbers. AIChE Journal 1974; 20: 228-238. doi: 10.1002/aic.690200204
50. Lagergren S. About the theory of so-called adsorption of soluble substance. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar 1898; 24: 1-39.
51. Ho YS, McKay G. Pseudo-second order model for sorption processes. Process Biochemistry 1999; 34: 451-465. doi: 10.1016/S0032-9592(98)00112-5
52. Chiou MS, Chuang GS. Competitive adsorption of dye metanil yellow and RB15 in acid solutions on chemically cross-linked chitosan beads. Chemosphere 2006; 62: 731-740. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.04.068
53. Shen T, Liu S, Yan W, Wang J. Highly efficient preparation of hexagonal boron nitride by direct microwave heating for dye removal. Journal of Materials Science 2019; 54: 8852-8859. doi: 10.1007/s10853-019-03514-8.