Characterization, Mapping and Catheter Ablation of Atrial Tachycardia with Prior Atriotomy
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Introduction

Post-surgical atrial tachycardia (AT) always happened after congenital heart disease repairment. Anti-arrhythmia drugs (AADs) had limited effects for this kind of ATs. It could only be cured by radiofrequency catheter ablation (RFCA). The success rate for different kinds of post-surgical AT are various [1-10]. The three-dimensional mapping and entrainment mapping have been demonstrated to be useful for reveal of the tachycardia mechanism [11]. The RA volume among the congenital heart disease (CHD)patients was usually larger as usual, which could affect the outcome of AT ablation. The recurrence rate was higher in the IART patients with larger RA volume and low-voltage areas [12,13]. It was usually gaps in the ablation line for Giant RA, which led to IART recurrence. The aim of this study was to therefore to evaluate the characteristics of mapping and ablation of post-surgical AT with giant RA and decreased the recurrence of IART by pace-map for seeking the gaps.

Methods

Patient selection

Consecutive CHD patients with prior atriotomy undergoing AT ablation using electroanatomic mapping between January 2012 and December 2016 were selected for this study. Patients were referred to RFCA if they had multiple episodes of AT despite under control of at least one antiarrhythmic drug. Because we only focus on evaluating electroanatomic features of the RA, patients with Mustard/Senning repair were excluded as their atrial baffles distort RA geometry. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee, and all subjects provided informed consent.

Electrophysiological study and electroanatomic mapping and entrainment mapping

Class I and III AADs were withheld for at least five half-lives, except for amiodarone, which was discontinued at least two weeks before the procedure. If the patient was not in AT at the beginning of the procedure or it was terminated during catheter placement, AT was induced, most commonly with rapid atrial pacing. Electro anatomical mapping (EAM) was performed using the CARTO system (CARTO 3 or Halo™, Biosense Webster Inc., Diamond Bar, CA, USA) for all patients. For each patient, a decapolar catheter was placed in the coronary sinus (CS) and a deflectable duodecapolar mapping catheter (Halo®, Biosense Webster Inc.) was always placed along with the tricuspid annular (TA) with the distal poles positioned at 7 O’clock of TA in left anterior oblique (LAO) view. Fluoroscopy and signal characteristics were used to verify stable endocardial catheter contact before points were acquired. A 3.5 mm irrigated-tip Thermocool Navistar catheter ( Biosense Webster Inc. Diamond Bar, CA, USA) was used for mapping and ablation. Activation map was reconstructed to
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Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this study was to develop bidirectional block of intra-atrial reentrant tachycardias (IARTs) late after the repair of congenital heart disease (CHD) using pace-map and entrainment mapping.

Methods and results: There were 106 patients enrolled in this study. These patients were divided into two groups as giant right atrium (RA) group and non-giant RA group based on their RA volume measured by Carto. All patients have performed activation and entrainment mapping among these patients, 24 (22.6%) of Giant RA group had dual-loop reentry and 82 patients had single loop reentry. 94 patients (86.7%) found out the gaps by pace-map in SR. Linear ablation was successful in 105 patients (99%). During a mean follow-up period of 105 ± 34 months the success rate of IARTs was 66%, there were significantly different in these two groups(P<0.01). Seven (6.6%) patients in Giant RA group undergone redo procedure.

Conclusion: Entrainment mapping in tachycardia and pace-map combined with three-dimensional electroanatomic mapping can elaborate the mechanism of complex re-entry circuits and critical isthmuses as targets for ablation. Pace-map in SR may be a good method for seeking the gap of ablation line to be bidirectional block for linear ablation IARTs.
define the nature of AT with surgical scar [14]. Local activation time was determined with bipolar electrogram. Scar tissue was identified when atrial potential signal presented as voltage <0.1 Mv [15]. The location of incision was identified by a line of double potentials/fragment potential with low voltage indicative for conduction block. Entrainment mapping at multiple sites was then performed to confirm the mechanism and to demonstrate the reentry of AT, with methods previously described [16,17]. Pacing mapping at multiple sites and post-pacing interval (PPI) were performed to demonstrate the bidirectional block of prior ablation line undergone redo procedure [18,19]. For the redo patients in the Giant RA group. Cavotricuspid isthmus (CTI) was the most common reason for recurrence. This may because of bad catheter contact in this particular group of patients with big RA volume. Pacing mapping at different multiple sites of TA and double potential of free wall RA and then PPI measurement was performed to find the gaps of prior linear ablation (Figure 1).

Pace-map for seeking the gaps of ablation line

The interval from the coronary sinus (CS) proximity stimulus to ablation distal tip was measured. The CS stimulus to distal ablation tip electrogram component represents the time to activation of the ipsilateral side of the ablation line. The pacing site was in the CS proximity, then ablation Large tip moved from the ablation line of TA to IVC. Finally, the shortest time in these pace-map points may be the gap of ablation line, which can have arrived at bidirectional block by RFCA this point (Figure 1).

Ablation

The Thermo cool Navistar catheter (Biosense Webster Inc., Diamond Bar, CA, USA) was applied to deliver radiofrequency energy with temperature limited to 43°C-45°C, power to 30 W-50 W and saline flow of 17 mL/min–25 mL/min. CTI dependent atrial flutter ablation was performed with an ablation line connecting TA with inferior vena cava (IVC). A linear ablation connecting the incisional scar with anatomic barrier was created for scar dependent tachycardia ablation. The CTI and incision to IVC isthmus bidirectional block were validated with pacing manoeuvres as previously described [20,21]. The endpoints were as follows: (1) termination of AT during radiofrequency energy delivering, (2) noninducibility of any atrial arrhythmia at the end of procedure and (3) demonstration of bidirectional conduction block of each ablation line. If termination of tachycardia could not be achieved during procedure, current cardioversion was performed. And after sinus rhythm (SR) was restored, AADs were continued to maintain SR.

Follow-up and redo procedure

After successful ablation, we recommended to discontinue AADs in all patients. Every patient underwent clinical follow-up with 24 h Holter monitor at 3 and 12 months, and annually thereafter. Patients were also instructed to undergo ECG tests upon symptoms. All tachyarrhythmias were recorded including recurrent AT and development of AF. Patients with recurrent AT were encouraged to perform redo procedure. During redo procedure, after mapping and ablation of the present AT using protocols described above, bidirectional block of previous ablation lines was also validated.

Statistical analysis

All statistics were performed using SPSS 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Normally distributed data were expressed as mean ± SD and normally distributed data as median (interquartile range). Comparisons between groups were made using unpaired Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables and χ² test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical data. P-values <0.05, were considered statistically significant.
Results

Patient's characteristics

There were 106 patients enrolled in this study. These patients were divided into two groups as Giant RA groups and non-Giant RA groups on the basis of their right atrial volume that it was not or more than 100 ml [12]. There were 24 patients with enlarged right atrium included into the Giant RA group. The remaining patients were classified as non-Giant groups. Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics all the patients. The most common reason for open-heart surgery was atrial septum defect (ASD) in congenital heart disease (CHD), ventricular septum defect (VSD), and mitral valve (MV) disease, acquired heart disease(AHD), which was only operated via septal approach from right atrium in our series [14]. Other causes for surgery included Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF), Ebstein's anomaly, atrial tumor and aortic valve disease. AT appeared in average 17 (range, 1–30) months after cardiac surgery. The mean duration of tachycardia was 33 ± 22 (range, 1–65) months. Ebstein's anomaly is the most important reasons of enlargement right atrial. Table 2 summarizes the baseline characteristics of Giant RA groups (Table 2).

| Baseline characteristics | Non-Giant RA patients (N=83) | Giant RA patients (N=23) | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Male gender (%)          | 49 (59%)                    | 18 (78.3%)              | NS      |
| Mean age (yrs)           | 45.4 ± 15.4 (8-77)          | 44.7 ± 11.7 (26-65)     | NS      |
| Tachycardia onset after surgery (months) | 7 (1-76) | 17.1 ± 9.6 (1-30) | NS      |
| mean LV ejection fraction (%) | 55 ± 20 (31-75)          | 54 ± 19 (32-79)         | NS      |
| mean LA size (mm)        | 38 ± 17 (24-58)            | 39 ± 12 (25-60)         | NS      |
| duration of tachycardia  | 29 ± 25 (2-55)             | 31 ± 22 (1-63)          | NS      |
| RA volume (ml)           | 73.4 ± 16.7 (29.6-98.4)    | 161.8 ± 41.3 (100.7-238.4) | P<0.01 |

RA: Right Atrial; LV: Left Ventricular; LA: Left Atrial

Table 1: Patient and tachycardia characteristics.

Electroanatomic mapping and procedural outcome of first ablation

Persistent tachycardia was presented at the beginning of the procedure in all patients. All patients were mapped by Carto and entrainment mapping, 2422.6% patients had dual-loop reentry and 82 patients had single loop reentry (Figure. 2) Linear lesion was created between (1) incisional scar and IVC (n=26); (2) TA and IVC (n=102); (3) septal patch and IVC (n=2). (Figure 2). Bidirectional block of ablation line was observed in all. One patient was failure required by cardioversion because of atrial fibrillation during carto mapping. While the remaining patients was immediately succeeded after first procedure. During a mean follow-up period of 105 ± 34 months, the total success rate of first procedure was thus 66%. All the patients undergoing redo procedure were no recurrent.

Characteristics and ablation of giant atrial tachycardia

In giant RA group, the average RA volume was 161.8 ± 41.3 ML (Range 100.7-238.4) (Table 1). At the same time, they were usually TA regurgitation from mild to severe regurgitation (Table 2). The reason for open heart surgery and surgery procedure was in Table 2. The average tachycardia cycle length was 249.6 ms ± 38.1 ms (Range 210-280 ms).

Characteristics of recurrent AT during redo procedures and entrainment mapping

No one undergone redo procedure in the non-Giant RA group. While 6 patients in the Giant RA group undergone redo procedure and one patient undergone three procedures (Table 2). In these recurrence patients No1 and No 2 patients because of a new tachycardia which was related to the free wall scar of right atrial. The remaining 5 patients had the same tachycardia circuit but longer circle length than initial ablation.

For example, the No.19 patients who underwent three times procedures. During the first procedure, The ECG of tachycardia exhibited CTI dependent AFL (TACCW, TCL:236 ms Figures 3A-3D). she was confirmed to CTI-dependent flutter by carto mapping and entrainment mapping, which arrived at bidirection block at the end of procedure. After 6 months she was recurred atrial flutter but tachycardia cycle (TCL) was 280 ms (Figure 3C). The third-time operation that its TCL extended to 420 ms was held after one month of second ablation (Figure 3D). Entrainment mapping by closing to TA ventricular that TA was usually not good mapping because of right atrial enlargement. The patients undergone repeat ablation, which were carefully carto mapping and then entrainment mapping in the septal and Free wall of TA (Figure 3A). The post pacing interval was used to identify the leakage point with the previous procedure. At the end of operation, traditional method was used to verify the bidirectional block of cavitricuspid isthmus (CTI).

Pace-map in sinus rhythm and find the leak point

The tachycardias of all the patients can be terminated but not all be bidirectional block. Nighty-four patients (88.7%) was used bypace-map to find the leak point (Figure 4). Close to ablation line bypace-map measure the scale from the ablation electrode to the proximal coronary sinus electrode.
| Number | Sex | Age (y) | RA volume (ml) | Reasons for open heart surgery | Surgery procedure | CL (ms) | Tachycardia onset after surgery (y) | TA regurgitation | AFL circuit | Ablation site | Repeat procedure | Ablation site | Recurrence AT CL (ms) |
|--------|-----|---------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1      | M   | 43      | 110.98         | Ventricular septum defect     | VSD closure and Tricuspid valve replacement | 250    | 12                               | N               | one loop    | CTI+RA free wall | yes             | freewall scar | 220                 |
| 2      | M   | 38      | 148.31         | Tetralogy of Fallot           | Complete repair    | 210    | 30                               | moderate        | dual loop   | CTI+RA free wall | no              | freewall scar | 500                 |
| 3      | F   | 56      | 213.17         | Mitral valve disease         | Mitral valve replacement | 250    | 20                               | severe           | one loop    | CTI           | yes             | TA ventricular | 300                 |
| 4      | F   | 63      | 182.28         | Mitral valve disease         | Mitral valve replacement | 280    | 12                               | moderate         | one loop    | CTI           | no              |              |                     |
| 5      | M   | 35      | 205.46         | Ebstein's anomaly and Atrial septum defect | Tricuspid valvuloplasty and ASD closure | 240    | 24                               | N               | one loop    | CTI           | yes             | TA ventricular | 400                 |
| 6      | M   | 42      | 135.09         | Ventricular septum defect    | VSD closure        | 260    | 22                               | moderate         | dual loop   | CTI+RA free wall | no             |              |                     |
| 7      | F   | 48      | 118.36         | Tetralogy of Fallot           | Complete repair    | 210    | 22                               | little           | dual loop   | CTI+RA free wall | no             |              |                     |
| 8      | M   | 65      | 159.71         | Atrial septum defect         | ASD closure        | 240    | 10                               | moderate         | one loop    | inscision scar to IV C | no            |              |                     |
| 9      | F   | 63      | 100.76         | Atrial tumor                 | Atrial tumorectomy | 270    | 16                               | N               | dual loop   | CTI+RA free wall | no             |              |                     |
| 10     | M   | 26      | 126.29         | Atrial septum defect and Ebstein's anomaly | ASD closure        | 260    | 8                                | little           | one loop    | CTI           | no             |              |                     |
| 11     | M   | 33      | 111.96         | Mitral valve disease         | Mitral valvuloplasty | 250    | 7                                | little           | one loop    | CTI           | no             |              |                     |
| 12     | M   | 42      | 124.5          | Atrial septum defect         | ASD closure        | 240    | 1                                | N               | one loop    | CTI           | no             |              |                     |
| 13     | M   | 27      | 190.38         | Atrial septum defect         | ASD closure        | 250    | 15                               | severe           | one loop    | CTI           | yes             | 410           |                     |
| 14     | M   | 58      | 119.56         | Mitral valve disease         | Mitral valvuloplasty | 270    | 4                                | N               | one loop    | CTI           | no             |              |                     |
| 15     | M   | 49      | 217.64         | Ebstein's anomaly            | Tricuspid valvuloplasty | 240    | 2                                | severe           | one loop    | CTI           | yes             | TA ventricular | 340                 |
| 16     | M   | 47      | 218.8          | Ventricular septum defect    | VSD closure        | 280    | 30                               | severe           | one loop    | CTI           | yes             | TA ventricular |                     |
| 17     | M   | 32      | 1189           | Ventricular septum defect    | VSD closure        | 260    | 30                               | severe           | one loop    | CTI           | no             |              |                     |
| 18     | M   | 54      | 140.89         | Ventricular septum defect    | ASD closure        | 260    | 15                               | moderate         | one loop    | CTI           | yes             | 360           |                     |
Table 2: Characteristics of Giant right atrial.

| No | Sex | Age | CL | Type of defect | VSD closure | QTS | Severity | Loop | CTI | TA | Follow-up |
|----|-----|-----|----|----------------|-------------|-----|----------|------|-----|----|-----------|
| 19 | F   | 48  | 238.4 | Ventricular septum defect | VSD closure | 236 | 23 | severe | one | CTI | yes | TA ventricular | 280/420 |
| 20 | M   | 32  | 189.2 | Atrial septum defect | ASD closure | 260 | 23 | moderate | one | CTI | no |
| 21 | M   | 39  | 157.8 | Atrial septum defect | ASD closure | 236 | 45 | moderate | one | CTI | no |
| 22 | M   | 35  | 176.2 | Atrial septum defect | ASD closure | 250 | 12 | moderate | one | CTI | no |
| 23 | M   | 54  | 212.5 | Endocardial cushions defect | Endocardial cushions repair | 238 | 27 | severe | dual | CTI | no |

Follow-up after the first procedure and redo procedures

During a mean follow-up period of 105 ± 34 months, there were significantly different in these two groups (P<0.01). There were 7/23 patients with recurrent AT in Giant atrial group, while no one recurrence in non-Giant group (Table 2). Only one patient in the Giant group had the third procedure. All the patients were sinus rhythm without AADs after redo procedure during follow-up.

Complications

No complications including cardiac tamponade, atrioventricular block and no vascular access complications needing surgical treatment and peri-procedural infection, bleeding and thromboembolism.

Discussion

In this study, the major findings were the following: (1) This Giant RA arrhythmia was found in 21.7% of the patients undergoing ablation for atrial macroreentry tachycardia with prior atriotomy. (2) Electroanatomic Mapping can help us understand the mechanism of Giant right atrial tachycardia and eliminate the arrhythmia. (3) Entrainment mapping and post pacing interval (PPI) may be a benefit method for redo procedure patients. (4) pace-map was a good technique for seek the gaps of ablation line.

Entrainment manoeuvre and post pacing interval

Entrainment mapping and carto mapping was used to delineate the complex re-entry circuits and mechanism of IART (6,7,10). Electroanatomic mapping was used to map the tachycardia, and then Entrainment manoeuvre and post pacing interval (PPI) was used to certify how to reentry of AFL (Figure 3). When critical isthmuses were demonstrated, entrainment close to unexcitable areas that define the isthmus was used to determine whether they were in the circuit. Linear ablation was applied to transect this isthmus to be bidirectional block of Cavotricuspid Isthmus (CTI).

Pace-map was a good technique for seek the gap of ablation line

Many patients undergone linear ablation, it was usually terminated but it usually could not be bidirectional block of ablation line owing to catheter unstaibility, difficult anatomy and large right atrium. How to seek the gap ablation line that Pace-map through the ablation line may be a good method to tackle it. For example, After the right atrial Cavotricuspid Isthmus (CTI) ablated of CTI-Dependent Atrial Flutters (AFL), AFL was terminated but it was not often bidirectional block. The ablation catheter was located in the free wall of ablation line, and
then it compared with the interval between the coronary sinus and ablation catheter by coronary sinus proximal pacing 19 The ablation line arrived at bidirectional block to seek the gap of ablation line.

**Figure 3:** Entrainment mapping of giant right atrial flutter with demonstration of the post pacing response (PPI) at the given pacing sites. (A)(B)(C)(D) is the electrocardiograph (ECG) of the atrial tachycardia before first, second and third ablation of a patient.

**Conclusion**

The Giant RA arrhythmia was found in 21.7% of the patients undergoing ablation for atrial macroreentry tachycardia with prior atriotomy. Electroanatomic Mapping can help us understand the mechanism of Giant right atrial tachycardia and eliminate the arrhythmia. Entrainment mapping and post pacing interval (PPI) may be a benefit method for redo procedure patients. Pace-map was a good technique for seek the gaps of ablation line.

**Limitation**

This was a retrospective analysis, no detailed data on ablation procedures were available and follow-up was not structured. The number of patients with ablation of IART and CTI-AFL were very low, and calculation of success rates and redo procedures highly influenced by single cases.

**Figure 4:** Looking for the leak point of linear ablation right atrial Cavotricuspid isthmus (CTI) by pace-map. Yellow markers denote the entrainment mapping recording site; red marker denotes the target for radiofrequency (RF) catheter ablation; blue marker denotes successful RFCA site; purple marker denotes tricuspid annulus.
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