Cystathionine β-synthase regulates HIF-1α stability through persulfidation of PHD2
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INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) is a gasotransmitter synthesized endogenously in mammals primarily through depersulfidation of cysteine by the pyridoxal 5′-phosphate–dependent enzymes: cystathionine β-synthase (CBS), cystathionine γ-lyase (CSE), and 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase (3MST) (1). We and others have previously reported that H₂S promotes angiogenesis through CBS-mediated persulfidation (2, 3) and activation of proximal signal transduction components. To date, more than 150 different mutations of CBS have been identified in humans; CBS deficiency impairs the conversion of homocysteine to cystathionine and leads to hyperhomocysteinemia (4). Patients with CBS deficiency show a wide spectrum of disease severity, including dislocation of the optic lenses, homocystinuria, osteoporosis, marfanoid habitus, mental retardation, and a predisposition to cardiovascular diseases including thromboembolism and stroke. Most of these CBS deficiency–related conditions are also associated with notable endothelial dysfunction and impaired angiogenesis (5).

Endothelial cells (ECs) line the vasculature and play a major role in maintaining oxygen and nutrient exchange in all tissues. During tissue damage, quiescent ECs activate and form angiogenic sprouts in a highly coordinated process (6). Because of their anatomical location, ECs are exposed to frequent changes in oxygen concentration that potentially turn on hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF), a master regulator (7). The stabilization and transactivation of the α subunit of HIF (HIF-1α) are dependent on oxygen tension. In abundant oxygen, HIF-1α is constitutively hydroxylated on specific prolyl residues by prolyl hydroxylase (PHD) enzymes. The hydroxylated prolyl residues on HIF-1α constitute the binding platform for the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) tumor suppressor protein. The VHL protein has two major functional domains: The α domain is required for the nucleation of the Elongins BC/Cul 2/VHL complex, and the β domain is required for prolyl-hydroxylated HIFα recognition (8). VHL is also a substrate recognition component of an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex that selectively recognizes hydroxylated HIF-1α (HIF-1α–OH) and targets it for rapid degradation by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (9). Three mammalian PHD isoforms have been identified: PHD1, PHD2, and PHD3 (10). Although, all three PHD isoforms have a conserved PHD domain, PHD2 is the most abundant isoform in most cell types (11) and is therefore regarded as the central mediator of HIF-1α turnover. During hypoxia, the activity of the oxygen-dependent PHD2 is inhibited, and HIF-1α protein is stabilized. Stable HIF-1α associates with the β subunit (HIF-1β) and induces transcription of downstream targets that promote adaptation to a hypoxic environment (12). HIF-1α controls more than 2% of genes in vascular ECs, thereby regulating multiple aspects of EC biology, including cell survival, cell growth, cell invasion, and glucose metabolism, all of which are important to the induction of angiogenesis (6).

The basal level of HIF-1α in normoxic tissues varies widely based on tissue and/or cell type (13). Many growth factors and cytokines stabilize HIF-1α under normoxic conditions, including insulin, insulin-like growth factors, transforming growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, epidermal growth factor, and interleukin-1β (14–17). HIF-1α is stabilized under normoxic conditions in various pathophysiologies, including inflammation, ischemia, anemia, and several malignancies (13, 18), as well as during development. Hence, oxygen-dependent or -independent, HIF-1α–reliant disruption of angiogenesis has long been considered of critical therapeutic importance in an extensive array of diseases, including acute ischemic stroke, chronic kidney disease (19), and in cancer (20).

Here, we show that CBS via H₂S production augments PHD2 activity, thereby modulating levels of HIF-1α. Under normoxic conditions in vitro, inhibition of CBS depletes endogenous H₂S, which inhibits PHD2 activity and, in turn, stabilizes HIF-1α. In addition, inhibition of CBS stabilizes HIF-1α in zebrafish embryos, leading to both axis defects and abnormal development of intersegmental vessels (ISVs). Exogenous H₂S rescues these phenotypes,
both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, PHD2 is persulfidated by CBS; this maintains PHD2 activity and PHD2-mediated modulation of HIF-1α function. We demonstrate a previously unknown nexus of PHD2, HIF-1α, and CBS that coordinately regulates PHD2 activity and hence HIF-1α stability in response to environmental cues.

RESULTS

Hypoxia attenuated EC proliferation, CBS expression, and H2S production

Hypoxia plays a critical role in the early development of ECs within the vasculature of both the umbilical cord and the embryo. However, the influence of hypoxia on ECs is unclear. To investigate the role of hypoxia in EC proliferation, human umbilical vein ECs (HUVECs) and human aortic ECs (HAOECs) were incubated for 48 hours either under normoxic conditions, in 1% O2 (hypoxia), or with dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), a cell-permeable analog of 2-oxoglutarate that induces HIF-1α. EC proliferation was significantly inhibited after 24 hours of hypoxia; however, DMOG significantly inhibited EC proliferation starting 12 hours after treatment (Fig. 1A and fig. S1A). These results demonstrate that both physical hypoxia and DMOG attenuate EC proliferation. To validate this observation, we treated ECs with cobalt chloride (CoCl2), another modulator of PHD activity that, similar to DMOG, induces HIF-1α. We then determined the expression levels of all the H2S-producing enzymes. In total, these data confirm that either direct hypoxia or chemical modulation of PHD2 activity significantly inhibits EC proliferation. We previously reported that CBS is the predominant H2S-producing enzyme in ECs and that silencing CBS inhibits EC proliferation (2). Because both hypoxia and CBS inhibition result in reduced EC proliferation, we hypothesized that these events are linked. To address this, we exposed both HUVEC and HAOEC to 1% O2 for 48 hours and determined the expression levels of all the H2S-producing enzymes. In both HUVEC and HAOEC, CBS expression was significantly reduced, while expression of both CSE and 3MST remained unaffected (Fig. 1C). To confirm this finding, we treated ECs with increasing concentrations of CoCl2 for 48 hours. Similar to physical hypoxia, treatment with CoCl2 also significantly reduced CBS expression levels without altering CSE or 3MST (Fig. 1D). In total, these data confirm a nexus between hypoxia, depletion of CBS, and EC proliferation. We then determined H2S levels in hypoxic ECs and in ECs exposed to CoCl2 or DMOG using a modified methylene blue assay (2). Compared to cells grown in normoxic conditions, there was a significant decrease in cellular H2S levels under hypoxia (~64% in HUVEC and ~62% in HAOEC; Fig. 1E) and when treated with DMOG (~53% in HUVEC and ~52% in HAOEC; fig. S1B) or CoCl2 (~46% in HUVEC and ~59% in HAOEC; fig. S1B). These results confirm that hypoxic stress inhibits EC proliferation and reduces CBS expression, thereby suppressing H2S production. To determine whether observed changes in proliferation were due to HIF-1α, we expressed the hemagglutinin (HA)–tagged HIF-1α with a deleted oxygen-dependent degradation (ΔODD) domain in HUVEC that cannot be degraded in normoxic conditions. Expression of HIF-1α was confirmed by immunoblotting for HA and for HIF-1α, respectively (fig. S1C). We observed a dose-dependent decrease in HUVEC proliferation compared to the empty vector control (fig. S1D). These results support that inhibition in EC proliferation is modulated via HIF-1α.

H2S donors or forced CBS expression rescues the hypoxia-associated phenotype

To address a direct role for H2S in hypoxia, both HUVEC and HAOEC were incubated in 1% O2 for 48 hours; for the final 2 hours, the H2S donor sodium hydrosulfide (NaHS) was added at various concentrations. The hypoxia-induced increase in HIF-1α levels was partially reversed by NaHS treatment (Fig. 2A). To corroborate these observations, we treated ECs with GYY4137 (GYY), another small molecule that slowly releases H2S. ECs were treated with GYY under both normoxic and hypoxic conditions. Similar to NaHS, treatment with GYY reduced hypoxia-associated HIF-1α levels, while the expression of CBS remained unchanged (Fig. 2B). To further investigate why H2S supplementation alters HIF-1α levels in hypoxia, we determined the impact of H2S on EC proliferation, we used the 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU) assay. Both ECs in 1% oxygen showed ∼50% inhibition in proliferation that was significantly restored (by ∼30 and ∼35%, respectively, in HUVEC and HAOEC) after treatment with GYY (Fig. 2C). We also measured the intracellular H2S levels in this context. Corroborating previous results (Fig. 1E), 1% O2 significantly decreased cellular H2S levels, and GYY treatment partially restored but did not reach levels observed in normoxic cells (Fig. 2D). Overall, these results show that the depletion of H2S by hypoxia inhibits EC proliferation and that this phenotype is partially rescued by H2S supplementation. To substantiate a role for CBS in this H2S effect, we transfected HUVECs with empty vector or the vector carrying CBS (FLAG-CBS) and then exposed cells to 1% O2 for 48 hours. Forced expression of CBS partially reduced hypoxia-induced HIF-1α levels (Fig. 2E). In addition, PHD2 levels were up-regulated by hypoxia irrespective of CBS status (Fig. 2E). To determine whether GYY effects on proliferation were through the PHD2/HIF-1α axis, we silenced VHL in HUVEC using small interfering RNA (siRNA) followed by treatment with or without GYY. Because HIF-1α stabilization in VHL-silenced cells is downstream of PHD2, we expected no effect of GYY. As expected, silencing of VHL led to increased HIF-1α that was not altered by GYY treatment (Fig. 2F). Furthermore, significant inhibition in proliferation was observed in VHL-silenced cells that remained unchanged with GYY supplementation (Fig. 2G). These results suggest that H2S modulates HIF-1α levels, which affect EC proliferation.

Inhibition of CBS stabilizes HIF-1α in normoxia by impairing PHD2 activity

We next sought to determine a connection between CBS and HIF-1α in normoxic conditions. To this end, we either transfected ECs with CBS siRNA (si-CBS) or treated ECs with aminoxyacetate acid (AOAA), a chemical inhibitor of CBS for 48 hours. Both pharmacologic and genetic inhibition of CBS triggered an increase in HIF-1α levels in both tested cell lines (Fig. 3A). The genetic knockdown of CBS also caused a significant decrease in H2S levels, ~61% in HUVEC and ~56% in HAOEC (fig. S3A). To interrogate further, we treated CBS-silenced cells with GYY. While GYY did not affect HIF levels in
Fig. 1. Hypoxia-attenuated EC proliferation, CBS expression, and H$_2$S production. (A) HUVECs and HAOECs were exposed to normoxia (Con) or hypoxia (1% O$_2$) or treated with 1 mM DMOG for 48 hours. Using the CyQUANT Assay, EC proliferation was evaluated at different time intervals. Normoxic untreated control cells were set to 100%. Data are the means ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05 when comparing with respective normoxic controls by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). (B) HUVEC and HAOEC were treated with cobalt chloride (CoCl$_2$) at the indicated concentrations for 48 hours and cellular proliferation was assessed using the 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU) assay. Data are the means ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05 when comparing with respective nontreated controls by a one-way ANOVA. (C) HUVEC and HAOEC were exposed to either normoxia or 1% O$_2$ for 48 hours. Expression of HIF-1α, PHD2, CBS, CSE, and 3MST was determined by immunoblot. β-Actin was used as a loading control. (D) HUVEC and HAOEC were treated with increasing concentrations of CoCl$_2$ for 48 hours, and the expression of HIF-1α, PHD2, CBS, CSE, 3MST, and β-actin was determined by immunoblotting. (E) HUVEC and HAOEC were exposed to either normoxia (Con) or hypoxia (1% O$_2$) for 48 hours, and H$_2$S levels were determined by methylene blue assay. Data are the means ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05 when comparing with the respective controls by Student’s t test.
control ECs, there was a notable decrease in HIF-1α (Fig. 3B) and HIF-2α (Fig. S3B) when CBS-silenced cells were treated with GYY. These data indicate that exogenous H$_2$S can reverse the CBS depletion-mediated HIF increase observed in normoxia. A hallmark of ECs is their ability to undergo morphogenic changes to form a network of tubular structures, which is a representative of EC differentiation (21). To determine the functional relevance of the above findings, we used the gold-standard EC tube formation assay. Silencing of CBS by two different siRNAs disrupted the tubular network observed in control ECs, and this disruption was partially rescued by GYY treatment (Fig. 3C, quantitated in fig. S3, C and D and fig. S3F, quantified in fig. S3, G and H). HUVEC tube length (fig. S3, C and F) and the number of meshes formed (fig. S3, D and G) were down-regulated by both the CBS siRNA, which was significantly restored by GYY treatment.

In normoxic conditions, PHD2 hydroxylates specific proline residues in the ODD domain of HIF-1α. This hydroxylation allows HIF-1α to associate with VHL, and HIF-1α is then targeted for degradation (9). We therefore assessed the relationship between PHD2 activity and CBS using four separate experiments. First, by immunoblotting, we determined levels of HIF-1α–OH from HUVEC harboring

---

**Fig. 2. Exogenous hydrogen sulfide supplementation either by chemical donors or CBS overexpression rescued hypoxic stress.** (A) HUVEC and HAOEC were exposed to normoxia or hypoxia (1% O$_2$) for 48 hours; for the final 2 hours, ECs were exposed to various concentrations (0.1, 0.5, and 1 mM) of an H$_2$S donor, NaHS. Expression of HIF-1α and β-actin was determined by immunoblotting. (B) HUVEC and HAOEC were exposed to normoxia or 1% O$_2$ in the presence or absence of 0.5 mM of another small-molecule slow-release H$_2$S generator, GYY4137 (GYY) for 48 hours, and immunoblotting were performed with the respective indicated antibodies. (C) HUVEC and HAOEC were exposed to normoxia or 1% O$_2$ in the presence or absence of 0.5 mM GYY for 48 hours, and cellular proliferation was assessed using the BrdU assay. Data are the means ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05 when comparing the specified groups. (D) HUVEC was exposed to normoxia or 1% O$_2$ in the presence or absence of 0.5 mM GYY for 48 hours, and H$_2$S levels were determined by the methylene blue assay. Data are the means ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05 when comparing with respective untreated normoxic controls by one-way ANOVA. (E) HUVECs were first transfected with either empty vector or the FLAG-CBS construct and then further exposed to normoxia or 1% O$_2$ for 48 hours before determining the expression of HIF-1α, CBS, PHD2, and β-actin by immunoblotting. (F) HUVEC was transfected with either scrambled or VHL siRNA (si-VHL) and was supplemented with or without 0.5 mM GYY for 48 hours, and immunoblotting was performed with the indicated antibodies. SE and LE represent as shorter exposure and longer exposure, respectively, of VHL blots during development. (G) Both si-Con– and si-VHL–transfected HUVEC were supplemented with 0.5 mM GYY for 48 hours, and proliferation was evaluated using the CyQUANT Assay. Data are the means ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05 when compared with the si-Control by a one-way ANOVA. ns, not significant.
Fig. 3. Inhibition of CBS stabilized HIF-1α in normoxia by impairing PHD2 activity. (A) HUVEC and HAOEC were transfected with either scrambled (si-Con) or si-CBS for 48 hours. Similarly, HUVEC and HAOEC were incubated with or without AOAA, a chemical inhibitor of CBS, for 48 hours. NT, vehicle treated control. Expression of HIF-1α, CBS, and PHD2 was determined by immunoblotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control. (B) Both si-Control and si-CBS–transfected (for 48 hours) HUVEC and HAOEC were supplemented with 0.5 mM GYY, and immunoblotting was performed with the indicated antibodies. (C) Tube formation assay was performed in si-Control and si-CBS–transfected (48 hours) HUVECs supplemented with or without 0.5 mM GYY on growth-factor reduced Matrigel (2 mg/ml). The images were acquired 4 hours after plating HUVECs on Matrigel in complete endothelial basal medium. Each scale bar represents 200 micron. (D) Both HUVEC and HAOEC cells were transfected with si-Control or si-CBS and incubated with or without 0.5 mM GYY for 48 hours. Cell lysates from each treatment condition were incubated with recombinant biotinylated HIF-1α peptide, and the peptide was then captured using streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads. Next, these peptide-coated beads were incubated with in vitro translated [35S] pVHL and finally quantified by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography as a measurement PHD2 activity. (E) In vitro prolyl hydroxylation of the purified HIF-1α–ODD protein at 0 and 15 min using lysates from HUVEC cells harboring either si-Control or si-CBS and incubated for 48 hours with or without GYY. Hydroxylation of HIF-1α–ODD was determined using the hydroxyprolyl-specific antibody at proline-564 (HIF-1α–OH ODD), and total HIF-1α was detected (HIF-1αODD). DMOG-treated lysates were used as a negative control, and α-tubulin was used as a loading control. (F) HUVEC cells were treated with either si-Control or si-CBS for 48 hours and both hydroxylated and total HIF-1α were detected from 0 to 120 min after proteasomal blockade with 1 μM MG132. Pico refers to regular chemiluminescence reagents that work in the picomolar range, we detected weak hydroxylated HIF-1α bands, and however, with the highest sensitive femtomolar reagents (Femto) and prolonged exposure more prominent bands could be detected. (G) mRNA expression levels of various HIF-1α target genes in si-Con and si-CBS-transfected (48 hours) HUVECs were determined by quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis. 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) was used as an internal control. si-Con mRNA levels were set to 1 and data represent mean ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < .05 when comparisons were made by Student’s t test.
either si-Control or si-CBS. In the absence of GYY, compared to the control, total HIF-1α was up-regulated in CBS-silenced cells but was less hydroxylated (fig. S3H). When comparing CBS-silenced cells treated with or without GYY, we observed decrease in total HIF-1α levels and a weak band for HIF-1α–OH was detected (fig. S3H), suggesting that depletion CBS inhibits hydroxylation of HIF-1α that GYY may restore. To further address this observation, we took a second approach using the VHL capture assay (22). The VHL assay relies on the tight interaction between VHL and HIF-1α–OH at position Pro564 that is mediated by PHD2 (10). HUVEC and HAOEC cells harboring either si-Control or si-CBS were incubated for 48 hours with or without GYY, and cellular lysates were prepared to act as a source of PHD2. The lysates were incubated with recombinant biotinylated HIF-1α peptide; following incubation, the peptide was captured using streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads. These peptide-coated beads were incubated with in vitro–translated [35S]pVHL and quantified by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and autoradiography. A 60 to 70% loss of the [35S]pVHL signal indicated that HIF-1α was significantly less hydroxylated by PHD2 from CBS-silenced cells (Fig. 3D). These results have been quantified and compared to CBS-silenced cells, cotreatment with GYY restored the signal by 2.3-fold in HUVECs and by 1.8-fold in HAOECs (fig. S4A). In a third approach, we performed an in vitro prolyl hydroxylation assay as reported by Burr et al. (23) to directly determine PHD activity toward HIF-1α in CBS-silenced cells and to determine whether exogenous supplementation with H2S could restore activity. Briefly, HUVEC cells harboring either si-Control or si-CBS were incubated for 48 hours with or without GYY. These cellular lysates served as a source of PHD2, which were incubated with a purified HIF-1α–ODD protein and hydroxylation determined using the hydroxyprolyl–specific antibody at 0 and 15 min, respectively (Fig. 3E). While lysates from the control cells hydroxylated the HIF-1α–ODD protein, lysates from the CBS-silenced cells demonstrated reduced hydroxylation (Fig. 3E). Hydroxylation was partially restored upon H2S supplementation by GYY in the CBS-silenced cells. DMOG served as a negative control in this assay (Fig. 3E). These results indicate that CBS/H2S maintains PHD2 persulfidation, which regulates HIF-1α prolyl hydroxylation. Last, to establish the link between CBS and PHD2 activity that is reflected by HIF-1α hydroxylation, we treated HUVEC with the proteasome inhibitor MG132, which blocks HIF-1α degradation and performed the chase assay at various time points (24). Briefly, we treated HUVEC cells with either si-Control or si-CBS for 48 hours and determined both hydroxylated and total HIF-1α from 0 to 120 min after proteasomal blockade. We observed an accumulation of HIF-1α and concomitant accumulation of the hydroxylated species with time in control cells (Fig. 3F). This was most prominent at 30 to 120 min in control cells, where the ratio of hydroxylated/total HIF increases continually (fig. S4B). However, in CBS-silenced cells, the ratio remains consistently low, indicating that, despite accumulation of total HIF over time, the hydroxylated species did not increase appreciably (Fig. 3F), leading us to conclude that HIF-1α is less hydroxylated by PHD2 in CBS-silenced cells. In support of these observations, mRNA expression of several bona fide HIF-1α target genes was up-regulated in CBS-silenced HUVECs (Fig. 3G). Together, these results (fig. S3E and Fig. 3, D to F) indicate that CBS regulates PHD2 activity and consequently HIF-1α levels in normoxia.

In vivo inhibition of CBS stabilized HIF-1α and caused abnormal development of ISVs in zebrafish embryos

To translate our in vitro findings to a relevant in vivo model, we evaluated the effect of CBS depletion on HIF-1α stabilization in zebrafish embryos. We previously reported that, of the two CBS orthologs in zebrafish, cbsb is responsible for anterior-posterior axis development and that cbsa function is redundant (25). In that study, we used splice morpholinos (MOs) and CRISPR-Cas9–based genetic engineering to inhibit cbsb. Here, we used the same approach of cbsb knockdown to corroborate our in vitro findings. We examined the protein expression of CBS and HIF-1α in the embryonic lysates. A consistent down-regulation of CBS protein at both 24 and 48 hpf (hours post fertilization) in cbsb MO–injected fish embryos or in 48-hpf cbsb CRISPR–injected fish embryos (Fig. 4A) confirmed inhibition of CBS. In all CBS depleted embryos, the HIF-1α level was elevated, suggesting inhibition of CBS-induced hypoxia in zebrafish embryos (Fig. 4A). To further confirm that H2S production and HIF-1α stabilization are associated, both cbsb MO– and cbsb CRISPR–injected fish embryos were treated with GYY starting at 6 hpf. Exogenous H2S supplementation in both cbsb MO (Fig. 4B) and in cbsb CRISPR (Fig. 4C) embryos reversed the HIF-1α elevation. We additionally assessed the morphologic changes in cbsb CRISPR embryos both with and without GYY. Consistent with our previously published report (25), embryos with loss of cbsb exhibited a shortened and bent axis; exogenous H2S rescued this phenotype, restoring the axis to a morphology similar to that of the control (Fig. 4, D to G). We also observed abnormal development of ISVs in cbsb CRISPR embryos, a phenotype that was also rescued by GYY treatment (Fig. 4, E, F, and H). Together, these results suggest that inhibition of CBS stabilizes HIF-1α in zebrafish embryos in normoxic conditions and that the associated elevated HIF-1α leads to abnormal development of ISV formation. To further investigate whether the phenotypes observed in the cbsb knockdown embryo was due to increased HIF-1α, we decided to knockdown hif-1a in a cbsb MO background. There are two hif-1 genes in the zebrafish genome, hif-1aa and hif-1ab, and both are reported to be required for macrophage-mediated blood vessel patterning in zebrafish (26). We performed the suggested hif-1aa, hif-1ab double MO injections but found this to be toxic to embryos as hif-1ab MO was predicted to have very low affinity for the zebrafish RNA target (manufacturer’s recommendation); hence, we injected hif-1aa MO singly. This was well tolerated by the embryos, and immunoblotting confirmed significant decrease in HIF-1 levels (fig. S5, A and B). We found that Hif-1aa knockdown embryos lacked dorsal longitudinal anastomotic vascular (DLAV) (fig. S5, C’ and D’), a phenotype previously reported by Gerri et al. (26). This DLAV phenotype was observed in ~80% of the embryos (fig. S5D′, yellow arrow; fig. S5E). We also treated hif-1aa MO fish with 0.5 mM GYY4137 but did not observe any rescue of (fig. S5, E and F) these vascular defects. Upon incubation with GYY, the hif-1aa knockdown embryos showed similar number (~80%) of DLAV phenotype (fig. S5E) and showed no change in Hif-1α protein level (fig. S5, A and B). In addition to the DLAV phenotype, we also observed additional phenotypes (small eyes and no head) (fig. S5, D [black arrow] and F), which further increased upon GYY treatment (fig. S5F). These phenotypes were not reported elsewhere, and further experimentation is needed to conclusively determine that these are related to the loss of Hif-1aa protein. We next performed the combined cbsb and hif-1aa knockdown experiment, but due to high rates of death, the embryo numbers recovered are too low for interpretation. When GYY4137...
Fig. 4. Inhibition of CBS-stabilized HIF-1α in zebrafish embryos caused abnormal development of ISVs. (A) Zebrafish embryos were injected with either control MO (ConMO) or cbsb MO (cbsb MO), and embryos assessed at 24 or 48 hpf or zebrafish embryos were injected with control and cbsb CRISPR and assessed at 48 hpf. The protein expression of HIF-1α and CBS in the embryo lysates was evaluated by immunoblotting. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as a loading control. (B) ConMO or cbsMO-injected zebrafish embryos were treated with or without 0.5 mM GYY4137 (GYY) at 6 hpf, and at 48 hpf, immunoblotting was performed with the indicated antibodies. (C) Control and cbsb CRISPR fish embryos were treated with or without 0.5 mM GYY4137 (GYY) at 6 hpf, and at 48 hpf, immunoblotting was performed with the indicated antibodies. (D to F) Bright-field whole mount images (D to F) and fluorescent images of the vasculature (D′ to F′) are shown at 52 hpf for embryos from either Tg[flk:EGFP (enhanced green fluorescent protein); CASPER] line (control) or the Tg(flk:EGFP; cbsb CRISPR, CASPER) line (cbsb CRISPR) were treated or not with GYY4137 (0.5 mM) or dimethyl sulfoxide at 6 hpf and were imaged. The box in (D′) indicates the region of enhancement in images (D′) to (F′). The bent axis is marked by the yellow arrow in (E), and disrupted ISVs are marked by the white asterisk in (E′). Each scale bar represents 0.25 mm. (G and H) Quantification for axis and ISVs, respectively. Data are the means ± SD of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 when comparing normal axis/normal ISVs of cbsb CRISPR with all other groups and #P < 0.05 when comparing abnormal axis/abnormal ISVs of cbsb CRISPR versus other groups. The embryo orientation in all the figure panels, left is anterior (A) and right is posterior (P), while top is dorsal (D) and bottom is ventral (V). n = 99 embryos for the control group, n = 52 embryos for the cbsb CRISPR group, and n = 52 embryos for the cbsb CRISPR + GYY group, which are total number of embryos from three independent experiments.
We next sought to determine the relationship between CBS, H₂S, and PHD2 activity. H₂S can modify proteins via persulfidation, a posttranslational modification that occurs at reactive Cys residues of target proteins. To address this possible mechanism, we assessed whether H₂S could directly modify PHD2. Both HUVEC and HAOEC were incubated for 2 hours either with or without NaHS and were then subjected to the modified biotin-switch assay. PHD2 was endogenously persulfidated (Fig. 5A) and in a dose-dependent manner by NaHS (fig. S6A). The specificity of this assay was confirmed by addition of dithiothreitol (DTT), which reduces disulfide bonds and prevents streptavidin capture (Fig. 5A). Either hypoxic treatment or silencing CBS in normoxic conditions significantly decreased endogenously persulfidated PHD2 (Fig. 5B and fig. S6, B and C), confirming that the persulfidation of PHD2 is regulated by CBS. We additionally performed liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) on the full-length PHD2 protein to identify the cysteine residue(s) that were persulfidated. LC-MS/MS analysis revealed five cysteine residues (C21, C33, C127, C201, and C208) as putative persulfidation sites in PHD2 (fig. S7). Clustal analysis of the PHD1, PHD2, and PHD3 proteins also indicated that four of the five putative cysteine persulfidation residues in PHD2 (C33, C127, C201, and C208) are also conserved in PHD1, but only one cysteine (C201) is conserved in PHD3. We observed that, similar to PHD2, endogenous PHD1 was also persulfidated by NaHS (fig. S6D), but we could not detect persulfidation for PHD3. Although PHD1, PHD2, and PHD3 all could be functional, several reports including EC-specific knockouts of PHD1 or PHD2 support a prominent role for PHD2 in regulating EC function (27). To silence PHD2, we assessed two different siRNAs (Si-PHD2_08 and Si-PHD2_09) in ECs; efficient silencing occurred with both siRNAs (Fig. 5C). We generated five PHD2 constructs; in each construct, a different one of the five candidate cysteine residues was mutated to serine. Because Si-PHD2_08 targets the untranslated region (UTR), the PHD2 constructs are resistant to it (Fig. 5D), while the endogenous protein is depleted. In PHD2-silenced HUVECs, we reexpressed either the wild-type (WT) or the mutated PHD2 constructs and performed the pVHL capture assay. PHD2 activity was significantly inhibited by mutating C21 (M1) or C33 (M2) but not by mutating any of the other three sites (Fig. 5E and fig. S6E). PHD2 contains a highly conserved myeloid nery deformed epidermal autoregulatory factor 1 homolog (MYND)–type zinc finger (28, 29) with two conserved CXXC (two cysteines separated by two other residues) domains C21/24 and C33/36; the two mutations that affect PHD2 activity fall within one or the other of these conserved domains. To determine whether the identified cysteine residues within the zinc finger, which is important for hydroxylation of HIF-1α and subsequent recognition by VHL, ultimately resulting in proteosomal degradation. Despite its induction at the protein level, PHD2 has reduced activity in hypoxic conditions. We speculate that this reduced activity results, at least in part, from low H₂S levels caused by down-regulation of CBS in hypoxia (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The transcription factor HIF-1α is critical to the hypoxic response and cellular metabolism, both of which directly affect angiogenesis, tumor progression, and cardiovascular disease (30). HIF-1α is regulated by both oxygen-dependent and -independent mechanisms (31, 32). Here, we report an important connection between the metabolic pyridoxine-dependent enzyme CBS, PHD2, HIF-1α, and VHL. In ECs, H₂S, generated via the action of CBS, persulfidates PHD2, which augments hydroxylation of HIF1α, rendering it sensitive to VHL-mediated proteosomal degradation.

In mammals, methionine is an essential sulfur-containing amino acid that can only be obtained from the diet. The transsulfuration pathway is the major route for metabolism of sulfur-containing amino acids and generates S-adenosylmethione (SAM), a major methyl donor for a variety of biologically important reactions. In a two-step reaction, SAM is further metabolized to homocysteine, and the latter is the substrate from which CBS generates H₂S (33). Therefore, environmental cues or oncogenes that affect cellular nutrient and metabolic status impinge on both CBS activity and H₂S production, which, our results suggest, affect HIF levels.

Corroborating previous reports, we found that hypoxia increased the expression of PHD2 (34, 35) in ECs. However, PHD2 shows reduced activity during hypoxia (34, 35). This is likely an adaptive response, which allows a rapid response to subtle drops in oxygen levels and subsequent reoxygenation. Such a scenario can be readily envisioned in the poorly vascularized tumor milieu or in cardiovascular diseases where cardiac perfusion is compromised (30).

In addition to its C-terminal PHD domain, PHD2 also has an N-terminal, evolutionary-conserved, MYND-type zinc finger, a feature that is absent in both PHD1 and PHD3 (28, 29). The zinc finger of PHD2 is essential for efficient hydroxylation of HIF-1α (11, 28, 29). Supporting the essentiality of the zinc finger, we found that H₂S persulfidates PHD2 at five different cysteine residues, two of which are within the zinc finger motif. Mutation of the zinc finger cysteines, but not mutation of the other three, decreased the ability of PHD2 to associate with and to hydroxylate HIF-1α. In this...
Fig. 5. PHD2 is persulfidated by CBS and persulfidation of PHD2 at zinc finger domain maintains its activation. (A) Modified biotin switch assay for detecting persulfidation of PHD2 in untreated and NaHS-treated (1 mM) HUVEC and HAOECs. Dithiothreitol (DTT) treatment (1 mM) for 30 min reversed PHD2 persulfidation. (B) Modified biotin switch assay to determine the persulfidation status of PHD2 in si-Control and si-CBS–treated HUVEC and HAOECs after 48 hours transfections. (C) HUVEC and HAOEC were transfected with either scrambled (si-Control) or two PHD2 siRNA (si-PHD2_08 and si-PHD2_09) for 48 hours. Expression of PHD2 was determined by immunoblotting. β-Actin was used as a loading control. (D) HUVECs were first transfected with either si-Control or si-PHD2 for 24 hours and further transfected with HA–empty vector (EV) or cDNAs encoding HA–PHD2 (wild type (WT), C21S (M1), C33S (M2), C127S (M3), C201S (M4), or C208S (M5)) for another 36 hours, and mRNA expression levels of PHD2 gene was determined. 18S rRNA was used as an internal control. si-Control–pretreated and EV-transfected mRNA levels were set to 1, and data are the means ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05 when comparing between EV-treated groups in both si-Control or si-PHD2–pretreated group. (E) HUVECs were first transfected with si-PHD2 for 24 hours and further transfected with HA–empty vector (EV) or cDNAs encoding HA–PHD2 (WT, C21S (M1), C33S (M2), C127S (M3), C201S (M4), or C208S (M5)) for another 36 hours, and lysates from each treatment conditions were incubated with recombinant biotinylated HIF-1α peptide and further captured using streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads. Next, these peptide-coated beads were incubated with in vitro–translated [35S] pVHL and finally quantified by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography as a measurement PHD2 activity. (F) HUVEC cells were transfected with either si-Control, si-PHD2, or si-PHD2 along with reexpression of WT or mutant PHD2 constructs. Cellular lysates served as a source of PHD2 and were incubated with GST or GST–HIF-1α prebound to GSH-agarose for 2 hours at 4°C. Respective agarose pull-down were then subjected to immunoblotting for PHD2 and HIF-1α, while cellular lysates served as input control for PHD2 and β-actin.
context, two studies reported (11, 36) on the interaction of PHD2 with the ODD domain of HIF-1α, and the latter suggested the involvement of HSP90 cochaperones. We find that full-length HIF-1α associates with PHD2 and our results do not exclude the possibility of cochaperone involvement. Another report (37) suggested that the monomeric form of PHD2 more efficiently hydroxylates HIF-1α compared to the disulfide bond-mediated homodimer that is formed in response to oxidative stress. In addition, several reports support that persulfide formation can result in increased nucleophilicity and reducing capability (38). Hence, persulfides likely participate in redox events and electrophilic signaling, possibly to a greater extent than the corresponding thiol (38). Together, increased PHD activity of PHD2 toward HIF-1α, in the presence of CBS and/or H2S, could be due to a combination of increased association with HIF-1α facilitated by a more reduced and active state of PHD2.

Inhibition of CBS in normoxic conditions elicits a pseudohypoxic response in ECs that is marked by stabilization of HIF-1/2α. On the one hand, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and glycolytic enzymes are up-regulated, while on the other, VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) and neuropilin 1, primary mediators of EC health, are down-regulated (2). HIF-1α and HIF-2α have unique transcriptional targets, for example, HIF-1α regulates glycolytic enzymes, and HIF-2α activates the stem cell factor oct4 among others (39); however, their common targets include the VEGF and adipocyte differentiation-related protein. Our results support that the regulation of HIF1-α by PHD2 persulfidation plays a critical role in the vascular phenotypes observed in vitro and in vivo. However, similar regulation of HIF-2α by PHD2 persulfidation, and its possible contribution to vascular phenotypes is part of our future studies. CBS deficiency in humans causes cardiovascular disease, thromboembolism, and stroke, all of which are associated with endothelial dysfunction. Up-regulation of HIF-1α in ECs is also associated with venous thromboembolism, aneurysm, vascular malformations, atherosclerosis, and hypertension.

Many of these pathophysiologies, including endothelial dysfunction and hypertension, are recapitulated in the CBS knockout mice (40). Together, these observations suggest that at least some of the CBS deficiency–associated phenotypes may result from the inhibition of PHD2 that stabilizes HIF in normoxic conditions.

The pseudohypoxic response caused by HIF-1α stabilization in normoxic CBS-silenced ECs is reversed by H2S supplementation, either by chemical donors or by reexpression of CBS. Hypoxic stress manifested by decreased EC proliferation was also rescued by H2S supplementation, all of which support a cytoprotective role for CBS and H2S (41). Supporting our in vitro findings, CBS-silenced zebrafish embryos exhibited the same phenotypes observed during hypoxic signaling, including bent axis and abnormal ISVs (25); as expected, these phenotypes were reversed by supplementation with H2S.

In conclusion, we describe a unique regulation of the PHD2-HIF-VHL axis by a metabolic enzyme CBS. Our work suggests that CBS-deficient pathologies may obviate HIF-1α stabilization, which can be ameliorated by H2S supplementation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and reagents**

HUVECs (CC-2517) were purchased from Lonza (Basel, Switzerland) and cultured in complete endothelial basal medium (EBM) containing an endothelial cell growth medium kit (CC-3125 from Lonza). Trypsin-EDTA (0.25%) and trypsin neutralizing solution were used for HUVEC subculture. HAOECs (PCS-100-011) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in vascular cell basal medium (PCS-100-030 from ATCC) containing an endothelial cell growth kit BBE (PCS-100-040 from ATCC). Trypsin-EDTA for primary cells (PCS-999-003 from ATCC) and trypsin neutralizing solution (PCS-999-004 from ATCC) were used for HAOEC subculture. All experiments were performed.

---

**Fig. 6. Schema of cystathione β-synthase–mediated activation of HIF and PHD2 through persulfidation.** PHD2 is targeted by H2S under normoxia to maintain its prolyl hydroxylation activity to degrade HIF-1α by VHL-mediated ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Inhibition of CBS depletes endogenous H2S level and stabilizes HIF-1α by inhibiting PHD2 activity in normoxia. Mechanistically, PHD2 is persulfidated by CBS and persulfidation of PHD2 at zinc finger domain augments activation in normoxia.
only between passages 3 and 5. All the cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\textsubscript{2} and 21% O\textsubscript{2} for normoxia. For hypoxic treatment, the cells were incubated in an Invivo2 400 Hypoxia Workstation chamber (RUSKINN, Bridgend, UK) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\textsubscript{2} and 1% O\textsubscript{2}, DMOG, CoCl\textsubscript{2}, NaHS, P-(4-methoxyphenyl)-P-4-morpholinyl-phosphonodithioic acid (GYY4137), AOA, DTT, and MG132 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Biotinylated HIF-1α 19-mer peptide (HIF-1α, 556 to 574) and FLAG-CBS-pcDNA3 (OHu26151) plasmid obtained from GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA). HA-PHD2-pcDNA3 was obtained from Addgene plasmid no. 18963.

**siRNA and plasmid transfection**

siRNA transfection in ECs was performed in 100-mm dishes with si-Control (scrambled control siRNA (no. 1027280), Qiagen, CA, USA), si-CBS (CBS siRNA from Sigma-Aldrich; SASI_Hs01_00214623) or from Qiagen (SI02777159) mentioned as si-CBS\textsuperscript{(2nd)} or si-HIF-1α (HIF-1α siRNA from Sigma-Aldrich; SASI_Hs02_00332063), or si-VHL (VHL siRNA from Sigma-Aldrich; SASI_Hs02_00302782), si-PH2D\textsubscript{08} (PHD2 siRNA from Sigma-Aldrich; SASI_Hs02_00355408), or si-PH2D\textsubscript{09} (PHD2 siRNA from Sigma-Aldrich; SASI_Hs02_00355409) using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Briefly, ECs at 40 to 50% confluency were plated in collagen-coated 100-mm dishes and grown overnight in complete EBM medium. Before transfection, cells were washed once with OPTI-MEM I (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA), and 3 ml of OPTI-MEM I was added and kept in CO\textsubscript{2} incubator until addition of transfection mixture. Transfection mixture of Oligofectamine (25 μl) and siRNA (25 μl of 10 μM) in 600 μl of OPTI-MEM I was prepared as per the supplier’s protocol and added to cells, and after 6 to 7 hours, 6.5 ml of complete EBM was added to the plates. Subsequent analyses were carried out with si-PH2D\textsubscript{08} referred to as PHD2 siRNA. For plasmid transfection, ECs at 40 to 50% confluency were plated in collagen-coated 100-mm dishes and grown overnight in complete EBM medium. On the day of transfection, the cells were washed once with OPTI-MEM I, and 3 ml of OPTI-MEM I was added and kept in CO\textsubscript{2} incubator until addition of transfection mixture. Transfection mixture was prepared with 40 μl of Lipofectin (Invitrogen), 3 to 5 μg of plasmid in 500 μl of OPTI-MEM I following the manufacturer’s protocol. After addition of transfection mixture, HUVECs were kept for 4 to 5 hours in a CO\textsubscript{2} incubator before adding 6.5 ml per plate of serum-supplemented EBM.

**Proliferation assays**

ECs were collected by trypsinization, counted and (5 × 10\textsuperscript{3} cells per well) seeded in collagen-coated 96-well plates, and treated with either normoxia or hypoxia or DMOG or CoCl\textsubscript{2}. Similarly, si-Control or si-CBS–transfected ECs were collected 24 hours after transfection, (5 × 10\textsuperscript{3} cells per well) seeded in collagen-coated 96-well plates, and cultured for another 24 hours. Cell proliferation was determined using the CyQUANT Direct Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Invitrogen, C35011) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and fluorescence intensity was measured at excitation at 508 nm and emission detection at 527 nm using CLARIOstar (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). In addition, BrdU incorporation assay was performed using the Cell Proliferation Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay BrdU Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). ECs were collected by trypsinization, counted, and seeded in 96-well plates (5 × 10\textsuperscript{3} cells per well). Posttreatment cells were incubated with BrdU for 12 hours, fixed, and probed with antibody supplied in kit, and colorimetric signals were measured at 450 nm. All experiments were repeated at least three times in triplicate.

**Cell lysis, SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotting**

Cellular lysate was prepared in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (Boston BioProducts, Ashland, MA, USA), and protein concentration was measured using the BCA (Bicinchoninic Acid) Assay Kit (Pierce, 32325). A standard protocol was used for immunoblotting. The cell lysates were separated on 10 or 12% glycerine SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in tris-buffered saline (TBS) with 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST from Boston Bioproducts) for 1 hour at room temperature. Primary antibodies were prepared in TBST with 5% BSA, and membranes were incubated in primary antibody at 4°C for overnight. Antibodies were purchased from the following vendors; HIF-1α from BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA (610959) and Novus Biologicals, Centennial, CO, USA (NB100-134); PHD2 (NB100-137), PHD1 (AF6394), and VHL (NB100-485) from Novus Biologicals; glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (G9545) and 3MST (MABS1171) from Sigma-Aldrich; CSE from Proteintech, Chicago, IL, USA (12217-1-AP); hydroxy-HIF-1α (3434), CBS (14782), β-actin (4970), and α-tubulin (3873) from Cell Signaling Technology; and secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase immunoglobulin G rabbit (A6154) and mouse (A4416) from Sigma-Aldrich. Primary antibodies were used in dilutions recommended by the manufacturer. Secondary antibodies were used at a concentration of 1:10,000.

**Tube formation assay**

Reduced growth factor Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) was thawed at 4°C overnight. Thereafter, Matrigel was diluted in 1:1 ratio with cold complete EBM medium, and 50 μl of the diluted Matrigel was added per well of a 96-well plate and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Forty-eight hours after transfection, HUVECs were trypsinized and counted, and 2 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cells in 100-μl medium were plated onto Matrigel. Tube formation was captured after 6 hours from plating of cells. Images were acquired using Zeiss Apotome microscope (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Jena, Germany) in phase contrast mode.

**Endogenous H\(_2\)S measurement**

H\(_2\)S concentrations in ECs were measured using methylene blue assay as previously reported (2). Briefly, normoxia or hypoxia-treated or scrambled or si-CBS–treated ECs were collected in a total volume of 200 μl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4), and 100 μl was then transferred directly into a tube containing zinc acetate [1% (w/v), 187.5 μl] and NaOH (12%, 12.5 μl) to trap the H\(_2\)S for 20 min at room temperature. The rest of the cell suspension was used to estimate protein concentration by BCA Assay. The reaction was terminated by adding 1 ml of H\(_2\)O (pH 12.8), 200 μl of N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine sulfate (20 mM in 7.2 M HCl), and 200 μl of FeCl\(_3\) (30 mM in 1.2 M HCl). The mixture was incubated in dark at room temperature for 15 min, and last, 600 μl of the mixture was added to a tube with 150 μl of trichloroacetic acid [10% (w/v)] to precipitate protein. The precipitated protein was removed by centrifugation at 10,000g for 5 min, and the absorbance at 670 nm of the resulting supernatant (200 μl) was determined. The H\(_2\)S concentration of each sample was calculated against a calibration curve of NaHS.
RNA isolation and analysis of gene expression by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

RNA extraction was performed using an RNaseasy Plus Mini kit, Qiagen (CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Reverse transcription (RT) reaction mix (20 µl) was prepared with RT Kit [iScript complementary DNA (cDNA) Synthesis kit, Bio-Rad] using equal volume of random primers and oligo dT, and 2 µg of isolated RNA. Real-time quantitative RT–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis was carried out in a 10 µl of reaction volume including 1 µl of cDNA template (diluted 20 times). qRT-PCR was performed using iTaq SYBR Green (Bio-Rad) following suppliers’ protocols. The primers for human CBS (PHH13484B-200) were obtained from Qiagen. All other primers were purchased from IDT (Integrated DNA Technologies) (Corvalle, IA, USA) as listed below. The comparative Ct method was used to calculate the relative abundance of the mRNA and compared with that of 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA). VEGF (forward, 5′-AGGAGGGCGAGAATCATCA-3′; reverse, 5′-CTCGATTGGATGCGCAGTAGCT-3′), eNOS (forward, 5′-GAAGCGTTTTTGTACCCGGGTTCTG-3′; reverse, 5′-CATGCTCAGCGCTGTGTCAC-3′), GLUT1 (forward, 5′-TTCACCTGCTGTCGCGTCTT-3′; reverse, 5′-AGCCGGATGTCACTGATAT-3′), HK1 (forward, 5′-CACTCTGAGGTTGAGCCTA-3′; reverse, 5′-CCACCATCTCCAGTCTC-3′), HK2 (forward, 5′-AAGCCCTTTCATCTCCAC-3′; reverse, 5′-CTCTTTCAGGAGCTCAACC-3′), PDK1 (forward, 5′-CTGTGATACCGGATACAAACG-3′; reverse, 5′-TCCACAAAAGATAAGAGTAGTCT-3′), and 18S rRNA (forward, 5′-CTACCACTACCGGaAGGCA-3′; reverse, 5′-TGTTCGACTACTCCCGCGC-3′).

In vivo zebrafish study

All zebrafish studies performed under the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) protocol 320, which is approved by the Medical College of Wisconsin (MCW) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Zebrafish maintenance and embryo stages were performed as per the protocols published before (25). The generation and characterization of the cbsb MO studies and cbsb CRISPR fish have already been described before by us (25). Zebrafish embryos dechorionated and protein lysates were prepared as described previously (25). Zebrafish embryos hydroxylation assay

In vitro hydroxylation assay

Purified HIF-1α–ODD protein, (530 to 826 residues) was purchased from Abcam (ab48734). The HUVEC cells harboring either si-Control or si-CBS were incubated for 48 hours with or without GYY. These cellular extract was prepared from 1 ml of reaction buffer (RB) [20 mM Hesep (pH 7.5), 5 mM KCl, and 1.5 mM MgCl2] followed...
by two cycles of freeze/thaw in anethanol/dry ice bath. The lysates were passed eight times through a 21-gauge needle, followed by two passes through a 26-gauge needle before centrifugation (17,000g at 4°C for 30 min). The supernatants were aliquoted and stored at −80°C. The hydroxylation assay was performed by incubating 10 mM HIF-1α–ODD with 25 μl of HUVEC cell extract in RB for 15 min at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by addition of SDS loading buffer, and the proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. Hydroxylation was measured using the HIF prolyl hydroxylation–specific antibody. DMOG, which inhibits the activity of PHD2, served as a negative control in this assay. Measurements of DMOG of HIF-1α hydroxylation were performed similarly, except that the lysate was preincubated with the compounds for 10 min at 37°C before the addition of the HIF-1α–ODD protein.

**GST pulldown assay**

GST–HIF-1α (1 to 735 residues) was purchased from Novus Biologicals (H00003091-P01). GST or GST–HIF-1α is immobilized on glutathione-agarose beads by the Pierce GST Protein Interaction Pull-Down Kit. Next, GST or GST–HIF-1α prebound to GST-agarose was incubated in buffer C [50 mM tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, and 0.5% Triton X-100] with rocking with HUVEC extracts for 2 hours at 4°C. The resins were washed three times, and eluates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

**Site-directed mutagenesis**

PHD2 mutant constructs were generated using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit [no. E0554S, New England Biolabs (NEB), Ipswich, MA] following the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the primers were designed with NEB online software NEBaseChanger. Primer sequences were as follows: C21S (forward, 5′-CCGG-CAGTACAGGAGCTTGCCG-3′; reverse, 5′-TCTCGCTC-GCTCGGCTC-3′), C33S (forward, 5′-CTCTGCTCGAGCAGCAGCCTGCAC-3′; reverse, 5′-TCTTCCATCTTCCGCGCA CAGTCTGC-3′), C127S (forward, 5′-CCGGTCTCGCCAGGC CGTGCCGCG-3′; reverse, 5′-GCCGCCGCTGGTGCCGG-3′), C201S (forward: 5′-CATCGTGCCGAGCATGAA-CAGCTCGGGCTC-3′), C208S (forward, 5′-GCACCGCATCAGCCTGTGACG-3′; reverse, 5′-TTGTCATGCAGCCGAC-3′). Mutation was introduced to plasmid HA-PHD2-pcDNA3 by a 25 μl 25 cycle PCR using the primers and a master mix formulation of Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase. The circularization of PCR product and removal of the template DNA were done by 10 μl of reaction with KLD (kinase, ligase and DpnI) enzyme mix provided in the kit. Half of the reaction was then used to transform NEB Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase. The tumour suppressor protein VH targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. Nature 399, 271–275 (1999).

**Data analysis and statistics**

All the experiments unless otherwise stated were repeated independently three times. Data are expressed as means ± SD. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare the mean among three or more groups and Student’s t test was performed to compare the mean between two groups. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05 using GraphPad Prism 6 software.
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