Case report

Nodular fasciitis of the anterior chest wall mimicking myxofibrosarcoma: A case report and literature review
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A B S T R A C T
Nodular fasciitis is a benign tumor of soft tissues originating from the proliferation of fibroblasts and myofibroblasts, generally developing between the subcutaneous tissue and the underlying muscular layer. Nodular fasciitis predominantly localizes in the upper extremities, trunk, head and neck. Biomolecular and immunohistochemical analyses result essential to demonstrate the benign origin of the process, also confirmed by very low recurrence rate after complete excision, which represents the gold standard for treatment. We report the case of a 36 years-old man who developed a nodular protuberance clinically evident in the upper-left side of the thorax. We further, highlight the main characteristics of this rare neoplasm trough a thorough review of the literature.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington.
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Introduction

Nodular fasciitis (NF) represents a benign tumor of soft tissues typical of young-middle age adults (third-fifth decade), substantially equally distributed through both sexes [1]. In a recent retrospective study, Lu et al. [2] evaluated 272 patients (160 males and 112 females) affected by this condition, with a range of age from newborn up to 77 years (mean and median ages: 36 years; peak incidence: fourth decade)
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Table 1 – Most common localizations of nodular fasciitis, modified from Lu et al. (2).

| Localization      | Prevalence |
|-------------------|------------|
| Upper extremities | 34%        |
| Head and neck     | 24%        |
| Trunk             | 21%        |
| Lower extremities | 14%        |
| Breast            | 3.3%       |
| Groin             | 2.9%       |
| Vulva             | 0.8%       |

Nodular fasciitis has a low recurrence rate after complete excision, which represents the gold standard for diagnosis and treatment [13].

We report the case of a 36-years old man who developed a nodular protuberance clinically evident in the upper-left side of the thorax. We further, highlight the main characteristics of this rare neoplasm trough a thorough review of the literature.

Case report

A 36-years old man presented with a solid, asymptomatic nodule localized in the left parasternal region of the thorax, at the level of the second sternocostal joint, progressively increased in volume in the last four months.

At the clinical examination the mass appeared firm, with dimensions of 3 × 2.5 cm, without macroscopic signs of inflammation.

Anamnesis was negative for trauma or cancer; standard blood tests didn’t reveal significant alterations of basic parameters.

A targeted ultrasound (US) was performed using a high-frequency linear array transducer (11 MHz) (Esaote MyLab E, Milan, Italy). US showed, in correspondence with the clinically palpable tumefaction, within sub-fascial layer of left pectoralis major muscle, a hyperechoic nodular mass of 32 × 18 × 29 mm, with some internal septa and an incomplete external capsule (Figs. 1A and B). Neither signs of invasions of surrounding structures (Fig. 1C), nor abnormal lymph nodes were found in the explored region (Fig. 1D).

The color Doppler examination revealed weak signals in the peripheral area of the nodule (Fig. 2).

The patient was therefore scheduled for a MRI scan (Philips 1.5 T Achieva Dstream, Netherlands), that confirmed the presence of a circumscribed round mass, slightly hyperintense in T1-W sequences, with inhomogeneous hyperintensity in T2-W ones and high restricted diffusion in DWI, with development within fatty tissue underlying the musculo-tendinous junctions of pectoralis major muscle. No evidence of macroscopic invasion of adjacent musculoskeletal compartments was identified (Fig. 3).

Finally, the patient underwent surgical en-bloc excision of the nodule for a microscopic evaluation (Fig. 4).

Histopathological examination showed expression of Vimentin and AML and negativity for S100, CD34 and Desmin, with MIB1 less than 5%. The first report leaned to a myxofibrosarcoma; a second view demonstrated instead the nature of nodular fasciitis (Fig. 5).

Therefore, the diagnosis of nodular fasciitis was made. The patient underwent a clinical follow-up and no appreciable signs suggestive for local recurrences have been identified after nearly 2 years.

Discussion

Nodular fasciitis is a benign tumor originating from mesenchymal tissue.
Fig. 1 – Ultrasound assessment shows an iso-hyperechoic nodular mass of $32 \times 18 \times 29$ mm, partially encapsulated and provided with a median septum (A), with no signs of invasions of surrounding structures (B); it develops superficially within sub-fascial layer of left major pectoralis muscle (C), in correspondence with second sternochondral joint. No adenopathies were found in the explored region (D).
This condition has been described for the first time in 1955 by Konwaler et al. [23], who initially referred to it as “Pseudosarcomatous fibromatosis”.

Price et al. [15] firstly used the term “nodular fasciitis” because of the origin of tumor mainly from superficial and deep fascial layers.

Bochaton-Piallat et al. [24] highlighted the role of myofibroblasts in fibrosis and main molecular patterns of basic inflammatory process; indeed, nodular fasciitis shares lots of intrinsic characteristics with sarcoma, and this aspect leads often to misdiagnosis. Namely, nodular fasciitis is characterized by the presence of a rapid-growing solid nodule with scarce and unspecific symptoms.

Local excision guarantees complete resolution, with a minimal recurrence rate after surgical treatment. Moreover, it can sporadically show a spontaneous slow regression [16]. Metastatic spread has never been demonstrated.

Diagnostic gold standard is represented by hematoxylin-eosin evaluation: in our case, which shows a morphologic overlap to low-grade myxofibrosarcoma, the rarity of incidence of this last one provides an essential issue orienting towards a correct diagnosis.

Due to the concomitant expression by myofibroblasts of the same markers both in nodular fasciitis and in myxofibrosarcoma, immunohistochemical analysis is not diriment: however, it results essential to evaluate the proliferation and to rule out other similar mimicking conditions.

Nodular fasciitis has been pathologically classified into 3 subtypes - according to predominant histological features [5]: myxoid (type 1), cellular (type 2), and fibrous (type 3). Type 1 lesions are composed of spindle, plump or stellate fibroblast-like cells embedded in myxomatous stroma rich in hyaluronidase-digestible acid mucopolysaccharide. This type is contradistinguished by abundance of immature capillaries running in a parallel direction, frequent red cells extravasation and considerable inflammatory changes. Type 2 lesions show a higher cellularity and less plentiful ground substances. The fibroblast-like spindle cells have been revealed to be large and plump with vesicular nuclei. Type 3 lesions demonstrate an increased collagen production, and fibroblast-like cells are slenderer and spindle-shaped [5].

The histological appearance of nodular fasciitis may mutate from active myxoid to cellular, before changing lastly to the mature fibrous type. Myxoid type of nodular fasciitis should be differentiated from the myxoid variant of malignant fibrous histiocytoma, which usually occurs in older patients.

By the way, these classifications should not be conceived as each other independent, but rather continuous: indeed, it’s not rare to observe the coexistence of different types in the same lesion, sometimes representing one the evolution of the other [5].

Imaging remarks are not specific for nodular fasciitis. US findings consist in some typical patterns that well correlate to clinical and pathological evidences, with predominant subdivision into solid and cystic lesions.

CT evaluation shows iso-hypodensity compared with adjacent muscles, with peripheral rim-like enhancement in some contrast-enhanced scans [2].

On MRI, this condition usually demonstrates homogenous hypo-intensity of signal on T1-W scans and heterogeneous hyperintensity on T2-W, with variable grade of contrast enhancement appreciable on the border [2]. However, the lesion can sometimes appear hyperintense even in T1-W sequences [25].

18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (18F-FDG PET/CT) reveals frequently a significantly increased uptake of 18F-FDG in the areas characterized by rich cellularity, as expression of high mitotic activity [26].

Difficulties in the correct characterization and treatment of nodular fasciitis has been reported throughout the literature. Focusing on thoracic localization, this condition may show clinical features and imaging findings similar to those ones of chest wall sarcoma. Indeed, nodular fasciitis presents often a

Fig. 2 – Color Doppler examination reveals weak peripheral signals.
Fig. 3 – MRI scan confirms the presence of a circumscribed nodular lesion, tenuously hyperintense compared to adjacent muscular structures in T1-W sequences (A: axial, B: sagittal), inhomogeneously hyperintense in T2-W ones (C) and SPAIR (D), that moreover shows elevated restriction of water diffusion in DWI (E: axial, F: coronal MIP with background signal suppression). It’s located within fatty tissue between minor and major pectoralis muscles: no macroscopic local invasion of the adjacent compartments was observed.

rapid growth and can be easily mistaken for a malignancy also because of its microscopic characteristics, concerning rich cellularity and high mitotic activity, thus making histopathologic assessment very challenging.

Abnormal elevated proliferative activity can be explained even by molecular rearrangement, as demonstrated by Erickson-Johnson et al. [27], who focused on the role of MYH9-USP6 hybrid gene fusion, expressed in 92% of nodular fasciitis’ cases, thus suggesting the intrinsic neoplastic nature of this nosological entity.

A study of Son et al. [28] stated the role of some specific molecules in pathological evaluation. HuR and COX-2 expression results useful in differentiating nodular fasciitis from low-grade sarcoma and may be used to determine the unknown biologic behavior of proliferative spindle cell lesions, particularly in those of a borderline nature. In low-grade sarcoma, there are moderate or strong COX-2 immunoreactivity correlated with nuclear or cytoplasmic HuR expression; these aspects are not identified in nodular fasciitis.
Conclusion

Our case shows a typical clinical and diagnostic picture of a nodular fasciitis. We point out the particular localization of this case to include this entity in the possible differential diagnoses of thoracic lesions - as chest is rarely involved, and the upper limb shows the highest incidence.

In light of its unspecific characteristics, a correct diagnosis can be reached only evaluating all the related features: in particular, a detailed clinico-pathological correlation carried out through an accurate collection of anamnestic data, associated to the criterion of consensual temporal stability, result pivotal to accurately discern the process.

For these reasons, further work is needed to discover other specific immunohistochemical markers, in order to develop codified protocols in anatopathological assessment with the goal of accurate diagnosis and proper intervention, thus avoiding overdiagnoses of malignant.

The patient gave consent for images or other clinical information relating to his case to be referred in a medical publication. All provided data were reported anonymously.
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