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Abstract
Safety is the chief consideration in recipient preparation of spermatogonial stem cell (SSC) transplantation in mammals, especially humans. In this study, we compared the safety of the SSC transplantation recipients that were prepared both by testes heat shock plus testes busulfan injection (heat shock + busulfan(t)) and by busulfan intraperitoneal injection (busulfan i.p.) only. Our results showed that heat shock + busulfan(t) treatment significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) reduced mortality in mice and did not produce bone marrow cell toxicity. Furthermore, heat shock + busulfan(t) treatment directly damaged SSCs and exhausted almost all of the germ cells in the testis; the exhaustion of these cells is considered a key factor in the successful preparation of the recipients. Therefore, we used heat shock + busulfan(t) treatment to prepare recipients of SSC transplantation. Two months after SSC transplantation, the number and length of donor SSC-derived colonies in the testis of recipient in heat shock + busulfan(t) group was closed to that in busulfan i.p. group. Therefore, compared with busulfan i.p. treatment, heat shock + busulfan(t) treatment improved the safety of recipient preparation without reducing the efficiency of SSC transplantation. Two GFP-positive offspring were produced from 1 of the 20 recipients that had mated with female mice 72 days after SSC transplantation. In conclusion, heat shock with busulfan treatment is a safe method to prepare the recipient of SSC transplantation in mice.
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Introduction
Spermatogonial stem cell (SSC) autotransplantation and germline genomic editing have been reported as a potential cure for spermatogenic failure and may prevent the transmission of genomic diseases in humans1. First, SSC autotransplantation can be successful only if it is combined with a correction of the mutation which led to oligozoospermia and azoospermia. Recent advances in the CRISPR-Cas9 system allow for highly efficient genetic alterations of many cell types (including human cells) and organisms2. Therefore, if SSC genomic editing is combined with SSCs autotransplantation, it would allow patients who suffered from spermatogenic disorders to have their own biological children. The safety of recipient preparation is very important for SSC autotransplantation, especially for humans. Recipients usually can be prepared by destroying endogenous germ cells and blocking spermatogenesis, so that donor cells could easily translocate from seminiferous tubule lumens to the basal compartment to undergo spermatogenesis after SSC
transplantation. W/W<sup>V</sup> mutant mice have been used as the earliest natural recipients for only SSC transplantation because SSCs from W/W<sup>V</sup> mutant mice cannot finish self-renewal. Heat shock treatment (testicular hyperthermia) could create an empty space in the seminiferous tubules by inducing germ cell apoptosis. Our previous study showed that heat shock treatment can be used safely to prepare recipients for SSC transplantation. However, the window of the transplant period is shorter, because heat shock cannot eradicate the endogenous SSCs that could recover spermatogenesis later. Furthermore, the shortcoming of radiation therapy is the calcification of seminiferous tubules that could block the flow of transplanted SSCs in seminiferous tubules.

Busulfan treatment is the most common method used to prepare recipients of SSC autotransplantation or allotransplantation. Busulfan can eliminate almost all the endogenous germ cells in the recipient, creating an empty space in the SSCs niche. Therefore, busulfan has been used successfully to prepare recipients in mouse, rat, pig, and monkey. However, busulfan is a common alkylating chemotherapeutic agent and has preferential toxicity for proliferating cells. Busulfan produces systemic toxicity, and even death, resulting from myelosuppression in pig, mouse, and rat. The side effects of busulfan limit its use in preparing SSC transplantation recipients in mammals, especially in humans.

Although heat shock did not eliminate SSCs that could recover endogenous spermatogenesis by one spermatogenic cycle, it could induce endogenous differentiated germ cells to undergo apoptosis, which creates a necessary empty space in the seminiferous tubules to inject busulfan solution into these tubules. The injected busulfan can eliminate almost all the endogenous SSCs in the tubules. Compared with 40 mg/kg body weight busulfan intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection, the dose of busulfan injected in the seminiferous tubules is lower. Hence testicular heat shock treatment with seminiferous tubule injection of busulfan perhaps is a safe way to prepare recipients for SSC transplantation in mice.

In this study, we examined the feasibility of using testicular heat shock treatment with seminiferous tubule injection of busulfan to prepare safe recipients for SSC transplantation in mice.

### Materials and Methods

#### Animals

C57BL/6 J mice were purchased from Experimental Animal Center of Ningxia Medical University. EGFP transgenic C57BL/6 J mice were purchased from the Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University. These animals were housed in a standard animal facility under controlled temperature (20°C) and photoperiod (12 h light, 12 h dark) with access to water and rodent food ad libitum. Animal care was in accordance with institutional guidelines and was approved by the Ningxia Medical University Animal Care and Use Committee. For heat shock treatment, 6-week-old male mice were anaesthetized with an i.p. injection of 1% napental (100 mg/kg body weight) (X-Y Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Mice were hung above a water bath (HerryThec, Shanghai, China) and the lower half of the body was submerged in hot water at 43°C for 15 min (heat shock group). For busulfan treatment, busulfan (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) solution at a concentration of 4 mg/ml was injected once into...
the 4-week-old mouse enterocoelia at a dose of 40 mg/kg body weight; the mice were then used as recipients after 4 weeks (busulfan i.p. group). For heat shock and busulfan combined treatment, mice were first treated with heat shock and then treated with low dose busulfan (1.5 mg/kg body weight) (heat shock + busulfan (t) group). Approximately 10 μl of busulfan was injected once into the seminiferous tubules of each testis through the efferent duct 14 days after heat shock treatment. The mice were used as recipients 4 weeks after busulfan treatment.

Safety Assessment of Treatment
The mortality rates of mice, treated with busulfan i.p., heat shock, and heat shock + busulfan (t) were recorded. Red blood cell and white blood cell numbers were also counted to assess the level of myelosuppression induced by busulfan injection. Six mice were used in each group.

Histology and Immunohistochemistry
The mice were killed 4 weeks after busulfan treatment (in heat shock + busulfan(t) group and busulfan i.p. group) or heat shock treatment (in heat shock group). Testes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C for 48 h, paraffin-embedded, sectioned (5 μm), and stained by haematoxylin and eosin (H&E; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The apoptotic germ cells were detected by TUNEL kit (Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China).

SSC Transplantation
A two-step enzymatic digestion protocol was used to obtain germ cell suspensions (2 × 10^7 cells/ml) from enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-transgenic C57BL/6 J donor mice at 6–8 days after birth. Recipients were anesthetized with an i.p. injection of 1% napental as described above. Approximately 10 μl of donor germ cell suspension was transplanted into the seminiferous tubules of each testis of the recipients by efferent duct injection 4 weeks after busulfan treatment. A total of 10 μl of donor germ cell suspension filled nearly 80–85% seminiferous tubules of each testis as determined by trypan blue (Sigma-Aldrich).
Analysis of Recipient Testes
Two months after transplantation, the testes of the recipients were collected. The tunica from the recipients’ testes were removed, and seminiferous tubules were dispersed. Donor-derived GFP-positive spermatogenic colony numbers and total length were counted under a fluorescence stereoscope with UV light (Nikon, Tokyo Prefecture, Japan).

Production of Offspring by the Recipient Mice
Each recipient was mated with two female mice 2 months after SSC transplantation. If the female mouse became pregnant, it was placed in another cage, and a new female mouse was put inside the cage in which the recipient mouse lived. The birth dates of offspring, litter sizes, and health conditions were checked and recorded. RT-PCR was used to detect the GFP gene in recipient offspring. The following GFP primers were used. Forward: tgaaccgcatcgagctgaaggg. Reverse: tccagcaggaccatgtgatcgc.

Statistical Analysis
Mortality rate, number of white and red blood cells, testicular weights, number of colonies, and average colony length per testis were compared using one-way ANOVA carried out in SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA); \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results
Heat Shock with Busulfan Treatment has Few Side-Effects in Mice
Our results showed that heat shock+busulfan(t) treatment decreased recipient mortality that was sharply induced using busulfan in previous studies during preparation of SSCs transplantation. No mice died in the heat shock+busulfan(t) group, while recipient mortality (30%) in the busulfan i.p. group was significantly higher (\( p < 0.05 \)). In the heat shock group as well as in the DMSO-injected control group, no mice died (Table 1). As we know, busulfan is a chemotherapy drug that can kill haematopoietic stem cells. Therefore, we tested the effects of busulfan on the number of blood cells. Our results showed that, 28 days after treatment, the number of white blood cells was maintained stably in the heat shock+busulfan(t) group, but in the busulfan i.p. group, there was a significant decrease in the number of white blood cells (Fig. 1a). However, none of the treatments had an effect on the number of red blood cells (Fig. 1b).
Heat Shock with Busulfan Treatment Completely Induced Endogenous Germ Cell Depletion in Mice

Our result showed that testicular weight was significantly lower \((p < 0.05)\) in the heat shock+busulfan(t) group than in the control and heat shock groups 28 days after treatment, but there was no significant difference between heat shock+busulfan(t) group and busulfan i.p group (Fig. 2a).

To further observe germ cell change in the seminiferous tubules after treatment, testicular histological section were prepared 4 weeks after busulfan treatment (in the heat shock+busulfan(t) and busulfan i.p group (Fig. 2a).

To further observe germ cell change in the seminiferous tubules after treatment, testicular histological section were prepared 4 weeks after busulfan treatment (in the heat shock+busulfan(t) and busulfan i.p groups) or heat shock treatment (in the heat shock group), and we found that the number of germ cells in the shock+busulfan(t) group substantially decreased, similar to that of busulfan-treated mice (Fig. 2b). Many germ cells, especially primary and secondary spermatocytes, underwent apoptosis in the seminiferous tubules 10 days after heat shock treatment (Fig. 3). Busulfan treatment showed a more substantial reduction in germ cell number, and there were almost no germ cells in the testis 4 weeks after busulfan treatment (Fig. 2b). We observed many hollow seminiferous tubules in the shock+busulfan(t) and busulfan i.p groups. There was substantial spermatogenesis in the heat shock treatment group, similar to the control group.

The Recipient Prepared by Heat Shock With Busulfan Treatment had Similar Colony-Forming Efficiency as Busulfan-Treated Recipients

Germ cell suspensions from 6- to 8-day-old GFP-transgenic mice were transplanted into the testes of recipients, and GFP-positive colonies in all recipient testis could be found two months after transplantation. Germ cells, including spermatocytes and sperm, derived from GFP-positive colonies of donor SSCs, were detected in H&E-stained section of recipient testis (Fig. 4). The colony-forming efficiency of donor SSCs in heat shock+busulfan(t)-treated recipients were similar to that of busulfan-treated recipients. There was no significant difference in colony number and colony length between the heat shock+busulfan(t) and busulfan i.p groups, indicating that heat shock+busulfan(t) treatment yielded a satisfactory colony-forming efficiency (Table 2).

GFP Positive Offspring Were Derived from the Recipient Prepared by Heat Shock with Busulfan Treatment

We successfully transplanted GFP-positive germ cell suspensions from donor mice to the testis of 20 recipients, and, 2 months after germ cell transplantation, each recipient was
mated with two C57BL/6 J female mice to detect whether they could produce GFP-positive offspring. The earliest normal offspring were produced by one female mouse 23 days after mating with recipients, and the other mated female mice produced normal offspring subsequently. A nest of mice, including three GFP-positive and four GFP-negative offspring, was produced from one mated mouse 72 days after transplantation (Fig. 5b). The GFP-positive pups indicated that these three offspring originated from the sperm of donor SSCs, also confirmed by RT-PCR detection of GFP gene expression (Fig. 5c).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we found that heat shock with busulfan treatment was a safe method to prepare recipients for SSC transplantation in mice. Unlike chemotherapy and radiotherapy, this treatment had few side-effects, such as systemic toxicity, myelosuppression, or even death. Therefore, heat shock with busulfan treatment could be a feasible method to prepare recipients of SSC transplantation.

Heat shock treatment with busulfan chemotherapy is a new way to prepare recipients of SSC transplantation in mice. Heat shock treatment only could induce endogenous differentiated germ cells, especially primary and secondary spermatocytes, apoptosis, but it did not eliminate SSCs that could recover endogenous spermatogenesis by one spermatogenic cycle. At 10–15 days after heat shock treatment, almost all the spermatogenic cells in the inner compartment of seminiferous tubules disappeared through apoptosis, creating the necessary empty space in the seminiferous tubules to inject busulfan solution into these tubules. At 4 weeks after injection of 10 μl of busulfan solution, almost all the endogenous SSCs were eliminated.

Heat shock with busulfan treatment had little systemic toxicity because the doses of busulfan were very low. Busulfan is also called Myleran—a kind of sulfonate alkylating agent with cytotoxic effects similar to those of nitrogen mustard, belonging to the cell-cycle non-specific drug group. Busulfan generates carbenium ion, and that ion reacts with DNA molecules causing damage to DNA structure and function. Busulfan also has a selective inhibitory action on bone marrow. Usually, high doses of busulfan given by i.p. injection cause serious side effects, inhibiting the production of bone marrow leukocytes and platelets and preventing the

---

**Table 2. Donor Cell Colonization in the Testes of Recipient Mice**

| Treatment                     | Testis weight (g) | Percent of testes with colonies | No. of colonies per testis | Total colony length per testis (mm) |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Busulfan                     | 33 ± 4 a          | 100%                            | 14 ± 4 a                  | 43.44 ± 3.16 a                      |
| Heat shock + busulfan (t)    | 50 ± 6 b          | 100%                            | 13 ± 1 a                  | 40.58 ± 4.59 a                      |

*n = 10 animals per treatment (20 testes injected). Analysis was conducted 2 months after transplantation. Different letters within a column indicate a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05).

---

![Fig. 5. Offspring produced by donor cell-derived sperm. (a) Offspring from a wild-type C57 BL/6 J recipient that was transplanted with germ cell suspension of EGFP transgenic C57BL/6 J donor male mice and mated with a wild-type C57 BL/6 J female mouse 2 months after transplantation. (b) Three offspring were GFP-positive mice produced by GFP-positive donor SSCs derived sperm. C. RT-PCR further confirmed that three GFP-positive offspring expressed mRNA of the GFP gene.](image)
generation of erythrocytes and lymphocyte. Hence, busulfan is also a principal drug used for the treatment of chronic myelogenous leukaemia. In our study, we found that high doses (40 mg/kg body weight) of busulfan in the busulfan i.p. group substantially reduced the number of leukocytes in mice, but a low dose (1.5 mg/kg body weight) of busulfan in the heat shock+busulfan(t) group has no effect on leukocytes. The mortality rate of mice in the heat shock+busulfan(t) group was zero, significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than that of the busulfan i.p. group.

Local irradiation has been used to prepare recipients of SSC transplantation in mice, goats, rams, and bulls. The efficiency of recipient preparation by irradiation is comparable to that of recipients prepared by busulfan. However, this approach has many shortcomings, including calcification of seminiferous tubules, inconvenience of application, and potential radiation leakage. Therefore, compared with busulfan and irradiation treatment, heat shock with busulfan treatment has few side effects, thereby providing a viable method to prepare recipients of SSC autotransplantation for humans.

The formation of donor-derived colonies in our study indicated that heat shock with busulfan treatment could be used to eliminate endogenous germ cells for donor SSCs transplantation. Two months after SSCs transplantation, colonies from donor-derived germ cells could be found in heat shock+busulfan(t)-treated recipient testes, indicating that the function of SSCs niche was not damaged by heat shock+busulfan(t) treatment. The efficiency of recipients prepared by heat shock with busulfan treatment was as high as that of busulfan-treated recipients. The numbers and total length of colonies in the heat shock+busulfan(t) group were similar to those of the busulfan-treated group, and GFP-positive donor SSC-derived offspring were produced from a recipient and the mated female mice. In conclusion, heat shock with busulfan treatment was a safe method to prepare recipients of SSC transplantation in mice.
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