Performance of Different Varieties of Cauliflower (Brassica Oleracea Var. Botrytis) Under Different Level of Nitrogen Application in Ultisols of Lamjung Nepal
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Abstract
Nitrogen (N) is one of the major determining nutrients for growth and development of cauliflower (Brassica oleracea L. var. botrytis). N deficiency in cauliflower field is becoming a major problem in Nepal. Soil application of appropriate amount of N can be an effective way to increase the qualitative and quantitative growth parameter of cauliflower. A field experiment was carried out in ultisols at Sundarbaraz ward no.7 Taarkutar village of Lamjung district Nepal, during September 2017 to February 2018 to assess the response of five level of soil application of nitrogen (0 kg ha⁻¹, 80 kg ha⁻¹, 100 kg ha⁻¹, 120 kg ha⁻¹ and 140 kg ha⁻¹) on three genotypes of cauliflower (Kathmandu local, Snow mystique and Snow grace). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design consisting of 15 treatments with 3 replications. Half dose of N with full dose of P, K and B were applied as basal dose and remaining dose of N were applied in two split doses at 30 DAT and 60 DAT and Molybdenum (75ppm) was applied in 3 split doses i.e. 20 DAT, 40DAT and 60 DAT. The effects were observed over leaf chlorophyll content (30DAT and 60 DAT), curd weight, root weight and total biological yield. The findings of this study showed that the soil application of N showed significant result at leaf chlorophyll content and curd weight of cauliflower. Highest amount of leaf chlorophyll content and highest curd weight (0.84kg) was recorded at 120kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen level as compared to other level of nitrogen and Snow mystique had significantly highest chlorophyll content and curd weight (0.73 kg) over other varieties of cauliflower.
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should be in between 6.0 to 6.5 for cauliflower production [14,15].
The acidic soil and poor organic matter content of soil ultimately affects the crop growth and yield of the crop [16], because a low pH plant can’t utilizes the nutrient present in soil which is converted into unavailable form. Soils in tropical and subtropical regions undergo a natural acidification process due to intensive weathering and leaching under hot and humid climate conditions [17-19]. Such type of soil is called ultisols. Ultisols have accumulated clay mineral in the B horizon. While generally low in natural fertility (basic cations, $\text{Ca}^{++}$, $\text{Mg}^{++}$ and $\text{K}^{+}$) and high in soil acidity ($\text{H}^{+}$, $\text{Al}^{+++}$). With the objectives of site specific nitrogen management in the ultisols in case of different variety and nitrogen doses this research was conducted. And it is null hypothesized that Effect of different level of nitrogen in different variety would not vary.

**Materials and Methods**

Sundarbanzat-7 taarkutar Lamjung was selected to carried out this experiment which was 650m above sea level with longitude $84^\circ 11’ - 84^\circ 38’$ E and latitude $28^\circ 3’ - 28^\circ 30’$ N.

**Table 1:** Effect of different levels of nitrogen on cauliflower varieties chlorophyll content, curd weight, root length and yield.

| Treatments | mean (Ch30DAT) | mean (Ch60DAT) | mean (curd weight kg) | mean (root weight gm) | mean (bio yield kg) |
|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| **Nitrogen levels** | | | | | |
| 0 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 0 kgha$^{-1}$ | 54.10b | 0.47c | 21.11ab | 1.13a |
| 80 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 47.48ab | 60.75a | 0.72ab | 25.72a | 1.57a |
| 100 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 48.55ab | 60.69a | 0.70ab | 21.56ab | 1.54a |
| 120 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 50.85a | 62.39a | 0.84a | 21.46ab | 1.30a |
| 140 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 50.45a | 61.09a | 0.53bc | 17.71b | 1.13a |
| Sig | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| LSD | 5.24 | 4.22 | 0.2 | 6.45 | 0.53 |
| **Varieties** | | | | | |
| Kim local | 47.10a | 56.93b | 0.51b | 20.99a | 1.29a |
| Snow mystique | 49.29a | 61.16a | 0.73a | 20.92a | 1.60a |
| Snow grace | 48.10a | 61.23a | 0.72a | 22.63a | 1.46a |
| Sig | * | * | * | * | * |
| LSD | 4.06 | 3.27 | 0.15 | 4.99 | 0.41 |
| CV% | 11.28 | 7.31 | 32.35 | 31.04 | 38 |

**Table 2:** Combination of treatments.

| Nitrogen | Variety |
|----------|---------|
| Kathmandu Local (V1) | Snow Mystique (V2) | Snow Grace (V3) |
| N0 (0 kg ha$^{-1}$) | T1 (N0V1) | T2 (N0V2) | T3 (N0V3) |
| N1 (80 kg ha$^{-1}$) | T4 (N1V1) | T5 (N1V2) | T6 (N1V3) |
| N2 (100 kg ha$^{-1}$) | T7 (N2V1) | T8 (N2V2) | T9 (N2V3) |
| N3 (120 kg ha$^{-1}$) | T10 (N3V1) | T11 (N3V2) | T12 (N3V3) |
| N4 (140 kg ha$^{-1}$) | T13 (N4V1) | T14 (N4V2) | T15 (N4V3) |

Field experiment was conducted during September 2017 to January 2018 in RCBD with two factors consisting of 15 treatment combination with 3 Replications (Table 1). The seedlings were raised in poky house of lamjung campus. The two factors of our experiment were; 5 N levels (0 kg ha$^{-1}$, 80 kg ha$^{-1}$, 100kg ha$^{-1}$, 120kg ha$^{-1}$, 140 kg ha$^{-1}$), which was the main factor and 3 varieties (Kathmandu Local, snow mystique and snow grace) which was the sub factor. The soil sample of experimental site was analyzed for total N, available P, K, organic matter and soil pH (Table 2). The collected soil sample was sent to soil management directorate, Lalitpur for analysis.

Thirty day old seedlings were transplanted on 12 Oct 2017 (plot 1 m$^2$, Spacing: 50 cm x 50 cm). Healthy and uniform sized seedling were selected for transplanting dead seedling were replaced by healthy one within a week. Intercultural operations were carried out at the time of urea top dressing.

**Nutrient management**

Recommended level of NPK according to the lab analysis were 100:120:100 kg NPK ha$^{-1}$ and FYM 20ton ha$^{-1}$. Half dose of N with full dose of P, K and B (2kg ha$^{-1}$) were applied as basal dose and remaining in two split doses at 30 DAT and 60 DAT. Molybdenum (75ppm) was applied in 3 split doses i.e. 20 DAT, 40DAT and 60 DAT.

The crops were regularly observed to note done the leaf chlorophyll content, curd initiation, compactness in different varieties at different N levels. Harvesting was done on January 2018.
The data was analyzed with the help of ANOVAs and DMRT/Duncan’s test for mean separation using R (3.1.3) and figures Microsoft Excel 2010.

Result and Discussion

Leaf chlorophyll content

Leaf chlorophyll content was measured 30 day after transplantation and 60 day after transplantation.

In terms of Ch30DAT, which was statistically non-significant at different level of nitrogen although mean maximum value was observed at 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen level (50.85). Ch30DAT value was statistically non-significant with different varieties although maximum value was recorded with the variety Snow mystique (49.29). Ch30DAT value was statistically similar this may be due to plant establishment phase, poor root growth immediately after transplantation.

In terms of Ch60DAT which was statistically highly significant (P<0.01) among five levels of nitrogen (0 kg ha⁻¹, 80 kg ha⁻¹, 100kg ha⁻¹, 120kg ha⁻¹ and 140 kg ha⁻¹), and also there was significant differences among three different varieties of cauliflower (P<0.05). The mean chlorophyll 60DAT value was significantly higher for nitrogen level 120 kg ha⁻¹ (62.39) which was statistically at par with 140 kg ha⁻¹,120 kg ha⁻¹, and 80 kg ha⁻¹. Ch60DAT value was significantly inferior at nitrogen level 0 kg ha⁻¹.

In case of variety Ch60DAT value was statistically significant and maximum value was recorded with variety Snow grace (61.23) which was statistically at par with variety Snow mystique. Variety Kathmandu local was significantly inferior. Ch60DAT was highly significant this may be due to direct effect of N on the vegetative development, chlorophyll formation. Supported by Ruiping Hang (2010) and Premraj Gocher et al. (2017).

Curd weight

In terms of fresh curd weight, cauliflower varieties showed significant difference at different level of nitrogen application.

There was significant difference (P<0.01) among five levels of nitrogen (0 kg ha⁻¹, 80 kg ha⁻¹, 100 kg ha⁻¹, 120 kg ha⁻¹ and 140 kg ha⁻¹) and also there was significant differences among three different varieties of cauliflower (P<0.05). Highest mean of curd weight was recorded at 120 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen level which was 0.84 kg which was statistically at par with 80 kg ha⁻¹ (0.72) and 100 kg ha⁻¹ (0.70). Curd weight at N level 140 kg ha⁻¹ was statistically at par with 80 kg ha⁻¹ and 100 kg ha⁻¹. And N level 0 kg ha⁻¹ was significantly inferior (Figure 1).

In case of variety, which was statistically significant highest value of curd weight was recorded with the variety Snow mystique (0.73) which was statistically similar with snow grace (0.72) and Kathmandu local variety was significantly inferior (0.51). Curd weight was highly significant because N has positive effect on the curd diameter, curd compactness and curd color. Further supported by Ruiping Hang (2010), Saimbhi et al. (1969), Natarajan Singh Khohdar et al (1970), Randhawa and Bhl (1976) and Roy (1981).

Root weight

In terms of root weight, cauliflower varieties didn’t show significant difference at different level of nitrogen application (Table 3). Although the mean maximum value of root weight was 25.72 gram which was recorded at nitrogen level 80 kg ha⁻¹. Among three different variety snow grace had highest value of mean root weight which was 22.63. Ultisols is low in pH and red in color. At pH 6.5 cauliflower performs better but pH of this field was 4.8 at low pH most of the nutrient including N becomes deficit that’s may adversely affect the root growth due to which root weight was statistically non-significant at different level of N with different variety. This report was further supported by Ruiping Hang (2010). Supported by Ruiping Hang (2010).

Table 3: chemical properties of sampled soil.

| Soil available pH | 4.8 Acidic |
|-------------------|------------|
| Soil available organic matter | 2.62% Medium |
| Soil available Nitrogen | 0.13% Medium |
| Soil available Phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) | 13.05 Very Low |
| Soil available Potassium (kg ha⁻¹) | 82.3 Low |
| Soil available Boron (kg ha⁻¹) | 0.3 Low |

Biological weight

Biological weight was statistically non-significant, although mean maximum value was 1.57 kg which was recorded at nitrogen level 80 kg ha⁻¹. In case of variety (i.e. Kathmandu local, Snow mystique and snow grace) which was statistically non-significant although the mean maximum value was recorded with Snow mystique which was 1.60 kg. Biological weight was non-significant may be due to N has more effect on the development of curd. PH of our field was 4.8 which is highly acidic and these are the critical factors which controls yield to great extent in our experiment. Cauliflower is sensitive to high acidity and the optimum pH should be in between 6.0 to 6.5 for cauliflower production [20].

Conclusion and Outlook

The application of N enhances the leaf chlorophyll content, curd weight, root weight and biological yield. Nitrogen level 120 kg ha⁻¹ would be more effective although the government recommended...
level of nitrogen was 100 kg ha⁻¹. Snow mystique variety would be more suitable. Furthermore, research need to be repeated using wider levels of N and other cauliflower varieties.
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