Microwave-assisted synthesis of 7-azaindoles via iron-catalyzed cyclization of an o-haloaromatic amine with terminal alkynes†
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An efficient and practical procedure was developed to prepare 7-azaindole, starting from an o-haloaromatic amine and corresponding terminal alkynes under microwave irradiation and the scope was demonstrated with a number of examples. The valuable features of this procedure included the iron-catalyzed cyclization, short reaction times and convenient operation. Furthermore, iron catalysis is an interesting alternative to homogeneous catalysis for the synthesis of heterocycles.

Introduction

In the recent years, microwave (MW) irradiation in synthetic organic chemistry has attracted considerable practical and theoretical attention as a very effective and non-polluting method of activation. Microwave irradiation often helps to reduce reaction times, minimize side products, increase yields and improve reproducibility. Therefore, the technique of microwave-assisted organic synthesis has been widely and successfully employed in dipolar cycloadditions, transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions and polymer formation.

1H-Pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridines, often referred to as 7-azaindoles, are bioisosteres of the indole scaffold have been used in diverse areas such as materials and medicinal chemistry due to their physicochemical and pharmacological properties. For example, the natural product Variolin B in Fig. 1 isolated from an extremely rare antarctic sponge is a promising anti-cancer agent. PLX5622 in Fig. 1, a brain pentrant CSF1R inhibitor has been used in Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Recently, the 7-azaindole derivatives were also shown potential anti-cancer activity, including AZD6738 a potent and selective ATR kinase inhibitor and GSK1070916 an aurora kinase inhibitor. Thus, development of synthetic methods to access these 7-azaindoles is of great importance to the drug discovery community.

Our group has been focused on metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions for the preparation of bioactive heterocycles. Based on the broad activities of 7-azaindole, we are interested in developing new strategies to prepare this novel heterocyclic scaffold. In the literature, the common synthetic methods to prepare azaindoles usually start from commercial aminopyridines, followed by Sonogashira alkynylation by subsequent base-mediated cyclization with various base for building up the pyrrole ring. As shown in Fig. 2, these methods were always used Pd-catalysts, such as Pd(PPh3)2Cl2, Pd2(dba)3, and Pd–NaY and also have some disadvantages such as the high price of palladium and problem of pollution. Recently, the establishment of new catalytic methods using iron is attractive owing to the low cost, abundance, ready availability, and very low toxicity of iron.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra08742g

Fig. 1  Bioactive skeletons containing 7-azaindole framework.
which allows for streamlined construction of complex molecules by modern cross-coupling chemistry. Encouraged by the development of iron-catalytic Sonogashira alkynylation and the microwave-assisted synthesis of indole, we wish to report the iron-catalyzed cyclization of o-haloaromatic amine with terminal alkyne under the microwave irradiation.

Results and discussion

Initially, we chose the commercially available 3-iodo-pyridin-2-ylamine 1a and ethynyl-benzene 2a as model starting materials. We examined the reaction conditions using 10% Pd(PPh3)2Cl2, 10% CuI, 1.5 equiv. of K3PO4 in DMF under microwave irradiation of 100 °C, the reaction proceeded for 30 min and product 3a was obtained in 12%, which was purified the intermediate 3aa for 35% yield (Table 1, entry 1). We subsequently investigated the effect of various catalysts including palladium, silver and iron (Table 1, entries 2–6). Fortunately, we found that Fe(acac)3 was given the best result (Table 1, entry 6). We also tested the amount of CuI, it was no product without CuI and the similar yield using 20% CuI (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). Another blank test was performed only with CuI and shown that 3aa was obtained in 8% yield (Table 1, entry 9). It was no reaction at the absence of Fe(acac)3 and CuI (Table 1, entry 10). Replacement of K3PO4 by KOAc, or K2CO3 led to lower yields and KOtBu gave a satisfactory yield (Table 1, entries 11–13). Next, we screened different organic solvents and

Table 1 Optimization of the metal-catalyzed cyclization 3a

| Entry | Catalyst | CuI (%) | Base        | Solvent | T (°C) | Yield (%) |
|-------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------|
| 1     | Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 | 10      | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | 12        |
| 2     | Pd(dppf)Cl2  | 10      | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | 21        |
| 3     | AgNO3      | 10      | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | Trace     |
| 4     | AgOAc      | 10      | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | Trace     |
| 5     | FeCl3      | 10      | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | 11        |
| 6     | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | 32        |
| 7     | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | 0         |
| 8     | Fe(acac)3  | 20      | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | 31        |
| 9     | Fe(acac)3  | —       | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | —         |
| 10    | Fe(acac)3  | —       | K3PO4      | DMF     | 100    | 0         |
| 11    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOAc       | DMF     | 100    | 28        |
| 12    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | K2CO3      | DMF     | 100    | 25        |
| 13    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOtBu      | DMF     | 100    | 38        |
| 14    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOtBu      | DMSO    | 100    | 36        |
| 15    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOtBu      | NMP     | 100    | 42        |
| 16    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOtBu      | Dioxane | 100    | 22        |
| 17    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOtBu      | NMP     | 110    | 58        |
| 18    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOtBu      | NMP     | 120    | 61        |
| 19    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOtBu      | NMP     | 130    | 62        |
| 20    | Fe(acac)3  | 10      | KOtBu      | NMP     | 140    | 58        |
| 21    | Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 | 10    | KOtBu      | NMP     | 130    | 44        |
| 22    | Pd(dppf)Cl2  | 10    | KOtBu      | NMP     | 130    | 48        |

a Reagents and conditions: 1a (1 mmol), 2a (2 mmol), catalyst (0.1 mmol), CuI (0.1 mmol), base (1.5 mmol), solvent (2 mL), 30 min MW, 100 °C.

b Isolated yields. c Only 3aa was obtained with 8% yield.
bearing benzene ring such as 4-OCH₃ and 4-F were also tolerated at a variety of positions on the aromatic ring. Alkynes obtained in 72% yield by heating 3 equiv. Fe(acac)₃, 0.1 equiv. CuI, 1.5 equiv. KO₃Bu in NMP for 60 min at 130 °C (Table 2, entry 4). We also tried the reaction under conventional thermal heating condition and the yield of the desired product was 33% (Table 2, entry 7).

Having determined the optimal reaction conditions (Table 2, entry 4), the scope of the reaction was explored with different substituted 3-iodo-pyridin-2-ylamine 1 (Table 3), which were prepared by the reaction of pyridin-2-ylamines with Ag₂SO₄ and prepared by the reaction of pyridin-2-ylamines with Ag₂SO₄ and 3a was obtained in 72% yield by heating 3 equiv. 2a, 0.1 equiv. Fe(acac)₃, 0.1 equiv. CuI, 1.5 equiv. KO₃Bu in NMP for 60 min at 130 °C (Table 2, entry 4). We also tried the reaction under conventional thermal heating condition and the yield of the desired product was 33% (Table 2, entry 7).

In order to optimize the reaction conditions, we speculated that the equivalent of alkyne and microwave time might also be efficient in promoting the microwave-assisted cyclized reaction. After exploring several reaction conditions (Table 2), 3a was obtained in 72% yield by heating 3 equiv. 2a, 0.1 equiv. Fe(acac)₃, 0.1 equiv. CuI, 1.5 equiv. KO₃Bu in NMP for 60 min at 130 °C (Table 2, entry 4). We also tried the reaction under conventional thermal heating condition and the yield of the desired product was 33% (Table 2, entry 7).

In this paper, we also show such examples of the CF₃ substituted 7-azaindoles (Table 3, entries 9–16). We found that the reaction conditions were adaptable with phenyl (3i) and electron-donating substituents at the aryl alkynes, such as methoxy group (3k). In addition to electron-donating substituent, halogens such as F and Cl were also tolerated (3j, 3m and 3n). However, aryl groups with an electron deficient substituent led to a lower yield (3l). Additionally, aliphatic groups afforded the corresponding products with reduced yields (3o and 3p).

Finally, this procedure was applied to the preparation of 1,2-disubstituted 7-azaindoles 5. As shown in Table 4, the Sonogashira and consequently cyclization could be used for preparation the N-arylation of azaindoles. The electron-donating groups on the phenyl acetylene, such as OCH₃ (5c and 5m) were obtained the much higher yield of 78% than the electron-withdrawing group F (5b and 5i), Cl (5d, 5k and 5l) and CF₃ (5e and 5j). 3,3-Dimethyl-but-1-yne led to 2-tert-butyl-1-(3,4,5-trimethoxy-phenyl)-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine 5f (42%), the decrease in yield may be due to decomposition of compound 2 under the MW conditions. Interestingly, the ethyl ester substituted group 5g was also separated with 33% yield under our MW protocol. In addition to substituted aryl group of R₁, the alkyl-substituted compound 4 were also compatible with this process, furnishing the desired product 5n and 5o in 34% and 38% yield.

To investigate the mechanism of this transformation, experiments were carried out. The desired product 3a was

| Table 3 | Preparation of 7-azaindoles 3a–p* |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| R¹ | R² | Product | Yieldb (%) |
| 1 | H | Ph | 3a | 72 |
| 2 | H | 4-OCH₃-Ph | 3b | 73 |
| 3 | Me | Ph | 3c | 73 |
| 4 | Me | 4-F-Ph | 3d | 68 |
| 5 | CN | Ph | 3e | 65 |
| 6 | CN | 4-F-Ph | 3f | 61 |
| 7 | CN | 4-OCH₃-Ph | 3g | 66 |
| 8 | CN | Me | 3h | 43 |
| 9 | CF₃ | Ph | 3i | 62 |
| 10 | CF₃ | 4-F-Ph | 3j | 56 |
| 11 | CF₃ | 4-OCH₃-Ph | 3k | 79 |
| 12 | CF₃ | 4-CF₂-Ph | 3l | 45 |
| 13 | CF₃ | 4-Cl-Ph | 3m | 58 |
| 14 | CF₃ | 2-Cl-Ph | 3n | 32 |
| 15 | CF₃ | Me | 3o | 33 |
| 16 | CF₃ | t-Butyl | 3p | 48 |

* Reagents and conditions: 1 (1 mmol), 2 (3 mmol), Fe(acac)₃ (0.1 mmol), CuI (0.1 mmol), KO₃Bu (1.5 mmol), NMP (2 mL), MW, 130 °C. b Isolated yields. c Under conventional thermal heating condition.
obtained in 70% yield under standardized reaction conditions (Scheme 1). We also tested the absence of Fe(acac)₃ and CuI, it was 22% yield when 3aa and KOtBu were used in NMP under MW conditions for 60 min. Based on the observed experimental results and pioneering reports, we have described a plausible mechanistic pathway in Scheme 2. Oxidation addition of Fe(acac)₃ by the amine and pyridine functional units resulting in an organoiron complex A. At this stage, trans-metalation by copper complex B provides an intermediate Fe-species C, which could then undergo reductive elimination to forge the new carbon–carbon triple bond, thus yielding the compound 3aa. After furnished the typical Sonogashira coupling, the desired 7-azaindole was generated under the microwave condition.

Conclusions

In summary, we have described the synthesis of biologically relevant 7-azaindole ring systems using an iron-catalyzed cyclic reaction under microwave irradiation. This approach provides a rapid and economical access to a diverse range of 7-azaindoles. The method employs readily available reagents and possesses broad scope and good tolerance of functional group, iron catalysis could be an interesting. Further efforts to utilize these compounds as versatile building blocks for assembling interesting heterocyclic molecules which can be applied in medicinal chemistry research are currently underway in our laboratories.
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