Three novel insect-associated species of *Simplicillium* (Cordycipitaceae, Hypocreales) from Southwest China
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Abstract

In this paper, we introduce three new species of *Simplicillium*, viz. *S. cicadellidae*, *S. formicidae* and *S. lepidopterorum*, which were isolated from an infected leafhopper, ant and carpenterworm, respectively. Morphological comparisons and phylogenetic analyses based on multigene datasets (LSU+RPB1+RPB2+TEF and ITS+LSU) support the establishment of the three new species. *Simplicillium cicadellidae* was distinguished from other species in morphological characteristics by having smaller phialides and ellipsoidal conidia, and lacking octahedral crystals. The reverse of colonies were yellowish (#FFBF00), especially in the middle, and radially sulcate. *Simplicillium formicidae* was morphologically distinguished from other by having longer phialides and filiform to fusoid conidia, and by lacking octahedral crystals. *Simplicillium lepidopterorum* was morphologically distinguished from other species by having smaller, ellipsoidal to fusiform conidia, and by lacking octahedral crystals. The reverse of the colony was pale white. The three new species are likely to be nourished by plant to animal (especially insect) nutrients based on the evolutionary pattern of the Hypocreales, and they are described herein as being clearly distinct from other species in *Simplicillium*.
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Introduction

The genus *Simplicillium* W. Gams & Zare was introduced by Zare and Gams (2001) with *S. lanosoniveum* (J. F. H. Beyma) Zare & W. Gams as the type species. The genus is characterized with its complete lack of verticillate branching; mostly solitary phialides, which are discrete, aculeate and narrow and arise from aerial hyphae; conidia short-ellipsoidal to suglobose or obclavate, and adhering in globose heads or imbricate chains (Zare and Gams 2001). The members of *Simplicillium* are fungicolous and occur on various substrata (Zare and Gams 2001; Chen et al. 2008; Baiswar et al. 2014; Gauthier et al. 2014; Gomes et al. 2018). Furthermore, Zare and Gams (2001) introduced three additional species, viz., *S. lamellicola* (F. E. V. Sm.) Zare & W. Gams, *S. obclavatum* (W. Gams) Zare & W. Gams and *S. wallacei* H. C. Evans. The typical characteristics of *Simplicillium* include mostly solitary phialides, conidia adhering in globose, slimy heads or imbricate chains, and commonly present crystals in the agar (Zare and Gams 2001). Later, Zare and Gams (2008) transferred *S. wallacei* to *Lecanici- illium* W. Gams & Zare based on the phylogenic analysis of internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region and this transfer was confirmed by Sung et al. (2007).

Liu and Cai (2012) reported a new species, *S. chinense* F. Liu & L. Cai, which was the first *Simplicillium* species from China. Five new *Simplicillium* species, *S. aogashimaense* Nonaka, Kaifuchi & Masuma, *S. cylindrosporum* Nonaka, Kaifuchi & Masuma, *S. minatense* Nonaka, Kaifuchi & Masuma, *S. subtropicum* Nonaka, Kaifuchi & Masuma and *S. sympodiophorum* Nonaka, Kaifuchi & Masuma were reported by Nonaka et al. (2013) from Tokyo, Japan. *Simplicillium calcicola* Z. F. Zhang, F. Liu & L. Cai, *S. coffeanum* A. A. M. Gomes & O. L. Pereira and *S. filiforme* R. M. F. Silva, R. J. V. Oliveira, Souza-Motta, J. L. Bezerra & G. A. Silva were reported by Zhang et al. (2017), Gomes et al. (2018) and Crous et al. (2018), respectively. Currently, *Simplicillium* consists of 12 species.

Kepler et al. (2017) re-evaluated the Cordycipitaceae based on the multigene dataset (SSU, LSU, TEF, RPB1 and RPB2), and indicated that *Simplicillium* species group in a clade and are the earliest diverging lineage in Cordycipitaceae. The nuclear ribosomal ITS and LSU were first used to identify cryptic diversification among *Simplicillium* species by Liu and Cai (2012) and then were widely applied in the identification of *Simplicillium* species by Nonaka et al. (2013), Zhang et al. (2017), Gomes et al. (2018) and Crous et al. (2018).

Zare and Gams (2001) noted that *Simplicillium* species were found on various substrata and fungi. Other substrata were found later, such as limstone and wood (Liu and Cai 2012; Zhang et al. 2017). Many bioactive compounds were discovered in *Simplicillium*, such as alkaloids (Fukuda et al. 2014), peptides (Liang et al. 2016; 2017; Dai et al. 2018), diketopiperazine (Yan et al. 2015), xylanases (Roy et al. 2013), anthraquinones (Huang et al. 2015), antibiotics (Takata et al. 2013; Dong et al. 2018), and especially Simpotentin, which is a new potentiator of amphotericin B activity against *Candida albicans* (C. P. Robin) Berkhout and has showed great potential ap-
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Applications in medicine (Uchida et al. 2019). Furthermore, the antimicrobial activities and entomopathogenicity has meant that Simplicillium has potential applications in biocontrol (Ward et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2013; Le Dang et al. 2014; Lim et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2017; Skaptsov et al. 2017). However, as far as we know, there are limited reports of Simplicillium species isolated from infected insects.

Three infected insect specimens were found during a survey of araneogenous fungi and allies from southwestern China. Some fungal strains were isolated and purified from the three specimens. Based on polyphasic approach (morphological, ecological characteristics along with a phylogenetic analysis), they were identified as three new species, Simplicillium cicadellidae sp. nov., S. formicidae sp. nov. and S. lepidopterorum sp. nov.

Materials and methods

Collection and isolation

Three infected insect specimens (DL1004, GY1101 and GY2913) were collected from Dali, Rongjiang Country (26°01’58.70”N, 108°24’48.06”E) and Tongmuling (26°23’25.92”N, 106°41’3.35”E), Huaxi District, Guizhou Province, on 1 October, 9 November and 31 July, 2018, respectively. The surface of the specimens were rinsed with sterile water, followed by surface sterilization with 75% ethanol for 3–5 s. A part of the insect body was cut off and used to inoculate a piece of tissue in haemocoel on potato dextrose agar (PDA) and improved potato dextrose agar (PDA, 1% w/v peptone) (Qu et al. 2018). The strain was isolated and cultured at 22 °C for 14 d under 12 h light/12 h dark conditions following protocols described by Zou et al. (2010). Strains DL10041, DL10042, GY11011, GY11012, GY29131 and GY29132 were obtained.

Culture and identification

The strains were incubated in PDA at 25 °C for 14 d. Macroscopic and microscopic morphological characteristics of the fungi were examined using classical mycological techniques, and the growth rates were determined. The fresh hyphae were observed with an optical microscope (OM, BX35, Olympus, Japan) following pretreatment with lactophenol cotton blue solution or normal saline. The ex-type cultures and dried culture as holotype specimens were deposited in GZAC, Guizhou University, Guiyang, China.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and nucleotide sequencing

DNA extraction was carried out in accordance with Liang et al. (2009). The extracted DNA was stored at −20 °C. The amplification of large subunit ribosomal
RNA (LSU) genes was performed using NS1-1/AB28 primers (Curran et al. 1994). Translation elongation factor 1 alpha (TEF) and DNA-directed RNA polymerase II largest subunit 2 (RPB2) were amplified using 983F/2218R and RPB2-5F/RPB2-7Cr primers according to van den Brink et al. (2012). DNA-directed RNA polymerase II largest subunit 1 (RPB1) was amplified with the primer pair CRPB1 and RPB1-Cr (Castlebury et al. 2004). The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region was amplified using ITS4/ITS5 primers by PCR following the procedures described by White et al. (1990). PCR products were purified using the UNIQ-10 column PCR products purification kit [no. SK1141; Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Shanghai, China] in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol and sequenced at Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co. The resulting sequences were submitted to GenBank.

The new species *Simplicillium cicadellidae*, *S. formicidae* and *S. lepidopterorum* were registered in MycoBank with the numbers MB 831336, MB 831337 and MB 831335, respectively.

**Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses**

DNA sequences generated in this study were assembled and edited using DNASTAR Lasergene software (version 6.0). Sequences of ITS, LSU, RPB1, RPB2 and TEF were selected based on previously published data by Nonaka et al. (2013), Zhang et al. (2017), Gomes et al. (2018), Crous et al. (2018) and Mongkolsamrit et al. (2018). Multiple sequence alignments for ITS, LSU, RPB1, RPB2 and TEF were carried out using MAFFT v7.037b (Katoh and Standley 2013). Sequence editing was performed with MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013), and the resulting output was in Fasta file format. The concatenated LSU+RPB1+RPB2+TEF and ITS+LSU sequences were assembled by SequenceMatrix v.1.7.8 (Vaidya et al. 2011). Gene concordance was assessed with the ‘hompart’ command in PAUP4.0b10 (Swofford 2002).

Two different analyses have been carried out using Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) methods. Analysis 1: To check the relationship between *Simplicillium* species and its allies in Cordycipitaceae based on the combined dataset of (LSU+RPB1+RPB2+TEF). Analysis 2: To check the relationship among *Simplicillium* spp. based on the combined dataset of (ITS+LSU). For the BI analysis, two runs were executed simultaneously for 10,000,000 generations, saving trees every 500 generations, with the GTR+G nucleotide substitution model across all the partitions, in MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012). After the analysis was finished, each run was examined with the program Tracer v1.5 (Drummond and Rambaut 2007) to determine burn-in and confirm that both runs had converged. For the ML analysis in RAxML (Stamatakis 2014), the GTRGAMMA model was used for all the partitions in accordance with recommendations in the RAxML manual against the use of invariant sites. The final alignment is available from TreeBASE under submission ID: 24549 (http://www.treebase.org)
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**Results**

**Phylogenetic analyses**

A phylogenetic tree of *Simplicillium* in Cordycipitaceae was generated from the maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) based on a combined data set of LSU, RPB1, RPB2 and TEF sequence data. Statistical support (≥ 50%/0.5) is shown at the nodes for ML bootstrap support/BI posterior probabilities (Fig. 1). The strain numbers are noted after each species’ name. The tree is rooted with *Purpureocillium lilacinum* (Thom) Luangsa-ard, Houbraken, Hywel-Jones & Samson (CBS 284.36 and CBS 431.87). The concatenated sequences including 40 taxa and contained 2,205 characters with gaps (LSU: 447, RPB1: 518, RPB2: 560, and TEF: 680).

A phylogenetic tree of *Simplicillium* species level was generated from the maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) analysis based on a combined data set of ITS and LSU sequence data set. Statistical support (≥ 50%/0.5) are shown at the nodes for ML bootstrap support/BI posterior probabilities. The strain numbers are noted after each species’ name. The tree is rooted with *Pochonia chlamydosporia* (Goddard) Zare & W. Gams (CBS 103.65). The dataset includes 16 taxa and consists of 1,000 characters with gaps (ITS: 489 and LSU: 511).

Analysis 1: family Cordycipitaceae. The RAxML analysis of the combined dataset (LSU+RPB1+RPB2+TEF) yielded a best scoring tree (Fig. 1) with a final ML optimization likelihood value of \(-24,337.973328\). Parameters for the GTR model of the concatenated data set was as follows: estimated base frequencies; \(A = 0.242689, C = 0.276532, G = 0.270879, T = 0.209901\); substitution rates \(AC = 0.926706, AG = 2.728719, AT = 0.823168, CG = 0.803225, CT = 6.257555, GT = 1.000000\); gamma distribution shape parameter \(\alpha = 0.410435\). The Bayesian analysis resulted in 20,001 trees after 10,000,000 generations. The first 4,000 trees, representing the burn-in phase of the analyses, were discarded, while the remaining 16,001 trees were used for calculating posterior probabilities in the majority rule consensus tree. In the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), *Simplicillium cicadellidae*, *S. formicidae* and *S. lepidopterorum* cluster with other *Simplicillium* species in a clade, and within the earliest diverging lineage in Cordycipitaceae.

Analysis 2: *Simplicillium* species. The RAxML analysis of the combined dataset (ITS+LSU) yielded a best scoring tree (Fig. 2) with a final ML optimization likelihood value of \(-4,849.039588\). Parameters for the GTR model of the concatenated data set was as follows: Estimated base frequencies; \(A = 0.243952, C = 0.258870, G = 0.268223, T = 0.228956\); substitution rates \(AC = 1.296760, AG = 2.678402, AT = 1.354112, CG = 1.488619, CT = 5.097242, GT = 1.000000\); gamma distribution shape parameter \(\alpha = 0.462419\). The Bayesian analysis resulted in 20,001 trees after 10,000,000 generations. The first 4,000 trees, representing the burn-in phase of the analyses, were discarded, while the remaining 16,001 trees were used for calculating posterior probabilities in the majority rule consensus tree. In the phylogenetic tree
Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships among the genus *Simplicillium* and its allies in Cordycipitaceae based on multigene dataset (LSU, RPB1, RPB2 and TEF). Statistical support values (≥ 0.5/50%) are shown at the nodes for ML bootstrap support/BI posterior probabilities. The tree is rooted with *Paracordycipillus bilacinum* (CBS 284.36 and CBS 431.87). The new species are in bold face. T in the upper right corner indicates the type strains.
Figure 2. Phylogenetic relationships among the new taxa *S. cicadellidae*, *S. formicidae*, *S. lepidopterorum* and other *Simplicillium* species by ITS+LSU sequences. Statistical support values (≥ 0.5/50%) are shown at the nodes for ML bootstrap support/BI posterior probabilities. The tree is rooted with *Pochonia chlamydospora* (CBS 103.65). The new species are in bold face. T in the upper right corner indicates the type strains.

(Fig. 2), *Simplicillium* species were resolved into four obvious clades. *S. cicadellidae*, *S. formicidae* and *S. lepidopterorum* were nested in a subclade and formed three independent branches, which received maximum statistical support (BI posterior probabilities 1, ML bootstrap 100%).
Table 1. Taxa included in the phylogenetic analyses

| Species                      | Strain No. | GenBank Accession No. |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
|                              |            | ITS                   | LSU       | RPB1     | RPB2     | TEF       |
| Akanthomyces aculeatus       | HUA 772    | KC519370              |           |          |          | KC519366 |
| A. attenuatus                | CBS 402.78 | AF339565              | EF468888  | EF468935 | EF468782 |
| A. coccidioperitheciatus     | NHJ 6709   | EU369042              | EU369067  |           | EU369025 |
| A. farinosa                  | CBS 541.81 | QJ425686              |           |          |          |           |
| A. kanyawimiae               | TBRC 7242  | MF140718              | MF140784  | MF140808 | MF140383 |
| A. lecanii                   | CBS 101247 | AF339555              | DQ522407  | DQ522466 | DQ522359 |
| A. sulphureus                | TBRC 7247  | MF140720              |           |          |          | MF140841 |
| A. thailandicus              | TBRC 7245  | MF140719              |           |          |          | MF140383 |
| A. tuberculatus              | BCC 16819  | GQ249987              |           |          |          | GQ250037 |
| A. waltergansii              | TBRC 7250  | MF140715              |           |          |          | MF140385 |
| A. attenuatus                | TBRC 7251  | MF140713              | MF140781  | MF140805 | MF140383 |
| Blackwellomyces cardinalis   | OSC 111002 | DQ518767              | DQ522384  | DQ522435 | DQ522388 |
| B. pseudomilitaris           | NBRC 101409| JN941393              | JN992482  |           |          |
| Cordyceps bifusispora        | EFCC 5690  | EF468806              | EF468854  | EF468909 | EF468746 |
| C. blackwelliae              | EFCC 8260  | EF468807              | EF468855  | EF468910 | EF468747 |
| C. ninchukispora             | EFCC 5197  | EF468820              | EF468868  |           |          |
| C. blackwelliae              | EFCC 5693  | EF468821              | EF468869  |           |          |
| Cordyceps bifusispora        | EG 38.165  | EF468846              | EF468900  |           |          |
| Engyodontium annaeorum       | CBS 309.85 | AF339526              | DQ522387  | DQ522439 | DQ522341 |
| Gibellula longispora         | NHJ 12014  | EU369055              | EU369075  | EU369017 |
| G. pseudohyphaliformis       | NHJ 10808  | EU369035              | EU369076  | EU369018 |
| G. rutticandata              | ARSEF 1915 | DQ518777              | DQ522408  | DQ522467 | DQ522360 |
| G. rutticandata              | NHJ 5401   | EU369059              | EU369079  |           |          |
| G. pseudohyphaliformis       | NHJ 10788  | EU369036              | EU369058  | EU369078 | EU369019 |
| G. rutticandata              | NHJ 13158  | EU369037              | EU369057  | EU369077 | EU369020 |
| G. pseudohyphaliformis       | NHJ 10469  | EU369031              | EU369047  |           | EU369008 |
| H. cinerea                   | NHJ 3510   | EU369048              | EU369070  | EU369009 |
| H. novoguineensis            | NHJ 4314   | EU369051              | EU369071  | EU369012 |
| H. cinerea                   | NHJ 11923  | EU369032              | EU369052  | EU369072 | EU369013 |
| Hyperdermium pulvinatum      | IC. 602    | AF242353              | DQ127237  |           | DQ118746 |
| L. annaeorum                 | CBS 726.73a| AF339537              | EF468887  | EF468934 | EF468781 |
| L. fusiformis                | CBS 164.70T| AF339549              | EF468889  |           | EF468783 |
### Novel insect-associated *Simplicillium* species

| Species                     | Strain No.       | GenBank Accession No. |   |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|
| *L. psalliotae*             | CBS 363.86T      | AF339559 EF468890     | EF468784 |
|                             | CBS 532.81       | AF339560 EF469096     | EF469112 EF469067 |
|                             | CBS 101270       | EF469081 EF469095     | EF469113 EF469066 |
| *Pochonia chlamydosporia*   | CBS 103.65       | MH858504              |   |
| *Purpureocillium lilacinum* | CBS 284.36       | FR775484 EF468898     | EF468941 EF468792 |
|                             | CBS 431.87       | EF468844 EF468897     | EF468940 EF468791 |
| *Samoniella alboarantarium* | CBS 240.32       | JF415979 JN049895     | JF415999 JF416019 |
| *S. aurantia*               | CBS 262.58       | MG665232 JQ425685     |   |
| *S. inthanonensis*          | TBRC 7271T       | MFI40728 MFI40791     | MFI40818 MFI40846 |
|                             | TBRC 7272        | MFI40727 MFI40817     | MFI40845   |
|                             | TBRC 7273        | MFI40726 MFI40816     | MFI40844   |
|                             | MFI40725 MFI40790 MFI40815 MFI40849 |   |
|                             | TBRC 7916        | MFI40724 MFI40789     | MFI40814 MFI40848 |
|                             | TBRC 7270        | MFI40723 MFI40788     | MFI40813 MFI40847 |
| Simplicillium                | JCM 18167T       | AB604002              |   |
| *aogashimaense*             | JCM 18168        | AB604004              |   |
| *S. calcicola*              | LC 5371          | KU746705 KU74675      |   |
|                             | LC 5568T         | KU746706 KU746752     |   |
| *S. chinense*               | LC 1342          | JQ410323 JQ410321     |   |
|                             | LC 1345          | NR155782 JQ410322     |   |
| *S. cicallellidae*          | GY11011T         | MN006243 MN006249     | MN022271 MN022263 |
|                             | GY11012          | MN006244 MN006250     | MN022272 MN022264 |
| *S. coffeanum*              | COAD 2057T       | MF066034 MF066032     |   |
|                             | COAD 2061        | MF066035 MF066033     |   |
| *S. cylindrosporum*         | JCM 18169T       | AB603989              |   |
|                             | JCM 18170        | AB603994              |   |
|                             | JCM 18171        | AB603997              |   |
|                             | JCM 18172        | AB603998              |   |
|                             | JCM 18173        | AB603999              |   |
|                             | JCM 18174        | AB604005              |   |
|                             | JCM 18175        | AB604006              |   |
| *S. filiforme*              | URM 7918         | MH979338 MH979399     |   |
| *S. formicidae*             | DL10041T         | MN006241 MN006247     | MN022269 MN022267 |
|                             | DL10042          | MN006242 MN006248     | MN022270 MN022268 |
| *S. lamellicola*            | CBS 116.25T      | AJ292393 AF339552     | DQ522404 DQ522462 DQ522356 |
|                             | UAMH 2055        | AF108471              |   |
|                             | UAMH 4785        | AF108480              |   |
| *S. lamellicola*            | KYK00060         | AB378533              |   |
| *S. lanosoniveum*           | CBS 704.86       | AJ292396 AF339553     | DQ522406 DQ522464 DQ522358 |
|                             | CBS 101267       | AJ292395 AF339554     | DQ522405 DQ522463 DQ522357 |
| *S. lepidopterorum*         | GY29131T         | MN006246 MN006251     | MN022273 MN022265 |
|                             | GY29132          | MN006245 MN006252     | MN022274 MN022266 |
| *S. minatense*              | JCM 18176T       | AB603992              |   |
|                             | JCM 18177        | AB603991              |   |
|                             | JCM 18178        | AB603993              |   |
| *S. obclavatum*             | CBS 311.74T      | AJ292394 AF339517     | EF468798 |
|                             | JCM 18179        | AB604000              |   |
| *S. subtropicum*            | JCM 18180T       | AB603990              |   |
|                             | JCM 18181        | AB603995              |   |
|                             | JCM 18182        | AB603996              |   |
|                             | JCM 18183        | AB604001              |   |
| *S. sympodiophorum*         | JCM 18184T       | AB604003              |   |
| Torrubilla wallacci         | CBS 101237T      | AY184967 EF469102     | EF469119 EF469073 |

T= type strains, strain and sequences generated in this study are shown in bold.
Taxonomy

*Simplicillium cicadellidae* W.H. Chen, C. Liu, Y.F. Han, J.D. Liang, Z.Q. Liang sp. nov.
MycoBank: MB 831336
Figure 3

**Etymology.** The epithet *cicadellidae* refers to an insect host in family Cicadellidea.

**Diagnosis.** Characterized by phialides always solitary and rather long and narrow, 12.9–18.3 × 0.8–1.1 μm. Conidia adhering in globose slimy heads, mostly ellipsoidal,
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1.8–2.8 × 1.4–1.8 μm. Octahedral crystals absent. Reverse of colony yellowish, especially in the middle, and radially sulcate.

**Type.** CHINA, Guizhou Province, Huaxi District (26°23’25.92”N, 106°41’3.35”E), 9 November 2018, Wanhao Chen, holotype GZAC GY1101, ex-type culture GZAC GY11011. Sequences from isolated strain GY1101 has been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers: ITS = MN006243, LSU = MN006249, RPB1 = MN022271 and TEF = MN022263.

**Description.** Colonies reaching 45–47 mm in diameter in 14 d on PDA; white; reverse yellowish, especially in the middle, and radially sulcate. Hyphae septate, hyaline, smooth-walled, 0.9–1.9 μm wide. Phialides arising from aerial hyphae, gradually tapering towards apex, without basal septa, always solitary and rather long and narrow, 12.9–18.3 × 0.8–1.1 μm. Conidia adhering in ellipsoidal slimy heads, mostly ellipsoidal, hyaline, smooth-walled, 1.8–2.8 × 1.4–1.8 μm. Octahedral crystals absent.

**Host.** Leafhopper (Hemiptera)

**Distribution.** Huaxi District, Guizhou Province, China

**Remarks.** Zare and Gams (2001) summarized the typical characteristics of Simplicillium as having mostly solitary phialides arising from aerial hyphae, conidia adhering in globose slimy heads or imbricate chains, crystals commonly present, fungicolous and on various other substrata. Simplicillium cicadellidae was easily identified as belonging to Simplicillium because of its solitary phialides, conidia adhering in ellipsoidal slimy heads, and lack of octahedral crystals. Comparing with the typical characteristics of 12 species (Table 2), it was easily distinguished from other species in having the phialides always solitary and rather long and narrow (12.9–18.3 × 0.8–1.1 μm), the conidia adhering in globose slimy heads, which are mostly ellipsoidal (1.8–2.8 × 1.4–1.8 μm), and the octahedral crystals absent. The reverse of colony was yellowish, especially in the middle, and radially sulcate. Based on ITS and LSU rDNA, S. cicadellidae is phylogenetically close to S. formicidae and S. lepidopterorum. However, S. cicadellidae has ellipsoidal conidia and shorter phialides (12.9–18.3 × 0.8–1.1 μm), and the reverse of colony was yellowish.

**Simplicillium formicidae** W.H. Chen, C. Liu, Y.F. Han, J.D. Liang, Z.Q. Liang, sp. nov.

Mycobank: MB 831337

Figure 4

**Etymology.** The epithet formicidae refers to an insect host in family Formicidae.

**Diagnosis.** Characterized by phialides always being solitary and rather long and narrow, 51–70.1 × 0.7–0.9 μm. Conidia adhering in globose slimy heads, mostly filiform to fusoid, 3.9–7.9 × 0.8–1.3 μm. Octahedral crystals absent.

**Type.** CHINA, Guizhou Province, Rongjiang County (26°01’58.70”N, 108°24’48.06”E), 1 October 2018, Wanhao Chen, holotype GZAC DL1004, ex-type culture GZAC DL10041. Sequences from isolated strain DL10041 has been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers: ITS = MN006241, LSU = MN006247, RPB1 = MN022269 and RPB2 = MN022267.
**Description.** Colonies reaching 26–32 mm in diameter in 14 d on PDA; white; reverse pale brown to brown, and with brown secretions. Hyphae septate, hyaline, smooth-walled, 1.2–1.8 μm wide. Phialides arising from aerial hyphae, gradually tapering towards the apex, without basal septa, always solitary and rather long and narrow, 51–70.1 × 0.7–0.9 μm. Conidia adhering in globose slimy heads, mostly filiform to fusoid, hyaline, smooth-walled, 3.9–7.9 × 0.8–1.3 μm. Octahedral crystals absent.

**Host.** Ant (Hymenoptera)

**Distribution.** Rongjiang County, Guizhou Province, China

**Remarks.** *Simplicillium formicidae* was easily identified as belonging to *Simplicillium* because of its solitary phialides, conidia adhering in globose slimy heads, and lack of octahedral crystals. Compared with the typical characteristics of 12 species (Table 2), it was easily distinguished from those species by having the phialides always solitary and rather long and narrow (51–70.1 × 0.7–0.9 μm) and the conidia mostly filiform to fusoid (3.9–7.9 × 0.8–1.3 μm), and adhering in globose slimy heads, and in having octahedral crystals absent. Based on ITS and LSU rDNA, *S. formicidae* is phylogenetically close to *S. cicadellidae* and *S. lepidopterorum*. However, *S. formicidae* has larger filiform to fusoid conidia (3.9–7.9 × 0.8–1.3 μm).
**Simplicillium lepidopterorum** W.H. Chen, C. Liu, Y.F. Han, J.D. Liang & Z.Q. Liang, sp. nov.
MycoBank: MB 831335

Figure 5

**Etymology.** The epithet *lepidopterorum* refers to an insect host in order Lepidoptera.

**Diagnosis.** Characterized by phialides always being solitary and rather long and narrow, 15.3–26.2 × 0.7–1.4 μm, Conidia adhering in globose slimy heads, mostly ellipsoidal, 1.6–2.4 × 1.4–1.7 μm. Octahedral crystals absent. The reverse of colony was pale white.

**Type.** CHINA, Guizhou Province, Huaxi District (26°23’25.92”N, 106°41’3.35”E), 31 July 2018, Wanhao Chen, **holotype** GZAC GY2913, ex-type culture GZAC GY29131, sequences from isolated strain GY29131 has been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers: ITS = MN006246, LSU = MN006251, RPB1 = MN022273 and TEF = MN022265.

**Description.** Colonies reaching 48–51 mm in diameter in 14 d on PDA; white; reverse pale white. Hyphae septate, hyaline, smooth-walled, 1.1–2.2 μm wide. Phi-

**Figure 5.** *Simplicillium formicidae* A isolated substrate an infected ant (Hymenoptera) **B–C** culture plate, showing the front (B) and the reverse (C) of the colony, cultured on PDA medium **D, E** phialides solitary, conidia adhering globose slimy head and conidia **F** conidia. Scale bars: 10 mm (B, C), 10μm (D, E, F).
alides arising from aerial hyphae, gradually tapering towards the apex, without basal septa, always solitary and rather long and narrow, 15.3–26.2 × 0.7–1.4 μm. Conidia adhering in globose slimy heads, ellipsoidal to fusiform, hyaline, smooth-walled, 1.6–2.4 × 1.4–1.7 μm. Octahedral crystals absent.

Host. Carpenter worm (Lepidoptera)

Distribution. Huaxi District, Guizhou Province, China

Remarks. Simplicillium lepidopterorum was easily identified as belonging to *Simplicillium* because of its solitary phialides, conidia adhering in globose slimy heads, and lack of octahedral crystals. Comparing with the typical characteristics of 12 species (Table 2), *S. lepidopterorum* could easily distinguished from other species by having the phialides always solitary and rather long and narrow, 15.3–26.2 × 0.7–1.4 μm. Conidia ellipsoidal (1.6–2.4 × 1.4–1.7 μm), adhering in globose slimy heads, and in

**Table 2.** Morphological comparison of three new species with other *Simplicillium* species

| Species               | Morphological characteristics | Notes                           |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Phialide (Conidiogenous cell) (μm) | Conidia (μm) | Conidia mass | Octahedral crystals | Notes                           |
| *S. aogashimaense* a | (19–)23–53 × 1.2–2.0           | cylindrical, 4.2–6.5 × 1.2–2.0 | globose heads      | Chlamydospores present          |
| *S. calcicola* b    | 14–38 × 1–2                   | micro-: globose, oval or ellipsoidal, 2–3.5 × 1–1.5 | absent             |
| *S. chinense* c     | (6.0–)15–30–(68.0) × 1.5      | oval, ellipsoidal or cylindrical, 3.5–5.0 × 1.0–1.5 | branched or unbranched chains | present                      |
| *S. coffeanum* d    | 11–40(–70) × 1.0–2.4          | micro-: spindle-shaped, 5.3–8.8 × 1.0–1.6 | subglobose to ellipsoidal heads | absent                      |
| *S. cylindrosporum* e | 17–32 × 1.2–2.0(–2.5)        | cylindrical, 3.0–4.5(–5.0) × 1.0–2.0 | globose heads      | present                      |
| *S. filiforme* f    | 9–18 × 1                      | fusoid to filiform, 7.2–12.5 × 1 | zigzag chains      | absent                      |
| *S. lamellicola* g  | 15–50 × 0.7–1.0               | micro-: spindle-shaped, 4.5–9.0 × 0.8–1.2 | subglobose to ellipsoidal heads | present                      |
| *S. lanonivireum* h | 15–35 × 0.7–1.5               | subglobose, oval, ellipsoidal 1.5–3 × 0.7–1.3 | globose heads      | present                      |
| *S. minatense* i    | 11–31(–47) × 1.0–1.7          | globose to subglobose, sometimes ellipsoidal, 2.0–3.5 × 1.8–2.5 | globose heads      | present                      |
| *S. obclavatum* j   | 30–52 × 0.8–1.2               | obclavate to ellipsoid, 2.5–3.5 × 1–2 | short imbricate chains | present                      |
| *S. subtropicum* k  | (15–)20–42(–50) × 1.0–2.3     | subglobose to ellipsoidal, 2.3–4.0(–4.5) × 1.5–3.3 | globose heads      | present                      |
| *S. sympodiophorum* l | 20–34(–47) × 0.5–1.3          | oval to ellipsoidal, 2.2–3.5 × 1.0–2.0 | denticles present | present                      |
| *S. cicadellidae* m | 12.9–18.3 × 0.8–1.1           | ellipsoidal, 1.8–2.8 × 1.4–1.8 | ellipsoidal heads | colonies reverse pale white   |
| *S. formicidae* n   | 51–70.1 × 0.7–0.9             | filiform to fusoid, 3.9–7.9 × 0.8–1.3 | globose heads      | absent                      |
| *S. lepidopterorum* o | 15.3–26.2 × 0.7–1.4           | ellipsoidal, 1.6–2.4 × 1.4–1.7 | globose heads      | colonies reverse yellowish    |

a–f: data are derived from Zare and Gams (2001), Nonaka et al. (2013), Zhang et al. (2017), Liu and Cai 2012, Gomes et al. (2018) and Crous et al. (2018), respectively.
having the octahedral crystals absent. Based on ITS and LSU rDNA, *S. lepidopterorum* is phylogenetically close to *S. cicadellidae* and *S. formicidae*. However, *S. lepidopterorum* has ellipsoidal conidia, longer phialides (15.3–26.2 × 0.7–1.4 μm), and the reverse of colony was pale white.

**Key**

1. Conidia in globose or subglobose heads .......................... 2
   - Conidia in chains or solitary ..................................... 11
2. Macro- and microconidia present .................................. 3
   - Only one type of conidia present .................................. 4
3. Octahedral crystals present ........................................... 5
   - Octahedral crystals absent ........................................... 9
4. Conidia subglobose or ellipsoidal .................................. 7
   - Conidia cylindrical .................................................. 6
5. Chlamydospores present, conidia 4.2–6.5 × 1.2–2.0 μm ... *S. aogashimaense*
   - Chlamydospores absent, conidia 3.0–4.5 (–5.0) × 1.0–2.0 μm ..... 
     ................................................................. 8
     Conidia subglobose to ellipsoidal .................................. 7
     - Conidia oval or ellipsoidal to subcylindrical, 1.5–3.0 × 0.7–1.3 μm ....
       ................................................................. 10
     Conidia globose to subglobose, sometimes ellipsoidal, 2.5–3.5 × 1.8–2.5 (–2.8) μm .................................. 10
9. Conidia ellipsoidal ..................................................... 14
   - Conidia fusoid to filiform, form zigzag chains .................. *S. filiforme*
10. The reverse of colony pale white, phialide 12.9–18.3 × 0.8–1.1 μm ...... 
    - The reverse of colony yellowish, phialide 15.3–26.2 × 0.7–1.4 μm ...... 
      ................................................................. 14
11. Denticles present in conidiogenous cell (phialide) ............ *S. sympodiophorum*
   - Denticles absent in conidiogenous cell (phialide) ............... 12
12. Macro- and microconidia present .................................. *S. calcicola*
   - Only one type of conidia present .................................. *S. formicidae*
13. Conidia ellipsoidal ..................................................... 14
   - Conidia fusoid to filiform, form zigzag chains .................. *S. filiforme*
14. Conidia in branched or unbranched chains, 3.5–5.0 × 1.0–1.5 μm .... *S. chinense*
   - Conidia in short imbricate chains, 2.5–3.5 × 1.0–2.0 μm ...... *S. obclavatum*
Discussion

Two types of the evolutionary correlation patterns between fungi and hosts are known, co-evolutionary patterns and the more frequent host jump events (Spatafora et al. 2007). The generation of host jumping is closely related to a common living environment (Vega et al. 2009). Nutritional sources are very important factors in determining whether a host has undergone a host jump. The nutritional model of Hypocreales fungi is from plants (including living plants and plant residues) to animals (especially insects), and finally to fungi. Plants and their residues were the initial sources of nutrition for the common ancestor of Hypocreaceae and Clavicipitaceae. The jumps from plants to animals and then to fungi indicate that the fungal nutrient requirements have changed with the environment (Spatafora et al. 2007). Prediction of the characteristics and evolutionary placement of any given member should be based on the correlation between molecular-phylogenetic genealogy and nutritional preferences (Spatafora et al. 2007; Vega et al. 2009). Additionally, host insect species are an important diagnostic feature in the identification of entomopathogenic fungi.

Among the 12 reported Simplicillium species, S. aogashimaense (soil), S. calcicola (calcareous rock), S. chinense (decaying wood), S. cylindrosporum (soil), S. minatense (soil), S. obclavatum (air), S. subtropicum (soil) and S. sympodiophorum (soil) were isolated from soil, marine water, rock, decaying wood and air (Zare and Gams 2001; Liu and Cai 2012; Nonaka et al. 2013; Liang et al. 2017). Simplicillium filiforme and S. coffeanum were isolated as endophytic fungi from plants (Crous et al. 2018; Gomes et al. 2018). Simplicillium lamellicola belongs to the hyperparasite fungi (Shin et al. 2017). Simplicillium lanosoniveum was reported as both an endophytic and hyperparasite fungi (Baiswar et al. 2014). It has been reported that Simplicillium is pathogenic to insects. Unfortunately, there are limited reports of insect-related Simplicillium.

The hosts of Simplicillium cicadellidae and S. lepidopterorum were larvae of Cicadidae and Lepidoptera, which feed through piercing-sucking and chewing. Moreover, S. formicidae was isolated from an infected ant. These three strains are likely to receive nutrients from plants (including living plants and plant residues) and animals (especially insects) based on the evolutionary pattern of Hypocreales. Simplicillium cicadellidae, S. formicidae and S. lepidopterorum represent three new species based on their nutritional preferences. To our knowledge, this is the first report of insect-associated Simplicillium species.

ITS and LSU have been widely used in the identification of Simplicillium (Liu and Cai 2012; Nonaka et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2017; Sliva et al. 2018). In the present study, the combined dataset (ITS+LSU) was used to analysis of phylogenetic relationships among the new taxa and other Simplicillium species. Additionally, RPB1, RPB2 and TEF loci were added to analysis that the relationship among Simplicillium and its allies. The new species clustered with other Simplicillium species in a clade (Fig. 1), and this was consistent with morphological characteristics based identification. Six strains were clustered into three subclades (Fig. 2) and were distinctly different from other reported Simplicillium spp. Additionally, three species, S. chinense, S. coffeanum and
S. filiforme were clustered in a subclade, and these species were associated with plants. This may be because of their nutritional preferences. Therefore, S. cicadelliae, S. formicidae and S. lepidopterorum are based on morphological characteristics, ecological characteristics and a phylogenetic analysis.
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