Introduction

Unresolved questions in headache research are the roles of drug abuse and psychopathology in headache disorder, especially in chronic daily headache. Multiple psychophysiological measures and personality assessment techniques are used to facilitate the diagnosis of headache. The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) is the most widely used personality assessment and provides objective scoring [1].

In previous studies, pain patients showed characteristic “neurotic” MMPI profiles, with elevation of the first three clinical scales (Hy, hypochondriasis; D, depression; and Hs, hysteria) [2].

Ellersten [3] observed that the “psychosomatic V-configuration” was highest in the group with the most severe and intractable headache. Similar results were found in other non-cephalic pain syndromes [4].

Kudrow and Sutkus [5] found that patients with migraine and cluster headache scored lowest, those with tension-type headache (TTH) and “combination headache” scored in the intermediate range, and those with post-traumatic headache scored highest on the neuroticism scales of the MMPI [5].

Pfaffenrath et al. [6] studied 434 patients with migraine, tension-type headache, “mixed” headache, and cluster headache. The patients all had a statistically significant elevation of Hs, D, and Hy scales compared to test norms. There were no statistical differences between drug abusers and nonabusers in any of the four headache groups [6].

Mongini et al. [7], instead, found that patients with atypical facial pain had higher scores on “neurotic” scale and on several psychotic scales of the MMPI. On other hand, Costello et al. [8] did not find a “neurotic triad” but another subclinical profile. A previous study using computerized MMPI-1 carried out on 181 chronic daily headache patients subjects, coming from six Italian headache centers, confirmed the impairment on the first three scales [9].

The aim of this study was to investigate the utility of the revised version of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI-2) for assessing psychopathology in chronic daily headache patients. Chronic headache sufferers gave characteristic responses on Hy (hypochoendria), D (depression) and Hs (hysteria) scales which are known as the “neurotic triad”. Although our data suggest that the MMPI profile types do not discriminate between different diagnosis groups and fail to determine whether psychopathological traits predispose to drug abuse, they nonetheless confirm the importance of psychological assessment as an essential step in the decision to seek medical help for headache.
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**Subjects and methods**

The patient sample consisted of 50 subjects (12 men and 38 women). The mean age of the participants was 39.96 years (SD = 14.97). Mean age at headache onset was 23.24 years (SD = 12.37), while duration of chronic illness was 5.98 years (SD = 7.49). The demographic details of the sample are listed in Table 1. All patients had a headache frequency of at least 15 attacks for months and were classified into three types of daily headache: chronic tension-type headache (CTTH), chronic coexisting migraine with tension-type headache (CMTH) and chronic migraine (CM). Moreover, we identified analgesic overuse by mean of a specific form proposed by the Modena Headache Center. The Italian version of the MMPI-2 was used [10]. MMPI-2 is a 567-item true-false questionnaire that describes a patient on 3 validity and 10 clinical scales. In addition to a restandardization of the clinical scales from the original MMPI (the new version presents some items added to the 506 items of the old version and some of them have been deleted), the MMPI-2 yields 15 additional “content scales” and 59 supplementary scales and subscales. For each scale and subscale, a T-score of 65 is considered to be the level of clinical significance (the 95th percentile). The scoring and the data interpretation were performed by means of an automated system ideated by Pancheri et al. [11] (MMPI2-Panda) and incorporates Welsh’s code-types and Diamond’s bipolar axes method. The occurrence of T-score ≥ 65 in the validity and clinical scales was calculated and analyzed according to gender and drug abuse by means of the Mantel-Haenszel chi square test.

**Results**

A T-score ≥ 65 was observed on the Hs scale (76% of the cases, mean value 71.6 ± 10.44), on D scale (58% of the cases, mean value 67.58 ± 12.73) and on Hy scale (54% of the cases, mean value 66.10 ± 10.66) (Fig. 1). No statistical difference was found according to either gender or drug abuse.

When considering the selection of Harris-Lingoes sub-scales [12], a T-score ≥ 65 was found in over 50% of patients on subscales D1 (subjective depression) and D3 (physical malfunctioning) (Fig. 2).

**Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the 50 patients**

| Patients                        | Women, n (%) | Drug abusers, n (%) | Mean age (SD), years | Education (SD), years | Mean age at headache onset (SD), years | Mean age at chronic headache onset (SD), years | Mean chronic headache duration (SD), years |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                 | 38 (76)      | 25 (50)             | 39.6 (14.9)          | 10.7 (4.1)            | 23.2 (12.3)                           | 33.9 (13.9)                                  | 5.9 (7.4)                             |

* Range, 18–65 years

---

![Fig. 1 MMPI-2 profile in 50 chronic daily headache patients.](image)
No statistical difference was observed between abusers and nonabusers. Concerning subscales of scale 3 (hysteria) a T-score $\geq 65$ emerged in Hy3 (lassitude-malaise) and Hy4 (somatic complaints) (Fig. 3)

The evaluation of content scales showed higher scores in anxiety (42%, mean T-score 61.58±12.00), depression (50%, mean T-score 65.68±14.69), health concerns (66%, mean T-score 70.86±12.68), type-A behavior (30%, mean T-score 60.58±10.65), work interference (42%, mean T-score 63.32±12.40), negative treatment indicator (54%, mean T-score 65.76±13.39), and family problems (28%, mean T-score 52.00±15.53) (Fig. 4). Any significant difference in...
psychological state emerged between genders, among the different chronic headache types at observation time (chronic tension-type headache, chronic migraine and chronic coexisting migraine and tension-type headache) and between abusers and nonabusers.

**Discussion**

Chronic headache sufferers gave characteristic responses on the hypochondria, depression and hysteria scales which are known as the “neurotic triad”. This result was confirmed by the scores on the Harris-Lingoes subscales and is in agreement with previous studies [2, 5–9].

No specific “headache personality” was found when comparing either the different chronic headache subtypes or the abusers and nonabusers. Besides, without prospective studies it is not possible to confirm if such a character’s aspects are pre-existent to the first appearance of the disease or are a reflection of distress related to the pain problem.

Although our data suggest that the MMPI profile types do not discriminate between different diagnosis groups and fail to determine whether psychopathological traits predispose to drug abuse, they nonetheless confirm the importance of psychological assessment as an essential step in the decision to seek medical help for headache.

---
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