Bi-ancestral depression GWAS in the Million Veteran Program and meta-analysis in >1.2 million individuals highlight new therapeutic directions
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Major depressive disorder is the most common neuropsychiatric disorder, affecting 11% of veterans. Here we report results of a large meta-analysis of depression using data from the Million Veteran Program, 23andMe, UK Biobank and FinnGen, including individuals of European ancestry (n = 1,154,267; 340,591 cases) and African ancestry (n = 59,600; 25,843 cases). Transcriptome-wide association study analyses revealed significant associations with expression of NEGR1 in the hypothalamus and DRD2 in the nucleus accumbens, among others. We fine-mapped 178 genomic risk loci, and we identified likely pathogenicity in these variants and overlapping gene expression for 17 genes from our transcriptome-wide association study, including TRAF3. Finally, we were able to show substantial replications of our findings in a large independent cohort (n = 1,342,778) provided by 23andMe. This study sheds light on the genetic architecture of depression and provides new insight into the interrelatedness of complex psychiatric traits.

Depression is the most common mental health condition, with lifetime prevalence in the United States of more than 20%. Over 300 million people, or 4.4% of the world’s population, are estimated to be affected by depression, which imposes substantial costs on individuals and on society at large. In the United States in 2013, health expenditures exceeded $90 billion for treatment of depression and anxiety disorders. There also is a substantial personal cost to depression; for example, 60% of people who die by suicide have a diagnosed mood disorder. Indeed, in several recent studies, depression and mood disorders have been shown to have genetic overlap with suicidal behavior.

Only recently has substantial progress been made in understanding the underlying genetic architecture of depression, led by the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) and a large meta-analysis combining results from the PGC, the UK Biobank (UKB), FinnGen (http://r2.finngen.fi/pheno/F5_MOOD) and 23andMe. In this article, we describe a genome-wide association study (GWAS) analysis of ~310,000 participants from the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Million Veteran Program (MVP). The MVP is one of the largest and most diverse biobanks in the world with genetic and electronic health record (EHR) data available. Several approaches have previously been taken regarding phenotypes selected for study for a depression GWAS. The PGC2 report used a variety of ascertainment methods within the cohorts used for meta-analysis, with a range of case definitions, including expert or clinician ascertainment of formal diagnostic major depressive disorder (MDD) criteria or treatment registers for approximately half of the cohorts, and combinations of self-report and clinical cutoffs on those self-report measures accounting for the other half. Other studies investigated a broader trait definition of depression, which provided a larger sample size; a greater number of novel loci were discovered, with the potential caveat of less specificity to depression. In the MVP, we had several potential case definitions available and chose to focus on the definition that provided the highest heritability: the EHR-derived International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes for MDD.

1Division of Human Genetics, Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA. 2Department of Psychiatry, Veterans Affairs Connecticut Healthcare Center, West Haven, CT, USA. 3Psychiatry Service, VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA. 4Departments of Psychiatry and Herbert Wertheim School of Public Health, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. 5Cooperative Studies Program (CSP), VA Clinical Epidemiology Research Center (CERC), VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT, USA. 6Department of General Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA. 7Massachusetts Veterans Epidemiology Research and Information Center (MAVERIC), VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA. 8Department of Psychiatry, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. 9College of Medicine, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA. 10Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA. 11National Center for PTSD Clinical Neurosciences Division, US Department of Veterans Affairs, West Haven, CT, USA. 12Division of Psychiatry, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. 1323andMe, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA. 14Office of Medical Policy, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Silver Spring, MD, USA. 15These authors contributed equally: Daniel F. Levey, Murray B. Stein. A list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper. E-mail: mstein@health.ucsd.edu; joel.gelernter@yale.edu
When combined with the previous analysis from the PGC, the UKB and 23andMe\(^{a, b}\), over 1.2 million participants were available for this study, which is, to our knowledge, the largest genetic analysis of depression to date. We identified 178 genetic risk loci and 223 independently significant single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). We used the genome-wide association summary statistics from this analysis to investigate genetic correlations between depression and other cohorts with different phenotypic assessments as well as overlap with other related traits. We used genomic structural equation modeling (gSEM) to examine shared genetic architecture and pleiotropy among complex traits. We also investigated functional consequences through fine-mapping analysis, transcriptomic enrichment with respect to multiple brain tissues and functional annotation. The results provide a deep look into the genetic architecture of depression and its underlying complex biology.

Finally, we replicated our findings in an entirely independent sample of 1.3 million participants from 23andMe, demonstrating the consistency of GWAS findings once adequate power is achieved.

**Results**

**Primary analysis.** For the ICD code definition of MDD (see Methods for detailed diagnosis definitions), which was the phenotype with the most available data for the MVP cohort, we conducted a GWAS on 250,215 individuals of European ancestry (EA; 83,810 cases). These MVP data were then included in a meta-analysis in METAL\(^{12}\) using inverse variance weighting with available depression cases). These MVP data were then included in a meta-analysis in a GWAS on 250,215 individuals of European ancestry (EA; 83,810 type with the most available data for the MVP cohort, we conducted methods for detailed diagnosis definitions), which was the phenotype with the most available data. For the ICD code definition of MDD (see Results), we replicated our findings in an entirely independent sample of 1.3 million participants from 23andMe, demonstrating the consistency of GWAS findings once adequate power is achieved.

**Replication of primary analysis results.** We performed replication analysis in 1,342,778 independent samples provided by 23andMe, including 455,350 depression cases. Two hundred eleven variants were available for testing in the 23andMe sample. Of these 211 variants, two (0.9%) had discordant effect direction but not significantly so (\(P \geq 0.28\)); 209 variants (99.1%) had concordant effect directions;
from each depressive trait category were: (1) depressive symptoms (Social Science Genetic Association Consortium (SSGAC)) \((r_g = 0.943 \pm 0.029, P = 1.76 \times 10^{-23})\); (2) depression medications (FinnGen) \((r_g = 0.890 \pm 0.063, P = 6.22 \times 10^{-46})\); (3) MDD (Psychiatry) \((r_g = 1.02 \pm 0.017, P < 1.39 \times 10^{-300})\); and (4) frequency of tiredness/laziness in last 2 weeks (UKB Field ID 2080) \((r_g = 0.684 \pm 0.018, P < 1.39 \times 10^{-300})\). No brain imaging phenotypes met corrected significance criteria for genetic correlation with MDD-META; the most significantly genetically correlated brain imaging phenotype, using data provided from the Oxford Brain Imaging Genetics (BIG) project\(^{1}\), relative to MDD-META was left subcallosal cortex gray matter volume (BIG Field ID 0078) \((r_g = 0.205 \pm 0.061, P = 9.00 \times 10^{-4})\).

Transcriptome-wide association study. Gene-based association analysis was performed by integrating GWAS association statistics and expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) data of all brain and whole-blood tissues from Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) v8. To prioritize target genes further, joint effects of gene expression correlation across tissues was leveraged using sMultiXcan\(^{15}\). One hundred fifty-three genes and their best representative tissues were below the Bonferroni corrected significance threshold \((1.79 \times 10^{-4})\) for predicted gene expression in 14 tissues (Fig. 3a and Supplementary File 2). Top genes for each tissue tested were as follows: amygdala (ZKSCAN4, \(P = 1.65 \times 10^{-12}\)), anterior cingulate cortex (LMBTL2, \(P = 1.09 \times 10^{-14}\)), caudate (ZNF184, \(P = 1.85 \times 10^{-7}\)), cerebellar hemisphere (PGBD1, \(P = 1.67 \times 10^{-10}\)), cerebellum (ZSCAN9, \(P = 8.4 \times 10^{-13}\)), cortex (TMEM161B, \(P = 1.84 \times 10^{-10}\)), frontal cortex (FAM12A0, \(P = 3.25 \times 10^{-10}\)), hippocampus (ZSCAN12, \(P = 1.14 \times 10^{-10}\)), hypothalamus (NEGR1, \(P = 3.19 \times 10^{-10}\)), nucleus accumbens (DRD2, \(P = 1.87 \times 10^{-10}\)), putamen (LIN28B-AS1, \(P = 2.13 \times 10^{-13}\)), spinal cord c1 (HIST1H1B, \(P = 2.90 \times 10^{-15}\)), substantia nigra (RP11-318C24.2, \(P = 2.41 \times 10^{-15}\)) and whole blood (ZNF165, \(P = 4.01 \times 10^{-11}\)).

Variant prioritization. All 178 risk loci were fine-mapped (Fig. 3b, bottom panel); 1,620 SNPs in the causal set out of 14,016 GWS hits have high posterior probability for causal relation with MDD-META (Fig. 3b, middle panel). The SNPs with casual posterior probability (CPP) ≥ 30% were annotated with Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD) score\(^{16}\). There were 19 SNPs with CADD scores >10, representing the top 1% of pathogenic variants across the human genome (Fig. 3b, top panel). These SNPs were annotated to genes positioned within ±100 kb. We found 17 genes overlapping with significant genes identified from cross-tissue transcriptome-wide association study (TWAS) analysis. Each gene–tissue pair was tested for co-localization of the region for eQTL and GWAS. The coloc\(17\) method tests probability of four hypotheses \((H_0, \ldots, H_4)\). Of these, \(H_0\) tests the hypothesis that the same locus is shared between GWAS and tissue-specific eQTL. Loci that were found to have 80% or higher probability for \(H_4\) were compared, to understand the LD structure and the most prominent variant being shared by GWAS and eQTL. These gene–tissue pairs were CCDC71–amygdala \((H_2\text{-CPP: 93.1%}), FADS1–cerebellar hemisphere \((H_4\text{-CPP: 96.6%}), SPL3/L–frontal cortex \((H_1\text{-CPP: 83.9%}), TRAF3–hypothalamus \((H_1\text{-CPP: 95.2%})\) and \(LAMB2–\)whole blood \((H_1\text{-CPP: 79.9%})\) \((\text{Supplementary File 2})\).

Tissue expression analysis and genome-wide gene-based association study. A genome-wide gene-based association study (GWGAS) conducted in Multi-Marker Analysis of GenoMic Annotation (MAGMA) using the MDD-META GWAS meta-analysis identified 426 significant genes after Bonferroni correction for 16,038 protein-coding genes. MAGMA tissue expression analysis identified enrichment across all brain tissues and pituitary using data from

**Table 1** | Demographics of European ancestry samples for different phenotype definitions

| Cohort                  | Case  | Control | Total (% female) |
|------------------------|-------|---------|------------------|
| MVP-MDD                | 83,810| 166,405 | 250,215 (7)      |
| MVP SR Depression      | 55,228| 155,103 | 210,331 (7)      |
| 23andMe self-reported diagnosis of depression | 75,607 | 231,747 | 307,354 (48) |
| PGC + UKB broad depression | 170,756 | 329,443 | 500,199 (54) |
| FinnGen mood (affective) disorders | 10,418  | 86,081  | 96,499           |
| MVP-META (MVP MDD + 23andMe + UKB/PGC + FinnGen) | 340,591 | 813,676 | 1,154,267 |
| SR Depression meta (MVP MDD + 23andMe + UKB/PGC + FinnGen) | 312,009 | 802,374 | 1,114,383 |
| MVP PHQ-2              | 175,553 (8) |
| UKB PHQ-2              | 111,268 (54) |
| PHQ-2 meta (MVP PHQ2 + UKB PHQ2) | 286,821 |

192 variants (91%) showed at least nominal significance \((P < 0.05)\); 144 variants (68%) remained significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons \((P < 0.05/211 = 2.37 \times 10^{-4})\); and 81 variants (38%) were genome-wide significant \((P < 5 \times 10^{-8})\). These results are reported in Supplementary Table 1.

Linkage disequilibrium score regression. Linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) was used in two ways: (1) to identify genetic correlations and SNP-based heritability within each of the depression cohorts and phenotypes (Supplementary Table 5) and (2) to identify genetic correlation with other traits based on the primary meta-analysis (MDD-META). Heritability in the primary MDD-META analysis was 11.3% \((z = 29.63, \text{sample prevalence } 28.6\%, \text{population prevalence } 20\%)\), whereas heritability in the secondary analyses of self-reported depression (SR Depression; Methods) and Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2) were 7.8% \((z = 28.74, \text{sample prevalence } 27.1\%, \text{population prevalence } 20\%)\) and 5.5% \((z = 14.0)\), respectively. Genetic correlation between depression phenotypes ranged from 0.59 to 1.21, with lower \(r_z\) identified between measures of depressive symptoms and case-control phenotypes (Fig. 2a). Some of the genetic correlations from the LDSC were greater than 1; genetic correlation from LDSC does not bound to 1 (ref. 13), and the instances with values higher than 1 occurred when testing in the same sample with similar phenotype \((r_z = 1.07, \text{standard error (SE) } 0.0343)\) between MDD and SR Depression within the MVP or between the somewhat smaller FinnGen sample and the large PGC/UKB broad depression \((r_z = 1.21, \text{SE } 0.25)\) and 23andMe \((r_z = 1.07, \text{SE } 0.21)\) samples. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) intercept \((1.03, \text{SE } 0.011)\) and attenuation ratio \((0.0297, \text{SE } 0.011)\) of the LDSC revealed minimal evidence for inflation or confounding, with 97% of inflation observed due to high polygenicity of depression.

Based on significant and robust heritability estimates \((h^2 > 0.4)\), 1,457 traits from available GWAS summary statistics were sufficiently powered to assess genetic correlation with MDD-META. After multiple testing correction \((P = 0.05/1,457 \text{ trait pairs } = 3.43 \times 10^{-4})\), 669 phenotypes were significantly genetically correlated with MDD-META (Fig. 2b and Supplementary File 1). The most significant phenotypic correlations with MDD-META
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GTEX v8, with the strongest findings for Brodmann area 9 \( (p = 7.31 \times 10^{-16}) \) and no enrichment in non-neuronal tissue (Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Gene ontology.** Gene ontology analysis conducted in ShinyGO\(^{18}\) identified 219 biological processes with false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05, with top findings involved in nervous system development \( (q = 1.20 \times 10^{-13}) \) and synapse assembly \( (q = 9.75 \times 10^{-9}) \) and organization \( (q = 9.75 \times 10^{-9}) \) (Supplementary Table 2).

**Drug mapping.** The Manually Annotated Targets and Drugs Online Resource (MATADOR)\(^{19}\) database was tested for enrichment for 426 significant genes from the MAGMA analysis. This analysis identified ten drug annotations with FDR < 0.05, including four drugs that are either estrogen receptor agonists (diethylstilbestrol, Implanon (etonogestril implant)) or anti-estrogens (tamoxifen and raloxifene), in addition to nicotine, cocaine, cyclothiazide, felbamate and riluzole.

**Latent causal variable analysis.** After filtering for suitable trait pairs with latent causal variable (LCV)-estimated \( h^2 \) \( z \)-scores \( \geq 4 \), 1,667 phenotypes were powered to evaluate causal estimates relative to MDD-META; no statistically significant putatively causal genetic causality proportions (GCPs) were detected.

---

**Fig. 2 | Genetic correlation.** Top: genetic correlations among depression phenotypes, with subjective well-being included as a negative correlation comparator. Heritability \( (z \text{-score}) \) is given along the left axis of the matrix for each depression phenotype. Values within the matrix represent \( r_g \). All correlations are significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons \( (0.05 / 28 = P < 0.0018) \). The largest \( P \) value was for the correlation between FinnGen and UKB depressive symptoms \( (P = 4.06 \times 10^{-15}) \). \( P \) values and 95% CIs are reported in Supplementary Table 6. Bottom: summary of genetic correlations between MDD-META and 1,457 phenotypes from large-scale genetic studies of mental health and behavior. The Psychiatry category contains phenotypes from the PGC, the GWAS & Sequencing Consortium of Alcohol and Nicotine Use, the MVP and the International Cannabis Consortium. The labels “Tired” and “Left subcallosal cortex gray matter volume” represent UKB Field ID 2080 and BIG Field ID 0078, respectively. \( P \) values are two sided.
gSEM was used to evaluate how the MDD-META phenotype relates to 15 previously published large-scale GWASs of mental health and psychiatric phenotypes (Methods and Discussion). Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was conducted simultaneously on all traits and supported three-factor (cumulative variance = 0.605) and four-factor (cumulative variance = 0.624) models, where each factor contributed over 10% to the cumulative explained variance. Anorexia nervosa did not load onto any factor during EFA and was, therefore, excluded from confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). CFA did not converge on a four-factor model due to high correlation between two factors. CFA of the three-factor model produced modest fit (comparative fit index = 0.884, χ² (83 degrees of freedom)
Factor 1 generally represented internalizing phenotypes with major contributions from depressive symptoms (loading $= 0.95 \pm 0.03$), anxiety symptoms (loading $= 0.92 \pm 0.03$) and post-traumatic stress disorder (loading $= 0.92 \pm 0.04$). Factor 2 represented externalizing phenotypes with major contributions from risky behavior (loading $= 0.85 \pm 0.03$) and cannabis use disorder (loading $= 0.77 \pm 0.04$). Factor 3 represented educational attainment (loading $= 0.99 \pm 0.03$) and cognitive performance (loading $= 0.68 \pm 0.03$). MDD-META (DEP) loading on Factor 1 $= 0.77 \pm 0.02$; DEP loading on Factor 2 $= 0.14 \pm 0.02$).

**Conditional analysis.** For the multi-trait-based conditional and joint analysis (mtCOJO) (Methods), all eight conditioned versions of the depression GWAS demonstrated substantial similarity to the unconditioned depression GWAS. We observed no changes in $h^2$. All conditioned GWASs had correlation coefficient $= 1.00$ with the unconditioned GWAS, and genomic control factor and intercepts consistently indicated a lack of population substructure (Supplementary Fig. 2). Although the genome-wide architecture of depression was robust to shared etiology with all other listed comorbid conditions, shared etiology with schizophrenia and anxiety symptoms resulted in substantial loss of GWS SNPs associated with depression when conditioned upon those traits (Supplementary Fig. 2).

**Discussion** We present the first genetic study of depression including more than 1 million informative participants, with new large analyses from the MVP meta-analyzed with previous results from the PGC + UKB, 23andMe and FinnGen—to our knowledge, the largest analysis so far in what is a fast-moving field. We investigated genetic correlation among three different definitions (MDD-META, SR Depression and PHQ-2) of the depression phenotype within the MVP cohort. We identified 223 independently significant SNPs in 178 genomic loci associated with the primary meta-analysis, using an ICD code-derived definition of depression for the MVP...
sample and GWAS summary statistics from 23andMe, UKB, PGC and FinnGen. This finding is an increase of 77 loci over the largest previous study that investigated a similar phenotype. As these cohorts used somewhat different definitions for depression (Table 1, Fig. 1a and Methods), we also used LDSC to examine genetic correlations between MVP depression phenotypes and these differentially defined depression phenotypes in independent cohorts. We investigated genetic correlation with 1,457 traits using available GWAS data, identifying 669 that were significantly correlated. We also used gSVM to evaluate how depression relates to other mental health and psychiatric phenotypes.

The MVP sample added substantially to our ability to discover new loci. Two of the most powerful previous studies conducted to date had substantial contributions from the UKB. UKB and MVP represent large and non-overlapping samples with consistent phenotypic assessments. This consistency in collection reduces ascertainment heterogeneity within samples and likely increases power to detect new loci. Adding another massive homogeneously phenotyped sample here allowed us to discover 77 more loci than previously identified. It also provides a novel and large independent cohort for conducting post-GWAS analyses, leveraging the substantial resources already produced by others in the field to improve understanding.

MVP is very informative for depression and related traits with several available measures, so we considered several different diagnosis definitions (Table 1), as follows. In the MVP, we considered (1) an ICD code-based algorithm to determine depression case status based on diagnosis codes captured in the VA EHRs (MDD); (2) self-reported diagnosis of depression as reported in the MVP baseline survey (SR Depression); and (3) the two-item PHQ scale of depressive symptoms in the past 2 weeks, included in the MVP baseline survey (depressive symptoms). Genetic correlations among these traits were high ($r = 0.81-1.07$). We consider the first of these—MDD-META—to be our ‘primary’ analysis based on the larger explained heritability and sample size.

For meta-analyses of MDD-META and SR Depression, we also used available GWAS summary statistics from 23andMe, UKB, PGC, and FinnGen (Table 1). Genetic correlation was conducted among the phenotypes to be meta-analyzed together to quantify potential heterogeneity among the studies to be combined. These studies used a variety of phenotype definitions, with some combining clinical diagnosis of depression based on structured interview and other broader methods, such as self-reported treatment or self-reported diagnosis items on questionnaires. This analysis is discussed in greater detail in the Methods, but the genetic correlations among all traits ranged from 0.71 to 0.84.

We performed replication analysis in 1,342,778 samples provided by 23andMe (non-overlapping with the 23andMe samples included in our MDD-META), including 455,350 depression cases. Ninety-nine percent of our findings showed concordant direction of effect between these two very large and independent cohorts. Of 211 variants tested, 209 (99%) had the same direction of effect; 192 of effect between these two very large and independent cohorts. Of 211 variants tested, 209 (99%) had the same direction of effect; 192 variants tested, 209 (99%) had the same direction of effect; 192 of effect between these two very large and independent cohorts.

The lead SNP from our primary analysis, rs7531118 (minor allele frequency = 0.48, $P = 8.9 \times 10^{-28}$), maps close to the NEGR1 (neural growth regulator 1) gene and is a brain eQTL for NEGR1. This SNP was at least nominally significant with concordant effect direction in all four studies included in this meta-analysis (MVP $P = 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$, FinnGen $P = 0.04$, PG + UKB $P = 1.6 \times 10^{-17}$ and 23andMe $P = 2.8 \times 10^{-9}$). The SMultiXcan analysis prioritized hypothalamus as related to NEGR1. Negr1 mice have shown irregularities in several brain regions, including reduced brain volume in the hippocampus, and have also shown abnormalities in social behavior and non-social interest. Another study of Negre−/− mice identified a variety of depression-like and anxiety-like features in behavioral assays, such as elevated plus maze and forced swim tests.

The DRD2 (D2 dopamine) receptor was another top finding from the TWAS analysis (Fig. 3a), with significant predicted decreased expression in the nucleus accumbens. The mesolimbic dopamine reward circuit, of which nucleus accumbens is a critical part, has long been implicated in depression. A recent optogenetic study examining dopaminergic ventral tegmental area (VTA) projections into nucleus accumbens found that dopamine receptors are required for the action of these neurons in depression-related escape behavior. Depression-like behavior in animals might be related to depression in humans through links to the reward system and symptoms of anhedonia. A recent randomized proof-of-mechanism trial investigated κ-opioid receptor (KOR) antagonists as treatment for anhedonia symptoms. KORs localize within the nucleus accumbens on the terminals of inputs from the mesolimbic dopamine reward circuit. Among the actions of KOR antagonists might be normalization of VTA KOR function and D2 neuron activation, leading to disinhibition of the excitatory circuit they project upon. Indeed, the KOR INJ-67953964 was found to increase VTA activation relative to placebo during reward anticipation, highlighting a potential therapeutic mechanism by which KOR is thought to release inhibition on D2 dopaminergic projections. The group receiving INJ-67953964 showed reduced anhedonic symptoms relative to controls. That this gene and brain tissue emerged from hypothesis-free GWAS and TWAS tissue enrichment is a remarkable finding with respect to known biology and points to the potential value of other novel findings from this kind of research.

The CELF4 (CUGBP Elav-like family member 4) gene has been highlighted recently in an earlier precursor to this meta-analysis and was our top finding for convergence between functional variant prioritization and multi-tissue TWAS results (Fig. 3b and Supplementary File 2). This gene is important in developmental disorders, with deletions of the 18q12.2 region that encompass the gene associated with autism spectrum disorder. Celf4 mutant mice show aberrations in sodium channel function, perhaps through increased Na1.6 in the axon initial segment of excitatory neurons, and increased susceptibility to seizures. We agree with the assertion made in previous studies, now with additional functional and expression evidence, that CELF4 should be a focus of future brain research in depression and depression-like behaviors.

Genetic correlations with available GWAS summary statistics from 1,457 traits were conducted to assess overlap with other traits. There was high genetic correlation between our MDD-META meta-analysis and depression medication prescription in FinnGen ($r = 0.89$). This could be of value in evaluating depression phenotypes from large cohorts with access to linked pharmacy records; anti-depressant medication prescription might be a viable proxy phenotype for depression diagnosis.

We used ShinyGO with the MATADOR database to identify overlap between top MAGMA genes and drugs of interest (Supplementary Fig. 3). Riluzole, an NMDA antagonist currently used to treat amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, was one of our top findings. This drug is currently in trials for combination therapy for treatment-resistant depression. Another drug, cyclothiazide, is an allosteric modulator of AMPA (glutamatergic) receptors. Allosteric modulation of glutamatergic receptors has been considered a mechanistic treatment target for depression. This screen also identified an anti-seizure medication, felbamate, which has side effects including increasing depressive symptoms, suicidal ideation and suicide attempts. These three identified drugs—riluzole, felbamate and cyclothiazide—have been shown to modulate glutamatergic activity. Although the exact mechanisms underlying the drugs’ effects...
We prioritized variants using biologically and statistically informed annotations. To prioritize genes and their target tissues, we integrated both transcriptomics and CADD score prioritized variants. This method aided in the identification of shared causal loci for phenotype and tissue-specific eQTLs as evidenced by the high probability for five of the 17 genes tested. SNPs at CCDC71 (coiled-coil domain containing 71) have been reported to be associated with depressive symptoms in a multivariate genome-wide association meta-analysis, and our prioritized SNP is in strong LD with that study’s lead SNP (current study rs7617480, $r^2 = 0.83, D^2 = 1.0$). The FADS1 (fatty acid desaturase 1) protein product is involved in fatty acid regulation, and variants in this region have been reported to be associated with depression and substance use disorders. There is consistent evidence in the literature for an association with depleted omega-3 and increased depression risk, although a role for omega-3 supplementation in the treatment of depression is still controversial. Variants in SPPL3 (signal peptide peptidase-like 3) were reported by Hyde et al. to be associated with risk to major depression. The TRAF3 (TNF receptor-associated factor 3) protein product controls type-1 interferon response, and it has been reported that individuals treated with interferon are at high risk to develop depressive symptoms. LAMB2 is involved in neuropathic pain and influencing gene expression changes in brain pathways implicated in depression.

Because no GWS findings were identified in our primary analysis of African ancestry, we performed cross-ancestry lookups in the summary statistics of European ancestry. Of 223 GWS SNPs from the European ancestry meta-analysis, 206 were available in African ancestry; 61% ($n = 125$) had the same effect direction; 20 were nominally significant ($P < 0.05$); and one was Bonferroni significant after correcting for 206 comparisons.
symptom burden conducted in three cohorts from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, the Health and Retirement Study and the Indiana Memory and Aging Study. As larger samples are collected for more diverse ancestry groups, we expect to see more novel loci identified for non-European populations. Finally, we conducted a transancestral meta-analysis by combining studies of African and European ancestries in 1,213,867 participants, thereby identifying 233 independent SNPs and 183 risk loci. For now, transancestral analysis is a way to leverage results from understudied populations.

We recognize limitations in our study. Maximizing the power available for this analysis comes at the cost of accepting broader biobank phenotyping approaches, which might reduce specificity of findings for the core depression phenotype. Nonetheless, strong genetic correlations between the ICD-derived MDD and the broader definitions provide confidence in internal consistency, and future studies could look to further refine phenotyping. Although all genetic correlations were significant, there was substantial variance (95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.72–1.7) in correlations with the FinnGen sample, probably due to power and heterogeneity in the broad phenotype that we used from this sample. Finally, other ancestries remain understudied in relation to Europeans. We hope that the initial results reported here for the MVP African ancestry sample can help advance the field by encouraging additional concerted research in African and other non-European ancestral groups.

In summary, we identified multiple novel loci, and several of these loci serve functions that should prioritize their further study in the pathology of major depression. We examined genetic correlations between depression GWAS and other external phenotypes, largely confirming and strengthening previous observations. We showed substantial enrichments for several brain regions, such as hypothalamus and frontal cortex, known to be important for depression. We also found strong support for the importance of DRD2 in the nucleus accumbens, a finding that is consistent with an emerging role for dopaminergic function in symptoms of anhedonia. Using gene and drug-based enrichments, we found overlapping biology with existing drugs—notably, those that affect glutamatergic function but also those that influence the actions of estrogen—that could offer repurposing opportunities. We used gSEM to show how the genetic architecture of depression maps onto the broader genetic structure of mental disorders and cognition, identifying emergent overlap from hypothesis-free GWAS approaches with existing theories of psychopathology with regard to clusters of internalizing and externalizing disorders. Finally, we showed that our findings replicate in a large and independent cohort provided by 23andMe, providing evidence for the stability of GWAS findings from adequately powered cohorts.
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Methods

Participants. The MVP cohort was previously described38–40. A GWAS was conducted in each of two tranches of data separately by ancestry, depending upon when the data became available. Ancestry was assigned using ten principal components (PCs) of the 1,000 Genomes Project Phase 3 European and African reference within each tranche of data. For the analysis of the quantitative phenotype, we also performed a GWAS in the UKB sample. Finally, we conducted GWAS meta-analyses of traits related to depression using data from four large cohorts (Table 1 and Fig. 1a): the MVP34,41, the PGC/UKB10, FinnGen and 23andMe. For the ICD definition of depression, the phenotype with the most available data for the MVP cohort, there were 1,154,267 total individuals for primary meta-analysis. For the secondary case–control meta-analysis, we performed a similar analysis except that we replaced the MDD diagnosis from MVP with the SR Depression GWAS for a total of 1,114,383 participants. For the secondary analysis of depressive symptoms by PHQ, we included 286,821 total participants from UKB and MVP. We also performed a GWAS in the MVP AA sample of 59,600 participants. We included these participants in a transancestral meta-analysis with a total sample size of 1,213,867 participants (Supplementary Fig. 5). Cohorts are detailed in Table 1. All data were collected independently, and, therefore, the analysts were blinded to the conditions of the analysis. No randomization was performed. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications38–40.

Phenotypes. Within MVP, three depression phenotypes were investigated across five different analyses. We used (1) an ICD code-based algorithm to determine depression case status based upon investigation of the EHRs (MDD, primary analysis), (2) self-reported physician diagnosis of depression as reported in the MVP baseline survey (SR Depression) and (3) the two-item PHQ scale of depressive symptoms in the past 2 weeks, included in the MVP baseline survey (depressive symptoms). Phenotypes in outside cohorts for UKB/PGC and 23andMe were previously described38–40. See Table 1 and Fig. 1 for a summary. For the ICD code-based algorithm in MVP, codes used to assess case status are presented in Supplementary Table 3. Cases included with at least one inpatient diagnosis code or two outpatient diagnosis codes for MDD. Controls include only those without any inpatient or outpatient depression diagnosis codes for depression.

Secondary phenotype definitions. A similar meta-analysis was conducted using SR Depression (Methods) from MVP, conducted on 210,331 individuals who completed survey items on self-reported diagnosis of depression by a medical professional; the total meta-analysis with the traits from PGC, UKB and FinnGen included 1,114,383 individuals. A third analysis considered depressive symptoms from the PHQ-2 (ref. 19), a two-item scale that assesses depressive symptoms within the previous 2 weeks (Supplementary Table 4). For this phenotype, data were available only from MVP and UKB, with a total sample of 286,821 participants of European ancestry.

GWASs and meta-analyses. GWAS was carried out in the MVP cohorts by logistic regression for MDD and SR Depression and by linear regression for PHQ-2 within each ancestry group and tranche using PLINK 2.0 on dosage data, covarying for age, sex and the first ten PCs. A similar GWAS was performed using the diagonally weighted least squares estimator and a genetic correlation against MDD-META 13. For continuous UKB phenotypes, we performed an additional model for allele effects while covarying for age, sex, four PCs and array platform, followed by SNP lookups of our 221 independent GWS SNPs. The phenotype was identical to that reported in ref. 9 (discussed in detail in the section above) but consisting of an entirely independent sample of 455,350 cases and 887,428 controls (n = 1,342,778) not previously included in any reported primary analysis.

Post-GWAS analysis. LDSC. For post-GWAS analysis, FinnGen was removed a priori due to potential for increased heterogeneity in the phenotype definition due to the broad nature of inclusion in the F5 Mood phenotype. Genetic correlation analyses were performed using LDSC to assess the degree of genetic overlap among phenotypes and across the cohorts included in the analysis. Per-trait observed-scale SNP-based heritability estimates were calculated via LDSC using the 1,000 Genomes Project European linkage disequilibrium reference panel49. Heritability estimates were calculated for 1,468 phenotypes from FinnGen, 4,083 phenotypes from UKB, 3,143 brain image-derived phenotypes from the Oxford BIG project and phenotypes from the PGC, the SGAC and the Genetics of Personality Consortium. Heritability z-scores were calculated by dividing the heritability estimate per phenotype by its associated SE. Phenotypes with heritability z-scores ≥ 4 were considered suitable for genetic correlation against MDD-META 13. For continuous UKB phenotypes, we restricted our analyses to use inverse-rank normalized phenotypes instead of untransformed phenotypes. Genetic correlations are summarized by total phenotypes tested, nominally significant (P < 0.05) and after application of 5% FDR and Bonferroni thresholds (Fig. 2b).

LCV. The LCV model was used to infer genetic causal relationships between trait pairs using the 1,000 Genomes Project European linkage disequilibrium reference panel. MDD-META was subjected to LCV with all traits described above for genetic correlation analysis. Due to differences in heritability calculation method and the number of SNPs used by LCV versus LDSC, genetic correlation results were not used to inform LCV trait pair selection. GCPs were interpreted only when the heritability z-score of both traits was ≥ 7, as determined by LCV, not LDSC14. Fully causal relationships were deduced for significant trait pairs with GCP estimates ≥ 0.70; otherwise, GCP estimates were considered evidence for partial causality49.

gSEM. gSEM was performed using GWAS summary statistics in the genomicSEM and lavrA R packages49. EFAs were performed on 16 traits simultaneously (MDD-META (the main phenotype of interest for this study), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, anorexia nervosa, bipolar disorder, cannabis use disorder, cognitive performance, depression symptoms, educational attainment, anxiety symptoms, neuroticism, post-traumatic stress disorder, problematic alcohol use, re-experiencing, risk tolerance, risky behavior and schizophrenia). EFAs were performed for 1 through n factors until the addition of the factor n contributed less than 10% explained variance to the model. Confirmatory factor analysis was performed using the diagonally weighted least squares estimator and a genetic covariance matrix of munged GWAS summary statistics for all 16 phenotypes based on the 1,000 Genome Project Phase 3 European linkage disequilibrium reference panel.

TWAS. We performed a TWAS using MetaXcan for 13 brain tissues and whole blood from GTEx v8. The MetaXcan framework consists of GTeX v8+ elastic net and MASHR-based model for deriving eQTL values. The MASHR model is biologically informed, with deterministic approximation of posterior-based fine-mapped variables, and recommended by the developers54. Because the eQTL effect is shared across several tissues, the joint effect of eQTL in 14 tissues was tested using SMultiXcan, developed under the MetaXcan toolkit55. We applied Bonferroni correction (corrected P-value threshold = 1.79 × 10−8) for all gene–tissue pairs tested.

Variant prioritization. Each of the risk loci, determined from functional mapping and annotation (FUMA) (default LD = 0.6), were fine-mapping using CAVIAR56. The set of causal SNPs were annotated with CADDS scores followed by positional gene mapping within ± 100 kb. The genes that overlapped with significant gene–tissue eQTL analysis were further tested for co-localization. Coloc was used to test co-localization between specific gene eQTL tissue pairs (GTEx v8). The LocusCompareR package was used to generate regional plots of tissue-specific eQTL and GWAS P-values.
GWAS and enrichment analysis. Summary statistics from the primary MDD-META meta-analysis were loaded into functional mapping and annotation of genome-wide association studies (FUMA GWAS) to test for gene-level associations using MAGMA. Input SNPs were mapped to 17,927 protein-coding genes. The GWS threshold for the gene-based test was, therefore, determined to be $P = 0.05/17,927 = 2.79 \times 10^{-5}$. Genes from MAGMAs gene-based association were used for gene ontology and drug set enrichment using the ShinyGO web tool.

Conditional analysis. To evaluate whether the genetic signal of depression was independent of signals from comorbid conditions, we employed mtCOJO in GCTA. With mtCOJO, per-SNP effect estimates and association statistics of MDD-META were adjusted for the causal effects between MDD and seven comorbid conditions estimated by Mendelian randomization. We required at least two GWAS SNPs after Heidi outlier testing with which to estimate causality between phenotypes. MDD was conditioned eight times: once each for alcohol use disorder, digestive disorders, educational attainment, fibromyalgia, neuroticism (SSGAC), schizophrenia and subjective well-being and once using all seven correlates simultaneously. In this experimental design, we generated eight new versions of depression GWAS summary statistics, termed ‘conditioned’ GWASs, to analyze for heritability, genetic correlation versus the original unconditioned depression GWAS, SNP effects and $P$-value survival. These analyses are described in the Methods under ‘Post-GWAS analysis: LSCD’. Conditioned GWASs generated from mtCOJO are free of collider biases when estimating causal relationship between depression and each comorbid condition. Due to SNP matching procedures to condition depression with other phenotypes, some GWS SNPs for depression were not found in the conditioned depression GWAS. Where necessary, we selected proxy SNPs for each depression GWS SNP using SNPsnap with default settings. For each conditioned version of the depression GWS, a subset of SNPs could not be matched using direct or proxy SNP matching.

Ethics statement. The Central VA Institutional Review Board (IRB) and site-specific IRBs approved the MVP study. All relevant ethical guidelines for work with human subjects were followed in the conduct of the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. For 23andMe, participants provided informed consent and participated in the research online, under a protocol approved by the External Association for the Accreditation of Human Research Protection Programs accredited IRB, Ethical & Independent Review Services. Participants were included in the analysis on the basis of consent status as checked at the time data analyses were initiated.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
The GWAS summary statistics generated and/or analyzed during this study are available via dbGaP; the dbGaP accession assigned to the Million Veteran Program is phs001672.v1.p1. The full GWAS summary statistics for the 23andMe discovery dataset will be made available through 23andMe to qualified researchers under an agreement with 23andMe that protects the privacy of the 23andMe participants. Visit https://research.23andme.com/collaborate/#dataset-access/ for more information and to apply to access the data.

Code availability
No custom code was used in this study. Software and R packages used are discussed in the text.

References
38. Gelernter, J. et al. Genome-wide association study of post-traumatic stress disorder reexperiencing symptoms in >165,000 US veterans. Nat. Neurosci. 22, 1394–1401 (2019).
39. Gazzano, J. M. et al. Million Veteran Program: a mega-biobank to study genetic influences on health and disease. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 70, 214–223 (2016).
40. Harrington, K. M. et al. Gender differences in demographic and health characteristics of the Million Veteran Program cohort. Women Health Issues 29, S56–S66 (2019).
41. Levy, D. E. et al. Reproducible genetic risk loci for anxiety: results from ~200,000 participants in the Million Veteran Program. Am. J. Psychiatry 177, 223–232 (2020).
42. Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L. & Williams, J. B. The Patient Health Questionnaire-2: validity of a two-item depression screener. Med. Care 41, 1284–1292 (2003).
43. O’Connor, L. J. & Price, A. L. Distinguishing genetic correlation from causation across 52 diseases and complex traits. Nat. Genet. 50, 1728–1734 (2018).
44. Grotzinger, A. D. et al. Genomic structural equation modelling provides insights into the multivariate genetic architecture of complex traits. Nat. Hum. Behav. 3, 513–525 (2019).
45. Barbosa, A. N. et al. Exploring the phenotypic consequences of tissue specific gene expression variation inferred from GWAS summary statistics. Nat. Commun. 9, 1825 (2018).
46. Hormozdiari, F., Kostem, E., Kang, E. Y., Pasanuic, B. & Eskin, E. Identifying causal variants at loci with multiple signals of association. Genetics 198, 505–518 (2014).
47. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. Nat. Commun. 8, 1826 (2017).
48. Zhu, Z. H. et al. Causal associations between risk factors and common diseases inferred from GWAS summary data. Nat. Commun. 9, 324 (2018).
49. Harrington, K. M., Vilhjalmsson, B. J., Joshi, A. D., Price, A. L. & Kraft, P. Adjusting for heritable covariates can bias effect estimates in genome-wide association studies. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 96, 329–339 (2015).
50. Pers, T. H., Timshel, P. & Hirschhorn, J. N. SNPsnap: a web-based tool for identification and annotation of matched SNPs. Bioinformatics 31, 418–420 (2015).

Acknowledgements
We acknowledge the participants and investigators of the FinnGen study, 23andMe, the UK Biobank, the PGC and the Million Veteran Program. We would like to thank the research participants and employees of 23andMe for making this work possible. We thank the veterans who participate in the Million Veteran Program. The following members of the Million Veteran Program Research Team contributed to this study: M. Agee, S. Aukjevan, A. Anton, R. K. Bell, K. Brey, S. K. Clark, S. L. Elson, K. Fletz, Brant, F. Fuentanillas, A. N. Furlotte, P. M. Gandhi, K. Heilbron, B. Hicks, D. A. Hinds, K. E. Huber, E. M. Jewett, Y. Iang, A. Kleinmann, K.-H. Lin, N. K. Litterman, M. K. Luijf, J. C. McCreight, M. H. McIntyre, K. F. McManus, J. L. Mountain, S. V. Mozaffari, F. Nandakumar, E. S. Noblin, C. A. M. Nohover, J. O’Connell, A. A. Petrikovitz, S. J. Pitts, G. D. Poznik, J. F. Sathirapongsasuti, A. J. Shasti, I. F. Shelton, S. Shringarpure, C. Tian, J. Y. Ting, R. J. Tunney, V. Vacic, W. Xung and A. S. Zare. From the Yale Department of Psychiatry, Division of Human Genetics, we would like to thank and acknowledge the efforts of A. M. Lacoblle, C. Robinson and C. Tyrell. Funding: this work was supported by funding from the Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development Million Veteran Program grant CX008149-01. 23andMe and VA Cooperative Studies Program CSX575B. D.E.L. was supported by an NARSAD Young Investigator Grant from the Brain & Behavior Research Foundation.

Author contributions
J.G. and M.B.S. secured funding for this project. D.E.L., M.B.S. and J.G. had primary responsibility for design of the study. J.G., M.B.S. and J.C. conceived, supervised and managed the study. K.R. and M.A. assisted with study administration. D.E.L., F.R.W., G.A.P., H.Z., J.S. and R.P. contributed to genetic and bioinformatic analyses. R.P. was the senior statistical geneticist. K.H., R.Q. and D.E.L. contributed to phenotyping and phenomic analyses. The initial manuscript was drafted by D.E.L., M.B.S. and J.G. Manuscript contributions and interpretation of results were provided by D.E.L., M.B.S., F.R.W., G.A.P., K.H., G.S., H.Z., Y.Z.N., C.O., R.P., A.M. and J.G. and J.C. The remaining authors contributed to other organizational or data processing components of the study. All authors saw, had the opportunity to comment on, and approved the final draft.

Competing interests
M.B.S. reports receiving consulting fees in the past 3 years from Acadia Pharmaceuticals, Aptinyx, Biomiosc, BioXcel Therapeutics, Boehringer Ingelheim, Clexio Biosciences, EmpowerPharm, Engraft Therapeutics, Genentech/Roche, GW Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, Jazz Pharmaceuticals and Otsuka Biopharmaceuticals. In the last 12 months, G.S. has provided consulting services to Allergan, Axsome Therapeutics, Biohaven Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim International, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Clexio Biosciences, Epiphysis, Intra-Cellular Therapies, Janssen, Landbeck, Minerva Pharmaceuticals, Navitor Pharmaceuticals, NeuroRX, Noven Pharmaceuticals, Otsuka, Perceivon Neuroscience, Praxis Seelos Pharmaceuticals and Vistapharm Therapeutics. G.S. has received funds for contracted research from Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Merck and the Usona Institute. G.S. holds equity in Biohaven Pharmaceuticals and has received royalties from Yale University, paid from patent licenses with Biohaven Pharmaceuticals. J.S. and S.S. are employed by and hold stock or stock options in 23andMe, Inc. J.G. is named as co-inventor on Patent Cooperation Treaty application no. 15/878,640 titled ‘Genotype-guided dosing of opioid agonists’ , filed on January 24, 2018. All other authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00860-2.
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.B.S. or J.G.
Peer review information Nature Neuroscience thanks G erome Breen and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.
Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.
Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

- The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
- Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- A description of all covariates tested
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted. Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection: No software was used for data collection.

Data analysis: PLINK was used for GWAS, METAL was used for meta-analysis, R was used for statistical tests, all R packages are mentioned explicitly in text where the package was used. The GTEx database v8 was used for tissue enrichment. MetaXcan was used to predict gene expression using the GTEx database v8.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The GWAS summary statistics generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available via dbGAP; the dbGaP accession assigned to the Million Veteran Program is phs001672.v1.p. The website is: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-bin/study.cgi?study_id=phs001672.v1.p1. 23andMe dataset access is available by request at the following website: https://research.23andme.com/dataset-access/
Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

- Life sciences
- Behavioural & social sciences
- Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
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|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
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