A novel chicken model of fatty liver disease induced by high cholesterol and low choline diets

Chiao-Wei Lin,*,† Ting-Wei Huang,† Yu-Ju Peng,† Yuan-Yu Lin ‡,† Harry John Mersmann,† and Shih-Torng Ding*,†,‡

*Institute of Biotechnology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 10617; and †Department of Animal Science and Technology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 10617

ABSTRACT  Fatty liver diseases, common metabolic diseases in chickens, can lead to a decrease in egg production and sudden death of chickens. To solve problems caused by the diseases, reliable chicken models of fatty liver disease are required. To generate chicken models of fatty liver, 7-week-old ISA female chickens were fed with a control diet (17% protein, 5.3% fat, and 1,300 mg/kg choline), a low protein and high fat diet (LPHF, 13% protein, 9.1% fat, and 1,300 mg/kg choline), a high cholesterol with low choline diet (CLC, 17% protein, 7.6% fat with additional 2% cholesterol, and 800 mg/kg choline), a low protein, high fat, high cholesterol, and low choline diet (LPHFCLC, 13% protein, 12.6% fat with additional 2% cholesterol, and 800 mg/kg choline) for 4 wk. Our data showed that the CLC and LPHFCLC diets induced hyperlipidemia. Histological examination and the content of hepatic lipids indicated that the CLC and LPHFCLC diets induced hepatic steatosis. Plasma dipeptidyl peptidase 4, a biomarker of fatty liver diseases in laying hens, increased in chickens fed with the CLC or LPHFCLC diets. Hepatic ballooning and immune infiltration were observed in these livers accompanied by elevated interleukin 1 beta and lipopolysaccharide induced tumor necrosis factor mRNAs suggesting that the CLC and LPHFCLC diets also caused steatohepatitis in these livers. These diets also induced hepatic steatosis in Plymouth Rock chickens. Thus, the CLC and LPHFCLC diets can be used to generate models for fatty liver diseases in different strains of chickens. In ISA chickens fed with the CLC diet, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ, sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1, and fatty acid synthase mRNAs increased in the livers, suggesting that lipogenesis was enhanced by the CLC treatment. Our data show that treatment with CLC or LPHFCLC for 4 wk induces fatty liver disease in chickens. These diets can be utilized to rapidly generate chicken models for fatty liver research.
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INTRODUCTION

Fatty liver commonly occurs in laying hens, for example, fatty liver syndrome and fatty liver hemorrhagic syndrome (FLHS) (Julian, 2005; Trott et al., 2014). The FLHS condition can lead to a decrease in egg production and an increase in mortality in laying hens (Julian, 2005; Trott et al., 2014). Chickens with FLHS exhibit hepatic steatosis whereas more severe cases develop blood clots and liver rupture (Trott et al., 2014; Shini et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2021). The bleeding resulting from the rupture of the liver has been considered the cause of sudden death (Julian, 2005). Physiological status and environmental factors may positively affect the development of FLHS, for example, overweight, excess abdominal fat, high levels of plasma estrogens, or high ambient temperature (Julian, 2005; Trott et al., 2014; Rozenboim et al., 2016). Furthermore, susceptibility to fatty liver diseases in different strains varies (Thomson et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2018). It indicates that genetic factor also contributes to the occurrence of FLHS (Trott et al., 2014). Hyperlipidemia is a feature of FLHS in chickens (Gao et al., 2019; Zhuang et al., 2019). Moreover, elevated plasma dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4), an enzyme related to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in humans, has been identified in laying hens to be associated with FLHS (Baumeier et al., 2017; Tsai et al., 2017). Fatty liver hemorrhagic syndrome is a prominent cause of
chicken death in caged laying hens (Shini et al., 2019), and therefore causes a massive loss in the egg production industry.

The pathogenesis of fatty liver diseases in chickens is accompanied by an imbalance in lipid homeostasis, such as hepatic lipid accumulation, transportation, and metabolism (Zhong et al., 2019). NAFLD is a common metabolic disorder in humans caused by excessive lipid accumulation in livers (Toshikuni et al., 2014; Arab et al., 2018). As the disease progresses, inflammation may occur in livers affected by NAFLD which would be diagnosed as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (Estes et al., 2018). Obesity in humans is a main risk factor for NAFLD (Loomba and Sanyal, 2013; Younossi et al., 2016; Arab et al., 2018). The excess circulating free fatty acid from adipose tissue can be stored in the livers (Donnelly et al., 2005; Bril et al., 2017; Polyzos et al., 2017). Accordingly, high fat diets can be used to induce hepatic steatosis as a model of NAFLD in rodents (Van Herck et al., 2017). Insufficiency of dietary protein is associated with the occurrence of NAFLD, which may result from impaired synthesis and secretion of lipoproteins (Waterlow, 1975; Badaloo et al., 2013; Ejima et al., 2016; Arab et al., 2018). The excess circulating free fatty acid from adipose tissue can be stored in the livers (Donnelly et al., 2005; Bril et al., 2017; Polyzos et al., 2017). Accordingly, high fat diets can be used to induce hepatic steatosis as a model of NAFLD in rodents (Van Herck et al., 2017). Insufficiency of dietary protein is associated with the occurrence of NAFLD, which may result from impaired synthesis and secretion of lipoproteins (Waterlow, 1975; Badaloo et al., 2013; Ejima et al., 2016; Arab et al., 2018). The excess circulating free fatty acid from adipose tissue can be stored in the livers (Donnelly et al., 2005; Bril et al., 2017; Polyzos et al., 2017). Accordingly, high fat diets can be used to induce hepatic steatosis as a model of NAFLD in rodents (Van Herck et al., 2017). Insufficiency of dietary protein is associated with the occurrence of NAFLD, which may result from impaired synthesis and secretion of lipoproteins (Waterlow, 1975; Badaloo et al., 2013; Ejima et al., 2016; Arab et al., 2018).

To develop therapeutic methods for prevention of fatty liver diseases, a rapid and reliable chicken model of fatty liver disease is required. In this study, we established a dietary chicken model of fatty liver disease that occurs in 4 wk.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design**

Animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of National Taiwan University (NTU-109-EL-00002). A total of 40 female ISA chickens and 25 female Plymouth Rock chickens were purchased from a commercial farm in Taiwan. Chickens were individually housed in 3-tier battery cages at 25°C under a 14 h light: 10 h dark cycle. Each cage (45 cm long × 30 cm wide × 37 cm high) was utilized to cage single chickens. Water and feed were provided ad libitum except for the 12-hour duration prior to the collection of fasting blood.

**Experimental Design 1** Forty 7-week-old female ISA chickens were randomly divided into 4 groups (10 chickens per group) and randomly distributed in the battery cage. The chickens were allotted to 4 dietary treatments for 4 wk: a basal diet (CON), a low protein and high fat diet (LPHF), a high cholesterol and low choline diet (CLC), and a low protein, high fat, high cholesterol, and low choline diet (LPHFCLC). The diet ingredients and calculated nutritional values are shown in Table 1. Fasting blood was collected from a brachial wing vein before treatment (week 0), and after treatment for 2 wk (week 2) and 4 wk (week 4). After treatment for 4 wk, chickens were euthanized by electrical stunning. Liver samples were collected immediately after euthanasia.

**Experimental Design 2** Twenty-five 7-week-old female Plymouth Rock chickens were randomly divided into 4 groups (CON, n = 6; LPHF, n = 5; CLC, n = 8; LPHFCLC, n = 6) and randomly distributed in the battery cages. The chickens were fed with CON, LPHF, CLC, or LPHFCLC diets for 4 wk. Fasting blood was collected at weeks 0, 2, and 4. Chickens were euthanized by electrical stunning after feeding with various diets for 4 wk. Liver samples were collected immediately after euthanasia.

**Proximate Analysis**

To determine the percentage of moisture in the feed, 2 g of sample was obtained and placed in weighing bottles. After weighing the weighing bottles with samples, the samples were dried in a vacuum oven (Memmert GmbH, Schwabach, Germany) at 105°C. All samples were analyzed in triplicate. The percentage of moisture content (wet basis) was calculated using the following equation: Moisture (%) = weight of sample − weight of dried sample ÷ weight of sample × 100%.

To determine the percentage of crude fat in the feed, 2 g of sample, which had been dried using a vacuum oven, was wrapped in a filter paper. Fat was extracted into a fat beaker with 35 mL of ethyl ether (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, St. Louis, MO) using Goldfisch Fat Extractor (Labconco Corporation, Kansas City, MO) for 4 h. After extraction, the fat beakers were placed in a fume hood to evaporate the ethyl ether. All samples were analyzed in triplicate. The percentage of crude fat in feed was calculated using the following equation: Crude fat (%) = weight of fat beaker + crude fat − weight of fat beaker ÷ weight of sample × 100%. The percentage of crude protein in the feeds was analyzed by Kjeldahl method (Maehre et al., 2018). Briefly, 0.3 g of sample was wrapped in a nitrogen-free weighing paper (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Chicago, IL) and a weighing paper without any sample was used for blank determination. The wrapped samples and blank papers were transferred into digestion flasks. A Kjeldahl tablet (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation) and 10 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation) were added into a digestion flask to perform digestion using SpeedDigester K-439 (BÜCHI Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland) for 3 h. Next, a Distillation Unit K-355 (BÜCHI Labortechnik AG) was used to distill and capture ammonia in 25 mL of 4% boric acid (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation) containing 0.1% bromocresol green (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation).
and 0.1% methyl red (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation) as pH indicators. The distillates were titrated using 0.1 N sulfuric acid (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation) until the color changed from light green to pinkish. All samples were analyzed in triplicate.

**Measurement of Plasma Triglyceride (TG) and Cholesterol**

Chicken blood samples were collected from brachial wing veins using 23-gauge needles (Kelly and Alworth, 2013). The

| Table 1. Diet ingredients of CON, LPHF, CLC, and LPHFCLC. |
|---------------------------------|
| Ingredient (%) | CON | LPHF | CLC | LPHFCLC |
| Cornmeal | 67.043 | 68.862 | 65.761 | 67.546 |
| Soybean protein | 8.046 | 1.839 | 7.892 | 1.804 |
| Soybean meal | 9.835 | 9.685 | 9.647 | 9.500 |
| Wheat bran | 4.468 | 4.587 | 4.382 | 4.500 |
| Rice bran | 4.468 | 4.587 | 4.382 | 4.500 |
| CaCO₃ | 2.239 | 2.299 | 2.196 | 2.255 |
| CaHPO₄ | 1.789 | 1.839 | 1.755 | 1.804 |
| Beef tallow | 1.339 | 5.507 | 1.314 | 5.402 |
| NaCl | 0.360 | 0.370 | 0.353 | 0.363 |
| DL-Methionine | 0.360 | 0.370 | 0.353 | 0.363 |
| Premix¹ | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| Choline | 0.050 | 0.050 | - | - |
| Cholesterol | - | - | 1.961 | 1.961 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrient composition (%) | | | | |
| Crude protein² | 16.55 | 12.42 | 16.94 | 12.80 |
| Fat² | 5.28 | 9.1 | 7.55 | 12.57 |
| Moisture² | 11.48 | 10.72 | 10.54 | 9.98 |
| Ash² | 5.39 | 5.09 | 5.14 | 4.76 |
| Methionine³ | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.59 |
| Lysine³ | 0.94 | 0.6 | 0.94 | 0.6 |
| Choline³ | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Cholesterol³ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Calculated metabolic energy (kcal/kg) | 3,043.20 | 3,209.71 | 3,043.20 | 3,209.71 |

Abbreviations: CLC, high cholesterol and low choline diet; CON, control diet; LPHF, low protein and high fat diet; LPHFCLC, low protein, high fat, high cholesterol, and low choline diet; Vit, vitamin.

¹Premix supplied per kg of diet: Vit A, 1.8 mg; Vit D₃, 0.005 mg; Vit E, 9.09 mg; Vit K, 0.5 mg; Vit B₁₂, 0.007 mg; pantothenic acid, 2.99 mg; riboflavin, 1.63 mg; Cu, 1.25 mg; Mn, 24.06 mg; Zn, 12.7 mg; Se, 0.06 mg; iodide, 0.35 mg.
²The compositions of crude protein, fat, moisture, and ash in diets were analyzed via proximate analysis.
³Calculated compositions of methionine, choline, and lysine in diets.

**Table 2. Primer sets for real-time PCR.**

| Target genes | Primer sequence | Reference sequence |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Chicken PPARG | F: CAAGGCAGCGGCAAAATAAC R: GTGCCCCATAAATGATGGGCTAA | NM_001001460.1 |
| Chicken SREBF1 | F: GCCCTCTCTGCTTTTGGCTTC R: ACTCACCGCATAGCTCTCTTC | NM_204126.2 |
| Chicken FASN | F: CTATCGACACAGCCTGCTCCT R: CAGAATGTTGACCCCTCCTACC | NM_205155.3 |
| Chicken ACC | F: AGTCTCTGATTGAGCATGGCA R: CTCCAGATGCGGGCTAGATTC | NM_205505.1 |
| Chicken PPARA | F: ACCGAGTCTCCAATCTGC R: AAGCCTTACAACCTTCACAA | NM_001001461.1 |
| Chicken CPT1A | F: CTGGGTATATTGCCACGAAGC R: GCCATGGCTAAGGTTTTCGT | NM_001012898.1 |
| Chicken DPP4 | F: AGTGGTGAAATTGCCGTGTTGCC R: GCCTCGATGCTCTCCCTCC | NM_001031255.3 |
| Chicken LITAF | F: ACAAGTACACCTGTGGCTTCAAGTCAAG | NM_002467.2 |
| Chicken IL1B | F: TGCGTCTGAGCAGGCGCTCG R: CTGGCAACAGTTGGTCAT | NM_0024524.1 |
| Chicken PPIA | F: AGGGTCCCTCAACCGCGACAG | NM_001166326.1 |

Abbreviations: ACC, acetyl-CoA carboxylase; CPT1A, carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A; DPP4, dipeptidyl peptidase 4; F, forward primer; FASN, fatty acid synthase; IL1B, interleukin 1 beta; LITAF, lipopolysaccharide induced tumor necrosis factor; R, reverse primer; PPARA, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor a; PPIA, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ; PPIA, peptidylprolyl isomerase A; SREBF1, sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1.
blood samples were transferred into EDTA-coated blood collection tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and mixed gently for 30 min. After centrifugation at 1,000 × g for 10 min, the supernatant fraction was collected for TG and cholesterol measurement using Triglycerides and Cholesterol kits (Randox Laboratories, County Antrim, UK). The detection kits were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The signals were detected by an Epoch Microplate Spectrophotometer (BioTek, Winooski, VT) by measuring absorbance at 500-nm wavelength.

**Histological Analyses**

Liver samples were fixed in 10% formaldehyde. The paraffin-embedded liver sections were sliced at 5 µm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin as described previously (Tsai et al., 2017). For Oil Red O staining, the fixed liver samples were embedded in Cryomatrix™ embedding resin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and stored at −80°C. The OCT-embedded specimens were sliced at 5 µm with a cryostat microtome. After incubation with absolute propylene glycol for 5 min, sections were stained using Oil Red O solution (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation) at 60°C for 10 min. The stained sections were incubated with 85% propylene glycol for 5 min. Then, they were washed twice with water and stained with hematoxylin. To calculate the inflammatory foci in livers, each liver section was photographed under 200× magnification (0.145 mm² per field). Ten random fields of each section were photographed. The number of inflammatory foci was determined as the average numbers of inflammatory foci in 10 fields in each liver section.

**Liver TG and Cholesterol Measurement**

Twenty milligrams of chicken liver was homogenized in 1 mL of PBS. Five milliliters of chloroform/methanol (2/1, v/v) was added; samples were vortexed for 1 min followed by incubation at 4°C for 2 h. After centrifugation at 1,650 × g for 10 min the bottom phase was collected and dried using nitrogen gas. Isopropanol/Nonidet P-40 (9:1, v/v) solution was used to dissolve the lipid samples. The levels of liver TG and cholesterol were measured using Triglycerides and Cholesterol kits (Randox Laboratories). Values of liver TG and cholesterol were normalized with tissue weight.

**Real-Time PCR Analysis**

To collect the samples for real-time PCR analysis, liver samples were harvested in tubes and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Liver samples were stored at −80°C for further RNA extraction. Total RNA of chicken liver was extracted by using GENEzol Reagent (Geneaid Biotech, Ltd., New Taipei City, Taiwan). Concentrations of RNA samples were measured by a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop One, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 260/280 nm. Total RNA (4 µg) of each sample was treated with TURBO DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Then, reverse transcription was performed using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Triplicates of each sample were used for real-time PCR analysis. The levels of gene expression in the cDNA were analyzed using a SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX Kit (Bioline, London, UK) in a CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Irvine, CA). The PCR program was set as follows: 2 min at 95°C for polymerase activation, then 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 s for denaturation, followed by 60°C for 30 s for annealing/extension. The primer sets are listed in Table 2. Threshold cycle (CT) values were obtained by using Bio-Rad CFX Manager 3.1 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The fold changes of gene expressions were calculated by 2^−ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).
The value of each gene was normalized to an internal control gene, peptidylprolyl isomerase A (Feroze-Merzoug et al., 2002; Tatsumi et al., 2008), in the same sample using the following equation: $\Delta Ct = Ct$ of target gene $- Ct$ of $PPIA$. Subsequently, fold changes of gene expressions were calculated using the equation: fold change $= 2^{-1(\Delta Ct$ of experimental group $- \Delta Ct$ of control group)}.

**ELISA Assay**

Chicken plasma was used to analyze the concentration of DPP4 by an ELISA kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (MyBioSource, San Diego, CA). The signals were detected using a spectrophotometer at 570 nm.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA) and have been presented as mean ± SEM. Group comparisons of the changes of plasma TG and cholesterol were analyzed by 2-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, with the treatments and time points as
the main factors. Group comparisons of the results of body weight, liver TG and cholesterol, plasma DPP4 concentration, number of inflammatory foci, and the expression levels of lipopolysaccharide induced tumor necrosis factor (LITAF) and interleukin 1 beta were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, with treatments as the main factor. Group comparisons of the gene expression levels in the livers between CON and CLC groups were analyzed by unpaired t test. Data are shown as mean ± SEM. A P-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically different.

**RESULTS**

### CLC and LPHFCLC Diets Induced Hyperlipidemia in ISA Chickens

When 7-week-old female ISA chickens were fed with either the CON, LPHF, CLC, or LPHFCLC diets for 4 wk, there was no treatment effect on body weight at weeks 0, 2, or 4 (Figure 1A). After being fed with various diets, the concentrations of liver cholesterol in the CON and LPHF groups did not change (Figure 1C). Plasma cholesterol in the CLC and LPHFCLC groups increased (P < 0.05) at week 2 and 4 (Figure 1C). These data indicated that hyperlipidemia can be induced by feeding the CLC and LPHFCLC diets.

### CLC and LPHFCLC Diets Induced Hepatic Steatosis in ISA Chickens

After feeding the different diets for 4 wk, the color of livers in the CON and LPHF groups was red (Figure 2A). However, in the CLC and LPHFCLC groups, the livers were yellow (Figure 2A). This observation indicated that hepatic steatosis occurred in ISA chickens fed with the CLC or LPHFCLC diets for 4 wk. To verify the occurrence of hepatic steatosis, sections of livers were stained with Oil Red O. Using this stain, livers in the CLC and LPHFCLC groups showed considerable lipid accumulation compared to the CON group (Figure 2B). Quantification of hepatic lipids indicated that the TG in LPHF, CLC, and LPHFCLC groups (4 wk feeding) was all greater (P < 0.05) than the CON group (Figure 2C). Hepatic cholesterol at 4 wk in the CLC and LPHFCLC groups increased (P < 0.05) compared to the CON group (Figure 2D). However, the hepatic cholesterol in the LPHF group was comparable to CON (Figure 2D). These data showed that the CLC and LPHFCLC diets induced hepatic steatosis in ISA chickens. Moreover, the plasma TG and cholesterol values in LPHFCLC were both higher (P < 0.05) than CLC (Figures 2C and 2D). This suggested that feeding the LPHFCLC diet enhanced the severity of hepatic steatosis caused by feeding the CLC diet.

### Plasma DPP4 was Elevated in ISA Chickens Fed With CLC and LPHFCLC Diets

To examine the influence of these diets described previously on the levels of DPP4, ELISA assays were performed to determine the concentrations of plasma DPP4 at week 2 and 4. At week 2 and 4, the concentrations of plasma DPP4 in the LPHF group were comparable to CON (Figures 3A and 3B). However, after feeding the CLC or LPHFCLC diets, plasma DPP4 increased (P < 0.05) at both week 2 and 4 (Figures 3A and 3B). These data further confirmed that CLC and LPHFCLC diets induced fatty liver in ISA chickens.

### CLC Diet Induced NASH in Livers of ISA Chickens

To determine whether NASH occurred in the livers, hepatic morphology and the inflammation-related genes were analyzed. Histological sections of livers showed that...
Figure 4. CLC and LPHFCLC induced nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in the livers of ISA chickens. (A) Livers of ISA chickens fed with CON, LPHF, CLC, or LPHFCLC stained with H&E. The white arrow indicates hepatic ballooning. Scale bar = 50 μm. (B) Livers of ISA chickens fed with CON, LPHF, CLC, or LPHFCLC stained with H&E. The green arrow indicates foci of immune infiltration. Scale bar = 100 μm. (C) Numbers of inflammatory foci in each section (H&E staining) were counted in 10 fields under 200× magnification. Field area = 0.145 mm². The values for the 10 fields for each chicken were averaged. The relative levels of (D) hepatic LITAF and (E) IL1B mRNA in chickens fed with the indicated diets were analyzed by real-time PCR. The level of each gene was normalized with its internal control gene, PPIA. Data are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 10, each group). Groups with no significant difference were labeled with a common letter (1-way ANOVA). Abbreviations: CLC, high cholesterol and low choline diet; CON, control diet; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; IL1B, interleukin 1 beta; LITAF, lipopolysaccharide induced tumor necrosis factor; LPHF, low protein and high fat diet; LPHFCLC, low protein, high fat, high cholesterol, and low choline diet; PPIA, peptidylprolyl isomerase A.
cells displayed the morphology of hepatic ballooning in the CLC and LPHFCLC groups (Figure 4A). The number of inflammatory foci in fields under 200X magnification in the liver section was counted to estimate the severity of immune infiltration in the livers (Figure 4B). The number of inflammatory foci increased in the CLC and LPHFCLC groups compared to CON (Figure 4C). The mRNA levels of LITAF were higher in CLC and LPHFCLC groups compared to CON (Figure 4D). Besides, the level of LITAF in the LPHFCLC group was greater than in CLC (Figure 4D). In addition, interleukin 1 beta increased in LPHFCLC-fed group compared to the CON group (Figure 4E). These data indicated that feeding ISA chickens with the CLC and LPHFCLC diets can cause steatohepatitis in the liver.

**CLC and LPHFCLC Diets Induced Hepatic Steatosis in Plymouth Rock Chickens**

In order to examine whether the CLC and LPHFCLC diets can induce fatty liver disease in other strains of chickens, we fed 7-week-old female Plymouth Rock chickens with the CON, LPHF, CLC, or LPHFCLC diets for 4 wk. There was no treatment effect on body weight at any time (Figure 5A). Livers from chickens fed the CON and LPHF diets were normal, healthy, and red colored at week 4; however, livers of the CLC and LPHFCLC diet groups were yellow (Figure 5B). Oil Red O staining showed that the livers of the LPHF group had no obvious lipid accumulation (Figure 5C). In the CLC and LPHFCLC groups, there was lipid accumulation in the livers. The levels of hepatic TG showed no effects of LPHF and CLC diets (Figure 5D). However, hepatic TG increased ($P < 0.05$) in the LPHFCLC group (Figure 5D). The level of hepatic cholesterol did not change in the LPHF group compared to the CON group (Figure 5E). Feeding the CLC and LPHFCLC diets increased ($P < 0.05$) hepatic cholesterol compared with the CON group (Figure 5E). Thus, the CLC and LPHFCLC diets induced fatty liver disease in Plymouth Rock chickens.

**Genes Related to Lipogenesis and Fatty Liver Were Upregulated in the Livers of ISA Chickens Fed With CLC Diet**

We demonstrated that diets with low choline and additional 2% cholesterol (CLC diets) were sufficient to induce fatty liver disease in chickens. Accordingly, we identified the characteristic of the fatty liver model induced by CLC. For gene expression analysis, the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ, sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1, and fatty acid synthase mRNAs in the CLC group were elevated ($P < 0.05$) compared to the CON group (Figure 6A). However, the genes related to lipid catabolism, such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α and carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A, in the CLC group did not change (Figure 6A). We also examined the expression of the DPP4 gene in CLC and CON-fed ISA chickens. The CLC treatment increased ($P < 0.05$) the levels of DPP4 mRNA in livers (Figure 6B). Data suggested that CLC diets can upregulate lipogenic genes and DPP4 in the livers.

**DISCUSSION**

We established chicken models of fatty liver disease using diets containing CLC or LPHFCLC. Hyperlipidemia was induced in ISA chickens after feeding with the CLC or LPHFCLC diets. The CLC and LPHFCLC diets induced fatty liver both in ISA and Plymouth Rock chickens in 4 wk. Our data suggested that the CLC diet was a rapid fatty liver model for chickens.

In laying hens, liver weight, hepatic TG concentration, and the levels of genes related with lipid synthesis and transport, such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ, ACYL, fatty acid synthase, and APOB were increased by elevation of estradiol, an estrogen steroid hormone necessary for egg production.
Figure 5. CLC and LPHFCLC induced hepatic steatosis in Plymouth Rock chickens. (A) Body weights of Plymouth Rock chickens fed CON, LPHF, CLC, or LPHFCLC at weeks 0, 2, and 4. (B) Appearance of livers in Plymouth Rock chickens fed the above indicated diets for 4 wk. (C) Oil Red O staining was used to detect the severity of lipid accumulation in livers of Plymouth Rock chickens fed the indicated diets for 4 wk. The amount of (D) TG and (E) cholesterol in the livers of Plymouth Rock chickens fed with the indicated diets for 4 wk. The values for hepatic TG and cholesterol were normalized with the weights of liver samples. CON, n = 6; LPHF, n = 5, CLC, n = 8; LPHFCLC, n = 6. Data are shown as mean ± SEM. Groups with no significant difference were labeled with a common letter (1-way ANOVA). Abbreviations: CLC, high cholesterol and low choline diet; CON, control diet; LPHF, low protein and high fat diet; LPHFCLC, low protein, high fat, high cholesterol, and low choline diet; TG, triglyceride.
(Haghighi-Rad and Polin, 1981; Lee et al., 2010; Dong and Tong, 2019). Moreover, estradiol suppresses gga-
mir-221-5p, a microRNA targeting elongation of very
long chain fatty acids protein 6 as well as squalene epox-
idase, to increase the amount of TG and cholesterol in
the livers of chickens (Zhang et al., 2020), suggesting
that estradiol contributes to lipid synthesis in the livers
of laying hens. Therefore, hormones for egg production
are considered to contribute to the development of
FLHS in chickens (Rozenboim et al., 2016), suggesting
that estradiol contributes to lipid synthesis in the livers
of laying hens. Therefore, hormones for egg production
are considered to contribute to the development of
FLHS in chickens (Rozenboim et al., 2016). The accumu-
lation of lipids nutritionally supports egg production in
chickens (Julian, 2005). However, excess lipids accumu-
lated in the livers of chickens can cause a reduction of
egg production and an increase in mortality (Julian,
2005; Mete et al., 2013; Shini et al., 2019). These previous
studies suggested that preventing liver accumulation of
excess lipid may be a strategy to prevent the decrease in
egg production and sudden death of chickens. How-
ever, research on fatty liver disease of chickens is limited.

Most of the studies were performed on chickens that
were 6-month-old, or older (Zhang et al., 2011;
Rozenboim et al., 2016; Tsai et al., 2017; Zhu et al.,
2021). High energy diets also can be used to establish
fatty livers in chickens. Chickens fed with a high fat,
low protein or high carbohydrate diet develop symptoms
of NAFLD, including hyperlipidemia, and hepatic stea-
tosis (Ayala et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhuang
et al., 2019). Moreover, high fat or low protein diets
induce hepatic ballooning and elevation of pro-
inflammatory cytokines, interleukin-6 and tumor necro-
sis factor α, indicating that livers have progressed to
NASH (Ayala et al., 2009; Rozenboim et al., 2016).
Our data showed that fatty livers could be induced in
ISA and Plymouth Rock chickens after feeding CLC or
LPHFCLC diets for a period of 4 wk.

Figure 6. The genes related to lipogenesis were upregulated in the livers of ISA chickens fed with CLC. (A) The mRNA levels of genes related to
lipid metabolism and (B) DPP4 in the ISA chickens fed CON or CLC for 4 wk were analyzed using real-time PCR. The level of each gene was normal-
ized with its internal control gene, PPIA. Data are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 10, each group). Unpaired t test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. Abbreviations:
ACC, acetyl-CoA carboxylase; CLC, high cholesterol and low choline diet; CON, control diet; CPT1A, carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A; DPP4,
dipeptidyl peptidase 4; FASN, fatty acid synthase; ns, not significant; PPIA, peptidylprolyl isomerase A; PPARA, peroxisome proliferator-
activated receptor α; PPARG, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ; SREBF1, sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1.
contrast, high protein diets have been shown to be beneficial in improving hepatic steatosis in mice and humans (Bortolotti et al., 2011; Garcia Caraballo et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2020). Low protein diets can result in insufficiency of essential amino acids, such as lysine and methionine. Lysine and methionine are the precursor of carnitine (Longo et al., 2016). Carnitine is involved in the transportation of long-chain fatty acids from the cytoplasm into mitochondria in which fatty acids can be catabolized via β-oxidation (Longo et al., 2016). The low intake of essential amino acids, particularly methionine and lysine, results in decreased level of carnitine to cause NAFLD (Krajovicova-Kudlackova et al., 2000; Savic et al., 2020). Methionine can be converted to S-adenosylmethionine, a primary methyl donor transferring its methyl group to other molecules, such as phospholipids, nucleic acids, and proteins (Noureddin et al., 2015). S-adenosylmethionine is involved in the synthesis of phosphatidylcholine which is required for the assembly and secretion of VLDL (Radziejewska et al., 2020). Afterward, homocysteine is produced in methionine metabolism (Skovierova et al., 2016). Homocysteine can obtain the methyl group from betaine to form methionine via remethylation (Radziejewska et al., 2020). In the livers, betaine can be obtained from diets or derived from choline (Radziejewska et al., 2020). Liver is the primary organ responsible for choline metabolism (Corbin and Zeisel, 2012). In the liver, choline can be converted to phosphatidylcholine (Sherriff et al., 2016). Previous study showed the impairment of synthesis and secretion of VLDL leads to NAFLD (Fujita et al., 2009). Therefore, supplementations of choline, methionine, or lysine ameliorate fatty liver diseases (Cordero et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014; Sato et al., 2018; Zang et al., 2019). The current study showed that the LPHFCLC diet leads to higher levels of hepatic TG and cholesterol than LPHF or CLC does. It indicated that the LPHF diet exacerbated hepatic steatosis induced by the CLC diet in chickens. The effects may be attributed to the combination of high fat and low protein.

DPP4 is a membrane protein expressed in most tissues; it can be cleaved by a protease and released into the blood (Nargis and Chakrabarti, 2018). In humans, the levels of plasma DPP4 are elevated in type 2 diabetes, obesity, and NAFLD (Nargis and Chakrabarti, 2018; Niu et al., 2019). DPP4 plays a critical role in the development of insulin resistance, hepatic steatosis, pro-inflammation, metastasis of cancers, and drug resistance of cancers (Itou et al., 2013). DPP4 performs the activity of a protease to regulate the functions of its target proteins, including chemokines and incretin hormones (Nargis and Chakrabarti, 2018; Enz et al., 2019). Glucagon-like peptide-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide, 2 incretins that function in insulin secretion, are inactivated by degradation via DPP4 (Nargis and Chakrabarti, 2018). Therefore, inhibiting the activity of DPP4 can suppress the degradation of glucagon-like peptide-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide to improve blood sugar management in diabetes (Mulvihill, 2018). DPP4 promotes lipid accumulation in liver by suppressing insulin secretion (Xiao et al., 2014). Moreover, DPP4 inhibits PPARα and activates steroid regulatory element binding transcription factor 1 to promote lipid accumulation in the liver (Conarello et al., 2003). In diabetic mouse, systemic inhibition of DPP4 suppresses hepatic steatosis (Gorgens et al., 2019), suggesting that DPP4 is involved in lipid accumulation in the liver. Although DPP4 is expressed in most tissues, recent studies show that the source of plasma DPP4 is primarily from the liver in the mouse (Varin et al., 2019). The DPP4 secreted from the liver participates in inflammation of the liver and adipose tissue and plays an important role in the development of NAFLD (Ghorpade et al., 2018; Varin et al., 2019). Our previous study showed that the levels of DPP4 were elevated in plasma and livers in laying hens with fatty livers (Tsai et al., 2017). Our current data showed that chickens fed with the CLC or LPHFCLC diet demonstrated an increase in plasma DPP4. Although the increase in DPP4 is associated with fatty liver in chickens, the detailed mechanism for the role of DPP4 in the fatty liver of chickens is still unknown.

In this study, we established a short-term chicken model of fatty liver induced by feeding a CLC or LPHFCLC diet for 4 wk. Therefore, fatty liver induced by CLC or LPHFCLC may be used to study fatty liver-associated disease, such as FLHS, or therapies for FLHS in chickens.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by Ministry of Science and Technology, Republic of China (Taiwan) (grant number 109-2321-B-002-055).

DISCLOSURES

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

Ampong, L. A., Watkins, J. Gutierrez-Merino, J. Ikwechje, and H. R. Griffiths. 2020. Dietary protein insufficiency: an important consideration in fatty liver disease? Br. J. Nutr. 123:601–609.

Arab, J. P., M. Arrese, and M. Trauner. 2018. Recent insights into the pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Annu. Rev. Pathol. 13:321–350.

Ayala, I., A. M. Castillo, G. Adamez, A. Fernandez-Rufete, B. G. Perez, and M. T. Castells. 2009. Hyperlipidemic chicken as a model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood) 234:10–16.

Badaloo, A., M. Reid, D. Soares, T. Forrester, and J. F. Ahooor. 2005. Relation between liver fat content and the rate of VLDL apolipoprotein B-100 synthesis in children with protein-energy malnutrition. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 81:1126–1132.

Baumeier, C., L. Schutte, S. Saussenthaler, T. Laeger, M. Rodiger, S. A. Alaze, L. Fritsche, H. U. Haring, N. Stefan, A. Fritsche, R. W. Schwenk, and A. Schurmann. 2017. Elevated hepatic DPP4 activity promotes insulin resistance and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Mol. Metab. 6:1254–1263.

Bortolotti, M., E. Maiolo, M. Corazza, E. Van Dijke, P. Schneiter, A. Boss, G. Carrel, V. Giusti, K. A. Le, D. G. Quo Chong, T. Buehler, R. Kreis, C. Boesch, and L. Tappy. 2011. Effects of a whey protein supplementation on intrahepatocellular lipids in obese female patients. Clin. Nutr. 30:494–498.
Ho, C. M., S. L. Ho, Y. M. Jeng, Y. S. Lai, Y. H. Chen, S. C. Lu, H. L. Chen, P. Y. Chang, R. H. Hu, and P. H. Lee. 2019. Accumulation of free cholesterol and oxidized low-density lipoprotein is associated with portal inflammation and fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J. Inflamm. (Lond.) 167.

Ito, M., T. Kawaguchi, E. Taniguchi, and M. Sata. 2013. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4: a key player in chronic liver disease. World J. Gastroenterol. 19:2298–2306.

Julian, R. J. 2005. Production and growth related disorders and other metabolic diseases of poultry—a review. Vet. J. 169:350–369.

Kelly, L. M., and L. C. Alworth. 2013. Techniques for collecting blood from the domestic chicken. Lab Anim. (NY) 42:359–361.

Krajcovicova-Kudlicakova, M., R. Simonic, A. Bedorova, K. Babinska, and I. Beder. 2000. Correlation of carnitine levels to methionine and lysine intake. Physiol. Res. 49:399–402.

Lalotis, G. P., I. Bizelis, and E. Rogdakis. 2010. Comparative Approach of the de novo Fatty Acid Synthesis (Lipogenesis) between Ruminant and Non Ruminant Mammalian Species: from Biochemical Level to the Main Regulatory Lipogenic Genes. Curr. Genomics 11:168–183.

Lee, B. K., J. S. Kim, H. J. Ahn, J. H. Hwang, J. M. Kim, H. T. Lee, B. K. An, and C. W. Kang. 2010. Changes in hepatic lipid parameters and hepatic messenger ribonucleic acid expression following estradiol administration in laying hens (Gallus domesticus). Poult. Sci. 89:2660–2667.

Letexier, D., C. Pinteur, V. Large, V. Frering, and M. Beylot. 2003. Comparison of the expression and activity of the lipogenic pathway in human and rat adipose tissue. J. Lipid Res. 44:2127–2134.

Levy, A. C., E. K. O’Hea, and K. Chakrabarty. 1968. In vivo lipogenesis in the domestic chicken. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 128:398–401.

Lin, H. Y., C. C. Chen, Y. J. Chen, Y. Y. Lin, H. J. Mersmann, and S. T. Ding. 2014. Enhanced amelioration of high-fat diet-induced fatty liver by docosahexaenoic acid and lysine supplementations. Biomed. Res. Int. 2014:310981.

Livak, K. J., and T. D. Schmittgen. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25:402–408.

Longo, N. M., Frigeni, and M. Pasquali. 2016. Carnitine transport and fatty acid oxidation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1863:2422–2435.

Loomba, R., and A. J. Sanyal. 2013. The global NAFLD epidemic. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 10:686–690.

Maehre, H. K., L. Dalheim, G. K. Edvinsen, E. O. Elvevoll, and I. J. Jensen. 2018. Protein Determination-method Matters. Foods 7:1.

Mete, A., F. Giammitti, B. Barr, L. Woods, and M. Anderson. 2013. Causes of mortality in backyard chickens in northern California: 2007–2011. Avian Dis. 57:311–315.

Mulvihill, E. E. 2018. Dipeptidyl peptidase inhibitor therapy in type 2 diabetes: control of the incretin axis and regulation of postprandial glucose and lipid metabolism. Peptides 100:158–164.

Nargis, T., and P. Chakrabarti. 2018. Significance of circulatory DPP4 activity in metabolic diseases. IJUJB Life 70:112–119.

Nie, L., P. E. Geyer, N. J. Wewer Albrechtsen, L. L. Gluud, A. Santos, S. Doll, P. V. Treit, J. J. Holst, F. K. Knop, T. Vilsboll, A. Junker, M. D. Boldt, and E. J. Parks. 2005. Sources of fatty acids stored in liver and secreted via lipoproteins in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: J. Clin. Invest. 115:1343–1351.

Niu, L., P. E. Geyer, N. J. Wewer Albrechtsen, L. L. Gluud, A. Santos, S. Doll, P. V. Treit, J. J. Holst, F. K. Knop, T. Vilsboll, A. Junker, M. D. Boldt, and E. J. Parks. 2005. Sources of fatty acids stored in liver and secreted via lipoproteins in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: J. Clin. Invest. 115:1343–1351.

Rozenboim, I., J. Mahato, N. A. Cohen, and O. Tirosh. 2016. Low protein and high-energy diet: a possible natural cause of fatty liver hemmorhagic syndrome in caged White Leghorn laying hens. Poult. Sci. 95:612–621.
