Curcuminol inhibits the malignant progression of prostate cancer and regulates the PDK1/AKT/mTOR pathway by targeting miR-9
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Abstract. Curcuminol has been reported to exert anti-tumor activity, but its intrinsic molecular mechanism in prostate cancer remains to be elucidated. The present study aimed to analyze the effect of curcuminol on prostate cancer and identify its possible internal regulatory pathway using in vitro cell culture and in vivo tumor model experiments. The cytotoxicity of curcuminol was detected using a Cell Counting Kit-8 assay and it was found that curcuminol had no obvious toxicity or side effects on RWPE-1 cells. Wound healing, Transwell and flow cytometry assays demonstrated that curcuminol could affect the activity of PC3 cells. The luciferase reporter assay also indicated that microRNA (miR)-9 could directly target pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 (PDK1). After PC3 cells were transfected with miR-9 inhibitor or treated with curcuminol, the expression levels of the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway-related proteins [PDK1, phosphorylated (p)-AKT and p-mTOR] were increased or decreased, respectively. Next, the prostate cancer cell xenograft model was established. Tumor size and the expression levels of PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway-related factors were altered following treatment with curcuminol. The in vitro and in vivo experiments collectively demonstrated that curcuminol could inhibit the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway by upregulating the expression level of miR-9. The present study found that curcuminol regulates the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway via miR-9 and affects the development of prostate cancer. These findings could provide a possible scientific insight for research into treatments for prostate cancer.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is one of the major causes of increased morbidity and mortality worldwide. It is also the most commonly diagnosed type of cancer among men (1). Currently, the main treatments for prostate cancer are surgery, radiation and chemotherapy. Despite improvements in traditional surgical and radiotherapy techniques, the quality of life of patients with prostate cancer remains poor following treatment (2). At present, immunotherapy remains under development and a large number of future clinical trials are required to evaluate its true therapeutic potential (3). Currently androgen blockers are the main therapeutic agents (4). A previous study reported that the long-term use of the novel androgen receptor antagonists can lead to the initiation of drug resistance mechanisms (5). Therefore, the development of additional drug candidates for the treatment of prostate cancer is particularly important.

Curcuminol is a monomer compound isolated from the *Rhizome curcumae*, which possesses anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidation and anti-tumor pharmacological activities (6). Previously, the aromatization of curcuminol derivatives has been reported to exert anti-tumor effects (7). In addition, curcuminol has a therapeutic effect on liver fibrosis (8), liver cancer (9), bladder cancer (10) and lung adenocarcinoma (11). Some researchers have also observed that curcuminol can not only inhibit the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of triple-negative breast cancer (12) but also enhance the drug sensitivity of cancer cells (13). Thus, it has been hypothesized that curcuminol is a promising anti-tumor drug. However, the role of curcuminol in the treatment of prostate cancer remains to be elucidated.

Therefore, the present study aimed to screen curcuminol sensitive prostate cancer cells by verifying the pharmacological activity of curcuminol. At the same time, the internal regulatory pathway of curcuminol on prostate cancer was further investigated via in vitro cell experiments and in vivo animal experiments. The present findings may provide reliable scientific information for the treatment of prostate cancer with curcuminol.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and treatment. Prostate cancer cell lines (PC3, DU145, LNCaP) and normal prostate cells (RWPE-1) were
purchased from Shanghai Zhongqiao Xinzhou Biotechnology Co., Ltd. PC3, DU145, LNCaP and RWPE-1 cells were cultured in F-12 (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), MEM (Shanghai Zhongqiao Xinzhou Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), RPMI-1640 (Shanghai Zhongqiao Xinzhou Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) and special medium (1% keratinocyte growth factor) (Shanghai Zhongqiao Xinzhou Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) respectively, which were supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology), at 37°C with 5% CO₂ and saturated humidity.

In order to screen the cell types and concentration of curcumol (cat. no. B20342, Shanghai YuanYe Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), four types of cells (PC3, DU145, LNCaP and RWPE-1 cells) in the logarithmic growth phase were selected. Curcumol was dissolved into the original solution with a concentration of 10 mg/ml using absolute ethanol and diluted using medium (F-12, MEM, RPMI-1640, or special medium) (14). The specific groups were as follows: 0 µg/ml (treated with 0 µg/ml curcumol), 25 µg/ml (treated with 25 µg/ml curcumol), 50 µg/ml (treated with 50 µg/ml curcumol) and 100 µg/ml (treated with 100 µg/ml curcumol). The cells were treated with these different concentrations of curcumol for 24 h at 37°C.

Based on curcumol concentration screening results, it was found that the comparison between different types of cells had significant statistical significance in the 50 µg/ml group. Therefore, a concentration of 50 µg/ml was chosen. The following groups were established: Control group (treated with the same concentration of absolute ethanol and medium) and a Curcumol group (treated with 50 µg/ml curcumol). The prepared cells were used in the subsequent experiments.

Cell transfection and treatment. To investigate the effects of curcumol and miR-9 on PC3 cells, cells in the logarithmic growth phase were divided into the following groups: Control group (blank control group), negative control (NC) group (transfected with NC inhibitor), a miR-9 inhibitor group (transfected with miR-9 inhibitor), Curcumol group (treated with 50 µg/ml curcumol + NC) and miR-9 inhibitor + curcumol group (treated with miR-9 inhibitor + 50 µg/ml curcumol).

For verify the transfection efficiency of miR-9 mimics, cells were divided into the following groups: NC group (transfected with NC mimics) and miR-9 mimics group (transfected with miR-9 mimics).

The NC inhibitor, miR-9 inhibitor, NC mimics, and miR-9 mimics were purchased from HonorGene. Lipofectamine® 2000 (5 µl; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used for 5 µl miR-9 inhibitor (NC inhibitor) or miR-9 mimics (NC mimics) transfection into cells at 37°C for 6 h. The concentration of miR-9 inhibitor, NC inhibitor, miR-9 mimics and NC mimics was 50 nM. After transfection for 48 h, the curcumol group and miR-9 inhibitor + curcumol group were treated with 50 µg/ml curcumol for 48 h at 37°C. The prepared cells were immediately used in the subsequent experiments. The sequence of has-miR-9 inhibitor was 5’-UCAUACACGCUAGAUAACC AACGA-3’. The sequence of NC inhibitor was 5’-CAGUAC UUUUGUGAUCACA-3’. The sequence of miR-9 mimics was 5’-UAAACGCUAGAUCAGCAAGU-3’. The sequence of NC mimics was 5’-UUCUCCGAACGUGUCAGU-3’.

Cell Counting Kit (CCK)-8 assay. Cell Counting Kit-8 (cat. no. NU679; Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc.) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. The prepared cells were digested and counted. Cells were inoculated in 96-well plates at a density of 1x10⁵ cells/well. In total, five duplicate wells were used. After cells adhered, they were grouped as aforementioned. Drug-containing medium was removed. The prepared CCK-8 solution (final concentration 10 µl/well) was added. After incubation at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 4 h, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a BioTek plate analyzer (BioTek Instruments, Inc.).

Wound healing assay. PC3 cells in the logarithmic growth phase were selected and divided into a Control group (blank control group) and a Curcumol group (50 µg/ml curcumol group). In total, three repeated experiments were set in each group. The 6-well plates were evenly drawn with horizontal lines. Trypsin was utilized to digest PC3 cells. Following cell counting, ~5x10⁵ cells were added to each well. After the cells were added onto the plate, a 100 µl pipette tip was used to create a scratch perpendicular to the horizontal line previously drawn. Sterile PBS was used to wash the cells and it was repeated three times. Serum-free DMEM was added. The following time points were selected: 0, 24 and 48 h and three fields were selected for each time point. The cells were observed under a light microscope at magnification, x100. The culture conditions were 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Transwell assay. For the cell invasion assay, Matrigel,Transwell plates (24-well, 8.0 µm pore membranes) and sterile hypodermic needles were pre-cooled overnight at 4°C in advance. Each well was added with 60 µl diluted matrix gel. After incubation at 37°C for 30 min, the supernatant was removed. Then, 500 µl 10% FBS-complete medium was placed in the lower chamber. Trypsin was utilized to digest cells. Cells were resuspended to 2x10⁵ cells/ml on a serum-free medium and 100 µl cells were added to each well. After incubation at 37°C for 48 h, the upper chamber was removed and washed with PBS. Then, 4% paraformaldehyde was used for fixation at room temperature for 20 min and 0.1% crystal violet was applied for staining at room temperature for 5 min. A light microscope was used for observation, with three fields of view at a magnification, x100. The cavity was decolorized in 500 µl 10% acetic acid solution. An enzyme plate analyzer was used to determine the optical density (OD) value at 550 nm, repeated three times.

Transwell plates were used to create a stationary solution (equal volume mix). After being fixed at room temperature for 20 min by mixing methanol and acetone into a fixed solution, the cells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet at room temperature for 5 min. A light microscope was used for observation, with three fields of view at magnification, x100. Then, 500 µl 10% acetic acid solution was used for decolorization. A microplate analyzer was applied to determine the OD value at 550 nm, repeated three times.
Flow cytometry. The prepared PC3 cells were removed and digested with trypsin (without EDTA). PBS was used to wash the cells and then 1x10^6 cells were collected. The following steps were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions of the Annexin V-FITC cell apoptosis detection kit (cat. no. KGA108; Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co., Ltd.). In total, 500 µl Binding buffer was added to suspend the cells. Then, 5 µl Annexin V-FITC and 5 µl PI were added successively and mixed. The reaction was performed at room temperature in the dark for 10 min. A flow cytometer (A00-1-1102; Beckman Coulter, Inc.) was used for observational detection. The apoptotic rate was calculated (the percentage of early + late apoptotic cells).

Western blotting. Briefly, 200 µl RIPA lysate (cat. no. P0013B; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) was used to extract total protein from 0.025 g tissues or 2x10^5 cells. After lysis of tissue homogenate or cell suspension on ice for 10 min, the supernatant was obtained by centrifugation with 13,280 g at 4°C for 15 min. A BCA Protein Assay Kit (cat. no. P0012S; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) was used to determine protein concentration according to the manufacturer's instructions. The mass of protein loaded per lane was ~20 µg. 10% polyacrylamide gel was configured. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed with a constant voltage of 75 V at room temperature. The protein was transferred to the nitrocellulose membrane at a constant current of 300 mA. Next, 5% skimmed milk was used to block the membrane at 4˚C for 15 min. An ECL solution (cat. no. K-12045-D50; Advantec, Group, Inc.; dilution 1:6,000) were incubated at room temperature. The primary antibody was incubated for 90 min. The secondary antibodies HRP goat anti-mouse IgG (cat. no. SA00001-1; ProteinTech Group, Inc.; dilution 1:5,000) and HRP goat anti-rabbit IgG (cat. no. SA00001-2; ProteinTech Group, Inc.; dilution 1:6,000) were incubated at room temperature for 90 min. ECL solution (cat. no. K-12045-D50; Advansta, Inc.) was used for visualization in a darkroom. Quantity One software (version 4.6.2; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) was used to analyze the protein expression.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). When the cell density reached 80%, total RNA was extracted using TRIzol® (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, the RNA concentration was measured with an ultraviolet spectrophotometer. The mixture was incubated at 50°C for 50 min, followed by 85°C for 5 min and then cooled on ice. HiFiScript cDNA Synthesis kit (cat. no. CW2569M; CWBIO) and SYBR-Green PCR Master Mix (cat. no. CW2601S; CWBIO) were used according to the manufacturer's protocols. A 30 µl amplification system was used, with three replicates and 40 cycles. A two-step method was used for amplification, which included: Extending at 95°C for 15 min, denaturing at 95°C for 15 sec, and annealing at 60°C for 30 sec. The 2^−ΔΔCq value (15) was utilized to reflect the sample gene expression levels relative to the control forward sample. The primer sequences were as follows: H-U6 forward (F), 5'-CTCGCTTCGAGAGCGCACA-3' and reverse (R), 5'-AACGGTTCAGATTGGCTGTG-3', product length 125 bp; hsa-miR-9, 5'-CATTATTACTTTTGATGCG-3'; H-actin F, 5'-ACCTGGAGTACCCCATTGAG-3' and R, 5'-AGCAGACGCTGGATAGCAC-3', product length 224 bp; and H-PDK1 F, 5'-CTTCTGGCCACCCGAGCACA-3' and R, 5'-GCGAAGCCTCCAGAAACTCACA-3', product length 236 bp. These experiments were repeated three times.

Dual luciferase reporter gene assay. The potential binding sites between miR-9 and PD1K were predicted using TargetScan online software (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_72/). After the cells were transfected with NC mimics and miR-9 mimics, the expression of miR-9 was measured for transfection efficiency. Cells were divided into the wild-type (wt)-PD1K + NC mimics group (wt-PD1K + NC), the wt-PD1K + miR-9 mimics group (wt-PD1K + miR-9 mimics), the mutant (Mut)-PD1K + NC mimics group (Mut-PD1K + NC) and the Mut-PD1K + miR-9 mimics group (Mut-PD1K + miR-9 mimics). pHG-MiRTarget-WT PD1K-3U, pHG-MiRTarget-mut PD1K-3U, miR-9 mimics, NC mimics and 293A cells were purchased from HonorGene. According to the instructions of the Dual-Luciferase Reporter assay system (cat. no. E1910; Promega Corporation), 1XPLB lysis buffer, LAR II and Stop&Glo buffer were prepared. After transfection for 48 h, the luciferase activity was determined with a GloMax20/20 chemiluminescence detector (Promega Corporation).

Animal model. A total of 30 BALB/C male nude mice (age, 6 weeks; weight, 13-15 g) were purchased from the SLAC Laboratory. Mice were housed with conditions of 22-24°C, 12 h light/dark cycle and 40-60% relative humidity. The feeding conditions of mice were as described in the previous literature (16). After adaptive feeding for 1 week, the mice were randomly divided into five groups (6 mice in each group): A Control group, an NC group, a miR-9 inhibitor group, a Curcumol group and a miR-9 inhibitor + curcumol group. PC3 cells were transfected with NC inhibitor and miR-9 inhibitor as aforementioned. The mice were subcutaneously injected with 5x10^6 transfected cells. When tumor growth reached 100 mm³, the curcumol administration group received curcumol (20 mg/kg) peritoneal injection every 2 days (13,17). The tumor was measured twice a week. The tumor volume was calculated as length x width^2 x 0.5. When the maximum tumor volume reached 2,000 mm³, the mice were sacrificed via an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital sodium (150 mg/kg). The tumor was removed to prepare for further experiments.

All of the experiments were conducted in accordance with the recommendation and approval of the Animal Welfare Committee of Hunan University of Chinese Medicine (approval no. 2019-0019).

Immunohistochemistry. The tissues were sectioned at 3 µm and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 24 h. The tumor tissue was dewaxed. After EDTA buffer was boiled, the slices were immersed in a boiling water bath for 22 min. Endogenous peroxidase was inactivated with 1% peroxide acid at room temperature for 10 min. Diluted Ki67 (cat. no. ab15580; Rabbit; Abcam)
with dilution 1:100 was added and it was incubated overnight at 4°C. The ready-to-use type of secondary antibody (cat. no. PV-9001; OriGene Technologies, Inc.) was added and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. After DAB color development at room temperature for ~10 sec, the nuclei were stained at room temperature for 1 min. The sections were sealed using neutral resin and observed under a light microscope (BA210; Motic; magnification, x400).

**Statistical analysis.** SPSS 25.0 software (IBM Corp.) and GraphPad 8.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) were used for statistical analysis and the measurement data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Unpaired t-test, one-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA analysis were used. Tukey’s multiple and Sidak’s multiple comparisons tests were employed. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Curcumol cytotoxicity assay and screening of sensitive cells.** To test the cytotoxicity of curcumol and drug sensitivity of prostate cancer cells, PC3, DU145, LNCaP and RWPE-1 cells were treated with different concentrations of curcumol (0, 25, 50 and 100 µg/ml). The CCK-8 results demonstrated that curcumol had no significant effect on the proliferation of RWPE-1 cells. Compared with DU145 and LNCaP cells, PC3 cells were the most sensitive to curcumol (Fig. 1). In the 50 µg/ml group, the comparison between different types of cells had significant statistical significance. Thus, PC3 cells and 50 µg/ml curcumol were selected for subsequent experiments.

**Curcumol inhibits the development of PC3 cells and regulates the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway.** Next, the effect of curcumol on PC3 cells was examined. The wound healing assay results revealed that the migration of PC3 cells in the curcumol group was significantly lower compared with the control group (Fig. 2A). Transwell (Fig. 2B) and flow cytometry (Fig. 2C) assays were conducted to detect the invasion and apoptosis of PC3 cells. The results indicated that curcumol inhibited the invasive activity of PC3 cells and increased their apoptosis rate. Next, the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway was examined at the protein expression level. The results demonstrated that curcumol could decrease the expression level of PDK1. In addition, curcumol inhibited the phosphorylation levels of AKT and mTOR (Fig. 2D).

**miR-9 targets PDK1.** The previous study has reported that curcumol can regulate the expression level of miR-9 (17). The RT-qPCR results suggested that curcumol could upregulate the expression level of miR-9 (Fig. 3A). Following treatment with curcumol, the expression of miR-9 was significantly different in the PC3 cells (Fig. 3C). Following treatment with 50 µg/ml curcumol, the expression of miR-9 was upregulated in three prostate cancer cell lines and the difference of miR-9 expression was most obvious in PC3 cells. PC3 cells were treated with different concentrations of curcumol (0, 25, 50 and 100 µg/ml). The expressions of miR-9 increased with the increase of curcumol concentration (Fig. 3B). This suggested that curcumol could promote the expression of miR-9. No significant difference was observed in the level of miR-9 between the 50 µg/ml group and 100 µg/ml groups. Therefore, 50 µg/ml curcumol was used in the subsequent experiments. After the cells were transfected with NC mimics and miR-9 mimics, the expression of miR-9 was measured for transfection efficiency (Fig. 3D). Based on the TargetScan online software prediction, it was identified that miR-9 might have a direct targeting relationship with PDK1 (Fig. 3E). Therefore, a luciferase reporter assay was utilized to verify the relationship between miR-9 and PDK1. The results revealed that miR-9 could directly target PDK1 (Fig. 3F).

**Curcumol regulates the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway and affects the activity of PC3 cells via miR-9.** To determine whether the regulation of the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway by curcumol was mediated by regulating the expression of miR-9, PC3 cells were transfected with miR-9 inhibitor and treated with curcumol. The successful transfection of miR-9 was verified via RT-qPCR (Fig. 4A). It was found that the expression level of PDK1 in the miR-9 inhibitor group was significantly higher compared with that in the control and NC groups. Compared with the miR-9 inhibitor group, the expression level of PDK1 in the miR-9 inhibitor + Curcumol group was significantly decreased (Fig. 4B). This suggested that curcumol could affect the expression of PDK1 via miR-9. The results at the protein level also indicated that curcumol could regulate the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway via miR-9 (Fig. 4C). In addition, the experimental results of CCK-8 (Fig. 5A), Transwell (Fig. 5B and C) and flow cytometry (Fig. 5D) assays further indicated that curcumol could affect the activity of PC3 cells via miR-9.

**Curcumol inhibits tumor growth in mice.** In order to evaluate the effect of curcumol on tumor formation in nude mice, relevant animal models were constructed and treated with curcumol. Tumor volume was measured at different time points in vivo (Fig. 6A). The results demonstrated that curcumol significantly inhibited tumor growth. Compared with the curcumol group, the tumor volume of the miR-9 inhibitor + curcumol group was significantly increased. It was hypothesized that curcumol inhibited tumor growth via miR-9. Following removal, the
volume and mass of the tumor were also consistent with this hypothesis (Fig. 6B and C).

Curcumol inhibits the development of prostate cancer and the activation of the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway via miR-9. To further verify the effect of miR-9 on curcumol in prostate cancer, a series of experimental tests were performed on the removed tumor bodies. RT-qPCR was used to verify the expression level of miR-9 in each group (Fig. 7A). Examination of the gene and protein expression levels revealed that the miR-9 inhibitor could alleviate the inhibition of curcumol on PDK1, p-AKT and p-mTOR expression (Fig. 7B and C). Thus, in vivo, it was hypothesized that the miR-9 inhibitor might reduce the inhibitory effect of curcumol on the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway. Ki67 expression (Fig. 7D) indicated the inhibition of curcumol on prostate cancer. Combined with the above experimental results, miR-9 could mediate curcumol to inhibit the development of prostate cancer cells and the PDK1/AKT/mTOR pathway, at least in part.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that curcumol had no cytotoxicity or side effects on normal prostate cells. The inhibitory
Figure 3. miR-9 targets PDK1. (A) Gene expression level of miR-9. (B) Following treatment with different concentrations of curcumol (0, 25, 50, and 100 µg/ml) for 24 h, the expression of miR-9 was determined. (C) Expression of miR-9 in prostate cancer cell lines (PC3, DU145, and LNCaP). (D) Following transfection with NC mimics and miR-9 mimics, the expression of miR-9 was determined. (E) Diagram of PDK1 and miR-9 interactions. (F) Luciferase reporter assay examining miR-9 and PDK1. 1-test, one-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA analysis were used. *P<0.05 vs. Control group; &P<0.05 vs. 0 µg/ml; $P<0.05 vs. NC group; #P<0.05 vs. wt + NC group. miR, microRNA; PDK1, pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1; NC, negative control; wt, wild-type; Mut, mutant.

Figure 4. Curcumol regulates the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway via miR-9. (A and B) Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR results. (C) Western blotting results. One-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA analysis were used. *P<0.05 vs. control group; #P<0.05 vs. miR-9 inhibitor group; &P<0.05 vs. Curcumol group. PDK1, pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1; miR, microRNA; p-, phosphorylated.
effect on prostate cancer cells was clear. PC3, LNCaP and DU145 cells demonstrated different drug sensitivities to curcumol. In a previous study examining the sensitivity of prostate cancer cells to docetaxel, it was reported that rapamycin could resist docetaxel-induced apoptosis and that rapamycin can induce autophagy in PC3 cells but not in DU145 cells (18). Pickard et al. (19) also demonstrated that docetaxel produced significant toxicity in PC3 cells, but no toxicity was observed in LNCaP cells. The studies above reveal that PC3 cell lines are more sensitive to drug toxicity compared with LNCaP and DU145 cell lines. This was consistent with the findings of the present study that PC3 cells were more sensitive to curcumol than LNCaP and DU145 cell lines. Combined with the expression of miR-9 in different cell lines, it is reasonable to hypothesize that the sensitivity of these three prostate cancer cell lines (PC3, LNCaP and DU145 cell lines) to curcumol is different, which may be mediated by miR-9. However, the specific mechanism by which curcumol regulates miR-9 remains to be elucidated.

Curcumol, a naturally extracted drug, has been used in the study of a variety of types of cancer. In bladder cancer cells, curcumol can affect cell proliferation and apoptosis by targeting enhancer of zeste homolog 2 and regulating the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway (10). Curcumol can affect cell proliferation by increasing reactive oxygen species, decreasing mitochondrial membrane potential and downregulating isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 in gastric adenocarcinoma (20). In hepatocellular carcinoma cells, curcumol inhibits the expression of programmed cell death-ligand 1 through hypoxia-inducible factor-1α and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 signaling pathways, restoring the tumor-killing ability of cytotoxic T cells (9). All these suggested that curcumol has a certain anti-tumor activity. The regulatory pathway of curcumol may be different in different cell types. In addition, curcumol may be a developmental neurotoxic substance that may affect the neurites' growth via the neural cell adhesion molecule/focal adhesion kinase signaling pathway (21). Wang et al. (22) also reported that curcumol had a certain inhibitory effect on CD4+ T cells. Collectively, these results suggest that curcumol may affect the body's anti-tumor immune regulation in vivo and hinder its anti-tumor activity. Notably, a previous study has shown
that curcumol can induce cancer cell cycle arrest by inhibiting the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor/PI3K/AKT pathway (23). Curcumol inhibits the development of melanoma (17) and colorectal cancer (14) through PI3K/AKT pathway. All these studies suggest that curcumol may affect tumor development through the PI3K/AKT pathway, at least in part. The results of the present study also demonstrated that curcumol inhibited the development of prostate cancer and regulated the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway. Thus, the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway might be a possible pathway for curcumol to affect the development of prostate cancer.

The present study further investigated the internal regulatory pathways of curcumol inhibition of PC3 cell activity. The results indicated that curcumol could significantly upregulate the expression level of miR-9. It has been revealed that miR-9 was differentially expressed in young and elderly patients with prostate cancer (24). Thus, miR-9 may be involved in the development of prostate cancer. A previous study has shown that miR-9 can promote the development of multiple myeloma by regulating the tripartite motif-containing protein 56/NF-κB pathway (25). miR-9 can promote cell migration and invasion of synovial osteosarcoma by directly targeting E-cadherin (26). miR-9 can induce angiogenesis by targeting sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 1 (27). All these results suggest that miR-9 may be an oncogene. By contrast, the current study found that in PC3 cells, curcumol could regulate the downstream signaling pathway by upregulating the expression of miR-9, thus affecting the proliferation, migration, invasion and apoptosis of PC3 cells. Some studies have shown that miR-9 can inhibit cancer. Wang et al (28) also reported that miR-9 could inhibit colorectal cancer cell invasion and EMT by targeting forkhead box P2. miR-9 could inhibit the proliferation and invasion of pancreatic cancer.
miR-9 in exosomes can inhibit angiogenesis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma by targeting Midkine (30). These findings support the conclusion of the current study that miR-9 could also act as a tumor suppressor gene to alleviate tumor progression. Therefore, it was concluded that the internal regulatory mechanism of miR-9 is different in different environments and that its role will also be different.

The in vivo and in vitro results demonstrated that miR-9 at least partly mediated the inhibitory effect of curcumol on prostate cancer. Following prediction analysis using the TargetScan website and luciferase reporter assays, it was identified that miR-9 could directly target PDK1. The present study found that the expression level of PDK1 in PC3 cells was significantly altered after curcumol treatment. In glioblastoma, it has been reported that activation of the PDK1/c-Jun pathway can induce EMT and promote the proliferation and invasion of tumor cells (31). In a related study of hypopharyngeal cancer, PDK1 has been shown to induce EMT and promote cancer cell invasion (32). In ovarian cancer, PDK1 can regulate tumor-mesothelial adhesion and angiogenesis, as well as promoting cancer cell invasion (33). However, reduced PDK1
expression can inhibit the proliferation, migration and EMT of renal cancer cells (34). These findings were similar to the results of the current study, that the expression level of PDK1 in cancer cells was higher compared with that in the curcumol treatment group. By contrast, PDK1 acts as an independent driver of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway (35). This further confirms the hypothesis of the present study, that curcumin regulated the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway via miR-9 and affected the progression of prostate cancer.

Considering the limited experimental conditions, there remain several deficiencies in the current study. Due to limited time and funding availability, it did not include the pharmacokinetics of non-injected curcumin in humans. At present, the specific mechanism of the resistance of different prostate cancer cell lines to curcumin is unable to be fully determined due to a shortage of funds. The mechanism of miR-9 upregulation caused by curcumin therapy has not been fully elucidated due to limitations of the experimental conditions. The question of whether inhibition of the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway is the cause of inhibition of curcumin-mediated prostate cancer cell proliferation has not yet been completely determined. These unanswered questions will be the focus of future research. Future studies will further examine the mechanism of action and drug resistance of curcumin in prostate cancer cells. The regulatory mechanism between curcumin and miR-9 will also be further studied, as will the role of the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway in the influence of curcumin on the progression of prostate cancer cells. The pharmacokinetics and bioavailability data of curcumin in the human body also will be further collect.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that curcumin could upregulate the expression level of miR-9 and inhibit the activity of PC3 cells. It was identified that miR-9 could directly target PDK1 and regulate the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway. Therefore, curcumin regulated the PDK1/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway and inhibited the development of prostate cancer through miR-9. These findings provided a reliable scientific basis for the treatment of prostate cancer with curcumin.
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