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SUMMARY

Twenty-five Barki lambs with an average body weight of 25 ±0.5Kg, at 8-months of age, were divided into 5-groups of 5-animals each, according to their live body weight in growth trial lasted for 90 days to study effect of replacing yellow corn by beet pulp in lambs diets with or without fibrolytic enzymes on carcass characteristics and meat quality. First group (G1) was fed the control ration, which consisted of concentrate feed mixture (CFM) and Alfalfa hay; second group (G2) was fed a ration where 50% of the corn was replaced with sugar beet pulp (SBP); third group (G3) was fed a ration where 75% of corn was replaced with SBP; fourth group (G4) was fed the same ration as G2 but supplemented with 4 g/head/day of fibrolytic enzymes and fifth group (G5) was fed the same ration as G3 but supplemented with 4 g/head/day of fibrolytic enzymes, like G4. Result showed that final slaughtered body weight (FSBW) was higher in G5 and G4 compared to other groups. However, the difference was significant (P<0.05) for G5 (45.2Kg) compared with the other groups. Significant main effects for enzyme supplementation, and SBP substitution at 50% and 75%, were noted on FSBW. Dressing percentage was similar for all groups, but the amount of protein in meat was higher in animals fed rations without enzymes compared to the control group. Eye muscle (longesmus dorsi) was significantly different for G5 compared with the control group. The colour of meat was the same for all groups, but protein content in meat was significantly higher for G5 compared to the other groups. It may be concluded that SBP may substitute yellow corn at 50 and 75% in Barki lambs ration with enzyme positively effecting FSBW, as well as protein content in meat.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the crucial aims in livestock feeding is to promote the use of local feeds and by-products in order to decrease feed cost (Guessous et al., 1989 and Lanza et al., 2001). Change in production system, aiming for increasing animal productivity and profitability is desirable, if meat quality and consumer acceptance is maintained (Arsenos et al., 2002 and Santos-silva et al., 2002). Nutritional factors have significant effects on the biochemical, structural and metabolic characteristics of muscles and on the dietetic value and sensory qualities of meat from ruminants (Geay et al., 2001). Changing traditional production systems may enhance the eating quality of sheep meat (Arsenos et al., 2002).

Sugar beet pulp (SBP) is a common by-product results from sugar industry and is widely used in animal nutrition as a source of energy (Fadel, 1999). Dried sugar beet pulp is characterized by high content of soluble fiber 19% (pectins, glucans), which is fermented primarily to acetate (Hall et al., 2000). Therefore, SBP is a candidate for partially substituting cereals in ruminant diets (Richardson et al., 2003; Norman et al., 2005).

Diets rich in wheat or barley decrease the firmness of subcutaneous adipose tissue owing to the high propionate concentration in the rumen. While dried beet pulp produces more acetate and less propionate in rumen and hence limits this problem. (Matray et al. 1994). The partial or total substitution of cereals by sugar beet pulp was investigated in several experiments with positive or negative effects (Normand et al., 1999 and Berthelot et al. 2001).

Exogenous fibrolytic enzymes have been used to improve the nutritive value of fiber-rich diets and subsequently the performance of cattle (El-wakeel et al., 2007) sheep (Cruywagan and Vanzyl, 2008) and goats (Stella et al., 2007). Meanwhile this can be achieved by direct feeding of these enzymes which increased DMI, passage rate and digestibility of DM, NDF and ADF (Stakes, 1992). Beauchemin et al.
Rahmy et al. (2003) found that applying exogenous fibrolytic enzyme in ruminant rations improved feed digestibility. It had been shown that interaction between a pre-feeding enzyme and feed enhanced the beneficial effects of enzymes on ruminal fermentation (Giraldo et al., 2004). However, the addition of enzyme to diet immediately before feeding or direct addition of enzymes to ruminants has received considerably less attention.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the effect of partial substitution of yellow corn by dried sugar beet pulp at levels of 50 and 75 % supplemented or not with oral fibrolytic enzymes on some Carcass parameters and chemical composition of meat in growing Barki lambs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals, diets and enzyme**

Twenty-five growing male Barki lambs (25±0.5 Kg of BW) were used in this experiment. The animals were housed in groups (5 groups of 5 lambs each). The animals had continuous access to fresh water and vitamin-mineral block. The experiment lasted for 90 days. The animals were weighed biweekly to record the body weight and to calculate the average daily body gain and feed efficiency.

Ingredients and chemical composition of the diets are shown in (Table 1). The diets were formulated according to NRC (1985) guidelines. The diets were offered to the animals twice daily (9am and 4pm) to cover the total requirements according to NRC (1985). The experimental diets were formulated to test the effect of yellow corn grain substitution by dried sugar beet pulp (DSBP) (50 and 75 % by weight) on performance of lambs. The control diet (G1) consisted of a concentrate feed mixture (70 %) along with alfalfa hay (30%). The experimental diets G2, G3, G4, G5, contained the yellow corn in concentrate feed mixture substituted by DSBP at 50% with and without oral fibrolytic enzyme or substituted at 75% with and without oral fibrolytic enzyme respectively. Oarts were collected just before the next morning feeding. Alfalfa hay was the main source of roughage.

**Enzyme mixture**

An exogenous fibrolytic enzyme mixture, Miami, Fl 33145, recommended by AGRANCO CORP. (USA) was used to indicate its effects on performance of lambs. The mixture was orally given to each animal in G4 and G5 (4 g/d) just before second meal.

**Chemical analysis.**

Feeds were analyzed for proximate analyses according to (A.O.A.C., 1995) and nitrogen free extract was calculated by difference. Chemical composition of the experimental rations is shown in Table (1).

| Table (1). Chemical composition of the ingredients, experimental concentrates feed mixture and ration (on DM basis). |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Item                          | DM  | OM  | CP  | CF  | EE  | NFE | Ash  |
| Ingredients                   |      |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| Clover  Hay                   | 90.76| 88.68| 16.80| 19.42| 4.25| 48.21| 11.32 |
| Sugar beet pulp               | 90.84| 96.46| 8.97 | 18.91| 1.29| 67.29| 3.54  |
| Experimental CFM              | 90.84| 90.02| 12.99| 5.88 | 2.64| 68.51| 9.98  |
| (G1)                          |      |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| CFM, G2&4                     | 91.42| 89.91| 12.91| 8.11 | 2.21| 65.68| 11.09 |
| CFM, G3&5                     | 91.53| 89.5 | 12.46| 9.18 | 1.98| 65.88| 10.5  |
| Experimental rations          |      |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| G1-ration                     | 90.87| 90.33| 14.35| 10.69| 3.21| 62.08| 9.67  |
| G2&G4                         | 91.26| 89.57| 14.21| 11.91| 2.89| 60.56| 10.43 |
| G3&G5                         | 91.13| 89.76| 13.88| 12.59| 2.73| 60.56| 10.24 |

Group (G1) control,G2 beet pulp with50%replacement of yellow corn, G3 beet pulp with 75%replacement of yellow corn, G4 beet pulp with 50%replacement of yellow corn with enzyme, G5 beet pulp with 75%replacement of yellow corn with enzyme.
Experimental procedures and sampling

After 90 days of the experiment, three animals from each group were slaughtered in a slaughterhouse to measure the different carcass traits.

The lambs were slaughtered by exsanguination using conventional Islamic procedure after 16 h feed deprivation. Before slaughter, the live body weight was recorded. After complete bleeding, the animals were skinned and external organs (head, feet and skin) were weighed. Stripping, legging, dressing and evisceration were performed by adopting the standard procedures described by Gerrand (1964). The weight of hot carcasses and organs were recorded. The dressing percentage was obtained as percent of hot carcass weight to pre-slaughter weight.

Determination of chemical analysis of L. dorsi muscle (moisture, protein, fat and collagen) and physical characteristics were carried out in the Research Park, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

Meat chemical analysis was performed using Food Scan™ Pro meat analyzer (Foss Analytical A/S, Model 78810, Denmark).

According to the manufacturer's instructions about 50 - 100 gm of raw meat (obtained from the 9th rib) were minced and put in the meat analyzer cup. The cup was inserted into the meat analyzer for scanning sample with infra-red to determine the chemical components.

Meat color was measured using Chroma meter (Konica Minolta, model CR 410, Japan) calibrated with a white plate and light trap supplied by the manufacturer. Color was expressed using the color instrumental parameters (CIE) L*, a*, and b* color system (Yuksel et al. 2011). A total of three spectral readings were taken for each sample on different locations of the muscle. L* (lightness) values measure (higher L* value indicates a white color, while lower L* value indicates a black color); a* values measure redness (positive a* value indicates a reddish color, while negative value measures greenish); and b* values measure yellowness (positive b* value indicates a more yellowish color, while negative value measures blueish). It is well known that higher values of lightness and positive one of redness and yellowness indicate that the meat is better than lower values of lightness and negative values of redness and yellowness (Honikel, 1998).

Statistical analysis

Collected data were subjected to statistical analysis using two way analysis SAS (1996) according to the following model: \( Y_{ij} = \mu + B_i + E_j + BE_{ij} + E_{ijk} \); where: \( \mu \) is the overall mean of \( Y_{ij} \); \( B_i \) is the beet pulp level \( i = 1 \) and 2; \( E_j \) is the enzyme level where \( j = 1 \) and 2; \( BE_{ij} \) is the interaction between beet pulp and enzyme level; \( E_{ijk} \) the experimental random error. The differences among means were separate according to Duncan’s New Multiple Range test (Duncan’s, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of the experimental rations on carcass characteristics

Dressing percentage and eye muscle

Carcass characteristics of the slaughtered lambs are given in Tables (2) and (3). Slaughter weights and hot carcass weights were slightly higher for lambs fed the 75% SBP ration with Hydroenzime (G5). However, its dressing percentage was the lowest. There were no significant differences in the yields of edible offal of lambs fed the different rations.

There are no significant differences were observed in the dressing percentages of all rations (Table 2). However, El-Badawi and El-Kady (2006) reported that the dressing percentage was much better for lambs fed 50% SBP than those fed a commercial diet. McAllister et al. (2000) reported that enzyme supplementation, to concentrate rations, resulted in slight decrease in the dressing percentage than lambs fed concentrate rations without adding enzymes. The eye muscle weights are shown in Tables(2, 3). The G4 group (50% SBP with Hydroenzime) had the highest eye muscle weight (200 g). This weight was significantly higher than the control group (152.5) and G3 group (127.5 g). The weight of the bone of the eye muscle weight was significantly higher in G2 and G5 than the other groups.
Table 2. Carcass characteristics of lambs fed the experimental rations.

| Item                                | Experimental ration group | G1   | G2   | G3   | G4   | G5   | P value | SE    |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|-------|
| Fasting body weight, kg             |                           | 42.5 | 42.3 | 41.9 | 46   | 46.9 | 0.088   | 9.41  |
| After-slaughter weight, kg          |                           | 40.7 | 40.2 | 40.2 | 42.6 | 45.2 | 0.109   | 1.02  |
| Hot carcass weight, kg              |                           | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.6 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 0.134   | 4.15  |
| Dressing percentage, %             |                           | 48.9 | 49.4 | 49.16| 49.13| 48.18|---------|-------|
| Edible offals weights, g           | Liver                     | 665  | 610  | 627  | 595  | 585  | 0.210   | 1.818 |
|                                    | Heart                     | 145  | 127  | 137  | 145  | 135  | 0.061   | 0.89  |
|                                    | De-fatted kidneys         | 105  | 105  | 105  | 100  | 120  | 0.306   | 1.558 |
|                                    | Spleen                    | 55   | 52.5 | 55   | 45   | 47   | 0.102   | 0.22  |
| Dissected carcass traits           | Eye muscle weight, g (longesmus dorsi) | 152.5 | 170bc| 127.5 | 200a | 167.75 | 0.019 | 0.83 |
|                                    | Bone of eye muscle weight, g | 107.5 | 125a | 85c  | 97b  | 122.25 | 0.0011 | 0.37 |

* a, b, … means in the same column for each main effect or interaction followed by different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). G1: control ration, G2: sugarbeet pulp replacing 50% of the corn, G3: sugarbeet pulp replacing 75% of the corn, G4: sugarbeet pulp replacing 50% of the corn plus Hydroenzime, G5: sugarbeet pulp replacing 75% of the corn plus Hydroenzime.

Table 3. Carcass characteristics of lambs due to main effect of sugar beet pulp and hydroenzime.

| Item                                | SBP main effect | Hydroenzime main effect |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Item                                | SBP 50% | SBP 75% | P value | SE | Without | With | P value | SE |
| Fasting body weight, g              | 44.13   | 44.4   | 0.860   | 1.28 | 42.1b   | 46.5a | 0.02    | 8.35 |
| After-slaughter weight, g           | 41.4    | 42.7   | 0.388   | 1.16 | 40.2b   | 43.9a | 0.038   | 8.79 |
| Hot carcass weight, g               | 21.3    | 21.6   | 0.553   | 3.86 | 20.7b   | 22.2a | 0.026   | 3.02 |
| Dressing percentage, %             | 49.26   | 48.67  |         |     | 49.28   | 48.65 |         |     |
| Liver                               | 602.5   | 606.25 | 0.889   | 1.57 | 618.75  | 590   | 0.3101  | 1.355|
| Heart                               | 136.25  | 136.5  | 0.951   | 0.37 | 132.5   | 140.25| 0.092   | 0.3912|
| De-fatted kidneys                   | 102.5   | 112.5  | 0.215   | 0.45 | 105     | 110   | 0.514   | 0.485|
| Spleen                              | 48.75   | 51     | 0.265   | 0.203| 53.75a  | 46b   | 0.005   | 0.115|
| Dissected carcass traits            | Eye muscle (longesmus dorsi) wt, g | 185a   | 147.625b| 0.0007| 0.947 | 148.75b| 183.88a| 0.0011| 0.98 |
|                                    | Bone of eye muscle, wt, g | 111.25a | 103.63b| 0.021 | 0.747 | 105    | 109.88 | 0.094 | 0.750|

* a, b, … means in the same column for each main effect or interaction followed by different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). G1: control ration, G2: sugarbeet pulp replacing 50% of the corn, G3: sugarbeet pulp replacing 75% of the corn, G4: sugarbeet pulp replacing 50% of the corn plus Hydroenzime, G5: sugarbeet pulp replacing 75% of the corn plus Hydroenzime.

Colour of meat

One of the carcass characteristics is colour of the slaughtered lamb’s meat. The meat colour parameters are presented in Table (4). No significant differences were observed among groups in lightness (L) and redness (a) of the meat. However, the group that received 75 % SBP (G3) had significantly (P ≤ 0.05) more yellowish (b) meat than all other groups. In same context, Yuksel et al., (2011) where they reported non-significant differences in redness of meat among a control group and groups receiving 4 or 8 % sugar beet pulp. They also stated that the yellowness of meat was significantly (P<0.01) higher in sugar beet pulp than the control diet.
Table (4). Colour of lambs meat fed on the experimental rations.

| Item                        | Colour | Lightness(L) | Redness(a) | Yellowness(b) |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| **SBP main effect**         |        |              |            |               |
| 50%                         | 40.85  | 16.59        | 3.4850     |
| 75%                         | 42.22  | 16.79        | 4.7300     |
| P value                     | 0.3828 | 0.6304       | 0.0251     |
| SE                          | 1.0244 | 0.2706       | 0.2822     |
| **Hydroenzime main effect** |        |              |            |               |
| Without                     | 42.67  | 16.71        | 4.55       |
| With                        | 40.41  | 16.67        | 3.67       |
| P value                     | 0.1703 | 0.9185       | 0.0809     |
| SE                          | 1.0453 | 0.2317       | 0.3505     |
| **Interaction between SBP and Hydroenzime** | |            |            |               |
| G1                          | 41.41  | 15.94        | 3.22       |
| G2                          | 43.00  | 16.42        | 3.685b     |
| G3                          | 42.34  | 17.01        | 5.41a      |
| G4                          | 38.71  | 16.78        | 3.285b     |
| G5                          | 42.11  | 16.57        | 4.05b      |
| P value                     | 0.4172 | 0.2802       | 0.0159     |
| SE                          | 1.158  | 0.28809      | 0.2408     |

* a, b, … means in the same column for each main effect or interaction followed by different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05). 

G1: control ration, G2: sugar beet pulp replacing 50% of the corn, G3: sugar beet pulp replacing 75% of the corn, G4: sugar beet pulp replacing 50% of the corn plus Hydroenzime, G5: sugar beet pulp replacing 75% of the corn plus Hydroenzime.

Chemical composition of meat

The chemical composition of the meat is presented in Table (5). The collagen level of the group fed 50 % SBP (G2) was significantly higher than the other four groups. Also the groups fed 50 % SBP had significantly higher collagen percentage than those fed 75% SBP. The groups fed Hydroenzime had significantly lower collagen than the groups that were not fed the Hydroenzime.

The fat percentage of the meat of the group fed 50 % SBP (G2) was significantly higher than all other groups. Similar results were reported by El-Badawi and El-Kady (2006) when using 50 % SBP. However, the groups fed 75 % SBP had significantly lower fat level than those fed 50 % SBP (Table 5).

The protein percentage of the meat of the group fed 75 % SBP with Hydroenzime (G5) was significantly higher than the control group and G2 and G3 groups. This contradicts the results of Bodas et al., (2007) who reported no significant differences in the protein percentages among groups of lambs fed on different sugar beet pulp levels. No significant differences were observed between the groups fed Hydroenzime and the groups that were not fed Hydroenzime.

CONCLUSION

The different finishing diets used for Barki lambs had acceptable performance in terms of dressing percent, eye muscle, chemical and color of the meat. Supplying fibrolytic enzymes (Hydroenzime) to the rations improved lamb performance. It was concluded that the best results were obtained when 50 or 75 %of the corn was substituted with dried sugar beet pulp and adding Hydroenzime (4gm/h/d). It may be recommended, for sheep producers, to add Hydroenzime to improve their animal performance significantly.
Table (5). Chemical composition of lambs meat fed on the experimental rations.

| Item                                | Chemical composition % |            |            |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                     |                        | Collagen   | Fat        | Protein    |
| **SBP main effect**                 |                        |            |            |            |
| 50%                                 | 1.37\text{a}          | 5.93\text{a}| 20.95\text{b} |
| 75%                                 | 1.17\text{b}          | 4.55\text{b}| 21.65\text{b} |
| P value                             | 0.0385                 | 0.0393     | 0.0202     |
| SE                                  | 0.088                  | 0.559      | 0.1359     |
| **Hydroenzyme main effect**         |                        |            |            |            |
| Without                             | 1.39\text{a}          | 5.57       | 21.29      |
| With                                | 1.14\text{b}          | 4.91       | 21.3       |
| P value                             | 0.0126                 | 0.2562     | 0.9846     |
| SE                                  | 0.0717                 | 0.595      | 0.2027     |
| **Interaction between SBP and Hydroenzyme** |                        |            |            |            |
| G1                                  | 1.15\text{b}          | 4.79\text{b}| 20.67\text{c} |
| G2                                  | 1.58\text{a}          | 7.31\text{a}| 21.12\text{bc} |
| G3                                  | 1.15\text{b}          | 4.54\text{b}| 20.77\text{bc} |
| G4                                  | 1.22\text{b}          | 3.83\text{b}| 21.47\text{ab} |
| G5                                  | 1.13\text{b}          | 5.28\text{b}| 21.83\text{b} |
| P value                             | 0.0065                 | 0.014      | 0.0199     |
| SE                                  | 0.0725                 | 0.4099     | 0.1507     |

\*\* means in the same column for each main effect or interaction followed by different superscripts are significantly different (p <0.05). G1: control ration, G2: sugar beet pulp replacing 50% of the corn, G3: sugar beet pulp replacing 75% of the corn, G4: sugar beet pulp replacing 50% of the corn plus Hydroenzime, G5: sugar beet pulp replacing 75% of the corn plus Hydroenzime.
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مواصفات الدهنية وجودة اللحم لحملن البرقى المغذاة على علاقت محتوية على تقلي بنجر السكر الجاف. مع أو بدن الزيم تحليل الألياف

حسن عوني فؤاد رحمي و هشام عبد الجواد البنا و جلال عبد العزيز و عادل عبد محمود

قسم الإنتاج الحيواني، كلية الزراعة، جامعة القاهرة

استخدم عدد 25 حمل برقي بمتوسط وزن 26 كجم ومستوى عمر 8 شهور، قسمت إلى خمس مجموعات (خمس حيوانات في كل مجموعة) حسب وزن الجسم واستمرت التجربة لدورة 90 يوما. وذلك لدراسة تأثير استبد الزينة النازجة بنجر السكر في الزيت عصب والبنجر. إضافة أنزيمات تحليل الألياف على مواصفات الدهنية وجودة اللحم؛ تتكون عينة المقارنة (العلاقة الأولية) من مخلوط علب مركزة مع رد الفعل الريسي المجاوري. الزيتات الثانية هي عينة المقارنة مع استبدال 50% من الزينة النازجة بنجر السكر الجاف، الزيتات الثالثة: عينة المقارنة مع استبدال 75% من الزينة النازجة بنجر السكر الجاف، الزيتات الرابعة: عينة المقارنة مع استبدال 50% من الزينة النازجة بنجر السكر الجاف، الزيتات الخامسة: عينة المقارنة مع استبدال 75% من الزينة النازجة بنجر السكر الجاف

أوضح النتائج أن وزن الجسم النهائي كان أعلى في المجموعة 4 و المجموعة 3 مقارنة مع المجموعات الأخرى. وكان الوزن بالتنزه أعلى معنويًا (P<0.05) في المجموعة 4 مقارنة مع المجموعات الأخرى. وبذلك في حالة إضافة هيدروازيم كان هناك كبيرا مقارنة مع عدم وجود هيدروازيم. أيضا العضلة العنبية (longesmus) سجلت فرق معنوية بين المجموعة 4 و عينة المقارنة كونها 167.75 جم و 152.5 جم على التوالي. ولذلك كان أن مهمل تقل بنجر السكر الجاف سجل فرق معنوية للعضلة العنبية بين مستويين 50 % 75% و 100% جم على التوالي. بينما سجлы إضافة الزيتة فروق معنوية عالية (188.67 جم) مقابلة بعد إضافة الزيتة فينlays (148.75 جم). لوحظ أنه لا يوجد فروق معنوية فيlon النح في المجموعات. بينما سجلت نسبة البروتين في اللحم فرق معنوية في المجموعة 4 مقارنة بالجميع الأخرى.

ومن هذه النتائج يمكن أن استبدال الزينة النازجة بنجر السكر الجاف في علاقات الأغذية البرقى بنسبة 50%, 75% مع اضافة الزيم fibrolytic

لهما تأثير إيجابي على نسبة التصافي ونسبة البروتين في اللحم.