Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals the Sexually Divergent Host–Wolbachia Interaction Patterns in a Fig Wasp
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Abstract: Wolbachia are widely distributed in arthropods and nematodes, acquiring nutrients from the hosts, and inducing remarkable reproductive modulations on the hosts. To investigate the interaction of Wolbachia and insects, Wolbachia are often artificially eliminated from Wolbachia-infected hosts, which may produce negative effects of antibiotics. In the present study, based on the transcriptomic data of a fig wasp species Ceratosolen solmsi with two sibling lineages, one natively infected and the other noninfected with Wolbachia, we investigated the expression patterns of genes. The comparison results of differently expressed genes (DEGs) between Wolbachia infected and noninfected samples show that males have many more DEGs than females. The male unique upregulated genes are enriched in biological processes mainly related to biosynthesis, transport, positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling, MAPK cascade, and pathogenesis; the male unique downregulated genes are enriched in biological processes mainly related to transport, oxidation-reduction, cellular responses to oxidative stress, lipid oxidation, cytoskeleton organization, actin filament-based process, and localization. In addition, for the Wolbachia’s gene expression, the number of genes up-regulated in males is higher than that in females. The results revealed divergent patterns of the host–Wolbachia interactions between males and females in the fig wasp species.
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1. Introduction

The maternally inherited alpha-proteobacteria Wolbachia pipientis is an obligate endosymbiotic bacterium and has a widespread and global distribution in filarial nematodes and diverse arthropod hosts [1]. To increase the transmission and propagation, Wolbachia induces a wide range of physiological manipulations in the hosts, including the modulation of the reproductive system, such as the cytoplasmic incompatibility, feminization, parthenogenesis, and male killing [1]. In recent years, various studies have shown that Wolbachia can be beneficial to the insect hosts in diverse aspects, such as increasing the hosts’ fecundity, longevity and survival, nutritional provision, and enhancing the hosts’ antiviral ability [2]. In some cases, Wolbachia show influences on the genomic divergence of hosts’ mitochondria, implicating the speciation of hosts [3]. Some recent studies have also tried to understand the interactions between Wolbachia and insect hosts in the aspect of gene expression. For example, in Drosophila, host genes, age, tissue, genotype, and virus infection can regulate the titer of Wolbachia [4–7]. Additionally, the regulation of one or two genes in the Wolbachia can cause remarkable phenotypic influence such as cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) on the hosts [8,9]. To better understand the biological interaction between a mosquito, Aedes fluviatilis, and its native infected Wolbachia, a study was designed to compare the transcriptomes of the mosquitoes infected and noninfected with Wolbachia, in which the samples treated with tetracycline were set as the Wolbachia-non-infected control group [10]. There have been various studies focusing on the interaction between host
and Wolbachia, however, few studies have underscored the putative divergent patterns of host–Wolbachia interaction in each gender of the hosts.

To investigate the interactions between Wolbachia and insect hosts, Wolbachia is usually artificially eliminated from the Wolbachia-infected hosts through antibiotic treatment. However, antibiotic treatment may produce negative effects to the hosts which interfere with the final analyses, such as changing the composition of the gut microbes of the hosts, producing a strain-specific response to antibiotics and Wolbachia rebounds appearing [11,12]. If an insect model with a native distinction of Wolbachia infection (infected or noninfected) between different individuals within the same species, or between two closely related sibling species can be used, we can accurately infer the influences of Wolbachia on the hosts.

Inside the compact inflorescences (the syconia) of fig trees (Ficus: Moraceae), there lives a group of hymenopteran insects, the fig wasps, in which the “fig pollinators” (Agaonidae: Chalcidoidea) have had an obligate pollinating mutualism with fig trees for ca. 65 million years [13,14]. Previous studies established that the fig wasps are infected with Wolbachia in the whole range of the particular species [15]. On the fig tree of Ficus hispida in China, we detected two sibling lineages of fig pollinator species Ceratosolen solmsi, presenting distinct association with Wolbachia infection, with one group natively infected but the other noninfected [16]. They are almost indistinguishable by their morphological characteristics. This fascinating native divergence of Wolbachia infection between the two sibling lineages of C. solmsi makes them an ideal model to proximately infer the reciprocal influences of Wolbachia on each gender of the hosts.

In this study, transcriptomic sequencing of the fig wasp species C. solmsi was used to detect the sexually different expression patterns of genes that may be associated with the Wolbachia–host interaction patterns. We obtained the global gene expression data of fig wasps of each gender which contained Wolbachia infected and noninfected samples, as well as the differently expressed genes of Wolbachia between both genders of Wolbachia infected fig wasp lineage. Our results show that whether in the fig wasps or Wolbachia, there are sexually different expression patterns, which imply different interaction patterns of the host–Wolbachia between both genders of the fig wasp C. solmsi.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Preparation

We detected natural females of Wolbachia-infected (Cera+) and Wolbachia-noninfected (Cera−) fig wasp lineages of Ceratosolen solmsi, and then respectively inoculated them on their host fig trees of Ficus hispida (Ficus: Moraceae). The inoculation experiment was completed as previously reported [17] in Danzhou, Hainan province, China from June to August in 2014. We collected all the female “daughter” and male “son” wasps for further studies. For the RNA sequencing analyses, altogether four sample groups were set: Cera+ female, Cera+ male, Cera− female, and Cera− male. Three biological replicates were also set for each sample group. In each replicate experiment, 50 wasps were used for RNA extraction.

2.2. Libraries Construction and Sequencing for RNA Samples

Total RNA was isolated using the EasyPure RNA kit (TransGen, Beijing, China) and treated with DNase I (TransGen, Beijing, China). A NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Nano-Drop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) was used to confirm adequate RNA concentration and A260/A280 ratio. At least 3 µg RNA per sample was used for further studies. The host mRNA was enriched by poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. To enrich the mRNA of the endosymbiotic bacterium Wolbachia, both prokaryotic and eukaryotic rRNA were first deleted from the total RNA, and then host mRNA were excluded from the rest RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. The NEBNext UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) was used to construct the library, and the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system was used to assess the quality of the library. The eligible
library was sequenced on the Illumina Hiseq platform, and produced 125 bp paired-end reads finally.

2.3. Gene Expression Patterns between Both Genders of Cera+ and Cera− Lineages

We sequenced altogether twelve samples. To quantify gene expression level, we mapped RNA-seq clean reads to the genome (unpublished data in our lab) using TopHat2 (http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index.shtml) and normalized the value using FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript sequence per Millions base pairs sequenced) for the samples. Then differentially expressed genes were calculated using DESeq (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq.html) for the four groups, Cera− female between Cera+ male, Cera+ female between Cera+ male, Cera− female between Cera+ female, and Cera− male between Cera+ male. In order to explore if there were any functional enrichments for DEGs, GO enrichment analyses were implemented using topGO (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/topGO.html).

2.4. Real Time qPCR Validation of the Differentially Expressed Wolbachia Genes between Both Genders of Cera+ Lineage

Total RNAs were extracted for the sample of each gender of Cera+ lineage (25 specimens for each sample) by using TransZol Up Plus RNA Kit (TransGen, Beijing, China), with each sample containing three biological replicates. For each sample, cDNAs were reverse-transcribed from 300 ng total RNAs by using TransScript® One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransGen, Beijing, China). Real time qPCR experiments were demonstrated by using TransStart® Top Green qPCR SuperMix (+Dye II) (TransGen, Beijing, China) on the system of Agilent Mx3000P (USA), with groEL gene used as the reference gene. The primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The expression difference and significance of each gene was calculated based on 2−ΔΔCT.

3. Results

3.1. Differential Expression Analysis of the Fig Wasps in Two Directions: “Wolbachia-Infection Associated DEGs” and “Gender Associated DEGs”

To get a global understanding on the gene expression patterns between Wolbachia-infected lineage (Cera+) and Wolbachia-noninfected lineage (Cera−), we set four sample groups to make comparisons based on the RNA sequencing data: Cera+ female versus Cera− female, Cera+ male versus Cera− male, Cera− female versus Cera− male, and Cera+ female versus Cera+ male. We compared the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in more detail in two directions: “Wolbachia-infection associated DEGs” (in calculation of the gene expression in each gender, the Cera− sample is set as the control and the Cera+ sample as the treatment), and “Gender associated DEGs” (in calculation of the gene expression within each lineage, the male sample is set as the control and the female sample as the treatment) (Table 1).

As shown in Table 1, for “Wolbachia-infection associated DEGs”, in the comparison between females of Cera+ and Cera−, we detected only a small quantity of differentiation (only 556 DEGs, with differentiation gene proportion of 3.8%), while in the comparison between males of Cera+ and Cera−, the number of differentiated genes was 2797 (differentiation gene proportion of 19.2%), which indicates that the infection of Wolbachia may result in having a much more significant effect on the expression of genes in males than in females. For “Gender associated DEGs”, compared to the large gene expression differentiation between both genders in the Cera− lineage (4486 DEGs, with differentiation gene proportion of 30.8%), the sexually differently expressed genes decreased in the Cera+ lineage (2555 DEGs, with differentiation gene proportion of 17.5%), indicating a Wolbachia associated decrease in the sexual differentiation of gene expression.
Table 1. Comparisons of the Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) of the fig wasps. Cera −: the fig wasp lineage noninfected with *Wolbachia*, Cera +: the fig wasp lineage infected with *Wolbachia*, F: female, M: male (e.g., Cera − F indicates female wasps with no infection of *Wolbachia*); Upregulated gene number: the gene number upregulated in treatment when compared to the control; Downregulated gene number: the gene number downregulated in treatment when compared to the control; Total DEGs number: the summary of the up- and down-regulated genes; Total gene number: the total gene number analyzed in the study; Proportion of DEGs: the division of the total DEGs number to the total gene number.

| Compared Group (Treatment: Control) | Upregulated Gene Number | Downregulated Gene Number | Total DEGs Number | Total Gene Number | Proportion of DEGs |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| **Wolbachia-infection associated DEGs** |                         |                           |                  |                  |                   |
| Cera + F: Cera − F                  | 437                     | 119                       | 556              | 14562            | 3.8%              |
| Cera + M: Cera − M                  | 1584                    | 1213                      | 2797             | 14562            | 19.2%             |
| **Gender associated DEGs**          |                         |                           |                  |                  |                   |
| Cera − F: Cera − M                  | 2231                    | 2255                      | 4486             | 14562            | 30.8%             |
| Cera + F: Cera + M                  | 1240                    | 1315                      | 2555             | 14562            | 17.5%             |

3.2. Differential Expression Patterns of the “Wolbachia-Infection Associated DEGs” between Both Genders of Fig Wasps

In the comparison of “Wolbachia-infection associated DEGs”, we had nine groups of DEGs (control: Cera −, treatment: Cera +): male unique downregulated genes, male unique upregulated genes, female unique DEGs, female unique downregulated genes, female unique upregulated genes, female unique DEGs, shared (by both genders) downregulated genes, shared upregulated genes, and shared DEGs. Compared to females, males have the most DEGs (Figure 1A–C). We demonstrated GO enrichment analyses on all the nine groups of DEGs (with the enriched biological processes shown in Table S2), and the results of the significantly enriched biological processes (*p* value < 0.05) items show that males have the majority of enriched GO items for the DEGs (Figure 2). The male unique upregulated biological processes were enriched in 37 GO terms, mainly including biosynthesis (biosynthesis of carbohydrate, polysaccharide, beta-glucan, inositol, ATP, purine nucleotide triphosphate and alcohol, DNA replication and integration, ribosome assembly and ribonucleoprotein complex assembly etc.), transport (ions and mitochondria), positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling, MAPK cascade, and pathogenesis. The male unique downregulated biological processes were enriched in 80 GO terms, mainly including transport (carboxylic acid, ions, sulfur compound, and energy coupled proton transmembrane transport, and protein import into nucleus), oxidation–reduction, cellular responses to oxidative stress, lipid oxidation, cytoskeleton organization, actin filament-based process, localization, tricarboxylic acid cycle (TAC), ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling, and protein ubiquitination. The females had much less enriched GO items for the DEGs. The female unique upregulated biological processes were enriched in 14 GO terms, mainly including apoptotic DNA fragmentation, leucine biosynthetic process, meiotic chromosome segregation, inner mitochondrial membrane organization, G–protein coupled receptor signaling pathway, and chloride transport; the female unique downregulated biological processes were enriched in nine GO terms, mainly including biosynthesis (carotenoid, phytochelatin, pigment), glycine catabolic process, regulation of protein stability, protein phosphorylation, and cytoplasmic transport.
GO terms, mainly including apoptotic DNA fragmentation, leucine biosynthetic process, meiotic chromosome segregation, inner mitochondrial membrane organization, G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway, and chloride transport; the female unique downregulated biological processes were enriched in nine GO terms, mainly including biosynthesis (carotenoid, phytochelatin, pigment), glycine catabolic process, regulation of protein stability, protein phosphorylation, and cytoplasmic transport.

Figure 1. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analyses. We compared the “Wolbachia-infection associated DEGs” and the “Gender associated DEGs”. (1) In the comparison of “Wolbachia-infection associated DEGs” (A–C) between Cera+ and Cera− samples in each gender, by setting the Cera− samples as the controls, we classified the DEGs into three groups: the downregulated, upregulated, or unclassified genes in Cera+ samples. There are thus three groups of DEGs in males: downregulated in Cera+ male (InM_UnM_down), upregulated in Cera+ male (InM_UnM_up), and DEGs between Cera+ and Cera− males (InM_UnM), and the same three groups of DEGs in females: downregulated in Cera+ female (InF_UnF_down), upregulated in Cera+ female (InF_UnF_up), and DEGs between Cera+ and Cera− females (InF_UnF). For each of the three groups of DEGs, we then compared the overlapping pattern between both genders, and obtained shared DEGs in both genders and the gender-specific DEGs. For example, as Figure 1A shows, in the downregulated DEGs in Cera+ samples, there are 1161 genes unique downregulated in males, 67 unique in females, and 52 shared in both genders. (2) In the comparison of “Gender associated DEGs” (D–F), between both genders’ samples in Cera+ or Cera− lineage, there are also three groups of DEGs in each lineage: downregulated in female, upregulated in female, and DEGs between both genders. For each of the three groups of DEGs, the overlapping pattern between Cera+ and Cera− lineages were compared, and the shared DEGs, lineage-specific DEGs were obtained.
Figure 2. Biological processes of GO enrichment analysis for the "Wolbachia-infection associated DEGs". The results show that males have the most significantly enriched GO items for the DEGs (p value < 0.05).
3.3. Differential Expression Patterns of the “Gender Associated DEGs” between Both Lineages of Fig Wasps

In the comparison of “Gender associated DEGs” (Figure 1D–F), we also had nine groups of DEGs (control: male, treatment: female):

- Cera− unique downregulated genes
- Cera− unique upregulated genes
- Cera− unique DEGs
- Cera+ unique downregulated genes
- Cera+ unique upregulated genes
- Cera+ unique DEGs
- male unique downregulated genes
- male unique upregulated genes
- shared downregulated genes
- shared upregulated genes

**Figure 2.** Biological processes of GO enrichment analysis for the “Wolbachia-infection associated DEGs”. The results show that males have the most significantly enriched GO items for the DEGs ($p$ value < 0.05).
3.3. Differential Expression Patterns of the “Gender Associated DEGs” between Both Lineages of Fig Wasps

In the comparison of “Gender associated DEGs” (Figure 1D–F), we also had nine groups of DEGs (control: male, treatment: female): Cera− unique downregulated genes, Cera− unique upregulated genes, Cera− unique DEGs, Cera+ unique downregulated genes, Cera+ unique upregulated genes, Cera+ unique DEGs, shared downregulated genes (by both sibling lineages), shared upregulated genes, and shared DEGs. Overall, the Cera− lineage had more “Gender associated DEGs” than the Cera+ lineage. For all these “Gender associated DEGs”, we also demonstrated GO enrichment analyses (Table S3), and due to the large number of sexual DEGs, we obtained a respectable large number of GO enrichment items, in which the processes of metabolism and transport were the most distinct differential between both genders, similar to what we discovered in previous studies [14]. The decrease of differences between both genders in Wolbachia-infected lineage may be associated to Wolbachia, which deserve further investigation.

3.4. The Sexually Different Expressed Genes of Wolbachia in Cera+ Lineage

From the above studies on the gene expression of fig wasp hosts, we obtain the information that each gender of the host has different gene expression patterns that may be associated to the infection of Wolbachia. Considering the intimate relationship between Wolbachia and host, we expect distinct gene expression patterns of Wolbachia in each gender of Cera+ lineage. However, the endosymbiotic feature of Wolbachia impedes its isolation from the host, and thus the investigation of its gene expression pattern by high-throughput methods. Despite the fact that we tried to get the preliminary information on the expression pattern of Wolbachia genes by sorting out the Wolbachia’s sequencing reads from the high-throughput sequencing results. Since the hosts predominate the mRNAs, we could not get a saturated data for the Wolbachia mRNAs even if we sequenced 25 Gb data for each sample. Even though, based on these data, we could not calculate the correct expression reads of each Wolbachia gene, we could still compare its divergent expression patterns among different samples, which we could further validate by qPCR experiments.

The results show that 53 Wolbachia genes are significantly sexually-differentially expressed in Cera+ lineage, in which we choose some highly divergent genes (20 up-regulated genes and six down-regulated genes in males) for further experimental validations. By experimental validation, we finally obtained ten significantly up-regulated and four significantly down-regulated genes in males (Figure 3, Table 2, the other experimentally validated genes, with the expression differentiation patterns almost similar to the results of high-throughput data but not significant, are not listed). The ten up-regulated genes are listed as below: genes that may encode a putative DNA recombinase, a transposase, and a transferase, a gene of the bacterial phage WO that may function in DNA passage, a hsp70 gene, a hsp40 gene and its co-chaperone hscB, a gene in the toxic component of the toxin–antitoxin module, a gene related to isoprenoid biosynthetic process, and a cell cycle-aspartyl protease family gene. The four down-regulated genes include a cell cycle control gene (CtrA), an actin-binding gene, a ribosome biogenesis gene and a transcription elongation factor gene (GreA).
Figure 3. RT-qPCR assay of differentially expressed *Wolbachia* genes in female and male hosts. F: Female (control); M: Male (treatment); *: 0.01 < p value < 0.05; **: p value < 0.01.

Table 2. Information on the validated *Wolbachia* genes that are differentially expressed between female and male hosts samples. (Male: treatment; Female: control).

| Gene ID       | Functional Annotation                                                                 | Response of *Wolbachia* to the Host                          | Different Expression                                      |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| wCsolGM001140T | Site-specific DNA recombinase.                                                        |                                                             | Increased DNA duplication in males.                       |
| wCsolGM000515T | An ortholog of wHa_08300, putative transposase. A gene of the bacterial phage WO. The encoded protein forms a hole, or portal, that enables DNA passage during packaging and ejection; it also forms the junction between the phage head (capsid) and the tail proteins; it functions as a dodecamer of a single polypeptide of average mol. wt. of 40–90 kDa. DnaJ (hsp40) gene. It functions together with DnaK in protein fold. In bacteria, it may influence the formation of biofilm, and is also a novel virulence protein. |                                                             | Up-regulated                                            |
| wCsolGM000536T | A gene of the bacterial phage WO. The encoded protein forms a hole, or portal, that enables DNA passage during packaging and ejection; it also forms the junction between the phage head (capsid) and the tail proteins; it functions as a dodecamer of a single polypeptide of average mol. wt. of 40–90 kDa. |                                                             | Oxidative stress and virulence modulation to the male hosts. |
| wCsolGM000223T | DnaK (Hsp70). Modulating polypeptide folding, degradation and translocation across membranes, and protein-protein interactions. hscB. It is the co-chaperone of hsp40, involved in the maturation of iron–sulfur cluster-containing proteins. It seems to help targeting proteins to be folded toward HscA. |                                                             |                                                          |
| wCsolGM000671T | wCsolGM000648T                                                                         |                                                             |                                                          |
| wCsolGM000670T | Poorly conserved and poorly characterized.                                             |                                                             |                                                          |
| wCsolGM000573T | Toxic component of a toxin–antitoxin (TA) module. It is a very important effector of bacterial-host interaction, especially in stress. |                                                             |                                                          |
Table 2. Cont.

| Gene ID          | Functional Annotation                                                                 | Response of Wolbachia to the Host                                                                 | Different Expression |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| wCsolGM000052T   | Isoprenoid biosynthetic process. It plays important roles in host-pathogen interaction (virulence potential and immune stimulation). | Cell cycle, blocking of cell division in male hosts.                                            |                     |
| wCsolGM000968T   | Cell cycle-aspartyl protease family protein; polypeptide hydrolase activity.            |                                                                                                 |                     |
| wCsolGM000648T   | Coproporphyrinogen oxidase, function in heme biosynthesis.                               |                                                                                                 |                     |
| wCsolGM000846T   | CtrA. It controls cell cycle programs of chromosome replication and generic transcription, with downregulation causing the block of cell division. |                                                                                                 |                     |
| wCsolGM000813T   | Actin binding; cytoskeletal protein binding.                                             | Increased transfer in female hosts’ cytoskeleton.                                                | Down-regulated      |
| wCsolGM000584T   | Ribosome biogenesis                                                                     |                                                                                                 |                     |
| wCsolGM000925T   | GreA gene. It is not only a transcription elongation factor that promotes transcription fidelity, but also a chaperone to enhance the resistance to heat shock and oxidative stress. | Increased transcription fidelity or enhanced resistance to oxidative stress in female hosts.      |                     |

4. Discussion

In this study, based on the two sibling lineages of the fig wasp species Ceratosolen solmsi, one natively infected with Wolbachia and the other noninfected, we explored whether the different gene expression patterns between both genders of the fig wasps are related to the Wolbachia–host interaction patterns, and compared the expressions of Wolbachia genes in both genders of hosts infected with Wolbachia.

4.1. The Expression Patterns of the “Wolbachia-Infection Associated DEGs” Show Great Differences between Males and Females

Our comparative study focused on comparing the differences in gene expression between samples of Cera⁺ and Cera⁻, based on females and males of the fig wasp hosts. We detected that male wasps display more significant DEGs than females. GO enrichment on the male-specific DEGs showed that the males of Cera⁺ may increase the synthesis and transport of many metabolites, to restrict the presence of Wolbachia [7], or for the utilization of themselves or the parasite Wolbachia. Furthermore, in the males of Cera⁺, the oxidation–reduction process is downregulated, whereas the MAPK cascade and the positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling are upregulated. These results indicate that the males cannot control the ROS homeostasis disturbed by Wolbachia, thus the accumulation of ROS increases the expression of MAPK signaling and the transcription factor nuclear factor-kB [18]. The downregulated cytoskeleton organization and actin filament-based process may be a signal that the male hosts are trying to resist the transport of Wolbachia, or Wolbachia has controlled the cytoskeleton organization for their own use. The upregulated transcription factor nuclear factor-kappaB and pathogenesis imply that the male hosts may be trying to resist or accommodate the infection of Wolbachia by increasing the innate immunity level [19], or the Wolbachia may improve the ability of hosts to resist pathogens [20].

It is noteworthy that both genders have some shared upregulated and shared downregulated genes between the samples of Cera⁺ and Cera⁻. Interestingly, the shared upregulated genes are mainly enriched in the function of mitochondrial complex I, III, and IV, all of which are respiratory chain complexes with the proteins encoded by both nuclear and mitochondrial genes. For example, the protein encoded by gene177 is a NADH dehydrogenase ubiquinone iron–sulfur protein 4 (NDUFS4) that may extensively interact with almost all components of the matrix arms in Complex I of mitochondrion [21]. In
contrast, for the shared downregulated genes in both genders, besides some membrane-associated genes, we also noticed two genes in the trehalose metabolic process. In insects, trehalose are important not only for energy supply, but also for the stabilization of cellular membrane and chitin synthesis [22]. In fig wasp species, the GO term of the trehalose metabolic process includes three genes: two forms of trehalase (Gene IDs in Cera\(^+\) lineage: evm.model.scaffold0.1523 and evm.model.scaffold5.179) involved in the hydrolysis of trehalose, and the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (Gene ID in Cera\(^+\) lineage: evm.model.scaffold38.125) involved in trehalose synthesis. Compared to Cera\(^-\) lineage, the downregulation of evm.model.scaffold0.1523 and evm.model.scaffold38.125 in both genders of the Cera\(^+\) lineage most promisingly suggests that by decreasing the metabolism of trehalose, Wolbachia may have inhibited the ability of the fig wasp host in the regulation of chitin biosynthesis or membrane stabilization, for their own easier transmission across the hosts’ cells. Interestingly, in the pheromone metabolism process, we detected a shared up-regulated gene involving the biosynthetic process (Gene ID in Cera\(^+\) lineage: Novel02068), while a shared downregulated gene in pheromone sensitivity (Gene ID in Cera\(^+\) lineage: evm.model.scaffold8.156, encoding sensory neuron membrane protein 1) [23], may hint to a damp on pheromone response in Cera\(^+\) lineage.

In summary, in comparison of the gene expression between the samples of Cera\(^+\) and Cera\(^-\), the male wasps display much more significant DEGs and more significantly enriched biological processes than females. The male hosts may restrict or adapt to the presence of Wolbachia by synthesizing and transporting many metabolites, and upregulating the MAPK cascade and the I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling to control the ROS homeostasis. Meanwhile, the male hosts may down-regulate the cytoskeleton organization to resist the transport of Wolbachia.

4.2. The Sexually Differently Expressed Genes of Wolbachia are Related to Different Interaction Patterns with Their Hosts

When we compared the expression of Wolbachia genes in both genders of the Cera\(^+\) lineage, we detected a pattern that gender-biased expression of Wolbachia genes are mostly upregulated in males, which is similar to the results in Drosophila melanogaster [24]. In the female hosts, we found a GreA gene (wCsoGM000925T) and an actin binding gene (wCsoGM000813T) are upregulated, which indicate that the Wolbachia have a better ability to resist oxidant stress and to transfer along the hosts’ cytoskeleton in the female hosts (Figure 3, Table 2) [25]. While in the male hosts, we found ten genes (wCsoGM001140T, wCsoGM000515T, wCsoGM000536T, wCsoGM000523T, wCsoGM000671T, wCsoGM000670T, wCsoGM000573T, wCsoGM000052T, wCsoGM000648T, wCsoGM000968T) are upregulated, which are mainly associated with DNA duplication, oxidative stress, virulence modulation, and cell cycle (Figure 3, Table 2). With the addition of the downregulation of the CtrA gene (wCsoGM00846T) in the male hosts, we speculate that Wolbachia in the male hosts may undergo the following processes: (1) increased DNA replication, revealed by the upregulation of DNA recombinase and DNA repair; (2) more active modulations to the oxidative stress and virulence of the hosts; (3) regulation of the cell cycle, especially the blocking of cell division. The different gene expression profiles of Wolbachia genes between the both genders suggest different interaction patterns of Wolbachia with their hosts. In the male hosts, which may be the dead end of Wolbachia, Wolbachia have more up-regulated genes, and they may act on the hosts via virulence modulation. Meanwhile, they may preserve their cells integrity through launching a self-protection process with more DNA replication but with delayed cell divisions to compensate against the host attenuation [25]. All of these suggestions deserve further investigation, which may help uncover the interaction between Wolbachia and their insect hosts.

5. Conclusions

In this study, to understand the sexually different expression patterns of genes associated with the Wolbachia–host interaction patterns, we performed comprehensive comparable studies on the global gene expression patterns of the fig wasp hosts and the
endosymbiotic bacteria *Wolbachia* based on the transcriptomic data. The results show great differences of gene expression patterns between females and males that may be associated with the presence of *Wolbachia*. Compared to the females, the males presented many more differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between *Wolbachia*-infected and *Wolbachia*-noninfected samples, and the function of many DEGs may be associated with the infection of the endosymbiotic bacteria *Wolbachia*. In the *Wolbachia*-infected samples, the expression of *Wolbachia* genes also showed sexually different expression patterns. There are more up-regulated *Wolbachia* genes in the male hosts than female hosts, which are also closely related to the *Wolbachia*–host interaction. These results suggest different interaction patterns of host–*Wolbachia* between both genders of fig wasps, which may help in further investigation of the molecular mechanism of the interaction between *Wolbachia* and their hosts.
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