Generation of High Current Densities in *Geobacter sulfurreducens* Lacking the Putative Gene for the PilB Pilus Assembly Motor
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**ABSTRACT** *Geobacter sulfurreducens* is commonly employed as a model for the study of extracellular electron transport mechanisms in the *Geobacter* species. Deletion of *pilB*, which is known to encode the pilus assembly motor protein for type IV pili in other bacteria, has been proposed as an effective strategy for evaluating the role of electrically conductive pili (e-pili) in *G. sulfurreducens* extracellular electron transfer. In those studies, the inhibition of e-pili expression associated with *pilB* deletion was not demonstrated directly but was inferred from the observation that *pilB* deletion mutants produced lower current densities than wild-type cells. Here, we report that deleting *pilB* did not diminish current production. Conducting probe atomic force microscopy revealed filaments with the same diameter and similar current-voltage response as e-pili harvested from wild-type *G. sulfurreducens* or when e-pili are expressed heterologously from the *G. sulfurreducens* pilin gene in *Escherichia coli*. Immunogold labeling demonstrated that a *G. sulfurreducens* strain expressing a pilin monomer with a His tag continued to express His tag-labeled filaments when *pilB* was deleted. These results suggest that a reinterpretation of the results of previous studies on *G. sulfurreducens* *pilB* deletion strains may be necessary.

**IMPORTANCE** *Geobacter sulfurreducens* is a model microbe for the study of biogeochemically and technologically significant processes, such as the reduction of Fe(III) oxides in soils and sediments, bioelectrochemical applications that produce electric current from waste organic matter or drive useful processes with the consumption of renewable electricity, direct interspecies electron transfer in anaerobic digestors and methanogenic soils and sediments, and metal corrosion. Elucidating the phenotypes associated with gene deletions is an important strategy for determining the mechanisms for extracellular electron transfer in *G. sulfurreducens*. The results reported here demonstrate that we cannot replicate the key phenotype reported for a gene deletion that has been central to the development of models for long-range electron transport in *G. sulfurreducens*.
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Strains of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* and closely related *Geobacter* species produce some of the highest recorded current densities in microbial fuel cells, and *Geobacter* species are often the most abundant microorganisms within anode biofilms harvesting current in open systems, such as sediments or wastewater (1, 2). *G. sulfurreducens* has also served as a convenient model microbe for other extracellular electron transfer processes, such as Fe(III) oxide reduction, direct interspecies electron transfer (DIET), and corrosion (3–5). However, developing definitive models for extracellular electron transfer in *G. sulfurreducens* has been challenging. *G. sulfurreducens* produces a wide diversity of outer-surface...
redox-active proteins and electrically conductive filaments and can rapidly adapt expression of those outer-surface components in response to selective pressure that favors specific types of extracellular electron transfer or to mutations that disable electron transfer components (4, 6–9). Gene deletions designed to elucidate function may have an unintended negative impact on the expression of nontarget proteins (1).

There has been considerable debate over the role of electrically conductive nanofilaments emanating from *G. sulfurreducens* in long-range extracellular electron transport. In one model, filaments comprised of the multi-heme c-type cytochromes are the conduits for long-range electron transport (10, 11). Initially, it was proposed that filaments comprised of the multi-heme c-type cytochrome OmcS were responsible for long-range electron transport to electron-accepting electrodes (10). However, this hypothesis ignored the fact that deletion of *omcS* does not inhibit current production (12) and actually increases biofilm conductivity (13). Later, it was suggested that OmcZ, another multi-heme c-type cytochrome, was the conduit for long-range electron transport in current-producing biofilms (11). However, this hypothesis is not consistent with the observation that OmcZ is specially localized near the electrode surface (14) and thus not in a position to promote electron transport through the bulk of the biofilm. Furthermore, there is no correlation between OmcZ abundance and biofilm conductivity (13). For these and other reasons (4, 15, 16) cytochrome-based filaments do not appear to be the primary conduits for long-range extracellular electron transport in *G. sulfurreducens*.

An alternative hypothesis is that electrically conductive pili (e-pili) provide a route for long-range electron transport (4, 15, 16). Multiple lines of evidence demonstrate that PilA, the *G. sulfurreducens* pilin monomer, assembles into conductive filaments. Heterologous expression of the *G. sulfurreducens* PilA monomer in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (17) and *Escherichia coli* (18) yields e-pili with the same morphology and conductance as the e-pili recovered from *G. sulfurreducens*. *G. sulfurreducens* strains expressing PilA with peptide tags display filaments with those tags (19). Decreasing the aromatic amino acid content of the pilin expressed in *G. sulfurreducens* decreases pil conductivity, and increasing the abundance of aromatic amino acids increases conductivity (20–25). PilA monomers are recovered in filament preparations from *G. sulfurreducens* but only after harsh denaturation conditions, indicating that PilA is assembled into a highly stable filament (26).

Networks of electrically conductive pili were proposed to be the primary conduits for long-range electron transport to Fe(III) oxides (27), through conductive current-producing biofilms (28), and for DIET (7). One of the primary lines of evidence in these initial studies was the inhibition of long-range electron transport when the gene for PilA was deleted. However, it was subsequently found that deletion of *pilA* could negatively impact the localization of outer-surface multi-heme c-type cytochromes (29), which also play an important role in extracellular electron exchange (1, 3, 4). Furthermore, *G. sulfurreducens* pili may have additional functions, such as aiding in attachment to surfaces and biofilm formation (30). These concerns were eliminated with the development of *G. sulfurreducens* strains in which *pilA* was replaced with pilin genes designed to yield poorly conductive pili (20–23, 25). Such strains express outer-surface cytochromes (20, 21, 25) but are defective in Fe(III) oxide reduction and DIET and produce low current densities (20, 21, 23, 31), which are results consistent with e-pili serving as the primary conduit for long-range electron transport (4, 16).

An alternative strategy proposed to avoid concerns of proper outer-surface cytochrome expression is to delete the gene encoding PilB, the putative pilus assembly motor protein (23). However, the proposed lack of e-pili expression was only inferred from the expected impact of a *pilB* deletion on pil expression and not directly demonstrated (23). The PilB-deficient strain produced a maximum current (0.52 mA) that was half that of the wild-type strain (1.06 mA) (23). This is a substantially higher proportion of wild-type current production than achieved with a strain expressing poorly conductive pili, which generated only maximum currents that were ca. 15% of wild-type (20). The current production phenotype of the *pilB* deletion was evaluated in “batch mode,” in which the supply of the electron donor is provided only at the beginning of the incubation and is depleted over time. It is well known that maximum current production in *G. sulfurreducens* is best evaluated when cells are...
grown in anode chambers in which fresh medium is continuously supplied once current production begins (28). This method avoids the possibility of current production being inhibited prematurely due to a lack of electron donor or other medium constituents or an accumulation of toxic by-products over time (12).

A subsequent study further investigated a G. sulfurreducens strain in which the same pilB gene was deleted (32). As in the previous study, there was no evidence that this strain failed to produce pili. The pilB-deficient strain had an initial lag period that was ca. 10% longer than wild-type (ca. 88 h versus 80 h) prior to the initiation of rapid current increase (Fig. 1a). However, lag times are inherently variable even within replicates of the wild-type strain, and slight differences in the initial start of current production are not meaningful phenotypes for interpreting long-range electron transport mechanisms, which are required only after thick biofilms begin to form (33). Unfortunately, the incubation reported (32) was not long enough to determine whether the PilB-deficient strain produced the same maximum current as the wild-type strain. These results suggested that further evaluation of the impact of deleting pilB was warranted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

High current densities in pilB deletion strains. In order to further evaluate the impact of deleting pilB, the gene studied previously (23, 32) was deleted from the type strain of G. sulfurreducens (strain PCA), which is the same strain in which pilB was deleted in previous studies (23, 32). This strain was designated G. sulfurreducens strain PCA/ΔpilB. Current production was evaluated in anode chambers in which fresh medium was supplied continuously once current production began, as described previously (12). Under these optimized conditions, strain PCA/ΔpilB produced currents comparable to those of the wild-type (Fig. 1b).

The purpose of the deleting pilB in previous studies was to generate strains that did not express e-pili (23, 32). Therefore, we also deleted pilB in a strain designed to simplify e-pili visualization. G. sulfurreducens strain KN400 was selected for its superior growth on negatively poised anodes (34). It produces higher current densities (34) than strain PCA and biofilms with higher conductivity (13, 35), while expressing a lower abundance of outer-surface c-type cytochromes (34). A genetically modified strain of strain KN400, known as strain KN400 PilA-WT/PilA-6×His/ΔpilB, expresses a wild-type PilA pilin monomer and a PilA monomer modified with a “His tag” (six histidines) at the carboxyl end (19). These pilin monomers assemble into e-pili emanating from cells that are identified with an immunogold/transmission electron microscopy (TEM) procedure that employs an antibody that recognizes the His tag (19). The e-pili with the His tag have conductivities comparable to those of wild-type e-pili, and the strain expressing the His tag e-pili produces current densities comparable to those of the wild-type KN400 strain (19). Deletion of pilB in strain KN400 PilA-WT/PilA-6×His/ΔpilB yielded strain KN400/PilA-WT/PilA-6×His/ΔpilB. This strain produced current as well as the parental strain did (Fig. 1c).
Thus, our data do not support the claims from previous studies (23, 32) that deleting pilB negatively impacts G. sulfurreducens current production. This is an important consideration because diminished current production following deletion of pilB has served as the key phenotype supporting the claim that deletion of pilB is a strategy to evaluate the role of G. sulfurreducens e-pili in long-range electron transport for current production or DIET (23, 32).

**e-Pili expression in pilB deletion strains seems likely.** Previous studies have suggested that G. sulfurreducens can generate high current densities only when expressing e-pili (20, 21, 23, 36). Whenever genes that encode pilins expected to yield poorly conductive pili are expressed in G. sulfurreducens, current production and biofilm conductivity are reduced substantially even though outer-surface cytochromes are localized properly. Therefore, one possibility is that deletion of pilB does not prevent e-pili expression. A preliminary evaluation of this possibility suggests that e-pili expression is likely.

Transmission electron micrographs of strain PCA/ΔPilB grown with fumarate as the electron acceptor revealed multiple filaments emanating from cells (Fig. 2a). Under these growth conditions, wild-type G. sulfurreducens expresses both e-pili and filaments comprised of OmcS, which have diameters of 3 nm and 4 nm, respectively (25). In studies with atomic force microscopy, only 10% of the filaments emanating from wild-type cells were found to be OmcS filaments (25), but it is difficult to unequivocally determine filament composition with transmission electron microscopy.

However, with atomic force microscopy, the distinction between 3-nm-diameter e-pili and 4-nm-diameter OmcS filaments is readily discerned (25). In addition to their characteristic 4-nm diameter, the OmcS filaments exhibit an axial periodicity of 20 nm that the 3-nm-diameter filaments lack. When a synthetic pilin designed to yield poorly conductive pili was expressed, there was no impact on the abundance or conductance of OmcS filaments, but the conductance of the 3-nm filaments was more than 100-fold lower (25). This result indicates that the 3-nm-diameter filaments are comprised of pilin. This conclusion was further supported by the finding that deleting the gene for OmcS and other outer-surface cytochromes yielded a strain that expressed only 3-nm-diameter filaments with a conductance similar to that observed in wild-type cells (25).

Therefore, filaments harvested from strain PCA/ΔPilB were examined with conducting probe atomic force microscopy, as described previously (37). Single filaments deposited on highly oriented pyrolytic graphite, which were identified in noncontact mode (Fig. 2b), had a height (Fig. 2c) of 3.0 ± 0.09 nm (mean ± standard deviation; n = 18; 6 individual points on 3 individual pili), consistent with the diameter of pili expressed in wild-type G. sulfurreducens (25) and the pili produced when the G. sulfurreducens PilA pilin gene is expressed heterologously in Pseudomonas aeruginosa (17) or Escherichia coli (18). The response of individual filaments in point mode current voltage (IV) spectroscopy was similar to that described previously for the e-pili of wild-type G. sulfurreducens (Fig. 2d) and the e-pili produced...
when the G. sulfurreducens PilA is expressed heterologously in E. coli (18). These results suggest that e-pili continued to be expressed after pilB was deleted.

In order to further evaluate the possibility of e-pili expression following deletion of pilB, the G. sulfurreducens KN400 gene encoding the same protein identified previously as PilB (23) was deleted from the KN400 strain PilA-WT/PilA-6×His/DpilB. His tag immunogold labeling of strain PilA-WT/PilA-6×His/DpilB revealed labeled filaments (Fig. 3) similar to those previously reported (19) for the strain without the pilB deletion. As noted previously for the strain without the pilB deletion (19), no unlabeled filaments were observed, suggesting that e-pili were the primary filaments expressed. These results further suggest that e-pili comprised of PilA monomers continued to be expressed when pilB was deleted.

**Possibilities for expression of e-pili in strain ΔpilB.** G. sulfurreducens PilB is homologous to other bacterial PilB ATPases and contains highly conserved features, such as Walker A and B motifs, an Asp box, a His box, Arg fingers, and a tetracysteine Zn$^{2+}$-binding motif (38). The gene (GSU1491) for PilB is located in a cluster, including pilT-4, pilC, pilS, pilR, and pilA on the G. sulfurreducens genome (27). However, there are multiple genes within the G. sulfurreducens genome that might function as a PilB ATPase or compensate for deletion of the gene for the PilB ATPase, which were previously reported (39) to be highly expressed in current-producing biofilms (Table 1). Another possibility is that type IV e-pili could be assembled by a type II protein secretion system, which is similar to the type IV pilus assembly system in composition and structure and polymerizes pseudopilins (40, 41).

**Implications.** The results presented here demonstrate that deleting pilB does not prevent the generation of high current densities by G. sulfurreducens. This result contrasts with previous studies that suggested that deleting pilB diminishes current production (23, 32).

**TABLE 1** PilB homologs in G. sulfurreducens

| Gene       | Description          | Identity (%) | Transcript abundance |
|------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| GSU1491    | Type IV pilus biogenesis ATPase PilB | 100          | 9.91                 |
| GSU0328    | Type II secretion system ATPase GspE | 45           | 10.12                |
| GSU0435    | PilB/PulE/GspE family ATPase | 26           | 6.86                 |
| GSU1783    | Type II secretion system ATPase PulE | 38           | 9.70                 |
| GSU2609    | PilB/PulE/GspE family ATPase | 40           | 9.50                 |

*Description is from NCBI reference sequence of G. sulfurreducens.

*Identity is based on NCBI BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) with PilB (GSU1491) as the query.

*The values (log$_2$ signal) of transcript abundance are from those for growth on a current-producing anode (39).
Decreased current production was assumed to result from the *pilB* deletion inhibiting expression of e-pili (23, 32). The assumed lack of e-pili expression was used to interpret the role of *G. sulfurreducens* e-pili in not only current production but also DIET (23, 32). Our results suggest that before the phenotypes of *pilB* deletion mutants are interpreted as a response to a lack of e-pili, the assumed lack of e-pili will need to be thoroughly documented. Our findings that strain PCA/Δ*PilB* expressed filaments with a morphology and conductance similar to those reported for e-pili and that strain *PilA*-WT/*PilA*-6 × His/Δ*PilB* expressed filaments comprised of His tag pilin monomers suggest that e-pili continue to be expressed even when *pilB* is deleted.

One of the primary benefits attributed to deleting *pilB* in *G. sulfurreducens* was that it yielded a strain without e-pili in which the outer-surface c-type cytochromes were localized properly. The same result is achieved with the expression of pilin monomers that yield poorly conductive pili (20, 21, 25) with the added benefit that other possible functions of e-pili, such as aiding in attachment to surfaces and biofilm formation (30), are retained.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and growth conditions.** *G. sulfurreducens* strains were grown under anaerobic conditions at 30°C in a defined medium with acetate as the electron donor and fumarate as the electron acceptor as described previously (42) unless otherwise mentioned. *Escherichia coli* NEB 10-beta (New England Biolabs) was used for plasmid preparation and grown in medium supplemented as instructed by the manufacturer, with appropriate antibiotics if necessary.

**Construction of Δ*PilB* strains.** To construct *G. sulfurreducens* strain PCA/Δ*PilB*, the *pilB* gene (GSU1491) was replaced by a kanamycin-resistance gene in *G. sulfurreducens* PCA via the double-crossover homologous recombination as described previously (42). Flanking DNA fragments were amplified by PCR with primer pairs ATCTCTAGATCCATATAATCGGCCATC (XbaI site is underlined)/TCTGAATTCAGTCTGCTAGCCTGCAATG (EcoRI site is underlined) for the upstream region and TCTAAAGCTTCTATCAGGTAATGCCCATG (HindIII site is underlined)/TCTGGTACCTCGATGGTCACAATATGATC (KpnI site is underlined) for the downstream region. The *G. sulfurreducens* PCA chromosome DNA was used as the template. The DNA fragment of the kanamycin-resistance gene was amplified by PCR as described previously (43). These PCR products were digested with restriction enzymes, ligated, and cloned in a plasmid. The plasmid constructed was linearized by XbaI. The linearized DNA fragment was used for electroporation. The deletion of the *pilB* gene and replacement with the kanamycin resistance gene were verified by PCR with primer pairs TTTCTGATATCCATATAATCGGCCATC/GCAGTCTTGATGGACGACTC and TCTCTGATATCCATATAATCGGCCATC/ACATTCATCCCAGGTGGCAC, respectively.

To construct strain KN400-*PilA*-WT/*PilA*-6 × His/Δ*PilB*, the *pilB* gene (KN400_1518) was replaced by the kanamycin resistance gene in KN400-*PilA*-WT/*PilA*-6 × His as described above, except that the *G. sulfurreducens* KN400 chromosome DNA was used as the template for PCR. There are 3 nucleotide differences between PCA and KN400 in the downstream region used for the recombination.

**Current production.** Current production was determined in previously described bioelectrochemical systems (12) with acetate as the electron donor and positively poised (300 mV versus Ag/AgCl) graphite anodes as the electron acceptor. Once current production was initiated, the anode chamber received a steady input of fresh medium as described previously (12).

**Atomic force microscopy.** Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was carried out, as described previously, with minor modifications (37). Briefly, 100 µl of a pili preparation sheared from cells was drop cast onto highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) and allowed to set for 10 min, after which it was washed twice with 100 µl of deionized water and blotted dry. The sample was equilibrated with atmospheric humidity for at least 2 h before subsequent measurements. AFM was performed on an Oxford Instruments Cypher ES environmental AFM instrument in ORCA electrical mode equipped with a platinum/iridium-coated Arrow-ContPT tip with a 0.2-N/m force constant (NanoWorld AG, Neuchâtel, Switzerland). Topographical identification of the pilus was achieved in contact mode, with a set point of 0.002 V. Conductive measurements were acquired using a 0.002-V set point (equating to an applied force of 2 nN), and IV curves of a ±0.6 V voltage sweep at a frequency of 0.99 Hz were generated for three spatially different points on three independent pilis. To test if the AFM tip was connected correctly to the AFM and to ensure that it was clear of debris, the tip was touched periodically to the surface of the HOPG and an IV curve was generated. Additionally, to test for probe clearance from the sample/substrate, the tip was raised off the surface and an IV curve generated to ensure no signal.

**Transmission electron microscopy and immunogold labeling.** Immunogold labeling was conducted with the 6 × His Tag polyclonal antibody as the primary antibody and the anti-rabbit IgG gold (10 nm) antibody as the secondary antibody, as described previously (19). For transmission electron microscopy, 7 µl of the sample was drop cast on a plasma-sterilized, 400-mesh copper carbon-coated ultralight grid for 10 min. Excess liquid was wicked off and the grid was stained with 3 µl of 2% uranyl acetate for 15 to 20 seconds before excess liquid was removed and air dried. Grids were examined with transmission electron microscopy on a FEI Tecnai 12 instrument at 120 kV or a JEOL 2000FX instrument at 200 kV.

**Data availability.** The *G. sulfurreducens* genome sequence is available at the NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) under reference sequence NC_002939.5.
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