Experimental Study on Glass and Polymers: Determining the Optimal Material for Potential Use in Terahertz Technology
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ABSTRACT The optical properties of polymers and glasses useful for terahertz applications are experimentally characterized using terahertz time-domain spectroscopy (THz-TDS). A standard system setup utilizing transmission spectroscopy is used to measure different optical properties of materials including refractive index, relative permittivity, loss tangent, absorption coefficient, and transmittance. The thermal and chemical dependencies of materials are also studied to identify the appropriate materials for given terahertz applications. The selected materials can then be utilized for applications such as in waveguides, filters, lenses, polarization preserving devices, metamaterials and metasurfaces, absorbers, and sensors in the terahertz frequency range.

INDEX TERMS Spectroscopy, terahertz materials, materials preparation, absorption, chemical analysis, thermal analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

The terahertz spectrum (0.1–10 THz) is considered as one of the least explored segments in the electromagnetic spectrum due to the lack of powerful terahertz sources and efficient detectors. However, the recent technological advancements in optics and electronics, advances in terahertz systems utilizing mode-locked femtosecond fiber lasers, together with advances in emitters and receivers, have led to progress in this field [1]. The use of terahertz transmission mode has a number of significant applications spectroscopy [2], lenses [3], security [5], bio-imaging [6], photonic crystals [7]–[9], high bandwidth communications [10] and biological sensing [11]–[13]. One of the emerging applications of terahertz spectroscopy is to characterize the optical properties of a wide variety of materials such as semiconductors, ceramics, chemical mixtures, gases, lubricating oils, glasses and polymers [1], [14]. There are particular advantages of using terahertz for material characterization. First, terahertz spectroscopy typically covers a very wide bandwidth and a large number of materials have spectral fingerprints within this frequency range. Second, terahertz spatial resolution is higher than microwaves because of its shorter wavelength, and finally, many dry non-polar materials are transparent to terahertz, making in situ characterization through packaging materials an attractive possibility [29].

Many materials were previously studied using far-infrared Fourier Transform Spectroscopy (FTS) where continuous wave (CW) non-coherent sources were used instead of the picosecond pulsed coherent sources in THz-TDS. There are a number of important aspects where THz-TDS differs from FTS that lends THz-TDS some significant advantages. The
measurements typically carried out with THz-TDS utilize a pump-probe configuration, where amplitude and phase are acquired simultaneously. The pump-probe detection scheme also has improved signal to noise ratio (up to 60 dB or 10^6 in power) compared to about 300 typically obtained using FTS [29].

Note that for material characterization, the absorption coefficient and refractive index are directly related to the transmitted signal amplitude and phase that are readily obtained using THz-TDS whereas FTS is only able to provide a field intensity measurement that can only provide the absorption coefficient, and refractive index can only be obtained indirectly by resorting to the Kramers-Kronig relation with reduced accuracy. Moreover, the high dynamic range of THz-TDS allows transmission studies of highly absorptive materials.

Measurement using THz-TDS falls into two distinct categories, one is to identify or differentiate substances contained in a material, and another is to characterize the optical and dielectric properties of materials. In 1990, a study on different highly resistive dielectrics and semiconductors including sapphire, quartz, silicon, germanium, gallium arsenide, etc. were carried out using far infrared, time-domain spectroscopy [15]. The refractive index and power absorption coefficients were measured within the frequency range of 0.2 to 2 THz. In 2011, Anthony et al. performed an analysis on Zeonex based microstructured fiber where the reported refractive index and absorption coefficients are 1.519 and 0.19 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively [18]. In 2007, Naftaly et al. carried out a study on polymers, oils and glasses [16]. However, they did not consider some important terahertz materials such as Zeonex, Topas, Teflon, PMMA, Duran and UV-resins, that are now commonly employed in various terahertz applications. In their analysis, Naftaly et al. showed that PMMA and HDPE achieve a refractive index of 1.61 and 1.54, where the reported absorption coefficients of these two materials are 7.5 and 0.225 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. Recently, Shi et al. [17] performed an optical and electrical characterization on PMMA for the application of broadband absorbers. In 2012, an experiment on different polymer materials was also carried out [35], where a refractive index of 1.61, and 1.54 for PMMA and HDPE having corresponding absorption coefficients of 7.5, and 0.225 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively were obtained. Using transmission spectroscopy and S-parameters, Chang et al. also carried out studies on polymers at low (0.75–1.6 THz) frequencies. In 2011, Cunningham et al. also studied various polymers, however, they did not consider Zeonex, UV-resin nor any of the glasses [30]. In a 2014 spectroscopic study of common polymers, the authors did not consider some important terahertz materials such as Zeonex and HDPE [32]. Moreover, they did not consider glasses for characterization. Recently, in 2018, Pickwell-MacPherson’s group carried out research on transparent, highly absorptive and conductive samples. In that work, they developed a fiber-based terahertz ellipsometer that provides excellent robustness on samples with different properties.

Recently, a fundamental study of THz-TDS measurements were carried out, however the authors did not present specific samples for study [33], [34]. More importantly none of the above referenced articles [16], [30], [32]–[34] consider the analysis of the thermal and chemical properties of materials.

Considering the importance of the characterization of the optical, thermal and chemical properties of polymers, glasses and UV-resins, in this paper we introduce a combined characterization approach aimed at assisting the selection of the most appropriate materials for various terahertz applications. A comprehensive range of characterization methods is selected to provide the thermal range, chemical stability, absorption coefficients, transparency and loss properties for this purpose. The methods used for characterization are presented in the experimental section showing the experimental set-up and theoretical aspects of the required calculation. Finally, an overall performance analysis is carried out, together with a concise summary of material properties in the conclusion. The studied glasses and polymers in this manuscript are commonly used for making different terahertz devices including waveguides [21], [22], sensors, filters [23], [24], lenses, metamaterials [25] and metasurfaces [12], [26] for different applications in the terahertz frequency range. Some UV-resins for example can be used in stereolithography (SLA) 3D printers making them an interesting material for building terahertz waveguides [27], [28].

II. SAMPLE PREPARATION

Samples of Topas (5013 L-10) and Zeonex (480R) grades were prepared from raw pellets. Initially, filaments of those materials were made using a Filabot EX2 filament Extruder and used for 3D printing of the samples. Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) for 3D printing technology with high printing resolution was used to fabricate the samples, however, the outcomes were not satisfactory as there were numerous ridges on the surface, see Fig. 1(i), which potentially scatter the terahertz beam. Moreover, the fabricated samples were not optically transparent, with almost zero transmission measured using THz-TDS due to numerous air voids.

In the second trial we put the pellets inside a metal holder placed into a furnace at 200°C. After 24 hours, the small voids coalesce into larger air bubbles, shown in Fig. 1(ii). The air bubbles in the sample also scatter the terahertz beam and are therefore also unsuitable for material characterization. We further place the samples inside the furnace for another 24 hours, which removes all of the air bubbles. The samples are then cooled down and removed from the holder, and then cut and polished to make both the surfaces flat, smooth and parallel.

The polishing is graded using progressively finer silicon carbide (SiC) sandpaper, of grit sizes 120, 240, 320, 400, 800, 1200 and 2500 respectively. The samples are then given a final polish and smoothed using water based diamond suspension of 3 μm particle size. The polished and smoothed sample is shown in Fig. 1(iii). The sample of UV-resin is made using Stereolithography (SLA) 3D printing technology and
polished before the experiment. Note that all other samples are cut and polished using the same procedure and all the measured samples have similar thickness of around 3 mm. Note that, the pellets of Topas and Zeonex are obtained from Zeon corporation, Japan. The UV-resin is prepared in Brazil, the sample of Teflon, PMMA, and HDPE are provided by the National T-ray facility lab, University of Adelaide. All the glass samples are supplied by the Institute for Photonics and Advanced Sensing (IPAS), University of Adelaide, Australia.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup and schematic are shown in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b. This uses a dual-channel ultrashort pulse laser (Advantest TAS7400TS) with a pulse width of ≤ 50 fs (using 1.5m fiber), employing a simple configuration for fiber-coupled, free space terahertz generation and detection. The laser center wavelength, output power, and repetition rate is 1550 nm, ≥ 20 mW, and 50 MHz respectively.

In a phase modulated measurement method, the system time resolution is 2 fs, frequency resolution 1.9 GHz, scan range 524 ps, throughput 200 ms/scan and frequency accuracy is ±10 GHz. The phase modulation measurement method relies on the difference in phase (arrival time) due to changes in wave path. The mechanical strain or thermal expansion of samples changes the optical path length and therefore cause changes in phase. This phases of reference and sample are then required to obtain the optical properties of the sample. The terahertz receiver Advantest model TAS1230 is fabricated with a photo-conductive antenna coupled to a hyper-hemispherical silicon lens in a fiber pigtailed compact housing. The transmitter utilizes the Cherenkov effect where the femtosecond optical pulse propagates through an electro-optic crystal with a second-order nonlinearity [36]. The Advantest model TAS1130 transmitter with a lithium niobate crystal waveguide has a bandwidth of 0.5 to 7 THz [37].

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**FIGURE 1.** Different methodologies of preparing smoothed surfaced transparent Zeonex samples; (i) prepared using a 3D printer where the surface is rough containing ridges that potentially scatter the time domain signal, (ii) made using furnace at a temperature of 200°C for 24 hours, containing air bubbles, (iii) transparent sample without air bubbles inside, furnaced at 200°C for 48 hours and polished with silicon carbide (SiC) sandpaper of different grit sizes. The d and t in the figure indicate the diameter and thickness of the samples which are 10mm × 10mm for (i), 10mm × 12mm for (ii), and 10mm × 3mm for (iii).

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**FIGURE 2.** Schematic of experimental set-up of the enclosed THz-TDS system with a purge box filled with nitrogen, two parabolic mirrors creating a parallel beam where a sample holder is used to hold the sample, the reference signal ($P_{\text{ref}}$ and $\theta_{\text{ref}}$) is obtained by removing the samples whereas the sampled signal ($P_{\text{sam}}$ and $\theta_{\text{sam}}$) is obtained when a sample is in place as shown in the photograph, (b) the actual set-up.
Two off-axis gold-coated parabolic mirrors (Edmund optics) with an effective focal length of 150 mm are used to create a parallel collimated beam for spectroscopy. To ensure the beam passing through the sample, we insert an iris of diameter less than the sample diameter. The reason for this standard setup is to obtain more averaged data from the measurements. In consideration to sample dimension, different types of sample holders were utilized to constrain the measurement to the sample and ensure consistent results. The system was enclosed with a custom made purge box and the performance with and without dry nitrogen are shown in Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b. It can be seen that without nitrogen and averaging (red) the terahertz amplitude (Fig. 3a) is comparatively reduced than in nitrogen environment and signal averaging (green). Moreover, for the spectrum (Fig. 3b) sharp water vapor lines are experienced, which is reduced in the nitrogen environment.

IV. SIGNAL PROCESSING TECHNIQUES: SIGNAL AVERAGING AND PHASE UNWRAPPING

The post-processing of THz-TDS data is followed by signal averaging and phase unwrapping. Random noise in measurements can be reduced by repeated multiple measurements. Signal averaging in the time domain, increases the signal strength relative to noise. Therefore, by averaging a set of replicated measurements, the signal to noise ratio (SNR) increases as a function of the square root of the number of ensembles [33]. In our case, we repeat the measurement having 2048 number of sample scanning. The result is depicted in Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b where signal amplitude and power are shown with signal averaging.

Phase unwrapping is a vital step for correct characterization of the optical constants of samples. The phase spectrum can be obtained from the transfer function that wraps around with an abrupt jump from \(-\pi\) to \(\pi\). This indicates that whenever the absolute value of the phase is greater than \(\pi\), it will jump by \(2\pi\). Phase jumps create discontinuity artifacts in the phase spectrum, leading to the incorrect characterization of optical properties. Phase unwrapping solves the phase wrapping problem. We choose a phase unwrap threshold of \(\pi\) and start unwrapping from 0.1 THz because at lower frequencies, errors in phase unwrapping can occur due to noise [33], [34].

The difference of phase of a transfer function obtained from a Zeonex sample by means of phase unwrapping and without phase unwrapping is shown in Fig. 4(i). This indicates that the phase extrapolation reduces phase jumps, making it suitable for correct sample characterization, even at very low frequencies. A complication of perfect phase unwrapping occurs at low and high frequencies where the noise plagues the amplitude and phase data, resulting in false unwrapping. Starting from noisy low frequencies, phase unwrapping causes error to propagate towards the phase at high frequencies. As a solution, an adaptive unwrapping procedure is followed that discards the phase at low frequencies. The missing phase profile at low frequencies is then extrapolated from high frequency unwrapped phases [33].

With phase unwrapped, the refractive index of Zeonex is characterized, as shown in Fig. 4(ii). This illustrates the large error in the refractive index without unwrapping, while phase
extrapolated by 180° corrects the refractive index measurement of Zeonex to the expected correct value of 1.529.

V. MATHEMATICAL EXPRESSIONS TO EXTRACT OPTICAL PROPERTIES FROM THz-TDS DATA

The received power spectrum, transmittance, absorption coefficient, phase shift, refractive index, dielectric loss and permittivity are calculated using the following expressions [37]

\[ P_{\text{linear}}(\omega) = |\tilde{F}(\omega)|^2 \]  

where \( P_{\text{linear}}(\omega) \) indicate the linear power spectrum, \( \tilde{F}(\omega) \) and \( |\tilde{F}(\omega)| \) represent the FFT complex data and magnitude respectively. Now, the linear transmittance can be found from the below equation

\[ T_{\text{linear}}(\omega) = \frac{P_{\text{sam}}(\omega)}{P_{\text{ref}}(\omega)} \times 100 \]  

where \( T_{\text{linear}}(\omega) \) indicates the transmittance, and \( P_{\text{sam}}(\omega) \) and \( P_{\text{ref}}(\omega) \) represents the reference and sample power spectrum.

The absorption coefficient of a sample can be represented as [16],

\[ \alpha(\omega) = \frac{2a\omega k(\omega)}{c} \]  

where, \( \alpha(\omega) \) represents the absorption coefficient \([m^{-1}]\), \( k(\omega) \) represents the extinction coefficient, \( \omega \) represents the angular frequency, \([\text{rad/}10^{-12}\text{s}]\), and \( c \) represents the speed of light, \([\text{m/s}]\).

The phase shift between the sampled and referenced signal can be denoted by [33],

\[ \phi(\omega) = \theta_{\text{sam}}(\omega) - \theta_{\text{ref}}(\omega) \]  

where \( \phi(\omega) \), \([\text{rad}]\), represents the phase shifts, \( \theta_{\text{sam}}(\omega) \) and \( \theta_{\text{ref}}(\omega) \) represents the sample and reference phases respectively.

Now, the refractive index \( n(\omega) \) and extinction coefficient \( k(\omega) \) of a sample can be represented as,

\[ n(\omega) = 1 + \frac{c\phi(\omega)}{d\omega} + \frac{c}{d\omega} \text{Arg} \left( \tilde{r}_{\text{as}}(\omega)\tilde{r}_{\text{sa}}(\omega) \times \sum_{l=0}^{m} \left( (\tilde{r}_{\text{sa}}(\omega))^2 \exp \left[ -i \frac{2\gamma(\omega)d\omega}{c} \right] \right)^l \right) \]  

\[ k(\omega) = -\frac{c}{2d\omega} \ln \left[ \frac{T_{\text{linear}}(\omega)}{\tilde{r}_{\text{as}}(\omega)\tilde{r}_{\text{sa}}(\omega) \times \sum_{l=0}^{m} \left( (\tilde{r}_{\text{as}}(\omega))^2 \exp \left[ -i \frac{2\gamma(\omega)d\omega}{c} \right] \right)^l} \right] \times \frac{1}{100} \]  

V. DIELECTRIC AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF MEASURED SAMPLES

At terahertz frequencies, the dielectric and optical properties including the refractive index, dielectric constant, bandwidth, absorption coefficient, dielectric loss, and transmittance of the samples is illustrated and discussed in this section. The measured terahertz power (dB) passing through various samples is shown in Fig. 5a. The system is purged and the measurements are carried out in a nitrogen environment. As compared to Fig. 3b (without nitrogen), we see that the water vapor peaks are significantly reduced in nitrogen environment, Fig. 5a. We see that similar transmission bandwidth is obtained for Zeonex, Topas, HDPE, and Teflon where a comparatively lower transmission bandwidth is achieved for PMMA. From the glasses, the FS-300 Silica shows better power transmission over other measured glasses such as BK7 and Duran. The FS-300 Silica, BK7, and Duran achieve a transmission bandwidth of around 2.5 THz, 0.75 THz, and 1.1 THz respectively, while the UV-resin exhibits the narrowest power transmission bandwidth of all polymers at around 0.9 THz. Fig. 5a illustrates the water vapor peaks from the measurement environment and the nature of the samples itself that can be reduced by flushing the measurement system with dry nitrogen, and storing the samples in a dry environment.

The transmittance of the materials is calculated using Eq. 2 and illustrated in Fig. 5b, showing the low terahertz transmission for BK7, UV-resin, Duran and silica, and better trans-
mittances for Zeonex, Topas, Teflon, and HDPE. Therefore, a high-frequency application that requires high transparency, should utilize the Zeonex, Topas, HDPE and Teflon materials wherever their mechanical and thermal properties may allow this.

Using the time delays of measured samples, the associated phases are extracted. This data, together with the phases and extinction coefficients, enables the calculation of refractive indices and relative permittivities, as illustrated in Fig. 6 (i–ii) and Fig. 6 (iii–iv). The average refractive index of the measured Zeonex, Topas, HDPE, PMMA, Teflon, Silica, BK7, Duran, and Resin are 1.529, 1.531, 1.535, 1.584, 1.466, 1.943, 2.46, 2.06, and 1.69 respectively. The relative permittivities has a square relation with the refractive index. The measured properties are compared with the literature [14], [16], [29], [30], shown in Tab. 1, which are perfectly matched.

FIGURE 5. Spectral response and transmittance’s of the measured polymers and glasses. (a) The received power spectrum of reference (background), Zeonex, Topas, HDPE, Teflon, Silica, PMMA, BK7, Duran, and UV-resin, (b) The terahertz transmittance’s of the same materials.

FIGURE 6. Refractive indices (i–ii), and dielectric constants (iii–iv) of the measured polymers and glasses.

The absorption coefficients and dielectric loss tangents of the measured samples are illustrated in Fig. 7 (i–ii) and Fig. 7 (iii–iv). These are higher for all the glasses, PMMA and UV-resin than for Zeonex, Topas, HDPE, and Teflon. However, Fig. 7 also illustrate that the absorption coefficient and loss tangent for HDPE and Teflon are relatively higher at high frequencies than Zeonex and Topas. The obtained average absorption coefficients and loss tangents are summarized in Tab. 1 and compared with the literature. Note that Topas and Zeonex show almost similar properties all over the frequency of interests. The unwanted peaks in the absorption spectrum are due to the remained water vapor present during measurements.

In Tab. 1 we combine the properties including refractive indices, relative permittivities, loss tangents and absorption coefficients of the measured glasses and polymers. These
properties are then compared with the literature and it can be seen that they are in good agreements.

In addition to searching for the low loss materials from the studied glasses and polymers, it is also necessary to characterize their thermal and chemical stabilities. Therefore besides the optical properties, we also determine the thermal and chemical properties of the low loss polymers to find out the suitable materials to be applicable in harsh and hostile environments.

VII. CHEMICAL AND THERMAL STABILITY OF THE LOW LOSS POLYMERS

To measure the chemical stability, as in Fig. 8, 0.5 g of each material was exposed to 10 ml of highly acidic (low pH) H$_2$SO$_4$ (10 M) solution, saline NaCl (3.5 M) solution, and basic (high pH) NaOH (10 M) solution (here, M indicates molar concentration). These solutions were agitated by a mechanical shaker at 200 rpm for 24 hrs to observe any effect of a chemical attack on the materials. No physical changes
were observed in the first 24 hrs, thus the agitation was continued for another round of 24 hrs. Finally, the materials were taken out and dried at room temperature followed by a mass measurement. No significant mass change (±1.5%) was measured for any of these four materials which demonstrates the extreme chemical stability of these materials against acidic, saline and basic environment.

In order to characterize the thermal stability of the selected materials (Topas, Zeonex, HDPE, Teflon), the thermogravimetric (TG) and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) analysis were carried out using non-isothermal thermogravimetric analysis setup, TA Instruments (Q-500, Tokyo, Japan). The thermal analyzer was temperature calibrated between experiments using the Curie point of nickel as a reference. The experiment is performed under a nitrogen environment at a purge rate of 60 ml/min. For each polymer, samples of approximately 40 mg was heated up to 800°C at a heating rate of 5°C/min. Based on the heating rate the onset temperature and peak temperature may vary however for the simplicity of analysis we consider a heating rate of 5°C/min. The TG and DTG curves for HDPE, Teflon, Topas and Zeonex is illustrated in Fig. 9 where the DTG plot shows a peak weight loss from where the peak temperature degradation ($T_p$) can be determined. The onset temperature degradation ($T_{onset}$) is the point at which the material starts to degrade or disintegrate while the peak temperature is a point at which the degradation rate reaches at its maximum. The detail of thermal characteristics of the samples including the weight loss temperatures, $T_p$ and $T_{onset}$ is shown in Tab. 2.

According to Tab. 2 and Fig. 9 we find that the Teflon has highest thermal stability among the experimented samples and starts losing its weight due to evaporation from around 525°C and ended up at around 620°C. While the other three materials HDPE, Topas, and Zeonex have shown similar thermal characteristics and start losing weight from 440°C and ending up of around 480 to 500°C. Fig. 9 also illustrates that all the samples lose their weight after a particular temperature is attained, and the obtained results are aligned with a previous thermal study of Topas and HDPE [38].

Though Teflon has the best thermal stability, it shows higher absorption loss and dielectric loss tangent at high frequencies compared to Zeonex, Topas and HDPE. Teflon is a fairly heat resistant and excellent anti-friction polymer that enables its use in mechanical units without additional lubrication. High loss materials such as PMMA, Silica, BK7, Duran, resin, etc. also have high refractive indices that can be used for thinner metamaterial or metasurface designs. Moreover, crystalline materials such as silicon, germanium, sapphire and quartz demonstrate low transmittance at terahertz due to reflection losses. The absorption coefficients of these materials are around 0.5 cm$^{-1}$ [41], [42]. Because of the high refractive index and desired physiochemical properties, these crystalline materials are widely used in manufacturing substrates with high-quality interference mirrors with high reflection coefficients. As demonstrated in Fig. 9, HDPE shows improved thermal stability over Zeonex or Topas, however, HDPE has higher absorption loss. Similar to Teflon,
HDPE exhibits an increasing loss trend at higher frequencies. The thin HDPE films are used for manufacturing terahertz polarizers and windows for Golay cells. The absorption coefficient, dielectric loss tangent, transmittance and thermal stability of Zeonex and Topas are almost identical, with a linear trend in optical characteristics, observed at higher terahertz frequencies. The absorption coefficient and loss tangent of Zeonex and Topas are extremely low and that also comes with higher transmittance than any other measured samples. Other polymers such as polypropylene (PP) and polymethylpentene (TPX) show potential for terahertz applications however both have higher absorption coefficients (0.29 cm⁻¹ @1.0 THz for PP, and (∼0.4 cm⁻¹ @1.0 THz, for TPX) [39], [40] than Topas and Zeonex. Low loss TPX is a strong material and can mechanically be converted into lenses and windows, exhibiting excellent optical properties for serving as an ideal substitute for picarin (tsurupica) in the manufacture of lenses. Picarin is commercially less accessible and more expensive [35]. However, based on transparency and absorption coefficients, the Zeonex and Topas as considered as improvements over TPX. Therefore, in consideration of absorption coefficients, transparency, dielectric loss tangents and thermal and chemical stabilities of the polymer materials, Zeonex and Topas can be considered as desirable for applications in terahertz technology. These applications include terahertz lenses, modulators, filters, metamaterials, metasurfaces and terahertz sensors.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The optical, chemical and thermal properties of glasses and polymers are investigated to select the optimal materials for applications in terahertz technology. The THz-TDS and TGA analyses are carried out for obtaining optical and thermal properties whereas the experiments of chemical properties are carried out in acidic, salinic and basic environments. Optical, thermal and chemical investigations show that the materials are highly chemically and thermally stable. However, considering the absorption coefficients, transmittances and loss tangents, both Zeonex and Topas are found to be best suited for terahertz applications.
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