A Wheat TaTOE1-B1 Transcript TaTOE1-B1-3 Can Delay the Flowering Time of Transgenic Arabidopsis
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Abstract: Flowering time is one of the most important agronomic traits in wheat production. A proper flowering time might contribute to the reduction or avoidance of biotic and abiotic stresses, adjust plant architecture, and affect the yield and quality of grain. In this study, TaTOE1-B1 in wheat produced three transcripts (TaTOE1-B1-1, TaTOE1-B1-2, and TaTOE1-B1-3) by alternative splicing. Compared to the longest transcript, TaTOE1-B1-1, TaTOE1-B1-3 has a deletion in the sixth exon (1219–1264 bp). Under long-day conditions, the heterologous overexpression of the TaTOE1-B1-3 gene delayed flowering, prolonged the vegetative growth time, and enlarged the vegetative body of Arabidopsis, but that of TaTOE1-B1-1 did not. As typical AP2 family members, TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 are mainly located in the nucleus and have transcriptional activation activities; the transcriptional activation region of TaTOE1-B1-3 is located in the C-terminal. In TaTOE1-B1-3 overexpression lines, the expression of flowering-related AtFT and AtSOC1 genes is significantly downregulated. In addition, this study confirms the protein–protein interaction between TaTOE1-B1-3 and TaPIF1, which may play an important role in flowering inhibition. These results provide a theoretical basis for the precise regulation of wheat flowering time.
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1. Introduction

During the long course of evolution, plants have developed powerful adaptive mechanisms to cope with a variety of environments [1,2]. Flowering is an important stage in the process of plant life generation. When plants grow to a certain stage, under the combined action of various internal and external factors, a series of metabolic pathways are activated to initiate the process of flower development in order to carry out reproductive growth [3]. According to studies in model plant Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), flowering in higher plants is mainly regulated by metabolic pathways, such as the photoperiod pathway, in response to day length and light quality; the vernalization pathway, sensitive to temperature; the GA pathway, which regulates reproductive development by endogenous hormones; and the autonomic pathway, which depends on its own development status [4–6]. An appropriate flowering time is an important guarantee for seed plants to complete life generation and maintain species reproduction. Meanwhile, in long-term crop cultivation, domestication, and agricultural production practice, flowering time is among the agronomic traits we focus on.

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is one of the most important food crops worldwide. The strong environmental adaptability of wheat is an important reason for its widespread cultivation.
cultivation [7–9]. In wheat production, appropriate flowering is helpful in coping with adverse environmental factors such as heat, cold, freeze, heavy rainfall, and harmattan, as well as various fungal diseases such as powdery mildew, scabbed mildew, and rust [10]. At the same time, it is also conducive to obtaining a high yield and better quality, by the coordination of vegetative and reproductive growth [11]. Therefore, it is of considerable significance to explore and identify important flowering-related genes in wheat, understand the molecular mechanism of wheat flowering regulation, and realize precise regulation of flowering time in wheat breeding in order to improve grain yield and ensure food security.

As wheat is a typical higher plant that is sensitive to temperature and light, its flowering is mainly regulated by the vernalization and photoperiod pathways. At the early developmental stages, a certain intensity of low temperature determines whether winter wheat will change from vegetative to reproductive growth normally [12]. Four groups of vernalization genes (Vrn) are mainly involved in the formation of temperature-sensing characteristics of wheat, and diverse allelic variations and their various vernalization gene combinations determine the requirements of different strains of wheat based on temperature [13–16]. The photoperiod genes (Ppd) are considered to be mainly involved in the circadian rhythm response in wheat, and variations in Ppd genes are the main reason for the differences in sensitivity to day length in wheat [4,17,18]. In addition, flowering regulation in higher plants often involves complex regulatory mechanisms at multiple levels. With the rapid development of molecular biology and bioinformatics research, a series of transcription factors related to flowering have been reported, deepening our understanding of plant flowering regulation [19].

The AP2/ERF superfamily is one of the most numerous transcription factor families in higher plants [20]. According to its molecular and structural characteristics, the AP2/ERF superfamily is generally divided into five subfamilies: APETALA2 (AP2), ethylene-responsive factor (ERF), related to ABI3/VP1 (RAV), dehydration-responsive element binding (DREB) protein, and soloist [21]. Previous studies generally showed that some members of the AP2 subfamily are closely related to plant development. The Q gene, a widely studied member of the AP2 subfamily, is believed to play an important role in controlling wheat spike shape development and shattering behavior [22–25]. In recent years, with the deepening of research, it has been reported that AP2 subfamily members are involved in floral organ development, flowering time regulation, and seed size and shape control [26–28].

Two AP/ERF superfamily members in maize (Zea mays L.), Glossy15 and ZmTOE1, have been reported as inhibitors of flowering [29,30]. Wheat TaTOE1-B1 has been reported as a potential floral inhibitor, and the expression level of TaTOE1-B1 gene significantly decreases from the third to the fourth week and transfer from the short-day to long-day in some wheat cultivars [31]. In Arabidopsis, there are three TOE genes, AtTOE1, AtTOE2, and AtTOE3 [19]. AtTOE1 negatively regulates FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), independent of CONSTANS (CO), which is an integrator of photoperiodic signals, and leads to delayed flowering [32]. AtTOE1 also affects the stability of CO in both direct and indirect ways: upstream of FT, decreased CO stability directly downregulates the expression of FT, thus inhibiting flowering [19]. The interaction between AtTOE3 and AGAMOUS (AG) in Arabidopsis plays an important role in floral organ morphogenesis, and post-transcriptional regulation by miRNA172 on TOE gene is vital to flowering and floral organ development in plants [33]. These results suggest that some members of the AP2 family in different species have the function of regulating plant flowering.

At present, there are still few reports on TOE genes in wheat. Although the gene locus of TaTOE1-B1 is considered a floral suppression site, which was previously identified by using doubled haploid population of Avalon × Cadenza crosse, we also lack intuitive phenotypic evidence, and the molecular mechanism of TaTOE1 gene involved in the regulation of flowering time is still not clear [31]. In addition, it was also discovered that the TaTOE1-B1 genome produces multiple transcripts through alternative splicing. The three transcripts may have different biological functions, and which transcript plays the
primary role in flowering inhibition is still unclear. This study further confirms that TaTOE1-B1-3 may be the key transcript in delaying flowering time and preliminarily explores its molecular mechanism. This work further enriches our understanding of TOE genes in plants and provides a reference for understanding the adaptive formation mechanism of wheat. At the same time, it also provides a theoretical basis for the regulation of flowering time in the practice of wheat breeding.

2. Results

2.1. Bioinformatics Analysis of TaTOE-B1

Based on the annotation analysis, the wheat TaTOE1-B1 gene can generate three alternative splice variants: TaTOE1-B1-1 (ID: TraesCS1B02G076300.1), TaTOE1-B1-2 (ID: TraesCS1B02G076300.2), and TaTOE1-B1-3 (ID: TraesCS1B02G076300.3) [31,34]. TaTOE1-B1-1 is the longest transcript, with a length of 2227 bp. Compared to TaTOE1-B1-1, TaTOE1-B1-2 and TaTOE1-B1-3 have a deletion of 45 bp (1219–1264 bp) in the coding sequence (CDS). The deletion site (1219–1264 bp) is located in the second AP2 domain, and the loss of the 45 bp fragment does not cause a frame shift mutation. In addition to the above deletion, TaTOE1-B1-2 has another 7 bp (1603–1611 bp) deletion in the CDS, which can lead to frameshift mutation and the premature termination of translation (Figure 1A and Figure S1). TaTOE1-B1-1 encodes a protein of 512 amino acids (Figure S2), with a molecular weight (MW) of about 55.7 kDa and a theoretical isoelectric point (PI) of 6.47; TaTOE1-B1-3 encodes a protein of 497 amino acids, with a MW of about 54.0 kDa and a theoretical PI of 6.71, and TaTOE1-B1-2 encodes a protein of 434 amino acids, with a MW of about 47.5 kDa and a theoretical PI of 6.33. The conserve domain identification shows that all three transcripts have two AP2 domains (AP2-domain 1 and AP2-domain 2) and belong to the AP2 family.

Next, the longest protein, TaTOE1-B1-1, was used as the input sequence to retrieve homologous proteins, and proteins highly homologous to wheat TaTOE1-B1-1 were retrieved in various species. Sequence alignment analysis shows that the homologous proteins in different species are highly conserved, and the first AP2 domain (AP2-domain 1) is more conserved than the second (AP2-domain 2) (Figure 1B). Phylogenetic analysis based on these proteins shows that TaTOE1-B1-1 homologous proteins in monocot and dicot plants are clearly divided into two sub-branches, and the degree of homology gradually increases with the recursion of genetic relationship among species. TaTOE1-B1-1 is closely related to RSR1, which has been reported in rice, and Arabidopsis TOE3 is a direct homologous protein of TaTOE1-B1-1 in the model plant Arabidopsis (Figure 2). In addition, it is worth noting that proteins with a 15 amino acid deletion similar to TaTOE1-B1-3 are also found in some species. These results suggest that the alternative splicing reported in this study may be prevalent in multiple species.

2.2. Cloning of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3

Due to the obvious premature transcription termination of TaTOE-B1-2, we tried to isolate the full-length CDS of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 from the total cDNA of common wheat cultivar Chinese Spring by RT-PCR with a pair of common primers (Clone-F and Clone-R) (Table S1). Sequencing results show that the obtained sequences were consistent with the database description, indicating that we successfully obtained the complete CDS of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3. In addition, the abundance of the two transcripts may vary in different tissues, and the TaTOE1-B1-1 sequence is more easily cloned in root tissue than in leaf. Among the nine positive clones used for sequencing, most of them were TaTOE1-B1-1 and only two were TaTOE1-B1-3. These results also indicate that the two transcripts may have some spatial and temporal expression characteristics.
2.3. Overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-3 Results in Considerable Delayed Flowering Time in Transgenic Arabidopsis

TaTOE1 genes (TaTOE1-A1, TaTOE1-B1, and TaTOE1-D1) exist in three wheat subgenomes simultaneously, and each one can generate multiple transcripts, which makes it more difficult to analyze the functions of different transcripts. In order to conduct such an analysis, overexpressed Arabidopsis transgenic lines of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 were constructed. PCR and SqRT-PCR identification of transgenic Arabidopsis indicate that the target genes integrated into the Arabidopsis genome were efficiently expressed in the heterologous system (Figure 3A). The transgenic progeny of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 show different phenotypic characteristics (Figure 3B–D). Under long-day (16 h light/8 h dark) conditions, the wild-type (WT) and TaTOE1-B1-1 transgenic lines began flowering at about 35 days and had 17 rosette leaves (Figure 3E,F). There was no significant difference between the WT and TaTOE1-B1-1 transgenic lines. TaTOE1-B1-3 lines began flowering at about 55 days and had 36 rosette leaves, which is significantly different from the WT
and TaTOE1-B1 transgenic lines. These results show that heterologous overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-3 leads to a serious delay in flowering time, and a significantly higher number of rosette leaves than in WT and TaTOE1-B1-1 transgenic lines.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree constructed by neighbor-joining (NJ) method (bootstrap = 1000). Species name and protein ID of homologous proteins shown at ends of branches.

2.4. Overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-3 Downregulates AtFT and AtSOC1 Expression in Transgenic Arabidopsis

In Arabidopsis, heterologous expression of wheat TaTOE1-B1-3 significantly delays flowering. In order to further elucidate the mechanism of TaTOE1-B1-3, we investigated its effects on several key flowering genes in Arabidopsis. AtFT, a direct flowering promotion factor integrating photoperiodic signal is regulated by AtCO; AtSOC1, an integrator of vernalization and autonomic pathways, is regulated by AtFLC and directly promotes flowering [6,35].

Compared to WT, overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-3 or TaTOE1-B1-1 significantly downregulates the expression of AtCO, AtFT, AtFLC, and AtSOC1 (Figure 4). Notably, although AtCO and AtFLC expression is reduced, there are no significant differences between TaTOE1-B1-3 and TaTOE1-B1-1 transgenic lines (Figure 4A,B). The inhibition of AtFT and AtSOC1 expression in TaTOE1-B1-3 transgenic lines is significantly stronger than in TaTOE1-B1-1 (Figure 4C,D).
Figure 3. Phenotypic identification of *Arabidopsis* transgenic lines. (A) Gene expression analysis of *TaTOE1-B1-1* and *TaTOE1-B1-3*. WT, wild-type; 1-1, 1-2, 1-3: three lines of transgenic *TaTOE1-B1-1*; 3-1, 3-2, 3-3: three lines of transgenic *TaTOE1-B1-3*; (B) Phenotype of *TaTOE1-B1-1* and *TaTOE1-B1-3* transgenic lines under long-day conditions (bar = 2 cm); (C) WT line at 49 days; (D) *TaTOE1-B1-3* transgenic line at 49 days; (E) Days of flowering; (F) Number of rosette leaves. Data presented in (E,F) are means ± SD of each line (*n* > 10). Different lowercase letters above bars indicate significant difference at *p* < 0.05.
Figure 4. Quantitative analysis of flowering-related genes in Arabidopsis when WT is flowering: (A) AtCO; (B) AtFLC; (C) AtFT; (D) AtSOC1. WT, wild-type; 1-1, 1-2, 1-3: three lines of transgenic TaTOE1-B1-1; 3-1, 3-2, 3-3: three lines of transgenic TaTOE1-B1-3. Each value represents a plant line containing at least three biological and three technical replicates. Data presented are means ± SD. Different lowercase letters above bars indicate significant difference at \( p < 0.05 \).

2.5. TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 Are Localized in the Nucleus and Have Transcriptional Activation Activity

Subcellular localization can help us to understand the biological function of the protein. Under confocal microscope, the fluorescence signals are concentrated in the nucleus, indicating that TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 are mainly located in the nucleus (Figure 5).

Detection of yeast toxicity and transcriptional activation provides the basis for further exploration of the mechanism of TaTOE1-B1-3 in the eukaryotic model system. TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 have no significant toxic effects on the yeast system, and both have transcriptional activation activity, which confirms that these two proteins may be involved in the transcriptional regulation of gene expression as transcription factors in the nucleus (Figure 6A). The transcriptional activation domain is found to be located in the C-terminal of TaTOE1-B1-3 (Figure 6B).
2.6. Identification of Interaction between Proteins TaTOE1-B1-3 and TaPIFI

In order to further clarify the molecular mechanism of TaTOE1-B1-3, we screened its interaction proteins. First, through an online STRING database prediction, we identified several candidate proteins that may interact with TaTOE1-B1-3, and finally isolated four interacting protein coding genes from wheat named TaTCP5-A1, TaARF16-B1, TaSBP1-D6, and TaMYB85-D5. Point-to-point analysis in the Y2H system shows that TaTOE1-B1-3 can interact with TaARF16-B1 and TaTCP5-A1, but the fluorescent signals are almost invisible in the BiFC system. This may indicate that the interaction is either too weak or nonexistent (Figure 7A). Then, the interaction proteins of TaTOE1-B1-3 were further screened through mating, and some positive clones were screened. According to the database annotation information, we finally selected a candidate protein, TaPIFI, for further verification; the interaction was verified in the yeast system, and the interaction signal in the BiFC system was mainly concentrated in the nucleus (Figure 7A,B).
3. Discussion

Flowering time is a key stage in the life generation of seed plants and a proper flowering time is crucial for plants. In wheat production, flowering time is also one of the prime agronomic traits that breeders focus on. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of plant adaptation, identifying the key genes involved in crop adaptation, and exploiting the available genetic resources in major crops are important for us to cope with climate change and improve grain yield. This study further confirms that TaTOE1-B1-3 may be the functional transcript of TaTOE1-B1 in wheat flowering time regulation. Under long-day conditions, overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-3 results in prolonged vegetative growth time, delayed flowering time, and enlarged vegetative body. TaTOE1-B1-3, as a transcription factor, delays flowering by inhibiting the expression of key flowering genes, FT and SOC1, and the interaction relationship between TaPIF1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 is identified, which deepens our understanding of TOE genes in plants. At the same time, it also provides available resources for the genetically engineered breeding of some plants that use plant nutrients as harvesting organs, such as forage grass and vegetables [36].

3.1. Differences in 15 Amino Acids May Be Important to the Functional Difference between TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3

The existence of alternative splicing in plants shows the specialization of gene function in the process of biological evolution, which is considered a universal phenomenon in organisms. The expression characteristics of different transcripts produced by the same gene, the functional positions of proteins coding by these transcripts, and the biological functions of these proteins may be different [37,38]. Previous studies have shown that MaMYB16 produces two transcripts, MaMYB16L and MaMYB16S, with opposite functions in controlling fruit ripening through alternative splicing [39]. MaMYB16L is a transcription inhibitor that inhibits fruit ripening by directly downregulating the expression of MaDREB2; MaMYB16S loses the ability to bind to DNA, but can bind to MaMYB16L competitively, and then form inactive dimers that ultimately promote fruit ripeness.

In this study, three transcripts (TaTOE1-B1-1, TaTOE1-B1-2, and TaTOE1-B1-3) were generated from the same genome DNA by alternative splicing. Our experiments prove that heterologous overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-3 reveals a significant delay in flowering time of transgenic Arabidopsis, but that of TaTOE1-B1-1 did not. Referring to relevant reports, we preliminarily speculate that there may be two main reasons for this difference. First, the loss of the sixth exon results in a difference of 15 amino acids within the...
second AP2 domain of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3, which might be the key to the phenotypic difference. Second, some previous studies showed that miRNA172 plays an important role in the regulation of AP2 family members in flower organ differentiation in plants [24,32,33,40,41]. Overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-1 had no effect on flowering date. This suggests that the potential regulatory mechanisms in this study might not be similar to the reported regulatory mechanism of MaMYB16. Whether amino acid deletion leads to TaTOE1-B1-3 recognition of specific downstream genes has not been confirmed. Although the miRNA172 target sequences of the two transcripts are completely identical, we questioned whether the flanking sequence would affect the mRNA secondary structure and further influence the target recognition of miRNA172. We used a semi-quantitative method to preliminarily identify the expression of two transcripts in transgenic Arabidopsis, and the results show that both transcripts are effectively expressed (Figure 3A). This suggests that the phenotypic differences may not be caused by miRNA172-mediated post-transcriptional regulation. We are inclined to think that the differences in these 15 amino acids may be vital to the functional differences between the two transcripts, which needs to be further proven. If the hypothesis is correct, the following work will verify whether TaTOE-B1-2 has the function of delaying flowering due to the absence of the sixth exon.

3.2. The Main Reason for Flowering Delay May Be Downregulated Expression of FT and SOC1

As a floral inhibitor, TaTOE1-B1-3 has effects on some key genes in the floral pathway of plants. There are many reports on downstream genes regulated by TOEs in plants, but they are not systematic. Many studies have revealed that TOEs regulate CO, while others have confirmed that TOEs directly regulate FT [19]. TOE genes are thought to be involved in the photoperiod pathway; however, whether they influence key factors in the vernalization and autonomous pathways has not been reported in detail.

In order to further understand the mechanism of TaTOE1-B1-3, we investigated its effects on the expression of several flower-forming key genes in model plants using heterogenous transgenic lines [3,6]. The results in this study reveal that overexpression of both TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 could inhibit the expression of AtCO and AtFLC, but there was no significant difference between the transgenic lines. Overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-3 showed stronger inhibition of AtFT and AtSOC1, which was partially consistent with some previous studies [42–44]. Therefore, inhibition of AtFT and AtSOC1 expression by overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-3 is important for delayed flowering, but whether this inhibition is direct or indirect is still unclear. We further attempted to analyze the promoter regions of FT and SOC1 in Arabidopsis and wheat, and found a large number of cis-elements recognized by AP2/ERF transcription factors, such as WBOXNTERF3 and RAV1AAT. This may also imply that TaTOE1-B1-3 regulates the expression of FT and SOC1 directly.

AtFT and AtSOC1 are direct determinants of flower formation in plants [35]. In this study, a noteworthy problem is that, although the overexpression of TaTOE1-B1-1 had a certain inhibitory effect on the expression levels of AtCO, AtFLC, AtFT, and AtSOC1 in Arabidopsis, it was consistent with the flowering time of WT. It is interesting that the expression level of AtFT and AtSOC1 underwent stronger inhibition in TaTOE1-B1-3 transgenic lines, and the flowering time was seriously delayed. Previous studies have shown that the expression of Ppd genes changes with day length. The expression of Ppd genes reached a peak when the day length reached a threshold. Similar characteristics have been reported in some studies on CO [4,19]. Therefore, we preliminarily speculate that FT and SOC1 in plants may be directly or indirectly affected by the external environment and internal factors, and thus have a dose-dependent mode of action. However, this study only focuses on the functional differences of three transcripts of TaTOE1-B1. As for their expression characteristics, we have not been able to speculate at present.
3.3. TaTOE1-B1-3 May Act as a Broad Floral Inhibitor in Plants

The AtTOE1 gene is seen as a major floral inhibitor and has a high expression level in the early stage of Arabidopsis. With the gradual decrease of the expression level of AtTOE1 gene, the inhibitory effect on floral formation is gradually weakened [19]. Under the action of environmental signals and internal factors, AtTOE1 expression is gradually decreased in a variety of direct and indirect ways, such as changing its initial expression level and the post-transcriptional regulation mechanism mediated by miRNA172, so that plants can start flower development at an appropriate time [19,32]. In wheat, previous studies detected similar changes in the expression level of TaTOE1-B1-3 gene during the critical period of vegetative growth to reproductive growth, which preliminarily indicates that this gene in wheat may have a function similar to AtTOE1 in Arabidopsis [31]. In addition, qRT-PCR results show that TaTOE1-B1-3 inhibits AtFLC and AtSOC1 to a certain degree. AtFLC is considered as the signal integration factor of vernalization and autonomous pathways. These results suggest that the TOEs may act as universal flower suppressors in plants, not just in the photoperiodic pathway. However, the cDNA library screening in this study still obtained multiple chloroplast targeted photosystem proteins, indicating that TaTOE1-B1-3 may mainly affect flowering time through the photoperiod pathway.

3.4. Protein Interaction between TaTOE1-B1-3 and TaPIFI May Play an Important Role in Flowering Inhibition

Flowering is observed as an external expression, but it involves multiple physiological and biochemical processes inside plants. In order to further understand the molecular mechanism of TaTOE1-B1-3, we tried to screen its interacting proteins. Verification of the candidate interaction proteins shows that TaPIFI has a strong interaction effect with TaTOE1-B1-3. In Arabidopsis, PIFI is found to be encoded by a nuclear gene and participates in photoinhibition, chlororespiration, and heat stress, which may play an important role in NAD(P)H dehydrogenase complex-mediated chlororespiratory electron transport [45]. There are also some reports showing that photosynthetic components located in chloroplasts may affect the expression of nuclear genes, which corroborates our results [46]. Therefore, we speculate that the interaction between TaTOE1-B1-3 and TaPIFI may play a certain role in regulating the expression of some flowering-related genes, which is the subject of future work.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Materials and Growing Conditions

The wheat cultivar, Chinese Spring, was used to clone the different transcripts of TaTOE1-B1. Wheat seedlings were cultured in a light incubator at temperatures of 25/19 °C (day/night) and 16/8 h light/dark cycle and irrigated with 1/2 MS nutrient solution. After being cultured for 3–4 weeks, fresh samples of different tissues were taken and total RNA of wheat was extracted.

Arabidopsis (ecotype Columbia) was used to construct transgenic lines of target genes. After sterilization, the seeds were laid on solid MS medium. Two weeks after germination, the seeds were transplanted to culture soil and cultivation was continued in the light incubator (16/8 h light/dark) until further use.

Nicotiana benthamiana plants were used for subcellular localization and bi-molecular fluorescence complementarity. The seeds were first spread in culture soil and cultured in a light incubator (16/8 h light/dark). After 2 weeks, we transplanted them to small pots and continued to culture them for about 3–4 weeks.

4.2. Bioinformatics Analysis of Sequence

The genome DNA, cDNA, CDS, and protein sequence of target genes were downloaded from the EnsemblPlants database (http://plants.ensembl.org/index.html, accessed on 17 September 2021), and information on the 3 transcripts product by the TaTOE1-B1 genome was obtained and used to analyze the gene structure. The ProtParam online
tool (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/, accessed on 17 September 2021) was used to predict the physical and chemical properties of proteins that target gene encoding. The CDD (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi, accessed on 17 September 2021) was used to identify the conserved domains contained in target proteins. The EnsemblPlants online BLAST tool was used to obtain the protein sequences of the homologous TaTOE-B1-1 genes in multiple species, and the longest protein sequence encoded by TaTOE1-B1-1 was used as the input sequence. DNAMAN V9 was used for multi-sequence alignment. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by using MEGA7.0, the neighbor-joining (NJ) method and bootstrap set to 1000 times. Cis-element analysis was performed by using the new PLACE online tool (https://www.dna.affrc.go.jp/PLACE/?action=newplace, accessed on 17 September 2021).

4.3. Isolation of TaTOE-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3

Due to the high degree of sequence consistency between different transcripts, according to the reference sequence of wheat, a pair of common primers (Clone-F and Clone-R) were designed for the cloning of TaTOE-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 (Table S1), and the different transcripts were identified by sequencing. Wheat total RNA was extracted by using the Trizol method. After the RNA was detected by electrophoresis and confirmed to be available, total cDNA was obtained by reverse transcription using EasyScript® One-Step GDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis Supermix (TransGen, Beijing, China). Wheat total cDNA was used as a template, and Phanta Max Super-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) was used to amplify the CDS of the target gene by PCR.

4.4. Construction of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 Transgenic Arabidopsis Lines

Homologous arm primers with 5'-flanking restriction endonuclease sites were designed to amplify the target genes. Plant overexpression vector pBI21 was used to construct the recombinant overexpression vectors. After digestion by the restriction endonuclease BamH I and Sac I (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), the plasmid was reconstructed by a ClonExpress® II one-step cloning kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) to obtain the overexpression vectors of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3. Arabidopsis inflorescence was infected using a dipping method to obtain transgenic lines [47]. The transgenic progeny was screened on solid MS medium containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin (Kan). The screened positive seedlings were transplanted into culture soil, and then the DNA of plant leaves was extracted at the sixth week for genome identification. The total PCR volume (20 µL) was composed of 10 µL of 2× PCR mix, 1 µL of DNA template, 1 µL of forward primer (pBI-CDs-F), 1 µL reverse primer (pBI-CDs-R), and 7 µL of sterile nuclease-free water, and the conditions for the PCR were as follows: 95 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 70 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 5 min.

4.5. Phenotypic Identification of Transgenic Progeny

The transgenic positive lines were propagated to T3 generation for phenotypic identification. WT Arabidopsis was used as control to evaluate the phenotypes of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 transgenic progeny. First, the primer pair Clone-F and Clone-R was used to test the target gene expression level in Arabidopsis progeny by semi-quantitative reverse transcription and polymerase chain reaction (SqRT-PCR). Then, the number of leaves in the rosette were counted when the plants produced the first flower, the days from germination to flowering of each line were counted, and some pictures were taken [35].

4.6. qRT-PCR Analysis

Leaves of transgenic lines and WT were obtained when the WT Arabidopsis began flowering. To eliminate the effects of the circadian clock on flowering-related genes, all plants were grown under long-day conditions and samples were taken 3 h after dawn. Each transgenic sample contained 3 lines, and RNA extraction and reverse transcription were performed as described above. AtPP2A was used as an internal reference, the expression of...
several flowering-related genes (AtCO, AtFT, AtSOC1, and AtFLC) was analyzed by using PerfectStart™ Green qPCR Supermix (Transgen, Beijing, China), the primer sequence and reaction procedures referred to Wang’s method, and the expression level was evaluated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [35,48]. Each sample contained at least 3 biological and 3 technical replicates.

4.7. Subcellular Localization

The open reading frames of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3, excluding the stop codon (TGA), were amplified and subcloned into the pCAMBIA1302-GFP vector (CaMV35s promoter), resulting in CaMV35s::TaTOE1-B1-1-GFP and CaMV35s::TaTOE1-B1-3-GFP. The corresponding primers are listed in Table S1. GFP driven by the CaMV35s promoter (CaMV35s::GFP) was used as control. After the recombinant vector was sequenced correctly, it was transformed into Agrobacterium GV3101 strain and propagated in LB liquid medium containing 50 µg/mL Kan, 25 µg/mL rifampin (Rif), and 50 µg/mL gentamicin (Gen). Resuspended buffer solution (100 mM/mL magnesium chloride (MgCl$_2$), 100 mM/mL 2-(N-morpholine) sulfonic acid (MES), 200 µm/mL acetosyringone (AS)) was used for transient expression in tobacco leaves. The fluorescence signal was observed by FV-1200 laser confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) under excitation of 488 nm [49].

4.8. Transcriptional Activator Activity Analysis

Two restriction endonuclease sites, EcoRI and SalI, were selected, and the coding sequences of TaTOE1-B1-1 and TaTOE1-B1-3 were inserted into the bait vector pGBK7 by homologous recombination. The recombinant vector was transferred into Y2HGold strain yeast and coated on SD/-Trp solid medium. Mono-clones were selected and cultured at a constant temperature and shaken for 3–5 days (30 °C, 250 rpm). The yeast solution was adjusted to OD$_{600}$ = 1.0, diluted at a gradient of 1, 10$^{-1}$, 10$^{-2}$, and 10$^{-3}$, then divided into 1 µL portions by dropping it on SD/-Trp and SD/-Trp/-His/-Ade solid medium. The growth of the colonies was observed and recorded after 3–5 days of inverted culture at 30 °C to determine whether there was self-activation and toxicity according to colony status.

4.9. Prediction and Library Screening of Candidate TaTOE1-B1-3 Interaction Proteins

The STRING protein interaction database (https://string-db.org/cgi/input.pl, accessed on 17 September 2021) was used to predict the protein interaction network of TaTOE1-B1-3, then the prediction proteins were used as input sequences to search the wheat genome protein database in a species-limited way, and the candidate proteins in wheat were identified. According to the annotated information of these candidate proteins, 4 candidate proteins that may be related to flowering were screened and the coding regions of these genes were isolated from wheat cDNA by using RT-RCR. According to the results of transcriptional activation activity, the bait vector (pGBK7-N-domain) was used to screen interacting proteins in a wheat cDNA library, and the candidate proteins were screened according to the process of the yeast bimating screening library in the nuclear system (Oebiotech, Shanghai, China). The wheat cDNA library used in this experiment was constructed by Wei [50]. The candidate clones were stained with X-α-gal on SD/-Trp/-His/-Leu/-Ade medium. Monoclonals that could change to blue were selected and their plasmid was extracted, then the sequencing results were run through the NCBI BLAST program (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, accessed on 17 September 2021) for obtaining some annotation information. We finally identified a candidate protein coding gene for subsequent complementary validation.

4.10. Point-to-Point Analysis in Y2H System

Based on the annotation information in the database, we preliminarily identified 4 candidate interacting protein coding genes that we had predicted and one screened from the cDNA library. The CDS of these candidate genes was isolated from the total cDNA of the Chinese Spring wheat cultivar. These genes were recombined into pGADT7 vector to
construct the prey vector; pGBK7-N+domain was used as bait, pGBK7-53+ pGADT7-T was used as positive control and pGBK7-Lam + pGADT7-T as negative control, and recombinant bait vectors and prey vector were respectively combined and co-transformed into yeast strain Y2H, then cultured on SD/-Trp/-Leu solid medium for 3 days. Then, the detected positive yeast monoclonal plaque was transferred to SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade/+X-α-Gal solid medium for further culture for about 3 days, and we observed whether yeast could grow normally and show blue color.

4.11. Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) Analysis

First, the recombinant vectors NeYFP-TaTOE1-B1-3, CeYFP-ARF16-B1, and CeYFP-TaPIFI were constructed, and the recombinant plasmid with correct sequencing was transferred into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 (P-SOUP) strain. Positive clones were selected and propagated in liquid LB (50 µg/mL Kan, 25 µg/mL Rif, and 50 µg/mL Gen). The bacteria were suspended by using the resuspension solution described above, and the OD$_{600}$ was adjusted to 0.8. The resuspended NeYFP-TaTOE1-B1-3 strain was mixed with CeYFP-TaPIFI or CeYFP-ARF18 at a volume ratio of 1:1. After being placed in the dark for 1–3 h, the tobacco leaves were injected with syringes. Two days later, fluorescence signals were observed by using a confocal microscope.
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