Physical insights from the spectrum of the radio halo in MACS J0717.5+3745
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ABSTRACT

We present new LOw-Frequency ARray observations of the massive merging galaxy cluster MACS J0717.5+3745, located at a redshift of 0.5458. The cluster hosts the most powerful radio halo known to date. These new observations, in combination with published uGMRT (300–850 MHz) and VLA (1–6.5 GHz) data, reveal that the halo is more extended than previously thought, with a largest linear size of ∼2.2 Mpc, making it one of the largest known halos. The halo shows a steep spectrum (α1.4 GHz ∼−1.4) and a steepening (α1.5 GHz ∼−1.9) above 1.5 GHz. We find a strong scattering in spectral index maps on scales of 50–100 kpc. We suggest that such a strong scattering may be a consequence of the regime where inverse Compton dominates the energy losses of electrons. The spectral index becomes steeper and shows an increased curvature in the outermost regions of the halo. We combined the radio data with Chandra observations to investigate the connection between the thermal and nonthermal components of the intracluster medium (ICM). Despite a significant substructure in the halo emission, the radio brightness correlates strongly with the X-ray brightness at all observed frequencies. The radio–versus-X-ray brightness correlation slope steepens at a higher radio frequency (from b114 MHz = 0.67 ± 0.05 to b30 GHz = 0.98 ± 0.09) and the spectral index shows a significant anticorrelation with the X-ray brightness. Both pieces of evidence further support a spectral steepening in the external regions. The compelling evidence for a steep spectral index, the existence of a spectral break above 1.5 GHz, and the dependence of radio and X-ray surface brightness correlation on frequency are interpreted in the context of turbulent reacceleration models. Under this scenario, our results allowed us to constrain that the turbulent kinetic pressure of the ICM is up to 10%.
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1. Introduction

Galaxy clusters host nonthermal components in the form of μG magnetic fields and relativistic particles mixed with the thermal intracluster medium (ICM). In many merging clusters, these nonthermal components manifest as highly-extended synchrotron sources, broadly classified as radio halos and radio relics. The emission in these sources is not associated with individual galaxies in the cluster, hinting at a large-scale (re)acceleration mechanism powering the radio emitting electrons (see van Weeren et al. 2019, for a recent review). The radio spectra of such sources are steep1 (α ≤ −1).

Both halos and relics are typically associated with merging clusters (e.g., Buote 2001; Giacintucci et al. 2008; Cassano et al. 2010a; Finoguenov et al. 2010; van Weeren et al. 2011), suggesting that cluster mergers play a major role in their formation. However, despite progress in understanding of radio halos and relics, the exact formation mechanism is not fully understood (Brunetti & Jones 2014, for a review).

Our paper focuses on the radio halo in the galaxy cluster MACS J0717.5+3745. Giant radio halos are megaparsec scale sources that are located in the center of a cluster and are usually unpolarized, regardless of the frequencies at which they have been observed. The radio emission from halos typically follows the X-ray emission (Govoni et al. 2001a; Pearce et al. 2017; Rajpurohit et al. 2018; Botteon et al. 2020). Statistical studies of radio halos reveal various correlations between the radio power at 1.4 GHz and thermal properties of the cluster, such as mass and X-ray luminosity (e.g., Cassano et al. 2013), supporting a tight connection between the thermal and nonthermal components in the ICM.

The currently favored scenario for the formation of radio halos involves the reacceleration of cosmic ray electrons (CRe)
to higher energies by turbulence induced during mergers (e.g., Brunetti et al. 2001; Petrosoni 2001; Brunetti & Lazarian 2007, 2016; Miniati 2015). The generation of secondary particles via hadronic collisions in the ICM provides an additional mechanism (Dennison 1980; Blasi & Colafrancesco 1999). However, the current gamma ray limits imply that the energy budget of cosmic rays is too small to explain radio halos (Jeltema & Profumo 2011; Brunetti et al. 2012; Ackermann et al. 2014, 2016). Nevertheless, secondary particles may still contribute a relevant part of the pool of electrons that are reaccelerated in the reacceleration scenario (e.g., Brunetti et al. 2017; Pinzke et al. 2017).

Radio halos have been observed with a range of spectral indices, mainly between $-1.1$ and $-1.4$ (van Weeren et al. 2019). The discovery of ultra-steep spectrum radio halos, that is $\alpha < -1.5$ (Brunetti et al. 2008; Bonafede et al. 2012; Giacintucci et al. 2013; Wilber et al. 2018) has also provided support for the turbulent reacceleration model, connecting steeper spectral indices with more inefficient acceleration stages. However, despite much progress in both theory and observations, our understanding of the complex chain of physical processes that connect mergers with the generation and evolution of turbulence and the acceleration of particles remains limited.

The galaxy cluster MACS J0717.5+3745, located at a redshift of $z = 0.5458$, is one of the most complex dynamically disturbed systems (e.g., Ebeling et al. 2001; Edge et al. 2003). Optical and X-ray observations of MACS J0717.5+3745 revealed that it consists of at least four components belonging to different merging subclusters (Limousin et al. 2016; van Weeren et al. 2017). Recently, Jauzac et al. (2018) reported that the cluster is dominated by 9 subgroup-like structures. The X-ray luminosity of the cluster is $L_{X, 0.1-2.4\text{keV}} = 2.4 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. It is one of the hottest clusters known currently with an overall ICM temperature of 12.2 $\pm$ 0.4 keV (Ebeling et al. 2007; van Weeren et al. 2017). The mass of the cluster estimated from the Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) Planck signal is $M_{500} = (11.487 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ (Planck Collaboration XIII 2016).

MACS J0717.5+3745 hosts one of the most complex radio halos, extending over an area of at least 1.6 Mpc (Bonafede et al. 2009, 2018; van Weeren et al. 2009, 2017; Pandey-Pommier et al. 2013). The 1.4 GHz radio luminosity of the halo is the highest known for any cluster, in agreement with the cluster’s large mass and high global temperature (e.g., Cassano et al. 2013). The detection of polarized emission was claimed by Bonafede et al. (2009).

The cluster was observed with the LOFAR-High Band Antenna (HBA) by Bonafede et al. (2018). These observations revealed a large 1.9 Mpc radio arc to the northwest of the main relic and a radio bridge that connects the cluster to a head-tail radio galaxy located along a filament of galaxies falling into the main cluster.

Table 1. Summary of observations.

| Band          | Configuration | Frequency range | Central frequency | Channel width | On source time (h) | LAS ($^\circ$) |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| LOFAR         | HBA           | 120–168 MHz     | 144 MHz           | 12.2 kHz      | 16                | 3.8           |
| uGMRT (†)     | Band 3        | 330–500 MHz     | 400 MHz           | 97 kHz        | 6                 | 1920          |
| uGMRT (†)     | Band 4        | 550–850 MHz     | 700 MHz           | 97 kHz        | 8                 | 1020          |
| VLA L-band (†)| ABCD          | 1–2 GHz         | 1.5 GHz           | 1 MHz         | 15                | 970           |
| VLA S-band (†)| ABCD          | 2–4 GHz         | 3.0 GHz           | 2 MHz         | 13                | 490           |
| VLA C-band (†)| BCD           | 4.5–6.5 GHz     | 5.5 GHz           | 2 MHz         | 12                | 240           |

Notes. Full Stokes polarization information were recorded for VLA, LOFAR, and uGMRT band 4 data. (†) For data reduction steps, we refer to van Weeren et al. (2016a, 2017), Rajpurohit et al. (2021). (‡) Largest angular scale (LAS) that can be recovered by mentioned observations.

Taking advantage of improvements in data processing pipelines, as well as data from the LOFAR (120–168 MHz) Two-metre Sky Survey (LoTSS; Shimwell et al. 2017), in this paper we describe the results of new observations of the galaxy cluster MACS J0717.5+3745. These observations are complemented by VLA L-band (1–2 GHz), S-band (2–4 GHz), C-band (4.5–6.5 GHz) observations, as well as uGMRT Band 3 (300–500 MHz) and Band 4 (550–850 MHz) observations. The outline of this paper is as follows: in Sect. 2, we describe the observations and data reduction. The total power images are presented in Sect. 3. This is followed by a detailed analysis and discussion in Sects. 4 and 6, respectively. We summarize our main findings in Sect. 7.

Throughout this paper we assume a ΛCDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70\text{ km} \text{s}^{-1}\text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$. At the cluster’s redshift, 1″ corresponds to a physical scale of 6.4 kpc. All output images are in the J2000 coordinate system and are corrected for primary beam attenuation.

2 Observation and data reduction

The first LOFAR HBA observations of MACS J0717.5+3745 were presented by Bonafede et al. (2018). In that work, the authors used 5 h observations carried out in Cycle 0, and the data were calibrated using FACTOR (van Weeren et al. 2016b). Here, we use newer data from LoTSS, see Table 1 for observational details.

MACS J0717.5+3745 was covered by two LoTSS pointings (P110+39 and P109+37) on 2019 December 5 and 2020 January 21. The phase center were offset by $-1.9^\circ$ and $-1^\circ$ from the MACS J0717.5+3745 cluster center for P110+39 and P109+37, respectively. Since the LOFAR field of view is about 5° at 120–168 MHz, this phase offset do not affect our observations. Each LoTSS pointing consists of an 8 h observation with 10 min scans of the flux density calibrator using HBA stations in the HBA_DUAL_INNER mode, and is processed with the standard Surveys Key Science Project pipeline2 (see Shimwell et al. 2019; Tasse et al. 2021). This pipeline aims to correct for direction-independent and direction-dependent effects using PREFACTOR (van Weeren et al. 2016b; Williams et al. 2016; de Gasperin et al. 2019) and K11MS (Tasse 2014a,b; Smirnov & Tasse 2015), and to produce images

2 https://github.com/mhardcastle/ddf-pipeline
of the entire LOFAR field-of-view using dDFacet (Tasse et al. 2018).

To improve the accuracy of the calibration toward the target, the two direction-dependent calibrated data sets were joined to perform an additional common calibration scheme (van Weeren et al. 2020). This method consists of subtracting off all sources outside a small region containing the cluster, using the models derived from the pipeline, and then performing several iterations of phase and amplitude self-calibration in the extracted region to optimize the solutions at this location. In the process, we apply the correction for the LOFAR station beam at the position of the target.

The imaging of the LOFAR data was done in WSClean (Offringa et al. 2014). To emphasize the radio emission on various spatial scales, we created images over a wide range of resolutions and with different uv-tapers and weighting schemes. In this paper, we also use VLA (L-, S- and C-band) and uGMRT (300–850 MHz) data. For the data reduction process used for each of these auxiliary datasets, we refer to van Weeren et al. (2016a, 2017), Rajpurohit et al. (2021). All radio observations used in the paper are summarized in Table 1.

The flux density uncertainties are estimated as follows:

\[ \Delta S = \sqrt{(f_{\text{scale}} \cdot S)^2 + \sigma_{\text{rms}}^2 \cdot N_b}, \]  

where \( S \) denotes the flux density of the source, \( f_{\text{scale}} \) the flux density scale uncertainty, \( \sigma_{\text{rms}} \) the noise in the image and \( N_b \) the number of beams necessary to cover the source. For the VLA data, we assume absolute flux calibration uncertainties of 4% in L-band (Perley & Butler 2013) and 2.5% in S- and C-band (Perley & Butler 2013). For the LOFAR (144 MHz) and uGMRT (Band 3 and Band 4) data, we assume a flux density scale uncertainty of 10% (Chandra et al. 2004).

3. Results

Figure 1 presents the LOFAR 144 MHz radio continuum images of MACS J0717.5+3745 created with different uv-tapering. The new LOFAR images reveal both the previously known halo (H1+H2) and the relic emission. We label the sources as per van Weeren et al. (2017). Bonafede et al. (2018) (the top-left panel of Fig. 1) and append new sources to that list.

The top-left panel of Fig. 1 shows our deep 5′′ × 5′′ resolution LOFAR image, made using robust = −0.25 weighting. The most prominent source in the image is the large chair-shaped radio relic. The image shows two distinct radio sources inside the cluster. A narrow angle-tailed-galaxy (NAT) is embedded in the southern part of the relic emission. Its tails are more extended at frequencies below 700 MHz and bends to the south of the cluster (Rajpurohit et al. 2021). In the new LOFAR observation, we detected one additional radio galaxy, labeled as AGN. This source is only detected at 144 MHz.

Moving out of the cluster, there are two prominent sources, FRI and B, that are visible at all the observed frequencies; see Fig. 2. The FRI is a linearly shaped foreground Fanaroff-Riley I type galaxy. Its lobes are prominent in the uGMRT and VLA images, as shown in Fig. 2. The source B is a head-tail radio galaxy falling into the cluster along the large optical filament (Ebeling et al. 2004). The source A is a compact source.

In Fig. 2, we show MACS J0717.5+3745 images from 144 MHz to 5.5 GHz. These images have been convolved to the common resolution of 8′′. The most striking result is that in our new LOFAR images, the halo emission is more extended than previously seen by Bonafede et al. (2018), in particular to the north and west of the halo (see top left and top middle panels of Fig. 2). At 3.5′′, the largest linear size (LLS) of the halo is about 2.2 Mpc at 144 MHz.

The overall morphology of the halo emission appears similar in our LOFAR, uGMRT Band 3/Band 4 and VLA L-, S-, and C-band images, see Fig. 2. However, the halo is more elongated to the north and northwest compared to images below 1.5 GHz. The extended northern and the western part of the halo are not detected in the highly sensitive VLA L-, S-, and C-band images (see bottom panels of Fig. 2). This may suggests that the external regions of the halo exhibit a steeper spectrum than in the central region. Similar trends have been reported for the halo in Abell 1656 (hereafter the ‘Coma cluster’; Giovannini et al. 1993; Deiss et al. 1997).

Previously published 147 MHz LOFAR images show that the halo emission extends toward the east of the relic (Bonafede et al. 2018), we confirm this. The eastern emission is also detected in the uGMRT image; see top right panel Fig. 2. However, we note that there are a few filamentary structures, visible in the VLA (L-, S- and C-band) images that emerge from the relic to the east. The eastern lobe of the FRI galaxy also seems to dominate the emission. Therefore, the emission to the east of the relic is very likely associated with those filaments and the FRI galaxy rather than the halo.

The VLA high resolution L-, S-, and C-band images suggested the presence of several fine filamentary structures within the halo region with sizes from 100 to 300 kpc (van Weeren et al. 2017). Two of these filaments that extend from the relic are labeled as ‘F1’ and ‘F2’. The distinctions of F1 and F2 as filaments is made on the basis of the high resolution (above 3′′ resolution) VLA images as these features appear filamentary between 1 and 6.5 GHz; see van Weeren et al. (2017). At high frequencies, the boundaries of both filaments are relatively well defined. F1 also shows some hint of spectral index gradients, suggesting a shock origin (van Weeren et al. 2017). At 5′′ resolution, our new LOFAR image also shows significant substructure, in general, in the halo region (see top left panel of Fig. 1). In spite of the accurate characterization of the halo emission, it remains uncertain whether or not these substructures are associated with the halo or whether they are related to shocks (similar to relics). We note that in our analysis we do not consider F1 and F2 as a part of the halo as they are highly polarized between 1 and 6.5 GHz (see the right panel of Fig. 3) and we suggest that they are not associated with emission from the halo (Rajpurohit et al., in prep.).

We also imaged the VLA L-, S-, and C-band data at 20′′ resolution, using robust = 0. The VLA L-band low resolution image is presented in the left panel of Fig. 3. The image reveals more emission to the north and west at 1.5 GHz. However, this extension of the halo emission is not detected in the VLA S- and C-band low resolution images.

Another key difference with respect to the previous 147 MHz LOFAR image is that we do not detect the 1.9 Mpc large arc-shaped source to the west of the cluster (identified by Bonafede et al. 2018). We detect only a few faint patches of faint emission coincident with the northern part of the radio arc. We note that in the previously published 147 MHz LOFAR images, there is a large negative region to the east of the radio arc. Bonafede et al. (2018) also reported a radio-bridge connecting the halo to a head-tail radio galaxy (source B) in the direction of the accreting subgroup along the intergalactic filament. In our new LOFAR images, we do not detect any significant emission at the location of the radio-bridge.

We emphasize that our new LOFAR observations are deeper (16h on-source time) and more sensitive than the published...
Fig. 1. Deep LOFAR 144 MHz images of the galaxy cluster MACS J0717+3745 at different resolutions (5′′, top left; 10′′, top right; 15′′, bottom left; 20′′, bottom right). The known diffuse emission sources—the large-scale halo and the chair-shaped relic—are well recovered by LOFAR at 144 MHz. The halo consists of the northern (H1) and southern (H2) regions. Contour levels are drawn at $[1, 2, 4, 8, \ldots] \times 4.0 \sigma_{\text{rms}}$, where $\sigma_{\text{rms,5''}} = 74 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$, $\sigma_{\text{rms,10''}} = 90 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$, $\sigma_{\text{rms,15''}} = 112 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$, and $\sigma_{\text{rms,25''}} = 133 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$. In these images there is no region below $-4 \sigma_{\text{rms}}$. The images are created with Briggs weighting and robust $= -0.20$. The beam sizes are indicated in the bottom left corner of each image.

LOFAR data (5 h on-source time). The non-detection of both the radio bridge and the radio arc in our new images and the presence of a large negative region at the position of these two sources suggest that these are rather artifacts.

4. Spectral index and curvature analysis

We have used our new radio images at 144 MHz (LOFAR), 300–850 MHz (uGMRT) and 1–6.5 GHz (VLA) to study the spectral characteristics of the halo emission. All the spectral and surface brightness analysis at 144 MHz is done with new LoTSS data only. The VLA and the uGMRT data were originally reported by van Weeren et al. (2017) and Rajpurohit et al. (2021), respectively.

The radio observations reported here were performed using three different interferometric arrays, each with their own $uv$-coverage. The shortest baselines for the LOFAR, uGMRT band 3, uGMRT band 4, VLA L-band, VLA S-band, and VLA C-band data are 0.03 kλ, 0.18 kλ, 0.2 kλ, 0.16 kλ, 0.18 kλ, and 0.2 kλ, respectively. To mitigate biases due to missing scale sizes, we created images with a common inner $uv$-cut of 0.2 kλ. Here, 0.2 kλ is the well-sampled baseline of the uGMRT and the VLA...
Comparison of the halo from 144 MHz to 5.5 GHz. All images are at a common 8″ resolution. Top-middle panel: (111−182 MHz) adopted from Bonafede et al. (2018). The colors in all images were scaled manually. It is evident that the halo emission is more extended toward low frequencies. Contour levels are drawn at \([1, 2, 4, 8, \ldots] \times 3.0 \sigma_{\text{rms}}\), where \(\sigma_{\text{rms}, 144\text{MHz}} = 82 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}\), \(\sigma_{\text{rms}, 147\text{MHz}} = 130 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}\), \(\sigma_{\text{rms}, 575\text{MHz}} = 23 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}\), \(\sigma_{\text{rms}, 1.5\text{GHz}} = 7 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}\), \(\sigma_{\text{rms}, 3.4\text{GHz}} = 3 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}\), and \(\sigma_{\text{rms}, 5.5\text{GHz}} = 3.2 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}\). The beam sizes are indicated in the bottom left corner of each image.

The deconvolution was performed in CASA\(^3\). For imaging we used multiscale clean (Cornwell et al. 2008), W-projection algorithm (Cornwell et al. 2008), and ntterms = 2 (Rau & Cornwell 2011). We also employed a \(uv\)-taper to match the spectral properties of different spatial scales. The images were created using uniform weighting, which compensates best for differences in the sampling density in the \(uv\)-plane when combining different interferometric data. We used the same \(uv\)-cut to create spectral index and curvature maps discussed in Sects. 4.2 and 4.3.

### 4.1. Integrated spectrum

Radio halos show a fairly broad range of spectral indices. However, the spectral shape of halos is still poorly-known, as these studies require high-quality data and good spectral coverage, whereas many historic datasets have been limited in terms of sensitivity, dynamic range and/or \(uv\)-coverage. MACS J0717.5+3745 is one of the few clusters that possesses a wealth of high-quality radio data across a broad frequency range, allowing us to perform a detailed spectral study. To obtain the integrated radio spectrum of the halo, we measured the flux densities from 15″ radio maps. The region where the flux density of the halo was extracted is indicated in the right panel of Fig. 4.

The resulting integrated spectrum is shown in Fig. 4. There is a clear spectral break in the halo spectrum above 1.5 GHz. The data points above 1.5 GHz yield flux densities that are not consistent with a single power-law spectrum. The halo can be characterized by a single power-law below 1.5 GHz, transitioning to a steeper power-law spectrum above 1.5 GHz. A fit to the integrated spectral index between 144 MHz and 1.5 GHz gives \(\alpha_{\text{low}} = -1.39 \pm 0.04\), a steeper value of \(\alpha_{\text{high}} = -1.93 \pm 0.04\) is obtained in the 1.5 to 5.5 GHz range. This implies that the halo spectrum steepens significantly at higher frequencies. We measure the size of the halo from 15″ resolution maps. The largest angular size of the halo at 1.5 GHz, 3 GHz, and 5.5 GHz is 304″, 194″, and 161″, respectively. In Table 1, we listed the LAS recoverable by our radio observations. The observed sizes are much smaller than the LAS of our observations and thus they are not affected by missing spatial scales and missing short baselines.

The spectral index of the MACS J0717.5+3745 halo is already steeper at low frequencies (144 MHz to 1.5 GHz) than has been measured for other well known halos (namely between \(-1.05\) and \(-1.25\)), such as the halos in the 1RXS J0603.3+4214 (aka the Toothbrush cluster; Rajpurohit et al. 2020). Coma

---

\(^3\) [https://casa.nrao.edu/](https://casa.nrao.edu/)
cluster (Deiss et al. 1997), CIZA J2242.8+5301 (aka the Sausage cluster; Hoang et al. 2017; Di Gennaro et al. 2018), and Abell S1063 (Xie et al. 2020). Since MACS J0717.5+3745 is a distant cluster, such a steep spectra might be expected due to the inverse Compton (IC) losses (Cassano 2010; Cassano et al. 2010b). We discuss this in detail in Sect. 6.

We emphasize here that recent analysis of the wideband VLA $1–6.5$ GHz data has revealed the presence of some polarized regions in the halo (Rajpurohit et al., in prep.). These regions are denoted by the cyan boxes in the right panel of Fig. 3. These regions have Rotation Measure (RM) in the range $+8$ to $+20$ rad m$^{-2}$, and rather a low standard deviation of RM

**Fig. 3.** Left: low resolution (20’’) VLA $L$-band image of MACS J0717+3745. The low surface brightness emission visible at 144 MHz, to the west of the cluster, is also clearly recovered in the VLA $L$-band image. Contour levels are drawn at $[1, 2, 4, 8, ...] \times 0.5 \sigma_{\text{rms}}$, where $\sigma_{\text{rms}} = 14 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$. In this image, there is no region below $-4\sigma_{\text{rms}}$. The beam size is indicated in the bottom left corner of the image. Right: box distribution across the halo overlaid on the LOFAR total intensity map at 8” resolution (gray) and polarization contours (blue) at 3 GHz. The cyan boxes show polarized patches found in the halo region. The width of the boxes used to extract the indices is 8”, corresponding to a physical size of about 50 kpc.

**Fig. 4.** Left: integrated spectrum of the halo between 144 MHz and 5.5 GHz. Dashed lines are fitted (between 144 MHz and 1.5 GHz) straight power-laws. The overall spectrum of the halo cannot be described by a single power-law spectrum. The halo spectrum is curved and shows a high frequency spectral steepening above 1.5 GHz. Black and red points show the integrated spectrum of the halo obtained by including and excluding polarized patches, respectively, detected in the halo region. The polarized regions are shown with cyan boxes in the right panel of Fig. 3. Right: VLA 1 GHz image depicting the blue region where the integrated flux densities of the halo was measured (excluding source A). The flux densities are extracted from 15” resolution images, created using uniform weighting with a uv-cut at 0.2 k$\lambda$. The red boxes have a width of 8” used for extracting the radial index profile across the halo.
(\(\sigma_{\text{RM}} \sim 12\, \text{rad}\, \text{m}^{-2}\)). On the other hand, if these structures are located at the cluster center and are associated with the halo, we would expect higher \(\sigma_{\text{RM}}\) values. Consequently, the low \(\sigma_{\text{RM}}\) values suggest that these polarized structures embedded in the halo region are in fact related to shocks projected on the cluster center. These structures indeed affect the integrated spectrum of the halo. Hence, we also obtained the integrated spectrum of the halo by excluding the flux density contribution from those polarized regions.

The red data points in Fig. 4 show the integrated spectrum of the halo when excluding the polarized filaments. The steepening toward high frequency is now even more evident. These results indicate that the MACS J0717.5+3745 halo is among the few which show a high-frequency spectral steepening. Other than the halo in MACS J0717.5+3745, there are only six radio halos where the available data cover frequencies above \(\sim 3\, \text{GHz}\). These are the Coma cluster (Thierbach et al. 2003), 1E0657−56 (aka the Bullet cluster; Shinwell et al. 2014), Abell 2744 (Pearce et al. 2017), the Toothbrush cluster (Rajpurohit et al. 2020), the Sausage cluster (Di Gennaro et al. 2018), and Abell S1063 (Xie et al. 2020). Of these seven halos, high-frequency spectral steepening has been observed in two: the halos in the Coma cluster and Abell S1063.

In principle, the steepening of the spectrum at higher frequencies could be caused by the thermal SZ decrement. To check whether this is the case for our observation, we estimated the possible corrections for the SZ effect to the observed radio halo spectrum at high frequency (e.g., Enßlin 2002; Brunetti et al. 2013). We thus predicted the expected level of the SZ decrement for the halo in the MACS J0717.5+3745 halo, by simulating a gas density and temperature distribution using a \(\beta\)-model, based on Ma et al. (2008), and for the reference temperature of \(\approx 12.2\, \text{keV}\) (van Weeren et al. 2017). To compare the flux density with the same \(\nu\)-coverage of our observations, we apply an inner \(\nu\)-cut of \(\geq 0.2\, \text{kA}\) also for the SZ calculation. We integrated the predicted SZ signal over the area corresponding to the radio halo, assuming a simple model with a uniform pressure distribution. This yielded a total SZ decrement of \(-0.88\, \text{mJy}\) at \(5.5\, \text{GHz}\), \(-0.26\, \text{mJy}\) at \(3.0\, \text{GHz}\) and \(-0.06\, \text{mJy}\) at \(1.5\, \text{GHz}\).

We emphasize here that the halo is elongated and thus has a flat brightness profile, therefore the most of the halo flux density comes from the regions where the pressure (SZ) is small. Since the halo also seems to be seen in projection with the relic emission, therefore we simply exclude the region of the bright relic when measuring the radio flux density of the halo at all observed frequencies. In contrast, the SZ flux density estimates include those location, thus making the ratio between SZ and radio flux biased high. While our simple model provides only a rough estimate of the SZ decrement, these results suggest that the contamination is at most \(-65\%\) of the observed halo flux at \(5.5\, \text{GHz}\). The 65\% SZ correction at \(5.5\, \text{GHz}\) is still smaller than would be required to make the \(5.5\, \text{GHz}\) flux consistent with the spectrum at low frequencies. Most importantly, the steepening is clear already in the frequency range \(1.5\sim3\, \text{GHz}\) where the SZ contribution is almost negligible. This allow us to conclude that the SZ effect cannot fully account for the observed high-frequency spectral steepening.

The halo in MACS J0717.5+3745 is reported to be the most powerful halo (van Weeren et al. 2009). We measure the flux density of \(S_{\text{gal},1.4} = 16 \pm 2.0\, \text{mJy}\) (including polarized regions). The monochromatic radio power of the halo is derived as:

\[
P_{1.4\, \text{GHz}} = 4\pi D_L^2 S_{\nu_0}(1 + z)^{-\alpha}\, \text{W}\, \text{Hz}^{-1},
\]

where \(D_L\) is the luminosity distance to the source, \(\alpha\) is the spectral index used in the \(k\)-correction, \(S_{\nu_0}\) is the flux density at \(\nu_0 = 1.4\, \text{GHz}\).

The \(L\)-band flux density corresponds to a rest-frame luminosity of \(P_{1.4\, \text{GHz}} = (2.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{25}\, \text{W}\, \text{Hz}^{-1}\) (adopting the spectral index of \(\alpha = -1.39\)). Our estimated radio power of MACS J0717.5+3745 is in agreement with the radio power-vs.-mass and radio power-vs.-X-ray luminosity scaling correlations of the known radio halos (e.g., Cassano et al. 2013).

We also obtained the radio power by excluding the polarized regions seen in the halo, namely \(P_{1.4\, \text{GHz}}\, \text{upper limit} = (1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{25}\, \text{W}\, \text{Hz}^{-1}\). Since the halo is very likely seen in projection with the relic, this is the lower limit. To estimate the upper limit of the halo, we extrapolated the halo flux at the location of the relic using the average flux from the halo per unit surface area outside the relic emission. This gives the radio power of \(P_{1.4\, \text{GHz}}\, \text{lower limit} = (3.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{25}\, \text{W}\, \text{Hz}^{-1}\). This value is a bit lower compared to van Weeren et al. (2009) due to the fact that they used less sensitive GMRT and VLA observations. In addition, they obtained the radio power at 1.4 GHz by extrapolating the narrow-band GMRT 610 MHz data and adopting a spectral index of \(-1.10\) in an area of \(1.2\, \text{Mpc}\).

4.2. Spectral index maps

Spatially-resolved spectral index mapping of halos can provide useful information on their origin and connection with the merger processes. Our VLA and LOFAR images allow us to derive a spectral index map for the observed radio halo at high resolution and with high accuracy.

In van Weeren et al. (2017), high resolution spectral index maps (at 5″ and 10″ resolutions) of the halo were derived using the VLA 1.5−5.5 GHz data. Recently, Bonafede et al. (2018) presented low frequency (147−610 MHz) spectral index maps of the halo (at 10″ and 30″ resolutions). The new LOFAR data allow us to reconstruct the surface brightness distribution at 144 MHz with adequate resolution and improved sensitivity.

We created LOFAR and VLA images of the halo at 8″ resolution, using the same imaging parameters as described in Sect. 4.1. This resolution was chosen as a compromise between providing the resolution necessary to probe different substructures, while retaining high signal-to-noise (S/N). From our high-resolution data, we know that there are only a few extended or point-like discrete sources embedded in the halo region; as their contamination is minimal, they were not subtracted. However, these sources can be clearly visually separated from the halo emission. After imaging, we ensured both datasets were on the same grid (using the CASA task imregrid) before masking each image at the \(4\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) level. Finally, we derived pixel-wise spectral index maps at both low frequency (144 MHz to 1.5 GHz) and high frequency (1.5 to 5.5 GHz).

To obtain the spectral index uncertainty map, we take into account the image noise and the absolute flux calibration uncertainty. We estimate the spectral index error via:

\[
\Delta \alpha = \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta S_1}{S_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta S_2}{S_2}\right)^2}
\]

where \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) are the flux density values at each pixel at the respective frequencies.

Figure 5 presents the low-frequency (top) and high-frequency (bottom) spectral index maps, along with the corresponding uncertainty. We note that the spectral index uncertainties across the halo are small, mainly in the range \(3\sim15\%\).
At 8′ resolution, these are among one of the best resolved spectral index maps of a radio halo. There are significant local variations in the spectral index across the halo. Moreover, the spectral index variations across the halo region appear different at low and high frequency.

To investigate the spatial distribution of the spectral index across the radio halo, we extracted the spectral index in several regions as indicated in the right panel of Fig. 3. These regions correspond to boxes with a physical sizes of about 50 kpc. Cells with emission above 3σ in the radio images are retained for the analysis. The resulting plots are displayed in Fig. 6.

The most striking result is that the spectral index distribution in the central regions (box numbers 40 to 70 in Fig. 6) is relatively constant ($\alpha \sim -1.35$). The spectral index steepens toward the outermost regions of the halo, reaching values $\alpha \lesssim -2.0$. The steepening in outer regions of the halo is most evident at high frequencies.
Spectral index profiles across the radio halo from 144 MHz and 5.5 GHz. The spectral indices were extracted in boxes with a width of 8\degree, corresponding to a physical size of about 50 kpc. There is indeed a clear radial steepening in the spectral index value of the radio halo for both the H1 and H2 region of the halo.

Fig. 6. Spectral index distribution across the halo in MACS J0717.5+3745. Left: low-frequency spectral index ($\alpha_{1.5\text{GHz}}$). Right: high-frequency spectral index ($\alpha_{5\text{GHz}}$). The spectral indices were extracted in 8\degree boxes, corresponding to a physical size of about 50 kpc. Open circles represent boxes within the halo where polarized emission occurs (cyan boxes in Fig. 3), filled circles denote unpolarized regions (red boxes in Fig. 3). The red horizontal line indicates the mean spectral index. The solid gray line separates the H1 and H2 region of the halo. Points close to the gray line are extracted from the central part of the halo. Systematic uncertainties in the flux-scale are included in the error bars. The spectral index tends to get steeper when moving away from the cluster center, in particular at higher frequencies and for the northern part of the halo.

Table 2. Spectral index at low and high frequency obtained from the integrated spectrum and spectral index maps.

|            | Integrated spectral index | Mean spectral index maps |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| $\alpha_{1.5\text{GHz}}$ | $-1.39 \pm 0.04$          | $-1.40 \pm 0.07$         |
| $\alpha_{5\text{GHz}}$   | $-1.93 \pm 0.04$          | $-1.71 \pm 0.06$         |

above 1.5 GHz, thus these regions are excluded from the right panel of Fig. 6. However, we can conclude that the spectral index in these regions is likely steeper than $-1.7$.

We also derived a radial profile of the average spectral index value extracted from the red rectangular boxes shown in the right panel of Fig. 4. The resulting profiles are shown in Fig. 7. There is indeed a clear trend of radial steepening in the spectral index value of the radio halo, in particular between 144 MHz and 5.5 GHz.

In Table 2, we summarize results obtained for the spectral index estimates from the integrated spectrum and the spatially resolved spectral index maps at low and high frequency. The mean low-frequency (144 MHz to 1.5 GHz) spectral index ($-1.40$) is consistent with that measured from our integrated spectrum ($-1.39 \pm 0.04$). However, the high frequency (1.5 to 5.5 GHz) mean spectral index (about $-1.7$) is different than the integrated spectral index ($-1.93 \pm 0.04$). As mentioned above, this is due to the fact that the halo emission is more extended at 1.5 GHz compared to 5.5 GHz, and consequently some regions are not included in the spatially resolved spectral index maps.

To estimate the measurement uncertainties, we followed van Weeren et al. (2016c). We find a mean scatter of 0.28 and 0.53 around the mean spectral index between 144−1500 MHz and 1.5−3 GHz, respectively. This scatter is larger compared to other well sampled radio halos (the Toothbrush cluster, Abell 2744, and Abell 520). For the halo in the Toothbrush cluster, the intrinsic scatter is remarkably low.
The spectral curvature (SC) can be obtained using data at four frequencies, and is derived as:

$$\text{SC} = -\alpha_1^\nu_2 + \alpha_4^\nu_1,$$

where $\nu_1$ (144 MHz) is the lowest frequency and $\nu_4$ (5.5 GHz) is the highest one. A value of $\text{SC} = 0$ indicates no curvature. By this convention, curvature is negative for a convex spectrum, and positive for a concave spectrum. We created the curvature map using 144 MHz, 1.5 GHz, 3 GHz, and 5.5 GHz. The low frequency spectral index map is created between map 144 MHz and 1.5 GHz while the high frequency between 3.0 GHz and 5.5 GHz. All pixels below the $4\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ level were blanked. The corresponding uncertainty in SC is defined as:

$$\Delta \text{SC} = \sqrt{(\Delta \alpha_{\text{low}})^2 + (\Delta \alpha_{\text{high}})^2}.$$  

We present our curvature map in Fig. 8. Both the H1 and H2 regions exhibit spectral curvature, with local fluctuations in the curvature. In general, the curvature is mainly negative (convex) and reaches about $-2$ across the halo. However, there are also regions with positive curvature (concave).

We perform the spatial distribution of SC using the regions shown in the right panel of Fig. 3. The left panel of Fig. 9 shows the halo spectral curvature distribution from 144 MHz to 3.0 GHz. We do not use the C-band data for studying the curvature distribution because the total extent of the halo decreases at high frequencies and this would significantly reduce the area over which we can study the curvature. As with the halo spectral index distribution, in the innermost regions (close to the solid gray line), the curvature distribution remains largely constant. In the outermost regions, the spectrum becomes increasingly curved, reaching $-2.0$.

To investigate this further, we make use of a color-color diagram (as used in, for example, Rajpurohit et al. 2020). The halo color-color plot is shown in the right panel of Fig. 9. This provides similar information to the curvature map; any point that lies away from unity (the dashed line, which denotes power-law behavior) indicate curvature. From Fig. 9, we find clear evidence of spectral curvature already between 144 MHz and 3.0 GHz: while some regions lie close to the power-law line (indicating little-to-no curvature), the majority of regions within the halo lie away from unity. These regions tend to lie below the power-law line, indicating a clear negative curvature.

Examining the spatial distribution of these regions shows that the points that cluster around the power-law line tend to be located in the innermost regions of the halo, while the increasing curvature is seen in the outermost regions of the halo. To our knowledge, such a well-(spatially)-resolved spectral curvature study is only available for one other radio halo: the Toothbrush cluster (Rajpurohit et al. 2020). The curvature distribution across the halo in MACS J0717.5+3745 is different than that of the halo in the Toothbrush cluster, which rather shows a uniform spectral index distribution and no sign of curvature (Rajpurohit et al. 2020).
The right panel of Fig. 9 also shows data points that lie significantly above the power-law line, indicating a concave spectrum. This is expected when radio emission from two different regions overlap. These regions are mostly polarized and very likely related to shock waves projected on the halo emission. The concave points in the color-color plot suggest that both halo and relic emission is present, but in different proportions at different frequencies.

5. X-ray and radio comparison

All formation models of radio halos predict a connection between X-ray and radio emission (e.g., Brunetti & Jones 2014). Radio emission from halos typically follows the X-ray emission from the thermal gas (e.g., Govoni et al. 2001a). However, there are also a few clusters in which the halo emission does not clearly trace the X-ray emission (Giacintucci et al. 2005). The close morphological similarity between radio halo emission and X-ray emission suggests an interplay between thermal and non-thermal components in the ICM.

In Fig. 10, we compare the X-ray morphology of the cluster to that of the radio emission at 1.5 GHz and 144 MHz. As mentioned in Sect. 3, we also detect radio emission to the northern and western parts of the cluster. The X-ray and the radio morphologies are strikingly similar, indicating a connection between the thermal gas and relativistic plasma in this system. At 1.5 GHz, the halo emission appears to extend further to the west, roughly tracing the X-ray morphology. At 144 MHz, the emission from the radio halo also extends to the north, encompassing the entirety of the X-ray emission region. Moreover, the emission from the halo at 144 MHz, further extends over the whole region of detected X-ray emission.

5.1. X-ray and radio surface brightness correlation

To investigate any possible correlation between the radio and X-ray brightness, we make use of radio images created at 8'' resolution. The Chandra point-source-subtracted X-ray image was smoothed with a Gaussian of 3'' full width at half maximum (FWHM). We construct a grid covering the entire halo region, including the filaments F1 and F2. A recent study by Iagnesti et al. (2020) showed that for poorly sampled sources (<30 cells), the position of the grid can affect the outcome of such an analysis. However, due to the numerous data points and large pivot in radio and X-ray brightness spanned by data, our results are not affected by changes in the position of the grid.

With the high resolution and high sensitivity of the available LOFAR, VLA, and Chandra X-ray data, we can perform a detailed investigation of the point-to-point radio/X-ray correlation at 144 MHz, 1.5 GHz, and 3 GHz. To retain good S/N, we include only those areas where the radio surface brightness exceeds the 3σ level.

Figure 11 shows the point-to-point comparison between the X-ray and radio brightness in log-log scale. Despite a complex distribution of thermal and nonthermal emission components in MACS J0717.5+3745, Fig. 11 shows a clear positive correlation: higher radio brightness is associated with higher X-ray brightness. A number of previous radio halo studies have reported a relationship between the radio and X-ray brightness (e.g., Govoni et al. 2001b,a; Shimwell et al. 2014; Rajpurohit et al. 2018; Hoang et al. 2019; Cova et al. 2019; Xie et al. 2020; Botteon et al. 2020). This relationship is generally described by a power law of the form:

$$\log I_X = a + b \log I_X,$$

where a slope of $b = 1$ suggests a linear relation, and $b < 1$ (sublinear) indicates that radio brightness increases more slowly than X-ray brightness or vice versa (if $b > 1$).

To quantify the strength of any possible correlation, and to determine the best-fitting parameters to the observed data of the $I_X$–$I_X$ relations, we adopt the Linmix$^4$ package (Kelly 2007). Linmix performs a Bayesian linear regression and accounts for measurement uncertainties on both variables, intrinsic scatter, and noise.

$$4 \text{https://linmix.readthedocs.io/en/latest/src/linmix.html}$$


Fig. 10. Low resolution (20″) LOFAR (left) and VLA L-band (right) radio contours, superimposed on the Chandra X-ray (0.5–2 keV) image, smoothed to a FWHM of 3″. Contour levels are drawn at [1, 2, 4, 8, ...] × 4σ_{rms}, where σ_{rms} at 144 MHz and 1.5 GHz are 130 μJy beam⁻¹ and 14 μJy beam⁻¹ respectively. The radio halo shows a strikingly similar morphology to the ICM distribution (traced by the X-ray emission), confirming the connection between the hot gas and relativistic plasma. The emission from the radio halo at 144 MHz, further extends over the whole region of detected X-ray emission. The green circle shows R_{500} for the cluster.

Fig. 11. I_R—I_X relation of the halo in MACS J0717.5+3745, extracted in square boxes with of 8″ (about 50 kpc). Linmix best-fit relations are indicated by red dashed lines. The upper limits represent cells with data points below 2σ radio noise level. The horizontal black dash-dotted lines indicate the 1σ in the radio maps. The best-fit parameters are obtained for the halo emission (blue dots). The cyan lines show samples from the posterior distribution. Lower panel: residuals of log I_R and log I_X with respect to the Linmix best fit line. For the halo, the radio brightness correlates well with the X-ray at all three frequencies. The best-fitting slopes at 144 MHz, 1.5 GHz and 3 GHz are 0.67 ± 0.05, 0.81 ± 0.09, and 0.98 ± 0.09, respectively. The strong dependence of the slope on frequency suggests that the halo shows a spectral steepening toward high frequencies. Displayed errors in the radio flux density measurements include the statistical and systematic uncertainties.

and upper limits (non-detections in the y-variable). It uses a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method and the output parameters are randomly drawn from the posterior distributions. The correlation strength was measured by using the Spearman and Pearson correlation coefficients.

In Table 3, we summarize the best-fit slopes and corresponding correlation coefficients for each radio frequency considered here. We find that the I_R and I_X are strongly correlated at 3 GHz. At this frequency, the slope is very close to linear, with b_{3\,GHz} = 0.98 ± 0.09, and shows low intrinsic scatter, σ_{int,3\,GHz} = 0.29 ± 0.05. This implies that the relativistic particles and the magnetic field are connected to the thermal plasma (e.g., Govoni et al. 2001b). Toward lower frequencies, we find sublinear relationships with similar scatter. At 1.5 GHz, we find b_{1.5\,GHz} = 0.81 ± 0.09 and σ_{int,1.5\,GHz} = 0.36 ± 0.08, whereas at 144 MHz, b_{144\,MHz} = 0.67 ± 0.05 and σ_{int,144\,MHz} = 0.32 ± 0.04.

Across the frequency range 144 MHz–3 GHz, we find that scatter on the relation is ~0.29–0.36. This scatter likely arises as a result of both measurement uncertainties and intrinsic dispersion due the physical properties of the halo, for example inhomogeneous turbulence and fluctuations of the magnetic field and density of relativistic electrons. We also studied the impact of setting a threshold in σ on the radio measurements of the I_R—I_X correlation. We apply a threshold of 2σ to the radio image to
at all three radio frequencies. From this fit, we obtained the correlation slopes of $b_{144\,\text{MHz}} = 0.62 \pm 0.08$, $b_{1.5\,\text{GHz}} = 0.73 \pm 0.08$, and $b_{3\,\text{GHz}} = 0.98 \pm 0.09$. These values are slightly flatter than those reported in Table 3 (which were obtained using different regions at different frequencies) although they still show the same trend—the slope becomes more sublinear toward lower frequencies.

Figure 11 also shows the $I_X-I_{\alpha}$ relation for the filaments F1 and F2, indicated respectively by green and magenta points. F1 shows clearly distinct behavior at all three frequencies, suggesting it may not be associated with the halo emission. In the radio vs X-ray correction F2 does not appear distinct may be due to the superposition of the halo emission and F2. Both F1 and F2 are also characterized by a high degree of polarization (>15%; Rajpurohit et al., in prep.). These evidence suggests that these two structures are not associated with the halo emission and thus have a different origin, for example shock (re)acceleration.

5.2. X-ray brightness vs spectral index

We also studied the point-point distribution of halo spectral index with thermal gas. To extract the X-ray surface brightness and spectral indices, we use the same grid regions mentioned in Sect. 4.2. As the halo is more extended toward low frequencies, we extract spectral indices between 144 MHz and 1.5 GHz.

The results are shown in Fig. 12. There is evidence of an anticorrelation between the radio spectral index and the X-ray brightness: the spectral index is flatter at high X-ray brightness and steeper at low X-ray brightness. Additionally, the two halo regions (H1 and H2) appear to show markedly different behavior in the $\alpha-I_X$ plot. To check the significance of the correlation, we fit the data assuming a relation of the form:

$$\alpha = a + b \log I_X.$$  

(7)

We again performed a linear regression for the halo regions above 3σ level using Limix. As we are principally interested in studying the halo, we excluded the polarized regions embedded in the halo (the cyan boxes in Fig. 3). The resultant best-fit parameters are summarized in Table 4. These results hint at an anticorrelation between the spectral index and X-ray surface brightness, which becomes much stronger when data points from H1 and H2 regions are fitted separately. Recently, Botteon et al. (2020) studied the $I_X-I_\alpha$ relation for the halo in Abell 2255. They found a positive correlation and mild trend between the spectral index and X-ray surface brightness. In contrast, the halo in MACS J0717.5+3745 shows a strong negative trend. Botteon et al. (2020) also studied possible relations between spectral index of the synchrotron emission and thermodynamical properties of the ICM (namely, temperature, pseudo-pressure, and pseudo-entropy). We do not report this kind of analysis for MACS J0717.5+3745 because the low effective area of Chandra above 5 keV makes very uncertain the measurement of high temperatures, such as those reported in MACS J0717.5+3745 (up to and beyond 20 keV; van Weeren et al. 2017), making unfeasible the study of these correlations.

6. Discussion

Several key aspects of turbulent models and of halo properties remain poorly understood (see e.g., Brunetti & Jones 2014; van Weeren et al. 2019, for reviews). One aspect is that the properties of radio halo synchrotron spectra are still poorly known, both observationally and theoretically. Radio halos show a fairly...
A high energy break in the spectrum of electrons is generated as a result of the balance between the underlying acceleration mechanism and the physical conditions in the ICM. Homogeneous reacceleration models predict curved spectra, with a spectral steepening occurring at a characteristic frequency, for example radio halos in the Toothbrush cluster (Rajpurohit et al. 2020), Sausage cluster (Hoang et al. 2017; Di Gennaro et al. 2018), the Bullet cluster (Shimwell et al. 2014), and A2744 (Pearce et al. 2017), where the spectra are compatible with power-laws.

In reacceleration models a high energy break in the spectrum of electrons is generated as a result of the balance between the underlying acceleration mechanism and the physical conditions in the ICM. Homogeneous reacceleration models predict curved spectra, with a spectral steepening occurring at frequencies larger than few times the critical frequency of the highest-energy electrons reaccelerated by the mechanism (e.g., Cassano & Brunetti 2005) \( \nu_s \ll \xi_c \), where \( \xi_c \) is the critical frequency; \( \ll 6\)–8 was estimated by Cassano et al. (2012, their Fig. 2). On the other hand, if the magnetic field, the acceleration rate and the timescale of turbulence generation (and decay) vary across the emitting volume and along the line of sight, the observed spectrum will be a blend of different components. As a result, the spectrum can get stretched in frequency, with the spectral curvature becoming progressively less evident in the case of less homogeneous conditions (see simulations by Donnert et al. 2013; ZuHone 2011).

Nevertheless, even in these conditions the presence of a maximum energy in the spectrum of electrons generates a steepening in the synchrotron spectrum. The steepening is expected few times above the critical frequency of the electrons accelerated in the regions where the acceleration efficiency is larger and interacting with a magnetic field \( B \sim B_{\text{cmb}}/\sqrt{3} \) (e.g., Brunetti & Lazarian 2016):

\[
v_s \sim \left( \frac{420}{\tau_{\text{acc}}/\text{Myr}} \right)^2 (1+z)^{-\xi},
\]

where \( \tau_{\text{acc}} \) is the minimum acceleration time in the emitting volume.

MACS J0717.5+3745 is at higher redshift than the majority of radio halos studied to-date. At higher redshift, IC losses limit the maximum energy of electrons that are reaccelerated increasing the chance to detect a spectral steepening. We detect a steepening above 1.5 GHz, implying that the minimum acceleration time in the emitting volume is \( \tau_{\text{acc}} \sim 80 \) Myr (using Eq. (8)), provided that the fluctuations of magnetic field in the emitting volume reach values \( B \sim B_{\text{cmb}}/\sqrt{3} \sim 4.5 \) \( \mu G \).

For the halo in MACS J0717.5+3745, we find a mean scatter of 0.28 and 0.53 around the mean spectral index between 144–1500 MHz and 1.5–3 GHz, respectively. Such a large scatter is likely due to the strong IC losses at the high redshift of MACS J0717.5+3745 that quench the acceleration process where turbulence is lower. In a large fraction of the emitting volume, under these conditions the fraction of energy available for acceleration that is dissipated into synchrotron radiation scales with \( B^2 \).\( B_{\text{IC}}^2 \) implying fast changes of the emissivity with \( B^2 \) fluctuations. Moreover, in a steep spectral index regime the changes of \( \tau_{\text{acc}} \) (Eq. (8)) induce strong variations of the emissivity and spectrum. The measured scatter implies that turbulence varies when averaged on about 50–100 kpc cells and integrated along the line of sight.

The mean scatter of the spectral index shows a moderate decrement from the analysis performed with 50 kpc to 90 kpc box size. On one hand this decline is expected because a larger number of independent regions in the halo are intercepted by adopting larger cells of the grid. However if these regions would be much smaller than the cell size the scatter should decline with the inverse of the cell size (e.g., Botteon et al. 2020). The fact that the scatter declines less rapidly suggests that size of the regions is of the same order of that of the cells. This also suggests that turbulent injection scales cannot be less than 50–100 kpc otherwise we would see a smoother distribution.

Similar to the case of the Coma halo, we also detect a spectral steepening toward the halo periphery in MACS J0717.5+3745. Following Brunetti et al. (2001), the steepening can be interpreted as an effect of the decline of the magnetic field strength with radius, being \( \nu_s \ll B \). This explanation also holds in the case of more complicated models: if the magnetic field has a broad distribution of values in the emitting volume, the decline of the mean value of the magnetic field with radius also implies that a progressively smaller fraction of the volume is filled with magnetic field reaching values \( B \sim B_{\text{cmb}}/\sqrt{3} \), which implies a gradual steepening of the spectrum with distance.

Interestingly, the limits on the turbulent acceleration timescale that we obtained from the spectral steepening at higher frequencies can also be used to constrain the energy density and scales of turbulence. This of course depends on the particular reacceleration model that is adopted, as different scenarios considered for the nonlinear interaction between turbulent fluctuations and particles have implications on the fraction of the turbulent energy flux that is effectively damped into particle acceleration.

Brunetti & Lazarian (2016) proposed a stochastic reacceleration model, based on the scattering of particles by magnetic field lines diffusing into super-Alfvenic incompressible turbulence. In this model, the observed steepening frequency \( \nu_s \) above which the spectrum steepens) and turbulent Mach number \( (M_t) \) are connected via:

\[
M_t = 0.2 \frac{2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}}{c_s} \frac{\nu_s (\text{GHz})}{1.5} \left( \frac{L}{10^2 \text{kpc}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+z)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where \( c_s \) is the speed of sound in the medium and \( L \) is turbulent injection scale. In the case of MACSJ 0717.5+3745, Eq. (9) implies a ratio of turbulent (solenoidal) and thermal energy densities \( \epsilon_t/\epsilon_{\text{ICM}} \sim 1/3 M_t^2 \sim 0.16 \), considering injection scales \( L \sim 100 \) kpc and an adiabatic index \( \Gamma = 5/3 \). Similar constraints

---

Table 4. Linmix best fitting slopes (b) and Spearman (rs) and Pearson (rp) correlation coefficients of the data for the left panel of Fig. 12 (f \( x \) – α correlation).

|       | H1+H2 | H1 | H2 |
|-------|--------|----|----|
| b     | −0.07  | −0.09 | −0.20 |
| rs    | −0.36  | −0.82 | −0.76 |
| rp    | −0.52  | −0.67 | −0.62 |
are obtained by considering different acceleration mechanisms. For example, if we assume transit-time-damping (TTD) that is a reference model for radio halos (e.g., Brunetti & Lazarian 2007; Miniati 2015) and is based on the TTD resonance between particles and fast modes we obtain a ratio of turbulent (compressive) and thermal energy densities $\epsilon_{\text{turb}} / \epsilon_{\text{ICM}} \sim 0.1$, considering injection scales $\sim 100$ kpc. The flux of kinetic energy from the turbulent motions required above appears to be in line with what is measured in cosmological simulations of turbulent motions in the ICM (e.g., Vazza et al. 2012, 2017).

Despite the complex morphology of the halo, we find the radio brightness correlates strongly with the X-ray brightness. The radio and X-ray brightness correlation slopes steepen at higher frequency (from $b_{1.44 \text{MHz}} = 0.67 \pm 0.05$ to $b_{3.35 \text{GHz}} = 0.98 \pm 0.09$). Previous studies of the $I_{\text{R}} - I_{\text{X}}$ point-to-point correlation have shown that the best-fit slopes vary over a broad range of values (e.g., Govoni et al. 2001b; Rajpurohit et al. 2018; Ignei et al. 2020; Botteon et al. 2020) and are mainly flatter than 1 (i.e., sublinear). For a purely hadronic origin scenario of giant radio halos, a significantly steeper slope is expected for the relation linking the radio and X-ray brightness (Govoni et al. 2001b; Pfrommer et al. 2008).

The sublinear $I_{\text{R}} - I_{\text{X}}$ correlation slopes in the case of MACS J0717.5+3745 halo indicates that the ratio of the radio and X-ray surface brightness increases where the steepening occurs (mainly in the outermost regions). Since the SZ decrement is less in the external regions (due to the decreased pressure and path length through the cluster, assuming roughly spheroidal geometry), this also suggests that SZ effect cannot be responsible for the observed steepening. The radio-and X-ray surface brightness correlation slopes steepen at higher radio frequency (from $b_{1.44 \text{MHz}} = 0.67 \pm 0.05$ to $b_{1.0 \text{GHz}} = 0.98 \pm 0.08$). In addition, we find a significant anticorrelation between the X-ray surface brightness and the spectral index. Both pieces of evidence further support a spectral steepening in the external regions.

7. Summary and conclusions

In this work, we have presented new 144 MHz LOFAR HBA radio observation of the galaxy cluster MACS J0717.5+3745. These observations were combined with existing uGMRT (330–850 MHz) and VLA (1–6.5 GHz) observations in order to carry out a detailed spectral analysis of the halo and its connection with the ICM. Our deep LOFAR observations, processed using the state-of-the-art LoTSS pipeline, yielded sensitive, high-resolution images which have allowed us to recover faint emission that was not detected previously at this frequency. We summarize the overall results as follows:

1. The new LOFAR images recover both the halo and the relic, previously reported at the same frequency. At 144 MHz, the halo emission is more extended to the north and northwest of the cluster center. Our data reveal that the halo becomes significantly more extended moving toward lower frequencies from 5.5 GHz to 144 MHz, suggesting steepening in outermost regions.

2. The integrated spectrum of the halo shows a clear spectral break above 1.5 GHz, resulting in a spectral steepening toward higher frequencies. The integrated spectral index between 144 MHz and 1.5 GHz is $-1.39 \pm 0.04$, steepening to $-1.93 \pm 0.04$ between 1.5 and 3.0 GHz. The high frequency steepening cannot be explained solely by a decrement due to the SZ effect.

3. The spatially-resolved spectral index maps show a significant spectral variations. We find a mean scatter of 0.28 and 0.53 around the mean spectral index from 144 MHz–1.5 GHz and 1.5 GHz–3.0 GHz, respectively. Such a strong scattering in the spectral index may be due to the strong inverse Compton losses at the high redshift of MACS J0717.5+3745. Our study of spectral variations also suggests that the turbulent injection scales cannot be much less than 50–100 kpc.

4. The distributions of spectral index show clear evidence of spectral steepening in the external regions of the halo. In the innermost regions of the halo, the spectral index remains largely constant at around $\alpha = -1.35$. Conversely, moving toward the outermost regions, the spectrum steepens significantly, reaching values of about $-3.0$.

5. The spatially-resolved spectral curvature maps show significant curvature variations across the halo. The central regions of the halo shows little-to-no curvature, whereas curvature increases significantly in the outermost regions of the halo. Color-color analysis of regions within the radio halo also show spatial variations in the curvature.

6. Despite the complex distribution of thermal and nonthermal emission components, the morphology of the radio halo emission is similar to the X-ray emitting gas, confirming the connection between the hot gas and relativistic plasma in this system. The radio brightness correlates strongly with the X-ray brightness at all observed frequencies. The filaments F1 appear distinct in the radio vs. X-ray surface brightness correlation, and is likely not associated with the halo emission.

7. The radio vs. X-ray surface brightness correlation steepens toward higher radio frequencies, namely from $0.67 \pm 0.05$ (at 144 MHz) to $0.98 \pm 0.09$ (at 3 GHz). This provides further evidence that the spectral index steepens in the peripheral regions of the halo.

8. An anticorrelation is found between the radio spectral index and the X-ray surface brightness, implying that the spectral index is flatter at high X-ray brightness and steeper at low X-ray brightness. This is consistent with the fact that there is a radial steepening across the halo.

For the halo in MACS J0717.5+3745, the compelling evidence for radial steepening, the existence of a spectral break above 1.5 GHz, the strong dependence of the $I_{\text{R}} - I_{\text{X}}$ correlation on frequency, and the anticorrelation between the spectral index against the X-ray brightness can be interpreted in the context of turbulent reacceleration models. In this scenario we estimate that the minimum acceleration time in the emitting volume that is necessary to match the spectrum of the halo considering its redshift is about 80 Myr and that the turbulent energy density in the regions where reacceleration is stronger is $\sim 10\%$ of the thermal ICM. This amount of turbulence appears a natural consequence of the very active dynamics of the system.
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