Choosing selective factors for the cultivation of *Aerococcus viridans* symbiont strains
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Aerococci have certain cultivation characteristics. A well-known method of aerococcal cultivation uses indicator media which included the complex "oxolinic acid – etionam", but data indicating cases of conditionally pathogenic flora contamination due to the accumulation of microorganisms that are resistant to this complex have been obtained. Therefore, there was a need to search for new substances that would have a wide range of antagonistic activity in relation to the conditionally pathogenic flora and at the same time would not inhibit the growth of aerococci, and which would also be available and safe. The sensitivity of the *A. viridans* symbionts and conditionally pathogenic flora to the substances with antimicrobial activity of different groups was determined by the method of "wells". For research, *A. viridans* symbionts isolated from different microbiocenoses have been used. *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, *C. albicans*, *E. coli* and *C. albicans* were used as conditionally pathogenic flora. Norfloxacin, pefloxacin, ofloxacin, gatifloxacin, levofloxacin, dexamethasone, chlorhexidine, octenidine and boric acid were used as investigational drugs. Also, the optimal concentration of drugs which would suppress the growth of conditionally pathogenic flora, and would not show a negative effect on *A. viridans* was determined by serial dilutions. It was found that miniraminist, norfloxacin and boric acid meet the necessary criteria. The serum dilutions method was used to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of these drugs in the experiment. Norfloxacin in a dosage of 12.5–50.0 μg/ml effectively inhibited the growth of the conditionally pathogenic flora, but also reduced the number of aerococci. The dose of 8.3 μg/ml met the criteria we needed, namely suppressing the growth of the conditionally pathogenic flora and not affecting aerococci. The concentration of miniraminist and boric acid in a culture medium that showed a strong depressant effect on conditionally pathogenic flora and practically did not affect *A. viridans* was 50 μg/ml. In addition, miniraminist and boric acid exhibited fungicidal action against *C. albicans*. Antimicrobial effects of the investigated drugs on the conditionally pathogenic flora was not complete in some cases, or inhibited the growth of aerococci at higher doses, so the effect of their rational combination on the conditionally pathogenic flora was investigated. As a result, an antimicrobial complex with optimal concentrations of substances (norfloxacin 8.3 μg/ml, myramistin 50 μg/ml, boric acid 50 μg/ml), which effectively suppresses UPMF and does not affect the growth of symbiotic *A. viridans* was determined. The specified antimicrobial complex can be used for the production of modified media for isolation, cultivation and study of biochemical activity of *A. viridans* microorganisms. Properties of aerococci, grown on the nutrient medium with the studied drugs did not differ from aerococci, grown on nutrient media without additives.
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**Introduction**

*Aerococcus viridans* is a bacterium that is present in the environment and was initially isolated from the air. Other types of *Aerococcus* have been obtained from different parts of the human body and animals (Collins et al., 1999; Nobukazu Saitou et al., 2009). Aerococci are components of normal gut microbiota of animals (Wise & Siragusa, 2007; Collins et al., 1999; Nobukazu Saishu et al., 2009). Aerococci are ubiquitous microorganisms, and have certain peculiarities of cultivation (Williams & Hirsch, 1953; Rizhenko et al., 2002; Facklam et al., 2003). Research carried out used displaying medias through which the allocation, identification and differentiation of aerococci were conducted (Good et al., 1966; Devrise et al., 1999; Kremenchuchkij et al., 2003, 2009). The composition of the aforementioned media for the selective allocation of various types of aerococci included the complex "oxolinic acid – etionam", which gave a selective effect of predominant aerococci growth in concentrations: oxalic acid – 50 μg/ml, etionam – 5 μg/ml. In the process of use of these media, data indicating cases of conditionally pathogenic flora (CPF) contamination due to the accumulation of microorganisms that are resistant to the "oxolinic acid – etionam" complex were obtained. The above-mentioned facts and increased incidence of infections caused by hospital strains with multi-resistance to chemotherapy (Uh et al., 2002; Yasukawa et al., 2014; Gonzalez et al., 2017), especially methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) (Cho & Chung, 2017), have also created a need to search for new substances that would have a wide range of antagonistic activity in turn on the CPF and inhibit the growth of aerococci at the same time and which should also be accessible and safe.

The experimental method (Stepanskyi & Kremenchutskyi, 2014) made it possible to discover the sensitivity of the *A. viridans* symbionts to fluoroquinolones and determined that norfloxacin at a dosage of 8.3 μg/ml practically did not affect the growth of aerococci and effectively suppresses the CPF. However, it was important to enhance the
selective effect of norfloxacin with other antimicrobial agents in order to receive stronger suppression of CPF. Therefore, the purpose of the study was to select a selective antibacterial complex that would suppress the growth of UPMF and would not affect the growth of *A. viridans*, with the possibility of using it for the production of modified media for isolation, cultivation and study of the biochemical activity of *A. viridans* microorganisms.

**Materials and methods**

The determination of the *A. viridans* symbionts and CPF sensitivity to the substances of different groups with antimicrobial activity was carried out by the method of "wells" (Habriev, 2005; CLSI, 2010). As a dense nutrient medium, the Müller-Hinton agar was used, in which the wells with a diameter of 8 mm were used, the “lawn” was sown with test strips and the test specimens (0.01 ml) were placed. The inoculation was incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. The results of the experiment were recorded by measuring the diameter of the growth inhibition zone in mm.

*A. viridans* symbionts isolated from different human and animal microbiocenoses were used for the study: *A. viridans* E34 (human intestine), *A. viridans* O36 (human oral cavity), *A. viridans* 5m2015 (mouse feces), *A. viridans* 3k2015 (rat feces), *A. viridans* 23 (human tonsils). As CPF, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Staphylococcus aureus* 209-p, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 8739 and *Candida albicans* ATCC 885-653 were taken. Norfloxacin, pefloxacin, ofloxacin, gatifloxacin, levofloxacin, miramistin, decamethoxine, chlorhexidine, octenidine, boric acid were used as investigational drugs. The number of studies was 5 for each microorganism.

After determining the optimal, in terms of the purpose of the study, drugs, in order to minimize selective factors in nutrient media for growing aerococci, the optimal concentration of drugs was determined by the method of serial dilutions, which would suppress the growth of CPF, and would not show a negative effect on *A. viridans* properties grown on media with the addition of study symbionts isolated from different human and animal microbiocenoses.

In addition, no data were found on the resistance of microorganisms to these substances. It turned out that the sensitivity of the CPF to the aforementioned substances is different in the strength and spectrum of bactericidal action. The optimal concentrations of these substances that suppressed the growth of the CPF and did not affect the growth of aerococci were determined (Table 2, 3).

**Table 1**

| Microorganisms            | Dosage of the preparation, μg/ml |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                           | (7 lg CFU/ml)                    |
|                           | 6.0 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 50.0 |
| *Aerococcus viridans* E34 |     |     |      |      |      |
| (human intestine)         | 6.60 ± 0.36                   | 6.18 ± 0.51  | 5.18 ± 0.32  | 3.32 ± 0.16  |
| *Aerococcus viridans* O36 |     |     |      |      |      |
| (human oral cavity)       | 6.56 ± 0.48               | 5.37 ± 0.30  | 3.10 ± 0.13  | 2.96 ± 0.13  |
| *Aerococcus viridans*     | 6.30 ± 0.64               | 5.31 ± 0.15  | 3.40 ± 0.13  |
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae*   | 0.09 ± 0.09               | 0.00 ± 0.10  | 0.10 ± 0.13  | 0.11 ± 0.13  |
| *Escherichia coli*        | 6.62 ± 0.46               | 5.04 ± 0.13  | 3.42 ± 0.14  | 3.20 ± 0.14  |
| *Candida albicans*        | 0.04 ± 0.06               | 0.13 ± 0.12  | 0.12 ± 0.14  | 0.14 ± 0.14  |
| *Staphylococcus aureus*   | 6.52 ± 0.42               | 5.34 ± 0.10  | 3.37 ± 0.10  | 3.05 ± 0.10  |

| Note                        |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| * – P < 0.05; ** – P < 0.001|        |        |        |        |

As shown by the analysis of the data obtained (Table 2), the concentration of miramistin in the culture medium, which showed a strong depressant effect in relation to the conditionally pathogenic microflora and practically did not affect *A. viridans*, was 50 μg/ml. *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* were the most resistant to the investigated drug microorganisms in this concentration. Miramistin at a concentration of 75 mg/ml effectively inhibited all pathogenic microflora, but at the same time reduced the aerococci concentration (1013–1010 CFU/ml). In addition, miramistin exhibited a fungicidal effect in relation to *C. albicans*.

Similar results were obtained in the study of the effects of boric acid (Table 3). Boric acid concentration in the culture medium, which had a strong depressant effect on CPF and practically did not affect *A. viridans* was 50 μg/ml. *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* were the most resistant to the studied drug in this concentration microorganisms. Boric acid at a concentration of 75 μg/ml effectively suppressed all of the CPF, but at the same time lowered the concentration of aerococci (105 CFU/ml). In addition, miramistin and boric acid exhibited fungicidal action against *C. albicans*.

Despite the active antimicrobial effects of the investigated drugs on the CPF, this was not complete in some cases, or inhibited the growth of aerococci at higher doses, so the effect of their rational combination on the CPF was investigated. The results are presented in Table 4. The complex of all three drugs completely suppressed the CPF, which may be due to the summation of antimicrobial effects, with virtually no effect on the aerococci growth. Properties of aerococci grown on the nutrient medium with the studied drugs did not differ from aerococci grown on nutrient media without additives. That is why all these drugs were
Table 2
Effect of miramistin on the opportunistic microflora and multibiotic A. viridans co-cultivation (n = 5; number of microorganisms after 24 hours of cultivation (lg CFU/ml, M ± m)

| Microorganisms                  | Dosage of the preparation, μg/ml |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                | 10  | 25  | 50  | 75  | 100 |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 6.61 | 6.54 | 6.22 | 4.40 | 3.42 |
| E34 (human intestine)          | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.13**| 0.19**|
| Aerococcus viridans            | 6.48 | 6.37 | 5.31 | 3.17 | 2.77 |
| O36 (human oral cavity)        | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.15**| 0.12**| 0.10 |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 6.57 | 6.53 | 5.31 | 3.43 | 3.08 |
| 5m2015 (mouse feces)           | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.13**| 0.06**| 0.15 |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 6.63 | 6.61 | 6.64 | 4.57 | 3.40 |
| 3×2015 (rat feces)             | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.08**| 0.06**|
| Aerococcus viridans 23         | 6.80 | 6.63 | 5.48 | 3.30 | 3.47 |
| (human tonsils)                | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.08**| 0.14**| 0.13 |
| Staphylococcus aureus          | 3.31 | 0.86 | --**| --   | --   |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae          | 4.52 | 2.37 | 1.17 | --**| --   |
| Escherichia coli               | 0.09 | 0.10**| 0.12**| --**| --   |
| Pseudomonas                    | 5.53 | --**| --   | --   | --   |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 4.48 | 2.16 | --**| --   | --   |
| E34 (human intestine)          | 0.08 | 0.10**| --   | --   | --   |

Note: see Table 1.

Discussion

Selective antimicrobial additives are widely used to prepare nutrient media in microbiology for the cultivation of various microorganisms. Korean researchers Chon et al. (2014) have been successfully used polymyxin as a selective additive for the cultivation of Bacillus cereus. British scientists Hill et al. (2013) achieved good results in isolating C. difficile from environmental objects using cefoxitin-cycloserin-egg yolk agar with lysozyme.

Table 3
Effect of boric acid on the opportunistic microflora and multibiotic A. viridans (n = 5; number of microorganisms after 24 hours of cultivation (lg CFU/ml, M ± m)

| Microorganisms                  | Dosage of the drug, μg/ml |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                | 10  | 25  | 50  | 75  | 150 |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 6.49 | 6.38 | 6.22 | 5.08 | 3.32 |
| E34 (human intestine)          | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.10* | 0.15* |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 6.61 | 6.54 | 6.38 | 5.16 | 3.52 |
| O36 (human oral cavity)        | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.10* | 0.09* |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 6.59 | 6.48 | 6.40 | 5.32 | 3.50 |
| 5m2015 (mouse feces)           | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.11* | 0.08* |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 6.48 | 6.46 | 6.31 | 5.19 | 3.43 |
| 3×2015 (rat feces)             | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.12* | 0.13* |
| Aerococcus viridans 23         | 6.61 | 6.52 | 6.42 | 5.30 | 3.36 |
| (human tonsils)                | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.13* | 0.11* |
| Staphylococcus aureus          | 0.15 | --**| --   | --   | --   |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae          | 4.43 | 2.49 | --**| --   | --   |
| Escherichia coli               | 0.08 | 0.15*| 0.10*| --   | --   |
| Pseudomonas                    | 4.60 | 2.40 | 1.12 | --* | --   |
| aeurignosa                     | 0.08 | 0.11*| 0.07*| --   | --   |
| Aerococcus viridans            | 2.48 | --**| --   | --   | --   |
| E34 (human intestine)          | 0.08 | --   | --   | --   | --   |

Note: see Table 1.

In the absence of commercially available selective agents designed to isolate certain microorganisms, it is possible to use media with selective factors, including antibiotics. One study (Glass et al., 2009) evaluated the sensitivity and selectivity of four media for the isolation of Burkholderia mallei and B. pseudomallei (Ashdown agar – a special selective medium for isolation of B. pseudomallei, well described in the literature but not commercially available; three commercially available media: Burkholderia cepacia selective agar (BCSA), oxidation-fermentative-polymyxin B-haemacillin-lactose (OPFBL) agar and Pseudomonas cepacia (PC) agar for isolation of B. cepacia). The authors came to the conclusion that Ashdown agar was the most sensitive medium for the isolation of B. pseudomallei, but it was unable to support the growth of B. mallei. The Czech authors Bunevova at al. (2015) successfully used environment supplemented by mupirocin to isolate and identify Bifidobacterium.

Table 4
Influence of norfloxacin, myramistin and boric acid on opportunistic microflora and A. viridans (n = 5, M ± m)

| Drug                          | Aerococcus viridans (7 lgCFU/ml) | Staphylococcus aureus (7 lgCFU/ml) | Klebsiella pneumoniae (7 lgCFU/ml) | Escherichia coli (7 lgCFU/ml) | Pseudomonas aeruginosa (7 lgCFU/ml) | Candida albicans (7 lgCFU/ml) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Norfloxacin, 8.3 μg/ml        | 6.43 ± 0.06                     | --                                | --*                              | --                            | --                                | --                            |
| Miramistin, 50 μg/ml          | 6.40 ± 0.07                     | 1.17 ± 0.12                       | --                               | --                            | 1.02 ± 0.08                       | --                            |
| Boric acid, 50 μg/ml          | 6.40 ± 0.06                     | --                                | --*                              | --                            | --                                | --                            |
| Norfloxacin + Miramistin + boric acid | 6.41 ± 0.11                    | --*                              | --                               | --                            | --*                               | --                            |

Notes: * P < 0.0001 relative to the corresponding indicator when using miramistin at a dose of 50 μg/ml; # P < 0.001 relative to the corresponding indicator for using boric acid at a dose of 50 μg/ml (Scheffere criterion).

In another study (Vlkovič et al., 2015) a new selective medium with mupirocin, acetic acid and norfloxacin was proposed and evaluated. Among other things, the authors sought to identify an antibiotic that would suppress the growth of clostridia and allow the growth of bifidobacteria, as well as use of the identified substance to develop a selective culture for the cultivation of bifidobacteria. The susceptibility of bifidobacteria and clostridia to 12 antibiotics was tested on an agar by disk diffusion method. Only norfloxacin inhibited the growth of clostridia and did not affect the growth of bifidobacteria. Using both pure cultures and fecal specimens from infants, adults, calves, lambs and piglets, the optimal concentration of norfloxacin in hard growth media was determined as 0.2 mg/ml. The results showed that a hard medium containing norfloxacin (0.2 mg/ml) in combination with mupirocin (0.1 mg/ml) and acetic acid (0.001 ml/ml), is suitable for the isolation of bifidobacteria from fecal specimens of various origins. The authors recommend norfloxacin as a selective factor that inhibits the growth of fecal clostridia. Well-known selective media for the isolation of Fissibacterium spp. from clinical material include josamycin, vancomycin and norfloxacin (JVN) (3, 4, and 1 microgram per ml, respectively) as selective agents, plus 5% defibrinated horse blood. British researchers (Brazier et al., 1991) have successfully isolated the strains of F. nucleatum, F. necrophorum from the respiratory membrane of healthy volunteers and F. varium and F. mortiferum strains from fecal suspensions contaminated by these organisms using JVN medium.

The experimental method (Stepansky & Kremenchutsky, 2014) made it possible to discover the sensitivity of the A. viridans symbionts to fluoroquinolones and determined that norfloxacin at a dosage of 8.3 μg/ml practically did not affect the growth of aerococci and effectively suppresses the CPF. However, it was important to enhance the selective effect of norfloxacin with other antimicrobial agents in order to receive stronger suppression of CPF. Therefore, the purpose of the study was to select a selective antibacterial complex that would suppress the growth of UPMF and would not affect the growth of A. viridans, with the possibility to use it for the production of modified media for isolation, cultivation and study of the biochemical activity of A. viridans microorganisms.
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The complex of all three drugs completely suppressed the CPF, which may be due to the summation of antimicrobial effects, with virtually no effect on the aerococci growth. Properties of aerococci grown on the nutrient medium with the studied drugs did not differ from aerococci grown on nutrient media without additives. That is why all these drugs were combined into a single antimicrobial complex for the production of modified media for the isolation, cultivation and study of biochemical activity of A. viridans microorganisms.

Due to the growing resistance to antibiotics, constant monitoring of the effectiveness of antimicrobial additives, especially antibiotics is clearly needed. The use of these additives as substances to which there are no cases of resistance has obvious advantages. Prior to the creation of an antimicrobial complex for the cultivation of A. viridans (norfloxacin 8.3 μg/ml, miramistin 50 μg/ml, boric acid 50 μg/ml), we did not find cases of resistance to miramistin or boric acid, which is an unconditional advantage in combination with minimal resistance to norfloxacin and a positive experience with the use of this antibiotic as a selective supplement.

Conclusions

A complex of all three drugs (norfloxacin, miramistin, boric acid) completely suppresses the conditionally pathogenic microflora, which may be due to the summation of antimicrobial effects, with virtually no effect on the growth of aerococci. An antimicrobial complex with optimal concentrations of substances (norfloxacin 8.3 μg/ml, miramistin 50 μg/ml, boric acid 50 μg/ml) which effectively suppresses CPF and does not affect the symbiotic A. viridans growth was determined.
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