N-doped porous carbon nanofibers embedded with TiN nanoparticles for high-performance Li–S batteries
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ABSTRACT
Flexible N-doped porous carbon nanofibers embedded with TiN nanoparticles are prepared for cathode materials of lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries. A synergistic adsorption effect of lithium polysulfides (LiPSs) can be provided by the well-dispersed TiN nanoparticles, the heteroatomic doping, and the porous nanofibers structure. As a result, the as-prepared electrode can deliver a high reversible capacity of 990 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} after 120 cycles at 0.2 A g\textsuperscript{-1} with coulombic efficiency approaching 100%. This work provides a simple and comprehensive strategy for the preparation of high-performance Li–S batteries.

IMPACT STATEMENT
We reveal the synergistic effect of the well-dispersed TiN nanoparticles, the heteroatomic doping, and the porous nanofibers structure endows the matrix material with best adsorption capability to polysulfides.

Introduction

Lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries are recognized as one of the most promising candidates for next-generation large-scale energy storage systems because of their high theoretical energy density (2500 Wh kg\textsuperscript{-1}) [1,2]. Besides, S has many advantages including low-cost and abundant [3,4]. However, the practical application of Li–S batteries is impeded by some problems, such as the insulating nature of S and the shuttle phenomena of lithium polysulfides (LiPSs) [5,6]. Strategies have been proposed to address these problems, such as infiltrating S into conductive hosts [7] and optimizing the composition of electrolytes [8–10]. Although those strategies are effective, the detachment of LiPSs from the cathode has not been solved successfully.

Porous carbonaceous materials could suppress the dissolution of LiPSs by physical adsorptions [11], however, such adsorptions are insufficient. Heteroatom doping of carbonaceous materials can improve their adsorption capacity of LiPSs [12]. Peng et al. revealed the favorable guest–host interaction at the N-doped carbon/S interface, which improved the reversibility and stability of Li–S batteries [13]. In addition, polar materials (i.e. TiO\textsubscript{2} [14], TiN [15,16]) can trap the LiPSs on their hydrophilic surfaces. Hao et al. reported TiN, a polar material with high electrical conductivity (4000–55,000 S cm\textsuperscript{-1}), could adsorb the LiPSs on their hydrophilic surfaces and catalyzed them to Li\textsubscript{2}S [17]. As a result, a high capacity of 660 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} was maintained after 200 cycles at 0.5 C. However, the electrochemical performance of Li–S
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batteries is still far from their theoretical performance, further development through the design of host materials still remains crucial.

Herein, we combine the physical adsorption, heteroatomic adsorption, and polar material adsorption of LiPSs into one advanced host structure of flexible N-doped porous carbon nanofibers embedded with polar TiN nanoparticles (TiN/N-PCNFs) via electrospinning. The synergistic effect of the well-dispersed TiN nanoparticles, the heteroatomic doping, and the porous nanofibers structure endows the host with excellent adsorption capability to LiPSs. After loading with S, the as-prepared electrode (S@TiN/N-PCNFs) provides excellent integrity and flexibility, which can be directly used as electrode material for Li–S batteries, limiting the use of inactive substances. The S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode exhibits a high reversible capacity of 990 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 120 cycles at 0.2 A g$^{-1}$, and the rate performance is excellent (675 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 2 A g$^{-1}$), which is ascribed to the TiN nanoparticles that accelerate the conversion kinetics of LiPSs by catalytic effect.

The synthesis process of S@TiN/N-PCNFs is illustrated in Figure 1. Firstly, the precursor solution consists of PAN, Titanium (IV) oxide bis(2,4-pentanedionate), and PS was electrospun into fibers using electrospinning technique. Subsequently, the as-collected nanofibers were stabilized in the air at 200°C, followed by annealing at 800°C in NH$_3$ to obtain the TiN/N-PCNFs. After co-heating the mixture of TiN/N-PCNFs and S, S diffused into the pores of the TiN/N-PCNFs. The prepared S@TiN/N-PCNFs can be bent arbitrarily without any structural damage. For comparison, S loading in the N-PCNFs (S@N-PCNFs) and PCNFs (S@PCNFs) were also prepared via similar procedures.

The as-collected fibers show a continuous and uniform fibrous structure with a diameter of about 1 μm (Figure S1A). After annealed in NH$_3$, the fibrous morphology of the as-collected fibers is well preserved, only the diameter of the nanofibers is decreased to about 700 nm (Figure S1B), which is caused by the weight loss of the as-collected nanofibers [18]. After the S infiltration process, no morphology change, and no S residues can be found on the nanofibers, indicating S is well infiltrated into the pores of TiN/N-PCNFs (Figure 2(A)). The transmission electron microscope (TEM) images of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs show a fibrous structure with tiny particles evenly embedded (Figure 2(B)). From the HRTEM images of S@TiN/N-PCNFs (Figure 2(C)), a clear lattice fringe of the dominated (111) crystal plane of TiN with a d-spacing of 2.45 Å can be found [13]. This result confirms the formation of TiN particles in the porous nanofibers after the annealing process, and the TiN particles are well preserved after co-heating with S. S@PCNFs and S@N-PCNFs exhibit similar morphologies to that of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs (Figure S3). However, no tiny particles or clear lattice fringe are observed in the samples (Figure S4).

The XRD patterns of the TiN/N-PCNFs and S@TiN/N-PCNFs both exhibit the standard diffraction peaks of TiN (PDF#38-1420), which further proves the existence of TiN in the samples (Figure 2(D)) [13]. In addition, a wide diffraction peak at 2θ = 25°, which is corresponding to the (002) diffraction of hexagonal carbon (JCPDS, card no. 75-1621) [19], appears in the XRD patterns of the samples. No diffraction peaks of S can be found in

**Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of the synthesis process of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode, the catalyst process of the LiPSs by TiN, and the adsorption process of the LiPSs by N-doped carbon.
the XRD patterns of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs, S@N-PCNFs,
and S@PCNFs, indicating a good dispersion state of S
in the samples. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)
was used to analyze the surface chemistry of the sam-
ple. Three peaks at about 398.2, 399.9, and 401 eV can
be observed in the N 1S spectrum of the S@TiN/N-
PCNFs, S@N-PCNFs, and S@N-PCNFs, which are cor-
responding to pyridinic-N (N-6), pyrrolic-N (N-5), and
graphite-N (N-Q) [20]. The N content of the S@N-PCNFs (11.79%) is much higher than that
of the S@N-PCNFs (5.26%), indicating a successful N-
doping of the PCNFs after NH3 treatment. Besides, the
peaks at 396.2 and 396.7 eV in the S@TiN/N-PCNFs are
assigned to the Ti–N and C–N bonds. Raman spectrum
further reveals the existence of Ti–N configurations in
the S@TiN/N-PCNFs (Figure S6) [16]. Besides, the dark-
field TEM image and corresponding element mappings
of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs reveal the homogenous element
distribution status of S, Ti, N, C, O in the nanofiber
(Figure 2(E–J)).

The surface area of the TiN/N-PCNFs is 142 m2
g−1, with abundant pores below 100 nm (Figure S7A–B).
After co-heating with S, the surface area of the TiN/N-
PCNFs decreases sharply to 6 m2 g−1, indicating the
good encapsulation of S into the pores of the TiN/N-
PCNFs. In the case of the N-PCNFs and PCNFs, the
BET surface and pore-size distribution also decrease
sharply after infiltration with S (Figure S7C–F). The
contents of S in the S@TiN/N-PCNFs, S@N-PCNFs,
and S@PCNFs are 63.5%, 62.9%, and 60%, respectively,
which are obtained from thermogravimetry (TG) analysis (Figure S8).

Figure 3(A) shows the cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode. During the lithiation process, two peaks at about 2.01 and 2.31 V can be found, which are ascribed to the conversion of S to LiPSs and LiPSs to Li₂S [7]. Only one peak at about 2.49 V appears in the delithiation process. The CV curves overlap relatively well after the first cycles, suggesting good cycle stability of S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode. As a comparison, the CV curves of the S@N-PCNFs and S@PCNFs electrodes overlap worse after the first cycle, indicating poor electrochemical cycling stability (Figure S9). Besides, the reduction peaks of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs appear at higher potentials than those of the S@N-PCNFs and S@PCNFs, and the oxidation peak of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs appears at a lower potential than that of the S@N-PCNFs and S@PCNFs, indicating an improved LiPSs redox kinetics by TiN nanoparticles. Figure 3(B) compares the cycle performance of the prepared electrodes. For S@PCNFs electrode, only a capacity of 410 mAh g⁻¹ is maintained after 45 cycles, suggesting a severe LiPSs dissolve and shuttle phenomena. For S@N-PCNFs electrode, a relatively higher capacity of 692 mAh g⁻¹ is maintained after 120 cycles, indicating the positive effect of N-doping in inhibiting the dissolution and shuttle phenomena of LiPSs. For S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode, a highest capacity of 990 mAh g⁻¹ is maintained after 120 cycles at 0.2 A g⁻¹, indicating the good inhibition of the dissolution and shuttle phenomena of LiPSs by N-doping and the adsorption effect of TiN nanoparticles. Figure 3(C) shows the shuttle current of the prepared electrodes. The S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode exhibits the minimum shuttle current, which further proves the good inhibition effect on the dissolution of LiPSs. The visualization adsorption experiment further reveals the Ti/N-PCNFs has an excellent adsorption effect of Li₂S₆ (Figure S11A). The XPS of the TiN@N-PCNFs immersed in DOL/DME (1:1, v/v) solution containing 0.005 mol L⁻¹ Li₂S₆ for 12 h was characterized to reveal the adsorption state between the TiN@N-PCNFs and LiPSs (Figure S11B). For the Ti 2p spectrum, the peaks at 455.9 and 462.4 eV are assigned to the Ti–N bond, and the peaks at 458.1 and 463.9 eV are assigned to the Ti 2p1/2 and Ti 2p2/3 [21]. Besides, the peak at 456.9 eV is corresponding to the O–Ti–S bond, which confirms the strong chemisorption between the TiN@N-PCNFs and Li₂S₆ [21].

Figure 4. The potentiostatic discharge curves of a Li₂S₈/tetraglyme solution at 2.05 V on the TiN/N-PCNFs (A), N-PCNFs (B), and PCNFs (C), respectively. (D) Optimized configurations for the binding of Li₂Sₙ (n = 8, 4, 2, 1) to TiN (200) surfaces and graphene (002) surfaces.
Figure 3(D) compares the rate performance of the prepared electrodes. The S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode delivers a capacity as high as 882 mAh g$^{-1}$, 785 mAh g$^{-1}$, 722 mAh g$^{-1}$, 675 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 447 mAh g$^{-1}$ when cycled at a current density of 0.3 A g$^{-1}$, 0.5 A g$^{-1}$, 1.0 A g$^{-1}$, 2.0 A g$^{-1}$ and 5.0 A g$^{-1}$, respectively. In the case of S@N-PCNFs and S@PCNFs electrodes, much lower capacity of 120 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 100 mAh g$^{-1}$ are delivered at 2 A g$^{-1}$, respectively. The improved kinetic process of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode is due to the positive catalyst effect of TiN nanoparticles in facilitating the conversion of LiPSs [15]. The lower polarization voltage of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode (0.18 V) than that of the S@N-PCNFs (0.20 V) electrode and S@PCNFs (0.28 V) electrode, further confirms the catalyst effect of TiN nanoparticles (Figure S12). Besides, the lower $R_{ct}$ of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode in electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) also proves its faster kinetic process during lithiation-delithiation (Figure S13).

Figure 3(E) shows the long cycle performance of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode at a current density of 1.0 A g$^{-1}$. After 500 cycles, the S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode can still deliver a high capacity of 305 mAh g$^{-1}$ with coulombic efficiency (CE) almost reaching 100% throughout the cycling. After the extending cycles, the structural integrity and the fibrous structure of the original S@TiN/N-PCNFs are well maintained, indicating the high stability of the electrode during cycling (Figure S14). As known, a high S areal loading is important for the practical application of Li–S batteries. The cycling performance of the S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode with high S loading was also characterized (Figure 3(F)). Even with an S loading of 4.65 mg cm$^{-2}$, the S@TiN/N-PCNFs electrode can still deliver a high capacity of 2.52 mAh cm$^{-2}$ after 60 cycles.

Figure 4(A–C) shows the potentiostatic discharge curves of a Li2S8/tetraglyme solution at 2.05 V on the TiN/N-PCNFs, N-PCNFs, and PCNFs, respectively. The curves of the samples can be divided into three parts, corresponding to the reduction of Li2S8, reduction of Li2S6, and precipitation of Li2S, respectively [15]. The capacity of Li2S precipitation on the TiN/N-PCNFs (151.9 mAh g$^{-1}$) is higher than that of the N-PCNFs (136.8 mAh g$^{-1}$) and PCNFs (119.5 mAh g$^{-1}$), indicating the highest electrochemical activity of Li2S formation in the TiN/N-PCNFs. Besides, the nucleation time of Li2S on the TiN/N-PCNFs is much earlier than that on the N-PCNFs and PCNFs, indicating the highest catalytic effect for LiPSs transformation on the TiN/N-PCNFs. These results further prove that N-doping and TiN nanoparticles have a positive effect on promoting the adsorption and conversion of LiPSs, and the combination of N-doping and TiN nanoparticles into the PCNFs can maximize improve the Li2S precipitation capability.

To further reveal the adsorption status of Li2Sn on TiN and carbon material, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations by employing the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (Figure 4(D)). The adsorption configuration of Li2S6 on TiN (200) surfaces is presented. Based on DFT calculation, the chemical interaction between TiN (200) surfaces and Li2Sn is mainly due to two chemical bonds (Li–N and Ti–S), and the binding energy of Li2S6, Li2S4, Li2S2, and Li2S on TiN (200) surfaces are $-1.00 \text{ eV}$, $-0.37 \text{ eV}$, $-1.52 \text{ eV}$ and $-0.78 \text{ eV}$, respectively. The binding energy of Li2S2 on TiN (200) surfaces is much more negative than that of Li2S8 and Li2S4, indicating TiN can catalyze the conversion from Li2S8 to Li2S2. The adsorption configuration of Li2Sn on the surface of graphene (002) surfaces is also presented. The binding energy of Li2S8, Li2S4, Li2S2 and Li2S on graphene (002) surfaces are $-0.12 \text{ eV}$, $-0.15 \text{ eV}$, $-0.42 \text{ eV}$ and $-0.50 \text{ eV}$, respectively. The binding energies of Li2S8 and Li2S4 on TiN (200) surfaces are much more negative than that on graphene (002) surfaces, indicating the stronger chemical anchoring of soluble LiPSs on TiN, which greatly improves the electrochemical performance of Li–S batteries.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have rationally designed an advanced cathode material for high-performance Li–S batteries, which combines the physical adsorption, heteroatomic adsorption, and polar material adsorption of lithium polysulfides (LiPSs) by integrating the free-standing flexible N-doped porous carbon nanofibers with embedded TiN nanoparticles via electrospinning. The prepared electrode exhibits excellent electrochemical performance (990 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 120 cycles at 0.2 A g$^{-1}$). This work provides a simple and comprehensive strategy for the preparation of high-performance Li–S batteries.
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