Association between afterhours admission to the intensive care unit, strained capacity, and mortality: a retrospective cohort study
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Abstract

Background: Admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) outside daytime hours has been shown to be variably associated with increased morbidity and mortality. We aimed to describe the characteristics and outcomes of patients admitted to the ICU afterhours (22:00–06:59 h) in a large Canadian health region. We further hypothesized that the association between afterhours admission and mortality would be modified by indicators of strained ICU capacity.

Methods: This is a population-based cohort study of 12,265 adults admitted to nine ICUs in Alberta from June 2012 to December 2014. We used a path-analysis modeling strategy and mixed-effects multivariate regression analysis to evaluate direct and integrated associations (mediated through Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score) between afterhours admission (22:00–06:59 h) and ICU mortality. Further analysis examined the effects of strained ICU capacity and varied definitions of afterhours and weekend admissions. ICU occupancy ≥ 90% or clustering of admissions (≥ 0.15, defined as number of admissions 2 h before or after the index admission, divided by the number of ICU beds) were used as indicators of strained capacity.

Results: Of 12,265 admissions, 34.7% (n = 4251) occurred afterhours. The proportion of afterhours admissions varied amongst ICUs (range 26.7–37.8%). Patients admitted afterhours were younger (median (IQR) 58 (44–70) vs 60 (47–70) years, p < 0.0001), more likely to have a medical diagnosis (75.9% vs 72.1%, p < 0.0001), and had higher APACHE II scores (20.9 (8.6) vs 19.9 (8.3), p < 0.0001). Crude ICU mortality was greater for those admitted afterhours (15.9% vs 14.1%, p = 0.007), but following multivariate adjustment there was no direct or integrated effect on ICU mortality (odds ratio (OR) 1.024; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.923–1.135, p = 0.658). Furthermore, direct and integrated analysis showed no association of afterhours admission and hospital mortality (p = 0.90) or hospital length of stay (LOS) (p = 0.27), although ICU LOS was shorter (p = 0.049). Early-morning admission (00:00–06:59 h) with ICU occupancy ≥ 90% was associated with short-term (≤ 7 days) and all-cause ICU mortality.

Conclusions: One-third of critically ill patients are admitted to the ICU afterhours. Afterhours ICU admission was not associated with greater mortality risk in most circumstances but was sensitive to strained ICU capacity.
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Background
Patients may suffer clinical deterioration and develop critical illness at unpredictable times, commonly outside conventional daytime hours. The importance of early resuscitation in critical illness is well described. Adequate early resuscitation can improve outcomes in sepsis [1], vascular emergencies [2–5], trauma [6], and cardiac arrest [7, 8]. As such, improving outcomes for critically ill patients necessitates that critical care services be accessible 24 h a day and 7 days a week [9–11].

While numerous organizational factors likely influence access to critical care services and outcomes for critically ill patients, prior studies have suggested that intensive care unit (ICU) admission occurring outside conventional work hours [12–16] or on weekends [17, 18] is associated with greater risk for major morbidity and mortality. Prior data on this issue have been discordant, with some studies reporting increased risk of mortality for ICU admission occurring afterhours [19], while others, including two recent systematic reviews [20, 21], suggested no incremental hazard.

The association between patient outcomes and afterhours ICU admission may be partly influenced by ICU organizational factors (i.e., staffing models, capacity) that are not patient related, and as such may be highly variable across health systems. Knowledge of avoidable ICU organization issues that may impact patient care and outcome, such as the effect of time of ICU admission, are important to understand to enable health system improvement, to guide procedure and policy development, and for workplace and capacity planning.

We hypothesized, in part due to data suggesting that critical care services in our large health region commonly experience strained capacity [22], that patients admitted to the ICU afterhours would suffer greater risk for death compared with daytime hours, and that this association would be modified by indicators of strained capacity. Accordingly, we performed a population-based study to evaluate the association between afterhours ICU admission and mortality among adult critically ill patients.

Methods
This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Board at the University of Alberta prior to commencement (File # Pro00046184). The need for written informed consent was waived.

Study design, setting, and population
This was a population-based cohort study utilizing routinely captured data evaluating all adult (age ≥ 15 years) patients ($n = 12,265$) admitted to nine ICUs (median (IQR) number of ICU beds 24 (18–28)) in Alberta, Canada, from June 2012 to December 2014. All ICUs were mixed medical/surgical units in two major cities: Calgary (four units) and Edmonton (five units). Of these, two were classified as academic/quaternary, two as academic/tertiary, and five as metropolitan/community ICUs. All included ICUs utilized a “closed” model and were staffed by certified intensivists, who were present during the daytime hours each day and available afterhours on call, with supplemental in-house coverage by clinical associates or resident trainees.

Data sources
Data were analyzed from eCritical Alberta, a provincial clinical information system, data warehouse, and clinical analytics system [22]. eCritical is a bedside interdisciplinary electronic documentation system (MetaVision™; iMDsoft) which captures demographic, diagnostic/case-mix (i.e., comorbidity, diagnostic classification, surgical status, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II and III score), laboratory, and device (physiologic monitors, ventilators, renal replacement therapy, use of vasoactive medications) data. TRACER is a comprehensive, multimodal, and integrated data repository and clinical analytics system. The eCritical Alberta program includes rigorous methods of data quality assurance, including auditing of high value data. eCritical systems have been used previously to facilitate health services research [22–24].Missing data in our cohort were uncommon (<3% for any data variable included in the analyses). For our primary exposure (afterhours admission) and primary outcome (ICU mortality), there were no missing data elements.

Main exposures and outcomes
The primary exposure was ICU admission afterhours, defined as occurring between 22:00 and 06:59 h. The primary outcome was ICU mortality. Secondary outcomes included ICU mortality within 30 h, 3 days, and 7 days following admission, in-hospital mortality, and ICU and hospital length of stay (LOS). Other study variables included age, sex, case mix (e.g., diagnostic classification, surgical status, comorbidities, and Charlson comorbidity index), admission source (i.e., emergency department (ED), operating theater (OR), hospital ward), and ICU site (i.e., location, hospital type). The associations between afterhours admission and mortality were further evaluated with indicators of strained ICU capacity, including instantaneous bed occupancy at ICU admission [22], and clustering of admissions (quantified by number of admissions 2 h before or after the index admission, divided by the number of funded ICU beds).

Statistical methods
Descriptive analysis
Data were initially explored descriptively. Normally or near normally distributed data, confirmed by histogram,
are reported as means with standard deviations (SDs) and compared by Student’s t test. Nonnormally distributed continuous data are reported as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) and were compared by Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared using the chi-squared test.

Path-analysis modeling
Additional file 1 outlines our mediation analysis model of the direct and indirect (mediated through APACHE II score) relationship between afterhours admission and ICU mortality. First, we estimated the association between afterhours admission and APACHE II score by a random-effects multivariate linear regression model, adjusted for demographics (e.g., age, sex), Charlson comorbidity index, case mix (e.g., diagnostic classification, surgical status), instantaneous bed occupancy at ICU admission, and ICU site (e.g., location, hospital type) (Additional file 2). We assumed that intercepts for each of the nine ICU sites were random, implying that different ICUs have different illness severity levels. This model allows calculation of the association between afterhours admission and APACHE II scores. We then estimated the effects of afterhours admission and APACHE II score on ICU mortality by a random-effects multivariate logistic regression model (Additional files 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8). ICU type was again used as random-effects predictor, and the model was similarly adjusted as in the linear model. Customized variable selection was adopted to produce sparse models for the multivariate modeling analyses [25]. All of the modeling analyses were conducted in SAS (release 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Lastly, simulation experiments (1 million replicates) were conducted in R Core [26] to estimate the total combined effect by integrating both the direct and indirect effects of afterhours admission on ICU mortality. Random-effects multivariate Poisson regression was used to evaluate the association between afterhours admission, APACHE II score, and hospital LOS (Additional file 9).

Sensitivity analysis
Sensitivity analysis was performed using the same path-analysis model with modified definitions of afterhours admission including the following: early morning admission, defined as 00:00–06:59 h; early morning admission on a weekend or statutory holiday (non-work day); early morning admission in winter (October–March); early morning admission with high bed occupancy (≥ 90%, ≥ 95%), and afterhours admission with clustering of admissions.

Results
Afterhours ICU admission
Of 12,265 admissions to the nine ICUs, 34.7% (n = 4251) occurred afterhours. Afterhours admission was most common in academic units (Table 1). There was significant variability across units (range 26.7–37.8%; Figure 1). Most afterhours admissions were referred from the ED (46.4%), hospital ward (27.1%), and operating theater (16.9%), respectively. Afterhours admissions were also associated with greater occupancy, but less clustering of ICU admissions. Of afterhours admissions, 30.6% (n = 1302) were admitted on weekends/holidays, 47.0% (n = 1998) were during winter months (October–March), while 70.9% (n = 3016) were between 00:00 and 06:59 h, respectively. Patients admitted afterhours were younger, mostly nonoperative, and had greater APACHE II scores (Table 2).

Association between afterhours admission and APACHE II score
In multivariate analysis, afterhours admission was associated with significantly higher APACHE II scores (estimate (SE) 0.78 (0.13), p < 0.0001) (Additional file 2). Female sex, emergent surgical admission, diagnostic category, and higher occupancy were also associated with higher APACHE II scores, while elective surgical status was associated with lower APACHE II scores.

Association between afterhours admission and ICU mortality
ICU mortality was 14.7% (n = 1800). Unadjusted ICU mortality was greater for afterhours compared with daytime hours admission (15.9% vs 14.1%; OR 1.15; 95% CI 1.04–1.28, p = 0.007) (Table 3; Figure 2). This effect was persistent across variable durations following ICU admission. However, after multivariate adjustment, there was no significant direct or integrated effect (mediated through APACHE II score) of afterhours admission on ICU mortality (Table 4).

Afterhours admissions had worse short-term outcomes in the presence of indicators of strained ICU capacity. For admissions occurring between 00:00 and 06:59 h and while bed occupancy was ≥ 90%, ICU mortality was greater. Similarly, for afterhours admission occurring during relative clustering of ICU admissions, short-term ICU mortality (< 7 days) was higher (Table 5).

Association between afterhours admission and secondary outcomes
Hospital mortality was 21.3% (n = 2605) with no significant difference between afterhours and daytime ICU admission in unadjusted, direct, or integrated (mediated through APACHE II score) analyses (Tables 3 and 4). In multivariate analysis, afterhours compared with daytime ICU admission was associated with reduced ICU LOS in direct and integrated analysis; however, this was not significant when stratified by ICU survival status. There was no association with afterhours admission and hospital LOS.
Sensitivity analyses

Early morning admission occurring between 00:00 and 06:59 h, between 00:00 and 06:59 h on weekend/holidays, and between 00:00 and 06:59 h during winter months showed association with early death in the ICU (death within 30 h in the ICU) and shorter ICU LOS, likely driven by ICU survivors (Tables 4 and 5); however, admissions during these periods were not associated with total ICU or hospital mortality, or with hospital LOS (Table 5).
Discussion

In this multicenter population-based cohort study in a large Canadian health region, we found that approximately one-third of all ICU admissions occurred after-hours. The proportion of afterhours admissions varied across sites, with a greater proportion occurring in academic hospital ICUs. Patients admitted afterhours were younger, had greater illness acuity, were more likely medical (non-operative), and were most commonly referred from the emergency department. We found no effect of afterhours admission on ICU or hospital mortality (either direct or after integrating effects mediated through illness severity); however, we showed that this effect may be sensitive to whether an ICU is experiencing strained capacity. Afterhours admission during periods of high occupancy was associated with increases in early ICU mortality and shorter ICU LOS, while concomitant clustering of admissions also showed association with early ICU mortality. Afterhours admission, including during periods of strain, was not, however, associated with greater all-cause hospital mortality.

Interpretation with prior work

Afterhours ICU admissions have shown inconsistent association with adverse patient outcomes when compared to admissions occurring during usual daytime hours. This variability is likely attributable to differences in study design, to varying definitions for “afterhours”, heterogeneous case mix, differential risk of bias and residual confounding, type of health jurisdiction being evaluated, and, importantly, due to likely small estimates for differences in effect [20, 21]. Two systematic reviews have suggested no incremental hazard for afterhours ICU admission and mortality risk; however, both suggested greater risk for admissions occurring on weekends relative to weekdays, and further suggested estimates may be sensitive to ICU organizational structure and geographic variation [20, 21]. In a large multicenter cohort study from Australia (n = 245,057), afterhours (18:00–05:59 h) and weekend admissions were shown to have greater risk-adjusted hospital mortality compared with daytime admissions [27]. While mortality was marginally higher in our cohort and largely mediated by illness severity, our study adds new knowledge by suggesting that system-level variables such as strained capacity (i.e., high occupancy) and workload (i.e., clustering of ICU admissions), when coupled with admissions occurring afterhours, may further exert small but important effects on patient risk of adverse outcome.

A spectrum of system-related factors may account for the purported increased mortality risk associated with afterhours ICU admissions. ICU organizational structure, including reduced afterhours intensivist coverage and nurse-to-patient ratios, may negatively impact ICU care afterhours, particularly during strained capacity conditions [28]. Adoption of an in-house intensivist staffing model has shown variable effect to improve care processes and outcomes; however, no study has specifically evaluated how this coverage model performs during strain [29–34]. Similarly, greater bedside nursing workload may compromise care quality and increase the risk...
| Characteristic                        | Total (n = 12,265, 100%) | Workhours (n = 8014, 65.3%) | Afterhours (n = 4251, 34.7%) | p value |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age, median (IQR)                   | 59 (46–70)               | 60 (47–70)                  | 58 (44–70)                  | <.0001  |
| Age category, n (%)                 |                          |                             |                             | <.0022  |
| < 65 years                          | 7680 (62.6)              | 4934 (61.6)                 | 2746 (64.6)                 |         |
| 65–74 years                         | 2458 (20.1)              | 1674 (20.9)                 | 784 (18.5)                  |         |
| 75–84 years                         | 1696 (13.8)              | 1132 (14.1)                 | 564 (13.3)                  |         |
| ≥ 85 years                          | 426 (3.5)                | 270 (3.4)                   | 156 (3.7)                   |         |
| Sex, n (%)                          |                          |                             |                             | 0.29    |
| Female                              | 5112 (41.7)              | 3367 (42.0)                 | 1745 (41.1)                 |         |
| Male                                | 7150 (58.3)              | 4644 (58.0)                 | 2506 (59.0)                 |         |
| System, n (%)                       |                          |                             |                             | <.0001  |
| Cardiovascular                      | 2103 (17.3)              | 696 (16.5)                  | 696 (16.5)                  |         |
| Gastrointestinal                    | 2044 (16.8)              | 677 (16.0)                  | 677 (16.0)                  |         |
| Genitourinary                       | 449 (3.7)                | 136 (3.2)                   | 136 (3.2)                   |         |
| Hematology                          | 65 (0.5)                 | 24 (0.6)                    | 24 (0.6)                    |         |
| Metabolic/endocrine                 | 246 (2.0)                | 85 (2.0)                    | 85 (2.0)                    |         |
| Musculoskeletal/skin                | 485 (4.0)                | 144 (3.4)                   | 144 (3.4)                   |         |
| Neurologic                          | 1809 (14.9)              | 679 (16.1)                  | 679 (16.1)                  |         |
| Respiratory                         | 3643 (29.9)              | 1216 (28.8)                 | 1216 (28.8)                 |         |
| Trauma                              | 129 (1.1)                | 52 (1.2)                    | 52 (1.2)                    |         |
| Trauma without head injury          | 1211 (9.9)               | 519 (12.3)                  | 519 (12.3)                  |         |
| Surgery, n (%)                      |                          |                             |                             | <.0001  |
| Elective                            | 1209 (9.9)               | 962 (12.0)                  | 247 (5.8)                   |         |
| Emergent                            | 2054 (16.8)              | 1276 (15.9)                 | 778 (18.3)                  |         |
| Nonoperative                        | 9002 (73.4)              | 5776 (72.1)                 | 3226 (75.9)                 |         |
| Class, n (%)                        |                          |                             |                             | <.0001  |
| Medical                             | 7241 (59.0)              | 4701 (58.7)                 | 2540 (59.8)                 |         |
| Neurological                        | 851 (6.9)                | 501 (6.3)                   | 350 (8.2)                   |         |
| Surgical                            | 3101 (25.3)              | 2203 (27.5)                 | 898 (21.1)                  |         |
| Trauma without head injury          | 647 (5.3)                | 379 (4.7)                   | 268 (6.3)                   |         |
| Trauma with head injury             | 425 (3.5)                | 230 (2.9)                   | 195 (4.6)                   |         |
| Comorbidity disease, n (%)          |                          |                             |                             |         |
| Chronic dialysis                     | 423 (3.5)                | 273 (3.4)                   | 150 (3.5)                   | 0.72    |
| Hepatic                             | 1264 (10.3)              | 817 (10.2)                  | 447 (10.5)                  | 0.58    |
| Neurologic                          | 5298 (43.2)              | 3303 (41.2)                 | 1995 (46.9)                 | <.0001  |
| AIDS                                | 65 (0.5)                 | 42 (0.5)                    | 23 (0.5)                    | 0.90    |
| Chronic heart failure               | 762 (6.2)                | 512 (6.4)                   | 250 (5.9)                   | 0.27    |
| Respiratory                         | 1415 (11.5)              | 942 (11.8)                  | 473 (11.1)                  | 0.30    |
| Metastatic/leukemia/lymphoma        | 791 (6.5)                | 543 (6.8)                   | 248 (5.8)                   | 0.043   |
| Immune suppression                  | 1095 (8.9)               | 736 (9.2)                   | 359 (8.5)                   | 0.17    |
| Diabetes                            | 2280 (18.6)              | 1535 (19.2)                 | 745 (17.5)                  | 0.027   |
| Cirrhosis                           | 785 (6.4)                | 526 (6.6)                   | 259 (6.1)                   | 0.31    |
| Cardiovascular                      | 5752(46.9)               | 3739 (46.7)                 | 2013 (47.4)                 | 0.47    |
| Digestive                           | 2210 (18.0)              | 1449 (18.1)                 | 761 (17.9)                  | 0.80    |
of adverse outcomes [35]; however, no prior work has evaluated the relationship between afterhours admission and workload during strained conditions. Nursing workload may be susceptible to both patient-level factors (i.e., acuity) and system-level factors (i.e., reduced nurse-to-patient ratios afterhours); and these relationships may be further exploited when ICUs become strained, such as during a large number of new admissions occurring within a relatively short period of time [36, 37].

Implications for practice, research, and policy
Our study supports the notion that both patient-specific and system-related factors may contribute to a small but important mortality risk associated with afterhours ICU admissions. While our work should be further replicated, it would imply at a minimum that individual ICUs and/or health regions should interrogate data on afterhours admissions, particularly during periods of strain. Future work should also examine for system-related patterns of strained ICU capacity that may be foreseeable, that may be further targets of quality improvement initiatives, and which could inform capacity and workforce planning, and policy development aimed at mitigating avoidable risk.

The greater acuity for afterhours admissions could be attributed to several factors, including patients whose ICU transfer was delayed or who received suboptimal initial resuscitation, more unplanned admissions (i.e., emergency surgery), or admission of sicker patients with reduced likelihood of deriving benefit from ICU care (i.e., futile ICU admission nearing end of life), due in part to different staffing models (i.e., no in-house intensivist coverage afterhours) [38]. These same factors may account for the higher early mortality occurring among afterhours admissions during periods of strain. The greater rates of afterhours admissions at academic sites in our study would support these hypotheses. Alternatively, Bhonagiri et al. [27] found greater mortality for afterhours admissions among elective surgery patients. This was speculated to occur due to delays in ICU admission from complicated intraoperative courses that were not otherwise captured in illness severity scores. In our cohort, however, elective surgical patients represented only 9.9% of ICU admissions and were consistently associated with reduced mortality in multivariate analysis [39].

Limitations
While our study was a relatively large multicenter population-based interrogation of routinely captured ICU clinical and administrative data, our study has limitations that warrant consideration. First, despite extensive covariate adjustment, our study is observational and remains susceptible to bias and residual confounding. Second, our cohort was restricted to those admitted to the ICU. We did not have data on patients who were referred to or received ICU consultation but were declined admission or those whose ICU admission was potentially

Table 2 Baseline patient characteristics, stratified by time of ICU admission (Continued)

| Characteristic               | Total (n = 12,265, 100%) | Workhours (n = 8014, 65.3%) | Afterhours (n = 4251, 34.7%) | p value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Acute renal                 | 2869 (23.4)              | 1900 (23.7)                | 969 (22.8)                  | 0.26    |
| Charlson Index, median, (IQR)| 1 (0-2)                  | 1 (0-2)                    | 1 (0-2)                     | <.0001  |
| Admission APACHE II score, mean (SD) | 20.2 (8.4)              | 19.9 (8.3)                 | 20.9 (8.6)                  | <.0001  |

AIDS acquired immune deficiency syndrome, APACHE acute physiology and chronic health evaluation, ICU intensive care unit, IQR interquartile range, SD standard deviation

Table 3 Mortality and length of stay stratified by time of ICU admission

| Outcome                        | Workhours (n = 8014) | Afterhours (n = 4251) | Absolute difference | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | p value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Death within 30 h (n, %)       | 364 (4.5%)           | 238 (5.6%)            | 1.28%               | 1.25 (1.05–1.47)       | 0.0099  |
| Death within 3 days (n, %)     | 585 (7.3%)           | 371 (8.7%)            | 1.43%               | 1.21 (1.06–1.39)       | 0.0050  |
| Death within 7 days (n, %)     | 771 (9.6%)           | 495 (11.6%)           | 2.02%               | 1.24 (1.10–1.40)       | 0.0005  |
| Death in ICU (n, %)            | 1127 (14.1%)         | 675 (15.9%)           | 1.82%               | 1.15 (1.04–1.28)       | 0.0069  |
| Death in hospital (n, %)       | 1670 (20.8%)         | 935 (22.0%)           | 1.16%               | 1.07 (0.98–1.17)       | 0.14    |
| LOS in ICU, days (median IQR)  | 3.7 (1.9–7.4)        | 3.6 (1.7–7.5)         | −0.1                | –                      | 0.42    |
| LOS in ICU for survivors (median IQR) | 3.8 (1.9–7.3)   | 3.7 (1.8–7.6)         | −0.1                | –                      | 0.68    |
| LOS in ICU for non-survivors (median IQR) | 2.6 (0.9–7.6)   | 2.4 (0.7–6.1)         | −0.2                | –                      | 0.039   |
| LOS in hospital, days (median IQR) | 14 (6–30)          | 13 (5–28)             | −1.0                | –                      | 0.0006  |

CI confidence interval, ICU intensive care unit, IQR interquartile range, LOS length of stay, OR odds ratio
delayed [40, 41]. Similarly, we did not have data on patient goals of care at the time of ICU admission or changes that occurred thereafter, recognizing their potential interaction with strained capacity [42]. Third, we defined afterhours admission as occurring between 22:00 and 06:59 h to largely reflect contemporaneous practice in our health region; and while we applied a variety of sensitivity analyses to this definition, we recognize this may not be generalizable to other jurisdictions with variable ICU organization structures.

Fourth, our study focused on relatively proximate patient outcomes occurring in the ICU and hospital; as such, we cannot comment on alternative outcomes that may be associated with afterhours ICU admission including major morbidity, satisfaction with care, and long-term mortality.

**Conclusions**

Afterhours ICU admission is common and associated with increased illness severity. Although afterhours admission is common and associated with increased illness severity.

---

**Table 4** Summary of direct, indirect, and total (integrated) effect of afterhours admission on ICU mortality, hospital mortality, and lengths of stay

| Outcome                  | Direct effect |                                | Indirect effect |                                | Total (integrated effect) | p value |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                          | OR (95% CI)   | p value                         | OR (95% CI)     | p value                         | OR (95% CI)               | p value |
| Mortality                |               |                                 |                 |                                 |                           |         |
| Death in ICU             | 0.96 (0.87–1.06) | 0.39                           | 1.07 (1.05–1.09) | <.0001                          | 1.02 (0.92–1.14)       | 0.66    |
| Death within 30 h in ICU | 1.00 (0.83–1.22) | 0.97                           | 1.11 (1.07–1.14) | <.0001                          | 1.11 (0.91–1.35)       | 0.30    |
| Death within 3 days in ICU | 0.97 (0.83–1.14) | 0.74                           | 1.09 (1.06–1.12) | <.0001                          | 1.06 (0.91–1.24)       | 0.46    |
| Death within 7 days in ICU | 1.01 (0.88–1.15) | 0.94                           | 1.08 (1.06–1.11) | <.0001                          | 1.09 (0.95–1.24)       | 0.21    |
| Death in hospital        | 0.94 (0.86–1.03) | 0.21                           | 1.05 (1.04–1.07) | <.0001                          | 0.99 (0.91–1.09)       | 0.89    |
| Length of stay           |               |                                 |                 |                                 |                           |         |
| LOS in ICU               | 0.93 (0.88–0.98) | 0.013                          | 1.02 (1.01–1.02) | <.0001                          | 0.94 (0.89–1.00)       | 0.0498  |
| LOS in ICU for survivors | 0.94 (0.90–0.98) | 0.0024                         | 1.03 (1.02–1.04) | <.0001                          | 0.97 (0.93–1.01)       | 0.11    |
| LOS in ICU for nonsurvivors | 1.02 (0.85–1.21) | 0.86                           | 0.97 (0.96–0.98) | <.0001                          | 0.98 (0.83–1.17)       | 0.86    |
| LOS in hospital          | 0.96 (0.90–1.02) | 0.20                           | 1.01 (1.00–1.01) | 0.021                           | 0.96 (0.90–1.03)       | 0.27    |

OR estimates and their 95% CIs were calculated based on parameter estimates; parameter estimates of integrated effect were based on 1 million times simulation experiments. CI confidence interval, ICU intensive care unit, LOS length of stay, OR odds ratio
ICU admission did not portend greater mortality risk in most circumstances, this association may be sensitive to strained ICU capacity conditions. Future work should focus on evaluating those modifiable factors, particularly if related to ICU organizational structure that may mediate mortality risk associated with after-hours ICU admission.
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### Table 5: Sensitivity analysis demonstrating the integrated effect of various admission times and admission conditions on ICU mortality, hospital mortality, and length of stay

| Outcome                           | Between 00:00 and 06:59h | Between 00:00 and 06:59 h on non-work day | Between 00:00 and 06:59 h and during October–March |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                   | OR (95% CI) | p value | OR (95% CI) | p value | OR (95% CI) | p value |
| Mortality                         |             |         |             |         |             |         |
| Death in ICU                      | 1.10 (0.98–1.23) | 0.10     | 1.13 (0.96–1.34) | 0.14     | 1.15 (0.99–1.33) | 0.060   |
| Death within 30 h in ICU          | 1.28 (1.03–1.58) | 0.024    | 1.44 (1.07–1.94) | 0.018    | 1.38 (1.06–1.80) | 0.016   |
| Death within 3 days in ICU        | 1.17 (0.98–1.39) | 0.075    | 1.34 (1.04–1.72) | 0.023    | 1.37 (1.11–1.70) | 0.0039  |
| Death within 7 days in ICU        | 1.14 (0.98–1.31) | 0.091    | 1.34 (1.09–1.66) | 0.0068   | 1.23 (1.02–1.49) | 0.028   |
| Death in hospital                 | 1.02 (0.92–1.13) | 0.70     | 1.08 (0.93–1.26) | 0.33     | 1.13 (0.99–1.29) | 0.065   |
| Length of stay                    |             |         |             |         |             |         |
| LOS in ICU                        | 0.80 (0.66–0.97) | 0.026    | 0.80 (0.67–0.94) | 0.0084   | 0.89 (0.72–1.09) | 0.26    |
| LOS in hospital                   | 1.01 (0.85–1.20) | 0.91     | 0.94 (0.81–1.10) | 0.43     | 0.98 (0.82–1.16) | 0.78    |
|                                   |             |         |             |         |             |         |
|                                   |             |         |             |         |             |         |
| Mortality                         |             |         |             |         |             |         |
| Death in ICU                      | 1.21 (1.03–1.43) | 0.019    | 1.26 (1.01–1.58) | 0.039    | 1.08 (0.97–1.20) | 0.15    |
| Death within 30 h in ICU          | 1.37 (1.02–1.85) | 0.037    | 1.34 (0.88–2.03) | 0.18     | 1.25 (1.02–1.53) | 0.030   |
| Death within 3 days in ICU        | 1.33 (1.04–1.69) | 0.021    | 1.26 (0.91–1.76) | 0.17     | 1.18 (1.00–1.38) | 0.049   |
| Death within 7 days in ICU        | 1.25 (1.01–1.54) | 0.039    | 1.24 (0.93–1.66) | 0.15     | 1.16 (1.01–1.33) | 0.034   |
| Death in hospital                 | 1.11 (0.96–1.29) | 0.17     | 1.12 (0.91–1.37) | 0.28     | 1.05 (0.95–1.15) | 0.33    |
| Length of stay                    |             |         |             |         |             |         |
| LOS in ICU                        | 0.79 (0.63–0.98) | 0.031    | 0.97 (0.91–1.03) | 0.26     | 0.94 (0.89–1.01) | 0.083   |
| LOS in hospital                   | 1.08 (0.88–1.33) | 0.46     | 1.02 (0.90–1.16) | 0.72     | 0.97 (0.90–1.04) | 0.32    |

OR estimates and their 95% CIs were calculated based on parameter estimates; parameter estimates of integrated effect were based on 1 million times simulation experiments. CI confidence interval, ICU intensive care unit, LOS length of stay, OR odds ratio.
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