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ABSTRACT

Aim and objective: Enteric fever has caused significant morbidity and will even get worse if the predisposing risk factors of the disease are not controlled. The rainy season accounts for the high incidence of enteric fever along with other diarrheal diseases in Nepal. This study aims to screen the high burden zone of typhoid cases in Nepal for the prospective use of typhoid conjugate vaccine for the disease.

Materials and methods: We searched Medline, Embase, Cochrane, and the World Health Organization (WHO) website for scientific literature published until December 2018. Additional publications identified through grey literature search, outbreak news-related online databases, and national reports from Nepal were reviewed. We summarized reported outbreaks of typhoid in Nepal by reported year, region, size of the outbreak, and major age group affected followed by the number of typhoid cases by year, region, and district reported to the Epidemiology and Disease Control Division (EDCD).

Results: Since the first report of the typhoid outbreak in 1984, there have been multiple publications describing typhoid in Nepal. Studies were conducted predominantly from Kathmandu valley during the rainy season; however, outbreaks have been reported at other parts of Nepal including Hilly and Mountain regions. While all age groups were affected by typhoid, children to young adults were frequently reported with the highest proportion. The data show that typhoid cases are on increase in all the five development regions (Eastern, Central, Western, Mid-Western, and Far-Western Development region), except in the far western region where the slight decrease was observed from 2013/2014 to 2014/2015 while an increasing trend was observed after 2015/2016.

Conclusion: We found typhoid cases are on increase and have become a pressing public health issue and concerned authorities should put their serious efforts to mitigate the problem. There are many challenges on the way to control the disease, inaccurate diagnosis, inadequate treatment, and increasing multidrug resistance are a few of them. A combined approach of vaccination and water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) may lead to a considerable drop in the incidence of this disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Enteric fever is a common health problem in many countries, especially in developing countries.¹ Each year, there are 11.9–26.9 million cases and 129,000–217,000 death counts globally.²³ There are striking variations in annual global incidence ranging from <0.1 per 100,000 people in North America to 976 per 100,000 people in South Asia.⁴ The Indian subcontinent including Nepal has to face the heat of the disease with an estimated approximate 6 million cases each year.⁵ The S. typhi and S. paratyphi serotype A, B, or C are pathogen responsible for enteric fever worldwide.⁶ The potential source of acquiring infection is caused by the ingestion of contaminated food and water containing the S. typhi and/or S. paratyphi⁷ and the contributing factors are poor hygiene and sanitation.⁸

There has been considerable antibiotic resistance against typhoid reported from Nepal, chloramphenicol, amoxicillin, and cotrimoxazole at the initial stage and later to fluoroquinolones.⁹ Vaccination could be one of the significant tools in reducing the disease burden. To date, many generations of vaccines have been developed, starting from killed typhoid vaccines to, live attenuated and recently conjugate vaccines; of which Typhbar TCV got prequalification by World Health Organization (WHO) in early 2018 and is a licensed vaccine in Nepal.¹⁰ As per WHO position paper 2018, in the typhoid endemic settings, typhoid vaccines should be used as one of the preventive measures to combat the menace of the disease.¹¹ With the availability of Gavi funding, many eligible countries are planning to include the vaccine in their routine immunization programs. Nepal being Gavi eligible country, Government may decide to implement the TCV in near future. Keeping in mind the high possibility of the same, an overview of the typhoid fever burden in Nepal was planned.

This review would describe the prevailing condition of enteric fever in Nepal as well as its diagnostic limitations, resistance patterns, and prophylaxis measures like vaccine and future directions.
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Materials and Methods

Literature Search and Inclusion Criteria

We conducted a comprehensive search of online databases, Medline, Embase, Cochrane, and WHO website to screen publications on enteric fever in Nepal till December 2018. Search terms included “enteric fever”, “diagnosis”, “epidemiology”, and “Nepal”. Using the same search terms, we also explored medexplorer, medscape, medhunt, Google scholar, and other open grey journals databases for any information related to enteric fever in Nepal. For unpublished reports or other relevant information, we also searched online disease monitoring systems the Program for Monitoring Emerging Diseases (ProMed), Global Infectious Diseases and Epidemiology Network (GiDEON) database, and official websites of the Department of Health Services (DoHS) and Ministry of Health and Population (MoH&P), Government of Nepal (GoN). Publications included were in the English language which reported typhoid and paratyphoid as an etiology of enteric fever through the hospital, laboratory, or community-based surveillance in Nepal. We also included outbreak reports of enteric fever in Nepal (Flowchart 1).

Data Abstraction and Analysis

The relevant published data on enteric fever meeting eligibility criteria were sorted in Microsoft Excel 2007. The data included the year of publication, study period, methodology, number of cases, demographics, and other distinct and relevant findings. All data analysis and visualization for the review were performed using Microsoft Excel 2007.

Results

Epidemiology of Enteric Fever in Nepal

Nepal is a relatively small country with a population of 29 million having significant geographic, social, and religious diversity. Enteric fever is endemic all over the country and proves to be a huge burden on government and private healthcare facilities. The prevalence of typhoid fever is high throughout the country which includes mountains, Kathmandu valley (capital city of Nepal, also known as the capital of enteric disease), and southern belts (Terai) of Nepal, and most of the cases are reported from May to October.12

Flowchart 1: Literature search and screening process used to determine the eligibility of publications on typhoid in Nepal

Additionally, in most healthcare facilities, it is one of the leading diagnoses for fever. World Health Organization conservatively estimates the annual prevalence of typhoid fever is 0.3% in the country.13

The first typhoid case in Nepal was reported from an adult British Nepalese soldier from Dharan in 1984 followed by an infant in 1989.14 Enteric fever episodes were reported sporadically at healthcare facilities in a densely populated area of Kathmandu valley15 which were followed by a different outbreak of S. typhi and paratyphi A in the valley.14 In a decade (1993–2003), a total of 82,467 blood cultures were carried out in Kathmandu valley of which 12,252 bacteria were isolated. Out of the isolated bacterium, Salmonella was found positive in 9124 (74.5%) blood cultures: 6,447 (70.7%) for Salmonella enterica serotype typhi (S. typhi) and 2,677 (29.3%) for Paratyphi A (S. paratyphi A).14 In 2004, Salmonella enterica serotype typhi was detected from 368 patients in Kathmandu14 which included 30 typhoid patients from Kathmandu Medical College and Teaching Hospital18 at the same year, 112 cases from Dhalikhel hospital;19 while 189 cases were reported from Bir Hospital in 2006.20 Salmonella enterica serotype Paratyphi A appeared as a significant source of enteric fever in Kathmandu in 2006.21 S. typhi and S. paratyphi A were also isolated from gallbladders of 24 cholecystectomy patients22 and immunoreactivity was found in 13 chronic biliary carriers of S. typhi in Kathmandu.23 A fatal myocarditis complicating typhoid fever was reported in an Israeli traveler returning from Nepal.24

Typhoid disease burden is not confined to Kathmandu; many cases have been reported from outside Kathmandu valley as well. A large number of (n = 5963) typhoid fever were recorded from Bhairatpur during 2002 (population, 92,214).25 132 strains of S. enterica typhi, isolated from 2,568 blood samples from the eastern part of Nepal with one case of acute febrile encephalopathy26 and 82 cases of enteric fever in the western part of Nepal between 2000 and 2005.22,28

The enteric fever was laboratory (serology and blood culture) confirmed in all five developmental regions. Since then, sporadic cases and/or outbreaks have continued validating the endemicity of enteric fever in the country (Fig. 1). The enteric fever-wave spread in different regions in the country and has been detected every year in one to two particular regions. The past 5 year data reveals that the number of cases of typhoid is on increase in all developing regions, except in the far western region the cases were slightly decreasing from 2013/2014 to 2014/2015 but eventually follows increasing trend after 2015/2016. The increasing rate of typhoid cases seems similar in the case of other regions (Fig. 1). A large number of cases was detected from province 5 in 2015/2016 which includes both Terai and hilly regions of Nepal (Figs 2 and 3).

The cases of enteric fever were reported from all geographical domains, as low as 300 m below the sea level of Terai regions (Morang, Sunsari, Dhanusa, Mahottari, Rautahat, Chitwan, Banke, and Kailali district), to Kathmandu valleys of upland Hill and Mountain regions which is at an altitude of 2,500 m above sea level in 2015/2016 (Fig. 2). From a gender perspective, men were affected more than women (Annual Report, Department of Health Service).

Discussion

Humans are the only reported host for typhoidal Salmonella, and the transmission is principally through food or water contaminated with human feces. In Nepal, habitant of 29 million people, the
majority of people (81%) live in rural areas and only 19% in an urban area who have access to sanitary facilities, and the majority of them still rely on primitive sources for drinking water. Most of the water supply lines run beside drainage channels resulting in the contamination of piped drinking water supply. Several studies show that microbial counts in drinking water are above the recommended levels and about 89% of households do not even treat their drinking water. Due to the absence of enough waste management facilities, each day, only 31.5% of sewage from large cities can be treated while 68.5% of untreated sewage contaminates surface and groundwater. The factors linked to enteric fever include lower social status, overcrowding, illiterate population, living in a rented room with poor facilities, unhealthy use of latrine, and habit of not washing wash hands. In Nepal, the disease burden is comparatively high compared to developed countries, and significant social, economic, and physical costs from typhoid fever affect low-income earning households and communities throughout the country.

Isolation of the causative agent, Salmonella, from bone marrow culture is an ideal gold standard for diagnosis but it is
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severely limited by the availability of expertise in underdeveloped countries like Nepal. Alternatively, the widely used blood culture for pathogen isolation is also limited by the false-negative results due to its poor sensitivity of 40–60% and the time duration of diagnosis, especially when the antibiotic usage is before hospital admittance, which is a common practice in Nepal. Although all of the above-mentioned facilities are available, the Widal test is the most commonly used in the country due to limited resources for blood and bone marrow culture. There is a need for an increase in the diagnostic capacity for enteric fever in Nepal. Besides this, the accurate clinical diagnostic criteria could be a very helpful tool for the diagnosis of enteric fever when a culture facility is not available (Table 1).

The best strategies to control typhoid are based upon three interventions: vaccination, healthy living habits, water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH), and checking of antibiotic resistance. Though vaccines for S. typhi are available for more than a hundred years both the oral live-attenuated Ty21a and the injectable Vi polysaccharide vaccine (ViPS) in use, especially in the travelers’ market for many years have moderate efficacy (50–70%), short duration of protection and poor immunogenicity among infants and young children. Thus, despite proven effectiveness in reducing typhoid incidence in some regions, they are not extensively used in endemic countries. However, with the use of typhoid conjugate vaccines, it induces better protection than polysaccharide vaccines and provides protective immunity in children under 2 years old, there is a strong push from the scientific world including WHO to use typhoid vaccines in endemic settings.

Conjugate S. paratyphi A vaccines are also under the process of development and phase 1 and II trial outcome has proved to be safe and immunogenic. The modeling data argue that conjugate vaccines are likely to provide direct and herd immunity and could be a very important tool in combating the disease burden when contemporarily adopting other interventions such as improvements

Table 1: Summary of publications reported on typhoid in Nepal

| Study period          | Study design                          | Study area                              | Summary of findings                                                                 | Ref.                     |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| January 2000 to December 2004 | 2,568 patients with suspected enteric fever | Eastern Nepal (B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences) | 132 strains of S. typhi were isolated from 2,568 blood culture samples. Of the 132 isolates, 35 (26.4%) were MDR showing simultaneous resistance to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and co-trimoxazole which are first-line anti-typhoidal drugs | Khanal et al.26 |
| April and October 2011 | 103 febrile patients with confirmed enteric fever were enrolled during the study | Kathmandu (Patan Hospital) | 48% (49/103) were positive for S. typhi and 52% (54/103) were positive for S. paratyphi A | Karkey et al.16 |
| April 1992 to December 2014 | 224,741 individual patient blood samples | Kathmandu (Patan Hospital) | Of 224,741, the 173,892 (77.4%) were culture-negative, 10,496 (4.7%) were positive for non-Salmonella bacteria, and 20,496 (9.1%) were contaminated or contained fungi | Zellweger et al.36 |
| January 2008 and July 2012 | 9,901 enteric fever cases from the different hospital of Kathmandu valley | Kathmandu | 1,881 of these were confirmed typhoid cases from five hospitals in the Kathmandu district. Approximately 70% of the cases involved children under 15 years old. 1,281 cases were confirmed as S. paratyphi | Bajacharya et al.37 |
| October 2012 and October 2014 | 116 patients with bacteremic TF were screened for the comparative trial | Dhulikhel hospital | Salmonella typhi accounted for 64/116 (55.7%) of all isolates, while Salmonella paratyphi accounted for 52/116 (44.3%); 42 of the latter were identified as Salmonella paratyphi A, and 9 as Salmonella paratyphi B (one isolate was only identified as Salmonella paratyphi without serovar) | Petersiel et al.38 |
| February 1987 to February 1989 | Total traveler patient visits during the study period were approximately 1,000 | Non-Nepalese patients presenting to the western-staffed outpatient clinic (CIWEC) | A total of 45 cases of enteric fever were diagnosed during the study. Of these, 33 occurred in travelers and 12 among foreign residents | Shlim et al.39 |
| December 2014 and October 2015 | Isolates were collected from 109 cases of bacteremia | Kathmandu (Tribhuwan University Teaching Hospital) | 23 cases of bacteremia due to S. paratyphi A, and 86 cases of bacteremia due to S. typhi were identified. Among the 23 cases of bacteremia due to S. paratyphi A, 13 patients (56.5%) were male, the median age was 21 years (interquartile range (IQR): 17–23 years, range: 5–68 years). Among the 86 cases of bacteremia due to S. typhi, 51 patients (59.3%) were male, the median age was 21 years (IQR: 17–26 years, range: 4–70 years) | Sherchan and others40 |
| April 2007 to March 2008 | 9,856 blood samples collected for culture to find out the prevalence and antibiotic sensitivity pattern of Salmonella typhi and paratyphi isolate | Kathmandu (Kanti Children’s Hospital) | Out of total, 235 (2.0%) were positive for S. typhi and paratyphi A. S. typhi was found to be most sensitive to cefotaxime (100.0%) followed by ceftriaxone (98.9%), ofloxacin (93.5%), cotrimoxazole (93.5%) and chloramphenicol (93.2%) and was least sensitive to amoxycillin (66.7%) followed by ciprofloxacin (86.6%). S. paratyphi also was found to be most sensitive to cefotaxime (100.0%), followed by ceftriaxone (97.4%), cotrimoxazole (97.1%) and chloramphenicol (92.5%) and was least sensitive to amoxycillin (15.0%) followed by ciprofloxacin (51.3%) and ofloxacin (70.3%) | Prajapati et al.41 |
in detection and timely treatment of disease, safe drinking water, improved sanitation, and general living conditions.\textsuperscript{44}

\textbf{Conclusion}

Based on published literature, Nepal has been endemic for typhoid with outbreaks being reported episodically in Terai and hilly regions. However, our understanding of the actual disease burden is limited by an incomplete surveillance system and diagnostic capacity. Efforts are required to develop improved, proactive, laboratory-based surveillance systems that can forecast impending typhoid outbreaks in this country. This will alert the public to take action and physicians to diagnose at an early stage for effective treatment of typhoid cases in the different regions of Nepal. The implementation of systematic typhoid surveillance for reliable diagnosis is required to identify the actual disease burden and to understand the geographical disease distribution and inform the priority areas to target for typhoid prevention. Though improved water and sanitation systems are the ultimate solutions to eliminate typhoid, this requires sustained long-term investments by donors and affected countries. Currently available vaccines are effective at preventing typhoid and controlling outbreaks and should be used proactively.
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