Evaluation of the Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of Ethyl Acetate Extract of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
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SUMMARY

Research background. Antioxidants are important compounds present at low concentrations that inhibit oxidation processes. Due to the side effects of synthetic antioxidants, research interest has increased considerably towards finding natural sources of antioxidants that can replace the synthetic ones. The emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance require the development of new drugs or some potential sources of novel medicine. This work aims to extract the secondary metabolites of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using ethyl acetate as a solvent and to determine the antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of these extracted metabolites.

Experimental approach. The antioxidant activity of the secondary metabolites of *S. cerevisiae* were determined using DPPH, ABTS and FRAP assays. Furthermore, the antimicrobial potential of the ethyl acetate extract of *S. cerevisiae* against *Cutibacterium acnes*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* was assessed.

Results and conclusion. Five out of 13 of the extracted secondary metabolites were identified as antioxidants. The antioxidant activity of the *S. cerevisiae* extract exhibited relatively high IC$_{50}$ of 455.26 and 294.51 μg/mL for DPPH and ABTS respectively, while the obtained FRAP value, expressed as ascorbic acid equivalents, was 44.40 μg/mL. Moreover, the extract had a significant antibacterial activity (p<0.05) against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* at the concentrations of 100 and 200 mg/mL, respectively. However, no inhibitory effect was observed against *Cutibacterium acnes* as the extract was only effective against the bacterium at the concentrations of 300 and 400 mg/mL (inhibition zones ranging from 9.0±0.0 to 9.3±0.6) respectively (p<0.05). *Staphylococcus aureus* was highly sensitive to the extract, with a MIC value of 18.75 mg/mL.

Novelty and scientific contribution. This report confirmed the efficacy of the secondary metabolites of *S. cerevisiae* as a natural source of antioxidants and antimicrobials and suggested the possibility of employing them in drugs for the treatment of infectious diseases caused by the tested microorganisms.
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INTRODUCTION

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is a eukaryotic microbe that belongs to the Saccharomycotina family. Yeasts, including *S. cerevisiae*, possess the ability to produce antimicrobial and antifungal compounds that inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria and fungi (1). It produces toxic proteins or glycoproteins to combat other strains of yeast or bacteria (2). According to Hassan (3), glutathione (GSH), sulfur-containing amino acids and Maillard reaction products are the components that contribute to the antioxidative properties of *S. cerevisiae*. GSH is the most abundant thiol in yeast cells that plays a considerable role in antiradical activity (4). Besides that, Meng et al. (5) reported that GSH and ascorbic acid can act as radical scavengers in *S. cerevisiae*. Ascorbic acid is a small water-soluble molecule that works with GSH to form a redox couple (6). GSH is described as a cofactor of oxidative stress enzymes that can modulate enzyme activity to maintain redox balance (4).
In recent years, natural antioxidants are becoming more likely to serve as alternatives to synthetic antioxidants probably due to the associated side effects of the synthetic antioxidants, such as their carcerogenicity and toxicity. Thus, there has been much interest in finding safer and more effective natural antioxidant sources (7). Secondary metabolites of S. cerevisiae have attracted more attention as a potential source of natural antioxidants owing to their strong bioactive properties in the human body (8). The use of S. cerevisiae as a safe source of ingredients and additives in food processing has been widely accepted by the consumers (9). Perhaps these secondary metabolites of S. cerevisiae can act as natural antioxidants; therefore, it is crucial to evaluate their antioxidant activity for potential application in the food and pharmaceutical industries.

To date, there are only a few yeast strains that can be referred to as producers of secondary metabolites with antioxidant properties. Cutibacterium acnes, a Gram-positive anaerobic bacterium, is believed to be the main causative agent of acne. Furthermore, Staphylococcus epidermidis and Staphylococcus aureus have been reported as the causative agents of acne vulgaris and have been isolated in 53 and 41 % aerobic cultures of pustular and nodulocystic skin lesions, respectively (10). Different acne treatments include lifestyle remedies, topical medication, oral medication and medical procedures. However, patients may suffer from side effects from these treatments; hence, people nowadays prefer natural products as treatment options due to their body tolerance. This research focuses on the extraction and identification of the secondary metabolites of S. cerevisiae. Different antioxidant assays, namely DPPH, ABTS and FRAP, were employed to determine the antioxidant activity of the extracted metabolites. Finally, the antibacterial activity of the secondary metabolites of S. cerevisiae was investigated against Cutibacterium acnes, Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Potato dextrose agar (PDA), ethyl acetate, methanol, ascorbic acid, potassium persulfate hydrochloric acid iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl\(_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}\)), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA), tryptic soy agar (TSA), sterile Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB), and sterile tryptic soy broth (TSB) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Potato dextrose broth (PDB) was obtained from CONDA (Madrid, Spain). DPPH solution, Tris(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ) and iodonitrotetrazolium violet were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Merck (St Louis, MO, USA). ABTS powder was obtained from Roche (Basel, Switzerland).

Yeast cultivation

Potato dextrose agar (PDA) was prepared by dissolving 39 g dehydrated medium in 1 L distilled water. The medium was stirred, heated and sterilized by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 min. The medium was then poured into agar plates and allowed to solidify. The yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae was obtained from the laboratory unit of the Faculty of Industrial Sciences and Technology (FIST), Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP). The yeast was streaked on PDA with a sterile inoculating loop and incubated at 30 °C for 3 days. Potato dextrose broth (PDB) was prepared by dissolving 26.5 g dehydrated medium in 1 L distilled water. The medium was stirred, heated and autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min. A single yeast colony was picked from the PDA and cultivated in the PDB, followed by incubation in an orbital shaking incubator (BD115; Binder, Tuttingen, Germany) for 3 days at 25–30 °C with mild agitation at 130 rpm.

Extraction of secondary metabolites from yeast

The extraction was carried out according to the method described by Swathi et al. (11). Ethyl acetate was added as a solvent to the yeast liquid culture in 1:1 ratio. The mixture was shaken for 10 min in a separatory funnel for complete extraction of the secondary metabolites. Then, it was allowed to settle for a few minutes. Two layers of liquid were formed; the upper layer containing the metabolites was collected into Falcon tubes, while the bottom layer containing the yeast cells and PDB was washed thoroughly to ensure complete extraction of the metabolites before being discarded. The separated upper layer was centrifuged at 2900×g for 5 min (centrifuge Rotofix 32; Hettich, Schwerin, Germany) to remove any suspended yeast cells and medium contaminants. The resulting supernatant was collected and evaporated to dryness at 35–40 °C using an RV 10 digital rotary evaporator (N-1200A; Evela, Shanghai, PR China). A green-coloured extract was obtained after the drying and stored for further use.

Analysis of secondary metabolites of yeast using GC-MS

The crude extract was diluted in gas chromatography (GC) grade ethyl acetate before GC-MS analysis. The analysis was conducted using a GC-MS 6890A system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). A volume of 1 μL of the sample was introduced into the heated injector tube using a microliter volume syringe. The vapourised sample was carried through the SGE BPX5 GC column by helium gas at the rate of 1.0 mL/min. The components in the sample were separated and a gas chromatogram was obtained. Then, the effluent of the GC column was introduced directly into the mass spectrometer via a transfer line at 320 °C. The gas molecules were converted into ions at an ion source temperature of 230 °C using electron energy of 70 eV. The scan range was set at 45–500 Da. The ions were detected by a detector and the information was sent to the computer. The components were identified based on their retention indices and by comparison of their mass spectra with the available data in the existing GC-MS library (NIST/NIH/EPA mass spectral library) (12).
Determination of antioxidant activity

DPPH assay

DPPH assay was conducted according to the suggested method by Hassan (3) with some modifications. DPPH solution (0.1 mM) was first prepared in absolute methanol and added into different tubes at 1 mL volumes. Then, 1.0 mL yeast extract was added into each tube at different concentrations (125–2000 μg/mL) and mixed well. A set of blanks was prepared by adding 1.0 mL methanol with 1.0 mL yeast extract at different concentrations. All the mixtures were incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. Negative control was prepared by the same procedure without the yeast extract. Ascorbic acid solution was used as a positive control. The absorbance of the resulting mixtures was measured at 517 nm using a 105 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Genesys, Daly City, CA, USA). The measurements were taken in triplicate and the mean values were calculated.

ABTS assay

The ABTS assay was carried out based on the method proposed by Hameed et al. (13) with some modifications. The stock solution was prepared by reacting the 7.00 mM ABTS solution with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate at the ratio of 1:1 (V/V), and kept in the dark at room temperature for 12–16 h before use (to generate ABTS•⁺). The ABTS•⁺ solution was diluted with absolute methanol and adjusted to the absorbance from 0.7 to 0.75 at 734 nm. A volume of 200 μL of the yeast extract at different concentrations (15.63–2000 μg/mL) and 1.8 mL ABTS•⁺ solution were mixed well in the tubes and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. Negative control was prepared according to the same procedure without the yeast extract. Ascorbic acid solution was used as a positive control. The absorbance was measured at 734 nm using Infinite 200 PRO microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). The measurements were taken in triplicate and the mean values were calculated.

FRAP assay

The FRAP reagent was prepared by mixing 300 mM acetate buffer at pH=3.6, 10 mM TPTZ in 40 mM hydrochloric acid (Merck), and 20 mM FeCl₃·6H₂O at the ratio 10:1:1. The mixture was incubated for 15 min at 37 °C before use. Ascorbic acid was used in distilled water at different concentrations (200–1000 μg/mL) as the positive control to generate a standard curve. A volume of 150 μL of the yeast extract (in ethyl acetate) or standard was mixed thoroughly with 2.85 mL FRAP reagent in Falcon tubes. The formation of an intense blue colour complex suggests the reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ in TPTZ complex. The mixture was incubated in the dark for 30 min. The absorbance (A) of the solution was measured at 593 nm using the Infinite 200 PRO microplate reader (Tecan). The measurements were taken in triplicate and the mean values were calculated.

Evaluation of antibacterial activity of yeast secondary metabolites

Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion susceptibility test

In this test, the yeast extract sample was used against Cutibacterium acnes (ATCC 6919), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538) and Staphylococcus epidermidis (ATCC 12228). The concentrations of the yeast secondary metabolites were 100, 200, 300 and 400 mg/mL. The extract was dissolved in DMSO. Gentamycin discs (Thermo Scientific™ Oxoid™) with 10 μg drug acted as the positive control, while the disc with DMSO solvent but without any extract acted as the negative control. A volume of 7 μL of the dissolved extract and solvent was impregnated into sterile discs and dried in the laminar flow hood (model AHC-4D; ESCO, Selangor, Malaysia) to remove the solvent completely. The antimicrobial tests against S. aureus and S. epidermidis were carried out in MHA, while the disc diffusion test against C. acnes was carried out on TSA as C. acnes cannot grow on MHA. The three tested bacterial strains were cultured in broth and the concentration of the bacteria was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard. According to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 0.5 McFarland standard is equivalent to an absorbance value range from 0.08 to 0.13 at 625 nm. The TSA plates with C. acnes were incubated in the anaerobic jar at 37 °C for 48–72 h, while the MHA plates were incubated in the presence of oxygen at 37 °C for 8–16 h. The formed inhibition zones were observed after every 6 h of incubation; the diameter of the zones was measured in mm. This test was performed in triplicate.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the extract was determined in a 96-round bottom well microplate. The initial concentration of the secondary metabolites of S. cerevisiae was used for the MIC determination was the lowest concentration that formed an inhibition zone in the disc diffusion method for the three bacterial strains. Two-fold serial dilution was carried out to make a total of eight different concentrations of the extract. Sterile MHB was added to each well containing S. aureus or S. epidermidis, while sterile TSB was added for C. acnes. The extract was prepared in 100 % DMSO at the concentration of 300 mg/μL, then 100 μL of the prepared extract were transferred to the first column of the well, mixed, and 100 μL of the mixture were also transferred to the next well in each row. Gentamicin was used as the positive control at the concentration of 1 mg/μL, while the negative control was the broth and solvent without any extract. The suspension of the three tested bacterial strains was adjusted to the concentration equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Genesys). A volume of 100 μL bacterial suspension was added into each well, then the microplate was covered, sealed, and incubated at 37 °C. The microplates containing S. aureus and S. epidermidis were then incubated for 6 h and those containing C. acnes 12 h. After the incubation period, 50 μL of 0.4 mg/mL p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet indicator (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck) was added.
added into each well and further incubated for 30 min. The colour change of each well was observed. The colour of the indicator turns pink when there is active bacterial growth in any well, while no colour change indicates no active bacterial growth. The lowest concentration of the extract that inhibited bacterial growth was recorded as the MIC. This assay was performed in triplicate.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis of the obtained data was carried out using one way-ANOVA. The mean value and standard deviation of the data were generated with the level of significance set at p<0.05.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Extraction of S. cerevisiae secondary metabolites and GC-MS analysis**

The selection of a solvent for extraction depends on the nature of the desired bioactive compounds. Ethyl acetate, which was used as an extraction solvent in this study, has a medium polarity with a polarity index of 4.4. It has low toxicity on the tested strains and mild effect on biological cells (14). When the liquid broth cultured with *S. cerevisiae* was mixed with 100 % ethyl acetate in the separatory funnel, two distinct layers formed as ethyl acetate is immiscible with water. Generally, organic compounds do dissolve in organic solvents. Ethyl acetate can even extract compounds from the aqueous layer. The GC-MS results were obtained by comparing the spectra and matching them against the library database (NIST/NIH/EPA mass spectral library (12)). Table 1 shows the secondary metabolites recognized in *S. cerevisiae* extract.

Fig. 51 shows the chromatogram of the extracted secondary metabolites from *S. cerevisiae*. Based on the results, the longest retention time of any compound depends on the different strengths of its interaction with the stationary phase. Thus, this compound had the strongest interaction with the stationary phase and required more time to migrate through the column. The 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid mono(2-ethylhexyl) ester had the highest concentration in the extract of *S. cerevisiae* with 58.33 % of the total peak area. The GC-MS analysis of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has been reported to identify the presence of different compounds, such as thieno[2,3-c]furan-3-carbonitrile, 2-amino-4,6-dihydro-4,4,6,6-, oxime-, methoxyphenylacetic acid, erythritol, 3-[3-bromophenyl]-7-chloro-3,4-dihydro-10-hydroxy-1,9 (2H,10H), 2-methyl-9-β-ribofuranosylhypoxanthine, dodecane,1-chloro-, 2,7-diphenyl-1,6-dioxopyridazino [4,5,2;3;1] pyrrolo[4′,5′-d] pyridazine, and 2-bromotetradecanoic acid (15).

**Antioxidant activity**

DPPH radical scavenging activity

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of the *S. cerevisiae* extract was evaluated based on its ability to reduce DPPH free radicals, which decolourises purple DPPH solution (16). Fig. 1a shows the radical scavenging ability of the *S. cerevisiae* extract compared to ascorbic acid. The highest DPPH radical scavenging activity of ascorbic acid and the yeast extract was 95.91 and 90.96 % at the concentrations of 250 and 200 µg/ml, respectively. Ascorbic acid exhibited a significantly higher DPPH radical scavenging activity than the *S. cerevisiae* extract. Ascorbic acid is one of the active reducing agents and scavengers of free radicals in biological systems, acting as a scavenger of free oxidizing radicals and harmful oxygen species (17). Hassan (3) documented that 25 mg/mL baker’s yeast autolysate showed (69.06±1.22) % DPPH radical scavenging activity due to the reduction of the molarity of the DPPH solution from 0.2 to 0.1 mM. Moreover, the extraction method might affect the antioxidant capacity of an extract (18). The study by Sugiyama et al. (19) evaluated the antioxidant activity of indole derivatives from a marine sponge-derived yeast. All compounds showed weak activities in the DPPH assay. The extract of *S. cerevisiae* has been reported to exhibit the

| Compound | Molecular formula | t<sub>R</sub>/min | Peak area/% |
|----------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Benzeneethanol,4 hydroxy- | C₆H₆O₂ | 11.548 | 12.14 |
| 1-Methyl-3,3-diphenyleurea | C₆H₄N₂O₂ | 13.796 | 1.26 |
| 1H-Indole-3-ethanol | C₆H₁₀N₃O | 15.505 | 10.68 |
| Diethylthiophosphinic acid | C₆H₈O₂ | 16.082 | 2.09 |
| 9-Hexadecenoic acid | C₇H₁₄O₂ | 17.020 | 1.66 |
| Diethylthiophosphinic acid | C₆H₈O₂ | 17.057 | 1.90 |
| 2-Hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethyl-cyclohex-2-eneone | C₆H₉O₂ | 17.230 | 1.80 |
| Hexadecanoic acid, butyl ester | C₁₀H₁₈O₂ | 19.133 | 1.15 |
| Octadecanoic acid, butyl ester | C₁₂H₂₄O₂ | 20.852 | 0.69 |
| Pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione,hexahydro-3-(phenylmethyl)- | C₁₂H₁₈N₂O₂ | 21.444 | 3.74 |
| 1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, mono(2-ethylhexyl) ester | C₁₂H₁₈O₂ | 22.152 | 58.33 |
| 2,3-Diphenyl-5,8-dimethoxy-6-acetamidoquinoxaline | C₁₂H₁₈N₂O₃ | 23.117 | 1.21 |
| L-Proline, N-allyloxycarbonyl-, undec-10-enyl ester | C₁₀H₁₈NO₄ | 24.579 | 3.35 |
highest DPPH radical scavenging activity due to its high tryptophol content from alcoholic fermentation (20). Ethanolic extracts of Hibiscus sabdariffa and Croton caudatus leaves have been evaluated for free radical scavenging activity in the model system of S. cerevisiae. H. sabdariffa and C. caudatus at a concentration of 500 μg/mL demonstrated an immense free radical scavenging capacity of DPPH with an IC₅₀ value of 184.88 and 305.39 μg/mL, respectively (21). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that soybean with Tricholoma matsutake and S. cerevisiae showed considerable DPPH radical scavenging activity (22).

ABTS radical scavenging activity

The ABTS radical scavenging activity of the S. cerevisiae extract was assessed based on its ability to reduce ABTS radical cation. The ABTS⁺ was produced from the oxidation of ABTS with potassium persulfate, which involves the loss of an electron from the nitrogen atom of ABTS (23). The ABTS⁺ solution is bluish-green in methanol. Its absorbance was determined at 734 nm to minimize the interference from other absorbing components and sample turbidity. Fig. 1b shows the relative ability of the S. cerevisiae extract to scavenge ABTS⁺ compared to that of ascorbic acid. The standard ascorbic acid and yeast extract at the concentration of 2000 μg/mL recorded the highest inhibition values of 99.17 and 92.20 % respectively. This result indicated that both ascorbic acid and yeast extract effectively inhibited the formation of ABTS⁺. It has been reported that endophytic yeasts isolated from the lower stem and roots of Phragmites australis Cav showed 88 % radical scavenging activity in the ABTS assay (24). Furthermore, Aspergillus awamori DT11 exhibited ABTS⁺ scavenging activity of 34.07 % compared to ascorbic acid (44.5 %), which was used as a positive control (25).

Results of FRAP assay

The FRAP of S. cerevisiae extract was evaluated based on the ability to reduce iron(III) to iron(II) via electron donation to the sample. This reduction was monitored by measuring the change in the absorbance at 593 nm. The assay was conducted at low pH values (pH=3.6) to maintain iron solubility and electron transfer (26). Table 2 shows the FRAP values of each yeast extract at different concentrations. In general, the absorbance readings increased when the concentration of ascorbic acid and yeast extract increased from 200 to 1000 μg/mL. This was due to the reduction of the Fe³⁺ in TPTZ complex to the intensely blue-coloured Fe²⁺ (26). The standard solution of ascorbic acid and yeast extract recorded the highest absorbance of 3.6291 and 0.1611 respectively, at the concentration of 1000 μg/mL. The yeast extract exhibited the highest FRAP value expressed as ascorbic acid equivalents of 44.40 μg/mL, indicating that S. cerevisiae can act as a potent antioxidant to reduce Fe⁴⁺ ion. Trolox, a water-soluble vitamin E analogue, was used as a reference standard to determine the antioxidant capacity of yeast microcarriers. The Trolox equivalent values for yeast cell wall particles and native yeast were reported to be 0.20 and 7.48 μM, respectively (27). Chen et al. (28) reported that Lactobacillus rhamnosus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae significantly improved FRAP in comparison to their non-fermented counterparts, and both activities were attributed to the released phenolics during the solid-state fermentation.

Benzeneethanol, 4-hydroxy, known as tyrosol, showed antioxidant activity in vitro and was able to inhibit or slow down the oxidation reactions brought by dioxygen or peroxides in animal tissues (29). However, tyrosol with its derivatives appeared to be less active than hydroxytyrosol and its analogues due to the influence of catechol structure (29). Antenucci et al. (30) reported that oligotyrosol exhibited higher antioxidant activity than tyrosol using DPPH, FRAP and hydroxyl radical scavenging assays. 1H-indole-3-ethanol is indolyl alcohol substituted with a 1H-indol-3-yl group.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 1.** Antioxidant assays: a) DPPH radical scavenging activity of standard ascorbic acid and Saccharomyces cerevisiae extract, and b) ABTS radical scavenging activity of ascorbic acid and S. cerevisiae extract

| y(yeast extract)/ (μg/mL) | A/FRAP (as AAE)/ (μg/mL) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 0                         | 0.0000                    | 0                          |
| 200                       | 0.0402±0.0007             | 36.73                      |
| 400                       | 0.0742±0.0039             | 39.10                      |
| 600                       | 0.1130±0.0075             | 43.11                      |
| 800                       | 0.1364±0.0006             | 43.98                      |
| 1000                      | 0.1611±0.0041             | 44.40                      |

AAE=ascorbic acid equivalents
1H-indole-3-ethanol plays a role as a metabolite of both plants and S. cerevisiae. Hexadecanoic acid is a fatty acid ester of plant and animal origins that acts as an insect repellent. Extracted from wild-growing mushrooms, it exhibited antioxidant activity at the concentration of 0.10 mg/mL (31). It is one of the twenty bioactive compounds isolated from Thesia humile Vahl with reported antioxidant activity (32). Gondwal et al. (33) reported that the water extract from the seeds and fruit pulp of Skimmia anquetilia can be used as a natural antioxidant due to its hexadecanoic acid content. Octadecanoic acid is a fatty acid ester and an algal metabolite that acts as a defoaming agent in processing beet sugar and yeast. Hexane extract of Sinapis alba exhibited slightly higher antioxidant activity than the standard ascorbic acid in phosphomolybdenum assay due to the existence of octadecanoic acid and other phytocomponents (34). Pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro isolated from a marine bacterium Bacillus tequilensis MS45 exhibited high antioxidant activity (35). The antioxidant activity of different pyrrolozidines, including pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, and hexahydro-3-(phenylmethyl) in the ethyl acetate extract of Streptomyces omiyaensis has been reported by Tangjitjaroenkun (36). Also, Streptomyces strain MUSC 149(T) showed a strong antioxidant activity due to its pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro-content (37).

Antimicrobial activity

Disc diffusion method is a popular antimicrobial test in microbiology laboratories due to its simplicity and ability to test multiple antimicrobial agents (38). S. epidermidis and S. aureus can grow in a range of pH=4.0–9.8, with an optimum pH=6–7 (39). C. acnes showed optimal growth in the range of pH=6 to 7; however, it can grow in the range pH=5.0 to 8.0 (39). The pH value of the dissolved extract ranged from 6 to 7, which is considered suitable for bacterial growth. Four concentrations of the dissolved extract (100, 200, 300 and 400 mg/mL) were used in this assay. The dry mass of the extract loaded onto sterile discs was 0.7, 1.4, 2.1 and 2.9 mg. The observed antibacterial activity of the S. cerevisiae extract is shown in Table 3. The results revealed that S. cerevisiae extract effectively suppressed the growth of the tested bacteria at different potency levels.

Among the tested bacteria, both S. aureus and S. epidermidis were susceptible to 400 mg/mL S. cerevisiae extract. As shown in Table 3, the most susceptible bacterium to 400 mg/mL extract was S. aureus (11.5 mm), followed by S. epidermidis and C. acnes with mean inhibition zones of 10.5 mm and 9.3, respectively. At the concentrations of 100 and 200 mg/mL S. cerevisiae extract, C. acnes had no inhibition zone, whereas the other bacteria show mean zones of inhibition in the range from 8.5 to 10.0 mm. The inhibition zones of the extract at 100 and 200 mg/mL were significantly different from those of the positive control (gentamycin 10 µg; p<0.05) for all the tested bacteria except for C. acnes. Moreover, the inhibition zones of the extract at 100 and 200 mg/mL were significantly different from that at 300 and 400 mg/mL for S. aureus and S. epidermidis (p<0.05). Based on the mean value of the zones of inhibition, the antibacterial activity of the S. cerevisiae extract was concentration-dependent. Al-Jassani et al. (15) found the inhibition zones of S. cerevisiae extract at the volume of 90 µL to be 5.33 and 5.10 mm for S. epidermidis and S. aureus, respectively. S. cerevisiae is employed as a human probiotic and its effects on the host’s health include antimicrobial, nutritional, trophic, immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory, quorum sensing, inactivation of bacterial toxins, maintenance of epithelial barrier integrity and cell restitution (40). Moderate antimicrobial activity of S. cerevisiae against bacteria and fungi has been documented; furthermore, better antimicrobial activity of cell lysate than of whole-cell and culture supernatant has been shown. Again, the isolate showed better antibacterial activity against Gram-negative than Gram-positive bacteria (41). Additionally, S. cerevisiae appeared to have bacterial activity against Pseudomonas sp., Salmonella sp., E. coli, Vibrio cholera and Staphylococcus aureus (42). Chen et al. (43) evaluated the antimicrobial activity of S. cerevisiae through the inhibition of the growth of pathogenic E. coli O8 (MIC=0.025 g/mL), as well as its influence on the characteristics of its cell surface. C. intermedia, C. kefyr and C. lusitaniae exhibited high antimicrobial activity against E. coli, while C. tropicalis, C. lusitaniae and S. cerevisiae showed moderate antimicrobial activity against E. coli. However, all the tested yeasts demonstrated a very low activity against P. aeruginosa (44). Benzeneethanol,4-hydroxy- is one of the identified metabolites of S. cerevisiae that possess antibacterial activity against human pathogenic bacteria. 1-Methyl-3,3-diphenylurea and 1H-indole-3-ethanol have also been reported to possess antimicrobial, cardioprotective and anticarcinogenic properties. Diethylthiophosphinic acid, known as O,O-diethyl dithiophosphate, has been shown to inhibit the growth of E. coli, S. aureus and Aspergillus fumigatus (45). The 9-hexadecenoic acid, present in J. curcas leaf extracts, has been shown to possess antimicrobial activity due to its pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro-content (37).

| Pathogenic bacteria | d(inhibition)/mm | y(extract)/(mg/mL) | m(gentamycin)=10 µg |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|                     | 100              | 200               | 300                | 400 |
| S. aureus           | 9.5±2.1          | 10.0±1.4          | 11.0±1.4           | 11.5±2.1 | 18.7±0.6 |
| S. epidermidis      | 8.5±0.7          | 8.5±0.7           | 10.0±0.0           | 10.5±0.7 | 22.3±0.6 |
| C. acnes            | 0.0              | 0.0               | 9.0±0.0            | 9.3±0.6  | 12.0±0.0 |

Values are expressed as mean±SEM. Analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA, where p<0.05.
properties (46). Many fatty acids, such as hexadecanoic and octadecanoic acid, have been documented to show antibacterial and antifungal activities (47,48). Pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro isolated from a marine bacterium Bacillus tequilensis exhibited a potent inhibitory effect against multidrug-resistant S. aureus (MIC=15±0.172) mg/L and (MBC=20± 0.072) mg/L (35). Furthermore, pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro-3-(phenylmethyl)- (PPDHP) extracted from Streptomyces sp. has reported antifungal activity (49).

Minimum inhibitory concentration of S. cerevisiae extract

The MIC of S. cerevisiae extract was determined using a colorimetric method in which the clear-cut end-points were determined via a colour change. Visual detection of bacterial growth via turbidity or pellet formation in the wells may be difficult and could lead to inaccurate results (50). To detect the presence of bacterial growth, p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet was used as an indicator. When there is active bacterial growth, colour changes from light yellow to pink or violet (Fig. S2). The MIC of the extracted metabolites was 18.75, 31.25 and 75 mg/mL against S. aureus, S. epidermidis and C. acnes, respectively. This showed the potential of developing these metabolites into compounds with promising bioactivities against pathogenic microorganisms (Fig. 2). The obtained MIC of the extract against S. aureus showed consistency at 18.75 mg/mL in the triplicate tests. The mean MIC for S. aureus was the lowest among the three bacterial isolates, which indicates that the secondary metabolites of S. cerevisiae extract showed better antibacterial activity against S. aureus than the other two bacteria. C. acnes showed the highest level of resistance against both gentamycin and the tested secondary metabolites of S. cerevisiae.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides new scientific information about Saccharomyces cerevisiae based on the results of the analysis of its secondary metabolites, antioxidant and antibacterial potential. The secondary metabolites produced by S. cerevisiae can act as natural antioxidants and can be used in industrial and pharmaceutical applications. Additionally, the secondary metabolites extracted from S. cerevisiae had good antimicrobial activity against the tested pathogens. The antibacterial activity of S. cerevisiae may be attributed to the various phytochemical constituents present in the extract. The individual compounds responsible for this property can be used in cosmetic industry.
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