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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the risks of adverse perinatal outcomes of teenage mothers.

Material and methods: A retrospective analysis was performed on teenage mothers (under 20 years of age) who delivered in the period of 2010–2014 at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Szeged (study group). All mothers who delivered in Hungary during the same period were studied as a control group. The following parameters were analyzed: demographic data of the mothers, maternal complications, perinatal outcome and congenital malformations of the newborns. The binomial test, Student’s t-test and Poisson’s regression were applied using STATA 9.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) statistical software (p < .05 was considered to be statistically significant).

Results: During this 5-year period, 12,845 births were recorded at the Department, of these 274 (2.1%) were teenage pregnancies with 275 newborns. The offspring of teenage mothers had significantly lower mean birth weight (3110.2 ± 564.03 g versus 3247 g), higher rate of congenital malformations (8.0 versus 5.0%) and higher admission to neonatal intensive care unit (12.4 versus 8.0%) than the infants in the control group.

Conclusions: Younger maternal age was significantly associated with lower mean birth weight, higher risk of congenital malformations, and increased admission rate to neonatal intensive care unit.

Introduction

The population including the total number of deliveries has been decreased in the last decades in Hungary. Despite of this tendency, the incidence of adolescent pregnancies has increased [1].

Teenage pregnancy and its effect on perinatal outcome has been brought into focus by a lot of studies. Many of them reported higher rate of low birth weight [2–10], prematurity [2–8,10–12], intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) [1,7,8], congenital malformations [3,13–16], neonatal intensive care admission [4,17] and perinatal mortality [4,6,7] among teenage pregnancies. Marital status, low educational level, poverty, and lack of prenatal care are also risk factors of poor perinatal outcome among these young mothers [3,18,19]. On the other hand, there are some studies in the literature, which assert the contrary that young maternal age does not mean higher risks of adverse perinatal outcome [20].

The aim of this study was to determine if teenage pregnancy is associated with adverse perinatal outcome with particular regard to congenital malformations.

Materials and methods

A retrospective analysis was done on teenage mothers (under 20 years of age) with more than 24 complete weeks of gestation, who delivered between 1 January 2010 and 31 December 2014 at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Szeged (study group). The following parameters were analyzed: demographic data of the mothers, maternal complications, neonatal data including congenital malformations, gestational age was established by the last menstrual period, first-trimester ultrasonography or the examination of newborn. Preterm delivery was considered before 37 complete gestational weeks, intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) means birth weight below the 10th percentile for gestational age according to sex. Prenatal care was adequate when the first visit was registered below 16 weeks of
gestation or at least four check-ups were done during pregnancy. We compared the data of teenage mothers with the data of all mothers who delivered in Hungary (Hungarian Central Statistical Office) during the study period (control group). Our department is a regional healthcare center, which means that high-risk pregnancies are transferred from the Southeastern part of Hungary to here.

The binomial test was applied to compare proportions of the anomalies observed in Szeged to the Hungarian rates. Furthermore, Student’s t-test was applied to compare the average birth weight between the two groups. The trends in incidence of adolescent deliveries were investigated using Poisson’s regression.

All statistical analyses were carried out using STATA (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) 9.0 statistical software, \( p < .05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

During the 5-year study period, 12,845 births were recorded at the Department. The total number of teenage pregnancies was 274 (2.1%). Out of these, 273 were singleton gestations and one was twin pregnancy. In Hungary, the total number of births was 448,852, out of these 27,777 (6.18%) were teenage pregnancies.

Table 1 shows the main characteristics of the teenage mothers. Most of them (41.0%) were 19 years old and only one was under 14 years. Among adolescents the rate of marriage is 11.7%, but the number of single mothers and number of mothers who had a partner in life was nearly the same. A total of 66 mothers (24.0%) reported smoking during pregnancy, 94.5% of them attended prenatal care regularly, but seven mothers (2.5%) never attended. 90 mothers (32.8%) had history of previous gestation(s) and 14.2% of the mothers had at least one previous delivery.

The rate of maternal complications during pregnancy is demonstrated in Table 2. Gestational diabetes was significantly lower among adolescent mothers than in the control group. Preeclampsia was common in teenagers, but there was no significant difference.

Table 2 also summarizes the data of the perinatal outcome in the control and in the study group. The rate of premature deliveries was worse in the study group (10.2%) than the national rate (8.9%), but it was not significant. Frequency of spontaneous vaginal delivery and caesarean section were similar in the two groups (66.5 versus 65.2 and 33.5 versus 34.8%). IUGR occurred a little bit more often in the teenage group. Significant difference was found in mean birth weight, in rate of congenital malformation, and in admission to Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU).

Table 3 shows the types of congenital malformations registered in the study group. 22 babies from the 275 newborns of adolescent mothers had congenital malformations (8.0%), three of them had multiple malformations.

Discussion

Over the last decades, remarkable changes have been noticed in family life and in sexual activity before
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Gilbert et al. [6] described low birth weight among the babies between teenagers and adults [22]. We could not prove higher rate of prematurity and IUGR like Amini [7] and Fraser [8]. The study of Sandal et al. demonstrated that the rate of NICU admission was higher in adolescent mothers [17], our observations confirmed their statement. We have noticed significant risk of congenital malformations similarly to Eckmann-Sholz [13], Csermely [14], and Reefhuis [15] et al. Csermely et al. examined the risk of congenital anomalies in young pregnant women in Hungary: according to their results, a higher risk of gastrochisis, congenital heart defects, particularly left-sided obstructive defects, undescended testis and clubfoot was found in the youngest age group (19 years or less) [14]. Hollier et al. reported also a high risk of gastrochisis and polydactyly [23]. In the study by Eckmann-Sholz gastrochisis and fetal heart defects were the most frequent malformations [13]. Chen et al. found no increased risk for circulatory/respiratory or urogenital anomalies, but reported higher risk for congenital anomalies in central nervous, gastrointestinal and musculoskeletal systems [16]. Our study agrees with Hollier (polydactyly), Csermely (undescended testis) and Chen (musculoskeletal defects), but gastrochisis was noticed only in one case.

During the analysis of maternal complications, gestational diabetes was significantly lower among adolescent mothers. The prevalence of gestational diabetes has been increasing with maternal age [24], thus, our results were not unexpected. Preeclampsia is more specific in younger age and in nulliparous women, our findings are similar: preeclampsia was common in teenagers, but there was no significant difference.

To identify the causes of congenital anomalies, prematurity, low birth weight and other adverse perinatal outcome is a really complex task. Lam suggests that young mothers who smoke cigarettes or marijuana or are malnourished have a high risk of having an infant with gastrochisis [25]. Reefhuis claims that lifestyle factors seem to be the most likely explanation for the increased risk of congenital abnormalities: inadequate prenatal care, smoking, drinking alcohol, taking drugs, and malnutrition (lack of using folic acid and multivitamins) [15]. In Hungary, Paulik et al. had similar results: the regular use of folic acid was important to prevent neural tube defects [26]. Smoking is a well-known risk factor of low birth weight and prematurity, Dewan reported that the mean birth weight of babies of smoking mothers was significantly lower than for non-smoking mothers and risk of low birth weight was significantly increased in teenagers who smoked [22]. Gortzak-Uzan confirmed that nutritional status, insufficient folate intake, lack of prenatal care are risk factors in teenage pregnancies [3].

The limitations of our study are its retrospective nature and the small sample size in the examined groups despite of being a regional center. No data were available about level of education, income of the mothers, folate or vitamin intake, diet habits, if mothers smoked before pregnancy, and there was no detailed medical follow-up of the transferred neonates, because NICU is located in another department.

In conclusion, we can summarize that in our study group younger maternal age was significantly associated with lower mean birth weight, higher risk of congenital malformations and increased admission rate to neonatal intensive care unit. The possible causes need further investigation, but our findings confirm the relevancy of screening congenital malformations in younger mothers and the necessity of NICU. Teenage mothers should be informed about the potential

### Table 3. The incidence of various fetal malformations.

| Defects                             | Incidence |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Neural tube defects/hydrocephalus   | 2         | 0.8%      |
| Heart defects                       | 2         | 0.8%      |
| Single umbilical artery             | 1         | 0.4%      |
| Abdominal wall defect (gastrochisis)| 1         | 0.4%      |
| Urogenital defects                  | 7         | 2.8%      |
| Musculoskeletal defects             | 10        | 4.0%      |
| Tracheostenosis                     | 1         | 0.4%      |
| Supernumerary nipple                | 1         | 0.4%      |
complications during an adequate prenatal care program and recommended to deliver in a regional center to avoid adverse perinatal outcome.

**Disclosure statement**

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

**ORCID**

Gábor Németh [http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1829-3457](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1829-3457)

**References**

[1] Orvos H, Nyirati I, Hajdú J, et al. Is adolescent pregnancy associated with adverse perinatal outcome? J Perinat Med. 1999;27:199–203.

[2] Mahavarkar SH, Madhu CK, Mule VD. A comparative study of teenage pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2008;28:604–607.

[3] Gortzak-Uzan L, Hallak M, Press F, et al. Teenage pregnancy: risk factors for adverse perinatal outcome. J Matern Fetal Med. 2001;10:393–397.

[4] Loto OM, Ezechi OC, Kalu BKE, et al. Poor obstetric performance of teenagers: is it age- or quality of care-related? J Obstet Gynaecol. 2004;24:395–398.

[5] Liran D, Vardi IS, Sergienko R, et al. Adverse perinatal outcome in teenage pregnancies: is it all due to lack of prenatal care and ethnicity? J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2013;26:469–472.

[6] Gilbert W, Jandial D, Field N, et al. Birth outcomes in teenage pregnancies. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2004;16:265–270.

[7] Amini SB, Catalano PM, Dierker LJ, et al. Births to teenagers: trends and obstetric outcomes. Obstet Gynecol. 1996;87:668–674.

[8] Fraser AM, Brockert JE, Ward RH. Association of young maternal age with adverse reproductive outcomes. N Engl J Med. 1995;332:1113–1117.

[9] Bildiricin FD, Kurtoglu E, Kokcu A, et al. Comparison of perinatal outcome between adolescent and adult pregnancies. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2014;27:829–832.

[10] Ozdemirci S, Kasapoglu T, Cirk DA, et al. Is late adolescence a real risk factor for an adverse outcome of pregnancy? J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2016;29:3391–3394.

[11] Haldre K, Rahu K, Karro H, et al. Is a poor pregnancy outcome related to young maternal age? A study of teenagers in Estonia during the period of major socio-economic changes (from 1992 to 2002). Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2007;131:45–51.

[12] Gupta N, Kiran U, Bhal K. Teenage pregnancies: obstetric characteristics and outcome. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2008;137:165–171.

[13] Eckmann-Scholz C, von Kaisenberg CS, Alkatou I, et al. Pathologic ultrasound findings and risk for congenital anomalies in teenage pregnancies. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2012;25:1950–1952.

[14] Csermely Gy, Susanszky E, Czeizel AE. Association of young and advanced age of pregnant women with the risk of isolated congenital anomalies in Hungary - a population-based case-match control study. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2015;28:436–442.

[15] Reffhuis J, Honein MA. Maternal age and non-chromosomal birth defects, Atlanta - 1968–2000: teenager or thirty-something, who is at risk? Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol. 2004;70:572–579.

[16] Chen XK, Wen SW, Fleming N, et al. Teenage pregnancy and congenital anomalies: which system is vulnerable? Hum Reprod. 2007;22:1730–1735.

[17] Sandal G, Erdevi O, Oguz SS, et al. The admission rate in neonatal intensive care units of newborns born to adolescent mothers. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2011;24:1019–1021.

[18] Bukulmez O, Deren O. Perinatal outcome in adolescent pregnancies: a case-control study from a Turkish university hospital. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2000;88:207–212.

[19] Tsikouras P, Dafopoulos A, Trypsianis G, et al. Prenancies and their obstetric outcome in two selected age groups of teenage women in Greece. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2012;25:1606–1611.

[20] de Vienne CM, Creveuil C, Dreyfus M. Does young maternal age increase the risk of adverse obstetric, fetal and neonatal outcomes: a cohort study. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2009;147:151–156.

[21] Claman AD, Bell HM. Pregnancy in the very young teenager. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1964;90:350–354.

[22] Dewan N, Brabin B, Wood L, et al. The effects of smoking on birthweight-for-gestational-age curves in teenage and adult primigravidae. Public Health. 2003;117:31–35.

[23] Hollier LM, Leveno KJ, Kelly MA, et al. Maternal age and malformations in singleton births. Obstet Gynecol. 2000;96:701–706.

[24] Ferrara A. Increasing prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:141–143.

[25] Lam PK, Torfs CP. Interaction between maternal smoking and malnutrition in infant risk of gastroeschosis. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol. 2006;76:182–186.

[26] Paulik E, Császár J, Kozinszky Z, et al. Preconceptional and prenatal predictors of folic acid intake in Hungarian pregnant women. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2009;145:49–52.