Host feeding behaviour of *Dermacentor reticulatus* males in relation to the transmission of pathogens
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**INTRODUCTION**

Ticks are obligate human and animal parasites in various climatic zones. The components of their saliva secreted during feeding can cause non-systemic skin lesions and systemic reactions in the host with their range, intensity, and clinical picture depending on the physiological features of the tick-host system. However, the most harmful effects of tick parasitism are associated with host infection with tick-borne pathogens. In the group of arthropods, ticks exhibit high competence to transmit various microorganisms, including pathogens that pose a great threat to human health and companion animals.

Monitoring of the occurrence of ticks conducted in different regions indicates an increase in the number and range of the spread of tick species with high epidemiological importance [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Consequently, the risk of tick infestations of hosts and infections with tick-borne pathogens is increasing. Therefore, investigations into the behaviour of different developmental stages of ticks on the host are becoming increasingly important and necessary. They can provide detailed knowledge about the biology of ticks and the mechanisms of pathogen circulation in nature.

The study investigates the process of host attachment and feeding in *Dermacentor reticulatus* males, which often attack companion animals in northern and central Europe [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The tick can also parasitize humans [12, 13, 14]. Similar to other representatives of metastriata ticks, the adult *D. reticulatus* stages copulate on the host [15].

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

Hungry adult stages (males and females) of *D. reticulatus* were collected by the flagging method in meadows located near Lublin, eastern Poland, during the peak activity of the species [16, 17]. Before laboratory analyses, the specimens were kept in glass containers at room temperature (approx. 20±2 °C) and 80–90% humidity, i.e. in conditions favourable for this species [16]. The experiments involved 60 males and 15 females of *D. reticulatus*. Three native albino New Zealand rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) with a body weight in the range of 3.3–3.5 kg were the tick hosts. The animals were kept at a temperature of approx. 18±2 °C and 50±2% humidity, in compliance with all ethical rules in force in the European Union. Two rabbits were intended for observation of the course of attachment and feeding of males in the absence of females, whereas the behaviour of male ticks in the presence of females of the same species on the host was observed on...
the third animal. A canvas sack with the ticks was placed on a 78.5 cm² area of shaved skin of the rabbits’.

Before placing on the rabbit skin, hungry *D. reticulatus* males were weighed using a RADWAG XA 110 analytical balance with an accuracy of 0.0001 g. Either 15 or 30 males were placed on one host. To compare whether the behaviour of the males on the host in the absence of females differed from their behaviour in the presence of representatives of the other gender, 15 *D. reticulatus* females were placed on the skin of another host, together with 15 males.

The behaviour of the males from the homogeneous gender groups and in the presence of females was assessed every half hour for the first eight hours of the experiments, and then every 24 hours at the same time of day, until the specimens detached from the skin of the rabbits. After attachment to the skin, tweezers were used to check whether the tick’s hypostome was firmly anchored. The dynamics of males’ attachment to the host skin was observed. Since some specimens changed the attachment site and the initial attachment determined, i.e. the moment when the specimens were firmly attached to the host skin. These observations facilitated determination of the time point of initiation of feeding in the homogeneous gender groups and in the group with females (attachment period, AP). Since the males changed their attachment site, it was impossible to determine the precise duration of their feeding. Males that had detached from the host skin were collected and weighed on an analytical balance to determine the male engorgement weight (MEW).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ingestion of host’s blood by larvae, nymphs, and adult stages is indispensable for the development of successive stages, mating, and reproduction. The behaviour on the host, attachment, and feeding are studied mainly in tick nymphs and females, which usually infest large domestic and wild animals as well as humans. The prevalence of pathogens in these stages is typically higher than in the other forms, and thus they are the major vectors of tick-borne diseases. Nymphs and females infect the host during feeding which lasts from several to a dozen or so days during their seasonal activity, depending on, e.g. the stage and species of the tick [18, 19, 20], intensity of invasion [20, 21], and physiological status of the host [19, 20, 22].

To date, considerably less attention has been paid to the behaviour of males of ixodid tick species characterised by high epidemiological importance and competence to transmit pathogens during feeding. The present study of the behaviour of *D. reticulatus* males on the host are in line with researchers’ interest in identification of routes of tick pathogen transmission to potential hosts. Research on the parasitic phase in male ixodid ticks has become more relevant since tick-borne pathogens were detected in specimens removed from a host [13, 23, 24, 25, 26]. For instance, Földvári et al. [13] identified *Rickettsia slovaca* and *Rickettsia raoultii* in *D. reticulatus* and *D. marginatus* males removed from the skin of patients with tick-borne lymphadenopathy (TIBOLA) symptoms.

In the current study, attachment of the *D. reticulatus* males to the host skin was noted already at 0.5 h after they were placed on the host. Within two hours after the beginning of the experiments, 60%, 56.6%, and 53.3% of males were attached in the groups of 15 and 30 males, and in the mixed group consisting of 15 males and 15 females, respectively. Most of the males in the first (80%), second (76.6%), and third (60%) group were attached to the host after 2.5 h, 4.5 h, and 4 h, respectively. In group I, 100% of the males on the host were attached after 7 h. In turn, a similar percentage of males that were firmly attached to the host skin in groups II and III were recorded only after 24 h.

Regardless of the differences in the dynamics of male attachment to the host, the present study of the behaviour of *D. reticulatus* males demonstrates that the number of males on the host does not exert a statistically significant effect (p=0.6051) on the period of attachment to the skin (Tab. 1). The attachment period in the case of males infesting the host in the absence of females, i.e. in the groups of 15 and 30 specimens, was 2.43±2.46 and 7.75±11.85 days, respectively. The statistical test did not reveal a statistically significant difference in the dynamics of male attachment in the homogeneous gender groups and in the group comprising males and females (Tab. 1). Some males in the homogeneous gender group changed their attachment place mainly within the first hours after placement on the host skin. The methodology used in this study (i.e. unlabelled specimens) and their schedule (checking the course of the experiments only at specific intervals) did not allow determination of whether all males and at what frequency the specimens changed the host attachment site before feeding. In contrast to the males from all the experimental groups, the *D. reticulatus* females in the mixed group were attached at the same site until full engorgement and detachment from the host skin.

As reported by Balashov [18] and Oliver [27], in metastriata ticks also represented by *D. reticulatus*, the development of gender cells after the transformation of male nymphs into males is halted in primary spermatocytes in late prophase. Further development of germ cells proceeds only when males attach to the host [15, 18, 27]. Blood ingestion by male ticks stimulates the endocrine system to secrete hormones, which influence spermogenesis and mating [18, 28]. In *Dermacentor occidentalis* males, morphological, histological, and cytological changes in testes were detected as early as one day after initial attachment. The males were able to mate and inseminate females at days 4–6 (mean 5) after attachment to the host [29].

**Table 1.** The attachment period – AP (h) of *Dermacentor reticulatus* males in the groups studied

| Group studied | M (h) | SD (h) | Minimum | Median | Maximum |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| I. Males n=15 | 2.43  | 2.46   | 0.50    | 1.50   | 7.00    |
| II. Males n=30| 7.75  | 11.85  | 0.50    | 2.00   | 48.00   |
| III. Mixed group | 9.07  | 10.97  | 0.50    | 1.50   | 24.00   |

| Dunn’s test | Kruskal-Wallis test |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Males n=30  | Control n=30 (15 males and 15 females) |
| AP          | P=0.6051            |

| Dunn’s test | Kruskal-Wallis test |
|-------------|---------------------|
| AP          | P=0.6051            |

M – mean, SD – standard deviation
Hungry *D. reticulatus* males in the homogeneous infestations attached to the host at various distances from each other (Fig. 1). A similar mode of male attachment was observed in the presence of partially engorged females. The males attached and began feeding usually at a certain distance from the females (Fig. 2). On the first day, the behaviour of the males in this group was similar to that in the homogeneous gender groups. After ingestion of the portion of blood required for the development of the male genital organs, and for achievement of sexual potential (i.e. at days 7–9 after the placement on the host), the males detached from the host skin, migrated towards the feeding females, and mated with them.

As shown in the present study, *D. reticulatus* males ingest a small amount of host blood, regardless of their density on the host and the presence or absence of females on the same host. The male engorgement weight was not statistically significantly different from the weight of hungry specimens collected from the vegetation, i.e. from 0.00521 g – 0.00789 g (mean 0.006452 g) (Tab. 2). Differences in the male engorgement weight (MEW) between the three groups were not statistically significant (Tab. 2). In turn, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* males were reported to double their weight prior to achievement of insemination competence after 4–6 days of feeding on pigs [30].

The several-day long feeding of *D. reticulatus* males creates an opportunity to transmit many pathogens interacting with the tick (vector) into the host. The duration of attachment and feeding on the host by hard ticks required for pathogen transmission is shorter than 15 min in the case of Powassan virus [31], from 4 – 96 h for various bacteria, and 7–18 days for *Babesia* species [32]. Alekseev and Chunikhin [33] have confirmed the presence of TBE viruses in the saliva of *Dermacentor* and *Ixodes* males and females at the beginning of feeding. When a tick male is found in host skin, complete laboratory and clinical diagnostics should be employed to confirm or exclude the pathogen infection.

The results of this research indicate that the behaviour of *D. reticulatus* males on the host (attachment and feeding) predisposes them to transmission of pathogens and non-pathogenic microorganisms present in tick saliva in various periods of the parasitic phase. The importance of metastriata ticks in pathogen transmission should be underlined during epidemiological studies and diagnostics of human and animal tick-borne diseases. Complete elucidation of the competence of male ticks for transmission of microorganisms requires further field and laboratory studies.
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