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Simultaneous catalytic ozonation degradation of metronidazole and removal of heavy metal from aqueous solution using nano-magnesium hydroxide

Qi Suna, Guangcan Zhua, Jun Wub, Jian Lu, and Zhenhua Zhang

ABSTRACT
Simultaneous removal of the antibiotics and heavy metals has attracted increasing attention since these contaminants are frequently detected in the aquatic environment. In this study, highly active nano-Mg(OH)2 was synthesized and firstly applied in catalytic ozonation system for simultaneous removal of metronidazole (MNZ) and heavy metal ions (Cu2+ and Zn2+). The results showed that the synthesized Mg(OH)2 nanoparticles exhibited high efficiencies of removing both MNZ and heavy metal ions (Cu2+ and Zn2+) in the catalytic ozonation process. Surprisingly, the removal efficiency of MNZ in the catalytic ozonation system with nano-Mg(OH)2 catalyst was improved in the presence of Cu2+ and Zn2+. Some parameters such as catalyst dosage, initial concentration of MNZ, initial concentration of heavy metal and reaction temperature could affect the simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metal ions (Cu2+ and Zn2+). This study provides an innovative and effective method for the simultaneous removal of antibiotics and heavy metals from the aquatic environment.
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1. Introduction
With the fast industrialization and urbanization, pharmaceuticals and their metabolites in the environment have induced important issues threatening aquatic organisms, agricultural products and human health [1,2]. Antibiotics, an important class of pharmaceutical compounds, have been widely used for promoting growth and treating bacterial infections of humans and animals [3,4]. The used antibiotics constantly enter the aquatic environment through human and animal excretion, the
discharged wastewater and sewage, treatment of unused or expired antibiotics, and other sources [4,5]. These antibiotics are frequently detected in the groundwater, drinking water, surface water and effluents of sewage treatment plants since they possess the high potential of resistance to degradation [6,7]. Therefore, the advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) such as photocatalysis [8], photoelectrocatalytic degradation [3], ozonation [9], photo-Fenton [10] and electro-Fenton [10,11] technologies have been used to remove antibiotics. As an alternative, the catalytic ozonation process has been found to be very effective in eliminating refractory organic pollutants with short reaction time and high removal efficiency [9,12]. Heavy metal ions, kind of typical inorganic pollutants, are widely used in various industries and animal breeding, leading to high concentrations in the wastewater [3,5,13]. Heavy metals will pose potential risks to human health and ecosystems due to their high solubility, easy migration, high toxicity and persistence [14]. Thus, it is of crucial importance to develop effective strategies to control heavy metal pollution. Many techniques such as adsorption [15], photocatalysis [16], chemical precipitation [17], and ion exchange [18] have been used to remove heavy metal ions from aqueous environments.

Wastewater usually contains different kinds of contaminants such as organic pollutants and inorganic pollutants. In recent years, the combined pollution of heavy metal ions and antibiotics in aquatic systems has become an increasing concern in aquatic environments. Antibiotics can interact with heavy metal ions to produce complex substances which might possess stronger toxicity [3,5]. Therefore, it is necessary to establish an effective method to remove both antibiotics and heavy metals in aqueous environments.

Nanoscale magnesium hydroxide (nano-Mg(OH)₂) as inorganic metal oxide is the low-cost, non-toxic and environment-friendly nanomaterial, showing excellent adsorption capacity for removing the heavy metal ions from the aqueous solution [15]. Moreover, nano-Mg(OH)₂ is also used as the ozonation catalyst with a high catalytic performance for the degradation of antibiotics by forming highly reactive and non-selective hydroxyl radicals [1]. Rare reports are available on the simultaneous removal of antibiotics and heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions by catalytic ozonation using nano-Mg(OH)₂ as a catalyst.

In this study, highly active nano-Mg(OH)₂ was prepared and firstly used for simultaneous removal of antibiotics and heavy metal ions from aqueous solution in the catalytic ozonation process. Metronidazole (MNZ), one of the widely used nitroimidazole antibiotics, was chosen as representative compounds of antibiotics for removal study. Copper ion (Cu²⁺) and zinc ion (Zn²⁺) which widely exist in aqueous media [3,14] were used as the representative heavy metal ions. The morphology and compositions of nano-Mg(OH)₂ before and after removal of antibiotics and heavy metals were characterized by SEM-EDS and XPS. In addition, the effect of various parameters including catalyst dosage, initial heavy metal concentration, initial antibiotic concentration and reaction temperature on the simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metals were evaluated. This technology has the potential for energy conservation compared to individually remove heavy metals and antibiotic.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents

MNZ (purity >99%) was provided by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. The analytical grade magnesium chloride (MgCl₂·6H₂O), polyethylene glycol (PEG 400) and ammonium hydroxide (NH₃·H₂O) used as the raw materials for synthesizing nano-Mg(OH)₂ catalyst were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). HPLC grade acetonitrile used for high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was purchased from Merck (Germany). The copper chloride dehydrate (CuCl₂·2H₂O), zinc chloride (ZnCl₂) and sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) were all of analytical reagents. NaNO₂ was used as a quencher. Distilled water was used throughout the whole experiment process.

2.2. Preparation of nano-Mg(OH)₂ catalyst

Nano-Mg(OH)₂ was prepared according to the previous study [1]. Briefly, the MgCl₂·6H₂O (10.165 g) and PEG 400 (10 mL) were dissolved in the 100 mL distilled water, and then the mixture was placed in a 50°C constant temperature bath. The 8 mL ammonium hydroxide (NH₃·H₂O) was added into the above solution through a dropping funnel. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 1.5 h at 50°C, cooled down to room temperature, and aged at room temperature for another 1.5 h. The obtained white precipitate was filtered and washed several times with distilled water and ethanol, and then dried at 60°C for 12 h.

2.3. Ozonation experiments

The batch experiments were carried out in a 100 mL three-necked flask at 25 ± 2°C. The high-purity oxygen was introduced into the ozone generator (Wohuan Co., Ltd.), and then the required mixture gas flow rate was adjusted by the rotor flowmeter and continuously...
pumped into the reaction solution. In each experiment, 100 mL aqueous solution containing 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) MNZ, a certain amount of heavy metal ion solution (Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\)) and desired catalysts dosage was added to the flask. The solution was stirred by a magnetic stirrer (85-2, Shuangjie, Shanghai) throughout the process to well mix the catalyst. During the experiment, the stirring speed was controlled at 500 r/min. Sample with the volume of 5 mL was collected periodically over time and immediately quenched with 200 μL sodium nitrite (NaNO\(_2\)) solution (0.015 mol/L) in order to eliminate residual ozone, and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 6 min to collect the supernatant for further analysis. In order to consider the adsorption effect of nano-Mg (OH)\(_2\) catalyst on MNZ and heavy metal ions (Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\)), the ozone generator was turned off and the clean oxygen was introduced into the reaction system under the same experimental conditions. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

In order to investigate the influence of catalyst dosage on the simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metals, the catalyst dosage was set as 0, 0.15, 0.3, 0.6, and 1.0 g L\(^{-1}\). Temperature was set as 15, 25 and 35°C to evaluate the influence of reaction temperature on the simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metals. The initial concentration of MNZ and heavy metal ions (Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\)) were all set to 10, 30, 50, 100, 200, and 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) to explore the effect of the initial concentration of target contaminant on removal efficiency of MNZ and heavy metals.

### 2.4. Analytical methods

The surface morphology and elemental composition of nano-Mg(OH)\(_2\) catalyst before and after reaction were analysed by Hitachi S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Hitachi, Japan) combined with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy spectra (XPS) analysis was used to investigate the surface and metal oxidation state of nano-Mg(OH)\(_2\) catalyst before and after reaction by Thermo Scientific Escalab 250Xi X-ray photoelectron spectrometer with Al Ka X-ray excitation source operating at a vacuum pressure (<10\(^{-7}\) Pa). The concentration of MNZ was analysed by an EX1600 high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Wufeng Co., Shanghai, China) equipped with a Waters SunFire C18 reversed-phase column (2.1 × 150 mm, 3.5 μm) and a UV detector at a wavelength of 315 nm. The mobile phase was 90% water and 10% acetonitrile with a flow rate of 0.2 mL min\(^{-1}\). In each analysis, 5 μL samples were injected in an isocratic mode. The concentration of metal ions in the reaction process was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, Optima DV7000, PerkinElmer, USA).

In this study, the pseudo-first-order kinetic model (Equation (1)) was used to describe the removal rate of MNZ:

\[
\ln C_t = \ln C_0 - kt,
\]

where the \(C_0\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and \(C_t\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) represents the initial concentration of MNZ and the concentration of MNZ at time \(t\) (min), respectively; \(k\) (min\(^{-1}\)) is the pseudo-first-order degradation rate constant.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization of nano-Mg(OH)\(_2\) catalysts

The SEM image of synthesized nano-Mg(OH)\(_2\) catalyst (Figure 1(a)) indicated that the sample was composed of uniformly dispersed regular nano-plates with the size in the range of 50–300 nm. Figure 1(c) and 1e exhibited the morphology of Mg(OH)\(_2\) after treating heavy metal (Cu and Zn) and MNZ. The Mg(OH)\(_2\) after reacting with MNZ and heavy metal still showed plate-like morphology with an average diameter of about 400 nm. Obviously, the size of the final product after treating heavy metals and MNZ in solution was larger than that of Mg(OH)\(_2\) before use, which might be attributed to the adhesion of heavy metals and MNZ.

The chemical element composition of the catalyst was analysed using EDS technology, and the results were shown in Figure 1(b,d,f). As depicted in Figure 1(b), the magnesium and oxygen were the main elements existing in the prepared catalyst. Comparing the EDS spectrum before and after catalytic ozonation reaction, an obvious peak of Cu and Zn existed in the final product besides Mg and O elements to demonstrate that heavy metals (Cu and Zn) were adsorbed on the Mg(OH)\(_2\) after the catalytic ozonation.

The XPS analysis further confirmed the composition and elemental chemical state of the obtained materials. The survey spectra (Figure 2(a)) clearly indicated that the prominent peaks of synthesized Mg(OH)\(_2\) located at 1303.1, 531.1, 306.1, 88.1 and 49.1 eV were assigned to Mg 1s, O 1s, Mg Auger, C 1s, Mg 2s and Mg 2p, respectively. Except for the Mg and O signal, the appearance of a small peak around 933 or 1021 eV was also observed in the survey spectra, which further indicated the existence of Cu or Zn in the product after treating MNZ and heavy metals. These results were consistent with the EDS elemental analysis. From the high resolution XPS spectrum of Cu 2p (Figure 2(b)), it could be observed that the peaks centred in the region of 940–930 eV and 960–950 eV corresponded to the Cu 2p2/3 and Cu 2p1/
Herein, the peaks of Cu 2p3/2 spectra were split into two components with binding energies of 932.6 and 933.0 eV, which could be attributed to Cu(0) and Cu(II), respectively [5,19]. The satellite structure was observed at high binding energies of 944.5 and 940.8 eV due to multiple divisions in the final state of 2p53d9, which was directly related to the presence of Cu2+ species [20]. According to high resolution, Zn 2p XPS spectra (Figure 2(c)), the peaks at 1022 and 1045 eV should be assigned to the binding energy of the Zn 2p2/3 and Zn 2p1/2 level, respectively. The XPS results also further demonstrated that heavy metals were adsorbed on Mg(OH)2 after treating MNZ and heavy metals.

### 3.2. Simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metals by various systems

The simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metals (Cu and Zn) by catalytic ozonation process with the presence of nano-Mg(OH)2 catalyst was investigated (Figure 3). The efficiency of single ozonation in the removal of MNZ could only reach 51.8% with the reaction of 10 min. The separate addition of heavy metals (Cu and
Zn) and nano-Mg(OH)$_2$ into the ozonation system caused an enhancement of the MNZ removal in different levels. When Cu, Zn and nano-Mg(OH)$_2$ were separately added to the ozonation system, the removal efficiency of MNZ reached 96.8%, 93.8% and 61.4% within 10 min, respectively. The removal efficiency of MNZ reached the maximum when the heavy metals Cu or Zn and Mg(OH)$_2$ existed in the solution. This might be caused by the strong chelating capability of heavy metal and antibiotic [5]. The heavy metal and antibiotic, partially adsorbed at different sites of catalyst, could be chelated in the solution by forming complex species with higher sorption affinity [5,14]. A similar phenomenon was also observed by the other study [5]. In addition, the results of adsorption experiments showed that the MNZ adsorption onto Mg(OH)$_2$ catalyst was just around 1% within 15 min, indicating that the increase of MNZ removal efficiency was mainly caused by degradation rather than adsorption.

The removal data of MNZ were fitted with the pseudo-first-order kinetic model (Figure 3(c,d)). The reaction rate constant of MNZ increased by 39.5%, 313.7% and 222.5% with Mg(OH)$_2$, Cu and Zn added into the single ozonation system, respectively. However, the removal rate constant of MNZ increased from 0.089 min$^{-1}$ to 0.267 min$^{-1}$ or 0.311 min$^{-1}$ when Mg(OH)$_2$ was added into the ozonation system in the presence of heavy metals Cu or Zn. This result confirmed good catalytic performance of Mg(OH)$_2$ on the ozonation of MNZ and promotion on the removal of heavy metals during the ozonation process of MNZ.

The removal efficiency of Cu and Zn in the absence of Mg(OH)$_2$ was very low to be almost neglected (Figure 3(e,f)). However, 50 mg L$^{-1}$ of Cu and Zn were almost completely removed in the presence of nano-Mg(OH)$_2$ after 15 min both in the oxygen or ozone reaction system. This result indicated that the adsorption of Mg(OH)$_2$ could explain the removal of heavy metals. Simultaneous removal of heavy metals and MNZ in the catalytic ozonation process was achieved successfully. The nano-Mg(OH)$_2$ could significantly promote simultaneous removal of heavy metals and MNZ in the ozonation process. Moreover, the catalytic performance of nano-Mg(OH)$_2$ was dramatically enhanced in the presence of heavy metals.

### 3.3. Effect of catalyst dosage

In order to study the dose-dependent effect on the removal of MNZ and heavy metals, the reaction was run with different dosages of Mg(OH)$_2$ ranging between 0 and 1.0 g/L (Figure 4). In the mixed system containing MNZ and Cu, the removal efficiency of MNZ increased slightly with the increase of catalyst dosage (Figure 4(a)). The removal rate constant of MNZ only increased by 1.0% as the catalyst concentration reached 1.0 g L$^{-1}$. The removal efficiency of Cu reached the maximum of 98.4% within 15 min when the catalyst dosage increased to 0.6 g L$^{-1}$ and then limited improvements were observed with further increased catalyst concentration to 1.0 g L$^{-1}$ (Figure 4(c)).
In the mixed system containing MNZ and Zn, the catalytic effect was obvious and the MNZ removal rate constant increased by 45.2% as nano-Mg(OH)$_2$ with a concentration of 0.15 g L$^{-1}$ was added into the system (Figure 4(b)). The removal efficiency of MNZ reached the maximum capacity with the catalyst dosage of 0.6 g L$^{-1}$ and then the removal efficiency gradually decreased with further increased catalyst dosage. The variation trend of removing Zn from the aqueous solution was similar to that of removing Cu (Figure 4(d)). In generally, the removal efficiency of MNZ and heavy metals (Cu and Zn) firstly increased and then decreased with the increase of catalyst dosage in the above-mentioned two mixed systems. The results were consistent with previous studies reporting the simultaneous removal of hexavalent chromium and dye using zero-valent iron or zero-valent iron composite [21,22]. This was attributed to the available actives sites [22,23]. The active sites and surface areas increased as the increase in catalyst dosage to improve the reaction rate. However, the removal efficiency of MNZ decreased with the amount of catalyst exceeding a certain value due to the limited amount of ozone in the system [24]. Thus, 0.6 g L$^{-1}$ was chosen as the catalyst dosage in the following experiments by considering the maximum removal efficiency of MNZ and heavy metal.

### 3.3. Effect of the initial concentration of heavy metal

The influence of initial concentration of heavy metals (10, 30, 50, 100, 200, and 500 mg/L) on the simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metals (Cu and Zn) from a mixed solution containing MNZ and Cu or Zn was evaluated (Figure 5). MNZ removal rates increased by 35.5% and 28.0% with the initial concentration of Cu and Zn.
Figure 4. Effect of catalyst dosage on simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metal. MNZ and Cu removal within 15 min in the mixed system containing MNZ and Cu (a, c), and MNZ and Zn removal within 15 min in the mixed system containing MNZ and Zn (b, d). Inset: Pseudo-first-order kinetic fitting for MNZ removal at different catalyst dosage.

Figure 5. Effect of initial heavy metal concentration on simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metal. MNZ and Cu removal within 15 min in the mixed system containing MNZ and Cu (a, c), and MNZ and Zn removal within 15 min in the mixed system containing MNZ and Zn (b, d). Inset: Pseudo-first-order kinetic fitting for MNZ removal at different initial heavy metal concentration.
increasing from 10 to 50 mg/L, respectively (Figure 5(a,b)). Moreover, no obvious increase in MNZ removal rate was observed as the Cu and Zn concentration was further increased to 500 mg/L, which might be explained by the fact that most of the active sites of the catalyst were occupied by heavy metals [16,25]. The removal efficiency of Cu and Zn reduced from 99.9% and 99.8% to 29.7% and 33.1% with the initial concentration of Cu and Zn increased from 10 mg L$^{-1}$ to 500 mg L$^{-1}$, which might be caused by the limited active sites of the composites [26].

3.4. Effect of the initial concentration of MNZ

The removal efficiency of MNZ decreased in the mixed solution containing MNZ and heavy metals with an increase in the initial concentration of MNZ (Figure 6(a)–(d)). In the mixed solution containing Cu and MNZ, the MNZ removal rate constant decreased by 96.9% from 0.681 to 0.021 min$^{-1}$ as the MNZ initial concentration increased from 10 to 500 mg L$^{-1}$. A similar variation pattern of MNZ removal was observed in the mixed solution containing Zn and MNZ. The concentration of organic intermediates might increase as MNZ concentration increased, which would result in the formation of more available ozone for further removal of intermediates [1,9,27]. In addition, excessive antibiotics and degradation intermediates could be adsorbed on the catalyst surface with the increase of the initial pollutant concentrations, which inhibited the surface reaction and led to lower removal efficiency [26,28,29]. No
significant changes in Cu and Zn removal efficiency were observed, regardless of increasing or decreasing the concentration of MNZ (Figure 6(e,f)), similar to the previous report [21].

3.5. Effect of reaction temperature

The effect of reaction temperature on the removal of MNZ and heavy metals (Cu and Zn) by catalytic ozonation process was shown in Figure 7. Figure 7(a,b) showed that the reaction temperature had little influence on the removal efficiency of MNZ no matter whether Cu or Zn existed in the catalytic ozonation process. In the presence of Cu during the catalytic ozonation, the removal rate constant of MNZ slightly increased from 0.215 to 0.267 min\(^{-1}\) as the reaction temperature increased from 15°C to 25°C. However, the reaction rate constant of MNZ slightly decreased by 15.0% when the reaction temperature continued to increase from 25°C to 35°C (Figure 7(a)). The same phenomenon was observed by the other scientists when they investigated the effect of reaction temperature on bisphenol-A ozonation in the presence of \(\alpha\)-MnO\(_2\)/graphene composites [24]. In the presence of Zn during the catalytic ozonation, the reaction rate constant of MNZ only increased by 1.5% with the reaction temperature increasing from 15°C to 35°C (Figure 7(b)). The above phenomenon might be caused by the balance between the reaction rate of ozonation and solubility of ozone. On the one hand, the chemical reaction rate and mass transfer were improved with the increased reaction temperature, which directly accelerated the MNZ removal efficiency [30,31]. On the other hand, the solubility of ozone in aqueous solution would decrease when the reaction temperature increased, which reduced the ozone concentration in the aqueous solution to suppress the MNZ removal [12,24]. The combined result of these two opposite processes caused the effect of reaction temperature on the catalytic ozonation of MNZ in the presence of heavy metals. Figure 7(c,d) showed that the reaction temperature had very limited impact on the removal of Cu and Zn in the catalytic ozonation process. The removal efficiency of Cu and Zn did not change much no matter whether the reaction temperature was increased or decreased.

4. Conclusion

Nanoscale magnesium hydroxide (nano-Mg(OH)\(_2\)) were successfully prepared and firstly applied to simultaneously remove MNZ and heavy metal ions (Cu\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\)) in water through catalytic ozonation process.
The prepared nano-Mg(OH)₂ exhibited higher efficiencies for removing MNZ and heavy metals. The multiple factors such as catalyst dosage, initial concentration of MNZ, initial concentration of heavy metal and reaction temperature had an important influence on the simultaneous removal of MNZ and heavy metals. Increase in the catalyst dosage within a certain range could improve the removal efficiency of MNZ and heavy metals. Increase in the initial concentration of heavy metals led to the decrease in the removal efficiency of heavy metals while the removal efficiency of MNZ did not significantly change. Increase in the initial MNZ concentration caused the sharp decrease in the removal efficiency of MNZ while an increase in the reaction temperature led to the increase in the removal efficiency of MNZ within a limited range. No significant changes in the removal efficiency of Cu and Zn were observed as the initial MNZ concentration and reaction temperature varied. This work offers an available approach for the simultaneous removal of antibiotics and heavy metals from aquatic environments.
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