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Transduction of Salivary Gland Acinar Cells with a Novel AAV Vector 44.9

INTRODUCTION

Collectively, salivary glands serve the critical exocrine role of producing saliva in the oral cavity. Saliva is essential for the lubrication, digestion, and protection of the oral cavity. The average individual produces approximately a liter of saliva per day, which will contain almost a gram of proteins. This represents an enormous secretory ability given the relatively small size of the salivary gland compared with other secretory tissue such as the liver. In addition, salivary glands also have the capacity to secrete proteins in an endocrine manner that traffic to the blood.

In mice, the submandibular gland is the largest salivary gland by volume whereas in humans the parotid gland is larger than the subman-
dibular gland and is the gland responsible for most stimulated saliva production (reviewed in Maruyama et al.1). Because of the salivary gland’s unique location and activity as both an endocrine and exocrine organ, gene transfer to this organ possesses the potential to treat genetic or acquired disease conditions. Furthermore, vectors can be easily delivered to the salivary gland by retrodental cannulation, allowing access to every cell within the gland.

The ultimate success of any gene therapy approach in the salivary gland is dependent on the efficient delivery of the therapeutic nucleic acid into the primary secretory cells (i.e., acinar cells). In the oral cavity, a number of approaches have been tried, ranging from injection of naked DNA, to engineered viruses and DNA lipid carrier complexes.2 Vectors based on adenovirus-associated virus (AAV) have demonstrated long-term stable expression in a number of cell types in vivo. Previous reports of AAV vector transduction in the salivary gland have primarily focused on the submandibular gland of rodents and the parotid...
gland in non-human primates and minipigs.\textsuperscript{3–5} Taken together, these studies demonstrate long-term expression primarily in ductal cells but little transduction in acinar cells. Since these reports, more than 100 AAV capsid sequences have been cloned from a variety of sources, and several serotypes have been reported to have transduction activity in salivary glands, including AAV2, AAV4, AAV5, BAAV, and AAV12.\textsuperscript{6–8} Unfortunately, there is very little understanding of their host cell requirements for entry and transduction. What is known is that these viruses have evolved to utilize glycans, abundant on cell surfaces, as essential primary attachment points for entry. Many of these isolates can be grouped based on their glycan recognition; for example, AAV2, AAV3B, AAV6, and AAV13 all bind heparin sulfate proteoglycans while AAV1, AAV4, and AAV5 bind terminal sialic acid and AAV9 binds terminal galactose.\textsuperscript{9–16} However, the binding specificity of many are unknown. Overall, organization of all isolates is based on a clade system of phylogenetic relationships using computational approaches and not functional characterization, thereby relying on empirical observation in animal models to define tropism, which also maybe species specific.\textsuperscript{17}

The goal of this study was to identify AAV vectors with parotid acinar cell tropism following retrodental cannulation. We observed that AAVRh10, a member of clade E, has enhanced transduction for acinar cells in the parotid gland. Interestingly, a novel isolate, AAV44.9, which falls between clade D and E and has homology to AAVRh8R, also had transduction activity for acinar cells in the parotid gland. Vectors delivered to the parotid gland also resulted in transduction of the sublingual but not the submandibular glands. (Figures 1B and 1C). Quantification of acinar cell transduction by AAVRh10 and AAV44.9 transduction could be clearly identified as predominately in acinar cells at high magnification (Figure S2). Cannulation of the parotid gland was via Stensen’s duct located in the cheek, which is not connected to the submandibular and sublingual glands located on the floor of the mouth. Despite this anatomical separation, analysis of sublingual tissue sections showed extensive transduction of the sublingual gland but not the submandibular glands (Figures 1B and 1C). Quantification of acinar cell transduction by AAVRh10 and AAV44.9 showed that 10%–15% of parotid and sublingual cells were GFP-positive compared to less than 1% with AAV2 (Table 1).

**RESULTS**

**AAV Transduces Salivary Gland Acinar Cells**

To identify AAVs with increased transduction activity and persistence in salivary gland acinar cells, we screened AAVs from several clades. Transduction was compared using vectors encoding the CRE recombinase that were retrodentally cannulated, as described in Materials and Methods, into a single parotid gland via Stensen’s duct of an mT/mGFP transgenic mouse.\textsuperscript{18,19} This strain ubiquitously expresses a membrane-targeted peptide fused with the tandem Tomato fluorescent protein. However, the expression of CRE recombinase by AAV vectors activates, in the transduced cells, the expression of a membrane-targeted peptide fused with the GFP (mGFP). Tissues section from the transduced animals were imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy 1 month after cannulation. Nine capsid variants were tested in pilot experiments (AAV 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, Rh10, BAAV, 44.9). Extensive cell transduction was observed with AAVRh10 and a new isolate AAV44.9 compared with AAV2 (Figure 1A). Acinar and ductal cells can be distinguished by their unique morphology, when visualized through the membrane-targeted peptide, as previously shown.\textsuperscript{19–22} These differences were further confirmed by counterstaining the sections for (1) F-actin, as previously shown, and (2) the acinar cell protein NKCC1 (Figure S1). The observed AAVRh10 and AAV44.9 transduction could be clearly identified as predominately in acinar cells at high magnification (Figure S2). Cannulation of the parotid gland was via Stensen’s duct located in the cheek, which is not connected to the submandibular and sublingual glands located on the floor of the mouth. Despite this anatomical separation, analysis of sublingual tissue sections showed extensive transduction of the sublingual gland but not the submandibular glands (Figures 1B and 1C). Quantification of acinar cell transduction by AAVRh10 and AAV44.9 showed that 10%–15% of parotid and sublingual cells were GFP-positive compared to less than 1% with AAV2 (Table 1).

**Targeting of Salivary Gland Acinar Cells by AAVs Results in Long-Term and Localized Transduction**

Activation of the Cre-Lox recombination in Tomato floxed GFP mice requires only transient or minimal expression of the Cre recombinase gene. Thus, GFP-positive cells observed in Figure 1 and quantified in Table 1 could be the result of transient transduction. Stability and biodistribution of expression were assessed by cannulating a single parotid gland of a mouse with AAV vectors encoding a cytomegalovirus (CMV)-luciferase reporter gene. Luciferase expression was monitored, in whole animals in vivo,\textsuperscript{23} at 1 and 6 months after vector cannulation (Figures 2A–2C), as well as in isolated organs at 6 months (Figures 2D and 2E). At 1 month or 6 months after administration, all AAVs generated detectable luminescence in the neck region following
Although relatively low compared to stimulated saliva, secretion of recombinant protein could be detected in basal unstimulated saliva secretion (collected as an oral wash) as well as in pilocarpine/isoproterenol-stimulated saliva secretion (Figure 3). Oral wash (see Materials and Methods) yielded 13.3 ± 3.3 and 10 ± 3.3 pg/mL of luciferase protein for AAVRh10- or AAV44.9-treated mice, respectively, whereas luciferase was undetectable in the saliva of mice treated with AAV2 (Figure 3A). In contrast, stimulated secretion yielded 39,000 ± 2,000 and 35,000 ± 1,000 pg/mL of luciferase in AAVRh10- or AAV44.9-treated mice, respectively (Figure 3A). Stimulated parotid luciferase secretion was below the level of detection in AAV2-treated mice (Figure 3A).

Serum of mice cannulated with AAVRh10 or AAV44.9 contained more than 338 ± 25 and 328 ± 21 pg/mL, respectively, of Gaussia luciferase, whereas serum from AAV2-treated mice was similar to control untreated mice (Figure 3B).

Taken together, these data show that transduction with AAVRh10 or AAV44.9 can result in sustained secretion in saliva and serum. Recombinant protein secretion was also observed, in unstimulated saliva, whereas stimulation led to at least a 3,000-fold increase.

**AAV Salivary Gland Acinar Cells Transduction Is Species Specific**

In order to verify whether AAV acinar cell transduction is species-independent, we tested AAV transduction in rat parotid salivary glands and AAV transduction in human primary acinar cells organocultures derived from human minor salivary glands biopsies (Figure 4). In contrast to mice, cannulation and infusion of rat parotid glands with AAV vectors encoding firefly luciferase resulted in expression of only AAVRh10, but not with AAV2 or AAV44.9 (Figures 4A and 4B). AAVRh10 cannulation resulted in secretion of recombinant protein in both the saliva and serum (data not shown).

The development of primary human salivary gland cultures has provided useful models for studying acinar cell function. Recent work has demonstrated that primary human minor salivary gland (phSG) cell cultures achieve an acinar-like phenotype and exhibit progenitor cell markers with acinar-specific markers. In addition, these cells form a monolayer with high transepithelial electrical resistance.

**phSG cells were seeded in Transwell membrane dishes and allowed to establish an acinar cell phenotype prior to incubation with single-stranded (Figures 4C and 4D) or self-complementary AAV2, AAVRh10, or AAV44.9 vectors encoding a CMV-GFP reporter, respectively (Figure 4D). Transduction with AAV2 could be observed as soon as 24 h after incubation (data not shown). Five days after incubation with vector, self-complementary AAV2 and AAV44.9 were detected in 95 ± 5% and 35 ± 10% of the cells, respectively, whereas no transduction was detected with AAVRh10 (Figure 4D). Single-stranded AAV2 also showed the highest transduction activity of phSG cells compared with AAV44.9 and AAVRh10 (Figures 4C and 4D). Taken together, these data suggest that salivary gland acinar cells of different species are differentially permissive to AAV isolates.**

### Table 1. Quantification of Positive Acinar Cells after Single Parotid Salivary Cannulation

| Parotid Glands (%) | Sublingual Glands (%) | Submandibular Glands (%) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| AAV2 <1            | <1                    | <1                       |
| AAVRh10 9 ± 5      | 14 ± 5                | <1                       |
| AAV44.9 10 ± 7     | 15 ± 5                | <1                       |

Percentage of positive (green) acinar cells were calculated by counting red and green cells. A total of 16 independent confocal microscope images were used for each vector.

AAV biodistribution was also measured by monitoring luciferase expression in isolated organs (Figure 2D). Luciferase expression was measured in salivary glands, stomach, spleen, lung, heart, kidney and liver. A more than 10-fold expression was detected in salivary glands of AAVRh10- and AAV44.9-treated mice compared with that of AAV2, whereas AAV44.9 increased an average of 37- and 331-fold 1 or 6 months after cannulation, respectively, compared with AAV2 (Figure 2C). At 1 month after cannulation a weak luminescent signal was also observed in the abdomen area of AAVRh10- and AAV44.9-treated mice but not in AAV2-treated mice (Figure 2A). This signal represented less than 10% of that of the neck area detected at 1 month and was not detectable at the 6-month evaluation (Figure 2B).

### Transduction of Salivary Gland Acinar Cells Results in Endocrine and Exocrine Secretion of the Recombinant Gaussia Luciferase Reporter

Acinar cells are highly specialized for secretion of both fluid and proteins. Although much of the secretory output is in the exocrine direction into the saliva via the regulated pathway, other research has demonstrated secretion via an endocrine pathway into the blood. To assess the secretory potential of parotid acinar cells following transduction with AAV2, AAVRh10, or AAV44.9, one parotid gland in each mouse was cannulated with AAV vectors encoding Gaussia luciferase, which is reported to have a strong secretory signal peptide in vitro and in vivo. Expression of Gaussia luciferase by each vector and secretion into the serum and saliva were tested at 8 months after cannulation and quantified by comparing luminescence values generated from purified recombinant Gaussia luciferase (see Materials and Methods).

Although relatively low compared to stimulated saliva, secretion of recombinant protein could be detected in basal unstimulated saliva secretion (collected as an oral wash) as well as in pilocarpine/isoproterenol-stimulated saliva secretion (Figure 3). Oral wash (see Materials and Methods) yielded 13.3 ± 3.3 and 10 ± 3.3 pg/mL of luciferase protein for AAVRh10- or AAV44.9-treated mice, respectively, whereas luciferase was undetectable in the saliva of mice treated with AAV2 (Figure 3A). In contrast, stimulated secretion yielded 39,000 ± 2,000 and 35,000 ± 1,000 pg/mL of luciferase in AAVRh10- or AAV44.9-treated mice, respectively (Figure 3A). Stimulated parotid luciferase secretion was below the level of detection in AAV2-treated mice (Figure 3A).

Serum of mice cannulated with AAVRh10 or AAV44.9 contained more than 338 ± 25 and 328 ± 21 pg/mL, respectively, of Gaussia luciferase, whereas serum from AAV2-treated mice was similar to control untreated mice (Figure 3B).

Taken together, these data show that transduction with AAVRh10 or AAV44.9 can result in sustained secretion in saliva and serum. Recombinant protein secretion was also observed, in unstimulated saliva, whereas stimulation led to at least a 3,000-fold increase.

**AAV Salivary Gland Acinar Cells Transduction Is Species Specific**

In order to verify whether AAV acinar cell transduction is species-independent, we tested AAV transduction in rat parotid salivary glands and AAV transduction in human primary acinar cells organocultures derived from human minor salivary glands biopsies (Figure 4). In contrast to mice, cannulation and infusion of rat parotid glands with AAV vectors encoding firefly luciferase resulted in expression of only AAVRh10, but not with AAV2 or AAV44.9 (Figures 4A and 4B). AAVRh10 cannulation resulted in secretion of recombinant protein in both the saliva and serum (data not shown).

The development of primary human salivary gland cultures has provided useful models for studying acinar cell function. Recent work has demonstrated that primary human minor salivary gland (phSG) cell cultures achieve an acinar-like phenotype and exhibit progenitor cell markers with acinar-specific markers. In addition, these cells form a monolayer with high transepithelial electrical resistance.

**phSG cells were seeded in Transwell membrane dishes and allowed to establish an acinar cell phenotype prior to incubation with single-stranded (Figures 4C and 4D) or self-complementary AAV2, AAVRh10, or AAV44.9 vectors encoding a CMV-GFP reporter, respectively (Figure 4D). Transduction with AAV2 could be observed as soon as 24 h after incubation (data not shown). Five days after incubation with vector, self-complementary AAV2 and AAV44.9 were detected in 95 ± 5% and 35 ± 10% of the cells, respectively, whereas no transduction was detected with AAVRh10 (Figure 4D). Single-stranded AAV2 also showed the highest transduction activity of phSG cells compared with AAV44.9 and AAVRh10 (Figures 4C and 4D). Taken together, these data suggest that salivary gland acinar cells of different species are differentially permissive to AAV isolates.
DISCUSSION

The development of salivary gland-targeted gene therapy could be useful for treating both diseases of the salivary gland and systemic diseases because of the natural exocrine and endocrine secretory activity of the gland. In this study, we have shown that several new clades of AAV are able to transduce acinar cells. Gene transfer with adenovirus has been extensively tested in the salivary gland because of its robust expression of recombinant proteins and broad cell tropism. However, adenovirus transduction is reported to be transient in animal models and can initiate a strong immune reaction to the transduced cells, limiting its clinical utility. The use of AAV in salivary gland gene transfer application offers an immunological advantage over adenovirus, although the ductal cell tropism reported in the submandibular glands might limit its application. Discovery of new isolates has improved gene transfer in organs like liver, heart, CNS, and muscle. Some of these new isolates have the capability, when administrated systemically, to transcytose across the blood-brain barrier and are currently in clinical trials for neurodegenerative diseases.

In this study, retroduductal cannulation and infusion of either AAVRh10 or AAV44.9 vector resulted in transduction of parotid acinar cells; surprisingly, transduction was not limited to the cannulated parotid gland but also transduced the acinar cells of the sublingual gland. We did not observe transduction in the submandibular gland following this route of delivery (Figures 1A–1C) or transduction in the contralateral parotid gland. The mechanism of transduction of these two major salivary glands is not clear since they have separate secretory ducts, and thus it is unclear how the vector could reach the sublingual gland. An alternative route of delivery may be via the lymphatic system, which connects these glands. Following parotid delivery, lateral lymph nodes associated with these salivary glands may offer limited local circulation of AAV between these glands.

The observed AAV transduction persisted for at least 6 months. Although the vast majority of the luciferase signal was detected in the cannulated salivary gland (>20-fold), some transduction was detected in the liver or heart. Minor expression in distal organs was not surprising considering the capability of some isolates to spread. Future studies utilizing acinar cell-specific promoters could limit some of this off-target transduction. Salivary gland-targeted gene therapy is currently in clinical trial for patients with radiation-induced salivary hypofunction as a result of treatment for oral cancer (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02446249). Several studies have suggested that salivary gland-targeted gene therapy may represent an effective method of treating the inflammation and loss of gland function associated with the autoimmune disease Sjögren’s syndrome. Furthermore, due to the natural secretory activity of the salivary gland and ability to traffic recombinant proteins to the saliva or serum, transduction of the salivary gland may offer a novel route of delivery for the treatment of systemic disease. As a proof of concept to test the secretory capacity of the salivary gland, we have used Gaussia luciferase, which has a potent signal peptide that is reported to drive the secretion of 90% of the protein expressed both in vitro and in vivo. Cannulation of the salivary gland with AAVRh10 or AAV44.9 encoding the Gaussia luciferase gene resulted in secretion of ~40 ng/mL or ~350 pg/mL in saliva and serum, respectively, for at least 8 months after administration. Gaussia luciferase was recovered and quantified in both the unstimulated and stimulated saliva secretions, confirming that expression was detected in the liver or heart.
was from the salivary gland epithelia and that a basal level of secretion is possible. This level of protein secretion is in the physiologic range of hormones such as glucagon-like peptide-1 and parathyroid hormone.

Currently, it is not clear what is the signal peptide for endocrine secretion in the salivary gland. Recent work suggests that it may differ between secreted proteins in the parotid and submandibular glands.38 To understand whether the differences in acinar cells transduction activity observed in the parotid gland were observed across species, salivary gland gene transfer was also studied in rats and human primary salivary glands cells. Interestingly, only AAVRh10 showed strong transduction activity in rats but little transduction in human cells. A difference in salivary gland transduction between mice and rats has been previously observed.6 Alternatively, AAV2, which had lower transduction in both mouse and rat acinar cells, was by far the most efficient in the human cells. It is not clear whether this is the result of in vitro versus in vivo conditions or the result of immersion of the cells in vector versus apical delivery via cannulation. Taken together, the data suggest that salivary gland cells are differentially permissive for AAVs and there are species-specific differences to transduction. Similar observations have been reported in other organs such as the lung.39

For human gene therapy applications targeting acinar cells, tropism studies in other non-rodent animal models such as minipigs or non-human primates are necessary.

Understanding the biological basis of these differences in virus host cell interactions would be important in translating preclinical work into successful clinical outcomes.

Identification of new isolates with improved gene transfer activity and a better understanding of species-specific AAV transduction activity should lead to improved gene transfer vectors. Further improvements in gland transduction activity are likely by directed evolution of the capsids of these isolates to improve their transduction and limit spread to other organs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Animal Models**

Tomato floxed GFP mice and B6.129(Cg-Gt(Rosa)26Sor<sup>tm1(Actb-tdTomato,-EGFP)Luo</sup>/J, BALB/c, and C57BL/6 mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Wistar rats were also obtained from The Jackson Laboratory.

Animals were housed in a pathogen-free facility. All procedures involving live animals were performed in an accredited vivarium according to institutional guidelines and standard operating procedures and were in compliance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

**Mouse and Rat Parotid Salivary Semi-flexible Cannulas**

Rodent salivary gland cannulation has been described previously.2 In this study, we modified the previously reported thin polyethylene tube (PE 10) cannula used for mice with a semi-flexible one. The cannula was constructed by inserting a MicroFil custom 35G nonmetallic needle (1.5 inches long) (World Precision Instruments [WPI], #CMF35G) into polyethylene tubing (Strategic Applications, #PE-10). The joint was then sealed using a paper strip treated with cyanoacrylate adhesive (superglue). The other end of the cannula was connected to a 31G insulin syringe (Becton Dickinson). Cannulas were tested before use for reliable loading and delivery of infusate without leaking.

For cannulation of rats, an 8-mm 31G needle with the needle removed from an insulin syringe bluntly cut with a scissor was connected to polyethylene tubing from the beveled side. The other end of the cannula was connected to an insulin syringe.

**Parotid Salivary Gland Cannulation**

Animals were anesthetized by intramuscular injection with 5 mL/kg of a cocktail containing ketamine (43 mg/kg) and xylazine (8.5 mg/kg), using additional anesthetic as needed. Saline was applied to the eyes using additional anesthetic as needed. saline to prevent dryness. In order to easily access the Stensen’s duct located in the lateral surface of the mouth, mice or rats were maintained in a reclined position, and the mouth was kept open by restraining the cheeks with two suture hooks. Using a surgical microscope (Leica MZ7s), the appropriate cannula was inserted into the parotid duct orifice using forceps and sealed in place with superglue on the surrounding buccal fat pad. After 10 min, the dose of rAAV vector was infused into the gland via the cannula connected to the insulin syringe.

Ineffective cannulation was monitored by checking for sample leakage around the ductal opening following infusion or when the
buccal fat pad around the orifice started to inflate. In such rare cases the animals were excluded from the experiment cohort.

Following cannulation, the device was held in place for 10 min, then removed. During recovery, mice or rats were placed in an oxygen chamber until ambulatory.

Confocal Immunofluorescent Imaging Microscopy

The salivary glands of mTomato/mGFP mice were fixed in 4% formaldehyde overnight at 4°C and then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Cryosections were cut using a Leica CM3050S cryostat, after which samples were mounted in Fluoromount G on a glass slide and covered with a #1.5 coverslip. Immunostaining was performed as follows. Samples were incubated (1) in 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and (2) 0.02% saponin in FBS (blocking solution) for 30–45 min at room temperature with primary goat antibodies (NKCC1, 1:00; N-16, Santa Cruz) at 4°C, and then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Cryosections were cut using a Leica CM3050S cryostat, after which samples were mounted in Fluoromount G on a glass slide and covered with a #1.5 coverslip. Confocal images were acquired using a FluoView 1000 (Olympus).

Live Body Imaging of Luciferase Expression

Mice were anesthetized in an isoflurane vaporizing chamber and then injected with 200 μL of luciferase substrate β-luciferin potassium salt (GoldBio) dissolved in 40 mg/mL PBS intraperitoneally. Mice were then transferred to a Lumina in vivo Imaging System (IVIS) dark chamber equipped with an anesthetic vaporizer and imaged. Luciferase activity was quantified using Living Image software, version 2.60.1 (Caliper Life Sciences, Alameda, CA, USA).

For quantification, each image is shown with its own luminescence scale bar.

Luciferase Expression Quantification by Imaging

Five minutes after treatment with anesthesia, mice were injected with luciferase substrate and the whole body was imaged. Following completion of the whole-body imaging, animals were euthanized in a CO2 chamber with each organ isolated and imaged as described above. Luciferase activity was quantified using Living Image software.

Production and Purification of Recombinant AAVs

Different isolates of recombinant AAV particles were produced using a four-plasmid procedure as previously described. Human embryonic kidney 293T cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). 293T cells were grown at 37°C under a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U of penicillin/mL, and 0.1 mg of streptomycin/mL. Briefly, 293T cells were transfected by calcium phosphate with four plasmids as follows: an adenovirus helper plasmid (pAd12) containing VA RNA and the whole body was imaged. Following

Figure 4. AAV SG Acinar Cell Transduction in Rats and Primary Human Organoculture

Rats were treated by single parotid SG cannulation of 1.5 × 1011 AAVs encoding freely luciferase. (A and B) Luciferase expression was live monitored (A) and quantified (B) in SGs, 1 month later, by a Xenogen IVIS (N = 3). (C and D) Transduction efficiency of AAVs in primary cultures from human SGs. Cells were incubated with 5 × 107 viral particles per cell of self-complementary or single-stranded AAVs encoding the GFP reporter gene. (C) Five days after incubation with single-stranded vectors, cells were imaged by fluorescence microscopy. (D) Quantification of self-complementary or single-stranded AAVs (N = 3).

Blood and Saliva Collection

Blood samples were withdrawn from mice or rats under isoflurane anesthesia by retro-orbital bleeding and collected in a BD Microtainer.
Serum was separated by centrifugation and immediately frozen and stored on dry ice or in a −80°C freezer. Stimulated saliva was induced in mice under isoflurane anesthesia by administrating a cocktail of freshly prepared pilocarpine (0.5 mg/kg) and isoproterenol (0.1 mg/kg) in the nape of the neck. Saliva was collected during 10 min using a 200-µL capillary pipette placed from the oral cavity into chilled 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes and stored at −20°C or below. More than 100 µL of a saliva sample was collected from each mouse or 300 µL from each rat. An oral wash in unstimulated mice was performed by rinsing the oral cavities with 150 µL of PBS and immediately freezing the collected samples for *Gaussia* luciferase assays.

**Gaussia Luciferase Assay in Saliva or Serum**

*Gaussia* luciferase activity was measured by combining 10 µL of saliva diluted in 90 µL of PBS and transferred, in duplicate, into white CulturPlate-96 wells (PerkinElmer). 100 µL of *Gaussia* buffer substrate (Pierce *Gaussia* glow assay kit) was added to the sample and immediately analyzed and quantified in a luminometer (PerkinElmer Victor X2). Similarly, 30 µL of serum was diluted in 70 µL of PBS and then treated as for the saliva. In oral wash experiments, 100 µL of sample was used together with 10 µL of substrate.

Relative light unit (RLU) values obtained from saliva and serum sample analyses were converted to a protein concentration by generating a standard curve using RLU values obtained by serial dilution of known purified recombinant *Gaussia* luciferase (NanoLight Tech).

**Primary Human Salivary Gland Cells**

phSG cells were obtained through serial passage of cells from biopsy tissue expanded on collagen-coated plates (BioCoat, Becton Dickinson) as previously described. Brieﬂy, phSG cells were maintained in complete keratinocyte growth medium (KGM) (Lonza) supplemented with bovine pituitary extracts (BPEs), recombinant human epidermal growth factor (rhEGF), insulin (INS), hydrocortisone (HC), gentamicin, epinephrine, and transferrin, and the calcium concentration was adjusted to 0.05 mM with CaCl2 solution. Differentiation was induced in high Ca2+, 1.2 mM KGM for 3 days prior to transduction with AAV vectors. Transduction was monitored by GFP expression at the indicated time.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using Excel software. Continuous variables with normal distributions are shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2020.10.006.
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