Experimental Investigation of Energy Release Rate for In-plane Shear Mode in GFRP Composites
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ABSTRACT: The main drawback of fiber reinforced composites is inter-laminar fracture, especially delamination. Due to the nature of composite fabrication delamination is being a common failure. Composites are made in a variety of manufacturing techniques that are available. Commonly, a layered stacking of fibers in a primary plane is observed in each of these techniques. The interfacing part between the layers of the composites is not obviously reinforced with fibers which in turn lead to the inter-laminar fracture or delamination. The strain energy release rate (Gc) is the best material property that can represent the resistance to delamination which can be both experimentally and numerically obtained. The principal attention of the present study is to obtain the inter-laminar fracture toughness in composites caused because of the pure sliding mode. An inter-laminar fracture for mode II (GIIc) crack propagation is studied for GFRP materials having unidirectional 0° orientation of fiber. Compliance calibration method is used to study on the experimental investigation of toughness GIIc for fiber volume of 40%, 50% and 60%. Investigation was directed according to ASTM D7905 using End Notched Flexure specimen which is fabricated using E-glass fiber and epoxy matrix in hand layup method. Results obtained are again correlated with numerical analysis using virtual crack closure technique (VCCT) method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The composite materials undergo failure due to many aspects like impact or static overloading, fatigue, overheating, hygrothermal effect, creep etc. One of the most regularly used manufacturing technique used of set up a composite structure is laminating of composite materials. Delamination is being the major limiting factor for the composite structures that are laminated. Delamination being a mainly significant mode of failure observed particularly in reinforced fiber laminate composites structures. Delamination is the separation of the laminated fiber reinforced layers that are bonded together to form laminated composite. These de-laminations are the results of the impact by any foreign object or manufacturing defects and this can cause a significant reduction in strength and stiffness of the component or the structure. Linear fracture mechanics depending on energy have been extensively used in de-lamination model of composite and their study. The strain energy release rate ‘G’ to be verified for resolving loads that cause delamination expansion by using this fracture mechanics approach. In some of the conditions that involve the inter-laminar fracture-toughness or critical strain-energy-release rate, \( G_c \) in composites. The energy that is released for the crack to grow for unit area in the test specimen undergoing constant displacement is called as the strain-energy-release rate. The capacity of a material having a crack to resist the growth of fracture on that material is called as critical strain energy release rate or Inter laminar fracture-toughness. At critical load the critical value of energy release rate obtained. The End notch flexure (ENF) specimens to be tested are designed and performed the tests to measure inter laminar fracture toughness of delamination under mode II crack growth [3]. The fracture toughness of delamination of Mode II is measured under different environmental and loading conditions. The critical-strain-energy-release rate for crack expansion in mode II is measured to be about 7553 J/m2. The finite element analysis of inter-laminar fracture-toughness measurement in mode II on the ENF specimen is conducted [5]. The effect of the friction between crack faces and the large deflection on top of the evaluation of sliding mode (mode II) fracture-toughness ‘GIIc’ from the ENF specimen is investigated. This analysis showed so as to the frictional cause is insignificant only at the time of the crack length is greater than one-fourth to length of that specimen. Also, to evaluate ‘GIIc’ when deflection is greater than that of the thickness of specimen, the geometric nonlinear analysis is required.
II. OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY

A. Objectives
The objectives of present study were to fabricate End Notched Flexure (ENF) specimen using a Glass Reinforced Polymer (GRP) composite for volume fractions 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6. An experimental investigation to obtain strain-energy-release-rates for inter-laminar composite structures undergoing mode II crack propagation using the standard End Notched Flexure specimen is performed. Analysis and prediction of the delamination growth in composite material (GRP) using Fracture Mechanics approach is executed. Extraction of Strain energy-release-rate GII values for 40%, 50% and 60% volume of fiber is done along with analyzing and optimizing the Inter-laminar Fracture Toughness GIIC for mode II loading in Glass/Epoxy material for the above mentioned volume fractions.

B. Methodology
The end notched flexure specimen of E-glass/epoxy is laminated by hand layup method for volume fractions 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6 according to ASTM D 7905. Conducting standardized tests (three point bending test) for characterizing fracture-toughness GFRP under sliding mode crack propagations. The second mode inter-laminar fracture behavior in the Reinforced Glass epoxy laminate material by uniformly increasing load on the specimen will be examined by End Notch Flexure (ENF) specimen. Inter-laminar Fracture Toughness for the End Notch flexure specimen is calculated by using the peak load at which the crack propagates in the Compliance Calibration Method [4] (CCM). Numerical study of crack propagation will be done by VCCT (Virtual Crack Closure Technique). ANSYS V14.0 will be used for numerical studies. Thorough comparison will be done between Numerical and Experimental methods. Optimizing the fracture toughness for different volume fractions mentioned above. Validating the results obtained from experimental and numerical methods. Compare the numerical results obtained with the other modes of fracture for the same peak loads.

III. FABRICATION

In the fabrication process of GRP composites, the materials that are used are as follows -

a. Epoxy Resin- Reinforcement material.

b. E-Glass Fiber- Matrix material

c. Hardener- HV 953U

d. Releasing agent

| Material | Density ρ (Kg/m³) | Elastic modulus (GPa) | Shear modulus (GPa) | Poisson’s ratio |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Epoxy    | 1200              | 4.5                   | 0.034               | 0.4            |
| E glass  | 2600              | 74                    | 0.032               | 0.25           |

TABLE 1 Properties of Fiber and Matrix

Fig 1. ENF Specimen model
A. **Elastic Constants of the Unidirectional E-glass/ Epoxy Composite**

The orthotropic elastic constants are calculated from the E glass and Epoxy material property, depending on the percentage of volume present in the composite. An equation from rules of mixture was adopted for extracting the 9 elastic constants.

| Fiber volume | $E_{11}$ (GPa) | $E_{22}=E_{33}$ (GPa) | $\nu_{12}=\nu_{13}$ | $G_{12}=G_{13}$ (MPa) |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 0.4          | 32.3           | 7.207                 | 0.34                 | 32.771                |
| 0.5          | 39.25          | 8.484                 | 0.325                | 32.969                |
| 0.6          | 46.2           | 10.31                 | 0.31                 | 33.17                 |

B. **Dimensions of the End Notch Flexure Specimen as Per ASTM D7905**

The dimensions of the Edge Notch Flexure specimen are as per the ASTM D7905 Standard and the loading and boundary conditions are shown as shown in Figure 2.

![Fig 2. Dimensions of ENF specimen](image)

The prepared specimen is approximately cured in the room temperature for 24 hrs. Once the specimen is dried, the specimen is taken out of the mould and cleaned. The extra fiber is cut and the edges are trimmed. After trimming the edges, the specimen is ready to use. The finished ENF specimens along with the release film inset after edges of the specimen is trimmed different volume fractions are shown in the fig.3. The release film acts as the pre-crack in the specimen.

![Fig 3 ENF specimen after fabrication](image)
IV. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

The ENF samples shown in Fig. 3 contain a unidirectional, laminated composite having cross section of rectangle and even thickness sample. They also are containing a non-adhesive film at middle of the plane which acts as the initiator of the crack. Loads are acted on the sample through an ENF fixture under displacement controlled loading.

![Universal Testing Machine](image1)

**Fig. 4** INSTRAN Universal Testing Machine

Universal Testing Machine was used to carry out the experiment to obtain the peak load at which the crack propagates. Digital load against deflection recorder connected INSTRON Universal Testing Machine of maximum capacity 10KN is used to perform three point bending test.

\[
G = -\frac{1}{B} \frac{dU}{da} \quad (1)
\]

\[
G_0 = \frac{3mP_{\text{max}}^2a_0^2}{2B} \quad (2)
\]

For any specimen where the candidate toughness is accepted having the condition as

\[
G_{\text{IN}} = G_0
\]

A values of the force applied against displacement of the center roller are recorded. A real-time plotting device or an equivalent x-y recorder is used to obtain the plot and digitally store the values[6]. Compliance Calibration (CCM) Method is used to calculate inter-laminar fracture toughness, \(G_{\text{IN}}\).

![Specimen in Fixture](image2)

**Fig. 5** Specimen placed in the fixture
V. NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION

From the literature survey Virtual Crack Closure Technique being the best analytical method that can find strain energy release rate. Virtual crack closure technique is getting popular, especially in aerospace engineering fields, as this technique have effective suitability of the technique for modeling behavior and the practical computation time for an anisotropic material. The crack growth predicted in VCCT is by comparing local strain-energy-release-rate with the fracture toughness. Results obtained in a finite element model from node to node strain-energy-release-rate using VCCT, Simulation of delamination behaviors are done in VCCT very effectively for the composite structures. Simulation of the crack growing and after damage residual strength of a composite structure can be estimated using VCCT [9].

In virtual crack closure technique, development in crack length is modeled by having an interaction contact which is defined between two crack surfaces. Hence, the crack growth is predefined. Crack propagation prediction is obtained by comparing the critical strain energy release rate (Gc) with strain-energy-release-rate (G) of required material. An assumption is made that the energy necessary to does not modify considerably at the time of crack extending from a to a+2 a (node k) from a+ a (node i). So after crack tip the displacement at node i is roughly same as the displacement after the original crack tip at node l. Simple formulas to the calculation of strain-energy-release-rate using VCCT are given below for a 3D solid 8-noded element close crack is same as energy lost while the crack starts growing from ‘a’ to ‘a+Δ a’. In VCCT the state at the crack tip.

SOLID185 is used for meshing of a solid three dimensional structure. It is a eight nodded brick element having nodes on each of the edges. TARGE170 is the element used for creating the target surface on which the strain energy is to be obtained. TARGE170 can be used when the contact elements CONTA172, CANTA175 are used. CONTA174 is the element which is used to create the contact surface on the crack surface. It is a 3D 8 nodded element. One crack surface is the contact surface and other is target surface

SOLID185 is used for meshing of a solid three dimensional structure. It is a eight nodded brick element having nodes on each of the edges. TARGE170 is the element used for creating the target surface on which the strain energy is to be obtained. TARGE170 can be used when the contact elements CONTA172, CANTA175 are used. CONTA174 is the element which is used to create the contact surface on the crack surface. It is a 3D 8 nodded element. One crack surface is the contact surface and other is target surface

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The graph of load against displacement is obtained in the Universal Testing Machine digital recorder. Peak load or the maximum load by which the propagation of crack through the sample is found in the load versus deflection curve. This peak load or the critical load is used to find the Compliance, inter-laminar fracture toughness and the stress intensity factor using equations (5.3), (5.5) and (5.6) respectively. The results obtained are compared with each other to find the fiber volume fraction at which possesses high inter-laminar fracture toughness. As the glass fiber reinforced polymer is a brittle material the load V/S curve drop vertically after the peak load.
The maximum load for 40% volume fraction from the recorded is 2315.886N at the deflection of 7.56 mm from figure 7. And the energy release rate was found to be $G_{IC} = 1.846$ J/mm$^2$. 
TABLE 3 Experimental results for each of the fiber volume fraction

| Fiber volume | VCCT GIIC J/mm² | Experimental GIIC J/mm² |
|--------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 40%          | 0.62705        | 1.846                  |
| 50%          | 0.48649        | 1.476                  |
| 60%          | 0.75222        | 2.347                  |

The maximum load for 50% volume fraction from the recorded is 2281.924 N at the deflection of 7.46 mm from figure 8. And the energy release rate was found to be GIIC = 1.476 J/mm². The maximum load for 60% volume fraction from the recorded 3124.563 N at the deflection of 9.22mm from figure 9. And the energy release rate was found to be GIIC = 2.347 J/mm².

VCCT technique is used for the numerical analysis in ANSYS software. The strain-energy-release-rate can be obtained at each crack tip node directly in VCCT. The best numerical method that can be used for analysis of fracture is VCCT as for the literature survey.

Figures 11 and 12 show the crack simulation and the comparison of energy release rate with the fiber volume.
VII. CONCLUSION

Mode II crack propagation is analyzed and variation of Energy-Release-rate with change in volume of fiber is studied for a Glass fiber reinforced polymer composite using the End Notched Specimen through experimental method and also numerical techniques is carried out for the same fiber volume fractions.

This study was carried out for a unidirectional 0° orientation of E-glass fiber reinforced with the Epoxy, orthotropic properties of the composite was considered in numerical method. The specimen is prepared using the hand lay-up method for the experimental method for fiber volumes 40%, 50% and 60%. The results obtained clearly display that the specimen having 60% fiber volume has high inter-laminar fracture toughness in both in experimental and numerical methods in mode II or the sliding mode. It is observed that the inter-laminar fracture toughness in the lower composition of the fiber in GFRP does not change significantly. Therefore, for resistance of crack propagation the higher composition of glass fiber volume in the GFRP composite laminate is desired.

It is found that composite having fiber volume fraction 0.6 is desired to resist the growth of crack. The outcomes of the present investigation till now are fairly acceptable. The analytical outcomes which are compared with the experimental outcomes and much difference in the compared outcomes were not found and at greater percentage of volume of fiber in reinforced glass fiber polymer composite, it is noticeably observed from both experimental and numerical techniques that the resistance to propagate crack in Mode II is greater.
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| Fiber volume fraction, Vf | Compliance, C (mm/N) | Inter-laminar fracture toughness, GIIc (J/mm²) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 0.4                      | 4.84x10⁻³            | 1.846                                         |
| 0.5                      | 3.986x10⁻³           | 1.476                                         |
| 0.6                      | 3.38x10⁻³            | 2.347                                         |