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I. INTRODUCTION

Crystals of composition $X_2Y_2O_7$ tend to adopt different structures in which the $Y$ atom may be octahedrally or tetrahedrally coordinated. These systems have been found to exhibit interesting magnetic properties ranging from a geometry induced spin frustration phenomena when $X$ and $Y$ are magnetic metal ions (see, e.g., Ref.), to a peculiar interplay between structure and magnetism in those compounds where $Y$ is not magnetic (see, e.g., Refs.). Co, Ni, and Cu diphosphates and divanadates are interesting examples of those latter compounds. In their crystal structure two $YO_4$ tetrahedra sharing an oxygen atom usually undergo a transition from a staggered ($180^\circ$) to a bent $Y$ - $O$ - $Y$ configuration (from thortveitite to dichromate type structure) with the decrease of temperature. A recent comparative band-structure study of copper (II) based pyrocompounds Cu$_2$Y$_2$O$_7$ ($Y$=P, As, V) shows that despite having the same basic structural motif these materials represent completely different magnetic models. Here the change of the non-magnetic central atom $Y$ in the tetrahedral anion groups $YO_4$, e.g. from $Y$ = P to V, turns out to be crucial in determining the effective interchain exchange pathways depending on the electronic state (p- or d-type) of the central $Y$ cation. Moreover, significant variations in the intrachain exchange coupling evidence the subtle effect of the Cu-O-Cu bond angle albeit the basic structural dimerization of Cu$_2$O$_6$ plaquettes is present in all these isostructural compounds. Thus, according to theoretical predictions and experimental data available so far, one can expect that further change of the $Y$ cation, might yield a completely new magnetic behavior in this series of materials. The validation of this conjecture would be of a specific importance for the development and verification of new magnetic models of low-dimensional Heisenberg quantum magnets which since decades stand in the focus of intense experimental and theoretical research in condensed matter physics.

Unlike pyrovanadates and even pyrophosphates, the experimental magnetic study of transition metal pyroarsenates, $X_2As_2O_7$ ($X$= Cu, Ni, Co, Mn) is scarce. Only for $X_2As_2O_7$ with $X$ = Ni, Co, Mn, early bulk magnetic susceptibility measurements and low-temperature neutron powder diffraction were reported by Buckley et al. whereas there seem to be no experimental results on the copper (II)-based Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ pyroarsenate. Therefore, in view of the expected sensitivity of the magnetic behavior to the type of the $Y$ cation we have carried out a detailed study of magnetic properties of single crystals of $\alpha$-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ with different experimental techniques such as magnetization, heat capacity, electron spin resonance (ESR) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements, combined with density functional theory (DFT) calculations and quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations. Indeed, we find that in contrast to other related isostructural compounds $\alpha$-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ represents an experimental realization of coupled spin-1/2 alternating antiferromagnetic (AFM) Heisenberg chains where.
the AsO₄ anion groups play an important role for the interchain magnetic exchange. The quasi-one dimensional magnetic behavior with short-range AFM correlations is dominating over a wide temperature range. At low temperatures, however, secondary magnetic exchange interactions become relevant, too, and three-dimensional long-range magnetic order evolves below $T_N \approx 10$ K. In the magnetically ordered state, the application of moderate magnetic fields $B \approx 1.7$ T parallel to the crystallographic $b$-axis yields a field induced reorientation of the spin lattice. Further increase of the magnetic field progressively suppresses spin fluctuations which are evident in the thermodynamic and magnetic resonance response at small fields.

II. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

Synthesis and characterization of $\alpha$-Cu₂As₂O₇ single crystals have been reported in Ref. The $\alpha$-Cu₂As₂O₇ modification crystallizes isotypically with $\alpha$-Cu₂P₂O₇ and $\beta$-Cu₂V₂O₇ structures, belonging to the monoclinic space group $C2/c$ with $a = 7.237(3)$, $b = 8.2557(17)$, $c = 9.780(3)$ Å, $\beta = 111.03(2)^\circ$. The major structural feature of $\alpha$-Cu₂As₂O₇ is the occurrence of non-planar Cu₂O₆ plaquettes (Cu-O-Cu bond of 101.68(8)$^\circ$) and Cu-O bond lengths of 1.92-2.00 Å forming structural dimers along the crystallographic $b$ direction (Fig. 1a). The AsO₄ tetrahedra link these Cu-O-Cu dimers in a chain along the $b$-axis and also interconnect the neighboring chains in the chain plane (Fig. 1b). The chain planes are linked by interjacent pyroarsenate anions As₂O₇ in a bent As-O-As configuration of 145.9(2)$^\circ$. The 4-fold oxygen in-plane coordination of Cu is completed by the fifth oxygen atom from the neighboring plane with a much larger bond length of 2.27 Å which therefore should only weakly contribute to magnetism.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetization

Magnetization measurements on a single crystal of $\alpha$-Cu₂As₂O₇ were carried out in a VSM SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design) applying the magnetic fields parallel ($B||b$-axis) and perpendicular ($B||c$-axis) to the propagation direction of the Cu₂O₆ plaquettes, respectively. Fig. 2 shows the static magnetic susceptibility $\chi = M/B$ in the temperature range 2 - 350 K at $B = 1$ T. For both field directions, the susceptibility data exhibit a characteristic broad maximum at about $T \sim 105$ K which is typically associated with 1D short-range magnetic correlations due to predominant intra-chain magnetic interactions. This value suggests thus a rough estimate of the expected energy scale of the leading exchange constants. At a much smaller temperature $T_N \approx 10$ K, there is an upturn in $\chi(T)||c$ and a concomitant kink in $\chi(T)||b$ evidencing the onset of long-range AFM spin order (see also figures 3 and 10). Such a feature and the rapid decrease of $\chi(T)||b$ down to $T = 2$ K imply the crystallographic $b$-axis being the magnetically easy axis.

Further $\chi(T)||b$ data at various constant applied magnetic fields are shown in Fig. 3. At low field $B < 2$ T, the magnetic specific heat which is proportional to $\partial(\chi(T))/\partial T$ exhibits a pronounced $\lambda$-like anomaly (Fisher’s heat capacity$^{10}$ corroborating the scenario of long range magnetic ordering at $T_N = 10$ K (Fig. 3 inset). Interestingly, the kink in $\chi(T)$ at $T_N$ significantly changes its shape upon application of magnetic field $B > 2$ T. The decrease of $\chi(T)$ below $T_N$ turns into a steep upturn.
at higher fields (Fig. 3). This transformation should be indicative of an additional magnetic phase transition at a critical field in between these values and the development of a field-induced magnetic phase. Such a behavior is indeed clearly visible in the magnetic field dependence of the magnetization $M$ vs. $B||b$ (Fig. 4).

Field dependent measurements of magnetization for $B||b$-axis in Fig. 4 give evidence of a first-order spin-flop transition (SF) below $T_N$ at $B_{SF} \simeq 1.7$ T ($2\,K$), in agreement with the $\chi(T)$ data. The spin-flop arises due to the gain in magnetic anisotropy energy of the system above $B_{SF}$ by considering the AF collinear arrangement of Cu$^{2+}$ spins parallel to the $b$-axis at lower fields. The magnetization $M(B)$ data for $B||c$-axis in the field range up to $7\,T$ (not shown) are consistent with a magnetically hard $c$-axis, where no anomaly is observed below $12\,K$.

B. Heat capacity

Fig. 5 shows the molar specific heat at $B = 0$ and in different external magnetic fields applied parallel to the $b$-axis. At $B = 0$, the as-measured data do not exhibit a pronounced anomaly at $T_N$ despite the marked peak in the $\partial^2 \chi(T)/\partial T^2$ (Fig. 3) clearly indicates anomalous magnetic entropy changes associated with the onset of long range AFM order. We conclude that, in zero magnetic field, the magnetic entropy changes at $T_N$ are small compared to the phononic ones. In order to highlight this, we have subtracted an arbitrary polynomial background function $f(T) = a T + b T^3$ from the experimental data (cf., e.g., 11). The resulting data $\Delta c_p$ are shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 5. At a temperature very close to $T_N$ derived from the susceptibility measurements the data indicate a kink in $\Delta c_p$, at $B = 0$, which is associated with a specific heat anomaly $\Delta c_p < 20\,\text{mJmol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$.
This kink is hardly affected by small magnetic fields. To be specific, at $B = 5\, \text{T}$ the kink is found at $T_{\text{c,max}}(5\, \text{T}) = 10.2\, \text{K}$. However, at magnetic fields $B \gtrsim 5\, \text{T}$ the peak increases in amplitude and shifts to higher temperatures. The growth of the peak suggests the increase of entropy changes associated with the phase transition, i.e. the evolution of a jump-like or a $\lambda$-like specific heat anomaly. At $B = 9\, \text{T}$, the peak height of the specific heat anomaly amounts to $\approx 150 \, \text{mJ mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ and the peak maximum occurs at $T_N(9\, \text{T}) = 11.9\, \text{K}$. The experimental data hence prove a field induced increase of the magnetic entropy changes associated with the onset of long-range magnetic order. The vanishing size of the specific heat anomaly in small magnetic fields, i.e. the absence of significant entropy changes associated with the onset of AFM order, either implies only weak spin order below $T_N$ or the presence of strong spin correlations well above the long-range ordering temperature. The fact that application of moderate external magnetic fields instead of suppression of AFM order yields larger entropy changes associated with the phase transition corroborates the latter scenario. Hence, the specific heat data suggest strong AFM spin fluctuations at low fields. We note that from the present data it is difficult to conclude if additional small shoulder-like features of the $\Delta c_p(T,B = 9\, \text{T})$ curve at $\approx 10\, \text{K}$ and $\approx 13.3\, \text{K}$ are of intrinsic nature or arise due to the imperfect subtraction of the nonmagnetic contributions from the total specific heat.

A tentative phase diagram which has been constructed from the magnetization and specific heat data is presented in Fig. 6. In particular, it shows that the spin-flop field which signals the transition from the AFM collinear phase (CP) to the spin-flop phase (SP) is nearly independent on temperature. For a discontinuous phase transition, the slope of the phase boundary is associated with the ratio of the magnetization changes $\Delta M$ and the entropy changes $\Delta S$ at the phase transition according to $dT/dB = -\Delta M/\Delta S$ (Clausius-Clapeyron relation). Hence, while $\Delta M > 0$ our observation of $dB/dT \approx 0$ implies the absence of significant entropy changes at the spin-flop transition, as expected for a spin-reorientation in the AFM ordered phase. Quantitatively, at $T = 1.7\, \text{K}$, we extract $dT/dB \approx 16.2\, \text{K/T}$ and $\Delta M = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}\, \mu_B/\text{f.u.}$ which yields $\Delta S_{\text{SP}} = 0.83\, \text{mJ/} (\text{Mol-K})$. Consequently, the degree of spin order at high fields/low temperature in the spin-flop phase is very similar to that at zero field/low temperature. Applying the above relation at $T = 5\, \text{K}$ and $T = 7\, \text{K}$ yields decreasing entropy changes at the spin flop transition $\Delta S_{\text{SP}}(5\, \text{K}) = 0.64\, \text{mJ/} (\text{Mol-K})$ and $\Delta S_{\text{SP}}(7\, \text{K}) = 0.45\, \text{mJ/} (\text{Mol-K})$ as already qualitatively visible from the rather constant slope of the phase boundary while $\Delta M$ decreases with temperature.

In contrast, the phase boundary between the paramagnetic and the AFM CP/SP phases implies $dT/dB \approx 0$ for $B \leq 5\, \text{T}$ and $dT/dB > 0$ for higher fields. Our data do not allow to judge the exact nature of the specific heat anomaly and to quantitatively estimate the entropy changes or the specific heat jump associated to the phase transition. Firstly, $dT/dB \approx 0$ thermodynamically corresponds to only tiny entropy changes at $T_N(B \lesssim 5\, \text{T})$ if the Ehrenfest equation (related to a continuous phase transition) and the moderate kink in $dM/dT$ at the transition are considered. This indeed agrees to our specific data. However, the pronounced positive slope at the PP-SF transition appears in qualitative contrast to what is expected for an ordinary AFM phase transition.

C. Electron Spin Resonance (ESR)

ESR at a frequency of $\nu \sim 10\, \text{GHz}$ has been measured with a commercial X-band spectrometer (Bruker EMX). High-field high-frequency ESR (HF-ESR) measurements have been carried out with the home-made spectrometer on the basis of the Millimeterwave Network Analyzer from AB Millimétré and a 15 T superconducting magnet from Oxford Instruments. A well defined ESR signal of a Lorentzian shape associated with the resonance of Cu$^{2+}$ ($3d^9, S = 1/2$) ions was observed in a broad range of excitation frequencies and temperatures.

The dependence of the position of the ESR line $B_{\text{res}}$ on the direction of magnetic field within the crystallographic $bc$ plane has been studied at $\sim 10\, \text{GHz}$ at room temperature (not shown). The $g$-factor calculated from this dependence as $g = h\nu/\mu_B B_{\text{res}}$ reveals an anisotropy typical for Cu$^{2+}$ ions in a square planar coordination. $g_{||} \simeq 2.07$ ($B$$\parallel$$b$ – along the chains) and $g_{\perp} \simeq 2.18$ ($B$$\parallel$$c$). Here $h$ is the Planck constant and $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton.

Temperature dependent HF-ESR measurements were carried out at $\nu = 340\, \text{GHz}$. For magnetic fields applied along the magnetic easy axis ($B$$\parallel$$b$), the $g$-factor is almost
temperature independent above \( \sim 60 \text{K} \) (Fig. 7) close to a value of \( g_{\|} \simeq 2.09 \) which corresponds to \( B_{\text{res}} \simeq 11.6 \text{T} \). A slight discrepancy to the 10 GHz data (\( g_{\|} \simeq 2.07 \)) is likely due to a slight misorientation of the sample in the HF-ESR probe head. At lower temperature the \( g \)-factor drops with decreasing temperature indicating the onset of short-range magnetic ordering when approaching \( T_N \). The shift of the resonance field in this region concomitant with the broadening of the line is apparently due to the growth of local quasi-static internal fields and the increase of the spin correlation length as precursors of the long range magnetic order.

Frequency dependent measurements at \( T = 20 \text{K} > T_N \) show a linear scaling between \( \nu \) and \( B \) (Fig. 8) yielding a \( g \)-factor \( g \simeq 2.07 \) from the slope \( \partial \nu / \partial B \). Extrapolation of the data to zero field reveals no energy gap for the resonant excitation as expected for an \( S = 1/2 \) system in the paramagnetic state. An opening of a gap at \( B = 0 \) is however expected in the magnetically ordered state due to the magnetic anisotropy. For a simple two-sublattice collinear antiferromagnet the anisotropy gap \( \Delta(B = 0) \) is related to the spin-flop field \( B_{\text{sf}} \) as \( \Delta = B_{\text{sf}} g \mu_B / \hbar \).

With \( B_{\text{sf}} \simeq 1.7 \text{T} \) one obtains \( \Delta = 50 \text{GHz} \). By applying the field along the easy \( b \)-axis two resonance modes should develop as sketched in Fig. 8 which collapse at \( B_{\text{sf}} \). At \( B > B_{\text{sf}} \) one resonance branch is expected which approaches the paramagnetic \( \nu(B) \) line in strong fields, as shown in Fig. 8. Indeed at high fields a well defined resonance mode has been detected at \( T = 4 \text{K} < T_N \) which follows closely the predicted field dependence (Fig. 8). Surprisingly it fades by approaching the field of \( \sim 5 \text{T} \) and no resonance signals could be found at smaller fields. Such peculiar absence of resonance modes in the low field regime is in a remarkable correspondence with the vanishing of the specific heat anomaly below \( \sim 5 \text{T} \) which again points at the pronounced spin fluctuations in the magnetically ordered state which can be suppressed by magnetic field.

D. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

NMR on \(^{75}\text{As} \) nuclei was measured with a Tecmag pulse solid-state NMR spectrometer with a 9.2 T superconducting magnet from Magnex Scientific. The NMR spectra were obtained by measuring the intensity of the Hahn echo versus magnetic field. The \( T_1 \) relaxation time was measured with the method of stimulated echo.

Representative \(^{75}\text{As} \) NMR spectra for the easy axis \( b \)-direction of the magnetic field are shown in Fig. 8. The temperature dependence of the \(^{75}\text{As} \) spin lattice relaxation rate \( T_{1}^{-1} \) divided over \( T \) is shown in Fig. 8. On this figure it is compared with the \( T \)-dependence of the static susceptibility \( \chi(T) \). The fact that both \( (T_1T)^{-1} \) and \( \chi(T) \) follow closely the same temperature behavior suggests an appreciable coupling of the \(^{75}\text{As} \) nuclei to the Cu spin system. In this case the nuclear spin lattice relaxation is usually governed by magnetic fluctuations in the electronic spin system and \((T_1T)^{-1} \) is proportional to the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility \( \chi'' \):

\[
(T_1T)^{-1} \propto \sum_{\vec{q}} A_{\perp}^2(\vec{q}) \omega \chi''(\vec{q}, \omega) / \omega
\]  

(1)

Here \( A \) is the hyperfine constant, \( q \) is the wave vector and \( \omega \) is the NMR frequency. Note a sharp peak of \( (T_1T)^{-1} \) at the ordering temperature \( T_N \) manifesting a critical behavior of Cu spin fluctuations (Fig. 8). At \( T > T_N \) the \(^{75}\text{As} \) NMR spectrum consists of three quadrupole-
temperature NMR spectra can be successfully modeled on the basis of Hamiltonian (2) with the same values of \( \nu_Q \) and \( \eta \) assuming two magnetically nonequivalent As sites (Fig. 9). The modelling yields the magnitude of the internal field at the \(^75\text{As} \) amounting to \( B_{\text{int}} = 0.3 \) T which is directed approximately perpendicular to the \( b \)-axis. The substantially large value of \( B_{\text{int}} \) suggests a transferred nature of the internal field due to the overlap of electronic orbitals.

The finding that \( B_{\text{int}} \parallel b \) enables a conclusion that though the NMR has been measured at fields \( \sim 7 \) T substantially stronger that the spin-flop field \( B_{\text{SF}} \approx 1.7 \) T the Cu spins in the chains are still oriented predominantly perpendicular to the easy axis. This suggests the AFM exchange interaction between the Cu spins to be much stronger compared to the Zeeman energy which renders the spin canting in a field of \( \sim 7 \) T very small. This complies with the small magnetization values shown in Fig. 4.

### E. Density functional band structure calculations

DFT calculations have been performed using the full-potential code FPLO-8.50-32.\textsuperscript{15} For the exchange and correlation potential, the scalar-relativistic parameterization of Perdew and Wang has been used.\textsuperscript{15} The \( k \)-mesh of 1152 points (320 in the irreducible wedge) has been adopted for the nonmagnetic calculations. The transfer integrals \( t_i \) have been evaluated based on a one-orbital tight-binding model, parameterized using Wannier functions. Strong electronic correlations are accounted for on a model level by resorting to a Hubbard model. At half-filling, the low-energy (magnetic) sector of this model is effectively described by a Heisenberg model.\textsuperscript{20} Antiferromagnetic exchange integrals \( J_i^{\text{AFM}} \) have been determined based on transfer integrals \( t_i \), adopting the second-order perturbation theory expression \( J_i^{\text{AFM}} = 4t_i^2/U_{\text{eff}} \). Alternatively, the local (spin) density approximation [L(S)DA]\textsuperscript{+}\( U \) has been used to evaluate the values of total exchange, comprising AFM as well as ferromagnetic (FM) contributions: \( J_i = J_i^{\text{AFM}} + J_i^{\text{FM}} \). The \( k \)-meshes used for LDA+\( U \) supercell calculations number 12, 18 or 32 points, depending on the supercell size. The results were accurately checked for convergence.

Typical for cuprates, the valence band of \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \) is dominated by Cu and O states (Fig. 11). The nonzero density at the Fermi level evidences a metallic ground state, in contrast to the olive color of \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \) crystals. This discrepancy, typical for the LDA, originates from insufficient treatment of strong electronic correlations, intrinsic for the 3\( d^9 \) electronic configuration of Cu\(^{2+} \). Despite the inappropriate treatment of electron interaction terms, LDA correctly accounts for kinetic (exchange) processes, and thus can be used to derive the relevant orbitals and couplings. This way, we find that the magnetic properties of \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \) are governed by a Cu 3\( d \) orbital of the \( x^2 - y^2 \) symmetry.\textsuperscript{21} For these states, the Wannier functions are constructed.\textsuperscript{22}
The analysis based on Wannier functions yields four relevant (> 30 meV) transfer integrals. The leading terms are intra- and interdimer couplings \( t_1 \) and \( t_1' \), forming alternating chains running along \( b \). In addition, the chains are coupled together by two inequivalent transfer integrals \( t_{ic1} \) and \( t_{ic3} \) shaping a 2D spin lattice (Fig. 12). Numerical values of the relevant \( t_i \) terms are given in Table I (third column).

Mapping onto a Hubbard model and subsequently onto a Heisenberg model yields the values of \( J_i^{AFM} \) (Table I). Considering \( T_{max} = 105 \) K from our \( \chi(T) \) measurements, the large \( J_1^{AFM} = 298 \) K resulting from a typical value \( U_{eff} = 4.5 \) eV hints at a substantial FM contribution to this exchange. To evaluate the values of the total exchange \( J_1 \), we perform LSDA+U calculations. This calculational scheme adds correlations on top of the L(S)DA in a mean-field way. The implementation of the method relies on several parameters, namely, the on-site intra-orbital term \( U_d \), the on-site inter-orbital term \( J_d \), and the particular way of accounting for the part of correlation energy already present in LDA. The latter term is typically referred as the double-counting correction (DCC), and two most widely used schemes are the around-mean-field (AMF), which uses a uniform orbital occupation as a reference, and the fully localized limit (FLL), referring to integer (0 or 1) occupation numbers. For \( U_d \), we used the range 6.5±1 eV within AMF and 8.5±1 eV within FLL, keeping \( J_d = 1 \) eV constant.

Representative values of the resulting \( J_i \) are given in Table I. In agreement with the Goodenough–Kanamori rules, the Cu–O–Cu angle of 101.7° leads to sizable FM contribution, and consequently, to a substantial reduction of \( J_1 \). However, in contrast to the isostructural compounds \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 \) (Ref. 5) and \( \beta\text{-Cu}_2\text{V}_2\text{O}_7 \) (Ref. 4), this FM contribution does not suffice to reduce \( J_1 \) strongly, thus it remains the leading coupling in \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \). FM contributions to other relevant couplings are substantially smaller due to their long-range nature.

The interchain coupling topology in \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \) is similar to \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 \), but contrasts to \( \beta\text{-Cu}_2\text{V}_2\text{O}_7 \). As discussed in Ref. 5, this essential difference originates from the nature of the atom residing in the center of anionic tetrahedra, in particular, whether it is a \( p \)-element (P, As) or a \( d \) element (V). The former case favors the Cu–O–O–Cu connections via single tetrahedra, i.e., \( J_{ic1} \) and \( J_{ic3} \).

To summarize the results of the band structure calculations, the microscopic magnetic model of \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \) features alternating spin chains, with both nearest-neighbor couplings AFM, and the dominant coupling \( J_1 \) within the structural \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O}_6 \) dimers. The chains are coupled by two inequivalent interchain couplings, forming a 2D nonfrustrated model.

**TABLE I.** Leading couplings in \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \): Cu–Cu distance \( d \) (in Å), transfer integrals \( t_i \) (in meV) and AFM exchange integrals \( J_i^{AFM} \) (in K), calculated adopting \( U_{eff} = 4.5 \) eV. Total exchange integrals \( J_i \) (in K) are evaluated from LSDA+U calculations adopting AMF (\( U_d = 6.5 \) eV) and FLL (\( U_d = 9.0 \) eV) DCC. The paths are depicted in Fig. 12.

| path | \( d \) | \( t_i \) | \( J_i^{AFM} \) | \( J_i \) | AFM | \( J_i \) | FLL |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| \( J_1 \) | 3.086 | 170 | 298 | 167 | 188 |
| \( J_1' \) | 5.239 | 104 | 112 | 125 | 102 |
| \( J_{ic1} \) | 6.437 | 76 | 60 | 44 | 42 |
| \( J_{ic3} \) | 6.465 | 77 | 61 | 46 | 42 |

**F. QMC model studies**

DFT calculations typically provide numerically accurate spin models, with surprisingly small errors for the individual exchange integrals \( J_i \). Aiming to evaluate a quantitative microscopic magnetic model with even higher precision, we perform QMC simulations of the observed quantities and subsequently compare them to the experiments. We first attempt to describe the magnetic susceptibility of \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \) for \( B \parallel b \) using the parameterized solution for the alternating Heisenberg chain, valid in the temperature range \( 0.1 J_1 k_B^{-1} \leq T \leq 4 J_1 k_B^{-1} \).
The fit exhibits good agreement with the experimental curve down to $\sim 40$ K, yielding $J_1 = 174$ K and $J'_1 = 112$ K that conform to our LSDA+U results. However, the fitted value of $g$-factor amounts to only 1.94, strongly underestimating the intrinsic value of 2.07 derived from ESR.

Next, we take the interchain couplings into account. This way, we calculated the reduced magnetic susceptibility $\chi^*$ using QMC simulations of the spin Hamiltonian, by considering finite lattices of $N = 2948$ sites (32 coupled chains of 64 spins each) with periodic boundary conditions. We used the stochastic series expansion algorithm implemented in the code LOOPT\textsuperscript{23} from the software package ALPS.\textsuperscript{24} Low statistical errors (below 0.1 %) are ensured by using 50 000 sweeps for thermalization and 500 000 steps after thermalization. The resulting simulated $\chi^*(T^*)$ dependencies were fitted to the experimental $\chi(T)$ curve using the expression:

$$\chi(T) = \frac{N_A g^2 \mu_B^2}{k_B J_1} \cdot \chi^* \left( \frac{T}{k_B J_1} \right) + \chi_0,$$

where $\chi_0$ is the temperature-independent contribution, and $N_A$ and $\mu_B$ are the Avogadro constant and the Bohr magneton, respectively.

As a starting point, we adopt the ratios $J_1 : J'_1 : J_{ic1} = 1 : 0.75 : 0.25$ from the LSDA+U AMF calculations (Table I, last-but-one column). Although the resulting fit reasonably agrees with the experimental curve, small deviations evidence notable differences between our microscopic model and the experimental data. To adjust the model parameters, we varied $J'_1/J_1$ and $J_{ic1}/J_1$ ratios in a broad range, while the two relevant interchain couplings $J_{ic1}$ and $J_{ic3}$ were kept equal. This way, the best fit is attained for $J_1 : J'_1 : J_{ic1} = 1 : 0.55 : 0.20$, in almost perfect agreement with the ratios $1 : 0.54 : 0.22$ from the FLL DCC of LSDA+U (Table I, last column), with the absolute value of $J_1 = 164$ K only 13 % smaller than its LSDA+U FLL estimate. Even more remarkable, the QMC fit yields $g = 2.06$, in excellent agreement with the experimental ESR estimate of 2.07 for $B||b$. Therefore, the QMC simulations reveal crucial importance of interchain couplings for a proper description of the thermodynamic properties of $\alpha$-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$.

To investigate the role of interchain couplings for the magnetically ordered ground state, we calculate diagonal spin correlations $\langle S_{z}^2 S_{z}^2 \rangle$ for $0 \leq R \leq 6$ along different paths on the spin lattice (Fig. 14, right panel). First, we address the correlations between the alternating $J_1$–$J'_1$ chains (Fig. 14, dashed and thin solid lines). As expected, $J_{ic1}$ and $J_{ic3}$ give rise to substantial interchain correlations, and thus favor a quasi-2D magnetic model. However, the correlations along the $J_1$–$J'_1$ alternating chains (Fig. 14, thick line) nearly coincide with the spin correlations of an alternating Heisenberg chain featuring the same ratio (0.55) of the nearest-neighbor couplings (Fig. 14, dotted line). Therefore, the interchain couplings, despite their crucial role for long-range magnetic ordering, have only a minor impact on the key element of the magnetic model — $J_1$–$J'_1$ alternating spin chains. As a result, even the purely 1D $J_1$–$J'_1$ model reasonably fits the experimental magnetic susceptibility, although the underestimated $g$ value and the magnetic transition at $T_N$ evidence notable deviations from such a simplified model.
G. Structure-property relationships

Since continuous symmetries can not be spontaneously broken at finite temperature neither in one nor in two dimensions, the observed long-range AFM order below $T_N = 10$ K can be accounted for only by extending the model with an effective coupling between the magnetic layers. Considering the small size of this coupling, its accurate estimate based on DFT results is at best challenging. In addition, the propagation vector of the magnetically-ordered structure is not known, impeding a conclusive analysis of the interchain coupling regime on a microscopic level. Therefore, the magnetic ordering temperature as well as the propagation vector are beyond the scope of the present study.

Magnetic layers formed by coupled structural dimers are a common element in spin systems based on Cu$^{2+}$ [α-Cu$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ (Ref. 29) and β-Cu$_2$V$_2$O$_7$ (Ref. 31)] as well as V$^{4+}$ [VO$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ (Ref. 28), CsV$_2$O$_5$ (Ref. 32), and VOSeO$_3$ (Ref. 33)]. A key feature of their microscopic magnetic models is the minor role of the coupling $J_1$ within structural dimers, compared to the stronger coupling running via the double bridge of nonmagnetic ions between the structural dimers ($J'_1$ in our present notation). The reduction in $J_1$ is generally understood as the suppression of Cu–O–Cu or V–O–V superexchange due to a bond angle slightly exceeding 90°. In contrast, α-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ stands out against this trend, since the dominating coupling $J_1$ is restored unexpectedly. The enhanced value of $J_1 \simeq 164$ K is only partially related to the change in the Cu–O–Cu bond angle that amounts to 101.7°, compared to 100.4° in α-Cu$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ ($J_1 \simeq 30$ K) and 98.7° in β-Cu$_2$V$_2$O$_7$ ($J_1 \leq 12$ K). An efficient mechanism affecting the coupling could be the polarization of particular oxygen 2p orbitals by As states, as proposed for Ge$^{4+}$ in Cu$_4$GeO$_3$. A microscopic investigation of other Cu$^{2+}$ arsenates will help to clarify this issue.

The coupling regime of α-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ underscores the wide diversity of spin lattices arising from the quasi-1D arrangement of structural dimers. Apart from the aforementioned Cu$^{2+}$ and V$^{4+}$ compounds, a similar structural feature is found in Cu$_2$(PO$_3$)$_2$CH$_2$ (Ref. 27) and VOHPO$_4$·0.5H$_2$O (Ref. 28). Altogether, these compounds exhibit remarkably different ground states, dimensionality, and even frustration regimes: compare, for example, the spin gap in the quasi-1D (VO)$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ to the long-range magnetic order in the quasi-2D β-Cu$_2$V$_2$O$_7$, and further to the quasi-2D frustrated Cu$_2$(PO$_3$)$_2$CH$_2$ that again features a singlet ground state. α-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ takes its own distinct position in this series as a quasi-1D nonfrustrated system exhibiting a magnetic ordering transition at the notably low $T_N/J_1 \simeq 0.06$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The magnetic properties of α-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ single crystals have been investigated using several experimental methods (static susceptibility, magnetization, heat capacity, ESR and NMR measurements), as well as density-functional band-structure calculations and QMC simulations. The results show that α-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ is a spin-1/2 low-dimensional system with the crystallographic b-axis as the magnetically easy axis. The intrachain interactions are reflected in 1D short range AFM correlations below ~100 K and short range magnetic ordering below ~60 K. A significant interchain coupling contribution drives the system into an AFM long range order at $T_N \simeq 10$ K. We find that a second phase transition of spin-flop type takes place at $T < T_N$ for $B_{SF} \simeq 1.7$ T (2 K), most likely related to the gain in magnetic anisotropy energy. The collinear AF magnetic ordering below $B_{SF}$ is confirmed by a well defined λ-like anomaly in the magnetic specific heat quantity. On contrary, neither specific heat shows the expected λ-like specific heat anomaly below ~5 T, nor ESR signals are detectable in this low field range suggesting the occurrence of strong spin fluctuations at small fields. The occurrence of the AFM resonance mode and the splitting of the $^{75}$As NMR signals observed in the high field regime suggests the robust AFM spin order in the spin flop phase above ~5 T. The DFT calculations yield a reliable determination of all relevant magnetic couplings. A combined analysis of experimental and theoretical data enables a conclusion that, in contrast to other isostructural compounds, the pyroarsenate α-Cu$_2$As$_2$O$_7$ can be effectively described by a coupled alternating Heisenberg chain model with the leading intrachain coupling placed within the structural dimers Cu$_2$O$_6$. The topology and the strength of exchange couplings determined from DFT calculations render a spin frustration scenario unlikely and rather put forward low-dimensional nature of the spin system. We
conclude that indeed the electronic state of the central ion in the non-magnetic \( \text{AsO}_3 \) side groups plays a crucial role for determining the relevant interchain pathways which makes \( \alpha\text{-Cu}_2\text{As}_2\text{O}_7 \) distinct in its magnetic properties from other representatives of this class of compounds.
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