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Abstract Carboxysomes are metabolic modules for CO₂ fixation that are found in all cyanobacteria and some chemoautotrophic bacteria. They comprise a semi-permeable proteinaceous shell that encapsulates ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) and carbonic anhydrase. Structural studies are revealing the integral role of the shell protein paralogs to carboxysome form and function. The shell proteins are composed of two domain classes: those with the bacterial microcompartment (BMC; Pfam00936) domain, which oligomerize to form (pseudo)hexamers, and those with the CcmL/EutN (Pfam03319) domain which form pentamers in carboxysomes. These two shell protein types are proposed to be the basis for the carboxysome’s icosahedral geometry. The shell proteins are also thought to allow the flux of metabolites across the shell through the presence of the small pore formed by their hexameric/pentameric symmetry axes. In this review, we describe bioinformatic and structural analyses that highlight the important primary, tertiary, and quaternary structural features of these conserved shell subunits. In the future, further understanding of these molecular building blocks may provide the basis for enhancing CO₂ fixation in other organisms or creating novel biological nanostructures.
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Abbreviations
RuBisCO Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase
RuBP Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate
3PGA 3-Phosphoglycerate
CA Carbonic anhydrase
HMM Hidden Markov model
PDB Protein Data Bank
BMC Bacterial microcompartment
RMSD Root mean square deviation
CCM Carbon concentrating mechanism
ORF Open reading frame
pdu Propanediol utilization
eut Ethanolamine utilization

Introduction

Carboxysomes are metabolic modules for CO₂ fixation that are found in all cyanobacteria and some chemoautotrophic bacteria (Badger and Price 2003; Cannon et al. 2001; Yeates et al. 2008). They are self-assembling, apparently icosahedral organelles of ~80–150 nm comprised entirely of protein (Schmid et al. 2006) (Fig. 1). Carboxysomes encapsulate a carbonic anhydrase (CA, Price et al. 1992), which converts bicarbonate to carbon dioxide, and most, if not all, cellular ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase (RuBisCO) (Cannon and Shively 1983; Lichtle et al. 1995), the enzyme that catalyzes the first step in the Calvin–Benson cycle by combining CO₂ and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) to form two molecules of 3-phosphoglycerate (3PGA) (Fig. 2). Given that cyanobacteria carry out a large fraction of the total oxygenic photosynthesis on our planet, the carboxysome plays a significant
role in the Earth’s primary production (Partensky et al. 1999; Whitman et al. 1998).

Structural and functional overview

Two types of carboxysome have been characterized: the α-carboxysome, which encapsulates Form IA RuBisCO, and the β-carboxysome, which encapsulates Form IB RuBisCO (Badger and Bek 2008; Tabita 1999). α-carboxysomes are found in Prochlorococcus and some marine Synechococcus species as well as in some chemoautotrophic bacteria. The β-carboxysomes are found in all other cyanobacteria, with the exception of an unusual marine species, UCYN-A (Tripp et al. 2010). In addition, one or two small, well-conserved proteins containing the Pfam03319 domain (Figs. 3, 4b) form pentamers that are thought to introduce curvature to the shell by forming the vertices (Cai et al. 2009; Tanaka et al. 2008) (Fig. 5). The complement of shell protein genes differs between the two types of carboxysome in terms of number of paralogs, gene order, and primary structure, but each type contains more than one paralog of the BMC domain and at least one copy of the Pfam03319 domain (Fig. 3). Also of note is the presence in all carboxysome-containing organisms of genes encoding one or two proteins with two fused BMC domains, also known as tandem BMC proteins (Figs. 3, 5).

The structures of the BMC domain: a key building block of the carboxysome shell

The first structures determined from the carboxysome shell were the CcmK2 and CcmK4 proteins from the carboxysome of the β-cyanobacteria Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 (Kerfeld et al. 2005). The structures revealed that the BMC domain forms hexamers with a disk-like shape, giving each a concave and a convex side (Figs. 6). Packing of the hexamers in some of the crystal forms immediately suggested a model for the underlying architecture of the carboxysome shell: the shell proteins formed a two-dimensional layer similar to hexagonal tiles (Fig. 5). CcmK2 formed a uniform layer with all hexamer faces oriented in the same direction whereas CcmK4, in one of two crystal forms, formed a layer with strips of hexamers alternating between convex and concave orientations (Kerfeld et al. 2005).

Crystal structures of the CsoS1A (Tsai et al. 2007) and CsoS1C (Tsai et al. 2009) proteins from the α-carboxysome of Halothiobacillus neapolitanus have also been determined. These displayed the same concave/convex sidedness and uniformly oriented layer formation as observed for CcmK2. Despite a high degree of sequence homology between CsoS1A and CsoS1C (97% identity), a comparison of the electrostatics of these structures shows a difference in the charge distribution on the concave faces (Fig. 6). There is a single amino acid substitution between...
CsoS1A and CsoS1C at position 97 (from Glu to Gln) that apparently accounts for this difference in electrostatic potential.

A superposition of all the single-domain carboxysome BMC protein structures show they share a conserved fold [root mean square deviation (RMSD) range of 0.36–0.71 Å over 66–86 C-z atoms] with only slight differences between the Cso-type homologs from the z-carboxysomes and the Ccm-type homologs from the b-carboxysome (Fig. 7). The differences are in the loop connecting the second z-helix to the fourth b-strand and in the length of the third z-helix. In the hexamers, these differences result in slight variations in the convex surfaces and monomer–monomer interactions, respectively. From structure, as well as sequence alignments, one can identify the residues that are structurally conserved and important to the hexamer–hexamer interactions. For example, the absolutely conserved D-X-X-X-K (Fig. 4a, 8) motif located at the hexamer edges forms the interface between two hexamers. A less conserved R-P-H-X-N (Fig. 4a) at the hexamer edges also contributes to the interface between two adjacent hexamers.

The primary structures of CsoS1B, CcmK1, and CcmK4 contain a C-terminal extension of ~10 residues compared to their paralogs. A comparison of the structures of CcmK2 and CcmK4 from Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 reveals that the additional C-terminal residues of CcmK4 form an z helix. In CcmK2 a short, five residue helix occludes the depression in the concave face of the hexamer; in CcmK4 the additional C-terminal residues form an extended helix that folds back on the edge of the hexamer, leaving the concave side unobstructed (Figs. 6, 7). The structure of CcmK1 is missing its C-terminal 17 residues (Tanaka et al. 2009), but based on sequence similarity to the C-terminus of CcmK4 it could likewise be helical. This C-terminal extension may offer clues to the as yet unknown orientation
of the shell proteins with regard to which side faces the cytosol. If facing the interior of the carboxysome, the disposition of this helix may be important for interacting with encapsulated proteins. A second hypothesis is that the orientation of the helix might act as a switch that can change the propensity for incorporation of the shell protein into an assembling shell (Kerfeld et al. 2005).

Pentameric proteins of the carboxysome shell

Representative structures of proteins containing the Pfam03319 domain have been solved from both the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-carboxysomes (Tanaka et al. 2008). CsoS4A is one of two paralogs (CsoS4A and B) in the $\alpha$-carboxysome, and CcmL is the only protein with a Pfam03319 domain found among the $\beta$-carboxysome genes (Fig. 3). CcmL and CsoS4A have been structurally characterized (Tanaka et al. 2008); both form pentamers and have a pronounced concave/convex sidedness similar to the hexamers. In contrast to the hexameric shell proteins, the electrostatic potential of these proteins is predominantly positive (Fig. 6). The structures of CcmL and CsoS4A can be superimposed with an RMSD of 0.74 Å over 58 C-terminus atoms. The largest difference between the primary structures of these two proteins is in the region corresponding to an 8–10 amino acid loop on the concave face of the pentamer that seems to influence the charge of the concave face. A similar difference is seen between the paralogs CsoS4A and CsoS4B. In this region CsoS4B has more positively charged residues than CsoS4A.

The pores

Based on the current models of carboxysome function and structure, pores in the shell protein hexamers provide conduits for the flux of metabolites; bicarbonate ions and RuBP diffuse in and 3PGA to diffuses out, while preventing the leakage of CO$_2$ from the interior (Dou et al. 2008). The shell also prevents oxygen from diffusing in, reducing unwanted photorespiration by RuBisCO (Marcus et al. 1992). As the shell localizes CA and RuBisCO together, the overall rate of CO$_2$ fixation by RuBisCO is enhanced; effectively, the carboxysome provides a focal point for the carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM) (Fig. 2).

A key characteristic of carboxysome shell proteins is a narrow (\sim 4–7 Å diameter; Kerfeld et al. 2005) central pore that is formed at the 5- and 6-fold axis of symmetry by a loop in the hexamers and pentamers, respectively. Residues forming this loop tend to be conserved among paralogs; for example, these residues are K-I-G-S and R-(A/V)-G-S in CcmK2 and CcmK4, respectively (Table 1). Such differences in residues flanking the pore likely influence the flux of metabolites into or out of the carboxysome by influencing the size and charge of the pore. All of the pores of structurally characterized carboxysome shell proteins are positively charged at the narrowest point (Fig. 9); presumably this provides a favorable attractive force for negatively charged metabolites such as bicarbonate. At the same time, a charged pore would not attract molecules lacking a dipole moment, such as CO$_2$ and oxygen (Fig. 9).

The pores of the pentamers are also narrow with diameters of \sim 5 and \sim 3.5 Å for CcmL and CsoS4A, respectively. They are also positively charged, even more so than the hexamers (Fig. 6). At its narrowest point, the pore for CcmL is formed by R-G-S-A-A and CsoS4A’s is formed by G-S-S-A-A (Table 2). Although the pore residues of carboxysome Pfam03319 orthologs are not as well conserved as their hexameric counterparts, sequence comparison reveals some conservation, with a pore motif of X-(G/S)-S-A-A (Fig. 4b).

Tandem BMC proteins

Among the genes encoding components of both the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-carboxysomes are some containing fusions of BMC domains (Fig. 3); CsoS1D in the $\alpha$-carboxysome and CcmO and a CsoS1D ortholog (slr0169 in Synechocystis sp. PCC6803) in the $\beta$-carboxysome. In 2009, the first structure of a tandem BMC protein was determined, CsoS1D of Prochlorococcus marinus MED4 (Klein et al. 2009). This protein was not predicted to contain two BMC domains; the N-terminal domain lacks obvious sequence
similarity to any other BMC domain. However, the α-carbon backbones of the two domains superimpose with an RMSD of 1.27 Å over 95 atoms; guided by a structure-based sequence alignment, the domains are 18% identical. CsoS1D forms trimers resulting in pseudohexamers that are similar in dimensions to hexameric shell proteins (Table 1), with pronounced concave and convex sides (Fig. 9). The edges of the pseudohexamers contain the conserved D-X-X-X-K edge motif and CsoS1D could be readily fitted into existing models of the facets of the α-carboxysome shell (Fig. 5) (Klein et al. 2009).

The structure of CsoS1D also provided the first evidence that pores in the carboxysome shell could be gated, potentially providing a mechanism for regulating metabolite flux across the shell. In the CsoS1D trimers, conformational changes in the absolutely conserved pore loop residues Glu120 and Arg121 (Fig. 9) result in either a relatively large open pore of ~14 Å diameter or an occluded pore (Fig. 10). The large size of the CsoS1D pore, which would allow for free passage of RuBP, likely requires gating to prevent the loss of important metabolites or infiltration of inhibitory species.
Fig. 5 Schematic model of the α-carboxysome assembly containing RuBisCO small (dark green) and large (green) subunits and carbonic anhydrase (red). The shell is composed of hexamers (blue), pseudohexamers (light blue, magenta, and light green), and pentamers (yellow).

Table 1 List of structurally characterized BMC-domain proteins from the carboxysome and their dimensions

| Pfam00936 protein | Carboxysome type | Hexamer diametera (Å) | Hexamer edge lengthb (Å) | Pore residues | Pore diameter (Å) |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| CsoS1A [2G13]    | z                | 72                     | 36                       | FVGG          | 4                |
| CsoS1C [3H8Y]    | z                | 72                     | 36                       | FVGG          | 4                |
| CcmK1 [3BN4]     | β                | 75                     | 37                       | KIGS          | 4.8 (5.5)        |
| CcmK2 [2A1B]     | β                | 75                     | 35                       | KIGS          | 5.5 (7)          |
| CcmK4 [2A10]     | β                | 75                     | 37                       | RAGS          | 4                |
| CsoS1Da [3F56]   | z                | 72                     | 36                       | ERAF          | 12.5 (14)        |

PDB IDs of the listed structures are in brackets. *CsoS1D is a tandem BMC-domain protein; values for the dimensions of the pseudohexamer are reported. a Hexamer diameter was measured from one vertex to its opposite vertex. b Hexamer edge length was measured from one vertex to its shared edge vertex. Dimensions of the hexamers were measured using PyMOL (DeLano 2002), and all pore diameters were measured for this study using HOLE (Smart et al. 1996). Previously published pore diameters are in parenthesis if the difference was >0.5 Å between this analysis and published values.
Interestingly, in two independent crystal structures, the CsoS1D trimers stacked to form a dimer of trimers (Fig. 10). The two trimers were rotated ~60° with respect to each other so that the C-terminal domain of a subunit in the upper trimer interacted with the N-terminal domain of a subunit in the lower trimer. The dimerization was across the concave face of each trimer, resulting in a large cavity of 13,613 Å³. Additional biophysical analyses that support the potential biological relevance for the dimer of trimers include a buried surface area of 6,573 Å² and a shape correlation value of 0.70 (range of 0–1, 1 being a perfect fit and 0 being no interaction) between the two trimers (Klein et al. 2009). The cavity could, like the pore gating, influence the flux of larger metabolites (e.g., RuBP, 3PGA) into and out of the carboxysome in a manner analogous to an airlock. For example, the trimer facing the cytosol would open to accept a metabolite and then close; subsequently, the trimer facing the carboxysome interior would open to allow for release of the metabolite from the cavity (Fig. 10).

An ortholog to CsoS1D, with the locus tag slr0169 in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803, has also been identified in all
**Fig. 8** Conservation of all unique single-domain carboxysome BMC shell proteins mapped onto the structure of CcmK2 (PDB: 2A1B). Key residues are shown in sticks and labeled (Figure prepared using the Consurf (Ashkenazy et al. 2010) server and PyMOL).

**Fig. 9** Electrostatic comparison of pores from structurally characterized BMC shell proteins, viewed from the concave side. Pore residues are shown as green sticks. Red denotes negative charge; blue denotes positive charge.
β-carboxysome-containing cyanobacteria (Klein et al. 2009). It is ~200 amino acids in length and lacks ~50 N-terminal residues that are present in the α-cyanobacterial CsoS1D homologs. slr0169 contains the conserved Glu and Arg residues (Glu69, Arg70) responsible for gating the CsoS1D pore as well as the universally conserved edge Lys residues in the N- and C-terminal domains (Lys108, Lys212) for interacting with other hexamers to incorporate into the shell (Cai et al. in press).

A second ~200 amino acid BMC-domain protein is found only in low-light adapted strains of Prochlorococcus and some marine Synechococcus species. This protein, dubbed CsoS1E, is similar to CsoS1D in that it has a C-terminal BMC domain, but its N-terminus lacks homology to any other known domain. A remarkable feature of CsoS1E is its high-isoelectric point of 10.3, with positively charged residues concentrated in the N-terminal half of the protein. Further structural studies are needed to determine whether this N-terminus will form a BMC domain much like the cryptic N-terminal BMC domain of CsoS1D. Finally, CcmO represents another type of tandem BMC-domain protein that is present in all the β-cyanobacteria. It is ~260 amino acids in length and appears to be a fusion of two CcmK-like proteins. It is known to be essential for carboxysome formation in the β-cyanobacteria (Marco et al. 1994).

**Variability of shell composition**

Both the α- and β-carboxysome shells are composed of multiple paralogs of single BMC-domain proteins. The reason for this redundancy is unknown. One hypothesis is that the carboxysome shell composition might be altered by a change in the environment; this is consistent with the observation that the size of the pore varies among paralogs. Alternatively, hexamers could form from more than one paralog, resulting in hetero-hexamers. By modulating the shell protein composition, selectivity for metabolites may be increased or decreased based on the charge or size differences present at the pores of the shell subunits. This could help to increase the organism’s fitness in a wider variety of growth conditions.

Some evidence for modulation of shell protein expression under different conditions has come from transcriptome analysis of the β-cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC6803, where the expression of the CsoS1D ortholog, slr0169 is greater under high-light and low-carbon stresses.
Conclusions and future prospects

Structural information for the building blocks of the carboxysome shell is rapidly accumulating. With the current knowledge, several convincing models of the protein interactions involved in forming the carboxysome have been built (Cot et al. 2008; Iancu et al. 2007; Long et al. 2007; Tanaka et al. 2008) and attractive hypotheses regarding the metabolic flux and function of the shell have been posited (Dou et al. 2008; Fridlyand et al. 1996). An area that needs more attention is the structural characterization and analysis of the interactions among the encapsulated proteins (CsoS2, CcmN and CcmM and RuBisCO). Also, little is known about the assembly of the carboxysome and the dynamics of the shell. More sophisticated imaging methods and/or gene expression analysis under controlled growth conditions may give a better idea as to the composition of the carboxysome shell.

When the first structural characterization of carboxysome shell proteins was reported, it was pointed out that proteins with homology to carboxysome shell proteins are widespread among bacteria (Kerfeld et al. 2005). Collectively, these are known as BMCs. Our bioinformatic analyses of all sequenced bacterial genomes that have a BMC-domain homolog have yielded a phyletically and functionally diverse set of BMCs, a majority of which have yet to be characterized (Kerfeld et al. 2010). Approximately 20% of bacteria with genomic sequence data have open reading frames (ORFs) coding for BMC-domain proteins. The distribution of BMC shell proteins across the bacterial phyla has been suggested to be the product of horizontal gene transfer. Inferences can be made as to the function of unknown BMC operons using a “guilt-by-association” analysis of the putative operon, where the enzymes near known BMC-domain homologs and a Pfam03319 homolog are analyzed and an encapsulated metabolism proposed. Most of the functionally uncharacterized BMCs belong to heterotrophic organisms. An interesting observation from comparison of the genomes of *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* strains, which can grow autotrophically, is that only strain BisB18 contains a BMC gene cluster, and it is associated with a glycyrradical enzyme but not RuBisCO.

Two types of heterotrophic BMCs are well characterized. Studies of the propanediol utilization (pdu) BMC and the ethanolamine utilization (eut) BMC mostly in *Salmonella typhimurium* LT2 have yielded other important clues involving the structure, function, and assembly of microcompartment shells (Crowley et al. 2008; Parsons et al. 2008; Sagermann et al. 2009). Surprisingly, several of the pdu single BMC-domain proteins and those of the β-carboxysome are very similar and share the same pore residues although they are encapsulating completely different enzymatic reactions. Another curious observation from the eut microcompartment is that the oligomeric state of the Pfam03319 homolog EutN (Tanaka et al. 2008; Wunderlich et al. 2004) is a hexamer and not a pentamer as in the CcmL and CsoS4A structures. Thus, the possibility that carboxysome shell proteins may display quasi-equivalency like viral capsid proteins, where the protein can be either a hexamer or a pentamer, cannot be ruled out. Since BMCs were first observed, their resemblance to viral capsids has been pointed out (Gantt and Conti 1969; Shively et al. 1973). Although microcompartments are larger than viral capsids, they can be modeled as icosaedra. However, an evolutionary link between microcompartments and viral capsids, from either sequence or structural data, has not been established.
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