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Abstract

**Background:** Though 293T cells are widely used for expression of proteins from transfected plasmid vectors, the molecular basis for the high-level expression is yet to be understood. We recently identified the prostate carcinoma cell line PC3 to be as efficient as 293T in protein expression. This study was undertaken to decipher the molecular basis of high-level expression in these two cell lines.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** In a survey of different cell lines for efficient expression of platelet-derived growth factor-B (PDGF-B), β-galactosidase (β-gal) and green fluorescent protein (GFP) from plasmid vectors, PC3 was found to express at 5–50-fold higher levels compared to the bone metastatic prostate carcinoma cell line PC3BM and many other cell lines. Further, the efficiency of transfection and level of expression of the reporters in PC3 were comparable to that in 293T. Comparative analyses revealed that the high level expression of the reporters in the two cell lines was due to increased translational efficiency. While phosphatidic acid (PA)-mediated activation of mTOR, as revealed by drastic reduction in reporter expression by n-butanol, primarily contributed to the high level expression in PC3, multiple pathways involving PA, PI3K/Akt and ERK1/2 appear to contribute to the abundant reporter expression in 293T. Thus the extent of translational up-regulation attained through the concerted activation of mTOR by multiple pathways in 293T could be achieved through its activation primarily by the PA pathway in PC3.

**Conclusions/Significance:** Our studies reveal that the high-level expression of proteins from plasmid vectors is effected by translational up-regulation through mTOR activation via different signaling pathways in the two cell lines and that PC3 is as efficient as 293T for recombinant protein expression. Further, PC3 offers an advantage in that the level of expression of the protein can be regulated by simple addition of n-butanol to the culture medium.

Introduction

Gene expression in mammalian cells can be regulated at a single or multiple levels involving chromatin structure, transcription, post-transcription and translation leading to different genes being expressed at widely varying levels in a cell type-specific manner or in the same cell. Functional expression of a gene can further be regulated by a multitude of post-translational mechanisms.

Currently, a very limited number of mammalian cell lines amenable for efficient transfection and expression of proteins is available. In contrast to lower eukaryotes or prokaryotes, mammalian cells provide biologically active proteins with relevant post-translational modifications. Unlike the tedious process involving transfection, selection, isolation and characterization of cell clones for expression by stable transfection of plasmid vectors, expression by transient transfection provides a rapid means for obtaining high concentrations of recombinant proteins. The human embryonic kidney-derived HEK293 cells [1] exhibit very high transfection efficiency and express the recombinant proteins at high levels [2,3]. These cells were further modified by stable expression of the SV40 large T antigen generating the HEK293T (293T) cell line [4] which allows high level expression of proteins through episomal amplification of plasmids that contain SV40 origin of replication. The COS cells generated by immortalization of the African Green Monkey kidney cell line CV1 with replication-defective SV40 genome producing the large-T antigen have also been widely used for expression of recombinant proteins [5]. However, the versatility of these systems is limited by the use of vectors containing the relevant viral promoter and origin of replication. Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells are also widely used for stable expression of proteins, but are inefficient in protein expression by transient transfection [6]. The finding of the human cytomegalovirus major immediate early promoter as a powerful and versatile enhancer-promoter unit for expression vectors in a broad range of mammalian cells has obviated the need for specific viral promoter-replication origin-based vectors which have limited...
ability to drive expression in many cell lines [7]. Though 293T cells efficiently express genes from CMV promoter-driven vectors, there is a need to identify other cells that exhibit broader expression properties to express proteins that may not be expressed in 293T cells.

In a search for cell lines for high level expression of platelet-derived growth factor B (PDGF-B) from a transfected vector, the human prostate carcinoma cell line PC3 was found to be remarkably superior to many normal and tumour cell lines that were tested and the expression levels were on par with those in 293T. Since little is known on the mechanism(s) underlying the high level expression from transfected vectors in 293T, it is of interest to carry out comparative analysis of the molecular mechanisms/signaling pathways that contribute to the high level expression phenotype in these two cell lines. Analysis of the mRNA and protein levels of the reporters in PC3, PC3BM, HeLa, MA104 and 293T revealed that the high-level expression of the reporters in PC3 is primarily due to enhanced translation. Since the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is the central controller of translation in mammalian cells, to understand the molecular basis for translational up-regulation in PC3, we first examined the status of activation of mTOR, its targets and other key translation regulators, and signaling pathways in PC3, and PC3BM and HeLa. Similar analysis between PC3 and 293T was also carried out to understand if the translational up-regulation in the two cell lines is effected through similar or dissimilar mechanisms. Our results reveal that while the high-level expression of the reporters in PC3 is mediated by activation of mTOR primarily through phosphatidic acid (PA) pathway, multiple mechanisms appear to potentiate the concerted up-regulation of translation in 293T.

Results

High level expression of recombinant proteins from transfected expression vectors in PC3 and 293T cells

PDGF-B gene expression in vivo is normally restricted to vascular endothelial cells [8], placental cytotrophoblasts [9,10] and activated macrophages and monocytes [11,12], but its expression is frequently deregulated in a variety of tumour cell lines [13]. During investigations on the role of the long 3′UTR of PDGF-B mRNA in cell type-specific expression or deregulation of its expression in tumor cells, PC3 was observed to express the PDGF-B protein from the transfected pCMV-PDGF-B vector at 5–50-fold higher level compared to all other cell lines that were tested. It is to be noted that endogenous expression of PDGF-B mRNA or protein in PC3 is undetectable as in normal embryonic fibroblasts M1413 and M426 (unpublished data).

To investigate if the high-level expression of PDGF-B from transfected vector in PC3 is due to enhanced transcriptional, post-transcriptional or translational events, comparative analysis of RNA and protein levels of PDGF-B, β-gal and GFP from transfected vectors in PC3, PC3BM, HeLa, 293T and MA104 cells was carried out. As shown in figure 1A, the level of PDGF-B mRNA derived from pCMV-PDGF-B, as determined by RNase protection assay using 1.0 μg of RNA from PC3 and 293T and 2.5 μg from other cells, was higher in PC3 than in HeLa and PC3BM, but was slightly less than that in 293T. The levels of β-gal and GFP mRNAs in PC3, PC3BM and HeLa were similar but slightly higher in 293T and MA104 cells as estimated by semi-quantitative RT-PCR (Figure 1B). However, the level of PDGF-B protein as examined by radioimmunoprecipitation was very high in PC3 and 293T in comparison to other cell lines (Figure 1D), and their levels were similar in both PC3 and 293T (Figure 1C and 1D). These results were further confirmed by ELISA for β-gal (Figure 1E) and fluorescence microscopy for GFP (Figure 1F). Analysis of GFP fluorescence revealed that transfection efficiency in all the cells was similar. While the intensity of fluorescence is very high in PC3 and 293T, it was very weak in HeLa and PC3BM. The fold difference in GFP and β-gal mRNA levels in PC3 with respect to PC3BM and HeLa is approximately 1, though MA104 and 293T cells showed higher mRNA levels than PC3 (Figure 1B and 1G). However, protein levels of both reporter proteins were 5 to 10 fold higher in PC3 and 293T than in the other cell lines (Figure 1D and 1G). Thus the fold translational efficiency of the reporter mRNAs in PC3 and 293T was around 5 to 10 higher when compared to PC3BM and HeLa (Figure 1C, 1D, 1F and 1G). However, both RNA [2–3 fold] and protein [20–50 fold] levels of PDGF-B were higher in PC3 and 293T when compared to those in HeLa and PC3BM suggesting that the translational efficiency of PDGF-B mRNA in these cell lines is >10-fold than in other cells.

Analysis of mTOR and its targets reveals hyperphosphorylation and inactivation of translational inhibitory factors in PC3

mTOR, a serine-threonine kinase, is the central controller of translation in mammalian cells [14–16]. mTOR exists in two structurally and functionally distinct multi-protein complexes called mTORC1 and mTORC2 [17–19]. Two upstream elements that regulate mTOR-signaling pathway include the Ras homolog enriched in brain (Rheb), a small GTP-binding protein, and the heterodimeric tuberous sclerosis complex 1 and 2 (TSC1/TSC2) [17–23]. TSC2, a GTPase activating protein (GAP) for Rheb, functions as a negative regulator of mTOR and facilitates the formation of the inactive GTP bound form of Rheb from the active GTP-bound form which activates mTOR kinase activity [22,23]. Phosphorylation of TSC2-TSC1 by several signaling cascades leads to its inactivation, leading to mTORC1 activation [24–29]. Activated mTORC1 phosphorylates its two best-known downstream effectors, the 4E-binding proteins (4EBPs) and the ribosomal p70 S6 kinase (S6K1) [30–33]. Phosphorylation of these two translational regulators is frequently used as an in vivo readout for mTOR activation. 4EBP1 binds to the translation initiation factor eIF4E and prevents the formation of the eIF4F complex at the cap structure. Phosphorylated 4EBP1 fails to bind 4E, leading to recruitment of ribosomes to the mRNA and translational activation [34–36]. On the other hand, activated p70S6K1 phosphorylates the ribosomal protein S6 and eukaryotic elongation factor 2 kinase (eEF2K) leading to increased translational efficiency. Eukaryotic elongation factor 2 (eEF2) binds GTP and mediates the translation step of translation elongation. Phosphorylation of eEF2 at T56 by eEF2K, within the GTP-binding domain, interferes with its ability to bind the ribosome [37–40]. Further, phosphorylation of eEF2K at S566 by activated S6K1 inhibits its kinase activity, leading to efficient translation [41,42]. While the effects of mTORC1 are rapamycin sensitive, those of mTORC2 are rapamycin-insensitive which primarily regulates actin cytoskeletal polarization and reorganization [43,44].

To understand the mechanism of 5–10-fold higher translational efficiency of the reporters in PC3 in comparison to PC3BM and HeLa, the status of mTOR, its regulators and the target translation factors in these three cell lines was examined. As shown in figure 2A, while the levels of mTOR and TSC2 were similar in the three cell lines, that of the phosphorylated TSC2 (S1254 phosphorylated by p38-activated kinase MK2 (MAP-KAPK-2) [29] was less in PC3 than in the other cells. The activity...
of eIF4E, the limiting factor in translation initiation, is controlled in part by 4EBPs, [30–36], and by phosphorylation by Mnk1 [45,46]. As shown in figure 2B, though the levels of phosphorylated forms of eIF4E were similar in PC3 and PC3BM but were relatively less in HeLa, 4EBP1 was hyperphosphorylated at all the four residues T37/46, T70 and S65 in PC3 than in the other cell lines, which is necessary to inhibit its interaction with eIF4E (Figure 2C). Further, the ribosomal protein S6 was hyperphosphorylated at S240 and S235 in PC3 in comparison to PC3BM and HeLa. Though the level of the elongation factor eEF2 was similar in PC3 and PC3BM but was higher in HeLa (Figure 2E), the phosphorylated forms of the protein were not detectable in any of the cell lines using the available antibody (data not shown). However, eEF2K was significantly highly phosphorylated in PC3 than in the other two cell lines (Figure 2E).

Analysis of the signaling pathways that regulate translation
PI3K-PKB/Akt, ERK MAPK pathways as well as p38-activated kinase MK2 function as the upstream positive regulators of mTORC1 through phosphorylation and inactivation of TSC2-TSC1 [24,27,29]. PI3K, activated by many growth signals, phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol-4, 5-bisphosphate (PIP2) at the 3-position to generate PIP3. PDK1 and PKB/Akt bind to PIP3 and are recruited to the membrane [47,48] resulting in the phosphorylation of Akt by PDK1 and activation of Akt which leads to phosphorylation of both S6K and 4EBP1 [14,15,17,19,24–26,36,47,48]. As shown in figure 2F, though p70S6K is hyperphosphorylated at T421 (by ERK MAPK pathways) in PC3, the level of phosphorylation at S371 and T389 (by mTOR) is significantly lower than that in HeLa, and HeLa and PC3 BM, respectively (Figure 2F). While PDK1 is hyperphosphorylated at S241 in the activation loop in PC3, no significant differences in the levels of the p85 isoform of PI3K were observed (Figure 2G). Although no significant differences in the total Akt protein levels in the three cell lines were detected, the level of phosphorylation of Akt at Y326 (phosphorylated by Src), T308 (phosphorylated by PDK1) and S473 (phosphorylated by mTORC2 or PDK2) in PC3 was lower than that in PC3BM and HeLa (Figure 2H). Further, while PC3BM and HeLa

| Reporter gene | mRNA fold difference | Protein fold difference |
|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| PC3/HeLa     | β-Gal | 1.1 | 9.9 |
|               | GFP  | 0.9 | 5.2 |
|               | PDGF-B | 3.1 | 51.2 |
| PC3/PC3BM    | β-Gal | 0.9 | 9.8 |
|               | GFP  | 0.8 | 11.8 |
|               | PDGF-B | 2.1 | 21.8 |
significantly differed in the levels of the phosphorylated forms of ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK between themselves (Figure 2I) with the level of each kinase in either HeLa or PC3BM being similar to that in PC3, the total protein levels of both the kinases are observed to be very similar in all the three cell lines. These observations suggest that PI3K-Akt and MAPK pathways are not major contributors of mTOR activation in PC3.

The lipid second messenger, phosphatidic acid (PA) is another important positive regulator of mTOR and protein synthesis [49,50]. It mediates the mitogenic activation of mTOR signaling to the downstream effectors through regulation of a large number of protein kinases and phosphatases [49,50]. PA is generated from phosphotidylcholine by phospholipase D (PLD) [50,51]. Two mammalian isozymes of PLD, PLD1 and PLD2, have been identified to date [50,51]. PLD1 has low basal activity, but can be activated by PIP2, PIP3 and several regulators including PKCs, small GTP binding proteins like RhoA, Rac1, ARF1, RalA, and Cdc42 and phosphatases [51–57]. PA-dependent translation activation occurs through the lipid messenger generated by PLD1 directly on mTOR (49–52, 55–58). mTOR can also be activated by diacylglycerol kinase-produced PA [58]. Though the mechanism of mitogen-dependent PLD2 activation is not fully understood, recent studies indicate PLD2-derived PA binds to and activates p70S6K1 in a rapamycin-insensitive and mTOR-independent manner [59]. PKCs regulate the activity of PLD either through direct interaction at the N-terminus or by phosphorylation [52–57]. While PKC and

Figure 2. Western blot analysis of key target proteins of mTOR pathway. Analysis of total protein and/or phosphorylated forms of (A) mTOR and TSC2, (B) eIF4E, (C) 4EBP1, (D) S6, (E) eEF2 and eEF2K (F) p70S6K, (G) PI3K and PDK1, (H) Akt and (I) ERK1/2, p38 MAPK and PKC. 50 µg of cell lysate was used for analysis of mTOR, TSC2, PI3K, PDK1, Akt, eEF2, 4EBP1 and S6 and 100 µg was used for detection of phosphorylated forms and other proteins.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014408.g002
PLD regulate mTOR C1 signaling (49, 50, 60), mTORC2 is shown to control the folding and stability of Akt and conventional PKCs through phosphorylation of the turn motif (TM) and hydrophobic motif (HM) [19,61,62].

Analysis of the phosphorylation status of PKCs using the pPKC (pan) (βII S660) antibody which recognizes PKC α, β1, βII, δ, ε, η and ι phosphorylated at the carboxy-terminal residue homologous to S660 of PKC βI revealed that PKCs are considerably highly phosphorylated in PC3 than in PC3BM and HeLa (Figure 2f).

Comparative analysis of the mTOR signaling Pathways in PC3 and 293T cells

Since PC3 and 293T expressed the reporter RNA and protein at comparable levels (Figure 1), we sought to examine if the observed hyper-translation in these two cell lines is due to the activation of the same or different signaling pathways. Comparative analysis of the mTOR signaling target proteins, revealed that 4EBP1 is the only protein that showed comparable levels of hyperphosphorylation at T37/46 in both the cell lines (Figure 3A). While the levels of p70S6K-T389, mTOR, pmTOR-S2448, TSC2, pTSC2-S1254, pPDK1-S241, Akt, pAkt-S473 and pERK1/2 were considerably high in 293T than in PC3, by contrast, the phosphorylated forms of S6 and eEF2K (S366) were significantly higher in PC3 in comparison to 293T (Figure 3A, B and C). As revealed by the high levels of the phosphorylated forms of PDK1, Akt and ERK1/2 in 293T (Figure 3C), the two mTOR-activating signaling pathways, PI3K/Akt and Raf/MEK/ERK MAPK, appeared to be highly activated in 293T than in PC3. A remarkable observation was that PKCs were hyperphosphorylated in PC3 than in 293T (Figure 3D) suggesting that the two cell lines could significantly differ in the PA-mediated mechanism of mTOR activation.

To assess the role of PA in the high-level expression phenotype of PC3, we examined the effect of n-butanol, an inhibitor of PA production, on reporter gene expression. PLD-mediated PA production in vivo can be blocked by primary alcohols like ethanol and n-butanol, which serve as a substrate in transphosphatidylation reaction by PLD to form phosphatidylethanol or phosphatidylbutanol [51–56]. Figure 4A and B illustrate that treatment of cells with 0.4% n-butanol had a profound inhibitory effect on both GFP and β-gal expression in PC3. However, though significant reduction in GFP expression was observed, butanol had no effect on β-gal expression in 293T cells (Figure 4B). n-butanol appears to have also affected the level of phosphorylation of mTOR in both cell lines (Figure 4C).

Since PI3K/Akt pathway appeared to have been highly activated in 293T than in PC3, their role in high level reporter expression in the two cell lines was evaluated using specific pharmacological inhibitors. While no inhibitory effect of PI3K/Akt inhibitors (Wortmannin, LY294002) on the expression of both the reporters was observed in PC3 (Figure 4D and 4E), translation of both reporters in 293T was inhibited by 50–75% with the expression of GFP being more severely affected compared to that of β-gal (Figure 4A and 4B). Though U0126 inhibited the expression of both the reporters significantly in 293T, its effect in 293T was again reporter specific. While there was no effect on β-gal expression in 293T, U0126 inhibited GFP expression by about 50% of that expressed in untreated cells. Thus the MEK/ERK pathway inhibitor U0126 appears to exhibit reporter-specific effects in 293T cells.

Discussion

Comparative analysis of RNA and protein levels of the reporters in PC3, PC3BM, HeLa, MA104 and 293T cell lines indicated that the GFP and β-gal mRNAs are translated at 5–10 fold higher efficiency in PC3 and 293T (Figure 1G, 1D and 1G). It may be noted that though the CMV promoter appears to be relatively more active in MA104 cells, β-gal and GFP mRNAs are poorly translated than in PC3 and 293T. However, the PDGF-B mRNA levels were 2–3-fold higher in PC3 and 293T than in PC3BM and HeLa. Since the mRNA levels of GFP and β-gal transcribed from the CMV promoter in PC3, PC3BM and HeLa were similar, it is
likely that the PDGF-B mRNA containing its long 3'UTR is selectively stabilized in PC3 and 293T. This aspect needs to be further investigated. Though the PDG-F-B protein is expressed at 20–50-fold higher level in PC3 and 293T than in PC3BM and HeLa, the translational machinery in PC3 and 293T appears to be about 10–17-fold more efficient than in the other cells.

The fact that 4EBP1 is a direct target of mTOR and that 4EBP1 is hyperphosphorylated at all the 4 sites suggests that mTOR is more activated in PC3 in comparison to PC3BM and HeLa. Increased phosphorylation of mTOR at S2448 correlates with enhanced phosphorylation of S6K (T421) and 4EBP1 (Figure 2). Activation of p70 S6K1 depends on its level of phosphorylation state at eight sites: T229 (catalytic domain), S371, T389 and S404 (linker domain) and S411, S410, T421, and S424 (autoinhibitory domain) [63,64]. T229 (phosphorylated by PDK1) plays a key role in modulating S6K1 activity. S6K1 activation may be achieved by sequential phosphorylation of these sites by MAPK pathways and PKA pathways [63,64]. S6K1 activation up-regulates ribosome biosynthesis, and enhances cell translational capacity through phosphorylation of the 40S ribosomal protein S6 (pS6) and upregulation of translation of mRNAs having 5'-terminal oligopyrimidine tracts (5'TOP), but in an mTOR-dependent, rapamycin-sensitive manner [36,37,65]. However, the low level phosphorylation of p70S6K at S371 and T389 in PC3 in comparison to PC3BM and HeLa does not correlate with the translation up-regulation in PC3 (Figure 2). It is possible that p70S6K could also be directly activated by PLD2-generated PA in PC3 [59].

Though hyperphosphorylation of PDK1 was observed, the lower levels of phosphorylation of Akt at 3 positions in PC3 than in PC3BM and HeLa, and the significant differences in the levels of the phosphorylated forms of both ERK and p38 MAPK kinases between PC3BM and HeLa suggest that these pathways do not significantly contribute to translational up-regulation in PC3. However, the MEK-ERK pathway appears to contribute, to some extent, to the high level expression of the reporters as seen by the reduced expression of GFP and β-gal by U0126 (Figure 4D and E).

The finding that PKCs (conventional and novel) are highly phosphorylated in PC3 than in PC3BM and HeLa (Figure 2E) and that mTOR phosphorylation at S2448 was higher with the Akt and phospho-Akt levels being either similar or lower than in PC3BM and HeLa suggest that mTOR in PC3 is primarily activated by PA-mediated mechanism. Further, the level of phosphoTSC2 (phosphorylated by PI3K-Akt and MAPK pathways) is significantly lower in PC3 than in the other two cell lines. The lack of inhibition of reporter expression by wortmannin and LY294002 (Figure 4) further suggests that PI3K-Akt pathway does not contribute to the translation up-regulation of reporters in PC3. Activation of PKCs requires phosphorylation in the activation loop (T500) by PDK1 [66] and our results indicate that PDK1 is hyperphosphorylated in PC3. Although PA can mimic mitogens in the activation of the mTOR downstream signaling pathway, PI3K is not activated in PA treated cells [49], but wortmannin blocks the action of PA suggesting that basal PI3K activity is required for PA to activate mTOR [38]. This suggests that basal PI3K activity, as seen by PDK1 phosphorylation, is required for activation of PKCs in PC3.

Comparative analyses revealed that 293T and PC3 significantly differed in the activation of components of different signaling pathways. The only similarity that was observed between the two cell lines was in the level of 4EBP1 phosphorylation at T37/46. While the levels of phospho pKC (pan), S6 and eEF2K were significantly higher in PC3 than in 293T cells, the protein levels and/or the levels of the phosphorylated forms of p70S6K, mTOR, TSC2, PDK1 and Akt were notably higher in 293T cells than in PC3 (Figure 3). The higher levels of phospho TSC2 correlates with the robust activation of both the PI3K/Akt and ERK MAPK pathways in 293T than in PC3. The dramatic hyper-phosphorylation of PKCs in PC3 than in 293T indicates that efficient translation of reporters in PC3 is primarily mediated by PA-mediated mechanism while that in 293T is mediated by the concerted action of PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathways (Figure 5). The significant inhibition of reporter expression by wortmannin and LY294002 in 293T but not in PC3 in contrast to the severe inhibitory effect of n-butanol in PC3 in comparison to 293T.
further supports this conclusion. The PA-mediated pathway also appears to influence the high level expression in 293T as seen by the significant reduction in GFP but not β-gal expression by n-butanol (Figure A and B). U0126 also exhibited differential inhibitory effect on reporter expression in the two cell lines. While U0126 partially inhibited the expression of both reporters in PC3, it had no effect on β-gal expression in 293T. The reporter-specific effects observed with U0126 and n-butanol in 293T could be due to differences in the reporter mRNA and/or protein stability in the presence of the inhibitors. Our results suggest that inhibition of a single pathway could be partially compensated by other pathways and as such no drastic effect on reporter expression is observed by inhibition of any single pathway in 293T while PA-mediated pathway of translational up-regulation appears to be very crucial in PC3. In spite of the significant differences in the activation of different factors/pathways, both cells appear to have attained similar levels of enhanced translational capacity.

Our studies identify PC3 cell line to be as efficient as 293T in transfection efficiency and recombinant protein expression capacity. PC3 offers added advantage in that the level of protein expression from the transfected vector can be elegantly regulated by addition of different amounts of the inexpensive n-butanol to the culture medium.

**Materials and Methods**

**Enzymes, reagents and oligonucleotides**

AMV reverse transcriptase, restriction endonucleases, Taq DNA polymerase, T4 DNA ligase, protein molecular weight markers and other reagents were purchased from either Promega Biotech, Roche Applied Science, Invitrogen or Bio-Rad. The reporter-specific oligonucleotide primers were purchased from either Microsynth (Switzerland) or Sigma-Aldrich.

**Cell lines**

The cell lines PC3, PC3BM, HeLa, 293T and MA104 were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen).

**Antibodies**

Anti PDGF-B antibody was raised in the laboratory using MAP-peptide corresponding to the N-terminal region of PDGF-B [9,67]. This antibody recognizes the PDGF-B polypeptide having an uncleaved N-terminus. Anti β-tubulin and GFP antibodies were from BD Biosciences and β-gal antibody was from Promega, respectively. All other antibodies were from Cell Signaling. The secondary anti-rabbit HRPO or anti-mouse HRPO antibodies were from GE Healthcare.

**Reporter gene construction**

The pCMV-PDGF-B construct contains the PDGF-B cDNA region starting from nt 984–3373 that contains the open reading frame and the complete 3’ UTR followed by the 3’ genomic flanking sequence containing the 3’ processing signals [9] placed downstream of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. The Hind III-Dra I fragment of the β-gal gene from the vector pCH110 (Pharmacia) was subcloned in pBS (pBluescript, Stratagene).

![Figure 5. Schematic representation of activation of mTOR by common and distinct signaling pathways leading to translational up-regulation in (A) PC3 and (B) 293T cell lines. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014408.g005](image-url)
between the Hind III and EcoR V sites. The Hind III – BamH I β-gal fragment was then cloned downstream of the CMV promoter in pcDNA3.0 (Invitrogen) between the same sites to generate pcDNA-β-gal. The GFP open reading frame was PCR amplified from pF25-Rev-GFP [60] using primers mentioned below and subcloned in pBS between the EcoR V and Not I sites and was then inserted in pcDNA3.0 between the same sites generating pcDNA-GFP. The sequences of the primers used for GFP amplification were: 5’ primer 5’ATCGATATCCGGGAT-GAGCAAAGCAGAAGAATCT-3’ and 3’ primer: 5’-AGTGC- GGCCGCTCAGGTTCTACATCCATCC-3’.

Transfection and extraction of total RNA and protein
PC3, PC3BM, 293T, HeLa and MA104 cells grown to 50% confluency were transfected with three micrograms (μg) of vector DNA complexed with 6 μl of FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Roche Applied Science) in 100 mm dish according to supplier’s protocol. After 40–48 hours of transfection, total RNA or protein was extracted. Total RNA was extracted from the transfected cell lines using RNeasy minikit (Qiagen). For protein extraction, the cells were washed in tris-buffered saline (TBS) and lysed in 400 μl of ice-cold 1× RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and 1 mM EDTA with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Science). The lysates were centrifuged and protein concentration was determined by Bio-Rad Protein Assay reagent.

Analysis of PDGF-B and protein expression
Total RNA, from the pCMV-PDGF-B-transfected PC3 (1.0 μg) and HeLa (2.5 μg), PC3BM (2.5 μg) and 293T (1.0 μg) cells, was analyzed by RNase protection assay using the RPII kit from Ambion. The probe used for PDGF-B is from Bgl II site to the 4/5 exon junction spanning the position 1407–1548 nt [9] which yields a protected fragment of 141 nt in length. β-actin probe is prepared by transcription from pTRI-β-actin plasmid from Ambion using T7 RNA polymerase. This probe gives a protected fragment of size 121 nt.

For analysis of protein expression, 40 hours after transfection, cells were incubated for 30 min in medium lacking Met and Cys, followed by incubation for 4 hours in medium supplemented with 50 μCi each of [35S]-labelled Met and Cys. Cells were then lysed in 400 μl of RIPA buffer. About 800 μg of the labeled lysate was incubated with primary antibody (anti-N-terminal Ab against PDGF-B) [67,69] for 1 hour, then 3–5 mg equivalent of Protein A Sepharose (swollen in RIPA) was added, incubated by continuous rotation at 4°C for 2 hours. The beads were washed thrice with RIPA buffer, Laemmli buffer was added, boiled for 10 min at 95°C and the proteins were resolved by 14% SDS-PAGE. The gel was fixed in 30:15% methanol-acetic acid solution for 30 min, incubated in Enlightening Rapid Autoradiography Enhancer (Perkin Elmer) for 30 min, dried and exposed to X-ray film (Kodak XRP).

RNA and Protein analysis of GFP and β-gal
RT-PCR was done using 0.3 μg of total RNA from β-gal and GFP-transfected cells using the Qiagen One step RT-PCR kit. β-gal and GFP mRNAs were amplified for 35 cycles and β-actin for 25 cycles. The forward and reverse primers used for GFP are: 5’-GGAACATAACGAAACTTACCTGGA-3’ and 5’-CAGCTTTGGTCTATCCATGTCACCT-3’ and those of β-gal are 5’-GCCGGAGAAAATACAGATTGCCATC-3’ and 5’-CAGCGCAAGCCGCTCAGGTTCTACATCCATCC-3’.
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