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Synechococcus are distributed throughout the world’s oceans and are composed of diverse genetic lineages. However, as they are much less abundant than Prochlorococcus in oligotrophic open oceans, their in-depth genetic diversity cannot be investigated using commonly used primers targeting both Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus. Thus, in this study, we designed a primer specific to the 16S-23S rRNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) of the Synechococcus subcluster 5.1. Using the primer, we could selectively amplify Synechococcus sequences in oligotrophic seawater samples. Further, we showed that a barcoded amplicon pyrosequencing method could be applicable to investigate Synechococcus diversity using sequences retrieved in GenBank and obtained from environmental samples. Allowing sequence analyses of a large number of samples, this high-throughput method would be useful to study global biodiversity and biogeographic patterns of Synechococcus in marine environments.
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Together with Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus are the dominant picocyanobacteria in marine environments and are important primary producers (17, 24). Synechococcus is distributed ubiquitously throughout the world’s oceans, from equatorial to subpolar waters and from coastal to open ocean areas (36). While Synechococcus is most dominant picocyanobacteria in mesotrophic coastal waters, its abundance is far lower than that of Prochlorococcus in most oligotrophic open oceans.

For the genetic diversity of picocyanobacteria, 16S-23S internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences have been widely used (1, 5, 6, 14, 26, 27, 29). Until now, at least 20 clades belonging to the Synechococcus subcluster 5.1 (SC5.1), 2 clades in the Synechococcus subcluster 5.2 (SC5.2) and 6 clades in the Synechococcus subcluster 5.3 (SC5.3) have been identified from marine environments. Among them, Synechococcus SC5.1 has been found to be ubiquitous and to contain dominant genotypes in marine environments (14, 27, 35, 36). However, Synechococcus diversity in oligotrophic open oceans, especially in Prochlorococcus-dominating environments, has not yet been resolved in detail due to methodological limitations. Among sequences obtained by the commonly used primers targeting marine picocyanobacteria (29), the clone number of Synechococcus sequences is too small to resolve their detailed diversity in oligotrophic oceans. In addition, dot-blot hybridization (9, 10, 35) and quantitative PCR using clade-specific primer/probe sequences (2), could not reveal the diversity of clades without available specific primer/probes. Knowledge about the distribution of each Synechococcus clade is essential to understand the physiologival and genetic adaptations of diverse lineages to a specific niche and their niche partitioning in global oceans (1, 2, 30, 32). In addition, their distribution in open oceans is important to understand the temporal and spatial dynamics of picocyanobacterial diversity by advection, adaptation and competition in boundary waters of marginal seas affected by oligotrophic open oceans (8). Recently, high-throughput pyrosequencing of PCR amplicons has been widely used to reveal the microbial diversity found in various marine environments. This approach allows many samples to be analyzed at a time and produces a large number of sequences for community analyses.

Thus, in this study, we showed that the genetic diversity of Synechococcus SC5.1 could be revealed in oligotrophic oceans by a barcoded amplicon pyrosequencing method using a newly designed Synechococcus SC5.1-specific primer. The Synechococcus lineage distribution in oligotrophic oceans will improve knowledge of niche partitioning, transport and adaptation across water masses in marginal seas, and the response to environmental changes of diverse Synechococcus clades.

Materials and Methods
Phylogenetic analysis and database construction

To perform phylogenetic analyses of 16S-23S ITS sequences, 16S-23S ITS sequences from marine Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus were collected from 14 previous studies (1, 3, 5, 6, 12–14, 18–20, 23, 26, 27, 29) and unpublished data (GenBank accession No. HQ336805–HQ336939; Choi & Noh, unpublished data). A sequence from Synechococcus KORDI-100 (accession number, KC192550), isolated from the surface of the tropical Pacific Ocean (9°15.95’ N, 158°24.10’ E) in September, 2007 was included in the analysis. A total of 2,024 ITS sequences were aligned using the MAFFT program (version 6) with the FFT-NS-I strategy (21). After manual corrections, the alignment was imported into the ARB program (25). Among environmental sequences, putative chimeric sequences were analyzed using the UCHIME program implemented in the Mothur program (31) where an alignment with only sequences in subcluster 5.1. Using a newly designed Synechococcus SC5.1-specific primer.
bootstrap support was constructed using a Mega 5 program (34). Using the tree, the sequences belonging to *Synechococcus* SC5.1 were classified according to previous clade designations (1, 5, 6, 14, 27, 29) and sequences not robustly clustered into any known clades or solely formed an outer branch were removed. Through these procedures, 792 sequences that clustered into *Synechococcus* SC5.1 were finally selected. Phylogenetic trees for the finally selected ITS sequences were constructed using the Mega 5 program for the neighbor-joining method and using the PhyML program (version 3.0) (11) for the maximum-likelihood method. The robustness of tree topologies was assessed by bootstrap analyses based on 100 replications for the neighbor-joining tree and a LRT for maximum-likelihood tree, respectively.

**Sample collection and DNA extraction**

Water samples were collected from three stations (Stns) in the northwestern Pacific in May, 2010 aboard the R/V Onnuri. Stn P3 (18°17.4' N, 134°11.6' E) is located in an oligotrophic tropical area affected by the oligotrophic North Equatorial Current (NEC). Stns A1 (34°10.0' N, 128°27.1' E) and A5 (32°19.6' N, 126°50.5' E) are located in the East China Sea, where coastal currents and a branch current of the Kuroshio Current meet, forming oceanic fronts in the central continental shelf region (16). At each station, seawater was sampled using Niskin bottles attached to a rosette sampler. To collect microorganisms, 2 L samples of water were passed through a 0.2 µm Supor filter (Gelman Sciences, Port Washington, NY, USA) and the filters were frozen at −80°C after adding 1 mL STE buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). In the laboratory, the filters were thawed, and DNA was extracted using lysozyme solution, sodium dodecyl sulfate, and proteinase K (33), and then purified using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s instruction. The water temperature and picocyanobacterial abundances in each sample are shown in Table 1.

**Primers and pyrosequencing method**

To amplify the partial 16S-23S ITS sequences belonging to *Synechococcus* SC5.1, a reverse primer specific to *Synechococcus* SC5.1 was designed (Table 2). The primer showed a perfect match to 97% of sequences belonging to SC5.1 and mismatches over four bases to 97% of sequences belonging to SC5.1 and located on tRNAAla gene (*Synechococcus* subclades or HL/LL clades). For the reference alignment, the region between the forward primer and tRNA51*a* was selected. Because the highly conserved tRNA region was not informative in phylogenetic analysis, the tRNA sequence was removed. Furthermore, provided with reference alignment and taxonomy files, reads can be aligned and assigned to each clade. Thus, from the above ARB database, we constructed another database containing sequences (1,651 sequences) belonging to three *Synechococcus* subclusters and *Prochlorococcus*. Then we annotated the sequences with 4-rank taxonomic information (Cyanobacteria; *Synechococcus* or *Prochlorococcus*; *Synechococcus* subclusters or *Prochlorococcus* HL/LL clades). For the reference alignment, the region between the forward primer and tRNA51*a* was selected. The highly conserved tRNA region was not informative in phylogenetic analysis, the tRNA sequence was removed. In addition, the reference sequence file and the corresponding taxonomic file to be used for clade assignment of sequences were exported from the database.

Simulation analysis was performed to determine whether short ITS sequences obtained by pyrosequencing (ca. 180 bp after removing forward primer and tRNA51*a* region) could be correctly classified by analysis with the Mothur pipeline. The *Synechococcus* SC5.1 sequences, in which the clade was determined from phylgetic analyses using full-length ITS sequences, were randomly divided into two groups using the PASW Statistics 18 program (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA): one group for the simulation dataset and the other for a test-reference database. The simulation dataset products was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Each PCR product was quantified on agarose gels using DNA QuantLadders (Lonza Rockland, Rockland, ME, USA). After pooling the same quantities of each PCR product, the pooled PCR product was purified using an AccuPrep PCR purification kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea). After the purified DNA was resolved on a 2% agarose gel, the gel between 350 and 450 bp was excised and DNA was extracted using a Qiagen gel extraction kit (Qiagen). The PCR products were pyrosequenced on a GS-FLX Titanium system (454 Life Sciences, Branford, CT, USA) at Macrogen (Seoul, Korea). Pyrosequencing was run on a 1/8 PicoTiterPlate. In the run, 8 samples used in this study were analyzed together with 66 samples from another study. From the run, 52,273 reads were obtained and were deposited in the NCBI sequence read archive (SRA, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra; accession number SRA052533). The pyrosequencing data were mainly analyzed using Mothur software (31). The program can screen bad-quality reads, de-noise pyrosequencing errors, partition reads into each sample based on a barcode sequence, and find chimera using chimera-searching algorithms. Furthermore, provided with reference alignment and taxonomy files, reads can be aligned and assigned to each clade. Thus, from the above ARB database, we constructed another database containing sequences (1,651 sequences) belonging to three *Synechococcus* subclusters and *Prochlorococcus*. Then we annotated the sequences with 4-rank taxonomic information (Cyanobacteria; *Synechococcus* or *Prochlorococcus*; *Synechococcus* subclusters or *Prochlorococcus* HL/LL clades). For the reference alignment, the region between the forward primer and tRNA51*a* was selected. Because the highly conserved tRNA region was not informative in phylogenetic analysis, the tRNA sequence was removed. In addition, the reference sequence file and the corresponding taxonomic file to be used for clade assignment of sequences were exported from the database.

**Database and simulation analysis of pyrosequencing data**

Pyrosequencing data were mainly analyzed using Mothur software (31). The program can screen bad-quality reads, de-noise pyrosequencing errors, partition reads into each sample based on a barcode sequence, and find chimera using chimera-searching algorithms. Furthermore, provided with reference alignment and taxonomy files, reads can be aligned and assigned to each clade. Thus, from the above ARB database, we constructed another database containing sequences (1,651 sequences) belonging to three *Synechococcus* subclusters and *Prochlorococcus*. Then we annotated the sequences with 4-rank taxonomic information (Cyanobacteria; *Synechococcus* or *Prochlorococcus*; *Synechococcus* subclusters or *Prochlorococcus* HL/LL clades). For the reference alignment, the region between the forward primer and tRNA51*a* was selected. Because the highly conserved tRNA region was not informative in phylogenetic analysis, the tRNA sequence was removed. In addition, the reference sequence file and the corresponding taxonomic file to be used for clade assignment of sequences were exported from the database.

Simulation analysis was performed to determine whether short ITS sequences obtained by pyrosequencing (ca. 180 bp after removing forward primer and tRNA51*a* region) could be correctly classified by analysis with the Mothur pipeline. The *Synechococcus* SC5.1 sequences, in which the clade was determined from phylgetic analyses using full-length ITS sequences, were randomly divided into two groups using the PASW Statistics 18 program (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA): one group for the simulation dataset and the other for a test-reference database. The simulation dataset products was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Each PCR product was quantified on agarose gels using DNA QuantLadders (Lonza Rockland, Rockland, ME, USA). After pooling the same quantities of each PCR product, the pooled PCR product was purified using an AccuPrep PCR purification kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea). After the purified DNA was resolved on a 2% agarose gel, the gel between 350 and 450 bp was excised and DNA was extracted using a Qiagen gel extraction kit (Qiagen). The PCR products were pyrosequenced on a GS-FLX Titanium system (454 Life Sciences, Branford, CT, USA) at Macrogen (Seoul, Korea). Pyrosequencing was run on a 1/8 PicoTiterPlate. In the run, 8 samples used in this study were analyzed together with 66 samples from another study. From the run, 52,273 reads were obtained and were deposited in the NCBI sequence read archive (SRA, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra; accession number SRA052533).

**Table 1.** Water temperature and picocyanobacterial abundance measured in each sample

| Station | Sampling depth (m) | Temperature (°C) | *Synechococcus* (*×10^3* cells ml⁻¹) | *Prochlorococcus* (*×10^3* cells ml⁻¹) |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| A1      | 1                 | 20.3            | 94.3                          | 0.01                          |
| A5      | 30                | 17.6            | 52.3                          | Not detected                  |
| P3      | 0                 | 29.5            | 1.2                           | 2.2                           |
| 5       | 29.5              | 0.9             | 2.5                           |                               |
| 15      | 29.5              | 1.0             | 2.6                           |                               |
| 30      | 28.6              | 0.7             | 2.3                           |                               |
| 75      | 26.8              | 0.8             | 8.2                           |                               |
| 108     | 25.5              | 0.01            | 13.4                          |                               |

**Table 2.** Primers (adapter+key+barcode+specific oligonucleotides) used to amplify 16S-23S rRNA ITS sequences of *Synechococcus* SC5.1

| Primer (forward) | Adapter | Key | Barcode (10-mers) | Specific oligonucleotides | Reference for sp. primer |
|------------------|---------|-----|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| IIS-af-fusion     | CCATCTCATCCCTGCGTTCTCAGC | TCAG | Variable          | GGATCACCCTCTAACAGGGAG    | Lavin et al. (22)        |
| Syn-ar-fusion     | CCGATCGGAGACACTGAGTC     | TCAG | none              | AGGTAGGAGACCTGAAGTC      | This study               |

* Specific oligonucleotide sequence of this primer is specific to *Synechococcus* subcluster 5.1 and located on tRNA*51*a* gene (see text for more details).

1 Barcode sequences supplied by Roche were used.
trimmed to both primer regions and the test-reference database was used to make a reference alignment file and a taxonomy file for the simulation analysis. The simulation dataset was aligned using this reference alignment, and clades were assigned by the $k$-nearest neighbor method with an option of $k = 1$ using the ‘classify.seqs’ command in the Mothur program.

In addition, during a pre-clustering step (‘pre.cluster’ command), reads were clustered based on the option ‘diff = 4’ in field data analysis (see below). Applying this option to the simulation dataset, all clusters included sequences from the same clades (data not shown). Thus, sequences from different clades would not be clustered together by pre-clustering.

**Pyrosequencing data analysis of field samples**

To analyze the data from field samples, raw reads were filtered to remove reads associated with errors by allowing only a perfect match to the barcode and forward primer sequences. The allowed number of maximum homopolymers was 6. Reads with initial noisy flow (0.5–0.7) before 150 were removed and flows beyond 350 were ignored. Then, flowgram data were grouped by samples based on their barcodes. The filtered reads were de-noised using the ‘shhh. flows’ command, which is the Mothur-based re-implementation of PyroNoise (28, 31). Then the ‘chimera.perseus’ command was used to identify chimeric sequences. The remaining reads were aligned with the Needleman algorithm using the reference alignment. In this step, reads showing similarities less than 90% to reference sequences and short reads not covering full alignment ranges were removed to avoid a possible inconsistent classification by size difference. Using the ‘pre.cluster’ command (with the option of diffs = 4), the aligned reads were clustered to remove sequences that were likely due to pyrosequencing errors. At this stage, chimeric sequences were removed again using the ‘chimera.uchime’ command. The remaining reads were classified to each corresponding clade by the ‘classify.seqs’ command ($k$-nearest neighbor approach with an option of $k = 1$) using the reference sequence and its taxonomic files.

**Other analyses**

Picocyanobacterial abundances were determined using a Beckman-Coulter Altra flow cytometer (4). Seawater temperature was measured using a CTD (SBE911; Sea-Bird, Bellevue, WA, USA) mounted on a rosette sampler.

**Results and Discussion**

**Phylogenetic analysis of Synechococcus SC5.1**

In a tree constructed using the full-length 16S-23S ITS sequences, 18 robust clades were identified (Fig. 1). Many previously designated clades formed distinct branches with strong bootstrap support and had relatively long distances among clades (Table S1). However, the inter-clade mean distances between CB2 and IX, between CB3 and VI, and between XVIII and MS2 were relatively low (0.05–0.07) compared to the distances (>0.1) between other robust clades (Table S1). In addition, clades XV, XIX, WPC2, and II formed a branch with high bootstrap support (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the inter-clade mean distances among them were also relatively low, ranging from 0.07 to 0.10, suggesting that they could be treated as one robust clade. In most phylogenetic analyses performed in previous studies (5, 6, 14), not all available ITS sequences were included and evolutionary distances among clades were not considered. This resulted in synonymous clade names.

In this analysis, strain KORDI-100, isolated from the tropical Pacific Ocean, was included and formed a robust clade (UC-A) with two clone sequences from the South China Sea (accession no. HQ849970 and HQ724209; 14, 20) (Fig. 1). Clade UC-A appeared to be a sister clade of MS2, but the inter-clade distance between them was high (0.16; Table S1). The UC-A clade occupied a significant fraction of the *Synechococcus* community in the tropical NW Pacific (see below).
Methodological consideration of pyrosequencing

In the high-throughput pyrosequencing analyses of microbial diversity, hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene have been used to assess microbial community diversity and have provided equivalent taxonomies and measures of the relative abundance of microbial communities compared to full-length rRNA sequences (15). Likewise, to apply the pyrosequencing method to the study of picocyanobacterial diversity, short amplicons produced by pyrosequencing primers should give sufficient information to assign each read to clades. Although sequence distances between clades slightly decreased in the pyrosequencing region compared to the full-ITS region, mean between-clade distances were still >0.1 and much higher than mean within-clade distances in most cases (Tables S1 and S2).

Furthermore, in the simulation test, the clade classification using the pyrosequencing region was identical to the classification using the full-ITS region (Table 3), suggesting that the 16S-23S ITS sequence fragment could provide sufficient information for discriminating *Synechococcus* clades.

Application of pyrosequencing to field samples

In the analyses of eight amplicon libraries, 6,003 reads passed our trimming and filtering procedures. Among these, 65 reads were found to be putative chimeras and thus were removed. Additionally, 103 reads showing low sequence similarities less than 90% to reference sequences and 49 short reads having gaps at start or end of alignment were removed. The reads with low sequence similarities to reference sequences might be real members of the known clades. Among them, however, the number of reads targeting *Synechococcus* as the closest relative was only 40 reads and their similarities to reference sequences were low (mean ± SD of 84.7 ± 5.5; data not shown). Further, in the simulation test, the similarities to each closest reference sequence were higher than 90% (Table 3). Thus, missing classification due to the similarity criterion would be negligible.

A total of 5,786 reads passed the screening procedures and 96% of the reads could be assigned to one of the *Synechococcus* clades using the k-nearest neighbor algorithm (Table 4). Despite the extremely high ratio of *Prochlorococcus* to *Synechococcus* abundance of ca. 10,000:1 at a depth of 108 m at Stn P3 (Table 1), 76% of the reads belonged to *Synechococcus* SC5.1 (Table 4), suggesting that the primers used in this study could biasedly amplify sequences of *Synechococcus* SC5.1.

However, the possibility of misclassification could not be excluded in some sequence reads with relatively low similarities to reference sequences. To examine this, phylogenetic analysis was additionally conducted. When the 248 representative reads after pre-clustering were added to the existing reference tree using the ARB program (Fig. S1), most of the reads, except 4 representative reads, (total of 5 reads) belonged to the same clade as when classified by the k-nearest neighbor algorithm. In company with the above simulation test, these results indicate that *Synechococcus* diversity could be precisely elucidated at the level of clades through pyrosequencing and subsequent data analyses.

By the pyrosequencing approach, we could obtain a large number of *Synechococcus* sequences from the *Prochlorococcus*-dominant oligotrophic NW Pacific Ocean (Table 4). In a previous picocyanobacteria diversity study conducted in surface samples from the NEC area, we could obtain only a small number of *Synechococcus* sequences, with less than 30 reads (8). In this study, on the contrary, ca. 1,000 *Synechococcus* sequences were obtained at the surface of Stn P3 located in the oligotrophic NEC area and thus *Synechococcus* diversity could be confidently analyzed.

Further, the composition of *Synechococcus* SC5.1 was largely congruent with previous knowledge. In coastal and open ocean samples, reads belonging to 11 clades were retrieved (Table 4) and the relative clade distribution showed distinct patterns among samples. At Stns A1 and A5, located in the continental shelf area of the East China Sea, both cold-adapted clades I and IV, and warm-adapted clade II were dominant together (35, 36; Table 4). In previous studies, clades I and IV co-occurred and were found to be dominant in cold coastal water (27, 35, 36). In contrast, clade II was abundant in warm water and present in both coastal and open ocean water (26, 27, 36). Thus, the observed overlap of cold- and warm-adapted clades at these stations might be due to the mixing of cold coastal water and warm open ocean water in the boundary waters (7, 16). Conversely, in oligotrophic tropical oceans, clade II was predominant with a distinct depth distribution pattern (Table 4) but cold-adapted clades I and IV were not found. Consistently, clade II was highly abundant at warmer and offshore stations (14, 26, 35, 36). Furthermore, new information was obtained. The novel clade UC-A occupied a significant fraction (4–7%) of the surface euphotic zone of oligotrophic oceans (Table 4). As sequences belonging to clade UC-A were very rarely found in previous works (14, 30) and culture strains were isolated from the tropical oligotrophic Pacific Ocean, clade UC-A seems to inhabit oligotrophic open oceans with relatively low *Synechococcus* abundance. In addition, distinct depth distribution among *Synechococcus* clades could be found.

### Table 3. Clade assignment of a simulation dataset using the Mothur pipeline

| Clade  | No. of sequences | Analyzed using Full-ITS region* | Analyzed using pyrosequencing region | Similarity to reference sequence (ranges) |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| I      | 114             | 114                           |                                     | 97.2–100                                 |
| II     | 59              | 59                            |                                     | 97.7–100                                 |
| III    | 21              | 21                            |                                     | 97.8–100                                 |
| IV     | 48              | 48                            |                                     | 96.6–100                                 |
| V      | 10              | 10                            |                                     | 98.3–100                                 |
| VI     | 22              | 22                            |                                     | 97.2–100                                 |
| VII    | 19              | 19                            |                                     | 97.2–100                                 |
| VIII   | 3               | 3                             |                                     | 99.4–100                                 |
| IX     | 4               | 4                             |                                     | 98.3–100                                 |
| XVI    | 8               | 8                             |                                     | 98.9–100                                 |
| XVII   | 4               | 4                             |                                     | 94.4–99.4                                |
| CB1    | 26              | 26                            |                                     | 97.8–100                                 |
| CRD1   | 26              | 26                            |                                     | 93.8–100                                 |
| CRD2   | 5               | 5                             |                                     | 96.6–100                                 |
| MS1    | 3               | 3                             |                                     | 98.9–100                                 |
| MS2    | 5               | 5                             |                                     | 96.6–100                                 |
| WPC1   | 9               | 9                             |                                     | 96.7–100                                 |
| UC-A   | 2               | 2                             |                                     | 94.9–97.7                                 |

* The phylogenetic positions of each sequence were defined using full-ITS sequences.
relative percentages of clade II tended to decrease with depth, whereas clades III, XVII, and CRD1 appeared at depth (Table 4). This depth-partitioning pattern might be related with the differential responses of each clade to environmental conditions such as light availability and nutrients, similar to differential responses of each clade to environmental conditions such as light availability and nutrients, similar to 

```
Table 4. Numbers and percentages of reads belonging to each clade obtained in this study

| Clade          | Station/Depth (m) |
|----------------|-------------------|
|                | A1 | A5 | P3 |
|                | 0  | 30 | 0  | 5  | 15* | 30 | 75 | 108* |
| Synecochoccus SC-5.1 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| I              | 155 | 124 | 31  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| %              | 26.0| 13.9|     | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| II             | 4250| 59  | 103 | 1064| 884 | 1286| 388 | 230 | 226 |
| %              | 12.4| 46.2|     | 90.5| 88.8| 89.4| 80.2| 75.7| 50.4|
| III            | 95  | 0   | 0   | 1   | 9   | 3   | 22  | 43  | 17  |
| %              | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 14.1| 3.6 |     |     |
| IV             | 381 | 294 | 87  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| %              | 61.6| 39.0|     | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| VII            | 15  | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 13  |
| %              | 0   | 0.4 | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0.3 |
| XVI            | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| %              | 0   | 0.4 |     | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |     |
| XVII           | 171 | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 9   | 0   | 10  | 150 |
| %              | 0   | 0   | 0.2 | 0   | 0.6 | 0   | 3.3 | 32.1|
| CRD1           | 56  | 0   | 0   | 10  | 6   | 20  | 14  | 6   |     |
| %              | 0   | 0   | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0   | 1.3 |     |
| CRD2           | 28  | 0   | 0   | 11  | 0   | 0   | 10  | 6   | 1   |
| %              | 0   | 0   | 0.9 | 0   | 0   | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 |     |
| WPC1           | 220 | 0   | 0   | 43  | 49  | 62  | 15  | 6   | 45  |
| %              | 0   | 0   | 3.7 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 9.6 |     |
| UC-A           | 194 | 0   | 0   | 45  | 48  | 58  | 35  | 8   | 0   |
| %              | 0   | 0   | 3.8 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 |     |
| Sum            | 5566| 477 | 223 | 1176| 996 | 1438| 484 | 304 | 468 |
| Prochlorococcus| 220 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 67  | 0   | 0   | 152 |
```

* Samples amplified by nested PCR.

In conclusion, to understand the temporal and spatial distribution, global biogeography and ecological niches of Synechococcus lineages, it is necessary to accumulate knowledge on Synechococcus diversity in a variety of environments. The barcoded amplicon pyrosequencing method developed in this study will be useful to elucidate the fine-scale biogeographical distribution of Synechococcus diversity in marine environments.
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