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ABSTRACT

Introduction The current study determined the level and trends associated with the incidence, death and disability rates for bladder cancer and its attributable risk factors in 204 countries and territories, from 1990 to 2019, by age, sex and sociodemographic index (SDI; a composite measure of sociodemographic factors).

Methods Various data sources from different countries, including vital registration and cancer registries were used to generate estimates. Mortality data and incidence data transformed to mortality estimates using the mortality to incidence ratio (MIR) were used in a cause of death ensemble model to estimate mortality. Mortality estimates were divided by the MIR to produce incidence estimates. Prevalence was calculated using incidence and MIR-based survival estimates. Age-specific mortality and standardised life expectancy were used to estimate years of life lost (YLLs). Prevalence was multiplied by disability weights to estimate years lived with disability (YLDs), while disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) are the sum of the YLLs and YLDs. All estimates were presented as counts and age-standardised rates per 100 000 population.

Results Globally, there were 524 000 bladder cancer incident cases (95% uncertainty interval 476 000 to 569 000) and 229 000 bladder cancer deaths (211 000 to 243 000) in 2019. Age-standardised death rate decreased by 15.7% (8.6 to 21.0), during the period 1990–2019. Bladder cancer accounted for 4.39 million (4.09 to 4.70) DALYs in 2019, and the age-standardised DALY rate decreased significantly by 18.6% (11.2 to 24.3) during the period 1990–2019. Although there was a clear global decrease in the age-standardised death, and DALY rates, some countries experienced an increase in these rates. National policy makers should learn from these differences, and allocate resources based on their specific settings.

Conclusions There was considerable variation in the burden of bladder cancer between countries during the period 1990–2019. Although there was a clear global decrease in the age-standardised death, and DALY rates, some countries experienced an increase in these rates. National policy makers should learn from these differences, and allocate resources based on their country-specific estimates. In addition, smoking and elevated fasting plasma glucose (FPG) play an important role in the burden of bladder cancer and need to be addressed with prevention programmes.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN?

A small number of studies have investigated the global burden of bladder cancer over the last 20 years using information from the Global Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence project (GLOBOCAN).

The most recent iteration of GLOBOCAN was 2020, which did not include DALYs, an important health metric to capture morbidity outcomes as well as mortality.

INTRODUCTION

Cancers remain one of the leading causes of mortality, with 9.6 million deaths globally in 2017.1 Bladder cancer, as one of the important urological cancers, caused 196.5 thousand deaths and was categorised as the 9th and 19th leading cause of cancer-related deaths for males and females, respectively.1 2 A study on the economic cost of bladder cancer in the European Union (EU) found that this form of cancer cost the EU €4.9 billion in 2012, with healthcare accounting for €2.9 billion (59%), which represented 5% of the total healthcare costs for cancer. In 2012, bladder cancer accounted for 3% of all cancer costs in the EU (€143 billion),
WHAT ARE THE NEW FINDINGS?

⇒ This research reports the most up-to-date estimates on the level and trends in the incidence, mortality, and DALYs for bladder cancer and its attributable by age, sex and socio-demographic index (SDI); a composite measure of socio-demographic factors) risk factors from 204 countries and territories from 1990 to 2019.

⇒ Globally, there were 524,000 bladder cancer incident cases (95% UI: 476,000 to 569,000), 229,000 bladder cancer deaths (211,000 to 243,000), and 4.39 million (4.09 to 4.70) DALYs attributable to bladder cancer in 2019.

⇒ The global age-standardised incidence and death rates were higher among males than females.

⇒ Globally, 36.8% (28.5 to 44.0) and 9.1% (1.9 to 19.6) of bladder cancer DALYs were attributable to smoking and elevated fasting plasma glucose, respectively.

WHAT DO THE NEW FINDINGS IMPLY?

⇒ Globally bladder cancer continues to be a considerable public health challenge. Although the rates of bladder cancer decreased globally, there were some countries which registered increases.

⇒ Additional research is needed into the reasons for the increases in these countries to guide new measures and to facilitate the early detection and treatment of this disease.

⇒ Preventive measures should be developed to reduce exposure to risk factors such as high fasting plasma glucose and smoking, as well as placing higher taxes on smoked tobacco.

which represented an annual healthcare cost of €57 per 10 EU citizens. However, there were large variations in the cost by country, with the lowest cost being found in Bulgaria (€8 for every 10 citizens) and the highest in Luxembourg (€93). Understanding the variations and trends in the incidence, mortality, and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for bladder cancer allows national-level policy makers to make appropriate, evidence-based decisions in their countries, to evaluate the effectiveness of their interventions and to more efficiently manage its relevant costs.

Only a few previous studies have reported the global and regional rates of bladder cancer. However, these studies have only reported its burden at the global or regional level, or have not reported country-specific estimates using data collected after GLOBOCAN (Global Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence) 2012. However, a recent paper reported an update on the global epidemiology of this cancer using GLOBOCAN 2018 data, but comparing between countries is problematic, since the rates were not age-standardised. The most recent study on the burden of cancers is GLOBOCAN 2020 which still has the mentioned limitations. In addition, calculating the contribution of the individual risk factors to the burden of bladder cancer allows an understanding of the degree to which the burden of bladder cancer could be reduced by eliminating each risk factor and also provides information vital for prevention programmes. The attributable burden has not been estimated in previous research.

Therefore, considering the aforementioned issues, the present study supersedes the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2016 bladder cancer paper as new data sources have been added and new methods have been applied in GBD 2019. More specifically, the present article provides the most up-to-date estimates on the global, regional and national incidence, mortality, and DALYs for bladder cancer and its attributable risk factors in terms of counts and age-standardised rates for 204 countries and territories from 1990 to 2019 by age, sex and sociodemographic index (SDI).

METHODS

Overview

The Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries and Risk Factors (GBD) study is a comprehensive effort to estimate burden due to 369 diseases and injuries, and 87 risk factors across 204 countries and territories, 21 regions and 7 super-regions. GBD 2019 is the latest round in which the estimates were not only updated for 2019, but also previous estimates (1990–2017) have been strengthened using additional data sources and new estimation methods. The main features of GBD 2019, and its general methodology, can be found in previously published papers. In the GBD 2019 study, 30 cancer groups, including bladder cancer, were estimated. The 95% uncertainty intervals (UIs) have been calculated for all the estimates and the rates were standardised based on the GBD standard population and reported per 100,000 population. The methods for propagating the UIs were similar to those used in previous GBD iterations. One thousand draws were taken at each computational step and final estimates were computed using mean estimates across the draws. 95% UIs were presented as the 25th and 97.5th ordered values across all 1000 draws.

This study is compliant with the Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting. This manuscript was produced as part of the GBD Collaborator Network and in accordance with the GBD Protocol.

Estimation framework

All cancers coded C67–C67.9, D09.0, D30.3, D41.4-D41.8 and D49.4 in the International Classification of Diseases 10 were considered as bladder cancer. Six sequelae with different disability weights (DWs) were defined as bladder cancer (online supplemental table 1). The GBD 2015 European Disability Weights Measurement Study and GBD 2010 Disability Weights Measurement Study were used as sources of the DW values. More details have been reported elsewhere. The following data sources were used to estimate the non-fatal and fatal burden of bladder cancer: vital registration (21,734 site-years), vital registration-sample (895 site-years) and cancer registries (5,146 site-years). A site-year is a unique combination of the location and calendar year and is defined as a country or other subnational geographical unit contributing data in a given year.

Mortality estimation

The availability of cancer mortality data was generally lower than for incidence data. Mortality to incidence
ratios (MIRs) were obtained from linear-step mixed effect models using the locations where the incidence and mortality data were both provided for the same year. Age, sex and the healthcare access and quality index were also adjusted in the model and then smoothed across space and time using spatiotemporal Gaussian processes regression.11 12

Initially, mortality estimates were obtained by multiplying the corresponding incidence estimate with the MIR. These estimated mortalities, along with the observed deaths from vital registration systems and verbal autopsies, were used as inputs for the cause of death ensemble model (CODEm).11 This approach evaluates the predictive validity of various models to provide the highest model fit using all available data and covariates. The covariates used in CODEm are available in online supplemental table 2. The CoDCorrect algorithm was used to adjust the sum of predicted single-cause mortalities in an age-sex-location-year group to be consistent with the results from all-cause mortality estimation.11

Incidence, prevalence and disability estimation
The final mortality estimates from CODEm were divided by the MIR to obtain the final incidence estimates. Ten-year prevalence of bladder cancer was calculated through modelling the survival for each country using MIRs and divided into five sequelae (online supplemental table 1). Sequelae-specific years lived with disability (YLDs) were calculated as the product of sequelae-specific prevalence and corresponding DWs. In addition, procedure-related YLDs, due to incontinence from cystectomy, were calculated for bladder cancer and were added to the previous sequelae-specific YLDs (online supplemental table 1). To estimate procedure-related disability for bladder cancer, the procedure proportions (proportion of bladder cancer population that underwent cystectomy) from hospital data were used as the input for a proportion model in DisMod-MR 2.1 to estimate the proportions for all locations, by age, year and sex.11 The years of life lost (YLLs) were computed by multiplying the estimated number of deaths by age with a standard life expectancy at that age. DALYs were obtained by summing YLDs and YLLs.

The current study examined the association of bladder cancer incidence, mortality and DALYs with SDI for each country using smoothing splines models.16 SDI is a composite indicator of lag-dependent income per capita, average years of schooling for the population older than 15 years of age, and total fertility rate under the age of 25. It ranges from 0 (lowest average income and education; highest fertility) to 1 (highest average income and education; lowest fertility).11 The world maps for age-standardised incidence, prevalence and DALYs were generated using R software, V.3.5.2.

Risk factors
Systematic reviews were conducted in previous GBD rounds to assess possible risks associated with bladder cancer; inclusion criteria were based on World Cancer Research Fund criteria for convincing or probable evidence. Through this evaluation, smoking17 and high fasting plasma glucose (FPG)18 were identified as risk factors for bladder cancer.

To estimate risk-attributable burden, we first calculated the population attributable fraction (PAF)—the proportion of all bladder cancer cases attributable to each risk factor—using estimates of exposure distribution and levels and relative risks at different exposures (see Murray et al.12 for detailed methods). We then multiplied age-sex-location-specific PAFs for each risk factor by the number of bladder cancer DALYs in that population to get risk-attributable DALYs due to smoking and high FPG. Current smokers were defined as individuals who currently use any smoked tobacco product on a daily or occasional basis. Former smokers were defined as individuals who quit using all smoked tobacco products for at least 6 months, where available, or according to the definition used by the survey. The reference definition used for diabetes was: FPG >126 mg/dL (7 mmol/L) or on treatment.

RESULTS
Global level
There were 524 000 bladder cancer cases (95% UI 476 000 to 569 000) in 2019, with an age-standardised rate of 6.5 (5.9 to 7.1) per 100 000, which increased, by 4% (~4.3 to 13.5), between 1990 and 2019 (online supplemental table 3). It was found that this cancer also globally accounted for 229 000 deaths (211 000 to 243 000) in 2019, with an age-standardised death rate of 2.9 (2.7 to 3.1) per 100 000, which decreased significantly by 15.7% (8.6 to 21.0) during the period 1990–2019 (online supplemental table 4). Globally bladder cancer also accounted for 4.39 million DALYs (4.09 to 4.70) in 2019, with an age-standardised rate of 54.2 (50.4 to 58) per 100 000 which significantly decreased by 18.6% (11.2 to 24.3) (online supplemental table 5).

Regional level
The age-standardised incidence rates of bladder cancer per 100 000 in 2019 were highest in western Europe (14.9 (95% UI 12.8 to 17.3)), central Europe (12.6 (11 to 14.3)) and north Africa and the Middle East (9.6 (8.1 to 11.4)). In contrast, south Asia (2.4 (2.1 to 2.7)), Oceania (2.5 (2 to 3.1)) and Andean Latin America (2.5 (2.1 to 3.1)) showed the lowest age-standardised incidence rates (online supplemental table 3). The age-standardised death rates of bladder cancer per 100 000 in 2019 were highest in central Europe (5.3 (4.7 to 6.0), western Europe (4.8 (4.3 to 5.1)) and north Africa and the Middle East (4.1 (3.5 to 4.8)), whereas central Latin America (1.5 (1.3 to 1.8)), Andean Latin America (1.6 (1.3 to 2)) and southeast Asia (1.8 (1.3 to 2)) had the lowest age-standardised death rates (online supplemental table 4). The regional-level age-standardised incidence and death estimates per 100 000 for all GBD regions are presented, by sex, in figure 1A.B.
Although globally age-standardised incidence rate did not significantly change, there were substantial increases in some GBD regions, such as east Asia (55.6% (26.1 to 95.8)), north Africa and the Middle East (52.5% (21.3 to 107.1)) and central Europe (50.3% (30.3 to 70.7)) (online supplemental table 3). The age-standardised death rate also significantly decreased globally, but significantly increased in central Asia (17.9% (1.8 to 42.7)) (online supplemental table 4). Regional-level percentage changes in age-standardised incidence and death rates, due to bladder cancer, are presented by sex in figure 2A,B.

The number of incident cases and deaths due to bladder cancer increased from 1990 to 2019 (incident cases from 235 000 (225 000 to 243 000) to 524 000 (476 000 to 569 000) and deaths from 122 000 (115 000 to 127 000) to 229 000 (211 000 to 243 000)), but the contributions of the individual GBD regions differed during this time-period (figure 3A,B). In 2019, western Europe and east Asia together accounted for nearly half of all incident and death cases of bladder cancer.

National level
In 2019, the age-standardised incidence rates of bladder cancer ranged from 1.4 to 31.9 per 100,000 population among countries. Monaco (31.9 (23.3 to 56.9)), Lebanon (30.2 (23.3 to 40.4)) and San Marino (25.3 (18.9 to 33.9)) had the three highest age-standardised incidence rates per 100,000 and Nigeria (1.4 (1.1 to 1.7)), Guatemala (1.5 (1.2 to 1.9)) and Bangladesh (1.6 (1.1 to 2.1)) had the lowest (figure 4 and online supplemental table 3). The age-standardised death rate due to bladder cancer, in 2019, also varied between the countries (from 1 to 10.4 per 100,000 population). Lebanon (10.4 (8.1 to 13.7)), Mali (10.1 (4.4 to 13.5)) and Monaco (9.4 (6.9 to 16.9)) had the three highest age-standardised death rates per 100,000, whereas Palau (1 (0.8 to 1.3)), Albania (1.1 (0.8 to 1.4)), and Andorra (0.8 (0.7 to 0.9)) had the lowest.
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...to 1.4)) and El Salvador (1.1 (0.9 to 1.4)) had the lowest (figure 5 and online supplemental table 4).

The percentage change in age-standardised incidence rates from 1990 to 2019 differed substantially between countries. Cabo Verde (284.2% (214.1 to 362.8)), Qatar (126.5% (51.5 to 243.7)) and Northern Mariana Islands (119.8% (71.9 to 169.9)) showed the largest significant increases, while Liberia (−36.1% (−60.5 to −3.3)), Togo (−34.2% (−67.9 to −5.2)) and Burkina Faso (−34.1% (−71.1 to −3.6)) had the largest significant decreases (online supplemental table 3). The percentage change in age-standardised death rates, due to bladder cancer (from 1990 to 2019), also differed between countries. The largest significant increases were seen in Cabo Verde (190.3% (139.3 to 251.1)), Northern Mariana Islands (81.8% (45.3 to 120.9)) and Uzbekistan (64.7% (11.2 to 142)). In contrast, the largest significant decreases during this period were found in Singapore (−44.9% (−53.5 to −35.8)), Thailand (−42.1% (−57.7 to −22.7)) and Sierra Leone (−42.1% (−78.8 to −7)) (online supplemental table 4).

### Age and sex patterns

In 2019, the global incidence rates of bladder cancer per 100 000 were higher among males than females, across all age groups. The incidence rates increased with population ageing and peaked at the 95+ age group among both males (214.4 (168.1 to 243.2)) and females (63 (46.1 to 73.4)). The number of incident cases also peaked at 70–74 and 75–79 years old in males and females, respectively (figure 6). The global death rate per 100 000 in 2019 also peaked in the 95+ group among both males (245.9 (192.6 to 277.8)) and females (75.4 (55.4 to 87.3)). However, the number of deaths was highest in the 80–84 age group in both males and females (online supplemental figure 1). The DALY rates per 100 000 were higher in males...
than females across all age groups and peaked at 90–94 years for males (1334.8 (1112 to 1466.8)) and 95+ years for females (401 (297.5 to 462.9)). The number of DALYs peaked at 65–69 and 70–74 years in males and females, respectively (online supplemental figure 2). The DALYs were mainly composed of YLLs, whose rate peaked in the 90–94 age group. The number of YLLs and YLDs were highest in the 70–74 age group (online supplemental figure 3).

**Burden of bladder cancer by SDI**

There was a non-linear association between the age-standardised DALY rate per 100,000 and the SDI of the GBD regions. The global age-standardised DALY rate was higher than expected up until 2013, but was lower than expected during the period 2014–2019. Despite the decreasing trend of age-standardised DALY rate in the high-income GBD super-region, western Europe and high-income North America still had DALY rates higher than expected, based on SDI. In the Latin America super-region, all regions had lower than expected age-standardised DALY rates in 2019. The age-standardised DALY rate was higher than expected from 1990 to 2019 in central Europe. Both eastern Europe and central Asia showed a downward trend in the last few years of the measurement period and their rates were lower than expected in 2019. North Africa and the Middle East had higher than expected age-standardised DALY rates, based on their SDI during the period 1990–2019. In contrast, south Asia, southeast Asia and Oceania had lower than expected age-standardised DALY rates during the measurement period. All regions in sub-Saharan Africa had higher than expected age-standardised DALY rates in the most recent years (figure 7).
National-level analysis in 2019 found there was a non-linear association between age-standardised DALY rates of bladder cancer per 100000 and each country’s SDI. There were countries with much higher than expected levels of age-standardised DALY rates, based on SDI, in both higher and lower SDI regions. Egypt, Lebanon, Mali, Monaco, Zimbabwe, Malawi, Pakistan and many other countries had much higher than expected levels of age-standardised DALY rates of bladder cancer in 2019. In contrast, there were some countries, such as Singapore, Republic of Korea, Finland, Bangladesh, Peru and so on, which had much lower than expected age-standardised DALY rates of bladder cancer, based on SDI (figure 8).

**Risk factors**

Globally in 2019, 36.8% (28.5 to 44.0) of bladder cancer DALYs were attributable to smoking, more so in males (43.7% (34.0 to 51.8) vs. 15.2% (10.9 to 19.4)). In addition, approximately 9.1% (1.9 to 19.6) of the DALYs were attributable to elevated FPG (males: 9.3% (1.6 to 20.9); females: 8.4% (1.6 to 19.2)). For both sexes combined, the percent of bladder cancer DALYs attributable to smoking were highest in east Asia and eastern Europe, whiles those attributable to high FPG were highest in central Latin America and the Caribbean (figure 9). The percent of DALYs attributable to these two risk factors varied between age groups: the highest...
per cent of bladder cancer DALYs attributable to smoking and high FPG were found in the 55–59 (43.4% (33.6 to 51.5)) and 75–79 (11.3% (2.4 to 24.0)) age groups, respectively, for both sexes (figure 10).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to report the incidence, deaths, DALY counts and age-standardised rates for bladder cancer in 204 countries and territories during the period 1990–2019. Age-standardised death, and DALYs decreased significantly globally. While we would have liked to compare our findings with previous non-GBD research, no prior studies have comprehensively reported the country-specific burden of bladder cancer for all countries. In particular, the age-standardised rates found in the current study could not be compared with those reported by the GLOBOCAN, as the two projects have different standard populations and the reporting period 1990–2019.
periods did not match with the present study. The global incidence and mortality rates of bladder cancer have also been examined elsewhere, with the articles having used the GLOBOCAN 2012, GBD 2013 and GBD 2016 data, while country-specific estimates were only provided in the latter two studies. The most up-to-date data on the epidemiology of cancers is GLOBOCAN 2020 study which reports incidence and mortality of 36 cancers, whereas it does not provide DALYs and its country-specific data for bladder cancer have not been used in the recent publication. The trends in age-standardised incidence and death rates were reported at the global or regional-level, but these were reported across different time intervals, which prevents comparison with the present study. There were two studies which reported changes in the age-standardised rates of developed and developing regions using GBD 2013 and GBD 2016 data.

Despite the differences between our study and previous research, some comparisons are possible. Previous research reported the highest incidence rates for bladder cancer to be in southern Europe, western Europe and North America, as well as in several countries in northern Africa and western Asia; which is relatively consistent with...
our findings (ie, that western Europe, central Europe and high-income North America had age-standardised incidence rates >7.5 per 100,000 population). These findings were also supported by another study, which indicated that the highest incidence rates were observed in southern Europe, western Europe and North America. The same study also reported that the highest death rates were found in western Asia and northern Africa, which concurred with our findings that central Europe, western Europe and North Africa and the Middle East had the highest age-standardised death rates. In our study, age-standardised incidence rates demonstrated increases from 1990 to 2019 in central Europe, Oceania, southeast Asia, north Africa and the Middle East, east Asia, eastern Europe, and the Caribbean. Previous research has also reported an increase in the age-standardised incidence of bladder cancer in central and eastern Europe, several countries in northern Europe, southern Europe, central and south America, and eastern Asia.

At the country level, Monaco, Lebanon and San Marino had the highest age-standardised incidence rates in 2019, while the highest age-standardised death rates were found in Lebanon, Mali and Monaco. Our study suggests that national-level estimates should be used in national prevention programmes, as global or regional level patterns may be misleading. However, the national-level estimates need to be interpreted with caution in countries where and the quality of the data sources are not high. The variation between the countries and territories could be because of difference in the prevalence of risk factors, detection rate, oncology care and management of health resources between countries, although there could be more reasons for the between-country variations. The risk factors for bladder cancer have been examined in relation to bladder cancer, but there is no robust evidence for most of them. Several additional risk factors have also been examined in relation to bladder cancer, but there is no robust evidence for most of them. The association between alcohol consumption and bladder cancer has been extensively studied, but no clear association has emerged.

Diabetes or high fasting plasma have also been found to be associated with an elevated risk of bladder cancer, with a meta-analysis reporting a 35% higher risk of bladder cancer (relative risk: 1.35). Our study estimated that 9.1% of the bladder cancer DALYs were attributable to high FPG and that the highest contribution was found in the 75–79 age group (11.3% (2.4 to 24.0)). This contribution may increase in the future, as recent estimates show that high FPG increased by 37.7% from 1990 to 2017 across the world. Hence, educational programmes are urgently needed to increase awareness of the risks associated with diabetes and an unhealthy lifestyle, to help reduce the prevalence of high FPG.

Several additional risk factors have also been examined in relation to bladder cancer, but there is no robust evidence for most of them. The association between alcohol consumption and bladder cancer has been extensively studied, but no clear association has emerged.
Vitamins C, D and E, as well as antioxidant supplements, have also been studied in relation to bladder cancer, but meta-analyses have produced conflicting findings. The relationship that bladder cancer has with dietary fluid consumption, including coffee, tea, energy drinks and dairy products have also produced non-significant or inconsistent findings. Meta-analyses have also investigated the relationship that fruit and vegetable consumption has with bladder cancer risk, which have produced inconsistent results.

Meat consumption and the risk of bladder cancer has also been investigated using meta-analysis, but again these have produced inconsistent results. Furthermore, exposure to a number of environmental carcinogens, such as arsenic, nitrates, selenium, cadmium, nuclear power plants, shale gas extraction and the routine use of personal hair dye have been assessed in relation to bladder cancer, but further evidence is needed for most of these risk factors. A meta-analysis indicated that arsenic in drinking water was associated with a higher risk of bladder cancer. More specifically, the research suggests that exposure to 10 μg/L of arsenic in drinking water may double the risk of bladder cancer, or at the very least, increase it by about 40%. The relationship that bladder cancer has with the intake of thiazolidinedione, metformin, sulphonylurea, insulin, analgesics and statins also needs to be clarified. Based on a review of the available literature, there is currently only sufficient evidence to establish a convincing or probable association between bladder cancer and the risk factors evaluated in this study (smoking and high FPG). So, smoking and high plasma glucose need to be more strongly targeted in prevention programmes, as they are individually responsible for 36.8% and 9.1% of bladder cancer DALYs, respectively.

Finally, the association a country’s socio-demographic level has with bladder cancer’s incidence and death rate has been examined in several previous studies, but these should be interpreted with caution. One of the studies used the human development index (HDI) and assessed its linear association with the incidence and death rate of bladder cancer. Although HDI is used as a marker of a country’s development level in many studies, one of the components of HDI, life expectancy at birth, is health-related and hence HDI should not be used to compare health outcomes between countries, as it may lead to biased results and an overestimated association. To solve this issue, the GBD project developed the SDI to compensate for ascertainment and mortality data may be susceptible to detection biases. GBD attempts to correct for ascertainment bias by adjusting single cause estimates to the all-cause mortality envelope. Some countries struggle with data quality, and in several countries, especially in low-income and middle-income countries, data was missing. To compensate for this problem, as much as possible, MIR-based estimation was used and garbage codes were re-distributed to allow for the inclusion of more data. Garbage codes designate all causes of death that are not useful in the analyses of public health and mortality. Finally, the estimates of bladder cancer in the GBD study were not made using histological data and the burden of bladder cancer attributable to Schistosoma haematobium infection could not be calculated.

CONCLUSIONS

This study found considerable inter-country variation in the burden of bladder cancer across the period of study. Although the global age-standardised death, and DALY rates have decreased from 1990 to 2019, there were some countries which registered increases in these rates. Finally, national policy makers should consider the allocation of resources for addressing bladder cancer risk factors, as part of comprehensive prevention programmes based on their national estimates, rather than on global or regional estimates, which may be misleading.
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