Introduction

The injuries of the pelvic ring are the set of mechanical lesions affecting the pelvic girdle formed by the 2 iliac bones, the sacrum and the coccyx. The acetabular cavity is an anatomical region belonging to the pelvis, but its articular function makes the lesions acetabulum is considered an entity separate from other pelvic lesions [1]. It is an infrequent emergency, related to generally violent trauma. They represent 0.3 to 9.3% of all trauma [2-4]. They are the preserve of the young active adult.

Objectives

The aim of our work is to analyze the traumatisms of the pelvic ring, to study their epidemiological and etiopathogenic profiles in the department of Orthopedic Surgery and Traumatology of Aristide Le Dantec University Hospital.

Materials and Methods

Our work is a descriptive retrospective study of pelvic ring injuries collected at the Department of Orthopedic Surgery and Traumatology at the Aristide Le Dantec University Hospital Center. It took place over a period of ten [5] years from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2015. This study concerned patients received and followed for pelvic ring trauma. They numbered 119 and according to the criteria of non-inclusion, 103 were retained. Our data was collected from emergency consultation records and hospital discharge records. It was thus established for each patient a record of exploitation on which we collected the epidemiological data, Etiopathogenic. We used the software SPHINX for the collection and analysis of the data in order to transcribe them on EXCEL for the graphs and tables of the different variables of our study. With the IBM SPSS 20.00 software, we crossed certain data of our study for determine Pearson's Chi-square p. The results were significant if the p was less than 0.05.

Results

The average age was 34.3 years, with extremes of 10 years and 75 years. (Figures 1 & 2) 47 summarizes the different age classes recorded. We had 69 men and 34 women, a sex ratio of 2.03. The professions were diverse and varied. There were 12 of them. Students and students were mostly found (Table 1).The circumstances of occurrence were, in order of frequency, traffic accidents (79%), work-related accidents (17%), defenestration (3%) and domestic accidents (1%). In 51 cases (49.52%) the lesional mechanism was lateral compression; anteroposterior compression accounted for 31 cases (30.1%); we also obtained 13 cases of combined mechanism (12.62%) and 8 cases of shear (7.76%).

Figure 1: Male-female ratio in the different studies.

Table 1: Professions.

| Professions             | Effectives | Percentage |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| Shoe shiner             | 1          | 0.971%     |
| Joiner                  | 1          | 0.971%     |
| Teacher                 | 3          | 2.91%      |
| Tailor                  | 3          | 2.91%      |
| Merchant                | 6          | 5.83%      |
| Driver and apprentice   | 6          | 5.83%      |
| surface technician      | 7          | 6.80%      |
| Mechanic                | 8          | 7.80%      |
| Older                   | 11         | 10.70%     |
Indeed in our countries, the man is mostly more affected whatever the circumstances of occurrence. He is deemed to be more active and therefore more exposed to traffic road [9]. This particularly masculine youth is known to be hyperactive and dynamic in the job market and more concerned with road traffic [9]. In addition, in occupational accidents, we find a high proportion of men. The most plausible explanation would be the absence of women in high-risk occupations such as masonry (work sites, scaffolding). Added to this is the non-compliance with safety standards such as the wearing of clogs, helmets and the use of archaic materials in construction sites namely the constitution of scaffolding by rudimentary materials.

Compared with the results of our series, most authors agree that the male age-distribution curve of fractures is of the bimodal type with a first peak in the young adult and a second peak in the segment of the population over the age of 50 [4,10,12,13]. These results are similar to those of Doumbia [1], Oumar Saud [14] and Freitas [11] which respectively find 68%; 64.7% and 62.5% of males. Concerning the circumstances of occurrence, traffic accident dominate the table with 76.7%. The latter are the first providers of trauma in the pelvis [8] and are clearly in the lead in 50 to 83% of cases according to the studies [3,4,10]. Améziane [10], Santara [7], Ngongang [4], Paparel [15] found concordant results with respectively 73%; 84.38%; 80% and 81.5%. The increase in the number of cars, two-wheeled vehicles and the narrowness of our roads, which do not often meet the required standards in terms of width and safety markings [9], seem to be the major causes of the occurrence of trauma of the pelvic ring. The state of the vehicles often without an up-to-date technical visit and the non-respect of the code of the road in our countries are not insignificant factors.

Moreover, we note a lack of materialization of the tracks that are borrowed concomitantly by pedestrians [9]. In our study, 58.23% of traffic accident are for pedestrians. During the traffic accident by collision between two vehicles we noticed that the drivers are less concerned with 2.53% of the traffic accident while the front and rear passengers are the victims with 29.11%. We believe that the survival or defense reflex could drive drivers to protect themselves to the detriment of other passengers. It would be interesting to continue this reflection in the other future works. The distribution of socio-professional layers is quite varied. However some professions may be more at risk especially in the building in dustry with high place falls. Compliance with established safety standards should be put in place by employers. Domestic accidents are found in our study whereas they do not exist in most authors [4,7,10,15]. It will be necessary to look in our contexts if the standards of construction are respected [16-20]. Indeed some families live in unfinished houses and sometimes in very precarious conditions compared to lighting which exposes [21-26].

### Discussion

At the epidemiological level, the most affected age group is between 20 and 29 years old with 25.24%. This result is consistent with that of Traoré [6] and Santara [7], which regain a peak between 21 and 30 years with respectively 30.55% and 37.5%. Indeed, in the literature, the age group most exposed to trauma of the pelvic ring is between 15 and 30 years old [8]. This confirms the theory that trauma to the pelvic ring is the preserve of the young adult. It is aware of this stage of life that the human being is more active and dynamic on the socio-professional level. There is a relationship between age and the circumstances of occurrence (p = 0.02). Individuals under 40, constituting more than 75% of the population in our regions [9], are the most affected in our study. In children, certain constitutional features are taken into account: the flexibility and great deformability of the pelvis expose it less to this type of traumatic lesion. On the other hand, at an advanced age with the association of osteoporosis-related defects, the exposure is more manifest because of the existing bone fragility.

| Table 2: Age variation by series. |
|----------------------------------|
| **Studies**          | **Average Age (years)** | **Extreme (years)** |
| Améziane et coll.     | 40                      | (15-66)              |
| Doumbia              | 29,5                    | (6-58)               |
| Hanane Farah         | 36                      | (14-76)              |
| Santara              | 28,2                    | (10-67)              |
| Traoré et coll.      | 36,27                   | (18-55)              |
| Tile                 | 34,2                    | (15-61)              |
| **Our series**       | 34,3                    | (10-75)              |

However, since these people are less active, these fractures are rarely found at this age (Table 2). Male dominance is the rule in most studies [3,8,10,11]. In our series, 67% of patients are men with a sex ratio of 2.03. This major finding is confirmed by a correlation found between sex and the circumstances of occurrence (p = 0.01). Indeed in our countries, the man is mostly more affected whatever the circumstances of occurrence. He is deemed to be more active and therefore more exposed to traffic road [9]. This particularly masculine youth is known to be hyperactive and dynamic in the job market and more concerned with road traffic [9]. In addition, in occupational accidents, we find a high proportion of men. The most plausible explanation would be the absence of women in high-risk occupations such as masonry (work sites, scaffolding). Added to this is the non-compliance with safety standards such as the wearing of clogs, helmets and the use of archaic materials in construction sites namely the constitution of scaffolding by rudimentary materials.

Compared with the results of our series, most authors agree that the male age-distribution curve of fractures is of the bimodal type with a first peak in the young adult and a second peak in the segment of the population over the age of 50 [4,10,12,13]. These results are similar to those of Doumbia [1], Oumar Saud [14] and Freitas [11] which respectively find 68%; 64.7% and 62.5% of males. Concerning the circumstances of occurrence, traffic accident dominate the table with 76.7%. The latter are the first providers of trauma in the pelvis [8] and are clearly in the lead in 50 to 83% of cases according to the studies [3,4,10]. Améziane [10], Santara [7], Ngongang [4], Paparel [15] found concordant results with respectively 73%; 84.38%; 80% and 81.5%. The increase in the number of cars, two-wheeled vehicles and the narrowness of our roads, which do not often meet the required standards in terms of width and safety markings [9], seem to be the major causes of the occurrence of trauma of the pelvic ring. The state of the vehicles often without an up-to-date technical visit and the non-respect of the code of the road in our countries are not insignificant factors.

Moreover, we note a lack of materialization of the tracks that are borrowed concomitantly by pedestrians [9]. In our study, 58.23% of traffic accident are for pedestrians. During the traffic accident by collision between two vehicles we noticed that the drivers are less concerned with 2.53% of the traffic accident while the front and rear passengers are the victims with 29.11%. We believe that the survival or defense reflex could drive drivers to protect themselves to the detriment of other passengers. It would be interesting to continue this reflection in the other future works. The distribution of socio-professional layers is quite varied. However some professions may be more at risk especially in the building in dustry with high place falls. Compliance with established safety standards should be put in place by employers. Domestic accidents are found in our study whereas they do not exist in most authors [4,7,10,15]. It will be necessary to look in our contexts if the standards of construction are respected [16-20]. Indeed some families live in unfinished houses and sometimes in very precarious conditions compared to lighting which exposes [21-26].

### Conclusion

Thus at the end of our study, we make the following recommendations:

a. Improving the condition of the road network and vehicles to reduce the high prevalence of traffic accidents [26-30],

b. The establishment and dissemination of a traffic accident prevention policy, the technical control of vehicles, the
construction of footbridges for pedestrians, the decluttering of sidewalks, rigor in the issuance of driving licenses [31-34],
c. Awareness of road users to respect safety standards,
d. The requirement for a driving license for two-wheeled vehicles,
e. Mandatory wearing of the seatbelt [35-39],
f. Compliance with safety standards in the workplace,
g. Psychological support.
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