Evaluation for the effects of different cleaning techniques applied on Küfeki Stones Used in historical buildings in İstanbul

İstanbul'daki tarihi yapılarda kullanılan Küfeki Taşları üzerinde uygulanan farklı temizleme yöntemlerinin etkilerinin değerlendirilmesi

Öz
İstanbul'daki pek çok tarihi yapıda, çoğulukla kireç taşı, fosili kireç taşı ve kilit kireç taşlarının banyesinde barndırma ve bölgede “Küfeki taşı” olarak adlandırılan taşlar kullanılmaktadır. Bu çalışma kapsamında, bu taşların yine kullanılan farklı ocağın sahalarından taze örnekler alınmıştır. Bu örnekler üzerinde mineraloji, petrografik, kimiyayal analizler ile fiziksel ve mekanik değerlerin değerlendirilmiştir. Arındandan aynı örnek gruplarının farklı, hızlandırılmış eskitme yöntemleri ile bozunmaları sağlanmıştır. Eskitilen örnekler lacivert renkli mikro kumlamada yöntemleri ile temizlenmiş ve temizlenen taşların tabi tuttuğu ağırlık değişimi ile görsel değişimi ayrıntılı olarak belirlenmiştir. Elde edilen bulgulara göre, temizleme yönteminin seçiminde mutlaka kaçaş yapısı ve fiziksel özelliklerinin gözellenmesi gerekli bir kez daha önemini görmüşdür. Bunun yanında taşın kullanım şeklinin ve kirlilik türünün de yine temizleme yönteminin seçimi açısından dikkate alınması gereklidir. Küfeki taşları üzerinde ise lazer ile temizlemeye yönteminin fiziksel olarak tança daha az hasar veren yöntem olduğunu belirlemiştir.
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1 Introduction

In İstanbul, many historical buildings have been constructed using limestone outcropped in the region. These rocks composed of limestone, fossiliferous limestone and clayey limestone are generally named as “Küfeki Stones” in the region. Many usage areas of these stones in İstanbul were identified by [1]. The basic geological characteristics of these rocks were identified by [2] and basic physical and mechanical properties of Küfeki stones were already mentioned by [3]-[5]. These widely used Küfeki stones alter by losing their original material properties due to air pollution, human effects, acid rains etc. in certain time periods [6]. To preserve the stones, several cleaning techniques have been reported to clean and remove patina (the polluted parts) from the stones [7]. But adverse effects of cleaning techniques on stones were not investigated deeply. For the Küfeki stones, it is only generally known that the techniques used to remove the patina from the rock, harms the rocks surface and affects on the service life of the stone. Therefore, the less harmful cleaning techniques should be investigated and operated.

Paper describes the mineralogical, petrographic, physical and mechanical properties of the Küfeki stones obtained from different quarries (Bakırköy, Sazlıbosna and Pınarhisar) which are close to the city and used as original (Bakırköy Küfeki stone) or alternative (Sazlıbosna and Pınarhisar) building stones in many historical buildings. In the second part of the study, Küfeki Stones were artificially aged then they were cleaned with different cleaning techniques. After cleaning process weight losses and visual changes of investigated stones were determined to evaluate the efficiencies for different cleaning techniques.
The methods and findings of this research identify the effects of various cleaning techniques on artificially aged Küfeki stones in such a detail for the first time in scientific literature.

2 Sampling and methodology

Bakırköy region was the major quarry site for Küfeki stone during periods of Rome, Byzantium and Ottoman Empires. Nowadays, due to the increase in population and widening of settlement areas these ancient quarries have not been be operated since a long time [8]. For these reasons, Sazlıbosna limestone quarry becomes the most active quarry site due to its close location and rock quality which is suitable for extracting big size blocks. Some other quarry sites stand in the western part of Istanbul which are between Vize (Kırklareli) and Saray (Tekirdağ). Within this respect, block samples with dimensions of 15x20x40 cm were gathered from different quarries as given in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Location map of sampling sites.

Block samples were then saw cut to various dimensions for different tests (e.g. 7x7x7 cm (freeze-thaw, water absorption), 4x4x4cm (salt crystallization), 1x6x12 cm (SO₂ aging)). Additionally, samples for thin section were also prepared with representative powder samples that were obtained by milling in agate mortar to obtain samples having grain sizes smaller than 300μm from each sampling points. Powder samples were used in determining specific gravity, loss on ignition, loss in acid and in X-Ray Diffraction analysis.

2.1 Mineralogical, petrographic and chemical analyses

Mineralogical studies were conducted to obtain the mineralogical composition of each specimen. X Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis were utilized during this process on powder samples. A GNR APR 2000 PRO X-ray diffractometer was used under CuKα radiation with a voltage of 40 kV and a current of 30 mA. The data were collected between 5° and 55° for 2θ values. The petrographic properties defined by the relative existence of mineral grains and matrix of the studied rocks were obtained by thin section analyses under the polarized petrography microscope.

Loss on ignition (LOI) tests were carried out again on powder samples by heating these samples for two hours up to 105°C and then heating up to 1050°C for three hours. The weight loss between these two heating temperatures was calculated as loss on ignition according to [9]. The last chemical analyses conducted on Küfeki stones was loss in acid (11A). The powder samples were mixed with 10% HCl acid and the weight loss before and after mixing with the acid was reported as loss in acid.

2.2 Physical and Mechanical tests

Physical properties such as, unit weight, specific gravity, effective porosity, water absorption, water absorption coefficient by capillarity, P-wave velocity and uniaxial compressive strength tests were carried according to the suggested standard test methods given by [10]-[14].

2.3 Artificial aging tests

These test were carried on fresh samples obtained from quarries given in Figure 1. Sodium sulfate crystallization (Resistance against salt crystal) test was conducted according to proposed methods in [15]. Freeze – Thaw effect was conducted as proposed in [9],[16] and sulfur dioxide dry deposition (SO₂ aging) test was accomplished as suggested in [17].

2.4 Cleaning techniques

Laser cleaning and pressurized micro-sand blasting cleaning techniques were selected to clean the artificially aged stone samples. Laser cleaning was conducted by a device of Quanta Systems and micro-sand blasting was accomplished under a pressure of 0.8bar.

3 Mineralogical and petrographic properties of Küfeki Stones

Mineralogical and petrographic studies on Küfeki Stones reveal that even mineralogical properties are similar (Figure 2) due to the variations in petrographic parameters each rock group exhibits unique properties. Balırköy küfeki stone (BK) is a biomicritic limestone composed of abundant amount of Maicra fossils and fossil fragments. BK also comprises low amount of clay and quartz grains. Vize-Pınarhisar küfeki stone (P) and Sazlıbosna küfeki stone (BH) belong to the same geological formation and exhibit similar lithological properties. Both rocks compose varying amount of clay minerals and many fossils and fossil fragments with quartz grains (Figure 3). Based on XRD analysis, these rocks composed of mainly calcite (96.9% for BK, 93.3% for BH and 85.7% for P) and lesser amount of quartz and clay (Ca-smectite) minerals (3.0% for BK, 6.7% for BH and 14.3% for P).

Figure 2. XRD Patterns of the studied stones.

4 Physical and mechanical properties

Slight variations in micro-textural properties of the studied rocks generate changes in the physical and mechanical properties. Balırköy küfeki stone has the highest organic
material content whereas Pınarhisar küfeki stone exhibits the lowest values. Similarly, the highest LOI values were obtained from Pınarhisar samples. The lowest values for LOI were obtained from Sazlıbosna stones. Contrary, LIA values were the highest for Sazlıbosna and lowest for Pınarhisar stones (Table 1).

The specific gravity values for all rock types stand in a narrow range. The water absorption values by weight and volume are in good agreement with the effective porosity values. The porosities of Pınarhisar and Sazlıbosna stones are very close to each other while Bakırköy stone has the lowest effective porosity values (Table 2). The samples with higher porosities absorbed more water both in atmospheric conditions and in boiled water. The highest water absorption values were observed in Pınarhisar stones and the lowest values were obtained by Bakırköy stones. The water absorption coefficient by capillarity values for the studied stones defined according to the suggested methods by [8] are given in Table 3.

The change of capillary water absorption by time graph proposed by the same suggested method is given in Figure 3. According to the data obtained from these tests suggest that Bakırköy stones has the lowest capillary water absorption and Pınarhisar and Sazlıbosna stones have higher capillary water absorption values (Figure 3). Similar values were obtained for the water absorption coefficient by capillarity (Table 3). The water absorption values and effective porosity values given above are in a good agreement. The uniaxial compressive strength of studied stones exhibits similar values. It is also evident that the P wave values for the studied rocks are low for rocks having high capillary water absorption values and relatively high water absorption coefficient by capillarity (Table 4).

| Macroscopic view from block samples | Photomicrographs of thin sections | OM (%) | LOI (%) | LIA (%) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Bakırköy küfekisi                   | ![Bakırköy küfekisi](image)     | 2.23   | 42.69   | 86.96   |
| Pınarhisar küfekisi                | ![Pınarhisar küfekisi](image)  | 0.87   | 43.34   | 85.74   |
| Sazlıbosna küfekisi                | ![Sazlıbosna küfekisi](image)  | 1.82   | 42.03   | 93.31   |

OM: Organic material, LOI: Loss on ignition, LIA: Loss in acid solution, FF: Fossil fragment, Ca: Calcite.

| Sample name     | Gs   | ys (gr/cm³) | yd (gr/cm³) | Wα(w) (%) | Wα(v) (%) | Wα(wb) (%) | Wα(vb) (%) | n_eff (%) |
|-----------------|------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Bakırköy (BK)   | (5)  | 2.54        | 2.35        | 2.27      | 3.53      | 8.02       | 4.44       | 10.17     | 8.03      |
| Sazlıbosna (BH) | (5)  | 2.59        | 2.29        | 2.14      | 7.36      | 15.73      | 7.27       | 15.57     | 15.74     |
| Pınarhisar (P)  | (5)  | 2.55        | 2.28        | 2.11      | 7.67      | 16.18      | 8.08       | 17.10     | 16.19     |

Values in parenthesis shows the sample numbers. Gs= specific gravity, ys = Saturated unit weight, yd= dry unit weight, Wα(w) : water absorption by weight, Wα(wb) : water absorption by weight in boiled water, Wα(v) : water absorption by volume, Wα(vb) : water absorption by volume in boiled water, n_eff: effective porosity.
Table 3. Water absorption coefficient by capillarity by time for the studied stones.

| t (minute) | Number of samples | BK (g/m²*sec^0.5) | BH (g/m²*sec^0.5) | P (g/m²*sec^0.5) |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1         | 6                | 109.16           | 160.67           | 256.66           |
| 3         | 6                | 82.14            | 135.55           | 195.84           |
| 5         | 6                | 73.70            | 131.02           | 182.19           |
| 10        | 6                | 59.59            | 119.99           | 152.39           |
| 15        | 6                | 59.69            | 113.80           | 155.51           |
| 30        | 6                | 53.77            | 109.64           | 147.17           |
| 60        | 6                | 45.09            | 92.57            | 129.45           |
| 480       | 6                | 24.12            | 66.49            | 71.21            |
| 1440      | 6                | 14.17            | 38.47            | 41.33            |

g: Gram, m²: area, s^0.5: Square root of time in seconds.

Table 4. Mechanical properties and P-wave velocities of studied rocks.

| Sample name | UCS (MPa) | Vp (km/s) |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Bakırköy (BK) | 24 (10)  | 5.06 (10) |
| Sazlıbosna (BH) | 21 (10)  | 4.47 (10) |
| Pınarhisar (P) | 19 (10)  | 3.98 (10) |

Values in parenthesis shows the number of test samples. UCS: Uniaxial compressive strength, Vp: P-wave velocity.

5 Artificial aging tests

The investigated stones were subjected to freeze-thaw cycles, sodium sulfate crystallization and sulfur dioxide dry deposition (SO₂ aging) by means of accelerated aging tests to generate polluted stone surfaces. After these tests the weight loss for each sample was measured and results are given in Table 5. The visual changes on the stone surface are provide in Figure 4, 5 and 6. Bakırköy Küfeki stone preserved its initial durability after each accelerated aging test. On the other hand, Pınarhisar and Sazlıbosna stones were disintegrated after sodium sulfate crystallization test. Thus no further studies were able to conduct on the samples.

The weight losses after accelerated aging tests for each tested rock group are consistent with the water absorption coefficient by capillarity and capillary water absorption values. The samples with higher weight losses also have higher water absorption coefficient by capillarity.

Table 5. Weight loss in samples after accelerated aging tests.

| Sample name     | Weight loss after salt crystallization (%) | Weight loss after freeze-thaw cycles (%) | Weight loss after sulfur dioxide dry deposition (SO₂ aging) (%) |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Bakırköy        | 4.15                                     | 0.106                                  | 0.11                                                     |
| Sazlıbosna      | Disintegrated                            | 0.178                                  | 0.14                                                     |
| Pınarhisar      | Disintegrated                            | Disintegrated                          | 0.524                                                   |

Six measurements were carried out on every sample for each test and the average value are given.

Figure 4. Macroscopic view of stone samples after which are aged by freeze-thaw cycles and cleaned with different cleaning techniques (modified from [18]).

Figure 5. Macroscopic view of samples after which are aged by sodium sulfate crystallization and cleaned with different cleaning techniques (modified from [18]).
Figure 6. Macroscopic view of stone samples after which are aged by sulfur dioxide dry deposition and cleaned with different cleaning techniques (modified from [18]).

6 Changes on the cleaned stones

The polluted stone surfaces by accelerated aging tests (freeze-thaw cycles, salt crystallization and sulfur dioxide dry deposition (SO₂ aging)) were cleaned by laser and pressurized micro-sand blasting cleaning techniques. The weight losses of stones after using two different cleaning techniques on varying pollutant effects for each group are given in Table 6 and 7 separately. The visual changes after the cleaning procedure are given in Figures 4, 5 and 6 for different rock types and cleaning technique.

Laser cleaning techniques caused lesser weight loss than pressurized micro-sand blasting on all of the three types of stones which were polluted by freeze-thaw cycles (Table 6 and 7). After the accelerated test conducted by sodium sulfate crystallization only Bakırköy stone preserved its structure. Pınarhisar and Sazlıbosna stones were disintegrated to gravel size that prohibit the application of a cleaning procedure. The laser cleaning technique also ended up with lower weight loss than pressurized micro-sand blasting for this accelerated aging test on Bakırköy stone. Similarly, weight losses due to laser cleaning on the rock samples aged by sulfur dioxide dry deposition (SO₂ aging) were lower than the weight losses obtained by micro-sand blasting cleaning (Table 6 and 7). But a thin gel and a crust layer were formed on the stone surfaces during the sulfur dioxide dry deposition (SO₂ aging) accelerated pollution method. The crust layer was removed by the laser technique but the gel formed during the sulfur dioxide dry deposition (SO₂ aging) accelerated pollution method was remained. Pressurized micro-sand blasting method was able to remove both the gel and crust layer. For this reason, a thicker change was generated on the stone surfaces which is an evident for the higher weight losses. Besides, more roughness was generated on the stone surfaces due to micro-sand blasting technique than the laser cleaning technique.

Table 6. Weight losses after laser cleaning techniques.

| Sample name | Freeze-Thaw (%) | Salt crystallization (%) | SO₂ vapor (%) |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Bakırköy    | 0.16            | 0.115                    | 0.01          |
| Pınarhisar  | 0.09            | -                        | 0.11          |
| Sazlıbosna  | 0.13            | -                        | 0.13          |

Table 7. Weight losses after micro-sand blasting techniques.

| Sample name | Freeze-Thaw (%) | Salt crystallization (%) | SO₂ vapor (%) |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Bakırköy    | 0.22            | 0.25                     | 0.52          |
| Pınarhisar  | 0.26            | -                        | 1.12          |
| Sazlıbosna  | 0.37            | -                        | 1.24          |

7 Conclusions

The evaluation of weight loss and visual appearances of various rocks types after accelerated aging tests and cleaning procedures are given below.

Micro-sand blasting technique generates new rough surfaces which can be seen by naked eyes on the surfaces of cleaned stones.

It is important to detect the main polluting agent, at least at a basic level, before choosing and applying appropriate cleaning technique.
The effect of petrographic and physical properties of the stone should be considered in defining the appropriate cleaning technique.

It is strongly concluded that the water absorption coefficient by capillarity of küfeki stones influences the pollution process and the efficiency of cleaning method. It is evident that the stones with lower water absorption coefficient by capillarity and lower capillary water absorption values are more resistance to pollution effects and less damages generated during cleaning procedure.

The study concludes that during cleaning or restoration of studied stones, it is also essential to define the usage type of the stones. According to this, stones used as load-bearing function should be cleaned by laser cleaning system which has lower effect on the weight loss. On the other hand, stones which are not used in load-bearing systems and are more important by visual appearances can be cleaned by micro-sand blasting technique that wipes away the entire polluted crust and bring new surface to light.
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