Photoacoustic imaging with fiber optic technology: A review
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ABSTRACT

Photoacoustic imaging (PAI) has achieved remarkable growth in the past few decades since it takes advantage of both optical and ultrasound (US) imaging. In order to better promote the wide clinical applications of PAI, many miniaturized and portable PAI systems have recently been proposed. Most of these systems utilize fiber optic technologies. Here, we overview the fiber optic technologies used in PAI. This paper discusses three different fiber optic technologies: fiber optic light transmission, fiber optic US transmission, and fiber optic US detection. These fiber optic technologies are analyzed in different PAI modalities including photoacoustic microscopy (PAM), photoacoustic computed tomography (PACT), and minimally invasive photoacoustic imaging (MIPAI).

1. Introduction

Optical imaging can be a powerful tool for diagnosing and treating human diseases in healthcare but tissue is a highly scattering medium. Due to this optical scattering, conventional optical imaging methods cannot provide high-resolution imaging in deep biological tissues [1]. However, optical signals in tissue can be transferred into ultrasound (US) signals, which are scattered much less. Photoacoustic imaging (PAI) is a hybrid imaging modality that combines the advantages of optical imaging and ultrasound imaging [2-5]. PA signals come from optical absorption. In PAI, pulsed or amplitude-modulated optical energy is delivered to imaging target. Some PAI systems deliver light energy to the tissue surface, while other PAI systems deliver light energy into the inside of the tissue through optical fibers [6-15]. The delivered optical energy will be absorbed and converted into heat, which will cause transient thermoelastic expansion and lead to broadband ultrasound emission. The generated photoacoustic pressure propagates through the medium and is detected by an ultrasonic transducer or transducer array at multiple sites. [16-20]. PAI can provide deeper imaging depth and higher spatial resolution than conventional optical imaging methods because the scattering of the ultrasonic signal by physiological tissue is 2-3 orders of magnitude lower than the optical signal. PAI can also provide higher contrast between different tissues than ultrasound because the contrast depends on optical absorption versus the mechanical and elastic properties of the tissue [21-35].

1.1. PAI implementations

Currently, PAI can be classified into PA microscopy (PAM), PA computed tomography (PACT), and minimally invasive PA imaging (MIPAI) based on the target imaging depth [36] as shown in Fig. 1. PAM employs focused optical illumination and focused acoustic detection (Fig. 1), which can achieve high spatial resolution (<50 μm) but low imaging depth (<10 mm). PACT employs wide-field optical illumination and unfocused acoustic detection (Fig. 1) providing relatively low spatial resolution (>50 μm) but relatively high imaging depth (10-100 mm) [37,38]. MIPAI employs internal optical illumination (Fig. 1) providing the deepest imaging depth (>100 mm) of these three categories. The spatial resolution and the imaging depth information relationship for PAI is shown in Fig. 1(b) [36].

PAM can be further categorized as optical resolution PAM (OR-PAM) and acoustic resolution PAM (AR-PAM) based on the spatial resolution (Fig. 1). In OR-PAM, the optical focus is much tighter than acoustic focus, while in AR-PAM, the acoustic focus is tighter than the scattered beam as shown in Fig. 1 [36]. Consequently, OR-PAM imaging depth (<1 mm) is limited by optical diffusion but can achieve a high lateral resolution (<10 μm). Conversely, AR-PAM imaging depth (1-10 mm) is determined by acoustic focus but providing relatively low lateral resolution (<50 μm) compared to OR-PAM [36,38,39]. In summary, AR-PAM systems usually have lower lateral resolution compared to OR-PAM but higher depth of penetration. In both cases, the axial resolution is determined by the bandwidth of the ultrasonic transducer. The
detection image is formed by mechanically scanning the optical/ 
aoustic focus point and then simply combining the scanned signal.

In PACT, wide-field high-energy light is irradiated on the tissue 
surface to generate photoacoustic waves that are detected by wide-
band ultrasonic transducers. These ultrasonic transducers can be either a 
single ultrasonic transducer rotating around the sample, a linear array of 
transducer elements, or a fixed ring array of multiple transducer ele-
ments [40]. As a result, PACT offers higher imaging depth (10–100 mm) 
and lower spatial resolution (>50 μm) than PAM. The detection image is 
normally formed through digital image reconstruction algorithms [38].

Fig. 1. Photoacoustic imaging implementation. (a) Photoacoustic imaging implementation based on detection depth. PAI, photoacoustic imaging; PAM, photoacoustic microscopy; PACT, photoacoustic computed tomography; MIPAI, minimally invasive photoacoustic imaging; OR-PAM, optical resolution photoacoustic microscopy; AR-PAM, acoustic resolution photoacoustic microscopy; IPA, internal-illumination photoacoustic; PAE, Photoacoustic endoscopy. (b) Spatial resolution versus depth of examinations for photoacoustic imaging (PAI) techniques. OR-PAM, optical resolution photoacoustic microscopy; AR-PAM, acoustic resolution photoacoustic microscopy; PACT, photoacoustic computed tomography; IPA, internal-illumination photoacoustic; PAE, Photoacoustic endoscopy [36]. (c) Representative implementations of fiber optic-based photoacoustic imaging. OR-PAM, optical resolution photoacoustic microscopy; AR-PAM, acoustic resolution photoacoustic microscopy; PACT, photoacoustic computed tomography; IPA, internal-illumination photoacoustic; PAE, Photoacoustic endoscopy; OF, optical fiber; UST, ultrasound transducer; OFB, optical fiber bundle; BV, blood vessel; PT, protection tube.
Due to the strong attenuation of photons, the maximum tissue depth at which PAM and PACT can achieve sufficient contrast from endogenous chromophores has been limited to 40 mm [41]. The deepest penetration depth for PACT and PAM reported is 120 mm in chicken breast tissue using highly absorbing exogenous contrast agent surfactant-stripped CyFaP (ss-CyFaP) [42]. Clinical applications include prostate and breast imaging. The prostate is located more than 100 mm from the skin surface. To deal with these deep internal tissue applications, minimally invasive PA imaging (MIPAI) has been developed in recent years [43–48]. Compared to traditional non-invasive PAI techniques, MIPAI techniques utilize fiber optic technologies to deliver light inside of the body for imaging [49–63].

MIPAI systems have been built in various clinical fields, including fetal surgery [45], deep brain imaging [64], prostate cancer imaging [65–67], interventional guidance radiofrequency ablation on liver [68], gastrointestinal tract imaging [69–71], vascular network imaging [72], cervical cancer diagnostic [73], and so on. In these systems, the optical fiber light delivery component is set in the instrument channel of the interventional medical equipment [36]. The PA signal detection component is arranged on the surface of the tissue or in the body.

Based on the installation position of the ultrasonic transducer, MIPAI can be divided into internal-illumination photoacoustic (IPA) and photoacoustic endoscopes (PAE) as shown in Fig. 1 [36]. In IPA, the ultrasonic transducer is placed outside the body. In contrast, in PAE, the ultrasonic transducer is in the body. Since the light source can set inside the body, the imaging depth (>100 mm) of IPA and PAE usually is highest among all these categories. The spatial resolution of both cases is determined ultrasonic transducer properties. Most MIPAI detection images are usually produced by digital image reconstruction algorithms.

1.2. Fiber optic technology in PAI

Fiber optic technology are broadly used in PAI [74–76]. The uses of fiber optics in PAI are mainly divided into the following three types, light transmission [77–79], US transmission [80], and US detection [81] as shown in Fig. 2(a). In PAI, the main function of fiber optics is to transmit light to imaging targets. In some cases of PAM or PACT, a single fiber or a fiber bundle is used to transfer light from the light source to the tissue surface [82]. The benefit of this fiber optic structure is that people can more easily move the light to imaging targets. In MIPAI, fiber optic light transmission technology is used in almost all cases. Due to the need to transmit light into the body, optical fibers have become the best light carrier [83].

Another type of fiber optic technology used in PAI is fiber optic US transmission technology. Recently, photoacoustic ultrasound excitation technology is increasingly used for biomedical intravascular imaging [84]. Different from traditional PAI, the exogenous contrast agent is directly coated on the optical fiber so that the optical fiber can generate US signals. The exogenous contrast agent can be carbon black with Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) [85], Graphite with epoxy resin [86], carbon nanotubes (CNTs) with PDMS [87], and carbon nanofibers with PDMS [88] as well as gold nano-composite [89,90]. This fiber optic US transmission technology is mostly used in MIPAI. This fiber optic US probe can generate broadband wide-angle US signals and can provide image contrast complementary to traditional PAI. This imaging modality can also be recognized as US imaging based on the principle of the PA effect. Fig. 2(b) shows the different mechanisms of contrast for the traditional PAI and the PA based US. In traditional PAI, the incident light generated PA signal in the subject. This signal propagates back to a detector. In PA-based US, the PA effect is localized on the tip of the fiber for pulse-echo imaging.

The last category of fiber optic technology broadly used in PAI is fiber optic US detection technology. The optical fiber is used as the ultrasonic transducer to detect the signals. This kind of fiber optic US detection sensor features high sensitivity, small size, easy fabrication, low cost, and survived in a harsh environment compared to the electronic ultrasonic transducer. The main part of the fiber optic US detection technology is based on fiber optics Fabry-Perot (FP) principle [91]. There is a sandwich structure FP interferometer fabricated on the tip of the fiber. Different materials are used to manufacture this sandwich structure, such as epoxy, Parylene C, PDMS, and so on [92]. The fiber optic FP technology is usually used in PAE. Other types of fiber optic US detection technology are also in PAI, such as Fiber Bragg Grating (FBG) technology, Micro-Ring Resonator (MRR) technology, fiber laser technology and so on [93,94]. These technologies are mostly used in PAM and PACT.

The structure of this review is as follows: Section 2 reviews fiber optic light transmission technology. Section 3 reviews fiber optic US transmission technology. Section 4 reviews fiber optic US detection technology. Finally, in Section 5, some conclusions on all these fiber optic technology for PAI are discussed.

2. Fiber optic light transmission

2.1. Light transmission in PAM and PACT

In PAI, fiber optic technology is primarily used to transmit light to imaging targets because of its flexibility and compactness. In PAM and PACT, single mode fiber (SMF), multimode fiber (MMF), and optical fiber bundles all can be used for light transmission.

The conventional PAM system utilizes a mechanical raster scanning mechanism with motorized stages. The system is bulky and inherently slow in imaging speed, limiting its wide-spread use in preclinical and clinical research. Recently, the SMF is widely used in portable handheld PAM. Park et al. [95] developed a PAM system using an SMF to transmit light (Fig. 3(a)). In their design, beams from a Q-switched pulsed laser were coupled into an SMF for convenient light delivery. The light was...
transmitted to a handheld PAM probe. This handheld probe based on micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) technology. This probe's advantages are its compact size, large imaging range and fast imaging speed: diameter of 17 mm, weight of 162 g, maximum imaging range of 3 mm × 4 mm, and an imaging speed of 35 Hz. However, fiber coupling may be difficult due to the SMF use, and the output optical energy is relatively small.

The MMF is easier to couple than the SMF and are less susceptible to damage due to good coupling. The MMF can transmit more light energy than the SMF. Papadopoulos et al. [96] built a PAM system using an MMF, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The optical field that propagates through the fiber couples to the different modes may cause the output light to spread. In their system, an optical phase conjugation technology has been used to eliminate the scattering. A Q-switched pulse laser light was focused on the diffraction limit point by the microscope objective (OBJ), and then propagated through the MMF to the proximal tip, and a spot pattern was generated at the proximal tip. The reference beam was modulated by the spatial light modulator (SLM) forming the optical phase conjugate beam. The system has high resolution with a resolution of 1.5 μm, but its microscopic field of view is limited with a field-of-view...
of 201 μm × 201 μm.

Different from the focused light in PAM, PACT is based on wide-area light illumination. Optical fiber bundles have become a better candidate in PACT systems. Garcia-UrIBE et al. [97] demonstrated a PACT system using optical fiber bundle for light delivery, as shown in Fig. 3(c). A Q-switched pulsed laser light was coupled to a fused-end, bifurcated fiber bundle that flanked both sides of a commercially available ultra-sonic transducer array probe. The fiber optic bundle was encapsulated in a custom enclosure surrounding the transducer array and electronics to provide an easy-to-operate, ergonomic integrated unit. The advantage of this system is the integration of PACT and clinical US (IU22, Phillips Healthcare) systems making this system operating for both PA and US imaging. However, the raw channel data of this commercial US system cannot be obtained, and engineers will have to cooperate with Philips to use the specially modified system.

In addition to the three systems mentioned above, many other groups have also invented PAM and PACT systems based on fiber optic light transmission technology for various clinical applications. Table 1 summarizes various PAM and PACT systems by using fiber optic light transmission technologies.

For the OR-PAM system, most groups use the MMF or the fiber bundle instead of the SMF because they can deliver more light energy than the SMF. Moothanchery et al. [98] proposed an OR-PAM system by using an MMF. The MMF was used as the optical excitation source for high resolution OR-PAM in vivo imaging. The use of MMF for achieving tight optical focus would make the optical alignment easier and high repetition rate light delivery possible for highspeed OR-PAM imaging. This system’s advantage is that it can achieve a lateral resolution of 3.5 μm, an axial resolution of 27 μm, and an imaging depth of 1.5 mm. This paper also discusses the performance between 10 μm MMF and 25 μm MMF with SMF. However, 10 μm MMF and 25 μm MMF are not commonly used MMFs, and larger MMFs should be studied. Hajireza et al. [99] developed an OR-PAM system by using optical fiber bundle. This fiber bundle with 800 μm image guides consisted of 30,000 individual single-mode fibers. The proposed system kept many of the powerful properties of conventional tabletop OR-PAM systems and presented a submillimeter probe footprint and high flexibility due to the nature of the fiber bundle image-guide. The advantage of this system is that it can be inserted into the body, but at the same time, its scanning range is limited.

For the AR-PAM system, AR illumination requires more light energy than the OR-PAM system, so the MMF is a better choice than the SMF. Baik et al. [100] invented an AR-PAM system based on an MMF. This AR-PAM system integrated Micro MEMS scanner and two linear motor stages featured ultra-wide-field imaging. This system could be used for microvascular structures and microstructures imaging. This system’s advantage is that it can provide high-speed imaging for wide-field scanning (30 mm × 80 mm). However, the resolution of this system is relatively low.

For the OR/AR-PAM system, some researchers integrate them into one system by using different types of optical fibers. Xing et al. [82] integrated OR-PAM and AR-PAM system together by delivering light via an optical fiber bundle. To achieve a smaller spot size and thus a higher lateral resolution, a single fiber core was used to transmit light for OR illumination, and all the 10,000 fiber cores were used to transmit more energy for AR illumination. This system features automatically high-resolution OR and deeper AR photoacoustic imaging. However, the imaging speed is relatively slow due to two-dimensional mechanical scanning.

Cai et al. [101] also reported a PAM system consisting of both AR-PAM and OR-PAM based on an SMF and an MMF. This system was used for imaging and characterization of poly (lactic-glycolic acid) polymer scaffolds incorporating single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNT). For OR-PAM, the light was coupled into an SMF while for AR-PAM the light was coupled into a multimode optical fiber to obtain more energy. This system can be used for noninvasive imaging and monitoring of tissue engineering scaffolds under physiological conditions in vitro and in vivo. The advantage of this system is that it can provide higher-resolution OR and deeper AR photoacoustic imaging. However, it is not simultaneous imaging. Moothanchery et al. [102] developed a high-speed OR-PAM and AR-PAM system by using two multimode fibers. The system was an integrated AR and OR-PAM system equipped with a MEMS scanner and a mechanical stage that could

Table 1

| Modality | Fiber optics properties | Light source | Ultrasound system | Resolution (μm) | Depth (mm) | Medical Application | Testing sample | Reference |
|----------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------|----------|
| OR-PAM   | SMF (NA = 0.28)         | 532 nm laser | 50 MHz, Olympus   | L: 12 A: 30    | 1         | Melanoma imaging    | Phantom, Mouse | Park [95] |
| OR-PAM   | 220 μm MMF (NA = 0.53)  | 532 nm laser | 20 MHz, Olympus   | 1.5            | –         | Minimal invasive surgery | Knot         | Papado-poulos [96] |
| OR-PAM   | 10 μm MMF (NA = 0.10)/ 25 μm MMF | 532 nm laser | 50 MHz, Olympus | L: 3.5 A: 27   | 1.5       | In vivo imaging      | Mouse          | Moothanchery [98] |
| OR-PAM   | Fiber bundle            | 532 nm laser | 10 MHz, CD International | L: 7 A: 84  | 2.3       | Minimal invasive surgery | Mouse         | Hajireza [99] |
| OR-PAM   | Fiber bundle            | 532 nm laser | –                 | L: 84 A: 38    | 1/3       | Microvascular, microstructures | Mouse, Human palm | Bai [100] |
| AR-PAM   | MMF                     | 532 nm laser | –                 | –              | –         | –                   | –             | –         |
| OR/AR-   | SMF (NA = 0.13)/1000 μm MMF (NA = 0.39) | 532 nm laser | 50 MHz, Olympus   | L: 2.6/45 A: 15 | 1/3       | Anatomic imaging     | Mouse          | Xing [82] |
| OR/AR-   | 10 μm MMF (NA = 0.10) / 400 μm MMF (NA = 0.39) | 532, 586 nm laser | 50 MHz, Olympus   | L: 5/84 A: 27  | 0.9/2     | Noninvasive imaging  | SWNT scaffolds in blood or in soft tissue | Cai [101] |
| PACT     | Fiber bundle            | 690–900 nm OPO laser | 24 MHz, 128-element, Veronica | L: 84 A: 38   | 5         | Dermatology          | Phantom, Mouse, Human skin lesions | Vionnet [75] |
| PACT     | Fiber bundle            | 532, 665 nm laser | 5–12, 4–8 Hz, 128-element, Philips | –              | –         | Breast cancer detection and treatment | Human breast | Garcia-UrIBE [97] |
| PACT     | Fiber bundle            | 730–830 nm laser | 8 MHz, 128-element, Imasonic SaS | 100            | 10        | Clinical vascular imaging | Human foot | Taruttis [77] |
perform wide-area imaging at high speed. 10 μm core size MMF was applied in OR-PAM system, and 400 μm core size MMF was utilized in AR-PAM system. The system is characterized by high-speed wide-area scanning simultaneous multi-scale photoacoustic microscopy. However, its resolution and imaging depth are lower than other AR/OR-PAM systems.

The PACT system requires deep tissue penetration and wide-area illumination. Compared with SMF and MMF, the fiber bundle is more suitable for use in this type of system. Vionnet et al. proposed a PACT system based on a fiber bundle. The fiber bundle with two linear arms is used to transmit excitation light and guide it to the sample. The fiber bundle arm is placed on both sides of the multi-element linear detector for imaging. This system also integrated a 24 MHz central frequency, 128-element array scanner for application in dermatology. The feature of this system is its ability to image healthy tissues and cancer, but its imaging depth is shallow compared with other PACT systems. Taruttis et al. also reported a PACT system based on a fiber bundle. The fiber bundle was set inside of a custom 8 MHz central frequency, 128-element transducer array. These transducer array elements were arranged on a 135° arc in a row facing inward. Compared with linear arrays, the concave shapes performed better capability for PA image reconstruction. The concave arc also left space for the fiber bundle light pulses to illuminate the skin. The developed system for imaging deep tissues of the human body allows clinical evaluation of major blood vessels and microvasculature. The characteristic of this system is that it has advantages in image reconstruction since it uses concave transducer arrays. However, the system has not been optimized for patient comfort.

2.2. Light transmission in MIPAI

In addition to being widely utilized in PAM and PACT system, fiber optic light transmission technology also applied in almost every case of the MIPAI system. In the MIPAI system, light needs to be transmitted into the body as an internal light source. Optical fibers have high light transmission efficiency and high flexibility so that they are widely used for light carriers in the MIPAI system. The MIPAI system is divided into IPA and PAE. Fig. 4 has shown typically cases for fiber optic light transmission technology used in IPA and PAE.

Due to the use of external light sources, PAM and PACT systems have limited imaging depth. In some clinical applications, deeper tissue target needs to be imaged. The IPA system is utilized fiber optic light transmission technology, transfers the external light sources as an internal light source. This allows deeper tissue targets to be imaged. Thus, the IPA system can be used to guide minimally invasive surgery. It can provide real-time high spatial resolution tissue information.

Bell et al. developed an IPA system based on a 1 mm core size MMF as shown in Fig. 4(a). This IPA system offered interventional guidance in an acoustically challenging environment. An MMF was inserted into the hollow needle core to deliver the laser light. The ultrasound probe was then placed outside the tissue and automatically controlled by a robot. The proposed IPA system was tested separately in fat, muscle, brain, skull, and liver tissue. The advantage of this system is that it combines fiber optic transmission technology with novel robotics technology. In this way, surgeries and procedures can be guided in acoustically challenging environments. The problem with this system is that the internal light source cannot homogeneously illuminate the surrounding tissue, which makes the imaging results inaccurate.

Different from the IPA system, in the PAE system, the ultrasound transducer was customized and set inside the body along with the optical fibers. Wang et al. reported a PAE system based on an MMF as shown in Fig. 4(b) for imaging lipid deposition inside the arterial wall. A 35 MHz ring shape transducer and a 400 μm core MMF were aligned concentrically with the hole of the transducer. A 45-degree rod mirror was applied to reflect the transmitted light and ultrasonic signals. A torque coil was utilized to accommodate the optical fiber and electric wire to provide rotational torque directly to the tip of the probe (2.9 mm diameter). They also developed a scanning system including a customized optical rotary joint with electric slip rings, a rotary motor, and a linear translation stage. This system is characterized by using a kHz repetition rate Raman laser to achieve a very high imaging speed.

Fig. 4. Embodiments of fiber optic light transmission technology in IPA and PAE. (a) Schematic of the IPA system based on an MMF; IPA image when visualizing the needle tip in muscle. Reprinted and adapted with permission from ref [103]. (b) Schematic of the PAE system based on an MMF; PAE image of the atherosclerotic artery clearly show the complementary information of the artery wall. Reprinted and adapted with permission from ref [44].
reaching 1.0 s per frame. However, its imaging resolution is relatively low.

Besides the above two MIPAI systems, many other IPA and PAE designs based on fiber optic transmission technology have also been proposed. A summary of MIPAI systems utilizing fiber optic transmission technology categorized based on the imaging modalities (IPA and PAE) is provided in Table 2.

For some IPA systems, the MMF is widely used since it can transmit higher optical energy and is relatively compact. Xia et al. [45] developed an IPA system based on a 910 μm core size MMF. The MMF was used for light delivery, which was positioned in a needle cannula. This research was focused on the development and characterization of IPA systems for performing multispectral photoacoustic imaging. Two near-infrared wavelength ranges (750–900 nm and 1150–1300 nm) were applied in the system. They were selected because of the good optical absorption of hemoglobin and lipids. This system provides robust contrast for vasculature and nerves during minimally invasive surgery. The advantage of this system is that it increases the imaging depth by using a custom-made annular fiber probe with diameter of 3.4 mm was applied. The probe consisted of two steel ferrules with 72 fibers (100 μm, NA = 0.2) arranged between them. The inner ferrule is designed to accommodate interventional needle in its lumen. The experimental results show that the interstitial illumination can assist needle guidance several centimeters inside the tissue. The advantage of this system is that it increases the imaging depth by using a fiber optic bundle to deliver more light energy. However, there are reconstruction artifacts in the PA images.

The IPA system mentioned above mainly relies on light illumination either from the single bare fiber tip or from a fiber bundle, which may not homogeneously illuminate the surrounding tissue. To overcome

| Modality | Fiber optics properties | Light source | Ultrasound system | Resolution (μm) | Depth (mm) | Medical application | Testing sample | Reference |
|----------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------|----------|
| IPA      | 910 μm MMF (NA = 0.22)  | OPO laser    |                  | 5–12 MHz, SonoMADP | L: 600, A: 100 | 38 | Fetal surgery | Phantom, Human placenta | Xia [45] |
| IPA      | 2.8 mm MMF              | 780 nm laser |                  | 5 MHz, ring UT | T: 250, A: 100 | 16 | Deep brain imaging | Mouse brain | Lin [64] |
| IPA      | 600 μm MMF (NA = 0.22)  | OPO laser    | 7.5 μm, Esaote | –              | –          | 24 | Prostate cancer treatment | Phantom, Porcine tissue | Singh [65] |
| IPA      | 1 mm MMF                | 1064 nm laser |                  | 5.5 MHz Alpinion | L: 2000, A: 1000 | 10–30 | Interventional guidance | Chicken, Steak Sheep liver | Bell [103] |
| IPA fiber bundle | 720–860 nm laser |                  | 5.5 MHz Alpinion | –              | –          | 32 | Radiofrequencyablation on liver | Bovine liver tissue | Francis [68] |
| IPA      | 1.5 mm MMF with 3 cm long diffuser | 1064 nm laser |                  | 5 MHz, Verasonics | L: 931, A: 419 | 75 | Cancer screening | Phantom, Mouse | Li [104] |
| IPA      | 1 mm MMF                | OPO laser    | 5–14 MHz, Analogic | – | – | 35 | Prostate imaging | Phantom | Ai [66] |
| IPA      | 2 mm MMF                | 675–2500 nm OPO laser | 5–9 MHz, Philips | – | – | 70 | Prostate imaging | Phantom | Bungart [67] |
| Modality | Fiber optics properties | Light source | Ultrasound system | Resolution (μm) | Size (mm) | Medical application | Testing sample | Reference |
| PAE      | SMF                     | 527 nm laser |                  | 15 MHz unfocused UT | T:49 | 9 | Gastrointestinal tract imaging | Phantom, Rabbitrectum | Xiong [69] |
| PAE      | SMF                     | 532 nm laser |                  | 40 MHz focused UT | R121 | | Vascular network imaging | Human ectocervix | Qu [72] |
| PAE      | 365 μm MMF (NA = 0.22)  | 570 nm laser |                  | 43 MHz, focused UT | T:177–520, R: 47–65 | 4.2 | Gastrointestinal tract imaging | Rat tissue samples | Yang [70] |
| PAE      | 365 μm MMF (NA = 0.22)  | 584 nm laser |                  | 40 MHz, unfocused UT | T:1000 | 2.5 | Gastrointestinal tract imaging | Rat colon | Yang [71] |
| PAE      | 200 μm MMF              | 532 nm laser | 39 MHz, ring UT | – | L:230–480, A:34–40 | 2.3 | Intravascular imaging | Phantom, Rabbit aorta | Wei [105] |
| PAE      | 400 μm MMF              | 1064 nm MOPA |                  | 35 MHz, ring UT | – | 2.9 | Intravascular imaging | Miniature pig arterial tissue | Wang [44] |
| PAE      | 550 μm MMF (NA = 0.22)  | 532 nm laser |                  | 5–10 MHz, Versasonics | L:300, A:211 | 7.5 | Cervical cancer diagnostic | Phantom | Bastija [73] |
| PAE      | GRIN MMF                | 1030 nm laser |                  | 42 MHz, Blatek | L:29.6, A:102 | 1.4 | Intravascular imaging | Femoral artery stent | Zhang [106] |
In conclusion, fiber optic light transmission technology is widely used in different modalities of photoacoustic imaging. It is an essential part of many compact and convenient photoacoustic imaging system.

3. Fiber optic US transmission

Fiber optic technology has been used not only to transmit light but also to transmit US for PAI in recent years. In standard PAI, endogenous/
exogenous contrast agents are on the target sample. However, in this fiber optic US transmission structure, the contrast agents are directly coated on optical fibers, as shown in Fig. 5, so the optical fibers can generate and transmit US signals. This fiber optic US system can generate broadband wide-angle US signals and can provide complementary image contrast as standard PAI. It can also be recognized as an US imaging based on the principle of PA effect.

The principle for fiber optic US transmission system is that US signals are generated and transmitted by illuminating the composites on optics fibers. Compared with conventional technologies, the fiber optic US transmission technology has the following advantages: 1) The transducer is compact. The outer diameter of one sensor is approximately hundreds of micrometers. 2) The transducer features a wide bandwidth that will provide high image resolution. 3) The transducer is easy to manufacture and low cost. 4) There is no electromagnetic interference for fiber optic US transmission element. 5) Some fiber optic US transmitters can transmit US signals at multiple points along a single fiber. Table 3 summarizes various fiber optic US transmission systems. These systems are mainly used in intravascular imaging, which can be categorized as IPA or PA based ultrasound imaging.

Most fiber optic US transmission systems have the contrast agent dip coated on the optical fiber tip. Colchester et al. [107] proposed a fiber optic US transmission probe shown in Fig. 5. Carbon nanotube (CNT)-polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) was used as the contrast agents. The multi-walled carbon nanotubes were functionalized and dissolved in xylene. Fig. 5(a) shows the chemical process of its synthesis. The CNT-PDMS composite solution was manufactured by manually mixing functionalized CNT/xylene solution with PDMS. This was followed by sonicating and degassing. A CNT-PDMS composite was dip coated on the tip of two MMFs with diameters of 105 and 200 μm. The US pressures and bandwidths of these fiber optic probes were measured and were consistent with values used for intravascular imaging. The feature of this system is the synthesis of CNT-PDMS composite material for the contrast agent. However, the biomedical application of the system needs to be verified by some animal or phantom tests. Zou et al. [108] developed a fiber optic US transmission probe whereby gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) within PDMS were ap-plied in the system. The materials synthesis followed a one-pot protocol by directly mixing the gold salt (HAuCl₄·3H₂O) into PDMS. After sonicating and degassing, AuNPs-PDMS composite was dip coating on the tip of a 400 μm core size MMF. The ultrasound profile and the acoustic distribution had been characterized. They also demonstrated its capability for C mode US imaging of a tissue specimen. This system is characterized by the synthesis of AuNPs-PDMS composite for the contrast agent. However, the imaging speed is relatively slow.

Poduval et al. [109] presented a fiber optic US transmission probe based on multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCNT)-polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)-PDMS material. The MWCNT in PVA was directly electrosprun onto a 200-μm core size MMF and subsequently dip-coated with PDMS. The electrosprun probe generated ultrasonic pressures in the MPa range at a low pulse energy of 11 μJ, which is suitable for clinical use. This system is characterized by the synthesis of MWCNT-PVA-PDMS composite for the contrast agent. However, the verification of the system lacks some animal or phantom tests. Vannacci et al. [110] described a fiber optic US probe using a new Micro-Opto-Mechanical System (MOMS) for endoscopic tissue analysis. Carbon films were used as contrast agents and were fabricated on miniaturized single-crystal silicon frames used to mount the probe on the tip of optical fibers. A polymer FP layer was also integrated for the detection function. The proposed system could be used in biomedical applications of broadband ultrasound through miniaturized fiber optic devices. This system is characterized by the synthesis carbon films for the contrast agent but still requires phantom and in vivo validation. Chang et al. [111]
problems due to the elongated shape of carbon. A 600 μm core size MMF and a same AuNP-PDMS composite were used in this system. The sidewall emission area could set as 5 mm, 10 mm, 20 mm etc. The US can be generated through the sidewall of the fiber. The length of the coreless fiber was set as 90 μm. Graphite-epoxy resin composite was used as contrast agents to generate and transmit the US wave. The feature of this system is the multipoint US generation element. By controlling the length of each optical fiber probe, this system can steer and focus the US signals for clinical intravascular imaging. One feature of this system is the phased array structure. In addition to the fiber optic tip phased array structure, some researchers built multipoint US generation systems on the sidewall of the optical fiber rather than on the tip of the optical fiber. Zhou et al. [114] built a fiber optic US system, in which the US signals were generated on the sidewall rather than on the tip of the optical fiber. A 600-μm core size MMF and a same AuNP-PDMS composite were used in this system. The sidewall coating and cladding of the optical fiber were re-moved so that the US can be generated through the sidewall of the fiber. The length of the sidewall emission area could set as 5 mm, 10 mm, 20 mm etc. The benefit of this system is that multiple US generation elements can be built by controlling the length of each optical fiber probe. This system can steer and focus the US signals for clinical intravascular imaging. One feature of this system is the phased array structure. In addition to the fiber optic tip phased array structure, some researchers built multipoint US generation systems on the sidewall of the optical fiber rather than on the tip of the optical fiber. Zhou et al. [114] built a fiber optic US system, in which the US signals were generated on the sidewall rather than on the tip of the optical fiber. A 600-μm core size MMF and a same AuNP-PDMS composite were used in this system. The sidewall coating and cladding of the optical fiber were re-moved so that the US can be generated through the sidewall of the fiber. The length of the sidewall emission area could set as 5 mm, 10 mm, 20 mm etc. The benefit of this system is that multiple US generation elements can be achieved in one single optical fiber. Li et al. [115] also demonstrated a multipoint fiber optic US system using two SMFs with one coreless fiber. The coreless fiber segment’s fusion with single-mode fibers were at each end. The length of the coreless fiber was set as 90 μm. Graphite-epoxy resin composite was used as contrast agents. The laser light can emit at the coreless area to contrast agents to generate and transmit the US signals. The feature of this system is the multipoint US generation structure. In some systems, fiber optic US transmission technology is combined with fiber optic US detection technology (fiber optic FP technology) for all-optical US imaging [53,116–122].

Table 3
Fiber optic US transmission in PAI. SMF, single mode fiber; MMF, multimode fiber; CNT, carbon nanotube; PDMS, Polydimethylsiloxane; AuNP, gold nanoparticles; MWCNT, multiwall carbon nanotube; PVA, polyvinyl alcohol; CSNPs, carbon soot nanoparticles; FP, Fabry-Perot; rGO, reduced graphene oxide; CB, carbon black; A, axial.

| Modality | Fiber optics properties | Contrast agents | Light source | Ultrasound system | Resolution (μm) | Medical application | Testing sample | Reference |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|
| IPA      | 105, 200 μm MMF         | CNT-PDMS        | 1064 nm laser| 30 MHz Precision Acoustics | –              | Miniature US imaging | –              | Colchester [107] |
| IPA      | 400 μm MMF (NA = 0.39)  | AuNP-PDMS       | 532 nm laser | 40 MHz, Onda     | 200            | Intravascular imaging | Pork tissue | Zou [108] |
| IPA      | 200 μm MMF (NA = 0.22)  | MWCNT-PVA-PDMS  | 1064 nm laser| 30 MHz Precision Acoustics | –              | Minimally invasive surgery | –              | Poduval [109] |
| IPA      | 200, 600 μm MMF         | Carbon films    | 1064 nm laser| 40 MHz, Onda     | –              | Minimally invasive surgery | –              | Vannacci [110] |
| IPA      | 600 μm MMF              | CSNPs-PDMS      | rGO-PDMS     | 1064 nm laser    | 30 MHz Precision Acoustics | –              | Ultrasound therapy | –              | Chang [111] |
| IPA      | Four 105 μm MMFs (NA = 0.22) | AuNP-PDMS | 532 nm laser | 40 MHz, Onda | 200 | Intravascular imaging | – | Zhou [113] |
| IPA      | 600 μm MMF (NA = 0.39)  | AuNP-PDMS       | 532 nm laser | 40 MHz, Onda | – | Intravascular imaging | – | Zhou [114] |
| IPA      | Two SMFs with one coreless fiber 200, 600 μm | Graphite-epoxy resin with EDFA | 1550 nm laser | 7.5 MHz, Olympus | – | Miniature imaging | – | Li [115] |
| PAE      | MMF (NA = 0.39)         | CNT-PDMS        | 1064 nm laser| 30 MHz Precision Acoustics, FP sensor | – | Interventional applications | Swine aorta | Alles [116] |
| PAE      | 200 μm MMF (NA = 0.22)  | CNT-PDMS        | 1064 nm laser| 60 MHz            | I: 88           | A: 64              | Intravascular imaging | Swine carotid artery | Colchester [117] |
| PAE      | MMF                     | MWCNT-PDMS      | 1064 nm laser| 30 MHz Precision Acoustics, FP sensor | – | Intravascular imaging | Swine aorta | Noimark [118] |
| PAE      | 105, 200, 400 μm MMF    | PDMS composites | 532 nm laser | FP sensor        | – | Intravascular imaging | Human placenta, Ex vivo human tissue, Pork belly | Noimark [118] |
| PAE      | 100 μm MMF              | CB-PDMS         | 532 nm laser | 40 MHz, Onda, FP sensor | A: 31 – 67 | L: 64 – 112 | Endoscopic, intravascular imaging | Phantom | Li [119] |
| PAE      | 400 μm MMF              | MWCNT-PDMS      | 1064 nm laser| FP sensor        | A: 54 – 71 | – | Minimally invasive surgery | Swine carotid | Colchester [120] |
| PAE      | 1000 μm MMF (N = 0.22)  | Thin film       | 532 nm laser | 80 MHz, Force Tech, FP sensor | A: 125 | – | Multimodality imaging | Cyst Phantom | Hsieh [121] |
| IPA      | 105, 200 μm MMF         | CNT-PDMS        | 1064 nm laser| 5–14 MHz, SonixMDP FP sensor | A: 70 | – | Minimally invasive surgery | Phantom | Xia [122] |
Alles et al. [116] built a pencil beam all-optical US imaging system. CNT-PDMS was used as contrast agents. It was an ultrasound probe that used the transmitted beam’s geometric focusing, forming image lines without image reconstruction. It was employed for ex vivo swine aorta imaging (3D). The advantage of this system is its miniature directional all-optical US imaging. However, the lateral resolution of this system is relatively lower than non-directional probes. Colchester et al. [117] introduced an all-optical US probe for high resolution vascular tissue imaging. A 200 μm core size MMF was used for US transmission. CNT-PDMS was used as contrast agents. Ultrasound was detected with an FP cavity on the end face of an adjacent optical fiber. The FP sensor of this system will be discussed in detail in Section 4. The probe was moved across the sample to build a virtual linear array of ultrasound transmit/receive elements. It was applied for the ex vivo swine carotid and aorta imaging (2D). The advantage of this system is its high-resolution imaging. The lack of septum between the US transmitter and receiver may cause crosstalk. Nolmark et al. [53] worked on an MWCNT-PDMS material for fiber optics US imaging. A 200 μm core size MMF was used for ultrasonic generation. In this work, they mainly discussed the methods for fabricating PDMS and MWCNT composite coatings. These methods included the use of two solvents to create an MWCNT organogel, dip coating the organogel, and subsequent overcoating with PDMS. These methods allowed the creation of thin and uniform CNT composites on miniature or temperature-sensitive surfaces to achieve a wide range of advanced sensing functions. They also presented an all-optical pulse-echo ultrasound imaging system using an FP sensor as a signal receiver. It was used for the ex vivo swine aorta imaging (2D). This system’s advantage is that its ultrasound transmitter achieved strong ultrasound pressures for large tissue penetration depths and wide bandwidths for high-resolution imaging. However, the performance of the FP sensor part of the system has not been discussed in detail. Colchester et al. [120] presented an all-optical rotational B-mode pulse-echo US imaging system. MWCNT-PDMS was used as the contrast agents. A 400 μm MMF was used for US generation element. A concave FP cavity at the distal end of a SMF was used for US detection element. This system demonstrated that it is viable for clinical rotational US imaging. Ex vivo swine carotid imaging (rotational 2D) was applied in this system to investigate the capabilities of the probe. This system is characterized by its all-optical rotating B-mode pulse-echo ultrasound imaging. This system is currently only applied for 2D imaging. It would be better if it could be applied to 3D imaging in the future.

In other systems, fiber optic US transmission technology is not only combined with fiber optic US detection technology, but also combined with fiber optic light transmission technology. These systems perform light delivery for PA imaging and US imaging (i.e. light and US delivery) in a single device, such as Noimark et al. [118], Li et al. [119], Hsieh et al. [121], and Xia et al. [122]. These systems combine high-quality acoustic resolution with high optical contrast.

Noimark et al. [118] also discussed multiple PDMS composites for optical US generation and PA imaging. They reviewed and classified the methods used to create these PDMS composites. By combining different fabrication methods, their approach established next-generation fiber optics US generators. Their imaging examples included ex vivo human placenta imaging (3D) and ex vivo human aorta and pork belly imaging US/PA (2D). The feature of this work is it discusses “all-in-one,” “bottom-up,” and “top-down” fabrication methods to accelerate the development of the next generation of fiber optic US generators. Li et al. [119] designed a miniature all-optical probe for PA and US imaging. Several optical fibers were used in this system. Two MMFs were used for optical transmission and US transmission. A SMF was used for FP sensor. The FP sensor part of this system will be discussed in Section 4. This system was used for resolution phantom imaging (2D). This system’s feature is it combines PA excitation, US generation, and US detection into one single probe. However, this probe requires a protective sheath, which adds bulk. Hsieh et al. [121] developed an all-optical scanhead for both PA and US imaging. A thin film plate was used for US generation. A 1000 μm MMF was used to deliver laser energy. The spot size related with a width of ultrasonic transmit element is 600 μm which was the same as the core size of the optical fiber for optical excitation. A FP structure was used for both the PA and US signal receiver. Cyst phantom imaging (2D) demonstrated this design’s feasibility. This system is characterized by US/PA imaging with an all-optical scanning head design. However, the axial resolution of this system is lower than other group designs. Xia et al. [122] designed a PAI system including a fiber optic light transmission PA probe, a fiber optic US transmission probe, and a fiber optic US detection probe (FP sensor). Two MMFs were used for transmission: One part was used to deliver the light and one was used to transmit US. CNT-PDMS was used as the contrast agents coated on the US transmission probe. One SMF was used for US detection. The FP sensor of this system will be discussed in detail in Section 4. This system is characterized by its high-resolution all-optical US/PA imaging. However, these three probes may cause crosstalk because there is no septum between them.

In summary, fiber optic US transmission technology based on the PA effect is becoming increasing common because it offers complementary contrast with standard PAI in minimally invasive imaging.

4. Fiber optic US detection

Fiber optic US detection technology is another major fiber optic technology applied in PAL. This method is to replace the traditional electrical US transducers with fiber optic US receivers. The fiber optic US detection elements are small with relatively high resolution and easy fabrication compared to the electrical detection elements. The most common is fiber optic Fabry-Perot (FP) technology. Other categories of fiber optic US detection technologies include fiber Bragg grating (FBG), micro-ring resonator technology, and fiber laser technology.

4.1. Fiber optic Fabry-Perot technology

Fiber optic Fabry-Perot (FP) technology is based on the FP interferometer. In optics, a FP interferometer is typically made of a transparent plate with two parallel highly reflecting surfaces (i.e.: thin mirrors). These two surfaces forming the FP cavity. The incident light strikes the first surface and some of the light reflects and the rest light continues to propagate until it reaches the second surface. The reflected light from the second surface interferes with the reflected light from the first surface, generating an interference pattern. The interference fringes will be shifted when the FP cavity length changes. Then the shift of the interference fringes can be determined by a photodetector that is monitoring light intensity reflected from the cavity as the cavity length changes. By monitoring the light intensity changes, the US signal can be detected. Typically, the fiber optic FP probe consists of two reflective mirrors and a polymer layer in between to form an FP interferometer (Fig. 6).

Ansari et al. [123] developed a PAE system based on the fiber optic light transmission technology and the fiber optic FP technology. This is an all-optical forward-viewing PAE probe for high-resolution 3D imaging. An optical fiber bundle with a diameter of 3.2 mm was used to transmit light and detect the US signals through its end-face FP interferometer structure. The FP structure was made by two dielectric mirror coatings sandwiched between a Parylene C spacer layer (Fig. 6). The fiber bundle contained 50,000 individual elements—each with a diameter of 12 μm. These serve as individually US receivers. The end of the fiber bundle was polished to form an 8-degree angle to reduce interference caused by each element. 3D images of phantoms, duck embryos, and mouse skin were detected by this all-optical forward-viewing PAE system. Photoacoustic image of an ex vivo duck embryo is shown in Fig. 6 (e-j). This system has an all-optical front-viewing endoscopic probe and a larger size versus other PAE probes.

Researchers have presented various types of fiber optic FP systems (Table 4). In transmission mode, some systems use fiber optic light transmission technology, some use fiber optic US transmission...
Several groups have combined fiber optic light transmission technology with fiber optic FP technology. Zhang et al. [81] proposed a PAE system using a dual cladding optical fiber. This optical fiber combines both single-mode and multimode characteristics. The FP structure was built by a polymer spacer sandwiched between a pair of dichroic dielectric mirrors. The probe diameter was only 0.25 mm. The PAE probe was also designed with two structures: front view and side view.

The acoustic bandwidth and sensitivity of the probe were evaluated. The FP probe achieved ultra-high acoustic sensitivity. This all-optical design of the PAE system can benefit a variety of endoscopic applications. This system is miniaturized but has yet to validated in vivo. Miida et al. [124] reported a PAE system consisting of a fiber optic FP probe for ultrasound detection and a bundle of hollow optical fibers for light excitation. A thin polymer film was attached to the SMF tip for generating the FP structure. In theory, the FP sensitivity of this structure should be lower than the FP

---

**Fig. 6.** Embodiments of a fiber optic FP system. (a) A schematic representation of an all-optical forward-viewing photoacoustic endoscopy probe. (b) A magnified visualization of the fiber end. (c) A structure of the FP sensor. (d) A schematic diagram of an avian embryonic vasculature. (e, f) $x$–$y$ maximum intensity projections for a microvascular anatomy of the chorioallantoic membrane, in two regions of the same embryo [$z = 0$-200 μm]. (g, h) $x$–$y$ maximum intensity projections for the same two regions as in e and f [$z = 0$-1.5 mm]. (i, j) $y$–$z$ maximum intensity projections for the same two regions. Reprinted and adapted with permission from ref [123].
sensitivity of that sandwich structure. The 3D PA image could be performed by subsequently exciting the hollow fiber at the input end of the fiber bundle, without any mechanical scanning mechanism. Since the NA of the hollow fiber was extremely small, the PA image resolution was extremely low resolution. The NEP of that sandwich structure. The 3D PA image could be performed by subsequently exciting the hollow fiber at the input end of the fiber bundle, without any mechanical scanning mechanism. Since the NA of the hollow fiber was extremely small, the PA image resolution was extremely low resolution.

Several groups have also combined fiber optic US transmission technology with fiber optic FP technology. Colchester et al. [117] introduced an all-optical fiber PAE system for high resolution vascular tissue imaging. The US transmission part of this system has been discussed in Section 3. The FP probe comprised an epoxy spacer sandwiched between two dielectric mirror coatings. The probe had a diameter of less than 0.84 mm and could be used for intravascular imaging. This system offers high-resolution imaging capability, but there is no septum between the US transmitter and receiver, which may cause crosstalk.

Finlay et al. [125] built an PAE system based on a 300 μm core size MMF and an SMF, which the MMF was used for US transmission and the SMF for FP. MWCNT-PDMS composite coating on the tip of MMF for US transmission. Two dielectric mirrors were deposited before and after dip-coating the polymer to form the FP structure. A metallic septum was applied to isolate the two optical fibers. All these parts were set in a custom inner transseptal needle. This system can provide exquisite visualizations of tissue for minimally invasive procedures. The advantage of this system is the robust probe design. However, it would be better if the system can also be applied to 3D imaging. Alles et al. [126] designed a reconfigurable all-optical transducer array for 3D endoscopic imaging. A fiber bundle was for US transmission, and an SMF was for FP. Carbon Black Professional Spray Paint was coated on the distal end-face of the fiber bundle for the US generation. Two dielectric mirror coatings with Parylene C was used to build the FP interferometer structure. A two-axis galvo-mirror and lens were used to focus and steer the laser light arbitrarily to different part of the proximal end of the optical fiber bundle. The optical fiber bundle then transmitted the steered light to the correspoding area of its distal end-face.

Table 4: Fiber optic FP technology in PAE. NEP, noise equivalent pressure; SMF, single mode fiber; MMF, multimode fiber; L, lateral; A, axial; CNT, carbon nanotube; PDMS, Polydimethylsiloxane; AuNP, gold nanoparticles; MWCNT, multiwall carbon nanotube; CB, carbon black.

| Modality | Fiber optics properties | Contrast agents | Light source | FP structure | Size (μm) | Medical application | Testing sample | Band width (MHz) | Sensitivity | Reference |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|-----------|
| PAE      | Dual cladding optical fiber | –               | 1064 nm laser | Dichroic coatings /Polymer | –         | 0.25                 | Intra-vascular imaging | Phantom | 20        | NEP:8 Pa | Zhang [81] |
| PAE      | – | – | 532 nm laser | Thin polymer film | – | – | Endoscope and catheter imaging | Phantom | 10        | – | Miida [124] |
| PAE      | 3.2 mm fiber bundle | – | 1064 nm laser | Dielectric coatings /Parylene C | – | 3.2 | Fetal surgery | Duck embryo, Mouse skin | 45        | – | Ansari [123] |
| PAE      | 200 μm (NA = 0.22) MMF: US SMF: FP | – | 1064 nm laser | Dielectric coatings /Epoxy | – | 0.84 | Intra-vascular imaging | Swine carotid artery | 20        | – | Colchester [117] |
| PAE      | 3.15 mm fiber bundle | – | 1064 nm laser | Dielectric coatings /polymer | A: 64 | 1.08 | Minimally invasive surgery | Swine heart | 26.5      | – | Finlay [125] |
| PAE      | 1 mm MMF: US (NA ~ 0.39) SMF: FP | – | 532 nm laser | Gold coatings /PDMS | – | – | Intra-vascular imaging | Pork tissue | 20        | – | Zhou [127] |
| PAE      | MMF | PDMS composites | 532 nm laser | Gold coatings /Parylene C | A: 64 | 1.08 | Intra-vascular imaging | Human placenta, Ex vivo human tissue, Pork belly | 26.5      | – | Noimark [118] |
| PAE      | 200 μm (NA: 0.5) MMF: PA 100 μm SMF: US SMF: FP 1000 μm (NA = 0.22) | – | 532 nm laser | Gold coatings /Parylene C | A: 72 – 117 | 2 | Endoscopic and Intra-vascular imaging | Phantom | 29        | NEP: 0.4 KPa | Li [119] |
| PAE      | Thin film | – | 532 nm laser | Polymer microcoating resonator | A: 125 | – | Multi-modality imaging | Cyst Phantom | 22.6      | – | Hsieh [121] |
| PAE      | CNT - PDMS | – | 1064 nm laser | Dielectric coatings /Parylene C | A: 70 | – | Minimally invasive surgery | Phantom | – | – | Xia [122] |
be optically reconfigured to optimize system performance. This system completed 3D imaging of phantoms and ex vivo tissues. This system can be applied in various imaging scenarios. The advantage of this system is its ultrasound transducer array for 3D endoscopic imaging but at the expense of larger probe size. Zhou et al. [127] proposed an all-optical fiber imaging system for intravascular imaging. A 1 mm core size MMF was used for US transmission and an SMF was used for FP. AuNP-PDMS was used for contrast agents. Two gold mirror coatings with PDMS was used to build the FP structure. This system accomplished C-mode US imaging of pork tissues and could be used in future intravascular imaging. The advantage of this system is all-optical C-mode US imaging but is relatively delicate and needs a protective sheath.

Other groups combined all these three types of fiber optic technologies in one system [118, 119, 121, 122]. The transmission part of these systems has been discussed in Section 3.

Noimark et al. [118] presented an all-optical system applying three types of fiber technology. They used the same FP probe as Finlay et al. [125]. The system performance is similar to them. Li et al. [119] has also developed a PAE system that uses three types of fiber technology. Two gold mirror coatings with Parylene C was for FP structure. This system combines PA excitation, US generation, and US detection into one single probe. Hsieh et al. [121] developed an all-optical scanhead for both PA and US imaging. Polymer microring resonator was used for the FP sensor. This system is characterized by US/PA imaging with an all-optical scanning head design. However, the axial resolution of this system is lower than other group designs. Xia et al. [122] also presented a PAE system with three optical fibers: one MMF was used for light transmission, one MMF was for US transmission, the other SMF was for US detection (FP probe). Two dielectric mirror coatings with Parylene C was applied on the tip of one MMF to form the FP structure. This system has a high-resolution all-optical US/PA imaging but these three probes may cause crosstalk because there is no septum between them.

4.2. Fiber Bragg Grating technology, Fiber optic Micro-Ring Resonator technology, Fiber laser technology

Other categories of fiber optic US detection technologies have also been designed in recent years for PAI. Some researchers used FBG technology as a US detection method. FBG is another primary technology of fiber optic US detection technology. FBG is made by exposing the core of single-mode fiber to a periodic pattern of intense laser light laterally. Exposure increases the refractive index of the fiber core. FBG could be considered as a combination of many FP cavities. FBG can be used as a US detector, similar to the principle of FP.

Shnaiderman et al. [129] used a fiber optic US detector based on π-phase-shifted FBG for a PAM system. The π-FBG was a special FBG whose spectrum consisted of a narrow resonance fringe and a stop band centered on the fringe. They added this miniaturized photoacoustic US detector to the optical microscope to supplement fluorescence contrast with label-free measurements of light absorption and enhance biological observation. This π-FBG based fiber optic US detector could be integrated into any conventional optical microscope. This hybrid optical and photoacoustic microscope successfully imaged the abdomen and ears of mice. Their technology simplified the integration of sound detection in standard microscopes and made the PAM more accessible to bio-medical imaging. The system is characterized by its miniaturization and can be integrated into any traditional optical microscope. However, for the OR-PAM system, the resolution and imaging depth of the system is relatively low.

Some researchers used MRR technology as a US detection method. Li et al. [130] applied a US detector based on an optical micro-ring resonator for PAM. Compared with the traditional large-size opaque piezoelectric ultrasonic detector, the total thickness of this fiber optic transparent US detector was only 250 μm. It enabled highly-sensitive US detection over a wide receiving angle with a bandwidth of 140 MHz. The estimated noise-equivalent pressure (NEP) was 6.8 Pa. This novel US detector may lead to increased applications for PAM for cancer research, neuroscience, and ophthalmology. This system is characterized by highly-sensitive ultrasound detection over a wide receiving angle, which is favorable for increasing the field-of-view in laser-scanning PAM systems. However, this system has not been commercialized for others to use.

Other researchers used fiber laser technology as a US detection method. Liang et al. [128] applied a small-sized optical fiber based laser for a PAM system. US waves apply pressures on the optical fiber laser caused harmonic vibrations of the fiber, which could be detected by the frequency shift of the fiber laser. The fiber laser was made by applying two wavelengths matched fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs) in series in an optical fiber. An FBG was a type of distributed Bragg reflector constructed in a short segment of optical fiber that reflected particular wavelengths of light and transmitted all others. When the US hit the fiber laser, the FBG would cause a frequency shift that could be detected by a photodetector. The fiber laser US detector is thus an important new tool for all-optical photoacoustic imaging. This system offers high resolution and large field-of-view but has limited imaging speed. Liang et al. [91] then utilized an unfocused side-looking fiber optic US detector for a PAM system. This fiber optic US detector was developed based on dual polarization fiber laser and read out by real-time frequency demodulation. This system could be used for imaging physiologic dynamics in both trunk vessels and capillaries. This system is characterized by fast-scanning, high detection sensitivity, high stability, and a large field of view. However, this ultrasonic sensor may suffer some noise interference. Allen et al. [131] designed two types of fiber lasers for PAM and PACT. One was designed for widefield PACT and used custom-built optical fiber to provide high pulse energy. Another was designed for OR-PAM and provides a high-quality beam (M²<1.1) with a pulse repetition frequency (PRF) up to 2 MHz. The compact size (<100 μm) and enhanced functionality of these lasers provided important opportunities to facilitate the transformation of photoacoustic imaging into practical applications in medicine and biology. This system is characterized by its compact and robust excitation sources with added functionality for photoacoustic tomography and microscopy. However, the pulse energy is relatively low.

In conclusion, fiber optic US detection technology is increasingly used in PAI systems, especially for PAE applications, which requires US probes with miniature size and high sensitivity. In PAM and PACT, fiber optic US detection technology is also applied because of its high imaging speed, large field of view, and good sensitivity.

5. Conclusion and future directions

Fiber optic technologies take advantage of the flexibility and compactness of the optical fiber. They have widespread use in different PAI modalities including PAM (OR-PAM, AR-PAM), PACT, and MIPAI (IPA, PAE) configurations for various clinical and pre-clinical applications. In this review article, we overview fiber optic technology utilized in various PAI systems.

Fiber optic technology is mainly categorized as fiber optic light transmission, fiber optic US transmission, and fiber optic US detection. For fiber optic light transmission, we have discussed different fiber optic light transmission systems applied in PAM, PACT and MIPAI. Table 1 and Table 2 summarized these systems. For fiber optic US transmission, we have analyzed various contrast agents and fiber structures in MIPAI (Table 3). For fiber optic US detection, we summarized different fiber optic FP probes in PAE (Table 4). We also studied other types of fiber optic US detection techniques such as FBG US detection, polarization fiber laser, and so on.

In the future, the fiber optic-based PAI system still needs to overcome multiple technical challenges and improve its performance to maximize its clinical application:
(1) Reduced size and cost of the system. Currently, Q-switched solid-state lasers and Q-switch pumped OPO systems are mostly used for the light source of the fiber-optic-based PAI system. These lasers are bulky and expensive. Laser diodes (LD) and light-emitting diodes (LED) might enable a solution. Future studies can focus on the integration of LD, LED with optical fibers.

(2) Reduce the light loss through optical fibers. The light loss of optical fiber mainly occurs in the coupling and transmission of optical fiber. Better coupling methods and small attenuation optical fibers might solve this problem. Furthermore, in some applications, strong light energy might be applied, and such strong fluence may break the glass optical fiber end face. In this case, special optical fibers such as sapphire optical fiber can be utilized in the system.

(3) Increase the resolution of the system. Super-resolution (~50 nm) fiber optic-based PAI systems still need to be studied. Some mechanisms could potentially be adapted to improve the fiber-optic based system resolution, such as blind structured illumination [139], multi-speckle illumination [135], and print-and-imprint photoacoustic microscopy [134].

(4) Integrate other biomedical imaging modalities into the system. PAI can still suffer from low contrast to SNR. The utilization of various imaging modalities could provide more comprehensive target information. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) [135–139], fluorescence [140–142], and hyperspectral microscopy [143] have been integrated into the PAI system to provide additional information. More researches can concentrate on these hybrid technologies.

In summary, fiber optic technologies are at a promising stage of development in the miniaturization and stabilization of PAI systems. We expect fiber optic-based PAI system to find more high-impact applications in biomedical research.
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