Leisure-Time Physical Activity and the Risk of Incident Dementia: The Mayo Clinic Study of Aging
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Abstract. We conducted a prospective cohort study derived from the population-based Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. We investigated if leisure-time physical activity among individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) was associated with a decreased risk of developing dementia. 280 persons aged \textgeq 70 years (median 81 years, 165 males) with MCI and available data from neurologic evaluation, neuropsychological testing, and questionnaire-based physical activity assessment, were followed for a median of 3 years to the outcomes of incident dementia or censoring variables. We conducted Cox proportional hazards regression analyses with age as a time scale and adjusted for sex, education, medical comorbidity, depression, and APOE e4 status. Moderate intensity midlife physical activity among MCI participants was significantly associated with a decreased risk of incident dementia (HR = 0.64; 95% CI, 0.41–0.98). There was a non-significant trend for a decreased risk of dementia for light and vigorous intensity midlife physical activity, as well as light and moderate intensity late-life physical activity. In conclusion, we observed that physical activity may be associated with a reduced risk of dementia among individuals with MCI. Furthermore, intensity and timing of physical activity may be important factors when investigating this association.
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INTRODUCTION

Observational and interventional studies have indicated that physical activity could potentially decrease the risk for dementia, even among persons with cognitive impairment \cite{1–11}. Recently, two prominent expert panels, i.e., the Committee on Preventing Dementia and Cognitive Impairment of the National Academy of Sciences \cite{12} and the Lancet Commission on Dementia Prevention, Intervention, and Care \cite{13}, underlined the potential beneficial effect of physical activity in preventing or delaying dementia. However, not all studies are supportive of the conclusions of the expert panels \cite{14, 15}. We recently reported an association between physical activity (with an emphasis on timing of engaging, i.e., midlife versus late-life physical activity) and the risk of incident mild cognitive impairment (MCI), which is an
intermediate stage between normal cognitive aging and dementia [16]. Despite a large body of research that has been published on the association between physical activity and dementia, little is known about the association between timing of engaging in physical activity (midlife versus late-life) and the risk of new onset of dementia among persons with prevalent MCI.

Therefore, we conducted this prospective cohort study derived from the population-based Mayo Clinic Study of Aging to investigate the association between three different intensity levels of midlife and late-life physical activity and the risk of incident dementia. Additionally, we examined 1) whether there was an interaction between midlife and late-life physical activity in relation to risk of incident dementia; and 2) whether dementia-related confounding variables such as medical comorbidities, depression, and APOE ε4 genotype status impact the association.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design and sample

This prospective cohort study was derived from the ongoing, population-based Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA) in Olmsted County, Minnesota. The reader is referred to a detailed description of the design and conduct of the MCSA that has been reported elsewhere [17]. We prospectively followed a cohort of 280 participants aged 70 years and older who had MCI at baseline for a median of 3.0 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 2.5, 5.3) to the outcomes of incident dementia or censoring variables (Fig. 1). The institutional review boards of the Mayo Clinic and Olmsted Medical Center in Rochester, MN approved the MCSA protocols. All study participants provided written informed consent.

Measurement of leisure-time physical activity

Leisure-time physical activity was measured using a self-reported questionnaire [18] based on questions from validated instruments: the 1985 National Health Interview Survey [19], and the Minnesota Heart Survey intensity codes [20]. The questionnaire assessed the intensity and frequency of physical activity at two time periods: 1) in late-life, i.e., performed within one year prior to cognitive assessment; and 2) in midlife, i.e., performed between the ages of 50 and 65 years. The questionnaire distinguished between three intensity levels of physical activity: 1) light intensity such as walking or stretching, 2) moderate intensity such as hiking or swimming, and 3) vigorous intensity such as tennis single, jogging, or skiing. Participants also provided information about the frequency of activity: ≤1 time per month, 2-3 times per month, 1-2 times per week, 3-4 times per week, 5-6 times per week, and daily.

Diagnosis of MCI and dementia

Participants underwent a face-to-face evaluation including a neurological examination, a risk factors ascertainment, and extensive neuropsychological testing. The reader is referred elsewhere for details on the face-to-face evaluation [17]. Briefly, the neurological evaluation comprised a neurological history review, administration of Short Test of Mental Status [21], and a neurological examination. The risk factor assessment interview was conducted by a nurse or study coordinator and included the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR). Lastly, neuropsychological testing was administered by a psychometrist in order to assess performance in four cognitive domains: memory (delayed recall trials from Auditory Verbal Learning Test [22], Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised [23], Logical Memory and Visual Reproduction subtests), language (Boston Naming Test [24], category fluency [25]); visuospatial skills (Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised [26], Picture Completion and Block Design subtests); and executive function (Trail-Making Test Part B [27], Wechsler Adult Intelligent Scale-Revised [26], Digit Symbol Substitution subtest). An expert consensus panel consisting of physicians, study nurses and neuropsychologists reviewed and evaluated the results for each participant and made a diagnosis of MCI or dementia. The

Fig. 1. Study flowchart.
clinical diagnosis of MCI was made based on the published Mayo Clinic criteria [28, 29]. Dementia was diagnosed according to DSM-IV criteria [17].

Assessment of confounders

Medical comorbidity was assessed using the weighted Charlson Index [30]. Depression was measured using Beck Depression Inventory-II [31]. APOE ε4 genotype status was determined using standard methods [32].

Statistical analysis

We calculated hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) using the Cox proportional hazards model, with age as a time scale. The models were adjusted for traditional confounders (sex and education). Furthermore, in line with our research question, we also adjusted for medical comorbidity, depression, and APOE ε4 status. Analyses were conducted separately for physical activity in midlife (aged 50–65) and late-life (within 1 year of the cognitive assessment) and for the three different levels of intensity (light, moderate, and vigorous). Any physical activity (defined as engaging in physical activity at least 2-3 times per month) was compared to none (reference; defined as physical activity once per month or less). Lastly, we also investigated possible interaction effects between light and moderate intensity physical activity in mid- and late-life and the risk of incident dementia by using multivariate models. The statistical analyses were done using the conventional two-tailed alpha level of 0.05 and performed with SAS (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Of 280 participants (165 males) with MCI at baseline, 92 developed incident dementia at follow-up. At baseline, the median age of the cohort was 81 years (IQR: 76, 85) and the median level of education was 12 years (IQR: 12, 15). Please refer to Table 1 for an overview of demographic data.

After adjusting for age, sex, and education, moderate intensity physical activity in midlife (HR = 0.64; 95% CI, 0.41–0.98) was significantly associated with a decreased risk of incident dementia (Table 2). When we additionally adjusted for medical comorbidity, depression, and APOE ε4 carrier status, the association remained no longer significant. In addition, neither midlife light intensity (HR = 0.59; 95% CI, 0.33–1.04) nor midlife vigorous intensity physical activity (HR = 0.62; 95% CI, 0.38–1.02) were associated with the risk of dementia. Similarly, physical activity within the past year (i.e., late-life), be it light (HR = 0.89; 95% CI, 0.54–1.46), moderate (HR = 0.93; 95% CI, 0.60–1.43), or vigorous (HR = 1.34; 95% CI, 0.71–2.51), was not associated with the risk of incident dementia. However, in general, all point estimates with the exception of vigorous late-life physical activity were below 1.0 suggesting a protective, though non-significant effect of physical activity against dementia (Table 2).

Finally, results from the multivariate model analyses revealed that participants who engaged in both midlife and late-life physical activity combined (light intensity: HR = 0.83; 95% CI, 0.37–1.88; moderate intensity: HR = 0.72; 95% CI, 0.42–1.23) and those who engaged only in midlife but not late-life physical activity (light intensity: HR = 1.02; 95% CI, 0.41–2.56; moderate intensity: HR = 0.67; 95% CI, 0.38–1.20) had lower point estimates than participants who reported engaging only in late-life but not midlife physical activity (light intensity: HR = 2.64; 95% CI, 0.90–7.75; moderate intensity: HR = 1.18; 95% CI, 0.54–2.59). We defined the reference group (HR = 1.00) as participants who did not report engaging in physical activity in mid- or late-life. However,

### Table 1

| Variable | MCI (N = 280) |
|----------|---------------|
|          | N (%)         |
| Males    | 165 (58.9)    |
| Age, ya  | 81 [76, 85]   |
| 70–79    | 111 (39.6)    |
| 80–94    | 169 (60.4)    |
| Education, ya | 12 [12, 15] |
| >12 years| 125 (44.6)    |
| BDI-II, total score | 5 [2.9(1)]   |
| Depression (total score ≥13) | 38 (13.6) |
| Number of medical comorbidities | 4 [3, 7] |
| APOE ε4 carrier | 98 (35.1)(1) |
| Late-life activity |        |
| Light    | 215 (76.8)    |
| Moderate | 137 (48.9)    |
| Vigorous | 33 (11.8)     |
| Midlife activity |        |
| Light    | 243 (86.8)    |
| Moderate | 193 (68.9)    |
| Vigorous | 84 (30.0)     |
| Follow-up, ya | 3.0 [2.5, 5.3] |

MCI, mild cognitive impairment; BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory-II. Physical activity: carried out 2-3 times per month or more. aMedian [interquartile range]; (N)Number of missing data.
Table 2
Midlife and late-life physical activity and the risk of incident dementia

| Variable                  | HR (95% CI)     | p     |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| **Late-life physical activity** |                 |       |
| Adjusted for age, sex, education |                 |       |
| Light                     | 0.89 (0.54, 1.46) | 0.64  |
| Moderate                  | 0.93 (0.60, 1.43) | 0.73  |
| Vigorous                  | 1.34 (0.71, 2.51) | 0.37  |
| Additionally adjusted for medical comorbidities, depression | | |
| Light                     | 0.90 (0.55, 1.49) | 0.69  |
| Moderate                  | 0.97 (0.62, 1.51) | 0.89  |
| Vigorous                  | 1.42 (0.75, 2.70) | 0.28  |
| Additionally adjusted for APOE e4 carrier status | | |
| Light                     | 0.91 (0.55, 1.50) | 0.70  |
| Moderate                  | 0.97 (0.63, 1.51) | 0.91  |
| Vigorous                  | 1.47 (0.77, 2.79) | 0.24  |
| **Midlife physical activity** |                 |       |
| Adjusted for age, sex, education |                 |       |
| Light                     | 0.59 (0.33, 1.04) | 0.07  |
| Moderate                  | 0.64 (0.41, 0.98) | 0.042 |
| Vigorous                  | 0.62 (0.38, 1.02) | 0.06  |
| Additionally adjusted for medical comorbidities, depression | | |
| Light                     | 0.58 (0.33, 1.05) | 0.07  |
| Moderate                  | 0.67 (0.43, 1.03) | 0.07  |
| Vigorous                  | 0.67 (0.41, 1.11) | 0.12  |
| Additionally adjusted for APOE e4 carrier status | | |
| Light                     | 0.59 (0.33, 1.06) | 0.08  |
| Moderate                  | 0.68 (0.44, 1.05) | 0.08  |
| Vigorous                  | 0.68 (0.41, 1.12) | 0.13  |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. Calculated using Cox proportional hazards models with incident dementia as outcome.

none of these interactions reached statistical significance.

**DISCUSSION**

In this population-based cohort of persons with prevalent MCI, moderate intensity physical activity in midlife was associated with a decreased risk of incident dementia, after adjusting for age, sex, and education. Additionally, as indicated by the point estimates (i.e., hazard ratios below 1.0), we observed a non-significant trend for an association between light and vigorous intensity physical activity in midlife and a decreased risk of dementia; as well as between light and moderate intensity physical activity in late-life and a decreased risk of dementia. Furthermore, participants who reported physical activity in both midlife and late-life combined, as compared to other groups, had a decreased risk of incident dementia, though not significant. Adjusting our analyses for dementia-related confounders such as medical comorbidities, depression or APOE e4 genotype status did not impact the findings overall; however, the association between moderate intensity midlife physical activity and decreased risk of incident dementia remained no longer significant.

Our study finding is in line with other prospective cohort studies that reported an association between physical activity and decreased risk of dementia [1–11]. For example, investigators from Rush University observed that total daily physical activity as measured by actigraphy was associated with lower risk of incident Alzheimer’s disease among persons who were non-demented at baseline, even after adjusting for chronic health conditions, depressive symptoms, and APOE status [1]. Particularly, our study findings imply that leisure-time physical activity intensity and timing, as assessed by self-reported questionnaire, might be important factors that should be considered when examining the association between physical activity and dementia. This confirms a recent report by investigators from Finland and Sweden who showed that low and moderate levels of midlife physical activity were associated with higher risk of dementia as compared to high levels, and that maintaining high levels or increasing levels of physical activity from midlife onwards
was associated with lower dementia risk [8]. Similarly, a prospective cohort study of investigators from Hong Kong among persons with MCI demonstrated that prolonged physical activity (≥ 5 years) was associated with better cognition at follow-up [33]. However, other studies did not observe an association between physical activity and a decreased risk of dementia. For example, researchers from Europe recently reported that there is no association between physical activity in midlife and the risk of dementia after 27 years of follow-up, based on data from the Whitehall II study [14]. We conducted a cohort study, thus it is difficult to compare our observational study with interventional research findings. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the LIFE randomized clinical trial showed that a 24-month physical activity intervention did not lead to improved cognitive function among sedentary elderly persons [15]; though this is inconsistent with the modest improvement in cognition after a physical activity intervention reported in JAMA [34].

Given our demonstration that there is an association between moderate intensity midlife physical activity and a decreased risk of incident dementia, we need to understand as to what may account for this relationship. Brain diseases such as neurodegenerative disorders or cerebrovascular disease, even before they are symptomatic, may lead to less motor or physical activity [35]. For instance, Alzheimer’s disease is reported to have as long as 20 years of an asymptomatic and prodromal phase. Thus, ‘reverse causality’ may account for the association between physical activity and decreased risk of incident dementia, i.e., persons with incipient neurodegenerative disease are less likely to engage in physical activity as compared to healthy elderly individuals. Therefore, the ideal observational study would assess the exposure of interest (i.e., physical activity) using an objective technology in midlife and then follow a cohort of cognitively normal persons forward in time and measure the outcome of interest (i.e., incident dementia) several decades later.

The major strength of our study pertains to the setting and design: 1) We conducted the study at a center that has leading expertise in the investigation of MCI; 2) we carried out a prospective cohort study derived from the ongoing, large-scale, population-based Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. One limitation pertains to the self-reported physical activity questionnaire which may be prone to recall bias. However, we derived the questions from validated instruments (the 1985 National Health Interview Survey, and the Minnesota Heart Survey intensity codes) [19, 20] and our group has previously reported that the questionnaire has a moderate to good internal consistency as indicated by Cronbach’s α of 0.71 [18]. In addition, using a questionnaire enabled us to inquire not only about late-life but also midlife physical activity. Another limitation of our study is that the majority of people residing in Olmsted County are of Caucasian decent. However, it has been shown that data from Olmsted County are generalizable to the U.S. population [36].

In this population-based, prospective cohort study of MCI participants aged 70 years and older, we observed that moderate intensity physical activity in midlife was associated with a decreased risk of new onset of dementia. In addition, the overall data point towards a non-significant trend for an association between physical activity and a decreased risk of incident dementia, except for vigorous physical activity in late-life. Thus, intensity and timing of physical activity may be important factors when investigating the association between physical activity and the risk of dementia. Further research is needed to investigate the direction of causality in this association, i.e., whether physical activity indeed leads to decreased cognitive impairment, or whether incipient cognitive impairment leads to decreased physical activity (reverse causality hypothesis). In addition, future studies should investigate the underlying mechanism of timing and intensity of physical activity and cognitive decline in the elderly; and the association between physical activity and risk of dementia in different ethnic/ racial groups.
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