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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: In many developing countries like Ethiopia, access and the utilization of long acting and permanent contraceptive methods (LAPCMs) is very low and it also difficult to find them from many reproductive health programs. The aim of this study was to assess factors associated with non-utilization of long-acting and permanent contraceptive methods among married women in the reproductive age (15-49 years).

METHODS: A community based unmatched case-control study was conducted in Chencha District, Southern Ethiopia from November 01 to December 30, 2015. Cases were those women who used contraceptive methods other than LAPCMs and women who were not using contraceptive methods. Controls were women who used LAPCMs in their lifetime. Study participants were selected by simple random sampling technique and records were reviewed and then by tracing their address, the selected samples were interviewed. We analyzed data using SPSS version 20.0 and logistic regression models to identify associated factors.

RESULTS: We enrolled 328 women: Factors such as Partner’s lower level of education (p=0.003), less number of alive children (p=0.04), preference to have children in the future (p=0.042), husband’s approval to LAPCMs (p=0.002), not informed to use contraception (p=0.006), started using contraceptives during campaign (p=0.021) and discussion with health professionals (p=0.039) were predictors of non-utilization of LAPCMs.

CONCLUSION: Non-utilization of LAPCMs in the district is associated with knowledge about LAPCMs, quality of health service. Interventions should focus on couple’s knowledge, training of service providers in quality care, and the rights of clients, informed choice to contraceptive methods
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INTRODUCTION
Most maternal morbidity and mortality in developing world are the result of unintended pregnancy\(^1\),\(^2\) and more than half of all pregnancies are unintended or mistimed\(^1\). Globally, the total number of maternal deaths dropped from 523,000 in 1990 to 358,000 in 2008. About 99% (355,000) of the maternal deaths occurred in the developing countries. Of which 87% (313,000) of these deaths occurred in Sub Saharan Africa and South Asia\(^1\).

High rates of infant, child and maternal mortality can be averted by using modern contraceptive methods\(^1\),\(^3\). Access to contraceptive methods, particularly long acting and permanent contraceptive methods (LAPCMs) would benefit women to have a safe pregnancy and protect them from complications of pregnancy\(^4\). Long-acting and permanent contraceptives are better contraceptives based on effectiveness, length of effectiveness, reversibility, the importance of a rapid and predictable return of fertility after stopping a method if the user decides to get pregnant\(^3\). However, LAPCMs are not readily available for use in most of the developing world and also LAPCMs are not included in many of the reproductive health programs of these countries\(^4\).

Ethiopia has a total fertility rate (TFR) of 4.6 children per women and has a high unmet need for family planning (22%). One of the strategies to improve such problems is improving the accessibility of LAPCMs\(^2\),\(^5\). Most of the currently married women in Ethiopia are utilizing short-term contraceptive methods like Injectables and pills\(^2\),\(^6\),\(^7\). Injectables account for 23% of the total contraceptive use, followed by implants (8%), and IUCD (2%)\(^5\).

Chencha district, which is found in Southern Ethiopia is one among some districts having a high unmet need for family planning for married women age 15-49 in the region and there is also high birth rate in the area\(^5\). The aim of this study was to assess factors associated with Non-utilization of long-acting contraceptive methods among married women of reproductive age in Chencha district, Southern Ethiopia.

METHOD
Study area and settings
A community based unmatched case-control study was conducted from November 01 to December 30, 2015 in Chencha district, Gamo-Gofa zone, in southern Ethiopia. According to the 2007 census result, the district has a population of 140,183 and of this 68,970 were males and 71,213 were females\(^11\). The district is divided into 50 kebeles (the smallest current governmental administrative unit). Forty-five of them are rural and the rest 5 are urban kebeles.

Sampling
We determined a sample size of 332 currently married women aged 15–49 years using a two population proportion formula. We considered a similar study conducted in Tigray region in northern Ethiopia and used women who had less than two pregnancies as the main predictor of not utilizing LAPCMs\(^7\). The prevalence of women who had less than two pregnancies was 59.9% for women who were not utilizing LAPCMs and we assumed 95% confidence level, 80% power and case to control ratio was 1:1 for 151 cases and 151 controls, and adding 10% non-response rate. A total of 328 participants were finally recruited for the study.

Out of the district’s 50 kebeles, we selected 13 randomly. First, we reviewed and then listed women of reproductive age from registration books in each kebele. Women of reproductive age were divided into women who were using contraceptive methods other than LAPCMs and categorized as cases. Those women who have been using LAPCMs during or any time before were taken to controls. Study participants were selected by simple random sampling with proportional allocation to the population size.
Data collection
Using a structured questionnaire, which was adapted from similar studies, the data collectors interviewed the study participants. Questionnaires were first prepared in English then translated into the official language of the southern region (Amharic) by a language expert. To check whether the translation was consistent with the English version the questionnaire was back-translated into English by another language expert. Six trained health science diploma holders who were fluent in the local language and two supervisors were recruited to collect data. The data collectors were responsible for arranging the respondents, giving clarifications, reviewing records and interviewing the respondents and assisting in any difficulties they have during an interview of the questionnaires. The supervisors were responsible for leading the whole data collection process, to check the data for consistency, completeness and any irregularities. Correction measures were taken in the field on daily basis. To minimize the non-response rate we visited the houses repeatedly.

Data Processing and Analysis
The data was entered into EPI info version 3.5.1 and it was transferred into SPSS version 20.0 software for analysis. First, descriptive analyses were carried out for each of the variables.
Unconditional Logistic regression analysis was performed to examine the effect of each variable of interest in the low utilization of long-acting and permanent contraceptive methods. Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit was used to see the model fit for the variables.
Bivariate analysis was done to see the association between the explanatory and outcome variables. To control the effect of possible confounders’, variables found to be potential factors for low utilization of long-acting and permanent contraceptive methods was taken and included in the unconditional logistic regression model.
A Logistic regression model was used to determine Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the different factors of non-utilization of LAPCMs. In the model, a backward stepwise analysis was employed. All variables were treated as categorical.

RESULTS
Sociodemographic and socioeconomic characteristics of married women
We interviewed 328 currently married women with a respondent rate of 98.7%. The median age of the respondents was 30 years (29 years for cases and 30 years for controls) and the inter-quartile range was 6 and 7 for cases and controls. Geographically, 133 (81.1%) cases and 142 (86.6%) controls were from rural areas. Concerning to occupation of the respondents, 50 (30.5% cases and 60 (36.6%) controls were housewife and 56 (34.1%) cases and 52 (31.7%) controls were merchant (Table1).
Reproductive health history of married women

The median age at marriage for cases was 21 years and for controls was 20 years. The median age at first birth was 21 for cases and 22 years for controls. The majority of cases (48.2%) and controls (61.0%) had more than two children born alive and around 20 (12.2%) cases and 28 (17.1%) of controls face abortion in their lifetime.

Thirty-seven (22.6%) of cases and 38 (67.7%) of controls had lost children in their lifetime. Over 86% of cases (86.6% and 111 (33.8%) of controls noted they planned to have children in the future. The majority 93 (56.7%) cases and 78 (47.6%) controls preferred to have children after two years. One hundred forty-two (86.6% of cases’ and 117 (71.3%) of controls’ husband want children in the future (Table 2).

Table 2: Reproductive health history related factors associated with married women’s non-utilization of LAPCMs in bivariable analysis, Chencha district, Southern Ethiopia, 2015

| Variables                        | Cases(n=164) | Controls(n=164) | COR(95%CI) |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|
| Number of live children          |              |                |            |
| ≤4                               | 133(81.1%)   | 114(69.5%)     | 0.82(0.73-0.92) |
| >4                               | 27(16.5%)    | 50(30.5%)      | 1.00       |
| Like to have children in the future |            |                |            |
| Yes                              | 142(86.6%)   | 111(67.7%)     | 3.08(1.76-5.37) |
| No                               | 22(13.4%)    | 53(32.3%)      | 1.00       |
| Number of children in the future |              |                |            |
| 1                                | 48(29.3%)    | 32(19.5%)      | 1.45(0.75-2.81) |
| 2                                | 60(36.6%)    | 48(29.3%)      | 1.21(0.65-2.24) |
| >2                               | 33(20.1%)    | 32(19.5%)      | 1.00       |
| When prefer to have children     |              |                |            |
| Soon(< 1 year)                   | 26(15.9%)    | 9(5.5%)        | 2.42(1.07-5.47) |
| Within 2 years                    | 23(14%)      | 25(15.2%)      | 0.77(0.40-1.46) |
| After 2 years                     | 93(56.7%)    | 78(47.6%)      | 1.00       |
Contraceptive use related characteristics of married women

Over 99% of cases (99.4%) and all (100%) of controls knew at least a method of contraceptive. Injectable was the most known method of family planning among both cases (96.3%) and controls (94.5%), followed by implants (86.6%) for cases and (93.3%) for controls. Withdrawal method was the least known method among the cases (6.1%) and controls (6.1%) (Table 3).

Table 3: Contraceptive use related factors associated with married women’s non-utilization of LAPCMs in bivariable analysis, Chencha district, Southern Ethiopia, 2015

| Variables                        | Cases(n=164) | Controls(n=164) | COR(95%CI) |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| **Information about LAPCMs**     |              |                 |            |
| Yes                              | 15(91.5%)    | 158(96.3%)      | 0.40(0.15-1.08) |
| No                               | 14(8.5%)     | 6(3.7%)         | 1.00       |
| **Heard about**                  |              |                 |            |
| Implant                          | 154(93.9%)   | 161(98.2%)      | 3.48(0.94-12.9) |
| IUCD                             | 132(80.5%)   | 139(84.8%)      | 1.34(0.75-2.39) |
| Tubal ligation                   | 40(24.4%)    | 49(29.9%)       | 1.32(0.81-2.15) |
| Vasectomy                        | 28(17.1%)    | 21(12.8%)       | 1.00       |
| **Discussion with husband**      |              |                 |            |
| Yes                              | 111(67.7%)   | 146(89%)        | 0.25(0.14-0.47) |
| No                               | 50(30.5%)    | 17(10.4%)       | 1.00       |
| **Husband attitude to LAPCMs**   |              |                 |            |
| Approves                         | 80(48.8%)    | 133(81.1%)      | 0.18(0.07-0.44) |
| Opposes                          | 57(34.8%)    | 23(14%)         | 0.75(0.28-1.99) |
| Don’t know                       | 23(14%)      | 7(4.3%)         | 1.00       |
| **Main decider about LAPCMs**    |              |                 |            |
| Both decide together             | 76(46.3%)    | 113(68.9%)      | 1.29(0.62-2.68) |
| Husband                          | 38(23.2%)    | 8(4.9%)         | 9.37(3.40-25.8) |
| Self                             | 32(19.5%)    | 17(10.4%)       | 3.62(0.48-8.83) |
| Health profession                | 13(7.9%)     | 25(15.2%)       | 1.00       |
| **Not based on informed choice** |              |                 |            |
| Yes                              | 79(48.2%)    | 57(34.8%)       | 1.81(1.16-2.83) |
| No                               | 81(49.4%)    | 106(64.6%)      | 1.00       |
| **Start using Contraceptive methods during a campaign** | | | |
| Yes                              | 38(23.2%)    | 61(37.2%)       | .52(0.32-0.85) |
| No                               | 121(73.8%)   | 102(62.2%)      | 1.00       |
| **Discussion with health professionals** | | | |
| Yes                              | 131(79.9%)   | 157(95.7%)      | 0.15(0.06-.37) |
| No                               | 30(18.3%)    | 6(3.7%)         | 1.00       |

Note: variables with an asterisk (*) are the candidate for the multivariable model (P≤0.2)

Concerning ever use of family planning in their lifetime, the majority of cases (70.1%) used Injectable followed by oral contraceptive pills (32.3%). The majority of controls used Implants (68.3%) followed by Injectable (51.2%). Currently, over three quarter (75.6%) of cases or their partner and 92.1% of controls or their partner were using at least a family planning method. The dominant family planning method in the district was Injectable (61.6%) for cases and implants (63.4%) for controls. For those study subjects who were not using contraception; the main reason noted was to have more children, 13.4% of cases and 2.4% of controls. Fear of side effects was cited next by, 3.0% of cases and 1.8% of controls. Little pregnancy risk and husband disapproval were the least suggested reasons for not using family planning method from both cases and controls. To plan child spacing, 98 (59.8%) of cases and controls use family planning and 15.2% of cases and 32.3% of controls use family planning for limiting their number of children. Nearly ninety (89.0%) of controls and 67.7% of cases discussed LAPCMs at least once with their husband. Concerning to decision to use family planning; 38(23.2%) of cases and 7(4.3%) of controls; a decision is made by their husband.

Thirty-eight (23.2%) of cases and 61(37.2%) of controls started family planning, while family planning campaign was undergone in the district. About half (48.8%) of cases and 81.1% of control’s husband approved their use
of family planning whereas; one third (35.4%) of cases and 14.0% of controls opposed. Ninety-six (58.5%) of cases and 142 (86.6%) of controls’ husband knew whether their partners are using family planning. Majority of cases (64.0%) and controls (81.7%) discussed with health professionals more frequently and (11.0% - cases) and (8.5% - controls) discuss at least twice and (5.5% - cases) and (6.7% - controls) discussed with health professionals at least once in their lifetime about LAPCMs. More than sixty-eight (68.3%) of cases and 90.2% of controls were told what to do if they develop side effects while they are using family planning methods. One hundred one (61.6%) of cases and 88.4% of controls were told exactly which method to use. Nearly half (48.2%) of cases and one third (34.8%) of controls started using contraceptive methods without informed choice. Health professionals were the most reported to pressurize/ persuade respondents to start using contraceptives without informed choice for 47.0% of cases and 32.3% controls.

All variables that were significantly associated with non-utilization of LAPCMs at 5% level of significance by bivariate analysis, were retained for multivariable analysis. The backward stepwise regression was employed and after adjustment, seven variables remained significantly associated with not utilizing LAPCMs. (Table 4).

Table 4: Predictors of non-utilization of LAPCMs in Chencha district, Southern Ethiopia, 2015

| Variables                        | Cases (n=164) | Controls (n=164) | COR (95%CI) | AOR (95%CI) |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Age                              |               |                  |             |             |
| 15-24                            | 17            | 16               | 2.80(1.05-7.41) | 2.22(4.6-10.7) |
| 25-29                            | 71            | 47               | 3.98(1.81-8.73) | 3.75(9.4-14.9) |
| 30-34                            | 40            | 35               | 3.01(1.31-6.90) | 2.85(7.4-10.8) |
| 35-39                            | 25            | 37               | 1.78(7.5-4.20)  | 1.39(3.7-5.10) |
| 40-49                            | 11            | 29               | 1.00         |             |
| Educational level of partner     |               |                  |             |             |
| Can’t read and write             | 31            | 39               | 1.49(0.76-2.94) | 1.34(5.2-3.45) |
| Primary school                   | 62            | 38               | 3.06(1.63-5.76) | 3.10(1.4-6.50) |
| Secondary and Preparatory College and University | 46 | 40 | 2.16(1.13-4.11) | 1.83(0.86-3.89) |
| Number of alive children         |               |                  |             |             |
| ≤ 4                              | 133           | 114              | 0.82(0.73-9.2)  | 2.4(1.04-5.67) |
| > 4                              | 27            | 50               | 1.00         |             |
| Like to have children in the future |            |                  |             |             |
| Yes                              | 142           | 111              | 3.08(1.76-5.37) | 2.20(1.03-4.69) |
| No                               | 22            | 53               | 1.00         |             |
| Discussion with husband          |               |                  |             |             |
| Yes                              | 111           | 146              | 0.25(0.14-0.47) | 0.85(0.27-2.66) |
| No                               | 50            | 17               | 1.00         |             |
| Husband attitude to LAPCMs       |               |                  |             |             |
| Approves                         | 80            | 133              | 0.18(0.07-0.44) | 0.12(0.03-0.48) |
| Opposes                          | 57            | 23               | 0.75(0.28-1.99) | 0.45(0.13-1.56) |
| Don’t know                       | 23            | 7                | 1.00         |             |
| Not informed choice to use       |               |                  |             |             |
| contraceptives                   | Yes           | 79               | 57           | 1.81(1.16-2.83) | 2.47(1.30-4.71) |
|                                  | No            | 81               | 106          | 1.00         |             |
| Start using contraceptives       |               |                  |             |             |
| during the campaign              | Yes           | 38               | 61           | 0.52(0.32-0.85) | 0.45(0.23-0.88) |
|                                  | No            | 121              | 102          | 1.00         |             |
| Discussion with health professionals |            |                  |             |             |
| Yes                              | 131           | 157              | 0.15(0.06-0.37) | 0.31(0.10-0.94) |
| No                               | 30            | 6                | 1.00         |             |

Note: variables with an asterisk (*) are statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05
DISCUSSION
Our study identified sociodemographic, reproductive health history and contraceptive use related factors associated with non-utilization of LAPCMs among married women in Chencha district, Southern Ethiopia. The first factor was related to the educational level of married women’s partners.
Both women’s and their husband’s educational status were the significant factors that affect the utilization of Modern contraception and LAPCMS. In this study, a significant association was observed with partners’ educational level. Unlike partners who attained college and university level education, those women whose partners with primary level education were more likely to not utilize LAPCMS (AOR=3.1, 95%CI 1.4-6.50). Our finding is comparable with a study that was conducted in Wolayita town, Southern Ethiopia, women who attained a higher level of education were 2.8 times more intended to utilize LAPCMS than women with no education.
Moreover, different contraceptive use related factors were related with non-utilization of LAPCMs. Discussion with health providers on family planning use was not associated with non-utilization of LAPCMs. It was revealed that women who discussed with health professionals about LAPCMs had significantly lower odds of not utilizing LAPCMs compared to women who did not discuss (AOR = 0.31, 95% C.I 0.10- 0.94). This might be from understanding the importance of LAPCMs use for ensuring safe and effective protection from unintended pregnancy and also to prevent maternal and newborn deaths.
The result of this study showed that partner’s disapproval has a strong relation non-utilization of LAPCMs. The odds of husband approval to LAPCMs use for cases were 88% smaller than the odds for the same expose among controls. A consistent finding was reported from two other studies which were done in Nigeria and Ghana. Contraceptive use encourages promiscuity or it affects partner’s authority as head of the household was the reported reasons from these two studies. Married women who were not informed to use contraception were more than twice (AOR= 2.47, 1.30-4.71) likely to not utilize LAPCMs than those were informed about LAPCMs. This finding is almost similar to the report from Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS) i.e. Less than half of (46%) current users of contraceptive methods were informed about the method they used.
This study revealed that about 23.2% of married women who were not ever user of LAPCMs and 37.2% ever users of LAPCMs started contraceptive use while a campaign is undergone in their district. A significant difference was observed between the two groups regarding initiation of contraceptive during a campaign. The odds of contraceptive use during a campaign for cases were 48% smaller than the odds for the same expose among controls. This shows the significantly large number of LAPCMs users started the methods during a campaign.

CONCLUSIONS
The result of this study indicates that knowledge about LAPCMs, especially for long-acting reversible contraceptive methods (LARC) is high, and health professionals are the main source of family planning information. Despite this, factors such as educational level of partner, number of live children, future children preference, husband approval to use contraception, not informed choice to contraception use, starting contraceptive methods during campaign and discussion with health professionals about LAPCMs were the most contributing factors for non-utilization of LAPCMs in the district.
In order to enhance the utilization of LAPCMs as a bold step towards the improvement of reproductive service utilization and subsequently decreasing maternal and child mortality: we recommend first, by educating and motivating the public in the district, concerted efforts should be made to increase the utilization of LAPCMs, especially long-acting permanent contraceptive methods. Second, Policies directed towards improving LAPCMs utilization need to consider raising the levels of formal education. Third, training of service providers in quality care, especially about counseling about family planning, the rights of clients, informed the choice of contraceptive methods is very crucial.
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