Palladium(II)-catalysed ortho-arylation of N-benzylpiperidines†
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PdII-catalysed ortho-arylation of benzylic heterocycles with arylboronic acid pinacol esters (Ar-BPin) via directed C–H bond activation to generate the desired biaryl products is reported. This methodology is efficient and applicable to a wide range of functionalised Ar-BPin and benzylic heterocycles, allowing the direct synthesis of important biaryl motifs in modest to good yield.

Cross-coupling reactions of aromatic compounds constitute one of the most versatile entries to compounds possessing a biaryl motif.1 Such transformations are usually performed by traditional cross coupling reactions of organohalides or pseudo-halides with organometallic reagents.2 However, prefunction-alisation of substrates to form specific organohalides for cross coupling can be difficult and this has accordingly led many research groups to develop more direct routes to biaryl scaffolds.3 Over the last decade, a variety of direct, chelation-assisted, C–H activation on arenes, mainly via [Pd],4 [Rh]5 and [Ru]6 catalysis, has been developed using a wide range of directing groups (DGs) such as amides, imines, oximes, ketones and other N-heterocycles. Expanding the scope of DGs to include simple amine derivatives that are commonly found in natural products and pharmaceutical compounds is highly desirable. In 2006, Daugulis and co-workers reported an ortho arylation of unsubstituted benzylamines directed by the free amine with iodobenzene under Pd catalysis.7 Meanwhile, Shi et al. demonstrated that N,N-di-alkyl amine is also an effective directing group for ortho-olefination8 and -carbonylation.9 More recently, Zhang and co-workers10 developed a Pd-catalysed ortho-arylation of N,N-dimethylbenzylamines with iodobenzene (Fig. 1).10

Inspired by these findings we envisaged that saturated N-containing heterocycles could also serve as efficient DGs for C(sp3)–H arylation; this would expand the range of DGs in the field (Fig. 1) and the new chemistry could be applied directly to the construction of biologically relevant motifs such as those present, for example, in known Bel-2 antagonists,11 γ-secretase modulators12 and 5-HTγ-antagonists13 (Fig. 2). Herein we report a PdII-catalysed ortho-arylation of a range of benzylic heterocycles with arylboronic acid pinacol esters leading directly to the biaryl product in one step.

Initially we focused on the piperidine moiety as a potentially useful directing group for C(sp3)–H activation/arylation. Inspired by Yu’s pioneering work,14 a preliminary experiment was conducted on the model substrate 1-(2-methylbenzyl)piperidine 1a and phenylboronic acid pinacol ester 2a in the presence of catalytic Pd(OAc)2, and Ag2CO3, Na2CO3 and 1,4-benzoquinone at 100 °C (Table 1). Encouragingly, ortho-arylated product 3a was observed in 55% NMR yield (entry 1).
Further optimisation was then carried out, starting with an investigation of the performance of different bases. Potassium bases, KF and K₂HPO₄, resulted in a reduced yield (entries 2 & 3). Pleasingly, however, NaHCO₃ was found to be optimal for this transformation; full conversion of 1a to the arylated product 3a was observed after 18 hours (entry 4). Replacement of Pd(OAc)₂ with other Pd sources such as PdCl₂, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ and PdCl₂(CH₃CN)₂ did not result in the formation of any arylated product (entry 5). Decreasing the catalyst loading to 5 mol% resulted in a decrease in yield and therefore, 10 mol% of catalyst was deemed necessary (entry 6). Other oxidants such as Cu(OAc)₂ and CuF₂, were found to give inferior yields (entries 7 & 8) and although AgOAc was found to perform reasonably well (entry 9), Ag₂CO₃ proved optimal (entry 10). 1,4-Benzquinone was also essential as a promoter; in its absence no reaction was observed in agreement with related studies. A decline in yield was also observed without the addition of H₂O and DMSO (see the ESI†). t-Amyl alcohol was found to be the most suitable solvent for this transformation, followed by 1,4-dioxane and MeCN. Other aryl boronic acid derivatives were investigated, but arylboronic acid pinacol esters, were by far the best in this reaction (see the ESI†).

After attaining the optimised conditions, the scope of this methodology was assessed using different functionalized arylboronic acid pinacol esters (Ar-BPins) with 1-(2-methylbenzyl)piperidine (Scheme 1). Generally, a wide range of para-substituted Ar-BPin substrates was tolerated and the corresponding biaryl products were obtained in modest to good yields. Ar-BPins substituted with functional groups, such as cyano, nitro and fluoro were suitable substrates under these reaction conditions affording the desired products in respectable yields. Chlorine substituents on Ar-BPin were also well-tolerated, giving rise to biaryl products poised for further transformations. In the case of ortho-substituted Ar-BPin substrates, the reaction worked well using the ester 2i affording the biaryl product 3i in a yield of 76% but, unfortunately, poor conversions were obtained for other substituents such as fluoro (3m) and methyl (3n). This methodology was also amenable to meta-substituted and 3,5-disubstituted Ar-Bpin derivatives, giving rise to the corresponding products in 43–77% yield. In agreement with related studies, heteroarylboronates that contained pyridine (3u), thiophene (3w) were unreactive under these conditions. Similarly, under the optimised conditions attempted ortho alkylation using cyclohexylboronic acid pinacol ester failed to yield any desired product.

The scope with respect to the substituents on the aromatic ring of the benzylpiperidine in the reaction with phenylboronic acid pinacol ester, was then examined. As presented in Scheme 2, ortho-substituted substrates possessing electron withdrawing (F and CF₃) and the electron donating (OMe) substituents performed well, with products being afforded in 44–73% yield. meta substituted substrates with sterically bulky electron withdrawing substituents (Br and CF₃) underwent monoarylation selectively at the less hindered ortho position, presumably due to steric effects, and afforded the products 4d and 4e in 22–28% yield. However, for less bulky electron withdrawing groups such as fluorine, products of both mono- and di-arylation, 4g and 4g′, were observed in 14% and 35% yield respectively. Notably, for an electron donating substituent at the meta position (OMe), in addition to affording the major monoarylated product 4f (27%), diarylated product 4f′ was also isolated in 12% yield. The reaction conditions were also compatible with para-substituted substrates

Table 1 Optimisation studies on C(sp²)-H activation/cross coupling reactions of 1a & 2b

| Entry | Catalyst (mol%) | Oxidant (equiv.) | Base (equiv.) | Yield (%) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|
| 1a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 55        |
| 2a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 25        |
| 3a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 33        |
| 4a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 82        |
| 5a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 11        |
| 6a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 2         |
| 7a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 88 (81)  |
| 8a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 81        |
| 9a    | 51             | 10              | 0            | 88 (81)  |
| 10a   | 51             | 10              | 0            | 81        |

a Reaction condition: 1a (0.2 mmol), 2b (0.28 mmol), Pd catalyst, base, oxidant, BQ (0.5 equiv.), DMSO (5 μL), H₂O (20 μL) in t-amylOH (1 mL), T = 100 °C, 18 h. b Similar results for PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ and PdCl₂(CH₃CN)₂. c ¹H NMR yield with internal standard (CH₂Br₂), in parenthesis isolated yield.

Scheme 1 C(sp²)-H cross-coupling of 1-i(2-methylbenzyl)piperidine with various Ar-BPins.
affording a mixture of mono and di arylated products. Electron donating substituents (OMe) at the para position increased reactivity compared to the electron withdrawing groups and the di-arylated compounds 4h and 4i were obtained as the major products. Naphthyl (4j) and tri-fluorophenyl (4k) derivatives were also well-tolerated.

After exploring arylations directed by the piperidine moiety, we next investigated other possible saturated nitrogen-containing heterocyclic derivatives that could serve as effective directing groups for this transformation. Accordingly, the benzylic heterocycles 5a–f were subjected to our previously optimised ortho-phenylation conditions. (Scheme 3) Gratifyingly, morpholine (5a) and piperazine (5b and 5c) scaffolds worked well, affording the corresponding arylated products in good yield. Reactions with benzylic heterocycles of different ring size was also shown to be successful albeit the yield obtained was low under the standard conditions and no further optimisation was carried out to improve the yield.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that a range of saturated nitrogen-containing heterocycles attached via the nitrogen atom to benzylic substrates serve as effective directing groups for palladium catalysed C(sp²)–H activation/arylation. Under palladium catalysis, the coupling of a range of aryloboric acid pinacol esters to the ortho position of N-benzylated pyrrolidines, piperidines, morpholine and piperazine substrates giving direct access, under relatively mild reaction conditions, to important biaryl motifs, was demonstrated. Investigations to develop the related direct ortho alklylation reaction are ongoing and our findings will be reported in due course.
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In the case of product 3f, attempts to improve reaction efficiency by the use of additional MPAA ligands (Boc-Thr-OH and Boc-Leu-OH) were unsuccessful and resulted in lower conversion.