Baseline Profile of Participants in the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS)

Takehiro Michikawa¹, Hiroshi Nitta¹, Shoji F. Nakayama¹, Shin Yamazaki¹, Tomohiko Isebe¹, Kenji Tamura¹, Eiko Suda¹, Masaji Ono¹, Junzo Yonemoto¹, Miyuki Iwai-Shimada¹, Yayoi Kobayashi¹, Go Suzuki¹, Toshihiro Kawamoto¹,², and the Japan Environment and Children’s Study Group* ¹Japan Environment and Children’s Study Programme Office, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan ²Department of Environmental Health, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan

ABSTRACT

Background: The Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS), known as Ecochil-Chosa in Japan, is a nationwide birth cohort study investigating the environmental factors that might affect children’s health and development. We report the baseline profiles of the participating mothers, fathers, and their children.

Methods: Fifteen Regional Centres located throughout Japan were responsible for recruiting women in early pregnancy living in their respective recruitment areas. Self-administered questionnaires and medical records were used to obtain such information as demographic factors, lifestyle, socioeconomic status, environmental exposure, medical history, and delivery information. In the period up to delivery, we collected bio-specimens, including blood, urine, hair, and umbilical cord blood. Fathers were also recruited, when accessible, and asked to fill in a questionnaire and to provide blood samples.

Results: The total number of pregnancies resulting in delivery was 100,778, of which 51,402 (51.0%) involved program participation by male partners. Discounting pregnancies by the same woman, the study included 95,248 unique mothers and 49,189 unique fathers. The 100,778 pregnancies involved a total of 101,779 fetuses and resulted in 100,148 live births. The coverage of children in 2013 (the number of live births registered in JECS divided by the number of all live births within the study areas) was approximately 45%. Nevertheless, the data on the characteristics of the mothers and children we studied showed marked similarity to those obtained from Japan’s 2013 Vital Statistics Survey.

Conclusions: Between 2011 and 2014, we established one of the largest birth cohorts in the world.
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INTRODUCTION

Publicity surrounding diseases caused by environmental pollution, such as Minamata disease (mercury poisoning) and Itai-Itai disease (cadmium poisoning),¹ ensures that most people know of the detrimental effects on health of highly concentrated chemicals. Japan is not as heavily polluted with such chemicals as it once was, but chemicals are still widely used; discussions now center on the effects of less concentrated chemicals in the environment on human health. The effects of environmental pollution on children’s health, in particular, is of international concern, and the topic has been discussed at the G7/G8 Environment Ministers’ Meeting.² In response, the Japanese Ministry of the Environment proposed a nationwide birth cohort study involving 100,000 mother-child pairs (and fathers, if accessible), and the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS; Ecochil-Chosa in Japanese) was launched in 2011 to evaluate the effects of exposure to chemicals during the fetal stage and in early childhood on children’s health and development; follow-up is planned until the children are 13 years of age.³ Several secondary studies using data on approximately 10,000 women who gave birth in 2011 (the first year of recruitment) have already been published in peer-reviewed journals.⁴–¹⁰ Recruitment for the study was closed in March 2014, and the birth data were finalized for processing. This paper summarizes the baseline profiles of all participants (mothers, children, and fathers) at the start of the program.

METHODS

Study participants

Details of the JECS concept and design have been published elsewhere.³ Briefly, JECS is funded directly by Japan’s Ministry of the Environment and involves collaboration between the Programme Office (National Institute for Environmental Studies), the Medical Support Centre (National Centre for Child Health...
and Development), and 15 Regional Centres (Hokkaido, Miyagi, Fukushima, Chiba, Kanagawa, Koshin, Toyama, Aichi, Kyoto, Osaka, Hyogo, Tottori, Kochi, Fukuioka, and South Kyushu/Okinawa). Each Regional Centre determined its own study area, consisting of one or more local administrative units (cities, towns or villages) (eTable 1), and was responsible for recruiting women in early pregnancy who resided in its study area. Between January 2011 and March 2014, we contacted pregnant women via cooperating health care providers and/or local government offices issuing Maternal and Child Health Handbooks and registered those willing to participate. The women’s partners (fathers) were also approached, whenever possible, and encouraged to participate. Several Regional Centres later expanded their study areas, because they learned that significant numbers of women residing in adjacent areas gave birth at cooperating health care providers. The Fukushima Centre’s study area was expanded to include the whole of Fukushima Prefecture because of concerns over the effects on health of radioactive fallout from the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant after the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami.

Assessments during pregnancy and at delivery

Questionnaires

Self-administered questionnaires, which were completed by the women during the first trimester and second/third trimester, were used to collect information on demographic factors, medical and obstetric history, physical and mental health, lifestyle, occupation, environmental exposure at home and in the workplace, housing conditions, and socioeconomic status. Most of the questionnaires were distributed to women attending prenatal examinations, but some were sent by post. Completed questionnaires were returned by hand on subsequent prenatal visits or by post. When possible, those who gave incomplete answers were interviewed face-to-face or by telephone. Additionally, the mothers were interviewed about drug use before and during pregnancy.

Between the mothers’ early pregnancy and 1 month after delivery, their male partners were asked to complete a questionnaire covering demographic factors, medical history, physical and mental health, lifestyle, occupation, and environmental exposure at home and in the workplace. The survey method was the same as that for the mothers.

Medical record transcriptions

Following standard operating procedures, physicians, midwives/nurses, and/or research coordinators transcribed relevant information (medical history, including gravidity and related complications; parity; maternal anthropometry; and infant physical examinations) from medical records.

Bio-specimens

Bio-specimens (blood, urine, hair, and umbilical cord blood) were collected during pregnancy and at delivery, and were stored in −80°C freezers, liquid nitrogen tanks, or ordinary-temperature under controlled temperature and humidity. Detailed information about these bio-specimens will be published separately.

Ethical issues

The Ministry of the Environment’s Institutional Review Board on Epidemiological Studies, and the Ethics Committees of all participating institutions approved the JECS protocol. All participating mothers and fathers had provided written informed consent.

Statistical analysis

In this paper, we summarized the following characteristics. Maternal profiles, including age, marital status, family composition, and passive smoking (presence of smokers at home), were obtained from the first trimester questionnaire. Information on educational background and household income was collected from the second/third trimester questionnaire. Questions about smoking habits, alcohol consumption (based on the question used in the Japan Public Health Centre-based prospective Study for the Next Generation [JPHC-NEXT]),11 and occupation in early pregnancy (based on the 2009 Japan Standard Occupational Classification)12 were included in both questionnaires, so data obtained from the first trimester questionnaire was supplemented with data from the second/third trimester questionnaire.4 When a participant chose “workers not classifiable by occupation” in the occupation section and then specified an occupation in the comment box, we chose an appropriate job category for that person. Such information as pre-pregnancy height and weight (used for calculating body mass index [BMI] as weight [kg]/height squared [m²]), and parity was primarily taken from medical records. When required data were missing from the medical records, questionnaire data were used.

We also summarized profile data on male partners (fathers) via questionnaire: their age and their occupation during their partner’s early pregnancy, along with smoking habits, alcohol consumption, BMI, and educational background (as reported by the female partners). Profile data from medical record on the children were summarized, including delivery information (live birth or not, singleton or multiple birth, gestational age at birth, sex, type of delivery) and anthropometry at birth.

The present study is based on the dataset of jecs-ag-20160424, which was released in June 2016. The birth information in this dataset is not supplemented by any information from the national Vital Statistics Survey. The participants’ profiles were processed in aggregate and also separately for each of the 15 Regional Centres. All analyses were performed with Stata 13 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

A JECS cohort flow chart from enrolment to delivery is shown in Figure 1. The study covers a total of 103,099 pregnancies. Excluding the 2,321 pregnancies with no subsequent delivery record, we were left with 100,778 pregnancies resulting in delivery, of which 51,402 (51.0%) involved program participation by male partners. Discounting pregnancies by the same woman, the study involved 95,248 unique mothers and 49,189 unique fathers. The 100,778 pregnancies involved 101,779 fetuses and resulted in 100,148 live births, 291 stillbirths (fetal deaths occurring at ≥22 weeks of gestation), and 1,340 miscarriages. It is difficult to accurately assess the coverage of the children (the number of live births registered in JECS divided by the number of all live births within the study areas) for the entire study period because we recruited women in early pregnancy and later expanded the study areas. In 2013, when recruitment was largely stabilized, however, the child coverage was approximately 45%.

Table 1 shows the response rates of the mothers, fathers, and children for each survey item. The questionnaire and medical record response rates were nearly 100%. The response rates for maternal blood and urine sampling were higher in the second/third trimesters (95.4% for blood and 95.6% for urine) than in the
first trimester (88.7% and 88.5%, respectively), mainly because approximately 8% of the pregnancies were registered during the second/third trimesters. Since the first trimester questionnaire was also given to these late participants, its response rate was 98.5%. Although we prioritized the storage of cord blood samples in public cord blood banks, the samples collected from the mothers represented 87.3% of the pregnancies surveyed.

Baseline profiles of the mothers (mean age at delivery, 31.2; standard deviation [SD], 5.1) are shown in Table 2. Most were married (95.6%) and resided with their partner (and their children) (75.1%). The proportion of those who had received at least 13 years of education was 63.7% for the mothers and 55.8% for the fathers (mother-reported). The distribution of household income peaked at 2 to <4 million Japanese-yen/year (34.6%) and 4 to <6 million yen/year (33.1%). The mothers’ most common occupations in early pregnancy were homemakers (28.8%) and professional/engineering workers (22.3%). Smokers and alcohol drinkers during early pregnancy accounted for 18.2% and 45.9%, respectively. The distribution of baseline profiles did not substantially differ between the total population (about 100,000 mothers) and the sub-population of about 50,000 mothers with male partners participating in the study.

The mean age of the fathers when their partners gave birth was 32.9 (SD, 5.9) years (Table 3); 30.2% were engaged in the professional/engineering works, 47.7% had smoked during their partner’s early pregnancy, and 75.0% had drunk alcohol.

Table 4 shows baseline profiles of the 100,148 live births. The secondary sex ratio (male = female) was 1.05. Among the 98,259 singleton births, the mean anthropometric values at birth were weight: 3,023 (SD, 420) g, height: 48.9 (SD, 2.3) cm, head circumference: 33.2 (SD, 1.5) cm, and chest circumference: 31.8 (SD, 1.8) cm. The distributions of baseline profiles did not substantially differ between the total population (about 100,000 children) and the sub-population (about 50,000 children with participating fathers).

The baseline profiles of the mothers, fathers, and children for each Regional Centre are shown in eTable 2, eTable 3, and eTable 4.

**DISCUSSION**

We began registering the participants for the JECS in 2011 and completed registration in 2014, establishing one of the largest...
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Table 2. Baseline profiles of the mothers in the Japan Environment and Children’s Study, 2011–2014

| Variables                                | Total (n = 100,778) | (%) | With male partners (n = 51,402) | (%) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|
| Number of pregnancies                   | 100,778             |     | 51,402                          |     |
| Age at delivery, years                  | 100,768             |     | 51,396                          |     |
| <20                                      | 93                  | 0.9 | 374                             | 0.7 |
| 20–24                                    | 9,229               | 9.2 | 4,574                           | 8.9 |
| 25–29                                    | 27,686              | 27.5| 14,604                          | 28.4|
| 30–34                                    | 35,571              | 35.3| 18,387                          | 35.8|
| 35–39                                    | 22,713              | 22.5| 11,198                          | 21.8|
| ≥40                                      | 4,676               | 4.6 | 2,259                           | 4.4 |
| Marital status                           |                     |     |                                 |     |
| Married                                  | 94,032              | 95.6| 49,119                          | 97.0|
| Unmarried/never married                  | 3,444               | 3.5 | 1,296                           | 2.6 |
| Divorced/widowed                         | 836                 | 0.9 | 209                             | 0.4 |
| Family composition                       |                     |     |                                 |     |
| One-person households                    | 653                 | 0.7 | 216                             | 0.4 |
| A couple only                            | 30,105              | 30.7| 17,386                          | 34.4|
| A couple with their children             | 45,556              | 44.4| 20,769                          | 41.1|
| A parent with his/her children           | 848                 | 0.9 | 251                             | 0.5 |
| Other households                         | 22,961              | 23.4| 11,899                          | 23.6|
| Educational background, years            |                     |     |                                 |     |
| <10                                      | 4,704               | 4.8 | 2,072                           | 4.1 |
| 10–12                                    | 30,544              | 31.5| 15,407                          | 30.7|
| 13–16                                    | 60,333              | 62.2| 31,902                          | 63.6|
| ≥17                                      | 1,423               | 1.5 | 800                             | 1.6 |
| Paternal educational background, years   |                     |     |                                 |     |
| <10                                      | 7,049               | 7.3 | 2,858                           | 5.7 |
| 10–12                                    | 35,515              | 36.8| 18,364                          | 36.7|
| 13–16                                    | 49,483              | 51.3| 26,405                          | 52.7|
| ≥17                                      | 4,340               | 4.5 | 2,437                           | 4.9 |
| Household income, million Japanese-yen/year |               |     |                                 |     |
| <2                                       | 5,140               | 5.7 | 2,300                           | 4.9 |
| 2 to <4                                  | 31,311              | 34.6| 16,222                          | 34.4|
| 4 to <6                                  | 29,942              | 33.1| 15,915                          | 33.7|
| 6 to <8                                  | 14,410              | 15.9| 7,668                           | 16.2|
| 8 to <10                                 | 5,926               | 6.5 | 3,146                           | 6.7 |
| ≥10                                      | 3,867               | 4.3 | 1,975                           | 4.2 |
| Occupation in early pregnancy            |                     |     |                                 |     |
| Administrative and managerial workers    | 567                 | 0.6 | 282                             | 0.6 |
| Professional and engineering workers     | 21,857              | 22.3| 12,060                          | 23.9|
| Clerical workers                         | 16,432              | 16.8| 8,569                           | 17.0|
| Sales workers                            | 5,744               | 5.9 | 2,763                           | 5.5 |
| Service workers                          | 15,527              | 15.9| 7,003                           | 15.3|
| Security workers                         | 242                 | 0.2 | 138                             | 0.3 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishery workers| 454                 | 0.5 | 235                             | 0.5 |
| Manufacturing process workers            | 3,376               | 3.4 | 1,889                           | 3.7 |
| Transport and machine operation workers  | 177                 | 0.2 | 95                              | 0.2 |
| Construction and mining workers          | 71                  | 0.1 | 38                              | 0.1 |
| Carrying, cleaning, packaging, and related workers | 678 | 0.7 | 290                             | 0.6 |
| Homemaker                                | 22,225              | 28.8| 14,246                          | 28.2|
| Others (students, incarceration, workers not classifiable by occupation) | 4,585               | 4.7 | 2,198                           | 4.4 |
| Smoking habits                           |                     |     |                                 |     |
| Never smoked                             | 57,444              | 58.0| 30,268                          | 59.5|
| Ex-smokers who quit before pregnancy     | 23,571              | 23.8| 12,011                          | 23.6|
| Smokers during early pregnancy           | 18,038              | 18.2| 8,618                           | 16.9|
| Passive smoking (presence of smokers at home)† |               |     |                                 |     |
| No                                       | 66,486              | 83.2| 35,675                          | 85.2|
| Yes                                      | 13,424              | 16.8| 6,174                           | 14.8|
| Alcohol consumption                      |                     |     |                                 |     |
| Never drank                              | 34,279              | 34.6| 17,666                          | 34.7|
| Ex-drinkers who quit before pregnancy    | 19,392              | 19.6| 9,792                           | 19.2|
| Drinkers during early pregnancy          | 45,478              | 45.9| 23,479                          | 46.1|
| Body mass index before pregnancy         |                     |     |                                 |     |
| <18.5 kg/m²                               | 16,272              | 16.2| 8,066                           | 15.7|
| 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m²                       | 73,416              | 73.0| 37,572                          | 73.2|
| ≥25 kg/m²                                | 10,850              | 10.8| 5,720                           | 11.1|
| Parity                                   |                     |     |                                 |     |
| 0                                        | 41,573              | 41.5| 23,280                          | 45.5|
| 1                                        | 38,281              | 38.2| 18,555                          | 36.2|
| ≥2                                       | 20,434              | 20.4| 9,377                           | 18.3|

SD, standard deviation.

†Excluding smokers during early pregnancy.
birth cohorts in the world. This paper outlines the baseline profiles of the JECS participants.

One strength of this study is that it covers the whole of Japan, from Hokkaido in the north to Okinawa in the south. Although the child coverage was approximately 45% in 2013, the selected characteristics of the mothers and children were comparable with those obtained in the national survey (Table 5). For example, the proportions of low birth weight (<2,500 g) were 8.2% for JECS in 2013 and 8.3% in the 2013 national Vital Statistics Survey. The fetal death rate in JECS (3.1 per 1,000 live births) was 8.2% for JECS in 2013 and 8.3% in the 2013 national Vital Statistics Survey. Therefore, we think we can extrapolate the JECS results to the Japanese general population. Second, the large amount of information collected via questionnaires and/or medical records allows us to investigate the associations between environmental exposure and outcomes after controlling for many covariates, such as lifestyle and physical and social factors. Third, most of the participants provided bio-specimens during pregnancy and at delivery, which will be used to identify new substances in the environment posing health hazards and for gene analyses.

Some weaknesses also warrant consideration. First, only about half of the eligible men participated. However, the profiles of the mothers and children did not essentially differ between the total population and the sub-population with paternal participation. Another limitation is that the majority of women were recruited after the latter half of the first trimester. Therefore, we should keep in mind that we did not cover all early miscarriages. In

### Table 3. Baseline profiles of the fathers in the Japan Environment and Children’s Study, 2011–2014

| Variables | Number of valid response | n (%) |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------|
| Number of their partner’s pregnancies | 51,402 | 51.104 |
| Age when their children were born, years | 51,104 | 32.9 (15.9) |
| <20 | 198 | 0.4 |
| 20-24 | 3,173 | 6.2 |
| 25-29 | 11,659 | 22.8 |
| 30-34 | 16,867 | 33.0 |
| 35-39 | 12,738 | 24.9 |
| ≥40 | 6,469 | 12.7 |
| Occupation during their partner’s early pregnancy | 49,700 | 2,029 |
| Administrative and managerial workers | 2,029 | 4.1 |
| Professional and engineering workers | 15,001 | 30.2 |
| Clerical workers | 4,627 | 9.3 |
| Sales workers | 5,568 | 10.8 |
| Service workers | 5,630 | 11.4 |
| Security workers | 2,065 | 4.2 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishery workers | 929 | 1.9 |
| Manufacturing process workers | 6,744 | 13.6 |
| Transport and machine operation workers | 2,061 | 4.1 |
| Construction and mining workers | 3,413 | 6.9 |
| Carrying, cleaning, packaging, and related workers | 828 | 1.7 |
| Homemaker | 66 | 0.1 |
| Others (students, inoccupation, workers not classifiable by occupation) | 921 | 1.9 |
| Smoking habits | 49,815 | 14,284 |
| Never smoked | 49,784 | 28.7 |
| Ex-smokers who quit before their partner’s pregnancy | 11,757 | 23.6 |
| Smokers during their partner’s early pregnancy | 23,774 | 47.7 |
| Alcohol consumption | 49,839 | 10,888 |
| Never drank | 10,888 | 21.2 |
| Ex-drinkers | 1,873 | 3.8 |
| Drinkers | 37,378 | 75.0 |
| Body mass index | 49,532 | 1,797 |
| <18.5 kg/m² | 1,797 | 3.6 |
| 18.5-24.9 kg/m² | 34,204 | 69.1 |
| ≥25 kg/m² | 13,511 | 27.3 |
| SD, standard deviation. |

### Table 4. Baseline profiles of the children in the Japan Environment and Children’s Study, 2011–2014

| Variables | Total | Number of live births | Singleton births, n (%) |
|-----------|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Number of live births | 100,148 | 55,599 |
| Singleton births, n (%) | 98,259 | 50,564 |
| Gestational age at birth | 100,148 | 51,539 |
| Total, weeks, mean (SD) | 39.2 (1.7) | 41.0 (1.5) |
| Term births (≥37 weeks), n (%) | 94,322 | 48,763 |
| Postterm births (≥42 weeks), n (%) | 227 | 132 |
| Sex | 100,137 | 51,534 |
| Male, n (%) | 51,316 | 26,279 |
| Female, n (%) | 48,821 | 25,255 |
| Type of delivery | 99,884 | 51,413 |
| Vaginal, n (%) | 79,783 | 41,212 |
| Cesarean, n (%) | 20,101 | 10,201 |
| Birth weight, g | 100,071 | 51,509 |
| Total, mean (SD) | 31.8 (1.8) | 25.625 |
| Singleton births | 97,692 | 50,265 |
| Total, mean (SD) | 33.2 (1.5) | 25.693 |
| Male, mean (SD) | 50,166 | 25.693 |
| Female, mean (SD) | 47,740 | 24,675 |
| Birth chest circumference, cm | 99,538 | 51,222 |
| Total, mean (SD) | 33.0 (1.5) | 25.635 |
| Singleton births | 97,912 | 50,368 |
| Total, mean (SD) | 33.4 (1.5) | 25.679 |
| Male, mean (SD) | 50,054 | 25.679 |
| Female, mean (SD) | 47,663 | 24,751 |
| Birth weight, <2,500 g, n (%) | 97,885 | 3,023 |
| Total, mean (SD) | 3.074 (415) | 24.984 |
| Singleton births | 97,653 | 51,336 |
| Total, mean (SD) | 3.030 (410) | 24.984 |
| Male, mean (SD) | 50,312 | 25.779 |
| Female, mean (SD) | 47,636 | 24,751 |
| Birth cerebrum circumference, cm | 99,489 | 51,198 |
| Total, mean (SD) | 31.1 (1.8) | 25.625 |
| Singleton births | 97,653 | 51,316 |
| Total, mean (SD) | 31.8 (1.8) | 25.625 |
| Male, mean (SD) | 50,034 | 25.625 |
| Female, mean (SD) | 47,614 | 24,617 |
| SD, standard deviation. |

### Table 5. The selected characteristics of the Japan Environment Children’s Study (JECS) and the national Vital Statistics in 2013

#### Characteristics of the mothers

| Age at delivery, years | JECS in 2013 (%) | Total population of JECS, 2011–2014 (%) | Vital Statistics in 2013 (%) |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 20-29 | 36.5 | 36.6 | 36.3 |
| 30-39 | 57.8 | 57.8 | 57.8 |
| Parity | 41.0 | 41.5 | a |

#### Characteristics of the children

| Live births | JECS in 2013 (%) | Total population of JECS, 2011–2014 (%) | Vital Statistics in 2013 (%) |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Singleton births | 98.0 | 98.1 | 98.1 |
| Gestational age at birth, weeks | 94.2 | 94.2 | 94.0 |
| Term births (37–41 weeks) | 50,054 | 33.4 (1.5) | 33.4 (1.5) |
| Sex | 51.2 | 51.2 | 51.2 |
| Female | 48.8 | 48.8 | 48.8 |
| Type of delivery | 20.3 | 20.1 | 19.7 |
| Caesarean | 8.2 | 8.1 | 8.3 |
| Birth weight, g | 2,500 to <3,000 | 38.5 | 38.7 | 39.0 |
| ≥3,500 | 42.2 | 42.1 | 41.8 |

*In Vital Statistics, birth order has been reported. The proportion of first child among the number of the total births was 46.7% in 2013. Surveys of Medical Institutions in 2014. Singleton births only.
addition, in spite of the large sample size, it is difficult to examine the associations of environmental exposure to chemicals with rare perinatal outcomes, such as amniotic embolism, sudden infant death syndrome, and many individual congenital anomalies.

Information about JECS is available to the public at http://www.env.go.jp/chemi/ceb/. We are following up the participating children by distributing guardian-administered questionnaires every 6 months, starting when the children become 6 months of age, and we are carrying out further chemical analyses of approximately 100,000 blood samples taken from mothers during their second/third trimesters for heavy metals, including lead, cadmium, mercury, manganese, and selenium; these analyses will be completed in 2017. We will soon be able to report on any associations of exposure to heavy metals during pregnancy with pregnancy and reproductive outcomes (eg, preterm delivery, birth weight, and secondary sex ratio).
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APPENDIX A. SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.2188/jca.JE20170018.

APPENDIX B.

Members of the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS) as of 2016 (principal investigator, Toshihiro Kawamoto: Hirohisa Saito (National Centre for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan), Reiko Kishi (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan), Nobuo Yaegashi (Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan), Koichi Hashimoto (Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan), Chisato Mori (Chiba University, Chiba, Japan), Shuichi Ito (Yokohama City University, Yokohama, Japan), Zentaro Yamagata (University of Yamanashi, Chuo, Japan), Hidekuni Inadera (University of Toyama, Toyama, Japan), Michihiro Kamijima (Nagoya City University, Nagoya, Japan), Yoshiki Kato (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan), Hirohisa Saito (National Centre for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan), Reiko Kishi (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan), Koichi Kusuhara (University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan), and Takahiko Kato (Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan).
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