Effect of petrography and diagenesis on the sandstone reservoir quality: a case study of the Middle Miocene Kareem Formation in the North Geisum oil field, Gulf of Suez, Egypt
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Abstract
Kareem Formation is one of the most important hydrocarbon reservoirs in the Gulf of Suez. It contributes significantly to the total Egyptian oil production; therefore, the current work is to emphasise the influence of siliclastic facies types and their diagenetic processes on the reservoir quality of the Kareem Formation. A comprehensive log and core analyses were used to determine both the petrophysical and petrographical characteristics. Three classic facies have been identified: two sandstone facies with reservoir potential and one shale facies. Facies I is coarse-grained sandstone with high-angle cross-bedding, distributary/braided channel deposits on the active part of a mid-submarine fan lobe and is represented by beds of (Shagar Member). Facies II is fine-grained sandy beds represented by low-angle crudely, cross-bedded sandstone encountered in the Rahmi Member. Facies III is mainly shale and recorded in the Rahmi clastic zone. Petrographically, the quartz arenite microfacies are dominant in the Shagar sand zone and show the highest potential zones in the Kareem Formation. On the other hand, quartz lithic arenite and calcareous lithic arenite constitute the major microfacies in the Rahmi clastic zone and show the lowest reservoir quality. The main diagenetic processes that enhance the sandstone reservoir intervals of the Kareem Formation are the microfracturing of mineral grains and the dissolution of both feldspar and carbonate cement. In contrast, the amount of cementing materials, particularly authigenic carbonate and clay cements, led to diminishing of the reservoir quality of the studied Kareem Formation.
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Introduction
The impact of the sedimentary facies and the diagenetic processes on the reservoir quality of the siliclastic reservoir rocks have been discussed by many authors, including Abouessa and Morad (2009), Ajdukiewicz and Lander (2010), Bjørlykke (2014), Khalifa and Morad (2015), Olivarius et al. (2015), Nabawy and Géraud (2016), Nabawy and El Sharawy (2018), Nabawy et al. (2020), Abuhagaza et al. (2021), Kassem et al. (2021) and Zhang et al. (2021). The Gulf of Suez lies between Sinai and the northern section of Egypt's Eastern Desert. It has a width of 50–105 km, a length of around 330 km and an area of roughly 250,000 km². It is a NW–SE trending rift feature initiated most probably during the Late Oligocene (Khalil and McClay 2001). Its significance stems from the wide range of rocks, from Precambrian to modern, that include good to outstanding hydrocarbon source rocks and reservoirs; it is an excellent example of hydrocarbon accumulation linked with rifting (London, 1995). The North Geisum oil field is located in the northern section of the main Geisum concession, between latitudes 27° 40′ 9.3″ and 27° 41′ 2.157″ N and longitudes 33° 39′ 0.59″ and 33° 39′ 47.9″ E. (Fig. 1). It lies southeast of Zeit Bay in the offshore southern portion of the Gulf of Suez, roughly 40 km north of Hurghada City, and covers an area of around 40 km². Because of the existence of pinnacle and barrier reefs that encircle the island, the region is characterised by rapid variations in water depth ranging from 0 to 60 m. The
main goal of this study is to shed more light on the impact of microfacies and post-depositional processes on the reservoir quality of the Kareem Formation’s clastic intervals in the Geisum oil field.

Geologic settings

The Red Sea and Gulf of Suez form a rift system with an extensional regime that is more or less perpendicular to their border faults, whereas the Gulf of Aqaba is a leaky transform basin with a strike slip regime; the rift basin stretches northwest from the Red Sea and began during the Oligocene–Miocene epoch (Moustafa and Khalil 2020). The Gulf of Suez has developed in a series of distinct evolutionary stages from the Palaeozoic to the Holocene and is characterised by tectonic extensional episodes producing tension block faulting (horst and graben) and block subsidence (Fig. 2). The first-stage, Palaeozoic terrestrial clastics were deposited over a Precambrian crystalline basement with minor tectonic movements (Bosworth and McClay 2001). The hiatus caused by these movements is evident in the thinning or absence of sedimentation in many parts of the Gulf of Suez. The second stage occurred during the Permian–Triassic to Jurassic and is characterised by local subsidence and minor transgression, leading to deposition of fluvio-marine red shales and sandstones. The third stage dates from the Early Cretaceous and involved rifting of the continental crust, under tension, to produce a system of grabens via block faulting. In the fourth stage, which extended from the middle Cretaceous to the Miocene, normal faulting continued, and the graben system gradually subsided to form a deep basin. Marine sandstone and shallow marine limestone, including reefal limestone, were deposited on structural highs, whereas shale and Globigerina marl accumulated in the low areas. The last strata of this stage were thick salt deposits. In the fifth and final stage, during the Pliocene–Holocene period, the inner fracture system deepened, the basin fill was raised near the rift borders due to continuing block faulting and the non-marine wedge-top strata (mostly sandstone) infiltrated the basin (Alsharhan 2003).

The original structure of the Gulf of Suez comprises northwest tilted fault block sand horsts because of the early rifting between African and Arabian plates in the Late Mesozoic and the Early Tertiary times when fault blocks by NE–SW cross faults existed, which developed and tilted with plunging towards the northwest (Afifi et al. 2013, 2016). The average structure dip of the penetrated pre-Miocene and Miocene sedimentary units ranges from 35° to 45° in the Nubia–Matulla formations that gradually decreases upward to about 8° in the Kareem Formation. Generally, these sedimentary units are thinning up dip towards the crestal area of the tilted blocks, either due to the non-deposition over the highs or because of the pre-Miocene unconformity (Patton et al. 1994).

The stratigraphic section of the Gulf of Suez comprises rock units ranging in age from Pre-Cambrian to Holocene. Three lithostratigraphic sequences are recognised through the Gulf of Suez area: (a) The pre-rift stratigraphic sequence is composed of strata ranging in age from the Precambrian to the Late Eocene (Omran and El Sharawy 2014), from sand, shale and carbonate facies that were laid down under terrestrial and marine platform environments. This period of sedimentation was affected by major unconformities representing non-deposition or erosion at different geologic times (Alsharhan 2003). (b) The syn-rift sediments that were put down during the rifting (Alsharhan and Salah 1994) are composed of the Miocene formations (Abu Zenima, Nukhul, Rudeis and Kareem formations), and the formation is divided into two members (Shagar Member and Rahmi Member). (c) Sediments from the Middle Miocene to the recent represent the post-rift lithostratigraphic units.

Kareem Formation

The Kareem Formation is a syn-rift rock unit (Fig. 3) that is predominantly shale with frequent intervals of sandstones. The interbedded sands provide excellent reservoirs with porosities ranging from 11 to 24% (Abd El-Naby et al. 2010). The palaeo-depositional environments are difficult to interpret because of a lack of faunal content (Wescott et al. 1996). The Kareem Formation is subdivided into two members: Shagar Member at the top and Rahmi Member at the bottom. The Shagar Member consists mainly of shale and limestone with sandstone streaks. The shale is light grey, dark grey and greenish grey in colour; soft to moderately compact; and highly calcareous grading to marl, sandy and silty in parts. The sandstone is mainly white, and occasionally pink; fine to medium grained; occasionally coarse grained; sub-angular to subrounded; moderately sorted; with calcareous cement; and cherty in parts and pyritic in other parts (Hammad 2009). The Shagar Member is divided into Shagar cap and Shagar sand beds. On the other hand, the Rahmi Member can be subdivided into Rahmi anhydrite bed, followed by Rahmi clastic bed that is composed of shale, siltstone, limestone and streaks of sand called intra-Rahmi sand, and at its lower part there is thick sandstone called basal Rahmi sand bed (Salah and Alsharhan 1997). A chart of stratigraphic correlations has been constructed to show the lateral variations in formation thickness, lithology content, unconformity and strata equivalent values, and accordingly, the wells have to correlate and interpret the major sequence from the well data (Fig. 4). The Shagar Member is subdivided into two beds (Shagar cap and Shagar sand beds). Shagar sand bed reaches its maximum thickness (89 ft.) at GC-8ST2 well.
and minimum around GD-3 well (37 ft.) which is composed mainly of sandstone. The Rahmi Member is divided into Rahmi anhydrite, Rahmi clastics and Rahmi sand beds. The lithology varies from shale with sandstone and limestone streaks (GC-4–GD-3 and GC-3) to limestone and sandstone at the GC-8ST2 well.

Materials and methods

The current work aims to use the integration of both core and well log data to do a comprehensive petrophysical characterisation of the Kareem Formation in North Geisum oil field, based on four selected wells (GC-3, GD-3, GC-4 and GC-8ST2). The log data include gamma, resistivity, neutron, density and sonic logs. Accurate reservoir properties and mapping of the reservoir heterogeneity are carried out. Petrographic investigation was performed using thin section and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Three samples were subjected to X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis using Philips X-ray diffraction equipment (model PW/1710), with Ni filter and Cu radiation (λ = 1.542 Å) at 40 kV and 30 MA. Fractions finer than 4Φ in each sample were collectively analysed for the clay mineral identification beside the other associated minerals.

Results and discussion

Well log analysis

The well log data of four wells in North Geisum field have been processed and interpreted in order to determine the
reservoir characterisations of the Kareem Formation and its reservoir beds. The log set includes gamma, resistivity, neutron, density and sonic logs. Lithology identification and estimation of the petrophysical parameters (shale volume, porosity and fluid saturation) were performed, and the results are tabulated in Table 1. Some cross plotting relationships have been constructed to help identification of the distribution behaviour of the well logging–deduced parameters, particularly the porosity. These cross plots (computer-processed interpretation (CPI), neutron density and sonic density) will facilitate the qualitative interpretation of some log responses, e.g. deducing the mineral constitute and lithology in the studied rock units.

CPI of GC-3 well

All available data for the interval 4774 to 5428 ft. (Fig. 3) show that the Kareem Formation in the study area can be subdivided into Shagar and Rahmi members from top to bottom, respectively. In addition, the Shagar Member can
Fig. 4 Stratigraphic correlation chart for wells in the NW–SE direction
be subdivided into Shagar cap and Shagar sand beds. The Shagar cap bed is dominated by mainly shale with streaks of limestone, and its gross thickness is 316 ft. The shale content is high which reaches its maximum value at middle while decreases towards upper and lower parts. The average shale volume of this formation is about 22%, and the average water saturation is 100%, which is considered as a cap rock of Shagar sand reservoir. Shagar cap bed followed by highly calcareous sand body increases downward, which is called Shagar sand bed, and its gross thickness is 45 ft. The average shale volume of this bed is about 3%, the average total porosity is about 19.5%, the effective porosity is 18%, the water saturation is 11.7% and the hydrocarbon saturation is 88.3%.

NEU/DEN cross plot for the studied wells was constructed where the Shagar sand bed is composed mainly of sand with a minor amount of highly calcareous sand increase downward, the Rahmi clastic and Rahmi sand beds where the Rahmi anhydrite bed is consisting of anhydrite attaining a thickness of 51.5 ft. and is downward followed by the Rahmi clastic bed. Rahmi clastic bed is composed of shale, limestone and streak of sand called intra-Rahmi sand which reached 18 ft. in the GC-3 well. The gross thickness of Rahmi clastic bed is 194 ft. The average shale volume of this bed is about 14%, the average total porosity is about 18.7%, the effective porosity is 14.6%, the water saturation is 46% and the hydrocarbon saturation is 54%. In the lower part of the Kareem Formation, there is thick sandstone called Rahmi sand bed. Its gross thickness is 44 ft. The average shale volume of this bed is about 5%, the average total porosity is about 18%, the effective porosity is 18%, the water saturation is 31% and the hydrocarbon saturation is 69%.

Sedimentary facies

Based on the cutting description and core photo, three distinct sedimentological facies were recognised:

- **Facies I**: Represented by beds of coarse-grained sandstone (Shagar Member), it shows a high-angle cross-bedded, distributary/raided channel deposit on the active part of a mid-submarine fan lobe (Fig. 8A). Thin pebbly interbeds concentrated along reactivation surfaces represent channel lags at the base of individual channel sand bodies. Vertical accretion of these sand bodies produces a multi-system reservoir. In the Shagar Member, this facies attains about 28°F′5″.

- **Facies II**: The finer sandy beds that are represented by low-angle crudely, cross-bedded fine-grained sandstone encountered in the Rahmi Member are interpreted as a moderate- to high-energy deposit on the distal margin of a mid-submarine fan lobe (smooth mid fan) (Fig. 8B). This facies is found in the Rahmi Member (Rahmi clastics). It does not have any reservoir potential as it is composed mainly of shale.

Environmental interpretation

The coarse-grained sandstone facies (facies I) represents high-energy sand deposition in a distributary channel setting of a supra-fan lobe (mid-submarine fan complex) by sediment gravity flows (grain flow) (Armitage et al. 2010). The sonic/density cross plot in the available wells in the study area demonstrates that Shagar sand, Rahmi clastics and Rahmi sand beds are lying under the same range of density readings between 2.3 and 2.6 g/cm³, while the sonic readings range between 50 and 70 µs/ft. This cross plot indicates the absence of the evaporate minerals in sandstone, limestone and shale (Fig. 7).
Thin pebbly interbeds associated with scoured reactivation surfaces represent channel lags at the base of individual channel sand bodies. Facies II is interpreted as a moderate- to high-energy deposit on the distal margin of a mid-submarine fan lobe (smooth mid-fan). The cross-bedded and well-sorted sandstone beds indicate deposition by relatively strong currents that flowed across the surface of the fan lobe during turbidity events. In the deep-sea fan models of Walker (1978) and Normark (1978), these types of beds would be classified as outer fan or distal mid-fan deposits. The claystone facies (facies III) is interpreted as a deep marine suspension fallout deposit occurring in an outer fan setting away from the active submarine fan lobes. The presence of abundant marine fossils (microplankton and planktonic microfauna) in association with high fissility and minor bioturbation indicates a quiet and deep-water environment of deposition.

**Reservoir potential**

The porosity/permeability cross plot data (Fig. 9) displays three distinct subpopulations: the first population with high porosity and permeability represents intervals with low or no calcareous cement (facies I), and it attains moderate to high reservoir potential with an average vertical and horizontal permeability of 255 mD and 825.0 mD, respectively, and an average helium porosity of about 18.1%. The second population with moderate porosity and permeability represents intervals with abundant carbonate cement (facies II), and the low permeability and porosity is mainly due to the
argillaceous nature of the sediment. Calcareous cementation also played a role in reducing porosity and permeability. This facies has low reservoir potential with an average vertical and horizontal permeability of 5.9 mD and 19.8 mD, respectively, and helium porosity of 13.2%. Facies III is composed of shale and has no reservoir potential, but it acts as a seal between potential reservoir intervals (Table 2).

**Petrography**

Samples representing the Shagar sand zone show a sublithic arenite microfacies with medium- to coarse-grained, moderately sorted sandstone, dominantly composed of quartz grains partly possessing quartz overgrowths (Fig. 10A). Porosity is dominantly secondary interparticle and partly occluded by kaolinite, pyrite, ferroan dolomite and detrital clay. Rock fragments are minor and include carbonate clasts (Fig. 10B). This facies displays moderate to high reservoir potential with generally good porosity and permeability, with 22% point-counted porosity, 2285 mD horizontal permeability, 24% helium porosity and 436 mD vertical permeability. Rahmi Member microfacies samples are represented in two microfacies; petrographic examination of the samples representing the Rahmi Member revealed that two microfacies were recoded: lithic arenite and calcareous lithic arenite. The lithic arenite microfacies is composed of medium-grained, poor to moderately sorted sandstone with abundant pore filling calcite cement, feldspar and detrital clay (dark brown) (Fig. 10C, D), while the calcareous lithic arenite microfacies are composed of quartz and lithics as major framework grains with subordinate calcite dolomite cements and detrital clays (Fig. 10E, F). Facies II is more immature, compared to facies I, and it is more calcareous and feldspathic. It shows poor reservoir quality due to finer grain sizes and higher carbonate cement.
Mineralogy

Untrained monocrystalline quartz with undulose and near-straight extinction is an important framework grain type, while the polycrystalline quartz is common in all the samples and is ranging from 1.5 to 14.5% by volume. These grains show predominantly non-equigranular mosaics with sutured grain contacts (Fig. 10A). Lithic grains are the second major framework component in the examined samples; it ranges from 1.5 to 16% by volume. Major lithic components in facies I include fossiliferous limestone, clay and carbonate cements (Fig. 10B). Feldspars form a relatively minor component of facies I, ranging from 1 to 3% by volume. Potassium feldspars are common and include microcline, orthoclase and perthite. Potassium feldspars are dominantly altered and partly leached. Plagioclase feldspars are less abundance (trace quantities to 1% by volume) and slightly altered. In facies II, potassium feldspars comprise 17% of the rock volume (Fig. 10C). Glaucocite is found in most of the analysed samples and ranges from 0.5 to 3% by volume. Glaucocite grains are usually well rounded to very well rounded and green to brown in colour. The matrix of detrital clay is found in all the analysed samples. It ranges from 4 to 11% by volume. The clay matrix occurs both as a pore filling phase and as rims around detrital grains. SEM analysis indicates that the primary detrital clays are occasionally recrystallised and overgrown by authigenic chlorite or replaced by the late diagenetic carbonate cement (Fig. 10C, D).

Diagenetic features

Dissolution

The most important observed diagenetic processes of the Kareem Formation that enhance greatly the porosity are the microfracturing due to the mechanical compaction (Fig. 10D) and the dissolution of feldspar and carbonates. The dissolution of feldspar grains in sandstone reservoirs has a major impact on the reservoir’s primary petrophysical properties and quality (Xiao et al. 2018); this phenomenon is often documented in facies II and greatly enhances the secondary porosity values (Fig. 10C, D). The dissolution of carbonate (both calcite and dolomite) cements is recorded in both facies I and facies II, which enhance greatly the porosity and the permeability of the Kareem sands (Fig. 10A).
Authigenic minerals

Calcite occurs as a cement and grain-replacive phase in facies I. It ranges from 3 to 17% by volume, and it dominantly occurs as sparry cement partly or totally occluding pores and also replacing detrital grains. SEM and thin section examinations show that the calcite cement shows corroded crystal margins following late-stage leaching by aggressive pore fluids (Fig. 11A). Ferroan calcite is the dominant pore occluding cement in facies II and ranges from 6 to 21% by volume. It is recorded as a trace quantity in facies I. Ferroan dolomite serves both as a rim cement around detrital grains and as an intergranular cement. Ferroan dolomite is recognised in all facies and ranges from 1.5 to 13% by volume. Two stages of dolomite precipitation are recorded. The first stage mainly occurs as very finely crystalline rhombohedral crystals around detrital grains. The second stage comprises euhedral aggregates of ferroan dolomite in secondary pores (Fig. 11A, B).

Kaolinite occurs in the form of vermicular aggregates and booklets in the secondary pores (Fig. 11C). Smectite is a clay mineral that was only recorded by XRD analysis (Fig. 12), and it is probably a component of the detrital clay fraction. Illite is only recorded in trace amounts in thin section analysis. The occurrences of illite in the XRD traces are thought to be associated with feldspar degradation and claystone clasts. Chlorite is present in XRD traces but in little amounts. SEM examination reveals that chlorite, which occurs as micron-sized platelets, overgrows detrital clays and occurs in secondary pores associated with the authigenic kaolinite. The matrix of clay minerals greatly reduces the reservoir permeability especially illite and chlorite that modify the structure of pore radiuses and minimise the fluid flow (Fig. 11C, D). Significant occurrences of early diagenetic pyrite are closely associated with the finer-grained sandstone facies (facies II). It reaches up to 6% by volume. In facies I, pyrite ranges from 0.5 to 1% by volume. Two stages of pyrite precipitation were recorded in the analysed samples. The first stage replaces detrital clays. Cubic pyrite crystals growing in both primary and secondary pore spaces characterise the second stage. The variation in cement types in Kareem clastic intervals, as well as the overlap of different diagenetic processes, has a significant impact on reservoir heterogeneity, particularly in facies II in RamI sand and Rahmi clastic zones, causing a relative gap in vertical and horizontal permeability values in some intervals (Fig. 13). The diagenetic

| Sample number | Porosity in % | Vertical permeability in mD | Horizontal permeability in mD | Rock unit | Microfacies                  |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| 1             | 26            | 1900                        | 2225                          | Shagar sand | Sublithic arenite             |
| 2             | 23.3          | 2000                        | 1000                          | Rahmi sand | Lithic arenite                |
| 3             | 25.5          | 2410                        | 2257                          |            |                              |
| 4             | 24.6          | 1998                        | 2051                          |            |                              |
| 5             | 25.4          | 1854                        | 1502                          |            |                              |
| 6             | 14.5          | 88                          | 91                            |            |                              |
| 7             | 26            | 1100                        | 2437                          |            |                              |
| 8             | 18.8          | 75                          | 833                           |            |                              |
| 9             | 16.7          | 51                          | 120                           |            |                              |
| 10            | 19.5          | 980                         | 1353                          |            |                              |
| 11            | 13.9          | 20                          | 58                            |            |                              |
| 12            | 15.6          | 215                         | 305                           |            |                              |
| 13            | 13            | 58                          | 135                           |            |                              |
| 14            | 20.5          | 91                          | 491                           |            |                              |
| 15            | 14.5          | 31                          | 51                            |            |                              |
| 16            | 19            | 48                          | 1700                          |            |                              |
| 17            | 12.7          | 11                          | 49                            |            |                              |
| 18            | 14.7          | 9                           | 48                            |            |                              |
| 19            | 18.7          | 192                         | 206                           |            |                              |
| 20            | 19.9          | 16                          | 800                           |            |                              |
| 21            | 9.7           | 3                           | 16                            |            | Rahmi clastics Calcaceous lithic arenite |
| 22            | 9.3           | 6                           | 12                            |            |                              |
| 23            | 8.1           | 7                           | 8                             |            |                              |
| 24            | 10.3          | 3                           | 20                            |            |                              |
Fig. 10 Photomicrographs showing A the silica cement overgrowths and the pore spaces in the sublithic arenite microfacies, B the preservation of the original calcite cements in the sublithic arenite microfacies, C the dissolution of both feldspar grain and the carbonate cement in the lithic arenite microfacies, D the intergranular porosity (in blue colour) as well as fracture porosity in quartz grains in the lithic arenite microfacies, E the complete reduction of pore spaces due to the carbonate cementing in the calcareous lithic arenite microfacies and F the non-porous, poikilotopic calcite cement in the calcareous lithic arenite microfacies.

Fig. 11 Photomicrographs showing A the partial dissolution of the dolomite cement enhancing the intergranular pore spaces (in blue colour), B the dolomite cement completely filling the pore spaces (note the glauconite pellets in green colour), C SEM micrograph showing the well-developed kaolinite crystals filling the pore spaces and D SEM micrograph showing the dolomite rhombs in association with pore lining and grain coating authigenic clay (mixed layered smectite/illite clay).
history of the studied sandstone samples of the Kareem Formation can be summarised as follows: The near-surface diagenesis includes (a) detrital clay rim cement and (b) mechanical compaction and closer grain packing, while the shallow burial diagenesis includes (a) quartz overgrowths and (b) continued burial and compaction. The deep burial diagenesis includes (a) chlorite and kaolinite precipitation, (b) late-stage ferroan dolomite precipitation and (c) pyrite precipitation. It is well observed that the most important diagenetic processes that occlude the porosity in descending order are calcite precipitation, Ferroan calcite precipitation, Ferroan dolomite precipitation, clay authigenesis, quartz overgrowths, compaction and associated processes. On the other hand, the dissolution of carbonates and feldspar is the most important diagenetic process, which enhances porosity.
Conclusions

The comprehensive well log evaluation of the Kareem Formation indicates that three distinct reservoir zones are recorded with different levels of petrophysical properties and hydrocarbon potentiality. Description of cuttings and core of the clastic intervals in the Kareem Formation reflects three sedimentary facies (facies I, facies II and facies III) in Shagar sand, Rahmi sand and Rahmi clastics. Facies I is made up of sands that were deposited in high-energy sand deposition in a distributary channel of a supra-fan lobe (mid-submarine fan complex). Facies I is represented by sublithic arenite microfacies with medium- to coarse-grained texture. This facies has good reservoir quality with about 25% porosity and 1.8 darcy permeability. Facies II and III represent the Rahmi Member. Facies II has a moderate- to high-energy deposit on the distal margin of a mid-submarine fan lobe and is made up of lithic arenite and calcareous lithic arenite microfacies. Facies III is mainly shale with no reservoir potential. Petrographically, two microfacies are present: the lithic arenite and calcareous lithic arenite with fine- to medium-grained texture. Lithic arenite shows moderate reservoir quality while calcareous lithic arenite suggests poor reservoir quality. In terms of diagenesis, obvious diagenetic factors enhance greatly the reservoir quality; the dissolution of both carbonate cements and feldspar grains and the fracturing of quartz grains due to compaction add many values to both porosity and permeability. In addition, low level of dissolution of carbonate cement partially or completely reduces both the porosity and permeability. The reservoir permeability is much reduced because of the presence of clay matrix especially illite and chlorite.
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