Heavy Metals Bioaccumulation Capacity on Marine Algae Biomass from Romanian Black Sea Coast
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Knowledge of environmental pollution with an impact on health is essential for a sustainable environment and useful for people. The coastal areas of the world's seas and oceans are polluted with different pollutants from technological sources and from other sources of socio-human activities. The pollutants studied are heavy metals Cd, Cu, Zn and Pb. In the paper are presented researches regarding the heavy metal concentrations determined in samples from marine water, sediments and algal mass, harvested from six stations from different areas of the Romanian Black Sea in two different years 2017 and 2018. The analyses were performed in the Chemistry Laboratories of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Ovidius University in Constanta, by a spectrophotometric method using Atomic Absorption Spectrometer ContrAA-700, Analytic Jena AG. For marine water in 2018 the following concentrations were obtained: Zn > Pb > Cu > Cd and in 2017 the order was different: Zn > Cu > Pb > Cd. For sediments in 2017 the order of concentrations is Cu > Zn > Pb > Cd and in 2018 the highest values in the sediment are recorded by the next high values Cu > Pb > Zn > Cd. Constant climate change and increased eutrophication in recent years have led to a massive increase in marine biomass in the Black Sea. For seaweed samples there are accumulations in the order of Zn > Cu > Pb > Cd in both years. Bioaccumulation factors in water BCF\text{water}, were higher in algae for Zn and Cu. From the analysis of bioaccumulation factors, it can be noticed that seawater algae accumulate heavy metals from seawater and sediment (confirmed by BCF\text{water} > 1 or BCF\text{sed} > 1). The accumulation of heavy metals in seaweed shows the existence of pollutants and marine pollution factors. Marine algae are the best biomarkers of pollution.
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Sustainability has become a broad term that can be applied in almost every aspect of life on Earth, locally or globally and at different times. Coastal marine waters contain pollutants that can affect both the marine environment and human health. The capitalization of Black Sea marine resources is conditioned by the negative impact of pollutants. The Black Sea is a great resource due to its geographical position in relation to the planetary Ocean, as well as its unique hydro-biological features make the Black Sea a highly sensitive ecosystem, exposed to pollution [1]. Changes in the parameters of the physical, chemical and biological agents of the Black Sea is due to the anthropic impact on the entire basin, and eutrophication is hastened by the vast quantities of biogenic sources offered by the Danube, the Dnieper and the Dniester [2,3]. With increasing human population, pollution has become a great concern. Pollution from human activities is a problem that does not have to be inevitable [4-6]. Contamination with pollutants can be found in both fish species and marine flora of coastal waters or of major tributaries such as the Danube for the Black Sea [7]. These important purposes have led to the need for taking into account legal aspects regarding protection against polluting agents from the Black Sea, which may contaminate marine bioresource [8]. With a comprehensive pollution prevention program, most pollution can be reduced, reused, or prevented. Reducing and managing pollution may decrease its health impacts [9]. The capitalization of Black Sea marine resources for therapeutic purposes is conditioned by the quality of maritime habitats [10]. The Black Sea ecosystem has been studied in order to assess the opportunity of marine resource harnessing [11, 12].

Important attention has been given to algae that can be harnessed, as these represent an important resource in the medical and pharmaceutical fields [13-15]. It is well known in toxicology that there is a need to evaluate the impact of polluting agents that are accidentally released in the marine ecosystem, bioaccumulation being related to the fact that living organisms can retain toxic substances at a higher rate than they can be removed due to metabolic activities [16]. Heavy metals are the main polluting agents in the marine environment, as they are easily assimilated and accumulated in living tissues and food webs. Heavy metal bioaccumulation in aquatic foods does not only threaten biodiversity, but it can also directly impact human health [17]. Modern means of evaluating risk compare noxious substance concentrations in water and contaminated tissues [18]. Under current European Union legislation, any chemical product that has wet mass with a bioconcentration factor BCFw > 1 is considered to have an accumulation potential and thus regarded as noxious for the aquatic environment and food webs [19]. According to the Strategy for marine environment framework directive [8], Romania is required to provide information needed to develop a measurement program which can allow for a good ecological state of the marine ecosystem until 2020 [20]. Bioaccumulation is a topic that has been frequently approached lately by the environment research and risk analysis field due to the fact that it represents organism exposure to various environmental polluting agents. The last decade has proven that chemical substance bioaccumulation and bioamplification, through the trophic or food chain, can be a necessary condition in order to outline adverse reactions in species and individuals [21].
The bioaccumulation of heavy metals in aquatic food networks not only directly threatens biodiversity but also has consequences with an impact on people’s health, where people were directly exposed to harmful effects of mercury after eating spotted fish [22-24].

There are numerous factors influencing heavy metal accumulation in living organisms in the aquatic environment. These factors act in different ways, according to the species and the type of metal, such as: pH, various organic compounds, complex particles, the presence or absence of other metals, anion extraction, temperature, salinity, light intensity, redox potential and diluted oxygen concentrations [25]. Contamination of the aquatic ecosystem due to synthetic organic compounds and heavy metals and their consequences regarding health and maintaining biodiversity are important debate topics among environment scientists [26-29]. Literature on the matter provides recent studies which outline the existence of heavy metals in the coastal waters of the Black Sea, among which we can quote for the Turkish coast of the Black Sea: for water [30], sediment [31, 32], biota [33], molluscs [34] and fish [35-37] for the Bulgarian coast [27] and for Romanian Coast [23, 38]. The approach of pollution problems for the Romanian shore has been accomplished through the determination of polluting agents in water, sediment, and organisms [39, 40]. The purpose of this research has been to study heavy metals contents in sea water, sediments and marine algae (relevant species at different trophic levels, representative for shallow waters) from the Romanian Black Sea shore habitats. Based on the results obtained, the bioaccumulation factor was recognized from heavy metal concentrations in water and sediments. In order to evaluate marine environment quality regarding heavy metals concentrations (coastal area), several of the polluting sources were established and experimental determinations of heavy metals concentrations in water, sediment, and biota (algae) were performed. These coastal ecosystems are directly threatened by a diverse matrix of polluting agents, generated by the municipal residual water treatment plant and diverse sources. Heavy metal concentrations analysed in this study (Cu, Pb, Cd, and Zn) were used to calculate BFC. This study enables a better monitoring of heavy metals pollution levels in Romanian Black Sea coast habitats (water, sediments, and biota) and allows for comparisons with other studies in the Black Sea area.

**Experimental part**

**Plant materials**

**Sampling sites**

The current study monitors heavy metals concentration in seawater, sediments, and sea algae in different harvesting sites in the South region of the Romanian shore. We have chosen the South region of the Romanian shore because it is the most sought after area by tourists, due to its wide beaches, beneficial to human health. Analysis samples were harvested from 6 areas, named S1 through S6: S 1 - Mamaia North, S 2 - Constanta Casino, S 3 - Constanta - harbour, S 4 - Eforie South, S 5 - Costinesi, S 6 - Mangalia harbour. The Rompetrol refinery and the exploitation of sea petroleum resources near Constanta, as well as the industrial residual water treatment plant are important pollution sources with an impact on S 1, S 2, and S 3. Transportation activities on the Danube-Black Sea canal, the municipal wastewater treatment plant as well as harbour and touristic activities have a polluting impact on S 3, S 4 and S 6. For the Constanta and Mangalia harbours, the main accidental pollution sources are related to the functioning of the ships that have access to harbour areas. The samples were collected during July-August in 2017 and 2018, when algae reached maturity and industrial and human activities were maximum. Water, sediment, and algae samples originate from the Romanian shore with a depth between 0 and 5 metres.

**Determination of heavy metal concentration in seawater**: It was particularly important to abide by sampling procedures and to ensure a storage method so as to avoid new, external contaminations. Water, sediment and algae samples were conserved and prepared before preliminary analysis by using the recommended standard methods [41, 42]. In order to determine the total heavy metal content (represented by the metal concentration in an unfiltered sample, which was treated with a mineral acid), water samples were harvested from the surface of the seawater from the established monitoring stations through the use of harvesting devices. Immediately after sample harvesting, without prior filtration, samples were transferred to storage in plastic bottles (polyethylene, polypropylene). Water samples were acidified with ultrapure azotic acid (1-5 mL of HNO₃/L of H₂O) to a pH of 2 and were kept at 4°C before analysis [43]. Due to the high content of salt in seawater, a reduction of analysis interferences was accomplished by changing the seawater matrix or through modifying the electro-chemical characteristics of the graphite oven.

**Determination of heavy metals concentration in sediments**: Special measures were needed in order to avoid any possibility of sediment and algae sample contamination [38]. Sediment samples were initially dried in the oven at 105°C, then homogenized. Hard materials (>1 mm), sand, gravel and seashell fragments were eliminated. Mineralization of sediment samples (0.3-0.5 g) was accomplished by adding 10 mL of azotic acid. Mineralization was performed in three steps (5 minutes each) at different temperatures (the first at 140°C, the second at 160°C and the third at 175°C), with the purpose of complete dissolution. After the process, the samples were cooled, filtered through nitrocellulose filtering material in 100 mL graded flasks, with deionised water.

**Determination of heavy metals concentration in marine algae**: Algae harvested from the seawater from each of the stations were divided according to type of algae. In order to determine heavy metal content, work procedures were established so as not to contaminate harvested samples.

**Preliminary washing and drying**: Preliminary washing was performed with seawater, in plastic vats with the help of a shaking device. This operation has the purpose to remove debris, gravel and sand from the prime material. Sea water was preferred because it does not modify the characteristics of the native environment and it avoids cellular lyses, phenomenon which would lead to the loss of organic matter. After washing, the material was settled in order to dry remnant water, in vats with grills. The material was then dried at room temperature. The algae mass has a fragile frame and a chemical and biochemical composition which is affected by temperatures over 50°C.

**Grinding and sieving**: The dried material was grinded with a ROBOT type grinding device, which is frequently used in the food industry. The device has two working compartments, one from gross fragmentation and the other for fine grinding. The resulting material was separated after granulation with a vibration device for granulometric sieves. The devices has a 0.045÷6.3 set of sieves. Fractions over 1 mm were once again grinded. From the obtained algae
powders, 50g from each species were collected for determinations. The analysis method is based on atomic absorption spectrometry which uses the acetylene flame technique (HR-CR AAS-Flame) for the analysis of samples in which concentrations are expressed as mg/L (ppm). Atomic absorption spectrometry is one of the UV-Vis optical methods and is based on the absorbed radiant power of a population of free atoms. Heavy metal concentrations in water, sediment and algae samples were measured using atomic absorption spectrometry methods. The control for AAS methods is represented by: a sample of concentrated acids (with varying volumes, according to the analysed type of sample), subjected to the digestion process. The sample is represented by the following mixture: 2 mL H₂SO₄ 96 %, 2 mL H₃PO₄ 85% 2 mL HF 40 % and 1 mL HNO₃ 65%.

Chemicals
All used reagents were of analytical reagent grade and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany.

Work equipment: The device used High Resolution Continuous Source Atomic Absorption Spectrometer ContrAA-700, Analytik Jena AG, with an auto-sampler for the dilution sample, using acetylene flame technique in for the sequential analysis at specific wavelengths: Pb (283.306 nm), Cd (228.8018 nm), Cu (324.754 nm) and Zn (213.857 nm); Mettler Toledo analytical balance; thermo-adjustable electric water bath with a temperature domain of 100 °C; thermo-adjustable oven.

Experiment for the determination of heavy metals content
Solid samples were dried up to 105°C, in order to reach a constant mass. For mineralization after decantation, the samples were filtered on Whatman quantitative filtering paper. After drying, algae samples were mineralized with concentrated acids in order to determine the presence and concentrations of metallic elements and controlled temperatures and pressures in the digestion system. After completing this process, the content of digestion dishes was introduced in 25 mL graded flasks and brought to volume with bidistilled and deionised water.

Calibration curves
For every metal, the calibration curve was established. For each calibration curve, the linear relationship and correlation coefficients are presented (r) and (r)² (fig. 1)

Figure 1 a-d presents the calibration curves registered for Pb, Cd, Cu and Zn metals and the AAS device detection limits for the four analysed metals. Heavy metals determination was made with the following equation:

\[
\text{[Conc.]} = \frac{C_{\text{sample}}}{m_{\text{sample}}} \times \frac{V_{\text{sample}}}{m_{\text{sample}}} \times \frac{C_{\text{standard}}}{V_{\text{standard}}} \times \frac{V_{\text{standard}}}{m_{\text{standard}}} 
\]

(1)

The analysed heavy metals were Pb, Cd, Cu and Zn. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals is confirmed when the bioaccumulation factor (BCF) is higher than 1 [39]. BCF represents the ratio between heavy metal concentration in soft tissue and metal concentration in water and sediment. The BCF bioaccumulation factor is defined as:

\[
\text{BCF}_{\text{water/sed}} = \frac{C_b}{C_{\text{water/sed}}} 
\]

(2)

where Cb represented the heavy metal concentration in soft tissue and C_water/sed represents heavy metal concentration in water or in sediment [40]. We calculated BCF_water/sed for algae.

For calculation and statistics we used mean and standard deviation values. The normalcy test was performed using the Shapiro-Wick test followed by comparison of samples using Excel 2013 software and ANOVA followed by Tukey HDS.

Statistical Analysis: All experiments were conducted in triplicate and ANOVA (using SPSS 11.5 statistics) software was used to compare the mean values of each treatment. Significant differences between the means values of parameters were determined by using the Duncan test (P < 0.05).
Results and discussions

Heavy metals in seawater: In order to identify pollution levels on the Black Sea coast, we calculated the mean of concentrations and the standard deviation for each studied metal. Seawater heavy metals concentrations are expressed as mean and standard deviation values for the two years analysed and are presented in Table 1, which takes into account all six harvesting stations. The highest concentration in 2017 was achieved by Zn (21.17±1.0 µg/g), followed by Cu (16.25±0.93 µg/g) and Pb in 2016 (12.93±0.07 µg/g) and in 2018: Zn (20.12±0.58 µg/g) followed by Pb (12.78±0.90 µg/g), and Cu (11.24±0.93 µg/g). The exception is represented by Cd, which registered a slight growth in 2018 (0.568±0.10 µg/g) compared to 2017 (0.551±0.07 µg/g).

Figure 2 presents the annual variation of mean concentrations of the four heavy metals for each sample harvesting station. Heavy metals from seawater sampled from each station are taken into account. It can be noticed that the highest values are registered by Zn, followed by Cu and the Pb. Stations S3 and S6 registered the highest values for heavy metals. These stations are situated near harbours, where local activity has a high impact on increasing pollution. Somewhat higher concentrations in stations S2, S3, and S6 can be explained by the existence of the commercial and touristic harbour, while for stations S1, S4, and S5, due to the proximity to water waste treatment plants.

Many heavy metals are discharged in the marine environment and chemical contamination is now widespread both on the sediments and on the water. These environmental pollutants have the potential to induce a large range of acute and long-term effects (e.g. endocrine disturbances, immunotoxicity, neurological disorders, cancers, others diseases) on human health and ecosystems [44÷46].

The capitalization of marine algae biomass can be made either for therapeutic purposes based on the active compounds from the marine algae or by the capitalization of marine algae residue with obtaining fertilizers used in agriculture. The high interest for marine algae capitalization has taken into consideration only the marine resources with a low pollution value. For this reason, it is necessary to have data on the content of pollutants existing in the coastal marine environment.

For marine water in 2018 the following concentrations were obtained: Zn > Pb > Cu > Cd and in 2017 the order was different: Zn > Cu > Pb > Cd. Compared to the literature [37], in the Romanian seawater, there is an increase in Cd and Pb concentrations in the years 2018: for Cd ions (0.568±0.10 µg/g) and for Pb ions (12.78±0.90 µg/g) and in 2017 for Cd (0.551±0.07 µg/g) and for Pb ions (12.93±0.07). These values are higher compared to 2011 for Cd ions (0.41±0.10 µg/g) and for Pb ions (8.05±3.57 µg/g) and to 2012 for Cd (2.72±1.79 µg/g) and for Pb ions (4.03±2.12 µg/g), [40]. In 2018 and 2017 there were no significant differences between sampling areas considering the heavy metals concentrations in water (p > 0.05, one way ANOVA test). Along the littoral, the largest contamination of the marine water was in the areas where harbour activities take place (S2 - Constanta-South harbour and S6 Mangalia harbour).

Heavy metals in sediments: The quality of sediments represents an indicator on the compounds and elements that contribute to water pollution. Sediment contamination and polluting agent transfer to the biota represents one of the most serious issues we are confronted with. Regarding sediments, organisms are exposed to a higher contamination risk represented by a point of entry in food. Sediment matter is comprised of geo-chemical elements, interchangeable ions, carbonates, reductants, organic matter, sulphites and waste. Table 2 presents heavy metal concentrations in sediments µg/g wet weight (mean ± SD) from all harvesting stations.

The highest values in sediment are registered by Cu (19.88±1.31 in 2018 and 16.24±0.93 in 2017). The next high values are registered by Pb (17.39±0.078 in 2018) and Zn (16.25±1.75 in 2018). Compared with 2018, in 2017 the concentrations for Zn (15.12±0.58) and Pb (12.78±0.90) were lower. For sediments in 2017 the order of concentrations is Cu> Zn> Pb> Cd. In 2018, the highest values in the sediment are recorded by the next high values...
Cu > Pb > Zn > Cd. For sediments in 2017 are recorded the sediment concentrations by Cu (16.24 ± 0.931 µg/g), in comparison with Zn ions (15,125 ± 0.58 µg/g), Pb (12.78 ± 0.90 µg/g) and Cd (0.568 ± 0.101 µg/g). In 2018 the highest values in the sediment are recorded by Cu (19.886 ± 1.31 µg/g), Pb (17.39 ± 0.078 µg/g), Zn (16.25 ± 1.75 µg/g) and Cd (0.458 ± 0.109 µg/g). Compared with the data from the literature [37] for sediments at the Romanian seas there is also an increase in the years 2018 for the Cd concentrations (0.458±0.109 µg/g) and for Pb concentrations (17.39±0.078 µg/g) and in 2017 for Cd concentrations (0.568±0.101 µg/g) for Pb concentrations (12.78±0.90 µg/g) compared to the concentrations of Cd and Pb ions in 2011 and 2012 [40]. Figure 3 presents concentration annual variations in the six harvesting stations on the Romanian shore. It can be noticed that Cd registers very low values both for seawater and for sediment.

The highest values for Cd were registered in station S 6, both in sea water (0.65 ± 0.1) and in sediment (0.765 ± 0.13). Taking into account sediment results in 2018, no major difference between areas was registered for Zn, Cd and Pb (according to the Anova test p>0.05), while Cd showed a significant difference between S 3 and S 5 (p<0.05), S 3 area registering higher values than S 5. Taking into account sediment results in 2017, the Anova test (p<0.05), showed significant differences for Cd between S 5, compared to S 3 and S 6. For Cd, Pb and Zn no major differences were registered. According to the Romanian legislation the heavy metals mean concentrations for seawater and for marine sediments were lower than the maximum concentrations allowed (MAC), values (0.8 ppm for cadmium, 40 ppm for copper, 85 ppm for lead) [47].

Heavy metals in marine algae: Algae are at the foundation of the trophic chain [48], their interaction with the environment being accomplished through chemical and biological processes including bioaccumulation, excretion, production of organic matter and discomposure. Due to the high ability to accumulate heavy metals, algae are often considered as a good bio-indicator [49]. Some species of algae can survive in polluted environments due to genetic mutations they have suffered. Tables 3 and Table 4 present heavy metal concentrations in algae µg/g wet weight (mean ±sd) in 2018 and 2017 from the Romanian shore of the Black Sea (all harvesting stations included).

Cu > Pb > Zn > Cd. For sediments in 2017 are recorded the sediment concentrations by Cu (16.24 ± 0.931 µg/g), in comparison with Zn ions (15,125 ± 0.58 µg/g), Pb (12.78 ± 0.90 µg/g) and Cd (0.568 ± 0.101 µg/g). In 2018 the highest values in the sediment are recorded by Cu (19.886 ± 1.31 µg/g), Pb (17.39 ± 0.078 µg/g), Zn (16.25 ± 1.75 µg/g) and Cd (0.458 ± 0.109 µg/g). Compared with the data from the literature [37] for sediments at the Romanian seas there is also an increase in the years 2018 for the Cd concentrations (0.458±0.109 µg/g) and for Pb concentrations (17.39±0.078 µg/g) and in 2017 for Cd concentrations (0.568±0.101 µg/g) for Pb concentrations (12.78±0.90 µg/g) compared to the concentrations of Cd and Pb ions in 2011 and 2012 [40]. Figure 3 presents concentration annual variations in the six harvesting stations on the Romanian shore. It can be noticed that Cd registers very low values both for seawater and for sediment.

The highest values for Cd were registered in station S 6, both in sea water (0.65 ± 0.1) and in sediment (0.765 ± 0.13). Taking into account sediment results in 2018, no major difference between areas was registered for Zn, Cd and Pb (according to the Anova test p>0.05), while Cd showed a significant difference between S 3 and S 5 (p<0.05), S 3 area registering higher values than S 5. Taking into account sediment results in 2017, the Anova test (p<0.05), showed significant differences for Cd between S 5, compared to S 3 and S 6. For Cd, Pb and Zn no major differences were registered. According to the Romanian legislation the heavy metals mean concentrations for seawater and for marine sediments were lower than the maximum concentrations allowed (MAC), values (0.8 ppm for cadmium, 40 ppm for copper, 85 ppm for lead) [47].

Heavy metals in marine algae: Algae are at the foundation of the trophic chain [48], their interaction with the environment being accomplished through chemical and biological processes including bioaccumulation, excretion, production of organic matter and discomposure. Due to the high ability to accumulate heavy metals, algae are often considered as a good bio-indicator [49]. Some species of algae can survive in polluted environments due to genetic mutations they have suffered. Tables 3 and Table 4 present heavy metal concentrations in algae µg/g wet weight (mean ±sd) in 2018 and 2017 from the Romanian shore of the Black Sea (all harvesting stations included).

Table 2
HEAVY METALS CONCENTRATIONS IN SEDIMENTS µg/g WET WEIGHT (MEAN ±sd) FROM THE ROMANIAN COAST OF THE BLACK SEA (ALL HARVESTING STATIONS INCLUDED)

| Studied species/Year | Cd  | Cu  | Pb  | Zn  |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Ulva spp. 2018       | 0.354±0.079 | 12.83±1.33 | 8.417±0.568 | 19.301±0.445 |
| Cladophora spp. 2017 | 0.351±0.073 | 11.59±1.11 | 7.858±0.639 | 18.785±0.521 |
| Enteromorpha spp.     | 0.203±0.008 | 10.91±1.122 | 7.741±0.703 | 18.116±0.647 |
| Ceramium rubrum       | 0.360±0.073 | 13.22±2.03 | 8.838±0.574 | 20.116±0.647 |
| Cystoseira barbata    | 0.551±0.076 | 13.78±1.066 | 9.463±0.66 | 19.848±0.56 |

Table 3
HEAVY METALS CONCENTRATIONS IN ALGAE µG/G WET WEIGHT (MEAN ±sd) IN 2018 FROM THE ROMANIAN SHORE OF THE BLACK SEA (ALL HARVESTING STATIONS INCLUDED)

| Studied species/Year | Cd  | Cu  | Pb  | Zn  |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Ulva spp. 2018       | 0.418±0.101 | 12.18±1.839 | 6.417±0.668 | 12.26±0.4 |
| Cladophora spp. 2017 | 0.398±0.097 | 11.83±1.304 | 8.838±0.639 | 14.55±0.521 |
| Enteromorpha spp.     | 0.07±0.07 | 10.63±1.571 | 7.741±0.703 | 18.34±0.574 |
| Ceramium rubrum       | 0.440±0.103 | 13.24±2.031 | 8.858±0.574 | 16.55±0.642 |
| Cystoseira barbata    | 0.426±0.074 | 12.45±1.096 | 9.463±0.66 | 9.848±0.56 |

Table 4
HEAVY METALS CONCENTRATIONS IN ALGAE µG/G WET WEIGHT (MEAN ±sd) IN 2017 FROM THE ROMANIAN SHORE OF THE BLACK SEA (ALL HARVESTING STATIONS INCLUDED)

| Studied species/Year | Cd  | Cu  | Pb  | Zn  |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Ulva spp. 2017       | 0.348±0.079 | 12.83±1.33 | 8.417±0.568 | 19.301±0.445 |
| Cladophora spp. 2016 | 0.351±0.073 | 11.59±1.11 | 7.858±0.639 | 18.785±0.521 |
| Enteromorpha spp.     | 0.203±0.008 | 10.91±1.122 | 7.741±0.703 | 18.116±0.647 |
| Ceramium rubrum       | 0.360±0.073 | 13.22±2.03 | 8.838±0.574 | 20.116±0.647 |
| Cystoseira barbata    | 0.551±0.076 | 13.78±1.066 | 9.463±0.66 | 19.848±0.56 |
Figure 5 presents variations in heavy metal concentrations in algae for all harvesting stations from the Romanian shore for Cu in the two years analysed. The red algae Ceramium rubrum and Ulva lactuca also exhibit the highest Cu concentration in S 6 during both years. In 2018, Cystoseira barbata exhibits lower values compared to 2017.

Figure 6 presents variations in mean Pb concentrations for all harvesting stations. In 2018, Cystoseira barbata and Ceramium rubrum registered the highest values for Pb. In 2017, Ceramium rubrum overpassed Pb concentrations found in the other algae. In 2018, Pb accumulation was higher than in 2017.

Figure 7 presents variations in concentrations for Zn in all studied algae and for all harvesting stations in 2017 and 2018. In 2018 registers high values for Zn in all algae and in all stations. However, 2017 is a bit different. The highest values for Zn were registered for Ulva lactuca, followed by another green algae - Cladophora spp. The lowest values were registered by Cystoseira barbata.

Bioaccumulation of heavy metal concentrations in algae with potential risks

From environmental and health perspective, it is also important to determine potential risk assessment of bioaccumulation of heavy metals in marine algae for marine fauna and human health. For seaweed samples there are accumulations in the order of Zn > Cu > Pb > Cd. To prevent these damages, it is necessary to develop management systems of pollutants and to evaluate their bioaccumulation factors in tissues to keep under control the risk assessment systems. This information and provide a better identification of hazards, data from research laboratories, fate and effects on humans health and
environment is useful. Heavy metal accumulation in algae is highlighted by the bioaccumulation factor. From the analysis of the bioaccumulation factors, it can be noticed that seawater algae accumulate heavy metals from seawater and sediment (confirmed by $BCF_{\text{water}} > 1$ or $BCF_{\text{sed}} > 1$). Consequently, the green algae Ulva lactuca accumulated, Cu from seawater with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.13 > 1$ (in 2017) and Zn from seawater with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.89 - 1.70 > 1$ (in 2017) and from sediments, $BCF_{\text{sed}} = 1.51 - 1.28 > 1$ (in 2017). The green algae Cladophora spp accumulated Cu from seawater with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.15 > 1$ (in 2018) and Zn from seawater with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.15 - 1.19 > 1$ (in 2017) and from sediments, $BCF_{\text{sed}} = 1.34 - 1.13 > 1$ (in 2017).

Enteromorpha accumulated in Cu from water with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.05 > 1$ (in 2017) and Zn from seawater with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.34 - 1.19 > 1$ (in 2017) and from sediments, $BCF_{\text{sed}} = 1.05 > 1$ (in 2017). The red algae Ceramium rubrum accumulated Cu from seawater with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.025 - 1.03 > 1$ (in 2018) and $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.025 > 1$ (in 2017). The brown algae Cystotheca barbata accumulated Cu from water with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.123 > 1$ (in 2017) and Zn from seawater with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.26 - 1.05 > 1$ (in 2017) and Zn in both years from water with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.025 > 1$ (in 2018) and with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.24 - 1.03 > 1$ (in 2017). The brown algae Cystotheca barbata accumulated Cu from water with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.238 > 1$ (in 2017) and Zn from seawater with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.01 > 1$ (in 2018) and with $BCF_{\text{water}} = 1.116 - 1.04 > 1$ (in 2017). The data obtained is in line with literature on the matter, which has evidenced that green algae are considered as good indicators of heavy metal environment contamination. According to a study performed on Black Sea macroalgae on the Bulgarian coast between 1996-2004, [27], heavy metal concentrations were higher in green algae, outlining the fact that these organisms have a high accumulation ability. There are studies that show that red algae tend to accumulate heavy metals (with the exception of iron) in higher concentrations than other algae [38]. Environmental pollution with its health impacts is a key issue for a sustainable environment. Sustainability has become a broad term that can be applied in almost every aspect of life on Earth, locally or globally and over various periods of time. Coastal areas of the world’s seas and oceans are polluted with different pollutants from both technological and other sources of socio-human activities.

Conclusions

The current study represents a part of the monitoring activity of heavy metal contamination levels in sea water, sediments, and algae that can be found alongside the Romanian Black Sea coast. The need to outline contamination levels has lead the research team to establish six areas of sample harvesting in area most influenced by contamination (harbour activities, the presence of purging stations or touristic activities).

Accumulation has been evidenced through the calculation of bioaccumulation factors in sea algae. In 2018 and 2017, sea water concentrations did not show significant differences between the areas chosen for harvesting. Sediment concentration showed significant differences between areas for Cu, especially in the areas influences by harbour activity in Constanta and Mangalia.

Algae accumulated more Zn and Cu, followed by Pb and Cd. BCF$_{\text{water}}$ was higher in algae for Cu and Zn. From the results obtained, the highest accumulation was evidenced by the highest BCF$_{\text{water}}$ was registered by the green Ulva lactuca algae for Zn, from water and sediments, followed by the red algae Ceramium rubrum and Cystotheca barbata. At shallow depths, heavy metal concentration is majorly influenced by the polluting factor in each area on the Romanian Black Sea coast.
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