Predictors of development of cardiac and digestive disorders among patients with indeterminate chronic Chagas Disease
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Abstract

American trypanosomiasis (Chagas disease, CD) affects circa 7 million persons worldwide. While of those persons present the asymptomatic, indeterminate chronic form (ICF), many will eventually progress to cardiac or digestive disorders. We studied a nonconcurrent (retrospective) cohort of patients attending an outpatient CD clinic in Southeastern Brazil, who were admitted while presenting the ICF in the period from 1998 through 2018 and followed until 2019. The outcomes of interest were the progression to cardiac or digestive CD forms. We were also interested in analyzing the impact of Benznidazole therapy on the progression of the disease. Extensive review of medical charts and laboratory files was conducted, collecting data up to year 2019. Demographics (upon inclusion), body mass index, comorbidities (including the Charlson index) and use of Benznidazole were recorded. The outcomes were defined by abnormalities in those test that could not be attributed to other causes. Statistical analysis included univariate and multivariable Cox regression models. Among 379 subjects included in the study, 87 (22.9%) and 100 (26.4%) progressed to cardiac and digestive forms, respectively. In the final multivariable model, cardiac disorders were positively associated with previous coronary syndrome (Hazard Ratio [HR], 2.42; 95% Confidence Interval [CI], 1.53–3.81) and negatively associated with Benznidazole therapy (HR, 0.26; 95%CI, 0.11–0.60). On the other hand, female gender was the only independent predictor of progression to digestive forms (HR, 1.56; 95%CI, 1.03–2.38). Our results point to the impact of comorbidities on progression do cardiac CD, with possible benefit of the use of Benznidazole.

Author summary

Chagas Disease (CD) is a chronic, neglected infectious disease that affects several low-to-middle income countries. Besides its usual vector transmission, the etiological agent (Trypanosoma cruzi) can be transmitted through blood transfusion, so that migration from individuals with CD to Europe and North America. The scarcity and lack of evidence for
therapeutic options is a major challenge for clinical approach of CD patients. Most of those patients are diagnosed while in asymptomatic, indeterminate chronic form (ICF). Our study aimed at identifying factors associated with progression from ICF to cardiac or digestive disorders. We studied a cohort of 379 IFC patients in inner Brazil, of which 87 and 100 developed cardiac and digestive disorders. In univariate and multivariable analyses, the use of Benznidazole (one of the few drugs used for CD therapy) was statistically protective for progression to cardiac, but not to digestive CD forms. These findings emphasize the importance of novel research aimed at developing more effective therapeutic options.

Introduction

Presently there are circa 7 million persons infected by Trypanosoma cruzi, the agent of Chagas disease (CD) [1]. In Brazil, especially in the Northern macro-region vector-borne disease transmitted by Triatominae (“kissing bugs”), CD has been associated with poor housing conditions in rural areas [2]. However, that form of transmission has decreased as quality of housing improved in the country. However, the oral transmission (associated with ingesting the vector smashed alongside with açai or sugarcane juices) has been increasingly reported. CD may also be transmitted through blood transfusion and vertically. Thus, European and North American countries are also at risk due to migration from endemic areas [1,3–6].

Most infected persons present the asymptomatic, indeterminate chronic form (ICF). However, up to 40% may progress over the years to cardiac or digestive forms [7,8]. The predictors for progression are still poorly understood, and the beneficial impact of specific anti-parasitic therapy with Benznidazole is not supported by robust evidence [9–14].

With that in mind, we studied a cohort of patients with CD (ICF) admitted over two decades to an outpatient clinic in inner São Paulo State, Brazil. Our objective was to identify predictors of development of localized forms of CD. We also attempted to analyze the impact of Benznidazole therapy in the prevention of progression to cardiac and/or digestive forms.

Methods

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Committee for Ethics in Human Research from Faculdade de Medicina de Botucatu (project number CAAE: 65552917.8.0000.541 / 1.999.171) in April 04th, 2017. Due to the retrospective collection of data, and according to Brazilian rules for human research, the study was exempt for obtention of formal consent.

Study setting

The study was conducted in the Tropical Diseases outpatient service in Botucatu Medical School, São Paulo State University (UNESP). This clinic is linked to the university teaching hospital, and cares for patients in an area comprising approximately 1 million inhabitants from several municipalities surrounding Botucatu City (22˚ 53’ 25” S, 48˚ 27’ 19” W), inner São Paulo State, Brazil.

Study design and subjects

We conducted a non-concurrent cohort, enrolling patients with CD who were admitted while presenting the ICF in the period from year 1998 through 2018. Even though inclusion period
ended in 2018, the follow-up period was extended up to December 2019. Even though we used a convenient sample of all outpatients meeting inclusion criteria, we performed a post hoc analysis of study power using OpenEpi software (Emory University, Atlanta, GA). We estimated the study power based on the impact of Benznidazole use and found it to be 89.7% and 96.1% for association with progression to cardiac and gastrointestinal CD.

**Operational aspects**

The CD diagnosis was based on positivity in two serological tests (ELISA and indirect immunofluorescence). Only patients who were positive in both were included in this study. Table 1 presents the protocol for clinical assessment of patients in the first medical consultation and during follow up. Those who presented abnormalities that could be attributed to either cardiac or digestive forms of CD upon admission were excluded from our study. However, we included subjects who presented heart diseases that could be attributed to coronary syndrome with or without myocardial infarction. The impact of that methodological decision on results and study limitations will be addressed in the discussion section.

We also excluded patients lost to follow up before year 2019. For the purpose of the present study, our inclusion criterium was the admission with ICF, defined as “serological positivity for CD, without presenting any cardiac or digestive abnormalities that could be attributed to that disease”.

The clinical assessment presented in Table 1 was used for exclusion of localized CD (e.g., for cardiac CD, dilated cardiomyopathy, congestive heart failure, arrhythmias, cardiac embolism, thromboembolic events; for digestive disease, megaesophagus, megacolon or motility disorders). However, we did include patients with heart diseases such as coronary syndrome not associated with dilated cardiomyopathy, congestive heart failure or arrhythmia). Of note, during follow-up, the attendant doctors registered diseases that affected study subjects, such as: myocardial infarction, systemic arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cerebrovascular diseases, and other comorbidities, thus providing a list of “exposure factors” that were used in our cohort study. Benznidazole was used when indicated by the attendant doctor, based on the Brazilian Ministry of Health recommendations [15], which were the exclusion of localized forms (a condition for entrance to our cohort), age up to 50 years and manifested interest in receiving the therapy. When indicated, it was started as soon as the diagnosis of ICF of CD was characterized. The posology was 5-7mg/kg/day, divided in two doses, for 60 days. The outcomes of interest for our study (defined as abnormalities in the periodic tests, regardless of presenting symptoms) were also recorded in medical charts.

**Table 1. Clinical and imaging assessment of patients presenting the indeterminate form of Chagas Diseases upon first medical consultation and during follow up.**

| Assessment                                | 1st consultation | Yearly | Upon medical request* |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Thorough anamnesis                        | Yes              | Yes    | Not applicable        |
| Physical examination                      | Yes              | Yes    | Yes                   |
| Electrocardiogram (ECG)                   | Yes              | Yes    | Yes                   |
| Chest radiography                         | Yes              | Yes    | Yes                   |
| Radiography of Esophagus Stomach Duodenum (with contrast) | Yes              | No     | Yes                   |
| Colon radiography (opaque enema)          | Yes              | No     | Yes                   |
| Echocardiogram                            | No               | Bo     | Yes                   |

* Imaging of gastrointestinal tract was performed each time the patient reported even light symptoms odynophagia or constipation. Echocardiogram was performed for all patients who presented dyspnea, limb edemas, or any sign or symptom suggestive of cardiac failure. Echocardiogram was also performed in all patients in whom a novel ECG abnormality was identified. It is worth noting that patients with suspected cardiac or digestive symptoms/signs were frequently re-evaluated at intervals shorter than one year.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009680.t001
Data collection and analysis

Extensive review of medical charts and laboratory files were conducted. Demographic data, comorbidities (defined by the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision [ICD-10]) [15] and the Charlson comorbidity index [16] were recorded. We also investigated the use of Benznidazole therapy. Data were analyzed in univariate and multivariable models of Cox regression, investigating time until development of the first localized form diagnosed (either cardiac or digestive). Variables were included gradually in multivariable models using a step-wise forward strategy, i.e., according to a crescent order of p-values [17]. A final value of \( P < 0.05 \) was used as criterion for entry permanence in the models. No variable was forced in the models. All data analyses were performed using SPSS 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

Results

A total number of 430 patients were diagnosed as presenting ICF in the first medical consultation, but 51 were lost to follow-up in 2019. Our study enrolled the remaining 379 subjects, of whom 87 (22.9%) and 100 (26.4%) progressed to cardiac and digestive forms, respectively. It is worth reporting that 10 patients presented both forms and were analyzed for the first of either outcome. A total of 192 (53.9%) subjects remained in the ICF through the total follow-up period. Benznidazole was prescribed for 99 subjects, but 30 among them interrupted treatment in the first ten days due to adverse events, especially severe pruritus. All the other 69 patients completed 60-day therapy and were classified as “treated with Benznidazole” in our study.

The baseline characteristics of the cohort and of those who progressed to the outcomes of interest is presented in Table 2. As additional information, the findings that defined the progression to cardiac and digestive forms are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

Results from univariate and multivariable models of predictive for outcomes are presented in Tables 5 and 6. Briefly, in the final multivariable models, cardiac disorders were positively associated with previous myocardial infarction (Hazard Ratio [HR], 2.42; 95% Confidence Interval [CI], 1.53–3.81) and negatively associated with Benznidazole therapy (HR, 0.26; 95% CI, 0.11–0.60). Female gender was the only independent predictor of progression to digestive forms (HR, 1.56; 95% CI, 1.03–2.38). The Kaplan-Meier curves for association of Benznidazole therapy with progression from ICF to cardiac or digestive forms are presented in Figs 1 and 2.

Discussion

Even though urbanization, improvement of housing conditions and effective public preventive policies were introduced in the past two decades in Brazil [18], CD still threatens a substantial proportion of the population, either because of new, orally-acquired disease and of the burden of cardiac and digestive sequelae [19]. This justifies the continuous interest in the epidemiology, clinical aspects, and therapy. There is special concern with the 1 to 2 million CD-affected persons who present the ICF [20]. Those are asymptomatic and will be diagnosed only through active search in population surveys and among blood donors. It is of utmost importance to reinforce the identification of asymptomatic CD patients, and to investigate factors predisposing to development of cardiac of digestive forms, including potential impact of therapeutic intervention [21]. Previous studies estimated that up to 30% ICF patients will develop one of those localized during their lifetimes [22]. Among our study subjects, that proportion was even greater, reaching 46.7%.

Comorbidities resulting from aging in individuals with CD as well as in the general population, have been verified not only by previous mortality studies, but also in cohorts of cases followed for long periods of time [23–25]. In our study, systemic arterial hypertension was present in 30% of the individuals and in 73.5% of the individuals with the cardiac form of the
Table 2. Characteristics of Individuals with Cardiac and Digestive form of Chagas Disease.

| Patients’ characteristics | Total baseline cohort (379) | Patients who developed cardiac form (87) | Patients who developed digestive form (100) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Male gender               | 188 (49.6)                  | 51 (58.6)                                 | 43 (44.3)                                  |
| Age, median (quartiles)   | 49 (43–57)                  | 51 (44–58)                                | 50 (43–57)                                 |
| Living in rural areas     | 116 (30.5)                  | 30 (34.5)                                 | 32 (33.0)                                  |
| Working as farmer         | 131 (34.6)                  | 33 (37.9)                                 | 35 (36.1)                                  |
| Years of Schooling        |                             |                                           |                                           |
| 0–4 years                 | 132 (34.8)                  | 33 (37.9)                                 | 40 (41.2)                                  |
| 5–8 years                 | 220 (58.0)                  | 50 (57.5)                                 | 51 (52.6)                                  |
| >8 years                  | 27 (7.1)                    | 4 (4.6)                                   | 6 (6.2)                                    |
| Follow-up time [months], median (quartiles) | 120(72–168) | 84(48–132) | 96(60–156) |
| Therapy with Benznidazole | 69 (18.2)                   |                                           | 24 (24.7)                                  |
| Body Mass Index [kg/m²], median (quartiles) | 27 (25–30) | 27.0(25.0–31.0) | 27.0(24.6–30.0) |
| Hypertension              | 216 (57)                    |                                           | 5.5(53.6)                                  |
| Diabetes                  | 79(20.80)                   | 24 (27.6)                                 | 17 (17.5)                                  |
| Dyslipidemia              | 206(54.20)                  | 51 (58.6)                                 | 54 (55.7)                                  |
| Heart Disease             | 56(14.70)                   | 28 (32.2)                                 | 12 (12.4)                                  |
| Lung Disease              | 46(12.10)                   | 15 (17.2)                                 | 11 (11.3)                                  |
| Kidney Disease            | 10(2.60)                    | 4 (4.6)                                   | 0 (0.0)                                    |
| Liver Disease             | 9 (2.40)                    | 3 (3.4)                                   | 1 (1.0)                                    |
| Neurovascular Disease (Stroke) | 24(6.30)  | 11 (12.6) | 5 (5.2) |
| SolidMalignancy           | 39(10.30)                   | 12 (13.8)                                 | 6 (6.2)                                    |
| Lymphoma/Leukemia         | 2(0.5)                      | 1 (1.1)                                   | 1 (1.0)                                    |
| AIDS                      | 1(0.30)                     | 1 (1.1)                                   | 0 (0.0)                                    |
| Diverticular disease      | 85(22.40)                   | 13 (14.9)                                 | 25 (25.8)                                  |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index, median (quartiles) | 1 (1–2) | 2(1–3) | 1(1–2) |
| Steroids                  | 2(0.5)                      | 0 (0.0)                                   | 1 (1)                                      |
| Thyroid diseases          | 71(18.70)                   | 22 (25.3)                                 | 17 (17.5)                                  |
| Vascular diseases         | 39(10.30)                   | 14 (16.1)                                 | 8 (8.2)                                    |

Note. Variables presented in N (%), except: age, follow-up, Body Mass Index and Charlson’s Comorbidity Index, which are presented in median (quartiles). Statistically significant (p<0.05) differences from the baseline cohort are presented in boldface.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009680.t002

Table 3. Cardiologic findings of Chagas Disease in routine exams.

| Electrocardiogram       | Echocardiography |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
|                         | No VD | LVDD | LVSD |
| RBBB                    | 16    | 13   | 2    |
| LBBB                    | 24    | 19   | 4    |
| RBBB+LBBB               | 14    | 10   | 3    |
| AVB                     | 16    | 9    | 4    |
| AF                      | 4     | 0    | 4    |
| DC                      | 13    | 0    | 8    |
| Total                   | 87    | 51   | 25   |

RBBB, Right bundle branch block; LBBB, left bundle branch block; AVB, atrioventricular block; AF, atrial fibrillation; DC, dilated cardiomyopathy.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009680.t003
Table 4. Digestive exams in patients of Chagas Disease.

| Imaging | Digestive Organ       | Patients with abnormalities |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| ESD     | Esophagus             |                              |
|         | Megaesophagus, grade 1| 26                          |
|         | Megaesophagus, grade 2| 13                          |
|         | Megaesophagus, grade 3| 6                           |
|         | **Subtotal**          | **45**                      |
| OE      | Colon                 |                              |
|         | Sigmoid               | 33                          |
|         | Transverse            | 10                          |
|         | Ascending             | 4                           |
|         | Rectum                | 8                           |
|         | **Subtotal**          | **55**                      |
|         | **Total**             | **100**                     |

ESD, Radiography of Esophagus, Stomach, Duodenum, with contrast; OE, Opaque enema.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009680.t004

Table 5. Factors associated with progression from chronic indeterminate to cardiac form of Chagas Disease.

| Risk factors                          | Univariate analysis | Multivariable Analysis |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                                       | HR (95%CI)          | P                     | HR (95%CI)          | P                     |
| Male gender                           | 1.24 (0.80–1.91)    | 0.33                   | 1.03 (1.01–1.05)    | 0.009                 |
| Age, median (quartiles)               | 1.03 (1.01–1.05)    | **0.004**              |                       |                       |
| Living in rural area                  | 1.24 (0.60–1.94)    | 0.34                   |                       |                       |
| Working as a farmer                   | 1.19 (0.77–1.84)    | 0.43                   |                       |                       |
| Years of schooling                    |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| 0–4 years                             |                      |                       |                       |                       |
| 5–8 years                             | 0.81 (0.52–1.27)    | 0.36                   |                       |                       |
| >8 years                              | 0.55 (0.20–1.56)    | 0.26                   |                       |                       |
| Use of Benznidazole                   | 0.21 (0.09–1.07)    | <0.001                 | 0.26 (0.11–0.60)     | 0.002                 |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²)               | 1.02 (0.98–1.07)    | 0.35                   |                       |                       |
| Hypertension                          | 2.13 (1.32–3.44)    | **0.002**              |                       |                       |
| Diabetes                              | 1.35 (0.84–2.16)    | 0.22                   |                       |                       |
| Dyslipidemia                          | 1.07 (0.70–1.64)    | 0.76                   |                       |                       |
| Heart Disease*                        | 2.77 (1.76–4.36)    | <0.001                 | 2.42 (1.53–3.81)     | <0.001                |
| Lung Disease                          | 1.97 (1.12–3.46)    | 0.02                   |                       |                       |
| Kidney Disease                        | 2.19 (0.80–5.99)    | 0.13                   |                       |                       |
| Liver Disease                         | 1.26 (0.40–3.99)    | 0.69                   |                       |                       |
| Neurologic Disease                    | 2.79 (1.48–5.26)    | **0.002**              |                       |                       |
| Solid Tumor                           | 1.56 (0.85–2.89)    | 0.15                   |                       |                       |
| Lymphoma/Leukemia                     | 3.28 (0.46–2.70)    | 0.24                   |                       |                       |
| AIDS                                  | 4.69 (0.65–3.84)    | 0.13                   |                       |                       |
| Diverticular disease                  | 0.39 (0.33–1.05)    | 0.07                   |                       |                       |
| Charlson comorbidity Index, median (quartiles) | 1.38 (1.19–1.59)    | <0.001                 |                       |                       |
| Use of Steroids                       | 0.04 (0.0–...)      | 0.66                   |                       |                       |
| Thyroid diseases                      | 1.75 (1.08–2.85)    | 0.02                   |                       |                       |
| Vascular Disease                      | 1.39 (0.78–2.48)    | 0.27                   |                       |                       |

Note. Statistically significant results (P<0.05) are presented in boldface. *Heart diseases not attributed to Chagas Disease were restricted to coronary syndrome, with or without myocardial infarction.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009680.t005
Data from literature agree with our findings regarding age and comorbidities [26]. Furthermore, studies measuring levels of kinins and nitric oxide suggested a synergistic activity of CD and systemic arterial hypertension in the myocardial remodeling process [27]. However, other studies found that T. cruzi infection did not alter the outcome of subjects with systemic arterial hypertension [28,29]. Furthermore, systemic arterial hypertension associated with CD myocardiopathy may be an important risk factor in the genesis of ischemic and hemorrhagic cerebrovascular diseases, as well as in life-threatening embolisms [30,31]. Those findings open interesting venues for future research. The other risk factor identified in our multivariable analysis (coronary syndrome), though not usually an exclusive consequence of CD, may have been a concurrent cause for cardiac abnormalities found during follow up [32].

In our study, 69 patients completed Benznidazole therapy, of whom 6 (8.7%) developed the cardiac form, while 81 out of 310 non-treated patients presented heart disorders. The protective effect of Benznidazole is evidenced by the Rate Ratio (RR) of 0.33 (95% Confidence Interval, 0.16–0.73, P<0.001). These findings mirror the Cox regression results presented in Table 5 and are coherent with previous findings from observational studies [33,34].

### Table 6. Factors associated with progression from chronic indeterminate to digestive form of Chagas Disease.

| Risk Factors | Univariate Analysis | Multivariable Analysis |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Male gender  | HR(95%CI)            | P          | HR (95%CI) | P     |
| Age, median (quartiles) | 1.01(0.99–1.03) | 0.2        | 1.05(0.96–1.05) | 0.88 |
| Living in rural area | 1.10(0.71–1.70) | 0.68       |           |       |
| Working as a farmer | 1.05(0.69–1.61) | 0.81       |           |       |
| Years of schooling |                     |            |           |       |
| 0–4 years | 0.66(0.43–1.00) | 0.051      | 0.65(0.43–0.99) | 0.04 |
| 5–8 years | 0.67 (0.28–1.58) | 0.36       |           |       |
| Use of Benznidazole | 0.88(0.55–1.42) | 0.59       |           |       |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²) | 1.00(0.96–1.05) | 0.88       |           |       |
| Hypertension | 0.92(0.62–1.39) | 0.70       |           |       |
| Diabetes | 0.78(0.46–1.32) | 0.35       |           |       |
| Dyslipidemia | 0.95 (0.63–1.43) | 0.8        |           |       |
| Heart Disease’ | 0.87(0.47–1.60) | 0.65       |           |       |
| Lung Disease | 1.37(0.71–2.52) | 0.37       |           |       |
| Kidney Disease | 0.05 (0.00–28.13) | 0.35       |           |       |
| Liver Disease | 0.38(0.05–2.71) | 0.33       |           |       |
| Neurologic Disease | 0.89 (1.33–2.44) | 0.83       |           |       |
| Solid Tumor | 0.69 (0.30–1.57) | 0.37       |           |       |
| Lymphoma/Leukemia | 3.47(0.48–25.06) | 0.22       |           |       |
| AIDS | 0.05(0.00–3.70) | 0.25       |           |       |
| Diverticular disease | 1.16(0.94–1.44) | 0.17       |           |       |
| Charlson comorbidity Index, median (quartiles) | 0.85 (0.69–1.05) | 0.14       |           |       |
| Use of Steroids | 2.68(0.37–19.30) | 0.33       |           |       |
| Thyroid diseases | 1.06(0.62–1.082) | 0.83       |           |       |
| Vascular Disease | 0.66(0.32–4.37) | 0.27       |           |       |

Note: The factors were described through univariate and multivariate analysis, with a 95% confidence interval and p value (<0.05). *Heart diseases not attributed to Chagas Disease were restricted to coronary syndrome, with or without myocardial infarction.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009680.t006

CD. Data from literature agree with our findings regarding age and comorbidities [26]. Furthermore, studies measuring levels of kinins and nitric oxide suggested a synergistic activity of CD and systemic arterial hypertension in the myocardial remodeling process [27]. However, other studies found that T. cruzi infection did not alter the outcome of subjects with systemic arterial hypertension [28,29]. Furthermore, systemic arterial hypertension associated with CD myocardiopathy may be an important risk factor in the genesis of ischemic and hemorrhagic cerebrovascular diseases, as well as in life-threatening embolisms [30,31]. Those findings open interesting venues for future research. The other risk factor identified in our multivariable analysis (coronary syndrome), though not usually an exclusive consequence of CD, may have been a concurrent cause for cardiac abnormalities found during follow up [32].
Methodological concerns may be raised for the inclusion of “heart diseases” among predictors of progression to cardiac CD. As a counterfactual test, we repeated the analysis excluding from the baseline population both patients with “heart diseases” or with systemic hypertension. The alternative analysis included 163 subjects, of whom 36 (22.1%) developed cardiac CD. Of note, none of those patients receiving Benznidazole progressed to cardiac CD, while 24 out of 127 subjects progressed to cardiac DC (18.9%). Results of the final multivariable Cox model are presented in Table 7, and Kaplan-Meier result for association with Benznidazole use is presented in Fig 3. When the same models tested not including the use of Benznidazole among independent variables, only lung disease (HR, 4.16; 95%CI, 1.51–11.49; P = 0.006) is associated with development of cardiac CD. Additionally, we conducted analysis including separately those patients with hypertension or with a previous cardiac disease (Table 8). The use of Benznidazole was negatively associated with progression to cardiac CD among subjects with systemic hypertension, but not among those with previous cardiac disorders (of whom only 5 were treated with Benznidazole).

The analysis of the sub-cohort patients without systemic hypertension or heart diseases also respond to a second methodological concert, which is misclassification bias in outcomes. It is true that disorders defining cardiac CD could arise from concurrent comorbidities or
environmental exposures. Still, the alternative analysis rules out the two major potential sources of misclassification bias.

Both our original and alternative models point to the role of comorbidities in the progression from ICF to cardiac form of CD. Those findings open relevant venues for further studies focusing on the pathophysiology of CD.

As a separate item, a possible protective association of the use of Benznidazole regarding progression to cardiac CD was detected. The most robust randomized clinical trial

Table 7. Final multivariable Cox-regression results for predictors of progression from indeterminate chronic form to cardiac form of Chagas Disease, excluding patients who either presented other heart diseases or systemic arterial hypertension.

| Risk factors        | HC (95%CI)         | P    |
|---------------------|-------------------|------|
| Lung disease        | 3.69 (1.41–10.64) | 0.009|
| Use of Benznidazole | 0.09 (0.01–0.69)  | 0.02 |

Note. The baseline cohort for this analysis included 163 subjects, of whom 36 (22.1%) developed cardiac Chagas Disease.
(BENEFIT), which randomized 2854 patients with mild cardiac form for use of Benznidazole versus placebo, found that patients who were treated with Benznidazole presented clearance of the parasite (as detected by polymerase chain reaction [PCR]). However, the therapy did not

**Table 8.** Final multivariable Cox-regression results for predictors of progress from indeterminate chronic form to cardiac form of Chagas Disease, including patients who either presented other heart diseases or systemic arterial hypertension.

| Risk factors                        | HC (95%CI)       | P    |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------|
| Only patients with hypertension     |                  |      |
| Central Nervous System Disease      | 2.31 (1.14–4.71) | 0.02 |
| Use of Benznidazoloe               | 0.40 (0.17–0.94) | 0.03 |
| Only patients with other heart diseases |              |      |
| Renal disease                       | 5.20 (1.40–23.67)| 0.03 |
| Use of Benznidazoloe               | 0.32 (0.54–2.35) | 0.42 |

**Note.** Baseline cohort for patients with hypertension included 216 subjects, of whom 33 (15.2%) were treated with benznidazole and 64 (29.6%) progressed to cardiac Chagas Disease. The cohort including patient with other heart diseases included 56 patients, of whom 5 (8.9%) were treated with benznidazole and 28 (50.0%) progressed to our criteria for defining cardiac Chagas Disease.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009680.t008
prevent progression to cardiac over 5 years [35]. Even though patients included in the study already presented mild abnormalities, this was the greatest clinical trial addressing the impact of anti-parasitic therapy on the progression of cardiac forms. Interestingly, a preventive impact on that progression was found in subgroup analysis including only patients from Brazil [36].

Our analysis of predictors of progress from ICF to digestive CD also extensively assessed demographics and comorbidities. However, only female gender was a predictor of progression in Cox models. Also, as expected from previous studies [37], digestive complications of CD were not prevented by the use of Benznidazole in our cohort study.

Besides neglection by pharmaceutical industry, CD therapy studies are hampered by difficulties in outcome definitions (e.g., parasitological, molecular, clinical). Presently we cannot assure that lowering the blood parasite counts or achieving negative PCR implies non-progression to either cardiac or digestive forms. Furthermore, clinical trials require term follow up, which can lead to negative results, as exemplified by the BENEFIT study [36]. Since Benznidazole can cause serious adverse effects, its recommendation requires extreme care, and strengthening of current evidence [38]. This reinforces the importance of cohort studies with data collected over an extensive period.

Our study is limited by the non-concurrent design. Also, there is a relevant possibility of misclassification bias (both in exposures and outcomes) occurred, and this limits conclusions such as the benefit of Benznidazole for preventing cardiac CD. We attempted to overcome those flaws with both multivariate models and several alternative analysis as counterfactuals to our results. We do believe there is an important strength in our study: patients were followed with a rigorous clinical protocol to identify both exposures and outcomes. Finally, we performed extensive chart review and robust statistical analysis.

In conclusion, the progression for ICF to cardiac CD is associated with comorbidities (such as lung, central nervous system, and coronary syndrome). Though biases might have occurred (se above), we found Benznidazole to be protective for development of CD in most analyses. That finding should be tested in new clinical trials. On the other hand, we did not find impact of comorbidities or therapy with Benznidazole on the progression to digestive CD.

In a separate topic, our findings reinforce the importance of a periodic assessment of ICF patients with electrocardiography and contrasted gastrointestinal imaging, as presented in Table 1. Performing active search for identification and possibly therapy of asymptomatic CD patients may be a wise strategy to lessen the burden of cardiac sequelae in low-to-middle income countries.

**Supporting information**

**S1 Strobe checklist.**
(DOCX)

**S1 Data.** Anonymized database containing data from which our analysis was performed, included as supplementary file in a Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet.
(XLSX)

**Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

**Data curation:** Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.
Formal analysis: Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

Investigation: Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

Methodology: Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

Project administration: Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

Supervision: Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

Validation: Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

Visualization: Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

Writing – original draft: Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

Writing – review & editing: Erika Alessandra Pellison Nunes da Costa, Cassiano Victória, Carlos Magno Castelo Branco Fortaleza.

References

1. World Health Organization. Chagas disease (American trypanosomiasis). Geneva: WHO, 2015.
2. Dias JC, Silveira AC, Schofield CJ. The impact of Chagas disease control in Latin America: a review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2002; 97:603–12 https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762002000500002 PMID: 12219120
3. Schmunis GA. Epidemiology of Chagas disease in non-endemic countries: the role of international migration. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2007; 102 (Suppl 1):75–85. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762007000600093 PMID: 17891282
4. Gascon J, Bern C, Pinazo MJ. Chagas disease in Spain, the United States and other non-endemic countries. Acta Trop. 2010; 115:22–7; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2009.07.019 PMID: 19646412
5. Anghenben A, Boix L, Buonfrate D, Gobbi F, Bisoffi Z, Pupella S, Gandini G, Aprili G. Chagas disease and transfusion medicine: a perspective from non-endemic countries. Blood Transfus. 2015; 13:540–50. https://doi.org/10.2450/2015.0040-15 PMID: 25913769
6. Santos VRCD, Maes J, Savino W, Andrade JAA, Vieira JRDS, Coura JR, Junqueira ACV. Acute Chagas disease in the state of Pará, Amazon Region: is it increasing? Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2018; 113: e170298. https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760170298 PMID: 29742200
7. Prata A. Clinical and epidemiological aspects of Chagas disease. Lancet Infect Dis. 2001; 1:92–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(01)00065-2 PMID: 11871482
8. Rassi A Jr, Rassi A, Marcondes de Rezende J. American trypanosomiasis (Chagas disease). Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2012; 26(2):275–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2012.03.002 PMID: 22632639
9. Gallero RR, Sosa RR. Estudio de intervención em la evolución natural de la enfermedad de Chagas. Evaluación del tratamiento antiparasitario específico. Rev Fac Cien Med Univ Nac Cordoba. 2000; 57:135–62. PMID: 12934232
10. Fabbro DL, Streiger ML, Arias ED, Bizai ML, del Barco M, Amicone NA. Trypanocide treatment among adults with chronic Chagas disease living in Santa Fe city (Argentina), over a mean follow-up of 21 years: parasitological, serological and clinical evolution. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2007; 40:1–10.
11. Lana Md, Lopes LA, Martins HR, Bahia MT, Machado-de-Assis GF, Wendling AP, et al. Clinical and laboratory status of patients with chronic Chagas disease living in a vector-controlled area in Minas Gerais, Brazil, before and nine years after aetiological treatment. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2009; 104:1139–47. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762009000800011 PMID: 20140375
12. Amato Neto V. Terapêutica da forma crônica da doença de Chagas. Tratamento específico da infecção pelo Trypanosoma cruzi. Arq Bras Cardiol 1998; 70: 63–64. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0066-782x1998000100013 PMID: 9629691

13. Braga MS, Lauria-Pires L, Argañaraz ER, Nascimento RJ, Teixeira AR. Persistent infections in chronic Chagas disease patients treated with anti-Trypanosoma cruzi nitroderivatives. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo. 2000; 42:157–61. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0036-4652200000000009 PMID: 10887376

14. Lauria-Pires L, Braga MS, Vexenat AC, Nitz N, Simões-Barbosa A, Tinoco DL, Teixeira AR. Progressive chronic Chagas heart disease ten years after treatment with anti-Trypanosoma cruzi nitroderivatives. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2000; 63:111–8. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2000.63.111 PMID: 11388500

15. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Consenso Brasileiro em Doença de Chagas. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2005; 38 (Supl 3):1–29.

16. Dias JCP, Ramos NA Jr, Gontijo ED, Luqueti A, Shikanai-Yasuda MA, Coura JR, et al. II Consenso Brasileiro em Doença de Chagas, 2015. Epidemiol Serv Saude. 2016; 25:7–18.

17. Laurent R, Nubila HBVD, Ausguto AAJ, Conde MTRP, Oliveira ASB. A Classificação Internacional de Doenças, a Família de Classificações Internacionais, a CID-11 e o Síndrome Pos-Poliomielite. Arq Neuro-Psiiquiatr 2013; 71: 3–10.

18. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis. 1987; 40:373–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8 PMID: 3558716

19. Victora CG, Barreto ML, Monteiro CA, Bastos FI, Monteiro CA, Schmidt MI, Paim J, Almeida C, Dias JCP, Ramos NA Jr, Gontijo ED, Luquetti A, Shikani-Yasuda MA, Coura JR, et al. II Consenso Brasileiro em Doença de Chagas, 2015. Epidemiol Serv Saude. 2016; 25:7–18.

20. Balouz V, Aguero F, Buscaglia CA. Chagas Disease Diagnostic Applications: Present Knowledge and Future Steps. Adv Parasitol. 2017; 97:1–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.10.001 PMID: 28325368

21. Lidani KCF, Andrade FA, Bavia L, Damasceno FS, Beltrame MH, Messias-Reason IJ, Sandri TL. Chagas Disease: From Discovery to a Worldwide Health Problem. Front Public Health. 2019; 7:166. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00166 PMID: 31312626

22. Sosa-Estani S, Segura EL. Integrated control of Chagas disease for its elimination as public health problem—a review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2015 May; 110:289–98 https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760140408 PMID: 25993503

23. Matsudo SM, Matsudo VKR, Leite BNT. Atividade física e envelhecimento: aspectos epidemiológicos. Rev Bras Med Esporte 2001; 7(1):2–13.

24. Dias JC. The indeterminate form of human chronic Chagas disease: An epidemiologic review. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 1989; 22(3):147–56. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0037-86821989000300007 PMID: 2486527

25. Alves RM, Thomaz RP, Almeida EA, Wanderley Jda S, Guariento ME. Chagas disease and ageing: the coexistence of other chronic diseases with Chagas disease in elderly patients. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2009; 42:622–8. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0037-86822009000600002 PMID: 20209343

26. Lima-Costa MF, Peixoto SV, Ribeiro ALP. Chagas disease and mortality in old age as an emerging issue: 10 year follow-up of the Bambuí population-based cohort study (Brazil). Int J Cardiol. 2010 Nov 19; 145:362–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2010.02.036 PMID: 20399519

27. Dellalibera-Jovillano R, Bestetti RB, Lopes GS, Furlan-Daniel R, Lopes KC, Faria-Junior M, Junior NI. Kinins and nitric oxide in patients with chronic chagas disease and systemic arterial hypertension. Cardiovasc Pathol. 2020; 49:107257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2020.107257 PMID: 32674046

28. Guariento ME, Orosz JEB, Gontijo JAR. Interacção clínica entre moléstia de Chagas e hipertensão arterial primária em um serviço de referência ambulatorial. Arq Bras Cardiol 1998; 70:431–434. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0066-782x1998000100013 PMID: 9713086

29. Palmero HA, Caioiro TF, Iosa DJ. Effect of Chagas disease on arterial blood pressure. Am Heart J. 1979; 97:38–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-8703(79)90112-1 PMID: 103414

30. Nunes MC, Kreusser LJ, Ribeiro AL, Sousa GR, Costa HS, Botoni FA, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of embolic cerebrovascular events associated with Chagas heart disease. Glob Heart. 2015; 10:151–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gheart.2015.07.006 PMID: 26407510

31. Martins-Melo FR, Ramos Junior AN, Alencar CH, Heukelbach J. Multiple causes of death related to Chagas disease in Brazil, 1999 to 2007. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2012 Oct; 45:591–6. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0037-86822012000500010 PMID: 23152342
32. Simões MV, Romano RMMD, Schmidt A, Martins KSM, Marin-Neto JA. Cardiomiopatia da Doença de Chagas. Int J Cardiovasc Sci 2011: 31: 173–189.

33. Bertocchi GL, Álvarez M, Pérez-Mazliah D, Armenti A, Viotti R, Lococo B, et al. Immunological Assessment of Benznidazole Therapy in Chronic Chagas Disease. Rev Argent Cardiol 2008; 76: 260–5.

34. Cardoso CS, Ribeiro ALP, Oliveira CDL, Oliveira LC, Ferreira AM, Bierrenbach AL, et al. Beneficial effects of benznidazole in Chagas disease: NIH SaMi-Trop cohort study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018; 12):e0006814. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006814 PMID: 30383777

35. Morillo CA, Marin-Neto JA, Avezum A, Sosa-Estani S, Rassi A Jr, Rosas F, et al; BENEFIT Investigators. Randomized Trial of Benznidazole for Chronic Chagas' Cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med. 2015; 373:1295–306. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1507574 PMID: 26323937

36. Rassi A Jr, Marin JA Neto, Rassi A. Chronic Chagas cardiomyopathy: a review of the main pathogenic mechanisms and the efficacy of aetiological treatment following the BENznida zole Evaluation for Inter rupting Trypanosomiasis (BENEFIT) trial. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2017; 112:224–235. https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760160334 PMID: 28225900

37. Silveira CAN, Castillo E, Castro C. Avaliação do tratamento específico para o Trypanosoma cruzi em crianças, na evolução da fase indeterminada. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 2000; 33:191–196. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0037-8682200000200006 PMID: 10881133

38. Olivera MJ, Cucunubá ZM, Valencia-Hernández CA, Herazo R, Agreda-Rudenko D, Flórez C, et al. Risk factors for treatment interruption and severe adverse effects to benznidazole in adult patients with Chagas disease. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0185033. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185033 PMID: 28949997