Comments on the use of block methods for solving singular boundary value problems
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Abstract. Singular boundary-value problems appear frequently on the model-
ization of many physical phenomena as in catalytic diffusion reactions, chemi-
cal kinetics, thermal-explosion theory, or electro hydrodynamics, among others. The singular Lane-Endem equation is a typical kind of equation modelling some of those problems. Unfortunately, just in few occasions the exact solutions can be obtained. In this situation the block methods have been used largely for approximating different kind of differential problems. We propose its use for solving singular boundary value problems. The proposed strategy consist in a block method combined with an appropriate set of formulas which are developed at the first subinterval to circumvent the singularity at the left end of the integration interval. Some examples are presented to validate the efficiency of the proposed strategy.

1 Introduction

It seems that block methods were firstly proposed by Milne for solving initial-value problems in differential equations (see [1]). Since then, dozens of such block methods have appeared in literature. Notably scholars such as L. F. Shampine, S. O. Fatunla, S. N. Jator, Z. A. Majid, L. Brugnano, T. E. Simos, J. R. Cash, etc., have worked on block methods. Roughly speaking, a \(k\)-step block method is a set of \(k\) multistep formulas that produces at the same time \(k\) approximate values of the solution of the differential problem at \(k\) grid points. This may vary according to the order and the kind of equation considered.

It is well-known that for a second order differential problem \(y'' = f(x, y, y')\), the boundary conditions may be of different types:

- Dirichlet boundary conditions, \(y(a) = y_a, \quad y(b) = y_b\)
- Neumann boundary conditions, \(y'(a) = y'_a, \quad y'(b) = y'_b\)
- Robin boundary conditions, \(g_1(y(a), y'(a)) = v_a, \quad g_2(y(b), y'(b)) = v_b\).
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Let us see how to develop a two-step block method that uses third derivatives for solving a boundary value problem (BVP) with any of the above boundary conditions. Suppose that the function \( f \) satisfies the conditions to assure the existence and uniqueness of a solution. We consider a generic two-step interval \([x_n, x_{n+2}]\) where \( x_{n+j} = x_n + jh \), and assume that the solution \( y(x) \) can be approximated on this interval by a polynomial \( p(x) \), that is,

\[
y(x) \approx p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2} c_j x^j,
\]

where the \( c_j \) are unknown coefficients that will be determined. To determine those coefficients we impose that the following conditions hold

\[
p(x_{n+1}) = y_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad p'(x_{n+1}) = y'_{n+1},
\]

\[
p''(x_{n+j}) = f_{n+j} \quad \text{and} \quad p'''(x_{n+j}) = g_{n+j}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,
\]

where the \( y_{n+j}, y'_{n+j}, f_{n+j}, g_{n+j} \) are respectively approximations for \( y(x_{n+j}), y'(x_{n+j}), y''(x_{n+j}) \) and \( y'''(x_{n+j}) \) with

\[
g(x, y, y') = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} f(x, y, y').
\]

A similar approach was used in [2], resulting in the following formulas

\[
y_{n+2} = y_{n+1} + hy'_{n+1} + h^2 \left( \frac{37}{1680} f_n + \frac{11}{30} f_{n+1} + \frac{187}{1680} f_{n+2} \right) + h^3 \left( \frac{1}{168} g_n + \frac{19}{210} g_{n+1} - \frac{2}{105} g_{n+2} \right),
\]

\[
y'_{n+2} = y'_{n+1} + h \left( \frac{11}{240} f_n + \frac{8}{15} f_{n+1} + \frac{101}{240} f_{n+2} \right) + h^2 \left( \frac{1}{80} g_n + \frac{1}{6} g_{n+1} - \frac{13}{240} g_{n+2} \right).
\]

These formulas must be considered along the grid points in order to form a global method that provides approximate solutions of a considered BVP. That is, if we consider the grid points \( a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{N-2} < x_{N-1} < x_N = b \) with \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), we take the formulas in (4)-(5) for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N - 2 \), making a total of \( 2(N - 1) \) formulas. The number of unknowns is \( 2N + 2 \) and we have two boundary conditions, so we need two additional formulas that can be obtained at any set of grid points. The global method consists in a system of \( 2N + 2 \) equations with \( 2N + 2 \) unknowns: \( y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_N, y_0', y_1', \ldots, y_N' \). The solution of the system by some iterative scheme provides the approximate values of the BVP.

### 2 Singular boundary value problems of the form \( y'' = f(x, y, y') \)

Now assume that our problem is of singular type. Those problems are characterized by the presence of a singularity in the coefficients of the differential equation. There are many models that use this type of problem in applied sciences, for example in thermal explosions, in oxygen diffusion, in a non-linear heat conduction model of the human head, in human physiology, etc. (see [3, 5, 6]).
There are many approaches in the literature for solving these problems, as the variational iteration method, the homotopy perturbation method, different methods based on spline approximations, methods that use Padé or Taylor approximations, methods using different types of wavelets, the Adomian decomposition method, etc. (see [8–11, 13]).

Let us consider the following singular BVP [3]:

\[
y''(x) = -\frac{2}{x} y'(x) + 4y(x) - 2, \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 11/2, \tag{6}
\]

whose exact solution is

\[
y(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{x} \sinh(2x).
\]

If we try to solve this problem with the global method in the previous section we will run into troubles, since the value \( f_0 \) cannot be obtained.

To overcome this difficulty we propose to develop a set of multistep formulas to be applied at the first subinterval, \([x_0, x_1]\), with the purpose of specifically avoid the use of \( f_0 \). A possibility to develop these formulas has been used in [7]. We consider three different intermediate points \( x_i = x_0 + rh, x_s = x_0 + sh \) and \( x_t = x_0 + th \) with \( 0 < r < s < t < 1 \), on \([x_0, x_1]\), and suppose that the approximation to the true solution on this interval can be done by a polynomial, that is,

\[
y(x) \approx q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{5} a_n x^n, \tag{7}
\]

Now, evaluating \( q(x) \) and its first derivative at \( x_n \), and its second derivative at the points \( x_r, x_s, x_t, x_1 \), we obtain a system of equations with the unknowns \( a_n, n = 0(1)5 \), given by

\[
q(x_0) = y_0, \quad q'(x_0) = y'_0, \quad q''(x_0) = y''_0, \quad q'(x_s) = f_s, \quad q''(x_s) = f_s', \quad q''(x_t) = f_t, \quad q''(x_1) = f_1,
\]

where similarly as before, the notations \( f_j \) stand respectively for approximations of \( y''(x_j) \). After solving this system we obtain the coefficients \( a_n \) in terms of the parameters \( r, s, t \). If we impose that the two first terms of the local truncation error for \( y_1 \) and the principal term of the local truncation error for \( y'_1 \) are null, we obtain the values

\[
r = 0.08858795951270394739554641376945, \\
s = 0.40946686444073471086492625206882, \\
t = 0.78765946176084705602524188987599.
\]

Using these values, the set of formulas for the first subinterval are readily obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
y_r & = y_0 + 0.0885 \ h y'_0 + h^2 (0.0053 f_r - 0.0024 f_s + 0.0015 f_t - 0.0006 f_1) \\
y_s & = y_0 + 0.4094 h y'_0 + h^2 (0.0695 f_r + 0.0161 f_s - 0.0024 f_t + 0.0006 f_1) \\
y_t & = y_0 + 0.7876 h y'_0 + h^2 (0.1545 f_r + 0.1448 f_s + 0.0111 f_t - 0.0003 f_1) \\
y_1 & = y_0 + h y'_0 + h^2 (0.2009 f_r + 0.2292 f_s + 0.0698 f_t) \\
y'_r & = y'_0 + (0.1129 f_r - 0.0403 f_s + 0.0258 f_t - 0.0099 f_1) \\
y'_s & = y'_0 + h (0.2343 f_r + 0.2068 f_s - 0.0478 f_t + 0.0160 f_1) \\
y'_t & = y'_0 + h (0.2166 f_r + 0.4061 f_s + 0.1890 f_t - 0.0241 f_1) \\
y'_1 & = y'_0 + h (0.2204 f_r + 0.3881 f_s + 0.3288 f_t + 0.0625 f_1). \quad \tag{8}
\end{align*}
\]
3 Main result

The formulas in (4) are used only for the first subinterval \([x_0, x_1]\), while for the remaining interval \([x_1, x_N]\) we consider the formulas in (8) for \(n = 1, 3, \ldots, N - 2\) with \(N\) odd. All these formulas give a global method for solving a singular BVP. It can be shown that this method is convergent, as stated in the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1** Let \(y(t)\) be the exact solution of a SBVP \(y''(x) = f(x, y, y')\) subject to the boundary conditions \(y(a) = y_a, y(b) = y_b,\) and \(\{y_j\}_{j=0}^N\) the discrete solution provided by the proposed global method describe above. Then the proposed method is sixth order convergent, that is, for sufficiently small \(h\), there exists a constant \(K\) (independent of \(h\)) such that

\[
\max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \|y(x_j) - y_j\| \leq Kh^6.
\]

We note that in the above theorem the boundary conditions considered are of Dirichlet type, but any other conditions may be considered. In the numerical examples in the following section we have considered mixed boundary conditions.

4 Numerical examples

4.1 Example 1

We consider the singular BVP in (6) to assess the performance of the proposed method. Note that the solution presents at \(x = 0\) a removable singularity. We have solved the problem considering a total number of steps \(M = 11, 21, 41\). The obtained results are collected in Table 1 where we have included a row with the maximum absolute errors at the grid points, given by

\[
\text{Max Err}(h) = \max_{j=0,1,\ldots,N} |y(x_j) - y_j|,
\]

and a second row with a numerical estimation of the order of convergence, given by

\[
\text{ROC} \simeq \log_2 \left( \frac{\text{Max Err}(2h)}{\text{Max Err}(h)} \right).
\]

**Table 1.** Numerical results with the proposed method for Example 1.

| \(M = 11\) | \(M = 21\) | \(M = 41\) |
|------------|------------|------------|
| Max Err    | 7.90553044074729 × 10^{-10} | 1.6352276304950 × 10^{-11} | 2.94992967329 × 10^{-13} |
| ROC        | 5.59       | 5.79       |

Figure 1 shows the exact solution and the discrete one obtained for \(M = 11\).

4.2 Example 2

Now we consider the Lane-Emden type equation appeared in [12, 13]

\[
y''(x) + \left(1 + \frac{r}{x}\right)y'(x) = \frac{5x^3(5x^5e^{\theta(x)} - x - r - 4)}{4 + x^5},
\]

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
y'(0) = 0, \quad y(1) + 5y'(1) = \log \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) - 5.
\]
The exact solution is \( y(x) = -\log(x^5 + 4) \) independently of the value of \( r \).

We have solve the problem for \( r = 1/4 \), considering a total number of steps \( M = 11, 21, 41 \). The obtained results are collected in Table 2 where we have included as before the maximum absolute errors on the grid points and a numerical estimation of the order of convergence, given by \( ROC \).

| \( M = 11 \) | \( M = 21 \) | \( M = 41 \) |
|---|---|---|
| Max Err \( 8.83716228605308725 \times 10^{-7} \) | \( 1.5980619822634291 \times 10^{-8} \) | \( 2.78950021531422 \times 10^{-10} \) |
| ROC | 5.78 | 5.84 |

Figure 2 shows the exact solution and the discrete one obtained for \( M = 11 \).

5 Future research

We have presented a strategy for solving singular boundary value problems using block methods. The numerical results presented show the good performance of the proposed strategy for a particular block method. Nevertheless, there are different tasks to be addressed in future works:

- Analyze how the stepsize of the first subinterval can be chosen in order to get a better accuracy
- Analyze which is the best option for joining the different formulas
- Study how to choose the starting points in the Newtons method
• Extend the approach to other problems, for example with singular points at both ends
• Simplify the formulation of the method, for example reformulating it in such a way that the number of function evaluations is minimized (see [4])
• Reduce the number of equations in case of special problems where the first derivative is not present.
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