Crop Injury from Sublethal Rates of Herbicide. II. Cucumber
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Abstract. Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) growth and yield in response to application of sublethal rates of 2,4-D at several developmental stages were evaluated in field studies during two seasons. In Ext. 1, prebloom applications of 2,4-D amine reduced plant vigor and increased foliar epinasty as rates increased from 0 to 112 g·ha⁻¹. Early and total fruit yields also declined linearly as 2,4-D rates increased; 112 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D reduced early yield by 25% and total yield by 20%. In Ext. 2, plant vigor declined with increasing rates of 2,4-D applied at all four stages of development from first true leaf to early fruit enlargement; however, response at stage 1 differed with time after application. Epinasty increased with 2,4-D rate when applied at all developmental stages; however the severity of the response varied with time after application for stages 1, 2, and 3, but not for stage 4. Averaged over all developmental stages, vine length, fresh weight, and yield decreased linearly as rates increased. Early and total yields with 112 g·ha⁻¹ were 22% and 19% lower than those of nontreated plants, respectively. Growth inhibition and yield decline, pooled across 2,4-D rates, were greater when exposure occurred at the earlier stages of development.

Chemical name used: (2,4-dichlorophenoxy)acetic acid (2,4-D).

Drift of auxinic herbicides, such as 2,4-D, can cause considerable damage to nontarget crops. Exposure to 2,4-D stimulates ethylene formation, with higher production rates in sensitive plant species than in tolerant ones (Abeles, 1968; Tittie, 1990). The phytotoxicity of auxinic herbicides has been attributed to the accumulation of cyanide as a coproduct of ethylene synthesis (Tittie, 1990). Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) and pepper (Capsicum annuum L.), which comprise 45% of the total vegetable production value in Florida (Witzig and Pugh, 2000), are sensitive to sublethal exposures of 11.2 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, especially during flowering (Gilreath et al., unpublished data). Flower numbers were lower in plants treated with 2,4-D than in nontreated plants as a result of accelerated senescence and abscission. A corresponding reduction in fruit number could account for lower fruit yields. Although cucumber is less important economically in Florida than are tomato and pepper (Witzig and Pugh, 2000), it is an important vegetable crop in Florida, other southeastern states, and many other parts of the United States.

Cucumber may be more tolerant of auxinic herbicide drift than are tomato and pepper.

SAS (SAS Institute, 1988). Orthogonal polynomials were employed to examine the nature of the response to herbicide rate and time of evaluation. Regression curves were fitted to the means for early and total yields. In evaluating the main effect of stage of development, means were compared using Duncan’s multiple range test or pairwise comparisons of least-squared means were performed (SAS Institute, 1988).

Results and Discussion

Expt. 1. ‘Marketmore 76’ cucumber was direct-seeded on 11 Mar. 1988 to give 18 plants per single-row plot spaced 0.3-m apart. Plot length was 5.5 m; but, only a 3.0-m length of the row was harvested. A 1.8-m alley at each end separated the plots. Rates of 0, 0.11, 1.12, 11.2, and 112 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D amine were applied at four stages of plant development on 29 Mar., 15 Apr., 21 Apr., and 27 Apr. At stage 1, the first true leaf was fully expanded; stage 2, vines were 20 to 36 cm long with almost no flowers; at stage 3, vines were >70 cm long with one to three blooms and an occasional, very small fruit; and at stage 4, vines were >150 cm long with one fruit ≤15 cm long, a few smaller fruits, and many flowers. Treatments at stages 1 and 2 were applied at 76 kPa. At stages 3 and 4, each side of the bed was sprayed in a separate pass to ensure coverage, and spray pressure was increased to 90 kPa. Plant vigor, epinasty, early yield, and total yield were assessed as described for Expt. 1. Fruits were harvested seven times from 2 May to 25 May. After 2,4-D applications at stages 1, 2, and 3, one plant per treatment in each plot was sampled each week for 4 weeks to assess vine length and fresh weight.

Statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using the general linear models procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, 1988). Orthogonal polynomials were employed to examine the nature of the response to herbicide rate and time of evaluation. Regression curves were fitted to the means for early and total yields. In evaluating the main effect of stage of development, means were compared using Duncan’s multiple range test or pairwise comparisons of least-squared means were performed (SAS Institute, 1988).

Results and Discussion

Expt. 1. Within 1 d of application of 11.2 and 112 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, plant vigor had de-
increased and epinasty had increased (Table 1). Whereas improvement in plant vigor over time was observed with 112 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, vigor was only 6.4 at 1 d after treatment (DAT) with 112 g·ha⁻¹ and did not change over the next 24 d. Mild epinasty occurred 1 d after application of 11.2 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, but plants recovered rapidly over the next 24 d. Conversely, epinasty in plants treated with 112 g·ha⁻¹ was severe at 1 DAT and moderate epinasty was still apparent 24 DAT. Early yields declined linearly by 26% (from 16.1 to 11.9 t·ha⁻¹) as 2,4-D rates increased from 0 to 112 g·ha⁻¹ (yield = –37.6 (rate) + 16.1, r² > 0.99, data not shown). Total yields also declined nearly from 39.7 to 31.9 t·ha⁻¹, a 20% decrease [yield = –69.4 (rate) + 39.7, data not shown].

Expt. 2. In the second experiment, the effect of 2,4-D rate on vigor of plants treated at stage 1, differed with time of evaluation (P ≤ 0.05). Reduction in vigor was linear with increasing 2,4-D rates 1 week after treatment (WAT) at stage 1 (Table 2). At three subsequent weekly evaluations, vigor decreased in a quadratic manner. No change in vigor occurred over time with 2,4-D rates of 0 to 11.2 g·ha⁻¹; however, vigor of plants treated with 112 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D declined during the 3 weeks following application, but began to recover by 4 WAT. Interaction between rate and time of evaluation following 2,4-D applications at stages 2 to 4 was nonsignificant. Decline in vigor was linear with increasing 2,4-D rates applied at stages 2 and 4, whereas vigor decreased quadratically with applications at stage 3. The response to 2,4-D rate did not differ with time of evaluation at stages 2, 3, and 4 (data not shown).

Interaction between 2,4-D rate and time of evaluation was significant (P ≤ 0.001) in affecting epinasty when 2,4-D was applied at stages 1 to 3, which indicated that the rate of increase in development of epinasty differed with time of evaluation. For plants treated at stage 1, epinasty increased in a quadratic manner with increasing rates of 2,4-D at all times of evaluation (Table 3). Nontreated plants exhibited no symptoms of epinasty; however, following application of 0.11 and 1.12 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, epinasty was very mild for 3 WAT and had decreased to its lowest levels by 4 WAT. Epinasty was mild 1 WAT with 11.2 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, increased to a moderate level by 2 WAT, then declined to its lowest level at 4 WAT. Three weeks following application of 112 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, plants exhibited severe epinasty, which had declined to a moderate level by 4 WAT.

Increasing rates of 2,4-D applied at stage 2 also increased epinasty. The increase was quadratic during the first 3 WAT and linear at 4 WAT. Epinasty was mild with 0.11, 1.12, and 11.2 g·ha⁻¹ and declined to lowest levels at 4 WAT. Treatment with 112 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, induced moderate epinasty 1 WAT; this declined with time to a mild level at 4 WAT. Response was quadratic as the rate of 2,4-D applied at stage 3 increased. Epinasty was mild at rates of 0.11 to 11.2 g·ha⁻¹, and a time-dependent decrease occurred only with the 1.12 g·ha⁻¹ rate. Epinasty was moderate with 112 g·ha⁻¹ 2,4-D, declining from 5.6 at 1 WAT to 3.5 at 4 WAT. For 2,4-D applications at stage 4, data were pooled over time of evaluation. Epinasty increased quadratically from zero in nontreated plants to 2.9 in plants treated with 112 g·ha⁻¹. Averaged over 2,4-D rate, epinasty was mild and no change in response occurred with time of evaluation (data not shown).

Vine length and fresh weight, averaged over developmental stage, decreased linearly with increasing rates of 2,4-D (Table 4). Both were greater when exposure to 2,4-D occurred later in plant development. Vine length was three times as long and fresh weight was five times as great following 2,4-D applications at stage 3 than following applications at stage 1.

Interaction between 2,4-D rate and stage of development at application did not affect yield, so data were pooled over developmental stage. Early and total yields decreased by 22% and 19%, respectively, as 2,4-D rate increased to 112 g·ha⁻¹ (Table 4). Decline was linear for both early and total yield (early yield = –105.8 (rate) + 55.8, r² = 0.98; total yield = –307.2 (rate) + 168.4, r² = 0.95). Averaged over 2,4-D rate, early yield was less sensitive to 2,4-D as exposure to the herbicide was delayed, so that early yield was lowest when 2,4-D was applied at stage 1 and highest when exposure occurred at stage 4. Statistically similar early yields were obtained with

---

Table 1. Plant vigor and epinasty of cucumber plants as affected by 2,4-D rate and time of evaluation after application (Expt. 1).*

| Rate (g·ha⁻¹) | DAT: 1 | 10 | 24 | Sig. | Vigor | Epinasty | Significance |
|--------------|--------|----|----|------|-------|----------|--------------|
| 0            | 9.9    | 9.8| 9.8| NS   | 0     | 0        | NS          |
| 1.12         | 9.9    | 9.5| 9.6| 0.1  | 0.1   | 0        | NS          |
| 11.2         | 8.4    | 9.0| 9.4| L †  | 3.1   | 0.8      | 0.3 Q †      |
| 112          | 6.4    | 6.6| 6.3| NS   | 8.6   | 4.8      | 4.7 Q †      |

*Significance: Q †, L †, Q ‡, L ‡, Q ‡, L ‡.

---

Table 2. Effect of 2,4-D rate, developmental stage, and time of evaluation on cucumber plant vigor (Expt. 2).*

| Rate (g·ha⁻¹) | WAT: 1 | Stage 1 |
|--------------|--------|--------|
| 0            | 9.6    | 9.6    |
| 0.11         | 9.6    | 9.0    |
| 1.12         | 8.6    | 8.5    |
| 11.2         | 8.4    | 7.7    |
| 112          | 5.0    | 3.5    |

*Significance: Q †, Q †, Q ‡, Q ‡, L †, L †, L ‡.

---

Table 3. Effect of 2,4-D rate, developmental stage, and time of evaluation on foliar epinasty in cucumber (Expt. 2).*

| Rate (g·ha⁻¹) | Stage 1 |
|--------------|--------|
| 0            | 0      |
| 0.11         | 0.4    |
| 1.12         | 1.3    |
| 11.2         | 2.3    |
| 112          | 7.8    |

*Significance: Q †, Q †, Q ‡, Q ‡, Q ‡, L †, L †, L ‡.
applications at stages 2 and 3. The highest total yield occurred when 2,4-D was applied at stage 4. Applications of 2,4-D at stages 1, 2, and 3 resulted in similar total yields. Cucumber appears to be relatively tolerant of sublethal rates of 2,4-D. In the present study, 112 g·ha$^{-1}$ 2,4-D reduced yield by only 20%. Schroeder (1998) also reported evidence of cucumber tolerance to low rates of 2,4-D. Although epinasty was apparent with the highest rate used (28 g·ha$^{-1}$), yield was unaffected. Similarly, Hemphill and Montgomery (1981) reported no effect on cucumber yield with rates of 2.1 and 20.8 g·ha$^{-1}$; however, with 104 and 208 g·ha$^{-1}$, yields were reduced by 35% and 72%, respectively. In a second season, plants were more sensitive, and 11 g·ha$^{-1}$ 2,4-D reduced yield 25% (Hemphill and Montgomery, 1981). The difference in sensitivity may have been due to cultivar, since ‘Victory’ was used the first year and ‘Pacer’ the second. Although yields with ‘Poinsett 76’ in our study were higher than with ‘Marketmore 76’, the percentage of decrease in yield was similar and may indicate similar levels of tolerance to 2,4-D. Rapid metabolism of 2,4-D has been demonstrated in cucumber (Chkanikov et al., 1977; Klems et al., 1998) and may account for its tolerance of low rates of 2,4-D.

Flower abscission has been observed in response to sublethal rates of auxinic herbicides (Gilreath et al., unpublished; Orsenigo, 1964, Robbins and Taylor, 1957) and the resulting reduction in fruit set may account for reduced yields. Abscission continued all season when tomato plants were exposed to 2,4-D at first bloom (Robbins and Taylor, 1957). Although the effect of auxinic herbicides on cucumber flower number has not been reported previously, evidence of reduced fruit set is available. When cucumber plants of the sensitive cultivar ‘Pacer’ were sprayed with 11 g·ha$^{-1}$ 2,4-D after some fruit had already set, the first harvest was unaffected. Although yield at the second harvest was reduced, recovery was apparent by the third harvest (Hemphill and Montgomery, 1981). Application of 11.2 and 112 g·ha$^{-1}$ 2,4-D to cucumber resulted in inhibition of vigor and induced foliar epinasty. Growth, measured as vine length and fresh weight, was inhibited to a greater extent when 2,4-D exposure occurred at early stages of development. However, yields were less affected by 2,4-D; 112 g·ha$^{-1}$ 2,4-D reduced yield only 20%. Early yields were less inhibited when exposure to 2,4-D occurred later in the crop’s development.
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### Table 4. Main effects of 2,4-D rate and stage of development on cucumber vine length, fresh weight, and yield (Expt. 2).

| Factor | Length (cm) | Fresh wt (g) | Yield (t·ha$^{-1}$) |
|--------|------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Rate (g·ha$^{-1}$)$^a$ | Early | Total | Early | Total |
| 0 | 135 | 1013 | 55.9 | 164.9 |
| 0.11 | 134 | 1064 | 57.1 | 168.4 |
| 1.12 | 136 | 1059 | 53.0 | 172.9 |
| 11.2 | 133 | 1042 | 55.8 | 163.5 |
| 112 | 110 | 832 | 43.8 | 134.1 |
| Significance | L$^*$ | L$^*$ | L$^*$ | L$^*$ |
| Stage$^b$ | | | | |
| 1 | 60.1 c$^c$ | 312 c$^c$ | 44.2 c$^c$ | 147.1 b$^d$ |
| 2 | 148.5 b | 1126 b | 50.9 b | 150.3 b |
| 3 | 179.8 a | 1569 a | 53.9 b | 152.2 b |
| 4 | --- | --- | 63.6 a | 193.5 a |

$^a$Data averaged over stage of development.

$^b$Data averaged over 2,4-D rate.

$^c$Significant differences between adjusted means (least-squares means) in columns were obtained by pairwise comparisons.

$^d$Mean separation within columns by Duncan’s multiple range test.

**Significant at $P \leq 0.01$ for linear (L) effects.