Article

Beyond CC398: Characterisation of Other Tetracycline and Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Genetic Lineages Circulating in Spanish Hospitals

Sara Ceballos, Carmen Lozano, Carmen Aspiroz, Laura Ruiz-Ripa, Paula Eguizábal, Allelen Campaña-Burguet, Emilia Cercenado, Ana Isabel Lpez-Calleja, Javier Castillo, Jose Manuel Azcona-Gutiérrez, Luis Torres, Jorge Calvo, Carmen Martin, María Navarro, Myriam Zarazaga, Carmen Torres, and the Study Group of Clinical LA-MRSA

Abstract: Tetracycline resistance (TetR) has been evidenced as a good phenotypic marker for detection of livestock-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (LA-MRSA) isolates of the clonal complex CC398. The aim of this study was to characterise a collection of 95 TetR-MRSA isolates, not belonging to the lineage CC398, that were obtained in a previous multicentre study, to detect other MRSA clonal complexes that could be associated with this phenotypic TetR marker. The TetR-MRSA isolates were recovered from 20 Spanish hospitals during 2016 and they were characterised to determine their antimicrobial resistance and virulence phenotypes/genotypes as well as the presence of the immune evasion cluster (IEC). A high proportion of isolates belonging to the CC1 lineage (46%) were observed, as well as to the CC5, CC8 and CC45 lineages (11% each one). Thirty-two different spa-types were identified, being predominantly CC1-t127 (40%) and CC45-t1081 (11%). The IEC system (with the gene scn as marker) was present in 73% of isolates and 16% produced the Panton Valentine leucocidin (PVL). A multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotype was identified in 86% of MRSA-CC1 isolates, whereas 38.6% and 52.9% of them were blaZ-negative. A multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotype was identified in 86% of MRSA isolates. The knowledge of other TetR-MRSA genetic lineages, in addition to CC398, is highly relevant, since most of them were MDR and some of them presented important virulence factors. Strains potentially associated with livestock (as the subpopulation CC1-t127-scn-negative) or with humans (as the CC45 lineage or the subpopulation CC1-scn-positive) have been found in this study. The use of tetracycline-resistance for detection, not only of CC398 but also of other LA-MRSA lineages should be tracked in the future.
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1. Introduction

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a common bacterium in human and animal regular microbiota, particularly present in the nose and on the skin. However, *S. aureus* can be an important opportunistic pathogen capable of causing anything from mild skin to life-threatening infections. *S. aureus* is also able to acquire multiple antimicrobial resistance mechanisms, and standing out amongst them, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) isolates because of its resistance to almost all β-lactams [1].

Different clonal lineages have been initially proposed to be directly related to the environment in which they are found. In this way, we classically found hospital-associated (HA) or community-acquired (CA) MRSA. Nevertheless, nowadays this line of distinction within groups is becoming more and more blurred.

Newer livestock-associated (LA) MRSA lineages, genetically different from human isolates and closely related to farm animals, have been found more regularly in the healthcare system [2]. During the last decade, livestock have been considered to be a great reservoir of MRSA with high potential of zoonotic transmission to humans [3]. It has been observed that the spread of LA-MRSA from animals to humans occurs frequently and that livestock workers present a high risk for LA-MRSA colonisation and subsequent infection [4]. The abusive use of antimicrobials like tetracycline in food production animals leads to the selective pressure of tetracycline-resistant (Tet<sup>R</sup>) LA-MRSA isolates [5]. The most common LA-MRSA lineage in Europe belongs to the clonal complex CC398. Tet<sup>R</sup> is one of its most outstanding features, a few atypical tetracycline-susceptible LA-MRSA strains have been detected [6], and this phenotype is commonly used for its detection [7]. LA-MRSA CC398 is related to pig farming and contact with these animals seems to be the driving force for human transmission. However, more and more often the frequent appearance of LA-MRSA cases in people without livestock contact is being reported [7].

Other LA-MRSA genetic lineages have been also related to animals and they are gaining relevance in the public health landscape [8–11]. While some of them have been also associated with pig farming (CC1, CC9 or CC97), others have been found in cattle (CC130, CC425), horses (CC8) and/or poultry (CC5) [12]. Nevertheless, frequently many of these clonal lineages have been detected in different animal hosts [12] and transmission among different livestock animals has been suggested [13].

In a previous study, a collection of 232 Tet<sup>R</sup>-MRSA isolates was obtained during a six-month period from 20 Spanish hospitals located in regions with different pig farming densities, in order to seek for potential CC398 isolates. Almost 60% of the Tet<sup>R</sup>-MRSA isolates corresponded to the lineage CC398 (n = 137 isolates), and a significant correlation was evidenced between the rate of MRSA CC398 detected in a hospital and the pig farming density in the adjoining region [14]. The non-CC398 isolates of this collection (n = 95) were not further characterised, and the unique data known were that 46% of them corresponded to the clonal complex CC1 [14]. The Sequence Type (ST) 1 belonging to CC1 lineage, producer of the Panton–Valentine leucocidin (PVL), is well recognised as a CA-MRSA in human infections, also known as USA400, and common in the USA [15]. In Europe, some cases of this PVL-positive clone have been reported, for instance in Italy [16] or Denmark [17]. Nevertheless, a different CA-MRSA ST1 subpopulation (presenting the *spa*-type t127) has been described in the United Kingdom, highlighting its lack of PVL production [18,19]. The *spa*-type t127 has been associated with LA-MRSA isolates and, when recovered from pigs, the isolates are generally IEC negative [20]. However, some studies have reported the presence of MRSA *spa*-type t127 in isolates of farmed animal origin (especially of bovine) with similar characteristics to the human clade, such as the presence of the genes *sen*, *sak*, *sea* or *sat* [20,21]. Several reports have highlighted the presence of MRSA-CC1-t127 isolates colonising pigs and also colonising or causing infections in people in contact with these farm animals [9,11,22].

Considering the results obtained in the previous study, in which Tet<sup>R</sup> was evidenced as a good phenotypic marker for LA-MRSA detection of the lineage CC398, the possibility of finding other LA-MRSA clonal lineages was analysed. The aim of the present
study was to carry out the complete characterisation of the referred collection of the 95 non-CC398 TetR-MRSA isolates by analysing their antimicrobial resistance and virulence phenotypes and genotypes, as well as the presence of the IEC system and their corresponding clonal lineages.

2. Results

2.1. Molecular Characterisation of MRSA Isolates

Thirty-two different spa-types were identified among the 95 TetR-MRSA isolates analysed (Tables 1 and 2), with 40% of them belonging to spa-type t127, followed by t1081 (10.5%), t148 (6.3%), t002 (5.3%), and others with only one to three isolates per spa type (37.9%). Three new spa-types were detected in this study: t17234, t1723, and t17236. The spa types were ascribed to nine different clonal complexes (CC1, CC5, CC7, CC8, CC30, CC45, CC80, CC121), and to two sequence types (ST2625 and a ST registered as ST5427, a single-locus variant of ST2050 with a different aroE allele) (Tables 1 and 2). The most prevalent CC was CC1 (46%), including the following spa-types (number of isolates): t127 (38), t1381 (2), t174 (1), t693 (1), t1784 (1), and t2207 (1) (Table 3). The CC1 was present in 14 out of the 17 participant hospitals (Table 1). The SCCmec type was analysed in all 38 CC1-t127 isolates and all of them belonged to type IVa.

2.2. Sample Origin

According to the origin of MRSA isolates, 80% were obtained from clinical samples and the remaining 20% from epidemiological surveillance (ES) samples. Within clinical isolates, 64.5% were obtained from samples of skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI), 18.4% from respiratory tract infections (RTI), 5.3% from surgical site infections (SSI), 6.5% from urinary tract infections (UTI) and 5.3% from blood. Table 2 shows the distribution of the different sample origins according to the spa-types detected in the study.

2.3. Presence of the IEC and PVL Toxin

The IEC system (scn-positive) was present in 69/95 (73%) MRSA isolates analysed (Tables 1 and 2). The CC1, CC5, CC8 and CC30 lineages contained both IEC-positive and IEC-negative isolates. However, all CC45, CC80, CC88 and CC121 lineages included only IEC-positive isolates (Figure 1A). Considering only the 38 isolates with the prevalent CC1-t127 lineage, 39% were scn-negative and, of them, 60% were recovered from SSTI (compared to scn-positive isolates where 34% were IPPB) (Table 3). There was a statistically significant difference ($p = 0.022$) between the proportion of scn-negative isolates considering CC1 isolates and the rest of isolates included in other CCs (Figure 2A).

The PVL virulence factor was detected in 15 MRSA isolates (16%) (Table 2 and Figure 1B), with 40% of PVL-producer isolates recovered from SSTI, 27% from RTI and 13% from ES samples, among others (Table 2). Only two CC1 isolates (spa-types t127 and t1784) were PVL-positive (Table 3). Moreover, three PVL-positive isolates were negative for the IEC, these isolates belonging to CC8-t148, CC30-t665 and t4725 (Figure 1B).

2.4. Multidrug Resistance (MDR) Phenotypes

The collection of MRSA isolates analysed was resistant to different groups of antimicrobial agents (Figure 3A). A MDR phenotype was present in 86% (82/95) of studied MRSA isolates, with 24% of them resistant to three different families, 38% resistant to four, 18% resistant to five, 16% resistant to six and 4% resistant to seven or more different antimicrobial families. Among non-multidrug resistant isolates, 13 isolates were resistant to β-lactams and tetracycline and 69% of them belonged to lineage CC1 (Table 3).
### Table 1. Distribution of non-CC398 TetR-MRSA isolates among hospitals and genetic lineages detected.

| Hospital | Number of Isolates | Rates (%) | Density (per km²) | SCN | Clonal Complex (Number of CC398 Isolates) |
|----------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----|----------------------------------------|
|          | MRSA               | TetR-MRSA |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC398              | Non-CC398 |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC1                | CC1       |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC8                | CC8       |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC88               | CC88      |                  |     |                                        |
|          | ST2625             | ST2625    |                  |     |                                        |
|          | unknown            | unknown   |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC1 (6)            | CC1 (1)   |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC45 (1)           | CC1 (1),  |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC45 (8)           | CC45 (1), |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC8 (2)            | CC8 (1),  |                  |     |                                        |
|          | CC88 (1)           | CC1 (1),  |                  |     |                                        |
|          | ST5427 (1)         | CC1 (2),  |                  |     |                                        |
|          | unknown (2)        | CC1 (2),  |                  |     |                                        |
| H1       | 87                 | 33        | 27               | 6   | 247.46 717.36 6 0 CC1 (6)               |
| H2       | 135                | 20        | 19               | 1   | 217.68 14.05 1 0 CC1 (1)                |
| H3       | 328                | 24        | 15               | 9   | 217.68 14.05 8 1 CC1 (1), CC5 (1), CC8 (2), CC88 (1) |
| H4       | 251                | 34        | 18               | 16  | 142.66 55.20 8 8 CC1 (12), CC5 (1), CC8 (1), CC45 (1), ST5427 (1), unknown (2) |
| H5       | 175                | 20        | 9                | 11  | 142.66 55.20 10 1 CC1 (6)               |
| H6       | 76                 | 9         | 7                | 2   | 142.66 55.20 1 1 CC1 (2)                |
| H7       | 42                 | 6         | 3                | 3   | 142.66 55.20 1 2 CC1 (2), CC80 (1)      |
| H8       | 36                 | 4         | 4                | 0   | 69.97 9.15 - -                          |
| H9       | 36                 | 2         | 2                | 0   | 50.89 61.90 - -                         |
| H10      | 206                | 14        | 7                | 7   | 50.89 61.90 5 2 CC1 (1), CC80 (1)       |
| H11      | 84                 | 7         | 3                | 4   | 42.10 138.18 3 1 CC1 (2), CC80 (1), CC121 (1), unknown (1) |
| H12      | 220                | 6         | 3                | 1   | 27.66 25.54 3 0 CC1 (1), CC8 (1), unknown (1) |
| H13      | 42                 | 2         | 2                | 0   | 27.66 25.54 0 2 CC1 (1), CC8 (1)        |
| H14      | 112                | 6         | 4                | 2   | 18.31 62.51 0 2 CC1 (1), CC8 (1)        |
| H15      | 334                | 5         | 5                | 0   | 107.53 - - - -                         |
| H16      | 130                | 7         | 3                | 4   | 30.56 360.18 3 1 CC1 (1), CC80 (1)      |
| H17      | 315                | 12        | 0                | 12  | 20.85 810.66 12 0 CC1 (1), CC80 (1), CC121 (1), unknown (1) |
| H18      | 277                | 7         | 3                | 4   | 20.19 517.95 0 4 CC1 (1), CC80 (1), CC121 (1), unknown (1) |
| H19      | 371                | 13        | 5                | 8   | 0.04 109.06 7 1 CC1 (1), CC80 (1), CC121 (1), unknown (1) |
| H20      | 126                | 1         | 0                | 1   | 0.04 109.06 1 0 CC1 (1), CC80 (1), CC121 (1), unknown (1) |
| Total    | 3383               | 232       | 137 b            | 95  | 95.45 6.8 40.9 13.4 47.4 - - - - 69 26 - |

**Footnotes:**

- **a** Numeric code used for the analysed hospitals (H). H1: H. Universitari de Vic; H2: H. de Barbastro; H3: H. San Jorge; H4: H. Universitario Miguel Servet; H5: H. Universitario Lozanno Blesa; H6: H. Royo Villanova; H7: H. Ernest Lluch Martín; H8: H. de Alcalà; H9: Clínica Universitaria de Navarra; H10: Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra; H11: H. Virgen Macarena; H12: H. Universitario de Burgos; H13: H. Santiago Apostal; H14: H. San Pedro; H15: H. Universitario de Alava; H16: H. Universitario de Donostia; H17: H. Universitario Gregorio Marañón; H18: H. de Galdakao; H19: H. Marqués de Valdecilla; H20: H. Sierraestra. **b** These strains were previously characterised [14]. **c** SCN: presence or absence of the scn gene as marker of the immune evasion cluster (IEC).
Table 2. Molecular characterisation of the non-C398 Tet<sup>R</sup>-MRSA collection (n = 95) attending to their spa-types and sample origin.

| Clonal Complex (CC) (%) | Spa-Type | No of Isolates | Sample Origin (No of Isolates) | scn<sup>+</sup><sup>g</sup> | PVL<sup>+</sup><sup>h</sup> |
|------------------------|----------|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CC1 (46%)              | t127     | 38             | SSTI<sup>b</sup> (17), ES<sup>c</sup> (11), RTI<sup>d</sup> (5), blood (3), UTI<sup>e</sup> (1), SSI<sup>f</sup> (1) | 23              | 1               |
|                        | t174, t693 | 2             | SSTI<sup>b</sup> (2) | 2              | 0               |
|                        | t1381    | 2             | ES (1), RTI (1) | 1              | 0               |
|                        | t1784    | 1             | Blood             | 1              | 1               |
|                        | t2207    | 1             | ES                | 0              | 0               |
| CC5 (11%)              | t002     | 5             | SSTI (2), ES (2), UTI (1) | 4              | 0               |
|                        | t067     | 3             | SSTI (2), UTI (1) | 1              | 0               |
|                        | t688     | 1             | RTI               | 1              | 0               |
|                        | t1594    | 1             | ES                | 1              | 0               |
| CC7 (1%)               | t091     | 1             | SSTI               | 1              | 0               |
| CC8 (11%)              | t008     | 1             | SSTI               | 1              | 1               |
|                        | t064, t1476 | 2         | RTI               | 2              | 0               |
|                        | t148     | 6             | SSTI (5), ES (1) | 3              | 2               |
|                        | t2849    | 1             | RTI               | 0              | 0               |
| CC30 (2%)              | t665     | 2             | SSTI (1), SSI (1) | 1              | 2               |
| CC45 (11%)             | t1081    | 10            | SSTI (6), ES (2), SSI (1), RTI (1) | 10             | 0               |
| CC80 (2%)              | t044     | 1             | SSTI               | 1              | 1               |
|                        | t088     | 1             | SSTI               | 1              | 0               |
| CC88 (3%)              | t690     | 2             | RTI               | 2              | 2               |
|                        | t4103    | 1             | UTI               | 1              | 1               |
| CC121 (1%)             | t17234<sup>a</sup> | 1   | SSTI               | 1              | 1               |
| ST2625 (2%)            | t17235<sup>a</sup> | 2   | SSTI               | 2              | 0               |
| ST5427<sup>a</sup> (1%) | t17236<sup>a</sup> | 1   | SSTI               | 1              | 0               |
| Unknown (11%)          | t437     | 1             | RTI               | 1              | 1               |
|                        | t992     | 1             | SSI               | 1              | 0               |
|                        | t1354    | 1             | SSTI               | 1              | 1               |
|                        | t1954, t3324 | 2         | SSTI               | 2              | 0               |
|                        | t4725    | 2             | RTI               | 0              | 1               |
|                        | t10419   | 3             | SSTI               | 3              | 0               |

<sup>a</sup> New spa-type or sequence type; <sup>b</sup> SSTI: skin and soft tissue infections; <sup>c</sup> ES: epidemiological surveillance; <sup>d</sup> RTI: respiratory tract infections; <sup>e</sup> UTI: urinary tract infections; <sup>f</sup> SSI: surgical site infection; <sup>g</sup> scn<sup>+</sup>: presence of the scn gene as marker of the immune evasion cluster (IEC); <sup>h</sup> PVL<sup>+</sup>: presence of the genes encoding Panton–Valentine leukocidin.
| spa-Type (No of Isolates) | Antimicrobial Resistance (Non Beta-Lactams) | No of blaZ Positive Isolates | No of scn Negative Isolates | No of PVL Positive Isolates | Origin (No of Isolates) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| **t127 (38)**            |                                             |                             |                            |                             |                         |
|                          | TET (5)                                     | 4                           | 1                          | 0                           | ES (5)                  |
|                          | ERY-TET (6)                                 | 6                           | 1                          | 0                           | RTI (1), SSTI (5)       |
|                          | CLI-ERY-TET (17)                            | 11                          | 8                          | 1                           | ES (3), RTI (3), SSTI (7), SSI (1), blood (3) |
|                          | CIP-TET (1)                                 | 1                           | 0                          | 0                           | ES (1)                  |
|                          | ERY-CIP-TET (1)                             | 1                           | 1                          | 0                           | RTI (1)                 |
|                          | CLI-ERY-CIP-TET (5)                         | 4                           | 2                          | 0                           | ES (1), SSTI (3), UTI (1) |
|                          | TOB-CLI-ERY-TET (1)                         | 1                           | 2                          | 0                           | SSTI (1)                |
|                          | TOB-CLI-ERY-MUP-TET (2)                     | 1                           | 2                          | 0                           | ES (1), SSTI (1)        |
| **t1381 (2)**            | TET (1)                                     | 0                           | 1                          | 0                           | RTI (1)                 |
|                          | CLI-ERY-CIP-TET (1)                         | 1                           | 0                          | 0                           | RTI (1)                 |
| **t174 (1)**             | CIP-TET (1)                                 | 1                           | 0                          | 0                           | SSTI (1)                |
| **t693 (1)**             | TET (1)                                     | 0                           | 0                          | 0                           |                         |
| **t1784 (1)**            | ERY-TOB-TET (1)                             | 1                           | 0                          | 1                           | Blood (1)               |
| **t2207 (1)**            | CLI-ERY-MUP-CIP-TET (1)                     | 1                           | 1                          | 0                           | RTI (1)                 |

**Antimicrobial Resistance (Non Beta-Lactams)**

- TET: tetracycline; ERY: erythromycin; CLI: clindamycin; CIP: ciprofloxacin; MUP: mupirocin.
- A number in superscript reflects the number of isolates of the group that has the referred characteristic.
- **Origin (No of Isolates)**: ES: epidemiological surveillance; RTI: respiratory tract infections; UTI: urinary tract infections; SSI: surgical site infection.

*Table 3. Characterisation of tetracycline-resistant CC1 MRSA isolates (n = 44).*
Figure 1. Presence of immune evasion cluster (IEC) among TetR-MRSA non-CC398 isolates: (A) Presence or absence of IEC according to the clonal complexes (CCs) of the isolates; (B) Presence or absence of the IEC in PVL-positive isolates according to their clonal complexes (CCs).

Figure 2. Statistically significant differences found in the characterisation of our TetR-MRSA non-CC398 isolates. (A) Absence of *scn* in CC1 and non-CC1 isolates; (B) MDR detection in clinical and epidemiological surveillance samples; (C) Detection of the *ermB* gene in erythromycin-clindamycin constitutive resistant (ERYR-cCLIβ) and erythromycin-clindamycin (ERYR-iCLIβ) inducible resistant isolates. * p ≤ 0.05.
Figure 3. Antimicrobial resistance phenotype and genotype detected among Tet$^R$-MRSA non-CC398 isolates. (A) Antimicrobial resistance phenotype (ERY, erythromycin; CLI, clindamycin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; FUS, fusidic acid; MUP, mupirocin); (B) Antimicrobial resistance genotype.

There were statistically significant differences ($p = 0.011$) between clinical and ES MRSA isolates in terms of the MDR phenotype rates (Figure 2B). On the other hand, the differences when comparing the MDR phenotypes between the CC1 isolates and the remaining isolates were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$).

None of the 95 analysed MRSA isolates was resistant to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, vancomycin, teicoplanin, linezolid, daptomycin or chloramphenicol.

2.5. Antimicrobial Resistance Phenotypes and Genotypes

As known previously, all our MRSA isolates were mecA carriers, conferring methicillin resistance [14]. In addition, 84% of isolates (n = 80) harboured the blaZ gene, encoding a penicillinase (Figure 3B); the absence of the blaZ gene was more frequent among MRSA scn-negative isolates (11/26, 42.3%) than among scn-positive isolates (4/69, 5.8%). Moreover, 52.9% (9/17) of MRSA-CC1-scn-negative isolates were blaZ-negative, and only 7.4% (2/27) of CC1-scn-positive isolates were blaZ-negative (Figure 4).

All of the MRSA isolates were Tet$^R$, as it was a criterion for the isolates’ selection, and 95% of them carried the tetK gene (Figure 3B), usually alone (82/95) or in combination with tetL (4/95), tetM (3/95) or both genes (1/95). The remaining 5% Tet$^R$-MRSA isolates presented the tetM gene alone (one isolate t2849 and one t1954) or tetL alone (one t008 isolate); or both genes (two t17235 isolates).

Regarding macrolides and lincosamides, 72% of MRSA isolates showed resistance (Figure 3A), with three different phenotypes detected: (a) 19 MRSA isolates (20%) showed resistance to erythromycin but not to clindamycin (ERY$^R$-CLI$^S$); (b) 16 isolates (17%) exhibited the constitutive erythromycin-clindamycin resistance (ERY$^R$-cCLI$^R$) phenotype; and (c) 33 isolates (35%) presented the inducible erythromycin-clindamycin resistance (ERY$^R$-iCLI$^R$) phenotype. The phenotype ERY$^S$-CLI$^R$, very frequent among MRSA-CC398 isolates [14], was not detected among the isolates of this study. The resistance genes related to macrolide-lincosamide resistance detected in this study are shown in Table 4.
Figure 4. Presence or absence of the resistance blaZ gene in CC1 and non-CC1 tetracycline-resistant MRSA isolates according to the presence or absence of the IEC (scn gene).

Table 4. Macrolide-lincosamide resistance phenotypes among TetR-MRSA isolates and resistance genes detected a,b.

| Phenotype       | ermA | ermB | ermC | ermT | msrA | linA | linB | lsaB | vgaA | No of Isolates |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|
| ERY\(^R\)-CLI\(^S\) | −    | −    | −    | −    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 19            |
|                 | −    | −    | +    | −    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 5             |
|                 | −    | +    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 3             |
| ERY\(^R\)-cCLI\(^R\) | −    | +    | −    | −    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 2             |
|                 | −    | +    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 1             |
|                 | −    | +    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 1             |
|                 | −    | −    | +    | −    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 1             |
| ERY\(^R\)-iCLI\(^R\) | −    | −    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 25            |
|                 | −    | −    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 4             |
|                 | −    | +    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 1             |
|                 | −    | +    | +    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | −    | 1             |

a Genes tested: ermA, ermB, ermC, ermT, msrA, linA, linB, lsaB and vgaA. b Symbols: +: positive result; −: negative result.

Isolates with ERY\(^R\)-iCLI\(^R\) phenotype carried more frequently the ermC gene (91%) compared with ERY\(^R\)-cCLI\(^R\) isolates (75%), but no statistically significant differences between both groups were found (p > 0.05). On the other hand, ERY\(^R\)-cCLI\(^R\) isolates presented a higher rate of ermB gene (44%) in comparison with ERY\(^R\)-iCLI\(^R\) group (6%), and, in this case, the statistical analysis showed a significant difference (p < 0.05) (Figure 2C). The ermT and linB/vgaA genes were not detected among our ERY\(^R\) and CLI\(^R\) isolates, respectively.

Considering the aminoglycosides, 32% of isolates showed resistance to this group. More specifically, 14% of analysed isolates showed resistance to tobramycin alone (GEN\(^S\)-TOB\(^R\)) and 18% of isolates did it in combination with gentamicin (GEN\(^R\)-TOB\(^R\)) (Figure 3A). The resistance gene found associated with the GEN\(^S\)-TOB\(^R\) phenotype was in all cases ant(4′)-Ia, and for GEN\(^R\)-TOB\(^R\) phenotype the aac(6′)-le-aph(2′)-la gene (Figure 3B). The analysed non-CC398 MRSA isolates also presented resistance to ciprofloxacin in 35% of cases (33/95) (Figure 3A). In addition, three isolates presented the fusB gene
for fusidic acid resistance, although ten isolates were resistant to this antimicrobial agent (11%) (Figure 3A,B). For mupirocin, 6% (6/95) of analysed isolates showed a resistance phenotype, and, of them, three isolates harbour the mupA gene (Figure 3A,B).

2.6. Analysis of a Possible Relationship between MRSA CC1 and Pig Farming Density of Adjoining Region

Spearman correlations between pig densities (estimated in pigs/km$^2$ per each region in which hospitals are located) and different proportions of MRSA CC1 or MRSA t127 or scn-negative MRSA (respect to total number of MRSA, S. aureus, Tet$^R$-MRSA or MRSA non-CC398) were analysed. For each pair of variables studied, a non-statistically significant association was detected ($p > 0.05$). Considering the population densities of each region (inhabitants/km$^2$) and the proportions of MRSA CC1 analysed before, the results were similar, with no statistically significant association ($p > 0.05$).

3. Discussion

Tetracycline has been widely used for preventing and treating farm-animal infections, contributing with its use, and potential abuse, to the increase of antimicrobial resistance worldwide. Tet$^R$ was evidenced as a good phenotypic marker for LA-MRSA detection in previous studies [7,14] In the present study, the Tet$^R$-MRSA non-CC398 isolates obtained using this phenotypic marker were exhaustively characterised. The most prevalent clonal complex, among Tet$^R$-MRSA non-CC398, was CC1 (46%) and the spa-type most frequently found was t127 (38 isolates). Thus, CC1-t127 isolates were identified in 14 out of the 17 participant hospitals. Considering the total of Tet$^R$-MRSA isolates (including CC398, characterised in a previous study), CC1 was found in 19% of them [14]. CC1 isolates were identified among Tet$^R$ MRSA in other hospitals in the same range as those in our study (12–19% of Tet$^R$-MRSA) [7,23].

Some clones related to MRSA CC1, such as the well-known PVL producer USA400, have been broadly described [24]. Among our CC1 isolates, only one CC1-t127 was PVL-positive. Several PVL-negative CC1 clones have also been identified; the Western Australia (WA) MRSA-1 clone was first discovered in Australia in the 1980s, and, since then, these isolates have been detected in several European countries [24]. This PVL-negative clone, like USA400, is not usually associated with multidrug resistance profiles [25]; however, it typically carries blaZ and ermC antimicrobial resistance genes, and other virulence genes, including scn [26]. More recently, another PVL-negative MRSA-CC1 clone (different from the WA-MRSA-1) was identified in humans in Ireland with an alarming MDR profile and mupirocin resistance as a common feature [27]; this clone has been referred to as the European CC1-MRSA-IV clone [28]. These three MRSA CC1 clones share the feature that all of them present with SCCmec type IV, despite the fact that other MRSA CC1 clones can present SCCmec type V [24], mostly among swine-related isolates [20].

In our study, we found 11 isolates (10 characterised as t127 and one as t1381) that could match the description of the WA-MRSA-1 clone (SCCmec IV, PVL-negative, ST1, blaZ-positive, ermC-positive and scn-positive), and one additional t127 isolate with the same features but a PVL producer. These potential WA-MRSA-1 isolates were mupirocin-susceptible and showed resistance to fewer families of antimicrobial agents in relation to the other three isolates (two t127 and one t2207) with features of the MDR-MRSA-CC1 clone (SCCmec IV, PVL-negative, and resistance to six–seven different groups of antimicrobial agents, including mupirocin).

Other CCs detected among our Tet$^R$-MRSA non-CC398 isolates were as follows: CC5 (11%), CC8 (11%), CC45 (11%), CC88 (3%), CC80 (2%), CC7 (1%) and CC121 (1%). Analysing the use of tetracycline resistance to detect LA-MRSA isolates, in this study we identified clonal lineages previously related to both animals and humans, such as CC1, CC5, CC8 or CC30 [9]. However, other clones that are clearly associated with human infections (as CC45-t1081) [29,30] were also found. In this regard, it was important to analyse the presence of the IEC, since its absence has been associated with an animal origin [7,20].
IEC-negative isolates belonging to CC1, CC5, CC8 and CC30 were identified. These clonal lineages have been found in isolates from very diverse livestock animals (pigs, cattle, horses or poultry) [9,12].

Even though no correlation between pig density and the cases of MRSA CC1 or MRSA t127 or scn-negative MRSA in hospitals was found in our study ($p > 0.05$), we cannot discard that this result could be biased by the small number of samples collected. Further studies should be performed, and tetracycline-susceptible isolates should be considered as well.

On the other hand, CC45-t1081 (the second spa-type most prevalent in our study) corresponds with the clone known as the Berlin clone [29–32]. This clone is usually associated with a health care and nosocomial transmission (especially linked to nursing homes) [30,31]. Remarkably, tetracycline resistance was identified in more than 20% of isolates belonging to the Berlin clone in one study carried out in several European countries [33] and in most MRSA CC45 isolates (71%) obtained in one study in Poland [34]. In these studies, this phenotype was remarked for the microorganism’s capacity to acquire multiple antimicrobial resistance determinants. This clone was also worryingly associated with toxigenic isolates [34].

Moreover, two of our t17235 isolates (new spa-type detected in this study) corresponded to ST2625. This ST was responsible for an outbreak in a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit in Italy in 2016 [35], and our t17235 isolates presented exactly the same resistance profile as those detected in the Italian hospital: tetM, tetL, mecA, blaZ and aac(6’)-le-aph(2”)-Ia genes. Another clonal lineage detected was CC121 in a PVL-producer isolate with a new spa-type (t17234). This CC is becoming an emergent and hypervirulent clone, with more than 90% of CC121 (ST121) isolates carrying the PVL virulence factor [36,37].

A high proportion of MDR phenotypes between the TetR-MRSA non-CC398 isolates studied were detected (86%). Isolates belonging to CC1 did not show a significant increase or decrease in antimicrobial resistance compared to the remaining CCs ($p > 0.05$). Specifically, the rates of resistance genes found in CC1 isolates were: 100% mecA, 100% tetK, 73% blaZ, 56% ermC, 29% msrA, 11% ant(4’)-Ia, 4% lsaB, 2% tetL, 2% mupA, and no isolates with tetM, ermA, ermT, linA, linB, vgaA, aac(6’)-le-aph(2”)-Ia or fusB genes. Interestingly, more than half of the MRSA-CC1-scnnegative isolates lacked the blaZ gene (52.9%), being a lower rate among MRSA-CC1-scn-positive isolates (7.4%) or among the MRSA-scn-positive of other non-CC1 lineages (4.8%). These data suggest that the MRSA strains with the scn gene (human adaptation marker) carry the blaZ gene in most cases, that is frequently absent among the MRSA isolates scn-negative (of potential animal origin). In this respect, a high rate of penicillin susceptibility (probably lacking blaZ) has been previously detected among animal S. aureus isolates [38,39]; moreover, scn-negative was a frequent characteristic of clinical methicillin-susceptible S. aureus blaZ-negative isolates in a multicentre Spanish study [40]. This potential link of scn-negative and blaZ-negative characteristics should be evaluated in the future in larger S. aureus collections, including MRSA/MSSA as well as scn-positive/negative isolates.

The important virulence factor PVL was found in 16% of isolates, and they belonged to very different clonal lineages (CC1, CC8, CC30, CC80, CC88, and CC121). Interestingly, PVL was identified in three IEC-negative isolates. This virulence gene is not frequently found in LA-MRSA isolates, but unfortunately its presence in animal isolates cannot be discounted [9].

Regarding the origin of MRSA-TetR non-CC398 isolates obtained in this study, it is important to remark that few of them were recovered from invasive infections or from urinary or surgical infections (4.2% each); as a matter of fact, most of them were from SSTI, respiratory tract infections or epidemiological samples (87.5%); this fact suggests that the dissemination routes for these tetracycline-resistant clonal lineages could be respiratory (inhalation) or contact transmission, as has also been indicated for MRSA-CC398 isolates [14]. These routes of transmission facilitate the colonisation of carriers that eventually could develop into cutaneous or respiratory infections.
4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Selection of Isolates

A collection of 95 TetR-MRSA non-CC398 isolates obtained in a previous study [14] was analysed in the present work. Isolates were recovered from clinical and epidemiological samples during a six-month period (2016) in a multicentre study of 20 participant Spanish hospitals (full names of hospitals in Table 1). In particular, 17 out of the 20 hospitals contributed with TetR-MRSA non-CC398 isolates.

4.2. Molecular Typing

The molecular characterisation of spa-types (S. aureus protein A) was performed by PCR and sequencing for all 95 TetR-MRSA non-CC398 isolates, considering that 38 of them were typed in the previous study as t127-CC1 [18]. The spa gene sequences were analysed with Ridom® StaphType software (version 2.2.1). The sequence types (STs) of selected isolates and those with a new spa-type were determined by multilocus sequence typing (MLST) as described in https://pubmlst.org/organisms/staphylococcus-aureus (accessed on 1 April 2021). For the remaining isolates, the clonal complex (CC) was assumed according to their spa-types. Determination of the SCCmec type was performed by PCR for isolates belonging to lineage CC1-t127 [41].

4.3. Detection of the Immune Evasion Cluster System (IEC) and the Panton–Valentine Leucocidin (PVL) Genes

The presence of the IEC system was analysed through the detection of the scn gene, present in all types of IEC and used as a marker [42]. For the detection of lukF/lukS genes, which encode the PVL toxin, all isolates were subjected to PCR technique [43].

4.4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Susceptibility to 13 antimicrobial agents, in addition to β-lactams and tetracycline, was performed using automatic methods and/or disk diffusion tests for all isolates. The antimicrobials tested were as follows: penicillin, oxacillin/cefoxitin, tetracycline, erythromycin, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, vancomycin, teicoplanin, linezolid, daptoamycin, fusidic acid, mupirocin, gentamicin, tobramycin and chloramphenicol. Breakpoints used for interpretation were those according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute and/or the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, depending on hospitals. When a MRSA isolate was resistant to, at least, one agent of three or more different antimicrobial families, a multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotype was associated.

4.5. Detection of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

The presence of the methicillin-resistance gene mecA was previously detected and confirmed in all MRSA-TetR isolates [14]. In isolates with resistance phenotypes, the following antimicrobial resistance genes have been analysed in this study by PCR: tetM, tetK, and tetL (tetracycline); ermA, ermB, ermA, msrA, linA, linB, IsaB and vgaA (macrolides and/or lincosamides), ant(4′)-la and aac(6′)-le-aph(2″)-la (aminoglycosides), mupA (mupirocin) and fusB (fusidic acid) [7,41]. Positive and negative controls from the University of La Rioja were used in all PCRs.

4.6. Statistical Analysis

Comparison of rates of interest were completed by using Pearson’s Chi-square tests or Fisher’s exact tests when some sample sizes were small (below five isolates). For estimating the association between the TetR-MRSA-CC1, TetR-MRSA-t127 or TetR-MRSA-noCC398-scn-negative occurrence in hospitals and the pig density (pigs/km²) in adjoining regions, Spearman rank correlations were performed.

All statistical analyses were made with the RStudio program (version 1.1.453) ($p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant).
5. Conclusions
Tetracycline resistance is a good marker for the detection of MRSA CC398 isolates. Nevertheless, other clonal lineages related to livestock animals could also be detected using this marker; in this sense, *scn*-negative MRSA isolates belonging to CC1, CC5, CC8 and CC30 lineages, of potential animal origin, were frequently detected among non-CC398 Tet<sup>R</sup>-MRSA isolates (27.4%). The knowledge of other Tet<sup>R</sup>-MRSA genetic lineages is highly relevant, since most of them were MDR and some of them presented important virulence factors. Moreover, other human CC1 subpopulations (*scn*-positive) as well as the CC45 lineage (associated with facility centres/hospitals) were also detected among Tet<sup>R</sup>-MRSA isolates. The use of tetracycline resistance for detection, not only of CC398, but of other LA-MRSA lineages and other relevant human lineages should be tracked in the future.

**Author Contributions:** C.T., M.Z. and C.A. conceived the study; S.C. performed most of the experimental work; C.L., L.R.-R., P.E. and A.C.-B. contributed to the experimental work; E.C., C.A., A.I.-L., J.C. (Javier Castillo), J.M.-A.-G., J.C. (Jorge Calvo), C.M., M.N. and other members of the Study Group of Clinical LA-MRSA performed strain selection for this study and susceptibility testing of isolates; C.T., C.A., M.Z. and C.L. supervised the study; C.T., M.Z. and C.A. obtained financial support; S.C., C.L. and C.T. wrote the first version of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the project PID2019-106158RB-I00 of the MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 of Spain, and by the Sociedad de Enfermedades Infecciosas del Norte (SEINORTE).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** We acknowledge the contribution of other members of the Spanish Study group of clinical LA-MRSA: José Leiva (Clínica Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona); Carmen Ézpeleta (Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra, Pamplona); Cristina Seral (Hospital Clínico Universitario Lozano Blesa, Zaragoza); Jorge Arribas, Carmen Navarro (Hospital de Alcañiz, Alcañiz, Teruel); Ana Betrán, Concepción López (Hospital de Barbastro, Barbastro, Huesca); Pilar Berdonces (Hospital de Galdakao, Galdakao, Vizcaya); Inés de Benito, Ana Belén Campo (Hospital de Sierraallana, Torrelavega, Cantabria); Antonina Arias, Blanca Fortuño (Hospital Ernest Lluch Martin, Catayud, Zaragoza); Javier Pereira (Hospital Royo-Villanova, Zaragoza); Ana Milagro (Hospital San Jorge, Huesca); Carla Andrea Alonso (Hospital San Pedro, Logroño); Carmen Gimeno, Belén Ulibarri (Hospital Santiago Apóstol, Miranda de Ebro, Burgos); Anna Vilamala (Hospital Universitari de Vic, Vic, Barcelona); Andrés Canut, Mª Luz Cordón (Hospital Universitario de Álava, Vitoria); Leticia Alvarez, Gregoria Megías (Hospital Universitario de Burgos, Burgos); María Gomáriz (Hospital Universitario de Donostia, San Sebastián); Marina Fernández (Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander); Antonio Rezusta (Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet/IIS Aragón, Zaragoza), and Lorena López-Cerero (Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla). Part of this work was presented at the 28th ECCMID, Madrid, Spain (poster P1727) and at the 29th ECCMID, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (poster P2707).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**
1. Lakhundi, S.; Zhang, K. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Molecular characterization, evolution, and epidemiology. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2018, 31, e00020-18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Lozano, C.; Porres-Osante, N.; Benito, D.; Zarazaga, M.; Torres, C.; Rojo-Bezares, B.; Sáenz, Y.; Crettaz, J.; Olarte, I. Changes in genetic lineages, resistance, and virulence in clinical methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a Spanish hospital. *J. Infect. Chemother.* 2013, 19, 233–242. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Elstrem, P.; Grenvold, C.A.; Gabrielsen, C.; Stegger, M.; Angen, Ø.; Åmdal, S.; Enger, H.; Urdahl, A.M.; Jore, S.; Steinbakk, M.; et al. Livestock-associated MRSA CC1 in Norway: introduction to pig farms, zoonotic transmission, and eradication. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 10, 139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Chen, C.; Wu, F. Livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (LA-MRSA) colonisation and infection among livestock workers and veterinarians: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 2021, 78, 530–540. [CrossRef]
5. Larsen, J.; Clasen, J.; Hansen, J.E.; Paulander, W.; Petersen, A.; Larsen, A.R.; Frees, D. Copresence of tet (K) and tet (M) in livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Clonal Complex 398 is associated with increased fitness during exposure to sublethal concentrations of tetracycline. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2016, 60, 4401–4403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Stevens, M.J.A.; Stephan, R.; Johler, S. Draft genome sequence of *Staphylococcus aureus* S681, a tetracycline-sensitive livestock-associated methicillin-resistant Clonal Complex 398 Strain. *Genome Announce.* 2017, 5, e00805-17. [CrossRef]

7. Benito, D.; Lozano, C.; Rezusta, A.; Ferrer, I.; Vasquez, M.A.; Ceballos, S.; Zarazaga, M.; Revillo, M.; Torres, C. Characterization of tetracycline and methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains in a Spanish hospital: Is livestock-contact a risk factor in infections caused by MRSA CC398? *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 2014, 304, 1226–1232. [CrossRef]

8. Ruiz-Ripa, L.; Gómez, P.; Alonso, C.A.; Camacho, M.C.; De La Puente, J.; Fernández-Fernández, R.; Ramiro, Y.; Quevedo, M.A.; Blanco, J.M.; Zarazaga, M.; et al. Detection of MRSA of lineages CC130-mecC and CC398-mecA and *Staphylococcus delphini*-luv (A) in magpies and cinereous vultures in Spain. *Microb. Ecol.* 2019, 78, 409–415. [CrossRef]

9. Butaye, P.; Argudín, M.A.; Smith, T.C. Livestock-associated MRSA and its current evolution. *Curr. Clin. Microbiol.* Rep. 2016, 3, 19–31. [CrossRef]

10. Lozano, C.; Fernández-Fernández, R.; Ruiz-Ripa, L.; Gómez, P.; Zarazaga, M.; Torres, C. Human mecC-carrying MRSA: Clinical implications and risk factors. *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 1615. [CrossRef]

11. Aspiroz, C.; Lozano, C.; Vindel, A.; Lasarte, J.J.; Zarazaga, M.; Torres, C. Skin Lesion caused by ST398 and ST1 MRSA, Spain. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2010, 16, 157–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Fetsch, A.; Etter, D.; Johler, S. Livestock-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*—Current situation and impact from a One Health perspective. *Curr. Clin. Microbiol. Rep.* 2021, 8, 103–113. [CrossRef]

13. Hansen, J.E.; Ronco, T.; Stegger, M.; Sieber, R.N.; Fertner, M.E.; Martin, H.L.; Farre, M.; Toft, N.; Larsen, A.R.; Pedersen, K. LA-MRSA CC398 in dairy cattle and veal calf farms indicates spillover from pig production. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 10, 2733. [CrossRef]

14. Ceballos, S.; Aspiroz, C.; Ruiz-Ripa, L.; Reynaga, E.; Azcona-Gutiérrez, J.M.; Rezusta, A.; Seral, C.; Antoñanzas, F.; Torres, L.; López, A.R.; et al. Epidemiology of MRSA CC398 in hospitals located in Spanish regions with different pig-farming densities: A multicentre study. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2019, 74, 2157–2161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Lee, A.S.; De Lencastre, H.; Farre, M.; Toft, N.; Larsen, A.R.; Pedersen, K. Livestock-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* 2018, 4, 18033. [CrossRef]

16. Vignaroli, C.; Varaldo, P.E.; Camporese, A. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* USA400 clone, Italy. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2009, 15, 995–996. [CrossRef]

17. Petersen, A.; Stegger, M.; Heltberg, O.; Christensen, J.; Zeuthen, A.; Knudsen, L.; Urth, C.; Sorensen, M.; Schouls, L.; Larsen, J.; et al. Epidemiology of meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* carrying the novel mecC gene in Denmark corroborates a zootechnic reservoir with transmission to humans. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2013, 19, 16–22. [CrossRef]

18. Cooke, F.; Gkrania-Klotsas, E.; Howard, J.; Stone, M.; Kearns, A.; Ganner, M.; Carmichael, A.; Brown, N. Clinical, molecular and epidemiological description of a cluster of community-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from injecting drug users with bacteraemia. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2010, 16, 921–926. [CrossRef]

19. Otter, J.A.; Havill, N.L.; Boyce, J.M.; French, G.L. Comparison of community-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from teaching hospitals in London and the USA, 2004–2006: Where is USA300 in the UK? *J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2009, 28, 835–839. [CrossRef]

20. Alba, P.; Feltrin, F.; Cordaro, G.; Porrero, M.C.; Kraushaar, B.; Argudín, M.A.; Nykäsenoja, S.; Monaco, M.; Stegger, M.; Aarestrup, F.; et al. Livestock-associated methicillin resistant and methicillin susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* Sequence Type (ST) CC1 in European farmed animals: High genetic relatedness of isolates from Italian cattle herds and humans. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0137143. [CrossRef]

21. Franco, A.; Hasman, H.; Iurescia, M.; Lorenzetti, R.; Stegger, M.; Pantosti, A.; Feltrin, F.; Ianzano, A.; Porrero, M.C.; Liapi, M.; et al. Molecular characterization of spa type t127, sequence type 1 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from pigs. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2011, 66, 1231–1235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Normanno, G.; Dambrosio, A.; Lorusso, V.; Samoilis, G.; Di Taranto, P.; Parisi, A. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in slaughtered pigs and abattoir workers in Italy. *Food Microbiol.* 2015, 51, 51–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Lozano, C.; Rezusta, A.; Gómez, P.; Gómez-Sanz, E.; Báez, N.; Martín-Saco, G.; Zarazaga, M.; Torres, C. High prevalence of spa types associated with the clonal lineage CC398 among tetracycline-resistant meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in a Spanish hospital. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2012, 67, 330–334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Monecke, S.; Coombs, G.; Shore, A.C.; Coleman, D.C.; Akpaka, P.; Berg, M.; Chow, H.; Ip, M.; Jatzwauk, L.; Jonas, D.; et al. A field guide to pandemic, epidemic and sporadic clones of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e17936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Earls, M.R.; Shore, A.C.; Brennan, G.I.; Simbeck, A.; Schneider-Brachert, W.; Vremera, T.; Dormaneu, O.S.; Slickers, P.; Ehricht, R.; Monecke, S.; et al. A novel multidrug-resistant PVL-negative CC1-MRSA-IV clone emerging in Ireland and Germany likely originated in South-Eastern Europe. *Infect. Genet. Ecol.* 2019, 69, 117–126. [CrossRef]

26. Coombs, G.W.; Monecke, S.; Pearson, J.C.; Tan, H.L.; Chew, Y.K.; Wilson, L.; Ehricht, R.; O’Brien, F.G.; Christiansen, K.J. Evolution and diversity of community-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a geographical region. *BMC Microbiol.* 2011, 11, 215. [CrossRef]
27. Earls, M.R.; Kinneye, P.M.; Brennan, G.I.; Lazaris, A.; Skally, M.; O’Connell, B.; Humphreys, H.; Shore, A.C.; Coleman, D.C. The recent emergence in hospitals of multidrug-resistant community-associated sequence type 1 and spa type t127 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* investigated by whole-genome sequencing: Implications for screening. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0175542. [CrossRef]

28. Earls, M.R.; Steinig, E.J.; Monecke, S.; Castruita, J.A.S.; Simbeck, A.; Schneider-Bracht, W.; Vremerča, T.; Dorneanu, O.S.; Loncaric, I.; Bes, M.; et al. Exploring the evolution and epidemiology of European CC1-MRSA-IV: Tracking a multidrug-resistant community-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clone. *Microb. Genom.* 2021, 7, 000601. [CrossRef]

29. Gruteke, P.; Ho, P.L.; Haenen, A.; Lo, W.-U.; Lin, C.-H.; De Neeling, A.J. MRSA transmission dynamics among inter-connected acute, intermediate-term, and long-term healthcare facilities in the Netherlands and potential implications for MRSA transmission. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 2017, 145, 739–745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Tsao, F.-Y.; Kou, H.-W.; Huang, Y.-C. Dissemination of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* spa type t081, a highly transmissible isolate endemic to Hong Kong, China, in the Netherlands. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2015, 21, 1074–1076. [CrossRef]

31. Van Gaalen, R.D.; Hopman, H.A.; Haenen, A.; Van Den Dool, C. Staff exchange within and between nursing homes in The Netherlands and potential implications for MRSA transmission. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 2017, 145, 739–745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Chow, A.; Lim, V.W.; Khan, A.; Pettigrew, K.; Lye, D.C.B.; Kanagasabai, K.; Phua, K.; Krishnan, P.; Ang, B.; Marimuthu, K.; et al. MRSA Transmission Dynamics Among Interconnected Acute, Intermediate-Term, and Long-Term Healthcare Facilities in Singapore. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 64 (Suppl. S2), S56–S81. [CrossRef]

33. Cabrera, R.; Fernández-Barat, L.; Motos, A.; López-Aladid, R.; Vázquez, N.; Panigada, M.; Álvarez-Lerma, F.; López, Y.; Muñoz, L.; Castro, P.; et al. Molecular characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates from the endotracheal tubes of patients with nosocomial pneumonia. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 2020, 9, 43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. kwapisz, E.; Garbacz, K.; Kosecka-Strojek, M.; Schubert, J.; Bania, J.; Międzobrodzki, J. Presence of *Staphylococcus aureus* of the antibiotic resistance profiles in methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* pathotypes isolated on a commercial rabbit farm in Italy. *Antibiotics* 2020, 9, 673. [CrossRef]

35. Ugolotti, E.; Di Marco, E.; Bandettini, R.; Biassoni, R. Genomic characterization of a paediatric MRSA outbreak by next-generation sequencing. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 2018, 98, 155–160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Earls, M.R.; Kinnevey, P.M.; Brennan, G.I.; Lazaris, A.; Skally, M.; O’Connell, B.; Humphreys, H.; Shore, A.C.; Coleman, D.C. The recent emergence in hospitals of multidrug-resistant community-associated sequence type 1 and spa type t127 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* investigated by whole-genome sequencing: Implications for screening. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0175542. [CrossRef]

37. Chow, A.; Lim, V.W.; Khan, A.; Pettigrew, K.; Lye, D.C.B.; Kanagasabai, K.; Phua, K.; Krishnan, P.; Ang, B.; Marimuthu, K.; et al. MRSA Transmission Dynamics Among Interconnected Acute, Intermediate-Term, and Long-Term Healthcare Facilities in Singapore. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 64 (Suppl. S2), S56–S81. [CrossRef]

38. Gharsa, H.; Slama, K.B.; Lozano, C.; González-Sanz, E.; Klibi, N.; Ben Sallem, R.; Gómez-Sanz, E.; Klibi, N.; Ben Sallem, R.; Gómez, P.; Zarazaga, M.; Boudabous, A.; Torres, C. Prevalence, antibiotic resistance, virulence traits and genetic lineages of *Staphylococcus aureus* in healthy sheep in Tunisia. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2012, 156, 367–373. [CrossRef]

39. Attili, A.R.; Bellato, A.; Robin, P.; Galosi, L.; Papeschi, C.; Rossi, G.; Fileni, E.; Linardi, M.; Cuteri, V.; Chiesa, F.; et al. Analysis of the antibiotic resistance profiles in methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* pathotypes isolated on a commercial rabbit farm in Italy. *Antibiotics* 2020, 9, 673. [CrossRef]

40. Mama, O.M.; Aspiroz, C.; Lozano, C.; Ruiz-Ripa, L.; Azcona, J.M.; Seral, C.; Cercenado, E.; López-Cerero, L.; Palacian, P.; Belles-Belles, A.; et al. Penicillin susceptibility among invasive MSSA infections: A multicentre study in 16 Spanish hospitals. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2021, 76, 2519–2527. [CrossRef]

41. Gómez-Sanz, E.; Torres, C.; Lozano, C.; Fernández-Pérez, R.; Aspiroz, C.; Ruiz-Larrea, F.; Zarazaga, M.; Detection, molecular characterization, and clonal diversity of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* CC98 and CC97 in Spanish slaughter pigs of different age groups. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 2010, 7, 1269–1277. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Van Wamel, W.J.B.; Roosjakkers, S.H.M.; Ruyken, M.; van Kessel, K.P.M.; Van Strijp, J.A.G. The innate immune modulators staphylococcal complement inhibitor and chemotaxis inhibitory protein of *Staphylococcus aureus* are located on β-hemolysin-converting bacteriophages. *J. Bacteriol.* 2006, 188, 1310–1315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Lina, G.; Piémont, Y.; Godail-Gamot, F.; Bes, M.; Peter, M.-O.; Gauduchon, V.; Vandenesch, F.; Etienne, J. Involvement of Panton-Valentine leukocidin-producing *Staphylococcus aureus* in primary skin infections and pneumonia. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 1999, 29, 1128–1132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]