Triaxially deformed relativistic point-coupling model for $\Lambda$ hypernuclei: a quantitative analysis of hyperon impurity effect on nuclear collective properties
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Background The impurity effect of hyperon on atomic nuclei has received a renewed interest in nuclear physics since the first experimental observation of appreciable reduction of $E2$ transition strength in low-lying states of hypernucleus $\Lambda^7$Li. Many more data on low-lying states of $\Lambda$ hypernuclei will be measured soon for sd-shell nuclei, providing good opportunities to study the $\Lambda$ impurity effect on nuclear low-energy excitations.

Purpose We carry out a quantitative analysis of $\Lambda$ hyperon impurity effect on the low-lying states of sd-shell nuclei at the beyond-mean-field level based on a relativistic point-coupling energy density functional (EDF), considering that the $\Lambda$ hyperon is injected into the lowest positive-parity ($\Lambda_+$) and negative-parity ($\Lambda_-$) states.

Method We adopt a triaxially deformed relativistic mean-field (RMF) approach for hypernuclei and calculate the $\Lambda$ binding energies of hypernuclei as well as the potential energy surfaces (PESs) in ($\beta, \gamma$) deformation plane. We also calculate the PESs for the $\Lambda$ hypernuclei with good quantum numbers using a microscopic particle rotor model (PRM) with the same relativistic EDF. The triaxially deformed RMF approach is further applied in order to determine the parameters of a five-dimensional collective Hamiltonian (5DCH) for the collective excitations of triaxially deformed core nuclei. Taking $^{25,27}$Mg and $^{31}$Si as examples, we analyse the impurity effects of $\Lambda_+$ and $\Lambda_-$ on the low-lying states of the core nuclei.

Results We show that $\Lambda_+$ increases the excitation energy of the $2^+_1$ state and decreases the $E2$ transition strength from this state to the ground state by 12% – 17%. On the other hand, $\Lambda_-$ tends to develop pronounced energy minima with larger deformation, although it modifies the collective parameters in such a way that the collectivity of the core nucleus can be either increased or decreased.

Conclusions The quadrupole deformation significantly affects the $\Lambda$ binding energies of deformed hypernuclei. A beyond-mean-field approach with the dynamical correlations due to restoration of broken symmetries and shape fluctuation is essential in order to study the $\Lambda$ impurity effect in a quantitative way.

PACS numbers: 21.80.+a, 21.60.Jz, 21.60.Ev

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the first discovery of $\Lambda$ hypernuclei in 1953 [1, 2], hypernuclear physics as an important branch of nuclear physics has attracted lots of attention and many novel phenomena have been discovered in this field. Due to the absence of Pauli exclusion principle from other nucleons, a hyperon with strangeness degree-of-freedom can probe deeply into the interior of nuclear medium and significantly modify nuclear properties. For example, a hyperon may induce a softening of the equation of state of nuclear matter changing the properties of neutron stars [3], a shrinkage of the size of atomic nuclei with cluster structure [4–6], a stabilization of the binding of unbound nuclear systems [7] and thus the driplines of nucleons [8], a modification of nuclear deformation [9, 10] and collective excitations [11–14], and a reduction of fission-barrier height in heavy nuclei [15, 16]. Because hyperon-nucleon and hyperon-hyperon scattering experiments are difficult to perform, the study of properties of hypernuclei has in fact been playing a vital role in understanding baryon-baryon interactions in nuclear medium, which are important not only for understanding hypernuclear structure but also for the study of hypernuclear matter and neutron stars [17]. A comprehensive introduction to the history and/or recent developments on various aspects in hypernuclear physics can be found in the review papers [18–25].

Thanks to the advent of hyperball facility for measuring hypernuclear $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy with high resolution [6, 26], the study of $\Lambda$ hyperon impurity effect on nuclear deformation and low-energy structure has attracted a renewed interest. The self-consistent mean-field (SCMF) approaches make good tools for this study because they provide a vivid way to investigate how the ground-state deformation is affected by adding a $\Lambda$ particle. In the past decades, the SCMF approaches have been adopted extensively to study the structure of hypernuclei [8, 27–38]. However, most of these studies are restricted to spherical systems. In recent years, the SCMF approaches have been extended to deformed cases in order to examine the change of nuclear deformation after adding a hyperon, based either on Skyrme forces [39–41] or on effective relativistic meson-exchange Lagrangian [9, 10, 42, 43]. It has been found in these mean-field studies that the deformations of hypernuclei and the corresponding core nucleus are rather similar, but with some exceptions as predicted by the relativistic
mean-field (RMF) calculations [9, 10]. It implies that the hyperon impurity effect is generally larger in the calculation with relativistic approaches than that with non-relativistic approaches, as has been pointed out by Schulze et al. [44]. Therefore, one would encounter more opportunities to see drastic deformation changes from ordinary nuclei to hypernuclei in the studies based on relativistic energy density functionals (EDFs).

It should be pointed out that, in most of the previous SCMF studies allowing deformation, the Λ hyperon is put in the lowest positive-parity (Λs) state. The corresponding Λs-hypernuclei turn out to have a softer energy surface than their core nuclei. This indicates that the dynamical shape fluctuation effect will be more important in hypernuclei than in normal nuclei, and thus the mean-field approaches might overestimate/underestimate the Λ hyperon impurity on nuclear deformation and shapes. To quantify the Λ hyperon impurity effect, one therefore has to go beyond-mean-field (BMF) approximation to take into account the dynamical correlation effects associated with symmetry restoration and shape fluctuation. Notice that the deformed mean-field breaks rotational symmetry, and, if one works only at the mean-field level, the connection of the deformed solution to spectroscopic observables, such as $B(E2)$ value, has to rely on additional assumptions such as the rigid-rotor model, which becomes ill-defined in light and soft nuclei.

Recently, we have quantitatively studied the Λ impurity effect on the low-lying states of $^{24}$Mg by using a five-dimensional collective Hamiltonian (5DCH) as a choice of the BMF approaches [11]. The parameters of the 5DCH were determined by a triaxially deformed Skyrme-Hartree-Fock (SHF)+BCS calculation. We have found that the presence of one Λ hyperon in the lowest positive-parity state reduces the $B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ in $^{24}$Mg by 9% and shifts up the excitation energy of the second $2^+$ state by about 240 keV. Similar conclusions have also been found in the BMF study based on the antisymmetrized molecular dynamics (AMD) model [12, 45]. We note that these BMF studies are within non-relativistic frameworks. Moreover, the impurity effect of Λ hyperon in the lowest negative-parity (Λp) state has not been well examined in the 5DCH approach.

In view of the above facts, it is interesting to quantitatively study the Λ hyperon impurity effect based on a relativistic EDF at the BMF level by putting the Λ hyperon in the Λs and Λp states. To this end, as a continuation of our previous work [11], we adopt the same 5DCH approach for the low-lying states of core nuclei but with collective parameters determined from a triaxial RMF+BCS calculation. We generalize our triaxial RMF approach in a three-dimensional harmonic-oscillator (3DHO) basis for ordinary nuclei [46] to Λ hypernuclei by including Λ hyperons. This 5DCH method based on the triaxial RMF solutions can be regarded as the Gaussian overlap approximation to the generator coordinate method (GCM) [47, 48] with three-dimensional angular momentum projection (3DAMP) [49]. The success of the 5DCH method based on relativistic EDFs has been illustrated in a series of calculations for spherical, transitional, and deformed nuclei from light to superheavy regions [50–54]. In particular, the validity of the 5DCH approach for the low-lying states of $^{76}$Kr has recently been verified against a seven-dimensional GCM calculation [55].

It is worth mentioning that there are two other triaxially deformed RMF codes for Λ hypernuclei based on meson-exchange interaction. One was developed by B. N. Liu et al. with an axially deformed HO basis [10, 42], while the other one was developed by H. F. Liu et al. [56] based on the triaxial RMF code with the 3DHO basis for ordinary nuclei [57] with time-odd fields but without pairing correlation. Our triaxial code developed in the present work includes the paring correlation and is mainly based on but not restricted to the relativistic point-coupling EDFs, which have been widely adopted to study nuclear low-lying states within the framework of multi-reference covariant density functional theory (CDEF) [47, 55, 58, 59].

The paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II, we present the main formalism of the triaxial RMF approach for Λ hypernuclei. In Sec. III, we present the results for Λ binding energies obtained with the triaxial RMF code and compare to the results of the spherical code. In particular, we discuss the potential energy surfaces (PESs) for Λ hypernuclei $^{25,27}$ΛMg, $^{31}$ΛSi as well as the core nucleus of each of these hypernuclei in ($\beta, \gamma$) deformation plane. In Sec. IV, the microscopic particle-rotor model (PRM) for the PES of Λ hypernucleus $^{25}$ΛMg with spin-parity of $I^\pi = 1/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ are discussed in comparison with that of $^{24}$Mg with $I^\pi = 0^+$. In Sec. V, the 5DCH method is adopted to study a change in the low-lying states of the core nucleus by adding a Λ hyperon. The impurity effect of Λ hyperon is discussed both for Λs and Λp. A summary of the present study and an outlook are then given in Sec. VI.

II. TRIAXIALLY DEFORMED RELATIVISTIC MEAN-FIELD APPROACH FOR Λ HYPERNUCLEI

In the present triaxial RMF approach for Λ hypernuclei, the nucleon-nucleon (NN) and nucleon-hyperon (NA) effective interactions are described in terms of contact couplings with different vertices. The Lagrangian density for Λ hypernuclei then reads,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{free}} + \mathcal{L}^{\text{em}} + \mathcal{L}^{\text{NN}} + \mathcal{L}^{\text{NA}} + \mathcal{L}^{\text{AA}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where the first term $\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}$ is for the free nucleons and hyperon, and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{em}}$ for the Coulomb interaction between protons. The third term $\mathcal{L}^{\text{NN}}$ is for the $NN$ effective interaction part. The detailed expressions for these terms can be found for example in Refs. [60, 61]. Since we focus on single-Λ hypernuclei in this work, the $\mathcal{L}^{\text{AA}}$ term
for $\Lambda\Lambda$ interaction vanishes. The $NA$ interaction is chosen as in Ref. [62], that is,

\[ \mathcal{L}^{NA} = \mathcal{L}^{NA}_{4f} + \mathcal{L}^{NA}_{\text{der}} + \mathcal{L}^{NA}_{\text{ten}}, \]

with

\[ \mathcal{L}^{NA}_{4f} = -\delta_{S}^{(NA)}(\bar{\psi}^N\gamma^\mu\psi^N)(\bar{\psi}^A\gamma^\mu\psi^A), \]

\[ \mathcal{L}^{NA}_{\text{der}} = -\delta_{S}^{(NA)}(\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}^N\gamma^\mu\psi^N)(\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}^A\gamma^\mu\psi^A), \]

\[ \mathcal{L}^{NA}_{\text{ten}} = -\alpha_T^{(NA)}(\bar{\psi}^A\sigma^\mu\nu\psi^A)(\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}^N\gamma_\nu\psi^N). \]

We note that the vector-meson-like tensor coupling term, $\mathcal{L}^{NA}_{\text{ten}}$, is usually adopted to reproduce the smallness of spin-orbit splitting in $\Lambda$ single-particle spectra [33, 62–67], although it can also be explained in terms of an almost complete cancelation between short-range scalar and vector contributions and longer range terms generated by two-pion exchange [37]. The Lagrangian contains sixteen coupling constants $\alpha_S$, $\alpha_V$, $\alpha_{TS}$, $\alpha_{TV}$, $\alpha_{(NA)}^{(S)}$, $\alpha_{T}^{(NA)}$, $\alpha_{(NA)}$, $\beta_S$, $\gamma_S$, $\gamma_V$, $\delta_V$, $\delta_{TS}$, $\delta_{TV}$, $\delta_{S}^{(NA)}$ and $\delta_{V}^{(NA)}$, which are usually optimized at the mean-field level to properties of several atomic nuclei and hypernuclei.

From the Lagrangian density (1), one can derive the corresponding energy $E_{\text{RMF}}$ at the mean-field level with the no-sea approximation, which can be decomposed into two parts: the pure nucleon part and the $\Lambda$ hyperon part,

\[ E_{\text{RMF}} = E_{\text{RMF}}^{N} + E_{\text{RMF}}^{\Lambda}. \]

with

\[ E_{\text{RMF}}^{N} = T_N + \int d^3r \left[ \varepsilon_{NN}(r) + \frac{1}{2} A_0 \rho_N^{(p)} \right], \]

\[ E_{\text{RMF}}^{\Lambda} = T_\Lambda + \int d^3r \varepsilon_{NA}(r). \]

The first term in these equations, $T_B = \text{Tr}[(\alpha \cdot p + \gamma_0 m_B)\rho_B^{(p)}]$, is for the kinetic energy of nucleons ($B = N$) or hyperon ($B = \Lambda$), where $m_B$ is the corresponding mass. For the sake of simplicity, time-reversal invariance is usually imposed in the mean-field calculations for the $\Lambda$ hypernuclei, in which case, the $NN$ and $NA$ interaction terms are given by,

\[ \varepsilon_{NN} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=S,V,T,S,T,V} \left[ \alpha_m (\rho_m^N)^2 + \delta_m \rho_m \Delta \rho_m^N \right] + \frac{3}{4} \beta_S (\rho_S^N)^3 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_S (\rho_S^N)^4 + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_V (\rho_V^N)^4, \]

\[ \varepsilon_{NA} = \sum_{m=S,V} \left[ \alpha_{(NA)}^{(S)} \rho_m^N \rho_m^\Lambda + \delta_{(NA)} \rho_m^N \Delta \rho_m^\Lambda \right] + \alpha_T^{(NA)} \rho_V^\Lambda \rho_T^\Lambda. \]

respectively. In these equations, the densities $\rho_m^B$ and the tensor density $\rho_T^B$ are defined as

\[ \rho_m^B = \sum_k \bar{\psi}_k^B \gamma_m \psi_k^B, \quad \rho_T^B = \nabla \cdot (\bar{\psi}^A i \alpha \psi^A), \]

where the vertex $\Gamma_m$ is 1, $\gamma_0^0$, and $\gamma_0^0 \gamma_3$, with the index $m$ running over $S, V, TS$ and $TV$, which represents respectively the isoscalar-scalar, isoscalar-vector, isovector-scalar and isovector-vector types of coupling characterized by their transformation properties in isospin-Lorentz spaces. $\psi_k^B$ is the occupation probability of the $k$-th single-particle energy level of neutrons or protons to be determined by the BCS method. The $\alpha$ and $\gamma^\mu$ are the $4 \times 4$ Dirac matrices.

Minimization of the RMF energy (4) with respect to the single-particle wave function for nucleons or hyperon leads to the Dirac equation, which reads,

\[ [\alpha \cdot p + V_0^N + \gamma_0^0 (m_N + S_N)] \psi_N^B(r) = e_N^B \psi_N^B(r), \]

for nucleons, with the scalar field $S_N^B(r) = \Sigma_S^B(r) + \tau_3 \Sigma_{TV}^B(r)$ and vector field $V_0^N(r) = \Sigma_V^B(r) + \tau_3 \Sigma_{TV}^B(r)$ defined as

\[ \Sigma_S = \alpha_S \rho_S^N + \beta_S (\rho_S^N)^2 + \gamma_S (\rho_S^N)^3 + \delta_S \Delta \rho_S^N + \beta_T \rho_T^N, \]

\[ \Sigma_{TS} = \delta_{TS} \rho_T^{N} + \sigma_T \rho_T^N, \]

\[ \Sigma_V = \alpha_V \rho_V^N + \gamma_V (\rho_V^N)^3 + \delta_V \Delta \rho_V^N + \varepsilon A_0 - \frac{\tau_3}{2}. \]

The on the other hand, the Dirac equation for the $\Lambda$ hyperon inside the hypernucleus reads

\[ [\alpha \cdot p + V_0^\Lambda + \gamma_0^0 S^\Lambda + m_\Lambda] \psi_\Lambda^B(r) = e_\Lambda^B \psi_\Lambda^B(r) \]

with the vector field $V_0^\Lambda = U_V + U_T$, and

\[ U_V = \delta_V^{(NA)} \rho_V^\Lambda + \alpha_V^{(NA)} \rho_V^N, \]

\[ U_T = -i \alpha_T^{(NA)} \beta \alpha \cdot \nabla \rho_T^N, \]

\[ S^\Lambda = \delta_S^{(NA)} \Delta \rho_S^N + \alpha_S^{(NA)} \rho_S^N. \]

These two Dirac equations, Eqs. (8) and (10), are solved by expanding the Dirac spinors $\psi_k^B$ for nucleons and hyperon on the basis of a 3DHO with the oscillator length parameter chosen as $b_x = b_y = b_z = \sqrt{\hbar / m\omega_0}$, where the oscillator frequency is determined by $\hbar \omega_0 = 41 A^{-1/3} \text{MeV}$. In addition, to reduce the computational task, it is assumed that the total densities are symmetric under reflections with respect to the three planes $xy$, $xz$ and $yz$. The Coulomb field $A_0$ is obtained through a direct integration of the Poisson equation. To obtain the total energies and the mean-field wave functions for triaxially deformed hypernuclei and the corresponding core nucleus as a function of deformation parameters ($\beta, \gamma$),
quadratic constraint calculation on the mass quadrupole moments is carried out by minimizing the following energy with respect to single-particle wave function,

$$E' = E_{\text{RMF}} + \sum_{\mu=0,2} C_{2\mu} (\langle \hat{Q}_{2\mu} \rangle - q_{2\mu})^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $C_{2\mu}$ is a stiffness parameter and $\langle \hat{Q}_{2\mu} \rangle$ denotes the expectation value of the mass quadrupole moment operator,

$$\hat{Q}_{20} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} (2x^2 - x^2 - y^2), \quad \hat{Q}_{22} = \sqrt{\frac{15}{32\pi}} (x^2 - y^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

In Eq. (12), $q_{2\mu}$ are the quadrupole moment of mean-field state to be obtained. The deformation parameters $(\beta, \gamma)$ of mean-field state are related to the expectation values of the mass quadrupole moment operator by $\beta = \frac{4\pi}{3AR^2} \sqrt{\langle Q_{20} \rangle^2 + 2\langle Q_{22} \rangle^2}$ and $\gamma = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \langle Q_{22} \rangle}{\langle Q_{20} \rangle} \right)$, respectively, with $R = 1.2A^{1/3}$ (fm). We note that the deformation parameters $(\beta, \gamma)$ are calculated with the nuclear density $\rho^N(r)$ for the core nuclei (cn) and with the total density $\rho^N(r) + \rho^\Lambda(r)$ for the hypernuclei.

The center-of-mass correction energy $E_{\text{cm}}$ is calculated by taking the expectation value of the kinetic energy for the center-of-mass motion with respect to the mean-field wave function. For a single-$\Lambda$ hypernucleus, it is given by

$$E_{\text{cm}} = \frac{(P_B^2 + P_c^2)}{2(\Lambda m_N + m_\Lambda)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $P_B$ is the total momentum of the baryons ($B = N, \Lambda$) in hypernucleus with $\Lambda$ nucleons and one $\Lambda$ hyperon.

Following Refs. [46, 60], the pairing correlation among nucleons is taken into account with the BCS method using a density-independent zero-range pairing force supplemented with a smooth cutoff [68]. The resultant pairing energy $E_{\text{pair}}$ is added to the total energy, which is finally given by

$$E_{\text{tot}}(A+1, Z) = E_{\text{RMF}} - m_N c^2 - m_\Lambda c^2 - E_{\text{cm}} + E_{\text{pair}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The total binding energy $B(A+1, Z)$ of a single-$\Lambda$ hypernucleus is given by $B(A+1, Z) = -E_{\text{tot}}(A+1, Z)$. To study the change of energy surface of nuclear core by the $\Lambda$ hyperon, we also introduce the energy $E_{\text{cm}}^{\Lambda}(A+1, Z)$ for the core nucleus inside a hypernucleus as

$$E_{\text{cm}}^{\Lambda}(A+1, Z) \equiv E_{\text{tot}}(A+1, Z) - E_{\text{RMF}} + E_{\text{cm}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where the last term, $E_{\text{cm}}^{\Lambda} = \frac{(P_A^2)}{2(\Lambda m_N + m_\Lambda)}$, is the contribution of $\Lambda$ particle to the center-of-mass correction energy.

![FIG. 1: (Color online) The convergence feature of potentials $V_0^B(r) + S^B(r)$ (a), $V_0^B(r) - S^B(r)$ (b) (with $B = n, p, \Lambda$) and the tensor density $\alpha_{T}^{[(NN)]} \rho_T^\Lambda(r)$ (c) with respect to the maximum number of major shell ($N_f$) in the 3DHO basis for the triaxial RMF calculation for $^{17}_\Lambda$O. These quantities are evaluated at $x = y = 0.36$ fm and plotted as a function of $z$. For a comparison, the results of spherical RMF calculations are also shown by the lines.](image)

### III. RESULTS OF MEAN-FIELD STUDIES

#### A. Illustrative calculations

We first carry out an illustrative calculation to test our triaxial RMF code for some spherical hypernuclei, comparing with the results by the spherical RMF code in coordinate space with the box size of $R = 15$ fm [62]. If not particularly indicated, the same parameters are used in both calculations, including the masses of nucleons and $\Lambda$ which are taken as $m_N = 939$ MeV/$c^2$ and $m_\Lambda = 1115.6$ MeV/$c^2$, respectively and the PC-F1 force [60] for the $NN$ interaction and the PCY-S1 [62] for the $\Lambda N$ interaction. Taking $^{17}_\Lambda$O as an example, where the $\Lambda$ particle is put in the $1s_{1/2}$ orbital, we plot in Fig. 1 the mean-field potentials $V_0^B + S^B$ and $V_0^B - S^B$ for neutron, proton, and $\Lambda$, together with the tensor density $\alpha_{T}^{[(NN)]} \rho_T^\Lambda$ from the triaxial RMF calculation. We compare the results with three different maximum major-shell numbers in the 3DHO basis, $N_f = 8, 10$, and 12. The results are compared also with those by the spherical RMF calculations. It is shown that the mean-field potentials are well converged at $N_f = 10$. In contrast, the tensor density (7) originated from the tensor coupling term $L^{\Lambda \Lambda}_{\text{CM}}$ has a slightly slower convergence behavior, especially at small values of $z$. It is shown that the tensor density obtained with the maximum shell number $N_f = 12$ gives a good agreement with the spherical RMF result.

Table I shows the detailed structural properties of hypernuclei, $^{17}_\Lambda$O, $^{32}$Si, $^{33}_\Lambda$S, and $^{41}$Ca from both the spherical and triaxial RMF calculations. In the triaxial RMF calculation, fourteen major HO shells ($N_f=14$) are adopted to expand the Dirac spinors, with which the quadrupole deformation $\beta$ of hypernuclei is convergent to zero. It is shown that both approaches give the results very close to each other. The remaining small differ-
TABLE I: The total energy \( E_{\text{tot}} \), the kinetic energy \( E_{\text{kin}} \) (= \( T_N + T_\Lambda \)), the root-mean-square (rms) radii of neutrons \( r_n \), protons \( r_p \), and hyperon \( r_\Lambda \), and the energy of the lowest three single-particle states for neutron, proton and hyperon obtained with the triaxial RMF (Tri.RMF) calculation for \( ^{17}O \), \( ^{31}Si \), \( ^{33}S \), and \( ^{41}Ca \), in comparison with those with the spherical RMF (Sph.RMF) calculations. All the energies are in MeV and the radii are in fm.

|        | \( ^{17}O \) | \( ^{31}Si \) | \( ^{33}S \) | \( ^{41}Ca \) |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| \( E_{\text{tot}} \) | Sph.RMF | Tri.RMF | Sph.RMF | Tri.RMF |
|        | \(-140.317\) | \(-140.309\) | \(-269.491\) | \(-269.476\) |
|        | \(-285.434\) | \(-285.320\) | \(-363.459\) | \(-363.174\) |
| \( E_{\text{kin}} \) | 210.036 | 210.031 | 435.385 | 435.436 |
|        | 439.216 | 439.164 | 518.686 | 518.239 |
| \( r_n \) | 9.752 | 9.750 | 9.282 | 9.281 |
|        | 9.282 | 9.281 | 8.915 | 8.902 |
| \( r_p \) | 2.613 | 2.613 | 3.085 | 3.083 |
|        | 3.085 | 3.083 | 3.340 | 3.341 |
| \( r_\Lambda \) | 2.638 | 2.638 | 2.984 | 2.984 |
|        | 2.984 | 2.984 | 3.340 | 3.341 |

\[ E \equiv E_{\text{tot}}(^A Z, g.s.) - E_{\text{tot}}(^{A+1} Z, g.s.) \] in the triaxial RMF calculation for the \( \Lambda \) hypernuclei, the \( \Lambda \) hyperon is always put in the lowest state among those which are connected to the \( s, p, \ldots, g \) state in the spherical limit. The corresponding \( \Lambda \) is therefore denoted as \( \Lambda_\beta, \Lambda_{p\ell}, \ldots, \Lambda_g \).
for convenience. In the spherical RMF calculations, two values of $B_\Lambda$ for the $\ell_\Lambda \neq 0$ cases are plotted, corresponding to the $\Lambda$ hyperon in the spin-orbit partner states. Due to the introduction of a strong $\Lambda$ tensor coupling, the energy splitting of the spin-orbit partner states by the spherical RMF calculation is less than 0.5 MeV and mostly with an opposite sign to the ordinary nuclei [62]. The triaxial RMF approach yields similar results as the spherical RMF approach for the $\Lambda$ binding energies of most hypernuclei, except for $^9$Be, $^{28}$Si and $^{51}$V which are deformed in their ground states (See Fig. 2(b) for the value of deformation parameter). For these nuclei, a nonzero deformation $\beta$ decreases the binding energy of $\Lambda_s$ and improves the agreement with the data, while it significantly overestimates the binding energy of $\Lambda_p$ and $\Lambda_d$.

We take hypernucleus $^{51}_\Lambda$V as an example to illustrate the deformation effect on $B_\Lambda$. Figure 3 shows that the energy minimum of hypernucleus $^{51}_\Lambda$V is shifted slightly towards spherical shape, while that of $^{51}_\Lambda$V and $^{51}_\Lambda$V is pushed to a larger deformed shape. Moreover, it is shown that the deformation of hypernuclei increases from $^{51}_\Lambda$V to $^{51}_\Lambda$V, and then to $^{51}_\Lambda$V. The difference in the $B_\Lambda$ values of $^{51}_\Lambda$V by the spherical and triaxial RMF calculations is also shown clearly in Fig. 3, where the energy of hypernucleus $^{51}_\Lambda$V decreases or increases by 0.1 MeV, 0.8 MeV and 2.2 MeV for the $\Lambda_s$, $\Lambda_p$ and $\Lambda_d$, respectively. The microscopic mechanism responsible for these phenomena can be traced to the Nilsson diagram of hyperon single-particle energies, which will be discussed in details in the next subsection.

C. Shape polarization effect of $\Lambda$ hyperon in $sd$-shell nuclei in $(\beta, \gamma)$ plane

In order to discuss more in details the shape polarization effect of $\Lambda$ hyperon, we take as examples three $sd$-shell hypernuclei, the protodeformed $^{24}$Mg and the oblate deformed $^{26}$Mg and $^{30}$Si, the latter two having transitional characters. Figure 4(a) shows the PESs for the $^{25}_\Lambda$Mg, $^{28}_\Lambda$Mg, $^{27}_\Lambda$Mg, $^{27}_\Lambda$Mg, $^{31}_\Lambda$Si, and $^{31}_\Lambda$Si obtained with the triaxial RMF method as a function of deformation parameter $\beta$. The energy surfaces for the corresponding core nuclei are also shown. As in the case of $^{51}_\Lambda$V shown in Fig. 3, the energy minimum of $^{25}_\Lambda$Mg and $^{28}_\Lambda$Mg is shifted to a slightly smaller and larger deformed region, respectively, compared with that of the core nucleus. For $^{26}_\Lambda$Mg, the $\Lambda_s$ significantly lowers down the barrier between the oblate and prolate minima. Of particular interest is that the $\Lambda_p$ inverts the energy order of the oblate and prolate minima in $^{26}_\Lambda$Mg. A significant change of the deformation parameter of the mean-field ground state by $\Lambda$ hyperon is shown in $^{30}_\Lambda$Si. That is, the $\Lambda_s$ brings the oblate deformed $^{30}_\Lambda$Si to spherical $^{31}_\Lambda$Si. A sim-
ilar conclusion has been obtained also in Refs. [9, 10]. In contrast, the $\Lambda_p$ drives $^{30}\text{Si}$ to be more oblate deformed. It is worthwhile to mention that although the PESs of hypernuclei could be significantly different from those of core nuclei, the differences in the PESs for the core nuclei inside the hypernuclei (the dotted lines) and for the core nuclei without the hyperon impurity (the solid lines) are negligibly small.

To illustrate the shape-driving effect of $\Lambda$ hyperon in different orbitals, we introduce a deformation-dependent $\Lambda$ separation energy $S_\Lambda(\beta)$ as

$$S_\Lambda(\beta) \equiv E_{\text{tot}}(A, Z, \beta) - E_{\text{tot}}(A+1, Z, \beta), \quad (17)$$

where $E_{\text{tot}}(A+1, Z, \beta)$ and $E_{\text{tot}}(A, Z, \beta)$ are the total energies of the hypernucleus and the core nucleus at deformation $\beta$, respectively. Here, we take the same deformation value $\beta$ for the hypernucleus and the core nucleus to define the quantity $S_\Lambda(\beta)$. Notice that even though $S_\Lambda(\beta)$ is different from the standard definition of hyperon separation energy, it provides a convenient way to understand the shape polarization effect of $\Lambda$. The $S_\Lambda(\beta)$ in $^{25}\text{Mg}$, $^{25}\text{Mg}$, $^{25}\text{Mg}$, $^{25}\text{Mg}$, $^{31}\text{Si}$, and $^{31}\text{Si}$ is shown in Figs. 4 (b), (d) and (f) as a function of deformation $\beta$. It is seen clearly that the $S_\Lambda$ decreases (or increases) with $\beta$ for $\Lambda_s$ (or $\Lambda_p$), which provides a mechanism to change the structure of the PESs in such a way that the nuclear shape becomes less or more deformed.

Figure 5(a) shows a comparison of the potential energy surfaces for $^{30}\text{Si}$, $^{31}\Lambda_s\text{Si}$, and $^{31}\Lambda_p\text{Si}$ from the deformed RMF calculation with different NA interactions, namely the PCY-S1 and PCY-S4 [62]. It is seen that the potential energy surface of $^{31}\Lambda_s\text{Si}$ is similar to each other for both of the two NA interactions. However, that of $^{31}\Lambda_p\text{Si}$ are evidently different in some deformation region. This difference is shown more clearly in the comparison of $\Lambda$ separation energy $S_\Lambda(\beta)$ in Fig. 5(b). For $\Lambda_s$, the two NA interactions give similar slopes of $S_\Lambda(\beta)$ as a function of deformation $\beta$. On the other hand, for $\Lambda_p$, the slope is apparently different on the prolate side, resulting in the different behavior of the potential energy surface of $^{31}\Lambda_p\text{Si}$. It implies that the impurity effect of $\Lambda_p$ is somewhat sensitive to the NA interaction, in contrast to that of $\Lambda_s$.

The influence of $\Lambda$ hyperon on nuclear triaxiality is illustrated in Fig. 6, which shows the PESs for $^{25}\text{Mg}$, $^{25}\text{Mg}$, $^{25}\text{Mg}$, and $^{25}\text{Mg}$, and their core nuclei as a function of $\gamma$ deformation. For $^{25}\text{Mg}$ with a pronounced prolate energy minimum, the stiffness of the PES along $\gamma$ deformation increases by adding $\Lambda_s$ or $\Lambda_p$. This is an opposite tendency from that predicted by the Skyrme-Hartree-Fock (SHF) calculation [40]. For $^{26}\text{Mg}$, with a shallow oblate energy minimum, the inclusion of $\Lambda_s$ softens the PES along $\gamma$ deformation, in agreement with the previous SHF calculation. However, at the same time, the energy minimum is shifted to a prolate-like shape with $\gamma = 12^\circ$ by adding $\Lambda_p$, which is a consequence of competition between the $\gamma$ deformation effect and the $\Lambda_p$ impurity effect.

The impurity effects of $\Lambda_s$ and $\Lambda_p$ on nuclear quadrupole deformation $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are shown in Figs. 4 and 6. Figure 7(b) shows the potential energy surfaces for $^{30}\text{Si}$, $^{31}\Lambda_s\text{Si}$, and $^{31}\Lambda_p\text{Si}$ as a function of deformation parameter $\beta$ obtained with the deformed RMF calculations using the same PCF-1 force for the NN interaction but different NA interactions (PCY-S1 and PCY-S4 [62], respectively). The energies are normalized to the global minima. (b) The $\Lambda$ separation energy $S_\Lambda(\beta)$ as a function of deformation parameter $\beta$.

To understand the impurity effects of $\Lambda_s$ and $\Lambda_p$ in a qualitative way, we plot in Fig. 10 the Nilsson diagram of the single-particle energy for $\Lambda$ hyperon in $^{25}\text{Mg}$ as a function of deformation parameters $\beta$ and $\gamma$. The results of the calculation without the tensor potential $U_T$ in the Dirac equation for $\Lambda$ hyperon are also plotted for comparison. It is seen that the tensor potential $U_T$ pushes up the energy of $1s_{1/2}$ and $1p_{3/2}$ orbitals and reduces significantly the spin-orbit splitting between the partner states $\Lambda p_{3/2}$ and $\Lambda p_{1/2}$ at the spherical shape. The resultant splitting energy is $\Delta E_{so} = \epsilon_{l=1/2} - \epsilon_{j=1/2} = -0.16$ MeV. The inversion of the energy order of the spin-orbit partner states for $\Lambda$ hyperon is a particular character of the parameter set PCY-S1 for NA interaction with a very strong tensor coupling [62].

With the increase of deformation $\beta$, the $\Lambda_s$ becomes slightly less bound, while the $\Lambda_p$ becomes deeper bound. It explains both the behaviors of $\Lambda$ separation energy as
towards prolate-like shape with slightly larger deformation parameter $\gamma$, where the deformation $\beta$ is fixed at the value of the global minimum of the energy surface. The energies are normalized to the energy of the global minimum. (b) Same as (a), but for $^{26}\Lambda_p$Mg and $^{27}\Lambda_p$Mg.

a function of $\beta$ and the different shape-driving effect of $\Lambda_s$ and $\Lambda_p$ shown in Fig. 4. In other words, the $\Lambda_s$ drives the hypernucleus towards spherical shape, while the $\Lambda_p$ drives the hypernucleus towards large deformation, as has been pointed out in Ref. [45] based on the AMD calculations. For the axial asymmetric shapes, the energies of the three $\rho$-hyperon orbitals in $^{27}\Lambda_p$Mg are apparently different from each other. The rotational bands with such configurations have been discussed recently based on the AMD model [74]. The energy of $\Lambda_p$ increases with $\gamma$, explaining the phenomenon that the $\Lambda_p$ drives hypernucleus towards prolate-like shape with slightly larger deformation $\beta$ (see Fig. 6 (b)).

IV. PROJECTED POTENTIAL ENERGY SURFACES FOR $^{\Lambda}_p$MG WITH MICROSCOPIC PARTICLE-ROTOR MODEL

The deformed mean-field states considered in the previous section break rotational symmetry and thus several angular momentum components are admixed in the wave functions. To compare with experimental data, one has to make a transformation from the intrinsic frame to the laboratory frame, which can be realized by introducing the technique of AMP. It can be implemented based on the mean-field wave function for the whole $\Lambda$ hypernuclei composed of an even-even nuclear core and one unpaired

![Figure 6](image_url) (Color online) (a) The total energy of $^{24}\Lambda_p$Mg, $^{25}\Lambda_p$Mg and $^{26}\Lambda_p$Mg as a function of deformation parameter $\gamma$, where the deformation $\beta$ is fixed at the value of the global minimum of the energy surface. The energies are normalized to the energy of the global minimum. (b) Same as (a), but for $^{26}\Lambda_p$Mg, $^{27}\Lambda_p$Mg and $^{27}\Lambda_p$Mg.

![Figure 7](image_url) (Color online) The potential energy surfaces of $^{24}\Lambda_p$Mg (a), $^{25}\Lambda_p$Mg (b), $^{26}\Lambda_p$Mg (cn) (c), $^{27}\Lambda_p$Mg (d) in the $(\beta, \gamma)$ plane. The energies are normalized to the global minimum. The energy difference between $^{25}\Lambda_p$Mg and its core nucleus (e), and that between $^{25}\Lambda_p$Mg and its core nucleus (f) are also plotted. Two neighboring contour lines are separated by 0.5 MeV.

![Figure 8](image_url) (Color online) Same as Fig. 7, but for $^{26}\Lambda_p$Mg, $^{27}\Lambda_p$Mg, and $^{27}\Lambda_p$Mg.
$\Lambda$ particle. Rather than implementing the AMP for odd-mass nuclear system, however, we instead apply the microscopic particle-rotor model (PRM) [13] to calculate the PESs for the hypernuclei. The microscopic PRM was developed recently by the present authors using the transition densities from the multi-reference DFT calculation [75, 76]. In this model, the wave function of $\Lambda$ hypernucleus is constructed as

$$
\Psi_{JM}(r_A, \{r_N\}) = \sum_{J'\ell \Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{J'\ell J}^{JM}(\mathbf{r}_A) \mathcal{F}_{J'\ell J}^{1M}(\mathbf{r}_A, \{r_N\}), \quad (18)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{J'\ell J}^{1M}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{J'\ell J}^{1M}(\mathbf{r}_A, \{r_N\}) = [\mathcal{G}_{J'\ell J}(\mathbf{r}_A) \otimes \Phi_{J'}(\{r_N\})]^{(1M)} \quad (19)
$$

with $r_A$ and $r_N$ being the coordinates of the $\Lambda$ hyperon and the nucleons, respectively. Here, $I$ is the total angular momentum and $M$ is its projection onto the $z$-axis for the whole $\Lambda$ hypernucleus. $\mathcal{F}_{J'\ell J}(r_A)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{J'\ell J}(\mathbf{r}_A)$ are the four-component radial wave function and the spin-angular wave function for the $\Lambda$ hyperon, respectively. In this paper, the wave function $\Phi_{J'}(\{r_N\})$ for the nuclear core is chosen as the projected mean-field wave function with different intrinsic deformation $\beta$, that is,

$$
|\Phi_{JM\ell J}(\beta)\rangle = \hat{P}_{M\ell K}^J \hat{P}^N \hat{P}^Z |\varphi(\beta)\rangle, \quad (20)
$$

where $\hat{P}_{M\ell K}^J$ is the projection operator onto a good number of angular momentum, while $\hat{P}^N$ and $\hat{P}^Z$ are those for neutron and proton numbers, respectively. The total energy of a $\Lambda$ hypernucleus with spin-parity $I^\pi$ corresponding to deformation $\beta$ of the core nucleus is defined as the energy $E_I$ of the lowest solution of the equation $\hat{H}|\Psi_{IM}(\beta)| = E_I|\Psi_{IM}(\beta)|$, from which one can derive the coupled-channels equations for $\mathcal{F}_{J'\ell J}(r_A)$. More details on the microscopic PRM for $\Lambda$ hypernuclei are given in Refs. [13, 77].

Figure 11 shows the resultant PES $E_I(\beta)$ for $^{25}\Lambda$Mg with spin-parity of $I^\pi = 1/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ as a function of the deformation $\beta$ of the core nucleus. The energy curve for the $3/2^-$ state is almost the same as the that for the $1/2^-$ state and is therefore not shown in the figure. In these calculations, only the leading-order four-fermion coupling terms (3) are taken into account for the NA interaction with coupling strengths fitted to the $\Lambda$ binding energy from the coupled-channel PRM calculation [13] to the value $B_L = 16.6$ MeV from the triaxial RMF calculation for $^{25}\Lambda$Mg. For comparison, the PES for the core nucleus $^{24}$Mg with projection onto the particle numbers and angular momentum $J = 0$ is also plotted. (The PESs for $^{25}$Mg are calculated by coupling the hyperon to several $J$ states of the core nucleus built on the deformed mean-field state.) We note that the $1/2^+$ state is dominated by the configuration with $\Lambda$ in $s$ orbital, while the $1/2^-$ state is dominated by the configurations of $[p_{3/2} \otimes 0^+])$ and $[p_{3/2} \otimes 2^+]$ at nonzero deformation $\beta$.

The impurity effect of $\Lambda$ in the $s$ and $p$ orbitals on the PES after restoration of rotational symmetry can be inferred from the comparison of the PESs for $^{25}\Lambda$Mg with the projected PES (N&Z, $J = 0$) for $^{24}$Mg. It is shown
that the PES for $^{25}_{\Lambda}$Mg with $I^\pi = 1/2^+$ has a global energy minimum at a slightly smaller deformation $\beta = 0.55$ than the deformation $\beta = 0.60$ for $^{24}$Mg. It confirms the conclusion drawn from the mean-field results shown in Fig. 4(a). For $^{25}_{\Lambda}$Mg with 1/2$^-$, the energies of the spherical and oblate deformed shapes with respect to the prolate minimum are significantly increased compared with those for the core nucleus with $J = 0$. However, it is difficult to assess the change of the collectivity for this case, which depends on the distribution of the weight function in deformation plane. In order to determine such distribution, one could carry out the microscopic PRM calculation by coupling the $\Lambda$ to the configuration mixed nuclear core states, as has been done for $^{9}_\Lambda$Be in Ref. [13]. However, this method is currently limited only to axially deformed. Alternatively, one can introduce a triaxial GCM or 5DCH method for the core nucleus to examine the $\Lambda$ impurity effect in a quantitative way. Since the former is very time-consuming for a systematic study, the 5DCH is adopted in the subsequent study.

V. BEYOND MEAN-FIELD STUDY OF CORE NUCLEI WITH MICROSCOPIC COLLECTIVE HAMILTONIAN METHOD

In the 5DCH approach, the collective excitations of the core nucleus are described with the following collective Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}_{\text{vib}} + \hat{T}_{\text{rot}} + V_{\text{coll}},$$

(21)

where the first two terms are the vibrational kinetic energy

$$\hat{T}_{\text{vib}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\sqrt{w}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^4} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left( \frac{r}{w} \beta^4 B_{\gamma \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{\beta^3} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left( \frac{r}{w} \beta^3 B_{\beta \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \left( \frac{1}{w} \sin 3\gamma B_{\beta \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right) \right] \right\},$$

(22)

and the rotational kinetic energy

$$\hat{T}_{\text{rot}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{j_k^2}{I_k},$$

(23)

with $j_k$ denoting the components of the angular momentum in the body-fixed frame of a nucleus. Two quantities that appear in the vibrational kinetic energy, that is, $r = B_1 B_2 B_3$ and $w = B_\beta B_\gamma B_{\beta \gamma} - B_2^2$, determine the volume element in the collective space. The mass parameters $B_{\beta \beta}$, $B_{\beta \gamma}$ and $B_{\gamma \gamma}$, as well as the moments of inertia $I_k$, depend on the quadrupole deformation variables $\beta$ and $\gamma$,

$$I_k = 4B_k \beta^2 \sin^2(\gamma - 2k\pi/3), k = 1, 2, 3,$$

(24)

and are determined by the triaxial RMF+BCS calculations in cranking approximation [78].

The third term $V_{\text{coll}}$ in Eq. (21) is a collective potential given by

$$V_{\text{coll}}(\beta, \gamma) = \tilde{E}_{\text{tot}} - \Delta V_{\text{vib}}(\beta, \gamma) - \Delta V_{\text{rot}}(\beta, \gamma),$$

(25)

where $\Delta V_{\text{vib}}(\beta, \gamma)$ and $\Delta V_{\text{rot}}(\beta, \gamma)$ are the zero-point-energy of vibrational and rotational motions, respectively. The $\tilde{E}_{\text{tot}}$ is given by the summation of total energy for the core nucleus inside the hypernucleus and the $NA$ interaction energy $\varepsilon_{\nu \Lambda}(r)$ (6b) which carries most of information on the $\Lambda$ impurity effect.

The eigenvalue problem with the collective Hamiltonian is solved by expanding eigenfunctions in terms of a complete set of basis functions that depend on the five degrees of freedom: the deformation variables $\beta$ and $\gamma$, and the Euler angles $\phi$, $\theta$ and $\psi$ [79].

Figure 12 shows the parameters in the 5DCH as a function of quadrupole deformation $\beta$ for $^{24}$Mg and the core nucleus inside $^{25}_{\Lambda}$Mg and $^{25}_{\Lambda}$Mg. These are the collective potential $V_{\text{coll}}$, the moment of inertia along $x$-direction $I_x$, the rms radius of protons, and the mass parameters $B_{\beta \beta}$. It is shown that the collective potentials for the core nucleus inside $^{25}_{\Lambda}$Mg and $^{25}_{\Lambda}$Mg have a similar behavior as the projected PESs for $^{24}_{\Lambda}$Mg and $^{24}_{\Lambda}$Mg shown in Fig. 11. Moreover, the moment of inertia for the core nucleus around the energy minimum is significantly reduced by $\Lambda_p$ and $\Lambda_p$. The resultant energy spectrum is stretched as shown in Fig. 13 for the low-spin spectra of ground state band for the $^{24}$Mg and the core nucleus inside $^{25}_{\Lambda}$Mg. The $\Lambda_p$ increases the excitation energy.
shows the parameters in the 5DCH for corresponding experimental data, taken from Ref. [B]. Although different Skyrme EDFs give somewhat different state bands for \( ^{24}\text{Mg} \) and \( ^{25}\text{Mg} \) (cn) obtained with the fine-dimensional collective Hamiltonian (5DCH) approach.

\( E_x(2^+_1) \) for the \( 2^+_1 \) state by \( \sim 12.2\% \) and reduces the \( E2 \) transition strength \( B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1) \) by \( \sim 11.8\% \), compared with the value of \( \sim 7\% \) and \( \sim 9\% \), respectively, found in our previous 5DCH calculation for the same nucleus based on the non-relativistic Skyrme EDFs [11, 81].

The sensitivity of \( \Lambda \) impurity effect on nuclear collective properties to the underlying EDFs has been examined based on several sets of Skyrme EDFs with various pairing strengths for \( ^{40}\text{S} \) [81]. It has been found that although different Skyrme EDFs give somewhat different low-lying spectra for the core nucleus, they give similar and generally small size of \( \Lambda \) impurity effect (typically within \( 5\% \)) on the spectroscopic observables. From this point of view, one can draw a conclusion that the present relativistic study yields the \( \Lambda_s \) impurity effect on nuclear low-energy structure which is larger than that by non-relativistic Skyrme EDFs, similarly to the conclusion for mean-field calculations [44]. In addition, it is shown that the \( \Lambda_p \) increases the excitation energy of \( 2^+_1 \) state by \( \sim 9.1\% \) and reduces the \( E2 \) transition strength \( B(E2: 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1) \) by \( \sim 6.9\% \). Moreover, we note that the excitation energy of \( 2^+_1 \) state is increased from \( 5.62 \text{ MeV} \) to \( 5.88 \text{ MeV} \) and \( 6.67 \text{ MeV} \) due to the \( \Lambda_s \) and \( \Lambda_p \), respectively, which is consistent with the observation in Fig. 6.

Figure 14 shows the parameters in the 5DCH for \( ^{26}\text{Mg} \) and the core nuclei inside \( ^{27}\text{Mg} \) and \( ^{25}\text{Mg} \). Similar to the PESs in Fig. 8, the collective potential \( V_{\text{coll}} \) is rather different for the \( ^{27}\Lambda_s\text{Mg} \), \( ^{27}\Lambda_p\text{Mg} \) and the core nucleus \( ^{26}\text{Mg} \). Similarly to the \( ^{24}\text{Mg} \) case, the \( \Lambda_s \) and \( \Lambda_p \) apparently reduce the moments of inertia around the prolate minimum of the collective potential. The competition of
shows the collective parameters for

\begin{align*}
E_x(2^+)&, \\
E_x(2^+_2)&,
\end{align*}

and decreases slightly the excitation energy of $2^+_1$.

The excitation energy of the $2^+_1$ state is altered from 4.28 MeV to 4.99 MeV and 4.26 MeV due to the $\Lambda_s$ and $\Lambda_p$, respectively.

The impurity effect of $\Lambda_s$ and $\Lambda_p$ is summarized in Fig. 18. The change in the proton root-mean-squared radius of ground state is within 1% for all the three nuclei. It confirms that the reduction/enhancement of the $B(E2)$ value for the core nucleus by adding a $\Lambda$ particle mainly originates from the modification of nuclear collective potential, the moment of inertia, and the mass parameters, rather than a shrinkage or an expansion of proton distribution as found in light nuclear systems with cluster structures [5]. One can also see that the changes in the excitation energies $E_x(2^+_1)$ and $E_x(2^+_2)$ for the lowest two $2^+$ states are similar to one another. To examine the model-dependence of the $\Lambda$ impurity effect for $^{30}$Si, we also plot the results by the PCY-S4 NA interaction in Fig. 18. It shows again that the impurity effect of $\Lambda_s$ is much less sensitive to the NA interaction than that of $\Lambda_p$, that is consistent with the potential energy surfaces in Fig. 5.
VI. SUMMARY

We have established a triaxially deformed relativistic mean-field approach for Λ hypernuclei based on a point-coupling EDF. Using the 5DCH method based on this approach, we have quantitatively studied the impurity effect of $\Lambda_s$ and $\Lambda_p$ hyperons on the low-energy collective excitations of $^{24}\text{Mg}$, $^{26}\text{Mg}$, and $^{30}\text{Si}$. Besides, the quadrupole deformation effect on the Λ binding energies of hypernuclei has been studied. In particular, the PESs of three sd-shell Λ hypernuclei $^{25,27}\Lambda\text{Mg}$ and $^{31}\Lambda\text{Si}$, as well as their core nuclei in $(\beta, \gamma)$ deformation plane has been calculated. The low-lying states of the core nuclei before and after adding Λ hyperon in the lowest positive-parity ($\Lambda_s$) and the negative-parity ($\Lambda_p$) states have also been discussed. Moreover, the PESs of $^{25}\text{Mg}$ with spin-parity of $I^\pi = 1/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ have been obtained with the microscopic PRM and compared with the PES of the core nucleus with $J^\pi = 0^+$. Our main findings in the present studies are summarized as follows:

- The quadrupole deformation decreases the $\Lambda_s$ binding energy and increases the $\Lambda_p$ binding energy in Λ hypernucleus.

- The potential energy surfaces of the whole Λ hypernuclei could be significantly different from those of the core nuclei without the hyperon impurity. In general, the hypernuclei with a $\Lambda_s$ ($\Lambda_p$) have an energy minimum with smaller (or larger) deformation than the core nucleus. However, the potential energy surfaces of the core nuclei inside the hypernuclei are very similar to that of the nuclei without hyperon.

- Quantitatively, the $\Lambda_s$ increases the excitation energy of the $2_1^+$ state and decreases the $E2$ transition strength from this state to the ground state in the core nucleus by 12%–17%, about twice larger than the value found in our previous 5DCH study based on the non-relativistic Skyrme EDFs. However, $\Lambda_p$ can either increase or decrease the collectivity of the core nucleus depending on the competition between the changes in the potential energy surface and the collective parameters.

Finally, we emphasize that the generalization of our triaxial RMF approach for hypernuclei to multi-strangeness systems is straightforward. Moreover, the present approach provides a starting point to carry out a beyond mean-field calculation of the low-lying states of Λ hypernuclei by introducing the techniques of exact projections and GCM [47, 55] for the odd-mass system, the results of which can be compared with those of the microscopic PRM [13] based on the same relativistic point-coupling EDF.
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