Objective: To evaluate the variability of spinopelvic sagittal parameters and the distribution of lordosis in the lumbar spine in a sample of patients. Methods: This is a cross-sectional study considering full-spine radiographs of a patient sample. The patients were classified according to the Roussouly classification and both radiographic spinopelvic alignment parameters and the lordosis measurement of each lumbar spinal segment were considered. The radiographic parameters were correlated with the Roussouly classification type. Results: Ninety patients were included in the study. There was significant correlation between pelvic incidence (PI) and lumbar lordosis (LL) ($R=0.89; p<0.0001$). The values of PI were significantly higher in Roussouly types 3 and 4 than in types 1 and 2 ($p<0.001$), as were the values of LL L1-S1 ($p<0.001$). Considering the total sample, 67% of LL L1-S1 was located between L4-S1, but with variations by the Roussouly classification curve types. Conclusion: This study demonstrated a high correlation between the values of PI and LL, as well as the importance of the distal lumbar segment (L4-S1) in the overall value of LL L1-S1, which was even higher in patients with a lower PI value (Roussouly types 1 and 2).

Level of evidence II; Retrospective analysis of a prospective database (Cohort); Diagnostic study.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar a variabilidade dos parâmetros sagitais espinopélvicos em uma amostra de pacientes, assim como a distribuição de lordose no segmento lombjar da coluna vertebral. Métodos: Trata-se de um estudo transversal, considerando radiografias da coluna total de uma amostra de pacientes. Os pacientes foram avaliados de acordo com a classificação de Roussouly e foram considerados os parâmetros radiográficos do alinhamento sagital espinopélvico, além da medida da lordose de cada segmento da coluna lombar. Os parâmetros radiográficos foram correlacionados com o tipo da classificação de Roussouly. Resultados: Noventa pacientes foram incluídos no estudo. Houve correlação significativa entre a incidência pélvica (IP) e a lordose lombar (LL) L1-S1 ($R=0.89; p<0.0001$). O valor da IP foi significativamente maior nos tipos 3 e 4 de Roussouly do que nos tipos 1 e 2 ($p<0.001$), assim como o valor da LL L1-S1 ($p<0.001$). Considerando o total da amostra, 67% da LL L1-S1 estava localizada entre L4-S1, porém com variação conforme o tipo de curva pela classificação de Roussouly. Conclusões: O presente estudo demonstrou grande correlação entre os valores da IP e da LL, assim como a importância do segmento lombar distal (L4-S1) no valor global da LL L1-S1, ainda maior nos pacientes com menor valor de IP (tipos 1 e 2 de Roussouly). Nível de evidência II; Análise retrospectiva de banco de dados prospectivo (coorte); Estudo diagnóstico.

Descritores: Coluna Vertebral; Classificação; Mau Alinhamento Ósseo; Radiografia.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the variability of spinopelvic sagittal parameters and the distribution of lordosis in the lumbar spine in a sample of patients. Methods: This is a cross-sectional study considering full-spine radiographs of a patient sample. The patients were classified according to the Roussouly classification and both radiographic spinopelvic alignment parameters and the lordosis measurement of each lumbar spinal segment were considered. The radiographic parameters were correlated with the Roussouly classification type. Results: Ninety patients were included in the study. There was significant correlation between pelvic incidence (PI) and lumbar lordosis (LL) ($R=0.89; p<0.0001$). The values of PI were significantly higher in Roussouly types 3 and 4 than in types 1 and 2 ($p<0.001$), as were the values of LL L1-S1 ($p<0.001$). Considering the total sample, 67% of LL L1-S1 was located between L4-S1, but with variations by the Roussouly classification curve types. Conclusion: This study demonstrated a high correlation between the values of PI and LL, as well as the importance of the distal lumbar segment (L4-S1) in the overall value of LL L1-S1, which was even higher in patients with a lower PI value (Roussouly types 1 and 2).

Level of evidence II; Retrospective analysis of a prospective database (Cohort); Diagnostic study.

Keywords: Spine; Classification; Bone Malalignment; Radiography.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Evaluar la variabilidad de los parámetros sagitales espinopélvicos en una muestra de pacientes, así como la distribución de lordosis en el segmento lumbar de la columna vertebral. Métodos: Se trata de un estudio transversal, considerando radiografías de la columna total de una muestra de pacientes. Los pacientes fueron evaluados de acuerdo con la clasificación de Roussouly y fueron considerados los parámetros radiográficos de la alineación sagital espinopélvica, además de la medida de la lordosis de cada segmento de la columna lumbar. Los parámetros radiográficos fueron correlacionados con el tipo de la clasificación de Roussouly. Resultados: Fueron incluidos 90 pacientes en el estudio. Hubo correlación significativa entre la incidencia pélvica (IP) y la lordosis lumbar (LL) L1-S1 ($R=0.89; p<0.0001$). El valor de la IP fue significativamente mayor en los tipos 3 y 4 de Roussouly que en los tipos 1 y 2 ($p<0.001$), así como el de la LL L1-S1 ($p<0.001$). Considerando el total de la muestra, 67% de la LL L1-S1 estaba localizada entre L4-S1, aunque con variación conforme al tipo de curva por la clasificación de Roussouly. Conclusiones: El presente estudio demostró gran correlación entre los valores de la IP y de la LL, así como la importancia del segmento lumbar distal (L4-S1) en el valor global de la LL L1-S1, aún mayor en los pacientes con menor valor de IP (tipos 1 y 2 de Roussouly). Nivel de evidencia II; Análisis retrospectivo de banco de datos prospectivo (coorte), Estudio diagnóstico.

Descriptores: Columna Vertebral; Clasificación; Desviación Ósea; Radiografía.
INTRODUCTION

The study of the sagittal balance of the sagittal spine has become popular in recent decades with prolific evidence showing the correlation between the loss of spinopelvic sagittal alignment and the deterioration of function and the quality of life.1-4 Thus, numerous radiographic parameters have been described for an understanding of spinopelvic sagittal alignment, as well as for the recognition of loss of alignment.1,2,5-6

Among these radiographic parameters, pelvic shape and position parameters stand out,7 as well as lumbar lordosis (LL), measured between L1 and S1, and the relationship between LL and pelvic incidence (PI).8 However, some authors question the concept of fixed anatomical intervals for different spinal curvatures, including thoracic kyphosis measured between T4 and T12 and lumbar lordosis between T1 and S1. Berthonaud et al., for example, presented the concept of “inflection point” as a functional variable that corresponds to the point of transition between lumbar lordosis and thoracic kyphosis, regardless of the anatomical level where this occurs, and the concept of the variability of the extension of the curvatures of the spine.8

Roussouly et al. presented a classification system for normal variants of sagittal alignment of the spine, taking the form and inclination of the pelvis and the distribution of lordosis throughout the lumbar segment into account, considering the “inflection point”.9 Four types of curves were described9 and recently a fifth type was included.10 The objective of this study was to evaluate the variability of spinopelvic sagittal alignment parameters in a sample of patients, as well as the distribution of lordotic curvature in the lumbar segment of the spine.

METHODS

This is a prospective, cross-sectional analysis of a radiographic database of patients treated at an outpatient spine pathology clinic of a single service. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the service responsible for the study (CAAE: 97266618.0.0000.5463), which waived collection of the signed Informed Consent Form as the study considered only data already established in a radiographic database.

Full spinal radiography examinations (panoramic X-rays of the spine) of individuals older than 18 years of age were included, which allowed the evaluation and measurement of the spinopelvic parameters of interest. Examinations of patients with a previous history of neurological or spinal surgery, neurological or neuromuscular disease, trauma, or neoplastic disease of the spine and complaints of hip, knee, foot, or ankle disability that might alter the position of the joints were excluded.

Data collection

All radiographic examinations were performed at the same service following a standardized technique, with patients standing, comfortable, with the elbow in full flexion and the shoulder in 45° flexion with the hands relaxed and the fingers resting on the clavicle or the malar bone.11,12 The radiographic parameters of interest were measured and analyzed using Surgimap Spine software (Nemaris Inc. New York, USA), validated for the measurement of radiographic spinal parameters.13 The lordosis of each segment was measured (L1-L2, L2-L3, L3-L4, L4-L5, and L5-S1), as well as the LL between L1 and S1. (Figure 1) We also considered the parameters PI, pelvic tilt (PT), sacral slope (SS), and the discrepancy between PI and LL (PI-LL). The demographic data and medical records of the patients were also considered. The patients were classified according to the sagittal alignment characteristics using the system proposed by Roussouly et al.9

Statistical analysis was performed using R software, version 3.4.9 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The data consisted of quantitative variables and the normality of distribution was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Radiographic parameters were compared among the different Roussouly classification types using the ANOVA test. The significance level considered was 5%.
the LL L1-S1 with the inflection point located at L1-L2. In the Roussouly type 3 patients, the lordosis between L4-S1 corresponded to 70.8% with the inflection point located at T12-L1. In the Roussouly type 4 patients, the lordosis between L4-S1 corresponded to 60.9% with the inflection point located at T12-L1.

**DISCUSSION**

It is well established that LL is dependent on the PI value and an increase in the PI value is directly proportional to the absolute value of the LL measured between L1-S1. However, in addition to the absolute value, a variation in the PI value also influences the behavior of the lumbar curvature, especially the extent of lordosis distribution. This concept was observed by Roussouly and was the basis for the classification system for the normal variants of sagittal spinal alignment presented. This study evaluated a sample of patients for spinopelvic sagittal alignment and classification according to the system proposed by Roussouly.

Laouissat et al. investigated the accuracy of the Roussouly classification, in a study with 296 individuals. Comparing their results with the results of our study, type 1 was the least frequent in both (12% vs. 15.6%, respectively), while type 3 was the most common in both (46% vs. 42.2%). In the article cited, type 2 accounted for 22% while in our study type 2 accounted for 20%. Type 4 made up 20% of the population in the Laouissat et al. study and 22.2% in our study.

Regarding the relationship between the radiographic parameters and the Roussouly classification, in this study we observed that the PI value was higher for types 3 and 4 than for types 1 and 2, with the
Roussouly type 4 value being higher than that of type 3. This result agrees with what was published in the original article by Roussouly et al.\(^9\) as well as with the Lacour et al. article.\(^10\) Likewise, the LL L5-S1 value was higher in types 3 and 4, being higher in type 4 than in type 3, as compared to types 1 and 2, just as observed in the cited articles.\(^9,10\)

The literature has shown that 2/3 of the total LL L1-S1 is located in the distal segment of the lumbar spine (L4-S1).\(^9,15\) Data from the present study showed that, in the total sample, segment L4-S1 was responsible for 67.2% of the LL L1-S1 value. Considering the different Roussouly classification types, the distribution of lordosis varied by lumbar segment: Type 1, with patients with low PI (mean 40°) and short lordosis, usually comprising three vertebrae, 76% of the LL L1-S1 being located between L4-S1; Type 2, also with low PI (46°) and a less pronounced lumbar curve, 62% of the LL L1-S1 located between L4-S1; Type 3, with a mean PI of 54°, with an increase in the number of vertebrae comprising the lordosis and 70% of the LL L1-S1 located between L4-S1; and Type 4, with a higher PI (mean 67°), a more pronounced and extensive LL (mean 70°), with 60% of the LL L1-S1 located between L4-S1.

A recent study evaluated spinopelvic sagittal alignment considering a sample of 268 individuals.\(^16\) It was also observed that 67% of the lumbar lordosis was located between L4 and S1, similar to that observed in our study and the other published articles. Moreover, the correlation between LL and PI was analyzed and an arithmetic expression was obtained from the linear regression model in which LL L1-S1 = 0.54xPI + 27.6 (R = 0.56). Thus, they demonstrated that in patients with lower PI (PI < 50°) the value of LL is expected to be higher than the PI value, while in patients with higher PI it is expected that the LL value will be lower than that of the PI, respecting the concept presented in the article by Schwab et al.\(^9\) that LL = PI ± 9°.\(^14\) In our study, we also observed a strong correlation between PI and LL, and by the linear regression model the value of LL L1-S1 = 0.83xPI + 13, with R=0.89 and R²=0.80 (p < 0.001).

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study presented an analysis of spinopelvic sagittal alignment in a sample of Brazilian patients and calculated the frequency distribution rate according to the Roussouly classification. The high correlation between PI and LL values was confirmed. We observed the important influence of the distal lumbar segment (L4-S1) in the overall values of LL L1-S1, even more significant in patients with lower PI (Roussouly types 1 and 2).
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