Systematics and Multi-Gene Phylogeny of the Subfamily Nothoholostichinae (Ciliophora, Hypotrichia), With Integrative Description of a New Marine Species Nothoholosticha luporinii n. sp.
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Morphogenesis of ciliated protists attracts a lot of attention, because their huge morphological diversity is related to formation of ciliary structures during cell division. In the present work, the morphology and morphogenesis as well as the phylogenetic position of a new, marine hypotrich ciliate, Nothoholosticha luporinii n. sp., were investigated. The new species is characterized by having a combination of the following features: a bicorona whose anterior row contains four frontal cirri and posterior row includes only two cirri, a single buccal cirrus, midventral complex composed of about 30 cirral pairs, one pretransverse cirrus, 3–6 transverse cirri, one left and one right marginal cirral row; three bipolar dorsal kineties; contractile vacuole located in about 2/3 of the body length, two types of cortical granules, and many macronuclear nodules scattered throughout the cytoplasm. The morphogenesis of N. luporinii follows the ontogenetic mode of Pseudokeronopsis, a well-known and closely related genus except that the macronucleus fuses into a single mass in the middle fission stage. Phylogenetic analyses based on the rDNA operon classify Nothoholosticha in the family Pseudokeronopsidae and support the distinctness of the new taxon as well as the monophyletic origin of the subfamily Nothoholostichinae.
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INTRODUCTION

Ciliates (phylum Ciliophora Doflein, 1901), a highly diverse and ubiquitously distributed group of unicellular microbial eukaryotes, play substantial roles in various ecosystems. A lot of attention has been therefore paid to their diversity, function and evolution (e.g., Bharti et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2019; Jung and Berger, 2019; Kaur et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019;
Yan et al., 2019; Gong et al., 2020; Shao et al., 2020; Sheng et al., 2020; Wang Y. R. et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Hypotrichs (subclass Hypotrichia Stein, 1859) are not only among the most differentiated ciliate groups, but also among the most confused ones in terms of their systematics and phylogeny (for reviews, see Berger, 1999, 2006, 2008, 2011; Luo et al., 2017; Song and Shao, 2017; Luo et al., 2018; Lyu et al., 2018; Kim and Min, 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Dong et al., 2020; Paiva, 2020; Park et al., 2020; Wang J. et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). In the present study, we focus on the hypotrich family Pseudokeronopsidae, which was established by Borror and Wicklow (1983). Hitherto, this family includes the following genera: *Antiokeronopsis* Fan et al., 2014b, *Apoholosticha* Fan et al., 2014a, *Heterokeronopsis* Pan et al., 2013, *Nothoholosticha* Li et al., 2009, *Pseudokeronopsis* Borror and Wicklow, 1983 (type genus), *Tetrakeronopsis* Paiva et al., 2014, and *Uroleptopsis* Kahl, 1932 (Li et al., 2009, 2016; Pan et al., 2013; Fan et al., 2014a,b; Paiva et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2015). Rather recently, the family Pseudokeronopsidae was divided into two subfamilies by Paiva et al. (2014): Nothoholostichinae Paiva et al., 2014, with an atypical bicorona whose anterior portion is formed by four frontal cirri, and Pseudokeronopsinae Borror and Wicklow, 1983, with a typical bicorona whose anterior portion is formed by more than four frontal cirri. As a result, *Apoholosticha*, *Heterokeronopsis*, *Nothoholosticha*, and *Tetrakeronopsis* were classified within the Nothoholostichinae, and only the three remaining genera, *Antiokeronopsis*, *Pseudokeronopsis*, and *Uroleptopsis*, were assigned to the Pseudokeronopsinae. The monophyly of both subfamilies are supported not only by the cirral pattern of the bicorona, but also by molecular analyses (Fan et al., 2014a; Huang et al., 2014; Paiva et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016).

So far, only two species have been assigned to the genus *Nothoholosticha*, namely, *N. fasciola* (Kahl, 1932) Li et al., 2009 (type species) and *N. flava* Li et al., 2016. In this study, a new species, *Nothoholosticha luporinii* n. sp., has been discovered in the intertidal sediment of Chizhou Island near the city of Shenzhen in the southern China. Its morphology, ontogenesis, and complete ribosomal operon (SSU rDNA, the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region, and LSU rDNA) have been studied to further extend our knowledge about the diversity and phylogeny of pseudokeronopsids.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling and Cultivation**

Samples including sea water and sediment were collected from the intertidal zone in the Daya Bay, Chizhou Island, near the city of Shenzhen, southern China (22°38′11″N, 114°38′32″E) on 1st April 2018, when the water temperature was 26°C and salinity was 32‰. The original sample was divided into aliquots that were used to establish raw cultures in Petri dishes. Single specimens of *Nothoholosticha luporinii* n. sp. were isolated from the raw cultures and used to set up clonal cultures in filtered *in situ* sea water at temperature (25°C). Some rice grains were added to stimulate the growth of bacteria, which served as prey organisms for ciliates.

**Taxonomic Methods and Terminology**

*Nothoholosticha luporinii* n. sp. was investigated using a combination of detailed *in vivo* observation and protargol impregnation. Living cells were observed under a microscope Olympus BX 53 (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) using bright field illumination and differential interference contrast optics at a magnification of 100–1,000×. Protargol impregnation followed the Wilbert’s method and served to reveal the nuclear apparatus and ciliary pattern of the new species (Wilbert, 1975). Also, the morphogenetic processes were rebuilt from the protargol-impregnated preparations. Stained cells were investigated mostly at high magnification (1,000×).

*In vivo* measurements were made from microphotographs of freely swimming specimens, while measurements on protargol-impregnated specimens were conducted using an ocular micrometer. Illustrations of living cells were based on free-hand sketches and photographs, while those of impregnated specimens were made at 1,000× magnification with the help of a drawing device. All illustrations were finally processed in Adobe PhotoShop CS5. To distinguish parental and daughter structures during the morphogenetic processes, new (daughter) structures are painted solid, while old ciliary structures are depicted by contour. General terminology and systematics mostly follow Berger (2006) and Lynn (2008).

**DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing**

Single cells were picked, carefully washed five times in filtered *in situ* marine water, and lysed in 45 μl of Cell Lysis Buffer (DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). To confirm the sequencing results, altogether three different types of samples were prepared: the first contained just one cell, the second comprised two cells, and finally the third included three cells. Genomic DNA was extracted with the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit, but only 1/4 of the suggested volume for all reagents was used as suggested by Lu et al. (2020). Amplification of SSU rDNA was achieved with the primers 82-F (5′-GAA ACT GCG AAT GGC TC-3′) (Jerome et al., 1996) and 18S-R (5′-TGA TCC TTC TGC AGC GTT ACC TAC-3′) (Medlin et al., 1988). Fragments containing ITS-5.8S rDNA and LSU rDNA were amplified with the primers ITS-F (5′-GGT GAA ACT GCG AAT GGC TC-3′) (Miao et al., 2008) and 28S-R (5′-AAC CTT GGA GAC CTG AT-3′) (Moreira et al., 2007), using the same thermo cycler program as described by Huang et al. (2014). PCR products were purified using the EasyPure® Quick Gel Extraction Kit (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and subsequently cloned using the pEASY® - Blunt Cloning Kit (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Recombinant plasmids were sequenced in both directions on an ABI-PRISM 3730 automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Tsingke Biological Technology Company, Qingdao, China) with the PCR primers. To obtain high quality sequences, two internal sequencing primers were used for SSU rDNA: 900F (5′-CGA TCA GAT ACC GTC CTA GT-3′) and 900R (5′-ACT AGG ACG GTA TCT GAT CG-3′), and also two internal primers for LSU rDNA: F2 (5′-GGA GTG TGT AAC AAC TCA CCT GC-3′) and
R3 (5′-CAT TCG GCA GGT GAG TTG TTA CAC-3′) (Zhao et al., 2014). Subsequently, the newly obtained sequences were carefully inspected, trimmed, and assembled into contigs using SeqMan Pro ver. 7.1.0 (Anson and Myers, 1997). Sequences obtained from all samples were identical and therefore only those derived from the single-cell sample were included into the subsequent phylogenetic analyses.

**Molecular Phylogeny**
The newly obtained sequences were blasted against the nucleotide NCBI database. The BLASTn algorithm revealed that the new species belongs to the core urostylids (subclass Hypotrichia). Sequences of all related urostylids, except for those without associated publication information, were included into the phylogenetic analyses. The taxon sampling in the single-gene dataset (SSU rDNA) and in the concatenated, multi-gene dataset (SSU rDNA + ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 + LSU rDNA) mostly followed Huang et al. (2014) and Zhao et al. (2014). SeaView ver. 4 was used to prepare the concatenated dataset (Galtier et al., 1996; Gouy et al., 2010). Oxytricha granulifera (accession no. AF508762), Stylonchia lemmae (accession no. AF508773), Stylonchia mytilus (accession no. AF508774), Sterkiella nova (accession no. AF508771), and Sterkiella histriomuscorum (accession no. FJ545743) were used as outgroup taxa. GenBank accession numbers are provided in Supplementary Tables S1, S2.

Sequences were aligned online using the MAFFT algorithm on the GUIDANCE2 server with the following parameters: the 6mer pairwise method, the maximum number of 100 iterations, and 100 bootstrap repeats (Landan and Graur, 2008; Sela et al., 2015). The 5′ and 3′ ends of the resulting alignments were trimmed manually in the program BioEdit ver. 7.0 (Hall, 1999). The number of unmatched nucleotides and the pairwise SSU rDNA sequence identities within the subfamily Nothoholostichinae were calculated in the program BioEdit, using the “sequence difference count matrix” and “sequence identity matrix” options, respectively. The single-gene alignment contained 1,530 nucleotide positions, while the multiple-gene alignment comprised 3,078 positions.

Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were performed in RAxML-HPC2 ver. 8.2.10 on XSEDE (Stamatakis, 2014) on the CIPRES Science Gateway, using the GTR + gamma evolutionary model and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian inference (BI) was carried out in MrBayes ver. 3.2.6 on XSEDE (Ronquist et al., 2012) with the GTR + I + G evolutionary model selected by MrModeltest ver. 2.2 via the Akaiake information criterion (Nylander, 2004). Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations were run for six million generations with a sampling frequency of 100 and a burn-in of 6,000 trees (10%). Remaining trees were used to calculate the 50%-majority rule consensus trees and their posterior probabilities. ML and BI trees were computed as unrooted and were rooted using the outgroup taxa in FigTree ver. 1.3.4.

1https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
2http://guidance.tau.ac.il/ver2/
3http://www.phylo.org
4http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/

**RESULTS**

**Systematics**

Subclass Hypotrichia Stein, 1859

Family Pseudokeronopsidae Borró and Wicklow, 1983

Subfamily Nothoholostichinae Paiva et al., 2014

Genus *Nothoholosticha* Li et al., 2009

*Nothoholosticha luporinii* n. sp.

**Zoobank registration number of work.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:8E7FD944-5497-4AF0-9FC4-0B8280B07F41

**Zoobank registration number of new species.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:AB581D7E-CB84-42C0-8528-1836369B3185

**Diagnosis**

Size *in vivo* 130–280 × 25–60 μm. Many macronuclear nodules. One contractile vacuole located near left body margin in about 2/3 of body length. Two types of cortical granules: big ones colourless, 1.5–2.0 μm in length, irregularly ellipsoid or slightly blood-cell shaped, and densely distributed throughout cortex; small ones bright brown-reddish in color, spherical, clustered in groups around dorsal bristles or sparsely arranged along cirral rows. Six frontal cirri arranged in two rows (four cirri in anterior row and two cirri in posterior row), 3–6 frontoterminal cirri, one buccal cirrus, one pretransverse cirrus, 3–6 transverse cirri, midventral complex composed of 17–43 cirral pairs, 38–84 left and 43–91 right marginal cirri. Three dorsal kinetics. Adoral zone bipartite, composed of 7–13 crown and 23–39 lapel membranelles.

**Type Locality**

Sediment from the intertidal zone of Daya Bay, Chizhou Island, Shenzhen, southern China (22°38′11″N, 114°38′32″E).

**Type Material**

The protargol slide (no. ZTY2018040101_1) with the holotype specimen (Figures 1K,L, 2I) marked with an ink circle, and eight paratype slides (no. ZTY2018040101_2–9), are deposited in the Laboratory of Protozoology, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China.

**Gene Sequences**

The nuclear SSU rDNA, ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 and LSU rDNA sequences have been deposited in GenBank under the following accession nos. MW035040, MW035039, and MW035042.

**Dedication**

We dedicate this species to Prof. Dr. Pierangelo Luporini (University of Camerino, Italy) in recognition of his great contributions to ciliatology.

**Morphological Description of Nothoholosticha luporinii** n. sp.

Size of specimens from fresh raw cultures about 130–280 × 25–60 μm, usually 200 × 35 μm *in vivo*. Body elongate ellipsoidal
with conspicuous longitudinal groove along midventral cirral complex (Figure 2F, arrowhead), anterior end broadly rounded and wider than posterior one; dorsoventrally flattened from about 2:1 to 3:2; rather flexible but not contractile (Figures 1A–E, 2A–E). About 34–80 ellipsoidal macronuclear nodules scattered throughout cytoplasm, individual nodules approximately 3–5 × 6–11 µm in size after protargol impregnation; 1–3 globular micronuclei but exact number difficult to determine because hardly distinguishable from similar-sized and impregnated cytoplasmic inclusions (Figures 1G,J,L, 2I,J,N). Contractile vacuole approximately 15 µm across during diastole, located in posterior two thirds of body length near left body margin (Figures 1A–D, 2A–C, arrows). Cytoplasm colourless, transparent at high magnifications,
FIGURE 2 | *Nothoholosticha luporinii* n. sp. from life (A–H) and after protargol impregnation (I–N). (A–D) Ventral (A–C) and lateral (D) overviews of different individuals, showing the body shape and the localization of the contractile vacuole (arrows in A–C). (E) Free-foraging individuals, arrow indicates a mass of microalgae. (F) Ventral view of the posterior body portion, showing the red cortical granules (arrow) and the longitudinal groove along the midventral cirral complex (arrowhead). (G) Ventral view of the anterior body portion, showing the gap between the two parts of the adoral zone (triangle) and the buccal cavity (arrowhead). (H) Dorsal surface view, showing the arrangement of colourless (arrowheads) and bright red (arrows) cortical granules. (I,J) Ventral view of the holotype (I) and the paratype (J) specimen, showing the ciliary pattern and the nuclear apparatus. Triangles mark the gap between the two parts of the adoral zone of membranelles. (K,L) Ventral (K) and dorsal (L) views of the anterior body portion of the same specimen. Arrowheads in (K) indicate the frontoterminal cirri, double-arrowhead shows the buccal cirrus. (M) Ventral view of the posterior body portion, arrowhead denotes the single pretransverse cirrus. (N) Details of the macronuclear nodules, arrows mark replication bands. BI, bicorona; Ma, macronuclear nodules; TC, transverse cirri; 1–3, dorsal kineties. Scale bars = 5 µm (N), 35 µm (G,K–M), 100 µm (A–D,I,J), and 200 µm (E).

packed with macronuclear nodules, lipid droplets, and food vacuoles. Cortex flexible, contains two types of granules: type I bigger, i.e., 1.5–2.0 µm in diameter, irregularly ellipsoidal or slightly blood cell-shaped, colourless, narrowly arranged underneath cortex, possibly mitochondria (Figures 1I,J, 2F–H, arrowheads); type II smaller, i.e., about 0.5 µm in diameter, bright brown-reddish, clustered in a flower-like pattern around dorsal bristles or sparsely arranged along cirral rows (Figures 1I,J, 2F,H, arrows), provides cells with a reddish-brown appearance under low magnifications (40×, 100× and 200×; Figures 2A–E) and with a yellowish appearance under moderate magnification (400×) (Figures 2F,G). Crawls moderately slowly on debris particles, sometimes swims by rotation about main body axis.
TABLE 1 | Morphometric characterization of Nothoholosticha luporinii n. sp.

| Character                                           | Min  | Max  | Mean | SD   | CV   | N  |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| Body, length                                        | 190.0| 315.0| 253.5| 255.0| 31.5 | 12.4| 20 |
| Body, width                                         | 35.0 | 100.0| 70.8 | 67.5 | 19.3 | 27.3| 20 |
| Body length : width, ratio                          | 2.5  | 7.3  | 3.9  | 3.7  | 1.2  | 31.5| 20 |
| Anterior body end to buccal cirrus, distance        | 27.0 | 44.0 | 35.1 | 35.0 | 4.2  | 12.0| 20 |
| Anterior body end to paroral membrane, distance     | 24.0 | 39.0 | 30.7 | 30.0 | 3.8  | 12.3| 20 |
| Anterior body end to endoral membrane, distance     | 25.0 | 40.0 | 32.2 | 32.0 | 3.7  | 11.3| 20 |
| Macronuclear nodules, number                        | 34.0 | 80.0 | 58.2 | 56.5 | 11.7 | 20.1| 20 |
| Frontal cirri, number                               | 6.0  | 6.0  | 6.0  | 6.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 | 20 |
| Buccal cirri, number                                | 1.0  | 1.0  | 1.0  | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 | 20 |
| Frontoterminal cirri, number                        | 3.0  | 6.0  | 3.7  | 3.5  | 0.8  | 22.3| 20 |
| Midventral cirral pairs, number                     | 17.0 | 43.0 | 30.7 | 30.5 | 6.8  | 22.1| 20 |
| Left marginal cirri, number                         | 38.0 | 84.0 | 62.0 | 62.5 | 11.6 | 18.6| 20 |
| Right marginal cirri, number                        | 43.0 | 91.0 | 69.0 | 71.5 | 11.9 | 17.2| 20 |
| Pretransverse cirrus, number                        | 1.0  | 6.0  | 1.0  | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 | 20 |
| Transverse cirri, number                            | 3.0  | 6.0  | 4.7  | 5.0  | 0.7  | 15.6| 20 |
| Adoral zone of membranelles, length                 | 45.0 | 75.0 | 62.8 | 62.5 | 8.0  | 12.8| 20 |
| Adoral zone of membranelles, % of body length       | 21.0 | 30.6 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 2.7  | 10.7| 20 |
| Adoral membranelles, total number                   | 31.0 | 50.0 | 41.3 | 41.0 | 5.9  | 14.3| 20 |
| Crown membranelles, number                          | 7.0  | 13.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 1.7  | 17.5| 20 |
| Lapel membranelles, number                          | 23.0 | 39.0 | 31.3 | 31.5 | 4.7  | 15.0| 20 |
| Paroral membrane, length                            | 6.0  | 15.0 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 3.2  | 28.5| 20 |
| Endoral membrane, length                            | 18.0 | 35.0 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 4.9  | 18.1| 20 |
| Dorsal kinetics, number                             | 3.0  | 3.0  | 3.0  | 3.0  | 0.0  | 0.0 | 20 |
| Bristles in dorsal kinety 1, number                 | 19.0 | 45.0 | 32.7 | 31.0 | 7.7  | 23.6| 20 |
| Bristles in dorsal kinety 2, number                 | 16.0 | 37.0 | 26.9 | 26.0 | 6.1  | 22.7| 20 |
| Bristles in dorsal kinety 3, number                 | 16.0 | 42.0 | 27.8 | 28.5 | 7.1  | 25.5| 20 |

All data based on protargol-impregnated specimens. Measurements in μm. Min, minimum; Max, maximum; Mean, arithmetic mean; SD, standard deviation; M, median; CV, coefficient of variation in %; N, number of cells investigated.

Cirri about 10–15 μm long in vivo; number of frontal, buccal, and pretransverse cirri invariable, while number of frontoterminal cirri, midventral cirral pairs, transverse, and marginal cirri rather highly variable (CV = 15.6–22.3%) (Table 1). Frontal cirri approximately 13 μm long in vivo, arranged in an atypical bicornora, invariably four cirri in anterior coronal row and constantly two cirri in posterior coronal row (Figure 1K). Buccal cirrus about 10 μm long in vivo, situated right of mid-portion of paroral membrane (Figure 1K, red arrowhead, Figure 2K, black double arrowhead). Three to six frontoterminal cirri, about 10 μm long in vivo, located posterior to distal end of crown adoral membranelles (Figure 1K, green area, Figure 2K, black arrowheads). Midventral complex consists of 17–43 cirral pairs arranged in a zigzag pattern, left cirrus of midventral pairs slightly longer than right one, i.e., about 10 vs. 9 μm (Figures 1K, 2J); posterior most cirrus of midventral complex, labeled as a pretransverse cirrus, distinctly shifted toward transverse cirri and hence more or less separated from midventral complex (Figures 1F, 2M, arrowhead). Three to six transverse cirri, about 13–15 μm long in vivo, arranged in an oblique row (Figures 1F, K, yellow rectangle, Figures 2J, M). One left and one right marginal cirral row, composed of 38–84 and 43–91 cirri, respectively, individual cirri about 11 μm long in vivo (Figures 1K, 2J).

Dorsal bristles about 4 μm long in vivo, arranged in three bipolar rows. All three dorsal kineties begin subapically and extend to posterior body end (Figures 1L, 2L). Dorsal kinety 1 composed of 19–45 dikinetids, kinety 2 of 16–37, and kinety 3 of 16–42 (Table 1). Caudal cirri absent.

Adoral zone of membranelles occupies 20–30% of body length; bipartite, i.e., divided into crown and lapel region separated by a conspicuous gap (Figures 1A, K, 2L–K and Table 1). Crown region composed of 7–13 membranelles arranged in an arch-shaped pattern along anterior cell pole, length of membranellar cilia 18–22 μm. Lapel region composed of 23–39 membranelles forming a Gonostomum-like pattern, i.e., extends along left body margin to one fifth or third of body length, where it bends rather abruptly rightwards to run almost in parallel with undulating membranes, length of membranellar cilia up to 17 μm. Undulating membranes arranged in a Pseudokeronopsis-like pattern, i.e., paroral and endoral almost straight, extend in parallel and only partially overlap. Endoral membrane commences posterior to buccal cirrus and runs to buccal vertex, 18–35 μm long after protargol impregnation. Paroral membrane begins anterior to endoral, remarkably shorter than endoral, i.e., only 6–15 μm long in protargol preparations (Figures 1K, 2L–K).
Morphogenesis of *Nothoholosticha luporinii* n. sp.

Oral Primordium and Cirral Streaks

Morphogenesis commences with *de novo* formation of small groups of basal bodies adjacent to left cirri of the midventral complex about in the mid-body (*Figure 6A*). Groups of proliferating basal bodies join to form a longitudinal field, i.e., the oral primordium of the opisthe. Simultaneously, the proter's oral primordium develops as a single anarchic field of closely spaced basal bodies in the region of the buccal vertex (*Figures 3A, 6B,C*). New adoral membranelles differentiate within the oral primordium of both the proter and the opisthe in a posteriad direction (*Figures 3B,C, 6D–F*). The undulating membranes (UM) anlage (streak I) forms to the right of and possibly from the oral primordium both in the proter and the opisthe (*Figures 3C, 4A, 6F, arrowheads*). The anterior portion of the UM anlage splits a single cirrus that migrates anteriorly to become the leftmost frontal cirrus in the anterior row of the bicornate (*Figures 4C, 6J,K, arrowheads*). Then, the UM anlage divides longitudinally to give rise to the paroral membrane and the endoral membrane (*Figures 4C, 6J–N, arrowheads*). Meanwhile, multiple frontal-midventral-transverse (FVT) cirral anlagen develop as series of oblique streaks to the right of the oral primordia (*Figures 3C, 4A,C, 6F,J,K, arrows*). Streak II (FVT

![Figure 3](https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2020.610886#fig3)
FIGURE 4 | *Nothoholosticha luporinii* n. sp., a late mid-divider (A,B) and a late divider (C,D) after protargol impregnation. Magnified details of the proter’s ciliature are in green colour, while those of the opisthe’s ciliature are in blue colour. (A,B) Ventral (A) and dorsal (B) views of a late mid-divider, showing the undulating membrane anlagen (purple arrowheads), frontal-midventral-transverse cirral anlagen (red arrows), the anlagen for marginal cirral rows and dorsal kineties, and the dividing macronuclear mass. (C,D) Ventral (C) and dorsal (D) views of a late divider, showing the buccal cirri (red double-arrowheads), frontal cirri (red arrowheads), undulating membranes (purple arrowheads), frontal-midventral-transverse cirral anlagen differentiating into cirri (red arrows), and dividing macronucleus. DKA, dorsal kinety anlagen; LMA, left marginal cirral row anlagen; Ma, macronucleus; Mi, micronuclei; n, last frontal-midventral-transverse cirral anlage; n−1, n−2, n−3, n−4, second, third, fourth, and fifth rearmost frontal-midventral-transverse cirral anlagen; RMA, right marginal cirral row anlagen; S1–3, first, second, and third streaks. Scale bars = 140 μm.

anlage I) generates the second frontal cirrus of the anterior row of the biconora as well as the buccal cirrus, which migrates toward the newly formed paroral membrane in mid-dividers; streak III (FVT anlage II) splits the third frontal cirrus of the anterior row of the biconora as well as the left frontal cirrus of the posterior row of the biconora; streak IV (FVT anlage III) produces the rightmost frontal cirrus of the anterior row of the biconora as well as the right frontal cirrus of the posterior row of the biconora; the rearmost (rightmost) streak develops the rightmost transverse cirrus and the 3–6 frontoterminal cirri, which migrate anteriorly to their species-specific position during the late division stages; streak $n−1$ ($n$ represents the last FVT cirral anlage) provides the rearmost midventral cirral pair, a single pretransverse cirrus and one transverse cirrus. Streaks $n−2$ to $n−5$ (deduced from morphometric data) contribute one midventral cirral pair and a single transverse cirrus each (Figures 4C, 5A,B, 6L,N–Q). The remaining streaks (FVT anlagen) provide one midventral cirral pair each (Figures 5A,B). When the formation of the new oral apparatus is almost completed in each daughter cell, new cirri migrate to their final positions.

Marginal and Dorsal Anlagen

The proter’s left and right marginal cirral row anlagen form within the parental marginal cirral rows, very likely by dissociation of some cirri, about at level of the growing...
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FIGURE 5 | Nothoholosticha luporinii n. sp., a late (A) and a very late (B,C) divider after protargol impregnation. Magnified details of the proter’s ciliature are in green colour, while those of the opisthe’s ciliature are in blue colour. Frontal-ventral-transverse cirri originating from the same anlagen are connected by dotted lines. (A) Ventral view of a late divider, showing the buccal cirri (red double-arrowheads), the leftmost frontal cirri (red arrowheads), the single pretransverse cirri (black arrows), and the migrating frontoterminal cirri. (B,C) Ventral (B) and dorsal (C) views of a very late divider, showing the formation of a gap in the adoral zone of membranelles (black triangles) as well as the formation of the anlagen for marginal cirral rows and dorsal kineties. The leftmost frontal cirri are marked by red arrowheads, the pretransverse cirri by black arrows. A1 and A2, crown and lapel adoral membranelles; DKA, dorsal kinety anlagen; FT, frontoterminal cirri; LMA, left marginal cirral row anlagen; Ma, macronuclear nodules; MP, midventral cirral pairs; RMA, right marginal cirral row anlagen; TC, transverse cirri. Scale bars = 140 µm.
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proter’s oral primordium. Similarly, the opisthe’s left and right marginal cirral row anlagen develop within the parental marginal cirral rows about at level of the opisthe’s oral primordium (Figures 3C, 6I). The marginal row anlagen extend posteriorly, gradually producing new cirri already in early mid-dividers and stretch toward both ends of the dividing cell to form new ones for each daughter cell (Figures 4A,C, 5A,B). The morphogenesis of the dorsal side ciliature begins in mid-dividers (Figure 3D). Specifically, within-row primordia appear in the parental dorsal kineties at two sites, viz., anterior and posterior to
the prospective fission area (Figure 4B). The new dorsal kineties elongate and obtain their characteristic positions in late dividers (Figures 4D, 5C, 6M).

Nuclear Division
The macronuclear nodules fuse in mid-dividers to a branched mass (Figures 3D, 6G), becoming oblong before and during the cell fission (Figure 4B). The elongate macronucleus divides into two pieces in late mid-dividers (Figure 4D). Each piece develops into a tree-dimensional macronuclear reticulum that gradually fragments into individual ellipsoidal nodules in very late dividers (Figure 5C). Micronuclei divide only once during the middle stages of binary fission (Figures 3D, 4B, D, 6G). More specifically, the micronuclei become spindle-shaped when the macronuclear nodules are fused into a branched mass (Figures 3D, 6G). Then, the micronuclei assume a dumbbell-shaped morphology.
as the macronuclear mass elongates into an oblong structure constricted in the middle (Figure 4B). Finally, the daughter micronuclei are connected by an internal fiber bundle that conspicuously elongates in late dividers. During the post-divisional patterning, the micronuclei move to the scattered macronuclear nodules (Figure 5C).

**Phylogenetic Analyses**

Both maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian (BI) trees were constructed to determine the phylogenetic position of *N. luporinii* n. sp. (Figures 7, 8). Although the taxon sampling slightly differed between the single- and multi-gene datasets, *N. luporinii* was consistently assigned to the order Urostylida with very strong or full statistical support. All members of the family Pseudokeronopsidae, including *N. luporinii*, always clustered together with *Anteholosticha pulchra* with full statistical support. Monophilies of the Pseudokeronopsinae and Nothoholostichinae were strongly to fully statistically supported in phylogenetic analyses of both single- and multi-gene datasets. The Pseudokeronopsinae clustered with the Nothoholostichinae in the multi-gene trees, but with very weak support (50% ML, 0.79 BI). On the other hand, their sister-group relationship was not recognized in the single-gene trees, as the Pseudokeronopsinae grouped with *A. pulchra*, but with very weak support (63% ML, 0.62 BI).

According to the single-gene and multi-gene trees, *N. luporinii* clustered with full statistical support in the monophyletic subfamily Nothoholostichinae, which encompasses *N. fasciola*, *N. flava*, *Heterokeronopsis pulchra* Pan et al., 2013, and *Apoloholosticha sinica* Fan et al., 2014a. Within this subfamily,
the genus *Nothoholosticha* was depicted as monophyletic with very weak support in the single-gene analyses (73% ML, 0.91 BI), but with full statistical support in the multi-gene analyses. *Nothoholosticha luporinii* was shown to be most closely related to *N. fasciola*, but this relationship was very poorly statistically supported in all analyses. According to the multi-gene analyses, *Nothoholosticha* was sister to the monotypic genus *Heterokeronopsis*. And, finally, *Apoloholosticha* was placed in a sister position to the *Nothoholosticha-Heterokeronopsis* cluster in the multi-gene trees.

The number of unmatched nucleotide positions and the pairwise SSU rDNA sequence similarities among members of the subfamily *Nothoholostichinae* are summarized in Table 2. *Nothoholosticha luporinii* differs from *N. flava* by 13 nucleotide positions (99.1% sequence identity), from *N. fasciola* by 14 nucleotides (99.1% identity), from *H. pulchra* by 21 nucleotides (98.6% identity), from *T. silvanetoi* by 26 nucleotides (98.3% identity, the 121 Ns positions in the *T. silvanetoi* sequence were coded according to other related species as this region was fully conserved), from *A. sinica* population 1 by 26 nucleotides (98.3% identity), and from *A. sinica* population 2 by 25 nucleotides (98.4% identity).

**DISCUSSION**

The New Species *Nothoholosticha luporinii*

*Nothoholosticha luporinii* n. sp. can be easily separated from members of the genera *Anteholosticha*, *Antiokeronopsis*, *Pseudokeronopsis*, and *Uroleptopsis* by having an atypical bicorona composed of four anterior and two posterior frontal cirri (vs. only three frontal cirri in *Anteholosticha* and more than four anterior coronal cirri in the three latter genera); from members of the genus *Apoloholosticha* by possessing (vs. lacking) buccal cirri; and from *Heterokeronopsis* by exhibiting...
(vs. lacking) frontoterminal and transverse cirri. On the other hand, the new species highly resembles taxa assigned to the genera *Nothoholosticha* and *Tetrakeronopsis* (Figure 9). Hitherto, *Nothoholosticha* comprises two species, *N. fasciola* and *N. flava*, while *Tetrakeronopsis* is monotypic and includes only *T. silvanetoi*. Our newly discovered species cannot be confused with *N. fasciola*, the type species of *Nothoholosticha*, because it lacks frontoterminal cirri (Li et al., 2009). On the other hand, *N. luporinii* highly resembles *N. flava* in the body shape and size as well as in the number and arrangement of the macronuclear nodules and cirri (Li et al., 2016). Morphologically, *N. luporinii* can be distinguished from *N. flava* by the lower number of crown (7–13 vs. 12–17) and lapel (23–39 vs. 36–47) adoral membranelles. However, the proper identification requires molecular data, because both differentiating characters partially overlap. The SSU rDNA sequences of *N. luporinii* and *N. flava* differ in 13 nucleotide positions (Table 2), which undoubtedly supports the distinctness of both taxa.

Because of the high morphological similarity, it might be speculated whether *N. luporinii* should not be classified as a subspecies of *N. flava*. However, the 13 different nucleotide positions in the SSU rDNA stand strongly against this suggestion. This is, indeed, a very pronounced genetic difference as the SSU rRNA gene is highly conservative with a rate of only 1.24–3.96 × 10^{-4} substitutions per site per one million years (Wright and Lynn, 1997; Vďačný, 2015; Vďačný et al., 2019). Kumar et al. (2017) showed that one base pair difference in SSU rDNA is sufficient to separate two closely related hypotrich taxa. Although *Bistichella variabilis* (HQ699895), *Uroleptoides magnigranulosus* (AM412774), and *Orthoamphistiella breviseries* (AY498654) are classified in different genera on the basis of morphological data, they differ only by 5–9 nucleotide positions (99.5–99.7% identity) in their SSU rDNA sequences (He and Xu, 2011). In this light, we find the species status of *N. luporinii* proposed in the present study to be justified.

Finally, *N. luporinii* can be distinguished from *T. silvanetoi* by the higher number of frontoterminal cirri (3–6 vs. invariably 2), the lower number of pretransverse cirri (1 vs. 2), the lower number of crown (7–13 vs. 12–17) and lapel (23–39 vs. 40–52) adoral membranelles as well as by the arrangement of the smaller type of bright brown–reddish cortical granules (clustered in a flower-like pattern around dorsal bristles vs. loosely scattered throughout the cortex). The morphological differences are supported also by the genetic differences in SSU rDNA sequences. Thus, there are 21 unmatched nucleotides between *N. luporinii* and *T. silvanetoi* (Table 2).

### Generic Classification of *Nothoholosticha luporinii*

Generic classification of hypotrichs is traditionally based on the cirral and oral patterns as well as on the morphogenesis of the ventral and the dorsal ciliature (for reviews, see Berger, 1999, 2006, 2008, 2011). The generic classification of *N. luporinii* is a difficult matter, because its cirral pattern is a mixture of features found in the type species of *Nothoholosticha* (an “extra” cirrus between the midventral complex and transverse cirri) and the monotypic genus *Tetrakeronopsis* (multiple frontoterminal cirri). More specifically, *N. fasciola*, the type species of *Nothoholosticha*, lacks frontoterminal cirri (Li et al., 2009), which are present not only in two further *Nothoholosticha* species, *N. flava* and *N. luporinii*, but also in *T. silvanetoi* (Paiva et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; present study). The extra cirrus, situated between the midventral complex and transverse cirri, is interpreted as the last cirrus of the midventral complex in *N. fasciola* and *N. flava* (Li et al., 2009, 2016), while as a pretransverse cirrus in *N. luporinii*. Regardless of the terminology, it is very likely the same cirrus, which is derived from the second rarmost frontal-midventral-transverse cirral streak n − 1 (Figures 4C, 5A,B). *Tetrakeronopsis* exhibits even two cirri between the midventral complex and transverse cirri. Because these two extra cirri are situated ahead of the rightmost transverse cirri, they also might be designated as pretransverse cirri. The right pretransverse cirrus is derived from the rarmost streak n and the left pretransverse cirrus from the second rarmost streak n − 1 (Paiva et al., 2014). In this light, all *Nothoholosticha* species have retained only the left pretransverse cirrus and *N. fasciola* further lost the frontoterminal cirr, which are derived from the rarmost streak n in *N. luporinii* and *Tetrakeronopsis* (Figure 9). Because *N. luporinii* is more closely related to *N. fasciola* than to *T. silvanetoi* in SSU DNA phylogenies (Figure 7), we classify our new species in the genus *Nothoholosticha*. However, the results of the present phylogenetic analyses question the generic diagnostic value of the loss of frontoterminal and pretransverse cirri in *N. fasciola*, which are derived from the two rarmost frontal-midventral-transverse cirral anlagen. Nevertheless, we prefer to await discovery
FIGURE 9 | Comparison of cirral patterns of some related urostylid genera. Cirri originating from the two rightmost (rearmost) frontal-midventral-transverse anlagen are connected by a dotted line. (A) *N. luporinii* n. sp. (B) *N. flava*. (C) *N. fasciola*. Note that the “extra” cirrus is regarded as a pretransverse cirrus in *N. luporinii* (A), while as a midventral cirrus in the two remaining *Nothoholosticha* species (B, C).

Classification Framework of the Subfamily Nothoholostichinae

The structure of the bicorona represents an important subfamily-level character that was used to divide the family Pseudokeronopsidae into two subfamilies by Paiva et al. (2014): the Nothoholostichinae, with an atypical bicorona whose anterior portion is formed by four frontal cirri, and the Pseudokeronopsinae, with a typical bicorona whose anterior portion is formed by more than four frontal cirri. The present phylogenetical analyses corroborate this subdivision as well as the monophyletic origin of the Nothoholostichinae (Figures 7, 8). Interestingly, there are as many as three monotypic genera within the subfamily Nothoholostichinae. However, they can be easily distinguished from each other either by the loss or by the retention of some cirri, with respect to the type genus *Nothoholosticha*. Thus, *Apolocholestica* lost the buccal cirrus, *Heterokeronopsis* lost the frontoterminal and transverse cirri, while *Tetrakeronopsis* maintained both the left and the right pretransverse cirrus (Pan et al., 2013; Fan et al., 2014a; Paiva et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2015; Figure 9), which seems to be the plesiomorphic condition in the family Pseudokeronopsidae. Remarkably, frontoterminal cirri were lost in *Heterokeronopsis* and *N. fasciola*, but not in any other *Nothoholosticha* species. Homoplasic nature of this character thus questions its taxonomic significance at genus level. On the other hand, the loss of the buccal cirrus in *Heterokeronopsis* and of the right pretransverse cirrus in *Nothoholosticha* might serve as good generic characters at the present state of knowledge. Likewise,
the long midventral row found in *Heterokeronopsis*, seems to be another good generic diagnostic feature for separation of genera within the subfamily Nothoholostichinae.

**Multi-Gene Phylogenetic Analyses of the Family Pseudokeronopsidae**

The SSU rRNA gene is very conservative and it is generally known that it bears mainly information for deeper nodes of phylogenetic trees. Therefore, manifold studies pursued to improve the knowledge about phylogenetic interrelationships within the subclass Hypotrichia using mostly sequences of that gene (e.g., Luo et al., 2017, 2018; Song and Shao, 2017; Lyu et al., 2018; Kim and Min, 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Dong et al., 2020; Paiva, 2020; Park et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). On the other hand, the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region as well as the LSU rRNA gene are much faster evolving parts of the rDNA operon and hence bear phylogenetic signal also for more recent divergences (Abraham et al., 2019). Therefore, the concatenation of SSU rDNA, ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region and LSU rDNA might lead to better resolved phylogenetic trees, as also evidenced in the present study (Figures 7, 8). For instance, *Anteholosticha pulchra*, a typical urostylid, clustered in a sister position to the subfamily Pseudokeronopsinae in the SSU rDNA tree, causing non-monophyly of the family Pseudokeronopsidae (Figure 7), as defined by Paiva et al. (2014). On the other hand, *A. pulchra* was placed outside the Pseudokeronopsidae in the multi-gene trees although the statistical support remained poor (Figure 8). This position is, however, much more consistent with morphological classifications, because *A. pulchra* possesses three enlarged frontal cirri while pseudokeronopsids have a bicornora. The internal branching pattern within the subfamilies Pseudokeronopsinae and Nothoholostichea as well as the placement of the new species within the genus *Nothoholostichia* were also much better statistically supported in multi-gene than in the single-gene analyses (Figures 7, 8). Likewise, the sister-group relationship of *Uroleptopsis citrina* and *Pseudokeronopsis flavia* within the Pseudokeronopsinae obtained much better support in the multi-gene trees. Thus, the whole rDNA operon strongly suggests that the genus *Pseudokeronopsis* is non-monophyletic and might be split into multiple genera in future. *Pseudokeronopsidae* species are, however, highly similar in terms of their nuclear apparatus and cirral patterns (for details, see Li et al., 2017). This was the main reason why multiple species were synonymized, misidentified, or their species status was questioned. Nevertheless, the distinctness of most *Pseudokeronopsis* species was corroborated by analyses of SSU rDNA sequences along with ITS-5.8S rDNA sequences (Li et al., 2017). To summarize, the combination of the traditionally used SSU rDNA sequences with ITS region and LSU rDNA sequences improves phylogenetic inferences and classifications of pseudokeronopsids in specific and of ciliates in general.
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