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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mutations in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene (CFTR) affect the quantity and/or function of CFTR protein reaching the cell surface. Ivacaftor, a CFTR potentiator that enhances chloride transport, increases the channel-open probability of normal and dysfunctional CFTR. Initially approved for people with CF (pwCF) with G551D-CFTR gating mutations, ivacaftor demonstrated clinical benefit in pwCF with other gating mutations and certain residual function mutations, including R117H-CFTR, in clinical studies. We evaluated the long-term safety and efficacy of ivacaftor in pwCF aged 6 years and older with non-G551D-CFTR ivacaftor-responsive mutations.

Methods: Efficacy and safety data from a phase 3, multicenter, open-label, extension study for participants from Study 110 (R117H-CFTR mutations), Study 111 (non–G551D-CFTR gating mutations), and Study 113 (n-of-1 pilot study in participants with residual CFTR function) were analyzed. Following washout from the randomized parent study, participants received oral ivacaftor 150 mg once every 12 h for 104 weeks.

Results: Forty-one of 121 participants completed treatment through 104 weeks; 59 participants who did not complete the extension study continued treatment with commercial ivacaftor. The most common adverse events were pulmonary exacerbation (46.3%) and cough (33.9%). Most treatment-emergent adverse events were mild/moderate in severity and consistent with manifestations of CF or the ivacaftor safety profile. Rapid, durable
improvement occurred across all efficacy endpoints. 

**Conclusions:** Ivacaftor was generally safe and well tolerated with no new safety concerns for up to 104 weeks in pwCF with ivacaftor-responsive mutations. The pattern of improvement across efficacy endpoints was durable and generally consistent with parent-study outcomes.

**Trial Registration:** NCT01707290
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## Key Summary Points

### Why carry out this study?
Initially approved for people with G551D-CFTR gating mutations, ivacaftor demonstrated clinical benefit in pwCF with other gating mutations and certain residual function mutations, including R117H-CFTR, in clinical studies.

We evaluated the long-term safety and efficacy of ivacaftor in pwCF aged ≥6 years with non–G551D-CFTR ivacaftor-responsive mutations in a rollover extension of three parent studies.

### What was learned from the study?
Ivacaftor was generally safe and well tolerated with no new safety concerns for up to 104 weeks in pwCF with non–G551D-CFTR ivacaftor-responsive mutations.

The pattern of improvement across efficacy endpoints was durable and generally consistent with parent study outcomes.

## INTRODUCTION

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an autosomal recessive disease caused by mutations in the CF transmembrane conductance regulator gene (CFTR) that affect the quantity and/or function of CFTR protein at the cell surface [1].

Ivacaftor (Kalydeco®) is a CFTR potentiator that enhances chloride transport by increasing the channel-open (i.e., gating) probability of both wild-type and dysfunctional CFTR protein at the cell surface [2]. Ivacaftor was initially approved in 2012 for the treatment of people with CF (pwCF) with a G551D-CFTR gating mutation [1, 2]. Since then, ivacaftor has demonstrated clinical benefit in more trials and in real-world data, and it is now approved in the United States for pwCF aged 6 months and older who have a mutation responsive to ivacaftor, including gating mutations and certain mutations associated with residual CFTR function (Appendix S1 in the supplement) [2–11]; currently approved genotypes and ages vary by country and region [2, 12]. Ivacaftor-responsive mutations have demonstrated responsiveness to ivacaftor in vitro and/or in clinical data [1, 2, 13].

This rollover extension study (Extension Study 112) was open to qualifying pwCF who had participated in one of three previous studies investigating the treatment effects of ivacaftor in CF: Study 110 (NCT01614457), in which participants had an R117H-CFTR mutation [8]; Study 111 (NCT01614470), in which participants had a non–G551D-CFTR gating mutation [6]; and Study 113, in which participants had phenotypic or molecular evidence of residual CFTR function (NCT01685801) [14].

The total duration of ivacaftor treatment in the three parent studies ranged from 12 to 24 weeks [6, 8, 14]. The primary objective of the present extension study was to evaluate the long-term safety of ivacaftor therapy in pwCF aged 6 years and older with ivacaftor-responsive mutations. The secondary objective was evaluation of long-term efficacy.

## METHODS

### Participants and Study Design

This was a phase 3, multicenter, open-label, extension study (NCT01707290) in pwCF who had previously enrolled in Study 110, Study
Participants received oral ivacaftor 150 mg once every 12 h for up to 104 weeks. Participants entering from Study 110 or Study 111 must have completed their assigned study drug treatments in their respective parent studies. Participants entering from Study 113 must have completed study drug treatment through follow-up and met ≥1 of 4 responder criteria. For full responder criteria, see Appendix S2 in the supplement. Exclusion criteria included a history of any illness or condition that, in the opinion of the investigator, might confound the results of the study or pose an additional risk in administering the study drug to the participant. For full inclusion and exclusion criteria, see Appendix S2 in the supplement.

This study was conducted in accordance with good clinical practices, as described in the International Council for Harmonisation guidelines, and is consistent with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and applicable regional laws and regulations. The study protocol, informed consent form, and other necessary documents were reviewed and approved by an independent ethics committee or institutional review board for each study site before initiation of the study at that site (Table S1 in the supplement). Written informed consent was obtained from or for (with assent, where applicable) each participant on (or before) the day 1 visit.

**Outcomes**

The primary objective was to evaluate the long-term safety of ivacaftor treatment in pwCF aged 6 years and older with ivacaftor-responsive mutations. Safety outcomes included the incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events (AEs) and clinical laboratory measures. The secondary objective was to evaluate long-term efficacy. Secondary efficacy measures included mean absolute change from baseline in percent predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s (ppFEV$_1$), sweat chloride concentration, respiratory domain score of the Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised (CFQ-R RD), measures of nutritional status, and time to first pulmonary exacerbation (PEx).

**Statistical Methods**

The full analysis set (FAS) was defined as all participants who received ≥1 dose of study drug during the extension study. All analyses

---

**Fig. 1** Study design. 
Study 110 was a randomized controlled trial of ivacaftor vs. placebo for 24 weeks in pwCF who had an R117H-CFTR mutation; N is for the full analysis set. Study 111 was a randomized crossover trial of ivacaftor vs. placebo for 8 weeks per treatment, followed by 16 weeks of open-label ivacaftor, in pwCF who had a non-G551D-CFTR gating mutation; N is for the full analysis set. Study 113 was a randomized crossover trial of ivacaftor vs. placebo for a total of 4 weeks per treatment, followed by 8 weeks of open-label ivacaftor, in pwCF who had phenotypic or molecular evidence of residual CFTR function; N is for the full analysis set. Follow-up visit occurred 4 weeks (±7 days) after the last ivacaftor dose for participants who did not continue immediately on ivacaftor. If applicable, an early termination visit occurred as soon as possible after the last ivacaftor dose. CFTR cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, d day, pwCF people with cystic fibrosis, q12h once every 12 h, w week
were performed for the FAS and are presented by parent-study subgroup. No power or sample size analyses were conducted. Descriptive analyses of safety were performed.

For the secondary efficacy outcomes, mixed-effects models for repeated measures and least-squares (LS) means were used to assess absolute change from baseline in ppFEV$_1$, sweat chloride concentration, and CFQ-R RD score. For body mass index (BMI), a linear mixed-effects model was used to assess the change from baseline over 104 weeks, and the LS mean of the rate of change over 104 weeks was used. For analyses presenting change from baseline, the Extension Study 112 baseline following washout from the parent study, which was defined as the most recent nonmissing (scheduled or unscheduled) measurement collected before initial administration of the study drug in Extension Study 112, was used rather than that from the treatment period of the parent study. Kaplan–Meier methods were used to assess the time to first PEx by study day.

**RESULTS**

Of 121 participants who were enrolled and received ivacaftor in the extension study (Table 1; FAS shown in Figure S1 in the supplement), 41 (33.9%) completed the full study drug treatment duration (104 weeks), and 35 (28.9%) attended the follow-up visit 4 weeks (±7 days) after stopping ivacaftor. Of the 80 participants who did not complete the study drug treatment, most (59 [73.8%]) discontinued study participation because they chose to receive commercially available ivacaftor, which had become available.

Most participants who rolled over from Study 110 had an F508del-R117H-CFTR genotype. Participants who rolled over from Study 111 and Study 113 had a variety of gating and residual function mutations, respectively, with no single dominant genotype; more than half of participants rolling over from Study 111 and Study 113 carried F508del on the second allele. A complete list of CFTR mutations in the FAS is shown in Table S2 in the supplement.

**Safety Outcomes (Primary Endpoint)**

Ivacaftor was generally safe and well tolerated in this study. Most participants experienced ≥1 AE (Table 2). The most common treatment-emergent AEs were infective PEx of CF (46.3%), cough (33.9%), headache (19.0%), sinus congestion and sputum increased (18.2% each), nasopharyngitis (17.4%), and sinusitis (15.7%). Among the 27 participants who experienced serious AEs (SAEs), two had SAEs that were considered related to the study drug (Table 2). SAEs occurring in >1 participant were infective PEx of CF (n = 21 [17.4%]), gastroenteritis (n = 2 [1.7%]), and pneumonia (n = 2 [1.7%]). Three participants (2.5%) discontinued due to AEs. No deaths occurred. No clinically relevant trends in clinical laboratory test results attributable to ivacaftor treatment were apparent. Elevated transaminase levels were infrequent (Table S3 in the supplement).

**Secondary Efficacy Results**

Given the differences in CFTR genotypes across the parent studies, data are presented by parent-study subgroups to provide a better understanding of efficacy by mutation type.

Improvements in ppFEV$_1$ (LS mean absolute changes from baseline) were generally stable and durable over time during the 104 weeks of treatment, with an increase in variability in the magnitude of response during the latter part of the treatment period associated with the decreased number of participants (Fig. 2). However, the overall pattern of effects was consistent with results from the parent studies (Table S4 in the supplement).

In the 30 participants who completed the follow-up visit with a ppFEV$_1$ measure, the gains in ppFEV$_1$ during the treatment period trended back to pretreatment baseline levels in the 4-week follow-up period when they were no longer receiving ivacaftor.

LS mean changes from baseline in sweat chloride concentration varied considerably across parent-study subgroups (Fig. 3), with a robust response observed in participants from Study 111, a more modest response in
DISCUSSION

Ivacaftor was well tolerated with no new safety concerns observed in pwCF aged 6 years and older with non-G551D-CFTR gating mutations, the R117H-CFTR mutation, or other residual function mutations. Safety results were consistent with ivacaftor’s well-established safety profile demonstrated in clinical studies and by real-world evidence [2–11].

Ivacaftor showed durable clinical benefit across the three parent-study subgroups, improving lung function, sweat chloride concentration, and CFQ-R RD scores for up to 2 years of treatment. On average, BMI showed a
robust increase over 2 years in participants 18 years and older in parent-study subgroup 110. For other parent-study subgroups, the sample sizes were too low to interpret the numerically positive increases. Similarly, the sample sizes were too low to interpret BMI-for-age z-score (Table S5 in the supplement).

As CF is a chronic progressive disease, lung function is expected to decline (by approximately 1–3% per year) [15, 16] due to increasing age, number, and severity of PEx, nutritional status, presence of lung infections, and other factors. Despite this underlying progression, the magnitude of the increase in lung function in this long-term (up to 104 weeks) extension

| Table 2 Safety summary of adverse events |
|-----------------------------------------|
| **n (%)**                               | **Overall (N = 121)** |
| Participants with any AEs               | 117 (96.7)            |
| AEs related to the study medicationa     | 24 (19.8)             |
| AEs leading to death                    | 0                     |
| Participants with any SAEs              | 27 (22.3)             |
| SAEs related to the study medicationb   | 2 (1.7)               |
| AEs leading to study drug interruption  | 19 (15.7)             |
| AEs leading to study drug withdrawal    | 3 (2.5)               |
| AEs occurring in ≥ 10% of participants  |                       |
| Infective PEx of CF                     | 56 (46.3)             |
| Cough                                   | 41 (33.9)             |
| Headache                                | 23 (19.0)             |
| Sinus congestion                        | 22 (18.2)             |
| Sputum increased                        | 22 (18.2)             |
| Nasopharyngitis                         | 21 (17.4)             |
| Sinusitis                               | 19 (15.7)             |
| Oropharyngeal pain                      | 18 (14.9)             |
| Viral upper respiratory tract infection | 17 (14.0)             |
| Nasal congestion                        | 17 (14.0)             |
| Constipation                            | 17 (14.0)             |
| Diarrhea                                | 17 (14.0)             |
| Pyrexia                                 | 17 (14.0)             |
| Upper respiratory tract infection       | 15 (12.4)             |
| Abdominal pain                          | 13 (10.7)             |

*AE adverse event, CF cystic fibrosis, PEx pulmonary exacerbation, SAE serious adverse event
a Includes AEs deemed “related” or “possibly related” to the study medication
b One possibly related SAE of infective PEx of CF, and one possibly related SAE of sinusitis
Fig. 2 Absolute change from baseline in ppFEV₁ in Extension Study 112 by parent-study subgroup. Data are least-squares means based on a mixed-effects model for repeated measures, and error bars indicate standard errors. BL baseline, ppFEV₁ percent predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s
Fig. 3 Absolute change from baseline in sweat chloride concentration in Extension Study 112 by parent-study subgroup. Data are least-squares means based on a mixed-effects model for repeated measures, and error bars indicate standard errors. Solid gray line (zero) represents no change from Extension Study 112 baseline. BL baseline.
study is consistent with the expected outcomes based on data from the parent studies (110, 111, and 113). Moreover, outcomes from this extension study are consistent with outcomes from other long-term studies demonstrating that participants treated with ivacaftor have better-preserved lung function [4, 17].

The genotypes of participants in the parent-study subgroups are associated with different levels of CF severity, as seen by the higher baseline mean sweat chloride concentrations in participants from Study 111 and by the lower mean concentrations in Study 110 and Study 113; in Study 113, the mean sweat chloride concentration was below the 60 mmol/l diagnostic threshold (Table 1) [18]. These differences at baseline may have contributed to variations in response, which is consistent with the outcomes in the parent studies. For example, the magnitude of response in sweat chloride concentration was greatest in Study 111, in which participants had the highest baseline mean concentration.

This open-label extension study has several potential limitations. Using a voluntary rollover cohort risks introducing a selection bias. However, the extremely high participation rate (121/133 [91%]) suggests that any selection bias was limited. A further limitation is the lack of a comparator group, which can make the interpretation of outcomes more difficult. Moreover, almost half of the participants (59/121 [49%]) withdrew from the study because ivacaftor became commercially available to them. Despite this attrition, which increased variability and the impact of events such as PEx, the outcomes in this extension study are generally consistent with the results from the parent studies.

CONCLUSIONS

Ivacaftor was generally safe and well tolerated for up to 104 weeks of treatment in pwCF with ivacaftor-responsive mutations other than G551D. No new safety concerns were identified. The pattern of improvement across efficacy endpoints was durable and generally consistent with outcomes from the parent studies. Collectively, these data suggest that ivacaftor is likely to significantly slow progression of CF lung disease and improve other long-term outcomes, including quality of life.
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