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Abstract

The search for new ab initio models rapidly delivering accurate excited state energies and properties is one of the most active research lines of theoretical chemistry. Along with these methodological developments, the performances of known methods are constantly reassessed thanks to the emergence of new benchmark values. In this Letter, we show that, in contrast to previous claims, the third-order algebraic diagrammatic construction, ADC(3), does not yield transition energies of the same quality as the third-order coupled cluster method, CC3. There is indeed a significant difference in terms of accuracy between the two approaches, as we clearly and unambiguously demonstrate here thanks to extensive comparisons with several hundreds high-quality vertical transition energies obtained with FCI, CCSDTQ, and CCSDT. Direct comparisons with experimental 0-0 energies of small- and medium-size organic molecules support the same conclusion, which holds for both valence and Rydberg transitions, as well as singlet and triplet states. In regards of these results, we introduce a composite method that we named ADC(2.5) which consists in averaging the ADC(2) and ADC(3) excitation energies. Although ADC(2.5) does not match the CC3 accuracy, it significantly improves the ADC(3) results, especially for vertical energies. We hope that the present contribution will stimulate further developments and, in particular, improvements of the ADC-type methods which have the indisputable advantage of being computationally lighter than their equivalent-order CC variants.

Electronic excited states (ES) play an important role in many technological applications (photovoltaics, photocatalysis, light-emitting diodes, ...), but their characterization from purely experimental data remains often tedious. This has stimulated the developments of various density- and wavefunction-based methods allowing to model accurately ES. Amongst all these wavefunction approaches, the algebraic diagrammatic construction (ADC), which relies on perturbation theory to access excitation energies and properties, has now become one of the most popular.† The ADC scheme,
originally developed by Schirmer and Trofimov, has several advantages over the well-known coupled cluster (CC) family of methods, e.g., hermiticity and higher compactness for odd expansion orders. These assets have greatly contributed to the ever growing applications of ADC. In particular, its second-order variant, ADC(2), generally provides valence transition energies as accurate as the one obtained with the second-order CC model, CC2, for a smaller computational cost [yet similar $O(N^5)$ scaling].

One of the originality of ADC($n$) lies in its alternative representation, known as intermediate-state representation, of the polarization propagator which poles are located at the vertical excitation energies. These intermediate states are generated by applying a set of creation and annihilation operators to the $n$th-order Møller-Plesset (MP$n$) ground-state wave function, and are then orthogonalized block-wise according to their excitation class. This explains why ADC($n$) is usually presented as “MP$n$ for excited states” in the literature. One can show that the intermediate states and genuine ES are related by a unitary transformation $X$, which satisfies the Hermitian eigenvalue problem $MX = \Omega X$ (with $X^T X = 1$), where $M$ is the so-called ADC matrix and $\Omega$ is a diagonal matrix gathering the corresponding excitation energies. We refer the interested reader to Ref. 1 for a non-technical discussion of the general form of the ADC($n$) matrices.

Hättig pointed out several interesting theoretical connections between ADC(2), CIS(D$_\infty$) and CC2. In particular, he showed that ADC(2) is a symmetrized version of CIS(D$_\infty$), and that the only modification required to obtain CIS(D$_\infty$) excitation energies from CC2 is to replace the ground-state CC2 amplitudes by those from MP2. This idea has been exploited by Dreuw’s group to develop the so-called CCD-ADC(2) method where the ADC(2) amplitudes are replaced by those obtained from a coupled cluster doubles (CCD) calculation. In addition to improve excitation energies, because CCD-ADC(2) does not rely on perturbation theory anymore, it has been shown to be more robust for molecular dissociation energy curves. One of the disadvantages of CC2 compared to ADC(2) is that, due to its non-Hermitian nature, CC2 does not provide a physically correct description of conical intersections between states of the same symmetry, a difficulty absent in ADC(2).

Similarities between the third-order variants, ADC(3) and CC3, are likely to exist but, to the best of our knowledge, these potential formal connections have never investigated in the literature. Nonetheless, it is worth mentioning that CC3, which scales as $O(N^7)$, treats the ground state at fourth order of perturbation theory and the 2h-2p block at second order, whereas ADC(3) describes the ground state and 2h-2p block at third and first order of perturbation theory, respectively. This difference becomes particularly apparent in the calculation of double excitations, for which ADC(3) typically yields inaccurate values. However, ADC(3), with its $O(N^9)$ computational scaling, has the indisputable advantage of being computationally lighter than CC3, and has a more compact configuration space.

In 2014, Harbach et al. reported an efficient implementation of ADC(3) and benchmarked its accuracy for transition energies using the theoretical best estimates (TBE) of the famous Thiel set as reference. They concluded that “based on the quality of the existing benchmark set it is practically not possible to judge whether ADC(3) or CC3 is more accurate.” As ADC(3) enjoys a lower formal computational scaling [$O(N^6)$] than CC3 [$O(N^7)$], and is generally regarded as the logical path for improvement over ADC(2), this finding contributed to enhance the popularity of ADC(3) in the electronic structure community. ADC(3) was subsequently employed to perform theory vs experiment comparisons, and to define benchmark values for assessing lower-order methods.

Given, on the one hand, that ADC(3) was advocated as a benchmark method and, on the other hand, the recent availability of high-accuracy reference energies for a large panel of ES,15,28,29 we believe that the time has come to perform a new performance assessment of this method. To this end, we have first considered our most recent set of TBE/aug-cc-pVTZ obtained for vertical transition energies in organic compounds encompassing from one to six non-hydrogen atoms. These TBE have been computed at very high levels of theory, i.e., mostly FCI (full configuration interaction) for molecules with up to three non-hydrogen atoms,15 CCSD(T) for four non-hydrogen atom derivatives, and CCSDT for compounds containing 5 or 6 non-hydrogen atoms. Note that, for the smallest compounds where the following comparison is actually possible, the mean absolute errors (MAE) obtained with CCSDT and CCSDT compared to FCI are trifling (0.01 eV and 0.03 eV, respectively). Table 1 provides a statistical analysis of the performances of the second- and third-order ADC and CC methods, using these TBE as reference. Figure 1 gives histograms of the errors for both singlet and triplet states. The full list of data can be found in the Supporting Information. We consider here a set of 328 ES, that has been divided into three relatively equivalent subsets of 1–3 non-hydrogen atoms (106 ES), 4 non-hydrogen atoms (89 ES) and 5–6 non-hydrogen atoms (134 ES). From these data, it is quite clear that CC3 delivers astonishingly accurate transition energies with MAE below or equal to 0.03 eV for each subset, and no deviation exceeding ±0.20 eV. This is inline with several previous benchmarks.12,15,22,29–32 Again, consistently with previous analyses and theoretical considerations (see above), the ADC(2) and CC2 performances are very similar and these second-order methods deliver a global MAE smaller than 0.2 eV, together with negligible MSE for all subsets. This confirms that ADC(2) is indeed a very interesting computational tool thanks to its attractive accuracy/cost ratio. Nevertheless, in par with the above-described conclusions, we found that the performance of ADC(3) is rather average with a significant underestimation (MSE of ±0.11 eV for the full set) and a MAE around 0.20 eV for each subset. Overall, ADC(3) underestimates transition energies and provides an average deviation of the same order of magnitude as ADC(2) and CC2. Strikingly, the MAE of ADC(3) is basically one order of magnitude larger than the MAE of CC3.
Table 1: Mean signed error (MSE), mean absolute error (MAE), maximal positive error [Max(+)], and maximal negative error [Max(−)] with respect to the highly-accurate TBE/aug-cc-pVTZ of Refs. 15 and 29 (see text for details) for various sets of vertical transition energies. All values are in eV. The raw data, which can be found in Table S1 of the Supporting Information, have been obtained with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set and within the frozen-core approximation.

| Set         | Method (n) | MSE   | MAE   | Max(−) | Max(+) |
|-------------|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| All         | ADC(2)     | −0.00 | 0.16  | −0.76  | 0.64   |
|             | ADC(2.5)   | −0.05 | 0.08  | −0.33  | 0.24   |
|             | ADC(3)     | −0.11 | 0.21  | −0.79  | 0.55   |
|             | CC2        | 0.02  | 0.17  | −0.71  | 0.63   |
|             | CC3        | 0.00  | 0.02  | −0.09  | 0.19   |
| 1–3 non-H  | ADC(2)     | −0.01 | 0.21  | −0.76  | 0.57   |
| atoms 15    | ADC(2.5)   | −0.08 | 0.10  | −0.33  | 0.24   |
|             | ADC(3)     | −0.15 | 0.23  | −0.79  | 0.39   |
|             | CC2        | 0.03  | 0.22  | −0.71  | 0.63   |
|             | CC3        | −0.01 | 0.03  | −0.09  | 0.19   |
| 4 non-H     | ADC(2)     | −0.03 | 0.18  | −0.73  | 0.64   |
| atoms 29    | ADC(2.5)   | −0.07 | 0.08  | −0.29  | 0.15   |
|             | ADC(3)     | −0.10 | 0.24  | −0.76  | 0.49   |
|             | CC2        | 0.03  | 0.20  | −0.68  | 0.59   |
|             | CC3        | 0.00  | 0.02  | −0.05  | 0.17   |
| 5–6 non-H   | ADC(2)     | −0.03 | 0.11  | −0.48  | 0.45   |
| atoms 29    | ADC(2.5)   | −0.02 | 0.06  | −0.26  | 0.24   |
|             | ADC(3)     | −0.08 | 0.18  | −0.46  | 0.55   |
|             | CC2        | 0.01  | 0.12  | −0.58  | 0.31   |
|             | CC3        | 0.00  | 0.01  | −0.03  | 0.04   |

Valence

| Method (n) | MSE   | MAE   | Max(−) | Max(+) |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| ADC(2)     | 0.07  | 0.13  | −0.76  | 0.54   |
| ADC(2.5)   | −0.05 | 0.07  | −0.24  | 0.24   |
| ADC(3)     | −0.16 | 0.23  | −0.46  | 0.50   |
| CC2        | 0.12  | 0.15  | −0.71  | 0.50   |
| CC3        | 0.00  | 0.02  | −0.09  | 0.19   |

Ryderberg

| Method (n) | MSE   | MAE   | Max(−) | Max(+) |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| ADC(2)     | −0.14 | 0.22  | −0.38  | 0.64   |
| ADC(2.5)   | −0.07 | 0.09  | −0.33  | 0.24   |
| ADC(3)     | −0.01 | 0.18  | −0.79  | 0.55   |
| CC2        | −0.17 | 0.21  | −0.41  | 0.63   |
| CC3        | −0.01 | 0.02  | −0.09  | 0.17   |

Singlet

| Method (n) | MSE   | MAE   | Max(−) | Max(+) |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| ADC(2)     | −0.03 | 0.17  | −0.76  | 0.64   |
| ADC(2.5)   | −0.05 | 0.09  | −0.33  | 0.24   |
| ADC(3)     | −0.07 | 0.21  | −0.79  | 0.55   |
| CC2        | −0.02 | 0.18  | −0.71  | 0.59   |
| CC3        | 0.00  | 0.02  | −0.09  | 0.19   |

Triplet

| Method (n) | MSE   | MAE   | Max(−) | Max(+) |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| ADC(2)     | 0.05  | 0.15  | −0.70  | 0.57   |
| ADC(2.5)   | −0.06 | 0.07  | −0.23  | 0.19   |
| ADC(3)     | −0.17 | 0.22  | −0.56  | 0.38   |
| CC2        | 0.09  | 0.16  | −0.66  | 0.63   |
| CC3        | 0.00  | 0.01  | −0.09  | 0.04   |

$n \rightarrow n^\ast$

| Method (n) | MSE   | MAE   | Max(−) | Max(+) |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| ADC(2)     | −0.04 | 0.09  | −0.38  | 0.23   |
| ADC(2.5)   | −0.02 | 0.06  | −0.23  | 0.24   |
| ADC(3)     | 0.00  | 0.14  | −0.32  | 0.40   |
| CC2        | 0.02  | 0.08  | −0.25  | 0.21   |
| CC3        | 0.00  | 0.01  | −0.05  | 0.04   |

$\pi \rightarrow \pi^\ast$

| Method (n) | MSE   | MAE   | Max(−) | Max(+) |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| ADC(2)     | 0.14  | 0.17  | −0.31  | 0.64   |
| ADC(2.5)   | −0.07 | 0.08  | −0.33  | 0.19   |
| ADC(3)     | −0.27 | 0.29  | −0.79  | 0.55   |
| CC2        | 0.19  | 0.21  | −0.41  | 0.63   |
| CC3        | 0.01  | 0.02  | −0.09  | 0.17   |

Figure 1: Histograms of the errors (in eV) obtained with ADC(2), ADC(3), CC2, and CC3 taking the TBE/aug-cc-pVTZ values of Refs. 15 and 29 as reference. “Count” refers to the number of transitions in each group. The full list of data can be found in the Supporting Information. Note the difference of scaling in the vertical axes.

Notwithstanding the high accuracy of the vertical excitation energies presented above, CCSDT and CCSDTQ are not error-free. In addition, the previous analysis is limited to compact compounds with a maximum of 6 non-hydrogen atoms. Therefore, it is worth investigating the correlation
between experiment and theoretical observables. Meaningful theory-experiment comparisons for ES are always challenging but the simplest and safest strategy is very likely to be comparing 0-0 energies, an approach that has been used many times before, e.g., see our recent review on the topic. Following this strategy, we then consider here the (slightly extended) set of compounds defined in Ref. 32: it encompasses gas-phase measurements for 71 singlet and 30 triplet low-lying transitions. Note that the typical uncertainty of such experimental gas-phase measurements is of the order of $10^{-4}$ eV (or 1 cm$^{-1}$) only. We select here (EOM-)CCSD/def2-TZVPP geometries and (TD-)B3LYP/6-31+G(d) vibrational corrections, as it is known that the errors in the 0-0 energies are mostly driven by the inaccuracy in the adiabatic energies, rather than the approximate nature of the structures and/or vibrations. Our calculations are again performed with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set, and within the frozen-core approximation. The full list of raw data are given in the Supporting Information. Statistical data can be found in Table 2 and Figure 2.

First, considering all 101 cases, we notice that the CC3 adiabatic energies produce chemically accurate 0-0 energies in 59% of the cases, with errors almost systematically smaller than 0.15 eV. None of the other approaches can match such a feat. In particular, both ADC(2) and ADC(3) deliver MAE above 0.15 eV and a chemical accuracy rate smaller than 20%. As in the set of vertical transitions discussed above, ADC(2.5) outperforms ADC(2) and ADC(3), and yields rather small deviations of the same order of magnitude than CC2 (MAE of 0.10 eV). The fact that CC2 provides more consistent 0-0 energies than ADC(2) while their performances were found similar for vertical energies might be related to the relatively poorer description of potential energy surfaces with the latter approach.

Turning our attention to the impact of spin symmetry, we note that, although CC3 remains very accurate, we observe a slight decline of its accuracy for triplet ES, a conclusion fitting with our recent study. It is also quite clear that ADC(3) has the edge over ADC(2) for triplet ES, whereas the opposite trend is observed for the singlets. Surprisingly, opposite conclusions were drawn for vertical transitions (see above). Despite its tendency to overestimate (underestimate) singlet (triplet) transition energies (see Figure 2), CC2 is found to be globally more robust than ADC(2) and ADC(3) for both ES families. Probably more enlightening is the comparison between the results obtained on small (71 molecules with 1–5 non-hydrogen atoms) and medium (30 molecules with 6–10 non-hydrogen atoms) compounds (see Table 2), the latter set being mostly composed of (substituted) six-membered rings. One sees a clear improvement of the ADC(3) performance going from the smaller to the larger molecules, with a MAE of 0.12 eV and a chemical accuracy rate of 43% for the latter group. These values are definitively promising. Indeed, although such a MAE value remains three times larger than its CC3 analogue, this hints that ADC(3) might become significantly more accurate for larger compounds. Finally, we wish to recall that these conclusions are made using (EOM-)CCSD geometries and (TD-)DFT harmonic vibrational corrections for all methods. Thus, the overall error is not exclusively (though probably predominantly) related to the method selected to compute adiabatic energies. It would be definitely interesting to have access to ground- and excited-state ADC(3) geometries in order to investigate if whether or nor it yields an improvement of the ADC(3) performance.

At this stage, it seems natural to wonder why the conclusions of the 2014 ADC(3) assessment based on Thiel’s set differ significantly from ours although the nature of the molecules belonging to the two sets are relatively similar. To understand this discrepancy, let us reexamine the data of Ref. In this work, Thiel’s original TBE (denoted as TBE-1), mostly based on CASPT2/TZVP but also incorporating some CC3/TZVP (as well as other values), were used as reference rather than Thiel’s most recent set of TBE (denoted as TBE-2), which are mostly basis set corrected CC3/aug-cc-pVTZ values. In addition, given the knowledge at that time, the authors of Ref. logically decided that “for a fair comparison of the accuracy of ADC(3) and CC3, the CC3 excitation energies have been analyzed only with respect to TBE values that are not CC3 values”, which is a very reasonable point. Considering the subset of TBE-1 based on CASTP2 (i.e., excluding the CC3 values from TBE-1), Ref.
10 reports, for the singlet states, a MSE (MAE) of +0.23 (0.24) eV for CC3. This value has to be compared with a MSE (MAE) of +0.12 (0.24) eV for ADC(3) where the reference was taken as the entire TBE-1 set. Similarly, for the 19 triplet excitation energies of the TBE-1 set not based on CC3, the MSE is +0.12 eV with CC3 and −0.10 eV with ADC(3). The direct comparison of ADC(3) and CC3 is also instructive. By considering now CC3 as reference, the MSE (MAE) of ADC(3) reported in Ref. 10 are −0.20 (0.29) eV for the singlets and −0.22 (0.25) eV for the triplets. These numbers are consistent with the findings of the present Letter, and show that ADC(3) significantly underestimates both families of transitions. We can then conclude that the bias in this earlier ADC(3) assessment was likely due to the CASPT2 reference values. Indeed, as clearly demonstrated in a recent series of papers, CC3 is a very robust method which generally delivers chemically accurate excitation energies, while CASPT2 has a clear tendency of underestimating transition energies.

In this context, we also wish to point out that an early ADC(3) vs FCI benchmark performed for a series of small molecules (H₂O, HF, N₂, Ne, CH₂, and BH₃), concluded that “the mean absolute error, as calibrated versus the FCI results for 41 singlet and triplet transitions, has been found to be smaller than 0.2 eV” (more precisely the MAE is equal to 0.18 eV for the first four compounds) and that “the quality of the results [...] does not match the impressive accuracy of the CC3 computations”. The present results confirm these two earlier assertions.

An additional aspect to take into account is that previous comparisons between ADC(3) transition energies and experimental λ_{max} values were often performed in the vertical approximation, which means that the geometry relaxation and vibronic effects were neglected. As shown in several works, this approximation implies a significant bias, because the blueshift between the experimental 0-0 energy and the λ_{max} value is typically smaller than the blueshift between the computed 0-0 and vertical energies. As a consequence, applying the vertical approximation favors methods delivering smaller transition energies.

As an example, the Q-band of Mg-porphyrin was studied at various levels of theory including ADC(3) in 2018. The first experimental maxima appears at 2.07 eV, a value smaller than the ADC(2), CCSD, and TD-DFT vertical transitions (which are found in the 2.27–2.43 eV range) as it should. In contrast, the ADC(3) vertical value of 2.00 eV, that “shows the best agreement”, presents the incorrect error sign and would likely be significantly too redshifted if vibronic corrections were accounted for. Indeed, according to Durbeij, the CC2 difference between vertical and 0-0 energies is −0.05 eV in the (free-base) porphyrin. This brings the ADC(3) estimate to −0.12 eV compared to experiment and improves the agreement for the other approaches. Again, both the error sign and its magnitude are quite coherent with the present estimates. Using the same procedure, ADC(2.5) would give a 0-0 energy of 2.11 eV, in superb agreement with experiment.

In the same work, an ADC(3) value of 4.65 eV is reported for the lowest B₀ state of trans-octatetraene, a bright ES with a dominant single-excitation character. This value is significantly lower than Thiel’s CC3 value of 4.84 eV, although the latter was obtained on a MP2 geometry that slightly underestimates the bond length alternation, whereas the ADC(3) estimate relies on a more accurate CCSD(T) structure. The measured gas-phase 0-0 energy for this transition is 4.41 eV, and the estimated difference between vertical and 0-0 energies is −0.45 eV at the TD-BHHLYP level, and −0.36 eV at the CC2 level, again hinting that the ADC(3) value is in fact slightly too low by a magnitude of −0.12 eV if one naively applies the CC2 correction (determined on a CC2 geometry). In this case, ADC(2.5) would only slightly reduced the error to −0.10 eV.

Of course, these two comparisons remain very qualitative and one would greatly benefit from ADC(3) 0-0 energies which, to the best of our knowledge, are not available to date for these compounds.

In this Letter, we have provided what we believe are compelling evidences that the transition energies computed with ADC(3) in organic compounds are significantly less accurate than their CC3 counterparts. This statement is based on i) extensive comparisons with both vertical energies determined with higher levels of theory (CCSDT, CCSDTQ, and FCI), and ii) accurate 0-0 energies measured in gas phase for small- and medium-size compounds. This conclusion apparently holds almost irrespectively of the nature of the transition, provided that the ES does not exhibit a dominant double excitation character. Of course, given that the ADC(3) error for 0-0 energies has a clear tendency to significantly drop for the largest compounds considered here (i.e., substituted six-membered rings), one could rightfully speculate that ADC(3) would become more accurate for even larger compounds, a claim that we cannot honestly verify at this stage. Besides, ADC(3) might also deliver accurate ES properties (such as geometries, transition and total dipoles, oscillator strengths, two-photon cross-sections, etc). Indeed, these properties are
treated at third order of perturbation theory by both ADC(3) and CC3. We believe that comparisons between CC3 and ADC(3) properties is a particular point that needs to be further investigated in the future.

**COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

For the set of vertical transition energies, the CC3/aug-cc-pVTZ geometries of Refs. 15 and 29 have been selected because the TBE have been obtained on the very same structures. The GS and ES structures used in the 0-0 calculations have been obtained at the (EOM-)CCSD/def2-TZVPP level and are provided in the Supporting Information of Ref. 32. The zero-point vibrational energies used to compute the 0-0 energies have been (mostly) obtained at the (TD-)B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level and are all listed in the Supporting Information of Ref. 32. The CC and ADC calculations have been performed with DALTON \(^8\) and Q-CHEM, \(^9\) respectively, with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set. The ADC calculations have been performed within the RI approximation. We refer the readers to our previous works \(^{15,32}\) for additional details.
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Vertical energies

Below is a list of vertical transition energies obtained for a set of compounds described elsewhere. These transition energies, obtained on CC3/aug-cc-pVTZ geometries, have been computed with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set and within the frozen-core approximation. Note that the CC2, ADC(2) and CC3 data are already available in these previous works, and are reproduced below for the sake of completeness. To identify the ES with the different approaches considered here, we used the usual strategies, i.e., relative energies, spatial and spin symmetries, symmetries and weights of the underlying molecular orbitals, and oscillator strengths. This allows unambiguous assignments for the vast majority of the states. There are however some state/method combinations for which strong mixing between ES of the same symmetry makes such assignments difficult. These challenging cases are nonetheless statistically irrelevant.

Table S1: Comparisons between the TBE (see Refs. [1] and [2] for details and geometries) and the vertical excitation energies obtained with CC2, CC3, ADC(2), and ADC(3). All the values have been obtained with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set and within the frozen-core approximation. We have removed from this training set all excited states with a dominant double excitation character. F, R and V stand for fluorescence, Rydberg and valence states, respectively.

| Compound   | State     | TBE   | CC2   | CC3   | ADC(2) | ADC(3) |
|------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Acetaldehyde | $1A''(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 4.31  | 4.41  | 4.31  | 4.24   | 4.29   |
|            | $3A''(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 3.97  | 3.98  | 3.95  | 3.83   | 3.89   |
| Acetone    | $1A_2(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 4.47  | 4.55  | 4.48  | 4.37   | 4.50   |
|            | $1B_2(R; n \to 3s)$     | 6.46  | 5.91  | 6.43  | 5.87   | 6.91   |
|            | $1A_2(R; n \to 3p)$     | 7.47  | 6.84  | 7.45  | 6.81   | 7.90   |
|            | $1A_1(R; n \to 3p)$     | 7.51  | 6.89  | 7.48  | 6.85   | 7.92   |
|            | $1B_2(R; n \to 3p)$     | 7.62  | 7.02  | 7.59  | 6.99   | 8.01   |
|            | $3A_2(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 4.13  | 4.16  | 4.15  | 4.00   | 4.12   |
|            | $3A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 6.25  | 6.50  | 6.28  | 6.37   | 6.01   |
| Acetylene  | $1\Sigma_u^-(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 7.10  | 7.26  | 7.09  | 7.24   | 6.72   |
|            | $1\Delta_u(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 7.44  | 7.59  | 7.42  | 7.56   | 7.06   |
|            | $3\Sigma_u^+(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 5.53  | 5.76  | 5.50  | 5.75   | 5.24   |
|            | $3\Delta_u(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 6.40  | 6.60  | 6.40  | 6.57   | 6.06   |
|            | $3\Sigma_u^-(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 7.08  | 7.29  | 7.07  | 7.22   | 6.72   |
|            | $1A_u[F](V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 3.64  | 3.94  | 3.64  | 3.78   | 2.85   |
| Acrolein   | $1A''(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 3.78  | 3.85  | 3.74  | 3.68   | 3.76   |
|            | $1A'(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 6.69  | 6.80  | 6.65  | 6.74   | 6.51   |
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| Compound       | State               | TBE    | CC2   | CC3   | ADC(2) | ADC(3) |
|----------------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
|                | $^{1}A'(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 7.08   | 6.40  | 7.07  | 6.33   | 7.57   |
|                | $^{3}A''(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.51   | 3.49  | 3.46  | 3.33   | 3.45   |
|                | $^{3}A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.94   | 4.06  | 3.94  | 4.05   | 3.66   |
|                | $^{3}A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.18   | 6.37  | 6.19  | 6.31   | 5.86   |
| Ammonia        | $^{1}A_2(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.59   | 6.39  | 6.57  | 6.40   | 6.63   |
|                | $^{1}E(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 8.16   | 7.85  | 8.15  | 7.87   | 8.21   |
|                | $^{1}A_1(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 9.33   | 9.05  | 9.32  | 9.05   | 9.38   |
|                | $^{1}A_2(R; n \rightarrow 4s)$ | 9.96   | 9.65  | 9.95  | 9.67   | 10.00  |
|                | $^{3}A_2(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.31   | 6.14  | 6.29  | 6.16   | 6.31   |
| Carbon monoxide| $^{1}\Pi(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 8.49   | 8.64  | 8.49  | 8.69   | 8.24   |
|                | $^{1}\Sigma^-(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 9.92   | 10.30 | 9.99  | 10.03  | 9.73   |
|                | $^{1}\Delta(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 10.06  | 10.60 | 10.12 | 10.30  | 9.82   |
|                | $^{1}\Sigma^+(R)$ | 10.95  | 11.11 | 10.94 | 11.32  | 10.79  |
|                | $^{1}\Sigma^+(R)$ | 11.52  | 11.63 | 11.49 | 11.83  | 11.33  |
|                | $^{1}\Pi(R)$ | 11.72  | 11.83 | 11.69 | 12.03  | 11.56  |
| Benzene        | $^{3}\Pi(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.28   | 6.42  | 6.30  | 6.45   | 5.97   |
|                | $^{3}\Sigma^-(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 8.45   | 8.72  | 8.45  | 8.54   | 8.21   |
|                | $^{3}\Delta(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 9.27   | 9.56  | 9.30  | 9.33   | 9.03   |
|                | $^{3}\Sigma^-(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 9.80   | 10.27 | 9.82  | 10.01  | 9.53   |
|                | $^{3}\Sigma^+(R)$ | 10.47  | 10.60 | 10.45 | 10.83  | 10.29  |
| Butadiene      | $^{1}B_{2u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.06   | 5.26  | 5.09  | 5.27   | 5.01   |
|                | $^{1}B_{1u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.45   | 6.48  | 6.44  | 6.45   | 6.24   |
|                | $^{1}E_{1g}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.52   | 6.47  | 6.52  | 6.52   | 6.38   |
|                | $^{1}A_{2u}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.08   | 7.00  | 7.08  | 7.06   | 6.92   |
|                | $^{1}E_{2a}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.15   | 7.06  | 7.15  | 7.12   | 7.00   |
|                | $^{3}B_{1u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.16   | 4.37  | 4.18  | 4.37   | 3.94   |
|                | $^{3}E_{1u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.85   | 5.08  | 4.86  | 5.07   | 4.60   |
|                | $^{3}B_{2u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.81   | 5.89  | 5.81  | 5.87   | 5.51   |
| Cyanoacetylene | $^{1}\Sigma^-(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.80   | 6.03  | 5.80  | 5.99   | 5.37   |
|                | $^{1}\Delta(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.07   | 6.30  | 6.08  | 6.25   | 5.64   |
|                | $^{3}\Sigma^+(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.44   | 4.80  | 4.45  | 4.77   | 4.11   |
|                | $^{3}\Delta(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.21   | 5.50  | 5.22  | 5.46   | 4.80   |
|                | $^{1}A''[(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.54   | 3.79  | 3.54  | 3.73   | 2.78   |
| Cyanoformaldehyde| $^{1}A''(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.81   | 3.97  | 3.83  | 3.83   | 3.77   |
|                | $^{1}A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.46   | 6.74  | 6.42  | 6.73   | 6.07   |
|                | $^{3}A''(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.44   | 3.51  | 3.46  | 3.37   | 3.38   |
|                | $^{3}A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.01   | 5.34  | 5.01  | 5.27   | 4.63   |
| Cyanogen       | $^{1}\Sigma^-(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.39   | 6.72  | 6.39  | 6.67   | 5.88   |
|                | $^{1}\Delta_u(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.66   | 7.02  | 6.66  | 6.95   | 6.16   |
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| Compound     | State                               | TBE  | CC2  | CC3  | ADC(2) | ADC(3) |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|--------|--------|
| Cyclopentadiene |                                      |      |      |      |        |        |
|   1B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 5.56 | 5.52 | 5.54 | 5.49  | 5.35  |
|   1A_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)      | 5.78 | 5.66 | 5.77 | 5.71  | 5.63  |
|   1B_1(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)      | 6.41 | 6.26 | 6.40 | 6.31  | 6.25  |
|   1A_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)      | 6.46 | 6.30 | 6.45 | 6.35  | 6.30  |
|   1B_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)      | 6.56 | 6.42 | 6.56 | 6.48  | 6.41  |
|   3B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 3.31 | 3.42 | 3.32 | 3.42  | 3.05  |
|   3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 5.11 | 5.36 | 5.12 | 5.23  | 4.86  |
|   3A_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)      | 5.73 | 5.62 | 5.73 | 5.67  | 5.57  |
|   3B_1(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)      | 6.36 | 6.22 | 6.36 | 6.27  | 6.20  |
| Cyclopropene |                                      |      |      |      |        |        |
|   1B_1(V; \sigma \rightarrow \pi^*) | 6.68 | 6.73 | 6.68 | 6.75  | 6.56  |
|   1B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 6.79 | 6.78 | 6.73 | 6.86  | 6.56  |
|   3B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 4.38 | 4.46 | 4.34 | 4.45  | 4.09  |
|   3B_1(V; \sigma \rightarrow \pi^*) | 6.45 | 6.44 | 6.40 | 6.45  | 6.26  |
| Cyclopropenone |                                      |      |      |      |        |        |
|   1B_1(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)     | 4.26 | 4.01 | 4.21 | 3.88  | 4.66  |
|   1A_2(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)     | 5.55 | 5.65 | 5.57 | 5.47  | 5.61  |
|   1B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3s)        | 6.34 | 5.84 | 6.32 | 5.79  | 6.64  |
|   1B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 6.54 | 6.46 | 6.54 | 6.33  | 6.83  |
|   1B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3p)        | 6.98 | 6.56 | 6.96 | 6.43  | 7.33  |
|   1A_1(R; n \rightarrow 3p)        | 7.02 | 6.47 | 7.00 | 6.41  | 7.36  |
|   1A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 8.28 | 8.28 | 8.28 | 8.10  | 8.17  |
|   3B_1(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)     | 3.93 | 3.73 | 3.91 | 3.62  | 4.28  |
|   3B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 4.88 | 4.99 | 4.89 | 4.90  | 4.80  |
|   3A_2(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)     | 5.35 | 5.45 | 5.37 | 5.28  | 5.36  |
|   3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 6.79 | 6.42 | 6.83 | 6.84  | 6.63  |
| Cyclopropenione |                                      |      |      |      |        |        |
|   1A_2(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)     | 3.41 | 3.53 | 3.43 | 3.38  | 3.46  |
|   1B_1(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)     | 3.45 | 3.50 | 3.43 | 3.37  | 3.82  |
|   1B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 4.60 | 4.91 | 4.64 | 4.72  | 4.72  |
|   1B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3s)        | 5.34 | 5.22 | 5.34 | 5.17  | 5.41  |
|   1A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 5.46 | 5.59 | 5.49 | 5.36  | 5.36  |
|   1B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3p)        | 5.92 | 5.82 | 5.93 | 5.77  | 6.02  |
|   3A_2(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)     | 3.28 | 3.37 | 3.30 | 3.23  | 3.30  |
|   3B_1(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)     | 3.32 | 3.38 | 3.31 | 3.26  | 3.65  |
|   3B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 4.01 | 4.24 | 4.02 | 4.12  | 3.96  |
|   3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 4.01 | 4.16 | 4.03 | 4.04  | 3.83  |
| Diacetylene |                                      |      |      |      |        |        |
|   1\Sigma_u^+(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 5.33 | 5.51 | 5.34 | 5.49  | 4.95  |
|   1\Delta_u(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 5.61 | 5.76 | 5.61 | 5.72  | 5.22  |
|   3\Sigma_u^+(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 4.10 | 4.39 | 4.08 | 4.37  | 3.79  |
|   3\Delta_u(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 4.78 | 5.03 | 4.80 | 5.01  | 4.43  |
| Diazomethane |                                      |      |      |      |        |        |
|   1A_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 3.14 | 3.37 | 3.07 | 3.34  | 2.74  |
|   1B_1(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)      | 5.54 | 5.53 | 5.45 | 5.63  | 5.23  |
|   1A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 5.90 | 6.00 | 5.84 | 5.97  | 5.48  |
|   3A_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 2.79 | 3.08 | 2.83 | 3.01  | 2.44  |
|   3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)   | 4.05 | 4.25 | 4.03 | 4.20  | 3.64  |
|   3B_1(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)      | 5.35 | 5.53 | 5.31 | 5.50  | 5.08  |
|   3A_1(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)      | 6.82 | 7.04 | 6.80 | 7.09  | 6.36  |
|   1A''''[F](V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 0.71 | 0.90 | 0.68 | 0.81  | 0.24  |
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| Compound   | State                     | TBE  | CC2  | CC3  | ADC(2) | ADC(3) |
|------------|---------------------------|------|------|------|--------|--------|
| Dinitrogen | $^1\Pi_u(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 9.34 | 9.44 | 9.34 | 9.48   | 9.16   |
|            | $^1\Sigma_u^+(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 9.88 | 10.32| 9.88 | 10.26  | 9.33   |
|            | $^1\Delta_u(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 10.29| 10.86| 10.29| 10.79  | 9.74   |
|            | $^1\Pi_u(R)$               | 12.98| 12.83| 13.01| 12.99  | 13.01  |
|            | $^1\Pi_v(R)$               | 13.03| 13.15| 13.22| 13.32  | 12.98  |
|            | $^1\Sigma_u^+(R)$          | 13.09| 12.89| 13.12| 13.07  | 13.09  |
|            | $^3\Pi_u(R)$               | 13.46| 13.96| 13.49| 14.00  | 13.40  |
|            | $^3\Sigma_u^+(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 7.70 | 8.19 | 7.68 | 8.15   | 7.25   |
|            | $^3\Pi_u(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 8.01 | 8.19 | 8.04 | 8.20   | 7.77   |
|            | $^3\Delta_u(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 8.87 | 9.30 | 8.87 | 9.25   | 8.36   |
|            | $^3\Sigma_u^-(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 9.66 | 10.29| 9.68 | 10.23  | 9.14   |
| Ethylene   | $^1B_{1u}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 7.39 | 7.29 | 7.35 | 7.34   | 7.17   |
|            | $^1B_{1u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 7.93 | 7.92 | 7.91 | 7.91   | 7.69   |
|            | $^1B_{1g}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 8.08 | 7.95 | 8.03 | 7.99   | 7.84   |
|            | $^3B_{1u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.54 | 4.59 | 4.53 | 4.59   | 4.28   |
|            | $^3B_{3u}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 7.23 | 7.19 | 7.24 | 7.23   | 7.05   |
|            | $^3B_{1g}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.98 | 7.91 | 7.98 | 7.95   | 7.80   |
| Formaldehyde| $^1A_2(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.98 | 4.07 | 3.97 | 3.92   | 3.90   |
|            | $^1B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 7.23 | 6.56 | 7.18 | 6.50   | 7.02   |
|            | $^1B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 8.13 | 7.57 | 8.07 | 7.53   | 8.45   |
|            | $^1A_1(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 8.23 | 7.52 | 8.18 | 7.47   | 8.61   |
|            | $^1A_2(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 8.67 | 8.04 | 8.64 | 7.99   | 9.02   |
|            | $^1B_1(V; \sigma \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 9.22 | 9.32 | 9.19 | 9.17   | 9.17   |
|            | $^1A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 9.43 | 9.54 | 9.48 | 9.46   | 9.05   |
|            | $^3A_2(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.58 | 3.59 | 3.57 | 3.46   | 3.48   |
|            | $^3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.06 | 6.30 | 6.05 | 6.20   | 5.71   |
|            | $^3B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 7.06 | 6.44 | 7.03 | 6.39   | 7.44   |
|            | $^3B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.94 | 7.45 | 7.92 | 7.41   | 8.23   |
|            | $^3A_1(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 8.10 | 7.44 | 8.08 | 7.40   | 8.46   |
|            | $^3B_1(R; n \rightarrow 3d)$ | 8.42 | 8.52 | 8.41 | 8.39   | 8.32   |
| Formamide  | $^1A'[F](V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 2.80 | 2.97 | 2.84 | 2.71   | 2.77   |
|            | $^1A''(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.65 | 5.69 | 5.66 | 5.45   | 5.75   |
|            | $^3A''(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.38 | 5.36 | 5.38 | 5.15   | 5.42   |
|            | $^3A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.81 | 5.99 | 5.82 | 5.88   | 5.63   |
| Furan      | $^1A_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.09 | 6.06 | 6.08 | 6.12   | 5.95   |
|            | $^1B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.37 | 6.45 | 6.34 | 6.47   | 6.15   |
|            | $^1A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.56 | 6.77 | 6.58 | 6.76   | 6.48   |
|            | $^1B_1(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 6.64 | 6.59 | 6.63 | 6.64   | 6.49   |
|            | $^1A_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 6.81 | 6.75 | 6.80 | 6.68   | 6.67   |
|            | $^1B_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.24 | 7.25 | 7.23 | 7.29   | 7.09   |
|            | $^3B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.20 | 4.43 | 4.22 | 4.41   | 3.91   |
|            | $^3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.46 | 5.66 | 5.48 | 5.59   | 5.23   |
|            | $^3A_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.02 | 6.01 | 6.02 | 6.08   | 5.89   |
|            | $^3B_1(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 6.59 | 6.55 | 6.59 | 6.61   | 6.45   |
| Glyoxal    | $^1A_u(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 2.88 | 2.91 | 2.88 | 2.83   | 2.83   |
|            | $^1B_2(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.24 | 4.44 | 4.27 | 4.27   | 4.23   |
|            | $^1B_1(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.57 | 6.51 | 6.58 | 6.50   | 6.80   |
|            | $^1B_2(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.71 | 7.16 | 7.67 | 7.18   | 7.86   |
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| Compound          | State          | TBE  | CC2  | CC3  | ADC(2) | ADC(3) |
|-------------------|----------------|------|------|------|--------|--------|
| Pyrazine          | $^3A_u(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 2.49 | 2.47 | 2.49 | 2.39   | 2.40   |
|                   | $^3B_u(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.89 | 3.96 | 3.90 | 3.82   | 3.85   |
|                   | $^3B_u(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.15 | 5.42 | 5.17 | 5.33   | 4.83   |
|                   | $^3A_g(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.30 | 6.56 | 6.30 | 6.45   | 5.93   |
| Hydrogen chloride | $^1\Pi(CT)$    | 7.84 | 7.96 | 7.84 | 7.97   | 7.79   |
| Hydrogen sulfide  | $^1A_2(R; n \rightarrow 4p)$ | 6.18 | 6.35 | 6.19 | 6.37   | 6.05   |
|                   | $^1B_3(R; n \rightarrow 4s)$ | 6.24 | 6.30 | 6.24 | 6.34   | 6.18   |
|                   | $^3A_2(R; n \rightarrow 4p)$ | 5.81 | 5.91 | 5.82 | 5.91   | 5.67   |
|                   | $^3B_3(R; n \rightarrow 4s)$ | 5.88 | 5.94 | 5.88 | 5.96   | 5.81   |
| Imidazole         | $^1A''(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 5.71 | 5.69 | 5.71 | 5.75   | 5.61   |
|                   | $^1A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.41 | 6.51 | 6.41 | 6.50   | 6.31   |
|                   | $^3A'(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.50 | 6.47 | 6.50 | 6.51   | 6.39   |
|                   | $^3A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.73 | 4.94 | 4.75 | 4.92   | 4.47   |
|                   | $^3A''(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 5.66 | 5.66 | 5.67 | 5.72   | 5.57   |
|                   | $^3A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.74 | 5.94 | 5.74 | 5.93   | 5.49   |
|                   | $^3A''(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.31 | 6.36 | 6.33 | 6.31   | 6.26   |
| Isobutene         | $^1B_3(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.46 | 6.37 | 6.45 | 6.43   | 6.33   |
|                   | $^1A_1(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.01 | 6.95 | 7.00 | 6.97   | 6.82   |
|                   | $^3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.53 | 4.62 | 4.53 | 4.62   | 4.30   |
| Ketene            | $^1A_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.86 | 4.17 | 3.88 | 4.11   | 3.67   |
|                   | $^1B_3(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.01 | 5.94 | 5.96 | 6.03   | 5.87   |
|                   | $^1A_2(V; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.18 | 7.09 | 7.16 | 7.18   | 7.07   |
|                   | $^3A_2(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.77 | 3.98 | 3.78 | 3.92   | 3.56   |
|                   | $^3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.61 | 5.72 | 5.61 | 5.67   | 5.39   |
|                   | $^3B_3(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 5.79 | 5.77 | 5.76 | 5.85   | 5.67   |
|                   | $^3A_2(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.12 | 7.06 | 7.12 | 7.15   | 7.03   |
| Methanimine       | $^1A''(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.00 | 1.26 | 1.00 | 1.19   | 0.67   |
|                   | $^3A'(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.23 | 5.32 | 5.20 | 5.29   | 5.05   |
| Methylene cyclopropene | $^1B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.28 | 4.51 | 4.31 | 4.46   | 4.18   |
|                   | $^1B_3(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 5.44 | 5.35 | 5.44 | 5.38   | 5.26   |
|                   | $^1A_2(V; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 5.96 | 5.85 | 5.95 | 5.87   | 5.78   |
|                   | $^3B_2(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.49 | 3.64 | 3.50 | 3.61   | 3.30   |
|                   | $^3A_1(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.74 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.80   | 4.51   |
| Nitrosomethane    | $^1A''(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.96 | 1.98 | 1.96 | 1.88   | 1.72   |
|                   | $^1A'(R; n \rightarrow 3s/3p)$ | 6.40 | 5.84 | 6.31 | 5.86   | 6.48   |
|                   | $^3A'(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.16 | 1.12 | 1.14 | 1.03   | 0.84   |
|                   | $^3A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.60 | 5.74 | 5.51 | 5.75   | 5.04   |
| Propynal          | $^1A''(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.80 | 3.96 | 3.82 | 3.78   | 3.81   |
|                   | $^1A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.54 | 5.71 | 5.51 | 5.73   | 5.20   |
|                   | $^3A'(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.47 | 3.53 | 3.49 | 3.38   | 3.45   |
|                   | $^3A'(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.47 | 4.71 | 4.43 | 4.67   | 4.10   |
| Pyrazine          | $^1B_{3u}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.15 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.17   | 4.13   |
|                   | $^1A_u(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.98 | 4.86 | 4.97 | 4.88   | 5.21   |
|                   | $^1B_{2u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.02 | 5.14 | 5.03 | 5.47   | 4.88   |
|                   | $^1B_{2g}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.71 | 5.86 | 5.71 | 5.87   | 5.67   |
|                   | $^1A_g(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.65 | 6.20 | 6.66 | 6.30   | 6.96   |
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| Compound      | State                  | TBE  | CC2  | CC3  | ADC(2) | ADC(3) |
|---------------|------------------------|------|------|------|--------|--------|
|               | $^1B_{1g}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.74 | 6.67 | 6.73 | 6.67   | 7.00   |
|               | $^1B_{1u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.88 | 6.89 | 6.86 | 6.88   | 6.66   |
|               | $^1B_{1g}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3s)$ | 7.21 | 7.21 | 7.20 | 7.27   | 7.18   |
|               | $^1B_{2u}(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.24 | 6.74 | 7.25 |        |        |
|               | $^1B_{1u}(R; n \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.44 | 7.03 | 7.45 |        |        |
|               | $^3B_{3u}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.59 | 3.60 | 3.59 | 3.62   | 3.52   |
|               | $^3B_{1u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.35 | 4.60 | 4.39 | 4.57   | 4.05   |
|               | $^3B_{2u}(V; (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.39 | 4.57 | 4.40 | 4.59   | 4.10   |
|               | $^3A_{4}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.93 | 4.82 | 4.93 | 4.84   | 5.15   |
|               | $^3B_{2g}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.08 | 5.19 | 5.08 |        |        |
|               | $^3B_{1u}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.28 | 5.59 | 5.29 |        |        |
| Pyridazine    | $^1B_{1}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.83 | 3.78 | 3.83 | 3.79   | 3.86   |
|               | $^1A_{2}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.37 | 4.26 | 4.37 | 4.27   | 4.60   |
|               | $^1A_{1}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.26 | 5.43 | 5.29 | 5.44   | 5.18   |
|               | $^1A_{2}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.72 | 5.79 | 5.74 | 5.81   | 5.74   |
|               | $^1B_{2}(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.17 | 5.59 | 6.17 | 5.69   | 6.67   |
|               | $^1B_{1}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.37 | 6.33 | 6.37 | 6.35   | 6.62   |
|               | $^1B_{2}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.75 | 6.86 | 6.74 | 6.85   |        |
|               | $^3B_{1}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.19 | 3.18 | 3.19 | 3.19   | 3.12   |
|               | $^3A_{2}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.11 | 4.01 | 4.11 | 4.02   | 4.22   |
|               | $^3A_{1}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.82 | 5.07 | 4.83 | 5.06   | 4.46   |
| Pyridine      | $^1B_{1}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.95 | 4.99 | 4.96 | 4.98   | 4.99   |
|               | $^1B_{2}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.14 | 5.32 | 5.17 | 5.33   | 5.08   |
|               | $^1A_{2}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.40 | 5.28 | 5.40 | 5.27   | 5.70   |
|               | $^1A_{1}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.62 | 6.21 | 6.63 | 6.31   | 7.17   |
|               | $^1A_{1}(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.76 | 6.68 | 6.76 | 6.65   | 6.39   |
|               | $^1A_{2}(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.82 | 6.79 | 6.81 | 6.83   | 6.65   |
|               | $^1B_{1}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 7.39 | 7.34 | 7.38 | 7.38   | 7.21   |
|               | $^1A_{1}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 7.39 | 7.45 | 7.39 | 7.48   | 7.27   |
|               | $^3A_{1}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.30 | 4.53 | 4.33 | 4.53   | 4.06   |
|               | $^3B_{1}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.46 | 4.48 | 4.46 | 4.47   | 4.43   |
|               | $^3B_{2}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.79 | 4.98 | 4.79 | 4.98   | 4.49   |
|               | $^3A_{1}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.04 | 5.29 | 5.05 | 5.28   | 4.75   |
|               | $^3A_{2}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.36 | 5.24 | 5.35 | 5.23   | 5.62   |
|               | $^3B_{2}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.24 | 6.39 | 6.25 | 6.35   | 5.98   |
| Pyrimidine    | $^1B_{1}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.44 | 4.41 | 4.44 | 4.37   | 4.54   |
|               | $^1A_{2}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.85 | 4.77 | 4.86 | 4.73   | 5.06   |
|               | $^1B_{2}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.38 | 5.54 | 5.41 | 5.52   | 5.33   |
|               | $^1A_{2}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 5.92 | 5.96 | 5.93 | 5.93   | 6.08   |
|               | $^1B_{1}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.26 | 6.25 | 6.26 | 6.22   | 6.52   |
|               | $^1B_{2}(R; n \rightarrow 3s)$ | 6.70 | 6.20 | 6.72 | 6.25   | 7.11   |
|               | $^1A_{1}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 6.88 | 6.84 | 6.87 | 6.83   | 6.52   |
|               | $^3B_{1}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.09 | 4.07 | 4.10 | 4.05   | 4.12   |
|               | $^3A_{2}(V; n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.66 | 4.60 | 4.66 | 4.58   | 4.73   |
|               | $^3B_{2}(V; \pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 4.96 | 5.17 | 4.96 | 5.14   | 4.63   |
| Pyrrole       | $^1A_{2}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 5.24 | 5.23 | 5.24 | 5.30   | 5.14   |
|               | $^1B_{1}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 6.00 | 5.91 | 5.98 | 5.94   | 5.89   |
|               | $^1A_{2}(R; \pi \rightarrow 3p)$ | 6.00 | 5.96 | 6.01 | 6.03   | 5.91   |
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| Compound        | State          | TBE     | CC2 | CC3 | ADC(2) | ADC(3) |
|-----------------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|--------|--------|
|                 |                | 6.26    | 6.30| 6.25| 6.35   | 6.11   |
|                 | $^1B_2(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 6.30    | 6.47| 6.32| 6.47   | 6.29   |
|                 | $^1A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 6.83    | 6.89| 6.83| 6.91   | 6.69   |
|                 | $^3B_2(R; \pi \to 3p)$ | 4.51    | 4.72| 4.53| 4.71   | 4.26   |
|                 | $^3A_2(R; \pi \to 3s)$ | 5.21    | 5.20| 5.21| 5.27   | 5.11   |
|                 | $^3A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 5.45    | 5.66| 5.46| 5.62   | 5.23   |
|                 | $^3B_3(R; \pi \to 3p)$ | 5.91    | 5.86| 5.92| 5.89   | 5.84   |
| Streptocyanine  | $^1B_2(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 7.13    | 7.20| 7.13| 7.00   | 7.16   |
|                 | $^3B_2(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 5.47    | 5.60| 5.48| 5.55   | 5.33   |
| Tetrazine       | $^1B_3u(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 2.47    | 2.38| 2.46| 2.42   | 2.42   |
|                 | $^1A_4(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 3.69    | 3.53| 3.67| 3.58   | 3.87   |
|                 | $^1B_3g(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 4.93    | 5.02| 4.91| 5.04   | 4.97   |
|                 | $^1B_2u(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 5.21    | 5.31| 5.23| 5.31   | 5.08   |
|                 | $^1B_2g(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 5.45    | 5.64| 5.46| 5.68   | 5.13   |
|                 | $^1A_4(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 5.53    | 5.56| 5.52| 5.59   | 5.49   |
|                 | $^1B_2g(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 6.12    | 6.18| 6.13| 6.21   | 6.50   |
|                 | $^1B_3g(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 6.91    | 6.95| 6.92| 6.97   | 6.59   |
|                 | $^3B_3u(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 1.85    | 1.81| 1.85| 1.85   | 1.74   |
|                 | $^3A_4(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 3.45    | 3.31| 3.44| 3.35   | 3.54   |
|                 | $^3B_1g(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 4.20    | 4.27| 4.20| 4.27   | 4.06   |
|                 | $^3B_2u(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 4.52    | 4.77| 4.52| 4.76   | 4.06   |
|                 | $^3B_2g(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 5.04    | 5.15| 5.05| 5.16   | 4.86   |
|                 | $^3A_4(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 5.11    | 5.13| 5.11| 5.16   | 5.07   |
|                 | $^3B_1u(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 5.42    | 5.70| 5.42| 5.67   | 5.06   |
| Thioacetone     | $^1A_2(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 2.53    | 2.63| 2.55| 2.47   | 2.50   |
|                 | $^1B_3(R; n \to 4s)$ | 5.56    | 5.50| 5.55| 5.47   | 5.65   |
|                 | $^1A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 5.88    | 6.09| 5.90| 5.87   | 5.53   |
|                 | $^1B_2(R; n \to 4p)$ | 6.51    | 6.53| 6.51| 6.43   | 6.53   |
|                 | $^1A_1(R; n \to 4p)$ | 6.61    | 6.44| 6.61| 6.48   | 6.64   |
|                 | $^3A_2(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 2.33    | 2.33| 2.34| 2.20   | 2.26   |
|                 | $^3A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 3.45    | 3.59| 3.46| 3.52   | 3.17   |
| Thioformaldehyde| $^1A_2(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 2.22    | 2.34| 2.23| 2.24   | 2.05   |
|                 | $^1B_2(R; n \to 4s)$ | 5.96    | 5.82| 5.91| 5.80   | 5.94   |
|                 | $^1A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 6.38    | 6.71| 6.48| 6.57   | 5.98   |
|                 | $^3A_2(V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 1.94    | 1.94| 1.94| 1.86   | 1.77   |
|                 | $^3A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 3.43    | 3.48| 3.38| 3.45   | 3.07   |
|                 | $^3B_3(R; \pi \to 4s)$ | 5.72    | 5.64| 5.72| 5.62   | 5.71   |
|                 | $^1A_2[F](V; n \to \pi^*)$ | 1.95    | 2.09| 1.97| 1.92   | 1.80   |
| Thiophene       | $^1A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 5.64    | 5.75| 5.65| 5.72   | 5.61   |
|                 | $^1B_2(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 5.98    | 6.07| 5.96| 6.07   | 5.79   |
|                 | $^1A_2(R; \pi \to 3s)$ | 6.14    | 6.07| 6.14| 6.15   | 6.03   |
|                 | $^1B_1(R; \pi \to 3p)$ | 6.14    | 6.15| 6.14| 6.24   | 6.02   |
|                 | $^1A_2(R; \pi \to 3p)$ | 6.21    | 6.35| 6.25| 6.35   | 6.14   |
|                 | $^1B_1(R; \pi \to 3s)$ | 6.49    | 6.48| 6.50| 6.51   | 6.43   |
|                 | $^1B_2(R; \pi \to 3p)$ | 7.29    | 7.26| 7.29| 7.34   | 7.18   |
|                 | $^3B_3(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 3.92    | 4.12| 3.94| 4.11   | 3.65   |
|                 | $^3A_1(V; \pi \to \pi^*)$ | 4.76    | 4.91| 4.77| 4.86   | 4.56   |
|                 | $^3B_1(R; \pi \to 3p)$ | 5.93    | 6.00| 5.95| 6.09   | 5.83   |

Continued on next page
| Compound   | State                      | TBE | CC2   | CC3   | ADC(2) | ADC(3) |
|------------|----------------------------|-----|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Thiopropynal | $^3A_2$(R; $\pi \rightarrow 3s$) | 6.08 | 6.03  | 6.09  | 6.11   | 5.97   |
|            | $^1A''$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 2.03 | 2.20  | 2.05  | 2.08   | 1.86   |
|            | $^3A''$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 1.80 | 1.84  | 1.81  | 1.74   | 1.63   |
| Triazine   | $^1A''_1$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 4.72 | 4.64  | 4.73  | 4.58   | 4.83   |
|            | $^1A''_2$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 4.75 | 4.75  | 4.74  | 4.69   | 4.99   |
|            | $^1E''$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$)  | 4.78 | 4.72  | 4.78  | 4.66   | 4.95   |
|            | $^1A''_2$(V; $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 5.75 | 5.89  | 5.78  | 5.83   | 5.78   |
|            | $^1A''_1$(V; $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 7.24 | 7.32  | 7.24  | 7.18   | 6.78   |
|            | $^1E''$(R; $n \rightarrow 3s$)     | 7.32 | 6.87  | 7.35  | 6.89   | 7.68   |
|            | $^1E''$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$)  | 7.78 | 7.71  | 7.79  |        |        |
|            | $^1E''$(V; $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 7.94 | 7.63  | 7.92  | 7.65   | 7.88   |
|            | $^3A''_2$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 4.33 | 4.32  | 4.33  | 4.29   | 4.35   |
|            | $^3E''$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$)  | 4.51 | 4.46  | 4.51  | 4.42   | 4.59   |
|            | $^3A''_1$(V; $n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 4.73 | 4.65  | 4.75  | 4.59   | 4.53   |
|            | $^3A''_1$(V; $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 4.85 | 5.12  | 4.88  | 5.10   | 4.97   |
|            | $^3E''$(V; $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 5.59 | 5.88  | 5.61  | 5.82   | 5.32   |
|            | $^3A''_2$(V; $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 6.62 | 6.76  | 6.63  | 6.63   | 6.27   |
| Water      | $^1B_1$(R; $n \rightarrow 3s$)    | 7.62 | 7.23  | 7.65  | 7.18   | 7.84   |
|            | $^1A_2$(R; $n \rightarrow 3p$)    | 9.41 | 8.89  | 9.43  | 8.84   | 9.63   |
|            | $^1A_1$(R; $n \rightarrow 3s$)    | 9.99 | 9.58  | 10.00 | 9.52   | 10.22  |
|            | $^3B_1$(R; $n \rightarrow 3s$)    | 7.25 | 6.91  | 7.28  | 6.86   | 7.41   |
|            | $^3A_2$(R; $n \rightarrow 3p$)    | 9.24 | 8.77  | 9.26  | 8.72   | 9.43   |
|            | $^3A_1$(R; $n \rightarrow 3s$)    | 9.54 | 9.20  | 9.56  | 9.15   | 9.70   |

Figure S1: Histograms of the errors (in eV) obtained with ADC(2), ADC(2.5), and ADC(3) taking the TBE/aug-cc-pVTZ values of Refs. 1 and 2 as reference (as in Fig. 1 in the main text). “Count” refers to the number of transitions in each group. Note the difference of scaling in the vertical axes.
0-0 energies

For the 0-0 energies, we used the (EOM-)CCSD/def2-TZVPP geometries available in the Supporting Information of Ref. 3. We reproduce below the experimental values together with the literature references and the ZPVE corrections (mostly determined at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level, see Ref. 3 for details). Two “large” (10 non-hydrogen atoms) molecules have been added to the original set: p-diisocyno-benzene and tetrafluorobenzene. The geometries are given below in the same format as in Ref. 3.

Table S2: Experimental 0-0 energies and symmetries for the set of 0-0 energies. The experimental 0-0 energies are reported in both cm\(^{-1}\) (the unit used in most experimental works) and eV. The ZPVE are also indicated in eV in the rightmost column.

| Molecule                  | State                  | Experimental reference | ∆ZPVE  |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Acetaldehyde              | \(^1A\)\(^1\) (n \rightarrow \pi^*) | 29769 3.691 | 4 -0.070 |
| Acetone                   | \(^1A\)\(^2\) (n \rightarrow \pi^*) | 30435 3.773 | 5 -0.058 |
| Acetyl cyanide            | \(^1A\)\(^1\) (n \rightarrow \pi^*) | 27511 3.411 | 6 -0.053 |
| Acetylene                 | \(^1\Sigma\)\(^u\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 42197.7 5.232 | 7 -0.077 |
|                           | \(^1\Delta\)\(^u\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 54116 6.710 | 8 -0.136 |
| Acrolein                  | \(^1A\)\(^1\) (n \rightarrow \pi^*) | 25858.1 3.206 | 9 -0.089 |
| Aniline                   | \(^1A\)\(^1\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 34029 4.219 | 10 -0.191 |
| Benzene                   | \(^1B\)\(^2\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 38086.7 4.722 | 11 -0.162 |
| Benzonitrile              | \(^1B\)\(^1\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 36513 4.527 | 12 -0.145 |
| Benzoquinone              | \(^1B\)\(^1\) (n \rightarrow \pi^*) | 20045 2.485 | 13 -0.080 |
| CCl\(_2\)                 | \(^1B\)\(^3\)         | 17256.9 2.140 | 14 0.010 |
| CClF                      | \(^1A\)\(^1\)         | 25277.8 3.134 | 15 -0.001 |
| CF\(_2\)                  | \(^1A\)\(^1\)         | 37226 4.615 | 16 -0.008 |
| Cyanacetylene             | \(^1\Sigma\)\(^\pi\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 38484.9 4.772 | 17 -0.118 |
|                           | \(^1\Delta\)\(^\pi\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 44221 5.483 | 18 -0.119 |
| Cyanoformaldehyde         | \(^1A\)\(^1\) (n \rightarrow \pi^*) | 26283.37 3.259 | 19 -0.063 |
| Cyanogen                  | \(^1\Sigma\)\(^\pi\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 45399.85 5.629 | 20 -0.086 |
|                           | \(^1\Delta\)\(^\pi\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 5.96 | 21 -0.078 |
| 2-Cyclopenten-1-one       | \(^1A\)\(^1\) (n \rightarrow \pi^*) | 27210 3.374 | 22 -0.096 |
| Diacetylene               | \(^1\Sigma\)\(^\pi\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 34912.37 4.329 | 23 -0.125 |
|                           | \(^1\Delta\)\(^\pi\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 40845 5.064 | 24 -0.192 |
| p-Dicyano-benzene         | \(^1B\)\(^2\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 35120 4.354 | 25 -0.140 |
| p-Diethynylbenzene        | \(^1B\)\(^2\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 34255 4.247 | 26 -0.134 |
| p-Difluoro-benzene        | \(^1B\)\(^2\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 36838 4.567 | 27 -0.160 |
| Diffuorodiazirine          | \(^1B\)\(^1\) (n \rightarrow \pi^*) | 28374.21 3.518 | 28 -0.072 |
| 2,6-Difluoro-pyridine     | \(^1B\)\(^2\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 37820 4.689 | 29 -0.149 |
| p-Diisocyno-benzene       | \(^1B\)\(^2\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 35566 4.410 | 30 -0.137 |
| Fluoro-benzene            | \(^1B\)\(^2\) (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*) | 37813 4.688 | 31 -0.161 |

Continued on next page
| Molecule                  | State   | Experimental reference | Ref. | ΔZPVE |
|--------------------------|---------|------------------------|------|-------|
| Formaldehyde             | $^1A_2^\prime (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 28188.02 3.495 | 32  | -0.085 |
| Formic acid              | $^1A'' (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$     | 37413.39 4.639 | 33  | -0.096 |
| Formylchloride           | $^1A (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$       | 32760 4.062 | 34  | -0.069 |
| Formylfluoride           | $^1A^\prime (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 37491.7 4.648 | 35  | -0.063 |
| Glyoxal                  | $^1A_2 (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$     | 21973.43 2.724 | 36  | -0.060 |
| H$_2$C$_3$               | $^1A_2 (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$   | 13677 1.696 | 37  | -0.059 |
| HCN                      | $^1\Sigma^+ (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 52256.4 6.479 | 7   | -0.120 |
| HCP                      | $^1\Sigma^+ (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 34769.7 4.311 | 7   | -0.087 |
| HNO                      | $^1A^\prime (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 13154.4 1.631 | 7   | -0.029 |
| HPO                      | $^1A^\prime (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 19032.78 2.360 | 38  | -0.043 |
| HPS                      | $^1A^\prime (\sigma \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 12291.4 1.524 | 39  | -0.019 |
| HSiF                     | $^1A^\prime$                      | 23260.021 2.884 | 40  | -0.037 |
| cis-Hydroquinone         | $^1A_1 (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$   | 33534.782 4.158 | 41  | -0.156 |
| trans-Hydroquinone       | $^1B_3u (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$  | 33500.054 4.153 | 41  | -0.159 |
| Isocyanogen              | $^1\Sigma^- (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 42523 5.272 | 42  | -0.077 |
| Nitrosomethane           | $^1A'' (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$     | 14408 1.786 | 43  | -0.026 |
| Nitrosylcyanide          | $^1A^\prime (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 11339.9 1.406 | 44  | 0.004  |
| Oxalyl fluoride          | $^1A_u (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$     | 32445 4.023 | 45  | -0.104 |
| Phenylacetylene          | $^1B_2 (\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$   | 35877.18 4.448 | 46  | -0.158 |
| Phosgene                 | $^1A_2 (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$     | 32730 4.058 | 47  | -0.089 |
| Propynal                 | $^1A (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$       | 26162.94 3.244 | 48  | -0.092 |
| 4H-pyran-4-one           | $^1A^2 (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$     | 28360 3.516 | 49  | -0.122 |
| Pyrazine                 | $^1B_{3u} (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$  | 30875.78 3.828 | 50  | -0.209 |
| Pyrimidine               | $^1B_1 (n \rightarrow p)$        | 31188 3.867 | 51  | -0.174 |
| Selenoformaldehyde       | $^1A_2 (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$     | 13635 1.691 | 52  | -0.062 |
| SiCl$_2$                 | $^1B_1$                             | 30013.5 3.721 | 53  | -0.015 |
| Silylidene               | $^1A_2$ (Ryd)                      | 15132.97 1.876 | 54  | 0.009  |
|                         | $^1B_2$ (Ryd)                      | 29312.88 3.634 | 55  | 0.018  |
| Tetrafluorobenzene       | $^1B_{2u}$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 36555 4.532 | 56  | -0.136 |
| Tetrazine                | $^1B_{3u}$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 18128.07 2.248 | 57  | -0.093 |
| Thioacetaldehyde         | $^1A^\prime$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 2.22   | 58  | -0.064 |
| Thioacetone              | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$    | 2.33    | 58  | -0.047 |
| Thioacrolein             | $^1A^\prime$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 15124.6 1.875 | 59  | -0.050 |
| Thiocarbonyll bromide    | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$    | 17992 2.231 | 60  | -0.033 |
| Thiocarboxynchlorofluoride | $^1A^\prime$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 21657.4 2.685 | 61  | -0.032 |
|                         | $^2A^\prime$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 35277 4.374 | 62  | -0.066 |
| Thiocarboxyfluoride      | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$    | 23477.1 2.911 | 63  | -0.034 |
| Thiocarboxybenzene       | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$    | 16395.6 2.033 | 62  | -0.066 |
| Thioformylchloride       | $^1A^\prime$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 18792 2.330 | 64  | -0.054 |
| Thiophosgene             | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$    | 18712.2 2.320 | 65  | -0.030 |
|                         | $^2A_1$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$  | 34277.32 4.250 | 66  | -0.069 |
| Thiopropynal             | $^1A^\prime$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 14656.4 1.817 | 66  | -0.048 |
| Trifluorpirnosomethane   | $^1A^\prime$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 13929.7 1.727 | 67  | -0.017 |
| Acetaldehyde             | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$    | 27240 3.377 | 68  | -0.074 |
| Acrolein                 | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$    | 24247.3 3.006 | 69  | -0.109 |
| Benzaldehyde             | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$    | 25183 3.122 | 70  | -0.111 |
| Benzoquinone             | $^3B_{1g}$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 18370 2.278 | 71  | -0.075 |

Continued on next page
| Molecule                  | State         | Experimental reference | cm$^{-1}$ | eV  | Ref. | $\Delta$ZPVE |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------|-----|------|-------------|
| CHCl                     | $^3A$         |                        | 2163.28  | 0.268 | 172  | 0.007       |
| Cyanogen                 | $^3\Sigma^+_u$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 33289.9 | 4.127 | 73 | -0.110 |
| 4-Cyclopentene-1,3-dione | $^3B_2^1$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 20540 | 2.547 | 74 | -0.074 |
| 2-Cyclopenten-1-one      | $^3A^+$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 25956.29 | 3.218 | 76 | -0.111 |
| Formaldehyde             | $^3A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 25194.34 | 3.124 | 32 | -0.092 |
| Glyoxal                  | $^3A_u$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 19199 | 2.380 | 77 | -0.056 |
| H$_2$C$_3$               | $^3B_1^1$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 10354 | 1.284 | 78 | -0.066 |
| Oxalyl Chloride          | $^3A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 11002$^a$ | 3.027 | 79 | -0.085 |
| Ozone                    | $^3A_2$       | 9553.021 | 1.184 | 78 | -0.061 |
| Propynal                 | $^3A^+$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 24127.1 | 2.991 | 80 | -0.106 |
| 4H-pyran-4-one           | $^3A^2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 27291.5 | 3.384 | 80 | -0.134 |
| 4H-pyran-4-thione        | $^3A^2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 16846.4 | 2.089 | 81 | -0.097 |
| Pyrazine                 | $^3B_3u$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 26820.3 | 3.325 | 82 | -0.177 |
| Pyrimidine               | $^3B_1^1$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 25853.0 | 3.538 | 83 | -0.176 |
| Selenoformaldehyde       | $^3A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 12171.0 | 1.509 | 84 | -0.071 |
| SiF$_2$                  | $^3B_1$       | 26319.5 | 3.263 | 85 | -0.002 |
| SO$_2$                   | $^3B_1^1$     | 25765.737 | 3.195 | 86 | -0.055 |
| Tetrazine                | $^3B_{3u}$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 13608.0 | 1.687 | 87 | -0.061 |
| Thioacetaldehyde         | $^3A^+$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 16293.8 | 2.020 | 88 | -0.060 |
| Thioacetone              | $^3A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 17327.8 | 2.148 | 89 | -0.045 |
| Thioacrolein             | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 14036.2 | 1.740 | 90 | -0.070 |
| Thioformaldehyde         | $^3A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 14507.3 | 1.799 | 91 | -0.080 |
| Thioformylchloride       | $^3A'$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 17233.9 | 2.137 | 92 | -0.050 |
| Thiophosgene             | $^3A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 14793.788 | 2.169 | 93 | -0.035 |
| Thiopropynal             | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 13257.4 | 1.644 | 94 | -0.064 |
| Triazine                 | $^3E^-$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 335$^a,b$ | 3.701 | 95 | -0.148 |

$^a$ in nm; $^b$ "best estimate"

Cartesian coordinates (in Å) obtained at the (EOM-)CCSD/def2-TZVPP level of theory for the two additional compounds. The notations of the excited states refer to the ground-state point group symmetry.

**p-Diisocyno-benzene**

**Ground state**

|        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| C      | 0.000000 | 1.210459 | 0.692565 |
| C      | 0.000000 | 1.210459 | -0.692565 |
| C      | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 1.377022 |
| C      | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | -1.377022 |
| C      | 0.000000 | -1.210459 | 0.692565 |
| C      | 0.000000 | -1.210459 | -0.692565 |
| C      | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 3.932314 |
C 0.000000 0.000000 -3.932314
N 0.000000 0.000000 2.763434
N 0.000000 0.000000 -2.763434
H 0.000000 2.135125 1.244480
H 0.000000 2.135125 -1.244480
H 0.000000 -2.135125 1.244480
H 0.000000 -2.135125 -1.244480

Excited state $[^1B_{2u} (\pi \to \pi^*)]$

C 0.000000 1.238754 0.709424
C 0.000000 1.238754 -0.709424
C 0.000000 -0.000000 1.404087
C 0.000000 -0.000000 -1.404087
C 0.000000 -1.238754 0.709424
C 0.000000 -1.238754 -0.709424
C 0.000000 -0.000000 3.944346
C 0.000000 -0.000000 -3.944346
N 0.000000 -0.000000 2.771103
N 0.000000 -0.000000 -2.771103
H 0.000000 -2.159635 1.265112
H 0.000000 -2.159635 -1.265112
H 0.000000 -0.000000 2.469482
H 0.000000 -0.000000 -2.469482

Tetrafluorobenzene

Ground state

C 0.000000 1.190995 0.692100
C 0.000000 1.190995 -0.692100
C 0.000000 1.190995 -0.692100
C 0.000000 0.000000 -1.393333
C 0.000000 0.000000 -1.393333
C 0.000000 0.000000 1.393333
F 0.000000 2.348323 -1.348963
F 0.000000 2.348323 1.348963
F 0.000000 -2.348323 1.348963
F 0.000000 -2.348323 -1.348963
H 0.000000 0.000000 -2.469482
H 0.000000 0.000000 2.469482

Excited state $[^1B_{2u} (\pi \to \pi^*)]$

C 1.178195 0.707583 0.063424
C 1.178195 -0.707583 0.063424
C 1.178195 -0.707583 0.063424
C 1.178195 0.707583 0.063424

S13
| Element | X        | Y        | Z        |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| C       | -1.178195 | -0.707583| 0.063424 |
| C       | -1.178195 | 0.707583 | 0.063424 |
| C       | 0.000000  | 1.473594 | 0.163267 |
| F       | 2.339183  | -1.319369| -0.118329|
| F       | 2.339183  | 1.319369 | -0.118329|
| F       | -2.339183 | 1.319369 | -0.118329|
| F       | -2.339183 | -1.319369| -0.118329|
| H       | 0.000000  | -2.523792| 0.389226 |
| H       | 0.000000  | 2.523792 | 0.389226 |
Table S3: 0-0 energies computed with ADC(2), ADC(3), CC2, and CC3. All values are in eV, and they have been obtained on the basis of the corresponding aug-cc-pVTZ frozen-core adiabatic energies determined on the (EOM-)CCSD/def2-TZVPP geometries. The ZPVE corrections are listed above.

| Molecule              | State       | ADC(2) | ADC(3) | CC2   | CC3   |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Acetaldehyde          | $^1A'$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.455  | 3.830  | 3.671 | 3.663 |
| Acetone               | $^1A_2$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.430  | 4.007  | 3.671 | 3.733 |
| Acetyl cyanide        | $^1A''$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.136  | 3.607  | 3.348 | 3.381 |
| Acetylene             | $^1\Sigma_u^-$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 5.323  | 4.753  | 5.322 | 5.163 |
|                       | $^1\Delta_u$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 6.797  | 6.247  | 6.808 | 6.627 |
| Acrolein              | $^1A''$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.040  | 3.445  | 3.254 | 3.229 |
| Aniline               | $^1A''$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.264  | 4.165  | 4.261 | 4.169 |
| Benzene               | $^1B_{2u}$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.930  | 4.684  | 4.904 | 4.709 |
| Benzonitrile          | $^1B_1$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.735  | 4.494  | 4.711 | 4.526 |
| Benzoquinone          | $^1B_{1g}$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 2.310  | 2.788  | 2.443 | 2.502 |
| CCl$_2$               | $^1B_1$     | 2.066  | 1.986  | 2.180 | 2.232 |
| CCIF                  | $^1A''$     | 3.048  | 2.978  | 3.170 | 3.198 |
| CF$_2$                | $^1B_1$     | 4.504  | 4.474  | 4.632 | 4.642 |
| Cyanoacetylene        | $^1\Sigma^-$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.815  | 4.323  | 4.780 | 4.693 |
| Cyanoformaldehyde     | $^1A''$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.133  | 3.371  | 3.309 | 3.270 |
| Cyanogen              | $^1\Sigma_u$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 5.721  | 5.212  | 5.696 | 5.595 |
|                       | $^1\Delta_u$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 6.051  | 5.529  | 6.045 | 5.907 |
| 2-Cyclopenten-1-one   | $^1A'$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.065  | 3.734  | 3.275 | 3.355 |
| Diacetylene           | $^1\Sigma_u$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.268  | 3.927  | 4.237 | 4.243 |
|                       | $^1\Delta_u$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.966  | 4.613  | 4.958 | 4.935 |
| $p$-Dicyano-benzene   | $^1B_{2u}$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.546  | 4.308  | 4.522 | 4.351 |
| $p$-Diethynylbenzene  | $^1B_{2u}$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.455  | 4.220  | 4.434 | 4.263 |
| $p$-Diffuoro-benzene  | $^1B_{2u}$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.631  | 4.519  | 4.741 | 4.525 |
| Difluorodiazirine     | $^1B_1$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.488  | 3.304  | 3.477 | 3.501 |
| 2,6-Difluoro-pyridine | $^1B_2$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.744  | 4.647  | 4.758 | 4.644 |
| $p$-Disocyanobenzene  | $^1B_{2u}$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.606  | 4.372  | 4.590 | 4.407 |
| Fluoro-benzene        | $^1B_2$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.841  | 4.648  | 4.831 | 4.664 |
| Formaldehyde          | $^1A_2$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.365  | 3.548  | 3.541 | 3.482 |
| Formic acid           | $^1A''$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.308  | 4.886  | 4.563 | 4.588 |
| Formylchloride        | $^1A''$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 3.839  | 4.219  | 4.075 | 4.047 |
| Formylfluoride        | $^1A''$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.410  | 4.820  | 4.661 | 4.611 |
| Glyoxal               | $^1A_2$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 2.662  | 2.733  | 2.727 | 2.726 |
| H$_2$C$_3$            | $^1A_2$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 1.952  | 1.418  | 1.930 | 1.713 |
| HCN                   | $^1\Sigma^-$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 6.760  | 5.963  | 6.681 | 6.409 |
| HCP                   | $^1\Sigma^-$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.458  | 3.794  | 4.432 | 4.250 |
| HNO                   | $^1A''$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 1.555  | 1.403  | 1.600 | 1.637 |
| HPO                   | $^1A'$ ($n\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 2.087  | 2.291  | 2.242 | 2.277 |
| HPS                   | $^1A''$ ($\sigma\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 1.460  | 1.250  | 1.522 | 1.426 |
| HSiF                  | $^1A''$     | 2.923  | 2.684  | 2.955 | 2.886 |
| cis-Hydroquinone      | $^1A_1$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.119  | 4.103  | 4.125 | 4.092 |
| trans-Hydroquinone    | $^1B_{2u}$ ($\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$) | 4.111  | 4.097  | 4.127 | 4.085 |

Continued on next page
| Molecule                        | State          | ADC(2) | ADC(3) | CC2 | CC3 |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|
| Isocyanogen                   | $^1\Sigma^-$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 5.209  | 5.168  | 5.405 | 5.108 |
| Nitrosomethane                | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 1.666  | 1.569  | 1.749 | 1.781 |
| Nitrosylecyanide              | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 1.441  | 1.165  | 1.417 | 1.446 |
| Oxalyl fluoride               | $^1A_u$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 3.758  | 4.209  | 3.928 | 3.988 |
| Phenylacetylene               | $^1B_2$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$)   | 4.652  | 4.409  | 4.628 | 4.445 |
| Phosgene                      | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 3.727  | 4.394  | 3.956 | 4.039 |
| Propynal                      | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 3.039  | 3.430  | 3.251 | 3.241 |
| 4H-pyran-4-one                | $^1A^2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 3.168  | 3.971  | 3.422 | 3.487 |
| Pyrazine                      | $^1B_{3u}$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)  | 3.827  | 3.797  | 3.795 | 3.799 |
| Pyrimidine                    | $^1B_1$ ($n \rightarrow \pi$)       | 3.717  | 3.909  | 3.770 | 3.818 |
| Selenoformaldehyde            | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 1.659  | 1.625  | 1.812 | 1.708 |
| SiCl$_2$                      | $^1B_1$       | 3.749  | 3.541  | 3.794 | 3.697 |
| Silylidene                    | $^1A_2$ (Ryd) | 2.127  | 1.557  | 2.089 | 1.850 |
| Terafluorobenzene             | $^1B_{2u}$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 4.532  | 4.501  | 4.553 | 4.486 |
| Tetrazine                     | $^1B_{3u}$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)  | 2.207  | 2.185  | 2.177 | 2.246 |
| Thioacetaldehyde              | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.145  | 2.169  | 2.300 | 2.204 |
| Thioacetone                   | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.171  | 2.361  | 2.358 | 2.298 |
| Thioacrolein                  | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 1.913  | 1.828  | 2.062 | 1.923 |
| Thiocarbonylbromide           | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.114  | 2.237  | 2.284 | 2.233 |
| Thiocarbonylchlorofluoride    | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.582  | 2.640  | 2.756 | 2.618 |
|                              | $^2A'$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$)    | 4.112  | 4.007  | 4.542 | 4.324 |
| Thiacarboxyli fluoride        | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.889  | 2.825  | 3.029 | 2.815 |
| Thioformaldehyde              | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.042  | 1.911  | 2.154 | 2.038 |
| Thioformyl chloride           | $^1A'$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)      | 2.282  | 2.277  | 2.448 | 2.337 |
| Thiophosgene                  | $^1A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.240  | 2.368  | 2.425 | 2.349 |
|                              | $^2A_1$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$)   | 3.948  | 3.878  | 4.411 | 4.229 |
| Thioacrolein                  | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 1.845  | 1.715  | 1.971 | 1.849 |
| Trifluoronitrosomethane       | $^1A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 1.666  | 1.470  | 1.717 | 1.739 |
| Acetaldehyde                  | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 3.037  | 3.429  | 3.214 | 3.308 |
| Acrolein                      | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.664  | 3.172  | 2.849 | 2.946 |
| Benzaldehyde                  | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.711  | 3.333  | 2.900 | 3.050 |
| Benzoquinone                  | $^3B_{1g}$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)  | 2.161  | 2.522  | 2.229 | 2.351 |
| CHCl                          | $^3A'$        | 0.091  | 0.010  | 0.190 | 0.270 |
| Cyanogen                      | $^3\Sigma^+_u$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) | 4.329  | 3.790  | 4.263 | 4.006 |
| 4-Cyclopentene-1,3-dione      | $^3B_1$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.219  | 2.765  | 2.297 | 2.499 |
| 2-Cyclopenten-1-one           | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.767  | 3.549  | 2.960 | 3.137 |
| Formaldehyde                  | $^3A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.878  | 3.092  | 3.012 | 3.067 |
| Glyoxal                       | $^3A_u$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.247  | 2.320  | 2.308 | 2.360 |
| H$_2$C$_3$                    | $^3B_1$ ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$)   | 1.395  | 1.115  | 1.378 | 1.308 |
| Oxalyl Chloride               | $^3A_u$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.663  | 3.238  | 2.808 | 3.004 |
| Ozone                         | $^3A_2$       | 1.377  | 0.459  | 1.051 | 1.164 |
| Propynal                      | $^3A''$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.628  | 3.104  | 2.804 | 2.922 |
| 4H-pyran-4-one                | $^3A^2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 2.892  | 3.770  | 3.122 | 3.293 |
| 4H-pyran-4-thione             | $^3A^2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 1.934  | 2.117  | 2.095 | 2.044 |
| Pyrazine                      | $^3B_{3u}$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)  | 3.333  | 3.242  | 3.312 | 3.301 |
| Pyrimidine                    | $^3B_1$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 3.389  | 3.527  | 3.432 | 3.486 |
| Selenoformaldehyde            | $^3A_2$ ($n \rightarrow \pi^*$)     | 1.340  | 1.403  | 1.461 | 1.475 |
| Molecule          | State          | ADC(2) | ADC(3) | CC2  | CC3  |
|------------------|----------------|--------|--------|------|------|
| SiF$_2$          | $^3B_1$        | 2.932  | 3.019  | 2.992| 3.176|
| SO$_2$           | $^3B_1$        | 2.774  | 2.829  | 2.804| 2.925|
| Tetrazine        | $^3B_{3u} (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.666  | 1.543  | 1.642| 1.673|
| Thioacetaldehyde | $^3A'' (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.855  | 1.941  | 1.977| 1.986|
| Thioacetone      | $^3A_2^+ (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.923  | 2.149  | 2.075| 2.108|
| Thioacrolein     | $^3A^+ (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.624  | 1.628  | 1.742| 1.712|
| Thioformaldehyde | $^3A_2 (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.675  | 1.646  | 1.761| 1.763|
| Thioformylchloride| $^3A'' (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.980  | 2.050  | 2.117| 2.115|
| Thiophosgene     | $^3A_2^+ (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.960  | 2.158  | 2.117| 2.141|
| Thiopropynal     | $^1A^+ (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 1.515  | 1.501  | 1.613| 1.613|
| Triazine         | $^3E^+ (n \rightarrow \pi^*)$ | 3.451  | 3.730  | 3.530| 3.643|
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