The changeover from first-order to second-order phase transitions in $q$-state Potts models is obtained at $q_c = 2$ in spatial dimension $d = 3$ and essentially at $q_c = 4$ in $d = 2$, using a physically intuited simple adaptation of the Migdal-Kadanoff renormalization-group transformation. This simple procedure yields the latent heats at the first-order phase transitions. In both $d = 2$ and $3$, the calculated phase transition temperatures, respectively compared with the exact self-duality and Monte Carlo results, are dramatically improved.

I. INTRODUCTION: ORDER OF POTTS TRANSITIONS AND UNDERLYING PHYSICAL INTUITION

The spatial dimensionality $d$, the symmetry of the local degrees of freedom, and the presence of quenched randomness strongly affect the occurrence and order of a phase transition. A simple but effective method in studying the occurrence of a phase transition has been the renormalization-group method under the Migdal-Kadanoff approximation \[1, 2\], which is also currently the most used renormalization-group method. Thus, using this method on widely different systems, the lower-critical dimension $d_c$, below which no ordering occurs has been correctly determined as $d_c = 1$ for the Ising model \[1, 2\], $d_c = 2$ for the XY \[3, 4\] and Heisenberg \[5\] models, and the presence of an algebraically ordered phase has been seen for the XY model \[3, 4\] and right- and left-chiral (helical) spin glasses \[6, 7\]. In systems with frozen microscopic disorder (quenched randomness), using the simple Migdal-Kadanoff renormalization-group approximation, $d_c = 2$ has been determined for the random-field Ising \[7, 8\] and XY models \[3, 4\], and, yielding a non-integer value, $d_c = 2.46$ for Ising spin-glass systems \[8\]. Also under the Migdal-Kadanoff approximation, the chaotic nature of the spin-glass phases \[9, 11\] has been obtained and quantitatively analyzed, both for quenched randomly mixed ferromagnetic-antiferromagnetic spin glasses \[12\] and right- and left-chiral (helical) spin glasses \[13\].

An important aspect of an occurring phase transition is the order of the phase transition. The simple Migdal-Kadanoff approximation has not been successful in predicting this for an order-disorder phase transition in a model system. The best example are the $q$-state Potts models which, in terms of model system variety and experimental application, offer rich behaviors. The Potts models are defined by the Hamiltonian

$$-\beta H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \delta(s_i, s_j),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$, at lattice site $i$ the Potts spin $s_i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ can be in $q$ different states, the delta function $\delta(s_i, s_j) = 1(0)$ for $s_i = s_j (s_i \neq s_j)$, and the sum is over all interacting pairs of spins. The Ising model is obtained for $q = 1$. The lower-critical dimension of the Potts models is $d_c = 1$, as also seen by the simple Migdal-Kadanoff renormalization-group approximation.\[20\] However, for $d > 1$, the phase transitions of the Potts models are first order for $q > q_c$ and second order for $q < q_c$.\[21\]-\[28\] This has not been obtained by the simple Migdal-Kadanoff approximation, which yields second order for all $q$. The actual changeover number of states $q_c(d)$ depends on dimensionality $d$. For $d = 2$ and $3$, $q_c = 4$ and $2$, respectively. For $d = 1$, $q_c = \infty$.\[27\]

As noted above, the $q$-state Potts models have a second-order phase transition for $q \leq q_c$ and a first-order phase transition for $q > q_c$. In renormalization-group theory \[21, 28\], the latter has been seen understood, and reproduced, as a condensation of effective vacancies formed by regions of disorder. Disorder is entropically favored for high number of states $q$. However, these renormalization-group calculations have required flows in large Hamiltonian parameter spaces, with many different types of interactions, and not connectable to the phase transition temperatures or thermodynamic properties of the original Potts models (Eq. (1)). The effective
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\caption{From Ref.\[33\]: (a) Migdal-Kadanoff approximate renormalization-group transformation for the $d = 3$ cubic lattice with the length-rescaling factor of $b = 2$. (b) Construction of the $d = 3, b = 2$ hierarchical lattice for which the Migdal-Kadanoff recursion relation is exact.}
\end{figure}
FIG. 2. Calculated transition temperatures $1/J$ of $q$-state Potts models. The top curve is obtained with the conventional Migdal-Kadanoff approximation. In $d = 2$, the bottom curve is the exact transition temperatures obtained from self-duality. In $d = 3$, the bottom curve is Monte Carlo results Ref. [30]. The intermediate curve is obtained with our simply improved Migdal-Kadanoff approximation. First- and second-order phase transitions are given with triangles and squares, respectively. The improved calculation gives the changeover from second- to first-order exactly (after $q = 4$) in $d = 2$. In the latter case, the changeover can be brought down to $q = 4$ by a simple physical argument and calculation, as seen in Fig. 4. Both in $d = 2$ and 3, the values of the phase transition temperatures are dramatically improved with the improved calculation and join the exact results for $q > \sim 10$ and $q > \sim 5$, respectively.

vacancy mechanism has not been incorporated into the simple, pliable, otherwise effective, and therefore much used Migdal-Kadanoff transformation.

In this study, we find an also simple, physically motivated adjustment to the usual Migdal-Kadanoff approximation that cures the problem of the order of the phase transition, dramatically improves the calculated transition temperatures both in $d = 2$ and 3, and appears to be widely applicable to other systems.

II. MIGDAL-KADANOFF AS A SIMPLE EFFECTIVE RENORMALIZATION GROUP

The Migdal-Kadanoff approximation renders a non-doable renormalization-group transformation doable by a physically motivated approximate step, is very easily calculated, applicable to large number of systems, including for example such complexities as the quenched-random helical spin glass Ref. [17–19], and effective across physical dimensions $d$.

Starting with the example given in Fig. (1a), an exact renormalization-group transformation cannot be applied to the cubic lattice. Thus, as an approximation, some of the bonds are removed. However, this weakens the connectivity of the system and, to compensate, for every bond removed, a bond is added to the remaining bonds. This whole step is called the bond-moving step and constitutes the approximate step of the renormalization-group transformation. At this point, the intermediate sites can be eliminated by an exact summation over their spin values in the partition function, which yields the renormalized interaction between the remaining sites. This is called the (exact) decimation step and completes the renormalization-group transformation. As shown in Fig.1, the renormalization-group recursion relations of the Migdal-Kadanoff approximation are identical to those of an exactly solved hierarchical lattice Ref. [20, 31, 32].

The above can be rendered algebraically in the most straightforward way by writing the transfer matrix between two neighboring spins,

$$T_{ij} \equiv e^{-\beta H_{ij}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^J & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & e^J & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & e^J \end{pmatrix},$$

where $-\beta H_{ij}$ is the part of the Hamiltonian between the two spins at the neighboring sites $i$ and $j$.

The bond-moving step of the Migdal-Kadanoff approximate renormalization-group transformation consists in taking the power of $b^{d-1}$ of each element in this matrix, where $b$ is the length-rescaling factor of the renormalization-group transformation, namely the renormalized nearest-neighbor separation in units of unrenor-
FIG. 3. Calculated \( q \)-state Potts energy densities in \( d = 2 \) and \( d = 3 \). In each panel, the curves are, from right to left, for \( q = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 20, 50, 100 \). The latent-heat discontinuities of the first-order phase transitions are shown with the dashed lines. The second-order phase transitions are marked with \( \times \).

The phase transition temperatures \( 1/J \) of \( q \)-state Potts models, calculated with the simply improved Migdal-Kadanoff transformation, are shown in Fig.1. The top curve in this figure is obtained with the conventional Migdal-Kadanoff approximation. In \( d = 2 \), the bottom curve is the exact transition temperatures obtained from self-duality \cite{29}. In \( d = 3 \), the bottom curve is Monte Carlo results \cite{30}. The intermediate curve is obtained with our simply improved Migdal-Kadanoff approximation. First- and second-order phase transitions are distinguished in the figure. The improved calculation gives the changeover from second- to first-order exactly (after \( q = 2 \)) in \( d = 3 \) and very nearly (after \( q = 5 \) instead of \( q = 4 \)) in \( d = 2 \). In the latter case, the changeover can be brought down to \( q = 4 \) by a simple physical argument and calculation, as seen below. Both in \( d = 2 \) and \( d = 3 \), the values of the phase transition temperatures are dramatically improved with the improved calculation and join the exact results for \( q \gtrsim 10 \) and \( q \gtrsim 5 \), respectively.
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since the largest element, taken to the power \( b^{d-1} \), remains 1. The logarithm of the dividing element, namely the subtractive constant \( G(n) = \ln(T_{ij})_{\text{max}} \), where \( n \) indicates the \((n)\)th renormalization-group transformation, summed over the trajectory, yields the free energy and therefore the thermodynamic densities.

The dimensionless free energy per bond \( f = F/kN \) is thus obtained by summing the constants generated at each renormalization-group step,

\[
f = \frac{1}{N} \ln \left( \sum_{\{s_i\}} e^{-\beta H} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{G^{(n)}}{b^{dn}},
\]

where \( N \) is the number of bonds in the initial unrenormalized system, the first sum is over all states of the system, the second sum is over all renormalization-group steps \( n \), \( G^{(0)} \) is the constant from the first division at the beginning of the trajectory. This sum quickly converges numerically. A derivative of the free energy \( f \) with respect to \( J \) gives the energy density \( \langle \delta(s_i, s_j) \rangle \). The thus calculated \( q \)-state Potts energy densities in \( d = 2 \) and \( 3 \) are shown in Fig. 3. The latent heat discontinuities are shown with the dashed lines and are consistent with order of the phase transition yielded by the renormalization-group flows. The correct \( q_c = 2 \) is obtained for \( d = 3 \). In \( d = 2 \), we need a first-order transition for \( q = 5 \) to obtain \( q_c = 4 \). However, this is a near miss in the calculation, physically explained: In the middle of a disordered island, all spin states contribute to the local multiplicity introduced above. Thus, the subtraction \( q - 1 \) is an oversubtraction. In fact, when \( q - 0.25 \) is used, the first-order transition with the latent heat is obtained, as seen in Fig. 3.

V. CONCLUSION

The changeover from first-order to second-order phase transitions in \( q \)-state Potts models is obtained in spatial dimensions \( d = 2 \) and \( 3 \) by a physically inspired simple adaptation of the simple Migdal-Kadanoff renormalization-group transformation. The phase transition temperatures are dramatically improved by this physical adaptation. The latent heats at the first-order phase transitions are calculated using the renormalization-group transformation. The inclusion of the local disorder state, which is the essence of our adaptation, can be used for numerical improvement and to take into account the possibility of a first-order phase transition.
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