Prevalence of occupational accidents and associated variables in the Brazilian workforce
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ABSTRACT | Introduction: Current estimates suggest that 317 million occupational accidents occur annually worldwide. Objectives: To estimate the prevalence of occupational accidents and associated variables in the Brazilian workforce. Methods: A cross-sectional study was performed using data from adults aged 18 or older who participated in the National Health Survey (Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde) (2013). This study was based on participants’ responses to questions regarding their history of occupational accidents in the previous 12 months. Socioeconomic, lifestyle and health-related variables were also examined. Prevalence rates and ratios were calculated using Poisson multivariate regression models (with 95% confidence intervals), followed by Wald’s tests for robust variance estimation. Results: The prevalence of occupational accidents was 2.79% (95% confidence interval, 2.53-3.08%). These incidents were associated with male gender (prevalence ratio = 1.42; 95% confidence interval, 1.14-1.77), living in rural areas (prevalence ratio = 1.27; 95% confidence interval, 1.06-1.62), age 18 to 24 (prevalence ratio = 2.02; 95% confidence interval, 1.20-3.40), illiteracy (prevalence ratio = 3.12; 95% confidence interval, 1.96-4.96) and having two or more chronic illnesses (prevalence ratio = 2.12; 95% confidence interval, 1.29-3.47). Conclusions: Though the prevalence of occupational accidents in the Brazilian workforce was low, these incidents were associated with multimorbidity, socioeconomic status and lifestyle variables.
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RESUMO | Introdução: Estima-se que 317 milhões de acidentes de trabalho aconteçam anualmente em todo o mundo. Objetivos: Estimar a prevalência de acidentes de trabalho na população trabalhadora brasileira e conhecer seus fatores associados. Métodos: Estudo transversal a partir dos dados de pessoas acima de 18 anos na Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde (2013). Foram utilizadas questões do inquérito sobre o envolvimento em algum acidente de trabalho 12 meses anteriores. Foram analisadas as características socioeconômicas, de estilo de vida e condições de saúde. Foram calculadas as prevalência e razões de prevalência, com análise multivariada pela regressão de Poisson (intervalo de confiança de 95%), com teste de Wald para estimativa robusta. Resultados: A prevalência de acidente de trabalho foi de 2,79% (intervalo de confiança de 95% 2,53-3,08) e foi associada a sexo masculino (razão de prevalência = 1,42; intervalo de confiança de 95% 1,14-1,77), ser morador de zona rural (razão de prevalência = 1,27; intervalo de confiança de 95% 1,06-1,62), com faixa etária de 18-24 anos (razão de prevalência = 2,02; intervalo de confiança de 95% 1,20-3,40), ser analfabeto (razão de prevalência = 3,12; intervalo de confiança de 95% 1,96-4,96) e ter duas doenças crônicas ou mais (razão de prevalência = 2,12; intervalo de confiança de 95% 1,29-3,47). Conclusões: Apesar da baixa prevalência de acidente de trabalho na população trabalhadora brasileira, ela esteve associada às características relacionadas a multimorbidade, características socioeconômicas e de estilo de vida.

Palavras-chave | multimorbidade; saúde do trabalhador; absenteísmo/presenteeísmo; acidente de trabalho.
INTRODUCTION

The study of health and disease processes in the field of occupational health seeks to examine the impact of work on human health and identify the main determinants of disability in the working population. This area of public health focuses on health promotion and protection in this target group, striving to reduce occupational accidents, illnesses and injuries; improve working conditions; and increase workers’ quality of life.1

The aging of the workforce and the resulting increase in chronic illnesses have changed the character of occupational health.2 Furthermore, new work practices have had a direct influence on workers’ health as a result of increased demands and expectations, greater diversity, and the precariousness of working conditions and social protections, in addition to decreased autonomy, environmental degradation and worsening quality of life.3

The frequency of occupational accidents has increased steadily every year. An estimated 317 million such incidents occur every year worldwide.4 The International Labor Organization (ILO) also notes that 2.3 million workers every year die from work-related activities.5

The latest report of the 4th National Conference on Occupational Health notes that 717,911 occupational accidents were reported in Brazil in 2013, and that these incidents were among the main causes of absenteeism in the country.6 The fact that 18.7 million Brazilians work in the informal sector and have no access to social or labor protections7 is a major reason why health and safety incidents go unreported.

In Brazil, the National Workers’ Health Policy issued by the Ministry of Health is responsible for promoting the reduction of occupational accidents and illnesses through the National Network of Occupational Health Care (Renast), composed of State and Regional Reference Centers for Workers’ Health (Cerest), which provide the technical and scientific basis for the improvement of working conditions and worker quality of life.3

Occupational accidents are preventable8 and their continued occurrence reflects the fragility of programs and policies for health promotion and disease prevention in workers,9 including administrative strategies aimed at protecting workers’ health and preventing occupational accidents such as regulations on the use of personal (PPE) and collective protective equipment (CPE). The literature estimates that countries dedicate 4 to 10% of their gross domestic product (GDP) to the management of occupational illnesses, noting that this figure may be even higher developed or developing countries such as Brazil.10

Therefore, it is important to study the epidemiology of occupational accidents, assessing their prevalence and determinants in the Brazilian population. Additionally, by analyzing the characteristics of the most severely affected individuals, strategic actions can be implemented in the field of occupational health surveillance, contributing to the development of protocols that meet the needs of Brazilian workers, allowing for effective prevention and control measures in both formal and informal work settings.

The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence of occupational accidents in Brazilian workers and identify the socioeconomic, lifestyle and health variables associated with these incidents.

METHODS

An association study was performed using secondary data from the 2013 National Health Survey (PNS) in Brazil. The PNS is a national household survey designed to characterize and assess the Brazilian population in terms of their health status, lifestyle, chronic illnesses, risk factors, as well as health care access and utilization.11

The population sampled by the PNS consists of adults aged 18 years or older, living in private households throughout the country.12 The sample was stratified by three levels, with census tracts as the primary sampling or collection units; households as secondary units; and adult residents (≥18 years) as the tertiary sampling units. The final sample consisted of 64,308 individuals, with a response rate of 86%.13 The present study focused on respondents who were...
employed at the time of the survey, resulting in a sub-
sample of 47,629 participants.

Data were extracted from Module O of the PNS, entitled “Accidents and Violence,” and the dependent variable was defined as an affirmative response to the following question: O21. In the past 12 months, have you been involved in an occupational accident (excluding traffic accidents)?

The dependent variable was operationalized based on the definition of occupational accidents provided in article 19 of law 8.213/1991, which states that,

An occupational accident is an event that occurs in the course of employment or as a result of occupational activities [...] resulting in physical harm or functional impairment leading to death or the temporary or permanent loss or reduction in occupational functioning [free translation].

The independent variables considered in this study were: age group (18-24 years; 25-39 years; 40-59 years; 60 years or older); education level (illiterate [no education or incomplete primary school]; primary [complete primary and incomplete secondary school]; secondary [complete secondary school and incomplete university]; or university [undergraduate degree]); marital status (living with partner; not living with partner); place of residence (urban or rural); smoking status (never; current smoker; former smoker); alcohol intake (none; moderate; excessive); private medical or dental insurance (yes or no); socioeconomic status (classes A and B; class C; classes D and E); multimorbidity (0; 2; 3; 4); and number of weekly hours (the only quantitative variable in the study, used to classify participants according to weekly working hours).

The prevalence of occupational accidents was 2.79% (95%CI, 2.53-3.08). Descriptive analyses showed that individuals who consumed moderate or excessive amounts of alcohol were the most likely to have experienced an occupational accident in the past 12 months. Other common characteristics in individuals with a history of occupational accidents included male gender, being a current or former smoker, having a low education level, two or more chronic illnesses, living in a rural area, having no health insurance, having a low socioeconomic position and being in the 25- to 39-year age group (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the results of bivariate associations between occupational accidents and socioeconomic, health-related and occupational characteristics. Occupational accidents were significantly associated with male gender (PR = 1.87; 95%CI, 1.52-2.29), lack of health insurance (PR = 1.58; 95%CI, 1.26-1.97), illiteracy (PR = 2.43; 95%CI, 1.58-3.74), primary education (PR = 2.61; 95%CI, 1.96-3.46), secondary education (PR = 2.31; 95%CI, 1.71-1.11), living in rural areas (PR = 1.47; 95%CI, 1.16-1.86), being in a younger age group and excess alcohol consumption (PR = 1.63; 95%CI, 1.25-2.15). Multimorbidity was significantly associated with occupational accidents at p = 0.12, and was therefore included in the multivariate model.

The multivariate analysis in Table 3 showed that the following variables were still associated with tests for robust variance estimation. Sample weights were based on the full sample of the PNS. Analyses were performed using Stata, version 14.0.

The PNS was approved by the National Research Ethics Committee (CONEP) on July 8, 2013, under protocol number 10853812.7.0000.0008. The present study was based on secondary data from the PNS collected from the official website of the Ministry of Health, and does not require ethical approval, as outlined in the National Health Council Resolution 466/2012.

**RESULTS**

The prevalence of occupational accidents was 2.79% (95%CI, 2.53-3.08). Descriptive analyses showed that individuals who consumed moderate or excessive amounts of alcohol were the most likely to have experienced an occupational accident in the past 12 months. Other common characteristics in individuals with a history of occupational accidents included male gender, being a current or former smoker, having a low education level, two or more chronic illnesses, living in a rural area, having no health insurance, having a low socioeconomic position and being in the 25- to 39-year age group (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the results of bivariate associations between occupational accidents and socioeconomic, health-related and occupational characteristics. Occupational accidents were significantly associated with male gender (PR = 1.87; 95%CI, 1.52-2.29), lack of health insurance (PR = 1.58; 95%CI, 1.26-1.97), illiteracy (PR = 2.43; 95%CI, 1.58-3.74), primary education (PR = 2.61; 95%CI, 1.96-3.46), secondary education (PR = 2.31; 95%CI, 1.71-1.11), living in rural areas (PR = 1.47; 95%CI, 1.16-1.86), being in a younger age group and excess alcohol consumption (PR = 1.63; 95%CI, 1.25-2.15). Multimorbidity was significantly associated with occupational accidents at p = 0.12, and was therefore included in the multivariate model.

The multivariate analysis in Table 3 showed that the following variables were still associated with
occupational accidents in the final model: 18-24 years of age (PR = 2.02; 95%CI, 1.20-3.40), illiteracy (PR = 3.12; 95%CI, 1.96-4.96), two or more chronic illnesses (PR = 2.12; 95%CI, 1.29-3.47), male gender (PR = 1.42; 95%CI, 1.14-1.77) and living in a rural area (PR = 1.27; 95%CI, 1.06-1.62).

DISCUSSION

The present findings showed that the prevalence of occupational accidents in the 12 months prior to the PNS was lower than that reported in previous studies in Brazil. A study by Oliveira and Paiva involving public service workers in a mobile emergency service across four cities in the state of Minas Gerais observed a prevalence rate of 29.40% for occupational accidents in this sample. In a study of tobacco farmers in the city of São Lourenço do Sul, state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, Zago et al. found a prevalence of occupational accidents to be 4.5%.

It is important to note that the present findings refer to the overall prevalence of occupational accidents in the country rather than a single occupational category, like the previously cited studies. Though this study could not determine the occupations in which

Table 1. Twelve-month prevalence (%) of occupational accidents in the Brazilian working population, according to socioeconomic and health characteristics assessed by the National Health Survey (PNS), 2013 (n = 47,629)

| Variables                  | P (%) | 95% CI   |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Occupational accident      |       | LL | UL |
| No                         | 97.21 | 96.92  | 97.47 |
| Yes                        | 2.79  | 2.53   | 3.08  |
| Gender                     |       |     |     |
| Male                       | 3.59  | 3.17  | 4.06  |
| Female                     | 1.92  | 1.63  | 2.26  |
| Age (years)                |       |     |     |
| 18-24                      | 3.19  | 2.50  | 4.08  |
| 25-39                      | 2.98  | 2.58  | 3.44  |
| 40-59                      | 2.80  | 2.37  | 3.30  |
| 60 and older               | 1.61  | 1.10  | 2.35  |
| Marital status             |       |     |     |
| No                         | 2.61  | 2.19  | 3.11  |
| Yes                        | 2.90  | 2.58  | 3.26  |
| Alcohol intake             |       |     |     |
| None                       | 2.67  | 2.37  | 3.01  |
| Moderate                   | 2.63  | 2.10  | 3.30  |
| Excessive                  | 4.38  | 3.40  | 5.62  |
| Smoking status             |       |     |     |
| Nonsmoker                  | 2.41  | 2.11  | 2.76  |
| Current smoker             | 3.58  | 2.97  | 4.33  |
| Former smoker              | 3.64  | 2.94  | 4.49  |

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; LL = lower limit; P = prevalence; UL = upper limit.
Table 2. Bivariate analysis of factors related to experiencing occupational accidents in the past 12 months in the Brazilian working population, according to the National Health Survey (PNS), 2013 (n = 47629)

| Variables                      | PR   | 95%CI       | p-value |
|-------------------------------|------|-------------|---------|
|                               |      | LL      | UL      |
| Gender                        |      |         |         |
| Male                          | 1.87 | 1.52    | 2.29    | < 0.01 |
| Female                        | 1.00 |          |         |        |
| Age (years)                   |      |         |         |
| 18-24                         | 1.98 | 1.28    | 3.07    | < 0.01 |
| 25-39                         | 1.85 | 1.23    | 2.78    | < 0.01 |
| 40-59                         | 1.74 | 1.15    | 2.63    |         |
| 60 and older                  | 1.00 |          |         |        |
| Marital status                |      |         |         |
| No                            | 1.00 |          |         |        |
| Yes                           | 1.10 | 0.90    | 1.36    | < 0.01 |
| Medical or dental insurance   |      |         |         |
| No                            | 1.58 | 1.26    | 1.97    | < 0.01 |
| Yes                           | 1.00 |          |         |        |
| Alcohol intake                |      |         |         |
| None                          | 1.00 |          |         |        |
| Moderate                      | 0.98 | 0.76    | 1.27    | < 0.01 |
| Excessive                     | 1.63 | 1.24    | 2.15    |         |
| Smoking status                |      |         |         |
| Nonsmoker                     | 1.00 |          |         |        |
| Current smoker                | 0.91 | 0.49    | 1.69    | < 0.01 |
| Former smoker                 | 1.22 | 0.76    | 1.94    |         |
| Education level               |      |         |         |
| Illiterate                    | 2.43 | 1.58    | 3.74    | < 0.01 |
| Primary                       | 2.61 | 1.96    | 3.46    | < 0.01 |
| Secondary                     | 2.31 | 1.71    | 1.11    |         |
| Higher education              | 1.00 |          |         |        |
| Place of residence            |      |         |         |
| Urban                         | 1.00 |          |         | < 0.01 |
| Rural                         | 1.47 | 1.16    | 1.86    |         |
| Multimorbidity                |      |         |         |
| 0                             | 1.00 |          |         |        |
| 2                             | 1.29 | 0.95    | 1.74    | 0.12   |
| 3                             | 1.40 | 0.96    | 2.03    |         |
| 4                             | 1.26 | 0.81    | 1.95    |         |
| Socioeconomic status          |      |         |         |
| A and B                       | 1.00 |          |         | < 0.01 |
| C                             | 1.21 | 0.80    | 1.82    | 0.34   |
| D and E                       | 0.91 | 0.62    | 1.33    |         |
| Weekly working hours          | 1.00 | 1.00    | 1.01    | < 0.01 |

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; LL = lower limit; p-value = probability of significance; PR = prevalence ratio; UL = upper limit.
accidents were most frequent, the use of a population-based survey allowed us to quantify the magnitude of this issue among Brazilian workers with a higher degree of robustness.

According to the Annual Statistical Reports of the Social Security Administration (AEPS), a total of 737,378 occupational accidents were reported in 2013.17 Yet if the prevalence rates observed in the present study for the year prior to the PNS were applied to the working population of Brazil, which was 86,353,839 in 2010,18 we would obtain a figure of 2,409,272 occupational accidents. These results confirm the high number of accidents in Brazil, which also has the highest global percentage of occupational accidents leading to death.17 The present findings also suggest that only a third of these incidents are accounted for in official statistics. This may correspond to the share of accident victims covered by social insurance.

Population-based studies that describe the occurrence of occupational accidents are especially important, since in Brazil, the underreporting of illnesses and injuries prevents an accurate estimation of the impact of work on the health of the working population, especially for those in the informal sector, which constitutes a major limitation of occupational health surveillance in Brazil.19

The need for accurate information on the profile of workers and the frequency of occupational illness and injury led to the passing of Ordinance No. 777/GM on 28 April 2004, which “describes the

| Variables | PRadj | 95%CI | p-value |
|-----------|-------|-------|---------|
| Gender    |       |       |         |
| Male      | 1.42  | 1.14  | 1.77    | < 0.01  |
| Female    | 1.00  |       |         |
| Age (years) |     |       |         |< 0.01  |
| 18-24     | 2.02  | 1.20  | 3.40    | < 0.01  |
| 25-39     | 1.79  | 1.11  | 2.88    |         |
| 40-59     | 1.41  | 0.87  | 2.28    |         |
| 60 and older | 1.00 |       |         |
| Education level | |       |         |
| Illiterate| 3.12  | 1.96  | 4.96    |         |
| Primary   | 2.76  | 2.02  | 3.78    | < 0.01  |
| Secondary | 2.32  | 1.70  | 3.19    |         |
| Higher education | 1.00 |       |         |
| Multimorbidity | |       |         |
| 0         | 100   |       |         |
| 2         | 1.70  | 1.22  | 2.36    | < 0.01  |
| 3         | 1.90  | 1.27  | 2.84    |         |
| 4         | 2.12  | 1.29  | 3.47    |         |
| Place of residence | |       |         |
| Urban     | 100   |       |         |
| Rural     | 1.27  | 1.00  | 1.62    | < 0.04  |
| Weekly working hours | |       |         |
| 100       | 0.99  | 1.01  | 0.07    |

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; LL = lower limit; P = prevalence; p-value = probability of significance; UL = upper limit.
technical procedures for the mandatory reporting of occupational health issues to a sentinel surveillance network within the Unified Health System –SUS,” and requires that all occupational and work-related health issues be reported to the Information System on Notifiable Diseases (Sinan). This system is crucial for the surveillance and monitoring of major occupational health issues, and as such, provides a basis for the development of strategies and initiatives to promote and protect occupational health.

It is estimated that 9% of wages and 60% of benefits paid by Social Security in Brazil (2013) are associated with special retirement payments or compensation for work-related illnesses, injuries and accidents; this figure amounts to 71 billion reais per year.

Therefore, in an attempt to encourage companies to take better care of workers and reduce the frequency of occupational accidents, a 2007 decree by the Ministry of Social Security determined that companies should reserve a percentage of payroll ranging from 1 to 3% to cover social security benefits associated with work-related accidents. Additionally, 2018 saw the institution of the Accident Prevention Factor (FAP), which from 2019 onward was used to guarantee Work Accident Insurance (SAT) and encourage companies with lower accident rates to contribute only 1% of payroll to compensation payments, rather than the 3% required for companies with a higher frequency of occupational accidents and illnesses.

The costs of occupational accidents to the SUS can be calculated based on Hospital Admission Authorization (AIH) records. These data suggest that R$ 422,409.43 were used to cover expenses related to occupational accidents between 2010 and 2014. According to scientific evidence, the SUS is a major “provider of funds and assistance to victims of occupational accidents and illnesses,” accounting for over 50% of the services provided to these individuals while private and philanthropic institutions contribute a smaller share (nearly 40.3%), underscoring the financial impact of these incidents on the SUS.

In addition to the financial burden associated with occupational accidents, it is important to consider the multidimensional social impact of these incidents on the victims and their families, who will have to face death, loss or reduction in occupational functioning as a result of these events. In 2009, nearly 16 billion reais were paid by workers and their families in compensation for work injuries.

People with multimorbidity also reported a higher frequency of occupational accidents. Frey et al. noted that individuals with four or more chronic illnesses were 2.35 times more likely to experience work accidents than individuals with two or three conditions. Multimorbidity may lead to fragility, especially when at least one of the illnesses present is unstable. According to a systematic review of longitudinal studies on multimorbidity, the literature has reached a consensus on the role of mental disorders as some of the most significant and incapacitating illnesses in individuals with two or more chronic conditions. As such, the presence of multiple chronic illnesses, be they physical or mental, is directly linked to absenteeism and decreased productivity, increasing the financial burden on the system.

Male gender was also strongly associated with the occurrence of occupational accidents. According to the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), men (3.5 million) aged 18 to 24 years were twice as likely as women (1.5 million) to experience occupational accidents. Additionally, in an epidemiological study of male mortality rates in Brazil, Oliveira et al. found that men aged 20 to 59 years accounted for 35.2% of deaths due to external causes in the year 2010. The IBGE reports that males constituted 56.7% of the Brazilian population in 2013, while women comprised the remaining 43.3%; as such, the previously mentioned issues reflect the predominantly male workforce.

Individuals aged 18 to 24 were especially likely to report occupational accidents, and did so at similar rates to those seen in previous studies, where the 18- to 39-year age group is found to be most affected by these incidents. In a study of secondary data from accident reporting systems, Gonçalves et al. found that 62.5% of occupational accidents affected workers aged 18 to 29 years. This may be due to their socioeconomic status and the frequency with which they hold low-
qualification jobs, leading to increased exposure to
dangerous situations with a higher accident risk.\textsuperscript{6}

The analysis of education levels revealed that
individuals with no education (illiterate) were three
times more likely to have experienced an occupational
accident than those with higher education levels. This
may be due to a lack of access to information and
health care services, which result in unfamiliarity with
basic principles of protection and prevention, as well
as health promotion initiatives.\textsuperscript{29} Similarly, in a study
of secondary data from several information sources,
Hennington and Monteiro\textsuperscript{30} corroborated the present
findings, noting that individuals with lower education
levels (35.5\%) are most affected by occupational
accidents.

Living in rural areas was also associated with a
higher frequency of occupational accidents. This may
be due to difficulty accessing health care services, a
lack of resources and transportation to travel to and
from work, as well as the level of commitment to labor
laws.\textsuperscript{29} On the other hand, Hennington and Monteiro\textsuperscript{30}
found that more accidents happen in urban settings
(90.2\%), and suggested that this may be due to the
increased access to health care associated with living
in urban areas, which results in a higher reporting rate
for occupational accidents.

The cross-sectional designed used in the
present study prevented the identification of causal
relationships between variables, since the direction of
causality cannot be determined when risk factors and
outcomes are assessed simultaneously. This constitutes
a limitation of the present study. A second potential
limitation is that, although the present study was
based on a representative sample of the population,
diagnoses were self-reported. As a result, findings may
have been influenced by comprehension issues, recall
bias and under- or overestimated prevalence rates.
However, the sample size and methodological rigor
add to the robustness of the present findings. Since
this was a population-based study, it also contributes
to the identification of outcomes and risk factors
across the country.

CONCLUSIONS

This study revealed a low prevalence of occupational
accidents in Brazilian workers. This finding may have
been influenced by the recall bias of respondents or a
lack of understanding of the multidimensional nature
of occupational accidents. The present findings differ
from official global statistics, according to which Brazil
ranks fourth in occupational accidents, behind China,
the United States and Russia, respectively.\textsuperscript{17}

The lack of questions regarding the nature of each
participants’ occupation and the types of accident or
injury they experienced may also have affected the
quality of responses. Future population-based studies
in Brazil, such as the PNS, should collect additional
information on the frequency and consequences
of occupational accidents, in order to address the
limitations identified in the present study and
provide a more accurate view of the issues facing
Brazilian workers. There is also a need for longitudinal
population-based studies that analyze the association
between multimorbidity and work-related problems,
which could not be assessed in the present study, but
remains a topic of interest in the international literature
on worker health.\textsuperscript{28}

Despite these limitations, the present study makes a
significant contribution to the literature by identifying
variables that influence the occurrence of workplace
accidents. These findings can support and encourage
strategic actions and policies to monitor the state of
occupational health and promote a discussion of the
importance of reporting all occupational accidents,
injuries and illnesses, creating a culture of reporting in
both public and private services in Brazil.
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