Chronic social stress-induced hyperglycemia in mice couples individual stress susceptibility to impaired spatial memory
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Significance
Stress-associated mental disorders and diabetes pose an enormous socio-economic burden. Glucose dysregulation occurs with both psychosocial and metabolic stress. While cognitive impairments are common in metabolic disorders such as diabetes and are accompanied by hyperglycemia, a causal role for glucose has not been established. We show that chronic social defeat (CSD) stress induces lasting peripheral and central hyperglycemia and impaired glucose metabolism in a subgroup of mice. Animals exhibiting hyperglycemia early post-CSD display spatial memory impairments that can be rescued by the antidiabetic empagliflozin. We demonstrate that individual stress vulnerability to glucose homeostasis can be identified early after insult and that stress-induced hyperglycemia directly impinges on cognitive integrity. Our findings further bridge the gap between stress-related pathologies and metabolic disorders.

T he brain consumes about 10 times more calories than the surrounding bodily tissues (1). These substantial cerebral demands for energy, the bulk arriving in the form of glucose from the periphery, may render the brain susceptible to even the slightest disturbances in its energy supply. The impact of psychosocial stress on energy metabolism is increasingly being recognized, and an integrative view may be essential for understanding the mechanisms underlying the adaptation to stress (2, 3). Acute stress constitutes such a disruption: The release of glucocorticoids and norepinephrine during acute stress affects glucose metabolism (4, 5). While a short-lasting, moderate, and controllable stressor is not harmful, and homeostatic recurrence is anticipated, chronic stress may inflict many adverse health effects and has been linked to the emergence of metabolic disorders, including diabetes and cardiovascular disease (6–8). In line with this association, stress-related mental disorders, such as depression, are found to be highly comorbid with diabetes (9). Although disrupted glucose metabolism has been implicated in the pathophysiology of several brain disorders (10), how long-term chronic stress affects glucose homeostasis and whether these metabolic alterations mediate individual susceptibility or resilience to compromised mental function remain unexplored.

Elements involved in the processing of glucose, including its cerebral uptake (11), have also been implicated in the effects of stress and brain function. Additionally, mitochondria that metabolize glucose were found to shape the stress-related consequences of brain function (12, 13). However, the effects of stress on peripheral and cerebral glucose levels and their consequences for cognitive integrity have largely been neglected. It has been suggested that psychosocial and metabolic stress share common underlying mechanisms with glucose dysregulation having a central role (10, 12, 13). For example, clinical data using PET imaging for cerebral glucose uptake (18F-fluorodeoxyglucose; 18F-FDG) revealed decreased uptake in both depressive disorders and diabetes type 2 (DM2) (14, 15). Interestingly, this decrease was most prominent in DM2 patients that expressed mild cognitive impairments (15), suggesting that DM2-associated mental...
deficits may stem from poor brain glucose metabolism. Additionally, animal models that mimic DM2 and DM2-related metabolic conditions suggest that insulin resistance may be linked to cognitive decline as well, especially in the hippocampus (16). Similarly, insulin resistance also has been associated with the presence of depression (17), and stress-affected hippocampal glucose metabolism is hypothesized to be central in understanding acute stress-induced effects on memory formation (5).

The hippocampus is a key brain region involved in spatial memory formation and is a well-recognized target for the effects of chronic stress (18–22). Whether and how a shift in glucose metabolism induced by chronic stress may interfere with learning and memory is still unclear.

Understanding how stress may contribute to metabolic disorders could open up new avenues for treatment and early intervention. In resonance with the appeal to place “gluc” back in glucocorticoids, made a few years ago (12), we investigated the consequences of chronic social stress on glucose metabolism. In a second step, involving stratification of the stressed mice based on individual glucose levels after chronic social defeat (CSD), we explored the reversibility of hyperglycemia-associated spatial memory impairments by treatment with the glucose-lowering antidiabetic compound empagliflozin (EMPA).

Results

**CSD Causes Hyperglycemia, Hypercortisolemia, Adrenal Hyperplasia, and Hyperphagia.** The long-term effects of stress on glucose metabolism and their consequences have not been fully elucidated. We first studied the effects of CSD on glucose levels in the peripheral blood. During CSD lasting 10 consecutive days glucose levels initially tended to drop for stressed mice (day 8) but were significantly increased on the morning of day 12 (i.e., 2 d post-CSD) in comparison with timed controls (Fig. 1A). The high glucose levels found in these CSD-exposed animals at 2 d post-CSD were associated with increased corticosterone levels that we detected simultaneously (Fig. 1B). However, the blood plasma levels of corticosterone 2 d post-CSD did not correlate with their glucose levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). The lasting impact of our CSD paradigm on stress physiology was further demonstrated by hyperplasia/hypertrophy of the adrenal glands 5 d post-CSD (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, body weight was not affected throughout or after the stressful period, but stressed mice did increase their daily food intake throughout and after the CSD (Fig. 1D and SI Appendix, Fig. S1B and C). However, blood plasma leptin concentrations were not affected by CSD (Fig. 1E).

**CSD Perturbs Peripheral Glucose Metabolism and Affects Behavior.** The behavioral impact of a social defeat paradigm can be validated in a confrontation test; stressed mice typically show a reduction in the exploration of an unknown mouse that appears similar to the mouse by which it was defeated during CSD (23). Indeed, CSD-exposed mice displayed reduced exploration of the unknown CD-1 mouse in the confrontation test (Fig. 2A and B). Following CSD, hyperglycemia develops and lasts for at least 2 wk (Fig. 2C). We investigated the impact of CSD on the expression of stress hormones, on glucose and insulin metabolism, and on behavioral functions during this hyperglycemic phase. One week after CSD, we found that both morning and afternoon circulating corticosterone levels did not differ between control and CSD-exposed mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D). Glucose metabolism was perturbed for CSD-exposed animals; in a glucose tolerance test (GTT) performed 9 d post-CSD in fasted animals, glucose levels were increased 30 min after a glucose bolus injection compared with control mice (Fig. 2D). In contrast, insulin sensitivity appeared intact in CSD-exposed mice, as blood plasma insulin concentrations did not differ from controls or when insulin levels obtained from animals before the onset of CSD were compared with samples taken 8 d post-CSD (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E). Additionally, the glucose response to an insulin bolus in the insulin-tolerance test and the insulin response to a glucose bolus in the GTT did not reveal differences between control and CSD-exposed mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S1F and G). On a behavioral level we found extended immobility in the forced swim test for CSD-exposed animals (Fig. 2E). While basic novel object memory remained intact (Fig. 2F), spatial memory appeared to be specifically impaired, as CSD-exposed mice did not show a preference for the novel arm in a cognitive version of the Y-maze (Fig. 2G and H). Although CSD-exposed animals displayed a modest reduction (18.7%) in locomotor activity during the exploration phase in the Y-maze, the amount of locomotor activity did not correlate with Y-maze performance in either CSD-exposed or control mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). We thus can exclude locomotor activity as a potential confounder of the effects of CSD on cognitive function. The amount of C1 exploration of the unknown CD-1 mouse correlated positively with the cognitive deficits in the Y-maze (Fig. 2I), indicating that stress severity may predict spatial memory impairments. The spatial memory impairments displayed by CSD-exposed mice were confirmed in the object location task (Fig. 2J). In the light–dark box we found no differences in time spent in the light compartment, total number of light–dark transitions, or the latency to first entry into the dark compartment (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), indicating that the stress-induced spatial memory impairments were not driven by altered anxiety-like behavior. Since glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was increased when measured 8 wk post-CSD (Fig. 2K), the molecular consequences of hyperglycemia can persist beyond the duration of increased blood glucose levels.

---

**CSD-Induced Cerebral Hyperglycemia Is Linked to Spatial Memory Impairments.** We wondered whether the pervasive effects of CSD on peripheral glucose levels and its metabolism would also affect the brain. Seeing that CSD impaired spatial memory, we were particularly interested in the involvement of the hippocampus, a
brain region known to be highly sensitive to the effects of chronic stress. Induced metabolic bioluminescence imaging (imBi) of glucose is based on bioluminescence reactions: Tissue glucose is enzymatically linked to the light reaction, resulting in a calibrated, color-coded 2D image of microscopic glucose distribution within a tissue section. imBi revealed hyperglycemia throughout the stressed brain (Fig. 3A). Peripheral glucose levels taken when these animals were killed were predictive of cerebral glucose levels, indicating that cerebral and peripheral hyperglycemia were linked (Fig. 3B). The cerebral hyperglycemia pertained to the hippocampal formation as well (Fig. 3C and D). Interestingly, animals’ hippocampal-dependent Y-maze performance (Fig. 2G and H) correlated negatively with the amount of hippocampal glucose found using glucose imBi (Fig. 3E), suggesting that the hyperglycemia in the hippocampus may be detrimental to its function. In agreement with this notion, intrahippocampal glucose infusion to nonstressed mice impaired spatial memory in the Y-maze test (Fig. 3F). To investigate putative cellular disturbances induced by hippocampal hyperglycemia, we measured levels of NAD+, NADH, and malondialdehyde [as an estimation for thiobarbituric acid (TBARS)] during CSD (day 10) and thereafter (3 and 5 wk post-CSD) but found no changes (SI Appendix, Table S1).

**Cerebral Glucose Uptake is Reduced in Mice That Underwent CSD.** To gain insight into the cerebral metabolism of glucose under stress, we measured cerebral 18F-FDG uptake and found an overall decrease in whole-brain 18F-FDG uptake in CSD-exposed mice as compared with controls (Fig. 4A and B). A follow-up analysis revealed that the stress-induced reduction of 18F-FDG uptake included the hippocampal formation, among other selected regions (Fig. 4C and SI Appendix, Fig. S4). In line with the decreased 18F-FDG uptake, CSD-exposed animals exhibited a reduction of hippocampal glucose transporter 1 (GluT1) membrane protein levels (Fig. 4D). For GluT1, we observed two adjacent bands; due to their proximity, we analyzed them collectively. The masses of these 45- and 55-kDa bands correspond to the two known isoforms of GluT1 and are localized to glial cells and endothelial cells, respectively (24). We detected only one band in the membrane fractions stained for GluT3 and GluT4, and their protein levels were unaltered after stress (Fig. 4E and F). Cytosolic hippocampal protein levels for GluT1, GluT3, and GluT4 did not differ between CSD-exposed mice and controls (SI Appendix, Table S2).

**EMPA Rescues CSD-Induced Disturbances in Glucose Metabolism and Spatial Memory for Stressed Mice Preidentified as Having High Glucose Levels.** Spurred by our finding that stress induced hyperglycemia during CSD, we explored whether stress-induced hyperglycemia and the associated cognitive impairments could be prevented by caloric restriction. Caloric restriction was imposed during CSD (10 consecutive days) by supplying animals with 80% of their prestress defined ad libitum food intake (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). This procedure caused a temporary decrease in the animals’ bodyweight (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B). However, caloric restriction did not prevent the development of the poststress hyperglycemic phenotype, nor did it ameliorate stress-induced...
Glucose-infused mice did not display a preference in novel arm exploration, unlike vehicle-infused mice (vehicle infusion: t10190, P = 0.027, n = 10 per group; white bar, control; black bar, CSD). (F) Hippocampal glucose correlated negatively with spatial memory performance (r = -0.47, r² = 0.22, P = 0.037). (G) Glucose-infused mice did not display a preference in novel arm exploration, unlike vehicle-infused mice (vehicle infusion: t = 3.93, df = 8, P = 0.004; glucose infusion: t = 0.40, df = 7, P = 0.70), and intrahippocampal glucose reduced novel arm preference compared with vehicle-treated control mice (t = 2.19, df = 15, P = 0.045, n = 9 or 9 per group). (H) Verification of the hippocampal injection. (Right) A representative image of the injection site (in blue). (Left) The corresponding Nissl-stained histological plate. Image courtesy of © 2004 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. Available from: http://mouse.brain-map.org/experiment/siv?id=imageId=dfGlucose-infused mice did not display a preference in novel arm exploration, unlike vehicle-infused mice (vehicle infusion: t10190, P = 0.027, n = 10 per group; white bar, control; black bar, CSD). (F) Hippocampal glucose correlated negatively with spatial memory performance (r = -0.47, r² = 0.22, P = 0.037). (G) Glucose-infused mice did not display a preference in novel arm exploration, unlike vehicle-infused mice (vehicle infusion: t = 3.93, df = 8, P = 0.004; glucose infusion: t = 0.40, df = 7, P = 0.70), and intrahippocampal glucose reduced novel arm preference compared with vehicle-treated control mice (t = 2.19, df = 15, P = 0.045, n = 9 or 9 per group). (H) Verification of the hippocampal injection. (Right) A representative image of the injection site (in blue). (Left) The corresponding Nissl-stained histological plate. Image courtesy of © 2004 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. Available from: http://mouse.brain-map.org/experiment/siv?id=imageId=df
deteriorated for EMPA-treated CSD mice previously characterized with an In-Gluc phenotype, but EMPA treatment improved the poor Y-maze default performance shown by CSD-exposed mice that were preidentified as having the H-Gluc phenotype (Fig. 5F). In agreement with the hypothesis that CSD-induced hyperglycemia impairs spatial memory but EMPA treatment benefits only the stressed mice that develop hyperglycemia (defined here as >150 mg/dL at 2 d post-CSD), we see that novel arm preference in the Y-maze correlates negatively with the 2-d post-CSD blood glucose levels in vehicle-treated CSD mice (Fig. 5G) but positively with 2-d post-CSD blood glucose values in EMPA-treated CSD animals (Fig. 5H). In contrast, cognitive performance in the Y-maze did not correlate with peripheral glucose levels in control mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S7), suggesting that the effects of blood glucose levels on Y-maze performance pertain only to CSD-exposed mice. In line with the behavioral findings, and highlighting the lasting effects of CSD on glucose metabolism, a GTT performed 3 wk post-CSD revealed that only vehicle-treated CSD/H-Gluc mice displayed impaired glucose metabolism, whereas EMPA treatment normalized glucose breakdown in CSD mice exhibiting the H-Gluc phenotype (Fig. 5I). Since exploration in the confrontation test is frequently taken as a proxy for stress susceptibility versus resilience, we correlated these measurements to the blood glucose levels 2 d post-CSD but found no association (SI Appendix, Fig. S8).

**Discussion**

Stress increases the levels of circulating glucose, and chronic stress may lead to the development of physiological as well as cognitive impairments, especially in individuals that lack proper adaptive mechanisms (25). It remains to be determined, however, whether (central) glucose dysregulation is linked to stress-induced cognitive impairments and whether abnormal glucose metabolism may contribute to individual susceptibility to the aversive consequences of chronic stress. Here, we discovered that CSD in male mice lastingly increases peripheral and central glucose levels and affects glucose metabolism and that these perturbations are causally related to the emergence of stress-induced cognitive impairments. Notably, we could rescue this metabolic and cognitive phenotype by the SGLT2 inhibitor EMPA, which lowers glucose concentrations by stimulating renal glucose excretion (26).

Specifically, we show that peripheral and central hyperglycemia ensue in the weeks following CSD but are not present during the stressful period itself. With respect to glucose metabolism, the results from the GTT suggest abnormal glucose breakdown in stressed mice. Since the stressed brain is hyperglycemic but also displays a reduction of cerebral 18F-FDG uptake, as shown here, our findings suggest that the CSD-induced hyperglycemia may not simply be a direct consequence of meeting increased brain glucose demands but also could be dysfunctional and maladaptive for cognition. This possibility is further accented with the observed negative correlations between peripheral and central (hippocampal) glucose and spatial memory performance. Moreover, the impaired spatial memory induced by intrahippocampal infusion of glucose (comparable to the hippocampal glucose levels found in CSD-exposed mice) supports the interpretation that stress-induced cerebral hyperglycemia may be sufficient to cause cognitive deficits.

Although all stressed animals in our study were subjected to exactly the same duration of aggressive behavior (30 s/d), only a fraction (36%) of CSD-exposed animals were identified as H-Gluc (glucose levels >150 mg/mL) at 2 d post-CSD, whereas a considerable proportion maintained glucose levels within a normal range (glucose levels <150 mg/mL and >125 mg/mL) at 2 d post-CSD. Other studies involving social defeat have classically segregated subjects into susceptible versus resilient individuals (23, 27), and such a classification was applied to the
EMP A treatment impedes CSD-induced disturbances in glucose metabolism and rescues spatial memory for H-Gluc mice but is detrimental to Int-Gluc animals. (A) In control animals, EMP A reduced peripheral glucose (t = 3.01, df = 30, P = 0.005; vehicle-treated control mice, n = 10; EMP A-treated control mice, n = 22). (B) EMP A-treated control mice were classified as H-Gluc (>150 mg/dL), Int-Gluc (125–150 mg/dL), or L-Gluc (<125 mg/dL) based on peripheral blood glucose values (mean ± SD) of vehicle-treated control mice. (C) In the Y-maze, vehicle-treated control mice and EMP A-treated control Int-Gluc mice showed intact novel arm preference, whereas EMP A-treated control L-Gluc mice did not reveal a preference (against chance for vehicle-treated mice: t = 3.03, df = 9, P = 0.014; for EMP A-treated control L-Gluc mice: t = 2.95, df = 20, P = 0.046; and for EMP A-treated control Int-Gluc mice: t = 3.55, df = 12, P = 0.004; n = 9–13 per group). (D) CSD-exposed mice displayed increased blood glucose levels compared with control mice 2 d post-CSD (t = 3.00, df = 64, P = 0.004, n = 19 and 47 per group, respectively) and were classified into H-Gluc, Int-Gluc, and L-Gluc groups. (E) Urine glucose was detected in the urine of EMP A-treated mice only [treatment: F(1,20) = 59.51, P < 0.001; n = 5–7 per group; Int-Gluc: t = 5.81, df = 20, P < 0.001; H-Gluc: t = 5.14, df = 20, P < 0.001]. (F) In the Y-maze, EMP A treatment worsened the performance of the CSD/Int-Gluc group compared with the vehicle-treated CSD/Int-Gluc group [interaction: F(1,42) = 23.24, P < 0.001, n = 8–15 per group, Int-Gluc: t = 4.08, df = 42, P < 0.001]. In contrast, vehicle-treated CSD/H-Gluc mice did not show a novel arm preference, but EMP A treatment of CSD/H-Gluc mice rescued these spatial memory impairments (H-Gluc: t = 2.95, df = 42, P < 0.05). (G) In vehicle-treated CSD mice Y-maze performance correlated negatively with peripheral glucose levels 2 d post-CSD (r = −0.56, r² = 0.31, P = 0.005). (H) In contrast, for EMP A-treated CSD mice, Y-maze performance correlated positively with peripheral glucose levels 2 d post-CSD (r = 0.44, r² = 0.19, P = 0.03). (I) In the GTT performed 3 wk post-CSD vehicle-treated CSD/H-Gluc mice showed impaired glucose metabolism, which was normalized for EMP A-treated CSD/H-Gluc mice [interaction: F(12,80) = 3.78, P < 0.001; time: F(4,80) = 85.26, P < 0.001; treatment: F(1,20) = 17.82, P < 0.001; n = 5–9 per group]. For significant effects at 30 min, vehicle-treated CSD/Int-Gluc mice vs. EMP A-treated mice CSD/Int-Gluc: t = 2.91, df = 100, P < 0.05; in vehicle-treated CSD/H-Gluc mice vs. EMP A-treated CSD/H-Gluc mice: t = 6.74, df = 100, P < 0.001; in vehicle-treated CSD/Int-Gluc mice vs. vehicle-treated CSD/H-Gluc mice: t = 2.89, df = 100, P < 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± SEM in A, C, E, F, and I and as mean ± SD in B and D; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; Student’s t test in A and D, one-sample t test against chance in C and F, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s posttest in E, F, and I, or Pearson’s correlation coefficient in G and H; the regression lines are shown with the 95% confidence interval (dotted lines).
current study, based on glucose status. Since spatial memory deficits and impaired glucose metabolism develop solely in H-Gluc mice, the phenotype of these mice can be thought of as susceptible, whereas mice that retain Int-Gluc levels appear resilient. Importantly, lowering the glucose levels of CSD-exposed animals by means of the SGLT2 inhibitor EMPA was beneficial for mice that were previously identified as H-Gluc but was detrimental for the mice that initially retained glucose levels within a normal range despite CSD. The poor spatial memory of the latter group probably results from glucose levels that, due to EMPA, fell below the normal range, analogous to the impaired spatial memory found in EMPA-treated control mice identified as L-Gluc (<125 mg/dL). Thus, our findings are congruent with glucose function having a commanding role in cognitive integrity so that both hyper- and hypoglycemia are linked to impaired spatial memory performance in the Y-maze. We cannot determine whether stress-induced hyperglycemia predicts spatial memory performance, as cognitive impairments may already have been affected during chronic stress (19). In any case, peripheral blood glucose levels 2 d post-CSD comprise valuable biomarkers, as these were instrumental in determining the effectiveness of EMPA treatment. Of note, the typical segregation into stress susceptibility or resilience is based on social avoidance. We cannot determine whether stress-induced hyperglycemia is directly coupled to enhanced glucocorticoid levels. One possibility is that increased concentrations of glucocorticoids in the stressed mice lead to hyperphagia that in turn causes glucose levels to increase. Of note, glucocorticoids are known to stimulate food intake (40, 41), and, in line with the current findings, social stress in mice was found to induce hyperphagia (42). Although speculative, we thus imagine that glucose production during CSD is increased in part through hyperphagia but that hyperglycemia does not develop at this stage because glucose consumption may meet the energetic demands of stress. Our findings further suggest that post-CSD hyperphagia is more relevant for the progression of hyperglycemia than the hyperphagia that takes place during CSD, as caloric restriction imposed throughout the CSD did not prevent the development of post-CSD hyperglycemia, nor did it improve spatial memory. Therefore hyperphagia during CSD may represent a coping strategy of the animals under stress and can be regarded as allostatic load (43). As these severe energetic requirements during CSD have likely dropped considerably thereafter, we surmise that the hyperphagia that continues to take place post-CSD is maladaptive and is crucial in the development of peripheral and central hyperglycemia and spatial memory dysfunction.

Of equal importance to its production is the status of glucose uptake and breakdown. Results from the GTTs revealed that stressed mice exhibited attenuated peripheral glucose breakdown. Additionally, the reduced 18F-FDG signals obtained by cerebral PET scans indicate that impaired cerebral glucose uptake is likely involved in the stress-related pathology. Since the brains of CSD-exposed mice were hyperglycemic, the diminished 18F-FDG uptake can be explained by its competition with endogenous glucose for the available glucose-uptake sites. Compatible with this concept is the finding that acute glucose loading in healthy humans reduced cerebral 18F-FDG uptake (44), consistent with GluT1, a glucose levels in CSD-exposed mice are lastingly elevated, and functional adjustments at the level of glucose-uptake sites remain an additional possibility. GluTs are the main determinants of cellular glucose entry; the most prominent ones in the brain include GluT1 and GluT3; GluT4 expression is more restricted but involves the hippocampal area. We found a specific reduction in GluT1 protein expression on the cellular membrane in the brains of stressed animals but no changes in GluT3 and GluT4 expression levels. Congruent with our findings, in vitro studies showed that GluT1, but not GluT4, is glucose-regulated so that high glucose levels decrease its expression (45). Glucocorticoids have been shown to down-regulate GluT3 in the hypothalamus but did not affect hippocampal GluT3 expression (46, 47), which is also consistent with the unaltered hippocampal GluT3 levels we found in CSD-exposed mice. CSD resulted in hyperglycemia and reduced 18F-FDG uptake throughout the entire brain. Therefore it is not entirely clear why, during this hyperglycemic period, certain properties (novel object memory, anxiety-like behavior) remained unaltered but others (spatial memory in the Y-maze and the object location task as well as behavior in the forced swim test) were impaired. Importantly, both spatial memory and forced swim test performance are sensitive to hippocampal function (48, 49). For now, we speculate that the particular glucocorticoid receptor-related vulnerability of the hippocampus to stress (50, 51) is responsible for the selective stress-induced behavioral phenotype we
observed. However, we assessed mice on a limited number of behavioral tests and expect that CSD-induced functional consequences cover more than hippocampal impairments alone. For example, given the impact of stress on dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens and the ramifications for motivational aspects (52), CSD-induced disturbances are anticipated to involve accumbal function as well.

How elevated glucose concentrations in stress-susceptible mice can impair brain functions and lead to impaired spatial memory remain to be investigated. Intriguingly, CSD-mediated effects on food intake, physical activity, and the actions of glucocorticoids are routes through which neurogenesis may be affected in the stressed brain (53). For example, Lagace et al. (54) showed that at 4 wk after CSD mice that displayed social avoidance (i.e., the susceptible animals) had increased numbers of surviving neurons in the dentate gyrus. Largely in line with these findings, Kirshenbaum et al. (55) found that following CSD adult mice with reduced adolescent (but not adult) neurogenesis showed a resilient phenotype. However, in contrast to previous findings (54, 55), a very recent study (56) revealed that increasing neurogenesis actually confers resilience to chronic stress by inhibiting the activity of mature granule cells in the dentate gyrus. Regardless of the direction of effects, the long-term stress-induced functional changes observed in the current study could have been mediated, at least in part, through altered neurogenesis and or neuronal maturation. Apart from CSD effects on neurogenesis, underlying mechanisms may also include neurotransmitter synthesis, metabolic support for glial regulation of glutamate, or glucose-mediated neuroinflammation (57, 58). An altered NAD%/NAD + balance may be seen as an early sign of glucose-related perturbations, and the presence of TBARS in the sample would indicate oxidative damage of lipids (58, 59).

However, our results suggest that the effects of CSD-induced hyperglycemia are unlikely to involve modifications at the level of NAD+/NADH or TBARS.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that CSD impairs spatial memory and affects peripheral as well as central glucose levels and glucose metabolism. These impairments can be treated post-CSD with EMPA when given to animals that develop hyperglycemia early after CSD but not when given to those that retained glucose levels within a normal range. Since both hyperglycemia (>150 mg/mL) and hypoglycemia (<125 mg/mL) were associated with spatial memory deficits, our findings suggest that glucose fluctuations should be kept within a relatively narrow range to preserve proper function and imply the existence of an inverted U-shaped curve, which is frequently invoked to explain the biphasic effects of glucocorticoids on cognition (60). We propose CSD and its classification based on individual glucose levels early poststress as a valuable tool to investigate social stress in the context of metabolic disturbances and associated mental dysfunction. The functional connection made in this study, namely between stress-related cognitive impairments and the emergence of metabolic dysfunction, may explain the high comorbidity found for diabetes and depression (61–63). Hence, our study further bridges the gap between stress-related pathologies and metabolic disorders and emphasizes the need for more interdisciplinary research with the ultimate goal of designing tailored treatment strategies.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Male C57BL/6J mice (Janvier) arrived at 8 wk of age in our animal facility (temperature = 22 ± 2 °C, relative humidity = 50 ± 5%). Mice were single-housed with food/water ad libitum in a light–dark cycle with lights on at 07:00 and off at 19:00 and were allowed to habituate for at least 1 wk before the onset of experiments. Retired male CD-1 mice breeders (Janvier) at least 12 wk of age upon arrival were used as aggressors in the CSD paradigm. Before behavioral experiments we tested the CD-1 mice to ensure aggressive behaviors would commence within a 1-min period (64) in a social encounter with a C57BL/6J mouse. Our study involved only male mice, as the CD-1 mice used as the aggressors in the CSD paradigm do not typically attack unfamiliar intruders. For note, applications of male odor or female intruders (65) or exposing the female intruder mice to lactating dams (66) may overcome these natural barriers. However, in the current study we focused on studying the role of stress-induced effects on glucose metabolism and avoided the inclusion of these confounding factors. Procedures concerning the insulin-tolerance test, hippocampal measurements of TBARS and NAD+/NADH, and caloric restrictions are detailed in SI Appendix. All behavioral tests were scored manually by an experimenter blinded to the animals’ treatment using The Observer XT2 software (Noldus Information Technology). Between testing, setups were cleaned with a 5% ETOH solution and dried with tissues. Behavioral testing occurred in custom-made sound-attenuating boxes under fixed-light conditions (37 lx). Detailed descriptions of the behavioral tests performed are provided in SI Appendix.

CSD. The CSD paradigm is a modification of published protocols (39, 64). C57 mice either were exposed to CSD or were kept as controls. CSD consisted of a social defeat in the home cage of the agressor mouse (CD-1) lasting 10 s of aggressive encounters; these episodes were repeated three times with different aggressors and were separated by ~15-min interepisode intervals. During these intervals a metal grid was placed between the defeated animal (C57) and its aggressor, thereby temporarily removing the somatosensory component of the interaction. Following the triple social defeat, C57 mice were housed overnight with their opponent separated by the metal grid. The social defeat was repeated for 10 consecutive days. Control mice were placed in a novel cage for 90 s over 10 consecutive days and were also equipped with a metal grid to mimic the conditions experienced by the defeated mice. After CSD (or control conditions), mice were single-housed in a novel cage before further experimentation. No animals required exclusion for excessive wounding.

Peripheral Glucose Measurements. Animals were fasted for 1 h before peripheral blood glucose measurements to exclude variability caused by recent food intake. Peripheral blood was obtained by tail-cut under restrained and stress-free conditions (67); the first drop of blood was always discarded. Morning blood glucose was measured using an electronic handheld glucometer (Accu-Chek; Roche). Three consecutive measurements of blood glucose were taken and averaged to establish reliable values. For urine glucose measurements, 7 d after CSD and 5 d after EMPA or vehicle treatment in the diet, the animals was placed in an open field without food and water and urinated into its home cage after it had urinated. The urine was collected with a syringe and frozen at ~20 °C until processing. Urine was diluted 4,000× and analyzed using a Glucose Colorimetric Assay Kit (catalog no. K606-100; BioVision).

Peripheral Measurements of HbA1c. HbA1c levels were measured from whole blood by the Mouse Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Kit (catalog no. 80310; Crystal Chem, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Whole blood was obtained by tail-cut under restrained conditions, collected in EDTA tubes, and stored at ~20 °C until processing.

Peripheral Corticosterone, Insulin, and Leptin Measurements. Levels of morning circulating corticosterone, insulin, or leptin in blood plasma were measured by the Corticosterone ELISA Kit (catalog no. ADI-900-0797; Enzo Life Sciences), the Ultra Sensitive Mouse Insulin ELISA Kit (catalog no. 90080; BioVendor, Inc.), or the Mouse Leptin ELISA Kit (catalog no. 90060; Crystal Chem, Inc.), respectively, according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Blood was obtained by tail-cut under unrestrained conditions, collected in EDTA tubes, and spun in a precooled centrifuge at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, after which the plasma was extracted and frozen at ~80 °C until processing. The plasma for leptin measurement was diluted 10×.

Dissection of Adrenal Glands. Mice were decapitated, and the skin overlying the abdomen was cut. The adrenals were carefully removed from the surrounding fat tissue using forceps and microscissors.

GTT. The GTT was performed as recommended in the literature (68). Animals were subjected to food deprivation for 15 h before glucose measurement.
Peripheral blood glucose or insulin measurements were taken at baseline and at 30-min intervals for 2 h following i.p. injection of a glucose bolus (2 g/kg).

Central Glucose imBi. Mice were killed by cervical dislocation, and the whole body was submerged in liquid nitrogen within 10 s to preserve native glucose concentrations. The frozen corpse was secured in a vice, and the head was separated from the body with an electric handheld drill equipped with a diamond cutting disk [diameter (d) 38 x 0.6 mm; Proxxon GmbH]. The brain was manually dissected from the skull with a handheld saw while the tissue was kept frozen on dry ice. The metabolic distributions of glucose were measured in cryosections of the snap-frozen samples using the imBi method as previously described (69–72). In brief, tissue cryosections were brought into contact with a reaction solution, coupling the enzymatic conversion of glucose to the light emission of a luciferase system. The light emission was detected using a light-sensitive CCD camera system (XonEM + DU-888; Andor Technology PLC), resulting in 2D intensity maps. The intensity maps were calibrated to local metabolite concentration (μmol/g tissue) in viable tissue areas using appropriate standards and a parallel H&E-stained cryosection for association of the metabolite distribution with tissue structure.

Stereotaxic Surgery for Hippocampal Cannulation and Glucose Infusion. Mice were anesthetized in an isoflurane-filled box (Forene; AbbVie) and secured in a Stoelting stereotaxic frame under gas anesthesia (2% isoflurane in O2 (4 L/min)). After the animal was stabilized, the dura was opened using an ultrasonic aspirator (Angelesa) while applying a 3% H2O2 solution with an injection of meloxicam (Metacam, 0.5 mg/kg i.m.; Boehringer-Ingelheim) and by putting Metacam in the drinking water for 1 wk (1/1,000 vol/vol). The eyes were covered with Bepanthen (Bayer) to avoid dehydration, and the skin on top of the skull was shaved and disinfected using Braunol (Braun), after which the skin was exposed through a longitudinal cut. The membrane lining the skull was removed. The skull surface was roughened using a scalpel, and a drop of 30% H2O2 was applied. Four small holes were drilled, two for the placement of the infusion cannula, and two for the introduction of anchoring screws. Dental acrylic cement (DuraLay; Reliance) was applied to fix the cannula, and stitches were made to close the wound. An analgesic spray (Lidocaine; AstraZeneca) was applied to the incision site immediately after the surgery. To secure the infusion cannula, a 22-gauge hypodermic needle (BD) was inserted through the drilled holes and anchored to the skull with dental acrylic cement. The needle tips were calibrated to local metabolite concentration (μmol/g tissue) in viable tissue areas using appropriate standards and a parallel H&E-stained cryosection for association of the metabolite distribution with tissue structure.

Western Blot. Dorsal hippocampi were dissected and quickly frozen on dry ice. Brain tissue was then processed to purify the cytosol and the membrane fractions using the ProteoExtract Subcellular Proteome Extraction Kit (S39790; Calbiochem) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Equal amounts of proteins were denatured for 5 min at 60°C, separated by 10% SDS/PAGE, and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes as previously described (75). The membranes were incubated with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-GluT1 (1:2,000; 07-1401; Millipore); rabbit anti-GluT3 (1:2,000; ab191071; Abcam); and mouse anti-GluT4 (1:2,000; 2213; Cell Signaling), followed by incubation with the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Dianova) and ECL detection (Westan; Cyanagen). Chemiluminescence was visualized and quantified with the Fusion SL system (Vilber Lourmat Peqlab), and band intensities were normalized to β-tubulin III (1:2,000; R0860; Sigma-Aldrich).

EMPA Administration. Mice received a custom-made diet containing 0.03% EMPA (Jardiance; Adipogen Life Sciences) or a control diet (vehicle) (sniff Spezialitäten GmbH) commencing 2 d post-CSD.

Statistical Analyses. All samples represent biological replicates. Sample sizes are indicated in the figure legends. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Unpaired two-tailed Student’s t tests were used to compare sets of data obtained from two independent groups of animals, using a one-sample t test to compare the data against the control group. Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism version 6 software. All data were analyzed using Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc.).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank R. Jelinek, N. Schmitz, A. Denner-Seckert, N. Bausbacher, and Dr. M. Milic for experimental assistance. The study was supported by the German Research Foundation within Collaborative Research Center 1193: Neurobiology of Resilience to Stress-Related Mental Dysfunction: From Understanding Mechanisms to Promoting Prevention. G.T. is supported by Danish Council for Independent Research Grant DFF-5053-00103.

1. Erbisch F, Bernsmeier A, Hillesheim H (1958) The glucose consumption of the brain & its dependence on the liver. Arch Psychiat Nervenkr 2: Gesamte Neurol Psychiatr 196: 611–626. German.
2. Picard M, McElven BS, Ezel ES, Sandi C (2018) An energetic view of stress: Focus on mitochondria. Front Neuroendocrinol 49:72–85.
3. Houkett RA, Steptoe A (2017) Type 2 diabetes mellitus and psychological stress—A modifiable risk factor. Nat Rev Endocrinol 13:547–560.
4. Bryan RM, Jr (1990) Cerebral blood flow and energy metabolism during stress. Am J Physiol 259:H269–H278.
5. Osborne DM, Pearson-Leary J, McNay EC (2015) The neuroenergetics of stress and endocrinology of the hippocampus and implications for memory. Front Neurosci 9:146.
6. Dimsdale JE (2008) Psychological stress and cardiovascular disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 51:1237–1246.
7. Kanpolat IS, Ismail M (2015) Stress and type 2 diabetes: A review of how stress contributes to the development of type 2 diabetes. Annu Rev Public Health 36: 441–462.
8. Steptoe A, Kivimäki M (2013) Stress and cardiovascular disease: An update on current knowledge. Annu Rev Public Health 34:337–354.
9. Zhuang QS, Shen L, Ji HF (2017) Quantitative assessment of the bidirectional relationships between diabetes and depression. Oncotarget 8:23389–23400.
10. Mergenthaler P, Lindauer U, Dienel GA, Meisel A (2013) Sugar for the brain: The role of glucose in physiological and pathological brain function. Trends Neurosci 36: 587–597.
11. Kopschina Feltes P, et al. (2017) Repeated social defeat induces transient glial activation and brain hypometabolism: A positron emission tomography imaging study. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 37:597–614.
12. Picard M, Juster RP, McElven BS (2014) Mitochondrial allostatic load puts the ‘gluc’ back in glucocorticoids. Nat Rev Endocrinol 10:303–310.
13. Morava E, Koizie T (2017) Mitochondria and the economy of stress (mal)adaptation. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 37:142–152.
14. Videbech P (2000) PET measurements of brain glucose metabolism and blood flow in major depressive disorder: A critical review. Acta Psychiatr Scand 101:11–20.

van der Kooij et al.
15. Roberts RO, et al. (2014) Diabetes and elevated hemoglobin A1c levels are associated with brain hypometabolism but not amyloid accumulation. J Neuros 55:759–764.

16. Bissels GJ, Reagan LP (2015) Hippocampal insulin resistance and cognitive dysfunction. Nat Rev Neurosci 16:660–671.

17. Watson K, Nasca C, Aasly L, McEwen B, Raagøn N (2018) Insulin resistance, an unmasked culprit in depressive disorders: Promises for interventions. Neuropsychopharmacology 32:337–344.

18. McEvon BS (2003) Mood disorders and allostatic load. Biol Psychiatry 54:200–207.

19. Conrad CD (2010) A critical review of chronic stress effects on spatial learning and memory. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 34:742–755.

20. Sousa N, Lukoyanov NY, Madeira MD, Almeida OF, Paula-Barbosa MM (2000) Reorganization of the morphology of hippocampal neurites and synapses after stress-induced damage correlates with behavioral improvement. Neuroscience 97:253–266.

21. van der Kooij MA, et al. (2014) Role for MMP-9 in stress-induced downregulation of nectin-3 in hippocampal CA1 and associated behavioral alterations. Nat Commun 5:4995.

22. O’Keefe J, Nadel L (1978) The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map (Oxford Univ Press, Oxford, UK).

23. Krishnan V, et al. (2007) Molecular adaptations underlying susceptibility and resistance to social defeat in brain reward regions. Cell 131:391–404.

24. Simpson IA, Carruthers A, Vannucci SJ (2007) Supply and demand in cerebral energy metabolism: The role of nutrient transporters. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 27:1766–1771.

25. Juster RP, McEvon BS, Lupien SJ (2010) Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health and cognition. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 35:2–16.

26. Gallagher JJ, et al. (2010) Daily administration of the SGLT2 inhibitor, empagliflozin, attenuates markers of renal fibrosis without improving albuminuria in diabetic db/db mice. Sci Rep 6:26248.

27. Der-Avakian A, Mazi-Masson B, Keszpy JP, Nestler EJ, Markou A (2014) Enduring deficits in brain reward function after chronic social defeat in rats: Susceptibility, resilience, and antidepressant response. Biol Psychiatry 76:542–549.

28. Anacker C, et al. (2016) Neuroanatomical differences associated with stress susceptibility and resilience. Biol Psychiatry 79:840–849.

29. Gold SM, et al. (2007) Hippocampal damage and memory impairments as possible processes and a potential basis for cognitive impairment in type 2 diabetes. J Neurosci 27:6607–6614.

30. Strachan MW, Deary IJ, Ewing FM, Frier BM (1997) Is type II diabetes associated with insulin resistance, an unmasked vulnerability, and prediabetes: Signs for compensation of cognitive decrements? The Maastricht Diabetes Care 65:2404–2413.

31. van der Heide LP, Ramakers GM, Smidt MP (2006) Insulin signaling in the central nervous system: Learning to survive. Prog Neurobiol 79:205–221.

32. Soares E, et al. (2016) Spatial memory impairments in a prediabetic rat model. Neuroscience 250:565–577.

33. Lin B, et al. (2014) Glycemic control with empagliflozin, a novel selective SGLT2 inhibitor, ameliorates cardiovascular injury and cognitive dysfunction in obese and type 2 diabetic mice. Cardiovasc Diabetol 13:148.

34. Vallen V, et al. (2014) SGLT2 inhibitor empagliflozin reduces renal growth and albuminuria in proportion to hyperglycemia and prevents glomerular hyperfiltration in diabetic Akita mice. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 306:F194–F204.

35. Kern M, et al. (2014) Daily administration of the SGLT2 inhibitor empagliflozin improves insulin sensitivity in db/db mice both as monotherapy and in combination with liraglutide. Metabolism 63:114–123.

36. Munck A, Guerre PM, Holtbrook NJ (1984) Physiological functions of glucocorticoids in stress and their relation to pharmacological actions. Endocrin Rev 5:25–44.

37. Jene T, et al. (2018) Temporal profiling of an acute stress-induced behavioral phenotype in mice and role of hippocampal DRR1. Psychoneuroendocrinology 91:149–158.

38. Green PK, Wilkinson CW, Woods SC (1992) Intraventricular corticosterone increases the rate of body weight gain in underweight adenectomized rats. Endocrinology 130:269–275.

39. Zakrzewska KE, et al. (1999) Induction of obesity and hyperleptinemia by central glucocorticoid infusion in the rat. Diabetes 48:365–370.

40. Sanghera V, et al. (2013) Psychosocial stress induces hyperagia and exacerbates diet-induced insulin resistance and the manifestations of the Metabolic syndrome. Psychoneuroendocrinology 38:2933–2942.

41. McEvon BS, et al. (2015) Mechanisms of stress in the brain. Nat Neurosci 18:1353–1363.

42. Ishibashi K, Watsuaka M, Ishiwa K, Ishii K (2016) Alteration of the regional cerebral glucose metabolism in healthy subjects by glucose loading. Hum Brain Mapp 37:2823–2832.

43. Wertheimer E, Sasson S, Cerasi E, Ben-Neriah Y (1991) The ubiquitous glucose transport porter GLUT-1: adaptation to the glucose-regulated protein family of stress-inducible proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:2525–2529.

44. Cherian AK, Briski KP (2010) Effects of adenectomy on neuronal substrate fuel transporter and energy transducer gene expression in hypothalamic and hindbrain neuroendocrine sites. Neuroendocrinology 91:56–63.

45. McEvon BS, et al. (1999) Regulation of GLUT-3 glucose transporter in the hippocampus of diabetic rats subjected to stress. Am J Physiol 276:E879–E886.

46. Shirayama Y, Chen AC, Nakagawa S, Russell DS, Duman RS (2002) Brain-derived neurotrophic factor produces antidepressant effects in behavioral models of depression. J Neurosci 22:3251–3261.

47. Delthel T, et al. (2008) Behavioral and serotoninergic consequences of decreasing or increasing hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor protein levels in mice. Neuropharmacology 55:1096–1107.

48. McEvon BS (2001) Plasticity of the hippocampus: Adaptation to chronic stress and allostatic load. Ann N Y Acad Sci 933:265–277.

49. Conrad CD (2008) Chronic stress-induced hippocampal vulnerability: The glucocorticoid vulnerability hypothesis. Neuropsychopharmacology 19:395–411.

50. Lemos JC, et al. (2012) Severe stress switches CRF action in the nucleus accumbens from appetitive to aversive. Nature 490:402–406.

51. van Praag H, Fleshner M, Schwartz MW, Mattson MP (2014) Exercise, energy intake, proinsulin homeostasis, and the brain. J Neurosci 34:15139–15149.

52. Lagace DW, et al. (2010) Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is functionally important for stress-induced social avoidance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107:4436–4441.

53. Kirshenbaum GS, Lieberman SR, Briner TJ, Leonardo ED, Dranovsky A (2014) Ado- loping but not adult-born neurons are critical for susceptibility to chronic social defeat. Front Behav Neurosci 8:289.

54. Anacker C, et al. (2018) Hippocampal neurogenesis confers stress resilience by inhibiting the ventral dentate gyrus. Nature 559:98–102.

55. McNay EC, Gold PE (2002) Food for thought: Fluctuations in brain extracellular glucose provide insight into the mechanisms of memory modulation. Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev 1:264–280.

56. Yan Li (2014) Pathogenesis of chronic hyperglycemia: From reductive stress to oxidative stress. J Diabetes Res 2014:197109.

57. Paxinos G, Franklin K (2012) Coordinates for stereotactic brain mapping (Oxford Univ Press, Oxford, UK).

58. van der Kooij MA, et al. (2014) Role for MMP-9 in stress-induced downregulation of nectin-3 in hippocampal CA1 and associated behavioral alterations. Nat Commun 5:4995.

59. D’Haese JP, et al. (2005) Cross talk between stress hormones and the brain regulates glucocorticoid sensitivity: Stress-induced hyperglycemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:926–931.

60. Cherian AK, Briski KP (2010) Effects of adenectomy on neuronal substrate fuel transporter and energy transducer gene expression in hypothalamic and hindbrain neuroendocrine sites. Neuroendocrinology 91:56–63.

61. McEwen BS, et al. (2015) Mechanisms of stress in the brain. Nat Neurosci 18:1353–1363.

62. Wolfrum C, et al. (2013) Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and the regulation of stress resiliency. Nat Rev Neurosci 14:595–606.

63. McEwen BS, et al. (2015) Mechanisms of stress in the brain. Nat Neurosci 18:1353–1363.

64. Ishibashi K, Watsuaka M, Ishiwa K, Ishii K (2016) Alteration of the regional cerebral glucose metabolism in healthy subjects by glucose loading. Hum Brain Mapp 37:2823–2832.