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Abstract
There are special locational value and natural resources in coastal wetlands. Studying their changes and evaluating their ecosystem service value (ESV) is beneficial for protecting the ecology of coastal wetlands and for maintaining sustainable human development. In this paper, the coastal wetland of Jiaozhou Bay is selected as the research area, an object-oriented method is used to extract shoreline and wetland information, and the coastal wetland reclamation process in Jiaozhou Bay is evaluated. The value equivalent method and market value method are used to evaluate the service value of wetland ecosystems from the perspective of ecological economics. The results show that the reclamation area of Jiaozhou Bay reached 75.2 km² in 40 years, with nearly 23% of the bay area eroding. Reclamation engineering, estuary engineering, policy implementation and urbanization are the main factors affecting the changes in the Jiaozhou Bay wetland, and the main direction of wetland succession is natural wetlands → artificial wetlands → nonwetlands. Wetland reclamation in Jiaozhou Bay has led to the continuous extension of the coastline to the sea, especially during the 2005–2020 period, and the wetland area has declined in area by 116 km². The changes in the wetland in the past 40 years have affected the changes in the ESV of Jiaozhou Bay, and there have been different synergistic/trade-off relationships in different periods. This research provides data to support the comprehensive ecological management of coastal areas, which is conducive to maximizing the utilization value of wetlands and promoting wetland protection.
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Introduction
Coastal wetlands are an important part of the coastal system (Sousa et al. 2020) and are essential for human survival and sustainable development (Costanza et al. 1997). Wetlands can help mitigate environmental pollution, protect the coast from erosion by wind and waves, and provide habitats for offshore organisms (Lindley and Lotz-Sisitka 2019). However, according to statistics, approximately 1% of coastal wetland resources are lost every year (MEA 2005). Such losses will lead to the continuous destruction of wetland ecological structures and functions and result in a severe loss of the value created by wetland ecosystems (Feingold et al. 2018). The global economy is generally more developed and human settlements are more concentrated in coastal areas than in other areas (Guo and Zhang 2019). Necessary wetland reclamation activities can alleviate the contradiction between humans and land and promote economic development (Mayer-Pinto et al. 2018). However, many human activities, such as land reclamation, dam construction, drainage and water intake, mariculture, salt pan construction, and port and wharf construction, have caused wetland degradation (Hou et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2018).

In the twentieth century, more than half of the wetlands in North America, Europe, Australia, and New Zealand were transformed (MEA 2005; Stedman and Dahl 2008; Creighton et al. 2015). Additionally, coastal wetlands are degrading faster in Asia than in Europe (1.1% per year) (Davidson 2014). For example, in Japan (Suzuki 2003), South Korea (Son and Wang 2009) and Singapore (Glas et al. 1991), land space has been established for coastal
Industrial and agricultural development due to urban expansion, and large amounts of coastal land have been reserved for various projects, such as storm surge defense projects. Most wetlands are converted into farmland, construction land and lands with other uses (McAllister et al. 2001). China is rich in wetland resources, but rapid economic and population growth has led to overexploitation, and use has become the main problem China faces (Liu et al. 2018). Since the 1990s, coastal reclamation has been particularly concentrated in cities in fragile delta ecosystems, such as Shanghai at the mouth of the Yangtze River, Ningbo along the southern wing of the Yangtze River Delta, and Tangshan near Bohai Bay (Sengupta et al. 2019). The changes in coastal wetlands have far exceeded the carrying capacity of the land (An et al. 2007). Additionally, species diversity has decreased, and the hydrological regulation and self-purification capabilities of coastal wetlands have weakened (Ritsch and Dunbar 1993). However, the ecological and environmental costs associated with urbanization are often high (Shan and Li 2020) and underestimated because there is no market price reference.

Ecosystem services refer to the benefits that people obtain from an ecosystem, and quantitative evaluations of ecosystem services from the perspective of monetary value are performed based on ecosystem service value (ESV) assessment (Costanza et al. 1997; Lin et al. 2019; Sun et al. 2017). Corresponding research can be traced back to the 1960s, and qualitative and quantitative evaluation methods, multiple regression functions, and spatial modeling methods have emerged over time (Englund et al. 2017; Ochoa and Urbina-Cardona 2017). For example, Costanza et al. used the value-equivalent method to estimate the global total range of ESVs (Costanza et al. 1997), including the total market value and nonmarket value. Additionally, Rudolf et al. reviewed more than 320 publications to create an ecological service value database (De Groot et al. 2012) and express the ESVs of major biological communities, including wetland ecosystems, in the form of currency. However, the conversion of the values did not consider uncertainties such as changes in population, changes in natural scarcity, and the marginal value of climate change; thus, the values obtained in both of these studies are considered to be low-end estimates. If the above research is directly applied to the Chinese ecosystem, there will be deviations from the actual conditions. Xie Gaodi et al. derived a new unit price system for ecosystem service evaluation by administering expert knowledge questionnaires based on the Costanza study (Xie et al. 2008); then, they improved the method by constructing a terrestrial ESV dynamic evaluation method through model calculations and a geographic information spatial analysis method. This approach has been widely accepted by the academic community and can be used to evaluate intangible assets such as the ecological benefits of wetlands (Xie et al. 2015).

Many scholars from different disciplines have conducted research on this topic, mainly focusing on changes in landscape patterns, ecological health assessments, and the impacts of management policies on sustainable ecological development (Wu et al. 2017; Sun et al. 2019; Qin and Zhang 2021). Quantifying the complex changes in wetland processes and the wetland service value can help provide data support for sound wetland protection so that limited funds can be efficiently used to promote the reconstruction and restoration of wetlands (Crossman and Bryan 2009). This article focuses on analyzing the detailed changes in various wetland types along Jiaozhou Bay and the changes in the value of ecological services. First, an object-oriented method combined with the band index method is used to extract coastline information and wetland type information from remote sensing images obtained in 9 periods (1980/1 985/1990/1995/2000/2005/2010/2015/2020) after preprocessing. Then, the net coastline movement distance is calculated to assess the Jiaozhou Bay reclamation intensity, and wetland information is superimposed to determine the spatiotemporal changes in wetland types. Then, the value-equivalent method is used to calculate the ESV of wetlands, and the ESV changes are analyzed from the perspective of ecological economics. Finally, we analyze the factors and their links that led to wetland changes and ecological and economic losses and provide decision-making suggestions for wetland protection. This research provides data to support the comprehensive ecological management of coastal areas, which is conducive to maximizing the utilization value of wetlands and promoting wetland protection.

### Study Area and Data

#### Study Area

Jiaozhou Bay is adjacent to the Yellow Sea (36°05′41″N ~ 36°14′18″N, 120°03′36″E ~ 120°21′06″E) and is surrounded by Qingdao city, Shandong Province, China. It is a semienclosed bay bounded to the south by Tuan Island and to the north by Xuejia Island (Fig. 1). The inner harbor of the bay is wide and deep, and the widest width from east to west is approximately 28 km. The annual average air temperature is 12.40 °C, the annual precipitation is 858 mm, and the annual evaporation is 1136 mm (Shang et al. 2018). The administrative districts adjacent to Jiaozhou Bay include Huangdao District, Jiaozhou city, Chengyang District, Licang District, Shibei District and Shinan District. The seawater in Jiaozhou Bay is rich in nutrients, and 11 rivers, including the Dagu River, Moshui River, Hongjiang River and Xin’an River, enter the bay and transport large amounts of sediment and nutrients to support the Jiaozhou Bay ecosystem (Liu et al. 2005) (Fig. 1). This advantageous
geographical location makes Jiaozhou Bay a densely populated urban area and a coastal industrial area. The demand for economic development and population growth continues to change the land use in the Jiaozhou Bay area, and the coastal economic system is an ecosystem that is highly susceptible to human disturbance (Pang et al. 2017; Gao et al. 2014; Li et al. 2014). In this paper, the 1980 coastline of Jiaozhou Bay was used as a benchmark, and a buffer zone was constructed as the study area by extending 5 km inland (Fig. 1).

**Data**

The remote sensing data were obtained from Sentinel-2 images and Landsat images downloaded from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) website (https://glovis.usgs.gov/), including Landsat Multispectral Scanner (MSS) images with a resolution of 80 m (in 1980), Landsat Thematic Mapper™ images with a resolution of 30 m (one each in 1985, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010), and Sentinel-2 images with a resolution of 10 m (in 2015 and 2020). The selected images were obtained on days with little cloud cover in the study area at low tide. The data used for ESV estimation included Qingdao’s grain output per unit, the annual raw salt output, the national retail price index, total precipitation and the annual average temperature. These data were mainly obtained from the Qingdao Statistical Yearbook and the China Price Information Network, and public data were obtained from the Bulletin of Qingdao Hydrological Bureau and other platforms.

**Methods**

ENVI 5.3 software was used to perform image preprocessing, such as radiometric calibration, fast line-of-sight atmospheric analysis of spectral hypercubes (FLAASH) atmospheric correction (Bidorn and Rukvichai 2018), image registration and image stitching; additionally, it was used to extract the coastline and wetland data for the study area based on an object-oriented method of multiscale segmentation. The net shoreline movement (NSM) was calculated using coastlines in different periods to study the changes in coastlines (Bidorn and Rukvichai 2018; Muskananfola and Febrianto 2020). In wetland extraction, the index method was first used to distinguish between water bodies and nonwater bodies, and then, through the object-oriented method, various types of wetlands were extracted based on the characteristics of the spectra and shapes of water bodies. The tidal flats in nonwater body areas were extracted by superimposing the vector data for the coastline and the lowest tidal edge line; combined with the corresponding remote sensing images for the period, the data were visually interpreted and revised. A combination of the value-equivalent method and market value method was used to obtain various types of ESVs for different wetland types (Lin et al. 2019). The Jiaozhou Bay wetland ESV was assessed and a change analysis was performed to explore the wetland change process and corresponding influential factors. The specific technical approach used in this research is shown in Fig. 2.
Coastline Extraction

The coastline generally refers to the line connecting the sea and extreme land positions (Kermani et al. 2016), and the dry and wet divide in low-resolution images denotes the coastline (Moore 2000). Coastline types can be mainly divided into natural coastlines and artificial coastlines. Artificial coastlines include artificially modified coastlines, such as constructed dikes, wharf dikes, traffic dikes, salt pan dikes, and breeding dikes. In this paper, object-oriented segmentation and the exponential threshold method are used to extract coastlines (Fig. 2), and the geometric and spatial features of pixels are exploited to extract ground features. First, the estimation of scale parameter 2 (ESP2) in eCognition 9.0 software was used to determine the optimal segmentation scale, shape factor, and compactness factor, which were 120, 0.1 and 0.5, respectively. A multiscale segmentation algorithm was used to segment images into reasonable units. Second, the normalized difference water index (NDWI) threshold method was used to divide land and water boundaries (Özpolat and Demir 2019). Coastline vector data for 9 periods (every 5 years from 1980 to 2020) were obtained through visual revisions combined with remote sensing images for the corresponding periods. Rocky shorelines were used to identify the boundary between dry and wet regions in images, and estuarine shorelines were defined as the smooth transition regions between river channels and the sea. Third, the coastlines were superimposed in ArcGIS 10.6 software to draw coastline vector change diagrams. An NSM analysis from 1980 to 2020 was conducted with the
Digital Shoreline Analysis System (DSAS) tool in ArcGIS, and the coastline space movement distance during the study period was calculated.

**Wetland Extraction**

The types of wetlands in the Ramsar Convention include all lakes, rivers, aquifers, swamps, wet grasslands, peatlands, oases, estuaries, deltas, tidal flats, mangroves and other coastal areas, coral reefs and all artificial sites, such as fishponds, rice fields, reservoirs and salt pans. Cowardin et al. classified wetlands as coastal wetlands (Cowardin et al. 1979), estuary wetlands (including tidal swamps and mangrove swamps), lakes, rivers, and swamps based on their origin, geographical location and ecological characteristics. In the Qingdao wetland survey, wetlands are roughly divided into offshore and coastal wetlands, river wetlands, swamp wetlands, and artificial wetlands (Guo and Zhang 2019). Based on previous studies on wetland classification combined with the Ramsar Convention on wetlands and a field investigation in the Jiaozhou Bay area, a classification system for the Jiaozhou Bay wetland was established, as shown in Table 1.

To describe the specific process of wetland extraction, wetland extraction for 2020 was used as an example. The 2020 wetland extraction process was based on Sentinel-2 imagery, with a total of 9 spectral bands providing feature variables for object-oriented classification. Wetland types except for tidal flats have large water volumes. The modified normalized difference water index (MNDWI) can be used as a feature variable for object-oriented classification (Fig. 2) (Ma et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020), and salt pans display obvious shape characteristics, mainly shaped as contiguously distributed and regular rectangles. eCognition9.0 can be used to extract the aspect ratios and rectangularity features of segmented objects; thus, texture features were also used during classification (Wang et al. 2019a, b; Zhao et al. 2020). Based on these characteristic variables, according to the interpretation of each wetland type (Fig. 3), samples were selected from the images for object-oriented remote sensing classification. The classification results were compared with the 2020 GF-2 images, and the misclassified areas were visually revised. Based on this classification process, wetland classification information for nine periods (1980/1985/1990/1995/2000/2005/2010/2015/2020) was sequentially obtained. The classification results for the early high-resolution images, which were lacking, could be modified to some extent by referring to the later revised images.

The accuracy of the wetland classification results was verified through a confusion matrix, user’s accuracy and the kappa coefficient (Powers 2011), and the verification of the Jiaozhou Bay wetland classification results in 2020 was used as an example. In total, 1000 samples were randomly selected within the study area, and the accuracy was verified by comparing random samples from the classification results and the actual class types in Google Earth high-resolution images and GF-2 images (Miller and Yool 2002).

| Table 1 | Wetland classification system for Jiaozhou Bay |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **First class** | **Second class** | **Description** |
| Artificial wetlands | Mariculture | Fish and shrimp breeding ponds |
| Salt pans | Salt pans | Land for drying salt ponds and salt quarries |
| Reservoirs and ponds | Reservoirs and ponds | Artificial wetlands constructed/renovated for water storage and power generation, as well as ponds repaired/reconstructed for freshwater aquaculture |
| Natural wetlands | Tidal flats | General term for beaches, river beaches and lake beaches that refers to the tidal flood zone between the high tide level and low tide level for coastal tides, the beach land between the normal water level of rivers and lakes and the flood level, and the beach land below the flood level for seasonal lakes, rivers, reservoirs, and ponds. This class refers to the area of beach land between the normal storage level and the maximum flood level. |
| Estuary waters | Estuary waters | Permanent water area from the tidal zone boundary near the mouth section (zero tidal range) to the freshwater edge of the outer seashore section |

Fig. 3 Interpretation map of wetland types (in the Sentinel-2 image): (a) mariculture; (b) salt pans; (c) reservoir ponds; (d) tidal flats; and (e) estuary waters
ESV Estimation of Wetlands

Using the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment method and considering the characteristics of the Jiaozhou Bay wetland, the wetland ecosystem service system was divided into 4 categories and 10 indicators (Lin et al. 2019): supply services (food production, water supply, and raw materials), regulation services (climate regulation, waste treatment, gas regulation, and hydrological regulation), support services (habitat/refuges and genetic diversity), and cultural services (leisure and entertainment) (Table 2). One standard unit ESV equivalent factor is the annual natural grain output value of 1 ha (hectare) of farmland (Xie et al. 2017), which is based on the grain (wheat and corn) yield and the average grain price in the corresponding year in Qingdao. Additionally, the value-equivalent per unit area, which is equal to a unit area with 1/7 of the value of food provided by farmland, was calculated to obtain the unit value equivalent in the corresponding year in the study area, where 1/7 was the natural-state value excluding human interference. Xie et al. (Xie et al. 2015) introduced the annual average temperature and annual precipitation and used the Thornthwaite Memoria model to calculate the net primary productivity (NPP) of the biological community to revise the biomass (Whittaker and Likens 1973; Yin et al. 2020). The formula is as follows:

\[
NPP = 300 \left[1 - e^{-0.0009695(V-20)}\right] \quad (1)
\]

where NPP (t/hm\(^2\)) is the net primary productivity of the plant community in the study area; V is the actual annual evaporation, with \(V = 1.05 \text{pre} / [1 + (1.05 \text{pre}/L)^2]^{1/2}\); L (mm) is the average annual evapotranspiration, with \(L = 3000 + 25 Tmp + 0.05 Tmp^3\); and Tmp (°C) is the annual average temperature.

The formula used to estimate the total value of wetland ecosystem services is as follows (Costanza et al. 1997; San-nigrahi et al. 2019):

\[
ESV = \Sigma A_i \cdot VC_{ij} \cdot S_k \quad (2)
\]

where ESV is the total value of wetland ecosystem services; \(A_i\) is the area of wetland type \(i\) in the study area; \(VC_{ij}\) is the ESV per unit area of wetland type \(i, j\), which is the product of the value-equivalent factor and value equivalent per unit area; and \(S_k\) is the biomass revision coefficient in the study area, which is the ratio of the NPP of the plant community to the national average primary productivity of the plant community. The revised value-equivalent table for the study area is shown in Table 2. The prices calculated in this paper are based on the national retail price index and were used to convert the various wetland ESVs in 1980, 1990 and 2005 into comparable prices in 2020 to ensure the comparability of the annual calculation results.

Results

Evaluation of the Wetland Classification Accuracy

The accuracy verification results show that the overall classification accuracy reached 98.1% and that the kappa coefficient was 0.98 (Table 3). The spatial resolution of Landsat MSS in 1980 and 1985 was relatively low. Based on a comparison with later classification results and using the method of visual interpretation to revise areas that were

| Table 2 | ESV equivalent table for wetlands (per unit area: 1 ha) |
| --- | --- |
| Ecosystem type | Supply services | Support services |
| | Food production | Water supply | Raw materials | Habitat | Genetic diversity |
| Tidal flats | 0.51 | 2.59 | 0.50 | 2.31 | 7.87 |
| Estuary waters | 0.8 | 8.29 | 0.23 | 0.93 | 2.55 |
| Salt pans | 0.00 | 0.00 | * | 3.93 | 0.00 |
| Mariculture | 1.36 | −2.63 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.21 |
| Reservoirs and ponds | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 3.43 |
| Ecosystem type | Regulation services | Cultural services |
| | Climate adjustment | Waste disposal | Gas regulation | Hydrological regulation | Leisure and entertainment |
| Tidal flats | 3.60 | 0.17 | 1.90 | 24.23 | 4.73 |
| Estuary waters | 2.29 | 5.55 | 0.77 | 102.24 | 1.89 |
| Salt pans | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | −7.20 | 0.00 |
| Mariculture | 0.57 | 0.17 | 1.11 | 2.72 | 0.09 |
| Reservoirs and ponds | 2.06 | 14.85 | 0.51 | 18.77 | 4.44 |

Note: *The raw material service function equivalent for salt field wetlands is 0 in many studies. As Qingdao is the birthplace of sea salt, the ecological service value of the raw materials provided by the production of raw salt cannot be ignored in this study. Therefore, in this article, the service value of the raw material ecosystem provided by the salt field is calculated based on the market value method.
misclassified in 1980 and 1985, the overall accuracy was improved. Notably, the overall accuracy values were 90% and 91.3%, which met the needs of this research. During the classification process, salt pans and marine aquaculture areas exhibited similar shapes and spectral characteristics, resulting in a slightly lower classification accuracy for these two types of wetlands and a higher classification accuracy for the remaining types.

**Coastline Changes and Wetland Reclamation**

Over the past 40 years, the length of the Jiaozhou Bay coastline has displayed a decreasing trend, from 146 km in 1980 to 132 km in 2020 (Fig. 4b). The coastline changes from 1985 to 2010 mainly occurred in the southwest and east, and the natural coastline was transformed into an artificial regular coastline. From 1995 to 2000, the expansion of ports in southwestern Jiaozhou Bay increased the length of the artificial shoreline. The coastline changes from 2010 to 2015 were mainly manifested in the expansion of the land in the northwest to the sea, and the rate of conversion of natural coastline to artificial coastline reached 171%. As of 2020, the natural coastline in Jiaozhou Bay totaled only 14.3 km, accounting for 10.8% of the total coastline.

Over the past 40 years, the coastline position of Jiaozhou Bay has been continuously advancing toward the sea, which is an intuitive manifestation of the coastal reclamation status (Fig. 4). The total coastal reclamation area reached 75.2 km², indicating that approximately 23% of the area of Jiaozhou Bay has eroded. The southwest coastline extends farthest to the sea, approximately 2.6 km (Fig. 4e), with an average extension rate of 65 m/year and a reclaimed area of 32.8 km². Over the study period, the strongest reclamation was observed in the 1980–2010 period, with a rate of

---

**Table 3** Accuracy evaluation of the Jiaozhou Bay wetland classification results in 2020

|                      | Mariculture | Estuary waters | Reservoirs and ponds | Tidal flats | Salt pans | User’s accuracy (%) |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|
| Mariculture          | 212         | 2              | 6                    | 96.4       |
| Estuary waters       | 2           | 187            | 9                    | 98.9       |
| Reservoirs and ponds | 3           | 2              | 107                  | 100.0      |
| Tidal flats          | 3           | 2              | 329                  | 98.5       |
| Salt pans            | 4           | 146            | 99.4                 | 96.1       |
| Producer’s accuracy (%)  | 95.9       | 98.9           | 100                  | 97.3       |
| Overall accuracy (%)  | 98.1        |                |                      |            |
| Kappa coefficient     | 0.98        |                |                      |            |

---

*Fig. 4* Coastline changes and wetland reclamation in Jiaozhou Bay from 1980 to 2020. a: Coastline changes from 1980 to 2020; b: coastline length change map; c: region i NSM map; d: region ii NSM map; e: region iii NSM map; and f: wetland reclamation change map
approximately 1 km²/year, and reclamation activities weakened between 2010 and 2020. The northwestern coastline extended at a speed of approximately 67.5 m/year over approximately 2 km, and the reclaimed area was approximately 7.9 km² (Fig. 4d). The coastline of northeastern Jiaozhou Bay has extended to the sea at a slower rate, with an extension distance of approximately 1.9 km and a total reclamation area of 19.69 km² (Fig. 4c). River channel expansion and ring road construction from 1990 to 2010 were the important reclamation activities that influenced the trends in the northeast.

**Wetland Changes**

Over the past 40 years, the total area of wetland degradation in Jiaozhou Bay has reached approximately 140.6 km², with a degradation rate of 3.5 km²/year. The change in area used was calculated based on the value in 2005, with the change increasing slowly in the early period and decreasing sharply in the later period (Fig. 5). From 2005 to 2020, the wetland area decreased by approximately 115 km², and the loss rate reached 48.8%. Both natural wetlands and artificial wetlands suffered different degrees of loss. The rate of decrease of natural wetlands was the largest between 1985 and 1990, reaching 28.1%, and the area of artificial wetlands increased sharply during this period. After 2005, the artificial wetland area decreased by approximately 98.2 km², with a reduction rate of 70.1%; most wetlands were converted to non-wetlands. During the study period, the tidal flats expanded to the sea, but their area decreased from 115 km² in 1980 to 54 km² in 2020, a loss of 53%. Landfills in mariculture areas and salt pans were reduced in area to 58 km² and 41 km², respectively, resulting in a decrease in artificial wetlands. The wetlands that disappeared were transformed into urban residential areas and construction land for industrial and mining transportation, causing serious ecological and economic losses.

From the perspective of the succession direction, the most significant wetland succession trend was the transition from artificial wetlands to nonwetlands in the northern part of Jiaozhou Bay, with a conversion area of 79.6 km² (Fig. 6); notably, aquaculture wetlands were reduced in area by 49.1%. Excluding the mariculture area in the Hongdao Economic Zone, which has been partially retained thus far, the entire mariculture area in the northeast corner of Jiaozhou Bay has been converted into construction land. Only 0.6 km² of nonwetland was converted into artificial wetland. In sharp contrast, 23.2 km² of natural wetland was converted into artificial wetland. Figure 5 shows that the transformation of natural wetlands was mainly caused by human activities, such as reclamation projects along beach wetlands and aquaculture reclamation. Although strict wetland protection measures were implemented in the core area of Jiaozhou Bay after 2000 and illegal breeding facilities were largely eradicated, only 20% of the tidal flat area was restored.

The environmental status of the adjacent estuary has directly affected the ecological environment of Jiaozhou Bay, and a detailed analysis of the estuary wetland is conducive to gaining a comprehensive understanding of the wetland ecology. At the beginning of 1980, the estuary of Jiaozhou Bay was dominated by natural wetlands and natural shorelines. With population growth and economic development, several wetlands were replaced with artificial construction land (Fig. 6). For example, 7.2 km² of natural wetlands in the estuary of the Xin’an River and 1.4 km² of salt field wetlands were developed into industrial zones for marine logistics, oil development, and container storage. The estuaries of the Hongjiang River, Moshui River, Xiangmao River, and Baisha River in the northeastern part of Jiaozhou Bay experienced dynamic changes in mariculture areas. From 1980
to 1995, mariculture areas converged in the estuary at a rate of 0.7 km²/year and expanded toward the sea, and the area reached 10.6 km². From 1995 to 2010, the development of the Hongdao Economic Zone and expansion of the Moshui River and the Hongjiang River caused 38.8 km² of marine aquaculture areas and salt pans to be landfilled, reflecting an artificial wetlands→nonwetlands change process. The Dagu River Estuary wetland is in the core wetland area of Jiaozhou Bay under protection and provides certain basic ecological resources, and tidal flat breeding and reclamation activities continue to occur on both sides of this wetland. The comprehensive treatment project of the Yanghe River and the river rehabilitation project of the Yuejin River for flood storage and landscape functions have reduced the marine aquaculture area in the surrounding wetlands at an average rate of 3.3 km²/year. Additionally, the artificial wetlands have been converted into residential and commercial areas. For non-wetlands, the landfill area of these projects reached 49.9 km² by 2015. This change was the direct cause of the extension of northwestern Jiaozhou Bay to the sea.

**Changes in the Wetland Ecological Service Value**

From the perspective of ecological economics, the total ESV of the coastal wetland of Jiaozhou Bay has continued to increase over the past 40 years, with a rate of increase of approximately 114.6% (Table 4). The total ESV of all wetland types except salt pans increased, and the ESV of tidal flat wetlands accounted for the largest proportion of the total value, accounting for 65.5% in 2020. Since 2005, the continuous management of estuarine waters has created estuarine wetlands with strong ecological functions and an ecological value of 33 million USD (Table 4); additionally, in the last 15 years, the genetic diversity and leisure and entertainment service values have increased by 233.2% (Table 5). The ecological service function of salt pans is low (Table 4), and the value of raw material supply services has been declining annually. The negative effects of hydrological regulation services have become increasingly serious. Consequently, the total ESV of salt pans decreased by 108.7%, and the largest decrease between 1990 and 2005 was 88.7% (Table 4). The food supply value of mariculture increased linearly, the negative value of water supply and the value of raw material supply first decreased and then increased, and the values of genetic diversity, habitat, and leisure and entertainment services first increased and then decreased (Table 5).

The ESV types were as follows: regulation services > support services > supply services > cultural services. The value of regulation services accounted for more than 69% of the total wetland value, and the value of cultural services increased. This result is consistent with the research results of Shang Huimin from 2005 to 2015 (Shang et al. 2018). Additionally, hydrological regulation accounted for more than 47% of the total value of regulation services and is the core function of Jiaozhou Bay wetland ecological services. Genetic diversity accounted for more than 11% of the total ESV.

Fig. 6 Estuarine wetland changes in Jiaozhou Bay
### Table 4  ESV changes for different wetland types from 1980 to 2020 (based on the price in 2020)

| Wetland Type       | ESV (10^6 USD) | 1980 | 1990 | 2005 | 2020 | 1980–2020 ESV change value/10^6 USD |
|--------------------|----------------|------|------|------|------|-----------------------------------|
| Mariculture        | 2.41           | 6.61 | 7.24 | 3.92 | 1.51 |                                  |
| Estuary waters     | 8.30           | 19.09| 14.05| 46.83| 38.53|                                  |
| Reservoirs and ponds| 0.44           | 2.20 | 6.64 | 12.72| 12.28|                                  |
| Tidal flats        | 69.73          | 84.12| 103.20| 121.68| 54.95|                                  |
| Salt pans          | 6.62           | 7.71 | 0.93 | −0.55| −7.17|                                  |
| **Total value**    | **87.50**      | **119.74**| **132.05**| **184.58**| **97.08**|                                  |

| Wetland Type       | 1980–2020 ESV change value | Food production | Water supply | Raw materials | Climate control | Waste disposal | Gas regulation | Hydrological regulation | Habitat | Genetic diversity | Leisure | Total value |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-------------|
| 1980               | 1.68                        | 2.57            | 8.17         | 5.72          | 0.87            | 3.52          | 41.93         | 43.2                   | 11.68   | 7.04             | 87.50   |
| 1990               | 3.46                        | 1.06            | 11.72        | 7.72          | 2.16            | 5.42          | 56.99         | 7.71                   | 14.61   | 8.88             | 119.74  |
| 2005               | 3.91                        | 1.30            | 5.64         | 9.35          | 3.49            | 6.38          | 63.71         | 9.17                   | 17.97   | 11.12            | 132.05  |
| 2020               | 3.17                        | 6.81            | 1.54         | 11.09         | 6.84            | 6.38          | 105.97        | 6.94                   | 21.91   | 13.93            | 184.58  |

### Table 5  Value changes for ecological service types in Jiaozhou Bay from 1980 to 2020 (10^6 USD).

| Ecological Service Type | 1980–2020 ESV change value | Food production | Water supply | Raw materials | Climate control | Waste disposal | Gas regulation | Hydrological regulation | Habitat | Genetic diversity | Leisure | Total value |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-------------|
| 1980                   | 1.68                        | 2.57            | 8.17         | 5.72          | 0.87            | 3.52          | 41.93         | 43.2                   | 11.68   | 7.04             | 87.50   |
| 1990                   | 3.46                        | 1.06            | 11.72        | 7.72          | 2.16            | 5.42          | 56.99         | 7.71                   | 14.61   | 8.88             | 119.74  |
| 2005                   | 3.91                        | 1.30            | 5.64         | 9.35          | 3.49            | 6.38          | 63.71         | 9.17                   | 17.97   | 11.12            | 132.05  |
| 2020                   | 3.17                        | 6.81            | 1.54         | 11.09         | 6.84            | 6.38          | 105.97        | 6.94                   | 21.91   | 13.93            | 184.58  |
Discussion

Wetland Ecological Destruction and Influencing Factors

The continuous reclamation of wetlands has led to the gradual shrinkage of Jiaozhou Bay (Ma et al. 2008; Li et al. 2015; Xie et al. 2012), the weakening of seawater dynamics, the destruction of benthic habitats and a reduction in biodiversity (Shi et al. 2011). The regulatory function of Jiaozhou Bay has gradually been impaired, the water exchange capacity has weakened, and the exchange capacity of pollutants in the bay has deteriorated (Li et al. 2015; Xie et al. 2012; Zhang 2004). The input from rivers is one of the factors that has affected the wetland environment. The industrial wastewater and domestic sewage transported by the Moshui River are the main sources of organic nitrogen in Jiaozhou Bay, causing the dissolved inorganic nitrogen levels along the coast of Jiaozhou Bay to exceed the relevant standard (Liu et al. 2005; Shen 2001). The Dagu River has had the highest phosphorus input, and the Licun River has been affected by urban governance and has had a low nutrient input load (Yuan et al. 2016). From 1990 to 2000, seawater eutrophication in Jiaozhou Bay was severe, and the nitrogen and phosphorus burial fluxes increased, destroying the ecological environment in the bay (Liu et al. 2005; Turner 2002). At present, with the increased awareness regarding the protection of Jiaozhou Bay, land-based pollution, such as by domestic sewage, industrial wastewater and agricultural fertilizers, has been controlled, and the environment in Jiaozhou Bay has displayed a positive trend with increasing economic growth.

Land reclamation is the factor most directly causing coastal wetland degradation and coastline changes (Gu et al. 2007), and urbanization plays an important role in wetland ESV changes (Qi et al. 2020). The increase in coastal construction land, human activities such as river damming and drainage projects, and the construction of the Jiaozhou Bay Bridge have caused the coastline to extend into the sea, and the tidal flat area has considerably changed. In other bays around the world, such as Tampa Bay in the United States and the Gulf of Thailand, coastal change has originated from large-scale human development activities and resulted in an astonishing loss of wetland area and serious ecological deterioration; consequently, the recognition and protection of the value of wetlands have increased (Guo et al. 2019).

Among the wetland types in Jiaozhou Bay, tidal flat wetlands have provided the most value for functioning services, support services and cultural services, and the wetland ESV has changed. During the study period, pollution from land-based wastewater discharge in Jiaozhou Bay affected the water quality in the bay. At the end of the twentieth century, frequent oil spills and heavy metal pollution along the coast of Jiaozhou Bay caused great harm to the seawater and mariculture industry in the bay (Qian et al. 2009; Sun et al. 2018). With the implementation of the “Salt Field Reconstruction and Construction Plan” in Jiaozhou Bay, the quality of the Dagu River, the Moshui River and other inland rivers has improved. Control of land source inputs has been the focus of efforts to maintain the environmental quality of the bay and increase the area of high-quality water. Therefore, it is necessary to maintain the sustainable development of the environment in conjunction with economic growth. Additionally, wetland policy has shifted from an economic focus to an ecological focus (Suzuki 2003).

Synergetic Relationship between ESV Changes and Wetlands

The ESV and wetland area have had both a synergistic relationship and a trade-off effect in different periods (Ma et al. 2020), and this result is mainly due to the creation of another ecosystem service by changing wetland types. During the study period, Jiaozhou Bay experienced a 7% increase in wetland area from 1980 to 1990 and a 36.8% increase in the ESV (Table 5). From 1990 to 2005, the wetland area increased by 8.6%, and the ESV increased by 10.3%. In the past 40 years, the value of leisure and entertainment services has doubled, and the value of cultural services has continued to increase (Shang et al. 2018). Jiaozhou Bay’s tourism industry exploits the advantages of coastal tourism resources, and multimodal integration with other cultures has increased the cultural service value of coastal wetlands. Since the twenty-first century, salt pans have been landfilled in large areas, and their output decreased from 110,000 tons/year in 2005 to 12,800 tons/year in 2013. These results suggest that there is a synergistic effect between ESV changes and wetland area changes. An increase in wetland area will improve the ecological environment and increase the value of ecosystem services.

The wetland area declined rapidly after reaching its peak in 2005, and by 2020, it had decreased by 48.8%. During this period, the value of ecological services continued to increase by 42.3%. Many mariculture areas were landfilled after 2005, with the area decreasing by 67.4%, but the value of the corresponding food supply did not decline. The ESV changes and wetland area changes displayed a trade-off relationship. The most direct reason for this relationship was that land reclamation activities in the northern part of Jiaozhou Bay were limited after 2005; additionally, the loss of tidal flats gradually slowed, thus enhancing ecosystem services (Fig. 5). Many salt pans and mariculture ponds...
were landfilled, leading to a decrease in water consumption. With the successive establishment of Jiaozhou Bay National Marine Park and National Wetland Park, the function of the wetland ecosystem was restored (Qin and Zhang 2021). These factors played a positive role in increasing the ESV. Indirect influential factors include the realization of the value of wetland ecosystem services, the improvement in people’s recognition of wetlands, the standardization and rationalization of marine aquaculture practices and the development of intertidal tidal flats. Moreover, the spread of COVID-19 and international economic and trade frictions in late 2019 affected international and domestic food prices, leading to a 2020 ESV equivalent factor higher than the ESV equivalent factors in other years. The rise in food prices has stimulated the recovery of aquaculture and increased the value of seafood production.

**Ecological Protection Measures**

The tidal flat area of Jiaozhou Bay accounts for the largest proportion of the bay’s wetland area, and the created ESV is also relatively large. Therefore, the ecological protection of the Jiaozhou Bay wetland is mainly achieved by protecting tidal flats. Reclamation should be reduced, and illegal reclamation activities should be fully prevented (Spencer et al. 2016). Wetland tourism is also an important way to rationally use wetland resources (Aazami and Shanazi 2020), increase the number of environmental protection personnel in scenic spots, strictly control garbage pollution, and promote the protection of wetland resources. Artificial breeding models should focus on sustainable development, water quality should be improved while maintaining the diversity of benthic animals, new breeding technologies should be developed, and the breeding output should be increased considering wetland area limitations. The use of agricultural fertilizers should be biased toward pollution-free green ecological series as much as possible, sources of polluted water in estuary wetlands should be evaluated and the direct flow of pollutants into Jiaozhou Bay should be reduced because this pollution affects water quality. The current Jiaozhou Bay wetland management system is one in which the forestry department takes the lead and other departments participate. To generate a mature wetland management system, a special department should be established for wetland management, and special legislation should also be formulated to enhance awareness of wetland protection. When formulating wetland management strategies, increased attention should be given to natural wetland product development and wetland industry development. Through the ecological protection measures above, the scientific use of wetland resources can be improved, and the coordinated development of wetland ecosystem services can be promoted (Sutton-Grier et al. 2015).

**Conclusion**

Wetland degradation will cause the continuous destruction of wetland ecological structures and functions and severe losses in the value created by wetland ecosystems. This paper uses multispectral satellite imagery to study the reclamation of the Jiaozhou Bay wetland over the past 40 years and the changes in the wetland ESV. In this study, the classification of wetlands is specific, and the accuracy of information extraction is high. We provide typical regional cases for wetland change research and the corresponding data to support the comprehensive ecological management of coastal areas.

Over the past 40 years, the coastline of Jiaozhou Bay has continuously advanced into the sea. The total coastal reclamation area has reached 75.2 km², indicating that approximately 23% of the area of Jiaozhou Bay has eroded. From 2005 to 2020, the wetland area decreased rapidly by 48.8%, which was mainly manifested in the transformation of artificial wetlands to nonwetlands, especially the conversion of marine aquaculture areas and salt pans into construction land. The total ESV of the coastal wetland of Jiaozhou Bay has continued to increase over the past 40 years. Among the local wetland types, tidal flats have the largest ESV, accounting for 65.5% of the total ESV in 2020.

Therefore, the ecological protection of the Jiaozhou Bay wetland is mainly based on protecting tidal flats. The environmental conditions of the estuary directly affect the ecological environment of Jiaozhou Bay. Since 2005, the continuous improvement of estuarine waters has created an ecological value of 33 million USD in estuarine wetlands, with strong ecological functions over the past 15 years. In addition, reducing reclamation work and stopping illegal reclamation activities are necessary tasks for ensuring that the area of the bay is no longer shrinking. A mature wetland management system should be established, the development of natural wetland products and the wetland industry should receive increased attention, and the coordinated development of the wetland ecosystem should be achieved.
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