Diethyl Selenodiglycolate: An Eco-Friendly Synthetic Antioxidant with Potential Application to Inflammatory Disorders
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This study describes a single step, high yield and purity, ecofriendly and scalable procedure to prepare a selenium derivative (diethyl selenodiglycolate). Diethyl selenodiglycolate rapidly reduces hypochlorous acid (HOCl, second-order rate constant of 7 × 10−1 M−1 s−1) to generate its corresponding selenoxide. In activated HL-60 cells, diethyl selenodiglycolate selectively reacted with HOCl (half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) = 23.07 µM) but not with superoxide anion radical or hydrogen peroxide without any cytotoxicity. These results show that this synthetically simple selenide reacts in a very efficient and specific way with the harmful pro-oxidant HOCl being a promising compound to be applied in oxidative inflammatory-related conditions.
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Introduction

Selenium is well recognized as an essential micronutrient for living organisms. Its major biological benefits are, mostly, associated to the trapping ability of endogenous oxidant entities and modulation of redox processes.1 These features are consequences of unique properties of selenium. Hence, some biomolecules evolved to incorporate selenium instead of sulfur because of its lower reduction potential besides enhanced nucleophilic capacity, among other characteristics that make it unique.2,3 In 1973, Turner and Stadtman4 identified the bacterial glycine reductase as the first specific selenoprotein to be discovered, and consequently selenocysteine, the selenium-containing amino acid component of this protein, was baptized as the 21st natural amino acid.

Now, about 44 years later, it is known that selenium is present in a range of selenoproteins2 and each one plays important roles in endogenous biological events of animals in which they are present.

Although not considered essential for plants,5,6 the natural occurrence of selenium in some plants is a result of its incorporation from the mineral form present in soil, and this plant-selected chemical speciation is a well intricate stratagem of the nature to contribute to the evolution process involving this element and the maintenance of life.

Despite all the knowledge about this element that we have today and its participation in life, the early scenarios involving selenium in living organisms were accompanied by many misunderstandings, doubts and folklores. The chemical properties of the first organic compounds of selenium and its chalcogen partner, tellurium, were deeply associated to bad things specially because of their low stability in presence of air and light and the repulsive bad smelling of their low weight derivatives.7 Since the first
reports involving the preparative chemistry of selenium, an enormous number of new synthetic strategies, reagents and chemical procedures involving this element were developed which leads us to the possibility of producing a very large number of selenium-containing organic compounds nowadays.  

Selenium-containing compounds have been designed and synthesized based on its oxidant trapping capacity. They can act promoting the redox cell homeostasis in the human organisms. An example of the most known synthetic compound that can counteract oxidant species is Ebselen, which possesses high antioxidant and neuroprotective activity. The antioxidant ability of Ebselen is related to the glutathione peroxidase-like (GPx) activity. More recently, analogs of Ebselen were synthesized in order to enhance the GPx-like activity; some of them achieved an activity ten times higher. Similar activities were presented and synthesized based on its oxidant trapping capacity. 

Experimental

Hydrogen (H), carbon (C) and selenium (Se) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III 200 MHz spectrometer in the appropriate solvents. Chemical shifts (δ) were reported in parts per million (ppm), relative to the internal standard, tetramethylsilane or diphenyl diselenide (Ph2Se2). The multiplicity of each signal is indicated by s (singlet), ls (large singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), dd (doublet of doublets), dt (doublet of triplets) and m (multiplet). The number of hydrogens (n) for a given resonance is indicated by nH and coupling constants (J) are quoted in Hz.

High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed on q-ToF maxis 3G Bruker Daltonics, with electrospray ionization (ESI). GC-MS-EL analyses (gas chromatography–mass spectrometry–electron impact) were performed on a Shimadzu GC/MS-QP2010 equipped with Rtx-5MS column, using helium as carrier gas. Analytical ultra pressure liquid chromatography (UPLC) was performed with a Shimadzu Nexera instrument equipped with Shim-pack XR-ODS (Shimadzu, 100 × 2.3 mm, 2.2 µm) column at 40 °C and 0.6 mL min−1 flow.

Reagents fetal bovine serum was purchased from VitroCell (Campinas, Brazil), Amplex® Red was purchased from Life Technologies (Burlington, Canada). Other reagents including elemental selenium, sodium borohydride, ethyl chloroacetate, ethyl acetate, magnesium sulfate, deuterated chloroform, Ph2Se2, L-methionine, dichloromethane, calcium hydride, trimethylsilyl chloride, deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide, N,N-di-isopropylethylamine, 9-fluorenethylmethoxycarbonyl chloride, diethyl ether, sodium bicarbonate, hydrochloric acid (HCl), NaOCl (sodium hypochlorite), methanol (MeOH), tetrahydrofuran (THF), sodium acetate (NaOAc), cell culture materials Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1648, penicillin and streptomycin, 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid (TNB), taurine, cytochrome c, peroxidase from horseradish (HRP), magnesium chloride (MgCl2), calcium chloride (CaCl2), propidium iodide (PI), phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) and staurosporin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany) and when necessary, purifications were performed according to specific conditions. Hypochlorous acid (HOCl) quantification was determined at ε292nm (molar absorptivity at 292 nm) = 350 L mol−1 cm−1. The competitive kinetic analyses were performed in 10 mmol L−1 phosphate buffer solutions (pH = 7.4, using Na2HPO4 (disodium hydrogen phosphate), and KH2PO4 (potassium dihydrogen phosphate)).

Synthesis of diethyl selenodiglycolate

To a suspension of elemental selenium (1.0 g; 12.6 mmol) in H2O (water, 10 mL), under nitrogen atmosphere, it was added an aqueous solution of sodium borohydride (1.0 g; 26.5 mmol, in 10 mL of H2O). Neat ethyl chloroacetate (3.08 g; 25.2 mmol) was dropwise added to the previously prepared solution of the selenium
nucleophile, at room temperature. After 30 min under stirring, ethyl acetate (25 mL) was added to the solution. After few minutes under stirring, the organic phase was collected, dried using magnesium sulfate and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the diethyl selenodiglycolate as a yellow oil (3.2 g; 90% yield).

\[ ^1 H \text{NMR (200 MHz, CDCl}_3 - d, \text{deuterated chloroform)} \delta 4.22 (q, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 3.41 (s, 2\text{H}), 1.32 (t, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}); \]
\[ ^{13} \text{C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl}_3 ) \delta 170.76, 61.24, 23.50, 13.98; \]
\[ ^{77} \text{Se (38 MHz, CDCl}_3 ) \delta 244.7 (\text{Ph}_2 \text{Se}_2 , 461 \text{ ppm, internal standard}); \]

**Synthesis of Fmoc-methionine**

To a suspension of finely divided L-methionine (L-Met, 1.1 g; 7.5 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (18 mL), under nitrogen atmosphere was added, in a single portion, freshly distilled trimethylsilyl chloride (1.29 g; 5 mmol). Next, the solution was heated to reflux for 1 h, then the temperature was lowered to 5 °C. \( \text{N,N-Di-isopropylethylamine (1.68 g; 2.26 mL; 13 mmol)} \) was added to the solution, followed by 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl chloride (Fmoc-Cl; 1.29 g; 5 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 90 min at room temperature. The volatiles were removed by vacuum and the crude reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether (40 mL) followed by sodium bicarbonate (50 mL, 2.5% \text{m mL}^{-1}). Phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was acidified with hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution (1 mol L\(^{-1}\)) and then, extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic phases were dried using sodium sulfate, filtered and solvents were removed under reduced pressure to yield the Fmoc-protected amino acid (Fmoc-Met) in 80% yield.

\[ ^1 H \text{NMR (200 MHz, DMSO}_d - 6 , \text{deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide)} \delta 12.7 (\text{ls, } 1\text{H}), 8.00-7.30 (\text{m, 8H}), 4.44-3.99 (\text{m, 4H}), 2.07 (\text{s, 3H}), 1.90 (\text{m, 2H}); \]

**Competitive kinetic for HOCl**

The second order rate constant of the reaction of diethyl selenodiglycolate with HOCl was calculated from the competition reaction between NaOCl (sodium hypochlorite) and Fmoc-methionine (Fmoc-Met), according to the procedure already reported. The reactions were performed at 22 °C, in phosphate buffer pH 7.4. NaOCl solution (50 \text{µmol L}^{-1}) was incubated with Fmoc-Met (250 \text{µmol L}^{-1}) in presence or absence of diethyl selenodiglycolate (60-1200 \text{µmol L}^{-1}). The proportion of Fmoc-Met and its oxidation product, Fmoc-methionine sulfoxide (Fmoc-MetSO), was measured by UPLC. Fmoc-Met and Fmoc-MetSO were quantified by UPLC analyses using 75% phase B:25% phase A as eluent during 12 min. The phase A consisted of a solution of methanol (MeOH) (20%), tetrahydrofuran (THF) (2.5%), sodium acetate (NaOAc) sol. (5%, pH 5.3, 1 mol L\(^{-1}\)) and H\(_2\)O (72.5%), while phase B was composed of MeOH (80%), THF (2.5%), NaOAc sol. (5%, pH 5.3, 1 mol L\(^{-1}\)), and H\(_2\)O (12.5%). The samples were filtered before analyses (0.2 µm, with 5 µL injected in each run) in order to remove any solid still in suspension. Fmoc-MetSO was monitored using photo-diode array detector (PDA) composed by deuterium and tungsten lamp. Under these conditions, Fmoc-MetSO presented a retention time of 0.73 min and Fmoc-Met, 1.13 min. The peak areas were integrated using Lab Solutions 5.51 software.

**Cell culture**

The human promyelocytic cells (HL-60; Banco de Células do Rio de Janeiro (BCRJ), Duque de Caxias, RJ, Brazil) were maintained in RPMI 1640 culture media supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS 20%), streptomycin (100 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) and penicillin (100 U mL\(^{-1}\)) in
humid atmosphere at 37 °C with 5% carbon dioxide. The desired concentration of HL-60 cells was differentiated in neutrophils (dHL-60) by the addition of dimethyl sulfoxide (1.3%) in the same media, but supplemented with 10% FSB. Cells were maintained in this media for four days. For the experiments, cells were centrifuged at 1400 rpm for 10 min, washed twice with sterile saline sol. (0.9% sodium chloride, NaCl) and suspended in phosphate buffer solution (PBS)/glucose sol. (10 mmol L⁻¹ Na₂HPO₄, 2 mmol L⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 137 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl, 1 mmol L⁻¹ CaCl₂, 0.5 mmol L⁻¹ MgCl₂, 1 g L⁻¹ glucose).

Superoxide anion radical

Differentiated HL-60 cells (1 × 10⁶) were incubated with taurine (5 mmol L⁻¹), in the absence or presence of different concentrations of diethyl selenodiglycolate (0.5, 1.0, 10, 25 or 50 µmol L⁻¹), in 300 µL of PBS/glucose sol. at 37 °C. Cells were activated with PMA (phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate, 100 ng mL⁻¹) solution, gently homogenized and the superoxide was quantified by the reduction reaction of cytochrome c (40 µmol L⁻¹). In this reaction, the superoxide anion is an electron donor, and iron core of heme group of cytochrome c is reduced to Fe²⁺. The amount of reduced cytochrome c was determined by measuring its absorbance at 550 nm in a microplate reader (BioTek Synergy H1 Hybrid reader) for 30 min. The rate of superoxide production was quantified by the slope of the increasing absorbance at 550 nm, \( e_{550nm} = 21,000 \, \text{L mol}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1} \).

Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂)

The production of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) was measured by the oxidation of Amplex Red® (50 µmol L⁻¹) in presence of horseradish peroxidase (HRP; 10 µmol L⁻¹). Cells were in the same conditions as above. The oxidation of Amplex Red by H₂O₂ produces the fluorescent product, resorufin (monitored at 550 nm for 30 min). The rate of hydrogen peroxide formation was quantified by the slope of the increasing absorbance at 550 nm, \( e_{550nm} = 54,000 \, \text{L mol}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1} \).

Hypochlorous acid (HOCl)

Hypochlorous acid (HOCl) was quantified in this same system at the end point of two hours of incubation at 37 °C. After being incubated with taurine sol. (5 mmol L⁻¹), diethyl selenodiglycolate sol. (0, 0.5, 1.0, 10, 25 or 50 µmol L⁻¹) in PBS/glucose solution and activated with PMA (100 ng mL⁻¹), cells were centrifuged at 1400 rotations per minute (rpm) for 10 min. Cell supernatants (100 µL) were diluted in 400 µL of PBS/glucose and TNB (80 µmol L⁻¹) was added to the solution and allowed to react for 15 min. Quantification of HOCl was indirectly measured by formation of taurine chloroamine and oxidation of TNB to the colorless product dithionitrobenzoic acid (DTNB). The loss in TNB was quantified at 412 nm; \( e_{412nm} = 13,600 \, \text{L mol}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1} \).

Cell viability

Differentiated HL-60 cells (2 × 10⁶) were incubated in the absence or presence of different concentrations of diethyl selenodiglycolate sol. (0.5, 1.0, 10, 25, 50 or 100 µM) or staurosporine (Stp) (1 µmol L⁻¹, positive control) at 37 °C for 24 and 48 h in 6-well plates with a total volume of 2 mL growth media. After this period, a total of 1 × 10⁶ cells were centrifuged at 1400 rpm for 10 min and the pellet was suspended in PBS/glucose sol. and incubated with 10 µg mL⁻¹ PI sol. for 15 min. The fluorescence of labeled cells was detected using λex = 535 nm, λem = 620 nm in a BD Biosciences flow cytometry (San Jose, CA, USA).

Computational details

The calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 package. The structures of the studied compounds were optimized without any symmetry constraints, and the resulting structures were assessed using vibrational frequency analysis to probe whether they represent true minimum-energy geometries. We performed the geometry optimizations and frequency calculations using the hybrid functional B3PW91 and the hybrid density functional wB97XD, including empirical atomic-pairwise dispersion corrections, following the Grimme’s D2 dispersion scheme, along with the split-valence basis sets 6-311+G* and 6-311++G**. Computational studies were done both, in the gas phase and with implicit effects from H₂O (dielectric constant \( \varepsilon = 78.3553 \)), C₆H₆ (benzene, \( \varepsilon = 2.2706 \)), and C₆H₅CH₃ (toluene, \( \varepsilon = 2.3741 \)), using the self-consistent reaction field IEF-PCM (integral equation formalism-polarizable continuum model) method (the UFF default model used in the Gaussian 09 package, with the electrostatic scaling factor \( \alpha \) set to 1.0). The reaction energies, in kcal mol⁻¹, were calculated by the following formula:

\[
\Sigma E = \Sigma E_0(\text{products}) - \Sigma E_0(\text{reactants}) \quad (3)
\]

where \( E_0 \) are the electronic energies.
Results and Discussion

Aiming to prepare synthetically simple organic selenides, we imagined a one-step procedure to prepare a seleno-carboxylic acid derivative. The simplest representative we established as the target compound is the symmetrical diethyl selenodiglycolate. To prepare this compound, the aqueous-soluble nucleophilic selenium reagent (HSeNa) was generated in situ by reacting elemental selenium (Se) with sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) in water, followed by the reaction with commercially available ethyl chloroacetate, rendering diethyl selenodiglycolate (1) in 90% yield (Scheme 1).

After adjustment in the reaction conditions, diethyl selenodiglycolate was prepared in a single step in a 10 g-scale with the same reproducibility and yield. The product was isolated from the aqueous phase by extracting it with ethyl acetate followed by its concentration under vacuum. Compound 1 was incubated with HOCl in order to verify its antioxidant capacity. It was observed a very fast consumption of HOCl (data not shown) and then the kinetic calculations of this reaction were done.

The kinetic was based in a competition assay between diethyl selenodiglycolate and Fmoc-Met for HOCl. The known constant rate of the reaction of Fmoc-Met and HOCl (1.3 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) has been used to find out the constant rate of HOCl with well-known antioxidants. In this assay, different concentrations of diethyl selenodiglycolate were incubated with fixed concentrations of Fmoc-Met and HOCl and the formation of Fmoc-MetSO was quantified by UPLC. Figure 1 shows the linear relation between the maximum production of Fmoc-MetSO in absence and presence of different concentrations of diethyl selenodiglycolate (y axis) and the total concentration of diethyl selenodiglycolate (x axis) (details are explicit in equations 1 and 2 in the Experimental section).

The second order constant was calculated by the competition between Fmoc-Met and diethyl selenodiglycolate for HOCl. Fmoc-MetSO was quantified in the absence (yield_max) and in presence of different concentrations of scavenger (yield scavenger).

The slope of this linear regression gives the k_{scavenger} = 7 × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, i.e., the k value for the oxidation of diethyl selenodiglycolate by HOCl. This constant was similar to the ones found for the reaction of HOCl with other seleno derivatives and with glutathione (1.1 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹), showing that diethyl selenodiglycolate might be a competitive antioxidant in biological systems to scavenger HOCl and to maintain the levels of untouched glutathione.

In order to identify the product of the oxidation of 1 by HOCl (Scheme 2), ⁷⁷Se NMR and HRMS spectra, of a freshly prepared solution of 1 and NaOCl sol. (2 equiv.) in DMSO, were acquired (Figure 2).

The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of compound 1 (diethyl selenodiglycolate) presented a single signal in δ 244.7 ppm and after treating the DMSO sol. of diethyl selenodiglycolate with NaOCl, this signal was suppressed giving rise to a new intense signal in δ 1,199.37 ppm, along another attributed to the basic ester hydrolysis product. This freshly prepared solution was also analyzed by HRMS. The presence of the oxidized product was corroborated, as it can be seen in Figure 2 (m/z, calcd. [M + Na]+: 292.9904; found: 292.9891).

The ability of H₂O₂ and ClO⁻ (hipochlorite anion) to oxidize diethyl selenodiglycolate was studied through theoretical calculations and in comparison with Fmoc-Met. The summarized oxidation energy values are presented in SI section. B3PW91/6-311+G* and B3PW91/6-311+G** computational approaches gave essentially the same

Figure 1. Kinetic data of the reaction of diethyl selenodiglycolate with HOCl.
reaction energies for the two considered reaction stages for compounds diethyl selenodiglycolate and Fmoc-Met. The calculations performed with the wB97XD/6-311+G* approach gave slightly larger reaction energies (by ca. 3-5 kcal mol$^{-1}$) for the oxidation of the two compounds, selenoxide and Fmoc-MetSO with ClO$^-$, compared to the calculations performed with the B3PW91/6-311+G* and B3PW91/6-311++G** approaches. For both, diethyl selenodiglycolate and Fmoc-Met, the reaction steps considered were calculated to be highly exothermic in the gas phase as well as in the polar (water) and non-polar (benzene and toluene) implicit solvents. Generally, for the Fmoc-methionine sulfoxide the oxidation energies were calculated and the values are higher than for the Fmoc-methionine, especially for diethyl selenodiglycolate.

We next evaluated whether diethyl selenodiglycolate would scavenge HOCl in a cell system. The stimulation of dHL-60 with PMA induces the phosphorylation of cytosolic NADPH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate) oxidase subunits and their assemblage in the plasma membrane. This event triggers superoxide production, which is the first step in the inflammatory oxidative burst.$^{35}$ The anion radical superoxide undergoes the spontaneous (ca. 10$^5$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and catalyzed (ca. 10$^9$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) dismutation to hydrogen peroxide,$^{36}$ the first substrate for the inflammatory enzyme myeloperoxidase. This enzyme uses H$_2$O$_2$ to oxidize Cl$^-$ to HOCl/ClO$^-$, an important bactericidal agent but also a key molecule responsible for oxidative tissue damage.$^{35}$

Our results showed an increase in superoxide, hydrogen peroxide and hypochlorous acid in dHL-60 stimulated with PMA (Figure 3). It is noted that diethyl selenodiglycolate dose-dependently removed the HOCl produced by these cells (Figure 3a). The half maximal inhibitory concentration
(IC<sub>50</sub>) and the respective confidence interval for the consumption of HOCl was 23.07 (19.03-27.97) µmol L<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 3b).

Once the production of HOCl in these cells is directly dependent on the levels of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide, we verified if the decreasing in HOCl occasioned by diethyl selenodiglycolate could also be due to a scavenger effect upon superoxide and hydrogen peroxide. As demonstrated in Figures 3c and 3d, selenide did not affect superoxide and hydrogen peroxide levels, showing a specific effect upon HOCl. It is noteworthy to mention that this system only measures the oxidants that are produced at, or diffused to the extracellular space; therefore, we cannot exclude a reducing effect of diethyl selenodiglycolate upon hydrogen peroxide in reactions catalyzed by intracellular peroxidases, like glutathione peroxidase.

In order to ensure that the selenide would not be toxic to cells, we conducted cell viability assays using PI. PI does not cross cell membranes and its binding to deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) means plasma membrane disruption. Figure 4 illustrates the histograms of control dHL-60 cells (Figure 4A), staurosporine positive control cells (Figure 4B) and cells treated with compound 1 (Figure 4C). Two different gates can be visualized, one indicating viable cells (b) and other indicating the dead cells (a). The intensity of PI fluorescence is much higher in staurosporine treated than in control and in cells treated with diethyl selenodiglycolate (Figure 4D). Diethyl selenodiglycolate does not affect cell viability at any tested concentration in 24 (Figure 4E) or 48 h (Figure 4F).

**Conclusions**

In summary, we demonstrated a single step, ecofriendly and high yielding synthesis of a small and effective HOCl-reactive selenide from commercially available starting materials. The production of HOCl by inflammatory cells is crucial to kill microorganisms. However, excessive production of HOCl either in sterile conditions or in unsolved inflammation is associated with tissue damage and chronic inflammatory diseases, including arthritis, cystic fibrosis and neurodegenerative and cardiovascular disease. The constant rate reaction of diethyl selenodiglycolate with HOCl was measured comparing to a standardized sulfide (Fmoc-Met) demonstrating a very high kinetic constant. The oxidant-scavenger capacity of selenide was...
diethyl selenodiglycolate demonstrated, by performing experiments with dHL-60 cells, presenting high selectivity to HOCl produced by dHL-60 cells, in presence of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide. Additionally, this compound presented high potential for in vivo applications since it does not demonstrate any cytotoxicity through dHL-60 cells.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary data (NMR spectra and theoretical approaches) are available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.
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