Cisplatin treatment increases stemness through upregulation of hypoxia-inducible factors by interleukin-6 in non-small cell lung cancer
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Cisplatin-resistant A549 and H157 (A549CisR and H157CisR) non-small cell lung cancer cells show increased stemness of cancer stem cells (CSCs) compared to their parental cells. We investigated whether interleukin-6 (IL-6) signaling contributes to this increased stemness in cisplatin-resistant cells. When A549CisR and H157CisR cells were treated with neutralizing IL-6 antibody, decreased cisplatin resistance was observed, whereas IL-6 treatment of parental cells resulted in increased cisplatin resistance. Expression of the CSC markers was significantly upregulated in IL-6-expressing scramble cells (in vitro) and scramble cell-derived tumor tissues (in vivo) after cisplatin treatment, but not in IL-6 knocked down (IL-6si) (in vitro) cells and in IL-6si cell-derived tumor tissues (in vivo), suggesting the importance of IL-6 signaling in triggering increased stemness during cisplatin resistance development. Hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) were upregulated by IL-6 and responsible for the increased CSC stemness on cisplatin treatment. Mechanism dissection studies found that upregulation of HIFs by IL-6 was through transcriptional control and inhibition of HIF degradation. Treatment of HIF inhibitor (FM19G11) abolished the upregulation of CSC markers and increased sphere formations in IL-6 expressing cells on cisplatin treatment. In all, IL-6-mediated HIF upregulation is important in increasing stemness during cisplatin resistance development, and we suggest that the strategies of inhibiting IL-6 signaling or its downstream HIF molecules can be used as future therapeutic approaches to target CSCs after cisplatin treatment for lung cancer.

Lung cancer is a predominant cause of cancer death in both men and women. Lung cancer is heterogeneous and histologically divided into two types, small-cell lung carcinomas and NSCLCs. The latter comprises 85% of lung cancer cases and constitutes a heterogeneous population of squamous, adenocarcinoma, and large-cell carcinomas. Despite recent progress, lung cancer therapeutic outcomes remain unsatisfactory.

Platinum-based drugs, particularly cis-diaminedichloroplatinum (II) (cisplatin), are used in the treatment of many cancers, including lung cancer. However, cisplatin treatment initially seems successful, but often chemoresistance develops and the therapy fails. Cisplatin treatment induces DNA lesions, which can lead to cell cycle arrest and apoptotic death. Lai et al. found that DNA repair activity was enhanced in cisplatin-resistant cell lines. Cisplatin resistance can also be triggered by altered drug delivery system and metabolism, and tumor microenvironment changes such as hypoxia.

Interleukin-6 is detectable in a high percentage of lung cancer patients, and the circulating IL-6 level has been suggested as a prognostic marker for survival in advanced NSCLC patients treated with chemotherapy. In previous studies, we have shown that the intracellular IL-6 level is important in developing cisplatin resistance, and this effect was partially through upregulation of the molecules associated with anti-apoptotic and DNA repair pathways. In this study, we investigated the IL-6 effect on expanding the CSC population, or stemness, during development of cisplatin resistance, as it has been suggested that cisplatin-resistant cells enable a higher CSC population and our laboratory and others have found that IL-6 promotes growth of CSCs.

Hypoxia-inducible factor is a transcription factor that is responsible for induction of genes associated with cell survival under hypoxia. Overexpression of HIF has been shown in several types of cancers, and therefore targeting HIF has been suggested to be a novel approach to treat cancer. In addition, the hypoxia-induced resistance against drugs through upregulation of HIF1α in lung cancer and HIF1α-induced chemoresistance in the non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma cell line has been reported. In this study, we investigated whether IL-6-mediated upregulation of HIFs is important in the increase of CSC stemness in NSCLC cells on cisplatin treatment. We also studied whether upregulation of HIFs by IL-6 following cisplatin treatment is through direct transcriptional control or by suppressing HIF degradation, as HIF expression was reported...
to be regulated by the proteasome pathway in hypoxic conditions. (19)

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. A549 and H157 cell lines were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 10% FBS. All cells were maintained in a humidified 5% CO₂ environment at 37°C. For inhibition studies, FM19G11 (0.5 μM) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) that inhibits the HIF1α/HIF2α pathways was added into the culture with cisplatin treatment. The IL-6 antibody (1:400; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and the isotype matched rabbit IgG (control) (Sigma) were used in neutralization experiments and MG132 (10 μM) (Sigma) was used in ubiquitination experiments.

Development of IL-6 knockdown and sc control cells by lentiviral transduction. For incorporation of IL-6 siRNA or sc control plasmids into A549 and H157 cells, lentivirus construct carrying either sc or IL-6 siRNA (pLenti-II vector; Applied Biological Materials, Richmond, Canada) was transferred into 293T cells with a mixture of pLent-II-IL-6 siRNA, pSPAX2 (virus-plasmids into A549 and H157 cells, lentivirus construct carrying IgG (control) (Sigma) were used in neutralization experiments and MG132 (10 μM) (Sigma) was used in ubiquitination experiments.

Development of cisplatin-resistant cell lines. Parental A549, H157, and IL-6 knockdown A549IL-6si/sc, and H157IL-6si/sc cells were continuously treated with gradually increased doses of cisplatin for 6 months according to the method described by Bart et al. (11) Briefly, cells were treated with 1 μM cisplatin for 72 h and allowed to recover for the following 72 h. After repeating one more cycle at 1 μM cisplatin concentration, the cells were then treated with 2 μM cisplatin in the following two cycles. This procedure was continued with increasing cisplatin concentration up to 30 μM. During the cisplatin-resistance induction procedure, the IC50 values of every five passage cells were subjected to reverse transcription and a Bio-Rad CFX96 system (Hercules, CA, USA) with SYBR green to determine the mRNA expression levels of genes of interest. Expression levels were normalized to GAPDH level.

Western blot analysis. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 1 μg/mL leupeptin, 1 μg/mL aprotinin, 0.2 mM PMSF) and proteins (20–40 μg) were separated on 8–10% SDS/PAGE gel and then transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). After the blocking procedure, membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (1:1,000), HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5,000), and visualized in Imager (Bio-Rad) using the ECL systems. Antibodies of HIF1α and HIF2α were from Gene Tex (Irvine, CA, USA) and the VHL antibody was purchased from Abgent (San Diego, CA, USA). Antibodies of CD44, Oct4, Notch, and Sox2 were from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA) and the ALDH antibody was obtained from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA). The GAPDH antibody was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK).

Plasmid HRE-luciferase assay. Cells in 24-well plates were transfected with 2 μg/mL HRE reporter plasmid (Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA) and 0.02 μg/mL phRL-CMV Renilla luciferase plasmid (used as control for normalizing transfection efficiencies) using PolyFect (Qiagen). After transfection, cells were incubated with or without IL-6. Twenty-four hours later, luciferase activities were measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Luciferase activity was measured using the GloMax 20/20 luminometer (Promega). For data analysis, the experimental reporter was normalized to the level of constitutive reporter to adjust for the differences in transfection efficiency.

Statistical analysis. The data values were presented as the mean ± SEM. Differences in mean values between two groups were analyzed by two-tailed Student’s t-test. P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Cisplatin-resistant cells showed increased CSC stemness versus parental cells. We developed two cisplatin-resistant NSCLC cell lines, A549CisR and H157CisR, by treating parental A549 and H157 cells with an increasing dose of cisplatin over 6 months. (10) These cells showed four to five times higher IC50...
values than parental cells (Fig. 1a). We compared self-renewal capacity of CSCs and expression of the CSC markers in parental and cisplatin-resistant cells. In sphere formation assays monitoring the self-renewal of CSCs, we detected significantly larger numbers of CSC-derived spheres in A549CisR and H157CisR cells than in parental cells (Fig. 1b) and detected significantly higher mRNA expression of the CSC markers CD133, (22,23) ALDH,(24) Nanog,(22,24) Oct4,(25) Sox2,(22) in A549CisR and H157CisR cells than in parental cells (Fig. 1c). These data suggest that cisplatin-resistant cells showed increased CSC stemness versus parental cells.

Interleukin-6 signaling is important in increasing CSC stemness in cisplatin-resistant cells. To investigate whether IL-6 signaling is responsible for the increased stemness in cisplatin-resistant cells, we carried out cisplatin cytotoxicity tests using A549 /A549CisR and H157/H157CisR cells, cDNA converted, and mRNA expressions of indicated CSC markers were analyzed. (d) Cisplatin cytotoxicity tests in the presence of either IL-6 Ab or IgG. A549CisR and H157CisR cells were treated with cisplatin in the presence of either the IL-6 neutralizing antibody or the isotype matched control IgG (for 48 h) and cell survival was analyzed in MTT assays. (e) Sphere formation assays of A549CisR and H157CisR cells following IL-6 Ab/iGg treatment. Cells were treated with either IL-6 Ab or control IgG and mRNA expressions of CSC markers were analyzed. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
cells, but not in A549IL-6si and H157IL-6si cells (Fig. 2c, right panels), confirming that IL-6 signaling is important in the development of cisplatin resistance in CSCs.

The *in vitro* IL-6 effect was confirmed in mice studies. An s.c. xenograft mouse model was established by injecting the A549IL-6si/sc cell set and tumor development was monitored twice a week. When tumors developed to 400 mm³, mice were treated with cisplatin for 2 weeks (i.p. injection) and then sacrificed. We observed higher tumor regression (in tumor volume) in mice injected with A549IL-6si cells compared to the mice injected with A549sc cells (Table 1). The IHC staining results of tumor tissues showed that expression of CSC markers was much lower in tumors tissues of IL-6si cell-derived xenografts than in sc cell-derived xenografts (Fig. 2d). These results confirm our *in vitro* results (Fig. 2c) showing the IL-6 is important in enriching CSCs after cisplatin treatment.

**Table 1. Volume of non-small cell lung carcinoma tumor regression in mice treated with cisplatin for 2 weeks**

| Time following cisplatin treatment, weeks | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| A549IL-6si                               | 9.2%| 20.2%| 28.0%| 32.2%| 48.0%|
| A549sc                                  | 7.0%| 15.8%| 13.9%| 20.3%| 19.0%|

A549IL-6si, interleukin-6 siRNA cell-derived tumor tissue; A549sc, scramble (control) cell-derived tumor tissue.

**Fig. 2.** Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is important in triggering cancer stem cell (CSC) enrichment during development of cisplatin resistance. (a) A549 and H157 parental cells were treated with indicated amounts of cisplatin and IL-6 mRNA levels were analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR. (b) IL-6 addition rendered parental cells more resistant to cisplatin. Cisplatin cytotoxicity test was carried out with parental A549 and H157 cells in the absence or presence of IL-6 (10 ng/mL). (c) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of CSC markers in IL-6 knockdown and control cells following cisplatin (Cis) treatment. Left panels, IL-6 mRNA levels in A549IL-6si/sc and H157IL-6si/sc cells. mRNA expression of CSC markers in A549IL-6si/ sc and H157IL-6si/sc cells, with or without cisplatin treatment (5 μM, 72 h) were analyzed. (d) Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of tumor tissues obtained from cisplatin-treated A549IL-6si/ sc cell-derived xenografts. Tumor tissues were obtained and staining was carried out using Oct4 and A549 aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) Ab. Quantitation is shown on right. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

**Interleukin-6-mediated upregulation of HIFs is important in the increase of CSC stemness after cisplatin treatment.** While we were searching for the IL-6 downstream molecules that are responsible for triggering the increase of CSC stemness following cisplatin treatment, we found significant upregulation of HIF molecules after cisplatin treatment in IL-6-expressing cells. We found higher expression of HIF1α and HIF2α in A549CisR and H157CisR cells than counterpart parental cells.
and upregulation of HIFs was suppressed when we added IL-6 Ab to cisplatin-resistant cell culture (Fig. 3b), confirming the IL-6 regulation of HIFs in cisplatin-resistant cells. We also detected upregulation of these molecules in IL-6-expressing A549sc/H157sc cells following cisplatin treatment, but not in IL-6 knocked down A549IL-6si/H157IL-6si cells (protein level, Fig. 3c; mRNA level, Fig. 3d). This result was confirmed in IHC staining data of tumor tissues obtained in mice studies. We detected higher numbers of positive-stained cells with HIFs antibodies in tumor tissues of A549sc cell-derived xenografts than tissues of A549IL-6si cell-derived xenografts (Fig. 3e).

Molecular mechanisms of IL-6-mediated HIF upregulation following cisplatin treatment. To determine the molecular mechanism of IL-6 regulation of HIFs, we first investigated whether IL-6 can regulate HIF expression at the transcriptional level. We measured HRE-containing luciferase activities in HEK293 cells and H1299 NSCLC cells that do not express IL-6. We observed increase in HRE-luc activities with IL-6 addition in both cell lines (Fig. 4a), suggesting the IL-6 regulation of HIFs at the transcriptional level. We then investigated HRE-luc activities in A549IL-6si/sc cells following cisplatin treatment. Interleukin-6-expressing sc cells showed higher HRE-luc activities than IL-6si cells (Fig. 4b), implying IL-6-mediated upregulation of HIFs at the transcriptional level may contribute to cisplatin resistance.

We next tested whether the IL-6-mediated upregulation of HIFs on cisplatin treatment is also due to inhibition of HIF degradation. We tested whether the levels of PHDs that are known to be associated with VHL-mediated HIF ubiquitination are different in IL-6si/sc cells after cisplatin treatment. When we investigated mRNA levels of PHDs in A549IL-6si/sc and H157IL-6si/sc cells, we found the PHD levels were significantly higher in IL-6si cells than in sc cells, and that the difference was more significant in the presence of cisplatin (Fig. 4c). The upregulation of HIFs after cisplatin treatment observed in sc cells was abolished when cells were treated with the proteasomal degradation inhibitor MG132 (Fig. 4d). However, we found that the VHL level was not affected by IL-6. These results suggest that IL-6 triggers the suppression of ubiquitination by mediating PHD level decrease after cisplatin treatment. Figure 4e summarizes these results.
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Targeting HIFs abolished the IL-6 effect of increasing CSCs after cisplatin treatment. To investigate whether targeting HIFs can block the CSC increase in cisplatin-resistant cells, A549CisR and H157CisR cells were incubated with HIF inhibitor FM19G11 (vehicle as control), and CSC marker expression and CSC-derived sphere forming ability were analyzed. The inhibitory effects of HIFs were shown in qPCR analyses (Fig. 5a, left panels). When the HIF inhibitor was added to the A549sc cells, we found the increase of CSC marker following cisplatin treatment was abolished (Fig. 5a, right panel) along with a significant reduction in sphere numbers (Fig. 5b). These results provide a potential strategy for targeting HIFs instead of blocking IL-6 to reduce cisplatin-induced increase in CSCs.

Discussion

In this study, we showed that CSC stemness was enriched in A549CisR and H157CisR cells versus parental cells. This finding is consistent with the previous report by Barr et al. (11) detailing that cisplatin-resistant cells show stem cell-like features. High numbers of CSCs should render high chemoresistance in tumor cells, as the recent in vitro and in vivo studies on several...
types of cancer indicate that CSCs have higher chemoresistance than non-CSCs. However, we did not observe the CD133+ CSC-like cell population increase in A549CisR and H157CisR cells compared to their parental cells in flow cytometric analyses (data not shown). We speculate that the IL-6 effect on the increase of CSC-like features in cisplatin-resistant cells may not be due to a direct effect of increasing CSC numbers, but due to an increase in CSC growth and enrichment of stemness of existing CSCs, as suggested previously.

In this study, we focused on the IL-6 effect on increasing CSC stemness in cisplatin-resistant cells. There may be several ways of triggering the IL-6 effect on influencing cisplatin resistance. Our laboratory has previously shown that IL-6 increases cisplatin resistance by upregulating molecules related to anti-apoptosis and DNA repair. We speculate that the IL-6 effect on the increase of CSC-like features in cisplatin-resistant cells may not be due to a direct effect of increasing CSC numbers, but due to an increase in CSC growth and enrichment of stemness of existing CSCs, as suggested previously.

We identified HIFs as the key IL-6 downstream molecules responsible for the CSC stemness increase during the development of cisplatin resistance. The concept of hypoxia contributions in developing cisplatin resistance and CSC increase in chemoresistance are not new. Fischer et al. suggested the involvement of hypoxia in cisplatin resistance and Bar et al. showed the correlation between hypoxia and CSC increase. Recently, Samanta et al. reported that HIFs are required for chemotherapy resistance of CSCs in breast cancer. Although the concept of HIF involvement in cisplatin resistance and CSC increase is not totally novel, our discovery of the connection of IL-6–HIF signaling in CSC increase during the cisplatin resistance process in lung cancer cells is a novel finding and clinically significant.

We showed the role of IL-6 in upregulating HIFs after cisplatin treatment by two mechanisms: promoting HIF transcription, and blocking their degradation. The HIF regulation of IL-6 gene expression in chondrocytes has been reported, but the regulation of IL-6 on HIF expression at the transcriptional level has not been reported. Further investigation is necessary to conclude whether the IL-6 effect on promoting HIF transcription (Fig. 4a,b) is a direct regulation or through modulation by the transcription factor regulated by IL-6, such as
Stat3. Xu et al. (34) recently showed that targeting the Stat3 pathway, which is known to be downstream of IL-6, blocked HIF1 expression in breast cancer cells.

We also showed IL-6 regulation of HIFs in the ubiquitination pathway. We found that PHD levels were significantly upregulated in IL-6 knockdown cells compared to sc cells on cisplatin treatment. The importance of PHD levels in HIF1α (35–37) and HIF2α (38) ubiquitination have also been suggested. Nevertheless, we did not observe significant difference in VHL levels in IL-6si/sc cell sets following cisplatin treatment, and this observation is consistent with a recent report suggesting the VHL-independent proteasomal degradation of HIF in colon cancer cells. (38) We speculate that the suppressed PHDs in IL-6-expressing sc cells, in turn, suppressed HIF ubiquitination following cisplatin treatment, and consequently the HIF molecules may be protected from degradation. The IL-6 regulation of PHDs in lung cancer cells after cisplatin treatment is our novel discovery. Whether IL-6 also can trigger cisplatin resistance by regulating E3 ligase needs to be investigated in the future.

We observed that using the IL-6 Ab or the HIF inhibitor both significantly reduced the CSC stemness in cisplatin-resistant cells. In lung cancer therapeutics, the use of IL-6 Ab has had some success in outcomes and is already been reported. (39) However, given the complexity of the physiological IL-6 roles of both pro- and anti-inflammatory (40) the therapeutic approach using IL-6 Ab might result in complicated side effects. In this study, we showed that the use of HIF inhibitor reduced the cisplatin-induced CSC increase to the level shown in IL-6 knockdown cells, providing the potential to replace IL-6 Ab therapy.

Several HIF1α inhibitors have recently been used in clinical trials to treat solid tumors. The pilot trial of EZN-2968, an antisense oligonucleotide inhibitor of HIF1α, has been reported (41) and the application of the mammalian target of rapamycin/HIF1α inhibitor temsirolimus combined with lipo- somal doxorubicin in a phase I trial has also been reported. (42) An approach using PX-478 as a HIF1α inhibitor has been attempted (43) and the pilot trial of oral topotecan as a HIF1α inhibitor has been carried out to treat advanced solid tumors. (44) However, no attempts have been made yet to block CSC increase during cisplatin treatment or to sensitize cisplatin-resistant lung tumors to cisplatin. We suggest that using HIF inhibitor therapy can alternatively be used in targeting the IL-6 signaling-mediated CSC increase in cisplatin-resistant tumors to overcome the IL-6 therapy problem, however; further in vivo studies are necessary to prove the effectiveness of this strategy.
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Abbreviations

ALDH aldehyde dehydrogenase
CisR cisplatin-resistance
CSC cancer stem cell
HIF hypoxia-inducible factor
HRE hypoxia response element
HRE-luc HRE-luciferase
IHC immunohistochemical
IL-6 interleukin-6
IL-6si IL-6 knockdown
NSCLC non-small cell lung cancer
OD optical density
PHD prolyl hydroxylase
qPCR quantitative real-time PCR
sc scramble
Stat3 signal transducer and activator of transcription 3
VHL von Hippel-Lindau disease tumor suppressor
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